The Salix SmSPR1 Involved in Light-Regulated Cell Expansion by Modulating Microtubule Arrangement
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Light signaling and cortical microtubule (MT) arrays are essential to the anisotropic growth of plant cells. Microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) function as regulators that mediate plant cell expansion or elongation by altering the arrangements of the MT arrays. However, current understanding of the molecular mechanism of MAPs in relation to light to regulate cell expansion or elongation is limited. Here, we show that the MPS SPR1 is involved in light-regulated directional cell expansion by modulating microtubule arrangement. Overexpression of SmSPR1 in Arabidopsis results in right-handed helical orientation of hypocotyls in dark-grown etiolated seedlings, whereas the phenotype of transgenic plants was indistinguishable from those of wild-type plants under light conditions. Phenotypic characterization of the transgenic plants showed reduced anisotropic growth and left-handed helical MT arrays in etiolated hypocotyl cells. Protein interaction assays revealed that SPR1, CSN5A (subunits of COP9 signalosome, a negative regulator of photomorphogenesis), and ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5, a transcription factor that promotes photomorphogenesis) interacted with each other in vivo. The phenotype of Arabidopsis AtSPR1-overexpressing transgenic lines was similar to that of SmSPR1-overexpressing transgenic plants, and overexpression of Salix SmSPR1 can rescue the spr1 mutant phenotype, thereby revealing the function of SPR1 in plants.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant cells exhibit different development patterns, ranging from skotomorphogenic development in darkness to photomorphogenesis. Cell proliferation of skotomorphogenesis in dark-grown seedlings does not significantly change, whereas the hypocotyl rapidly elongates in one direction, and this highly directional cell expansion results in the elongation of this specific organ. This process is accompanied by an apical hook, which is attenuated by pro-plastid differentiation that is essential to the initiation of a newly germinated seedling to push through the soil. In contrast, when
seedlings are exposed to light, hypocotyl elongation is limited, petioles and cotyledons open, pro-plasmids develop into chloroplasts, and roots elongate (And and Deng, 1996; Gendreau et al., 1997). Previous studies have demonstrated that many factors mediate cell expansion and elongation in the dark and light, which include multiple photoreceptors, plant hormones, and transcription factors (Castillon et al., 2007; Galvão and Fankhauser, 2015). These studies have largely concerned with the identification of upstream influence factors in mediating dark- or light-related signal pathways, and how plants coordinate downstream regulators during cell expansion is still unclear.

Both genetics and physiology support the view that the arrangement of cortical microtubule (MT) participates in the regulation of cell expansion and elongation. In rapidly extending cells such as the tissues of the hypocotyl in etiolated seedlings, cortical MTs are predominantly arranged in parallel with each other, which results in transverse growth to the growth direction (Sedbrook and Kaloriti, 2008; Galva et al., 2014). In contrast, as cell elongation slows down, the arrangements of the MTs shift from parallel to oblique or longitudinal in direction (Barker et al., 2010; Crowell et al., 2011). These MT arrangements guide the positioning and trajectories of cellulose-synthesizing protein complexes as these track along cortical MTs beneath the plasma membrane and deposit cellulose microfibrils around the entire cell, and the orientation of microfibrils cross-lined with hemicelluloses mainly determines cell expansion and elongation (Foster et al., 2003; Fujita et al., 2012; Brandizzi and Wasteneys, 2013). The transverse orientation of cortical MTs in etiolated hypocotyl cells is reorganized into an oblique or longitudinal array when seedlings are exposed to light, which also slows down cell elongation in the hypocotyl (Sambade et al., 2012), indicating a connection between cortical MT arrangements and light signals.

The arrangement of MT arrays is related to plant morphology, and rearrangements of cortical MTs from transverse to left-handed (right-handed) helical or oblique alignment have been proposed to drive cells from elongation to expansion, which results in the twisted growth of plant organs (Galva et al., 2014). Microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) regulate the organization and dynamics of MTs. Numerous studies have shown that MAP regulates cell expansion and elongation by altering the arrangement and dynamics of cortical MT (Shoji et al., 2004; Lucas et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2015; Lian et al., 2017). SPIRAL1 (SPR1) is a plant-specific MAP that was identified in an Arabidopsis spr1 mutant that exhibited helical root growth. When grown on a tilted hard-agar surface, right hand spiral appears in the spr1 epidermal cells of the root, and the roots of spr1 exhibit right directional growth when viewed from above the agar plates (Furutani et al., 2000). The arrangement of cortical MTs in root elongation zone showed a phenotype of the left-handed helix in the spr1 mutant, rather than parallel alignment in the wild-type plants (Nakajima et al., 2004). From these observations, Furutani et al. (2000) therefore concluded that SPR1 plays an important role in maintaining the function integrity of cortical MTs and is essential for anisotropic expansion of cells. Previous studies have shown that SPR1 binds to another plus-end tracking protein EB and synergistically regulates the polymerization and elongation of MTs (Furutani et al., 2000; Galva et al., 2014). SPR1 can also be ubiquitinated under salt stress by 26S proteasome and accelerate the depolymerization and reorganization of MTs, which is required for plant salt stress tolerance (Wang et al., 2011). Whether SPR1 has other interacting proteins that are involved in regulating polymerization and elongation of MTs remains unclear.

