The scattering of a cylindrical invisibility cloak: reduced parameters and optimization
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Abstract
We investigate the scattering of 2D cylindrical invisibility cloaks with simplified constitutive parameters with the assistance of scattering coefficients. We show that the scattering of the cloaks originates not only from the boundary conditions but also from the spatial variation of the component of permittivity/permeability. According to our formulation, we propose some restrictions to the invisibility cloak in order to minimize its scattering after the simplification has taken place. With our theoretical analysis, it is possible to design a simplified cloak using some peculiar composites such as photonic crystals which mimic an effective refractive index landscape rather than offering effective constitutives, meanwhile cancelling the scattering from the inner and outer boundaries.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Keeping the form of Maxwell equations invariant, coordinate transformation (CT) provides us with the possibility to control the electromagnetic (EM) field, by changing the distribution of permittivity and permeability, as well as to create an isolated space to hide any objects, i.e. invisibility cloaking [1, 2].

In recent years, invisibility cloaking has been attracting significant attention [3–12], due to its novel EM characteristics and potential applications.

In general, the invisibility cloaks, realized through a CT approach, possess constitutive parameters being anisotropic and spatially varying. In particular, a 3D spherical invisibility cloak calls for constitutive parameters which are anisotropic and inhomogeneous, but with finite values, which seems realizable in practice [1]. However, in the 2D case, cylindrical invisibility cloaks commonly possess constitutives not only being anisotropic and spatially dispersive but also requesting infinite components [4], which in practice leaves the realization of any ideal cloak impossible. To overcome this drawback, Schurig et al suggested the simplification of the constitutive parameters, i.e. flexibly choosing the constitutives while keeping the spatial distribution of dispersion relations unchanged [4], which is based on the ray tracing concept.

Since we have to simplify the constitutives of a 2D cylindrical cloak in the practical design and fabrication, the scattering of the cloak itself is unavoidable, i.e. an invisibility cloak with reduced parameters is inherently visible [13]. In order to reduce the scattering of a cloak with reduced parameters, a variety of research work has been done. For instance, a second-order polynomial function was used in the transformation in order to avoid the reflection at the outer boundary [14] or to minimize the scattering of cloaks with reduced parameters by the optimized simplification [15–17]. Although people have achieved success in the invisibility cloak theory and experiments, a general description of the scattering by a cloak with reduced parameters is unrevealed.

In this paper, we analytically solve the scattering problem of a cylindrical invisibility cloak by applying the classical scattering theorem. With the aid of scattering coefficients,
we show the full-wave solution of the scattering by cloaks with ideal as well as reduced parameters. According to our theoretical analysis, the scattering of a cloak with reduced parameters is contributed by both the inner and outer boundary, as well as the spatially varying internal impedance. We point out the way to minimize the scattering of a cloak in practice, in which case its scattering is dominated by the spatially distributed permittivity/permeability rather than the boundary conditions. In addition, the way to realize nearly zero scattering by an approximate cloaking shell is also proposed.

2. Scattering of cylindrical invisibility cloak

Consider the anisotropic and inhomogeneous invisibility cloaks obtained from a CT approach. If the mapping employed in the transformation is simple, i.e. only one coordinate variable is involved in the transformation like the ones proposed in [11] or [4], the cloak’s $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are diagonal and inhomogeneous. Although the analytical solution of the scattering problem of an ideal cloak can be found by applying the Maxwell equations, the scattered fields by those cloaks with scattering problem of an ideal cloak can be found by applying the Maxwell equations, the scattered fields by those cloaks with reduced parameters have to be determined numerically [13]. However, the scattering by a multi-layered cloak shell can be found by applying the full-wave expansion, satisfying the boundary conditions [18].

