Cascaded Multicycle Terahertz-Driven Ultrafast Electron Acceleration and Manipulation
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Terahertz (THz)-based electron acceleration and manipulation has recently been shown to be feasible and to hold tremendous promise as a technology for the development of next-generation, compact electron sources. Previous work has concentrated on structures powered transversely by short, single-cycle THz pulses, with millimeter-scale, segmented interaction regions that are ideal for acceleration of electrons in the sub- to few-MeV range, where electron velocities vary significantly. However, in order to extend this technology to the multi-MeV range, an investigation of approaches supporting longer interaction lengths is needed. Here, we demonstrate first steps in electron acceleration and manipulation using dielectrically lined waveguides powered by temporally long, narrow-band, multicycle THz pulses that copropagate with the electrons. This geometry offers centimeter-scale single-stage interaction lengths and offers the opportunity to further increase interaction lengths by cascading acceleration stages that recycle the THz energy and rephase the interaction. We prove the feasibility of THz-energy recycling for the first time by demonstrating acceleration, compression, and focusing in two sequential Al2O3-based dielectric capillary stages powered by the same multicycle THz pulse. Since the multicycle THz energy achievable using laser-based sources is currently a limiting factor for the maximum electron acceleration, recycling the THz pulses provides a key factor for reaching relativistic energies with existing sources and paves the way for applications in future ultrafast electron diffraction and free-electron lasers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Femtosecond-duration relativistic electron beams are in demand for a wide range of applications from ultrafast electron diffraction [1,2] and microscopy [3] to ultrafast x-ray sources [4]. Microwaves in the radio-frequency (rf) regime (1–10 GHz) have been the conventional choice for accelerating electrons but face significant challenges in meeting the request for future acceleration and manipulation of particle beams on the femtosecond timescale. The limited acceleration fields sustainable at a low frequency also necessitate kilometer-scale facilities to reach highly relativistic beams, making these devices highly exclusive and costly. Therefore, there is a strong motivation for exploring alternative solutions which are more compact, economic, and adapted for pushing the resolution frontiers. Novel laser-based accelerator concepts provide intrinsic optical synchronization, allow scaling to smaller accelerator structures, and generate substantially stronger fields for acceleration and beam manipulation. A prime example is laser-plasma accelerators (LPAs) [5,6], which can reach field gradients of more than 10 GV/m and have produced multi-GeV electron beams with a percent-level energy spread. However, LPAs need considerable laser development to operate at high repetition rates and are hampered by instabilities and difficulties in controlling beam parameters associated with the dynamical nature of the plasma. Dielectric laser accelerators can reach GV/m accelerating fields [7,8] but, however, present significant challenges in beam control and are so far limited to low bunch charges in the single-electron range due to their submicron-scale cross sections.

Recently, there has been significant interest in the use of terahertz (THz)-based particle acceleration and beam manipulation as a new approach with the potential to overcome certain limitations of rf accelerators and other laser-based concepts. In particular, the picosecond timescale of laser-generated THz sources is expected to enable
order-of-magnitude increases in sustainable field strength [9,10] to the GV/m [11–14] range and increased precision in timing compared to rf sources while still supporting moderate charge at the picocoulomb level [15]. Proof-of-principle demonstrations with single-cycle THz pulses have resulted in multi-keV acceleration [16,17] and high-field manipulations of electron-bunch phase space [18–20] proving the feasibility of compact THz-based devices for future radiation sources as components for boosting the performance of existing accelerators as well as a promising solution to produce high-repetition, high-energy ultrafast electron bunches, which are highly desired in ultrafast electron diffraction [21,22]. However, the interaction lengths in this configuration are limited by available single-cycle pulse energies to the millimeter range. A THz-driven inverse free-electron laser (IFEL) scheme has been proposed [23,24] that provides a potential solution to solve the dispersion, dephasing, and walk-off problems for relativistic electron using single-cycle THz [24].

