Molecular diversity of serial Cryptococcus neoformans isolates from AIDS patients in the city of São Paulo, Brazil
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Despite highly active anti-retroviral therapy, cryptococcal meningoencephalitis is the second most prevalent neurological disease in Brazilian AIDS patients, being frequently a defining condition with several episodes. As knowledge of Cryptococcus neoformans isolates in the same episode is critical for understanding why some patients develop several episodes, we investigated the genotype characteristics of C. neoformans isolates in two different situations. By pulsed field gel electrophoresis and random amplifield polymorphic DNA analysis, 54 isolates from 12 patients with AIDS and cryptococcosis were analyzed. Group 1 comprised 39 isolates from nine patients with a single episode and hospitalization. Group 2 comprised 15 isolates from three patients with two episodes and hospitalizations. Except for three patients from group 1 probably infected with a single C. neoformans isolate, the other nine patients probably were infected with multiple isolates selected in different collection periods, or the infecting isolate might have undergone mutation to adapt and survive the host immune system and/or the antifungal therapy. However, the three patients from group 2 presented genetic diversity among isolates collected in both hospitalizations, possibly having hosted the initial isolate in both periods. These data, emphasize that Cryptococcus diversity in infection can contribute to strategies of treatment and prevention of cryptococcosis.
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Cryptococcus neoformans is an encapsulated yeast and opportunistic human pathogen that causes cryptococcosis. The disease is the most common cause of systemic mycosis in immunodeficient patients. The infection begins when the yeast penetrates the organism, lodging primarily in the lungs, and later presenting a notable tropism for the central nervous system (Kwon-Chung & Bennett 1992, Perfect & Casadevall 2002). The course of infection is a serious and often life-threatening disease, principally in AIDS patients. Meningoencephalitis is typically observed in later stages of human immunodeficiency virus infected patients (Calvo et al. 1990, Mitchell & Perfect 1995, Pappalardo & Melhem 2003).

The introduction of the highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has decreased the incidence of cryptococcosis in AIDS patients in areas where it is available. The high cost of HAART and the complicated logistical infrastructure necessary for its administration have precluded its use in less-developed countries. Currently, the prevalence of AIDS-related brain disorders continues to explain a considerable proportion of the mortality and morbidity (Sacktor 2002). In Brazil, despite the HAART treatment, cryptococcosis is the second most prevalent neurological disease in AIDS patients and an AIDS-defining condition. Usually, the patients seek a hospital after the appearance of meningoencephalitis signs (Oliveira et al. 2006). Therefore, cryptococcosis, AIDS and low CD4 cells index are frequently diagnosed simultaneously (Santos et al. 2002, Pappalardo & Melhem 2003, Silva & Araújo 2005).

The complexity of C. neoformans infection in immunosuppressed patients led to the development of diverse studies during the last decade. Significant progress has been made by morphological, physiological, ultrastructural, and molecular approaches. Two varieties have been widely accepted: C. neoformans var. neoformans (serotypes A, D, and AD) and C. neoformans var. gattii (serotypes B and C) (Ikeda et al. 1985, Kwon-Chung 1998). Later, C. neoformans var. neoformans serotype A was proposed as new variety named C. neoformans var. grubii (Franzot et al. 1999). At present, the etiologic agent of cryptococcosis is classified into two species, C. neoformans (serotypes A and D) and C. gattii (serotypes B and C) (Kwon-Chung & Varma 2006). In parallel, epidemiological studies have shown high prevalence of C. neoformans serotype A in patients with AIDS (Calvo et al. 1990, Mitchell & Perfect 1995, Nishikawa et al. 2003, Pappalardo & Melhem 2003).