Salix (willow) are widely distributed, from North America to China, and contain more than 300 species varying from small shrubs to trees (Barker et al., 2010). There was little research on the regulation of tree morphology by MAPs. The phenotypes of Salix matsudana and its varieties are diverse, including the phenotype of branch spiral, the phenotype of vertical growth of branch, and the spherical phenotype of crown, making it a good material for studying tree morphology. SPR1 is a plant-specific MAP and had the function of regulating plant morphology (Arabidopsis). Studying on the function of SPR1 in trees can provide a theoretical basis for our future genetic improvement of trees. In this study, we identified six SmSPR1 genes from S. matsudana, and qRT-PCR-based tissue-specific transcript abundance analysis showed that SmSPR1 had the highest expression level compared to other SPR1 gene members in all tissues tested. We then analyzed this gene in greater detail. Overexpression of SmSPR1 in Arabidopsis resulted in hypocotyl helical growth in etiolated seedlings, whereas no hypocotyl helical growth or root twisting was observed in transgenic seedlings growth in the presence of light. To rule out that the helix phenotype was caused by heterologous expression, we overexpressed the Arabidopsis AtSPR1 gene and obtained the same phenotype. We then transferred the helical etiolated seedling to light conditions, which resulted in straight new upper hypocotyls, and the formed lower stem also showed the right-handed helical orientation. However, the straight light-grown hypocotyl twisted when the transferred to the dark. Given that light regulates the helical growth of seedling hypocotyls, we then set out to identify light-related proteins that interact with SmSPR1. Here, we show that SPR1, CSN5A, and HY5 interacted with each other in vivo, and influencing anisotropic cell growth in Arabidopsis. We propose a model that SPR1 mediates the strength balance of MTs, either via loss of function or overexpression of SPR1, eventually resulting in the helical growth of MTs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

Salix matsudana Koidzin in this study were collected from the Beijing Botanical Garden. The leaves, annual shoot tips, and stems were frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C. All Arabidopsis thaliana plants were of the Columbia-0 ecotype (Col-0). Mutant spr1 seeds (CS6547) and 35S:Tubulin6B-GFP (CS6550) transgenic seeds were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC).1

For plant physiological analysis, the seeds were surface-sterilized for 1 min with 70% (v/v) ethanol and then washed with 15% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite (~10%) for 12 min. The
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1https://www.arabidopsis.org/
transformed seeds were sown on MS plates with 3% sucrose and 0.6% agar containing 50 mg/L kanamycin for mutant selection or 25 mg/L phosphinothricin for transgenic selection. For phenotypic analysis and biochemical assays, the seeds were placed on half-strength MS medium containing 0.8% agar and 1% sucrose. Plants were grown at 22°C with continuous white light (140 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) as described previously (Wang et al., 2011). For the hypocotyl measurements, the plates were transferred to 22°C in the light or dark for 7 day after stratification at 4°C for 3 day.

Sequence Identification and Analysis of SmSPR1 Promoter

*Populus* and Arabidopsis CSN5A, COP1, HY5, and SPR1 family genes were used for identifying the CDS homologs of the SPR1, CSN5A, COP1, and HY5 genes in *S. matsudana* using BLAST. The primers used for amplification of full-length SPR1, CSN5A, COP1, and HY5 are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the software MEGA 6 (Tamura et al., 2013). The phylogenetic relationship of the genetic model was assessed using neighbor-joining tree with 1,000 bootstrap trials.

The promoter of *SmSPR1* was subjected to homology-based cloning according to the genome sequence of *Salix suchowensis* and *Populus trichocarpa*. The sequence and then cloned into pBI121 vector, replacing the *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV) 35S promoter, to drive the GUS (β-glucuronidase) reporter gene. Then the recombinant pBI121-SmSPR1:GUS construct was transformed into *A. tumefaciens* (strain GV3101). The stem, root, and petiole section from *S. matsudana* tips, roots, stems, xylem, and phloem. The RNA was isolated using gene family primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Real-time PCR was performed for the quantification of *SmSPR1* family member transcripts in the tissue of shoot tips, roots, stems, xylem, and phloem. The RNA was isolated from *S. matsudana* using Plant RNA extraction kit (CWbio. Co., Ltd.) and SYBR Green Taq Mix (CWbio. Co., Ltd.) was used as fluorochrome. The *SmSPR1* gene family primers are listed in Supplementary Table 3. GAPDH was used as internal standard. All reactions were repeated at least three times under identical conditions.

Generation of SmSPR1 and AtSPR1 Overexpression Transgenic Plants

For the spr1 mutant of the Arabidopsis complement test and AtSPR1 overexpression assay, SmSPR1 and AtSPR1 cDNA was amplified and introduced into pDONR221 via BP reaction and to pEarleyGate104 of Gateway vectors via LR recombinase (Invitrogen) (Earley et al., 2010). All primers are listed in Supplementary Table 4. The resulting constructs were transformed into *A. tumefaciens* (GV3101) via *A. tumefaciens* floral dip method as described elsewhere (Zhang et al., 2006). The homozygous T3 seedlings were used for further analyses.

Phenotypic Analysis

The spiral phenotypes of seedlings were observed using an Ultra depth of field microscope (Leica DVM6) equipped with CCD (PLANAP0 FOV 12.55). For measuring length and width of hypocotyl and root, the relevant parameter was measured using ImageJ2. Hypocotyls of 5-day-old seedlings were fixed with 50% FAA and then embedded in spr resin (SPI). A series of 4-µm thick longitudinal sections and transverse sections (rapidly elongating region) were made with a rotary microtome RM2265 (LEICA EM UC7). Fixed sections were stained with toluidine blue O for 30 min and photographed with an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with a DP74 camera.

