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**Abstract**

*Ficus sur* (Moraceae), is a plant that has found use in traditional African medicine in the treatment of sickle cell disease, epilepsy, pain and inflammations. The present study was aimed at investigating hexane and methanol stem-bark extracts of *Ficus sur* for their phytoconstituents, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. Phytochemical screenings were performed using standard protocols. *In-vitro* anti-inflammatory activities were assessed using egg albumin denaturation method. *In-vitro* antimicrobial (agar and broth dilution method) and antioxidant [total antioxidant capacity (TAC), DPPH and H$_2$O$_2$ scavenging] assays were carried out on the extracts. Thin layer chromatography was employed in the separation of the components of both extracts. The phytochemical investigation revealed the presence of secondary metabolites such as anthraquinones, terpenoids, flavonoids, steroids, saponins, phenols and tannins. The extracts showed anti-inflammatory activity comparable to that of diclofenac sodium. The extracts showed antimicrobial activity against test organisms with MICs ranging from 2.5- 40 mg/mL. The IC$_{50}$ values for methanol and hexane extracts in the DPPH and H$_2$O$_2$ assays were 89.95 ± 0.30 and 350.70 ± 0.72 μg/mL and 708.51 ± 0.28 and 682.76 ± 0.20 μg/mL, respectively. The results suggest that the stem bark of *Ficus sur* could be exploited as potential therapeutic candidate for the treatment of bacterial infections, inflammations and diseases associated with oxidative-stress.
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**Introduction**

Medicinal plants are known to have antioxidant, antimicrobial, anthelmintic, anti-inflammatory and wound healing activities amongst others [1-3]. They are classified as the richest bio-resource, because they are the source of modern medicines, nutraceuticals, functional foods, food supplements, folk medicines, pharmaceutical intermediates and chemical entities for synthetic drugs [4]. Without specific knowledge of their cellular actions or mechanisms, various parts of plants have been used in traditional medicine to treat a variety of diseases and even as poisons (phytotoxins) [5].

*Ficus* is a genus of woody plants that comprises about 850 plant species and belongs to the family Moraceae. They are collectively called Figure trees or Figures and are distributed throughout the tropics and temperate zones, including most African countries such as Ghana, Burkina Faso, Nigeria and Cameroon. *Ficus sur*, a medicinal species of the family Moraceae has many therapeutic applications. A powdered preparation of the bark has been used to treat skin rashes and mouth sores in most parts of Africa. A leaf preparation by maceration is used to cure chest problems. A decoction of the leaf has been used as a disinfectant wash and as a cure to ophthalmia [6]. Studies have shown antispasmodic and antiplasmodial activities from aqueous extracts of bark and leaves [7].

Other parts of *F. sur* have proven to be potent against a wide variety of ailments including gonorrhoea, sore throat, toothache, eye problems and many more [8]. *F. sur* is used in folk medicine for the treatment of sickle cell disease in Burkina Faso [9]. Traditional medicine practitioners in Nigeria use *F. sur* for effective management of epilepsy [10]. *F. sur* is used in the treatment of leprosy, infertility, gonorrhoea, rickets, circumcision, oedema, respiratory disorders and many more [11]. Both root and bark decoctions of *F. sur* are recorded to have caused death, due to toxic substances [12]. The application of this plant species in the treatment of microbial diseases (example gonorrhoea), and in the treatment of sickle cell disease and management of epilepsy portrays it (*Ficus sur*) as a candidate for research.

Most research studies conducted on the pharmacological potential of *Ficus sur* were mainly focused on crude extracts of the leaves, roots, barks [12,13] and fruits [14]. Nevertheless, it is also important to identify the bioactive compounds responsible for each one of the ascribed bioactivities, especially for the stem-bark. At the time of carrying out this research, next to nothing had been reported on the anti-inflammatory activities of the stem-bark. The aim of this study was to examine the efficacy of *Ficus sur* methanol and hexane extracts as an anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and antioxidant using in vitro assays.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample collection and identification**

The stem-barks of *Ficus sur* were collected in the month of October, 2018 at Kwahu-Asaakra, (Latitude: 6°37’44” N and Longitude: 0°41’29” W) in the Eastern Region of Ghana with the help of a local herbalist. They were taxonomically identified and authenticated by Mr. Clifford Asare at the Department of Herbal Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, KNUST, Kumasi, Ghana. A voucher specimen number (KNUST/HMI/2019/ S042) was deposited in the Herbarium of Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences for reference purposes.

