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Aims
Pluripotent stem cell-derived endothelial cell products possess therapeutic potential in ischaemic vascular disease. However, the factors that drive endothelial differentiation from pluripotency and cellular specification are largely unknown. The aims of this study were to use single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to map the transcriptional landscape and cellular dynamics of directed differentiation of human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells (hESC-EC) and to compare these cells to mature endothelial cells from diverse vascular beds.

Methods and results
A highly efficient directed 8-day differentiation protocol was used to generate a hESC-derived endothelial cell product (hESC-ECP), in which 66% of cells co-expressed CD31 and CD144. We observed largely homogeneous hESC and mesodermal populations at Days 0 and 4, respectively, followed by a rapid emergence of distinct endothelial and mesenchymal populations. Pseudotime trajectory identified transcriptional signatures of endothelial commitment and maturation during the differentiation process. Concordance in transcriptional signatures was verified by scRNA-seq analysis using both a second hESC line RC11, and an alternative hESC-EC differentiation protocol. In total, 105 727 cells were subjected to scRNA-seq analysis. Global transcriptional comparison revealed a transcriptional architecture of hESC-EC that differs from freshly isolated and cultured human endothelial cells and from organ-specific endothelial cells.

Conclusion
A transcriptional bifurcation into endothelial and mesenchymal lineages was identified, as well as novel transcriptional signatures underpinning commitment and maturation. The transcriptional architecture of hESC-ECP was distinct from mature and foetal human EC.
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Translational perspective

Ischaemic conditions contribute substantially to global mortality and morbidity. Human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells (hESC-EC) offer a promising strategy to promote therapeutic angiogenesis in tissue ischaemia. Our good manufacturing practice (GMP) compliant protocol for the generation of hESC-EC promotes therapeutic angiogenesis in vivo. However, knowledge of the transcriptional dynamics of hESC-EC differentiation is currently limited. Here, we apply single-cell RNA sequencing to two hESC differentiation protocols. We characterize the transcriptional dynamics of hESC-EC, important for informing how to drive controlled angiogenesis, endothelial cell maturation, and specification. We also evaluate the cellular heterogeneity at each stage using a hESC-EC differentiation protocol that is relevant to clinical cell therapy.

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease remains the most common cause of mortality globally despite improved pharmacological and interventional options. For example, current interventions for critical limb ischaemia are unsuccessful, with 43% of patients requiring limb amputation within 5 years. Similarly, for myocardial infarction 25% of patients still progress to heart failure owing to the overburdening of the remaining viable myocardium.

Consequently, using stem-cell therapies to promote vascular regeneration is a promising strategy for treating ischaemic disease. However, despite studies yielding positive results in small animal models of ischaemia, the efficacy of using such cells in human trials has been disappointing, providing limited benefit.

While many protocols have tested autologous cells, a number of studies have recently assessed the use of pluripotent cells in relevant animal models and more recently clinically. As such, derivation and production of therapeutic cells from pluripotent sources are required using an efficient and GMP compliant protocol. Transplantation of pluripotent stem cell-derived endothelial cells (PSC-EC) has been demonstrated to promote therapeutic angiogenesis in a range of small animal models of ischaemia. However, differentiation protocols are limited by their low efficiency resulting in substantial and uncharacterized heterogeneity within the final cell population.

We recently reported a rapid and efficient protocol for production of a regenerative human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cell product (hESC-ECP), adapted from the protocol of Patsch et al. The protocol consistently yields CD31+/CD144+ cells comprising 60% of the population, with the remaining cells expressing pericyte and mesenchymal markers and, crucially, exhibiting no residual pluripotency. When injected into ischaemic muscle, a robust pro-angiogenic response was evident across a range of mouse models. Despite the expression of mature endothelial cell (EC) markers by cells from the hESC-ECP, it is likely that these cells remain transcriptionally immature and are non-specified further into arterial, venous, or lymphatic lineages.

Comprehensive characterization of the transcriptional dynamics throughout differentiation is a crucial step towards understanding the key molecular regulators and mechanisms governing commitment and maturation of EC. Additionally, defining the temporal changes in pluripotent and angiogenic-related genes may provide insight into the safety and functional efficacy of these cells. Recent studies of cardiac and liver differentiation have demonstrated that longitudinal application of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) permits tracking of transcriptional changes throughout differentiation at single-cell resolution.

A recent study used scRNA-seq to attempt to understand the directed differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells-derived EC, yet the final cell population was highly heterogeneous, consisting of fewer than 10% EC and co-populated by cardiac-like and hepatic-like cells. Development of droplet-based scRNA-seq platforms has enabled the sequencing of thousands of cells in parallel, and the rigorous unbiased modelling of cellular heterogeneity. Consequently, application of these platforms for characterizing human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells (hESC-EC) has clear advantages over previous studies that surveyed fewer than 100 cells.

In this study, we sought to use scRNA-seq to dissect the cellular heterogeneity and transcriptional dynamics of hESC-EC differentiation and maturation, utilizing alternative hESC lines and two different differentiation protocols. Maturity and specification of hESC-EC following their initial commitment were assessed throughout the process by comparison to foetal and adult endothelial cells from various sources. We also aim to evaluate the clinical suitability of our hESC-ECP by comparison to the products of an alternative differentiation.

