Abstract

**Purpose** – This study aims to investigate Malaysian stock market efficiency from the view of Sharī‘ah-compliant and conventional stocks based on the effectiveness of technical trading strategies.

**Design/methodology/approach** – This study uses unconventional trading strategies that mix buy recommendations of Bursa Malaysia analysts with sell signals generated from 10 selected technical trading strategies (simple moving average, moving average envelopes, Bollinger Bands, momentum, commodity channel index, relative strength index, stochastic, Williams percentage range, moving average convergence divergence oscillator and shooting star) that are detected using ChartNexus. The period from 1 January 2013 until 31 December 2015 produces a total sample consisting of 1,265 buy recommendations of 125 Sharī‘ah-compliant stocks and 400 buy recommendations of conventional stocks. The study period is extended until 31 March 2016 to provide ample time for detecting the sell signal especially for buy recommendations that are released towards the end of 2015.

**Findings** – The resulting Jensen’s alpha show 8 out of 10 strategies are effective in generating abnormal returns in Sharī‘ah-compliant samples while only 3 out of 10 strategies are effective in conventional samples. Prominent effectiveness of technical trading strategies in Sharī‘ah-compliant stocks implies clear inefficiency in that stock market segment as opposed to those of the conventional stocks.

**Originality/value** – The results based on unconventional trading strategies provide new insights of Malaysian stock market efficiency especially in Sharī‘ah-compliant and conventional stocks. The paper provides more robust findings on market efficiency as firms’ equity level data were focused together with analysts’ buy recommendations from Bursa Malaysia.
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Introduction

The Islamic capital market (ICM) has shown tremendous growth in recent years, partially because of the increasing wealth of oil-exporting countries, particularly in the Middle East where the population is predominantly Muslim. Banking and financial institutions such as Kuwait Finance House Research (2013) also contributed towards the growth and development of the Islamic finance industry. They support the government’s efforts to develop Islamic financial markets and to quell investors’ concerns regarding unethical and irresponsible elements in conventional businesses and financial products. Similarly, instability of the conventional equity market has also been acknowledged as one of the driving factors that has contributed towards the growth and development of the Islamic equity market as the latter is being more widely recognised as the alternative to the conventional equity market. As claimed by Siddiqi (2009), financial crises are mainly caused by speculation and gambling, excessive leverage, credit crunches and complicated financial products. Most of these are elements that are allowed in a conventional system but prohibited by the Sharīʿah (Islamic law) principles. Conventional equity markets that are primarily profit-driven typically ignore the moral perspective, creating opportunities for exploitation and corruption to occur. In recent years, the development of the Islamic equity market is no longer just limited to Muslim-dominated countries such as Malaysia, Indonesia, Iran, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates. In developed markets where Muslims are in the minority such as Europe, the UK, the USA, Japan and Canada, there has been a noticeable development in Islamic equity markets.

The widespread attention on ICM has attracted the interest and attention of many researchers in conducting studies to establish evidence on its viability and performance relative to its conventional counterparts. In some cases, these studies have strongly argued that Muslim investors are prone to limited benefits associated with portfolio diversification given that the Sharīʿah screening process will reduce the number of component stock choices in the formulation of portfolios (Bauer et al., 2005; Hayat and Kraeussl, 2011). In support of this proposition, Ho et al. (2014) reported that the size of the investment pools of Islamic indexes is marginally smaller compared to their conventional indexes. Charles et al. (2015) have argued that because Islamic indexes are subjected to less diversification as they predominantly focus on particular sectors, they have a significantly higher risk. Likewise, Saiti et al. (2014) and Saiti and Noordin (2018) found that Islamic stock indexes do not provide the extra benefit of diversification in comparison to their conventional counterparts. In a similar vein, Albaity and Ahmad (2008) claimed that conventional equity indexes are less risky in comparison to their Islamic counterparts given fewer diversifications. A study by Abbes and Trichilli (2015) pointed out that Sharīʿah-compliant stocks potentially afford certain diversification benefits. Nonetheless, Ali et al. (2019) found that while five trading partners of Saudi Arabia could offer portfolio diversification benefits for the Saudi Arabian Islamic equity market (measured by Sharīʿah indexes for each market), the benefits are only realised in the short term. An exception is for the Indian Islamic equity markets, which show diversification benefits in both the short and long term.

A strand of studies (Girard and Hassan, 2008; Ajmi et al., 2014) on these market segments focus on their characteristics to reveal the cause of their differences. Those studies found the Sharīʿah-compliant indexes are asset-based, asset-driven, growth and small capitalisation-oriented stocks; whereas the conventional stock indexes are interest-based, debt-driven, value and mid-capitalisation focussed. Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui (2016) argued that the specific characteristics of each market might potentially cause different efficiency levels in the market. The present study opines that a sound understanding regarding the behaviour of Sharīʿah-compliant and conventional securities is essential to provide investors with clear
guidance on their investment decisions. This is especially so given the difference in the behaviour of stock segments, which may have various implications for investors.

Studies examining the efficiency level between conventional and Sharīʿah-compliant securities remain limited in the context of the Malaysian market as most studies have instead concentrated on foreign markets. That is despite the widespread recognition that this market operates as a dual system. Therefore, this study aims to close the gap found in the research in this area by investigating the efficiency of these segments based on the effectiveness of technical trading strategies in Sharīʿah-compliant vs conventional stocks in the context of the Malaysian market. This will be undertaken by examining the effectiveness of 10 selected technical trading strategies in generating abnormal returns on 1,665 buy recommendations (1,265 for Sharīʿah-compliant and 400 for conventional stocks).

Compared to other Muslim countries, Malaysia posits a unique characteristic given it has been recognised to operate a dual financial system. The conventional financial system in the Malaysian market was initially developed following the Western colonialism era. The Islamic finance industry in Malaysia only began to operate during the early 1980s when the Islamic Banking Act 1983 and the Takaful Act 1984 were initially drafted with the establishment of Bank Islam Malaysia Berhad (BIMB) in 1983. Then, during the 1990s, the ICM realised significant growth when shell MDS issued the first corporate sukūk (Islamic bond). This was next followed by the first Sharīʿah-compliant equity unit trust fund, which was established in 1993. In 1994, BIMB securities was established as the first full-fledged Islamic stockbroking company, and in 1996, securities commission Malaysia (SC) approved the listing of Islamic securities in Bursa Malaysia together with the introduction of Sharīʿah-approved securities. Indeed, this promoted the ICM as being a better alternative compared to the conventional capital market.

