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Abstract
Conformal fluctuations of the metric tensor are considered. They give rise to a Planck scale size lower bound of the proper length. Infinities arising from the point-like character of particles are thus avoided, expressions related to fermion propagators are finite without the need to renormalize or regularize. The quark condensate, constituent quark mass, pion mass, and pion weak decay constant are calculated within the SU(2) Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model. The numerical values are consistent with known strong interaction physics if one assumes very small values of the current quark mass and effective coupling constant. The usual values of these quantities in hadron phenomenology can be interpreted as resulting from dressing of the very small bare quantities with the fluctuations. With respect to the cosmological constant it is shown that a cosmological constant term, which may initially exist in the gravitational action, is “masked” by the fluctuations of the metric, i.e., it does not appear in the final Einstein equation. Instead, the fluctuations give rise to a cosmological constant themselves.
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1. Introduction

The concept of a particle in quantum field theory is point-like. This fact leads to the known divergent expressions which are usually tackled by renormalization or regularization techniques. Quantum gravity, however, introduces a coarse graining of spacetime at the Planck scale. In the present work an effective description of spacetime fluctuations is proposed. The idea that quantum fluctuations of the metric can have the effect of a regulator is not new, see for instance [1] and references therein, and [2,3]. The expected effect is that propagators should be “smeared out”[4]. In order to preserve the light cone structure, a necessary condition for not violating causality at any instant of the variation of the metric, only formal fluctuations[5] are studied here. The averaging over these fluctuations results in a fuzziness of the light cone at the level of the Planck scale.

A full theory of quantum gravity is expected to require more than the quantization of the conformal mode. Issues related to gauge-fixing and the isolation of the relevant degrees of freedom are not addressed here. The conformal mode should nevertheless reflect the basic features of the effect of quantum gravity on the observables under consideration. Due to the conformality and the fact that the field representing the fluctuations is treated in lowest order, i.e. in classical approximation when deriving Einstein’s equation from variation of the action, the present procedure is in this sense not fundamental but should be regarded as an effective approach.

The fluctuations give rise to a lower bound of the proper length, as should be expected also on heuristic grounds. Thus the infinities mentioned above are avoided without the need for regularization. The consequences for several physical quantities related to Green’s functions are studied in the context of the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model[6,7] using standard techniques[8-11], adapted to the case at hand. The intention here is to check the consistency of the values, with the implicit assumption that the quarks do behave point-like down to the Planck scale (but not beyond that point), and that strong interactions do not introduce another (much larger) regularization scale.

An additional consequence of fluctuations of the metric is the emergence of a cosmological constant[12,13], like in other theories with scalar fields coupled to the metric (see e.g.[14] and references therein). This cosmological constant is independent of the one conjectured from vacuum fluctuations of quantum field theory (QFT), which pose the well-known 120 orders of magnitude problem[15]. It is shown that in the present approach this problem is of no relevance due to a cancellation of terms in Einstein’s equation.
The following section introduces the scalar field which represents the fluctuations of the metric, and it is shown how they impose a lower bound on the proper length. Section 3 discusses fermion propagation in curved spacetime. In section 4 the formal expressions for the quark condensates and the quark mass are calculated, followed by the expressions for the pion mass and weak decay constant in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the cosmological constant which arises from the fluctuations of the metric. Numerical results and a discussion are presented in the final section.

2. Conformal Fluctuations of the Metric

This section summarizes and adapts some known results (see [5] for instance). The starting point of the present approach is a scalar field \( \varphi \) which is to represent the quantum fluctuations of the generalized metric \( g_{ij} \) about its “classical” or “background” value \( \bar{g}_{ij} \). All “background” quantities and operators are denoted by overbars. In the sign convention applied here the Ricci tensor is calculated by the contraction \( R_{mn} = R'_{mn} \) and all spacetime indices, Latin or Greek, run from 0 to 3, with the signature (+ - - -). A large part of the following discussion assumes flat background spacetime, so \( \bar{g}_{ij} = \eta_{ij} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \), except for the section on the cosmological constant, as in cosmology \( \bar{g}_{ij} \) is usually the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric, although this fact is not used explicitly. In most expressions, natural units with \( \hbar = c = 1 \) are used.

