Effect of celecoxib on improving depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

BACKGROUND

Anti-inflammation drugs were uncovered to be a potential therapy for depression. Celecoxib as a selective COX2 inhibitor is also one anti-inflammation drugs. Celecoxib is widely used in the clinic, which is well known by medical workers. It is uncertain whether celecoxib has efficacy in improving depression.

AIM

To estimate the effect of celecoxib on improving depression.

METHODS

All literature was searched until 2022. The databases included PubMed, OVID database, Cochrane library, Web of Science, CNKI, Clinicaltrials.gov database and Wanfang database. The random effects model was used to estimate the standardized mean differences with 95% CIs. With determined diagnostic criteria, studies containing patients with depression in the celecoxib group and the control group were included in the meta-analysis. The primary outcome measures were set for depression scale scores.

RESULTS

Twenty-nine randomized controlled studies were included in the meta-analysis (including 847 subjects with depression and 810 control subjects). The meta-analysis showed that celecoxib had an effect of anti-depression. At the same time, heterogeneity was observed ($I^2 = 82.1\%, P = 0.00$), and meta-regression was implemented to estimate the source of heterogeneity, which showed that the type
of depression scale and depression type may lead to the heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis with respect to depression scale and depression type suggested that depression type was the possible main source of heterogeneity. Moreover, Egger’s test, Begg’s test, funnel plot and Doi plot was implemented, and publication bias was found to be significant. Next, the trim and fill method was used to estimate the influence of publication bias on the outcome of the meta-analysis, which showed that the outcome of the meta-analysis was reliable. Sensitivity analysis was estimated by deleting a study one by one, and the outcome of the meta-analysis was significantly stable. The quality of all randomized controlled trial studies was assessed by risk of bias, which indicated the rank of evidence in the meta-analysis was high.

**CONCLUSION**

Celecoxib could be effective for improving depression.
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**Core Tip:** There is inconsistency about the efficacy of celecoxib in improving depression. This is an updated systematic review and meta-analysis that includes more than 10 additional clinical trials compared to the previous meta-analysis. We compared the depression scale scores between the celecoxib group and the control group, and celecoxib had a significant reduction in depression scale scores and could be effective in improving depression.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Depression as a psychiatric disorder severely threatens human health and life quality. The World Health Organization reported that over 300 million people are currently living with depression in 2018[1]. Depression has a wide array of symptoms affecting somatic, cognitive, affective and social processes[2]. Depression is closely associated with suicide[3]. In addition, depression is associated with morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular disease[4]. According to the number, type and severity of symptoms, depressive disorder is classified as mild, moderate and major depression. Depression disorder also includes bipolar depression. The pathology of depression is still uncovered. Recently, the relationship between inflammation and depression is gaining more attention. Inflammation is likely a critical disease modifier, promoting susceptibility to depression[5]. Inflammation as a potential target in the treatment of depression has led to the exploration of clarifying the efficacy of anti-inflammation drugs on improving depression.

Celecoxib is a COX2 inhibitor and an anti-inflammation drug. Celecoxib has an Food and Drug Administration indication for the management of acute pain in adult women and primary dysmenorrhea[6]. Celecoxib is widely used in inflammation diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, and celecoxib is widely used in the clinic. Due to its clinical popularity, celecoxib is well known by many doctors and patients. Interestingly, if celecoxib has an effect of anti-depression, it would be meaningful to uncover a new function in the clinic. From the view of anti-inflammation, it is necessary to explore the efficacy of anti-depression.

The data on the efficacy of celecoxib on improving depression are inconsistent. Some studies showed celecoxib could improve depression[8,9]. On the contrary, a study showed that celecoxib was not superior to placebo for the treatment of bipolar depression[10]. A meta-analysis[11] about celecoxib on depression was published in 2014, and the number of randomized controlled trials (RCT) was only five. Another meta-analysis[12] in 2019 estimated the efficacy of celecoxib on bipolar depression, and the number of RCT was only three. Obviously, the number of RCT included in previous meta-analyses was not enough. Therefore, it is necessary to estimate the effect of celecoxib on depression by including more clinical trials. This meta-analysis aimed to estimate whether celecoxib could improve depression including bipolar depression, major depression and so on.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The meta-analysis was made up of four parts including search strategy, study selection, quality assessment and data extraction and data synthesis.

