Limits and Optimization of Power Input or Output of Actual Thermal Cycles
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Abstract: In classical thermodynamic, maximum power obtained from system (or minimum power supplied to system) defined as availability (exergy), but availability term is only used for reversible systems. In reality, there is no reversible system, all systems are irreversible, because reversible cycles doesn’t include constrains like time or size and they operates in quasi-equilibrium state. Purpose of this study is to define limits of the all basic thermodynamic cycles and to provide finite-time exergy models for irreversible cycles and to obtain the maximum (or minimum) available power for irreversible (finite-time exergy) cycles. In this study, available power optimization and performance limits were defined all basic irreversible thermodynamic cycles, by using first and second law of thermodynamic. Finally, these results were evaluated in terms of cycles’ first and second law efficiency, COP, power output (or input) and exergy destruction.
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Nomenclature

\( A \) available work for the actual cycles (kW)
\( c \) specific heat (kJ/kgK)
\( COP \) coefficient of performance
\( ExD \) exergy destruction (kW)
\( I \) internal irreversibility parameter
\( k \) ratio of specific heats
\( K \) sum of specific heats (kJ/kgK)
\( m \) mass flow (kg/s)
\( n \) polytrophic coefficient
\( r \) cut-off ratio
\( R \) ideal gas constant (kJ/kgK)
\( \dot{Q} \) heat (kW)
\( T \) temperature(K)
\( \dot{W} \) power (kW)
\( x \) dimensionless compression ratio or dimensionless pressure ratio

Subscripts
\( B \) Brayton
\( C \) condenser
\( CI \) compression injection engine
\( cr \) critical point
\( e \) Ericsson engine
\( S \) Stirling
\( h \) heat pump
\( H \) high
\( hr \) heat pump or refrigeration
\( L \) low
\( r \) refrigerator
\( R \) Rankine
\( S \) Stirling
\( SEr \) Stirling or Ericsson engine
\( SI \) spark injection engine
\( op \) optimum point

Greek letters
\( \alpha \) ratio of the highest and the lowest temperature of the cycle
\( \epsilon \) compression ratio
\( \phi \) heat conductance (kW/K)
\( \lambda \) isentropic coefficient
\( \eta \) efficiency
\( \nu \) pressure ratio
\( \theta \) a coefficient for Ericsson and Stirling engines
\( \sigma \) entropy generation (kW/K)
1. Introduction

In classical thermodynamics, availability (or exergy) is used for defining maximum power that can be obtained from the system. However, classical thermodynamics doesn’t consider constraints causing irreversibility, it uses for reversible systems only. Unlike reversible processes, actual processes generate entropy. Entropy generation are resulted from external irreversibilities, which are caused by the heat transfer through finite temperature difference, and internal irreversibilities, which are caused by friction etc. For improving more efficient and providing environmentally less harmless thermodynamic cycles, one must effort to decrease entropy generation while maximizing work output. Finite-time thermodynamic can enable us to more realistic thermal cycles [1–3]. In order to obtain the most efficient thermodynamic cycles finite-time exergy approach must be evaluate for the engineers and scientists. In this study, methods were described to find out optimum (or critical) point for all cycles. These methods include to determine maximum available work output for the power generation cycles and minimum available work input for the heat pump and refrigeration cycles. In the literature, there are many theoretical researches about finite time exergy, but no for the application of the thermal cycles [4–27].

2. Thermodynamic Analysis

In this section, generalized availability function at Equation (1) [6] was applied to all basic thermal cycles separating as two parts:

(i) Power cycles.
(ii) Heat pump and refrigeration cycles.

\[
A = Q_H \left( 1 - \frac{T_{\alpha}}{T_H} \right) - T_\alpha \sigma
\]  

Equation (1) submitted by Sienutcyz and Spakovsky [6] consists of available work output (exergy output) and entropy production (exergy destruction). This Equation could be applied for thermodynamic cycles. Optimization parameters selected as non-dimensional compression parameter for SI, CI, Stirling cycles and non-dimensional pressure parameter for irreversible Brayton and Ericsson cycles. Compression and pressure ratios are most important design parameters for gas power cycles. Because these parameters affects directly of system’s temperatures and pressures among the cycle process. Evaporator and condenser temperatures are main parameters for Rankine, heat pump and refrigerator cycles. Design parameters were investigated detail at following sections.

Assumptions made for cycles are as follows:

- Assumptions are listed for the cycles.
- For irreversible Rankine, heat pump and refrigeration cycles.
- All processes are irreversible.
- Systems follow a continuous pattern.
- Heat exchangers’ dimensions are limited and convection coefficients are constant.
For irreversible SI, CI, Stirling—Ericsson and Brayton cycles:

- All processes are irreversible.
- Specific heats are constant and average specific heats are used.
- Piston friction is neglected.
- Powering fluid is air, which is the ideal gas.
- Polytrophic coefficients are constant and an average polytrophic coefficient is identified for each cycle.

Internal irreversibility parameter is more than one \((\theta > 1)\) for all cycles. Environmental temperature \((T_0)\) is accepted as 298.15 K for all cycles. Other assessment criteria using this study except for finite-time exergy output (or input) can be defined as following:

Fist law efficiency:

\[
\eta = 1 - \frac{\dot{Q}_L}{\dot{Q}_H}
\]  

(2)

Exergy destruction:

\[
ExD = T_o \sigma
\]

(3)

Second law efficiency:

\[
\varphi = 1 - \frac{ExD}{\dot{Q}_H \left(1 - \frac{T_o}{T_H}\right)}
\]

(4)

2.1. Power Generation Cycles

In this section, thermodynamic cycles that produce power will be examined in detail. Effects of obtained optimization values were presented in the results.

