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Abstract. In this paper we show, in dimension $n \geq 3$, that knowledge of the Cauchy data for the Schrödinger equation in the presence of a magnetic potential, measured on possibly very small subsets of the boundary, determines uniquely the magnetic field and the electric potential. We follow the general strategy of [7] using a richer set of solutions to the Dirichlet problem that has been used in previous works on this problem.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded set with $C^\infty$ boundary, we are interested in the magnetic Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{A,q}(x,D) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (D_j + A_j(x))^2 + q(x)$$

$$= D^2 + A \cdot D + D \cdot A + A^2 + q$$

with real magnetic potential $A = (A_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and bounded electric potential $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. As usual, $D = -i \nabla$. In this paper, we always assume the dimension to be $\geq 3$. Let us introduce the

Assumption 1. 0 is not an eigenvalue of the magnetic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q} : H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$.

Let $\nu$ be the unit exterior normal. Under the assumption 1 the Dirichlet problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u = 0 \\ u|_{\partial \Omega} = f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega) \end{array} \right.$$

has a unique solution in $H^1(\Omega)$, and we can introduce the Dirichlet to Neumann map (DN)

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,q} : H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega) \ni f \mapsto (\partial_\nu + i A \cdot \nu)u|_{\partial \Omega} \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega)$$

associated to the magnetic Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_{A,q}$ with magnetic potential defined by (1.1).
The inverse problem we consider in this paper is to recover information about the magnetic and electric potential from the DN map measured on subsets of the boundary. As was noted in [12], the DN map is invariant under a gauge transformation of the magnetic potential: it ensues from the identities

$$e^{-i\Psi L_{A,q}} e^{i\Psi} = L_{A+\nabla\Psi,q}, \quad e^{-i\Psi N_{A,q}} e^{i\Psi} = N_{A+\nabla\Psi,q},$$

that $N_{A,q} = N_{A+\nabla\Psi,q}$ when $\Psi \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ is such that $\Psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Thus $N_{A,q}$ carries information about the magnetic field $B = dA$. Sun showed in [12] that from this information one can determine the magnetic field and the electric potential if the magnetic potential is small in an appropriate class. In [8] the smallness assumption was eliminated for smooth magnetic and electric potentials and for $C^2$ and compactly supported magnetic potential and $L^\infty$ electrical potential. The regularity assumption on the magnetic potential was improved in [13] to $C^{2/3+\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and to Dini continuous in [10]. Recently in [11] a method was given for reconstructing the magnetic field and the electric potential under some regularity assumptions on the magnetic potential.

All of the above mentioned results rely on constructing complex geometrical optics solutions, with a linear phase, for the magnetic Schrödinger equation. We also mention that the inverse boundary value problem is closely related to the inverse scattering problem at a fixed energy for the magnetic Schrödinger operator. The latter was studied under various regularity assumptions on the magnetic and electrical potentials in [9], for small compactly supported magnetic potential and compactly supported electric potential. This result was extended in [3] for exponentially decaying magnetic and electric potentials with no smallness assumption.

In this paper we extend the main result of [7] to the case of the magnetic Schrödinger equation. We state the precise results below.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{ch}(\Omega)$ (where ch(\Omega) denotes the convex hull of \Omega), we define the front and back sides of $\partial\Omega$ with respect to $x_0$ by

$$F(x_0) = \{x \in \partial\Omega : (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \leq 0\}$$

$$B(x_0) = \{x \in \partial\Omega : (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) > 0\}.$$ 

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $\Omega$ be a simply connected open bounded set with $C^\infty$ boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, let $A_1, A_2$ be two real $C^2$ vector fields on $\Omega$ and $q_1, q_2$ be two bounded potentials on $\Omega$ such that the assumption [7] is satisfied.

\footnote{Here $A$ is viewed as the 1-form $\sum_{j=1}^n A_j dx_j$.}
Let \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{ch}(\Omega) \), suppose that the Dirichlet to Neumann maps related to the operators \( L_{A_1, q_1} \) and \( L_{A_2, q_2} \) coincide on part of the boundary near \( x_0 \) in the sense that there exists a neighborhood \( \tilde{F} \) of the front side of \( \partial \Omega \) with respect to \( x_0 \) such that
\[
N_{A_1, q_1} f(x) = N_{A_2, q_2} f(x) \quad \forall x \in \tilde{F}, \quad \forall f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega),
\]
then if \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are viewed as 1-forms
\[
dA_1 = dA_2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 = q_2.
\]

**Remark 1.2.** We only use the simple connectedness of the set \( \Omega \) to deduce that the two magnetic potentials differ from a gradient, from the equality \( dA_1 = dA_2 \). If we already know that \( A_1 - A_2 = \nabla \Psi \), we don’t need the fact that \( \Omega \) is simply connected, in particular, theorem 1.1 contains theorem 1.2 of [7].

Nevertheless theorem 1.1 in [7] improves on this result by restricting the Dirichlet-to-Neuman maps to a space of functions on the boundary with support in a small neighborhood of the back side \( B(x_0) \). We have left the corresponding result in the magnetic case open.

As in [7], we make the following definition of a strongly star shaped domain.

**Definition 1.3.** An open set \( \Omega \) with smooth boundary is said to be strongly star shaped with respect to \( x_1 \in \partial \Omega \) if every line through \( x_1 \) which is not contained in the tangent hyperplane cuts the boundary at precisely two distinct points \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) with transversal intersection at \( x_2 \).

With this definition, theorem 1.1 implies the following corollary

**Corollary 1.4.** Under the assumptions on \( \Omega \), the magnetic potentials \( A_1, A_2 \) and the electric potentials \( q_1, q_2 \) of theorem 1.1 let \( x_1 \in \partial \Omega \) be a point of the boundary such that the tangent hyperplane of \( \partial \Omega \) at \( x_1 \) only intersects \( \partial \Omega \) at \( x_1 \) and such that \( \Omega \) is strongly star shaped with respect to \( x_1 \).

Suppose that there exists a neighborhood \( \tilde{F} \) of \( x_1 \) in \( \partial \Omega \) such that (1.4) holds then
\[
dA_1 = dA_2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 = q_2.
\]

We proceed as in [7] by constructing some complex geometrical optics solutions using a Carleman estimate. The construction of these solutions is fairly similar to those presented in the latter paper, except for the changes due to the presence of the magnetic potential. However, the part concerned with the recovery of the potential and the magnetic field is new.
The plan of this article is as follows.
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2. Carleman estimate

Our first step is to construct solutions of the magnetic Schrödinger equation $L_{A,q}u = 0$ of the form

$$u(x, h) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\varphi + \psi)}(a(x) + h\tau(x, h))$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

(where $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are real functions) by use of the complex geometrical optics method: of course, $\psi$ and $a$ will be sought as solutions of respectively an eikonal equation and a transport equation. In order to be able to go from an approximate solution to an exact solution, one wants the conjugated operator

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}h^2 L_{A,q} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}}}$$

to be locally solvable in a semi-classical sense, which means its principal symbol\(^2\)

$$p_\varphi(x, \xi) = \xi^2 - (\nabla \varphi)^2 + 2i\nabla \varphi \cdot \xi$$

(2.2)

to satisfy Hörmander’s condition

$$\{\text{Re} p_\varphi, \text{Im} p_\varphi\} \leq 0 \text{ when } p_\varphi = 0.$$

