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ABSTRACT

Background: Trigeminal neuralgia is a syndrome typically considered by involuntary pain in the distribution of Fifth nerve that are activated by non-noxious stimuli. Medical Treatment with anticonvulsant remained the 1st line of treatment. If the disease becomes non responsive, there are numerous surgical options like MVD or minimally invasive percutaneous lesioning of the trigeminal nerve, such as glycerol rhizolysis, Balloon Compression, Radiofrequency Rhizotomy.

Objective: To determine efficacy of percutaneous Radiofrequency Rhizotomy for trigeminal neuralgia in terms of early pain relief in a tertiary care hospital.

Materials and Methods: 62 patients with refractory trigeminal neuralgia or lancinating, recurrent episodes of pain in the distribution of Ophthalmic (V1) and Mandibular (V3) branches of the trigeminal nerve, not responsive to 6 months of conservative treatment were included.

Result: In our study population, 62 included patients were had mean age 56.08 ± 7.39 years. 44 patients (71%) were male. Our treatment was effective in 58 patients (93.5%), while there was recurrence among 4 (6.5%) only.

Conclusion: It is concluded that the efficacy of percutaneous Radiofrequency Rhizotomy for trigeminal neuralgia in terms of complete relief of pain with intact sensations in treated branch region is excellent (93.5%).
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INTRODUCTION

TGN is a syndrome considered by involuntary facial pain. It is one of the most painful and debilitating craniofacial pain disorders. The occurrence of trigeminal neuralgia is estimated at 12.6 per 100,000 person-years. Women are more frequently affected than men, in a ratio about 2.5:1. Refractory trigeminal neuralgia is a lifelong disease affecting quality of life adversely. Though all surgical procedures allow pain relief in trigeminal neuralgia, from acost-effective point of view, percutaneous techniques of radiofrequency Rhizotomy are more cost-effective.

Radiofrequency Rhizotomy is currently in use in our setup as it costs a patient minimal and complication rate is low as compared with other surgical interventions, but there has been no systematic observation for its efficacy locally.

Trends for intervention are changing all over the world. The recent advances and evidences are using CT guided approach which is not possible in our circumstances. So, current study will help audit the current practices in our hospitals against the standard outcome achieved elsewhere as there is no local data available to determine the outcome of Radiofrequency Rhizotomy in terms of early pain relief. If the disease becomes medically refractory, there are numerous surgical options like MVD or minimally invasive percutaneous lesioning of the trigeminal nerve, such as
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design
Prospective study.

Inclusion Criteria
Sixty two patients with refractory trigeminal neuralgia or lancinating, recurrent episodes of pain in the distribution of Ophthalmic (V1) and Mandibular (V3) branches of the trigeminal nerve, not responsive to 6 months of conservative treatment were included after taking written consent from the patient and after the approval from the ethical committee of the hospital. Study was completed in one year i.e., from March 2015 to Feb 2016.

Exclusion Criteria
Recurrent cases.

Data Collection
Data was entered in specially designed performa.

Data Analysis
By SPSS Version 20.

RESULTS

Age Incidence
In our study population, 62 patients were included with a mean age of 56.08 ± 7.398 ranged from 43 to 67 years .44 patients (71%) in our study population, were under 60 years of age whereas, 18 patients (29%) were either 60 years or more in age (Table 1).

Gender Distribution
Forty four patients (71%) were male and remaining 18 (29%) were female.

Table 2: Frequency Distribution of sampled population by Time since Diagnosis > 1 Year.

|                  | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------|-----------|---------|
| Valid            |           |         |
| Less than 5 Years| 33        | 53.2    |
| 5 Years and more | 29        | 46.8    |
| Total            | 62        | 100.0   |

Clinical Presentation
Thirty three patients (53.2%) of study population has been diagnosed in less than 5 years whereas in 29 patients (46.8%), it was more than 5 years (Table 2). Branch V3 (mandibular) was involved in 50 patients (80.6%) 12 (19.4%) patients had involvement of ophthalmic division of trigeminal nerve (Table 3).

Table 3: Frequency Distribution of sampled population by Branch Involved.

| Branch | Frequency | Percent |
|--------|-----------|---------|
| Valid  |           |         |
| V3     | 50        | 80.6    |
| V1     | 12        | 19.4    |
| Total  | 62        | 100.0   |

Our treatment was effective in 58 patients (93.5%) while, there was a recurrence among 4 (6.5%) only (Table 4). When we cross tabulated age group with efficacy, 41 patients with positive efficacy were less than 60 years of age and remaining 17 with positive were either 60 years of age or more. When we applied

Table 4: Frequency Distribution of sampled population by Efficacy

| Efficacy | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Valid    |           |         |
| No       | 4         | 6.5     |
| Yes      | 58        | 93.5    |
| Total    | 62        | 100.0   |
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fisher exact test results were non-significant ($p = 0.854$) (Table 5).

When time of diagnosis was cross tabulated with efficacy, using fisher’s exact test, results were non-significant ($p = 0.116$) (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Although all surgical procedures allow pain relief in trigeminal neuralgia, from a cost-effective point of view, percutaneous techniques of radiofrequency Rhizotomy are more cost-effective. Radiofrequency Rhizotomy is currently in use in our setup as it costs a patient minimal and complication rate is low as compared with other surgical procedure but there has been no systematic observation for its efficacy locally. In our study, our treatment was effective in 58 patients (93.5%) while, there was a recurrence among 4 (6.5%) only in terms of complete relief of pain with intact sensations in treated branch region.  

In a descriptive case series, the surgical result of CT-guided trigeminal Rhizotomy came out better in 63 of the 79 (80%) patients with more than 90% (95% CI 87.5-93.7%) pain relief. While, another review study, it has been concluded that radiofrequency Rhizotomy allows for somatotropic nerve mapping, selective division lesioning, and provides pain relief in up to 97% of patients (needle not mentioned). Our results matched with CT guided Rhizotomy study conducted in Department of Neurosurgery, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan 2010, which mentioned that the surgical result of CT-guided trigeminal Rhizotomy using straight needle came out good in 63 of the 79 (80%) patients with more than 90% pain relief with an incredible outcome in pain relief.

Our study results are comparable with another study related to the review of percutaneous treatments for trigeminal Neuralgia, which concluded that radiofrequency Rhizotomy allows for somatotropic nerve mapping, selective division lesioning, and provides pain relief in up to 97% of patients RFR provide effective pain relief in most of the patients. The difference in results may be secondary to inclusion of all patients of trigeminal neuralgia (maxillary division also) as the needle used was not mentioned. There was no effect of age, gender of patient, diabetes and time elapsed since diagnosis on efficacy in sampled population.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the efficacy of percutaneous radiofrequency Rhizotomy for trigeminal neuralgia in terms of complete relief of pain with intact sensations in treated branch region is excellent at current sample size. As in our study, 93.5% patients showed efficacy in terms complete relief of pain with intact sensations in treated branch region. There was no effect of age, gender of patient, diabetes and time elapsed since diagnosis on efficacy in sampled population.

Limitation

The current study included non-representative sample and non-probability sampling technique. It is a single centered study and a randomized controlled trial is needed for more comprehensive results.
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