Reuse of degraded Pleurotus ostreatus (Jacq.) P. Kumm. substrate by supplementation with wheat bran. Quantitative parameters
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ABSTRACT
This research work was conducted in order to investigate the agronomic feasibility of Pleurotus ostreatus by reusing spent substrates previously in crops of the same mushroom. After the physical and chemical characterization of the substrates, we have evaluated quantitative production parameters in one growing season. As base material, the experiment was arranged in wheat straw (WS) and spent Pleurotus substrate (SPS) to generate prepared substrates with the participation of the same, alone, and mixed in different proportions with wheat bran (WB). Unsupplemented SPS, supplemented SPS with 600 g of WB, mixture of WS + unsupplemented SPS, and mixture of WS + supplemented SPS with 600 g of WB, are prepared substrates with biological efficiencies (BE) ranging between 41 and 66% and an excellent unit weight of sporophores harvested. All correlations established among the germination index (GI), earliness (expressed as days to first harvest), yield components and BE were significant and positive correlation coefficients expressed.
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1. Introduction
The commercial production of mushrooms of the genus Pleurotus is along with other species of edible mushroom (A. bisporus, and L. edodes), a modern and unique economic activity within the field of agronomy, with a remarkable presence both in Spain and around the world. Approximately, 13,500 t of this fungus is produced in Castilla – La Mancha (67 % of the national total) (Pardo et al. 2009). The mushroom growing sector in Spain generates about $5 \times 10^5$ t of spent compost, while the EU, as a whole, produces more than $3.5 \times 10^6$ t (Pardo et al. 2009; Picornell et al. 2010). This lignocellulosic material called mushroom spent substrate, can be used in various fields of agriculture (animal feed (Zadražil 1980), amendments (Tajbakhsh et al. 2008), substrates of nurseries, nurseries, (Medina et al. 2009)), bioremediation (Faraco et al. 2009), aquaculture, vermiculture, and biofuel (Pathak et al. 2009), but these uses are not enough to take advantage of the high volume generated annually, which accumulates in collection centres located in production areas of Spain. These spent substrates are potential contaminants, not to mention, a waste of energy. Bisaria et al. (1997) emphasize the importance of protein supplementation in substrates with low-nitrogen content, in an organic or mineral way, but in small amounts, being as excess nitrogen can also reduce the degradability of the substrate, adversely interfering with production and biological efficiency. Shin et al. (1997), and Chang and Miles (2004) report that, probably, supplementation with wheat bran (WB) is important to supply the needs of vitamins and other growth factors in the nutrition of different species of fungi Basidiomata.

The aim of this work is the quantitative agronomic evaluation of spent Pleurotus substrate (SPS), and its mixture with wheat straw (WS) in different proportions, such as lignocellulosic sources in new growing cycles of P. ostreatus, and unsupplemented and supplemented with different doses of WB. The use of the remaining spent mushroom substrate after the cultivation of P. ostreatus in new production cycles would be an agronomically viable alternative to using WS partially, which is currently virtually used as a base material exclusively (even more so if you consider the economic problems associated with the use of
this cereal farmer’s by-product and the high-market price of WS, especially in drought years). SPS is considered to be environmentally unfriendly, undesirable, and presents a solid waste disposal problem for mushroom growers. If SPS could be easily available at a low cost it could be integrated into new formulations and methodologies, diminish the environmental impact of the waste produced during mushroom cultivation, limit the grower’s dependence on straw, and decrease the environmental impact of its overgrowing accumulation.

2. Methods

2.1. Analytical methods used for the characterization of materials

The characterization of raw materials and processed substrates was measured according to following parameters: moisture and ash (MAPA 1994), pH and organic matter (Ansorena 1994), total nitrogen (TECATOR 1987; MAPA 1994), C/N ratio, crude fibre (ANKOM 2008), crude fat (ANKOM 2009), nitrogen-free extractives (NFE) (González et al. 1987), cellulose, and neutral detergent-soluble (NDS) (ANKOM 2005, 2006a, 2006b). Furthermore the exploration of mites (Krantz 1986) and nematodes (Nombel and Bello 1983) was performed.

2.2. Growth cycle management

The experimental followed a Balanced Factorial Plan Design 3 × 4, and included 6 replicates for each treatment (randomized block factorial two factors). Source materials used in the preparation of the substrates were SPS remaining after the cultivation of P. ostreatus, and the combination of WS with unsupplemented and supplemented SPS with a dose of 600 g, 1.200 g, and 1.800 g of WB. As the control treatment, further two commercial substrates from different locations, we used the WS, unsupplemented and supplemented SPS with the same doses of WB. According to the experimental design, 12 differentiated treatments were generated in the process, moreover of the two corresponding reference commercial substrates. All treatments added CaSO₄ at 50 g/kg to base material. CaCO₃ was not added to the four base substrates made up by WS alone, but varying amounts of CaCO₃ were added to the remaining treatments, depending on the amount of SPS used (20 g/kg of SPS). CaCO₃ or gypsum was not added to the commercial substrates (Table 1).

The first step in the preparation of the tested substrates consisted in chopping and presoaking the WB; later, materials were mixed and the moisture content was adjusted. After these stages in the process, we proceeded to a pasteurizing heat treatment (60–65 °C, 8 h) and progressively decreased to a “seeding” temperature (25 °C) for at least 15 h. Finally, we performed supplementation, “seeding” (dose 30 g/kg mycelium Gurelan H-107) and handmade bagging in Center for Research, Experimentation and Mushroom Services (CIES) pilot plant.

All substrates were packed into transparent polyethylene bags of 29 cm in diameter and a height ranging from 25 to 35 cm, according to the type of substrate, sheltering 6.5 kg approximate of weight. Four holes 2.2 cm in diameter were uniformly drilled over the side surface the bags.

2.3. Driving and monitoring of the crop cycle

The total research time was 80 days. The experiment was carried out at the CIES, located in the town of Quintanar del Rey (Cuenca, Spain) in an experimental greenhouse controlled for temperature, substrate temperature, relative humidity, and carbon dioxide concentration and followed the recommended ranges.

