Spatial concentration and distribution of phase singularities in human atrial fibrillation: Insights for the AF mechanism
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Abstract
Background: Atrial fibrillation (AF) is characterized by the repetitive regeneration of unstable rotational events, the pivot of which are known as phase singularities (PSs). The spatial concentration and distribution of PSs have not been systematically investigated using quantitative statistical approaches.

Objectives: We utilized a geospatial statistical approach to determine the presence of local spatial concentration and global clustering of PSs in biatrial human AF recordings.

Methods: 64-electrode conventional basket (~5 min, n = 18 patients, persistent AF) recordings were studied. Phase maps were produced using a Hilbert-transform based approach. PSs were characterized spatially using the following approaches: (i) local “hotspots” of high phase singularity (PS) concentration using Getis-Ord Gi* (Z ≥ 1.96, P ≤ .05) and (ii) global spatial clustering using Moran's I (inverse distance matrix).

Results: Episodes of AF were analyzed from basket catheter recordings (H: 41 epochs, 120 000 s, n = 18 patients). The Getis-Ord Gi* statistic showed local PS hotspots in 12/41 basket recordings. As a metric of spatial clustering, Moran's I showed an overall mean of 0.033 (95% CI: 0.0003-0.065), consistent with the notion of complete spatial randomness.

Conclusion: Using a systematic, quantitative geospatial statistical approach, evidence for the existence of spatial concentrations (“hotspots”) of PSs were detectable in human AF, along with evidence of spatial clustering. Geospatial statistical approaches offer a new approach to map and ablate PS clusters using substrate-based approaches.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is characterized by irregular, disorganized electrical activation of the atrium. A defining characteristic of AF is the presence of unstable local re-entrant circuits that form and re-form continuously during ongoing fibrillation. The pivot of such re-entrant circuits is known as the phase singularity (PS).

In the past decade, there has been sustained interest in the possibility of mapping rotational activity as potential drivers of AF, and although initially promising, clinical findings have been difficult to uniformly reproduce. A key challenge is that in many studies, rotational events have been found to be temporally unstable, which has constrained the ability to reproducibly ablate rotational drivers.

Recently, there has been emerging interest in the notion that even if temporally unstable, rotational events may preferentially recur in the spatial context of critical substrates within the atrium, under the hypothesis that AF may be driven by intra-mural drivers attached to specific regions of microscopic scar within the atria. An attractive potential corollary of this concept is that these critical regions of substrate could possibly be identified and therefore targeted therapeutically to modify clinical outcomes.

To date, the spatial distribution of transient rotational events within the atrium has been studied using qualitative approaches to understand potential localization. We reasoned that the use of quantitative methods to identify concentrations of PS formation would have the potential to augment substrate-based ablation approaches by detecting areas with higher-than-normal PS spatial concentrations. As such, in this study, we sought to test the hypothesis that quantitative approaches from geospatial statistics could potentially identify areas of PS concentration and clustering patterns in human persistent AF.

Geospatial statistical methods have been applied to identify spatial concentration and clustering patterns in a range of disciplines including geography, political science, economics, and criminology. We hypothesized that a similar approach would identify hotspot formation and clustering of PS formation in human AF. Furthermore, utilization of a quantitative statistical approach to determine spatial associations could (i) provide an objective measure, avoiding potential heuristic bias associated with qualitative evaluation; (ii) provide a quantitative hierarchy of sites of interest; that (iii) be incorporated into automated hotspot and cluster analysis.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Data acquisition

2.1.1 | Human AF recordings

Basket data
This study utilized a combined cohort from Flinders University and Hamburg University. All data were obtained with written informed consent, with study approval provided by local human ethics committees. Patients underwent biatrial mapping using 64-electrode basket catheters (Constellation, Boston Scientific, MA, 48 mm [4-mm spacing], 60 mm [5-mm spacing]) based on pre-procedural computed tomographic scans of atrial dimensions. Unipolar electrograms were recorded at a sampling frequency of 2000 kHz in induced or spontaneous AF for at least 5 min. Five-minute recordings were taken in the anterior left atrium (LA), posterior LA, and right atrium (RA). Anatomical stability was verified by regular fluoroscopic visualization and in Velocity (Abbott, IL, USA). Signals were filtered from 0.5 to 500 Hz with a sampling rate of 1000 Hz.

