ABSTRACT

Objective: Unhealthy maternal weight before pregnancy increases the risk of various adverse pregnancy outcomes. We conducted a nutrition survey to provide baseline data on the prepregnant nutritional status of mothers in order to better understand the association between prepregnancy maternal body mass index (BMI) and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Design: A large, prospective, population-based cohort study.

Setting: Data from the National Free Preconception Health Examination Project (NFPHEP) in China during 2010–2012.

Participants: 536,098 pregnant women out of 2,120,131 were evaluated.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: The primary adverse pregnancy outcomes included preterm birth (PTB), low birth weight (LBW), spontaneous miscarriage (SM), ectopic pregnancy (EP) and stillbirth (SB). A χ² test was used to compare the prevalence of each BMI category during 2010–2012. Univariable and multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the association between prepregnancy BMI and various adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Results: Between 2010 and 2012, the average BMI decreased from 21.31 to 21.16, while underweight prevalence increased from 10.40% to 14.14%. An age-stratified subgroup analysis indicated that the underweight prevalence increased from 13.52% to 17.02% among women aged 21–24 and from 10.72% to 13.71% among women aged 25–34. Overweight prevalence increased from 9.84% to 10.75% (25–34 years) and from 17.10% to 19.20% (35–49 years). Obesity prevalence increased from 2.17% to 2.42% and from 4% to 4.2% among women aged 25–34 and 35–49 respectively. Prepregnancy underweight was associated with PTB, LBW and SM; overweight women had an increased risk of LBW; obese women had a higher risk of LBW, SM, EP and SB.

Conclusions: While the average prepregnancy BMI decreased, the prevalence of underweight individuals in a very large population significantly increased.

Strengths and limitations of this study

A 3-year prospective study from 2010 to 2012 revealed the trend of prepregnancy body mass index (BMI), as well as the relationship between extremes of the maternal BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

This is the largest nationwide, population-based cohort study over the past 10 years on pregnancy outcomes in relation to prepregnancy BMI in China.

The measurement of prepregnancy BMI might be less accurate if conception occurred towards the end of 6 months after enrolment.

The majority of surveyed women in the NFPHEP were from China’s rural areas, and thus, the conclusions made in this study are most pertinent to this region.

INTRODUCTION

Prepregnancy body mass index (BMI) is believed to be an important indicator of pregnancy outcomes.1,2 Being underweight or overweight prior to pregnancy may increase risk for pregnancy complications. The ideal fertility weight and prepregnancy BMI for a healthy pregnancy and delivery are still under investigation.

The prevalence of overweight and obesity has increased at an alarming rate among women in many countries, particularly in developed countries in recent decades. In the USA, a 2009–2010 survey indicated that 55.8% of women of childbearing age (20–39 years)
were overweight or obese, defined as having a BMI of 25 or higher. Overweight or obesity before pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of maternal and neonatal complications, such as gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, premature rupture of membranes, prematurity, macrosomia and shoulder dystocia. Similarly, maternal underweight has been shown to increase risk for adverse perinatal outcomes, including preterm birth (PTB), low birth weight (LBW) and intrauterine growth restriction.

However, the conclusions drawn by some studies investigating the associations between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes such as PTB appear to be inconsistent. It was reported that the obese have a significantly elevated risk for early PTB (<32 weeks), but other studies contradicted this result, suggesting that overweight and obesity could exert a protective effect against PTB. One explanation for such inconsistencies could be that the majority of data available for prepregnancy BMI was not collected using methods with a rigorous anthropometric measurement, but relied on recollection or self-reporting. It is inevitable that under-reporting or over-reporting their weight and height may have occurred. Owing to the bias of self-reported data, researchers might make measurement errors and misclassify prepregnancy BMI categories, hence leading to inconsistent conclusions.

