Antibiotic treatment in *An. coluzzii* affects carbon and nitrogen metabolism
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Abstract: The mosquito microbiota reduces the vector competence of *Anopheles* to *Plasmodium* and affects host fitness, it is therefore considered as a potential target to reduce malaria transmission. While immune induction, secretion of antimicrobials and metabolic competition are three typical mechanisms of microbiota-mediated protection against invasive pathogens in mammals, the involvement of metabolic competition or mutualism in mosquito-microbiota and microbiota-*Plasmodium* interactions has not been investigated. Here, we describe a metabolome analysis of the midgut of *An. coluzzii* provided with a sugar-meal or a blood-meal, under conventional or antibiotic-treated conditions. We observed that the antibiotic treatment affects the tricarboxylic acid cycle and nitrogen metabolism, notably resulting in decreased abundance of free amino acids. Linking our results with published data, we identified candidate pathways which may participate in microbiota-*Plasmodium* interactions via metabolic interactions or immune modulation.
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1. Introduction

In most mosquito species, females require blood as a source of nutrients for egg production. Blood is assimilated in the medium part of the intestine, the midgut, where it stays stationary for around two days of digestion. As in many other animals, the mosquito midgut is naturally colonized by various microbial communities which evolve in a symbiotic manner with their host [1–3]. This microbiota is composed of fungi, viruses and bacteria, the latter being currently the most studied in mosquitoes. The bacterial composition is often not very complex, with a few dominant families and genera that are shared by most of the individuals [2,4]. However, there can be many variations between different generations of mosquitoes [5] and individuals within a single species [2]. The diversity and composition of this microbiota depends on many complex factors such as locality [6,7], seasonality [6,8], composition of the water in larval breeding habitats [4,9] and feeding habits of the adults [10]. This composition is also dynamic over the mosquito lifespan, with major changes in crucial stages such as pupation and transformation into adult [7,11]. For females, the blood meal is also a decisive stage in terms of microbial composition, often with a loss of diversity but also an intense proliferation of dominant bacteria [11].
These bacterial communities are not neutral to the mosquito, they interact with their host and affect various aspects of its fitness in a positive or negative way. The microbiota has notably been shown to be essential for larval development as axenic larvae fail to develop beyond the first instar when fed with sterilized conventional food and development can be rescued by adding bacteria into the water [12]. The presence of bacteria has a positive impact on lifespan and fertility [13]. However, the intensity of bacterial growth after the blood meal generates a fitness cost to the mosquito, as reduction in this growth positively impacts mosquito lifespan and fertility [14]. Bacteria also contribute to mosquito resistance to insecticides [15] and protect the mosquito from Plasmodium infection, hence affecting vector competence [4,5,10].

Indeed, during their blood meal, female mosquitoes can incidentally acquire and transmit malaria parasites. If a female Anopheles coluzzii, major malaria vector in Africa, feeds on blood containing infectious forms of Plasmodium, the early stages of parasite development will occur in the midgut lumen and epithelium. These stages are key for parasite development as most parasites are lost within the first 24 hours, while the surviving parasites quickly proliferate for a week at the basal side of the epithelium. Parasites finally move to the salivary glands, colonize saliva and are released by the mosquito during subsequent blood feeding until death [16]. The mosquito midgut is therefore a zone where Plasmodium and the microbiota may interact directly or indirectly, via local immune responses.

Some bacteria have been reported to inhibit Plasmodium infection, either directly by producing compounds toxic to the parasite [17], or indirectly because they elicit mosquito immunity [5,18,19]. In mammals, metabolic competition is the third mechanism underlying the increased resistance of bacteria-colonized guts to invading pathogens [20]. Whether such competitions are observed between the microbiota and Plasmodium and whether such a mechanism also reduces the competence of vector mosquitoes remains an open question.

