Outcomes in growth hormone-treated Noonan syndrome children: impact of \textit{PTPN11} mutation status
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Abstract

Introduction: Mutations in PTPN11 are associated with Noonan syndrome (NS). Although the effectiveness of growth hormone therapy (GHT) in treating short stature due to NS has been previously demonstrated, the effect of PTPN11 mutation status on the long-term outcomes of GHT remains to be elucidated.

Methods: This analysis included pooled data from the observational American Norditropin Studies: Web-Enabled Research Program (NCT01009905) and the randomized, double-blind GHLIQUID-4020 clinical trial (NCT01927861). Pediatric patients with clinically diagnosed NS and confirmed PTPN11 mutation status were eligible for inclusion. The effectiveness analysis included patients who were GHT-naïve and pre-pubertal at GHT start. Growth outcomes and safety were assessed over 4 years of GHT (Norditropin®, Novo Nordisk A/S).

Results: A total of 69 patients were included in the effectiveness analysis (71% PTPN11 positive). The proportion of females was 32.7% and 30.0% in PTPN11-positive and negative patients, respectively, and mean age at GHT start was 6.4 years in both groups. Using general population reference data, after 4 years of GHT, the mean (standard deviation (SD)) height SD score (HSDS) was −1.9 (1.1) and −1.7 (0.8) for PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, respectively, with no statistical difference observed between groups. The mean (SD) change in HSDS at 4 years was +1.3 (0.8) in PTPN11-positive patients and +1.5 (0.7) in PTPN11-negative patients (no significant differences between groups). Safety findings were consistent with previous analyses.

Conclusions: GHT resulted in improved growth outcomes over 4 years in GHT-naïve, pre-pubertal NS patients, irrespective of PTPN11 mutation status.
Introduction

Noonan syndrome (NS) is a genetically heterogeneous disorder characterized by various clinical features including cardiovascular abnormalities, short stature, distinctive facial features, skeletal anomalies, cryptorchidism in boys, bleeding, ophthalmologic and hearing issues, mild developmental delay/intellectual disability, and a predisposition to malignancy (1, 2, 3, 4). The presentation of symptoms varies among individuals, and the individual health impairment can range from mild to severe. It is estimated that the prevalence of NS is approximately 1 in 1,000 to 1 in 2,500 live births (4).

NS is usually inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern, but autosomal recessive inheritance also occurs as a result of biallelic mutations in the leucine-zipper-like transcription regulator 1 (LZTR1) gene (2, 4, 5). However, in the majority of cases, the disease-causing dominant mutation arises de novo (2, 4). Several genes encoding proteins of the RAS/mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway have also been identified as NS-causative genes, most commonly due to gain-of-function mutations. Mutations most commonly occur in the PTPN11 gene, accounting for approximately 50–60% of mutations identified in NS patients (2, 6). However, in around 20–30% of cases, the underlying mutation has not yet been identified (6, 7), although this proportion can depend upon the stringency of the criteria for clinical diagnosis (2).

Although children with NS typically have a birth weight and length within the normal range, patients often suffer postnatal growth failure within the first years of life, and approximately 60–70% of children with NS are subsequently affected by short stature (1, 8, 9, 10). In addition, puberty is often delayed and is accompanied by a delay in bone age (1, 9, 11). It is estimated that over 50% of females and almost 40% of males with NS have an adult height below the third percentile (1).

Abnormalities of growth hormone (GH) secretory dynamics in NS are inconsistent and may include growth neurosecretory dysfunction, GH deficiency (GHD), and GH insensitivity (12, 13, 14, 15, 16). Increased activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway has been demonstrated to cause a reduction in
growth plate length in NS mice (17). A higher prevalence of short stature has been reported among
NS patients with a mutation in PTPN11 or RAF1, whilst a lower prevalence of short stature has been
reported among patients with a SOS1 mutation (9, 18).