Methods

Human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cell differentiation

H9 and RC11 hESC lines were differentiated to hESC-ECP using our previously reported protocol. Human ESC lines were used in accordance with the UK Stem Cell Bank Steering Committee guidelines (Project Approvals SCS11-51 and SCSC17-26). Briefly, hESC were plated on a fibronectin matrix at Day 0 (d0). At d1, lateral mesoderm was induced with GSK3 inhibitor (CHIR99021) (1 mM) and BMP4 (25 ng/mL) added to N2B27/Neurobasal/DMEM:F12 media. This was followed by endothelial cell induction at d4 with Forskolin (2 lM) and VEGF (200 ng/mL) in StemPro34 media. In the final step, cells were replated and cultured until d8 or d12 with human AB serum (1%).

In the alternative differentiation protocol H9 hESC were differentiated to hESC-ECP as described by Zhang et al. In summary, hESC were plated as single cells on a vitronectin matrix and cultured in E8 media supplemented with BMP4 (5 ng/mL), Activin A (25 ng/mL), and CHIR99021 (1 mM). From d2 to d6, media was changed to E8 media supplemented with FGF (100 ng/mL), VEGF (50 ng/mL), SB431542 (10 μM), RESV (resveratrol) (5 μM), and L690 (10 μM). Cells were harvested for scRNA-seq at d2, d4, and d6.
Single-cell RNA sequencing library construction
Following determination of their number and viability, dissociated cells were loaded into the 10X Chromium Controller. Library construction was performed using the Single Cell 3’ Reagent Kit. To summarize, in reaction vesicles (gel beads in emulsion, GEMs), cells were lysed and bar-coded oligonucleotides reverse transcribed before clean-up and cDNA amplification. The Chromium Single-Cell 3’ Library Kit was then used to generate indexed sequencing libraries. Library sequencing was performed using either Illumina HiSeq 4000 or Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platforms. Recovery rates ranged from between 3778 and 10 053 cells, with the number of reads per cell ranging between 35 630 and 119 015 (Supplementary material online, Table S1).

Single-cell RNA sequencing data analysis
Raw reads were processed using the 10X CellRanger pipeline to align these to the reference transcriptome (GRCh38) and to generate gene-cell count matrices. Initial QC and clustering was performed with the aid of Seurat version 2.2.1. In an attempt to remove dead or falsely identified cells, as well as doublets, cells either expressing fewer than 250 genes, having a UMI count greater than 10 000, or having greater than 15% of reads mapping to mitochondrial genes were excluded from further analysis. Following data normalization and scaling to remove unwanted sources of variation principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using genes with highly variable expression. Seurat graph-based clustering was then applied followed by tSNE allowing the visualization of identified clusters in tSNE plots. Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis was then used to identify significantly differentially expressed genes within each cluster using the Wilcoxon test for significance (adjusted P value <0.05) and a loge(FC) greater than 0.25. Signature scores were calculated by taking the mean of the scaled and centred expression values across multiple signature genes (Supplementary material online, Methods).

Pseudotime trajectories of differentiation were generated using the Monocle R package v.2.6.4. Pseudotime analysis proceeds on the basis that cells undergo biological processes in an asynchronous manner, and thus that cells can be ordered along a calculated trajectory to infer the transcriptional changes throughout the process. Genes that were most differentially expressed (q < 10−5) in identified clusters were used to assign pseudotime values to individual cells. Differentially expressed genes across pseudotime were identified using the differentialGeneTest command in Monocle. RNA velocity of individual cells was calculated using the VelocityR R package (V0.6.0). RNA velocity analysis determined the fraction of spliced-to-unspliced reads to predict the future transcriptional changes of variation principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to remove unwanted sources of variation. Following data normalization and scaling to remove unwanted sources of variation principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using genes with highly variable expression. Seurat graph-based clustering was then applied followed by tSNE allowing the visualization of identified clusters in tSNE plots. Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis was then used to identify significantly differentially expressed genes within each cluster using the Wilcoxon test for significance (adjusted P value <0.05) and a loge(FC) greater than 0.25. Signature scores were calculated by taking the mean of the scaled and centred expression values across multiple signature genes (Supplementary material online, Methods).

Pseudotime trajectories of differentiation were generated using the Monocle R package v.2.6.4. Pseudotime analysis proceeds on the basis that cells undergo biological processes in an asynchronous manner, and thus that cells can be ordered along a calculated trajectory to infer the transcriptional changes throughout the process. Genes that were most differentially expressed (q < 10−5) in identified clusters were used to assign pseudotime values to individual cells. Differentially expressed genes across pseudotime were identified using the differentialGeneTest command in Monocle. RNA velocity of individual cells was calculated using the VelocityR R package (V0.6.0). RNA velocity analysis determined the fraction of spliced-to-unspliced reads to predict the future transcriptional changes of variation principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted using genes with highly variable expression. Seurat graph-based clustering was then applied followed by tSNE allowing the visualization of identified clusters in tSNE plots. Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis was then used to identify significantly differentially expressed genes within each cluster using the Wilcoxon test for significance (adjusted P value <0.05) and a loge(FC) greater than 0.25. Signature scores were calculated by taking the mean of the scaled and centred expression values across multiple signature genes (Supplementary material online, Methods).

