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Abstract

Image techniques are increasingly being applied to livestock animals. This paper overviews recent advances in image processing analysis for live pigs, including ultrasound, visual image analysis by monitoring, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography. The methodology for live pigs evaluation, advantages and disadvantages of different devices, the variables and measurements analysed, the predictions obtained using these measurements and their accuracy are discussed in the present paper. Utilities of these technologies for livestock purposes are also reviewed. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging yield useful results for the estimation of the amount of fat and lean mass either in live pigs or in carcases. Ultrasound is not sufficiently accurate when high precision in estimating pig body composition is necessary but can provide useful information in agriculture to classify pigs for breeding purposes or before slaughter. Improvements in factors, such as the speed of scanning, cost and image accuracy and processing, would advance the application of image processing technologies in livestock animals.
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Introduction

An ideal technique for the measurement of body growth and composition in livestock animals is non-invasive, non-destructive, accurate, easy to perform and applicable to a wide range of ages and body weights (Ferrell & Cornelius, 1984). Non-invasive, also known as non-destructive, techniques allow tissue changes in the same animal to be followed to study development over different stages. However, invasive techniques, such as serial slaughter of collaterals or descendant animals and dissections, continue to be used to determine body composition or calibrate several devices. Based on image analysis, Font-i-Furnols et al. (2015) and Carabús et al. (2015a,b) analysed serial slaughter data from 30 to 120 kg for gilts of different genotypes and pigs of different sexes, respectively, and obtained prediction equations for body composition based on computed tomography images. In these studies, serial slaughter was used for device calibration and was replaced by in vivo estimations once the equations were validated. In others works, such as those by Gjerlaug et al. (2012) and Lambe et al. (2013), dissections were not used, and information was obtained directly from image analysis without the application of a prediction equation. Thus, in vivo estimations can be performed using non-invasive technologies based on image analysis. The five main non-invasive technologies are ultrasound (US), visual image analysis by monitoring (VIA), dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT). Image processing technologies have been developed rapidly. These technologies are reliable and can quantitatively characterise complex sizes, shapes and
densities of tissue in live animals. However, these five
technologies are very different, and although they can be used for the same purpose, each one has different
specifications that are described, in detail, in the present
paper. The purpose of this paper is to provide an over-
view of the technologies and associated methodologies
for studying pig composition in vivo, describe how these
techniques work and their main applications and, fi-
nally, to present certain of the most relevant results
obtained in body composition of live pigs using these
technologies (US, VIA, DXA, MRI and CT).

Non-invasive technologies to evaluate pig
composition

A method should be easy to understand and practical
to apply, it should be user-friendly, with the procedures
and concepts for taking samples or recording informa-
tion easily understood and with little chance for mis-
interpretation.

Methods of estimating body composition are comprised
of two types. They either describe the chemical composi-
tion of the body or the anatomical distribution of its tis-
ues. In each case the techniques used can be either inva-
sive or non-invasive to the animal (Szabó, 2001).

Several non-invasive techniques are commonly used in
live pigs that are calibrated using invasive or destruc-
tive methods as a reference (slaughter and dissection,
biopsy, etc). A common feature of most non-invasive
techniques for body or carcass composition measure-
ments is a reliance on electromagnetic or mechanical
energy, which can pass completely or partially through
body or carcass tissue, such as muscle, adipose tissue
and bone (Scholz et al., 2015), with the exception of
VIA, that provides images directly from one or sev-
eral cameras.

The accuracy of estimation is one of the key points that
will determine the time for the image acquisition
and the cost of the device. Therefore, there are two
main factors affecting this accuracy: correlation be-
tween the measurement on the image and on the body
part and correlation between body part and body com-
position (tissue or chemical) (Szabó, 2001).

a) Visual image analysis by monitoring

The VIA is the acronym for visual image analysis by
monitoring, also known as video image analysis and
computed aided design. The VIA can include one or
more cameras to acquire 2D images or video images.
This technique was developed in the USA specifically
for beef carcass evaluation in the early 1980s (Cross
et al., 1983) and it is mainly used on line to estimate
carcass characteristics. Nevertheless, it can also be used
(not always on line) to predict the intramuscular fat or
colour in chicken and turkey, with a $R^2$=0.22-0.74
(Chmiel et al., 2011), tenderness in beef ($R^2$=0.12-0.70;
Li et al., 1999; Sun et al., 2012) and lean meat yield in
live pigs (Doeschl Wilson et al., 2005). Published re-
results have demonstrated that VIA can measure the
growth rate and weight of pigs (Schoefield, 1990;
White et al., 2004). Doeschl-Wilson et al. (2005) used
it to describe pig growth in terms of size and shape and
and concluded that the analysis of shape data combined
with composition data from dissected carcasses was
significantly related ($p<0.05$) to carcass composition
at all growth stages and that this relationship varied
among genetic populations.

In addition, VIA has been extensively used to clas-
sify carcasses into payment categories and to improve
the consistency of SEUROP classification compared to
visual appraisal (Allen & Finnerty, 2000; Font i Furnols &
Gispert, 2009; Craige et al., 2012; Engel et al., 2012).

The image process to capture all the pig takes less
than 1.5 s (Schoefield, 2007).

