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Abstract

Background: Senegalese women of childbearing age continue to be disproportionately affected by communicable and noncommunicable disease, yet still face reduced health care access despite the expanding coverage of universal health insurance schemes. There is need to understand the role of women’s socio-demographic positioning and household decision-making autonomy on their health care insurance participation.

Method: We analyzed data from a representative sample from the 2016 Senegalese Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) using logistic regression models to examine the association between women’s insurance coverage and socio-demographic correlates including women’s household decision making autonomy.

Results: The final study sample included a total of 1308 Senegalese women (age extremes: 15 and 49 years). Most women were 30 to 34 years (23.6%), did not have any formal education (59.4%), could not read at all (67%) and did not have health insurance (89.3%). Socio-demographic factors were significantly associated with health insurance enrollment. The probability of being enrolled in health insurance was 16.4 percentage points higher among women with the greatest wealth, as compared to those with the least wealth (95% confidence interval, 9.7 to 23.1). The probability of being enrolled in health insurance was 5.9 percentage points higher among women with any education, as compared to those with no education (95% confidence interval, 0.7 to 11.1). Household decision-making autonomy was not associated with insurance coverage.

Conclusion: Our study not only highlights the disparities in health insurance uptake in this population but also suggests a need for studies, especially among women in resource-limited households, that shed light on how to best design, market, and improve uptake of health insurance among women.
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Background

Women of childbearing age in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) remain an important focal point for health-access related research and interventions. Globally, about 1.3 billion people do not have access to affordable health care and those in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), often bear a disproportionate burden of disease, have reduced access to health care [1]. It is thought that if women have improved access to health care, their health outcomes, particularly around childbirth related mortalities, can be reduced [2]. Enrollment in insurance schemes is widely acknowledged as a tool to increase health access by financing health care provision in low-income countries, which can mitigate catastrophic health services expenditures [3-5].

Women in Senegal, like in most SSA countries, continue to be disproportionately affected by a host
of preventable health conditions including chronic and infectious diseases that contribute to maternal morbidity and mortality [6]. In 2017, Senegal reported a maternal mortality rate of 315 per 100,000 live births compared to the less than 50 deaths per 100,000 live births reported by most high-income countries [7]. Even though a marked increase in insurance coverage was observed from 20% in 2012 to 49.6% in 2018 across the general population, this increase lagged behind the 65% proposed Universal Health Coverage (UHC) goals of the Senegal government by 2015 [8-10]. Among Senegalese women, however, mixed findings were reported on factors that contribute to access and utilization of health services [11], and suggest a need to understand both the structural components of health insurance as provided in Senegal and the facilitators and barriers to women’s enrollment in these health insurance schemes.

Health care and access through health insurance schemes in Senegal are nascent and emerging. Broadly, Senegal runs a pluralistic health insurance system that includes both public and private options with the public sector broken into three parts: The Institut de Prévoyance Maladie (IPM) health fund, community-based health insurance (CBHI), and Plan Sesame (PS). While the IPM and CBHI schemes were provided to the young and active persons, PS was created to cater to the health needs of the elderly population [12]. IPM is a payroll-based mandatory social health insurance for formal sector workers and covers up to 80 percent of medical, pharmaceutical, and hospital costs for the 11% of employees in this sector [9,10]. Because most of the population (80%) works in the informal sector, CBHI schemes began developing in Senegal in the 1980s [10]. CBHI originates at the community level, either by community members, non-governmental organizations, or health services providers. CBHI members pay a premium, and the Senegalese government also contributes to the funds financially [13]. However, it is estimated that only 4.8% of the population have coverage under CBHI [9]. Most payments for health care in Senegal like in most LMICs are made through out-of-pocket payments. However, in LMICs where women are underrepresented in the employment workforce or have less decision-making autonomy it remains unclear the extent to which these factors can influence women’s enrollment and participation in health insurance.

