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Abstract

Background: Understanding the spatial–temporal distribution characteristics of urban road traffic accidents is important for urban road traffic safety management. Based on the road traffic data of Wales in 2017, the spatial–temporal distribution of accidents is formed.

Methods: The density analysis method is used to identify the areas with high accident incidence and the areas with high accident severity. Then, two types of spatial clustering analysis models, outlier analysis and hot spot analysis are used to further identify the regions with high accident severity.

Results: The results of density analysis and cluster analysis are compared. The results of density analysis show that, in terms of accident frequency and accident severity, Swansea, Neath Port Talbot, Bridgend, Merthyr Tydfil, Cardiff, Caerphilly, Newport, Denbighshire, Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Flintshire and Wrexham have high accident frequency and accident severity per unit area. Cluster analysis results are similar to the density analysis. Finally, the temporal distribution characteristics of traffic accidents are analyzed according to month, day and hour. Accidents are concentrated in July and August, frequently in the morning rush hour and at dusk, with the most accidents occurring on Saturday.

Conclusions: By comparing the two methods, it can be concluded that the density analysis is simple and easy to understand, which is conducive to understanding the spatial distribution characteristics of urban traffic accidents directly. Cluster analysis can be accurate to the accident point and obtain the clustering characteristics of road accidents.
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1 Introduction

Spatial–temporal distribution characteristics are important attributes of road traffic accidents. In combination with the frequency and severity of road traffic accidents, the spatial–temporal distribution characteristics of road traffic accidents in different regions of the city can be explored. It is helpful for the traffic management department to more intuitively know the distribution area and severity of traffic accidents within jurisdiction, so they can take targeted remedial and preventive measures.

The temporal distribution of road traffic accidents can be analyzed and processed with the help of spreadsheets, and the analysis of spatial distribution characteristics is more complicated.

At present, there are mainly two methods to study the spatial distribution characteristics of road traffic accidents: one is to determine the frequent area of traffic accidents by statistical analysis, which is based on the accident location field in the collected accident information [1]. Another is to visually display traffic accidents by using GIS technology, and then analyze the spatial distribution characteristics by using the spatial analysis method. Compared with the first one (determine the frequent area of traffic accidents by statistical analysis), the advantages of using GIS for analysis are these:

*Correspondence: 622190950007@mails.cqjtu.edu.cn
1 School of Traffic and Transportation, Chongqing Jiaotong University, Chongqing 400074, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2021. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
(1) The visual characteristics of GIS can provide a more visual and intuitive understanding of the distribution of traffic accidents, to quickly form an overall grasp of the traffic safety situation in the region.

(2) The current GIS technology system has developed a variety of spatial analysis tools, which can be used to excavate the spatial distribution characteristics of traffic accidents and the spatial relationship between different traffic accidents from multiple angles, which is difficult to be achieved by simple statistical analysis.

