The potential use of microRNAs as a therapeutic strategy for SARS-CoV-2 infection
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Abstract
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). To date, there is no effective therapeutic approach for treating SARS-CoV-2 infections. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) have been recognized to target the viral genome directly or indirectly, thereby inhibiting viral replication. Several studies have demonstrated that host miRNAs target different sites in SARS-CoV-2 RNA and constrain the production of essential viral proteins. Furthermore, miRNAs have lower toxicity, are more immunogenic, and are more diverse than protein-based and even plasmid-DNA-based therapeutic agents. In this review, we emphasize the role of miRNAs in viral infection and their potential use as therapeutic agents against COVID-19 disease. The potential of novel miRNA delivery strategies, especially EDV™ nanocells, for targeting lung tissue for treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection is also discussed.

Introduction
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a new member of the family Coronaviridae that mainly targets the respiratory system in humans, resulting in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). This virus was first discovered in late 2019 in Wuhan, the capital of China’s Hubei Province, and it subsequently caused a pandemic [179] that infected more than 50.5 million people worldwide and killed more than 1.2 million by November 2020 [114]. A person infected with SARS-CoV-2 may be asymptomatic or have flu-like symptoms such as fever, dry cough, difficult or labored breathing, contusions, and lymphocytopenia [169]. Conventional vaccine development typically takes more than 15 years, commencing with a long discovery phase in which vaccine materials are constructed and preclinical investigations are performed. The development of vaccines for COVID-19 is following a faster timeline. Thanks to the information obtained from research on vaccines against Middle East respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), the initial discovery stage was largely omitted. Available data were accepted, and phase I/II clinical trials were launched. With numerous clinical trials running in parallel, stage III clinical trials were started following the interim analysis of phase I/II findings. Based on World Health Organization (WHO) reports, as of January 15, 2021, 64 vaccine candidates were under clinical assessment, and 173 candidate vaccines are in preclinical stages. The vaccine platforms can be separated into ‘conventional methods (inactivated or live-virus vaccines), recombinant protein vaccines, vectored vaccines, and nucleic acid-based vaccines (RNA and DNA vaccines).

Nevertheless, these vaccines will need to be replaced in the future by novel vaccines that provide the same protective effect with more tolerable reactogenicity [33, 66]. Furthermore, the emergence of mutated strains of SARS-CoV-2 in
South Africa, the United Kingdom, and other countries is of concern because some of these mutations lie in the viral receptor binding site for cell entry and enhance binding to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). The continuous occurrence of mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome necessitates constant surveillance of the impact of these variations on vaccine coverage and preparation for the probability that mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome might make it necessary to change the vaccine strain.

In addition to the complexity of vaccine development, the trust and acceptance of the vaccines by the public is unclear and dynamic, making the achievement of herd immunity challenging. Other social problems with SARS-CoV-2 vaccination include the fact that minorities and lower-income and illiterate people are more susceptible than others to this infection [77]. These issues press researchers worldwide to develop novel, safe, and efficient preventive and therapeutic approaches.

Although vaccine development efforts are advancing well, secondary prevention and screening should be aimed at early disease detection and intervention before the onset of symptoms for those with subclinical or mild disease. It is notable that almost 80% of COVID-19 cases have mild signs [64]. Secondary prevention may therefore be particularly critical for containing COVID-19, since asymptomatic individuals may still be able to transmit the virus. Asymptomatic spread is a challenge for contact tracing and recognizing transmission chains. With COVID-19, the benefits of early detection testing seem to outweigh the consequences of potential false negatives; further follow-up testing and monitoring can be conducted if the suspicion index is high and other criteria are met [59]. For efficient secondary prevention, rapid establishment of isolation and quarantine facilities, treatment facilities, and decentralized diagnostic testing at the local level are essential.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short (about 20–23 nucleotides) single-stranded non-coding RNAs (ncRNA) that play a significant role in the posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression [4, 100]. In 1933, Lee et al. discovered an miRNA (lin-4) in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans [72]. Since then, a huge variety of miRNAs with different functions have been found [101]. miRBase (http://www.mirbase.org/) is a website for all known miRNA sequences, annotation, nomenclature, and target anticipation data. In 2018, this site contained 38,589 entries, representing hairpin precursor miRNAs that express 48,885 mature miRNAs in 271 species [10, 43]. miRNAs play major regulatory roles in cell processes, such as proliferation, differentiation, growth, neoplastic transformation, and tissue regeneration [38, 133]. They also have a significant function in cell-cell communication and represent promising biomarkers and therapeutic tools for most diseases [104]. In addition, miRNAs exhibit fewer toxic effects and lower immunogenicity than protein-based drugs and even plasmid-DNA-based gene therapy. Current therapeutic applications of miRNAs involve two approaches: (1) inhibition of oncogenic miRNAs through the use of miRNA antagonists, such as antimiRs or antagomiRs, and (2) introduction of tumor suppressor miRNAs either via synthetic miRNA mimics or by stable and vector-based transfection of genes coding for miRNAs. miRNA antagonists are ss-RNA molecules of 21–23 nt that function via complementary base pairing with miRNAs [7, 22, 68]. AntimiRs containing cholesterol, conjugated via a 2′-O-methyl (2′-OMe) linkage, known as antagomiRs, are fully complementary to the mature miRNA sequence and contain various phosphorothioate moieties to enhance stability. Inhibition of miRNA by antimiRs requires optimization of the oligonucleotides for improved binding affinity, increased nuclease resistance, and more-efficient in vivo delivery. This optimization can be achieved by applying various chemical modifications, including unconjugated phosphorothioate antisense molecules with several further high-affinity 2′ sugar modifications such as 2′ O-methoxyethyl (2′ MOE) or a locked nucleic acid (LNA), which increase binding affinity [37].

The use of miRNA adds an extra level of complexity in comparison to using anti-miRs. This therapeutic strategy can potentially have side effects when new miRNAs are introduced into a cell. However, in vivo studies of toxic effects caused by miRNA mimics are still lacking [65]. Recent studies have demonstrated that miRNAs can target the genomes of RNA viruses to control the production of viral proteins and viral replication and modify viral pathogenesis. Furthermore, infection with an RNA virus can itself affect upregulation or downregulation of host cell miRNAs, causing downstream modifications of the transcriptome of the infected cell that promote the infection. However, up- or downregulation of miRNAs can inhibit viral replication [149], and it is therefore likely that human miRNAs may target important sites in the SARS-CoV-2 genome and inhibit virus replication. This comprehensive review emphasizes the role of miRNAs in viral infection and their potential therapeutic application in COVID-19. We also discuss a potential novel delivery strategy (EDV™ nanocells) for miRNAs to target specific tissues such as the lung.

miRNA biogenesis and function

Since miRNAs are not translated into proteins or oligopeptides, they are referred to as “noncoding RNAs” [102]. miRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) [9, 73, 106], and about 40% of miRNA genes are located within intron regions [13]. Transcription inside the nucleus generates pre-miRNAs and pro-miRNAs that form hairpin-like structures upon nuclear processing, resulting
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in molecules of 70-100 nucleotides. This process is catalyzed by a microprocessor complex (DGCR8 or Pasha, the catalytic RNAse III domain of Drosha). Pre-miRNA hairpins are exported from the nucleus to the cytosol by a RanGTP/exportin 5-dependent mechanism and cleaved by Dicer (RNase III) into a mature double-stranded ~22-nt miRNA/miRNA duplex in the cytoplasm [9, 30]. The active or mature single-stranded miRNAs bind to the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which is composed of Argonaute-family proteins (Ago1 to Ago4 in humans) and TRBP [137]. The 5′ end of mature miRNAs possess seed sequences (about 8 nt) whose function is to recognize the mRNA [47]. A specific miRNA is capable of controlling hundreds of target genes. The repression mechanisms of miRNAs that target or regulate gene expression include (1) inhibition of elongation (mRNA target hybridization and degradation), (2) inhibition of translation (preventing the joining of 60S and 40S subunits), (3) premature termination of translation, and (4) co-translational protein degradation [31, 123]. miRNA functions in association with the miRISC. The core constituents of miRISC that are required for miRNA-dependent repression are the GW182 and Ago proteins [122] (Fig. 1).

miRNAs are unique tools in the diagnosis and treatment of malignancies, and this has generated great interest in other clinical applications [103, 112, 132]. miRNAs have crucial functions in various biological activities via post-transcriptional regulation [100, 128]. Altered expression of miRNAs is associated with human pathologies such as developmental abnormalities, cancer [160], inflammatory diseases [141], muscular and cardiovascular diseases [24, 35, 57], and viral infections [128].