The molecular methods contributed for identifying genetic differences among C. neoformans varieties (Currie et al. 1994, Barchiesi et al. 1995, Chen et al. 1996, Klepser & Pfaller 1998, Meyer et al. 1999, Calvo et al. 2001, García-Bermejo et al. 2001, Litvintseva et al. 2006, Almeida et al. 2007). Some studies suggested that the majority of cases are due to persistence of the...
original infecting strain, while others suggested that the infections may result from acquisition of new strains. Mixed infections are also possible since environmental sites may contain more than one strain (Currie et al. 1994, Barchiesi et al. 1995, Haynes et al. 1995, Chen et al. 1996, Sullivan et al. 1996, Sukroongreung et al. 2001, Barreto de Oliveira et al. 2004, Igreja et al. 2004, Jain et al. 2005). These controversial studies led us to suppose that all situations could occur in patients with different episodes. However, the knowledge of the \textit{C. neoformans} strains in the same episode is particularly important to understand why some patients could develop multiple episodes.

This study aimed to understand two situations in cryptococcal meningoencephalitis. First, the genotype characteristics of different \textit{C. neoformans} samples isolated from the same patient, in a single infection and hospitalization. Next, the genotype characteristics of different \textit{C. neoformans} samples isolated from the same patient, but with two infection episodes and hospitalizations. We used pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) for chromosomal analysis, and small modifications in DNA were analyzed by random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis (RAPD-PCR), with two random sequences as primer. The genotypic profiles observed in isolates collected from patients living in the city of São Paulo can partially explain the epidemiological and clinical implications in this meningoencephalitis highly lethal to humans.

**PATIENTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and samples** - Samples of 54 \textit{C. neoformans} were isolated from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) from 12 patients with AIDS and cryptococcal meningoencephalitis, living in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. AIDS diagnosis was determined by criteria defined in the Center for Disease Control and Prevention criteria (CDC 1999). All patients presented less than 100 CD4 lymphocytes/ml of blood when admitted for treatment for both infections at the Instituto de Infectologia Emilio Ribas, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

Patients were divided in two groups according the number of hospitalizations. Group 1 was composed of nine patients (39 \textit{C. neoformans} isolates) with one cryptococcal meningoencephalitis episode and a single hospitalization in the acute phase. The first CSF collection (collection a-0, Table I) was performed before the specific treatment for cryptococcal meningoencephalitis. The treatment was made according to Saag et al. (1992) and CDC (1999), and consisted of intravenous amphotericin B 40-50 mg/day for 2-8 weeks, followed by fluconazole 200 mg/day until the patient was clinically stable. Patients who developed toxic reactions to amphotericin B were treated with oral fluconazole 800 mg/day for 10-12 weeks. Group 2 was composed of three patients (15 \textit{C. neoformans} isolates) with at least two cryptococcal meningoencephalitis episodes and two hospitalization periods. Their first CSF collection and antifungal therapy were made as for patients from group 1. In the second clinical episode they were hospitalized and treated again. Tables I and II show details on the isolates recovered from each patient. The Ethics Committee of both institutions approved this study (protocols IIER 055/05 and IALBM 023/05).

**Laboratorial diagnosis** - The CSF samples were collected in aseptic conditions, immediately added to sterile tubes, sent to laboratory and immediately processed. Each sample was centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min. One drop of the pellet was used for the India ink preparation. The remaining pellet was spread on a tube contained Sabouraud dextrose agar, and incubated at 30°C during 15 days (Kwon-Chung & Bennett 1992). After growing, the isolates were identified using the Vitek YBC card (BioMérieux). The isolates were streaked on Niger seed agar plates. After incubation at 30°C for seven days no macroscopic difference was observed in the colonies. For biochemical characterization, a single well-separated yeast colony was selected at random and sub cultured in tube with Sabouraud dextrose agar at 30°C for 24-48 h. All clinical isolates were identified as \textit{C. neoformans}.

