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Abstract

Xylan is a major component of the plant cell wall, and the most abundant non-cellulosic component in the secondary cell walls that constitute the largest part of plant biomass. Dicot glucuronoxylan consists of a linear backbone of $\beta$(1,4)-linked xylose residues substituted with $\alpha$(1,2)-linked glucuronic acid. Although several genes have been implicated in xylan synthesis through mutant analyses, the biochemical mechanisms responsible for synthesizing xylan are largely unknown. Here, we show evidence for biochemical activity of GUX1, a member of Glycosyltransferase Family 8 that is responsible for adding the glucuronosyl substitutions onto the xylan backbone. GUX1 has characteristics typical of Golgi-localized glycosyltransferases and a $K_m$ for UDP-glucuronic acid of 165 $\mu$M. GUX1 strongly favors xylohexaose as acceptor over shorter xylooligosaccharides and with xylohaxaose as acceptor, glucuronic acid is almost exclusively added to the fifth xylose residue from the non-reducing end. We also show that several related proteins, GUX2 – GUX5 and Plant Glycogenin-like Starch Initiation Protein 6 (PGSIP6), are Golgi-localized, and that only two of these proteins, GUX2 and GUX4, have activity as xylan $\alpha$-glucuronosyltransferases.
Introduction

Plant cell walls consist of crystalline cellulose microfibrils embedded in a matrix of pectins and hemicelluloses. Some cell types also have lignin in their walls. Xylans are the major hemicelluloses in dicot secondary cell walls and in both primary and secondary cell walls in grasses (Carpita, 1996; Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010). In Arabidopsis, xylan consists of a linear backbone of β(1,4)-linked D-xylose (Xyl) residues, some of which are acetylated at the C2 or C3 position. About one in eight Xyl residues are substituted with α(1,2)-linked D-glucuronic acid (GlcA) or 4-O-methyl-D-glucuronic acid (MeGlcA) (Brown et al., 2007). Arabinose substitutions on the xylan backbone are also common in plants, especially in grasses, but have not been described in Arabidopsis. Xylans from grasses are unique in having some of the arabinose residues on xylan esterified with ferulic acid; this feature is not found in other plants (Carpita, 1996; Ebringerová et al., 2005). Although the exact functions of these substitutions are not known, it is thought that they influence the solubility of xylan and its interaction with other cell wall components such as cellulose and lignin (Fry, 1986; Carpita, 1996). In addition, GlcA and (Me)GlcA substitutions inhibit enzymatic degradation of xylan into monosaccharides (Mortimer et al., 2010).

Xylans are the second most abundant polymer after cellulose in grasses and in dicot woody tissue, two sources of biomass that may potentially be used for conversion into biofuels. In addition to making up a large percentage of the sugars available for fermentation, xylans affect biomass recalcitrance because they are crosslinked to lignin through ester linkages to ferulate and (Me)GlcA (Watanabe and Koshijima, 1988; Ebringerová and Heinze, 2000). Xylan structure is therefore an important consideration when engineering plants for improved saccharification and fermentation properties. However, the genes responsible for xylan synthesis have only begun to be discovered in the last few years through forward and reverse genetics in Arabidopsis. Three genes, *Irregular Xylem (IRX)* 9, IRX10, and IRX14, are thought to encode glycosyltransferases responsible for synthesizing the xylan backbone, as mutations in these genes cause a
dwarf phenotype, collapsed xylem vessels, and a reduction in xylan content (Liepman et al., 2010). The related genes IRX9-like (IRX9L), IRX10L and IRX14L apparently function redundantly to synthesize xylan, as double irx9/irx9l, irx10/irx10l, and irx14/irx14l mutants exhibit more severe reductions in xylan than the single mutants and because they can fully complement the double mutants (Keppler and Showalter, 2010; Wu et al., 2010).

Dicot xylans contain the tetrasaccharide → 4)-β-D-Xylp-(1 → 4)-β-D-Xylp-(1 → 3)-α-L-Rhap-(1 → 2)-α-D-GalpA-(1 → 4)-D-Xylp at their reducing ends. This tetrasaccharide was first identified in birch and spruce (Johansson and Samuelson, 1977; Andersson et al., 1983) and has more recently been found in Arabidopsis (Peña et al., 2007). Although the function of this tetrasaccharide is unclear, it has been proposed to function in initiation or termination of xylan synthesis (Peña et al., 2007; York and O'Neill, 2008). The genes IRX8, Fragile Fiber 8 (FRA8/IRX7), FRA8 Homolog (F8H/IRX7L) and PARVUS/GATL1 are thought to encode glycosyltransferases involved in synthesizing the reducing end tetrasaccharide (Lee et al., 2007a; York and O'Neill, 2008; Liepman et al., 2010). Mutations in these genes cause an increase in the heterodispersity of xylan degree of polymerization, indicating that these genes are necessary for controlling chain elongation (Brown et al., 2007; Peña et al., 2007).

