The Scandinavian Syntrichia ruralis complex (Musci, Pottiaceae): a chaos of diversification
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Abstract
This study focusses on the molecular and morphological variation among Scandinavian species within the Syntrichia ruralis complex (S. calcicola, S. norvegica, S. ruraliformis, S. ruralis) of the moss family Pottiaceae, plus the similar-looking S. princeps. Molecular variation was explored based on the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), and the plastid atpB-rbcL spacer and rpl16 G2 intron. The relationships of the S. ruralis complex taxa were evaluated by including twelve additional, morphologically defined Syntrichia species in the ingroup, including S. subpapillosissima that was here shown to occur in Scandinavia. The molecular evidence favours a wide circumscription of the S. ruralis complex, including the species around S. caninervis and some other ones but excluding S. princeps, and that these species are closely related. ITS1 paralogues were revealed in almost one-third of the samples, and for those cloned between 2 and 8 variants were found, including specimens with paralogues belonging to two (2 cases) or three (1) different species. Together with several cases of discrepancy between ITS1 and plastid relationships, this could suggest an exchange of genetic material between species and may explain the extensive and partly overlapping morphological variation among some of them. Syntrichia subpapillosissima and S. ruralis var. epilosa may represent special phenotypes within S. ruraliformis or S. ruralis, but studies of more material of these are required to decide their correct status.
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Introduction

The genus Syntrichia Brid. in the family Pottiaceae includes around 90 species (Frey and Stech 2009), distributed almost all over the terrestrial world. The history of the genus is almost as long as that of moss nomenclature (for a review, see Gallego 2005). However, it was only with its typification (Zander 1989) and later use in the encyclopaedic overview of the Pottiaceae by Zander (1993) that the name Syntrichia became widely established in the 1990s for a group of species that had earlier mostly been included in Tortula Hedw. Zander’s treatment of Syntrichia as distinct from Tortula was later supported also by molecular data (e.g. Spagnuolo et al. 1999; Werner et al. 2002).

Within Syntrichia, the treatment of several taxa has been debated, and perhaps especially several of the species around S. ruralis (Hedw.) F.Weber & D.Mohr have attracted much attention over the last 40 years (Gallego 2005; Gallego et al. 2002a; Kramer 1980; Mishler 1985; Vanderpoorten 2001). However, there is still no consensus regarding which taxa to accept, or at which level to recognize several of these. For
Scandinavia, the ‘S. ruralis complex’ is from here onwards understood to include four taxa that are easily confused with each other based on their similar morphologies, S. calcicola J.J. Amann, S. norvegica F. Weber, S. ruraliformis (Besch.) Mans., and S. ruralis. In Europe, where the morphological diversity encompassed by these names is greatest, different authors recognize between one and four species (Gallego 2006; Gallego et al. 2002a; Hallingbäck et al. 2008; Hill et al. 2006; Ignatov et al. 2006; Kramer 1980; Nyholm 1991; Ochyra et al. 2003; Smith 2004; Vanderpoorten 2001). Sometimes, a fifth taxon is recognized at the species level within the complex, under one of the names S. densa (Velen.) J.-P. Frahm or S. glabra J.-P. Frahm & M.T. Gallego (Frahm and Gallego 2001; Frahm and Saboljевич 2006). Finally, S. princeps (De Not.) Mitt. has a similar habit and habitat and is frequently confused with species of the S. ruralis complex in Scandinavia. Considering the variable numbers of species recognized by different authors, it is evident that morphological, anatomical, and biosystematic information is not sufficient to settle several of the species-level problems.

The focus of this study is on resolving remaining issues within the S. ruralis complex and S. princeps in Scandinavia. A full understanding of positions and relationships among lineages in this complex within the genus requires studies of related species, and representatives of twelve additional Syntrichia species are, therefore, included. We use molecular information from numerous specimens of the S. ruralis complex and S. princeps to seek for potential congruence with morphology. This study (1) identifies which Scandinavian lineages are distinct and correspond with taxa within the S. ruralis complex and S. princeps, (2) tests whether distinct molecular lineages differ in a selection of morphological features, and (3) discusses the variation within species and the problems encountered in distinguishing them from each other.

Materials and methods

Study species and material

We studied mainly specimens collected by the first author and kept at S. with the addition of herbarium specimens from GOET, MUB, and S. The Scandinavian members of the S. ruralis complex, S. calcicola, S. norvegica, S. ruraliformis, and S. ruralis, typically occur in relatively base-rich to calcareous habitats that are dry during long periods. They occur on rocks, boulders, thin soil overlaying rocks, on sand or sandy soil, and occasionally as epiphytes on trees. They occur in forests as well as in environments without trees, including alvar, heaths, and sand dunes. Representatives of this species complex grow throughout Scandinavia, although less commonly so in large portions of the boreal and boreo-nemoral zones, and some of its species occur mainly in relatively small regions. In Scandinavia, members of the S. ruralis complex are especially abundant on the two Baltic Sea islands Öland and Gotland, where their phenotypic variation is also great. Scandinavian species of the S. ruralis complex are widely distributed in Europe, Africa, Asia, North and South America, and Australia (Hill et al. 2006; Ignatov et al. 2006; Kramer 1980, 1988; Mishler 2007; Streimann and Klazenga 2002), although individual species have more restricted distributions. Thus, S. calcicola occurs only in Europe, N Africa (incl. Macaronesia) and SW Asia (Gallego et al. 2002a; Ignatov et al. 2006; Kramer 1980). Members of the S. ruralis complex are dioicus (separate male and female plants). The vegetative leaves are broad, tongue shaped or upwards gradually narrowed, not constricted at mid-leaf, and have recurved leaf margins. The leaves are usually recurved when moist. They have a single costa that lacks hydroids and usually ends in a short or long, spinose to denticulate hairpoint. Like in other Syntrichia species, the leaf lamina consists of isodiametric or shortly elongate and more or less strongly papillose cells in its middle and upper portions, whereas the cells in the basal portion are differentiated into rectangular or longly rectangular, thin-walled, inflated, and more or less hyaline cells, with narrow non-hyaline cells both towards the costa and leaf margin. The similar-looking S. princeps can be syunoicous or dioicus, has wide hydroids in the costa, and the leaves are usually constricted at the middle. Its global distribution is similar to that of the S. ruralis complex (Gallego 2005), but in Scandinavia it occurs only on the Baltic Sea islands Bornholm (Kramer 1980), Öland (this paper) and Gotland (Hedenäs 1993). This species typically grows on stable, leached calcareous sand or gravel. On Gotland, the species is most frequent along the coasts, but it has also scattered inland occurrences.