In this study, we wanted to identify the metabolic pathways that are differentially activated in the presence or absence of bacteria in the gut of sugar-fed and blood-fed mosquitoes that were not infected with Plasmodium. To this aim, we analyzed the impact of a high concentration antibiotic treatment from adult emergence on the midgut metabolic profile. Our data provide a glimpse into the effect of the microbiota on the gut metabolism and gives some insight into the metabolic interactions that may take place between the mosquito microbiota and Plasmodium.

2. Results

To investigate whether the microbiota impacts on the mosquito gut metabolome, we treated mosquitoes from emergence with an antibiotic and antifungal cocktail to avoid any colonization of the adult, and dissected mosquitoes either fed only with a fructose solution or 24h after a blood feed. We first validated the efficiency of this treatment on bacteria in dissected guts, using the bacterial 16S rRNA as a proxy of the bacterial load. We found that the 16S signal was reduced by 98% and 99% in sugar-fed (SF) and blood-fed (BF) mosquito guts, respectively (Fig. 1A, SF – p=0.0077, BF – p=0.0040, Tukey test). We found that the genes encoding antimicrobial peptides CEC1 and GAM1 were upregulated 4 to 9 fold after blood-feeding in a microbiota-dependent manner (Fig 1B, S1, CEC1 – BF/SF p=0.011; BF+abx/SF+abx p=0.51, GAM1 – BF/SF p=0.071; BF+abx/SF+abx p=0.32, Tukey test; Rodgers et al, 2017). The immune regulator PGRPLB was 7-fold induced after blood-feeding in a microbiota-dependent manner (BF/SF p=0.0041; BF+abx/SF+abx p=0.67, Tukey test), but was still expressed in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes (Fig 1B). These results are in line with previous reports and indicate that the antibiotic treatment was efficient [18,19].
Figure 1. RT-qPCR analysis of bacterial load and immune gene expression. (A) Quantification of the bacterial 16S rRNA and (B) mosquito immune genes CEC1 and PGRPLB in the gut of sugar-fed (SF) and blood-fed (BF) mosquitoes carrying a conventional microbiota (C) or treated with antibiotics (abx). Data show the average ratios from 5 independent replicates on a log scale, and error bars represent the standard error. Tukey test were used to determine statistical significance, ns – non-significant, * – p<0.05, ** – p<0.01.

We then analyzed the composition of the gut metabolome in sugar-fed and blood-fed mosquitoes, with and without antibiotic treatment, using samples collected from the same experiments. After methanol extraction followed with gas-chromatography and mass-spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis, we were able to identify and quantify 85 metabolites in our samples. Prior to any normalization, the mean peak intensity of detected metabolites increased 18-fold after blood-feeding (p=0.0021, t-test on geometric means) but was not modified in presence or absence of microbiota (SF abx/SF=0.79, p=0.52; BF abx/BF=1.12, p=0.24, t-test on geometric means) indicating that the amount of metabolites present inside bacteria is negligible in our analysis. As detailed in the methods, data normalization was then performed in two steps to account for technical variations as well as differences in total amounts of metabolites between conditions.

A principal component analysis (PCA) indicated a clear distinction between sugar-fed and blood-fed samples, matching a first component that explains 48% of the variance, while the impact of the microbiota was less clear and only appeared on the second component when restricting the PCA to blood-fed samples (Fig 2A, S2). The restructuring of the gut metabolome after blood-feeding is further revealed by a significant impact on 48/85 metabolites (Figure 2B, S3, t-test without correction, see Methods). Most of these metabolites (79% – 38/48), notably amino acids, were enriched after blood-feeding. Our results are consistent with precedent studies that have compared metabolomic profiles of sugar-fed and blood-fed Anopheles gambiae [21,22].
Figure 2. The midgut metabolome is mainly affected by blood vs sugar diet. (A) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) biplots of the metabolomic analysis. Groups are colored by treatment and negative control (NC) and quality control (QC) are present. The bottom-right panel represents a PCA focusing on blood-fed samples. (B) Volcano plot of the metabolites in sugar-fed versus blood-fed samples. The x axis represents the log2 of the ratio of each metabolite between the two conditions. Orange and purple metabolites are ≥2-fold enriched in blood-fed and sugar-fed mosquito midguts, respectively. The y axis represents the negative log10 applied to p-values, metabolites located above the green line are significantly affected (p<0.05, paired t-test).

