First principles theoretical studies of half-metallic ferromagnetism in CrTe
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Using full-potential linear augmented plane wave method (FP-LAPW) and the density functional theory, we have carried out a systematic investigation of the electronic, magnetic, and cohesive properties of the chalcogenide CrTe in three competing structures: rock-salt (RS), zinc blende (ZB) and the NiAs-type (NA) hexagonal. Although the ground state is of NA structure, RS and ZB are interesting in that these fcc-based structures, which can possibly be grown on many semiconductor substrates, exhibit half-metallic phases above some critical values of the lattice parameter. We find that the NA structure is not half-metallic at its equilibrium volume, while both ZB and RS structures are. The RS structure is more stable than the ZB, with an energy that is lower by 0.25 eV/atom. While confirming previous results on the half-metallic phase in ZB structure, we provide hitherto unreported results on the half-metallic RS phase, with a gap in the minority channel and a magnetic moment of 4.0 \( \mu_B \) per formula unit. A comparison of total energies for the ferromagnetic (FM), non-magnetic (NM), and antiferromagnetic (AFM) configurations shows the lowest energy configuration to be FM for CrTe in all the three structures. The FP-LAPW calculations are supplemented by linear muffin-tin orbital (LMTO) calculations using both local density approximation (LDA) and LDA+U method. The exchange interactions and the Curie temperatures calculated via the linear response method in ZB and RS CrTe are compared over a wide range of the lattice parameter. The calculated Curie temperatures for the RS phase are consistently higher than those for the ZB phase.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Nr, 71.20.Lp, 75.30.Et, 75.10.Hk

