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ABSTRACT

We investigate the necessary methodology to optimally measure the baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) signal, from voids based on galaxy redshift catalogues. To this end, we study the dependency of the BAO signal on the population of voids classified by their sizes. We find for the first time the characteristic features of the correlation function of voids including the first robust detection of BAOs in mock galaxy catalogues. These show an anti-correlation around the scale corresponding to the smallest size of voids in the sample (the void exclusion effect), and dips at both sides of the BAO peak, which can be used to determine the significance of the BAO signal without any priori model. Furthermore, our analysis demonstrates that there is a scale dependent bias for different populations of voids depending on the radius, with the peculiar property that the void population with the largest BAO significance corresponds to tracers with approximately zero bias on the largest scales. We further investigate the methodology on an additional set of 1,000 realistic mock galaxy catalogues reproducing the SDSS-III/BOSS CMASS DR11 data, to control the impact of sky mask and radial selection function. Our solution is based on generating voids from randoms including the same survey geometry and completeness, and a post-processing cleaning procedure in the holes and at the boundaries of the survey. The methodology and optimal selection of void populations validated in this work have been used to perform the first BAO detection from voids in observations, presented in a companion paper.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) have been evidenced about ten years ago in the SDSS DR3 Luminous Red Galaxy sample (Eisenstein et al. 2005a) and in the 2dF survey (Cole et al. 2005). This was a smoking gun confirmation of the ΛCDM cosmology, after the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation discoveries (Spergel et al. 2003; Komatsu et al. 2011; Hinshaw et al. 2013; Planck Collaboration 2014a), and the evidence for an accelerated expansion of the Universe with Supernovae Type Ia (SNIa) (Schmidt et al. 1998; Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1998). The BAO signal has been improved by further SDSS measurements and other galaxy redshift surveys (Eisenstein et al. 2005b; Percival et al. 2010; Blake et al. 2011; Beutler et al. 2011; Drinkwater et al. 2010; White et al. 2011; Anderson et al. 2014). Recently BAOs were also detected in the Lyman alpha forest (Busca et al. 2013; Slosar et al. 2013; Delubac et al. 2015). BAOs have become an incomparable tool for measuring angular diameter distances in the Universe, associated with the CMB signal from the time of recombination. BAO combined with CMB is more powerful today than the combination of SNIa and CMB to constrain cosmological parameters (Aubourg et al. 2014) and there will be many surveys including BAO measurements as an integral part of their science goal, such as the the DESI/BigBOSS (Schlegel et al. 2011), the DES (Frieman & Dark Energy Survey Collaboration 2013), the LSST (LSST Dark Energy Science Collaboration 2012), the J-PAS (Benitez et al. 2014), the 4MOST (de Jong et al. 2012), or the EUCLID survey (Laureijs 2009). The first cosmic void, the so-called giant Boötes void,
was detected by Kirshner et al. (1981). Since then there are more evidence of the voids that exist as one of the cosmic web structures. Cosmic voids are classified based on galaxy distribution of the surveys (de Lapparent et al. 1986; Vogelezang et al. 1994; El-Ad & Piran 1997; Müller et al. 2000; Plionis & Basilakos 2002; Croton et al. 2004; Hoyle & Vogelezang 2004; Patiri et al. 2006a; Conroy et al. 2005; Nizura et al. 2014; Kitaura et al. 2009; Jasche et al. 2010; Platen et al. 2011; Varela et al. 2012; Pan et al. 2012; Sutter et al. 2012; Nadathur & Hotchkiss 2014; Sutter et al. 2014; Beygu et al. 2015) or dark matter density field of the numerical simulations (Colberg et al. 2005; Shandarin et al. 2006; Platen et al. 2007; Hahn et al. 2007; Neyrinck 2008; Forero-Romero et al. 2009; Hoffman et al. 2012; Cantun et al. 2013).

