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We prove a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for perturbations of Dirac operators on compact manifolds with or without boundary. As a corollary, we give two kinds of operator-theoretic explanations of the gravitational action on boundary. We also compute the spectral action for Dirac operators with two-form perturbations on 4-dimensional compact manifolds.

1. Introduction

The noncommutative residue found in [1, 2] plays a prominent role in noncommutative geometry. In [3], Connes used the noncommutative residue to derive a conformal 4-dimensional Polyakov action analogy. In [4], Connes proved that the noncommutative residue on a compact manifold \( M \) coincided with Dixmier’s trace on pseudodifferential operators of order \(-\dim M\). Several years ago, Connes made a challenging observation that the noncommutative residue of the square of the inverse of the Dirac operator was proportional to the Einstein-Hilbert action, which is called the Kastler-Kalau-Walze theorem now. In [5], Kastler gave a brute-force proof of this theorem. In [6], Kalau and Walze proved this theorem by the normal coordinates way simultaneously. In [7], Ackermann gave a note on a new proof of this theorem by the heat kernel expansion way. The Kastler-Kalau-Walze theorem had been generalized to some cases, for example, Dirac operators with torsion [8], CR manifolds [9], and \( \mathbb{R}^d \) [10] (see also [11, 12]).

On the other hand, Fedosov et al. defined a noncommutative residue on Boutet de Monvel’s algebra and proved that it was the unique continuous trace in [13]. In [14], Schrohe gave the relation between the Dixmier trace and the noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary. In [15, 16], we gave an operator-theoretic explanation of the gravitational action for manifolds with boundary and proved a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for Dirac operators and signature operators on manifolds with boundary.

Perturbations of Dirac operators were investigated by several authors. In [17], Sitarz and Zajac investigated the spectral action for scalar perturbations of Dirac operators. In [18, p. 305], Iochum and Levy computed the heat kernel coefficients for Dirac operators with one-form perturbations. In [19], Hanisch et al. derived a formula for the gravitational part of the spectral action for Dirac operators on 4-dimensional spin manifolds with totally antisymmetric torsion and this is a perturbation with three forms of Dirac operators. On the other hand, in [20], Connes and Moscovici considered the conformal perturbations of Dirac operators. Investigating the perturbations of Dirac operators has some significance (see [18, 19, 21]). Motivated by [17–19], we study the Dirac operators with general form perturbations. We prove a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for general form perturbations and the conformal perturbations of Dirac operators for compact manifolds with or without boundary. We also compute the spectral action for Dirac operators with two-form perturbations on 4-dimensional compact manifolds.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the Lichnerowicz formula for perturbations of Dirac operators and prove a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for perturbations of Dirac operators on 4-dimensional compact manifolds with or without boundary. In Section 3, we prove a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for conformal perturbations of Dirac operators on compact manifolds with or without boundary. In Section 4, we compute the spectral action for Dirac operators with scalar and two-form perturbations on 4-dimensional compact manifolds.

2. A Kastler-Kalau-Walze Type Theorem for Perturbations of Dirac Operators

2.1. A Kastler-Kalau-Walze Type Theorem for Perturbations of Dirac Operators on Manifolds without Boundary. Let \( M \) be a smooth compact Riemannian \( n \)-dimensional manifold without boundary and let \( V \) be a vector bundle on \( M \). Recall that a differential operator \( P \) is of Laplace type if it has locally the form

\[
P = - \left( g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + A^i \partial_i + B \right),
\]

where \( \partial_i \) is a natural local frame on \( TM \), \( g_{ij} = g(\partial_i, \partial_j) \) and \( (g^{ij}) \) is the inverse metric matrix associated with the metric matrix \( (g_{ij}) \) on \( M \), and \( A^i \) and \( B \) are smooth sections of \( \text{End}(V) \) on \( M \) (endomorphism). If \( P \) is a Laplace type operator of the form (1), then (see [22]) there is a unique connection \( V \) on \( V \) and a unique endomorphism \( E \) such that

\[
P = - \left[ g^{ij} \left( \nabla_{\partial_i} \nabla_{\partial_j} - \nabla_{g^{ij}_{\partial_i}} \right) + E \right],
\]

where \( \nabla^L \) denotes the Levi-Civita connection on \( M \). Moreover (with local frames of \( T^*M \) and \( V \)), \( \nabla_{\partial_i} = \partial_i + \omega_i \) and \( E \) are related to \( g^{ij} \), \( A^i \), and \( B \) through

\[
\omega_i = \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \left( A^j + g^{kl} \Gamma^i_{kj} \right),
\]

\[
E = B - g^{ij} \left( \partial_i \omega_j + \omega_i \partial_j - \omega_k \Gamma^k_{ij} \right),
\]

where \( \Gamma^k_{ij} \) are the Christoffel coefficients of \( \nabla^L \).

Now, we let \( M \) be an \( n \)-dimensional oriented spin manifold with Riemannian metric \( g \). We recall that the Dirac operator \( D \) is locally given as follows in terms of orthonormal frames \( e_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), and natural frames \( \partial_i \) of \( TM \):

\[
D = \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \left( \partial_i \right) \nabla^L_{\partial_j} = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla^L_{e_i},
\]

where \( c(e_i) \) denotes the Clifford action which satisfies the relation

\[
c(e_i) c(e_j) + c(e_j) c(e_i) = -2 \delta^i_j,
\]

\[
\nabla^L_{\partial_i} = \partial_i + \omega_i,
\]

\[
\sigma_i = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k} \left( \nabla^L_{\partial_i} e_j, e_k \right) c(e_j) c(e_k).
\]

Let

\[
\partial^i = g^{ij} \partial_j, \quad \sigma^i = g^{ij} \sigma_j, \quad \Gamma^k = g^{ij} \Gamma^k_{ij},
\]

