Prognostic Role of S100A8 in Human Solid Cancers: A Systematic Review and Validation
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Background: S100A8 plays a key role in many cellular processes and is highly expressed in various solid cancers. However, the prognostic role of S100A8 has not been well defined. Therefore, we conducted a quantitative meta-analysis to investigate whether or not S100A8 could be used as a prognostic biomarker in solid tumors.

Methods: PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane library were searched to acquire relevant studies that evaluated the association between expression of S100A8 and prognosis of cancer patients. Pooled hazard ratios (HRs) with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were extracted to evaluate the association between S100A8 overexpression and Overall Survival (OS), Disease-Free Survival (DFS), Recurrence-Free Survival (RFS), and Progression-Free Survival (PFS). The expression of S100A8 was also validated by Flow cytometry, immunohistochemistry (IHC), and western blot.

Results: A total of 2,817 patients from 13 independent studies, ranging from 43 to 1,117 patients in size, were statistically analyzed. Our results indicated that a high level of S100A8 expression was significantly associated with poor OS, poor DFS, and poor PFS/RFS. In term of clinical pathological characteristics, a high expression level of S100A8 was significantly associated with differentiation grades, lymphatic metastasis, ER statue, and PR statue. The validation studies showed that the expression of S100A8 was at high levels in MDA-MB-231 (79.7%), MDA-MB-453 (89.2%), HTB-9 (70.2%), and T24 (53.3%) cells and it was higher in breast cancer tissue and bladder cancer tissue than their corresponding para-carcinoma tissue.

Conclusions: S100A8 overexpression was significantly associated with poor clinical prognosis in cancer patients. S100A8 is potential a prognostic biomarker in breast cancer and bladder cancer. More well-designed studies with adequate prognostic data are needed to confirm the prognostic role of S100A8 revealed in this study.
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INTRODUCTION

Cancer, the second cause of death globally, is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide (1). According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), there are approximately 18.1 million new cancer cases and 9.6 million cancer deaths worldwide in 2018 (2). Despite the remarkable progress in various treatment strategies for cancer, such as surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and targeted therapy, the prognosis of many cancer patients is still unsatisfactory, mainly owing to the local recurrence and distant metastasis (3, 4). At present, the prognosis of cancer patient is made mainly based on the TNM staging of AJCC and UICC. However, patients in the same stage may still have greatly different prognosis. To develop the most effective individualized treatment strategy for cancer patients and improve clinical outcomes, reliable prognostic biomarkers are extremely useful.

With the first member discovered in 1965, S100 family members are small, acidic-Ca2+ binding proteins that are involved in a wide range of biological processes (5). Most of the S100 proteins undergo a conformational change to bind to Ca2+ and regulate the homeostatic Ca2+ homeostasis, cell cycle, cell growth and migration, cell scaffold composition, and transcriptional molecular regulation. The S100A8 is a calcium-binding site of the EF-hand type with a low calcium-binding affinity site at the N terminus (N-terminal EF-hand; EF-hand I) and a high affinity site at the C terminus (C-terminal EF-hand; EF-hand II) (6, 7). S100A8 plays an important role in the regulation of immune response and inflammatory processes. It is mainly expressed in bone marrow-derived immune cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils (5, 8, 9). S100A8 acts in a cytokine-like behavior, by binding to cell surface receptors that trigger signaling pathways to take part in the inflammatory process, and plays a key role in many cellular processes, including cell survival, cell cycle progression, differentiation, proliferation, and cell migration (10, 11).

S100A8 has been found to be highly expressed in a variety of inflammation-related diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease (12). Recent publications also have indicated that S100A8 is highly expressed in various solid cancers, including breast cancer (13–19), oral squamous cell carcinoma (20), prostate cancer (21, 22), bladder cancer (23–29), gastric cancer (30–32), lung cancer (33, 34), and liver cancer (35, 36). Most of the studies suggested that an overexpression of S100A8 was correlated with low survival rate in cancer patients. However, single study may be not accurate and convincing. Thus, it is helpful to probe the role of S100A8 using meta-analysis of a much larger number of patients from the literature reports to better understand the potential clinical prognostic value of S100A8 in solid tumor.

METHODS

Our meta-analysis was accomplished on the basis of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (37).

