Long-term self-renewing stem cells in the adult mouse hippocampus identified by intravital imaging
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Neural stem cells (NSCs) generate neurons throughout life in the mammalian hippocampus. However, the potential for long-term self-renewal of individual NSCs within the adult brain remains unclear. We used two-photon microscopy and followed NSCs that were genetically labeled through conditional recombination driven by the regulatory elements of the stem cell–expressed genes Gli1 family zinc finger 1 (Gli1) or achaete-scute homolog 1 (Ascl1). Through intravital imaging of NSCs and their progeny, we identify a population of Gli1-targeted NSCs showing long-term self-renewal in the adult hippocampus. In contrast, once activated, Ascl1-targeted NSCs undergo limited proliferative activity before they become exhausted. Using single-cell RNA sequencing, we show that Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells have highly similar yet distinct transcriptional profiles, supporting the existence of heterogeneous NSC populations with diverse behavioral properties. Thus, we here identify long-term self-renewing NSCs that contribute to the generation of new neurons in the adult hippocampus.

In contrast to many organs, the adult mammalian brain shows poor regenerative capacity and fails to replace neurons that become dysfunctional or those lost through acute or chronic injury. However, the discovery of NSCs within discrete regions of the adult brain opened up the possibility to harness these cells for endogenous brain repair. Despite some conflicting results regarding the human brain, the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) seems to be among the brain areas that generate new neurons throughout life. Within the DG, NSCs generate new excitatory granule cells that are important for a variety of hippocampal functions. Previous work identified the cellular and molecular identity of neurogenic cells in the DG with largely quiescent radial glia-like cells (R or type I cells) that give rise to more rapidly cycling nonradial glia-like cells (NR or type II cells). However, it remained controversial, due to ambiguity when recovering lineage information from static pulse-chase lineage-tracing assays, whether NSCs that exist in the DG possess long-term self-renewal potential (that is, renewing through cell division while giving rise to differentiated progeny) or whether activation of NSCs leads to their rapid depletion from the stem cell pool via terminal differentiation. Exhaustion of NSCs upon initial activation may explain the dramatic decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis by around 80% from 2 to 8 months of age in the rodent brain. However, neurogenesis plateaus and continues throughout the entire life span, at least to low levels, which may be due to increased quiescence of aged NSCs compared to NSCs in the young hippocampus. Theoretical modeling approaches suggest that long-term self-renewal may be required to sustain neurogenesis throughout life, but experimental evidence remains scant. To probe directly the potential for long-term self-renewal of individual NSCs in the adult DG, we used intravital imaging together with single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to analyze the molecular and behavioral properties of Gli1-targeted NSCs and compared them to Ascl1-targeted neurogenic cells.

Results

Gli1 lineages contain long-term self-renewing clones. Using static analyses of individual time points, we found that low-dose tamoxifen (Tam)-induced recombination in Gli1-CreERT2 mice crossed with a tdTomato reporter mouse line sparsely labeled neurogenic cells in the subgranular zone of the DG (Fig. 1a) compared to Ascl1-targeted neurogenic cells (Extended Data Fig. 1a–f and Supplementary Movie 1). Soon after Tam administration, recombined cells showed the morphology of radial glia-like NSCs (hereafter called R cells). Exhaustion of NSCs leads to their rapid depletion from the stem cell pool via terminal differentiation. Exhaustion of NSCs upon initial activation may explain the dramatic decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis by around 80% from 2 to 8 months of age in the rodent brain. However, neurogenesis plateaus and continues throughout the entire life span, at least to low levels, which may be due to increased quiescence of aged NSCs compared to NSCs in the young hippocampus. Theoretical modeling approaches suggest that long-term self-renewal may be required to sustain neurogenesis throughout life, but experimental evidence remains scant. To probe directly the potential for long-term self-renewal of individual NSCs in the adult DG, we used intravital imaging together with single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to analyze the molecular and behavioral properties of Gli1-targeted NSCs and compared them to Ascl1-targeted neurogenic cells.
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ki67+ Ascl1-targeted cells (Extended Data Fig. 1h–k). Using immunohistochemistry, we found that Glil1-targeted R and NR cells expressed a previously described set of marker proteins (Fig. 1a).

To follow individual Glil1-targeted R cells over time, we used chronic intravital imaging of sparsely labeled hippocampal NSCs (Fig. 1b,d)16. Glil1-targeted R cells became recruited into the proliferating pool of neurogenic cellular over time (Fig. 1d–g, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Movies 2 and 3). The fraction of R cells remaining quiescent over the time course was higher in Glil1-targeted R cells compared to Ascl1-targeted R cells, while entry into the cell cycle occurred throughout the imaging period (Fig. 1hi and Extended Data Fig. 2a). Hippocampal window implantation and imaging did not substantially affect proliferation, R cell activation or cellular output of sparsely labeled Glil1-targeted cells (Extended Data Fig. 2b–h). Moreover, it did not cause significant gliosis and inflammation in the ipsilateral side of the DG compared to the contralateral side (Extended Data Fig. 2i–k). Once Glil1-targeted R cells entered the cell cycle, they produced an average of five granule neurons (Fig. 1i) and Extended Data Fig. 2a). Most strikingly, we found that some Glil1-targeted R cells returned to quiescence after producing progeny (Fig. 1d,e and Supplementary Fig. 1).

The fraction of long-term self-renewing R cells was substantially higher in Glil1-targeted clones compared to Ascl1-targeted clones, with no unambiguously identifiable Ascl1-targeted R cells surviving over a 30-d period following activation (Fig. 2a–c and Extended Data Fig. 2a). By contrast, a fraction of Glil1-targeted R cells produced progeny and persisted for more than 56 d upon activation, with one clone showing at least 100 d of self-renewal (Fig. 2a), indicating that the adult DG harbors NSCs with long-term self-renewal capacity. Thus, Glil1-mediated recombination labels an adult NSC population that retains the ability to self-renew over extended periods, whereas Ascl1-targeted clones label R cells that become exhausted upon activation (Fig. 2a,b,d). Although the average activation time of Glil1-targeted R cells was also significantly longer than that of Ascl1-targeted R cells (Fig. 1i), there was no correlation between the time to first division and self-renewal duration of R cells (Extended Data Fig. 3a).

As further evidence of distinct behavioral features of NSC populations in the adult DG, we found that Glil1-targeted R cells showed a higher abundance of symmetric (duplicative) divisions and more asymmetric (renewing) divisions over consecutive cell divisions as compared to Ascl1-targeted cells (Fig. 2f–i)16. This was also reflected in distinct cell division characteristics: Glil1-targeted R cells had significantly longer time intervals between consecutive R cell divisions but showed higher total numbers of cell divisions (Fig. 2e,f and Supplementary Fig. 1). The comparison of the time between divisions among different successive R divisions (counted from the first asymmetric R division) in each mouse line revealed no differences in either Glil1- or Ascl1-targeted R cells, suggesting that this timescale does not change according to the division history of the cell (Extended Data Fig. 3b). However, the average time between divisions was significantly higher in Glil1- compared to Ascl1-targeted R cells, in accordance with the average time between R cell divisions for each clone shown in Fig. 2e (Extended Data Fig. 3b). Moreover, by clustering cell lineages using a set of predefined core characteristics (Methods), we found a preferential clustering of Glil1- versus Ascl1-targeted clones, supporting the partial behavioral diversity of these two genetically targeted lineages (Fig. 2i).

In contrast to R cells, we found that the behavior of NR cells was remarkably similar between Glil1- and Ascl1-derived lineages regarding cell division kinetics and lineage choices (Fig. 2i and Extended Data Fig. 3c–e). Thus, long-term intravital imaging-derived data indicate functional heterogeneity of R cells within the adult hippocampus, identifying a long-term self-renewing NSC population targeted by the Glil1 promoter and a second population, targeted by the Ascl1 promoter, with only short-term renewal potential.