For drug treatment, wild and transgenic seeds were grown on 0.8% agar-solidified 1/2 MS vertically oriented plates for 7 days with or without specific concentration of Propyzamide (Sigma-Aldrich). To detect the morphology of the cells of 7-day-old seedlings, etiolated hypocotyls and roots were soaked in 10 μM PI (Sigma-Aldrich). Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope (with 543 nm diode laser, and an emission band of 560–690 nm) was used for images collecting.

For measurement of MT arrays, SmSPR1 was over-expressed in 35S: GFP-TUB6 background and detected on a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope. The orientation of cortical MTs in epidermal cell was measured at upper regions. Measurements were performed using ImageJ (see text footnote 2). Microtubules with clear visible were selected for measurements in each cell (n ≥ 25 cells). The procedure was performed as previously described (Liu et al., 2013).

Protein Purification and Pull-Down Assay

The pET28a-SmSPR1-His (SmSPR1-His), pET21a-HY5-Flag (HY5-Flag), and pGEX4T1-GST-SmCSN5A-Flag (GST-SmCSN5A-Flag) clones were transformed into the Rosetta. All primers for constructing prokaryotic expression vectors are listed in Supplementary Table 5. The protein prokaryotic expression and purification was according to the instruction of Ni-NTA Agarose (Cat.No. 30210, QIAGEN) and Glutathione Sepharose (GE).

*Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis*

The CDS of SmCSN5A, SmCOP1, and SmHY5 were constructed on the yeast two-hybrid prey vector pGADT7, respectively. SmSPR1 was constructed on the bait vector pGBK7T. The primers were listed in Supplementary Table 6. The bait vector of SmSPR1 was transformed with SmCSN5A, SmCOP1, and SmHY5, respectively, into the yeast strain AH109 as instructions for Matchmaker GAL4 Two-Hybrid Systems 3 (Clontech). Yeast
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Two-Hybrid analysis were performed following Yeast Protocols Handbook (Clontech). Transformed yeast cells were separately spread to 2D synthetic deficiency medium (SD-TL: -Trp/-Leu) and 4D selective medium (SD-TLHA: -Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade) and then placed at 30°C for 4 days. Saturated yeast cells were dilute to 1:1, 1:10, 1:100, 1:200, 1:50, and 1:1,000, and then spotted onto the selection medium.

Bimolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) Assay
Bimolecular fluorescence complementation assays were performed using pEarleyGate vectors (pEarleyGate201-YN, pEarleyGate202-YC). The vectors were kindly supplied by Dr. Bin Tan (Yi et al., 2013). The cDNA encoding SmCSN5A, SmCOP1, and SmHY5 were fused with the C-terminal fragment of YFP. SmSPR1 was fused to the fragment encoding the N-terminus of YFP. The primers used for BiFC were listed in Supplementary Table 7. All vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium strain (GV3101). The transient expression was according to the method of Sparkes et al. (2006). For the light treatment, the transformed Agrobacterium strain was infiltrated into tobacco leaves, and then the plants were grown in white light (140 μmol photons m−2 s−1) for 3 day. For the dark experiments, seedlings were incubated in darkness for 24 h after 3 day light treatment. Detection of BiFC signals were visualized by Zeiss LSM510 microscope. The excitation light had a wavelength of 514 nm, and the emission light receiving range was 525–555 nm. The experimental results were analyzed using Zen software.

Statistical Analyses
The quantitative data were analyzed using a one-variable general linear model procedure (ANOVA) with the SPSS software package (SPSS Inc.). Analysis of significance was performed using t test or Duncan’s multiple range tests at P ≤ 0.05 or P ≤ 0.01. Data are reported as the mean ± SE of three or more experiments.

Accession Numbers
Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank data libraries under accession numbers: SmSPR1, MK770432; SmSPR1_L1, MK770433; SmSPR1_L2, MK770434; SmSPR1_L3, MK770435; SmSPR1_L4, MK770436; SmSPR1_L5, MK770437; SmCOP1, MK770438; SmCSN5A, MK770439; and SmHY5, MK770440.

RESULTS
Cloning and Expression Pattern of the SmSPR1 Genes
A total of six SmSPR1 genes were identified according to the sequences of the Arabidopsis SPR1 family genes (Sedbrook et al., 2004; Nakajima et al., 2006). These SmSPR1s were then isolated from S. matsudana using PCR-based approaches with gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1). We named these Salix SPR1 genes as SmSPR1 and SmSPR1-LIKE genes (SmSPR1_L1-SmSPR1_L5) based on their amino acids sequence identity and phylogenetic relationship with Arabidopsis SPR1 family genes (Figure 1A). Salix and Arabidopsis SPR1s were classified into three classes (designated to Class I–III): Class I included Salix SPR1, SPR1_L3, SPR1_L4, with Arabidopsis SPR1 and SPR1_L2; Class II consisted of Salix SPR1_L1 and SPR1_L2 and Arabidopsis SPR1L3, SPR1L4 and SPR1L5; and Class III comprised Salix SPR1_L5. Salix SPR1 and its homolog sequences shared N- and C- terminal regions except SmSPR1_L5, and the outgroup position of SmSPR1_L5 may be due to the absent of conserved C-terminal region (Figure 1B). Highly conserved repeat amino acids sequences were observed at the N- and C-termini in SmSPR1_L1, L2, L3, and L4, with the consensus motif being GGG/DQ/SSSLG/DY/FLFG (Figure 1B). At the C-terminal of this conserved motif, the PGGG sequence is present in many mammalian MAPs and is a conserved binding sequence of microtubules (Nakajima et al., 2004).

