Factors Influencing Running Velocity at Lactate Threshold in Male and Female Runners at Different Levels of Performance

Eva Maria Støa1*, Jan Helgerud2,3, Bent R. Rønnestad4, Joar Hansen4, Stian Ellefsen4 and Øyvind Støren1

1 Department of Sports, Physical Education and Outdoor Studies, University of South-Eastern Norway, Bø, Norway.
2 Department of Circulation and Medical Imaging, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway.
3 Myworkout, Medical Rehabilitation Clinic, Trondheim, Norway.
4 Section for Health and Exercise Physiology, Institute of Public Health and Sport Sciences, Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Lillehammer, Norway

Background: The primary aim was to examine the relationship between lactate threshold (LT) expressed as percentage of maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) and running velocity at LT (LTV). A secondary aim was to investigate to what extent VO2max, oxygen cost of running (CR), and maximal aerobic speed (MAS) determined LTV. A third aim was to investigate potential differences in LT and LTV between elite, national and recreational runners, as well as possible gender differences regarding VO2max, CR, LT, and LTV.

Methods: Seventy-five competitive runners (37 males and 38 females) with an average VO2max of 63.0 ± 9.3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, and an average LTV of 13.6 ± 2.3 km·h⁻¹ were tested for VO2max, LT, LTV, MAS, and CR.

Results: Lactate threshold did not correlate with LTV. With an r - value of 0.95 (p < 0.001) and a standard error of estimate of 4.0%, the product of MAS and individual LT determined 90% of LTV, outside a range of ±0.27 km·h⁻¹. LTV increased with higher performance level. However, LT did not differ between elite, national and recreational runners. Female runners had 2.5% higher LT, 8% lower LTV, and 21% lower VO2max, but 9% better CR than male runners.

Conclusion: Lactate threshold did not correlate with LTV. The product of MAS and LT correlated strongly with LTV. There were no differences between elite, national and recreational runners regarding LT, but female runners had higher LT than the male runners. Female runners at the same relative performance level had lower LTV and VO2max, but better CR than male runners.
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INTRODUCTION

Long-distance running performance is mainly determined by maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max), fractional utilization of VO2max or lactate threshold (LT), and oxygen cost of running (CR) (Conley and Krahenbuhl, 1980; Pate and Kriska, 1984; Rabadán et al., 2011). LT has been defined as the highest work intensity where there is a balance between lactate production and removal.
(Brooks, 1986), i.e., the highest intensity point before the lactate concentration starts to increase during continuous exercise (Davis, 1985), called the maximal lactate steady state (MLSS). While the MLSS is an accurate method to determine LT, it is time consuming, and therefore a number of short-stage methods are more commonly used, such as a warm up value + 1.5 mmol · L$^{-1}$ (Støren et al., 2014). LT may be expressed in absolute values, for example running velocity (LT$_V$), or in relative values as a percentage of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (LT). In trained endurance athletes, LT usually represents an intensity between 75 and 90% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (Joyner and Coyle, 2008).

In running, elite athletes have shown somewhat higher LT than regional athletes (Støren et al., 2014), which is in line with the expectations that well-trained endurance athletes in general have a higher LT than moderately trained athletes (Joyner and Coyle, 2008). However, McLaughlin et al. (2010) found nearly similar LT among runners at different performance levels.

In running, the most common way to express workload at LT seems to be as LT$_V$ (Yoshida et al., 1987). LT$_V$ has been found to primarily depend on VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and work economy (C) in well-trained and elite endurance athletes (Yoshida et al., 1987; Bishop et al., 2000; Nicholson and Sleivert, 2001; Støren et al., 2008, 2013; McLaughlin et al., 2010). In previous studies, VO$_{2\text{max}}$ divided by C has been defined as maximal aerobic speed (MAS) (Støren et al., 2008, 2012, 2014; Helgerud et al., 2010; Sunde et al., 2010, 2019; Bratland-Sanda et al., 2020; Johansen et al., 2020), and found to be a main determinant of aerobic endurance performance and LT (Støren et al., 2012, 2014; Sunde et al., 2019; Bratland-Sanda et al., 2020; Johansen et al., 2020). In accordance with the importance of MAS on LT$_V$, elite long-distance runners have higher LT$_V$ than national runners (Yoshida et al., 1987; Nicholson and Sleivert, 2001). Among runners with similar VO$_{2\text{max}}$, a higher LT$_V$ is typically due to a better C$_R$ (Lay et al., 2015).

