Design of Low Power Transmission Gate Based 9T SRAM Cell
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Abstract: Considerable research has considered the design of low-power and high-speed devices. Designing integrated circuits with low-power consumption is an important issue due to the rapid growth of high-speed devices. Embedded static random-access memory (SRAM) units are necessary components in fast mobile computing. Traditional SRAM cells are more energy-consuming and with lower performances. The major constraints in SRAM cells are their reliability and low power. The objectives of the proposed method are to provide a high read stability, low energy consumption, and better writing abilities. A transmission gate-based multi-threshold single-ended Schmitt trigger (ST) 9T SRAM cell in a bit-interleaving structure without a write-back scheme is proposed. Herein, an ST inverter with a single bit-line design is used to attain the high read stability. A negative assist technique is applied to alter the trip voltage of the single-ended ST inverter. The multi-threshold complementary metal oxide semiconductor (MTCMOS) technique is adopted to reduce the leakage power in the proposed single-ended TG-ST 9T SRAM cell. The proposed system uses a combination of standard and ST inverters, which results in a large read stability. Compared with the previous ST 9T, ST 11T, 11T, 10T, and 7T SRAM cells, the proposed cell is implemented in Cadence Virtuoso ADE with 45-nm CMOS technology and consumes 35.80%, 42.09%, 31.60%, 12.54%, and 31.60% less energy for read operations and 73.59%, 93.95%, 92.76%, 89.23%, and 85.78% less energy for write operations, respectively.

Keywords: Bit-interleaving; low power; SRAM cell; schmitt trigger; transmission gate

1 Introduction

As technology evolves each day, the important design constraints for transistor scaling are the speed and integrated density, while leakages and reliability issues degrade the overall device performances. Further scaling results in short-channel effects, and overcoming this effect has led to several
leakage power reduction techniques in existing designs [1]. Electronic devices, like microprocessors and microcontrollers, require high performances based on low power and high speeds. Such devices may be packed compactly and handled easily but require a memory cell with a low power consumption [2,3]. A memory cell is a fundamental block in computer memory, and most digital devices are made using SRAM [4,5]. The high operating speed capability of SRAM makes it widely used over dynamic random-access memory (DRAM), even though SRAM designs are comparatively more complex. The SRAM memory cell is a flip-flop circuit and is typically implemented using metal oxide field-effect transistors (MOSFETs). SRAM plays an important role in the System on Chip (SoC) as it is the primary element in chip design. SRAM has a larger value of switched capacitance in the bit line (BL) and word line (WL), which requires a higher energy cost. Thus, reducing the power consumption on SRAM reduces the power consumption of SoC devices [6]. A MOSFET has three regions of operations: i) sub-threshold, ii) near-threshold, and iii) super threshold. In the sub-threshold region, the gate-to-source voltage is not as high as the edge voltage of a MOSFET, so this region is also called the cut-off region where the effects of current on the gate voltage are exponential. Conduction in the cut-off region is denoted as sub-threshold conduction, where there are more leakages even though the power consumption is low [7,8]. In the super threshold region, the gate-to-source voltage is greater than the MOSFET threshold voltage and is called the saturation region as there is no effect on the current with a greater drain voltage [9].