By (6a) in [5], we have

\[
D^2 = - g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j - 2 \sigma^i \partial_j + \Gamma^k \partial_k - g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + 4 \frac{s}{4} - 1
\]

where \( s \) is the scalar curvature. Let \( \Psi \) be a smooth differential form on \( M \) and we also denote the associated Clifford action by \( \Psi \). We will compute \( D^2 \Psi := (D + \Psi)^2 \). Note that

\[
(D + \Psi)^2 = D^2 + 2 \Psi D + \Psi^2,
\]

\[
D \Psi + \Psi D = \sum_{ij} g^{ij} \left( c(\partial_i) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \right) \partial_j
\]

\[
+ \sum_{ij} g^{ij} \left( c(\partial_i) \partial_j(\Psi) + c(\partial_j) \sigma_j \Psi \right)
\]

\[
+ c(\partial_i) \sigma_j \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \sigma_j + 4 \frac{s}{4} + \Psi^2.
\]

By (7)–(9), we have

\[
D^2 \Psi = - g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \left( - 2 \sigma^i + \Gamma^i + c(\partial^i) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial^i) \right) \partial_j
\]

\[
+ g^{ij} \left[ \sigma_i \partial_j(\Psi) - c(\partial_i) \sigma_j \right] + c(\partial_i) \partial_j(\Psi)
\]

\[
+ c(\partial_i) \sigma_j \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \sigma_j + 4 \frac{s}{4} + \Psi^2.
\]

By (10) and (3), we have

\[
\omega_i = \sigma_i - \frac{1}{2} c(\partial_i) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i),
\]

\[
E = - c(\partial_i) \psi^i(\Psi) - c(\partial_i) \sigma^i - \Psi c(\partial_i) \sigma^i
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} \psi^2 - 1 \frac{1}{2} \partial^i \left[ c(\partial_i) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^k \left[ c(\partial_k) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_k) \right] + 2 \sigma^i \left[ c(\partial_i) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \right]
\]

\[
+ c \left( \partial_i \right) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \right) \sigma^i
\]

\[
- \frac{g^{ij}}{4} \left[ c(\partial_i) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_i) \right] \left[ c(\partial_j) \Psi + \Psi c(\partial_j) \right].
\]

For a smooth vector field \( X \) on \( M \), let \( c(X) \) denote the Clifford action. So,

\[
\nabla_X^L = c(X) + \frac{1}{2} c(X) \Psi + \Psi c(X).
\]

Since \( E \) is globally defined on \( M \), so we can perform computations of \( E \) in normal coordinates. Taking normal
coordinates about $x_0$, then, $\sigma^j(x_0) = 0, \partial^j[c(\partial_j)](x_0) = 0, \Gamma^k(x_0) = 0, g^{ij}(x_0) = \delta^i_j$, so that

$$E(x_0) = \frac{-1}{4}s - \Psi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[\partial^j(\Psi)c\left(\partial_j\right) - c\left(\partial_j\right)\partial^j(\Psi)\right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}c\left(\partial_j\right)\Psi + \Psi c\left(\partial_j\right)^2(x_0)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{4}s - \Psi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[e_j(\Psi)c\left(e_j\right) - c\left(e_j\right)e_j(\Psi)\right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}c\left(e_j\right)\Psi + \Psi c\left(e_j\right)^2(x_0)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{4}s - \Psi^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[V^S_{e_j}(\Psi)c\left(e_j\right) - c\left(e_j\right)V^S_{e_j}(\Psi)\right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}c\left(e_j\right)\Psi + \Psi c\left(e_j\right)^2(x_0).$$

(13)

We get the following Lichnerowicz formula.

**Proposition 1.** Let $\Psi$ be a smooth differential form on $M$ and $D_\Psi := D + \Psi$; then

$$D_\Psi^2 = -\left[g^{ij}\left(V^i_{\partial_i}V^j_{\partial_j} - V^j_{\partial_j}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}s + \Psi^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left[V^S_{e_j}(\Psi)c\left(e_j\right) - c\left(e_j\right)V^S_{e_j}(\Psi)\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}c\left(e_j\right)\Psi + \Psi c\left(e_j\right)^2,$$

where $V^i_{\partial_i}$ is defined by (12) and $X = \partial_i$.

We see two special cases of Proposition 1. When $\Psi = f$, where $f$ is a smooth function on $M$, we have

$$V_X = V_X^S - fc(X), \quad E = -\frac{1}{4}s + (n - 1)f^2. $$

(15)

**Corollary 2.** When $\Psi = f$, one has

$$D_\Psi^2 = -\left[g^{ij}\left(V^i_{\partial_i}V^j_{\partial_j} - V^j_{\partial_j}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}s + (1 - n)f^2. $$

(16)

Let $\eta = a_ik\bar{e}^i$ be a one form, where $a_i$ is a smooth real function, let $\bar{e}^i$ be a dual orthonormal frame by parallel transport along geodesic, and let $X = a_ik\bar{e}^i$ be the dual vector field of $\eta$. When $\Psi = \sqrt{-1}c(\eta)$, by (12), we have

$$V_Y = V_Y^S + \sqrt{-1}g(X, Y),$$

where $Y$ is a smooth vector field on $M$. By $e_j[c(e_j)] = 0$ and $d\mathcal{E}(x_0) = 0$ (see [15]), we have

$$E(x_0) = -\frac{1}{4}s - |X|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}$$

$$\times \left[e_j\left(a^k\right)c\left(e_k\right)c\left(e_j\right) - c\left(e_j\right)c\left(e_k\right)e_j\left(a^k\right)\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}\left[e_j\left(\eta\right)c\left(X\right) + c\left(X\right)e_j\left(\eta\right)\right]^2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}s + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}e_j\left(a^k\right)\left[c\left(e_k\right)c\left(e_j\right) - c\left(e_j\right)c\left(e_k\right)\right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}s + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\sum_{k \neq j} e_j\left(a^k\right)c\left(e_k\right)c\left(e_j\right)(x_0)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4}s - \sqrt{-1}c(\eta)(x_0).$$

(17)

