Slow Orthodontic Teeth Extrusion to Enhance Hard and Soft Periodontal Tissue Quality before Implant Positioning in Aesthetic Area
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Abstract: Approaching bone defects of jaws treatments, hard and soft tissue augmentation could be considered as a goal for clinicians when performing dental implant placement. The increase in patients who want cosmetic treatment puts practitioners in an awkward position when choosing the best therapy to obtain the most desirable results.

A private dentist referred a young patient to the Department of Implantology in Milan in order to place implants in the upper jaw. Radiographic evaluation of the two upper anterior incisors confirmed that the teeth had a poor prognosis. The anterior ridge volume was clinically analyzed and several therapeutic choices were evaluated. Rapid extractions and immediate implant positioning were not considered due to the vertical and horizontal components of the bone defect. Therefore, the surgical team decided on increasing the bone volume by using slow orthodontic teeth extrusion technique.

After 3 months of orthodontic treatment, the angular intra-bony defects of 1.1 tooth was completely healed. Implant guided positioning, associated with a small bone graft, showed optimal results at the time of healing screw placement. The soft tissue conditioning was obtained by a provisional acrylic crown. The final application of two integral ceramic crowns showed excellent aesthetic results. Radiographic investigation at a 24 month follow-up confirmed the integration of the dental implants and the recovery of the bone defects.

Several safe surgical techniques are available today for reconstructing atrophic jaws. However, the same technique applied on the posterior area did not give the same predictable results as in the anterior areas of the jaw.
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INTRODUCTION

The progressive resorption of the alveolar bone begins following tooth loss and is accompanied by a reduction in both the quality and quantity of hard and soft tissues.

The healing events occurring after tooth extraction need to be understood for a complete evaluation of the appropriate time for implant positioning [1]. It was shown that after extracting a natural tooth, the greatest reduction of the alveolar bone occurs in the first 6 months to 2 years [2-4]. An estimate of 25% decrease in buccopalatal width occurs within the first year [4-8]. For this reason, in the last few decades, the ‘gold standard’ implant treatment protocol has been challenged by experiments whose aim is to shorten the treatment period and reduce the number of surgical procedures. Literature has demonstrated that it is no longer necessary to wait for complete healing of the extraction socket before implant placement [9-12].

Surgical diagnosis and analysis before starting the implant therapy is gaining great significance, in particular in the area of aesthetics. The achievement of a correct architecture of soft tissues and respect for aesthetic values should be considered in order to achieve a gratifying clinical result for both the patient and the surgeon.

Several pre-implant surgical procedures are available in the case of tooth extraction for maintaining an excellent quantity of mucosa and for avoiding soft tissue collapse. During the healing process following the tooth extraction, the edentulous site undergoes a remarkable change; the walls of the socket reduce and the change in the buccal wall is more emphasized than its lingual/palatal counterpart. Moreover, the space previously occupied by the tooth root and the periodontal ligament is replaced mainly by bone marrow. Several graft materials including autogenous, allogenous, xenogeneic and alloplastic bone graft have been used to preserve the alveolar ridge following tooth extraction. However, as yet there is no material which can guarantee the preservation of the socket after teeth extraction [3, 4, 13-15].

Some clinicians have also suggested several surgical techniques to avoid hard and soft tissue resorption after tooth extraction. The placement of a bone substitute into the alveolar post-extraction socket with free gingival graft covering techniques may be consider a good therapeutic option for maintaining the alveolar bone volume. However, a systematic literature review on this topic, confirms how the free
graft positioning into the alveolar socket without a bone substitute cannot be considered a predictable technique for favoring the organization of the clot [16-19].

The vertical bone augmentation topic has been much debated in the recent literature and clinicians are still looking for a reliable, safe and predictable technique in order to get improved clinical success with resorbed ridges.

