SRG/ART-XC, Swift, NICER, and NuSTAR study of different states of the transient X-ray pulsar MAXI J0903–531
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ABSTRACT

The results of the broadband spectral and timing study of the recently discovered transient X-ray pulsar MAXI J0903–531 in a wide range of luminosities that differ by a factor of ~30 are reported. The observed X-ray spectrum in both states can be described as a classical pulsar-like spectrum consisting of a power law with a high-energy cutoff. We argue that the absence of the spectrum transformation to the two-hump structure that is expected at low fluxes indicates that the magnetic field of the neutron star is relatively weak below (2–3) × 10¹² G. This estimate is consistent with other indirect constraints and non-detection of any absorption features that might be interpreted as a cyclotron absorption line. The timing analysis of the NuSTAR data revealed only slight variations of a single-peaked pulse profile of the source as a function of the energy band and mass accretion rate. In both intensity states, the pulsed fraction increases from 40% to roughly 80% with the energy. Finally, we were also able to obtain the orbital solution for the binary system using data from the Fermi/GBM, NICER, and NuSTAR instruments.
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1. Introduction

The transient X-ray source MAXI J0903–531 was discovered with the MAXI/GSC nova alert system on April 14, 2021, with an indication of a gradual increase in flux (Yamaoka et al. 2021). An accurate source localisation with coordinates of α²⁰⁰⁰.⁰ = 136°27′28.66″, δ²⁰⁰⁰.⁰ = −53°50′51.18″ (2″ uncertainty radius at the 90% confidence level) was obtained two days later with the Swift/XRT telescope (Kennea et al. 2021). Kennea et al. reported a possible optical counterpart, Gaia EDR3 source 5311384333263075840, with a distance estimated at about 10 kpc (Bailer-Jones et al. 2021). A subsequent discovery of coherent pulsations with a period of P = 14.055 s (Ray et al. 2021) by the NICER telescope then allowed an unambiguous identification of the object as a transient X-ray pulsar (XRP). A spectral type of the companion star of B1.5–2 III–Ve was determined using observations at the Southern African Large Telescope (SALT; Monageng et al. 2021), suggesting that MAXI J0903–531 is a new Galactic Be X-ray binary system (Be/XRP).

Analysis of the long-term monitoring of the companion star from the ASAS-SN project revealed a strong modulation at a period of 3.84 days with an amplitude of 0.05 magnitudes (Monageng et al. 2021). Another periodicity at 0.79 days was found using the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) data. This might be interpreted as a pulsation period or the rotation period of the Be star (Rivera Sandóval et al. 2021). This periodicity was later confirmed using the OGLE survey data (Udalski 2021), although the authors suggested that the 3.8 d periodicity is a 1-day alias of the 0.79 days period. Based on the analysis of long-term monitoring data from the Swift/BAT transient monitor and Fermi/GBM monitor, another longer periodicity with a period of about 57 days was later found by Corbet et al. (2021). Assuming that this is the orbital period, the location of MAXI J0903–531 in the Corbet diagram (Corbet 1986) supports its Be/XRP classification.

Based on the NICER data, it was shown that the 0.5–10 keV energy spectrum cannot be described by just an absorbed power-law model, but an additional blackbody component with a temperature of kT = 0.61 ± 0.02 keV is required (Ray et al. 2021). Closer to the end of the outburst, when MAXI J0903–531 faded to the 3–50 keV flux of 1.35 × 10⁻¹¹ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² (i.e. about 40 times lower than the peak of the outburst), the source was also observed with the NuSTAR observatory in the broad energy band (Thalhammer et al. 2021). It was shown that the broadband energy spectrum can be fitted with an absorbed cutoff power-law model with a photon index of 1.25 and a folding energy of 27 keV. The absorption column density and blackbody component could not be constrained with the available data. MAXI J0903–531 therefore demonstrates a clear...
transient behaviour and was observed in a very wide range of luminosities.