Literature Search Strategy

We searched PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane library to acquire relevant studies with language restriction to English from January 1, 2000 to April 1, 2019, but without restrictions on geographic areas. The following retrieval strategy was used:

(“cancer” OR “tumor” OR “tumour” OR “neoplasm” OR “carcinoma” OR “adenocarcinoma”) AND ("S100A8" OR “Calgranulin A” OR “MRP-8” OR “S100 calcium binding protein A8”) AND (“prognosis” OR “prognostic” OR “outcome”). References in each manuscript were also manually screened to identify more relevant articles.

Study Inclusion Criteria

Two authors independently scrutinized the hits for articles that met the following criteria: (1) studies explored the relationship between S100A8 and the prognosis of patients with cancers; (2) expression of S100A8 was measured in the tumor tissues; (3) studies presented sufficient data to calculate the survival data, including hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) or odds ratio (OR); (4) only the most integrated ones were included for articles with duplicated or overlapping study population;

Data Extraction

The following data was independently extracted by two authors from each paper: (1) general information including first author, publication year, country, sample size, cancer types, and the follow-up duration; (2) detection methods and cut-off values; (3) HRs and 95% CIs investigating the relationship between the expression of S100A8 and OS or DFS or RFS or PFS; (4) clinicopathological characteristics including gender, TNM stage, differential grade, and lymph node metastasis. Any differences were resolved through discussion. The Engauge Digitizer 4.1 software (38, 39) was used to extract data from the Kaplan-Meier curves in articles that did not directly provide HRs and 95% CIs. Tumor cell differentiation grade was subdivided as poor and well/moderate differentiation. TNM stage was dichotomized as III/IV and I/II. In addition, the quality of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) (40), with scores ≥7 considered as high quality article.

Statistical Analysis

The prognostic significance of the S100A8 expression in OS, DFS, RFS, and PFS were analyzed through combining HR and 95% CI. The association between S100A8 expression and clinic pathological features were assessed by the combination of OR with 95% CI. The \( \chi^2 \) based Q test and the I\(^2\) test were undertaken to assess the statistical heterogeneity in included studies. When combining the data, a fixed-effect model was used if there was no remarkable heterogeneity (I\(^2\) < 50% or P-value > 0.05). Otherwise, a random-effect model was applied. All statistical tests were two-sided and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Begg’s funnel plot was used to assess publication bias. All statistical analyses were conducted using the STATA software (version 12.0, Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).
Flow Cytometry

Cells were detached with trypsin–EDTA (Invitrogen) and re-suspended in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.1% sodium azide. The samples were incubated for 1 h on ice with anti-S100A8 mAb or the corresponding isotype antibodies as negative controls. After washing with PBS, cell was stained by incubating with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) labeled anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) for 1 h. Stained cells were analyzed on a FACSCalibur 440E (BectonDickinson) using Cell Quest software (BD Biosciences Immunocytometry Systems).

Immunohistochemistry

Breast cancer and bladder cancer tissues were collected from patients in Zhongnan Hospital, Wuhan University. Sections of 4-μm thick, formalin-fixed, and paraffin-embedded tissues from the patients were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in graded ethanol. Following heat-mediated antigen retrieval, S100A8 was detected in the tissues by the avidin–biotin complex method. The sections were incubated for 1 h with the corresponding specific primary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), followed by washing, another 1-h incubation with biotin-labeled anti-murine IgG antibody (Boyao Biotechnology), washing again, and then incubation with peroxidase-labeled streptavidin for 20 min. Immunostaining was visualized by color reaction to diaminobenzidine for 5 min. As a negative control, isotype antibody was substituted for the primary antibody. Then, the percentages of brown-stained cells indicating the presence of S100A8 was determined. The positive cell ratio (integral optical density value/integral area) was calculated by Tongji Qianping Image Analysis Software.

Western Blot

Total protein was extracted from frozen tumor tissues in ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mmol/L Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 5 mmol/L EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40), containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Calbiochem) on ice for 15 min. Twenty microgram protein was fractionated by 12% SDS-PAGE and then transferred from the gel onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. After blocking with 5% nonfat milk in PBS–TWEEN-20 (0.05%) overnight at 4°C, the membrane was then probed with antibodies specific to S100A8 or β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), followed by a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody against mouse IgG. The enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) from NEN LIFE Science was used to visualize the antibody reaction. Bands were quantified by a calibrated imaging densitometer (GS-710; Bio-Rad) and analyzed by “Quantity One” software (Bio-Rad).

