The introduction of Louisiana's Motion Picture Incentive Act of 2002 and subsequent amendments in 2003, 2005, and 2009 led to the establishment of a tax incentive program designed to attract film productions to the state. The lack of success of the original legislation in stimulating the Louisiana film industry has been attributed to the fact that it only compensated filmmakers for losses, as well as the requirement that the credits had to be used against one's Louisiana income tax liability. However, with amendments to the legislation in 2009, the state had an incentive tax rate of 30 percent for in-state productions and an incentive tax rate of 5 percent for expenditures on payroll for Louisiana residents. This enabled film production companies to obtain tax credits that could be monetized through appropriate intermediaries by exchanging their credits for cash. Otherwise, such production companies could transfer their tax credits to the Louisiana agency for 85 percent of the face value of the credits. As of 2004, six states had some form of MPIs designed to attract motion picture productions within their borders. However, the end of 2009 saw 44 states adopting some form of MPIs. The lack of substantive production activity until after the passage of Louisiana's incentives program has been attributed to the fact that it only compensated filmmakers for losses, as well as the requirement that the credits had to be used against one's Louisiana income tax liability.

The lack of success of the original legislation is attributed to the fact that it only compensated filmmakers for losses, as well as the requirement that the credits had to be used against one's Louisiana income tax liability. However, with amendments to the legislation in 2009, the state had an incentive tax rate of 30 percent for in-state productions and an incentive tax rate of 5 percent for expenditures on payroll for Louisiana residents. This enabled film production companies to obtain tax credits that could be monetized through appropriate intermediaries by exchanging their credits for cash. Otherwise, such production companies could transfer their tax credits to the Louisiana agency for 85 percent of the face value of the credits. As of 2004, six states had some form of MPIs designed to attract motion picture productions within their borders. However, the end of 2009 saw 44 states adopting some form of MPIs. The lack of substantive production activity until after the passage of Louisiana's incentives program has been attributed to the fact that it only compensated filmmakers for losses, as well as the requirement that the credits had to be used against one's Louisiana income tax liability. However, very few, if any, film production companies were based in the state. As of the 2009 amendments, the state had an incentive tax rate of 30 percent for in-state productions and an incentive tax rate of 5 percent for expenditures on payroll for Louisiana residents. That is, the state could authorize a tax credit against Louisiana state income tax for each investor (production company) if the amount of the investment (production expenditures) exceeded $300,000.00. Further, each investor could receive an additional tax credit of five percent of its payroll to Louisiana residents. The state's legislation offered recipients of these credits the opportunity to transfer or sell any previously unclaimed tax credits to another Louisiana taxpayer or to the Louisiana Governor's office of Film and Television. This ability to transfer the tax credits is significant because most non-Louisiana production companies have no Louisiana state income tax liability. They can, therefore, essentially monetize their credits through appropriate intermediaries by exchanging their credits for cash. Otherwise, such production companies can transfer their tax credits to the aforementioned Louisiana agency for 85 percent of the face value of the credits.
aspects of such programs. It is probably fair to say that there has been an over-proliferation of such programs, i.e. that states tended to implement such programs on a “monkey-see monkey-do” basis in an attempt to lock into new methods of raising desperately needed revenue. Indeed, since the initial rush to establish such programs, some states have eliminated or have reduced the incentives offered in their programs. However, at least in one case, a generally critical study of such programs acknowledges that Louisiana, New Mexico, and Michigan, which were essentially pioneers in the MPI movement, have enjoyed great success in attracting film productions within their states [3].

Due to the over-proliferation of state MPI programs, a shakeout over time of some, if not many, of these programs was to be expected. However, some state programs, such as that of Louisiana, may survive and prosper over the long haul due to various factors such as getting an early jump in the race to attract more productions, the formation of comfortable relationships between states and production companies, the astute development of in-state personnel and facilities making the state’s movie industry increasingly mature and efficient in the film-generating process, as well as, unique or alluring economic, cultural, climatic, topographic or other features of a state. Considering that the movement to MPIs only began in 2002, it is probably too soon to make definitive judgements on the long run economic effects of these programs or on which state programs will endure.

Studies and reports relative to the state MPI programs have focused on their impact on output employment, wages, and growth within the private sector, as well as on the fiscal impact relative to tax revenue within the public sector. These works are discussed in a prior article by one of the present authors [4]. It was thought best not to discuss them again in the present article. The presumption has been that MPIs are solely responsible for attracting film productions to individual states. Though such incentives may, indeed, be the main reason why producers initially undertake operations within a state, there may be, as alluded to previously, other factors that attract production companies to operate and continue to operate over time in certain states.

