Current Status of Multiple Drug Molecules, and Vaccines: An Update in SARS-CoV-2 Therapeutics
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Abstract
The coronavirus disease of 2019 (COVID-19) is a pandemic disease that has taken the lives of many around the world. It is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome-corona virus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). To date, the USA, Italy, Spain, France, Russia, and the UK have been hit the hardest by the virus. However, death counts are still rising. Some nations have managed to “flatten” the death rate via protective measures such physical distancing, quarantine measures, and therapeutic management. The structure of the SARS-CoV-2 virus comprises of S proteins, M proteins, E proteins, hemagglutinin esterases, nucleocapsid proteins, and a 30-kb RNA genome. Viral proteases cleave these polyproteins and RNA-dependent polymerases replicate the genome. Currently, there are no effective therapies against this new disease. Numerous investigators are developing novel protease inhibitors, some of which have made it into clinical trials. Researchers are also attempting to develop a vaccine. In this review paper, we discuss the latest therapeutic developments against COVID-19.
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Introduction
In 2019, the globe witnessed the birth of a new respiratory system disease caused by a novel coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type-2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) named the respiratory disease as novel coronavirus disease discovered in 2019 (COVID-19). On March 11, 2020, it was declared a pandemic disease. The world was crippled by the virus due to a lack of health infrastructure and preparedness.

Population genetic analysis revealed that there were two variants of the SARS-CoV-2, L and S. Between the “L” (70%) and “S” (30%) type variants of SARS-CoV-2, the L type had a high transmission rate [2]. The reservoirs for these viruses are camels, civet cats, camels, cattle, and bats. COVID-19 infected patients have a variety of symptoms including cough, fever, headache, chills, shortness of breathing, muscle pain, sore throat, and even a loss of taste and smell [1]. The SARS-CoV-2 enters into the alveolar type 2 cells (AT2 cells) through the angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2) receptor and causes pneumonia, emphysema, and chronic bronchitis. The ACE-2 has a receptor-binding domain (RBD) for the spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2. When the disease advances, it leads to multi-organ failure and even death.
Promising Repurposed Drug Molecules for SARS-CoV-2

Hydroxychloroquine

In COVID-19 patients, it is observed excessive production of cytokines and interferons and cause inflammation. Chloroquine diphosphate (CQ) and hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) are used for the treatment of malaria, systemic lupus erythematosus, and rheumatoid diseases and are attributed to the anti-inflammatory effect on IL-6, IL-17, and IL-22 cytokines. HCQ acts on the ACE2 receptor and prevents viral entry by inhibiting its interaction with spike glycoprotein-ganglioside. Besides, it also alters endosomal and lysosomal activities. HCQ exerts its therapeutic actions by reducing acidification of endosomes and attenuation of host receptors glycosylation, proteolytic processing, cytokine production, and lysosomal activity, autophagy, and endocytic pathways. It is widely believed that HCQ might have multiple therapeutic mechanisms and it may vary depends on pathogens. HCQ enhances intracellular pH and inhibits the lysosomal activity of antigen-presenting cells (APCs), eventually affects the cathepsins, MAP kinase, and autophagosomal functions, and eventually causes the structural damage to the spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 [6–13] (Figs. 1 and 2) (Table 1). In addition, HCQ and CQ can also attenuate cytokine production, lysosomal activity, and autophagy [14, 15]. Initially, clinical evidence of HCQ and CQ in SARS-CoV-2 has not been published yet but media outlets from China have alleged that these drugs can help reduce disease progression, increase viral load clearance, and improve pulmonary damage in more than 100 patients [16].

Another unrandomized clinical study findings in 36 patients (control N, 16; HCQ-treated patients N, 20) revealed that HCQ (200 mg, TID, 10 days) along with azithromycin (500 mg on day 1, 250 mg/day for 4 days) showed reduced SARS-CoV-2 viral load and these observations were confirmed by real-time RT-PCR assay [17]. In another randomized study, 86.7% of subjects have shown negative with nucleic acid test and 33.3% of HCQ-treated group has shown improvements on CT images related to pneumonia with HCQ (400 mg/day, 5 day, and conventional treatments) [18]. Imperatively USFDA authorized physicians to use HCQ for the treatment of COVID-19 patients in the USA [19]. Furthermore, in 21 COVID-19-positive organ transplant recipients at Houston Methodist J.C. Walter Jr. Transplant Center with co-morbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and coronary artery disease, 11 patients received a combination therapy of azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine. Only one patient from this cohort has expired [20].

