Complexed crystal structure of replication restart primosome protein PriB reveals a novel single-stranded DNA-binding mode
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ABSTRACT

PriB is a primosomal protein required for replication restart in Escherichia coli. PriB stimulates PriA helicase activity via interaction with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), but the molecular details of this interaction remain unclear. Here, we report the crystal structure of PriB complexed with a 15 bases oligonucleotide (dT15) at 2.7 Å resolution. PriB shares structural similarity with the E.coli ssDNA-binding protein (EcoSSB). However, the structure of the PriB–dT15 complex reveals that PriB binds ssDNA differently. Results from filter-binding assays show that PriB–ssDNA interaction is salt-sensitive and cooperative. Mutational analysis suggests that the loop L45 plays an important role in ssDNA binding. Based on the crystal structure and biochemical analyses, we propose a cooperative mechanism for the binding of PriB to ssDNA and a model for the assembly of the PriA–PriB–ssDNA complex. This report presents the first structure of a replication restart primosomal protein complexed with DNA, and a novel model that explains the interactions between a dimeric oligonucleotide-binding-fold protein and ssDNA.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to restart replication after encountering DNA damage is essential for bacterial survival (1,2). The φX-type primosome, or ‘replication restart’ primosome (3–5), is a protein–DNA complex that re-activates stalled DNA replication at forks after DNA damage (6). PriB is one of the Escherichia coli primosomal proteins. Together with PriA, PriC, DnaT, DnaB, DnaC and DnaG, PriB is required for the assembly of the φX-type primosome (7). Although the sequence of assembly during the φX-type primosome formation (PriB is the second to assemble) has been well studied (3,7), the role plays by PriB is poorly understood at the molecular level. PriB can bind both ssDNA and ssRNA (8–10). It also stabilizes the binding of PriA to DNA hairpins and thereby facilitates the association of DnaT with the primosome (7). In addition, a recent study suggests that upon forming the PriA–PriB–ssDNA complex, PriB induces a conformational alteration in PriA resulting in stimulated PriA helicase activity (11).

PriB exists as a homodimer (8–10), and each polypeptide has 104 residues. The PriB monomer has an oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide-binding (OB)-fold structure with three flexible β-hairpin loops: L12 (residues 20–24), L23 (residues 37–44) and L45 (residues 81–88). It shares structural similarity with the DNA-binding domain of EcoSSB (1,2,12). The structural resemblance suggests PriB may bind ssDNA in a manner similar to EcoSSB. However, several lines of evidence indicate that they have different ssDNA-binding modes. First, the amino acid sequences of PriB and EcoSSB share only 11% identity and 27% similarity (Figure 1A). Second, EcoSSB exists as homotetramer (13), while PriB is a homodimer. Third, in vitro assays have shown that EcoSSB inhibits whereas PriB stimulates PriA helicase activity (11).

In order to perceive a mechanistic model of φX-type primosome assembly, it is important to elucidate the structure of the PriB–ssDNA complex and understand the ssDNA-binding properties of PriB. In this study, we present the crystal structure of PriB complexed with a 15mer oligodeoxythymidylate (dT15) at 2.7 Å resolution. This structural model is compared with that of the EcoSSB–ssDNA complex (13). We also conducted ssDNA-binding assays with wild-type and PriB mutants to investigate the nature of the PriB–ssDNA interaction.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

The encoding region of wild-type and PriB mutants were put on pET-21b expression vectors and expressed with a His<sub>6</sub> affinity tag at the C-terminal of the recombinant proteins. Details of the construction and protein purification have been described previously (8). The PriB mutants were generated according to the Stratagene QuickChange mutagenesis protocol (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) using the pET21b-PriB plasmid as template (8). Based on the secondary structure measurements determined by circular dichroism spectroscopy, the mutated proteins appeared to be correctly folded. These mutants have identical chromatographic behavior as that of the wild-type PriB on a size-exclusion column (data not shown). Therefore, amino acids substituted on these mutants do not affect PriB-dimer formation under the chromatographic conditions we used.