Copropagation designs powered by narrow-band multicycle THz radiation have the potential to improve the interaction length as well as the symmetry of the field distribution. In particular, dielectrically lined waveguides (DLWs) have attracted interest in the accelerator community for their versatility to accelerate, manipulate, and characterize [25,26] electron beams. They require a simpler fabrication process using commercial solutions with percent-level precision [27] which enable tuning of the phase and group velocity of the THz wave inside the DLW. Proof-of-principle experiments are performed by Nanni et al. [16] demonstrating the feasibility of THz-driven DLW accelerators. However, these experiments use single-cycle pulses of 10 μJ to modulate by 7 keV the energy of electrons injected at 60 keV. The interaction length is limited to 3 mm, which is due to the use of a short THz pulse and mismatch between the electrons and the THz phase front. Meanwhile, the injected long electron bunch leads to a large energy spread with not only acceleration but also deceleration at the same time. Dispersion, dephasing, and temporal walk-off are three critical effects which must be managed to achieve long interaction lengths. Dispersion results from the frequency dependence of the THz phase velocity in the waveguide and causes the pulse to temporally stretch and reduce in field strength; dephasing arises when the electrons slip from the accelerating to the decelerating phase of the field due to a difference between the electron velocity and the THz phase velocity; and “walk-off” arises when the electron bunch loses overlap with the THz pulse envelope due to a difference between the electron velocity and the THz group velocity. Dispersion can be mitigated by using THz sources of sufficiently narrow bandwidth which are now available with sub-mJ energies and subpercent bandwidths [28], due to recent developments in THz generation using periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) [29]. The use of narrow-band pulses can also lead to improved THz spatial mode quality [30], simplified beam transportation, and improved coupling efficiency into the waveguides.

In addition, limited by the breakdown threshold of dielectric [31] compared with single-cycle THz using multicycle THz allows us to store more THz energy in the waveguide, which is very important for high-energy electron acceleration. Addressing dephasing and walk-off, however, requires the development of structures and methodologies beyond the simple DLW concept. Tapering of the DLW properties is proposed as one solution for addressing phase slippage [32]. However, this approach requires high injection energies and very tight tolerances on fabrication and has so far not been demonstrated. Meanwhile, as the conversion efficiency of current laser-based THz generation is still rather low (<1%), it limits its further development as high-energy electron sources for a tabletop radiation source.

Here, we explore, for the first time, the use of narrow-band THz pulses to drive a DLW and introduce a new scheme based on cascading of DLWs, which enables both rephasing and retiming of the electrons relative to the THz pulse, demonstrating reuse of the THz energy for the first time. Relative to previous work [16], we increase the interaction length by an order of magnitude to approximately 3 cm. Using only about 20 nJ of THz pulse energy, we accelerate 53 keV electrons by approximately 1.6 keV, which represents an approximately tenfold improvement in energy transfer between the THz and the electrons, compression of the electron bunch by a factor of 10 down to approximately 150 fs (FWHM), and spatial focusing the electron beam by a factor of 3.

II. RESULTS

A. Experiment setup

In the experimental setup (Fig. 1), a 53 keV phototriggered dc gun is used as an injector for the multistage DLW-based electron accelerator and manipulator which is powered by narrow-band, multicycle THz pulses. The electron beam from the DLW device is analyzed by a segmented terahertz electron accelerator and manipulator (STEAM) device [20], which is used as a streak camera to measure the bunch length with a resolution of approximately 150 fs, and by a tunable electromagnetic dipole coupled with a microchannel plate, which is used to measure the energy with a resolution of approximately 1 keV and deflection of the beam. Ultraviolet (UV) pulses for photoemission in the dc gun, multicycle THz pulses to drive the DLW device, and single-cycle THz to drive the STEAM device are all created using a single infrared Yb:KYW laser system producing 4-mJ, 650-fs (FWHM), 1030-nm pulses at a 1 kHz repetition rate [33]. The UV pulses are generated by two successive stages of second harmonic generation, 50-ps-long (FWHM) multicycle THz
pulses are generated by intraband difference frequency generation in a 5-mm-long MgO:PPLN crystal [34] (Fig. 1, inset), and single-cycle THz pulses are generated via the tilted-pulse-front method in a LiNbO$_3$ prism [11]. The linearly polarized multicycle THz beam, which has 13 cycles and a center frequency of 0.26 THz, is then converted to a radially polarized beam via a segmented wave plate before being coupled into the DLW device collinearly to the electron motion using an off-axis-parabolic mirror and horn structure that concentrates the THz fields into the interaction zone.