Many efforts are made to use cosmic voids as a cosmological probe to study the physics of the universe. Void probability distribution function and their cumulative void number density can be used to constrain $\sigma_8$ and $\Omega_m h$ (Betancort-Rijo et al. 2009). The void statistics has been studied by many work (e.g., White 1979; Politzer & Preskill 1986; Betancort-Rijo 1990; Einasto et al. 1991; Betancort-Rijo & López-Corredoira 2002) Recently the shape of voids is used to constrain dark energy (Park & Lee 2007; Lavaux & Wandelt 2010; Pisani et al. 2015). In particular, they can be used to test dynamical dark energy (Bos et al. 2012), coupled dark energy (Li 2011), and modified gravity (Martino & Sheth 2009; Li et al. 2012; Clampitt et al. 2013; Lam et al. 2015). They can also be used to measure the Sachs Wolfe effect (Granett et al. 2008; Ilić et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2014; Hotchkiss et al. 2015; Planck Collaboration 2014b).

Cosmic voids can potentially better trace the conditions of the primordial Universe than galaxies, since their centres are less affected by nonlinear gravitational pull, as they expand in a nearly isotropic way (see Sheth & van de Weygaert 2004, and references therein). However, the number of voids, as is usually defined, is too small to allow a detection of the BAO peak (e.g., Clampitt et al. 2015).

We study the clustering of the troughs of the density field as proposed for the first time in Kitaura et al (companion paper). Taking into consideration the subvoids in addition to the disjoint (parent) voids overcomes the issue of low void statistics, and allows statistically significant studies of clustering at the BAO scales, which was not possible previously (see e.g. Patiri et al. 2006b; Varela et al. 2012; Clampitt et al. 2013). In fact, the number of tracers can increase by about two orders of magnitude from considering only disjoint voids to including overlapping sub-voids (see Zhao et al.; companion paper). Whether this definition permits us to study BAOs from expanding empty regions in the Universe needs to be verified, which is the aim of this work. We have provided the algorithm to obtain estimates of the troughs of the density field (voids-in-voids, according to the terminology used in Sheth & van de Weygaert 2004), based on the empty circumspheres constrained by tetrahedra of galaxies through Delaunay triangulation (for details see Zhao et al.; companion paper). Nevertheless, this approach can be contaminated by groups of galaxies (voids-in-clouds), which are anti-correlated to the troughs. A thorough analysis of the BAO signal from such troughs of the density fields robustly determining the signal-to-noise ratio for different populations of voids needs to be done.

In this paper, we study in particular, the dependencies of the BAO signal-to-noise and clustering bias to the void radius. We compute autocorrelation functions and cross-correlation functions of the voids with different radius bins or cuts, and seek the population of voids with the highest BAO signal detection. We consider a large set of complete halo catalogues constructed with the PATCHY code (Kitaura et al. 2014, 2015b). In addition, and to enable a robust measurement of BAOs from voids in observational data, we investigate the methodology used in the galaxy clustering analysis generalised to voids clustering. To this end, we consider a large set of accurate lightcone mock galaxy catalogues resembling the BOSS CMASS DR11 clustering and survey geometry (Kitaura et al. 2015a), which have been calibrated based on a reference catalogue applying halo abundance matching to the BigMultiDark N-body simulation (Rodríguez-Torres et al. 2015).

This paper is organized as follows. We first describe in §2 the void finding algorithm used in this study. Then we analyse the measurement of BAO from voids based on complete halo catalogues in cubical volumes in §3. Subsequently, we show how to optimally obtain the BAO signal from voids based on lightcone catalogues in §4. Finally we summarize and conclude in §5.

2 DIVE: DELAUNAY TRIANGULATION VOID FINDER

The DIVE algorithm has been introduced in Zhao et al. (companion paper). We define voids as the empty circumspheres constrained by tetrahedra of galaxies. These are obtained by Delaunay Triangulation (DT) applied to 3-D spatial distribution of objects. In particular, DIVE relies on the publicly available Computational Geometry Algorithms Library\(^1\) (CGAL, The CGAL Project 2015). The centres of the spheres define the void position. Studies of the DT void properties used PATCHY mocks Kitaura et al. (2015a) are presented in Zhao et al. 2015. According to this study, there are two different classes of DT voids, which correspond to groups (voids-in-clouds) and troughs (voids-in-voids). Small voids have a high probability of residing in dense regions. They mainly trace the quartets of galaxies, corresponding to voids-in-clouds type voids. Large voids are more likely to trace underdense expanding regions, and correspond to voids-in-voids type voids. Voids, as usually defined in the literature (see e.g., Patiri et al. 2006b; Varela et al. 2012; Clampitt et al. 2013), correspond to a subclass of our voids, which do not overlap with each other, and thus we dub them “disjoint” voids. In this study, we allow the voids to overlap as proposed by Kitaura et al.; companion paper, to maximize the information obtained from DT voids tracing the troughs of the density field, which permits us to extract useful measurements, such as the BAO from void clustering.