Nucleic acids

Various lengths of ssDNA oligonucleotides were custom synthesized by MdBio, Inc. (Frederick, MD). The nucleic acid homopolymers were 5’ end labeled with T4 poly-nucleotide kinase (Promega, Madison, WI) and [γ-<sup>32</sup>P]ATP (6000 Ci/mmol; PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

Filter-binding assay

The affinity of PriB to ssDNA was examined by a double-filter-binding assay (14,15). Briefly, ssDNA–PriB complexes were generated by incubating 1 nM of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled oligonucleotide with various concentrations of PriB (10<sup>−5</sup> to 10<sup>−9</sup> M) for 30 min at 25°C in a binding buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, and 40 μg/ml BSA. The reaction mixture, in a total volume of 50 μl, was filtered through a nitrocellulose membrane overlaid on a Hybond N<sup>+</sup> nylon membrane (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The membranes have been pre-soaked for 10 min in a washing buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, and 10 mM NaCl, before being framed into a dot-blotting apparatus. The slots were washed immediately with 100 μl of washing buffer before and after the sample filtering step. The radioactivity on both filters was quantified with a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics), and the fraction of bound ssDNA was estimated. Apparent dissociation constants were determined by plotting the fraction of ssDNA bound at each protein concentration and then fitting the data to the following equation: 

\[ q = \frac{[P]}{[P] + K_d} \]

where \( q \) is the fraction of ssDNA bound, \([P]\) is the concentration of total protein, and \( K_d \) is the apparent dissociation constant. Cooperative binding to ssDNA sites was assessed by plotting the fraction of ssDNA bound over a range of protein concentrations, and the binding data were analyzed by fitting the data to the following equation:

\[ \log\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right) = h \log[P] - h \log K_d, \]

where \( h \) is the Hill coefficient (16).

Mobility shift assays with agarose gel electrophoresis

The affinity of PriB protein for φX ssDNA was examined with a published method used for the analysis of the SSB–φX

Figure 1. Structure of the PriB–dT15 complex. (A) Sequence alignment of PriB and EcoSSB. Identical residues between PriB and EcoSSB are indicated in yellow, and the conserved lysine residues (Lys82 in PriB and Lys87 in EcoSSB) involved in ssDNA binding are indicated in cyan. The secondary structural elements of PriB are shown below the sequences. (B) Periodic interactions between the PriB dimers and dT15 oligonucleotides in the complex crystal. An asymmetric unit contains a PriB dimer and one dT15. The dT15 (magenta trace) is sandwiched by monomer A (green ribbon) and monomer B’ (yellow ribbon) from the symmetrically related dimer. For clarity, the remaining symmetrical molecules are shown in gray. (C) A stereo view of a PriB dimer interacting with two dT15 oligonucleotides. The two oligonucleotides (magenta and cyan stick models) are related by crystallographic 2<sub>1</sub> symmetry. (D) Structural overlay of PriB dimers in apo (blue) and dT15-bound yellow forms. The two structures are shown as Cα traces, and the L<sub>45</sub> loops are labeled.
ssDNA complex (17). Briefly, PriB proteins in various concentrations as specified in the figure legends were incubated in 40 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 80 mM NaCl and 100 nM of circular φX ssDNA (Biolab) at 25°C for 30 min. Aliquots (5 μl) were removed from each reaction solution and mixed with 1 μl of loading dye (0.25% bromophenol blue and 40% sucrose). The samples were then analyzed by electrophoresis on 0.8, 1, 2 and 3% agarose gels using a Tris–borate–EDTA buffer (45 mM Tris–borate and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.5). Bands corresponding to unbound φX ssDNA and PriB–φX ssDNA complexes were visualized by ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml) staining.

Crystallization and data collection

Before crystallization, PriB was concentrated to 6 mg/ml in 20 mM sodium citrate and 50 mM NaCl (pH 5.0), and ssDNA was added to a molar ratio of 1:2.5 (PriB:dimer:ssDNA). The samples were then incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Crystals of PriB–dT15 and PriB–dT30 were grown by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method at 20°C. Both complex crystals grew within 1 week after mixing 1 μl of the protein–ssDNA complex solution with 1 μl of reservoir solution containing 25% (w/v) PEG 3350, 50 mM Bis–Tris, pH 6.5. Both PriB–dT15 and PriB–dT30 crystals grew as clusters of thin plates with dimensions of ~0.3 mm × 0.1 mm × 0.01 mm. Parafilm oil was used as a cryoprotectant before the crystals were flash frozen. Each dataset was collected on a Rigaku R-AXIS IV+ image-plate detector (Rigaku, MSC) using a synchrotron radiation X-ray source at Beamline 17B2 of the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center in Taiwan. Data integration and scaling were performed using the HKL package (18).