Table 1. Treatments tested (g/bag) in the experiment.

| Treatment | WS | SPS | WB | Gypsum | CaCO₃ |
|-----------|----|-----|----|--------|-------|
| T1        | 6.000 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 |
| T2        | 5.400 | 0 | 600 | 300 | 0 |
| T3        | 4.800 | 0 | 1.200 | 300 | 0 |
| T4        | 4.200 | 0 | 1.800 | 300 | 0 |
| T5        | 3.000 | 3.000 | 0 | 300 | 60 |
| T6        | 2.700 | 2.700 | 600 | 300 | 54 |
| T7        | 2.400 | 2.400 | 1.200 | 300 | 48 |
| T8        | 2.100 | 2.100 | 1.800 | 300 | 42 |
| T9        | 0 | 6.000 | 0 | 300 | 120 |
| T10       | 0 | 5.400 | 600 | 300 | 108 |
| T11       | 0 | 4.800 | 1.200 | 300 | 96 |
| T12       | 0 | 4.200 | 1.800 | 300 | 84 |
| T13       | Commercially controlled based substrates (A) (6.5 kg/bag) |
| T14       | Commercially controlled based substrates (B) (6.5 kg/bag) |
for the variety of selected mycelium and in each stage of development (CIES 2007). Spawned substrates were incubated for 17 days without external ventilation and lighting. During the incubation period, the relative humidity inside the experimental greenhouse ranged between 81 and 96%, while the substrate temperature ranged between 24 and 32 °C, and the room temperature, between 21 and 28 °C. After that, we proceeded to allow the development of fruit bodies by ventilation (to keep CO₂ levels controlled between 0.14 and 0.10%), reduction of the greenhouse temperature (25–16 °C) and the substrate temperature (23–13 °C) and relative humidity (96–93%) and artificial lighting. These values are close to the microclimatic conditions recommended by other researchers (Pardo et al. 2005b, 2007; García Rollán 2007; Gregori et al. 2008; López-Rodríguez et al. 2008; Gea et al. 2009; Kurt and Buyukalaca 2010).

2.4. Evaluation of quantitative parameters

Depending on the level of spawn run time of the substrate by the mycelium and tested contaminations, we established a parameter designated as germination index (GI), on a scale from 0 (no invasion) to 5 (full invasion). Mushrooms were harvested daily at their optimal commercial development. The quantity of “cones” and mushrooms harvested were determined by counting throughout the whole mushroom growth cycle; it was defined as a group of fruit bodies that simultaneously fruited from the same drilled hole in the substrate bag. To evaluate the yield of mushrooms produced daily, each bag was weighed to the nearest gram. The estimated net yield was carried out by weighing the fruit bodies after cutting the unmarketable stipe and calculating the percentage of shrinkage resulting from this operation. Once fruiting occurred, the biological efficiencies (BE) was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the fresh weight of the harvest over the dry weight of the substrate used; the BE was established from the yield provided by each packet, taking into account the charge density of the substrate in the bags and their moisture content. The unit weight of mushrooms (gross and net), expressed in g, was determined from the yields obtained and the quantity of sporophores harvested.

The earliness was established as the time in days since the “seeding” the substrate to the first flush harvested (weighing the daily relative production of the substrate). A flush corresponds to each production cycle that is repeated in rhythm during the harvest. Similarly, we performed a second estimate of earliness considering the total harvest.

Fruiting degree was defined as the ratio between the quantity of cones produced and the quantity of holes made in the bags.

2.5. Statistical analysis

To carry out the statistical analysis, we used two software packages: Statgraphics® Plus version 5.1 (Statistical Graphics Corp. 2001), and SPSS® (SPSS 2004). The techniques we employed were descriptive statistics, principal component analysis, variance analysis, and correlation and regression method to evaluate the data.

Differences of p < 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Analytical characterization of source materials employees and prepared substrates

The chemical characteristics results of the different source materials, substrates made, and commercially controlled-based substrates are shown in Table 2. According to the results, a higher content of total nitrogen, ash, NFE, and cellulose with a lower crude fibre content and C/N ratio are present in SPS than WS. Meanwhile, WB has a high-total nitrogen content and low-moisture content, ash, crude fibre, and low-C/N ratio. pH values (between 7.11 and 8.36) and moisture content values (between 704 and 743 g/kg) of the substrates tested are within the range normally used in commercial crops.

Sánchez (2001) recommended pH values higher than 7 in order to reduce the incidence of Trichoderma spp. and other contaminants. This author shows how moisture contents below 500 g/kg and humidity above 800 g/kg will have a negative effect on the growth of Pleurotus spp. In this research within the same group of source materials (WS, WS + SPS and SPS), with increasing doses of WB, it increases the total content of nitrogen, protein, and crude fat, while it decreases C/N ratio and cellulose content.
The substrates prepared from WS and WB dose of 1.200 g and 1.800 g (T3 and T4) have higher total nitrogen, protein, and ash content than commercial substrates (T13 and T14). The same situation is shown using as base material WS + SPS and SPS. Ash content was higher than all substrates shown by commercial control, mainly due to the presence of calcium sulphate in the mixtures. The high-nitrogen content in WB (23.9 g/kg) causes the C/N ratio to decrease significantly to increase their share of the substrates, resulting in lower commercial control of all substrates supplemented. Crude fibre and cellulose content of the tested substrates were also inferior in all cases, which showed the commercial control as a consequence of lower organic matter content.

### Table 2. Elaborate physicochemical characterization of source materials and substrates used.

| Substrate made | Base materials | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 | T14 | Average | CV (%) | Moisture | Total nitrogen | Protein | Ash | Organic matter | C/N ratio | Crude fibre | Crude fat | NFE | Cellulose | NDS |
|----------------|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|--------|----------|----------------|----------|-----|----------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|
| WS 5.85       | 685           | 3.5| 21.9| 76.0| 924.0| 153.1| 391.4| 6.9 | 503.8| 407.8| 156.8|
| SPS 5.50      | 689           | 4.8| 30.0| 89.0| 911.0| 110.1| 190.2| 6.6 | 684.2| 453.1| 166.0|
| WB 6.64       | 112           | 23.9|149.4| 61.8| 938.2| 22.8| 137.3| 30.0| 621.5| 134.1| 353.8|
| T6 8.09       | 733           | 7.3| 45.3| 226.3| 773.8| 61.9| 334.2| 10.4| 383.8| 295.0| 165.6|
| T7 8.36       | 743           | 11.6|72.6| 241.1| 758.9| 37.9| 306.6| 13.8| 365.9| 262.8| 183.7|
| T8 7.11       | 714           | 16.4|102.4| 218.9| 781.1| 27.7| 261.4| 16.5| 400.9| 225.4| 230.4|
| T9 7.37       | 711           | 4.7| 29.6| 247.3| 752.7| 92.2| 322.0| 5.5 | 395.6| 299.1| 186.1|
| T10 8.16      | 725           | 8.0| 49.7| 282.5| 717.5| 52.3| 301.4| 10.2| 356.3| 289.4| 166.1|
| T11 8.28      | 739           | 11.2|70.2| 230.6| 769.4| 39.7| 287.3| 13.6| 398.3| 270.1| 200.1|
| T12 8.03      | 713           | 13.7|85.7| 268.7| 731.4| 30.9| 264.9| 16.2| 364.6| 227.6| 210.5|
| T13 7.19      | 704           | 4.0| 25.0| 281.6| 718.4| 104.2| 320.0| 5.3 | 368.2| 309.0| 147.8|
| T14 8.71      | 718           | 7.8| 48.6| 234.5| 765.6| 57.0| 322.6| 9.9 | 384.4| 317.9| 167.9|
| Average       | 7.90          | 720.21|9.1| 56.9| 222.6| 777.4| 59.9| 321.5| 11.7| 387.4| 293.6| 182.4|

| CV (%)        | 5.17          | 1.73          | 41.2   | 41.3   | 28.7   | 8.2   | 50.0   | 16.3   | 33.7   | 6.3   | 16.9   | 12.7  |