Several training interventions that have reported improved endurance running performance, also found improved LT$_V$ (Ferrauti et al., 2010; Enoksen et al., 2011; Hottenrott et al., 2012). These improvements should therefore logically relate to either an improvement in VO$_{2\text{max}}$, in C or in LT. However, studies reporting improved LT after training interventions among already well-trained endurance athletes are lacking. On the contrary, several studies have found no adaptations in LT after training interventions (Bangsbo, 1994; Helgerud et al., 2007; Støren et al., 2008; Sunde et al., 2010; Rønnestad et al., 2015). This implies that improvements in LT$_V$ are most probably due to either an improvement in VO$_{2\text{max}}$, an improvement in C, or both.

Studies assessing gender differences at the same relative performance level have found approximately 10–15% higher VO$_{2\text{max}}$, and 10–15% higher LT$_V$ in men than in women (Pollock, 1977; Pate et al., 1987; Helgerud, 1994; McLaughlin et al., 2010). Studies comparing C$_R$ among men and women have found both better (Daniels and Daniels, 1992), similar (Bunc and Heller, 1989) and worse (Helgerud et al., 2010) C$_R$ in men compared to women. In a study by Helgerud et al. (2010), female runners were found to have 10% better C$_R$ than male runners, when C$_R$ was expressed as ml · kg$^{-0.73}$ · m$^{-1}$, which contradicts the findings of Daniels and Daniels (1992) and Bunc and Heller (1989). Few studies have reported gender differences in LT when expressed in relation to running performance, but Maldonado-Martin et al. (2004) found better LT in women marathon runners than in their male counterparts. It would thus be of interest to assess possible gender differences in LT or in the impact of LT$_V$ determining variables.

In previous studies, the relative importance of LT$_V$ on endurance performance have only been reported in relatively small cohorts. Studies on runners, such as Støren et al. (2008); Enoksen et al. (2011), Hottenrott et al. (2012) have not included more than 34 subjects at the most. In Støren et al. (2014), a large number of cyclists ($n = 108$) participated, enabling the calculation of a new model for predicting power output at LT ($LT_w$) by using the following equation (Equation 1), where $LT_w$ is the power output at LT, LT$_b$VO$_{2\text{max}}$, is LT$_b$, and C$_C$ is cycling economy (oxygen cost of cycling).

$$LT_w = (LT_b \cdot VO_{2\text{max}}) \cdot \frac{VO_{2\text{max}}}{C_C}$$

The model correlated nearly perfect with measured LT$_w$ ($r = 0.98$, $p < 0.0001$, SEE = 2.8%). This model for predicting LT$_V$ is the same model as used for predicting performance in running distance races by McLaughlin et al. (2010). In short, the equation from Støren et al. (2014) is based on the product of LT and MAS. Given the proposition in Støren et al. (2014) that LT does not change in already well trained athletes, the first rationale to apply this equation also in runners is that it is a time-saving method to assess LT$_V$. As proposed in Støren et al. (2014), a full LT profile test is then only needed once. For all subsequent tests, only MAS is needed. Further, if this equation applies also in running, this could be an argument to focus more on MAS, i.e., VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and C$_R$, and less on LT$_V$.

From both a practical and a theoretical point of view, it is of interest to identify relationships between LT$_V$ and other physiological variables shown to affect endurance running performance. The aims of the present study were thus to investigate:

1. The impact of LT on LT$_V$ in running, as well as the impact of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and C$_R$ on LT$_V$.
2. The fit of the equation for cyclists used in Støren et al. (2014) applied on runners.
3. Potential differences in LT and LT$_V$ between elite, national and recreational runners.
4. Potential gender differences in point 1–3.

The hypothesis were that:

1. VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and C$_R$ would have a strong impact on LT$_V$, while LT would not.
2. The equation for cyclists used in Støren et al. (2014) would fit also for runners.
3. Both LT and LT$_V$ would be higher with higher performance level.
4. Male runners would have higher VO$_{2\text{max}}$, C$_R$, and LT$_V$ than female runners, but similar LT.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
Seventy-five (37 male and 38 female) long-distance runners from Norway, with performance levels ranging from elite to regional, participated in the present study. Subject characteristics are presented in Tables 1, 2. Due to the large number of runners, we were not able to arrange a test-run over, e.g., 5000 m or 3000 m for all the runners. However, by collection of race results the same year as the physiological tests were performed, the range of performance was found to be from 8.05 to 13.30 min in 3000 m.

