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Abstract

Background: Despite the long-standing experience of rating the evidence for clinical preventive services, the delivery of effective clinical preventive services in Canada and elsewhere is less than optimal. We outline an approach used in British Columbia to assist in determining which effective clinical preventive services are worth doing.

Methods: We calculated the clinically preventable burden and cost-effectiveness for 28 clinical preventive services that received a strong or conditional recommendation for by the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care or an A or B rating by the United States Preventive Services Task Force. Clinically preventable burden is the total quality adjusted life years that could be gained if the clinical preventive services were delivered at recommended intervals to a British Columbia birth cohort of 40,000 individuals over the years of life that the service is recommended. Cost-effectiveness is the net cost per quality adjusted life year gained.

Results: Clinical preventive services with the highest population impact and best value for money include services that address tobacco use in adolescents and adults, exclusive breastfeeding, and screening for hypertension and other cardiovascular disease risk factors followed by appropriate pharmaceutical treatment. In addition, alcohol misuse screening and brief counseling, one-time screening for hepatitis C virus infection in British Columbia adults born between 1945 and 1965, and screening for type 2 diabetes approach these high-value clinical preventive services.

Conclusions: These results enable policy makers to say with some confidence what preventive manoeuvres are worth doing but further work is required to determine the best way to deliver these services to all those eligible and to establish what supportive services are required. After all, if a clinical preventive service is worth doing, it is worth doing well.

Background

The Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Exam (later re-named the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care - CTFPHC) began to review and rate clinical preventive services (CPS) in 1976 [1], and the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) took up and further developed this work starting in 1984 [2]. Despite the long-standing experience of rating the evidence for CPS, the delivery of effective CPS in Canada and elsewhere is less than optimal [3–5]. Suggested reasons for this include health care providers’ lack of time, as well as the patient’s inability to find a provider and the lack of coordination across providers and settings [6, 7]. Yarnall estimated that 7.4 h of every primary care physician’s working day would be required to fully satisfy all the USPSTF ‘A’ and ‘B’ recommendations, based on a patient panel of 2500 with an age and sex distribution similar to that of the US population [8]. An absence of policy and supportive management and payment systems is another factor in health systems focused on acute care [9].

The optimal delivery of CPS has important benefits for the health of the population. One study estimated that...
Table 1  Potential clinical preventive services in BC. Summary of the applicable cohort, service frequency and coverage

| Clinical Preventive Service                                      | Cohort / Timing | Frequency / Intensity | Estimated Coverage BC | Estimated Coverage BiW | Reference for BiW |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Screening / treatment for depression                            | Ages 12-18      | Annually              | Unknown               | 7.4%                   | [16]              |
| Interventions to support breastfeeding                           | During pregnancy and after birth | Multiple sessions | Unknown               | 46%                    | [17]              |
| Screening for obesity and referral to comprehensive, intensive behavioral intervention to promote improvement in weight status | Ages 6-17       | Screening – at all appropriate primary care visits | Unknown               | 13%                    | [18]              |
| Preventing tobacco use                                           | Ages 6-17       | Annually              | Unknown               | 53%                    | [20]              |
| Application of fluoride varnish                                 | On primary tooth at time of eruption (ages 1-5) | Every 6 months | Unknown               | 62%                    | [21]              |
| Application of dental sealants                                  | On permanent teeth at time of tooth eruption (ages 6-12) | 4 times (on 1st and 2nd bicuspids & molars) | Unknown               | 59%                    | [22]              |
| Screening / treatment for breast cancer                          | Ages 50-74      | Every 2-3 years       | 52%                   | 88%                    | [23]              |
| Screening / treatment for cervical cancer (cytology-based)      | Ages 25-69      | Every 3 years         | 69%                   | 88%                    | [24]              |
| Addition of HPV-based cervical cancer screening                  | Ages 30-65      | Every 5 years         | 0%                    | 88%                    | [24]              |
| Screening / treatment for colorectal cancer                     | Ages 50-74      | FOBT every 2 years or sigmoidoscopy every 10 years | 50%                   | 76%                    | [25]              |
| Screening / treatment for lung cancer                            | Ages 55 - 74 with a 30 pack-year smoking history | Annually for 3 consecutive years | Unknown               | 6%/60%                 | [26]              |
| Screening / treatment for hypertension                           | Ages 18 and older | At least once every 2 years | Unknown               | 79%                    | [27]              |
| Screening / treatment for CVD                                    | Ages 40-74      | Screening - once every 5 years | Unknown               | 48%                    | [28]              |
| Screening / treatment for type 2 diabetes                        | Ages 18 and older – risk assessment | Every 3-5 years | Unknown               | 58%                    | [31]              |
| High risk for T2DM – blood glucose                              | Ages 18 and older | High risk for T2DM – blood glucose | Unknown               | 80%                    | [32]              |
| Very high risk for T2DM – blood glucose                         | Ages 18 and older | Very high risk for T2DM – blood glucose | Unknown               | 80%                    | [32]              |
| Screening / treatment for depression                            | Non-pregnant adults ages 18 and older | At least once | Unknown               | 12%                    | [33]              |
| Screening / treatment for depression                            | Pregnant and postpartum females | At least once per birth by 8 weeks postnatally | Unknown               | 39%                    | [34]              |
| Screening / treatment for osteoporosis                           | Females age 65  | One-time              | Unknown               | 58%                    | [35]              |
| Screening / treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm              | Males age 65 who have ever smoked | One-time | Unknown               | 86%                    | [36]              |
| Screening / treatment for HIV                                    | Ages 15 – 65    | Low risk – once       | 20%                   | 45%                    | [37]              |
|                                                               |                 | Increased risk – every 3-5 years | 20%                   | 63%                    | [38]              |
|                                                               |                 | Very high risk – every year | 20%                   | 83%                    | [39]              |
|                                                               |                 | During all pregnancies | 96%                   | 97%                    |                   |
| Screening / treatment for chlamydia and gonorrhea                | Sexually active females 24 years of age or younger | When sexual history reveals new or persistent risk factors since the last negative test | Unknown               | 55%                    | [40]              |
| Screening / treatment for HCV                                    | Adults born between 1945 & 1965 | One-time | 33%                   | 48%                    | [41]              |
| Screening and BCI for the prevention of sexually transmitted infections | All sexually active adolescents and adults who are at increased risk for STIs | 30 min to ≥2 h of intensive behavioural counseling | Unknown               | 29%                    | [42]              |
| Screening and BCI to prevent tobacco use                         | Ages 18 and older | Up to 90 min of total contact time, during multiple contacts | 19%                   | 51%                    | [43]              |
between 75,000 and 140,000 deaths could be avoided annually in the United States by increasing the use of nine CPS [10] while another estimated a saving of 2.6 million quality-adjusted life years in a US birth cohort of 4 million if utilization rates increased from current levels to 90% for 20 CPS [11].