In its 2019 annual report, the SC reported that the Malaysian ICM has grown to RM 2.04tn, an increase of 8.23% from RM 1.88tn in the previous year. Meanwhile, the market capitalisation of the Sharīʿah-compliant equity market reached RM 1.1tn in 2019, representing approximately 64% of the total market capitalisation of Malaysian securities. Since 2013, when the revised Sharīʿah screening criteria were introduced, the majority of the stocks listed on Bursa Malaysia (around 75%) are certified Sharīʿah-compliant by the Sharīʿah Advisory Council (SAC) of the SC.

According to Al-Khazali et al. (2016), Islamic investing is a form of ethical investing as it excludes unethical business activities by taking into account the religious perspective in investment decision-making. More specifically, Al-Khazali et al. (2014) asserted that because religious beliefs guide Islamic investing, Sharīʿah-compliant investment can be considered as socially responsible and ethical investment. Sharīʿah-compliant investment is subjected to five main principles, which include no ribā (interest), no gharar (excessive uncertainty), no maysir (speculation), profit-and-loss sharing and also the prohibition of involvement in industries considered to be harām (Islamically unlawful) such as the consumption of alcohol, pork-related products, gambling, prohibited entertainment, military equipment and/or weapons (Abdul-Rahim et al., 2019). In actual practise, the screening process of securities is evaluated using two approaches, namely, qualitative and quantitative. In Malaysia, listed securities that do not comply with the principles of Sharīʿah are excluded from the Sharīʿah-compliant securities list published by the SC through their SAC on a bi-yearly basis (May and November).

The remainder of this article proceeds by reviewing the relevant literature in this field, followed by a description of the research methodology. That is then followed by reporting and discussing the results of the study. The last section presents the conclusion and implications of this study.
Literature review

The efficient market hypothesis (EMH) was initially introduced by Fama (1970), which can be disaggregated into three different levels beginning with a weak form, semi-strong form and strong form, depending on the information incorporated and reflected in the price of the asset. The most basic form is the weak form, which is founded on basic market information, specifically on historical prices and how trading volume influences (or does not) asset prices. The semi-strong form of EMH is when asset prices fully reflect all market and public information such as corporate announcements and the release of financial reports. Finally, the highest and most rigid form of efficiency is the strong form. In this form, the hypothesis states that asset prices reflect all relevant information including non-public or private information. When a market is efficient, investors would not be able to use the respective information to generate abnormal returns given that the information has been entirely reflected in the asset prices.

In proposing the probable difference between the market efficiency level of Sharīʿah-compliant and conventional market segments, this study relies on the findings of previous studies indicating diverse characteristics or behaviours (Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui, 2016). It should, however, be mentioned at this stage that while many of these studies revealed differences in the performance of both market segments, the evidence in these studies is inconclusive. For example, in several countries having different periods of study, a number of studies revealed that Islamic or Sharīʿah stock market indexes performed better compared to their conventional counterparts (Hassan, 2004; Bauer et al., 2006; Al-Khazali et al., 2014; Habib and Islam, 2014; Ho et al., 2014; Jawadi et al., 2014; Asutay and Hendranastiti, 2015; Charles et al., 2015; Dharani et al., 2019; Gonzalez et al., 2019; Tahir and Ibrahim, 2020). Other studies found similar or insignificantly different performance characteristics in both segments (Girard and Hassan, 2008, 2010; Dharani and Natarajan, 2011; El-Khamlichi et al., 2014a; Trabelsi et al., 2020; Yildiz, 2020). However, there are limited studies providing evidence that conventional indexes outperform their Islamic counterparts (Merdad et al., 2010; Hayat and Kraeussl, 2011; Al-Khazali et al., 2014; Abu-Alkheil et al., 2020).

Furthermore, concerning risks, both market segments are anticipated to exhibit different risks given the screening process based on the Sharīʿah principles, which may reduce the number of possible listed securities for constructing investment portfolios. The studies by Dewandaru et al. (2015), Pranata and Nurzanah (2016), Mwamba et al. (2017), Rizvi and Arshad (2017), Abu-Alkheil et al. (2020) and Yildiz (2020) indicate that Islamic stocks are not as risky compared to their conventional counterparts. Moreover, Sharīʿah-compliant companies typically have low leverage with less exposure to the credit market and with less volatility. In fact, Sharīʿah indexes usually comprise stocks with high asset backing and growth-oriented and small-cap stocks. This finding contradicts the results of Albaity and Ahmad (2008), Hayat and Kraeussl (2011) and Charles et al. (2015) claiming that Sharīʿah stocks are riskier compared to conventional stocks because they lack diversification.

Many of the studies on the efficiency of Sharīʿah vs conventional market segments have been carried out in foreign markets, as mentioned earlier and Malaysian studies in this area are limited. Many of these studies, including the study by Hassan (2004), revealed that the Dow Jones Islamic Market (DJIM) index demonstrated efficient behaviour relative to its conventional counterparts. Similarly, Obaidullah (2001) found that the Islamic stock market is more efficient compared to its conventional market. Girard and Hassan (2008) reported new evidence in which The Financial Times Stock Exchange (FTSE) Islamic stock indexes were just as efficient compared to their counterparts. Similar results have also been reported by El-Khamlichi et al. (2014b) in four index families including Dow Jones, financial times,
standard and poor’s and Morgan Stanley. Likewise, the relative efficiency of Islamic stock markets compared to their conventional counterparts has been documented by Ali et al. (2018) in all sampled markets except for Russia, Jordan and Pakistan. Ali et al. (2018) further attributed the efficiency of Islamic stock markets to the Sharīʿah principles, good governance and the mechanisms of information disclosure that are practised in Islamic stock markets. Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui (2019) further disclosed that Islamic stock indexes are more efficient than the conventional stock indexes although Islamic indexes are more volatile than their conventional counterparts. Similar findings were confirmed by Mnif et al. (2020) whereby the Islamic index of DJIM has higher efficiency compared to a sustainability index and a conventional index [Dow Jones Sustainability Indexes (DJSI) and Dow Jones industrial average indexes (DJIA), respectively].

However, in recent studies by Alvarez-Diaz et al. (2014), Sensoy et al. (2015), Jawadi et al. (2015) and Al-Khazali et al. (2016), opposing results were identified. For instance, Alvarez-Diaz et al. (2014) concluded that DJIMs were less efficient and predictable as compared with DJIA (conventional or rather generic stocks). Likewise, Sensoy et al. (2015) reported that conventional market indexes were relatively more efficient compared to Islamic market indexes in a sample of 12 different Dow Jones Index series. In the same year, Jawadi et al. (2015) provided new evidence indicating that Islamic emerging markets were less efficient compared to Islamic developed markets. This result could be due to less liquidity, the impediments posed by Sharīʿah principles and less diversification amongst Sharīʿah stocks. Al-Khazali et al. (2016) revealed that conventional indexes were more efficient compared to their Islamic counterparts in the study of nine indexes globally.