The DLW design consists of a cylindrical copper waveguide of diameter 790 $\mu$m and length 30 mm with a dielectric layer of alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$, THz refractive index $n = 3.25$; see Supplemental Material, Fig. S3 [35]) with a wall thickness of 140 $\mu$m. The waveguide supports a traveling transverse-magnetic waveguide mode ($TM_{01}$ mode), the axial component of which provides the longitudinal field for acceleration and deceleration (Fig. 2). The dimensions and index of the dielectric material are chosen from the calculated map shown in Fig. 2, providing a phase velocity of approximately 0.43c at 0.26 THz. These parameters match the initial velocity of the electrons and optimize the device for electron acceleration and manipulation functions. Alumina is also desirable as a dielectric material because of its transparency and high damage threshold. The dimensions and index of the DLW result in a group velocity of the THz pulse that is approximately 0.25c, which is significantly slower than the electron velocity and leads to a strong walk-off effect. The diameter of the vacuum core of the DLW is 510 $\mu$m, which represents the clear aperture for the electron propagation.

Conical horns are used to couple THz energy into and out of the waveguides. In the acceleration mode, 3.5 mJ of the Yb:KYW laser pulse energy is used for multicycle THz generation, resulting in approximately 20 nJ THz energy injected into the DLW via the horn coupler. For compression and focusing, 0.5-mJ laser pulses are used for multicycle THz generation, and the rest (3 mJ) is used for single-cycle THz generation. The 6 $\mu$J single-cycle pulses centered at 300 GHz are injected into the STEAM streaker [20], which has a time resolution of approximately 150 fs.

### B. Operation

The function of the device is selected by tuning the relative delay between the THz pulse and the electron bunch which determines the phase of the THz waveform that the electron bunch experiences. There are four key phase points within the waveform corresponding to distinct behaviors: the positive and negative crests of the waves, where the field gradient is minimized, and the positive and negative “zero crossings” of the field, where the field gradient is maximized. The positive and negative crests correspond to deceleration and acceleration of the electron bunch, respectively, but leave the bunch spatial and temporal dimensions unchanged. At the zero crossings, by contrast, the average energy and transverse position of the bunch are unchanged, but the bunch experiences a combination of spatial and temporal reshaping. At the positive zero crossing, where the field gradient is positive, the bunch becomes stretched in time but focused in space, while at the negative zero crossing, the bunch is temporally compressed but expands in space. As described by the Panofsky-Wenzel theorem [37], the longitudinal gradient of the transverse force is proportional to the transverse gradient of the longitudinal force.
To optimize the energy gain of a single DLW stage, the interaction length in the accelerating phase must be maximized, and the decelerating phase must be avoided. The interaction length can be maximized by initially setting the phase velocity to be larger than the injected electron speed by an amount just enough that the electrons can gain energy and start to overtake the phase velocity of the THz wave. To avoid the decelerating phase, two methods can be used. The first method relies on coupling the THz wave out of the DLW before electrons slip into the decelerating half-cycle. Another way is to take advantage of the walk-off between the THz pulse and the electron bunch by designing the THz to be short enough that electrons enter the decelerating half-cycle only after they have overtaken the envelope of the THz field. The second method ensures much cleaner acceleration, because the electrons do not interact with the less homogenous fields in the coupler region.

For compression and focusing, the speed of the electron bunch should be matched to the phase velocity of the THz pulse inside the waveguide, since neither changes during the interaction. The interaction length is then limited only by the THz pulse duration and the rate of walk-off. In order to minimize unwanted effects at the entrance and exit boundaries, it is desirable that the complete interaction occur inside the DLW. As long as the THz group velocity is less than the electron velocity, a clean THz-electron interaction can be achieved by choosing a waveguide of sufficient length so that the electrons have overtaken the THz pulse before exiting.