**Corollary 3.** For a one-form $\eta$ and the Clifford action $c(\eta)$, one has

$$(D + \sqrt{-1}c(\eta))^2 = -\left[g^{ij}\left(V^i_\partial V^j_\partial - V^j_\partial V^i_\partial\right)\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}s + \sqrt{-1}c(\eta).$$

(18)

When $\Psi$ is a two-form, we let $\Psi = 2 \sum_{k \neq l} a_{kl}e^k \wedge e^l = \sum a_{kl}e^k \wedge e^l$, where $a_{kl} = -a_{lk},$ and $c(\Psi) = \sum a_{kl}c(e_k)c(e_l)$. So,

$$V_c = e_i + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij}(e_i)c(e_i)c(e_i) - \sum_{k \neq l} a_{kl}c(e_k)c(e_l)c(e_i),$$

(19)

where $\omega_{ij}(e_i)$ denotes the connection coefficient. By (13),

$$E = -\frac{1}{4}s - \left[a_{kl}c(e_k)c(e_l)\right]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\left[e_j\left(a_{kl}\right)c\left(e_k\right)c\left(e_l\right) - c\left(e_j\right)c\left(e_k\right)c\left(e_l\right)\right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{4}\left[a_{kl}\left(c(e_k)c(e_l)c(e_i)+c(e_k)c(e_l)c(e_i)\right)\right]^2.$$  

(20)

Let $S(TM)$ be the spinor bundle on $M$ and $\dim(S(TM)) = d$ and $\text{Tr}(A)$ denote the trace of $A$, for $A \in \text{End}(S(TM))$. Since, for $k \neq l, k \neq \bar{l},$

$$\text{Tr}\left[c(e_k)c(e_l)c(e_i)\right] = d\left(-\delta^{\bar{l}}_j\delta^k_i + \delta^k_j\delta^{\bar{l}}_i\right),$$

we have

$$\text{Tr}\left[a_{kl}c(e_k)c(e_l)\right]^2 = -2da_{kl}.$$  

(22)
Since the trace of the product of odd Clifford elements is zero, we have

$$\text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left[ e_j (a_{kl}) \right] \left[ c (e_j) c (e_j) - c (e_j) c (e_j) \right] \right] = 0, \quad (23)$$

and we get the following.

**Corollary 4.** Let $$\Psi = \sum a_k e^k \wedge e^l$$ and $$a_{kl} = -a_{lk};$$ then $$\text{Tr} E = d \left( -\frac{1}{4} s + (6 - 2n) |\Psi|^2 \right).$$

For a general differential form $$\Psi,$$ by (13) and $$\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA),$$ we have

$$\text{Tr} E = \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{4} s - \Psi^2 - \frac{1}{4} c (e_j) \Psi + \Psi c (e_j) \right]$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{4} s - \frac{1}{2} \Psi c (e_j) \Psi c (e_j) + \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \Psi^2 \right].$$

By the Kastler-Kalau-Walze theorem (see [5, 6]), we have

$$\text{Wres} \left( D_{\Psi}^{n+2} \right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2} d}{(n/2 - 2)!} \int_M \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + (n - 1) f^2 \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (27)$$

where Wres denotes the noncommutative residue (see [2]).

**Theorem 5.** For even $$n$$-dimensional compact spin manifolds without boundary and a general form $$\Psi,$$ the following equality holds:

$$\text{Wres} \left( D_{\Psi}^{-n^2} \right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{(n/2 - 2)!} \int_M \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + (n - 1) f^2 \right] d\text{vol}_M.$$

By Corollary 2, we have the following.

**Corollary 6.** For even $$n$$-dimensional compact spin manifolds without boundary and a smooth function $$f$$ on $$M,$$ the following equality holds:

$$\text{Wres} \left( D_f^{n+2} \right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2} d}{(n/2 - 2)!} \int_M \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + (n - 1) f^2 \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (29)$$

By Corollary 3, we have the following.

**Corollary 7.** For even $$n$$-dimensional compact spin manifolds without boundary and a one-form $$\Psi,$$ the following equality holds:

$$\text{Wres} \left( D_{\Psi}^{-n^2} \right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2} d}{12 \times (n/2 - 2)!} \int_M s d\text{vol}_M. \quad (30)$$

By Corollary 4 and (27), we have the following.

**Corollary 8.** For even $$n$$-dimensional compact spin manifolds without boundary and a two-form $$\Psi,$$ the following equality holds:

$$\text{Wres} \left( D_{\Psi}^{-n^2} \right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2} d}{(n/2 - 2)!} \int_M \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + (n - 1) |\Psi|^2 \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (31)$$

2.2. A Kastler-Kalau-Walze Type Theorem for Perturbations of Dirac Operators on Manifolds with Boundary. We now let $$M$$ be a compact 4-dimensional spin manifold with boundary $$\partial M$$ and let $$U \subset M$$ be the collar neighborhood of $$\partial M$$ which is diffeomorphic to $$\partial M \times [0, 1).$$ And we will compute the noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary of $$(\pi^* D_{\Psi})^2.$$ That is, we will compute $$\text{Wres}(\pi^* D_{\Psi})^2$$ (for the related definitions, see [15]) and we take the metric as in [15].
Let \((x', x_n) \in U\), where \(x' \in \partial M\) and \(x_n\) denotes the normal direction coordinate. By (2.2.4) in [15], we have

\[
\sqrt{\text{Res} \left[ \left( \pi^* D^{-1}_q \right)^2 \right]}
= \int_M \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{trace}_{\text{STM}} \left[ \sigma_{-4} \left( D_q^{-2} \right) \right] \sigma(\xi) \, d\xi
+ \int_{\partial M} \Phi,
\]

where

\[
\Phi = \int_{k'=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi|=1} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha|+j+k+1}}{a! (j+k+1)!} \times \text{trace}_{\text{STM}} \left[ \partial_{x_{k'}}^j \partial_{x_{k_0}}^k \sigma_{-4}^q (D_q^{-1}) \right] (x', 0, \xi', \xi_n)
\times \partial_{x_{k_0}}^k \sigma_{q-1} \left( D^{-1}_q \right)
\times (x_0) \, d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') \, dx' \tag{33}
\]

The sum is taken over \(r-k-|\alpha|+l-j-1 = -4\), \(r,l \leq -1\), and \(\sigma_{q-1}^q = \pi_{q-1}^q \sigma_{q}^q (D_q^{-1})\) (for the definition of \(\pi^*\), see [15]). By Theorem 5, we have

\[
\int_M \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left[ \sigma_{-4} \left( D_q^{-2} \right) \right] \sigma(\xi) \, d\xi
= 4\pi^2 \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \Psi_c(e_j) \Psi_c(e_i) \right] \, d\text{vol}_M \tag{34}
\]

So, we only need to compute \(\int_{\partial M} \Phi\). In analogy with Lemma 2.1 of [15], we can prove the following useful result.

