In Situ Observation of Crystalline Silicon Growth from SiO₂ at Atomic Scale
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The growth of crystalline Si (c-Si) via direct electron beam writing shows promise for fabricating Si nanomaterials due to its ultrahigh resolution. However, to increase the writing speed is a major obstacle, due to the lack of systematic experimental explorations of the growth process and mechanisms. This paper reports a systematic experimental investigation of the beam-induced formation of c-Si nanoparticles (NPs) from amorphous SiO₂ under a range of doses and temperatures by in situ transmission electron microscopy at the atomic scale. A three-orders-of-magnitude writing speed-up is identified under 80 keV irradiation at 600°C compared with 300 keV irradiation at room temperature. Detailed analysis reveals that the self-organization of c-Si NPs is driven by reduction of c-Si effective free energy under electron irradiation. This study provides new insights into the formation mechanisms of c-Si NPs during direct electron beam writing and suggests methods to improve the writing speed.

1. Introduction

With the development of semiconductor technology, fabrication of crystalline Si (c-Si) from amorphous SiO₂ via direct electron beam writing is a promising method to fabricate Si-based nanodevices [1–3]. It is a one-step resistless process which avoids the resolution loss during development in a developer [4–8]. However, the writing speed is still the main handicap for practical applications due to only one pixel being exposed at a time [9, 10]. To improve the exposure speed is critical for direct electron beam writing. But it has been suggested that the writing current is limited by the Coulomb interaction between electrons, which causes beam blurring and loss of resolution [11].

Fully understanding the growth mechanisms of c-Si from SiO₂ is essential for increasing exposure speed during direct writing. Du et al. obtained c-Si nanodots under 200 keV electron irradiation at ambient temperature with a dose of 1 × 10⁸ C m⁻² and attributed the formation of amorphous Si to valence electron ionization and the subsequent transformation to c-Si to the elastic displacement of Si with a threshold beam energy of approximately 150.2 keV [12]. However, Takeguchi et al. grew c-Si under 100 keV electron irradiation at 577°C [13], and Chen et al. fabricated a Si nanodot array under 100 keV irradiation at room temperature with a dose of approximately 10⁹ C m⁻² [14]. They believed that the Si nanodot formation mechanism is process-induced SiO₂ dissociation. Hence, the mechanism of formation of c-Si under irradiation is still unclear, and the quantitative understanding of the influence of temperature and beam energy is very limited.

The interaction between high-energy electrons and SiO₂ can be considered elastic and inelastic scattering. Elastic scattering is the interaction of an electron with an atomic nucleus that will induce atom displacement by direct momentum transfer if the transferred energy is larger than the threshold displacement energy ($T_d$) [15]. The $T_d$ of O and Si are 9.3 eV and 18.6 eV, respectively [16]; thus, the O atoms are more easily displaced. Inelastic scattering is the interaction of incident electrons with atomic electrons that can lead to ionization. The atomic electrons are considered valence electrons and core-shell electrons in SiO₂. Ionization of a valence electrons...
electron creates only one hole in the valence band with a lifetime of approximately $10^{-16}$ s, while ionization of a core-shell electron creates at least two holes in the valence band by the Knotek-Feibelman mechanism. The hole-hole correlations can block the resonant one-hole hopping process, thus increasing the lifetime of the holes to the order of $10^{-13}$ s. The presence of holes in the valence can induce a repulsive reaction between nearby nuclei and result in subsequent desorption of O. The desorption time for atoms is on the order of $10^{-13}$ s, so the core electron ionization has a larger probability of inducing dissociation of SiO$_2$ [17].

In this work, we apply in situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to investigate the detailed growth process of c-Si nanoparticles (NPs) under different electron energies and temperatures to explore methods to increase the exposure speed during direct electron beam writing. In situ transmission electron microscopy is a powerful and versatile tool for real-time investigation of the properties of nanomaterials under electron irradiation and external stimulations [18–22].