**Chemicals and reagents**

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co. Ltd, Irving, U.K., except the standard drugs. The organic solvents were of analytical grade and procured from BDH Laboratory Supplies (England).

**Extraction of plant material**

The stem-barks of *Ficus sur* were thoroughly washed, first under running water to remove any form of debris and subsequently rinsed in distilled water to exclude dissolve heavy metals in tap water [1,2]. The stem-barks were chopped into smaller pieces, air dried under shade for two weeks, pulverized into coarse powder, and stored in a desiccator until analysis.

**Preparation of methanol and hexane extracts**

Maceration was used for the extraction of the phytoconstituents of the pulverized sample. A mass of 100 g of the pulverized sample of *F. sur* was soaked separately in 500 mL of methanol and hexane and macerated with gentle stirring for 72 hours at ambient temperature. The methanol and hexane extracts were condensed and evaporated to dryness using the rotary evaporator at 50 °C (BUCHI Rota vapor R-114). The extracts were dried and the percentage yield of extracts with respect to powdered plant material determined. The extracts were then stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator.

**Phytochemical screening of extracts**

The pulverized sample and the crude extracts obtained were screened to assess the presence of phytoconstituents using the methods described by Trease and Evans (2009) [15].

**In-vitro anti-inflammatory assay using egg albumin denaturation**

Anti-inflammatory assay was carried out according to a modification of the standard methods by Kumari [16]. Stock solutions of 1000 μg/mL of both extracts were prepared by using sterile distilled water as a solvent. From the stock solutions, various concentrations of 800, 600, 200 and 100 μg/mL were prepared using sterile distilled water as a solvent.

The reaction mixtures of total volume 5 mL were prepared by dissolving 0.2 mL of egg albumin (fresh egg of a hen), 2.8 mL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH of 6.4) and 2 mL of the various concentrations of extract solutions. A volume of 2 mL of 200 μg/mL of diclofenac sodium was used as the standard reference drug and 2 mL of double distilled water solution served as negative control. The mixtures were incubated at 37 °C in Bio-Oxygen Demand (BOD) incubator for 15 minutes.

The mixtures were then heated in a water bath at 70 °C for 5 minutes to induce denaturation. The absorbance of the solutions was measured in triplicate at 660 nm using UV-vis spectrophotometer. The procedure was independently repeated to obtain three independent sets of data for the analysis in triplicate. The percentage inhibition of protein denaturation was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{% Inhibition} = \frac{A_n - A}{A} \times 100\%
\]

Where, \(A_n\) = absorbance of negative control; \(A\) = absorbance of test solution

**Antimicrobial activity**

Agar well diffusion and Broth micro-dilution (minimum inhibitory concentration) assays were employed to assess the antimicrobial activities of the extracts.

**Sources of microorganisms**

Four bacteria and one fungus were used as test organisms. There were two Gram positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecalis*) and two Gram negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa*). The fungus was *Candida albicans*. The microbial strains were provided by the Pharmaceutical Microbiology Section of the Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science, KNUST, Kumasi. The microbial strains were sub-cultured on nutrient agar slants and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours.

**Inoculum preparation**

Bacterial isolates were streaked onto nutrient agar (Oxoid, United Kingdom) plates and incubated for 18–24 hours at 37 °C. Using the direct colony suspension method, suspensions of the organisms were made in nutrient broth and incubated overnight at 37 °C. For the tests, colony suspensions in sterile saline was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard and further diluted in sterile double strength nutrient broth (\(~2 \times 10^8\) CFU/mL) [17].
Agar well diffusion

The antimicrobial activities of the different extracts were determined using a modification of the agar well diffusion standard method previously described [1,18]. Ciprofloxacin (0.05 mg/mL) and clotrimazole (0.05 mg/mL) were used as the standard reference antimicrobial drug. The extracts and antibiotics were tested in triplicates and mean zones of inhibition were calculated for each extract and the standard antibiotic.