Search strategy

Conducting and reporting meta-analysis data were strictly in accordance with PRISMA statement guidelines. The PICOS scheme was followed in the selected studies. A systematic literature search was implemented by two researchers (Wang Z and Wu Q). Retrieval fields included “celecoxib,” “celebrex,” “depression” and so on. Retrieval mode included basic retrieval and advanced retrieval. The process of retrieval was presented in Supplementary Table 1. We searched databases including PubMed, OVID database, Cochrane library, Web of Science, CNKI, Clinicaltrials.gov database and Wanfang database. There was no language restriction in the retrieval process. No restrictions about humans, clinical trials or RCT were used, which was aimed at the comprehensiveness of retrieval. In addition, we retrieved the references using the Reference Citation Analysis database. For searching all databases, the latest time was until 2022.

Study selection

Studies that reported celecoxib and depression were screened.

Inclusive criteria: (1) RCT included celecoxib group and control group; (2) With determined criteria, patients were diagnosed with depression including bipolar depression or unipolar depression or major depression and so on; and (3) Patients diagnosed with depression were comorbid with other non-mental diseases such as cancer.

Exclusive criteria: (1) With the diagnostic depression, patients were also diagnosed with other mental diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease; (2) Clinical trials that lacked a control group; (3) Case reports, letters, editorials and conference abstracts; and (4) Data about depression scores could not be obtained.

To retrieve more relevant studies, the references were also searched. According to the PRISMA literature-searching method, the primary inclusions were obtained through scanning titles and abstracts. Then, the full texts were screened carefully. Two researchers (Wang Z and Wu Q) searched the literature and determined the selected studies independently. The final inclusions were decided through consultations.

Quality assessment

Based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews, risk of bias was used to evaluate the quality of all selected studies. Bias evaluation was conducted by estimating seven items including random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selection reporting (reporting bias) and other bias. All selected studies were evaluated according to above seven items. Finally, risk of bias graph and risk of bias summary plot were plotted by RevMan 5.3 software.

Data extraction and data synthesis

All data were extracted from all selected studies. A standardized data extraction form was used: name of the first author, year of publication, diagnostic criteria, study design, number of the celecoxib group and control group, type of depression scale and depression scale scores in the celecoxib group and control group. If the clinical trial included multiple treatment groups (different intervention), we only extracted data about the celecoxib and control groups. Based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews, if the clinical trial contained different doses and intervention periods, the trial will be divided into different trials with the same control group. The process of abstraction was administered by two researchers (Wang Z and Wu Q). They were in agreement with the outcome of the extraction.

We collected data including mean ± SD and n from selected studies. If the study provided mean ± SEM, data transformation would be implemented by the formula: SD = SEM × square root n.

Statistical analysis

All processes included forest plots, meta-regression analysis, funnel plot and Egger’s tests and were finished by STATA 16. Heterogeneity was assessed by the Cochran’s Q statistic and the $I^2$ score. Heterogeneity was divided into homogeneity, moderate heterogeneity and high heterogeneity by $I^2$ values of 0%-25%, 25%-50% and > 50%, respectively. If heterogeneity was significant, the random effects model was applied to estimate the standardized mean differences with 95% CI. Meta-regression and Galbraith plot were used to find the source of heterogeneity. With $I^2$ values less than 50%, heterogeneity was considered to be small, and the fixed effects model was used.
We searched databases including PubMed, OVID database, Cochrane library, Web of Science, CNKI, Clinicaltrials.gov database and Wanfang database. After screening, 10 studies were included in the meta-analysis. After separating, 29 studies were included in the meta-analysis.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the included studies and assessment of quality
In total, 825 potentially relative records were identified, which was the sum of each database mentioned in the search strategy. After screening the titles, 338 duplicates were removed. Then, 474 records (review or meta-analysis, 71; animal experiment, 67; case report or letters, 19; no relationship or others, 317) were removed, and 13 records were obtained after screening the abstract. Because we could not obtain the raw data, three articles were removed. Then, 10 records were included in the meta-analysis. Except one study[19], the other studies were divided into separate studies according to a different period of therapy. Finally, 29 studies were included in the meta-analysis. All procedures were shown in Figure 1. The baseline characteristics in all included studies were presented in Supplementary Table 2. Twenty-nine case-control studies included 847 subjects in the celecoxib group and 810 subjects in the control group. Study type of all studies was RCT. Major matched factors for the celecoxib group and control group were mainly composed of publication year, diagnostic criteria, depression type, period of therapy, design of experiment group, design of control group, dose of celecoxib and depression scale. Based on the risk of bias graph and risk of bias summary plot, the quality of all studies was high (Figure 2). All data was shown as mean ± SD. Results of some studies were shown as mean ± SEM. SEM was transformed into SD according to sample size and SEM.