Compression of the electron bunch is based on “velocity bunching” [38]. In this technique, the electron bunches are placed at the negative zero crossing so that the tail experiences acceleration and the head experiences deceleration, but the bunch sees no average energy gain. The imparted momentum gradient then leads to compression of the bunch during propagation. For stretching, the bunch is placed at the positive zero crossing and experiences the opposite gradient.

The focusing and defocusing of the bunch which also occur at the zero crossings are due to the transverse electric fields. These fields are partially canceled by the transverse-deflection force from the magnetic field of the traveling THz.

In a circular waveguide, the net transverse force on an electron which is moving with velocity $v_x$ parallel to the $z$ component of the electric field [39] can be derived as (see Supplemental Material [35] for detailed information):
where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( v_e \) is the electron velocity, \( v_{ph} \) is the phase velocity of the electric field, \( q \) is the charge of the electron, and \( F_e \) and \( F_m \) are the forces of the electric and magnetic components, respectively. As can be seen from the above equation, for the relativistic electrons whose velocity is close to \( c \), the net transverse field tends to vanish. However, for nonrelativistic electrons or when \( v_{ph} \) or \( v_e \) is less than \( c \), there would be a net deflecting force acting on the electrons.

C. Single-DLW results

Figure 3 shows the acceleration results from a single DLW. As the delay between the electrons and the THz is varied, a cross-correlation between the THz and electron can be seen [Fig. 3(e)]. A maximum energy shift of around 1 keV is observed using approximately 20 nJ multicycle THz pulse energy [Fig. 3(a)]. Because of the low THz pulse energy, the energy change is quite small. However, the energy gain per unit of THz pulse energy is over 10 times higher than in the previous results, demonstrating the benefit of increased interaction lengths in the copropagating geometry. We achieve a THz-electron interaction distance of almost 15 mm, which is around 5 times longer than that previously reported using single-cycle THz pulses [16,20]. Compression of the bunch is shown in Fig. 3(b). At maximum compression, the electron bunch duration is reduced by a factor of 8 to a full width at half maximum of 180 fs (FWHM), which is in agreement with the simulation performed with the particle-tracking program ASTRA [40], where around 1 fC charge is used with a field gradient of 0.04 MV/m. The FWHM plus duration along the propagation direction is also shown in Fig. 4(e), black curve. A clear difference between compressed and uncompressed beams can be seen by the streaking deflectograms generated by plotting a lineout of the spatial charge distribution along the streaking dimension as a function of the delay relative to the THz field [Fig. 3(c)]. Focusing of the electron beam is shown in Fig. 3(d). At maximum focusing, the beam radius is reduced by a factor of 2.5, and the peak intensity increased by approximately 6 times. The quality of the focused beam is similar to that produced by the conventional electromagnetic lens in front of the DLW.

D. Cascaded DLW results

In the single-DLW experiments, limited by the available THz energy, the initial velocity of the electrons is designed to match the phase velocity of the THz, and the absolute average energy gain is sufficiently small, even for the acceleration experiments, that phase slippage is not the limiting factor. The limiting factor for the interaction length, in fact, is walk-off due to the large difference
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FIG. 3. DLW-based electron manipulation. (a) Measured electron energy spectra for the input beam (black curve) and accelerated beam (blue curve) in the acceleration mode. (b) Measured (hollow square) and simulated (red line) electron bunch length as a function of the applied THz field in compression mode. The simulation is performed with ASTRA with around 1 fC charge injected into the DLW. (c) Time-dependent deflection diagrams measured by varying the delay between the arrival time of the electron bunch and the deflecting THz pulse for initially uncompressed and compressed electron bunches. (d) Electron beam diameter as a function of the THz field strength in focusing condition. (e) Electron peak intensity change as a function of the THz-electron delay.
between the electron and pulse-envelope velocities. However, because the relative speed of the THz pulse and the electron bunch reverses outside the DLW, it is possible to recycle the THz pulses for a second interaction. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a), inside DLW I, the injected electrons travel faster than the envelope of the THz pulse and, therefore, overtake it. A horn coupler and a THz lens with a hole in the center are then used to couple THz into free space and collimate it while allowing the electrons to pass through. In a vacuum, the THz pulse catch up and overtake the electron bunch again before both enter DLW II for a second interaction, i.e., acceleration stage. (b) Measured electron energy spectra for the input beam (black curve), single-stage accelerated beam (blue curve), and cascaded electron acceleration (red curve). (c) Measured pulse duration via the single-stage compression (blue curve) and two-stage compression (red curve). (d) Top: Simulation of the experimental condition with two-stage cascaded acceleration that couples 20 nJ THz pulses with 0.11 MV/m longitudinal electric fields into the DLW I. The THz coupling efficiency from the first to second stage is approximately 10%. Bottom: Simulation of two-stage cascaded acceleration with approximately 100 MV/m field gradients inside the DLW I and approximately 90% THz coupling from DLW I to DLW II. (e) Simulation of the electron pulse duration (FWHM) during propagation with a single DLW stage and two DLW stages. The coupling of THz into the second stage is assumed to be approximately 10%. The simulation is performed with ASTRA with around 1 fC charge injected into the DLW corresponding to the experimental conditions.