The timing and spectral properties of accreting XRPs differ significantly at low- and high-mass accretion rates. This sheds light on different physical aspects of the emission production and the interaction with matter in the presence of extreme magnetic fields. We therefore focus on reporting results of the detailed study of the temporal and spectral properties of MAXI J0903–531 using the data from various X-ray instruments in the broad energy band at mass accretion rates that differ by a factor of ~30.

2. Data analysis

As described above, MAXI J0903–531 was observed with different X-ray and optical facilities since its discovery. Below, we briefly describe the reduction procedures for each of the data sets we used. The observations are listed in Table 1.

### 2.1. NuSTAR

The main instrument for our study is the NuSTAR observatory. It hosts two identical focal plane modules A and B (FPMA and FPMB; Harrison et al. 2013) that cover the broad energy range of 3–79 keV. NuSTAR observed MAXI J0903–531 twice: the first time on May 3, 2021 (ObsID 90701315002), about two weeks after the discovery of the source and thus already closer to the end of an outburst at a comparatively low luminosity (Thalhammer et al. 2021). The second observation was performed on June 10, 2021 (ObsID 90701320002), following a trigger by the SRG/ART-XC telescope, which discovered the resumed activity of MAXI J0903–531, so that the flux in the second observation is higher by a factor of 30 than in the first NuSTAR pointing.

The data for both observations were reduced following the standard procedures described in the NuSTAR user guide and using the standard NuSTAR Data Analysis Software NUSTARDAS v1.9.6 with the CALDB version 20210524. The source and background spectra and light curves were extracted from circular regions with radii of 50′ and 150′ in the low state (observation 90701315002) and 60′ and 150′ in the bright state (observation 90701320002), respectively. The background in both cases was extracted from a source-free region in the corner of the field of view. The scientific products were obtained using the NUPRODUCTS routine with the default parameters. Energy spectra were optimally rebinned using the prescription from Kaastra & Bleeker (2016). The light curves were background and barycentrically corrected. In order to increase statistics, we added the light curves from the two NuSTAR modules (FPMA and FPMB).

### 2.2. SRG/ART-XC

The Spectrum Roentgen Gamma (SRG) observatory (Sunyaev et al. 2021) hosts two X-ray telescopes: the Mikhail Pavlinsky ART-XC, operating in the 4–30 keV energy range (Pavlinsky et al. 2021), and eROSITA, operating in the 0.2–10 keV energy range (Predehl et al. 2021). This mission aims at the most sensitive detection and the interaction with matter in the presence of extreme magnetic fields. The timing and spectral properties of accreting XRPs differ significantly at low- and high-mass accretion rates. This sheds light on different physical aspects of the emission production and the interaction with matter in the presence of extreme magnetic fields. We therefore focus on reporting results of the detailed study of the temporal and spectral properties of MAXI J0903–531 using the data from various X-ray instruments in the broad energy band at mass accretion rates that differ by a factor of ~30.

The ART-XC telescope consists of seven identical grazing-incidence focusing modules with a total effective area of ~450 cm² at 6 keV, an energy resolution of 1.4 keV at 6 keV, an angular resolution of ~50″, and a timing resolution of 23 μs.