The genes Glucuronic Acid Substitution of Xylan (GUX)1 and GUX2 have also been implicated in xylan synthesis in coexpression analyses from several groups (Brown et al., 2005; Persson et al., 2005; Ko et al., 2006; Oikawa et al., 2010). These proteins were initially thought to be involved in starch synthesis and were named Plant Glycogenin-like Starch Initiation Proteins (PGSIPs) based on their homology to mammalian glycogenin (Chatterjee et al., 2005). PGSIP1/GUX1 was also predicted to be chloroplast-localized, and RNAi knock-downs of GUX1 showed less starch accumulation (Chatterjee et al., 2005). However, both GUX1 and GUX2 have since been shown to localize to the Golgi apparatus (Mortimer et al., 2010; Oikawa et al., 2010). In addition, gux1 and gux2 mutants showed a significant reduction in both xylan GlcA substitutions and xylan GlcA transferase (GlcAT) activity in microsomal fractions (Mortimer et al., 2010; Oikawa et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012). Here, we provide biochemical evidence that
GUX1 is directly responsible for adding GlcA substitutions to xylan. We also show that GUX2 and another related protein, GUX4, have xylan glucuronosyltransferase activity.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis of the GUX/PGSIP family of proteins

The GUX1 and GUX2 proteins belong to glycosyltransferase family 8 (GT8), which is quite diverse but is considered a single glycosyltransferase family according to the CAZy database (www.cazy.org) (Cantarel et al., 2009). In plants GT8 contains the GUX clade, galactinol synthase (GolS), galacturonosyltransferase (GAUT), and GAUT-like (GATL) clades (Yin et al., 2010). The three GT8 proteins in Arabidopsis that do not belong to these clades have been annotated as PGSIP6, PGSIP7 and PGSIP8 (Yin et al., 2010). The positions of these proteins in the GT8 family tree are shown in Fig. 1A. The predicted topologies of the GUX and PGSIP proteins are shown in Fig. 1B. All five GUX proteins are predicted to be Type II membrane proteins with a single N-terminal transmembrane domain. In contrast, PGSIP6, PGSIP7 and PGSIP8 have between five and seven predicted transmembrane domains with scores above 0.5 according to the Aramemnon plant membrane protein database (http://aramemnon.uni-koeln.de) (Schwacke et al., 2003).

Expression and purification of GUX1

In order to investigate the biochemical function of GUX1, we transiently overexpressed the fusion protein GUX1-YFP-HA by infiltration of Nicotiana benthamiana leaves with Agrobacterium carrying the appropriate construct. We also expressed the Arabidopsis Rhamnogalacturonan Xylosyltransferase 2 (RGXT2) (Egelund et al., 2006) as a control to ensure that the results of overexpressing GUX1 were not a general effect of overexpressing a Golgi-localized glycosyltransferase. Plants were co-infiltrated with Agrobacterium carrying a construct with the p19 gene from tomato bushy stunt virus,
which encodes a protein that suppresses gene silencing, to ensure that proteins were highly expressed (Voinnet et al., 2003). Microsomes were purified from the infiltrated plants and used directly in GlcAT assays with UDP-[\(^{14}\)C]GlcA and xylohexaose as substrates, removal of unincorporated radiolabel by paper chromatography and quantification of the remaining labeled product by scintillation counting. The microsomes from plants expressing the GUX1 fusion protein had a high xylan GlcAT activity compared to the controls (Fig. 2B). To further investigate that this activity was directly associated with GUX1, the GUX1-YFP-HA protein was isolated from microsomes by affinity purification with anti-HA resin prior to activity assays (Fig. 2A). After purification the activity was much higher, whereas the activity in control purifications was at a background level (Fig. 2B). XylT activity was assayed in the same samples to confirm that the decarboxylation of UDP-[\(^{14}\)C]GlcA to UDP-[\(^{14}\)C]Xyl and addition of Xyl to the xylohexaose acceptor did not contribute to the activity. The amount of XylT activity was negligible, consistent with background RGXT2 activity levels (Fig. 2B).

**Analysis of the enzymatic product**

To confirm that the radiolabeled product was in fact xylohexaose with an \(\alpha\)-linked \([^{14}\text{C}]\text{GlcA}\) substitution, we digested the product with a specific \(\alpha\)-glucuronidase from *Bacteroides ovatus* (GH family 115). Product incubated with the \(\alpha\)-glucuronidase contained 7 dpm of radiolabel (SE\(\pm\)2, \(n=3\)), while product incubated with buffer alone contained 430 dpm (SE\(\pm\)11, \(n=3\)). This experiment confirmed that the label was \(\alpha\)-linked GlcA since essentially all of the radiolabel was released by treatment with this enzyme. The product was further characterized by LC-TOF mass spectrometry to confirm that the product from a reaction using xylohexaose and unlabeled UDP-GlcA had the expected molecular mass (Fig. 2D). The compound with measured \(m/z\) \(\text{[M+Na]}^{+} = 1009.28579\) corresponds to within 0.19 ppm of the theoretical \(m/z\) of the sodium adduct of glucuronoxylohexaose (1009.28598), and the compounds with \(m/z\) \(\text{[M+Na]}^{+}\) of 1010.29088 and 1011.28884 represent isotopic peaks of this molecule. The compound with monoisotopic mass 1007.027 is unidentified, but it was also present in control reactions lacking the xylohexaose acceptor (Fig. 2C) or lacking GUX1 protein (Supplemental Fig. S1), and
hence is not related to GUX1 activity. The sodium adduct of glucurono-xylohexaose indicated that it eluted from the HPLC column approximately ten seconds later than the unidentified compound (Supplemental Fig. S1), but we were unable to completely separate the peaks. However, we are confident that the sodium adduct of glucuronoxylohexaose is a distinct compound that was not present in the control reaction. No evidence of xylohexaose with addition of more than one GlcA was observed.