3 BAO FROM VOIDS IN COMPLETE MOCK HALO CATALOGUES

The first aim of our study is to estimate the significance of the BAO measurements from voids obtained from complete

\(^1\) http://www.cgal.org
halo catalogues. As we need large sets of mock catalogues which cover huge cosmic volumes, we rely on accurate and efficient mock generation methods described in §3.1. We then construct the void catalogues §3.2 and compute the two-point correlation functions §3.3. Then we analyse the characteristic clustering of voids and introduce a model independent signal-to-noise estimator in §3.4. Based on that we estimate the optimal radius cut, which defines the population of voids with the highest BAO signal §3.5. We analyse the properties of the sub-populations using a cross-correlation study §3.6.

3.1 Input data: Halo catalogues from patchy simulations in cubical volumes

We start with halo mock catalogues resembling the clustering of BOSS Luminous Red Galaxies with number density around $3.5 \times 10^{-4} \, h^3 \, \text{Mpc}^{-3}$, at a mean redshift of $z \approx 0.56$ in cubical volumes of $2.5 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Gpc}$ side. These are constructed with the Perturbation Theory Catalogue generator of Halo and galaXY distributions (PATCHY, Kitaura et al. 2014), which includes an explicit Eulerian nonlinear and stochastic bias description. The input parameters of the PATCHY mocks are calibrated (Kitaura et al. 2015b) with the Bound Density Maximum (BDM, including sub-halos) halo catalogue of the BigMultiDark N-body simulations (Klypin et al. 2014) performed with the cosmological parameters $\Omega_M = 0.30715, \Omega_L = 0.69286, \sigma_8 = 0.8288, n_s = 0.96$, which is the Planck CDM cosmology, and the Hubble parameter $H_0 = 100 \, h \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ is given by $h = 0.6777$. The accuracy of the PATCHY mocks in terms of two and three point statistics, both in configuration and in Fourier space, with and without redshift space distortions (RSDs), was demonstrated to be very high, compared to reference N-body simulations (see Chuang et al. 2015). In particular, we generate 100 catalogues with varying seed initial conditions, in real and redshift space, with the distant observer approximation, and within cubical volumes of $2.5 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Gpc}$ side. This permits us to obtain robust error estimates.

3.2 Construction of void catalogs for halo catalogues in cubical volumes

We apply the DIVE algorithm to both sets of halo catalogues in real and in redshift space to obtain the corresponding void catalogues. In this work, we consider DT voids with the radius down to $10 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. As demonstrated in Zhao et al. (companion paper) smaller void sizes are dominated by voids-in-clouds, which are not the troughs of the density field. We note that the redshift space distortion effect for DT voids is different from that of galaxies. Voids cannot be treated as point-like objects within large-scale structure analysis, in contrast to galaxies or haloes. In our work we are not moving voids from real to redshift space, as we do with haloes, but compute the distribution of voids in each space according to the corresponding distribution of haloes. This implies a crucial difference between haloes (or galaxies) and voids. While RSDs cause virtual displacements to haloes (or galaxies) along the line-of-sight, their impact on voids does not only displace them, but could change their size, or even make them disappear/appear when a galaxy moves in/out of the circumsphere constrained by the tetrahedron of galaxies. We will study the effects of RSD on DT voids in detail in future work, and restrict the discussion in this paper to the impact on the measurement of the BAO signal.

3.3 Two-point correlation function estimator for complete catalogues in cubical volumes

To measure the BAO from voids, we compute the two point correlation function $\xi(s)$ from the void catalogues, where $s$
To visually enhance the BAO signal, we modulate the correlation function plots by the separation between a pair of void centres. Throughout this study, we use upper and lower panels in Fig. 1, or the radius cut: see lower panel in Fig. 1. The position of the dip is about twice the minimum void radius. This is due to the void exclusion effect (Hamaus et al. 2014).