### Table 1. Observations of MAXI J0903–531.

| ObsID | Tstart MJD | Tstop MJD | Exposure ks |
|-------|------------|-----------|-------------|
|       |            |           | NuSTAR observations |
| 90701315002 | 59 337.12 | 59 337.59 | 23.3 |
| 90701320002 | 59 375.63 | 59 376.76 | 48.0 |
|       |            |           | SRG/ART-XC observations |
| 1100100100 | 59 001.89 | 59 002.72 | 0.05 |
| 1200060100 | 59 188.75 | 59 189.59 | 0.05 |
| 1211005001 | 59 357.64 | 59 357.71 | 5.9 |
| 1300060100 | 59 370.93 | 59 371.59 | 0.02 |
|       |            |           | NICER observations |
| 420210101 | 59 320.82 | 59 320.89 | 0.90 |
| 420210102 | 59 321.02 | 59 321.99 | 6.58 |
| 420210103 | 59 322.24 | 59 322.96 | 5.06 |
| 420210104 | 59 323.02 | 59 323.61 | 4.58 |
| 420210105 | 59 324.51 | 59 324.96 | 1.99 |
| 420210106 | 59 325.02 | 59 325.16 | 1.47 |
| 420210107 | 59 326.45 | 59 326.51 | 0.66 |
| 420210108 | 59 327.22 | 59 327.55 | 0.88 |
| 420210110 | 59 329.42 | 59 330.00 | 1.54 |
| 420210111 | 59 330.06 | 59 330.97 | 1.92 |
| 420210112 | 59 331.09 | 59 331.94 | 2.30 |
| 420210113 | 59 331.99 | 59 332.13 | 1.23 |
| 420210115 | 59 335.93 | 59 335.94 | 0.89 |
|       |            |           | Swift observations |
| 00014281001 (wt) | 59 320.97 | 59 321.11 | 1.98 |
| 00014281002 (wt) | 59 327.35 | 59 327.69 | 1.00 |
| 00014281003 (wt) | 59 329.74 | 59 330.62 | 2.32 |
| 00014281004 (wt) | 59 331.08 | 59 331.29 | 0.65 |
| 00014281005 (wt) | 59 333.72 | 59 333.80 | 0.68 |
| 00014281006 (pc) | 59 338.46 | 59 338.47 | 0.89 |
| 00014281007 (pc) | 59 338.94 | 59 338.98 | 0.95 |
| 00014281008 (pc) | 59 337.15 | 59 337.23 | 0.87 |
| 00014281009 (pc) | 59 390.01 | 59 390.02 | 0.92 |
| 00014281010 (pc) | 59 393.41 | 59 394.00 | 1.53 |
| 00014281011 (pc) | 59 396.19 | 59 396.20 | 0.97 |
| 00014281012 (pc) | 59 398.70 | 59 398.91 | 1.52 |
| 00014281014 (pc) | 59 405.27 | 59 405.28 | 0.95 |
| 00014281015 (pc) | 59 408.35 | 59 408.35 | 0.28 |
| 00014281016 (pc) | 59 411.17 | 59 411.18 | 0.87 |
| 00014281017 (pc) | 59 414.09 | 59 414.10 | 0.77 |
| 00014281018 (pc) | 59 417.01 | 59 417.02 | 0.86 |
| 00014281020 (pc) | 59 423.06 | 59 423.07 | 0.80 |
| 00014281021 (pc) | 59 426.92 | 59 426.92 | 0.24 |
| 00014281022 (pc) | 59 429.84 | 59 429.85 | 0.89 |
| 00014281023 (pc) | 59 432.96 | 59 432.97 | 0.84 |
ART-XC data were processed with the analysis software ART-PRODUCTS v0.9 and with ART-XC CalDB version 20200401. A description of the ART-XC telescope and the software can be found in Pavlinsky et al. (2021). All energy spectra were rebinned to have at least 1 count per energy channel.

2.3. NICER

Soon after the source was discovered, the Neutron star Interior Composition Explorer (NICER; Gendreau et al. 2012) started its monitoring with a cadence of about one day. The monitoring continued for almost 40 days and covered the whole outburst and some fraction of the quiescent period before the next outburst. We use the NICER data that were collected until MAXI J0903–531 entered the low-luminosity state when its flux became background dominated, that is, above $F_{0.5–10\text{keV}} \sim 10^{-11}\text{erg}\text{s}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-2}$ (or before MJD 59 335).

The raw NICER data were reduced using the NICERDAS software version 7a, and the default filtering criteria were applied. The background was estimated using the tool NIBACKGEN3C$^{1}$ (Remillard et al., in prep.) with the default parameters. Each spectrum was binned to have at least 1 count per energy channel.

2.4. Swift

To study the long-term behaviour of the source, including in the quiescent state, simultaneously with our NuSTAR observation in the bright state, we triggered a two-month monitoring of MAXI J0903–531 with the XRT telescope (Burrows et al. 2005) on board the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Gehrels et al. 2004) with a cadence of 3 days. Depending on the source brightness, the data were collected either in the photon-counting (PC) or windowed-timing (WT) mode. The spectra for each observation were extracted using the online tools (Evans et al. 2009) provided by the UK Swift Science Data Centre$^{2}$ and were binned to have at least 1 count per energy channel.

