Hubble Space Telescope search for the transit of the Earth-mass exoplanet α Centauri B b
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ABSTRACT

Results from exoplanet surveys indicate that small planets (super-Earth size and below) are abundant in our Galaxy. However, little is known about their interiors and atmospheres. There is therefore a need to find small planets transiting bright stars, which would enable a detailed characterization of this population of objects. We present the results of a search for the transit of the Earth-mass exoplanet α Centauri B b with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). We observed α Centauri B twice in 2013 and 2014 for a total of 40 h. We achieve a precision of 115 ppm per 6-s exposure time in a highly saturated regime, which is found to be consistent across HST orbits. We rule out the transiting nature of α Centauri B b with the orbital parameters published in the literature at 96.6 per cent confidence. We find in our data a single transit-like event that could be associated with another Earth-sized planet in the system, on a longer period orbit. Our programme demonstrates the ability of HST to obtain consistent, high-precision photometry of saturated stars over 26 h of continuous observations.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Ground- and space-based observations have been providing a wealth of data on exoplanets covering a wide parameter regime. On one hand, dozens of detected hot Jupiters with high-SNR observations have expanded our knowledge of giant, irradiated exoplanets (e.g. Seager & Deming 2010; Heng & Showman 2014). On the other hand lie the smaller, lower mass objects, of which terrestrial planets are a subset and about which very little is known. Both Kepler (Batalha et al. 2013) and radial-velocity (RV) surveys (Howard et al. 2010; Mayor et al. 2011) have been detecting an emerging population of these small, possibly terrestrial planets that appear to be ubiquitous. Very little is known about the interiors of small planets due to compositional degeneracies and their atmospheric properties are challenging to probe. The search for and characterization of terrestrial planets today represent the cutting edge of the exoplanet field. The harvest of results obtained on transiting giant planets shows the pathway to improve our knowledge of small planets: we have to find terrestrial exoplanets that transit bright stars, for which both masses and radii can be precisely measured. Despite the large number of Earth-sized candidates found by Kepler, most of their host stars are too faint to precisely constrain the planetary masses using RV techniques. For most of the planets, we know only their sizes, not their masses or densities. However, RV surveys target close, bright stars. Thus, precisely monitoring the expected transit window of
RV discovered planets (Gillon et al. 2011) could potentially result in the detection of a transit, the derivation of the planetary radius and advanced follow-up techniques. Successful cases are, among others, GJ 436 b (Gillon et al. 2007), 55 Cnc e (Demory et al. 2011; Winn et al. 2011) and HD 97658 b (Dragomir et al. 2014).

The recent discovery of α Cen B b with the HARPS spectrograph (Dumusque et al. 2012) is a significant achievement towards the discovery of an Earth twin, by demonstrating the ability of the RV technique to detect Earth-mass companions. With a Doppler semi-amplitude of only 0.51 ± 0.04 m s⁻¹, α Cen B b is the lowest RV-amplitude planet found as of today. Four years of data and a careful analysis were necessary for the authors to characterize the stellar oscillation modes, rotational-induced and long-term activity as well as the binary orbital motion. These critical steps revealed the planetary signal and underlined the need of simultaneously fitting the stellar and planetary signals to push the precision of RV surveys (e.g. Boisse et al. 2010). The complexity of the analysis, coupled to the weak planetary signal could be seen as the main challenge of this major discovery (Hatzes 2013), calling for an independent confirmation. The motivation of the present study was to carry out a photometric confirmation of this planet with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). With a geometric probability of 9.5 per cent, the detection of a transit of α Cen B b would be first and foremost a clear confirmation that the planet is real, and would represent an opportunity to precisely constrain the density of an Earth-mass planet.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We first observed α Cen B (V = 1.33, B − V = 0.90, K1 IV) quasi-continuously for 16 orbits (26 h) on 2013 July 7–8 with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS). This long monitoring sequence allowed us to cover ~96 per cent of the transit window allowed by the RV orbital solution of Dumusque et al. (2012).