Broth micro-dilution

In the determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), the method used was a modification of micro-well dilution standard method previously described [1,18]. Ciprofloxacin and clotrimazole were used as positive control. The experiment was carried out in triplicate.

In vitro antioxidant assays

Three main assays were employed for the antioxidant activity determination. They were the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH) free radicals scavenging, Hydrogen Peroxide scavenging (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) and the Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) assays.

DPPH radical scavenging assay

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity of the two extracts were examined using the standard methods previously described [1,19]. Ascorbic acid was used as reference standard. The experiment was independently repeated to obtain three independent sets of data for the analysis. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm. DPPH radical scavenging (%) was calculated using the formula:

\[
\% \text{Scavenging} = \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100\%
\]

Where, \(A_0\) = absorbance of control; \(A\) = absorbance of test solution

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging assay

Determination of hydrogen peroxide scavenging potential of the extracts were carried out employing the standard methods previously described [1, 20]. Gallic acid was used as reference standard. Absorbance was taken at 510 nm using a UV-vis spectrophotometer. The experiment was independently repeated to obtain three independent sets of data for the analysis. The percentage scavenging activity was calculated using the formula below:

\[
\% \text{Scavenging} = \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A}{A_{\text{control}}} \times 100\%
\]

Where \(A_{\text{test}}\) is absorbance of the test samples and \(A_{\text{control}}\) is the absorbance of the negative control. The results were further reported in IC\textsubscript{50}.

Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) assay

A methodology previously described was used to study the total antioxidant capacity of the extracts of \textit{F. sur} [1,21]. Ascorbic acid was used as the reference standard antioxidant and distilled water was used as the blank. The absorbance of the solutions was measured in triplicates using a UV-visible spectrophotometer at 695 nm. The experiment was independently repeated to obtain three independent sets of data for the analysis. From the linear equation of the ascorbic acid concentration-absorbance plot, the corresponding independent variables as ascorbic acid equivalents (AAE) were determined, and the results expressed as gAAE/100g ascorbic acid.

Thin layer chromatography (TLC)

The number of components present in the extracts were determined by the analytical TLC method. The pre-coated silica gel plates (0.25 mm) with a fluorescent indicator (F254) were spotted with the extracts about 1 cm from the bottom edge of plates, with the aid of capillary tubes and allowed to dry [1,22]. Various Solvent systems of petroleum ether/ethyl acetate and hexane/ethyl acetate in the ratio of 9:1 and 8:2 respectively were used. The ratio of 8:2 (hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the best separation of components for all the extracts. The plates were dried and visualized by a 254 nm UV lamp. The separated spots were then marked and their sample and solvent fronts were measured.

The retardation factor (\(R_f\)) of the eluted spots was calculated as follows:

\[
R_f = \frac{\text{Distance travelled by spot}}{\text{Distance travelled by solvent front}}
\]

Data analysis

Microsoft Excel 2016 and GraphPad Prism 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) were used for all data analyses and graphs.

Results and Discussion

Extraction of plant material

The yields of the extract in relation to the powdered plant material were calculated as percentages. The yields were 2.71 and 1.62% for methanol and hexane extracts respectively.

Phytochemical screening

The therapeutic activities of plants are as a result of the presence of complex chemical constituents in different parts [23]. The phytochemical screening revealed the presence of seven secondary metabolites out of the nine tested for in the pulverized sample and the methanol extract, with alkaloids and carotenoids being absent. Alkaloids, carotenoids and phenols were absent in the hexane extract (Table 1).

