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Abstract

Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces were introduced recently in [13]. We list several equivalent definitions for these Cameron-Liebler sets, by making a generalization of known results about Cameron-Liebler line sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(2k + 1, q)$. We also present some classification results.
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1 Introduction

In [5] Cameron and Liebler introduced specific line classes in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ when investigating the orbits of the projective groups $\text{PGL}(n + 1, q)$. These line sets $L$ have the property that every line spread $\mathcal{S}$ in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ has the same number of lines in common with $L$. A lot of equivalent definitions for these sets of lines are known. An overview of the equivalent definitions can be found in [10, Theorem 3.2].

After a large number of results regarding Cameron-Liebler sets of lines in the projective space $\text{PG}(3, q)$, Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(2k + 1, q)$ [26], and Cameron-Liebler line sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ [10] were defined. In addition, this research started the motivation for defining and investigating Cameron-Liebler sets of generators in polar spaces [8] and Cameron-Liebler classes in finite sets [9]. In fact Cameron-Liebler sets can be introduced for any distance-regular graph. This has been done in the past under various names: boolean degree 1 functions, completely regular codes of strength 0 and covering radius 1, ... We refer to the introduction of [13] for an overview. Note that the definitions do not always coincide, e.g. for polar spaces.

One of the main reasons for studying Cameron-Liebler sets is that there are several equivalent definitions for them, some algebraic, some geometrical (combinatorial) in nature. In this paper we investigate Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. In Section 2 we give several equivalent definitions for these Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces. Several properties of these Cameron-Liebler sets are given in the third section.

The main question, independent of the context where Cameron-Liebler sets are investigated, is always the same: for which values of the parameter $x$ do there exist Cameron-Liebler sets and which examples correspond to a given parameter $x$.

For the Cameron-Liebler line sets, classification results and non-trivial examples were discussed in [4, 5, 7, 10, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 25]. The strongest classification results are given in [17, 23], the latter of which proves that there exists a constant $c > 0$ so that there are no Cameron-Liebler line sets in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ with parameter $2 < x < cq^{4/3}$. In [4, 6, 7, 10, 12, 16] the constructions of two non-trivial Cameron-Liebler line sets with parameter $x = \frac{q^2 + 1}{2}$ and $x = \frac{q^2 - 1}{2}$ were given. Classification results for Cameron-Liebler sets of generators in polar spaces were given in [8] and for Cameron-Liebler classes of sets, a complete classification was given in [9]. Regarding the Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(2k + 1, q)$, the classification results are described in [21, 26].

If $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ a complete classification is known for Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, see [13]. There the authors show that the only Cameron-Liebler sets in this context
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are the trivial Cameron-Liebler sets. In the last section, we use the properties from Section 3 to give the following classification result: there is no Cameron-Liebler set of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \), \( n > 3k + 1 \), with parameter \( x \) such that \( 2 \leq x \leq q^{\frac{n^2}{2}} - \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2}(q-1)\frac{(q^2 - 1)}{2}\).  

2 The characterization theorem  

Note first that we will always work with projective dimensions and that vectors are regarded as column vectors. Let \( \Pi_k \) be the collection of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \) and let \( A \) be the incidence matrix of the points and the \( k \)-spaces of \( \text{PG}(n,q) \): the rows of \( A \) are indexed by the points and the columns by the \( k \)-spaces. 

We define \( A_i \) as the adjacency matrix of the relation \( R_i \) with  
\[
R_i = \{(\pi, \pi')|\pi, \pi' \in \Pi_k, \dim(\pi \cap \pi') = k - i\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k + 1.
\]

These relations \( R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_{k+1} \) form the Grassmann association scheme \( J_q(n+1,k+1) \). Remark that \( A_0 = I \) and \( \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} A_i = J \) where \( I \) and \( J \) are the identity matrix and all-one matrix respectively. We denote the all-one vector by \( j \). Note that the Grassmann graph for \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) has adjacency matrix \( A_1 \). 

It is known that there is an orthogonal decomposition \( V_0 \perp V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_{k+1} \) of \( \mathbb{R}^{\Pi_k} \) in maximal common eigenspaces of \( A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{k+1} \). In the following lemmas and theorems, we denote the disjointness matrix \( A_{k+1} \) also by \( K \) since the corresponding graph is a \( q \)-Kneser graph. For more information about the Grassmann schemes we refer to [2, Section 9.3] and [19, Section 9].

We will use the Gaussian binomial coefficient \( \binom{a}{b}_q \) for \( a, b \in \mathbb{N} \) and prime power \( q \geq 2 \):  
\[
\binom{a}{b}_q = \frac{(q^a - 1) \cdots (q^{a-b+1} - 1)}{(q^b - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}.
\]

The Gaussian binomial coefficient \( \binom{a}{b}_q \) is equal to the number of \( b \)-spaces of the vector space \( F_q^n \), or in the projective context, the number of \( (b-1) \)-spaces in the projective space \( \text{PG}(a - 1, q) \). If the field size \( q \) is clear from the context, we will write \( \binom{a}{b}_q \) instead of \( \binom{a}{b}_{q, q} \). 

The following counting result will be used several times in this article.

Lemma 2.1 ([27, Section 170]). The number of \( j \)-spaces disjoint from a fixed \( m \)-space in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) equals \( q^{(m+1)(j+1) - \frac{m^2 - m}{2}} \). 

To end the introduction of this section, we give the definition of a \( k \)-spread and a partial \( k \)-spread of \( \text{PG}(n,q) \).

Definition 2.2. A partial \( k \)-spread of \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) is a collection of \( k \)-spaces which are mutually disjoint. A \( k \)-spread in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) is a partial \( k \)-spread in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) that partitions the point set of \( \text{PG}(n,q) \). 

Remark that a \( k \)-spread of \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) exists if and only if \( k + 1 \) divides \( n + 1 \), and necessarily contains \( \frac{q^{n+1} - 1}{q^k - 1} \) elements ([28]). A regular \( k \)-spread is a \( k \)-spread that can be constructed using field reduction.

Before we start with proving some equivalent definitions for a Cameron-Liebler set of \( k \)-spaces, we give some lemmas and definitions that we will need in the characterization Theorem 2.9.

Lemma 2.3 ([11]). Consider the Grassmann scheme \( J_q(n+1,k+1) \). The eigenvalue \( P_{ji} \) of the distance-\( i \) relation for \( V_j \) is given by:  
\[
P_{ji} = \min_{s = \max(0,j-i)} (\min_{s = \max(0,j-i)} (-1)^{j+s} \binom{j}{s} \binom{n-k+s-j}{n-k-i} \binom{k+1-s}{i} q^{(i+j+s)+(j-s)}. \]

Lemma 2.4. If \( P_{1i}, i \geq 1 \), is the eigenvalue of \( A_i \) corresponding to \( V_j \), then \( j = 1 \).
Proof. We need to prove that $P_{ij} \neq P_{jl}$ for $q$ a prime power and $j > 1$. We will first introduce $\phi_i(j) = \max \{a \mid q^a | P_{ij}\}$, which is the exponent of $q$ in the factorization of $P_{ij}$. Remark that $\frac{q^*}{q}$ equals 1 modulo $q$ and note that it is sufficient to show that $\phi_i(j)$, $j > 1$, is different from $\phi_i(1)$ for all $i$. By Lemma 2.3 we see that

$$\phi_i(j) = \min \left\{ i(i + s - j) + \frac{j - s}{2} \mid \max\{0, j - i\} \leq s \leq \min\{j, k + 1 - i\} \right\}$$

unless there are two or more terms with a power of $q$ with minimal exponent as factor and that have zero as their sum. If $s$ is the integer in $\{\max\{0, j - i\}, \ldots, \min\{j, k + 1 - i\}\}$ closest to $j - i - \frac{1}{2}$, then $f_{ij}(s) = i(i + s - j) + \binom{j - s}{2}$ is minimal.