This technique is useful in agricultural applications,
and one of the biggest advantage is that no human-an-
imal interaction is required. However, as a drawback,
the information provided solely concerns the external
portion of the body, and no internal image of the pig is
obtained. To monitor a population within an area, ob-
ervation stations should be set throughout the survey
area of interest. The distribution of observation must be
carefully considered relative to efficiency in obtaining
adequate measurements of the animals being monitored
and avoiding bias in the results that could be induced
by station placement (Meek, 2012). The proximity of
electrical outlets for non-portable cameras, the proper
positions of cameras (without death nooks), the light
intensity, sensor sensitivity, type of flash, field of view,
the cleanliness and dust control of the farm are major
factors to consider when using this equipment. The cost
of each system will vary depending upon if it is a mov-
able device or not, the computer used, the complexity
of the installation and the software and hardware
needed. However, the actual cost of a movable device
in a basic form is under 8,000 € (Schoefield, 2007), the
cost of a fixed device will depend on the type and num-
ber of cameras, and the market offers a range of prices.

b) Ultrasound

The US enables the acquisition of internal images
for use in body composition evaluation, and this is one
of the biggest advantages compared to VIA.
The way it works is by acoustic waves that are propagated through materials as perturbations in their physical structure. Consequently, acoustic properties of materials can be correlated to its macroscopic composition and structure.

There are two models for ultrasonic imaging: A-mode (amplitude modulation) and B-mode (brightness modulation). A-mode is the simplest type of US. A single transducer scans a line through the body, and the echoes are plotted on screen as a function of depth. In B-mode US, more commonly known as 2D mode, a linear array of transducers simultaneously scans a plane through the body that can be viewed as a two-dimensional image on screen. Doppler and A-mode (amplitude depth) ultrasound technology has been applied to swine research and production for the last 20 to 25 years, however, its limited accuracy led to the use of B-mode (brightness modality), real-time ultrasound.

The US has been tested since the early 1950s and it has been performed in live animals or carcasses to measure fat thickness and assess the quality of meat (Fortin et al., 2003). The US has also been used to study intramuscular fat in live pigs (Newcom et al., 2002) and in other species, such as cattle to study the repeatability and accuracy of US in measuring backfat (Brethour, 1992) and sheep to study estimation of sheep carcass composition using real-time ultrasound (Silva et al., 2006). It has been similarly used, either in vivo or post mortem, to estimate raw meat quality characteristics, mainly intramuscular fat, with the highest reported $R^2$ of 0.92 in pork (Newcom et al., 2002). The accuracy of US in predicting carcass traits is variable and is dependent on species, ultrasonic instrumentation, and (or) the skill of the technician.

Additional factors to consider are the costs of the equipment, length of battery life and time required for image acquisition. The cost differs among A-mode and B-mode US, ranging from $450 to $10,000 (Knox et al., 2008). The length of battery life for portable units, and the proximity of electrical outlets for non-portable units are also factors to be considered. For portable machines, batteries are scheduled to operate continuously for ~3 h up to 9 h, and most US evaluations require approximately 1-2 min per image acquisition (Newcom et al., 2002; Mörlein et al., 2005; Bahelka et al., 2009; Maignel et al., 2010; Lakshmanan et al., 2012).

c) Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry

The DXA is an improved form of X-ray technology that is used mainly to measure bone density (Fig. 1) and that can generate also 2D images. The determination of body and carcass composition by dual X-ray absorptiometry is based on the different X-ray attenuation coefficients at low and high X-ray spectral levels for soft tissue and bone mineral. The DXA provides a 2D scan image of the whole body or region of interest and the image can be analysed as a whole or regionally by semi-automatically or manually defining regions of interest (Mitchell et al., 2002). In addition to the amounts of soft lean or fat tissue and bone mineral content, DXA also provides a measure of bone mineral density (g/cm$^2$). The DXA has been applied on a variety of farm animal (chicken: Swennen et al., 2004; Schreiweis et al., 2005; pigs: Mitchell et al., 1996; Kremer et al., 2012; sheep: Rozeboom et al., 1998; Scholz & Mitchell, 2010 and calves: Scholz et al., 2003) to predicting carcass and body composition. Also, previous studies evaluating DXA measurements in pigs have demonstrated high degrees of precision when compared to chemically-determined values (Brunton et al., 1993; Mitchell et al., 1998).

In any case, it is clear that the system manufacturer, instrument generation and software version can affect measurements (Tothill et al., 1994; Kistorp & Svendsen, 1998). Moreover, recent advances have markedly improved scan speeds (~3 min per scan) and measurement precision, increasing the attractiveness of DXA for body composition measurement of animals under both commercial and research conditions (Suster et al., 2003). However, regarding the time of image acquisition it is conditioned by the expense of accuracy, for instance, a whole body scan with a rather slow but very accurate pencil-beam scanner can take 35 min, whereas a whole body scan with a cone-beam scanner takes less than 3 min (Scholz et al., 2015). The expense to purchase a new unit averages $35,000 USD (Walpert, 2000).
d) Computed tomography