Results
Longitudinal Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis reveals the emergence of committed endothelial and mesenchymal lineages from a homogeneous mesodermal population
Human embryonic stem cells (H9) underwent an 8-day differentiation protocol via a mesodermal stage to form a hESC-EC product (Figure 1A). At Day 8, two-thirds (65.7%) of the cells were CD31+/CD144+ with fewer than 0.05% co-expressing pluripotent markers SSEA4/TRA-181 as shown by flow cytometry (Figure 1B). Single-cell transcriptomics data were obtained from 21 369 cells harvested at four time points across the differentiation protocol using droplet-based microfluidic technology (Figure 1A).

Cellular heterogeneity was characterized at each time point using PCA. The starting hESC population (d0) was largely homogeneous: 99.7% and 95.9% of cells expressed pluripotent markers POU5F1 (OCT4) and SOX2, respectively (Supplementary material online, Figure S1A).

At Day 4 (d4), 97.4% of cells expressed lateral mesoderm marker HAND1 (Figure 1C). The paucity of cells expressing early and late endothelial markers [KDR (5.4%) and PECAM1 (0.16%), respectively] demonstrated that this population was not pre-determined to an endothelial lineage (Figure 1C). In cluster d4-B, reduced expression of MIXL1 (Figure 1C) coupled to a higher expression of bone marrow stromal cell marker BST2 (Supplementary material online, Figure S1B), suggested pre-disposition to a mesenchymal fate.

In contrast, cells at Day 6 (d6) and Day 8 (d8) were dichotomized into two divergent populations (Figure 1C). The first was characterized by expression of endothelial markers (KDR and PECAM1), and the second by mesenchymal markers such as ACTA2 (Figure 1C). Residual heterogeneity within both populations at either d6 or d8 reflects cell cycle variation, indicated by MKI67 expression (Figure 1C). Cluster d8-F (3.2%) likely represented a doublet cluster owing to the expression of both mesenchymal and endothelial markers, whilst lacking unique markers (Supplementary material online, Figure S1D).

By d8, fewer than 0.5% of cells expressed either POU5F1 or SOX2 with no cells co-expressing both markers (Supplementary material online, Figure S2), confirming the absence of residual pluripotency. Mesodermal markers MIXL1 and HAND1 were expressed in 12% and 14% of d8 cells, respectively (Supplementary material online, Figure S2) confirming a non-mesodermal population.

At Day 8, hESC-EC and mesenchymal populations expressed angiogenesis associated genes such as TGFβ1, HIF1A, and FLT1 suggesting contribution to the pro-angiogenic effect (Supplementary material online, Figure S3). Other pro-angiogenic markers, such as TIE1 and ANGPT2, were highly expressed in the endothelial lineage whilst TGFβ2 and FGF1 were primarily expressed within mesenchymal clusters.

To investigate phenotypical changes beyond d8, we repeated the differentiation and processed an additional 13 657 H9 cells at d8 and d12. Separation of endothelial and mesenchymal populations at Day 8 was replicated in both rounds of differentiation as well as in a previous replicate (n = 3) (Supplementary material online, Figure S4). Distinct endothelial and mesenchymal populations were still evident at Day 12 (Figure 1D). The proportion of endothelial cells in cycle decreased from 49.6% at d8 to 23.1% at d12 (D12-B). No cluster representing cycling cells was identified in the d12 mesenchymal population.

Genes that were differentially expressed in cluster D8-E (such as ENPP2, TIE1, and CLDN5) showed elevated expression in hESC-EC at d12 in comparison to at d8 (Figure 1E). This is consistent with the observed increase in cell confluency (Supplementary material online, Figure S5). Furthermore, Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis revealed extracellular matrix organization to be a significantly
Figure 1 Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of human embryonic stem cells-derived endothelial cell product differentiation at individual time points. (A) Schematic of human embryonic stem cells-derived endothelial cell product differentiation and single-cell RNA sequencing pipeline. (B) Representative flow cytometric analysis of cells taken at Day 8 (human embryonic stem cells-derived endothelial cell product). Cells stained for endothelial (CD31 and CD144) (right panels), pluripotent markers (TRA-181 and SSEA-4) (left panels), and their corresponding isotype controls. (C) tSNE plots, constructed separately, using data from cells sampled at Days 4, 6, and 8 for single-cell RNA sequencing. Feature plots (right) show key marker gene expression for each population. (D) tSNE plot constructed from d12 data from a separate round of H9 differentiation. (E) Violin plots showing expression (ln(UMI+1)) of angiogenic and cell contact related genes in d8 and d12 human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells. (F) Gene Ontology term analysis conducted using genes significantly differentially expressed within d12 human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells in comparison to d8 human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells.
enriched term (Figure 1F). At each time point, hESC-ECs predominan-
tly expressed arterial markers as opposed to venous or lymphatic
ECs (Supplementary material online, Figure S6).