The simplest kind of metric variation is
\[
g_{ij} = g_{ij}(1 + \varphi)^2 \equiv \bar{g}_{ij} \Phi^2 ,
\]
where the spacetime coordinate dependent function \( \varphi(x) \) is a scalar field representing the quantum fluctuations of the metric about the classical value. The fluctuation average (vacuum expectation value) is required to be \( \langle \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi_m \rangle = 0 \) (the comma denotes partial derivative), meaning that the center of the fluctuations is the classical value and that there is no drift of \( \Phi \) in spacetime, a fact consistent with energy conservation[13]. The field \( \varphi \) represents an additional, conformal degree of freedom.

The full metric \( g_{ij} \) is now inserted into the Hilbert action of General Relativity
\[
S_G = \left(16\pi G\right)^{-1} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} ,
\]
Due to the presence of \( \varphi \) the total scalar curvature is
\[
\mathcal{R} = \frac{R}{(1 + \varphi)^2} - \frac{6}{(1 + \varphi)^3} \varphi_{mn} \varphi_{mn} ,
\]
where \( \mathcal{R} \) denotes the classical background scalar curvature, i.e. the usual one without fluctuations, and the semicolon denotes covariant derivative. In the special case of flat background spacetime (\( \mathcal{R} = 0 \)) which is studied next, the action reduces to
\[
S_G = \frac{3}{8\pi \ell_P^2} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} ,
\]
with the Planck length \( \ell_P = \sqrt{G} \) (in natural units), \( G \) being the gravitational constant. (The sign convention differs from the one used in an earlier version of this work [16]). Note that the resulting action is proportional to the usual scalar action, with the additional factor \( 3 / 4 \pi \ell_P^2 \).

The vacuum expectation value of the line element becomes
\[
< 0 | s^2 | 0 > = < 0 | g_{ij} | 0 > dx^i dx^j
\]
\[
= < (1 + \varphi(x))^2 > \bar{g}_{ij} \ d x^i \ d x^j
\]
(5)
The quantity \( < \varphi^2 > \) is calculated formally as the limit \( x \rightarrow y \) of the scalar propagator [17] but with the additional factor mentioned above appropriately included [5]:
\[
< T \varphi(x) \varphi(y) > = \frac{i^2}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(x-y)^2 - i\epsilon} .
\]
leading to the result
\[
\lim_{x \rightarrow y} < s^2 > = \frac{i^2}{2\pi^2} \equiv \lambda^2
\]
(6)
(7)
Thus, in the expressions considered here. This implies also that, after averaging over the fluctuations, the light cone is smeared out at the level of the Planck length.

3. Fermions in Curved Spacetime

When dealing with fermion propagators one has to take into account that any deviation of the metric from Minkowski spacetime \( \eta_{ij} \) alters the Dirac equation. Its general form is [18,19]
\[
(i \gamma_k \nabla_k - m) \Psi = 0 ,
\]
(10)
where \( \nabla_k \) is the covariant derivative of a spinor, \( i \gamma_k \Psi = \partial_k \Psi - \Gamma_k \Psi \).