Suppose there is an $N$-layered cloak structure as shown in figure 1(a). The inner and outer radius of this cloak shell are $a$ and $b$, respectively. $\rho_j$ is the outer radius of the $j$th shell, $\rho_0 = a$ stands for the radius of the inner region and $\rho_N = b$ is the outer radius. Inside the $j$th layer with thickness $d_j = \rho_j - \rho_{j-1}$, see figure 1, the permittivity and the permeability are constant and denoted by $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j$ and $\tilde{\mu}_j$. If $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j$ and $\tilde{\mu}_j$ vary continuously in space, then a continuous cloak shell can be obtained if we let $N \to \infty$ and max $d_j \to 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N$). For simplicity, the permittivity and permeability in each layer of the multi-layered cloak are assumed to be anisotropic but diagonal, e.g. $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j/\varepsilon_0 = \text{diag}\{\varepsilon_0^{(r)}, \varepsilon_0^{(i)}\}$. Here, we consider the TM polarization case, i.e. the electric field is polarized along the $z$ direction, while the same procedure can be applied to a TE polarization case.

Basically, the field inside each layer can be easily expressed by a superposition of vector cylindrical waves [18, 19]. We rewrite the field inside the $j$th layer as

$$E_{z,j} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ C_{1,m}^{j} J_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho) + C_{2,m}^{j} H_{v_j}^{(1)}(k_{\rho,j} \rho) \right] e^{im\phi},$$

(1)

with $v_j = m(k_{\rho,j}/k_{\phi,j})$, $k_{\rho,j} = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon^r_j \mu^r_j}$, $k_{\phi,j} = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon^i_j \mu^i_j}$ and $k_0 = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0}$. Here, $C_{1,m}^{j}$ and $C_{2,m}^{j}$ are the unknown expansion coefficients inside the $j$th layer which need to be determined by matching the boundary conditions. In the outer space, $C_{1,m}^{N+1}$ and $C_{2,m}^{N+1}$ are used to represent the total field in the outer free space, composed of the incident and the scattered fields. In equation (1), the first term represents the standing wave, while the second one is the scattered wave in each layer [19]. Boundary conditions are applied to determine the unknown coefficients. At the interface between the $j$th and the $(j+1)$th layers, tangential electric and magnetic fields should be continuous, hence we find

$$C_{1,m}^{j+1} = \hat{P}_{m,j+1} \hat{Q}_{m,j} C_{1,m}^{j},$$

(2)

with

$$\hat{P}_{m,j+1} = \left[ \frac{J_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho_j)}{\mu^{r,j}_{\phi,j}} J'_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho_j) \frac{H^{(1)}_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho_j)}{\mu^{i,j}_{\phi,j}} H'_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho_j) \right],$$

$$\hat{Q}_{m,j} = \left[ \frac{k_{\rho,j}}{\mu^{r,j}_{\phi,j}} J'_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho_j) \frac{k_{\rho,j}}{\mu^{i,j}_{\phi,j}} H'_{v_j}(k_{\rho,j} \rho_j) \right].$$

(3)

Similarly, we consider the boundary conditions at any other interface, and the two unknown coefficients in the free space can be evaluated by

$$P_{m,N+1} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \hat{P}_{m,j+1} \hat{Q}_{m,j} C_{1,m}^{1},$$

(4)

Equation (5) is the common relationship that a multi-layered cloak shell consisting of several layers can be conveniently worked out [18]. However, since the 2D invisibility cloak requires that $k_{\phi,j}$ tends to zero near the inner interface, then $v_j$ is singular which makes both $\hat{T}_{1,m}$ and $\hat{T}_{2,m}$ being ill-conditioned and the numerical evaluation of equation (5) fails.

However, since our purpose is to find out the scattered field, the scattering coefficients are of course very helpful and simplifying the formulation may also overcome the drawback of equation (5). The scattering coefficient for the $n$th cylindrical wave in the $j$th layer is defined as $R_{nj}^{m} = C_{2,m}^{j}/C_{1,m}^{j}$. From equation (2), we easily arrive at

$$R_{nj}^{m+1} = \frac{A_{nj}^{m+1} + B_{nj}^{m+1}}{C_{nj}^{m+1} + D_{nj}^{m+1} R_{nj}^{m}},$$

