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Fluence-dependent femtosecond quasiparticle and Eu$^{2+}$ spin relaxation dynamics in EuFe$_2$(As,P)$_2$
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We investigated temperature- and fluence-dependent dynamics of the time-resolved optical reflectivity in undoped spin-density-wave (SDW) and doped superconducting (SC) EuFe$_2$(As,P)$_2$ with emphasis on the ordered Eu$^{2+}$ spin temperature region. The data indicate that in EuFe$_2$(As,P)$_2$ the SDW order coexists at low temperature with the SC and Eu$^{2+}$-ferromagnetic order. Increasing the excitation fluence leads to a slow thermal suppression of the Eu$^{2+}$ spin order due to the crystal-lattice heating on a nanosecond time scale while the SDW order is suppressed nonthermally on a subpicosecond time scale at a higher fluence.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the iron-based superconductors family [1,2] EuFe$_2$(As,P)$_2$ [3] and Eu(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$ [4] offer an interesting experimental possibility to study the competition between the ferromagnetic (FM) and superconducting (SC) order parameters that can lead to nonuniform magnetic and SC states [4–7], since the optimal critical temperature $T_c \sim 28$ K [8] is comparable to the Eu$^{2+}$ spin ordering temperatures $T_M \sim 20$ K [3,9].

While no coherent picture of Eu$^{2+}$ spin ordering upon P or Co doping exists [4,10–12], a pure FM ordering [12] coexisting with superconductivity was reported by Nandi et al. [12] in EuFe$_2$(As$_{0.85}$P$_{0.15}$)$_2$. Our recent transient magneto-optical spectroscopy study [13] also points towards the simple FM Eu$^{2+}$ spin order in the superconducting EuFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ with a slow energy transfer between the FeAs-plane quasiparticles and Eu$^{2+}$ spins indicating a weak magnetic-dipole dominated coupling between the SC and FM order parameters.

Here we extend our previous transient reflectivity study [13] first focusing briefly on the spin-density-wave dominated part of the phase diagram followed by a study of the superconducting phase-diagram region at varying excitation density to study a suppression of the coexistent orders on an ultrafast time scale.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Samples

Single crystals of EuFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ were grown by the flux method, similar to the previous report [14]. Small Eu chunks and powders of Fe, As, and P (Alfa Aesar, $>99.9\%$) were mixed together in the molar ratio of Eu:Fe:As:P = 1:5:5(1-x):5x (x is the nominal P content) and sealed in an evacuated quartz ampule. After heating the mixture up to 973 K for 24 h, the obtained precursor was thoroughly ground before being loaded into an alumina crucible. The crucible was then sealed in a quartz ampule. After heating the mixture up to 973 K for 5 h, the furnace was cooled down to 1223 K at the rate of 5 K/h, followed by switching off the furnace. Large crystals with size up to 4×4×0.6 mm$^3$ could be harvested.

The as-grown crystals were characterized by x-ray diffraction, which showed good crystallinity as well as the single “122” phase. The exact composition of the crystals was determined by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy affiliated to a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FEI Model SIRION). The measurement precision was better than 5% for the elements measured.

The out-of-plane magnetic susceptibilities shown in Fig. 1 are consistent with previous results [11,15]. From the susceptibility we infer Eu$^{2+}$ spin ordering temperatures $T_N = 19$ K and $T_C = 17.6$ K in EuFe$_2$As$_2$ (Eu-122) and EuFe$_2$(As$_{0.81}$P$_{0.19}$)$_2$ (EuP-122), respectively. EuP-122 also shows the onset of superconductivity at $T_c = 22.7$ K.

B. Optical setup

Measurements of the photoinduced reflectivity, $\Delta R/R$, were performed using the standard pump-probe technique, with 50-fs optical pulses from a 250-kHz Ti:Al$_2$O$_3$ regenerative amplifier seeded with an Ti:Al$_2$O$_3$ oscillator. We used the pump photons with both the laser fundamental ($\hbar\omega_{pr} = 1.55$ eV) and the doubled ($\hbar\omega_{pr} = 3.1$ eV) photon energy, and the probe photons with the laser fundamental $\hbar\omega_{pr} = 1.55$ eV photon energy. When using the doubled photon energy the scattered pump photons were rejected by long-pass filtering, while an analyzer oriented perpendicularly to the pump beam polarization was used for rejection in the case of the degenerate pump and probe photon energies. The pump and probe beams were nearly perpendicular to the cleaved sample surface (001) with polarizations perpendicular to each other and oriented with respect to the crystals to obtain the maximum/minimum amplitude of the subpicosecond $\Delta R/R$ at low temperatures. The pump beam diameters were, depending on experimental conditions, in a 50–100-μm range with somewhat smaller probe beam diameters.