FIG. 4. Cascaded manipulation. (a) Schematic of the cascaded acceleration. Because of the low group velocity of the accelerating THz field inside the DLW, after THz-electron interaction, the electrons overtake the THz wave at the exit of DLW I. In a vacuum, the THz pulse catch up and overtake the electron bunch again before both enter DLW II for a second interaction, i.e., acceleration stage. (b) Measured electron energy spectra for the input beam (black curve), single-stage accelerated beam (blue curve), and cascaded electron acceleration (red curve). (c) Measured pulse duration via the single-stage compression (blue curve) and two-stage compression (red curve). (d) Top: Simulation of the experimental condition with two-stage cascaded acceleration that couples 20 nJ THz pulses with 0.11 MV/m longitudinal electric fields into the DLW I. The THz coupling efficiency from the first to second stage is approximately 10%. Bottom: Simulation of two-stage cascaded acceleration with approximately 100 MV/m field gradients inside the DLW I and approximately 90% THz coupling from DLW I to DLW II. (e) Simulation of the electron pulse duration (FWHM) during propagation with a single DLW stage and two DLW stages. The coupling of THz into the second stage is assumed to be approximately 10%. The simulation is performed with ASTRA with around 1 fC charge injected into the DLW corresponding to the experimental conditions.
required one cycle in position of DLW II is \( \nu_c = \frac{\lambda}{c(1-\nu_c)} = 0.856 \text{ mm} \), which is less than the THz wavelength \( (\lambda = 1.153 \text{ mm at 260 GHz}) \).

The results of the cascaded acceleration and compression experiments are shown in Fig. 4. By recycling the THz from DLW I, the electrons are further accelerated to 54.6 keV, nearly doubling the energy gain, which also agrees very well with the simulation [Fig. 4(d), top]. When electrons are accelerated using a fast-varying THz field, the bunch length needs to be much shorter than the duration of a THz half-cycle. This requirement is related to the variation of the electric field experienced by different electrons within the bunch arriving at different times. In general, a larger field gradient and longer bunch length lead to larger energy spreads [41]. For DLW I, the injected bunch length is approximately 300 fs (FWHM). For DLW II, a slight velocity bunching is performed in DLW I to enable a short electron bunch when injected into DLW II. Because of the small energy gain and limited energy resolution of the dipole spectrometer, no obvious energy spread is observed. However, the injected pulse duration can be especially important for high-energy acceleration, where a much shorter bunch length is desired to minimize the energy spread [41], which can be achieved with the same DLW in compression mode. Similarly, the cascaded compression results in a reduction of the bunch duration down to 150 fs (FWHM), which is the limit of the streaker’s time resolution. As can be seen from the simulations in Fig. 4(e), the actual bunch length is most likely shorter than is measured. This electron source can be already used for modern ultrafast electron diffraction experiments, where a short electron bunch with a high repetition rate is highly desired. If the longitudinal focus is too long, a single DLW I cannot compress the beam tightly (Fig. S4 [35]). Two DLWs provides high flexibility to control the beam parameter; for example, one can first increase the bunch length slightly with DLW I and then compress it to reach a shorter pulse duration at the target with DLW II. Because of the recycling of the THz, the required energy for compression is reduced by around 10%. By contrast, the observed improvement in focusing is not very large and is likely limited by the transverse emittance of the injected beam and long distance to the detector. Based on the acceleration results and the electron energy gain derived from simulations [Fig. 4(d), top], the THz coupling from the first to the second stage is estimated around 10%. This coupling efficiency can be greatly improved by optimizing the THz telescope and horn coupler design, for example, adding coating on the lenses, using corrugation and curvature on the horn, and implementing a coupler to match the impedance. Even in the case when coupling efficiency is low, the low-energy THz in the second stage can also be used for other functions such as compression and focusing where a rather low field gradient is required.

