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In this paper, we focus on the degree of the greatest common divisor (gcd) of random polynomials over $F_q$. Here, $F_q$ is the finite field with $q$ elements. Firstly, we compute the probability distribution of the degree of the gcd of random and monic polynomials with fixed degree over $F_q$. Then, we consider the waiting time of the sequence of the degree of gcd functions. We compute its probability distribution, expectation, and variance. Finally, by considering the degree of a certain type gcd, we investigate the probability distribution of the number of rational (i.e., in $F_q$) roots (counted with multiplicity) of random and monic polynomials with fixed degree over $F_q$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background. The greatest common divisor (gcd) function is very basic in number theory. It has also been considered in the view of probability theory. For an integer $n \geq 2$, suppose the random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_r$ are independent and uniformly distributed on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. In 1880s, Cesàro [1, 2] first considered the probability distribution of the gcd of random integers and showed that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(\text{gcd}(X_1, \ldots, X_r) = 1) = \frac{1}{\zeta(r)} \frac{1}{n^r},$$

(1)

for $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq l \leq n$, where $\zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function. Diaconis and Erdös [3] gave a more precise asymptotic formula for the case $r = 2$, which is

$$P(\text{gcd}(X_1, X_2) = 1) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \frac{1}{n^2} + O\left(\frac{\log(n/l)}{nl}\right),$$

(2)

for $1 \leq l \leq n$ as $n \to \infty$. One may refer to [4–7], for more related works. In 2013, Fernández and Fernández [8] considered the waiting time for the gcd sequence:

$$G_1 = X_1, G_2 = \text{gcd}(X_1, X_2), \ldots, G_m = \text{gcd}(X_1, \ldots, X_m), \ldots$$

(3)

Let $T^{(n)}$ be the subscript at which the sequence $\{G_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ reaches the value 1 for the first time. They computed the expectation of $T^{(n)}$ and showed that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(T^{(n)}) = 2 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\zeta(m)}\right) \approx 2.7052.$$  

(4)

Besides random integers, it is also natural to study random polynomials. One interesting topic in this area is to understand the behavior of the number of certain type of roots of random polynomials. For example, Kac [9] considered the number of real roots of random polynomials over the real number field $\mathbb{R}$. One may refer to [10] for a recent progress.

In this paper, we focus on the degree of the gcd of random polynomials over the finite field $F_q$, where $q \geq 2$ is a prime power.

1.2. Our Results. In the polynomial ring $F_q[T]$, we use $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_n$ to denote the sets of all monic polynomials and monic polynomials with degree $n \geq 0$, respectively. We also use $\deg(f)$ to denote the degree of a polynomial $f$.

For integers $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$, we define
where \( f_i, 1 \leq i \leq r \), are independent and uniformly distributed on \( \mathcal{M}_n \). We derive the following probability distribution of \( X(n,q,r) \).

**Theorem 1.** For any integers \( n \geq 1 \) and \( r \geq 2 \), the mass function of \( X = X(n,q,r) \) is

\[
\Pr(X = l) = \frac{A_{n,q,r}(l)}{q^{r-1}M}, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, n,
\]

where

\[
A_{n,q,r}(l) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{1}{q}, & 0 \leq l \leq n - 1, \\
1, & l = n.
\end{cases}
\]

With the help of Theorem 1, we investigate the waiting time of the sequence:

\[
G_1^{(n,q)} = \deg(f_1), G_2^{(n,q)} = \deg(\gcd(f_1,f_2)), \ldots, G_m^{(n,q)} = \deg(\gcd(f_1,\ldots,f_m)), \ldots,
\]

where \( f_1, f_2, \ldots \) are independent and uniformly distributed on \( \mathcal{M}_n, n \geq 1 \). Observe that this sequence is decreasing.

For an integer \( 0 \leq s < n \), define the random variable \( T_s^{(n,q)} \) to be the subciphertext at which the sequence \( \{G_m^{(n,q)}\}_{m=0} \) reaches a value not exceeding \( s \) for the first time. We compute the probability distribution of \( T_s^{(n,q)} \) and then derive its expectation \( \mathbb{E}(T_s^{(n,q)}) \) and variance \( \mathbb{V}(T_s^{(n,q)}) \).

