I. Introduction

Nations around the world provide various medical information services. Among them, online health information exchange (HIE) services have been expanded internationally among hospitals and communities. Previous studies related to HIE have shown that adoption of HIE services leads to higher patient satisfaction due to stronger therapeutic intervention and improvements in patient outcomes [1-4]. Furthermore, if patient clinical information is shared in a timely manner, the accuracy of diagnosis is improved, test duplication is reduced, and patient re-admission and medi-
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Korea has also made progress in the digitization of hospital tasks, and its online HIE system has been expanding between cooperating healthcare organizations. This study aimed to identify issues and considerations that arise in relation to the implementation and operation of online HIE systems. Thus, this study may provide insights to assist in the implementation of other online HIE systems through the identification of problems and possible solutions.

II. Methods

1. Implementation of a Standards-based Online HIE System

In this paper, we define a central registry and multiple repositories as a standardized architecture. An online HIE center manages the metadata and patients, and healthcare organizations are participants (Figure 1).

In the HIE system, the HL7 Consolidated CDA (C-CDA) was adopted, and referral notes, medical examination documents, and care record summaries (CRSs) were defined as the standard documents. To improve the information fidelity, we defined the values that are required and should be included if available [14]. The consent for online HIE was individual consent per event because of the medical act. Consent for the CRS was done collectively because patient care events occur frequently (Figure 2).

2. Operation of an Online HIE System and the Identification of Issues

The standard-based online HIE system was used between one hospital and 44 primary care clinics. A total of 2,583 patients participated in online HIE for about 12 months from July 4, 2016 to June 30, 2017, during which 2,546 referrals and 161 transfers occurred. We attempted to understand the potential problems and barriers affecting the establishment and operation of HIE systems. We monitored and identified issues during the processes to establish a system for seamless HIE.

Prior to implementation of the system, we conducted scenario tests that included referrals, replies, transfers, and CRS creation from June 20 to July 1, 2016 to identify potential issues. Moreover, for stable operation of the HIE system, the issues identified during the operation after its opening were noted. To solve the identified issues, three medical information system experts, one medical information standard expert, four medical staff members, and two researchers of HIE systems compiled a list of such issues. These were then categorized into the four types, including usability, HIE contents (documents and data elements), system architec-
ture and standards, and participants’ informed consent, and regular meeting were held to find solutions for each issue. If any issues that arose during the operation of the system needed to be resolved quickly, they were addressed immediately through real-time online discussions. In addition, if a hospital policy needed to be modified to resolve the identified issues, an HIE task force team (TFT) of 11 medical staff members, three researchers, and three medical information system experts gathered for a TFT conference and determined the policies related to HIE within the hospital. The definition of standard terms and the issues requiring policy consensus were classified separately so that stakeholders, a manager of the Department of Health and Welfare, and a manager of the Social Security Information Center defined the terms and shared the need for policy amendments. When issues needed to be solved by alterations in the system, they were immediately implemented; when any terms needed to be defined, clear definitions were proposed. In the
case of issues requiring further policy agreement, efforts to come to a policy consensus on the relevant issues were made, and the results were derived.

III. Results

The identified issues were divided into categories according to which aspect of HIE implementation they concerned, namely, usability, documents and data, architecture and standards, and consent (Figure 3).

In total, 22 issues were identified and were divided into four categories (Table 1). Proposals and definitions were proposed as solutions to address the issues.

1. Usability

A previous study on medical system usability showed that by considering the usability requirements in system design, system can be used efficiently and effectively; therefore, we derived issues arising from the implementation of the HIE system from a user experience viewpoint [15]. The issues related to usability were identified from system errors, inconveniences, and user experiences of online HIE. Each of the usability issues and solutions is presented in Table 1. The most common issues were an absence of real-time error responses and a lack of a simple means of image file exchange, which were resolved by introducing additional services. The system usability issues in the primary care clinic were slow system speeds and the absence of a batch update function. These issues were resolved by improving the update method and web server setup. Improvements in the user experience (UX) and user interface (UI) of creation and inquiry screens are needed in the future to improve system usability.