Some scholars have carried out different spatial analysis of traffic accidents based on GIS technology. Erdogan et al. [2] determined the accident points on the highway in the Turkish city of Afyonkarahisar by means of repetitive analysis and density analysis, and the geographical characteristics of the accident spots were analyzed. Based on pedestrian-vehicle collision data, Truong et al. [3] used spatial correlation analysis method to determine the occurrence of pedestrian vehicle accidents and to evaluate the traffic safety of urban bus stations. Colak et al. [4] proposed the hot spot analysis based on network weight and the kernel density method based on accident frequency, which carried out the spatial analysis of traffic accidents in RIZE province of Turkish. Tortum et al. [5] used Moran’s I statistic and Getis-Ord $G^*_i$ to identify hot spots of road traffic accidents in Turkish cities. Aslam et al. [6] used Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Point Density (PD) method to predict and verify traffic accident hot spots in Irbil of Jordan, and the distribution of hot spots in urban areas was obtained. Gholam et al. [7] researched the distribution characteristics of traffic accidents in Mashhad city of Iran by means of the nearest proximity and K-means analysis method. Temesgen et al. used the aspects of drivers, pedestrians, peaking time characteristics and other influencing factors and combined with GIS visualization technology. The road traffic accident hot spots in Ethiopia Hosanna Town were researched and corresponding countermeasures were proposed [8]. Wang et al. combined GIS technology with system clustering method, the spatial and temporal distribution characteristics were analyzed and influencing factors of traffic accidents in Guangzhou from the aspects of road characteristics, infrastructure conditions, as well as the proportion of traffic accidents during day and work days [9]. Anderson et al. used kernel density estimation, aggregated K-means clustering and spatial autocorrelation clustering models to carry out the identification of accident-prone points [10]. Fan et al. [11] carried out accident spatial distribution research based on the K-means algorithm in aspects of road sections and intersections, and excavated the black spots of traffic accidents in Beijing. Zhang et al. [12] took accident information through a mobile App, proposed an improved K-means algorithm to effectively and quickly identify road black spots and analyze the causes of road accidents. Guo et al. used Getis-Ord $G^*_i$ hot spot analysis to conduct spatial statistics of the results, the accident prone sections and boundaries were identified. By constructing a large scale Bayesian network model of traffic accidents, the probability of traffic accidents under different factors was calculated [13]. Nie et al. used the improved network kernel density method to detect traffic accident prone sections. Local Moran’s I was used to test the results of kernel density analysis, which effectively and accurately located the clustering of traffic accidents in Wuhan [14]. Liu constructed a spatial–temporal network kernel density estimation model that took the severity of traffic accidents into account, which analyzed the spatial temporal hot spots of accident data. Then, the Getis-Ord $G^*_i$ hot spot analysis method were used to perform spatial statistics on analysis results, which accurately identified the range and boundary of accident hot spot road sections [15]. Álvaro et al. [16] considered the spatial–temporal clustering of events on the road network, proposed a method of kernel density estimation of spatial–temporal network to detect traffic accident hot spots. Romano et al. [17] used the improved network kernel density estimation as a parameter to identify the accident occurrence points of the threshold by using the method of cumulative frequency and zero-inflated negative binomial regression model. Wang et al. proposed an improved network kernel density algorithm by optimizing the distance between events and the kernel density function of intersections. Then, a zero-inflated negative binomial regression model was used to fit the cumulative frequency distribution of the nuclear density calculation results, which greatly improved the accuracy of identification of accident-prone points [18]. Considering accidents and spatial attributes, Chen [19] constructed a genetic analysis model of hot areas based on logistic regression and spatial data mining and performed an empirical analysis in Enschede, Netherlands.

The above accident research based on GIS spatial analysis has led to a beneficial exploration of the analysis ideas and methods of accident data, but there are still some shortcomings. Firstly, the most intuitive way to measure traffic safety is by the frequency of traffic accidents. Most of the existing literature is based on this idea and focuses on the identification of accident spots. The traffic management department, however, pays more attention to the accidents that cause serious casualties in actual traffic management. Thus, it is important to study the spatial distribution characteristics of regions with high accident severity. Secondly,
the impact of road network density on accident density is not considered in the density analysis. In the cluster analysis, there is a lack of analysis on the clustering mode of the non-aggregate accident points.

Considering the road network density, areas with frequent road traffic accidents and areas with higher severity are identified. Without considering the road network density, areas with frequent road traffic accidents and areas with higher severity are identified. Non-aggregate outlier analysis and hot spot analysis are used to analyze the severity of accidents. The spatial–temporal characteristics of accidents are analyzed. Finally, by comparing the results obtained through the two methods of density analysis and cluster analysis, the applicability of the two methods is analyzed in different scenarios.