Structure determination and refinement

The structure of PriB bound to dT15 was solved by the molecular replacement software AMoRe (19) using DNA-unbound PriB [Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession no. 1V1Q] with its flexible L45 and L12 loops trimmed off. The unbound PriB [Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession no. 1V1P] was used as a search model in the molecular replacement software AMoRe (19) using DNA–protein complexes. The structure of PriB bound to dT15 was solved by the structure determination and refinement

Electron microscopy

Electron microscopy was used to examine the PriB–ssDNA complexes. Complexes of PriB molecules (46 μl; 600 μg/ml) and intact circular φX ssDNA (4 μl; 20 μg/ml) were formed by mixing the solutions. They were then diluted directly into 0.01 M ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) and incubated for 20 min at 25°C. The complexes were adsorbed to a carbon film that had been made hydrophilic by exposure to a high-voltage glow discharge. The adsorbed complexes were exposed to 1% (w/v) aqueous uranyl acetate, dried, and then imaged with a goniometer stage in a Zeiss EM10CA electron microscope. Images on films were scanned with a Nikon LS4500 film scanner.

RESULTS

Overall structure of the PriB dimer in complex with dT15

To investigate the molecular details of the interaction between PriB and ssDNA, crystals of the PriB–dT15 and the PriB–dT30 complexes were subjected to X-ray diffraction studies. Both crystals belong to space group P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1} with similar cell dimensions (Table 1); the PriB–dT15 and PriB–dT30 complexes diffracted to 2.7 and 4.5 Å resolution, respectively. Owing to the resolution limit and data quality, we focused on the PriB–dT15 complex structure in this study. The majority of the electron density for PriB and dT15 was of good quality, but a discontinuity was observed for T9 to T11 of dT15, suggesting that this region is dynamic.

| Dataset | PriB–dT15 | PriB–dT30 |
|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Number of atoms | 1763 | 297 |
| Protein | 297 | 119 |
| Nucleic acid | 36.66 | 57.89 |
| Water | 36.66 | 57.89 |
| Root mean square deviations | 36.66 | 57.89 |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Bond angles (°) | 0.012 | 0.012 |

*Numbers in parentheses are for data with a high-resolution cutoff at 2.7 Å.
Each asymmetric unit contains one PriB dimer and one dT15 oligonucleotide. Although PriB dimers made few contacts with each other, through their interaction with dT15 they packed as a thread with crystallographic 2₁ symmetry along the b-axis (Figure 1B). Owing to periodic interactions between PriB dimers and dT15 oligonucleotides every oligonucleotide is sandwiched by monomer A from one dimer and the adjacent monomer B’ from a symmetrically related dimer. Consequently, every PriB dimer contacts two symmetrically related dT15 oligonucleotides (Figure 1C). In the complex, two ssDNA-binding surfaces from two adjacent PriB dimers confine the DNA-binding path, and the bound dT15 adopts an Ω-shaped conformation.

**PriB–dT15 interactions**

The occupancy of bound dT15 in the crystal is 0.7. This feature has also been found in the crystal of EcoSSB tetramers complexed with two oligodeoxycytidylates of 35 bases long (dC35) (13). Single-stranded DNA-binding proteins (SSBs) act as sequence-independent ssDNA chaperones. Hence, it has been suggested that SSBs do not limit the conformation of the bound ssDNA to the extent that observed for other known DNA-binding proteins (24), so that the largely unstructured ssDNA can slide freely through the ssDNA-binding domain of SSB (25). Consequently, this high DNA mobility causes the bound DNAs to be either disordered (24) or have a low occupancy (13). Recently a genomic study indicates that PriB evolved from EcoSSB (24) or have a low occupancy (13). Recently a genomic study indicates that PriB evolved from EcoSSB via gene duplication with subsequent rapid sequence divergence (26).

The structure of the PriB dimer in the ssDNA-bound state (Figure 1D) of the protein. In the apo form (8–10), the L45 loop in particular, play a major role in ssDNA interaction (Figure 2). Although lacking aromatic residues like Trp88 in EcoSSB (13), the L45 loop of PriB uses Lys82, Lys84 and Lys89 to make contacts with the ssDNA. These interactions were not observed in the EcoSSB–dC35 complex. By cooperating with Arg13 and Lys18 on the opposite side of molecules A and B’ (Figures 1A and 2), Lys82, Lys84 and Lys89 stabilize nucleotides T5 to T12 by making electrostatic interactions with the sugar-phosphate backbone (Figure 2C).