We sampled 174 specimens of Syntrichia in the ingroup, including 139 of members of the S. ruralis complex, as understood in Scandinavia. This covers the variation in Scandinavia, but includes also selected samples from other portions of Europe and a few samples from other continents. We sampled 28 specimens morphologically identifiable as S. calcicola, 32 as S. norvegica, 37 as S. ruraliformis, and 42 as S. ruralis [including two specimens of var. epilosa (Venturi) J.J. Amann]. We sampled twelve specimens of S. princeps. To understand the position of the Scandinavian S. ruralis complex in relation to other members of the genus, we included 1–3 specimens of S. caninervis Mitt. (3), S. handelii (Schiffn.) S. Agnew & Vondr. (2), S. laevipila Brid. (2), S. latifolia (Hartm.) Huebener (2), S. montana Nees (2), S. papillosa (Spruce) Spruce (2), S. papillosissima (Copp.) Loeske (2), S. pseudohandelii (J. Froehl.) S. Agnew & Vondr. (1), S. rigescens (Broth. & Geh.) Ochyra (2). S. sinensis
Molecular methods

Total DNA was extracted using the DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit for DNA isolation from plant tissue (QIAGEN). Double-stranded DNA templates were prepared by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR was performed using IllustraTM Hot Start Mix RTG (GE Healthcare) in a 25-µl reaction volume according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Initially, variation in the nuclear internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), and the plastid atpB-rbcL spacer (atpB-rbcL), rpl16 G2 intron (rpl16), rps4 gene + trnS-rps4 spacer (rps4), trnG_UCC G2 intron (trnG), and trnL_UAA^-trnF_GAA spacer (trnl-trnf) were explored for two specimens with the morphology of S. calcicola, 6 of S. norvegica, 5 of S. ruraliformis, and 7 of S. ruralis. The three most variable ones, ITS1, atpB-rbcL, and rpl16 were selected for the investigation. For the three used molecular markers, the PCR programs given below were initiated by a denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C and were followed by a final extension period of 10 min at 72°C. For ITS1, the PCR program employed was 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 52°C, and 1 min at 72°C, with various combinations of the primers ‘ITS4-bryo’ (Stech 1999), ‘ITSbryoR’ (Hedenäs 2014), ‘5.8SC’ (Bartish et al. 2005), and occasionally ‘18SF’ and ‘26SR’ (Rydin et al. 2004). For atpB-rbcL, 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 52°C, and 40 s at 72°C were employed, with the primers ‘ATPB-1’ and ‘RBCL-1’ (Chiang et al. 1998). For rpl16, 5 cycles of 30 s 94°C, 30 s 57–53°C, 30 s 72°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 52°C, and 1 min 30 s at 72°C were employed, with the primers ‘F71’ (Jordan et al. 1996) and ‘rpl16-antR2’ (Hedenäs 2008) were used.

Twenty millilitres of each amplified fragment was cleaned using a mixture of 20 units of Exonuclease I from E.coli and 4 units of FastAP TM Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Fermentas LIFE SCIENCE), mixed and incubated at 37°C for 30 min and inactivated at 80°C for 15 min. Cycle sequencing was performed using the ABI BigDye Terminator Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the instructions on the kit (BDT ver. 3.1). The sequencing products were cleaned using the DyeEx® 96 Kit (QIAGEN). The same primers as for the initial PCR were used. Sequencing products were resolved on an ABI3130xl automated sequencer. Double-stranded sequencing was performed.

In 55 of the total 179 samples (31%), the amplified ITS1 yielded only messy sequence curves. Thus, for four of the outgroup taxa, one of S. caninervis, and 28 specimens of the S. ruralis complex, representing the different morphological units, DNA was cloned in order to check whether ITS paralogues caused the observed patterns and, if so, the potential origin of different paralogues.

The amplified fragment was ligated into a pTZ57R/T TA cloning vector using the Thermo Scientific InstAclone PCR Cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Escherichia coli K12 JM101 cells (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) were made chemically competent and were then transformed with the recombinant pTZ57R/T vector as described in the InstAclone PCR Cloning Kit protocol. Colony PCR was performed on 6–8 successfully transformed colonies per sample using the primers ‘ITS-bryoR’ and ‘ITS-5.8SC’, and then sequenced as described above.

Sequence editing and analysis

We edited and assembled nucleotide sequence fragments for each DNA region and aligned the assembled sequences manually, using PhyDE® 0.9971 (http://www.phyde.de/index.html; accessed 6 Jan 2017). We identified regions of partially incomplete data in the beginning and end of the sequences and excluded these from subsequent analyses. Insertions and deletions were coded using Simple Indel Coding (Simmons and Ochoterena 2000) in the program SeqStat (Müller 2005). The indels provided additional evidence, and we present the analyses with these included. The sequence alignments used in the analyses are found in Online Resources 1 and 2. GenBank accession numbers are listed “Appendix”.

Preliminary NeighborNet (NN) split network analyses with SplitsTree 4.12.6 (Huson and Bryant 2006) showed that networks based on ITS1 and plastid data, respectively, place several specimens among members of different species in the two data sets. Thus, the two data sets provide incongruent results and, in addition, reticulation is frequent. Therefore and because the numerous specimens with several ITS1 paralogues cannot be combined with corresponding plastid sequences, we ran the final analyses separately for ITS1 and plastid data, and generated NN split networks using SplitsTree 4.12.6. Jackknife analyses (1000 replications) were performed with the program TNT (Goloboff et al. 2003) to test whether there exists supported lineages in a tree context.

Each parologue variant was included in the ITS1 analyses. When we retrieved two or more representatives of a parologue variant, we excluded all except one. In the analyses of relationships, only specimens with complete plastid data
(both markers) were included in the overall analysis based on plastid data. The positions in the networks of specimens, for which only one plastid marker could be generated, were checked in supplementary analyses based on a single plastid...
marker for consistency regarding the relation to specimens where both markers were available. The results of these supplementary results were included here only for _S. papillosa_, for which no plastid information would otherwise have been available (Fig. 1b).

**Morphology**

After clarifying the molecular relationships among the studied _Syntrichia ruralis_ complex specimens, we studied the morphology of selected specimens belonging to the distinguished molecular entities to confirm that the molecular patterns correspond with morphologically circumscribed species. We used an Olympus-BX43 light microscope (LM) with an Olympus SC50 digital camera (DC) mounted on this microscope. An approach including both standard comparisons of qualitative and quantitative characters and the quantification of sizes of vegetative leaf features and leaf lamina cells was used (cf., Hedenäs 2017a). We applied the typical anatomical and morphological methods used for the Pottiaceae (Zander 1993). Further, among molecularly identified entities of the _S. ruralis_ complex, the main entities _S. calcicola_, two plastid variants of _S. norvegica_, three plastid variants of _S. ruralis_, and two ITS variants of _S. ruraliformis_ we sampled 10–11 specimens each. We also sampled six specimens which molecular signal was incongruent between ITS1 and the plastid markers at the species level, to test whether such specimens are morphologically intermediate between those lineages suggested by the different molecular markers. The specimens which leaves and cells were measured are indicated with an asterisk (*) in “Appendix”. For each of these specimens, we sampled four vegetative leaves from two shoots (two leaves from each shoot, to avoid sampling all leaves from an untypical shoot for the specimen). For each leaf, we measured length and maximal width, width of the costa, and length of the hairpoint. Further, we recorded the length, width, and length to width ratio of 20 cells in the middle of the upper lamina and 20 in the differentiated portion of the basal lamina. We produced temporary images of the leaves with the LM and DC equipment mentioned above, and the Olympus cellSens Standard 1.13 software (Olympus Corporation) for automatic and continuous image stacking. We then measured the features from these leaf and cell images, using the Olympus cellSens Standard 1.13 software. While sporophytic features are not very variable (Galego 2005), except that the basal membrane of the peristome is shorter in _S. norvegica_ and to some degree _S. calcicola_ than in _S. ruralis_ and _S. ruraliformis_, and sporophytes are often absent from specimens, we scored only gametophytic characters.