(6)
where $A_m$, $B_m$, $C_m$ and $D_m$ are functions of $v$, $k_\rho$, $k_\phi$ in both the $j$th and $(j+1)$th layers. If we further assume that all the layers are very thin, and let $N \to \infty$ and $\max d_j \to 0$, i.e. the cloak structure gradually tends to be continuous, we can rewrite $R_m^{\pm 1}$, $R_m$, $A_m$, $B_m$, $C_m$ and $D_m$ as $R_m + \Delta R_m$, $R_m$, $A_m + \Delta A_m$, $B_m + \Delta B_m$, $C_m + \Delta C_m$ and $D_m + \Delta D_m$, respectively. Hence we find

$$\Delta R_m = \left[ A_m + \Delta A_m + (B_m - C_m + \Delta B_m - \Delta C_m)R_m \right. \left. - (D_m + \Delta D_m)R_m \right]^{2} \left[ C_m + \Delta C_m + (D_m + \Delta D_m)R_m \right]^{-1}.$$

(7)

Balancing the first order differentials in equation (7), we obtain

$$R_m'(\rho) = \frac{\pi\rho}{2I} \left[ A_m'(\rho) + (B_m' - C_m')R_m(\rho) - D_m'R_m^2(\rho) \right],$$

(8)

with

$$A'_m = -J_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' J''_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho v^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} J'_v(k_\rho\rho) \right] + J'_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' J'_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho \frac{\mu_\phi}{\mu_\phi} J_v(k_\rho\rho) \right]$$

$$+ k_\rho \frac{\mu_\phi}{\mu_\phi} J_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} J_v(k_\rho\rho),$$

$$B'_m = -H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' J''_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho v^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} J'_v(k_\rho\rho) \right] + H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' J'_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho \frac{\mu_\phi}{\mu_\phi} J_v(k_\rho\rho) \right]$$

$$+ k_\rho \frac{\mu_\phi}{\mu_\phi} J_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} J_v(k_\rho\rho),$$

$$C'_m = J_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho' H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \right]$$

$$+ k_\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) - J'_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' H'_{v}(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho' H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \right]$$

$$+ k_\rho \frac{\mu_\phi}{\mu_\phi} H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho),$$

$$D'_m = H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho' H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \right]$$

$$+ k_\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) - H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \left[ k_\rho k_\rho' H'_{v}(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho' H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) \right]$$

$$+ k_\rho \frac{\mu_\phi}{\mu_\phi} H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho) + k_\rho v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} H^{(1)}_v(k_\rho\rho).$$

For more details of the technical work, please refer to the appendix. Containing the term involving $R_m(\rho)$, equation (8) becomes the non-linear Riccati equation for continuous invisibility cloaks. It could be solved numerically with initial value at the boundaries.

To find out the scattering coefficients in the outer free space, we should find out the scattering coefficients on the inner interface first. For the ideal cloaks, the permittivity/permeability on the inner boundary is infinite. This prevents us from the evaluation of the scattering coefficients, hence we have to assume that the cloak’s inner radius has a small perturbation $\delta$, i.e. the inner radius is now $a + \delta$ but the rest of the parameters are kept unchanged. Note that there is no scattered field inside the inner region ($\rho < a+\delta$), then the scattering coefficients on the new inner boundary can be evaluated by applying equation (2):

$$R_m(a + \delta) = \frac{[\eta_{\rho} + J_m(k_\rho(a + \delta))J^{(1)}_{\rho}(k_\rho(a + \delta))]}{[\eta_{\rho} + J_m(k_\rho(a + \delta))][J_{\rho}(k_\rho(a + \delta))]}$$

$$\times \frac{[J_{\rho}(k_\rho(a + \delta))J^{(1)}_{\rho}(k_\rho(a + \delta))]}{[J_{\rho}(k_\rho(a + \delta))]},$$

(9)

with $\eta_{\rho} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\rho,\phi}/\mu_{\rho,\phi}}$ and $k_\rho$ being the wave number in the region $\rho < a + \delta$. The initial scattering coefficients of any cloak structure can be obtained by letting $\delta \to 0$ in equation (9). For example, for ideal cloaks, $\eta_{\rho,\phi} \to 0$ and $V_{\rho,\phi} \to 0$ lead to $R_0(a + \delta) \to -\frac{1}{1} J_0(k_\rho(a + \delta))$ and $R_m(a + \delta) \to 0 (m \neq 0)$.

