Why Are Whimbrels Not Advancing Their Arrival Dates Into Iceland? Exploring Seasonal and Sex-Specific Variation in Consistency of Individual Timing During the Annual Cycle
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The timing of annual events is key for organisms that exploit seasonal resources, as deviations from optimal timing might result in considerable fitness costs. Under strong time selection, individuals likely have fewer suitable strategies available than when selection is more relaxed, hence both consistency and flexibility might be advantageous depending on the life history or annual cycle stage. For migrants using both the arctic and the tropics during their annual cycle, the faster warming at higher latitudes than elsewhere in the range may lead to mismatches with local environmental conditions. Additionally, while individuals might already be limited in responding to changes at each stage, the potential degree of a given response will likely also be limited by responses at previous stages of the annual cycle. Contrary to other migratory waders breeding in Iceland, Icelandic whimbrels Numenius phaeopus islandicus have not changed arrival dates during the past 30 years, suggesting high individual consistency in spring arrival timing and a potential limitation in responding to a changing environment. After repeatedly tracking 12 individual Icelandic whimbrels at least twice throughout their annual cycle between 2012 and 2018, we investigated individual consistency of spring arrival date and other annual stages and migration strategy, and explored differences between sexes and seasons. Individuals were more consistent on timing of spring than autumn migration, and the most consistent stage was departure from the wintering sites. Timing of laying was the stage that varied the most, and no overall significant difference between sexes was observed, except on spring stopover duration. While lower consistency in laying dates might allow individuals to track the advancement of spring, consistency at departure from the wintering sites, stopover duration, and arrival into Iceland might limit the degree of advancement. Transgenerational changes in the migratory behavior of other wader species allows population level responses to a changing phenology, but seems unlikely for Icelandic whimbrels, given the stable dates of spring arrival in this population. Under continuing advancement of spring onset, it is thus important to acquire information...
on the timing of spring arrival of recruits and on the ontogeny of migration to understand how migratory schedules are defined and might influence responses of long-distance migrants to environmental change.
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## INTRODUCTION

The annual cycle of many animals comprises migratory periods during which individuals travel to exploit seasonally available resources (Newton, 2007). Timing of specific events, such as breeding, is therefore fundamental (Alerstam and Lindström, 1990), but selection for optimal timing of events might not be equally strong between different stages of the annual cycle. Due to the influence that timing of breeding can have on breeding success and thus individual fitness (Perrins, 1970; Drent, 2006), selection on timing during the preceding spring migration is expected to be stronger than in autumn (McNamara et al., 1998). Under strong time selection, the available strategies (e.g., schedules) for individuals are likely to be fewer than when selection is more relaxed and individuals can perform a given task (e.g., migration) over a wider time window (Madsen, 2001; Warnock et al., 2004). Therefore, both consistency and flexibility can be advantageous depending on the life history of a given species (Vardanis et al., 2016).

For migrants breeding in arctic and sub-arctic environments, selection on timing of breeding is expected to be strong, given the relatively short time window with favorable environmental conditions for reproduction. However, climate is changing at a faster pace than some species are able to adjust to (Both and Visser, 2001), and the situation can become more complicated in the arctic, where temperatures are increasing more rapidly than at lower latitudes (Hoë et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2009; Cohen et al., 2014). Whereas, individual consistency can differ among distinct annual events (e.g., Conklin et al., 2013, Verhoeven et al., 2019), the performance of individuals at a given stage may also depend on the conditions experienced in previous stages (Harrison et al., 2011; O’Connor et al., 2014). Hence, consistent behavior at one stage might thus limit the degree of change in the subsequent one. Detailed data throughout the annual cycle (e.g., Senner et al., 2014) is therefore required to understand how individuals and populations might be limited in their capacity to respond to a changing environment (Marra et al., 2015).

