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Abstract Detecting and extracting information from the Machine-Readable Zone (MRZ) on passports and visas is becoming increasingly important for verifying document authenticity. However, computer vision methods for performing similar tasks, such as optical character recognition (OCR), fail to extract the MRZ from digital images of passports with reasonable accuracy. We present a specially designed model based on convolutional neural networks that is able to successfully extract MRZ information from digital images of passports of arbitrary orientation and size. Our model achieves 100% MRZ detection rate and 99.25% character recognition macro-f1 score on a passport and visa dataset.
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1 Introduction

In domains such as finance, immigration and administration, digital copies of passports are playing an increasingly important role in identity and information verification and fraud detection. However, automatic information retrieval from passports and visas can be difficult due to non-uniform passport and visa layouts. Information such as name, birth date, expiration date, and issue date appear in a variety of formats and locations on passports and visas from different issuing authorities. Additionally, unlike physical passports and visas which can be examined for authenticity, digital copies of these documents present a lower barrier to forgery and manipulation. Simple image editing software can be used to alter key details on the passport or visa for purposes of fraud.

The Machine-Readable Zone (MRZ) on passports and visas is critical for combating both of these challenges. For purposes of information verification, the MRZ presents key information in a pre-specified format and location. As a fraud example, some of our customers uploaded the passport image they found on the internet instead of their own documents. Being able to read the MRZ and compare it with the information entered by our customers thus serves as an important step in fraud detection. Similarly, the format of the MRZ makes it more difficult to manipulate than the rest of the passport, requiring domain knowledge and greater attention to detail. Locating and extracting passport and visa MRZ thus presents an important and unique application for computer vision.

We propose a novel neural network model designed specifically for handling MRZ text, with characteristics designed to overcome the challenges unique to MRZ extraction. Specifically, we design an end-to-end trainable MRZ detector and extractor using MobileNetV2 as the backbone and added atrous spatial pyramid pooling layers to enhance receptive fields. For better handling of passport images of various sizes, we propose a novel system in which the first "coarse" model extracts the MRZ bounding box and the second "fine" model refines bounding box prediction and extracts the MRZ text. This system design offers the additional benefit of decreasing the memory and time required for detection. Our proposed system results in 100% MRZ detection rate and 99.25% character recognition macro-f1 score on digital images of passports and visas.
2 Background

2.1 Machine-Readable Zone (MRZ)

The Machine-Readable Zone (MRZ) appears on passports and visas of most countries to facilitate robust data extraction and processing. Because passports from different states vary in script, style, and format, the MRZ provides a simple way to extract key details from the passport, including the name, passport number, nationality, date of birth, sex, and passport expiration date. Generally appearing near the beginning of the passport on the identity page, the MRZ text typically appears as two 44-character lines on the bottom of the page. While alternative MRZ formats are employed in documents such as ID card and visa issued by some countries, we limit this work to consider only this MRZ format most commonly found in passport and US visa images as typically uploaded by our customers. The MRZ consists only of the Arabic numerals (digits 0-9), the capital letters of the Latin alphabet ('A','B','C',...), and the filler character '('. While historically used for quickly extracting the important information from a variety of passports, the MRZ is becoming useful for document verification and manipulation detection. For example, businesses and states can verify that the information encoded in the MRZ matches the information in the visual zone (VZ) of the passport. While highly-motivated and skilled forgers can additionally alter the MRZ, validation of the MRZ information is a simple, low-cost method for detecting basic manipulations, such as name, expiration, or birth date changes. As photographs of passports gain popularity as a method for verifying identity, accurately and quickly extracting the passport MRZ becomes an essential part of the identity verification pipeline.

3 Related Work

Models leveraging advances in deep learning, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have been successfully employed in similar tasks, such as determining the region of interest (ROI) of a photograph and optical character recognition (OCR). Among these, MRZ extraction from digital passport images is most related to work in detecting and extracting text in natural scenes.

3.1 Text Detection in Natural Scenes

Several techniques in computer vision have been developed or leveraged for improved performance in text scene detection. More recently, the ICDAR 2015 Robust Reading Competition dataset has provided a valuable benchmark for scene text detection and extraction. Many recent works demonstrated impressive performance on this dataset.