I. INTRODUCTION

Half-metallic (HM) ferromagnetism is an ideal property of materials from the viewpoint of their application in spintronic devices. Thus a considerable research has been devoted in recent years to the designing and measurement of the physical properties of such materials. One group of such materials is known as the dilute magnetic semiconductors (DMS) where the magnetic properties are mediated by the percolation effects connecting a very small concentration of transition metal (TM) atoms such as Mn or Cr in a semiconductor host. Another group, that has been studied extensively, consists of TM-semiconductor binary/ternary alloys, where the TM concentration can be as high as the other components. In particular, some ZB structure transition metal chalcogenides and pnictides have been predicted to be HM ferromagnets on the basis of theoretical calculations. Here the magnetic properties of the transition metal matrix is modified via the hybridization between the transition metal 3-d and the s and p orbitals of the pincogen or the chalcogen. Half-metallicity arises as a result of interplay between the exchange splitting and the hybridization. Some Cr-based chalcogenides and pnictides have been predicted to be not only HM but also potential candidates for possessing high Curie temperatures \( T_c \). Akinaga et al. have reported growing ZB thin films of CrAs on GaAs (001) substrates via molecular beam epitaxy. These showed ferromagnetic behavior at temperatures in excess of 400 K and magnetic moments of 3\( \mu_B \) per CrAs unit. Thin films of CrSb grown similarly on GaAs, (Al,Ga)Sb, and GaSb substrates have been found to be of ZB structure and ferromagnetic with \( T_c \) higher than 400 K. Deng et al. were successful in increasing the thickness of ZB-CrSb films to \( \sim 3 \) nm using (In,Ga)As buffer layers, and Li et al. were able to grow \( \sim 4 \) nm thick ZB-CrSb films on NaCl (100) substrates. Two of the present authors have recently presented an extensive theoretical study of the exchange interactions and Curie temperatures in a series of Cr-based pnictides and chalcogenides in ZB structure as a function of the lattice parameter. One important issue is that although the ZB phase of these compounds shows half-metallicity and often (the promise of) high Curie temperature, the ground state usually has a different structure. For many of these compounds the ground state is NiAs-type hexagonal (NA). The semiconductor substrates on which thin films of these compounds are grown have cubic structures, usually RS or ZB. The other point is that often the equilibrium volume does not show half-metallicity, which appears only at an expanded volume. From this standpoint it would be useful to explore the possibility of the...
half-metallic phase in structures other than ZB, and in particular the RS structure. In this work we have examined this problem for CrTe. Zhao and Zunger\textsuperscript{15} argued that ZB CrTe should be epitaxially unstable against the NA structure. However, recently Sreenivasan et al.\textsuperscript{20} and Bi et al.\textsuperscript{45} have reported growing \textasciitilde 5 nm thick films of ZB CrTe by low temperature molecular beam epitaxy. Their measurements of temperature-dependent remanent-magnetization indicate a Curie temperature of \textasciitilde 100 K in these films. One attractive feature of bulk CrTe, at least from a theoretical view point, is that the equilibrium volume in the ZB structure is already half-metallic, whereas most other Cr-based chalcogenides and pnictides show half-metallicity only at expanded volumes.\textsuperscript{12} Besides, the half-metallic gap is larger in the chalcogenides like CrTe or CrSe than in the pnictides such as CrAs or CrSb. This is due to larger Cm-moment in the half-metallic phase for the chalcogenides (\textasciitilde 4\(\mu_B\)) than for the pnictides (\textasciitilde 3\(\mu_B\)), which results in larger exchange splitting. Our previous study\textsuperscript{25} has revealed that chalcogenides such as CrS and CrSe have a high likelihood of exhibiting antiferromagnetic or complex magnetic behavior for a range of the lattice parameter, whereas such tendencies are less pronounced in CrTe. Hence, the focus of this work is on CrTe. We study the appearance of half-metallicity in three competing structures for CrTe: NA, RS and ZB. The ground state structure (NA) shows half-metallicity not at the equilibrium volume but at some expanded volume, whereas both RS and ZB structures show half-metallicity at their respective equilibrium (lowest energy) volumes. The RS structure is found to be more stable than the ZB, with an energy that is lower by 0.25 eV/atom. Some band calculations for CrTe in the NA structure have already appeared\textsuperscript{31} in the literature, and the same goes for ZB CrTe.\textsuperscript{15,17} Ahmadian et al.\textsuperscript{42} have recently discussed half-metallicity at a ZB CrTe(001) surface and its interface with ZnTe(001). So far CrTe in the RS structure has not been studied from the viewpoint of its electronic and magnetic properties. Although both RS and ZB structures are fcc-based, their magnetic properties should differ somewhat for the same lattice parameter due to different levels of hybridization between the Cr 3-\(d\) and Te sp-orbitals. This should influence the magnetic moments, half-metallic gaps and the exchange interactions. We find that the exchange interactions are predominantly ferromagnetic and strong in the RS structure, while in the ZB structure Cr-Cr interactions can have non-negligible antiferromagnetic components for some lattice parameters. This results in a lower Curie temperature \(T_C\) for the ZB structure over a wide range of the lattice parameter. The promise of lower energy and higher \(T_C\) should prompt the experimentalists to try to fabricate CrTe thin films in the RS structure.

## II. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE

The two fcc-based structures ZB (\textit{F}43m, No.216) and RS (\textit{Fm}3\textit{m}, No.225) are well-known. The NA structure(\textit{P}6\textit{3}/\textit{mmc}, No.194) is based on a distorted hcp array of the arsenide anions. By contrast with the wurtzite structure, which shares the same formula type (AB) with the NA structure, the cations occupy all the octahedral sites rather than half the tetrahedral holes. There is one octahedral hole for each hcp lattice site, and so the AB stoichiometry is preserved. The local coordinations of the anions and cations are different in the NA and wurtzite structures. Bulk samples of CrTe are known to crystallize in this structure, with cell parameters \(a = 3.997\text{Å}, c = 6.222\text{Å}\) at room temperature. The Cr-Te bond length is 2.78 Å and the \(c/a\) ratio is 1.557, different from the ideal hcp value of 1.633. Thus the six Te atoms form a trigonally distorted octahedron around Cr. The Cr-Cr nearest neighbor distance in the \(ab\) plane is \(a = 3.997\text{Å},\) while the out of plane distance is \(c/2 = 3.111\text{Å}.\) Readers may consult Fig. 1 of Ref.\textsuperscript{41} to view the structure.

