AN UNCONDITIONAL PROOF OF THE ABELIAN EQUIVARIANT IWASAWA MAIN CONJECTURE AND APPLICATIONS
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Abstract. Let \( p \) be an odd prime. We give an unconditional proof of the equivariant Iwasawa main conjecture for totally real fields for every admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie extension whose Galois group has an abelian Sylow \( p \)-subgroup. Crucially, this result does not depend on the vanishing of any \( \mu \)-invariant. As applications, we deduce the Coates–Sinnott conjecture away from its 2-primary part and new cases of the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture for Tate motives.

1. Introduction

Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( K \) be a totally real number field. An admissible \( p \)-adic Lie extension \( \mathcal{L} \) of \( K \) is a Galois extension \( \mathcal{L}/K \) such that (i) \( \mathcal{L}/K \) is unramified outside a finite set of primes of \( K \), (ii) \( \mathcal{L} \) is totally real, (iii) \( \mathcal{G} := \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K) \) is a compact \( p \)-adic Lie group, and (iv) \( \mathcal{L} \) contains the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( K \). The equivariant Iwasawa main conjecture (EIMC) for such an extension \( \mathcal{L}/K \) can be seen as a refinement and generalisation of the classical Iwasawa main conjecture for totally real fields proven by Wiles \([\text{Wil90}]\). Roughly speaking, it relates a certain Iwasawa module attached to \( \mathcal{L}/K \) to special values of Artin \( L \)-functions via \( p \)-adic \( L \)-functions. This relationship can be expressed as the existence of a certain element in an algebraic \( K \)-group; it is also conjectured that this element is unique.

Let \( S \) be a finite set of places of \( K \) containing all infinite places and all places that ramify in \( \mathcal{L} \) (thus \( S \) necessarily contains all primes above \( p \)). Let \( M_S^{ab}(p) \) be the maximal abelian pro-\( p \)-extension of \( \mathcal{L} \) unramified outside \( S \) and set \( X_S = \text{Gal}(M_S^{ab}(p)/\mathcal{L}) \). The canonical short exact sequence of profinite groups

\[
1 \longrightarrow X_S \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(M_S^{ab}(p)/K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 1
\]

defines an action of \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( X_S \) in the usual way so that \( X_S \) becomes a module over the Iwasawa algebra \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}] \). If \( \mathcal{G} \) contains no elements of order \( p \), then \( X_S \) is of finite projective dimension over \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \), and so the EIMC can be stated in terms of \( X_S \). In general, however, \( X_S \) is not of finite projective dimension and so one has to replace \( X_S \) by a certain canonical complex \( C_S^* \) of \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \)-modules which is perfect and whose only non-vanishing cohomology modules are isomorphic to \( X_S \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), respectively.

There are several versions of the EIMC. The first is due to Ritter and Weiss and deals with the case of one-dimensional extensions \([\text{RW04}]\), and was proven under the hypothesis that the \( \mu \)-invariant of \( X_S \) vanishes in a series of articles culminating in \([\text{RW11}]\). In their approach, the complex \( C_S^* \) is obtained from the canonical group extension \((1.1)\) by applying a certain ‘translation functor’ \([\text{RW02a}, \S4A]\) which essentially transforms \((1.1)\)
into a homomorphism of $\Lambda(G)$-modules

$$Y_S \rightarrow \Lambda(G)$$

with kernel $X_S$ and cokernel $\mathbb{Z}_p$. It can be shown that this map defines a complex with the required properties. The second version follows the framework of Coates, Fukaya, Kato, Sujatha and Venjakob [CFK+05] and was proven by Kakde [Kak13], again assuming $\mu = 0$. This version is for arbitrary admissible extensions and Kakde’s proof uses a strategy of Burns and Kato to reduce to the one-dimensional case (see Burns [Bur15]). Here, the choice of complex appears to be different, but in the one-dimensional case both complexes are isomorphic in the derived category of $\Lambda(G)$-modules by a result of the second named author [Nic13 Theorem 2.4] (see also Venjakob [Ven13] for a thorough discussion of the relation of the work of Ritter and Weiss to that of Kakde.) As a consequence, it does not matter which of the two complexes we use. Finally, Greither and Popescu [GP15] formulated and proved another version of the EIMC, but they restricted their formulation to abelian one-dimensional extensions and the formulation itself requires a $\mu = 0$ hypothesis. In [Nic13], the second named author generalised this formulation (again assuming $\mu = 0$) to the non-abelian one-dimensional case. Moreover, he showed that the three formulations are in fact all equivalent in the situation that they make sense, that is, when the extension is one-dimensional and $\mu = 0$. In fact, the proof of this result implicitly shows that the choice of complex $C_S^\bullet$ does not matter when $\mu = 0$, as long as it is perfect and has the prescribed cohomology.

From a result of Ferrero and Washington [FW79], one can deduce that the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis holds whenever $L/K$ is an admissible extension such that $L$ is a pro-$p$ extension of a finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, but unfortunately little is known beyond this case. In previous work [JN18], the present authors proved the EIMC unconditionally for an infinite class of one-dimensional admissible extensions for which the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis is not known to be true. However, such extensions must satisfy certain rather restrictive hypotheses.

In the present article, we prove the EIMC (with uniqueness) in important cases without assuming any $\mu = 0$ hypothesis. The proof relies on the classical (non-equivariant) Iwasawa main conjecture proven by Wiles [Wil90] and the recent groundbreaking work of Dasgupta and Kakde [DK20] on the strong Brumer–Stark conjecture.

In an earlier version of this article, the proof also used a formulation of the EIMC given in the present authors’ article [JN19], where explicit calculations involving the class of $C_S^\bullet$ in the derived category played a crucial role. In the present version, we give a simplified proof that dispenses with the results of loc. cit. and instead uses a purely algebraic result that implies that the precise choice of complex used in the abelian EIMC does not matter, provided that it is perfect and has the prescribed cohomology. In Appendix A, we give a generalisation of this last result in the non-abelian case. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 10.2). Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $K$ be a totally real number field. Let $L/K$ be an abelian admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extension. Then the EIMC with uniqueness holds for $L/K$.

It is natural to ask whether one can deduce the EIMC for all admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extensions from Theorem 10.2 by generalising the approaches of Ritter and Weiss and of Kakde. The first step is to reduce to admissible subextensions with $p$-elementary Galois groups. In the aforementioned approaches, this step relied on the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis. By showing that certain products of maps over subquotients of $G$ are injective and exploiting the functorial properties of the EIMC, we obtain a similar
result without any such hypothesis. We hence deduce the following generalisation of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.2 (Corollary 12.17).** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( K \) be a totally real number field. Let \( L/K \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie extension such that \( \text{Gal}(L/K) \) has an abelian Sylow \( p \)-subgroup. Then the EIMC with uniqueness holds for \( L/K \).

The further reduction steps of previous approaches do not generalise easily as they rely on the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis in a crucial way and hence presently there is no apparent way to deduce the EIMC for all admissible one-dimensional extensions without this hypothesis. Moreover, a serious obstacle to the case of admissible extensions of dimension greater than one is that in general a certain ‘\( \mathcal{M}_\mu(G) \)-conjecture’ is required to even formulate the EIMC in this situation, and that this is presently only known to hold under the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis (see [CK13, p. 5] and [CS12]).

We remark that if Leopoldt’s conjecture holds for \( K \) at \( p \) then every abelian admissible extension of \( K \) must be one-dimensional. Similarly, if Leopoldt’s conjecture holds for \( F \) at \( p \) for all finite totally real extensions \( F/K \) with \( [F : K] \) coprime to \( p \) then every admissible extension of \( K \) whose Galois group has an abelian Sylow \( p \)-subgroup must be one-dimensional. Hence the hypothesis that the extensions considered in Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are one-dimensional is not really restrictive. Moreover, the one-dimensional case of the EIMC often suffices for applications, some of which we will now discuss.

The equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture (ETNC) has been formulated by Burns and Flach [BF01] in vast generality. In the case of Tate motives, it simply asserts that an associated canonical element in a relative algebraic \( K \)-group vanishes. Roughly speaking, this element relates leading terms of Artin \( L \)-functions to certain arithmetic invariants.

Let \( L/K \) be a finite Galois CM extension of number fields and let \( G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \). Hence \( K \) is totally real, \( L \) is totally complex and complex conjugation induces a unique central automorphism in \( G \). In the case that the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis holds (for the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of the maximal totally real subfield of \( L(\zeta_p) \), where \( \zeta_p \) denotes a primitive \( p \)th root of unity), it is known by independent work of Burns [Bur15] and of the second named author [Nic13] that the EIMC implies the plus (resp. minus) \( p \)-part of the ETNC for the pair \( (h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), \mathbb{Z}[G]) \) if \( r \) is a negative odd (resp. even) integer. In both approaches, the main reason for the \( \mu = 0 \) assumption is to ensure the validity of the EIMC. Thus at first sight, Theorem 1.3 below appears to be a direct consequence of our results on the EIMC above. However, Burns’ descent argument relies on the formalism developed by Burns and Venjakob in [BV11]. For this, the cohomology of a certain complex at finite level needs to be ‘\( S \)-torsion’ in the terminology of [BV11] if \( p \) divides \( |G| \). (Note this is not related to the set \( S \) used in the present article.) In the context of the EIMC, this is in fact equivalent to \( \mu = 0 \). Moreover, the approach of the second named author in [Nic13] relies on the aforementioned version of the abelian EIMC of Greither and Popescu [GP15] whose very formulation depends on the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis. Therefore that Corollary 1.2 implies the following result requires a new proof.

**Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 14.2).** Let \( p \) be an odd prime. Let \( L/K \) be a finite Galois CM extension of number fields and let \( G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \). Suppose that \( G \) has an abelian Sylow \( p \)-subgroup. Then for each negative odd (resp. even) integer \( r \) the plus (resp. minus) \( p \)-part of the ETNC for the pair \( (h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), \mathbb{Z}[G]) \) holds.

Now assume that \( L/K \) is a finite abelian extension of number fields. Let \( S \) be a finite set of places of \( K \) that contains all infinite places and all places that ramify in \( L \). We
write $\mathcal{O}_{L,S}$ for the ring of $S(L)$-integers in $L$, where $S(L)$ denotes the set of places of $L$ that lie above a place in $S$. For an integer $n \geq 0$ we let $K_n(\mathcal{O}_{L,S})$ denote the Quillen $K$-group of $\mathcal{O}_{L,S}$. Using $L$-values at negative integers $r$ one can define Stickelberger elements $\theta_S(r)$ in the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. If we write $K_{1−2r}(\mathcal{O}_L)_{\text{tors}}$ for the torsion subgroup of $K_{1−2r}(\mathcal{O}_L)$, then by independent work of Deligne and Ribet [DR80] and of Pi. Cassou-Noguès [CN79] we have

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(K_{1−2r}(\mathcal{O}_L)_{\text{tors}})\theta_S(r) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[G].$$

Coates and Sinnott [CS74] formulated the following analogue of Brumer’s conjecture for higher $K$-groups.

**Conjecture 1.4** (Coates–Sinnott). Let $L/K$ be a finite abelian extension of number fields and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $r$ be a negative integer and let $S$ be a finite set of places of $K$ that contains all infinite places and all places that ramify in $L$. Then we have

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(K_{1−2r}(\mathcal{O}_L)_{\text{tors}})\theta_S(r) \subseteq \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(K_{−2r}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S})).$$

Let $p$ be an odd prime and suppose in addition that $S$ contains all $p$-adic places of $K$. For any negative integer $r$ and $i = 0, 1$ Soulé [Sou79] has constructed canonical $G$-equivariant $p$-adic Chern class maps

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{1−2r}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}) \rightarrow H^2_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}), \mathbb{Z}_p(1−r)).$$

Soulé proved surjectivity and by the norm residue isomorphism theorem [Wei09] (formerly known as the Quillen–Lichtenbaum Conjecture) these maps are actually isomorphisms.

This allows us to work with an étale cohomological version of Conjecture 1.4. For a variant of this version it has been shown in [GP15, §6] that it suffices to consider abelian CM extensions. We therefore obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 1.5** (Corollary 14.4). The Coates–Sinnott conjecture holds away from its $2$-primary part.
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**Notation and conventions.** All rings are assumed to have an identity element and all modules are assumed to be left modules unless otherwise stated. We shall sometimes abuse notation by using the symbol $\oplus$ to denote the direct product of rings or orders. We fix the following notation:
2. The Brumer–Stark conjecture

2.1. Equivariant Artin $L$-functions and values. Let $L/K$ be a finite Galois extension of number fields and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. For each place $v$ of $K$ we fix a place $w$ of $L$ above $v$ and write $G_w$ and $I_w$ for the decomposition group and the inertia subgroup of $G$ at $w$, respectively. When $w$ is a finite place, we choose a lift $\sigma_w \in G_w$ of the Frobenius automorphism at $w$ and write $\mathfrak{P}_w$ for the associated prime ideal in $L$. For a finite place $v$ of $K$ we denote the cardinality of its residue field by $N_v$.

Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $K$ containing the infinite places $S_\infty = S_\infty(K)$. Let $\text{Irr}_\mathbb{C}(G)$ denote the set of complex irreducible characters of $G$. For $\chi \in \text{Irr}_\mathbb{C}(G)$ let $V_\chi$ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module with character $\chi$. The $S$-truncated Artin $L$-function $L_S(s, \chi)$ is defined as the meromorphic extension to the whole complex plane of the holomorphic function given by the Euler product

$$L_S(s, \chi) = \prod_{v \in S} \det(1 - (Nv)^{-s} \sigma_w)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$ 

The primitive central idempotents of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ attached to elements of $\text{Irr}_\mathbb{C}(G)$ form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of its centre $\zeta(\mathbb{C}[G])$ and thus there is a canonical isomorphism $\zeta(\mathbb{C}[G]) \cong \prod_{\chi \in \text{Irr}_\mathbb{C}(G)} \mathbb{C}$. The equivariant $S$-truncated Artin $L$-function is defined to be the meromorphic $\zeta(\mathbb{C}[G])$-valued function

$$L_S(s) := (L_S(s, \chi))_{\chi \in \text{Irr}_\mathbb{C}(G)}.$$ 

Now suppose that $T$ is a second finite set of places of $K$ such that $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Then we define

$$\delta_T(s, \chi) := \prod_{v \in T} \det(1 - (Nv)^{-s} \sigma_w^{-1} | V_\chi^{I_w}) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_T(s) := (\delta_T(s, \chi))_{\chi \in \text{Irr}_\mathbb{C}(G)}.$$ 

Let $x \mapsto x^\#$ denote the anti-involution on $\mathbb{C}[G]$ induced by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$. The $(S,T)$-modified $G$-equivariant Artin $L$-function is defined to be

$$\Theta_{S,T}(s) := \delta_T(s) \cdot L_S(s)^\#.$$
Note that \( L_S(s)^\# = (L_S(s, \chi))_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \) where \( \chi \) denotes the character contragredient to \( \chi \). Evaluating \( \Theta_{S,T}(s) \) at \( s = 0 \) gives an \((S, T)\)-modified Stickelberger element
\[
\theta_T^S := \Theta_{S,T}(0) \in \zeta([G]).
\]
Note that a priori we only have \( \mathfrak{a}_L \), but by a result of Siegel [Sie70] we know that \( \theta_T^S \) in fact belongs to \( \zeta([G]) \). If \( T \) is empty, we abbreviate \( \theta_T^S \) to \( \theta_S \). If the extension \( L/K \) is not clear from context, we will also write \( \theta_T^S(L/K), L_S(L/K, s), \delta_T(L/K, s) \) etc.

2.2. Ray class groups. Let \( T \) be a finite set of finite places of \( K \) and let \( T(L) \) denote the set of places of \( L \) above those in \( T \). Let \( I_T(L) \) denote the group of fractional ideals of \( L \) relatively prime to \( \mathfrak{m}_L^T := \prod_{w \in T(L)} \mathfrak{p}_w \). Let \( P_T(L) \) denote the subgroup of \( I_T(L) \) generated by principal ideals \( (x) \) where \( x \in O_L \) satisfies \( x \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_L^T \). Then
\[
\mathfrak{c}_L^T := I_T(L)/P_T(L)
\]
is the ray class group of \( L \) associated to the modulus \( \mathfrak{m}_L^T \). We denote the group \( \mathcal{O}_L^\times \) of units in \( L \) by \( E_L \) and define \( E_L^T := \{ x \in E_L : x \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_L^T \} \). If \( T \) is empty we abbreviate \( \mathfrak{c}_L^T \) to \( \mathfrak{c}_L \). All these modules are equipped with a natural \( G \)-action and we have the following exact sequence of finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-modules
\[
0 \longrightarrow E_L^T \longrightarrow E_L \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L^T)^\times \overset{\nu}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{c}_L^T \longrightarrow \mathfrak{c}_L \longrightarrow 0,
\]
where the map \( \nu \) lifts an element \( \overline{x} \in (\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L^T)^\times \) to \( x \in \mathcal{O}_L \) and sends it to the ideal class \( [(x)] \) of the principal ideal \( (x) \).

2.3. The Brumer and Brumer–Stark conjectures for abelian extensions. We now specialize to the case in which \( L/K \) is an abelian CM extension of number fields. In other words, \( K \) is totally real and \( L \) is a finite abelian extension of \( K \) that is a CM field. Let \( \mu_L \) and \( \mathfrak{c}_L \) denote the roots of unity and the class group of \( L \), respectively.

Let \( S_{\mathrm{ram}} = S_{\mathrm{ram}}(L/K) \) be the set of all places of \( K \) that ramify in \( L/K \). It was shown independently by Pi. Cassou-Noguès [CN79] and by Deligne and Ribet [DR80] that
\[
\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mu_L)\theta_S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[G].
\]
Brumer’s conjecture simply asserts that \( \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mu_L)\theta_S \) annihilates \( \mathfrak{c}_L \) and in the case \( K = \mathbb{Q} \) this is essentially Stickelberger’s theorem [Sti90].

**Hypothesis.** Let \( S \) and \( T \) be finite sets of places of \( K \). We say that \( \text{Hyp}(S, T) = \text{Hyp}(L/K, S, T) \) is satisfied if (i) \( S_{\mathrm{ram}} \cup S_\infty \subseteq S \), (ii) \( S \cap T = \emptyset \), and (iii) \( E_L^T \) is torsionfree.

**Remark 2.1.** Condition (iii) means that there are no non-trivial roots of unity of \( L \) congruent to 1 modulo all primes in \( T(L) \). In particular, this forces \( T \) to be non-empty and will be satisfied if \( T \) contains primes of two different residue characteristics or at least one prime of sufficiently large norm.