In the near-threshold voltage (NTV) region, the voltage of the gate-source is greater than the threshold voltage \(V_{th}\) of the MOSFET. Here, the current increases with the voltage at the drain terminal, and the current leakage is low compared to sub-threshold operations [10,11]. This results in greater improvements in reducing the power consumption compared to super threshold operations [12–14]. However, soft errors are induced in the near-threshold operation region due to the effects of alpha particles [15]. High-energy alpha particles interact with the memory cell and interrupt operations or even cause damage [16]. SRAM designs can be categorized based on interconnections with the inverter as i) cross-coupled standard inverter, ii) cross-coupled Schmitt-trigger (ST) inverter, and iii) cross-coupled ST inverter with a standard inverter. First, various inverters that fall under the cross-coupled standard inverter category are the conventional 6T [17], 8T [18], Pasandi’s 9T [19], Chang’s 9T [20], Joon’s 10T [21], and 7T [22]. In 10T SRAM, a single BL is used for both read/write to reduce the power consumption [23], and in 11T, power gating transistors and a transmission gate are implemented to reduce the power leakage [24]. The read disturbance from BL or bit line bar (BLB) in the conventional 6T can flip the stored data. In 6T, the read and write stabilities are not achieved simultaneously because these depend on the beta- and alpha-ratios, respectively. The 7T SRAM cell is not affected by any RHSe issues and does not have any read disturbances that require low power. The advantages of cross-coupled ST inverters in SRAM designs over the standard inverter SRAM designs are i) the cross-coupled structure of the ST inverter with a standard inverter to improve the read stability, and ii) the ST inverter write assist scheme with selective power gating to improve the write capability. The ST 10T [25] and ST 11T [26] belong to the cross-coupled ST inverter group. In ST 11T, the cross-coupled ST inverter is connected with the read buffer, and a single BL structure is used for read operations, where the read buffer improves the hold and read stabilities. In the cross-coupled ST inverter with a standard inverter category, the ST 9T [27] has a single BL structure and is designed with WBSBS. Selective power gating is used to develop the write ability. The ST voltage of the 9T inverter can be controlled through the write-assist scheme. The MTCMOS method offers a high performance in low-power designs [28]. Transmission gates reduce the leakage power to a greater extent [29], and the ST inverter [30] provides a robust security and high read stability while the performance characteristic of the standard inverter decays for smaller supply voltages (Vdd). Based on several investigations,
the literature suggests that current designs have problems, such as read/write stability and a reduced leakage power. To address these issues, the proposed method provides the following contributions.

- Compared to ST 10T, the proposed design has a large read stability as it uses a combination of standard and ST inverters.
- In place of single-pass gates in the literature, a transmission gate is implemented to reduce unnecessary switching during the hold mode.
- When a read disturbance reaches the storage node, the ST inverter is more resistant to read interruptions compared to ideal inverters.
- The proposed method holds column-based assist techniques to reduce the energy consumption.
- The read static noise margin of the proposed cell is high compared to the 10T SRAM and 6T SRAM cells.

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the proposed TG-ST 9T SRAM design and its operation. Section 3 analyzes the performance of the proposed design with the N-curve metric. Section 4 compares the results with the ST 9T SRAM cell based on power and delay, and Section 5 concludes this article.

2 Proposed TG-ST 9T SRAM

Several SRAM cell designs have been investigated to reduce the power, and significant constraints have been considered in the memory portion of the chip as the best possible design pathway, as described in the previous section. This section discusses the proposed SRAM cell design. The schematic and timing diagrams of the proposed SRAM cell are given in Figs. 1a and 1b. This method adapts the MTCMOS technique based on a single BL structure, which includes a high Vth with nominal Vth devices to reduce leakage. The proposed cell has a cross-coupled standard inverter and a single-ended modified ST inverter with both having high Vth. In place of a single-pass gate in the literature, a transmission gate (TP and TN) is used with one enable (EN) transistor connected between the two inverters, which reduces the unnecessary switching activities during hold mode. The TP, TN, and EN all have a nominal Vth. The word lines (WL and WLB) are row-based signals and the WWL is a column-based signal. The WL is connected to the TN and EN gates, the WLB is connected to the TP gate, and the WWL is connected to the source of the feedback transistor (NF).

![Figure 1: (a) Schematic and (b) Timing diagrams of the proposed SRAM cell](image-url)
2.1 Proposed Method: Read Operation

The read operation of the proposed cell is depicted in Fig. 2. The BL is pre-charged to VDD during read operations, the WWL is set to “1,” and the inverter controls node Q. Activating the row-dependent signals (WL and WLB) allows turning on the transmission gate. If Q has zero charge, the BL discharges; otherwise, there is no change in the BL. Disturbances caused by the BL during read operations are the most common cause of read failures. If the storage node is enabled through read disturbances, then the voltage attains the inverter trip voltage. The proposed design addresses this problem by combining a regular inverter and an ST inverter into a cross-coupled structure. Figs. 3a and 3b represent the single-ended modified ST inverter and its DC characteristics, respectively. The ST inverter has a greater trip voltage than the normal inverter when the input changes from logical “0” to logical “1.” The voltage at node $V_X$ increases with the NF transistor, which reduces the strength of PDR1 transistor. As a result, when a read disturbance reaches the storage node, the ST inverter is more resistant to read interruptions compared with an ideal inverter.