3.2 Passport MRZ Detection and Extraction

While Optical Character Recognition (OCR) based-methods may extract text with reasonably good accuracy, state of the art methods struggle to accurately extract MRZ
Fig. 1: Example passport images. (a) a typical page of the passport contains the 2-line MRZ zone (bottom). The passport page can either occupy only a small part of the image (b) or span the whole image (c).

Fig. 2: Overall structure of MRZNet. MRZSpotter (coarse) roughly locates the MRZ region from a down-sampled image whereas MRZSpotter (fine) refines the localization on the original high resolution image and recognizes the MRZ text.

text. This is evidenced by the relatively poor MRZ detection rate of PassportEye [51] which is based on Tesseract OCR [50]. Similarly, models designed for scene text extraction are not naturally well-suited for MRZ extraction. For example, end-to-end scene text recognition models such as FOTS [31] and Mask Textspotter [37] may be able to detect and recognize the MRZ. However, these models are designed to handle text lines with arbitrary number of characters and employed techniques such as LSTM [20] to recognize text. Since common MRZ text found in passport and visa is always 2 lines, 44 characters per line, a specifically designed neural network architecture will likely improve performance. Additionally, typical passport images used for identity verification purposes are taken with a smartphone, resulting in a high resolution images in which the passport appears in various places and at various sizes (see Figure 1), presenting an additional challenge.

In 2011, [3] presented a hardware-based method for portable passport readers for detecting and reading the MRZ of physical passports. [26] proposed a method for extracting the passport MRZ using template matching, but only for images in which the passport is surrounded by a black border. [5] explored optical font recognition for forgery detection in passport MRZs. [44] discussed methods for correcting or post-processing passport MRZ recognition results. [15] presented an algorithm for reading MRZ images on mobile devices, achieving an MRZ detection rate of 88.18% with 5 frames and 56.1% with single frame, along with a character reading rate of 98.58%. In comparison our model boasts a 100% single frame MRZ detection rate and 99.25% character recognition macro-F1 score on passport and visa images.

4 Methodology

MRZNet is a framework that detects and recognizes the MRZ text in images of passports and visas given arbitrary orientation and sizes. This section describes the details of the architecture of MRZNet.

4.1 Overall architecture

The overall architecture of MRZNet is illustrated in Figure 2. It includes two sub neural networks, MRZSpotter (coarse) and MRZSpotter (fine), which share similar architectures. The high resolution original image is first padded to be a square and then down-sampled to 768 x 768 as input to MRZSpotter (coarse). MRZSpotter (coarse) localizes the MRZ region and outputs the
bounding box location and orientation. We then rotate the original image to make it upright, crop the image centered at the bounding box center and pad/resize the image accordingly to obtain a 768 × 768 image in which the MRZ region is roughly placed in the center and spans the whole image. This image is then fed into MRZSpotter (fine) for finer localization and MRZ code recognition. We adopt this architecture for handling passport/visa images of arbitrary orientation and sizes. A real world passport/visa image, whether it is scanned or taken from a smart phone, is usually of high resolution. Depending on how the user captures the image, the MRZ region can either occupy only a small region of the image (Figure 1(b)) or it may span the whole image (Figure 1(c)). Feeding the high resolution image directly into a neural network is not only time and memory consuming but may result in poor MRZ code recognition results for images like Figure 1(c). Specifically, localizing these high resolution images would demand a very large receptive field within the neural network, increasing time and memory requirements. On the other hand, feeding a down-sampled image to a neural network would result in poor MRZ recognition results for images like Figure 1(b) because the text will be unrecognizable in low resolution. To solve this dilemma, we propose an architecture that first roughly localizes the MRZ region using a down-sampled image, standardizes the images (see Figure 1(c)) and finally performs MRZ text recognition.