Since we furthermore want to obtain solutions (2.1) for both the phases $\varphi$ and $-\varphi$, we will consider phases satisfying the condition

$$\{\text{Re} p_\varphi, \text{Im} p_\varphi\} = 0 \text{ when } p_\varphi = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

**Definition 2.1.** A real smooth function $\varphi$ on an open set $\hat{\Omega}$ is said to be a limiting Carleman weight if it has non-vanishing gradient on $\hat{\Omega}$

\(^2\)Here and throughout this article, we are using the semi-classical convention.
and if the symbol \((2.2)\) satisfies the condition \((2.3)\) on \(T^*(\tilde{\Omega})\). This is equivalent to say that
\[
\langle \varphi'' \nabla \varphi, \nabla \varphi \rangle + \langle \varphi'' \xi, \xi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \xi^2 = (\nabla \varphi)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \varphi \cdot \xi = 0.
\]

The appropriate tool in deducing local solvability for the conjugated operator and in proving that the geometrical optics method is effective (meaning that indeed one gains one power of \(h\) in the former asymptotics) is a Carleman estimate. The goal of this section is to prove such an estimate.

In this section, \(\Omega\) is as in the introduction and \(\tilde{\Omega}\) will denote an open set \(\tilde{\Omega} \supseteq \Omega\). We will use the following notations
\[
(u|v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) \overline{v}(x) \, dx, \quad (u|v)_{\partial \Omega} = \int_{\partial \Omega} u(x) \overline{v}(x) \, d\sigma(x),
\]
and \(\|u\| = \sqrt{(u|u)}\) denotes the \(L^2\) norm on \(\Omega\). We say that the estimate
\[
F(u, h) \lesssim G(u, h)
\]
holds for all \(u \in X\) (where \(X\) is a function space, such as \(L^2(\Omega)\)) and for \(h\) small if there exist constants \(C > 0\) and \(h_0 > 0\) (possibly depending on \(q\) and \(A\)) such that for all \(0 \leq h \leq h_0\) and for all \(u \in X\), the inequality \(F(u, h) \leq CG(u, h)\) is satisfied.

We will make extensive use of the Green formula for the magnetic Schrödinger operator \(\mathcal{L}_{A,q}\), which for sake of convenience, we state as a lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(A\) be a real \(C^1\) vector field on \(\tilde{\Omega}\) and \(q \in L^\infty(\Omega)\) then we have the magnetic Green formula
\[
(\mathcal{L}_{A,q} u|v)_{\Omega} - (u|\mathcal{L}_{A,q} v)_{\Omega} = (u|\partial v + i \nu \cdot A)v)_{\partial \Omega} - ((\partial v + i \nu \cdot A)u|v)_{\partial \Omega}
\]
for all \(u, v \in H^1(\Omega)\) such that \(\Delta u, \Delta v \in L^2(\Omega)\).

**Proof.** Integrating by parts, we have
\[
(\mathcal{L}_{A,q} u|v)_{\Omega} = (\nabla u|\nabla v)_{\Omega} + (Du|Av)_{\Omega} + (Au|Dv)_{\Omega}
+ ((q + A^2)u|v)_{\Omega} - ((\partial v + i \nu \cdot A)u|v)_{\partial \Omega}
\]
and by permuting \(u\) and \(v\), replacing \(q\) by \(\bar{q}\), and taking the complex conjugate of the former, we get
\[
(\mathcal{L}_{A,q} u|v)_{\Omega} = (\nabla u|\nabla v)_{\Omega} + (Du, Av)_{\Omega} + (Au|Dv)_{\Omega}
+ ((q + A^2)u|v)_{\Omega} - (u|\partial v + i \nu \cdot A)v)_{\partial \Omega}.
\]
Subtracting the former to \((2.5)\), we end up with \((2.4)\). \(\Box\)
If $\varphi$ is a limiting Carleman weight, we define
\[ \partial \Omega_{\pm} = \{ x \in \partial \Omega : \pm \partial_{\nu} \varphi \geq 0 \} . \]

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\varphi$ be a $C^\infty$ limiting Carleman weight on $\tilde{\Omega}$, let $A$ be a $C^1$ vector field on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the Carleman estimate

\[
- h(\partial_{\nu} \varphi e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \partial_{\nu} u | e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \partial_{\nu} u)_{\partial \Omega_{-}} + \| e^{\tilde{\varphi}} u \|^2 + \| e^{\tilde{\varphi}} h \nabla u \|^2 \\
\lesssim h^2 \| e^{\tilde{\varphi}} L_{A,q} u \|^2 + h(\partial_{\nu} \varphi e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \partial_{\nu} u | e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \partial_{\nu} u)_{\partial \Omega_{+}}
\]

holds for all $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ and $h$ small.

In particular, when $u \in C^\infty_0(\Omega)$, we have the Carleman estimate

\[
\| e^{\tilde{\varphi}} u \| + h \| e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \nabla u \| \lesssim h \| e^{\tilde{\varphi}} L_{A,q} u \|.
\]

**Proof.** Taking $v = e^{\tilde{\varphi}} u$, it is equivalent to prove the following a priori estimate

\[
- h(\partial_{\nu} \varphi \partial_{\nu} v | \partial_{\nu} v)_{\partial \Omega_{-}} + \| v \|^2 + \| h \nabla v \|^2 \\
\lesssim 1 \| (e^{\tilde{\varphi}} h^2 L_{A,q} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}}) v \|^2 + h(\partial_{\nu} \varphi \partial_{\nu} v | \partial_{\nu} v)_{\partial \Omega_{+}}
\]

since $\| he^{\tilde{\varphi}} \nabla u \| \lesssim \| v \| + \| h \nabla v \|$ and $(e^{\tilde{\varphi}} \partial_{\nu} u)_{\partial \Omega} = \partial_{\nu} v_{\partial \Omega}$. Conjugating the magnetic Schrödinger operator by the exponential weight gives rise to the following operator

\[
e^{\tilde{\varphi}} h^2 L_{A,q} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}} = P + iQ + R + h^2 (q + A^2)
\]

where $P$ and $Q$ are the self-adjoint operators

\[
P = h^2 D^2 - (\nabla \varphi)^2,
\]

\[
Q = \nabla \varphi \cdot hD + hD \cdot \nabla \varphi,
\]

and $R = h(A \cdot hD + hD \cdot A) + 2ihA \cdot \nabla \varphi$.

Our first remark concerns the fact that we may neglect the term $h^2 (q + A^2)$ since the right-hand side of (2.7) may be perturbed by a term bounded by $h^2 \| v \|^2$, which may be absorbed by the left-hand side if $h$ is small enough. Omitting the term $q + A^2$ gives rise to such an error. Hence we will prove the a priori estimate for the operator $P + iQ + R$. The same is not true of the term $R$ because errors of order $\| v \|^2 + \| h \nabla v \|^2$ may not be absorbed into the left hand-side.