**TABLE I**

Characteristics of clinical \textit{Cryptococcus neoformans} isolates from cerebrospinal fluids of patients with one episode and one hospitalization (group 1)

| Patient code | Sample code - day of collection | PFGE | Pr3 c | RAPD-PCR | Pr4 c |
|--------------|---------------------------------|------|-------|----------|-------|
| C1           | a-0 b-16                        | 1d   | 1d    | 1d       |
| C2           | a-0 b-26 c-27 d-29              | 1d   | 1d    | 2 (0.71) |
| C3           | a-0 b-6 c-7 d-8                 | 1d   | 1d    | 2 (0.75) |
| C4           | a-0 b-3 c-4 d-8 e-9 f-10 g-11   | 1d   | 1d    | 1d       |
| C5           | a-0 b-20                        | 2 (0.60) | 2 (0.50) | 2 (0.39) |
| C6           | a-0 b-5 c-7 d-10 e-12 f-25 g-27 h-29 | 2 (0.60) | 1d   | 1d   |
| C7           | a-0 b-16 c-24 d-31             | 2 (0.50) | 2 (0.51) | 2 (0.45) |
| C8           | a-0 b-2 c-9 d-23               | 2 (0.50) | 2 (0.67) | 2 (0.57) |
| C9           | a-0 b-16 c-21 d-30             | 1d   | 1d    | 1d       |

\(a\): sample code (letter) and number of days after the first (a-0) cerebrospinal fluid collection; \(b\): similarity coefficient \((S_{12})\) between patterns (pair of isolates); \(c\): primers’ code; \(d\): identical isolates, with \(S_{AB}\) between 0.98-1.00.
**TABLE II**

Characteristics of clinical *Cryptococcus neoformans* isolates from cerebrospinal fluids of patients with two episodes and hospitalizations (group 2)

| Patient code | Hospitalization | Sample code-day of collection | N. of detected genotypes (similarity) |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| C10          | 1st             | a-0, b-10, c-20, d-21       | Pr3 c                                  |
|              | 2nd             | d-155, e-160, f-163         | Pr4 c                                  |
| C11          | 1st             | a-0                        | 1*(0.40)                               |
|              | 2nd             | b-75, c-84, d-86           | 2*                                    |
| C12          | 1st             | a-0, b-5, c-10, d-15       | 3*(0.58)                               |
|              | 2nd             | e-34                       | 1                                     |

*a: sample code (letter) and number of days after the first (a-0) cerebrospinal fluid collection; b: similarity coefficient (S_AB) between patterns (pair of isolates); c: primers’ code; d: identical isolates, with S_AB between 0.98-1.00; e: identical patterns in both hospitalizations.*

*neofoms* by a positive urease test; a negative nitrate test (assimilation testing performed in Wickerham’s yeast nitrogen base); an ability to grow at 37°C; brown colony color in Niger seed agar; no color change in canavanine-glycine-bromothymol blue agar; and negative D-proline assimilation (Dufait et al. 1987, Kwon-Chung & Bennett 1992, Kwon-Chung 1998). *C. neoformans* clinical isolates were maintained in brain heart infusion medium containing 20% glycerol and frozen at -20°C until genotyping.

**Yeast preparation for genotyping** - To obtain good PFGE profiles in order to discriminate *C. neoformans* samples, several procedures were established. Yeast wall digestion was made with a high-quality enzyme in ideal biochemical conditions, such as pH and temperature, to prevent chromosomal DNA degradation by cryptococcal nucleases. Electrophoretic run and its correlation with electrical tension were well established with the purpose of obtaining a good band separation. Each band was correlated with a chromosome; abundant stained bands may correspond two or more chromosomes (Wickes et al. 1994). The protocols used for chromosomal DNA preparation and PFGE were those previously described (Perfect et al. 1989, Barchiesi et al. 1995), with some modifications. Frozen isolates were thawed and grown on Niger seed agar plates with 0.05% chloramphenicol at 30°C. After 48 h, a single well-separated yeast colony was selected at random and transferred in to 20 ml of YEPD (1% yeast extract, 2% dextrose, 2% Bacto Peptone). The yeasts were grown at 30°C for 20 h in a shaking incubator at 90 g. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and washed three times in sterile distilled water. The protoplasts were obtained by digestion of yeast capsules and walls by the incubation at 37°C for 2-3 h with 10 mg/ml lyzing enzyme from *Trichoderma harzianum* (Sigma Chemical, USA) in CES buffer (20 mM sodium citrate pH 5.6, 50 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.9 M sorbitol). After incubation, the protoplasts were centrifuged and washed three times in the same buffer at 1,000 g for 10 min. Each protoplast sample was divided into two aliquots. One was used for PFGE and another for RAPD-PCR analysis after DNA extraction.