The 4x4 matrices \( \Gamma_k \) are obtained from the relation
\[
\partial_k \gamma_i - \gamma_k \gamma_i + \gamma_i \Gamma_k - \Gamma_k \gamma_i = 0 ,
\]
where the Christoffel symbols
\[
\Gamma^i_k = g^{ir} (\partial_k g_{rs} + \partial_s g_{kr} - \partial_r g_{ks}) / 2
\]
(12)
contain the full metric \( g^{ir} \) and where the \( \gamma \) matrices are related to the flat spacetime \( \gamma \) matrices by
\[
\gamma_k = \Phi \gamma_k .
\]
(13)
(14)
A rather lengthy calculation is needed to obtain the explicit form of the matrices \( \Gamma_k \) from (12). Inserting them back into the covariant derivative, the Dirac equation can be written for the case at hand as
\[
(p_\perp - m) \Psi = 0 ,
\]
where the operator \( p_\perp \) reads
\[
p_\perp = \Phi^{-1} \ p_\perp - i \frac{3}{2} \Phi^{-2} \mathcal{R} \ g^{kk} \partial_k \Phi ,
\]
(15)
(16)
with \( p_\perp = \tilde{p}_k g^{kk} \tilde{p}_k \) and \( \tilde{p}_k = i \partial_k \).
4. Quark Condensate and Quark Mass

Passing now to the discussion of quantities containing a single fermion propagator, the quark condensate is known to be related to the fermion propagator by

\[ \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -i \lim_{y \to x} ((N_f)^{-1} \text{Tr} g_F(x, y)), \tag{17} \]

where \( N_f \) is the number of flavors and \( \text{Tr} \) stands for the trace in flavor, color and Dirac spaces. The spacetime dependent propagator \( g_F(\lambda) \) is obtained from its four-momentum dependent counterpart \( g_F(p) \) by a Fourier transform. The condensate becomes

\[ \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -3i \lim_{y \to x} (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4\bar{p} \times (-g)^{-1} e^{-i\bar{p} \cdot (y-x)} \text{tr} \left[ (p; / - m)^{-1} \right]. \tag{18} \]

Here, \( \text{tr} \) is the Dirac trace and \( m \) the constituent quark mass (see below), and the \( +i\epsilon \) term has been omitted from the denominator, for simplicity of notation. Also implicit is the evaluation of the vacuum expectation value of the fluctuations. The momentum \( p/ \) is the full one (16), and \( g \) refers to the determinant of the metric tensor

\[ g = \text{det} g_{kl} = \Phi^8 \text{det} g_{kl} = -\Phi^8. \tag{19} \]

To calculate the vacuum expectation value of the fluctuating field, it is convenient to rewrite the denominator in terms of \( p^2 \). After some algebra one has

\[ \text{tr} \left[ (p; / - m)^{-1} \right] = 4m^2 \Phi^2 \times \left( \frac{p^2 - m^2\Phi^2}{4i\Phi} \right) \left( \frac{p^2 - m^2\Phi^2}{4i\Phi} \right)^{-1} \tag{20} \]

and then

\[ \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -\lim_{x \to 0} 12 i m \Phi^{-2} (2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4\bar{p} e^{i\bar{p} \cdot x} \left( \frac{p^2 - m^2\Phi^2}{4i\Phi} \right)^{-1} \tag{21} \]

By using the identity \( (a + i\epsilon) \Phi^{-2} = -i \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{i\alpha (a+i\epsilon)} \) (rememhering the presence of the term \( i\epsilon \) in the denominator), the \( d^4\bar{p} \)-integration can be performed,

\[ \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{3i\Phi}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( a^2 + \alpha - 1 \right)} = -\frac{3i\Phi}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\alpha e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( a^2 + \alpha - 1 \right)} \tag{22} \]

where use of (7) has been made to write the fluctuation average

\[ \lim_{x \to 0} \langle \Phi^2 x^2 \rangle = \lambda^2. \tag{23} \]

The remaining integral evaluates to

\[ \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -3m^2\pi^2 \lambda^{-1} K_1(\im\lambda), \tag{24} \]

with \( K_1 \) being the modified Bessel function. In the small argument limit, \( K_1 \) behaves as the inverse of the argument, so one finally gets

\[ \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -3m^2\pi^2 \lambda^{-2}. \tag{25} \]