Focusing on the impact of the microbiota, we found that the antibiotic treatment had a more pronounced impact on the gut metabolome in blood-fed mosquitoes, where 14 metabolites were significantly affected by the antibiotic treatment, while no significant difference on individual metabolites was observed in sugar-fed mosquitoes (Fig 3, S3; t-test). In blood-fed mosquitoes, we observed that three members of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) / Krebs cycle were significantly affected: citrate and isocitrate increased after antibiotic treatment while succinate decreased (Citrate – +61%, p=0.032, Isocitrate – +54%, p=0.030, Succinate – -56%, p=0.011, t-test). We did not quantify cis-aconitate, which lies between citrate and isocitrate in the TCA cycle (Figure 4), but its by-products trans-aconitate and itaconate non-significantly accumulated after antibiotic treatment. The accumulation of several metabolites and depletion in succinate suggest that different steps of the TCA cycle may happen at different rates, and that the speed of isocitrate to succinate may become limiting. A similar result, albeit non-statistically significant, was observed in sugar-fed mosquitoes.
Figure 3. Volcano plots of the metabolites in conventional (BF) vs antibiotic-treated (BF+abx) blood-fed midguts (A) and in conventional (SF) vs antibiotic-treated (SF+abx) sugar-fed midguts (B). The x axis represents the log2 of the ratio. Dark and light staining represent metabolites which are increased >20% in conventional and antibiotic-treated samples, respectively. The y axis represents the negative log10 applied on p-value, metabolites located above the green line are significantly affected (p<0.05, paired t-test). Black squares or circles highlight amino acids.
Figure 4. Model – TCA cycle and nitrogen metabolism are affected by antibiotic treatment. Metabolites detected in the analysis are shown in black and non-detected metabolites are shown in grey. Deficit induced by the antibiotic treatment are cycled in blue and accumulation in red. * Significant metabolites between conventional blood-fed mosquitoes and antibiotic-treated ones (paired t-tests). Blue and red arrows indicate potential acceleration and limiting rate, respectively, that are suggested by accumulation or deficit of certain compounds in the pathways. Our data do not allow to determine whether the limiting rate in isocitrate to succinate conversion is linked to a deceleration at this step or to an increase in glycolysis.

Our second main observation is that the abundance of several amino acids decreases in blood-fed guts upon antibiotic treatment (Figure 3A, S3). This decrease was significant for methionine (-28%, p=0.0013), glutamic acid (-26%, p=0.013), isoleucine (-20%, p=0.0090) and threonine (-12%, p=0.0059), but reflected a more general trend in amino acid abundance. This may be linked to a slower degradation of blood-meal proteins and/or increased degradation of amino acids. Interestingly, we found that hypoxanthine and uric acid accumulated in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes, albeit significantly for hypoxanthine only (Hypoxanthine +62%, p=0.026; Uric acid +119%, p=0.37). These two metabolites are produced downstream the uric acid cycle, which is responsible for nitrogen excretion in insects. The only two nucleobases that we detected, uracil and adenine, were also present
in lower amounts after antibiotic treatment, which was only significant for uracil (Uracil – -22%, p=0.046; Adenine – -28%, p=0.23). Together, these results suggest that antibiotic treatment leads to a decrease in nitrogen-containing monomers, notably amino acids and nucleobases, which may be explained by an increase in nitrogen excretion.

3. Discussion

In this study, we provide the first analysis of the gut metabolome in sugar-fed and blood-fed *Ae. coluzzii* under conventional or antibiotic-treated conditions. Our results suggest that the TCA cycle is affected by the antibiotic-treatment and reveal a loss of amino acids and other nitrogen-containing metabolites, due to some extent to increased nitrogen excretion.