The HealthPartners Institute in the US has attempted to reconcile the value of CPS with a provider’s lack of time, by prioritising effective CPS [11–14]. They note that the greatest population health improvement in the US could be gained by prioritizing CPS that address tobacco use, obesity-related behaviours and alcohol misuse [11].

Faced with this information and the lack of provincial policy on clinical preventive services in BC, the Ministry of Health established the Clinical Prevention Policy Review (CPPR) in January of 2007. The review process involved establishing a broad-based CPPR Expert Advisory Committee (the Committee), including experts from the US, the CTFPHC, the BC Medical Association (now Doctors of BC), the Canadian College of Family Physicians and others; Dr. Hans Krueger was hired as the lead consultant for the Committee.

The review asked three seemingly simple questions: What preventive manoeuvres are worth doing, what is the best way to deliver what is worth doing, and what systems need to be put in place to support delivery? While the technical reports [15] (and this article) focus primarily on the first question, the main report [9] also discussed the second and third questions, and these are further discussed towards the end of this article.

We prioritize 28 effective CPS in British Columbia, Canada using an adapted version of the approach developed by HealthPartners Institute [12]. The policy goal is to guide decision-making by the BC Ministry of Health in initiating or expanding CPS within the province.

### Methods

#### Definitions

A CPS is defined as any maneuver(s) pertaining to primary and early secondary prevention (i.e., immunization, screening, counselling and preventive medication/device) offered to the general (asymptomatic) population based on age, sex and risk factors for disease and delivered on a one-provider-to-one-client basis, with two qualifications: (i) the provider could work as a member of a care team or as part of a system tasked with providing, for instance, a screening service; and (ii) the client could belong to a small group (e.g. a family, a group of smokers) that is jointly benefiting from the service.

A clinically preventable burden (CPB) is defined as the total quality adjusted life years (QALYs) that could be gained if the CPS were delivered at recommended...
### Table 2  Effectiveness values for each CPS used in modelling

| Clinical Preventive Service                                                                 | Effectiveness (Range)                                                                 | Reference   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Screening / treatment for depression (ages 12-18)                                           | Cognitive behavioural therapy is associated with a clinically significant improvement in 12.1% of youth with MDD while fluoxetine is associated with a 25.7% (16.2 - 35.2%) improvement. | [57]        |
| Interventions to support breastfeeding                                                      | Breastfeeding promotion interventions are associated with a 44% (13 - 84%) increase in long-term (≥6 months) exclusive breastfeeding. | [58]        |
| Screening for obesity and referral to comprehensive, intensive behavioral intervention to promote improvement in weight status (children & youth) | Completion of a comprehensive intervention is associated with an 18.8% (6.1 - 40.2%) reduction in obesity. | [59, 60]    |
| Preventing tobacco use (school-aged children & youth)                                       | Interventions aimed at reducing smoking initiation / smoking cessation among non-smoking / smoking children and youth have an effectiveness of 18% (6 - 28%) and 34% (5 - 69%). | [61]        |
| Application of fluoride varnish                                                            | The application of fluoride varnish reduces decayed, missing and filled teeth by 37% (24 - 51%). | [62]        |
| Application of dental sealants                                                              | The application of dental sealants reduces decayed, missing and filled teeth by 84% at year 1, decreasing to 55% at year 9. | [63]        |
| Screening / treatment for breast cancer                                                     | Screening mammography in women ages 50-74 leads to a reduction in breast cancer mortality of 21% (10 - 32%). | [64]        |
| Screening / treatment for cervical cancer (cytology-based)                                 | Cervical cancer screening in women ages 25-69 leads to a reduction in cervical cancer mortality of 35% (10 - 53%). | [65]        |
| Addition of HPV-based cervical cancer screening                                             | HPV-based screening is associated with a 55% (19 - 75%) reduction in the incidence of cervical cancers in females ages 30 – 64, when compared to cytology-based screening. | [66]        |
| Screening / treatment for colorectal cancer (CRC)                                           | Screening with fFOBT is associated with a reduction in mortality from CRC by 18% (8 - 27%) and the incidence of late stage CRC by 8% (1 - 15%). Screening with flexible sigmoidoscopy is associated a reduction in mortality from CRC by 26% (18 - 33%) and the incidence of late stage CRC by 27% (18 - 34%). | [67]        |
| Screening / treatment for lung cancer                                                       | Screening for lung cancer is associated with a 19.6% (7.7 - 30.0%) reduction in mortality from lung cancer. | [68]        |
| Screening / treatment for hypertension                                                      | Lowering blood pressure by 10/5 mmHg results in a 22% (17 -27%) reduction in cardiovascular events and a 41% (33 - 48%) reduction in cerebrovascular events. | [69]        |
| Screening / treatment for cardiovascular disease                                             | Statin therapy is associated with a 14% (7 - 20%) decreased risk of all-cause mortality, a 31% (12 - 46%) decreased risk of cardiovascular mortality, a 36% (29 - 43%) decreased risk of myocardial infarction and a 29% (18 - 38%) decreased risk of stroke. | [70]        |
| Screening / treatment for type 2 diabetes                                                   | Screening / treatment for type 2 diabetes is associated with a 72% (2.7 - 7.5) myocardial infarction events prevented, 8.0 (6.2 - 9.5) microvascular events prevented and 3.2 (1.0 - 5.8) premature deaths prevented per 1000 people screened. | [71]        |
| Screening / treatment for depression (adults)                                               | The use of ADM for major depression is associated with a 64% (12 - 85%) reduced risk of recurrent depression. | [72]        |
| Screening / treatment for depression (pregnant and postpartum females)                     | Participation in programs involving depression screening leads to a 32% (18 - 59%) reduced risk of depression 3-5 months later. | [73]        |
| Screening / treatment for osteoporosis                                                      | Long-term treatment compliance with bisphosphonates is associated with a 23% reduction in hip fractures and a 26% reduction in vertebral fractures. | [74, 75]    |
| Screening / treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA)                                  | Screening and treatment for AAA is associated with a 115% (89 - 144%) increase in elective surgeries, a 48% (34 - 60%) reduction in emergency surgeries and a 42% (12 - 61%) reduction in AAA-related mortality. | [76]        |
| Screening / treatment for HIV                                                               | The early initiation of antiretroviral therapy is associated with a 64% (25 - 96%) reduction in the transmission rate per person-year. | [77, 78]    |
| Screening / treatment for chlamydia and gonorrhea                                           | Screening reduces the lifetime risk of chronic pelvic pain, infertility and ectopic pregnancy by 41%. | [79]        |
| Screening / treatment for HCV                                                               | The effectiveness of direct acting antiviral treatment in producing a sustained viral response (i.e., a cure) is 97% (95 - 99%). | [80-85]     |
intervals to a BC birth cohort of 40,000 individuals (the approximate number of annual births in BC) over the years of life that the service is recommended. Cost-effectiveness (CE) is defined as the net cost per QALY gained.