For the electronic structure study we have used the WIEN2k code\textsuperscript{44,45} which employs the full-potential linear augmented plane waves (FP-LAPW) plus local orbitals method. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) proposed by Perdew et al. was used for exchange and correlation potentials.\textsuperscript{46} According to a study by Continenza et al,\textsuperscript{47} GGA is essential for obtaining accurate equilibrium volumes and magnetic moments for Mn-based pnictides of ZB and NA structures. We consider full relativistic effects for the core states and use the scalar approximation for the valence states. Spin-orbit coupling is neglected, as it should have negligible effect on the results for CrTe. Convergence with respect to basis set and k-point sampling was carefully verified. About 3000 K points were used for the Brillouin zone (BZ) integrations, using the Monkhorst-Pack scheme\textsuperscript{48} and 14 \times 14 \times 14 divisions of the BZ. We used \(R_{\text{mt}} \times K_{\text{max}} = 8.0\) and angular momentum expansion up to \(l_{\text{max}} = 10\) in the muffin tins, and \(G_{\text{max}} = 14\) for the charge density. All core and valence states were treated self-consistently. For the calculations involving the different structures the muffin-tin (MT) radii were taken to be equal to 2.2 and 2.5 bohrs for Cr and Te atoms, respectively. The same MT radii were used in the calculations of different structures at their equilibrium volumes in order to compare accurately the total energies. Self-consistency in charge density was achieved to an accuracy higher than \(10^{-4}\).

We carried out a systematic structural optimization of NA, ZB, and RS CrTe in FM, NM and AFM configurations. For the NA structure the \(c/a\) ratio was optimized and the result \(c/a = 1.542\) for the FM phase is in good agreement with previously obtained result.\textsuperscript{42} This optimized \(c/a\) ratio was used for the final NA structure volume optimization. Bulk modulus was calculated by fitting the volume vs. energy results to the empiri-
cal Murnaghan equation of state. We find that for all three structures the FM phase has lower energy than the NM and AFM phases. To assess the relative stability of CrTe in different structures we consider the total energy per formula unit in the ZB and RS structures relative to the NA structure. The calculated optimized equilibrium lattice constant $a$, bulk modulus $B$, the total energy difference $E_1$ between the NM and the FM states: $E_1 = E_{NM} - E_{FM}$, and $E_2$ between the AFM and the FM states: $E_2 = E_{AFM} - E_{FM}$, and the metastability energy $E_m$, which is the total energy per formula unit relative to the NA structure, are given in Table II. Note that for RS and ZB structures, in calculating $E_2$ we have considered the energies of three AFM configurations: [001], [110] and [111] and considered the lowest of these energies. For the hexagonal NA structure, the AFM state was created by simply ascribing equal but opposite magnetic moments to the two Cr atoms in the unit cell. Cohesive properties are dependent on the treatment of the exchange-correlation effects in a non-trivial manner, as indicated by the LDA values of the lattice parameter and bulk modulus shown in parentheses in Table II for the RS and ZB equilibrium structures, where all other values are from GGA. Increased correlation effects in GGA increases the equilibrium lattice parameter and lowers the bulk modulus.

It is apparent from Table II that the FM state is lower in energy than the NM and AFM states for all the three structures and the ground state structure is NA. Our calculated CrTe results of ZB and NA by GGA are in good agreement with previous results. The calculated total energy as a function of the atomic volume for FM phase ZB, RS, and NA structures of CrTe is shown in Fig. 1. The ZB and RS phases are higher in energies than NA by 0.328 eV and 0.076 eV/atom, respectively. However, the RS structure is only marginally unstable against the NA structure, and more stable than the ZB structure, with an energy that is about 0.25 eV/atom lower. Thus we have carried out a detailed study of the RS phase. For lack of both experimental and theoretical data regarding RS CrTe, the present results should be considered as predictions and are intended to serve as reference for future experimental work.