2 Research methodology
2.1 Density analysis
In this paper, both point density and line density analysis are used to calculate the density of traffic accident points and the density of road network, respectively. The principle of point density analysis is to calculate the number of data points in a unit area, and the principle of line density analysis is to calculate the length of a line segment in a unit area [20]. The density calculation method usually adopted by GIS software is the neighbourhood method. Taking the calculation of the accident point density as an example, the calculation principle is to divide a city into several small square cells with side length $d$ (corresponding to the pixel unit on the final GIS map). The regional accident density represented by cell $k$ is $Dk$, road network density is $Drk$, set neighbourhood radius to $\rho$, $Nk(\rho)$ is the number of accidents in the neighbourhood with the center of cell $k$ as the dot and $\rho$ as the radius. $Lk(\rho)$ is the length of the road in the same neighbourhood, then $Da k$ and $Dr k$ are calculated by Eq. 1.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left[ D_1^k \; D_2^k \ldots \; D_n^k \right] = \frac{1}{\pi \rho^2} \left[ N_1(\rho) \; N_2(\rho) \ldots \; N_k(\rho) \right] \\
\left[ D_1^k \; D_2^k \ldots \; D_n^k \right] = \frac{1}{\pi \rho^2} \left[ L_1(\rho) \; L_2(\rho) \ldots \; L_k(\rho) \right] \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

Calculating the density for each cell by using Eq. 1, and finally get the distribution map of accident density. The calculation of road network density only needs to replace the research object from the accident point with the road section. Most of the previous traffic accident studies focused on the frequency of accident points. In fact, by assigning different weights to different accident points, it is possible to study richer density information. In this paper, the severity of accidents is taken as the weight of each accident point, and then the density analysis is performed to obtain the density distribution of the severity of the traffic accident. Let the severity of the $l$th accident in the neighbourhood of cell $k$ be $x_l$, $l=1,\; 2,\ldots,\; Nk(\rho)$. Then the accident severity density value $Ds k$ of cell $k$ is Eq. 2.

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\pi \rho^2} \sum_{l=1}^{Nk(\rho)} x_l \sum_{j=1}^{Nk(\rho)} x_j \frac{Nk(\rho)}{l} \right]$$

(2)

2.2 Cluster analysis
Cluster analysis refers to an analysis process that divides a set of physical or abstract objects into different categories composed of similar objects through certain rules. Spatial clustering analysis is based on the classification rules based on a certain spatial relationship, so as to obtain the spatial distribution characteristics of related objects [21].

Two clustering methods of outlier analysis and hot spot analysis are used to study the spatial distribution of accident severity. The meaning of non-aggregate calculation is that all calculations are based on the attributes of a single accident sample point, not the overall attributes after spatial aggregation [22]. Compared with the aggregate method, the non-aggregate method can retain the original data attributes to the maximum extent, which is conducive to the in-depth study of accident data, but it also requires more computing resources. In addition, unlike traditional methods based on hierarchical or divided clusters, which can only judge whether a sample belongs to a certain category, outlier analysis and hot spot analysis methods can identify samples that do not belong to any cluster or give confidence that the samples belong to a certain category. It is more comprehensive to describe the spatial distribution characteristics of accident points [23, 24].

Outlier analysis determines the correlation between a point and a neighbouring point in the space by calculating the local Moran index $I$ (Local Moran’s I) statistic of the data point [22]. The calculation is Eq. 3:

$$I_i = \frac{x_i - \bar{X}}{S_i^2} \sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^{n} \omega_{ij} (x_j - \bar{X})$$

(3)

In the Eq. 3: $I_i$ represents the local Moran index $I$ statistic of data point $i$, $n$ is the total number of data points, $x_i$ and $x_j$ are the attributes of data point $i$ and $j$ (this paper refers to the severity of the accident), and $\bar{X}$ is the attribute global average, $\omega_{ij}$ is the spatial weight between data point $i$ and other data points $j$, usually taken as the inverse of the distance between the two points, and $s$ is the second-order sample moment of all data point attributes except the data points. Equation 4 for the $S2_i$:

$$S_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1; j \neq i}^{n} (x_j - \bar{X})^2}{n - 1}$$

(4)

The z score $Z_i$ of the data point $i$ can be calculated by Eq. 5.
The generally used statistical significance confidence is 95%, when the \( p \) value is less than 0.05, it can be considered statistically significant. According to the normal distribution, the corresponding threshold value of \( z \) is \( \pm 1.96 \). Under statistically significant conditions, if the value of \( I \) is positive, it indicates that the data point has the same high or low attribute value as the neighboring point, and that point is a part of a high–high value cluster or a low–low value cluster. Whether it belongs to high–high clustering or low–low clustering depends on the relationship between the attribute value of this point and the average value of the attributes of all data points. If the value of \( I \) is negative, it means that there is a significant difference between the attribute value of the data point and the adjacent point, that is the point is an outlier.