Selection of clinical preventive services for review

In 2006, the HealthPartners Institute published a study which ranked 25 evidence-based CPS on a scale of 2 (low priority) to 10 (high priority) [14]. Of the 25 CPS, 15 received a rank of 6 or higher. In 2008, we requested and received Excel-based models for 10 of the 15 CPS. The 10 models were adjusted to incorporate available BC-specific data in calculating CPB and CE. In the adjusted models, we also used the difference between no service and the best utilization rate for that CPS observed in high-income countries (see Table 1), rather than the 90% utilization rate assumed in the HealthPartners modelling [11]. This approach was chosen to better reflect actual benefits and costs associated with potentially achievable utilization rates.

In 2013 the Expert Advisory Committee requested modelling for an additional 9 CPS, followed by 4 in 2015. Each subsequent year the Committee chose 2-4 CPS to (re)model, based on updated CTFPHC or USPSTF results. In 2018, the Committee requested a revision of the CPS modelled to date to incorporate more recent data. In this 2018 update, all costs were adjusted to 2017 Canadian dollars. For consistency, all models completed or revised since 2018 have continued to provide the cost / QALY in 2017 Canadian dollars. The Committee only considered inclusion of preventive maneuvers with a ‘strong’ or ‘conditional (weak)’ recommendation by the CTFPHC [53] or an ‘A’ or ‘B’ rating by the USPSTF [54].

In order to prevent duplicate evidence reviews, the Committee agreed to refer any recommendations regarding immunizations to the British Columbia Communicable Disease Policy Committee [55] and any recommendations regarding prenatal care, intrapartum care and immediate postpartum and postnatal care (up to 8 weeks) to Perinatal Services BC [56], thus these CPS are not considered in this manuscript.

Table 1 provides a summary of the 28 CPS reviewed in BC to date. Included in the table are the relevant cohort and the frequency with which the service is to be provided. In addition, an estimated rate of coverage for the service in BC and the best in the world (BiW) are provided.

The primary variables in each model include the effectiveness of the intervention, the quality of life (QoL) values associated with the relevant health state(s) and the costs associated with implementing the intervention and/or avoiding the relevant health state(s).

### Table 2 (continued)

| Clinical Preventive Service                                      | Effectiveness (Range)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Screening and BCI for the prevention of sexually transmitted infections | High intensity behavioural counselling interventions are associated with a 62% (40 - 76%) reduction in STI incidence in adolescents and a 30% (13 - 44%) reduction in STI incidence in adults. [86] |           |
| Screening and BCI to prevent tobacco use (adults)              | Quit rates improve from 10.9 to 28.0% (23.0 - 33.6%). [87, 88]                                                                                                                                                       |           |
| Screening and BCI to prevent alcohol misuse (adults)           | 13.9% (8.7 - 16.1%) improvement in the proportion of adults achieving recommended drinking limits. [89]                                                                                                               |           |
| Screening for and management of obesity (adults)               | 20% (14 to 25%) of participants lost at least 5% of their body weight. [90]                                                                                                                                          |           |
| Screening / treatment to prevent falls in the elderly          | Interventions involving exercise or physical therapy reduce falls in community-dwelling elderly by 13% (6 - 19%). [91]                                                                                              |           |
| Routine aspirin use for the prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and colorectal cancer (CRC) | Initiating low dose aspirin use for the primary prevention of CVD and CRC in adults aged 50 to 59 years who have a 10% or greater 10-year CVD risk, are not at increased risk for bleeding, have a life expectancy of at least 10 years, and are willing to take low-dose aspirin daily for at least 10 years reduces the risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction by 17% (6 - 26%), the risk of nonfatal stroke by 14% (2 - 24%), the incidence of colorectal cancer by 46% (24 - 53%) and the risk of death from CRC about 20 years later by 33% (14 - 48%). [92, 93] |           |
| Folic acid supplementation for the prevention of neural tube defects (NTDs) | Daily supplementation during pregnancy results in a 69% (42 - 83%) reduction in NTDs.                                                                                                                                   | [94]      |

Abbreviations: BCI Behavioural counselling intervention, MDD Major depressive disorder, HPV Human papillomavirus, gFOBT Guaiac fecal occult blood test, ADM Antidepressant medication
### Table 3  Quality of life values used in the modelling