4.2 MRZSpotter

The architecture of MRZSpotter is shown in Figure 4. Because we use CPU to run models in production, we adopt MobileNetV2 [46] as the backbone to reduce computational cost. Similar to EAST, we concatenate up-sampled high-level semantic feature maps with low-level feature maps and merge them gradually in a U-shaped architecture. This way the neural network utilizes the features from different levels and will be able to detect MRZ regions of different sizes. In some examples, the line of text will span the whole image (see Figure 1(c)). For better handling of these images, a larger receptive field is required to look at the “big picture” of the image in order to accurately detect the large text bounding box. We applied atrous spatial pyramid pooling (ASPP) at the end of the MobileNetV2 feature extractor to accommodate these larger receptive fields. ASPP have been previously adopted by [17], [13], [19] and [4] for field-of-view enlargement. To further increase the field-of-view, we stacked multiple layers of ASPP as demonstrated in ResNet [17]. After feature-merging, 1x1 convolutional layers are applied to the output to determine the likelihood that an MRZ region is present in the pixel (the score map), the location of MRZ text boxes (4 channels, distance of the pixel locations to the top, right, bottom and left boundaries of the rectangle, respectively) and the MRZ box rotation angle. The non-maximum suppression algorithm is applied to select the most probable MRZ bounding box. Finally, a recognition branch is applied to the MRZ bounding box and the output map of the feature-merging branch to extract the MRZ text.

4.3 MRZSpotter pipeline

We first extract feature maps from a passport/visa image using a MobileNetV2 backbone. At the end of the stage 4 convolutional layers, MobileNetV2 produces 320 feature maps of size 24 × 24. We then add four convolutional layers that run in parallel to form a ASPP layer. These four convolutional layers have a dilation rate [4] of 1, 2, 4 and 8. We concatenate the feature maps produced by these four layers (the concatenation layer) and then applied a 1 × 1 convolutional layer to reduce the number of feature maps to 320 before feeding the resulting feature maps to the next ASPP layer. Shortcuts were added between the concatenation layer of two ASPP layers similar to ResNet. After N ASPP layers, we bilinearly up-sample (un-pool) the feature maps to size 48 × 48 before concatenate them with the feature map outputs from the end of stage 3 convolutional layer of MobileNetV2. A 1 × 1 followed by a 3 × 3 convolutional layer is used to fuse these feature maps. We then bilinearly up-sample the resulting feature maps to 96 × 96 sizes and concatenate them with output of the stage 2 convolutional layers of MobileNetV2. After fusing the feature maps with 2 convolutional layers, we bilinearly upsampled them to 192 × 192 sizes and concatenate them with the output of stage 1 convolutional layers of MobileNet V2. Three convolutional layers are then applied to fuse and extract features from these feature maps to produce the output of feature-merging branch, which is composed of 64 feature maps of size 192 × 192. Similar to EAST [51], for each pixel in the output of feature-merging branch, we apply 1 × 1 convolutional layers at the output branch to produce a 0-1 probability score which indicates the presence of MRZ (the score map) at the pixel, the distance from the top, bottom, left and right of the mrz bounding box to the pixel (MRZ text box map) and the rotation angle of the bounding box (mrz rotation angle map). Because we have 192 × 192 pixels, a total of 192 × 192 = 36864 bounding boxes are produced as a result. We reject those bounding boxes that have a
Fig. 3: MRZSpotter with N atrous spatial pyramid pooling (ASPP) layers. Both MRZSpotter (coarse) and MRZSpotter (fine) used the same architecture and loss as shown in this figure, though with different parameter N. We stacked N ASPP layers on top of the last convolutional stage of MobileNetV2 to increase the receptive field and add a text recognition branch in addition to text localization branch.

probability score lower than 0.5 and use non-max suppression (NMS) to fuse the rest of the bounding boxes. The bound box that has the highest score is then selected as input to the recognition branch.

4.4 Recognition branch

Both the MRZSpotter (coarse) and MRZSpotter (fine) include a recognition branch for recognizing MRZ text. Our recognition branch is inspired from [19]. Figure 4 shows the architecture. Given the quadrilateral MRZ region from NMS, we sample a 16 by 352 grid from the convolutional map at the output of feature-merging branch. Similarly to [19], we used bilinear sampling. More specifically, the feature vector \( v_p \) of a sampling point \( p = (p_x, p_y) \), is calculated as follows:

\[
v_p = \sum_{i=0}^{3} v_{p_i} g(p_{x_i}, p_{y_i}) g(p_{x+y})
\]  

where \( v_{p_i} \) refer to the surrounding four points of point \( p \) and \( g(p_1, p_2) \) refers to the bilinear interpolation function.

