Dietary Fiber Intake Is Related to Skeletal Muscle Mass, Body Fat Mass, and Muscle-to-Fat Ratio Among People With Type 2 Diabetes: A Cross-Sectional Study
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Objectives: To investigate the relationship between dietary fiber intake and skeletal muscle mass, body fat mass, and muscle-to-fat ratio (MFR) among men and women with type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 260 men and 200 women with T2D. Percent skeletal muscle mass (%) or percent body fat mass (%) was calculated as (appendicular muscle mass [kg] or body fat mass [kg]/body weight [kg]) × 100. MFR was calculated as appendicular muscle mass divided by body fat mass. Information about dietary fiber intake (g/day) was obtained from a brief-type self-administered diet history questionnaire.

Results: Dietary fiber intake was correlated with percent body fat mass (r = −0.163, p = 0.021), percent skeletal muscle mass (r = 0.176, p = 0.013), and MFR (r = 0.157, p = 0.026) in women. However, dietary fiber intake was not correlated with percent body fat mass (r = −0.100, p = 0.108), percent skeletal muscle mass (r = 0.055, p = 0.376), and MFR (r = 0.065, p = 0.295) in men. After adjusting for covariates, dietary fiber intake was correlated with percent body fat mass (β = 0.229, p = 0.009) and tended to be correlated with percent body fat mass (β = 0.148, p = 0.071) in men.

Conclusion: Dietary fiber intake was correlated with skeletal muscle mass, body fat mass, and MFR among women with T2D.
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INTRODUCTION

There are increasing the number of elderly people with type 2 diabetes (T2D) (1). Diabetes accelerates muscle catabolism via insulin resistance and attenuating insulin signaling (2), causing rapidly loss of muscle mass and strength (3). Furthermore, older people with T2D frequently have sarcopenia (4), which is defined as the loss of muscle mass, strength, and function related to aging (5). Muscles are the main organs responsible for glucose metabolism in the body (6). Therefore, it is a critical target for treatment of T2D. A tight relationship between muscle atrophy and high visceral fat exists (7, 8). Muscle atrophy is caused by proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) secreted from fat cells enlarged by obesity (9). Further, fat accumulation increases the risk factor for cardiovascular diseases (10) and all-cause death (11). The muscle-to-fat ratio (MFR) is a marker of cardiometabolic conditions and chronic kidney disease among the elderly people (12, 13). MFR is a marker of sarcopenic obesity, which is defined as the coexistence of sarcopenia and obesity (14). Compared with sarcopenia alone, sarcopenic obesity is a greater risk of microvascular complications (15) and mortality (16, 17) among patients with T2D.

Dietary fiber intake can improve glycemic control and decrease hyperinsulinemia and plasma lipid concentrations in people with T2D (18). A high dietary fiber intake is reported to be associated with a low risk of all-cause death and cardiometabolic disease (19). This association seems to be mediated partly by the effect of dietary fiber on body mass (20). Dietary fiber delays the movement of food from the stomach to the intestines, therefore causing digestion and absorption of carbohydrates to be slowed and blood glucose levels to be raised slowly (21). Insulin is secreted when blood glucose levels rise, which functions to import glucose into cells; however, it also synthesizes and stores excess glucose as glycogen and triglycerides (22). Therefore, it is useful to slow the rise of postprandial blood glucose to prevent the fat accumulation. Previous studies reported that a high dietary fiber intake was related to a greater lean mass and a lower fat mass (23) and a decreased prevalence of sarcopenia (24). Moreover, a higher dietary fiber consumption was related to a lower visceral adiposity and multiple biomarker levels implicated in inflammation (25). However, the association between dietary fiber intake and body compositions, such as skeletal muscle mass, body fat mass, and MFR in people with T2D is unknown. Therefore, this cross-sectional study examined this relationship among men and women with T2D, individually.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Participants

The KAMOGAWA-DM cohort study, a prospective cohort study, has begun in 2014 and continues now (26). It enrolled outpatients in the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine Hospital (Kyoto, Japan), and the Department of Diabetology, Kameoka Municipal Hospital (Kameoka, Japan). Patients who completed the questionnaire from January 2015 to September 2021 were enrolled in the cross-sectional research. The exclusion criteria were follows: patients without T2D, those with incomplete answers to the questionnaire, and those without data about multifrequency impedance body composition analyzer findings. The present study was approved by the local research ethics committee (no. RBMR-E-466-6) and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A written informed consent was gathered from all participants.