### The role of miRNAs in viral infection

Viral infections are associated with altered levels of host miRNAs. The effects of host miRNAs on viral infection can be exerted directly and indirectly. The direct impact of miRNAs on virus regulation occurs by directly targeting different regions of the viral RNA. The indirect effect involves modulating a cellular transcript encoding a host factor needed for one or more phases in the viral life cycle. However, virus infections may suppress the generation of miRNAs in an antiviral response. Viruses use the cellular machinery to express viral miRNAs (v-miRNAs), which were first discovered in Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) [20]. These v-miRNAs not only target their transcripts to regulate virus replication but also decrease the expression of host genes, generate environments conducive to their latency and replication, and elude clearance by the host immune response. Furthermore, virus infection can cause up- or downregulation of host miRNAs, thus inhibiting the immune response or promoting viral replication.

---

Fig. 1 The miRNA biogenesis pathway in cells. The Drosha, DGCR8, Exportin-5, Ran, and Dicer proteins are key constituents of miRNA biogenesis. Within the cytoplasm, the pre-miRNA loop is cleaved by a ternary complex formed by Dicer, producing small RNA duplexes (miRNA-miRNA*). The formation of immature RISC or pre-RISC occurs in a heat shock cognate 70 (Hsc-70)/heat shock protein (Hsp90)-mediated process. The RISC binds the target mRNA via complementary binding of 6 to 8 base pairs of the miRNA, leading to gene silencing.
Viruses induce host miRNAs and control their alteration and functions

Host innate immune responses are the first line of protection against all pathogens. Natural killer cells, epithelial cells, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and granulocytes participate in the immune response [63, 71]. Cells of the innate immune system detect viral infection mostly via germ-line-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) present either on the cell surface or within distinct intracellular compartments. PRRs include Toll-like receptors (TLRs), nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NLRs), and retinoic acid-inducible gene-1-like receptors (RLRs). Especially in the RLR-mediated pathway, the mitochondrion accelerates the signalling event through mitochondrial anti-viral-signalling protein (MAVS), a downstream adaptor of RLRs located at the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) [45, 75]. Investigation of the roles of miRNAs in mitochondrial-mediated innate immunity showed that miR-302b and miR-372 affect the mitochondrial-mediated antiviral innate immune response by controlling mitochondrial function and metabolic demand. In dsRNA virus infection, upregulation of these miRNAs ultimately terminates the production of type I IFN (IFNα/β) and proinflammatory cytokines. These miRNAs are also involved in dymamin-related protein 1 (DRP1)-dependent mitochondrial fragmentation and disrupt mitochondrial metabolism by attenuating solute carrier family 25 member 12 (SLC25A12), a member of the SLC25 family [163]. Thus, inhibiting miR-302b and miR-372 activities might have an antiviral effect against RNA virus infection.

Recently, Mishra et al. reported that microRNA(miR)-30e-5p (miR-30e) is induced by hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and acts as a master regulator for innate immune responses. Mechanistically, miR-30e targets multiple negative regulators such as SOCS1, SOCS3, ATG5, ATG12, TRIM38, TANK, and BECN1 of innate immune signalling pathways and increases innate immune responses [99].

Zhao et al. investigated differentially expressed microRNAs and found that miR-136 was overexpressed fivefold and exhibited potent antiviral effects in vitro against H5N1 influenza A virus, as well as vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) in A549 human lung epithelial cells. Furthermore, 3′-untranslated region (UTR) reporter analysis revealed an miR-136 binding site in the 3′ UTR of IL-6. In addition, miR-136 acts as an immune agonist of retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-1), thus triggering the accumulation of IL-6 and IFN-β in A549 cells. This work showed the dual function of miRNA-136 in regulating host antiviral innate immunity as well as suggesting a vital role for the miRNA-activated pathway in viral infection via pattern recognition receptors [174].

Chen et al. reported that upregulation of miR-146a in VSV infection could prevent transcription or translation of the RIG-I-related antiviral response by affecting TRAF6 (TNFR-associated factor 6) and IRAK1/2 (interleukin 1 receptor-associated kinase 1/2) [52]. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that miR-233 directly targets FOXO3 to control expression of type I IFN. The production of class I IFN triggered by VSV infection is responsible for the upregulation of miR-223 [19].

Liu et al. showed that VSV infection decreases miR-33/33* expression through the macrophage type I IFN receptor (IFNAR). They reported that the upregulation of miR-33/33* impaired the RIG-I pathway, increasing the viral load and lethality while attenuating type I IFN expression [79]. In addition, miR-33/33* significantly inhibited the mitochondrial MAVS by affecting adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), impeding the mitophagy-mediated elimination of damaged mitochondria, which is required for effective MAVS activation [79].

The IFN immune response induces an antiviral state in cells. However, it also leads to overexpression of some miRNAs that downregulate the nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) and IRF pathways [44]. For example, the expression of miR-221 was shown to be significantly increased in neural precursor cells (NPCs) infected with human cytomegalovirus (HCMV). miR-221 directly targeted the 3′-UTR of suppressor of cytokine signalling 1 (SOCS1) and inhibited its gene expression. The upregulation of miR-221 reduced the viral load by enhancing type I IFN production and induction of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs). However, restoration of SOCS1 abrogated the antiviral activities of miR-221. Additionally, miR-221 controlled the phosphorylation and creation of NF-κB by inhibiting SOCS1 [162].

Feline herpesvirus 1 (FHV-1) infection leads to overexpression of miR-26a through a cyclic guanosine monophosphate–adenosine monophosphate synthase (cGAS)-related pathway. miR-26a enhances the phosphorylation of the STAT1 and induces the activation of the type I IFN pathway by targeting the host SOCS5 directly, thereby suppressing viral replication [170].

Most viruses encode their own non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs). Like their host counterparts, viral ncRNAs associate with proteins that are crucial for their function, stability, or both. Various biological functions, including the regulation of viral replication, viral persistence, host immune evasion, and cellular transformation, have been attributed to viral ncRNAs [150]. Herpesvirus saimiri (HVS) encodes a short uracil (U)-rich ncRNA, HSUR 1, which has a sequence complementarity to miR-27. Binding to HSUR 1 induces cleavage of miR-27, and this downregulation triggers T cells during HVS infection [1, 14, 48].

HCMV generates an ncRNA called miRNA decay element (miRDE) that can bind and degrade miR-27 family members. This proviral function causes upregulation of the
Host cell miRNAs regulate virus replication

The expression levels of host miRNAs change in response to viral infection. These up/downregulated miRNAs target the viral genome directly or indirectly to control viral replication and promote or inhibit the innate immune system and cell apoptosis in viral infection [176].

Recent studies have shown that host miRNAs can bind to many RNA viruses, thereby directly controlling their pathogenesis. (+)-strand RNA viral genome replication simulates cellular mRNAs, allowing direct binding of the miRNA to the viral RNA, and this mode of regulation might be similar to that of host mRNAs. The effect of these miRNAs is to inhibit the translation of the viral genome and consequently prevent viral replication [148]. For example, type I IFN-inducible miR-128 directly targets two sites in the transportin TNPO3 mRNA, considerably downregulating TNPO3 mRNA and protein expression levels. TNPO3 is a nuclear importer that is important for HIV-1 replication, and downregulation of this protein prevents viral replication [11]. Others have shown that miR-128 can inhibit HIV replication by directly targeting the 3'UTR of the viral genome [110]. Some miRNAs, such as miR-323, hsa-miR-324-5p, miR-491, miR-3145, miR-654, and miR-485, inhibit influenza virus infection by directly targeting the main component of the viral polymerase complex, polymerase basic protein 1 (PB1) [58, 62, 69, 140]. A recent study showed that miR-188-3p can inhibit the replication of influenza A viruses (H1N1, H5N6, and H7N9) in A549 cells by directly targeting PB2 expression [27]. Overexpression of miR-296-5p was observed in enterovirus 71 (EV71)-infected human rhabdomyosarcoma (RD) and SK-N-Sh cells. miR-296-5p directly affects two capsid protein coding regions (VP1 and VP3) in the viral genome in response to viral infection. Furthermore, miR-23b can prevent EV71 translation and replication by targeting the VP1 region [51, 177]. Elsewhere, it was shown that miR-548g-3p binds directly to the stem loop A promoter element of the dengue virus (DENV) 5'UTR, preventing the recruitment of the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (NS5) to the viral genome and thus suppressing viral replication [155].

