Hydrogel-based Bio-nanomachine Transmitters for Bacterial Molecular Communications

Daniel P. Martins  
VistaMilk SFI Research Centre  
Telecommunications Software and Systems Group  
Waterford, Ireland  
dpmartins@tssg.org

Huong Q.-O’Reilly  
Telecommunications Software and Systems Group  
Pharmaceutical and Molecular Biology Research Centre  
Waterford, Ireland  
horeilly@tssg.org

Lee Coffey  
VistaMilk SFI Research Centre  
Pharmaceutical and Molecular Biology Research Centre  
Waterford, Ireland  
lcoffey@wit.ie

Paul D. Cotter  
VistaMilk SFI Research Centre  
Teagasc Food Research  
Fermoy, Ireland  
paul.cotter@teagasc.ie

Sasitharan Balasubramaniam  
VistaMilk SFI Research Centre  
Telecommunications Software and Systems Group  
Waterford, Ireland  
sasib@tssg.org

ABSTRACT

Bacterial quorum sensing can be engineered with a view to the design of biotechnological applications based on their intrinsic role as a means of communication. We propose the creation of a positive feedback loop that will promote the emission of a superfolded green fluorescence protein from a bacterial population that will flow through hydrogel, which is used to encapsulate the cells. These engineered cells are heretofore referred to as bio-nanomachine transmitters and we show that for lower values of diffusion coefficient, a higher molecular output signal power can be produced, which supports the use of engineered bacteria contained within hydrogels for molecular communications systems. In addition, our wet lab results show the propagation of the molecular output signal, proving the feasibility of engineering a positive feedback loop to create a bio-nanomachine transmitter that can be used for biosensing applications.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Bacteria utilise signalling mechanisms (i.e., quorum sensing) to drive collective behaviours within homogeneous and heterogeneous microbial populations. These biological communications systems have been investigated for the past 50 years, and recently they became the focus of biotechnological solutions design for bio-fabrication and biosensing [10, 13, 16, 18, 26]. For example, soft matter (i.e. gelatin) was assembled using enzymes emitted by bacterial cells, and Escherichia coli bacteria were engineered with a signalling system used by Vibrio cholerae for a timely detection of cholerae infection [10, 16]. These and other examples highlight the range of applications that can be designed and built based on the engineering of the bacterial signalling mechanisms.

Bacteria signalling has also been investigated using communications theory concepts, in a paradigm named as Molecular Communications [1, 2, 6–9, 31]. From this perspective, the bacteria are considered as biological bio-nanomachines that are engineered to process, emit and detect specific molecules, acting as transceivers found in conventional communication systems [1, 2, 6, 9]. For instance, bacterial quorum sensing has been used to create logic circuits, modulators and network links between bacterial nodes [7, 8, 20, 31]. Here our focus is on the processing and emission of molecular signals as we propose the design of a bio-nanomachine transmitter that can enable the development of safer intrabody molecular communications systems in humans and animals. Therefore, we investigate a physical model of a bio-nanomachine transmitter embedded in a hydrogel bubble, which will protect the host environment from mixing with engineered cells, and analyse its suitability for molecular communication systems.

This work is inspired by our previous work where we proposed the production and emission of molecular signals to attract bacteria to a specific location [19]. In this paper, we focus our investigation on the production and emission of a specific molecular signal through the hydrogels. A visual representation of the proposed work can be seen in Figure 1, where a bacterial population is placed in a plate with nutrients to grow and produce the desired molecular output signal, a fluorescence protein (superfolder green fluorescence protein–sfGFP). The performance of such a bio-nanomachine transmitter is evaluated with respect to the molecular signal throughput that is able to reach the border of the hydrogel bubble, and we also study the bacterial growth and nutrient consumption associated with the emission of molecules. The contributions of this paper include:

- **Bio-nanomachine transmitter physical model:** We investigate the molecular signals, the processes related with the emission of molecular signals, its throughput and signal power depending on the viscosity of the hydrogel bubble and internal chemical reactions.
**Proof-of-concept design:** A wet lab experiment is devised to demonstrate the emission of a molecular signal, sfGFP, from the bacterial population through the hydrogel.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we characterise and review the state-of-the-art of biological transmitters. The communications and biological models are introduced in Section 3. Section 4 presents the numerical analysis of the molecular emission and throughput. A proof-of-concept design and analysis are presented in Section 5. Lastly, Section 6 presents our conclusions.