If \( S \) and \( T \) are finite sets of places of \( K \) satisfying \( \text{Hyp}(S, T) \) then \((2.3)\) implies that \( \theta_T^S \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \). Moreover, given a finite set \( S \) of places of \( K \) such that \( S_{\mathrm{ram}} \cup S_\infty \subseteq S \), Brumer’s conjecture for \( S \) holds if and only if \( \theta_T^S \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathfrak{c}_L) \) for every finite set of places \( T \) of \( K \) such that \( \text{Hyp}(S, T) \) is satisfied (see [Nie19, Corollary 2.9]). The following strengthening of Brumer’s conjecture was stated by Tate and is known as the Brumer–Stark conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.2.** For every pair \( S, T \) of finite sets of places of \( K \) satisfying \( \text{Hyp}(S, T) \) we have \( \theta_T^S \in \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathfrak{c}_L^T) \).
In fact, as explained in [DK20, §1], Conjecture 2.2 is slightly different from the actual statement proposed by Tate [Tat84, Conjecture IV.6.2], but it is the former that will be the most convenient for our purposes. We also note that Conjecture 2.2 decomposes into local conjectures at each prime \( p \) after replacing \( \text{cl}_p \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Z} \text{cl}_p \).

For generalisations of the Brumer–Stark conjecture to not necessarily abelian extensions we refer the interested reader to the survey article [Nic19].

2.4. The strong Brumer–Stark conjecture for abelian extensions. If \( M \) is a finitely presented module over a commutative ring \( R \), we denote the (initial) Fitting ideal of \( M \) over \( R \) by \( \text{Fitt}_R(M) \). For an abstract abelian group \( A \) we write \( A' \) for the Pontryagin dual \( \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \). This induces an equivalence between the categories of abelian profinite groups and discrete abelian torsion groups (see [NSW08, Theorem 1.1.1]) and the discussion thereafter. For a prime \( p \) and a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \)-module \( M \), we have \( M' = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \), and this is endowed with the contragredient \( G \)-action \( (gf)(m) = f(g^{-1}m) \) for \( f \in M' \), \( g \in G \) and \( m \in M \).

Let \( j \) denote the unique complex conjugation in \( G \). For a \( G \)-module \( M \) we write \( M^+ \) and \( M^- \) for the submodules of \( M \) upon which \( j \) acts as \( 1 \) and \( -1 \), respectively. In particular, we shall be interested in \( (\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{cl}_p)^- \) for odd primes \( p \); we will abbreviate this module to \( A_p^{\tau} \) when \( p \) is clear from context; if \( T \) is empty we further abbreviate this to \( A_\emptyset \). Note that \( A_p^{\tau} \) and \( (A_p^{\tau})' \) are modules of finite cardinality over the ring \( \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^- := \mathbb{Z}_p[G]/(1+j) \). The following result was conjectured by Kurihara [Kur] and is known as the strong Brumer–Stark conjecture; it was recently proven in groundbreaking work of Dasgupta and Kakde [DK20, Corollary 3.8] (in fact, they also prove an even stronger conjecture of Kurihara [Kur, Conjecture 3.2]).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( S,T \) be finite sets of places of \( K \) such that \( \text{Hyp}(S,T) \) is satisfied. Then \( (\theta_S^\tau)^\# \in \text{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}((A_p^{\tau})') \).

Theorem 2.3 can be seen as a refinement of the ‘\( p \)-part’ of Conjecture 2.2 (with \( p \) odd), once we observe that: (i) the Fitting ideal of a module is contained in its annihilator; (ii) \( \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}((M'))^\# \) for every \( \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^- \)-module \( M \) of finite cardinality; and (iii) \( j \) acts as \(-1 \) on \( \theta_S^T \), so the element \( \theta_S^T \) annihilates a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \)-module \( M \) if and only if it annihilates \( M^- \).

**Remark 2.4.** Greither and Kurihara [GK08] have given counterexamples to the ‘dual’ version of Theorem 2.3, which asserts that \( \theta_S^T \in \text{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}((A_p^{\tau})') \) under the same hypotheses. They have also given counterexamples to the assertion \( \theta_S^\tau \in \text{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}((A_p^{\tau})') \ [GK15, \S 0.1] \) (see also [Kur11]).

**Remark 2.5.** For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we shall only require a weaker version of Theorem 2.3 with the additional hypothesis that \( S \) contains all the places of \( K \) above \( p \).

2.5. Minus \( p \)-parts of ray class groups in cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extensions. The following result is [GP15, Lemma 2.9] and will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We include the short proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( L/K \) be a Galois CM extension of number fields. Let \( T \) be a finite set of finite places of \( K \). Let \( L_n \) be the \( n \)th layer of the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( L \). Then the canonical maps \( A_{L_n}^T \to A_{L_{n+1}}^T \) are injective for all \( n \geq 0 \).

**Proof.** In the case \( T = \emptyset \) this is [Was97, Proposition 13.26]. The general case follows from the case \( T = \emptyset \) by a snake lemma argument applied to the exact sequence (2.2) after taking ‘minus \( p \)-parts’ (this is an exact functor since \( p \) is odd). □
3. Algebraic $K$-theory and complexes

3.1. Preliminaries on algebraic $K$-theory. Let $\Lambda$ be a ring and let $\text{PMod}(\Lambda)$ denote the category of finitely generated projective (left) $\Lambda$-modules. We write $K_0(\Lambda)$ for the Grothendieck group of $\text{PMod}(\Lambda)$ (see [CR87, §38]) and $K_1(\Lambda)$ for the Whitehead group (see [CR87, §40]). Let $K_0(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ denote the relative algebraic $K$-group associated to a ring homomorphism $\Lambda \to \Lambda'$. We recall that $K_0(\Lambda, \Lambda')$ is an abelian group with generators $[X, g, Y]$ where $X$ and $Y$ are objects of $\text{PMod}(\Lambda)$ and $g : \Lambda' \otimes_{\Lambda} X \to \Lambda' \otimes_{\Lambda} Y$ is an isomorphism of $\Lambda'$-modules; for a full description in terms of generators and relations, we refer the reader to [Swa68, p. 215]. Moreover, there is a long exact sequence of relative $K$-theory (see [Swa68, Chapter 15])

(3.1) \[ K_1(\Lambda) \to K_1(\Lambda') \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\Lambda, \Lambda') \to K_0(\Lambda) \to K_0(\Lambda'). \]

3.2. Complexes of modules over orders in separable algebras. Let $R$ be a noetherian integral domain. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional separable $\text{Quot}(R)$-algebra and let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an $R$-order in $A$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}$ is both left and right noetherian, since $A$ is finitely generated over $R$. The reduced norm map $\text{nr} : A \to \zeta(A)$ is defined componentwise on the Wedderburn decomposition of $A$ and extends to matrix rings over $A$ (see [CR87, §7D]); thus it induces a map $K_1(\Lambda) \to \zeta(A)^\times$, which we also denote by $\text{nr}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{PMod}(\mathfrak{A}))$ be the category of bounded (cochain) complexes of finitely generated projective $\mathfrak{A}$-modules, and let $\mathcal{C}^b_{\text{tor}}(\text{PMod}(\mathfrak{A}))$ be the full subcategory of complexes whose cohomology modules are $R$-torsion. The relative algebraic $K$-group $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$ identifies with the Grothendieck group whose generators are $[C^*]$, where $C^*$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}^b_{\text{tor}}(\text{PMod}(\mathfrak{A}))$, and whose relations are as follows: $[C^*] = 0$ if $C^*$ is acyclic, and $[C_2] = [C_1^+ + [C_3^*]]$ for every short exact sequence

(3.2) \[ 0 \to C^*_1 \to C^*_2 \to C^*_3 \to 0 \]

in $\mathcal{C}^b_{\text{tor}}(\text{PMod}(\mathfrak{A}))$ (see [Wei13, Chapter 2] or [Suj13, §2], for example).

Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the derived category of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules. A complex of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules is said to be perfect if it is isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ to an element of $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{PMod}(\mathfrak{A}))$. We denote the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ comprising perfect complexes by $\mathcal{D}^\text{perf}(\mathfrak{A})$, and the full triangulated subcategory comprising perfect complexes whose cohomology modules are $R$-torsion by $\mathcal{D}^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then any object of $\mathcal{D}^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$ defines an element in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$. In particular, a finitely generated $R$-torsion $\mathfrak{A}$-module $M$ of finite projective dimension considered as a complex concentrated in degree 0 defines an element $[M] \in K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$.

For any integer $n$ and any cochain complex $C^*$ of $\mathfrak{A}$-modules we write $C[n]^*$ for the $n$-shifted complex given by $C[n]^i = C^{n+i}$ with differential $d^n_{C[n]} = (-1)^n d^{n+i}_{C^*}$. Note that if $C^* \in \mathcal{D}^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$ then $[C[n]^*] = (-1)^n [C^*]$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$.

The following result is certainly well known to experts, but there does not appear to be a precise reference in the literature (it essentially follows from [BB05, Proposition 3.1]).

**Proposition 3.1.** Suppose that every finitely generated $\mathfrak{A}$-module is of finite projective dimension. Then for each object $C^*$ of $\mathcal{D}^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$ we have $[C^*] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i [\text{H}^i(C^*)]$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$.

**Proof.** Note that [Lan02, Chapter XX, §1, Proposition 1.1] imply that every bounded (cochain) complex of finitely generated $\mathfrak{A}$-modules is perfect. We claim that the complex $C^*$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{A})$ to a bounded complex $D^*$ of finitely generated $R$-torsion modules. Then $[C^*] = [D^*]$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$ and thus we can and do assume that $C^* = D^*$. We write $ZC^*$, $BC^*$ and $HC^*$ for the complexes of cocycles,
coboundaries and cohomologies of $C^\bullet$, respectively, each with zero differentials. Note that all these complexes are in $D_{\text{tor}}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ and hence define elements in $K_0(\mathcal{A}, A)$. Now the short exact sequences of complexes
\[0 \to BC^\bullet \to ZC^\bullet \to HC^\bullet \to 0, \quad 0 \to ZC^\bullet \to C^\bullet \to BC^\bullet[1] \to 0\]
imply that we have
\[[C^\bullet] = [ZC^\bullet] - [BC^\bullet] = [HC^\bullet] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i[H^i(C^\bullet)]\]
in $K_0(\mathcal{A}, A)$, as desired.

It remains to show the claim. We can and do assume that $C^\bullet$ is of the form
\[\cdots \to 0 \to P^0 \to \cdots \to P^{j-2} \xrightarrow{d^{j-2}} P^{j-1} \xrightarrow{d^{j-1}} P^j \xrightarrow{d^j} T^{j+1} \to T^{j+2} \to \cdots \to T^n \to 0 \to \cdots\]
for integers $j \leq n$, where each $P^i$ and each $T^i$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{A}$-module placed in degree $i$, the $P^i$ are projective and the $T^i$ are $R$-torsion (one may always take $j = n$ so that all modules in the complex are in fact projective). We now do downward induction on $j$ to show the claim. Since both $T^{j+1}$ and $H^j(C^\bullet)$ are $R$-torsion, there is a non-zero $r \in R$ such that $rP^j \subseteq \text{im}(d^{j-1})$. As $P^j$ is projective, there is a necessarily injective map $\varphi : P^j \to P^{j-1}$ such that $d^{j-1} \circ \varphi$ is multiplication by $r$. We set $T^j := P^j/rP^j$. If $j > 1$ we define a complex
\[(\tilde{C})^\bullet : \cdots \to P^{j-2} \oplus P^j \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}^{j-2}} P^{j-1} \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}^{j-1}} T^j \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}^j} T^{j+1} \to \cdots\]
where $\tilde{d}^{j-1}$ and $\tilde{d}^j$ are induced from $d^{j-1}$ and $d^j$, respectively, and $\tilde{d}^{j-2} = (d^{j-2}, \varphi)$. Now a straightforward, but rather lengthy diagram chase shows that the canonical map of complexes $C^\bullet \to (\tilde{C})^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism. For this observe that $\varphi(P^j) \cap \text{ker}(d^{j-1}) = 0$ by construction and hence $\text{ker}(\tilde{d}^{j-2}) \cong \text{ker}(d^{j-2})$. For $j = 1$ the argument is slightly different. We set $T^0 := P^0/\varphi(P^1)$ and let $d^0 : T^0 \to T^1$ be induced from $d^0$. Then the canonical map of complexes is again a quasi-isomorphism. Moreover, since the $\mathcal{A}$-modules $T^1$ and $\text{ker}(d^0) \cong H^0(C^\bullet)$ are $R$-torsion, so is $T^0$.

Again, the following result is surely well known to experts, but there does not appear to be a precise reference in the literature.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that $C^\bullet$ is an object of $D_{\text{tor}}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $H^i(C^\bullet) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{k\}$. Then $H^k(C^\bullet)$ is of finite projective dimension over $\mathcal{A}$ and $[C^\bullet] = (-1)^k[H^k(C^\bullet)]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{A}, A)$.

**Proof.** We can and do assume without loss of generality that $k > 0$ and that $C^\bullet$ is of the form
\[\cdots \to 0 \to P^0 \to \cdots \to P^{k-1} \xrightarrow{d^{k-1}} P^k \xrightarrow{d^k} P^{k+1} \to \cdots \to P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} P^n \to 0 \to \cdots,\]
where $k \leq n$ and each $P^i$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathcal{A}$-module placed in degree $i$. If $k < n$ then $C^\bullet$ is exact in degree $n$, so we have the short exact sequence
\[0 \to \text{ker}(d^{n-1}) \to P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} P^n \to 0,\]
and since $P^n$ is projective we conclude that $\text{ker}(d^{n-1})$ is also projective. Thus without loss of generality we can and do replace $P^n$ by 0 and $P^{n-1}$ by $\text{ker}(d^{n-1})$. Therefore by downward induction on $n$ we can and do assume that in fact $k = n$. This immediately implies that $H^k(C^\bullet)$ is of finite projective dimension over $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, it is straightforward to check that the canonical morphism of complexes from $C^\bullet$ to the complex consisting of $H^k(C^\bullet)$ concentrated in degree $k$ is a quasi-isomorphism. \qed
4. **Algebraic \( K \)-theory for Iwasawa algebras**

4.1. **Iwasawa algebras of one-dimensional admissible \( p \)-adic Lie groups.** Let \( p \) be a prime and let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie group. Suppose that \( \mathcal{G} \) is admissible, which we define to mean that \( \mathcal{G} \) contains a finite normal subgroup \( H \) such that \( \Gamma := \mathcal{G}/H \) is a pro-\( p \)-group isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then \( \mathcal{G} \) is compact and the argument given in [RW04, §1] shows that the short exact sequence

\[
1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1
\]

splits. Thus we obtain a semidirect product \( \mathcal{G} = H \rtimes \Gamma \) where \( \Gamma \leq \mathcal{G} \) and \( \Gamma \cong \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \).

Note that the image under the canonical projection map \( \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma \) of any element of \( \mathcal{G} \) of finite order is also of finite order and hence must be trivial. Thus \( H \) is equal to the subset of \( \mathcal{G} \) of elements of finite order. Therefore \( H \) and \( \Gamma \) are uniquely determined by \( \mathcal{G} \), though the choice of \( \Gamma \) need not be. We fix a topological generator \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \). Let \( \overline{\gamma} := \gamma \mod H \) and note that this a topological generator of \( \Gamma \). Since any homomorphism \( \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) \) must have open kernel, we may choose a non-negative integer \( n \) such that \( \gamma^p^n \) is central in \( \mathcal{G} \). We fix such an \( n \) and put \( \Gamma_0 := \Gamma^{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \).

The Iwasawa algebra of \( \mathcal{G} \) is \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}] = \lim \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}/N] \), where the inverse limit is taken over all open normal subgroups \( N \) of \( \mathcal{G} \). Let \( F \) be a finite field extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_F \), and put \( \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}] \). Since \( \Gamma_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \), there is a ring isomorphism \( R := \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_0]] \cong \mathcal{O}[T] \) induced by \( \gamma^p^n \rightarrow 1 + T \) where \( \mathcal{O}[T] \) denotes the power series ring in one variable over \( \mathcal{O} \). If we view \( \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) \) as an \( R \)-module (or indeed as an \( R[H] \)-module), there is a decomposition

\[
(4.1) \quad \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p^n-1} R[H] \gamma^i.
\]

Hence \( \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) \) is free of finite rank as an \( R \)-module and is an \( R \)-order in the separable \( \text{Quot}(R) \)-algebra \( Q^F(\mathcal{G}) \), the total ring of fractions of \( \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) \), obtained from \( \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) \) by adjoining inverses of all central regular elements. Note that \( Q^F(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Quot}(R) \otimes_R \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) \) and that by [RW04, Lemma 1] we have \( Q^F(\mathcal{G}) = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}) \), where \( \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}) := \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{O}_F}(\mathcal{G}) \).

4.2. **Algebraic \( K \)-theory for Iwasawa algebras.** We now specialise §3.1 to the situation of §4.1. Let \( p \) be a prime and let \( \mathcal{G} \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie group. Let \( \Gamma_0 \) be an open subgroup of \( \Gamma \) that is central in \( \mathcal{G} \) and let \( F \) be a finite field extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_F \). Let \( A = Q^F(\mathcal{G}) \), let \( \mathfrak{a} = \Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{O}[[\mathcal{G}]] \) and let \( R = \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_0]] \). In this situation, [Wit13, Corollary 3.8] shows that the map \( \partial \) in §3.1 is surjective; thus we obtain an exact sequence

\[
(4.2) \quad K_1(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow K_1(Q^F(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow K_0(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}), Q^F(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow 0.
\]

For a finite normal subgroup \( N \) of \( \mathcal{G} \), there are canonical maps \( \text{quot}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{G}/N} \) that fit into the following commutative diagram

\[
(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
K_1(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}/N)) & \rightarrow & K_1(Q^F(\mathcal{G}/N)) \\
\downarrow \text{quot}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{G}/N} & & \downarrow \text{quot}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{G}/N} \\
K_1(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})) & \rightarrow & K_1(Q^F(\mathcal{G})) \\
& \downarrow \text{quot}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{G}/N} & \downarrow \text{quot}^{\mathcal{Q}}_{\mathcal{G}/N} \\
& K_0(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}), Q^F(\mathcal{G})) & \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]
Similarly, for an open subgroup \( \mathcal{H} \) of \( \mathcal{G} \), there are canonical maps \( \text{res}_H \) that fit into the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
K_1(\Lambda^0(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\Lambda^0(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\text{res}_H & & \text{res}_H & & \text{res}_H & & \\
\end{array}
\]

4.3. Characters and central primitive idempotents. Fix a character \( \chi \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{G}) \) (i.e. an irreducible \( \mathbb{Q}_p^c \)-valued character of \( \mathcal{G} \) with open kernel) and let \( \eta \) be an irreducible constituent of \( \text{res}_H^G \chi \). Then \( \mathcal{G} \) acts on \( \eta \) as \( \eta^g(h) = \eta(g^{-1}h) \) for \( g \in \mathcal{G}, h \in H \), and following [RW04, §2] we set

\[
\text{St}(\eta) := \{ g \in \mathcal{G} : \eta^g = \eta \}, \quad e(\eta) := \frac{\eta(1)}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \eta(h^{-1}h), \quad e_\chi := \sum_{\eta \in \text{res}_H^G \chi} e(\eta).
\]

By [RW04, Corollary to Proposition 6] \( e_\chi \) is a primitive central idempotent of \( \mathbb{Q}_p^c(\mathcal{G}) := \mathbb{Q}_p^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{G} \). In fact, every primitive central idempotent of \( \mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G}) \) is of this form and \( e_\chi = e_{\chi'} \) if and only if \( \chi = \chi' \otimes \rho \) for some character \( \rho \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) of type \( W \) (i.e. \( \text{res}_H^G \rho = 1 \)). Let \( w_\chi = [\mathcal{G} : \text{St}(\eta)] \) and note that this is a power of \( p \) since \( H \) is a subgroup of \( \text{St}(\eta) \).