We first wondered whether these observations are more likely induced by the antibiotic treatment itself or truly reflect microbiota-mediated mechanisms. The mechanisms of action of the antimicrobials used in this study are not directly connected to the TCA cycle and uric acid excretion. Penicillin [23] is a beta-lactam interfering with the biosynthesis of peptidoglycan, a major constituent of bacterial cell wall; streptomycin [24] and gentamycin [25] bind to 30S-subunit proteins and to 16S rRNA, targeting bacterial translation; Amphotericin B [26] binds to ergosterols in the fungal cell membrane, disrupting membrane integrity. Considering the TCA cycle, we did not find in the literature any evidence for an impact caused by the antibiotics directly on the TCA cycle of mitochondria. However, we cannot rule out any direct impact on mitochondria where this cycle happens. Considering nitrogen excretion, there is evidence that antimicrobials including amphotericin B, gentamycin and streptomycin are nephrotoxic and thus reduce renal function in vertebrates, which can increase uric acid concentrations in the serum [27]. This has been reported in mammals, which are ureotelic and in snakes, which as insects are uricotelic (i.e., nitrogen excretion via urea or uric acid, respectively) [28–30]. Therefore, the impact we report may (at least partly) be due to similar side-effects, where the accumulation of uric acid and hypoxanthine would result from a blockage in the last steps of excretion. However, transcriptomic data available on Vectorbase [31] suggest that several enzymes of the uric-acid cycle are upregulated in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes [32], pointing that the increase of both metabolites would also be due to their higher production. Further work using gnotobiotic mosquitoes and focusing on diverse tissues (notably Malpighian tubules which are responsible for nitrogen excretion) would allow to further characterize the effect of bacteria and/or antibiotic on nitrogen excretion.

We observed an accumulation in some isocitrate and citrate and a depletion in succinate, suggesting that the rate of the steps of the TCA cycle between isocitrate to succinate became limiting after antibiotic treatment. This may be linked to an increase in glycolysis, suggested by the non-significant decrease in glucose and trehalose, or to a decrease in the isocitrate to succinate conversion itself, for instance if one of its alternative ways is interrupted. Indeed, these steps can be performed either via alpha-ketoglutarate in the conventional TCA cycle or via glyoxylate in the glyoxylate bypass. The latter is often mentioned to be absent in most Metazoans but is suggested to have appeared via independent horizontal gene transfers between eukaryotes and bacteria and hence to be missed in ortholog-based genome analyses [33].

We found that amino acids are present in higher quantities in conventionally-reared mosquitoes. Besides the suggested increased excretion of free amino acids, antibiotic-treatment may also impact on digestion and release of free amino acids. During blood digestion, amino acids are notably released by bloodmeal-induced carboxypeptidases B [34]. Their role is critical in energy harvest, as shown by the strong decrease in egg production upon treatment of the bloodmeal by anti-carboxypeptidase antibodies. Interestingly, all four bloodmeal-induced predicted carboxypeptidase genes (ACOM042510, ACOM032536, ACOM024533, ACOM24534) were found upregulated after antibiotic treatment, 24h after the bloodmeal, according to previous transcriptomic data [31,32]. This suggests that amino acid release is not impaired in the presence of antibiotics, but the availability of amino acids may feedback on the expression of these digestive enzymes. Bacteria themselves have also been reported to participate in blood digestion in *Aedes aegypti*, as some antibiotic treatments
increase the amounts of non-digested proteins in the mosquito gut, leading to lower egg production [35]. In Anopheles, antibiotics do not seem to impair egg production and we even observed that a treatment at a lower concentration increases fecundity [36].