Norditropin® (somatropin; Novo Nordisk A/S, Denmark) is a recombinant human GH (rhGH)
that is used as replacement therapy in patients with GHD, or to treat a number of other conditions
classified by insufficient growth (US Food and Drug Administration - Norditropin, highlights of
prescribing information. Available from:
https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/021148s037s038lbl.pdf. Accessed
December 7th 2021; Norditropin® summary of product characteristics. Available from:
https://www.medicines.org.uk/EMC/medicine/2760/SPC/Norditropin+SimpleXx+5+mg+1.5+ml%2c+
10+mg+1.5+ml%2c+15+mg+1.5+ml%3b+Norditropin+NordiFlex+15+mg+1.5+ml. Accessed
December 7th 2021). Norditropin® is currently the only GH replacement therapy approved
internationally for the treatment of short stature in children with NS (in the US, European Union,
Japan, Israel, Brazil, South Korea, Switzerland, Canada, and Argentina). A small number of studies
have investigated the effect of PTPN11 mutation status on response to GH therapy (GHT), but
findings to date have been discordant (8, 14, 19, 20). This analysis aimed to further evaluate the
impact of PTPN11 mutation status on long-term effectiveness and safety outcomes in pre-pubertal
NS patients receiving GHT.

Materials and methods

Data source

This was an analysis of a subset of pooled data from the observational American Norditropin
Studies: Web-Enabled Research (ANSWER) Program® (NCT01009905) and the GHLIQUID-4020 clinical
trial (NCT01927861). The design of these studies has been previously described in detail (21, 22, 23).
The current analysis used data from a subset of NS patients enrolled in the ANSWER program® and
GHLIQUID-4020, including only patients with confirmed PTPN11 mutation status. Data from the
Nordinet® International Outcome Study (IOS) was not included in this analysis as PTPN11 mutation status was not confirmed in NS patients enrolled in this study (shown in Fig. 1).

In brief, the ANSWER program® was an observational, multicenter study conducted across 207 US centers between 2002 and 2016, which monitored the long-term effectiveness and safety of rhGH therapy (Norditropin® (somatropin); Novo Nordisk A/S, Denmark) in routine clinical practice (23). Adult and pediatric patients with a variety of conditions affecting growth were eligible for inclusion, including patients with NS. All visits were carried out according to routine clinical practice and doses of rhGH were prescribed by the treating physician according to standard clinical practice. Effectiveness endpoints for pediatric patients included height gain, body weight, insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) level, and bone age. Adverse drug reactions (ADR), serious ADRs (SADR), and serious adverse events (SAE) were recorded for all patients throughout the duration of treatment.

The GHLIQUID-4020 trial was a 4-year multicenter, randomized, parallel group, double-blind trial investigating the long-term efficacy and safety of rhGH treatment (Norditropin®) in short-statured Japanese children with NS (23). The study was conducted in 26 Japanese centers between 2013 and 2018. Pre-pubertal NS children, with a height standard deviation score (HSDS) ≤−2 according to Japanese national reference data (24), were eligible for inclusion. Eligible children were aged 3–<11 years for boys and 3–<10 years for girls. Patients were randomized 1:1 to receive a daily rhGH dose of 0.033 mg/kg or 0.066 mg/kg. The primary endpoint was change in HSDS from baseline to 104 weeks of treatment. Patients were given the option of continuing treatment for a further 104 weeks in an extension to the trial (208 weeks of treatment in total). Safety measurements were recorded for all patients at each visit for the duration of the trial.

Approval for both studies was obtained from relevant ethics committees and all patients (and/or their guardians where the patient was a minor) provided written informed consent. The studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and all data included in the analysis were anonymized.
Study population

The current analysis included pooled data from pediatric patients with clinically diagnosed NS and confirmed *PTPN11* mutation status, who were enrolled in the above studies. Patients were categorized into 1 of 2 groups on the basis of genetic test results: *PTPN11* positive or *PTPN11* negative. The *PTPN11*-negative group included patients with other confirmed genetic etiologies and unsolved cases, in addition to a negative *PTPN11* test.