Amongst Islamic stocks, Bouoiyour et al. (2018) and Ali et al. (2018) found that the Islamic indexes in emerging markets are relatively less efficient compared to developed markets, whereas similar behaviour was reported in conventional indexes in the latter study. Moreover, by considering the effect of the global financial crisis (GFC), Mensi et al. (2017) concluded that the GFC had worsened the efficiency level of DJIM sectoral indexes. However, Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui (2016) in another study found that, regarding informational efficiency, Islamic markets are relatively more efficient compared to their counterparts. Meanwhile, Alam et al. (2016) concluded that although conventional and Islamic markets had a similar pattern, more recently, Islamic sectoral indexes have tended to be more efficient over the past decade. However, the majority of recent studies failed to analyse Malaysian Sharīʿah-compliant stocks, particularly through the effectiveness of using technical analysis. Therefore, this study aims to fill this gap found in the literature by analysing the efficiency level between Sharīʿah-compliant and conventional stocks through the effectiveness of technical trading strategies in the context of Malaysia.

Previous studies that are most related to this paper are the studies by Majid et al. (2016) and Kabbani (2016) examining the market efficiency of Islamic and conventional markets in Malaysia. Similar studies have also been conducted in other markets but have mainly been undertaken in the context of developed markets (Hassan, 2004; Girard and Hassan, 2008; El-Khamlichi et al., 2014b; Alvarez-Diaz et al., 2014; Sensoy et al., 2015; Al-Khazali et al., 2016; Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui, 2016; Alam et al., 2016). Obaidullah (2001) offered theoretical arguments on ethics and efficiency in Islamic stock markets, claiming that Islamic stock markets could not be less efficient compared to conventional markets.

While there are many similar studies, this present study projects a different point of view, beginning from a setup that differs from those used by Hassan (2004), Girard and Hassan (2008), Alvarez-Diaz et al. (2014), El-Khamlichi et al. (2014b), Sensoy et al. (2015), Al-Khazali et al. (2016), Alam et al. (2016), Kabbani (2016), Majid et al. (2016) and Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui (2016) in several respects. Firstly, many of the previous studies analysed the market
efficiency of Sharī‘ah-compliant or Islamic markets compared with their conventional counterparts using market indexes. For instance, Kabbani (2016) examined Bursa Malaysia’s market efficiency by applying three index series (FTSE Bursa Malaysia (BM) Kuala Lumpur Composite Index (KLCI), Exchange’s Main (Market) All Shares (EMAS) Sharī‘ah Index and HIJRA Sharī‘ah Index), whereas Majid et al. (2016) used two market indexes in Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange and FBM HS) and two market indexes in Indonesia (Jakarta Composite Index and JII). Several other studies dealt with the Dow Jones index series such as the studies by Hassan (2004), Alvarez-Diaz et al. (2014) and Ben Rejeb and Arfaoui (2016). Aside from other studies such as those by Girard and Hassan (2008) that tested the FTSE Indexes, El-Khamlichi et al. (2014b) tested four index families (Dow Jones, financial times, standard and poor’s and Morgan Stanley), and Al-Khazali et al. (2016) studied nine conventional and Islamic indexes from a global perspective.

However, the market efficiency analysis in this study differs from these studies; this study instead uses unconventional trading strategies, with the addition of the analysts’ recommendations from Bursa Malaysia. The main reason for choosing unconventional trading strategies in testing market efficiency is because the Malaysian market remains at the weak form level (Abdul-Rahim et al., 2016; Ling and Abdul-Rahim, 2016, 2017). Fama (1970) averred that the two relevant and related pieces of information reflected in asset prices in weak form markets are historical prices and trading volumes. Therefore, technical trading strategies are considered a relevant and appropriate approach for testing the weak-form efficiency in the Malaysian stock market.

Secondly, many previous studies investigated the index series (market/macro level), which represents the aggregate stock markets while this present study analyses data at the level of firms’ equity (micro) with the addition of investment advisory opinions (or analysts’ recommendations). This would invariably offer more robust and reliable results regarding the market efficiency level in both segments by using technical analysis strategies, given that the equity of all relevant firms is included in the sample. Thirdly, this study uses the analysts’ recommendations when taking investment decisions. This approach is consistent with Mayo (2011), who suggested investment advisory opinions as one of the main technical indicators that may be useful for investment decisions. In practise, analysts’ recommendations are publicly available in Bursa Malaysia and research houses’ websites such that they can be considered as one form of financial news. Narayan and Bannigidadmath (2017) emphasised that positive and negative news is often useful as a valuable predictor when it comes to stock returns. Their study found positive news produces more returns to stock markets compared to negative or adverse news. To draw a distinction with the standard approach, which relies on technical strategies for making both buy and sell decisions, this study proposes that this approach be referred to as unconventional trading strategies to identify mixing analysts’ recommendations and technical trading strategies. More specifically, this study takes the “buy recommendation” published by securities analysts (or investment advisory opinions) as the predictor for the technical strategies used in this study.

Overall, this study anticipates that it will make a valuable contribution to the current literature of market efficiency between the Sharī‘ah-compliant and conventional stock markets in a number of ways. Firstly, this study introduces unconventional trading strategies in testing the market efficiency with the addition of analysts’ recommendations as a signal for a buy decision. Positive results of using expert recommended buy decisions would be beneficial, especially for less-informed investors because it will reduce search costs and also investment risks. Secondly, it provides new evidence of the efficiency level between Sharī‘ah-compliant and conventional stocks in the context of Malaysia.
Methodology
Two approaches have typically been used regarding investment valuation, namely, fundamental analysis and technical analysis. Fundamental analysis mostly relates to several accounting variables provided in financial statements, while technical analysis is considered more of a high-technology approach, which relies on price trends or movements generated using sophisticated or advanced technology. Therefore, fundamental analysis is more appropriate in identifying the potential stocks related to stock selection. Nonetheless, in this case, advanced or sophisticated technology in technical analysis can be used to determine the timing to enter and exit markets, using several indicators generated by using trends or the movement of stock prices and trading volumes.