2.1.1. Thermodynamic Analysis of Irreversible Rankine Cycle

Recently, Rankine cycle has gained importance thanks to an increase in the search for new energy technologies and more efficient systems, because this cycle can generate power with organic powering fluids that enable the use of energy sources at low temperatures. In addition to this, facilities which power with a conventional Rankine cycle that uses coal as fuel and bottom cycle of the cogeneration systems are still widely used. Emissions that are sent out by these facilities to the environment because of the global warming and entropy production must be reduced to a minimum for the more efficient cycles. In Figure 1, the T-s diagram of an irreversible Rankine cycle is seen.
Figure 1. T-s (temperature-entropy) diagram of irreversible Rankine cycle (TH = high temperature, TL = low temperature).

From the first law of thermodynamics:

\[ Q_{H,R} - Q_{L,R} = W_{net,R} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (5)

where, \( W_{net,R} \) (power output), \( Q_{H,R} \) (added heat) and \( Q_{L,R} \) (rejected heat). Added and rejected heat can be defined as:

\[ Q_{H,R} = \phi_{E,R} (T_{H,R} - T_{E,R}) \hspace{0.5cm} \text{and} \hspace{0.5cm} Q_{L,R} = \phi_{C,R} (T_{C,R} - T_{L,R}) \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (6)

where, \( \phi \) (the heat conductance) and \( T_H \) (the combustion temperature).

From the second law of thermodynamics:

\[ \frac{Q_{H,R}}{T_{E,R}} - \frac{Q_{L,R}}{T_{C,R}} \leq 0 \hspace{0.5cm} \text{or} \hspace{0.5cm} I_R \frac{Q_{H,R}}{T_{E,R}} = \frac{Q_{L,R}}{T_{C,R}} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (7)

where, \( I_R \) (internal irreversibility parameter for Rankine cycle). Entropy generation is:

\[ \sigma_R = \left( \frac{Q_{L,R}}{T_{L,R}} - \frac{Q_{H,R}}{T_{H,R}} \right) \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (8)

\( \dot{A}_R \) (available work output of the Rankine cycle) can be obtained from the Equations (1) and (5)–(8):

\[ \dot{A}_R = \phi_{E,R} (T_{H,R} - T_{E,R}) \left( T_{E,R} T_{L,R} - I_R T_{C,R} T_o \right) \frac{1}{T_{E,R} T_{L,R}} \]  \hspace{0.5cm} (9)

As seen at Figure 5 Rankine cycle has an optimum point maximizing available work output. The derivative of the Rankine cycle’s available work output (finite time-exergy) function according to the evaporator temperature is equalized to zero \[ \frac{\partial \dot{A}_R}{\partial T_{E,R}} = 0 \] and optimum temperatures can be seen as follow:
Unlike evaporator temperature, as seen Figure 7, condenser temperature has linear effects on the available work output and has not an optimum point. However, linear change Equation of the available work output with condenser temperature can be defined with regression:

\[ A_R = 400 - 0.7288 T_{C,R} \quad (R^2=1) \]

where, \( R^2 \) is the coefficient of determination for the regression.

2.1.2. Thermodynamic Analysis of Irreversible Spark Injection (SI) and Compression Injection (CI) Cycles

Internal combustion engines are the most widely used power cycles. When the emissions released by these cycles are taken into consideration, they have serious negative effects on the environment. Although it is estimated that fossil fuels will deplete soon, it can be said that internal combustible engines could be used in power production, by considering the studies that are carried out on fuels which can be produced in a laboratory. If we take into account the harmful effects on the environment and relatively low efficiencies, the importance of designing internal combustible engines in an environmental friendly way becomes apparent. Temperatures and pressures in the process of SI and CI engines are the function of compression ratio. That’s why, compression ratio selected as optimization parameter. In this section, SI and CI engines were optimized by taking finite-time exergy into consideration. Figure 2 show the T-s diagram of analyzed engines.

**Figure 2.** T-s (temperature-entropy) diagram of irreversible SI, CI and Brayton cycles (\( T_H = \) high temperature, \( T_L = \) low temperature) [27,28].

2.1.2.1. Irreversible SI Engine

From the first law of thermodynamics:

\[ Q_{H,SI} - Q_{L,SI} = W_{net,SI} \]

where, \( W_{net,SI} \) (power output), \( Q_{H,SI} \) (added heat) and \( Q_{L,SI} \) (rejected heat). Added and rejected heat can be defined as:
\[ \dot{Q}_{H,SI} = \frac{m_{SI} K_{SI} k_{SI}}{1+k_{SI}} (T_{3,SI} - T_{2,SI}), \quad \dot{Q}_{L,SI} = \frac{m_{SI} K_{SI}}{1+k_{SI}} (T_{4,SI} - T_{1,SI}) \]  

(13)

for SI engine:

\[ \varepsilon_{SI} = \frac{V_{1,SI}}{V_{2,SI}}, \quad \frac{T_{2,SI}}{T_{1,SI}} = \frac{T_{3,SI}}{T_{4,SI}} = x_{SI}, \quad \frac{T_{3,SI}}{T_{1,SI}} = \alpha_{SI}, \quad T_{2,SI} = T_{1,SI} x_{SI}, \]

\[ T_{4,SI} = \frac{T_{3,SI}}{x_{SI}}, \quad K_{SI} = c_{v,SI,2-3} + c_{v,SI,4-1}, \quad k_{SI} = c_{v,2-3,SI} \]

(14)

where, \( \varepsilon \) (compression ratio), \( x \) (dimensionless compression ratio parameter), \( \alpha \) (ratio of the highest temperature to lowest temperature), \( K \) (sum of the specific heats) and \( k \) (the ratio of specific heats).