To proceed to the discussion of the constituent mass of the quark one needs an explicit interaction lagrangian. An often used effective chiral model lagrangian is due to Nambu and Jona-Lasinio[6]. The modern version of the model in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is reviewed for instance in [7]. The lagrangian of the simplest \( SU(2) \) version is written as

\[ L = \Psi^\dagger (i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu - m_0) \Psi + \frac{1}{4} (\Psi^\dagger \Psi)^2 - (\Psi\gamma^\mu \Psi)^2, \tag{26} \]

where \( k \) is the effective strong coupling constant, \( m_0 \) is the current quark mass and \( \Psi \) is the quark field. As one can see, the quark fields are the only degrees of freedom in this model, the information on the gluons is residing in the constant \( k \). It has been shown in [20] that this type of lagrangian can be obtained from QCD by integrating out the gluonic degrees of freedom. It is reasonable to expect that gluonic degrees of freedom are unimportant at "high energy" where we know that quarks are asymptotically free. But how high is "high energy"? Asymptotic freedom is observed in QCD where energy scales are measured in terms of GeV, but since in this work energies up to the Planck energy are considered, there is no guarantee that the present type of lagrangian can still be used, the gluons could become important again. Let me simply assume here the validity of this lagrangian down to the Planck scale and use it to study the consequences of the fluctuations, remembering the words of caution about its applicability. After Fierz symmetrization in color, flavor and Dirac spaces the lagrangian acquires more terms which are not written explicitly here, since they do not contribute to the quantities to be studied. The coupling constant is redefined by the presence of the exchange terms. Let me call this redefined value again \( k \).

Note that the model is non-renormalizable and is normally defined only together with some regularization procedure, for instance by using a cut-off typically in the order of \( 1 \text{ GeV} \), the strong interaction scale. In the present consideration there is no need to regularize, since the results stay finite. The "regularization" arises naturally from the fluctuations at the Planck scale.

Some comments are in order regarding the \( \gamma \)-matrices appearing in this kind of quartic interaction lagrangian. The expression of the pseudoscalar-isovector term \( (\Psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \Psi)^2 \) stands actually for \( (\Psi^\dagger \gamma_5 + \psi)(\Psi^\dagger \gamma_5 + \psi) \). Since \( \gamma_5 = i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \), it carries the inverse fourth power of \( \Phi \):

\[ \gamma_5 = \Phi^{-4} \bar{\gamma}_5. \tag{27} \]

The adjoint is however

\[ (\gamma_5)^+ = \Phi^4 \bar{\gamma}_5, \tag{28} \]

so the contributions of \( \Phi \) cancel in the lagrangian. (The same holds true for terms containing \( \gamma_\mu \)). It should be noted also that

\[ \Psi = \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \tag{29} \]

contains only the flat spacetime \( \bar{\Psi} \), in order to be compatible with current conservation

\[ \nabla_\mu (\Psi \gamma^\mu \Psi) = 0, \tag{30} \]

\( \nabla_\mu \) being again the covariant derivative.

In principle, all the terms appearing in the Fierz symmetric lagrangian have to be considered in the evaluation of the constituent mass. As in the flat spacetime case, however, only the scalar term \( (\Psi^\dagger \Psi)^2 \) contributes, essentially since \( p/ \)

has the same \( p/ \) structure as \( p/ \), which cancels the contributions in the traces in the same way as in flat spacetime without fluctuations, with the exception of the vector term \( (\Psi^\dagger \gamma_\mu \Psi)^2 \), see below. The constituent mass \( m \) is related to the current mass \( m_0 \) via the self-energy \( \Sigma \):

\[ m = m_0 + i\Sigma(p). \tag{31} \]

The scalar contribution is
\[ \Sigma^z = i \lim_{\gamma \to x} k \text{Tr} G_F(y, x) \]  

This is proportional to the expression for the quark condensate:

\[ i \Sigma^z = -2k < \eta \phi > . \]  