III. RESULTS

A. Anisotropy of the $\Delta R/R$ transients

At low temperatures we observe a twofold rotational anisotropy of the response with respect to the probe
polarization at both doping levels. In the absence of information about the in-plane crystal axes orientation we denote the probe-polarization orientation according to the polarity of the observed subpicosecond low-\(T\) response as \(\mathcal{P}^+\) and \(\mathcal{P}^-\).

In Eu-122 we found a significant variation of the anisotropy, as well as the transients shape, across the sample surface. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 2, there is almost no variation of the \(\mathcal{P}^+\), \(\mathcal{P}^-\) averaged transients indicating that the anisotropy variation is due to the twin domain structure on the length scale of the probe-beam diameter of \(\sim 50\ \mu\text{m}\). For all other measurements we therefore measured the single domain response by choosing the position on the sample surface with maximal anisotropy.

**B. Response in the SDW state**

1. Experimental data

In Fig. 3 we show \(\Delta R/R\) transients at a few characteristic temperatures for both samples. Starting at \(T = 300\ \text{K}\) we observe subpicosecond isotropic transients in both samples consistent with previous results in related iron-based pnic
tides [16–18]. With decreasing \(T\) the twofold anisotropy appears below \(\sim 250\ \text{K}\) in Eu-122 and \(\sim 190\ \text{K}\) in Eu-122. The appearance of the anisotropy is followed by a strong increase of the amplitude of the subpicosecond response peaking near the onset of the Fe\(-d\) SDW order at 188 K in Eu-122 and at significantly lower \(T \approx 35\ \text{K}\) in EuP-122 as shown in Fig. 4. The initial-picosecond-relaxation decay time, obtained from double-exponential fits shown in Fig. 3, shows a divergent-like peak at the magnetostructural SDW transition in Eu-122 while in Eu-122 it only shows a plateau with no peak, concurrent with the amplitude maximum around \(\sim 35\ \text{K}\). In Eu-122 the

**FIG. 2.** Variation of the transients across the sample surface at \(T = 1.5\ \text{K}\) and 1.5-eV pump-photon energy in EuFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [(a), (b), (c)] and EuFe\(_2\)(As\(_{0.98}\)P\(_{0.02}\)) [ (d), (e), (f)]. Black and red lines are the transients for \(\mathcal{P}^+\) and \(\mathcal{P}^-\) probe polarizations, respectively, while green lines are the corresponding averages. The pump fluences used were \(\mathcal{F} \sim 10\ \mu\text{J/cm}^2\) [(a)] and \(\mathcal{F} \sim 3\ \mu\text{J/cm}^2\) [(b)–(f)].

**FIG. 3.** Photoinduced reflectivity transients at representative temperatures at \(\mathcal{F} \sim 10\ \mu\text{J/cm}^2\) and 3.1-eV pump photon energy in EuFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [(a), (b)] and EuFe\(_2\)(As\(_{0.98}\)P\(_{0.02}\)) [(c), (d)]. Top and bottom panels correspond to \(\mathcal{P}^+\) and \(\mathcal{P}^-\) polarizations, respectively. The thin lines are the finite-excitation-pulsewidth double-exponential relaxation fits [16].

**FIG. 4.** The relaxation time [(a), (c)] and amplitude [(b), (d)] of the sub-picosecond response as a function of temperature in EuFe\(_2\)As\(_2\) [(a), (b)] and EuFe\(_2\)(As\(_{0.98}\)P\(_{0.02}\)) [(c), (d)] at \(\mathcal{F} \sim 10\ \mu\text{J/cm}^2\) and 3.1-eV pump photon energy. The gray lines are the bottleneck model [16,19] fits discussed in text.

**FIG. 1.** Magnetic moment along the \(c\) axis as a function of temperature for both field cooled (FC) and zero-field cooled (ZFC) cases.
TABLE I. The SDW charge gap magnitudes and the relative effective number of involved bosons as obtained from the fits to the data, described in detail in Ref. [19] and shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d).

| Sample                  | $2\Delta(0)/k_B T_{SDW}$ | $g_{ph}$ |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| EuFe$_2$As$_2$          | 12 ± 7                    | 2.2 ± 1  |
| EuFe$_2$(As$_{0.1}$P$_{0.19}$)$_2$ | 5 ± 1                     | 2.9 ± 1.7|

initial picosecond relaxation also appears almost twice faster than in EuP-122.