RESULTS

Literature Search

Initially a total of 379 articles were identified based on the search strategy. However, only 33 studies met the inclusion criteria. Subsequently, 20 studies were excluded due to either a lack of an appropriate control or insufficient amount of data. Therefore, a total of 13 studies were enrolled in our meta-analysis (13–18, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27, 30, 33). The flowchart of the selection process is summarized in Figure 1.

Study Characteristics

Our meta-analysis included a total of 2,817 patients from 13 studies, with a maximum sample size of 1,117 patients and a minimum sample size of 43. A total of six cancer types were included: breast cancer, oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), prostate cancer, bladder cancer, non-small cell carcinoma of the lung (NSCCL), and gastric cancer. Two studies used RT-PCR and one study used automated quantitative immunofluorescence (AQUA) to evaluate the expression of S100A8, while all other studies assessed the expression of S100A8 by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Among these studies, six studies were on OS, six studies on DFS, four studies on RFS, and two studies on PFS. The detailed characteristics of these eligible studies are listed in Table 1.

Increased S100A8 Expression and OS

A total of 2,137 patients from six studies with the OS reported were analyzed using the fixed-effect model to estimate the pooled hazard ratio (HR) and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) because no obvious heterogeneity was found (I² = 36.3%, p = 0.165). The pooled HR (the high S100A8 expression group versus the low S100A8 expression group) was 1.36 (95% CI 1.18–1.55, p = 0.000). Thus, the result demonstrated that S100A8 overexpression was significantly associated with poor OS in patients with cancers (Figure 2).
Increased S100A8 Expression and DFS

There were six studies, involving a total of 1,052 patients, provided appropriate data for DFS analysis. Due to the statistical significance of heterogeneity among these studies ($I^2 = 56.4\%$, $p = 0.043$), the random-effect model was adopted to estimate the pooled HR and corresponding 95% CI. The result indicated that there was a significantly association between high expression level of S100A8 and poor DFS (pooled HR = 1.43, 95% CI 1.05–1.94, $p = 0.022$) (Figure 3).

Increased S100A8 Expression and PFS/RFS

A total six studies, involving 523 patients, provided appropriate data for PFS/RFS analysis. The fixed-effect model was used because no obvious heterogeneity was found ($I^2 = 48.7\%$, $p = 0.083$). The pooled HR was 2.57 (95% CI 1.84–3.58, $p = 0.000$), which indicated a significantly association between a high expression level of S100A8 and poor PFS/RFS (Figure 4).

Subgroup Analysis

In order to find possible sources of heterogeneity, we constructed the subgroup analysis. The patients were classified according to their different conditions (Table 2). In term of the cancer type, high expression level of S100A8 was significantly associated with poor outcome in breast cancer (pooled HR = 1.43, 95% CI 1.25–1.63) with no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 36.8\%$, $p = 0.161$) and bladder cancer (pooled HR = 3.90, 95% CI 2.09–7.29) with no significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 46.6\%$, $p = 0.154$). We did not find significant association between S100A8 overexpression and prognosis in other cancers including oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC), prostate cancer, non-small cell carcinoma of the lung (NSCCL), and gastric cancer (pooled HR = 1.45, 95% CI 0.68–3.11) with significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 64.4\%$, $p = 0.038$). In term of the analytical method, S100A8 overexpression was significantly associated with poor prognosis in multivariate analysis group (pooled HR = 2.44, 95% CI 1.26–4.70) with significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 78.0\%$, $p = 0.000$). The

Table 1: Summary of all included eligible studies.