We base our comparisons among the entities within the _S. ruralis_ complex on two approaches. First, we compared the measurements between the molecularly identified entities, both for raw measurements and for measurements standardized to a leaf length, excluding hairpoint, of 2 mm, and a leaf width of 1 mm. The latter adjustment was made to compensate for potential effects of leaf size on cell size, of the kind found by Hedenäs (1996). Shapiro Wilks W-test (normality) and Levenes test (homogeneity of variance) were both statistically significant, and inspection of the distributions of residuals in preliminary Anovas (normality) showed that the data do not meet the criteria of normality and homogeneity of variance. Thus, we used the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test for multiple comparisons to compare the cell measurements among the entities. Second, we subjected the measurements of the individual leaves (leaf length, leaf width, costa width near base, mean leaf lamina cell length, mean leaf lamina cell width at mid-leaf and leaf base; in total seven parameters) to a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to see whether the combined morphological leaf information corresponds with the molecularly identified entities. All statistical calculations were made in STATISTICA 12 (StatSoft 2013).

**Results**

The total number of aligned ITS1 sites in the 174 studied _Syntrichia_ specimens, and five outgroup specimens (257 terminals including paralogues), after deletion of regions at the beginnings and ends that were incomplete for some specimens, was 1005. Of these, 35.6% were variable (24.5% in _Syntrichia_; 14.2% in the _S. ruralis_ complex), and 28.1% (17.7%; 7.3%) parsimony-informative; 676 (450; 233) indels were present, with 97.2% (95.8%; 79.4%) parsimony-informative. For apB-rbcL the length was 729, 19.6% (4.5%; 1.4%) were variable, and 18.6% (3.2%; 1.1%)
Specimens with messy ITS1 curves, suggesting the occurrence of paralogues, occurred both in the outgroup and ingroup, and in the *S. ruralis* complex they were found throughout the sampled European area. The samples that were cloned were thus selected from throughout the area. All cloned samples for which more than one clone was successfully amplified (all species except *Tortula acaulon*) yielded at least two different ITS1 paralogues or sets of paralogues. In most cases the different variants belonged to the same intraspecific ITS1 lineage, but in twelve of the *S. ruralis* complex specimens the clones belonged to different ITS1 lineages, and in three cases the different paralogues could be referred to two (2 cases) or three (1) different species (Fig. 2, Table 1). In the three cases where clones belonged to more than one species, at least one of these was the same as that suggested by the plastid markers. In nine cases, including P364 where the plastid markers suggests *S. calcicola* and ITS1 *S. princeps*, ITS1 and plastid sequences suggested different species (Figs. 1b, 3, Table 1).

*Syntrichia norvegica* samples belonging to plastid marker lineages 1 and 2 (CHL1 + 2) were found only in the Scandinavian mountain range and the Alps, whereas those belonging to lineage 3 (CHL3) were collected only in the Scandinavian mountain range and on the Baltic Sea islands Gotland and Öland. Within *S. ruraliformis*, specimens belonging to ITS1 lineages 1 and 2 (ITS1 + 2) are almost exclusively coastal and grow predominantly in sandy habitats, whereas ITS1 lineage 3 (ITS3) tends to grow in inland habitats and mainly on limestone or thin soil over mainly calcareous rocks. Besides the minor quantitative morphological differences described below, ITS3 plants of *S. ruraliformis* tend to have a more strongly papillose dorsal costa than ITS1 + 2 plants, including more frequently branched papillae. In ITS3 plants the lamina also tends to extend less longly up along the basal hairpoint and this extending portion is also less strongly hyaline than in ITS1 + 2 plants. The *S. ruralis* plastid marker lineage 3 (CHL3) occurs in the Baltic Sea islands Öland and Gotland, in the Stockholm archipelago, and in an exposed limestone area in Västmanland (S Swedish mainland), whereas the other two *S. ruralis* plastid marker groups are more widespread. We found no habitat differentiation among these three lineages.

In *S. princeps*, ITS1 and the plastid markers yield congruent results (Fig. 4), except for one German specimen (P364; Fig. 4a) that has ITS1 of *S. princeps* and morphology as well as plastid markers of *S. calcicola* (Fig. 1b).

The correspondence between identified molecular lineages or groups within species and measured quantitative morphological features was weak (Fig. 5). Even when there was statistically significant differentiation in leaf cell size between molecular entities within a species, the differences were slight and the overlaps great. At the species level, the differences were larger, even if the overlap also here was
often relatively large. Thus, *S. norvegica* and *S. calcicola* had shorter leaves and, especially *S. calcicola*, shorter hair-points than most of the entities within the other two species, whereas *S. ruraliformis* had larger and wider leaves with a longer hairpoint than most of the other three (Fig. 5). As regards cell measurements, *S. norvegica* and *S. calcicola* have larger and slightly more elongate mid-leaf cells than the other two species, whereas these cells are smaller in *S. ruraliformis* than in the other three. The size differences are clearest when cell sizes are adjusted for the size of the measured leaf. Cell size differentiation was found also for the basal leaf lamina cells, but the pattern is less distinct than for the upper cells (Fig. 5).

The significant overlap in the measured features is evident also from the PCA (Fig. 6a). The samples of the four species are grouped with *S. norvegica* in the lower left, followed by *S. calcicola*, *S. ruralis*, and finally *S. ruraliformis* in the upper right. This reflects the differentiation between small leaves and large cells in the left or lower left of the diagram and large leaves and small cells in the opposite end of the gradient (Fig. 6b). Neither of the lineages or groups within the species can be clearly distinguished in the PCA, although the lineages/groups do not overlap totally within the respective species’ distribution along axes 1 and 2. Specimens with incongruent ITS and plastid sequences at the species level, marked in grey in Fig. 6, are indicated with detailed information in Fig. 7. Although the general morphology of the specimens agrees best with the plastid marker identity, their measurements in some cases place them outside these species, or in some cases even outside all four species.