From the second law of thermodynamics:

\[ \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,SI}}{T_{3,SI}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,SI}}{T_{1,SI}} \leq 0 \text{ or } I_{SI} \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,SI}}{T_{3,SI}} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,SI}}{T_{1,SI}} \]

(15)

where, \( I_{SI} \) (internal irreversibility parameter for SI engine). \( I_{SI} \) and \( Q_{H,SI} \) can be obtained from the Equations (12)–(15),

\[ I_{SI} = \frac{x_{SI}}{\alpha_{SI} k_{SI}} \]

(16)

\[ \dot{Q}_{H,SI} = \frac{m_{SI} K_{SI} T_{1,SI} (\alpha_{SI} - x_{SI})}{(1 + \frac{1}{k_{SI}})} \]

(17)

Entropy generation is:

\[ \sigma_{SI} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,SI}}{T_{1,SI} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,SI}}{T_{3,SI}}} \]

(18)

Using Equation (1) and (12)–(18) \( A_{SI} \) (available work for the SI engine) is obtained as:

\[ A_{SI} = \frac{m_{SI} K_{SI} (\alpha_{SI} - x_{SI})(T_{3,SI} x_{SI} k_{SI} - T_{o})}{(k_{SI} + 1) x_{SI}} \]

(19)

Here, in order to detect optimum compression rate, a derivative of the SI engine according to the compression rate of its finite-time exergy function is equalized to zero \( \frac{\partial A_{SI}}{\partial x_{SI}} = 0 \) and corresponding value is:

\[ x_{SI,op} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{SI} T_{o}}{T_{1,SI} k_{SI}}} \]

(20)
2.1.2.2. Irreversible CI Engine

From the first law of thermodynamics:

\[ \dot{Q}_{H,CI} - \dot{Q}_{L,CI} = \dot{W}_{net,CI} \]  

(21)

where, \( \dot{W}_{net,CI} \) (power output), \( \dot{Q}_{H,CI} \) (added heat) and \( \dot{Q}_{L,CI} \) (rejected heat). Added and rejected heat can be defined as:

\[ \dot{Q}_{H,CI} = \frac{m_{CI} K_{CI} k_{CI}}{1+k_{CI}} (T_{3,CI} - T_{2,CI}) \]

\[ \dot{Q}_{L,CI} = \frac{m_{CI} K_{CI}}{1+k_{CI}} (T_{4,CI} - T_{1,CI}) \]  

(22)

For CI engine:

\[ \varepsilon_{CI} = V_{1,CI}, \quad T_{2,CI} = x_{CI}, \quad T_{3,CI} = \frac{w_{CI}}{x_{CI}} \]

\[ x_{CI} = (\varepsilon_{CI})^{n_{CI}-1}, \quad T_{3,CI} = \frac{T_{3,CI}}{T_{1,CI}}, \quad T_{2,CI} = T_{1,CI} x_{CI}, \quad T_{4,CI} = T_{3,CI} \frac{w_{CI}}{x_{CI}} \]  

(23)

where, \( \varepsilon \) (compression ratio), \( x \) (dimensionless compression ratio parameter), \( r \) (cut-off ratio), \( w \) (dimensionless cut-off ratio parameter) \( a \) (ratio of the highest temperature to lowest temperature), \( K \) (sum of the specific heats) and \( k \) (ratio of specific heats). From the second law of thermodynamics:

\[ \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,CI}}{T_{3,CI}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,CI}}{T_{1,CI}} \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad I_{CI} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,CI}}{T_{3,CI}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,CI}}{T_{1,CI}} \]  

(24)

where, \( I_{CI} \) (internal irreversibility parameter for CI engine). \( I_{CI} \) and \( \dot{Q}_{H,CI} \) can be obtained from the Equations (21)–(24):

\[ I_{CI} = \frac{a_{CI}}{k_{CI} x_{CI}} \left( \frac{a_{CI} w_{CI} - x_{CI}}{a_{CI} - x_{CI}} \right) \]  

(25)

\[ \dot{Q}_{H,CI} = \frac{m_{CI} K_{CI} r_{CI} x_{CI}}{(1+\frac{1}{k_{CI}})} \]  

(26)

Entropy generation is:

\[ \sigma_{CI} = \left( \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,CI}}{T_{1,CI}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,CI}}{T_{3,CI}} \right) \]  

(27)

Using Equation (1) and (21)–(27) \( A_{CI} \) (available work output) is obtained as:

\[ A_{CI} = \frac{m_{CI} K_{CI} \left( x_{CI} \left( T_{o} - k_{CI} T_{1,CI} x_{CI} \right) + a_{CI} \left( k_{CI} T_{1,CI} x_{CI} - w_{CI} T_{o} \right) \right)}{\left(1+k_{CI}\right) x_{CI}} \]  