Molecular diversity of Glil1- and Ascl1-targeted lineages. The observed differential behavior of Glil1- versus Ascl1-targeted cell lineages may be explained by (1) diverse sets of NSC populations, (2) a hierarchical organization in which Glil1-targeted NSCs with long-term renewal potential give rise to Ascl1-targeted NSCs with limited renewal potential or (3) the alignment of NSCs along a spectrum of diminishing renewal potential. To seek independent evidence for potential sub-lineage relationships, we used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) to isolate Glil1- and Ascl1-targeted cells directly from the adult hippocampus at different time points after Tam-induced recombination followed by deep scRNA-seq using Smart-Seq2 (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 4a). As expected, we found distinct populations of cells using uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) and t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) that segregated robustly into clusters of nondividing NSCs (ndNSCs), dividing NSCs (dNSCs), immature neurons (INs) and mature neurons (MNs) for both Glil1- and Ascl1-targeted populations (Fig. 3b,c and Extended Data Fig. 4b). All four clusters could be identified 5 d and 12 weeks after Tam-induced recombination (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 4c), albeit the relative numbers of cell-specific profiles shifted with time, consistent with the in vivo live-imaging data (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 4d,e). In line with the RNAscope data (Extended Data Fig. 1h–k), Ascl1 mRNA was expressed at the same level in Glil1- and Ascl1-targeted ndNSCs but showed moderately higher expression levels in Glil1- compared to Ascl1-targeted dNSCs (Extended Data Fig. 4f,g). Since the dNSC cluster likely...
encompassed R and NR cells, we sought to investigate the heterogeneity of this cluster. Despite regressing out cell cycle genes, we were unable to further divide the dNSCs into subclusters based on the expression patterns of key marker genes for radial NSCs (for example, Hopx, Nestin, Gfap, Spot14/Thrsp, and Sox2) versus nonradial NSCs (Tbr2/Eomes and Sox2; data not shown).

We next performed lineage inference analysis using Slingshot, which confirmed a developmental trajectory from ndNSCs via dNSCs to mature neurons (Fig. 3e). In accordance with the imaging-based data, we found that the relative number of sequenced ndNSCs was substantially higher in Gli1-targeted cells compared to Ascl1-targeted cells (Fig. 3f). We then asked whether...
NSC populations may differ in their developmental maturity using pseudotime-based alignment; indeed, we found that Gli1-targeted ndNSCs appeared to be further distant from neuronal progeny compared to Ascl1-targeted nondividing R cells, suggesting that at least a fraction of Gli1-targeted R cells may be in a more quiescent/undifferentiated state compared to Ascl1-targeted R cells (Fig. 3g). Despite the high level of similarity in the gene expression pattern between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells, some genes were differentially expressed between ndNSCs and dNSCs derived from Gli1- or Ascl1-targeted cells (Fig. 3h–j and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). To verify that these differentially expressed genes (DEGs) did not only result from the small proportion of outlier cells occupying earlier (Gli1) or later (Ascl1) areas of the pseudotime, we performed a differential expression analysis only between Gli1 and Ascl1 cells that shared the same pseudotime range (Extended Data Fig. 4h). Comparison between DEGs identified in the presence or absence of the pseudotime outliers only revealed a minor influence of the outliers on the top DEGs (Extended Data Fig. 4i,j). While Gli1 and Ascl1 lines do not label entirely distinct NSC populations, our results suggest that the DEGs identified between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted NSCs are not only driven by a small proportion of pseudotime outliers but rather reflect inherent transcriptional differences between these two lines. Notably, we identified several genes that had been previously implicated in NSC quiescence and self-renewal to be differentially expressed between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted NSCs, among others including metallothionein-3 (Mt3), diazepam-binding inhibitor (Dbi) and Hopx<sup>−/−</sup>. These findings suggest that the identified gene set expressed at high levels in Gli1-targeted NSCs may be highly relevant in governing NSC self-renewal.

Based on the intravital imaging data, we expected to detect transcriptional profiles that may indicate the return to quiescence after activation in Gli1-targeted cells. To probe for this, we analyzed the relative abundance of nascent and mature mRNA in each cell as an indicator of the future state of the cell using RNA velocity in Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells<sup>24,25</sup>. While most dNSCs showed a positive velocity directed toward immature neurons, a fraction of dNSCs showed a negative velocity pointing toward ndNSCs. We confirmed this velocity pattern with a different RNA velocity method that takes into account the full transcriptional dynamics (Extended Data Fig. 4k). Using an independent dataset<sup>26,27</sup>, Bergen and colleagues also found that radial-glial cells harbor a velocity vector pointing away from the neuronal differentiation path<sup>28</sup>, further supporting our observations. Interestingly, activated Gli1-targeted NSCs showed a higher fraction of cells with such negative velocities as compared to Ascl1-targeted cells (Fig. 4a,b).

We next compared DEGs between dNSCs with negative versus positive velocities and found that those with negative velocities upregulated a set of genes previously implicated in NSC quiescence, such as Thrp (Spot14) and Apoe, and downregulated genes associated with cell division such as the cell division cycle-associated protein 4 (Cdc4; Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 3)<sup>29,30,31</sup>. These findings confirm the presence of NSCs, enriched in the Gli1-targeted population that shows transcriptional dynamics indicative of a return to a quiescent state.

**Hopx and Mt3 protein levels differentiate between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted R cells.** We next asked whether the identified DEGs also showed distinct expression profiles at the protein level. We established a modified iterative indirect immunofluorescence imaging (4i) technology for use on tissue sections, allowing for the consecutive antibody-based analyses of up to 20 different proteins<sup>32</sup>. We first confirmed that both Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells expressed commonly used markers of R cells such as Sox2, Gfap, and Id4 (Extended Data Fig. 5a,b). We next analyzed the expression of Hopx, recently associated with stem cell activity and self-renewal capacity of postnatal NSCs<sup>33,34</sup>. In accordance with the mRNA expression profiles, we found that Hopx protein was expressed in virtually all R cells analyzed that were targeted by the Gli1 or Ascl1 promoter (Fig. 4d and Extended Data Fig. 5b,d). However, Hopx protein levels were significantly higher in Gli1-targeted cells compared to Ascl1-targeted cells, indicating that differences in Hopx mRNA expression were also translated into distinct protein expression differences between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted R cells. Protein expression levels of other stem cell-associated proteins such as Sox2 were comparable between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted NSCs (Extended Data Fig. 5e). To further substantiate these findings, we found that metallothionein-3 (Mt3), showing differentially expressed mRNA levels (Fig. 3h,i), was also differentially expressed at the protein level (Fig. 4d and Extended Data Fig. 5b). Moreover, negative cells for Mt3 protein expression also showed lower levels of Hopx protein (Extended Data Fig. 5f).

**Single-cell gene expression predicts molecular origin of hippocampal R cells.** Based on the differential expression of a subset of core genes in Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells in both ndNSCs and dNSCs (Fig. 3h,i), we next asked whether commonly used machine-learning strategies, such as random forest classifier (RFC), k-nearest neighbor (k-NN) and generalized linear models (GLM), may allow for prediction of cellular origin (Fig. 4e).
Strikingly, all three models were able to predict accurately Gli1- versus Ascl1-derived non-dividing and dividing R cells (Fig. 4g). These findings suggest that, despite the high transcriptional similarity overall and the partial overlap in behavior, significant differences exist in the targeted populations that allow for predictions of the cellular origin of profiled cells. Thus, manipulating their expression levels in adult NSCs may represent a future entry point to alter the functional behavior of neurogenic cells in the adult brain.