The product was also characterized by digestion with β-xylosidase, which cleaves Xyl residues from the non-reducing end of the xylooligomer but is unable to cleave GlcA-substituted Xyl residues. Digestion fragments were analyzed by LC-TOF mass spectrometry and quantified using available xylooligomers as standards. Approximately 85% of digestion fragments were released as glucuronoxylobiose (Fig. 2E), indicating that the GlcA was positioned on the fifth Xyl from the non-reducing end of the molecule. A smaller amount of glucuronoxylotetraose indicated that the third Xyl from the non-reducing end is also a relatively good acceptor site for GUX1.

LC-TOF mass spectrometry was also used to characterize products of glucuronosyltransferase reactions using different xylooligomer acceptors. Purified GUX1 supplied with Xyl and xylooligomers from xylobiose to xylohexaose as acceptors was able to transfer GlcA onto xylobiose and larger molecules (Fig. 2D). GUX1 showed a preference for larger acceptors as the enzyme was most active when xylohexaose was used as the acceptor, and showed less than 10% activity when xylobiose was used as the acceptor.

Characteristics of purified GUX1 protein

We used purified GUX1-YFP-HA protein to optimize conditions for GlcAT activity and further characterize the enzyme (Fig. 3). GUX1 activity increases with the amount of protein, has a temperature optimum at around 25°C, a pH optimum of 6.5, and requires Mn²⁺ but not Mg²⁺. The enzyme is relatively stable as product increased almost linearly over the first 5 h before it leveled off. The K_m value for UDP-GlcA was calculated to be 165 μM (SD ±28, n=2)
Activity of the GUX/PGSIP family proteins

We investigated the activity of the remaining GUX/PGSIP family proteins by transiently overexpressing them in *N. benthamiana*. Microsomal fractions from these plants were assayed in the same way as GUX1 (Fig. 4). As expected, plants infiltrated with GUX1 showed a large increase in GlcAT activity compared to plants infiltrated with the p19 construct alone. Overexpression of two other proteins, GUX2 and GUX4, caused an increase in GlcAT activity similar to GUX1. Overexpression of the remaining GUX/PGSIP proteins showed no increase in GlcAT activity over background levels.

Subcellular localization of the GUX/PGSIP proteins

GUX1 and GUX2 have previously been shown to localize to the Golgi (Mortimer et al., 2010; Oikawa et al., 2010). We expressed the remaining members of the family in *N. benthamiana* with the Golgi marker α-mannosidase-mCherry (Nelson et al., 2007). All five GUX proteins and PGSIP6 colocalized with Golgi markers (Fig. 5). None of the GUX proteins or PGSIP6 colocalized with the plasma membrane marker AtPIP2A-mCherry (Fig. S2).

Discussion

Although the GUX1 and GUX2 proteins were originally identified as Plant Glycogenin-like Starch Initiation Protein (PGSIP) 1 and PGSIP3, they have been implicated in secondary cell wall deposition in coexpression analyses by several groups (Persson et al., 2005; Ko et al., 2006; Mortimer et al., 2010; Oikawa et al., 2010). In addition, *gux1* and *gux2* mutants have reduced xylan GlcA content and xylan GlcAT activity (Mortimer et al., 2010; Oikawa et al., 2010). However, the previous studies did not provide direct evidence for the catalytic function of GUX proteins. Here, we provide
definitive biochemical evidence that GUX1 and GUX2, as well as a related protein GUX4, have xylan glucuronosyltransferase activity.

Although both GUX1 and GUX2 have been implicated in xylan synthesis, we chose GUX1 for purification and characterization because GUX1 is the most highly coexpressed with other xylan biosynthetic genes and the guxl phenotype is the most severe (Mortimer et al., 2010). GUX1 protein expressed in and purified from tobacco showed a large increase in GlcAT activity that was not present in another purified Golgi-localized glycosyltransferase RGXT2, indicating that GUX1 is responsible for this activity. The lack of XylT activity indicates that conversion of UDP-[14C]GlcA to UDP-[14C]Xyl was not a significant factor in the assay, and analysis of the product confirmed that it was in fact α-glucurono-xylohexaose. We are therefore confident that our assay shows the formation of an α linkage between xylohexaose and GlcA. The linkage has not been determined in our experiments, but the data strongly suggests that it is the α(1,2)-linkage characteristic for glucuronosyl substitutions on xylan.

