Identification of Modal Parameters for Reinforcement of Walls in Earthen Ruins
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The protection of earthen sites plays an important role in the context of preservation of cultural heritage, especially in the inheritance and promotion of history and culture. The aim of the paper is to present the essential results of an ongoing research on a reinforced rammed earthen wall in Suoyang City (Guazhou, China). The wall vibrations caused by ambient actions were analyzed using the stochastic subspace algorithm to estimate the modal parameters of the wall. The frequencies of the first three orders are 3.566 Hz, 5.003 Hz, and 6.250 Hz, and the corresponding modes are first-order transverse bending, second-order left and right torsion, and third-order vertical bending, respectively. Then, according to the data of elastic modulus obtained in the lab, the finite element calculation is carried out, and referring to the results of field measurement, the revised elastic modulus value is 205.90 MPa. It is worth mentioning that the revised value is significantly improved from the original laboratory value, and it is also indicated that the seismic performance of the reinforced wall has been significantly improved. The present work is expected to provide a theoretical basis for reinforcement, protection, and seismic control of earthen ruins.

1. Introduction

Modal analysis is a crucial method in structural dynamics [1]. The interest on ancient architectural sites has increased the need for characteristics of the structures in these sites. Vibration tests are often performed on the structures to identify the modal characteristics, frequency, damping, and mode of vibration. As a kind of cultural relic, earthen ruins enjoy a long history and splendid culture [2]. Due to historical reasons, natural environment, and human factors, the structures in earthen ruins were vulnerable to plenty of diseases, such as sheet spalling, hollowing, fissure joints, washouts, and biological damage, which are likely to result in destruction of earthen sites. Therefore, the conservation of earthen sites is imminent [3]. Structural dynamic methods have been introduced to the analysis of earthen sites. Li et al. and Liu et al. conducted pulsation tests on the walls of earthen sites and obtained the spectral characteristics of the walls and self-oscillation behaviors [4, 5]. Although they gained some structural properties for earthen sites, their data for analysis were obtained from one specific point, i.e., from the bottom or the top of the site walls, whereas the structural properties for one single point of the wall often differed from the those of the whole wall. Meng et al. studied the effect of microvibrations generated by vehicle loads on a bell tower in Xi’an by installing acceleration sensors in different locations [6]. There are also works on modal parameter identification of site buildings. For instance, Diaferio et al. performed an ambient excitation modal test analysis and modal parameter identification for the historic tower in Bari, Italy, and evaluated the bending modal vibration patterns for the first two orders [7, 8]. Aguilar and Ramos performed an ambient excitation modal test for a historic building in Peru to identify the dynamic characteristics of the building [9]. Conte et al. conducted structural identification on historical masonry buildings in southern Italy through field investigations, dynamic tests, and numerical simulations to ensure the dynamic response performance under the operational conditions of the building [10]. In summary, operational modal analysis and parameter identification are mostly applied to building structures but rarely to the reinforced walls of
earthen site structures. Due to the low cost and the local availability of the soil material, it is widely used in architecture [11]. The rammed earth walls were widely constructed in the ancient times when science and technology were not developed for living and guarding. Rammed and granular soils differ substantially in structure. The soil should interact with other structures (plies) at the same time to reflect their structural behavior, while the soil itself cannot reflect the structural characteristics [12–15]. For example, Yuan et al. conducted the research in the field of the pide-soil interaction system [16], while the rammed earth structure can reflect its structural behaviors [17]. Therefore, the authors have reasons to think that it is feasible to apply operational modal analysis (OMA) to the earthen ruins. In order to better protect the earthen ruins, in other words, to increase its life span, various adverse factors need to be overcome, especially the damage caused by earthquake action [18]. It is necessary to study and analyze the structural behavior of soil sites. This shows the necessity of working modal analysis.

In the present work, a section of a reinforced wall in the Suoyang City architectural site is used as the research object. The measurement points are arranged simultaneously at the top and the bottom of the wall to collect data. The ambient excitation modal test and model fitting are performed by the stochastic subspace identification (SSI) method to obtain the frequencies and the vibration patterns of the first three orders. Finally, the equivalent elastic modulus of the reinforced wall is determined by combining the measurements with the result of finite element method (FEM) analysis.