**Lemma 9.** The symbolic calculus of pseudodifferential operators yields

\[
qu_{-1} (D_q^{-1}) = \frac{\sqrt{-1} \xi \Psi_c(\xi)}{\|\xi\|^2},
q_{-2} (D_q^{-1}) = q_{-2} (D^{-1}) + \frac{c(\xi) \Psi_c(\xi)}{\|\xi\|^4}
\]

Similar to the computations in Section 2.2.2 in [15], we can split \(\Phi\) into the sum of five terms. Since \(q_{-1}(D_q^{-1}) = q_{-1}(D^{-1})\), then terms (a) (I), (a) (II), and (a) (III) in our case are the same as the terms (a) (I), (a) (II), and (a) (III) in [15], so

\[
term (a) (I) = - \int_{k'=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi|=1} \sum_{|\alpha|} \text{trace} \left[ \partial_{x_{k'}} \pi_{q-1}^q \right]
\times \partial_{x_{k_0}}^k \sigma_{q-1} \left( D^{-1}_q \right)
\times (x_0) \, d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') \, dx'
\]

\[
term (a) (II) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{k'=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{trace} \left[ \partial_{x_{k_0}} \pi_{q-1}^q \right]
\times \partial_{x_{k_0}}^k \sigma_{q-1} \left( D^{-1}_q \right)
\times (x_0) \, d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') \, dx'
\]

\[
term (a) (III) = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{k'=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{trace} \left[ \partial_{x_{k}} \pi_{q-1}^q \right]
\times \partial_{x_{k}} \partial_{x_{k_0}} q_{-1} \left( D^{-1}_q \right)
\times (x_0) \, d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') \, dx'
\]

Then, we only need to compute term (b) and term (c). By Lemma 9, the symbolic calculus yields

\[
term (b) = - \int_{k'=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{trace} \left[ \pi_{k_0}^q q_{-2} (D^{-1}) \right]
\times \partial_{x_{k_0}} \sigma_{-1} \left( D^{-1} \right)
\times (x_0) \, d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') \, dx'
\]

\[
term (c) = - \int_{k'=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{trace} \left[ \pi_{k_0}^q \left( \frac{c(\xi) \Psi_c(\xi)}{\|\xi\|^4} \frac{\partial_{x_{k_0}}^k \sigma_{q-1} (D^{-1})}{\|\xi\|^2} \right) \right]
\times (x_0) \, d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') \, dx'.
\]
By term (b) in [15], we have
\[
-i \int_{|\xi'|=1}^{\infty} \text{tr} \left[ \pi^+ \varphi \frac{D^{-1}}{|\xi|^4} \right] \times \partial_{\xi} q_{-1} (D^{-1}) \left. (x_0) \right|_{|\xi'|=1} = \frac{9}{8} \pi h' \left(0\right) \Omega_3 dx',
\]
where \( \Omega_3 \) is the canonical volume of 3-dimensional unit sphere. Moreover,
\[
\pi^+ \left( c(\xi) \Psi c(\xi) \right) (x_0) \bigg|_{|\xi'|=1} = \pi^+ \left[ \left( \frac{c(\xi) + \xi c(dx_n) c(dx_n)}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{3/2}} \right) (\eta_n + i) \left( \xi_n - \eta_n \right)^{-1} \right] \times (x_0) d\xi_n\sigma(\xi') dx' = \frac{\pi}{4} \Omega_3 \text{Tr} \left[ c(dx_n) \Psi \right] dx',
\]
we get
\[
\text{tr} \left[ \pi^+ \left( c(\xi) \Psi c(\xi) \right) \frac{D^{-1}}{|\xi|^4} \right] \times \partial_{\xi} q_{-1} (D^{-1}) \left. (x_0) \right|_{|\xi'|=1} \]
\[
= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2(1 + |\xi'|^2)^3} \text{Tr} \left[ c(dx_n) \Psi \right] + \frac{1}{2(1 + |\xi'|^2)^2} \text{Tr} \left[ c(dx_n) \Psi \right].
\]
Considering, for \( i < n, \int_{|\xi'|=1} \xi \sigma(\xi') = 0 \), then
\[
\text{tr} \left[ \pi^+ \left( c(\xi) \Psi c(\xi) \right) \right] \times \partial_{\xi} q_{-1} (D^{-1}) \left. (x_0) \right|_{|\xi'|=1} \]
\[
= \frac{\pi}{4} \Omega_3 \text{Tr} \left[ c(dx_n) \Psi \right] dx',
\]
\[
\text{term (b)} = \frac{9}{8} \pi h' \left(0\right) \Omega_3 dx' + \frac{\pi}{4} \Omega_3 \text{Tr} \left[ c(dx_n) \Psi \right] dx'.
\]
Similarly, we have
\[
\text{term (c)} = - \frac{9}{8} \pi h' \left(0\right) \Omega_3 dx' - \frac{\pi}{4} \Omega_3 \text{Tr} \left[ c(dx_n) \Psi \right] dx'.
\]
Then, the sum of terms (b) and (c) is zero and \( \Phi \) is zero. Then, we get the following.

