Three-dimensional evaluation of the relationship between nasopharyngeal airway shape and adenoid size in children
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Objective: To evaluate the shapes and sizes of nasopharyngeal airways by using cone-beam computed tomography and to assess the relationship between nasopharyngeal airway shape and adenoid hypertrophy in children. Methods: Linear and cross-sectional measurements on frontal and sagittal cross-sections containing the most enlarged adenoids and nasopharyngeal airway volumes were obtained from cone-beam computed tomography scans of 64 healthy children (11.0 ± 1.8 years), and the interrelationships of these measurements were evaluated. Results: On the basis of frontal section images, the subjects’ nasopharyngeal airways were divided into the following 2 types: the broad and long type and the narrow and flat type. The nasopharyngeal airway sizes and volumes were smaller in subjects with narrow and flat airways than in those with broad and long airways (p < 0.01). Children who showed high adenoid-nasopharyngeal ratios on sagittal imaging, indicating moderate to severe adenoid hypertrophy, had the narrow and flat type nasopharyngeal airway (p < 0.01). Conclusions: Cone-beam computed tomography is a clinically simple, reliable, and noninvasive tool that can simultaneously visualize the entire structure and a cross section of the nasopharyngeal airway and help in measurement of adenoid size as well as airway volume in children with adenoid hypertrophy. [Korean J Orthod 2013;43(4):160-167]
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INTRODUCTION

The nasopharyngeal airway includes the adenoids, which are a complex network of lymphatic tissues located in the posterior portion. In growing children, predisposing factors such as repeated infections or inflammation can lead to adenoid hypertrophy and constriction of the posterior airway. Children with narrowed nasopharyngeal airways tend to exhibit mouth breathing due to a partially impaired nasal respiratory function. In these children, long-term changes in respiratory patterns could cause disruptions in the balance of muscular activities, contribute to dental malocclusions, and affect craniofacial development.

In this context, assessment of the respiratory system is required to detect respiratory function impairment. However, there is little agreement on the most ideal method for evaluating adenoid size and the degree of nasopharyngeal airway obstruction. Nasopharyngoscopy and lateral cephalometric radiographs are the most valuable modalities to assess the nasopharyngeal airway. Nasopharyngoscopy enables direct visualization of the nasopharyngeal airway and is considered to be an accurate diagnostic tool; however, it is invasive and difficult to perform in children who may not tolerate the procedure.

In contrast, lateral cephalometric radiography is an easily applicable, noninvasive, and objective method for detecting nasopharyngeal airway obstruction. Therefore, it has been frequently used to briefly assess adenoid size and determine the necessity for adenoidectomy. Several studies have reported that the adenoid-to-nasopharyngeal (AN) ratio obtained through lateral cephalometric radiographs is reliable for assessing the nasopharyngeal airway and correlates with the findings obtained in other modalities of direct visualization of the nasopharyngeal airway, such as endoscopic examination.

However, the primary limitation of these imaging methods is that the three-dimensional (3D) structure of the airway is represented as a two-dimensional (2D) image. On lateral films alone, the exact soft tissue structures are undetectable, and volumetric assessment is impossible because the overlap of numerous structures can lead to great variations in the airway on the 2D images. In addition, it is difficult to evaluate the airway from various angles, such as frontal and sagittal views.

Therefore, 3D analysis of the airway shape and possible airway obstruction is important. Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), which has been commonly used in dentistry, can provide high-quality images for analyzing the oral and maxillofacial regions with lower costs and a 76.2% to 98.5% lower radiation dose (29 - 477 μSv) than that of conventional CT (approximately 2,000 μSv). CBCT can visualize the various internal anatomic structures and help in calculating the cross-sectional measurements on the sagittal, frontal, and axial slices as well as the total volumes.