Some host miRNAs enhance RNA virus replication by targeting the viral genome directly. For example, miR-122 directly targets two different conserved sequences at the extreme 5' end of the viral RNA, improving the stability of miR-122 and promoting the replication of hepatitis C virus (HCV) [96]. Another study demonstrated that miR-124-3p positively regulates Sindbis virus (SINV) by enhancing viral structural protein translation and virus production. Consequently, inhibition of this miR-124-3p can reduce viral reproduction. Furthermore, inhibition of miR-124 expression has also been shown to reduce chikungunya virus (CHIKV) replication in human cells [84]. Host miRNAs act as antiviral factors by targeting host mRNAs that express pro-viral proteins. In DENV-2 infection, miR-223 downregulates the microtubule destabilizing protein stathmin 1 (STMN1) in human EAhY926 cells, thus preventing viral replication [159]. miR-27b-3p in DF-1 cells increases the expression of chicken IFN-β, IRF3, and NF-κB by directly binding to SOCS3/6, preventing IBDV replication in host cells. Suppression of endogenous miR-27b-3p through its inhibitors suppresses IFN-β, IRF3, and NF-κB, leading to increased expression of SOCS3/6 and increased replication of IBDV [34].

ACE2, a negative regulator of angiotensin II in the renin-angiotensin system, has been reported to have a critical function in acute lung injury (ALI) caused by influenza virus infection. It has been shown that avian influenza virus subtype H5N1 induces the overexpression of miR-200c-3p. Further investigation also showed that miR-200c-3p targets the 3'UTR of ACE2. The suppression of miR-200c-3p leads to ameliorated ALI induced by H5N1 viral infection in vivo [82].

Li et al. speculated that viral RNAs act as a sponge to sequester endogenous miRNAs within infected cells, thereby cross-regulating the stability and translational effectiveness of host mRNAs with shared miRNA response elements [74]. Host mRNAs and viral RNAs that are involved in crosstalk and reciprocal interactions are referred to as “competitive viral and host RNAs” (cvhRNAs). Exogenous viral RNAs harbour the same miRNA-binding sites as cellular RNAs and compete with host RNAs for the same miRNA pools in infected cells. The crosstalk mechanisms of cvhRNAs rely on an ability to sequester or degrade the common miRNAs by binding to viral RNAs as well as regulating the extent...
of desuppression of host mRNAs through downregulation of miRNAs. However, several recent studies have provided convincing evidence that host mRNAs and viral RNAs with common miRNA binding sequences mutually affect each other’s levels and activities by directly competing with the targeting miRNAs. Therefore, the relative abundance of viral vs. host RNAs, levels of common miRNAs, and the number of miRNA response elements might all affect cvhRNA interactions, based on a mathematical mass-action model for competitive endogenous RNA (ceRNA) networks. cvhRNAs play a role in infection with several viruses, including HBV, HCV, herpesvirus saimiri, murine cytomegalovirus, and HCMV [74, 138]. For example, pestiviruses hijack miR-17 and let-7 family members to enhance their replication. Both miRNAs directly target the 3'-UTR of the viral genome to stabilize bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) RNA and increase its translation [129]. It has also been reported that miR-10a* (miR-10a-3p) interacts directly with the 3D-coding sequence (nt 6818–6941) of coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3), thereby increasing viral replication. Further in vivo studies are necessary to explain the function of miR-10a-3p in CVB3 infection, in which a posttranscriptional control mechanism appears to be involved [147]. The role of miR-122 in HCV infection is better understood [138]. miR-122 directly targets two locations in the 5'-UTR of HCV RNA, with this binding causing a slight increase in viral protein translation while protecting the genome from XRN1-mediated degradation [50, 131]. miR-122 also competes with cellular poly(rC)-binding protein 2 (PCBP2) for binding to HCV genomic RNA and increases the efficiency of replication and packaging [94] (Table 1).

### Exosomal miRNAs in infections with RNA viruses

Most miRNAs are intracellular; however, some are secreted from cells. In 2008, the first extracellular miRNA was described in maternal plasma, and circulating miRNAs were also reported in blood serum. Such miRNAs are detected in body fluids such as blood, urine, semen, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), saliva, pleural effusions, and milk. Various possibilities for secretion of circulating miRNA have been suggested, involving apoptotic bodies, exosomes, microvesicles, protein complexes, and high-density lipoproteins [56, 168].

Exosomes are endocytic-membrane-derived vesicles (30–120 nm) that carry various biomolecules, such as proteins, lipids, mRNAs, and miRNAs, and they have vital functions in cell-to-cell signalling. They act as intermediaries in cell-to-cell communication to deliver miRNAs between cells. Exosomes are discharged into the extracellular space via fusion of multivesicular bodies with the cell membrane. The secreted exosomes supply their load to the recipient cells by integrating into the cell membrane [78, Table 1 Effects of miRNA on virus replication

| Virus          | miRNAs                  | miRNA function                                                                 | Effect on | Reference |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| HIV-1          | miR-128                 | Directly binding to L1-RNA and suppressing the expression of all TNPO1, 2, 3proteins | Inhibition| [11]      |
| HIV            | miR-29a                 | Direct effect on virus mRNA                                                      | Inhibition| [110]     |
| Influenza virus| miR-323, hsa-miR-324-5p, miR-491, miR-3145, miR-654, and miR-485 | Direct targeting of PB1 RNA                                                      | Inhibition| [58, 62, 69, 140] |
| Influenza virus| miR-188-3p              | Direct targeting of the PB2 gene                                                | Inhibition| [27]      |
| EV71           | MiR-296-5p              | Direct effect on capsid protein VP1 and VP3 coding regions                      | Inhibition| [51, 177] |
| DENV           | miR-548g-3p             | Binding directly to the stem loop A (SLA) promoter within the DENV 5'-UTR and repressing viral replication | Inhibition| [155]     |
| HCV            | miR-122                 | Directly targeting two conserved regions in the 5'-UTR of the RNA               | Enhancement| [96]      |
| SINV           | miR-124-3p              | Directly targeting viral RNA                                                     | Enhancement| [84]      |
| DENV-2         | miR-223                 | Downregulating STMN1 proteins                                                   | Inhibition| [159]     |
| IBDV           | miR-27b-3p              | Increasing the expression of chicken IFN-β, IRF3, and NF-κB, by binding SOCS3/6 | Inhibition| [34]      |
| WNV/KUN        | miR-532-5p              | Downregulating SEDT1d and TAB3 mRNAs                                           | Inhibition| [138]     |
| H5N1           | miR-200c-3p             | Upregulation of miRNA directly decreases ACE2 protein expression                | Enhancement| [82]      |
| BVDV           | miR-17 and let-7 family | Directly targeting the 3'-UTR                                                    | Enhancement| [129]     |
| CVB3           | miR-10a* (miR-10a-3p)    | Directly targeting viral genome                                                 | Enhancement| [147]     |
| HCV            | miR-122                 | Competing with PCBP2 and targeting the HCV genome                               | Enhancement| [94]      |
Since exosomes can carry viral miRNAs in addition to cellular miRNAs, they can be utilized to diagnose and treat viral infections [109] (Fig. 2). For example, in influenza virus infections, an elevated level of miR-483-3p has been found in exosomes of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. miR-483-3p acts as a modulator of the RIG-I signalling pathway by directly targeting RNF5 and CD81. It was therefore concluded that this exosomal miRNA might mediate antiviral and inflammatory responses in influenza virus infections [91].

Decreased expression of platelet-derived growth factor B (PDGF-B with a concomitant increase in miR-29b production was demonstrated in the basal ganglia region of the brains of morphine-dependent simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)-infected macaques. In vitro results were verified in astrocytes treated with HIV Tat and morphine, resulting in an enhanced discharge of miR-29b in exosomes. Subsequent treatment of neuronal SH-SY5Y cells with exosomes from treated astrocytes led to reduced levels of PDGF-B, with a concomitant reduction in neuronal viability. It was discovered that PDGF-B is a target for miR-29b, as demonstrated by the fact that targeting miR-29 to the 3′-UTR of PDGF-B mRNA led to its translational suppression in SH-SY5Y cells [53].