**Discussion**

We used chronic intravital imaging and mRNA and protein analyses to define the functional and molecular properties of individual NSCs and their progeny targeted through Gli1- and Ascl1-promoters within the adult hippocampus. We find that a fraction of Gli1-targeted NSCs show evidence of long-term self-renewal, producing progeny for months following initial activation. In contrast, once activated, Ascl1-targeted R cells produce a burst of neurogenic activity before...
Fig. 3 | scRNA-seq of Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells identifies NSCs with self-renewal potential. a, Overview of the experimental approach. b, UMAP visualization of the four clusters identified in Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted tdTomato+ neuronal cells. c, Expression patterns of two cluster-specific genes for ndNSCs (far left), dNSCs (left), INs (right) and MNs (far right). d, Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted tdTomato+ cells isolated 5 d (Gli1_5d and Ascl1_5d, respectively) or 12 weeks (Gli1_12wk and Ascl1_12wk, respectively) after the last Tam injection displayed on the UMAP plot from b. e, Lineage inference analysis. f, Percentages of ndNSCs, dNSCs, INs and MNs among all Gli1- or Ascl1-targeted cells. g, Position of Gli1 and Ascl1 ndNSCs and dNSCs along the pseudotime axis as calculated by Monocle. Unpaired t-test; ndNSCs: P = 2.55 × 10^-6; dNSCs: P = 0.0004042, *P < 0.05. h, i, Volcano plots showing significant DEGs (red; adjusted P (P_adj) < 0.05) between Gli1- or Ascl1-targeted ndNSCs (h) or dNSCs (i). Bolded gene names highlight DEGs found in both ndNSC (h) and dNSC (i) comparisons. j, Violin plots showing the top three common DEGs. For detailed statistics, see Supplementary Table 5.
they terminally differentiate within weeks (Fig. 4g). Thus, the data presented here reconcile previously conflicting results regarding the self-renewal capacity of hippocampal NSCs, identifying functional diversity of neurogenic NSCs within the adult DG15,35. We show that behavioral states of NSCs in the adult hippocampus are heterogeneous and consist of R cells that become exhausted upon activation and R cells that retain the ability to self-renew over the longer term. These imaging-based findings, which are consistent with previous interpretations of snapshot-based static data12, may be explained by three alternative scenarios: (1) two types of NSCs exist in the adult DG, one showing long-term self-renewal and the other rapid exhaustion upon activation, (2) Gli1-targeted cells may be developmentally upstream of Ascl1-targeted cells or (3) the behavior of NSCs distributes along a spectrum of self-renewal potential with...
Gli1 targeting NSCs mostly at one end and Ascl1 targeting NSCs largely at the opposing end of the spectrum.

Some observations support a bona fide diversity of NSCs in the adult DG. First, cell division kinetics and patterns of intermediate quiescence between subsequent divisions (Fig. 2c–i and Extended Data Fig. 3b) are distinct between Ascl1- and Gli1-targeted cells, indicating that Ascl1 lineages cannot be superimposed onto Gli1-targeted lineages straightforwardly. Second, deep sequencing of single Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted R cells showed subtle but decisive differences in gene expression. Although a certain overlap exists at the single-cell level, these differential gene expression results are not driven by a small proportion of outlier cells in each group and are also reflected at the protein level (that is, Hopx and Mt3), allowing for the separation of Gli1- versus Ascl1-targeted cells (Figs. 3h–j and 4d–f). However, other findings are consistent with the hypothesis that Gli1-targeted R cells are upstream of Ascl1-targeted cells: we found that Gli1-targeted R cells appeared to be more undifferentiated based on pseudotiming analyses (Fig. 3g); furthermore, the behavior of NR cells was remarkably similar between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted cells (Extended Data Fig. 3c–e).

Finally, further aspects of our data suggest that the behavior of NSCs aligns along a spectrum of stem cell potential. Firstly, not only Gli1-targeted R cells but also a small fraction of Ascl1-targeted R cells (~8%) show symmetrical cell division following initial activation (that is, generating two daughter R cells through duplication). However, in the 56 active lineages analyzed, we never observed symmetric (duplicative) divisions in the second or later rounds of division of Gli1-targeted R cells, as would be expected if Gli1-targeted R cells give rise to Ascl1-targeted R cells. Secondly, although individual NSCs can exhibit distinct and diverse behaviors once activated, Ascl1- and Gli1-mediated targeting do not label two completely distinct NSC populations as suggested by the partial overlap in molecular profiles and behaviors. For example, the hierarchical clustering (Fig. 2l) shows a mixture of Gli1 and Ascl1 clones in both clusters, albeit in different proportions. Therefore, it is plausible that each line biases toward one behavior and away from another along a spectrum of renewal potential, and that factors such as local microenvironmental cues may determine where a given NSC falls along this spectrum.

To discriminate among these scenarios, future experiments will need to combine intravital imaging with intersectional genetic lineage-tracing approaches and/or targeted ablation of Gli1- versus Ascl1-targeted NSCs. However, the application of these approaches in combination is extremely challenging and currently not feasible. Despite distinct behavioral properties of Gli1-targeted R cells compared to Ascl1-targeted R cells, mRNA expression profiles were remarkably similar, albeit not identical between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted populations, and we confirmed the differential expression levels of candidate genes at the protein level (that is, Mt3 and Hopx). Clearly, cell fate may be directed through mechanisms independent of gene transcription/expression, by modifying signaling activity through transcription-independent mechanisms12–14. In addition, Ascl1- and Gli1-targeted populations are not discrete but they do overlap. Thus, future studies using more extensive single-cell deep sequencing and/or unbiased expression analyses of not only transcripts but also translated proteins on a single-cell level will be needed to identify the detailed molecular differences mediating behavioral diversity of adult hippocampal NSCs. Future experiments could also modify the imaging protocol to fully rule out the possibility that chronic exposure to gas anesthesia, surgery or window implantation may have some effect on neurogenesis. However, the identification of long-term self-renewal in Gli1-targeted and not in Ascl1-targeted NSCs supports the validity of our observations and approach. Using chronic in vivo imaging and single-cell molecular analyses, our data resolve functional heterogeneity of NSCs within the adult brain. Thus, the data presented here identify long-term self-renewing NSCs in the hippocampus and characterize the molecular and cellular framework to direct distinct behaviors of R cells with the aim to enhance neurogenesis for regenerative repair in the adult brain.

Online content
Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information: details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-00759-4.

Received: 19 November 2019; Accepted: 13 November 2020; Published online: 21 December 2020

References
1. Gage, F. H. Adult neurogenesis in mammals. Science 364, 827–828 (2019).
2. Sorrells, S. F. et al. Human hippocampal neurogenesis drops sharply in children to undetectable levels in adults. Nature 555, 377–381 (2018).
3. Goncalves, J. T., Schafer, S. T. & Gage, F. H. Adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus: from stem cells to behavior. Cell 167, 897–914 (2016).
4. Eriksson, P. S. et al. Neurogenesis in the adult human hippocampus. Nat. Med. 4, 1313–1317 (1998).
5. Knoth, R. et al. Murine features of neurogenesis in the human hippocampus across the lifespan from 0 to 100 years. PLoS ONE 5, e8809 (2010).
6. Moreno-Jimenez, E. P. et al. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is abundant in neurologically healthy subjects and drops sharply in patients with Alzheimer’s disease. Nat. Med. 25, 554–560 (2019).
7. Tobin, M. K. et al. Human hippocampal neurogenesis persists in aged adults and Alzheimer’s disease patients. Cell Stem Cell 24, 974–982 (2019).
8. Spalding, K. L. et al. Dynamics of hippocampal neurogenesis in adult humans. Cell 153, 1219–1227 (2013).
9. Shin, J. et al. Single-cell RNA-seq with waterfall reveals molecular cascades underlying adult neurogenesis. Cell Stem Cell 17, 360–372 (2015).
10. Suh, H. K. et al. In vivo fate analysis reveals the multipotent and self-renewal capacities of Sox2+ neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus. Cell Stem Cell 1, 515–528 (2007).
11. Seri, R., Garcia-Verdugo, J. M., McEwen, B. S. & Alvarez-Buylla, A. Astrocytes give rise to new neurons in the adult mammalian hippocampus. J. Neurosci. 21, 7153–7160 (2001).
12. Urban, N. et al. Return to quiescence of mouse neural stem cells by degradation of a pro-activation protein. Science 353, 292–295 (2016).
13. Bonaguidi, M. A. et al. In vivo clonal analysis reveals self-renewing and multipotent adult neural stem cell characteristics. Cell 145, 1142–1155 (2011).
14. Encinas, J. M. et al. Division-coupled astrocytic differentiation and age-related depletion of neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus. Cell Stem Cell 8, 566–579 (2011).
15. Kempermann, G. The pessimist’s and optimist’s views of adult neurogenesis. Cell 145, 1009–1011 (2011).
16. Pila, G. A. et al. Live imaging of neurogenesis in the adult mouse hippocampus. Science 359, 658–662 (2018).
17. Katsimpardi, L. & Lledo, P. M. Regulation of neurogenesis in the adult and aging brain. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 53, 131–138 (2018).
18. Ben Abdallah, N. M., Slomianka, L., Vyssotski, A. L. & Lipp, H. P. Early age-related changes in adult hippocampal neurogenesis in C57 mice. Neurobiol. Aging 31, 151–161 (2010).
19. Kuhn, H. G., Dickinson-Anson, H. & Gage, F. H. Neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of the adult rat: age-related decrease of neuronal progenitor proliferation. J. Neurosci. 16, 2027–2033 (1996).
20. Kalamakis, G. et al. Quiescence modulates stem cell maintenance and regenerative capacity in the aging brain. Cell 176, 1407–1419 (2019).
21. Ziebell, F., Dehler, S., Martin-Villalba, A. & Marcinia-Czochra, A. Revealing age-related changes of adult hippocampal neurogenesis using mathematical models. Development 145, dev155544 (2018).
22. Ahn, S. & Joyner, A. L. In vivo analysis of quiescent adult neural stem cells responding to sonic hedgehog. Nature 437, 894–897 (2005).
23. Blomfield, I. M. et al. Id4 promotes the elimination of the pro-activation factor Ascl1 to maintain quiescence of adult hippocampal stem cells. Elife 8, e48561 (2019).
24. Street, K. et al. Slingshot: cell lineage and pseudotime inference for single-cell transcriptomics. BMC Genomics 19, 477 (2018).
25. Dumitr, I., Netiz, A., Allison, J. & Monyer, H. Diazepam binding inhibitor promotes stem cell expansion controlling environment-dependent neurogenesis. Neuron 94, 125–137 (2017).
26. Berg, D. A. et al. A common embryonic origin of stem cells drives developmental and adult neurogenesis. Cell 177, 654–668 (2019).
27. Yuzwa, S. A. et al. Developmental emergence of adult neural stem cells as revealed by single-cell transcriptional profiling. Cell Rep. 21, 3970–3986 (2017).
28. La Manzo, G. et al. RNA velocity of single cells. Nature 560, 494–498 (2018).
29. Bergen, V. & et al. Generalizing RNA velocity to transient cell states through dynamical modeling. Nat. Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0591-3 (2020).
30. Hochgener, H., Zeisel, A., Lonnerberg, P. & Linnarsson, S. Conserved properties of dentate gyrus neurogenesis across postnatal development revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. Cell 21, 290–299 (2018).
31. Yang, C. P., Gilley, J. A., Zhang, G. & Kernie, S. G. ApoE is required for maintenance of the dentate gyrus neural progenitor pool. Development 138, 4351–4362 (2011).
32. Knobloch, M. et al. Metabolic control of adult neural stem cell activity by Fasn-dependent lipogenesis. Nature 493, 226–230 (2013).
33. Gut, G., Herrmann, M. D. & Pelkmans, L. Multiplexed protein maps link subcellular organization to cellular states. Science 361, eaar7042 (2018).
34. Zweifel, S. et al. HOPX defines heterogeneity of postnatal subventricular zone neural stem cells. Stem Cell Rep. 11, 770–783 (2018).
35. Bonaguidi, M. A., Song, J., Ming, G. L. & Song, H. A unifying hypothesis on mammalian neural stem cell properties in the adult hippocampus. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 22, 754–761 (2012).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2020
Methods