- If $j \leq i$, we see that $f_{ij}(s)$ is minimal for $s = 0$. Then we find $\phi_i(j) = \frac{1}{2}j^2 - (i + \frac{1}{2})j + i^2$. We see that for a fixed $i$, $\phi_i(k - 1) > \phi_i(k)$, $k \leq i$. Note that the minimal value for $f_{ij}(s)$ is reached for only one $s$.

- If $j \geq i$, we see that $f_{ij}(s)$ is minimal for $s = j - i$. Then we find $\phi_i(j) = \binom{2}{j}$. Again we note that the minimal value for $f_{ij}(s)$ is reached for only one $s$.

We can conclude the following inequality for a given $i \geq 1$:

$$\phi_i(1) > \phi_i(2) > \cdots > \phi_i(i) = \phi_i(i + 1) = \cdots = \phi_i(k + 1) .$$

This implies the statement for $i \neq 1$.

For $i = 1$ we have $P_{11} = -\left[ \binom{k+1}{1} \right] + \left[ \binom{n-k}{1} \right] q$ and $P_{1j} = -\left[ \binom{k-j+1}{1} \right] + \left[ \binom{n-k}{1} \right] \binom{k+1-j}{1} q$, so we can see that they are different if $j \neq n + 1$. This is always true since $j \in \{1, \ldots, k + 1\}$ and $k < n$. \(\square\)

Note that for $j \geq 1$ it was already known that $|P_{ji}| \leq |P_{1i}|$. This result was shown in [3, Proposition 5.4(ii)].

Lemma 2.5. Let $\pi$ be a $k$-dimensional subspace in $\text{PG}(n,q)$ with $\chi_{\pi}$ the characteristic vector of the set $\{\pi\}$. If $Z$ is the set of all $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$ disjoint from $\pi$ with characteristic vector $\chi_Z$, then

$$\chi_Z = q^{k^2+k} \binom{n-k-1}{k} \left( \binom{n}{k}^{-1} j - \chi_{\pi} \right) \in \ker(A).$$

Proof. Let $v_{\pi}$ be the incidence vector of $\pi$ with its positions corresponding to the points of $\text{PG}(n,q)$. Note that $A\chi_{\pi} = v_{\pi}$. We have that $A\chi_Z = q^{k^2+k} \binom{n-k-1}{k} (j - v_{\pi})$ since $Z$ is the set of all $k$-spaces disjoint from $\pi$ and every point not in $\pi$ is contained in $q^{k^2+k} \binom{n-k-1}{k}$ $k$-spaces skew to $\pi$ (see Lemma 2.1). The lemma now follows from

$$\chi_Z = q^{k^2+k} \binom{n-k-1}{k} \left( \binom{n}{k}^{-1} j - \chi_{\pi} \right) \in \ker(A) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad A\chi_Z = q^{k^2+k} \binom{n-k-1}{k} \left( \binom{n}{k}^{-1} Aj - A\chi_{\pi} \right). \quad \square$$

Definition 2.6. A switching set is a partial $k$-spread $\mathcal{R}$ for which there exists a partial $k$-spread $\mathcal{R}'$ such that $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}' = \emptyset$, and $\cup_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}} \pi = \cup_{\pi \in \mathcal{R}'} \pi$, in other words, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ have no common members and cover the same set of points. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ are a pair of conjugate switching sets.

The next lemma is a classical result in design theory.

Lemma 2.7. The incidence matrix of a 2-design has full row rank.

The following lemma gives the relation between the common eigenspaces $V_0$ and $V_1$ of the matrices $A_i, i \in \{0, \ldots, k + 1\}$, and the row space of the matrix $A$. For the proof we refer to [19, Theorem 9.1.4].

Lemma 2.8. For the Grassmann scheme $J_q(n + 1, k + 1)$ we have that $\text{Im}(A^T) = V_0 \perp V_1$ and $V_0 = \langle j \rangle$. 

3
We want to make a combination of a generalization of Theorem 3.2 in [10] and Theorem 3.7 in [26] to give several equivalent definitions for a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a non-empty set of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, $n \geq 2k + 1$, with characteristic vector $\chi$, and $x$ so that $|\mathcal{L}| = x \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$. Then the following properties are equivalent.

1. $\chi \in \text{Im}(A^T)$.
2. $\chi \in \ker(A)^\perp$.
3. For every $k$-space $\pi$, the number of elements of $\mathcal{L}$ disjoint from $\pi$ is $(x - \chi(\pi)) \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k^2+k}$.
4. The vector $\chi - x \begin{bmatrix} q^{k+1} - 1 \\ q^{n-k} 1 \end{bmatrix} j$ is a vector in $V_1$.
5. $\chi \in V_0 \perp V_1$.
6. For a given $i \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ and any $k$-space $\pi$, the number of elements of $\mathcal{L}$, meeting $\pi$ in a $(k-i)$-space is given by:

$$
\begin{cases}
(x - 1) \begin{bmatrix} q^{k+1} - 1 \\ q^{n-k} 1 \end{bmatrix} + q^i \begin{bmatrix} q^{n-k-1} \\ q^{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } \pi \in \mathcal{L}, \\
x \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} q^i & \text{if } \pi \notin \mathcal{L}.
\end{cases}
$$

7. For every pair of conjugate switching sets $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R'}$, we have that $|\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}| = |\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R'}|$. 

If $\text{PG}(n,q)$ admits a $k$-spread, then the following properties are equivalent to the previous ones.

8. $|\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{S}| = x$ for every $k$-spread $\mathcal{S}$ in $\text{PG}(n,q)$.
9. $|\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{S}| = x$ for every regular $k$-spread $\mathcal{S}$ in $\text{PG}(n,q)$.

**Proof.** We first prove that properties 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 are equivalent by proving the following implications:

- **1 $\iff$ 2:** This follows since $\text{Im}(B^T) = \ker(B)^\perp$ for every matrix $B$.
- **2 $\implies$ 3:** We assume that $\chi \in \ker(A)^\perp$. Let $\pi \in \Pi_k$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ the set of $k$-spaces disjoint from $\pi$. By Lemma 2.5, we know that

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{Z}} = q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -j + \chi \end{bmatrix} \right) \in \ker(A).
$$

Since $\chi \in \ker(A)^\perp$, this implies

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \chi = q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -j + \chi \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0
$$
$$
\iff |\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{L}| = q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1^{n-k-1} \\ k \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{L} - \chi(\pi)| = 0
$$
$$
\iff |\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{L}| = (x - \chi(\pi)) q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The last equality shows that the number of elements of $\mathcal{L}$ disjoint from $\pi$ is $(x - \chi(\pi)) q^{k^2+k} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}$.

- **3 $\implies$ 4:** By expressing property 3 in vector notation, we find that $K = (xj - \chi) \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k^2+k}$ and since by Lemma 2.1 we have $Kj = q^{k+1} \begin{bmatrix} n-k-1 \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix}$, we see that $v = \chi - x \begin{bmatrix} q^{k+1} - 1 \\ q^{n-k} 1 \end{bmatrix} j$ is an
eigenvector of $K$:

\[
Kv = K \left( \chi - x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} j \right) = (xj - \chi) \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ k \end{array} \right] q^{k^2+k} - x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} q^{(k+1)^2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ k+1 \end{array} \right] j \\
= \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ k \end{array} \right] q^{k^2+k} (xj - \chi - x \frac{q^{n+1} - q^{k+1}}{q^{n+1} - 1} j) \\
= - \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ k \end{array} \right] q^{k^2+k} \chi - x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} j \\
= P_{1,k+1} v.
\]

By Lemma 2.4 for $i = k+1$, we know that $v \in V_1$.