(28)
Here, in order to detect the optimum compression rate, a derivative of CI engine according to the compression rate of its finite-time exergy function is equalized to zero and corresponding value is:

$$x_{CI,op} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{CI} w_{CI} T_0}{k_{CI} T_1}}$$

(29)

2.1.3. Thermodynamic Analysis of Irreversible Brayton Cycles

Since the Brayton cycle generates an ideal model for continuous combustion gas turbines, it has an importance in thermodynamic optimization studies. There are various studies examining parameters such as maximum power, maximum power density, and thermal efficiency of the Brayton cycle under finite time, finite area and finite rate restrictions and which determine its performance limits. Temperatures and pressures in the process of Brayton cycle are the function of pressure ratio. That’s why, pressure ratio selected as optimization parameter. Figure 2 shows the T-s diagram of the irreversible Brayton cycle. From the first law of thermodynamics:

$$Q_{H,B} - Q_{L,B} = W_{net,B}$$

(30)

Where, $W_{net,B}$ (power output), $Q_{H,B}$ (added heat) and $Q_{L,B}$ (rejected heat). Added and rejected heat can be defined as:

$$\dot{Q}_{H,B} = \frac{m_B K_B k_B}{1 + k_B} (T_{3,B} - T_{2,B}), \quad \dot{Q}_{L,B} = \frac{m_B K_B}{1 + k_B} (T_{4,B} - T_{1,B})$$

(31)

for Brayton cycles:

$$T_{1,B} = T_0, \quad v_B = \frac{P_{2,B}}{P_{1,B}} = \frac{P_{3,B}}{P_{4,B}}, \quad \frac{T_{2,B}}{T_{1,B}} = \frac{T_3}{T_1}, \quad \frac{T_{3,B}}{T_{1,B}} = x_B, \quad \frac{T_{4,B}}{T_{1,B}} = \frac{T_{4,B}}{x_B},$$

$$K_B = c_{p,2-3,B} + c_{p,2-4,B}, \quad k_B = \frac{c_{p,2-3,B}}{c_{p,4-1,B}}$$

(32)

where, $v$ (pressure ratio), $x$ (dimensionless pressure ratio parameter), $\alpha$ (ratio of the highest temperature to lowest temperature), $K$ (sum of the specific heats) and $k$ (ratio of specific heats). From the second law of thermodynamics:

$$\frac{\dot{Q}_{H,B}}{T_{3,B}} \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,B}}{T_{1,B}} \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad I_B \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,B}}{T_{3,CI}} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,B}}{T_{1,B}}$$

(33)

where, $I_B$ (internal irreversibility parameter for Brayton cycle). $I_B$ and $\dot{Q}_{H,B}$ can be obtained from the Equations (30)–(33),
Entropy generation is:

$$\dot{\sigma}_B = \left( \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,B}}{T_{1,B}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,B}}{T_{3,B}} \right)$$

Investigating Equations for Baryton cycle available work output is equal to power output at this cycle. Using Equation (1) and (30)–(36) $A_B$ (available work output for the Brayton cycle) is obtained as:

$$A_B = m_B K_p T_{1,B} \left( \alpha_B - x_B \right) \left( k_B x_B - 1 \right)$$

Here, in order to detect optimum pressure rate, a derivative of the Brayton cycle according to the compression rate of its finite-time exergy function is equalized to zero:

$$\left( \frac{\partial A_B}{\partial x_B} \right) = 0$$

and corresponding value is:

$$x_{B,op} = \frac{\alpha_B}{k_B}$$

2.1.4. Thermodynamic Analysis of Irreversible Stirling and Ericsson Cycles

Ericsson and Stirling engines have attracted the attention of several generations of engineers and physicists due to their theoretical potential to provide high conversion efficiency that approached those of the Carnot cycle. However, use of these engines did not prove to be successful due to relatively poor material technologies available at that time. As the world community has become much more environmentally conscious, further attention in these engines has been again received because these engines are inherently clean and thermally more efficient. Moreover, as a result of advances in material technology, these engines are currently being considered for variety of applications due to their many advantages like low noise, less pollution and their flexibility as an external combustion engine to utilize a variety of energy sources or fuels. These engines are also under research and development for their use as heat pumps, replacing systems that are not ecological friendly and environmentally acceptable. Nowadays, the popularity of these engines is growing rapidly due to their many advantages like being more efficient, less pollution levels and their flexibility as external combustion engines to utilize different energy sources such as solar energy. The central receiver and parabolic dish solar systems based Stirling/Ericsson heat engine is more efficient and suitable for both the terrestrial [56,57] and non-terrestrial [58,59] solar installations. In the literature, Erbay and Yavuz,
investigated irreversible Stirling and Ericsson engines [60–63]. In this study their model was adopted for the analyzing of the Stirling-Ericsson engines. Temperatures and pressures in the process of the Stirling and Ericsson engines are the function of compression and pressure ratios. That’s why, compression and pressure ratios selected as optimization parameters. In Figure 3, it can be seen that analyzed Stirling-Ericsson engines T-s Diagram.