2.2 | Signal processing

2.2.1 | Phase calculation

All signal processing was conducted in MATLAB (version 9.3, Mathworks Inc, Natick, MA, USA). Unipolar electrograms underwent signal pre-processing filtered with 5- to 15-Hz third-order Butterworth band pass filters and 2.5- to 30-Hz third-order Butterworth band pass filters. Signals underwent QRS subtraction. Sinusoidal recomposition was performed. Phase reconstruction was performed using the Hilbert transform. PS detection and tracking were performed using previously described methods.

2.3 | Geospatial analysis

2.3.1 | Getis-Ord G* as a measure of spatial concentration for hotspot and cold spot analysis

To check for the local concentrations of low or high phase singularities (PSs) (cold spot and hotspot analysis), the Getis-Ord G* statistic was used. The Getis-Ord G* statistic works to detect the presence of local hotspots and cold spots in a dataset. A hotspot is a spatial region with a statistically significant higher standardized z-score than immediately surrounding areas for the variable of interest. A cold spot is a spatial region with a statistically significant lower standardized z-score than immediately surrounding areas for the variable of interest.

For this study, the resultant z-score identified locations as having high or low values of PSs spatially by comparing each feature in the dataset with neighboring features. Locations were defined as physical electrode in the anatomic map. To be considered a hot or cold spot, statistically significant z-scores needed to be surrounded by other statistically significant z-scores.

To calculate the G* statistic, the following formula was implemented:

\[
G^*_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij}z_j - \bar{z}_{W_i}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij}^2 - (\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij})^2}{n-1}}}
\]  (1)
Here, $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_i x_i}{n}$, $S = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_i x_i^2}{n} - (\bar{X})^2}$ and $x_i$ represented the attribute value for the element $i$. Since the $\text{G}_i^*$ statistic is a $z$-score, no further calculations were required. A schematic showing Getis-Ord $\text{G}_i^*$ is shown in Figure 1.

### 2.3.2 | Moran’s I

To examine spatial clustering, we used Moran’s $I$,$^{26}$ which is a measure of spatial autocorrelation. Spatial autocorrelation is an important parameter describing the degree of similarity between values of a given variable in one location and its surrounding neighbors.$^{27}$ Positive spatial autocorrelation occurs when neighboring values tend to have similar values (i.e., clustering of like values), whereas negative spatial autocorrelation arises when neighboring values have dissimilar values.$^{28}$

Specifically, the concept of spatial clustering is the process of grouping objects into classes called clusters such that objects within a cluster have high similarity to one another but are dissimilar to objects in other clusters.$^{29}$ Moran’s $I$ can distinguish three major types of patterns in spatial distribution: (i) spatially clumped or clustered data, (ii) uniformly distributed data, and (iii) randomly distributed data (Figure 2). Moran’s $I$ ranges between negative one and positive one depending on the degree of spatial autocorrelation observed globally. A schematic illustrating this can be seen in Figure 2.

Moran’s $I$ is a correlation coefficient measuring the global covariance of values in a dataset:

$$ I = \frac{1}{\sum_i \sum_j w_{ij}} \frac{\sum_i \sum_j w_{ij} (x_i - \bar{X})(x_j - \bar{X})}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{X})^2} $$

(2)

Here, $n$ was defined as the number of spatial features $x_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., N$, while $\bar{X}$ represents the mean of $x_i$, where $x_i - \bar{X}$ is the deviation of an attribute for feature $i$ from its mean. $w_{ij}$ is a spatial weights matrix.

### 2.3.3 | Spatial weights matrix

Calculation of Moran’s $I$ relies on a measure of the configuration of the spatial units being studied, often referred to as a spatial weights matrix ($w_{ij}$).$^{27}$ Spatial weights matrices represent the spatial weight between feature $i$ and feature $j$, recording the spatial association among spatial units being studied.$^{30}$ In order to assess the presence of global clustering of like values in PS formation, we calculated Moran’s $I$ using the inverse distance weights matrix. The inverse distance weights matrix was constructed based on the following equation:

$$ w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{d_{ij}^\alpha} & \text{if } i \neq j \\
0 & \text{if } i = j 
\end{cases} $$

(3)

where $\alpha = 1$ and $d_{ij}$ represented the anatomical distance between region $i$ and $j$. Shortest anatomical distances between electrodes were computed using Dijkstra’s shortest path algorithm.$^{31}$ Row standardization was performed on the spatial weights matrix model to remove dependence on extraneous scale factors.