Exosomal miR-155 has been shown to effectively inhibit enterovirus A71 infection by targeting phosphatidylinositol clathrin assembly protein (PICALM) in host cells [158]. Furthermore, exosomes released from EV71-infected oral epithelial cells selectively packaged large amounts of miR-30a, which was transferred to macrophages, targeting MyD88 and thereby reducing the expression of type I IFN in recipient cells and enhancing the replication of EV71 [153]. In CVB3 infection, miR-30a and miR-181a were also detected in serum exosomes [39].

**Coronaviruses: SARS-CoV-2**

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped, positive-sense, single-stranded RNA (+ssRNA) viruses that cause diverse illnesses in humans, animals, and birds [95, 105]. The family *Coronaviridae* is subdivided into four genera, *Alpha-, Beta-, Gamma-,* and *Deltacoronavirus*, and members of the genera *Alphacoronavirus* and *Betacoronavirus* are known to infect humans [3, 17, 46]. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), and SARS-CoV-2 are the main CoVs causing severe lower respiratory tract infection with significant mortality. Four other CoVs cause human diseases, namely, human coronavirus (HCoV)-OC43, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-229E, which mostly cause mild, self-limiting upper respiratory tract infections such as the common cold [16, 55, 164]. Around the end of 2002, SARS-CoV appeared in southeast China and Hong Kong, causing more than 8000 confirmed cases worldwide, with about 800 fatalities. MERS-CoV, initially recognized in June 2012 in Saudi Arabia, has caused over 1,791 confirmed cases of infection in 27 countries, with a fatality rate of approximately 35% [166]. SARS-CoV-2 emerged in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 and resulted in the ICU admission of 26–33% of infected individuals, with a mortality rate of 4–15%
The genome size of CoVs ranges between 27 and 32 kb, making them the largest recognized RNA viruses [139]. All CoVs are similar in genome organization and expression. The structural proteins, including the nucleocapsid (N), membrane (M), envelope (E), and spike (S) proteins are encoded by ORFs located in the 3′ end. They are preceded by 16 nonstructural proteins (nsp1-16), which are encoded by open reading frame (ORF) 1a/b at the 5′ end [144]. The viral genome is used directly as an mRNA for translation of polyprotein 1a/1ab (pp1a/pp1ab) to generate the replication transcription complex (RTC) in double-membrane vesicles (DMVs). A nested set of subgenomic RNAs (sgRNAs) is synthesized by the RTC in a discontinuous manner. These negative-strand sgRNAs, which have common 5′ leader and 3′-terminal sequences, are used as templates for generating subgenomic mRNAs [23].

SARS-CoV-2 is genetically very similar to the bat CoV-RaTG13 (96.3%) [134, 172]. The SARS-CoV-2 genome contains 10 ORFs. The first ORF (ORF1a/b) codes for non-structural proteins 1–16. The remaining ORFs encode the structural proteins S, M, E, and N as well as accessory proteins [157] (Fig. 3). The viral surface (S) protein mediates entry of the virus into the host cell. To accomplish this, the S protein first binds to its receptor, ACE2, via its receptor-binding domain (RBD) and is proteolytically activated by host proteases. The S protein is normally cleaved in the host cell into S1 and S2 subunits, which remain noncovalently connected and embedded in the viral envelope [7]. The S1 subunit engages the cellular receptors, mediating viral attachment to the host cell surface, whereas the S2 subunit induces membrane fusion, a process that involves a rearrangement of characteristic elements called heptad repeats (HRs) in S2 to generate a stable 6-helix bundle fusion core [161] (Fig. 3).

SARS-CoV-2 is internalized into the host cell via one of two distinct pathways: (1) the cell surface pathway, following activation by a serine protease such as TMPRSS2, or (2) the endocytic pathway within endosomal–lysosomal compartments, which involves processing by lysosomal cathepsins. The participation of each pathway in a certain cell type depends mainly on the expression of proteases, especially TMPRSS2. When TMPRSS2 is expressed, the initial entry pathway is chosen, while in the absence of this protease, the virus depends on the late pathway involving endocytosis and activation by cathepsin L. Subsequently, the virus most likely enters the cell in one of two ways: by direct fusion with the cytoplasmic membrane or by fusion with the endosomal membrane after receptor-mediated endocytosis and acidification of the endosome.

After internalization of the virus, the viral RNA is uncoated in the cytosol. ORF1a and ORF1ab are translated to generate pp1a and pp1ab, which are cleaved by the proteases encoded by ORF1a to produce the RNA replicase-transcriptase complex. This complex localizes to modified intracellular membranes derived from the rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in the perinuclear region, where it produces (−) RNAs. Full-length (−) RNA copies of the genome are generated and used as templates for synthesis of full-length (+) RNA genomes during replication. A subset of 7–9 subgenomic RNAs, including those encoding all of the structural proteins, is generated via discontinuous transcription. In this process, a nested set of subgenomic (−) RNAs is produced that vary in length at the 3′ end and contain the 5′ leader sequence, which is required for translation. These subgenomic (−) RNAs are then transcribed into subgenomic (+) mRNAs. Although the various subgenomic mRNAs might contain multiple ORFs, only the 5′-most ORF of each mRNA is translated to generate the structural proteins, which are assembled into the nucleocapsid and viral envelope at the ER–Golgi intermediate compartment, followed by the release of the nascent virion from the infected cells [28].

Role of miRNAs in CoV infection

Coronavirus replication can also be influenced by miRNAs. For example, porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (PHEV), a member of the genus Betacoronavirus that causes nervous system disorders in its host, has been reported to constitutively upregulate the expression of miR-10a-5p in host cells. Treatment with an miR-10a-5p mimic leads to enrichment of miR-10a-5p and a substantial decrease in PHEV replication, suggesting extensive negative control of RNA virus infection by miR-10a-5p [54]. It was also found that miR-21a-5p was significantly upregulated in the brains of mice, leading to negative regulation of Caskin1 (CASK-interactive protein1) through direct binding to the 3′-UTR of this gene. Since this miRNA promotes viral replication, the use of miR-21a-5p inhibitors could repress viral replication [86]. In addition, upregulation of miR-142a-3p in PHEV infection had a positive effect on viral proliferation by directly targeting the 3′-UTR of Rab3a-mRNA and suppressing its expression [40].

HCoV-OC43 is a member of the genus Betacoronavirus that causes viral infectious disease in the upper respiratory tract (common cold). It has been reported that the nucleocapsid protein of OC43 triggers potentiation of NF-κB activation. During infection, nucleocapsid proteins induce upregulation of miR-9, which inhibits the NF-κB pathway via binding to the 3′-UTR of NFKB1 mRNA (nuclear factor kappa B subunit 1), decreasing its expression [70].
Transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV) is a member of the genus *Alphacoronavirus* that infects pigs and causes endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and induces production of IFN-I. Inositol-requiring enzyme 1α (IRE1α), an ER transmembrane sensor, increases virus proliferation through downregulation of miR-30a-5p. This miRNA commonly enhances the antiviral function of IFN-I by binding directly to JAK-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT), the inhibitor of SOCS1 and SOCS3. The viral infection reduces the abundance of miR-30a-5p and increases SOCS1 and SOCS3 expression [88].

Furthermore, circular RNA (circRNA)-EZH2 inhibits TGEV infection in the IPEC-J2 cell line by increasing NF-κB expression via binding to miR-22. miR-22 binds directly to HK2 and IL-6 during TGEV infection. Inhibition of HK2 increases TGEV-induced mitochondrial...
permeability transition pore (mPTP) opening whilst leaving the NF-κB pathway unaffected. Inhibiting IL-6 also increases TGEV-induced mPTP opening and suppresses the NF-κB pathway. Likewise, suppressing NF-κB enhances TGEV-induced mPTP opening [175].

In avian infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) infection, upregulated expression of miR-146a-5p promotes the primary step of viral replication. Ectopic expression of miR-200 caused the downregulation of IRAK2 and tumour necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 18 (TNFRSF18) by targeting the 3'-UTRs of these genes [81].