Note that if $p$ and $q$ denote the principal symbol respectively of $P$ and $Q$, the fact that $\varphi$ is a limiting Carleman weight means that

\[ \{ p, q \} = 0 \text{ when } p + i q = 0. \]

This condition is not enough to obtain an a priori estimate for $P + iQ$, one needs to have a positive Poisson bracket. Our first step is to remedy
to this by using a classical convexity argument. Consider the modified Carleman weight
\[ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi + \frac{h}{2\varepsilon} \varphi^2 \]
where \( \varepsilon \) is a suitable small parameter to be chosen independent of \( h \), and denote by \( \tilde{p} \) and \( \tilde{q} \) the corresponding symbols, and by \( \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{R} \) the corresponding operators, when \( \varphi \) has been replaced by \( \tilde{\varphi} \). Then, we have
\[
\nabla \tilde{\varphi} = (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi) \nabla \varphi, \quad \tilde{\varphi}'' = (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi) \varphi'' + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi \otimes \nabla \varphi
\]
therefore when \( \xi^2 = (\nabla \tilde{\varphi})^2 \) and \( \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \xi = 0 \), we have
\[
\{ \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \} = 4 \left( \frac{h}{\varepsilon} (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi)^2 (\nabla \varphi)^4 + a(x) \xi^2 - (\nabla \tilde{\varphi})^2 \right)
\]
with \( a(x) = 4h(\nabla \tilde{\varphi})^2/\varepsilon - 4 \langle \tilde{\varphi}'' \nabla \tilde{\varphi}, \nabla \tilde{\varphi} \rangle/(\nabla \tilde{\varphi})^2 \), and since this bracket is a quadratic polynomial with no linear part, this implies that there exists a linear form \( b(x, \xi) \) in \( \xi \) such that
\[
\{ \tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \} = \frac{4h}{\varepsilon} (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi)^2 (\nabla \varphi)^4 + a(x) \tilde{p} + b(x, \xi) \tilde{q}.
\]
This computation implies on the operator level that
\[
i[\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}] = \frac{4h^2}{\varepsilon} (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi)^2 (\nabla \varphi)^4 + \frac{h}{2} (a \tilde{P} + \tilde{P} a)
+ \frac{h}{2} (b^w \tilde{Q} + \tilde{Q} b^w) + h^3 c(x)
\]
where the first order differential operator \( b^w \) is the semi-classical Weyl quantization\(^3\) of \( b \). In fact, the positivity of the bracket (2.9) essentially induces the positivity of the commutator \( i[\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}] \)
\[
(2.10) \quad i([\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}]v|v) = \frac{4h^2}{\varepsilon} (1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi)^2 \|(\nabla \varphi)^2 v\|^2 > 0
+ h \Re(a \tilde{P} v|v) + h \Re(\tilde{Q} v|b^w v) + h^3 (c v|v)
\]
\(^3\)The absence of \( h^2 \) term is due to the use of the Weyl quantization.
DETERMINING A MAGNETIC SCHRODINGER OPERATOR

(recall that \( v|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \) which explains why there are no boundary terms). The former fact will be enough to obtain the \( a \ priori \) estimate on \( \tilde{P} + i \tilde{Q} \).

Our last observation is that

\[
\| h \nabla v \|^2 = (\tilde{P}v|v) + \sqrt{(\nabla \varphi)^2} \| v \|^2
\]

leading to

\[
(2.11) \quad \| h \nabla v \|^2 \lesssim \| \tilde{P}v \|^2 + \| v \|^2.
\]

Now, we turn to the proof of the estimate. We have

\[
\| (\tilde{P} + i \tilde{Q})v \|^2 = \| \tilde{P}v \|^2 + \| \tilde{Q}v \|^2 + i(\tilde{Q}v|\tilde{P}v) - i(\tilde{P}v|\tilde{Q}v)
\]

and the magnetic Green formula (2.4) (used in the straightforward case with no potential \( \tilde{P} = h^2 L_{0,-(\nabla \varphi)^2/h^2} \)), together with the fact that \( v|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \), gives

\[
(\tilde{Q}v|\tilde{P}v) = (\tilde{P}\tilde{Q}v|v) - h^2(\tilde{Q}v|\partial_v v)_{\partial \Omega}
\]

and similarly, since \( \tilde{Q} \) is first order, we get

\[
(\tilde{P}v, \tilde{Q}v) = (\tilde{Q}\tilde{P}v, v).
\]

Therefore we have

\[
\| (\tilde{P} + i \tilde{Q})v \|^2 = \| \tilde{P}v \|^2 + \| \tilde{Q}v \|^2 + i[\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}]v|v) + \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon} \| \nabla v \|^2 - \left( C \| \tilde{P}v \| \| v \| + C \| \tilde{Q}v \| \| \nabla v \| \right)
\]

which combined with (2.11), gives when \( \varepsilon \) is small enough

\[
\| (\tilde{P} + i \tilde{Q})v \|^2 + 2h^3(\partial_v v|\partial_v \tilde{P} \partial_v v)_{\partial \Omega} \gtrsim (1 - O(\varepsilon^{-1}h^2)) \| \tilde{P}v \|^2 + \| \tilde{Q}v \|^2 + \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon} (\| v \|^2 + \| h \nabla v \|^2).
\]

Thus, taking \( h \) and \( \varepsilon \) small enough

\[
(2.12) \quad \| (\tilde{P} + i \tilde{Q})v \|^2 + 2h^3(\partial_v v|\partial_v \tilde{P} \partial_v v)_{\partial \Omega} \gtrsim \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon} (\| v \|^2 + \| h \nabla v \|^2).
\]
The last part of the proof is concerned with the additional term $\tilde{R}v$ due to the magnetic potential; from the former inequality we deduce

$$\| (\tilde{P} + i\tilde{Q} + \tilde{R})v \|^2 + h^3(\partial_\nu v|\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi} \partial_\nu v)_{\partial \Omega} \geq \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon} \left( \|v\|^2 + \|h\nabla v\|^2 - O(\varepsilon)\|h^{-1}\tilde{R}v\|^2 \right)$$

and using the fact that $\|h^{-1}\tilde{R}v\|^2 \lesssim \|v\|^2 + \|h\nabla v\|^2$, we obtain

$$\| (\tilde{P} + i\tilde{Q} + \tilde{R})v \|^2 + h^3(\partial_\nu v|\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi} \partial_\nu v)_{\partial \Omega} \geq \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon} \left( \|v\|^2 + \|h\nabla v\|^2 \right)$$

if $\varepsilon$ is chosen small enough. Finally, with $v = e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}u$, we get

$$\| e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}u \|^2 + \|h\nabla e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}u\|^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{h^2}\| e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}h^2\mathcal{L}_{A,q}u \|^2$$

$$+ h\left( e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}\partial_\nu (e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}u)|\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi} \partial_\nu (e^{\tilde{\varphi}/2}u) \right)_{\partial \Omega}$$

this gives the Carleman estimate (2.6) since

$$1 \leq e^{\varphi^2/2\varepsilon} \leq C, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\partial_\nu \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial_\nu \varphi} = 1 + \frac{h}{\varepsilon} \varphi \leq \frac{3}{2}$$

on $\tilde{\Omega}$ for all $h$ small enough. □

We denote by $H_{scl}^1(\Omega)$ the semi-classical Sobolev space of order 1 on $\Omega$ with associated norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H_{scl}^1(\Omega)} = \|h\nabla u\|^2 + \|u\|^2$$

and by $H_{scl}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the semi-classical Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with associated norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H_{scl}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\langle hD \rangle^s u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int (1 + h^2\xi^2)^s |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$  