Meta-analysis
All data of the 29 studies were pooled in the meta-analysis. The outcome was shown in the forest plot (Figure 3). The depression scores in the celecoxib group were significantly lower than the control group (standardized mean difference = -0.49, 95%CI: -0.74 to -0.25, P < 0.05). Heterogeneity was observed to be severe (I² = 82.1% and P < 0.001), and the random effect model was applied.

Meta-regression
A multivariate meta-regression analysis was used to estimate the source of heterogeneity. We conducted meta-regression including three aspects (study design, depression scale and depression type). The results showed that the depression scale (regression coefficient: 0.268; P = 0.016; 95%CI: 0.054-0.483) and depression type (regression coefficient: 0.157; P = 0.020; 95%CI: 0.027-0.287) were the possible...
main source of heterogeneity.

Subgroup analysis

After meta-regression, subgroup analysis about the depression scale and depression type was implemented to identify the possible source of heterogeneity (Figure 4A and B). Heterogeneity in the subgroup analysis about depression type was decreased, which showed that depression type may be the main source of heterogeneity. Moreover, subgroup analysis about the period of therapy was plotted (Figure 4C), which indicated that celecoxib could improve depression whether the period was ≤ 4 wk or > 4 wk.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was conducted by deleting the studies one by one, and the outcome of meta-analysis was significantly stable.

Publication bias

Funnel plot (Figure 5A), Egger’s test (Figure 5B), Begg’s test (Figure 5C) and Doi plot (Figure 5D) were implemented to estimate publication bias. Funnel plot, Egger’s test, Begg’s test and Doi plot showed publication bias was significant. Further, the trim and fill method was used to estimate the influence of publication bias on the outcome of the meta-analysis. The result of the trim and fill method (standardized mean difference = -0.679, 95%CI: -0.961 to -0.398, P < 0.01) indicated the outcome of the meta-analysis was reliable.

Figure 2 Risk of bias graph and risk of bias summary. Information containing seven aspects such as random sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selection reporting (reporting bias) and other bias was used to assess the quality of all selected studies.
Figure 3 The pooled quantitative synthesis for depression scores in the celecoxib group and control group. Twenty-nine studies were included in the meta-analysis. With the random effect model, the depression scores were calculated through using standardized mean differences (grey squares with small black squares) with 95% CIs (horizontal lines through gray squares) and pooled-effect sizes (blue diamonds).

DISCUSSION

The result of the meta-analysis showed that celecoxib could improve depression. Depression type in all studies was different. This meta-analysis aimed to estimate the efficacy of celecoxib on depression. Future meta-analyses of celecoxib based on the specific type of depression should be implemented when the number of RCT studies increases. In this meta-analysis, the publication bias was significant. The result of the trim and fill method showed that this meta-analysis was still reliable. Obviously, heterogeneity was significant, and the depression scale and depression type were the main sources of heterogeneity by meta-regression and subgroup analysis. The result of the meta-analysis was likely interpreted by obvious heterogeneity. More studies would decrease the heterogeneity.