In an in silico study using an miRNA database, it was demonstrated that miRNAs, including hsa-miR-628-5p, -7974, -208a-3p, -510-3p, -3934-5p, -6804-3p, -18a-3p, -548ax, -4474 5p, -6865-5p, and 342-3p, may have an inhibitory effect on MERS-CoV replication [49]. In SARS-CoV-1 infection, bronchoalveolar stem cells (BASCs; CD34+ Sca-1+ CD45- PE-CAM2-) are the most important cell types in the bronchoalveolar duct junction (BADJ) that support viral replication. The encoded miR-17*, miR-574-5p, and miR-214 are increased in this stem cell line when SARS infection occurs. These miRNAs inhibit viral replication by binding to the mRNA encoding the S protein. In addition, the N and S coding regions targeted by the miRNAs co-opt downregulated miR-223 and miR-98, respectively, within BASCs to regulate the different phases of BASC differentiation, activation of inflammatory chemokines, and downregulation of ACE2, promoting effective viral transmission and replication within BASCs and continuous decay of lung tissue [92] (Table 2).

### miRNAs inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection by direct binding to the viral genome

To obtain deep insight into miRNAs expressed by SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, a series of in silico tools were applied to predict miRNAs generated by these viruses. The miRNAFold algorithm was first applied to predict loops in viral genomes, since hairpin loops generate the precursors of small RNAs. The algorithm predicted about 573 and 575 hairpin loops in the SARS-CoV forward and reverse genome, respectively, and 574 and 550 hairpin loops in the SARS-CoV-2 forward and reverse genome sequence, respectively. Since not all hairpin structures lead to functional miRNAs, the miRBoost algorithm was applied to identify hairpin loops that are more likely to yield functional miRNAs. Remarkably, it was found that, out of 65 potential miRNA sequences in the SARS-CoV-2 forward genome sequence that were identified by miRBoost, 56 were recognized by mirBase as matching human-specific miRNAs. Likewise, out of 64 miRNAs predicted in the SARS-CoV-2 reverse genome sequence, 39 matched human-specific miRNAs.

Furthermore, the algorithm identified 66 and 33 predicted miRNAs out of a repertoire of 89 and 79 in the forward and reverse SARS-CoV genome sequence, respectively, that matched human-specific miRNAs. Next, all of the available SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences were

---

**Table 2** Functions of miRNA in coronavirus infection

| Coronavirus | miRNA | Up/downregulation | Effect | Function | Reference |
|-------------|-------|------------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| PHEV        | miR-10a-5p | Uproregulation | Antiviral | miR-10a-5p causes suppression of viral replication by inhibiting SDC1. | [54] |
| PHEV        | miR-21a-5p | Uproregulation | Proviral | miR-21a-5p negatively regulates Caskin1 expression by binding the 3'-UTR of Caskin1 and promotes viral replication. | [86] |
| PHEV        | miR-142a-3p | Uproregulation | Proviral | miR-21a-5p promotes viral infection by directly targeting the 3'-UTR of Rab3a. | [40] |
| HCoV-OC43   | miR-9    | Uproregulation | Antiviral | miR-9 overexpression suppresses the NF-κB signalling pathway via binding to the 3'-UTR of NFκB1 RNA and downregulation of mRNA. | [70] |
| JHMV        | MiR-155  | Uproregulation | Antiviral | miR-155 promotes immune responses and cytokine secretion as well as a decreased cytolytic activity following JHMV infection. | [32, 76] |
| TGEV        | miR-30a-5p | Downregulation | Antiviral | miR-30a-5p increases the IFN-I antiviral function by directly binding the inhibitor JAK- TAT, the inhibitor of SOCS1, and SOCS3. | [88] |
| IBV         | miR-146a-5p | Uproregulation | Proviral | miR-146a-5p, by targeting the 3'-UTRs of IRAK2 and TNFRSF18, promotes viral replication. | [81] |
| SARS        | miR-17*, miR-574-5p, and miR-214 | Uproregulation | Antiviral | miR-17*, miR-574-5p, and miR-214, by binding to S-protein-encoding mRNA, suppresses viral replication. | [92] |

PHEV, porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus; HCoV-OC43, human coronavirus OC43; JHMV, the JHM strain of mouse hepatitis virus; TGEV, transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus; IBV, avian coronavirus; SARS, severe acute respiratory syndrome
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compared to identify any virus-encoded miRNAs common to all of the sequences. Contrary to expectation, however, no virus-encoded miRNAs were detected that were common to all reverse and forward genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV isolates. Utilizing this strategy, about 263-196 host miRNAs were recognized that could bind to any forward or reverse sequence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. It was found that, compared to SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 genomes possessed binding sites for a larger number of host miRNAs, which might be related to the lower pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in healthy people. Correspondingly, although the genomes of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 differed in the number of miRNA targets, there was a strong resemblance among the virus-encoded noncoding RNAs in both genomes, suggesting a likely overlap between the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 and the plausibility of developing novel therapeutic strategies that might effectively control both viral infections [116].

Other studies using in silico analysis methods showed that all SARS-CoV-2 genes (S, M, N, ORF1ab, ORF3a, ORF8, ORF7a, and ORF10) except E and ORF6, are targeted by several human miRNAs. For example, hsa-miR-203b-3p, which has already been shown to suppress influenza A virus replication [171], was predicted to target ORF1ab and ORF3a. Although hasa-miR-148a-3p targets ORF8 to prevent interspecies transmission and replication, it was also found to target the S, E, M, and ORF1a genes in SARS-CoV [93]. hsa-let-7c-5p is predicted to target ORF1ab in SARS-CoV-2, while it has been found to be involved in suppression of H1N1 influenza A virus by targeting its M1 gene [89].

The protein encoded by ORF6 of SARS-CoV 1 represses the type I IFN pathway by inhibiting nuclear transport of STAT1 in the presence of INF-β. Thus, hasa-miR-190a-5p might target the ORF6 gene to overwhelm the immune system escape during SARS-CoV-2 infection [29]. As a result, using a mimic of this miRNA as an inhibitor might also affect COVID-19 (Fig. 4). Some human miRNAs targeting the SARS-CoV-2 genome can also be effective in other CoVs, such as MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and human coronavirus NL63 (HCoV-NL63). For example, miR-4259 is predicted to recognize MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, binding to the N coding region in the SARS-CoV-2 genome. Other miRNAs such as miR-1181, miR-1307-3p, miR-146b-3p, and miR-1229-5p are predicted to recognize both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, and hasa-miR-1229-5p is predicted to recognize both SARS-CoV-2 and HCoV-NL63, targeting the ORF3a gene region in the SARS-CoV-2 viral genome [29, 83]. The differences in miRNA targets between SARS-CoV-2 and other human CoVs suggests a possible role in their distinct clinical features [83].

**Indirect effects of miRNAs on SARS-CoV-2 infection**

SARS-CoV-2 infection causes variations in the expression levels of host miRNAs. For example, in lung epithelial cells infected with SARS-CoV-2, the expression levels of hsa-let-7a-3p, hsa-miR-135b-5p, hsa-miR-16-2-3p, and hasa-miR-1275 decrease, while those of hsa-miR-155-3p and hasa-miR-139-5p increase. As a result, decreasing the expression level of host miRNAs is likely to increase the susceptibility

![Fig. 4 Homo sapiens miRNAs (hsa-miR-) have been identified to bind to sites in SARS-CoV-2 RNA encoding structural proteins and non-structure proteins. Reproduced with permission [29]](image-url)
of the respiratory epithelium to infection. On the other hand, enhancing the expression levels of host miRNAs may provide protection against viral infection and replication [26].

Viral infections lead to a high abundance of viral RNA, and the increased number of potential miRNA binding sites could represent a very effective defense by decreasing the levels of cellular miRNA throughout the initial phases of infection. Furthermore, pathogenic human CoVs could inhibit host-specific miRNAs and repress the immune reaction or suppress induction of unfolded protein response (UPR)-dependent apoptosis. SARS-CoV-2 genomic RNA can decrease the effective host miRNA level by functioning as an miRNA sponge, thereby accelerating viral replication and inhibiting immune responses. For example, a SARS-CoV-2-mediated decrease in host miR-495-5p and miR-34a-3p rates could enhance X-box binding protein transcription factor and binding immunoglobulin protein expression, respectively, by enhancing the endoplasmic reticulum folding capacity and increasing survival. SARS-CoV-2 can also affect the mTOR and autophagy pathways by regulating miR-376b-3p. Some of these miRNAs, such as miR-376a-3p, miR-99b-5p, miR-10a-5p, miR-99b-5p, miR-548a-5p, and miR-376a-3p, have also been suggested to regulate immune responses [8].