Changing $\varphi$ into $-\varphi$, we may rewrite the Carleman estimate in the following convenient way

$$\sqrt{h} \| \sqrt{\partial_\nu \varphi} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}/2} \partial_\nu u \|_{L^2(\partial \Omega_+)} + \| e^{-\tilde{\varphi}/2} u \|^2_{H_{scl}^1(\Omega)} \lesssim h\| e^{-\tilde{\varphi}/2} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u \| + \sqrt{h} \| \sqrt{-\partial_\nu \varphi} e^{-\tilde{\varphi}/2} \partial_\nu u \|^2_{L^2(\partial \Omega_-)}.$$  

By regularization, this estimate is still valid for $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$. A similar Carleman estimate gives the following solvability result:

\[\text{This is the main difference with respect to the proof of the Carleman estimate in [7].}\]
Proposition 2.4. Let $\varphi$ be a limiting Carleman weight on $\tilde{\Omega}$, let $A$ be a $C^1$ vector field on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $q \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. There exists $h_0$ such that for all $0 \leq h \leq h_0$ and for all $w \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that
\[ h^2L_{A,q}(e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}u) = e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}w \quad \text{and} \quad h\|u\|_{H^1_{\text{sc}}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|w\|. \]

Proof. We need the following Carleman estimate
\[ \|v\| \lesssim h\|e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}L_{A,q}e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}v\|_{H^{-1}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall v \in C^\infty_0(\Omega). \]

Let $\Omega \Subset \tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$, assume that we have extended $A$ to a $C^1$ vector field on $\hat{\Omega}$ and $q$ to a $L^\infty$ function on $\hat{\Omega}$. Let $\chi \in C^\infty_0(\hat{\Omega})$ equal 1 on $\Omega$. With the notations used in the proof of proposition 2.3 we have
\[ \langle hD \rangle^{-1}(\tilde{P} + i\tilde{Q})\langle hD \rangle = \tilde{P} + i\tilde{Q} + hR_1 \]
where $R_1$ is a semi-classical pseudo-differential operator of order 1, therefore from estimate (2.12) we deduce
\[ \|\langle hD \rangle v\|^2_{H^{-1}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \gtrsim \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}(\|v\|^2_{H^1_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} - O(\varepsilon)\|R_1 v\|^2) \gtrsim \frac{h^2}{\varepsilon}\|v\|^2_{H^1_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \]
for any $v \in C^\infty_0(\hat{\Omega})$, if $h$ and $\varepsilon$ are small enough. Besides, we have
\[ \|\langle hD \rangle v\|^2_{H^{-1}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim h\|v\| \]
therefore if $\varepsilon$ is small enough, we have
\[ \|\langle hD \rangle v\| \lesssim h\|e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}L_{A,q}e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}\langle hD \rangle v\|_{H^{-1}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \]
for any $v \in C^\infty_0(\hat{\Omega})$. Hence if $u \in C^\infty_0(\Omega)$, taking $v = \chi\langle hD \rangle^{-1}u \in C^\infty_0(\hat{\Omega})$ in the former estimate, and using the fact that
\[ \|(1 - \chi)\langle hD \rangle^{-1}u\|_{H^1_{\text{sc}}} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)\|u\| \]
we obtain
\[ \|u\| \lesssim h\|e^{-\frac{\varphi}{h}}L_{A,q}e^{\frac{\varphi}{h}}u\|_{H^{-1}_{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \forall u \in C^\infty_0(\Omega). \]
This gives the Carleman estimate (2.14) since $e^{\varphi/h} = e^{\varphi^2/\varepsilon}e^{\varphi/h}$. Classical arguments involving the Hahn-Banach theorem give the solvability result. \qed
3. Construction of solutions by complex geometrical optics

The goal of this section is to construct solutions of the magnetic Schrödinger equation of the form (2.1). To do so we take \( \psi \) to be a solution of the eikonal equation

\[
p(x, \nabla \psi(x)) + iq(x, \nabla \psi(x)) = 0
\]

such solutions exist since \( \{p, q\} = 0 \) when \( p = q = 0 \). More precisely, the eikonal equation reads

\[
(\nabla \psi)^2 = (\nabla \varphi)^2, \quad \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi = 0.
\]

In fact, in the remainder of this article, we fix the limiting Carleman weight to be

\[
\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log(x - x_0)^2.
\]

For such a choice of \( \varphi \), the second part of the eikonal equation is merely the fact that \( \psi \) is a function of the angular variable \( (x - x_0)/|x - x_0| \) and we can actually give an explicit solution of the eikonal equation

\[
\psi(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\omega \cdot (x - x_0)}{\sqrt{(x - x_0)^2 - (\omega \cdot (x - x_0))^2}}
\]

where \( \omega \in S^{n-1} \). Let us be more precise about the set where \( \omega \) may vary, keeping in mind that we want this function to be smooth — in particular, we have to ensure that \( \omega \neq (x - x_0)/|x - x_0| \) whenever \( x \in \overline{\Omega} \).

For that purpose, let \( r_0 > 0 \) be large enough so that \( \overline{\Omega} \subset B(x_0, r_0) \), let \( H \) denote a hyperplane separating \( x_0 \) and \( \text{ch}(\Omega) \), and \( H^+ \) the open half space containing \( \overline{\Omega} \) (and therefore \( x_0 \notin H^+ \)), we set

\[
\Gamma = \{ \theta \in S^{n-1} : x_0 + r_0 \theta \in H^+ \}
\]

and \( \hat{\Gamma} \) the image of \( \Gamma \) under the antipodal application. Let \( \omega_0 \in S^{n-1}\setminus(\Gamma \cup \hat{\Gamma}) \) and \( \Gamma_0 \) be a neighborhood of \( \omega_0 \) in \( S^{n-1}\setminus(\Gamma \cup \hat{\Gamma}) \), then the distance \( \Gamma \times \Gamma_0 \ni (\theta, \omega) \to d_{S^{n-1}}(\theta, \omega) \) is a \( C^\infty \) function. Moreover, \( \tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega} = x_0 + \mathbb{R}_+ \Gamma \) hence we have \( (x - x_0)/|x - x_0| \in \Gamma \) for all \( x \) in the open neighborhood \( \Omega \) of \( \tilde{\Omega} \), thus \( \psi \) depends smoothly on the variables \( (x, \omega) \) on \( \tilde{\Omega} \times \Gamma_0 \).

**Remark 3.1.** Suppose that \( x_0 = 0 \) and \( \omega = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \), which we can always assume by doing a translation and a rotation. Notice that by
considering the complex variable $z = x + i|x'| \in \mathbb{C}$ (with $x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$), we have

$$\varphi = \log |z| = \text{Re} \log z, \quad \psi = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{\text{Re} z}{\text{Im} z} = \text{Im} \log z$$

when $\text{Im} z > 0$ (note that $\psi = \arctan(\text{Im} z / \text{Re} z)$ on the first quadrant $\text{Re} z > 0$, $\text{Im} z > 0$) hence $\varphi + i\psi = \log z$.