The substrates prepared from WS and WB dose of 1.200 g and 1.800 g (T3 and T4) have higher total nitrogen, protein, and ash content than commercial substrates (T13 and T14). The same situation is shown using as base material WS + SPS and SPS. Ash content was higher than all substrates shown by commercial control, mainly due to the presence of calcium sulphate in the mixtures. The high-nitrogen content in WB (23.9 g/kg) causes the C/N ratio to decrease significantly to increase their share of the substrates, resulting in lower commercial control of all substrates supplemented. Crude fibre and cellulose content of the tested substrates were also inferior in all cases, which showed the commercial control as a consequence of lower organic matter content.

### 3.2. Principal component analysis

This subheading, proceeds to the presentation of the results obtained with the application of multivariate statistical technique of principal component analysis (PCA), according to the physical-chemical characterization of substrates made (Table 3). Total nitrogen content is negatively correlated with C/N ratio, crude fibre, and cellulose content, but it is positively correlated with crude fat content and NDS values. C/N ratio is negatively correlated with crude fat content and total nitrogen, as well as NDS values, but positively to the cellulose content. Crude fat content is significantly negatively correlated with C/N ratio and positively with the total nitrogen content.

### Table 3. Correlation matrix.

| pH | Moisture | Total nitrogen | Protein | Ash | Organic matter | C/N ratio | Crude fibre | Crude fat | NFE | Cellulose | NDS |
|----|----------|----------------|---------|-----|----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|
| 1.00 | 0.608* | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Moisture | 0.198 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen | 0.227 | 0.101 | 1.000 | | | | | | | | |
| Ash | −0.068 | −0.211 | 0.101 | 1.000 | | | | | | | |
| C/N ratio | −0.453 | −0.332 | −0.910*** | −0.149 | 1.000 | | | | | | |
| Crude fibre | 0.056 | 0.151 | −0.544** | −0.852** | 0.489 | 1.000 | | | | | |
| Crude fat | 0.442 | 0.297 | 0.925*** | −0.179 | −0.891*** | −0.245 | 1.000 | | | | |
| NFE | −0.234 | −0.011 | 0.026 | −0.847*** | 0.359 | 0.664* | −0.056 | 1.000 | | | |
| Cellulose | −0.005 | 0.035 | −0.662 | −0.764** | 0.564* | 0.960*** | −0.387 | 0.638* | 1.000 | | |
| NDS | 0.001 | 0.083 | 0.885*** | 0.061 | −0.725** | −0.518* | 0.770** | −0.023 | −0.641* | 1.000 | |

NFE, nitrogen free extractives; NDS, neutral detergent-soluble; Nitrogen, total nitrogen. g/kg dry matter, except pH, moisture (over fresh matter) and C/N ratio.

Absolute value of the correlation coefficient between 0.50 and 0.69 (*), from 0.70 to 0.84 (**) or equal to or greater than 0.85 (**).
Additionally, it is also positively correlated to the values of the NDS, with a lower degree of significance. While correlations of cellulose content to total nitrogen, ash content, NDS, and NFE values are negative, correlations of cellulose content with C/N ratio and crude fibre content of substrates are positive.

According to the results, there are three main factors that explain the total variance of the experiment: Factor 1, makes it a 43.03%; Factor 2, does so in a 29.62%; and Factor 3, 13.44%, assuming between the three, a cumulative variance of 86.09% (Table 4).

In this research Table 5 shows "Rotated Component Matrix" for analytical parameters and experiment factors. In Factor 1, the highest value of the load factor is provided, with a negative sign of the ash content of the substrates tested; this variable for its load factor is followed by: NFE values and crude fibre and cellulose contents. In Factor 2, the total nitrogen content followed by NDS values show higher values of load factor with a positive sign; which are followed by crude fat content (positive sign), C/N ratio (negative sign) and cellulose content (negative sign). Factor 3 is defined by the pH and moisture content of the substrates tested with high-load coefficient values and positive signs.

### 3.3. Germination index, descriptive statistics and analysis of variance

This study shows in Table 6, the results obtained for the GI of evaluated substrates. Of the 14 different treatments that were generated with various combinations (including commercial substrates), in T8, the mycelium did not develop due to difficulties in germination, with the consequent absence of the production of mushrooms. For the rest of treatments, the greatest inhibition of mycelial growth occurred in the substrates that were supplemented with high doses of WB. The treatments assayed with the highest nitrogen content show a lower GI, including in, treatment T11 (Tables 2 and 6); these substrates have a higher content of protein, crude fat, and NDS, and also the lowest C/N ratio, and crude fibre and cellulose content.

The pH of the substrates made is purely basic (pH = 7.11–8.36) (Table 2), which exceeds the desired optimum range for the development of *P. ostreatus*.

### Table 4. Total variance explained by each factor.

| Factor | Total % variance | % cumulated variance |
|--------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1      | 5.16            | 43.03               |
| 2      | 3.55            | 29.62               |
| 3      | 1.61            | 13.44               |
| 4      | 0.99            | 8.22                |
| 5      | 0.34            | 2.81                |
| 6      | 0.19            | 1.61                |
| 7      | 0.10            | 0.87                |
| 8      | 0.04            | 0.31                |
| 9      | 0.01            | 0.06                |
| 10     | 0.002           | 0.03                |
| 11     | 0.00            | 0.00                |
| 12     | 0.00            | 0.00                |

### Table 5. Rotated component matrix for analytical parameters and factors.

| Analytical parameter | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| pH                   | −0.010   | 0.095    | 0.919*** |
| Moisture             | 0.157    | 0.163    | 0.754**  |
| Total nitrogen       | −0.210   | 0.946*** | 0.177    |
| Ash                  | −0.990***| −0.090   | −0.083   |
| C/N ratio            | 0.276    | −0.778** | −0.459   |
| Crude fibre          | 0.885*** | −0.415   | 0.140    |
| Crude fat            | 0.057    | 0.881*** | 0.386    |
| NFE                  | 0.886*** | 0.077    | −0.316   |
| Cellulose            | 0.806**  | −0.557*  | 0.078    |
| NDS                  | −0.150   | 0.932*** | −0.085   |

NFE, nitrogen free extractives; NDS, neutral detergent-soluble.