Transgenic animals and labeling paradigm for NSCs. Animal experiments were approved by the Cantonal Commission for Animal Experimentation of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland in accordance with national and cantonal regulations. To generate the Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato mice (Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato(Rosa26Sortm1(CAG-tdTomato)E146)), Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato mice (Gli1tm3(cre/ERT2)Alj; The Jackson Laboratory, 007913) were crossed to a homozygous Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato mice (n = 3) exhibiting an overlap of 99.1% (C57BL/6) and 43.6% (129S) with controls. Animal lines Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato mice (n = 3) showed an overlap of 91.5% (C57BL/6) and 46.7% (129S) with controls. At the age of 6–7 weeks, mice of mixed sex underwent the implantation of the hippocampal window (3 mm Ø stainless steel cannula, 1.5 mm in depth) 1.5 mm lateral from bregma, the skin is opened and the cranial bone was anesthetized with isoflurane (1.5–2% in O2), and body temperature was measured and kept at 37 °C with a heating pad. The imaging was performed on a custom-built two-photon microscope (Movable Objective Microscope; Sutter Instrument) using a long-working distance objective (water immersion, ×16 magnification and 0.8 NA; Nikon) and equipped with a ytterbium-doped laser system (up to 1.045 mW at 980 nm; Thorlabs), a Ti:sapphire laser at 1.070 m (Fidelity-2; Coherent) to excite tdTomato-labeled cells in the DG. Emission light was detected using a photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu) after passing a red emission filter (610/75 nm; AHF). Individual fields of view (SPOTs) containing R cells were selected and could be revisited in subsequent sessions using a coordinate system with the cannula of the window as the reference (zero position: x = 0, y = 0 and z = 0). Every SPOT was imaged repeatedly by acquisition of a z-stack (512 × 512 pixel resolution, 2× zoom and 5-µm step-size), carefully considering and checking for all cells of the clone. All SPOTs were checked daily, and no z-stack was acquired if no changes occurred. The time spent under the microscope for the mouse was minimized (<1 h per day).

Identification and coding of lineages after processing raw imaging data. For a detailed description of the criteria used for coding of cells within clonal lineages, please refer to the methods section and supplementary table with cell type characteristics in a previously published study6. Briefly, all time points and z-levels of a chronically imaged spot were compiled into a single file, and the structural information from all z-levels was considered in coding cell types, cellular behavior and lineage relationships. The ROI manager in FIJI was used to code each individual cell at every time point throughout the compiled imaging file. Every lineage was annotated with a code by an individual researcher and double-checked by a second researcher. The coding parameters were: CellID, CellType, Uncertainty CellType, Temperature, MotherCell, SisterCell, SisterCell and CellDeath. Only if both researchers agreed on the coding of cell type characterization or lineage transitions was this coded as certain, otherwise as semi-certain or uncertain. The resulting compiled lineage trees were again double-checked for inconsistencies with the raw imaging data.

Analysis of the lineage trees. The assembling of the tree annotations and part of the data analysis were performed in R using custom-made scripts25. The dataset consisted of 216 (136 Gli1 and 80 Ascl1) lineages. A total of 19 Ascl1 new lineages (from 2 additional mice) were added to the Ascl1 dataset shown by Pilz et al. At two lineages from the previous dataset were excluded because of uncertainty due to imaging quality. The observed lineage behavior of newly added Ascl1-targeted cells was fully consistent with the one described before. In total, 17 (6 Gli1 and 11 Ascl1) animals were used. The Gli1 dataset consists of 1,525 cells and 1,390 lineage transitions. Multiple parameters (listed below) were used to describe the behavior of the clones and to perform the hierarchical clustering of the lineage trees. The values of some parameters were calculated using the R script and then double-checked and corrected manually; the values of other parameters were calculated manually after inspection of the lineage trees. For most of the analysis, all the active clones were considered. In some cases (specified in the figure legends), some clones were excluded. Ward’s hierarchical clustering method using the Euclidean similarity index was used to perform the hierarchical clustering analysis26. Parameters used were as follows:

- Maximum cell number: the maximum cell number reached in the clone at one (any) time point.
- Final cell number: the number of cells in the clone at the last time point of the imaging (Extended Data Fig. 2a).
- Number of successive divisions: the maximum number of successive divisions in the clone (both R and NR cells were considered).
- Self-renewal duration of R cell: the time (d) from the first R division until the last R division in the clone. Only certain R cells were considered. If the R cell disappeared after the first division, the self-renewal time was 0 (Extended Data Fig. 2a).
- Activity duration of the clone: the time (d) from the first R division until the last division of any cell (R or NR) in the clone. If the clone only divided once, the activity duration was 0.
- Number of R successive divisions: the maximum number of R successive divisions in the clone. Only certain R cells were considered (Extended Data Fig. 2a).
- Maximum R clone content: the maximum number of R cells that were present at any time in the clone. Only certain R cells were considered.
- Persistence of the R cell in the clone: the time (d) from the beginning of the imaging to the last time point in which last R cell is seen in the clone. Only certain R cells were considered.
- Time between R divisions: the average time (d) between R divisions in the clone (excluding the first R root cell). All certain R cells were considered. If the R cell was depleted after the first division of the R root cell, the time between R divisions was 0 (Extended Data Fig. 2a).
- Time until division of R cells in successive divisions: the time (d) between divisions among different consecutive R divisions. The divisions were counted from the first neurogenic division (first asymmetric R division in the tree).
- Time until the first division of the R cell: the time (d) during the imaging of the last time point in which the single R cell is seen before the first division. If the clone was observed with two cells at the first imaging session (2 d.p.i.), a value of 1 was assigned. This parameter was not used for the hierarchical clustering analysis.