We further analyzed the glucuronoxylohexaose product produced by purified GUX1 by digesting it with β-xylosidase. LC-MS analysis of the digestion products showed that approximately 85% of the GlcA-containing fragments released were glucuronoxylobiose (Fig. 2E). This result indicates that the majority of the glucuronoxylohexaose molecules had GlcA positioned on the fifth Xyl from the non-reducing end. A smaller number of molecules -- about 10% -- were cleaved to glucuronoxylotetraose, indicating that GUX1 was also able to transfer GlcA onto the third Xyl from the non-reducing end, although at lower efficiency. We also analyzed the preference of GUX1 for differently sized acceptors. GUX1 was able to transfer GlcA to acceptors as small as xylobiose, although it showed higher activity with larger xylooligomer acceptors (Fig. 2D). The highest activity was detected when xylohexaose was used as the acceptor. Taken together, these results indicate that although GUX1 does show preferences regarding acceptor size and placement of GlcA on the acceptor, its activity is somewhat flexible. This finding is interesting given that xylan extracted from Arabidopsis invariably shows a ratio of one GlcA for every eight Xyl residues even in mutants with large decreases in xylan content, indicating that the proportion of GlcA is controlled by a robust mechanism (Brown et al., 2007). It is likely that mechanisms in the
Golgi, such as interactions between GUX1 and other xylan biosynthetic enzymes or properties of the xylan polymer, control GlcA addition in ways that were not evident in our assays using purified protein and xylooligomer acceptors.

GUX1 is a relatively stable protein and has typical properties in terms of pH and temperature optima. The $K_m$ for UDP-GlcA was determined to be 165 $\mu$M which is similar to that of other glycosyltransferases in the Golgi, e.g. RGXT2 (140 $\mu$M), (Egelund et al., 2008). UDP-xylose synthase (AtUXS1) is another Golgi-localized enzyme that uses UDP-GlcA as substrate, and for this enzyme a $K_m$ of 190 $\mu$M was determined (Harper and Bar-Peled, 2002).

The GUX proteins belong to glycosyltransferase family 8 (GT8), which in Arabidopsis also contains the Galactinol Synthase (GolS), Galacturonosyltransferase (GAUT), and GAUT-like (GATL) clades (Fig. 1) (Cantarel et al., 2009). The GAUT and GATL clades have been designated as putative cell wall biosynthesis-related genes while the remaining genes were grouped into a putative non-cell wall biosynthesis-related class (Yin et al., 2010). The latter class includes the GolS proteins and eight proteins that were annotated as PGSIPs. Three of the PGSIP proteins have previously been re-annotated as GUX1-GUX3 after GUX1 and GUX2 were implicated in xylan synthesis (Mortimer et al., 2010; Oikawa et al., 2010). Based on the results presented here, we have re-annotated PGSIP4 and PGSIP5 as GUX4 and GUX5, respectively. While GUX1-GUX5 are closely related to one another, the placement of PGSIP6 is less clear. Although PGSIP6 appears to be more closely related to the GUX clade than to the GolS clade, the support for this node is very low, indicating that the placement of PGSIP6 within the GUX and GolS clades cannot be determined definitively (Fig. 1A). PGSIP7 and PGSIP8 appear to be only distantly related to both the GUX and GolS clades.

In order to determine the function of these proteins, we cloned and transiently expressed the five GUX proteins as well as PGSIP6 - PGSIP8 in N. benthamiana. GlcAT assays using microsomal proteins from these N. benthamiana plants indicated that GUX1, GUX2, and GUX4, but none of the other proteins, have xylohexaose-dependent glucuronosyltransferase activity (Fig. 5). Although all five GUX proteins and PGSIP6 were expressed and localized to the Golgi (Fig. 5), it is possible that the YFP-HA tag prevented the proteins from being active as glucuronosyltransferases, or that our assay
conditions were inappropriate for detecting activity of these proteins. However, GUX3 and GUX5 are closely related to GUX1, GUX2 and GUX4, which all had activity in our assays. The finding that only some members of a glycosyltransferase clade have activity is not without precedent. For example, only three of five Arabidopsis members of the GT75 family had detectable activity even though all proteins were expressed in the same way in *E. coli* (Rautengarten et al., 2011). Furthermore, unlike the GAUT1 homogalacturonan synthase, GAUT7 did not have detectable activity when expressed in the same system (Sterling et al., 2006) and it appears that the GAUT7 protein lacks key amino acid residues predicted to be involved in catalysis (Atmodjo et al., 2011). In the case of GAUT7, the protein appears to have a structural function as an anchor for GAUT1 rather than a catalytic function (Atmodjo et al., 2011). Hence, it may be a common finding that some glycosyltransferase homologs have lost their catalytic function and play a different role, e.g. as subunits in synthase complexes. It is also possible that GUX3, GUX5 and PGSIP6 have functions unrelated to xylan synthesis. GUX3 and PGSIP6 were identified in proteomic analyses of Golgi vesicles isolated from Arabidopsis cell culture (Dunkley et al., 2006; Parsons et al., 2012). Since undifferentiated cell cultures are enriched for primary cell walls, GUX3 and PGSIP6 may be involved in synthesis of a polymer that is present in higher amounts in primary walls.