2. Methods and Procedures

2.1. Overview of the Test Subject. As a national key protection unit of cultural relics, Suoyang City is a shining star in Chinese civilization. Under the influence of environmental and human factors, the earthen site in the ancient city has been damaged to varying degrees. Especially, it is prone to instant collapses during large earthquakes. Reinforcement is the most common means for protection of earthen ruins. The reinforced wall which was chosen as the test object is located at the northeast corner of the outer city of Suoyang. The condition of the completed reinforcement is shown in Figure 1. The wall is trapezoidal in cross section with the lengths of two sides being 6.5 m and 4.2 m. It is 10 m high, and the thickness of the ramming layer is 0.1 m. The upper surface of the site is roughly terrace-shaped. For better description, from left to right, they were named as a, b, and c, as shown in Figure 2. The first part (a) is about 9.6 m long. The second part (b) is a sloping surface with a length of 3.8 m. The third part (c) is about 6.2 m long. The height difference between a and c is about 1 m. The bottom of the measured surface is about 6 m long, and the rest is covered by collapsed soil, which shows an inclined plane, as shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Experimental Measurements

2.2.1. Instruments and Equipment. The main test instrument includes an INV 3060S intelligent signal acquisition and processing system. The highest sampling frequency was set at 51.2 kHz, and the sample analysis accuracy was 24-bit A/D. The conventional amplitude error is less than 1%, and the frequency error is less than 0.01%. The vibration pickup sensors were selected for the 941B ultra-low frequency vibrometer developed by the Institute of Engineering Mechanics of the China Earthquake Administration. It is mainly used for pulsation measurement of the ground and structures and is suitable for testing the structures of earthen sites with low frequencies. The sensor has four modes: acceleration, small velocity, medium velocity, and large velocity. The mode selected for this test is small velocity, with a sensitivity of 23 V·s/m, a maximum displacement range of 20 mm, and a maximum velocity range of 0.125 m/s.

2.2.2. Procedures for Field Testing. As the test object is an earthen site and it is not suitable for the input external excitation method, the multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) method was employed for the tests. The ground pulsation acted as the vibration source during the test.

The test was carried out in two groups. The first group was named measurement point A1 and point 1, and the second group was named measurement point A1 and point 2. Point A1 is located at the bottom of the wall, which is defined as the reference point. The location of point 2 is shown in Figure 3. The arrangement of the overall measurement points is shown in Figure 4. Each measurement point was installed with three sensors along three directions: the wall direction, vertical wall direction, and vertical direction. All of them were placed on the surface of the wall. Each group was tested three times, and each test lasted 300 seconds, with a sampling frequency of 204.8 Hz.

2.3. Modal Parameter Identification. The response signal of each measurement point was obtained through the test. The modal parameters can generally be identified in the time domain and frequency domain. The stochastic subspace identification (SSI) algorithm is often used for analysis in the time domain, and the enhanced frequency-domain decomposition (EFDD) method is used for analysis in the frequency domain [19]. SSI algorithm is a relatively mature linear system identification method, which can effectively obtain modal parameters from the structural response of environmental excitation. The stable graph method is a novel method to identify the order of the system. The modal identification was performed, applying the data-driven SSI algorithm available in the commercial software DASP. Application of the software to analyze the parameters was obtained by the SSI algorithm. On account of the denser modal frequencies, the identification accuracy with the EFDD was not precisely enough to identify the frequency range of interest, so the modal parameter identification was herein adopted by SSI algorithm.

The SSI algorithm was used to fit the modal data of test No. 1. The stability diagrams are shown in Figure 5. Combined with the vibration patterns, the first three orders of the modality of the earthen site structure were obtained. The modal frequencies and damping are shown in Table 1. The vibration patterns corresponding to each order of
frequencies were extracted by the DASP software [20]. The modal vibration patterns are shown in Figure 6. From the diagram of the vibration mode (Figure 6), it can be learned that the first-order vibration mode is the transverse bending mode in the $x$ and $y$ planes, the second-order vibration mode is the left and right torsional bending mode in the $x$-$y$ plane, and the third-order vibration mode is the vertical bending mode in the $y$-$z$ plane. The data of tests No. 2 and No. 3 were obtained by following the same workflow. Table 1 shows the averages of the three results.