Let \( E \) be a finite field extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) over which both characters \( \chi \) and \( \eta \) have realisations and let \( V_\chi \) denote a realisation of \( \chi \) over \( E \). By [RW04, Propositions 5 and 6] and [JNT18, Lemma 3.1], there exists a unique element \( \gamma_\chi \in \zeta(\mathcal{G}) \) such that \( \gamma_\chi \) acts trivially on \( V_\chi \) and \( \gamma_\chi = g_\chi c_\chi \) where \( g_\chi \in \mathcal{G} \) with \( (g_\chi \mod H) = \pi^{\alpha_\chi} \) and with \( c_\chi \in (E[H]e_\chi) \). Moreover, \( \gamma_\chi \) generates a pro-cyclic \( p \)-subgroup \( \Gamma_\chi \) of \( \mathcal{G} \) and induces an isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{G}^e(\Gamma_\chi) \cong \zeta(\mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G}))
\]

4.4. Determinants and reduced norms. Following [RW04, Proposition 6], we define

\[
j_\chi : \zeta(\mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \zeta(\mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G})e_\chi) \cong \mathcal{G}^e(\Gamma_\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^e(\Gamma),
\]

where the last arrow is induced by mapping \( \gamma_\chi \) to \( \pi^{\alpha_\chi} \). It follows from loc. cit. that \( j_\chi \) is independent of the choice of \( \pi \).

Let \( F \) be an finite field extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) and let \( G_F = \text{Gal}(F^c/F) \). By enlarging \( E \) if necessary, we can and do assume that \( F \) is a subfield of \( E \). Define

\[
\text{Det}(\cdot)(\chi) : K_1(\mathcal{G}^c) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}^e(\Gamma)^\times \\
[P, \alpha] \longmapsto \det_{\mathcal{G}^e(\Gamma)}(\alpha \mid \text{Hom}_{E[H]}(V_\chi, E \otimes P)),
\]

where \( P \) is a finitely generated projective \( \mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G}) \)-module and \( \alpha \) is a \( \mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G}) \)-automorphism of \( P \). Here \( \alpha \) acts on \( f \in \text{Hom}_{E[H]}(V_\chi, E \otimes P) \) via its action on \( E \otimes P \), and \( \pi \) acts via \( (\pi f)(v) = \gamma : f(\gamma^{-1}v) \) for all \( v \in V_\chi \), which is easily seen to be independent of the choice of \( \gamma \). Let \( \eta : K_1(\mathcal{G}^c) \rightarrow \zeta(\mathcal{G}^c(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) be the map induced by the reduced norm. Then \( \text{Det}(\cdot)(\chi) \) is just \( j_\chi \circ \eta \) (see [RW04, §3, p. 558] for more details).

If \( \rho \) is a character of \( \mathcal{G} \) of type \( W \), then we denote by \( \rho^\# \) the automorphism of the field \( \mathcal{G}^c(\Gamma) \) induced by \( \rho^\#(\pi) = \rho(\pi)\pi \). We denote the additive group generated by all \( \mathbb{Q}_p^c \)-valued characters of \( \mathcal{G} \) with open kernel by \( R_p(\mathcal{G}) \) and equip this with a Galois action defined by \( \sigma(g) = \sigma(g) \) for \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \). Moreover, following [RW04].
Theorem 8] we define $\text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times)$ to be the group of all homomorphisms $f : R_p(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times$ satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
f(\chi \otimes \rho) &= \rho^#(f(\chi)) & \text{for all characters } \rho \text{ of type } W, \\
f(\sigma\chi) &= \sigma(f(\chi)) & \text{for all Galois automorphisms } \sigma \in G_F.
\end{align*}
\]
By applying [RW04, Theorem 7] and taking $G_F$-invariants as in the proof of [RW04, Theorem 8], we obtain an isomorphism
\[
\zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cong \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times)
\]
\[
x \mapsto [\chi \mapsto j_\chi(x)].
\]
As $\text{Det}(-)(\chi)$ is just the composite map $j_\chi \circ \text{nr}$, the map $\Theta \mapsto [\chi \mapsto \text{Det}(\Theta)(\chi)]$ defines a homomorphism
\[
\text{Det} : K_1(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G})) \to \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times)
\]
such that we obtain a commutative triangle
\[
(4.5)
\]
\[
\begin{tikzcd}
K_1(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G})) \arrow[r, right] \text{Det} & \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times) \arrow[l, left, quot_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G] \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}))^\times \arrow[l, left, \text{nr}]
\end{tikzcd}
\]
Let $N$ be a finite normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. Following [RW04, §3], we define a map
\[
\text{quot}_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G : \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times) \to \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}/N), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times),
\]
by $(\text{quot}_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G f)(\chi) := f(\text{inf}_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G \chi)$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times)$ and $\chi \in R_p(\mathcal{G}/N)$.

Let $H$ be an open subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. As explained in §4.1 the subset $H^\dagger$ of $H$ of elements of finite order is in fact a finite normal subgroup such that $\Gamma_H := H/H^\dagger$ is a pro-$p$-group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover, there is a canonical embedding $\iota_H : \Gamma_H \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ defined as follows: given any element $x \in \Gamma_H$, let $y \in \mathcal{H}$ be any lift and define $\iota_H(x)$ to be the image of $y$ under the composition of canonical maps $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G} \to \Gamma$. It is straightforward to check that this map is well defined. Again following [RW04, §3], we define a map
\[
\text{res}_{\mathcal{H}}^G : \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times) \to \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma_H)^\times),
\]
by $(\text{res}_{\mathcal{H}}^G f)(\chi') = f(\text{ind}_{\mathcal{H}}^G \chi')$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_{G_F}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)^\times)$ and $\chi' \in R_p(\mathcal{H})$. Here we view $\mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma_H)$ as a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}^c(\Gamma)$ via the embedding $\iota_H : \Gamma_H \hookrightarrow \Gamma$.

Via diagram (4.5) the maps just defined induce canonical group homomorphisms
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{quot}_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G & : \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}))^\times \to \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}/N))^\times, \\
\text{res}_{\mathcal{H}}^G & : \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}))^\times \to \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{H}))^\times.
\end{align*}
\]
The first map is easily seen to be induced by the canonical projection $\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}/N)$. Moreover, by (an obvious generalisation of) [RW04, Lemma 9] we have commutative diagrams
\[
(4.6)
\]
\[
\begin{tikzcd}
K_1(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G})) \arrow[r, right, quot_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G] \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}))^\times \arrow[l, left, quot_{\mathcal{G}/N}^G] & K_1(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}/N)) \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{G}/N))^\times \arrow[l, left, \text{nr}],\\
K_1(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{H})) \arrow[r, right, \text{res}_{\mathcal{H}}^G] \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{H}))^\times \arrow[l, left, \text{nr}] & K_1(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{H})) \zeta(\mathbb{Q}^c(\mathcal{H}))^\times.
\end{tikzcd}
\]
5. The equivariant Iwasawa main conjecture

5.1. Admissible one dimensional $p$-adic Lie extensions. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $K$ be a totally real number field. We henceforth assume that $L/K$ is an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extension. In other words, $L$ is a Galois extension of $K$ such that (i) $L$ is totally real, (ii) $L$ contains the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $K_\infty$ of $K$, and (iii) $[L : K_\infty]$ is finite. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(L/K)$, let $H = \text{Gal}(L/K_\infty)$ and let $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. Let $\gamma_K$ be a topological generator of $\Gamma_K$. As in \[4.1\] we obtain a semidirect product $\mathcal{G} = H \rtimes \Gamma$ where $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$ and $\Gamma \simeq \Gamma_K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, and we choose an open subgroup $\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$ that is central in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_0]$ and let $\Lambda(\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]$.

5.2. An Iwasawa module and the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis. Let $S_\infty$ be the set of infinite places of $K$ and let $S_p$ be the set of places of $K$ above $p$. Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $K$ containing $S_p \cup S_\infty$. Let $M^\text{ab}_S(p)$ be the maximal abelian pro-$p$-extension of $L$ unramified outside $S$ and let $X_S = X_S(L/K) = \text{Gal}(M^\text{ab}_S(p)/L)$. As usual $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $X_S$ by $g \cdot x = \tilde{g} x \tilde{g}^{-1}$, where $g \in \mathcal{G}$, and $\tilde{g}$ is any lift of $g$ to $\text{Gal}(M^\text{ab}_S(p)/K)$. This action extends to a left action of $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ on $X_S$. Since $L$ is totally real, $[\text{NSW}08, \text{Theorems 10.3.25 and 11.3.2}]$ show that, as an $R$-module, $X_S$ is finitely generated, torsion and of projective dimension at most one. If $\mathcal{G}$ contains no element of order $p$ then $X_S$ is also of projective dimension at most one over $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$. In general, however, $X_S$ is not of finite projective dimension as a $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$-module.

Definition 5.1. We say that $L/K$ satisfies the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis if $X_S$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.

The $\mu = 0$ hypothesis is independent of the choice of $S$ and is conjecturally always true. Moreover, it is known to hold when $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is abelian as follows from work of Ferrero and Washington $[\text{FW}79]$. For the relation to the classical Iwasawa $\mu = 0$ conjecture see $[\text{JN}18, \text{Remark 4.3}]$, for instance. In the sequel, we shall not assume the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis for $L/K$, except where explicitly stated.

5.3. The $p$-adic cyclotomic character and its projections. Let $\chi_{\text{cyc}}$ be the $p$-adic cyclotomic character

$$\chi_{\text{cyc}} : \text{Gal}(L(\zeta_p)/K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times,$$

defined by $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma)}$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L(\zeta_p)/K)$ and any $p$-power root of unity $\zeta$.

Let $\omega$ and $\kappa$ denote the composition of $\chi_{\text{cyc}}$ with the projections onto the first and second factors of the canonical decomposition $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mu_{p-1} \times (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)$, respectively; thus $\omega$ is the Teichmüller character. We note that $\kappa$ factors through $\Gamma_K$ (and thus also through $\mathcal{G}$) and by abuse of notation we also use $\kappa$ to denote the associated maps with these domains. For a non-negative integer $r$ divisible by $p-1$ (or more generally divisible by the degree $[L(\zeta_p) : L]$), up to the canonical inclusion map of codomains, we have $\chi_{\text{cyc}}^r = \kappa^r$.

5.4. A canonical complex. Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $K$ containing $S_p \cup S_\infty$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{L,S}$ denote the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_L$ in $L$ localised at all primes above those in $S$. There is a canonical complex

$$C^*_\bullet(L/K) := R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}), Q_p/Z_p), Q_p/Z_p),$$

where $Q_p/Z_p$ denotes the constant sheaf of the abelian group $Q_p/Z_p$ on the étale site of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S})$. Since $Q_p/Z_p$ is a direct limit of finite abelian groups of $p$-power order, we have an isomorphism with Galois cohomology

$$R\Gamma_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{L,S}), Q_p/Z_p) \simeq R\Gamma(\text{Gal}(M_S(p)/L), Q_p/Z_p),$$
where $M_S(p)$ is the maximal pro-$p$-extension of $\mathcal{L}$ unramified outside $S$. (Apply [Mil06 Chapter II, Proposition 2.9], [Mil80 Chapter III, Lemma 1.16] and [NSW08 Proposition 1.5.1].) The cohomology modules of $C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$ are

\begin{equation}
H^i(C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)) \simeq \begin{cases} 
X_S & \text{if } i = -1 \\
\mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } i = 0 \\
0 & \text{if } i \neq -1,0.
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Note that $C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$ and the complex used by Ritter and Weiss (as constructed in [RW04]) become isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))$ by [Nic13 Theorem 2.4] (see also [Ven13] for more on this topic). Hence it makes no real difference which of these two complexes we use.

Let $S_{\text{ram}} = S_{\text{ram}}(\mathcal{L}/K)$ be the (finite) set of places of $K$ that ramify in $\mathcal{L}/K$. Note that since $\mathcal{L}$ contains the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $K_\infty$ we must have $S_p \subseteq S_{\text{ram}}$. The following result is well known to experts, but we include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition 5.2.** Suppose that $S$ contains $S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_\infty$.

(i) The complex $C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\text{tor}}(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))$.

(ii) The complex $C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$ defines a class $[C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)]$ in $K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}))$.

(iii) Let $N$ be a finite normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ and put $\mathcal{L}':= \mathcal{L}^N$. Then

$$\text{quot}_{G/N}([C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)]) = [C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K')].$$

(iv) Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an open subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ and put $K':= \mathcal{L}^H$. Then

$$\text{res}_{\mathcal{H}/G}([C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)]) = [C^\bullet_{S'}(\mathcal{L}/K')]$$

where $S'$ is the set of places of $K'$ lying above those in $S$.

**Proof.** Let $G_{K,S} = \text{Gal}(K/S)$ where $K_S$ is the maximal algebraic extension of $K$ that is unramified outside the primes in $S$. Note that $\mathcal{G}$ is a quotient of $G_{K,S}$ since $S$ contains $S_{\text{ram}}$. Let $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^{\#}(1)$ be the free $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$-module of rank one upon which $\sigma \in G_{K,S}$ acts on the right via multiplication by the element $\chi_{\text{cyc}}(1)\sigma^{-1}$, where $\sigma$ denotes the image of $\sigma$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Observe that by the middle row of [Lim12 Theorem on p. 2638], the isomorphism $(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^{\#}(1))^{\vee} \cong (\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^{\#})^{\vee}$ and a Shapiro lemma argument, we have

\begin{equation}
RT_{c}(O_{K,S}, \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^{\#}(1))[3] \cong \text{RHom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{RT}(G_{K,S}, (\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^{\#})^{\vee}), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)
\end{equation}

in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))$, where the left-hand side denotes the compactly supported cohomology complex with coefficients in $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})^{\#}(1)$. Thus $C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$ is perfect by [FK06 Proposition 1.6.5]. Moreover, the cohomology groups of $C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$ are torsion as $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules. Therefore (i) holds. Part (ii) follows from (i) and the discussion in §3.1. Furthermore, (5.2) and loc. cit. together imply that there is an isomorphism

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{G}/N) \otimes_{\Lambda(\mathcal{G})} C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K) \cong C^\bullet_S(\mathcal{L}/K)$$

in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}/N))$, which gives part (iii). Part (iv) is clear. \qed

### 5.5. Power series and $p$-adic Artin $L$-functions.

Recall that $S$ is a finite set of places of $K$ containing $S_p \cup S_\infty$. Fix a character $\chi \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{G})$. Each topological generator $\gamma_K$ of $\Gamma_K$ permits the definition of a power series $G_\chi S(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\mathbb{Q}_p[\text{Quot}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]])]$ by starting out from the Deligne-Ribet power series for one-dimensional characters of open subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$ (see [DR80]; also see [Bar78, CN79]) and then extending to the general case by using Brauer induction (see [Gre83]). We put $\nu := \kappa(\gamma_K)$. Then we have an equality

\begin{equation}
L_{p,S}(1-s, \chi) = \frac{G_\chi S(u^s - 1)}{H_\chi(u^s - 1)},
\end{equation}
where \( L_{p,S}(s,\chi) \) denotes the ‘\( S \)-truncated \( p \)-adic Artin \( L \)-function’ attached to \( \chi \) constructed by Greenberg [Gre83], and where, for irreducible \( \chi \), we have

\[
H_\chi(T) = \begin{cases} 
\chi(\gamma_K)(1 + T) - 1 & \text{if } H \subseteq \ker \chi \\
1 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( L_{p,S}(s,\chi) : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p \) is the unique \( p \)-adic meromorphic function with the property that for each strictly negative integer \( r \) and each field isomorphism \( \iota : \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p \), we have

\[
L_{p,S}(r,\chi) = \iota \left( L_S(r, \iota^{-1} \circ (\chi \otimes \omega^{r-1})) \right).
\]

By a result of Siegel [Sie70], the right-hand side of (5.4) does not in fact depend on the choice \( \iota \). If \( \chi \) is linear, then (5.4) is also valid when \( r = 0 \).

Now [RW04] Proposition 11 implies that

\[
L_{K,S} : \left[ \chi \mapsto \frac{G_{\chi,S}(\gamma_K - 1)}{H_\chi(\gamma_K - 1)} \right] \in \Hom_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^*(R_p(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{O}^c(\Gamma_K)^\times)
\]

and is independent of the topological generator \( \gamma_K \). Diagram (4.5) implies that there is a unique element \( \Phi_S = \Phi_S(\mathcal{L}/K) \in \zeta(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) such that

\[
j_\chi(\Phi_S) = L_{K,S}(\chi)
\]

for every \( \chi \in \Irr_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathcal{G}) \). The following result is a special case of [RW04] Proposition 12.

**Proposition 5.3.** (i) Let \( N \) be a finite normal subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} \) and put \( \mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L}^N \). Then \( \text{quot}_{\mathcal{G}/N}(\Phi_S(\mathcal{L}/K)) = \Phi_S(\mathcal{L}'/K) \).

(ii) Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be an open subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} \) and put \( \mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L}'^\mathcal{H} \). Then \( \text{res}_{\mathcal{H}}(\Phi_S(\mathcal{L}/K)) = \Phi_S(\mathcal{L}/K') \),

where \( S' \) is the set of places of \( K' \) lying above those in \( S \).

5.6. **Statement and known cases of the EIMC.** Recall that \( p \) is an odd prime and \( \mathcal{L}/K \) is an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie extension. Let \( S \) be a finite set of places of \( K \) containing \( S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_\infty \). Let \( \text{nr} : K_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \to \zeta(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) be the map induced by the reduced norm.

**Conjecture 5.4** (EIMC). There exists \( \zeta_S \in K_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \) such that \( \partial(\zeta_S) = -[\mathcal{C}_S^\times(\mathcal{L}/K)] \) and \( \text{nr}(\zeta_S) = \Phi_S(\mathcal{L}/K) \).

It can be shown that the truth of Conjecture 5.4 is independent of the choice of \( S \), provided that \( S \) is finite and contains \( S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_\infty \). Crucially, this version of the EIMC does not require the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis for its formulation. The following theorem has been shown independently by Ritter and Weiss [RW11] and by Kakde [Kak13].

**Theorem 5.5.** If \( \mathcal{L}/K \) satisfies the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis then the EIMC holds for \( \mathcal{L}/K \).

By considering the cases in which the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis is known, we obtain the following corollary (see [JNTS Corollary 4.6] for further details).

**Corollary 5.6.** Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} \). If \( \mathcal{L}'^\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Q} \) is abelian then the EIMC holds for \( \mathcal{L}/K \).

We shall also consider the EIMC with its uniqueness statement.
Conjecture 5.7 (EIMC with uniqueness). There exists a unique \( \zeta_S \in K_1(Q(G)) \) such that \( \text{nr}(\zeta_S) = \Phi_S(L/K) \). Moreover, \( \partial(\zeta_S) = -[C_S^*(L/K)] \).