Because the two different marker sets or different ITS1 paralogues suggested that several specimens have genetic material from more than one species, we briefly describe morphological peculiarities of such specimens below. Except for three specimens mentioned below with *S. ruralis* morphology and *S. ruraliformis* plastid markers, their
Table 1 Specimens which molecular identity was unclear

| Sample no. | No. of clones | No. of genotypes | Clones per genotype | ITS1 species    | Plastid species | Morphological species |
|------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| P309       | 6             | 3                | 1+1+4               | S. norvegica (3,4) | S. norvegica (2) | S. norvegica         |
| P310       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+1+3             | S. norvegica (3) | S. norvegica (3) | S. norvegica         |
| P314       | 6             | 6                | 1+1+1+1+1+1+1       | S. ruralis (3,5) | S. ruralis (3)  | S. ruralis           |
| P344       | 3             | 3                | 1+1+1               | S. caninervis    | S. caninervis   | S. caninervis        |
| P357       | –             | –                | –                   | S. ruralis (1)   | S. ruraliformis | S. ruralis           |
| P364       | –             | –                | –                   | S. princeps      | S. calcicola   | S. calcicola         |
| P384       | 6             | 2                | 2+4                 | S. norvegica (3) | S. norvegica (3) | S. norvegica         |
| P386       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+2+2             | S. norvegica (3,4) | S. norvegica (1) | S. norvegica         |
| P391       | 6             | 5                | 1+1+1+1+1+2         | S. norvegica (3)/ruraliformis  (3) | S. ruraliformis | S. ruraliformis |
| P393       | 10            | 8                | 1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+2   | S. ruraliformis (1) | S. ruraliformis | S. ruraliformis |
| P395       | –             | –                | –                   | S. ruralis (2)   | S. ruraliformis | S. ruraliformis |
| P400       | –             | –                | –                   | S. norvegica (2) | S. ruraliformis | S. ruraliformis |
| P403       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+1+3             | S. norvegica (3)/ruralis (4) | S. ruralis (1) | S. ruralis           |
| P407       | 6             | 6                | 1+1+1+1+1+1+1       | S. norvegica (3)/ruralis (4) | S. ruralis (2) | S. ruralis           |
| P410       | 6             | 3                | 1+2+3               | S. ruralis (4)   | S. ruralis (2)  | S. ruralis           |
| P413       | 6             | 2                | 1+5                 | S. ruralis (4)   | S. ruralis (2)  | S. ruralis           |
| P416       | 7             | 4                | 1+1+1+4             | S. ruralis (4,5) | S. ruralis (1)  | S. ruralis           |
| P419       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+1+3             | S. ruralis (5)   | S. ruralis (4)  | S. ruralis           |
| P423       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+1+3             | S. ruralis (4)   | S. ruralis (1)  | S. ruralis           |
| P427       | 6             | 5                | 1+1+1+1+2           | S. ruralis (3)   | S. ruralis (3)  | S. ruralis           |
| P428       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+1+3             | S. ruralis (3)   | S. ruralis (1)  | S. ruralis           |
| P430       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+2+2             | S. ruraliformis (1) | S. ruraliformis | S. ruraliformis |
| P432       | 6             | 6                | 1+1+1+1+1+1+1       | S. ruralis (3,5) | S. ruralis (3)  | S. ruralis           |
| P434       | 6             | 6                | 1+1+1+1+1+1+1       | S. ruralis (3)   | S. ruraliformis | S. ruraliformis |
| P442       | 2             | 2                | 1+1                 | S. calcicola (4) | S. calcicola   | S. calcicola         |
| P443       | 7             | 7                | 1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1     | S. calcicola (1) | S. calcicola   | S. calcicola         |
| P447       | 3             | 3                | 1+1+1               | S. calcicola (1,4) | S. calcicola | S. calcicola         |
| P448       | 5             | 5                | 1+1+1+1+1           | S. calcicola (1,4) | S. calcicola | S. calcicola         |
| P450       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+2+2             | S. norvegica (3) | S. norvegica (3) | S. norvegica         |
| P454       | –             | –                | –                   | S. ruralis (2)   | S. ruraliformis | S. subpapilloxissima |
| P460       | 6             | 3                | 1+2+3               | S. ruralis (3)   | S. ruralis (3)  | S. ruralis           |
| P463       | –             | –                | –                   | S. ruralis (1)   | S. ruraliformis | S. aff. subpapilloxissima |
| P468       | 6             | 4                | 1+1+2+2             | S. ruralis (3,5) | S. ruralis (3)  | S. ruralis           |
| P470       | 6             | 6                | 1+1+1+1+1+1         | S. ruralis (3,5) | S. ruraliformis | S. ruralis           |
| P474       | 6             | 3                | 1+2+3               | S. ruralis (3)   | S. ruralis (3)  | S. ruralis           |

OUTGROUP:

Cinclidotus fontinaloides
P358 6 5 1+1+1+1+2 C. fontinaloides C. fontinaloides C. fontinaloides
Leptophascum leptophyllum
P359 6 3 1+1+4 L. leptophyllum L. leptophyllum C. fontinaloides
Microbryum davallianum
P360 6 3 1+2+3 M. davallianum M. davallianum C. fontinaloides
Tortula acaulon
P361 1 1 1 T. acaulon T. acaulon C. fontinaloides

Some of the specimens appeared among different species depending on whether ITS1 or plastid markers were investigated, some had two or more different ITS paralogues. Specimens that had to be cloned for ITS1 have underlined sample numbers. For each such sample, the number of successful clones, the number of ITS1 genotypes identified, and the number of clones having a specific genotype are provided. The species identity according to ITS1 (ITS1 species), the plastid markers (Plastid species), and the species primarily suggested by morphology is indicated (clade numbers within a species, from Fig. 1, are given in parentheses when relevant). When the species is unclear based on the molecular markers, morphological features are mentioned in the text (end of Results section). For the origin of samples, see “Appendix”

Accepted for publication

\*See Fig. 1b

\*Based on atpB-rbcL only
Scandinavian Syntrichia ruralis complex

One German specimen with S. calcicola morphology had ITS1 from S. princeps. This specimen, P364, is morphologically typical S. calcicola. Two specimens with S. ruralis morphology have ITS1 paralogues belonging to both S. ruralis and S. norvegica. One of these, P403 from northern Norway, lacks dorsal stereids in the upper third of some young leaves, like in S. norvegica, but the size of the leaf lamina cells agrees with S. ruralis. In the other one, P407 from the middle of the Scandinavian mountain range, plants with normal S. ruralis appearance, having leaves spirally curved when dry and with long hyaline hairpoints, give rise to young and somewhat flagelliform branches that often have characteristics of S. norvegica. Thus, the leaf lamina cells are wider and the dorsal costal stereids disappear in the uppermost costa.

For three specimens with S. ruralis morphology, ITS1 rather than plastid data suggest this species. In specimen P357 from California, the margins are not recurved all the way up to the apical region. Because a MUB duplicate (MUB45428) of this specimen belongs to S. princeps (synoicous), several species were likely growing together and included in the original collection that was divided into duplicates. Specimen P413 from the middle of the Scandinavian mountain range looks like a typical S. ruralis. P470 from the Baltic Sea island Oland has mostly the morphology of S. ruralis, but in several plants some leaves have an excurrent leaf apex and the leaf lamina cells are 10.0–13.0 (16.0) µm, which overlaps more with S. ruraliformis than with S. ruralis.

P395 from northern Norway and P434 from the Baltic Sea island Öland have the morphology of S. ruraliformis but ITS1 implies S. ruralis. The lamina extends up along the hairpoint, and the lamina cells are slightly wider than normal in this species (P395: 12.5–17.0 µm; P434: 12.5–14.0 µm). P391 from northern Norway has ITS1 paralogues from both S. norvegica, S. ruraliformis, and S. ruralis. It is morphologically similar to P395, except that in some young leaves the dorsal costal stereids disappear in the upper part of the leaf, like in S. norvegica. In P400 from Hungary, with ITS1 from S. norvegica, the leaf lamina extends up along the hairpoint as in S. ruraliformis, but the extending lamina is not hyaline. The mid-leaf cells are smaller than in S. norvegica.
ogy and the plastid markers (Fig. 1b) the plastid markers (Fig. 1b). The underlined sample GE-P364 belongs to *S. calcicola* according to morphology, and the plastid markers (Fig. 1b).