Using correlation and regression analysis, this paper purports to investigate the influence of Louisiana’s MPI program on the state’s economy and explore whether other factors (variables) have an influence on attracting and maintaining film production activity within the state. Available data relate to the Louisiana MPI programs for the years 2002-2015 and relate to tax incentives granted under the program, earnings generated in the private and public sectors, jobs created under the program, as well as several relevant data series derived from the data set [5].

**Data**

Information was obtained from the Louisiana Film Commission for all certified film productions undertaken in Louisiana from 2002-2015. As of mid-2018, only partial data are available for 2016, which is why this analysis concludes with data from 2015. The productions were combined for each year of the 2002-2015 period and totals were calculated for each variable.

Table 1 shows the data utilized in these analyses. The variables have the following meanings:

- **LFPE** = Louisiana Film Production Expenditures (subject to 30% tax credit)
- **LFPP** = Louisiana Film Production Payroll (subject to 5% tax credit)
- **LETC** = Louisiana (Film Production) Expenditure Tax Credits
- **LPTC** = Louisiana (Film Production) Payroll Tax Credits

| Year | LFPE       | LFPP       | LETC       | LPTC       |
|------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 2002 | $3,470,024.9 | $74,909.0  | $1,577,284.1 | $14,981.7  |
| 2003 | $79,554,047.8 | $19,387,817.0 | $36,140,193.7 | $3,815,935.8 |
| 2004 | $136,418,498.4 | $38,603,126.0 | $61,987,372.0 | $7,622,441.4 |
| 2005 | $209,257,544.4 | $47,193,771.8 | $90,666,417.7 | $4,869,340.0 |
| 2006 | $290,521,375.5 | $80,721,867.3 | $106,408,629.9 | $38,603,126.0 |
| 2007 | $374,508,256.4 | $76,224,413.8 | $93,607,607.7 | $38,603,126.0 |
| 2008 | $474,966,678.7 | $99,426,507.1 | $118,782,350.5 | $93,607,607.7 |
| 2009 | $730,308,024.4 | $82,744,100.0 | $105,590,524.2 | $5,748,988.6 |
| 2010 | $490,182,083.4 | $97,189,927.7 | $148,057,864.2 | $4,869,340.0 |
| 2011 | $1,033,530,383.9 | $176,263,070.9 | $252,351,594.2 | $8,361,641.8 |
| 2012 | $627,877,418.9 | $124,677,856.5 | $214,652,054.8 | $8,361,641.8 |
| 2013 | $639,751,361.6 | $168,732,570.8 | $190,707,682.0 | $8,436,678.1 |
| 2014 | $765,794,169.5 | $176,018,747.7 | $238,792,250.9 | $8,806,936.4 |
| 2015 | $865,307,704.63 | $220,316,003.10 | $259,592,312.09 | $15,336,653.65 |

Figure 1 provides a visual summary of these data values. These data, with the exception of a spike in 2011, indicate a steady increase in production expenditures (LFPE) in the state, with an average yearly increase of 51.0% per year for the period from 2002 through 2015. Note that in this discussion, all yearly increases are obtained by calculation of the geometric mean. Not surprisingly, the
tax credits associated with those expenditures (LETC) also show a steady yearly increase of 46.3% during the same time period. Note that the tax credit growth is less because not all expenditures qualify for the program. Recall that expenditures had to exceed $300,000 per project. In terms of payroll expenditures, the total payroll for film (LFPP) shows an average annual increase of 82.1% per year. The tax credits associated with those payroll expenses (LPTC) show a 66.7% yearly increase from 2002 through 2015. The lower rate of increase for tax credits indicates that not all companies took advantage of the incentive program by hiring Louisiana residents.

Figure 1. Economic Indicators for Louisiana MPI.

Models

The purpose of this research is to investigate the overall effectiveness of the MPI program. Towards that goal, correlation and linear regression models can be used to quantify the significance of the relationships between total expenditures and expenditure tax credits, as well as between total payroll and payroll tax credits. Of course, those relationships should be very strong assuming that companies are aware of the program and take advantage of the tax breaks that it offers.

Correlation

Table 2 shows the correlations between these variables. Among these, the associations of greatest interest are between annual expenditures and their associated expenditure tax credits, and between payroll and their associated payroll tax credits. As expected, annual expenditures (LFPE) is very highly correlated (very strong positive relationship) with expenditure tax credits with a correlation coefficient of +.971. This result indicates that virtually all expenditures were associated with the corresponding tax credit which was available. Surprisingly, payroll (LFPP) has only a moderately positive relationship with payroll tax credits (LPTC), with a value of +.603. This result indicates that a significant portion of companies did not take advantage of the payroll tax credit which was available to them (Table 2).