All subjects gave their written consent before participating, according to the regional ethical committee of the South-East of Norway. The study was approved by the institutional review board at USN.

Design
The study was a cross-sectional multi-center study, aiming to identify factors influencing running velocity at lactate threshold in male and female runners at different levels of performance.

Methodology
The subjects were tested in three different laboratories, at the University of South-Eastern Norway (USN), at Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences (INN), and at the Norwegian School of Sport Sciences (NSSS).

Test protocols were identical in all three laboratories. The same type of treadmill (Woodway PPS 55 Sport, Waukesha, Germany) and heart rate (HR) equipment (Polar Electro, Finland) were used at all three locations. For lactate measurements, Arkray Lactate Pro LT-1710 analyzer (Arkray Inc., Kyoto, Japan) was used at USN and INN, while YSI 1500 Sports Lactate analyzer (Yellow Springs, Ohio, United States) was used at NSSS. Two different types of metabolic test systems were used; at USN, Sensor Medics V_max Spectra (Sensor Medics 229, Yorba Linda, CA, United States), and at INN and NSSS, Oxycon Pro (Erich Jaeger, Höchberg, Germany). The different systems were validated against each other for the range of measures that included all of the participants.

In the treadmill tests, the subjects started at a velocity of at least 3 km · h⁻¹ below their expected LT_2max (representing approximately 50% of VO_2max). To account for this expectancy, the first velocity was adjusted if it did not represent 65–75% of maximal heart rate (HR_max). All subjects knew their HR_max before the tests. Every 5 min, the running velocity increased by 1–1.5 km · h⁻¹, until the test terminated just above the subjects’ LT.

The 5 min stages have in previous studies (Helgerud, 1994; Helgerud et al., 2010; Støren et al., 2008, 2013, 2014; Sunde et al., 2010) proved sufficient to reach steady state HR and VO₂ in each step. To increase speed by 1–1.5 km · h⁻¹ for each step, has in previous studies (Helgerud, 1994; Helgerud et al., 2010; Støren et al., 2008) proven adequate to reach LT in four to five steps.

Lactate threshold was defined as the warm up [La−]b (i.e., measured after the lowest running velocity) + 2.3 mmol · L⁻¹, using the Arkray Lactate Pro LT-1710 analyzer (Arkray Inc., Kyoto, Japan). This is in accordance with the method of Helgerud (1994) and Helgerud et al. (2007), using the YSI 1500 Sports Lactate analyzer (Yellow Springs, Ohio, United States), with LT defined as the warm up [La−]b value + 1.5 mmol · L⁻¹. The difference in [La−]b values between the two analyzers is constant, and due to the difference in [La−]b values between hemolyzed blood (Arkray) and whole blood (YSI). Medbø et al. (2000) have reported the YSI to measure 67% of the lactate Pro values, meaning that 2.3 mmol · L⁻¹ with lactate Pro equals 1.5 mmol · L⁻¹ with YSI. Medbø et al. (2000) reported the exact same error of regression measured against enzyme photo fluorometry for the YSI and the lactate Pro. The LT assessment method in the present study was initiated by Helgerud et al. (1990), and has previously been used in several studies (Helgerud, 1994, Helgerud et al., 2010; Sunde et al., 2010; Støren et al., 2013, 2014). This method has also been recommended by Medbø et al. (2000). The main advantage of using individual warm up values plus a constant, compared to a fixed 4 mmol · L⁻¹, is that it is less vulnerable to day to day variations in subjects [La−]b (Støren et al., 2014). However, compared to a fixed model of 4 mmol · L⁻¹, the model based on a warm-up [La−]b value + 2.3 mmol · L⁻¹, may result in lower LTb and LTV (Støren et al., 2014). In Støren et al. (2014) on cycling, the latter model resulted in a LT of 77%, while the use of a fixed 4 mmol · L⁻¹ resulted in a LT of 81%.