11.0–15.0 µm wide, and the dorsal costa stereids do not disappear near the leaf apex.

Two specimens from the Baltic Sea Island Öland had the morphology of *S. subpapillosissima* (P454) or were morphologically most similar to this species (P463). Specimen P454 grew on a horizontal rock, whereas P463 grew on deep soil, and was intermixed with *S. calcicola* and *S. ruralis*. According to our molecular results, specimens with *S. calcicola* and was intermixed with *S. ruralis* and *S. ruraliformis* morphology place it firmly in this species, although its

**Discussion**

**Scandinavian members of the Syntrichia ruralis complex**

The Scandinavian *S. ruralis* complex is part of a larger group of species, of which only some occur in our study area. This group is supported both by the NN split network structures and by a high ITS1 Jackknife support, and includes at least *S. calcicola*, *S. caninervis*, *S. handelii*, *S. latifolia*, *S. montana*, *S. norvegica*, *S. papillosissima*, *S. pseudohandelii*, *S. rigescens*, *S. ruraliformis*, *S. ruralis*, *S. subpapillosissima*, and *S. virescens*. The group is currently circumscribed by molecular evidence only, since we have not been able to find morphological or anatomical synapomorphies to support it. Where species sampling overlaps, the circumscription of this group agrees with the ITS2 relationships of Afonina et al. (2014). On the other hand, it is at variance with ideas on relationships based on morphology and anatomy presented by Kramer (1980) and Mishler (1985), who included *S. princeps* in this group, and Gallego et al. (2002a) and Gallego et al. (2002b), who separated the *S. ruralis* and *S. caninervis* complexes of species. Neither of the two latter complexes are monophyletic, and their species occur mixed among each other in the NeighborNet split networks (Fig. 1). The character states that supposedly define either group, such as the bistrate leaf lamina, ovate to lanceolate leaves, usually not constricted at the middle, costa with hydroids, and strongly spinose hairpoint in the *S. caninervis* complex (Gallego et al. 2002b), are, therefore, not synapomorphies, but in the case of the *S. caninervis* complex may represent independently evolved analogous states. However, because our study addresses the Scandinavian species referred to the *S. ruralis* complex, we will continue to use the term *S. ruralis* complex in this narrow sense here. We are aware that additional Scandinavian as well as extra-Scandinavian species belong in a more widely circumscribed group of *Syntrichia* species, and some of our observations may, therefore, be valid for additional species and other geographical regions.

The status of the sometimes accepted, non-Scandinavian *S. densa* (Velen.) J.-P.Frahm, of which *S. glabra* J.-P.Frahm & M.T.Gallego was claimed to represent juvenile plants (Frahm and Sabovljević 2006), as distinct from *S. calcicola* seems doubtful (Gallego et al. 2002a; Vanderpoorten 2001). Frahm and Sabovljević (2006) studied its molecular relationships with *S. calcicola* and *S. ruralis*. Because their study was based on ITS, we had originally planned to compare *S. densa* with Scandinavian material, but surprisingly the GenBank sequences of Frahm and Sabovljević (2006) actually belong to *trnL-trnF*. In view of this puzzling discrepancy, we decided not to pursue this comparison.

Chloroplast information place our focal members of the *S. ruralis* complex in four entities that almost invariably correspond with the morphologically defined species *S. calcicola*, *S. norvegica*, *S. ruraliformis*, and *S. ruralis* (see below, and Gallego et al. 2002a). One N Italian specimen of *S. norvegica* (P386) appears at a position outside these groups, due to its deviating *rpl16* sequence, but ITS1 as well as morphology place it firmly in this species, although its leaf lamina sometimes extends slightly up along the hairpoint. ITS1 distinguishes the same four species, but except for *S. calcicola* the species appear relatively more closely related. Syntrichia *subpapillosissima* from Spain (P436) and two southern Scandinavian specimens that morphologically

---

Fig. 4 The *Syntrichia princeps* lineages of the ITS1 (a) and plastid (b) NeighborNet split networks of Fig. 1. The geographic origin of each sample is indicated by two capital letters, as explained in the box, and ‘P’ numbers are sample numbers (“Appendix”). The underlined sample GE-P364 belongs to *S. calcicola* according to morphology and the plastid markers (Fig. 1b)
Scandinavian Syntrichia ruralis complex

Fig. 5 Boxplots with median values, quartiles, and whiskers from maximum to minimum values, leaf and cell sizes in different Syntrichia lineages. Lineage abbreviations: norv-C3: norvegica chloroplast lineage 3; norv-C1 + 2: norvegica chloroplast lineages 1 and 2; rurf-I3: ruraliformis ITS lineage 3; rurf-I+2: ruraliformis ITS lineages 1 and 2; calc: calcicola; rura-C1 + 4: ruralis chloroplast lineages 1 and 4; rura-C2: ruralis chloroplast lineage 2; rura-C3: ruralis chloroplast lineage 3. Lineage numbers correspond with those in Fig. 1. From each molecularly identified specimen, four leaves were arbitrarily selected from two different stems; in each leaf 20 cells were measured in mid-leaf, and 20 in the differentiated basal groups. The measurements are based on ten specimens per lineage, except for rurf-I + 2 (n = 11). In the leaf 20 cells were measured. Thus, the number of measured leaves (leaf length, leaf width, hairpoint length, costa width) is 40 and the number of measured cells is 800 per lineage, except for ruraliformis ITS lineages 1 and 2 (44, 880). Lineages, which values differ significantly from each other, have different letters to the right of the bars. Details of the statistic comparisons is found in Online Resource 3.

clearly belong to this species (P454), or is most similar to this species (P463), are nested within S. ruraliformis according to plastid information and within S. ruralis according to ITS1 information. This suggests that S. subpapillosissima may represent a specific phenotype of either of these two species, or possibly it is at least partly of hybridogen origin (see below), rather than being a species of its own. The taxon clearly requires further studies before its status can be finally resolved.

Moreover, almost a third of the specimens have sequence curve patterns suggesting two or more ITS1 paralogues. Among the cloned specimens, the retrieved ITS1 sequences sometimes belong to more than one species. In addition, several specimens appear in a different species by ITS1 than by plastid or morphological information. Paralogues were observed earlier in the family Pottiaceae, in the genus Tortula (Košnar et al. 2012), and our study shows that they are widespread in this family. Outside the Scandinavian S. ruralis complex, they were found in S. caninervis, Cinclidotus fontinaloides, Leptohascum leptophyllum, and Microbryum davallianum, but on the other hand appear to be rare or absent in members of the Trichostomoideae (Alonso et al. 2016; Hedenäs 2015a; Köckinger and Hedenäs 2017; Werner et al. 2005).