**Figure 3. T-s (temperature-entropy) diagram of irreversible Stirling-Ericsson cycle (TH = high temperature, TL = low temperature) [60–63].**

From the first law of thermodynamics:

\[
\dot{Q}_{H,SE} - \dot{Q}_{L,SE} = \dot{W}_{net,SE} \tag{39}
\]

where, \(\dot{W}_{net,SE}\) (power output), \(\dot{Q}_{H,SE}\) (added heat) and \(\dot{Q}_{L,SE}\) (rejected heat). Added and rejected heat can be defined as:

\[
\dot{Q}_{H,SE} = m_{SE} T_{4,SE} R \left(1 - x_{SE}\right) \frac{\lambda - n}{(1-n)(\lambda - 1)}, \quad \dot{Q}_{L} = m_{SE} T_{2,SE} R \left(\frac{1}{x_{SE}} - 1\right) \frac{\lambda - n}{(1-n)(\lambda - 1)} \tag{40}
\]

\[
x_{SE} = (e_{S})^{\frac{(n_{SE} - 1)}{n_{SE}}} = \left(\frac{1}{v_{ER}}\right)\left(\frac{n_{SE} - 1}{n_{SE}}\right), \quad e_{S} = \frac{V_{1,S}}{V_{2,S}}, \quad v_{c} = \frac{P_{2,e}}{P_{1,e}}, \quad T_{4,SE} = \alpha_{SE}, \quad T_{1,SE} = \frac{T_{2,SE}}{x_{SE}},
\]

\[
T_{3,SE} = T_{4,SE} x_{SE}, \quad \theta_{SE} = \frac{(\lambda - n)}{(1-n)(\lambda - 1)} \tag{41}
\]

where, \(e\) (compression ratio), \(v\) (pressure ratio), \(x\) (dimensionless compression ratio parameter for Stirling engine and dimensionless pressure ratio for Ericsson engine), \(\alpha\) (ratio of the highest temperature to lowest temperature), \(\theta\) (dimensionless coefficient for Ericsson and Stirling engines), \(n\) (polytrophic coefficient), \(\lambda\) (isentropic coefficient), \(T_{H}\) (high temperature) and \(T_{L}\) (low temperature).

From the second law of thermodynamics:

\[
\frac{\dot{Q}_{H,SE}}{T_{4}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,SE}}{T_{2}} \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad I_{SE} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,SE}}{T_{4}} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,SE}}{T_{2}} \tag{42}
\]
where, $I_{SE}$ (internal irreversibility parameter for Stirling and Ericsson engines). $I_{SE}$ can be obtained from the Equations (39)–(42):

$$I_{SE} = \frac{1}{x_{SE}}$$

(43)

Entropy generation is:

$$\dot{\sigma}_{SE} = \left( \frac{Q_{L,SE}}{T_{c,SE}} - \frac{Q_{H,SE}}{T_{e,SE}} \right)$$

(44)

Using Equations (1) and (39)–(44), $A_{SE}$ (available work output for the Stirling and Ericsson engines) is obtained as:

$$A_{SE} = m_{SE} \theta_{SE} R T_{2,SE} \left( \left( T_o - \alpha_{SE} T_{L,SE} x_{SE} \right) \frac{(x_{SE} - 1)}{T_{L,SE} x_{SE}} \right)$$

(45)

Here, in order to detect an optimum pressure rate for the Ericsson cycle or an optimum compression rate for the Stirling cycle, a derivative of cycle of Stirling-Ericsson cycles according to the compression of their finite-time exergy function is equalized to zero $\left( \frac{\partial A_{SE}}{\partial x_{SE}} = 0 \right)$ and corresponding value is:

$$x_{SE,op} = \sqrt{\frac{T_o}{\alpha_{SE} T_{L,SE}}}$$

(46)

2.2. Heat Pump and Refrigeration Cycles

Heat pump and refrigeration cycles operate with the same cycle requiring power. Cycles which are more efficient and less harmful to the environment must be designed by reducing the power obtained from outside resource and reducing entropy generation. In this section, limits of these cycles were determined by using finite-time exergy. Figure 4 shows the T-s diagram of irreversible heat pump and refrigerators cycles ($T_H$ = high temperature, $T_L$ = low temperature).

**Figure 4.** T-s (temperature-entropy) diagram of irreversible heat pump and refrigerators cycles ($T_H$ = high temperature, $T_L$ = low temperature).
2.2.1. Thermodynamic Analysis of General Irreversible Heat Pump and Irreversible Refrigerator Systems

From the first law of thermodynamics:

\[ \dot{W}_{\text{net},hr} = \dot{Q}_{H,hr} - \dot{Q}_{L,hr} \]  

(47)

where, \( W_{\text{net},hr} \) (power input), \( \dot{Q}_{H,hr} \) (added heat) and \( \dot{Q}_{L,hr} \) (rejected heat). Rejected and added heat can be defined as:

\[ \dot{Q}_{H,hr} = \phi_{hr} (T_{H,hr} - T_{E,hr}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{Q}_{L,hr} = \phi_{hr} (T_{C,hr} - T_{L,hr}) \]  

(48)

where, \( \phi \) (heat conductance). From the second law of thermodynamics:

\[ \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,hr}}{T_{E,hr}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,hr}}{T_{C,hr}} \leq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,hr}}{T_{C,hr}} = I_{H,hr} \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,hr}}{T_{E,hr}} \]  

(49)

where, \( I_{hr} \) (internal irreversibility parameter for heat pump or refrigerator cycle). Entropy generation is:

\[ \dot{\sigma}_{hr} = \left( \frac{\dot{Q}_{H,hr}}{T_{H,hr}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{L,hr}}{T_{L,hr}} \right) \]  

(50)