As the density of states (DOS) for the ZB structure has been described in several publications, we present only the DOS's for the RS and NA structures in this work. For the RS structure the majority-spin channel, as in case of the ZB structure, is metallic, while the minority-spin states have an energy gap of width 0.76 eV around the Fermi level. The minority-spin gap for the ZB structure is 2.9 eV. All of these values refer to the respective equilibrium volumes. Thus both ZB and RS phases are HM systems. The HM gap, which is defined in Ref. 13 is 0.83 eV for the equilibrium ZB volume, while for the RS equilibrium volume it is very small, being about 0.03 eV. However, it increases with increasing volume. The spin-polarization at the Fermi level is quite small for RS CrTe, but the half-metallicity is robust against volume expansion. The general structure of DOS is similar around the Fermi level for both structures. A closer inspection, however, reveals some differences. In the spin-up channel there is a gap in the energy interval between 1.62 and 2.82 eV just below the Fermi level for the ZB structure. On the other hand, for the spin-down DOS the gap at the Fermi level in ZB CrTe is larger than that in RS CrTe. Also the peak structures differ by an energy shift with respect to the Fermi level. All these differences should be accountable on the basis of different levels of hybridization between the Cr-3d and Te-5p orbitals and different exchange splittings for the two structures. The growth of metastable ZB-CrAs and ZB-CrSb and ZB-CrTe indicates the suitability of RS-CrTe for growth on carefully chosen substrates. The equilibrium lattice constant of RS CrTe (5.727 Å) is only 4.7% smaller than the equilibrium lattice parameter 6.013 Å of RS GeTe (both values quoted are from calculations). Thus RS GeTe should act as a suitable substrate for growing RS CrTe films.

In Figs. 2 and 3 we show the DOSs for the RS and NA structure CrTe at their respective equilibrium volumes obtained via WIEN2k FP-LAPW code. Note that at equilibrium volume CrTe in NA structure is not half-metallic, but becomes so at expanded volumes. In Fig. 3 the DOS of RS CrTe at the equilibrium RS-GeTe lattice parameter (6.013 Å) is given, where it is seen to be half-metallic. The minority-spin gap is 1.388 eV, HM gap is 0.637 eV. Both the minority-spin gap and HM gap become larger with increasing lattice parameter and half-metallicity is robust with respect to lattice expansion.

We have supplemented the FP-LAPW calculations with LMTO-ASA calculations using the tight-binding linear muffin tin orbital (TB-LMTO) method and its implementation for random alloys using the coherent potential approximation (CPA). The exchange-correlation potential given by Vosko, Wilk and Nusair.31,32

![FIG. 1: (Color online) Energy as a function of volume per atom in the three structural phases of CrTe.](image)
TABLE I: Results obtained via the WIEK2k code: equilibrium lattice constant a, Cr-Te bond-length \(L_{\text{Cr-Te}}\), cohesive energy \(E_c\) and bulk modulus \(B\) for ZB, RS and NA CrTe in the FM state. \(E_c\) is defined as negative of the total energy of the formula unit with respect to the sum of the energies of the free Cr and Te atoms. \(E_1\), \(E_2\) and \(E_m\) are as defined in the text. All results shown are obtained using GGA. In some cases the corresponding LDA values are shown in parentheses.

| Structure | \(a (\text{Å})\) | \(L_{\text{Cr-Te}} (\text{Å})\) | \(B \text{(GPa)}\) | \(E_c \text{(eV)}\) | \(E_1 \text{(eV)}\) | \(E_2 \text{(eV)}\) | \(E_m \text{(eV)}\) |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| ZB        | 6.263 (6.088)  | 2.712           | 45.278 (57.962)| 6.13           | 4.416          | 0.284          | 0.328          |
| RS        | 5.727 (5.533)  | 2.864           | 59.744 (70.823)| 6.38           | 1.605          | 0.019          | 0.076          |
| NA \((c/a=1.542)\) | 4.118 | 2.859 | 46.487 | 6.46 | 1.453 | 0.107 | 0 |

FIG. 2: (Color online) DOS for the RS structure at the equilibrium volume \((a=5.727 \text{ Å})\) obtained via the FP-LAPW method.

FIG. 3: (Color online) DOS for the NiAs structure at the (a) equilibrium volume \(V_0 \ (c/a=1.5424, a=4.181 \text{ Å})\) and (b) 10% expanded volume \(V=1.1V_0 \ (c/a=1.5424)\), obtained via the FP-LAPW method.

FIG. 4: DOS in RS CrTe at the equilibrium lattice parameter of RS-GeTe \((6.013 \text{ Å})\).