Remark 5.8. If \( SK_1(G) := \ker(\text{nr} : K_1(G) \rightarrow \zeta(G))^x \) vanishes then it is clear that the uniqueness statement of the EIMC follows from its existence statement. In particular, this is the case if \( G \) is abelian because then \( \text{nr} : K_1(Q(G)) \rightarrow Q(G)^x \) is equal to the usual determinant map det and is an isomorphism by [CR87, Proposition 45.12].

In [JN18], the present authors proved the EIMC unconditionally for an infinite class of one-dimensional admissible extensions for which the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis is not known to be true. We now recall the special case of these results given by [JN18, Theorem 4.12], whose proof relies crucially on a result of Ritter and Weiss [RW04, Theorem 16].

Theorem 5.9. If \( p \nmid |H| \) then the EIMC with uniqueness holds for \( L/K \).

5.7. The EIMC for \( L/K \) implies the EIMC for all admissible subextensions.

The following result is well known, but we include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 5.10. Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( L/K \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie extension of a totally real number field \( K \). If the EIMC holds for \( L/K \) then the EIMC holds for all admissible sub-extensions of \( L/K \).

Proof. It suffices to show the result for admissible sub-extensions of the form \( L'/K \) and of the form \( L/K' \). We shall only prove the former case as the proof of the latter case is entirely analogous. Let \( S \) be a finite set of places of \( K \) containing \( S_\infty \cup S_{\text{ram}}(L/K) \). Since the EIMC holds for \( L/K \), there exists \( \zeta_S \in K_1(Q(G)) \) such that \( \partial(\zeta_S) = -[C_S^*(L/K)] \) and \( \text{nr}(\zeta_S) = \Phi_S(L/K) \). Let \( N = \text{Gal}(L/L') \). Specialising (4.3) and combining with the diagram on the left of (4.6) we obtain a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\zeta(Q(G))^x & \xleftarrow{\text{nr}} & K_1(Q(G)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) & \xrightarrow{} & 0 \\
\text{quot}_{G/N}^G & & \text{quot}_{G/N}^G & & \text{quot}_{G/N}^G & & \\
\zeta(Q(G/N))^x & \xleftarrow{\text{nr}} & K_1(Q(G/N)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\Lambda(G/N), Q(G/N)) & \xrightarrow{} & 0.
\end{array}
\]

Moreover, Propositions 5.2 and 5.3 give

\[\text{quot}_{G/N}^G([C_S^*(L/K)]) = [C_S^*(L'/K)] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{quot}_{G/N}^G(\Phi_S(L/K)) = \Phi_S(L'/K).\]

Therefore \( \text{quot}_{G/N}^G(\zeta_S) \) has the desired properties and so the EIMC holds for \( L'/K \). \( \square \)

6. SOME COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA

6.1. Fitting ideals. If \( M \) is a finitely presented module over a commutative ring \( R \), we denote the (initial) Fitting ideal of \( M \) over \( R \) by \( \text{Fitt}_R(M) \). For basic properties of Fitting ideals including the following two well-known lemmas, we refer the reader to Northcott’s excellent book [Nor76].

Lemma 6.1. Let \( R \) be a commutative ring and let \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) be finitely presented \( R \)-modules. Then \( \text{Fitt}_R(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \text{Fitt}_R(M_1) \text{Fitt}_R(M_2) \).

Lemma 6.2. Let \( R \rightarrow S \) be a homomorphism of commutative rings and let \( M \) be a finitely presented \( R \)-module. Then \( S \otimes_R M \) is a finitely presented \( S \)-module and we have

\[\text{Fitt}_S(S \otimes_R M) = S \otimes_R \text{Fitt}_R(M).\]

In particular, Lemma 6.2 implies that Fitting ideals behave well under localisation.
6.2. A lemma on integral extensions and principal ideals. The following lemma is well known; see [Gre00, p. 526], for example.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let $S$ be an integral extension of a commutative ring $R$. If $a, b \in R$ such that $b$ is a nonzerodivisor, $Ra \subseteq Rb$ and $Sa = Sb$ then in fact $Ra = Rb$.

**Proof.** Since $Ra \subseteq Rb$ there exists $c \in R$ such that $a = bc$. Then $Sbc = Sa = Sb$ so there exists $s \in S$ such that $b = bcs$. As $b$ is a nonzerodivisor we have $1 = cs$. Thus $c \in R \cap S^\times$ and so $c \in R^\times$ by [AM69, Chapter 5, Exercise 5 (i)]. Therefore $Ra = Rbc = Rb$, as desired. 

6.3. Fitting ideals of complexes. Now assume that $R$ is a local noetherian integral domain and let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an $R$-order in a finite-dimensional separable commutative $\text{Quot}(R)$-algebra $A$. In other words, we consider the situation of §3.2 but assume in addition that $R$ is local and that $A$ and thus $\mathfrak{A}$ are commutative. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ and $A$ are both noetherian commutative semilocal rings, the reduced norm on $A$ is equal to the usual determinant map, and by [CR87, Proposition 45.12] this induces isomorphisms $K_1(\mathfrak{A}) \cong \mathfrak{A}^\times$ and $\det : K_1(A) \cong A^\times$.

Using this fact, specialising (3.1) to the case at hand gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K_1(\mathfrak{A}) \longrightarrow K_1(A) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A).$$

Now let $C^* \in D^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$ and recall from §3.2 that $C^*$ defines an element $[C^*]$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$. Assume that there is an $x \in K_1(A)$ such that $\partial(x) = [C^*]$ and put

$$\text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}(C^*) := \det(x)\mathfrak{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}^{-1}(C^*) := \det(x)^{-1}\mathfrak{A}.$$

Note that these are well defined by the exactness of (6.1). If $C_i^* \in D^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $[C_2^*] = [C_1^*] + [C_3^*]$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$ (this is the case in the situation of 3.2, for example) then it is straightforward to show that

$$\text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}(C_i^*) = \text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}(C_1^*) \cdot \text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}(C_3^*)$$

whenever the Fitting ideals of the complexes are defined.

**Remark 6.4.** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that $C^*$ is an object of $D^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $H^i(C^*) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{k\}$. Then $H^k(C^*)$ is of finite projective dimension over $\mathfrak{A}$ and $[C^*] = (-1)^k[H^k(C^*)]$ in $K_0(\mathfrak{A}, A)$ by Proposition 3.2. If we assume in addition that $H^k(C^*)$ has projective dimension at most 1, then it follows easily from the definitions that we have $\text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}(C^*) = \text{Fitt}_\mathfrak{A}^{-1}(H^k(C^*))$ whenever the Fitting ideal of the complex is defined.

7. Commutative Iwasawa algebras

7.1. Fitting ideals of Iwasawa modules. Let $p$ be a prime and let $G$ be an abelian one-dimensional compact $p$-adic Lie group. Then $G = H \times \Gamma$ where $H$ is a finite abelian group and $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. In particular, $G$ is admissible. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$. Then $\Lambda(G) = R[H]$ is a commutative $R$-order in the separable $\text{Quot}(R)$-algebra $\mathbb{Q}(G)$. Let $\mathcal{M}(G)$ denote the unique maximal $R$-order in $\mathbb{Q}(G)$ and note that $\mathcal{M}(G)$ is the integral closure of $\Lambda(G)$ in $\mathbb{Q}(G)$ (see [Rei03, Theorem 8.6]).

Now let $e$ be any idempotent element of $\Lambda(G)$ and define

$$\Lambda := e\Lambda(G), \quad \mathcal{M} := e\mathcal{M}(G), \quad \mathbb{Q} := e\mathbb{Q}(G).$$

Then $\Lambda$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are both $R$-orders in $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is maximal.
It easily follows from (4.2) that we have an exact sequence
\[(7.2)\quad K_1(\Lambda) \longrightarrow K_1(Q) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(\Lambda, Q) \longrightarrow 0.\]

Similarly, [Nic20, Corollary 2.14] implies that for every height one prime ideal \(p\) of \(R\), we have an exact sequence
\[(7.3)\quad K_1(\Lambda_p) \longrightarrow K_1(Q) \xrightarrow{\partial_p} K_0(\Lambda_p, Q) \longrightarrow 0.\]

Apart from its final claim, the following lemma is well known.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let \(M\) be a finitely generated \(\Lambda\)-module that is of projective dimension at most one and that is also \(R\)-torsion. Then \(M\) has a quadratic presentation of the form
\[(7.4)\quad 0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^n \xrightarrow{h} \Lambda^n \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0\]
for some \(n \geq 1\). Moreover, \(\text{Fitt}_\Lambda(M)\) is a principal ideal generated by a nonzerodivisor.

The same statement holds if we replace the pair \((\Lambda, R)\) by a pair \((\Lambda_p, R_p)\) for a height one prime ideal \(p\) of \(R\).

**Proof.** If \(M = 0\) then the claims are trivial, so we henceforth suppose that \(M \neq 0\). Let \(0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow \Lambda^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\) be a projective resolution of \(M\). Since \(M\) is \(R\)-torsion, the class \([P] - [\Lambda^n] \in K_0(\Lambda)\) is mapped to zero in \(K_0(Q)\). It follows from (7.2) that the map \(K_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(Q)\) is injective. Hence \(P\) and \(\Lambda^n\) are stably isomorphic. By enlarging \(n\) if necessary, we can then assume that \(P = \Lambda^n\) and so we have a presentation of the form (7.4). Thus \(\text{Fitt}_\Lambda(M)\) is principal by definition of Fitting ideal and any generator is a nonzerodivisor since \(h\) is injective. The final claim is shown analogously, where (7.2) is replaced by (7.3). \(\square\)

We recall the following result of Greither and Kurihara [GK08, Theorem 2.1]. We caution that the notation here differs from that of loc. cit. (the roles of \(R\) and \(\Lambda\) are reversed). Let \(\gamma\) be a topological generator of \(\Gamma\). For \(n \geq 1\) define \(\omega_n = \gamma^{p^n} - 1 \in R\) and \(\Lambda_n = \Lambda / \omega_n \Lambda\). Then \((\Lambda_n)_n\) is a projective system with limit \(\Lambda\) and we make the canonical identification \(\Lambda \cong \lim_n \Lambda_n\). We shall consider projective systems \((A_n)_n\) of modules \(A_n\) over \(\Lambda_n\) such that the transition maps \(A_m \rightarrow A_n\) \((m \geq n)\) are \(\Lambda_m\)-linear in the obvious sense. The limit \(M := \lim_n A_n\) will then be a \(\Lambda\)-module.

**Theorem 7.2** (Greither and Kurihara). Suppose that the limit \(M\) is a finitely generated \(\Lambda\)-module that is \(R\)-torsion and that there exists \(n_0 \geq 1\) such that the transition maps \(A_m \rightarrow A_n\) are surjective for all \(m \geq n \geq n_0\). Then \(\text{Fitt}_\Lambda(M) = \lim_n (\text{Fitt}_{\Lambda_n}(A_n))\).

**Proof.** In [GK08, Theorem 2.1], this is stated in the case \(\Lambda = \Lambda(\mathcal{G})\). It is clear that this implies the desired result for any choice of \(\Lambda\) as defined in (7.1). \(\square\)

7.2. **Algebraic \(K\)-theory for commutative Iwasawa algebras.** The main goal of this subsection is to prove a purely algebraic result that implies that the precise choice of complex used in the abelian EIMC does not matter, provided that it is perfect and has the prescribed cohomology. The following results are generalised in Appendix A.

**Proposition 7.3.** The canonical map
\[K_0(\Lambda, Q) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_p K_0(\Lambda_p, Q)\]
is injective, where the direct sum is taken over all height one prime ideals of \(R\).
Proof. Recall from [3, Lem 3] that the determinant induces isomorphisms $K_1(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda^\times$ and $K_1(Q) \cong Q^\times$. Since $\partial : K_1(Q) \to K_0(\Lambda, Q)$ is surjective by [7, Lem 3], the determinant also induces a canonical isomorphism $K_0(\Lambda, Q) \cong Q^\times / \Lambda^\times$. Similar reasoning using [7, Lem 3] shows there is an isomorphism $K_0(\Lambda_p, Q) \cong Q^\times / \Lambda_p^\times$ for each height one prime ideal $p$ of $R$. Therefore the claim is equivalent to the injectivity of canonical map
\[ Q^\times / \Lambda^\times \to \prod_p Q^\times / \Lambda_p^\times. \]
Since $\Lambda$ is free as an $R$-module, it is reflexive and so by [NSW08, Lem 5.1.2(iii)] we have
\[ \bigcap_p \Lambda_p = \Lambda. \]
Now let $x \in Q^\times$ and assume that $x \in \bigcap_p \Lambda_p^\times$. Then $x^{-1} \in \bigcap_p \Lambda_p^\times \subseteq \Lambda$ and therefore $x \in \Lambda^\times$.

Corollary 7.4. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C^\bullet, D^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{tor}}(\Lambda)$ be two complexes such that
(i) $H^i(C^\bullet)$ and $H^i(D^\bullet)$ are finitely generated as $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{k\}$; and
(ii) there are isomorphisms $H^i(C^\bullet) \cong H^i(D^\bullet)$ of $\Lambda$-modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Then $[C^\bullet] = [D^\bullet]$ in $K_0(\Lambda, Q)$.

Proof. We claim that $[C^\bullet]$ and $[D^\bullet]$ have the same image in $K_0(\Lambda_p, Q)$ for each height one prime ideal $p$ of $R$. The result then follows from Proposition 7.3.

Since localisation at $p$ is an exact functor, $H^i(\Lambda_p \otimes^L \Lambda^\times)$ canonically identifies with $H^i(C^\bullet)_p$ for all $i$. The analogous statement also holds for $D^\bullet$. We first consider the case $p \neq (p)$. Then $\Lambda_p$ is a maximal order over the discrete valuation ring $R_p$, and thus is hereditary by [Rei03, Thm 18.1]. Hence every finitely generated $\Lambda_p$-module is of finite projective dimension and thus the claim follows from (ii) and Proposition 8.3.1 in this case. Since (i) implies that $H^i(C^\bullet)_p$ and $H^i(D^\bullet)_p$ vanish for $i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{k\}$, the claim for $p = (p)$ follows from Proposition 7.2 and (ii).

8. The abelian EIMC and localisation at $(p)$

Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $K$ be a totally real number field. Let $\mathcal{L}/K$ be an abelian admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extension of $K$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)$ and write $\mathcal{G} = H \times \Gamma$ where $H$ is a finite abelian group and $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$. Let $S$ be a finite set of places of $K$ containing $\mathbb{S}_\text{ram}(\mathcal{L}/K) \cup S_\infty$.

Since $\mathcal{G}$ is abelian, the reduced norm map $\text{nr} : K_1(Q(\mathcal{G})) \to Q(\mathcal{G})^\times$ is equal to the usual determinant map and is an isomorphism by [CR87, Prop 45.12]. Let $\zeta_S = \zeta_S(\mathcal{L}/K) := \det^{-1}(\Phi_S(\mathcal{L}/K))$ and let $\omega_S = \omega_S(\mathcal{L}/K) := \partial(\zeta_S(\mathcal{L}/K)) + [C^\bullet(\mathcal{L}/K)] \in K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}), Q(\mathcal{G}))$.

It follows easily from its statement (Conjecture 5.4) that the EIMC for $\mathcal{L}/K$ is equivalent to the assertion that $\partial(\zeta_S(\mathcal{L}/K)) = -[C^\bullet(\mathcal{L}/K)]$. Hence we obtain the following equivalent formulation of the abelian EIMC.

Lemma 8.1. The EIMC for $\mathcal{L}/K$ holds if and only if $\omega_S$ vanishes.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})$ denote the unique maximal $R$-order in $Q(\mathcal{G})$ and note that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})$ is the integral closure of $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$ in $Q(\mathcal{G})$ (see [Rei03, Thm 8.6]). The following result states that the EIMC for $\mathcal{L}/K$ holds ‘over the maximal order’. Variants of this result for arbitrary admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extensions are due to Ritter and Weiss.
Theorem 16], the present authors [JT18 Theorem 4.9], and (in terms of Selmer groups) Greenberg [Gre14 Proposition 9]; these are all ultimately reformulations of the classical Iwasawa main conjecture proven by Wiles [Wi90].

Proposition 8.2. The element $\omega_S$ maps to zero under the canonical map
\[(8.1) \quad K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) \to K_0(M(G), Q(G))\]
induced by extension of scalars.

Proof. Let $x_S \in K_1(G)$ such that $\partial(x_S) = -[C^*_S(L/K)]$. By [RW04 Theorem 16] (whose proof simplifies in the abelian case) we have $\text{Det}(x_S)L_{K,S}^{-1} \in \text{Hom}^*(R_p(G), \Lambda^c(\Gamma_K)^{\times})$, where $\Lambda^c(\Gamma_K) := \mathbb{Z}_p^c \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma_K)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^c$ denotes the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p^c$. Moreover, $\text{Hom}^*(R_p(G), \Lambda^c(\Gamma_K)^{\times})$ identifies with $\mathfrak{M}(G)^{\times}$ under the isomorphism in diagram (4.3) as explained in [RW04 Remark H] and $L_{K,S}$ corresponds to $\Phi_S(L/K)$ by definition - see (5.6). Thus $y_S := \det(x_S)\Phi_S^{-1} \in \mathfrak{M}(G)^{\times}$. The desired result now follows once one observes that $\partial(\det^{-1}(y_S)) = -\omega_S$ and that $\det^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(G)^{\times})$ is equal to the kernel of the canonical map $K_1(Q(G)) \to K_0(M(G), Q(G))$, which is the composition of $\partial$ and the map (8.1).

For a height one prime ideal $p$ of $R$, let
\[\text{loc}_p : K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) \to K_0(\Lambda_p(G), Q(G))\]
denote the canonical map induced by localisation.

Corollary 8.3. Let $p \neq (p)$ be a height one prime ideal of $R$. Then $\text{loc}_p(\omega_S) = 0$.

Proof. For each height one prime ideal $p \neq (p)$ we have $\Lambda_p(G) = M_p(G)$, where the latter denotes the localisation of $M(G)$ at $p$. Hence the map $\text{loc}_p$ factors through the map (8.1) and so the result follows from Proposition 8.2.

Let $\partial(p) : K_1(Q(G)) \to K_0(\Lambda(p)(G), Q(G))$ be the canonical map associated to the height one prime ideal $(p)$ of $R$. Note that $\partial(p) = \text{loc}_p \circ \partial$.

Proposition 8.4. The following are equivalent.

(i) The EIMC holds for $L/K$.
(ii) $\omega_S = 0$.
(iii) $\text{loc}_p(\omega_S) = 0$.
(iv) $\partial(p)(\zeta_S) = [(X_S)(p)]$.
(v) $\Phi_S \in \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda(p)(G)}((X_S)(p))$.