To determine SmSPR1 expression level at different tissues, we conducted a qRT-PCR-based tissue-specific transcript abundance analysis of five tissues (shoot tips, xylem, phloem, leaves, and roots) from three trees with gene-specific primers, and the transcript expression level of six Salix SPR1 genes are shown in Figure 1C. Class I SPR1 gene had the highest expression level and was detected at almost equal levels in all tissues tested. SPR1_L3 and SPR1_L4, which also belong to Class I, were expressed in all tissues, with a moderate transcript level compared to SPR1. The expression levels of Class II SPR1_L1 and SPR1_L2 were significantly lower than those of the Class I genes, and extremely low transcript levels were observed in the roots of SPR1_L2 and SPR1_L5. The relative expression levels of Class I SPR1 members were higher than those of Class II and III SPR1 members, suggesting the Class I SPR1 family genes, especially SmSPR1, is the major gene in Salix, similar to the results of Arabidopsis AtSPR1, which also had a predominant transcript level in all tissues tested (Nakajima et al., 2004). Sequences alignment also showed a high identity between SmSPR1 and AtSPR1 (Supplementary Figure 1), which indicate a similar function of SmSPR1 and AtSPR1.

To further obtain details on the tissue specific expression pattern of SmSPR1, we generated transgenic tobaccos (Nicotiana tabacum) of Psmspr1: GUS which were then stained for GUS activity test. Strong GUS activity was observed at the internodes of stems, including phloem, cambium, and xylem, but not in epidermal cells (Figure 1D). Midveins at each internode were also stained for GUS activity, and a similar expression pattern was obtained as that observed in the stems; GUS staining was also observed in vascular tissues, which included strong GUS staining in the phloem, moderate GUS staining of the cambium and xylem, and negative GUS staining of the epidermal cells (Figure 1E). Axillary buds and root tips showed significantly strong GUS activity in all tissues tested (Figures 1F,G), indicating that SmSPR1 has a high transcript expression level in the meristem and elongation zone. Transgenic tobacco seedlings, which were grown both in the dark and under continuous light, were also stained for the GUS activity. Strong GUS...
activity was detected in the hypocotyls and roots of dark-grown seedlings (Figure 1H), and high SmSPR1 expression levels were detected in the shoot tips and roots of seedlings grown in light conditions (Figure 1I).

To investigate the subcellular localization of SmSPR1, a P35S-SmSPR1-GFP fusion construct was generated and transiently expressed in Arabidopsis using an A. tumefaciens-mediated transformation approach. In non-plasmolyzed Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells, the SmSPR1-GFP fusion protein was detected in the cell periphery, nuclei, and cytoplasm, but not in chloroplasts (Figures 1J–M). The subcellular localization of SmSPR1 was examined by expressing the SmSPR1-GFP fusion protein in protoplasts prepared from Arabidopsis suspension-cultured cells, which was observed as a strong fluorescence signal, and the SmSPR1-GFP fusion protein was also observed in the cell periphery, nuclei, and cytoplasm, but not in chloroplasts (Figures 1N–U).

**SmSPR1 Transgenic Seedling Phenotype**

To determine the function of SmSPR1, the P35S: SmSPR1 transgenic Arabidopsis in the wild-type Col-0 background were generated. We identified 14 P35S:SmSPR1 transgenic lines,
all of which showed similar phenotypes. The phenotype of the transgenic plants was indistinguishable from those of the wild-type plants in the presence of light (Supplementary Figure 2). This result also coincided with the phenotype of Arabidopsis SPR1 overexpression transgenic lines (Nakajima et al., 2004). However, the hypocotyls in dark-grown seedlings exhibited a right-handed helical orientation to the epidermal cells (Figures 2A, B, and Supplementary Figure 3).

To test whether the right-handed helical phenotype is the result of posttranscriptional gene silencing, semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed to determine the transcription levels of the SmSPR1 and Arabidopsis SPR1 family genes. A total of six Arabidopsis SPR1 family genes were tested, and each gene showed moderate expression levels both in the wild-type and transgenic plants. High levels of SmSPR1 transcripts were observed in the P35S:SmSPR1 transgenic plants, whereas no SmSPR1 transcripts were detected in the wild-type plants that were grown separately in dark and light conditions (Figure 2C). These results suggested that the right-handed helical phenotype could be attributed to the high transcription levels of SmSPR1 rather than Arabidopsis SPR1s posttranscriptional gene silencing. In addition, this right-handed helical phenotype was only observed after three days of growth in the dark, and it is notable that only the upper hypocotyl of etiolated seedlings showed the right-handed helical orientation (Figure 2D, and Supplementary Figures 3, 4). No differences in hypocotyl and root length were observed, although hypocotyl width significantly differed between the transgenic and wild-type plants (Student's t test, \( P \leq 0.01 \), Figures 2E–H). Considerable evidence indicates that Arabidopsis spr1 mutants exhibit root morphological changes, which include twisted root epidermal cells and right directional root development when grown on vertically oriented hard agar plates (Nakajima et al., 2004, 2006; Sedbrook et al., 2004). We then surveyed the appearance of roots in the P35S:SmSPR1 transgenic and wild-type plants, and the phenotypes of the roots were also indistinguishable from those of the wild type that were grown in the dark (Supplementary Figure 3).