Dosages of HCQ vary. Certain guidelines suggest a dosage of 400 mg twice a day that then transitions to two doses of 200 mg per day [21]. Indian Council of Medical Research scientists, in India, recommended asymptomatic health workers an HCQ regiment of 400 mg twice a day for the first week, and then a 400 mg dose per day for 7 weeks (https://icmr.nic.in/sites/default/files/upload_documents/HCQ_Recommendatio_n_22March_final_MM_V2.pdf). HCQ and CQ can cause retinopathy and prolonged QTc (with fatal arrhythmias-tachycardia) [22, 23]. It is considered safe in pregnant women and has not shown any ocular toxicity in infants [24].

In 2020, Borba et al. undertook a randomized clinical trial study (RCT) (NCT04323527) to investigate the safety and efficacy of (high and low dose) CQ on hospitalized SARS-CoV-2 patients. In this phase IIb study, patients were...
segregated into high and low-dose group in a 1:1 ratio. High-dose group patients (N, 40) were given 600 mg CQ tablets (150 mg × 4) twice a day for 10 days and low-dose group patients (N, 41) were given 450 mg CQ twice a day. On day 0,

Fig. 1 Mechanism of action of HCQ, remdesivir, and favipiravir to exacerbate COVID-19: SARS-CoV2 enters into the host cell (AT2) through ACE2 receptors and under goes subsequent stages in endosomes and lysosomes and finally with the help of RNA-dependent polymerase undergoes replication and produces polyproteins (S, M, E, N). HCQ exerts its action at different levels: prevents the entry of SARS-CoV2 into the host cell, glycosylation of host receptors, endosomal acidification, and proteolytic processing. Remdesivir and favipiravir act on RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) to prevent replication and translation processes.

Fig. 2 Structure of SARS-CoV2: it depicts spike (S), membrane (M), envelope (E), nucleocapsid (N), and hemagglutinin esterase (HE) and targets for vaccines and drug molecules.
the dosage was $3 \times 150$ mg and 1 placebo tablet. The placebo tablets were given for 4 days; then from day 5 to 9, 4 placebo tablets were given twice a day. In addition to CQ, patients were treated with 1 g ceftriaxone twice a day for 7 days, and 500 mg of azithromycin per day for 5 days. To prevent an influenza infection, participants were also treated with 75 mg of oseltamivir (neuraminidase inhibitor) twice a day for the first 5 days. Viral RNA was detected in 31 patients of both groups; high-dose groups had a higher fatality rate (39%) than low-dose groups (15%). Based on the QTc intervals, CK-MB levels, Hb levels, and fatality rate, authors have suggested that a high dosage of CQ is not safe to critically ill patients. Conclusions from this study cannot be extrapolated to non-severe patients. Low-dosage CQ may be advisable to mitigate COVID-19 infection [25].

There has been increased research into the role of HCQ and CQ as possible treatments for COVID-19. Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center, China, registered a RCT (NCT04261517) titled “Efficacy and Safety of Hydroxychloroquine for Treatment of COVID-19” that had 30 participants and is now in phase 3. The patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19 pneumonia were included. Participants were above the age of 18. Pregnant women and patients with chronic diseases (lung, heart, liver, and kidney, brain, and blood diseases) were excluded in this trial. Patients who had hearing loss, retinopathy, and hypersensitivity due to CQ were also excluded from the study (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04261517). The University of Oxford also registered an interventional, double-masked RCT (NCT04303507) that is now in its pre-clinical stage. This study looked at effects of treatment of symptomatic COVID-19 patients with HCQ. Patients had renal disease and retinal loss; prolonged QT syndrome patients, individuals who had hypersensitivity reactions, those who could not receive CQ, and individuals who are already taking CQ and HCQ are not included in this study (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/history/NCT04303507?V_2=View#StudyPageTop). As more results come from clinical trials of this drug, researchers and physicians can learn more about the effects of HCQ, both therapeutic and detrimental, in COVID-19 patient care. Further study has to be conducted to understand the drug’s efficacy and safety.