The brighter α Cen A (V = −0.01, B − V = 0.69, G2 V) was 4.5 arcsec away from the B component in 2013 July. The small apparent separation and respective brightnesses of both components of the α Cen system required tailored observational settings. In particular, the stellar brightness forced us to saturate the exposures; not saturating them would have meant setting short exposure times commensurable with the detector shutter closing time, yielding high uncertainties on the actual values of the exposure time (shutter-timing jitter). While previous studies found that saturated spectra do not preclude achieving high signal-to-noise ratio photometry on bright stars (Gilliland 1999; Gilliland, Goudfrooij & Kimble 1999), saturating α Cen B implies that α Cen A would saturate even more, making it impossible to have both stars in the spectrograph slit without having their blending charges overlapping. To avoid this situation, we positioned the spectrograph slit on α Cen B and requested a range of telescope roll angles such as α Cen A would be masked out and aligned in the dispersion direction. This configuration indeed minimises any contamination by α Cen A and the potential impact of its 45-deg diffraction spikes. HST, however, does not actually roll on request but presents varying roll angle as a function of time. In this case, it was possible to schedule observations of α Cen B in the HST continuous viewing zone (free of Earth occultations) while committing with the selected range of telescope roll angles. The target acquisition was first performed on the brighter α Cen A before offsetting to α Cen B. The target was then carefully centred in the 52 arcsec × 0.05 arcsec long slit. A wider slit (52 arcsec × 2 arcsec) was finally used to minimize slit losses for science exposures. We show for illustration purposes one spectrum of our programme in Fig. 1.

We then re-observed α Cen B for nine orbits on 2014 July 27–28 to confirm a transit-shaped event detected in the 2013 July data set. We provide details about our data reduction and analysis in the following section.

2.1 2013 July data set

We observed α Cen B using STIS/CCD with the G750M grating and an exposure time of 6 s. We use the setting 6094, which has a wavelength domain ranging from 5812 to 6380 Å and a resolution of ~5500.

2.1.1 Data reduction

The starting point of our data reduction consists of 11 flat-fielded science files (FLT) that are the output of the STIS calibration pipeline CALSTIS. These data are available on MAST under programme ID 13180. Each FLT file is a FITS data cube that contains a 2D spectrum for each exposure (2087 in total). All spectra are 1024 pixels in the dispersion direction and 300 pixels in the cross-dispersion direction. Since α Cen B saturates the detector in the adopted 6-s exposure time, this larger acquisition window allowed us to integrate those columns that show bleeding up to ~60 pixels along the cross-dispersion axis. We identify and discard cosmic ray hits and bad pixels on each calibrated frame. The HJD mid-exposure time is obtained from the file headers and converted to BJD

\[ \text{BJD}_{\text{TDB}} \]

using existing routines (Eastman, Siverd & Gaudi 2010). We use custom-built procedures to perform two one-dimensional spectral extractions using rectangular apertures with 120 and 180 pixels in the cross-dispersion axis centred on the spectrum. We perform the extraction with two different apertures initially to ensure that the photometry is insensitive to the aperture size. We apply these extractions to the 11 FLT data cubes. We hold both the aperture size and position fixed for all spectral frames. We finally sum the spectra to build a high SNR white light curve for the photometric analysis. We collect ~6 × 10⁶ e⁻ per 6-s exposure time. We find no evidence of contamination from the A component. Visual inspection of the raw photometric time series shows an ~0.4 per cent increase in flux for the first 0.6 d combined to a sinusoidal signal that matches HST’s orbital period. The raw photometry is shown in Fig. 2.

Tracking the spectral shifts on the detector is rendered difficult because of the level of saturation. To monitor displacements in the dispersion direction, we locate and fit the Na doublet lines 40 pixels from the spectral intensity centreline in the cross-dispersion direction to avoid performing the fitting in the saturated region. In the cross-dispersion direction, a section of the spectrum (at a given wavelength) can be approximated by a boxcar function, which is 1 for saturated pixels and 0 elsewhere, that we fit in seven locations at a time.
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Examination of the background time series reveals a clear periodicity at HST’s orbital period as expected, that accounts for most of the measured background rms at the 1 per cent level. The residual rms for the background time series is 0.02 per cent. We assess the incidence of the background on the time series by subtracting its median value from each pixel in the aperture window. We find no effect on the photometry.

In the next step we focus on the impact of the flat-field correction on the photometric time series. Calibrated 2D spectra available on MAST1 have been reduced using the X6417097O-PFL.FITS

1 http://mast.stsci.edu

along the dispersion direction of the spectrum. We use these multiple determinations to estimate an average value for the spectrum centre for each frame. We respectively detect drifts of 0.33 pixels rms in the dispersion direction and 0.11 pixels rms in the cross-dispersion direction. We show in Figs 3 and 4 the spectral shifts in both directions during the entire visit.