Hot spot analysis is to determine whether the point belongs to the same category as neighboring points by calculating the Getis–Ord \( G^* \) statistic of each data point [22]. Getis–Ord \( G^* \) statistic \( G^*_i \) can be calculated by Eq. 8:

\[
G^*_i = \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\omega_{ij}x_j) - \bar{X} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{ij}}{S} \right) \cdot \left[ \sqrt{\frac{n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{ij}^2 - \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{ij} \right)^2}{n-1}} \right]^{-1}
\]

Among them, \( S \) can be calculated by Eq. 9:

\[
S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2}{n} - \bar{X}^2}
\]

The \( G^*_i \) calculated by Eq. 8 is directly the \( z \) score, so no further calculation is needed. Under statistically significant conditions (that is, the \( z \) score is greater than 1.96 or less than \(-1.96\)), the higher the \( z \) score, the closer the cluster of high values (hot spots); the lower the \( z \) score, the closer the cluster of low values (cold spots).

### 3 Data collection and process

In this paper, the road traffic accident data of 2017 in Wales, UK (covering 22 counties and cities) are used. Considering the impact of the accidents and the severity of the accidents, 4629 accident records with summary procedures are selected for analysis (filter out accidents that are not located in Wales). The basic work of accident analysis using GIS technology is the positioning of accident points.

Figure 1a is the accident distribution map after positioning, in which the gray lines represent the road network. To better understand the distribution of accidents in Wales’s counties, Fig. 1b shows the administrative zoning map of Wales.
4 Data collection and process

4.1 Spatial distribution characteristics of accidents based on density analysis

An index used to measure the level of road traffic safety in an urban area is the number of traffic accidents in a unit area. According to the calculation method in Eq. 1, the cell length $d$ and neighbourhood radius $\rho$ need to be determined through actual calculation. Comprehensive considerations of the accuracy and efficiency of computing, the cell length and neighbourhood radius are recommended by the GIS software, which are respectively $1/30$ and $1/250$ of the smaller values of the height and width in the output image range neighbourhood. The latitude and longitude of accident data distribution in this study area are in the $-5.48° \sim -2.65°$ and $51.34°$–$53.43°$, the latitude and longitude conversion to the actual distance available cell length and the neighbourhood radius after 696 m and 5797 m respectively. For the convenience of comparison, the maximum normalization method is used to normalize the density values obtained. The resulting density calculations are shown in Fig. 2. Figure 2a, c respectively show the accident density distribution map without considering the road network density and considering the road network density. The darker the color, the higher the accident density is. In addition, on the basis of the density distribution map, an interval with a density of $0.5–0.75$ and $0.75–1$ is selected as the accident medium–high density area and high density area, as shown in Fig. 2b, d.

Compared with the actual administrative districts, the areas with high accident density are mainly concentrated in Swansea, Neath Port Talbot, Bridgend, Merthyr Tydfil, Cardiff, Caerphilly, Newport, Denbighshire, Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Flintshire and Wrexham. The density of accident points per unit area within a certain period of time does not fully reflect the frequency of accidents per unit road length. Therefore, in order to exclude the influence factor of road network density, the ratio of the density of accident points to the density of road network are calculated (the ratio of the $Da_k$ and $Dr_k$). Figure 2c shows the distribution of the ratio.
(which can be understood as the number of traffic accidents per unit length). It can be seen from Fig. 2c that the accident frequency distribution after excluding the influence of road network density is somewhat different from the original accident frequency distribution. In Fig. 2a, the central areas of Rhondda Cynon Taff, Merthyr Tydfil, Caerphilly, Newport, Flintshire and Wrexham have a high accident density, while in Fig. 2c, these areas are relatively light in color, indicating that the accident rate per road length in these areas is not high, and the reason for the high density of accident points is that the road network is dense. Cardiff and Swansea, however, are still very dark in Fig. 2c, indicating that the area is an accident density area regardless of the number of accidents per unit area or the number of accidents per unit road length. The densities between 0.5–0.75 and 0.75–1 are also selected as the accident medium–high density area and high density area, as shown in Fig. 2d. By comparison with the actual road network, it can be determined that the area with the higher ratio in the figure is the central area of Cardiff and Swansea.