A VO₂max test was performed 5–10 min after the LT assessment. An incremental protocol regarding velocity was used, with an elevation of the treadmill of 3%, 5% or 10%. The subjects started at a velocity representing LTV, rounded to the nearest km · h⁻¹. Every 30 or 60 s, the velocity was increased by 0.5–1.0 km · h⁻¹. This protocol was used to ensure a test duration between 3 and 8 min, as used in Støren et al. (2008). The test terminated at voluntary fatigue by the runners. A possible flattening of the VO₂ curve (<1 ml · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹ increase in VO₂ during the last three subsequent recordings), HR ≥ 95% HR_max, respiratory

| Table 1 | Characteristics of runners by gender. |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| All (n = 75)                           | Men (n = 37)                            | Women (n = 38)                         | p men vs. women |
| BW (kg)                                | 67.0 ± 11.0                            | 76.1 ± 14.1                            | 65.1 ± 5.6     | <0.001 |
| VO₂max L · min⁻¹                       | 4.20 ± 1.00                            | 5.08 ± 0.67                            | 3.41 ± 0.47    | <0.001 |
| VO₂max mL · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹               | 63.0 ± 9.3                             | 67.1 ± 9.3                             | 59.1 ± 7.5     | <0.001 |
| VO₂max mL · kg⁻0.75 · min⁻¹            | 177.8 ± 30.0                           | 191.6 ± 26.5                           | 158.4 ± 18.3   | <0.001 |
| CO₂                                   | 0.233 ± 0.019                          | 0.235 ± 0.019                          | 0.230 ± 0.019  | 0.336  |
| CO₂ m⁻¹                               | 0.233 ± 0.019                          | 0.235 ± 0.019                          | 0.230 ± 0.019  | 0.336  |
| LT                                    | 0.664 ± 0.063                          | 0.693 ± 0.058                          | 0.636 ± 0.056  | <0.001 |
| %VO₂max                               | 83.6 ± 4.0                             | 82.5 ± 4.0                             | 84.6 ± 3.8     | 0.020  |
| LT⁻¹                                  | 13.6 ± 2.1                             | 14.2 ± 1.9                             | 13.1 ± 2.1     | 0.028  |
| LT⁻¹ (pred.)                           | 13.6 ± 2.1                             | 14.0 ± 1.5                             | 13.2 ± 1.7     | 0.028  |
| MAS (km · h⁻¹)                        | 16.4 ± 2.7                             | 17.2 ± 2.5                             | 15.5 ± 2.6     | 0.006  |

Values are mean ± SD, SD, standard deviation; BW, body weight; VO₂max, maximal oxygen consumption; CO₂, oxygen cost of running; LT, lactate threshold; LTV, velocity at LT; MAS, maximal aerobic speed which is maximal oxygen consumption divided by oxygen cost of running.
exchange ratio (RER) $\geq 1.05$, and $[\text{La}^-]_h \geq 8.0 \text{mmol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ were used as criteria to evaluate if VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was obtained.

Oxygen cost of running was calculated at the velocity representing 70% VO$_{2\text{max}}$, as in Støren et al. (2008) and Helgerud et al. (2010). With several submaximal VO$_2$ values at different submaximal running velocities in the LT assessment test, and with the VO$_{2\text{max}}$ value from the VO$_{2\text{max}}$ test, this was possible. The submaximal running velocities were plotted against the corresponding VO$_2$ values, representing a linear regression with $r$-values never below 0.99. From this linear regression, 70% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was plotted, representing a running velocity. By dividing 70% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ by this velocity, e.g., 40 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$ / 200 m·min$^{-1}$, C$_R$ was expressed as the oxygen cost of running per meter, e.g., 0.200 mL·kg$^{-1}$·m$^{-1}$.

Maximal aerobic speed was set as the velocity point where the horizontal line representing VO$_{2\text{max}}$ meets the extrapolated linear regression representing the submaximal VO$_2$ measured in the LT assessment. The linearity from this regression in previous studies (Støren et al., 2008, 2014; Helgerud et al., 2010; Sunde et al., 2010) has been reported to be very good ($r > 0.99, p < 0.001$). This method to define MAS implies that MAS equals VO$_{2\text{max}}$ / C$_R$. Since VO$_{2\text{max}}$ may be expressed as mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$, and C$_R$ as mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$, VO$_{2\text{max}}$ / C$_R$ is expressed as m·min$^{-1}$, i.e., a velocity.