With such $\varphi$ and $\psi$, we have

$$h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^{\frac{1}{h}(\varphi + i\psi)} = e^{\frac{1}{h}(\varphi + i\psi)} \left( (\nabla \psi - i\nabla \varphi) \cdot (D + A) \cdot (D + A) + h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} \right)$$

thus we will have

$$h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{1}{h}(\varphi + i\psi)} a) = \mathcal{O}(h^2) e^{\frac{1}{h}(\varphi + i\psi)}$$

if $a$ is a $C^2$ solution of the first transport equation, given by

$$\left((D + A) \cdot (\nabla \psi - i\nabla \varphi) + (\nabla \psi - i\nabla \varphi) \cdot (D + A)\right) a = 0.$$

We write the latter as a vector field equation

$$(3.4) \quad (\nabla \psi - i\nabla \varphi) \cdot Da + (\nabla \psi - i\nabla \varphi) \cdot Aa + \frac{1}{2h}(\Delta \psi - i\Delta \varphi)a = 0.$$  

We seek $a$ under exponential form $a = e^\Phi$, which means finding $\Phi$ solution of

$$(3.5) \quad (\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \Phi + i(\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \cdot A + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta \varphi + i\Delta \psi) = 0.$$  

on $\Omega$. The function $\Phi$ has $C^2$ regularity since the magnetic potential $A$ is $C^2$.

**Remark 3.2.** Considering the complex variable $z = x_1 + i|x'|$ as in remark 3.1 with $\varphi + i\psi = \log z$, we may seek $\Phi$ as a solution of the following Cauchy-Riemann equation in the $z$ variable

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{(n - 2)}{2(z - \bar{z})} + \frac{1}{2} A \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) = 0$$

where $e_r = (0, \theta)$ is the unit vector pointing in the direction of the $r$-axis. Indeed, if we denote by $(x_1, r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^{n-2}$ a choice of
cylindrical coordinates on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( z = x_1 + ir \), we have
\[
\nabla (\varphi + i\psi) \cdot \nabla = \frac{\partial \log z}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \log z}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{2}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}
\]
and
\[
\Delta (\varphi + i\psi) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{(n-2)}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{S^{n-2}} \right) (\varphi + i\psi)
\]
\[
= \frac{n-2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log z = \frac{(n-2)i}{r z}.
\]

**Remark 3.3.** Note that the set of solutions of (3.4) is invariant under the multiplication by a function \( g \) satisfying
\[
(\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla g = 0.
\]
In the setting of remark 3.1, this condition reads
\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0
\]
on \( \Omega \), i.e. \( g \) is a holomorphic function of \( z = x_1 + i|x'| \).

Having chosen the phase \( \varphi + i\psi \) and the amplitude \( e^\Phi \), we obtain an approximate solution of the magnetic Schrödinger equation
\[
h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} (e^{\frac{i}{h} (\varphi + i\psi)}) e^\Phi = e^{\frac{i}{h} (\varphi + i\psi)} h^2 \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^\Phi = O(h^2) e^{\frac{i}{h}}
\]
(recall that \( \Phi \) is \( C^2 \) which we can transform into an exact solution thanks to proposition 2.4) there exists \( r(x, h) \in H^1(\Omega) \) such that
\[
h^2 e^{\frac{i}{h} (\varphi + i\psi)} \mathcal{L}_{A,q} r(x, h) = -e^{\frac{i}{h} (\varphi + i\psi)} h \mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^\Phi
\]
and \( \|r\|_{H^1_{ad}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L}_{A,q} e^\Phi\| \).

We sum up the result of this section in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \text{ch} \Omega \), there exists \( h_0 > 0 \) and \( r \) such that \( \|r\|_{H^1_{ad}(\Omega)} = O(1) \) and
\[
u(x, h) = e^{\frac{i}{h} (\varphi + i\psi)} (e^{\Phi(x)} + hr(x, h))
\]
is a solution of the equation \( \mathcal{L}_{A,q} u = 0 \), when \( h \leq h_0 \), and \( \varphi \) is the limiting Carleman weight (3.2), \( \psi \) is given by (3.3) and \( \Phi \) is a solution of the Cauchy-Riemann equation (3.5).

Note that with \( \varphi \) as in (3.2) the parts of the boundary \( \partial \Omega_{\pm} \) delimited by the sign of \( \partial_{\nu} \varphi \) correspond to the front and back sides of the boundary
\[
\partial \Omega_{-} = F(x_0), \quad \partial \Omega_{+} = \overline{B(x_0)}.
\]
4. TOWARDS RECOVERING THE MAGNETIC FIELD

Let \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \), suppose that the assumptions of theorem 1.1 are fulfilled and consider

\[
F_\varepsilon = \{ x \in \partial \Omega : (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) < \varepsilon |x - x_0|^2 \} \supset F(x_0)
\]

with \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough so that \( F_\varepsilon \subset \tilde{F} \), therefore satisfying

(4.1) \[ N_{A_1,q_1}f(x) = N_{A_2,q_2}f(x), \quad \forall x \in F_\varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial \Omega). \]

We may assume without loss of generality that the normal components of \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are equal on the boundary

\[ A_1 \cdot \nu = A_2 \cdot \nu \text{ on } \partial \Omega \tag{4.2} \]

since we can do a gauge transformation in the magnetic potential

\[ N_{A,q} = N_{A+\nabla\Psi,q} \]

(see (1.3) in the introduction) with \( \Psi \in C^3(\tilde{\Omega}) \) such that \( \Psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \) and \( \partial_\nu \Psi \) is a prescribed \( C^2 \) function on the boundary.\(^5\) We extend \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) as \( C^2 \) compactly supported functions in \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

We consider two geometrical optics solutions

\[ u_j(x, h) = e^{\frac{i}{h}(\varphi_j + i\psi_j)}(e^{i\Phi_j} + hr_j(x, h)) \]

of the equations \( \mathcal{L}_{A_1,q_1}u_1 = 0 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_{A_2,q_2}u_2 = 0 \) constructed in the former section with phases

(4.3) \[ \varphi_2(x) = -\varphi_1(x) = \varphi(x) = \log |x - x_0|, \]
\[ \psi_2(x) = \psi_1(x) = \psi(x) = d_{S^{n-1}}\left(\frac{x - x_0}{|x - x_0|}, \omega\right), \]

defined on a neighborhood \( \tilde{\Omega} \) of \( \Omega \) (and \( \omega \) varies in \( \Gamma_0 \)), and where \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \Phi_2 \) are solutions of the equations

(4.4) \[ (\nabla \varphi - i\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \Phi_1 + i(\nabla \varphi - i\nabla \psi) \cdot A_1 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\varphi - i\psi) = 0 \]
\[ (\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \Phi_2 + i(\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \cdot A_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\varphi + i\psi) = 0. \]

The remainders \( r_j \) are bounded independently of \( h \) in \( H^1_{sc} \). Note that it implies the following estimate on \( u_j \)

(4.5) \[ \|e^{-\frac{\varphi_j}{h}} u_j\|_{H^1_{sc}} = O(1). \]

---

\(^5\)After the use of a partition of unity and a transfer to \( \{x_1 \geq 0\} \), this is theorem 1.3.3. in [6].