We demonstrate that amorphous SiO$_x$ (x < 2) NPs form firstly and then transform to c-Si NPs, when amorphous SiO$_2$ is exposed to an electron shower. The elastic sputtering and the Knotek-Feibelman dissociation mechanisms induced desorption of O in SiO$_2$, results in the formation of amorphous SiO$_x$ NPs. The critical dose for SiO$_2$ NP formation is independent of temperature and decreases with reducing electron beam energy. The formation of c-Si NPs is driven by the self-organization of Si atoms, which is caused by phase stability inversion between c-Si and amorphous SiO$_2$ under electron irradiation. Detailed analysis reveals that a larger effective free energy difference between SiO$_2$ and c-Si is critical to improve the speed during direct writing. This energy difference increases with decreasing electron beam energy and increasing temperature. The critical dose for c-Si NP formation can be decreased three orders of magnitude to approximately $10^7$ C m$^{-2}$ under 80 keV irradiation at 600°C.

### 2. Results and Discussion

Figure 1(a1)–1(a8) shows false-color TEM images of the c-Si NP formation dynamics under 300 keV electron irradiation at 400°C. Firstly, amorphous SiO$_x$ NPs are observed when the irradiation dose is accumulating to $8.37 \times 10^6$ C m$^{-2}$, due to the desorption of O. The low magnification images in Figure S1 (Supporting Information) clearly show the formation of nanoparticles in SiO$_2$. Then, a Si nucleus is formed in the SiO$_2$ NP at the dose of $1.026 \times 10^7$ C m$^{-2}$, shown in Figure 1(a3). Figure 1(a4)–1(a8) represents the growth process of the Si NP with an increase of irradiation dose. The growth of c-Si NPs is induced by attachment of Si atoms to the nucleus driven by free energy difference, which will be discussed latter. Due to this growth manner, once the misattachment of atoms occurs, the formation of twins will be observed (Figure 1(a8)). The c-Si NP size is about 4 nm and the dose is $1.528 \times 10^7$ C m$^{-2}$.

To investigate the influence of temperature, SiO$_2$ is irradiated at 25°C and 600°C. Figure 1(b1)–1(c2) shows images of amorphous SiO$_2$ after 300 keV electron irradiation at 25°C and 600°C. The images in Figure 1(b1)–1(b3) are high magnification TEM images revealing the changes in the surface from curved to flat and then to curved again as the irradiation dose increased at 25°C. The former process indicates the deformation of SiO$_2$, which is attributed to beam-induced athermal activation of massive plastic flow and surface migration [23, 24]. The latter process is the formation of amorphous SiO$_x$ NPs due to the desorption of O. The detailed process and low magnification images are shown in Figure S2 (Supporting Information). In Figure 1(b4), a Si nucleus is observed in a SiO$_2$ nanoparticle when the dose of electron irradiation is up to $2.64 \times 10^8$ C m$^{-2}$. This dose is approximately one order of magnitude higher than that at 400°C, indicating that heating can accelerate the formation of crystalline Si. However, the critical dose for SiO$_2$ NP formation does not change noticeably, on the order of $10^6$ C m$^{-2}$. Hence, the critical dose for SiO$_2$ and Si NP formation will eventually overlap with increasing temperature. Figures 1(c1) and 1(c2) show that c-Si NPs appear directly under a dose of $1.0 \times 10^7$ C m$^{-2}$, without the observation of SiO$_2$ NPs at 600°C. Figure S3 (Supporting Information) shows the morphological changes at different dose rates. The critical dose for NP formation does not change significantly, which indicates that this process is dose-dependent. The Si (111) lattices are shown in Figure 1(c2). Figure 1(d) shows the changes of the Si L$_{1,3}$ edge in the electron energy loss spectrum (EELS) with the increase of dose at 25°C. The edge at 99.8 eV is evidence of elemental Si, and it arises at a dose of $2.42 \times 10^8$ C m$^{-2}$, which is consistent with the observations of TEM images.

