Maximum Power Point Tracking for Cascaded PV-Converter Modules Using Two-Stage Particle Swarm Optimization
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The paper presents a novel two-stage particle swarm optimization (PSO) for the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) control of a PV system consisting of cascaded PV-converter modules, under partial shading conditions (PSCs). In this scheme, the grouping method of the shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA) is incorporated with the basic PSO algorithm, ensuring fast and accurate searching of the global extremum. An adaptive speed factor is also introduced to improve its convergence speed. A PWM algorithm enabling permuted switching of the PV sources is applied. The method enables this PV system to achieve the maximum power generation for any number of PV and converter modules. Simulation studies of the proposed MPPT scheme are performed on a system having two chained PV buck-converter modules and a dc-ac H-bridge connected at its terminals for supplying an AC load. The results show that this type of PV system allows each module to achieve the maximum power generation according its illumination level without affecting the others, and the proposed new control method gives significantly higher power output compared with the conventional P&O and PSO methods.

Solar Photovoltaic (PV) electricity generation has been actively explored throughout the world and its use has grown ever more rapidly over the last decade. PV power generators are becoming a familiar landscape, ranging from small (less than 5 kW) residential PV panels, larger (hundreds of kW) building integrated installations, and PV farms up to the megawatt range in open areas. However there are still problems impairing its performance, particularly the output power reduction due to partial shading. Since each of the PV arrays is composed of multiple PV modules connected in a chain, each module may be exposed under different light levels (e.g., due to moving clouds, shading from surrounding buildings, trees or poles, and building integrated PV applications, etc.), then the output characteristics of the PV array exhibits multiple local maximum power points (Local MPPs) shown in Fig. 1, resulting in the energy loss. This issue occurs commonly in urban domestic PV systems. In addition grid compliancy, reliability and service lifetime are also issues attracting attention. Active research and development work has continued, focusing on two aspects: the topologies and configurations for the PV systems, and the control schemes for maximum power extraction. Regarding the former, the traditional structure has series connected DC strings of PV modules with a bypass diode around each module for protection in the event of partial shading. Many such strings may be connected in parallel to a central dc-ac inverter when grid connection is required. The main shortcoming of this is its inability to control the modules according to variable and different illumination levels, resulting in lower power generation, as the series connection forces an equal terminal current throughout a string. A new approach considers the use of small power converters for each PV module or a group of them. These can be low cost non-isolated dc-dc1,2 or dc-ac converters3,4, and the unit formed by a converter and its associated PV panels may be termed an integrated PV-converter module. When such modules are connected in a series chain, the converters, assuming they are controllable, permit a variable ratio between the PV cell current and the series chain current. Comparing with the traditional structure this configuration can be
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controlled at the individual module level and hence can be more efficient in coping with the variability of environmental and climate conditions, and especially the partial shading. The correct set of converter ratios allows every integrated module to work at its individual maximum power point (MPP).

Regarding the control aspects, various optimization schemes have been developed to achieve the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) aiming to extract as much power as the PV panel can generate irrespective the illumination conditions, though most of these were developed for PV panels with uniform characteristics. For example the well-known perturb and observe (P&O) method is simple, and requiring minimal knowledge for MPP searching however it causes constant disturbances to the system and is slow to converge. Other methods include the artificial intelligence schemes, such as fuzzy logic controller (FLC)\textsuperscript{5, 6} and artificial neural network (ANN)\textsuperscript{7, 8}. Alternative approaches use evolutionary algorithms such as particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm\textsuperscript{9, 10}, artificial fish swarm algorithm (AFSA)\textsuperscript{11}, artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm\textsuperscript{12} or shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA)\textsuperscript{13}. The common feature of these methods is that they all rely on a PV model of some forms hence a priori test or information about the PV system being controlled is required. Once a sufficiently accurate model is obtained they converge fast without perturb the system. However none of these methods alone, including P&O, can work well with PV panels consisting of modules of varied I-V characteristics. The power-voltage characteristics feature multiple power peaks which require more advanced searching schemes to find the global maxima. For the PV system configured by chained integrated PV-converter modules, a robust control scheme is required to combine with the searching algorithms to extract the maximum power available from individual modules.