The association between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes in China is more complex because of the geographic imbalance of China’s economy and large population. China recommends using lower BMI cut-off values to define normal weight (18.5–23.9 kg/m²), overweight (24–27.9 kg/m²) and obesity (≥28 kg/m²), while the WHO has slightly different definitions: healthy (18.5–24.9 kg/m²), overweight (25–29.9 kg/m²) and obesity (≥30 kg/m²). In spite of some correlational studies focusing on regional surveillance, there is no nationwide survey in China. We conducted this large population-based cohort study to provide updated baseline data for the prepregnancy nutritional status among women of childbearing ages in rural areas and to assess the association between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes. It is important for policymakers to produce practical and stratified BMI classification guidelines in order to reduce the risk of negative mother/infant birth outcomes.

METHODS
Participants
The National Health and Family Planning Commission and the Ministry of Finance in China launched a project in 2010. This project provided free preconception health examinations in rural areas to married couples that planned a pregnancy within the next 6 months. A total of 2,120,131 Chinese women between 21 and 49 years old from 220 counties from 31 of the 33 mainland provinces of China were enrolled in the National Free Preconception Health Examination Project (NFPHEP) from 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2012. Free medical examinations and preconception counseling services by well-trained local community health workers and medical managements during pregnancy by obstetricians were provided to all enrolled women, among which 603,262 women had pregnancy outcomes by 31 December 2012. A web-based electronic data collection system for data entry was created and maintained by the NFPHEP Office. Women with missing data that were critical for statistical analysis and extreme value were excluded in the subsequent analysis. Thus, the final study population was 536,098 women with pregnancy outcomes. Each participant received a copy of an information letter, read it, and agreed to an informed consent agreement. The detailed information of the NFPHEP was recorded elsewhere.

Study variables
Prepregnancy BMI, defined as the body weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in metres (kg/m²), was obtained from the prepregnancy examinations. A cooperative meta-analysis organised by the Working Group on Obesity in China (WGOC), International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI) indicated that BMI of 24–28 kg/m² was defined as overweight. BMI of 28 kg/m² and above that may identify the risk factors with specificity around 90% was recommended as the cut-off point for obesity in China. Therefore, we adopted the following cut-off points: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5–23.9 kg/m²), overweight (24–27.9 kg/m²) and obesity (≥28 kg/m²). We used standardised procedures to ensure high data quality when obtaining height and weight measurements. Gestational age at birth was calculated as the number of completed weeks of gestation from the first day of the last menstrual period to the date of delivery, or based on the expected date of parturition according to ultrasound scanning. We also analysed additional risk factors: maternal age, ethnicity, educational level, occupation, smoking, alcohol intake, a maternal history of pregnancy, PTB, stillbirth (SB), birth defects and spontaneous miscarriage (SM).

Adverse pregnancy outcomes
Information regarding adverse pregnancy outcomes was collected from medical records, including the prenatal visits and delivery records. In this study, PTB was defined as a delivery earlier than 37 gestation weeks; LBW was defined as birth weight of <2500 g; SM was defined as the involuntary termination of a non-viable intrauterine pregnancy before 28 weeks of gestation; and SB was defined as fetal death at 20 weeks of gestation or more. All pregnancy outcomes were identified according to the clinical diagnosis by the caring obstetrician, not self-reported.

Statistical analysis
Student’s t-test and a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were employed to compare two or multiple
groups, with respect to continuous variables. A $\chi^2$ test was used to assess the trend of prepregnancy maternal BMI. Univariable and multivariable logistic regressions were used to test the association between maternal prepregnancy BMI and each adverse pregnancy outcome with normal BMI group as a reference point. Adjusted ORs (aORs) and 95% CI were obtained by using the multiple logistic regression model, with adjustment for maternal age, ethnicity, educational level, occupation, smoking, alcohol intake, a previous history of pregnancy, PTB, SB, birth defects and SM. All the raw data were analysed using R statistical package. The result was considered statistically significant when $p<0.05$, $0.01$, $0.001$, or $0.0001$.

RESULTS

The sociodemographic characteristics of maternal age, ethnicity, education level and occupation in the 2 120 131 women of childbearing age (21–49 years) enrolled in the NFPHEP are described in table 1. The majority of participants (1 395 926, 65.84%) registered during 2010–2012 were between 25 and 34 years of age. In total of 1 482 723 (70.71%) participants had an education level of junior high school level or below, and 1 595 178 (76.62%) were farmers from China’s rural areas.