Longitudinal single-cell RNA sequencing analysis reveals rapid endothelial
differentiation and subsequent maturation following a bifurcation point

In order to characterize the transcriptional changes throughout differ-
entiation, data from all time points were combined and normalized
(see Methods section) prior to conducting PCA and data visualization
t(SNE). Cells from d0 and d4 each formed a separate cluster, where-
as d6 and d8 cells separate into endothelial or mesenchymal populat-
tions (Figure 2A). To trace transcriptional dynamics associated with
each identified cell type, expression values of pluripotent, mesoderm,
mesenchymal, and endothelial markers were used to calculate a
score for each of the four signatures for each individual cell (see
Supplementary material online, Methods). As expected, 100% of d0
cells were positive for the pluripotent signature (Figure 2B and C),
whereas virtually no d4 cells were positive for this signature.
Similarly, d4 cells were mainly positive for the mesodermal signature
(Figure 2B and C) with enriched expression of APLNR (Supplementary
material online, Figure S7). Increased endothelial and mesenchymal
signature scores for d6 and d8 within their respective populations
suggested that both cell types have matured further following initial
specification (Figure 2B and C).

The concept of RNA velocity can be used to predict future tran-
scriptional states, taking advantage of changes in read fractions in
exonic vs. intronic sequence.18 RNA velocities in Figure 2E are repre-
sented by the size and direction of arrows. The paucity of vectors
with large magnitude and coherent direction associated with d0 and
d4 cells is indicative of their equilibrium state (Figure 2E). Vectors
associated with either endothelial or mesenchymal cell clusters in-
dicated the direction of cell type maturity. The larger vectors for the
d8 mesenchymal cell cluster, compared with the d8 endothelial clus-
ter, is consistent with the latter reaching a steadier equilibrium state
following initial maturation (Figure 2E).

Early (d6) and late (d8) EC and mesenchymal populations exhibit
high transcriptional similarity (Figure 2D). Nevertheless, higher ex-
pression of transcription factor (TF) SNAI2 was observed in the early
(d6) mesenchymal population in comparison to later mesenchymal
population (d8) (Supplementary material online, Figure S8). Similarly,
higher expression of genes such as SOX7 and GJA4 at d6 defines these
as markers of early endothelial specification (Supplementary material
online, Figure S9A and B). Conversely, higher expression of GNG11,
ESM1, and ANGPT2 at d8 defines these as later markers of endothelial
maturation (Supplementary material online, Figure S9A and B). RT-
quPCR analysis of several marker genes utilizing RNA from CD144+
and CD144− populations at d6 and d8 demonstrated high concord-
ance with scRNA-seq findings (Supplementary material online,
Figure S10). A complete list of significantly differentially expressed
genes for all compared datasets is provided in Supplementary mat-
erial online, File S1.

To ensure findings were not limited to H9 cells, an alternative
hESC line, the RC11 line, was used to reproduce findings. Cells were
sampled for scRNA-seq at Days 4, 6, and 8 (total of 21 972 cells) and
the identical analysis applied. Strikingly, we identified equivalent
mesodermal, mesenchymal, and endothelial populations in both
RC11- and H9-derived cells (Figure 2F). A small additional cluster was
identified defined by differential expression epithelial markers includ-
ing EPCAM, CDH1, and RAB25 (Supplementary material online, Figure
S11). This likely reflects an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition during
mesoderm formation.23

Pseudotime analysis is a complementary approach used to deter-
mine the path and progress of individual cells undergoing differenti-
ation.17 The resultant trajectory for H9 hESC-ECP differentiation
(Figure 3A) indicated a bifurcation point giving rise to distinct endothe-

al and mesenchymal branches, consistent with the divergence repre-
sented in Figure 2A. The majority of d4 cells had pseudotime values
close to zero, implying they had yet to commence endothelial or
mesenchymal differentiation (Supplementary material online, Figure
S12). Pseudotime analysis using RC11 cells reproduced an essentially
identical bifurcation point as using H9 (Figure 3B). Increasing expres-
sion of known endothelial markers (Figure 3C) and TF (Figure 3D)
defined the endothelial branch. Similarly, up-regulation of mesency-
hal markers and TF (Figure 3C and D) identified the mesenchymal
branch (Figure 3A). Expression of the same markers and TF during the
RC11 differentiation traced highly similar pseudotime trajectories
(Figure 3C and D).

Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of an alternative human embryonic stem cell-
derived endothelial cell differentiation protocol reveals substantial heterogeneity

A comparable longitudinal scRNA-seq data set was acquired using an
alternative hESC-EC differentiation protocol, namely the five-factor
protocol described by Zhang et al.15 This allowed us to compare its
cellular heterogeneity and transcriptional dynamics with those from
our 8-day differentiation protocol. Using the Zhang et al. 6-day proto-
col, differentiated H9 cells yielded 51.8% CD31+/CD144− cells by
Day 6 (Supplementary material online, Figure S13). Cells were
sampled for scRNA-seq at a mesodermal stage (d2) and two endo-
theelial stages (d4 and d6). We sequenced the transcriptomes of
27 225 cells. Unsupervised clustering revealed the presence of 12 in-
dividual clusters (Figure 4A and B).