**Theorem 10.** Let \( M \) be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold with boundary \( \partial M \) and the metric \( g^M \) (see (1.3) in [15]). Let \( \Psi \) be a general differential form on \( M \). Then,
\[
\text{Wres} \left[ \left( \pi^+ D^{-1}_{\Psi} \right)^2 \right] = 4 \pi^2 \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{2} \left( \Psi e_i c(\xi) c(\xi) c(\xi) c(\xi) + c(\Psi) e_i c(\xi) + c(\Psi) \right) + c(\Psi)^2 \right] dvol_M.
\]

In [16], we proved a Kastler-Kalau-Walze theorem associated with Dirac operators for 6-dimensional spin manifolds with boundary. In fact, our computations hold for general Laplacians. This implies the following.

**Proposition 11** (see [16]). Let \( M \) be a 6-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary \( \partial M \) and the metric \( g^M \)
Let $\Delta$ be a general Laplacian acting on sections of the vector bundle $V$. Then,

$$\text{Wres} \left[ (\pi^* \Delta^{-1})^2 \right] = 8\pi^3 \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{s}{6} + E \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (45)$$

Since $Determ$ is a general Laplacian, then we get the following.

**Corollary 12.** Let $M$ be a 6-dimensional compact spin manifold with boundary $\partial M$ and the metric $g^M$. Let $\Psi$ be a general differential form on $M$. Then,

$$\text{Wres} \left[ (\pi^* D^{-2})^2 \right] = 8\pi^3 \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(c(e_i) \Psi(c(e_j)) + 2\Psi^2 \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (46)$$

In the above two cases, the boundary terms vanish. In the following, we will give a boundary term nonvanishing case and compute $\text{Wres}(D_q D^{-1})$. We have

$$D_q D = -g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j + \left( -2\sigma^j + \Psi \left( \Theta \right) \right) \partial_j \left( -2\sigma^j + \Psi \left( \Theta \right) \right) \partial_j$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} s, \quad \omega_i = \sigma_i - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(c(\partial_i)), \quad E = -\Psi(c(\partial_i)) \sigma^j - \frac{1}{4} \Psi \left( \Theta \right) \partial_i \left( -2\sigma^j + \Psi \left( \Theta \right) \right) \partial_j$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \Psi(c(\partial_i)) \sigma_j - \frac{1}{4} \Psi(c(\partial_i)) \Psi(c(\partial_j)). \quad (47)$$

Similar to the proof of (13), we have

$$E = -\frac{1}{4} s + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^j \Psi \left( \Theta \right) c(e_i) - \frac{1}{4} \Psi(c(e_i)) \Psi(c(e_j)). \quad (48)$$

So,

$$D_q D = -\left[ g^{ij} \left( \nabla_i \nabla_j - \nabla^j \nabla_i \right) \right] + \frac{1}{4} s - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^j \Psi \left( \Theta \right) c(e_i) + \frac{1}{4} \Psi(c(e_i)) \Psi(c(e_j)). \quad (49)$$

Then, we get the following.

**Proposition 13.** Let $M$ be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold without boundary. Then,

$$\text{Wres} \left[ (D_q D)^{-1} \right]$$

$$= 4\pi^2 \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^j \Psi \left( \Theta \right) c(e_i) - \frac{1}{4} \Psi(c(e_i)) \Psi(c(e_j)) \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (50)$$

When $\Psi$ is a one-form, we can get the following corollary.

**Corollary 14.** Let $M$ be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold without boundary and let $\Psi$ be a one-form on $M$. Then,

$$\text{Wres} \left[ (D_q D)^{-1} \right]$$

$$= 16\pi^2 \int_M \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + \frac{1}{2} \delta \left( \Theta \right) - 2|\Psi|^2 \right] d\text{vol}_M. \quad (51)$$

Now, we compute $\text{Wres}[\pi^* D_q D^{-1} \pi^* D^{-1}]$. We have that terms (a) and (b) are the same as in Theorem 10, and since term (c) $=-\frac{1}{2} h \partial_i (\Theta) \.assertEquals\Delta$, we get

$$\int_{\partial M} \Phi = \frac{\pi}{4} \Omega_3 \int_{\partial M} \text{Tr} \left[ c(d\text{vol}_n) \Psi \right] d\text{vol}_{\partial M} \quad (52)$$

and the following.

**Proposition 15.** Let $M$ be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold with boundary. Then,

$$\text{Wres} \left[ (D_q D)^{-1} \right]$$

$$= 4\pi^2 \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{12} s + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^j \Psi \left( \Theta \right) c(e_i) - \frac{1}{4} \Psi(c(e_i)) \Psi(c(e_j)) \right] d\text{vol}_M \quad (53)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4} \Omega_3 \int_{\partial M} \text{Tr} \left[ c (d\text{vol}_n) \Psi \right] d\text{vol}_{\partial M}.$$

**Remark 16.** When $\Psi$ is not a one-form, then the boundary term vanishes. When $\Psi = K d\text{vol}_n$ near the boundary, where $K$ is the extrinsic curvature, then the boundary term is proportional to the gravitational action on the boundary. In fact, the reason for the boundary term being not zero is that $\pi^* D_q$ and $\pi^* D$ are not symmetric.

### 3. A Kastler-Kalau-Walze Type

**Theorem for Conformal Perturbations of Dirac Operators**

In [20], Connes and Moscovici defined a twisted spectral triple and considered the conformal Dirac operator $e^h D^h$, where $h$ is a smooth function on a manifold $M$ without boundary. We want to compute $\text{Wres}(e^h D^h)^{-2}$. We know that

$$\text{Wres} \left[ (e^h D^h)^{-2} \right] = \text{Wres} \left[ e^{h D^1} e^{-h D^1} e^{h} \right]$$

$$= \text{Wres} \left[ e^{-2h D^1} e^{2h D^1} \right]. \quad (54)$$

In the following, we will compute the more general case, that is, $\text{Wres}[D^{-1} g D^{-1}]$, for nonzero smooth functions $f$ and $g$, and prove a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for conformal...
Dirac operators. When \( f = g = e^{-2h} \), we get the expression of \( \text{Wres}[(e^h D e^h)^{-2}] \). We have