Data Collection

Family history and duration of diabetes, the habit of smoking, and the habit of exercise were obtained using a standardized questionnaire. Participants were separated according to smoking status (smokers and non-smokers). Exercising regularly was determined as constantly playing some kind of sport > 1 times per week. Furthermore, venous blood samples were obtained from patients after an overnight fast, and the fasting plasma glucose, the hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), creatinine (Cr), triglyceride, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels were assessed. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was estimated from the Japanese Society of Nephrology equation, which is as follows: eGFR = 194 × Cr^{-1.094} × age^{-0.287} (mL/min/1.73 m²) (× 0.739, if woman) (27). Blood pressure was evaluated using the HEM-906 device (OMRON, Kyoto, Japan) in a quiet space after 5 min of rest. Data about the medications for diabetes, including sodium glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, insulin, metformin, and antihypertensive drugs were gathered from the medical records. Hypertension was defined as usage of antihypertensive drugs and/or blood pressure of ≥ 140/90 mmHg.

Definition of Percent Skeletal Muscle Mass, Percent Body Fat Mass, and Muscle-to-Fat Ratio

Body weight (kg), appendicular muscle mass (kg), and body fat mass (kg) were assessed via InBody 720, which is a multifrequency impedance body composition analyzer (InBody Japan, Tokyo, Japan) (28). Body mass index (BMI, kg/m²) was calculated by dividing body weight in kilogram (kg) by height in meters squared (m²). Ideal body weight (IBW) was regarded as height in meters squared multiplied by 22 (29). Percent body fat mass (%) was calculated as (body fat mass [kg]/body weight [kg] × 100. Percent skeletal muscle mass was calculated as (appendicular muscle mass/body weight) × 100 (30). MFR was estimated as appendicular muscle mass divided by body fat mass (14).

Data About Habitual Diet Intake

A brief-type self-administered diet history questionnaire (BDHQ) was administered to measure habitual food and nutrient intake during the preceding 1-month period (31). The validation of BDHQ has been reported previously (32, 33). The median (interquartile range) Pearson correlation coefficients between the dietary record and the BDHQ were 0.54 (0.45–0.61) in women and 0.56 (0.41–0.63) in men (32). Data about the
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intake of energy, fat, carbohydrate, protein, including animal and vegetable proteins, dietary fiber, including soluble and insoluble dietary fiber, and alcohol consumption as well as fiber were collected using the BDHQ. Energy intake (kcal/IBW/day), fat intake (g/IBW/day), carbohydrate intake (g/IBW/day), and protein intake (g/IBW/day), including animal protein intake (g/IBW/day) and vegetable protein intake (g/IBW/day) were estimated. The carbohydrate-to-fiber ratio was calculated as carbohydrate intake divided by fiber intake (34).

**Statistical Analyses**

Data were presented as means [standard deviation (SD)] or frequencies of potential confounding variables. The characteristics of men and women differed; thus, we analyzed data according to sex.

A correlation analysis was performed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. We analyzed the correlation between body composition and dietary fiber intake in younger (age < 60 years) and older adults (age ≥ 60 years), separately (35, 36). Moreover, we analyzed the correlation between body composition and soluble or insoluble dietary fiber intake, and the correlation between macronutrient intakes and body composition.

Investigating the association of dietary fiber intake with percent skeletal muscle mass, percent body fat mass, and MFR, multiple regression analyses were conducted after adjusting for potential confounders including age, duration of diabetes, energy intake, the habit of exercise, the habit of smoking, alcohol consumption, HbA1c and creatinine levels, treatment with metformin (37), insulin (38) and SGLT2 inhibitor (39). Statistical analyses were performed using JMP (version 13.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States) and EZR (Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan) (40), a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). P-values of < 0.05 were regarded statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The current study included 745 people. Among them, 139 who did not carry out the bioelectrical impedance analysis test, 102 without the date of the BDHQ, and 44 without T2D were excluded. Therefore, 460 patients (260 men and 200 women) were finally involved in the present study (Figure 1).

**Table 1** presents the clinical characteristics of the participants. The mean ages were 68.2 ± 10.6 years in men and 66.6 ± 10.8 years in women. The mean BMIs were 23.8 ± 3.7 kg/m² in men and 25.2 ± 5.6 kg/m² in women. The mean percent body fat mass and percent skeletal muscle mass were 25.2 ± 7.1 and 31.9 ± 4.4% in men and 34.8 ± 7.8 and 25.4 ± 3.9% in women, respectively. The mean MFRs were 1.5 ± 1.0 in men and 0.8 ± 0.3 in women.

