Abstract

In the last three decades, equal channel angular pressing (ECAP) process is used for extreme microstructural refinement of the metal materials which leads to improvement of the mechanical and physical properties. Using ECAP method significant strains can be imposed into the material. However, for practical use, homogeneous distribution of the strain is very important. Usually, ECAP processing routes are applied to distribute strain homogeneously inside processed workpiece. In this research 3D finite element simulations analysis was used to investigate the influence of four main ECAP processing routes and in total four ECAP passes on strain homogeneity distribution through workpiece volume and selected cross-sections. Simulations results after four ECAP passes indicated that strain homogeneity through whole workpiece volume was highest for route A and lowest for route C. However, at cross-section 30 mm from the workpiece back end, route C and Bc provided the highest strain homogeneity. Results indicated that route C and Bc are more adequate if only a homogeneous part of the workpiece material will be used in a possible application. However, if the aim is to use the whole workpiece material volume, route A is more appropriate. This was achieved because route A is more efficient in deformation of the workpiece back end at channel intersection which was indicated with strain inhomogeneity index for the cross-section 5mm apart from the workpiece back end.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the last three decades, severe plastic deformation methods (SPD) are developed to produce bulk nanostructured or ultrafine grained materials with a unique combination of mechanical and physical properties [1,2]. One of the most popular severe plastic deformation methods is equal channel angular pressing (ECAP). The main reasons for ECAP wide experimental studies and application are the possibility of processing fairly large billets, relatively simple procedure, preservation of initial cross-section, reasonable homogeneity through most of the pressed billet, and potential for use in commercial metal processing procedures [3]. Due to the complexity of SPD processing and the specificity of material behaviour at the extremely large strains involved, analytical and computational studies have been indispensable for process design, parameter optimization, and the prediction of the microstructures and properties of the ultrafine grained materials produced [2]. The finite element method (FEM) is one of the most important numerical methods that can be used to explain the deformation process during the ECAP [4]. Both 2D and 3D simulations were used to investigate the influence factor on strain homogeneity during ECAP process. It is very important to investigate the material flow during this process, because inhomogeneous strain distributions induced during each billet pass might cause certain heterogeneity in the final microstructure and thus heterogeneity in the mechanical properties of the produced samples [5–8]. Sue et al. indicated that 3D FEM simulation should be used for strain homogeneity description due to the important friction influence on strain distribution in three section planes [9]. Li et al. performed a detailed study in which the influence of the die geometry parameters, the friction conditions, and the material
model was analysed. They compared predictions obtained by the elastic-perfectly plastic and by the non-linear strain hardening material model and according to their observations the non-linear hardening model results in strain distributions that are more inhomogeneous. Furthermore, they investigated the friction coefficient values in range $\mu = 0 - 0.15$ and concluded that an increase of the friction coefficient, strain hardening and outer die angle result in a certain increase of strain inhomogeneity if no corner gap is formed [10]. Tool geometry is also influential on strain homogeneity. Several numerical studies indicated that rather inhomogeneous strain distributions are obtained for greater values of the outer die angle $\psi$. For instance, for such geometries and frictionless conditions, Suh et al. obtained extremely inhomogeneous distributions while using a perfectly plastic material model [8]. Mendes Filho et al. analysed tool geometries with outer corner angle the in range $\psi = 0° - 60°$ while keeping the die angle $\varphi =120°$ constant and also concluded that for greater $\psi$ homogeneity decreases [11]. Djavanroodi et al. showed in their research that a lower magnitude of effective strain has been achieved for larger die channel angle $\varphi$ however better strain homogeneity distribution has been obtained [12].

To investigate the influence of ECAP processing routes 3D FEM simulation must be used. Mahallawya et al. used 3D FEM simulations to investigate the influence of routes A and Bc for a total of eight passes on strain homogeneity for the selected transverse cross-section. The homogeneity of deformation indicated by microhardness and by FEM results was higher for route A compared with route Bc and increases with the number of ECAP passes. The homogeneity in route A was higher than that in route Bc by 10% after 2 passes up to 8 passes [4]. Experimental research of the ECAP routes was also done. It was showed that route Bc out of four different routes produces samples with maximum hardness and reasonably equiaxed microstructure after 5 passes across selected plain perpendicular to the extrusion axis [13]. In route Bc, because of continuous shearing on the three crystallographic planes, the sub-grain boundaries evolve most rapidly into high angle grain boundaries. In route Bc, the two shearing directions lie on planes intersected at 120°. As a result of this duality in the shearing directions, sub-grain bands are developed on repetitive pressings along two separate and intersecting sets of planes and this leads to an evolution of high angle grain boundary which is reasonably equiaxed [13]. In this paper, 3D FEM simulations were used to determine strain inhomogeneity index for whole sample volume and for selected cross-sections. In previous experimental and simulation researches, authors usually investigated strain homogeneity for selected cross-sections. However, for practical use seems very important to determine strain homogeneity for whole processed material volume and at influence cross-section, both at „usable“ part of the workpiece, but also at the workpiece back end. Furthermore, to simulate more realistic ECAP process, in this research samples were trimmed after each pass, rotated, and placed back into ECAP die according to each processing route.