**Theorem 2.** Suppose integer \( n \geq 1 \); then, for integers \( 0 \leq s < n \) and \( m \geq 2 \), the mass function of \( T_s^{(n,q)} \) is

\[
\Pr(T_s^{(n,q)} = m) = \frac{1}{q^{m-2}(s+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{s+1}}\right),
\]

and furthermore, we have

\[
\mathbb{E}(T_s^{(n,q)}) = \frac{2q^{s+1} - 1}{q^{s+1} - 1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{V}(T_s^{(n,q)}) = \frac{q^{s+1}}{(q^{s+1} - 1)^2}.
\]

It is a little bit surprising that the expectation and variance of \( T_s^{(n,q)} \) are independent of the degree \( n \). Using SageMath, we verify this for \( s = 0, 1 \) and some \( (n,q) \) by doing numerical experiments with \( 10^6 \) times. The results are listed in Table 1 (expectation) and Table 2 (variance).

Enlightened by the proof of Theorem 1, we use the degree of \( \gcd \) to study the number of rational roots (counted with multiplicity) of a random polynomial \( f \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \), where \( f \) is uniformly distributed on \( \mathcal{M}_n, n \geq 1 \). Denote this number by \( N(n,q) \); then, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.** For an integer \( n \geq 1 \), the mass function of \( N = N(n,q) \) is

\[
\Pr(N = l) = \frac{(l + q - 1)\frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \min\{n-lq\}} \left(\frac{q}{i}\right) \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^i}{q^{r-1}M},
\]

for \( 0 \leq l \leq n \).

The method for proving Theorem 3 is also valid if we consider the number of distinct rational roots of a random polynomial \( f \in \mathcal{M}_n \). This number is investigated by Leont’ev in [11], where combinational methods are used. Comparatively, our method has more flavor of number theory, and we hope it can be used for other roots’ counting problems.

Notations: we use \( \Pr(A) \) to denote the probability of an event \( A \) and use \( \mathbb{E}(X) \) and \( \mathbb{V}(X) \) to denote the expectation and variance of a random variable \( X \). We also use \( \mathbb{F}_q[T] \) to denote the polynomial ring over \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

## 2. Preliminaries

The Möbius function for monic polynomials is defined by \( \mu(f) = (-1)^r \) if \( f \) is a product of \( r \) distinct monic irreducible polynomials and \( \mu(f) = 0 \) if \( f \) is not square free. For any \( f \in \mathcal{M}_n \), we have

\[
\sum_{d \mid f} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 
1, & f = 1, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

For the mean value of \( \mu(f) \) over \( \mathcal{M}_n \), it is well known that

\[
\sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_n} \mu(f) = \begin{cases} 
1, & n = 0, \\
-q, & n = 1, \\
0, & n \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

For a polynomial \( f \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q[T] \), we defined its norm by \( \|f\| := q^\deg(f) \). We derive the following two results, which are needed in proving Proposition 1.

**Lemma 1.** For integers \( r, k \geq 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathcal{M}_n} \frac{\deg^k(h)}{\|h\|^n} = \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n} \frac{k^h}{q^{r-1}M}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,
\]

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathcal{M}_n} \frac{\mu(d)}{\|d\|^n} = 1 - \frac{1}{q^{r-1}}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.
\]
Table 1: Numerical results for the expectation $E(T_{q,s}^{(n,q)})$.

| $n$ | $(q,s) = (2,0)$ | $(q,s) = (3,0)$ | $(q,s) = (4,1)$ | $(q,s) = (5,1)$ |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1   | 3.000922       | 2.500992       | NA             | NA             |
| 2   | 3.002260       | 2.500115       | 2.066650       | 2.041783       |
| 3   | 2.998854       | 2.500166       | 2.066424       | 2.041758       |
| 4   | 2.998771       | 2.499346       | 2.066466       | 2.041767       |
| 5   | 2.999041       | 2.500160       | 2.066895       | 2.041485       |
|     | ...            | ...            | ...            | ...            |
| Theoretical | 3.000000       | 2.500000       | 2.066666       | 2.041666       |

Table 2: Numerical results for the variance $V(T_{q,s}^{(n,q)})$.

