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Abstract
Background: Nasopharyngeal swab testing, which has greatly increased in utilization due to the COVID-19 pandemic, is generally safe and well-tolerated, although it may be rarely associated with adverse events.
Methods: Publicly reported adverse events associated with nasopharyngeal COVID-19 testing within the Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database and the published literature were queried.
Results: A total of 129 adverse events were reported, including 66 from the MAUDE database and 63 from literature review. The most common complications were swab fracture resulting in retained foreign body (47%), followed by epistaxis (17%) and headache (11%). Seven (12%) of the reported retained foreign body cases required removal under general anesthesia, while 1 (5%) of the epistaxis cases required surgical intervention. The most serious adverse event was meningitis following cerebrospinal fluid leak.
Conclusions: Patients and healthcare providers should be aware of the potential risks associated with testing, with attention to ensuring proper technique, and be prepared to recognize and manage adverse events.

Introduction
Diagnostic testing to identify individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 is critical to COVID-19 containment and providing adequate and timely patient care. Over 363 million tests were performed in the United States as of March 7, 2021, with over 1 million new tests performed daily.1 The nasopharyngeal swab is the recommended and most commonly deployed testing method.2 We hypothesize that complications associated with nasopharyngeal testing are rare but do occur. Accordingly, their characterization is warranted to ensure that practitioners are aware of and equipped to manage such adverse events. We sought to identify publicly reported adverse events associated with nasopharyngeal COVID-19 testing. We queried the Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience (MAUDE) database utilized by the Food and Drug Administration to report medical device-related complications.3 Additionally, we performed a comprehensive literature review to identify complications reported in the published literature since the pandemic emerged.

Methods
This study did not require approval from the University of California Irvine’s Institutional Review Board since data were collected from a publicly accessible database and previously published studies. The MAUDE database was queried for cases involving nasopharyngeal COVID-19 testing from December 1, 2019 to February 28, 2021 using the product class “Applicator, Absorbent Tipped, Sterile.” Manufacturers reported in each event were recorded and individually searched in the database. Furthermore, we performed a literature search of published articles in PubMed, Ovid MEDLINE, and Cochrane databases using keywords
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[“covid19” OR “covid-19”] AND “swab” AND [“complication” OR “complications” OR “cerebrospinal fluid leak” OR “CSF leak” OR “foreign body” OR “adverse events”]. The full abstract of each article was independently evaluated by 2 authors (AAH and KG). Additionally, the reference list of included studies and all articles that referenced these studies were screened. Inclusion criteria mandated the article was written in English and that the authors reported an adverse event relating to a COVID-19 nasopharyngeal swab test.

**Results**

The MAUDE search returned 416 results, of which 66 unique adverse events (from 8 swab manufacturers) were included. Fifteen of the 130 published studies generated from the literature search were included (Table 1), describing 63 adverse events. All reported adverse events are categorized in Table 2, demonstrating the most commonly reported complications following COVID-19 nasopharyngeal swab testing are swab fracture resulting in retained foreign body (47%), epistaxis (17%), and headache (11%). Of the 60 reported retained foreign bodies, 7 (12%) required removal under general anesthesia. The fragmented swab was not visualized on nasal endoscopy or imaging in 6 (10%) cases, increasing suspicion for possible foreign body ingestion. Only 1 patient (4.5%) experiencing epistaxis following testing required surgical intervention after failing clinical management with external pressure, ice packs, and cautery. The most serious complication was cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak, of which 1 case led to meningitis.

| Authors, country | Study type, no. of Complication(s) | Type of complication(s) | Management | Outcome |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|
| Gaffuri et al,16 Italy | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | Removal under general anesthesia | Full recovery |
| Stevens et al,17 United States | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | None. Suspected ingestion | Full recovery |
| Fabbris et al,9 Italy | Single-center Retrospective Review, 8 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection (3); nasal septum abscess (1); epistaxis (4) | Removal under endoscopic view (2) or swallowed (1) for fractured swab, Incision and drainage for abscess, Nasal packing under local anesthesia for epistaxis | Full recoveries |
| Föh et al,10 Germany | Single-center Retrospective Review, 2 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection (2); | Nonsurgical removal (1); None, suspected ingestion (1) | Full recovery |
| De Luca and Maltoni,12 Italy | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | Upper GI endoscopy/removal | Full recovery |
| Mughal et al,19 United Kingdom | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | Nonsurgical removal | Unspecified |
| Azar et al,19 United States | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | Nonsurgical removal | Unspecified |
| Wyman et al,21 United States | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | Nonsurgical removal | Full recovery |
| Suresh11, India | Case Report, 1 | Fractured nasopharyngeal swab during collection | Removal under general anesthesia | Full recovery |
| Gupta et al,18 United States | Single-center Retrospective Review, 41 | Epistaxis (12); nasal discomfort (10); headache (7); ear discomfort (6); rhinorrhea (6) | Unspecified | Unspecified |
| Paquin et al,6 United States | Case Report, 1 | Cerebrospinal fluid leak | Endoscopic surgical repair | Full recovery |
| Sullivan et al,5 United States | Case Report, 1 | Cerebrospinal fluid leak | Endoscopic surgical repair | Unspecified |
| Mistry et al,6 Australia | Case Report, 1 | Cerebrospinal fluid leak previously treated for meningitis | Endoscopic surgical repair | Unspecified |
| Rajah and Lee,7 Australia | Case Report, 1 | Cerebrospinal fluid leak | Endoscopic surgical repair | Full recovery |
| Alberola-Amores et al,8 Spain | Case Report, 1 | Cerebrospinal fluid leak with meningitis | Empirical treatment with cefotaxime, vancomycin, dexamethasone ×14 days | Full recovery |
Discussion