We also simulate cascaded THz acceleration with around 100 MV/m longitudinal electric fields, which is well below the field breakdown thresholds in dielectric structures [31]. 55 keV electrons can be accelerated to around 300 keV in the first stage and beyond 1 MeV in the second stage, with phase-velocity settings of 64% and 89% of the vacuum speed of light at 300 GHz for DLW I and DLW II, respectively. Sub-mJ THz energy is required, which can be achieved with state-of-the-art THz technology [28]. With the increase of phase velocity, the THz energy is more concentrated in the center of the DLW. In the meantime, a smaller dimension can be used. Both result in a higher field gradient inside the DLW (see Supplemental Material [35] for detailed information). The dimension of the DLW should be optimized to provide a suitable phase and group velocity, which depends on the initial electron energy and THz field gradient inside the DLW. Because of the quick phase slippage under high-field-gradient conditions, DLW I must be kept very short or the timing between electron and THz pulse must be controlled in order to limit the interaction length and prevent dephasing. As can be seen from Fig. 4(d), the interaction length of an acceleration with 100 MV/m THz fields is much shorter than with a lower field gradient. Higher fields lead to shorter interaction lengths, since the electron speed changes much faster. As the electron beam reaches relativistic speeds, it requires more drift time in free space for the THz pulse to overtake the electron bunch and, thus, a longer separation between DLWs I and II and longer travel distances for DLW II to switch between different operation modes. For example, it takes approximately 1690 mm for a 100-mm-long THz pulse to overtake a 1 MeV (94%\(c\)) electron beam, and the relative cycle in DLW II is approximately 16 fs. As the electron beam accelerates over successive stages, the interaction length must also increase, as can be seen in DLW II [Fig. 4(d), bottom].

### III. SUMMARY

We demonstrate acceleration, temporal compression, and spatial focusing of fC charge electron bunches by using a cascaded DLW device powered with multicycle THz pulses. DLWs provide homogeneous spatial field distributions and are easy to implement. Taking advantage of the large group velocity difference, we are able to achieve the manipulation of electrons over centimeter-scale interaction lengths, which can be increased to even longer interaction distances by further recycling of the THz pulses via the cascaded manipulation scheme and, hence, lower THz energy required for the desired energy gain. This result is especially important, as the efficiency of current laser-based technologies for THz generation is still rather low (<1%), which requires joule-level laser systems for MeV-level electron acceleration. This cascading scheme will greatly lower the demand on the required laser system for electron acceleration in the nonrelativistic regime such as in
the development of THz injector guns and ultrafast electron diffraction sources.

Currently, development of laser-based narrow-band THz generation is very intense and has resulted in the demonstration of 0.6 mJ, subpercent-bandwidth THz pulses [28] which are ideal for copropagating THz-based acceleration. Numerical calculations predict that, with our scheme, sub-mJ THz energy is needed to reach the MeV threshold, which would represent a milestone achievement in THz acceleration. Schemes for reaching multi-mJ energies have also been described, are numerically analyzed, and are in the process of being tested [42,43]. It is therefore timely and pertinent to develop appropriate techniques for exploiting these upcoming powerful THz sources that can power multi-MeV electron sources that will truly be disruptive.

Multiple functions can be implemented using a single multicycle THz pulse by proper phasing of each stage. The physics insight provided by the cascaded manipulation scheme paves the way for further technology of practical implementation in applications from high-energy physics to ultrafast electron diffraction and tabletop x-ray sources.
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