3. Interpretation of the Results

The characteristic parameters, including frequency, damping, and mode of vibration, were obtained by field testing and data processing. Table 2 summarizes the frequencies and the damping. The modes of the vibration are shown in Figure 7. The table clearly shows the fine distinction between the three tests. The accuracy of the frequencies can be verified among the three sets of data. However, the damping acquired from these data differed greatly which suggested that there is no good consistency. Finally, the final frequencies are determined by taking the average value. The next step is to analyze the parameters of the material which has the most significant effect on the structural properties. The main parameters affecting the structural characteristics are mass, elastics modulus, damping, boundary conditions, and state of conservation [22]. In order to select the parameters to evaluate the reinforcement effect better, the above parameters are briefly introduced below. The most common disease in earthen ruins is cut out, which will reduce the overall mass of soil sites, but the proportion is
small compared with the total mass. Elastic modulus is chosen in the paper. Different types of soil sites have different boundary conditions. However, the conditions are certainly for the specific objects. The same is true for the state of conservation. Let us go back to the basic theory. For the first mode, in order to simplify the model, the damping was neglected. We can apply it to a single-degree-of-freedom model, as shown in Figure 8. According to the first-order mode obtained above, the displacement occurs on the horizontal plane, and an undamped single-degree-of-freedom model is established [23], as shown in Figure 7.

\[
m \ddot{x}(t) + k_{eq}x(t) = 0,
\]

where \( m \) stands for concentrated mass, and support column is a massless uniform cantilever beam of bending stiffness \( EI \). Under the condition of free vibration, the vibration equation of this model is

\[
K_{eq} = \frac{3EI}{L^3}.
\]

When the geometric size of the model is determined, that is, when \( I \) and \( L \) are constant in formula (1), the stiffness \( K \)
and $E$ are proportional to each other. That is the reason why $E$ is chosen as the evaluation of reinforcement effect in the paper.

In order to evaluate the reinforcement effect, the finite element method is needed. In recent years, the finite element method has been widely used [24]. The parameters to be analyzed are determined, and the finite element method is used for trial calculation. The parameters selected for finite element analysis are given in Table 2.

Table 2: Physicomechanical parameters of materials [21].

| Material parameter | Density (g/cm$^3$) | Cohesion (kPa) | Angle of internal friction (°) | Poisson’s ratio | $E$ (MPa) |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| The wall           | 1.86               | 24.3           | 31.2                          | 0.3             | 136.09    |

Figure 8 reveals the dimensions of the simplified model. By using the finite element method, we can obtain the first three modes of the simplified model. The frequencies are shown in Table 3, and the shapes of vibration are uncovered in Figure 9. Compared to the data obtained from the field tests, the frequency magnitudes obtained by the FEM are significantly smaller for all orders. However, the vibration patterns are consistent. The reasons are as follows: firstly, due to the special nature of the earthen site, the samples were...
selected from the blocks scattered around it and it was not possible to select from the earthen site itself, which would have damaged the site. This is something that cannot be tolerated as cultural heritage conservationists.

Secondly, there is deterioration of the soil samples due to climatic and other factors, which can further exacerbate the loss of strength of the soil and thus lead to small results [25]. At the same time, common sense agrees that the structural strength of the soil sites tested must have increased as a result of the treatment carried out. The next task to be undertaken is to modify the modulus of elasticity and to determine the final modulus of elasticity value with the aid of the FEM.

Existing works revealed that there is a certain functional relationship between the intrinsic frequency of the wall structure and the mass or stiffness of the structural material [26, 27]:

\[ f = K \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho}} \]  

(2)

where \( E \) and \( \rho \) denote the modulus of elasticity and density of the structure, respectively. For a given structure, \( K \) can be considered as a constant.