Both ITS1 and the plastid markers suggest that S. princeps includes two lineages (Fig. 4), possibly of different
origins. One includes most European specimens together with those from Cyprus and Turkey, and the other includes the German and Californian specimens. Based on the present sampling it cannot be decided whether the eastern Mediterranean-southern Scandinavian group differs from the entire more western European population. This requires additional sampling in the western Mediterranean to Britain. However, disjunctions between Europe and Western North America are well-known (Schofield and Crum 1972), and small genetic differences between populations of these areas (Hedenäs 2008; Shaw et al. 2003; Werner et al. 2003) suggest that relatively recent long-distance dispersal explains this pattern. Morphology of the studied plants provides no additional evidence on the differentiation between the lineages. The single North American plant included (P356) has slightly narrower leaf lamina cells, 9–14 µm, than European plants, mostly 12->16 µm (Gallego 2006; Nyholm 1991; Smith 2004). Most of the European specimens are synoicous, but the North American and one of the Scandinavian specimens (P440) have inflorescences that are either synoicous or female; in the North American specimen, some individual plants even vary between years.
Morphology of the Scandinavian Syntrichia ruralis complex species

Earlier studies of the S. ruralis group that included species of the Scandinavian S. ruralis complex compared morphology alone, or contrasted plants cultivated under different conditions (Gallego 2005; Gallego et al. 2002a, b; Kramer 1980; Mishler 1985; Vanderpoorten 2001). Comparative cultivation experiments provide extremely valuable information on the phenotypic, habitat-related variation in several quantitative characters, including leaf shape and leaf lamina cell size (Mishler 1985). However, such studies may be misleading if the genetic identity of the study plants is unknown or the phenotypic variation of species is very wide. Likewise, studies exclusively of morphological features may be misleading because the identity of extreme plants of strongly variable species can be unclear and may confuse the delimitation of taxa. Here we compare a set of morphological characters in molecularly unambiguously identified specimens.

We found some differences in cell size between molecular entities within species, but the differences were slight and could not distinguish such entities in practical identification work. However, together with the mentioned differences between S. norvegica lineages in geographical distributions, or habitat preferences and relative strength of abaxial costa ornamentation in S. ruraliformis, these could be signs of incipient speciation. The species-level differences were greater, with S. calcicola and S. norvegica having relatively short leaves, large leaf lamina cells, and short hairpoints (less distinct in S. calcicola), which agrees with the conditions in the Mediterranean area (Gallego 2005; Gallego et al. 2002a), and S. ruraliformis having large leaves, a wide costa, a long hairpoint, and small leaf lamina cells. The cell size differences were clearer for the upper leaf lamina cells and with cell size adjusted for leaf size. Our results show that cell size at least partly correlates with leaf size, in agreement with earlier observations in mosses (e.g. Hedenäs 1996; Hedenäs et al. 2014; Loeske 1907). The species distinguishing quantitative characters agree roughly with the circumscriptions of earlier authors, but the smaller leaf cells in S. ruraliformis than in S. ruralis, despite its relatively larger leaves, are significant. This characteristic

Fig. 6 a The positions of four leaves from each of ten molecularly identified specimens per lineage (except for ruraliformis ITS lineages 1 and 2 = 11 specimens), along the first two axes in a PCA. The PCA is based on each leaf’s length (LL) and width (LW), costa width near base (CW) and leaf lamina cell length and width in mid-leaf (ML, MW) and differentiated basal portion (BL, BW). Cell measurements are the mean values of 20 cells per position in each leaf. Factors 1 and 2 explain 40.44% and 33.21% of the variation. b Explanatory factors in the plane of factors 1 and 2
was noted by some earlier authors (Kramer 1980; Vandervoorten 2001), but was not considered significant enough for recognition at the species level by Gallego (2005) and Gallego et al. (2002a), and was overlooked by Mishler (1985). The qualitative characters that distinguish the species totally agree with those of earlier authors. Specimens molecularly grouped under *S. norvegica*, according to both ITS1 and plastid markers, have dorsal costal stereids disappearing at the apical part of the leaves, have mostly orange hairpoints, and have not hydroids in the costa. On the other hand, specimens molecularly grouped under *S. ruraliformis*, according to both marker sets, have usually an acuminate hyaline leaf apex tapering into the hairpoint.

Despite their striking appearance, *S. ruralis* specimens lacking hairpoints (var. *epilosa*) are nested among *S. ruralis* specimens with hairpoints. However, plants without hairpoints often grow together with plants having hairpoints, without intermediate plants. Our results, therefore, preliminarily suggest that lack of hairpoint represents a minor genetic variant within *S. ruralis*. Because similar plants occur in *S. caninervis* and *S. montana* (Gallego et al. 2002b) and *S. norvegica* (Gallego et al. 2018; Kramer 1980) in the *S. ruralis* group, hairpoint loss could be due to a simple mechanism. On the other hand, *S. latifolia* within the same species group is characterized by a constant lack of hairpoint. While it is evident that each case requires individual investigation, molecular studies including larger numbers of epilose specimens are required to explore both their evolution and how to recognize them taxonomically.

The PCA based on the measured quantitative characters shows that *S. calcicola* overlaps with *S. norvegica*, both these small species with large lamina cells overlap significantly with *S. ruralis* but hardly with the much larger *S. ruraliformis*, which in addition has small lamina cells, and *S. ruralis* and *S. ruraliformis* overlap to a relatively high degree. The overlap between intraspecific molecular entities within species is even greater, and it is obvious that neither of these are distinguishable by the quantitative features in combination. The considerable overlap in the PCA also illustrates the danger in trusting such information to explore which taxa to recognize. Without independent evidence, either from other morphological characters or from molecular data, the interpretation of the PCA results is extremely difficult. Fortunately, in the present case there exists both molecular and other morphological features that on their own or together effectively distinguish the four species.

### Understanding intraspecific variation and incongruences

ITS1 paralogues from a single specimen mostly differ in few bases or belong to different lineages within a species, as found for paralogues in other species by Shaw et al. (2002). Especially differences within lineages could potentially be an effect of mutations originating faster than can be countered by concerted evolution (Košnar et al. 2012). However, in cases where paralogues belong to different lineages, or when different ITS1 paralogues belong to two or even three different species, hybridization or other exchange of genetic material seems more likely. If the most widely different ITS1 parologue identities found in individual specimens are instead a result of incomplete lineage sorting, then concerted evolution must be very inefficient. The numerous cases where ITS1 species identities deviate from those suggested by morphology and plastid information do not contradict an explanation involving hybridization or other exchange of genetic material. Morphological hybrids are known in other Pottiaceae genera (Natcheva and Cronberg 2004), and molecular patterns similar to those in Syntrichia occur in other mosses (Draper and Hedenäs 2009; Draper et al. 2007, 2015; Hedenäs 2015b, 2017b; Natcheva and Cronberg 2007b; Shaw and Goffinet 2000). Allopolyploids (including hybrids between members of different conspecific lineages), could also explain some of the morphological variation within Syntrichia species (Morrison 2014; Vandervoorten et al. 2004; Wyatt et al. 1988). The varying chromosome numbers found among the studied members of the *S. ruralis* group (*n = 12, 12 + m, 13, 13 + m, 24 + m, 26*) as well as among Syntrichia species outside this group (*n = 6, 12, 24, 24 + m, 26, 28, 36 + m*) clearly suggest different ploidy levels in different species (Fritsch 1991). Causes for species-level incongruence include, beside hybridization,
for example, insufficient data, rapid diversification, horizontal gene transfer, incomplete lineage sorting, convergence caused by natural selection, and variation in evolutionary rates (Harris 2008; Morrison 2014; Wendel and Doyle 1998). Also for plastids, horizontal transfer has been shown to occur in vascular plants (Acosta and Premoli 2010; Stegelmann et al. 2012). Although this could potentially explain plastid reticulation or incongruences with nuclear data in bryophytes (see also Hedenäs 2012, 2015a, b, 2017b), we refrain from further speculation on this until firm evidence for bryophytes is at hand. However, evidence additional to results of phylogenetic analyses is mostly required to decide which explanation is most likely (Morrison 2014; Wendel and Doyle 1998).