GT8 includes proteins with known function as glucosyltransferases (glycogenin) (Lomako et al., 2004), galactosyltransferases (LgtC) (Persson et al., 2001), galacturonosyltransferases (GAUT1) (Sterling et al., 2006) and glucuronosyltransferases (this work). Three structures are known from GT8; the LgtC galactosyltransferase from *Neisseria meningitidis* (Persson et al., 2001), and glycogenin from rabbit (Gibbons et al., 2002) and human (Chaikuad et al., 2011). Structural modeling of GAUT1, which adds galacturonic acid onto the negatively charged polymer homogalacturonan, showed that the region where the acceptor is expected to lie contains a patch of positively charged residues, consistent with accommodation of a negatively charged acceptor (Yin et al., 2010), also observed for modeling of QUA1/GAUT8 (Hansen, 2009). We have analyzed the GUX proteins in a similar way (Fig. 6). GUX and PGSIP proteins contain a DQG motif that is absent in the GAUT and GATL clades. The glutamine residue in this motif (Q164 in glycogenin) has been suggested to act as a catalytic residue that transiently
attaches to the substrate sugar molecule before transferring it to the acceptor (Persson et al., 2001; Gibbons et al., 2002). Surface electrostatic models of GUX1 show a positively charged patch directly adjacent to this glutamine, which may help to stabilize the negatively charged GlcA while it is transiently attached to the enzyme (Fig. 6D). This positively charged region is not found near the catalytically active glutamine in glycogenin, which is expected to form an intermediate with the neutral sugar glucose (Fig. 6C). In addition, although the electrostatic models show that this region in GUX1 is the most positively charged, similar positively charged regions are found in GUX2, GUX4 and GUX5, but not GUX3. This observation could explain why GUX3 is not active even though it is the protein most closely related to GUX1. It is noteworthy that modeling of the GUX proteins show that GUX1, GUX2, and GUX4 have similar electrostatic patterns in the region of substrate binding, while GUX3 and GUX5 are clearly more divergent (Fig. 6). The lack of activity and the apparently different structures of GUX3 and GUX5 suggest that these proteins may have a different role. However, given the very close phylogenetic relationship of all five GUX proteins, we suggest that the most likely role of GUX3 and GUX5 is as non-catalytic subunits in a GUX complex. This explanation could also account for why Lee et al. (2012) found that overexpression of GUX3 in tobacco BY2 cells led to an increase in xylan GlcAT activity in the cells even though our results and structural modeling suggest that GUX3 is not catalytically active. Further studies of protein-protein interactions among the GUX proteins and other proteins involved in xylan biosynthesis are needed to clarify the possible interactions between GUX proteins and other xylan biosynthetic proteins.

The GUX1 – GUX5 proteins all have one predicted N-terminal transmembrane domain, which is expected for classical type II Golgi-localized GTs. In contrast, PGSIP6, PGSIP7 and PGSIP8 are predicted to have between five and seven transmembrane domains distributed along the length of the protein. This topology, along with their relatively distant relationship to the GUX proteins, indicates that these proteins are unlikely to function in transferring GlcA onto xylan. Analysis of knock out mutants may provide indications of such functions, although our preliminary studies of such mutants have not given any obvious phenotypes or suggestion of function.
Materials and Methods

Phylogenetic analysis

Protein sequences obtained from TAIR (www.arabidopsis.org) were aligned using MUSCLE 3.7 (Edgar, 2004) and the phylogenetic tree was built using PhyML 3.0 aLRT (Guindon et al., 2010) and viewed using FigTree v1.3.1 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Plant material and transient expression

Three- to four-week old Nicotiana benthamiana Domin plants were used for infiltration with Agrobacterium tumefaciens. A. tumefaciens strain C58-1 pGV3850 carrying the appropriate vectors were grown to log phase, pelleted at 3500 x g, and resuspended in 10 mM MES-KOH pH 5.6, 10 mM MgCl2, and 200 μM acetosyringone before being infiltrated into the abaxial surfaces of leaves. Agrobacteria were resuspended to OD600 1.0 for protein purification, or OD600 0.1 for confocal microscopy. Plants used for protein purification and activity assays were coinfiltrated with a strain carrying a plasmid with the p19 gene from tomato bushy stunt virus (Voinnet et al., 2003). As much of each leaf as possible – approximately 95% - was infiltrated. Expression of genes fused to YFP was verified by monitoring YFP fluorescence in an epifluorescence microscope and all cells in infiltrated areas were shown to express protein.