The methanol and hexane extracts had six phytochemicals in common, that is \textit{anthraquinones} flavonoids, saponins, steroids, tannins and terpenoids. The absence of alkaloids in the stem-bark of \textit{F. sur} corroborates the work of Adebayo [24] who investigated haematonic properties of methanolic stem-bark and fruit extracts of \textit{Ficus sur} in rats pre-exposed to phenylhydrazine induced haemolytic anaemia [24]. Secondary metabolites of plants which include phenolics and flavonoids, have been shown to exhibit...
several biological activities such as antioxidant, antiaging, antidiabetic, antimutagenic, anticarcinogenic, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial [25]. Saponins have a wide range of pharmacological properties, including antifungal, antiparasitic, molluscicidal and anti-inflammatory [26]. The presence of these phytochemicals in the extracts of F. sur stem-bark indicate that they will play a key role in the prevention of various bacterial infections, inflammations and diseases associated with oxidative-stress.

Table 1: Phytochemical constituents of the pulverized sample and the extracts of F. sur.

| Phytochemical       | Pulverized Sample | Methanol Extract | Hexane Extract |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Alkaloids           | -                 | -                | -              |
| Anthraquinones      | +                 | +                | +              |
| Carotenoids         | -                 | -                | -              |
| Flavonoids          | +                 | +                | +              |
| Phenols             | +                 | +                | -              |
| Saponins            | +                 | +                | +              |
| Steroids            | +                 | +                | +              |
| Tannins             | +                 | +                | +              |
| Terpenoids          | +                 | +                | +              |

Key: (+) = presence of secondary metabolite; (-) = absence of secondary metabolite.

In vitro anti-inflammatory assay (egg albumen denaturation method)

Denaturation of proteins is a well-documented cause of inflammation and rheumatoid arthritis. Several anti-inflammatory drugs have shown concentration-dose-dependent ability to inhibit thermally induced protein denaturation. The denaturation of albumin protein leads to formation of antigens which initiate type III hypersensitive reaction leading to inflammation [27]. The ability of plant extract to inhibit thermal denaturation of protein (egg albumin) is a reflection of its anti-inflammatory activity [28].

At the concentration of 200 μg/mL, percentage inhibition of the standard, methanol and hexane extracts were 73.870, 47.176 and 20.500% respectively as shown in Table 2. The anti-inflammatory activity shown by the extracts could be attributed to the presence of saponins, terpenoids and steroids in the methanol and hexane extracts of F. sur, which have been reported to exhibit anti-inflammatory activity [29]. The presence of polyphenols including tannins and flavonoids in F. sur have been reported to reduce inflammation and suppress several stages of angiogenesis, including endothelial cell migration, invasion, matrix metalloproteinase activity, and tube formation [30].

Table 2: Anti-inflammatory activity results for methanol and hexane extracts of F. sur.

| Concentration (μg/mL) | Methanol Extract | % Inhibition (Mean ± SD) |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                       |                  |                         |
| 1000                  | 48.838 ± 0.100*  | 48.215 ± 0.018*         |
| 800                   | 48.663 ± 0.049*  | 41.250 ± 0.047*         |
| 600                   | 47.330 ± 0.007*  | 41.576 ± 0.044*         |
| 200                   | 47.176 ± 0.051*  | 20.500 ± 0.028*         |
| 100                   | 36.692 ± 0.032*  | 2.506 ± 0.033*          |
| Diclo (200 μg/mL)     |                  | 73.870 ± 0.002*         |

Diclo: Diclofenac Sodium. Results were expressed as mean (n = 3) ± standard deviation; The data were analysed using one-way ANOVA compared to diclofenac (reference drug). *P < 0.001.

Antimicrobial assay

Agar well diffusion

The antimicrobial activities of the extracts were determined at two concentration levels of 50 and 100 mg/mL for the agar well diffusion assay as shown in Table 3. The agar well diffusion is carried out to test for the sensitivity of the organisms to the antimicrobial agent (plant extract). The diameter of the zone of inhibition determines the effectiveness of the extract against the microorganism. The larger the diameter, the greater the sensitivity of the microorganism to the extract. The sizes of the zone of inhibition are compared to standards to determine if the microorganism is sensitive or resistant to the plant extract.
Considering the various mechanisms of antioxidant actions, the total antioxidant potential of a plant extract depends largely on both the constituent of the extract and the test system. Different factors can also influence the activity of the extract, therefore when carrying out a study related to the antioxidant and antiradical properties of plant products, more than one method is usually used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity/activity [33].