To verify our theoretical formulation, here we assume there is a cylindrical invisibility cloak with $a = 0.024m$, $b = 0.072m$ and its constitutive parameters

$$\epsilon' = \mu' = \sqrt{\frac{\rho - a}{\rho - a} - \frac{b}{a}} \left( \frac{\rho - a}{\rho} \right).$$

Using equations (8) and (9), the scattering coefficients can be conveniently evaluated. Figure 2(a) shows the scattering coefficients for the lowest four cylindrical waves ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$).

From figure 2, we see that all the scattering coefficients ($|R_m|$) are zero on the outer boundary. Since an ideal cloak perfectly matches the outer space, no scattered wave will be excited. Likewise, the inner boundary, $|R_m|$ is also zero, except the 0th order case. Although non-zero $R$, no electric field can be excited inside the inner region [1], which can also be proved by applying equation (2). In figure 2(a), $R_0$ varies fast near the inner boundary, hence the total scattered field is sensitive to any perturbation of the inner boundary, as reported in [20].

### 3. Cloaks with simplified parameters

In the previous section, we have obtained the scattering coefficients for an arbitrary invisibility cloak structure with cylindrical symmetry. However, the permittivity and permeability of ideal cloaks are not only anisotropic and inhomogeneous but also have infinite components ($\epsilon_\phi$ and $\mu_\phi$) on the inner boundary [4]. Hence, in order to realize the practical design and fabrication, we have to simplify the constitutive parameters, i.e. maintain the dispersion relation distribution, while releasing our choice of $\epsilon$ and $\mu$ to a more realistic set [4, 14, 15, 21]. Unfortunately, this simplification generally affects the scattering coefficients, i.e. the mismatched inner and outer boundaries, as well as the variation of $\mu_{\phi}$ (for TM case), will cause non-zero scattering coefficients, which we see below in detail.

In [14], to minimize the scattered field after the simplification, high-order CT was applied, for the purpose of impedance matching on the boundary $\rho = b$. While the inner boundary is usually assumed to be perfectly conducting [5, 14, 18], by doing this the inner region is isolated.
from the outer space. However, the 0th order cylindrical wave can still see the inner region and detect the perfect conducting wall [13]. Although with the help of some numerical method like genetic algorithm (GA) we can optimize the scattered field by a multi-layered cloak [18], the physical interpretation of the scattering is missing. Returning to equation (9), the initial value of $R$ is commonly determined by both the cloak and the object placed inside. However, we note that $R(a)$ can be independent of the permeability and keeps zero if \( k_{a,\rho} = 0 \), which means that the scattering of a cloak will be irrelevant to the inner objects. Again, in equation (8), the alternative $\mu_\phi$ after the simplification may introduce the disturbance of scattering coefficients through the term containing $\mu'_\phi/\mu_\phi$. To minimize the contribution from this term, the other terms should dominate, i.e. $k'_\rho$ cannot be zero.

If the CT is $\rho' = f(\rho)$, $\phi' = \phi$ and $z' = z$, all the above restrictions can be expressed as $f'(b) = 1$, $f'(a) = 0$, $f''(\rho) \neq 0$, with natural conditions $f(a) = 0$ and $f(b) = b$. Any functions satisfying these restrictions can be applied to the cloak design. Here, a third-order polynomial function is adopted, i.e. $\rho' = A\rho^3 + B\rho^2 + C\rho + D$ with $A = -\frac{a+b}{(b-a)^2}$, $B = \frac{1}{2(b-a)} - \frac{3(a+b)}{2(b-a)}A$, $C = 1 - 3Ab^2 - 2bB$ and $D = -(Aa^3 + Ba^2 + Ca)$. We should note that the choice for higher ordered polynomial function is not unique, which indicates that the optimization might be accomplished using some numerical method like GA.

Next we address some numerical calculations to verify our theoretical analysis. We suppose an infinitely long cylinder with radius $r = 24$ mm made of PEC is placed in free space. The scattering coefficients of this PEC cylinder under TM polarized wave illuminating are listed in the second column of table 1, while the near field distribution is shown in figure 2(b).