Within the d2 population, a large (22%) epithelial cluster was iden-
tified, characterized by expression of markers including EPCAM,
RAB25, and CLDN4 (Figure 4C and D). The remaining d2 cells were
characterized by expression of mesodermal markers HAND1 and
BMP4. Interestingly, d2 mesoderm was also positive for mesendo-

derm markers such as GSC and EOMES (Figure 4C and D). Expression of
a pluripotent marker, NANOG, was predominantly localized to the
epithelial cluster (Supplementary material online, Figure S14A).

By d4, 37% of cells localized to a distinct endothelial population
defined by expression of classical endothelial markers (Figure 4A–D).
The two-remaining major d4 clusters were defined by expression of
mesodermal markers (Figure 4A–D). In contrast to d2, d4 mesoderm
was predominantly negative for expression of mesendoderm markers
(Figure 4D). The 18.5% reduction of EOMES+ cells in cluster ‘meso-
derm 2’, in comparison to cluster ‘mesoderm 1’ likely identifies this as
the later of the two d4 mesoderm populations. Interestingly, a subset
Figure 2 Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis across all time points mapping the transcriptional changes and predicted future direction of cells undergoing human embryonic stem cells-derived endothelial cell product differentiation. (A) tSNE plot constructed using data from 21,369 cells taken at Day 0, 4, 6, and 8 during H9 to human embryonic stem cells-derived endothelial cell product differentiation. Cluster identity determined by the expression of known pluripotent (SOX2), mesodermal (HAND1), mesenchymal (ACTA2), and endothelial markers (PECAM1). (B) Cell signature scores shown on tSNE plot from A. (C) Cell signature scores within identified clusters from A. (D) Principal component analysis plot containing cells taken at the four time points during H9 differentiation. Feature plots show key marker gene expression. (E) RNA velocities visualized on the principal component analysis plot from D. (F) tSNE plot using data from d4, d6, and d8 during differentiation of RC11.
Figure 3  Pseudotime trajectories of human embryonic stem cells-derived endothelial cell product differentiation. Pseudotime trajectories containing cells from d4, d6, and d8 during (A) H9 differentiation and (B) RC11 differentiation. Arrows show direction of pseudotime across trajectories. Expression of known endothelial and mesenchymal (C) markers and (D) transcription factors in their respective branches for each of the two starting ES cells (H9 and RC11).
Figure 4 Longitudinal single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of an alternative human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells differentiation protocol. (A) tSNE plot showing data of human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells differentiation using an alternative protocol. Cells taken for single-cell RNA sequencing at d2, d4, and d6. (B) tSNE plot of alternative differentiation protocol, categorizing cells according to their original data set. (C) Signature scores shown on tSNE plot from A. (D) Key marker gene expression used to characterize identified clusters. (E) Pseudotime trajectory containing cells from d4 clusters of the alternative differentiation protocol.
of cluster ‘mesoderm 2’ expressed both CD34 and KDR but not PDGFRα suggesting a more specialized mesoderm with restricted specification to a hematopoietic lineage (Supplementary material online, Figure S14B). We annotated a small cluster of d4 cells that differentially expressed endoderm markers, including FOXA2 and CLDN6 as well as pluripotent marker POU5F1, as an early endoderm cluster with residual pluripotency (Supplementary material online, Figure S14C).

At d6, a comparable HAND1+ mesoderm population was present (Figure 4A–D). The large d6 endothelial cluster accounted for 43% of d6 cells (Figure 4A–D). About 10.3% of d6 cells localized to a small cluster exclusively expressing various known haemogenic markers including SPN1, SPI1, and RUNX1 (Figure 4C and D). Furthermore, a small d6 cluster (10.7% of d6 cells) was annotated as being a nephrogenic population, defined by expression of PAX2, PAX8, and CRYM (Figure 4C and D).

We next used pseudotime analysis choosing cells at d4 over d6 so as to compare early vs. late mesodermal populations. As expected, the resultant trajectory (Figure 4E) revealed cells from the ‘mesoderm 1’ cluster preceding those from ‘mesoderm 2’. Unexpectedly, the bifurcation point giving rise to the endodermal population appeared to emerge from the later, ‘mesoderm 2’ population. Endothelial and mesodermal cells populated the start of the endothelial branch, thus suggesting that endothelial commitment from mesoderm was still in progress at d4 (Figure 4E).

Pseudotime analysis characterizes transcription factor expression throughout endothelial differentiation

We sought to characterize the expression of transcription factors over these trajectories. Using a comprehensive list of over 1600 likely human TFs27 we identified 144 that were significantly differentially expressed over pseudotime in both RC11 and H9 differentiations. Of these, several are known to be implicated in endothelial differentiation (ERG, ETS1, SOX7, and ELF1)28 and these all resided within Cluster 5 (containing 37 genes) of genes differentially expressed across pseudotime (Figure 5A).

The expression profile of the 37 Cluster 5 TF (Figure 5A) was then visualized along the endothelial branch of the trajectory from five-factor protocol (Figure 5B). Expression dynamics for the majority of TF showed substantial resemblance to those observed in the five-factor protocol (Figure 5C, Supplementary material online, Figure S15). In addition, several TF with no clear reported link to endothelial differentiation also followed a similar expression profile, and showed remarkable concordance across cells and protocols (Figure 5C).