Study outcomes

The descriptive analysis included the following parameters: sex, other identified genetic mutations (for patients without *PTPN11* mutation), age at GHT start, rhGH dose, HS, SD, body mass index (BMI) SD score (SDS), bone age, bone age/chronological age ratio, IGF-I SDS, and cardiovascular comorbidities. The primary effectiveness analysis was long-term growth outcome over 4 years of GHT, as measured by HS, and change in HS from GHT start (baseline). Two HS values were calculated; the first using general population reference growth charts and the second using NS-specific growth charts as the reference. For the ANSWER program®, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) national reference growth charts were used for the general population HS (25) and growth charts as reported by Ranke et al. were used to calculate NS-specific HS (26). For the GHLIQUID-4020 trial, Japanese national reference data for children (24) were used to calculate the general population HS and data as reported by Isojima et al. were used to calculate NS-specific HS (27). BMI SDS was derived from height and weight measurements and country-specific reference tables, as reported by Inokuchi et al. for the GHLIQUID-4020 trial (28) and using CDC general population reference growth charts for the ANSWER program® (25). Safety was also assessed; non-serious and SADRs and SAEs were reported for the overall population. Adverse events that were considered possibly or probably related to rhGH treatment, either by the reporting physician or the study sponsor, were considered ADRs.
Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics and baseline variables were summarized using mean and SD for continuous variables and relative frequencies and proportions for categorical variables. Patients included in the safety analysis set had clinically diagnosed NS and confirmed \textit{PTPN11} mutation status. The effectiveness analysis set was a subset of the safety analysis set, including only patients who were rhGH treatment-naïve and pre-pubertal upon entry to the studies (baseline). Data were analyzed using SAS software version 9.4, and significance testing was carried out using a t-test or Mann-Whitney U test, dependent upon whether the normality assumption was met.

Results

Patient disposition

Patient disposition is outlined in Fig. 1. In total, 472 pediatric patients with clinically diagnosed NS were identified from the studies. Of these, 109 patients had confirmed rhGH exposure and documented \textit{PTPN11} mutation status and were included in the safety analysis set. The effectiveness analysis set included 69 patients with confirmed \textit{PTPN11} mutation status and who were also rhGH treatment-naïve and pre-pubertal at baseline. The most common reason for exclusion from the safety analysis set was absence of genetic testing; no patients from the Nordinet® IOS were included in the analysis for this reason.

Baseline characteristics

Patient characteristics at baseline are shown in Table 1. In total, 49 patients (71%) were \textit{PTPN11} positive and 20 patients (29%) were \textit{PTPN11} negative. Baseline characteristics were generally similar between \textit{PTPN11}-positive and \textit{PTPN11}-negative patients, with no significant differences identified in any of the variables. The mean (standard deviation [SD]) age at GHT start was 6.4 (3.3) years in \textit{PTPN11}-positive patients and 6.4 (2.5) years in \textit{PTPN11}-negative patients and the proportion of females in each group was 32.7% and 30.0%, respectively. Provocative GH testing
results were unavailable for all 69 patients; tests were either not performed or results not reported.

Mean (SD) rhGH dose at baseline was 0.047 (0.015) mg/kg/day in PTPN11-positive patients and 0.054 (0.016) mg/kg/day in PTPN11-negative patients. In total, 42.9% of PTPN11-positive patients and 50.0% of PTPN11-negative patients reached puberty during the 4-year study period.

Of the 20 patients who were PTPN11 negative, 9 had a NS-causative mutation identified in a different gene, while for 11 patients no causative mutation was reported. Mutations identified included RAF1 (n = 3), SOS1 (n = 2), BRAF (n = 1), KRAS (n = 1), RIT1 (n = 1), and SHOC2 (n = 1).

In total, cardiovascular comorbidities were present in 55.1% of patients at baseline. The number of cardiovascular comorbidities recorded was similar between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients. Of the patients with reported cardiovascular comorbidity, most patients had 1 (20.4% of PTPN11-positive patients vs 20.0% of PTPN11-negative patients) or 2 cardiovascular comorbidities reported (24.5% vs 25.0%, respectively). The most commonly reported cardiovascular comorbidities were atrial septal defect (recorded in 23.2% of all patients), pulmonary valve stenosis (17.4%), pulmonary artery stenosis (10.1%), and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (10.1%).

Cardiovascular phenotypes were similar between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients at baseline.

**Growth outcomes**

HSDS by year from GHT start and change in HSDS from GHT start are shown in Fig. 2A and 2B, respectively. Using general population reference data, mean (SD) HSDS at baseline was −3.0 (0.8) among PTPN11-positive patients and −3.1 (0.8) among PTPN11-negative patients. After 4 years of rhGH treatment, mean (SD) HSDS was −1.9 (1.1) and −1.7 (0.8) for PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, respectively, with no statistical difference between groups (P = 0.3518). Using NS-specific reference data, mean (SD) HSDS at baseline was −0.5 (0.8) among PTPN11-positive patients and −0.6 (0.8) among PTPN11-negative patients. Following 4 years of treatment, mean (SD) HSDS was 0.6 (1.0) and 0.8 (0.8) among PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, respectively, with no statistical difference between groups (P = 0.4551).
The mean (SD) change in HSDS at 4 years from baseline was +1.3 (0.8) for PTPN11-positive patients and +1.5 (0.7) for PTPN11-negative patients (based on general population reference data).