Table 1. The absolute scattering coefficients for the lowest four cylindrical waves are shown. In the calculation, $f = 7$ GHz. |$R_c$|: the bare PEC cylinder case; |$R_l$|: PEC cylinder covered by a simplified cloak from linear CT; |$R_{3ord}$|: PEC cylinder covered by a simplified cloak from a third-order polynomial CT; |$R_{app}$|: PEC cylinder covered by an approximate cloak shell.

| $m$ | $R_c$ | $R_l$ | $R_{3ord}$ | $R_{app}$ |
|-----|-------|-------|------------|----------|
| 0   | 0.9036 | 0.801 | 0.354      | 0.1187   |
| 1   | 0.3004 | 0.197 | 0.135      | 0.0068   |
| 2   | 0.9934 | 0.082 | 0.031      | 0.0066   |
| 3   | 0.7418 | 0.245 | 0.060      | 0.0120   |

Next we assume that a cylindrical cloak with $a = 24$ mm and $b = 72$ mm is applied to hide the specified PEC cylinder. First, we apply a linear function to complete the CT, as previously stated. In practice, the constitutive parameters have to be simplified. Here we make $\mu_\phi = 1$ while we tune the other parameters correspondingly [4]. The near field distribution of the scattering by this simplified cloak is shown in figure 3(a), while the scattering coefficients for the lowest four order cylindrical waves are listed in the third column in table 1. We clearly find that the non-zero scattering coefficients make the cloak visible [13]. Comparing the second and the third columns in table 1, we see that though with non-zero scattering coefficients, this simplified cloak has a larger size but less scattering than the PEC cylinder.

Second, a third-order polynomial function, which can be uniquely determined by the above analysis, is applied in the CT. Although the cloak still needs simplification, its scattering...
Figure 3. Total electric field distribution for a PEC cylinder covered by (a) a simplified cloak coming from a linear CT; (b) a simplified cloak coming from a third-order polynomial CT; (c) an approximate cloak shell. (d) The magnitude of the scattered electric field on the virtue contour C ($\rho = 0.084$ m). Due to the symmetry, here we only show the distribution in $0 < \phi < \pi$. In all of the calculation, $f = 7$ GHz.

is only caused by the alternated $\mu_\phi$, see equation (8), hence we would expect the reduction of all the scattering coefficients. We still make $\mu_\phi = 1$ after the simplification. The total field distribution is shown in figure 3(b), while the lowest four scattering coefficients are listed in the fourth column in table 1. We see that though the scattering coefficients are non-zero again, this cloak scatters less EM power than the one shown in figure 3(a).

From figure 3 and table 1, a simplified cloak structure related to a third-order polynomial CT has less scattering than the one related to a linear CT. However the scattering contributed from the $\mu_\phi$ term in equation (8) is still unavoidable. In fact, by applying high-order polynomial transformation functions and some numerical optimization, the cloak’s parameters can be optimized to minimize the scattering involved by the $\mu_\phi$ term. Here, we point out one possible analytical solution.

We go to equation (8) and if the term containing $\mu_\phi'$ is zero, then the scattering of the cloak is dominated by the spatially dispersive refractive index. For this purpose, we have $\mu_\phi' = 0$, or $\rho' = b_1^{-1}/\rho^2$, with X being determined by boundary conditions. Rigorously speaking, functions of this kind cannot become the transformation function since $\rho' \neq 0$ if $\rho \neq 0$. However, properly choosing the two unknown coefficients can still support an approximate cloak shell [22]. An approximate cloak shell coming from this kind of transformation function will possess constitutives as $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\mu} = \text{diag}[X^{-1}, X, X b_2^{2} X, X b_3^{2} X^{2}]$, i.e. no singularity existing in both the permittivity and the permeability. Since this kind of invisibility cloak shell has been reported in [22], we do not place too much discussion here. The scattering coefficients are summarized in the last column in table 1 and the electric field distribution is given in figure 3(c). We see that the approximate cloak shell scatters little EM power, which in principle ‘shrinks’ the non-zero scatter [22].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have solved the scattering problem of 2D cloak structures with cylindrical symmetry, for both the ideal and simplified cases. We show that the scattering coefficients of a cloak are determined by both the inhomogeneous refractive index and the inhomogeneous $\mu_\phi(\epsilon_\phi)$, as well as the inner and outer boundary conditions. In the practical fabrication, to avoid the infinities of an ideal cloak, the cloak’s constitutive parameters have to be simplified, which commonly makes an otherwise invisible cloak visible.