As pointed out above, several features of the electronic structure are dependent on the exchange-correlation effects. Enhanced correlation generally increases the equilibrium lattice parameter and the magnetic moment for a given volume. The equilibrium lattice parameter for the ZB structure is 6.26 Å according to the FP-LAPW calculations using GGA, 6.09 Å according to FP-LAPW and LDA, and 6.03 Å according LMTO-ASA method using LDA. These LDA values value are in close agreement with the result 6.07 Å from the Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (KKR) Green function method and LDA. For RS CrTe equilibrium lattice parameter from FP-LAPW GGA is 5.727 Å, while replacing GGA by LDA results in the value 5.53 Å, a decrease of about 3%. Since GGA is not incorporated at this stage in the LMTO-ASA code that we used, we checked the effect of enhancing the correlation effect in LMTO-ASA by using the LDA+U method. The equilibrium lattice parameter for RS CrTe increases...
III. MAGNETIC MOMENTS

Results of the WIEN2k calculations for magnetic moments and some other useful quantities are given in Table 1. The total magnetic moment contains contributions from the Cr atom, the Te atom and the interstitial region. The Cr atom carries the largest amount of magnetic moment, the Te site has a small magnetic moment, about 3-4% of that of the Cr atom and a certain amount of moment, 16-17% of the Cr-moment, is also found in the interstitial region. The magnetic moment in the interstitial region arises mainly from the d-states of the Cr atom. The moments of Cr and Te are antiparallel to each other, while the moments of the interstitial region and the Cr atom are parallel. The calculated total magnetic moment for both ZB and RS structures are 4.000 $\mu_B$ per formula unit at their equilibrium lattice parameters. An integer value of the magnetic moment is a characteristic feature of HM ferromagnets. The ratio between the induced moment on Te and the moment on Cr is 0.044, 0.031 and 0.030 for ZB, RS and NA, respectively. The magnitudes of the moments on the two sublattices increase with increasing lattice parameters, due to decreased hybridization between Cr-d and Te-sp orbitals. Above a critical value of the lattice parameter, the moment per formula unit (f.u.) saturates at a value of 4.000 $\mu_B$, as the HM state is achieved, while the local moments on the Cr and Te-sublattices increase in magnitude, remaining opposite to each other. The saturation value satisfies the so-called “rule of 8”\cite{17}: $M = (Z_{tot} - 8) \mu_B$, where $Z_{tot}$ is the total number of valence electrons in the unit cell. The number 8 accounts for the fact that in the HM state the bonding $p$ bands are full, accommodating 6 electrons and so is the low-lying band formed of the $s$ electrons from the $sp$ atom, accommodating 2 electrons. The magnetic moment comes from the remaining electrons filling the $d$ states, first the $e_g$ states and then the $t_{2g}$. The moment versus volume variations for the three structures obtained in the WIEN2k calculations are shown in Fig.7.

In LMTO-ASA method the interstitial region is described by empty spheres and the electronic properties of this region is primarily dictated by the tails of the orbitals centered at the real atoms such as Cr and Te. As in the FP-LAPW calculation, we find that the magnetic moments of the empty spheres are parallel to those of the Cr atoms, while those of the Te atoms are antiparallel. For the RS structure, the moment on the Te atom is small (around 1-2% of that of the Cr atom) for low values of the lattice parameter, while the moment on the two empty spheres are each about 3.5-4% of that of the Cr atom. With increasing lattice parameter, the Te-moment increases with respect to the Cr-moment to about 7-8% at the highest lattice parameter studied. At these high lattice parameters the moments on the empty spheres, originating from the tails of the nearby Cr-3$d$ orbitals, drop to about 2% of the Cr-moment.

In the ZB structure, the two empty spheres are not
TABLE II: Comparison of magnetic moments per formula unit ($\mu_B$), minority-spin gap $G_{\text{min}}$ (eV) and HM gap $G_{\text{HM}}$ (eV) for FM CrTe in ZB, RS and NA structures, at their respective equilibrium volumes, obtained by using the FP-LAPW method (WIEN2k). The numbers without and within the parentheses refer to values obtained by using GGA and LDA, respectively.

| Structure | Cr    | Te    | Interstitial | Total | $G_{\text{min}}$ | $G_{\text{HM}}$ |
|-----------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ZB        | 3.542 (3.501) | -0.157 (-0.119) | 0.616 (0.619) | 4.000 (4.000) | 2.911 | 0.829 |
| RS        | 3.563 (3.517) | -0.112 (-0.079) | 0.548 (0.548) | 4.000 (3.986) | 0.761 | 0.028 |
| NA        | 3.489 (3.398) | -0.105 (-0.076) | 0.520 (0.503) | 3.904 (3.825) | - | - |

FIG. 7: (Color online) Magnetic moment per formula unit (f.u.) for the NA, ZB and RS structures obtained via FP-LAPW (WIEN2k) calculations.