| First author | Year | Country | No. of patients | Tumor type | Method | Cut-off | Outcome | Analysis | NOS |
|--------------|------|---------|-----------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|-----|
| Zhang (18)   | 2017 | China   | 1,117           | Breast cancer | IHC   | NR      | OS      | Kaplan-Meier curves | 7   |
| Wang (17)    | 2018 | China   | 140             | Breast cancer | IHC   | NR      | OS/DFS  | Multivariate       | 7   |
| Parris       | 2013 | Sweden  | 63              | Breast cancer | IHC   | IHC ≥1+ | DFS     | Kaplan-Meier curves | 8   |
| Parris (16, 20) | 2014 | Sweden  | 43              | OSCC        | IHC   | IHC ≥1+ | OS      | Multivariate       | 7   |
| Mukhtar (15) | 2012 | America | 116             | Breast cancer | IHC   | NR      | RFS     | Kaplan-Meier curves | 8   |
| McKiernan (13) | 2011 | Ireland | 295             | Breast cancer | RT-PCR | IHC      | OS/DFS | Univariate       | 8   |
| Yun          | 2014 | Korea   | 69              | Prostate cancer | IHC   | IHC ≥1+ | RFS     | Multivariate       | 8   |
| Ha (23)      | 2010 | Korea   | 103             | NMIBC       | RT-PCR | 171.2 × 10^3 copies/μl | PFS | Multivariate | 9 |
| Minami (26)  | 2010 | Japan   | 77              | Bladder cancer | IHC   | IHC score ≥2 | RFS | Multivariate | 8 |
| Miller (14)  | 2017 | America | 417             | Breast cancer | AQUA  | AQUA score >95.27 | OS/DFS | Multivariate | 8 |
| Nicklas (27) | 2018 | Austria | 158             | OSCC        | IHC  | IHC ≥1+ | RFS/DFS | Multivariate | 8 |
| Koh          | 2018 | Korea   | 94              | NSCCL       | IHC   | IHC ≥1+ | DFS     | Kaplan-Meier curves | 8   |
| Fan (30)     | 2012 | China   | 125             | Gastric cancer | IHC | Positive cells ≥64 | OS     | Kaplan-Meier curves | 8   |

IHC, Immunohistochemistry; AQUA, automated quantitative immunofluorescence; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; OS, Overall survival; DFS, Disease-free survival; PFS, Progression-free survival; RFS, Recurrent-free survival; NR, Not reported; NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; NMIBC, non-muscle invasive bladder cancer; NSCCL, non-small cell carcinoma of the lung.

Figure 2: Forest plot of studies evaluating hazard ratios of S100A8 overexpression and the overall survival of cancer patients.
prognostic significance also could be seen in the Kaplan-Meier curves group (pooled HR = 1.49, 95% CI 1.24–1.79) with no significant heterogeneity (I² = 28.0%, p = 0.235). Similarly, S100A8 overexpression was associated with poor prognosis in the subgroup of sample size.

**Associations Between S100A8 Expression and Clinical Pathological Characteristics**

Further, we studied the associations between S100A8 expression and clinical pathological characteristics (Table 3), including genders, differentiation grades, TNM stages, lymphatic metastasis, estrogen receptor (ER) status, progesterone receptor (PR) status, and human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2) status. We found that high expression level of S100A8 was significantly associated with differentiation grades (poorly versus well/moderately, OR = 3.91, 95% CI 1.48–10.27, p = 0.006), lymphatic metastasis (N1/N2/N3 versus N0, OR = 1.80, 95% CI 1.17–2.77, p = 0.007), ER status (positive versus negative, OR = 0.31, 95% CI 0.21–0.47, p = 0.000), and PR status (positive versus negative, OR = 0.29, 95% CI 0.13–0.63, p = 0.002). We did not observe significant association between S100A8 overexpression and genders (male versus female, OR = 1.93, 95% CI 0.76–4.89, p = 0.164), TNM stages (III–IV versus I–II, OR = 1.34, 95% CI 0.51–3.51, p = 0.554), and HER2 status (positive versus negative, OR = 1.91, 95% CI 0.62–5.91, p = 0.263).

**Sensitivity Analysis**

To test the reliability of our results, we removed an individual study at a time and estimated the pooled HRs of the remaining...
studies. No single study dominated our meta-analysis (Figure 5) which illustrated that our meta-analysis was stable and credible.

**Publication Bias**

An evaluation of the publication bias by the Begg’s funnel plots revealed no obvious asymmetry in the funnel plot that evaluates the relationship between S100A8 expression and OS (Figure 6A). The P value of Egger’s test (P = 0.104) also indicated no obvious publication bias. The result was the same with DFS (P = 0.827, Figure 6B). However, publication bias was found in association between S100A8 expression and PFS/RFS (P = 0.002, Figure 6C).