Extent of participation, in absolute value, between 0.50 and 0.69 (*), between 0.70 and 0.84 (**), or equal to or greater than 0.85 (***)

Table 6. ANOVA of substrate germination index.

| Substrate | Germination index |
|-----------|-------------------|
| T1        | 3.79bc            |
| T2        | 4.38ab            |
| T3        | 2.38d             |
| T4        | 0.63e             |
| T5        | 4.83a             |
| T6        | 4.38ab            |
| T7        | 3.46c             |
| T9        | 4.88a             |
| T10       | 4.88a             |
| T11       | 4.29ab            |
| T12       | 1.58d             |
| T13       | 4.54ab            |
| T14       | 4.54ab            |
| T15       | 4.54ab            |

FS, wheat straw; SPS, spent *Pleurotus ostreatus* (Jacq.) P. Kumm. substrate; WB, wheat bran; T, treatment; T1, WS 6.000 g; T2, WS 5.400 g + WB 600 g; T3, WS 4.800 g + WB 1.200 g; T4, WS 4.200 g + WB 1.800 g; T5, WS 3.000 g + SPS 3.000 g + CaCO3 60 g; T6, WS 2.700 g + SPS 2.700 g + WB 600 g + CaCO3 54 g; T7, WS 2.400 g + SPS 2.400 g + WB 1.200 g + CaCO3 48 g; T8, WS 2.100 g + SPS 2.100 g + WB 1.800 g + CaCO3 42 g; T9, WS 6.000 g + CaCO3 120 g; T10, SPS 5.400 g + WB 600 g + CaCO3 108 g; T11, SPS 4.800 g + WB 1.200 g + CaCO3 96 g; T12, SPS 4.200 g + WB 1.800 g + CaCO3 84 g; T13, commercially controlled based substrates (Quintanar del Rey); T14, commercially controlled based substrates (Villamalea).

*** P-value <0.001. For each column, values followed by different letters are significantly different from each other (p = 0.05, Tukey-HSD).
(pH = 5.8 to pH = 6.5) (García Rollán 2007). These values might explain that substrates tested with a higher GI have not had a total colonization.

The application of high doses of WB means an important change in the texture of the substrate, increasing the bulk density and compacting the material, so that it impedes the diffusion of oxygen, leading to the growth and development of the mycelium, which would explain the low-GI values observed.

**3.4. Quantitative production parameters, descriptive statistics, and analysis of variance**

The most noteworthy aspects according to quantitative production parameters are presented in Table 7. The duration of the commercial crop cycle was 80 days, of which 17 days were for the incubation period, except for the substrates made with high doses of WB (T2 to T4, T7, and T12), where this period was shortened. There has been a shortening of the days since the “seeding” until the appearance of the first primordia and until the total induction in treatments that have obtained the lowest GI. This commercial crop cycle stage of edible fungus is highly dependent on environmental conditions and their effects on the temperatures of substrates, of formulations thereof, and their physical and chemical characteristics; the amount of substrate available, the inoculation rate, the distribution of spawn of different species of edible fungi, *Pleurotus*, and finally, types of mycelium or strains (Philippoussis et al. 2001, 2003; Ozcelik and Peksen 2007; Garzón and Cuervo 2008; López-Rodríguez et al. 2008; Hassan et al. 2010), although up to 42 days in *P. ostreatus* (Garzón and Cuervo 2008), 60 days in *P. eryngii*, and 74 days (without induction period) in *V. volvacea* (Philippoussis et al. 2001).

According to the results, as you increase the dose of WB, the gross values or net yield is reduced. Treatments corresponding to the highest doses of bran produced the lowest gross yields, while treatments to commercial substrates produced the highest gross yield. All substrates unsupplemented and supplemented with doses of 600 g of bran, provided higher gross yields. Supplementation did not improve production in any way (except SPS + 600 g bran).

In this study, the best fruiting rates are obtained in unsupplemented treatments with WB and supplemented with 600 g of WB and SPS supplemented with 1,200 g. Supplementation increases with decreasing the rate of fruition. Gea et al. (2009), worked with *P. ostreatus* grown on specific substrates for this mushroom cultivation, supplemented with commercial protein products. This research offered fruiting index values between 1.21 and 1.57 cones/substrate, between 50.2 and 58.4 cones/substrate, and between 18.4 and 46.5 g/bag, respectively. These values might explain that substrates tested with a lower GI have not had a total colonization.

**Table 7. ANOVA of the quantitative parameters of the experiment.**

| Substrate | 1st flush “seeding” | Total “seeding” | Gross yield (g/bag) | Earliness (days) | Fruiting index | Number cones/hole | Number mushrooms/bag | UW | BE |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|----------------------|----|----|
| T1        | 37.0                | 47.9           | 915.8               | 1.2ab           | 35.5bc        | 26.4ab           | 49.0de               |
| T2        | 37.3                | 48.7           | 328.7f              | 0.7cde          | 16.3def       | 16.2b            | 18.9fg               |
| T3        | 32.5                | 32.5b          | 53.5fg              | 0.1ef           | 2.7f          | 17.5b            | 3.3gh                |
| T4        | 14.7                | 14.7c          | 11.0g               | 0.27            | 0.2f          | 11.0b            | 0.6h                 |
| T5        | 34.0                | 47.0a          | 1217.5b             | 1.2abc          | 32.3bcd       | 38.9a            | 65.5c                |
| T6        | 38.4                | 53.0a          | 725.0d              | 1.2abc          | 33.3bcd       | 23.0ab           | 40.6e                |
| T7        | 39.8                | 46.5a          | 235.5fkg            | 0.4def          | 11.2ef        | 25.2ab           | 13.8fg               |
| T9        | 37.0                | 50.2a          | 933.7cd             | 1.3ab           | 33.0bcd       | 28.9ab           | 48.4de               |
| T10       | 36.7                | 48.8a          | 1155.0b             | 1.6a            | 43.5b         | 27.5ab           | 63.1cd               |
| T11       | 42.8                | 52.9a          | 395.0e              | 0.8bcd          | 21.5cde       | 18.4b            | 21.4f                |
| T12       | 10.8                | 10.8c          | 4.2g                | 0.0f            | 0.5f          | 1.4c             | 0.2h                 |
| T13       | 32.2                | 41.6ab         | 1990.8g             | 1.6a            | 84.2a         | 24.6ab           | 115.5a               |
| T14       | 29.1                | 39.3ab         | 1778.2a             | 1.6a            | 71.2a         | 25.6ab           | 94.8b                |
| Average   | 32.5                | 41.1           | 749.5               | 0.9             | 29.6          | 21.7             | 41.2                 |
| Fisher F  | 1.7                 | 3.3            | 134.2               | 26.6            | 45.1          | 3.5              | 124.8                |