| $n$ | $(q,s) = (2,0)$ | $(q,s) = (3,0)$ | $(q,s) = (4,1)$ | $(q,s) = (5,1)$ |
|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1   | 2.006609       | 0.748455       | NA             | NA             |
| 2   | 2.013104       | 0.748523       | 0.071244       | 0.043498       |
| 3   | 1.992205       | 0.747423       | 0.070657       | 0.043345       |
| 4   | 1.988979       | 0.747273       | 0.070883       | 0.043402       |
| 5   | 1.997586       | 0.749603       | 0.071586       | 0.043045       |
|     | ...            | ...            | ...            | ...            |
| Theoretical | 2.000000       | 0.750000       | 0.071111       | 0.043042       |

Proof. Note that

$$\sum_{\deg\in M \atop 0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n} \frac{\deg(h)}{[h]} = \sum_{\deg\in M \atop 0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n} \frac{\deg(h)}{q^{\deg(h)}} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\deg\in M \atop 0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n} 1.$$  

(15)

Then, the first statement follows by noting

$$\sum_{\deg\in M} 1 = q^j.$$  

(16)

For the second statement, we have

$$\sum_{\deg\in M} \frac{\mu(d)}{[d]} = \sum_{\deg\in M \atop 0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n} \frac{\mu(d)}{q^{\deg(d)}} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\deg\in M \atop 0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n} \mu(d).$$  

(17)

This together with (13) gives our desired result. □

The following lemma is used in the proof of Proposition 2.

Lemma 2. For integer $n \geq 1$, suppose $Q = Q_{n,q}(T) = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} (T - \alpha)^n$ and $h \in M$ with $hQ$ and $0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{\deg\in M \atop 0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n-\deg(h)} \frac{\mu(d)}{[d]} = \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n} \binom{q}{i} \left( \frac{1}{q} \right)^i.$$  

(18)

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We first compute the $k$th power moments of $X = X(n,q,r)$.

Proposition 1. For any integers $n, k \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$, we have
\[
E(X^k(n,q,r)) = \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n} \frac{A_{n,q,r}(h)^k}{q^{(r-1)\deg(h)}},
\]
where \(A_{n,q,r}(l)\) is given by (7).

**Proof.** By the definition of the \(k\)th moment, we have
\[
E(X^k) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}_n} \deg^k(gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_r)).
\]

It follows that
\[
E(X^k) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}_r} \deg^k(h) \sum_{f \in \mathcal{A}_n, \gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = h} 1.
\]

By Lemma 1, the contribution of those \(h\) with \(\deg(h) = n\) is equal to
\[
\sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}_r} \frac{\deg^k(h)}{[h]^{(r-1)}} = \frac{n^k}{q} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}_r} 1 = \frac{n^k}{q^{(r-1)n}}.
\]

Hence, we have
\[
E(X^k) = \frac{n^k}{q^{(r-1)n}} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{r-1}}\right) \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} \frac{f^k}{q^{(r-1)\deg(h)}}
\]
which is our desired result.

Then, for the inner sum on the right-hand side of (25), we can write
\[
\sum_{\gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = h} 1 = \sum_{\gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = h} (\mu(d)) s_{\gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = h}
\]
where we have used (12). Changing the order of the summations, we obtain
\[
\sum_{0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n-\deg(h)} \mu(d) q^{(n-\deg(d))}. \tag{27}
\]

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1. Suppose
\[
M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})\text{ is the moment generating function of } X;
\]
then, we have
\[
M_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} E(X^k). \tag{33}
\]

It follows from Proposition 1 that
\[
M_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n,q,r}(l)^k}{q^{(r-1)}} = \sum_{0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n-\deg(h)} \mu(d)^h. \tag{34}
\]

Then, our desired result follows from the relationship between the moment generating function and the generating function of \(X\).

**4. Proof of Theorem 2**

For an integer \(0 \leq s < n\), note that the event \(\{G_{l,n}^{(n,q)} \leq s\} = \{X(n,q,m) \leq s\}\) coincides with the event \(\{T_{s}^{(n,q)} \leq m\}\) for each \(m \geq 2\). Hence, by Theorem 1, we have
\[
\mathbb{P}(T_{s}^{(n,q)} \leq m) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq m} \mathbb{P}(X(n,q,m) = l) = 1 - \frac{1}{q^{(m-1)(s+1)}}.
\]

This gives the mass function in Theorem 2. By the definition of the expectation of \(T_{s}^{(n,q)}\), we have
\[
E(T_{s}^{(n,q)}) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m \mathbb{P}(T_{s}^{(n,q)} = m) = 2 \mathbb{P}(T_{s}^{(n,q)} > 1) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(T_{s}^{(n,q)} > m). \tag{36}
\]
We first compute the $k$th power moments of $N = N(n,q)$.