The results indicated that the anti-inflammation may be the potential target of anti-depression. Celecoxib, a COX2 inhibitor and a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, was used in the clinic. Other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were shown to be effective for improving depression in some studies [23, 24]. Extensive studies have confirmed the proinflammatory status in depression and causal relationships with neurotransmitter dysregulation [25]. On the contrary, a trial failure of anti-inflammation drugs in depression was published in 2020 [26]. According to the trial failure, the authors replied and indicated that drug selection and certain inflammation status in depression status were the necessary consideration. This meta-analysis did not estimate the inflammation status for celecoxib in depression due to lack of inflammation data in most studies. Therefore, the relationship between inflammation and depression for celecoxib needs to be analyzed in the future.

On the other hand, not all depression patients coexist with abnormal inflammation levels. In these patients, it is possible that celecoxib would not improve depression. Of course, the above issues are weaknesses in the meta-analysis. Currently, there are not enough studies to support the meta-analysis regarding celecoxib on improving depression with inflammation status or without inflammation status, which is also the possible source that caused the heterogeneity. Comparing with other anti-inflammation drugs such as aspirin, data on the efficacy of improving depression are lacking. Before comparing the efficacy between celecoxib and other anti-inflammation drugs on improving depression, the issue whether inflammation status or non-inflammation status are associated with the efficacy of anti-inflammation should be resolved. If the issue is not resolved, then the result of the comparison between celecoxib and other anti-inflammation drug is not credible.
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A

Depression scores and author (year)

| Effect (95% CI) | Weight |
|-----------------|--------|
|                 |        |

HAMD-17

-0.97 (-1.85, -0.09) 2.84
-0.68 (-1.55, 0.18) 2.90
-1.83 (-3.30, -0.36) 3.77
-2.83 (-5.19, -0.47) 3.11
-2.45 (-4.15, -0.75) 3.43
-3.15 (-4.95, -1.35) 3.07
-2.33 (-4.05, -0.61) 3.46
-0.40 (-1.01, 0.21) 3.44
-1.96 (-3.56, -0.36) 3.35
-1.35 (-2.45, -0.25) 3.26
0.13 (0.00, 0.26) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.20 (0.00, 0.40) 0.41
0.34 (-0.06, 0.73) 3.20
-0.69 (-2.31, 0.92) 12.58

Back depression inventory

Angelo Halari-1 (2015) 0.05 (-0.54, 0.59) 3.60
Angelo Halari-2 (2015) 0.17 (-0.91, 1.25) 3.60
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 1.00) 0.70 (0.03, 0.97) 6.31

Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale

Bernhard T. Banse (2015) 0.23 (-0.13, 0.59) 4.11
Bernhard T. Banse-2 (2015) 0.37 (0.00, 0.74) 4.10
Bernhard T. Banse-3 (2021) 0.16 (-0.18, 0.54) 4.11
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.753) 0.25 (0.05, 0.47) 12.31

Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000
Overall, DL^2 (p = 0.21) 0.00 (0.34, 0.72) 100.00

B

Depression type and author (year)

| Effect (95% CI) | Weight |
|-----------------|--------|
|                 |        |

Drug-naive Depression

Mariani Map-1 (2015) -0.97 (-1.85, -0.09) 2.84
Mariani Map-2 (2015) -0.68 (-1.55, 0.18) 2.90
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.00) 0.85 (0.00, 0.16) 2.74

Depressive or mixed phases of bipolar disorder

Fabiato G. Nery (2007) -1.18 (-1.86, -0.50) 3.03
Fabiato G. Nery-2 (2007) -0.15 (-0.50, 0.20) 3.16
Fabiato G. Nery-3 (2007) -0.24 (-0.15, 0.19) 3.16
Fabiato G. Nery-6 (2007) -0.22 (-0.76, 0.52) 3.20
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.192) -0.49 (-0.96, 0.00) 12.58

Mild-to-moderate Depression Among Stressed Cancer Patients

Mariani Map-1 (2015) 0.83 (-0.25, 1.91) 3.43
Mariani Map-2 (2015) 2.34 (-5.74, 10.42) 3.07
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.00) 0.25 (-3.17, -1.20) 0.50