It is important to use a method that is accurate, noninvasive, and easily applicable for assessing the nasopharyngeal airway. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to (1) assess the shape and size of the nasopharyngeal airway in cross-sectional images and (2) evaluate the correlation between the nasopharyngeal airway shape and the degree of adenoidal hypertrophy as determined by the AN ratio.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This study protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Committee at Hallym University Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital (IRB 09-111), and informed consent was obtained from the parents of the enrolled subjects. The sample group consisted of 64 healthy children (29 boys and 35 girls; age, 8 to 13 years; mean age, 11.03 ± 1.78 years) who visited the Department of Orthodontics, Hallym University Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital (Seoul, Korea) from December 2008 to November 2009. Children with congenital anomalies, such as cleft lip and palate or abnormal nasopharyngeal shape, were excluded. Subjects who had undergone surgeries previously, including adenoidectomies, tonsillectomies, or both, were also excluded.

CBCT assessment

CBCT scans were taken with maximum intercuspation. The subject was seated on a chair; positioned in a natural head position (mirror position); and was asked not to swallow, breathe, or move the head or tongue. Children were instructed to wear a thyroid collar while the CBCT was performed. All CBCT images were obtained with the Master 3D dental imaging system (Vatech Inc., Seoul, Korea) with the following parameters: 90 kV, 3.6 mAs, 15-second scan time, and 20 × 19 cm field of view. The slice thickness was set at 0.3 mm, and the voxel size was 0.3 × 0.3 × 0.3 mm. The 3D images were transformed to the DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine) format and reconstructed with the InVivoDental software (Anatomage Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Then, on multiple planar reconstruction (MPR) images, they were reoriented using the Frankfurt (FH) plane as the horizontal reference plane. The FH plane was constructed from the midpoint between the right and left porions and both right and left orbitales. The frontal plane was set to be perpendicular to the horizontal plane, including both orbitale points. In addition, the midsagittal plane was constructed through the anterior nasal spine (ANS) and perpendicular to the horizontal plane (Figure 1).

The cross-sectional measurements of the nasopharyngeal airway on the adenoid plane were defined and calculated. We also measured the nasopharyngeal airway volume (Vol) by using the InVivoDental software.
The references and variables of the three-dimensional pharyngeal airway that were identified in this study

| Variable                      | Description                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adenoid plane                 | The plane is identified from the frontal section of the pharyngeal airway, which is perpendicular to the midsagittal plane and Frankfurt plane. Also, the plane is passing through where the most enlarged adenoid is tangential (Ad-En), and that is NA's narrowest part. |
| Nasopharyngeal airway (NA)    | Anterior border is a vertical plane through posterior nasal spine (PNS) perpendicular to the sagittal plane. Inferior border is a plane perpendicular to the sagittal plane through the PNS and lower medial border of the first cervical vertebra. And posterior border is posterior wall of the pharynx. |
| Volumetric measurement        |                                                                                                                                             |
| Vol (mm$^3$)                  | The volume of the nasopharyngeal airway                                                                                                     |
| Frontal-sectional measurement |                                                                                                                                             |
| ad-w (mm)                     | The greatest width in direction of left-to-right of the pharyngeal airway (PA) on the adenoid plane                                            |
| ad-h1 (mm)                    | The minimum height of the PA on the adenoid plane                                                                                        |
| ad-h2 (mm)                    | The maximum height of the PA on the adenoid plane                                                                                        |
| ad-CSA (mm$^2$)               | The cross-sectional area of the PA on the adenoid plane                                                                                  |
| Sagittal-sectional measurement|                                                                                                                                             |
| A (mm)                        | The distance between the outermost point of convexity of adenoid shadow and sphenobasiocciput                                             |
| N (mm)                        | The distance between sphenobasiocciput and posterior end of the hard palate                                                               |
| AN ratio                      | A is divided to N according to Fujioka method                                                                                              |
| CAD (mm)                      | The most convex adenoid distance in nasopharyngeal airway region, which is regarded as nasopharyngeal flow in two-dimensional images          |

ad-w, width; ad-h1 and ad-h2, height; ad-CSA, cross-sectional area; CAD, convex adenoid distance.

