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Abstract

Discrimination and violence against sex workers by police are common in many populations and are associated with negative health outcomes, as well as being per se violations of human rights laws and norms. There is a close and mutually reinforcing nexus between legally actionable rights violations and stigma, and reducing human rights violations against sex workers likely requires both legal and societal interventions that address both. In this paper, we first aim to estimate levels of discrimination, violence, and stigma against women sex workers by police in Kenya. Second, we aim to estimate the association between manifestations of discrimination and stigma, on the one hand, and general health care...
utilization and consistent condom use, on the other. Using data from a survey of Kenyan sex workers, we 
document widespread discrimination and stigma. Through regression analyses, participants with the 
highest levels of all three categories of manifestations of discrimination and stigma reported significant 
lower consistent condom use. Those with the highest levels of witnessed/heard manifestations were 
significantly more likely to delay or avoid needed health care, and the highest level of experienced 
manifestations were associated with a marginally significant increase in delay or avoidance. Our findings 
document a plethora of violations of human rights obligations under Kenyan and international law.

Background

There is a close nexus between discrimination, vi-
olence, and other abuses that are actionable under international and national human rights regimes, 
on the one hand, and stigma as a social process, on the other. Discrimination, the remediation of 
which is an obligation of immediate effect in international human rights law, essentially occurs when 
members of groups that are situated similarly are instead treated differently. For discrimination to be 
legally actionable, disparate treatment must burden a protected group or be on prohibited grounds that 
are defined in international law and domestic consti-
tutions and law.1

Stigma, meanwhile, is seen by social scientists 
as a process that distinguishes and labels differenc-
es, causes those differences to be associated with socially disfavored traits, and separates outgroups 
from ingroups. This, in turn, allows power to be exercised in such a way that further diminishes the 
power and social status of those who are stig-
mated.2 Discrimination is thus a cause of the process 
by which stigmatization occurs. Once marking and 
treating individuals as “the other” is authorized by 
governments—either directly or indirectly, such 
as by failing to redress discrimination by private actors—stigma is exacerbated.3

Simultaneously, the process of stigmatizing a group is an important mechanism by which 
discrimination comes into being. Once groups are stigmatized in a society, it is harder for them to 
make claims to being equally situated in the eyes of the law. Policies concerning such groups become increasingly likely to be based in animus or stereo-
type because those who make and enforce policies wrongly believe the distinctions to be material, and diminished power precludes effective protection 
against animus or contestation of stereotypes.4 Thus, while legal measures to prevent and remedy 
discrimination and other acts that harm margin-
alized groups are necessary to reduce stigma, steps 
to reduce stigmatization are often also required to 
create the political space in which anti-discrimina-
tion law can be effectuated.5

In the usual social science framework, then, discrimination and stigma are mutual causes with a 
partial conceptual overlap.6 Though stigma is com-
monly thought of as a mental state, social scientists 
think of it as a social process with measurable man-
ifestations. Stigma can be measured in its various 
manifestations: the experience of discriminatory 
and othering acts (experienced stigma), the obser-
vation (witnessed or heard stigma) or secondhand 
perception of their likelihood, the anticipation of 
such acts (anticipated stigma), and internalization 
toward oneself (internalized stigma). Usually, mul-
tiple and layered manifestations act on the same 
person.7 Acts of discrimination are measured with-
in the concept of experienced stigma and are often actionable under the law. Other manifestations of 
stigma are often not legally actionable but cause meaningful harm to both individuals and groups, 
and failing to address them undermines the effec-
tive protection of human rights.

Around the world, sex workers encounter high levels of discrimination, violence, and stigma by police. While the problem is ubiquitous, levels are highest in settings where sex work is criminalized, granting police the opportunity to exploit power differentials over sex workers, and exacerbated where legal systems and policing culture do not provide accountability for misconduct. Abuses committed by police include verbal and physical abuse, arrest, refusal to protect, and confiscation of condoms. This conduct violates state duties to respect a variety of human rights protected under international law and most countries’ domestic law. These rights include the right to be free from discrimination; freedom from cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment; the right to the security of one’s person; the right to be treated with dignity; the right to privacy; the right to personal autonomy; and the right to equality before the law. When conduct directly impedes access to public health or health care—either because sex workers experience wrongful acts or because of anticipated, witnessed or heard, or internalized manifestations of stigma created by police—violations of the right to the highest attainable standard of health also exist.