2. HIE Documents and Data Elements

Online HIE documents require clear definitions when being entered in the system; they are an important part that determines the quality of the data in an HIE. The issues found while defining documents and their solutions were determined prior to system operation (‘document and data items’ in Table 1). One issue related to document creation was raised because there were no detailed definitions for the circumstances of document creation. Hence, the process for creating a document was clearly defined to resolve this issue, and document items were redefined to be acceptable by all healthcare organizations.

3. System Architecture and Standards

We present the decisions and suggestions while defining the architecture and implementing the system (‘architecture and standards’ in Table 1). To resolve the need for a standard architecture and identification system, we defined the standard architecture and the OIDs of participating HIE institutions. Among the standard code, the Korean EDI code was defined by the examination code, but nondeductible examinations were difficult to map to the medical fee codes. Hence, other standards for healthcare terms were also used to address this mapping problem.

4. HIE Consent

In recent years, Korea has provided a legal basis for sharing health information appropriately among healthcare organizations. In the past, treatment records could be sent to other medical providers with the consent of the patient or guardian (Paragraph 3 of Article 21 in the Medical Act), and a copy of the treatment record could be forwarded when new patients were sent to other healthcare organizations (Paragraph 5 of Article 21 in the Medical Act) [16]. The consent method was modified to enable comprehensive consent according to the standard notification of HIE in Korea. Each of the consent issues and their solutions are listed in Table 1. To solve problems related to consent, a new consent method was added to the HIE system, and consent history management was changed to enable real-time queries about consent status. The consent policy issue relates to individual consent, which is the current consent method. To resolve this issue, a comprehensive consent method was proposed and defined to enable selective withdrawal of departments and healthcare
| Issues | Solutions |
|--------|-----------|
| A real-time response to system errors in the HIE system is absent. | Errors are responded to in real-time by the error reporting service in the HIE system that reports errors to a manager in real time. |
| The system speed at primary care clinics is slow. | The slow speed was solved by optimizing the data processing and changing the web server setup. |
| There is no batch update in the online HIE system of primary care clinics. | The HIE systems in all primary care clinics are forced to be updated by the vendor that distributes the system to the clinics to maintain the most up-to-date version of the system. |
| Patient inquiry may be inconvenient owing to the different locations of the online and offline patient requests for the system at hospitals. | The convenience of users in the HIE system at hospitals was increased by asking hospitals to set up both online and offline patient inquiry locations inside the medical institution's EMR. |
| There is a large amount of unnecessary scrolling when documents in the online HIE are searched, and information is scattered. | The efficiency of information inquiry was increased by improving the screen so that the required information could be seen at a glance. |
| There is a communication gap between a doctor of a primary care clinic and a hospital. | System design needs to distinguish clear operation divisions and to enable users to understand the next task to increase information fidelity at the time of request by a primary care clinic. |
| The automatic creation of a CRS is negatively viewed. | Primary care clinic: A doctor decides on the creation of a CRS and triggers an event. |
| An exchange document can be created that does not include clinically important medication and examination data. | Hospital: A CRS is created automatically according to the approval of a document by the doctor. |
| Owing to detailed treatment departments in hospitals, standard treatment departments need to be defined (e.g., internal medicine at a hospital: gastroenterology, endocrinology, etc.). | Medication and examination information are helpful to the clinical decision making of healthcare organizations that receive them. Hence, these data are defined as required when the data are generated. |
| A standard for the examination date needs to be defined. | The treatment department master terms provided by the Health Insurance Review & Assessment Service, which is a national agency, are used as the standard treatment department names. |
| A standard for the document creator needs to be defined. | The examination date is defined as the date on which the examination was performed rather than date on which it was prescribed because an examination is not performed on the day it is prescribed in many cases. |
| A standard for medical staff IDs needs to be set. | The transfer document for a patient written by a doctor is often cosigned by the nurse; thus, the cosigning nurse is marked as the document creator. |
| We use the medical staff license numbers as the HIE medical staff ID because these license numbers are managed nationally in Korea. | |
| Architecture and standards | Issues | Solutions |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Identification system       | A standard architecture for online HIEs needs to be defined. | The standard online HIE architecture is defined in the following manner: the architecture of an IHE XDS.b profile-based central registry and multiple repositories. |
| Standard items              | A standard OID system for the healthcare organizations of the online HIE is needed. | The OID system includes healthcare organizations, the HIE system, HIE documents, consent methods, and HIE term codes. It is a department below the Ministry of Health and Welfare. |
| Standard items              | Standard documents in the online HIE are absent. | The HL7 Consolidated-Clinical Document Architecture (CCDA) is defined as the standard documents of online HIE. |
| Identification system       | Because different medical terms are used by healthcare organizations, standard terms are needed to ensure interoperability. | The items that are applicable to a standard code should employ it to assure interoperability. |
| Applied codes               | Applied codes: Diagnosis name (KDC7), drug administration (KD, ATC), examination (EDI), and surgery (ICD9-CM). | |
| Consent management          | It is difficult to check the details of consent in real time from other healthcare organizations. | The consent status of patients is managed centrally by the online HIE center. |
| Consent policy              | There is a problem with the use of a resident registration number because of the Personal Information Protection Act. | The resident registration number of the patient is only used once at the time of first consent for the purpose of patient registration and consent. The MPI issued at that time is used for patient management. |
| Consent policy              | Individual consent for all documents during referral or transfer is cumbersome. | Consent is changed to comprehensive consent, in which single consent is applied to all HIE events until withdrawal. |
| Consent policy              | It is difficult to select and remove only a specific medical institution or specific treatment department information if the information is asked to be withdrawn. | A range of healthcare organizations and treatment departments can be set up during consent and withdrawal in online HIE. |
| Consent policy              | The definition of the period of document storage and inquiry in HIE according to consent is absent. | The online HIE documents should be stored and retrievable until HIE consent is withdrawn by the patient. If a patient requests withdrawal of HIE consent, his/her HIE documents are deleted and queries are stopped immediately. |