The above analysis mainly compares the frequency of accidents. The frequency of accidents is only a measure of the severity of traffic accidents, the other is the severity of accidents. An area where there are occasional particularly serious accidents is often more important than an area where there are frequent minor accidents. Based on the classification principle of existing literature and actual data [25], this paper divides the severity of accidents into 4 grades, and the meaning of each grade is shown in Table 1.

The average accident severity distribution per unit area can be obtained by taking the accident severity as the weight, calculating the weighted density of all accident points, and then dividing by the density of accident frequency. The results for this method are shown in Fig. 3.

4.2 Spatial distribution characteristics of accidents based on cluster analysis

The results of traffic accident outlier analysis and hot spot analysis can be obtained by using the clustering analysis tool of GIS software and Eq. 4. The selected feature field is the severity of accidents.

In Fig. 4a, the black dots represent high-severity accidents (high–high cluster); the blue dots represent low-severity accidents (low–low cluster); The yellow dots represent the high-low value category (high–low outlier), that is, the few high-severity accident categories contained in the space occupied by many low-severity accidents.

| Table 1 Injury severity level of traffic accidents |
| --- | --- |
| Level | Standard |
| 1 | All other accidents |
| 2 | There were no fatalities or serious injuries but minor injuries |
| 3 | There were no fatalities but serious injuries |
| 4 | There were fatalities |

![Fig. 3 Density graph of the severity of traffic accidents](image)
accident spots; The white points represent the low–
high-value category (low–high outlier), that is, the few
low-severity accidents included in the space occupied
by many high-severity accidents; The grey points repre-
sent the points without obvious clustering features (not
significant). As can be seen from Fig. 4a, in the central
areas of Swansea, Neath Port Talbot, Bridgend, Merthyr
Tydfil, Cardiff, Caerphilly, Newport, Vale of Glamorgan,
Rhondda Cynon Taff, Flintshire and Wrexham, traffic
accident points show a clustering trend in the feature of
accident severity. While in Conwy, Anglesey, Blaenau
Gwent and Powys, traffic accidents show a low clustering
distribution.

Figure 4b shows the clustering distribution of traffic
accidents obtained through the hot spot analysis. The red
dots in Fig. 4b are called “hot spots”, representing high-
severity accidents; the blue dots are called “cold spots”
and represent low-severity accidents; the yellow dots
are the ones that are not distinctive. For “hot” and “cold”
spots, the shades of color represent different confidence
levels, and the darker the color, the higher the confidence
level that the point belongs to the corresponding cat-
egory. Hot spot analysis only focuses on the aggregation
shape formed by the sample according to the level of the
target eigenvalue, and does not detect outliers. Therefore,
the results of hot spot analysis will reflect more features of
regional distribution. By comparing Fig. 4a, b, it can be
seen that outlier analysis and hot spot analysis have similar clustering results in the areas with high severity
accidents and low severity accidents. The difference lies
in that the outlier analysis method is to determine the
values that do not conform to clustering features as insig-
nificant or outliers, while the hot spot analysis method is
to determine the values that do not conform to clustering
features as insignificant or express their uncertainty with
a certain confidence level.

It can be seen from results of density analysis and
cluster analysis, the traffic accidents in Cardiff, Swan-
sea, Caerphilly, Newport, Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda
Cynon Taff, and Wrexham are densely distributed. Car-
diff is the capital of Wales. Although the city is small, its
road network is dense and prone to traffic accidents. As
the home stadium of the Wales football team, the Millen-
nium Stadium is relatively large and can accommodate
a large number of spectators, which is one of the causes
of traffic accidents. Swansea is the second largest city in
Wales. The number of accidents is concentrated in the
north, where accidents are concentrated in low-grade
roads. As an important trading port city in Wales, New-
port is famous for its many bridges and accidents mainly
occur in urban area. Rhondda Cynon Taff’s traffic acci-
dents are mainly concentrated on higher-grade sections,
such as expressways and highways. Half of the population
of Wrexham County is concentrated in the city of Wrex-
ham. Expressway A483 is the main road to and from
Wrexham and is also a concentrated section of traffic
accidents.