![Figure 2: Read operations in the proposed ST inverter scheme](image)

**Figure 2:** Read operations in the proposed ST inverter scheme

![Figure 3: (a) Schematic diagram of the ST inverter and (b) Its DC characteristics at VDD = 0.5 V](image)

**Figure 3:** (a) Schematic diagram of the ST inverter and (b) Its DC characteristics at VDD = 0.5 V
2.2 Write-0 Operation

Write operations are conditional on whether the information to be composed on the SRAM cell is a “1” or “0.” Fig. 4a illustrates the write-0 operation. The column-based signal WWL remains “1” in the write-0 operation, and the write driver sets BL to “0”, WL to “1,” and WLB to “0.” The Q node is pushed to “0” through the turned-on transmission gate, and the ST inverter flips. The proposed SRAM cell cuts off the VDD by disconnecting the pMOS transistor of the standard inverter, which achieves write-0 capability during the write operations.

![Figure 4: Proposed TG-ST 9T static RAM (a) Write-0 and (b) Write-1 operations](image)

2.3 Write-1 Operation

The write-1 process is illustrated in Fig. 4b. During write-1 operations, the write driver pushes the BL to “1,” the WL is ON, and the WLB is disabled. The proposed cell has a negative VWWL assist technique to boost its write-1 ability. Once the negative voltage is provided to the WWL, the turned-on feedback transistor NF drives the Vx node to a negative voltage. As the voltage at Vx decreases, the power of PDR1 increases and lowers the ST inverter trip voltage. As a result, the write-1 operation becomes more straightforward.

The amount of change in the trip voltage that corresponds to the increased PDR1 is shown in Fig. 5. When the column-based WWL signal is held at 0 V, the ST inverter trip voltage decreases by 16.61%. As the feedback function is removed by turning off the feedback transistor NF, the trip voltage is identical to that of a regular inverter. The trip voltage decrease to 64.85% using the negative assist technique on the column-based signal from the WWL. Lowering the trip voltage allows the negative assist technique to boost the write-1 ability.
3 Performance Analysis

The stability assessment and evaluation of the N-curve metric is implemented in the 7T, 10T, 11T, ST 11T, ST 9T, and the proposed TG-ST 9T SRAM cells.

In the N-curve metric, the stability of the SRAM depends on the supply voltage. The SRAM cell becomes unstable if the supply voltage is reduced. The N-curve is the preferred metric to measure the stability of the SRAM. Drawing a butterfly/N-curve is a single plot with detailed information on the stability of the SRAM and write operation. For CR = 10, the stability parameters such as the static voltage noise margin (SVNM), static current noise margin (SINM), write trip current (WTI), and write trip voltage (WTV) are observed to provide reasonably better values compared to CR = 9, 8, and 7 in the 45-nm CMOS technology, as summarized in Tab. 1. Fig. 6 shows the N-curve of the proposed design, where the four N-curve parameters are utilized to characterize the device stability. Comparisons of the parameters SVNM, SINM, WTI, and WTV for the conventional SRAM cells (7T, 10T, 11T, ST 11T, ST 9T, and low leakage 10T) with the proposed method are given in Tab. 2.

| Technology    | Parameters | CR = 10 | CR = 9 | CR = 8 | CR = 7 |
|---------------|------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| 45-nm CMOS    | SVNM (mV)  | 233.27  | 223.46 | 213.31 | 207.95 |
|               | SINM (uA)  | 1.73    | 1.49   | 1.25   | 1.01   |
|               | WTI (uA)   | −0.729  | −0.729 | −0.728 | −0.719 |
|               | WTV (mV)   | 193.05  | 193.34 | 193.62 | 184.64 |
Figure 6: N-curve analysis of the proposed design at 0.5 V

Table 2: Comparison of N-curve results of various SRAM cell

| SRAM cell | 7T SRAM [22] | 10T SRAM [23] | 11T SRAM [24] | ST 11T SRAM [26] | ST 9T SRAM [27] | Proposed design |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| SVNM (mV) | 150          | 90            | 246.494       | 172.873         | 229.25          | 233.27         |
| SINM (uA) | 0.159        | 0.1           | 0.302         | 0.084           | 0.205           | 1.7            |
| WTI (uA)  | −0.736       | −2.399        | −0.351        | −0.238          | −0.169          | −0.7           |
| WTV (mV)  | 260          | 410           | 253.5         | 230             | 184.47          | 193.05         |

4 Simulation Results

The implementation results of the proposed TG ST-9T are compared with other SRAM cells using 45-nm CMOS technology. Monte Carlo simulations are performed in HSPICE to evaluate the SRAM cell design. Statistical analysis for the stability is achieved using the noise margin (NM), which is another key factor in SRAM cells and is an important constraint in their construction.