## 2 BIO-NANOMACHINE TRANSMITTER DESIGN

Bacteria produces and consumes different molecular signals through chemical reactions to collectively establish, protect and maintain a community, either single- or multi-species. The internal machinery that enable these processes can be engineered to produce specific molecules that will be emitted and propagated through the chosen medium towards other bacterial populations [22, 28]. To coordinate these processes, bacteria often utilise an internal signalling mechanism known as quorum sensing, which is an exchange of molecular signals that drive bacteria towards the execution of collective behaviours, such as virulence factors production and biofilm formation [4, 19, 21]. The engineering of this process is the target for the design and construction of a bio-nanomachine transmitter.

Bacterial populations grow and produce quorum sensing molecules by consuming the nutrients available in its vicinity. Therefore, a faster nutrient consumption will result in a faster population growth and higher production of quorum sensing molecules. However, this can affect the sustainability of the bacterial population due to the depletion of its energy source [11, 19, 32]. To avoid such situations, bacterial populations coordinate their collective behaviour depending on this resource. This coordination is described through a set of chemical reactions that involves strain-specific quorum sensing molecules and receptors, such as LuxI and LuxR (luciferase inducer and regulator, respectively) [4]. By engineering this machinery, we are able to create a positive feedback loop using the bacteria quorum sensing process to emit a molecular output signal, sfGFP, and the bacterial population will act as a bio-nanomachine transmitter. Figure 2 shows a representation of this process, where bacteria produces LuxI and detects its concentration, using LuxR, creating the positive feedback loop. After being produced through this process, the molecular output signal, sfGFP, is then emitted from the bacterial population towards the membrane edge of the hydrogel bubble.

The engineering of quorum sensing systems through feedback loops have been proposed before. In [30], a negative feedback loop has been designed to control the quorum sensing dynamics of *Vibrio harveyi* bacteria. Moreover, a positive feedback loop was engineered to build a whole cell biosensor to detect mercury levels [5]. Here, we propose the design of a positive feedback loop to characterise a bacterial population as a bio-nanomachine transmitter. One important aspect of our design is the encapsulation of the bacterial population in a hydrogel bubble, which is a medium with a higher viscosity value and have been previously applied to protect bacterial populations [14, 27]. Hydrogels are polymers with infiltrated water that can be applied to encapsulate living cells and have permeability for a wide range of molecules and facilitate the design of contained quorum sensing applications [14, 27]. For our system, we propose the use of hydrogel to create an environment where the bacterial population undergoes controlled growth and emits molecular signals, and, at the same time, they are protected against external attacks.

## 3 SYSTEM MODEL

As presented in Section 2, bacteria produce molecular signals by a series of chemical reactions using their internal machinery, which can be engineered for that purpose. In this paper, we engineer the *E. coli* population internal machinery using a positive feedback loop...
to exploit their molecular communications and produce the desired molecular output signal, the fluorescein protein sfGFP. Here we describe the positive feedback loop using a set of chemical reactions (1)-(7) and the propagation of the molecular output signal by solving the two-dimensional Fick’s law of diffusion to characterise this molecular communications system. We describe the positive feedback loop using LuxI and LuxR, represented in Figure 2, as follows [23]

\[
\frac{d[A]}{dt} = c_A + \frac{k_A[C]}{K_A + [C]} - k_0[A] - k_1[R][A] + k_2[RA] \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{d[R]}{dt} = c_R + \frac{k_R[C]}{K_R + [C]} - k_3[A] - k_4[R][A] + k_5[RA] \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{d[RA]}{dt} = k_1[R][A] - k_2[RA] - 2k_4[RA]^2 + 2k_3[C] \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{d[C]}{dt} = k_4[RA]^2 + k_5[C] \tag{4}
\]

where \([A], [R], [RA], [C]\) are the AHL, LuxR, LuxR – AHL complex and dimerized complex concentration, respectively; \(c_A\) and \(c_R\) are the transcription basal levels for AHL and LuxR, respectively; \(k_A\) and \(k_R\) are the transcription rates; \(K_A\) and \(K_R\) are the degradation rates; \(k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5\) are the translation rates. The rate of molecular signal production, \([sfGFP]\), by the bacterial population that results from the quorum sensing process is represented as

\[
\frac{d[sfGFP]}{dt} = k_{sfGFP} \frac{[C]}{[C] + K_C}. \tag{5}
\]