**Validation**

**Flow Cytometry**

We analyzed the expression of S100A8 in two human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-453) and two human bladder cancer cell lines (HTB-9 and T24). As is shown, S100A8 expressions were at high levels in MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-453, HTB-9, and T24 cells (79.7, 89.2, 70.2, and 53.3%, respectively) (Figure 7).

**Immunohistochemistry**

We collected 112 patients pathologically diagnosed with primary breast cancer between June 2008 and June 2009 at the

# Table 2: Subgroup analysis of pooled HR for OS/DFS/RFS/PFS.

| Categories          | No. of studies | No. of patients | Pooled HR (95% CI) | Heterogeneity |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|
|                     |                |                 | Fix/Random         | P-value       | I² (%)        | P-value       |
| **Cancer type**     |                |                 |                    |               |               |               |
| 1) Breast cancer    | 6              | 2,148           | 1.43 (1.25–1.63)   | 0.000         | 36.8          | 0.161         |
| 2) Bladder cancer   | 3              | 336             | 3.90 (2.09–7.29)   | 0.000         | 46.6          | 0.154         |
| 3) Others           | 4              | 331             | 1.45 (0.68–3.11)   | 0.039         | 64.4          | 0.038         |
| **Analysis**        |                |                 |                    |               |               |               |
| 1) Multivariate     | 7              | 1,007           | 2.44 (1.26–4.70)   | 0.008         | 78.0          | 0.000         |
| 2) Kaplan-Meier curves | 5             | 1,515           | 1.49 (1.24–1.79)   | 0.000         | 28.0          | 0.235         |
| **Sample size**     |                |                 |                    |               |               |               |
| 1) <100             | 8              | 2,471           | 1.43 (1.25–1.62)   | 0.000         | 41.1          | 0.104         |
| 2) >100             | 5              | 346             | 1.81 (0.77–4.62)   | 0.175         | 72.6          | 0.006         |

# Table 3: Associations between S100A8 expression and clinical pathological characteristics.

| Clinicopathological characteristics | No. of studies | No. of patients | Risk of high S100A8 OR (95% CI) | Significant Z | P-value | Heterogeneity I² (%) | P-value | Model         |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------------|
| Gender (male vs female)             | 4              | 339            | 1.93 (0.76–4.89)                | 1.39           | 0.164   | 65.0                 | 0.036   | Random effect |
| Tumor differentiation (poor vs moderate/well) | 5            | 439            | 3.91 (1.48–10.27)               | 2.76           | 0.006   | 73.4                 | 0.005   | Random effect |
| TNM stage (II–IV vs I–II)           | 4              | 402            | 1.34 (0.51–3.51)                | 0.59           | 0.554   | 69.2                 | 0.021   | Random effect |
| Lymphatic metastasis (N1/N2/N3 vs N0) | 5              | 448            | 1.80 (1.17–2.77)                | 2.68           | 0.007   | 0.0                  | 0.445   | Fixed effect |
| ER (positive vs negative)           | 3              | 319            | 0.31 (0.21–0.47)                | 5.71           | 0.000   | 0.0                  | 0.443   | Fixed effect |
| PR (positive vs negative)           | 3              | 319            | 0.29 (0.13–0.63)                | 3.14           | 0.002   | 58.5                 | 0.090   | Random effect |
| HER2 (positive vs negative)         | 3              | 319            | 1.91 (0.62–5.91)                | 1.12           | 0.263   | 75.8                 | 0.016   | Random effect |

**FIGURE 5** | Sensitivity analysis of this meta-analysis. (A) Overall survival (OS). (B) Disease-Free Survival (DFS). (C) Progression-free Survival or Recurrence-Free Survival (PFS/RFS).
Department of Pathology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University (Wuhan, China) (Table 4).

We evaluated S100A8 expression in breast cancer tissues and bladder cancer tissues and their corresponding para-carcinoma tissues using immunohistochemical examination. Tissues were collected from patients in Zhongnan Hospital, Wuhan University. We found that S100A8 staining was positive in breast cancer and bladder cancer tissues, but negative in their corresponding para-carcinoma tissues (Figure 8). And in breast cancer and its corresponding para-carcinoma tissues, the proportion of S100A8 positive cells was 39.5 and 17% respectively (P < 0.01) (Figure 8).