Previous research has indicated that most species of waders (Charadrii) breeding in Iceland have been advancing their spring arrival dates (e.g., golden plover Pluvialis apricaria, common snipe Gallinago gallinago, black-tailed godwit Limosa limosa), accompanying the trend of temperature change, but Icelandic whimbrels Numenius phaeopus islandicus were an exception (Gunnarsson and Tómasson, 2011, Gill et al., 2014). We update this information by adding 9 years of data of first arrival for birds recorded in South Iceland (see Gunnarsson and Tómasson, 2011 for details) and confirm that arrival dates show no significant trends since 1988 for this population (Figure 1). Whimbrels are long-lived birds (typical lifespan: 11 years; Robinson, 2018) that are long-distance migrants. The Icelandic subspecies breeds predominantly in Iceland, with an estimated population of 256,000 pairs (Skarphéðins, 2016), and winters in West Africa (Gunnarsson and Guðmundsson, 2016; Carneiro et al., 2019). In spring, their departure from the wintering sites occurs on the second fortnight of April and arrival into Iceland from late April to early May, with individuals performing one of two migratory strategies: a non-stop flight or two flights divided by a relatively short stopover; in autumn, migratory movements occur from late June to late August and only the direct flight strategy has been recorded in this season (Alves et al., 2016, Carneiro et al., 2019). Icelandic whimbrels are site faithful to the breeding territory, monogamous, and most reproduce with the same partner from year to year (BWPi, 2006), with males arriving earlier and departing later from breeding sites than females (Carneiro et al., 2019).

The low variation of Icelandic whimbrels arrival dates into Iceland and the lack of a population advancement (Figure 1) in response to increasing temperatures may suggest consistency of individual spring arrival dates. Individual consistency in this population is currently unknown, but, at the same time, important to unravel in order to understand potential limitations to population-level responses. Hence, we quantified (1) individual timing consistency in arrival dates to Iceland, and at five other annual stages (departure and arrival during autumn migration, departure of spring migration, stopover duration in spring, and laying date) in order to investigate possible

**Figure 1** Arrival dates of whimbrels into South Iceland in the 31-year period from 1988 to 2018, updated from Gunnarsson and Tómasson (2011), showing no significant trend (day of the year $=−0.027 \times \text{year} + 178.11, n = 31, R^2 = 0.004, p = 0.734$).
constraints throughout the year; (2) individual consistency in migratory strategy; and tested for (3) seasonal and sex differences in timing consistency. Along with a lack of population variation in spring arrival date, and a higher pressure for breeding timing than for arrival into the wintering sites, we expected higher consistency during spring than autumn migration, but lower consistency in laying dates than during other stages, as this also depends on the schedule of the partner and on their return. For spring migration, consistency might be higher at departure because conditions are likely to be more stable at the wintering sites than at breeding areas, where weather conditions are more variable (as stochastic weather events can reduce food availability and lead to mortality; Vepsäläinen, 1969, Marström et al., 1979) and the occurrence of a stopover during migration might also influence arrival. However, during autumn, consistency should not vary from departure to arrival due to the direct strategy. Because males arrive earlier than females to defend territories and also attend broods longer (BWPI, 2006), we anticipated that males should show higher consistency on spring migration and less so at autumn departure than females.

METHODS

Fieldwork was carried out on whimbrels at breeding grounds in the southern lowlands of Iceland (63.8°N; 20.2°W), between 2012 and 2018. Nests were searched for and upon finding, the incubation stage estimated through egg floatation (Liebezeit et al., 2007), and the laying date was back calculated from stage of incubation. Nests were monitored until hatching and for those that hatched, laying date was back calculated from the hatching date considering an incubation period of 25 days after the last egg laid (mean ± se: 24.8 ± 0.2 days, n = 24 nests found when laying, and hatch recorded). Because whimbrels were individually marked (see below), we were able to identify replacement clutches which were not included in the analyses.