**Table 2** presents the dietary intake of the participants. The mean dietary fiber intakes were 12.8 ± 5.8 g/day in men and 11.7 ± 4.8 g/day in women.

**Table 3** and **Figure 2** depict the correlation between dietary fiber intake and clinical characteristics. Dietary fiber intake was correlated with percent body fat mass (\( r = -0.163, p = 0.021 \)), percent skeletal muscle mass (\( r = 0.176, p = 0.013 \)), and MFR (\( r = 0.157, p = 0.026 \)) in women. However, dietary fiber intake was not related to percent body fat mass (\( r = -0.100, p = 0.108 \)), percent skeletal muscle mass (\( r = 0.055, p = 0.376 \)), and MFR (\( r = 0.065, p = 0.295 \)) in men. HbA1c was not correlated with dietary fiber intake in men (\( r = 0.020, p = 0.755 \)) and women (\( r = 0.023, p = 0.750 \)). Dietary fiber intake was correlated with creatinine (\( r = -0.152, p = 0.032 \)) and tended to be correlated with eGFR (\( r = 0.119, p = 0.092 \)) in women. Dietary fiber intake was not associated with triglycerides (\( r = -0.089, p = 0.151 \) in men; \( r = 0.049, p = 0.496 \) in women) and HDL cholesterol (\( r = 0.060, p = 0.332 \) in men; \( r = 0.063, p = 0.381 \) in women). The correlation between dietary fiber intake and systolic blood pressure was significant in men (\( r = 0.127, p = 0.042 \)).

---

**FIGURE 1** Study flow diagram for the registration of patients.
TABLE 1 | Clinical characteristics of study participants.

|                      | Men       | Women      |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|
|                      | N = 260   | N = 200    |
| Age (years)          | 68.2      | 66.6       |
| (10.6)               | (10.8)    |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 15.5 | 14.3       |
| (10.3)               | (11.2)    |
| Family history of diabetes (–/ +) | 161/99 | 103/97     |
| Height (cm)          | 167.0     | 153.1      |
| (6.0)                | (5.6)     |
| Body weight (kg)     | 66.4      | 59.0       |
| (11.2)               | (12.9)    |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 23.8 | 25.2      |
| (3.7)                | (5.6)     |
| Body fat mass (kg)   | 17.3      | 21.0       |
| (7.3)                | (8.7)     |
| Percent body fat mass (%) | 25.2 | 34.8      |
| (7.1)                | (7.8)     |
| Appendicular muscle mass (kg) | 20.9 | 14.7      |
| (3.2)                | (2.5)     |
| Percent skeletal muscle mass (%) | 31.9 | 25.4     |
| (4.4)                | (3.9)     |
| Muscle-to-fat ratio  | 1.5 (1.0) | 0.8 (0.3) |
| HbA1c (mmol/mol)     | 57.0      | 56.0       |
| (13.0)               | (12.8)    |
| HbA1c (%)            | 7.4 (1.2) | 7.3 (1.2) |
| (4.4)                | (4.4)     |
| Creatinine (umol/L)  | 86.6      | 62.5       |
| (37.3)               | (40.5)    |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) | 67.5 | 71.2      |
| (20.4)               | (19.3)    |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.5  | 1.4 (0.8) |
| (0.9)                | (0.8)     |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.5 | 1.6 (0.4) |
| (0.4)                | (0.4)     |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 133.1 | 134.1     |
| (17.5)               | (18.4)    |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 77.3 | 76.2      |
| (12.0)               | (12.5)    |
| Antihypertensive drugs (–/ +) | 111/149 | 98/102    |
| Presence of hypertension (–/ +) | 85/175 | 69/131    |
| Insulin (–/ +)       | 196/64    | 150/50     |
| Metformin (–/ +)     | 156/104   | 111/89     |
| SGLT2 inhibitor (–/ +) | 216/44  | 169/31     |
| Habit of smoking (–/ +) | 208/52 | 187/13    |
| Habit of exercise (–/ +) | 128/132| 109/91    |

Data were expressed as mean (standard deviation) or number. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

In younger men (n = 42), percent body fat mass (r = –0.343, p = 0.026) and MFR (r = 0.309, p = 0.047) were correlated with dietary fiber intake. Percent skeletal muscle mass tended to be correlated with dietary fiber intake in both younger (n = 40) and older women (n = 160), although these correlations was not statistically significant. Moreover, percent body fat mass (r = –0.154, p = 0.052) and MFR (r = 0.152, p = 0.056) in older women tended to be correlated with fiber intake (Supplementary Table 1).