\(^6\)Note that \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) do not necessarily agree on \( \partial \Omega \).
By \( w \) we denote the solution to the equation
\[
L_{A_1,q_1} w = 0, \quad w|_{\partial \Omega} = u_2|_{\partial \Omega}
\]
so that \( N_{A_1,q_1}(u_2|_{\partial \Omega}) = (\partial_\nu w)|_{\partial \Omega} + iA_1 \cdot \nu u_2|_{\partial \Omega} \). The assumption (4.1) means that
\[
\partial_\nu (w - u_2)(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in F_\varepsilon
\]
(here we use the fact (4.2) that the normal components of the magnetic potentials coincide on the boundary). Besides, we have
\[
(4.6) \quad L_{A_1,q_1}(w - u_2) = -L_{A_1,q_1} u_2 = (A_2 - A_1) \cdot Du_2 + D \cdot (A_2 - A_1) u_2 + (A_2^2 - A_1^2 + q_2 - q_1) u_2
\]
and hence we deduce
\[
(4.7) \quad (L_{A_1,q_1}(w - u_2)|_{u_1}) = \left( (A_2 - A_1) \cdot D u_2|_{u_1} + (u_2) (A_2 - A_1) \cdot D u_1 \right)
+ \frac{1}{i} \left( (A_2 - A_1) \cdot \nu u_2|_{u_1} \right)_{\partial \Omega} + \left( (A_2^2 - A_1^2 + q_2 - q_1) u_2|_{u_1} \right).
\]
The magnetic Green’s formula gives
\[
(4.8) \quad (L_{A_1,q_1}(w - u_2)|_{u_1}) = \left( w - u_2 \right) \left( L_{A_1,q_1} u_1 \right) - \left( (\partial_\nu + i A_1 \cdot \nu) (w - u_2)|_{u_1} \right)_{\partial \Omega}
- \left( \partial_\nu (w - u_2)|_{u_1} \right)_{\partial \Omega \setminus F_\varepsilon}
\]
and combining (4.7) and (4.8), we finally obtain
\[
(4.9) \quad \int_{\partial \Omega \setminus F_\varepsilon} \partial_\nu (u_2 - w) \bar{u}_1 \, d\sigma(x) = \int_{\Omega} (A_2^2 - A_1^2 + q_2 - q_1) u_2 \bar{u}_1 \, dx
+ \int_{\Omega} (A_2 - A_1) \cdot (Du_2 \bar{u}_1 + u_2 \overline{Du_1}) \, dx.
\]
With our choice of \( \varphi_2 = \varphi = \log |x - x_0| \), we have
\[
F_\varepsilon \supset F(x_0) = \partial \Omega_- \quad \text{thus} \quad \partial \Omega \setminus F_\varepsilon \subset \partial \Omega_+
\]
and moreover \( \partial_\nu \varphi > \varepsilon \) on \( \partial \Omega \setminus F_\varepsilon \) therefore the modulus of the left-hand side in (4.9) is bounded by
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left\| \sqrt{\partial_\nu \varphi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} \partial_\nu (u_2 - w)} \right\|_{\partial \Omega_+} \times \left\| e^{\theta_1} + h r_1 \right\|_{\partial \Omega_+} \leq \left\| e^{\theta_1} \right\|_{\partial \Omega_+} + \left\| r_1 \right\|_{H_{q_1}^1}.
\]
which, in virtue of the Carleman estimate (2.13), is bounded by a constant times
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left( \sqrt{h} \| e^{-\frac{\pi}{h}} L_{A_1,q_1} (u_2 - w) \| + \| \sqrt{-\partial_\nu \varphi} e^{-\frac{\pi}{h}} \partial_\nu (u_2 - w) \|_{\partial \Omega} \right).
\]

= 0 because of (4.11).

In view of (4.6) and of (4.5) the former expression is \( O(h^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \). Therefore we can conclude that the right-hand side of (4.9) is \( O(h^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \). This constitutes an important difference with [7], where the corresponding term was \( O(h) \).

More directly, the first and second right-hand side terms of (4.9) are respectively \( O(1) \) and \( O(h^{-1}) \) as may be seen from (4.5). It turns out that the information obtained when disregarding the bounded term is enough to recover the magnetic field. We multiply (4.9) by \( h \) and let \( h \) tend to 0:

\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \int_\Omega (A_2 - A_1) \cdot hDw_2 \bar{u}_1 + u_2 (A_2 - A_1) \cdot hDu_1 \ dx = 0.
\]

Using the explicit form of the solutions \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \), this further means

\[
(4.10) \quad \int_\Omega (A_2 - A_1) \cdot (\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} \ dx = 0.
\]

Adding the complex conjugate of the first line of (4.4) to the second line, we see that

\[
(4.11) \quad (D + A_2 - A_1) \cdot (\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi)(e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2}) = 0.
\]

As observed in remark 3.3 in the expression for \( u_2 \), we may replace \( e^{\Phi_2} \) by \( e^{\Phi_2} g \) if \( g \) is a solution of \((\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla g = 0\). Then (4.10) can be replaced by

\[
(4.12) \quad \int_\Omega (A_2 - A_1) \cdot (\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} g \ dx = 0.
\]

From equation (4.11), we see that we can replace \( A_2 - A_1 \) by \( i \nabla \) in the former equality

\[
(4.12) \quad \int_\Omega g(x) \nabla \cdot (e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2}(\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi)) \ dx = 0
\]

for all functions \( g \) such that \((\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla g = 0 \) on \( \Omega \).

\(^7\)See (5.16) and the subsequent lines in [7].
Remark 4.1. An integration by parts gives

\[ \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial_\nu \varphi + i \partial_\nu \psi) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} g d\sigma - \int_{\Omega} e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} (\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla g \, dx = 0 \]

hence we have \( \int_{\partial \Omega} (\partial_\nu \varphi + i \partial_\nu \psi) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} g d\sigma = 0 \).

5. Moving to the complex plane

In this section, we follow remark 3.1 and choose to work in the cylindrical coordinates. Let us be more precise: suppose that \( x_0 = 0 \notin \text{ch}(\Omega) \) and that we have picked \( \omega \in S^{n-1} \setminus (\Gamma \cup \tilde{\Gamma}) \) with the notations of section 3. After a rotation, we assume that \( \omega = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \), therefore we have \( \Omega \subset \tilde{\Omega} \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x' \neq 0 \} \).

We choose the following cylindrical coordinates

\[ t = x_1, \quad r = |x'| > 0, \quad \theta = \Theta(x) = \frac{x'}{|x'|} \in S^{n-2}. \]

By Sard’s theorem, the set of critical values of \( \Theta : \Omega \mapsto S^{n-2} \) is of measure 0, therefore the set \( \Omega_{\theta_0} = \Theta^{-1}(\theta_0) = \{ x \in \Omega : x' = r \theta_0, r > 0 \} \) is an open set with smooth boundary for almost every \( \theta_0 \) in \( \Theta(\Omega) \). The result obtained in the former section reads

\[ \int_{S^{n-2}} \int_{\Omega_{\theta_0}} g(x) \nabla_x \cdot \left( e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} (\nabla_x \varphi + i \nabla_x \psi) \right) r^{n-2} dr dt d\theta = 0. \]

and taking \( g = g_1(t, r) \otimes g_2(\theta) \) and varying \( g_2 \) leads to

\[ (5.1) \quad \int\int_{\Omega_{\theta_0}} g(t, r) \nabla_x \cdot \left( e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} (\nabla_x \varphi + i \nabla_x \psi) \right) r^{n-2} dr dt = 0 \]

for any function \( g \) such that \( (\nabla_x \varphi + i \nabla_x \psi) \cdot \nabla_x g = 0 \) on \( \Omega_{\theta_0} \), and this for almost every \( \theta_0 \).