Using Equation (1) and Equations (47)–(50) \( A_{hr} \) (available work input for the heat pump and refrigeration cycles) is obtained as:

\[ A_{hr} = \frac{\phi_{E,hr} T_{H,hr} (T_{L,hr} - T_{E,hr}) (I_{hr} T_{C,hr} T_{L,hr} (T_{H,hr} - 2T_o) + T_{H,hr} T_o T_{E,hr})}{T_{H,hr} T_{L,hr} T_{E,hr}} \]  

(51)

As seen at Figures 19 and 23, heat pump and refrigeration cycles haven’t an optimum point minimizing available power input, but there is a critical point maximizing power output input. The derivative of the heat pump—refrigerator cycle according to evaporator and temperature is equalized to zero

\[ \left( \frac{\partial A_{hr}}{\partial T_{E,hr}} = 0 \right) \]  

and it can be provided critical temperature as:

\[ T_{E,cr,hr} = \frac{\sqrt{I_{hr} T_{C,hr} T_{L,hr} T_{H,hr}^2 (2T_o - T_{H,hr})}}{\sqrt{T_{H,hr} T_o}} \]  

(52)

Unlike evaporator temperature, as seen Figures 19 and 23, condenser temperature has linear effects on the available power input and has not an optimum point. However, Equation of linear change of the available work input with condenser temperature can be defined with regression:

\[ A_{hr} = 10.281 - 0.0276 T_{C,hr} \quad (R^2 = 1) \]  

(53)

where, \( R^2 \) is the coefficient of determination for the regression.
3. Results and Numerical Examples

In this section, optimum points for all basic thermodynamic cycles were determined and they were discussed in detail for each cycle separately. Numerical examples were selected in a way that would be close to the values met in practice and by using references [29–55] for irreversible Brayton cycle, [56–63] for irreversible Stirling and Ericsson cycles, [64–88] foe irreversible SI and CI cycles, [89–103] for irreversible refrigeration and heat pump cycles and [104] for irreversible Rankine cycle.

3.1. Irreversible Rankine Cycle

\( T_{H,R} = 1000 \, \text{K}, \, T_{L,R} = 300 \, \text{K}, \, \phi_{E,R} = 1 \, \text{kW/K} \) and, \( \phi_{C,R} = 1 \, \text{kW/K} \) are set. Figure 5 shows the variation of power output (\( W \)), available work output (\( A \)), and exergy destruction (ExD), of the Rankine cycle with regard to evaporator temperature. Evaporator temperature for the Rankine cycle was detected as 618.568 K. It is seen that with the increase of evaporator temperature, available work output and power output rise up to the optimum evaporator temperature and then they decrease logarithmically. In addition to that, exergy destruction decrease while evaporator temperature increase. In Figure 6, it can be seen that thermal efficiency (\( \eta \)) and second law efficiency (\( \phi \)) increase with evaporator temperature. It can be seen in Figure 7 that available work output and power output decrease with condenser temperature linearly, while exergy destruction increases. In Equation (11), the linear function of available work output with regard to the condenser temperature was shown. In Figure 8, thermal efficiency, second law efficiency decrease with condenser temperature linearly.

**Figure 5.** Effect of \( T_{E} \) (evaporator temperature) on the \( A \) (available work output for irreversible Rankine cycle), \( W \) (power output) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible Rankine cycle (\( T_{C,R} = 350 \, \text{K}, \, I_{R} = 1.1 \)).
**Figure 6.** Effect of $T_E$ (evaporator temperature) on the $\eta$ (first law efficiency), $\varphi$ (second law efficiency) for irreversible Rankine cycle ($T_{C,R} = 350$ K, $I_R = 1.1$).

**Figure 7.** Effect of $T_C$ (condenser temperature) on the $A$ (available work output for irreversible Rankine cycle), $W$ (power output) and $\text{ExD}$ (exergy destruction) for irreversible Rankine cycle ($T_{E,R} = 600$ K, $I_R = 1.1$).

**Figure 8.** Effect of $T_C$ (condenser temperature) on the $\eta$ (first law efficiency), $\varphi$ (second law efficiency) for irreversible Rankine cycle ($T_{E,R} = 600$ K, $I_R = 1.1$).
3.2. Irreversible SI Engine

For numerical calculations, the powering parameters of the SI engine are as follows: $T_{1,SI} = 350$ K, $\alpha_{SI} = 4$, $m_{SI} = 0.5$ kg/s, $k_{CI} = 1.02$, $K_{CI} = 2.5$ kJ/kgK, $n_{SI} = 1.37$. Figures 9 and 10 show the variation of power output ($\dot{W}$), thermal efficiency ($\eta$), second law ($\phi$), available work output ($A$), exergy destruction, and the internal irreversibility parameter ($I$) of the SI engine with regard to compression rate. The optimum compression rate for the SI engine was detected as 10.555. It is seen that with the increase of the compression rate, available work output and power output rise up to the optimum compression rate, then start to decrease. Thermal efficiency and second law efficiency increase, while exergy destruction and the internal irreversibility parameter decrease. The decrease of exergy destruction is directly proportional since entropy production is the result of irreversibility.

**Figure 9.** Effect of $\varepsilon$ (compression ratio) on the $A$ (available work output for irreversible SI engine), $W$ (power output) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible SI engine.

![Figure 9](image1)

**Figure 10.** Effect of $\varepsilon$ (compression ratio) on the $\eta$ (first law efficiency), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) and $I$ (internal irreversibility parameter) for irreversible SI engine.