To investigate changes in the phenotype of SmSPR1 overexpression plants at the cellular level, resin-embedded transverse and longitudinal sections of the upper region of

**FIGURE 2** | Phenotypes of transgenic plants. (A) P35S: SmSPR1 transgenic plant showing a right-handed helical hypocotyl in etiolated seedlings compared to the wild-type. (B) Hypocotyl of P35S: SmSPR1 transgenic plants showed right-tilted growth compared to the wild-type. (C) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analyses of SmSPR1 and AtSPR1 genes in the roots (R), stems (S), and leaves (L). (D) Scanning electron microscopy of the upper and lower hypocotyls of etiolated transgenic seedlings. (E) Hypocotyl length of etiolated seedlings of transgenic and wild-type plants. (F) Hypocotyl width of etiolated seedlings in transgenic and wild-type plants. (G) Hypocotyl growth trajectory of etiolated seedlings of transgenic and wild-type plants (7-day old seedlings). For panels (E–H), data are expressed as the mean ± SD of \( >30 \) seedlings. Asterisks indicate significant differences using the Student's t test (\( P < 0.01 \)). Bars in panels (A–D) = 100 µm. Red arrows show the different morphologies between wild type and transgenic seedling.
etiolated hypocotyls of the seedlings were prepared. The results showed that the cross-sectional hypocotyl area of the transgenic plants was significantly larger than that of wild-type plants, and this enlargement was caused by the expansion of cells (Figures 3A,B). The longitudinal section also showed bigger hypocotyls as well as cell expansion (Figures 3C,D). Next, the SmSPR1 gene was overexpressed in the P35S:GFP:AtTUA6 Arabidopsis background. We then observed the arrangement of MTs of etiolated hypocotyls in the wild-type and transgenic plants. No differences in the MTs were observed between the wild-type and transgenic plants that were grown in the presence of light (Figures 3E,F). In etiolated seedlings, the arrangement of MTs in the hypocotyl of wild-type plants was mainly parallel to each other and perpendicular to the long axis, whereas the MT arrays of the transgenic plants predominantly showed left-handed spiral growth (Figures 3G–I).

Taken together with the fact that transgenic seedling epidermal cells had right-handed helical orientation in the absence of light, that finding raised the question of whether the internal region is also affected by the overexpressed SmSPR1 gene. To assess this, confocal microscopy was performed to assess cell shapes and sizes layer by layer, and a total of 15 cell layers was obtained from one side to the opposite side of the tissues. The angles of the right-handed helical orientation from the first layer to the middle layer (8th layer) gradually decreased. Scanning from the 8th layer to 15th layer revealed that the angle of helical orientation negatively increased to the left-handed helical orientation (Figure 3J). In sum, the helical phenotype was observed in most layers of the hypocotyl and was not limited to the epidermal cells under dark condition. We also stained the tissues of seedlings grown in the presence of light with PI, all of which were indistinguishable between the wild-type and the transgenic plants (Supplementary Figure 2D).

**Overexpression of SmSPR1 Results in Increased Tolerance to an MT-Depolymerizing Drug**

To localize the SmSPR1 protein, we constructed an SmSPR1-GFP fusion protein vector and transfected it into Arabidopsis. GFP signals were assessed by confocal laser scanning microscopy, which revealed filamentous structures in the hypocotyl, roots, leaves, and other tissues. Further observations showed that the green fluorescence signals of SmSPR1-GFP coincides with the immunofluorescence (red) of tubulin, demonstrating that SmSPR1 co-localizes with the MTs (Figure 4A and...
Supplementary Figure 5). A microtubule-depolymerizing drug, propyzamide (PPM), was then used to assess changes in SmSPR1:GFP transgenic lines. With the addition of PPM, no fluorescence signals of SmSPR1-GFP were observed, and after the removal of PPM, the green fluorescence of SmSPR1-GFP was again detected (Figure 4B). This coincides with the depolymerization and reorganization of MTs, which also demonstrates the co-localization of SmSPR1 with MTs.

A previous study showed that the Arabidopsis P35S:AtSPR1 line has a moderately higher resistance to long-tern treatment with PPM, and the maximum survival concentration of P35S:AtSPR1 line is 5 µM (Nakajima et al., 2004). To determine whether SmSPR1 plays a similar function, P35S:SmSPR1 transgenic seedlings were planted in agar medium containing PPM with different concentrations (Figure 4, and Supplementary Figure 6). The roots of P35S:SmSPR1 transgenic seedlings retained the ability to elongate compared to the wild type in the presence of 9 µM of PPM (Figure 4C). PI staining showed that the roots of the wild-type plants exhibited a left-handed helical orientation with 3 µM of PPM, and the cells expanded or even dissociated at 5 µM, whereas roots of the transgenic plants showed a left-handed helical structure with 7 µM PPM, and the cells swelled at 9 µM (Figures 4D–G). Our results indicated that the propyzamide tolerance was enhanced in the P35S:SmSPR1 lines, surviving at a PPM concentration of 9 µM.