Microsome preparation

Entire infiltrated leaves were harvested on the 3rd day after infiltration. All microsome preparation steps took place at 4°C. Leaf tissue was ground in buffer containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.0, 400 mM sucrose, 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride, 1% (w/v) polyvinylpolypyrrolidone, and protease inhibitors (Roche Complete™ protease inhibitor
tablets). The homogenate was filtered through two layers of Miracloth (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA) and centrifuged at 3000 x g for 10 min, then the supernatant was centrifuged at 50,000 x g for 1 h. The pellet was resuspended in 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.0, 400 mM sucrose. Microsomes were used immediately or frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. No significant loss of activity was detected after freezing.

**Cloning and construction of expression vectors**

All clones used in this study were constructed using Gateway™ technology (Invitrogen). The Entry clones were obtained via BP-reaction in pDONR-Zeo. The genes were cloned using cDNA from Arabidopsis stem or leaves as template. The reverse primers contained no stop codon to enable C-terminal fusions. Sequences of forward and reverse primers can be sent on request. All entry clones were verified by restriction analysis and sequencing. Gateway expression vectors were constructed via LR reaction with the corresponding entry clone and the binary vector pEarleyGate101, which contains a 2x35S promoter and a C-terminal YFP-HA tag (Earley et al., 2006).

**Protein purification**

All purification steps took place at 4°C. Microsomal proteins were first solubilized by incubating with 1% Triton X-100 for 10 min and subsequently centrifuging at 100,000 x g for 30 min. The supernatant was incubated with EZview™ Red anti-HA resin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 h, washed three times with 1% Triton X-100, 400 mM sucrose, 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.0, and 200 mM NaCl, and then three times with 400 mM sucrose and 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.0. Resin-bound protein was used directly in GlcAT assays. For immunoblot analysis, proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE on 7-15% gradient gels and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare). Blots were probed with a 1:10,000 dilution of rabbit anti-HA (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by a 1:20,000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich), before applying ECL Plus detection reagent (GE Healthcare). Blots were imaged using a ChemiDoc-It 600 Imaging System (UVP, Upland, CA).
Glucuronosyltransferase and xylosyltransferase assays

Xylan glucuronosyltransferase activity in microsomes or purified proteins was determined essentially as described (Lee et al., 2007b) using 3.7 µM UDP-\(^{14}\)C-D-GlcA (740 Bq per reaction, MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH), 50 µM unlabeled UDP-D-GlcA, and 400 µM xylohexaose (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland) as acceptor in a 30-µl reaction volume. Products were separated by paper chromatography and analyzed by liquid scintillation counting according to (Lee et al., 2007). Microsomes corresponding to 100 µg protein were used. The reaction took place at 20°C (except in Fig. 3D) and reaction time was 2 h (except in Fig. 3B). For determining the optimal Mn\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration, protein was incubated with 10 mM EDTA in a total volume of 5 µL on ice for 10 min before being added to the 30-µL reaction. For determining the optimal pH, MES buffer was used for pH 5.0, 5.5, 6.0 and 6.5 and HEPES buffer was used for pH 7.0, 7.5, 8.0 and 8.5. For reactions with purified GUX1, an amount of protein corresponding to 100 µg microsomal protein was used in each assay (except in Fig. 3A). The concentration of the purified protein was too low to be determined. The Km for UDP-GlcA was determined by varying the UDP-GlcA concentration and determining the amount of product made by the purified GUX1 in a 1 h reaction. Xylosyltransferase assays were performed as described (Lee et al., 2007b).

Analysis of radiolabeled glucuronoxylhexaose

After the GlcAT reaction, labeled product was separated from unincorporated UDP-GlcA by spotting the reaction onto Whatmann 3M chromatography paper and developing it in 95% ethanol/1.0 M ammonium acetate (2:1 v/v). The origin was cut out and the product was eluted from the paper in 1 mL H\(_2\)O, dried down, and resuspended in 20 µL H\(_2\)O. α-glucuronidase (0.5 µg) from B. ovatus was used to digest the product in 100 mM ammonium acetate pH 5.5 in a total volume of 50 µL for 1 h at 21°C. Digested product and product incubated with buffer alone was then analyzed by paper chromatography and scintillation counting as above.
**Xylosidase digestion of glucuronoxylohexaose**

Purified GUX1 corresponding to 500 µg of microsomal proteins was incubated with 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 6.8, 5 mM MnCl₂, 400 µM Xyl₆, and 500 µM UDP-GlcA to produce glucuronoxylohexaose. This product was then digested with β-xylosidase (Sigma) in 100 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.0 for 1 h at 70 °C. Digested fragments were quantified using LC-TOF-MS.