- 4 $\Rightarrow$ 5: This follows since $V_0 = \langle j \rangle$ (see Lemma 2.8).
- 5 $\Rightarrow$ 1: This follows from Lemma 2.8.
- 4 $\Rightarrow$ 6: Denote $\chi - x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} j$ by $v$. The matrix $A_i$ corresponds to the relation $R_i$. This implies that $\langle A_i \chi \rangle$ gives the number of $k$-spaces in $\mathcal{L}$ that intersect $\pi$ in a $(k-i)$-space.

\[
A_i \chi = A_i v + x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} A_i j = P_{1v} + x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} P_{0j} \\
= \left( - \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{array} \right] k+1 \right] q^{i(i-1)} + \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ i \end{array} \right] k q^i \chi - x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} j \\
+ x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ i \end{array} \right] k q^i \chi - x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} j \\
+ x \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{n+1} - 1} q^{i(i-1)} \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{array} \right] k+1 \right] q^{i(i-1)} + \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ i \end{array} \right] k q^i + \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ i \end{array} \right] k+1 q^i \right) j \\
= \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ i \end{array} \right] k q^i \chi + x \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{array} \right] k+1 q^{i(i-1)} j
\]

Remark that this proves the implication for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$.

- 6 $\Rightarrow$ 4: We follow the approach of Lemma 3.5 in [26] where we look for an eigenvalue of $A_i$ and we define $\beta_i = x \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+1 \\ i \end{array} \right] [n-k-1] q^{i(i-1)}$.

From property 6 we know that

\[
A_i \chi = \chi \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+1 \\ i \end{array} \right] n-k-1 \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{array} \right] q^{i(i-1)} (j - \chi) \\
+ \left( (x-1) \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1} + q^i \frac{q^{n-k-1} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1} \right) q^{i(i-1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{array} \right] k \chi \\
= \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k \\ i \end{array} \right] k q^i - [k+1] [n-k-1] q^{i(i-1)} \chi + x \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ i-1 \end{array} \right] k+1 q^{i(i-1)} j \\
= P_{1\chi} + \beta_i j
\]

Then we can see that $v_i = \chi + \frac{\beta_i}{P_{1\chi} - P_{0j}} j$ is an eigenvector for $A_i$ with eigenvalue $P_{1i}$:

\[
A_i \left( \chi + \frac{\beta_i}{P_{1\chi} - P_{0j}} j \right) = P_{1\chi} + \beta_i j + \frac{\beta_i}{P_{1\chi} - P_{0j}} P_{0j} j \\
= P_{1i} \left( \chi + \frac{\beta_i}{P_{1\chi} - P_{0j}} j \right)
\]
By Lemma 2.4 we know that $\chi + \frac{\delta}{\tau_i - R_{na}} j = \chi - x \cdot \frac{1}{q^{k+1} - 1} j \in V_1$.

We show that properties 8 and 9 are equivalent with the previous properties if PG$(n, q)$ admits a $k$-spread.

- **2 $\Rightarrow$ 8:** Let $S$ be a $k$-spread in PG$(n, q)$ and $\chi_S$ its characteristic vector. Then we know that $\chi_S - [\frac{n}{k}]^{-1} j \in \ker(A)$. Since $\chi \in \ker(A)^k$, we have that
  \[
  0 = \chi \cdot \left( \chi_S - \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]^{-1} j \right) = |L \cap S| - |L| \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]^{-1},
  \]
  so $|L \cap S| = |L| \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right]^{-1} = x$.

- **8 $\Rightarrow$ 9:** Trivial.

- **9 $\Rightarrow$ 3:** Suppose that PG$(n, q)$ contains $k$-spreads, hence also regular $k$-spreads. We know that the group PGL$(n + 1, q)$ acts transitively on the pairs of pairwise disjoint $k$-spaces. Let $n_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, be the number of regular $k$-spreads that contain $i$ fixed pairwise disjoint $k$-spaces. This number only depends on $i$, and not on the chosen $k$-spaces.

  Let $\pi$ be a fixed $k$-space. The number of pairs $(\pi', S)$, with $S$ a regular $k$-spread that contains $\pi$ and $\pi'$ is equal to $q^{(k+1)^2 \frac{n-k}{k+1}} \cdot n_2 = n_1 \cdot q^{\frac{n+1}{q^{r-1}} - 1}$, so $n_1/n_2 = q^{(k+1) \frac{n-k}{k}}$.

  By counting the number of pairs $(\pi', S)$, with $\pi' \in L$ and $S$ a regular $k$-spread that contains $\pi$ and $\pi'$, we find that the number of $k$-spaces in $L$, disjoint from a fixed $k$-space $\pi$, is given by $(x - \chi(\pi))n_1/n_2 = (x - \chi(\pi))q^{(k+1) \frac{n-k}{k}}$.

To end this proof, we show that property 7 is equivalent with the other properties.

- **2 $\Rightarrow$ 7:** Let $\chi_{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{R}'}$, be the characteristic vectors of the pair of conjugate switching sets $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ respectively. As $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}'$ cover the same set of points, we find: $\chi_{\mathcal{R}} - \chi_{\mathcal{R}'} \in \ker(A)$. This implies $0 = \chi \cdot (\chi_{\mathcal{R}} - \chi_{\mathcal{R}'}) = \chi \cdot \chi_{\mathcal{R}} - \chi \cdot \chi_{\mathcal{R}'}$, so that $\chi \cdot \chi_{\mathcal{R}} = |L \cap \mathcal{R}| = |L \cap \mathcal{R}'| = \chi \cdot \chi_{\mathcal{R}'}$.

- **7 $\Rightarrow$ 1:** We first show that property 7 implies the other properties if $n = 2k + 1$. For any two $k$-spreads $S_1, S_2$, the sets $S_1 \setminus S_2$ and $S_2 \setminus S_1$ form a pair of conjugate switching sets. So $|L \cap (S_1 \setminus S_2)| = |L \cap (S_2 \setminus S_1)|$, which implies that $|L \cap S_1| = |L \cap S_2| = c$.

  Now we prove that this constant $c$ equals $x = |L| \left[ \frac{2k+1}{k} \right]^{-1}$. Let $n_i$, for $i = 0, 1$, be the number of $k$-spreads containing $i$ fixed pairwise disjoint $k$-spaces. This number only depends on $i$, and not on the chosen $k$-spaces. The number of pairs $(\pi, S)$, with $S$ a $k$-spread that contains $\pi$, is equal to $\left[ \frac{2k+1}{k} \right] \cdot n_1 = n_0 \cdot q^{\frac{2k+1}{q-1}}$, which implies that $n_0/n_1 = \left[ \frac{2k+1}{k} \right]$.

  By counting the number of pairs $(\pi, S)$, with $S$ a $k$-spread that contains $\pi$, and where $\pi \in L$, we find, that the number of $k$-spaces in $L \cap S$ equals $|L|n_1/n_0 = |L| \left[ \frac{2k+1}{k} \right]^{-1} = x$. This implies property 8, and hence, property 1.

Now we prove that implication 7 $\Rightarrow$ 1 also holds if $n > 2k + 1$. Given a subspace $\tau$ in PG$(n, q)$, we will use the notation $A_{\tau}$ for the submatrix of $A$, where we only have the rows, corresponding with the points of $\tau$, and the columns corresponding with the $k$-spaces in $\tau$.

We know that the matrix $A_{\tau}$ has full rank by Lemma 2.7.