To investigate the influence of electron beam energy on the growth process of c-Si NPs, amorphous SiO$_2$ was irradiated under 80 keV at different temperatures. Figure 2(a)–2(d) shows the formation of c-Si NPs at 25°C. Amorphous SiO$_x$ NPs can be observed at the surface highlighted by the cyan dashed oval in Figure 2(a). The critical dose for the formation of SiO$_x$ NPs is approximately on the order of $10^7$ C m$^{-2}$ and is independent of temperature, as shown in Figures S4 and S5 (Supporting Information). The c-Si is formed at a dose of $5.21 \times 10^7$ C m$^{-2}$, and the Si (111) lattice fringes are represented in Figure 2(d). These two critical doses are both approximately one order of magnitude lower than that under 300 keV electron irradiation. The EELS in Figure 2(e) confirms the formation of elemental Si when the dose increases to $6 \times 10^7$ C m$^{-2}$. As the temperature increases, the critical dose for growth of c-Si NPs decreases (Figure 2(f)–2(h)), the same as in the case under 300 keV irradiation. The direct formation of Si NPs is also observed under 80 keV irradiation at 600°C (Figures 2(h) and S5, Supporting Information). These results show that the sensitivity of SiO$_2$ for the fabrication of c-Si is higher under low energy electron irradiation at high temperature. Our findings are different from those proposed by Du et al., who believe that the formation of c-Si NPs results from the elastic displacement of Si atoms and that the threshold energy is 150.2 keV [12]. Hence, the c-Si NP growth mechanism cannot be the elastic displacement of Si atoms.

To elucidate the mechanism behind the growth process, we create phase diagrams for temperature and dose with different electron energies (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). The phase
diagram can be divided into four parts. Part I indicates the
deformation of SiO$_2$ under low-dose irradiation, which has
been discussed in other works [23, 24]. Parts II and III
represent the formation of amorphous SiO$_x$ and crystalline
Si NPs. In Figures 3(a) and 3(b), the critical dose of SiO$_x$
NP formation is temperature insensitive, implying that this
process is athermal. The critical dose is averaged for di-

derent temperatures and plotted in Figure 3(c), which indi-
cates that the growth rate of SiO$_x$ NPs is faster under
low electron beam energy irradiation. However, the critical
dose of c-Si NP formation decreases exponentially with
increasing temperature. The relationships between the crit-
ical dose and temperature are acquired by linear fitting in
Figures 3(a) and 3(b):

$$D = 1.43 \times 10^9 e^{T/104.09} \text{C m}^{-2} (300 \text{ keV}),$$

$$D = 8.02 \times 10^7 e^{(T-105.96)/2.42} \text{C m}^{-2} (80 \text{ keV}),$$

where $T$ is the temperature in °C. The slopes in the
figures are the same, indicating that the influence of temper-
ature and electron beam energy is uncorrelated. There are
intersections between the two critical doses at approximately
600 °C, above which direct formation of c-Si NPs
is observed. The critical dose of crystalline Si formation
at room temperature under different electron beam energy
irradiation is shown in Figure 3(c). The influence of
electron beam energy on the critical dose is estimated by
linear fitting in Figure 3(c) as follows:

$$D = 8.92 \times 10^6 e^{E/65.63} \text{C m}^{-2},$$

where $E$ is the electron beam energy in eV.
where \( E \) is the electron beam energy in keV. Because of the independence between temperature and electron beam energy, we can simply combine Equations (1), (2), and (3) to obtain the relationship between the critical dose and temperature and electron beam energy:

\[
D = 1.66 \times 10^7 e^{(E/85.62) - (T/105.63)} \text{ C.m}^{-2}, \quad T \leq 600^\circ \text{C}. \quad (4)
\]