Maximal oxygen uptake values were expressed in both mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$, mL·kg$^{-0.75}$·min$^{-1}$, and in L·min$^{-1}$ as proposed by Bergh et al. (1991). The importance of allometric scaling in running was shown by Helgerud (1994).

A mathematical model for predicting LT$_V$ (Equation 2), based on the equation in Støren et al. (2014) was used in the present study:

$$\text{LT}_V = \left( \text{LT}_{%}\right) \frac{\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}}{\text{C}_R}$$

LT$_V$ is the running velocity at LT, LT$_{%}$ is LT in percent of VO$_{2\text{max}}$, and C$_R$ is the oxygen cost of running.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were tested for normality by use of QQ-plots and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and found to represent a normal distribution for VO$_{2\text{max}}$, C$_R$ and LT. All table values were therefore expressed descriptively as mean ± standard deviation (SD), or the correlation factor $r$ with confidence intervals. The Pearson Bivariate two-tailed correlation test was used to determine correlations between variables. The correlation coefficient definitions by Hopkins et al. (2009) where $r$ values of $0.3–0.5 = \text{moderate}$, $0.5–0.7 = \text{large}$, $0.7–0.9 = \text{very large}$, $0.9 = \text{nearly perfect, and } 1.0 = \text{perfect}$, were used to describe correlations. A General Linear Model (GLM) with Tukey post hoc test was performed to detect possible differences between the three groups divided by competition level. Statistical analyses were performed using the software program SPSS, version 19.0 (Statistical Package for Social Science, Chicago, IL, United States). A $p$-value $< 0.05$ was accepted as statistically significant for all tests, including between group differences and correlations.

**RESULTS**

Characteristics of runners by competitive level are shown in Table 2. Scaled for body mass to the power of 0.75, the elite runners had 17% higher VO$_{2\text{max}}$ than the recreational runners ($p = 0.01$), but there was no difference between the elite and the national runners. However, a GLM showed increasing VO$_{2\text{max}}$ with higher performance level overall ($p < 0.001$). The elite runners had 10% better C$_R$ than the national runners ($p < 0.001$). There was no difference in C$_R$ between national and recreational runners, but the GLM showed better C$_R$ with higher performance level overall ($p < 0.001$). There were no differences between the three performance levels regarding LT, but the elite runners had 15% better LT$_V$ than the national runners ($p < 0.001$), who in turn had 20% better LT$_V$ than the recreational runners.

### Table 2: Characteristics of runners by competitive level.

|                  | Elite (n = 12) | National (n = 29) | Recreational (n = 34) | $p$ Elite vs. National | $p$ Elite vs. Recreational | $p$ National vs. Recreational |
|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **BW (kg)**      | 61.9 ± 11.4   | 67.8 ± 11.2      | 68.2 ± 10.5           | 0.256                  | 0.204                      | 0.991                         |
| **VO$_{2\text{max}}$ L·min$^{-1}$** | 4.42 ± 1.15   | 4.62 ± 1.00      | 3.84 ± 0.85           | 0.828                  | 0.178                      | 0.006                         |
| **VO$_{2\text{max}}$ mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$** | 71.2 ± 8.3    | 67.8 ± 6.2       | 56.1 ± 6.2            | 0.323                  | <0.001                     | <0.001                        |
| **VO$_{2\text{max}}$ mL·kg$^{-0.75}$·min$^{-1}$** | 188.0 ± 39.0  | 193.2 ± 25.2     | 161.0 ± 20.8          | 0.827                  | 0.008                      | <0.001                        |
| **C$_R$ mL·kg$^{-1}$·m$^{-1}$** | 0.216 ± 0.022 | 0.232 ± 0.016    | 0.238 ± 0.018         | 0.018                  | <0.001                     | 0.383                         |
| **C$_R$ mL·kg$^{-0.75}$·m$^{-1}$** | 0.604 ± 0.066 | 0.665 ± 0.050    | 0.683 ± 0.060         | 0.007                  | <0.001                     | 0.428                         |
| **LT%**          | 83.1 ± 4.1    | 82.4 ± 4.0       | 84.8 ± 3.9            | 0.859                  | 0.431                      | 0.053                         |
| **LT$_V$ km·h$^{-1}$** | 16.5 ± 1.6    | 14.4 ± 1.1       | 12.1 ± 1.0            | <0.001                 | <0.001                     | <0.001                        |
| **LT$_V$ (pred.) km·h$^{-1}$** | 15.8 ± 0.7    | 14.4 ± 0.7       | 12.0 ± 1.2            | <0.001                 | <0.001                     | <0.001                        |
| **MAS (km·h$^{-1}$)** | 19.8 ± 2.1    | 17.5 ± 1.4       | 14.1 ± 2.7            | <0.001                 | <0.001                     | <0.001                        |