**LC-TOF mass spectrometry analysis**

Products from reactions using purified GUX1 both with and without xylohexaose were analyzed. Enzyme reactions were centrifuged in a 3.5 kDa dialyzer (Novagen) before analysis. The solvents used were of HPLC grade or better (Honeywell Burdick & Jackson, USA). Chemical standards were purchased from Megazyme and were made up to 20 µM, as the stock solution, in methanol-water (50:50, v/v). The separation of metabolites was conducted on a Fermentation monitoring HPX-87H column with 8% cross linkage (150 mm length, 7.8 mm internal diameter, and 9 µm particle size; Bio-Rad, CA, USA) using an Agilent Technologies 1100 Series HPLC system. A sample injection volume of 10 µL was used throughout. The temperature of the sample tray was maintained at 4 °C by an Agilent FC/ALS Thermostat. The column compartment was set to 50 °C. Metabolites were eluted isocratically with a mobile phase composition 0.1% formic acid in water. A flow rate of 0.5 mL/min was used throughout. The HPLC system was coupled to an Agilent Technologies 6210 time-of-flight mass spectrometer (LC-TOF MS) using a 1/5 post-column split. Contact between both instruments was established by a LAN card in order to trigger the MS into operation upon the initiation of a run cycle from the MassHunter workstation (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Nitrogen gas was used as both the nebulizing and drying gases to facilitate the production of gas-phase ions. The drying and nebulizing gases were set to 12 L/min and 30 psi, respectively, and a drying gas temperature of 330 °C was used throughout. Electrospray ionization was conducted in the positive ion mode and a capillary voltage of 3500 V was utilized. MS
experiments were carried out in the full scan mode, at 0.86 spectra/s, for the detection of [M + Na]+ ions. The instrument was tuned for a range of 50 – 1700 m/z. Prior to LC-TOF MS analysis, the TOF MS was calibrated via an ESI-L-low concentration tuning mix (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Data acquisition and processing were performed by the MassHunter software package. Xylooligomer standards ranging from Xyl to xylohexaose were used to estimate the amounts of glucuronoxylooligomers.

**Fluorescence confocal microscopy**

Abaxial epidermal sections from infiltrated leaves were used for microscopy. A Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope equipped with Argon and InTune lasers was used for confocal laser-scanning microscopy. All images were obtained with a 1.30NA oil 40x objective. YFP and mCherry channels were imaged by simultaneous scanning using excitation/emission of 514 nm/519-560 nm for YFP and 587 nm/600-634nm for mCherry. The Zen software package (Carl Zeiss Inc.) was used for image acquisition and processing.

**Structural modeling**

The Swiss-Model server was used to predict protein structures (Arnold et al., 2006; Kiefer et al., 2009). Aligned regions were selected by the Swiss-Model server with an E-value cutoff of 10−6 and amino acids 317-577 (GUX1), 296-555 (GUX2), 281-541 (GUX3), 266-517 (GUX4), and 274-526 (GUX5) were used to make homology models with the glycogenin structure (ILL2; Gibbons et al., 2002). Electrostatic outputs for these models were generated using PDB2PQR (Dolinsky et al., 2004; Dolinsky et al., 2007) and surface electrostatics were calculated using the Adaptive Poisson-Boltzmann Solver software (Baker et al., 2001).
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. A, Phylogenetic tree of GT8 family proteins in Arabidopsis. Approximate likelihood values are shown at selected nodes. B, Predicted protein structure of the GUX1 – GUX5 and PGISP6 – PGSIP8 proteins. White bars represent transmembrane domains, and black bars represent the GT8 domain. Scale bar, 100 amino acids.

Figure 2. Activity of recombinant GUX1 protein. A, Immunoblot of recombinant proteins from N. benthamiana. 1, microsomal proteins (20 µg) from plants expressing GUX1-YFP-HA; microsomal proteins from plants expressing RGXT2-YFP-HA (20 µg); 3, purified GUX1-YFP-HA (corresponding to 100 µg microsomal protein); 4, purified RGXT2-YFP-HA (corresponding to 100 µg microsomal protein). B, activity assays using microsomal or purified proteins with UDP-[14C]-GlcA and xylohexaose as an acceptor. The microsomal GUX1 activity corresponds to 77.5 pmol GlcA h⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹. C, mass spectra of products from reactions using purified GUX1 with and without xylohexaose as an acceptor. The sodium adduct of glucurono-xylohexaose is the peak at m/z 1009.28579 and is found only when xylohexaose is included. D, products made using purified GUX1 enzyme when different xyloooligomers, Xyl – Xyl₆, are used as acceptors, detected by LC-MS. Values are shown as percentages relative to the amount of Xyl₆-GlcA product made. Values represent the mean of two replicates with error bars showing the highest and lowest values. E, fragments produced from digestion of Xyl₆-GlcA with xylosidase, detected my LC-MS. Values are shown as percentages of the total fragments produced.

Figure 3. Characteristics of recombinant and purified GUX1 protein. Enzyme characteristics over amount of protein, where µg of protein corresponds to microsomal proteins used in purification (A); time (B); pH, where open and closed symbols designate reactions using MES and HEPES buffer, respectively (C); temperature (D); and divalent cation concentration, where open and closed symbols designate Mg²⁺ and Mn²⁺, respectively (E). Values represent the mean of two replicates with error bars showing the highest and lowest values. F, kinetic studies of GUX1. Assays were performed with increasing amounts of UDP-GlcA (10-3000 µM). Each data point is the average of three
technical replicates, with the open and closed symbols designating data from two independently purified GUX1 preparations. $V_{\text{max}}$ values are normalized to 100. The solid curve is the Michaelis-Menten curve with $K_m = 165 \, \mu$M obtained by non-linear regression of the experimental data.