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is just Lemma 8.1. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from Proposition 7.3 and Corollary 8.3. Since the $\mu$-invariant of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ vanishes and localisation at $(p)$ is an exact functor, the complex $\Lambda(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} C^*_S(L/K)$ is acyclic outside degree $-1$ by (5.1). Moreover, Proposition 3.2 then implies that the $\Lambda(p)(G)$-module $(X_S)(p)$ is of finite projective dimension and that we have an equality
\[ [\Lambda(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} C^*_S(L/K)] = -[(X_S)(p)] \in K_0(\Lambda(p)(G), Q(G))].\]

It follows easily that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent. In fact, the projective dimension of $(X_S)(p)$ is at most 1 by [Nic20 Lemma 5.2] and thus its Fitting ideal is principal by Lemma 7.1. By Remark 6.4 and the fact that $\Phi_S = \det(\zeta_S)$, we see that (iv) is equivalent to the assertion that $\Phi_S$ generates $\text{Fitt}_{\Lambda(p)(G)}((X_S)(p))$. Analogous reasoning and Proposition 8.2 show that $\Phi_S$ generates $\text{Fitt}_{M(p)(G)}(M(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(p)(G)} (X_S)(p))$. Therefore an application of Lemma 6.3 now shows that (iv) and (v) are equivalent. \(\square\)
Remark 8.5. Suppose \( L/K \) satisfies the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis. Then \( X_\mathbb{S} \) is finitely generated as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module and so \((X_\mathbb{S})_{(p)} \) vanishes. Thus by Proposition 8.4, the EIMC for \( L/K \) is equivalent to the assertion that \( \zeta_\mathbb{S} \in \ker(\partial_\mu) = K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})) \). Since \( \Phi_S = \det(\zeta_\mathbb{S}) \) and \( \det : K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \to \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})^\times \) is an isomorphism, this in turn is equivalent to the assertion that \( \Phi_S \in \Lambda(\mu)(\mathcal{G})^\times \). A proof of this last assertion (again under the \( \mu = 0 \) hypothesis) can be found in [RW02b, §6] or [Kak11, Lemma 1.14] (we caution that the meaning of \( S \) here differs from that in [Kak11]).

9. \( L \)-functions and a Consequence of the Brumer–Stark Conjecture

Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( K \) be a totally real number field. Let \( L/K \) be a finite abelian CM extension such that \( \zeta_\mathbb{S} \in L \) and let \( S \) be a finite set of places of \( K \) containing \( S_p \cup S_{\text{ram}}(L/K) \cup S_\infty \).

Let \( L_\infty \) denote the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( L \). Let \( \mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K) \), which we write as \( \mathcal{G} = H \times \Gamma \), where \( \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( H := \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty) \) canonically identifies with a subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} := \text{Gal}(L/K) \). Let \( R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] \). Let \( j \in \mathcal{G} \) denote complex conjugation (this an abuse of notation because its image in the quotient group \( G \) is also denoted by \( j \)) and let \( \mathcal{G}^+ := \mathcal{G}/(j) = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K) \). Then \( j \in H \) and so again \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}^+) \) is a free \( R \)-order in \( \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}^+) \). Moreover, \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) := \Lambda(\mathcal{G}^+)(1+j) \) is also a free \( R \)-order. For any \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \)-module \( M \) we write \( M^+ \) and \( M^- \) for the submodules of \( M \) upon which \( j \) acts as 1 and \(-1 \), respectively, and consider these as modules over \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}^+) \) and \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^- \), respectively.

Let \( \chi_{\text{cyc}} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) denote the \( p \)-adic cyclotomic character. Let \( \mu_{\mathcal{G}} = \mu_{\mathcal{G}}(L_\infty) \) denote the group of \( p^n \)th roots of unity in \( L_\infty^\times \) and let \( \mu_{\mathcal{G}}^\infty \) be the nested union (or direct limit) of these groups. Let \( \mathbb{Z}_p(1) := \lim_{\to} \mathbb{Z}_p^n \) be endowed with the action of \( \mathcal{G} \) given by \( \chi_{\text{cyc}} \). For any \( r \geq 0 \) define \( \mathbb{Z}_p(r) := \mathbb{Z}_p(1)^{\otimes r} \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_p(-r) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p(r), \mathbb{Z}_p) \) endowed with the naturally associated actions. For any \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \)-module \( M \), we define the \( r \)th Tate twist to be \( M(r) := \mathbb{Z}_p(r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} M \) with the natural \( \mathcal{G} \)-action; hence \( M(r) \) is simply \( M \) with the modified \( \mathcal{G} \)-action \( g \cdot m = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)^r g(m) \) for \( g \in \mathcal{G} \) and \( m \in M \). In particular, we have \( \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \cong \mu_{\mathcal{G}} \) and \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}^+)(-1) \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^- \).

For every place \( v \) of \( K \) we denote the decomposition subgroup of \( \mathcal{G} \) at a chosen prime \( w_\infty \) above \( v \) by \( \mathcal{G}_{w_\infty} \) (everything will only depend on \( v \) and not on \( w_\infty \) in the following).

In a cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension only finitely many primes lie above any rational prime, and hence the index \( \mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G}_{w_\infty} \) is finite when \( v \) is a finite place of \( K \). Let \( \sigma_{w_\infty} \) denote the Frobenius automorphism at \( w_\infty \).

For \( n \geq 0 \) let \( G_n := \text{Gal}(L_n/K) \), where \( L_n \) is the \( n \)th layer of \( L_\infty \). Denote the canonical projection map \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n] \) by \( \text{aug}_n \). We let \( \Upsilon \) be the multiplicatively closed subset of \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) comprising all \( x \in \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) such that \( \text{aug}_n(x) \) is a nonzerodivisor in \( \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n] \) for all \( n \geq 0 \). Then \( \text{aug}_n \) extends uniquely to a \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-algebra morphism \( \text{aug}_n : \Upsilon^{-1}\Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \). Similar observations hold for the projection map \( \text{aug}_{\Gamma_K} : \Lambda(\Gamma_K) \to \mathbb{Z}_p \). For an irreducible \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-valued character \( \chi \) of \( G_n \), let \( e_n(\chi) \) denote the associated primitive idempotent of \( \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \). Then for each \( n \geq 0 \) and each \( x \in \Upsilon^{-1}\Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \) we have an equality

\[
\text{aug}_n(x) = \sum_{\chi} \text{aug}_{\Gamma_K}(j_\chi(x)) e_n(\chi),
\]

where the sum runs over all irreducible \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-valued characters \( \chi \) of \( G_n \). This is essentially a consequence of the facts that \( \text{aug}_{\Gamma_K}(j_\chi(\gamma_\chi)) = 1 \) and \( \gamma_\chi \) acts trivially upon \( V_\chi \). (See [Nic10] Theorem 6.4 and its proof for an even more general result.) Note that in particular \( \Phi_S \in \Upsilon^{-1}\Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \).
For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $t^r_{\text{cyc}}$ denote the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra automorphism of $\Upsilon^{-1}\Lambda(G)$ induced by $g \mapsto \chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)g$ for $g \in G$. This restricts to an $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra automorphism of $\Lambda(G)$ and for $r = 1$ induces an isomorphism $\Lambda(G^+)(-1) \cong \Lambda(G)_-$. Let $x \mapsto x^\#$ denote the anti-involution on $\Upsilon^{-1}\Lambda(G)$ induced by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$.

Let $T$ be a finite set of places of $K$ such that $S \cap T = \emptyset$. We define $\Psi_{S,T} \in \Upsilon^{-1}\Lambda(G)$ by

\begin{equation}
\Psi_{S,T} = \Psi_{S,T}(L_\infty/K) := t^1_{\text{cyc}}(\Phi_S) \cdot \prod_{v \in T} \xi_v,
\end{equation}

where $\xi_v := 1 - \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma_{w_n})\sigma_{w_n}^-$. The following result for $r = 0$ is essential for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The case $r < 0$ will be needed in §14.

**Proposition 9.1.** For every integer $r \leq 0$ we have $t^r_{\text{cyc}}(\Psi^T_{S,T}) = \lim_{\xi_n} \Theta_{S,T}(L_n/K, r)$.

**Proof.** Variants of this result are certainly well known. The case at hand is stated in [G-P15, Lemma 5.14 (2)], but it relies on [P09, Proposition 4.1] where the case $r < 0$ is left to the reader. We include the short argument as convenience as it provides the crucial link between complex and $p$-adic Artin $L$-functions.

We have to show that the image of $t^r_{\text{cyc}}(\Psi^T_{S,T})$ under the projection map $\text{aug}_n$ is equal to $\Theta_{S,T}(L_n/K, r)$ for each $n \geq 0$. In fact, it suffices to show this for sufficiently large $n$.

It is straightforward to check that $t^r_{\text{cyc}}(\xi^\#_n) = 1 - \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma_{w_n})\sigma_{w_n}^-$. Hence since $\sigma_{w_n}$ acts by $N(v)$ on $p$-power roots of unity, for each $v \in T$ and $n \geq 0$ we have

\begin{equation}
\text{aug}_n(t^r_{\text{cyc}}(\xi^\#_n)) = 1 - N(v)^{1-r}\sigma_{w_n}^{-1} = \delta_{\{v\}}(L_n/K, r),
\end{equation}

where $w_n$ denotes the place of $L_n$ below $w_\infty$ and $\delta_{\{v\}}(L_n/K, r)$ is defined in (24).

Since a sufficiently large $p$-power of $\Gamma_L = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/L) \leq \Gamma$ is contained in $\Gamma$, there exists $m \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq m$, we have a decomposition $G_n \cong H \times \Gamma/\Gamma_{L_n}$. Now fix $n \geq m$. Then the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued irreducible characters of $G_n$ are precisely those of the form $\chi \otimes \rho$, where $\chi$ and $\rho$ are irreducible characters of $H$ and $\Gamma/\Gamma_{L_n}$, respectively. We denote the associated primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]$ by $e_n(\chi \otimes \rho)$ and compute

\begin{align*}
\text{aug}_n(t^r_{\text{cyc}}(\Psi^T_{S,T})) & = \delta_T(L_n/K, r) \cdot \text{aug}_n(t^{r-1}_{\text{cyc}}(\Phi^T_S)) \\
& = \delta_T(L_n/K, r) \cdot \text{aug}_n((t^{r-1}_{\text{cyc}}(\Phi^T_S))^\#) \\
& = \delta_T(L_n/K, r) \cdot \sum_{\chi \otimes \rho} \text{aug}_{T_K}(j_{\chi \otimes \rho}(t^{r-1}_{\text{cyc}}(\Phi^T_S))) e_n(\tilde{\chi} \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \\
& = \delta_T(L_n/K, r) \cdot \sum_{\chi \otimes \rho} \text{aug}_{T_K}(G_{\chi \otimes \rho,S}(u^{1-r+\gamma_K} - 1)/H_{\chi,\rho,S}(u^{1-r+\gamma_K} - 1)) e_n(\tilde{\chi} \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \\
& = \delta_T(L_n/K, r) \cdot \sum_{\chi \otimes \rho} l(S, r, \chi^{-1} + \rho) e_n(\tilde{\chi} \otimes \tilde{\rho}) \\
& = \Theta_{S,T}(L_n/K, r).
\end{align*}

Here the sums run over all irreducible $\mathbb{Q}_p$-valued characters $\rho$ of $\Gamma/\Gamma_{L_n}$ and $\chi$ of $H$ with $\chi$ odd if $r$ is even and $\chi$ even otherwise. The first equality is a consequence of (9.2) and (9.3). The second is clear and the third is (9.1) with $x = (t^{r-1}_{\text{cyc}}(\Phi_S))^\#$. The fourth equality is implied by [J-N19, Lemma 6.1], (5.3) and (5.4). (Note that $u := \kappa(\gamma_K) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\gamma)$ if $\gamma$ maps to $\gamma_K$ under the canonical isomorphism $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_K$.) The fifth and sixth equalities follow from (5.3) and (5.4), respectively. (Note that when the characters in question are
linear, the interpolation property (5.4) also holds for $r = 0$.) The last equality follows from the definition of $\Theta_{S,T}(L/K, r)$.

Recalling the notation of §2.3 for $n \geq 0$, let $A^T_{L_n} = (\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Z} \text{cl}_L)$. Let $A^T_{L_\infty} = \lim_{\leftarrow n} A^T_{L_n}$ where the transition maps are the canonical ones. If $T$ is empty we further abbreviate $A^T_{L_\infty}$ to $A_{L_\infty}$. The following result crucially depends on the strong Brumer–Stark conjecture.

**Lemma 10.1.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $K$ be a totally real number field. Let $L/K$ be an abelian admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extension of $K$. There exists a finite abelian CM extension $L/K$ such that (i) $\zeta_p \in L$, (ii) $L \cap K_\infty = K$ and (iii) $L \subseteq L_\infty$, where $L_\infty$ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $L$ and $L_\infty^+$ is its maximal totally real subfield.

**Proof.** Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$, let $H = \text{Gal}(L/K_\infty)$ and let $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. As in §5.1 we obtain a semidirect product $G = H \rtimes \Gamma$ where $\Gamma \leq G$ and $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $G$ is abelian, the semidirect product is in fact direct. Let $F$ be the subfield of $L$ fixed by $\Gamma$. Then $F_\infty = L$ and $F \cap K_\infty = K$. Now let $L = F(\zeta_p)$. Then $L/K$ is a finite abelian CM extension and $L$ satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iii). We note that the choice of $\Gamma$ and hence of $L$ is non-canonical.

**Theorem 10.2 (Theorem 5.1).** Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $K$ be a totally real number field. Let $L/K$ be an abelian admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie extension. Then the EIMC with uniqueness holds for $L/K$.

**Proof.** Let $L/K$ be as in Lemma 10.1 and let $S$ be a finite set of places of $K$ containing $S_p \cup \text{ram}(L/K) \cup S_\infty$. Note that, in particular, the assumptions of §9 are satisfied.

We first prove the EIMC for $L_\infty^+/K$. Let $G = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K)$. Then $G = H \rtimes \Gamma$ where $H = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)$ and $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/L)$. Moreover, $G^+ := \text{Gal}(L_\infty^+/K) = H^+ \rtimes \Gamma$ where $H^+ = \text{Gal}(L_\infty^+/K_\infty)$ and $\Gamma \cong \text{Gal}(L_\infty^+/L^+)$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$. For $n \geq 0$, let $L_n$ denote the $n$th layer of $L_\infty$.

By Proposition 8.4 the EIMC for $L_\infty^+/K$ is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\Phi_S \in \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda(p)}(G^+)((X_S)_{(p)}).$$

As the decomposition groups $G_{w_\infty}$ have finite index in $G$, [NSW08 Corollary 11.3.6(i)] shows that the canonical projection $X_S \to X_{Sp}$ induces an isomorphism $(X_S)_{(p)} \cong$
(X_{S_p})(p). Moreover, since $t^1_{\text{cyc}}$ induces an isomorphism $\Lambda(\mathcal{G}^+)(-1) \cong \Lambda(\mathcal{G})_-$, the containment (10.1) is equivalent to the assertion that

\begin{equation}
\Psi_S := t^1_{\text{cyc}}(\Phi_S) \in \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda(p)(\mathcal{G})_-}(X_{S_p}(-1)(p)).
\end{equation}

By Kummer duality [NSW08 Theorem 11.4.3] we have a canonical isomorphism of $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})_-$-modules

\begin{equation}
X_{S_p}(-1) \cong \text{Hom}(A_{L_\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p).
\end{equation}

Let $T$ be a second finite set of places of $K$ containing primes of at least two different residue characteristics and such that $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Then by Proposition 3.2 we have

\begin{equation}
\Psi_{S,T} \in \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda(\mathcal{G})_-}(\text{Hom}(A^T_{L_\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)).
\end{equation}

Taking $p$-minus part of sequence (2.2) for each layer $L_n$ yields exact sequences

\begin{equation}
0 \longrightarrow \mu_p^\infty(L_n) \longrightarrow \left(\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{O}_{L_n}/\mathfrak{m}_{L_n}^T)^{\times}\right)^\sim \longrightarrow A^T_{L_n} \longrightarrow A_{L_n} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{equation}

where $\mu_p^\infty(L_n)$ denotes the group of all $p$-power roots of unity in $L_n$. Taking direct limits yields

\begin{equation}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in T} \left(\text{ind}_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_\infty}}^G \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1)\right)^\sim \longrightarrow A^T_{L_\infty} \longrightarrow A_{L_\infty} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{equation}

and then taking Pontryagin duals gives a new exact sequence

\begin{equation}
0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{L_\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A^T_{L_\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in T} \left(\text{ind}_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_\infty}}^G \mathbb{Z}_p(-1)\right)^\sim \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(-1) \rightarrow 0.
\end{equation}

As the decomposition groups $\mathcal{G}_{w_\infty}$ have finite index in $\mathcal{G}$, the last two non-trivial terms are finitely generated as $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules and thus vanish after localisation at $(p)$. Therefore there is a canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}(A_{L_\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_p \cong \text{Hom}(A^T_{L_\infty}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_p$. Taking (10.3) and (10.4) into account it follows that

\begin{equation}
\Psi_{S,T} \in \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda(p)(\mathcal{G})_-}(X_{S_p}(-1)(p)).
\end{equation}

Since the Euler factors $\xi_v$ become units in $\Lambda(p)(\mathcal{G})$ for each $v \in T$ (in fact, $\xi_v$ generates the Fitting ideal of the $\Lambda(\mathcal{G})$-module $\text{ind}_{\mathfrak{m}_{L_\infty}}^G \mathbb{Z}_p(-1)$, which is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module), it follows from (10.5) that (10.2) holds. Therefore the EIMC holds for $L^+_\infty/K$. Thus the EIMC also holds for $\mathcal{L}/K$ by Lemma 5.10. Moreover, uniqueness holds by Remark 5.8.

\section{Iwasawa Algebras and Commutator Subgroups}

The following theorem is a restatement of a special case of [JN13 Proposition 4.5]. We include the proof here for the convenience of the reader and take the opportunity to correct some minor oversights in the proof of loc. cit.

\textbf{Theorem 11.1.} Let $p$ be a prime, let $\mathcal{G} = H \rtimes \Gamma$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group and let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Then the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{G}'$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is finite. Moreover, $\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ is a direct product of matrix rings over (complete local) commutative rings if and only if $p \nmid |\mathcal{G}'|$.\[\]

\textbf{Proof.} We adopt the setup and notation of \[\] We identify $R$ with $\mathcal{O}[[T]]$ and abbreviate $\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ to $\Lambda$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ denote the maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ and $R$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{p}$ and $T$. Let $k = R/\mathfrak{p} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$ be the residue field, which is finite and
of characteristic $p$. Let $C_p^n$ denote the cyclic group of order $p^n$. Since $\gamma p^n = 1 + T \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{P}$, we have

$$(11.1) \quad \Lambda := \Lambda / \mathfrak{P} \Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p^n - 1} k[H] \gamma^i = k[H \rtimes C_p^n] \cong k \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \Lambda.$$ 

Since $\mathcal{G}/H \cong \Gamma$ is abelian, $\mathcal{G}'$ is actually a subgroup of $H$ and thus is finite. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}'$ identifies with the commutator subgroup of $H \rtimes C_p^n$.