Based on NS-specific reference data, the mean (SD) change in HSDS at 4 years from baseline was similar (+1.4 (0.8) and +1.5 (0.7) for PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, respectively). No significant differences in HSDS change from baseline were observed between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients (for both HSDS methods).

The change in BMI SDS from baseline for PTPN11-positive and negative patients is shown in Fig. 3. Mean (SD) BMI SDS at baseline was $-0.64$ (1.26) for PTPN11-positive patients and 0.03 (1.08) for PTPN11-negative patients ($P = 0.0421$). After 4 years of GHT, mean (SD) BMI SDS was $-0.69$ (1.07) and $-0.02$ (0.94) for the PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, respectively ($P = 0.0294$).

There were no significant differences between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients in the change in BMI SDS from baseline ($-0.02$ vs $-0.04$, respectively).

**IGF-I**

IGF-I SDS by PTPN11 mutation status and rhGH dose for patients included in the GHLIQUID-4020 trial ($n = 46$) is shown in Table 2. Irrespective of PTPN11 mutation status, IGF-I levels did not exceed the normal range (+2 SDs) for either rhGH dose. PTPN11-positive patients receiving a dose of 0.066 mg/kg/day had IGF-I SDS levels in the positive range, with a mean (SD) change from baseline at 4 years of +2.3 (1.0). Among PTPN11-positive patients receiving a dose of 0.033 mg/kg/day, IGF-I SDS levels remained below 0, with a mean (SD) change from baseline at 4 years of +0.8 (0.8). The difference between IGF-I SDS levels at 4 years between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients (0.033 mg/kg daily dose) were significant ($p = 0.0037$), but there were no significant differences in the change from baseline in IGF-I SDS between PTPN11-positive and negative patients.

**Safety**

Overall, 38 patients (34.9%) from the safety analysis set reported an ADR or SAE. The total number of events are shown in Fig. 4 by Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities System Organ
The most frequently reported events included headache (5 events reported in 5 patients) and arthralgia (3 events reported in 3 patients). There was 1 SAE of atrial fibrillation reported in a 14-year-old male patient who was *PTPN11* negative and *RIT1* positive, which has previously been described (25). Neoplasms were reported in 2 patients. Posterior fossa tumor and metastases to the spine were reported in a 15-year-old male patient who was *PTPN11* positive; a narrative of this event has previously been published (31). The second event was a brain neoplasm (dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumor grade 1) reported in a 9-year-old male patient who was *PTPN11* positive. The tumor diagnosis occurred 14 months after the patient commenced GHT. The patient discontinued GHT, was hospitalized, and underwent surgery to remove the mass from the right temporal lobe. It was judged as ‘unknown’ if the event was related to GHT, and the patient was reported to be recovering at the end of the follow-up period.

**Discussion**

This analysis assessed the impact of *PTPN11* mutation status on long-term effectiveness outcomes in NS patients treated with rhGH. The effectiveness of GHT in treating short stature due to NS has been previously demonstrated (8, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34). The impact of *PTPN11* mutation status on long-term GHT response has previously been discussed, but additional studies are needed to further clarify the role of the different RAS/MAPK pathway aberrations in growth and in GH responsiveness. Earlier studies reported that NS patients with a mutation in *PTPN11* display mild GH insensitivity, leading to reduced efficacy of short-term GHT (14, 35, 36). However, as NS patients with *PTPN11* mutations typically present with more pronounced short stature, it has been reported that although *PTPN11*-positive patients often reach a lower final height, the height gain following GHT is similar to that observed in *PTPN11*-negative patients (8).

Our effectiveness analysis included patients with clinically diagnosed NS, confirmed *PTPN11* mutation status and who were GHT-naive and pre-pubertal at baseline. Patient baseline characteristics were generally similar among *PTPN11*-positive and *PTPN11*-negative patients; the
mean age at GHT start was the same for both groups and HSDS at baseline was also similar (−3.02 vs −3.09). In this analysis, we observed no significant differences in 4-year growth outcomes between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, in terms of HSDS and change in HSDS from start of GHT.