Figure 1. Three-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography images were reoriented, based on the Frankfurt (FH) plane (the FH plane is passing through the right porion [Po] and the left and right orbitales [Or]) and the anterior nasal spine (ANS) used in this study. The FH plane was defined as the standard horizontal plane. The midsagittal plane is perpendicular to the FH plane and includes the ANS. A frontal plane, including the right and left orbitales, is also perpendicular to the FH plane and the sagittal plane. A, Frontal view of a skull. B, Sagittal view of a skull.
Because the airway is a void space surrounded by hard and soft tissues, an inversion of the 3D rendered image was taken to convert negative values to positive values, and vice versa. A targeted airway section was isolated by removing any unnecessary structures. The threshold value was established in a range from −1,024 to −300 Hounsfield units to isolate the nasopharyngeal airway space.

The lateral cephalometric images were obtained from 3D images, and the degree of nasopharyngeal airway obstruction was analyzed using the AN ratio (Table 1 and Figure 2). They were scored and classified as follows:

Minimal (AN ratio < 0.50) group = 0 point
Mild (0.50 ≤ AN ratio < 0.62) group = 1 point
Moderate (0.63 ≤ AN ratio < 0.75) group = 2 points
Severe (0.76 ≤ AN ratio < 0.88) group = 3 points

Statistical analysis
The same investigator (O.K.M.) obtained 4 nasopharyngeal airway measurements (width, ad-w; height, ad-h1 and ad-h2; and cross-sectional area, ad-CSA; Table 1) in the frontal section and 3 (distance between the outermost point of convexity of the adenoid shadow and the sphenobasiocciput, A; distance between the sphenobasiocciput and the posterior end of the hard palate, N; and convex adenoid distance, CAD) in the sagittal section, as well as the nasopharyngeal airway Vol. This procedure was repeated twice (Table 1). To assess the errors, all 3D images were remeasured 1 week

Figure 2. Three-dimensional (3D) measurements of the nasopharyngeal airway on the adenoid plane in this study. A, The nasopharyngeal airway on the midsagittal view. The most enlarged adenoid was tangential; the nasopharyngeal airway’s narrowest part is identified as Ad-En; and the nasopharyngeal airway is shown as the yellow area. B, The cross-sectional image of the nasopharyngeal airway in the frontal plane, in which the most enlarged adenoid was included (adenoid plane). C, The volumetric image of the skeletal and internal soft tissues. D, The volumetric image of the pharyngeal airway; the nasopharyngeal airway is shown within the boundary indicated by the green line. E, 3D image of the nasopharyngeal airway in the sagittal view of Figure 2D. F, 3D image of the nasopharyngeal airway in the superolateral view of Figure 2D. G, 3D image of the nasopharyngeal airway in the frontal view of Figure 2D. CAD, Convex adenoid distance; PNS, posterior nasal spine; NA, nasopharyngeal airway; A, the distance between the outermost point of convexity of adenoid shadow and sphenobasiocciput; N, the distance between sphenobasiocciput and posterior end of the hard palate.
The mean values and standard deviations were calculated. Using PASW Statistics 17.0 for Windows (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA), we tested for the normality of the distributions by setting the alpha value at a 0.05 level of significance for all continuous variables. A group average method for cluster analysis was performed with the measurements of the nasopharyngeal airway (ad-w, ad-h1, and ad-h2) on the adenoid plane, which excluded multicollinearity. On the basis of the resulting dendrogram, we divided the nasopharyngeal airway shapes into the following types: broad and thick (BT) and narrow and flat (NF). All measurements of the nasopharyngeal airway were compared between the BT and NF groups by using independent t-tests to determine the intergroup similarities and the characteristics of the different nasopharyngeal airway shapes. In addition, Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated to assess interrelationships among the variables of the nasopharyngeal airway.

The \( \chi^2 \) test was performed to evaluate the relationship between the nasopharyngeal airway shapes in the frontal plane and the degree of adenoid hypertrophy, as determined by the AN ratio.