**Salix SmSPR1 and Arabidopsis AtSPR1 Have Similar Biological Functions**

To determine whether SmSPR1 has a similar biological function to Arabidopsis AtSPR1, we constructed an overexpression vector carrying the CaMV 35S promoter linked to SmSPR1, and transformed it into the Arabidopsis spr1 mutant background. A total of 25 independent transgenic lines were generated, and their phenotypes were all analyzed in both light and dark conditions, and all transgenic lines showed similar phenotypes. The phenotype of the light-grown Arabidopsis spr1 showed roots skewing to the right, which was rescued in the overexpression SmSPR1 lines (Figure 5A). In the dark, Arabidopsis spr1 exhibited hypocotyl phenotype of right-handed helix, which was also rescued in the overexpression SmSPR1 lines (Figure 5B).
Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analyses were used to assess the transcription levels of the SmSPR1 gene in the wild, spr1 mutant, and overexpression SmSPR1 lines, which showed that only the SmSPR1 overexpression lines expressed the SmSPR1 gene (Figure 5C). These results demonstrate that SmSPR1 and AtSPR1 have similar biological functions and play a role in maintaining anisotropic expansion of cells and straight growth of plants.

To examine the generality of the SPR1 function in plants, we reconstructed the Arabidopsis AtSPR1 overexpression vector under the control of CaMV 35S promoter and transformed it into the wild-type Col. The phenotype of the AtSPR1 overexpressing transgenic plants was similar to that of SmSPR1 overexpressing transgenic plants. In the dark, the hypocotyls of the etiolated seedlings also showed a right-handed helix phenotype after 3 days (Figure 5D). In the presence of light, there was no difference between the transgenic plants and the wild-type phenotype (Supplementary Figure 7). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analyses revealed the transcription levels of the AtSPR1 genes, which indicated that the right-handed helical phenotype was not the result of posttranscriptional gene silencing (Figure 5E).

**SPR1, CSN5A, and HY5 Physically Interact With Each Other in vivo**

The appearance of the right-handed helical orientation in etiolated but not in light-grown seedling prompted us to hypothesize that the helical phenotype is related to light. We then transferred the helical etiolated seedling (5 days) to light conditions, which resulted in straight, newly grown upper hypocotyls (stems) after 4 days of growth, and the formed lower stems also showed right-handed helical orientation (Figure 6A). On the other side, we transferred the light-grown seedling (5 days) to the dark environment, and after 6 days of growth, the upper hypocotyl cells rapidly elongated and formed right-handed helical epidermal cells (Figure 6B). Taken together, our data demonstrated that the right-handed helical orientation of upper epidermal cells in transgenic plants was directly triggered by light. Therefore, we hypothesized that there are light-regulated proteins...
that can bind to SPR1 and participate in anisotropic cell growth. To verify this hypothesis, we next screened the interaction protein of SPR1 using a pull-down assay, yeast two-hybrid (Y2H), and a BiFC assay.

Pull-down assays of plant extracts were conducted to identify proteins that physically interact with SPR1. Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-fused SPR1 proteins were first expressed in an Escherichia coli system and affinity purified. Recombinant GST alone and GST-SPR1 were then used as baits to identify the interacting proteins. SDS-PAGE gels were used to separate precipitates, which were then analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF-MS). A total of 1,145 peptide fragments that could be assembled into 451 proteins were identified, and different proteins detected between GST alone and GST-SPR1 were used as baits for functional annotation in the Nr database. We then conducted a yeast two-hybrid screen to detect the interacting proteins of SmSPR1, and a total of 11 proteins were identified. By combining these two results, three light-related proteins (CSN5A, HY5, and COP1) were next selected for further validation. We conducted a yeast two-hybrid one-to-one verification, which indicated that CSN5A and HY5, but not COP1, interacted with SPR1 (Figure 6C). To confirm whether these three proteins could interact with SPR1 in vivo, we performed a BiFC assay using tobacco epidermal cells in both dark and light conditions. In the presence of light, co-expression of SPR1-CSN5A showed strong YFP fluorescence in the nuclei and cytomembrane, whereas SPR1-HY5 was only co-expressed in the nuclei (Figure 6D), and no fluorescence was observed in the SPR1-COP1 combination. In the dark, co-expression of SPR1-CSN5A also exhibited strong YFP fluorescence, whereas the SPR1-HY5 combination was not reconstituted in the functional YFP (Supplementary Figure 8). We also conduct the BiFC assay in Arabidopsis protoplasts, which showed similar results to tobacco epidermal cells (Supplementary Figure 9). The fact that both CSN5A and HY5 physically interact with SPR1 prompted us to investigate whether CSN5A and HY5 interact with each other using BiFC, which revealed a strong physical interaction in the presence of light (Figure 6D).