In addition to the production of the sfGFP, the bacterial population will also consume nutrients to grow, and the rate of this process is represented by

\[
\frac{d[G]}{dt} = \left(\mu_1 \frac{[N]}{K_N + [N]} - m_1\right) [GC]. \tag{6}
\]

Then, the nutrient consumption rate can be evaluated as follows

\[
\frac{d[N]}{dt} = -U_{G1} \left(\mu_1 \frac{[N]}{K_N + [N]} - m_1\right) [GC] - U_{AHL} \frac{d[A]}{dt}
- U_{LuxR} \frac{d[R]}{dt} - U_{sfGFP} \frac{d[sfGFP]}{dt}, \tag{7}
\]

where \(U_{G1}, U_{AHL}, U_{LuxR}, \) and \(U_{sfGFP}\) are utility parameters that represent the nutrient cost of the population growth, autoinducer, receptor and molecular output signal production, respectively. After reaching a high concentration, the molecular output signal \([sfGFP]\) propagates to the membrane edge of the hydrogel, from where it can freely diffuse to other engineered or natural bacterial cells. The propagation through the hydrogel can be modelled as [17].

\[
[sfGFP'] = \frac{[sfGFP]}{\sqrt{4\pi D_h t_h}} \frac{-x_h^2}{4D_h t_h}, \tag{8}
\]

where, \(D_h, t_h, \) and \(x_h\) are the diffusion coefficient, the duration and the distance travelled by the molecular signals \([sfGFP]\) in the hydrogel \(h\) channel, respectively.

\[
\text{Figure 3: Evaluation of the molecular output signal throughput for a range of initial concentration values of [sfGFP]}
\]

4 NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

We evaluate the performance of this communications system using two metrics: the sfGFP throughput from the bacterial population and the signal power that reaches the hydrogel edge membrane. The throughput is evaluated directly from (6), while the signal power is evaluated using (8) and converted into decibel. These metrics are evaluated using the values presented in Table 1.

We evaluate the molecular output signal throughput (see Figure 3) for 72 hours (this is sufficient time to produce detectable levels of [sfGFP]), bacterial population of 10,000 cells, a diffusion coefficient of \(2 \times 10^{-7}\) (similar value to the model investigated in [12]), and a range of initial concentrations for the [sfGFP] from \(10^{-7}\) to \(10^{-6}\) mol/L. It can be noted for this scenario, that for the first 10 hours there is a steep increase in the production of the molecular output signal and a flattening in the throughput after 20 hours for most of the curves. The exception is for the \(10^{-7}\) curve, where this saturation process only occurs after 50 hours as the positive feedback loop requires more time to balance all the chemical reactions related to this process due to the high initial value of [sfGFP].

We modified the scenario for the throughput analysis, to investigate the signal power that reached the edge membrane of the hydrogel. In this case, we considered the hydrogel as a bubble with diameter of 7 mm, an initial concentration for the molecular output signal [sfGFP] of \(10^{-6}\) mol/L, a range of diffusion coefficient values from \(1 \times 10^{-7}\) cm²/s to \(2 \times 10^{-7}\) cm²/s, and evaluated using (8), where we then converted into \(db\) (assuming a 1:1 ratio between mol/L and watts), at 24, 48 and 72 hours. In Figure 4 we can see that the signal power is higher for lower diffusion coefficients (higher viscosity), and in particular for hydrogels compared to media with lower viscosity, such as water. Therefore, this result infers that the hydrogels or other higher viscosity material can be used to possibly direct molecular signals produced by the bio-nanomachine transmitters, while the lower viscosity media can be applied for omnidirectional propagation of molecular signals.
Figure 4: Evaluation of the molecular output signal power that reaches the hydrogel edge membrane for low and high viscosity medium.