**Notes:**
- **WS**, wheat straw; **SPS**, spent *Pleurotus ostreatus* (Jacq.) P. Kumm. substrate; **WB**, wheat bran; **T**, treatment; **T1**, WS 6.000 g + T; **T2**, WS 5.400 g + WB 600 g; **T3**, WS 4.800 g + WB 1.200 g; **T4**, WS 4.200 g + WB 1.800 g; **T5**, WS 3.000 g + SPS 3.000 g + CaCO 60 g; **T6**, WS 2.700 g + SPS 2.700 g + WB 600 g + CaCO 54 g; **T7**, WS 2.400 g + SPS 2.400 g + WB 1.200 g + CaCO 48 g; **T8**, WS 2.100 g + SPS 2.100 g + WB 1.800 g + CaCO 42 g; **T9**, SPS 6.000 g + CaCO 120 g; **T10**, SPS 5.400 g + WB 600 g + CaCO 108 g; **T11**, SPS 4.800 g + WB 1.200 g + CaCO 96 g; **T12**, SPS 4.200 g + WB 1.800 g + CaCO 84 g; **T13**, commercially controlled based substrates (Quintanar del Rey); **T14**, commercially controlled based substrates (Villamalea); **UW**, unit weight of uncut mushrooms (g); **BE** biological efficiency (kg/100 kg of dry substrate); **S_F** significance level Fisher.
- **ns**, no significant difference, **p > 0.05; ***P-value <0.001. For each column, values followed by different letters are significantly different from each other (**p = 0.05, Tukey-HSD**).
hole, much higher than values obtained by Pardo et al. (2005b), which ranged from 0.03 cones/hole (grape stalk + “alperujo” 1:1 (v/v)) and 0.75 cones/hole (grape stalk + straw 1:1 (v/v)), including 0.39 cones/hole (pasteurization and thermophilic conditions) and 0.53 cone/hole (benomyl dip and pasteurization), and from 0.43 cones/hole (bag of 5 kg) to 0.50 cones/hole (bag of 15 kg). These same researchers (Pardo et al. 2007) grew P. ostreatus on substrates of a varied lignocellulosic nature (cereal straw, kenaf, vine shoot, and “alperujo”) that were subjected to various treatments (pasteurization and thermophilic conditions, moisturizing with benomyl and pasteurization and fermentation semi-anaerobic); they reached values between 0.87 cones/hole, for the combination of straw and “alperujo” 1:1 (v/v) and 1.35 cones/hole for mixing straw and kenaf, and between 0.70 cones/hole, with pasteurization and thermophilic conditions and 1.52 cones/hole in semi-anaerobically fermented substrates. Varnero et al. (2010), with P. ostreatus cultivated on WS substrates, eucalyptus chips, slivers of poplar, and mixture of WS and eucalyptus chips; they came to achieve in 1 kg of substrate, a cluster number that ranged from 2.8 (poplar chips) to 5.2 (WS).

The largest quantity of mushrooms was achieved in commercial substrates. SPS achieved better yield compared to WS but not compared to commercial substrates. No supplementation is enhanced by supplementation of 600 g in WS + SPS and SPS. Varnero et al. (2010) in 1 kg of substrate achieved from 3.4 carpophores only (poplar chips) to 18.2 fruiting bodies of the oyster mushroom. Pardo et al. (2005a) show values ranging from 42 mushrooms/pack of 15 kg to 80 mushrooms/pack of 15 kg, depending on type of mycelium, substrates nature, and treatment of substrates to which they are subjected.

In the respective groups, there were no significant differences in unit weight from a statistical point of view between treatments. Neither supplementation nor the dose was affected; there is a statistically insignificant trend in weight reduction with doses of 1.200 g–1.800 g of WB. Commercial substrates have been overtaken by unsupplemented substrates. Also, there is a certain trade-off between the quantity of mushrooms harvested and the average unit weight. In the study by Pardo et al. (2005a), the average unit weight of fruit bodies was very similar to those obtained in this experiment: between 20.50 and 32.70 g according to nature of substrate, the treatment to which they are subjected to, and the type of mycelium. In a later study, Pardo et al. (2005b) had values between 22 g (grape stalk and cereal straw) and 67 g (grape stalk and “alperujo”); from 29 g (benomyl dip and pasteurization) to 42 g (pasteurization and thermophilic conditions); and between 33 g (bag of 5 kg) and 38 g (15 kg bag). The same researchers (Pardo et al. 2007), in another experiment, depending on substrate tested, reached average unit weight values of the fruit body that ranged from 14.6 g (cereal straw + wine shoot, moisturizing with benomyl and pasteurization) to 25.9 g (cereal straw + “alperujo”, fermentation semi-anaerobic). In another study in Castilla – La Mancha, Gea et al. (2009), they reached figures ranging from 12.41 g (protein supplement) to 14.51 g (unsupplemented control treatment). Varnero et al. (2010) tested eucalyptus and poplar chips as a substrate, and found that they are detrimental to yield components (4.2 and 8.7 g, respectively) compared to WS substrate where the mushrooms come to have an average unit weight of 18.10 g; combining straw and eucalyptus chips remained in intermediate positions, with mushrooms weighing 9.80 g.