Equation (4) implies that there are two types of mechanisms behind the growth process. One is electron irradiation-induced reduction of \( \text{SiO}_2 \), which plays a part during the whole growth process (I→II→III). The dissolution of \( \text{SiO}_2 \) can be induced by elastic sputtering and/or inelastic ionization. As discussed above, O is more easily sputtered, and in Figure 3(d), the elastic scattering cross section of O is approximately one order of magnitude larger than that of Si. The cross section is calculated with the Mckinley-Feshbach equation [15]. The core electron ionization can also result in desorption of O with the cross section:

\[
\sigma_O = \sum \sigma_{\text{Core}} \times f_O \times P(t_c), \quad (5)
\]

where \( \sigma_{\text{Core}} \) is the core electron ionization cross section, \( f_O \) is the fraction of interatomic Auger events that result in two holes localized in a bonding orbital, and \( P(t_c) \) is the probability that two holes are localized in the orbital at time \( t_c \) after being created in a surface bond orbital at time \( t = 0 \) [25]. The \( \sigma_O \) for \( \text{SiO}_2 \) is \( 10^{-26} - 10^{-25} \text{ m}^2 \) when the electron beam energy is 150–3000 eV [25–28]. We assume that \( f_O \) and \( P(t_c) \) remain constant with increasing electron beam energy because they are properties of \( \text{SiO}_2 \).

The core electron ionization cross section is acquired by Bote’s analytical formulas and shown in Figure 3(e) and Figure S6 (Supporting Information) [29]. The ionization cross section at 80–300 keV is approximately one-tenth of the cross section at 80 keV.

Figure 2: Formation of Si nanocrystals at different doses and temperatures under 80 keV electron irradiation. (a–d) Crystalline Si NP growth process as the dose increased at 25°C. The Si (111) lattice can be clearly seen in (d). (e) EELS of Si L\(_{2,3}\) edge at 25°C under different doses. (f–h) Formation of c-Si NPs at 200°C, 400°C, and 600°C with the corresponding dose. The unit of the dose is 10\(^6\) C.m\(^{-2}\).
of that at 150–3000 eV. Hence, the O desorption cross section at 80–300 keV is approximately $10^{-27}$–$10^{-26}$ m$^2$, which is comparable with the elastic sputtering cross section of O (Figure 3(d)). However, the creation of O vacancies leads to dangling bonds in Si atoms, and these unpaired electrons provide a channel for fast charge-transfer screening, which

Figure 3: Phase diagram and electron scattering cross section. (a, b) Phase change during the growth process of crystalline Si NPs under 300 keV and 80 keV irradiation. Triangles and diamonds indicate the critical dose at different temperatures. The dashed lines correspond to linear fitting. (c) Critical dose for the formation of c-Si NPs at 25°C (red stars) and amorphous SiO$_x$ NPs (blue triangles) under different beam energies. The dashed line is the linear fitting, and the data at 200 keV is reported by Du et al. [12]. (d, e) Elastic and inelastic electron scattering cross sections at different energies. (f) Elastic cross sections of O at different displacement threshold energies.
reduces the hole-hole correlation energy and lowers the lifetime of localized holes. Intuitively, an increase in the O vacancy density causes a decrease in $P(t_f)$ in Equation (5); therefore, the desorption of O by core electron ionization will be greatly suppressed. This result indicates that both elastic and inelastic scattering is responsible for O desorption at the beginning of the process, and then elastic scattering dominates with the continuous generation of O vacancies, which means that the formation of c-Si NPs is mainly driven by the elastic displacement of O. However, the critical dose reduces with decreasing electron beam energy, and the cross section does not change noticeably in Figure 3(d). The generation of O vacancies can cause the remaining O atoms to be more easily sputtered, hence reducing the $T_{d}$ for O. The cross section for O with different $T_{d}$ is shown in Figure 3(f), in which a decrease of the cross section can be observed with an increase of electron beam energy. Therefore, the elastic displacement of O is responsible for c-Si formation.

