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We have observed millisecond-long coherent evolution of nuclear spins in a quantum wire at 1.2 K. Local, all-electrical manipulation of nuclear spins is achieved by dynamic nuclear polarization in the breakdown regime of the Integer Quantum Hall Effect combined with pulsed Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. The excitation thresholds for the breakdown are significantly smaller than what would be expected for our sample and the direction of the nuclear polarization can be controlled by the voltage bias. As a four-level spin system, the device is equivalent to two qubits.

PACS numbers: 76.70.Fz, 73.43.Fj, 73.63.Nm, 76.60.Es

I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) in solid-state physics has drawn much attention over the last decade as a candidate for quantum information processing. Due to their weak environmental coupling, nuclear spins have very long coherence times. NMR measurements of nuclear spins, however, are challenging unless bulk samples are used, which results in inhomogeneous broadening of the NMR signal. In semiconductor devices, where thermal polarization of nuclei is weak, NMR signals can be significantly enhanced by Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (DNP), a mechanism by which an electron transition between two spin-resolved energy states, or “spin-flip”, is mediated by a reverse “spin-flop” in the nuclear system. Energy conservation makes this process difficult because the energy associated with the orientation of an electron is three orders of magnitude larger than that of a nucleus. This problem can be circumvented by employing different techniques, such as microwave-induced DNP.6,7 optical pumping,8,9,10,11 inter-edge-channel scattering in the Quantum Hall regime12,13 Electron Spin Resonance,14,15 Quantum Hall Ferromagnetism,16 or the breakdown of the integer Quantum Hall Effect (QHE).17,18 As recently demonstrated,5 multiple quantum coherence in a nanometer-scale device can be achieved by DNP. In addition, coherence times of tens of seconds have been observed in bulk Si crystals at room temperature, although thermal equilibration times of the order of hours make averaging over several acquisitions impractical.19

In this paper, we describe a technique for all-electrical coherent control of nuclear spins in a nanometre-scale based on the breakdown of the QHE in a quantum wire (QW). This technique presents a number of advantages over previous experiments: quantum coherence survives up to 1.2 K for times of the order of a millisecond with a relatively simple sample design and without extreme demands on sample quality. We describe our sample in Sec. II. Our results, including dynamic nuclear polarization, thresholds for the breakdown of the integer QHE and coherent evolution of nuclear spins under pulsed NMR, are presented and discussed in Sec. III.

II. SAMPLE

Our sample [depicted in Fig. 1(a)] consists of a pair of split gates (0.7 µm wide and 0.7 µm long) defined on a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure. The two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) is formed 90 nm below the surface, has a density of 1.55x10¹⁵ m⁻² and a mobility of 146 m²/V s. Separated by a 250 nm layer of crosslinked polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), a 2.5 µm wide antenna overgate is deposited on top of the split gates for RF irradiation. We operated our device in the quantum Hall regime, where the one-dimensional energy subbands mix with the Landau levels, giving rise to the formation of hybrid magnetoelectric subbands. Transport measurements were performed by standard lock-in techniques in a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of 50 mK. In order to be able to interpret
the QW conductance in terms of edge channels, we used a constant-voltage four-terminal diagonal measurement.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Dynamic nuclear polarization

Figure 2(a) shows the conductance difference ΔG (light traces and inset, left hand vertical axis) between magnetic-field up and down sweeps at a gate voltage VSG = −0.8 V. The field was swept at 10 T/h and the AC excitation was 100 μV at 77 Hz. This hysteresis appeared at the entrance and exit of the region where the filling factor (i.e., the ratio of electrons to magnetic flux quanta) in the QW νw = 1, independent of gate voltage. We only present the data at VSG = −0.8 V, however, as the hysteresis at that gate voltage happened in the magnetic field region for which the Fermi energy in the 2DEG lied in a gap between Landau levels. We also performed conductance relaxation measurements by waiting for 5 minutes with no AC excitation voltage applied to the sample, then applying 100 μV AC and measuring the conductance for 120 seconds. The conductance relaxation ΔG at different magnetic fields can be seen in Fig. 2(a) (grayscale plot, right hand vertical axis). G shows the largest relaxation precisely just above and below νw = 1 in the QW, coincidental with the conductance hysteresis. A vertical cut (dotted line) of Fig. 2(a) can be seen in Fig. 2(b). The relaxation time for the conductance is up to two minutes.

Both the hysteretic nature of the measurements and the long relaxation times suggest the involvement of nuclei in the effect. NMR signals for 75As (Figure 2(c), signals vertically shifted for clarity) from 2 to 6.9 T prove this to be the case. NMR data were obtained by applying a continuous-wave RF voltage VRF to the antenna overgate, sweeping the frequency fRF upwards at 68 MHz/h and stepping the magnetic field in 0.1 T intervals while measuring the QW conductance. For increased clarity, Fig. 2(d) shows the maximum positive gradient from the derivative of the NMR signals in Fig. 2(c). NMR signals are present just below 3 T, absent during the length of the νw = 1 plateau and reappear strongly as G leaves the plateau, vanishing [as do the relaxation traces in Fig. 2(a)] near 6 T. The data points follow the linear relation fRF = γB, where γ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the nuclear species, 7.26 MHz/T for 75As. Similar signals (not shown) were observed for 69Ga and 71Ga.