4.1 Read Delay

The time taken for the response when the input signal is applied to the WL is denoted as the read delay. Fig. 7 shows the read delay of the proposed design with various supply voltages of 0.40, 0.42, 0.44, 0.46, 0.48, and 0.50 V. A reduction in the delay between the ST 11T and ST 9T is the same as the reduction between the ST 9T and the proposed design with 0.44 and 0.40 V. Thus, it is perceived and verified that the design holds the minimum delay over the 7T SRAM.
4.2 Write Assist Technique

Various write assist techniques have been proposed and used in several memory cell designs. Among the available write assist techniques, the suppressed cell VDD [31], raised cell VSS [32], boosted VWL [33], negative VBL [34], and negative VWWL assist techniques are commonly used in 7T, ST 11T, ST 9T, 11T, and 10T SRAM cells.

4.3 Half-Selected Cell Stability and Write Ability

All selected columns must be controlled in column-based assist techniques, which leads to an increased energy consumption, whereas only one row is selected and controlled in row-based assist techniques to attain a low power. Due to the low-energy in row-based support methods, the row-based boosted VWL is chosen with a boosted WL voltage to ensure the circuit has a $3\sigma$ stability. The negative VWWL for a $3\sigma$ write capability of the proposed and ST 9T SRAM cells are shown in Fig. 8, which indicates the proposed method has better results.

Monte Carlo simulations were implemented to estimate the write-0 and write-1 operations for each SRAM cell. Statistical simulations are performed using the static power loss of the proposed cell through the Monte Carlo distribution, as shown in Fig. 9. The waveforms for the control terminals with write-0 and write-1 procedures and the storage node at Vdd = 0.5 V are obtained from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations to verify the required functionality of the circuit.
4.4 Leakage Power

The leakage power of the proposed SRAM cell is compared with various SRAM cells at $v = 0.5$ V, as shown in Fig. 10a. As the ST inverter has a greater trip voltage than standard inverters, the SRAM cells that use cross-coupled ST inverters have higher hold stability yields than cross-coupled standard inverter SRAM cells. The leakage power of the proposed design has a maximum of 52.72% greater than the 7T SRAM cell, 82.16% greater than the 10T SRAM Cell, 77.27% greater than the 11T SRAM Cell, 50.83% greater than the ST 11T SRAM cell, and 36.72% lower than the ST 9T Cell due to the MTCMOS in the proposed design.

4.5 Power Consumption

A comparison of the total power consumption for the proposed SRAM cell with traditional cells is performed and shown in Fig. 10b. The proposed design has 72.18%, 64.98%, 72.28%, 77.76%, and 33.18% smaller power consumptions compared with the 7T, 10T, 11T, ST 11T, and ST 9T SRAM cells, respectively.
The proposed SRAM cell is implemented and simulated in the Cadence virtuoso environment for 45-nm CMOS technology. An energy-delay product (EDP) is commonly used to consider both the energy and efficiency. The power supply (Vdd) and EDP will not reflect the delay and energy values. Thus, these will be considered based on the power supply. Eqs. (1)–(3) show the EDP, static PDP, and PDP calculations, respectively. Tab. 3 shows simulations of the proposed and previous SRAM Cells at Vdd.

\[
\text{EDP} = \text{Energy} \times \text{delay} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Static PDP} = \text{Leakage power} \times \text{delay} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{PDP} = \text{Total power} \times \text{delay} \tag{3}
\]

Tab. 3 indicates that the proposed Multi-Vt TG-ST 9T SRAM cell consumes a read energy of 50.2 nJ whereas the ST 9T, ST 11T, 11T, 10T, and 7T SRAM cells have read energies of 78.2, 86.7, 73.4, 57.4, and 73.4 nJ, respectively. The write energy of the proposed SRAM cell is 6.47 nJ and the ST 9T, ST 11T, 11T, 10T, and 7T SRAM consume 24.5, 107, 89.4, 60.1, and 45.5 nJ, respectively. Similarly, the total energy of the proposed SRAM cell design is 5.39 nJ and those for the ST 9T, ST 11T, 11T, 10T, and 7T SRAM are 24.8, 48.3, 32.1, 25.2, and 32.7 nJ, respectively. Thus, the proposed design has low read and write energies.