Prevalence of abnormal prepregnancy BMI in women of childbearing age during 2010–2012

After excluding incomplete data points (no BMI data available), 1 981 225 records remained (table 2). The results suggested a decrease in average BMI among participants, from 21.31 to 21.16, between 2010 and 2012, while the prevalence of underweight, in a large population, continuously increased from 10.40% to 14.14% ($p<0.0001$) (figure 1). From 2010 to 2012, there were slight variations in the prevalence of overweight and obesity among the total population. An analysis of age-stratified subgroups showed that the prevalence of underweight women increased from 13.52% to 17.02% in the age group of 21–24 ($p<0.0001$) and from 10.72% to 13.71% in the age group of 25–34 ($p<0.0001$). The prevalence of overweight women increased from 9.84% to 10.75% in the age group of 25–34 ($p<0.0001$) and from 17.10% to 19.20% ($p<0.0001$) in the age group of 35–49 years, and obesity increased from 2.17% to 2.42% ($p<0.0001$) and from 4% to 4.2% ($p<0.0001$) among women aged 25–34 and 35–49, respectively, during the period 2010–2012 (table 3 and figure 2).

Characteristics of 536 098 pregnant participants

Before 31 December 2012, 603 262 women had achieved pregnancy outcomes. According to the exclusion principle, 536 098 were eligible for the inclusion in the association analysis between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Prior to the assessment, we characterised pregnant women in more details during the years 2010–2012 on age, ethnicity, occupation, education level, smoking, alcohol intake, maternal history of pregnancy, PTB, SB, SM and birth defects. As shown in table 4, about half of the pregnant women were aged between 25 and 29 (49.6%). In total 65.6% of them only graduated from junior middle school. Most of them were of Han ethnicity (94.6%), farmers (76.4%), non-smokers (99.7%), non-drinkers (97%), and had no history of pregnancy (65.6%), PTB (99.8%), SB (99.2%), SM (97.3%) or birth defects (99.7%). Prepregnancy BMI was significantly higher among women who were older, while women who were more educated showed a significantly lower BMI ($p<0.0001$, table 4). Smokers, alcohol drinkers or women with either history of pregnancy, PTB, SB, SM and birth defects were more likely to be overweight. The one-way ANOVA or Student’s t-test indicated that the difference between groups in each variable was statistically significant ($p<0.0001$, table 4).

| Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of 2 120 131 women |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **2010–2012** | **2010** | **2011** | **2012** |
| **n (%)** | **n (%)** | **n (%)** | **n (%)** |
| Age, years | | | |
| 21–24 | 501 973 (23.68) | 43 833 (12.09) | 190 202 (20.88) | 267 938 (31.65) |
| 25–34 | 1 395 926 (65.84) | 268 656 (74.11) | 613 740 (67.37) | 513 530 (60.66) |
| 35–49 | 222 232 (10.48) | 50 019 (13.80) | 107 099 (11.75) | 65 114 (7.69) |
| Ethnicity | | | |
| Han | 1 924 868 (91.41) | 338 478 (93.58) | 823 942 (90.86) | 762 448 (91.06) |
| Others | 180 900 (8.59) | 23 222 (6.42) | 82 847 (9.14) | 74 831 (8.94) |
| Educational level | | | |
| Junior high school or below | 1 482 723 (70.71) | 264 668 (73.65) | 654 438 (72.53) | 563 617 (67.48) |
| Senior high school | 385 610 (18.39) | 65 884 (18.33) | 155 142 (17.2) | 164 584 (19.71) |
| College or higher | 228 447 (10.90) | 28 845 (8.02) | 92 660 (10.26) | 106 942 (12.81) |
| Occupation | | | |
| Farmers | 1 595 178 (76.62) | 272 014 (76.22) | 699 626 (78.21) | 623 538 (75.08) |
| Factory workers | 186 303 (8.95) | 39 782 (11.15) | 69 583 (7.78) | 76 938 (9.26) |
| Others | 300 483 (14.43) | 45 084 (12.63) | 125 388 (14.01) | 130 011 (15.66) |
Logistic regression analysis

To evaluate the association between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcome among the 536,098 surveyed women, we applied a univariable or multivariable logistic regression model. The percentages of adverse pregnancy outcomes and the aORs according to BMI are shown in Table 5. The reference group was the group of women with a BMI of 18.5–23.9 kg/m².