3. Results

Using all available observations described above, we are able to study the temporal and spectral properties of MAXI J0903–531 at different timescales and mass accretion rates. The overall light curve of the source covering about four months of observations is presented in Fig. 1. In addition, we separately discuss results obtained in two deep observations with NuSTAR, which also allow a detailed spectral analysis in the broad energy band.

3.1. Timing analysis

MAXI J0903–531 demonstrates strong flux variability at different timescales. As shown by Corbet et al. (2021), at long timescales, the source exhibits a transient behaviour with a period of about 57 days. The authors suggested that this activity is connected to periastron passages of the neutron star in an eccentric binary system. At short timescales, the flux from MAXI J0903–531 pulsates with a period of $\sim 14\text{s}$ (Ray et al. 2021). We discuss the variations in spin frequency and pulse profiles of the source throughout the outburst.

\footnotesize

1 \url{https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nicer/tools/nicer_bkg_est_tools.html}
2 \url{http://www.swift.ac.uk/user_objects/}

3 \url{https://gammaray.nsstc.nasa.gov/gbm/science/pulsars/lightcurves/maxij0903.html}
adopted a simplified approach. In particular, we described the intrinsic spin history as a piecwise linear function characterised by steady spin-up and spin-down during and between the outbursts, respectively. The frequency change rates were considered as free parameters in both cases, but were assumed to equal for all burst or quiescence intervals. The reference epoch for the spin-up episodes during the outbursts was assumed to coincide with the periastron passage, and quiescent periods were assumed to start 15 days later (which corresponds to the approximate duration of the bright phase of the outburst in which spin-up can be expected) as the reference epoch for inter-burst periods. To avoid jumps in the assumed spin frequency, the resulting piecewise function was additionally smoothed with a 15-day-long Hann window. This mimics the expected changes in spin frequency due to accretion torque in a series of short outbursts quite well.

We emphasise that the model described above is just a rough approximation of the actual intrinsic spin frequency changes. The available data, however, are insufficient to model it more accurately based on the observed flux (as was done e.g. in Tsyganenko et al. 2017a; Doroshenko et al. 2018). On the other hand, the accuracy of the spin frequency measurements and the contribution of the intrinsic spin variations are also lower for MAXI J0903–531, so that an accurate description of the intrinsic frequency variations is less important. We verified, for instance, that the derived orbital parameters are almost unaffected when steady spin-down instead of the more complex model adopted above is assumed. This is also confirmed by the fact that best-fit spin-up rate for outbursts is both consistent with zero and with the expected spin-up rate for a neutron star with standard parameters, accreting at an observed luminosity of \( \sim 10^{36} \text{erg s}^{-1} \).

An upper limit on the expected spin-up rate might be derived assuming that the pulsar is close to co-rotation at \( R_c = (GM/(2\pi\nu) \omega)^{1/3} \sim 10^7 \text{cm} \) is the co-rotation radius. The resulting value of \( 2.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{Hz day}^{-1} \) is consistent with our best-fit value, which is also consistent with zero. We therefore conclude that the quality of the available frequency measurements is insufficient to study the intrinsic variations of the spin frequency in detail and that the observed spin evolution is dominated by orbital motion. The derived orbital parameters are therefore only weakly sensitive to assumptions regarding the intrinsic spin variations. The best-fit results are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 2. The reported uncertainties do not account for the systematic effects mentioned above and only reflect statistical uncertainties. The combination of the orbital period and eccentricity obtained for MAXI J0903–531 is typical for the Galactic Be/XRPs (e.g. Townsend et al. 2011).