![Figure 10](image2)
3.3. Irreversible CI Engines

For numerical calculations, the powering parameters of the CI engine are as follows: $T_{I,CI} = 350$ K, $\alpha_{SI} = 6$, $m_{CI} = 0.5$ kg/s, $K_{CI} = 1.1$, $w_{CI} = 1.23$, $n_{CI} = 1.37$. Figures 11 and 12 show the variation of power output ($W$), thermal efficiency ($\eta$), second law efficiency ($\phi$), available work output ($A$), exergy destruction and the internal irreversibility parameter ($I$) of the CI engine with regard to compression rate. The optimum compression rate for the CI engine was detected as 21.386. It is seen that with the increase of the compression rate, available work output and power output rise up to the optimum compression rate, then start to decrease. Thermal efficiency and second law efficiency increase, while, exergy destruction and the internal irreversibility parameter decrease. The decrease of exergy destruction is directly proportional since entropy production is the result of irreversibility.

**Figure 11.** Effect of $\varepsilon$ (compression ratio) on the $A$ (available work output for irreversible CI engine), $W$ (power output) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible CI engine.

**Figure 12.** Effect of $\varepsilon$ (compression ratio) on the $\eta$ (first law efficiency), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) and $I$ (internal irreversibility parameter) for irreversible CI engine.
3.4. Irreversible Brayton Cycles

For numerical calculations, the powering parameters of the Brayton cycle are as follows: \( T_{1,B} = 300 \text{ K}, \alpha_B = 3.5, m_b = 0.5 \text{ kg/s}, k_{C1} = 1.1, K_{C1} = 3 \text{ kJ/kgK}, n_B = 1.37 \). Figures 13 and 14 show the variation of power output \( (\dot{W}) \), thermal efficiency \( (\eta) \), second law efficiency \( (\varphi) \), available work output \( (A) \), exergy destruction and the internal irreversibility parameter \( (I) \) of the Brayton cycle with regard to pressure rate. The optimum compression rate for the Brayton cycle was detected as 8.659. It is seen that with the increase of the compression rate, available work output and power output rise up to the optimum compression rate, then to decrease. Thermal efficiency and second law efficiency increases, while, exergy destruction and the internal irreversibility parameter decrease. The decrease of exergy destruction is directly proportional since entropy production is the result of irreversibility.

**Figure 13.** Effect of \( \nu \) (pressure ratio) on the \( A \) (available work output for irreversible Brayton cycle), \( W \) (power output) and \( \text{ExD} \) (exergy destruction) for irreversible Brayton engine.

**Figure 14.** Effect of \( \nu \) (pressure ratio) on the \( \eta \) (first law efficiency), \( \varphi \) (second law efficiency) and \( I \) (internal irreversibility parameter) for irreversible Brayton cycle.
3.5. Irreversible Stirling and Ericsson Engines

For numerical calculations, the powering parameters of the Stirling—Ericsson cycle are as follows: $T_{L,SE} = 350 \text{ K}$, $\alpha_{SE} = 3$, $m_s = 0.5 \text{ kg/s}$, $\theta = 5.34$, $R = 0.287 \text{ kJ/kgK}$, $T_{H,SE} = 1000 \text{ K}$, $T_{L,SE} = 300 \text{ K}$. Figures 15–18 show the variation of power output ($\bar{W}$), thermal efficiency ($\eta$), second law efficiency ($\phi$), available work output ($A$), exergy destruction and the internal irreversibility parameter ($I$) of Stirling-Ericsson cycle with regard to compression rate. The optimum compression and pressure rates of the Stirling-Ericsson cycle were detected as 3.629. It is seen that with the increase of pressure and compression rates, available work output and power output to the optimum compression rate and then start to decrease. In addition to that, exergy destruction decrease while evaporator compression or pressure ratio. It can be seen that thermal efficiency ($\eta$) and second law efficiency ($\phi$) decrease with compression or pressure ratio. On the contrary, internal irreversibility parameter rises up with compression or pressure ratio. Reason of decreasing at thermal efficiency, second law efficiency and increasing at the exergy destruction is to rise of internal irreversibility. Because, increasing at the internal irreversibility causes the entropy generation.

**Figure 15.** Effect of $\varepsilon$ (compression ratio) on the $A$ (available work output for irreversible Stirling engine), $W$ (power output) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible Stirling engine.

**Figure 16.** Effect of $\varepsilon$ (compression ratio) on the $\eta$ (first law efficiency), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) and $I$ (internal irreversibility parameter) for irreversible Stirling engine.
**Figure 17.** Effect of $\nu$ (pressure ratio) on the A (available work output for irreversible Ericsson engine), W (power output) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible Ericsson engine.

**Figure 18.** Effect of $\nu$ (pressure ratio) on the $\eta$ (first law efficiency), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) and I (internal irreversibility parameter) irreversible Ericsson engine.