Socio-demographic factors including age at first marriage, educational status, poverty level, marital status and decision-making autonomy may influence women’s ability to enroll in insurance schemes. Women’s autonomy, often defined within the context of a woman’s ability to participate in decision making for her health care, freedom to visit family, ability to make household purchases and decision on activities was positively associated with women’s access to and utilization of health care [3,14-16]. Also, older age at first marriage and higher education were associated with higher autonomy and more enrollment in insurance schemes [16]. Family size was also associated with enrollment in community-based insurance schemes. Basaza, et al. (2008) found that families with a larger size had more competing responsibilities and were at higher risk for non-enrollment in health insurance [17]. Further, women who are older, live in urban areas, attained higher education and had their own source of income had more health care seeking and utilization autonomy [18]. A study that examined insurance enrollment through mutual health organizations in Ghana, Mali and Senegal found that enrollment in an insurance scheme was more likely among families that have a female household head, an educated household head or wealthier families. However, families enrolled in the insurance scheme in Senegal were less likely than residents in Ghana and Mali to seek formal health care [3]. These findings indicate a need to examine factors that may contribute to this trend in Senegal.

Although several studies have found a positive association between women’s autonomy and utilization of health care resources [11,18] albeit weak, research on the role of women’s autonomy on health insurance enrollment and utilization is sparse in the literature. Conflicting results were also reported in Senegal in terms of women’s autonomy and utilization of birth assistants [11]. While there are several insurance options available to Senegalese residents, insurance coverage remains low. Despite the acknowledgements that access to health insurance can reduce economic barriers to health care and increase utilization, it remains unclear the extent to which women in Senegal are able to enroll in these insurance schemes given their family decision making autonomy and other socio-demographic factors. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess women’s health insurance enrollment status and identify socio-demographic correlates of insurance coverage in a national sample of Senegalese families.

Materials and Methods

Procedures

We used extant data from the 2016 Senegalese Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). The DHS are a project of the United States Agency for International Development and aim to provide high quality data on population demographics and health status measures. The DHS program began in 1984 and seeks to generate data that can be used by policymakers and related stakeholders. DHS data are collected through interviews by field staff. The data consists of a woman’s questionnaire, a man’s questionnaire, a household questionnaire and later, a biomarker questionnaire and a fieldworker’s questionnaire. Survey sampling is conducted at the household level, with the woman’s questionnaire being the primary focus of the data collection. Data are collected from women of reproductive age (15 through 49 years) [19].
Measures

Measures of interest in the Senegal DHS included insurance status, socio-demographic characteristics, and decision-making variables. Our main variable of interest was whether or not the individual woman surveyed by the DHS was or was not covered by health insurance. In addition to our main interest in insurance, our secondary interest was socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample, and how these demographic characteristics might be related to insurance status. For our demographic measures, we included age in 5-year groups (15-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, and 45-49 years), educational attainment (no education, any education), literacy level (cannot read at all, able to read only parts of sentences, able to read whole sentences, no card with required language available, and blind/visually impaired), wealth index (poorest, poorer, middle, richer, richest), and marital status (never in union, married, living with partner, widowed, divorced, no longer living together/separated). Only married women were included in our final sample because questions on decision-making were asked in just this sub-population.

For decision making measures, we included a series of five variable groups from the Senegal DHS: (1) Who usually decides how the money you earn will be used: you, your (husband/partner), or you and your (husband/partner) jointly? (2) Who usually decides how your (husband’s/partner’s) earnings will be used: You, your (husband/partner), or you and your (husband/partner) jointly? (3) Who usually makes decisions about health care for yourself: You, your (husband/partner), and you and your (husband/partner) jointly, or someone else? (4) Who usually makes decisions about major household purchases? and (5) Who usually makes decisions about visits to your family or relatives? These variables were recategorized into autonomous (if a woman made the decision by herself or jointly with her husband/partner) and not autonomous (if the woman was not involved in the decision-making process at all). Finally, we developed a summary score where women received a point for each autonomous decision-making category resulting in a score between 0 and 5.