Rodriguez Barreal (1987), and Benavides and Herrera (2009) set a standard of BE (50%) below which they don’t recommend growing oyster mushroom commercially; if this value is considered, it could only include: commercial substrates, unsupplemented substrates made of each group of reference, and substrates based on WS + SPS and SPS, both supplemented with 600 g of bran. Except reference commercial substrates, the best results of BE refers to dry substrate in this experiment ranged from 40.6 (T6) to 65.5% (T5); with an increased dose of WB that was accompanied by a decreased BE. Working with P. ostreatus and using WS as a substrate, they got BEs similar to this experiment: Upadhyay and Vijay (1991) (65%) and Vogel and Salmones (2000) (64.5 %), when they supplemented with rice bran and flour soy + calcium sulphate, respectively. In research conducted with P. eryngii on rice straw, covered by a housing obtained BEs of 47% (Peng 1996). Shan et al. (2004) and Hami (2005), in research based on P. ostreatus and using oak sawdust as substrate obtained BE of 64.7 and 69.9 %, respectively. Working with P. eryngii, Gaitán-Hernández (2005) using as substrate barley straw and oak wood dust as a supplement, achieved
BE of 58%. Tisdale et al. (2006) studied different types of waste for *P. ostreatus* in Hawaii and formulated the substrate as wood chips of different exotic species supplemented with WB. In their study, they obtained BEs between 44.2 and 78.5%. Gregori et al. (2008) achieved BEs of 51% on *P. ostreatus* substrates based on 20% of WB, 10% for waste grain for beer, and 2% of CaCO₃. These results contradict those reported by Wang et al. (2001) who obtained the highest yields in substrates composed of 45% of WB and 55% of waste grain for beer. With oyster mushrooms, López-Rodríguez et al. (2008) obtained BEs in different agro-industrial residues: calyx of *Physalis* (76.1%), oak sawdust (70%), shell peas (68.6%), and cob cobs (57.8%). Gea et al. (2009) working on specific substrates for growing *P. ostreatus* added supplements prepared from denatured soy flour and other organic protein sources, and reached BEs of 70.6%. With the same species, Naraian et al. (2009) advise to use corn cob substrates with chemical supplements (urea and ammonium sulphate) and biological supplements (chickpea flour, soybean meal, groundnut cake, mustard cake, cottonseed cake, and molasses) at low concentrations (0.5 and 2%) to improve BE. Fanadzo et al. (2010) evaluated the BE with various substrates (WS, corn stover and *H. filipendula* and supplements (corn bran and cottonseed) in *P. sajor-caju* and *P. ostreatus*, showing that WS obtained higher BEs (71%) than with corn stover (40%) and *H. filipendula* (35.4%) in the species *P. sajor-caju*. However, corn stover (97%) was most suitable for *P. ostreatus* than WS (45.6%), although the cotton seed supplementation (25%) improved BE in the cultivation of *P. ostreatus* using WS (70.4%). This experiment also showed that supplemented corn bran is not recommended for an increased BE. Also, BEs similar to those in this experiment, but tested on *P. eryngii*, were obtained by Hassan et al. (2010), highlighting the substrate with sawdust (65.2%), while the sugar cane bagasse reached lower BEs (45.7%). These results are consistent with those obtained by Akyuz and Yildiz (2007) (50–73%). Also, Kirbag and Akyuz (2008a) increased their BEs from 48 to 85% when WS was added to millet straw + 10% rice bran, and Kirbag and Akyuz (2008b) from 48.6 to 77.2% when the mixture of WS and cotton was supplemented with 20% of rice bran.

### 3.5. Correlation matrix and “step by step” regression models

According to the results, Table 8 presents the correlation matrix between GI, earliness, and quantitative production parameters, and physicochemical characteristics of the prepared substrates. GI, earliness, yield components, and BE have a significant negative correlation to the total nitrogen content, fat, and NDS.

#### Table 8. Correlation matrix between germination index, earliness, and production of quantitative parameters, and physicochemical characteristics.

|                        | Germination index | 1st flush “seeding” | Total “seeding” | Total quantity of mushrooms | UW | BE |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----|----|
| pH                     | 0.010            | 0.255               | 0.115           | -0.254                      | -0.352 | -0.394 |
| **Nitrogen**           | -0.838***        | -0.617**            | -0.737***       | -0.827***                   | -0.749** | -0.836*** |
| **Ash**                | 0.340            | 0.185               | 0.245           | 0.181                       | 0.079 | 0.131 |
| **C/N ratio**          | 0.560            | 0.382               | 0.495           | 0.686*                      | 0.653* | 0.725** |
| **Crude fiber**        | 0.690*           | 0.593               | 0.653*          | 0.697*                      | 0.584 | 0.679* |
| **Crude fat**          | -0.781***        | -0.552              | -0.683**        | -0.830**                    | -0.825** | -0.877*** |
| **NFE**                | -0.188           | 0.005               | 0.020           | 0.002                       | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| **Cellulose**          | 0.852***         | 0.664*              | 0.767**         | 0.898***                    | 0.726** | 0.864*** |
| **NDS**                | -0.826**         | -0.729**            | -0.794**        | -0.771**                    | -0.536 | -0.659* |

UW, unit weight of uncut mushrooms (g); BE, biological efficiency (kg/100 kg of dry substrate); Nitrogen, total nitrogen; NFE, nitrogen free extractives; NDS, neutral detergent-soluble; 1, g/kg dry matter.

Results in parentheses indicate statistical significance. No significant (p > 0.05) (non *); significant at 95% (0.01 < p ≤ 0.05) (*); significant at 99% (0.001 < p ≤ 0.01) (**); 99.9% significant (p ≤ 0.001) (***).
values, and a positive correlation to the cellulose contents and crude fibre of the substrates.

In the analysis of correlation matrix between GI, earliness, yield components, and BE (Table 9), the existence of significant positively correlated coefficients among them is apparent.

Table 10 shows the “step by step” regression analysis to the physical–chemical properties of substrates, GI, earliness, and quantitative production parameters of the current experiment. Cellulose (positive coefficient) is determined to account for variability in GI and in the quantity of mushrooms. Crude fat content negatively affected the average unit weight and BE. A high-cellulose content of the substrates is necessary for a high GI.

Consequently, these formulation based composts degraded by the growth of P. ostreatus, could be a low-cost substrate with selective and balanced nutrients for growth and development of oyster mushrooms.

4. Conclusions

Unsupplemented SPS, supplemented SPS with 600 g of WB, mixture of WS + unsupplemented SPS, and mixture of WS + supplemented SPS with 600 g of WB, are developed substrates with BE ranging between 41 and 66% with high-average unit weights of carpophores. All correlations established between GI, earliness, yield components, and BE are significant and indicate positive correlation coefficients. Consequently, these gradient based composts for P. ostreatus cultivation formulations could be a low-cost substrate with selective and balanced nutrients for the growth and development of oyster mushrooms.

Table 9. Correlation matrix between the rate of germination, earliness, yield components, and biological efficiency.

| Explained variable | Independent variable | Equation | R² corrected | SE |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|----|
| GI                 | PCC                  | GI = −7.821*** + 0.040*** · cellulose | 69.60*** | 0.79801 |
| P2                 | PCC + GI             | P2 = 11.370* + 5.980** · GI | 66.90** | 5.94030 |
| Nº mushrooms       | PCC + QPP (- BE)     | Nº mushrooms = −109.230*** + 0.460*** · cellulose | 78.50*** | 7.24998 |
|                    |                      | Nº mushrooms = −75.870** + 0.803*** · cellulose | 87.10*** | 5.62906 |
| UW                 | PCC + QPP (- BE)     | UW = 43.427*** − 2.037*** · CFa | 64.60** | 6.00518 |
| BE                 | PCC                  | BE = 87.472*** − 5.298*** · CFa | 74.40*** | 12.48666 |

R², determination coefficient (%); SE, standard error of the estimate.