Viruses have strategies to increase their replication, such as hijacking host RNA helicases. RIG-I/Ddx58 receptors possess a helicase domain, which interacts with viral nsp13 and commences the viral life cycle. The expression of Ddx58 is increases immensely in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells, which might also be one of the possible causes of the enhancement of viral replication. Also, Ddx58 is involved in processes of miRNA biogenesis and mRNA splicing; therefore, its overexpression would trigger reprogramming of miRNA splicing events leading to negative regulation of miRNAs, such as miR-124-3p, which has a predicted recognition site in the 3′-UTR of Ddx58. Hence, upregulation of miR-124-3p would trigger Ddx58 degradation, thereby leading to reduced viral replication. RIG-I/Ddx58 also upregulates Stat1 (TF), which, upon phosphorylation, associates with IFN regulatory factor 9 and Stat2 to produce heterotrimers and commence the transcription of ISGs to exert antiviral effects. Furthermore, the virus might activate cytosolic translocation of Drosha to neutralize ISG-mediated antiviral effects, which could reprogram splicing events to yield other lncRNAs and circRNAs that could act as a sponge for miR-124-3p and hamper its ability to degrade Ddx58 [6].

Lu et al. showed that miR-200c suppresses ACE2 expression in both human and rat cardiomyocytes, and therefore, miRNAs can be exploited for prevention of cardiovascular problems in SARS-CoV-2 infection [85].

ACE2 levels on cell membranes are controlled by ADAM17, which increases the shedding of the protein. Notch signalling negatively regulates ADAM17 and positively regulates furin through the transcription of miRNA-145. Thus, inhibition of γ-secretase, which suppresses Notch activation, might be a promising strategy for inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 entry into the cells by decreasing furin expression and enhancing ADAM17-mediated shedding. In addition, miRNA-145, which negatively regulates ADAM17, targets Jagged1/Notch1 signalling in vascular smooth muscle cells. Therefore, the use of an antagonim of miR-145 might be an alternative approach for upregulation of ADAM17 [121].

The kidney manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection include acute kidney injury, collapsing glomerulonephritis, podocyte apoptosis, interstitial nephritis, and progressive chronic kidney disease. Batle et al. revealed that acute kidney injury is one of the crucial manifestations of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Proinflammatory mediators play a vital role in these manifestations. ACE2, a crucial proinflammatory mediator in acute kidney injury or glomerular disorders related to COVID-19, is upregulated by miRNA expression. Certain miRNAs, in particular those associated with ACE2 expression, are involved in the augmented levels of proinflammatory circulatory mediators. Numerous miRNAs are implicated in ACE2 expression, with most of them being affected in other organs, while miR-125b and miR-18 are mainly expressed in the kidney. At present, only antimir-18 has solid data showing it to be a silencer of ACE2 expression. miRNA-based therapy, particularly with antimiR-18 and antimir-125b, is a novel potential ACE2-targeting therapeutic choice for nephropathy related to COVID-19. Additional studies of the effects of antimiR-18 and antimir-125b are still needed [156].

miR-5197-3p has been shown to interact efficiently with the genomic RNA (gRNA) of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. A recent study has shown that critical miRNAs, including miR-5197-3p, miR-4778-3p, and miR-6864-5p, interact with complete complementary miRNA (cc-miR) and have therapeutic potential owing to their binding affinity for the gRNA of SARS-CoV-2. A complementary miRNA based on the complete miR-5197-3p sequence might have considerable therapeutic value due to its affinity for the gRNA of SARS-CoV-2 and lack of undesired effects on human genes [5] (Table 3).

**Strategies for designing miRNA mimics and antagonists**

The miRNA mimic technology (miR-Mimic) is a pioneering approach for gene silencing. The nonnatural double-stranded RNA generated in this approach is designed to possess a 5′ end bearing a motif partially complementary to a selected sequence in the 3′-UTR that is unique to the target gene.
Once present in the cell, this piece of RNA, which simulates an endogenous miRNA, can bind specifically to its target gene and cause posttranscriptional suppression of the gene. The primary stage of producing an miRNA mimic is to recognize a stretch of sequence in the 3′-UTR that is unique to the gene of interest (target mRNA), similar to designing an siRNA [107]. However, unlike the full complementarity between an siRNA and its target in any area of the gene, an miRNA mimic base-pairs only partially with the target sequence in the 3′-UTR. The size of the sequence should be long enough for the miRNA to function, which is at least 8 nt, and ideally > 14 nt [154].

miRNA mimics are unstable and have less durability in cells. In a recent study, Nogimori et al. found that miRNA mimics are quickly destroyed via a mechanism different from Tudor-staphylococcal/micrococcal-like nuclease (TSN)-mediated miRNA decay, which destroys endogenous miRNAs. Instead, the newly recognized 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS)/RNase L was identified as the main element responsible for the degradation of miRNA mimics in human cells. The authors propose that the OAS1 identifies the miRNA mimic and generates 2′-5′-oligoadenylates (2–5A), causing the triggering of latent endoribonuclease RNase L to destroy the miRNA mimic. A small-molecule suppressor that inhibits RNase L can block degradation of miRNA mimics [111].

In another study, to suppress oncogenic K Ras in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cells, Ferino et al. developed ss-miR-216b mimics with unlocked nucleic acid (UNA) modifications to increase their nuclease resistance. Variants of ss-miR-216b mimics were prepared with and without a 5′ phosphate group. The main characteristic of UNA is the lack of a C2′–C3′ bond in the ribose moiety, an alteration that increases the flexibility of the RNA strand.

### Table 3

| miRNA                                                                 | Effects on SARS-CoV-2 infection                                                                 | Effect on level | Reference |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| hsa-let-7a-3p, hsa-miR-135b-5p, hsa-miR-16-2-3p                        | They elevate the susceptibility of the lung epithelium to infection.                            | Decrease       | [26]      |
| hsa-miR-155-3p and hsa-miR-139-5p                                     | They may provide cellular protection against viral infection and replication.                  | Increase       | [26]      |
| miR-34a-3p and miR-495-5p                                            | They enhance X-box binding protein transcription factor and binding immunoglobulin protein expression by increasing the endoplasmic reticulum folding capacity and cell survival, respectively. | Decrease       | [8]       |
| miR-376a-3p, miR-99b-5p, miR-10a-5p, miR-376a-3p, miR-548av-5p, and miR-99b-5p | They regulate immune reactions.                                                                | –              | [8]       |
| miR-124-3p                                                           | Upregulation of miR-124-3p causes the degradation of Ddx58, thereby leading to a decrease in viral replication. | Increase       | [6]       |
| miR-6741-3p                                                          | miR-6741-3p plays a role in the control of APOL1.                                              | Increase       | [125, 152]|
| miR-101, miR-100, miR-99a/b, miR-7, miR-107, let-7, and miR-199,      | They target the mTOR mRNA and lead to inhibition of viral replication.                         | –              | [119]     |
| miR-7, miR-107, miR-429, miR-200, miR-15/16, miR-223, miR-143/145, and miR-17 | They target RPS6K1 mRNA and lead to inhibition of viral replication.                           | –              | [119]     |
| miR-98-5p                                                            | It targets and inhibits IL-6 gene expression, in turn, influencing several proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-10. | Increase       | [118]     |
| miR-3934-3p                                                          | miR-3934-3p leads to reduced TGFβ1 and SMAD3 gene expression. The TGF-β/Smad pathway is important for lung fibrosis in SARS-CoV-dependent patients. | Increase       | [5]       |
| miR-200c                                                             | miR-200c suppresses ACE2 expression in both rat and human cardiomyocytes in SARS-CoV-2 infection. | Increase       | [85]      |
| miRNA-145                                                            | miRNA-145 downregulates ADAM17, which is a target of Jagged1/Notch1 signaling in vascular smooth muscle cells. | Increase       | [121]     |
| miR-5197-3p                                                          | This miRNA interacts efficiently with the gRNA of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and COVID-19. It was proposed that the production of miR-5197-3p-based cc-miRNA might play an important therapeutic role, due to its structural affinity for gRNA of SARS-CoV-2, with no side effects on human genes. | Increase       | [5]       |
A single UNA modification in the center of an RNA/RNA duplex can reduce the melting temperature (Tm) by 5–10 °C; however, when the UNA modification is located close to the duplex terminal, it leads to a relatively low drop to a Tm of 1–3 °C [42].