\[
\text{Wres}[f D^{-1} g D^{-1}] = \text{Wres}\left(\left(f^{-1} g^{-1} D^2 + f^{-1} D g^{-1} D^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right) = \int_M f g \text{wres} \left((D^2 - g^{-1} c(dg) D)^{-1}\right),
\]

where \( \text{Wres} \) denotes the residue density, and we note that the Kastler-Kalau-Walz theorem holds at the residue density level. Some computations show that

\[
D^2 - g^{-1} c(dg) D = -g^i \partial_i \partial_j + \left[-2\sigma^i + 1^i - g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial^i)\right] \partial_j + \left[-\partial^i \sigma_j - \sigma^i \sigma_j + 1^k \sigma_k + \frac{1}{2} s - g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial^i) \sigma_j\right],
\]

\[
E = \frac{s}{4} + g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial^i) \sigma_j - \partial^i \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial_j)\right]
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^i g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial_j) - \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial_i) \sigma^i
\]

\[
-\frac{1}{4} g^{ij} g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial_j) g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial_j)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} c(dg) c(\partial_k) 1^k.
\]

(56)

So,

\[
\text{Tr}\left[\partial_j (g^{-1} c(dg)) c(\partial_j)\right] = \sum_f \left[\frac{1}{g^2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 - g^{-1} \partial^2 g^{jk} \partial x_j\right].
\]

(58)

Similarly,

\[
\text{Tr}\left[\partial_j (g^{-1} c(dg)) c(\partial_j)\right] = \sum_f \left[\frac{1}{g^2} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}\right)^2 - g^{-1} \partial^2 g^{jk} \partial x_j\right].
\]

(57)

Since \( E \) is globally defined, we can compute it in the normal coordinates. Then, we have

\[
\text{Tr}(E)(x_0) = \text{Tr}\left[\frac{s}{3} + 2\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_j^2}\right] = \frac{5}{3} - 2g^{-1} \Delta (g).
\]

(59)

By

\[
\int_M f \Delta (g) d\text{vol}_M = \int_M (df, dg) d\text{vol}_M,
\]

(60)

we get the following.

**Theorem 17.** Let \( M \) be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold without boundary; then

\[
\text{Wres}\left[f D^{-1} g D^{-1}\right] = -4\pi^2 \int_M \left[\frac{fgs}{3} + 2 (df, dg)\right] d\text{vol}_M.
\]

(61)

Remark 18. In Theorem 17, when \( f = g = e^{-2h} \), we get a Kastler-Kalau-Walz theorem for conformal Dirac operators. In fact, Theorem 17 holds true for any choice of the smooth functions \( f \) and \( g \), since we can prove (61) by means of the symbolic calculus of pseudodifferential operators without using (55), and it is not essential that \( f \) and \( g \) are nowhere vanishing.

Now, we consider manifolds with boundary and we will compute \( \text{Wres}(\pi^* (f D^{-1}) \pi^* (g D^{-1})) \). As in [15], we have five terms as follows:

\[
\text{term (a) (I)} = -fg \int_0^\infty \int_{|\xi|=1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{|\omega|=1} \left[\partial_\xi^n \partial_\sigma^n \partial_{\xi^{-1}} \cdot \partial_\xi^n \partial_\sigma^n \partial_{\xi^{-1}}\right] \times (x_0) d\xi d\sigma (\xi') dx'
\]

(62)
By the definition of the noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary, we have that the interior term of \( \text{Wres}[\pi^+(fD^{-1})\pi^+(gD^{-1})] \) equals \( \text{Wres}[fD^{-1}gD^{-1}] \). Then, by Theorem 17 and (64), we get the following.

**Theorem 19.** Let \( M \) be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold with boundary. Then,

\[
\text{Wres} \left[ \pi^+ (fD^{-1}) \pi^+ (gD^{-1}) \right] = -4\pi^2 \int_M \left[ \frac{fgs}{3} + 2 \langle df, dg \rangle \right] d\text{vol}_M + \frac{\pi i}{2} \int_M [f\overline{\partial}_{x_u} (g) - g\overline{\partial}_{x_u} (f)]_{x_u=0} d\text{vol}_{\partial M}.
\]

When \( f = 1 \) and \( g = x_u K \) near the boundary, we have that the boundary term is proportional to the gravitational action on the boundary.

### 4. The Spectral Action for Perturbations of Dirac Operators

In [18], Iochum and Levy computed heat kernel coefficients for Dirac operators with one-form perturbations and proved that there are no tadpoles for compact spin manifolds without boundary. In [17], they investigated the spectral action for scalar perturbations of Dirac operators. In [19], Hanisch et al. derived a formula for the gravitational part of the spectral action for Dirac operators on 4-dimensional spin manifolds with totally antisymmetric torsion. In fact, Dirac operators with totally antisymmetric torsion are three-form perturbations of Dirac operators. In this section, we will give some details on the spectral action for Dirac operators with scalar perturbations. We also compute the spectral action for Dirac operators with two-form perturbations on 4-dimensional compact spin manifolds without boundary.

For the perturbed self-adjoint Dirac operator \( D_\psi \), we will calculate the bosonic part of the spectral action. It is defined to be the number of eigenvalues of \( D_\psi \) in the interval \([\lambda^- , \lambda^+]\) with \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). It is expressed as

\[
I = \text{Tr} F \left( \frac{D_{\psi}^2}{\lambda^2} \right).
\]

Here, \( \text{Tr} \) denotes the operator trace in the \( L^2 \) completion of \( \Gamma(M, S(TM)) \) and \( F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is a cut-off function with support in the interval \([0,1]\) which is constant near the origin. Let \( \dim M = n \). By Lemma 1.7.4 in [22], we have the heat trace asymptotics, for \( t \to 0 \),

\[
\text{Tr} \left( e^{-tD_{\psi}^2} \right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^{m-n/2} a_{2m} \left( D_{\psi}^2 \right).
\]
One uses the Seeley-DeWitt coefficients \( a_{2m}(D^2_\Psi) \) and \( t = \wedge^{-2} \) to obtain an asymptotics for the spectral action when \( \dim M = 4 \):

\[
I = \text{tr} F \left( \frac{D^2_\Psi}{\wedge^2} \right) \sim \wedge^4 F_4 a_0 \left( D^2_\Psi \right)
\]

\[
+ \wedge^2 F_2 a_2 \left( D^2_\Psi \right) + \wedge^0 F_0 a_4 \left( D^2_\Psi \right)
\]

as \( \wedge \to \infty \) with the first three moments of the cut-off function which are given by \( F_4 = \int_0^\infty s F(s) ds \), \( F_2 = \int_0^\infty F(s) ds \), and \( F_0 = F(0) \).