The CT has already been used in humans for many years for diagnostic and it is successfully utilized in experiments conducted with pig, sheep, poultry, rabbits and other species (Afonso, 1992; Romvári et al., 2005; Carabús et al., 2015a,b). It is a non-invasive technique that permits internal images of the patient, in this case, livestock animals (Fig. 2). The CT is based on the attenuation of X-rays passing through the body. The attenuation is the difference between the emitted X-ray and the X-ray received by the detector and is expressed in Hounsfield (HU) values in a matrix presented in the grey or HU scale, which represents colours from black (low density) to white (high density) (Fig. 3c). The CT image is, in most cases, a matrix of $256 \times 256$, $512 \times 512$ or $1064 \times 1064$ elements (pixels), depending on the setting and capacities of the instrument. The pixels are the smallest unit of a CT scan and it has a well described corresponding area. A cross section image of a body has always a slice thickness (1-10 mm usually). This means that, in fact, the pixel is a three-dimensional object, and it is called voxel. The object of interest is measured in a simple manner from many angles (360º), and thus the density of an individual voxel is not affected by the densities of the neighbouring voxels. Thus, structures of high and low density can be resolved, even if they are close to other structures. The distribution of the attenuation of an X-ray is mathematically calculated as a projection of reconstruction (Cann, 1988) and is presented by the software of the device as a 2D image. The image can be stored digitally and used later for evaluation or for analysis to generate prediction equations for carcass composition traits. Although the image is presented in 2D, the width of the X-ray used permits the calculation of the density and the real volume. As CT provides 3D images, the measurements obtained with this device are good predictors of body composition in live pigs (Luting et al., 1995) and pig carcasses (Font i Furnols & Gispert, 2009). Due to the emission of X-rays, the equipment must be isolated in a room with leaded walls, and during scanning, the operator is in another room close to the device with a leaded window to visualise the scanning while it occurs.

Computed tomography revolutionized diagnostic radiology with the introduction of spiral CT in the early 1990s, allowing, for the first time, the acquisition of volume data without the danger of misregistration or double registration of anatomical details. However, there was the necessity to make some advances such as to improve the volume coverage with increased longitudinal resolution, to include the simultaneous acquisition of more than one slice at a time and a reduction of the gantry rotation time. Advances took place in 1998, when multi-slice CT (MSCT) appeared, which typically offered simultaneous acquisition of four slices. The introduction of an eight-slice CT system in 2000 enabled shorter scan times, but did not yet provide improved longitudinal resolution. The latter was achieved with the introduction of 16-slice CT. In 2004, all major CT manufacturers introduced the next generation of MSCT systems with 32 or even 64 simultaneously acquired slices (Kohl, 2005). And nowadays 128 and more slices CT scans are also available.

An additional factor to consider is the cost of the equipment, which will directly depend on the CT type, supplier, technology and toolboxes. For instance, a new single slice CT ranges from $65,000 to $125,000 while a 64 slice CT (which is the most powerful) reaches from $250,000 to $450,000 (Block, 2014). Also, according to Kongoko et al. (2009) prices of a basic new CT scanner can vary between €300,000 and €600,000. However, it must be taken into account that there is an important market of second-hand devices from human medical facilities which are more economic. Finally, CT scanners can be mobile (Daumas & Monziols, 2011), i.e., placed inside a truck, which is very useful for working under farming conditions, or fixed, i.e., inside a room.

e) Magnetic resonance imaging

The MRI is a non-invasive diagnostic method that has been used in humans, domestic animals and, recently, livestock animals and carcass evaluation. Although the images acquired by means of MRI instru-
ments look very similar to a CT image, the principle of the examination is entirely different (Baulain, 1997).
In summary, during MRI examinations the atoms of the body, positioned in a strong magnetic field, take up energy from an external energizing source and re-emit as a function of time.

On an MRI scan some tissues appear to be brighter or darker than other tissues depending on the signal intensity. Relaxation times for protons can vary and two times are commonly measured – known as T1 and T2. T1 relaxation is known as longitudinal relaxation and T2 is known as spin-spin relaxation. White matter is brighter than grey matter in T1-weighted images and darker than grey matter in T2-weighted images. Consequently, T1 and T2 provide different intensities of images. The basic principle of this method relies on the properties of atomic nuclei with an odd number of protons or neutrons (or both), which absorb and reemit radio waves when placed in a powerful magnetic field. Tissues containing water molecules are used to create a signal that is processed to form an image of the body. Each tissue returns to its equilibrium state after excitation by the independent processes of T1 (spin-lattice) and T2 (spin-spin) relaxation. The intensity of the emitted signal is related to the number of protons present in a given volume. T1 and T2 are both very important and are constant values that depend on the studied tissue (and temperature). To generate a good MRI image, different tissues must be classified according to these constants. Either a T1 weighted image (the contrast between tissues is based on T1 differences) or T2 weighted can be generated. The MRI acquisitions are generally quite long, and T2 weighted acquisitions are longer than T1 weighted acquisitions. Thus, if T1 weighted acquisition enables sufficient contrast, the T2 weighted acquisition is not performed due to the long sequence required. Spin echo and gradient echo are the methods used to excite protons. The main difference between these methods is that the gradient echo sequence creates a chemical shift between water and fat, thereby improving the contrast between them. Gradient echo is generally used in “fat-suppression” sequences (Monziols et al., 2006). Spin echo is a more classic excitation method in which no chemical shift is induced, and the signal observed is directly linked to the T1 or T2 weighting. In fact, there are two types of MRI scanners: open MRI, also known as low-field scanner, and closed MRI, known as high-field scanner. High field scanner with 1.5-3 Tesla (measurement of magnetic force) provides high resolution, while open scanners usually have about 0.23 Tesla. The higher the field strength, the more powerful and faster the scanner.