We investigated whether our data can give some hints on the metabolic link between the microbiota and Plasmodium in the mosquito. This study did not include any Plasmodium infection but antibiotic treatment has repeatedly been found to increase vector competence to Plasmodium [5,19,37,38]. We found that free amino acids, glucose and trehalose tended to be present at higher or similar concentrations in the presence of a conventional microbiota compared to antibiotic-treated mosquitoes. These metabolites have been found to promote Plasmodium in mosquito or blood stages [39,40], therefore their decreased abundance in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes indicates that their concentration is still sufficient to support parasite development in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes. On the contrary, we identified three metabolites which may be linked with the positive impact on Plasmodium in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes.

First, phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) is enriched in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes. PEP is thought to be incorporated by PEP carboxylase or PEP carboxykinase into the TCA cycle of Plasmodium gametocytes [41]. The importance of PEP at this stage would be consistent with the observation that the apicoplast PEP transporter is required for parasites to go through mosquito stages, while it is dispensable during blood stages. Secondly, Plasmodium is auxotroph for purines, therefore P. falciparum culture media are typically supplemented with hypoxanthine so that parasites can produce nucleic acids [42,43]. We found that hypoxanthine is enriched in antibiotic-treated mosquitoes, which may be crucial at the beginning of Plasmodium development. Indeed, gametogony in the mosquito gut involves DNA replication during the first minutes of gut infection [44] and large amounts of DNA are produced in the oocyst stage, where each parasite multiplies to thousands within a week [16]. Thirdly, we observed a depletion in uracil in the gut of antibiotic-treated mosquitoes. In Drosophila, the release of uracil in the gut is a signal allowing the immune system to discriminate between commensal and pathogenic gut bacteria. Upon detection of free uracil, the production of ROS by dual-oxidase is induced, protecting the host against pathogenic infection [45]. The lower amount of uracil may therefore be more favorable for parasitic infections.

In conclusion, we observed that an antibiotic treatment in mosquitoes impacts on carbon and nitrogen metabolism. We identify three candidate metabolites which may impact on vector competence to Plasmodium, namely phosphoenolpyruvate, hypoxanthine and uracil. However, more work is required to test these hypotheses and to discriminate between the impact of the microbiota and of the antibiotic treatment.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Mosquito Rearing

The experiments were performed with female An. coluzzii from the Ngousso colony (established from field mosquitoes collected in Cameroon in 2006). Larvae were reared in distilled water and fed with TetraMin Fish Food. Adults were provided 5% fructose (w/v) ad libitum. Insectary conditions were kept at 27°C and 80% relative humidity with a 12h/12h light/dark cycle.

4.2. Blood Feeding

For experiments, 3 to 6-day-old mosquitoes were fed a first and only blood-meal. Human blood was acquired from the NHS Blood Service using membrane-feeders kept at 37°C via water circulation. Non-engorged mosquitoes were discarded.

4.3. Antibiotic treatment

The emergence water of pupae was treated with 60µg/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin (Sigma), 50µg/mL Gentamicin (Sigma) and 0.5µg/mL Amphotericin B (Fungizone) and freshly emerged
mosquitoes were fed on sterile solution of 5% fructose (w/v) supplemented with antibiotics at the same concentration. The same treatment was also added to the blood for blood-fed mosquitoes.

4.4. Midgut Dissection

Mosquitoes were dissected 24h after the blood-meal. Before dissection, mosquitoes were immersed in 75% ethanol for 5 minutes to kill surface bacteria and then washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline. Mosquitoes were dissected and 12-15 midguts were pooled for each condition for the RT-qPCR analysis (5 independent replicates) and 20 midguts were pooled for the metabolomic analysis (4 independent replicates, as the first one was used to set up the protocol). The midgut was selected as the portion of the gut located between the cardia (included) and the Malpighian tubules (carefully excluded). Dissections were performed on a slide kept on a mix of ice and dry ice and gut samples were kept in a dry tube (RT-qPCR) or in a tube containing 0.7mL pre-cooled (<-40°C) 80% methanol (Metabolomics) in dry ice while dissecting and transferred to -80°C shortly after.