Investment advisory opinions (or analysts’ recommendations) were used in this study, a strategy that reflects the arguments presented by Mayo (2011) in categorising the opinions and recommendations of analysts as one of the technical indicators referred to by investors. However, this technical indicator is used here as a “momentum” view, although Mayo (2011) suggested it should be offered as a “contrarian” opinion. Therefore, all buy recommendations afforded by securities analysts that are published on Bursa Malaysia’s website are accepted and followed. During the period of study between 2013 and 2015, a total of 11 securities houses were given to buy recommendations by professionals or stock analysis experts.

A total of 1,687 buy recommendations were collected during the study period, which involved 182 common stock counters listed in Bursa Malaysia. This was followed by using 10 different technical trading strategies (simple moving average (SMA), moving average envelopes (MAE), Bollinger Bands (BB), momentum, commodity channel index (CCI), relative strength index (RSI), Stochastic, William percentage range (WPR), moving average convergence divergence (MACD) and shooting star – a summary of these strategies is provided in the Appendix) to match with each buy recommendation in the ChartNexus software. The 10 technical trading strategies were selected given that these strategies were amongst the most popular and considered easy to use in performing the technical analysis.

The study period was also extended by 90 days until March 2016 to allow sufficient time to detect the sell signals using the technical trading strategies. However, due to several unforeseen and unavoidable reasons, nine common stock counters needed to be removed as they could not be detected in the ChartNexus software. As a consequence, the sample was limited to 1,665 buy recommendations or 173 common stock counters. The buy recommendations were then divided into two samples according to the Sharīʿah-compliant list published by the SC Malaysia. This resulted in 1,265 buy recommendations in the Sharīʿah-compliant sample (125 common stock counters) and 400 buy recommendations in the conventional sample (48 common stock counters).

Both streams of studies as suggested by Ling and Abdul-Rahim (2017) were adopted where the weak form market efficiency can be examined through the behaviour of stock prices and the effectiveness of technical analysis. Similar to previous studies (Hassan, 2004; El-Khamlichi et al., 2014b; Kabbani, 2016), this study first conducted two unit root tests to test the market efficiency in the weak form by examining the randomness and predictability of the stock price returns for the respective 125 Sharīʿah-compliant common stock counters and 48 conventional common stock counters. The augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test was initially used to test the stock price returns series’ stationarity. The ADF equations are given as follows:
\[
\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \gamma Y_t - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\delta j\Delta t - j) + e_t \quad (1)
\]

\[
\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \beta t + \gamma Y_t - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\delta j\Delta t - j) + e_t \quad (2)
\]

where:
- \( t \) = the time index;
- \( \alpha \) = the intercept;
- \( \beta \) = the coefficient on the time trend;
- \( \gamma \) = the coefficient of the process root;
- \( p \) = the first difference in the autoregressive process' lag order; and
- \( e_t \) = the iid residual term.

The linear time trend element, \( \beta t \), was included in the second regression model. The null hypothesis in the ADF test was that the stock prices (returns) have a unit root and vice versa in its alternative hypothesis as well.

The Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root test was also used to correct the problem of heteroscedasticity in the error term of the ADF test. This means that the PP tests are the revised or improved version of the Dickey-Fuller test using the Newey-West standard error. The PP test, however, requires a large sample number to perform the test as it depends on the asymptotic theory. The following equations illustrate the PP equation:

\[
\Delta Y_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \gamma Y_{t-1} + e_t \quad (3)
\]

Given the PP tests are improved and revised from the Dickey-Fuller test, the description of variables in the PP equation and also the hypothesis is similar to the ADF test explained above.

Next, to test whether the technical trading strategies were effective and successful in earning abnormal profits, two tests were carried out to examine this statistically. Here, the examination began by calculating the returns \( R_i \) with the capital gain yield formula from the \( i-th \) transaction of the buy recommendations with the sell signal detected in the ChartNexus software. The capital gain yield formulas are presented below (assuming no dividend is given during the transaction) as follows:

\[
R_i, T = \left( \frac{P_{i,s,T} - P_{i,b}}{P_{i,b}} \right) \times 100 \quad (4)
\]

where, \( R_{i, T} \) represents the total return for each \( i-th \) transaction, \( P_{i,b} \) and \( P_{i,s} \), are referred to as the stock price on the day of the buy recommendation, \( (b) \) is issued at the day of the sell signal and \( (s) \) of the particular technical trading strategies \( (T-th) \) is detected. To estimate the market return, the same formula was used, proxied by using the FBM KLCI Index (conventional) and FBM EMAS Index (Sharīʿah-compliant) for the same transaction period \( (i, T) \). Meanwhile, the risk-free rate of return was proxied using the nominal return of the Malaysian three-month treasury bill \( (T-bill) \). In this study, two excess return (ER) series were used as presented below as follows:
where \( ER_{i,T} \) is the ER for each of the technical trading strategies \((T)\), \( R_{f,T} \) is the return of risk-free rate \((T\)-bill rates) and \( R_{M,T} \) is the return of the market portfolio \((FBM\ KLCl\ or\ FBM\ EMAS)\) for the same transaction period. Finally, transaction costs \((TC)\) of 0.42% were included in calculating the net excess return \((NER)\) series as follows \((0.42%\ is\ the\ brokerage\ fee\ rate\ charged\ by\ most\ broker\ companies\ in\ Malaysia\ (as\ provided\ by\ www.investor.com)):\)

\[
NER_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - R_{f,t} - TC_i
\]

\[
NER_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - R_{M,t} - TC_i
\]

Next, to determine the effectiveness of the selected technical trading strategies \((T)\) in generating abnormal profits, the Jensen’s alpha regression test was used to compare the abnormal return generated from the transactions with the market return. The capital asset pricing model equation was used to provide Jensen’s alpha regression, as represented below as follows:

\[
ER_{i,T} = (R_{i,T} - R_{f,T}) = \alpha + \beta_i(R_{M,T} - R_{f,T}) + \epsilon
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the Jensen’s alpha, which represents the stock performance relative to the market portfolio, \( \epsilon \) is the terminology of the error term and the other variables as given in equations 5 and 6. The variables in equations 5 and 6 are used in the Jensen’s alpha test because \( R_{M} \) was included in Jensen’s alpha model. If Jensen’s alpha value was positive (negative), it means that the particular return series outperformed (underperformed) the market return. In this case, having significant (insignificant) \( \alpha \) implies that the market is inefficient (or efficient) in a weak form. Statistically, stock performance is consistently significant if the \( t\)-values of Jensen’s alpha is higher than the critical value of 10% significance level.