Let $\Pi$ be a $(2k + 1)$-dimensional subspace in PG$(n, q)$. By property 7, we know that for every two $k$-spreads $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ in $\Pi$, we have $|L \cap \mathcal{R}| = |L \cap \mathcal{R}'|$ since $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{R}' \setminus \mathcal{R}$ are conjugate switching sets. This implies that $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}} \in \operatorname{Im} \left( A_{\Pi}^T \right)$ by the arguments above applied for the $(2k + 1)$-space $\Pi$. So, there is a linear combination of the rows of $A_{\Pi}$ equal to $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}}$. This linear combination is unique since $A_{\Pi}$ has full row rank. Now we will show that the linear combination of $\chi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is uniquely defined by the vectors $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}}$ with $\Pi$ varying over all $(2k + 1)$-spaces in PG$(n, q)$.

We show, for every two $(2k + 1)$-spaces $\Pi, \Pi'$, that the coefficients of the row corresponding to a point in $\Pi \cap \Pi'$ in the linear combination of $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}}$ and in the linear combination of $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}}$ are equal.

Suppose $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}} = a_1 r_1 + a_2 r_2 + \cdots + a_{r_1} r_1 + a_{r_1+1} r_{r_1+1} + \cdots + a_{m} r_m$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{L}_{[\Pi]}} = b_{r_1+1} r_{r_1+1} + \cdots + b_{m} r_m + b_{m+1} r_{m+1} + \cdots + b_{s} r_s$, where $r_1, \ldots, r_i, r_m$ and $r_{i+1}, \ldots, r_s$ are the rows
Let \( \text{Lemma 3.1.} \) be proved.

We start with some properties of Cameron-Liebler sets of \( n, q \) by calculating \( \chi \).

By calculating \( \chi \), we see that \( \chi \) is uniquely defined, and by construction we have \( \chi \in \text{Im}(A^T) \). Note that we only used that property 7 holds for conjugate switching sets inside a \((2k + 1)\)-dimensional subspace.

**Definition 2.10.** A set \( \mathcal{L} \) of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) that fulfills one of the statements in Theorem 2.9 (and consequently all of them) is called a Cameron-Liebler set of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) with parameter \( x = |\mathcal{L}| \mathbf{1}^{-1} \).

**Remark 2.11.** Cameron-Liebler sets of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) were introduced before in [13] as we mentioned in the introduction. Remark that the definition we present here is consistent with the definition in [13] since the definition given in that article is property 5. from the previous theorem.

Note that the parameter of a Cameron-Liebler set of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) is not necessarily an integer, while the parameter of Cameron-Liebler line sets in \( \text{PG}(3, q) \) and the parameter of Cameron-Liebler sets of generators in polar spaces are integers (see [8, Theorem 4.8]).

We end this section with showing an extra property of Cameron-Liebler sets of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \).

**Lemma 2.12.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a Cameron-Liebler set of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \), then we find the following equality for every \( j \)-dimensional subspace \( \alpha \) and every \( i \)-dimensional subspace \( \tau \), with \( \alpha \subset \tau \) and \( j < k < i \):

\[
[k]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{L} + \frac{[n-j-1]}{[k]} \left( \frac{q^{k-j} - 1}{q^{i-k} - 1} \right) \chi^{\tau} \cap \mathcal{L} = \frac{[n-j-1]}{[k]} \left( \frac{q^{k-j} - 1}{q^{i-k} - 1} \right) [k]_\alpha \cap \mathcal{L} + \frac{[n-j-1]}{[k]} |\mathcal{L}|.
\]

Here \([k]_\alpha\), \([k]^{\tau}\) and \([k]^{\tau}_{\alpha}\) denote the set of all \( k \)-spaces through \( \alpha \), the set of all \( k \)-spaces in \( \tau \) and the set of all \( k \)-spaces in \( \tau \) through \( \alpha \), respectively.

**Proof.** Let \( \chi^{[\alpha]} \), \( \chi^{[\tau]} \) and \( \chi^{[\alpha, \tau]} \) be the characteristic vectors of \([k]_\alpha\), \([k]^{\tau}\) and \([k]^{\tau}_{\alpha}\), respectively, and define

\[
v = \chi^{[\alpha]} + \frac{[n-j-1]}{[k]} \left( \frac{q^{k-j} - 1}{q^{i-k} - 1} \right) \chi^{[\tau]} - \frac{[n-j-1]}{[k]} \chi^{[\alpha, \tau]} - \frac{[n-j-1]}{[k]} j.
\]

By calculating \((Av)^{P'}\) for every point \( P' \), we see that \( Av = 0 \). This implies that \( v \in \text{ker}(A) \). Let \( \chi \) be the characteristic vector of \( \mathcal{L} \). By Definition 2 in Theorem 2.9 we know that \( \chi \in \text{ker}(A)^2 \), so by calculating \( \chi \cdot v \) the lemma follows.

3 Properties of Cameron-Liebler sets of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \)

We start with some properties of Cameron-Liebler sets of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) that can easily be proved.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}' \) be two Cameron-Liebler sets of \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) with parameters \( x \) and \( x' \), respectively, then the following statements are valid.

1. \( 0 \leq x \leq \frac{n^{n+1} - 1}{q^n + 1} \).

2. The set of all \( k \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) not in \( \mathcal{L} \) is a Cameron-Liebler set of \( k \)-spaces with parameter \( \frac{n^{n+1} - 1}{q^n + 1} = x' \).
3. If \( \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{L}' = \emptyset \), then \( \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{L}' \) is a Cameron-Liebler set of \( - \)-spaces with parameter \( x + x' \).

4. If \( \mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L} \), then \( \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}' \) is a Cameron-Liebler set of \( - \)-spaces with parameter \( x - x' \).

We present some examples of Cameron-Liebler \( - \)-sets in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \).

**Example 3.2.** The set of all \( - \)-spaces through a point \( P \) is a Cameron-Liebler set of \( - \)-spaces with parameter 1 since the characteristic vector of this set is the row of \( A \) corresponding to the point \( P \). We will call this set of \( - \)-spaces the point-pencil through \( P \).

**Example 3.3.** By property 3 in Theorem 2.9, we can see that the set of all \( - \)-spaces in a fixed hyperplane is a Cameron-Liebler set of \( - \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) with parameter \( \frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q-1} \). Remark that this parameter is not an integer if \( k + 1 \nmid n + 1 \), or equivalently, if \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) does not contain a \( - \)-spread.

In [21] several properties of Cameron-Liebler sets of \( - \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(2k+1,q) \) were given. We will first generalize some of these results to use them in Section 4.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) be two disjoint \( - \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n,q) \) with \( \Sigma = \langle \pi, \pi' \rangle \), and let \( P \) be a point in \( \Sigma \setminus (\pi \cup \pi') \) and let \( P' \) be a point not in \( \Sigma \). Then the number of \( - \)-spaces disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) through \( P \) equals \( W_{\Sigma}(q,n,k) \) and the number of \( - \)-spaces disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) through \( P' \) equals \( W_{\Sigma}(q,n,k) \).

Here, \( W(q,n,k), W_{\Sigma}(q,n,k), W_{\Sigma}(q,n,k) \) are given by:

\[
W(q,n,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} W_i(q,n,k)
\]

\[
W_{\Sigma}(q,n,k) = \frac{1}{(q^{k+1} - 1)^2} \sum_{i=0}^{k} W_i(q,n,k)(q^{i+1} - 1)
\]

\[
W_{\Sigma}(q,n,k) = \frac{1}{q^{n+1} - q^{2k+2}} \prod_{i=-1}^{k-1} W_i(q,n,k)(q^{k+1} - q^{i+1})
\]

\[
W_i(q,n,k) = \begin{cases} q^{2k^2 + k + 3} - \frac{1}{q} - 3i & \text{if } i \geq 0 \\ q^{2(k+1)^2} - q^{k+1} & \text{if } i = -1 \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** To count the number of \( - \)-spaces \( \pi'' \), that are disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), we first count the number of possible intersections \( \pi'' \cap \Sigma \).