4.5. RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Dissected guts were homogenized with beads in TRI reagent and chloroform, using Precellys 24 homogenizer (Bertin). RNA was precipitated with isopropanol, washed twice in 70% ethanol and resuspended in water. cDNA was synthetized from 325-650ng RNA using the Takara Reverse Transcriptase kit. qPCR amplifications were performed in duplicate using the Takara SYBR Premix Ex Taq Kit with a total volume of 10µL on a 7500 Fast Real Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences are indicated in Table S1.

4.6. Metabolomics

4.6.1. Methanol quenching

Freshly dissected samples were homogenized using a Precellys 24 homogenizer. A negative control including no biological sample was included. Cellular debris were spun down at 14000rpm at 4°C for 15min and the supernatant was transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube. The pellet was homogenized and spun again in 0.7mL of clean pre-cooled 80% methanol, and the second supernatant was added to the first one. Samples were dried in a vacuum drier at 45°C and kept at -80°C until dual phase extraction.

4.6.2. Dual phase extraction and sample preparation

Samples were resuspended in 300µL of CHCl₃/MeOH (2:1) and vortexed for 30s. After addition of 300µL of water and centrifugation (13,000rpm, 10min, room temperature), the top aqueous layer was transferred into an inactivated glass vial and dried before being stored at -80°C. The lower organic layers were not used in this experiment. For GC-MS, samples were derivatized by a two-step methoximation-silylation derivatization procedure. The dried samples were first methoximated using 20µL of 20mg/mL methoxyamine hydrochloride in anhydrous pyridine at 37°C for 90min. This was followed by silylation with 80µL of N-methylN-(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) at 37°C for 30min [46].

4.6.3. Data acquisition

GC-MS analysis was performed on an Agilent 7890 gas chromatograph equipped with a 30-m DB-5MS capillary column with a 10-m Duraguard column coupled to an Agilent 5975 MSD operating under electron impact ionization (Agilent Technologies UK, Ltd.). Samples were injected with an Agilent 7693 autosampler injector into deactivated splitless liners, following a temperature gradient detailed in Kind et al. [46], using helium as the carrier gas. Metabolites were identified and quantified using a workflow described by Behrends et al [47].
4.7. Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using the R (version 3.6.2) and the RStudio (version 1.2.5033) softwares. ggplot2 package was used for most graphical representations.

4.7.1. RT-qPCR analysis

For RT-qPCR analysis, relative gene expression ratios were calculated using the Pfaffl method [48] to account for efficiency differences between primers. RpS7 (ACOM041783) was used as the housekeeping gene and the condition with non-treated sugar-fed mosquitoes was used as a reference for analysis. Average ratios and standard errors were used for graphical representations. Log10 transformation was applied to ratios for statistical analysis by ANOVA (lm) followed by Tukey tests (TukeyHDS).

4.7.2. Metabolomics analysis

For metabolomic data, prior to any normalization, geometric means of all metabolite peak intensities were calculated for each sample and conditions were compared with two-sided paired t-tests.

Then, two normalizations were applied to raw data. First, a total peak intensity normalization was performed for each sample, to account for differences in amount of material input. Secondly, a spline-fit normalization was performed with the smoothn function in Matlab, to correct for metabolite derivatization over time.

The PCA function of the FactoMineR package in R was used to perform and plot the PCA. Ratios between conditions and two-sided paired t-tests were performed (t.test). Metabolomic data are very variable, hence no correction was applied to the t-tests. This statistical analysis (as any) thus needs to be considered with caution, but this strategy allowed us to better discriminate the metabolites that were most significantly affected compared to those with a high fold change that was not consistent between replicates.

Metabolomic pathways were scrutinized using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: RT-qPCR analysis of GAM1 immune gene expression; Figure S2: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot of the midgut metabolome; Figure S3: Barcharts representing the comparative percentage of signal from different conditions; Table S1: Primer Sequences for qPCR.
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