Physicochemical characteristics of substrate (PCC): pH (aq. 1:5, w/w), total nitrogen (g/kg, odm), ash (g/kg, odm), C/N ratio, crude fiber (CFi; g/kg, odm), crude fat (CFa; g/kg, odm), nitrogen free extractives (NFE; g/kg, odm), hemicellulose (g/kg, odm), cellulose (g/kg, odm), lignin (g/kg, odm), neutral-detergent soluble (NDS; g/kg, odm), on dry matter.

Index germination, earliness and quantitative production parameters (QPP): germination index (GI), days from inoculation to the formation of the first primordia (P2), days from inoculation to the onset of harvest (P4), n mushrooms (quantity of mushrooms), average unit weight of uncut mushrooms (UW, g), biological efficiency (BE, kg/100 kg of dry substrate).

Significant at 95% (0.01 < p ≤ 0.05) (*); significant at 99% (0.001 < p ≤ 0.01) (**); significant at 99.9% (p ≤ 0.001) (***)

Table 10. Models obtained by regressing “step by step”.

| Explained variable | Independent variable | Equation | R² corrected | SE |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|----|
| GI                 | PCC                  | GI = −7.821*** + 0.040*** · cellulose | 69.60*** | 0.79801 |
| P2                 | PCC + GI             | P2 = 11.370* + 5.980** · GI | 66.90** | 5.94030 |
| Nº mushrooms       | PCC + QPP (- BE)     | Nº mushrooms = −109.230*** + 0.460*** · cellulose | 78.50*** | 7.24998 |
|                    |                      | Nº mushrooms = −75.870** + 0.803*** · cellulose | 87.10*** | 5.62906 |
| UW                 | PCC + QPP (- BE)     | UW = 43.427*** − 2.037*** · CFa | 64.60** | 6.00518 |
| BE                 | PCC                  | BE = 87.472*** − 5.298*** · CFa | 74.40*** | 12.48666 |

R², determination coefficient (%); SE, standard error of the estimate.
Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

ORCID

Me Raquel Picornell Buendía http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4394-643X

References

Akyuz M, Yildiz A. 2007. Cultivation of *Pleurotus eryngii* (DC. ex Fr.) Quel. on agricultural wastes. Philipp Agric Sci. 90:346–350.

ANKOM. 2005. Method for determining acid detergent lignin in beakers. Macedon, NY, USA: ANKOM Technology Method AK 8/05

ANKOM. 2006a. Neutral detergent fiber in feeds. Filter bag technique. Macedon, NY, USA: ANKOM Technology Method 6.

ANKOM. 2006b. Acid detergent fiber in feeds. Filter bag technique. Macedon, NY, USA: ANKOM Technology Method 5.

ANKOM. 2008. Crude fiber analysis in feeds by filter bag technique. Macedon, NY, USA: AOCS Approved Procedure Ba 6a-05, ANKOM Technology Method 7.

ANKOM. 2009. Rapid determination of oil/fat utilizing high temperature solvent extraction. Macedon, NY, USA: ANKOM Technology Method 2, AOCS Official Procedure Am 5-04.

Ansorena J. 1994. Sustratos. Propiedades y Caracterización. Ediciones Mundi – Prensa, S. A., Madrid, España.

Benavides JS, Herrera JC. 2009. Reconocimiento de las características del género *Pleurotus* spp. y sus aplicaciones. Manizales, Colombia: Colegio Seminario Redentorista San Clemente Maria Hofbauer.

Bisaria R, Madan M, Vasudeva P. 1997. Utilization of agro-residues as animal feed through bioconversion. Bioreosour Technol. 59:5–8.

Chang ST, Miles P. 2004. Mushrooms. Cultivation, nutritional value, medicinal effect, and environmental impact. Boca Ratón, FL, USA: CRC Press.

CIES. 2007. Relación de variedades comerciales de setas *Pleurotus* y otros hongos exóticos. En: Diputación Provincial de Cuenca editor. El Champiñón en Castilla – La Mancha. Boletín informativo 25. Quintanar del Rey, Cuenca, España: Centro de Investigación, Experimentación y Servicios del Champiñón.

Fanadzo M, Zireva DT, Dube E, Mashingaidze AB. 2010. Evaluation of various substrates and supplements for biogical efficiency of *Pleurotus sajor-caju* and *Pleurotus ostreatus*. Afr J Biotechnol. 9:2.756–2.761.

Faraco V, Pezzella C, Miele A, Giardina P, Sannia G. 2009. Bio-remediation of colored industrial wastewaters by the white-rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* and *Pleurotus ostreatus* and their enzymes. Biodegradation. 20:209–220.

Gaitán-Hernández R. 2005. Evaluación *in vitro* del hongo comestible *Pleurotus eryngii*: efecto de diferentes suplementos orgánicos en el crecimiento micelial y producción de cuerpos fructíferos. Revista Mexicana de Micología. 21:77–84.

García Rollán M. 2007. Cultivo de Setas y Trufas. Quinta Edición Madrid, España: Mundi – Prensa, S. A.

Gartzón JP, Cuervo JL. 2008. Producción de *Pleurotus ostreatus* sobre residuos sólidos lignocelulósicos de diferente procedencia. Nova – Publicación Científica en Ciencias Biomédicas. 6:101–236.

Gea FJ, Martínez-Carrasco A, Navarro MJ. 2009. Efecto de la suplementación del sustrato sobre la cosecha de setas. Horticult Int. 67:32–40.

González J, Alvira P, González G. 1987. La cascarilla de arroz en la alimentacion animal. II Composición quimico-bromatológica. Revista de Agroquímica y Tecnología de Alimentos. 27:139–149.

Gregori A, Švagelj M, Pahir B, Berovč M, Pohleven F. 2008. The use of spent brewery grains for *Pleurotus ostreatus* cultivation and enzyme production. New Biotechnol. 25:157–161.

Hami H. 2005. Cultivation of oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus* spp.) on sawdust of different woods. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of plant pathology, University of agriculture. Faisalabad, Pakistán. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 21:601–607.

Hassan FRH, Medany GM, Abou Hussein SD. 2010. Cultivation on the king oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus eryngii*) in Egypt. Aust J Basic Appl Sci. 4:99–105.

Kirbag S, Akyuz M. 2008a. Effect of various agro-residues on growing periods, yield and biological efficiency of *Pleurotus eryngii*. J Food Agric Environ. 6:402–405.

Kirbag S, Akyuz M. 2008b. Evaluation of agricultural wastes for the cultivation of *Pleurotus eryngii* (DC.ex Fr.) Quel. var. *ferulae* Lanzki. Afr J Biotechnol. 7:3.660–3.664.