PTB occurred in 6200 (≈1.2%) of 536,098 eligible pregnancies. Underweight (crude OR, 1.16 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.24), p<0.0001) and obese (crude OR, 1.21 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.44), p<0.05) women were more likely to give premature birth. After the adjustment for potential confounding factors, the aORs for PTB were 1.16 (95% CI 1.08 to 1.25) and 1.18 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.4), respectively. Prepregnancy underweight was significantly associated with the increased risk for PTB, while obesity only had a marginally significant risk (Table 5).

In comparison with women who have normal prepregnancy BMI, women with other abnormal BMI categories were more likely to deliver a LBW infant. The aORs associated with the risk for giving birth to a LBW infant were 1.57 (95% CI 1.4 to 1.57) in underweight women, 1.22 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.42) in overweight women and 1.6 (95% CI 1.2 to 2.12) in obese women. Similarly, attributing the outcomes to the abnormal BMI, there was an increased risk of SM in underweight women (aOR 1.11; 95% CI 1.06 to 1.17), overweight women (aOR 1.02; 95% CI 0.97 to 1.08) and obese women (aOR 1.13; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.26). However, significant differences were only found among the underweight and obese groups (Table 5).

Despite being underweight (aOR 1.09; 95% CI 0.82 to 1.45) and obese women (aOR 1.78; 95% CI 1.13 to 2.81) having an elevated risk of ectopic pregnancy (EP), the statistical analysis indicated a significant difference in obesity category (p<0.0130). Unexpectedly, being overweight imparted protection against EP (aOR 0.74; 95% CI 0.53 to 1.04). However, the difference was not significant (Table 5).

Table 5 also revealed that SB was much more common in overweight and obese women than in women with a normal BMI. Obesity was significantly correlated with elevated risk for SB (aOR 1.59; 95% CI 1.18 to 2.15). There was no correlation between underweight and SB in this study.

DISCUSSION

Comparison with other studies

In the USA, the prevalence of prepregnancy obesity has increased from 17.6% in 2003 to 20.5% in 2009, data of which were released from the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS). In the nationwide nutrition survey in China also showed that the prevalence of overweight women aged 18–44 increased from 16.8 to 21.8%, and the prevalence of obesity increased from 3.1% to 6.1% during the years 1992–2002. Our study showed that the rates of overweight and obesity are much lower and the prevalence of underweight women of childbearing age is higher than those in the previous reports. Examination of these data led us to attribute these differences to the fact that the participants in our study are mainly from the rural areas and are at relatively younger ages. The reasons for the increasing prevalence of underweight prepregnant women remain elusive and warrant further investigation.

Proposed mechanisms between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes

The influence of maternal prepregnancy BMI on the incidence of PTB has been explored previously. Low prepregnancy BMI has been consistently reported as a
risk factor for PTB; however, the results regarding the association between prepregnancy maternal obesity and incidence of PTB seem contradictory. Our findings indicate that maternal underweight prior to pregnancy significantly elevated the risk for PTB, and obesity presented a marginally significant risk for PTB. There are two important potential mechanisms by which obesity may contribute to PTB: Foremost, obesity is a well-known risk factor for metabolic disorders such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension, which might be a reason for the observed increased risk for PTB. Researchers have also found that the risk was consistent in a sample of obese women without hypertensive disorders, diabetes and preterm delivery. Additionally, infection and inflammation have been indicated in PTB; it has been suggested that the level of proinflammatory cytokine was increased in circulation, particularly in obese pregnancy women.