In women, soluble dietary fiber intake was correlated with percent body fat mass (r = –0.159, p = 0.024), percent skeletal muscle mass (r = 0.186, p = 0.008), and MFR (r = 0.152, p = 0.032), and insoluble dietary fiber intake was correlated percent body fat mass (r = –0.160, p = 0.024), percent skeletal muscle mass (r = 0.175, p = 0.013), and MFR (r = 0.157, p = 0.027) (Supplementary Table 2).

TABLE 2 | Habitual diet intake of study participants.

|                      | Men       | Women      |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|
|                      | N = 260   | N = 200    |
| Total energy intake (kcal/day) | 1917.4 | 1508.5   |
| (627.8)              | (543.7)   |
| Energy intake (kcal/IBW/day) | 31.3 | 29.3     |
| (10.6)               | (10.8)    |
| Total protein intake (g/day) | 78.2 | 67.9     |
| (32.1)               | (29.3)    |
| Protein intake (g/IBW/day) | 1.3 (0.6)| 1.3 (0.6)|
| Protein intake per energy intake (%) | 16.3 (3.4)| 17.9 (3.6)|
| Animal protein intake (g/day) | 47.7 | 42.6     |
| (25.1)               | (23.4)    |
| Vegetable protein intake (g/day) | 30.5 | 25.2 (9.0)|
| (10.7)               |           |
| Vegetable protein intake (g/IBW/day) | 0.5 (0.2)| 0.5 (0.2)|
| Total fat intake (g/day) | 60.1 | 51.0     |
| (22.8)               | (22.6)    |
| Fat intake (g/IBW/day) | 1.0 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.5)|
| Fat intake per energy intake (%) | 28.4 (6.4)| 30.2 (5.8)|
| Total carbohydrate intake (g/day) | 237.6 | 189.4   |
| (86.6)               | (70.4)    |
| Carbohydrate intake (g/IBW/day) | 3.9 (1.5)| 3.7 (1.4)|
| Carbohydrate intake per energy intake (%) | 49.8 (9.2)| 50.5 (8.1)|
| Dietary fiber intake (g/day) | 12.8 (5.8)| 11.7 (4.8)|
| Carbohydrate-to-fiber ratio | 20.4 (7.7)| 17.5 (8.8)|
| Alcohol consumption (g/day) | 12.9 | 0.9 (4.0) |
| (23.4)               |           |

Data was expressed as mean (standard deviation) or number. IBW, ideal body weight.

TABLE 3 | Correlation between dietary fiber intake and clinical characteristics.

|                      | Men       | Women      |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|
|                      | N = 260   | N = 200    |
| Age (years)          | 0.171     | 0.006      |
| (0.079)              | (0.268)   |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 0.027 | 0.062     |
| (0.048)              | (0.500)   |
| Body weight (kg)     | –0.020    | –0.081     |
| (0.755)              | (0.252)   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | –0.006 | 0.022     |
| (0.922)              | (0.126)   |
| Body fat mass (kg)   | –0.086    | –0.121     |
| (0.166)              | (0.087)   |
| Percent body fat mass (%) | –0.100 | 0.108     |
| (0.108)              | (0.021)   |
| Percent skeletal muscle mass (%) | 0.055 | 0.176     |
| (0.376)              | (0.013)   |
| Muscle-to-fat ratio  | 0.065     | 0.157      |
| (0.295)              | (0.026)   |

Correlations were analyzed using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

Fat intake was not associated with percent body fat in both men (r = 0.003, p = 0.966) and women (r = –0.031, p = 0.663). Each macronutrient intake was not associated with MFR in both men and women (Supplementary Table 3).