**DISCUSSION**

The Salix SPR1 gene family contains six genes that are consistent with the Arabidopsis SPR1 genes number, suggesting that the Salix SPR1 gene family might not underwent expansion caused by salicoid genome-wide gene duplication events. However, the results of phylogenetic analysis showed that Salix and Arabidopsis SPR1 genes were not completely paired to each other, suggesting the Salix SPR1 gene family could experience reciprocal tandem/terminal fusion, translocations, or other chromosomal rearrangements (Figure 1A). It has been reported that the members of AtSPR1 gene family are redundant in function in Arabidopsis. Similar to the Arabidopsis SPR1 family proteins, the amino acid sequence of SmSPR1s is conserved at the N- and C-termini (Figure 1B). Previous studies have demonstrated that the N- and C-termini of AtSPR1s can bind to MTs individually and perform partial functions (Nakajima et al., 2004). Therefore, we speculate that the N- and C-termini of SmSPR1s also have core functions, and the middle region with a certain length and a random sequence is only used as a connection and spacer between the two core regions. Interestingly, SmSPR1_L5 is conserved only at the N-terminus of the amino acid, whereas the C-terminus is a variable region. Combined with the results of real-time PCR, the expression level of SmSPR1_L5 gene is extremely low in all assayed tissues (Figure 1C). We inferred that SmSPR1_L5 is a redundant gene, and it is possible that its function has been lost. Strong GUS activity was observe in the meristem and elongation zone, including stem (phloem, cambium, xylem, and axillary buds), root tips and etiolated hypocotyls, which consist with the results of previous study (Nakajima et al., 2004). Microtubules are the component of the cytoskeleton. They exist as cortical MTs near the plasma membrane, and are involved in the mitosis (Hashimoto, 2015). In our study, subcellular localization of SmSPR1 was examined in Arabidopsis leaves and protoplasts, and the SmSPR1-GFP fusion protein was detected in the cell periphery, nuclei, and cytoplasm, which coincides with the cell localization of microtubules (Figures 1J–U).

Root epidermal cells of overexpression AtSPR1 transgenic lines are indistinguishable from the wild type, and the helical phenotype of dark-grown hypocotyls was not observed in P35S:AtSPR1 transgenic lines (Nakajima et al., 2004; Sedbrook et al., 2004). However, a right-handed helical orientation of hypocotyl epidermal cells was observed in dark-grown seedlings of the P35S:SmSPR1 transgenic lines (Figures 2A,B), which raised the question of whether overexpression of AtSPR1 results in a helical phenotype. To address this, overexpression of AtSPR1 was repeated, which showed a similar right-handed helix in etiolated seedlings compared to SmSPR1 overexpressing transgenic plants (Figure 5D). The result of Arabidopsis mutant complementation experiments showed that P35S:SmSPR1 overexpression could rescue the oblique phenotype of Arabidopsis spr1 mutant (Figures 5A,B). Taken together, previous studies have indeed neglected the helix phenotype of etiolated seedlings in AtSPR1 overexpression transgenic lines, and it is indicated that the SmSPR1 and AtSPR1 generally have a similar function of regulating plant phenotypes.

Previous studies have confirmed that light can affect the arrangement of microtubules. Lindeboom et al. (2013) discovered that microtubule severing by the protein katanin plays a key role in the rearrangement of cortical arrays mediated by blue light. In the dark, etiolated seedlings enter a rapid elongation period after 3 days, and the elongation zone moves up from the base-middle regions to the apical third of the hypocotyl (Gendreau et al., 1997). Coincidentally, the helix phenotype of SPR1-overexpressing transgenic plants is expressed only at the upper zone of hypocotyls of etiolated seedlings after 3 days, which is exactly the time and zone at which the etiolated seedlings grow rapidly (Figure 2D). Therefore, the helical phenotype may be related to the rapid growth of the hypocotyl in the dark.

Light-dark conversion experiment prompted us to find light-correlated factors that interact with SPR1 to regulate cell expansion and seedling growth. Finally, two light-correlated
factors, CSN5A and HY5, were identified and confirmed to interact with SPR1 in vivo. The CSN (COP9 signalosome), which was identified as a photomorphosis inhibitor in Arabidopsis, consists of eight subunits (Wei et al., 1994). CSN is a metalloproteinase that cleaves covalently linked RUB1/NEDD8 from CRL E3 ubiquitin ligase of cullin proteins, and this process is known as derubylolation/deneddylation (Lyapina et al., 2001). CSN5 is one of the core subunits in the COP9 signalosome, and the derubylase catalytic center is located at the conserved JAMM motif of the CSN5 subunit (Cope et al., 2002). Previous studies have shown that SPR1 can be ubiquitinated under salt stress and then degraded by the 26S proteasome (Wang et al., 2011). The interaction between SPR1 and CNS5A indicates that the COP9 signalosome participates in SPR1 ubiquitination. CSN also has kinase activity or can bind to kinase-associated proteins and may exist in post-translational modification mechanisms (such as phosphorylation) (Meister et al., 2016). MT end-binding protein EB1 can be degraded by the ubiquitin system, and CSN has the ability to stabilize EB1 by binding to it via the CSN5 subunit, which mediates phosphorylation of EB1 and prevents EB1 from degradation (Peth et al., 2007). Whether SPR1 can also be phosphorylated by CSN needs further investigation.

HY5 is a member of bZIP transcription factor family that regulates fundamental developmental processes such as inhibition of hypocotyl growth, lateral root development, cell elongation, pigment accumulation, and other phenotypes related to photomorphogenesis (Gangappa and Botto, 2016). In the dark, HY5 can be degraded by ubiquitination of COP1, thereby inhibiting the occurrence of light morphology (Oyama et al., 1997). Keech et al. (2010) proposed that HY5 is repressed in destabilizing the cortical MT array in the epidermis and mesophyll cells during leaf senescence in the dark, i.e., HY5 can indirectly promote the stability of MTs, which is similar to the function of SPR1. Our Y2H and BiFC assays showed that SPR1 interacts with HY5 in vivo, which prompted us to hypothesize that SPR1 and HY5 synergistically facilitate MT stabilization in the presence of light. HY5 also positively controls cell proliferation in the secondary thickening and negatively regulates lateral root formation, which may influence cell mitosis and division (Oyama et al., 1997; Chen and Han, 2016).
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FIGURE 7 | Model of SPR1, CSN, HY5, and EB1 interactions and helical growth. (A) In the light, SPR1, CSN, and HY5 could interact with each other, and SPR1 interact with EB1, acting as an interactor or a competitor. In the dark, SPR1 interacting with CSN and EB1 to control the next MT-related biological process. Interaction of SPR1, CSN, and HY5 were show by solid arrows. The interaction of SPR1, CSN, and HY5 tripolymer and SPR1 interacting with EB1 in both light and dark condition were labeled by dotted arrows. (B) In wild-type plants, the expression level of SPR1 accords with the balance of stability and polymerization of MTs. MTs arrays are transverse to the elongation axis, which results in a normal anisotropic expansion of cells. In the SPR1 overexpression transgenic plants, spr1 mutants, and MTs drug treatments plants, the balance of MTs was disrupted, which in turn led to the helix of the MTs and following isotropic expansion of cells.