**Table 1: Parameters used to evaluate Equations (1)-(8)**

| Variable | Value          | Unit     |
|----------|----------------|----------|
| $c_A$    | $2.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | mmol/L   |
| $c_R$    | $2.7 \times 10^{-2}$ | mmol/L   |
| $k_A$    | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_R$    | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_0$    | $1 \times 10^{-2}$ | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_1$    | 0.1             | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_2$    | 0.1             | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_3$    | $1 \times 10^{-2}$ | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_4$    | 0.1             | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_5$    | 0.1             | d$^{-1}$ |
| $k_{sFGFP}$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ | d$^{-1}$ |
| $K_A$    | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | gm$^{-3}$ |
| $K_R$    | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | gm$^{-3}$ |
| $K_C$    | 1              | gm$^{-3}$ |
| $K_N$    | 1              | gm$^{-3}$ |
| $\mu_1$  | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | gm$^{-3}$ |
| $m_1$    | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | gm$^{-3}$ |
| $U_{AHL}$ | $2 \times 10^{-2}$ | –        |
| $U_{G1}$ | 0.6            | –        |
| $U_{LuxR}$ | $2 \times 10^{-2}$ | –        |
| $U_{sFGFP}$ | $5 \times 10^{-2}$ | –        |
| $D_h$    | from $1 \times 10^{-7}$ to $9 \times 10^{-7}$ | cm$^2$/s |
| $t_h$    | 72             | hours    |
| $x_h$    | $7 \times 10^{-3}$ | m        |

**5 EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS**

We purchased Luria-Bertani (LB) broth from Fisher Bioreagents™, Agarose from Promega™, and Calcium chloride hexahydrate from Merck™. Other reagents and antibiotics required for this experiment were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich™. Kanamycin (Kan) and Chloramphenicol (Cam) were sterile and utilised at concentrations of 50 µg/ml and 34 µg/ml, respectively. The plasmid used in this experiment, pTD103luxI_sfGFP, was a gift from Jeff Hasty (Addgene plasmid #48885; http://n2t.net/addgene:48885; RRID: Addgene_48885), see Figure 5 [25].

In our first experiment, we encapsulated *E. coli* pTD103 in sodium alginate (SA) hydrogel and detected fluorescent proteins secreted into the liquid medium. *E. coli* pTD103 stock was streaked onto LB-Kan agar and incubated at 37°C 24–48 hours as a fresh growth then cultivated into LB-Kan broth and incubated at 37°C for 4 hours at 37°C, 220 rpm in a benchtop orbital shaker incubator (Grant-bio™) prior to a centrifugation at 1, 500 rpm for 10 minutes (Sigma 4K15™, mid bench centrifuge). Cell pellets were harvested, re-suspended in 2% and 4% SA solution and dropped into 50 mM CaCl$_2$ solution to form SA beads. The beads were stored at 4°C overnight prior to the setting up of the experiments.

On the day of experiment, the beads were washed twice by sterile distilled water then incubated in LB-Kan broth at 37°C for 72 hours without shaking. Supernatants were harvested and centrifuged at 20,000 times the gravity force for 20 minutes at 4°C to remove cell pellets and other residues. This procedure ensures that the detected molecular output signal was the one propagated into the media, not inside the cells or any SA residues. The molecular output signal was detected and recorded by iBright FL1000 system™ (see Figure 6). In this experiment, we utilised SA hydrogel due to its permeability of nutrient infusion for cell growth, as well as its usage as a protective layer from environmental hazards [3, 24]. We used two concentrations of SA, 2% and 4%, to observe the cell growth and production of the molecular output signal within the SA beads.

Figure 5: Plasmid structure used in this study to produce sfGFP due to the increase in production of the molecular input signal LuxI. Adapted from [25].
were taken by iBright FL1000 system (Invitrogen). (a) Observation of the spatial distribution of the molecular output signal in while growing in medium. The experiment was performed as triplicates, and the image was taken by iBright FL1000™ system (Invitrogen). (b) Observation of the molecular output signal production in test tubes, where the engineered bacterial population is placed at the bottom of the tubes.

Figure 6: Transmission of the molecular signal into the liquid medium after 72 hrs incubation. Cells were captured in 4% and 2% SA hydrogel, then incubated with LB-Kan broth for 72 hrs without shaking. The experiment was performed once. The images were taken by iBright FL1000™ system (Invitrogen). (a) Observation of the spatial distribution of the molecular output signal in a plate. (b) Observation of the molecular output signal production in test tubes, where the engineered bacterial population is placed at the bottom of the tubes.