**The ssDNA-binding properties of PriB**

The ssDNA-binding ability of PriB was estimated with filter-binding assay utilizing dA and dT oligonucleotides of various
lengths. Since dT homopolymers of 20 bases or longer give high background noises upon binding to nitrocellulose filters, they were excluded from the assays. The titration curves of PriB with dA and dT homopolymers (Supplementary Figure S1) show that the affinity of PriB towards the oligonucleotides increased with length. Binding of PriB to dA5 or dT5 was negligible. Excluding dA5 or dT5, >90% of the homopolymers bound to PriB, and the estimated respective apparent $K_d$ values are presented in Table 2. The binding affinity of PriB for ssDNA increased dramatically within a narrow range of protein concentration, indicating that the formation of PriB–ssDNA complexes is a positive cooperative process (Supplementary Figure S1). The Hill coefficients ($h$) for PriB–ssDNA binding were determined (Table 2). The $h$ values for dT15, dT20, dA15, dA20 and dA25 are 1.5, suggesting cooperative binding of PriB to these homopolymers. Furthermore, a cooperativity transition occurs between dA25 and dA30, where the $h$ values are >2.5. The results indicate a highly cooperative binding of PriB to homopolymers of 30 bases or longer (Table 2). The cooperative binding of PriB to ssDNA has important implications for the nature of the protein–protein interactions within the complex and the position of the ssDNA-binding sites on PriB.

The ssDNA-binding surface of PriB is highly electropositive and interacts directly with both the bases and the phosphate backbone of the ssDNA. To investigate whether these electrostatic interactions play an important role in ssDNA binding, we examined the binding of ssDNA to PriB at varying salt concentrations. The binding affinity of PriB for dT15 or dA30 is salt dependent (Table 3 and Supplementary Figure S2). At 200 mM NaCl, the binding affinities of PriB for dT15 or dA30 are ~13- and 25-fold lower than that measured in the absence of salt, respectively. Furthermore, <50% of dA30 was bound by PriB, even at micromolar concentrations of PriB (Supplementary Figure S2). These results indicate that PriB binds to ssDNA mainly through electrostatic interactions.

### Table 2. ssDNA-binding parameters of PriB

| ssDNA | Apparent $K_d$ (nM) | $h$  |
|-------|---------------------|------|
| dT10  | 740 ± 70            | 1.2 ± 0.1 |
| dT15  | 100 ± 20            | 1.5 ± 0.1 |
| dT20  | 30 ± 10             | 1.6 ± 0.1 |
| dA10  | 1280 ± 100          | 1.2 ± 0.1 |
| dA15  | 490 ± 40            | 1.3 ± 0.1 |
| dA20  | 290 ± 30            | 1.6 ± 0.1 |
| dA25  | 210 ± 20            | 1.4 ± 0.2 |
| dA30  | 120 ± 20            | 2.6 ± 0.2 |
| dA35  | 110 ± 20            | 3.0 ± 0.6 |
| dA40  | 70 ± 20             | 2.8 ± 0.5 |
| dA45  | 70 ± 20             | 2.7 ± 0.4 |
| dA50  | 40 ± 10             | 2.6 ± 0.4 |
| dA55  | 40 ± 10             | 2.8 ± 0.1 |
| dA60  | 40 ± 10             | 2.8 ± 0.2 |
| dA65  | 40 ± 10             | 2.9 ± 0.3 |

The errors are standard deviations determined using 2–4 independent titration experiments.
Substitution at Arg13 or Lys18 had a slight effect on ssDNA binding compared to that of wild-type PriB. Interestingly, substitutions of residues in the L45 loop have a greater effect on ssDNA binding. The K82A, K84A and K89A mutants have $K_d$ values that are 4- to 6-fold ($C24\text{400–600}\text{ nM}$) higher than that of the wild-type PriB (Table 4). Deletion of K82 or K89 (dK82 and dK89 mutants) also decreases the ssDNA-binding ability. Single mutation of positively charged residues may not sufficiently abolish the ssDNA-binding activity. A triple mutant, K82A/K84A/K89A was generated and the binding ability of this triple mutant to dT15 or dA30 impaired dramatically. The $K_d$ for dT15 and dA30 are 5500 and 7800 nM, respectively, which are 55- to 65-fold higher than that of the wild-type PriB. These data indicate that the highly electropositive region of PriB, especially within the L45 loop (which includes Lys82, Lys84 and Lys89), plays a crucial role in ssDNA binding.