We count the number of \( - \)-spaces in \( \Sigma \), disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), by counting \((P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_i), \sigma_i \) in two ways. Here \( \sigma_i \) is an \( - \)-space in \( \Sigma \), disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), and the points \( P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_i \) form a basis of \( \sigma_i \). For the ordered basis \((P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_i) \) we have \( \prod_{j=0}^{i} \frac{q^{2i}(q^{k+i} - 1)^2}{q - 1} \) possibilities since there are \( [2^i + 2] - 2(k+i+1) + [2^i] = q^{2i}(q^{k+i+1}-1)^2 \) possibilities for \( P_j \) if \( P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{j-1} \) are given.

By a similar argument, we find that the number of ordered bases \((P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_i) \) for a given \( \sigma_i \) is \( \prod_{j=0}^{i} \frac{q^{i}(q^{k+j-1} - 1)^2}{q - 1} \).

In this way we find that the number of \( - \)-spaces in \( \Sigma \), disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), is given by:

\[
\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{i} \frac{q^{2i}(q^{k+i} - 1)^2}{q - 1}}{\prod_{j=0}^{i} \frac{q^{i}(q^{k+j-1} - 1)^2}{q - 1}} = \prod_{j=0}^{i} \frac{q^{i}(q^{k+j-1} - 1)^2}{q^{i+j-1} - 1} = q^{\binom{i+1}{2}} \left[ k + 1 \right] \prod_{j=0}^{i} (q^{k+j-1} - 1).
\]

Now we count, for a given \( - \)-space \( \sigma_i \) in \( \Sigma \), the number of \( - \)-spaces \( \pi'' \) through \( \sigma_i \) such that \( \pi'' \cap \Sigma = \sigma_i \). This equals the number of \( (k - i - 1) \)-spaces in \( \text{PG}(n - i - 1, q) \), disjoint from a \( (2k - i) \)-space. This number is \( q^{((k-i)(2k+i+1)) [n - 2k - 1]} \) by Lemma 2.1. By this lemma we also see that the number of \( - \)-spaces disjoint from \( \Sigma \) is given by \( q^{(k+1)(2k+2) [n - 2k - 1]} \). This implies that \( W_i(q,n,k), \) \( \pi \leq i \leq k \), is the number of \( - \)-spaces disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \), and intersecting \( \Sigma \) in an \( - \)-space.

Now we have enough information to count the number of \( - \)-spaces disjoint from \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \):

\[
W(q,n,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} W_i(q,n,k).
\]
We use the same arguments to calculate $W_\Sigma(q,n,k)$ and $W_\Sigma(q,n,k)$. By double counting $(P, \pi'')$, with $\pi''$ a $k$-space through $P \in \Sigma$ disjoint from $\pi$ and $\pi'$, and double counting $(P', \pi''')$, with $\pi'''$ a $k$-space through $P' \notin \Sigma$ disjoint from $\pi$ and $\pi'$, we find:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} 2k+2 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right) - 2 \left(\begin{array}{c} k+1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right) \cdot W_\Sigma(q,n,k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} W_i(q,n,k) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} i+1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} 2k+2 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right) \cdot W_\Sigma(q,n,k) = \sum_{i=-1}^{k-1} W_i(q,n,k) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} k+1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} i+1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array}\right).
$$

This implies:

$$
W_\Sigma(q,n,k) = \frac{1}{q^{k+1} - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} W_i(q,n,k)(q^{i+1} - 1)
$$

$$
W_\Sigma(q,n,k) = \frac{1}{q^{k+1} - q^{2k+2}} \sum_{i=-1}^{k-1} W_i(q,n,k)(q^{k+1} - q^{i+1}).
$$

From now on we denote $W_i(q,n,k), W_\Sigma(q,n,k)$ and $W_\Sigma(q,n,k)$ by $W_i, W_\Sigma$ and $W_\Sigma$ if the dimensions $n, k$ and the field size $q$ are clear from the context.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces in $PG(n,q)$ with parameter $x$.

1. For every $\pi \in \mathcal{L}$, there are $s_1$ elements of $\mathcal{L}$ meeting $\pi$.

2. For skew $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{L}$ and a $k$-spread $S_0$ in $\Sigma = \langle \pi, \pi' \rangle$, there exist exactly $d_2$ subspaces in $\mathcal{L}$ that are skew to both $\pi$ and $\pi'$ and there exist $s_2$ subspaces in $\mathcal{L}$ that meet both $\pi$ and $\pi'$.

Here, $d_2, s_1$ and $s_2$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\quad d_2(q,n,k,x,S_0) &= (W_\Sigma - W_\Sigma)|S_0 \cap \mathcal{L}| - 2W_\Sigma + xW_\Sigma \\
\quad s_1(q,n,k,x) &= x \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \\ \end{array}\right] - (x-1) \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ k \\ \end{array}\right] q^{k^2+k} \\
\quad s_2(q,n,k,x,S_0) &= x \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \\ \end{array}\right] - 2(x-1) \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ k \\ \end{array}\right] q^{k^2+k} + d_2(q,n,k,x).
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_\Sigma$ and $W_\Sigma$ are given by Lemma 3.4.

3. Define $d_2'(q,n,k,x) = (x-2)W_\Sigma$ and $s_2'(q,n,k,x) = x \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \\ \end{array}\right] - 2(x-1) \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-k-1 \\ k \\ \end{array}\right] q^{k^2+k} + d_2(q,n,k,x)$. If $n > 3k+1$, then $|S_0 \cap \mathcal{L}| \leq x$ for every $k$-spread $S_0$ in $\Sigma$. Moreover we have that $d_2(q,n,k,x,S_0) \leq d_2'(q,n,k,x)$ and $s_2(q,n,k,x,S_0) \leq s_2'(q,n,k,x)$.

**Proof.**

1. This follows directly from Theorem 2.9(3) and $|\mathcal{L}| = x \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \\ \end{array}\right]$.

2. Let $\chi_\pi$ and $\chi_{\pi'}$ be the characteristic vectors of $\{\pi\}$ and $\{\pi'\}$, respectively, and let $Z$ be the set of all $k$-spaces in $PG(n,q)$ disjoint from $\pi$ and $\pi'$, and let $\chi_Z$ be its characteristic vector. Furthermore, let $v_\pi$ and $v_{\pi'}$ be the incidence vectors of $\pi$ and $\pi'$, respectively, with their positions corresponding to the points of $PG(n,q)$. Note that $A\chi_\pi = v_\pi$ and $A\chi_{\pi'} = v_{\pi'}$. By Lemma 3.4 we know the numbers $W_\Sigma$ and $W_\Sigma$ of $k$-spaces disjoint from $\pi$ and $\pi'$, through a point $P$, if $P \in \Sigma$ and $P \notin \Sigma$ respectively. Let $S_0$ be a $k$-spread in $\Sigma$ and let $v_{\Sigma}$ be the incidence vector of $\Sigma$ (as a point set). We find:

$$
A\chi_Z = W_\Sigma(v_{\Sigma} - v_\pi - v_{\pi'}) + W_\Sigma(j - v_{\Sigma})
$$

$$
= W_\Sigma(A\chi_{S_0} - A\chi_\pi - A\chi_{\pi'}) + W_\Sigma \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \\ \end{array}\right]^{-1} (A\bar{j} - A\chi_{S_0})
$$

$$
\iff \chi_Z - W_\Sigma(\chi_{S_0} - \chi_\pi - \chi_{\pi'}) - W_\Sigma \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \\ \end{array}\right]^{-1} \bar{j} - \chi_{S_0} \in \ker(A).
$$
We know that the characteristic vector $\chi$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is included in $\ker(A)$. This implies:

\[
\chi Z \cdot \chi = W_2(\chi_{S_0} \cdot \chi - \chi(\pi) - \chi(\pi')) + W_2(x - \chi_{S_0} \cdot \chi)
\]

\[
\iff
\exists \ |Z \cap L| = W_2(|S_0 \cap L| - 2) + W_2(x - |S_0 \cap L|)
\]

\[
\iff \ |Z \cap L| = (W_2 - W_2)|S_0 \cap L| - 2W_2 + xW_2,
\]

which gives the formula for $d_2(q,n,k,x)$. The formula for $s_2(q,n,k,x)$ follows from the inclusion-exclusion principle.