After extracting the sampling grid, three layers of 3x3 convolution and 2x2 max-pooling are applied to down sample the extracted feature map from 16x352 (points) to 2x44 (lines by characters per line). We dou-
bled the number of channels with each down-sampling. Finally, a 1x1 convolutional layer is applied to reduce the number of channels to 37 (the number of valid characters in MRZ code) and softmax is applied to obtain the probability of occurrence for each of the 88 characters.

where $L_{\text{geometry}}, L_{\text{score}}$ is the loss for score map, $L_{\text{c}}$ is the loss for character classification. In our experiment, we set $\lambda_{a}$ to be 0.05-0.25 times the width of the MRZ region. For the geometry loss, we used the cross-entropy loss as adopted by EAST due to its higher performance as reported in [45]:

$$L_a = 1 - \frac{2 \sum_x s_x s_y^*}{\sum_x s_x + \sum_x s_y^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $s_x$ and $s_y^*$ are predicted score and ground truth score, respectively. For the geometry loss, we adopt the intersection over union (IoU) loss and rotation angle loss as in EAST [57]:

$$L_g = L_{\text{IoU}} + \lambda_a L_a$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$L_{\text{IoU}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{x \in \Omega} \text{IoU}(R_x, R_x^*)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$L_a = (1 - \cos(\theta_x, \theta_x^*))$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $R_x, R_x^*, \theta_x$ and $\theta_x^*$ are predicted bounding box, ground truth bounding box, predicted orientation and ground truth orientation, respectively. IoU is calculated as follows:

$$\text{IoU}(R_x, R_x^*) = \frac{R_x \cap R_x^*}{R_x \cup R_x^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In our experiment, the weight $\lambda_a$ is set to 10. For the character classification loss, we used the cross-entropy loss:

$$L_c = \sum_{i=0}^{c} y_i \log(f_i(x))$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $c$ is the number of possible different characters, $f_i(x)$ is the network output of class $i$ for image sample $x$, $y_i$ is the one hot ground truth label.

4.6 Implementation details

For the MobileNetV2 backbone, we loaded weights pre-trained on the ImageNet dataset [8] before fine-tuning on our MRZ dataset. For training MRZSpotter (coarse), we augmented the dataset by randomly rotating the images in the range of [-180°, 180°] and randomly padding with black borders so that the new image height is in the range of 1-2 times the height of the original image. We additionally applied random cropping image with the constraint of keeping the MRZ region intact. For training MRZSpotter (fine), we augmented the dataset by randomly rotating the image in the range of [-20°, 20°] with respect to the upright position. We found that it is important to make sure that the rotation angle is small for MRZSpotter (fine). We then cropped the images so that the cropped region is a square and the MRZ region is roughly centered within image with the left and right borders randomly selected to be 0.05-0.25 times the width of the MRZ region. For both MRZSpotter (coarse) and MRZSpotter (fine), we trained the model for 120 epochs with Adam optimizer [24] and a initial learning rate of 0.0001, $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.999$. The learning rate was decreased by a factor of 10 at 60 epochs. The batch size was selected to be 6. The models were trained with a single GeForce RTX 2070 graphic card. The time to train both MRZSpotter (coarse) and MRZSpotter (fine) are approximately 1 day, making it a total of 2 days to train the entire model. Taken together MRZSpotter (fine) and MRZSpotter (coarse), MRZNet has 28.9M parameters. As a comparison, similar deep learning approaches CharNet [54] and FOTS [31] has 89.2M and 35.0M parameters, respectively.
5 Experimental evaluation

In this section, we evaluate the performance of MRZNet. We also report results from ablation studies to explore the impact of our design choices.

5.1 Dataset

We evaluated our algorithm on a dataset consisting of 4820 passport/visa images. The dataset includes 2687 passport images and 2133 visa images from 85 issuing countries. The visa images are all US issued visa the MRZ of which is 2 lines, 44 characters per line. Table 1 summarizes the data distribution over different countries. The dataset contain real world images that were either scanned by a scanner or taken using a smartphone camera and uploaded to our database by our customers. It may contain perspective distortions, scaling, illumination and resolution variation, even motion blur. It reflects the real world images we encounter on a daily basis. Each of these images contains a single passport or visa. We manually annotated the ground truth bounding box and MRZ text for all images using VGG image annotator [11]. It is common that text documents other than passport and visa appears in an image so training with this dataset allow our model to ignore none MRZ text regions. We used 3482 for training, 723 for validation and 615 for testing. We also tested our approach on the publicly available MRZ dataset MIDV-500 [2] and syntheticMRZ [15]. For MIDV-500, we used the passport images that contain a MRZ zone. We removed images in which MRZ zone is not entirely intact. This resulted in 3335 test images. For syntheticMRZ, we randomly selected 17113 images. For both MIDV-500 and syntheticMRZ, we only include images whose MRZ zone is in the most common format, containing two lines of text, 44 characters each. The file paths to the images for the MIDV-500 and syntheticMRZ dataset is available upon request.