The slow orthodontic extrusion technique, to obtain a good amount of hard and soft tissue before dental implant placement, may be of interest to clinicians with a lot of expertise in orthodontic treatment but with little experience in surgical techniques. This technique avoids the surgical steps of the bone regeneration technique, and is more simply managed by the clinicians. However, this technique requires more time to see the final results compared with surgical guided bone regeneration (GBR). Long-term clinical prospective studies have shown that, in general, 5 weeks are needed to achieve 2-3 mm of extrusion, followed by a retention period of 8-10 weeks. [19, 20] Orthodontic extrusion for bone regeneration is a non-traumatic technique whereas GBR is usually associated with pain and swelling in the immediate post op period [21].

The aim of this report is to suggest how a combined surgical-periodontal and orthodontic technique could be applied by clinicians in order to solve bone defects problems in the aesthetic area.

**CASE HISTORY**

A 42 years old woman was referred to the Implantology Department of the University of Milan to have an evaluation of the upper incisors and a consequent aesthetic rehabilitation of this area. The clinical examination revealed the presence of periodontal disease of the upper incisors with severe mobility (Figs. 1, 2). A treatment plan involving tooth extractions, fresh socket preservation by a free graft (harvested from the palate and inserted in a tunnel created under the papillae), bone reconstruction and dental implants placement was proposed by the surgical team. Before tooth extraction, the surgical team decided on a slow orthodontic extrusion of the residual frontal upper incisors in order to increase the vertical dimension of the bone. For this reason, the two incisors underwent conservative treatment to gain adequate surface dimension in order to apply the orthodontic brackets (Figs. 3-5). Slow orthodontic treatment (upper frontal inci-
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sors extrusion) was performed over a period of 3 months, and surgical extraction of two teeth with positioning of two dental implants was then performed.

The patient started an antimicrobial therapy 1 day prior to surgery with 1,000 mg amoxicillin (Zimox® PFIZER ITALIA) twice a day and she continued for more than 3 days post operatively

The presence of an adequate volume of hard and soft tissue is usually required to simplify the regenerative techniques. If a simple extraction is performed without graft positioning, it creates a collapse of the tissue causing a lack of available soft tissue. After local anaesthesia induction, a muco-periostal flap was elevated with an incision made in the middle of the crest between the upper canines.

The extraction of the two central upper incisors was then performed and two Astra® dental implants were positioned following a prosthetic guide (Figs. 6-9). The surgical area was irrigated with saline solution and bovine deproteinized bone (Bio-Oss Geistlich CH®) was used for ridge reconstruction. Two resorbable membranes were positioned on the bone grafts. After suture application, two provisional crowns were then connected to the orthodontic wire for aesthetic provisional restoration. Post surgical analgesic treatment was performed by 100 mg Nimesulide (Aulin®, Roche CH) administration twice a day for 5 days and the sutures were removed 14 days later.

This particular technique was performed in order to horizontally increase the residual ridge after central incisors extraction, to promote clot preservation, and obtain better healing of the bone wound. Simple 4-0 absorbable and 5-0 non-resorbable sutures were used to stabilise the position of the graft and to facilitate post-operative healing.

At the second week follow-up, the sutures were removed. The three week clinical control showed that soft tissues healing was uneventful (Figs. 10, 11).

Soft tissue healing at 14 days was within normal limits and no infection was revealed in the area and provisional resin crowns were again positioned. After three months, an OPT investigation was performed to underline dental implants osteointegration. At this time, the quantity of buccal keratinized mucosa was still insufficient and during the healing screw positioning, a connective tissue periosteal flap was
elevated from the palate and buccally positioned; transfers were then placed over the implants in order to get correct positioning. Four weeks later, provisional prosthetic crowns were placed for better soft tissue conditioning over the definitive abutments (Fig. 12). About 12 months after tooth extraction, the removal of the provisional prosthesis revealed excellent soft tissue healing. A mucogingival surgery was performed on the buccal surface to reduce excess tissue bulk and the final ceramic crowns were then cemented in. At 24 months after extraction, the patient showed a good amount of keratinized mucosa and the radiographic investigation revealed osseointegration of the dental implants (Figs. 13-15).