To determine the time-dependent fraction of clones by R content and the average clone content (Fig. 2b-d), all lineage trees were first shifted to the time point of the first R cell division. We align them to a common reference time point related to cell fate. The fraction of clones by R content was obtained by determining the number of clones with the respective R content and normalizing the result by the number of observed clones. This accounted for different lineage trees having different total observation times. Likewise, the average clone content was obtained by computing the average number of the respective cell type within the observed population at each time point. The same conventions were used to obtain the results shown in Extended Data Fig. 3e; when determining division types, uncertain lineage relations were included when they were binary, that is, when they associated one mother cell with exactly two daughter cells.

For the analysis of the modes of R and NR cell divisions (heat maps), only certain R and NR mother and daughter cells and transitions were considered. If a cell underwent cell death, the cell fate at the last time point before cell death was taken.

The time (d) between NR divisions only accounted for certain NR cells that underwent certain and semi-certain transitions. The tree visualization was performed using the graph package of R30. The phenomenon of R used for heat map visualization31. PAST software was used for hierarchical clustering visualization. Quantification graphs were visualized using GraphPad Prism (version 8).

Immunohistochemical identification of imaged clones and confocal microscopy. After the in vivo imaging experiments were terminated, animals were anesthetized (Buprenorphine) and then perfused with cold saline first, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffer. Brains were postfixed overnight and cryoprotected (30% sucrose) before being cut horizontally at a thickness of 60 µm on a cryostate (Leica SM2001R). After imaging, immunohistochemical staining was performed with antibodies against Sox2 (1:300 dilution, goat: Santa Cruz), GFAP (1:500 dilution, mouse; Sigma), Hoxp (1:500 dilution, mouse; Santa Cruz) and Kv67 (1:250 dilution, rabbit; Abcam). For further quantifications, Nestin
Distribution of R cells and clones within the DG. For the analysis of the distribution of recombined R cells and imaged clones within the DG, mice received a single i.p. Tam injection (60–70 mg per kg (body weight)) for *Ascl1-CreERT2/tdTomato* mice and 90 mg per kg (body weight) for *Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato* mice. Two days following the induction (2 d.p.i.) or after the in vivo imaging experiments were terminated (2 months after the induction), the mice were perfused with cold saline followed by 4% PFA in phosphate buffer. Brains were postfixed overnight and cryoprotected (30% sucrose) before being cut horizontally at a thickness of 60 μm (Leica SM2010R). For the analysis of the distribution of recombined R cells 2 d.p.i., immunohistochemical stainings were performed with the antibody against Sox2 (1:300, goat; Santa Cruz) and recombined R cells were identified for being Sox2+/tdTomato+ and showing a radial glia morphology. The position of the recombined R cells was registered as x and y coordinates in the first five slices containing the DG (300 μm considered on z axis). For the analysis of the distribution of imaged clones, the clones were recognized in slices and their position was registered taking into account the center of the clone.

Additionally, a reference point (anchor) position was registered at the bottom tip of the DG in each slice. The distance between the anchor and the top tip of the DG was calculated in each slice (max distance). Finally, the distance between the anchor and each recombined R cell or imaged clone was calculated in each slice and further normalized for the maximum distance (Extended Data Fig. 1b–d).

Furthermore, using the same registered x and y coordinates the distance between each recombined R cell and all the other recombined R cells in each slice was measured (Extended Data Fig. 1e) at 2 d.p.i.

**Multiplexed immunostaining.** For analysis of protein expression of the Gli1 and Ascl1-labeled NSCs, mice received Tam injections (180 mg per kg body weight) on three consecutive days to achieve high rates of recombination. Five days following the first induction, the mice were perfused with cold saline followed by 4% PFA in phosphate buffer. The brains were postfixed in PFA overnight, then cryoprotected for 2 d. Coronal sections were cut at a thickness of 40 μm on a cryostome (Leica SM2010R). Before staining, sections were mounted in glass-bottomed 24-well plates (Cellvis P24-35). The sections were kept in a humidified environment overnight (24 h). Using the 4i technique, adapted for fresh frozen tissue14, the tissue was stained for tdTomato (1:500, goat; Sigma), Hoxp (1:500, mouse; Santa Cruz), KIt67 (1:250; rat; ebioscience), Sox2 (1:250, rat; Invitrogen), DCX (1:300, goat; Santa Cruz), s100P (1:500, rabbit; Abcam), Iba1 (1:250, rabbit; Biocheck), GFAP (1:750, chicken; Novus) and Prox1 (1:500, rabbit; Millipore). Images were acquired with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM 800 using ZEN Pro software). Between iterations of staining, antibodies were eluted from the tissue using a 0.5 M glycine-based buffer (pH 2.5) containing 3 M urea (Sigma-Aldrich), 3 M guanidinium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.07 M TCEP-HCl (Sigma-Aldrich). During imaging, the tissue was stored in a phosphate buffer containing 0.7 M of the free radical scavenger N-acetyl-cysteine to prevent photosensitised crosslinking of the antibodies to their respective epitopes. A detailed list of antibodies is provided in Supplementary Table 4.

**RNAscope.** For the analysis of *Ascl1* mRNA levels using RNAscope, mice received a single i.p. Tam injection (80 mg per kg (body weight)) for *Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato* mice and 90 mg per kg (body weight) for *Ascl1-CreERT2/tdTomato* mice. Five days following the induction (5 d.p.i.), mice were perfused with 0.9% saline followed by 10% formalin. Brains were placed in 70% ethanol, embedded in paraffin and sliced in coronal sections at a thickness of 60 μm (Leica SM2010R). The sections were then stained according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol with probes against Ascl1 and Gli1 mRNA using RNAscope (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, CA). Sections were counterstained with DAPI. Images were acquired using a x40 objective on a Leica SP8 confocal. For the quantification of the RNAscope signal, two methods were used: (1) the number of dots in each cell were counted, and (2) the mean intensity of the cells was recorded.

**Quantification, statistical analysis and reproducibility.** The quantification of (1) immunohistochemical stainings, (2) distribution of R cells and clones within the DG, (3) different markers in the multiplexed immunostaining experiments and (4) RNAscope experiments, was performed blinded. The quantification of the immunohistochemical stainings and the distribution of R cells and clones in the DG was performed on a minimum of three animals, using 5–6 DG sections per brain (dorsal DG). The quantification of markers in multiplexed immunostaining and RNAscope experiments was performed on a minimum of three animals, using three representative DG sections per brain. Applied statistics were conducted on data derived from independent experiments.

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 8). A detailed report of statistical tests used, results, sample sizes and P values is available in Supplementary Table 5 and the figure legends. First, normality was tested, and when data did not follow a normal distribution according to the Shapiro-Wilk test, the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test was performed. Alternatively, a paired or an unpaired two-tailed t-test was used for mean comparison depending on the nature of the analysis (within or between subjects). *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01, ***P** < 0.001 and ****P** < 0.0001 were considered significant.

No statistical methods were used to determine sample sizes, but our sample sizes were similar to those reported in previous publications15.

**Single-cell isolation.** *Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato or Ascl1-CreERT2/tdTomato* mice were injected with Tam (180 mg per kg (body weight)); 3x 5-d time point; 2x 12-week time point). Five days or 12 weeks after the first Tam injection, the DG was subdissected in ice-cold HBSS. DG dissociation was performed using the Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit (P) (Milteny Biotech, 130-092-628) and Myelin removal beads II (Milteny Biotech, 130-096-733) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies) was added for live-cell discrimination. The gating strategy started with a gate on the clearly identifiable population of whole cells that separated from the debris in FSC-A/SSC-A, doublets were then eliminated using a FSC-A/SSC-A followed by a SSC-A gate. Live Hoechst+tdTomato+ cells were selected for sorting (Extended Data Fig. 4a). Across all sorting experiments, we identified 2–3% of whole cells among which >97% were singlets between 2.5% and 5% of live Hoechst+tdTomato+ cells. scRNA-seq of individual cells confirmed a purity of >99%. Individual Hoechst+tdTomato+ cells were sorted into 384-well plates containing lysis buffer using a P200 single i.t sort. Flow cytometry was analyzed using FlowJo (v10). Sorted plates were stored at ~80 °C until library preparation.