**PFGE** - The isolates were performed by electrophoretic karyotype analysis using a contour-clamped homogeneous electrophoretic field system (CHEF-DRII, Bio-Rad, USA). The protoplasts dissolved in CES buffer were mixed with 1.2% low-melting-point agarose (w/v). The mixtures were dispensed into molds to form agarose plugs. After polymerization at 4°C for 20 min, the plugs were incubated at 50°C for 18 h with 1 mg/ml of proteinase K in 0.01 M Tris HCl pH 7.5, 0.45 M EDTA pH 8.0, 0.01% N-laurilsarcozyl. The plugs were washed five times, and stored at 4°C in 50 mM EDTA until use. Electrophoreses were performed in 1% agarose, after plugs insertion, in TBE buffer (0.044 M Tris-borate, 0.001 M EDTA pH 8.0) at 14°C and 4.5 V/cm in a CHEF DRII (Bio-Rad). Gels were stained with ethidium bromide after running for 29 h with switch time ramping from 80 to 200 s. The fragment sizes were based on comparison to a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chromosome DNA molecular weight marker (Bio-Rad) that was included in each gel. The samples were analyzed in duplicate and, at least, two different runs.

**DNA purification** - The protoplasts were dissolved in a lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% SDS, 0.01% N-laurilsarcozyl and 100 µg/ml proteinase K. The mixtures were briefly vortex mixed and incubated at 56°C until the complete lysates of the cells (around 120 min). DNA was extracted by phenol/ chloroform/ isoamylalcohol method and precipitated by isopropanol (Sambrook et al. 1989). After washing with 70% ethanol for 10 min at 10,000 g, the DNA pellet was dissolved in ultra pure water containing RNAase 20 µg/ml. DNA concentrations were determined in O.D. at 260 nm, while DNA purity was determined by the ratio of O.D. at 260 and 280 nm. For PCR amplification, 1 µl of each sample DNA (85-90 ng) was used as DNA template (Sambrook et al. 1989).

**RAPD-PCR** - The amplifications were carried out with the kit Ready-to-Go/RAPD Analysis Beads (Amersham-Pharmacia-Biotech). RAPD-PCR beads were composed of 1.5 units Taq DNA polymerase, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 30 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 400 mM of each dNTP,
and stabilizers as bovine serum albumin. Each reaction was performed adding each DNA template and 25 pmol of the primer in a final volume of 25 ml. The selection of primers was determined after testing six primer sequences for exclusive use in RAPD-PCR (Amersham-Pharmacia-Biotech). Two primers generated the best band profile, and were selected for genotyping the clinical samples: Pr3 (5'TGAGACCCGT3') and Pr4 (5'AAGACCGCCGT3'). The amplifications were performed in an automated thermal cycler (Progene), and consisted of one initial denaturation cycle for 5 min at 95°C, 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 32°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. The procedure was completed by a final cycle extension for 10 min. DNA samples were tested in duplicate, at least twice. Each amplification run contained a negative control (ultra pure water). After thermal cycles, PCR products were electrophoresed in 3.5% polyacrylamide gels in TBE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide. The products were electrophoresed in 3.5% polyacrylamide gels in TBE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide. The sizes of fragments were based on comparison with a 100-bp ladder.

Data analysis - The karyotypes and RAPD-PCR products were visualized under UV light and images were analyzed by the computer program GeneSnap Imager, version 6.08.04. (SynGene). The PFGE and RAPD-PCR patterns of all isolates were compared by visual inspection according to the literature (Barchiesi et al. 1995, Franzot et al. 1997). The bands were compared with those of the molecular weight standards. Isolates with all exactly matched bands were considered to be identical and as the same genotype or pattern. Isolates differing by one or more bands were considered to be different, and identified as having distinct genotypes (two or more patterns). Variations in intensity of RAPD-PCR bands were observed, in spite of standardization of DNA concentrations. In this case, the number and reproducibility of the bands were considered independent of the intensity of band (Chen et al. 1996).

