CORRELATION BETWEEN FINDINGS IN MAGNETIC RESONANCE AND BIOPSY IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF SPONDYLODISCITIS

ABSTRACT

Objective: To correlate magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings with the microbiological and anatomopathological diagnosis of spinal infection. Methods: A retrospective, cohort review of online medical records (laboratory, anatomopathology and diagnostic imaging sector) of patients diagnosed with spondylodiscitis, who underwent a full spine MR scan between January 2014 and July 2018 at the Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology of the Universidade Federal de São Paulo. Results: Staphylococcus aureus was the most commonly found etiological agent (57%). Blood culture was positive in 76% of cases and 82% of the patients who underwent biopsy had a spondylodiscitis diagnosis. Pain was the most prevalent clinical symptom and the lumbosacral spine was the most frequent site of infection. T1 hyposignal, T2/STIR hypersignal, and terminal plate destruction were identified in almost all MR scans. Conclusions: No direct correlation was found between MR findings and any specific etiological agent. Blood culture and biopsy are important diagnostic tools that should be used for accurate diagnosis of the infectious agent. Level of evidence IV; Diagnostic Study.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Correlacionar os achados de ressonância magnética (RNM) com o diagnóstico microbiológico e anatomopatológico de infecção na coluna vertebral. Métodos: Estudo de coorte retrospectivo de revisão de prontuários online (laboratório, anatomopatológico e setor de diagnóstico por imagem) de pacientes com diagnóstico de espondilodiscite, submetidos ao exame de RNM da coluna vertebral e acompanhados pelo Departamento de Ortopedia e Traumatologia da Universidade Federal de São Paulo, entre janeiro de 2014 e julho de 2018. Resultados: O agente etiológico mais comum encontrado foi o S. aureus (57%). A hemocultura mostrou-se positiva em 76% dos casos e 82% dos pacientes submetidos à biópsia apresentaram diagnóstico de espondilodiscite. A dor foi o achado clínico mais prevalente e a coluna lombossacra foi o sítio mais frequente de infecção. No exame de RNM, a presença de hipossinal em T1, hipersinal em T2/STIR e destruição das placas terminais foram identificadas em quase todos os casos. Conclusões: Não houve correlação direta dos achados na RNM com um agente etiológico específico no espondilodiscite. A hemocultura e a biópsia são ferramentas diagnósticas importantes que devem ser utilizadas para o diagnóstico preciso do agente infeccioso. Nível de evidência IV; Estudo diagnóstico.

Descritores: Discite; Imagem por Ressonância Magnética; Biópsia; Coluna Vertebral; Hemocultura.

RESUMEN

Objeto: Correlacionar los hallazgos de resonancia magnetica (RNM) con el diagnostico microbiologico y anatomopatologico de infeccion de la columna vertebral. Metodos: Un estudio de cohorte retrospectivo de revision de prontuarios en linea (laboratorio, anatomopatologico y sector de diagnostico por imagen) de pacientes con diagnostico de espondilodiscitis, sometidos al examen de RNM de la columna vertebral y acompanados por el Departamento de Ortopedia y Traumatologia de la Universidad Federal de Sao Paulo, entre enero de 2014 y julio de 2018. Resultados: El agente etiológico mas comun encontrado fue el S. aureus (57%). El hemocultivo se mostró positivo en 76% de los casos y 82% de los pacientes sometidos a biopsia presentaron diagnostico de espondilodiscitis. El dolor fue el hallazgo clinico mas prevalente y la columna lumbosacra fue el sítio mas frecuente de infeccion. En el examen de RNM, la presencia de hipossinal en T1, hipersinal en T2/STIR y destrucion de las placas terminales fueron identificadas en casi todos los casos. Conclusiones: No hubo correlacion directa de los hallazgos de la RNM con un agente etiológico especifico en la espondilodiscitis. El hemocultivo y la biopsia son herramientas diagnosticas importantes, que deben ser utilizadas para el diagnostico preciso del agente infeccioso. Nivel de evidencia IV; Estudio Diagnostico.

Descritores: Discitis; Imagen por Resonancia Magnética; Biopsia; Columna Vertebral; Cultivo de Sangre.
INTRODUCTION

Spondylodiscitis is a condition that can affect one or more spinal structures and segments and be caused by bacteria, fungi, or parasites.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) It usually affects the intervertebral disc and the vertebral body.\(^1\)\(^2\)

Early diagnosis and identification of the specific etiologic agent are crucial to correct treatment and prevention of neurological deficit and spinal deformities.\(^6\) The most commonly found agent, in more than 50% of cases, is Staphylococcus aureus.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^10\) Early detection of this infection continues to be a challenge.