**Single-cell library preparation and sequencing.** Library preparation was performed using a mosquito robot (BioGenex) (TTP Labtech) following the Smart-seq2 protocol16, briefly, 384-well plates containing sorted single nuclei in lysis buffer were thawed, and reverse transcription with Superscript II (Life Technologies, 18064014) and PCR using KAPA Hifi HotStart ReadyMix (Kapa, KK2602) were performed with the following biotinylated primers (Quagen): OlgoIdt (AA GCA GTG TTA TCA ACA CAG AGT AGT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT N), TAA (AGG CAG TGG TAT CAA GGC AGA GTA CATG GrG+ G+ and ISPCR (AA GCA GTG TTA TCA ACA CAG AGT). Following RT-PCR, clean up with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, B37419AA) was carried out, and sample concentrations were measured using Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) and normalized at a concentration of 0.5 ng μl−1. The Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, FC-131-1096) was used for subsequent sample preparation. Samples were subjected to a second-strand cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification. After purification on Agencourt AMPure XP beads. Ready DNA libraries were quality controlled using D1000 Screen Tape Assay (Agilent Technologies). Samples were sequenced at the Functional Genomics Center Zurich on Illumina HiSeq 2500 or HiSeq4000 sequencers with single-end 125-bp reads.

**Single-cell RNA-seq analysis.** Single-end 126-nucleotide-long reads were adaptor removed and trimmed using cutadapt (v1.16) and sickle (v1.33) with default parameters. Reads were mapped against the mouse GRCm38.90 primary assembly 126 with the Ensembl GRCm38.90 GTF annotation using STAR (v2.6.0c) in ‘alignRead’ mode. Gene-count matrices were quantified at the exon level ignoring unmapped multimeappers using featureCounts from Subread (v1.6.2). RNA velocity loom files were generated with Velocyt (v0.17.17) in ‘run-smartseq2’ mode using the Ensembl GRCm38.90 GTF annotation. Cells were quality checked using Scater (v1.12.2)17. Only cells with 1,000–6,000 gene reads, detected less than 8% of mitochondrial reads and more than 60% reads with biotinylated adapters (what is said, 384-well plate), cells with more or less than 1.5-fold the median read content per cell were excluded. A total of 1,465 cells passed quality-control filtering. A total of 410 cells were filtered out including: s100b+Astro+Aldh1l1+ astrocytes (only detected in *Gli1-CreERT2/tdTomato* cells), tmem119+ microglia and gad1+gad2+ interneurons. Approximately 1,055 cells were retained for the final analysis. Seurat (v3.1.1) was used to normalize read counts, reproject out the library size and mitochondrial reads proportion per cell, find variable features with the ‘vst’ method and integrate multiple plates (as used previously18), dimensionality reduction the data (principal-component analysis, t-SNE and UMAP), cluster cells and test for DEGs. Differential gene expression by cell type (for example, Ascl versus Gli cells) was tested only for genes detected in at least 20% of cells in either of the populations. Logistic regression fits with batch as the latent variable (null model) and cell type as the predictor were run using ‘FindMarkers’ in Seurat. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg method within each contrast; genes with a false discovery rate-adjusted P value below 0.05 were deemed significant. Batch-affected genes were similarly tested using logistic
Prediction algorithm. DEGs used for the prediction of the Gli1 and Ascl1 genotypes were obtained by taking the common genes between the DEG calculated for ndNSC and DEG calculated for dNSC using the edgeR package (nine genes in total). The data, containing ndNSC and dNSC cells, were split into a training set and a test set. The training set consisted of 50% of cells from the least populated class, and the corresponding number of cells was taken from the more populated class. The prediction consisted of an Ensemble pairwise classification consisting of three models: GLM, k-NN and RFC (implemented in Caret). For each model, the cross-validation was performed ten times with 10% of the training data for the parameter tuning. Each model was used to perform classification on the test set using the statistics package predict function. To ensure a better reproducibility of the prediction, the process of fitting and prediction was done 1,000 times (seeds) for each of the three models. For each seed, the data were randomly split by the same means as above to ensure that different cells were used in different seeds. The accuracy was computed by assigning a score of −1 to the cells that were wrongly classified in each seed, for each model, and +1 to the cells that were correctly classified. The final accuracy was taken by comparing the proportion of correctly and wrongly classified cells according to the score system described above.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
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Code availability
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 1 | Characterization of Gli1 and Ascl1-targeted cells. a, Number of tdTom+ cells in the SGZ 2d after recombination in Gli1 (n = 4 mice) and Ascl1 (n = 3 mice). b, Percentage of the distance of tdTom+ R cells from the anchor/max distance in Gli1 and Ascl1 at 2dpi. Horizontal sections, first 300 μm of DG are considered (Gli1: 53.93 ± 2.27, n = 106 cells; Ascl1: 54.35 ± 1.83, n = 199 cells; Mann Whitney test: Mann–Whitney U = 10407; p = 0.849, two-tailed). c, Percentage of the distance of imaged clones from the anchor/max distance in Gli1 and Ascl1 at 2mpi. Horizontal sections (Gli1: 51.6 ± 2.31, n = 40 clones; Ascl1: 45.6 ± 5.63, n = 18 clones; Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 337; p = 0.708, two-tailed). d, Representation of the method used to quantify the distances between R cells and the anchor. Horizontal view of the DG. e, Quantification of the distance between pairs of tdTom+ R cells in Gli1 and Ascl1 at 2dpi. Horizontal sections, first 300 μm of DG are considered (Gli1: 523.5 ± 14.86, n = 608 pair of cells; Ascl1: 546.1 ± 9.25, n = 1696 pair of cells; Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 505390; p = 0.469, two-tailed). f, Representation of the method used to quantify the distances between pair of R cells (only the pairings of 3R cells with all the other R cells in the section are shown as examples). Horizontal view of the DG. g, Quantification of the tdTom+ cell types in the SGZ 2d after recombination in Gli1 (n = 4 mice) and Ascl1 (n = 3 mice). h, Representative pictures of RNA-Scope with Ki67 probe (green) and Ascl1 probe (grey) and immunostaining for tdTomato (red) and GFAP (cyan) of Gli1 and Ascl1-targeted cells at 5dpi. Filled arrowheads point to R cells; empty arrowheads point to NR cells. i, Quantification of the Ascl1 mRNA dots of: R ki67- cells (Gli1: 4.136 ± 0.366, n = 88 cells; Ascl1: 5.617 ± 0.851, n = 47 cells; Unpaired t-test: t = 1.855; df = 133; p = 0.065, two-tailed), R ki67+ cells (Gli1: 10.83 ± 2.676, n = 6; Ascl1: 8.250 ± 2.089, n = 12; Unpaired t-test: t = 0.734; df = 16; p = 0.473, two-tailed), NR ki67+ cells (Gli1: 8.000 ± 1.741, n = 12; Ascl1: 4.978 ± 0.7160, n = 45; Unpaired t-test: t = 1.834; df = 55; p = 0.0721, two-tailed). j, Quantification of the Ascl1 mRNA levels (fluorescence intensity of the cell/background) of: R ki67- cells (Gli1: 1.739 ± 0.083, n = 77 cells; Ascl1: 1.933 ± 0.198, n = 40 cells; Unpaired t-test: t = 1.055; df = 115; p = 0.293, two-tailed), R ki67+ cells (Gli1: 4.528 ± 1.919, n = 5; Ascl1: 2.502 ± 0.369, n = 12; Unpaired t-test: t = 1.54; df = 15; p = 0.144, two-tailed), NR ki67+ cells (Gli1: 4.157 ± 0.566, n = 10; Ascl1: 2.510 ± 0.258, n = 39; Unpaired t-test: t = 2.815; df = 47; **p = 0.007, two-tailed). k, Quantification of the Ascl1 mRNA dots of: ki67- cells (Gli1: 4.067 ± 0.295, n = 149 cells; Ascl1: 3.516 ± 0.405, n = 124 cells; Unpaired t-test: t = 1.121; df = 271; p = 0.263, two-tailed), ki67+ cells (Gli1: 8.944 ± 1.454, n = 18; Ascl1: 5.667 ± 0.728, n = 57; Unpaired t-test: t = 2.141; df = 73; *p = 0.035, two-tailed). Values are shown as mean ± s.e.m. Bars in violin plots represent median and quartiles. Scale bars represent 100 μm (d,f) and 10 μm (h). For detailed statistics, see Supplementary Table 5.1.