The biologic basis of growth failure in NS is not completely understood. There is some evidence that growth defects are related to partial GH insensitivity at a post-receptor level; using a mouse model of NS, it was demonstrated that NS-causing mutations in PTPN11 inhibited IGF-I release via GH-induced extracellular-signal-regulated-kinase activation (37). Importantly, inhibition of this activation resulted in an increase of IGF-I levels in vitro and in vivo and was associated with significant growth improvements in NS mice (37). In patients, there have been no consistent changes reported in the GH–IGF-I axis in NS children. Various mechanisms have been hypothesized including GHD (12, 38), neurosecretory dysfunction (39, 40), and mild GH resistance (14). IGF-I levels have frequently been found to be low in NS patients, with levels often lower among PTPN11-positive patients compared with PTPN11-negative patients (14, 35, 36). It has also been reported that following 1 year of GHT, the increase in IGF-I was significantly lower in PTPN11-positive patients compared with PTPN11-negative patients (35), and a positive correlation was seen between growth velocity after the first year of GHT and the increase in IGF-I level (35).

Despite the apparent mild GH resistance suggested from short-term data, in which PTPN11-positive patients responded less efficiently to GHT than PTPN11-negative patients (14, 35, 36), longer-term data comparing adult height following GHT among PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients revealed no difference in final outcome between PTPN11 mutation groups (31). In an IGF-I generation test study, 12 pre-pubertal NS children with mutations in PTPN11 did display a blunted increase in IGF-I compared with 12 children with idiopathic short stature, however, the majority of the NS children did not display classic biochemical GH insensitivity (41). Evidence regarding GH insensitivity in NS patients who are PTPN11 negative is particularly limited (16). Further studies are required to better understand growth failure in NS patients and the functional
relationship between the various NS genotypes, GH sensitivity, and IGF-I signaling. In our analysis, we observed a greater increase in IGF-I SDS among patients who received the higher rhGH dose of 0.066 mg/kg/day in the GHLIQUID-4020 trial. Previous studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between rhGH growth response in patients with NS and an increase in IGF-I levels (23, 35); together, this suggests that higher rhGH doses may be required to overcome GH insensitivity and optimize growth outcomes in PTPN11-positive patients. However, patient adherence to rhGH and other factors that may affect IGF-I levels, for example, individual GH sensitivity, long-term fasting, and nutrition, were not assessed in this analysis and, therefore, this topic may require further investigation.

Increased GH resistance among PTPN11-positive NS patients is consistent with the finding that protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2 (encoded by PTPN11) has a role in GH signaling (37). PTPN11 mutations can cause different degrees of increased tyrosine phosphatase activity in the SHP-2 protein (42). It was not possible to investigate the effect of each PTPN11 mutation type on GHT response in the current analysis as this information was not collected, but this is an important topic for future research.

Previous studies investigating the effect of PTPN11 mutation status on response to GHT have reported varied findings. In a retrospective analysis of 14 patients with NS (50% PTPN11 positive) in Brazil monitored over 3 years of GHT, it was shown that GHT improved growth velocity for both groups, with greater gains in HSDS among PTPN11-negative patients. However, it should be noted that only a small number of patients reached the third year (n = 4 in both groups) (35). Consistent with these findings, a French prospective study of 35 patients with NS (57% PTPN11 positive) reported increased HSDS catch-up after 2 years of GHT among PTPN11-negative patients (36). A study of 15 pre-pubertal children with NS in Korea (60% PTPN11 positive) reported that after 3 years of GHT, the improvement in HSDS was significantly greater among PTPN11-negative patients compared with patients with a PTPN11 mutation (43). In another retrospective study that included 23 patients with NS (30% PTPN11 positive) in Korea, patients without mutations in PTPN11, RAF1,
SOS1, KRAS, or BRAF experienced greater improvements in HSDS, IGF-I SDS, and growth velocity compared with patients with a PTPN11 mutation (19). However, a multicenter study of 29 NS patients (81% PTPN11 positive among the 27 patients tested) across sites in the Netherlands and Belgium reported no difference in mean gain in HSDS between PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients over a median treatment duration of 6.4 years (range: 3.0–10.3 years) (8). Another retrospective study in Brazil that included 42 NS patients (83% PTPN11 positive) reported a greater improvement in HSDS among PTPN11-positive patients after the first year of GHT, compared with PTPN11-negative patients (20).