To investigate the association between dietary fiber intake and percent body fat mass, percent skeletal muscle mass, and MFR, multiple regression analyses were performed (Table 4). In women, dietary fiber intake was correlated with percent body fat mass (β = 0.229, p = 0.009), percent skeletal muscle mass (β = 0.175, p = 0.013), and MFR (β = 0.157, p = 0.027) (Supplementary Table 2).
mass ($\beta = 0.364, p < 0.001$), and MFR ($\beta = 0.245, p = 0.006$) after adjusting for covariates. Dietary fiber intake was related to percent skeletal muscle mass ($\beta = 0.221, p = 0.008$) and tended to be correlated with percent body fat mass ($\beta = 0.148, p = 0.071$) in men.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, the present study first investigated the association between dietary fiber intake and body composition, which included skeletal muscle mass, body fat mass, and MFR among men and women with T2D. Results suggested that dietary fiber intake was related to percent body fat mass, percent skeletal muscle mass, and MFR in women. However, the relationship between dietary fiber intake and body composition in men should be further investigated.

The correlation between dietary fiber intake and percent body fat mass, percent skeletal muscle mass, and MFR might be explained by the following:

High visceral fat and muscle atrophy are closely related (7, 8). Muscle atrophy is induced by inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α and IL-6 secreted from fat cells enlarged by obesity (9). Protein kinase B signaling and nuclear factor κB via the secretion of transforming growth factor-β, IL-6 and TNF-α was associated with sarcopenia (41). On the other hand, dietary fiber delays the movement of food from the stomach to the intestines, therefore causing blood glucose levels to be raised slowly (21). Suppressing the rise in postprandial glycemia avoids excessive insulin secretion and prevents the fat accumulation (42). A high dietary fiber intake is associated with the reduction of insulin resistance and fat accumulation (23, 25). A high dietary fiber intake and low inflammatory marker levels were found to be associated with visceral adiposity, which might be a consequence of dietary fiber-induced improvement in insulin sensitivity (25). Insulin resistance causes muscle atrophy (3). In addition, dietary fiber is associated with low IL-6 and TNF-α levels (43). In this study, dietary fiber intake might have suppressed fat accumulation and inflammation; therefore, it was positively correlated with skeletal muscle mass.

Dietary fiber intake greatly influences the metabolic activity and composition of gut microbiome (23). Gut microbiota affects the progression of obesity in the host. Moreover, gut microbiota in obese mice differed from that in normal mice (44, 45), and this relationship was found in the general population (46). Some gut bacteria grow using dietary fiber and make short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (47). In an animal study, SCFAs (particularly butyrate) prevented the translocation of lipopolysaccharide, a potent inflammatory molecule made in the cell membrane of gram-negative bacteria (48). Therefore, muscle atrophy might also be suppressed because dietary fiber intake inhibited inflammation based on body fat mass. Moreover, butyrate, one of SCFAs, promotes inducing regulatory T cells, which have a central role in the suppression of inflammatory (49). Regulatory T cells are known to have a protective effect on muscle atrophy (50). Therefore, the anti-inflammatory effects of fiber prevent muscle atrophy through SCFAs. Therefore, dietary fiber intake prevents muscle atrophy. Moreover, energy metabolism and
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TABLE 4 | Multiple regression analysis on percent body fat mass, percent skeletal muscle mass, and muscle-to-fat ratio.

| Men | Percent body fat mass (%) | Percent skeletal muscle mass (%) | Muscle-to-fat ratio |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
|     | β                        | p                               | β                | p               | β                | p    |
| Dietary fiber intake (g/day) | 0.148                     | 0.071                           | 0.221            | 0.008           | 0.073            | 0.381 |
| Age (years)                  | –0.009                    | 0.899                           | –0.220           | 0.003           | –0.034           | 0.642 |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 0.099                     | 0.142                           | 0.134            | 0.052           | –0.012           | 0.863 |
| Energy intake (kcal/IBW/day) | –0.084                    | 0.321                           | –0.186           | 0.033           | –0.018           | 0.832 |
| Exercise*                    | 0.038                     | 0.524                           | –0.025           | 0.685           | 0.003            | 0.963 |
| Smoking†                     | 0.136                     | 0.025                           | 0.062            | 0.308           | 0.029            | 0.636 |
| Alcohol consumption (g/day)  | 0.125                     | 0.065                           | 0.213            | 0.002           | 0.055            | 0.427 |
| HbA1c (%)                    | –0.014                    | 0.822                           | –0.057           | 0.387           | –0.012           | 0.855 |
| Creatinine (umol/L)          | 0.057                     | 0.365                           | 0.103            | 0.107           | 0.261            | <0.001|
| Insulin treatment‡           | 0.123                     | 0.058                           | 0.041            | 0.532           | 0.116            | 0.079 |
| SGLT2 inhibitor§             | –0.227                    | <0.001                          | –0.110           | 0.083           | –0.096           | 0.130 |
| Metformin||                 | –0.050                     | 0.449                           | 0.036            | 0.597           | –0.037           | 0.585 |