**Proposition 2.** For any integers $n,k \geq 1$, we have

\[
E(N_k(n,q)) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \left( \frac{i+q-1}{q} \right)^k \sum_{0 \leq i < \min\{n-I_q\}} \left( \frac{q-1}{q} \right)^i.
\]  

(42)

It follows from (35) that

\[
E(T_s^{(n,q)}) = 2 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^m} \left( \frac{1}{(m-1)(s+1)} \right) 2q^{s+1} - 1 \quad q^m - 1.
\]  

(37)

To deal with $E(T_s^{(n,q)})$, we write

\[
E\left( T_s^{(n,q)} \right) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^2 P(T_s^{(n,q)} = m) = 4P(T_s^{(n,q)} > 1) + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (2m + 1)^2 P(T_s^{(n,q)} > m).
\]  

(39)

It follows from (35) again that

\[
E\left( T_s^{(n,q)} \right) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^m} \left( \frac{1}{(m-1)(s+1)} \right) 4q^{s+1} - 1 + \frac{1}{(q^m - 1)^2}.
\]  

(40)

where we have used

\[
\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{m}{q^m} = \frac{2q^{s+1} - 1}{q^{s+1} - 1}.
\]  

(41)

Inserting (37) and (40) into (38) yields our desired result.

**5. Proof of Theorem 3**

We first compute the $k$th power moments of $N = N(n,q)$.

\[
E(N_k(n,q)) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \left( \frac{i+q-1}{q} \right)^k \sum_{0 \leq i < \min\{n-I_q\}} \left( \frac{q-1}{q} \right)^i.
\]  

(42)

\[
\sum_{f \in \mathcal{M}_n} 1 = \sum_{\gcd(f,Q) = h} 1 = \sum_{\gcd(f,h,Q) = h} \mu(d)\sum_{0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n} \mu(d)q^{-\deg(dh)}.
\]  

(47)

By (45) and (47), we obtain

\[
E(N_k) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{M}_n} \frac{\deg(h)}{\|h\|} \sum_{\gcd(f,h,Q) = h} \mu(d)\sum_{0 \leq \deg(d) \leq n} \mu(d)q^{-\deg(dh)}.
\]  

(48)

where

\[
\sum_{h \in \mathcal{M}_n} \frac{n^k}{q^k} = 1.
\]  

(50)

Breaking the above sum into two sums according to $\deg(h) = n$ or not, we have

\[
E(N_k) = 1 + \sum_{2}.
\]  

(49)
To deal with $\sum_1$, note that $h|Q$ and $\deg(h) = n$; then, $h$ is of the form
\[
h = \prod_{j=1}^{q} (T - \alpha_j)^{r_j},
\] (51)
for distinct $\alpha_j \in F_q$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, where $0 \leq r_j \leq n$ and $r_1 + \cdots + r_q = n$. Thus, we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n^k}{q^i} = \sum_{0 \leq r_j \leq n, \sum_{j=1}^{q} j = q} 1 = \binom{n+q-1}{q-1} \frac{n^k}{q^k}.
\] (52)

For $\sum_2$, using Lemma 2, we derive
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\deg(h)}{\|h\|} \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} \binom{q}{i} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^i.
\] (53)

Let $\deg(h) = l$; then, we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\deg(h)}{\|h\|} \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} \binom{q}{i} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^i \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} 1
\] = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq n-1} \left(\frac{1 + q - 1}{q - 1}\right) \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} \binom{q}{i} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^i.
\] (54)

Plugging (52) and (54) into (49) yields our required result.

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3. Suppose $M_N(t) = E(e^{tN})$ is the moment generating function of $N$; then, we have
\[
M_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} E(N^k).
\] (55)

By Proposition 2, we derive
\[
M_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq n} \binom{1 + q - 1}{q - 1} \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} \binom{q}{i} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^i\right).
\] (56)

which gives
\[
M_N(t) = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq n} \binom{1 + q - 1}{q - 1} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{0 \leq \deg(h) \leq n-1} \binom{q}{i} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^i e^{t^i}.
\] (57)

Then, our desired result follows from the relationship between the moment generating function and the generating function of $N$.  
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