Two hundred and twenty-six adult birds were caught on the nest, using a nest trap (Moudry TR60; www.moudry.cz). Birds were individually marked with a unique metal ring, issued by the Icelandic ringing scheme, and a combination of color rings. Geolocators were fitted on a leg flag to a subgroup of 86 individuals (number of geolocators deployed per year: 2012: 10; 2013: 3; 2014: 10; 2015: 30; 2016: 40; 2017: 40). The device was replaced whenever possible each breeding season. Sixty-two devices were retrieved one or more years later (number of geolocators retrieved per year: 2013: 5; 2014: 4; 2015: 5; 2016: 14; 2017: 20; 2018: 14). For tags retrieved two or more years later, data on two autumn migrations were recorded. We used the Intigeo-W65A9RJ model from 2012 to 2014 and Intigeo-C65 in the following years (Migrate Technology Ltd.). One device stopped logging in mid-winter, another shortly after departure from Iceland, and a third one was damaged and contained no data. Sixty five individuals were sexed using biometrics following Katrínardóttir et al. (2013), 22 molecularly (as in Katrínardóttir et al., 2013), eight through behavioral observation (copulating position, assuming males on top) and two remained undetermined. Geolocator data analysis and determination of individual departure and arrival timings were performed using light, and temperature, conductivity and wet contacts as described in Carneiro et al. (2019). Due to the accuracy of geolocators (Phillips et al., 2004), we considered arrival and departures to/from the general area. For example, spring arrival was arrival into Iceland instead of arrival into the breeding territory (although some individuals have been observed on the breeding territory on the day of arrival into Iceland). We consider stopover any stop during travel between breeding and wintering locations, irrespective of length of stay, site quality or previous or future flight distance and duration (i.e., we do not discriminate from staging; Warnock, 2010). Although stops of few hours may be undetected with geolocator data, stopovers of Icelandic whimbrels are usually of several days (Carneiro et al., 2019), with the minimum stopover duration recorded during this study being 6 days.

Icelandic whimbrels show two migratory strategies in spring: a direct non-stop flight or two flights with a stopover in between (henceforward: “direct” and “stopover”; Carneiro et al., 2019). However, and adding to previous information, during this study one individual was recorded undertaking a stopover during autumn migration. To understand individual consistency in migratory strategy we calculated the percentage of individuals that changed strategy during the tracking period and the duration of change (i.e., from direct to stopover, from stopover to direct, or both).

Repeatability (R) was estimated in a mixed effects model framework, using 1,000 bootstrap iterations to estimate the confidence intervals, with R package rptR (Stoffel et al., 2017). Given that R takes into account both within- and between-individual variances, it does not translate into absolute consistency (see Conklin et al., 2013), and therefore we also calculated the mean individual range (difference between the latest and earliest record for each individual for each stage, in days) and the absolute interannual difference (absolute difference between consecutive years for each individual within stage, in days) in order to better evaluate individual consistency. To test for differences in consistency between stages and sexes, we fit a generalized linear model with absolute interannual difference as the dependent variable and stage, sex, and their interaction as explanatory variables (with family = Poisson due to the positively skewed dependent variable). In this analysis we did not account for the dependency of member of the same pair, because we could have only used those individuals that nested with the same partner in consecutive years, which would result in a reduced sample size. Data were analyzed in R Core Team (2018) and results are shown as mean ± se.

RESULTS

We recorded individual level data spanning 2–7 years, with a median of 3 years for autumn migration (n = 16 individuals), and 2 years for spring migration (n = 12 individuals), and for laying date (n = 70 individuals). Hence, autumn migration, spring migration, and laying date were recorded during a median of 27, 18, and 18%, and up to 54, 46 and 64%, of whimbrels typical
TABLE 1 | Direction and proportion of individuals that changed migratory strategy on each season (Autumn and Spring), from a stopover to direct, direct to stopover or on both directions; n = number of individuals.