**RESULTS**

The subjects were divided into the BT airway group and the NF airway group based on the nasopharyngeal airway shapes in the adenoid plane by using a cluster analysis (Figure 3). The group differences for each measurement of the nasopharyngeal airway in the adenoid plane were significant between the BT and NF types. In comparison with the BT group, the NF group had a greater width to height ratio (ad-w/h1) and a greater AN ratio. In addition, the NF group had a smaller...
width (ad-w), a shorter height (ad-h1, ad-h2), a smaller cross-sectional area (ad-CSA), a shorter convex adenoid distance (CAD), and a smaller volume (Vol) ($p < 0.01$; Table 2). The AN ratio was negatively correlated with ad-CSA, CAD, and Vol but positively correlated with ad-w/h1 (Table 3). Most (81.2%) of the subjects belonged to the BT group. Based on the $\chi^2$ test, the nasopharyngeal airway shapes in the adenoid plane were correlated with the severity of adenoid hypertrophy. All the children with moderate to severe AN ratios belonged to the NF group ($p < 0.05$; Table 4).

All measurements, including nasopharyngeal volumes, were obtained twice (Table 1). To assess the errors, all 3D images were remeasured 1 week after the first evaluation. No systemic errors were found based on the paired t-tests. Correlations between the first and second measurements ranged from 0.969 to 0.998 ($p < 0.001$; degree of freedom = 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Adenoids in children are twice the size of those in adults. The airway adjacent to the adenoids is the most frequently constricted part, and the resistance to airflow is influenced by airway shape and size. If the airway has a bent passage despite a large volume, airway resistance can greatly affect respiratory function, e.g., by causing nasal obstruction. Objective findings are necessary to decide if adenoidectomy is necessary in these children, and CBCT has been especially beneficial to understand and diagnose obstructed airways. As children have a high sensitivity to radiation, the radiation dose should be minimized when children are exposed. However, the radiation dose is reduced by up to 43% when patients wear protection, such as a thyroid collar. In this study, all patients wore a thyroid collar during the CBCT imaging.

In the 3D evaluation of the children’s airways on the sagittal or axial planes, the airway volumes or shapes showed correlations with the craniofacial morphologies. Solow and Sandham hypothesized that a change in head posture could rotate the mandible, and this modification of the jaw posture would lead to a change in the musculature, as well as induce malocclusions. Oh et al. showed that the inclination of the oropharyngeal airway was related to head posture and was affected by anteroposterior dysplasia in children. Therefore, in this study, the CBCT images were taken with a natural head position and in an upright position to examine the relationship among the frontal plane shape, the nasopharyngeal airway volume, and the craniofacial morphologies.

Previous studies have reported the airway sizes in children with mandibular prognathism or the shape of only the oropharyngeal airway in children with mandibular retrognathism. As there is no universal standard
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form and size of the nasopharyngeal airway in children, we attempted to measure the dimension of the airway in the sagittal and frontal planes to evaluate the nasopharyngeal airway size and form related to adenoid hypertrophy.

The subjects were divided into a BT shaped airway group and an NF shaped airway group based on the cross-sectional shape of the nasopharyngeal airway on the adenoid plane (Figure 3). The NF type of the nasopharyngeal airway showed smaller linear and volumetric measurements than the BT type. The NF type also had a greater ad-w to h1 ratio, which represented a significantly greater left-to-right enlargement of the adenoids in the frontal section view (p < 0.01; Table 2). The Pearson’s correlation coefficient test showed that a small Vol of the nasopharyngeal airway is related to a small airway passage on both frontal and sagittal cross-sections (p < 0.01; Table 3). These findings may suggest that the NF type of nasopharyngeal airway in the adenoid plane has a relatively smaller nasopharyngeal airway space and a relatively shorter distance between the superior and inferior borders (CAD in the sagittal plane), as compared to the BT type, as well as a flat superior border (Figure 4).