A peak at the BAO scale of \( \sim 102.5 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc can be identified for radii above 16 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc. The most prominent additional feature we find (for radius bins 17 < \( R < 18 \) till 19 < \( R < 20 \) \( h^{-1} \) Mpc, and radius cuts \( R > 13 \) \( h^{-1} \) Mpc) are two dips, one at scales smaller (left of BAO peak: \( \sim 85 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc) and one at scales larger (right of BAO peak: \( \sim 120 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc) than the BAO peak (\( \sim 102.5 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc). This characteristic pattern is more pronounced than for the clustering of haloes, and permits us to define a model independent way an efficient estimator of signal-to-noise ratio \((S/N)\).

Encouraged by the characteristic signal in the correlation function of voids, we define the signal \( S \) as

\[
S = \frac{\xi(\mathbf{r}^{\text{BAO}}) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \xi(\mathbf{r}^{\text{null}}) + \xi(\mathbf{r}^{\text{filter}}) + \xi(\mathbf{r}^{\text{data}}) \right)}{V},
\]

where \( V \) is the volume of the box.

### 3.4 Characterisation of the correlation function from voids: model independent BAO signal-to-noise estimator

All void correlation functions show an anti-correlation spike with its minimum around the scale corresponding to the size of the void (twice the radius bin: see upper panel in Fig. 1, or twice the radius cut: see lower panel in Fig. 1). The position of the dip is about twice the minimum void radius. This is due to the void exclusion effect (Hamaus et al. 2014).

For the cosmological parameters we are considering we find from our calculations that it is appropriate to define: \( \mathbf{r}^{\text{BAO}} = 102.5, \mathbf{r}^{\text{null}} = 82.5, \mathbf{r}^{\text{filter}} = 87.5, \mathbf{r}^{\text{data}} = 117.5, \) and \( \mathbf{r}^{\text{model}} = 122.5 \, h^{-1} \) Mpc. The noise \( N \) is then defined as the standard deviation of the signals measured from 100 void patches mocks. We show a model dependent signal-to-noise estimator extracted from mock catalogues in Kitaura et al. (companion paper). We leave an analytical modelling of the clustering of voids for future work. The bottom sub-panels in Figs. 1, 2 and 7 show the signal, noise, and signal-to-noise ratios, regardless for different radius bins or cuts. BAO shifts with respect to the one from the underlying dark matter field are expected to be at the level of 0.3% for different halo/galaxy types (Angulo et al. 2014; Prada et al. 2014). Since in this study we are not aiming at estimating the exact BAO peak position, but only its detectability, we postpone such a precision study for future work.

### 3.5 Optimal void radius cut for BAO from complete catalogues

From the previous calculations we find that the optimal void radius cut yielding the highest signal-to-noise ratio is around 15 \( h^{-1} \) Mpc (see Fig. 1). Interestingly the results from redshift space yield a similar radius cut (see Fig. 2). However, the significance is reduced from about 10.4 to 9.3 \( \sigma \) including RSDs. The “V” shape in the signal-to-noise ratios from radius bins, or the equivalent inverted “V” shape from radius cuts, around the optimal values, indicate that there are two anti-correlated population of objects both with a BAO signal.
3.6 Cross-correlation function analysis