| Health State (Definition or Duration) | QoL Reduction (Range) | Reference |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Taking medication for prevention     | 0.0024 (0.00 – 0.0033) | [99–101]  |
| Alcohol Use                          |                       |           |
| Binge drinking                       | 0.123 (0.082 - 0.177)  | GBD [96, 102, 103] |
| Hazardous alcohol use (3 to 4.5 drinks per day for males and 1.5 to 3 drinks per day for females) | 0.179 (0.121 - 0.252) |           |
| Harmful alcohol use (> 4.5 drinks per day for males and > 3 drinks per day for females) | 0.304 (0.204 - 0.418) |           |
| Atopic dermatitis / eczema           | 0.043 (0.026 – 0.065)  | GBD       |
| Cancer – Breast                      |                       |           |
| False-positive mammography result (4.7 days) | 0.013 | [104] |
| Diagnosis and treatment phase (3 months) | 0.288 (0.193 - 0.399) | GBD, [102] |
| Metastatic phase (17.7 months)      | 0.451 (0.307 - 0.600)  |           |
| Remission                            | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  |           |
| Cancer – Cervical                    |                       |           |
| False-positive Pap smear (10 months) | 0.046 | GBD |
| Diagnosis and treatment for CIN (20 months) | 0.066 |           |
| Diagnosis and treatment phase for cancer (4.8 months) | 0.288 (0.193 - 0.399) | GBD, [105] |
| Metastatic phase (9.2 months)       | 0.451 (0.307 - 0.600)  |           |
| Remission                            | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  |           |
| Cancer – Colorectal                  |                       |           |
| Diagnosis and treatment phase (4 months) | 0.288 (0.193 - 0.399) | GBD |
| Metastatic phase (9.7 months)       | 0.451 (0.307 - 0.600)  |           |
| Remission                            | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  |           |
| Cancer – Liver                       |                       |           |
| Diagnosis and treatment phase (4 months) | 0.288 (0.193 - 0.399) | GBD |
| Metastatic phase (2.5 months)       | 0.451 (0.307 - 0.600)  |           |
| Remission                            | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  |           |
| Cancer – Lung                        |                       |           |
| Diagnosis and treatment phase (3.3 months) | 0.288 (0.193 - 0.399) | GBD |
| Metastatic phase (4.5 months)       | 0.451 (0.307 - 0.600)  |           |
| Remission                            | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  |           |
| Cancer – Ovarian                     |                       |           |
| Diagnosis and treatment phase (3.2 months) | 0.288 (0.193 - 0.399) | GBD |
| Metastatic phase (25.6 months)      | 0.451 (0.307 - 0.600)  |           |
| Remission                            | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  |           |
| Cardiovascular Disease - myocardial infarction (1 month) | 0.100 (0.065 – 0.137) | GBD |
| Cardiovascular Disease - stroke      | 0.200 (0.134 - 0.265)  | GBD, [106] |
| Childhood asthma                     | 0.040 (0.024 – 0.060)  | GBD, [107] |
| Chronic pelvic pain (5 years)        | 0.114 (0.078 - 0.159)  | GBD, [79] |
| Dental caries                        |                       |           |
| Symptomatic dental caries            | 0.010 (0.005 - 0.019)  | GBD |
| Severe tooth loss                    | 0.067 (0.045 - 0.095)  |           |
| Depression                           |                       |           |
| Mild                                 | 0.145 (0.099 - 0.209)  | [108] |
| Moderate                             | 0.396 (0.267 - 0.531)  |           |
| Severe                               | 0.658 (0.477 - 0.807)  |           |
| Diabetes – Type 2                    |                       |           |
| Uncomplicated                        | 0.049 (0.031 - 0.072)  | GBD |
| Diabetic neuropathy                  | 0.133 (0.089 - 0.187)  |           |
| Ectopic pregnancy (4 weeks)          | 0.114 (0.078 - 0.159)  | GBD, [79] |
| Health State (Definition or Duration) | QoL Reduction (Range) | Reference |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| End-stage renal disease               |                        |           |
| Chronic kidney disease                | 0.104 (0.070 - 0.147)  | GBD       |
| On dialysis                          | 0.571 (0.398 - 0.725)  |           |
| Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder      | 0.50 (0.44 - 0.57)     | [109]     |
| Fetal alcohol syndrome               | 0.56 (0.48 - 0.63)     |           |
| Gastrointestinal bleeding (28 days)  | 0.265                  |           |
| Hepatitis C infection                |                        |           |
| Non-cirrhosis (fibrosis stage 0-3)   | 0.088 (0.038 – 0.138)  | [111, 112]|
| Compensated cirrhosis (fibrosis stage 4) | 0.138 (0.088 – 0.188) |           |
| Decompensated cirrhosis              | 0.188                  |           |
| Liver transplant (1st year)          | 0.438                  |           |
| Liver transplant (subsequent years)  | 0.163                  |           |
| HIV/AIDS                             |                        |           |
| Symptomatic HIV without anemia       | 0.274 (0.184 - 0.377)  | GBD       |
| Symptomatic HIV with mild anemia     | 0.277 (0.189 - 0.379)  |           |
| Symptomatic HIV with moderate anemia | 0.312 (0.217 - 0.418)  |           |
| Symptomatic HIV with severe anemia   | 0.381 (0.269 - 0.505)  |           |
| AIDS with antiretroviral treatment (ART) without anemia | 0.078 (0.052 - 0.111) |           |
| AIDS with ART with mild anemia       | 0.081 (0.054 - 0.116)  |           |
| AIDS with ART with moderate anemia   | 0.125 (0.085 - 0.176)  |           |
| AIDS with ART with severe anemia     | 0.215 (0.148 - 0.295)  |           |
| Infertility                          |                        |           |
| Primary infertility                  | 0.008 (0.003 - 0.015)  | GBD       |
| Secondary infertility                | 0.005 (0.002 - 0.011)  |           |
| Intellectual disability              |                        |           |
| Borderline                           | 0.011 (0.005 - 0.020)  | GBD       |
| Mild                                 | 0.043 (0.026 - 0.064)  |           |
| Moderate                             | 0.100 (0.066 - 0.142)  |           |
| Profound                             | 0.200 (0.133 - 0.283)  |           |
| Obesity                              |                        |           |
| Children / youth                     | 0.026 (0.017 – 0.036)  | [113, 114]|
| Adults                               | 0.037 (0.024 – 0.049)  | [115]     |
| Osteoporosis                         |                        |           |
| Hip fracture (6 months)              | 0.355                  | [116]     |
| Vertebral fracture (12 months)       | 0.050                  | [117]     |
| Chlamydial or gonococcal infection – mild | 0.006 (0.002 - 0.012) | GBD       |
| Spina bifida                         |                        |           |
| Sacral lesion                        | 0.34 (0.06 – 0.62)     | [118]     |
| Lower lumbar lesion                  | 0.42 (0.22 – 0.62)     |           |
| Upper lumbar lesion                  | 0.52 (0.25 – 0.78)     |           |
| Tobacco smoking                      |                        |           |
| Light (< 10 cigarettes / day)        | 0.031 (0.018 - 0.045)  | [115]     |
| Moderate (10-19 cigarettes / day)    | 0.033 (0.019 - 0.047)  |           |
| Heavy (≥20 cigarettes / day)         | 0.062 (0.042 - 0.082)  |           |
| Vision deficits                      |                        |           |
| Mild                                 | 0.003 (0.001 - 0.007)  | GBD       |
| Moderate                             | 0.031 (0.019 - 0.049)  |           |
| Severe                               | 0.184 (0.125 - 0.258)  |           |
| Blindness                            | 0.187 (0.124 - 0.260)  |           |

**Abbreviations:** GBD Global Burden of Disease
Table 4  Unit costs used in the modelling

*In 2017 Canadian Dollars*

| Health State / Resource Unit                                      | Unit Cost                  | Reference  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Patient time costs                                               | $29.69 / hour              | [121]      |
| Office visit to a General Practitioner                           | $34.85                     | [127]      |
| GP follow-up phone call or email correspondence                  | $15.00                     |            |
| Abdominal aortic aneurysm                                        |                            |            |
| Emergency repair surgery                                         | $46,853                    | [128–132]  |
| Elective open surgery                                            | $45,998                    |            |
| Elective endovascular aneurysm repair surgery                    | $36,039                    |            |
| Alcohol Use                                                      |                            |            |
| Low                                                              | $91(F) $195(M) / year      | [133, 134] |
| Hazardous (3 to 4.5 drinks per day for males and 1.5 to 3 drinks per day for females) | $708(F) $1238(M) / year | [133, 134] |
| Harmful (> 4.5 drinks per day for males and > 3 drinks per day for females) | $2925(F) $3133(M) / year | [133, 134] |
| Fetal alcohol spectrum disorder lifetime cost                    | $1,118,811                 | [135]      |
| Fetal alcohol syndrome lifetime cost                             | $1,664,074                 |            |
| Atopic dermatitis / eczema lifetime costs                        | $3420                      | [136]      |
| Cancer – breast                                                  |                            |            |
| Mammogram                                                       | $79                        | [137]      |
| Biopsy                                                           | $386                       | [104]      |
| Radiotherapy                                                     | $5233                      | [138]      |
| Breast conserving surgery                                        | $5152                      |            |
| Mastectomy                                                       | $7260                      |            |
| Acute care phase of fatal cancer                                 | $47,230                    | [139, 140] |
| First year costs for survivors                                  | $22,695                    |            |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors                               | $1753                      | [141]      |
| Cancer – cervical                                                |                            |            |
| Conventional cytology screen                                    | $70                        | [142–144]  |
| HPV test                                                         | $96                        | [145]      |
| Colposcopy with biopsy                                           | $251                       | [142, 143] |
| Treatment for a precancerous lesion                              | $1216                      | [142, 143] |
| Acute care phase of fatal cancer                                 | $46,603                    | [139]      |
| First year costs for survivors                                  | $20,258                    |            |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors                               | $821                       | [146]      |
| Cancer – colorectal                                              |                            |            |
| FIT test                                                         | $14.74                     | BC MSP     |
| Colonoscopy                                                      | $667                       | BC MSP     |
| Acute care phase of fatal cancer                                 | $49,197                    | [139]      |
| First year costs for survivors                                  | $40,080                    |            |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors                               | $3687                      | [147]      |
| Cancer – liver                                                   |                            |            |
| Acute care phase of fatal cancer                                 | $30,922                    | [139]      |
| First year costs for survivors                                  | $36,708                    |            |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors                               | $6287                      | [147]      |
### Table 4 (continued)

