Quantum metamaterial without local control
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A quantum metamaterial can be implemented as a quantum coherent one-dimensional array of qubits placed in a transmission line. The properties of quantum metamaterials are determined by the local quantum state of the system. Here we show that a spatially periodic quantum state of such a system can be realized without direct control of the constituent qubits, by their interaction with the initializing (“priming”) pulses sent through the system in opposite directions. The properties of the resulting quantum photonic crystal are determined by the choice of the priming pulses. This proposal can be readily generalized to other implementations of quantum metamaterials.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering papers, the investigation of metamaterials (quasicontinuous building blocks constructed of artificial unit elements, which modify the properties of propagating electromagnetic waves) was driven by their unusual optical properties in a wide range of frequencies. They are used to produce antireflection coatings and optical devices such as adaptive lenses, reconfigurable mirrors, converters, etc. Another direction of research is related to the development of metamaterials based on active units, e.g., Josephson junctions. The emission of terahertz radiation due to the ac Josephson effect allows the coupling to the environment, and therefore the decoherence due to both internal and external sources.

We model a 1D quantum metamaterial using superconducting charge qubits, though the main idea of our approach is independent of the particular implementation. The (identical) charge qubits are formed by superconducting islands sandwiched between superconducting strips and separated from them by tunneling barriers (see Fig. 1). The metamaterial occupies the central (“active”) section of the transmission line formed by the strips; in the rest of the system (“passive”) qubits are replaced by capacitive elements chosen to optimize the impedance matching between the active and passive sections of the line.

II. MODEL OF A QUANTUM METAMATERIAL

As in Ref. 11, we treat the electromagnetic field in the system classically while quantizing the qubit degrees of freedom. The field propagates along the z direction. Neglecting edge effects, the magnetic field will have only a y component, and the vector potential in a section between the nth and (n + 1)st qubits can be chosen as \( A_{x,n} \). The energy of the active part of the system can be then written as

\[
E_{SC} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \left( \frac{2\pi D A_{x,n}^2}{\Phi_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2\pi D A_{x,n}^2}{\Phi_0} - \frac{\phi_n}{\Phi_0} \right)^2 \right] - E_J \left[ \cos \left( \phi_n + \frac{2\pi D A_{x,n}^2}{\Phi_0} \right) + \cos \left( \frac{2\pi D A_{x,n}^2}{\Phi_0} \right) \right] + \frac{D L_0 W A_{x,n}^2}{8\pi c^2} + \frac{D L_0 W}{8\pi} \left( A_{x,n+1} - A_{x,n} \right)^2.
\]
FIG. 1. (Color online) 1D quantum metamaterial in a superconducting transmission line. The superconducting islands (S) sandwiched between the superconducting strips form charge qubits (1 ⩽ n ⩽ N); D is the distance between the strips (S), and L0 is the distance between the qubits. In the passive (in and out) regions, with n ⩽ 0 and n > N, respectively, the transmission line parameters are chosen to optimize impedance matching with the active (metamaterial) section. The electromagnetic pulse propagates along the z axis, \( \hat{A} \) is the vector potential, and \( \hat{H} \) is the magnetic field of the electromagnetic wave.

Here \( \varphi_n \) is the superconducting phase of the nth island, \( E_J = \Phi_0 I_c / 2 \pi e \) and \( \omega_0^2 = 2 e I_c / h C \) are the Josephson energy and Josephson frequency, respectively, \( I_c \) is the critical current, \( C \) is the Josephson junction capacity, \( \Phi_0 = h c / 2 e \) is the magnetic flux quantum, \( D \) is the distance between the superconducting lines, and \( W \) is the thickness of the superconducting strips. The first term in Eq. (1) is the charging energy of the system, \( \| \psi_n \rangle \) describe the electromagnetic field energy. The time derivative is indicated by a dot (\( \dot{} \)). We assume here that the qubit size is much smaller than the distance between the neighboring qubits and that the vector potential does not depend on the coordinates in the area between them. Similarly, in the passive regions

\[
E_{NC} = \sum_{n<0,n> N} \left[ \frac{\hat{C} D^2 A_{n\alpha}^2}{e^2} + \frac{D L_0 W A_{n\alpha}^2}{8 \pi c^2} + \frac{D L_0 W}{8 \pi} \left( A_{x,n+1} - A_{x,n} \right)^2 \right].
\]

Comparing the expressions for the electromagnetic field energy for the active [Eq. (1)] and the passive [Eq. (2)] regions one can conclude that the impedence matching is fulfilled if \( \hat{C} = 4 e^2 E_J / h^2 \omega_0^2 \).