The one-sample \( t\)-test was also used to examine the abnormal return from each technical trading strategy against zero \((H_0: \text{excess return (ER or NER) of each technical trading strategy is not significantly different from zero}).\)

Results and discussion

Before performing the tests to determine the effectiveness of the technical trading strategies in both stock market segments, the weak-form market efficiency of the stocks in each segment stock market was tested via the behaviour of the stock price series. In other words, the first condition before undertaking the technical analysis is when the market is still inefficient (i.e. existing in a weak form). This is undertaken by evaluating whether or not the returns of the respective stocks move randomly. In this study, the randomness of price movement was tested by using two fundamental unit root tests as follows: ADF and PP. The unit root tests were conducted on the returns of stock of the respective 125 Shari’ah-compliant stocks and the 48 conventional stocks. The results (without trends and including trends) as displayed in Table I show that almost all the stock returns series consistently rejected the null hypothesis.

Accordingly, this finding implies that almost all stock returns series are stationary, which means that the stock prices do not move randomly (at a 5% significance level).
finding further implies that the Malaysian stock market, regardless of whether it involves Sharīʿah-compliant or conventional stocks, is still inefficient in the weak form EMH. Therefore, with the attribute of the market setting established, investigation on the effectiveness of the technical trading strategies is justified.

Figure 1 illustrates the average monthly returns generated collectively by the 10 technical trading strategies, as applied on the Sharīʿah and conventional stocks, compared to their market benchmarks (FBM KLCI and FBM EMAS, respectively). The returns for the Sharīʿah stocks are shown to be consistently higher compared to the returns on the FBM EMAS except in a few months, which can be identified as being bearish markets (between September 2014 and February 2016, measured by using 200 days SMA). A similar pattern is also observed regarding the returns of the conventional stocks, except for a few months when the technical trading strategies failed to outperform FBM KLCI, which, therefore, cannot be associated with any particular market condition. Overall, the technical trading

| Level of sig. (%) | Panel A: Sharīʿah-compliant | Panel B: conventional |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
|                  | Without trend | Including trend | Without trend | Including trend |
|                  | Prob.* (%)    | Prob.* (%)        | Prob.* (%)    | Prob.* (%)       |
| 1                | 123          | 98.40            | 46            | 95.84            |
| 5                | 2            | 1.60             | 1             | 2.08             |
| 10               | 0            | 0.00             | 0             | 0.00             |
| Total            | –            | 100.00           | –             | 100.00           |

Table I. Summary of the ADF and PP tests

Note: All returns of stocks and markets are based on the calculations of the authors using the sample’s data

Source: Chart Nexus application
strategies appear to outperform their respective benchmarks. Accordingly, this finding suggests that when a market is still inefficient an active investment strategy based on market timing using technical trading rules fares much better compared to a passive investment strategy undertaken through index tracking.

Table II displays a summary of the returns for all selected technical trading strategies tested on both samples, namely, Sharīʿah-compliant and conventional stocks. A total of 1,265 buy recommendations were collected for the Sharīʿah-compliant stocks and 400 buy recommendations for the conventional stocks between 2013 and 2015. These buy recommendations were then matched with a sell signal based on each of the technical trading strategies (i.e. BB, CCI, MACD, MAE, momentum, RSI, SMA, shooting star, stochastic and WPR). As mentioned earlier, the study was extended by 90 days until March 2016 to complete the matching process. Only a few cases were found where the sell signals were not found by the last day of the study period (i.e. end of March 2016), and therefore, a force selling method was executed to complete the transaction.

As displayed in Table II below, from amongst all the selected trading strategies, MAE required the longest time to detect a sell signal with an average of 224 days in the Sharīʿah-compliant sample and 335 days in the conventional sample. In contrast, Momentum only required about 16 days to produce a sell signal in both samples. As shown in the table, the shooting star strategy is recorded as being the most profitable strategy with an average return of 3.88% per cycle followed by SMA (3.77%) and MACD (2.62%) in the Sharīʿah-compliant sample. It was noted that MACD nonetheless ranks in the top three in the Sharīʿah-compliant stocks and ranks the highest (most profitable strategy) in the conventional stocks with average returns of 1.78%. Regarding MAE, it remains relevant when used in the Sharīʿah-compliant sample (1.14%) but generally fails when it is applied in the conventional sample (–1.58%).

Overall, the technical trading strategies performed much better in the Sharīʿah-compliant sample, associated with a higher standard deviation (risk). Here, such a risk-return trade-off would possibly imply that investors need to be well-versed regarding the functioning of these technical trading strategies given the greater possibility of unfavourable outcomes. Indeed, this is consistent with the argument of Narayan and Bannigidadmath (2017), who

| Trading strategies | Panel A: Sharīʿah-compliant | Panel B: conventional |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
|                    | N(D) | Mean (%) | SD | Max (%) | Min (%) | N(D) | Mean (%) | SD | Max (%) | Min (%) |
| BB                 | 42.21 | 2.24     | 18.49 | 470.00 | –63.04 | 50.62 | 1.56     | 8.05 | 48.51   | –49.32 |
| CCI                | 40.39 | 0.19     | 9.99  | 81.13  | –64.49 | 39.67 | –0.53    | 7.24  | 48.51   | –45.21 |
| MACD               | 31.85 | 2.62     | 10.98 | 177.78 | –45.68 | 30.6  | 1.78     | 10.09 | 74.01   | –21.1  |
| MAE                | 224.93| 1.14     | 23.50 | 169.44 | –170   | 335.2 | –1.58    | 18.15 | 62.67   | –53.88 |
| Momentum           | 15.78 | 1.72     | 9.54  | 116.67 | –47.46 | 16.27 | 0.93     | 5.94  | 45.90   | –15.15 |
| RSI                | 55.92 | 0.59     | 14.16 | 94.34  | –160   | 73.6  | –0.28    | 11.04 | 33.33   | –52.65 |
| SMA                | 41.21 | 3.77     | 20.56 | 470.00 | –54.32 | 28.53 | 0.04     | 6.18  | 36.07   | –30.14 |
| Shooting star      | 75.88 | 3.88     | 17.89 | 188.89 | –60.49 | 65.51 | 1.28     | 11.03 | 63.64   | –52.94 |
| Stochastic         | 29.25 | 0.45     | 9.08  | 70.00  | –65.94 | 27.72 | 0.60     | 5.89  | 19.92   | –49.32 |
| WPR                | 31.39 | 0.48     | 8.94  | 70.00  | –65.94 | 27.58 | 0.44     | 5.69  | 19.92   | –49.32 |

Notes: N(D) = number of days between the day of buy to the day of sell. SMA = simple moving average, MAE = moving average envelopes, RSI = relative strength index, CCI = commodity channel index and WPR = William percentage range. Std dev is a standard deviation. The total number of transactions is 1,265 in the Sharīʿah-compliant sample and 400 for the conventional sample.
found that positive news and Islamic stocks are able to generate higher returns, and thus, the buy recommendation can provide higher returns.