### 3.1.2. Energy dependence of the pulse profiles

Sufficiently high count statistics in the NuSTAR data allowed us to study the pulse profile shape as a function of energy range and the source luminosity. Figure 3 shows that the source profile in general is single peaked and only slightly depends on energy. Particularly at energies below 10 keV, it consists of four sub-peaks with increasing domination of one of them at higher energies. This picture is characteristic for the high and low states of the source. At the highest energies (above 40 keV), pulsations are detected only in the brightest observation due to better counting statistics. The pulsed fraction (defined as \( \dot{\nu}_{\text{max}} - \dot{\nu}_{\text{min}} / (\dot{\nu}_{\text{max}} + \dot{\nu}_{\text{min}}) \), where \( \dot{\nu}_{\text{max}} \) and \( \dot{\nu}_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum fluxes in the pulse profile, respectively) increases from 40% to roughly 80% for both states (see Fig. 4). This behaviour is typical for most XRPs (Lutovinov & Tsyganenko 2009).

---

**Table 2.** Best-fit orbital parameters assuming constant spin-up during outbursts and spin-down between the outbursts.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \( P_{\text{orb}} \), days | 60.3(1) |
| \( a \sin i \), lt. s | 225(6) |
| \( e \) | 0.56(4) |
| \( \omega \), deg | 247(17) |
| \( T_{\text{PA}} \), MJD | 59 192(3) |
| \( \nu_0 \), \( 10^{-2} \text{Hz} \) | 7.1173(2) |
| \( \nu_{\text{q}} \), \( 10^{-7} \text{Hz day}^{-1} \) | 2(5) |
| \( \nu_{\text{o}} \), \( 10^{-8} \text{Hz day}^{-1} \) | 2(16) |
| \( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} \) | 16.78/17 |

**Notes.** Uncertainties are reported at the 1σ confidence level.

### 3.2. Spectral analysis

The spectral properties of MAXI J0903–531 in the low state were studied based on the NuSTAR data (ObsID 90701315002) by Thalhammer et al. (2021). The authors showed that the spectrum can be fitted with a model consisting of a simple absorbed cutoff power-law (CUTOFFPL in XSPEC) with a photon index of \( 1.25^{+0.19}_{-0.14} \), \( E_{\text{fold}} = 27^{+18}_{-7} \text{keV} \) and a hydrogen column density fixed at \( N_H = 4.1 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2} \). To facilitate comparison of the spectra of MAXI J0903–531 in different intensity states, we uniformly reanalysed all available data. To expand our analysis to the lower energies, we jointly fitted the NuSTAR spectra with the Swift/XRT data collected several days apart from the NuSTAR observations. Particularly, NuSTAR observation 90701315002 was analysed together with XRT observation 00014281005, and NuSTAR observation 90701320002 with the XRT observation 00014281006 (see Table 1). The data from Swift/XRT and NuSTAR were used in the 0.3–10 keV and 3–79 keV bands, respectively. The two broadband spectra were also supplemented with the SRG/ART-XC data in the 4–20 keV energy band (ObsIDs 12110050001 and 13000600100, respectively). To fit the data, we used the XSPEC package.
Fig. 3. Pulse profiles of MAXI J0903−531 obtained from NuSTAR data in different energy bands. Data for both observations are presented, in black for the low state (ObsID 90701315002), and blue for the high state (ObsID 90701320002). Zero-phase was chosen arbitrarily to match the minimum in the profile.

Fig. 4. Dependence of the pulsed fraction of MAXI J0903−531 on the energy band based on the NuSTAR data in different states of the source.

Fig. 5. Unfolded energy spectra of MAXI J0903−531 in different states. The luminosity varies by a factor of ~30 (panel a). The black, red, and blue points correspond to the Swift/XRT, NuSTAR, and SRG/ART-XC data, respectively. Data from two NuSTAR modules are combined for illustrative purposes only. The dashed lines represent the best-fitting models listed in Table 3. The corresponding residuals for the low- and high-luminosity states of MAXI J0903−531 are presented in panels b and c, respectively.

(Arnaud 1996) applying W-statistic (Wachter et al. 1979) appropriate for modelling the background-subtracted spectra in the low-count regime.

In order to describe the source spectrum acceptably, we used several phenomenological models broadly applied to fit continua of XRPs. We started with CUTOFFPL, modified with a photoelectric absorption model (TBABS in XSPEC, with abundances from Wilms et al. 2000) and the fluorescent emission iron line in the form of an additive Gaussian component (GAU in XSPEC).