5.2 Comparison with existing solution

We compared our MRZNet against existing MRZ recognition solutions: 1) PassportEye [51] which is based on Tesseract OCR [50], 2) MRZ-Detection [25] and 3) UltimateMRZ [1], a deep learning based commercial solution that is based on LSTM [20]. For MRZNet, we used the passport images that contain a MRZ zone. We removed images in which MRZ zone is not entirely intact. This resulted in 3335 test images. For syntheticMRZ, we randomly selected 17113 images. For both MIDV-500 and syntheticMRZ, we only include images whose MRZ zone is in the most common format, containing two lines of text, 44 characters each. The file paths to the images for the MIDV-500 and syntheticMRZ dataset is available upon request.

Table 1: Distribution of our MRZ dataset across issuing countries

| Country          | Num. of samples |
|------------------|-----------------|
| United States    | 2194            |
| Brazil           | 1114            |
| China            | 931             |
| India            | 48              |
| Guatemala        | 45              |
| Venezuela        | 42              |
| Colombia         | 33              |
| El Salvador      | 31              |
| Mexico           | 31              |
| United Kingdom   | 29              |
| Ecuador          | 20              |
| Australia        | 17              |
| Italy            | 15              |
| Other            | 270             |

It can be seen from Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 that our MRZNet outperforms each of the three comparison MRZ detection models as well as other deep learning based end-to-end text retrieval models by a large margin. In Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4 for MRZNet, PassportEye, MRZ-Detection, and UltimateMRZ, the detection rate is defined as the ratio of images whose MRZ character recognition accuracy is higher than 50%, as the ground truth bounding box of SyntheticMRZ dataset is not available, and because PassportEye and MRZ-detection do not output the predicted bounding box. For the three deep learning based approaches, it is rare that the MRZ character recognition accuracy is higher than 50% for an image, so we consider the detection is a success if a text box is found in the MRZ region based on the ground truth bounding box. Table 5 shows examples of text detection results by the various deep learning based approaches. In addition to these approaches, Hartl et al. achieved character recognition rate of 98.6% on the SyntheticMRZ dataset. Their MRZ detection rate, however, is only 56.1% (single frame) and 88.2% rate (5 frames) whereas our single frame MRZ detection rate is 88.66% on the SyntheticMRZ dataset. One possible explanation of this large performance gap is that most existing algorithms rely on traditional image processing techniques or the output of a general OCR, while our method employs convolutional neural network as feature extractor for end-to-end detection and recognition. Additionally, MRZNet is specifically designed to handle MRZ detection and recognition which assumes a fixed target of two lines of 44 characters each whereas the end-to-end scene text detectors proposed in the literature are designed for text lines of arbitrary length. We also reported the run time of all approaches in Table 5.
Fig. 5: MRZ recognition results by end-to-end deep learning approaches. From top to bottom rows: TextSpotter, MaskTextSpotter, CharNet and MRZNet
Table 2: MRZ detection and character recognition (in macro-F1 score) results on our test set for MRZNet and other solutions

| Method        | MRZ Detection | Character Recog. |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| PassportEye   | 26.50%        | 84.47%           |
| MRZ-Detection | 52.36%        | 95.01%           |
| UltimateMRZ   | 68.78%        | 83.04%           |
| TextSpotter   | 21.79%        | 12.07%           |
| MaskTextSpotter| 69.43%        | 13.72%           |
| CharNet       | 74.15%        | 35.53%           |
| MRZNet        | **100.00%**   | **99.25%**       |

* Recognition rate are based on an average of 76 out of 88 characters available in the free version.

Table 3: MRZ detection and character recognition (in macro-F1 score) results on MIDV-500 MRZ dataset [2] for MRZNet and other solutions

| Method        | MRZ Detection | Character Recog. |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| PassportEye   | 27.32%        | 64.93%           |
| MRZ-Detection | 46.30%        | 76.00%           |
| UltimateMRZ   | 77.15%        | 71.69%           |
| TextSpotter   | 21.20%        | 13.37%           |
| MaskTextSpotter| 69.15%        | 19.42%           |
| CharNet       | 74.18%        | 28.44%           |
| MRZNet        | 73.94%        | **85.18%**       |

* Recognition rate are based on an average of 76 out of 88 characters available in the free version.