The other mechanism shown in Equation (4) is temperature-dependent diffusion, which only influences the growth process of c-Si NPs (II→III). Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show that the equivalent average diameter of c-Si NPs is approximately 4 nm under different electron energies at 600°C. The average diameter is slightly smaller at 400°C (Figure S7, Supporting Information). The diffusing and condensing of Si atoms is essential for c-Si NP formation. However, without irradiation, silicon oxide is more energy favorable than crystalline silicon [26, 30]. SiO$_2$ under high-intensity irradiation is an open and highly dissipative system. Therefore, the growth of c-Si NPs is a self-organization process rather than an equilibrium thermodynamic process from the perspective of energy [31, 32]. This ordering phenomenon has also been observed in the transformation of carbon onions to diamonds under electron irradiation [33]. The ratio of thermal-activated carbon atom jump rates across the interface between graphite (G) and diamond (D) obeys the relationship $\Gamma_{G} \Gamma^{-1}_{D} = \exp(\Delta G/k_{B}T)$, where $\Delta G$ is the Gibbs free energy difference between diamond and graphite, $k_{B}$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $T$ is temperature. Without irradiation, $\Delta G$ is positive and carbon atoms tend to diffuse from diamond to graphite. Apart from thermally activated jumps, ballistic jumps may lead to atom exchanges between graphite and diamond, when the system is under electron irradiation. Considering these ballistic jumps, the nonequilibrium effective free energy difference is expressed using Zaiser-Banhart’s equation [33]:

$$\Delta G_{eff} = \Delta G - k_{B}T \ln \frac{1 + \eta \sigma_{G}/r_{th}}{1 + \eta \sigma_{D}/r_{th}},$$

where $r_{th}$ is the thermal jump rate of atoms across the interface, $\eta$ is the irradiation flux, and $\sigma_{G}$ and $\sigma_{D}$ are elastic displacement cross sections in graphite and diamond, respectively. The equation is defined to describe the phase stability under irradiation [33]. When the system is under electron irradiation at not too high temperature (small $r_{th}$, $\eta \sigma_{G}/r_{th} >> 1$, $\eta \sigma_{D}/r_{th} >> 1$), the nonequilibrium free energy of diamond is reduced by approximately $k_{B}T \ln (\sigma_{G}/\sigma_{D})$. Because $\sigma_{G}$ is much larger than $\sigma_{D}$, the effective free energy difference is reduced below zero, which means an inversion of phase stability [33]. In this work, the displacement cross section of SiO$_2$ is larger than that of Si because of the much lower $T_{d}$ (O). The ratio of the cross section is approximately 10 and co when the electron beam energy is above 150.2 keV and between 64.0 and 150.2 keV, as shown in Figure 3(d). The effective free energy of c-Si is greatly reduced, and transformation from amorphous SiO$_2$ NPs to c-Si NPs is activated. Intuitively, the high vacancy density in SiO$_2$ produces many high-energy elemental Si atoms with strong diffusivity, and the low vacancy density makes the Si atoms in the c-Si stable with weak diffusivity.

Control experiments have been conducted to confirm that heating alone up to 600°C cannot induce the transformation from amorphous SiO$_2$ NPs to c-Si NPs or even reduce defects in the as-formed c-Si NPs (Figures S8 and S9, Supporting Information). This temperature is below the Si NP crystallization temperature at approximately 800°C [34]. However, due to the high vapor pressure of Si, the sublimation temperature is lower than the crystallization temperature under ultrahigh vacuum, and sublimation of Si is observed when the temperature is increased to above ~750°C (Figures 3(a) and 3(b) and Figures S10 and S11, Supporting Information) [19]. Moreover, the only dissociation of SiO$_2$ is observed without the formation of SiO or Si NPs when SiO$_2$ is irradiated at 900°C (Figure S12, Supporting Information). Directly heating SiO$_2$ up to 1000°C and even repeatedly heating SiO$_2$ between 25°C and 1000°C cannot cause the growth of SiO$_2$ or Si NPs (Figure S13, Supporting Information). All these results reveal that electron irradiation is the key factor for c-Si NP formation, and the heating effects induced by irradiation are also excluded.