HIE: health information exchange, CRS: care record summary, MPI: master patient index.
IV. Discussion

The HIE service has been expanding through cooperative healthcare organizations to increase the quality of medical services. In particular, an online HIE system was implemented by complying with information exchange standards to share health information between heterogeneous systems. This study summarized the issues that occurred during the implementation and operation of a standard-based online HIE system in Korea, and an effort was made to define concerns and resolve issues and thus operate the system reliably.

The issues derived from this study can be compared with those derived from HIE operations and experiences in France and North America. A major issue with the operation of the HIE program in France was the low participation of patients in the HIE due to opt-in consent policy. In addition, uncertainties in policy support and the difficulty of maintaining the technology were addressed in ways similar to the solutions adopted in our study [17]. Also, there have been issues regarding the lack of interoperability, high entry costs, and the lack of standards due to difficulties in adopting HIE across the United States, which are similar to the issues related to our architecture and standards documents [18,19]. In this study, the issue of convenience for users of the HIE system was derived, and policy insights that need to be considered in the operation of HIE systems were derived.

This study was somewhat limited because the issues and results were identified through operations and diffusion in only one institution; thus the results reflect the characteristics of the specific context in which the study was conducted. Therefore, the results of this study might be difficult to generalize because they reflect the characteristics of the population in the area and the characteristics of medical personnel in the institution where it was carried out. However, we believe that organizations and administrators establishing HIE systems in the future can implement successful and sustainable HIE systems and avoid unexpected barriers through consideration of our experience and by adopting the problem-solving techniques applied in this study. Furthermore, active and strategic participation of governments in the development of HIE services is required to solve management issues, such as design proposals, and consensus methods must be improved to enhance information exchange systems. Through this study, we hope to reduce the communication gaps among medical institutions, to contribute to the successful implementation of HIE systems, and to promote the nationwide diffusion of HIE.
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