In combination with our observations on specimens having morphological traits from more than one species when they appear in positions incongruent between the ITS1 and plastid networks, certain features of the reproductive biology of Syntrichia species suggest that hybridization may indeed explain the observed incongruences and different ITS1 paralogous identities. If hybridization caused the found patterns, and moss chloroplasts are maternally inherited (Duckett et al. 1983; McDaniel et al. 2007; Natcheva and Cronberg 2007a), the male parent contributed the ITS1 sequence. Male expressing plants are much rarer than female expressing ones in the Scandinavian S. ruralis complex, especially in relatively dry habitats. Scandinavian non-sporophytic specimens with female expressing shoots are between 7.5 and ≥ 10 times as common as male ones, depending on the species and geographic area (total n = 214), except for S. ruralis in the S Swedish mainland (3.2 times as common; n = 53) (Hedenäs, full data to be published elsewhere). A strong female bias was found also in S. caninervis (Baughman et al. 2017; Bowker et al. 2000), especially strong for expressed sex, suggesting a phylogenetic component in explaining this imbalance within the larger group around S. ruralis (cf., Bisang et al. 2014). Sporophytes are occasional or relatively rare in our species. We, therefore, suggest that a possible proximate mechanism that could explain frequent hybridization within the S. ruralis complex is that when males are very rare there is a great risk that the genes of female (and consequently male) plants will not be propagated through sexual reproduction. It is then advantageous for the genes if the reproductive barriers are incomplete and allow not only conspecific sexual reproduction; as a precaution females can occasionally also be fertilised by males of closely related species that may be available. Interestingly, such potential hybridization is not restricted to within the S. ruralis complex, because one German specimen with S. calcicola morphology and plastid identity (P364) had ITS1 of S. princeps type. If the hypothesis on imperfect reproductive barriers is correct, this suggests that Syntrichia species outside the group around S. ruralis are not completely reproductively isolated from the latter. We believe that further studies including more material of those species presently represented by few specimens and from other geographical regions are required to clarify if our suggested hypothesis is likely to be correct. Experiments aiming at producing interspecific hybrids would also be valuable.

When we know that Syntrichia species are plastic (Mishler 1985) and likely through some mechanism exchange DNA between intraspecific lineages as well as species, sometimes even distantly related ones, the strong overlap in the measured features is easier to understand. The positions of measured specimens with molecular markers from more than one species are frequently outside one or both parental species in the PCA (Fig. 7), suggesting that transfer of genetic material between species may lead to the development of features beyond the parental phenotypes. If hybridization or other exchange of genetic material is as common in the S. ruralis complex as suggested here, coding genetic material that has moved between species through introgression could thus contribute to the wide phenotypic range of the species. Beside phenotypic plasticity, this could explain the rather wide overlap seen between the species in quantitative features in the PCA. Obviously, the entire S. ruralis group requires further detailed studies to evaluate whether it can function as a model for studies of the exchange of genetic material in bryophytes.

**Brief characterizations of the Scandinavian species of the Syntrichia ruralis complex**

Based on our observations of qualitative as well as quantitative features in the molecularly distinguished specimens, the four Scandinavian species of the S. ruralis complex can be well characterized, and mostly the differentiating features agree with those found for the Mediterranean area (Gallego et al. 2002a). If S. subpapillosissima is accepted as a species, this would be a fifth Scandinavian species. Several of the differentiating features are quantitative and overlap significantly between species (see Fig. 5); these require some experience to apply in practical identification work. As a rule of thumb, S. ruraliformis is the largest species, S. calcicola and S. norvegica the smallest, with the size of S. ruralis in between.

**Syntrichia ruralis**

The leaves are recurved when moist, with margins recurved up to near the apex, have a rounded or acute apex and the lamina does not extend up along the basal hairpoint. The average size of the leaf lamina cells is smaller than in S. calcicola and S. norvegica, and larger than in S. ruraliformis, but due to a wide overlap, comparisons of measurements are most reliable from mixed collections or if adjusted for the
leaf size. Rarely, occasional leaves in *S. ruralis* have a costa that disappears near the apex (P403).

**Syntrichia ruraliformis**

The leaves taper to the apex, with the upper lamina portions that extend more or less broadly up along the basal hairpoint mainly hyaline. In rare *S. ruralis* specimens, occasional leaves may have a lamina that extends up narrowly along the costa and even be partly hyaline, but this is never as consistent as in *S. ruraliformis*. The average lamina cells size, especially when adjusted for leaf size, is smaller than in *S. ruralis*, but because of the great overlap between these two species, Gallego et al. (2002a) treated *S. ruraliformis* as a variety of *S. ruralis*. Two samples with *S. subpapillosissima* morphology were found from Öland in southern Sweden (P454, P463); one of these, P463, was somewhat untypical for this taxon. *Syntrichia subpapillosissima* has high and pedicellate leaf lamina papillae, a strongly papillose dorsal surface of the costa, and a strongly hyaline apex tapering to the apical portion of the leaf (Fig. 8). Like the Öland specimens, Mediterranean *S. subpapillosissima* have longer hairpoints and a more strongly papillose dorsal surface of the costa than *S. ruraliformis*. All these features indicate a possible adaptation of *S. subpapillosissima* to arid conditions.

**Syntrichia norvegica**

Moist leaves are, on the average, more strongly recurved than in *S. ruralis*. It deviates from the other three species in that the stereids of the upper dorsal costa disappear just below the hairpoint; it looks as if lamina cells cover the costa. Otherwise, this species is rather similar to *S. calcicola* in size, in their leaf margin typically recurved in its lower 50–65%, and in having relatively large leaf lamina cells and short hairpoints, but the hairpoints are typically orange-red throughout or in large portions (orange-red hairpoints are rare in *S. calcicola*).

**Syntrichia calcicola**

This species differs from *S. norvegica* in its less strongly recurved moist leaves, a mostly hyaline hairpoint, and the dorsal costa has stereids throughout its length. The hairpoint in *S. calcicola* is sometimes longer than in *S. norvegica*. It
is less strongly spinose and when dry more strongly crisped than in other S. ruralis complex species, and the leaves tend to have a shorter hyaline basal area of the leaf than the other three species.