### Ribavirin

A guanine nucleoside analogue, ribavirin prevents viral replication by acting on RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Fig. 2, Table 1). There are no clinical studies or published data on the therapeutic benefits of this analogue on COVID-19. Adverse effects such as hepatotoxicity and blood diseases have been noted in SARS-CoV patients treated with this analogue. Ribavirin, when used with interferon, did show very mild therapeutic benefits against MERS-CoV infection [26]. Further study of ribavirin in SARS-CoV-2 needs to be done.
Lopinavir-Ritonavir

The lopinavir and ritonavir combination was the first USFDA-approved drug therapy for HIV. They may act as protease inhibitors for 3-chymotrypsin protease (Fig. 2, Table 1) in the novel coronaviruses infection. Past clinical studies of this drug as a therapy for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infections have shown mild improvements. However, there has not been as much information of drug efficacy on SARS-CoV-2 [27–29]. In 2020, Cao et al. conducted a RCT (registered with Chinese clinical trials, ChiCTR2000029308) on COVID-19 patients. In this study, 199 confirmed COVID-19 patients were divided into the control group (100 patients) and the experimental group (99 patients). Those who had hypersensitivity reactions, allergy to this drug combination, and liver cirrhosis were excluded from this study. The eligible patients were treated with lopinavir-ritonavir (400 mg:100 mg), BID, for 14 days. Normally, this drug combination causes diarrhea, nausea, hepatotoxicity, and distress in the intestine. In this RCT, 50% of patients were shown to have drug combination-related side effects. The drug combination did not show any therapeutic benefits for COVID-19 patients [31].

Darunavir and Cobicistat

The darunavir and cobicistat drug combination is a USFDA-approved drug combination for HIV and acts as anti-retroviral agents. Cobicistat (CBI) is a protease inhibitor for cytochrome P450 3A and darunavir is an inhibitor of HIV protease (Fig. 2, Table 1). However, it lacks clinical evidence in treating COVID-19 patients [32]. However, one recent study has shown non-anti-viral may help combat SARS-CoV-2 in Caco-2 cells with EC50 > 100 μM. There is a RCT in phase III in China (registration number NCT04252274) for the treatment of COVID-19 with darunavir (800 mg)/cobicistat (150 mg) QID (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/history/NCT04252274?A=1&B=3&C=merged#StudyPaeTop).

Combination Therapies

There are a few trials that are investigating protease inhibitor combinations and nucleoside analogues/or interferons: ribavirin + ritonavir + lopinavir, lopinavir + ritonavir + IFN + ribavirin, and lopinavir + ritonavir + IFNβ1b. The proposed dosages for ribavirin + ritonavir + lopinavir drug combination is lopinavir 400 mg, ritonavir 100 mg BID, and ribavirin, 2.4 g of which is given orally as a loading dose and after the loading dose, 1.2 g of ribavirin is given orally every 12 h for 10 days [33].

Favipiravir

Favipiravir is a purine nucleotide that inhibits viral replication by inhibiting RNA polymerase activity. It is also known as T-705 or favipiravir ribofuranosyl-5′-triphosphate (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 1). It has shown anti-viral activity against various RNA viruses (Influenza, H1N1, Ebola, etc.). The recent in vitro study demonstrated anti-viral activity (EC50 ~ 61.88 μM/L) against COVID-19 in Vero E6 cells [34]. The half-life of favipiravir is 5 h. Higher dosage can be recommended against SARS-CoV-2 based on the previous lower EC50 values against Ebola and influenza infection. Some studies tried dosages ranging from 2400 to 3000 mg BID for every 12 h and maintenance dosage from 1200 to 1800 mg for every 12 h. It is an available treatment in Japan but not in the USA for influenza infection [35–39].

Currently, favipiravir is hardly used in clinical practice as it there is not much evidence of efficacy in treating SARS-CoV-2. In 2020, Chen et al. conducted a multicenter, prospective, and randomized study of 236 patients (experimental group—116 patients, control group—120 patients). The experimental group was given favipiravir (1600 mg BID on the first day; 600 mg BID from the second day to the end of the experiment). The control group (N, 120) was treated with Arbidol (200 mg TID from the first day to the end of the trial). Routine therapy was also given to both groups. The treatment period for both groups was 7–10 days. The clinical recovery of the patients was considered based on cough relief, oxygen saturation, fever, and respiration rate for 72 h. Patients were also assessed via routine biochemical, urine, nucleic acid, C-reactive protein (CRP), and coagulation tests. CT imaging was also used to assess patient progression. The data supported the therapeutic interventions of favipiravir against SARS-CoV-2 but its efficacy needs to be investigated with risk factors like diabetes, CVD, hypertension, obesity, and organ transplantations (kidney and liver). The Chinese registration for this clinical trial is ChiCTR200030254 [40].