2. 3D FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION

To study the distribution and homogeneity of the strain accumulated in the specimen made of EN AW 6082 aluminium alloy during ECAP processing, viscoplastic 3D finite element simulation was used. Simulation of the adiabatic isothermal ECAP process was conducted using commercial software QForm. The workpiece was meshed into 20784 4 nodes tetrahedral finite elements, which is considered sufficient relative to the work volume or even higher than those used in previous works of 2D or 3D FEM simulations [14]. The mesh was automatically re-meshed if the elements became too distorted during the forming process simulations, which provide a great advantage in simulations of the severe plastic deformation processes. Aluminium alloy workpiece was considered as isotropic material. Flow stress curves for aluminium alloy EN AW 6082 were available in the QForm commercial software database. The main aim was to investigate the influence of four main ECAP processing routes and four ECAP passes on strain homogeneity distribution through samples whole volume and for cross-sections 5mm and 30mm apart from back end, Figure 1a. To simulate ECAP processing routes 3D FEM must be used due to the requirements for sample rotation. ECAP geometry was designed in SolidWorks computer software. Designed ECAP die channels had 15.3 mm diameter and they intersected at 90° angle. Outer angle was defined with 3 mm radius, Figure 1a. According to the analytic expression provided by Iwahashi et al. this tool should be capable to introduce 1.09 equivalent plastic strain in...
ideal conditions [15]. The 3D die and punch are rigid and non-deformable structures. Used punch speed was 1 mm/s. The workpiece was 80 mm in height and 15 in diameter, Figure 1b). To simulate realistic ECAP process, after each ECAP pass samples were trimmed on 15 mm diameter. In realistic condition, the turning process is usually necessary to put samples back in the ECAP tool for a second pass. Because of the turning process, some of the workpiece volume is lost and workpiece is smaller for the subsequent pass. This change of the workpiece volume and length after each pass certainly influence plastic strain distribution. To achieve realistic results each simulation of the sample pass was set to perform in different operation, where 3D workpiece with simulation results was taken from previous pass, trimmed, rotated according to the selected route and finally placed back into ECAP die for the second pass. To prevent samples self-rotation due to the ECAP die outer angle radius, small front part of each sample after each pass at same position also was trimmed.

Further, friction is an important factor in ECAP process 3D simulation analysis. Balasundar et al. indicated that the predictions of the shear friction model are correct and hence shear friction model should be used instead of the Coulomb friction model to evaluate the effect of friction in the ECAP process [6]. Li et al. suggested a maximum allowable limit of the friction coefficient of 0.2 to complete the FEM simulations of ECAP with the formation of a reasonable steady-state zone and a good degree of deformation homogeneity [10]. Several authors suggested using a 0.12 friction coefficient for room temperature ECAP and aluminium alloy workpiece [14,16]. Furthermore, friction coefficient value of 0.15 and Levanov model (combined model) is recommended for cold forming of the aluminium alloy in a steel die in QForm software. Therefore, in this paper combined Levanov friction model was used and according to the previous investigation friction coefficient of value 0.12 was selected. The strain inhomogeneity index was described as follows:

$$C_i = \frac{St \text{ dev } \bar{\varepsilon}^p}{Avg \bar{\varepsilon}^p}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $St \text{ dev } \bar{\varepsilon}^p$ and $Avg \bar{\varepsilon}^p$ are the standard deviation and average value of the effective plastic strain, respectively. The lower value for strain inhomogeneity index means that better strain distribution uniformity for the ECAPed sample.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to the simulation results effective plastic strain after one ECAP pass for cross-section 30mm apart from the back end was determined to be average 1.19 which is fairly similar to the analytical expression proposed by Iwahashi et al. [15]. Some higher values of the effective plastic strain were due to the friction influence. The front and end zones of the workpiece (about 20% of the billet volume) are usually considered not suitable for further use, and it is a major source of material waste [5]. In the previous papers, simulation
results were usually presented for selected cross-sections due to above mentioned undeformed zones. In this paper, for each ECAP pass and route, strain inhomogeneity index was determined for: whole sample volume, and cross-sections 5 mm and 30 mm apart from the workpiece back end, Figure 2. Cross-section at 5 mm was selected to determine strain inhomogeneity index in sample back end zone. Cross-section at 30 mm was selected to investigate strain homogeneity in the sample central part.