Nasopharyngeal testing for COVID-19 is generally safe and well-tolerated, with the risk of any adverse event ranging from 0.02% to 0.16% in previous single-center retrospective reviews. Nevertheless, healthcare providers should be cognizant of and well-equipped to manage complications associated with testing. In general, most of these adverse events may likely be mitigated by proper sampling technique, where the swab is inserted parallel to the nasal floor as opposed to a more superiorly oriented axis.

Swab fracture resulting in a retained foreign body was the most common adverse event reported both in the MAUDE database and the literature. Swabs have an inherent breakpoint mechanism to aid in easy transfer to the transport vial. However, this breakpoint is vulnerable to accidental fragmentation during sample collection, especially in uncooperative patients or sedated patients upon whom undue force is applied. Furthermore, when not inserted along the nasal floor axis, the swab may contact structures that can increase the risk of fracture, such as septal spurs and the inferior and middle turbinates. Retrieval was generally performed with or without local anesthesia under direct visualization with direct rhinoscopy or nasal endoscopy. However, if the fragmented swab is not visualized, patients must be carefully monitored for foreign body ingestion or aspiration. One report discussed the need for an upper GI endoscopy to avoid possible perforation given the ingested swab’s body length and sharp pointed form.

Although epistaxis was a rare and largely self-limited adverse event, its incidence warrants caution when testing individuals at increased bleeding risk. Mucosal trauma is the most likely etiology, which may be avoided by staying low in the nose. COVID-19 disproportionately affects the elderly, many of whom are treated with oral anticoagulants. Studies examining epistaxis rates following nasopharyngeal testing among such at-risk patients are needed, and a risk assessment should be considered in patients who are at increased risk of bleeding, or those with a history of rhinologic or skull base disorders and/or surgery. Iatrogenic CSF leak is an unusual yet potentially fatal complication following testing. Whereas one case involved a patient with a pre-existing encephalocele, 4 patients did not have radiographic or visual evidence of a skull base defect before testing. These reports illustrate the importance of proper nasopharyngeal swab technique which has been described through simulation models, videos, and graphics. Furthermore, providers should exhibit increased caution in patients with history of sinus/skull base surgery and consider alternative testing methods including throat swabbing or saliva sampling can be considered.

Conclusion

We recognize that the MAUDE database is limited, especially in describing patient characteristics and comorbidities which may help identify patients who are more susceptible to experiencing a nasopharyngeal swab-related adverse event. Reports of device-related complications are mandatory for industry and voluntary for providers and patients, thus data may be under-reported. Additionally, MAUDE reports may contain erroneous narrative information. There is also a possibility that some of the complications published in the literature may have also been submitted via the MAUDE database and therefore duplicated; however, the rate of complications found through the MAUDE database and the literature review do not suggest that this happened at a significant degree. While future peer-reviewed studies will continue to provide the strongest evidence on this topic, the MAUDE database helps fill the current gap in knowledge, making this study the most comprehensive overview of adverse events following nasopharyngeal COVID-19 testing.
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Table 2. Incidence of Adverse Events Related to COVID-19 Nasopharyngeal Swab Testing Reported in the MAUDE Database and Literature.

| Complication                          | MAUDE database, No. | Literature review, No. | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Foreign body retention                | 48                  | 12                     | 60    |
| Epistaxis                             | 6                   | 16                     | 22    |
| Headache                              | 7                   | 7                      | 14    |
| Nasal discomfort                      | 1                   | 10                     | 11    |
| Ear discomfort                        | 1                   | 6                      | 7     |
| Rhinorrhea                            | 0                   | 6                      | 6     |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak              | 0                   | 5                      | 5     |
| Eye discomfort                        | 2                   | 0                      | 2     |
| Nasal septal abscess                  | 0                   | 1                      | 1     |
| Anosmia                               | 1                   | 0                      | 1     |
| Total                                 | 66                  | 63                     | 129   |

MAUDE, Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience.
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