**Taxonomic treatment**

**Key to Scandinavian Syntrichia species**

1a. Plants with vegetative diaspores

1b. Plants without vegetative diaspores

2a. Vegetative diaspores in the form of brood leaves at the stem apex or in the axes of the upper leaves, often forming a rosette at the shoot apex. **S. laevipila**

2b. Vegetative diaspores globular, ovate or rounded, smooth, arising on the ventral side of the leaf (laminar or costal gemmae)

3a. Leaves without hairpoints; lamina with papillae on the dorsal and ventral surface; gemmae laminar **S. latifolia**

3b. Leaves with hairpoints, typically smooth; lamina with papillae only on dorsal surface; gemmae costal **S. papillosa**

4a. Costa without hydroids…………………………………….. 5

4b. Costa with hydroids………………………………………… 7

5a. Hairpoints smooth, rarely weakly spinulose; leaves bordered or not, with border usually consisting of 2 rows of thick-walled less papillose cells, sometimes smooth; dioicus or autoicus. **S. laevipila**

5b. Hairpoints spinose; leaves not bordered; dioicus or synoicus……………………………….. 6

6a. Mid-leaf lamina cells 5.0–10.0 (12.5) μm wide; dioicus or synoicus……………………………….. 6

6b. Mid-leaf lamina cells 12.5–17.5 (20.0) μm wide; synoicus or more rarely dioicus……………….. S. princeps

7a. Costa transverse section with 1–2(3) dorsal stereid rows; leaves constricted at the middle; margins plane or lightly recurved up to the mid-leaf **S. virensce**

7b. Costa transverse section with 2(3)–6 dorsal stereid rows; leaves not constricted at the middle; margins revolute up to the middle, upper third, or up to near the leaf apex…… 8

8a. Dorsal costa stereids disappearing near the leaf apex; hairpoints typically orange-red throughout or in large portions…………………………………….. S. norvegica

8b. Dorsal costa stereids not disappearing near the leaf apex; hairpoints typically hyaline, sometimes brown at base or very rarely reddish brown in larger portions…….. 9

9a. Leaf margins revolute up to the upper third, rarely up to the middle; mid-leaf lamina cells (9.5)13.5–16.0(21.0) μm wide; hairpoints spinulose………………………………… S. calcicola

9b. Leaf margins revolute up to near the apex, sometimes up to upper third; mid-leaf lamina cells (6.5)10.0–14.5(21.0) μm wide; hairpoints strongly spinose…… 10

10a. Papillae on mid-leaf lamina cells pedicellate, 5.0–7.5 μm high………………………………… S. subpapillosissima

10b. Papillae on mid-leaf lamina cells not pedicellate, c. 2.5 μm high……………………………………… 11

11a. Leaf apex usually rounded, sometimes acute, not tapering to the apex, mainly chlorophyllose……… S. ruralis

11b. Leaf apex acuminate, tapering to the apex, mainly hyaline……………………………………….. S. ruraliformis
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**Appendix**

Specimen data and GenBank accession numbers for the studied Syntrichia and outgroup sequences. Data format: Sample No. [*= specimens which leaves and cells were measured*]: Locality; Coll. Year, Collector [LH=L. Hedenäs] [collector’s No.]; Herbarium acronym: registration No.; GenBank accession numbers for ITS1 [parologue A/parologue B/etc.]= specimens which leaves and cells were measured]: atpB-rbcL, rpl16 [NA = Not available].

**Syntrichia calcicola** J.J.Amann. P306*: Sweden. Gotland, Längshage; 2002, T.Hallingbäck 38745; S: B184804; MH919981, MK007615, MK007794, P354*: Turkey. Prov. Icel, NNW of Mut; 1978, B.Bremer & E.Nyholt 279/78; S: B61657; MH920034, MK007662, MK007839, P362*: Sweden. Gotland, Otherm, N of Otherm; 2015, LH; S: B234292; MH920050, MK007670, MK007847, P363*: Sweden. Öland, Böda, Björnsnabben; 1960, A.C.Crundwell & E.Nyholm; S: B219042; MH920051, MK007671, MK007848, P364*: Sweden. Öland, Resmo, NE of Resmo; 2015, LH & I.Bisang; S: B222108; MH920162, MK007741, MK007915.
Turkey. Prov. Konya, B205969; NA, MK007653, MK007830.
P444*: Sweden. Öland, Persnäs, Lilla Horn; 2016, LH; S: B236145; MH920180, MK007752, MK007924.
P445: Sweden. Öland, Ventlinge, E of Ventlinge; 2016, LH; S: B236114; MH920181, MK007753, MK007925.
P446: Sweden. Gotland, Etelhem, E of Bjärby; 2016, LH; S: B235925; MH920182, MK007754, MK007926.
P447: Sweden, Öland, Ventlinge, W of Sebberneby; 2016, LH; S: B236125; MH920183/MH920184/MH920185 (B.C.H/3), MK007755, MK007927. P448: Sweden. Gotland, Östergarn, S of Falhammars; 2016, LH; S: B235935; MH920186/MH920187/MH920188/MH920189/MH920190 (B.C.E.F.G/5), MK007756, MK007928.
P465: Sweden. Gotland, Etelhem, NNW of Villbärsmyr; 2016, LH; S: B235909; MH920211, MK007773, MK007945. P466: Sweden. Gotland, Bunge, S end of Bungenäs; 2016, LH; S: B235962; NA, MK007774, MK007946. P467: Sweden. Gotland, Gammelgarn, Klintkliten; 2016, LH; S: B235950; MH920212, MK007775, MK007947. P469: Sweden. Öland, Persnäs, N of Sandvik; 2016, LH; S: B236163; MH920217, MK007779, MK007949. P472: Sweden. Öland, Räpplinge, W of Greby; 2016, LH; S: B236187; MH920225, MK007780, MK007952. P473: Sweden. Öland, Räpplinge, W of Greby; 2016, LH; S: B236177; NA, MK007781, MK007953. P480: Sweden. Gotland, Fröjel, Ansrarve; 1993, J.Heinrichs; GOET: Herb. Heinrichs 1224; NA, MK007788, MK007959. P481: Germany. Nordrhein-Westf., Niederrh., Viersen; 1995, Abts 6370c; GOET: Herb. Heinrichs; MH920233, MK007789, MK007960. P492: Belgium. West-Flandern, Nordseeküste, De Panne; 1995, Abts 6254a; GOET: Herb. Heinrichs; MH920234, MK007790, MK007961. P483: Germany. Rheinland-Pfalz, Bad Neuenahr-Ahrweiler; 1999, J.Heinrichs; GOET: Herb. Heinrichs 4251; MH920235, MK007791, MK007962. P484: Germany. Nordrhein-Westf., Niederr. Tiefland; 1995, J.Heinrichs; GOET: Herb. Heinrichs 2689; MH920236, MK007792, MK007963. Syntrichia caninervis Mitt. P341: Turkey. Prov. Konya, Karaman; 1978, B.Bremer & E.Nyholm 322a/78; S: B62268;
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