In order to obtain the Hamiltonian from the full classical energy of the system, \( E_{SC} + E_{NC} \), we quantize the qubit contributions by writing

\[
\hat{H}_q[n] = E_n |n\rangle, \\
\hat{H}_v = -\left( \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{E_J} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi_n^2} - 2 E_J \cos \varphi_n + \hat{h}_v.
\]

Here the term \( \hat{h}_v \) describes the external controls of the state of the nth qubit; its precise form is irrelevant here. Then we restrict the operator \( \hat{H}_v \) to the subspace of its two lowest states, \( |0_n\rangle \) and \( |1_n\rangle \), while \( E_{1,n} - E_{0,n} = \hbar \omega_n \). We can assume this due to the nonlinearity of the Josephson potential, assuming that both the temperature and the field amplitudes are small enough to keep only these states populated.

As follows from Eq. (1), the interaction of the qubit with the electromagnetic field is given by

\[
\hat{V}_n = 2 E_J (1 - \cos a_n) \cos \varphi_n, \tag{4}
\]

where \( a_n = 2 \pi D A_{x,n} / \Phi_0 \) is the dimensionless vector potential.

III. EQUATIONS OF MOTION OF A QUANTUM METAMATERIAL

The following, we consider the simplest case of the factorizable wave function of the metamaterial. Each qubit is described by its own wave function \( |\psi_n\rangle \):

\[
|\psi_n(t)\rangle = c_0(n,t) |0\rangle e^{\alpha(t)/2} + c_1(n,t) |1\rangle e^{-\alpha(t)/2}, \tag{5}
\]

we omit here the subscripts in \( |0\rangle \) and \( |1\rangle \), since the qubits are assumed to be identical. The Shrödinger equation for \( |\psi_n\rangle \) is thus reduced to a set of equations for the coefficients \( c_0 \) and \( c_1 \):

\[
\text{i} \hbar \dot{c}_n(t) = \sum_{\beta=0,1} \langle \alpha | V_n(t) | \beta \rangle c_{\beta}(n,t) e^{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_0^*)}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( (\alpha, \beta) = 0,1 \), \( \alpha_0 = \varepsilon / 2 \), and \( \alpha_0 = -\varepsilon / 2 \). From Eq. (1) the equation for the electromagnetic field in the active region \( 0 < n < N \) becomes

\[
\ddot{a}_n - \nu^2 (a_{n+1} + a_{n-1} - 2a_n) + r \sin a_n \langle \psi_n | \cos \varphi_n | \psi_n \rangle = 0, \tag{7}
\]

where

\[
\nu^2 = \frac{r W \Phi_0^2}{32 \pi^3 L_0 D E_J}, \quad r = \alpha_0^2 \left( 1 + \Phi_0^2 L_0 W \omega_0^2 \right)^{-1}. \tag{8}
\]

In the passive regions we have instead

\[
\ddot{a}_n - \nu^2 (a_{n+1} + a_{n-1} - 2a_n) = 0. \tag{9}
\]

With the proper choice of parameters in the passive region one can obtain \( u = \nu \).

For an analytical treatment, we make additional plausible assumptions. First, the electromagnetic field must be weak, \( a_n \ll 1 \) (otherwise the two-level approximation for qubits may not be valid); therefore

\[
\dot{V}_n \approx E_J a_n^2 \cos \varphi_n. \tag{10}
\]

and \( \sin a_n \approx a_n \). Second, the field wavelength under a realistic choice of parameters greatly exceeds the interqubit distance and therefore allows to introduce a continuous variable \( z \) instead of the discrete label \( n \) (see Ref. 11). Then Eq. (7) is transformed into

\[
\frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial t^2} - \tilde{v} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial z^2} + \chi(z,t) a = 0, \tag{11}
\]

where \( \tilde{v} = v L_0, \tilde{a} = a(z,t) \), and the “susceptibility”

\[
\chi(z,t) = r (\psi(z,t)) \cos \varphi | \psi(z,t) \rangle. \tag{12}
\]

is determined by the quantum state of the metamaterial.
IV. INITIALIZATION OF A QUANTUM METAMATERIAL BY PRIMING PULSES

In agreement with our weak-field assumption, we analyze Eq. (11) in a quasilinear approximation. These analytical results will help illuminate the numerical solutions of Eqs. (6), (7), and (9) for the following choice of parameters: \( L_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4} \) m, \( D \sim W \sim 10^{-3} \) m, critical current \( I_c = 4 \times 10^{-7} \) A, \( \epsilon/2\pi \approx 5 \times 10^{10} \) s\(^{-1} \), and \( E_J/\hbar \omega_J \approx 4 \), so \( \Theta \approx \epsilon/\omega \).