The DNP mechanism requires an electron to be scattered between energy states of opposite spins. The hyperfine interaction between electrons and nuclei allows for a spin change in the nuclear system to preserve total angular momentum, in a process that occurs locally where the electron spin-flip is induced. As more such scattering events occur, the DNP builds up and affects the energy structure of the electron system by creating an effective hyperfine field that adds to the external magnetic field, thus changing the electron Zeeman energy. In our experiment, the ν = 2 Landau subband is completely empty and electrons can scatter into it from the ν = 1 subband when a high enough voltage is applied across the sample. The RF radiation at the NMR frequency randomizes the nuclei, eliminating the hyperfine field, and the corresponding change in Zeeman energy is observed in the conductance. At 5.2 T, where the strongest NMR signal is
observed, we observed DNP thresholds for the relaxation [Figure 3(a)] and NMR [Figure 3(b)] measurements, finding that nuclear polarization occurred for excitation voltages higher than about 40 µV. Note that these voltages are also the Hall voltages across the QW, since the 2DEG at 5.2 T has zero longitudinal resistivity. The threshold is smaller by roughly a factor of 3 from what would be expected according to the Zeeman-energy splitting, ΔE∥ = |g|µBB, about 132 µeV at 5.2 T (using the bulk GaAs Landé g factor |g| = 0.44 and the Bohr magneton µB = 58 μeV/T). Figure 3(c) shows the values of G at t = 0 s (which we will call unpolarized value) and t = 120 s (which we will call equilibrium value) from Figure 3(a). We can see that DNP, although weaker, survives to high temperatures [triangles in Fig. 3(c)] at 800 mK and presents an even lower threshold than at low temperatures. Since no edge states are separately contacted in the 2DEG, the low threshold suggests that the DNP happens in or near the QW. In quantum dots in GaAs, the g factor has been observed to be lower than the bulk. Other studies in dots in InAs have also found the g factor to be strongly dependent on dot size. In GaAs quantum wires, however, enhancements of the g factor due to exchange effects have been reported in the past. Therefore, there is no clear understanding of the dependence of the low DNP thresholds observed in our experiments. Other possibilities could be low-voltage breakdown of the quantum Hall effect or electron correlation effects from edge states in narrow channels.

All data presented in the remainder of this paper were taken at 5.2 T. In Figure 4 we see the effect of applying a DC voltage along with the AC excitation. Data in Figure 4(a) were taken by sweeping the DC voltage upwards at 2 mV/h and increasing the AC excitation voltage by 5 µV between sweeps. Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show transverse cuts of Figure 4(a) at VAC = 10 and 95 µV, respectively. The difference between the dots (unpolarized) and lines (equilibrium) gives a measure of the amount of DNP. The VDC threshold at low AC excitation is similar to the VAC threshold without DC. As VDC is raised near ΔE∥/e − VAC, the νw = 2 energy level starts being populated. As a consequence, the electron scattering rate from νw = 1 into νw = 2 decreases, whereas the electrons in νw = 2 can now travel to the opposite side of the channel and scatter into νw = 1 energy states. This results in a decrease of the observed DNP. As VDC is increased and νw = 2 filled, the DNP changes sign [Fig. 4(c)]. The effect is absent for VDC < 0, probably due to disorder, so we did observe it for both positive and negative VDC in another sample. Polarization of both signs can be seen in Figures 4(d) and 4(e), with curves I and II corresponding to 0 and 0.3 mV VDC, respectively [see Figure 4(c)].

B. Pulsed NMR

Manipulation of 75As nuclei by pulsed NMR allows coherent control of nuclear states. A RF magnetic field BRF is produced by applying an oscillating voltage VRF to the antenna overgate for a pulse of duration τR. During the pulse, the nuclear quantum state precesses around the Bloch sphere at a frequency fRabi = γBRF. Figure 5(a) shows coherent precession of 75As nuclear states, i.e. Rabi oscillations, at 50 mK for four different pulse amplitudes at a frequency fR = 37.685 MHz. This frequency is not exactly on resonance for the 75As spins and was chosen as a first rough approximation based on the NMR lines in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c). The dependence of fRabi with RF (∝BRF) can be seen in Figure 5(b). From fRabi we estimate BRF for each oscillation to be 0.98, 1.53, 1.97 and 2.75 mT.

We now show pulsed NMR data at T=1.2 K. By
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pinching-off the QW during the pulse so that the nuclei in the QW are no longer surrounded by conduction electrons during the nuclear precession we observe a Knight shift in the nuclear system.\textsuperscript{22} Figure 5(a) shows the NMR line with (lower, triangles) and without (upper, circles) electron-nuclear coupling. 132$\mu$s long pulses (equivalent to a 3$\pi$/2 rotation), with $B_{RF} = 1.53$ mT, were applied while stepping $f_{RF}$ by 0.5 kHz. The measured Knight shift was 4 kHz. If bulk were contributing to DNP, the peaks would broaden rather than simply shift. We only observe shifting, which supports the hypothesis of the DNP occurring in the QW or in its vicinity.