Anti-miRNA oligonucleotides (antagomirs, also known as anti-miRs) are synthetically designed molecules that are applied to neutralize miRNAs. They can be applied as further control and for treating certain cellular disorders via hybridization to miRNA and a steric blocking mechanism. Understanding the miRNA sequences involved in various disorders, especially viral infections, can allow us to apply anti-miRs to interrupt pathways that cause the up/downregulation of cell proteins that generate signs of diseases. During anti-miRs design, essential modifications to optimize binding affinity, improving nuclease resistance, and in vivo delivery must be considered.

Most studies on anti-miR design strategies have focused on chemically modifying the sugar or phosphodiester backbone of the oligonucleotide to enhance the thermodynamic stability and nuclease resistance of the miRNA/anti-miR duplex. For instance, phosphorothioate (PS) bonds and 2′-O-methyl alterations of anti-miRs have been demonstrated to increase nuclease resistance. Locked nucleic acid (LNA) alterations, which pre-organize the sugar in a 3′-endo pucker, allow miRNAs to be targeted with higher affinity.

As the active fragment of a miRNA in a cell is dependent on an Ago protein, structures of Ago proteins connected to RNA provide a potential starting point for further anti-miR optimization. Numerous crucial aspects of miRNA recognition within hAgo2 have been identified, including targeting the 5′ end of the miRNA strand in the middle (MID) domain and 3′ end in the PAZ (Piwi/Argonaute/Zwille) domain, which allows cradling of the miRNA-target duplex. One attractive structural aspect of human Ago2 is a small solvated pocket within the L2 and MID domains of hAgo2, which allows specific binding to adenosine in the target strand at nucleotide position 1 (t1A). As miRNAs connect anti-miRs by target strand recognition, the t1 nucleotide position matches the 3′ end of a typical anti-miR.

Pham et al. developed a unique anti-miR production method based on the structure of hAgo2 with bound miRNA-target RNA duplex to enhance the potency of anti-miRs. They carried out copper-catalyzed alkyne/azide cycloaddition reactions to produce t1-triazole-altered anti-miRs and tested their activity in cell-based anti-miR assays. Numerous triazole-altered anti-miRs were found to be more potent than anti-miRs bearing adenosine at the t1 location. This ester modification was found to enhance the potency of two different anti-miR sequences. Furthermore, triazole-altered anti-miRs displayed improved 3′-exonuclease resistance [117].

A major obstacle to the use of anti-miRNAs in vivo is the need to deliver them to the location where the target gene is located. In order to make them more resistant to degradation and to facilitate their entry into cells, miRNAs are encapsulated into various delivery systems or conjugated to artificial carriers.

LNA, an altered antisense oligonucleotide that can bind the miRNA seed region, has been suggested as a promising type of anti-miR. LNA seed family inhibitors can inhibit entire miRNA families as well as individual specific miRNAs [2].

2′-O-methyl (2′-OMe)-4′-thioRNA is a hybrid type of chemically modified oligonucleotide that shows significant binding affinity to complementary RNAs and strong resistance to destruction by nucleases. Takahashi et al. assessed 2′-OMe-4′-thioribonucleosides for chemical modification of anti-miRs. Optimization of the modification pattern using various chemically altered anti-miRs that were complementary to a mature miR-21 showed that a uniformly 2′-OMe-4′-thioribonucleoside–modified antimiR was the most potent. Further research revealed that phosphorothioate modification contributed to long-lasting miR-122 inhibition through the 2′-OMe-4′-thioribonucleoside–modified anti-miR. Also, anti-miRs that were systemically administered to mice using a liposomal delivery system, YSK05-MEND, were delivered to the liver, and effective suppression of miR-122 function was achieved at a lower dose in vivo [145].

The 2′-O-Me modification, as well as the 2′-O-methoxyethyl (2′-MOE) and 2′-fluoro (2′-F) modifications, affect the 2′ position of the sugar moiety, whereas LNA is a class of bicyclic RNA analogues in which the furanose ring in the sugar-phosphate backbone is chemically locked in an RNA-simulating N-type (C3′-endo) conformation through the formation of a 2′-O,4′-C methylene bridge. These alterations provide resistance against nucleases and improve the targeting of anti-miR oligonucleotides to their cognate miRNAs. LNA in particular has the strongest effect on binding to the complementary RNA, with an increase in the duplex melting temperature (Tm) of 2–8 °C per LNA monomer when compared to unmodified duplexes. Another key feature of the LNA is that the monomers can twist the sugar conformation of flanking DNA nucleotides from an S-type (C2′-endo) toward an N-type sugar pucker in LNA-altered DNA oligonucleotides [143].

**miRNA delivery approaches for efficient treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection**

miRNAs play a significant role in the development and prognosis of various diseases and are potential candidates for improving novel therapeutic approaches. Instability, high probability of destruction by nucleases, and immunotoxicity
have led to special attention being paid to safe and effective miRNA delivery strategies [22, 108, 115, 173].

Two important delivery strategies, local and systemic, have been considered for miRNA delivery, though the lack of proper release mechanisms is a roadblock to their utility. Effective gene silencing has been achieved through local administration of miRNAs, which results in higher bioavailability. Local delivery of miRNAs also results in lower cytotoxicity than systemic delivery. Systemic miRNA delivery activates the innate immune response, causing unexpected effects and adverse reactions. Following systemic injection, a steep drop occurs in the concentration of miRNA antagonists or mimics in the lung tissue [22, 108, 115, 173]. Valuable efforts have been made towards modifying the delivery of miRNA-based therapies to overcome the obstacles of in vivo delivery systems.

Several viral vectors, including retroviruses, lentiviruses, and adenoviruses, have been used for gene transfer into many different cell types both in vitro and in vivo. Despite promising results in various pre-clinical and clinical studies, their strong immunogenicity and the risk of insertional mutagenesis are major obstacles to the clinical translation of viral vectors [22, 108, 115, 173]. Recently, various non-viral delivery systems, including polymeric nanoparticles, lipid nanocapsules, inorganic nanoparticles, etc., have been designed and investigated to deliver different therapeutic molecules, especially miRNAs [15, 41, 146].

LNPs have been recognized as a highly promising platform for producing vaccines [36]. Since mRNA is highly unstable and can induce an innate immune response upon injection, it has not been traditionally used as a therapeutic agent. Furthermore, mRNA requires a carrier system to pass the plasma membranes of target cells. Thus, LNP-based delivery systems have been designed to encapsulate mRNAs coding for MAbs.

This RNA-therapy platform stabilizes the mRNA and can be administered repeatedly, resulting in sustained production of antibodies while escaping the effect of the innate immunity against exogenous RNA. Moreover, LNPs improve mucosal and cellular absorption and increase biocompatibility. Positively charged LNPs cause electrostatic absorption to the negatively charged mucosal membranes, reducing their clearance via the mucosal cilia [124].

Nanotechnology-based strategies offer feasible solutions to the delivery challenge by trafficking the vaccine to appropriate cellular populations and subcellular sites. While synthetic nanodelivery systems such as polymeric nanoparticles and cationic liposomes have been utilized to deliver DNA vaccines through plasma membranes, targeted formulations could further improve the plasmid nuclear translocation of DNA. Nanotechnology platforms such as dendrimers, liposomes, polysaccharide particles, and cationic nanomaterials have been used to enhance the stability and transfer of mRNA-based vaccines [136]. For example, Pfizer and Moderna used LNPs for the delivery of mRNA, which may enable cytoplasmic delivery via fusogenic mechanisms [120, 126]. An mRNA-based technology platform, RNActive®, has been used to develop a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine containing sequence-optimized mRNA coding for a stabilized form of the S protein loaded in LNPs. No vaccine-related serious side effects were observed [67].

A self-amplifying RNA (saRNA) expressing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein loaded within an LNP as a vaccine showed high and dose-dependent SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody titers in mouse sera, as well as robust neutralization of both a wild-type virus and a pseudovirus. saRNA LNP immunization induced a Th1-biased response in mice. A strong cellular response, as characterized by IFN-γ production, was observed upon re-stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 peptides. This potent LNP-encapsulated saRNA vaccine can be injected using a normal needle and syringe and does not require electroporation equipment, perhaps allowing more extensive vaccination against COVID-19 [98].