Table 4: MRZ detection and character recognition (in macro-F1 score) results on SyntheticMRZ [15] dataset for MRZNet and other solutions. For TextSpotter, MaskTextSpotter and CharNet, results can not be generated due to lack of ground truth bounding box label.

| Method        | MRZ Detection | Character Recog. |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|
| PassportEye   | 46.87%        | 84.42%           |
| MRZ-Detection | 86.96%        | 87.59%           |
| UltimateMRZ   | 42.40%        | 78.40%           |
| TextSpotter   | NA            | NA               |
| MaskTextSpotter| NA            | NA               |
| CharNet       | NA            | NA               |
| MRZNet        | **88.66%**    | **90.09%**       |

* Recognition rate are based on an average of 76 out of 88 characters available in the free version.

5.3 Ablation Study

We performed ablation studies to evaluate the effectiveness of the two stage model and the ASPP layers, with the results are reported in Table 6 and Table 7. From Table 6 it can be inferred that using only MRZSpotter (coarse) will result in poor MRZ text recognition accuracy (with 67.87% macro-F1 score as the best result). The primary reason is that the resolution of input image is low. However, we also found that the narrowing box detection IOU and MRZ text recognition macro F1-score accuracy is much improved by using MRZSpotter (fine) after MRZSpotter (coarse). Including one single layer of ASPP improved the MRZ text recognition accuracy from 98.40% to 98.91%. By stacking 3 ASPP layers, the accuracy further improved to 99.21%. These results demonstrate the impact of the proposed two stage model and the ASPP layers.

Table 5: Recognition speed comparison on our test set, (mean ± std). GPU: a single GeForce RTX 3090; CPU: Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5220R

| Method        | CPU time (s) | GPU time(s) |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| PassportEye   | 0.68 ± 0.45  | 0.45 ± 0.34 |
| MRZ-Detection | 2.46 ± 1.01  | 1.33 ± 1.28 |
| UltimateMRZ   | 0.24 ± 0.16  | 0.14 ± 0.16 |
| TextSpotter   | 23.12 ± 3.91 | 0.90 ± 0.49 |
| MaskTextSpotter| 6.74 ± 1.17  | 1.58 ± 0.77 |
| CharNet       | 80.62 ± 9.53 | 9.25 ± 5.34 |
| MRZNet        | 14.82 ± 0.97 | 0.51 ± 0.78 |

6 Conclusion

In this work, we presented MRZNet, a framework specifically designed for localizing and recognizing the MRZ...
Table 7: Results on validation set from MRZSpotter (fine). We show the variation of bounding box detection IOU and MRZ text recognition macro F1-score with different numbers of ASPP layers

| Num. of ASPP | IoU    | Macro F1-score |
|--------------|--------|----------------|
| 0            | 0.9071 | 98.40%         |
| 1            | 0.9059 | 98.91%         |
| 3            | 0.9144 | 99.21%         |

Table 8: Results on validation set from MRZSpotter (fine). We compare results for balanced cross-entropy loss for score map as adopted by EAST \[57\] and dice loss as adopted by our study

| Loss          | IoU    | Macro F1-score |
|---------------|--------|----------------|
| balanced cross-entropy | 0.8906 | 98.34%         |
| dice          | 0.9144 | 99.21%         |

text in passport and visa images. A novel two stage model process is adopted so that MRZNet can handle passport/visa images of various sizes from high resolution images. We proposed MRZSpotter, an end-to-end network for detecting and recognizing MRZ text. By stacking multiple layers of ASPP, we increased the receptive field of the model and improved the MRZ text recognition accuracy. Experiment evaluation demonstrated the effectiveness of our approach compared with existing state-of-the-art models. Possible future research directions could include: 1) adding a dewarp component to the framework to make the pipeline robust to passport images that are warped with curved text lines; 2) modifying the architecture for single character level bounding box detection and recognition in order to further improve the overall robustness of the pipeline; 3) evaluating the performance of our models on passport/visa having MRZ region soiled by smoke, water/mud, ink or other artifacts.
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