| Season   | n   | Median (range) of observations/individual | Strategy change (n) | Direction of change (%) |
|----------|-----|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
|          |     |                                           | Stop → Direct      | Direct → Stop | Both directions |
|          |     |                                           |                    |             |               |
| Autumn   | 16  | 3 (2–6)                                   | 6% (1)             | 100%        | 0             | 0             |
| Spring   | 12  | 2 (2–5)                                   | 25% (3)            | 0           | 100%         | 0             |

During the present study, one individual was recorded making a stopover during autumn for the first time. In that season, one individual (out of 16) switched strategy between years, from a stopover to a direct one (Table 1). A change in the opposite direction, from direct to stopover in the following year, was observed in a higher proportion during spring migration (three out of 12 individuals; Table 1). No individual was observed changing strategy in both directions (Table 1).

Along the annual cycle, Icelandic whimbrels showed the highest consistency of timing at spring departure (Figures 2, 3 and Table 2). Despite low R values for spring arrival, individuals showed relatively smaller mean individual ranges (Table 2) and small absolute interannual differences (Figure 3 and Table 3) than at autumn migration stages. Such low R values result from a relatively low variance among individuals compared to the variance within (Figure 2), which arises from the change in migratory strategies. Spring stopover duration was relatively consistent, with low mean individual range and low absolute interannual differences (Table 1 and Figure 3).

During autumn migration, both departure, and arrival timings showed similar consistency (Figures 2, 3 and Table 2), as in this season individuals seldom change strategy and almost always perform a direct flight (Table 1). However, contrary to autumn departure, autumn arrival absolute interannual difference was not statistically different from laying dates (Table 3). Laying date was the least consistent stage of the annual cycle (Figures 2, 3 and Table 2). When considering the absolute interannual difference, we found no overall difference between sexes (Table 3), but males showed a lower absolute interannual difference of stopover duration (Figure 3 and Table 2), and a mean individual range in autumn ca. 2.5 days longer than females (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

By tracking individuals over multiple years and over a considerable part of their lifespan, it is possible to quantify relevant levels of individual consistency or flexibility regarding the phenology of important events during the annual cycle. Both consistency and flexibility can be advantageous depending on
the life history of each species (Vardanis et al., 2016), and can be essential to understand the capacity and rate of population responses to changing environments (Gill et al., 2014). The arrival dates of Icelandic whimbrels in spring have been stable over the past 30 years (Figure 1; Gunnarsson and Tómasson, 2011), despite a spring advancement of temperatures that drives resources for waders locally (Alves et al., 2019). At the individual level, we show that Icelandic whimbrels were more consistent in timing of spring than autumn migration, and most consistent at departure from the wintering sites. Timing of laying was the stage of the annual cycle that varied the most and no overall significant difference between sexes was observed, except for males lower absolute interannual difference of stopover duration.

During autumn migration, a lower consistency in timing was observed compared to spring (Tables 2, 3 and Figures 2, 3) and the values at arrival mirror the ones at departure because in nearly all occasions individuals flew directly from Iceland to the wintering sites (Table 1; Carneiro et al., 2019). On the other side of the Atlantic, Hudsonian whimbrels showed the same general pattern, as inter-individual variation was greater at autumn departure and arrival dates, than at spring departure and arrival dates (Johnson et al., 2016). Given the expected stable conditions in the wintering area, one could anticipate repeatability to be high during autumn migration (Nussey et al., 2005). In fact, despite a lower consistency in relation to spring, Icelandic whimbrels are still reasonably consistent in autumn migration timings, with a median individual departure range of 9 days and median absolute interannual difference of 5 days, which are in line, or even lower than those observed on other long distance migratory birds (median range of departure of ca. 15 days in individual continental black-tailed godwits L. l. limosa, Verhoeven et al., 2019; median absolute interannual difference of ca. 4, 5, and 6 days in bar-tailed godwits L. lapponica, marbled godwits L. fedoa and red-backed shrikes Lanius collurio, respectively, Conklin et al., 2013, Pedersen et al., 2018, Ruthrauff et al., 2019; mean absolute interannual difference of 12.9 in great reed warblers Acrocephalus arundinaceus, Hasselquist et al., 2017). The observed variation in departure dates from Iceland is likely explained by the prior variation in laying dates and breeding success, since successful breeders tend to depart later (pers. obs.). The mean individual range recorded for males (ca. 2.5 days larger than females; Table 2) is likely due to their longer attendance of broods.