Two major criteria are essential to establishing the diagnosis of pyogenic spondylodiscitis: the presence of an image characteristic of spinal infection and the isolation of the agent through hemoculture or culture of the affected site.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^12\)

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the imaging method with the highest sensitivity (93-96%) and specificity (92.5-97%) for an early diagnosis of spondylodiscitis.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^14\)

Several MRI characteristics have been described for the diagnosis of spondylodiscitis and spinal osteomyelitis, for example, a low-intensity signal in the T1-weighted sequence and a high-intensity signal in the T2-weighted sequence. In spondylodiscitis, we may also observe destruction of at least one spinal terminal plate, loss of disc height, and formation of a paraspinal abscess.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^15\)\(^-\)\(^17\) The objective of this study is to correlate the MRI findings with the specific agents that cause the spinal infection.

METHODS

The study was conducted at the Hospital Universitário da Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), one of the references for the treatment of spinal pathologies in the state of São Paulo. It was submitted to and approved by the Institutional Review Board (CAAE: 00483618.7.0000.5505). The Informed Consent Form was not required to be filled out by the patients since it was waived by the Institutional Review Board.

The study was classified as a retrospective, descriptive cohort review of online medical records (clinical, microbiology laboratory, anatomopathological, and diagnostic imaging sector data) of patients with spondylodiscitis who were treated by the Spine and Orthoarticular Infection Group of the Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology (DOT) of UNIFESP during the period from January 2014 to July 2018.

The spinal MR scans of adult patients with suspected infection were analyzed by two independent observers at different times. The first observer was an MD Radiologist (EAF) with more than 20 years of experience in the musculoskeletal area of the Diagnostic Imaging Department (DDI) of the Escola Paulista de Medicina (EPM)/UNIFESP and the second observer (GLBQ), a physician specializing in spinal pathology from the Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology (DOT).

Training for the radiologist (EAF) and the orthopedist (GLBQ) was conducted using 10 cases in order to standardize the reading of the examinations. The images of the examinations were evaluated without access to any information that identified the patients, that is, they were anonymous.

Initially, each doctor assessed the presence in the vertebral bodies of a marked hyposignal in T1, a hypersignal in T2 with fat suppression or STIR, the presence or absence of terminal plate destruction, the loss or not of disc height, the presence or absence of liquid in the intervertebral disc, and the presence or absence of paraspinal abscess.

The level of discordance between the observers was not statistically significant using the McNemar method. Due to the greater experience of Observer 1, their analysis was considered in preference to that of Observer 2.

Each MR image finding was correlated with the clinical, microbiological, and anatomopathological patient data. The information obtained was organized in tables and graphs with the clinical, laboratory, and imaging correlation. The statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel® and Stata® software.

RESULTS

Twenty-one patients were allocated to the study, ranging in age from 29 to 82 years, with a mean age of 55 years and a median age of 57 years. Of these, 14 (67%) were male and 7 (33%) were female.

The spondylodiscitis diagnosis was confirmed through histopathology and/or culture (hemoculture or bone fragment biopsy). Only 4 (19%) of the patients were not submitted to biopsy. Among the biopsied patients, 14 (82%) had a positive culture and a biopsy report suggestive of infection and only 3 did not have the diagnosis confirmed by the culture or by anatomopathology. The blood culture was positive in 76% of cases, Staphylococcus aureus being the most prevalent germ at 57%, followed by coagulase-negative Staphylococcus (CoNS) at 18% and M. tuberculosis at 13%. (Figure 1)

Regarding symptomatology, 12 (57%) manifested fever and weight loss. Prostration was present in 10 patients (48%), anorexia in 7 (33%), night sweats in 5 (24%), and more than half (62%) had some sort of associated comorbidity. All patients analyzed complained of pain. (Figure 2)

Topographically, the lumbosacral spine is the most frequent location, (Figure 3) and the most affected vertebral body was L3, in 15% of cases. We also observed that intervertebral discs L2L3 (20.8%) and L3L4 (16.7%) were the most affected by the infection. The presence of paravertebral pleghmon was found in 40% of cases in the lumbosacral region, 33% of cases in the thoracolumbar region, 23% of cases in the thoracic region, and 4% of cases in the cervical region.