Arbidol

Arbidol (umifenovir) is another anti-viral drug that mitigates the spike protein-ACE-2 interaction. It can decrease the fusion of the SARS virus envelope [40].

Remdesivir

Remdesivir (formerly GS-5734) is another potential drug against SARS-CoV-2 that is being tested in randomized control trials. It is approved by the USFDA for emergency medicine treatment. Remdesivir and favipiravir act on RdRp to prevent replication and translation processes (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 1). Remdesivir has shown potential in vitro activity against various coronaviruses. Remdesivir was effective during the Ebola virus outbreak as evidenced by the low polymerase activity in host cells and EC50. Its clinical benefits were demonstrated both in Ebola and SARS-CoV-2 patients [41, 42]. Pharmacokinetics and drug safety were validated in
clinical trials (single and multiple-dose phase I) and have shown linear kinetics with a half-life of 35 h. The intravenous doses ranging from 3 to 225 mg did not cause any toxicity of the kidney or liver. A single dose of 200 mg and a following dose of 100 mg as a drug regimen are under investigation. The clinical trials (NCT04252664, NCT04280705, NCT04257656, NCT04292730, NCT04302766 NCT04292899) of remdesivir are undergoing in mild, moderate, and severe SARS-CoV-2 patients [43].

Other Possible Therapeutic Agents for SARS-CoV-2

SARS-CoV-2 enters into the host cell with the help of spike proteins by interacting with α-1 helix of the ACE-2 receptor. However, ACE-2 blockers and inhibitors may have adverse effects in DM, hypertension, liver cirrhosis, renal failure, rheumatoid arthritis, and CVD patients because of the receptor localization.

Tocilizumab (TCZ) is a monoclonal antibody against IL-6 currently being used for rheumatoid arthritis, giant cell arteritis, polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis, systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis, and cytokine release syndrome therapies. The COVID-19 infection causes a cytokine storm (like SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV). Tocilizumab’s role in preventing such a storm may have therapeutic advantages against SARS-CoV-2 (Figs. 1 and 2) (Table 1). In 2020, Luo et al. investigated CRP and IL-6 before and after TCZ therapy in COVID-19 patients. All the individuals were separated into moderately, seriously, and critically ill subject groups. The critically and seriously ill patients have co-morbidities like DM, hypertension, and stroke. The TCZ was used in combination with methylprednisolone (MP) (used for arthritis) in 8 patients. For 5 patients, TCZ dosage was given BID or more. The dosage concentrations for TCZ were 80–480 mg, and for MP, it was 40–80 mg depending on the severity of COVID-19 infection. Even though TCZ therapy mitigated CRP and IL-6 levels, those who only received a single dose had adverse outcomes. However, the findings from this single-center retrospective study revealed therapeutic advantages of TCZ against SARS-CoV-2 [44]. Another 2020 collateral study by Fu et al. also used TCZ in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection and found it very effective. A TCZ dosage of 400 mg was given to 21 patients and 91% recovered from respiratory dysfunction. However, this study did not have proper controls [45]. Currently, there are many clinical trials (RCT study NCT04335071; interventional study NCT04317092; NCT04310228) studying therapy of COVID-19 via immunomodulatory mechanisms. Camostat mesylate (acts on transmembrane protease/serine subfamily member 2, TMPRSS2), immunomodulatory molecules such as α- and β-interferons, dasatinib, baricitinib, cyclosporine, and imatinib, anthelminthic agents (nitazoxanide), and convalescent therapy might have promising therapeutic advantages against SARS-CoV-2 [3]. Sarilumab (IL-6 antagonist) is also under clinical investigation (NCT04315298).