Although this model fits the spectrum of MAXI J0903−531 in the low state, it is unable to reproduce its spectrum in the high state, resulting in a C-stat (d.o.f.) = 3345.5(707). A Comptonisation model (COMPTT in XSPEC) also produces strong residuals around 10 and 40 keV with a resulting C-stat (d.o.f.) = 1313.8(707).

Finally, we were able to obtain a perfect fit using an absorbed power-law model modified with a high-energy exponential cutoff and the iron line (TBABS × (PO × HIGHECUT+GAU); see Fig. 5). To remove artificial absorption-like residuals around the cutoff energy $E_{\text{cut}}$ that are inherently present in this continuum model due to the discontinuity at the cutoff, we also introduced a narrow and shallow ($\sigma=0.1E_{\text{cut}}\text{ keV}, \tau=0.1$) negative Gaussian whose central energy was tied to the cutoff energy (see e.g. Coburn et al. 2002). In order to compare the spectra of MAXI J0903−531 in the two states, the same model was applied to the broadband data-sets. The resulting parameters of the best-fit model are presented in Table 3. The parameters of the spectral model are typical of accreting XRPs (e.g. Coburn et al. 2002; Filippova et al. 2005). The absorption value revealed by the fit is just slightly higher than the Galactic mean value in the direction to the source of $0.8 \times 10^{22}\text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Willingale et al. 2013), which is typical of Be/XRPs. The residuals in Fig. 5 also show no significant deviations, which can be interpreted for instance as a cyclotron resonant scattering feature in the spectrum.

To verify the conclusion about the absence of additional spectral features that appear only at some specific rotation phases of the NS, as observed in some XRPs (see e.g. Molkov et al. 2019, 2021), we produced the phase-resolved energy spectra of

4 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/manual/XSappendixStatistics.html
Table 3. Best-fitting results of the broadband spectra approximation for MAXI J0903–531 in different states obtained with NuSTAR, Swift/XRT, and SRG/ART-XC.

| Parameter | Low state | High state |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| $N_{\text{H}}$, 10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ | 3.3 ± 1.1 | 1.3 ± 0.2 |
| Phot. index | 1.64 ± 0.05 | 1.14 ± 0.01 |
| $E_{\text{cut}}$, keV | 28.4$^{+6.6}_{-5.1}$ | 22.2 ± 0.3 |
| $E_{\text{fold}}$, keV | 7.8$^{+0.0}_{-0.3}$ | 7.5 ± 0.2 |
| $E_{\text{line}}$, keV | 6.29$^{(a)}$ | 6.29 ± 0.04 |
| $\sigma_{\text{line}}$, keV | 0.33$^{(a)}$ | 0.33 ± 0.08 |
| $N_{\text{norm}}$ | (5.2 ± 3.8) × 10$^{-6}$ | (1.5 ± 0.2) × 10$^{-4}$ |
| $C_{\text{XRT}}$ | 1.6 ± 0.3 | 1.05 ± 0.06 |
| $C_{\text{FPMB}}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $C_{\text{ART- XC}}$ | 1.03 ± 0.03 | 1.047 ± 0.004 |
| $F_X$ (b), 10$^{-10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ | 0.129 ± 0.006 | 3.73 ± 0.02 |
| C-stat (d.o.f.) | 404.2 (409) | 756.9 (703) |

Notes. $^{(a)}$Iron line parameters were fixed at values derived from the spectrum obtained in the bright state. $^{(b)}$The observed fluxes are given in the 0.5–100 keV energy range.

MAXI J0903–531 using the NuSTAR data in the bright state. In particular, six evenly distributed phase bins were chosen, as shown in Fig. 6. All spectra were fitted with our best-fit model, with the iron line energy and width fixed at the values obtained from the averaged spectrum. Figure 6b shows that the emission hardness is significantly variable over the pulse, mainly due to changes in photon index. We did not find any evidence for any additional emission or absorption features at any phase, however, nor for significant variations in $N_{\text{H}}$ value.