In our analysis, BMI SDS was significantly lower among PTPN11-positive patients compared with PTPN11-negative patients, both at baseline and after 4 years of GHT. In line with this finding, a French national database study (n = 420 NS patients) observed that patients with a PTPN11 mutation were significantly shorter and thinner at birth compared with patients with other mutations (9), supporting the hypothesis that NS-associated mutations may impact regulation of energy metabolism. A recent study investigated the lipid and glucose profile of pre-pubertal children (n = 112) and adults (n = 73) with NS and NS-related disorders, according to PTPN11 mutation status. The study found that although the children had a lean phenotype, there was an increased frequency of low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels among PTPN11-positive patients (63% vs 59% in PTPN11-negative patients and 16% in control; P < 0.001) and impaired glucose metabolism (44). It is possible that the metabolic effects of a mutation in PTPN11 could also impact patient IGF-I levels and response to GHT.

The safety data in our analysis are consistent with previous safety reports from rhGH-treated NS patients (23, 29, 32, 45). The most commonly reported events in our analysis were headache and arthralgia, which are well-known side effects of GHT in children. In addition, it has been suggested that migraines may be more prevalent in NS patients compared with the general population (46). There was 1 incident of atrial fibrillation in a patient with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, although this was judged as unlikely related to GHT by the investigator and the patient recovered completely.
There were 2 instances of brain neoplasms reported, however, in both cases it was unknown whether the neoplasm was related to GHT and the history of headaches in 1 of the patients may suggest an underlying condition.

Up to 90% of patients with NS are reported to have concomitant cardiovascular abnormalities (47). In the current analysis, only 55% of patients had ≥1 cardiovascular comorbidity recorded at baseline. Among the PTPN11-positive patients, almost half did not have a concomitant cardiovascular diagnosis at the start of GHT. It is possible that these patients may have had minor cardiac abnormalities that had resolved over time, or that had been successfully treated prior to enrollment, and are, therefore, not captured in the analysis. In addition, patients with severe cardiac abnormalities were excluded from the GHLIQUID-4020 trial, which may help to explain the lower than expected prevalence of cardiac diagnoses in the analysis population (22).

The strengths of this analysis include the assessment of long-term outcome data over 4 years of GHT and that a relatively large number of patients were included, in comparison with previous studies of NS patients. The current analysis also included data collected from 2 geographic regions and from patients treated in a real-world setting, which may explain why some data were not available (for example, provocative GH test results and limited details regarding some safety events).

This analysis had some limitations that should be mentioned, however. Firstly, potential confounding factors affecting growth outcomes, such as adherence to GHT and nutritional intake, were not taken into consideration. It is also possible that some of the PTPN11-negative patients included in our analysis may have been misdiagnosed with NS, as the diagnosis of NS may have been based only on clinical features if no other genetic mutations were identified. In addition, among patients with a confirmed PTPN11 mutation, further details regarding the mutation variant were not collected. An independent evaluation of pathogenicity or differentiation between NS-associated and LEOPARD syndrome-associated variants was therefore not possible. Another potential limiting factor is the difference in cohort size between the PTPN11-positive and PTPN11-negative patients, which may have affected the statistical analysis. In addition, the PTPN11-negative group included patients with
mutations in different genes with varying impact on growth (for example, the short stature phenotype of patients with \textit{SOS1} mutation is usually milder than in patients with \textit{RAF1} or \textit{KRAS} mutations). A further limitation of this analysis is that the effect of GHT on patient final height could not be assessed as patients were not followed until final height was reached. Studies with a longer follow-up period are therefore needed in the future to investigate whether final height following GHT is impacted by \textit{PTPN11}-mutation status.

Finally, there are some limitations found in all observational studies that may apply to the \textit{ANSWER} program\textsuperscript{®}; these include changes in diagnostic practices and the definition of eligibility for GHT during the study period (2002–2016) and a potential under-reporting of safety data.

**Conclusion**

GHT over 4 years resulted in improved growth outcomes in GH treatment-naive, pre-pubertal NS patients, irrespective of \textit{PTPN11} mutation status. The long-term safety data are reassuring regarding the safety of GHT in this population, consistent with previous reports.
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Figure legends

**Fig. 1.** Patient disposition.

ANSWER, American Norditropin Studies: Web-Enabled Research; GHT, growth hormone therapy; IOS, International Outcome Study; NS, Noonan syndrome.