'induced moments' in calculating the Curie temperature. LMTO-ASA results using LDA for the ZB and RS structures are presented in Fig. 8.

Magnetism is strongly dependent on correlation effects. Even in the metallic states, where magnetism is believed to be described reasonably well by density functional methods and LDA, different treatment of the exchange-correlation effects lead to different values of magnetic moments. We thus compare the magnetic moments of our LMTO-ASA calculations for LDA and LDA+U. The enhanced correlation effects in the LDA+U calculations (using U=0.075 Ry, J=0) increase the values magnetic moments and bring the results in general close agreement with those obtained via using GGA in the WIE2k package. This is shown in Fig. 9. The agreement between GGA and LDA+U results is due to the fact that the GGA goes beyond the LDA by including electron correlations in some way.

IV. EXCHANGE INTERACTION AND CURIE TEMPERATURE

Exchange interactions are usually calculated by mapping the system energy onto a classical Heisen-
positive and negative values of spin electrons. The negative sign in Eq.(1) implies that the Green’s function of the medium for the up and down \( g_{ij} \) \( g_{ji} \) \( \sum \) \( i, j \) \( \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \) \( H_{\text{eff}} = - \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \), (1)\n
where \( i, j \) are site indices, \( \mathbf{e}_i \) is the unit vector pointing along the direction of the local magnetic moment at site \( i \), and \( J_{ij} \) is the exchange interaction between the moments at sites \( i \) and \( j \). In this work, we use the method of Liechtenstein et al.\cite{liechtenstein1985} based on multiple-scattering formalism, which was later implemented for random magnetic systems by Turek et al.\cite{turek2000}, using CPA and the TD-LMTO method\cite{anderson2001}. For a discussion of the various methods used in the mapping procedure the reader may consult our previous publication.\cite{takayama1998} The exchange integral in Eq. (1) is given by

\[
J_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \text{Im} \int tr_L \left[ \Delta_i(z) g_{ij}^0(z) \Delta_j(z) g_{ji}^0(z) \right] dz ,
\]

where \( z = E + i\epsilon \) represents the complex energy variable, \( L = (l, m) \), and \( \Delta_i(z) = P_i^\uparrow(z) - P_i^\downarrow(z) \), representing the difference in the potential functions for the up and down spin electrons at site \( i \). In the present work \( g_{ij}^0(z) (\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow) \) represents the matrix elements of the Green’s function of the medium for the up and down spin electrons. The negative sign in Eq. (1) implies that positive and negative values of \( J_{ij} \) are to be interpreted as representing ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions, respectively.

In the mean-field approximation (MFA), the Curie temperature \( T_c \), based on the exchange interaction between the strong moments only, i.e. the Cr atoms, can be written as.

\[
k_B T_c^{\text{MFA}} = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}} J_{ij}^{\text{Cr,Cr}} ,
\]

where the sum extends over all the neighboring shells centered around the Cr-site 'o'. An improved description of finite-temperature magnetism is provided by the random phase approximation (RPA) with \( T_c \) given by

\[
(k_B T_c^{\text{RPA}})^{-1} = \frac{3}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left[ J_{ij}^{\text{Cr,Cr}}(0) - J_{ij}^{\text{Cr,Cr}}(\mathbf{q}) \right]^{-1} .
\]

Here \( N \) denotes the order of the translational group applied and \( J_{ij}^{\text{Cr,Cr}}(\mathbf{q}) \) is the lattice Fourier transform of the real-space exchange integrals \( J_{ij}^{\text{Cr,Cr}} \). \( T_c^{\text{RPA}} \) can be shown to be smaller than \( T_c^{\text{MFA}} \) and has been found to be in close agreement with results given by Monte-Carlo simulations.\cite{takayama1998} Unless otherwise stated, the \( T_c \) estimates given in this paper are based on RPA, as given by Eq. (4).

