The Evaluation of Elementary 4th Grade Science Curriculum

IJlal Ocak 1, Emine Akkas Baysal 2*

1University of Afyon Kocatepe, TURKEY
2Afyon Fatih Anatolian High School
*Corresponding Author: akkas85@yahoo.com

Citation: Ocaki I., & Akkas Baysal, E. (2019). The Evaluation of Elementary 4th Grade Science Curriculum. Mediterranean Journal of Social & Behavioral Research, 3(1), 9-15. https://doi.org/10.30935/mjosbr/9588

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to evaluate Primary Institutions Science Curriculum implemented in 2004–2005 and revised in 2013 education year. The dimensions of the curriculum, which are needs assessment, aim, content, learning-teaching process and assessment process, will be evaluated in terms of principles of curriculum design. The data of research has been collected with “Curriculum Evaluation Analysis Form”, prepared by researchers. In this study, document analysis has been used to demonstrate the features of elementary 4th grade science curriculum in terms of the elements of education program. The reliability of analysis form has been found .73. According to the results obtained from study, regional disparities have been ignored in the process of determining needs assessment. In addition to this, determining the objectives of the curriculum beforehand doesn’t match the basics of approach it relied on. However, content, learning-teaching process and assessment process dimensions of curriculum have been prepared according to the basics of curriculum design.
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INTRODUCTION

Science and technology education play a key role in terms of the future of societies today when scientific knowledge is growing increasingly, technological innovation is in advancing rapidly and the impact of technology is seen clearly in all areas our lives. Therefore, all societies especially developed ones are trying to improve the quality of science and technology education constantly. This situation, bringing together new approaches in education, has revealed the necessity of the renewal of Science and Technology Curriculum. In the light of the emerging needs, Elementary 4th Grade Science Curriculum has been renewed and started to be implemented under the name of Primary Institutions Science Curriculum by the Ministry of National Education the Board of Education (MEB, 2005).

At first, from the beginning of 2004–2005 academic year Science and Technology Course has been taught in the first level of 120 pilot schools by Ministry of National Education (MONE) and then it has started to be implemented in all first levels of schools in 2005–2006 academic year. Then, the new curriculum has been officially implemented in all sixth grades of secondary schools in 2006-2007 academic year. The ongoing curriculum evaluation and development after the implementation of the curriculum and the recent changes done according to 4+4+4 system have occurred in 2013 academic year and it has been launched to teach as Primary Institutions Science Curriculum (3-8).

Lots of studies were conducted in order to enforce the effectiveness of curriculum, to identify the shortcomings of it, if there was, and to correct them. Ministry of National Education (2004) was conducted a research taking teachers’ views to investigate strengths and weakness of 2004 curriculum which is the basis of 2013 curriculum. The most powerful aspects of the curriculum are the spiral structure, taking into account individual differences, going towards minded individuals, materialization, the foundations of the curriculum, efforts in preparation and reduction the number of topics. In the same research, the weakest aspects of curriculum are supporting education of teachers, unity of language, the integration of science and mathematics, the integration of science and life science, the concept of unified class and the equipments. When looking at other researches on this issue, there are similar and different views.

Sert (2008) says that curriculum and practices dimensions of Science and Technology curriculum compliance with the principles of constructivism, however there are some uncertainties in content, learning-teaching process, assessment process dimensions and the usage of resources. It was found that there were some problems about the implementation of the curriculum and the principles. The studies done by Özkan (2002); İnce (2005); Çınar, Teyfur & Teyfur (2006); Gözürok, Akgün & Karacoğlu (2005); Erdoğan (2005); Özseyveç (2006); Ünal & Akpunar (2006); Yiğit, Devecioğlu & Ayvaci (2007); Gömlekşiz & Bulut (2007); Dindar & Yangın (2007); Tüysüz & Aydın (2009); Doğan (2010) support the idea that the curriculum can not be performed in desired level according to teachers’ perspective.
According to the results of research about the evaluation of the curriculum done by Dede Er, Sarı and Çelik (2013), students fail to associate between the acquired knowledge in the unit “Electricity in Our Lives” and daily life. Moreover, when students increase the levels of science process skills, they can associate between knowledge and daily life.