The MRI has substantial potential for livestock evaluation and as a non-invasive technique for estimating the composition of pigs with different live weights (Mitchell et al., 2001; Kremer et al., 2013). Moreover, as a radiation-free device, there are no concerns for the use of MRI in humans and animals. Its price is very high, although it depends on different factors such as the type of MRI scanner (open or closed), the magnetic field itself (very related with the resolution) and the speed of image acquisition. Nevertheless, it is possible to find second hand low-field MRI devices for less than €100,000 (Kremer et al., 2013). However, regarding the speed, due to the magnetic field, more time is required for acquisition compared to other devices. Portable devices are possible but no common.

Table 1 presents the main advantages and disadvantages of these technologies and devices.

### Methodology for live pigs evaluation using imaging technologies

This section deals with the steps that are needed for the evaluation of live pigs with imaging technologies, from the preparation of the animals for its evaluation, the measurement procedure, the image analysis process and the development of prediction models.

#### First step: Preparation of the animal

**Preparation of the animal for VIA and US.** Anaesthesia or sedation of pigs is not required for VIA and US, but stable readings can only be obtained when the animal is not moving, unless the reason for the study is to examine animal movement (Kongsro, 2013).

**Preparation of the animal for DXA, CT and MRI.** Anaesthesia or sedation is required for DXA, MRI and CT. First, the pigs must be fasted for several hours. The examination, then, begins with weighing of the pigs to calculate the dose of anaesthetic or sedative. A combination of two or more products is generally used to anaesthetise pigs, depending on the country’s law. Kolstad (2001) used azaperon (4 mg/kg live weight - LW) followed by phentotal sodium (5 mg/kg LW). Giles et al. (2008) used Yohimbine (10 mg/ml), and Carabús et al. (2015a) sedated animals intramuscularly with azaperon (0.1 mg/kg body weight - BW) and anaesthetised them with ketamine (0.2 mg/kg BW) and propofol (0.22 mg/kg BW, intravenously in the ear). Propofol was only administered at heavy weights. Sedation without the use of anaesthetic is also possible. Aasmundstad et al. (2014) used only an intramuscular injection of azaperone. It is also possible to use several type of gases (such as isoflurane), although in this case a mask must be placed on the pig’s face, which is not always con-
convenient. In any case, it must be taken into account that the time required to acquire a DXA, CT or MRI image depends on the device used and, as explained previously, it depends on the accuracy desired, on the slices scanned (single or multiple CT) and on the strength of the magnetic field of the MRI (open or closed). In general, CT is faster than MRI, which requires more time per image due to magnetic resonance. Consequently, the doses of anaesthetic or sedative will depend on the time required for scanning, the type of device and the number of images required per animal.

Differing from VIA and US, other major factors when working with DXA, CT and MRI and live animals is that an extra room close to the device is needed to perform the anaesthesia or sedation. Moreover, the decrease in body temperature due to anaesthesia must be compensated by providing a heating system, blankets or other options to avoid possible future health problems.

**Second step: Measurement procedure**

**Measurement procedure for the VIA.** A fixed position of the animal or human-pig interaction is not required. However, it is preferable to have calm pigs because the animals are monitored and this system provides images by video or photo camera from which measurements can be obtained manually or using specific software.

**Measurement procedure for the US.** Although anaesthesia is not required, the animal must be fixed by cage or by human restriction. Moreover, it is very important to keep the animal calm, and this technique

---

**Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of non-invasive techniques applied in animal science.**

| Equipment | Information | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| CT        | Density     | 3D images  | Anaesthesia or sedation required |
|           | Shape       | Fast       | Ionising radiation |
|           |             | Possibility of a portable device | Most expensive device |
|           |             | Internal images | |
|           |             | Superior bone tissue contrast compared to MRI | |
| DXA       | Density     | Fast       | 2D images |
|           | Shape       | Internal images | Anaesthesia or sedation required |
|           |             | Superior bone tissue contrast compared to MRI | Ionising radiation (lower than CT) |
|           |             | Intermediate price | |
| MRI       | Density     | No ionising radiation | No metals allowed due to magnetic field |
|           | Shape       | Internal images | Slow image acquisition |
|           |             | 3D images | Anaesthesia or sedation required |
|           |             | Superior soft tissue contrast compared to CT | Expensive device |
| VIA       | Shape       | Anaesthesia or sedation not required | 2D images |
|           |             | Useful in farm conditions | Only external view, no tissue contrast |
|           |             | Real-time image in movement | |
|           |             | Video recording possible | |
|           |             | Cheaper than CT, DXA and MRI | |
| Ultrasound| Density     | Anaesthesia or sedation not required | 2D images |
|           |             | Real-time image in movement | Poor tissue contrast |
|           |             | Video recording possible | |
|           |             | Fast | |
|           |             | Portable device | |
|           |             | Useful in farm conditions | |
|           |             | Cheaper than CT, DXA and MRI | |

CT: Computed tomography; DXA: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; 3D: Three dimensions; 2D: Two dimensions
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Measurement procedure of the CT. Similar to DXA, the use of CT for livestock animals requires anaesthetic or sedatives. The CT variables differ on the device and the purpose of the exam. One of the important instrumental settings for CT is the type of acquisition image: Helical or Axial. Helical CT is most prevalent because it is faster, but conventional step-and-shoot, axial technique, is used for high-resolution. Other variables, such as the voltage, the intensity, the matrix dimensions and the slice thicknesses are also considered in the CT image acquisition. The instrumental settings used by Carabús et al. (2015a) were 140 kV, 145 mA, pixels matrix of 512 × 512, axial, and two different slice thicknesses: 7 or 10 mm. Konsgro (2013) used two different energy levels of 80 kV and 140 kV, pixel spacing of 0.933 × 0.933 mm and 5-mm slice thickness and their combination to study intramuscular fat in live pigs. The accuracy and definition of the image will vary depending on these variables.