The contribution which does not vanish immediately by tracing is the vector term

\[ i \Sigma^v = i \lim_{\gamma \to x} k \eta^\mu \text{Tr} G_F(y, x) \gamma_\mu . \]  

After evaluating the trace, this is proportional to

\[ \bar{\eta}_\mu \int d^4 p \bar{\phi}_5 \phi_5 \bar{\phi}_5 \phi_5 \bar{\phi}_5 \phi_5 \phi_5, \]

so the first term vanishes right away since it is odd in \( \bar{\phi}_5 \) in the integral, and the second because it is odd in the quantum fluctuations. Therefore, using (25), the constituent quark mass is obtained from

\[ m - m_0 = -2k < \eta \phi >= 6mk\pi^-2\lambda^{-2} . \]  

This relation can be used to determine the value of the coupling, with the assumption \( m \gg m_0 \):

\[ k = \pi^-2\lambda^2/6 . \]  

5. Pion Mass and Weak Decay Constant

As an example for expressions containing two fermion propagators, consider now the pion in the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model. The vertex involving the pion is written in terms of quarks as

\[ \bar{q} q \left( q^2 - m^2 \right)^{-1} = \bar{\eta}_5 \left( 1 - k q^2 \right)^{-1} \gamma_5 , \]

with the quark loop integral

\[ \int q^2 = i(2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4 p \bar{\phi}(g)^{-1/2} \times \text{Tr} \left[ \xi_T - i G_F(p - q/2) \xi_T \tau^+ i G_F(p + q/2) \right] . \]

The integral can be rewritten, with the help of the expression for the constituent quark mass (35), as

\[ \int q^2 = \int \left( 1 - m_0/m \right) / k - 12i q^2 \text{I} \left( q^2 \right) , \]

where

\[ I \left( q^2 \right) = \int d^4 p \bar{\phi}(g)^{-1} \times \left[ (p + q/2)^2 - m^2 \right] \left[ (q - p/2)^2 - m^2 \right] \]

Since at the pole \( q^2 = m^2 \), the quark loop integral obeys

\[ 1 - k q^2 \left( m^2 \right) = 0, \]

and hence the pion mass can be deduced from

\[ m^2 = -m_0 / \left( 12mkI \left( m^2 \right) \right) . \]

The integral \( I \left( q^2 \right) \) can be further rewritten using the convolution theorem as

\[ I \left( q^2 \right) = \int d^4 x z \left( q^2 \right) \gamma_5 \gamma_5 \]

where \( G_\phi \left( p \right) \) and \( g_\phi \left( z \right) \) being of the form of boson propagators in momentum and coordinate space. Due to the vacuum expectation value of the fluctuations, \( g_\phi \left( z \right) \) becomes

\[ g_\phi \left( z \right) = \left( 2\pi \right)^{-4} m^2 \left( x^2 + \lambda^2 \right)^{-1} \zeta \left( m \sqrt{x^2 - \lambda^2} \right) . \]

Calculating the Fourier transform of \( g_\phi \left( z \right) \) and using (42), one gets

\[ I \left( m^2 \right) = \lambda^2 / (4\pi^2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty d x k_0 \int_0^\infty d k_2 \left( k^2 + m^2 \right) \]

\[ \times K_1 \left( k \sqrt{k_0 - m^2} \right)^{2} + k_2^2 + m^2 \]

\[ \times K_1 \left( k \sqrt{k_0 + m^2} \right)^{2} + k_2^2 + m^2 \]

\[ = \sqrt{k_0^2 + 4m^2} / 4 + m^2 + 2m^2 \left( k_0^2 + k_2^2 - m^2 \right) / 4 \],

having passed to the coordinate system in which \( q = (m, 0, 0, 0) \).

It is worthwhile noting that, since integrals are finite in the present scheme, it is allowed to shift variables without introducing ambiguities, contrary to the usual case of the infinite integrals appearing in normalization or regularization procedures.