Low prepregnancy BMI may be an indication of poor maternal nutritional status. Women deficient in certain nutritional elements were susceptible to chronic infection and inflammation, which lead to an elevation in their risk for PTB. Maternal malnutrition has been associated with a reduction in placental weight and surface area. Low placenta weight and area decrease the transfer of nutrients and waste between maternal to fetal circulations, as well as restrict other normal processes of fetal growth and development. Thus, the chronic nutritional deficiency may also lead to LBW. Furthermore, some studies have shown that placental insufficiency was associated with maternal prepregnancy obesity. In this study, prepregnancy underweight, overweight and obese women have an increased risk of LBW. The association between LBW and underweight was consistent with previous studies, while the risk of giving birth to a LBW infant decreased with an increasing prepregnancy BMI was presented by other studies. Associations between high or low BMI and SM have been previously evaluated in several reports. In our study, both prepregnancy obese and underweight women have a higher risk of spontaneous abortion, consistent with the earlier findings. The SM rate of overweight women is similar to that of women with normal weight. Accumulating evidence showed that leptin is a hormone secreted mainly by adipose cells, and it plays an important role in the regulation of body weight. Leptin has a pivotal role among hormones governing metabolism and growth metabolically demanding situations, such as pregnancy. A slightly increased serum leptin level has been suggested to be beneficial during pregnancy. The level of serum leptin is associated with BMI; a mild increase in BMI can lead to a mild increase in leptin concentrations. However, an overincrease in BMI may lead to leptin resistance and other relative

| Table 3 | Prevalence of each body mass index (BMI) category in age-stratified groups during 2010–2012 |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BMI category (kg/m²) | 2010–2012 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | p Value* |
| 21–24 years | | |
| <18.5 | 78 923 (16.38%) | 5398 (13.52%) | 29 669 (16.09%) | 43 856 (17.02%) | <0.0001 |
| 18.5–23.9 | 354 143 (73.47%) | 30 533 (76.49%) | 135 764 (73.64%) | 187 846 (72.89%) | <0.0001 |
| 24–27.9 | 40 959 (8.50%) | 3381 (8.47%) | 15 907 (8.63%) | 21 671 (8.41%) | 0.0360 |
| ≥28 | 7939 (1.65%) | 606 (1.52%) | 3015 (1.64%) | 4318 (1.68%) | 0.0620 |
| 25–34 years | | |
| <18.5 | 170 839 (13.21%) | 23 862 (10.72%) | 80 151 (13.75%) | 66 826 (13.71%) | <0.0001 |
| 18.5–23.9 | 954 314 (73.82%) | 171 923 (77.27%) | 426 107 (73.07%) | 356 284 (73.12%) | <0.0001 |
| 24–27.9 | 137 529 (10.64%) | 21 893 (9.84%) | 63 253 (10.85%) | 52 383 (10.75%) | <0.0001 |
| ≥28 | 30 209 (2.33%) | 4838 (2.17%) | 13 564 (2.33%) | 11 807 (2.42%) | <0.0001 |
| 35–49 years | | |
| <18.5 | 11 909 (5.77%) | 2389 (5.71%) | 6043 (5.90%) | 3477 (5.60%) | 0.0280 |
| 18.5–23.9 | 146 221 (70.85%) | 30 644 (73.19%) | 71 454 (69.81%) | 44 123 (71.00%) | <0.0001 |
| 24–27.9 | 39 376 (19.08%) | 7161 (17.10%) | 20 285 (19.82%) | 11 930 (19.20%) | <0.0001 |
| ≥28 | 8864 (4.30%) | 1679 (4%) | 4575 (4.47%) | 2610 (4.2%) | <0.0001 |

*χ² test for comparing the prevalence of each BMI category in different years.

Figure 2 Trends of prepregnancy body mass index (BMI) in age-stratified subgroups during 2010–2012.
Some studies have suggested abnormally low serum leptin concentrations were detected in women who had a miscarriage. For these mechanisms, prepregnancy obesity and underweight might increase the risk of spontaneous abortion.