Links between discrimination, violence, and stigma against sex workers, greater exposure to health risks, and reduced health care access and utilization are well documented across a variety of contexts. Existing research framed through the lens of stigma against sex workers by police and health outcomes has focused primarily on experienced stigma. It has focused principally on outcomes related to HIV and other sexually transmitted infections, finding strong evidence that experiencing negative police interactions is associated with reduced condom carrying and use, as well as increased risk of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections. UNAIDS has recognized the criminalization of sex work as a driver of HIV risk among sex workers, who bear disproportionate levels of HIV risk. Additionally, violent injury at the hands of police is well documented, and research also indicates that stigma manifesting as police refusal to protect increases the likelihood of injury by private actors.

Only a few studies have examined linkages between police conduct and health care utilization outside of HIV services, and research is lacking from sub-Saharan Africa. Additionally, while a few studies have found aspects of anticipated and witnessed or heard stigma by police to be extensive, little work has examined the association between anticipated and witnessed or heard manifestations of police stigma and health outcomes. Anticipated and witnessed or heard stigma against sex workers by other actors is associated with a variety of adverse health outcomes. While the interconnectedness of the right to health and violations of other human rights is central to the modern conception of health and human rights, achieving a more nuanced understanding of this relationship is important for both effective human rights advocacy and public health programming.

Kenya is a particularly important country in which to understand linkages between human rights violations or manifestations of stigma against sex workers by police and health care outcomes. Though Kenya has made substantial progress in reducing HIV incidence and increasing access to treatment nationwide, the prevalence of HIV remains very high among sex workers—with estimates in Nairobi of about 30%. While accurate estimates of the number of people engaged in sex work are difficult to acquire, a recent study estimates that about 5% of urban women aged 15 to 49 engage in sex work. Simultaneously, Kenya has progressive constitutional provisions that should limit police misconduct and impunity for it; however, it also has a long legal tradition—reaching back to the colonial era—of failing to respect and protect the rights of sex workers. As a result, recent studies suggest that violence against sex workers, including by police, increased in Kenya between 2013 and 2017.

This study aims to answer the following questions. First, how often are manifestations of stigma—including those rooted in discrimination and expressed as various rights violations—by police reported by a sample of female sex workers in Kenya? Second, are these manifestations associated...
with reductions in general health care utilization and consistent condom use among Kenyan female sex workers—and if so, to what extent?

Methods

Participants and procedures

Survey data on which this analysis is based have been more fully described by Laura Nyblade et al. and are briefly summarized here.22 We drew a sample of 497 female sex workers in January 2015 with a modified respondent driven sampling (RDS) approach, stratified by four locations in Kenya to capture rural, urban, and transit corridor settings. Participants were eligible for inclusion if they were at least 18 years old, had lived in a study location for at least six months, and reported earning a significant portion of their income from sex work during the past three months. Partner sex worker organizations recruited 96 initial participants, who recruited up to four additional participants apiece. Those participants could then recruit up to four additional participants, and so forth. Because participation was greater than anticipated, the survey’s target sample was achieved within two waves, precluding full RDS analysis. (A companion sample of 232 male sex workers was also drawn but not included in this analysis due to insufficient sample size.)

Trained third-party interviewers collected information through face-to-face interviews conducted in private spaces at partner organizations’ offices. We selected interviewers through a competitive process to enhance surveying quality. All interviewers were trained on stigma, research ethics, and the survey instrument. We obtained ethics approval from the institutional review boards at the Kenya Medical Research Institute and Health Media Labs. All participants gave written informed consent prior to participating, and we complied fully with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Measures

Outcomes. We examined two principal outcomes, both self-reported: (1) avoidance or delay of general health (non-HIV) services perceived to be needed and (2) consistent condom use. To construct the health care avoidance or delay variables, we first asked respondents if they or their children had needed any services in the last 12 months and, among those who had needed health care services, whether they had ever not sought or delayed the service. Respondents were then separately asked to classify what health services they had used, avoided, or delayed up to the three most recent services (for example, maternal health, treatment for injury, etc.). Respondents were classified as avoiding or delaying a service if it was reported at least once. Condom use was assessed by asking respondents, “Of all the times you had sex in the last 12 months, how often was a condom used?” Response options were never, almost never, sometimes, often, and always. We dichotomized the variable into always or any other response.