Sandratskii et al. have discussed the case when, in addition to the interaction between the strong moments, there is one secondary, but much weaker, interaction between the strong and one induced moment. In this case, the Curie temperature, calculated under the mean-field approximation (MFA), is enhanced due to this secondary interaction, irrespective of the sign of the secondary interaction. In other words, the Curie temperature would be somewhat higher than that calculated by considering only the interaction between the strong moments. The corresponding results under the random phase approximation (RPA) have to be obtained by solving two equations simultaneously. One can assume that the RPA
results for the Curie temperature follow the trends represented by the MFA results, being only somewhat smaller, as observed in the absence of induced moments.

In Fig.10 we compare the exchange interactions between the Cr atoms obtained by using Eq.(2) for the equilibrium lattice parameter of 5.727 Å (FP-LAPW GGA result) of RS CrTe with those in ZB CrTe for two values of the lattice parameter, one above and the other below the value 5.727 Å. For the RS structure the interactions are all ferromagnetic, while for the ZB structure they are predominantly antiferromagnetic in this range of the lattice parameter. In our previous publication we have shown that due to these antiferromagnetic interactions, the Curie temperature in ZB CrTe is drastically reduced for a certain range of the lattice parameter. The ground state for this structure may even have a more complex magnetic phase than simple ferromagnetic. Thus for lattice parameters around 5.74-5.75 Å RS CrTe is not only guaranteed to be a half-metallic ferromagnet, but also should have a higher $T_s$ than ZB CrTe of similar volume per atom.

In Fig.11 we compare the $T_{c}^{RPA}$ values for RS and ZB CrTe obtained by using LMTO-ASA and LDA. The reference state used for the calculation is the FM state. For the ZB phase, the use of Eq.(4) neglecting all the induced moments, results in unphysical negative $T_c$ in the lattice parameter range $5.7-6.0$ Å because of dominant antiferromagnetic Cr-Cr exchange at the nearest and next nearest neighbor sites. The ZB phase has been discussed in detail in our previous publication\textsuperscript{37} where we showed that in spite of these nearest and next nearest neighbor AFM interactions, the FM state is lower in energy than the AFM[001] and AFM[111] states. The cumulative effect of the FM interactions involving the more distant pairs of Cr atoms, as well as that due to the induced moments, may stabilize the FM phase. Either the cumulative effects of distant pair interactions make the system FM or the magnetic state is other than AFM[001] and AFM[111], possibly complex. If the magnetic state is indeed FM, then according to the prescription of Sandratski\textsuperscript{38} et al. $T_c$ should be enhanced with respect to the value given by Eq.(4) and may be positive but small. This explains why Sreenivasan \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{39} and Bi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{40} observe $T_c$s only as high as 100 K in their thin film sample of ZB CrTe. For RS CrTe, on the other hand, all dominant interactions are FM, and as a result the $T_c$ calculated by using Eq.(4) and based on only Cr-Cr interactions give positive values. The actual $T_c$s are most probably higher than what is shown in Fig.11. The effect of enhanced correlation for RS CrTe incorporated via LDA+U method, using $U=0.075$ Ry, and $J=0$, is shown in Fig.12. Within the uncertainties of our results there seems to be no appreciable difference between the predictions of LDA and LDA+U.

It would transpire from Fig.11 that a $J_1$-$J_2$ model may suffice to describe the magnetism of the RS phase. However, for quantitative accuracy of $T_c$, the more distant interactions, although individually small compared to the first two, cannot be neglected. Note that for the ZB phase a $J_1$-$J_2$ model would clearly be inadequate. For the RS phase, if one is prepared to neglect interactions beyond the next nearest neighbor pairs, then the nearest-neighbor interaction $J_1$ and the next nearest-interaction $J_2$ can be obtained from the energies of the FM and AFM[001] and AFM[111] states.\textsuperscript{44} We have calculated $J_1$ and $J_2$ using this procedure for the FP-LAPW-GGA equilibrium lattice parameter of 5.727 Å, and the results are: $J_1=3.76$ meV, $J_2=27.63$ meV (FP-LAPW-GGA), $J_1=3.29$ meV, $J_2=18.32$ meV (FP-LAPW-LDA), $J_1=4.61$ meV, $J_2=20.0$ meV (LMTO-ASA-LDA). To compare these results with those given by the procedure based on the multiple scattering formalism and Eq.(2) we need to consider that all the distant neighbor interactions between the Cr atoms (and the interactions involving the induced moments) get mapped effectively on to just two interactions in the $J_1$-$J_2$ model. This is tantamount to reproducing the band structure of a long-ranged Hamiltonian via a TB-model with only nearest and next-nearest neighbor interactions. Success is possible, but not always guaranteed (unless further interactions are included). A meaningful way to compare the two approaches is to compute the dispersion $J(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} J_{\mathbf{q}} \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}).$ In Fig.13 we show these dispersion curves for RS CrTe along the various symmetry directions and all the different cases considered. The values of the exchange interactions quoted above for the $J_1$-$J_2$ model would put the $T_c$ for the lattice parameter 5.727 Å around $1000$ K. One reason that the magnitudes of the $J(q)$ values based on Eq.(2) come out smaller than those given by the $J_1$-$J_2$ model is that in computing $J(q)$ from Eq.(2) we have neglected all interactions involving the induced moments.

