Effect of Moving Surface on NACA 63218 Aerodynamic Performance
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Abstract. The main subject of this work is the numerical study control of flow separation on a NACA 63218 airfoil by using moving surface. Different numerical cases are considered: the first one is the numerical simulation of non-modified airfoil NACA 63218 according at different angle of attack and the second one a set of moving cylinder is placed on leading edge of the airfoil. The rotational velocity of the cylinder is varied to establish the effect of momentum injection on modified airfoil aerodynamic performances. The turbulence is modeled by two equations k-epsilon model.

1 Introduction

The need for flow control emerged from the sixties years primarily to reduce wall friction, delay separation and reduce noise and vibration. To control the detachment of boundary layer, it became natural to intervene in the flow near the wall; because it is acting at the boundary layer it is possible to influence the aerodynamic characteristics of a global airfoil. Originally, the means of control were passive; they do not require an external energy supply. With the development of new technologies and improved knowledge about the internal dynamics of the turbulent boundary layer, control techniques available, passive or active, were extremely diverse. Since the publication of the first journals on the theory of boundary layer developed by Prandlt [1], a great challenge confronted scientists and engineers to minimize adverse effects and to further control flows.

Different methods such as synthetic jets, vortex generators, vortex manipulator were frequently made to experience this problem with a varied degree of success. A vast literature accumulated over the years in this area was actually reviewed by several authors as Ostowari [2], Tani [3], Chang [4] and others. However, the use of movable walls to control the boundary layer has received relatively less important. This technic called moving surface boundary-layer control is an active actuator to delay separation and control the flow of fluid around the body and improve the aerodynamic characteristics such as lift and drag. Among the most effective mechanisms for control by moving surface, we have to include the airfoils with rotating cylinder at the leading edge which is the subject of our present study. However, very few studies have focused on the complete characterization of the numerical and experimental mechanism and its influence on the flow. Similarly, the problems associated with the use of actuators have been little discussed. Mokhtarian [5] presented an experimental study of flow control using rotating cylinders of different shapes and various installation positions. A significant increase in maximum lift coefficient was recorded. A rotating cylinder at the leading edge of an airfoil seems to provide better results. Under optimal conditions, the lift coefficient greater than 200%, and the separation was delayed until the angle 48°.

In the present work, a numerical investigation of flow around a modified NACA 63218 is presented. As shown in Figure 1, the modified airfoil is the cord c = 150 mm with a rotating cylinder to the leading edge of 15 mm diameter (see the figure 2). Cylinder velocity Uc is 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 times of inlet velocity U = 20 m / s. The present study will be applied in the boundary layer which is the genesis of the phenomena governing the global dynamics of such flow control and aerodynamic performance of the modified airfoil.

2 Transport equations and turbulence model

The flow around the plane is considered turbulent asymmetrical. The general forms of the transport equations can be written in Cartesian coordinates as:

Continuity equation

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho \bar{U}_j \right) = 0 \]  

(1)

Momentum conservation equation

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \rho \bar{U}_j \bar{U}_j \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \tau_{jk} \right) = \rho \bar{f}_j \]

where \( \tau_{jk} \) is the stress tensor and \( \bar{f}_j \) are the body force. 
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The model of turbulence used in this present work is a model with two transport equations k-epsilon:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \mathbf{k}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho \mathbf{k} \mathbf{u}_j) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{k}}{\partial x_j} \right] \\
+ \mathbf{G}_k + \mathbf{G}_h - \rho \varepsilon - Y_M + \mathbf{S}_k
\end{align*}
\]

Production of turbulent kinetic energy \( \mathbf{G}_k \) is given by the formula:

\[
\mathbf{G}_k = -\rho \mathbf{u}_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_j}{\partial x_i}
\]

The turbulent viscosity is obtained by:

\[
\mu_t = \rho C_\mu \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon}
\]

The model constants are given in Table I:

| \( C_{1\varepsilon} \) | \( C_{2\varepsilon} \) | \( C_\mu \) | \( \sigma_k \) | \( \sigma_\varepsilon \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.44 | 1.92 | 0.09 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

(For more information see reference 3)

In the present work, the control of flow separation on a NACA 63218 airfoil by using moving surface is numerically investigated. Firstly the numerical simulation of non-controlled case is performed. According to existing model of NACA 63218 at different angle of attack and subsequently computational structured mesh are created in program Gambit.
As it shown in figure 3, typically the fluid box was modelled to have one inlet and one outlet and three slip surfaces, at the root of the geometry is being analysed. The geometry itself consisted of non-slip interior boundaries. The box also provided easy boundary specifications for the structural problems. Additionally, this method allowed easy estimation of the drag and lift forces produced by the various components, if desired.

4. Results

Several compilations were carried out for different angles of attack to determine the lift and drag. Each case was carried out at the same speed: 20 meters per second. The angle of attack extended from 0 to 30 degrees.

Figure 5. Computational visualizations of field vectors at 14° incidence.

In the figure 5, the computational visualizations of field vectors showed quite clearly the effectiveness of this form of control of the boundary layer (rotating cylinder). In the case of Uc / U = 0, the cylinder has negatively affected the flow with the appearance of well-defined separation bulbs especially at high incidence angle, with large-scale vortices downstream of the airfoil than in baseline airfoil. However, with the rotating cylinder to Uc / U = 1, the flow becomes more stable and attached to the upper surface. Uc / U = 2, 3, 4, the flow in the major portion of the upper surface is glued to the airfoil. The detaching position of the boundary layer moves progressively with the increase in the velocity of the cylinder to the trailing edge then the stall has been delayed.

Figure 6. Evolution of the lift coefficient.

Figure 6 compares the computational evolution of the lift according to the angle of attack with the experimentally lift in the baseline case [5]. An agreement of the two curves is noted in the linear zone. However, examining the stall zone, the differences between the numerical study and deviations from the measured curve become visible. It is clear that the numerical simulation is far from predicting the stall, because the models currently used are not accurate for flows with separate streams. Based on this comparison, it was concluded that the limited use of CFD is the post-stall is to say, at angles of attack below 12° or less.

In the figure 7 and in the case of establishment of a cylinder, different evolution of lift in the case of Uc / U = 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 are shown. In the case of the absence of rotation of the cylinder to Uc = 0 m/s, the gap created between the airfoil and the cylinder causes a decrease of the $C_{\text{Lmax}} = 0.83$ corresponding to an incidence of 12° and we note that the linear part remains practically unchanged. However, with the rotation of the cylinder, an injection flow is developed at the leading edge of the wing of causing a delaying off of the boundary layer. The stall occurs around the 28° angle in the case of Uc / U = 4 with an increase of the lift coefficient of approximately 45%. It remains to note that the increase in velocity of the cylinder beyond Uc / U = 3 is only slightly improves the situation suggested managing the existence of a critical velocity above which the rate added to the flow through the cylinder movement seems to have little effect. It is noted that the effect of the rotation of the cylinder is to extend the maximum lift without affecting the linear and without flattening the top of stall.
5. Conclusion

The results of this numerical investigation showed several benefits of the moving surface compared with a conventional airfoil. Also, the results showed that as the angular momentum of the moving surface is increased, the overall lift force increased and the drag force decrease. In addition to increasing lift, moving surface inhibit stall at high angles of attack. The most intriguing result of this research is the capability for moving surface aircraft to perform short take-offs and landings.
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