Discriminatory and othering acts by police. The development of the discrimination and stigma items has been previously described.23 We focused on three types of manifestations of police-related discrimination and stigma in this analysis: anticipated stigma, discriminatory and othering acts (experienced stigma), and witnessed or heard discrimination and othering acts.

Anticipated stigma and discrimination—the fear that stigma and discrimination will happen—was assessed by six items. They included fear of insult, harassment, or threat and fear of physical harm by police. Additionally, respondents indicated whether they feared carrying condoms because of trouble with police or askaris (as two separate items, the former referring to national government law enforcement officers and the latter referring to officers under the jurisdiction of county government) and whether they feared taking condoms from outreach workers because of possible trouble with police or askaris (again, two separate items). Fear about police and askaris were collapsed because responses were highly correlated, leaving four variables. Respondents were asked to report frequency and could respond never or not in the last 12 months (collapsed into one category), once, a few times, or often. For ease of interpretation, we
assigned these responses values from 0 to 3 and summed respondents’ responses. We then categorized respondents as reporting none (a summed score of 0) or into tertiles of those who had anticipated police stigma and discrimination. We assessed the anticipated items’ internal consistency using ordinal alpha, and it met generally accepted criteria (0.87).24

The experience of discrimination and othering acts (experienced stigma) was constructed from respondents’ report of police engaging in five types of conduct toward them: verbal assault or harassment, physical harm, confiscation or destruction of condoms, arrest, or refusal to protect or take a statement. Witnessed and heard stigma was constructed from respondents’ report seeing or hearing of the same types of conduct toward other female sex workers. We constructed scores and categorized respondents’ experiences of discrimination and othering acts and witnessed/heard stigma the same way as for anticipated stigma. Both scales demonstrated good internal consistency using ordinal alpha (discrimination and othering acts=0.92; witnessed/heard=0.92).

For inclusion in secondary analyses, we also constructed variables specifically measuring manifestations related to condom possession. For discrimination and experienced stigma, responses to the item about how often police had confiscated or destroyed the respondent’s condoms in the last 12 months were categorized as never, once or a few times, and often. A single item asking how often respondents have witnessed or heard about police destroying or confiscating sex workers’ condoms was categorized the same way. Four anticipated stigma and discrimination questions, how often the respondent was fearful of either carrying or taking condoms because she might get into trouble with either police or askaris, were collapsed and then categorized into a comparable three-level variable.

Control variables. We included anticipated stigma and discrimination from health care workers as a control variable because we expected it to reduce health care utilization based on previous research, and it may correlate with manifestations of stigma and discrimination from police.25 We measured this using two items—how often the respondent reported being fearful of gossip and how often the respondent reported being fearful of verbal harassment, insult, or threat from health care workers (never to often in the last 12 months). Because the items were strongly correlated, we constructed an ordinal variable for whether the respondent reported never, once or only a few times, or often anticipating at least one of these fears.

Other control variables included the site from which the respondent was recruited, the frequency with which she reported needing health services in the last 12 months (once, a few times, or often), quintiles of age, education (primary or less, secondary, or tertiary), marital status (never versus ever married or partnered), quintiles of time as a sex worker, and quintiles of income from sex work. All items can be found in the survey instrument, provided in the link to supplement 1.