We measure the median background level in two $10 \times 1022$ pixel regions located at the subarray top edge and in another area of the same size at the bottom edge of the spectrum. Time series extracted from both regions have a slightly different shape but their Fourier spectra have the same characteristic frequencies. We find a background level of about $54 \ \text{e}^{-}$ pixel$^{-1}$ during the course of our observations, which is likely due to scattered light from $\alpha$ Cen B.

Figure 2. Raw HST/STIS photometry. The single-baseline best-fitting MCMC model is superimposed in red. The dotted lines indicate the location and width of the transit pattern described in Section 2.1.2.

Figure 3. Spectral shifts in $X$. Displacement of the spectrum in the dispersion direction as calibrated using the sodium doublet. The dotted lines indicate the location and width of the transit pattern described in Section 2.1.2.

Figure 4. Spectral shifts in $Y$. Displacement of the spectrum in the cross-dispersion direction. The dotted lines indicate the location and width of the transit pattern described in Section 2.1.2.
pixel-to-pixel flat file, whose rms across the full frame is \( \sim 1 \) per cent. As previously discussed (Gilliland 1999), the STIS CCD has a very flat response across its wavelength range. The flat-field noise per pixel drift is estimated by dividing its rms by the square root of the total number of pixels over which the incoming flux is spread on the detector. The stellar flux is spread over \( \sim 60 \) pixels in the cross-dispersion direction and 1022 pixels in the dispersion axis (the two extreme columns are trimmed). The noise induced by the imperfect pixel-to-pixel flat is thus \( \sim 38 \) ppm per pixel shift, which is below the measured individual errors of 115 ppm per 6-s exposure. As a second check, we also recalibrate the data without the flat-field correction, which results in photometric time series that are indistinguishable from the ones including the pixel-to-pixel sensitivity correction.

We measure the overscan level in a fixed 12 \( \times \) 120 pixel region located at the extreme left of the RAW files (as both overscan regions are trimmed during the 2D spectral calibration). Overscan time series exhibit an asymptotic decrease reaching a stable level 0.6 d after the start of the observations. This trend is combined with a higher frequency variation matching HST’s orbital phase 96-min duration.

For each spectrum we record the timestamp, normalized flux, photometric error, spectral shift in the dispersion and cross-dispersion directions, median background and overscan values as well as HST’s orbital phase at which each spectrum has been acquired.

2.1.2 Photometric analysis

We use the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm implementation already presented in the literature (e.g. Gillon et al. 2012). Inputs to the MCMC are the photometric time series obtained during the data reduction described above. Photometric baseline model coefficients used for detrending are determined at each step of the MCMC procedure using a singular value decomposition method (Press et al. 1992). The resulting coefficients are then used to correct the raw photometric light curves.

The baseline model for the full, 26-h long time series (see Fig. 2) consists of a second-order logarithmic ramp (e.g. Knutson et al. 2008; Demory et al. 2011), combined with a linear function of time and a fourth-order polynomial of HST’s orbital phase. The choice of the function best fitting the HST orbital phase effect, usually attributed to telescope breathing, is commonly based on half HST orbits (e.g. Sing et al. 2009; Huitson et al. 2012; Evans et al. 2013) because of the Earth occultations. Here, we are able to establish this function using the whole HST orbit and confirm that it is well approximated by a fourth-order polynomial. The logarithmic ramp is necessary to reproduce the increase in flux seen by visual inspection of the time series.

This basic detrending reveals correlated structures in the photometry suggesting an imperfect correction. It is indeed unlikely that 26 h of HST continuous observations can be accurately modelled with a single baseline model (constrained by fixed coefficients) as simple as the one described above (see Fig. 5). Since we do not know a priori if a transit exists in the data and what its location could be, we analyse the time series by separating them in different segments spanning four to eight orbits, similar to other HST exoplanet programmes. All segments are analysed in the same MCMC fit, with their own baseline model coefficients, but retaining the same functional form (orbital phase dependent polynomial and linear trend).