The growth process can be divided into two parts as shown in Figure 4(c)→4(f): formation of amorphous SiO$_x$ and c-Si NPs. As discussed above, initially, the desorption of O is induced by elastic sputtering (O$^0$) and core electron ionization (O$^+$), resulting in the growth of silicon suboxide (Figure 4(d)). This process does not involve the thermal diffusion of atoms, so the critical dose is electron beam energy dependent but temperature independent. Continuous generation of O vacancies not only suppresses core electron ionization-induced desorption but also reduces the threshold energy of O$^+$, which increases the displacement cross section in SiO$_2$ and reduces the effective free energy difference between Si and SiO$_2$. Once the energy difference becomes negative, the Si atoms start to condense and c-Si NPs nucleate from the SiO$_x$ NPs, as shown in Figures 4(e) and 4(g). With increasing doses, the amorphous SiO$_x$ NPs completely transform into c-Si NPs. Equation (4) reveals that the critical dose for c-Si NP formation decreases when the temperature is increased, and the electron beam energy is reduced. From the energy perspective, increasing temperature and reducing electron beam energy can both lower the effective free energy of c-Si, leading to larger rates of Si atom diffusion from SiO$_x$ to Si. Larger rates mean a short time for growth and a low
integrated dose. When the temperature is above 600°C, the c-Si NPs grow so fast that amorphous SiO\textsubscript{x} NPs cannot be observed in our experiments. It is worth noting that the constant under $E$ in Equation (4) is the same as the threshold electron beam energy (64 keV) for O displacement in SiO\textsubscript{2} [16]. This result may imply that to trigger the growth process,

![Figure 4: Equivalent diameter distribution and schematic diagram of the growth process of c-Si NPs. (a, b) Si NP size under 300 keV and 80 keV electron beam irradiation at 600°C. (c-g) Atomic models of the c-Si NP growth process. (a) The initial amorphous SiO\textsubscript{2}, (b) formation of amorphous SiO\textsubscript{x} NPs under electron irradiation, (c) nucleation of crystalline Si in SiO\textsubscript{x} NPs under further irradiation, and (d) complete transformation into c-Si NPs. (g) Details of the nucleation of c-Si from SiO\textsubscript{x} NP.](image-url)
the electron beam energy should be larger than \( \sim 65.62 \text{ keV} \). Similarly, the constant under \( T \) may imply that the self-organization process only occurs above \( -105.03^\circ \text{C} \). Amorphization in crystalline Si under electron irradiation was observed at \(-248^\circ \text{C}\) [35]. However, to confirm the physical meaning of these two constants, further detailed experiments should be carried out.

3. Conclusion

In summary, the formation of c-Si NPs from amorphous SiO\(_2\) NPs is a self-organization process induced by the elastic displacement of O. The high displacement cross section of O in SiO\(_2\) significantly reduces the effective free energy of c-Si, causing the phase stability inversion between c-Si and amorphous oxide and thus promoting the growth of c-Si NPs. Quantitative experiments reveal that the critical dose for c-Si NP formation decreases exponentially with increasing temperature and reducing electron beam energy. The exposure speed during direct electron writing can be enhanced by three orders of magnitude under 80 keV irradiation at 600°C. The formation of amorphous SiO\(_2\) NPs is attributed to O desorption induced by elastic sputtering and core electron ionization, which will be suppressed by a high density of O vacancies. The critical dose for SiO\(_2\) NP formation is temperature independent and decreases with high scattering cross sections under low electron beam energy. Our work reveals the detailed mechanism and quantitative conditions of the fabrication process and provides valuable information for direct electron beam writing for the fabrication of Si into SiO\(_2\).

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Preparation of Samples. The amorphous SiO\(_2\) was purchased from Xianfeng Nano Materials Co., Ltd. Firstly, the power was dispersed in deionized water. After sonication for 30 min, a drop (~10 \( \mu \text{l} \)) of the suspension was placed at the center of the heating chip (Aduro 100, Protochips Inc., and Wildfire, DENSsolutions Inc.) and dried under ambient conditions.