To verify the existence of two anti-correlated populations of voids, we compute the cross-correlation between different populations of voids. In particular, we choose as a reference the voids with radii larger than 20 $h^{-1}$ Mpc ($R_{ref} > 20 h^{-1}$ Mpc). This radius cut is large enough to ensure that the population of voids is completely dominated by voids-in-voids, i.e. by true voids residing in expanding regions (see Zhao et al.; companion paper). Thus, any positive cross-correlation between another population (with a radius cut $R < R_{ref}$) and the reference population indicates that they are also contributing to enhance the BAO signal from voids-in-voids. Nevertheless, our results show a complex scale dependent bias (Fig. 3), The BAO signal change the orientation at about 15 $h^{-1}$ Mpc because of the transition of the dominant void population from voids-in-voids to voids-in-clouds for smaller radii. This explains the dependence of the BAO $S/N$ on different radius cuts: the BAO signal is canceled out while including smaller voids. The amplitudes of the cross-correlation functions at large scales (i.e., $s > 150 h^{-1}$ Mpc) present the relative linear biases with respect to the reference void sample (i.e., $R_{ref} > h^{-1}$ 20 Mpc). Note that the linear auto-correlation function is negative at scales larger than $s \sim 150 h^{-1}$ Mpc, so that the relative linear bias is negative if the cross-correlation function is positive. We can see that only the radius bin 19 < $R < 20 h^{-1}$ Mpc has positive relative bias with respect to our reference void sample. It means that the void linear bias changes sign with radius ~ 19 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, which is consistent with Hamaus et al. 2014, even though the void definitions are not the same. Also note that the linear bias and BAO signal vanish with different void radius due to the non-linear bias. Nevertheless, the bias of voids cannot be well modelled with a linear bias, and including population of voids which have apparently an opposite sign in the bias (from large scales) share however the same BAO peak orientation, and thus contribute to enhance the void BAO signal.

In Fig. 3, all the cross-correlation functions intersect at one point around 130 $h^{-1}$ Mpc which is related to the size of the particle horizon at matter-radiation equality, estimated for the present Planck values (Klypin & Rhee 1994; Prada et al. 2011). Sylos Labini et al. (2009) have discussed to measure the scale, $r_s$, where the galaxy correlation function turns from positive to negative. But, it is difficult to measure this scale due to the systematics error and statistics uncertainty from observations. For voids clustering, the impact of observational systematics works differently so that one might observe $r_s$ in the void correlation function but not in the galaxy correlation function. However, we find that the uncertainty of the position of $r_s$ is large (see Fig. 1 and 2) because of the non-linear bias previously discussed. Thus, it would be even more difficult to extract reliable cosmological information from the measurement of $r_s$ from the void correlation function.

4 BAO FROM VOIDS IN MOCK GALAXY LIGHTCONE CATALOGUES

In this section we investigate the measurement of BAO from voids based on lightcone data that encodes redshift evolution, survey geometry, and selection effects. To this end, we rely on the accurate patchy mocks introduced in §4.1, and generalise the clustering analysis techniques from galaxies to voids §4.3, additionally obtaining the optimal voids population radius cut for BAO analysis.

4.1 Input data: Mock galaxy catalogues from MultiDark PATCHY BOSS DR11 CMASS lightcones

To validate our BAO measurement technique on observational data, we present the study on mock catalogues specifically generated for BOSS CMASS DR11 galaxies. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Fukugita et al. 1996; Gunn et al. 1998; York et al. 2000; Smee et al. 2013) is part of the SDSS-III survey. It collected the spectra and redshifts for 1.35 million galaxies, 230,000 quasars and 100,000 ancillary targets. The final raw data, data release 12 (DR12; Alam et al. 2015), has been made publicly available. CMASS samples are selected with an approximately constant stellar mass threshold (Eisenstein et al. 2011). The details of generating this sample are described in Reid et al. (2015). The mock catalogues reproducing the clustering of these objects are presented in (Kitaura et al. 2015a), which are calibrated with the BigMultiDark N-body simulations using the Halo Abundance Matching (HAM) technique (Rodríguez-Torres et al. 2015). We restrict our study to mock galaxies resembling the SDSS-III BOSS DR11 CMASS catalogue in the redshift range $0.43 < z < 0.7$.

---

2 http://www.sdss3.org/
Figure 4. The angular distribution of Multidark Patchy Boss NGC CMASS DR11 mock galaxies (blue) and void centres (red) in a redshift slice, $0.498 < z < 0.5$. We show only the voids with radius larger than 16 $h^{-1}$ Mpc.

4.2 Construction of void catalogs from incomplete lightcone catalogues

To obtain the void catalogues from the input lightcone galaxy catalogues we present now a series of steps which takes care of the survey geometry and selection function:

1. convert the angular coordinates (RA, DEC) and redshifts of the galaxies in a lightcone catalogue to cartesian coordinates ($x, y, z$) in comoving distances.
2. run the DIVE void finder to construct DT void catalogues.
3. convert the centre of each void from ($x, y, z$) to (RA, DEC, redshift).
4. remove the voids which have their centres outside the survey unmasked regions\(^3\) (White et al. 2014).