**Fig. 2.**

**A)** HSDS by year from baseline and **B)** improvement in HSDS by year from baseline (effectiveness population, n = 69).

N: number of patients with available data at each time point. HSDS (general population reference) was calculated using CDC national reference growth charts (27) for the ANSWER program® and using Japanese national reference data for the GHLIQUID-4020 trial (26). HSDS (NS-specific) was calculated using reference data reported by Ranke et al. (28) for the ANSWER program® and using data reported by Isojima et al. (29) for the GHLIQUID-4020 trial.

ANSWER, American Norditropin Studies: Web-Enabled Research; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; NS, Noonan syndrome; (H) SDS, (height) standard deviation score.

**Fig. 3.** Change in BMI SDS from baseline (effectiveness population, n = 69).

N: number of patients with available data at each timepoint. BMI SDS was calculated using country-specific reference tables, as reported by Inokuchi et al. for the GHLIQUID-4020 trial (30) and CDC general population reference growth charts for the ANSWER program® (27).

ANSWER, American Norditropin Studies: Web-Enabled Research; BMI, body mass index; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; SDS, standard deviation score.

**Fig. 4.** Safety events reported by MedDRA System Organ Class (safety analysis set, n = 109).
Data shown are the total number of events reported in each MedDRA System Organ Class, including non-SADRs, SADRs, and SAEs.

MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; SADR, serious adverse drug reaction; SAE, serious adverse event.
Table 1. Patient characteristics at baseline by PTPN11 mutation status (effectiveness population, n = 69).

|                          | PTPN11+ (N = 49) | PTPN11– (N = 20) |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| n                        |                  |                   |
| Female, n (%)            | 49               | 20                |
| Age at GH start, years, mean (SD) | 6.4 (3.3) | 6.4 (2.5) |
| GH dose at baseline, mg/kg/day, mean (SD) | 0.047 (0.015) | 0.054 (0.016) |
| Height SDS (general population), mean (SD) | −3.0 (0.8) | −3.1 (0.8) |
| Height SDS (NS-specific), mean (SD) | −0.5 (0.8) | −0.6 (0.8) |
| BMI SDS, mean (SD)       | −0.6 (1.3)       | 0.0 (1.1)         |
| Bone age, mean (SD)      | 5.6 (2.6)        | 5.4 (2.7)         |
| Bone age/chronological age, mean (SD) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) |
| IGF-I SDS, mean (SD)     | −1.5 (1.3)       | −1.2 (0.8)        |

n: number of patients with available data.

BMI, body mass index; GH, growth hormone; IGF-I, insulin-like growth factor; NS, Noonan syndrome; SD, standard deviation; SDS, standard deviation score.
Table 2. IGF-I SDS by GH dose and PTPN11 mutation status for patients (GHLIQUID-4020 trial effectiveness population, n = 46).

| Year | PTPN11+ | PTPN11– | All | PTPN11+ | PTPN11– | All |
|------|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|-----|
|      | n      | Mean    | SD  | n       | Mean    | SD  | n    | Mean    | SD  | n     | Mean    | SD  |
| IGF-I SDS |       |         |     |         |         |     |      |         |     |       |         |     |
| 0    | 16     | −2.0    | 0.9 | 6       | −1.0    | 0.6 | 22   | −1.8    | 0.9 | 12    | −1.6    | 0.6 |
| 1    | 16     | −0.8    | 1.1 | 6       | 0.1     | 0.6 | 22   | −0.6    | 1.1 | 12    | 0.5     | 1.1 |
| 2    | 16     | −1.0    | 1.0 | 6       | 0.3     | 1.2 | 22   | −0.7    | 1.2 | 12    | 0.8     | 1.3 |
| 3    | 16     | −1.3    | 0.9 | 6       | 0.2     | 1.1 | 22   | −0.9    | 1.1 | 12    | 1.1     | 1.6 |
| 4    | 16     | −1.3    | 1.0 | 6       | 0.5     | 1.3 | 22   | −0.8    | 1.3 | 12    | 0.7     | 1.4 |
| Change in IGF-I |   |         |     |         |         |     |      |         |     |       |         |     |
| 1    | 16     | 1.2     | 0.9 | 6       | 1.1     | 0.7 | 22   | 1.2     | 0.9 | 12    | 2.1     | 0.8 |
| 2    | 16     | 1.0     | 0.9 | 6       | 1.3     | 1.1 | 22   | 1.1     | 1.0 | 12    | 2.4     | 0.8 |
| 3    | 16     | 0.8     | 1.0 | 6       | 1.2     | 1.1 | 22   | 0.9     | 1.0 | 12    | 2.7     | 1.2 |