Previous investigations of EcoSSB demonstrated that aromatic stacking plays an important role in ssDNA binding (13,27). Based on our structural data, only Trp47 of PriB is involved in ssDNA binding. To further test the relative contributions of aromatic and basic amino acids to ssDNA binding, Trp47 was point mutated. The ssDNA-binding ability of the W47A mutant is only 2.6-fold less than that of the wild-type PriB. This finding is consistent with the results of a recent report on the binding ability of the W47A mutant (as assayed using fluorescence anisotropy) (9). Hence, the aromatic residue in PriB, Trp47, appears to be involved in but not critical for ssDNA binding. Despite the presence of an EcoSSB-like fold in PriB, PriB appears to bind ssDNA in a different manner from EcoSSB.

### Binding of PriB protein to circular φX ssDNA

In the PriB–dT15 complex, the PriB dimers form a long chain along the ssDNA (Figure 3A and C). This arrangement is consistent with the morphology observed in negatively stained electron micrographs of PriB–circular φX ssDNA complex (Figure 3B). These structural images thus reveal a novel ssDNA-binding mode that may explain how a dimeric OB-fold protein binds to ssDNA.

To examine whether the crystal structure of PriB–dT15 and the results from functional analysis of the protein with synthetic oligonucleotides are relevant to natural events, the binding of PriB protein to circular φX ssDNA (5386 nt) was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. By increasing the amount of PriB added, a gradual decrease in the mobility of the φX ssDNA (Figure 4, lanes 1–5) can be detected. The observation probably reflects the additional copies of PriB.

### Table 3. Salt effect on dA30 or dT15 binding affinities of PriB

| [NaCl] (mM) | dT15 $K_{d,app}$ (nM) | dA30 $K_{d,app}$ (nM) |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 0          | 100 ± 20               | 120 ± 20               |
| 50         | 170 ± 30               | 160 ± 30               |
| 100        | 300 ± 30               | 330 ± 30               |
| 150        | 560 ± 60               | 560 ± 60               |
| 200        | 1300 ± 200             | 3000 ± 500             |

The errors are standard deviations determined using 2–4 independent titration experiments.

### Table 4. ssDNA dissociation constants for PriB variants binding to dA30 or dT15

| PriB variant | dT15 $K_{d,app}$ (nM) | dA30 $K_{d,app}$ (nM) |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Wild-type    | 100 ± 20               | 120 ± 20               |
| W47A         | 300 ± 30               | 310 ± 30               |
| R13A         | 270 ± 30               | 200 ± 30               |
| K18A         | 210 ± 30               | 210 ± 30               |
| K82A         | 500 ± 50               | 450 ± 40               |
| K84A         | 400 ± 40               | 420 ± 40               |
| K89A         | 410 ± 40               | 400 ± 40               |
| dK82         | 610 ± 60               | 550 ± 50               |
| dK89         | 460 ± 50               | 520 ± 50               |
| K82A/K84A/K89A | 5500 ± 1000           | 7800 ± 1000           |

The errors are standard deviations determined using 2–4 independent titration experiments.

Figure 3. Topology of binding among PriB dimers and ssDNA. (A) Stereo diagram of crystal packing of PriB–dT15 complexes. The 2Fe–F, electron density map contoured at 0.8σ shows the bound ssDNA (dT15) in blue. The PriB monomers are shown as green and yellow ribbons. (B) Enlarged electron micrograph of intact φX ssDNA covered by PriB dimers. The arrangement of these PriB–φX ssDNA complexes presented in the electron micrograph image is similar to the PriB–dT15 complexes shown in the crystal diagram in (C).
bound on to the φX ssDNA and slowed down the mobility of the multicomponent complex.

Residues on PriB that are crucial to dT15 and dA30 binding are also important for φX ssDNA binding. R13A, K18A or W47A mutants (Figure 4, lanes 6–8) show a minor effect while the K82A mutant has a greater effect on φX ssDNA binding (Figure 4, lane 9). The mobility of the triple mutant (K82A/K84A/K89A) is nearly identical to that of the control, indicating that this protein binds φX ssDNA poorly even at 1000 P/N ratios (Figure 4, lane 10).