Figure 7: Secreted molecular output signals recorded after 72 hours. The cells were encapsulated in agarose hydrogel bubble and then incubated with 1.5% agarose-Cam for 72 hours. The experiment was performed as triplicates, and the images were taken by iBright FL1000™ system (Invitrogen™).

and into the liquid medium. As it can be noted from Figure 6a, the molecular output signal propagates with better performance at 4% SA in comparison to the 2% SA. A similar result is shown in Figure 6b, where the molecular output signal is shown in the solid and in the supernatant parts at the bottom and at the top of centrifuge tubes, respectively. This suggested that cells secreted fluorescent proteins while being encapsulated within the SA hydrogel beads. This phenomenon was also observed in other studies [15, 29]. Nevertheless, we cannot deny a possibility that the cells may have escaped from the hydrogel beads and produced fluorescent proteins while growing in medium.

To confirm that E.coli pTD103 can secrete fluorescent proteins [sfGFP] into their surrounding environment without escaping, we performed another experiment with solid medium (i.e., agarose hydrogel). For this experiment, the E.coli pTD103 were cultivated in LB-Kan broth for 3–3.5 hours at 37°C, 220 rpm. The cell pellets were harvested by centrifugation at 1, 500 rpm for 5 min (Sigma 4K15™, mid bench centrifuge), re-suspended then mixed well in warm 1.5% agarose-Kan solution prior to pouring onto 7mm petri dishes (Sarstedt™). Once the bacteria-agarose mixture solidified, the petri dishes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours subsequent steps. Each bacteria-hydrogel bubble was placed onto a petri dish containing 1.5% agarose-Cam and incubated at 37°C for 24, 48 and 72 hours. At each time point, the fluorescent signals were recorded by iBright FL1000™ (Invitrogen™). At the 72 hours time point, a triplicate was performed, and the result can be seen in Figure 7. The bacteria were entrapped within 1.5% agarose gel containing nutrient (LB) as well as antibiotics (Kan) in order to maintain cell growth as well as their ability to produce the desired proteins [sfGFP]. According to our results, after 24 hours incubation, the bacteria grew well and started to produce the molecular output signal (data not shown). Once placed into a solid medium containing 1.5% agarose-Cam, after 72 hours, a ring of fluorescence was observed at the edge of each hydrogel bubble, suggesting the cells can grow and produce molecular output signal which are diffused out of their agarose hydrogel bubble (Figure 7). The experiment was performed with triplicates to ensure its consistency. We eliminated the ability for the bacteria to mobilise out of their bubbles by using Cam, an antibiotic preventing E. coli pTD103 growth (data not shown).

6 CONCLUSIONS

The internal machinery of bacteria have been engineered with the purpose of designing biocompatible technological applications. In this paper, we investigated the engineering of a bacterial quorum sensing system to create a positive feedback loop required for the design of a bio-nanomachine transmitter. In addition, we propose to encapsulate the cells in hydrogel to protect them from mixing with the natural cells in the environment, and this solution can pave the way for intrabody molecular communications systems using bacterial signalling in humans and animals.

Our numerical analysis shows, considering the scenario investigated, a high throughput with respect to time (reaching more than 10⁻² mol/L in 72 hours). It also highlights the saturation of the molecular output signal production after 20 hours for most of the cases, which can be applied for future biotechnological systems that require this stability phase of the bio-nanomachine transmitter operation. When observing the relationship between the signal power at the hydrogel edge membrane and the different values of molecular diffusion coefficients (i.e., higher or lower viscosity media), it can be inferred that the hydrogels can facilitate directive
propagation, resulting in higher molecular output signal power than the conventional free-diffusion propagation.

We also provided a proof-of-concept of the bio-nanomachine transmitter through wet lab experiments, where the bacterial population is shown to produce higher fluorescence in their close vicinity, and is able to propagate the molecular output signal through the hydrogel medium and into the environment. It is our intention to further expand the design introduced here to create an end-to-end molecular communications systems that can be used for biosensing and biocomputing applications.
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