2. Discussion

The initial fast relaxation in the undoped SDW state has been analysed previously in terms of the magnon-bottleneck model [16,17,19]. The data in Eu-122 are consistent with our broadband probe results on the same samples [19], with the bottleneck-fit parameters shown in Table I.

The $T$-dependence relaxation in doped EuP-122 is qualitatively similar to Eu-122 suggesting the presence of the magnetostructural SDW transition at $T_{SDW} \sim 35$ K, consistent with the reported phase diagrams [8,12,20]. The decrease of the relative charge gap magnitude $2\Delta(0)/k_B T_{SDW} = 6.2$ with SDW suppression upon P doping is similar as in the Ba(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$ [16]. Despite the fact that the effective SDW induced charge gap magnitude in EuP-122 ($2\Delta(0) \approx 5$ meV, see Table I) falls well into the phonon energy range no significant increase of the relative number of bottleneck bosons is observed, suggesting that the electron-phonon coupling in the vicinity of the SDW induced gap is weak.

In both samples we observe similarly to Ba(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$ [16] a fourfold symmetry breaking at temperatures significantly exceeding the corresponding magnetostructural transition temperatures which we associate with nematic fluctuations [21–23].

C. Response related to Eu$^{2+}$ spin ordering

Concurrently with the Eu$^{2+}$ spin ordering [10,24] below $\sim$17–19 K we observe [13] two additional much slower relaxation component with a rise time of $\sim$1 ns in Eu-122 and $\sim$100 ps in EuP-122 (at $T = 1.5$ K) and the decay time beyond the experimental delay range (see Fig. 3). In Eu-122 the slow component is rather anisotropic, while in EuP-122 it appears almost isotropic at the chosen pump fluence.

Since the $T$ and $B$ dependence of this component have been already analyzed and discussed previously [13] we omit further details here, focusing on further aspects of our data not discussed elsewhere.

1. Pump fluence dependence

The pump fluence dependence of the response at low $T$ in Eu-122 is shown in Fig. 5. While the subpicosecond response shows only a slightly sublinear $F$ dependence (see Fig. 6) with almost $F$-independent subpicosecond relaxation time in the full fluence range, the nanosecond part of the response shows a clear sublinear $F$ dependence already at $F = 10 \mu J/cm^2$. The saturation above $F = 100 \mu J/cm^2$ is concurrent with an increase of the rise time beyond the experimental delay range of 1.7 ns. Interestingly, independently of $F$ the rise time of the subpicosecond component have been analysed previously in terms of the magnon-bottleneck.

FIG. 5. The low-$F$ transients in EuFe$_2$As$_2$ at different fluences for $P^+$ (a) and $P^-$ (b) probe polarizations and 1.55-eV pump photon energy. To emphasize the low-$F$ region the $F$-normalized transients from panels (a) and (b) are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. The arrows indicate the direction of increasing fluence. Note that the overlap of the $F$-normalized scans indicates a linear dependence.

FIG. 6. The amplitude of the short delay extrema and the relative number of bottleneck bosons is observed, suggesting that the electron-phonon coupling in the vicinity of the SDW induced gap is weak.

conclusion.
an increase of the signal with zero crossing to an intermediate value on a time scale of ∼2 ps. This is followed by a partial decay on a ∼10-ps time scale with no further decay within our time window [see Fig. 7(d)]. With increasing \( F \) the initial increase becomes slower with zero crossing moving beyond ∼100 ps, while the 10-ps partial decay vanishes above \( F \sim 5 \mu J/cm^2 \).

For the \( \mathcal{P}^+ \) polarization the initial-few-ps response at low \( F \) is similar to the \( \mathcal{P}^- \) polarization response with the opposite sign [see Fig. 7(e)]. At longer delays, beyond ∼10 ps, however, the slow (nanosecond) component is observed causing a second zero crossing. The rise time of the nanosecond component (∼200 ps at the lowest \( F \)) increases beyond the experimental delay range with increasing \( F \). As a result, \( \Delta R/R \) appears rather isotropic at long delays.

The nanosecond response is linear in \( F \) up to ∼10\( \mu J/cm^2 \) at \( T = 1.5 \) K showing saturation with increasing \( F \) (see inset to Fig. 8). The amplitude of the initial fast relaxation saturates above \( F \sim 50 \mu J/cm^2 \). Concurrently it slows down (Fig. 7) and starts to overlap with the onset of the nanosecond one.