DISCUSSION

The technique used in the described clinical case took into account concept guidelines, published in study results at the end of 1980s, regarding mucogingival surgery and the orthodontic therapy. In these clinical studies some techniques of soft tissue thickness augmentation and root coverage with tissue graft harvested from the palate were described. Moreover, the combination of several techniques to increase the vertical component of the bone seems to be the main focus in recent years [20, 22-24].

Pre-prosthetic augmentation procedures, including alveolar ridge augmentation, using bone grafts are commonplace. Osteogenesis distraction and extra oral graft are usually selected for atrophic ridge augmentation. Small, space maintaining or horizontal bone defects can be treated with a combination of synthetic biomaterial or animal derivate material. The reported case underlines how the orthodontic movement may be used to treat vertical bone defects obtaining final excellent results using the GBR techniques.

According to Rasner, orthodontic extrusion of non-reparable teeth prior to implant placement appears to be a viable alternative to conventional surgical augmentative procedures in implant site development [25, 27].
In contrast, the literature justifies the use of bone grafting materials in freshly extracted sockets to avoid hard and soft tissue resorption. When demineralized, freeze-dried bone allograft (DFDBA) was used in conjunction with a collagen membrane, the data of the analysed study showed how the width of the alveolar ridge did not decrease significantly, while the width of the socket sites, which healed naturally, showed more evidence of a significance decrease.

However, the application in the fresh socket of denatured bovine bone or collagen bovine seems to have the potential of limiting but not avoiding post-operative contour shrinkage [18, 19, 20].

Several animal study and in vitro investigations have been performed to find a reliable technique for a better socket preservation after tooth extraction. On this topic, many authors have concluded that socket preservation techniques were not able to entirely compensate the alterations after tooth extraction. Yet, incorporation of synthetic bone or collagen seems to have the potential to reduce post-operative hard and soft tissues modifications [27-29]. However, other studies have underlined how the alveolar bone resorption, following tooth extraction, is an common event because there are no predictable and available techniques to avoid this process. For this reason, the best way to preserve the alveolar bone is related to the correct procedure in performing tooth extraction [30-33].

To obtain the best result in the socket preservation, a wide range of barrier membranes have been used in numerous studies over the years such as expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE), collagen, polyglycolic acid and polyglyactin [9,10]. These can be grouped into 2 major categories: non resorbable and resorbable membranes. However, the resorption time of the resorbable membranes is different for each brand used. If clinicians know the chemical characteristic of the material used and therefore the bone healing time, this will favour excellent augmented bone at the time of dental implant positioning [33-38].

This report clearly points out how the combination of different, predictable and safe techniques can be used with the aim of achieving both function and pleasing aesthetics results for the patients. Diverse treatments are available to the clinician so the choice made should be based on defects size, time of procedure, and the patient’s aesthetics requests [39, 40].

CONCLUSION

Dimensional changes after tooth extraction often result in bone resorption which complicates restorations with implants or traditional prostheses. Preservation of the alveolar dimensions after tooth extraction is crucial to achieve optimal aesthetic and functional prosthetics results. In addition, with the high usage of dental implants in replacing non-restorable teeth, the preservation of the existing alveolus is essential to maintain adequate bone volume for placement and stabilization of the implants. Non traumatic extraction and socket preservation techniques have been introduced to minimize bone resorption after tooth extraction. Several hard and soft tissue management surgical techniques are available today to assist the clinician in achieving an ideal aesthetic treatment result. Maintenance of an extraction socket for future implant therapy does not exclude immediate implant placement, but knowledge and experience are needed to determine the best treatment modality.

The reported case shows a successfully management of the upper incisor area in which non recoverable teeth have been replaced by dental implants. Predictable therapeutic steps for obtaining effective aesthetic and long-term results are related to a multidisciplinary approach to the procedure. The application of the slow orthodontic extrusion technique is helpful in increasing the vertical height of the bony tissue.
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KEY MESSAGE

Orthodontic slow extrusion of the teeth may be considered a valuable therapeutic option to increase the quality and the quantity of hard and soft tissue before dental implant positioning. Clinicians and periodontist should consider a multidisciplinary approach in order to obtain high aesthetic results.
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