With the above stated issues this paper presents a new control scheme for a PV system comprising a chain of integrated PV step-down dc-dc converter modules. According to the number of cascaded modules the system dc-voltage has multiple levels and may be converted to ac via a dc-ac converter. The control scheme adopted from the PSO algorithm and combined with the SFLA can search for multiple peaks for each PV module. Furthermore for the switch control of dc-dc converters of PV modules it uses a permutation Pulse-Width Modulation (PWM) scheme allowing switching sequence swapping for balanced switch utilisation. This achieves the overall system MPPT irrespective the pattern of illumination.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, the PV system configuration and operation principle is described. The new maximum power point tracking scheme based on the TSPSO algorithm is presented in detail in Section 3. In addition, The system control scheme is described in Section 4. Section 5 presents and discusses the experimental results. Finally, we give the conclusion in Section 6.

**PV System Configuration and Operation Principle**

The configuration of the studied PV system could comprise \( n \) cascaded integrated PV-converter modules as shown in Fig. 2, though in this paper for ease of discussion only two modules are considered. This originally proposed structure\textsuperscript{14} can generate multiple dc voltage levels. However in this work multiple levels of ac output voltage are produced by multiplying each dc level voltage with a unity-amplitude sinewave of the required output frequency. The resultant waveform is fed to a dc-ac H-bridge controlled using a square wave rather than any sine-triangle PWM schemes. This simply changes the sign of the output to produces the negative segments of the cycle, hence enabling the output to supply an AC load or an AC grid. In this example the system output is connected to a resistive load \( R_L \) plus a filter inductor \( L \). With an appropriate MPPT scheme, each integrated PV-converter module is able to control its PV panel terminal voltage to reach the peak power point, and hence the system can obtain the maximize power generation irrespective of the variability of illumination level on each PV modules.

**Proposed Maximum Power Point Tracking Scheme**

This MPPT scheme needs to work well for PV modules operating under all illumination conditions including partial shading. As is well-known for the partially shaded PV panels/modules their P-V characteristics present multiple peaks. The challenge to any MPPT scheme is able to find the global peak accurately and rapidly.

The proposed new scheme combines the well-known particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm with a clustering method, the shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA). The algorithm is implemented in a two-stage procedure, hence is named a Two-Stage PSO (TSPSO). With the PV system of multiple chained PV converter
modules as shown in Fig. 2, this TSPSO algorithm is applied to each of the $n$ modules simultaneously to track their respective MPPs.

**Principles of PSO Algorithm.** PSO is an evolutionary computation technique proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995. Originated from observing the behavior of bird flocks searching food in an area, PSO adopts the scenario by applying it to solve optimization problems. In PSO, a set of randomly placed particles is initialized; each particle represents a potential solution and has a corresponding fitness value derived from a fitness function. The objective is to find the optima by updating generations of particles. Assuming a space containing $S$ particles, the updating velocity and position of the $i$th particle at the $k$th iteration are respectively denoted as $V_{i}^{k}$ and $X_{i}^{k}$.

In the iterative process, the updated position of this particle at the $(k+1)$th time step is influenced by the information of its own best position $P_{i}$ and the global best $P_{g}$ at the $k$th step. The velocity and particle position update formulas are written as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
V_{i}^{k+1} &= \omega V_{i}^{k} + c_{1} r_{1} (P_{i}^{k} - X_{i}^{k}) + c_{2} r_{2} (P_{g}^{k} - X_{i}^{k}) \\
X_{i}^{k+1} &= X_{i}^{k} + V_{i}^{k+1}
\end{align*}$$

where $\omega$ is the inertia weight factor, $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are the acceleration factors, $r_{1}$, $r_{2}$ are random values $\in (0,1)$, and $k$ is the iteration order. To prevent the particles searching blindly, their velocities and positions are limited to the ranges defined respectively by $[V_{\text{min}}, V_{\text{max}}]$ and $[X_{\text{min}}, X_{\text{max}}]$.

Applying the PSO algorithm to search the MPPs of a PV array the particles are the PV terminal voltages. The fitness value for each particle (voltage) is the output power of the PV array which is evaluated using a simplified form of original Bishop model defined as

$$I_{\text{out}} = I_{c} - I_{c} \left( \exp \left( \frac{q V_{s}}{A K T_{c}} \right) - 1 \right) - I_{\text{shunt}}$$

where $G$, $T$, $I_{\text{out}}$, $I_{c}$, $V_{s}$, $A K T_{c}$, and $I_{\text{shunt}}$ are the parameters of the Bishop model.