Figure 2 Strain inhomogeneity index values for four ECAP routes and passes: a) sample volume b) cross-section 30 mm apart from the workpiece back end c) cross-section 5 mm apart from the workpiece back end

According to the results presented in Figure 2a), strain homogeneity through whole sample volume is significantly improved for all four ECAP routes after four ECAP passes. Furthermore, it seems that routes A and Ba are more adequate to achieve a lower strain inhomogeneity index through sample volume than routes C and Bc. Lowest to highest strain inhomogeneity index achieved in the following order: route A, route Ba, route Bc and finally route C. However, for cross-sections 30 mm from workpiece back end beginning, route C and Bc have lower inhomogeneity index compared with route Ba and especially route A, Figure 2b). For cross-section at 30 mm for route A and Bb strain inhomogeneity index even increases with the third ECAP pass. Results indicated that route C and Bc are more adequate if only a homogeneous part of the workpiece material will be used in the possible application. However, if the aim is to use the whole workpiece material volume, route A is much more appropriate for four ECAP pass. This was achieved because route A is more efficient in deformation of the workpiece back end at channels intersection which was indicated with strain inhomogeneity index at cross-section 5 mm apart from the workpiece back end, Figure 2c). Figure 3 shows effective plastic strain distribution after 4 ECAP passes for route A (Figure 3a)) and route Bc (Figure 3b)). According to Figure 3a), effective plastic strain for route A and cross-section at 5 mm has much higher values and homogeneous distribution than route Bc and same cross-section, Figure 3b). These small values of the effective plastic strain caused increased strain inhomogeneity index when whole sample volume and cross-section at 5 mm were observed, Figure 2a) and 2c). According to Figure 3a), effective plastic strain for the route A and cross-section at 30 mm was inhomogeneously distributed compared with the route Bc and the same cross-section, Figure 3b). This was also confirmed with strain inhomogeneity index for 30 mm cross-sections, Figure 2b). Figure 3 indicates that for route Bc (very similar was determined for the route C) workpiece back end must be discarded (the front end was already trimmed as explained in section 2). Therefore for practical use of the ECAP processed material usable part of the workpiece should be carefully
defined and determined. The route A is capable to produce more deformed ECAP workpiece back end but inhomogeneously deformed sample central part. If the route A is used the whole sample can be used for the possible application, however with workpiece material deformed with effective plastic strain in the range from 3 to 5.15 (mean value is 4.35) after four ECAP passes for cross-section at 30 mm. If routes Bc and C are used, then a very homogeneous central part of the ECAP workpiece can be produced with achieved effective plastic deformation in a range from 4.3 to 5.16 (mean values is 4.8) for cross-section at 30 mm. In that case sample back end must be discarded. Therefore, all routes have some advantages, and their selection must be according to possible application.
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**Figure 3** Effective plastic strain distribution after 4 ECAP passes: a) route A cross-sections (longitudinal, 5 mm, 30 mm) b) route Bc cross-sections (longitudinal, 5 mm, 30 mm)

According to the previous experimental research it was showed that grain flow in ECAP can be associated with routes A, Ba, Bc, and C concerning the plastic deformation in three crystallographic planes X, Y, and Z. In route Bc continuous deformation occurs in all three planes and the strain path gets reversed in the successive passes which can cause effective strain homogenization. The reversal of the strain path enables easy formation of shear bands and therefore the grain evolution in all three planes is uniform. On the other hand route A has continuous shearing in X and Y planes but no deformation in the Z plane [13].

4. CONCLUSION

According to this research, it was indicated that the distribution and value of the effective plastic strain imposed into ECAP workpiece material strongly depend on ECAP processing routes and the number of passes. This 3D FEM simulation approach provided insight about induced plastic strain into workpiece material when different ECAP routes and four ECAP passes were applied. Simulations results can be used for practical ECAP process utilization. Results indicated that route C and Bc are more adequate if only a homogeneous part of the workpiece material will be used in a possible application. However, if the aim is to use the whole workpiece material volume, route A is more appropriate. For future work and before results application, simulation results verification with experimental work is suggested.
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