Human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells remain transcriptionally distinct from mature and adult endothelial cells despite undergoing additional maturation following endothelial commitment

We then sought to evaluate the maturity of hESC-EC produced by comparison of scRNA-seq data of foetal endothelial cells and mature endothelial cells with that from H9-derived cells. First, we combined hESC-EC data from d6, d8, and d12 datasets with data obtained from mature primary cultured ECs from each of the arterial, lymphatic, or venous vascular beds. Principal component analysis revealed that hESC-EC at d6, d8, and d12 clustered separately from mature EC (Figure 6A). Interestingly, d12 hESC-EC clustered separately from their d6 and d8 counterparts, but not visibly closer to any given mature EC. Large proportions of genes were expressed within hESC-EC but not in mature EC (d8: 7.2%; d12: 7.3%; Figure 6B). Differential gene expression analysis between d8 and d12 hESC-EC revealed increased expression levels of several ECM related genes, such as COL4A1 and RN1 (Supplementary material online, Figure S16A). Furthermore, between d8 and d12 hESC-EC an increase in endothelial signature score was observed, suggesting of further maturation beyond d8 (Supplementary material online, Figure S16B).

We then collected all publicly available 10X Chromium scRNA-seq data sets including endothelial cell data from freshly isolated human organs (Supplementary material online, Table S3). Differential gene expression analysis of combined endothelial clusters (Figure 6C) revealed key transcriptional markers for each organ-specific endothelial phenotype (Supplementary material online, File S2). Visualization of signature scores across all clusters demonstrated their high specificity to their corresponding cluster (Figure 6D). Neither the d8 nor d12 hESC-EC cluster was positive for any organ-specific signature score, thus indicating their likely unspecified nature. Furthermore, correlations between transcriptomes of endothelial cell types were higher among the d6, d8, and d12 cells, than these cells were to foetal (kidney) and mature endothelial cells (Figure 6E).

Discussion

In the present study, we performed the first longitudinal droplet-based scRNA-seq analysis of our highly efficient hESC-EC differentiation protocol.7 In two different hESC lines, endothelial differentiation from a homogeneous lateral mesoderm population resulted in a bifurcation leading to the emergence of non-specified endothelial and mesenchymal populations. Each population was capable of undergoing further maturation. We performed comparable scRNA-seq analysis of an alternative hESC-EC differentiation protocol, revealing substantially more heterogeneity during differentiation, including the emergence of multiple non-endothelial populations by the protocol endpoint. Pseudotime analysis comparing different protocols and starting HESC lines uncovered robust transcriptional signatures of endothelial differentiation. Finally, we compared the hESC-EC transcriptional identity to those of primary cultured endothelial cells and freshly isolated foetal and adult endothelial cells. Despite hESC-EC appearing to undergo additional maturation following their initial commitment, they remained transcriptionally distinct from foetal and mature endothelial cell types, with no indication of commitment to an organ-specific endothelial phenotype.

In contrast to earlier time points, at d6 we observed the emergence of two distinct populations expressing either mesenchymal or endothelial markers, a dichotomy that was confirmed by pseudotime trajectory analysis. Recent studies have reported the existence of a common mesenchyme and endothelial precursor, termed the mesenchymangioblast (MB) derived from APLNR+/KDR+/PDGFRα+ mesoderm.29 MB-derived mesenchymal cells were demonstrated to emerge from an endothelial origin by endothelial-to-mesenchymal
**Figure 5** Pseudotime analysis of transcription factor expression during human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells differentiation. (A) Differential gene expression variance over pseudotime of H9 differentiation within pseudotime trajectory branches. Genes used are TF that are differentially expressed in both H9 and RC11-derived trajectories. (B) Gene expression variance of TF taken from Cluster 5 of heatmap from A in the endothelial branch of the trajectory from the five-factor protocol. (C) Spline plots showing the expression of novel TF from B across pseudotime in RC11 and H9 human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells differentiation using the original protocol, as well as for the five-factor protocol.
Figure 6 Transcriptomic comparison of human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells to mature endothelial cells. (A) Three-dimensional principal component analysis plot of human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells from d6, d8, and d12 datasets alongside mature endothelial cell: human dermal lymphatic endothelial cells, human umbilical vein endothelial cells, and human pulmonary artery endothelial cells. (B) Venn diagrams of number of genes expressed in d6, d8, and d12 human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cells and the overlap of these genes with each type of mature endothelial endothelial cell. Percentage of uniquely expressed human embryonic stem cell-derived endothelial cell genes shown in red. (C) tSNE plot showing clustering of endothelial cell extracted from 10X datasets of human organs. (D) Signature scores for each endothelial cell type shown in C. (E) Heatmap with hierarchical clustering comparing transcriptional status of each cell type by Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient.
transition (EndMT) in the absence of VEGF. However, other mesenchymal progenitors, called mesophores, were found to develop in the presence of VEGF and have shared phenotypic and transcriptomic properties with MBs. The observed expression of the EndMT-associated TF SNAI2 in the early mesenchymal population may suggest induction of EndMT in the presence of VEGF. However, here, although the Day 4 mesodermal population selectively expressed APLNR, it was found not to express KDR or PDGFRA, thus suggesting that mesenchymal and endothelial commitment is more likely to occur independently from a common mesoderm progenitor.