We refer the reader to [AG60] for background on separability and recall that a ring is said to be an Azumaya algebra if it is separable over its centre. We shall show that the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) $\Lambda$ is a direct product of matrix rings over (complete local) commutative rings;
(ii) $\overline{\Lambda}$ is a direct product of matrix rings over commutative rings;
(iii) $\Lambda$ is an Azumaya algebra;
(iv) $\overline{\Lambda}$ is an Azumaya algebra;
(v) $p \not| |\mathcal{G}'|.$

As any matrix ring over a commutative ring is an Azumaya algebra, (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). In fact, as remarked after [DJ83] Corollary, p. 390, we have (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). By [DJ83] Corollary p. 389, we have (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v).

We now show (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). By [Lam01] Example 23.3 $\zeta(\Lambda)$ is semiperfect and thus a product of local rings by [Lam01] Theorem 23.11, say $\zeta(\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} R_i$, where each $R_i$ contains $R$. By [CR81] Proposition 6.5 (ii) each $R_i$ is in fact a complete local ring. Let $\mathfrak{P}_i$ be the maximal ideal of $R_i$ and $k_i := R_i / \mathfrak{P}_i$ be the residue field. Note that we have

$$(11.2) \quad \zeta(\overline{\Lambda}) = \zeta(\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} R_i \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} R_i / \mathfrak{P}_i R_i.$$ 

In order to justify the first equality, we observe that it is a straightforward consequence of the decomposition (11.1) that the centre $\zeta(\Lambda)$ is a free $\mathcal{R}$-module of rank $c(\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_0)$, where $c(A)$ denotes the number of conjugacy classes of a group $A$; a basis is given by the class sums. Similarly, it follows from (11.1) that $\zeta(\overline{\Lambda})$ is a $k$-vector space of dimension $c(H \rtimes C_p^n) = c(\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_0)$. Hence the obvious inclusion $\zeta(\Lambda) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k \subseteq \zeta(\overline{\Lambda})$ must be an equality.

Moreover, we also have

$$(11.3) \quad \Lambda \otimes_{\zeta(\Lambda)} k_i = \Lambda \otimes_{R_i} k_i \cong (\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k) \otimes_{(R_i \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k)} (k_i \otimes_{R_i} k) \cong \overline{\Lambda} \otimes_{\zeta(\overline{\Lambda})} k_i.$$ 

By [AG60] Theorem 4.7 $\Lambda$ is Azumaya if and only if $\Lambda \otimes_{\zeta(\Lambda)} k_i$ is separable over $k_i$ for each $i$. Similarly, by (11.2) and loc. cit. $\overline{\Lambda}$ is Azumaya if and only if $\overline{\Lambda} \otimes_{\zeta(\overline{\Lambda})} k_i$ is separable over $k_i$ for each $i$. Therefore the claim now follows from (11.3).

In summary, we have shown that (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Thus it remains to show (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose (iii) holds. Since $\mathfrak{P}_i R_i \subset \mathfrak{P}_i$, the canonical projection $R_i \rightarrow k_i$ factors through $R_i \rightarrow R_i / \mathfrak{P}_i R_i = R_i \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k$. Hence we have the corresponding homomorphisms of Brauer groups

$$\text{Br}(R_i) \rightarrow \text{Br}(R_i / \mathfrak{P}_i R_i) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_i).$$

Now $\text{Br}(R_i) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_i)$ is injective by [AG60] Corollary 6.2 and hence $\text{Br}(R_i) \rightarrow \text{Br}(R_i / \mathfrak{P}_i R_i)$ must also be injective. This yields an embedding

$$\text{Br}(\zeta(\Lambda)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \text{Br}(R_i) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \text{Br}(R_i \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} k) = \text{Br}(\zeta(\overline{\Lambda})).$$
Since $\Lambda$ is Azumaya, it defines a class $[\Lambda] \in \text{Br}(\zeta(\Lambda))$ which is mapped to $[\Lambda]$ via this embedding. In particular, (iv) holds and we have already seen that this implies (ii). Hence $[\Lambda]$ is trivial and thus so is $[\Lambda]$. Let $\Lambda_i$ be the component of $\Lambda$ corresponding to $R_i$. Then $[\Lambda_i] \in \text{Br}(R_i)$ is trivial and so by [AG60, Proposition 5.3] $\Lambda_i$ is isomorphic to an $R_i$-algebra of the form $\text{Hom}_{R_i}(P_i, P_i)$ where $P_i$ is a finitely generated projective faithful $R_i$-module. Since $R_i$ is a local ring, $P_i$ must be free and so $\Lambda_i$ must be isomorphic to a matrix ring over its centre $R_i$. Thus (i) holds.

**Corollary 11.2.** Let $p$ be a prime and let $\mathcal{G}$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group such that $p \nmid |\mathcal{G}|$. Let $F/\mathcal{O}$ be a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Then $Q^F(\mathcal{G})$ is a direct product of matrix rings over fields and there is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})) & \overset{\iota}{\longrightarrow} & K_1(Q^F(\mathcal{G})) & \overset{\partial}{\longrightarrow} & K_0(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}), Q^F(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{\cong} & & \downarrow{\cong} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \zeta(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \longrightarrow & \zeta(Q^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \longrightarrow & \zeta(Q^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
$$

with exact rows.

**Proof.** Apart from the injectivity of $\iota$, the existence of the top row and its exactness is [1,2]. The exactness of the bottom row is tautological. Since $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ is a direct product of matrix rings over commutative local rings, [CR87, Proposition 45.12] and a Morita equivalence argument show that the left vertical map is an isomorphism. Moreover, an extension of scalars argument shows that $Q^F(\mathcal{G})$ is direct product of matrix rings over fields, and so the middle vertical map is also an isomorphism. The left square commutes since the reduced norm / determinant map is compatible with extensions of scalars. The left and middle vertical isomorphisms induce the right vertical isomorphism, and so the right square commutes. Finally, commutativity of the diagram shows that $\iota$ is injective.

**12. Further algebraic results and the proof of Corollary 1.2**

In this section, we begin by proving purely algebraic results on the vanishing of $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{G}))$ and on the injectivity of certain products of maps over subquotients of $\mathcal{G}$. By combining these results with the functorial properties of the EIMC, we then show that Theorem 1.1 implies Corollary 1.2. Some results in this section are stated for all primes $p$ and others are only stated for odd primes $p$; those in the latter case ultimately rely on [RW05] where it is a standing hypothesis that $p$ is odd.

**12.1. F-q-elementary groups.** Let $q$ be a prime. A finite group is said to be $q$-hyperelementary if it is of the form $C_n \rtimes Q$, with $Q$ a $q$-group and $C_n$ a cyclic group of order $n$ such that $q \nmid n$. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 0. A $q$-hyperelementary group $C_n \rtimes Q$ is called $F$-$q$-elementary if

$$\text{Im}(Q \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(C_n) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times) \subseteq \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_n)/F).$$

An $F$-elementary group is one that is $F$-$q$-elementary for some prime $q$. A finite group is said to be $q$-elementary if it is of the form $C_n \rtimes Q$ with $q \nmid n$ and $Q$ a $q$-group.

Now let $F/\mathcal{O}_p$ be a finite extension and let $\mathcal{G}$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. Let $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be an open central subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is said to be:

- $F$-$q$-elementary if there is a choice of $\Gamma_0$ such that $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_0$ is $F$-$q$-elementary;
- $F$-elementary if it is $F$-$q$-elementary for some prime $q$;
Lemma 12.1. In the case $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ the definition of $F$-$q$-elementary given above is equivalent to the corresponding definitions of [RW05] §2 ($p = q$) and [RW05] §3 ($p \neq q$).

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. It is clear that if $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the definitions of Ritter and Weiss given in [RW05] §2, §3, then it satisfies the definition given above. The converse is given by [RW05] Lemma 4 in the case $p \neq q$ and by the following calculation when $p = q$. Suppose more generally that $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a finite extension and that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C_n \rtimes Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $C_n \rtimes Q$ is $F$-$p$-elementary and $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is an open central subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $s \in \mathcal{G}$ be a pre-image of a generator of $C_n$. Since $n$ and $p$ are coprime, we may multiply $s$ by a suitable element in $\Gamma_0$ to obtain an element of order $n$. Thus we can and do assume without loss of generality that $s$ itself has order $n$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be the pre-image of $Q$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is an open pro-$p$ subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G} \simeq C_n \rtimes \mathcal{P}$, where $C_n$ is generated by $s$. Since $\Gamma_0$ is central in $\mathcal{G}$, the action of $\mathcal{P}$ on $C_n$ factors through $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_n)$ and thus has image in $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta_n)/F)$. \hfill \Box

12.2. The kernel of the reduced norm map. Let $p$ be a prime and let $\mathcal{G}$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension. Define

$$SK_1(Q_F(\mathcal{G})) = \ker({nr : K_1(Q_F(\mathcal{G})) \rightarrow \zeta(Q_F(\mathcal{G}))^\times}).$$

Proposition 12.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $\mathcal{G}$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. Then $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{G})) = 0$ if $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{H})) = 0$ for all open $\mathbb{Q}_p$-$p$-elementary subgroups $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof. By [RW05] Corollary on p. 167 we have that $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{G})) = 0$ if $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{H}))$ vanishes for all open $\mathbb{Q}_p$-elementary subgroups $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. If $q$ is a prime distinct from $p$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is an open $\mathbb{Q}_p$-$q$-elementary subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ then $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{H})) = 0$ by a result of Lau [Lau12] Theorem 2. The case $p = q$ holds by hypothesis. \hfill \Box

Corollary 12.3. If $p$ is an odd prime and $\mathcal{G}$ is an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group with an abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup then $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{G})) = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 12.2 it suffices to show that $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{H})) = 0$ for all open $\mathbb{Q}_p$-$p$-elementary subgroups $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be such a subgroup. Then by Lemma 12.1 $\mathcal{H} = (s) \rtimes \mathcal{U}$ where $(s)$ is a finite cyclic subgroup of order prime to $p$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is an open pro-$p$ subgroup. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}$ must be abelian by the hypothesis on $\mathcal{G}$ and so the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{H}'$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is necessarily a subgroup of $(s)$. Hence $p \nmid |\mathcal{H}'|$ and so the reduced norm map $nr : K_1(Q(\mathcal{H})) \rightarrow \zeta(Q(\mathcal{H}))^\times$ is an isomorphism by Corollary 11.2 (with $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$). In particular, $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{H})) = 0$. \hfill \Box

Remark 12.4. As noted in [RW04] Remark E (also see [Bur15] Remark 3.5), a conjecture of Suslin implies that in fact $SK_1(Q(\mathcal{G}))$ always vanishes.

12.3. Products of maps over subquotients of $\mathcal{G}$. For $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, we abuse notation and let

$$nr : K_1(\mathcal{O}(-)) \rightarrow \zeta(Q_F(-))^\times$$
Theorem 12.5. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $G$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group and let $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be an open central subgroup of $G$. Let $E_p$ denote the collection of all $p$-elementary subquotients of $G$ of the form $\mathcal{U}/N$, where $\Gamma_0 \leq \mathcal{U} \leq G$ and $N$ is a finite normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}$. Then the product of maps

$$\zeta(Q(G))^\times /\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(G))) \rightarrow H \prod_{H \in E_p} \zeta(Q(H))^\times /\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(H)))$$

is injective. If we further assume that $SK_1(Q(G)) = 0$, then the product of maps

$$K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) \rightarrow H \prod_{H \in E_p} K_0(\Lambda(H), Q(H))$$

is also injective.

Remark 12.6. In Theorem 12.5, there are only finitely many choices for $\mathcal{U}$ since $\Gamma_0$ is open in $G$ and only finitely many choices for $N$ since $N \leq H$, where $H$ is the finite normal subgroup of $G$ consisting of all elements of finite order (see 4.1). Therefore $E_p$ is finite. Note that in the special case $G = \Gamma_0 \times H$, the collection $E_p$ consists of all groups of the form $\Gamma_0 \times E$ where $E$ ranges over all $p$-elementary subquotients of $H$.

We shall first prove several auxiliary and intermediate results which may be of interest in their own right.

Lemma 12.7. Let $p$ be a prime and let $G$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. Let $F/Q_p$ be a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K_1(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(G)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(Q^F(G)) \\
\downarrow{\text{nr}} & & \downarrow{\text{nr}} \\
\zeta(Q^F(G))^\times & \longrightarrow & \zeta(Q^F(G))^\times /\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(G)))
\end{array}$$

with exact rows. Moreover, the right vertical map is injective if $SK_1(Q^F(G)) = 0$.

Proof. The triangle commutes by definition. The top row is (12.2) and the existence of the right vertical map follows from the exactness of this row. The second claim follows from the snake lemma.

Lemma 12.8. Let $p$ be a prime and let $G$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. Let $F/Q_p$ be a finite extension that is at most tamely ramified and let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $F$. Then the canonical map

$$\zeta(Q(G))^\times /\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(G))) \rightarrow \zeta(Q^F(G))^\times /\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(G)))$$

is injective. If we further assume that $SK_1(Q(G)) = 0$, then the extension of scalars map

$$K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) \rightarrow K_0(\Lambda^\mathcal{O}(G), Q^F(G))$$

is also injective.
Proof. By enlarging \( F \) if necessary, we can and do assume that \( F/\mathbb{Q}_p \) is Galois. The first claim follows from the equalities
\[
\zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cap \mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G})))) = \mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G})))^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))),
\]
where the last equality is \([V12 \text{ Theorem 2.12}]\). (We point out that the ‘notation as above’ in the statement of loc. cit. refers to \([V12 \text{ Theorem 2.11}]\) rather than the text between these two results; the simplifying assumptions are to be understood as ‘without loss of generality’. Indeed the proof of \([V12 \text{ Theorem 2.12}]\) remains valid unchanged for finite tamely ramified extensions of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \).) We have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & \zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})))) \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow \\
K_0(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}^F(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & \zeta((\mathbb{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G})))),
\end{array}
\]
where the existence of the horizontal maps follows from Lemma \([12.7]\). If \( SK_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) = 0 \) then the top horizontal map is injective by Lemma \([12.7]\) and so the second claim now follows from the commutativity of the diagram. \( \square \)

Lemma 12.9. Let \( p \) be a prime and let \( \mathcal{G} \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie group. Let \( F/\mathbb{Q}_p \) be a finite extension with ring of integers \( \mathcal{O} \). Then the canonical map
\[
\zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))^\times \longrightarrow \zeta((\mathbb{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\zeta(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times
\]
is injective.

Proof. Write \( \mathcal{G} = H \times \Gamma \) where \( H \) is finite and \( \Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \). Let \( \Gamma_0 \) be an open subgroup of \( \Gamma \) that is central in \( \mathcal{G} \). Let \( R = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_0] \). Since \( \zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})) \) and \( \zeta(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G})) \) are both \( R \)-orders, all of their elements are integral over \( R \) by \([\text{Rei03 \text{ Theorem 8.6}]\). Thus \( \zeta(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cap \zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})) = \zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) by \([\text{Swa83 \text{ Lemma 9.7}]\), for example. Hence we have
\[
\zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))^\times \subseteq \zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cap \zeta(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times \subseteq \zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})) \cap \zeta(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times = \zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))^\times.
\]
Therefore \( \zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cap \zeta(\Lambda^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times = \zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))^\times \), which gives the desired result. \( \square \)

In the results that follow, the quotient and restriction maps on certain quotients of \( \zeta((\mathbb{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) are induced by those defined in \([14]\).

Proposition 12.10. Let \( p \) be a prime and let \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} \times \Delta \) where \( \mathcal{H} \) is an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie group such that \( p \nmid |\mathcal{H}| \) and \( \Delta \) is a finite group with \( p \nmid |\Delta| \). Let \( \mathcal{C}(\Delta) \) denote the collection of cyclic subquotients of \( \Delta \). Then the products of maps
\[
\zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))^\times \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)} \zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times/\zeta(\Lambda(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times,
\]
\[
\zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})))^\times \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)} \zeta((\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times/\mathrm{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{H} \times C))))
\]
and
\[
K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)} K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{H} \times C), \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{H} \times C))
\]
are all injective.
Analogous observations hold for the quotients $\zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cong \prod_{\psi \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}(\Delta)} \zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{H}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{H}))^\times$.

(12.2) $$\zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times \cong \prod_{\psi \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}(\Delta)} \zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{H}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{H}))^\times.$$  

Analogous observations hold for the quotients $\zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times$ for each $C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$. Moreover, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \xrightarrow{\prod \text{quot} \circ \text{res}} & \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)} \zeta(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \xrightarrow{\prod \text{quot} \circ \text{res}} & \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)} \zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{H} \times C))^\times,
\end{array}$$

where the vertical extension of scalars maps are injective by Lemma 12.2. Thus it suffices to show that the bottom horizontal map is injective; we denote this map by $\iota$.

Now let $f$ be an arbitrary element in $\zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{G}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{G}))^\times$. Using 12.2 we write $f = (f_{\psi})_{\psi \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}(\Delta)}$ with $f_{\psi} \in \zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{H}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{H}))^\times$. Using the definition of $\iota$, we write $\iota(f)$ as $(f_C\zeta)_{C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)}$. Moreover, for each $C \in \mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ we write $f_C = (f_{C,\lambda})_{\lambda \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}_p(C)}$ with $f_{C,\lambda} \in \zeta(\mathcal{Q}^F(\mathcal{H}))^\times / \zeta(\mathcal{L}^O(\mathcal{H}))^\times$. If $C = U/N$ for a subgroup $U$ of $\Delta$ and a normal subgroup $N$ of $U$, then explicitly we have

$$f_{C,\lambda} = \prod_{\psi \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}_p(\Delta)} f_{\psi}^{(\psi,\text{ind}_{\psi}^\mathcal{L}_C\text{ind}_{\psi}^\mathcal{L}_C\lambda)} = \prod_{\psi \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}_p(\Delta)} \left(\prod_{\psi' \in \text{Irr} \mathcal{O}_p(\Delta)} f_{\psi'}^{(\psi',\text{ind}_{\psi'}^\mathcal{L}_C\text{ind}_{\psi'}^\mathcal{L}_C\lambda)}\right)^{z_{\psi'}} = \prod_{j} f_{C_j,\lambda_j} = \prod_{j} 1^{z_j} = 1.$$

Thus $f$ is trivial, as desired. \qedhere

**Proposition 12.11.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $\mathcal{G}$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group. Let $\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be an open central subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$, let $G = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_0$ and let $\pi : \mathcal{G} \to G$ denote the canonical projection. Let $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a finite extension with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_F(\mathcal{G}) = \{\pi^{-1}(E) : E\text{ is an } F\text{-elementary subgroup of } \mathcal{G}\}$. Then both
products of maps
\[
\zeta(Q^F(G))^{\times}/nr(K_1(\Lambda^0(G))) \xrightarrow{\prod res_H^G} \prod_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{E}_F(G)} \zeta(Q^F(H))^{\times}/nr(K_1(\Lambda^0(H)))
\]
and
\[
The_0(\Lambda^0(G), Q^F(G)) \xrightarrow{\prod res_H^G} \prod_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{E}_F(G)} \The_0(\Lambda^0(H), Q^F(H))
\]
are injective.