Now we consider the complex variable \( z = t + i r \in \mathbb{C}_+ = \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im} \, w > 0 \} \) and write our results in this setting. Let us recall the results of the computations made in remarks 3.1 and 3.2

\[ \nabla_x (\varphi + i \psi) \cdot \nabla_x = \frac{2}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_x (\varphi + i \psi) = \frac{(n-2)i}{rz} \]

and thus \( \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_x \circ (\varphi + i \psi) = \frac{2}{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{(n-2)i}{rz} \).

Similarly, the functions \( \Phi_j \) satisfy

\[ (5.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2) = \frac{(n-2)}{(z - \bar{z})} + \frac{1}{2} (A_1 - A_2) \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) \]
Finally, (5.1) reads
\[
\int_{\Omega_\theta} g(z) \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{(n-2)}{(z - \bar{z})} \right) (e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2})(z - \bar{z})^{n-2} d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 0,
\]
for any \( g \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_\theta) \). Replacing the holomorphic function \( g/z \) on \( \Omega_\theta \) by \( g \), we can drop the factor \( 1/z \).

If \( g \) is a holomorphic function, we have
\[
d(e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} g(z)(z - \bar{z})^{n-2} dz)
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} \right) g(z) d\bar{z} \wedge dz
= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{n-2}{z - \bar{z}} \right) (e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} g(z)(z - \bar{z})^{n-2} d\bar{z} \wedge dz
\]
therefore the Stokes' formula implies
\[
\int_{\Omega_\theta} g(z) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{(n-2)}{(z - \bar{z})} \right) (e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2})(z - \bar{z})^{n-2} d\bar{z} \wedge dz
= \int_{\partial \Omega_\theta} g(z) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} dz.
\]

Together with (5.4) this gives
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega_\theta} g(z) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} dz = 0 \tag{5.5}
\]
for any \( g \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_\theta) \).

**Lemma 5.1.** There exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function \( F \) on \( \Omega_\theta \), continuous on \( \bar{\Omega}_\theta \), whose restriction to \( \partial \Omega_\theta \) is equal to \( (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} \).

**Proof.** We denote \( f(z) = (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} \) and consider the Cauchy integral operator
\[
C(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \Omega_\theta} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \partial \Omega_\theta.
\]
The function \( C(f) \) is holomorphic inside and outside \( \Omega_\theta \) and the Plemelj-Sokhotski-Privalov formula reads on the boundary
\[
\lim_{\substack{z \to z_0 \\ z \in \Omega_\theta}} C(f)(z) - \lim_{\substack{z \to z_0 \\ z \in \bar{\Omega}_\theta}} C(f)(z) = f(z_0), \quad \forall z_0 \in \partial \Omega_\theta. \tag{5.6}
\]

\footnote{The result of this computation is the transcription of the fact that the formal adjoint of \((\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla\) is \( \nabla \cdot (\nabla \varphi + i\nabla \psi) \) in the complex setting, where the measure is \( r^{n-2} d\bar{z} \wedge dz \).}
The function $\zeta \to (\zeta - z)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $\Omega_\theta$ when $z \notin \Omega_\theta$ hence \((5.5)\) implies that $C(f)(z) = 0$ when $z \notin \Omega_\theta$. The second limit in \((5.6)\) is 0, thus $F = C(f)$ is a holomorphic function on $\Omega_\theta$ whose restriction to the boundary agrees with $f$.

It remains to prove that $F$ does not vanish on $\Omega_\theta$. This is clear by the argument principle since

$$\text{vararg}_{\partial \Omega_\theta} F = \text{vararg}_{\partial \Omega_\theta} f = 0$$

and $F$ is holomorphic. \(\square\)

In particular, with the former function, we have on the boundary

$$\frac{1}{F(z)}(z - \bar{z})^{n-2}e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} = 1, \quad \forall z \in \partial \Omega_\theta$$

which implies

$$\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \log(z - \bar{z})^{n-2} = \log F(z), \quad \forall z \in \partial \Omega_\theta$$

with $\log F$ a holomorphic function on $\Omega_\theta$, and therefore

$$\int_{\partial \Omega_\theta} g(z)\left(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \log(z - \bar{z})^{n-2}\right) dz = 0.$$

An application of Stokes’ formula gives

$$\iint_{\Omega_\theta} g(z)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2) - \frac{n-2}{z - \bar{z}}\right) d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 0$$

hence using the equation \((5.3)\), this implies

\[(5.7)\] \(\iint_{\Omega_\theta} g(z)(A_1 - A_2) \cdot (e_1 + ie_r) d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 0.\)

With $g = 1$, the former equality reads

$$\iint_{\Omega_\theta} (t + ir)(\nabla_x \varphi + i\nabla_x \psi) \cdot (A_1 - A_2) dt dr = 0.$$  

Denote by $P_\theta = \text{span}(\omega, e_r)$ the plane along the axis directed by $\omega = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$, and by $P_\theta^+$ the half plane where $x \cdot e_r > 0$, then $\Omega_\theta = \Omega \cap \{x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^n : x' = r\theta, r > 0\} = \Omega \cap P_\theta^+$. Let $\pi_\theta$ be the projection on $P_\theta$ and $d\lambda_\theta$ the measure on the plane, then \((5.7)\) (with $g = 1$) implies

$$\int_{P_\theta \cap \Omega} \pi_\theta(A_1 - A_2) d\lambda_\theta = 0.$$
for almost every $\theta \in S^{n-2}$, hence for all $\theta \in S^{n-2}$ by continuity. The former may be rephrased under the form
\[
\int_{x_0+P} \xi \cdot (1_\Omega(A_1 - A_2)) \, d\lambda_P = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in P
\]
for all linear planes $P$ containing $\omega = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We can also let $x_0$ vary in a small neighborhood of $0 \notin \text{ch}(\Omega)$ and $\omega$ vary in a neighborhood $\Gamma_0$ of $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ on the sphere $S^{n-1}$.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $A$ be a $C^1$ vector field on $\bar{\Omega}$. If
\[
\int_{P \cap \Omega} \xi \cdot A \, d\lambda_P = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in T_x(P)
\]
for all planes $P$ such that $d((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta$ then $dA = 0$ on $\Omega$.

The proof of this lemma is based on the following microlocal version of Helgason’s support theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, suppose that the Radon transform of $f$ satisfies
\[
\mathcal{R}f(H) = \int_H f \, d\lambda_H = 0
\]
for all hyperplanes $H$ in some neighbourhood of a hyperplane $H_0$ then
\[
N^*(H_0) \cap \text{WF}_a(f) = \emptyset
\]
where $N^*(H_0)$ denotes the conormal bundle of $H_0$.