Cationic lipids might be fabricated from commercially available products, such as Lipofectamine®, because of their hydrophilic head and a hydrophobic tail. Numerous investigations have demonstrated the applicability of cationic liposomes as a delivery system for delivering miRNA in vivo. Presently, many types of cationic lipids are available for nucleic acid medicine delivery. Nevertheless, the key downside of using cationic lipids is low delivery efficiency. More recently, novel lipids have been produced to solve this problem, and novel tools have been established for creating lipid nanocomplexes. Polyethylene glycol (PEG), a frequently applied functional group, was grafted to cationic lipids to inhibit phagocytosis of the reticuloendothelial system when administrated systemically [36].

Liposomes have been commonly applied as cell transfection reagents and vaccine adjuvants [167]. The interest in liposomal vaccine delivery systems has markedly increased. The effectiveness of this method has been shown, and subsequent human experiments are underway [21] (Fig. 5). Ju et al. have demonstrated the use of carbon dots to deliver LNA-based inhibitors for specific inhibition of viral miRNAs, which prevented the reproduction of Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV)-related primary effusion lymphoma (PEL) cells. KSHV has essential functions in controlling the proliferation and survival of virus-induced cancer cells. Particularly, an amalgamation of Cdots-LNAs to knock down the levels of miR-K12-1, miR-K12-4, and miR-K12-11 of KSHV induces apoptosis and suppresses the increase in PEL cells [60]. Karlsen and Brinchmann used a liposome delivery system for transfection of human articular chondrocytes (hAC) and mesenchymal stem cells with amiR-145, which caused off-target immunological effects mediated by RIG-I. An immune response was also observed...
Fig. 5 Different delivery systems for mRNA. LNPs are generated via the self-assembly of an ionizable cationic lipid. Different nanoparticles of these cationic lipids (such as 1,2-dioleoyloxy-3-trimethylammoniumpropane [DOTAP] or dioleoyl phosphatidylethanolamine [DOPE]) are formulated with subtle modifications (such as cationic lipids + cholesterol nanoparticle, cationic lipids + cholesterol + PEG-LNP), where cholesterol and PEG-lipid are added to enhance stability. Other nanodelivery systems include protamine (cationic peptide) nanoliposomes (sized about 100 nm), PEG-lipid functionalyzed dendrimer nanoparticles (about 200 nm in size), positively charged oil-in-water (O/W) cationic nanoemulsion (about 120 nm in size), polyethyleneimine nanoparticles (about 100–300 nm in size), and cationic polymer (chitosan) nanoparticles (about 300–600 nm in size) [18].
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with blunt-ended and 2-nucleotide 3’ overhangs versions of synthetic miR-145 lacking a 5’ppp cap. Importantly, exposure to liposomes alone caused overexpression of immune genes such as RIG-I [61].

Ohno et al. developed a bionanocapsule delivery system for the exogenous release of miR-93 in HBV-infected hepatocytes. This nanosystem comprising HBV envelope L proteins restored MICA (MHC class I polypeptide-related sequence A) protein expression levels in the cell culture supernatant. The findings of that study suggested that the rescued suppression of soluble MICA protein levels by miRNA93 targeted to HBV-infected hepatocytes applying bionanocapsules may be beneficial for inhibiting HBV-induced HCC by altering the expression of deregulated miRNA93 [113].

Various viral and nonviral vaccine platforms have been used to develop efficient SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. The China-based CanSinoBIO’s Convidicea (Ad5-nCoV) vaccine is a genetically engineered vaccine candidate with a replication-defective adenovirus type 5 as a vector for expression of the SARS-CoV-2 S protein [135]. INO-4800, a DNA plasmid encoding S protein, was delivered in the host intradermally or intramuscularly by an electropermeabilization method, which uses an electric field for enhancing the permeability of cell membranes [12]. The LV-SMENP-DC vaccine was made by modifying DCs with lentiviral vectors expressing SARS-CoV-2 minigene SMENP and immune-modulatory genes to activate cytotoxic T cells [87, 142].

Exploiting genetically engineered viral vectors for miRNA delivery can increase the risk of integrating viral DNA into the host DNA. Liposomes may be toxic and produce side effects in normal organs and tissues. Furthermore, liposomes may have low resistance to immune responses [25]. Van Zandwijk et al. developed a nanoparticle-based delivery method as an alternative to liposomes utilizing EnGeneIC Delivery Vehicle (EDV) packaging. After loading with miR-16-5p mimics, EDVs were coated with bispecific antibodies [80, 151]. Upon targeting receptors present on the membranes of non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) cells, EDV undergoes a process of endocytosis. This delivery system has shown effective delivery of the miRNAs to induce adaptive immune responses [90] and might be highly effective for delivering therapeutic miRNAs against SARS-CoV-2 infection. In this approach, a bacterially derived EDV™ nanocell platform and specific antibodies can be used for targeted therapy of lung cells infected with SARS-CoV-2. To accomplish this, an anti-ACE2 antibody is incorporated into the EDV™ nanocells containing SARS-CoV-2 RNA-targeting miRNAs. An empty EDV™ nanocell with a diameter of 400 nm can load about 1 million different SARS-CoV-2 RNA-targeting miRNAs. Using an anti-ACE2 antibody, EDV might bind to the ACE2 receptors present on the membranes of infected cells and undergo a process of endocytosis, resulting in miRNAs targeting viral RNA and inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 6). Following promising data from in vitro studies on appropriate cell line models of COVID-19, such as Vero E6 cells, the efficiency of miRNAs loaded into anti-ACE2-antibody-conjugated EDV™ nanocells can be evaluated for inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection in infected human ACE2 transgenic mice and rhesus macaques. Human ACE2 transgenic mice showed weight loss, virus replication in the lungs, and interstitial pneumonia after SARS-CoV-2 infection [8], and infected macaques had high viral loads in the upper and

Fig. 6 3D illustration of EnGeneIC’s bacterially derived EDV™ nanocell platform for possibly inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection

© Springer
lower respiratory tract, pathologic evidence of viral pneumonia, and humoral and cellular immune responses. Rhesus macaques were used to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of remdesivir, DNA vaccine candidates expressing the S protein, and adenovirus-vectored vaccines [20, 153, 160, 170].

**Conclusion**

Scientists are developing efficient treatment and preventive strategies for COVID-19 infection. Using the knowledge that has been gained about SARS-CoV-2, including the structure of the viral genome, characteristics of the structural and non-structure proteins, its target cells, its mechanism of replication in host cells, and the identity of its receptor, different strategies can be tailored for the treatment of COVID-19. Exploiting therapeutic molecules such as miRNAs with high therapeutic efficiency and high diversity might be one effective solution. miRNAs have been shown to have different functions in different coronaviruses, such as activating the immune system and directly binding to CoV RNA to inhibit CoV replication. CoV infections also lead to up- and downregulation of host cell miRNAs. It was revealed that miR-6729-5p targets the S and N genes, as well as miR-2052; miR-3127-5p targets the ORF1A and S genes in SARS-CoV-2 RNA. However, some miRNAs target only one site in the viral RNA. For example, miR-447b only

![Fig. 7](image-url) The function of miRNAs in SARS-CoV-2 infection. miRNAs directly target viral RNAs at several sites and inhibit viral replication. Also, indirect effects include modulation of the expression of host factors that are essential for one or more phases of the viral life cycle.
targets the S protein gene and miR-325, and miR-34a-5p only targets the M protein gene.

Some host cell miRNAs involved in SARS-CoV-2 infection have a similar role in MERS, SARS, and HCoV-NL63 infection, indicating the high potential of using only one miRNA to treat different viral infections. In addition, miR-200c-3, by binding to the 3'-UTR of ACE2, causes a decrease in ACE2 levels, resulting in increased ALI or ARDS in H5N1 infection and SARS. Thus, using such miRNA mimics as an inhibitor might be efficient in treating SARS-CoV-2 infections (Fig. 7). Poor intracellular delivery and disruptive naked miRNAs aggregation within endosomes lead to inefficient gene silencing. These short-comings diminish the clinical efficacy of miRNA delivery. Thus, efficient delivery strategies such as EDV™ nanoparticles might provide a safe and targeted delivery system for miRNA mimics and inhibitors to target infected tissues such as the lung and inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the application of miRNAs for targeted therapies is still in its early phases, and only a small number of miRNAs have been employed in clinical trials.
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