**Western Blot**

We used random number method to select eight pairs of samples of breast cancer tissues and its corresponding para-carcinoma tissues to analyze the expression of S100A8 using western blot. The grayscale value of western blot of eight paired samples of tumor tissue (T), para-carcinoma tissue (P), and β-actin showed the expression of S100A8 was higher in breast cancer tissues than its corresponding para-carcinoma tissues, which verified that S100A8 could be a predictor of prognosis (Figure 9).

**DISCUSSION**

Though several studies have been conducted to clarify the role of S100A8 in the occurrence and development of cancers, its potential molecular mechanisms remain unclear. It was shown that S100A8 promoted the invasion of breast cancer cells,
depending on advanced glycation end products (RAGE) (41). RAGE binding to S100A8 promoted the phosphorylation of LIN-11, Is11, and MEC-3 protein domain kinase, as well as cofilin. It is a critical step in actin polymerization and cofilin recycling. At the same time, RAGE binding to S100A8 strengthened cell mesenchymal properties and mediated epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT). Furthermore, EMT is a process in which epithelial cell layers lose polarity together with cell to cell contacts, leading to a dramatic remodeling of the cytoskeleton. Hence, it plays an significant role in tumor metastasis (42). In terms of mechanism, RAGE bind to S100A8 stably through the NF-κB signaling pathway. S100A8 has binding sites for NF-κB (43), the ligation of cell surface receptors by S100A8 in inflammation can lead to a positive feedback loop and sustained cellular activation, thereby promoting tumor development (41). Another study (44) found that S100A8-induced cell migration and invasion was inhibited by metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) siRNA and MMP12 siRNA, which indicated that MMP2 and MMP12 were related to the S100A8-induced cell migration and invasion. Additionally, S100A8 caused an increase in MMP2 and MMP12 expression, which could be inhibited by SB203580 (p38 MAPK inhibitor) and Bay (NF-κB inhibitor). As a result, it suggested that S100A8 promoted cell migration and invasion through p38 MAPK-dependent NF-κB activation resulting in an increase of MMP2 and MMP12 in gastric cancer. Consequently, the overexpression of S100A8 in cancers contributes to the proliferation, metastasis, and invasion of tumors. Recent publications have indicated that the overexpression of S100A8 in various solid cancers is highly correlated with low survival rate in cancer patients, suggesting S100A8 as a potential biomarker for cancer prognosis.

Our meta-analysis was the first meta-analysis systematically assessing the prognostic value of S100A8 in patients with cancers. The results revealed that high level of expression of S100A8 in cancers was significantly associated with poor OS,
DFS, and PFS/RFS. Our subgroup analysis demonstrated that high expression level of S100A8 was significantly associated with poor outcome in breast cancer and bladder cancer. Further, our results showed that high expression level of S100A8 was significantly associated with differentiation grades, lymphatic metastasis, ER, and PR. We did not observe significant association between S100A8 overexpression and genders, TNM stages, and HER2. The validation data showed that the expression of S100A8 was at high levels in MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-453, HTB-9, and T24 cells (79.7, 89.2, 70.2, and 53.3%, respectively) and was higher in breast cancer tissue and bladder cancer tissue than their corresponding para-carcinoma tissues. This verified that S100A8 could be a predictor of prognosis of breast cancer and bladder cancer patients.

The potential limitations of our meta-analysis include: 1) publication bias because negative results were more difficult to be published and we only searched four online databases and only included English written studies. 2) Heterogeneity of data as shown by our subgroup analysis, where overexpression S100A8 was significantly associated with poor outcome in studies with a sample size greater than 100 but not in studies with a sample size smaller than 100. It is possible that studies with smaller sample size were not statistically stable. 3) Cut-off values were different among these eligible studies, which meant the baseline of overexpression S100A8 may be different. 4) We included two studies that only provided the Kaplan-Meier curve instead of detailed data for HR and 95% CI in survival analysis. Although this increased the amount of data, the accuracy of the meta-analysis may be decreased. 5) The number of included studies was still small. Ideally, a large-size, multicenter study with high-quality will be extremely beneficial for clearly delineating the clinical values of S100A8 in human solid cancers.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis showed that S100A8 expression was a promising predictor and biomarker of prognosis in breast cancer and bladder cancer. Whether or not S100A8 expression could be used as a prognostic biomarker in other cancers requires further study.
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