### 2.4 | Statistical analysis

Computational analysis of Getis-Ord $\text{G}_i^*$ and Moran’s $I$ were conducted in custom MATLAB software. Results are expressed as mean $±$ SD unless otherwise stated. Statistical analysis of data was performed using SPSS, with $z \geq 1.96$ and $P \leq .05$ considered statistically significant.

### 3 | RESULTS

A total of 41 epochs from 18 patient recordings were analyzed. The baseline characteristics of the study population are as follows: age 62 (95% CI: 58, 65), 15/18 male (83%), 18/18 persistent AF (100%), BMI 28.9 (95% CI: 26.5, 31.1), LVEF 58.0% (95% CI: 54.2, 61.7%), LA diameter 44.0 mm (95% CI: 41.0, 47.2), and CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc 1.3 (95% CI: 0.8, 1.9).

### 3.1 | Spatial concentration of PSs

Using Getis-Ord $\text{G}_i^*$, we identified areas with significant spatial concentration in 29.3% (12/41) of epochs. Example maps showing hot and cold spots are shown in Figure 3. Areas of high or low spatial concentration were considered to be statistically significant when a group of statistically significant $z$-scores ($z \geq 1.96$ or $z \leq -1.96$) was surrounded by other statistically significant $z$-scores.

We found hotspots (areas of high local spatial concentration of PSs) in 22.0% (9/41) of basket catheter recordings. In total, 10 hotspots were identified—four in the LA and six in the RA. The majority of hotspots (9/10) occurred in unique areas of high spatial PS concentration for individual epochs, with only one recording demonstrating the simultaneous presence of two hotspots. The average size of the hotspot cluster was found to be 3.5 nodes (95% CI: 2.359-4.641).

Cold spots (areas of low spatial concentration) were identified in 19.5% (8/41) of basket catheter recordings. A total of nine cold spots were detected—two in the LA and seven in the RA. In the case of cold spots, again the majority (8/9) were detected as unique areas of low PS concentration for the individual epoch concerned, with only one recording showing the presence of two simultaneous cold spots. The average size of a cold spot cluster was found to be 2.8 (95% CI: 2.064-3.492) nodes. Five epochs were found to have both a hotspot and a cold spot.
3.2 Spatial distribution PS formation using Moran’s I

Moran’s I was utilized to identify evidence of clustering of PS formation in human persistent AF. Figure 4 shows example grid maps of the global clustering patterns observed using Moran’s I. We split the calculated Moran’s I values into three groups so that conclusions could be drawn regarding the observed clustering. Groups were separated as (i) those with positive values of Moran’s I and $P < .05$ indicating spatial clustering or clumping (as in Figure 2A), (ii) those with positive Moran’s I near to zero and $P > .05$ suggesting complete spatial randomness (as in Figure 2B), and (iii) those with negative values of Moran’s I close to 0 and $P > .05$ showing complete spatial randomness (as in Figure 2B).

17.1% (7/41) of epochs from basket catheter recording were found to align with the first group of Moran’s I values, producing positive and statistically significant values of Moran’s I and therefore indicating some form of spatial clustering or clumping. About 28.6% (2/7) of this spatial clustering was observed in the LA, and 71.4% (5/7) was observed in the RA. Figure 4A shows example cases of the statistically significant Moran’s I grid maps of PS formation. In this group, since the magnitude of Moran’s I was found to be <0.25, it is suggested that PS clustering was modest (mean Moran’s I = 0.1678, 95% CI: 0.1077-0.2280).

82.9% (34/41) of epochs were found to be non-statistically significant and, therefore, indicate complete spatial randomness. About 51.2% (21/41) were found to align with group (ii), having positive values of Moran’s I, with $P > .05$ (mean Moran’s I 0.0583, 95% CI: 0.0143-0.0651). Examples of these cases can be seen in Figure 4B. The remaining 31.7% (13/41) of epochs were found to have negative values of Moran’s I and align best with group (iii). All of these epochs showed $P > 0.05$ and were close to 0, most consistent with complete spatial randomness of PS formation (−0.0817, 95% CI: −0.1435 to −0.0208). Example cases of negative values of Moran’s I can be seen in Figure 4C.