We first split our photometric time series into two segments. The logarithmic ramp term is needed for the first segment only. We then split our data into three segments. Since the ramp seen on the whole photometry affects the first 0.6 d of our data, the baseline models for the first two segments include a logarithmic ramp model. The time series are finally split into four segments. For this four-segment MCMC fit, only the first two files have a baseline including a ramp model. The results for these three MCMC analyses are shown in Figs 6–8. We find that splitting the photometry in segments significantly decreases the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC; Schwarz 1978) as compared to a unique fit to the whole photometry, despite the significant increase in free parameters. The behaviour of HST/STIS combined systematics slightly evolve from one orbit to another, making it difficult to model 16 orbits with a unique set of baseline coefficients. As expected, most structures found in the full photometry fit have vanished, but one, centred on \( T_0 = 2456482.195 \) BJ with an \( \sim 0.15 \)-d duration (first-to-fourth contact). This transit-like structure has a location, depth and duration that remains consistent whether the data are unsegmented or split in two, three or four segments (see Figs 5–8). We notice another structure in the time series with a \( 0.2 \sigma – 3 \sigma \) significance (depending on the number of segments) and located at \( T_0 = 2456481.9 \) BJ. However, we find the duration and depth of this structure to change significantly with the baseline model and the number of segments used in the analysis, which suggests an instrumental origin. For comparison purposes, the transit-like pattern centred on \( T_0 = 2456482.195 \) BJ is detected at the 7.5\( \sigma \) level. We show in Table 1 the MCMC fit results obtained for each analysis (unsegmented and segmented by two, three and four parts).

We then investigate whether the inclusion of ancillary parameters measured during the data reduction helps in improving the fit. We find that including the median overscan value as a linear coefficient in the baseline function improves the BIC by 3 per cent. The inclusion of linear combinations of the spectrum drifts in the dispersion and cross-dispersion directions increases the BIC by...
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Figure 6. Detrended photometry – two segments. Data are binned per 45 min. The best-fitting model is superimposed in red.

Figure 7. Detrended photometry – three segments. Data are binned per 45 min. The best-fitting model is superimposed in red.

Figure 8. Detrended photometry – four segments. Data are binned per 45 min. The best-fitting model is superimposed in red.

Table 1. Transit candidate fit results. MCMC fit results obtained for each analysis (unsegmented and segmented by two, three and four parts). Values are the median of the posterior distributions for each perturbed parameter indicated on the left-hand column, along with the 1σ credible intervals. BIC values are calculated for the combined baseline+transit model.

|                      | Unsegmented | Two-segment | Three-segment | Four-segment |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| Transit depth [ppm]  | 91.3±20     | 97.3±12     | 90.9±12       | 90.2±12      |
| Transit duration [days]| 0.14±0.29  | 0.15±0.16   | 0.15±0.017    | 0.15±0.007   |
| Transit $T_0$ [HJD]  | 6482.19±0.008 | 6482.196±0.005 | 6482.197±0.004 | 6482.195±0.003 |
| BIC                  | 1995        | 1923        | 1975          | 1975         |

Our final baseline model therefore consists of HST’s orbital phase’s fourth-order polynomial, a time- and overscan-dependent linear trends. Adding higher-order terms to these functions does not improve the BIC.

We find a consistent exposure-to-exposure photometric precision of 110–120 ppm depending on the segment, significantly above the Poisson-limited precision of 20 ppm per exposure. The origin of this large discrepancy is not known but could be due to a larger than expected high-frequency instrumental noise due to shutter-timing jitter or could also have a contribution from stellar granulation or pulsations. We measure low contamination from correlated noise (Pont, Zucker & Queloz 2006) that we estimate between 22 and 34 per cent following Gillon et al. (2010) depending on the segment. Fig. 9 shows the actual decrease of the photometric rms with the time series bin size (black points). The theoretical photon noise is shown as a solid red line. We also indicate the expected decrease in Poisson noise normalized to the individual exposure time (in red dotted line). Despite the larger discrepancy between Poisson noise and our actual
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precision, Fig. 9 shows that this extra noise component has mostly a white behaviour that does not affect our science goal (transit detection). The improvement in precision is even accentuated for the larger bin sizes (60 min and more), meaning that a large part of the extra noise noticed at higher frequencies reduces significantly for larger time bins, which correspond to typical transit durations. We adjust our individual error bars taking into account these extra white and red noise components within the MCMC framework.