| Women | Percent body fat mass (%) | Percent skeletal muscle mass (%) | Muscle-to-fat ratio |
|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
|       | β                        | p                               | β                | p               | β                | p    |
| Dietary fiber intake (g/day) | 0.229                    | 0.009                           | 0.364            | <0.001          | 0.245            | 0.006 |
| Age (years)                  | 0.044                    | 0.561                           | –0.147           | 0.056           | –0.033           | 0.668 |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 0.185                    | 0.011                           | 0.116            | 0.119           | 0.200            | 0.008 |
| Energy intake (kcal/IBW/day) | –0.083                   | 0.335                           | –0.235           | 0.008           | –0.097           | 0.273 |
| Exercise*                    | 0.105                    | 0.126                           | –0.042           | 0.545           | 0.082            | 0.242 |
| Smoking†                     | 0.053                    | 0.450                           | 0.096            | 0.185           | 0.051            | 0.482 |
| Alcohol consumption (g/day)  | 0.014                    | 0.842                           | 0.008            | 0.907           | 0.064            | 0.374 |
| HbA1c (%)                    | –0.174                   | 0.013                           | –0.230           | 0.001           | –0.144           | 0.044 |
| Creatinine (umol/L)          | –0.112                   | 0.100                           | –0.029           | 0.677           | –0.107           | 0.124 |
| Insulin treatment‡           | 0.062                    | 0.397                           | 0.003            | 0.997           | 0.034            | 0.655 |
| SGLT2 inhibitor§             | –0.148                   | 0.034                           | 0.021            | 0.767           | –0.140           | 0.049 |
| Metformin||                 | –0.120                     | 0.100                           | –0.150           | 0.044           | –0.102           | 0.170 |

IBW, ideal body weight.

*Exercise status was defined as non-regular exerciser (= 0) or regular exerciser (= 1).
†Smoking status was defined as non-smoker (= 0) or smoker (= 1).
‡Insulin treatment, insulin secretagogues, insulin sensitzers, and nutrient load reducers were defined as without (= 0) or with (= 1).
§SGLT2 inhibitor was defined as not use of SGLT2 inhibitor (= 0) or use of SGLT2 inhibitor (= 1).
||Metformin was defined as not use of metformin (= 0) or use of metformin (= 1).

glucose homeostasis in the host are promoted by SCFAs made by these bacteria (51, 52). SCFAs inhibit fat accumulation in adipose tissues (53) and increase skeletal muscle mass (54). Dietary fiber preserves lean body mass and decreases adiposity by increasing the biosynthesis of mitochondria and the oxidation of fatty acids in skeletal muscle (55). SCFA receptors are present not only in adipose tissues but also in skeletal muscle. SCFAs, particularly acetic acid, are an activator of AMP-activated protein kinase. Further, they promote oxidation of mitochondrial lipids in skeletal muscle via AMP production, which would lead to prevent muscle atrophy (56, 57). This study found that dietary fiber intake was related to MFR in women. Moreover, it improved MFR because dietary fiber intake might have suppressed body fat mass and prevented muscle atrophy.

In this study, there was no sufficient relationship between dietary fiber and body composition in men. It is unclear why the associations between dietary fiber intake and body composition in men and women are different. The effect of dietary fiber is considered to be more significant in women than in men, this might be because women are more likely to accumulate body fat and to experience muscle atrophy than men (58). In fact, it has been reported that dietary fiber intake suppresses the body fat mass (59). However, this study showed that dietary fiber intake tended to be correlated with body composition in men, although it did not reach statistically significance. The multivariate analysis showed correlations between dietary fiber and body compositions in men. Thus, further studies must be conducted to validate this relationship.

The current study had some limitations. First, due to its cross-sectional nature, causal relationships could not be identified. In this study, fat intake did not associate with percent fat mass in both men and women, although fat intake had been reported to be associated with fat accumulation (60). People with high percent fat mass might have reduced fat intake. Second, only Japanese individuals were enrolled in the current study. Thus, the results might not be generalizable to individuals from other
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