4.3 Temporal distribution of accidents
The temporal distribution of traffic accidents has obvious
aggregation characteristics, so it is helpful to understand
the high incidence of traffic accidents as a whole. Based
on data of traffic accidents in 2017 of Wales, the tempo-
ral characteristics are analyzed according to hours, days,
weeks and months.

It can be seen from Fig. 5b, d, f that 7:00–9:00 is the
first peak of the traffic accident curve, and 17:00–18:00
is the second peak of the traffic accident curve. The first
peak period coincides with the early peak period of traffic flow, indicating that the peak period of traffic flow is the period of the highest traffic accidents. The second peak lasts from 17:00 to 18:00 which coincides with the peak traffic flow at dusk.

Figure 5a, c, e also shows the daily and weekly and monthly distribution characteristics. As can be seen from the distribution of days, the respective number of accidents Saturday is more than others’ days throughout the year. As can be seen from the characteristics of the monthly distribution, the numbers of accidents are more than 100 in some weeks, such as 6th, 10th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 25th, 28th, 29th, 40th, 44th and 46th weeks of the year. It can be seen from the monthly distribution characteristics that there are fewer accidents in February, April, June and December throughout the year, with the most accidents occurring in July. July and August are the time when the temperatures are the highest throughout the year.

5 Comparison and analysis of methods
Density analysis and cluster analysis have obvious differences in the recognition of traffic accident-prone areas and the recognition of high-density areas. In order to further study these two methods, the consistency and computational efficiency in results of the density analysis and cluster analysis are calculated. In terms of consistency, the calculation equation is as Eq. 10.

\[
 p_q = \frac{|S_q \cap D|}{|S_q|}, q = 0, 1, 2
\]  

In Eq. 10: \( S_q \) is the set of accident points in Wales, when \( q = 0 \), \( S_0 \) is the original Wales accident points set; when \( q = 1 \), \( S_1 \) is the accident points set of the high severity accident cluster obtained by outlier analysis; when \( q = 2 \), \( S_2 \) is the accident points set of the high-severity accident cluster obtained by hot spot analysis. \(|S_q|\) is the number of elements in set \( S_q \). \( D \) is the area above high density of severity obtained by density analysis, \( p_q \) is the proportion of accident points covered by \( D \) in \( S_q \) to the total number of accidents, when \( q = 0 \), \( p_0 \) is the proportion of accident points covered by \( D \) in \( S_0 \) to the total number of accidents; when \( q = 1 \), \( p_1 \) is the proportion of accident points covered by \( D \) in \( S_1 \) to the total number of accidents; when \( q = 2 \), \( p_2 \) is the proportion of accident points covered by \( D \) in \( S_2 \) to the total number of accidents.
If the results of the two methods have a good consistency, then the accident points in the cluster of high-severity accident points obtained by clustering analysis should be covered by $D$ as far as possible, because $P_1$ and $P_2$ should be greater than $P_0$. The intersection tool in GIS system is used to calculate the above three proportions, as shown in Table 2.

It can be seen from Table 2 that both $P_1$ and $P_2$ are significantly greater than $P_0$, indicating that the results of clustering analysis method can be well consistent with the structure of density analysis. The main reason why $P_1$ is less than $P_2$ is that the hot spot analysis cannot distinguish the abnormal point value, so some non-serious accidents may be classified as serious accidents, resulting in the increase of $|S_1|$ and the subsequent decrease of $P_1$.

In terms of calculation efficiency, the calculation time required by the three methods is recorded respectively (that is, the time required to obtain Figs. 2a, 3, 4 from Fig. 1). Each method is repeated for 300 times respectively, and the single calculation time change are obtained, as shown in Fig. 6.