In the following, we focus on the observed transit structure centred on 2456482.2 BJD. The second half of the transit structure benefits from HST continuous monitoring with no interruptions due to the South Atlantic Anomaly, which enabled the continuous monitoring of the transit egress. The first half of the transit window suffers from regular interruptions, which prevented us from monitoring the ingress. We perform a series of fits with different functional forms of the baseline models, including orbital phase polynomial orders from 4 to 7, overscan polynomial orders from 0 to 5 as well as the removal of the linear trend. The resulting transit shape (depth and duration) is found to be consistent at the 1σ level in each case. The adopted baseline model (in the BIC sense) remains the same as the one used for the global fit described above. We find no correlation of the transit signature in the photometry with any ancillary parameter (spectrum position, background or overscan values measured during data reduction). A zoom of the transit pattern is shown in Fig. 10.

2.2 2014 July data set

The transit pattern detected in our 2013 July data set prompted us to request new observations to confirm the transit signal, based on the RV period of 3.2357 d (Dumusque et al. 2012) and the transit centre computed from our STIS 2013 July observations. Nine orbits of HST DD time (PID 13927) were awarded to re-observe α Cen B for 13.5 h from 11:30 UT on 2014 July 28 to 01:35 UT on 2014 July 29.

The data reduction and photometric analysis employed for this data set are identical to the 2013 July observations. The raw photometry is shown in Fig. 11. We find that the first orbit of this visit exhibits a pronounced trend, likely due to the telescope settling on a new attitude. We do not notice such behaviour for the 2013 July data set. We thus elect to discard the first 56 min (first orbit) of this visit. Ignoring the first orbit of a visit is a common procedure but is not found to be necessary for all STIS observations. The calibrated time series for this second visit are shown in Fig. 12. We measure an rms of 116 ppm and a red noise contribution of 20 per cent, in excellent agreement with the 2013 July data set.

![Figure 9](https://example.com/figure9.png)
Figure 9. rms of binned residuals versus bin-size. The first panel corresponds to the first segment, the fourth panel to the last segment. The theoretical photon noise is shown as a solid red line. The photometric rms is indicated versus the time series bin size (black points). The expected decrease in Poisson noise normalized to an individual exposure (6 s) is shown as a red dotted line.

![Figure 10](https://example.com/figure10.png)
Figure 10. Corrected HST/STIS light-curve centred on the transit signal. Data are binned per 45 min. Unbinned data points are shown in grey. Our best-fitting MCMC model is superimposed in red.
agreement with the first visit’s photometric properties, emphasizing the consistency of saturated spectroscopy with STIS.

We do not find any structure matching the transit pattern detected in the first data set, while the photometric precision achieved for these nine orbits would have enabled a clear detection of a 3.8-h-long, 100-ppm-deep transit-like signature in the data at more than the 5σ level.

3 NO TRANSIT FOR α CEN B B

In total, we observed α Cen B for almost 40 h in a consistent way with HST/STIS. Both the 2013 and 2014 July data sets exhibit low levels of correlated noise and a 6-s rms of ~115 ppm allowing us to confidently determine the a posteriori transit probability of the Earth-mass exoplanet α Cen B b. We combine in the following both the 2013 and 2014 photometric data sets in an MCMC framework with the aim of determining to which extent our data set rules out a transit of α Cen B b with the published parameters of Dumusque et al. (2012).

We include both the published RV data and the STIS photometry described above as input data to the MCMC fit. We model the RV following the appendix of Dumusque et al. (2012). We use the same functional form for the detrending model including the rotational activity, magnetic cycles and binary motion. The detrended RV data points are included in the MCMC fit and their errors include the model coefficient uncertainties. For the transit model, we compute the quadratic limb-darkening coefficients from a Kurucz stellar atmosphere model (Kurucz 1979). We first adopt a 5250K, log g=4.5 and [Fe/H]=0.2 model, which represents the closest match to α Cen B’s properties. We use the model output file to calculate the weighted averaged centre-to-limb intensity profile using STIS G750M’s 6094 setting wavelength coverage. We then fit the profile with a two-parameter quadratic limb-darkening law (Claret 2000). We repeat the same procedure for the six neighbouring stellar atmosphere models in the three-dimensional grid and use the uncertainties on α Cen B’s stellar properties to estimate individual errors on the limb-darkening parameters. We find the quadratic coefficients to be \( u_1 = 0.504 \pm 0.010 \) and \( u_2 = 0.236 \pm 0.008 \). The MCMC fit has the following jump parameters: the time of RV maximum \( T_0 \), the orbital period \( P \), the parameter \( K' = K \sqrt{1 - e^2} P^{1/3} \), where \( K \) is the RV semi-amplitude, the two parameters \( \sqrt{e} \cos \omega \) and \( \sqrt{e} \sin \omega \), the planet/star radius ratio \( R_p/R_\star \) and the impact parameter \( b \). We also add the linear combinations \( c_1 = 2u_1 + u_2 \) and \( c_2 = u_1 - 2u_2 \) (where \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are the quadratic coefficients determined above) as jump parameters to minimize the correlations of the resulting uncertainties (Holman et al. 2006). We impose Gaussian priors on the limb-darkening coefficients.