Researchers made different evaluations about 2013 curriculum in terms of the content, objectives, vision and approaches of it. However, these studies are generally about teachers’ opinions about the curriculum and mostly about implementation of the curriculum. In other words, there aren’t much studies about the main elements of the curriculum. Whether the basic elements of the curriculum (needs assessment, objectives, content, learning-teaching process, assessment process) are formulated according to the principles of curriculum development or not is important for the achieving the curriculum’s objectives. In this context, in this study, the basic elements of elementary 4th grade science curriculum (needs assessment, objectives, content, learning-teaching process, assessment process) will be examined with analysis form prepared according to the principles of the curriculum development.

In this study, it is aimed to evaluate the needs assessment, objectives, content, learning-teaching process, assessment process dimensions of the elementary 4th grade science curriculum which was implemented in 2005-2006 academic year and renewed in 2013 academic year according to the principles of the curriculum development. For this purpose, the sub-problems are defined as follows:

1- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “needs assessment” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

2- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “objectives” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

3- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “content” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

4- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “learning-teaching process” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

5- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “assessment process” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

**METHOD**

In this study, document analysis has been used to reveal the properties of elementary 4th grade science curriculum in terms of the basic elements of it. Document analysis involves in the analysis of written materials containing knowledge about cases and the intended case. Document analysis is a data collection technique which is essential for almost every survey (Madge, 1965). Which documents are important and can be used as a data source is closely related to the research problem (Goetz and LeCompte, 1984). Therefore, elementary 4th grade science curriculum prepared by Ministry of Education The Board of Education has tried to reveal general condition of the basic elements of the curriculum and to evaluate in a systematic manner with the criteria in the observation form.

| I completely agree | I agree | Somewhat agree | I disagree | No information |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| 5.00               | 4.19   | 3.39          | 2.59       | 1.79          |

**Table 1. The score ranges of criteria**

Data Collection and Analysis

At the stage of data collection, the literature related to needs assessment and the basic elements of curriculum were reviewed in order to ensure content validity. In the light of this information, an item pool including a large number of criteria which could reveal the characteristics of curriculum was generated. These criteria were examined by an expert* and three teachers**, who are PhD students in “Curriculum and Teaching Department”, and then necessary corrections were made to form the last version of analysis form. The analysis form prepared in order to evaluate needs assessment, objectives, content, learning-teachig process, assessment process dimensions of the curriculum has been called “Curriculum Evaluation Analysis Form”. Researchers were educated about curriculum evaluation and analysis form and then the form was filled.

The analysis form*** consists of five sections. The first part is “needs assessment”, the second part is “objectives”, the third part is “content, the fourth part is "learning and teaching process" and the fifth part is “assessment process”. These sections were evaluated by three PhD students in Curriculum and Teaching Department by examining elementary 4th grade science curriculum which is the part of the Primary Institutions Science Education Curriculum 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Grade published by Board of Education in 2013 academic year. In addition, researchers benefited from the publications of Board of Education related to the curriculum development and the introduction part of the curriculum being in 2005 curriculum but not in 2013 curriculum.

The percentage of agreement between analysis done by experts was calculated in order to ensure the reliability of research (Miles and Huberman, 1994). In this study, for this purpose, “Consensus/ (Consensus+Dissidence)*100” formula was used and the reliability was calculated as .73. According to Sencan (2005), the percentage of agreement is the ratio of the total number of assessment or observation to the number of criteria observers and assessors match. In order to accept the values as reliable, the percentage of agreement must be above .70. The score ranges of criteria (Table 1), according to Range Width=(String Width)/(The Number of Groups) formula was determined as 4/5=.80 (Tekin, 1996).

**FINDINGS**

In this research, analysis form was filled individually by three teachers who are PhD students in Curriculum and Teaching Department and evaluated by calculating average scores.

1- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “needs assessment” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

According to Table 2, when determining the needs, environmental or regional differences haven’t been considered. It is observed that there is no information about that scientific developments have been taken
into account when determining the needs. Observers’ response to the criterion which says that the education philosophy of the curriculum overlaps with idealism and essentialism is “disagree” level; however, their response to the criterion which says that education philosophy of the curriculum overlaps with progressivism and re-constructionism. Observers stated their opinions as “I completely agree” level to the criterion which says stakeholders’ views are taken while determining the needs. They expressed their opinions as “I agree” to the criterion which says objectives show what students should do, objectives are feasible and accessible, objectives are accessible during the academic year. However, they have said that topics don’t consist of extensive information. Content is achievable and there is a relation between topics and activities. According to findings, the content is not organized in a modular form. There is relation between interdisciplinary

3- What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and “content” of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

According to Table 4, the content of the curriculum overlaps objectives. Observers have stated their opinions as “I agree” to the criterion which says objectives of units are suitably shared in time of units. However, they have said that topics don’t consist of extensive information. Content is achievable and there is a relation between topics and activities. According to findings, the content is not organized in a modular form. There is relation between interdisciplinary

| NEEDS ASSESSMENT                                                                 | OBSERVER1 | OBSERVER2 | OBSERVER3 | AVERAGE |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 1. When determining the needs, environmental and regional differences are taken into account. | 1.00      | 1.00      | 1.00      | 1.00    |
| 2. When determining the needs, the views of stakeholders are taken into account.   | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 3. When determining the needs, the views of experts are taken into account.        | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 4. When determining the needs, the views of managers are taken into account.       | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 5. When determining the needs, the views of non-governmental organizations are taken into account. | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 6. When determining the needs, the views of governmental organizations are taken into account. | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 7. When determining the needs, the views of students’ groups are taken into account. | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 8. When determining the needs, the views of faculty members are taken into account. | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |
| 9. When determining the needs, the views of community are taken into account.      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00      | 5.00    |

* and ** while preparing these criteria, Assoc. Prof. Gürbüz Ocak, working in University of Afyon Kocatepe Faculty of Education Department of Educational Sciences Curriculum and Teaching, and three teachers and PhD students in the same department, Ramazan Yurtseven, Zeynep Gökteke and Emine Akkas Baysal, express their opinions. ***The analysis form used in this study is intended to be used in the evaluation of other curriculums. The analysis form was used in “The Evaluation of Secondary School’s Fifth Grade Social Science Curriculum” in ICOINE2014.

Table 2. The evaluation of “Needs Assessment” dimension of elementary 4th grade science curriculum
Table 3. The evaluation of "Objectives" dimension of elementary 4th grade science curriculum

| OBJECTIVES | OBSERVER 1 | OBSERVER 2 | OBSERVER 3 | AVERAGE |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1. The overall objectives of the curriculum are stated. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 2. The specific objectives of the curriculum are indicated. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 3. Objectives overlap with the needs. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.66 |
| 4. Objectives overlap with specific objectives. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 5. The overlap of objectives with education philosophy: | | | | |
| 5.a. Perennialsim | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 5.b. Essentialism | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 5.c. Progressivism | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.66 |
| 5.d. Re-constructionism | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 6. Bloom’s Taxonomy is taken into consideration when determining objectives. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 7. Bloom’s Revised Taxonomy is taken into consideration when determining objectives. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 8. Objectives express what students should do. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 9. Objectives are based on learning products. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 10. Objectives seem to be self-consistent. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. Objectives are feasible. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 12. Objectives are achievable. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13. Objectives are reachable during an academic year. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 14. Suitability of objectives with the readiness of students: | | | | |
| 14.a. Objectives are suitable for prior learning. | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.25 |
| 14.b. Objectives are suitable for students’ developmental level. | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| 14.c. Objectives are suitable for students’ interest. | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.66 |
| 14.d. Objectives are suitable for students’ individual characteristics. | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.66 |
| 15. Objectives are clear, understandable and clearly stated. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 16. Objectives are from easy to difficult and from simple to complex. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |

Table 4. The evaluation of "Content" dimension of elementary 4th grade science curriculum

| CONTENT | OBSERVER 1 | OBSERVER 2 | OBSERVER 3 | AVERAGE |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1. Content overlaps with objectives. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 |
| 2. The curriculum answers the question “What will we teach?” | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 |
| 3. Objectives of units are suitably shared in time of units. | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.66 |
| 4. Topics of units consist of extensive information. | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 5. Content is feasible. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 6. There is a relation between topics and activities. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 7. Topics are suitable for students’ readiness. | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| 8. Content is organized from simple to difficult. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 9. Topics and concepts are repeated at regular intervals. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 10. Topics are prerequisite of each other. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. Content is organized into modules. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 12. There is a relation between interdisciplinary objectives and the objectives of units. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13. There is a relation between objectives of units and basic skills: | | | | |
| 13.a. There is a relation between objectives and critical thinking. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.b. There is a relation between objectives and creative thinking. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.c. There is a relation between objectives and communication skills. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.d. There is a relation between objectives and questions ability. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.e. There is a relation between objectives and problem solving skills. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.f. There is a relation between objectives and information technology. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.g. There is a relation between objectives and entrepreneurial skills. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13.h. There is a relation between objectives and using Turkish correctly, effectively and well. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 14. There is a relation between content and real life. | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.33 |

Objectives and the objectives of units. Observers have stated their opinions as "I agree" to the criterion which says there is a relation between objectives and critical thinking, creative thinking, communication skills, research and inquiry skills, problem solving skills, information technology skills, entrepreneurial skills and using Turkish correctly, effectively and well. They have expressed their opinions as "somewhat agree" to the criterion which says the content is related to real life.

4-What is the compliance level between the determined criteria and "Learning-teaching Process" of elementary 4th grade science curriculum?

Research findings (Table 5) show that there is a relation between objectives and teaching strategies, methods/techniques. The implementations of the curriculum during learning-teaching process is consistent with objectives of the curriculum. Observers have stated their opinions as "I agree" to the criteria which say there is a relation between defined learning experiences and other courses, learning experiences and other learning experiences are associated with each other, learning experiences support the upper class and reinforce the subclass. Learning-teaching approaches are chosen suitably in accordance with subject areas. Learning-teaching process are selected in basic life skills qualifications. Learning-teaching process seems to
support 5E models and argument methods. Observers have stated their opinions as "somewhat agree" to the criterion which says that the curriculum supports teacher-centered methods. They have expressed their opinions as "somewhat agree" to the criterion which says that the curriculum supports group-teaching method. Observers have said that learning-teaching process is less flexible. It is seen that examples given during course are student-centered not teacher-centered. They have expressed their opinions as "I agree less" to the criterion which says learning-teaching process helps the choice of material, the relation between methods/techniques and learning styles. The activities which are discussion questions, travel-observation, experiment, summary, producing direct the teacher less. The curriculum doesn’t direct the teachers about effective classroom management and also time allocated for learning activities in not enough.

Table 5. The evaluation of “Learning-teaching Process” dimension of elementary 4th grade science curriculum