As shown in Ref. 11, the transmission properties of a 1D metamaterial with a spatially periodic quantum state, \( \Psi(z + L_m, t = 0) = \Psi(z, t = 0) \), are those of a generalized photonic crystal. We therefore investigate the possibility of initializing the metamaterial without applying local controls to the constituent qubits. Specifically, let us initialize the metamaterial in the ground state (which can be done by, e.g., cooling) and send two priming pulses through the active region of the system in opposite directions. One would expect that due to the interference of these pulses and their action on the qubits, a spatially periodic state of the quantum metamaterial would arise. For superconducting qubits the decoherence times are currently in the range 10–100 \( \mu \)s and the interlevel distance is \( \sim 10 \) GHz; the transition time for the pulse across a 1000-unit metamaterial of length \( \sim 10^2 \) cm is \( \sim 3 \times 10^{-9} \) s. Therefore, in the following, we can neglect decoherence effects.

The backaction of the electromagnetic field on the qubits is quadratic in the field amplitude [Eq. (10)], therefore the basic frequency of the priming pulses should satisfy the condition 2\( \omega \approx \epsilon \) (see, e.g., Refs. 11, 27, and 28). We assume that the spatial width of the pulse satisfies

\[
l \gg 2\pi \Theta/\omega \approx \lambda,
\]

which ensures that each qubit in a superposition state undergoes many cycles of quantum beats while the pulse propagates past it. Here \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the priming pulses. In this case, the metamaterial state evolution in the presence of the field can be investigated in the resonance approximation.\(^{27}\) So, Eqs. (6) become

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{a} &= 2\Omega(z,t)(d_{00}c_0 + d_{01}c_1e^{-i\epsilon t}), \\
\dot{c}_1 &= 2\Omega(z,t)(d_{00}c_0e^{i\epsilon t} + d_{11}c_1),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( d_{00} = E_J/\alpha |\cos \phi_0| \beta \) and \( z = nL_0 \). In the case of sufficiently strong pulses the solution of Eq. (11) may be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
a^{(1)}(z,t) &= \exp \left[ -\frac{(z - (\omega/k)t)^2}{\lambda^2} \right] \left( Ae^{i(kz - \omega t)} + \text{c.c.} \right), \\
a^{(2)}(z,t) &= \exp \left[ -\frac{(z + (\omega/k)t)^2}{\lambda^2} \right] \left( Ae^{i(kz + \omega t + \phi)} + \text{c.c.} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A \) is the amplitude of the pulses (the pulses have equal amplitudes), and \( \phi_0 \) is the initial phase. In order for our treatment of the metamaterial as a continuous medium to be consistent, the wave vectors should satisfy the condition \( \lambda = 2\pi/k \gg L_0 \), that is,

\[
L_0 \ll 2\pi \Theta/\omega.
\]

For \( \Theta \approx c \) and \( \epsilon/2\pi \approx 5 \times 10^{10} \) s\(^{-1} \), this yields \( L_0 \ll 1 \) cm, which is a feasible requirement.
by varying the quantum states of the qubits. The graph is plotted for excitation of qubits at positions where cos(2$kz$) through the system. The period of modulation, $13L_0 \approx \lambda/2$, shows that this is due to the interference between these pulses. The slight aperiodicity is caused by the finite width of the pulse.

V. PULSE PROPAGATION THROUGH A QUANTUM METAMATERIAL

The periodic spatial modulation of the wave function $|\psi(z, t)\rangle$, created by the priming pulses, will affect the propagation of the subsequent probe pulse through the function $\chi(z)$ [Eq. (12)], which enters the wave equation (11):

$$\chi(z, t) = \chi_0 + \tilde{\chi}[1 + \cos(2\pi z/L_m)]$$

$$+ 2\frac{r}{E_J}\text{Re}[d_{01}\hat{c}_0^*(z)c_1(z)e^{-i\omega t}], \quad (20)$$

where $L_m$ is the modulation period, and $\chi_0$ depends on the quantum states of the qubits with minimal $|c_1|$: $\chi_0 = \frac{r}{E_J}(d_{00}|c_{0,\text{min}}|^2 + d_{11}|c_{1,\text{min}}|^2)$. \hfill (21)