Measurement procedure of the MRI. As explained for DXA and CT, to perform MRI, the pig must be calm and immobile, which is primarily achieved by the use of anaesthesia or sedatives. Once the animal is anaesthetised or sedated, it is placed on the diagnostic table of the device and can be handled by a PVC cradle, special inflatable plastic or blankets (Mitchell et al., 2001). No magnetic object is allowed due to the magnetic field created. It is very important to check the pig’s ear tag and verify that it does not contain magnetic parts; otherwise, the exam will not be performed properly. An MRI or CT scan usually start with a so-called scout or localizer to define the zone studied and the positions and directions of the slices selected. The positions of the scans are determined by anatomical points selected by the operator. It is possible to scan a specific anatomical region or the whole pig. Figure 3b shows an image of a loin obtained by MRI. The MRI variables depend on each device and the requirements of the study. Important variables include the T1 and T2 constants, the sequence used (spin or echo), the time of repetition, the time between two consecutive radiofrequency pulse signals or between successive excitations (TR), the

**Figure 3.** Comparison of the image resolution of a pig’s loin: ultrasound (a), magnetic resonance imaging (b), and computed tomography (c). Photo 3b courtesy of Armin M. Scholz from Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich.
time between echoes, between the middle of the exciting radiofrequency pulse signal and the middle of spin echo production (TE), the flip angle (also called tip angle, is the amount of rotation the net magnetization experiences during application of a radiofrequency pulse and it is critical for determining both signal intensity as well as image contrast), matrix dimension and the slice thickness. Kremer et al. (2013) used a protocol consisting of a T1 weighted spin echo sequence (TR of 380 ms, TE of 15 ms, flip angle 90), a field of view of 461 × 461 mm with an image matrix of 256 × 256 pixels, and a transversal slice thickness of 15 mm with a distance factor of 0.25. For a T1-weighted sequence with a TR of 300 ms and a TE of 17 ms, the fat tissue pixels have rather high signal intensities, whereas the non-fat pixels have lower signal intensities (Scholz et al., 2015). However, this pattern differs for cold objects (Monziols et al., 2006). As in CT, the temperature of the object is important; a cold carcass and a live body will not present the same signal intensities, even for the same animal.

Third step. Image analysis procedure

Image analysis can be performed with varying degrees of automation, and an important issue is the software used. Each device typically includes its own software, but researchers usually require more information than that generally provided. Software used in published works include Visual Pork (Bardera et al., 2012), ATAR (Animal Tomogram Analysis Routines)-STAR (Lambe et al., 2013), Osirix (Rosset et al., 2004), Dicom Works 1.3.5, and Lunar 4.7e (Kremer et al., 2013). Images can be analysed in three different ways: using phenotypic measurements, such as linear measurements, areas or volumes; using segmentation based on the application of algorithms to classify each voxel according to its density or signal intensity; or using the volume distribution by HU value or signal intensity.

Phenotypic measurements of specific regions such as the 3rd-4th last rib or P2 (fat thickness measured at the midline at the level of the head of the last rib) in livestock animals have been studied extensively (Font-i-Furnols & Gispert, 2009; Engel et al., 2012) for three main reasons. First, these measurements in live pigs are good predictors of carcass composition, that is, the measurements obtained from the image are related to the lean content of the carcass, and the scientific community uses these measurements for prediction. Second, the meat industry uses these measurements in carcasses to estimate the lean meat content. Third, measuring the same region in live pigs or carcasses allows the results of different experiments to be compared.

Segmentation uses the differences between values or colours in grey-scale to classify tissues as lean, fat and bone. However, segmentation demands special attention when using measurements obtained from pixels or voxels because there is not an agreed standard for segmentation based on HU values or signal intensity and segmentation depends on the specific equipment, its calibration and researcher preference. Differences in CT protocols may lead to variations of HU values of up to 20% (Scholz et al., 2015).

Image segmentation can be divided into different approaches. Thresholding is the method used in the majority of experiments involving CT, MRI and live animals. Thresholding segmentation is based on assumptions of specific mass attenuation coefficients for different body or carcass tissues. The histogram shape-based method analyses the peaks, valleys and curvatures of the smoothed histogram. Histograms have been used and studied extensively in CT of growing pigs. Font-i-Furnols et al. (2015) used histograms to segment fat, lean and bone tissue in live pig images using the volumes of fat, lean and bones obtained by the sum of voxels distributed with values of HU between -149 and 0, 1 and 150, and 151 and 1400, respectively. Chang et al. (2011) reported HU values between -20 and -200 for visceral and subcutaneous fat in minipigs, and Gjerlaug-Enger et al. (2012) distinguished fat, lean and bones based on HU values of -200 to 0, 0 to 200 and greater than 200, respectively. Image segmentation is the last step if the imaging technique provides sufficient information to obtain the parameters of interest. However, external factors such as environmental temperature during CT scanning or the animal’s internal temperature can affect the tissue density and, consequently, the values obtained. Variations of temperature and differences in the acquisition parameters of each device underlie the lack of global segmentation for all devices. According to Scholz et al. (2015), differences in the calculation of CT densities for lean meat result in different lean meat weights for similar lean meat volumes, complicating the harmonisation of acquisition parameters among different countries or among various CT scanners.