| LEARNING-TEACHING PROCESS | OBSERVER 1 | OBSERVER 2 | OBSERVER 3 | AVERAGE |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1. Teaching strategies and methods / techniques have been associated with objectives. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.66 |
| 2. The practices in teaching-learning process is consistent with the program’s objectives. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 3. The defined learning experiences’ overlapping level in terms of: | | | | |
| 3. a. Student’s interest | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 3. b. Students’ prior learning | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.33 |
| 3. c. Students’ developmental level | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.66 |
| 4. The defined learning experiences of the program is accordance with the affordability principle of the program. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 5. The relationship between the other courses and defined learning experiences has been established (coherence principle). | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 6. The defined learning experiences in itself is associated with other learning experiences. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 7. The defined learning experiences of upper-lower class relations: | | | | |
| 7. a. Supports the upper class. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 7. b. Reinforces lower class. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 8. The defined learning experiences in the program are selected in accordance with the principle of progressivity. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 9. Learning experiences are listed in accordance with the principles and the policy of learning-teaching process. | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.66 |
| 10. The proposed teaching-learning approaches in the program are selected according to subject area. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. The defined teaching-learning process improves the quality of basic life skills such as: | | | | |
| 11. a. Critical thinking skills | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. b. Creative thinking | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. c. Research, inquiry and decision making skills | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. d. Problem solving skills | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. e. Communication skills | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. f. Using Turkish right, good and effectively way | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. g. Entrepreneurial skills | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 11. h. Ability to use information technology | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 12. Teaching-learning process: | | | | |
| 12. a. Supports 5E model. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 12. b. Seems to support discussion methods (debates, panel discussions, open forums etc.). | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 12. c. Supports teacher-centered methods (lectures, question-answer etc.). | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 12. d. Supports group teaching methods. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 13. Teachers are directed to be granted special teaching methods of the course in the program. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 14. The teaching-learning process directs teachers are to make preparations before the course. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 15. Learning experiences direct teacher to make assessment. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 16. Program’s level in terms of directing teachers to use the teaching-learning process variables such as: | | | | |
| 16. a. Reinforcement | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 16. b. Clue | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 16. c. Encourages the use of feedback. | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 17. Teaching-Learning process encourages student participation. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.66 |
| 18. Teaching-Learning process is associated with learning areas. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 19. The flexibility level of the activities in the teaching-learning process in terms of: | | | | |
| 19. a. Time | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 19. b. Region | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 19. c. Developmental characteristics | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.66 |
| 19. d. taking account of prior learning. | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.66 |
| 20. The examples related to the courses are: | | | | |
| 20. a. Student-centered | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 20. b. Teacher-centered | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 21. The teaching-learning process makes the choice of material easy for teacher. | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 22. The relationship between the suggested methods and techniques of the program and learning styles has been established. | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 2.66 |
| 23. The final activities such as discussion questions, trip, observation, experiment, summarizing, producing guide teachers effectiveness is a guiding nature. | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 2.66 |
| 24. The classroom layout is stated in the program. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25. The program leads teachers to effective classroom management. teacher’s | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 26. The time allocated for the teaching-learning activities is sufficient. | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 27. The activities seem to guide students. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 28. The activities seem to guide teachers. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| 29. Teaching-learning activities can be performed. | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
The curriculum has descriptions which help teachers while handling units and matters to which teachers should pay attention. For example, the description part consists students’ misconceptions which may occur during learning topics, the relevant descriptions and links to other courses. Objectives and classroom activities are associated with each other and other lessons ans interdisciplinaries. The new curriculum’s learning-teaching activities are more student-centered than those of the old curriculum. Activities aren’t flexible in terms of time and region. Sicak and Arsal (2013) say in their studies the pattern related to the learning outcomes was weak due to the learning outcomes in appropriate to the general-specific principle; the different subject weights in the learning outcomes; lack of order between the learning outcomes appropriate to the level of learning; and due to the existence of more than one statements regarding the learning outcomes. The experts reported that the content was consistent with the learning outcomes; that it was permanent and persistent except for certain information; that it was selected from the real environment.

2013 curriculum has a constructivist approach in terms of the principles of curriculum development. However, when we compare the documents prepared by the Board of Education to introduce the new curriculum and the new curriculum, the details and implementation of curriculum aren’t suitable with the theoretical framework. The study done by Doğan (2010) supports this result.

The evaluation of “Assessment Process” dimension of elementary 4th grade science curriculum

| ASSESSMENT                                                                 | OBSERVER 1 | OBSERVER 2 | OBSERVER 3 | AVERAGE |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1. The program has a part of the assessment.                              | 4.00       | 5.00       | 4.00       | 4.33    |
| 2. The assessment part of the program shows how to test samples of the objectives. | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00    |
| 3. The examples given for assessment process measure the level of learning of related subjects. | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00    |
| 4. The examples given for assessment process are associated with the taxonomy of the objectives. | 1.00       | 1.00       | 1.00       | 1.00    |
| 5. The program directs teachers to use alternative assessment and evaluation tools in the process of evaluation of objectives. | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00    |
| 6. The program gives information how to use assessment and evaluation tools. | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00    |
| 7. The usage level of examples for assessment and evaluation tools:        |            |            |            |         |
| 7.a. It is directed to product.                                           | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00    |
| 7.b. It is directed to process.                                           | 4.00       | 5.00       | 4.00       | 4.33    |
| 8. At the end of each unit there are measurement tools to determine the level of students. | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00    |
| 9. Student’s level of participation in the assessment process:            |            |            |            |         |
| 9.a. There are measurement tools for self-assessment.                      | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00    |
| 9.b. There are measurement tools for peer assessment.                     | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00    |
| 9.c. There are measurement tools for group assessment.                    | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00       | 5.00    |
| 10. There is table of indicators.                                         | 1.00       | 1.00       | 1.00       | 1.00    |
| 11. Explanations for the assessment of the measurement results are included. | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00       | 4.00    |
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