SB is a serious adverse fetal pregnancy outcome that has been linked to obesity. Our findings indicated that prepregnancy obese women were associated with a higher risk of SB (aOR 1.59; 95% CI 1.18 to 2.15) compared with normal weight women. A population-based analysis studying 2,868,482 singleton births showed that overweight and obese women were more likely to experience SB compared with normal-weight women, and the risk of SB is elevated with ascending BMI values. These findings were evident in obese women without hypertensive disorders and diabetes. The higher risk of SB among obese women indicated that other mechanisms may be involved in the link between obesity and SB. To date, few studies have been conducted to investigate a possible relationship between obesity and EP. Our data suggested that obese prepregnant women were at a higher risk of developing EP. Further investigations are required to elucidate the mechanisms.

### Table 4: Prepregnancy sociodemographic characteristics of 536,098 pregnant women

| Variables                  | N (%)       | Prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²)* | p Value |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---------|
| All                        | 536,098     | 21.06±2.67                | <0.0001†|
| Age, years                 |             |                           |         |
| 21–24                      | 134,575 (25.10) | 20.78±2.53                |         |
| 25–29                      | 265,633 (49.55) | 20.88±2.59                |         |
| 30–34                      | 100,674 (18.78) | 21.54±2.83                |         |
| 35–49                      | 35,216 (6.57)  | 22.10±2.92                |         |
| Ethnicity                  |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| Han                        | 507,235 (94.62) | 21.06±2.67                |         |
| Other                      | 28,863 (5.38)  | 21.14±2.71                |         |
| Occupation                 |             |                           | <0.0001†|
| Farmer                     | 409,344 (76.36) | 21.18±2.72                |         |
| Factory worker             | 52,242 (9.74)  | 20.72±2.42                |         |
| Other                      | 74,512 (13.90) | 20.62±2.49                |         |
| Educational level          |             |                           | <0.0001†|
| None                       | 1125 (0.21)  | 21.46±2.89                |         |
| Primary school             | 23,741 (4.43)  | 21.42±2.96                |         |
| Junior middle school       | 351,529 (65.57) | 21.23±2.70                |         |
| Senior middle school       | 104,955 (19.58) | 20.72±2.52                |         |
| University and more        | 54,748 (10.21) | 20.47±2.44                |         |
| Smoking                    |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| No                         | 534,278 (99.66) | 21.06±2.67                |         |
| Yes                        | 1820 (0.34)  | 21.36±3.05                |         |
| Drinking                   |             |                           | <0.0001†|
| No                         | 519,894 (96.98) | 21.06±2.67                |         |
| Casual                     | 16,030 (2.99)  | 20.90±2.72                |         |
| Often                      | 174 (0.03)   | 21.59±3.50                |         |
| Previous pregnancy         |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| No                         | 351,440 (65.56) | 20.80±2.48                |         |
| Yes                        | 184,658 (34.44) | 21.55±2.94                |         |
| Previous PTB               |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| No                         | 535,067 (99.81) | 21.06±2.67                |         |
| Yes                        | 1031 (0.19)  | 21.71±3.23                |         |
| Previous SB                |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| No                         | 531,855 (99.21) | 21.05±2.66                |         |
| Yes                        | 4243 (0.79)  | 22.11±3.33                |         |
| Previous SM                |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| No                         | 521,864 (97.34) | 21.04±2.65                |         |
| Yes                        | 14,234 (2.66)  | 21.05±3.16                |         |
| Previous birth defects     |             |                           | <0.0001‡|
| No                         | 534,348 (99.67) | 21.06±2.67                |         |
| Yes                        | 1750 (0.33)  | 22.12±3.18                |         |

*Data are given as mean±SD.
†One-way analysis of variance.
‡Student’s t-test.
BMI, body mass index; PTB, preterm birth; SB, stillbirth; SM, spontaneous miscarriage.
Strengths and limitations of this study