Although the input galaxy catalogues are matching the survey geometry, the void centres identified by DIVE can be outside the survey. Step 4 is necessary to filter out these centres. Nevertheless, we cannot avoid the impact of the boundary on the voids inside the survey region. Since a void is determined by tetrahedra of galaxies, it could disappear if one of the 4 galaxies is located outside the mask. A new void could also appear if any of the 4 galaxies inside the survey region exclusively contains some galaxies inside the survey region. In this case the Delaunay condition would be artificially accomplished. This boundary effect will change the void number density close to boundary and will bias the clustering measurements. Later, we will explain how we model this boundary effect in the random void catalogue to suppress the impact on the clustering measurements.

4.3 Calculation of two-point correlation functions from incomplete lightcone catalogues

4.3.1 Two-point correlation function estimator for lightcone catalogues

We compute the two-point correlation functions from the 1,000 Patchy lightcone mocks using the Landy & Szalay (1993) estimator:

$$\xi(s) = \frac{DD(s) - 2DR(s) + RR(s)}{RR(s)},$$

where $DD$, $DR$, and $RR$ correspond to the normalized data-data, data-random, random-random pair counts. We use the void catalogue constructed from 1,000 DR11 CMASS mocks galaxy catalogues as described in §4.2. As opposed to the previous study on complete cubical volumes, one needs now to use random catalogues to estimate $DR$ and $RR$ terms. The random catalogues cannot be constructed from the random galaxy catalogues since the voids radius distribution would be very different. We develop a method to construct the random void catalogues, as described below.

4.3.2 Construction of random void catalogues

Our goal here is to generate random void catalogues which share the same number density of voids in both angular and radial directions, as the observed one. To this end, we apply the “shuffling” method, to reproduce the radial selection function. This method randomly assigns the redshift with radius taken from a given observed void catalogue to a random angular point. The catalogues of the random angular points are generated based on the survey masks. The same method was used by the BOSS collaboration to construct the random catalogues for the galaxy sample. However, we cannot use the survey masks used by galaxy catalogues directly because of the “boundary effect” for voids, caused by the galaxies “outside” the survey area as described in Sec. 4.2.

Here, we demonstrate this effect before describing the steps we use to construct the proper random catalogues for DR11 mock void catalogues. We construct two sets of test void catalogues, based on real space Patchy boxes described in §3.2. The test void catalogues have the same geometry: $10 < RA < 80$, $10 < DEC < 50$ and $0.4 < z < 0.7$. We do not apply the selection function, so that the random catalogue is homogeneous in our test survey geometry.

- Set 1, the sample not having boundary effects is generated by applying the survey geometry on the void catalogues in the real space Patchy boxes.
- Set 2, the sample including boundary effects is generated by applying the survey geometry on the galaxy catalogues in the same real space Patchy volumes and then running DIVE to find the voids in the same way we construct the lightcone void catalogue.

In other words, set 1 and 2 identify voids and apply geometry in different order. The expected correlation function of set 1 is the same as the one of the void catalogues in boxes. We apply the geometry to set 1 so that it will share the same cosmic variance as set 2. We use 20 catalogues for each set, for demonstration purposes. Fig. 5 shows that the boundary effect boosts the correlation function. We do not show error bars, as the relative effect is reliable, since the two sets of

\(^3\) To apply the geometry, we use the code at https://github.com/mockFactory/make_survey
void catalogues are constructed from the same realisations and have the same geometry.

To reproduce the number density distribution including the boundary effect, we stack 100 mock void catalogues to construct the random angular point catalogue, given that the boundary effect is the same for the observed data and mocks. In addition, since the number densities are different at different redshifts, the boundary effect would also change. Therefore, we construct the random catalogues in narrower redshift bins, thereby minimizing the redshift dependency. We describe below the steps constructing the random cat-

1. stack 100 void mock catalogues.
2. separate the stacked catalogue into two redshift bins, one from 0.45 to 0.55 and the other one from 0.55 to 0.65.
3. separate the two redshift bins in 5 radius bins (16 < R < 17, 17 < R < 18, 18 < R < 19, 19 < R < 20, 20 < R < 50 h^{-1} Mpc), now the catalogue is divided in 10 subsamples.
4. split each subsample into two parts, RA, DEC on one side and z, R on the other. Shuffle the two parts separately and recombine them.