We note that neglecting the 2014 data set yields an orbital solution providing a satisfying fit to both the spectroscopic and photometric data, provided the orbit has a significant eccentricity \( e = 0.54 \) and a longitude of periastron \( \omega \sim 270 \) deg. This orbital configuration allows \( esin \omega \) to be significantly offset from 0, thus providing leverage to the fit in increasing the transit duration while leaving the transit \( T_0 \) almost unchanged. If this transit was caused by
$\alpha$ Cen b, the transit depth would translate to a planetary size of 0.92 ± 0.06 Earth radii, which, combined to our revised mass measurement of 1.01 ± 0.09 Earth masses, results in a density that is 30 per cent larger than the Earth, similar to that of Mercury (Hauck et al. 2013). Using specific dissipation function values of Goldreich & Soter (1966) to estimate circularisation time-scales, we estimate eccentricity damping times of less than 100 Myr for a planet on such a large eccentricity orbit, which is significantly shorter than the age of 5–6 Gyr of the $\alpha$ Cen system (Eggenberger et al. 2004). While not impossible, it is unlikely that the eccentricity of such a small planet would be maintained at eccentricities >0.5 as suggested by the transit signal duration. Combining both HST data sets rules out the link between the transit-like pattern seen in 2013 July and $\alpha$ Cen B b published orbital parameters. Note however that the 2014 data set probes the repeatability of the transit pattern within a 2σ window based on the published orbital period value.

Our MCMC analysis also allows us to compute the a posteriori transit probability of $\alpha$ Cen B b based on the parameters of Dumusque et al. (2012). In other words, we estimate to which level our combined HST data set discards the transit of $\alpha$ Cen B b as published. Using the published orbital parameters, the geometric a priori transit probability of $\alpha$ Cen B b assuming a circular orbit is 9.5 per cent. For the purpose of this analysis, we put a normal prior on the planetary radius $N(0.97, 0.1^2)$, which is motivated by the $\sim 1$ Earth mass of the planet. We also include the RV data and consider only circular orbits. We find that for 3.4 per cent of the MCMC accepted steps, a transiting configuration is found requiring a $T_0 \sim 2455281.55$ and $P \sim 3.2375$, at the edge of our observing window. These values have to be compared to the transit ephemerides of $T_0 = 2455281.24 \pm 0.17$ and $P = 3.2357 \pm 0.0008$ computed from the RV alone, prior to the HST observations. The a posteriori transit probability is thus 0.034 × 0.095 = 0.032 per cent. Our observations thus allows us to reduce the transit probability of $\alpha$ Cen B b by a factor 30.

### 4 POSSIBLE ORIGIN OF THE 2013 TRANSIT PATTERN

We explore in the following the possibility that the 2013 July transit pattern is due to stellar variability, instrumental systematics or cause by a background eclipsing binary (BEB). We do not find any temperature or HST orbital dependent parameter, nor X/Y spectral drifts to correlate with the transit pattern. The transit candidate duration of 3.8 h is 2.4 times longer than the HST orbital period, making the transit pattern unlikely to be attributable to HST instrumental systematics. As the detector is consistently saturated during all of our observations, we also find it unlikely that saturation is the origin of the transit signal. Another possible explanation could be stellar variability. However, the duration of the transit candidate (3.8-h) is not consistent with the stellar rotational period of 36.2 d (DeWarf, Datin & Guinan 2010), to enable a spot (or group of spots) to come in and out of view. In such a case, star spots would change the overall observed flux level and produce transit-shape signals, as is the case for stars having fast rotational periods (e.g. Harrison et al. 2012). We finally find no sign of light contamination from the A component, which we specifically avoided during the preparation of both 2013 and 2014 visits. Our data reduction and analysis is straightforward, including only basic detrending variables related to the HST orbital phase and spectrum position. We find the transit pattern to be robust to the detrending approaches we employ.