The relative low repeatability of laying date (Table 2 and Figures 2, 3) may be partially explained by the variation in arrival dates into the breeding sites and partner arrival timing and return. Nevertheless, under a scenario of arctic amplification and spring advancement (Høye et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2009; Gill et al., 2014; Alves et al., 2019), flexibility on laying dates might be beneficial, allowing individuals to track the local conditions and breed successfully. But the potential advancement of laying might be constrained by previous annual events. While spring arrival dates showed some variability (mean individual range at arrival: 5.3 ± 1.4 days), it was mostly due to variation in migratory strategy (Table 1), with the occurrence of a stopover

| Stage                | n   | R  | CI  | Mean individual range ± se |
|----------------------|-----|----|-----|---------------------------|
| (a) All              |     |    |     |                           |
| Laying               | 97  | 0.11| 0.25 | 10.0 ± 0.77               |
| Autumn departure     | 16  | 0.28| 0.57 | 9.1 ± 1.65                |
| Autumn arrival       | 16  | 0.26| 0.55 | 9.3 ± 1.68                |
| Spring departure     | 12  | 0.76| 0.91 | 3.6 ± 0.72                |
| Stopover duration    | 11  | 0.27| 0.68 | 3.0 ± 0.84                |
| Spring arrival       | 12  | 0.23| 0.60 | 5.3 ± 1.44                |
| Spring arrival (stopover) | 11  | 0.48| 0.78 | 3.0 ± 0.74                |
| (b) Females          |     |    |     |                           |
| Laying               | 42  | 0.18| 0.40 | 9.1 ± 1.23                |
| Autumn departure     | 7   | 0.40| 0.76 | 7.7 ± 2.45                |
| Autumn arrival       | 7   | 0.38| 0.79 | 7.7 ± 2.67                |
| Spring departure     | 6   | 0.76| 0.94 | 4.2 ± 1.25                |
| Stopover duration    | 5   | 0.00| 0.65 | 4.2 ± 1.39                |
| Spring arrival       | 6   | 0.22| 0.76 | 4.3 ± 2.09                |
| Spring arrival (stopover) | 5   | 0.55| 0.93 | 2.0 ± 1.1                 |
| (c) Males            |     |    |     |                           |
| Laying               | 54  | 0.08| 0.26 | 10.8 ± 1.00               |
| Autumn departure     | 9   | 0.02| 0.39 | 10.2 ± 2.28               |
| Autumn arrival       | 9   | 0.00| 0.40 | 10.4 ± 2.19               |
| Spring departure     | 6   | 0.70| 0.92 | 3.0 ± 0.78                |
| Stopover duration    | 6   | 0.52| 0.86 | 2.0 ± 0.93                |
| Spring arrival       | 6   | 0.06| 0.55 | 6.3 ± 2.09                |
| Spring arrival (stopover) | 6   | 0.00| 0.55 | 3.8 ± 0.96                |