In vitro antioxidant capacity

The total antioxidant potential of a plant extract depends largely on both the constituent of the extract and the test system. Different factors can also influence the activity of the extract, therefore when carrying out a study related to the antioxidant and antiradical properties of plant products, more than one method is usually used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity/activity [33]. Considering the various mechanisms of antioxidant actions, the antioxidant properties of the extracts were evaluated by (DPPH) free radicals scavenging, Hydrogen Peroxide scavenging and the Total Antioxidant Capacity assays.

DPPH radical scavenging capacity

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of the extracts was used to determine and study the ability of the extracts of F. sur to mop up free radicals that may be found in animals and humans. Methanol and hexane extracts of F. sur and ascorbic acid (reference

Broth microdilution

The extracts showed broad spectrum antimicrobial activity against the tested organisms. The methanol extract showed a better antimicrobial activity (at MIC of 2.5 mg/mL to 10.00 mg/mL) against the test organisms than the hexane extract (at MIC of 20.00 to 40.00 mg/mL). The results are shown in Table 4. The results from the antimicrobial assay performed showed that the two extracts of F. sur stem-bark exhibited varying inhibitory effects against the five selected microorganisms (two Gram-positive, two Gram-negative and one fungus). The best results were observed with the use of the methanol extract against all the selected microorganisms. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were between the range of 2.5 mg/mL to 10.0 mg/mL. The highest activity observed with the use of methanol extract was against P. aeruginosa with MIC of 2.5 mg/mL. The antimicrobial activity shown by the extracts could be attributed to the presence of terpenoids, saponins and polyphenols such as flavonoids and tannins in the methanol and hexane extracts of F. sur which have been reported to exhibit antimicrobial activity [31,32].

Table 3: Mean zones of inhibition (ZI) for hexane and methanol extracts of F. sur and standard drugs ciprofloxacin and clotrimazole in agar well diffusion assay.

| Sample/Drug | Conc. (mg/mL) | Zone of Inhibition (Mean ± SD) (mm) |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.05 | NA | 37.4 ± 0.26 | 35.3 ± 0.15 | 27.0 ± 0.15 | 35.2 ± 0.10 |
| Clotrimazole | 0.05 | 26.5 ± 0.30 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Methanol    | 100.00 | 19.3 ± 0.12 | 22.0 ± 0.09 | 25.3 ± 0.12 | 25.0 ± 0.09 | 20.0 ± 0.12 |
|             | 50.00 | - | 17.3 ± 0.06 | 19.0 ± 0.09 | 18.3 ± 0.12 | - |
| Hexane      | 100.00 | 18.0 ± 0.15 | 20.0 ± 0.12 | 17.7 ± 0.12 | 17.0 ± 0.09 | 19.3 ± 0.09 |
|             | 50.00 | - | 17.7 ± 0.12 | - | - | - |

NA = Not Applicable, Diameter of cork borer = 10 mm.

From the results obtained, the methanol and hexane extracts recorded zones of inhibition at the lower concentration of 50 mg/mL. At this concentration, the methanol extract recorded inhibition against E. faecalis, S. aureus and P. aeruginosa but showed no inhibition against E. coli and C. albicans. However, the hexane extract showed inhibition against only S. aureus at this concentration. At a concentration of 100 mg/mL, all the tested organisms were susceptible to both the methanol and hexane extracts.