Another method of image analysis is the development of prediction equations using the distribution of pixels (voxels) values as predictors, with or without additional linear or area measures obtained directly from images (Carabús et al., 2015b; Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015). In this case, a fourth step is needed as explained below.

Image analysis procedure for VIA. External linear measurements, perimeters and areas can be obtained
from a 2D image, and reconstruction is feasible, including volume measurements, when an extra image is added. However, most of the information obtained is related to shape (White et al., 2004; Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005) and behaviour and evaluation of gait analysis (Kongsro, 2013). No internal images are obtained.

**Image analysis procedure for US.** The US can provide areas, perimeters and linear measurements in addition to intramuscular fat content. The technician uses the machine to measure the area of the loin eye, its depth and how much fat is deposited over the loin eye. Different locations and measurements have been reported (Table 2). McKeith et al. (2010) studied loin muscle area, loin muscle depth, and backfat depth at the 10th rib and last rib from commercial finishing pigs.

**Image analysis procedure for DXA, CT and MRI.** Linear measurements, perimeters and areas are obtained by these three devices. The volumes at any point and between any points can also be obtained by MRI and CT. Although DXA generally produces 2D images, 3D reconstruction images are also possible (Humbert et al., 2012); however, even though the three devices allow the same types of measurements, the image resolution differs among the devices and depends on the tissue analysed, its density and the target. Thus, DXA is more specific for dense tissues with low hydration, such as bones. The CT has adequate resolution

### Table 2. Measures obtained at several positions using non-invasive techniques in live pigs.

| Device | Number of images | Width (mm) | Measurement in each image | Position | Source |
|--------|------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------|--------|
| CT     | 20-25           | 50         | Areas of fat              | From the femur to the first vertical vertebra | Kolstad, 2001 |
|        |                  |            | Areas of lean             |                      | Lambe et al., 2013 |
|        |                  |            | Non-fat visceral components |                      |                    |
|        |                  |            | Areas of bone             |                      |                    |
|        |                  |            | Areas deposited within tissues |                  |                    |
| CT     | 10               | 5          | Volumes / Histograms      | From the last rib to coronal direction | Kongsro & Gjerlaug-Enger, 2013 |
| CT     | Whole body       | 7 and 10   | Volumes                   |                      | Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015 |
| CT     | Whole body       | 8          | Areas                     |                      | Lambe et al., 2013 |
|        |                  |            | Fat density               |                      |                    |
|        |                  |            | Muscle density            |                      |                    |
|        |                  |            | Bone density              |                      |                    |
| MRI    | 33-52 (depending on animal weight) | 16 or 32   | Volume of fat             | From parotid gland to the rind of the ham | Mohrmann et al., 2006 |
|        | 4 repetitions at the same point | 15         | Volume of lean            |                      | Kremer et al., 2013 |
| US     | 3                |            | Loin eye area             | 13th and 14th ribs   | McKeith et al., 2010 |
| US     | 1                |            | Fat area from the previous measurement |                      | Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005 |
| DXA    | 2D all over the body | 57.6       | Soft lean tissue mass     | Front leg / thoracic region | Mitchell et al., 2002 |
|        |                  |            | Soft lean tissue mass percentage |                      |                    |
|        |                  |            | Fat tissue mass           | Abdominal region     |                    |
|        |                  |            | Fat tissue mass percentage | Back leg region      |                    |
| DXA    | 14               | 57.6       | Fat tissue mass percentage |                      | Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005 |
|        |                  |            | Lean tissue mass percentage |                      |                    |
| VIA     | 1                |            | Linear measures           | Above view of all of the animal but the head | Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005 |
|        |                  |            | Areas measures            |                      |                    |
|        |                  |            | Body length               |                      |                    |

CT: Computed tomography; DXA: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; US: Ultrasounds; VIA: Visual image analysis by monitoring; 2D: Two dimensions; P2: Fat thickness measured at the midline at the level of the head of the last rib
for dense and medium-dense tissues. While MRI does not differ substantially from CT, MRI has better resolution and provides detailed results for soft tissues (Szabó et al., 2009). Table 2 presents examples of measures obtained using the different technologies.

**Fourth step: Predictions**

Prediction is the last step (not always necessary) and transforms the data from the image analysis into variables of interest for the pig sector (kilograms of fat, lean meat percentage, etc.) by applying previously developed prediction equations. Accurate precision of the prediction is important to obtain reliable results. Thus, the technique used must be well calibrated and previous calibration or validation using dissections is occasionally necessary. Predictions are acquired from different sources, including the measurements obtained from the devices (backfat, loin muscle area, volumes, segmentation, etc.) and external data such as body weight, genotype, sex, diet, health status, and farm density (Lambe et al., 2013; Carabús et al., 2015b). To obtain a reasonable prediction, the prediction equation must be accurate, as indicated by a high coefficient of determination \( R^2 \) and a low error (root mean square error- RMSE). Examples of predictions obtained from pig image analysis for the carcass characteristics of live pigs and their accuracy are presented in Table 3.

**Utility of these technologies for livestock animals**

Non-invasive technologies have a number of applications for research, industry and both purposes: breeding and selection: effect of genetic and sex type; nutrition: effect of diet; health: veterinary diagnostic; medicine: animal as a model for human research; slaughter plant: carcass and cuts composition; processing plants: cutting optimisation and cuts composition (virtual butcher).

This paper primarily provides an overview of the first two applications.