We then estimate the probability that the observed transit of 91 ppm is due to a BEB. We first estimate up to which magnitude a diluted BEB can cause this signal by applying

$$\delta_{\text{BEB}} = \delta_{\text{EB}} \cdot \frac{F_{\text{EB}}}{F_{\text{EB}} + F_{\text{Target}}} = \delta_{\text{EB}} \cdot 2.512^{\Delta_m},$$

whereby we express the flux ratio via the magnitude difference $\Delta_m$ between the BEB and the target star. We now allow all $\delta_{\text{EB}} \in [0, 1]$ and find that the target star with $V = 1.33$ can dilute BEBs of up to $V \leq 11.5$. Fainter BEBs cannot cause a deep enough signal, and only faint BEBs with significant transit depths or bright BEBs with grazing eclipses can cause the required depth. Secondary eclipses will further drastically reduce the fraction of reasonable systems. However, here we allow every scenario with $V \leq 11.5$ and thus significantly overestimate the total number of possible BEBs. Using the TRILEGAL (Girardi et al. 2005) galaxy model we find a distribution of around 1000 star systems with $V \leq 11.5$ in 1 deg$^2$ around the field of view, leading to 0.8 per cent probability to randomly have any of these in the 52 x 2 arcsec$^2$ slit. The probability to have any kind of detached EB among all star systems is roughly 1 per cent, shown by our simulations and confirmed by the results from Kepler (Slawson et al. 2011). Thereby, we again overestimate the risk of such BEBs, since triple and higher order systems additionally dilute any eclipse such that the magnitude limit for the BEB would be lower, leaving significantly less systems. The probability for the signal to be caused by a BEB is hence lower than 0.008 per cent.

A possible remaining explanation for the observed signal is thus a planetary transit whose orbital period is significantly different from $\alpha$ Cen B b’s, as published in the discovery paper. We use our HST transit detection to constrain the orbital period of this putative planet and the observing window where another transit would happen, which would confirm the repeatability of the signal. The host star, $\alpha$ Cen B, benefits from extensive characterization. Both the stellar mass ($M_\star = 0.934 \pm 0.006 M_\odot$) and radius ($R_\star = 0.862 \pm 0.005 R_\odot$) are known to a remarkable precision (Kervella et al. 2003). We thus know the stellar density, which can also be constrained by the transit shape (e.g. Seager & Mallén-Ornelas 2003, assuming a circular orbit.). Combining our knowledge from the host star and the 2013 transit shape allows us to constrain the orbital period, impact parameter and eccentricity, albeit in a degenerate way. We employ our MCMC framework described above to explore what orbital periods/eccentricities/impact parameters are allowed by the HST 2013 transit light-curve alone. We find that the STIS photometry yields an orbital period 2σ upper limit of 20.4 d (the median of the posterior being 12.4 d), with a modest impact parameter 0.0–0.3 and an eccentricity 2σ upper limit of 0.24.

The transit pattern detected in our HST/STIS data motivates further photometric follow-up observations of $\alpha$ Cen B to confirm its repeatability. It is unlikely that the mass of an Earth-sized planet orbiting $\alpha$ Cen B with a significantly longer orbital period than $\alpha$ Cen B b could be constrained in the near future. Since the RV semi-amplitude decreases with $1/P^{1/3}$, an Earth-mass planet on a e.g. 15-d orbit would yield an RV amplitude of 0.3 m s$^{-1}$, compared to $K=0.5$ m s$^{-1}$ in the case of the 3.24-d $\alpha$ Cen Bb. The photometric follow-up is equally complicated. Ground-based facilities do not have the ability to (1) continuously monitor the two- to three-week window and (2) to reach the 30 ppm per 3.8 h precision necessary to detect a 90-ppm transit (assuming an Earth-like interior) at the 3σ level. The MOST satellite (Walker et al. 2003) performed several observations of bright stars. Considering the $\alpha$ Cen system, the transit signature would be diluted by a factor of 3, in the combined light
of the close A and B components, thus rendering its confirmation extremely difficult. α Cen B’s flux in Spitzer’s Infrared Array Camera channels 1 and 2 is ~371 and ~220 Jy, respectively, more than 10 times IRAC’s saturation levels. Our observing strategy and data reduction technique demonstrates that HST/STIS is able to detect 100-ppm transits at 5σ or more for stars that exceed the saturation level of the detector by a factor 5. The characterization of small exoplanets orbiting stars as bright as α Cen B will continue to pose significant challenges in the future unless next generation of telescopes have the ability to employ successful observing strategies for highly saturated stars.
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