Generally, susceptibility increased with the increased concentration of extract as the zones of inhibition increased for all organisms. P. aeruginosa was the most susceptible to the methanol extract at 100 mg/mL with C. albicans being the least susceptible. At the same concentration, S. aureus was the most susceptible to the hexane extract with E. faecalis being the least susceptible. All the four tested bacteria were susceptible to the ciprofloxacin (standard drug) with the gram-positive bacteria S. aureus showing the highest susceptibility. Both extracts and clotrimazole (standard drug) showed activity against the fungus C. albicans.

Table 4: Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of extracts and reference drugs against test organisms.

| Test Organism | Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (mg/mL) |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|
|               | Methanol (mg/mL) | Hexane (mg/mL) | Ciprofloxacin (mg/mL) | Clotrimazole (mg/mL) |
| C. albicans   | 5.0 | 20.0 | NA | 1.25 × 10⁻³ |
| E. faecalis   | 10.0 | 40.0 | 0.625 × 10⁻³ | NA |
| E. coli       | 5.0 | 40.0 | 2.500 × 10⁻³ | NA |
| P. aeruginosa | 2.5 | 40.0 | 2.500 × 10⁻³ | NA |
| S. aureus     | 5.0 | 40.0 | 0.625 × 10⁻³ | NA |

NA = Not Applicable.
standard) scavenged DPPH radical in a dose dependent manner (Figure 1). The reference antioxidant (ascorbic acid), hexane and methanol extracts of *F. sur* showed antioxidant activity in the DPPH free radical scavenging assay with IC$_{50}$ of ascorbic acid, hexane and methanol ranged from 3.17 ± 0.32 to 350.70 ± 0.72 μg/mL, as shown in Table 5.

### Table 5: IC$_{50}$ of DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity for Hexane and Methanol extracts and Ascorbic Acid.

| Sample      | IC$_{50}$ (μg/mL) |
|-------------|-------------------|
| Ascorbic acid | 3.17 ± 0.32       |
| Methanol    | 89.95 ± 0.30      |
| Hexane      | 350.70 ± 0.72     |

The results implied that the potency of the tested samples of extracts as antioxidants decreased in the order: ascorbic acid > methanol > hexane (Figure 1). Methanol extract showed better antioxidant activity compared to the hexane probably due to the presence of the polyphenols that act as anti-aging agent by neutralizing the effect of free radicals [34]. Polyphenols including tannins and flavonoids have many favourable effects on human health, such as the inhibition of the low density proteins oxidization [35]. Though hexane and methanol extracts which comprise of a mixture of compounds were not as potent as the ascorbic acid, *F. sur* stem-bark extracts may be useful in the manufacture of drugs to help prevent or cure health problems that could arise from the systemic actions of oxidative agents, thus its usage in folk medicine for the treatment of sickle cell diseases in Burkina Faso [9].

### Hydrogen peroxide scavenging assay

![Figure 1: Comparative radical scavenging activity of the hexane and methanol extracts and ascorbic acid.](image)

![Figure 2: Comparative H$_2$O$_2$ radical scavenging activity of the hexane and methanol extracts and gallic acid.](image)
Non-radical oxidizing agents scavenging potential of the hexane and methanol extracts of *Ficus sur* were evaluated by the use of hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) scavenging method. The results are shown in Table 6. Methanol and hexane extracts of *F. sur* and gallic acid (reference standard) exhibited H\(_2\)O\(_2\) scavenging capacity in a dose dependent manner (Figure 2).

The IC\(_{50}\) of a sample is the concentration of the sample required to scavenge 50% of the peroxide in a system. It is used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity of a sample. The lower the IC\(_{50}\), the better the antioxidant potential of the sample under examination [1,36]. Results showed that, hexane and methanol extracts demonstrated a significant antioxidant activity in concentration-dose dependent manner. The IC\(_{50}\) values of gallic acid (standard drug), hexane and methanol extracts ranged from 204.40 ± 0.01 to 708.51 ± 0.28 μg/mL as shown in Table 6.