**FIGURE 1** Getis-Ord $G^I*$ Detects High and Low Spatial Concentrations. Examples of calculated z-scores for three quantitatively different scenarios of spatial concentration. Statistically significant ($z \geq 1.96$ or $z \leq -1.96$) spatial hotspots and cold spots are identified. (A) Getis-Ord $G^I*$ shows no evidence of hotspots or cold spots, with all calculated z-scores being statistically insignificant ($-1.96 \leq z \leq 1.96$). (B) Getis-Ord $G^I*$ shows evidence of hotspots, with z-scores falling in the statistically significant range ($z \geq 1.96$). (C) Getis-Ord $G^I*$ shows evidence of cold spots, with z-scores falling in the statistically significant range ($z \leq -1.96$).
Figure 5 shows a box and whisker plot for Moran’s I across the basket catheter recordings. For basket recordings, over both the LA and RA, Moran’s I was found to range between −0.2050 and 0.2885 and, on average, was calculated to be 0.0326 (95% CI: 0.0003-0.0649). Considering Moran’s I values in the LA, the average was found to be 0.0252 (95% CI: −0.0375 to 0.0898). In the RA, where the mean was 0.0485 (95% CI: −0.0187 to 0.1157). The proximity to zero, in conjunction with the absence of statistical significance of Moran’s I values, is consistent with the notion that PSs are randomly dispersed through the atrium.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we sought to investigate and understand the spatial concentration of PSs using statistical approaches adapted from geospatial science. Here, we quantitively investigated the spatial distribution of PS formation in human persistent AF. The principal finding of our study was that when using conventional electrogram-based basket mapping techniques, PSs appear to form in concentrated hotspots and cold spots within the atrium. We found limited evidence to suggest spatial clustering of PSs across the entire atria, with results indicating that overall, PSs are randomly dispersed throughout the atrium. These results may be of clinical significance in the mapping of critical substrates in AF, where areas of high or low concentrations of PSs may form targetable areas for AF ablation therapy.

4.1 | Unstable fibrosis linked rotors: A new conceptual paradigm

The notion of unstable fibrosis-linked rotors has recently entered the field of understanding of contemporary AF mechanisms. Under this theory, driving re-entrant circuits could potentially be anchored to critical areas of micro-substrate, created by localized fibrosis.
According to this hypothesis, AF could be maintained by critical areas of substrate that could act as fixed locations for unstable re-entrant circuits to form around, providing a reconciling mechanism that could allow for the observed temporal instability of rotors, but support the notion that critical substrate areas could be targeted to modulate the atrium's propensity to support AF. Emerging evidence has suggested key substrates identified through a combination of imaging-guided mapping in combination with computational modelling may lead to acute impact on AF dynamics.

4.2 Ablation of rotors in human persistent AF

Unstable re-entrant circuits known as rotors are a defining feature of cardiac fibrillation, first identified over a century ago. Over the past
few decades, the role of these circuits as potential drivers of AF has been demonstrated in multiple pre-clinical investigations.36-38 This has led to growing interest in the idea that rotor behavior could be directly targeted by catheter ablation,39,40 resulting in termination of AF and potentially improved clinical outcomes. Unfortunately, clinical outcomes of these studies have not been uniform,3,4,41 leading to a search for potential alternative approaches based on driver-based ablation.

4.3 | Reconciling the spatial concentration of re-entrant circuits with PS renewal

Early investigations of the localization of rotors with critical substrate have predominantly adopted a qualitative approach to evaluate this spatial association.10,34 Qualitative approaches, however, may have the potential to introduce heuristic bias.42,43 As such, we sought to test the hypothesis that quantitative approaches from geospatial statistics could potentially identify PS formation clusters in human persistent AF, an approach well validated in other scientific and social science discipline.16-19

An important concept that was clear from the current data is that the relative number of PS hotspots in the atrium was relatively small in comparison to the dynamic renewal of unstable PSs that is typically observed in AF.24 This discrepancy could be reconciled by considering that PS formation most likely occurs as a consequence of tissue micro-structural heterogeneities in combination with dynamic functional factors.44 According to this idea, areas of local hotspot concentration could be hypothesized to be occurring via the effect of relatively fixed heterogeneities in the myocardium caused by areas of micro-fibrosis.45 On the other hand, many and perhaps, most, PSs occur as a consequence of dynamic alterations in tissue conduction and refractoriness.