Next, Table III summarises the NER for all selected technical trading strategies for both samples. For the sample of Shari'ah-compliant stocks, five strategies report significant positive returns while the other five strategies (CCI, MAE, RSI, stochastic and WPR) produce negative or insignificant positive returns. Notably, the performance of these technical trading strategies is noticeably worse in the conventional sample. That is to say, only two strategies produce significant positive NER while the other two strategies (momentum and shooting star) produce positive but insignificant NER. Regarding annualised returns, all technical trading strategies could outperform the market (FBM EMAS) in the Shari'ah-compliant sample with momentum recording the highest returns at 40% per annum. However, only six strategies produce returns higher than FBM KLCI in the conventional sample.

Table IV provides a summary of the results of Jensen’s alpha in determining the effectiveness of the selected technical trading strategies in generating the abnormal returns in both samples. As shown in panel A, all strategies except SMA have alpha values represented as positive and significant, at the 10% level at a minimum. This finding suggests that these strategies can produce gross ER that are superior to the market after adjusting for their respective risks. The result of NER indicates that eight strategies remain positive and significant after deducting the TC (0.42%) as MACD becomes insignificant. In brief, eight technical strategies are shown to be effective in generating abnormal NER in the shari'ah-compliant sample. In contrast, the results shown in Panel B depict a remarkable difference in that only four strategies have significant positive alpha values. (the alpha of CCI is also significant but negative).

Therefore, this finding infers that only four strategies (BB, MACD, MAE and momentum) are effective in generating gross ER. Indeed, the TC reduces this number to only three when the alpha of the momentum strategy becomes insignificant. Moreover, only three strategies (Bollinger Bands, MACD and MAE) remain effective in generating risk-adjusted

| Trading strategies | Panel A: Shari'ah-compliant | Panel B: conventional |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
|                   | $R_I$-RFR-TC (%) | $R_I$-RFR-TC (%) | $R_I$-RFR-TC (%) | $R_I$-RFR-TC (%) |
| BB                | 1.47***          | 1.81***            | 19.35            | 0.04            | 0.72*            | 0.71            | 11.22            | 3.06            |
| CCI               | -0.56**          | -0.23              | 1.75             | 0.02            | -1.28***         | -1.23***         | -4.90            | 2.52            |
| MACD              | 1.93***          | 2.19***            | 30.00            | 0.08            | 1.11**           | 0.99**           | 21.25            | 4.47            |
| MAE               | -1.14*           | 0.72               | 1.86             | 0.01            | -4.79***         | -1.42*           | -1.72            | -0.64           |
| Momentum          | 1.16***          | 1.29***            | 39.69            | 0.06            | 0.37             | 0.41             | 20.82            | 2.25            |
| RSI               | -0.29            | 0.17               | 3.85             | 0.03            | -1.32**          | -0.92*           | -1.41            | 1.06            |
| SMA               | 3.00***          | 3.34***            | 33.36            | 0.06            | -0.62**          | -0.51*           | 0.47             | 1.60            |
| Shooting star     | 2.83***          | 3.45***            | 18.67            | 0.05            | 0.31             | 0.31             | 7.11             | 3.03            |
| Stochastic        | -0.21            | 0.03               | 5.63             | 0.01            | -0.45            | -0.02            | 7.91             | 2.63            |
| WPR               | -0.20            | 0.06               | 5.60             | 0.01            | -0.21            | -0.19            | 5.87             | 2.80            |

Notes: $A = \text{annualised return} = R_I \times (365/N(D))$, SMA = simple moving average, MAE = moving average envelopes, RSI = relative strength index, CCI = commodity channel index and WPR = William percentage range. The number of transactions for each technical trading strategy is 1,265 in the Shari'ah-compliant sample and 400 for the conventional sample. Asterisks *** and ** indicate significance at 1, 5 and 10% levels, respectively. The detailed summary results of the one-sample $t$-test are attached in the Appendix.
NER in the conventional sample. Statistically, the results show that technical trading strategies are more effective in producing abnormal profits in the Sharī'ah-compliant sample compared to their application in the conventional sample.

Accordingly, these findings appear to have an important implication regarding the efficiency or, rather, the inefficiency of the Malaysian stock market. The different results of Jensen’s alpha obtained from the same technical trading strategies imply that the weak form inefficiency of the Malaysian stock market is more prevalent amongst the Sharī'ah-compliant stocks segment compared to the conventional stocks segment. Further, these results support and are consistent with previous studies (Alvarez-Diaz et al., 2014; Sensoy et al., 2015; Al-Khazali et al., 2016), which found that the conventional market is more efficient, while the Islamic or Sharī'ah-compliant markets tend to be inefficient. According to Jawadi et al. (2015), the inefficiency of the Islamic market may result from the restrictions of the Sharī'ah principles, lack of liquidity and diversity.

### Conclusion and implications

This study investigates the weak form efficiency of Sharī'ah-compliant and conventional stocks in the context of the Malaysian stock market based on the effectiveness of unconventional technical trading strategies. The buy recommendations suggested by securities analysts are used to provide reliable timing to enter the market, as suggested by Mayo (2011), who categorises “investment advisory opinion” as one of the main technical indicators. Mayo (2011), however, suggested that this expert opinion be adopted as a “contrarian” view. In contrast, this study applies it as a “momentum” view by following the suggestion of securities analysts given that it represents the opinion of investment experts. Accordingly, this study attains 1,265 buy recommendations in the Sharī'ah-compliant stocks and 400 buy recommendations in the conventional stocks from January 2013 to December 2015. The test period was further extended for 90 days until March 2016 to allow sufficient time to detect the sell signals for all technical trading strategies that were selected in the ChartNexus application.