**Table 6: IC\(_{50}\) of Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity.**

| Sample          | IC\(_{50}\) (μg/mL) |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Standard (Gallic acid) | 204.40 ± 0.01        |
| Hexane          | 682.76 ± 0.20        |
| Methanol        | 708.51 ± 0.28        |

From the results, both methanol and hexane extracts which comprise of a mixture of compounds showed slightly lower activity than gallic acid (reference standard), even though they are all good antioxidants. Bioactive isolates from these extracts responsible for antioxidant activity could be attributed to the terpenoids and polyphenols, such as tannins and flavanoids in *F. sur* and could be exploited for the treatment of oxidative-stress diseases [34].

**Total antioxidant capacity (TAC)**

Ascorbic acid also known as Vitamin C is an electron donor antioxidant and this property is responsible for all its known functions. Vitamin C is a potent reducing agent and scavenger of free radicals in biological systems. It is a cofactor for enzymes involved in regulating photosynthesis, hormone biosynthesis, and regenerating other antioxidants [37].

Concentrations of ascorbic acid ranging between 6.125 to 100 μg/mL showed antioxidant activity and mean absorbances between 0.059 ± 0.003 to 0.932 ± 0.002 at wavelength of 695 nm (Figure 3). The TAC was found to be proportional to the concentration of extract. TAC of the extracts were examined by Phosphomolybdenum method and the results were expressed as gram ascorbic acid equivalent per 100 grams (gAAE/100g) [1]. The gAAE/100g, represents the fraction of the plant extract that can act as ascorbic acid in 100 g of the extract. The hexane and methanol extracts had 17.863 ± 0.037 and 23.560 ± 0.014 gAAE/100g, respectively. (Table 7).

**Table 7: Total Antioxidant Capacity of Hexane and Methanol extracts expressed as gAAE/100g.**

| Extract     | TAC (gAAE/100g) |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Hexane      | 17.863 ± 0.037  |
| Methanol    | 23.560 ± 0.014  |

TAC - Total Antioxidant Capacity; AAE - Ascorbic Acid Equivalent.

Generally, the TAC increased with increasing concentration, thus the higher the TAC, the better the activity of the sample. Polyphenols including flavonoids are important natural antioxidants, which are basically associated with curing of various diseases and disorders including cancer, diabetes, gout, urolithiasis, obesity, and other diseases associated with ageing [38,39]. Both extracts demonstrated appreciable antioxidant activities due to the presence of the various phytochemicals such as flavonoids, phenols, tannins, terpenoids among others in *F. sur*.

**Thin layer chromatography (TLC)**

The number of components present in the extracts were determined by the analytical TLC method. The chromatographic spots which were representative of compounds in the various extracts
were observed and their RF values determined. Table 8 gives the results of the TLC analysis. The hexane extract showed four spots and methanol five spots with RF values between 0.113 to 0.950 and 0.050 to 0.900, respectively. The number of spots indicating the separated components in the two extracts were less for both extracts when compared to the phytoconstituents identified to be present in each stem-bark extract. This means that some of the components existed as isomers, did not elute due to the polarity of the mobile phase or co-eluted in mixtures and it may be necessary to employ two dimensional TLC, HPLC or column chromatography to achieve complete separation of the components [1].

**Table 8:** TLC results of extract showing various components and their retardation factor using hexane/ethyl acetate (4:1) as mobile phase.

| Components | Retardation Factor, RF |
|------------|------------------------|
|            | Hexane | Methanol |
| A          | 0.113  | 0.050    |
| B          | 0.250  | 0.250    |
| C          | 0.650  | 0.213    |
| D          | 0.950  | 0.263    |
| E          | -      | 0.900    |

**Conclusion**

The hexane and methanol extracts of *F. sur* showed the presence of varying secondary metabolites including saponins, tannins, terpenoids, steroids, flavonoids, phenols and anthraquinones. The study demonstrated that the hexane and methanol extracts of *F. sur* possess a variety of anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant activities. This implies the extracts could be effective against inflammations, infectious and diseases associated with oxidative-stress, and could become a potential therapeutic agent for their treatment. Further studies are ongoing in our laboratory towards isolation, characterization, identification and determination of biological activities present in the stem-barks of *F. sur*.
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