**DISCUSSION**

A novel ssDNA-binding mode is revealed by the structure of the PriB–ssDNA complex

Despite the fact that both PriB and EcoSSB have a classical OB-fold ssDNA-binding surface (28,29), they bind DNA differentially and yield structurally distinct DNA-bound complexes. PriB dimers bind dT15 with the highly electrostatic positive L45 loop surface and create a long chain of protein surrounding a DNA strand (Figure 5, left panel). In contrast, a 35 nt homopolymer wraps around the EcoSSB tetramer in the EcoSSB–ssDNA complex (Figure 5, middle panel). Differences in oligomeric structure offer one apparent explanation for this observation. Moreover, the L23 and L45 loops of EcoSSB are longer than those of PriB. The L23 loop of EcoSSB has three aromatic residues (Trp40, Trp54 and Phe60) that are strongly involved in ssDNA binding and guide the ssDNA to wrap around the tetramer through base stacking interactions (13). The L23 loop of PriB also has three aromatic residues, but only Trp47 is involved in ssDNA binding. This interaction involving a single amino acid probably is insufficient to guide the ssDNA to traverse the L23 loop of PriB in a manner similar to that observed for EcoSSB. The much shorter L23 and L45 loops also preclude the possibility that PriB binds ssDNA by wrapping the DNA around a tetrameric arrangement of OB folds in a manner similar to EcoSSB. A large number of non-specific DNA-binding proteins (28,29) have OB fold. Our most important finding is that PriB uses a different ssDNA-binding strategy apart from other SSBs, including human replication protein A (RPA) (Figure 5, right panel) (30). EcoSSB and RPA have conserved aromatic residues in the L45 loop of the OB fold. These residues are replaced with positively charged amino acids in PriB. These differences support our contention that the ssDNA-binding mechanisms of these OB-fold proteins might differ significantly. Indeed, our structural and functional studies reveal clear differences between the ssDNA-binding modes of these highly homologous OB-fold proteins.

Cooperative binding

Many SSB proteins bind to ssDNA with some degree of positive cooperativity. But this type of cooperativity varies considerably among the SSB proteins. For example, EcoSSB binds to long ssDNA in different manners that can be grouped into the (SSB)35 and the (SSB)65-binding modes (31). The (SSB)35-binding mode has ‘unlimited’ cooperative binding while the (SSB)65-binding mode promotes the ‘limited’ type of intertetramer cooperativity (31). In addition, negative cooperativity has also been observed for EcoSSB binding to ssDNA. The third and the fourth subunits of the SSB tetramer have reduced affinity to ssDNA (31). However, negative cooperativity has not been observed for PriB in this study, probably due to the dimeric structure of PriB and an ssDNA-binding mode that differs significantly from that of EcoSSB.

PriB binding to ssDNA is a positive cooperative process (Table 2). Cooperativity can result from direct protein–protein interactions between nearest neighbors, such as the LAST motif in the T4 gene 32 protein (32). Cooperativity can also result from protein-induced distortions of adjacent DNA as demonstrated by the Sulfolobus SSB (33). In the case of PriB, the binding activities and cooperativities have reduced affinity to ssDNA (31). However, negative cooperativity has not been observed for PriB in this study, probably due to the dimeric structure of PriB and an ssDNA-binding mode that differs significantly from that of EcoSSB.
to the same ssDNA molecule (Figure 1B). Possibly, a PriB dimer binds and distorts the ssDNA structure. A second PriB then comes in and binds to the ssDNA. The binding of the second PriB dimer is likely the key step in forming the stable complex. Other forms of incompletely occupied PriB–ssDNA complexes may not be stable.

Binding of PriB to various ssDNA, partial duplex DNA and forked DNA is inefficient at low protein concentrations except in the presence of PriA helicase (11). Previous data also indicate that PriB can stabilize the binding of PriA to ssDNA (7). These results suggest that cooperation between PriB and PriA helicase may be necessary for PriB and/or PriA to form stable complexes with ssDNA. Interestingly, PriA has a highly electronegative ssDNA-binding region (amino acids 1–198) containing 8 Lys and 14 Arg residues (34). It might serve a role similar to monomer B’ of the PriB dimer in our crystal to stabilize the partially disordered ssDNA. Possibly, PriA and PriB bind to ssDNA cooperatively, thereby decreasing the dissociation rate of PriA from the DNA during helix unwinding. Therefore, we propose that our in vitro assembled PriB–ssDNA complex may mimic the structure of the PriA–ssDNA–PriB complex. However, this speculation needs to be confirmed by further crystallographic study and biochemical experiments.

In conclusion, the structure of the PriB–dT15 complex and the binding properties of PriB to oligonucleotides of various lengths provide molecular details on how PriB interacts with ssDNA. Moreover, our discovery that the ssDNA-binding properties of PriB differ from those of EcoSSB suggests a basis for the distinct roles of these proteins in DNA replication.

**PDB accession code**

Atomic coordinates and structure factors for PriB–ssDNA complex have been deposited in the PDB under accession code 2ccz.

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.
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