Molecules such as bevacizumab (NCT04275414), eculizumab (NCT04288713), and fingolimod (NCT04280588) are under consideration for COVID-19 therapy. In brief, bevacizumab acts as an anti-vascular endothelial factor, eculizumab functions as an antibody inhibiting terminal complement, and fingolimod is an immunomodulator (approved for multiple sclerosis) [3].

In addition, corticosteroids were also used to decrease inflammatory action in SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and influenza infection. Its therapeutic action against SARS-CoV-2 needs to be investigated with and without co-morbidities like DM, hypertension, CVS, renal failure, liver cirrhosis, and stroke.

Vaccines and Their Status

Vaccines are believed to be the safest approach to protect COVID-19 patients by boosting their own immune system. Developing effective vaccines takes around 12–18 months. Remarkably, developing the monovalent form of H1N1 influenza vaccine from existing trivalent vaccines took only 6 months when this virus was pandemic in 2009 [46, 47]. The vaccines are classified as recombinant protein, RNA, DNA, vector (licensed), and cell culture-based vaccines [28]. When the Ebola virus outbreak occurred in 2014, vesicular stomatitis virus vector-based vaccine was developed, named Ervebo. The recombinant protein vaccine for influenza was developed and licensed by the USA as Flublok. The cell culture-based vaccine, Flucelvax, was developed for influenza virus [47]. These vaccines mimic infectious agents and trigger the production of antibodies by activating B lymphocytes. This immune response is retained in memory cells to combat future infections.

Spike proteins promote viral entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cell by interacting with ACE-2 receptors. Antibodies developed against these spike proteins can interfere with this vital interaction and may neutralize the multi-organ effect of SARS-CoV-2. Previously, recombinant protein (for spike proteins), vector-based, and attenuated/inactivated vaccines were developed for SARS-CoV-1 and showed great efficacy (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04261517) [30]. However, a reduced antibody response was seen in re-infected individuals after the primary vaccination. This was attributed to the waning of the humoral immune response over the years [48–52]. Potential vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 have to overcome such issues.

The established findings suggest that SARS-CoV-2 infection causes multi-organ failure (liver, kidney, lungs, and heart) in individuals over the age 50. As a result, vaccines that are developed must not tax the immune systems of the elderly too greatly [53, 54] (Fig. 3 and Table 2). Unfortunately, vaccines...
in the elderly may not work as effectively as in young individuals because of immune-senescence. Specific IgG/IgM responses of convalescents using a proteome microarray and neutralizing antibodies may serve well as therapeutics [55, 56].

Usually, vaccines undergo three-phase (I, II, III) trials. Phase I trial includes only multiples of 10 (approximately 30–40) individuals and is conducted to check whether the immune response can be seen or not. Upon success, hundreds of individuals will be enrolled in phase II to identify dosage concentrations, immunogenicity, and safety. Finally, thousands of individuals will be enrolled in phase III to measure the efficacy of the vaccine in eliciting an immune response against the disease infection.

There are multiple companies/universities currently doing investigations on developing the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines [57] with the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations fund support.

1. mRNA-1273 vaccine entered into phase I clinical trial: it is an encapsulated nano-particle-based mRNA-1273 antigen vaccine developed by Moderna Inc. at NIH research center (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04283461). This vaccine is in the phase 2 stage.

2. Codagenix, in collaboration with the Serum Institute of India, is developing a live attenuated vaccine. This vaccine is in the phase 1 stage.

3. Inovio Pharmaceuticals is developing a DNA vaccine focused on spike proteins and it is in phase 2/3 stage.

4. NOVAVAX, iBio, Sichuan Clover Biopharmaceuticals, and University of Queensland (GlaxoSmithKline) are focused on developing a recombinant vaccine based on the spike protein. University of Queensland (GlaxoSmithKline) is using a molecular clamp stabilized spike protein. It is in the pre-clinical stage.

5. NOVAVAX has repurposed SARS-CoV vaccines that use full length S trimers, nanoparticles, and matrix M to generate antigenicity. This vaccine is in its clinical trial.

6. Institut Pasteur is planning to develop a vaccine against COVID-19 using a replicating viral vector (measles vector). This vaccine is in its pre-clinical stage. Themis/Univ. of Pittsburg Center for Vaccine Research is also using the same approach in generating the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.

7. Cansino Biologics and the University of Oxford are developing viral vector-based vaccine for S proteins. Cansino Biologics vaccines are in phase 2 clinical trials in China and are using adenovirus type 5 as a replication vector.