We point out the way to avoid the scattering from both the outer and the inner boundaries, i.e. to have the outer boundary matched to the free space and make the refractive
We rewrite equation (2) as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    C_{i+1} \bar{m} \\
    C_{2,m} \bar{m}
\end{bmatrix}
= \frac{\pi}{2i} \begin{bmatrix}
    C_{i, m} \bar{m} \\
    B_{i, m} \bar{m}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    C_{i, m} \bar{m} \\
    C_{2,m} \bar{m}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(A.1)

and after some algebra operation we find

\[
A_{i, m} = -k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
+ k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
+ B_{i, m} = -k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
+ k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
+ C_{i, m} = k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
- k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
+ D_{i, m} = k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r})
- k_{p,j} \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}) \mu \psi_{i,j} \psi_{i,j}(k_{p,j} \bar{r}).
\]

From equation (A.1), we can derive equation (6). Furthermore, assuming that all the cylindrical shells are thin, i.e. max \( d_i \to 0 \), we can rewrite

\[
R_{i+1} \bar{m} + \Delta R_{i+1} R_{i+1} \bar{m}, A_{i+1} \bar{m}, B_{i+1} \bar{m}, C_{i+1} \bar{m} \text{ and } D_{i+1} \bar{m}
\]

as

\[
\Delta R_{i+1} R_{i+1} \bar{m}, A_{i+1} \bar{m}, B_{i+1} \bar{m}, C_{i+1} \bar{m} \text{ and } D_{i+1} \bar{m}.
\]

The sign appears in those terms involving \( \Delta R_{i+1} \bar{m} \). Thus equation (6) has a new form as equation (7) which is rewritten here

\[
\Delta R_{i+1} R_{i+1} \bar{m} - \Delta R_{i+1} R_{i+1} \bar{m} - \Delta C_{i+1} R_{i+1}^2 C_{i+1} \bar{m} + \Delta C_{i+1} R_{i+1}^2 C_{i+1} \bar{m} - \Delta D_{i+1} R_{i+1}^2 D_{i+1} \bar{m}.
\]

(A.2)

With

\[
A_{i+1} \bar{m} = 0,
B_{i+1} \bar{m} = 2i \pi,
C_{i+1} \bar{m} = 2i \pi,
D_{i+1} \bar{m} = 0.
\]

In deriving equation (A.3), we use the Wronskian of Hankel functions [27]

\[
H_{\nu}^{(1)}(x) J_{\nu}'(x) - J_{\nu}(x) H_{\nu}'^{(1)}(x) = -\frac{2i}{\pi x}.
\]

(A.4)

Furthermore, simplifying equation (A.2), we obtain

\[
\Delta R_{i+1} R_{i+1} \bar{m} = \Delta A_{i+1} R_{i+1}^2 B_{i+1} \bar{m} - \Delta D_{i+1} R_{i+1}^2 D_{i+1} \bar{m}.
\]

(A.5)

Balancing the first order differentials in equation (A.5), we can obtain equation (8).
[20] Ruan Z, Yan M, Neff C W and Qiu M 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 113903
[21] Cai W, Chettiar U K, Kildishev A V and Shalaev V M 2007 Nature Photon. 1 224
[22] Cummer S A, Liu R and Cui T J 2009 Appl. Phys. Lett. 105 056102
[23] Peng L, Ran L, Chen H, Zhang H, Kong J A and Grzegorczyk T M 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 157403
[24] Peng L, Ran L and Mortensen N A 2010 Appl. Phys. Lett. 96 241108
[25] Urzhumov Y A and Smith D R 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 163901
[26] Sigmund O 2009 AIP Conf. Proc. 1176 26
[27] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A 1965 Handbook of Mathematical Functions (New York: Dover Publications)