**Effect of genetic type evaluated by image analysis**

At slaughter weight, carcass characteristics differ depending on genetics (Gispert et al., 2007). Kolstad et al. (1996) compared Landrace and Duroc growing pigs fed at maintenance. Landrace pigs contained more internal fat (2.28 vs 2.20 kg) and less inter/intramuscular fat (1.90 vs 2.26 kg) at the start of the maintenance feeding period than the Duroc pigs. Margeta et al. (2007) used MRI to study the influence of malignant hyperthermia syndrome (MHS)-genotype and feeding regime on the growth and development of muscle and fatty tissue in the whole body as well as in hams of hybrid pigs and concluded that different feeding regimes and MHS genetic statuses of pigs do not significantly influence the growth of muscle and fatty tissue in hams. The stage of maturity is a primary reason for reported genotype-dependent differences in carcass composition, and the effects are more pronounced when pigs of different mature weights are compared at the same weight than at the same age. There is some evidence that breeds differ in the relative growth rates of tissue in discrete anatomical regions, independent of degree of maturity (Fortin et al., 1987). Information on sow lines carrying genes for prolificacy (Fisher et al., 2003) is very valuable for breeding companies. Gjerlaug-Enger et al. (2012) used CT to calculate genetic variables for the growth rate of muscle, carcass fat, bone and non-carcass tissue from birth to 100-kg live weight of Landrace and Duroc genotype pigs. Mitchell et al. (2002) used DXA to determine the feasibility of predicting total body composition of live pigs of three different genotypes based on a single cross-sectional measurement. Carabús et al. (2011) used CT to study the phenotypic characteristics of three different genotypes at 30, 70, 100 and 120 kg. The Pietrain cross type exhibited a greater amount of ham, which is useful information for companies that use Pietrain pigs for their lean potential. As an example of an application not involving meat, Kongsro (2013) applied CT in live pigs to diagnose osteochondrosis, its heritability and genetic correlations to weight gain in specific age intervals, useful information for breeding companies. Ley (2013) declared CT “part of the routine genetic selection programs in modern times”. Modern CT permits the acquisition of more than 1100 slices per live pig in less than 1 min (Gjerlaug-Enger et al., 2012). Accordingly, testing 24 boars per day is a routine application at Topigs-Norsvin facilities in Norway. The information from the 1100 slices per potential breeding boar is processed to determine body composition phenotypes such as lean meat, fat, bone, primal cuts, live and carcass weight (Scholz et al., 2015).

**Evaluation of the effect of sex by image analysis**

Giles et al. (2008) used CT to study the differential growth and development of pigs and determined that differences in the weights of body components by sex were minimal at the starting 30 kg target BW but increased with increasing target BW (up to 150 kg).
Doeschl-Wilson et al. (2005) used VIA to evaluate the relationship between the body dimensions of live pigs and their carcass composition by sex and identified significant differences in the regression results between boars and gilts. Mitchell et al. (2001) used MRI in live pigs, including females and entire males. Four different experiments were performed, with the main objective of predicting carcass composition. The results of the different experiments were compared to identify the most accurate prediction of fat and lean content obtained by analysing the fat and muscle values of a specified number of slices within the ham and loin regions.

European interest in animal welfare and the prospect of legislation in several countries limiting the current practice of surgical castration without anaesthesia have encouraged the swine industry to reconsider its traditional approach to the control of boar taint and inves-

### Table 3. Coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of body composition characteristics using measurements obtained with non-invasive techniques in live pigs as predictors.

| Device | Dependent variable | Independent variable used for prediction | $R^2$ | Source |
|--------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| CT     | Intramuscular fat  | Volume of squared region of interest from the loin region | 0.53  | Kongsro & Gjerlaug-Enger, 2013 |
| CT     | Lean meat %        | Volume of lean / Total volume + Ham perimeter + Ham superior + Subcutaneous fat + Loin superior subcutaneous fat thickness + Loin lateral subcutaneous fat thickness + Diagonal muscle thickness + Loin area | >0.95 | Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015 |
| CT     | Ham weight         | Total volume + Loin superior subcutaneous fat | >0.95 | Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015 |
| CT     | Fat in the ham     | Volume of fat + Loin superior subcutaneous fat + Fat area of the ham | >0.95 | Font-i-Furnols et al., 2015 |
| CT     | Fat in the 4 main cuts | Volume of fat + Genotype + Sex | 0.99  | Carabús et al., 2015b |
| CT     | Lean in the 4 main cuts + tenderloin | Volume of lean + Genotype + Sex | 0.99  | Carabús et al., 2015b |
| CT     | Carcass weight     | Loin perimeter + BW + Genotype + Sex | 0.99  | Carabús et al., 2015b |
| CT     | 4 primal cuts weight | Loin area + BW + Genotype + Sex | 0.99  | Carabús et al., 2015b |
| CT     | % of carcass fat   | BW + Fat density + Different areas | 0.92  | Lambe et al., 2013 |
| MRI    | Fat weight         | Volume of backfat | 0.95  | Mitchell et al., 2002 |
| MRI    | Liver weight       | Volume of liver | 0.90  | Mitchell et al., 2002 |
| DXA    | Fat weight         | Equivalent measurements for fat from DXA | 0.99  | Pomar & Rivest, 1996 |
| DXA    | Protein weight     | Equivalent measurements for protein from DXA | 0.99  | Pomar & Rivest, 1996 |
| US     | Fat-free lean      | Backfat at the last rib + Loin muscle area + BW | 0.82  | McKeith et al., 2010 |
| US     | Intramuscular fat at the 10th rib | Backfat linear measurement + Loin muscle area + Loin muscle depth + BW | 0.49  | McKeith et al., 2010 |
| US     | Intramuscular fat at the last rib | Backfat linear measurement + Loin muscle area + Loin muscle depth + BW | 0.52  | McKeith et al., 2010 |
| VIA    | Carcass weight     | VIA shape | 0.54  | Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005 |
| VIA    | Carcass weight     | VIA shape + BW | 0.62  | Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005 |
| VIA + US | Carcass weight   | VIA shape + Backfat linear measurement | 0.66  | Doeschl-Wilson et al., 2005 |