Let

\[
\Omega_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j - \nabla_j \nabla_i - \nabla_{\mathcal{E}_{ij}}.
\]

We use [22, Thm 4.1.6] to obtain the first three coefficients of the heat trace asymptotics:

\[
a_0 (D_\Psi) = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_M \text{Tr} (Id) \, d\text{vol},
\]

\[
a_2 (D_\Psi) = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{s}{6} + E \right] \, d\text{vol},
\]

\[
a_4 (D_\Psi) = \frac{(4\pi)^{-n/2}}{360} \int_M \text{Tr} \left[ -12 R_{ijij,kk} + 5 R_{ijij} R_{kkkl} \right.
\]

\[
- 2 R_{ijik} R_{jilk} + 2 R_{ijil} R_{jikl} - 60 R_{ijij} E
\]

\[
+ 180 E^2 + 60 E_{kk} + 30 \Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij} \right] \, d\text{vol}.
\]

When \( \Psi = f \), by (15) and (71),

\[
a_2 (D_f) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \left[ \frac{5}{4} s^2 + 60 s E + 180 E^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{5}{4} s^2 - 30 (n-1) s f^2 + 180 (n-1)^2 f^4.
\]

Then, we have

\[
\Omega \left( e_i, e_j \right) (x_0) = \left[ e_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,t} \omega_{st} \left( e_i \right) c \left( e_i \right) c \left( e_s \right) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ e_j + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,t} \omega_{st} \left( e_j \right) c \left( e_j \right) c \left( e_t \right) \right]
\]

\[
- \left[ e_j + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,t} \omega_{st} \left( e_j \right) c \left( e_j \right) c \left( e_t \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ e_j \left( f \right) c \left( e_j \right) + 2 f^2 c \left( e_i \right) c \left( e_j \right), \quad \text{for } i \neq j.
\]

So,

\[
\text{Tr} \left[ \Omega_{ij} \Omega_{ij} \right] (x_0) = \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \sum_{s,t=1}^n R_{ijij}^M R_{j sis, t}^M c \left( e_i \right) \right.
\]

\[
\times c \left( e_j \right) c \left( e_s \right) c \left( e_t \right) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{f^2}{2} \sum_{s,t=1}^n R_{ijij}^M \left[ c \left( e_i \right) c \left( e_j \right) c \left( e_s \right) c \left( e_t \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ e_i \left( f \right) c \left( e_j \right) \left[ c \left( e_i \right) c \left( e_j \right) + c \left( e_s \right) c \left( e_t \right) \right].
\]

We know that the curvature of the canonical spin connection is

\[
R^{\mathcal{S}} \left( e_i, e_j \right) = - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,t=1}^n R_{ijis}^M c \left( e_i \right) c \left( e_t \right).
\]
\[
\sum_{i \neq j} \text{Tr} \left[ 4f^4 c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_i) c(e_j) \right] = -4dn(n-1)f^4, \tag{81}
\]
\[
\sum_{i \neq j} \text{Tr} \left[ -e_i (f) e_j (f) (c(e_i) c(e_i) + c(e_j) c(e_j)) \right] = 0, \tag{82}
\]
\[
\sum_{i \neq j} \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{f^2}{2} R_{i j s t}^{M} \left[ c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_j) + c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_i) c(e_j) \right] \right] = -2f^2 ds. \tag{83}
\]
By (78)–(83), we obtain
\[
\text{Tr} \left[ \Omega_i \Omega_j \right] = \frac{d}{8} \left( R_{i j s t}^{M} \right)^2 + 2d (1 - n) |df|^2 - 2f^2 ds - 4dn(n-1)f^4. \tag{84}
\]
By (72), (74), and (84), we get the following.

**Proposition 20** (see [17]). The following equality holds:
\[
a_k (D_j) = \frac{d}{360 \times (4n)^{3/2}} \times \left[ 3\Delta s + \frac{5}{4} s^2 - 30(n+1) sf^2 + 60(n-1)(n-3)f^4 - 2R_{i j k l} R_{i j k l} - \frac{7}{4} R_{i j s t}^{M} + 90(1-n) |df|^2 - 60(n-1) \Delta (f^2) \right]. \tag{85}
\]
In the following, we assume that \( \dim M = 4 \) and \( d = 4 \).

We let \( \Psi \) be a two-form; namely, \( \Psi = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} \delta^k \wedge \delta^l \). where \( a_{k,l} = -a_{l,k} \). We may consider \( \sqrt{-1} \Psi \) for self-adjoint perturbed Dirac operators. By Corollary 4, we obtain
\[
a_2 (D_q) = d(4n)^{-n/2} \left[ -\frac{s}{12} + (6 - 2n) |\Psi|^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{4n^2} \left[ \frac{s}{12} + 2|\Psi|^2 \right]. \tag{86}
\]
Firstly, we compute \( \text{Tr}(E^2) \). By (20) and (75),
\[
\sum_{j, k,l} e_{j} (a_{kl}) \left[ c(e_k) c(e_l) c(e_j) - c(e_j) c(e_k) c(e_l) \right] = 4e_k (a_{kl}) c(e_l),
\]
\[
a_{kl} \left[ c(e_l) c(e_k) c(e_i) + c(e_k) c(e_l) c(e_i) \right] \times a_{k,l} \left[ c(e_i) c(e_k) c(e_i) + c(e_k) c(e_i) c(e_i) \right] + c(e_k) c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i)\]
\[
= \sum_{i \neq j} 2a_{i} c(e_i) c(e_j) c(e_i) c(e_j) \times \sum_{i \neq k,l} 2a_{i} c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i)
\]
\[
= -4 \sum_{i \neq k,l} a_{i} a_{k,l} c(e_k) c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_l)
\]
\[
= -4 \left( \sum_{k \neq l} + \sum_{k \neq l} + \sum_{k \neq l} \right) \times \left[ a_{k,l} a_{k,l} c(e_k) c(e_l) c(e_k) c(e_l) \right]
\]
\[
= -16 \sum_{i \neq l} a_{i} a_{i} c(e_i) c(e_i) + 16a_{i}^2. \tag{88}
\]