The pion weak decay constant \( f_\pi \) in the chiral limit is written as

\[ q \mu f_\pi^2 = -im(2\pi)^{-4} \int d^4 p \bar{\phi}(g)^{-1/2} \times \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma_5 \gamma_T - i G_F(p + q/2) \gamma_5 \gamma_T i G_F(p - q/2) \right] . \]

This leads to

\[ f_\pi^2 = -12i m^2 I(0) . \]

Due to \( q^2 = 0 \), the integral \( I(0) \) has spherical 4-symmetry and reduces to

\[ I(0) = i \lambda^2 / (4\pi^2)^{-1} \int_0^\infty d x \left( \lambda \sqrt{x + m^2} \right). \]

6. The Cosmological Constant

The Casimir effect is usually considered to be a proof for the existence of the quantum fluctuations of the vacuum required by QFT. Considering them as "real" in the sense of contributing to the energy density of the universe leads to the well-known problem of a cosmological constant 120 orders of magnitude bigger than the one indeed observed. What could be the impact of the metric fluctuations? The procedure to obtain the cosmological constant from the total action \( S = S_\eta + S_M \) is described in [13], where the gravitational action \( S_\eta \) did contain a cosmological constant from the outset, and \( S_M \) is the matter part in dust approximation. Here, the same procedure is followed, but starting instead from the action \( S = S_\eta + S_\phi \), where

\[ S_\eta = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left( R + \Lambda \right) \]

now does contain a prior cosmological constant contribution \( \Lambda \), for instance created by quantum fluctuations of the vacuum.

The variation of the action leading to Einstein's equation includes two parts, the variation of the background metric and the variation of the field describing the fluctuations:

\[ \delta S = \delta S_\eta \delta g_\eta \delta g_\eta + \delta S_\phi \delta g_\phi \delta g_\phi \delta \phi . \]

The variations are independent and lead to two equations, one proportional to \( \delta g_\eta \)

\[ \delta g_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \delta g_\phi \delta \eta - \delta g_\phi \delta \eta K(1 + \phi)^2 \]

\[ + \frac{3}{2} \delta g_\phi \frac{\phi m^2}{1 + \phi} - 8\pi G T_{\eta \phi} = 0 \]  

and the other proportional to \( \delta \phi 

\[ \delta \phi = -6 \frac{\phi m^2}{1 + \phi} + 4 \Lambda(1 + \phi)^2 + 8\pi G \delta g_\eta T_{\eta \phi} = 0 \].

Compared to [13], the two equations contain now new terms proportional to \( \Lambda \), but when the second equation is used to eliminate the \( \phi \)-dependence from the first, the new terms cancel exactly. The resulting Einstein equation is identical to the one previously obtained without the prior \( \Lambda \)-term. It can again be cast in the form of an Einstein equation...
\[ R_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} g_{mn} R - \Lambda g_{mn} = \frac{\hat{g}}{c^2} T_{mn} \]  
(52)

with the extra term \( \hat{g}_{ik} \Lambda \) in which

\[ \Lambda = - \frac{1}{4} (3 \pi G \hat{g}^{mn} T_{mn} + \hat{R}) , \]  
(53)

of the same form as in [8], is a consequence of the inclusion of \( \varphi \) in the generalized metric and not of the prior \( \Lambda \). The contributions of the QFT vacuum fluctuations (if that was the assumed origin of the term \( \Lambda \)) cancel in the present approach. The situation is reminiscent of the explanation of the Casimir effect put forward in [22], which does not prove nor require the existence of QFT vacuum fluctuations.