As shown in Fig. 6, the density analysis method takes the shortest time, and the hot spot analysis is between the density analysis and the outlier analysis. The time needed for outlier analysis is not only more than density analysis method, also significantly greater than belong to hot spot analysis method of clustering algorithm, this may be related to abnormal value analysis compared with hot spot analysis step calculation of $z$ score more steps. The average running time, variance, standard deviation and relatively range of the three methods obtained from 300 experiments are shown in Table 3.

In general, the density analysis is simple and easy to understand, and the general information about the spatial distribution of accidents can be obtained without a complex algorithm, which can help the traffic management department to form an intuitive and quick understanding of the spatial characteristics of urban traffic accident distribution. The disadvantage is that the analysis results can only reflect the rough distribution of accident severity. The results of cluster analysis are accurate to accident points, which can identify outlier points in the severity or give the credibility of accident point clustering result, such as many high-low value points identified in outlier analysis result. It is detailed comparison information of roads or blocks, which can provide support for refined traffic safety management. However, the algorithm is difficult to understand and the actual calculation efficiency is relatively low.

### 6 Conclusions

Although both density analysis and cluster analysis have been practised in the spatial analysis of traffic accidents, there are still some limitations in these methods. For example, the impact of road network density on accident density is not considered in density analysis; in cluster analysis, there is a lack of analysis of non-aggregate accident point clustering mode. In addition, the comparison of the applicability of these two methods is rarely mentioned in the existing literatures. This paper proposes a new density analysis method which consider the road network density or not. Then, compares the difference in regional distribution under the two conditions and analyzes the possible reasons for the difference. At the same time, two spatial clustering models of disaggregated

### Table 2 Proportion of accidents covered by the areas with above high severity

| Method            | Density analysis | Hot spot analysis | Outlier analysis |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Accident point ratio | $P_0$            | $P_1$             | $P_2$           |
| Coverage ratio (%) | 35               | 40                | 42              |

### Table 3 Average running time of the three methods

| Analysis methods | Density analysis | Hot spot analysis | Outlier analysis |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Average running time (s) | 9                | 13                | 42              |
| Variance (s)     | 0.24             | 0.25              | 0.72            |
| Standard deviation (s) | 0.49          | 0.51              | 0.85            |
| Relatively range (%) | 52.28           | 21.57             | 13.54           |
outlier analysis and hot spot analysis are proposed to further identify areas with higher accident severity. Finally, compares the results obtained by the two methods of density analysis and cluster analysis and analyzes the applicability of the two methods in different scenarios. The conclusions obtained are as follows:

(1) In this paper, two spatial analysis methods, density analysis and cluster analysis, are used to study the spatial distribution characteristics of the frequency and severity of traffic accidents in Wales. The study shows that the frequency of road traffic accidents in Swansea, Neath Port Talbot, Bridgend, Merthyr Tydfil, Cardiff, Caerphilly, Newport, Denbighshire, Vale of Glamorgan, Rhondda Cynon Taff, Flintshire and Wrexham are high, and considering the density of the road network, the accidents in Cardiff and Swansea are still frequent. The time distribution characteristics of traffic accidents were statistically analyzed through the chart: the traffic accidents occurred frequently in the morning rush hour and at dusk in 1 day, with the most accidents occurring on Saturday of the week, and accidents occurred more frequently in July and August.

(2) In terms of accident severity, the preliminary causative analysis indicated that the differences in road grades and traffic protection measures between counties are the main reasons for the differences.

(3) Through the comparative analysis of the results obtained by the two methods, it can be seen that the accident spatial distribution characteristics obtained by the two methods are basically consistent, the clustering analysis method can provide more spatial feature information than the density analysis method, and the density analysis method is superior to the clustering analysis method in the simplicity of principle and computational efficiency.

(4) Due to the limitation of the accidents data and the limitation of the spatial analysis method, the analysis of causes of accidents in different spatial characteristic areas is relatively brief. In the next step, we can combine multi-source data such as traffic flow and street view pictures to deeply explore the causes of all kinds of traffic accidents, so as to guide urban road traffic safety management more specifically.
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