Krantz GW. 1986. A manual of acarology. 2nd ed. Corvallis, OR, USA: Oregon St. Univ. Book Stores, Inc.

Kurt S, Buyukalaca S. 2010. Yield performances and changes in enzyme activities of *Pleurotus* spp. (*P. ostreatus* and *P. sajor-caju*) cultivated on different agricultural wastes. Bioreosour Technol. 101:3.164–3.169.

López-Rodríguez C, Hernández-Corredor R, Suárez-Franco C, Borrero M. 2008. Evaluación del crecimiento y producción de *Pleurotus ostreatus* sobre diferentes residuos agroindustriales del Departamento de Cundinamarca. Universitas Scientiarum. 13:128–137.

MAPA. 1994. Métodos Oficiales de Análisis. Tomo III. Madrid, España: Servicio de Publicaciones del Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y Alimentación.

Medina E, Paredes C, Pérez-Murcia MD, Bustamante MA, Moral R. 2009. Spent mushroom substrates as component of growing media for germination and growth of horticultural plants. Bioreosour Technol. 100:4227–4232.

Narain R, Sahu RK, Kumar S, Garg SK, Singh CS, Kanaujia RS. 2009. Influence of different nitrogen rich supplements during cultivation of *Pleurotus florida* on corn cob substrate. Environmentalist. 29:1–7.
Nombel G, Bello A. 1983. Modificaciones al método de extracción de nematodos fitoparásitos por centrifugación en azúcar. Boletín del Servicio de Plagas. 9:183–189.
Ozcelik E, Peksen A. 2007. Hazelnut husk as a substrate for the cultivation of shiitake mushroom (Lentinula edodes). Bioresour Technol. 98:2652–2658.
Pardo A, Pardo JE, Picornell MR, De Juan JA 2009. Suplementación de sustratos degradados por el cultivo de Pleurotus ostreatus (Jacq.) P. Kumm. Resumen de las Actas del VI Congreso Ibérico de Ciencias Hortícolas, La Rioja, España.
Pardo A, Perona MA, Pardo J. 2005a. Evaluación de nuevos materiales en la elaboración de sustratos específicos para el cultivo de Pleurotus ostreatus (Jacq. ex Fr.) Kummer. Cuadernos de Fitopatología. 85:77–83.
Pardo A, Perona MA, Pardo J. 2005b. Utilización de grape stalk de uva en la elaboración de sustratos específicos para el cultivo de Pleurotus ostreatus (Jacq. ex Fr.) Kummer. ITEA. 101:59–69.
Pardo A, Perona MA, Pardo J. 2007. Nuevos materiales y tratamientos en la elaboración de sustratos para cultivo de Pleurotus ostreatus (Jacq. ex Fr.) Kummer. Cuadernos de Fitopatología. 91:7–13.
Pathak H, Jain N, Bhatia A, Mohanty S, Gupta N. 2009. Global warming mitigation potential of biogas plants in India. Environ Monit Assess. 157:407–418.
Peng JT. 1996. The cultivation of Pleurotus eryngii (DC.: Fr.) Quél. on rice straw substrate. J Agric Res China. 45:382–387.
Philippoussis A, Diamantopoulou P, Zervakis G. 2003. Correlation of the properties of several lignocellulosic substrates to the crop performance of the shiitake mushroom Lentinula edodes. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 19:551–557.
Philippoussis A, Zervakis G, Diamantopoulou P. 2001. Bioconversion of lignocellulosic wastes through the cultivation of the edible mushrooms Agrocybe aegerita, Volvariella volvacea and Pleurotus spp. World J Microbiol Biot. 17:191–200.
Picornell MR, de Juan JA, Pardo A 2010. Reutilización de sustratos postcultivo de hongos comestibles en el cultivo de Pleurotus ostreatus (Jacq.) P. Kumm [Tesis Doctoral]. EspañÁ: Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros Agrónomos de Albacete, Universidad de Castilla – La Mancha.
Rodríguez Barreal JA. 1987. El pleurotus ostreatus, hongo comestible: su cultivo sobre sustratos lignocelulósicos. Ed. Fundación Conde del Valle de Salazar. Madrid: Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros de Montes.
Sánchez JE. 2001. Crecimiento y fructificación. In: Sánchez JE, Royse D, Eds. La biología y el cultivo de Pleurotus spp. México: Ecosur, Limusa; p. 49–67.
Shan Z, Ashraf M, Ishtia QC. 2004. Comparative study on cultivation and yield performance of oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus) on different substrates (wheat straw, leaves, saw dust). Pakistan J Nutr. 3:158–160.
Shin G-G, Meguro S, Kawachi S. 1997. The active constituent in yeast extract for fruit body formation of Lentinula edodes. Can J Microbiol. 43:1202–1204.
SPSS. 2004. SPSS® 13.0 brief guide. Chicago, ILL, USA: SPSS.
Statistical Graphics Corporation. 2001. Statgraphics Plus® 5.1 for Windows. Herndon, Virginia, VA, USA: Statistical Graphics Corporation.
Tajbakhsh J, Abdoli MA, Mohammedi Goltapeh E, Alahdadi I, Malakouti MJ. 2008. Trend of physico-chemical properties change in recycling spent mushroom compost through vermicomposting by epigeic earthworms Eisenia fetida and E. andrei. J Agric Technol. 4:185–198.
Tecator. 1987. Determination of kjeldahl nitrogen content with the kjeltec auto 1030 analyzer. Tecator Application Note 30/87, Hönagäs, Sweden.
Tisdale TE, Miyasaka SC, Hemmes DE. 2006. Cultivation of the oyster mushroom (Pleurotus ostreatus) on wood substrates in Hawaii. World J Microb Biot. 22:201–206.
Upadhyay RC, Vijay B. 1991. Cultivation of Pleurotus species during winter in India. In: Maher MJ, editor. Science and cultivation of edible fungi. Rotterdam, The Netherlands: Balkema; p. 533–536.
Varnero MT, Quiroz MS, Álvarez CH. 2010. Utilización de residuos forestales lignocelulósicos para producción del Hongo Ostra (Pleurotus ostreatus). Información Tecnológica. 21:13–20.
Vogel F, Salomones D. 2000. Análisis comparativo de la productividad de cepas de Pleurotus spp. cultivadas en una planta comercial. Revista Iberoamericana de Micología. 17:138–141.
Wang D, Sakoda A, Suzuki M. 2001. Biological efficiency and nutritional value of Pleurotus ostreatus cultivated on spent beer grain. Bioresour Technol. 78:293–300.
Zadražil F. 1980. Conversion of different plant waste into feed by basidiomycetes. Eur J Appl Microbiot Biot. 9:243–248.