Copyright © 2022 the authors
| rhGH dose: | rhGH dose: |
|----------------|----------------|
| 0.033 mg/kg/day | 0.066 mg/kg/day |

| PTPN11+ | PTPN11− | All | PTPN11+ | PTPN11− | All |
|---------|---------|-----|---------|---------|-----|
| Year    | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD | n | Mean SD |
| 4       | 16 | 0.8 0.8 | 6 | 1.5 1.0 | 22 | 1.0 0.9 | 12 | 2.3 1.0 | 12 | 2.1 0.7 | 24 | 2.2 0.8 |

2. *n*: number of patients with available data.

3. GH, growth hormone; IGF-I, insulin-like growth factor I; rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone; SD, standard deviation; SDS, standard deviation score.
NS patients identified for inclusion in the studies (N = 472):
NordiNet® IOS, n = 156; ANSWER, n = 265; GHLIQUID-4020, n = 51

Patients excluded from PTPN11 analysis safety set (n = 363):
- No confirmed PTPN11 mutation status:
  NordiNet® IOS, n = 154; ANSWER, n = 195; GHLIQUID-4020, n = 5
- GHT exposure not confirmed:
  ANSWER, n = 4
- No confirmed PTPN11 mutation status and GHT exposure not confirmed:
  NordiNet® IOS, n = 2; ANSWER, n = 3

PTPN11 analysis: safety set (N = 109):
(ANSWER = 63; GHLIQUID-4020 = 46)

PTPN11 analysis: effectiveness set (N = 69):
ANSWER, n = 23; GHLIQUID-4020, n = 46

Patients excluded from PTPN11 analysis effectiveness set (n = 40):
- Received prior GHT at baseline:
  ANSWER, n = 27
- Pubertal at baseline:
  ANSWER, n = 2
- Unknown puberty status at baseline:
  ANSWER, n = 1
- Received prior GHT and pubertal at baseline:
  ANSWER, n = 4
- Received prior GHT and pubertal status unknown at baseline:
  ANSWER, n = 6
Figure 2A

NS-specific HSDS:
- PTPN11–
- PTPN11+

General population HSDS:
- PTPN11–
- PTPN11+

| Time (years) | PTPN11– N | PTPN11+ N |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 0           | 20        | 48        |
| 1           | 19        | 41        |
| 2           | 19        | 38        |
| 3           | 19        | 32        |
| 4           | 19        | 30        |

| Time (years) | PTPN11– N | PTPN11+ N |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 0           | 20        | 49        |
| 1           | 19        | 41        |
| 2           | 19        | 38        |
| 3           | 19        | 32        |
| 4           | 19        | 30        |
Change in mean HSDS

| Time (years) | PTPN11− | PTPN11+ | PTPN11− | PTPN11+ | PTPN11− | PTPN11+ |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0            | N: 20   | 19      | 19      | 19      | 19      | 19      |
| 1            | N: 48   | 40      | 38      | 32      | 30      |         |
| 2            | N: 20   | 19      | 19      | 19      | 19      | 19      |
| 3            |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 4            |         |         |         |         |         |         |

NS-specific HSDS: PTPN11− vs. PTPN11+
General population HSDS: PTPN11− vs. PTPN11+
Figure 3

The figure shows the mean BMI SDS over time (years) for two groups: PTPN11+ and PTPN11−. The x-axis represents time in years (0, 1, 2, 3, 4), and the y-axis represents mean BMI SDS. The number of individuals in each group at each time point is as follows:

- PTPN11+:
  - Year 0: 20
  - Year 1: 19
  - Year 2: 19
  - Year 3: 19
  - Year 4: 19

- PTPN11−:
  - Year 0: 47
  - Year 1: 40
  - Year 2: 38
  - Year 3: 32
  - Year 4: 30