The results of this study revealed that, firstly, the unit root tests (ADF and PP) indicated that almost all stock price returns series (without trends and including trends) do not move randomly and should, therefore, be predictable through some methods. This suggests that

### Table IV.
Summary results of Jensen’s alpha (α) test

| Trading strategies | Panel A: Sharī'ah-compliant | | Panel B: conventional | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---|---|
|                    | ER α                        | NER α                      | ER α                     | NER α                     | ER α                     | NER α                     | ER α                     | NER α                      |
| BB                 | 7.4631***                   | 7.0431***                  | 1.1333***               | 0.7133*                   | -0.8123***               | -1.2323***               | -0.8123***               | -1.4592*                   |
| CCI                | 2.5300***                   | 2.1100***                  | -0.8123***              | -0.9836**                 | 1.4036***               | 1.4592*                   | 1.4036***               | 1.4592*                   |
| MACD               | 0.8747*                     | 0.4547                     | 0.8139***               | 0.3939                    | 0.8139***               | 0.3939                    | 0.8139***               | 0.3939                    |
| MAE                | 6.6350***                   | 6.2150***                  | -0.2761                 | -0.6961                   | -0.2761                 | -0.6961                   | -0.2761                 | -0.6961                   |
| Momentum           | 2.0419***                   | 1.6219***                  | -0.1024                 | -5.024*                   | -0.1024                 | -5.024*                   | -0.1024                 | -5.024*                   |
| RSI                | 7.9835***                   | 7.5635***                  | -0.2761                 | -0.6961                   | -0.2761                 | -0.6961                   | -0.2761                 | -0.6961                   |
| SMA                | -4.7561***                  | -5.1764***                 | -0.2761                 | -5.024*                   | -0.2761                 | -5.024*                   | -0.2761                 | -5.024*                   |
| Shooting star      | 3.6464***                   | 3.0448***                  | 0.7314                  | 0.3114                    | 0.7314                  | 0.3114                    | 0.7314                  | 0.3114                    |
| Stochastic         | 6.0372***                   | 5.6172***                  | 0.5875                  | -0.0225                   | 0.5875                  | -0.0225                   | 0.5875                  | -0.0225                   |
| WPR                | 2.4691***                   | 2.0491***                  | 0.2292                  | -0.1908                   | 0.2292                  | -0.1908                   | 0.2292                  | -0.1908                   |

**Notes**: Refer to the Appendix for the detailed results. SMA = simple moving average, MAE = moving average envelopes, RSI = relative strength index, CCI = commodity channel index and WPR = William percentage range. Asterisks ***, ** and * indicate the level of significance at the 1, 5 and 10%, respectively.
the Malaysian stock market – be it Shari’ah-compliant or conventional – is still inefficient in a weak form. Secondly, the result of the one-sample t-tests indicated that only five strategies (BB, MACD, momentum, SMA and shooting star) were able to generate significant positive returns in the Shari’ah-compliant sample whereas, the positively significant strategies were reduced to only two strategies (BB and MACD) in the conventional sample. The main results from Jensen’s alpha regression tests indicated that all strategies were significant at the 10% level except for the SMA strategy in the Shari’ah-compliant sample.

However, after considering the TC, MACD became insignificant whereas SMA turned out to be negatively insignificant. There are eight out of 10 strategies that were effective in generating NER in the Shari’ah-compliant samples. Contrasting results were found in the conventional sample where only three out of 10 strategies proved to be effective in producing abnormal profits. The results of Jensen’s alpha test in both samples indicated overall that the efficiency level in the Shari’ah-compliant sample was dominated by the inefficient and contrastive result in the conventional sample. These results are indeed consistent with those of Alvarez-Diaz et al. (2014), Sensoy et al. (2015) and Al-Khazali et al. (2016), who also found that the conventional market is more efficient while the Islamic or Shari’ah-compliant market tends to be more inefficient.

The efficiency level of the stock market is the scenario of the funding and capital allocation and distribution process in the capital market. In this study, the Shari’ah-compliant stocks tended to be inefficient in the weak form, which implies that the stock prices of Shari’ah-compliant stocks listed on Bursa Malaysia are predictable and do not move in a random manner. Intuitively, it means that using certain high technologies or complex strategies, investors would be able to predict and generate abnormal profits. Different behaviours were found in the conventional sample, which is proven to be more efficient with only a few strategies being still effective to predict stock prices and detecting profitable sell signals. Overall, the results indicate that the authorities of the Malaysian capital market such as Bursa Malaysia and SC Malaysia should regulate and produce-related policies and rules to promote a practical and conducive investment environment for investors and all listed companies. The results indicating different behaviours of stocks in both segments imply that new policies or regulations may be needed to place a greater focus on Shari’ah-compliant stocks or the Islamic market, which tended to be inefficient in this study.

Meanwhile, high technology investment tools or applications could be introduced to Muslim investors through certain training programs to equip investors with more recent technology, insights and knowledge. The inefficiency of the Shari’ah-compliant stocks could be a target for manipulation and exploitation by certain investor groups equipped with more sophisticated technology, especially during this fintech (financial technology) era. The authorities also need to be more intuitive in promoting stock investments to potential Muslim investors to boost and increase the number of active Muslim investors via programs and competitions such as the Bursa Young investors club and the CIMB ASEAN stock challenge, which provide platforms for new and young investors to gain much-needed knowledge and experience.

The results of this study will help to provide additional information or guidelines for investors, whether they be professional, institutional or retail investors. The results imply that certain technical strategies are still effective for generating ER, which should help to enhance investor confidence in the usefulness of using technical trading strategies. Finally, the recommendations and opinions of professional securities analysts have also been proven to be reliable as a guide for market entry timing. This could be adopted as an initial step towards minimising the speculation element, which is prohibited in Islam. Similar to other
studies, the most important limitation in this study has been the short period of study for the research; in this study, the buy recommendations were only collected for a three-year period (2013–2015) and needed to be extended by a further 90 days for the detection of sell signals. Also, the small number of technical trading strategies included in the research could be broadened.