The genetic relationship of the isolates was further determined by the similarity coefficient \(S_{AB}\) in the computer program GeneTools version 3.08.1 (SynGene). The \(S_{AB}\) between patterns for every pair of isolates (A and B) was computed with the formula \(S_{AB} = 2E/(2E+a+b)\), where \(E\) is the number of common bands in the patterns of A and B, \(a\) is the number of bands in pattern A with no correlates in pattern B, and \(b\) is the number of bands in pattern B with no correlates in pattern A. \(S_{AB}\) values of 0.90-1.00 indicates that the banding patterns for isolate A are identical with that of isolate B. \(S_{AB}\) values of 0.80-0.89 represent highly similar, but nonidentical isolates, and may suggest the occurrence of mutation in a single isolate. \(S_{AB}\) values below 0.80 represent unrelated isolates (Soll 2000, Pfaller et al. 2005).

RESULTS

PFGE and RAPD-PCR allowed to verified genetic differences among samples from 12 patients, showing a good discriminatory capacity and reproducibility. The identical isolates of the same patient exhibited 98-100% similarity \(S_{AB}\) (98-100%). Details on isolates with different patterns of each patient are described below.

The band profile in PFGE and RAPD-PCR (with both primers) of isolates from patients with a single hospitalization (group 1, C1 to C9) as well as from those with two episodes and hospitalizations (group 2, C10 to C12) are in Fig. 1. A summary of patterns (band profiles) and the similarity coefficient for each patients from groups 1 and 2 are in Tables I and II, respectively.

In group 1, all isolates of each patient (C1, C2, C3, C4, C7, and C9) presented a single RAPD-PCR profile. Isolates of C5, C6, and C8 had two PFGE profiles with low degree of similarity (0.60, 0.60, and 0.50, respectively) (Fig.1A). In group 2, three isolates of C10 obtained in the 1st hospitalization were identical in PFGE. The same was observed in three samples of the 2nd hospitalization. However, the PFGE profile among both hospitalizations was different \((S_{AB} = 0.67)\). The single isolate, recovered in the 1st hospitalization and one of the 2nd hospitalization of C11 produced the same PFGE profile. The other two isolates of the 2nd hospitalization were identical but different from the initial isolate \((S_{AB} = 0.40)\). The four isolates of C12 showed three PFGE profiles in 1st hospitalization with low degree of similarity (0.68). The single isolates of the 2nd hospitalization differed from the 1st hospitalization isolates \((S_{AB} = 0.58)\).

Fig. 1B shows the RAPD-PCR employing Pr3 primer results. All isolates of each patient code as C1, C2, C3, C4, C6, and C7 presented a single RAPD-PCR profile while C5, C8, and C9 isolates had two band profiles with low degree of similarity (0.50, 0.51, and 0.67, respectively). In group 2, C10 presented three different band profiles, one of which was similar in all isolates of the 1st hospitalization, and one of 2nd hospitalization. The other two isolates of the 2nd hospitalization presented two different band profiles (3 isolates and 3 distinct band profiles with \(S_{AB}\) between 0.40-0.50). Three band profiles were shown in samples of C11. The first indicated the single isolate from the 1st hospitalization, and the second and third ones were from the 2nd hospitalization (Fig. 1B). The \(S_{AB}\) of the three isolates was between 0.52-0.69. Two different band profiles were shown in isolates of C12. One band profile was shown in four isolates of the 1st hospitalization. The second band profile was shown in a single isolate recovered in the 2nd hospitalization \((S_{AB} = 0.67)\).

The Fig. 1C shows RAPD-PCR with Pr4 results. Patients belonging to group 1 coded as C1, C4, C6, and C7 had a single pattern in all isolates. The isolates C2, C3, C5, C8, and C9 had two different band profiles with low degree of similarity \((S_{AB}\) of 0.71, 0.75, 0.39, 0.45, 0.57, respectively). In group 2, the Pr4 primer produced two different band profiles (one of the 1st hospitalization and one of 2nd hospitalization) for the three patients, the \(S_{AB}\) were 0.57, 0.41, and 0.52, respectively.