4.2. In Situ TEM Observation. The growth process is conducted in a Cs-corrected transmission electron microscope (FEI Titan 80-300) with a beam current density of 0.31 – 5.4 \( \times 10^4 \) A m\(^{-2}\) at 300 keV and 1.28 \( \times 10^4 \) A m\(^{-2}\) at 80 keV.
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References

[1] I.-H. Hong, Y.-C. Liao, and S.-C. Yen, “Self-organization of a highly integrated silicon nanowire network on a Si[110] – 16 \times 2 surface by controlling domain growth,” Advanced Functional Materials, vol. 19, no. 21, pp. 3389–3395, 2009.
[2] J. Hur, B. C. Jang, J. Park et al., “A recoverable synapse device using a three-dimensional silicon transistor,” Advanced Functional Materials, vol. 28, no. 47, article 1804844, 2018.
[3] W. Zhang and W. Zheng, “Single atom excels as the smallest functional material,” Advanced Functional Materials, vol. 26, no. 18, pp. 2988–2993, 2016.
[4] Y. Chen, “Nanofabrication by electron beam lithography and its applications: a review,” Microelectronic Engineering, vol. 135, pp. 57–72, 2015.
[5] Q. Wang, Y. F. Chen, S. B. Long et al., “Fabrication and characterization of single electron transistor on SOI,” Microelectronic Engineering, vol. 84, no. 5, p. 1647, 2007.
[6] H. Namatsu, Y. Takashiki, Y. Yamauchi, Y. Yamaguchi, M. Nagase, and K. Kurihara, “Three-dimensional siloxane resist for the formation of nanopatterns with minimum linewidth fluctuations,” Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B: Microelectronics and Nanometer Structures, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 69, 1998.
[7] R. Bonam, P. Verhagen, A. Munder, and J. Hartley, “Performance characterization of negative resists for sub-10-nm electron beam lithography,” Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. C6C34–C6C40, 2010.

[8] N. Jiang, “On the spatial resolution limit of direct-write electron beam lithography,” Microelectronic Engineering, vol. 168, pp. 41–44, 2017.

[9] A. A. Tseng, C. Kuan, C. D. Chen, and K. J. Ma, “Electron beam lithography in nanoscale fabrication: recent development,” IEEE Transactions on Electronics Packaging Manufacturing, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 141–149, 2003.

[10] T. R. Groves, D. Pickard, B. Rafferty, N. Crosland, D. Adam, and G. Schubert, “Maskless electron beam lithography: prospects, progress, and challenges,” Microelectronic Engineering, vol. 61-62, pp. 285–293, 2002.

[11] A. N. Broers, “Resolution limits for electron-beam lithography,” IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 502–513, 1988.

[12] X.-w. Du, M. Takeguchi, M. Tanaka, and K. Furuya, "Formation of crystalline Si nanodots in SiO₂ films by electron irradiation,” Applied Physics Letters, vol. 82, no. 7, pp. 1108–1110, 2003.

[13] M. Takeguchi, M. Tanaka, and K. Furuya, “Fabrication and analysis of the nanometer-sized structure of silicon by ultrahigh vacuum field emission transmission electron microscope,” Applied Surface Science, vol. 146, no. 1-4, pp. 257–261, 1999.

[14] G. S. Chen, C. B. Boothroyd, and C. J. Humphreys, “Electron-beam-induced damage in amorphous SiO₂ and the direct fabrication of silicon nanostructures,” Philosophical Magazine A, vol. 78, no. 2, p. 491, 1998.

[15] F. Banhart, “Irradiation effects in carbon nanostructures,” Reports on Progress in Physics, vol. 62, no. 8, pp. 1181–1221, 1999.

[16] R. L. Pfeffer, “Damage center formation in SiO₂ thin films by fast electron irradiation,” Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 57, no. 12, article 5176, 1985.