8. The University of Hong Kong is also developing a vaccine for COVID-19. It is in its pre-clinical stage and human trials may begin in July 2020. The antigen source is unknown.

9. Takis/Applied DNA Sciences/Evvivax and Zydus Cadila are producing DNA vaccines. These vaccines are in the pre-clinical stage.

10. Sinovac Inc. is producing a formaldehyde-inactivated aluminum vaccine that is in its pre-clinical stage.
11. GeoVax/BravoVax C, Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies, Altimmune, Greffex, Tonix Pharma/Southern Research, Zyduz Cadila, and Vaxart are generating non-replicating viral vector vaccines. These vaccines are in the pre-clinical stage.

12. ExpreS2ion (Drosophila S2 insect cell expression system VLPs), WRAIR/USAMRIID (S protein), Clover Biopharmaceuticals Inc./GSK (S trimer), Vaxil Bio (peptide), AJ Vaccines (S protein), Generex/EpiVax (li-Key peptide), EpiVax/Univ. of Georgia (S protein), Sanofi Pasteur (S protein by baculovirus), Heat Biologics/Univ. Of Miami (gp-96 backbone), and University of Saskatchewan (adjuvanted microsphere peptide) are producing protein subunit-based vaccines. They are using backbones of S protein, S trimer, peptide, li-Key peptide, and gp-96 to create the vaccine. These vaccines are in the pre-clinical stage.

13. Fudan University/ Shanghai JiaoTong University/RNACure Biopharma (LNP-encapsulated mRNA cocktail encoding VLP and LNP-encapsulated mRNA encoding RBD), China CDC/Tongji University/Stermina/Arcturus/Duke-NUS/BioNTech/Fosun Pharma/Pfizer (mRNA), and Imperial College London (SaRNA) are developing RNA vaccines. These vaccines are in the pre-clinical stage.

14. Medicago Inc. is using plant-derived VLP on flu, rotavirus, norovirus, West Nile virus, and cancer platforms to produce a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. This vaccine is in its pre-clinical stage.

Recently, Zhu et al. (2020) conducted a single-center, open-label, non-randomized phase 1 clinical trial study on tolerability, safety, and immunogenicity Ad5 vector-based COVID-19 vaccine intramuscularly with low ($5 \times 10^{10}$), medium ($1 \times 10^{11}$), and high ($1.5 \times 10^{11}$) viral particles on 109 eligible subjects. The safety of vaccination was assessed on day 28. Specific antibodies, neutralizing antibodies, and T cell responses were measured (with ELISA and flow cytometry). Fifty-four percent vaccine recipients reported pain, whereas 46% recipients reported fever, fatigue by 44%, headache by 39%, and muscle pain by 17%. However, all these adverse reactions are mild to moderate and to severe in all the dosage groups but there are no severe adverse systemic reactions observed. Specific and neutralizing antibodies were heightened on 14 days of vaccination along with T cell-specific response but specific and neutralizing antibody response went to maximum peak on 28 days of vaccination. These initial observations strongly warrant further investigations [58]. This study is registered under ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04313127.

Some companies and universities, such as ImmunoPrecise, MIGAL Galilee Research Institute, Doherty...
Conclusions and Future Directions

The COVID-19 created a health emergency that the world was not prepared for. Physicians had to repurpose previous drugs to supplement the standard medical management to maximize health outcomes. However, these results were not satisfactory in COVID-19 patients with DM, hypertension, CVS, renal failure, liver cirrhosis, stroke, and other co-morbidities. Currently, USFDA has approved HCQ and remdesivir, but they will be helpful for future occurrences of the virus, if it becomes recurrent or seasonal like the flu. Building a herd immunity is crucial in the fight against SARS-CoV-2. Around 71–74% of the population must buy into these vaccine programs in order to develop the herd immunity. However, among the global shutdown and animosity towards healthcare systems, many people are less inclined to be vaccinated. Only 73.9% of 7664 participants from 7 European nations would be willing to get vaccinated when a vaccine comes into the market. What is even more troubling is that younger populations, between the ages of 18–24, were less willing to receive vaccines than the elderly [59]. These figures are troubling and reveal an underlying problem within the healthcare system that has been brought to light by the COVID-19 pandemic.
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