Statistical methods
We described the sample using standard approaches: means, standard deviations, frequencies, and percentages. We then examined the association between discrimination and othering acts (experienced stigma), anticipated stigma, and witnessed or heard stigma by separately fitting logistic regression models. For avoidance or delay of general health care services, the base model also included the variable for how frequently the respondent had needed services in the last 12 months, and it was restricted to those respondents reporting that they needed services at least once. The base model for condom use included only stigma and discrimination and recruitment site. Fully adjusted models additionally included control variables for age, education, marital status, length of time as a sex worker, income from sex work, HIV status, and anticipated stigma from health care workers (in models of health care utilization only). Because odds ratios are often misinterpreted, we estimated and graphed adjusted probabilities of our outcomes of interest at various stigma and discrimination levels using marginal effects with other covariates held at their observed levels.
As a robustness check, we constructed tertiles of discrimination and othering acts, anticipated stigma, and witnessed or heard stigma in an alternative manner that has fewer assumptions about the survey items. Supplement 2 presents results from regression models substituting these discrimination and stigma variables, as well as the concordance between scores from this and our main approach. Finally, we used structural equation modeling to construct path models to test a hypothesis that lower consistent condom use was directly associated with the highest level of discrimination and othering acts (experienced stigma) and anticipated stigma, that experienced discrimination and othering acts transmitted an association through anticipated stigma, and that witnessed or heard stigma transmitted an association only through anticipated stigma. Supplement 2 provides fuller details of the approach we used.

All analyses used Stata, version 15.1.

Results

Participant characteristics

Participant characteristics are provided in table 1. Of note, most participants had primary schooling or less (58.6%), reported needing health care services for themselves or their children at least once in the last year (89.9%), and were not living with HIV (72.2%). Participants had been engaged in sex work for a median of four years and earned a median of 312,000 Kenyan shillings from sex work.

| Table 1. Participant characteristics |
|-------------------------------------|
| Median (interquartile range)        |
| Age (years)                        | 27 (24 to 32) |
| Years in sex work                  | 4 (2 to 7)    |
| Annual income from sex work (shillings) | 312,000 (156,000 to 520,000) |
| % (n)                              |
| Education level                    |
| Primary or less                    | 58.6% (291)   |
| Secondary                          | 35.8% (178)   |
| Tertiary                           | 5.4% (27)     |
| Not reported                       | 0.2% (1)      |
| Marital status                     |
| Single or never married            | 52.5% (261)   |
| Ever married or with partner       | 46.9% (233)   |
| Not reported                       | 0.6% (3)      |
| Frequency needing health services in last 12 months |
| Never                              | 10.1% (50)    |
| Once                               | 7.0% (35)     |
| A few times                        | 51.9% (258)   |
| Often                              | 30.4% (151)   |
| Not reported                       | 0.6% (3)      |
| HIV status                         |
| Positive                           | 23.1% (115)   |
| Negative                           | 72.2% (359)   |
| Does not know                      | 0.2% (1)      |
| Never tested                       | 1.2% (6)      |
| Not reported                       | 3.2% (16)     |
| Location                           |
| Nairobi                            | 36.8% (183)   |
| Kitui                              | 15.3% (76)    |
| Busia                              | 25.6% (127)   |
| Homa Bay                           | 22.3% (111)   |
(approximately US$3,000) annually. Missing data were rare, with choice not to report HIV status the most common (3.2%).

**Prevalence of manifestations of discrimination, othering acts, and stigma**

Three-quarters of respondents experienced one or more manifestations of discriminatory and othering acts (experienced stigma) by police in the past year, with 50% reporting often experiencing at least one manifestation in the past 12 months (table 2). The most common manifestations were arrest (62.4% at least once; 35.0% often), verbal abuse (59.0% at least once; 36.0% often), and physical abuse (45.3% at least once; 24.5% often). Forty percent reported at least one instance of police refusal to take the respondent’s statement or render protection. Two-thirds of respondents were fearful of one or more manifestation in the prior year. The most common anticipated manifestations were physical abuse (55.3% at least once; 37.6% often), verbal abuse (50.3% at least once; 33.4% often), and fearing trouble with police or askaris for carrying condoms (23.1% at least once; 13.5% often). Ninety percent of respondents reported witnessing or hearing about one or more manifestation, including, most frequently, arrest (84.5% at least once; 69.0% often), verbal abuse (73.6% at least once; 53.0% often), and physical abuse (68.3% at least once; 47.8% often).