Mild-to-moderate depression in patients with colorectal cancer

Mariani Map-1 (2015) -0.60 (-1.23, 0.04) 3.46
Mariani Map-2 (2015) -0.84 (-1.29, -0.39) 3.46
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.574) 0.58 (-0.25, 1.39) 10.25

Depression

Bertrand T. Banse (2015) -0.63 (-1.05, 0.29) 3.26
Bertrand T. Banse-2 (2015) 0.32 (0.13, 0.51) 4.11
Bertrand T. Banse-3 (2015) 0.37 (0.25, 0.57) 4.10
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.00) 0.18 (0.08, 0.38) 4.11

Bi-polar depression

Mariani Map-1 (2015) 0.08 (-0.43, 0.59) 1.57
Mariani Map-2 (2015) 0.44 (-0.20, 0.10) 4.14
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.723) 0.04 (-0.13, 0.21) 10.57

Treatment-resistant bipolar depression

Angelo Halari-1 (2015) 0.60 (0.22, 0.30) 3.60
Angelo Halari-2 (2015) 0.60 (0.41, 0.79) 3.60
Subgroup, DL^2 (p = 0.00) 0.21 (-0.26, 0.68) 7.21

Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.000
Overall, DL^2 (p = 0.21) 0.00 (0.34, 0.72) 100.00

https://www.wjgnet.com
The relationship between inflammation and depression was explored by more studies. Inflammation is usually a reflection of cell damage caused by infections, physical injury or the response of tissues to an antibody challenge[27]. However, it has become apparent that psychological stress can also initiate the inflammatory response, thereby linking inflammation to both physical and mental ill health recently[27]. The inflammosome complex is expressed in microglia located in the hippocampus and other mood-regulating regions that are particularly vulnerable to the effects of chronic stress, which was linked to depression[27]. Stress plays a critical role in depression, ultimately leading to pervasive mental status changes and chronic low-grade inflammatory reaction[25]. Stress-induced activation of the immune response alters neurotransmission leading to neurotransmitter imbalances such as serotonergic deficiency, which was the possible mechanism of inflammation and depression[25]. Interestingly, inflammation plays a key role in depression pathogenesis for a subset of depressed individuals[28].

Further, the bidirectional relationship between inflammation and depression was mentioned. Depression can promote intestinal permeability, i.e. greater inflammation-inducing endotoxin translocation, described as a “leaky gut” and inflammatory mediators can also induce clinical depression[28]. Therefore, the mechanism pathway between inflammation and depression is complex. Other factors such as gut microbiota, stress and so on can also participate in the complex net of inflammation and depression. The complex relationship and mechanism of inflammation and depression need more research.

Moreover, the dose of celecoxib in depression deserves exploration. Nearly all RCTs in the meta-analysis described 400 mg/d of celecoxib. No gradient of dose for celecoxib could be explored in this meta-analysis. More studies about different doses of celecoxib should be included to estimate the relationship between dose and depression. Safety of celecoxib was not mentioned in the meta-analysis due to few descriptions in the primary RCT. All in all, celecoxib is likely effective for improving depression. Weaknesses mentioned in the above context need to be resolved in the future work.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the results of this meta-analysis demonstrated that celecoxib could be effective for improving depression. Depression scale scores in the celecoxib group were less than the control group. For depression with or without inflammation, the efficacy of celecoxib on improving depression needs
to be estimated separately in the future.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

**Research background**
There is inconsistency about the efficacy of celecoxib for improving depression.

**Research motivation**
To estimate the efficacy of celecoxib for improving depression.

**Research objectives**
To provide more evidence to support the efficacy of celecoxib for improving depression.

**Research methods**
The meta-analysis was pooled.

**Research results**
Depression scores in the celecoxib group were lower than the control group.

**Research conclusions**
Celecoxib has an effect on improving depression.

**Research perspectives**
The meta-analysis was explored from the view of a COX2 selective inhibitor, an anti-inflammation drug.
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