The data supports a conceptualization of AF maintenance occurring via the interplay of structural substrate related renewal of PSs in combination with dynamic PS regeneration. This could account for the observation that PS formation and destruction at the global level converge to stable rates that are able to be determined by modelling PS creation and annihilation and Poisson renewal processes.24 The fact that both tissue and physiological factors are likely to be important to sustaining AF may become clinically relevant in understanding the progression of AF from paroxysmal to persistent as well as determining the likelihood of clinical response to AF ablation. We are currently conducting a prospective clinical study, RENEWAL AF, to explore these questions.46

4.4 | Clinical implications

Our study has implications for contemporary efforts to ablate drivers in AF. If a strategy of focal driver ablation is considered, then a geospatial statistical approach may be beneficial as it is quantitative and non-biased and allows for objective identification of hotspots, cold spots, and PS clustering, which may highlight mechanistically important areas of the atrium. The identification of these areas of high PS concentration may also help to target ablation therapies and may assist in the validation of, and be additive to, substrate or imaging guided approaches which are currently under evaluation in AF ablation.

FIGURE 5 Clustering of phase singularity formation using Moran’s I. Moran’s I shows evidence of spatial randomness of PS formation for a majority of cases. Overall, the Moran’s I statistic showed a mean of 0.0326 (95% CI: 0.0003-0.0649). In the LA, the mean Moran’s I was found to be 0.0252 (95% CI: −0.0375 to 0.0898) and in the RA the mean Moran’s I was 0.0485 (95% CI: −0.0187 to 0.1157)
4.5 | Study limitations

Conventional basket catheters are known to have limitations in terms of their anatomical contact and spatial resolution with the endocardial surface of the atrium. The absence of clustering observed in this study may reflect the relatively low resolution of contemporary basket catheters. A specific area that current baskets are not able to address is to simultaneously map the pulmonary veins, appendages, and body of the atria with adequate spatial resolution. As such, we were not able to specifically measure PS concentrations in the basket catheter and could not draw conclusions regarding the concentration of PSs in the pulmonary veins. Improvements in mapping catheter design and technology may in future be able to address this gap.46

An issue that is not fully resolved is whether basket-type catheters may be effective in the mapping of PSs. While in general, it has been suggested that basket catheters may have the theoretical risk of false detection of PSs, we have recently shown that rate constants of PS formation and destruction detected by basket catheters can accurately predict the number and population distribution of observed PS, in line with a property of scale invariance under transformation.47 This issue would therefore be considered as under debate in the literature at the current time.

A further limitation of the current retrospective study design was that the relationship to clinical arrhythmia outcomes could not be addressed. No ablation was performed on regions of PS concentration, so we were unable to confirm whether these regions were mechanistically significant in sustaining AF. As the intention of this study, however, was to evaluate the mechanistic feasibility of using a geospatial statistical approach to identify areas of PS concentration and clustering, improvements in catheter mapping technologies such as the development of anatomically conformant catheters may in future address the contemporary hardware limitations of current basket catheter technology.

The study also had some important limitations in terms of the technical approach used for PS detection. The double-ring PS detection approach adopted in this study has the effect of reducing the area available for PS detection. This could potentially decrease the sensitivity for hotspot detection, but those hotspots that were detected were most likely to be valid. A further limitation of the study is that the temporal stability of the hotspots detected was not able to be assessed beyond the 5-min detection window. Further investigation into the temporal stability of hotspots is therefore warranted to determine if these locations are spatiotemporally stable over time.

In addition, in this study, the lack of scar imaging by CMR meant that we were unable to investigate whether or not a correlation between scar and areas of high or low PS concentration exists. Further studies are required to assess the impact of these regions in PS concentration and clustering.

5 | CONCLUSION

Using a systematic, quantitative geospatial statistical approach, evidence of spatial concentration of PS formation was observed in human atrial fibrillation, along with evidence of spatial clustering. Further investigations are required to determine if these approaches may be of significance to current efforts to map and ablate phase singularities using substrate-based approaches.
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