Other variables, including the population of the state, state per capita income, and infrastructure tax credits were also investigated but did not show statistically significant relationships with the four primary economic variables listed in Table 2. These variables were eliminated from further analyses and are not included in the tables and figures for the sake of brevity.

Table 2: Pearson correlations, r, among variables.

|                                | LETC, LA Expenditure Tax Credits | LFPP, LA Film Production Payroll | LPTC, LA Payroll Tax Credits |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| LFPE, LA Annual Film Production Expenditures | Pearson Correlation: .971** | 956**                             | 0.507                       |
|                                 | Sig (2-tailed): 0                 | 0                                | 0.064                       |
| LETC, LA Expenditure Tax Credits| Pearson Correlation: 1           | 967**                             | .607*                       |
|                                 | Sig (2-tailed): 0                 | 0                                | 0.021                       |
| LFPP, LA Film Production Payroll| Pearson Correlation: 1           | 1                                | .603*                       |
|                                 | Sig (2-tailed): 0                 | 0                                | 0.022                       |

Note: **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
Regression results

Linear regression produces a statistically significant (sig. = 0.000) model between film production expenditures (LFPE) and expenditure tax credits (LETC), such that every additional $100 spent produces $26.20 in tax credits. See Table 3 below. Note that this value is less than the $30 tax credit which is the theoretical maximum under the law. As shown above (and also in regression output in Table 3 below) with correlations between these two variables, this result indicates that companies were largely able to claim the tax credit for almost all expenditures (r-squared = 0.943 indicates that 94.3% of variation in expenditure tax credits is explained by variation in expenditures). Note that this result is identical to that in Table 3 for R and R Square since only a single variable is being used in the regression.

Table 3: Linear Regression Model Summary for LFPE and LETC.

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|       | B                   | Std. Error | Beta | t     | Sig. |
| (Constant) | 17911895 | 10112803 | 1.771 | 0.102 |
| LFPE, LA Annual Film Production Expenditures | 0.262 | 0.019 | 0.971 | 14.033 | 0 |

Note: a. Predictors: (Constant), LFPE, LA Annual Film Production Expenditures.

Similarly, linear regression produces a statistically significant (sig. = 0.022) model between payroll expenditures (LFPP) and payroll tax credits (LPTC), such that every additional $100 spent on payroll produces $3.80 in payroll tax credits. See Table 4 below. Note that this value is less than the $5 tax credit which is the theoretical maximum under the law. Again, as with correlations suggested above between these two variables, this result indicates that companies did not fully take advantage of the tax breaks for which they were eligible. This model has an r-squared = .364, indicating that 36.4% of the variation in payroll tax credits is explained by variation in payroll expenditures (Table 4).

Table 4: Linear Regression Model Summary for LFPP and LPTC.

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients | Standardized Coefficients |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|       | B                   | Std. Error | Beta | t     | Sig. |
| (Constant) | 4556589 | 1731020.352 | 2.632 | 0.022 |
| LFPE, LA Annual Film Production Expenditures | 0.038 | 0.015 | 0.603 | 2.618 | 0.022 |

Conclusions and Future Research

From the period 2002 through 2015, the film industry spent increasing amounts of money within the state of Louisiana each year. Not only did total expenditures rise rapidly: at a yearly increase of 51.0%, but payroll expenditures also increased significantly each year, showing an average annual growth rate of 82.1% per year. Over the thirteen-year period, production expenditures increased by a factor of 2,420: from $74,909 in 2002 to $181,322,430 in 2015. During this same time period, payroll expenditures increased by a factor of 212: from $3,470,024 in 2002 to $737,775,763 in 2015. It is difficult to imagine that state legislators could have expected the program to perform any better.

While state lawmakers did not provide any metrics by which the motion picture incentive program should be evaluated, it seems quite reasonable to conclude that it has been a success within the state of Louisiana. Filmmakers use 87.3% of the expenditure tax credits available to them, and 76% of the payroll tax credits available. Given the significant drop in the price of oil beginning in 2015, and the fact that Louisiana’s economy is very much dependent on the energy industry, it will be very interesting to look at potential changes in film industry spending within the state in the coming years. It would also be interesting to look at the results of similar programs in other states, and to compare results to determine whether the results obtained in Louisiana are generalizable to the entire United States.

Finally, while there were other variables investigated that did not have significant relationships with production expenditures and payroll expenditures and their associated tax credits, further investigation could potentially uncover such variables. This is especially true if the investigation were to be more focused on which variables actually impact production expenditures. This could include such variables as type of film, production company, production budget, time of year that filming begins, and ratings of the notoriety of actors and actresses participating in the film.
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