[17] M. L. Knotek, “Stimulated desorption,” Reports on Progress in Physics, vol. 47, no. 11, article 1499, 1984.

[18] X. Liu, T. Xu, X. Wu et al., “Top-down fabrication of sub-nanometre semiconductor nanoribbons derived from molybdenum disulfide sheets,” Nature Communications, vol. 4, article 1776, 2013.

[19] K. Yu, W. Zhao, X. Wu et al., “In situ atomic-scale observation of monolayer graphene growth from SiC,” Nano Research, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 2809–2820, 2018.

[20] X. Wu, C. Luo, P. Hao et al., “Probing and manipulating the interfacial defects of InGaAs dual-layer metal oxides at the atomic scale,” Advanced Materials, vol. 30, no. 2, article 1703025, 2017.

[21] C. Luo, C. Wang, X. Wu, J. Zhang, and J. Chu, “In situ transmission electron microscopy characterization and manipulation of two-dimensional layered materials beyond graphene,” Small, vol. 13, no. 35, article 1604259, 2017.

[22] X. Wu, K. Yu, D. Cha et al., “Atomic scale modulation of self-rectifying resistive switching by interfacial defects,” Advanced Science, vol. 5, no. 6, article 1800096, 2018.

[23] X. Zhu, J. Su, Y. Wu, L. Wang, and Z. Wang, “Intriguing surface-extruded plastic flow of SiO₂ amorphous nanowire as athermally induced by electron beam irradiation,” Nanoscale, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1499–1507, 2014.

[24] J. Su and X. Zhu, “Atom diffusion and evaporation of free-ended amorphous SiO₂ nanowires: nanocurvature effect and beam-induced athermal activation effect,” Nanoscale Research Letters, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 514, 2016.

[25] D. E. Ramaker, “Covalent interaction effects in electron/photon-stimulated desorption,” Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1137–1144, 1983.

[26] H. Iwasaki, T. Ito, M. Gotoh, L. Nan, and K. Sudoh, “Electron-beam-induced decomposition of SiO₂ overlay on Si in STM nanolithography,” in Presented at the Nanoscale Spectroscopy and its Applications to Semiconductor Research, Y. Watanabe, G. Salvati, S. Heun, and N. Yamamoto, Eds., vol. 588 of Lecture Notes in Physics, pp. 231–240, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002.

[27] D. E. Ramaker, C. T. White, and J. S. Murday, “Summary abstract: auger induced desorption of covalent and ionic systems,” Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 748–749, 1981.

[28] B. Carrière and B. Lang, “A study of the charging and dissociation of SiO₂ surfaces by AES,” Surface Science, vol. 64, no. 1, p. 209, 1977.

[29] D. Bote, F. Salvat, A. Jablonski, and C. J. Powell, “Cross sections for ionization of K, L and M shells of atoms by impact of electrons and positrons with energies up to 1GeV: analytical formulas,” Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables, vol. 95, no. 6, pp. 871–909, 2009.

[30] S. Fujita, S. Maruno, H. Watanabe, and M. Ichikawa, “Nanostructure fabrication using the selective thermal desorption of SiO₂ induced by electron beams,” Applied Physics Letters, vol. 69, no. 5, p. 638, 1996.

[31] A. Seeger, “A novel theory of radiation damage at high doses,” Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids, vol. 111-112, no. 1-2, pp. 355–368, 1989.

[32] F. Banhart, “The transformation of graphitic onions to diamond under electron irradiation,” Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 81, no. 8, pp. 3440–3445, 1997.

[33] M. Zaiser and F. Banhart, “Radiation-induced transformation of graphite to diamond,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 79, no. 19, pp. 3680–3683, 1997.

[34] P. Roura, J. Farjas, A. Pinyol, and E. Bertran, “The crystallization temperature of silicon nanoparticles,” Nanotechnology, vol. 18, no. 17, article 175705, 2007.

[35] S. Takeda and J. Yamasaki, “Amorphization in silicon by electron irradiation,” Physical Review Letters, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 320–323, 1999.