**Associations with avoidance and delay of health services**

As respondents reported increased witnessed or heard manifestations, avoidance or delay of general healthcare services monotonically increased. Those reporting the highest level had 2.7 times the odds of delay or avoidance (95% CI 1.1–6.6) in the fully adjusted model (supplement 2). This corresponds to a 20.0 percentage point (95% CI 0.7–39.3) increase in avoidance or delay compared to those reporting no witnessed or heard manifestations (figure 1). Respondents reporting the highest level of discrimination and othering acts (experienced stigma) had 2.2 times the odds (95% CI 1.0–4.7) of avoiding or delaying general health services in the fully adjusted model, but the association was only marginally significant (p=0.055).

Though overall levels of experienced and witnessed/heard manifestations were associated with greater avoidance or delay of services, the only specific conduct that was by itself associated with delay or avoidance of health care services was po-

| Category of stigma, discrimination, or othering acts (n=497) | Manifestation                                                | At least once | Often |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Experienced or enacted                                     | One or more of the below                                    | 76.1%         | 50.5% |
|                                                            | Arrest for selling sex                                      | 62.4%         | 35.0% |
|                                                            | Verbal assault, harassment, or threat                       | 59.0%         | 36.0% |
|                                                            | Physically hurt                                             | 45.3%         | 24.5% |
|                                                            | Refusal to protect or take statement                        | 40.1%         | 16.9% |
|                                                            | Condom confiscation or destruction                          | 33.4%         | 16.9% |
| Anticipated: Fearful of...                                 | One or more of the below                                    | 67.0%         | 50.7% |
|                                                            | Physically hurt                                             | 55.3%         | 37.6% |
|                                                            | Verbal insult, harassment, or threat                        | 50.3%         | 33.4% |
|                                                            | Trouble for carrying condoms                                | 23.1%         | 13.5% |
|                                                            | Trouble for taking condoms from outreach worker             | 21.1%         | 12.7% |
| Witnessed or heard                                         | One or more of the below                                    | 89.5%         | 76.2% |
|                                                            | Arrest for selling sex                                      | 84.5%         | 69.0% |
|                                                            | Verbal assault, harassment, or threat                       | 73.6%         | 53.0% |
|                                                            | Physically hurt                                             | 68.3%         | 47.8% |
|                                                            | Refusal to protect or take statement                        | 56.0%         | 28.4% |
|                                                            | Condom confiscation or destruction                          | 49.8%         | 30.2% |
lice refusal to protect or take a statement from sex workers. In the fully adjusted model, those often experiencing this form of conduct had 2.6 times the odds (95% CI 1.3–5.4) of avoidance or delay than those who had never experienced it, which corresponds to an increase of 17.8 percentage points (95% CI 6.0–29.6). Those who often witnessed or heard about refusals to protect or take statements had 1.8 times the odds (95% CI 1.0–3.4) of avoidance or delay, corresponding to a 11.7 percentage point (95% CI 0.3–23.2) increase.

**Associations with condom usage**

Adjusting for confounders, women in the highest category of experienced acts of discrimination or other othering acts from police had one-third the odds (OR=0.32, 95% CI 0.17–0.62) of always using a condom over the previous 12 months, which corresponds to a reduction of 24.0 percentage points (95% CI 10.6–37.3) (figure 2). The highest category of witnessed or heard manifestations was associated with a similar reduction (OR=0.28, 95% CI 0.12–0.64), corresponding to a reduction of 26.9 percentage points (95% CI 10.8–43.0); for anticipated manifestations, the odds ratio was 0.50 (95% CI 0.27–0.92), corresponding to a reduction of 14.8 percentage points (95% CI 1.8–27.8).

Women who experienced police confiscation of condoms “often” in the last 12 months had about one-quarter the odds of always using condoms than those who never experienced confiscation in the fully adjusted model (OR=0.26, 95% CI 0.15–0.47) (supplement 2). This corresponds to a reduction of 28.8 percentage points (95% CI 16.6–40.9) (figure 2). Among those who often witnessed or heard about police confiscating condoms, the odds of use were about half (OR=0.48, 95% CI 0.29–0.79), corresponding to a reduction of 16.0 percentage points (95% CI 10.6–22.4). Among those often anticipating trouble, the odds ratio of always using a condom was 0.56 (95% CI 0.33–0.95), corresponding to a reduction of 12.7 percentage points (95% CI 1.1–24.3).