Sample size is the number of individuals (n). Also shown is the mean individual range (± se), in days. “Spring arrival (stopover)” represent the arrivals excluding direct flights.
augmenting variation on arrival date after a consistent departure from the wintering sites (mean individual range at departure: 3.6 ± 0.7 days). When considering only the spring arrival of individuals that had a stopover (which is the common strategy), we find higher consistency of arrival dates (mean individual range at arrival: 3.0 ± 0.7 days; Table 2). Furthermore, stopover duration also shows considerable consistency. Hence, individuals tend to be consistent throughout spring migration, starting at departure, which might limit how much laying dates can vary after arrival. If individuals would advance the departure date from the wintering sites, the capacity of tracking the advancement of resource availability in the breeding sites would be higher. However, climatic conditions in the wintering areas seem to be more stable than at the breeding sites (Høye et al., 2007; Serreze et al., 2009; Cohen et al., 2014), and thus unlikely to trigger individual responses at a sufficient rate that allows individuals to track the changes at the breeding sites. In fact, over the last 30 years the population of Icelandic whimbrels showed a stable spring arrival date (Figure 1), despite the increasing temperatures in the breeding grounds (Gunnarsson and Tómasson, 2011; Alves et al., 2019).

Populations can change migration timing through transgenerational variation in phenology (Gill et al., 2014). Such a mechanism was identified in Icelandic black-tailed godwits (L. l. islandica), that have advanced their arrival date into Iceland and tracked the advancement of spring onset (Gill et al., 2014). Similar to whimbrels, individual godwits are consistent in their timing of spring arrival, but recruits tend to migrate earlier and drive the population timing (Gill et al., 2014). The lack of an advancement in whimbrels population arrival dates into Iceland, together with individual consistency, suggests none, or little, transgenerational changes of migration timing. While black-tailed godwits spend the winter in the temperate region, at a maximum of ca. 3,000 km from Iceland (Alves et al., 2012), Icelandic whimbrels migrate longer distances to winter in the tropical or subtropical region, ca. 6,000 km from the breeding sites (Gunnarsson and Guðmundsson, 2016; Carneiro et al., 2019). By wintering closer to Iceland (Alves et al., 2013), godwits might adjust arrival dates to the local environment (Alves et al., 2012), lay as soon as conditions are adequate and produce young early in the season, that are more likely to recruit and ultimately drive population changes (Alves et al., 2019). Whimbrels, on the other hand, by wintering further and likely with no cue of the environmental conditions in Iceland, might have narrowed the variation in timing of departure to a later date than godwits and other waders breeding in Iceland, which in turn reduces the variation at spring arrival, the time between arrival and laying and, consequently, laying dates, limiting the possibilities for transgenerational changes. The arrival date into the breeding sites can vary considerably with spring migratory strategy, with individuals arriving earlier after a direct strategy consequently having a longer period in Iceland before breeding and be more likely to track the advancement of spring onset. However, a direct strategy in spring is uncommon, and although our data on its variation is limited, no individual changed from a stopover to a direct strategy, suggesting that individuals might not track the advancement of spring onset through a change in migratory strategy.

Whimbrels show no advancement of arrival dates into Iceland while spring onset is advancing (Figure 1; Gunnarsson and Tómasson, 2011; Alves et al., 2019), but there is no indication of a population decline (Skarphéðínsson et al., 2016). In Iceland, whimbrels are one of the latest waders to arrive and have a relatively short breeding period when compared to other species breeding in the same area (Gunnarsson, unpublished data). The period length of available minimum resources for breeding is unknown, but if it is wider than that required for successful breeding by whimbrels, it could be that they have been reproducing within that window even while the environmental conditions are advancing. However, under continuous advancement of spring conditions, consistency might prevent individuals from responding to changes when breeding and resource time windows mismatch. At such a hypothetical point one might observe the recruits performing with a different phenology and allow the population to respond to environmental changes, similarly to Icelandic black-tailed godwits. While monitoring population size, it is thus important to acquire knowledge on resource dynamics at the breeding areas (e.g., does the peak abundance of a given resource influence chick growth and survival?), and on the ontogeny of migration and associated timing (e.g., does hatching date affect the migration timing of recruits?). Links between resources and ontogeny will allow understanding how migratory schedules are defined and forecast population-level responses of long-distance migrants to environmental change.
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