| Health State / Resource Unit | Unit Cost | Reference |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Cancer – lung               |           |           |
| LDCT screening exam         | $198 [148] |           |
| Follow-up chest radiograph  | $67       |           |
| Follow-up chest CT          | $164      |           |
| Follow-up PET/CT scan       | $1399     |           |
| Percutaneous biopsy – CT-guided | $1083 |           |
| US-guided                   | $682      |           |
| Bronchoscopy without biopsy | $747      |           |
| Bronchoscopy with biopsy    | $804      |           |
| Mediastinoscopy             | $976      |           |
| Thoracoscopy                | $16,814   |           |
| Thoracotomy                 | $18,689   |           |
| Acute care phase of fatal cancer | $37,046 | [139] |
| First year costs for survivors | $33,523 |           |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors | $7575 | [147] |
| Cancer – ovarian            |           |           |
| Acute care phase of fatal cancer | $51,914 | [139] |
| First year costs for survivors | $33,256 |           |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors | $7889 | [147] |
| Cardiovascular disease      |           |           |
| Full lipid profile          | $21.31    | [149]    |
| Annual cost of statin medication | $135 |           |
| Cardiovascular Disease - myocardial infarction |       |
| Acute care phase of a fatal MI | $15,536 | [151] |
| First year costs for survivors | $33,934 | [152] |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors | $2278 |           |
| Cardiovascular Disease – stroke |       |
| First year costs for survivor | $21,139 | [152, 153] |
| Ongoing annual costs for survivors | $6246 |           |
| Childhood asthma            |           |           |
| Lifetime per case           | $5230     | [154]    |
| Childhood leukemia          |           |           |
| Lifetime per case           | $134,920  | [155]    |
| Dental caries               |           |           |
| Topical fluoride application | $10.61    | [156]    |
| Pit and fissure sealant application (1st/subsequent per quadrant) | $19.74 / $10.83 |
| Amalgam restoration         | $93       |           |
| Day surgery for dental cavities | $1884 |           |
| Depression                  |           |           |
| Antidepressant medication (ADM) / year (adults) | $438 | [158] |
| ADM / year (adolescents)    | $368      | [159]    |
| Group therapy (CBT session for 12 adolescents) | $241 | [160] |
| Group therapy (CBT session for 8 pregnant females) | $269 |           |
| Annual health care costs attributable to depression (adolescents) | $5251 |           |
| Suicide attempt             | $9056     | [161–163]|
| Completed suicide           | $8233     |           |
| Diabetes – Type 1 lifetime cost | $76,598 | [155] |
| Diabetes – Type 2           |           |           |
| Cost per A1C test           | $6.09     | [164]    |
| Blindness (annual cost)     | $2330     | [165]    |
### Table 4 (continued)

**In 2017 Canadian Dollars**

| Health State / Resource Unit                                                                 | Unit Cost          | Reference |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Lower extremity amputation (surgery/annual cost)                                            | $33,642 / $1396    | [166]     |
| End-stage renal disease annual cost                                                         | $86,278            |           |
| Gastrointestinal bleeding (per hospitalization)                                             | $6425              | [167]     |
| Gastrointestinal / lower respiratory tract infection (per infection)                        | $462               | [168]     |
| Hepatitis C infection                                                                      |                    |           |
| Incremental annual health care cost                                                         |                    |           |
| HCV infection (non-cirrhosis stages f0 to f3)                                               | $400               | [169, 170]|
| Compensated cirrhosis (stage f4)                                                            | $843               |           |
| Decompensated cirrhosis                                                                     | $15,284            |           |
| Cost of direct-acting antivirals                                                            | $13,500            | [171–173] |
| Complete blood count                                                                       | $10.96             | BC MSP    |
| Thyroid stimulating hormone                                                                 | $9.90              | BC MSP    |
| Renal panel                                                                                | $31.52             | BC MSP    |
| Liver transplant (first year / annual)                                                      | $162,901 / $9654   | [174]     |
| HIV / AIDS                                                                                 |                    |           |
| Annual cost of ART                                                                         | $9490              | [158]     |
| Annual direct medical costs (excluding medications)                                        |                    |           |
| Asymptomatic HIV                                                                            | $1889              | [175]     |
| Symptomatic HIV                                                                            | $2843              |           |
| AIDS                                                                                       | $10,900            |           |
| Hypertension                                                                               |                    |           |
| Annual cost of antihypertensive medication                                                   | $193               | [158]     |
| Intellectual disability lifetime costs                                                      | $270,345           | [176]     |
| Lower extremity amputation (surgery / ongoing annual)                                       | $33,642 / $1396    | [166]     |
| Neural tube defects                                                                         |                    |           |
| Folic acid supplementation (annual costs)                                                  | $15.70             | [177]     |
| Spina bifida (lifetime costs)                                                               | $801,991           | [120]     |
| Anencephaly live birth                                                                     | $4399              | [178, 179]|
| Obesity                                                                                    |                    |           |
| Excess annual medical care costs                                                            | $698 (M) / $953 (F)| [133]     |
| Structured behavioural intervention (per child/youth)                                       | $7681              | [180]     |
| Structured behavioral intervention (per adult)                                              | $607               | [181–183] |
| Osteoporosis                                                                               |                    |           |
| Bone density scan                                                                          | $111               | [184]     |
| Annual cost of medication                                                                   | $188               | [159]     |
| Hip fracture annual costs                                                                   | $62,152            | [185]     |
| Vertebral fracture annual costs                                                             | $25,965            |           |
| Otitis media per case                                                                       | $251               | [186]     |
| Sexually transmitted infections                                                            |                    |           |
| Group behavioural counselling intervention (session for 5 individuals)                      | $487               | Calculated|
| Direct medical costs per infection                                                          |                    |           |
| Chlamydia                                                                                  | $229               | [187]     |
| Gonorrhrea                                                                                  | $169               |           |
| Hepatitis B virus                                                                           | $2536              |           |
| HIV                                                                                         | $289,543           |           |
| Human papilloma virus                                                                       | $112               |           |
| Herpes simplex virus type 2                                                                 | $632               |           |
| Syphilis                                                                                    | $674               |           |
Quality of life values used in the modelling
The primary source for QoL values were the disability weights developed for the Global Burden of Disease study [95, 96] adjusted to reflect the mean QoL of the age- and sex-specific population under consideration [97, 98]. If disability weights were not available in the Global Burden of Disease study, then meta-analysis or larger studies assessing the QoL for a specific health-related outcome were used.