In our previous publication\textsuperscript{57} we have argued that estimates of $T_c$ from above the transition can be obtained by considering the reference state for the exchange calculation in the method of Liechtenstein \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{58} to be the paramagnetic state described by the disordered local moments (DLM)\textsuperscript{55-57} model. In this description magnetic moments appear only on the magnetic, i.e. Cr, atoms, but are oppositely directed for half of the randomly chosen atoms, giving a zero moment in total. The randomness is treated using the CPA\textsuperscript{51,52} to give the DLM reference states are usually higher than their FM counterparts. The DLM estimates of $T_c$ are free from errors originating from the neglect of the exchange interactions involving induced moments in Eqs.(3) and (4). For this reason we have computed the $T_c$ using the DLM model as well and compare these with the $T_c$ obtained for the reference states in Fig.14. As expected, the DLM values are usually higher for most of the lattice parameters. Since the ground state of RS CrTe is known to be FM for the entire range of the lattice parameters studied, we expect the FM results to be valid, although somewhat underestimated due to the neglect of the induced moments. Thus the theoretical estimates of $T_c$ should lie between...
FIG. 11: (Color online) The RPA Curie temperature (Eq. (4)) as a function of the lattice parameter for the ZB and RS structures of CrTe, calculated by considering the Cr-Cr interaction only and by using the FM reference state. The arrows indicate the equilibrium lattice parameter values according to various calculations. The results for $T_c$ are somewhat affected by the ‘induced’ moments on the Te atoms as well as the empty spheres used in the LMTO-ASA calculation. For ZB CrTe, this error, combined with strong antiferromagnetic spin fluctuation for the lattice parameters in the range $\sim$5.7-6.0 Å, result in unphysical negative values of $T_c$ in this range (see text for details). Away from this range $T_c$ values are reliable, although somewhat underestimated.

FIG. 12: (Color online) Comparison of the Curie temperature in RS CrTe calculated for the FM reference states in RPA using the LMTO-ASA scheme and LDA and LDA+U methods.

FIG. 13: (Color online) Comparison of the Curie temperature in RS CrTe for the FP-LAPW-GGA equilibrium lattice parameter of 5.727 Å and the various cases discussed in the text.

V. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

We have provided a comparison of the magnetic properties of CrTe in three different structures, NA, RS and ZB, at their respective equilibrium volumes. A detailed comparison of the half-metallic properties of the ZB and RS phases is provided, as thin films grown on substrates are likely to have either of these two structures. The RS phase is more stable than the ZB phase, in that the lowest energy state in the RS phase is about 0.25 eV/atom lower than that for the FM state of the same volume. Dominating $J_{ij}$s for the DLM reference states at these volumes are AFM-like. For smaller volumes the Cr-moment disappears, indicating strong hybridization between the orbitals on Cr- and Te-sublattices.
in the RS phase than in the ZB phase. Increased antiferromagnetic interactions in the ZB phase result from the smaller distance between the Cr and the Te atoms and consequently increased hybridization between the Cr-3d and Te-5p orbitals in the ZB phase than in the RS phase for the same lattice parameter. Increased stability with respect to the ZB phase, stronger ferromagnetism and the promise of a higher $T_c$ should make the growth and measurements of the physical properties of RS CrTe an attractive project for experimentalists in the field.
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