\textbf{Proof.} For an open subgroup \(U\) of \(G\), we denote by \(R_F(U)\) the ring of all characters of finite dimensional \(F\)-representations of \(U\) with open kernel. We view \(R_F\) as a Frobenius functor of the open subgroups of \(G\) in the sense of \([CRS7]\) [38A] (note that though the definitions of loc. cit. are only stated for finite groups, they easily extend to the present setting). By \([RW05]\) Lemma 7 the groups \(K_1(\Lambda(-))\) and \(K_1(Q(-))\) are Frobenius modules over the Frobenius functor \(U \mapsto R_{Q_p}(U)\) (note that the result for \(K_1(Q(-))\) is not explicitly stated, but the same proof works, and it is actually used in the subsequent corollary). The same argument shows that \(K_1(\Lambda^0(-))\) and \(K_1(Q^F(-))\) are Frobenius modules over the Frobenius functor \(U \mapsto R_F(U)\). The canonical map \(K_1(\Lambda^0(-)) \to K_1(Q^F(-))\) is a morphism of Frobenius modules and thus its cokernel \(K_0(\Lambda^0(-), Q^F(-))\) is also a Frobenius module over the Frobenius functor \(U \mapsto R_F(U)\).

Now let \(\Gamma = G/H\) where \(H\) is as in [4.15]. By \([RW05]\) Lemma 7

\[
\text{Det} : K_1(\Lambda(-)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}^\times_{G_{O_p}}(R_{Q_p}(-), Q^F(\Gamma)^{\times})
\]
is a morphism of Frobenius modules and the same argument works with \(K_1(\Lambda(-))\) and \(G_{O_p}\) replaced by \(K_1(\Lambda^0(-))\) and \(G_F\), respectively. Together with [4.15], this shows that

\[
r : K_1(\Lambda^0(-)) \longrightarrow \zeta(Q^F(-))^{\times}\]
is also a morphism of Frobenius modules. Hence the cokernel \(\zeta(Q^F(-))^{\times}/nr(K_1(\Lambda^0(-)))\) is a Frobenius module over the finite group \(U \mapsto R_F(U)\).

We now proceed as in the proof of [RW05] Corollary, p. 167]. Let \(I_G\) and \(I_G\) denote the trivial characters of \(G\) and \(G\), respectively. An application of the Witt–Bermann induction theorem \([CRS1]\) Theorem 21.6] to the finite group \(G\) shows that there are \(F\)-elementary subgroups \(H_i \leq G\) and \(\lambda_i \in R_Q(H_i)\) such that \(I_G = \sum_i \text{ind}_{H_i}^G \lambda_i\). Let \(\mathcal{H}_i \leq \mathcal{G}\) denote the full preimage of \(H_i\) and let \(\xi_i = \text{inf}_{H_i}^{H_i} \lambda_i\). Then lifting gives \(I_G = \sum_i \text{ind}_{H_i}^G \xi_i\) (finite sum).

Now let \(x\) be either in \(\zeta(Q^F(G))^{\times}/nr(K_1(\Lambda^0(G)))\) or in \(K_0(\Lambda^0(G), Q^F(G))\) and denote the trivial element of both of these groups by \(0\). Suppose that \(x \in \ker(\prod i \text{res}_{H_i}^G)\). Then by the defining properties of Frobenius modules over Frobenius functors we have

\[
x = I_G \cdot x = \sum_i (\text{ind}_{H_i}^G \xi_i) \cdot x = \sum_i \text{ind}_{H_i}^G (\xi_i \cdot \text{res}_{H_i}^G x) = 0.
\]

Hence the result now follows by the trivial observation that \(\mathcal{H}_i \in \mathcal{E}_F(G)\) for each \(i\).

\textbf{Corollary 12.12.} Let \(p\) be an odd prime and let \(G\) be an admissible one-dimensional \(p\)-adic Lie group. Let \(\Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p\) be an open central subgroup of \(G\), let \(G = G/\Gamma_0\) and let \(\mathcal{E}(G) = \{ \pi^{-1}(E) \mid E \text{ is an elementary subgroup of } G \}\), where \(\pi : G \to G\) is the canonical projection. Then the product of maps

\[
\zeta(Q(G))^{\times}/nr(K_1(\Lambda(G))) \xrightarrow{\prod res_H^G} \prod_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{E}(G)} \zeta(Q(H))^{\times}/nr(K_1(\Lambda(H)))
\]
is injective. If we further assume that \( SK_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) = 0 \), then the product of maps

\[
K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \xrightarrow{\prod \text{res}_{\mathcal{G}}} \prod_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})} K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}))
\]

is also injective.

**Proof.** Write \( \mathcal{G} = H \times \Gamma \) where \( H \) is finite and \( \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \). Write \( |H| = p^t k \) for integers \( t \) and \( k \) such that \( t \geq 0 \) and \( p \nmid k \). Then \( F := \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{pk}) \) is a finite tamely ramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). We now repeat an argument given in the proof of [GRW99 Proposition 9] to show that every \( F \)-elementary subgroup of any finite quotient of \( \mathcal{G} \) is in fact elementary. Let \( q \) be a prime and let \( C_n \times Q \) be an \( F \)-\( q \)-elementary finite quotient of \( \mathcal{G} \). Write \( n = p^s m \) for integers \( s \) and \( m \) such that \( s \geq 0 \) and \( p \nmid m \). Note that \( m \) must divide \( k \). Since both \( \zeta_p \) and \( \zeta_m \) lie in \( F \), the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_n)/F) \) has \( p \)-power order. Thus if \( p \neq q \) then any homomorphism \( Q \to \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_n)/F) \) must be trivial. If \( p = q \) then \( s = 0 \) and so the extension \( F(\zeta_n)/F \) is trivial, giving the same result.

Now Proposition 12.11 and Lemma 12.8 imply the first claim. The second claim follows from Lemma 12.7. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 12.3.** By Corollary 12.12 we can and do replace \( \mathcal{G} \) by an elementary subgroup \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) containing \( \Gamma_0 \). We need only consider the case that \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) is \( q \)-elementary for some prime \( q \neq p \). By Lemma 12.1 we have \( \mathcal{H}_1 \cong \Gamma \times C \times Q \), where \( \Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \), \( C \) is finite cyclic of order coprime to \( q \), and \( Q \) is a finite \( q \)-group. Moreover, we can and do choose \( \Gamma \) such that \( \Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma \). Hence we may apply Proposition 12.10 with \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}_1 \), \( \mathcal{H} = \Gamma \times C \) and \( \Delta = Q \). It only remains to observe that for all cyclic subquotients \( H \) of \( \Delta \), the finite groups \( C \times H \) are cyclic and hence the groups \( \mathcal{H} \times H = \Gamma \times C \times H \) are \( p \)-elementary (in fact, they are \( \ell \)-elementary for every prime \( \ell \)). \( \square \)

### 12.4. Application to the EIMC

We give an easy reformulation of the EIMC without its uniqueness statement.

**Lemma 12.13.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( \mathcal{L}/K \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie extension of a totally real number field \( K \). Let \( \mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K) \) and let \( S \) be a finite set of places of \( K \) containing \( S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_{\infty} \). Choose any \( \zeta_S \in K_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \) such that \( \partial(\zeta_S) = -[C^*_S(\mathcal{L}/K)] \). Then the EIMC holds for \( \mathcal{L}/K \) if and only if

\[
\text{nr}(\zeta_S) \equiv \Phi_S \mod \text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))).
\]

**Proof.** This is an easy consequence of Lemma 12.7 in the case \( F = \mathbb{Q}_p \). \( \square \)

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section, a special case of which will allow us to deduce Corollary 12.2 from Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 12.14.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime and let \( \mathcal{L}/K \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie extension of a totally real number field \( K \). Let \( \mathcal{I}_p \) be the collection of all intermediate admissible extensions with \( p \)-elementary Galois group. Let \( \mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K) \) and let \( \mathcal{F}_p \) be the collection of all intermediate extensions defined by the collection \( \mathcal{E}_p \) of subquotients of \( \mathcal{G} \) defined in Theorem 12.3. The following statements are equivalent.

1. The EIMC holds for \( \mathcal{L}/K \).
2. The EIMC holds for all subextensions in \( \mathcal{I}_p \).
3. The EIMC holds for all subextensions in \( \mathcal{F}_p \).

**Remark 12.15.** Note that \( \mathcal{F}_p \subseteq \mathcal{I}_p \) and \( \mathcal{I}_p \) is infinite, but \( \mathcal{F}_p \) is finite (see Remark 12.6).
Proof of Theorem \[12.14\] Lemma \[5.10\] shows that \((i) \Rightarrow (ii)\). Since \(F_p \subseteq \mathcal{I}_p\) it follows trivially that \((ii) \Rightarrow (iii)\). Together, Propositions \[5.2\] and \[5.3\] Lemma \[12.7\] in the case \(F = \mathbb{Q}_p\), Lemma \[12.13\] and Theorem \[12.5\] show that \((iii) \Rightarrow (i)\). \(\square\)

Remark 12.16. If the extension \(\mathcal{L}/K\) satisfies the \(\mu = 0\) hypothesis, then \[RW05\] Theorem A\] shows that the equivalence of statements \((i)\) and \((ii)\) in Theorem \[12.14\] recovers \[RW05\] Theorem C\] (which itself assumes the \(\mu = 0\) hypothesis).

Corollary 12.17 (Corollary \[1.2\]). Let \(p\) be an odd prime and let \(K\) be a totally real number field. Let \(\mathcal{L}/K\) be an admissible one-dimensional \(p\)-adic Lie extension such that \(\text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)\) has an abelian Sylow \(p\)-subgroup. Then the EIMC with uniqueness holds for \(\mathcal{L}/K\).

Proof. Let \(\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}/K)\). Since \(\mathcal{G}\) has an abelian Sylow \(p\)-subgroup, every \(p\)-elementary subquotient of \(\mathcal{G}\) is abelian. Hence the EIMC for \(\mathcal{L}/K\) holds by Theorem \[1.1\] and the equivalence of statements \((i)\) and \((ii)\) in Theorem \[12.14\]. Moreover, \(SK_1(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})) = 0\) by Corollary \[12.3\] and so we also have uniqueness (see Remark \[5.8\]). \(\square\)

Remark 12.18. One may ask whether it is possible to deduce the EIMC for further non-abelian extensions by considering ‘hybrid’ cases as in \[JN18\]. This is in fact not the case. To see this, assume that the Iwasawa algebra \(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})\) is ‘\(N\)-hybrid’ for a finite normal subgroup \(N\) of \(\mathcal{G}\) in the sense of \[JN18\] Definition 3.8\], that is, \((i)\) \(p\) does not divide \(|N|\) and \((ii)\) \(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})(1-e_N)\) is a maximal \(R\)-order, where \(e_N\) is the central idempotent \(|N|^{-1} \sum_{n \in N} n\). Then \((i)\) implies that each Sylow \(p\)-subgroup of \(\mathcal{G}\) is mapped isomorphically onto a Sylow \(p\)-subgroup of \(\mathcal{G}/N\) under the canonical quotient map \(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/N\). Thus if \(\mathcal{G}/N\) has an abelian Sylow \(p\)-subgroup then so does \(\mathcal{G}\).

13. Further results on Fitting ideals

We give two further results on Fitting ideals that we shall use in \[\S 14\].

Lemma 13.1. Let \(p\) be a prime, let \(G\) be a finite abelian group, and let \(x \mapsto x^\#\) denote the involution on \(\mathbb{Z}_p[G]\) induced by \(g \mapsto g^{-1}\) for \(g \in G\). Let \(e \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]\) be an idempotent such that \(e = e^\#\). Let

\[
0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \rightarrow C' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0
\]

be an exact sequence of finite \(e\mathbb{Z}_p[G]\)-modules and assume that \(C\) and \(C'\) are of finite projective dimension. Then we have an equality

\[
\text{Fitt}_{e\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(M')^\# \cdot \text{Fitt}_{e\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(C') = \text{Fitt}_{e\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(C) \cdot \text{Fitt}_{e\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(M').
\]

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of \[EG03\ Lemma 5\]. See also \[Nic10\ Proposition 5.3\]. \(\square\)

Let \(p\) be a prime and let \(\mathcal{G}\) be an admissible one-dimensional \(p\)-adic Lie group. Let \(\Gamma_0\) be an open subgroup of \(\Gamma\) that is central in \(\mathcal{G}\) and let \(R = \mathcal{O}[\Gamma_0]\). Then \(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})\) is an \(R\)-order in the separable \(\text{Quot}(R)\)-algebra \(\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\). Now let \(e\) be any central idempotent element of \(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})\) and define \(\Lambda := e\Lambda(\mathcal{G})\) and \(\mathcal{Q} := e\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\). For each \((left)\) \(\Lambda\)-module \(M\) we set \(E^1(M) := \text{Ext}^1_R(M, R)\), which has a canonical right \(\Lambda\)-module structure. Let \(x \mapsto x^\#\) denote the anti-involution on \(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})\) induced by \(g \mapsto g^{-1}\) for \(g \in G\). Set \(\Lambda^\# := \{\lambda^\# \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\} = e^\#\Lambda(\mathcal{G})\) and likewise \(\mathcal{Q}^\# = e^\#\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{G})\). Then \(E^1(M)\) is a left \(\Lambda^\#\)-module, as \(\lambda^\# \in \Lambda^\#\) acts on \(f \in E^1(M)\) by \(\lambda^# f = f\lambda\). For each \(C^\bullet \in D^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda^\#)\) we write \((C^\bullet)^*\) for the dual complex \(R\text{Hom}_R(C^\bullet, R)\) in \(D^{\text{perf}}(\Lambda^\#)\). Since \(\text{Hom}_R(-, R)\) is exact on
finely generated projective \( \Lambda \)-modules, this induces a homomorphism of abelian groups
\((-)^{*} : K_0(\Lambda, \mathcal{O}) \to K_0(\Lambda^\# , \mathcal{O}^\#) \).

**Lemma 13.2.** If \( \mathcal{G} \) is abelian then \( \Lambda \) is commutative and the following statements hold.

(i) Let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module that is of projective dimension at most one and that is also \( R \)-torsion. Then \( E^1(M) \) is a finitely generated \( \Lambda^\# \)-module of projective dimension at most one and is \( R \)-torsion. Moreover, we have
\[ \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda^\#}(E^1(M)) = \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda}(M^\#). \]

(ii) For each \( C^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{perf}}^{\text{tor}}(\Lambda) \) we have
\[ \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda^\#}((C^*)^*[-1]) = \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda}(C^*)^\#. \]

**Proof.** By Lemma 14.1 we may choose a quadratic presentation of \( M \) as in (7.3). We apply the functor \( \text{Hom}_R(-, R) \) to this sequence. Since \( M \) is \( R \)-torsion and \( \Lambda \) is a projective \( R \)-module, we have \( \text{Hom}_R(M, R) = E^1(\Lambda) = 0 \). We identify \( \text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R) \) and \( \Lambda^\# \) so that we obtain an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to (\Lambda^\#)^n \xrightarrow{h^T^\#} (\Lambda^\#)^n \xrightarrow{\partial} E^1(M) \to 0, \]
where the second map is obtained from \( h \) by applying the involution \( \# \) to its transpose. This proves (i). Let \( x \in K_1(\mathcal{Q}) \) be arbitrary. We will show that \( \partial(x)^* = -\partial(x^T^\#) \). Since the connecting homomorphism \( \partial \) in (6.1) is surjective, this implies (ii). Each \( x \in K_1(\mathcal{Q}) \) can be written as the class of \( h g^{-1} \), where both \( h \) and \( g \) are matrices in \( M_n(\Lambda) \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{Q}) \) for some \( n \). Since \( (-)^T^\# \) is multiplicative and \( (-)^* \) is a homomorphism, we may therefore assume that \( x \) is represented by \( h \). The \( \Lambda \)-module \( M := \text{cok}(h) \) is of projective dimension at most one and \( R \)-torsion. Hence (ii) follows from (i) once we observe that \( M^* \simeq E^1(M)[-1] \) in \( \mathcal{D}(\Lambda^\#) \).

\[ \square \]

14. The ETNC at negative integers and the Coates–Sinnott conjecture

The equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture (ETNC) has been formulated by Burns and Flach [BF01] in vast generality. We will only consider the case of Tate motives. Let \( L/K \) be a finite Galois extension of number fields, let \( G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \) and let \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \). We regard \( h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r) \) as a motive defined over \( K \) and with coefficients in the semisimple algebra \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \). The ETNC for the pair \( (h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), \mathbb{Z}[G]) \) simply asserts that a certain canonical element \( T\Omega(L/K, \mathbb{Z}[G], r) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[G], \mathbb{R}[G]) \) vanishes.

Now we assume that \( L/K \) is a CM extension and let \( j \in G \) denote complex conjugation. For each \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \) we define a central idempotent \( e_r := \frac{1-(-1)^{r+1}}{2} \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G] \). The ETNC for the pair \( (h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), e_r \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G]) \) then likewise asserts that a certain canonical element \( T\Omega(L/K, e_r \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G], r) \in K_0(e_r \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G], \mathbb{R}[G]) \) vanishes. This corresponds to the plus or minus part of the ETNC (away from 2) if \( r \) is odd or even, respectively.

If \( r \) is a negative integer, then a result of Siegel [Sie70] implies that \( T\Omega(L/K, e_r \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G], r) \) actually belongs to the subgroup
\[ K_0(e_r \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G], \mathbb{Q}[G]) \cong \bigoplus_{p \text{ odd}} K_0(e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G], \mathbb{Q}_p[G]) \]
and we say that the \( p \)-part of the ETNC for the pair \( (h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), e_r \mathbb{Z}^{[\frac{1}{2}]}[G]) \) holds if its image in \( K_0(e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G], \mathbb{Q}_p[G]) \) vanishes.

**Theorem 14.1.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime. Let \( L/K \) be a finite Galois CM extension of number fields and let \( G = \text{Gal}(L/K) \). Then the following hold for every negative integer \( r \).
(i) The element $\Omega(L/K, e_r \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G], r)$ belongs to $K_0(e_r \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G], \mathcal{Q}[G])_{\text{tors}}$.

(ii) Assume that the extension $L(\zeta_p, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})/K$ satisfies the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis if $p$ divides $[G]$. Then the $p$-part of the ETNC for the pair $(h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), e_r \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G])$ holds.

**Proof.** Part (ii) has been shown by Burns [Bur13, Corollary 2.10]. If $L(\zeta_p, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})/K$ satisfies the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis (whether or not $p$ divides $[G]$) there is an independent proof due to the second named author [Nic11] Corollary 5.11. By a general induction argument [Nic11, Proposition 6.1 (iii)] (ii) implies (i) (if $r$ is odd see also [Nic11, Corollary 6.2]). □

In the case that $G$ has an abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup, we now remove the $\mu = 0$ hypothesis from Theorem 14.1 (ii) and thus obtain Theorem 1.3 from the introduction.