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Intravital 2-photon imaging does not affect proliferation or lineage commitment of Gli1-targeted NSCs. a, Explanatory scheme of the different parameters extracted from lineage data of the imaged clones. b, Comparison of cell proliferation in contralateral and ipsilateral side in Gli1 mice after 2 months of 2-photon imaging (dorsal DG, horizontal sections). c, Quantification of Ki67+ cells and Ki67+ tdtom+ cells in contra- and ipsilateral side. Horizontal sections, first 360 μm of DG are considered (Ki67+ ipsilateral: 1345 ± 235.7, n = 3 mice; Ki67+ contralateral: 1278 ± 252.2, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 0.2631; df = 2; p = 0.817, two-tailed. Ki67+/tdTom+ ipsilateral: 28 ± 11.06, n = 3 mice; Ki67+/tdTom+ contralateral: 68.33 ± 26.1, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 2.678; df = 2; p = 0.116, two-tailed). d, Percentage of Ki67+ tdTom+ /tdTom+ cells in contra- and ipsilateral side (ipsilateral: 7.26 ± 1.84, n = 4 mice; contralateral: 8.58 ± 0.63, n = 4 mice; Paired t-test: t = 0.895; df = 3; p = 0.436, two-tailed). e, Percentage of tdTom+ Hopx1+Ki67+ /tdTom+ Hopx1+ cells in contra- and ipsilateral side (ipsilateral: 9.0 ± 1.83, n = 3 mice; contralateral: 9.63 ± 1.91, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 0.392; df = 2; p = 0.733, two-tailed). f, Comparison of the number and identity of tdTom+ cells in contralateral and ipsilateral side in Gli1 mice after 2 months of 2-photon imaging (dorsal DG, horizontal sections). g, Quantification of tdTom+ neurons and Sox2+ tdTom+ glial cells in contra- and ipsilateral side. Horizontal sections, first 360 μm of DG are considered (tdTom+ ipsilateral: 475.3 ± 45.16, n = 3 mice; tdTom+ contralateral: 424.7 ± 128.2, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 0.475; df = 2; p = 0.681, two-tailed. tdTom+ SOX2+ ipsilateral: 279.3 ± 36.5, n = 3 mice; tdTom+ SOX2+ contralateral: 293 ± 75.5, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 0.345; df = 2; p = 0.762, two-tailed). h, Percentage of Sox2+ tdTom+ /tdTom+ in contra- and ipsilateral side (ipsilateral: 36.93 ± 3.78, n = 3 mice; contralateral: 41.49 ± 2.49, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 1.347; df = 2; p = 0.31, two-tailed). i, Quantification of the DG area covered by GFAP+ cells/area tot in Gli1 contra- and ipsilateral side at 2 weeks after surgery (ipsilateral: 0.77 ± 0.03, n = 3 mice; contralateral: 0.73 ± 0.01, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 2.004; df = 2; p = 0.183, two-tailed). j, Quantification of the DG area covered by Iba1+ cells/area tot in Gli1 contra- and ipsilateral side at 2 weeks after surgery (ipsilateral: 0.51 ± 0.05, n = 3 mice; contralateral: 0.5 ± 0.04, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 0.677; df = 2; p = 0.568, two-tailed). k, Quantification of the DG area covered by GFAP+ cells/area tot in Gli1 contra- and ipsilateral side at 2.5 months after surgery (ipsilateral: 0.79 ± 0.03, n = 3 mice; contralateral: 0.83 ± 0.02, n = 3 mice; Paired t-test: t = 1.654; df = 2; p = 0.24, two-tailed). Values are shown as mean ± s.e.m. Scale bars represent 100 μm (b, f). For detailed statistics, see Supplementary Table 5.1.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | NR cells behavior is similar between Gli1- and Ascl1- derived lineages. **a**, Relationship between time to first division and R cell self-renewal duration. Gli1 and Ascl1-targeted R cells are shown as dots in the XY correlation graph (correlation analysis: Spearman \( r = -0.03, n = 113 \) XY pairs; \( p \text{ (two-tailed)} = 0.744 \)). **b**, Time until division of R cells (in days) in successive divisions. Div1: first division after the first neurogenic division in the clone. Comparison among consecutive divisions in each mouse line (Gli1 Div1: 8.49 ± 1.29, \( n = 36 \) divisions; Gli1 Div2: 6.89 ± 1.95, \( n = 14 \) divisions; Gli1 Div3: 7.75 ± 1.49, \( n = 4 \) divisions; \( p = 0.511 \). Ascl1 Div1: 3.12 ± 0.49, \( n = 37 \) divisions; Ascl1 Div2: 3.2 ± 1.28, \( n = 10 \) divisions; Ascl1 Div3: 20, \( n = 1 \) divisions; \( p = 0.225 \). Statistical test used: Kruskal Wallis) and comparison between Gli1 and Ascl1 (Div1: Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney \( U = 302, *** p < 0.0001 \), two-tailed; Div2: Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney \( U = 34.5, * p = 0.034 \), two-tailed). **c**, Heat maps representing the frequencies of division modes of Gli1- and Ascl1- targeted NR cells (division rounds (Div) 1, 3 and 5 counting from the first NR division. Gli1 Div1 n = 91 divisions; Gli1 Div3 n = 65 divisions; Gli1 Div5 n = 11 divisions. Ascl1 Div1 n = 85 divisions; Ascl1 Div3 n = 71 divisions; Ascl1 Div5 n = 18 divisions). R, radial glia-like cell; NR, proliferating progenitor cell; N, neuron; A, astrocyte. Division events contain only certain lineage relations. **d**, Time (in days) between NR cell divisions (Gli1: 1.38 ± 0.08, \( n = 460 \) cells; Ascl1: 1.24 ± 0.08, \( n = 461 \) cells; Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney \( U = 100475, p = 0.1207 \), two-tailed). **e**, Relative frequency of the NR cells cell fate distribution. The lineage trees are shifted to the first R cell division and NR divisions are pooled according to the time windows in which they take place (Gli1 n in 0–20 days interval: 410, Gli1 n in 20–40 days interval: 78, Gli1 n in 40–70 days interval: 12; Ascl1 n in 0–20 days interval: 424, Ascl1 n in 20–40 days interval: 74, Ascl1 n in 40–70 days interval: 0). Error bars represent the standard error of the proportion. Division events contain all binary lineage relations (certain and uncertain). Values are shown as mean ± s.e.m. For detailed statistics, see Supplementary Table 5.2.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Transcriptional analysis of Gli1- vs Ascl1-targeted cells. a, Representative FACS plots showing gating for live (Hoechst-) tdTomato+ DG cells sorted for scRNA-seq. b, t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) visualization of the 4 clusters (non-dividing NSCs (ndNSCs), dividing NSCs (dNSCs), Immature Neurons (IN), Mature Neurons (MN)) identified in Gli1- and Ascl1 targeted tdTomato+ neuronal cells. c, Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) visualization of the seven individual datasets used for analysis. _1 and _2 indicate duplicates for a given data point. d, UMAP visualization of the cell isolated 5 days or 12 weeks after tamoxifen injection. e, Distribution of the cells among the 4 clusters, 5 days or 12 weeks after tamoxifen injection. f, Expression pattern of Ascl1 mRNA. g, Violin plots showing Ascl1 mRNA levels in Gli1- and Ascl1-derived ndNSCs and dNSCs (Wilcoxon test, *p < 0.05). h, Position of Gli1 (red) and Ascl1 (blue) ndNSCs and dNSCs along the pseudotime axis as calculated by Monocle. Cells present along the full pseudotime range (grey rectangle) or sharing the same pseudotime range (green rectangle) are depicted. i-j, Volcano plots showing significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (red, padj < 0.05) between Gli1 or Ascl1-targeted ndNSCs (i) or dNSCs (j) when comparing only cells with shared pseudotime range. Venn diagrams indicate the overlap between DEGs found when comparing Gli1 or Ascl1-targeted ndNSCs (i) or dNSCs (j) using the full pseudotime range (grey circles) or the shared pseudotime range (green circles). Among the top 10 DEGs, bolded gene names highlight the DEGs found in both comparisons. k, UMAP visualization of RNA velocities calculated using scVelo. For detailed statistics, see Supplementary Table 5.3.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Stem cell markers associated with quiescence are differentially expressed between Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted NSCs.