The proof of this result may be found in [2] (see proposition 1) or in [5] (see section 6). We will also need the microlocal version of Holmgren’s theorem (see [5], section 1 or [6] section 8.5).

**Theorem 5.4.** Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then we have
\[
N(\text{supp } f) \subset \text{WF}_a(f)
\]
where $N(\text{supp } f)$ is the normal set of the support of $f$.

These two results may be combined to provide a proof of Helgason’s support theorem (see [2] and [5]). We also refer to the book [4] for a review on Radon transforms.

**Proof of lemma 5.2.** Let $\chi \in C^\infty_0(|x| < \frac{1}{2})$ and $\chi_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-n} \chi(\cdot / \varepsilon)$ be a standard regularization, one has
\[
\int_P \xi \cdot (\chi_\varepsilon * 1_\Omega A) \, d\lambda_P = \varepsilon^{-n} \int \chi \left( \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \left( \int_{(-y+P) \cap \Omega} \xi \cdot A \, d\lambda_{-y+P} \right) dy = 0
\]
when \( d(((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta - \varepsilon \). Therefore it suffices to prove the result when \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( A \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \) since \( d(\chi_\varepsilon * 1_\Omega A) \) tends to \( dA \) as a distribution when \( \varepsilon \) tends to 0.

Our first step is to prove that
\[
\iota^*_H dA = 0 (5.9)
\]
for any subspace \( H \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) of dimension 3 such that \( d(((0, e_1), T(H)) < \delta \). Here \( \iota_H \) denotes the injection of \( H \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). For any plane \( P \subset H \) such that \( d(((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta \), we have
\[
\int_P \langle dA, \xi \wedge \eta \rangle d\lambda_P = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{t\xi+P} \eta \cdot A d\lambda_P - \int_{t\eta+P} \xi \cdot A d\lambda_P \right)_{t=0}
\]
when \( \xi, \eta \in T_x(H) \). The space \( H \) is of dimension 3 so we can assume that either \( \eta \) or \( \xi \) belongs to \( T_x(P) \), thus the former expression is zero because of (5.8) and of the fact that whenever \( \eta \in T_x(P) \)
\[
\int_{t\eta+P} \xi \cdot A d\lambda_P \quad \text{is constant.}
\]

Therefore, if \( R_H \) denotes the Radon transform in \( H \), we obtain
\[
R_H(\langle \iota^*_H dA, \xi \wedge \eta \rangle)(P) = 0
\]
for any plane \( P \subset H \) such that \( d(((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta \) and for any \( \xi, \eta \in T_x(H) \). Combining theorems 5.3 and 5.4 we obtain
\[
N^*(P) \cap N(\text{supp} \langle \iota^*_H dA, \xi \wedge \eta \rangle) = \emptyset
\]
for any plane \( P \subset H \) such that \( d(((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta \). This gives (5.9) since such a family of planes sweep other \( \Omega \cap H \) and the support of \( A \) is on one side of at least one such plane.

The result (5.9) implies in particular that
\[
\langle dA(x), \xi \wedge \eta \rangle = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall (\xi, \eta) \in S^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, |\xi - e_1| < \delta
\]
and therefore \( dA = 0 \) by linearity.

\section{Recovering the Potential}

End of the proof of theorem 1.1. Applying this lemma, we finally obtain
\[
dA_1 = dA_2 \quad \text{on } \Omega
\]
therefore the difference of the two potentials is a gradient \( A_1 - A_2 = \nabla \Psi \) (recall that \( \Omega \) is simply connected). The identity (5.7) now reads
\[
\int_{\Omega_\theta} g(z) \partial_2 \Psi(z, \theta) d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 0
\]
for any holomorphic function $g$ on $\Omega_\theta$ and by Stokes’ theorem we get
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega_\theta} g(z) \Psi(z, \theta) \, dz = 0.
\]
Reasoning as in the beginning of lemma 5.1, there exists a holomorphic function $\tilde{\Psi} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_\theta)$ such that $\tilde{\Psi}|_{\partial \Omega_\theta} = \Psi|_{\partial \Omega_\theta}$. Now $\Psi$ is real-valued, and since $\tilde{\Psi}$ is real-valued on $\partial \Omega_\theta$ and harmonic, it is real-valued everywhere. The only real-valued holomorphic functions are the constant ones, so $\tilde{\Psi}$ and hence $\Psi$ is constant on $\partial \Omega_\theta$. Varying $\theta$ and also slightly $x_0$ and $\omega$, we get that $\Psi$ is constant on the boundary $\partial \Omega$. We may assume then that $\Psi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$.

By a gauge transformation, we may assume that $\Psi = 0$, thus $A_1 = A_2$. We could almost directly apply the result in [7] to recover the identity of the two potentials $q_1 = q_2$, if it were not for the presence of the two magnetic potentials in the equations. Instead we go back to the limit induced by (4.9). The second right-hand side term is now zero. The left-hand side is now $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{h})$ since the $\mathcal{O}(h^{-1})$ term in (4.6) is zero and we can reproduce the arguments given after (4.9). Therefore we obtain
\[
(6.1) \quad \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\Omega} (q_2 - q_1) u_2 \bar{u}_1 \, dx = 0
\]
thus
\[
\int_{\Omega} (q_2 - q_1) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} \, dx = 0.
\]
As observed in remark 3.3 we may replace $e^{\Phi_2}$ by $e^{\Phi_2} g$ if $g$ is a solution of $(\nabla \varphi + i \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla g = 0$. Then the former can be replaced by
\[
\int_{\Omega} (q_2 - q_1) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} g(x) \, dx = 0.
\]
Moving to the complex plane, as in section 5, this reads
\[
\iint_{\Omega_\theta} (q_2 - q_1) g(z) e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2} (z - \bar{z})^{n-2} \, d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 0
\]
for any holomorphic function $g$ on $\Omega_\theta$. But the transport equation (5.3) now reads
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} ((z - \bar{z})^{n-2} e^{\Phi_1 + \Phi_2}) = 0
\]
therefore if we take $g = (z - \bar{z})^{-n+2} e^{-\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}$, we obtain
\[
\iint_{\Omega_\theta} q(t, r, \theta) \, dt \, dr = 0
\]
with $q = q_1 - q_2$. As in section 5, varying $x_0$ and $\omega$, this may be interpreted as

$$\int_P 1_\Omega q \, d\lambda_P = 0$$

for any plane $P$ such that $d((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta$. This implies that for any subspace $H$ of dimension 3 such that $d((0, e_1), T(H)) < \delta$ we have

$$\mathcal{R}_H(1_\Omega q)(P) = \int_P 1_\Omega q \, d\lambda_P = 0$$

for any plane such that $d((0, e_1), T(P)) < \delta$. Regularizing and applying theorems 5.3 and 5.4 as in the proof of lemma 5.2, we get

$$N^*(P) \cap N(\text{supp}(1_\Omega q)|_H) = \emptyset$$

and therefore $1_\Omega q = 0$ on $H$ leading to $q = 0$ on $\Omega$. This ends the proof of theorem 1.1.
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