We first introduce a little more notation. If $v$ is a finite place of $K$, we denote the residue field of $K$ at $v$ by $K(v)$. If $R$ is either $K(v)$ or $\mathcal{O}_K, S$ for a finite set $S$ of places of $K$ that contains $S_\infty$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is an étale (pro-)sheaf on Spec$(R)$, then we abbreviate the complex $RG_\mathcal{F}(\text{Spec}(R), \mathcal{F})$ and in each degree $i$ the cohomology group $H^i_\text{ét}(\text{Spec}(R), \mathcal{F})$ to $RG_i(R, \mathcal{F})$ and $H^i(R, \mathcal{F})$, respectively.

**Theorem 14.2** (Theorem 1.3). Let $p$ be an odd prime. Let $L/K$ be a finite Galois CM extension of number fields and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Suppose that $G$ has an abelian Sylow $p$-subgroup. Then for each negative integer $r$ the $p$-part of the ETNC for the pair $(h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), e_r \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G])$ holds.

**Proof.** Let $S$ and $T$ be two finite non-empty sets of places of $K$ such that $S$ contains $S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_\infty \cup S_p$ and $S \cap T = \emptyset$. We define a complex of $e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-modules

$$RG_T(\mathcal{O}_K,S,e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1-r)) := \text{cone}(RG(\mathcal{O}_K,S,e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1-r)) \to \bigoplus_{v \in T} RG(K(v), e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1-r))[1]).$$

By [Nic13, Theorem 5.10] this complex is acyclic outside degree 2 and the only non-vanishing cohomology group, which we denote by $H^2_T(\mathcal{O}_K,S,e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1-r))$, is cohomologically trivial. Moreover, the ETNC for the pair $(h^0(\text{Spec}(L))(r), e_r \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G])$ holds if and only if $\Theta_{S,T}(r)$ is a generator of the (non-commutative) Fitting invariant of this $e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-module.

We now can either work with non-commutative Fitting invariants or we can apply [GRW99, Proposition 9] in combination with Theorem 14.1 (i) to reduce to abelian extensions. We choose the latter option so that the result follows from Lemma 14.3 below.

The following result is a strengthening of the ‘strong Coates–Sinnott conjecture’ [Nic13, Conjecture 5.1] in the case of abelian CM extensions.

**Lemma 14.3.** Let $p$ be an odd prime. Let $L/K$ be a finite abelian CM extension of number fields and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $S$ and $T$ be two finite non-empty sets of places of $K$ such that $S$ contains $S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_\infty \cup S_p$ and $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Then for each negative integer $r$ we have

$$\text{Fitt}_{e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(H^2_T(\mathcal{O}_K,S,e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1-r))) = \Theta_{S,T}(r)e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G].$$

**Proof.** We first observe that it suffices to show that $\Theta_{S,T}(r)$ is contained in the Fitting ideal by [Nic13, Theorem 5.10]. Hence we can and do assume that $\zeta_p \in L$ by [Nic13, Proposition 5.5]. Let $L_\infty$ and $K_\infty$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions of $L$ and $K$, respectively. Let $G := \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K)$. Then $G = H \times \Gamma$ where $H = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)$ and $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover, we have that $\Lambda(G) = R[\hat{H}]$ where $R := \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$. □
For each integer \( n \), we now define a complex of \( e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \)-modules
\[
(14.1) \quad R\Gamma_T(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n)) := \text{cone}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n))) \\
= \bigoplus_{v \in T} R\Gamma(K(v), e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n))[-1].
\]
In the case \( n = 0 \) this complex has been studied by Burns [Bur20, §5.3.1]. It is acyclic outside degree 2 and the second cohomology module is of projective dimension at most 1 by [Bur20, Proposition 5.5]. We claim that for \( v \in T \) the complexes \( R\Gamma(K(v), e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n)) \) are acyclic outside degree 1 and we have \( e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \)-isomorphisms
\[
H^i(K(v), e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n)) \simeq e_n \text{ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z}_p(1-n).
\]
Since \( L_{\infty} \) contains all \( p \)-power roots of unity, taking cohomology commutes with Tate twists, so it suffices to show this for \( n = 0 \). By Shapiro’s lemma, we have isomorphisms \( H^i(K(v), e_n \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]^#(1)) \simeq e_n \text{ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^\mathbb{Z} H^i(L(w), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), where \( w \) denotes a place of \( L \) above \( v \). It is well known that, since \( L(w) \) is a finite field of characteristic not equal to \( p \), the group \( H^i(L(w), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \) vanishes unless \( i = 1 \) and that \( H^1(L(w), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \) identifies with \( \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L(w)^\times \). The claim follows by taking inverse limits along the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( L \).

We have exact sequences of \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}_{w_{\infty}}) \)-modules
\[
0 \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{G}_{w_{\infty}}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathcal{G}_{w_{\infty}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1-n) \rightarrow 0,
\]
where the injection is right multiplication by \( 1 - \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\sigma_{w_{\infty}})^{n-1} \sigma_{w_{\infty}} \). Therefore we have
\[
(14.2) \quad \text{Fitt}_{e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})}(H^1(K(v), e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n))) = t_n^{\text{cyc}}(\xi_v^#) e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G}).
\]
Recall that \((C^*)^\ast\) denotes the complex \( R\text{Hom}_R(C^\ast, R) \). Since we have an isomorphism
\[
R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_1 \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#) \simeq (C^\ast_{S}(L_{\infty}/K))^\ast[-3]
\]
by Artin–Verdier duality (see [Nek06, Theorem 8.5.6] or [LS13, Theorem 4.5.1]) and (5.2), we have that
\[
\text{Fitt}_{e_1 \Lambda(\mathcal{G})}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_1 \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#)[1]) = \text{Fitt}_{e_1 \Lambda(\mathcal{G})}(C^\ast_{S}(L_{\infty}/K))^\ast = \Phi^\ast e_1 \Lambda(\mathcal{G}),
\]
where the two equalities follow from Lemma 13.2 and Theorem 1.1 respectively. Taking the \((1-n)\)-fold Tate twist, we obtain
\[
(14.3) \quad \text{Fitt}_{e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})}(R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n))[1]) = t_n^{\text{cyc}}(\Phi^\ast_S) e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G}).
\]
It follows from (6.3), (14.1), (14.2) and (14.3) that we have
\[
(14.4) \quad \text{Fitt}_{e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})}(H^2_T(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-n))) = t_n^{\text{cyc}}(\Phi^\ast_S) \prod_{v \in T} t_n^{\text{cyc}}(\xi_v^#) e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G})
\]
\[
= t_n^{\text{cyc}}(\Psi^\ast_{S,T}) e_n \Lambda(\mathcal{G}).
\]
We now specialise to the case \( n = r \). By [FK06, Proposition 1.6.5] we have canonical isomorphisms in \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]) \) of the form
\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}] \otimes_{L_{\mathcal{G}}^R} R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-r)) \simeq R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]^#(1-r)),
\]
and
\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}] \otimes_{L_{\mathcal{G}}^R} R\Gamma(K(v), \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-r)) \simeq R\Gamma(K(v), \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]^#(1-r)),
\]
for each \( v \in T \). Hence we have a canonical isomorphism in \( \mathcal{D}(e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]) \) of the form
\[
e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}] \otimes_{e_r \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^\ast} R\Gamma_T(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_r \Lambda(\mathcal{G})^#(1-r)) \simeq R\Gamma_T(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}]^#(1-r)).
However, both complexes in this formula are acyclic outside degree 2 so that we actually have an isomorphism of $e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-modules
\[ H^2_T(O_{K,S}, e_r \Lambda(G)^\#(1 - r))_{\Gamma_L} \cong H^2_T(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)), \]
where $\Gamma_L := \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\text{aug} : \Lambda(G) \to \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ be the canonical projection map. Then (14.4) and Lemma 6.2 imply that $\text{aug}(\Gamma_{\text{cyc}}(\Psi^{\#}_{S,T}))$ generates the Fitting ideal of $H^2_T(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r))$. Since $\text{aug}(\Gamma_{\text{cyc}}(\Psi^{\#}_{S,T})) = \Theta_{S,T}(r)$ by Proposition 9.1, this completes the proof. □

Now let $L/K$ be an arbitrary finite abelian extension of number fields and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. For each integer $r$, we define an idempotent in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G]$ by
\[ e_r := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \prod_{v \in S_\infty} \frac{1 - (1 - 1)^{j_v}}{2} & \text{if } K \text{ is totally real;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right. \]
where $j_v$ is the generator of the decomposition group $G_v$ for each $v \in S_\infty$. Note that this is compatible with the above definition of $e_r$ in the case of CM extensions.

We obtain the following refinement of Theorem 1.5.

**Corollary 14.4.** Let $L/K$ be a finite abelian extension of number fields and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Then for every finite set $S$ of places of $K$ containing $S_{\text{ram}} \cup S_\infty$ we have
\[ \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G]}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{1 - 2r}(O_{L,\text{tors}}) \Theta_S(r) = e_r \text{Fitt}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G]}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{-2r}(O_{L,S})) \]
In particular, the Coates–Sinnott conjecture [4] holds away from 2, that is,
\[ \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G]}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{1 - 2r}(O_{L,\text{tors}}) \Theta_S(r) \subseteq \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][G]}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_{-2r}(O_{L,S})). \]

**Proof.** Fix an odd prime $p$. In order to verify the $p$-part of (14.5), we can and do assume that $S_p \subset S$ as the Euler factors at $v \in S_p$ are units in $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ by [GP15] Lemma 6.13. Moreover, since the $p$-adic Chern class maps [12] are isomorphisms by the norm residue isomorphism theorem [Wei09], we may work with the étale cohomological version of (14.5) as in [GP15] §6 (where the corresponding claim is denoted by $CS(L/K, S, p, 1 - r)$). By [GP15] Lemma 6.14 we can and do assume that $K$ is totally real. Likewise, by [GP15] Lemmas 6.15 and 6.16 we can and do assume that $L$ is a CM extension of $K$. We have an exact sequence of finite $e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-modules (this follows easily from the definitions; see [Nic13] (21))
\[ 0 \to H^1(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)) \to \bigoplus_{v \in T} H^1(K(v), e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)) \]
\[ \to H^2_T(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)) \to H^2(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)) \to 0. \]
Here, the middle two terms are finite cohomologically trivial $G$-modules and their Fitting ideals are generated by $\delta_T(r)$ and $\Theta_{S,T}(r)$ by [Nic13] Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 14.3 respectively. Since $H^1(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r))$ is finite cyclic, we have that
\[ \text{Fitt}_{e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(H^1(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)))^\# = \text{Ann}_{e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(H^1(O_{K,S}, e_r \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^\#(1 - r)))^\# \]
\[ = e_r \text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(H^1(O_{L,S}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1 - r))_{\text{tors}}). \]
Here, we have used Shapiro’s lemma for the last equality. The result now follows from Lemma 13.4. □
APPENDIX A. INDEPENDENCE OF THE CHOICE OF COMPLEX

The main goal of this appendix is to prove a purely algebraic result (Theorem A.8) that justifies the claim in the introduction that the precise choice of complex used in the EIMC does not matter, provided that it is perfect and has the prescribed cohomology.

We need several preliminary results. Let \( p \) be a prime and let \( \mathcal{G} \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie group. Choose a central open subgroup \( \Gamma_0 \leq \mathcal{G} \) such that \( \Gamma_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \) and let \( R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma_0]] \).

**Lemma A.1.** For each height one prime ideal \( \mathfrak{p} \) of \( R \) we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
K_1(\Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
\zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \longrightarrow & \zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}))) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

with exact rows. Moreover, if \( SK_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) = 0 \) then \( \beta_p \) is injective.

**Proof.** The existence and exactness of the top row follow from the long exact sequence of \( K \)-theory \([1.1]\) and the surjectivity of \( \partial_{\Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G})} \) \([Nic20, Corollary 2.14]\). The triangle commutes by definition. The existence of \( \beta_p \) follows from the exactness of the top row. The second claim follows from the snake lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma A.2.** We have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_p K_0(\Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}), \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
\zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times & \longrightarrow & \zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))) & \longrightarrow & \prod_p \zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}))^\times/\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}))) \\
\end{array}
\]

where the direct sum and product are over all height one prime ideals of \( R \) (note that the rows are not exact). Moreover, if \( SK_1(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) = 0 \) then \( \alpha \) and \( \prod_p \beta_p \) are injective.

**Proof.** This follows from Lemmas \([12.7]\) and \([A.1]\). \( \square \)

Let \( \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}) \) denote a maximal \( R \)-order such that \( \Lambda(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G}) \).

**Lemma A.3.** We have

\[
\bigcap_p \zeta(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}))^\times = \zeta(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}))^\times,
\]

where the intersection ranges over all height one prime ideals \( \mathfrak{p} \) of \( R \).

**Proof.** Since \( \zeta(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})) \) is a maximal \( R \)-order in \( \zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{G})) \) it is reflexive by \([Rei03, Theorem 11.4]\). Hence by \([NSW03, Lemma 5.1.2(iii)]\) we have \( \bigcap_p \zeta(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G})) = \zeta(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G})) \). Moreover, if \( x \in \bigcap_p \zeta(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) then \( x^{-1} \in \bigcap_p \zeta(\mathcal{M}_\mathfrak{p}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \), and hence \( x \in \zeta(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \). This gives one inclusion and the reverse inclusion is clear. \( \square \)

**Theorem A.4** (Ritter–Weiss). We have

\[
\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda_{(p)}(\mathcal{G}))) \cap \zeta(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \subseteq \text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(\mathcal{G}))).
\]

**Proof.** This can be deduced from \([RW05, Theorem B]\) as follows. Denote the integral closure of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) in \( \mathbb{Q}_p^\nu \) by \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\nu \). Then \( \zeta(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}))^\times \) corresponds to \( \text{Hom}_{\text{top}}(R_p(\mathcal{G}), (\mathbb{Z}_p^\nu \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(\mathcal{G})))^\times \) under the identification in diagram \([2.5]\) by \([RW04, Remark H]\). We may replace \( \Lambda_{(p)}(\mathcal{G}) \)
by its \( p \)-adic completion in the statement of the theorem as this can only enlarge the left hand side of the inclusion. Moreover, the image of \( \text{Det} \) is always contained in the \( \text{HOM}^c \)-group used in [RW05] (see [RW05 §1]). \( \square \)

**Corollary A.5.** The canonical map

\[
\frac{\zeta((Q(G))^{\times})}{\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(G)))} \to \prod_p \frac{\zeta((Q(G))^{\times})}{\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda_p(G)))}
\]

is injective, where the direct product is taken over all height one prime ideals of \( R \).

**Proof.** It follows from [Rei03 Theorem 10.1] that \( \text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda_p(G))) \subseteq \zeta(\mathcal{M}_p(G))^{\times} \) for every height one prime ideal \( p \) of \( R \). Thus it suffices to show that

\[
\text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda_p(G))) \cap \bigcap_{p \neq (p)} \zeta(\mathcal{M}_p(G))^{\times} \subseteq \text{nr}(K_1(\Lambda(G))).
\]

The desired result now follows from Lemma A.3 and Theorem A.4 \( \square \)

**Corollary A.6.** If \( SK_1(Q(G)) = 0 \) then the canonical map

\[
K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) \to \bigoplus_p K_0(\Lambda_p(G), Q(G))
\]

is injective, where the direct sum is taken over all height one prime ideals of \( R \).

**Proof.** This follows immediately from Corollary A.5 and Lemma A.2 \( \square \)

**Remark A.7.** In the case \( SK_1(Q(G)) = 0 \), Corollary A.6 implies [RW02a Proposition 4], which says that the class of any module of finite cardinality is trivial in \( K_0(\Lambda(G), Q(G)) \). This is because such modules vanish after localisation at height one prime ideals of \( R \).

An immediate consequence of the following purely algebraic result is that the choice of complex used in the EIMC (Conjecture 5.4) does not matter, as long as it is perfect and has the cohomology specified in (5.1).

**Theorem A.8.** Let \( G \) be an admissible one-dimensional \( p \)-adic Lie group and let \( \Phi \in \zeta(Q(G))^{\times} \). Let \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( C^\bullet, D^\bullet \in \mathfrak{D}^{\text{tor}}(\Lambda(G)) \) be two complexes such that

(i) \( H^i(C^\bullet) \) and \( H^i(D^\bullet) \) are finitely generated as \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \in \{k\} \);

(ii) there are isomorphisms \( H^i(C^\bullet) \cong H^i(D^\bullet) \) of \( \Lambda(G) \)-modules for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \); and

(iii) there exists \( x \in K_1(\mathbb{Q}(G)) \) such that \( \partial(x) = [C^\bullet] \) and \( \text{nr}(x) = \Phi \).

Then there exists \( y \in K_1(Q(G)) \) such that \( \partial(y) = [D^\bullet] \) and \( \text{nr}(y) = \Phi \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.2 and the fact that localization is an exact functor, we have

\[
[\Lambda(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} C^\bullet] = (-1)^k[\Lambda(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} H^k(C^\bullet)]
\]

\[
= (-1)^k[\Lambda(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} H^k(D^\bullet)] = [\Lambda(p)(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} D^\bullet]
\]

in \( K_0(\Lambda_p(G), Q(G)) \). Now fix a height 1 prime ideal \( p \neq (p) \) of \( R \). Then every finitely generated \( \Lambda_p(G) \)-module has projective dimension at most 1 by [Nic20 Corollary 3.5]. (Alternatively, note that \( \Lambda_p(G) \) is a maximal order over the discrete valuation ring \( R_p \), and thus is hereditary by [Rei03 Theorem 18.1].) Hence Proposition 3.1 implies that

\[
[\Lambda_p(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} C^\bullet] = [\Lambda_p(G) \otimes_{\Lambda(G)} D^\bullet] \text{ in } K_0(\Lambda_p(G), Q(G)).
\]

Now recall the commutative diagram of Lemma A.2. By Corollary A.5 and an easy diagram chase in the right commutative square, we have \( \alpha([C^\bullet]) = \alpha([D^\bullet]) \). Choose any \( y_0 \in K_1(Q(G)) \) such that \( \partial(y_0) = [D^\bullet] \). Then by an easy diagram chase in the left
commutative square, we have $nr(xy_0^{-1}) \in nr(K_1(\Lambda(G)))$. In other words, there is $z \in K_1(\Lambda(G))$ such that $nr(z) = nr(xy_0^{-1})$. Now set $y := y_0(z)$. Since $\iota(K_1(\Lambda(G))) = \ker(\partial)$ we have $\partial(y) = \partial(y_0) = [D^\bullet]$ and $nr(y) = nr(x) = \Phi$.

**Corollary A.9.** Let $G$ be an admissible one-dimensional $p$-adic Lie group such that $SK_1(\mathcal{Q}(G)) = 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $C^\bullet, D^\bullet \in D^\text{perf}_{\text{tor}}(\Lambda(G))$ be two complexes such that

(i) $H^i(C^\bullet)$ and $H^i(D^\bullet)$ are finitely generated as $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{k\};$ and

(ii) there are isomorphisms $H^i(C^\bullet) \simeq H^i(D^\bullet)$ of $\Lambda(G)$-modules for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $[C^\bullet] = [D^\bullet]$ in $K_0(\Lambda(G), \mathcal{Q}(G))$.
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