this together with the fact that MTs are involved in cell division as major components of spindle and SPR1 interacts with HY5, we propose that SPR1 and HY5 interact with each other during the cell cycle. Previous study has shown that EB1b could interact with SPR1 acting as an interactor or competitor in MT binding, which affect the direction of cell expansion (Galva et al., 2014). However, there is no direct evidence to prove whether the function of EB1 in plants is related to light. To conclude, in view of these findings, we propose a tentative model wherein SPR1 regulates the morphology of MTs by interacting with different proteins in light and dark conditions. In the presence of light, SPR1 binds to CSN, HY5 and/or EB1. In the dark, SPR1 binds to CSN and/or EB1 to regulate the morphology of MTs, further regulating cell elongation and directional organ growth (Figure 7A).

The reason for the helix of spr1 transgenic plants is caused by isotropic cell expansion, which there is widespread consensus about. However, the cause of the isotropic expansion of cells has been controversial, particularly with regard to whether the isotropic growth of hypocotyls is caused by changes in the morphology of microtubule arrays. Previous studies suggested that a defect in MT organization results in isotropic cell growth that further leads to a helix morphology of tissues (Nakajima et al., 2004). However, Sedbrook et al. (2004) obtained different results that directional cell expansion is discordant with MT oblique arrangements and suggested that the pitch of MTs in epidermal cells does not guide epidermal cell file twisting. Our study found that hypocotyls of etiolated seedlings in SPR1-overexpressing transgenic plants showed a pronounced phenomenon of oblique MT arrays. However, at roots where helix growth does not occur, the morphology of MTs did not exhibit any changes, and there was no difference in the morphology of MTs between the transgenic and wild-type plants in the presence of light (Figures 3E,F). Thus, we suggest that the oblique MT array is directly related to isotropic cell growth. However, whether the oblique MT array leads to isotropic cell growth or isotropic growth of cells causes oblique of MTs could not be determined in the present study. We prefer that SPR1 affects the morphology of MTs, which leads to a decrease in anisotropic growth of cells. Because, the known function of SPR1 is to stabilize MTs that are related to the synthesis of cellulose, which is synthesized along MTs, and the arrangement of fibers is related to the morphology of the cell walls and cells (Himmelspach et al., 2003). Changes in MT structure could result in alterations in the alignment of cellulose, which in turn leads to modifications in cell morphology.

Furutani et al. (2000) proposed a model for the spr1 helical phenotype. In the axial direction of cell tissues, loss of anisotropic growth of inner cells leads to cell shortening, which ultimately...
results in plant shortening. Overexpression of SmSPR1 was also observed in the helix phenotype; the results of semi-thin section assays and PI staining experiments showed isotropic growth and expansion of cells in transgenic plants (Figures 3B,D). However, the hypocotyls of SmSPR1 overexpressing transgenic lines did not become shorter (Figure 2). The shortening of hypocotyls is one of the main characteristics of the above model, which is discordant with our results and cannot explain the SmSPR1 overexpression helix phenotype. Nakajima et al. (2004) found that the overexpression of AtSPR1 causes a small increase in the elongation of hypocotyls. Taken together, our results suggest that the contradictory phenotype of SmSPR1 overexpression transgenic lines could be attributable to both increased elongation and isotropic growth of hypocotyl cells. We can also assume that the expression level of SPR1 in the Arabidopsis wild type does not meet the maximum elongation of the hypocotyl, and increased expression of SPR1 may accelerate hypocotyl elongation.

We propose a model in which the SPR1 regulates MT polymerization in addition to stabilizing MT. The stability and polymerization of MTs determines the morphology of MTs. Both the spr1 mutant and overexpressing SPR1 lines showed a disruption of this balance, which in turn leads to the helical orientation of the MTs (Figure 7B). Our pharmacology experiments data demonstrated that SmSPR1 overexpressing transgenic plants have strong MT depolymerization tolerance relative to the wild-type plants (Figures 4C–G), demonstrating that MTs of transgenic plants are more stable than those of wild-type plants. Taxol (paclitaxel) is an MT-stabilizing drug often used for MT stability studies. Taxol and propyzamide both caused identical handedness of Arabidopsis seedlings, despite they have the opposite effect on the polymerization of MTs (Furutani et al., 2000; Sedbrook et al., 2004). Both SPR1 and taxol act to stabilize the MTs; increased expression of SPR1 and adding taxol both result in the helix phenotype of Arabidopsis, which indirectly demonstrates that overexpression of SPR1, like the addition of taxol, can cause MTs to be over stabilized, thus resulting in changes in the morphology of MTs and plants.
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