**Figure 1.** Probabilities of avoiding or delaying general health care services and consistent condom use by levels of manifestations of stigma and discrimination by police, adjusting for potential confounders
Path analysis for condom usage met generally accepted guidelines for model fit (supplement 2). Often experiencing condom confiscation was directly associated with being 28.1 percentage points (95% CI 16.3–39.9) less likely to always use condoms and 15.1 percentage points (95% CI 2.5–27.7) more likely to often anticipate trouble with police. Similarly, those who often heard about or witnessed confiscation were 15.0 percentage points (95% CI 5.1–24.9) more likely to often anticipate trouble. However, there was no independent direct association between anticipated trouble with police and reduced condom use.

In all models, unadjusted results were similar to the fully adjusted results. There was a high degree of concordance between scores based on summing items and factor scores based on polychoric correlations. Estimates did not change meaningfully in a robustness check when categories were based on the factor scores (supplement 2).

Discussion

This study documents that Kenyan female sex workers encounter widespread acts by Kenyan police that constitute discrimination, abuse, and othering acts that are manifestations of stigma. Most respondents reported experiencing at least one manifestation, and virtually all had witnessed or heard of such acts from others. Those who had experienced, witnessed, or heard the highest levels of manifestations were markedly more likely to avoid or delay general health care services that they reported needing. Adjusting for confounders, respondents who often experienced police confiscation of condoms were 25 percentage points less likely to consistently use condoms.

Our findings are broadly consistent with existing research. Prior studies have documented that discriminatory and othering acts by police is a common experience for sex workers in sub-Saharan Africa. A few studies find that negative interactions with police are associated with more
inconsistent condom use. In particular, studies from sub-Saharan Africa provide evidence that police harassment leads to hurried condom negotiation and sex workers being less likely to carry condoms. There is relatively little research on the links between such manifestations by police and general health care utilization, a gap this study helps fill. Further, few studies examine anticipated or witnessed/heard stigma and discrimination among sex workers, especially regarding police, though several existing studies have found anticipated and witnessed/heard manifestations from police to be extensive across contexts.

Much of the conduct reported by respondents in this study are per se violations of Kenyan constitutional law and international human rights law. At minimum, we document acts against sex workers that are violations of the following Kenyan constitutional provisions:

- Article 29(c)'s guarantee of the "right not to be subjected to any form of violence from either public or private sources" is violated by physical violence perpetrated by police. In a case decided under Kenya's pre-2010 Constitution—but which made clear that comparable rights exist under the revised Constitution—the Kenyan state is liable for "acts … directly perpetrated against [victims] by the police."
- Article 29(f)'s protection from being "treated or punished in a cruel, inhuman, or degrading manner" is violated by both acts of physical violence and severe verbal abuse.
- Article 31(b)'s guarantee of a "right to privacy, which includes the not to have their person … searched [and] possessions seized," is likely violated by the unwarranted confiscation of condoms and predicate harassment.
- Article 28's guarantee that "every person has inherent dignity and the right to have that dignity respected and protected" is likely violated by all of the above acts, as well as police refusal to take statements from and render protection to female sex workers. While this right is not fully defined in Kenyan law, there is precedent that both humiliation and the refusal to provide "care and attention" by those with a duty of care violates article 28. Further, while government liability for failure to protect from third parties' misconduct is limited in Kenyan case law, "where a report is made … and the police without any justifiable reason refuse to act … they have abdicated their duty to protect."

Sections 153 and 154 of the Kenyan Penal Code—part of its provisions on "offenses against morality"—make living on the earnings of sex work a felony. The criminalization of sex work has repeatedly been identified by UNAIDS as a driver of HIV risk among sex workers, as well as giving police cover to engage in abuse. It is an actionable form of discrimination that both results from and causes further stigma and discrimination. As we identify in this paper, manifestations of stigma and discrimination by police are associated both with decreased health care utilization and decreased consistent condom use. As evidenced by the finding that police refusal to take statements from or render protection to sex workers was individually predictive of delayed and avoided health services, it is likely that police refusal to protect magnifies the effect of discrimination and stigma by private actors. The nexus between criminalization, police misconduct, and increased health risk renders criminalization inconsistent with Kenya's constitutional article 43(1), which enshrines the "right to the highest attainable standard of health." While this study is cross-sectional and therefore cannot directly establish a causal relationship between police conduct and health outcomes, there are empirical and theoretical grounds for inferring causality.