Quadrupolar interactions in the nuclear system due to the electric field gradient from the host lattice make various transitions at different energies possible, as depicted in Fig. 5(c) [energies indicated in the spectrum in Fig. 5(a)]. The $|\pm 3/2>$ nuclear states are shifted up by $\Delta q$, whereas the $|\pm 1/2>$ states are shifted down by the same amount. Transitions I, II and III are single-photon transitions, whereas transitions IV and V are two- and three-photon transitions, respectively (multiphoton transitions require higher intensities of $B_{RF}$ than single-photon transitions). Double and triple quantum coherence are, therefore, possible. From Fig. 5(a) we measure a quadrupolar shift of $\Delta q/h = 9$ kHz.

In Figure 6(b) we show Rabi oscillations at 1.2 K for different $f_{RF}$ and $B_{RF}$. Of the two two-photon transitions, IV and V, only V can be excited at $B_{RF} = 2.75$ mT. The Rabi frequency for this transition is much lower than those of single-photon transitions. Transition II can be seen superimposed on transition V for short $\tau_p$ in Fig. 6(b,2). For short pulses both the resonant two-photon (V) and the off-resonant single-photon (II) transitions can be excited. As the pulse length increases, the single-photon transition II is no longer excited and disappears near $\tau_p = 0.2$ ms. Figure 6(b,3) shows Rabi oscillations for $f_{RF} = 37.711$ MHz and $B_{RF} = 1.53$ mT. If we fit these data by $\Delta G \propto 1 - \cos(2\pi f_{RF} \tau_p) \exp(-\tau_p/\tau_{Rabi})$ [solid line in Fig. 6(b,3)], we find $f_{Rabi} = 11.71$ kHz and $T_{2\text{Rabi}}^* = 0.82$ ms. Note that this are the only oscillations for which the pulse frequency was centered at a single-photon transition in our measurements. Both in Figure 6(a) and in Figure 6(b,1) and 6(b,2) coherent evolution for single-photon transition seems to be shorter. The reason is that pulse bandwidth decreases with increasing pulse length and for longer pulses no single-photon transition is excited in the Rabi oscillations of Figure 6(a) and Figure 6(b,1) and 6(b,2).

At 1.2 K, our measured $T_{2\text{Rabi}}^* = 0.82$ ms is of the same order as that measured by Yusa \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} at 50 mK, with the advantage that our design does not require a back gate to tune electron density, and significantly longer than those from Takahashi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{23} and Sanada \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{10} at 1.1 and 5.5 K, respectively. In contrast to Sanada \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{10} our measurements are controlled by all-electrical means and performed over a much smaller length scale.

Two main mechanisms could be responsible for the nuclear spin decoherence in our system: heteronuclear direct dipole coupling and electron-nuclear spin coupling. However, nuclear-nuclear direct coupling has a much smaller effect on nuclear decoherence than indirect electron-nuclear coupling (As-e-Ga).\textsuperscript{23} This is probably the reason why our observed Rabi oscillations are much longer than those from Takahashi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{23} in which the nuclear system was in contact with a 2DEG. By contrast, in our QW the number of electrons inter-
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\caption{(a) Rabi oscillations of $^{75}$As at 50 mK for $f_{RF} = 37.685$ MHz and $V_{RF} = 0.16, 0.22, 0.32$ and 0.47 V; (b) $f_{Rabi}$ vs. $V_{RF}$; (c) Energy levels diagram for a 3/2 spin with quadrupolar splitting, showing single- (I, II and III), two- (IV and V) and three- (VI) photon transitions.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{(color online). (a) Frequency spectrum of $^{75}$As at $T = 1.2$ K using $312$ $\mu$s long pulses and $B_{RF} = 1.53$ mT with (triangles) and without (circles) electron-nuclear coupling, showing a 4 kHz Knight shift. Resonance frequencies for the different transitions in Fig. 5(c) are indicated; (b) Rabi oscillations corresponding to the frequencies 1, 2 and 3 in (a).}
\end{figure}
acting with each polarized nucleus is much smaller.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

By using the breakdown of the QHE in a quantum wire, we have been able to dynamically polarize nuclear spins over a nanometer-scale. We found the thresholds for the onset of the breakdown of the QHE to be much lower than expected. This suggests electron correlation effects from edge states in the channel, although a detailed physical model is lacking at present. The dynamic nuclear polarization in this system could be used to create a local Overhauser field at relatively high temperatures with the ability of controlling its direction.

In addition, we have achieved millisecond-long nuclear quantum coherence on a nanometer-scale by all-electrical means at 1.2 K. Single- and two-photon transitions were observed, and control over a four-level spin system suggests the possibility of performing two-qubit operations at temperatures around 1K. Our method could also possibly be extended to other materials that exhibit the QHE such as InSb or graphene. The sensitivity of our device, capable of detecting less than $\sim 10^8$ nuclear spins, is much higher than that of conventional NMR.$^{32}$
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