Above \( F \sim 100 \mu J/cm^2 \) the shape of the nanosecond response shows an apparent qualitative change. The zero crossings to positive values of the transient reflectivity shift for both polarizations to delays beyond our experimental time-scale window. As a result, a negative nanosecond-time-scale transient reflectivity is observed in both polarizations.

2. Discussion

On the basis of a detailed temperature and magnetic field dependence we have shown previously [13] that the nanosecond component can be associated with \( \text{Eu}^{2+} \) spin demagnetization in both the AFM and FM states. The saturation of the nanosecond response at high excitation fluences can therefore be associated with a complete suppression of the \( \text{Eu}^{2+} \) sublattice magnetizations due to the lattice temperature rise above the magnetic ordering temperatures. Taking into account the heat capacity [25] and optical [26] data we estimate [27] that the surface transient temperature rise due to the photoexcitation is ∼20 K at \( F = 10 \mu J/cm^2 \). This is consistent with the observed fluence dependence in EuP-122, where the departure from linearity, that is associated with the destruction of the \( \text{Eu}^{2+} \) magnetic order at the surface, is observed at similar fluences (see Figs. 6 and 8). The departure from linearity is followed by a complete saturation above \( F \sim 50 \mu J/cm^2 \) where the temperature within the complete probed volume exceeds the magnetic ordering \( T \). The exact shape of the saturation curve depends on the geometry and the optical penetration depth of the beams as discussed previously [28].

Thesaturation in Eu-122 is, despite the same geometry of the beams, observed above \( F \sim 150 \mu J/cm^2 \) only, which is ∼3 times higher than in EuP-122. At this fluence the peak surface lattice temperature is estimated to be \( T_{TH} \sim 70 \) K. Deeper in the sample at one probe penetration depth \( T_{TH} \sim 40 \) K still significantly exceeds \( T_N \). The reason for the difference could be associated with the slower rise time in Eu-122 that prevented us from measuring the true amplitude of the response. Instead, the \( \Delta R/R \) value at the longest delay was measured, which is still on the rising part of the signal, and depends on both the amplitude of the response and the rate of the magnetization suppression. It is reasonable to expect that the rate depends on \( T_{TH} \) and as a result on the excitation density also for \( T_{TH} > T_N \).

Let us now focus on the complex fluence dependence of the transients shape in the SC EuP-122. In the absence of an external magnetic field at an intermediate \( F \sim 10 \mu J/cm^2 \) the nanosecond component appears rather isotropic (see Fig. 3) while the anisotropy was previously [13] observed only in transverse magnetic field. The anisotropy on the ∼10-ps time scale observed at low excitation fluences in zero magnetic field (see Fig. 8) could therefore be a consequence of an interplay with another anisotropic component that saturates at a rather
FIG. 9. The isotropic (a) and anisotropic (b) transient components in EuFe$_2$(AS$_{0.33}$P$_{0.67}$)$_2$ at different fluences obtained from the data shown in Fig. 7. The $F$-normalized transients from panels (a) and (b) are shown in (c) and (d), respectively, to emphasize the low-$F$ region. Arrows indicate the direction of increasing fluence.

Since component AS is similar to a possible anisotropic CoFe$_2$As$_2$ component AS vanishes with increasing fluence, (Fig. 7). The anisotropic component of the low-$F$ response [Fig. 9(d)] is more complex consisting from a fast subpicosecond positive component, marked AF in Fig. 9(d), and a slower negative component spanning $1 \sim 100$ ps marked AS. Component AS is clearly observed only at low $F$.

While component AF can be related to the Fe-d SDW ordering present below $T_{SDW} \sim 35$ K in our sample the origin of component AS appears more elusive. In Ba(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$, a similar slowly relaxing anisotropic response was observed and clearly associated with superconductivity [16,29]. In optimally doped Ba(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$, this SC response is completely saturated above $F_{sat} \sim 2 \mu J/cm^2$ due to the nonthermal destruction of the SC state [16]. In underdoped Ba(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$ and Sm(Fe,Co)AsO [30], with lower $T_c$, $F_{sat}$ are even lower and the magnitudes of the SC response are smaller.

Since component AS is similar to a possible anisotropic SC response and the data in Figs. 9(b) and 9(d) suggest that $F^{sat}_{AS}$ is below 0.5 $\mu J/cm^2$, we measured $T$ dependence also at extremely low $F \sim 0.33 \mu J/cm^2$ as shown in Fig. 10. Component AS vanishes with increasing $T$ at $\sim 20$ K (see Fig. 11), significantly below the bulk $T_c$ (onset) of 22.7 K and rather close to $T_N \sim 18$ K. As a result, despite the similarity of component AS behavior to the SC response in Ba(Fe,Co)$_2$As$_2$, a firm [31] assignment of component AS to the SC response is not possible. However, in Sm(Fe,Co)AsO [30] a similar discrepancy between the bulk $T_c$ and the temperature at which the transient SC component vanishes was observed so a tentative assignment of the SC response to component AS in EuP-122 is plausible.