### 3.6. Irreversible Heat Pump and Refrigeration Cycles

For numerical calculations, the powering parameters of the heat pump and refrigeration cycle are as follows: $T_{H,hr} = 300$ K, $T_{L,hr} = 290$ K, $\phi_{E,hr} = 1$ kW/K and, $\phi_{C,hr} = 1$ kW/K. Figure 19 show the variation of power input ($\dot{W}$), Available power input ($\dot{A}$) and exergy destruction of heat pump cycle with regard to condenser temperature. It can be seen in Figures 19 that available power input decreases with condenser temperature linearly. Same graphic shows exergy destruction, power input heating load of the heat pump cycle increased. In Figure 20, coefficient of performance increase linearly, while second law efficiency decrease with condenser temperature logarithmically. In Figure 21 show the variation of power input ($\dot{W}$), Available power input ($\dot{A}$) and exergy destruction of heat pump with regard to evaporator temperature. With the increase in evaporator temperature exergy destruction, power input, heat load in the heat pump cycle decreasing logarithmically. Available work input increases until critical temperature condenser temperature and starts to decrease after that value. It can be seen that available work input has negative values for the heat pump cycle until 279 K. Because, exergy destruction is bigger than exergy input until this value. Assuming condenser temperature as 370 K, the optimum condenser temperature for the heat pump was detected as 284.234
K. In Figure 22, it can be seen that coefficient of performance (COP) increases, while second law efficiency ($\phi$) decreases with evaporator temperature for heat pump. Figure 23 shows that available power input decreases with condenser temperature linearly for refrigeration cycle and exergy destruction, power input of the refrigeration cycle increased, while cooling load is constant. In Figure 24, it can be seen that coefficient of performance increase linearly, while second law efficiency decrease with condenser temperature logarithmically for refrigeration cycle. In Figure 25, with the increase in evaporator temperature exergy destruction, power input, cooling load in the refrigeration cycle decreasing logarithmically. Available work input increases until optimum temperature condenser temperature and starts to decrease after that value. It can be seen that available work input has negative values for the both cycle until 279 K. Because, exergy destruction is bigger than exergy input until this value. Assuming condenser temperature as 370 K, the optimum condenser temperature for the refrigerator was detected as 284.234 K. Finally in Figure 26, it can be seen that coefficient of performance (COP) increases, while second law efficiency ($\phi$) decreases with evaporator temperature for refrigerator.

**Figure 19.** Effect of $T_C$ (condenser temperature) on the $Q_H$ (heating load), W (power input), A (available power input for irreversible heat pump) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible heat pump ($T_{E,hr} = 280$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).

**Figure 20.** Effect of $T_C$ (condenser temperature) on the COP (coefficient of performance), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) for irreversible heat pump ($T_{E,hr} = 280$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).
Figure 21. Effect of $T_E$ (evaporator temperature) on the $Q_H$ (heating load), $W$ (power input), $A$ (available power input for irreversible heat pump) and $ExD$ (exergy destruction) for irreversible heat pump ($T_{C,hr} = 370$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).

Figure 22. Effect of $T_E$ (evaporator temperature) on the $COP$ (coefficient of performance), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) for irreversible heat pump ($T_{C,hr} = 370$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).

Figure 23. Effect of $T_C$ (condenser temperature) on the $Q_L$ (cooling load), $W$ (power input), $A$ (available power input for irreversible refrigerator) and $ExD$ (exergy destruction) for irreversible refrigerator ($T_{E,hr} = 280$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).
Figure 24. Effect of $T_C$ (condenser temperature) on the COP (coefficient of performance), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) for irreversible refrigerator ($T_{E,hr} = 280$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).

Figure 25. Effect of $T_E$ (evaporator temperature) on the $Q_L$ (cooling load), $W$ (power input), $A$ (available power input for irreversible refrigerator) and ExD (exergy destruction) for irreversible refrigerator ($T_{C,hr} = 370$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$).

Figure 26. Effect of $T_E$ (evaporator temperature) on the COP (coefficient of performance), $\phi$ (second law efficiency) for irreversible refrigerator ($T_{C,hr} = 370$ K, $I_{hr} = 1.1$)
4. Conclusions

In this study, available work output or input optimization was made for all basic thermodynamic irreversible cycles and the results were discussed. All cycles were evaluated by taking exergy destruction, first and second law efficiencies, COP, power output or power input and internal irreversibility parameters into account.

Power generation cycles:

- For Rankine, Stirling and Ericsson cycles, which are external combustion engines, available work output and power output has the very close values. On the contrary, difference of these values is much higher at SI, CI and Brayton cycles.
- While available work output is maximum, power output is maximum too for the all power generation cycles.
- When optimization parameters are increased, first and second law efficiencies decrease and exergy destruction internal irreversibility parameter increase for Rankine, Stirling and Ericsson cycles.
- When optimization parameters are increased, first and second law efficiencies increase and exergy destruction internal irreversibility parameter decrease for SI, CI and Brayton cycles.
- It can be seen that $T_C$ parameter should be minimum for Rankine cycle to improve its performance.

Heat pump and refrigeration cycles:

- Both cycles’ work input, COP and exergy destruction increase with condenser temperature while available work output and second law efficiency decrease. In addition to those, heating load increase with condenser temperature for the heat pump and cooling load decreases for the refrigerator.
- Evaluating evaporator temperature for both cycle, cooling load for the refrigeration cycle heating load for the heat pump cycle, exergy destructions and second law efficiencies diminish with evaporator temperature, while available work outputs and COP rise up. This point of view, it can be said that evaporator temperature should be at low temperature. available power input.

In addition those, it was determined thermodynamically limits of all cycles in this paper. Because, figures drew for operation ranges of cycles, that’s why, it is impossible thermodynamically that cycles can’t be operated out of this limits. By regarding the results presented within the scope of this study, the actual thermodynamic cycles to be used were aimed to be designed with the least exergy destruction and as environmental friendly as possible.
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