Conventionally, the AN ratio from the lateral cephalometric analysis has been a valid method to assess the nasopharyngeal airway in children with adenoid hypertrophy, and it has been proven to be a reliable diagnostic tool that was correlated with endoscopic examination. However, the AN ratio has a disadvantage, as during 2D analysis, objects that we would like to see are superimposed by other anatomic structures. Lertsburapa et al. believed that the AN ratio calculated from lateral radiographs had a tendency to overestimate or underestimate the adenoid size in comparison with nasal endoscopy. Therefore, the AN ratio should be assessed with a 3D analysis that considers the varying aspects of the nasopharyngeal airway shape and volume while taking just a single CBCT image.

The majority of the subjects had BT type nasopharyngeal airways (81.2%), indicating that a small number of children had small NF type nasopharyngeal airways and that the NF type was correlated with adenoid enlargement, especially in moderate and severe conditions (p < 0.001; Table 4). Lertsburapa et al. and Kolo et al. reported that children with high AN ratios presented with obstructive adenoids, which was in accordance with our results. In addition, Brodsky et al. showed that a reduced oropharyngeal airway size was related with adenoid hypertrophy in children. Thus, the results in this study indicated that the specific NF type and a small nasopharyngeal airway volume may be determined by the degree of adenoid hypertrophy.

Previous studies have evaluated the clinical symptoms, such as obstructive sleep apnea, that are associated with the pharyngeal airway and adenoid hypertrophy in children. Hibbert and Stell reported that nasopharyngeal airways were much smaller in children with obstructive symptoms. Abdurara et al. showed that airway size and shape are associated with resistance of pharyngeal air flow. In addition, Tagaya et al. explained that the severity of airway obstruction was correlated to adenoid size and adenoid hypertrophy, which could be major factors contributing to obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children. If adenoid hypertrophy persists, patients may develop obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and aberrant facial growth. Thus, children with relatively small NF type nasopharyngeal airways may have potential airway problems, which necessitate intensive observation to prevent undesirable facial growth during adolescence. Furthermore, a careful approach of an airway should be performed in association with clinical airway symptoms and an unfavorable facial growth pattern.

CBCT may be a reliable diagnostic imaging tool to provide accurate data regarding airway morphology and size to allow clinicians to determine the appropriate treatment for adenoids that are associated with respiratory problems. In addition, by incorporating CBCT images into daily practice, clinicians could promptly assess and screen the airway structures that have a significant impact on normal breathing. While clinicians are assessing the nasopharyngeal airway with CBCT, observation of the specific NF type and a small nasopharyngeal airway volume might be useful to evaluate the degree of adenoid hypertrophy.

**CONCLUSION**

Through this 3D analysis, cross-sectional shapes of the nasopharyngeal airway on the adenoid plane could be classified into BT and NF types. The NF type of nasopharyngeal airway was characterized by smaller widths, shorter heights, and smaller cross-sectional areas, with reference to the BT type, which might be associated with adenoidal hypertrophy. These results indicate that assessment of the nasopharyngeal airway using CBCT could be useful to predict the predisposing factors of undesirable facial growth, especially while treating children with constricted and NF shaped airways.

**REFERENCES**

1. Dunn GF, Green LJ, Cunat JJ. Relationships between variation of mandibular morphology and variation of nasopharyngeal airway size in monozygotic twins. Angle Orthod 1973;43:129-35.