The intrinsic frequency of the structure acquired from the experimental test is \( f_m \). In the FEM, the elastic modulus value as the overall dynamic property of the wall site is determined with the help of \( f_m \) and is defined as the equivalent elastic modulus \( E_m \) for the reinforced wall site, which can reflect the health state of the structure after reinforcement. We assumed that the density and Poisson’s ratio are constants [28]. From equation (2), it can be derived that

\[ C = \frac{f^2}{E} \]  

(3)

In the case of structure determination, \( C \) is a constant, \( f \) is the frequency of the structure, and \( E \) is the modulus of elasticity of the structure. From (2) and (3), we can conclude that

\[ \frac{f^2_{F(i)}}{E_0} = \frac{f^2_{m(i)}}{E_{mi}} \]  

(4)

where \( f_F(i) \) denotes the \( i \)-th order frequency obtained using finite elements; \( E_0 \) denotes the starting modulus of elasticity; \( f_m(i) \) denotes the measured \( i \)-th order frequency; and \( E_{mi} \) denotes the modulus of elasticity corresponding to the \( i \)-th order frequency.

The obtained results using the above method are shown in Table 4.

The results are shown in Table 5, where the frequencies of the test were compared with the result from finite element analysis using the equivalent elastic modulus. According to the results, the frequency errors of the first three orders are no larger than 10%, so the equivalent elastic modulus is valid.

The modal parameters were identified using the SSI method, and the first three orders of modes were extracted:

**Table 3: The first three orders of modal frequencies for FEM.**

| Order | Frequency (Hz) | Mode of vibration |
|-------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1     | 2.760          | First-order transverse bending |
| 2     | 4.047          | Second-order left and right torsion |
| 3     | 4.959          | Third-order vertical bending |

**Figure 8: 3D model of the site wall.**
the first-order mode was transverse bending at 3.558 Hz; the second-order mode was left and right torsion at 5.003 Hz; and the third-order mode was vertical bending at 6.250 Hz. Also, the modes of vibration were extracted. By combining FEM results and the measured frequencies, the equivalent modulus of elasticity for the reinforced wall was obtained as 205.90 MPa. Compared to the initial modulus of elasticity, the structural characteristics of the wall have been significantly improved after reinforcement.

4. Discussion

The factors that influence the dynamic properties of the structure are the mass and the stiffness. The same is true for the modalities of the reinforced walls of earthen sites, which are the frequency, damping, and vibration pattern. Two aspects about the modulus of elasticity which impact the magnitude of the frequency and the vibration pattern will be discussed in the following.

On the one hand, the equivalent modulus of elasticity obtained is significantly larger than the initial value. To a certain extent, this reflects the effect of the treatment of the earthen site. The initial value parameters chosen in the paper are roughly close to those of the lime rammed earth wall in [21, 29]. It also demonstrates that the selected experimental samples do suffer from deterioration, and the degree of deterioration is roughly comparable to that of the lime rammed earth wall in the literature. It is the deterioration that reduces the strength of the structure. After the reinforcement treatment, the strength of the structure increases. But there is some difference with the data in Moein et al.’s article, with a difference of about 18.9% [29]. This is partly due to the choice of sample in the reference, which may have no or only slight deterioration of the soil, and partly due to the presence of cracks in the test object itself, which has a significant impact on the structural properties, especially in the interior [30]. Owing to the fact that the test object is in a pristine environment, it is also subject to external factors such as history and climate. Table 6 shows a comparison of the parameters between the two articles.

On the other hand, the vibration pattern diagrams revealed the locations of the displacement maxima when the structure is subjected to force. This also indicates that these are the points where damage is most likely to occur when subjected to external action. As the parameter studied in the paper is the modulus of elasticity, changes in the modulus of elasticity have no effect upon the modes.
5. Conclusion

(1) The modal parameters for reinforcement of walls in earthen sites were identified using the SSI method. The first three orders of modes were extracted: the first-order mode was transverse bending at 3.566 Hz, the second-order mode was left and right torsion at 5.003 Hz, and the third-order mode was vertical bending at 6.250 Hz. Also, the modes of vibration were extracted.

(2) Comparing the test data with the relevant literature, the modulus of elasticity values obtained from the laboratory are on the low side. Meanwhile, there is deterioration of the soil in the samples selected, as well as the way in which the samples are selected.

(3) The final equivalent modulus of elasticity obtained using the FEM is 205.9 MPa. The strength of the structure has been significantly improved after the strengthening treatment. However, it is still not up to that of a complete rammed earth wall.

(4) The change in modulus of elasticity does not affect its modal vibration pattern, but it can reflect the location of the structure where damage is likely to occur. Thus, it plays an important role in the analysis of its stresses and displacements.
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