Values are mean ± SD. SD, standard deviation; BW, body weight; VO$_{2\text{max}}$, maximal oxygen consumption; C$_R$, oxygen cost of running; LT, lactate threshold; LT$_V$, velocity at LT; MAS, maximal aerobic speed which is maximal oxygen consumption divided by oxygen cost of running.
(p < 0.001). Also, GLM showed increasing LT\(V\) with higher performance level overall (p < 0.001). MAS increased with increasing performance level (p < 0.001), where elite runners had 13% higher MAS than national runners (p < 0.001) who in turn had 24% higher MAS than recreational runners (p < 0.001).

Characteristics of runners by gender are shown in Table 1. Expressed as mL \(\cdot\) kg\(^{-0.75}\) \(\cdot\) min\(^{-1}\), the male runners had 21% higher VO\(2max\) (p < 0.001), but 9% poorer \(C_R\) than the female runners (p < 0.001). The female runners had 2.5 percentage point higher LT (p = 0.020), but 8% lower LT\(V\) than the male runners (p = 0.028).

Regardless of competitive level or gender, the mathematical model (Equation 2) showed that LT\(V\) was almost identical to the measured LT\(V\) (13.6 ± 2.1 vs. 13.6 ± 1.7 km \(\cdot\) h\(^{-1}\), p = 0.78).

Correlations are presented in Table 3. LT did not correlate with LT\(V\) (r = -0.15, p = 0.187) as presented in Figure 1. MAS, calculated by VO\(2max\) / \(C_R\), correlated nearly perfect (r = 0.92 p < 0.001) with LT\(V\) (Figure 2). The product of LT and MAS (Equation 2), correlated nearly perfect (r = 0.95 p < 0.001) with LT\(V\) (Figure 3). Based on the \(r^2\) of 0.90 between calculated and measured LT\(V\), and the \(r^2\) of 0.85 between MAS and measured LT\(V\), the relative importance of LT on LT\(V\) was 0.90 – 0.85 = 5%.

VO\(2max\) (r = 0.64, p < 0.001) and \(C_R\) (r = 0.34, p = 0.003) showed large and moderate correlations, respectively, with LT\(V\).

There were no gender differences in correlations between LT\(V\) and the other physiological variables, with one exception. The female runners had a stronger correlation between LT\(V\) and \(C_R\) (r = 0.67, p < 0.001) than the male runners (r = 0.37, p = 0.023).

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings in the present study were that:

1. LT did not correlate with LT\(V\), neither when the runners were divided by competitive level, by gender, or when all runners were taken together in one group. Both VO\(2max\) and \(C_R\) correlated separately with LT\(V\), but the strongest correlation with LT\(V\) was when VO\(2max\) was divided by \(C_R\), expressing MAS.
2. The product of LT and MAS (Equation 2), expressed an even stronger correlation with LT\(V\), indicating a good fit of the equation presented in Støren et al. (2014).
3. There were no differences between elite, national and recreational runners regarding LT, but LT\(V\), VO\(2max\) and \(C_R\) were found to be higher with higher performance level.
4. Female runners had slightly higher LT than the male runners. Male runners had higher VO\(2max\), but not as good \(C_R\) as the female runners. The female runners had a stronger correlation between LT\(V\) and \(C_R\) than the male runners.