The CPB was calculated based on benefits minus known harms. For example, we included harms associated with unnecessary follow-up interventions associated with false positive screening results. Harms also include a modest reduction in QoL associated with taking any medication for preventive purposes [99–101].

Table 3 provides an overview of the QoL values used in the modelling.

Resource unit costs used in the modelling
In calculating CE, we included medical costs and costs to the individual. Medical costs included those associated with screening, counselling, pharmaceutical treatment and any follow-up diagnostic tests and treatments for both true- and false-positive findings. In the model assessing behavioural counselling and interventions for the prevention of alcohol misuse, we also included the costs associated with law enforcement, fire damage and motor vehicle collisions [119]. In the model assessing folic acid supplementation for all women of reproductive age, we also included the special education and developmental service costs associated with caring for a child with a neural tube defect [120]. While the definition of clinical prevention is independent of delivery mechanism or provider type, for costing purposes we chose to use a primary care physician’s office as the delivery mechanism when an established delivery mechanism was not in place in BC. We assumed that 50% of a 10-min visit would be required per CPS unless evidence indicated otherwise.

Costs to the individual include the value of a patient’s time required to travel to an appointment and receive both the CPS and needed follow-up procedures and is based on the average hourly wage rate in BC in 2017 plus 18% benefits [121]. If the ‘50% of a 10-minute visit’ assumption applied, then only 50% of a patient’s time costs were included in the modelling. Overall costs were reduced by potential savings resulting from avoided treatments or less intensive treatments associated with earlier-stage medical care.

When integrating unit cost information into the analyses, priority was given to information available from BC, followed by the rest of Canada, then other high income countries with health care systems similar to Canada (e.g. the UK and Australia) and finally to unit cost information from the US. All unit costs were converted to 2017 Canadian dollars using the Campbell and Cochrane Economics Methods Group and the Evidence for Policy and Practice Information and Coordinating Centre Cost Converter [122, 123]. If US health care unit costs were used, these costs were reduced by 29% to reflect the substantially higher unit costs (or prices) in the US compared to those in Canada for the same output [124–126].

Table 4 provides an overview of the unit costs used in the modelling.

### Table 4 (continued)

| Health State / Resource Unit | Unit Cost | Reference |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Tobacco smoking              |           |           |
| Light (≤ 10 cigarettes / day) | $785 / year | [133]     |
| Moderate (10–19 cigarettes / day) | $1386 / year |       |
| Heavy (≥ 20 cigarettes / day) | $2050 / year |       |
| Smoking cessation aids per quit attempt | $272 | [188] |
| Clinical Preventive Services                                                                 | CEa (1.5% Discount Rate) | Key Variable(s)                                                                 | Base Value | Range   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| **Screening for Asymptomatic Disease or Risk Factors - Youth**                              |                          |                                                                                  |            |         |
| Screening for depression                                                                    | $28,215                  | Reduction in quality of life due to depression                                  | 31%        | 15%     | 45%     |
| Behavioural Counseling Interventions - Children/Youth                                        |                          |                                                                                  |            |         |
| Interventions to support breastfeeding                                                       | ($9021) ($14,757) $19,699| % of women attending interventions who exclusively breastfeed at 6 months       | 44%        | 13%     | 84%     |
| Growth monitoring and healthy weight management in children and youth                        | $29,436                  | Length of time that avoided costs accrue                                        | Lifetime   | 10Years |
| Preventing tobacco use (school-aged children & youth)                                       | ($7349) ($10,083) $23,905| % of smokers who cease as a result of intervention(s)                          | 34%        | 5%      | 69%     |
| Preventive Medication / Devices - Children                                                  |                          |                                                                                  |            |         |
| Fluoride varnish                                                                           | $43,038 $16,391 $86,076 | Change in quality of life due to improved oral health                          | 0.01       | 0.005   |         |
| Dental sealants                                                                            | ($24,690) ($32,248) ($17,132)| Frequency of fluoride varnish application                                       | $92.75     | $83.10  | $102.40 |
| **Screening for Asymptomatic Disease or Risk Factors - Adults**                             |                          |                                                                                  |            |         |
| Screening for breast cancer                                                                 | $19,720 $11,659 $45,514 | % reduction in breast cancer deaths as a result of mammogram screening         | 21%        | 10%     | 32%     |
| Screening (cytology-based) for cervical cancer                                              | $25,542 $13,818 $99,328 | Effectiveness of screening in reducing cervical cancer deaths / incidence      | 35% / 44% | 10% / 25% | 53% / 58% |
| Addition of HPV-based cervical cancer screening                                             | ($21,556) ($16,414) ($23,377)| % improvement in HPV-screening effectiveness vs. cytology-based screening | 55%        | 19%     | 75%     |
| Screening for colorectal cancer                                                              | $47,265 $32,923 $82,979 | Effectiveness of screening in preventing colorectal cancer deaths              | gFOBT - 18% | 8%     | 27%     |
| Colonoscopy - 26%                                                                          |                         |                                                                                  | Cardio - 22% | 17%     | 29%     |
| Cerebro - 41%                                                                              |                         |                                                                                  | 33%        | 48%     |         |
| Screening for lung cancer                                                                    | $2240 $1228 $9206       | % of lung cancer deaths avoided with screening                                  | 19.6%      | 7.7%    | 30.0%   |
| Screening for hypertension                                                                  | $15,254 $9314 $24,485   | Effectiveness of drug treatment in reducing cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events | Cardio - 22% | 17%     | 29%     |
| Mortality - 14%                                                                             |                         |                                                                                  | 7%         | 20%     |         |
| MI - 36%                                                                                  |                         |                                                                                  | 29%        | 43%     |         |
| Stroke - 29%                                                                               |                         |                                                                                  | 18%        | 38%     |         |
| Screening for cardiovascular disease risk and treatment (with statins)                      | $3223 $1458 $7849       | Effectiveness of drug treatment in reducing all-cause mortality, myocardial infarction and stroke | 50%        | 33%     |         |
| Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA)                                               | ($3121) ($6348) $1121   | Proportion of office visit for screening                                         |            |         |
| Screening for depression in general adult population                                        | Dominated                |                                                                                  |            |         |
| Screening for depression in pregnant and postpartum women                                   | $23,042 $11,149 $43,255| % reduction in depression risk due to screening                                 | 32%        | 18%     | 59%     |
| Screening for osteoporosis                                                                  | ($29,412) ($43,257) $38,997| Change in the effectiveness of screening / treatment                           | Hip fracture reduction rate 23% | 8% | $36   |
| Vertebral fracture reduction rate 23%                                                       |                         |                                                                                  | 12%        | 24%     |         |
| Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA)                                              | $11,995 $9328 $38,251   | Change in the relative risk of AAA-related mortality                             | 0.58       | 0.39    | 0.88    |
coverage, and the potential CPB if the best coverage rate in the world (BiW) is achieved. Note that coverage rates in BC are unknown for 21 of the 28 (75%) maneuvers. The CE columns identify the cost-effectiveness ratio associated with a service stated in terms of the cost per QALY, using both a 1.5% and a 0% discount rate. The top interventions in terms of CPB are screening for hypertension and screening for cardiovascular disease risk and treatment that would prevent 11,587 and 9370 QALYs lost per 40,000 individuals, respectively. The top interventions in terms of CE are screening women 65 and older for osteoporosis and the application of dental sealants on permanent teeth at the time of tooth eruption, which provide cost savings of $29,412 and $24,690 per QALY (with 1.5% discount), respectively.