a, Representative example of a Gli1- tdTom DG section (5 days post tamoxifen injection) stained with multiple antibodies using the 4i protocol. Visualization of 9 different cellular markers in addition to tdTomato. Single channels are shown. b, Representative images of a Gli1 and an Ascl1 DG section stained using 4i protocol, 5 days post tamoxifen injection. Visualization of six different cellular markers plus tdTomato. (Top) Prox1/blue, tdTom/red, SOX2/green, GFAP/light blue, Id4/magenta. (Middle) tdTom/red, Hopx/green, Mt3/magenta. (Bottom) single channels at higher magnification (regions in the white squares). c, Example of a Gli1-targeted R cell stained using the 4i protocol (5 days post tamoxifen injection). Visualization of 5 different R cell markers in addition to tdTomato and DAPI. Displayed are single channels and a merged picture. d, Percentage of cells that express different NSC and proliferation markers in Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted R cells (Gli1 n = 330 cells; Ascl1 n = 316 cells). e, Quantification of Sox2 (Gli1 = 5.24 ± 0.11, n = 330 cells; Ascl1 = 5.22 ± 0.14, n = 316 cells; Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 51100; p = 0.661, two-tailed), Id4 (Gli1 = 2.02 ± 0.03, n = 317 cells; Ascl1 = 1.98 ± 0.03, n = 285 cells; Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 44567; p = 0.776, two-tailed) and Ki67 (Gli1 = 3.78 ± 0.36, n = 32 cells; Ascl1 = 4.82 ± 0.28, n = 69 cells; Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 760; p = 0.011, two-tailed) protein levels (fluorescence intensity of the cell/background) in Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted R cells. f, Quantification of Hopx protein levels (fluorescence intensity of the cell/background) in Gli1- and Ascl1-targeted R cells that are either positive or negative for Mt3 (Gli1 positive: 7.77 ± 0.20, n = 313 cells; Gli1 negative: 5.47 ± 0.5, n = 24 cells; Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 2394; **p < 0.003, two-tailed. Ascl1 positive: 6.46 ± 0.18, n = 284 cells; Ascl1 negative: 3.28 ± 0.32, n = 32 cells; Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 1651; ****p < 0.0001, two-tailed. Gli1 positive vs Ascl1 positive: Mann Whitney test: Mann-Whitney U = 182; ***p = 0.0006, two-tailed). Values are shown as mean ± s.e.m. Bars in violin plots represent median and quartiles. Scale bars represent 400 μm (a), 100 μm (b) and 20 μm (c, high magnification and b). For detailed statistics, see Supplementary Table 5.4.
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- Fiji/ImageJ Version May 2017 Fiji http://fiji.sc
  RRID:SCR_002285
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- FlowJo TreeStar https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo
  RRID:SCR_008520
- Smart-seq2 Picelli, 2014 https://www.illumina.com/science/sequencing-method-explorer/kits-and-arrays/smart-seq2.html

Data analysis

- R v3.6.1. The R Project for Statistical Computing http://www.r-project.org/
  RRID:SCR_001905
- Cutadapt v1.16 Martin, 2010 https://cutadapt.readthedocs.org/
  RRID:SCR_011841
- Sickie v1.33 Joshi & Fass, 2011 https://github.com/najoshi/sickie
  RRID:SCR_00680
- STAR v2.6.0c Dobin, 2013 http://code.google.com/p/htseq-
  RRID:SCR_015899
- Seurat package (3.1.1) Satija, 2019 http://satijalab.org/seurat/
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- Slingshot v1.3.2 Street, 2018 https://github.com/kstreet13/slingshot
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Sample size  Sample size were derived from previous studies (please refer to methods section).
Data exclusions  No data were excluded.
Replication  Experiments were replicated three times when applicable (for details please refer to methods sections). Replication experiments were successful.
Randomization  Imaged mice were not randomized due to distinct genotypes. Single cell RNA-sequencing data were randomized for Random Forrest classifier (for details please refer to methods sections).
Blinding  Experimenter were blinded for analyses (for details please refer to methods sections).
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### Antibodies

| Antibodies used | Details |
|-----------------|---------|
| Rat anti Ki67 | eBiosciences Cat# 14-5698-82 RRID:AB_10854564 |
| Rabbit anti Ki67 | Abcam Cat# ab16667 RRID:AB_302459 |
| Goat anti DCX | Santa Cruz Biotechnology Cat# sc8066 RRID:AB_2088494 |
| Goat anti Sox2 | Santa Cruz Biotechnology Cat# sc17320 RRID:AB_2286684 |
| Rat anti Sox2 | Invitrogen Cat# 14-9811-82 RRID:AB_11219471 |
| Mouse anti Nestin | BD Biosciences Cat# 556309 RRID:AB_396354 |
| Rabbit anti s100β | Abcam RRID:AB_882426 |
| Mouse anti Hopx | Santa Cruz Cat# sc-396703 RRID:AB_2667966 |
| Rabbit anti Idd4 Biocheck | Cat# BCH-9/82-12 Ref: Blomfield et al., 2019, eLife; PMID: 31552825 |
| Rabbit anti Mt3 | Abcam Cat# ab214241 [no Ref available; https://www.abcam.com/mt3-antibody-ab214314.pdf] |
| Chicken anti GFAP | Novus Cat# NBP1-05198 Ref: Jung et al., 2020, Autophagy; PMID: 31234698 |
| Goat anti tdTomato | Sigma Cat# 032M4779 Ref: Ohira et al., 2010, Molecular Brain; PMID: 20815922 |
| Rabbit anti Prox1 | Millipore Cat# AB5475 RRID:AB_177485 |
| Rabbit anti GFAP Invitrogen | Cat# 13-0300 RRID: AB_86543 |
| Rabbit anti Iba1 | WAKO Cat# 019-19741 RRID: AB_839504 |

Validation | Included above

### Animals and other organisms

#### Policy information about studies involving animals

**ARRIVE guidelines** recommended for reporting animal research

**Laboratory animals**

Mouse: Gli1tm3[cre/ERT2]Alj The Jackson Laboratory Cat# 007913
Mouse: B6.Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sortm14(CAG-tdTomato)Hze The Jackson Laboratory Cat# 007914
Mouse: Ascl1Cre ERT2,Ascl1tm1.1(Cre/ERT2)Jejo The Jackson Laboratory Cat# 012882

Mice were group housed in ventilated cages (21-23 Celsius) under a 12h dark/light cycle with ad libitum access to food and water. Mice of mixed sex were used. At the time of surgery all mice were between 6-7 weeks of age.

**Wild animals**

No field collected samples were used.

**Field-collected samples**

No field collected samples were used.

**Ethics oversight**

Animal experiments were approved by the Cantonal Commission for Animal Experimentation of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland in accordance with national and cantonal regulations.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.
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**Methodology**

**Sample preparation**

5 days or 12 weeks after the first Tam injection, the dentate gyrus (DG) was sub-dissected in ice-cold HBSS. DG dissociation was performed using the Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit (P) (Miltenyi Biotec cat #: 130-092-628) and Myelin removal beads II (Miltenyi Biotec cat #: 130-096-733) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Hoechst 33342 (Life Technologies) was added for live cell discrimination. Individual Hoechst-tdTom+ cells were sorted into 384 well plates containing lysis buffer using a FACS Aria III sorter (BD Biosciences). Sorted plates were stored at -80°C until library preparation.

**Instrument**

FACSAria III sorter (BD Biosciences)

**Software**

FlowJo TreeStar [https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo](https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo)

RRID:SCR_008520

**Cell population abundance**

Across all sorting experiments we identify 2-3 % of whole cells among which >97% were singlets containing between 2.5 and 5 % of live Hoechst-tdTom+ cells. scRNA-seq of individual cells confirmed a purity of >99%.

**Gating strategy**

The gating strategy starts with a gate on the clearly identifiable population of whole cells that separates from the debris in FSC/SSC, doublets were then eliminated using a FSC-A/FSC-H gate followed by a SSC-A/SSC-H gate, finally live Hoechst-tdTomato+ cells were selected for sorting.

- Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.