Fig. 6 shows the correlation functions using the proper random catalog constructed by the four steps described above, comparing with the ones constructed by skipping step 2 or step 3. In addition, we also take random angular positions from the galaxy random catalogues instead of stacking mocks and show the corresponding CF in Fig. 6. One can see that the CF is boosted if we ignore step 3 (shuffling within radius bins) or we use galaxy random catalogues. Our results are not sensitive to redshift dependency because we always keep the radius together with corresponding redshift as one part when constructed the random catalog. The boosting should due to the boundary effect described earlier (see Fig. 5). The boosting is significant while using galaxy random catalogue because of the complex geometry of the real survey. In other words, it is critical to use the proper mock catalogues to build the random catalogue.

4.4 Optimal void radius cut for BAO from BOSS CMASS DR11 mocks

We compute the correlation functions from the first 100 PATCHY CMASS-NGC void catalogues and present them in Fig. 7 together with the BAO signal S, noise N, and signal-to-noise S/N ratios. The signal significance is lower, as expected, since the volume is roughly a factor 8 smaller comparing to the cubical volumes studied in the previous section. The maximum S/N is around radius cut of 16 h^{-1} Mpc, but contrary to the case of complete real and redshift cubical volumes, the S/N does not show a decrease for larger radius cuts. The difference lies on the number density, which is now a function of redshift (i.e., radial selection function). When the number density is lower, the radius of voids-in-clouds would be larger. Therefore, when increasing the radius cut, we might throw away voids-in-clouds in lower density redshift region and voids-in-voids in higher density region. This could explain why the S/N ratio is not sensitive to the cut for lightcone mocks. To further optimise the clustering measurements, we should have a redshift dependent radius cut, which would depend on the number density at different redshifts. We leave such a study for future work. We find that the estimated S/N for the PATCHY mocks is 2.35 for the BOSS CMASS-NGC with voids larger than 16 h^{-1} Mpc.
5 SUMMARY

We have studied in this work the clustering of voids including sub-voids, and thus tracing the troughs of the density field. To this end, we have applied the novel DIVE void finding algorithm, which is particularly well suited for discrete distribution of objects (see Zhao et al; companion paper). This has permitted us to find for the first time the Baryon Acoustic Oscillations signal from voids in simulations. We have furthermore studied the signal-to-noise of BAO detections for different populations of voids, classified by their sizes. In addition, we have developed the necessary techniques to extract BAO signal from lightcone data including survey geometry and selection function effects.

Our study based on large sets of accurate mock catalogues demonstrates that the correlation function from voids, following our definition, has very characteristic features with dips around the BAO peak, which permits us to define a model independent signal-to-noise ratio.

Moreover, our results show that for BOSS CMASS Luminous Red Galaxy like objects at \( z \approx 0.56 \) with the number density of \( 3.5 \times 10^{-4} h^3 \text{Mpc}^{-3} \) the optimal void radius cut is \( 15 \pm 1 h^{-1} \text{Mpc} \) for both real and redshift space. We forecast signal-to-noise BAO detections of \( >9 \sigma \) from complete volumes of \( (2.5 h^{-1} \text{Gpc})^3 \), which will be accessible with future surveys.

Furthermore, our analysis demonstrates that there is a scale dependent bias for different populations of voids depending on the radius cut, with the peculiar property that the void population with the largest BAO significance corresponds to tracers with approximately zero bias on the largest scales.

The cosmological gain from using voids needs further investigation that will be presented in future work (Chuang et al. in prep.). Since voids are found based on the distribution of galaxies (or haloes), one may conclude that no additional information is present in the two-point correlation function of voids. However, voids are constructed upon tetrahedra of galaxies including information on higher order statistics. Presumably, the information from higher order statistics is transferred to the two point statistics when we measure the clustering of voids.

The techniques developed in this work have directly been used to measure the BAO from voids in observations, as presented in a companion paper (Kitaura et al.). This work demonstrates that the clustering analysis of galaxy redshift surveys can be straightforwardly enriched by including voids.
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