The isolates C1, C4, and C7 had single band profile in PFGE and RAPD (Table I).

DISCUSSION

In recent years several studies based on molecular methods have shown that \(C.\) neoformans isolates exhibit different degrees of genetic heterogeneity among clinical and environmental isolates (Currie et al. 1994, Franzot et
Fig. 1: differences in band profiles of clinical C. neoformans isolates from patients with one cryptococcosis episode and hospitalization (hosp) (group 1 - C2, C3, C5, C6, C8, and C9) and with two episodes and hospitalizations (group 2 - C10, C11, and C12). A: karyotype analyses (pulsed field electrophoresis). Lanes - C2, C3, and C9: all isolates; C5: left lane, isolate a, right lane isolate b; C6: left lane, isolates a-e, g, right lane f, h); C8: left lane, isolates a-c, right lane d; C10: left lane all isolates of 1st hosp, right lane all isolates, 2nd hosp; C11: left lane, single isolate a, 1st hosp, isolate b, 2nd hosp; right lane, isolates c, d, 2nd hosp; C12: the three first lanes, isolates a, b, and, c-d, respectively, 1st hosp; fourth lane, single isolate, 2nd hosp. B: RAPD-PCR with primer Pr3. Lanes - C2, C3, and C6: all isolates; C5: left lane, isolate a, right lane, b; C8: left lane, isolates a-b, right lane, c, d; C9: left lane, isolates a-b, right lane, c, d; C10: left lane, all isolates-1st hosp; isolate f, 2nd hosp; central lane, isolate d of 2nd hosp; right lane, isolate e, 2nd hosp; C11: left lane, single isolate, 1st hosp; central lane, isolate b, 2nd hosp; right lane, isolates c, d, 2nd hosp; C12: left lane, all isolates, 1st hosp; right lane, single isolate, 2nd hosp. C: RAPD-PCR with primer Pr4. Lane - C6: all isolates; C2: left lane, isolates a-c; right lane, d; C3: left lane-isolates a-c, right line, d; C5: left lane-isolate a, right lane, b; C8: left lane-isolates a-c, right line, d; C9: left lane-isolates a-b, right line, c-d; C10: left lane, all isolates, 1st hosp, right line, all isolates, 2nd hosp; C11: left lane, single isolate, 1st hosp, right line, all isolates, 2nd hosp; C12: left lane, all isolates, 1st hosp, right lane, single isolate, 2nd hosp. The arrows show some differences between the profiles.
al. 1997, Calvo et al. 2001, Horta et al. 2002, Barreto de Oliveira et al. 2004, Jain et al. 2005, Litvintseva et al. 2006, Almeida et al. 2007). In general, molecular methods were employed to distinguish varieties and serotypes. One of the strategies is to apply PFGE and/or RAPD-PCR in clinical samples (Barchiesi et al. 1995, Haynes et al. 1995, Sullivan et al. 1996, Franzot et al. 1997, Klepser & Pfaller 1998, Sukroongreung et al. 2001, Almeida et al. 2007).

C neoformans karyotype from clinical isolate profiles were composed of 7-15 bands as those described by others (Wickes et al. 1994, Barchiesi et al. 1995), and concordant with biochemical characterization (all isolates showed the same colony morphology in Niger seed agar). The genetic diversity observed in the majority of the clinical isolates seems to be consistent. The discriminatory capacity to distinguish differences was increased by the combination of both methodologies. PFGE established chromosomal differences, but C. neoformans chromosomes had high molecular mass (about 700 to 2200 kbp). As in PFGE, small alterations in some gene regions could be difficult to observe and this method is time-consuming, we opted for a second methodology. RAPD-PCR is a good method for distinguishing small genetic differences. The employment of two primers doubled the possibility of finding such small differences. These genetic alterations were further confirmed by the similarity coefficient index.