In the first observer’s radiological analysis, 100% of cases presented a marked hyposignal in T1 in the vertebral body and destruction of the terminal plates. Only 11 (52%) had loss of disc height and 15 (71%) showed the presence of liquid in the intervertebral disc. (Table 1) In the weighted T2/STIR image, 90% had a hypersignal in the vertebral body. The presence of a paraspinal abscess was identified in 9 cases (43%), with similar incidence in the thoracolumbar and lumbosacral regions (44%). It was only possible to correlate the images with the isolated etiological agent S. aureus, due to the lower number of other isolated agents. (Table 2)

![Figure 1](image1.png) Microbiological agents isolated in the hemoculture of patients with spondylodiscitis during the period from January 2014 to July 2018 at EPM/UNIFESP

![Figure 2](image2.png) Symptomatology of the patients with spondylodiscitis from January 2014 to July 2018 at EPM/UNIFESP
Figure 3. Location of spondylodiscitis during the period from January 2014 to July 2018 at EPM/UNIFESP.

Table 1. Correlation of the imaging findings of spondylodiscitis.

| Coluna1 | Hypo T1 | Destruction of the Terminal Plate | Loss of Disc Height | Presence of Liquid in the Disc | Hyper T2/STIR | Paraspinal Abscess |
|---------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| All agents | 100% | 100% | 52% | 71% | 90% | 43% |

Table 2. Correlation of the imaging findings of spondylodiscitis with S. aureus isolated in the culture.

| Coluna1 | Hypo T1 | Hypo T2 | Destruction of the Terminal Plate | Presence of Abscess | Loss of Disc Height | Presence of Liquid in the Disc |
|---------|---------|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| S. aureus | 13 (100%) | 12 (92%) | 13 (100%) | 3 (23%) | 6 (46%) | 10 (77%) |

DISCUSSION

Considering that the etiologic diagnosis of the spondylodiscitis is not always obtained through hemoculture, we often must use invasive and complex methods. Therefore, it is extremely important to use other tools that enable the diagnosis. Gouliouris et al. reported that although hemoculture is a simple and low-cost method achieving a diagnosis in 40-60% of cases, biopsy, which follows as the gold standard, can be performed for diagnostic confirmation. In our study, blood culture positivity was 76%, a value higher than that found in the literature, which might be explained by the stage of the disease (more advanced) in the patients at the time of hospital treatment, given that the study was conducted in a tertiary center.

In this study, Staphylococcus aureus was found in more than 50% of the cases and identified as the most prevalent microbiological agent, corroborating other studies in the literature. Although this agent was defined as pyogenic, we found a low correlation with the formation of the paraspinal abscess.

The presence of a paraspinal abscess was identified in less than 50% of the cases of pyogenic spondylodiscitis, though the observers found it in only 23% of the MR scans of patients with a microbiological diagnosis of S. aureus. In their study, Jung et al. showed that although the presence of a paraspinal abscess is much more often encountered in granulomatous infections (95%), pyogenic infection caused by bacteria is responsible for at least 50% of cases of abscess formation.

MRI can provide high diagnostic accuracy, proving its superiority over other imaging examinations. Arbelaez et al. considered sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy above 90% in their study and positioned it as the method of choice for the successful identification of possible spondylodiscitis. This examination, however, is not a good method for routine follow-up. Through T1- and T2-weighted sequences, it is possible to identify disorders of the vertebral bodies, loss of disc height, presence of paraspinal abscesses and masses, as observed in several studies. We observed a strong correlation between the radiological findings and the laboratory and anatomopathological findings that confirm the spondylodiscitis diagnosis.

The clinical presentation of spondylodiscitis is often non-specific and insidious, making diagnosis difficult. As observed in our study and by most authors, spinal pain is the most important symptom for clinical diagnosis of spondylodiscitis. Fever is not always present; we found it in only 57% of cases. Prodi et al. and Diehn considered this symptom relatively common, but inconsistent. Gouliouris et al. identified the presence of fever in approximately 50% of cases.

In terms of location, we observed most of the infections to occur in the lumbar region, results like those of Malawski SK et al. and Mylona E et al., followed by the thoracic and cervical regions, in descending order of prevalence. Only one study analyzed reported the cervical region as the most frequent site of pyogenic infection in the spine.

There was a higher number of suspected cases of spondylodiscitis among patients treated at the service, however, the non-inclusion of cases that did not have a positive culture and/or an anatomopathological biopsy collection, respecting our exclusion criteria, resulted in a reduction in the number of selected and allocated patients, which became a limitation of our study.

CONCLUSION

There was no direct correlation between the MRI findings and a specific etiological agent in spondylodiscitis. Even changes such as paraspinal abscess and collections had a weak correlation with a pyogenic agent, such as Staphylococcus aureus. Nevertheless, the examination enables early diagnosis of spinal infections.

Even though pyogenic spondylodiscitis presents characteristic findings in MRI examinations it is still a challenge to determine the etiological agent using only this imaging method. Hemoculture and biopsy are important diagnostic tools and should be used for the accurate diagnosis of the infectious agent.
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