**Figure 4.** Glucuronosyltransferase activity of microsomal proteins from *N. benthamiana* overexpressing GUX and PGSIP proteins. White, reaction with no acceptor; gray, reaction with xylohexaose as the acceptor. Bars show standard error of the mean for three biological replicates.

**Figure 5.** Subcellular localizations of the GUX1 – GUX5 and PGSIP6 proteins. Single-plane confocal micrographs of the proteins fused with C-terminal YFP (top row), the Golgi marker $\alpha$-mannosidase-mCherry (middle row), and merged YFP and mCherry channels (bottom row). Bar = 100 $\mu$m.

**Figure 6.** Structural modeling of glycogenin and GUX1 – GUX5 proteins. A, cartoon structure of glycogenin showing the active site with Mn$^{2+}$ ion (blue), UDP-Glc (yellow), and DxD and Q164 residues (magenta). B, homology model of GUX1 showing the DxD and Q476 residues (magenta). C-H, surface electrostatic models of glycogenin (C), GUX1 (D), GUX2 (E), GUX3 (F), GUX4 (G) and GUX5 (H). Positive and negative charges are shown as blue and red, respectively, as shown in the bar.

**Supplemental Material**

**Supplemental Figure S1.** LC-MS analysis of GUX1 reaction product.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Subcellular localizations of the GUX1 – GUX5 and PGSIP6 proteins.
Figure 1. A, Phylogenetic tree of GT8 family proteins in Arabidopsis. Approximate likelihood values are shown at selected nodes. B, Predicted protein structure of the GUX1 – GUX5 and PGISP6 – PGISP8 proteins. White bars represent transmembrane domains, and black bars represent the GT8 domain. Scale bar, 100 amino acids.
Figure 2. Activity of recombinant GUX1 protein. A, Immunoblot of recombinant proteins from *N. benthamiana*. 1, microsomal proteins (20 µg) from plants expressing GUX1-YFP-HA; microsomal proteins from plants expressing RGXT2-YFP-HA (20 µg); 3, purified GUX1-YFP-HA (corresponding to 100 µg microsomal protein); 4, purified RGXT2-YFP-HA (corresponding to 100 µg microsomal protein). B, activity assays using microsomal or purified proteins with UDP-[3H]-GlcA and xylohexaose as an acceptor. The microsomal GUX1 activity corresponds to 77.5 pmol GlcA h⁻¹ mg protein⁻¹. C, mass spectra of products from reactions using purified GUX1 with and without xylohexaose as an acceptor. The sodium adduct of glucurono-xylohexaose is the peak at m/z 1009.28579 and is found only when xylohexaose is included. D, products made using purified GUX1 enzyme when different xylooligomers, Xyl – Xyl₆, are used as acceptors, detected by LC-MS. Values are shown as percentages relative to the amount of Xyl₆-GlcA product made. Values represent the mean of two replicates with error bars showing the highest and lowest values. E, fragments produced from digestion of Xyl₆-GlcA with xylosidase, detected by LC-MS. Values are shown as percentages of the total fragments produced.
Figure 3. Characteristics of recombinant and purified GUX1 protein. Enzyme characteristics over amount of protein, where μg of protein corresponds to microsomal proteins used in purification (A); time (B); pH, where open and closed symbols designate reactions using MES and HEPES buffer, respectively (C); temperature (D); and divalent cation concentration, where open and closed symbols designate Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, respectively (E). Values represent the mean of two replicates with error bars showing the highest and lowest values. F, kinetic studies of GUX1. Assays were performed with increasing amounts of UDP-GlcA (10-3000 μM). Each data point is the average of three technical replicates, with the open and closed symbols designating data from two independently purified GUX1 preparations. $V_{max}$ values are normalized to 100. The solid curve is the Michaelis-Menten curve with Km = 165 μM obtained by non-linear regression of the experimental data.
Figure 4. Glucuronosyltranserase activity of microsomal proteins from *N. benthamiana* overexpressing GUX and PGSIP proteins. White, reaction with no acceptor; gray, reaction with xylohexaose as the acceptor. Bars show standard error of the mean for three biological replicates.
Figure 5. Subcellular localizations of the GUX1 – GUX5 and PGSIP6 proteins. Single-plane confocal micrographs of the proteins fused with C-terminal YFP (top row), the Golgi marker α-mannosidase-mCherry (middle row), and merged YFP and mCherry channels (bottom row). Bar = 100 μm.
Figure 6. Structural modeling of glycogenin and GUX1 – GUX5 proteins. A, cartoon structure of glycogenin showing the active site with Mn$^{2+}$ ion (blue), UDP-Glc (yellow), and DxD and Q164 residues (magenta). B, homology model of GUX1 showing the DxD and Q476 residues (magenta). C-H, surface electrostatic models of glycogenin (C), GUX1 (D), GUX2 (E), GUX3 (F), GUX4 (G) and GUX5 (H). Positive and negative charges are shown as blue and red, respectively, as shown in the bar.