3. Suppose $\Sigma$ is a $(2k+1)$-space in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, and suppose $S_0$ is a $k$-spread in $\Sigma$ such that $|S_0 \cap L| > x$. By property 1 in Theorem 2.9 we know that the characteristic vector $\chi$ of $\mathcal{L}$ can be written as $\sum_{P \in \text{PG}(n,q)} x_P r_P$ for some $x_P \in \mathbb{F}$ where $r_P$ is the row of $\Sigma$ corresponding to the point $P$. Let $\chi_{\pi}$ be the characteristic vector of the set $\{\pi\}$ with $\pi$ a $k$-space, then $\chi_{\pi} \cdot \chi = \sum_{P \in \pi} x_P$ equals 1 if $\pi \in \mathcal{L}$ and 0 if $\pi \notin \mathcal{L}$. As $\chi \cdot j = |\mathcal{L}| = x\binom{n}{k}$ we find that $\sum_{P \in \text{PG}(n,q)} x_P = x$.

If $|S_0 \cap L| > x$, then $\chi \cdot \chi_{S_0} = \sum_{P \in \Sigma} x_P > x$. From these observations, it follows that $\sum_{P \in \text{PG}(n,q)} x_P = \sum_{P \in \text{PG}(n,q)} x_P - \sum_{P \in \Sigma} x_P$ is negative. Since $n > 3k + 1$, there exists a $k$-space $\tau$ in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, disjoint from $\Sigma$, with $\chi_{\tau} \cdot \chi = \sum_{P \in \tau} x_P$ negative, which gives the contradiction.

It follows that $|S_0 \cap L| \leq x$. Since this is true for every $k$-spread $S_0$ in every $(2k+1)$-space in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, the statement holds. \qed

Remark that we will use the upper bound $d_2(q,n,k,x)$ and $s_2(q,n,k,x)$ instead of $d_2(q,n,k,x,S_0)$ and $s_2(q,n,k,x,S_0)$ respectively, since they are independent of the chosen $k$-spread $S_0$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.4 in [21].

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $c,n,k$ be nonnegative integers with $n > 3k + 1$ and

\[
(c + 1)s_1 - \binom{c + 1}{2}s_2 > x\binom{n}{k},
\]

then no Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$ with parameter $x$ contains $c + 1$ mutually skew $k$-spaces.

**Proof.** Assume that $\text{PG}(n,q)$ has a Cameron-Liebler set $\mathcal{L}$ of $k$-spaces with parameter $x$ that contains $c + 1$ mutually disjoint $k$-spaces $\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_c$. Lemma 3.5 shows that $\pi_i$ meets at least $s_1(q,n,k,x) - is_2(q,n,k,x)$ elements of $\mathcal{L}$ that are skew to $\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}$. This implies that $x\binom{n}{k} = |\mathcal{L}| \geq (c + 1)s_1 - \sum_{i=0}^c is_2 \geq (c + 1)s_1 - \sum_{i=0}^c is_2'$ which contradicts the assumption. \qed

### 4 Classification results

In this section, we will list some classification results for Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$. First note that a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces with parameter 0 is the empty set.

In the following lemma we start with the classification for the parameters $x \in [0,1] \cup [1,2]$.

**Lemma 4.1.** There are no Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$ with parameter $x \in [0,1]$ and if $n \geq 3k + 2$, then there are no Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces with parameter $x \in [1,2]$.

**Proof.** Suppose there is a Cameron-Liebler set $\mathcal{L}$ of $k$-spaces with parameter $x \in [0,1]$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is not the empty set so suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{L}$. By property 3 in Theorem 2.9 we find that the number of $k$-spaces in $\mathcal{L}$ disjoint from $\pi$ is negative, which gives the contradiction.

Suppose there is a Cameron-Liebler set $\mathcal{L}$ of $k$-spaces with parameter $x \in [1,2]$ in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, $n \geq 3k + 2$. By property 3 in Theorem 2.9, we know that there are at least two disjoint $k$-spaces $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{L}$. By Lemma 3.5(2,3) we know that there are $d_2 \leq d_2'$ elements of $\mathcal{L}$ disjoint from $\pi$ and $\pi'$. Since $d_2'$ is negative for $x \in [1,2]$, we find a contradiction. \qed

We continue with a classification result for Cameron-Liebler $k$-sets with parameter $x = 1$, where we will use the following result, the so-called Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for projective spaces.

**Theorem 4.2 ([20, 24]).** If $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of pairwise non-trivially intersecting $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$ with $n \geq 2k + 1$, then $|\mathcal{L}| \leq \binom{n}{k}$, and equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ either consists of all $k$-spaces through a fixed point, or $n = 2k + 1$ and $\mathcal{L}$ consists of all $k$-spaces in a fixed hyperplane.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces with parameter $x = 1$ in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, $n \geq 2k + 1$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a point-pencil or $n = 2k + 1$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the set of all $k$-spaces in a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(2k + 1, q)$.

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from Lemma 4.2 since, by Theorem 2.9(3), we know that $\mathcal{L}$ is a family of pairwise intersecting $k$-spaces of size $[n]_k$. □

We continue this section by showing that there are no Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 3k + 2$, with parameter $2 \leq x \leq q^{\frac{2k-1}{4}} - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2} + (q - 1)^x q^{\frac{2k-1}{2}} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{q^2 + q + 1}$. For this classification result, we will use the following theorem, the so called Hilton-Milner theorem for projective spaces.

Theorem 4.4 ([1, Theorem 1.4]). Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If $q \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2k + 2$, or if $q = 2$ and $n \geq 2k + 3$, then any family $\mathcal{F}$ of pairwise non-trivially intersecting $k$-spaces of $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with $\cap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset$ has size at most $[n]_k - q^{k^2+k}[n-k-1] + q^{k+1}$.

To simplify the notations, we denote $q^{\frac{2k-1}{4}} - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2} + (q - 1)^x q^{\frac{2k-1}{2}} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{q^2 + q + 1}$ by $f(q,n,k)$. Recall that the set of all $k$-spaces in a hyperplane in $\text{PG}(n,q)$ is a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces with parameter $x = \frac{q^{2k-1}-1}{q+1}$ (see Example 3.3) and note that $f(q,n,k) \in O(\sqrt{q^{2k}})$ while $\frac{q^{2k-1}-1}{q+1} \in O(q^{n-2k-1})$.

We start with some lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. For $n \geq 2k + 2$, we have:

$$\binom{n}{k} > \binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} > W_{\Sigma}.$$  

If also $k \geq 2$, then

$$\binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} > q^{n^2-k^2} + q^{n^2-k^2-1} + q^{n^2-k^2-2}.$$ 

Proof. The first inequality follows since $[n]_k$ is the number of $k$-spaces through a fixed point in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, $[n-k-1]_k q^{k^2+k}$ is the number of $k$-spaces through a fixed point disjoint from a given $k$-space not through that point (see Lemma 2.1), and $W_{\Sigma}$ is the number of $k$-spaces through a fixed point and disjoint from two given $k$-spaces not through that point.