CT: Computed tomography; DXA: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; US: Ultrasounds; VIA: Visual image analysis by monitoring; BW: Body weight
tigate alternatives (Gispert et al., 2010). One alternative is the immunocastration vaccine, and thus the immunocastrated male must be considered as another sexual condition to be studied. Regarding immunocastration, Carabús et al. (2015b) used CT to evaluate growing pigs of different sexes, including females, entire males, castrated males and immunocastrated males, and different live weights. Females exhibited significantly greater loin width than entire males, immunocastrated males and castrated males (211.7 mm vs. 209.63 mm vs. 203.2 mm and 201.7 mm). Castrated males presented greater subcutaneous fat of the loin and ham compared to the others sexes. Castrated males and entire males exhibited different subcutaneous lateral ham fat growth rates, whereas the growth rates of females were similar to those of castrated and immunocastrated males. Immunocastrated males were significantly leaner than castrated males.

**Nutrition: Evaluation of the effect of diet by image analysis**

Meat composition, growth rate and feed conversion are directly related to dietary composition. Lambe et al. (2013) studied the effects of feeding pig diets with different protein and amino acid levels on compositional changes during the growing-finishing period (40-115 kg) using CT scanning (at 60, 85 and 115 kg live weight). Different factors such as genotype, sex or feeding regime are typically studied together to optimise the use and potential of these non-invasive technologies. Kusec et al. (2007) used MRI to study the effect of the MHS (malignant hyperthermia syndrome) gene on intensive and restrictive feeding and observed significantly higher feed intake, daily gain and feed conversion ratio in pigs maintained under intensive feeding conditions compared with restrictive feeding regime. The growth of muscle tissue in pigs was not influenced by the feeding regime. The intensive feeding was designed to ensure optimal or possibly enhanced muscle growth capacity of hybrid pigs, but the study indicated that the restricted feeding regime supported the muscle growth just as effectively, which is very valuable information for nutrition companies. Non-invasive technologies have been used more often as a tool in live pigs for breeding and selection applications than for the study of diet itself. Some of these studies are presented in Table 4.

The application of non-invasive techniques in farming pigs is useful and adequate to study animal growth, in other words, to model their growth, because the same animal can be evaluated several times during its growth. Non-invasive techniques enable growth to be modelled depending on the genotype, sex, diet and many other factors. Modelling the growth of a certain group of pigs from birth to death and studying the same animals in each period is one of the best applications of modelling functions to predict BW, mature BW, fat weight, muscle weight, etc. at a certain future weight or to study the deposition speed of cuts and tissues, as suggested by the allometric function.

Several functions are available for the description of growth, including Brody’s function, the logistic function, the allometric function, the Gompertz function, the von Bertalanffy function, and the four-parameter Richards function, which combines aspects of all of the above growth functions into a single function. Growth functions are not the topic of the present paper but certainly exploit many of the advantages of non-invasive techniques.

In summary, US, VIA, MRI, DXA and CT are the most popular techniques for image acquisition in livestock animal evaluation. Whereas VIA is usually used to capture external attributes, MRI, US, CT, and DXA can be used to inspect internal structure. However, the accuracy of the images and the predictions obtained differ among techniques. Predictions with the highest resolution are generated from CT and MRI, followed by DXA, VIA and US. The CT presents superb results for the estimation of the amount of fat and lean mass, but its accuracy to predict IMF is not acceptable and requires improvement. The US is not sufficiently accurate in estimating the body composition of pigs if highly precise information is needed for research purposes. However, the information obtained can be used for performance testing in the field or to successfully classify pigs before slaughter. For livestock animals, portable devices must also be considered.

Moreover, the time required for image acquisition differs greatly among devices, with MRI the slowest. Combining a less-expensive device such as US or VIA as a first selector with a second device such as CT or MRI to obtain 3D images in the selected animals could minimise the sample studied and, consequently, reduce the cost (Scholz & Baulain, 2009). Moreover, according to Scholz et al. (2015), the combination of phenotypic data obtained from non-invasive techniques with genome data could provide deeper information and knowledge of the growth and body composition of farm animals (Aasmundstad et al., 2013).

As conclusions, image analysis has applications in the livestock field. However, it is important to find, for each trait of interest the most appropriate technology in terms of accuracy, cost, efficiency and other requirements. Some devices are expensive, and to satisfy the demand for cost-effective techniques, inexpensive multipurpose image processing systems that yield
higher-accuracy predictions must be developed. Portable or mobile devices make technology feasible for farming conditions and easier to obtain income by renting the device, particularly if the device is expensive. Improving the processing speed of the scan or image analysis by technicians and integrating specific image processing algorithms would improve the value of the technology. Cheaper and faster solutions have enabled image processing in live animals to assume and maintain an important role.
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