4. Discussion

Neutron stars (magnetars and XRP s) are considered the strongest magnets in the Universe. The only direct way to measure the strength of the magnetic field in these systems is to detect a cyclotron resonant scattering feature in the energy spectrum (see e.g. Staubert et al. 2019). As was discussed in Sect. 3.2, we did not detect any absorption features in the spectrum of MAXI J0903–531. However, the source shows a strong flux variability on different timescales. The behaviour of an XRP when it transits from a high accretion rate regime to quiescence can be used to make some conclusions about the magnetic field of the neutron star.

4.1. Magnetic field of the neutron star

It was shown theoretically (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975) and found observationally for several XRPs (Cui 1997; Tsygnakov et al. 2016b,a; Lutovinov et al. 2017) that in the case of a fast-rotating neutron star with a strong magnetic field, the so-called propeller effect is expected to stop the accretion abruptly below a certain mass accretion rate. This effect takes place when the magnetospheric and corotation radii are about equal. The corresponding luminosity can be estimated as (see e.g. Campana et al. 2002)

$$L_{\text{prop}} \approx \frac{GM\dot{M}}{R} \approx 4 \times 10^{37} k^{1/2} B_{12}^{-2} P^{-7/3} M_{\text{n}}^{-2/3} R_6^{-3} \text{erg s}^{-1},$$

(1)

where $\dot{M}$ is the mass-accretion rate, $B_{12}$ is the neutron star magnetic field in units 10$^{12}$ G, $P$ is the neutron star spin period in seconds, $M_{\text{n}}$ is the neutron star mass in units 1.4 $M_\odot$, and $R_6$ is the radius in 10$^6$ cm. The factor $k$ accounts for the details of interaction of the accretion flow with the magnetosphere, relating its size to the Alfvén radius, that is, $k = R_\text{Alf}/R_A$. In the case of disc accretion, it is usually assumed to be $k = 0.5$ (Ghosh & Lamb 1978).

Equation (1) shows that the critical luminosity $L_{\text{prop}}$ is a strong function of the pulsar magnetic field and hence can be used to constrain its value. MAXI J0903–531 does not demonstrate sharp decreases in flux (see Fig. 1) and has a hard X-ray spectrum in the quiescent state (with a photon index 1.3 ± 0.3 measured from the XRT data averaged over MJD 59 405–59 423) with luminosity around $3 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (assuming a distance of 10 kpc and a bolometric correction factor of 2). Using this luminosity as an upper limit on the critical luminosity $L_{\text{prop}}$, we can derive an upper limit on the dipole component of the neutron star magnetic field of $2 \times 10^{12}$ G, assuming a standard mass of 1.4 $M_\odot$ and a radius of 10$^6$ cm. A 12 km radius of the neutron star would decrease its magnetic field by about half. These estimates indicate a rather low magnetic field in MAXI J0903–531.

At the same time, the observed stability of the source in quiescence at about several $\times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ shows the transition...
of the source to the state of a quasi-stable cold-disc accretion due to the reduced disc viscosity at low mass-accretion rates, much like in dwarf novae (Tsygankov et al. 2017b). Transitions to the cold-disc state have been observed in several XRP’s (e.g. Rouco Escorial et al. 2018; Nabizadeh et al. 2019; Tsygankov et al. 2019a; Doroshenko et al. 2020) at luminosities of \( \sim 10^{34-35} \) erg s\(^{-1}\). The luminosity corresponding to the transition to this state can be calculated by the equating the inner radius of the accretion disc when the temperature there reaches 6500 K to the magnetospheric radius of the neutron star, and it can therefore also be used to estimate its magnetic field (Tsygankov et al. 2017b). Thus, using Eq. (12) from Tsygankov et al. (2017b) and the transitional luminosity \( L_{\text{cold}} \sim 3 \times 10^{34} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), we obtained a magnetic field of \( \sim 3 \times 10^{15} \) G, which is similar to one obtained above based on the propeller effect.