### Table 3: Comparison of SRAM approaches for 45-nm CMOS technologies

| SRAM cell | 7T [22] | 10T [23] | 11T [24] | ST 11T [26] | ST 9T [27] | Proposed |
|-----------|---------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| #BL       | 1-BL    | 1-RBL    | 1-BL     | 1-BL        | 1-BL        | 1-BL     |
| #WL       | 1-WL    | 1-WWL    | 1-WL     | 1-WL        | 1-WL        | 1-WL     |
|           | 1-WLB   | 1-RWL    | 1-WLB    | 1-WLB       | 1-WWL       | 1-WL     |
|           | 1-WWL   | 1-WWL    | 1-WWL    | 1-WWL       | 1-WWL       | 1-WWL    |
| Supply voltage (V) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

(Continued)
Table 3: Continued

| SRAM cell          | 7T [22] | 10T [23] | 11T [24] | ST 11T [26] | ST 9T [27] | Proposed |
|--------------------|---------|----------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Read delay (ns)    | 120     | 153      | 122      | 179         | 122        | 69.4     |
| Write ability yield| 3σ      | 3σ       | 3σ       | 3σ          | 3σ         | 3σ       |
| Write assist voltage (row) | 0.5 V | 0.5      | 0.5      | 0.5 V       | 0.6 V      | Not required |
| Write assist voltage (column) | -   | -        | -        | -           | 0.6 V      | 0.3 V    |
| Leakage power (nW) | 6.76    | 2.55     | 3.25     | 7.03        | 22.6       | 14.3     |
| Static PDP (f ws) | 0.811   | 0.39     | 0.396    | 1.258       | 2.757      | 0.992    |
| Total power (W)    | 55      | 43.7     | 55.2     | 68.8        | 22.9       | 15.3     |
| PDP (f ws)         | 6.6     | 6.68     | 6.73     | 12.31       | 2.79       | 1.06     |
| Read energy (nJ)   | 73.4    | 57.4     | 73.4     | 86.7        | 78.2       | 50.2     |
| Write energy (nJ)  | 45.5    | 60.1     | 89.4     | 107         | 24.5       | 6.47     |
| Total energy (nJ)  | 32.7    | 25.2     | 32.1     | 48.3        | 24.8       | 5.39     |
| EDP (f Js)         | 3.92    | 3.901    | 3.916    | 8.627       | 3.025      | 0.374    |

5 Conclusions

The increased power dissipation is due primarily to the scaling down of the system dimensions, input voltage, and threshold voltage. The proposed design uses a column-based assist technique to further reduce the energy consumption. The energy and delay are compared for the 7T SRAM, 10T SRAM, 11T SRAM, ST 11T SRAM, ST 9T SRAM, and the proposed design. The SRAM cell designs are simulated with 45-nm CMOS technology for a 0.5 V VDD using the Cadence Virtuoso ADE. Power reduction techniques such as the MTCMOS technique and transmission gate property allow the proposed design to reduce the power consumption and operate the SRAM cells faster. In the proposed method, the ST inverter with a single BL design is used to attain a high read stability. The proposed multi vt TG-ST improves the write ability yield using the negative VWWL write assist technique, which does not require a write assist row voltage. The total energy consumption is only 5.39 nJ and is lower than the ST 9T, ST 11T, and 7T SRAM cells. The proposed multi vt TG-ST 9T SRAM cell design has 87.63%, 95.66%, 90.44%, 90.41%, and 90.45% smaller EDPs than the ST 9T, ST 11T, 11T, 10T, and 7T SRAM cells, respectively, at a supply voltage of 0.5 V.
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