The results for CPB and CE are displayed together in Fig. 1. The figure is divided into nine segments; from the lowest to highest population health impact and from more expensive to cost-saving. By arranging CPB and CE in this manner, services in the upper right segment have the most favourable combination of CPB and CE while services in the lower left segment have the least favourable combination. While no CPS fall into the high population impact / cost-saving segment, services that fall into the moderate population impact / cost-saving or high population impact / less expensive segments include prevention and cessation of tobacco use in both children/adolescents and adults; initiatives to improve exclusive breastfeeding to 6 months of age; screening for and treatment of hypertension; and screening for cardiovascular disease risk factors and the appropriate initiation of statins. Three additional CPS approach the moderate population impact / cost-saving or high population impact / less expensive segments, namely, alcohol misuse screening and brief counseling, one-time screening for HCV infection in BC adults born between 1945

---

| Clinical Preventive Services | CEa (1.5% Discount Rate) | Key Variable(s) | Base Value | Range |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------|
| Screening for Sexually Transmitted Infections and Blood Borne Pathogens - Adults | | | | |
| Screening for human immunodeficiency virus | $16,434 | $80,739 | % reduction in HIV transmission rate with early antiretroviral therapy | 64% | 25% | 96% |
| Screening for chlamydia and gonorrhoea | $57,174 | $234,414 | Effectiveness of screening in reducing pelvic pain, infertility and ectopic pregnancy | 41% | 10% |
| Screening for hepatitis C virus | $3427 | $5141 | Probability of cirrhosis in Hepatitis C Virus positive individuals | 15% | 10% | 20% |
| Behavioural Counseling Interventions - Adults | | | | |
| Prevention of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) | $10,267 | $22,513 | Effectiveness of high intensity behavioural counselling interventions in reducing the incidence of STIs in adolescents and adults | Adolescents - 62% | 40% | 74% |
| | | | | Adults - 30% | 13% | 44% |
| Counselling and interventions to prevent tobacco use | ($1863) | $779 | Quit rate for smoking as a result of intervention | 28.0% | 23.0% | 33.6% |
| Screening and behavioural counseling interventions to reduce unhealthy alcohol use | $9609 | $23,676 | Frequency of screening | Annual |
| | | | Effectiveness of counselling in changing behaviour | 13.9% | 8.7% |
| Screening for and management of obesity | $12,160 | $28,565 | Frequency of measuring of height / weight, physical activity and diet advice | Every 2 yrs | Annual | Every 3 yrs |
| Preventing falls | $35,213 | $28,738 | Cost of exercise per hour | $5.00 | $0.00 | $15.00 |
| Preventive Medication / Devices - Adults | | | | |
| Routine aspirin use for the prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and colorectal cancer | $2302 | $24,255 | Effectiveness of aspirin in reducing risk of cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, and colorectal cancer incidence and death | Cardio - 17% | 6% | 26% |
| | | | | Cerebro - 14% | 2% | 24% |
| | | | | CRC Incidence - 40% | 24% | 53% |
| | | | | CRC Mortality - 33% | 14% | 48% |
| Folic acid supplementation for the prevention of neural tube defects | $195,379 | $431,770 | Frequency of advice on folic acid supplementation | Annual |

*a CE Cost-effectiveness
and 1965, and screening for type 2 diabetes. Screening for osteoporosis, the application of dental sealants and the addition of screening for the human papillomavirus to cytology-based screening for cervical cancers, the CPS with the highest cost savings per QALY, fell in the lowest segment for population health impact.

The CBP and CE estimates were fairly stable for most CPS, but varied greatly for some (see Figs. 2 and 3). For example, for the CPS of primary care interventions aimed at smoking cessation among children and adolescents, the estimate of CBP varied from 606 to 8367 QALYs, and the cost-effectiveness estimates ranged from a cost of $23,905 / QALY to a savings of $10,083 / QALY.

Other CPS with large variation in the CE were screening women 65 and older for osteoporosis, screening adolescents and adults aged 15 to 65 years for infection with the human immunodeficiency virus, growth monitoring and healthy weight management in children and youth and screening females less than 30 years of age at increased risk for infection with chlamydia and