4.2. Spectral evolution

Deep NuSTAR observations of MAXI J0903−531 in two states differ by a factor of \( \sim 30 \) in flux. This allowed us to perform a detailed spectral analysis and to compare the spectral shape at very different mass-accretion rates. The goal of this was to verify our assumption of strong changes in the spectra in XRP’s when their luminosity reaches \( 10^{35} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) as was recently found in several X-ray pulsars (X Persei, Doroshenko et al. 2012; GX 304−1, Tsygankov et al. 2019c; A 0535+262, Tsygankov et al. 2019b; GRO J1008−57, Lutovinov et al. 2021; 2SXPS J075542.5−293353, Doroshenko et al. 2021; SRGA J124404.1−632232/SRGU J124403.8−632231, Doroshenko et al. 2022). These spectral changes manifest themselves in the transformation of the classical pulsar-like into a double-hump spectrum and were shown to be caused by the Comptonisation of cyclotron photons in the upper overheated layer of the neutron star atmosphere (Mushotkov et al. 2021; Sokolova-Lapa et al. 2021). Because in the low state of MAXI J0903−531, it was observed by NuSTAR with a luminosity of \( \sim 10^{35} \) erg s\(^{-1}\), similar spectral changes are expected in the source.

However, Fig. 5 and Table 3 show that the spectrum of MAXI J0903−531 does not exhibit any dramatic variations and appears to be consistent between the two NuSTAR observations. We note that the similarity of X-ray spectra in two luminosity states can be caused by the relatively low \( (2−3) \times 10^{-12} \) G magnetic field strength at the surface of a neutron star. The high-energy component observed in low states of some XRP’s is due to accretion flow braking and subsequent Comptonisation of seed cyclotron photons in the atmosphere of a neutron star. This component of X-ray spectra appears at about the cyclotron energy. In the case of a relatively low magnetic field, the high-energy hump of the spectrum arises at a lower energy and merges with the low-energy hump that is caused by thermal radiation of the atmosphere. Additionally, the X-ray radiation leaving the atmosphere of a neutron star experiences resonant Compton scattering by the infalling material in the accretion channel above the hot spots. Because of the Doppler effect in the accretion channel (Mushotkov et al. 2015), the resonant scattering affects X-ray photons from a wide energy range: \( 0.6E_{\text{cyc}} \leq E \leq E_{\text{cyc}} \). The photons that are scattered resonantly above the stellar surface experience bulk Comptonisation (Becker & Wolff 2007) and contribute to the high-energy tail of the spectrum. As a result, the resonant bulk Comptonisation together with merged humps in X-ray spectra largely causes the observed spectral shape in XRP’s at a low mass-accretion rate. Therefore we argue that an observation of a classical pulsar-like spectrum in the low-luminosity state indicates a relatively weak magnetic field of the neutron star, which in the case of MAXI J0903−531 is supported by our indirect constraints (see Sect. 4.1).

5. Conclusion

We presented results of the broadband spectral and timing analysis of emission from the recently discovered transient XRP MAXI J0903−531, obtained with the NuSTAR, Swift/XRT, and SRG/ART-XC instruments at two luminosity states that differ by a factor of \( \sim 30 \). The data revealed that the spectrum in both states can be described as a classical pulsar-like spectrum consisting of a power law with high-energy cutoff. The phase-averaged and resolved spectra do not contain any absorption components that might be interpreted as a cyclotron resonant scattering feature.

We argue that absence of the transition in the spectrum from a typical cutoff power-law spectrum to a two-component spectrum at low luminosity that is observed in other pulsars and is also expected in the case of MAXI J0903−531 in the low state indicates a relatively weak magnetic field of the neutron star below \( (2−3) \times 10^{15} \) G. This value is compatible with the constraints obtained from the propeller effect and from the transition of the source to the accretion from the cold disc.

A timing analysis of the NuSTAR data revealed only slight variations in the single-peaked pulse profile of the source as a function of energy band and mass-accretion rate. In both intensity states, the pulsed fraction increases from 40% to roughly 80%. We were also able to obtain the orbital solution for the binary system using data from the Fermi/GBM, NICER, and NuSTAR instruments.
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