Additionally, while our analysis focuses on the protection of rights that are actionable under the Kenyan Constitution, police conduct violates comparable proportions of international agreements to which Kenya is a state party and which Kenya automatically gives effect in its domestic law, including the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, and
the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights. More specifically, we note that verbal and physical harassment and violence by police targeted to sex workers violate the rights to security, dignity, freedom from inhuman and degrading treatment, and nondiscrimination. To the extent that police misconduct against sex workers occurs without redress, it also violates rights to equal justice. Refusal by police to take statements and to protect sex workers violates the rights to personal security and to dignity. The criminalization of sex work, which leads to sex workers being arrested, criminalizes voluntary and consensual sexual activity and further violates the rights to privacy and to personal autonomy, and, as noted above, the confiscation of condoms violates the right to health.

Reducing discrimination against sex workers and those manifestations of stigma identified in this and other studies will require multifaceted interventions focusing on law reform, economic and social empowerment, and norm changes within police agencies. We note that discrimination and other human rights violations are a cause of further societal stigma, and the effective enforcement of international and domestic human rights law is critical. Similarly, we note that the types of conduct documented in this study are endpoints of the processes by which sex workers are marked and othered by society, so addressing stigma as a root cause of rights violations is also important. Strong evidence suggests that the decriminalization of sex work would reduce rights violations by police by reducing opportunities for abuse and improving the ability of sex workers to organize for their own protection. Indeed, limited evidence from Senegal suggests that the legalization of sex work there has improved access to health services and reduced rights violations.

Several studies suggest that partnerships between police and sex worker organizations can reduce harm, but creating such partnerships likely requires policy change and buy-in from police leadership. Successful interventions in India have included advocacy with senior police officials as a critical component. In particular, interventions should be multilevel, including components such as changing policy and law, training police, providing awareness to media (which can increase police accountability), and empowering sex workers. Though not focused on police, we note that successful interventions to reduce stigma in health care settings in sub-Saharan Africa have been successful when employing a similar whole-of-institution approach. The mobilization of sex workers as advocates for their own right has been particularly successful in India, though criminalization often poses a substantial barrier to mobilization. Collectivization has been found to reduce rights violations and improve agency and resilience in African settings where sex work remains criminalized. There is a vital need for more research on interventions to reduce discrimination and stigma and its manifestations by police, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa.

This study has a number of limitations. First, our data are cross-sectional, so the time-order between explanatory and outcome variables cannot be conclusively established and reverse causation cannot be excluded. However, existing literature and theoretical expectations make it more likely that police misconduct leads to less health care utilization and condom use than vice versa. Longitudinal studies to elucidate these relationships more deeply would be valuable. Second, respondents were recruited through sex worker support organizations, so our participants may have better-than-average access to health and social services than sex workers who are not linked to support organizations. Because we likely failed to equivalently sample sex workers most vulnerable to discrimination and manifestations of stigma, we likely underestimate their prevalence and may also underestimate the strength of its association with health care and condom use outcomes. Third, our sample of respondents living with HIV was too small to examine HIV treatment outcomes or investigate whether HIV status is an effect modifier, and there is likely some degree of misclassification of HIV status in our data because it is both self-reported and sensitive. Finally, all data are self-reported, so there is a risk of response biases. We aimed to re-
duce this risk through the use of carefully screened and trained interviewers and through procedures and study locations that underscored respondents’ privacy.

As identified in this study, police conduct that constitutes human rights violations against sex workers is widespread in Kenya. Such misconduct is associated with worse health outcomes. It violates rights protected by Kenya’s constitution and international agreements to which Kenya is a party. The government of Kenya has a duty to protect sex workers from discrimination and stigma and its manifestations by police, to educate the public on the need to reduce stigma and its manifestations against sex workers, and to investigate allegations of legally actionable discrimination and other rights violations and hold police accountable.

Appendices

Supplement 1: Survey Instrument. Available at https://perma.cc/W6V6-2SLJ.

Supplement 2: Supplemental analyses, data tables, and figures. Available at https://perma.cc/9K4Z-LHZ2.
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