Analytic approach

Our analysis plan began with a description of the socio-demographic characteristics of the women in the study sample, followed by a more detailed description of insurance coverage, as related to each of the socio-demographic characteristics included in the analysis. First, we tabulated each of the socio-demographic characteristics to generate counts and frequencies of each individual in the study sample corresponding to each of the demographic characteristics of interest. Second, we cross-tabulated each of the demographic characteristics of individuals in the study sample by insurance status, to stratify the counts and frequencies of each characteristic by the dichotomous measure of having or not having health insurance. Third, we used Chi-square tests to verify the hypothesis that insurance status could vary with the demographic characteristics of individuals in the study sample. We reported the resulting p-values to describe the variation in insurance status by the demographic characteristics.

For the decision-making analysis, we began by tabulating each of the decision-making variables, separately, to generate counts and frequencies of each response category under each of the decision-making questions of interest. Lastly, we employed logistic regression to assess the relationship between the outcome, insurance status and socio-demographic and decision-making variables. Variance inflation factors were calculated to test the regression model for collinearity [20]. All analyses were conducted in STATA 15 [21] and the statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Results

The final study sample included a total of 1308 Senegalese women aged 15 and 49 years. Table 1 reported the descriptive characteristics of the study sample for the individual women’s dataset in the 2016 Senegalese DHS files. The first column of Table 1 described the socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample, in categories. The second through fourth columns of Table 1 reported the frequency (%) of each category of a given descriptive statistic and count (No or Yes), where the frequencies sum to 100% within each characteristic. The fifth and final column of Table 1 represented p-values from Chi-square test of the hypothesis that insurance status varied statistically significantly between individuals in different categories of demographic characteristics. This table showed that 23.7% of the sample is 30 to 34-years-old, 59.4% of the sample had not completed any formal education by the time that they were interviewed for the DHS project, 67% of individuals could not read at all, 21.2% were in the poorest wealth category, and 89.3% were not covered by health insurance. This table indicated that insurance status varied significantly with educational attainment, literacy level, wealth, and ethnicity, but not with age category.

Results from our decision-making analysis highlight that, in the Senegal DHS, most women reported that their husbands and partners made decisions, rather than the women themselves except for decision-making on contraceptives. Husbands and partners made 81.4% of the decisions on women’s health care, 82.6% of decisions on large household purchases, 88.6% of decisions on visits to family or relatives, and 83.2% of decisions on what to do with money that the husband earns. By contrast, 88.2% of contraceptive decisions
to 11.1). Household decision-making autonomy was not associated with insurance coverage. In Appendix Table 1 we report the variance inflation factors which, being below the recommended threshold of 10 [20], show no meaningful evidence of collinearity.

**Discussion**

This study examined the socio-demographic correlates of health insurance enrollment among Senegalese women. Overall, only about 10% of women were insured and these women were more educated, more literate, wealthier, and belonged mostly to the