The second inequality, for $k > 1$, follows from

$$\binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-3} \left( \frac{q^{n-k-1-i} - 1}{q^{k-1} - 1} \right) \left( \frac{q^{n-2k-1} - 1}{q-1} \right) \frac{q^{k^2+k}}{q^2-1} > q^{(n-2k-1)(k-2)} (q^{n-2k} + q^{n-2k-1} + q^{n-2k-2}) q^{n-2k-2} q^{k^2+k}$$

$$= q^{nk-k^2} + q^{nk-k^2-1} + q^{nk-k^2-2}. $$ □

Lemma 4.6. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces in $\text{PG}(n,q)$, $n \geq 3k + 2$, with parameter $2 \leq x \leq f(q,n,k)$, then $\mathcal{L}$ cannot contain $[x] + 1$ mutually disjoint $k$-spaces.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.6, with $c = [x] \geq 2$:

$$\left( [x] + 1 \right) s_1 - \left( \frac{[x] + 1}{2} \right) s_2 > x \binom{n}{k}$$

$$\Leftarrow ( [x] + 1 ) x \binom{n}{k} - ( [x] + 1 ) (x - 1) \binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} - \frac{([x] + 1) [x] n}{2} \binom{n}{k}$$

$$+ ([x] + 1)(x - 1) \binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} = \frac{([x] + 1) (x - 2) [x]}{2} W_{\Sigma} > x \binom{n}{k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(1 - [x]) [x] \binom{n}{k}}{2} + (x - 1) ( [x] - 1 ) \binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} > x \binom{n}{k} W_{\Sigma}$$

As $\binom{n}{k} \geq [n-k-1]_k q^{k^2+k}$ by the first inequality in Lemma 4.5, the following inequality is sufficient.

$$\left( \frac{[x]^2 x + [x] x}{2} - [x]^2 - x + 1 \right) \binom{n-k-1}{k} q^{k^2+k} > \frac{(x - 2) ([x] + 1) [x]}{2} W_{\Sigma}.$$ 

By the first inequality in Lemma 4.5 and since $\left( \frac{[x]^2 x + [x] x}{2} - [x]^2 - x + 1 > \frac{(x-2) ([x] + 1) [x]}{2} \right)$, we find that the above inequality is always valid. □
Lemma 4.7. If \( x \leq f(q, n, k) \) and \( n \geq 3k + 2 \), then
\[
\left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} - (x-2)s'_2 > \max \left\{ x \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - x \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k}, \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} + q^{k+1} \right\}.
\]

Proof. For \( k > 1 \), we will prove the following inequalities:
\[
\left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} - (x-2)s'_2 > x \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - x \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} > \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} + q^{k+1}.
\]

To prove the first inequality, we show that \( x \leq f(q, n, k) \) implies it. The first inequality is equivalent with
\[
(2x^2 - 5x + 5) \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} - (x-2)x \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - (x-2)^2 W_{\Sigma} > 0.
\]

Since \( W_{\Sigma} \leq \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \), the following inequality is sufficient:
\[
(x^2 - x + 1) \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} - (x^2 - x) \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] > 0
\]
\[\Leftrightarrow \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} > (x^2 - x) \left( \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \right). \]

Given a \( k \)-space \( \pi \) in \( \text{PG}(n-1, q) \) the number of \((k-1)\)-spaces meeting \( \pi \) equals \( \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \) by Lemma 2.1. We know that this number is smaller than the product of the number of points \( Q \in \pi \) and the number of \((k-1)\)-spaces through \( Q \). This implies that
\[
\left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \leq \left[ \frac{k+1}{k} \right] \left[ \frac{n-1}{k-1} \right] \leq \left[ \frac{k+1}{1} \right] \frac{(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1) \cdots (q-1)} \leq \frac{q^{n-k+1} - 1}{(q-1)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + 1}}.
\]

By the second inequality in Lemma 4.5 we know that \( \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \geq q^{n-k-2}q^{n-k-2}q^{n-k-2}, \) which gives that the following inequality is sufficient:
\[
(x^2 - x) < q^{n-k-2} - \frac{3n}{2} - 3(q-1)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + 1}(q^2 + q + 1).
\]

The inequality \( (x^2 - x) \leq (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 \) implies that
\[
x - \frac{1}{2} < q^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{2}(q-1)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + 1}(q^2 + q + 1) = f(q, n, k)
\]
is sufficient, which is a direct consequence of \( x \leq f(q, n, k) \). We prove the second inequality in a similar way. We have
\[
x \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - x \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \geq \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - x \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} + q^{k+1}
\]
\[\Leftrightarrow (x-1) \left( \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \right) > q^{k+1}.
\]

The number of \((k-1)\)-spaces in a hyperplane \( \alpha \) of \( \text{PG}(n, q) \) meeting a \( k \)-space \( \pi \) in \( \alpha \) equals \( \left[ \frac{n}{k} \right] - \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} \) by Lemma 2.1. This number is larger than the number of \((k-1)\)-spaces in \( \alpha \) meeting this \( k \)-space \( \pi \) exactly in one point, which equals \( \left[ \frac{k+1}{k} \right] \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k-1} \right] q^{k^2-k} \), also by Lemma 2.1. We find that
\[
(x-1) \left[ \frac{k+1}{1} \right] \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k-1} \right] q^{k^2-k} > q^{k+1}
\]
is sufficient. This last inequality is true since \(x \geq 2\) and \([k+1]q^{2-k} > q^k > q^{k+1}\); here we needed that \(k > 1\).

To end this proof, we only have to show the inequalities for \(k = 1\) and \(n \geq 5\). First we look at the inequality

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2 - (x-2)s^2_2 > x \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2 + q^2
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow (2x^2 - 6x + 6) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2 + (x^2 - 2x + 1) \left( \frac{n}{1} \right) > q^2 + (x-2)^2 W\Sigma.
\]

Again, since \(W\Sigma \leq \left[ \frac{n-2}{1} \right] q^2\) by Lemma 4.5, the following inequalities are sufficient:

\[
\left( x^2 - 2x + 2 \right) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2 - (x^2 - 2x + 1) \left( \frac{n}{1} \right) > q^2
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow \left[ \frac{n-2}{1} \right] q^2 - q^2 > (x-1)^2 \left( \frac{n}{1} \right) q^2
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow (x-1)^2 < \frac{q^n - q^3}{q^n - 1}
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow x \leq \sqrt{\frac{q^n - q^3}{q^n - 1}} + 1.
\]

Since \(\sqrt{\frac{q^n - q^3}{q^n - 5}} > \sqrt{q^{n-2} - q^{n-5}} = f(q, n, 1) \geq x\) we proved the first inequality for \(k = 1\). Now we look at the second inequality.

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2 - (x-2)s^2_2 > x \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2.
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow (2x^2 - 5x + 5) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\frac{n-2}{1} \\
\frac{n}{1}
\end{array} \right) q^2 - (x^2 - x) \left( \frac{n}{1} \right) > (x^2 - 4x + 4) W\Sigma
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow (2x^2 - 5x + 5)(q^n - q^2) - (x^2 - x)(q^n - 1) > (x^2 - 4x + 4)(q^n - 2q^2 + q)
\]

\[
\Leftrightarrow x^2 + (3q-1)x - \frac{q^n + 3q^2 - 4q}{q-1} < 0
\]

As \(x \leq f(q, n, 1) = \sqrt{q^{n-2} - q^{n-5}}\), the following inequality is sufficient:

\[
(q^n - q^5) + (3q-1) \sqrt{q^{n-2} - q^{n-5}} - \frac{q^n + 3q^2 - 4q}{q-1} < 0.
\]

Since \(\sqrt{q^{n-2} - q^{n-5}} < \frac{q^\frac{5}{2}}{q-1}\) and \(\frac{q^n + 3q^2 - 4q}{q-1} = 4q + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} q^i\), the following inequality is also sufficient:

\[
0 > q^{n-2} - q^{n-5} + 3q^{\frac{5}{2}} - q^{\frac{7}{2}} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} q^i - 4q = 3q^{\frac{5}{2}} - q^{n-1} - q^{n-5} - q^{\frac{7}{2}} - 1 - \sum_{i=2}^{n-3} q^i - 4q.
\]

For \(n \geq 5\) we can see that the inequality above holds since \(3q^{\frac{5}{2}} < q^{n-1} + q^{n-3}\). \(\Box\)

**Lemma 4.8.** If \(L\) is a Cameron-Liebler set of \(k\)-spaces in \(PG(n,q)\), \(n \geq 3k + 2\), with parameter \(2 \leq x \leq f(q,n,k)\), then \(L\) contains a point-pencil.