The *Fitness Function* of a PV array is defined as

$$\text{Fitness Function} = \text{Power}(G, T, I_{\text{out}}) = I_{c} \times V_{\text{out}}$$

Figure 2. PV system with multilevel DC-link converter and the proposed MPPT method.
where $I_{sc}$ is the photo current, the second current on the RHS formula is due to P-N junction leakage, $V_j$ is the P-N junction voltage and $I_{shunt}$ represents the PV panel ohmic leakage current. Definitions of other parameters in (3) are given in the Supplementary information.

The defect of the traditional PSO algorithm is that it cannot cope well for the maximum power point searching of a PV system under unequal and changing illumination conditions. With multiple optimal points fast and accurate searching for maxima, the algorithm requires a large population size to cover a wide area which is certain to contain all the optima. This makes it slow to converge.

**Two-Stage PSO Algorithm with Multiple Swarms.** The proposed new PSO algorithm takes into account the specific feature of a PV generation system operating under PSCs, namely that the P-V characteristic exhibits multiple peaks. The number of these peaks depends on the number of chained modules and their respective illumination levels, and only one of them corresponds to the global maximum power point (MPP). Since the PSO algorithm can only deal with local search effectively, it is natural, in this application, to combine it with a scheme which can partition the searching space into multiple sectors corresponding to the number of modules.
in a PV array so that local parallel searching leading to the global solution can be performed. SFLA is considered ideal for performing this with PSO due to its special features. This is a meta-heuristic algorithm, and its working principle is summarized as follows. The algorithm works on a population of particles (frogs), each of which has a fitness value which measures its quality in terms of the required solution. By partitioning these particles into multiple subsets also called memeplexes, according to their ranking orders, the algorithm performs local searches for optimal solutions within each memeplex. The results obtained are then shuffled so that the information can be passed. This local search and shuffling process continues until a defined convergence criterion is met. Applying this to MPPT for a PV array under PSCs, we firstly use the SFLA concept to assess a population of particles; these are the voltage values covering the whole P-V curve of an array according to the fitness function given by eqs (3) and (4). These can then be partitioned into several groups and in this case the division can be made according to the number of modules in the array. The above two-stage procedure and the equations used are detailed as follow.

Stage (1). All particles are divided into several groups according to the grouping idea of the SFLA, and in each group/swarm, with the local best already obtained, the speed and position of each particle within the group are updated by using the equations:

\[ v_{m}^{k+1} = \omega v_{m}^{k} + c_1 r_1 (P_{m}^{k} - x_{m}^{k}) \]  

\[ x_{m}^{k+1} = x_{m}^{k} + v_{m}^{k+1} \]  

\[ \omega = \omega_{\text{max}} - (\omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}) \frac{K}{J} \]

where \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \) being the number of groups, \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), is the number of particles within a group. \( P_{m} \) is the best position of particles in the \( m \)th group. Having obtained \( n \) particle positions at the \( (k+1) \)th step, we evaluate their fitness values which are the power values and then acquire the best particle. Subsequently, a new local best position for the \( m \)th group, \( P_{m}^{k+1} \), is derived. In the eq. (7), \( K \) is the generation index representing the current number of evolutionary generations. The maximal and minimal weights \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) and \( \omega_{\text{min}} \) have been set to 0.9 and 0.4 in this paper.

Stage (2). Using \( m \) local best particles to find the one giving the maximum power amongst them, this is chosen as the optimal for the entire population at the \( k \)th iteration step, i.e the global best. The speed and position of the local best particles are updated by the formulas:

\[ v_{m}^{k+1} = c_2 r_2 (P_{g}^{k} - P_{m}^{k}) \]  

\[ P_{m}^{k+1} = P_{m}^{k} + v_{m}^{k+1} \]

where \( P_{g}^{k} \) is the best position of particles in the entire swarm at the \( k \)th iteration.