| Variable          | Total (%) | No = 1,168 n (%) | Yes = 140 n (%) | p-value |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Age**           |           |                  |                 |         |
| 15-24             | 209 (100.0) | 191 (91.4)        | 18 (8.6)        | 0.696   |
| 25-29             | 272 (100.0) | 247 (90.8)        | 25 (9.2)        |         |
| 30-34             | 310 (100.0) | 272 (87.7)        | 38 (12.3)       |         |
| 35-39             | 236 (100.0) | 209 (88.6)        | 27 (11.4)       |         |
| 40-44             | 180 (100.0) | 161 (89.4)        | 19 (10.6)       |         |
| 45-49             | 101 (100.0) | 88 (87.1)         | 13 (12.9)       |         |
| **Education status** |           |                  |                 |         |
| No education      | 777 (59.4)  | 739 (95.1)        | 38 (4.9)        | < 0.0001 |
| Any education     | 531 (100.0) | 429 (80.8)        | 102 (19.2)      |         |
| **Literacy**      |           |                  |                 |         |
| Cannot read at all | 876 (67.0) | 826 (94.3)        | 50 (5.7)        | <.0001  |
| Able to read only partial | 107 (8.2) | 90 (84.1)        | 17 (15.9)       |         |
| Able to read whole sentence | 325 (24.9) | 252 (77.5) | 73 (22.5) |         |
| **Wealth index**  |           |                  |                 |         |
| Poorest           | 277 (21.2)  | 267 (96.4)        | 10 (3.6)        | < 0.0001 |
| poorer            | 289 (22.1)  | 275 (95.2)        | 14 (4.8)        |         |
| Middle            | 319 (24.4)  | 287 (90.0)        | 32 (10.0)       |         |
| Richer            | 244 (18.7)  | 211 (86.5)        | 33 (13.5)       |         |
| Richest           | 179 (13.7)  | 128 (71.5)        | 51(28.5)        |         |
| **Marital status**|           |                  |                 |         |
| Married           | 1308 (100.0)| 1168 (89.3)        | 140 (10.7)      | -       |
| **Ethnicity**     |           |                  |                 |         |
| Wolof             | 511 (39.1)  | 456 (89.2)        | 55 (10.3)       | 0.001   |
| Poular            | 355 (27.1)  | 319 (89.9)        | 36 (10.1)       |         |
| Serer             | 203 (15.5)  | 186 (91.6)        | 17 (8.4)        |         |
| Mandingue         | 108 (8.3)   | 101 (93.5)        | 7 (6.5)         |         |
| Diola             | 49 (3.8)    | 35 (71.4)         | 14 (28.6)       |         |
| Soninke           | 8 (0.61)    | 7 (87.5)          | 1 (12.5)        |         |
| Not Senegalese    | 24 (1.8)    | 23 (95.8)         | 1 (4.2)         |         |
| Other             | 50 (3.8)    | 41 (82.0)         | 9 (18.0)        |         |
| **Big City**      |           |                  |                 | 0.036   |
| Not a big city    | 707 (100.0) | 643 (91.0)        | 64 (9.1)        |         |
| Big city          | 601 (100.0) | 525 (87.4)        | 76 (12.7)       |         |
Table 2: Descriptive statistics of family decision making autonomy by insurance status (n = 1308).

| Variable                      | Total n (%) | No n (%) | Yes n (%) | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| **Own health care**           |             |          |           |         |
| Autonomous                    | 243 (18.6)  | 221 (91.0) | 22 (9.1) | 0.357   |
| Not autonomous                | 1065 (81.4) | 947 (88.9) | 118 (11.1)|         |
| **Major household purchases** |             |          |           |         |
| Autonomous                    | 228 (17.4)  | 209 (91.7) | 19 (8.3) | 0.203   |
| Not autonomous                | 1080 (82.6) | 959 (88.8) | 121 (11.2)|         |
| **Visits to family or relatives** |        |          |           |         |
| Autonomous                    | 149 (11.4)  | 135 (90.6) | 14 (9.4) | 0.583   |
| Not autonomous                | 1159 (88.6) | 1033 (89.1)| 126 (10.9)|         |
| **Decides on husbands’ earnings** |         |          |           |         |
| Autonomous                    | 220 (16.8)  | 195 (88.6) | 25 (11.4)| 0.728   |
| Not autonomous                | 1088 (83.2) | 973 (89.4) | 115 (10.6)|         |
| **Contraceptive use**         |             |          |           |         |
| Autonomous                    | 1153 (88.2) | 1025 (88.9)| 128 (11.1)| 0.204   |
| Not autonomous                | 155 (11.9)  | 143 (92.3) | 12 (7.7) |         |

| Decision making score*        |          |          |           | 0.802   |
| 0                             | 108 (8.3) | 99 (91.7) | 9 (8.3)   |         |
| 1                             | 804 (61.5)| 714 (88.8)| 90 (11.2) |         |
| 2                             | 189 (14.5)| 166 (87.8)| 23 (12.2) |         |
| 3                             | 76 (5.8)  | 70 (92.1) | 6 (7.9)   |         |
| 4                             | 72 (5.5)  | 66 (91.7) | 6 (8.3)   |         |
| 5                             | 59 (4.5)  | 53 (89.8) | 140 (10.7)|         |

*: sum score of women’s decision-making autonomy from 0: No autonomy to 5: Autonomous in all five domains.