The strengths of this study are that we present evidence supporting the trends of prepregnancy BMI in the 3-year period and investigate relationships between maternal prepregnancy BMI groups and adverse pregnancy outcomes in a large population-based cohort. Furthermore, this is the largest national survey over the past 10 years offering an exploratory analysis of the relationship between prepregnancy BMI and pregnancy outcomes in China. One of the limitations of our study is the maternal prepregnancy BMI from the 3-year NFPHEP might be arguably and relatively inaccurate if conception occurred towards the end of 6 months after enrolment. This bias may yield inaccuracy when analysing the association between prepregnancy BMI and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Another limitation is that the majority of targeted women of childbearing age in the NFPHEP were from China’s rural areas. How well this subpopulation represents the overall population requires further investigation.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data indicate there has been a decrease in the average prepregnancy BMI among women of childbearing age in rural China. Both extremely high and low maternal prepregnancy BMI have a significant association with the adverse pregnancy outcomes. Most notably, the prevalence of underweight individuals in a very large population significantly increases, and underweight pregnant women have significant adverse pregnancy outcomes, likely because of nutrition deficiencies. Our work may raise awareness of the importance of preconceptional counselling for women in order to avoid adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Table 5  Crude and aORs for the association between BMI and each adverse pregnancy outcome

| Outcomes | Per cent* | Crude OR (95% CI) | p Value | aOR (95% CI) | p Value |
|----------|-----------|------------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| PTB (n=6200) |           |                   |         |              |         |
| <18.5    | 15.13     | 1.16 (1.08 to 1.24) | <0.0001 | 1.16 (1.08 to 1.25) | <0.0001 |
| 18.5–23.9| 73.24     | Ref               |         |              |         |
| 24–27.9  | 9.48      | 1.03 (0.94 to 1.12) | 0.5620  | 1.01(0.92 to 1.10) | 0.8940  |
| ≥28      | 2.15      | 1.21 (1.02 to 1.44) | 0.0290  | 1.18 (0.99 to 1.4) | 0.0640  |
| LBW (n=1909) |          |                   |         |              |         |
| <18.5    | 19.75     | 1.64 (1.46 to 1.84) | <0.0001 | 1.57 (1.4 to 1.77) | <0.0001 |
| 18.5–23.9| 67.26     | Ref               |         |              |         |
| 24–27.9  | 10.37     | 1.22 (1.05 to 1.42) | 0.0090  | 1.22 (1.05 to 1.42) | 0.0110  |
| ≥28      | 2.62      | 1.61 (1.22 to 2.14) | <0.0001 | 1.60 (1.2 to 2.12) | 0.0010  |
| SM (n=15 437) |          |                   |         |              |         |
| <18.5    | 13.96     | 1.07 (1.02 to 1.13) | 0.0030  | 1.11 (1.06 to 1.17) | <0.0001 |
| 18.5–23.9| 72.79     | Ref               |         |              |         |
| 24–27.9  | 10.85     | 1.18 (1.12 to 1.24) | <0.0001 | 1.02 (0.97 to 1.08) | 0.3680  |
| ≥28      | 2.4       | 1.37 (1.23 to 1.52) | <0.0001 | 1.13 (1.02 to 1.26) | 0.0210  |
| EP (n=441)  |           |                   |         |              |         |
| <18.5    | 12.70     | 0.96 (0.73 to 1.28) | 0.8030  | 1.09 (0.82 to 1.45) | 0.5620  |
| 18.5–23.9| 73.70     | Ref               |         |              |         |
| 24–27.9  | 9.07      | 0.98 (0.70 to 1.35) | 0.8800  | 0.74 (0.53 to 1.04) | 0.0810  |
| ≥28      | 4.54      | 2.55 (1.62 to 4.01) | <0.0001 | 1.73 (1.13 to 2.81) | 0.0130  |
| SB (n=1332) |          |                   |         |              |         |
| <18.5    | 12.84     | 1.00 (0.85 to 1.17) | 0.9820  | 1.05 (0.89 to 1.24) | 0.5400  |
| 18.5–23.9| 72.00     | Ref               |         |              |         |
| 24–27.9  | 11.76     | 1.30 (1.10 to 1.54) | 0.0090  | 1.13 (0.95 to 1.34) | 0.1640  |
| ≥28      | 3.38      | 1.94 (1.44 to 2.62) | <0.0001 | 1.59 (1.18 to 2.15) | 0.0030  |

*Proportions of each adverse pregnancy outcome among four BMI categories. aOR, adjusted OR; BMI, body mass index; EP, ectopic pregnancy; LBW, low-birth-weight; PTB, preterm birth; SB, stillbirth; SM, spontaneous miscarriage.
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