In contrast to our own 8-day differentiation protocol, analysis of the alternative, five-factor differentiation protocol revealed considerably more heterogeneity, with an early mesendoderm population identified by primitive streak markers and residual pluripotency (Take home figure). Interestingly, the model proposed by Evseenko et al. shows early mesoderm can then undergo further specification to KDR+/CD34+/PDGFRA- mesoderm which has limited specification potential, forming only hematopoietic lineages. This model may explain the unexpected haemogenic endothelial cluster in the d6 population. The transcriptional signatures identified around the haemogenic endothelial cluster demonstrated high concordance with scRNA-seq analysis of an iPSC model of endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition (EHT).

We also identified expression patterns of several known and novel TFs in all three hESC-EC pseudotime trajectories. For example, the expression profile of BCL6B, which encodes a transcription factor shown to be expressed within the endothelium of the developing mouse kidney, showed remarkable similarity across all trajectories. This suggests a potential role in endothelial differentiation and/or maturation, making this a strong candidate for further experimental validation. Additional cues such as shear stress are likely to be required to drive EC maturation. Our initial attempts to subject d8 hESC-EC to shear stress resulted in detachment of cells (data not shown), possibly due to cells not being at a sufficient level of maturation to lay down the extracellular matrix necessary for effective adhesion.

In addition, recent cell tracking studies revealed that the majority of cells are lost soon after transplantation suggesting a paracrine dependence in vivo. Crucially with scRNA-seq analysis, several angiogenic factors such as FLT1 and HIF1A, were highly expressed across both endothelial and mesenchymal populations in our d8 hESC-ECP. Furthermore, the non-teratogenic nature of the hESC-ECP was further demonstrated by the complete absence of cells co-expressing SOX2 and POU5F1.

In conclusion, we have used a droplet-based scRNA-seq platform to map the transcriptional changes throughout the differentiation of hESCs to pluripotent stem cell-derived EC across different cell lines and differentiation protocols. This provides novel insight into early endothelial commitment and maturation and will aid in the refinement of protocols that drive endothelial specification in a lineage or organ-specific nature. We also provide essential evidence of the transcriptional state and heterogeneity by Day 8 of our protocol, and we are developing a first-in-man cell therapy approach using Day 8 differentiated cells.

**Supplementary material**

Supplementary material is available at *European Heart Journal* online.
Acknowledgements
The authors thank G. Aitchison and K. Newton for their technical assistance. Flow cytometry data were generated with support from the QFRI Flow Cytometry and cell sorting facility, University of Edinburgh. Sequencing data was produced with assistance from Edinburgh Genomics.