Regarding further research, it is proposed that further study be conducted to examine the effectiveness of technical trading strategies through the involvement of more advanced and recent technical trading strategies over a longer period of study, especially including bear and bull markets as an opportune area of study.
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| Technical strategies | Description |
|----------------------|-------------|
| SMA                  | SMA is an average or means of the stock price for certain periods (e.g. 5 days, 14 days, 20 days and 100 days) and is used to identify the direction of the trend and also generate the buy and sell signals. Besides that, the moving average can be a “support” level in an uptrend or a “resistance” level in a downtrend. |
| MAE                  | MAE includes the envelopes to the moving average and is used to detect whether stock prices are overextended compared to the moving average. The envelopes of moving average are specified with a certain percentage (e.g. 3%, 5% or 10%) above and below the moving average line. |
| BB                   | BB consists of three variation lines, namely, the upper band, middle band and lower band, which are generated from the two standard deviations (positive and negative) of the SMA. If the stock price is higher (lower) than the upper (lower) band, this indicates the stock is overbought (oversold), and therefore, the stock prices will bounce back to the moving average of certain periods (e.g. 20 days). |
| Momentum             | Momentum is used to compare the current price with the price in the past. If the current price is higher (lower) than the past, then the momentum indicator will be positive (negative). |
| CCI                  | CCI is used to classify the cycle trend of equity, currency or commodity and also to determine whether a stock is overbought or oversold. CCI will fluctuate within ±100; if CCI moves above (lower) than +100 (−100), it indicates the stock has been overbought (oversold). |
| RSI                  | RSI is used to measure the current and historical strength or weakness of a stock based on the closing prices of a recent trading period (e.g. 14 days). The stocks are considered as overbought (oversold) when RSI is higher (lower) than 70 (30) level. |
| Stochastic (%K%D)    | Stochastic is applied to generate the overbought or oversold situation. The basic idea of stochastic is that the closing price is near the high (low) in an uptrend (downtrend). There are two lines (%K and %D) in this stochastic oscillator. This strategy will provide overbought (oversold) signals when the lines cross above (below) the 80 (20) level. |
| WPR                  | WPR is adopted to determine overbought or oversold by comparing with the stock closing price to the high-low range over specific periods (e.g. 14 days). This strategy is quite similar to a stochastic oscillator but is plotted upside-down while stochastic is internal smoothing. The overbought (oversold) signal is detected when it crosses above (below) −20 (−80) level. |
| MACD                 | MACD is used to spot the changes in the strength, direction, momentum and duration of a trend for stock prices. Two lines are provided in MACD (MACD and signal line) and the buy (sell) signal is generated when the MACD line crosses above (below) the signal line. |
| Shooting star         | The shooting star looks like an inverted hammer but it only occurs at the top of uptrends of a candlestick graph. It has a long upper wick and is at least two times the length of the lower body. The upper wick indicates that buyers drive prices up during the day but encounter selling pressures and cause the stock prices to fall and close lower than the opening price. This selling pressure could be considered as a potential reversal sign as the stock prices may fall in the future. |

Table A1. Summary of technical strategies
| Trading strategies and returns | Return series | Panel A | Panel B |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
|                               |               | ER      | NER     |
|                               |              | R₁ (t-value) | R₂ (t-value) | R₁ (t-value) | R₂ (t-value) |
| BB                            | R₁-RFR       | 1.8867 (3.6090)*** | 1.4667 (2.8050)*** | 1.1360 (2.7460)*** | 0.7160 (1.7310)* |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 2.2333 (4.3010)*** | 1.8133 (3.4920)*** | 1.1320 (2.8230)*** | 0.7120 (1.7750)* |
| CCI                           | R₁-RFR       | -0.1421 (−0.4980) | -0.5621 (−1.9700)*** | -0.8630 (−2.3150)*** | -1.2829 (−3.4420)*** |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 0.1914 (0.6830)  | -0.2286 (−0.8150)  | -0.8070 (−2.3300)*** | -1.2270 (−3.5430)*** |
| MACD                          | R₁-RFR       | 2.3531 (7.6540)*** | 1.9331 (6.2880)*** | 1.5271 (3.0370)*** | 1.1071 (2.2020)*** |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 2.6110 (8.4680)*** | 2.1906 (7.1060)*** | 1.4065 (2.9080)*** | 0.9865 (2.0400)*** |
| MAE                           | R₁-RFR       | -0.7218 (−1.0690) | -1.1418 (−1.6920)* | -4.3674 (−4.4300)*** | -4.7874 (−4.8560)*** |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 1.1375 (1.7240)*  | 0.7175 (1.0880)    | -0.9962 (−1.2360)  | -1.4162 (−1.7570)* |
| Momentum                      | R₁-RFR       | 1.5847 (5.9160)*** | 1.1647 (4.3480)*** | 0.7926 (2.6660)*** | 0.3726 (1.2530)   |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 1.7134 (6.4000)*** | 1.2934 (4.8310)*** | 0.8276 (2.8180)*** | 0.4076 (1.3880)*** |
| SMA                           | R₁-RFR       | 3.4235 (5.9680)*** | 3.0035 (5.2350)*** | 0.2002 (−0.6550)   | -0.6202 (−2.2080)*** |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 3.7595 (6.5120)*** | 3.3395 (5.7840)*** | -0.0880 (−0.3100)  | -0.5080 (−1.7870)*** |
| Shooting star                  | R₁-RFR       | 3.2504 (6.4740)*** | 2.8304 (5.6380)*** | 0.7316 (1.3040)    | 0.3116 (0.5560)    |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 3.8705 (7.7080)*** | 3.4505 (6.8710)*** | 0.7314 (1.3700)    | 0.3114 (0.5830)    |
| Stochastic                    | R₁-RFR       | 0.2083 (0.8050)   | -0.2117 (−0.8180)  | 0.3705 (1.2260)    | −0.0495 (−0.1640)  |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 0.4507 (1.7690)*  | 0.0307 (0.1200)    | 0.4014 (1.4100)    | −0.0186 (−0.0650)  |
| WPR                           | R₁-RFR       | 0.8640 (0.2206)   | -0.1994 (−0.7810)  | 1.1360 (2.7460)*** | -0.2057 (−0.7030)  |
|                               | R₁-RM        | 1.9170 (0.4808)*  | 0.0608 (0.2420)    | 1.1320 (2.8230)*** | -0.1883 (−0.6850)  |

Notes: *** and * indicate the level of significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
TABLE A3.
Jensen's alpha (α) by ER

| Panel A | Panel B | Jensen's alpha (α) by NER |
|---------|---------|--------------------------|
| Sharīah-compliant | Sharīah non-compliant | Sharīah non-compliant |
| Trading strategies | α | β (RM-RFR) | α | β (RM-RFR) |
| BB | 7.4631*** | 16.0878*** | 1.1333*** | 0.6652*** |
| CCI | 2.5300*** | 8.0118*** | -0.8123*** | 0.9046*** |
| MACD | 0.8747* | -5.7416*** | 1.4036*** | 1.0247*** |
| MAE | 6.6350*** | 3.9568*** | 1.8792*** | 1.8529*** |
| Momentum | 2.0419*** | 3.5523* | 0.8139*** | 0.6107*** |
| RSI | 7.9835*** | 17.0649*** | -0.2761 | 1.5568*** |
| SMA | -4.7564*** | -24.343*** | -0.1024 | 0.8716*** |
| Shooting star | 3.4648*** | 0.3457 | 0.7314 | 0.8861*** |
| Stochastic | 6.0372*** | 24.0424*** | 0.3975 | 0.8757*** |
| WPR | 2.4691*** | 8.6427*** | 0.2292 | 0.8538*** |

Notes: *** and ** indicate the level of significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
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