**Proof.** Let \(\pi\) be a \(k\)-space in \(L\). By Theorem 2.9(3), we find \((x-1) \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k}\) \(k\)-spaces in \(L\) disjoint from \(\pi\). Within this collection of \(k\)-spaces, we find by Lemma 4.6, at most \(\left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k}\) elements that are mutually skew. By the pigeonhole principle, we find a value \(i\) so that \(\sigma_i\) meets at least \(\left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k}\) elements of \(L\) that are skew to \(\pi\). We denote this collection of \(k\)-spaces disjoint from \(\pi\) and meeting \(\sigma_i\) in at least a point by \(F_i\).

Now we want to show that \(F_i\) contains a family of pairwise intersecting subspaces. For any \(\sigma_j \neq \sigma_i\), we find at most \(s^2_2\) elements that meet \(\sigma_i\) and \(\sigma_j\). In this way, we find that there are at least \(\left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} - (|\pi| - 2)s^2_2 > \left[ \frac{n-k-1}{k} \right] q^{k^2+k} - (x-2)s^2_2\) elements of \(L\) that meet \(\sigma_i\), are disjoint from \(\pi\) and that are disjoint from \(\sigma_j\) for all \(j \neq i\). We denote this subset of \(F_i \subseteq L\) by
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Theorem 4.9. There are no Cameron-Liebler sets of $k$-spaces in $PG(n,q), n \geq 3k + 2$, with parameter $2 \leq x \leq q^{\frac{2n}{k} - \frac{2}{k} - \frac{2}{n}}(q - 1)^{\frac{2n}{k} - \frac{2}{k} + \frac{2}{n}}\sqrt{q^2 + q + 1}$.

Proof. We prove this result using induction on $x$. By Lemma 4.8 we know that $\mathcal{L}$ contains the point-pencil $[P]_k$ through a point $P$. By Lemma 3.1(4), $\mathcal{L} \setminus [P]_k$ is a Cameron-Liebler set of $k$-spaces (with parameter $(x - 1)$, which by the induction hypothesis (in case $x - 1 > 2$) or by Lemma 4.1 (in case $1 < x - 1 < 2$) does not exist, or which contains a point-pencil (in case $x - 1 = 1$) by Lemma 4.3. In the former case there is an immediate contradiction; in the latter case $\mathcal{L}$ should contain two disjoint point-pencils of $k$-spaces, a contradiction.

Acknowledgements
The research of Jozefien D’haeseleer is supported by the FWO (Research Foundation Flanders). The authors thank Leo Storme and Ferdinand Ihringer for their remarks and suggestions while writing this article.

References
[1] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, A. Chowdhury, P. Frankl, T. Mussche, B. Patkós, T. Szőnyi, A Hilton-Milner Theorem for Vector Spaces. Electron. J. Combin. 17, 2010.
[2] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
[3] A.E. Brouwer, S.M. Cioabă, F. Ihringer, M. McGinnis, The smallest eigenvalues of Hamming graphs. Johnson graphs and other distance-regular graphs with classical parameters. arXiv:1709.09011, 2017.
[4] A.A. Bruen, K. Drudge, The construction of Cameron-Liebler line classes in PG(3,q). Finite Fields Appl., 5(1):35–45, 1999.
[5] P.J. Cameron, R.A. Liebler, Tactical decompositions and orbits of projective groups. Linear Algebra Appl., 46:91–102, 1982.
[6] A. Cossidente, F. Pavese, New Cameron-Liebler line classes with parameter $2^{\frac{x+1}{2}}$. J. Algebraic Combin., doi:10.1007/s10801-018-0826-2, 16pp., 2018.
[7] J. De Beule, J. Demeyer, K. Metsch, M. Rodgers, A new family of tight sets in $Q^+(5,q)$. Des. Codes Cryptogr., 78(3):655–678, 2016.
[8] M. De Boeck, M. Rodgers, L. Storme, A. Švob, Cameron-Liebler sets of generators in finite classical polar spaces. arXiv:1712.06176, 2017, (submitted).
[9] M. De Boeck, L. Storme, A. Švob, The Cameron-Liebler problem for sets. Discrete Math., 339(2):470–474, 2016.
[10] K. Drudge, Extremal sets in projective and polar spaces. PhD thesis, University of Western Ontario, 1998.
[11] J. Eisfeld, The eigenspaces of the Bose-Mesner algebras of the association schemes corresponding to projective spaces and polar spaces. Des. Codes Cryptogr., 17:129–150, 1999.
[12] T. Feng, K. Momihara, Q. Xiang, Cameron-Liebler line classes with parameter $x = \frac{q^2-1}{2}$. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 133:307–338, 2015.

[13] Y. Filmus, F. Ihringer, Boolean degree 1 functions on some classical association schemes. arXiv:1801.06034, 2018, (submitted).

[14] W.N. Hsieh, Intersection theorems for systems of finite vector spaces. Discrete Math., 12:1–16, 1975.

[15] A.L. Gavrilyuk, I. Matkin, Cameron-Liebler line classes in PG(3, 5). arXiv:1803.10442, 2018.

[16] A.L. Gavrilyuk, I. Matkin, T. Penttila, Derivation of Cameron-Liebler line classes. Des. Codes Cryptogr., doi:10.1007/s10623-017-0338-4, 6pp., 2017.

[17] A.L. Gavrilyuk, K. Metsch, A modular equality for Cameron-Liebler line classes. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 127:224–242, 2014.

[18] A.L. Gavrilyuk, I.Y. Mogilnykh, Cameron-Liebler line classes in PG(n, 4). Des. Codes Cryptogr., 73(3):969–982, 2014.

[19] C. Godsil, K. Meagher, Erdös-Ko-Rado Theorems: Algebraic Approaches. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 149. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016.

[20] C. Godsil, M. Newman, Eigenvalue bounds for independent sets. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 98(4):721–734, 2008.

[21] K. Metsch, A gap result for Cameron-Liebler k-classes. Discrete Math., 340:1311–1318, 2017.

[22] K. Metsch, The non-existence of Cameron-Liebler line classes with parameter $2 < x < q$. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 42(6):991–996, 2010.

[23] K. Metsch, An improved bound on the existence of Cameron-Liebler line classes. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 121:89–93, 2014.

[24] M. Newman, Independent sets and eigenspaces. PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, 2004.

[25] M. Rodgers, Cameron-Liebler line classes. Des. Codes Cryptogr., 68:33–37, 2013.

[26] M. Rodgers, L. Storme, A. Vansweevelt, Cameron-Liebler k-classes in PG(2k + 1, q). Combinatorica, doi:10.1007/s00493-016-3482-y, 15 pp., 2017.

[27] B. Segre, Lectures on Modern Geometry (with an appendix by L. Lombardo-Radice). Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Monografie Mathematiche. Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 479 pp., 1961.

[28] B. Segre, Teoria di Galois, fibrazioni proiettive e geometrie non desarguesiane. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 64(4):1–76, 1964.