2. Linder-Aronson S. Adenoids. Their effect on mode of breathing and nasal airflow and their relationship to characteristics of the facial skeleton and the dentition. A biometric, rhino-manometric and cephalo-metro-radiographic study on children with and without adenoids. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 1970;265:
1-132.
3. Subtelny JD, Baker HK. The significance of adenoid tissue in velopharyngeal function. Plast Reconstr Surg (1946) 1956;17:235-50.
4. Caylakli F, Hizal E, Yilmaz I, Yilmazer C. Correlation between adenoid-nasopharynx ratio and endoscopic examination of adenoid hypertrophy: a blind, prospective clinical study. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2009;73:1532-5.
5. Selkin SG. Flexible fiberoptics and pediatric otolaryngology. A simple technique for examination and photodocumentation. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1983;5:325-33.
6. Li AM, Wong E, Kew J, Hui S, Fok TF. Use of tonsil size in the evaluation of obstructive sleep apnoea. Arch Dis Child 2002;87:156-9.
7. Paradise JL, Bernard BS, Colborn DK, Janosky JE. Assessment of adenoidal obstruction in children: clinical signs versus roentgenographic findings. Pediatrics 1998;101:979-86.
8. Lertsburapa K, Schroeder JW Jr, Sullivan C. Assessment of adenoid size: A comparison of lateral radiographic measurements, radiologist assessment, and nasal endoscopy. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2010;74:1281-5.
9. Aboudara C, Nielsen I, Huang JC, Maki K, Miller AJ, Hatcher D. Comparison of airway space with conventional lateral headfilms and 3-dimensional reconstruction from cone-beam computed tomography. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2009;135:468-79.
10. Scarfe WC, Farman AG. What is cone-beam CT and how does it work? Dent Clin North Am 2008;52:707-30.
11. Kolo ES, Ahmed AO, Kazeem MJ, Nwaorgu OG. Plain radiographic evaluation of children with obstructive adenoids. Eur J Radiol 2011;79:e38-41.
12. Orji FT, Ezeanolue BC. Evaluation of adenoidal obstruction in children: clinical symptoms compared with roentgenographic assessment. J Laryngol Otol 2008;122:1201.
13. Ogawa T, Enciso R, Shintaku WH, Clark GT. Evaluation of cross-section airway configuration of obstructive sleep apnea. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;103:102-8.
14. Qu X, Li G, Zhang Z, Ma X. Thyroid shields for radiation dose reduction during cone beam computed tomography scanning for different oral and maxillofacial regions. Eur J Radiol 2012;81:e376-80.
15. Iwasaki T, Hayasaka H, Takemoto Y, Kanomi R, Yamasaki Y. Oropharyngeal airway in children with Class III malocclusion evaluated by cone-beam computed tomography. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2009;136:318.
16. Kim YJ, Hong JS, Hwang YI, Park YH. Three-dimensional analysis of pharyngeal airway in preadolescent children with different anteroposterior skeletal patterns. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2010;137:306.
17. Solow B, Sandham A. Cranio-cervical posture: a factor in the development and function of the dentofacial structures. Eur J Orthod 2002;24:447-56.
18. Oh KM, Hong JS, Kim YJ, Cevadanes LS, Park YH. Three-dimensional analysis of pharyngeal airway form in children with anteroposterior facial patterns. Angle Orthod 2011;81:1075-82.
19. Bartolomé Benito M, Hernández-Sampelayo Matos MT. A radiological assessment of the nasopharynx in healthy children from the Madrid area. An Esp Pediatr 1998;49:571-6.
20. Brodsky L, Moore L, Stanievich JF. A comparison of tonsillar size and oropharyngeal dimensions in children with obstructive adenotonsillar hypertrophy. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1987;13:149-56.
21. Hibbert J, Stell PM. A radiological study of the adenoid in normal children. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 1979;4:321-7.
22. Tagaya M, Nakata S, Yasuma F, Miyazaki S, Sasaki F, Morinaga M, et al. Relationship between adenoid size and severity of obstructive sleep apnea in preschool children. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2012;76:1827-30.
23. Marcus CL. Pathophysiology of childhood obstructive sleep apnea: current concepts. Respir Physiol 2000;119:143-54.
24. Schendel SA, Hatcher D. Automated 3-dimensional airway analysis from cone-beam computed tomography data. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010;68:696-701.
25. Bitar MA, Macari AT, Ghafari JG. Correspondence between subjective and linear measurements of the palatal airway on lateral cephalometric radiographs. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2010;136:43-7.