7. Numerical Results and Discussion

Neither the pion weak decay constant nor the quark condensate contain the effective coupling constant \( k \) explicitly. In this way, these quantities are model independent, as long as one regards the quarks as the only degrees of freedom. Both quantities are dependent indirectly on \( k \) via the constituent quark mass. In order to be able to reproduce the experimental value of the pion weak decay constant \( f_\pi = 93 \) MeV, it is necessary to assume a rather small constituent mass \( m = 34.6 \) MeV. With the more acceptable value \( m = 386 \) MeV, the decay constant becomes \( f_\pi = 1011 \) MeV. The discrepancy may be due to the fact that expression (45) is an approximation in the chiral limit. It is interesting to realize that \( f_\pi \) is only logarithmically dependent on the regularization point. Using the Planck length \( \lambda \) as the covariant cutoff in \( [8] \) yields very similar results.

The quark condensate, although finite, evaluates to the unusually large value \( \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = (5.5 \times 10^{15} \) MeV\(^3\), for the choice \( m = 386 \) MeV. As discussed for instance in \( [8] \), the quark condensate is not renormalization invariant, but \( m_0 < \langle \bar{q} q \rangle \) is. In fact, the Gell-Mann--Oakes--Renner relation \[ m_0 < \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \] indicates that the current quark mass must be very small in the present situation, of the order of \( m_0 = 10^{-39} \) MeV, instead of the usual few MeV. We can imagine that the "bare" current quark mass, appearing in the lagrangian, is successively dressed by the fluctuations of the metric to the few MeV current quark mass usually encountered in hadron physics, and then by the strong interaction, to finally yield the constituent quark mass \( m \). This is seen in (35), where both the Planck length \( \lambda \) and the effective coupling \( k \) enter. To be compatible with (35), the coupling must be very small, \( k = 10^{-45} \) \( \text{MeV}^{-2} \) from (36). This can also be imagined as the bare value which is then dressed by the fluctuations to give the more usual order of magnitude in the combinations \( k (\bar{\Psi} \Psi)^2 \) etc. appearing in the lagrangian.

Turning next to the pion mass \( m_\pi = 140 \) MeV, one finds that (41) is satisfied with the choices \( m_0 = 6.1 \times 10^{-38} \) MeV for \( m = 386 \) MeV, and \( m_\pi = 5.7 \times 10^{-39} \) MeV for \( m = 34.6 \) MeV, respectively. Again, the smaller constituent mass seems to be preferred, as it compares well with the Gell-Mann--Oakes--Renner result. One should, however, not attribute too much value to this statement, since the model lagrangian used has to be taken with caution when approaching the Planck scale. As mentioned earlier, gluonic degrees of freedom may become increasingly important at very high energies again, since it is not clear if asymptotic freedom holds up to the Planck energy. It may not be allowed to simply use an effective coupling constant to represent strong interactions close to the Planck energy.

The next step along this line of investigation will be to consider a model lagrangian with gluonic degrees of freedom to assess their importance close to the Planck scale and to see whether the results presented here suffer significant changes.

No numerical results are discussed with regard to the cosmological constant, as this is done in [13] and the intention here is to show what the effect of the fluctuations of the metric is on a prior presence of a cosmological constant in the action. The contribution of the prior term vanishes, but the metric fluctuations generate a cosmological constant themselves. This is a new and unexpected result. Work is in progress to evaluate whether the prior term cancels only in the dust approximation used here or also under more general conditions.

To summarize the results, no essential contradictions have been found in the present scheme, in which conformal quantum fluctuations of the metric tensor introduce a fuzziness of point-like particles at the scale of the Planck length. This fact avoids infinities without the need for renormalization or regularization. The physical values of quantities like the pion mass, pion weak decay constant and constituent quark mass attain reasonable values, if one assumes non-standard (very small) values of the "bare" current quark mass and effective strong coupling constant. The standard values of the current quark mass and of the coupling constant can be interpreted as resulting from dressing of the bare quantities with the fluctuations of the metric, in a similar way as the constituent mass is obtained by dressing of the usual current quark mass with strong interactions.

In the context of the Einstein equation, the fluctuations mask any prior cosmological constant present in the gravitational action. This is an interesting and novel result worth being pursued further.
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