Funding
This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (MRC Precision Medicine Doctoral Training Programme to I.R.M. and the MRC Discovery Award and Programme grant (MC_PC_15075) and MRC Programme: Computational and Disease Genomics (MC_UU_00007/15) to C.P.P.), the Wellcome Trust (Wellcome Trust MRC Discovery Award and Programme grant (MC_PC_15075) and Precision Medicine Doctoral Training Programme to I.R.M. and both the Edinburgh. Sequencing data was produced with assistance from QMRI Flow Cytometry and cell sorting facility, University of Edinburgh. The authors thank G. Aitchison and K. Newton for their technical assistance.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References
1. GBD 2013 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015;385:959–964.
2. Howard DP, Banerjee A, Fairhead JF, Hands L, Silver LE, Rothwell PM. Population-based study of incidence, risk factors, outcome, and prognosis of aortic aneurysm in women. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:1805–1815.
3. Minucci MF, Avedova PS, Polegato BF, Paiva SA, Zornoff LA. Heart failure after myocardial infarction: clinical implications and treatment. Clin Cardiol 2011;34:410–414.
4. Tompkins BA, Balkan W, Winkler J, Gyorgyos M, Goliasch G, Fernandez-Aviles F, Hare JP. Preclinical studies of stem cell therapy for heart disease. Grc Res 2018;122:1006–1020.
5. Liu YW, Chen B, Yang X, Fugate JA, Kalucki FA, Futakuchi-Tsuchida A, Couture Y, Nguyen BK, Elwell A, Bolin J, Brown ME, Rutling G, Murphy WL, Thomson JA. Functional characterization of human pluripotent stem cell-derived arterial endothelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:E6072–E6078.
6. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E, Satija R. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol 2018;36:411–420.
7. Trapnell C, Caciarelli D, Grimsby J, Polkarel P, Urisome S, Morse M, Lennon NJ, Livak KJ, Mikkelsen TS, Rinn JL. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat Biotechnol 2014;32:381–386.
8. La Manno G, Soldatov R, Zeisel A, Braun E, Hochmair H, Petkovich V, Lidschreiber K, Kaznieti ME, Lonnerberg P, Furlan A, Fan B, Born LE, Liu Z, van Bruggen D, Gua J, He X, Barker R, Sundstrom E, Castellano-Bracon G, Cramer P, Adamyko I, Linsarrsson S, Kharshenko PV. RNA velocity of single cells. Nature 2018;560:494–498.
9. Roson-Burgos D, Sanchez-Guijo F, Del Canizo C, De Las Rivas J. Insights into the human mesenchymal stromal/stem cell identity through integrative transcriptomic profiling. BMC Genomics 2016;17:944.
10. Nam SW, Clair T, Kim YS, McAllrath N, Schiffmann E, Liotta LA, Stratke ML, Autotoxin (NPP-2), a metastasis-enhancing motogen, is an angiogenic factor. Cancer Res 2001;61:6938–6944.
11. Yuan L, Le Bras A, Sachardou A, Iagaki K, Zhan Y, Kondo M, Carman CV, Davis GE, Aird WC, Oettgen P. ETS-related gene (ERG) controls endothelial cell permeability via transcriptional regulation of the claudin 5 (CLDN5) gene. J Biol Chem 2012;287:6582–6591.
12. Chan B, Yuan HT, Aranth Karumanchi S, Suhhatme VP. Receptor tyrosine kinase Tie-1 overexpression in endothelial cells upregulates adhesion molecules. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008;371:475–479.
13. Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynick R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Nat Rev Cell Biol 2014;15:178–196.
14. Everseoniko D, Zhu Y, Schenke-Layland K, Kuo J, Louto B, Ge S, Scholes J, Dravid G, Li X, Macelllan WR, Crooks GM. Mapping the first stages of mesoderm commitment during differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010;107:13742–13747.
15. Guibenr C, Ronn RE, Boiers C, Lang S, Saxena S, Soneji S, Enver T, Karlsson G, Woods NB. Single-cell analysis identifies distinct stages of human endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition. Cell Rep 2017;19:10–19.
16. Narlis M, Grote D, Gaitan Y, Bouafia SK, Bouchard M. Pax2 and pax8 regulate bone, heart, and other mesoderm cell types. Dev Cell 2010;18:1121–1129.
17. Nicholls MG, Afzelius A, Jongsma M, MacGillivray TJ, Tavaree AAS, Fleisinger L, Spencer HL, Besnier M, Martin E, Bigloe G, Newby DE, Hadoke PWf, Mountford JC, Emanueli C, Baker AH. Robust revascularization in models of limb ischemia using a clinically translatable human stem cell-derived endothelial cell product. Mol Ther 2018;26:1669–1684.
18. Rafiah AH, Huang NF, Jame S, Lee JC, Nguyen HN, Byers B, De A, Okogbaa J, Britton PWF, Mountford JC, Emanueli C, Baker AH. Robust revascularization in models of limb ischemia using a clinically translatable human stem cell-derived endothelial cell product. Mol Ther 2018;26:1669–1684.
19. Pak D, Tani L, Lee J, Sayed N, Chen JY, Rhee S, Rhee JW, Kim Y, Wirkta RC, Buikema JW, Wu SM, Red-Horse K, Quertermous T, Wu JC. Large-scale single-cell RNA-seq reveals molecular signatures of heterogeneous populations of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived endothelial cells. Grc Res 2018;123:443–450.
20. Patsch C, Challet-Maylan L, Thoma EC, Ulrich E, Heckel T, O’Sullivan JF, Grainger SJ, Kapp FG, Sun L, Christensen K, Xiu Y, Florido MHC, He W, Pan W, Prummer M, Warren CR, Jakob-Roetne R, Certa U, Jagasia R, Freskgird P-O, Adatto I, King D, Huang P, Zon LI, Chakof EL, Gersten RE, Graf M, Iacone R, Cowan CA. Generation of vascular endothelial and smooth muscle cells from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat Cell Biol 2015;17:994–1003.
32. Cooley BC, Nevada J, Mellad J, Yang D, St Hilaire C, Negro A, Fang F, Chen G, San H, Walts AD, Schwartzbeck RL, Taylor B, Lanzer JD, Wragg A, Elagha A, Beltran LE, Berry C, Feil R, Virmani R, Ladich E, Kovacic JC, Boehm M. TGF-beta signaling mediates endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT) during vein graft remodeling. Sci Transl Med 2014;6:227ra34.

33. Yu J, Valerius MT, Duah M, Staser K, Hansard JK, Guo JJ, McMahon J, Vaughan J, Fania D, Georgas K, Rumballe B, Ren Q, Krautzberger AM, Junker JP, Thiagarajan RD, Machanick P, Gray PA, van Oudenaarden A, Rowitch DH, Stiles CD, Ma Q, Grimmend SM, Bailey TL, Little MH, McMahon AP. Identification of molecular compartments and genetic circuitry in the developing mammalian kidney. Development 2012;139:1863–1873.

34. Atkins GB, Jain MK. Role of Kruppel-like transcription factors in endothelial biology. Circ Res 2007;100:1686–1695.

35. Wysoczynski M, Khan A, Bolli R. New paradigms in cell therapy: repeated dosing, intravenous delivery, immunomodulatory actions, and new cell types. Circ Res 2018;123:138–158.