**TABLE 3** | Relationship between different variables and running velocity at the lactate threshold.

|               | All, n = 75 | p   | Males, n = 37 | p   | Females, n = 38 | p   |
|---------------|-------------|-----|---------------|-----|----------------|-----|
| Anthropometrics |             |     |               |     |                |     |
| BW (kg)       | 0.03 (−0.16, 0.22) | 0.831 |               |     |                |     |
| VO\(2max\) L \(\cdot\) min\(^{-1}\) | 0.51 (0.32,0.70) | <0.001 | 0.67 (0.40,0.94) | <0.001 | 0.41 (0.14,0.68) | 0.010 |
| VO\(2max\) mL \(\cdot\) kg\(^{-1}\) \(\cdot\) min\(^{-1}\) | 0.79 (0.60,0.96) | <0.001 | 0.81 (0.54,1.08) | <0.001 | 0.78 (0.51,1.05) | <0.001 |
| VO\(2max\) mL \(\cdot\) kg\(^{-0.75}\) \(\cdot\) min\(^{-1}\) | 0.64 (0.45,0.83) | <0.001 | 0.80 (0.53,1.07) | <0.001 | 0.50 (0.23,0.77) | <0.001 |
| \(C_R\) mL \(\cdot\) kg\(^{-0.75}\) \(\cdot\) min\(^{-1}\) | -0.34 (−0.53, -0.15) | 0.003 | -0.37 (−0.64, -0.10) | 0.023 | -0.67 (−0.94, -0.40) | <0.001 |
| MAS \(\text{VO}_{2max} / C_R\) | 0.92 (0.73,1.11) | <0.001 | 0.93 (0.66,1.20) | <0.001 | 0.91 (0.64,1.18) | <0.001 |
| LT\(V\) (LT\(V\) / \(C_R\)) | 0.95 (0.76,1.14) | <0.001 | 0.95 (0.68,1.22) | <0.001 | 0.94 (0.67,1.21) | <0.001 |

Values are r and confidence interval in parenthesis.

BW, Body weight; Kg, kilogram; VO\(2max\), maximal oxygen uptake; L, liters; Kg, kilograms; mL, milliliters; min, minutes; m, meters; CR, oxygen cost of running; MAS, maximal aerobic speed which is maximal oxygen consumption divided by oxygen cost of running; LT, LT in percent of maximal oxygen consumption; LT\(V\), running velocity at LT.
McLaughlin et al. (2010) who did not find a correlation between LT and endurance race time performance. The present results are not entirely in line with previous results from cycling (Støren et al., 2014), where a correlation between LT and LT\textsubscript{V} was found. However, LT was in Støren et al. (2014) found to be a poor determinant, -and explained only 15% of the variability in work load at LT.

The 2.5 percentage point better LT in the female runners as opposed to the males in the present study could of course be by coincidence, as it is a minor difference in this context. On the other hand, with as many as 38 females and 37 males, the statistical power is quite strong. Few studies have reported gender differences in LT, but Maldonado-Martín et al. (2004) found women marathon runners to have better LT than their male counterparts, thus in accordance with the present results. It may be argued that two short-stage methods to determine LT, as used in the present study, is not as accurate as the determination of MLSS based on several stages of 20–30 min of continuous work (Faude et al., 2009). However, the two different methods used in the present study are both based on the work by Helgerud et al. (1990) resulting in a warm up value + 1.5 mmol L\textsuperscript{−1}, and found to represent MLSS within the warm up value + 1.3 – 1.7 mmol L\textsuperscript{−1}.