For the case shown in Fig. 3, $\chi_0 = (r/E_J)d_{00}$, since the qubits at positions such that $\cos(2kz + \phi_0) = -1$ (i.e., where the local Rabi frequency is zero) remain in the ground state, and thus $|c_{1,\text{min}}| = 0$. The quantity $\tilde{\chi}$ describes the excitation of qubits at positions where $\cos(2kz + \phi_0) = 1$, and $|c_1| = |c_{1,\text{max}}|$:

$$\tilde{\chi} = r(d_{11} - d_{00})|c_{1,\text{max}}|^2 - |c_{1,\text{min}}|^2)/2E_J. \quad (22)$$

For the case of Fig. 3 we have $\tilde{\chi} = r(d_{11} - d_{00})|c_{1,\text{max}}|^2/2E_J$. If

$$\tilde{\chi} \gg \sqrt{\int_{-L_m/2}^{L_m/2} ([r/E_J]d_{01}c_0^*(z)c_1(z)e^{i2\pi z/L_m}]dz},$$

then one can neglect the third term in Eq. (20) to derive the dispersion equation and thus consider $\chi$ as independent of time. So, $\chi$ in Eq. (20) can be approximated as

$$\chi(z) = \chi_0 + \tilde{\chi}[1 + \cos(2\pi z/L_m)]. \quad (23)$$

We seek the solution of Eq. (11) with the above $\chi(z)$ for a small wave amplitude in the form of a Bloch wave:

$$a_k(z, t) = u_k(z) \exp[i(kz - \omega t)]. \quad (24)$$

FIG. 3. (Color online) Periodically modulated population of the excited levels of qubits after passing the priming or initializing pulses through the system. The period of modulation, $13L_0 \approx \lambda/2$, shows that this is due to the interference between these pulses. The slight aperiodicity is caused by the finite width of the pulse.

FIG. 4. Normalized dispersion relation $\omega(k)$ for the quantum photonic crystal. Due to the space periodicity of $\chi(z)$, gaps open in the spectrum. Their positions and magnitude depend on $L_0$ and $\tilde{\chi}$. This allows to control the parameters of the quantum photonic crystal by varying the quantum states of the qubits. The graph is plotted for $L_m = 25L_0/2$, $d_{00} = 0.4\hbar\epsilon$, $d_{11} = 3.6\hbar\epsilon$, $d_{01} = 0.2\hbar\epsilon$, and $\tilde{\chi} = c$. The qubit population is the same as in Fig. 3.
where \( u_k(z) \) is a periodic function with period \( L_m \) [i.e., \( u_k(z) = u_k(z + L_m) \)]. The dispersion equation is readily found:

\[
\varepsilon_k^2 - \bar{v}_k^2 k^2 = \pm |\tilde{W}_k| + W_{k=0},
\]

where

\[
W_k = \frac{1}{L_m} \int_{-L_m/2}^{L_m/2} \chi(z) \exp(i 2 k z) \, dz, \quad k = \pi n / L_m.
\]

It is clear from Eq. (25) that a gap opens in the frequency spectrum for each \( k = \pi n / L_m \) [if the corresponding Fourier component of \( \chi \) is different from zero; see Fig. 4]:

\[
\delta \omega_0 \approx \frac{W_{k_0}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\pi n}{L_m}\right)^2 + W_0}}, \quad \left|\tilde{W}_{k_0}\right| \ll \left(\frac{\pi n}{L_m}\right)^2 + W_0.
\]

The gap appears for \( k_{n-1} = \pi / L_m \), close to the frequency \( \omega_{k_0} = \sqrt{(\bar{v}_k)^2 + W_0} \). According to Eq. (26), \( W_{k_{n-1}} = \bar{\chi} / 2, \ W_0 = \chi_0 + \bar{\chi}, \) and the gap equals

\[
\delta \omega_1 \approx \sqrt{\bar{\chi}/(\bar{\chi}^2 + W_0)}.
\]

In Fig. 5 the propagation of a probe pulse through a metamaterial with periodic \( \chi \) is shown. One can see that while the pulse with the carrying frequency inside the band gap undergoes significant reflection [Fig. 5(a)], this is not the case for a pulse with a frequency above the band gap [Fig. 5(b)].

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we have shown that a 1D quantum metamaterial comprising superconducting charge qubits in a transmission line can be initialized in a spatially periodic state without exercising local control of the quantum state of individual qubits, by simultaneously passing through it two priming pulses in opposite directions. The modulation period is close to half the priming pulse wavelength, \( \lambda / 2 \). The subsequent probe pulse propagation through the resulting periodic structure was shown to be affected by the arising band gaps.

It is possible that such 1D quantum metamaterials could be realized using the microwave transmission line that was recently experimentally investigated in Ref. 30, where a set of resonators coupled with qubits was connected with this line. If the transmission line is long enough, we can create a more complex picture of the qubit state distribution by varying the shape of the pump pulses.
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