Component AF, which corresponds to the Fe-d SDW order, shows very similar saturation behavior to component IS with the linear $F$ dependence up to a slightly higher threshold fluence, $F_c^{SDW} \sim 20 \mu J/cm^2$. This fluence would correspond to a transient lattice heating of $T_{TH} \sim 25$ K, that is just slightly lower than the equilibrium SDW transition temperature [see Fig. 4(d)], $T_{SDW} \sim 35$ K. Due to a limited accuracy of the transient-heating estimate and the small lattice heat capacity in this $T$ range the small difference between $T_{TH}$ and $T_{SDW}$ is suggesting almost thermal destruction of the SDW order. However, by taking into account the fast subpicosecond $F$-independent rise time, a fast nonthermal subpicosecond melting [32] of the SDW order is more likely.

FIG. 10. Photoinduced reflectivity transients at low $T$ and extremely low $F$ in EuP-122 for $P^+$ (a) and $P^-$ (b) polarizations. The corresponding isotropic and anisotropic transient components are shown in (c) and (d), respectively. To reduce noise the traces were smoothed resulting in a reduction of the time resolution to $\sim 150$ fs.

FIG. 11. Temperature dependence of the amplitudes of the isotropic part at 600-ps delay and the anisotropic part at 3-ps delay from Fig. 10, where the dotted and dashed vertical lines indicate $T_{Curie}$ and $T_c$ (onset) obtained from the susceptibility, respectively. The blue dotted line is the ZFC susceptibility from Fig. 1.
The relaxation time of component AF increases with increasing fluence. At low fluences, where the SDW gap is not yet completely suppressed, we attribute it to the bottleneck-governed SDW order recovery dynamics [19], as in the undoped SDW iron pnictides [16,17,19]. At the fluences near and above the threshold the recovery slows down to tens of picoseconds near the threshold to beyond a few hundred picoseconds at the highest experimental fluence. On this time scale the bottleneck mechanism cannot be operative and the nematic lattice-strain dynamics, that was observed recently [33] to extend to several 100 ps near the magnetostructural transition temperature, might determine the characteristic time scale at these fluences. However, on the nanosecond time scale the heat diffusion out of the experimental volume also takes place. Since no clear evidence for a slow anisotropic dynamics is observed in the low-excitation-density response at higher fluences, near and above the threshold the recovery slows down to tens of picoseconds near the threshold to beyond a few hundred picoseconds at the highest experimental fluence. On this time scale the bottleneck-governed SDW order recovery dynamics [19], as initially proposed by Anderson and Suhl [4], further confirms the rather weak coupling [13] of the Eu$^{2+}$ spins to the rest of the system.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Transient optical reflectivity was investigated in EuFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ in the undoped, x = 0, SDW and doped, x = 0.19, SC state samples as a function of the excitation fluence. The characteristic anisotropic subpicosecond transient reflectivity response indicates the presence of the SDW order below $T_{SDW} \sim 35$ K also in the x = 0.19 SC sample suggesting a coexistence of Fe-d-SDW, SC, and Eu$^{2+}$ – FM orders. At both dopings a characteristic bottleneck behavior of the fast picosecond transient reflectivity response due to a partial charge gap present in the SDW state was observed, consistent with previous results [19].

With increasing excitation pulse fluence the saturation of different transient reflectivity components indicates a suppression of the SC order below $\sim 1 \mu J/cm^2$, followed by a suppression of the Eu$^{2+}$ – FM order at $\sim 10 \mu J/cm^2$ (at $T = 1.5$ K). The SDW order is suppressed in the x = 0.19 SC sample at $\sim 20 \mu J/cm^2$ while no suppression is evident in the undoped x = 0 sample up to $250 \mu J/cm^2$.

While the suppression of the Eu$^{2+}$ – FM order appears to be thermal due to the slow time scale and the lattice temperature rise above the respective magnetic ordering temperatures, the SDW order suppression in the x = 0.19 SC sample is nonthermal on a subpicosecond time scale with the peak lattice temperature reaching $\sim 10$ K below $T_{SDW}$.

The slow thermal suppression of the Eu$^{2+}$ – FM order further confirms the rather weak coupling [13] of the Eu$^{2+}$ spins to the rest of the system.
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