**LT Velocity**

Running velocity at LT was found to be higher at higher performance levels in the present study. This is in line with several studies on both running and cycling where LT\textsubscript{V} has been associated with aerobic endurance performance (Yoshida et al., 1987; Helgerud, 1994; Bishop et al., 2000; Nicholson and Sleivert, 2001; Støren et al., 2008, 2013, 2014; McLaughlin et al., 2010). Based on the nearly perfect fit between Equation 2 and LT\textsubscript{V}, it was natural to assume that the main determinants for LT\textsubscript{V} was LT, VO\textsubscript{2max}, and CR. Further, as LT did not correlate with LT\textsubscript{V} in the present study, it had probably very little impact on the differences in LT\textsubscript{V} between the different performance levels. This is further supported by the lack of difference in LT between these three groups. VO\textsubscript{2max} on the other hand was found to be higher in elite than in recreational runners, and CR was found to be better in elite than in national and recreational runners in the present study. The finding that both VO\textsubscript{2max} and CR correlated with LT\textsubscript{V} was therefore not surprising. In both male and females, VO\textsubscript{2max} determined LT\textsubscript{V} with approximately 45–50% based on r\textsuperscript{2} –values, which was about three times the determining factor for CR. Although VO\textsubscript{2max} and CR correlated separately with LT\textsubscript{V}, the strongest correlation with LT\textsubscript{V} was when VO\textsubscript{2max} was divided by CR, expressing MAS. Based on the r\textsuperscript{2} –value, MAS predicted LT\textsubscript{V} by 85%. This is in accordance with McLaughlin et al. (2010), finding that the product of VO\textsubscript{2max} and CR correlated by r = 0.97 (r\textsuperscript{2} = 0.94) with endurance race time performance. In the present study, we used the same equation for predicting LT\textsubscript{V} as in cycling in Støren et al. (2014) (Equation 2). Interestingly, results from the present study expressed the same nearly perfect correlation between predicted and measured LT\textsubscript{V} as in Støren et al. (2014). With an r –value of 0.95 and a SEE of 4.0%, the product of MAS and individual LT thus explained 90% of LT\textsubscript{V}, outside a range of ±0.27 km h\textsuperscript{−1}. The results may indicate that the
equation for calculating LT_W in cycling (Støren et al., 2014) can be used also in running (LT_V). This model is time saving. For all LT_V tests following the first initial LT assessment, the time spent per test would be less than half of a full incremental LT_V test. This model only need capillary blood samples during the first initial LT assessment. From the second test on, no blood samples are needed.

**VO_{2max} and Cost of Running**

The runners in the present study were representing a heterogeneous group regarding VO_{2max} and C_R, with a CV of 17% and 9%, respectively. The material in the present study was thus slightly more heterogeneous than the material in McLaughlin et al. (2010). Based on r^2-values in the present study, VO_{2max} and C_R predicted 62% and 12% of LT_V, respectively. In a more homogeneous group regarding VO_{2max}, C_R would probably be of greater importance, as shown in Conley and Krahenbuhl (1980). However, the female runners in the present study had both a 9% better C_R and a better correlation between LT_V and C_R than the male runners. This is not in accordance with McLaughlin et al. (2010) reporting better C_R among the male runners. Also, Daniels and Daniels (1992) reported male runners to have approximately 7% better C_R than female runners. However, neither McLaughlin et al. (2010) or Daniels and Daniels (1992) scaled for body mass to the power of 0.75, as in the present study. If not allometrically scaled, C_R in the present study was not significantly different between males and females. This underlines the importance of allometric scaling when comparing male and female runners. The determining factor (based on the r^2-values) of C_R on LT_V in the present study, was more than three times higher for the female than the male runners. The latter cannot be explained by a smaller CV in VO_{2max} in the female runners. The better C_R in the female runners was in accordance with the results from Helgerud et al. (2010). As only six female runners participated in the study by Helgerud et al. (2010), the generalizing value is apparently low, although strengthened by the similar results from the present study. Why female runners may have a better C_R than male runners of the same relative competitive level is a question with no apparent answer.

**PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS**

From the results of the present study, we suggest that the same equation previously used in cycling also could be used in running. The present results further imply that training to improve LT_V should be focused toward increasing VO_{2max} and or C_R. In order to accomplish such improvements, we propose high intensity aerobic interval training (Østerås et al., 2002; Helgerud et al., 2007; Støren et al., 2012) in order to improve VO_{2max}, and maximal strength training (Østerås et al., 2002; Støren et al., 2008; Sunde et al., 2010) to improve C_R. As LT_V seemed to be dependent on MAS and not LT_k, we propose the testing of MAS rather than LT in order to evaluate training achievements in competitive runners.

**CONCLUSION**

Lactate threshold was not related to LT_V or performance level in the present study. As hypothesized, VO_{2max} and C_R were found to be better with increasing performance level, and correlated well with LT_V. This highlights the importance of VO_{2max} and C_R on LT_V. LT was not found to be higher with higher performance levels, as opposed to the hypothesis. There were no gender differences in the relative impact of the factors determining LT_V, with one exception. C_R seemed to have a larger impact on LT_V in females compared to males. Also, the females had a higher LT and a better C_R than their male counterparts. The equation for assessing LT_V previously used in cycling was found applicable also for assessing LT_V in running as hypothesized. We propose testing of MAS, rather than LT when evaluating training achievements in competitive runners of both genders.
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