The analysis of isolates from patients belonging to group 1 showed whether genetic diversity may be associated only in recurrent infections. Isolates from patients C1 (with 2 isolates), C4 (with 7 isolates) and C7 (with 4 isolates) presented the same profile (PFGE, RAPD-PCR Pr3, and Pr4). These data strongly suggest that these patients were infected with a single C. neoformans strain. Despite a single well-separated yeast colony being selected for genotyping, the possibility of arbitrarily selecting the same strain is minimal because several isolates were obtained from each patient. Isolates from patients C2 (4 isolates) and C3 (4 isolates) presented small differences (similarity around 75%) detected only in RAPD-PCR with Pr4 primer. Diversity among isolates was observed in patients C5 (2 isolates), C6 (8 isolates), C8 (4 isolates), and C9 (4 isolates), including chromosomal and genomic alterations. In general, such diversities were observed in the last isolates (Fig. 1). Different infection hypotheses may be formulated based on these results: (a) single isolate (three patients probably were infected with a single isolate and yeasts suffered no mutation), (b) multiple isolates (the other patients could be infected with multiple isolates and were selected in the different collection periods), or (c) mutant isolates (1st isolate could have suffered mutations to adapt and escape from the host immune system and/or the antifungal therapy). These isolates could be selected in the different collection periods, thus some isolates of patients C5, C6, and C8 may have already presented chromosomal differences.

Diversity was detected with both methodologies, when analyzing isolates collected in the 1st and 2nd hospitalizations of patients belonging to group 2. These results may suggest that patients C10 and C11 probably hosted the initial isolate in both collection times. However, they showed to be infected with other isolates, which could have been obtained in the period between hospitalizations. The genetic diversities observed in isolates from group 1 may be explained by the same hypotheses for group 1: selection during the CSF collection, because infection could have been caused by multiple isolates (multiple isolates), or the initial isolate could have suffered mutation for adaptation (mutant isolates). On the other hand, it is important to emphasize that these patients could have been re-infected with new isolates in the period between two hospitalizations. Patients C10, C11 and C12 returned to the 2nd hospitalization after 155, 75 and 34 days.

These results may suggest the occurrence of yeast mutation explained by the microevolution of the initial fungal population into new genotypic characteristics in order to preserve the species (Tibayrenc 1996). These fungal mutations may occur in humans or in the environment. Our results are similar to those obtained by other authors analyzing colonies immediately isolated from patients (Sullivan et al. 1996, Jain et al. 2005, Almeida et al. 2007), and in vitro colonies maintained for a long time in laboratory (Franzot et al. 1998, Cavalcante et al. 2007). In addition, it has been recently shown that the genome sequence of C. neoformans has a significant quantity of transposon elements (Loftus et al. 2005) that may have participated in the yeast microevolution.

The data could be supported by immunological host factors. C. neoformans infection is common in the general population, but despite the high prevalence, cryptococcosis is rare. Several studies have demonstrated positive skin reactions and serological tests in individuals without history of cryptococcosis, but with history of exposure to the yeast, as in pigeon droppings (Schimpff & Bennett 1975, Chen et al. 1999, Perfect & Casadevall 2002). In the city of São Paulo, the samples of pigeon droppings collected in an area of high population density showed a high percentage of C. neoformans serotype A (Montenegro & Paula 2000). The dispersion of C. neoformans desiccated encapsulated yeast cells proliferate in accumulated dried pigeon dropping acts as a year-round vector (Ellis & Pfeiffer 1990, Garcia-Hermoso et al. 1999). Intact hosts, innate and adaptive immunities overcome the ability of C. neoformans to cause damage to the host and instead inflict damage to the yeast, resulting in suppression of infection or clearance (Chen et al. 1999, Perfect & Casadevall 2002). In cases where the immune system is incapable of overcoming the infection, host damage reaches a threshold of clinically definable disease, as in AIDS patients due to immunosuppression especially in cellular response (Perfect & Casadevall 2002). The genetic polymorphism of C. neoformans isolates, the environmental and immunological factors may partly explain the incidence of different episodes in meningoencephalitis in AIDS patients, and contribute to specific strategies of treatment and disease prevention.
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