It is worth highlighting that this updating process is fast since the population size is determined by the number of groups and this is small. In the current application \( m = 3 \). In the iterative procedure, when the best value within a group is equal to the global best value, the \( (P_{g} - P_{m}) \) in eq. (8) is zero, so the iterative process converges. The algorithm also stops when a predefined maximum iteration step count \( J \) is reached.

| Symbol | Parameter | Value  |
|--------|-----------|--------|
| \( P_{\text{we}} \) | Maximum power at 1 kW/m² | 25 W  |
| \( V_{\text{oc}} \) | Open circuit voltage | 19.76 V |
| \( I_{\text{sc}} \) | Short circuit current | 3.286 A |
| \( C_{\text{pv}} \) | PV source terminal capacitor | 2200 μF |
| \( R \) | Load resistance | 10 Ω |
| \( L \) | Load filter inductance | 5 mH |
| \( f \) | AC output frequency | 50 Hz |

Table 2. Parameters of the PV panels simulated.

| Method | \( c_1 \) | \( c_2 \) | \( w \) | S | M | J  |
|--------|------|------|------|---|---|----|
| PSO    | 0.6  | 0.8  | 0.5  | 12| ---| 3  |
| TPSO   | 0.6  | 0.8  | self-adaption (\( \omega_{\text{max}} = 0.9, \omega_{\text{min}} = 0.4 \)) | 12| 3 | 3  |

Table 3. The basic parameters of two algorithms.
Implementation Procedure of the Proposed Algorithm. This is shown in the flowchart in Fig. 3. Initially a set of particles is randomly chosen, these being voltage values within the output voltage range of the PV array, or duty ratios when a DC-DC converter is used. These are divided into groups according to the module number, and the maximum $P_m$ in each group is determined by evaluating their respective fitness function values. Then the proposed two-stage PSO is applied to update the individual particle positions in each group using eqs (5)–(7), and the local maximum $P_m$ using eqs (8) and (9). These result in $m$ newly updated local peaks, and the

Figure 4. Output voltage (red line), output current (blue line) and reference voltage (green line) waveforms of five-level converter measured under the control by P&O method (column 1), the traditional PSO method (column 2) and the proposed algorithm (column 3).
one giving the highest power is set as the global peak at the kth iteration. The process is repeated until the iteration process converges.

Control Scheme for Cascaded PV-Converter System

The control scheme for the PV system shown in Fig. 2 should ensure the terminal voltage of each integrated PV converter unit as close as possible to its individual reference level established by the above described TSPSO MPPT method. It consists of three steps: (1) the direct PWM determining the output voltage levels and dc-dc converter duty ratios; (2) the switch permutation algorithm to determine the switching states for the PV-converter

Figure 5. Load power ($P_{\text{load}}$), pv1 power ($P_{\text{pv1}}$) and pv2 power ($P_{\text{pv2}}$) of five-level converter measured under the control by P&O method (column 1), PSO method (column 2) and the proposed method (columns 3).
units for building up the output voltage of the system; (3) the dc-ac H-bridge converter is used to change the multi-level DC voltage waveform to a single-phase AC waveform of low frequency by tracking the sinusoidal reference signal.

For clarification, a graphical illustration of the process for generating the output waveform in the basic system with two modules is given in Table 1. Table 1 presents the switching states and output voltage generated for the system with two PV sources \((n=2)\), and gives a more practical demonstration of the generated output voltage through the permutation algorithm, and in each case the non-controlled PV source is used to form the basis voltage for the controlled PV source.

### Simulation Results and Discussions

#### Simulation Static Experiment.

The simulation studies were performed on a system having two identical cascaded PV and step-down converter units, hence generating two dc-voltage levels, under different partial shading conditions. An H-bridge connected at the system output terminals is used to convert the dc to ac voltage of five-level and supplies a resistive load. The parameters of the PV source at panel surface temperature of 20 °C are listed in Table 2. The different partial shading patterns are divided into four cases listed as follow: Case 1, \(G_1=350\ W/m^2, G_2=500\ W/m^2\); Case 2, \(G_1=500\ W/m^2, G_2=700\ W/m^2\); Case 3, \(G_1=1000\ W/m^2, G_2=500\ W/m^2\); Case 4, \(G_1=1000\ W/m^2, G_2=700\ W/m^2\). For comparing the output voltage waveform performance, the Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) is applied to analyze the harmonic components present in the waveform and the total harmonics distortion (THD) factors for all cases are evaluated.

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed new TSPSO MPP scheme under PSCs, the conventional P&O method and PSO algorithm are also applied to the cascaded five-level PV-converter system and the results are compared. The step voltage of the conventional P&O method used in the paper is set 0.1 V. Table 3 shows the basic parameters used in the PSO algorithm and the proposed algorithm, including the inertia weight \(\omega\), acceleration factors \(c_1\) and \(c_2\), number of particles \(S\), number of groups \(M\), and maximum iterative number \(J\).