### Table 6

| Clinical Preventive Services | CPBb (0% Discount) | CEc (% Discount) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                             | B.C. | ‘BiW’ | Gap | 1.5% | 0% |
| Screening for Asymptomatic Disease or Risk Factors - Youth | Unknown | 222 | $28,215 | $27,331 |
| Screening for depression | Unknown | 5002 | ($9021) | ($11,966) |
| Behavioural Counseling Interventions - Children/Youth | Unknown | 196 | $29,436 | $18,148 |
| Interventions to support breastfeeding | Unknown | 0 | ($7349) | ($9538) |
| Growth monitoring and healthy weight management in children and youth | Unknown | 196 | $29,436 | $18,148 |
| Preventing tobacco use (school-aged children & youth) | Unknown | 4123 | ($7349) | ($9538) |
| Preventive Medication / Devices - Children | Unknown | 150 | $43,038 | $43,038 |
| Fluoride varnish | Unknown | 157 | ($24,690) | ($29,320) |
| Dental sealants | Unknown | 157 | ($24,690) | ($29,320) |
| Screening for Asymptomatic Disease or Risk Factors – Adults | 703 | 1189 | 486 | $19,720 | $18,326 |
| Screening for breast cancer | 1153 | 1471 | 318 | $25,542 | $26,980 |
| Screening (cytology-based) for cervical cancer | 0 | 655 | 655 | ($21,556) | ($19,264) |
| Addition of HPV-based cervical cancer screening | 1141 | 1734 | 593 | $47,265 | $44,213 |
| Screening for colorectal cancer | Unknown | 1745 | $2240 | $2080 |
| Screening for lung cancer | Unknown | 11,587 | $15,254 | $10,760 |
| Screening for hypertension | Unknown | 9370 | $3223 | $1392 |
| Screening for cardiovascular disease risk and treatment (with statins) | Unknown | 3494 | ($3121) | ($3453) |
| Screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) | Unknown | 1189 | 1189 | $19,720 | $18,326 |
| Screening for depression in general adult population | Unknown | -8 | Dominated |
| Screening for depression in pregnant and postpartum women | Unknown | 109 | $23,042 | $10,140 |
| Screening for osteoporosis | Unknown | 91 | ($29,412) | ($34,145) |
| Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm | Unknown | 340 | $11,995 | $9973 |
| Screening for Sexually Transmitted Infections and Blood Borne Pathogens - Adults | Unknown | 360 | $16,434 | $16,434 |
| Screening for human immunodeficiency virus | Unknown | 143 | $57,174 | $53,410 |
| Screening for chlamydia and gonorrhea | Unknown | 3920 | 1225 | $3427 | $2810 |
| Screening for hepatitis C virus | 2695 | 3920 | 1225 | $3427 | $2810 |
| Behavioural Counseling Interventions - Adults | Unknown | 3285 | $10,267 | $10,267 |
| Prevention of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) | 3730 | 5944 | 2214 | ($1863) | ($3344) |
| Counselling and interventions to prevent tobacco use | Unknown | 5035 | $9609 | $9258 |
| Screening and behavioural counseling interventions to reduce unhealthy alcohol use | Unknown | 2287 | $12,160 | $11,140 |
| Screening for and management of obesity | Unknown | 429 | $35,213 | $35,213 |
| Preventing falls | Unknown | 1098 | $2302 | $411 |
| Preventive Medication / Devices – Adults | Unknown | 95 | $195,379 | $113,155 |

* ‘BiW’ Best in world, bCPB Clinically preventable burden, cCE Cost-effectiveness
gonorrhea. The most common reason for this variation is the uncertainty associated with the effectiveness of the intervention (see Table 5).

**Discussion**

We have assessed the clinically preventable burden and cost-effectiveness ratio of 28 clinical preventive services in BC, Canada and found that the services with the highest population impact and best value for money include services that address tobacco use in adolescents and adults, exclusive breastfeeding, and screening for hypertension and other cardiovascular disease risk factors followed by appropriate pharmaceutical treatment. Three additional CPS approach these high-value CPS, namely alcohol misuse screening and brief counseling, one-time screening for hepatitis C virus infection in BC adults born between 1945 and 1965, and screening for type 2 diabetes.

Research by the HealthPartners Institute also established that the two CPS addressing tobacco use in the US were the highest priority preventive services [11].

Despite historically low rates of tobacco use in BC, which are the lowest of any province in Canada [191], tobacco use continues to exert an important influence on the ill-health of the population. Of greater concern is the varying range in the rate of tobacco use in the different geographic regions within BC, from 8.8 to 21.3% in 2011/12 [192]. This suggests the need for equity-focused CPS interventions based on the principle of proportionate universality; preventive services should be universally available, but concentrated on populations with higher rates of the condition or behaviour being addressed [193].

Our analysis also indicates the high value of interventions to support exclusive breastfeeding to 6 months. There are substantial health benefits for both the infant and mother associated with exclusive breastfeeding [58, 120].

Research by the HealthPartners Institute assigned a high value to addressing obesity-related behaviours. Our results for these CPS are more modest, likely due to assumptions about potential coverage rates. Based
on the best available information on utilization rates from high-income countries, we assumed that only 7.2% of children \cite{194, 195} and 33% of adults \cite{47} with obesity would complete the multiple sessions over a 1 year period required to achieve an effective intervention \cite{11, 49}. These coverage rates compare to the assumption of 90% included in the HealthPartners Institute analysis \cite{196}.

The limitations associated with this analysis are common to all modeling studies \cite{197}. Models use data from a variety of sources and the results are only as good as the underlying data. By nature, models also simplify the causal chain so the assumptions made in doing so can have an important impact on results.

Another limitation is the ability to find BiW intervention rates for each CPS. Despite significant effort searching the academic and grey literature, together with expert input, it is not possible to determine whether or not the estimated BiW rates used in the models truly are the BiW. Furthermore, newer CPS such as lung cancer screening may currently have low screening rates that will improve over time. In this scenario, despite a BiW published screening rate of 6\% \cite{26}, we assumed that the rate for lung cancer screening would eventually approximate rates associated with other cancer screening programs in BC (60\%).

The definition of a CPS is independent of delivery mechanism(s) or provider type(s). Determining the most suitable delivery mechanism or provider type for each service is determined in subsequent phases of the policy cycle where decisions are made on whether and, if so, how to implement the CPS. In order to estimate the costs of providing the service and for consistency and comparability between the various CPS, we chose to use a general physician’s office as the delivery mechanism and provider type if an established delivery mechanism is not currently in place. Further work has started in determining if the effectiveness of the intervention changes based on who provides the intervention. For example, evidence indicates that brief behavioural counselling interventions to reduce unhealthy alcohol use are equally effective if provided by nurses, physicians or counsellors / mental health clinicians \cite{198}.
The results generated through this process provide a transparent and evidence informed approach to making decisions for the delivery of CPS. It is a key step in determining which CPS should be priorities for BC and is essential for creating a business plan for implementation. These results, however, should not be used in isolation. Actual changes to service provision should be undertaken only when this analysis, a detailed business plan and budget impact analysis are part of the process. These supplementary analyses are important in addressing further questions required in decision-making, such as the feasibility and total costs of enhancing current services or implementing new services and the potential impact on related services.

In BC, this work by the CPPR led to the province adopting a Lifetime Prevention Schedule (LPS), publishing a LPS Practice Guide and providing regular update reports [15]. The work by the CPPR was also a key building block in developing and implementing a preventive services incentive fee for family physicians in the province (the Personal Health Risk Assessment fee [199]), which we believe is unique in Canada. This analysis has also been instrumental in the decision to launch a lung cancer screening program in BC [200]. Finally, the development of business cases to enhance screening for tobacco smoking and alcohol misuse followed by a behavioural counselling intervention are currently in process.

**Conclusion**

While the results noted above enable us to say with some confidence what is worth doing, the second and third questions asked in our original report remain important: What is the best way to deliver these services and by whom, and what supporting systems need to be put in place to ensure high and equitable coverage of cost-effective services with a moderate to high population health impact? While this discussion has started in BC and key decisions are being made, more remains to be done, both in BC and across Canada. After all, if a CPS is worth doing, it is worth doing well.
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