Table 3: Logistic regression model on decision making characteristics of the study sample for individual women’s data (n = 1308).

| Variable                  | Incremental Effect | 95% confidence interval | p-value |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                           |                    | Lower bound | Upper bound |       |
| **Age**                   |                    |             |             |       |
| 15-24 - ref               |                    |             |             |       |
| 25-29                     | 0.0137305           | -0.0428794  | 0.0703404   | 0.635  |
| 30-34                     | 0.0499299           | -0.0034563  | 0.1033161   | 0.067  |
| 35-39                     | 0.0488583           | -0.0084567  | 0.1061733   | 0.095  |
| 40-44                     | 0.050331            | -0.0118615  | 0.1125235   | 0.113  |
| 45-49                     | 0.0795627           | 0.0082868   | 0.1508386   | 0.029  |
| **Education status**      |                    |             |             |       |
| No education - ref        | 0.0589362           | 0.0074993   | 0.1103731   | 0.025  |
| Any education             |                    |             |             |       |
| **Literacy**              |                    |             |             |       |
| Cannot read at all-ref    | 0.0374329           | -0.0231827  | 0.0980485   | 0.226  |
| Able to read only partial | 0.0575859           | 0.0076561   | 0.1075158   | 0.024  |
| Able to read whole sentence | 0.0575859        | 0.0076561   | 0.1075158   | 0.024  |
| **Wealth index**          |                    |             |             |       |
| Poorest - ref             | 0.0214799           | -0.0502267  | 0.0931866   | 0.557  |
| Middle                    | 0.068564            | 0.0028001   | 0.1343279   | 0.041  |
| Richer                    | 0.093165            | 0.0266414   | 0.1596886   | 0.006  |
| Richest                   | 0.1636425           | 0.0967245   | 0.2305605   | 0.000  |
Specific services \[11, 15\]. This disparity raises questions about the mechanism by which women’s enrollment in insurance schemes occurs in Senegal. Explanations could be nested in economic and/or cultural factors or the structures of these insurance schemes themselves \[15\]. It has been suggested that factors inherent in the insurance structure such as payment modalities, level of premium and benefits package may influence enrollment \[2,25,26\]. More in-depth studies are needed to examine the mechanism by which decision-making autonomy among Senegalese women influences insurance coverage and utilization.

While our sample was a representative of women in Senegal, our study is not without limitations. First, measures of woman’s overall health status and details of family household structure were unavailable. Measures related to health services utilization and need for medical care were also not available. There is a need for more studies that utilize both qualitative and longitudinal data to elucidate the autonomy-insurance utilization pathway(s). Next steps in understanding health insurance uptake and the benefits of health care insurance should include qualitative interviews with women and their families to identify the understanding of how to obtain, barriers to obtaining and perceived utility of having health insurance. This is especially critical for women in limited resource households that are eligible for CBHI. More in-depth study may shed light on how to best design, market, and improve uptake of health insurance among women with limited access to education and resources.
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### Appendix Table 1: Variance Inflation Factors.

| Variable                | Variance Inflation Factor |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age Category            |                           |
| 25-29                   | 2.08                      |
| 30-34                   | 2.25                      |
| 35-39                   | 2.02                      |
| 40-44                   | 1.83                      |
| 45-49                   | 1.51                      |
| Any education           | 4.15                      |
| Literacy                |                           |
| Able to read only partial | 1.47                    |
| Able to read whole sentence | 3.1                   |
| Wealth                  |                           |
| Poorer                  | 1.98                      |
| Middle                  | 2.17                      |
| Richer                  | 2.03                      |
| Richest                 | 1.93                      |
| Big city                | 2.29                      |
| Ethnicity               |                           |
| Poular                  | 1.73                      |
| Serer                   | 1.44                      |
| Mandingue               | 1.27                      |
| Diola                   | 1.19                      |
| Soninke                 | 1.03                      |
| Not a Senegalese        | 1.07                      |
| Other                   | 1.16                      |
| Decision making score   |                           |
| 1                       | 5.41                      |
| 2                       | 2.18                      |
| 3                       | 1.52                      |
| 4                       | 1.45                      |
| 5                       | 1.39                      |