Figure 4 shows the plots of the reference voltage, ac load voltage and current waveforms from respectively P&O, PSO and TSPSO methods under different illumination conditions. Figure 5 depicts the powers generated by PV-converters 1 and 2 and the total load received power corresponding to the conditions set in Fig. 4. It is evident that the proposed TSPSO algorithm outperforms both P&O and conventional PSO methods. In all cases its total output power is higher than that obtained by the other two methods. In particular, comparing to P&O the total output power of TSPSO is always higher, the maximum difference between

| Case | \(P_{pv1}\) | \(P_{pv2}\) | \(P_{load}\) (W) | THD (%) | \(P_{pv1}\) | \(P_{pv2}\) | \(P_{load}\) (W) | THD (%) | \(P_{pv1}\) | \(P_{pv2}\) | \(P_{load}\) (W) | THD (%) |
|------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|
| 1    | 13.02       | 14.17       | 26.29           | 49.52  | 13.36       | 15.18       | 27.62           | 45.37  | 12.09       | 16.93       | 28.08           | 55.19  |
| 2    | 14.74       | 15.51       | 29.24           | 42.05  | 16.2        | 17.70       | 32.83           | 39.84  | 18.33       | 20.69       | 36.92           | 35.01  |
| 3    | 16.41       | 14.93       | 30.29           | 42.25  | 19.62       | 16.60       | 35.09           | 39.34  | 22.34       | 18.37       | 39.17           | 33.10  |
| 4    | 16.67       | 15.98       | 31.54           | 42.15  | 20.22       | 18.72       | 37.73           | 38.50  | 23.11       | 20.73       | 42.15           | 34.62  |

Table 4. Measured power values to the load and THD values under various shading conditions.

Figure 6. Output results of the three methods: the changing process of illumination levels of two PV sources, and output power curves from the five methods.
them as high as 27.5%. In contrast PSO method can harvest more power than from P&O, but it is still less efficient than TSPSO in all cases studied. The power output of the later can be about 13% higher the maximum compared to the former. In terms of load voltage waveform performance assessed via THDs, as can be seen in Table 4, the proposed TSPSO gives persistently lower values than that of other two methods for all cases.

**Simulation Dynamic Experiment.** To vividly describe the advantage of the scheme with the novel MPPT algorithm, further tests are done on the PV system with the conventional P&O method with global scanning method (fixed step = 0.01), the PSO method, the SFLA method, the VSPO&GS method and the proposed method under fast transient variations of shading patterns. The parameters of these methods are as mentioned above. The fast transient variations of shading patterns include: Starting from case 1 initially, the switch to case 2 occurs at t = 1.5 sec., and case 2 to case 3 at t = 3 sec. as shown in Fig. 6, where Gpv1 and Gpv2 respectively represent the irradiation levels of PV1 and PV2 under fast transient variations of shading patterns.

Figure 6 shows the variations of output load power (P_load) of the PV system, using the five methods, under three fast transient variations of shading patterns. It can be clearly observed from Fig. 6 that although the output power of the proposed method has a little oscillation during the MPP searching processes, the power delivered to load of the proposed method obviously outperforms the other methods in case 1 and case 2. Concretely speaking, the output power delivered to the load of the proposed method is improved about 5 W on average compared to the SFLA method in case 1 and case 2. Also the proposed method reduces the oscillation effectively in case 3.

**Conclusion**

In the paper, a new control scheme has been proposed, which includes the TSPSO based-MPPT algorithm and a PWM permutation algorithm. In the scheme, firstly, the shuffled frog leaping algorithm (SFLA) and adaptive speed factor is incorporated in the conventional PSO algorithm to ensure fast and accurate searching of the global extremum. This scheme has been applied to control a multilevel DC-Link converter with a PWM algorithm to deal with the problem of partial shading. The proposed control scheme was simulated using Matlab–Simulink for a multilevel DC-Link converter with five-level AC output voltages under different partial shading conditions. The results have been compared with those from P&O, and PSO methods. It has shown that the maximum output power harvested from the proposed method can be about 13% higher than the PSO method and 27.5% higher than the P&O. The performance of the output voltage waveform is also superior than that from the two conventional schemes, since it gives significantly lower THD values compared to those obtained by the later.
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