Similar to (88), we have
\[
\left[ a_{k,l} c(e_k) c(e_l) \right]^2 = \sum_{k \neq l} a_{k,l} a_{k,l} c(e_k) c(e_k) c(e_l) c(e_l)
\]
\[
= +4 \sum_{i \neq l} a_{i} a_{i} c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i) - 2a_{i}^2. \tag{89}
\]
Then,
\[
E = -\frac{s}{4} + 2e_k (a_{kl}) c(e_l) - \sum_{k \neq l} a_{k,l} a_{k,l} c(e_k) c(e_l) c(e_k) c(e_l) - 2a_{i}^2. \tag{90}
\]
Now, we can compute \( \text{Tr}(E^2) \). Consider
\[
\text{Tr} \left[ 2e_k (a_{kl}) c(e_l) c(e_i) c(e_i) \right]
\]
\[
= -4e_k (a_{kl}) a_{k,kl} a_{k,kl} c(e_k) c(e_l) = -4|\delta|^2 (x_k). \tag{91}
\]
where \( \delta \) is the adjoint operator of \( d \). We have
\[
\sum_{k \neq l} \sum_{p \neq q} \text{Tr} \left[ a_{i} a_{i} c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i) \right] \times c(e_p) a_{i} a_{i} c(e_p)
\]
\[
= c(e_q) c(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_i). \tag{92}
\]
\[
\text{Tr} \left[ a_{k,i} a_{k,j}, c(e_k) c(e_i) c(e_j) \right] = \sum_{\{k,i,k,j\} = \{p,q,r,s\}} \text{Tr} \left[ a_{k,i} a_{k,j} c(e_k) c(e_i) c(e_j) a_{p,q} a_{r,s} \right] \times c(e_p) c(e_q) c(e_r) c(e_s). 
\]

we get
\[
\text{Tr} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left[ \Omega_{ij}^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} R_{ij}^2 
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{16} \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left( -\nabla e_i e_j \Psi + \nabla e_j e_i \Psi \right) \times c(e_i) c(e_j) \right]. 
\]

By (90)–(93), we get
\[
\text{Tr} \left( \Omega^2 \Omega \right) = 4 \left[ -|\delta \Psi|^2 + \frac{s^2}{16} + s|\Psi|^2 + 12|\Psi|^4 \right] 
\]
\[
- i_k i_\ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) i_k \ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) i_k \ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) \Omega i_k \ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right). 
\]  

(94)

In the following, we compute \( \text{Tr} [\Omega(e_i, e_j) \Omega(e_i, e_j)] \). By (12), we have
\[
\Omega(e_i, e_j) = R^{S\text{TM}}(e_i, e_j) 
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4} \nabla c(e_j) c(e_j) \Psi + \Psi c(e_j) \] 
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \nabla c(e_i) c(e_i) \Psi + \Psi c(e_i) \] 
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \left[ c\left( \left| e_i, e_j \right| \right) \Psi + \Psi c\left( \left| e_i, e_j \right| \right) \right]. 
\]  

(95)

Since \( \nabla^{S\text{TM}} \) is a Clifford connection and \( \nabla^{TM} \) has no torsion, we get
\[
\Omega(e_i, e_j) = R^{S\text{TM}}(e_i, e_j) - \frac{1}{4} \nabla^{S\text{TM}}(e_j) \Psi c(e_j) 
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{4} c(e_j) \nabla^{S\text{TM}}(e_j) \Psi \] 
\[
+ \frac{1}{4} \nabla^{S\text{TM}}(e_i) \Psi c(e_i) + \frac{1}{4} c(e_i) \nabla^{S\text{TM}}(e_i) \Psi. 
\]  

(96)

Since the trace of the odd Clifford elements is zero and
\[
\text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,t=1}^{n} R_{ijst} c(e_i) c(e_j) \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s,t=1}^{n} R_{ijst}^2, 
\]  

(97)

By (72), (94), and (98), we obtain the following.

**Proposition 21.** Let \( \Psi \) be a two-form and let \( M \) be a 4-dimensional compact spin manifold without boundary. Then,
\[
a_k(D_\Psi) = \frac{1}{1440 \Pi^2} \left\{ \Delta \left( 3s + 120|\Psi|^2 \right) + \frac{5}{4} s^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} R_{ijk}^2 \right. 
\]
\[
+ \frac{7}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} R_{ijkl}^2 + 60s|\Psi|^2 \right. 
\]
\[
- 180|\delta \Psi|^2 + 2160|\Psi|^4 \right. 
\]
\[
- 180 \left. \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} i_k i_\ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) i_k \ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) i_k \ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) \Omega i_k \ell \left( \Omega i_k \ell \right) \right. 
\]
\[
+ \frac{15}{8} \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left( -\nabla e_i e_j \Psi \right) c(e_j) 
\]
\[
- c(e_j) \nabla e_i e_j \Psi 
\]
\[
+ \nabla e_i e_j \Psi c(e_i) \right) \times \left( \Omega \right) \right) \right) \right). 
\]  

(99)

Remark 22. In fact, Proposition 21 holds true, under analogous hypotheses for manifolds of arbitrary dimension and a general two-form \( \Psi \) after revising some coefficients.
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