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1. Introduction

1.1. General statement

A new infectious disease known as coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was reported first time on 30 January 2020 in India as an outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) [1]. The virus that causes this transmittable disease is mainly transmitted through droplets generated when an infected person coughs, sneezes, or exhales. There is a chance of infection of COVID-19 by breathing in the virus if you are adjacent to someone who has COVID-19 infection or by touching a contaminated surface and then your mouth, eyes, or nose. That is why it is essential to wear a mask in the mouth and sanitize the hands rigorously of every person to rescue them from this infection. Most infected people pass through some mild to moderate symptoms of coronavirus and another is an asymptotically infected population with no symptoms so that directly we cannot recognize them. Since this is the 21st century’s largest outbreak globally, most countries are perceiving a surge in their daily COVID-19 disease tally. To avoid the continuous spread of the disease and break the chain, several lockdowns, announcing...
several guidelines, aggressive testing, vaccination, and timely provision of medicines are some ways governments are trying to impose on the population. The current Scenario and impact of COVID-19 in India have been discussed by Kumar et al. [2], Jachak et al. [3], Pradhan et al. [4], Asad et al. [5] and Sarkar et al. [6]. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on extreme hot climate in India has been studied by Sasikumar et al. [7]; and Bhadra et al. [8] investigated the effect of population density on COVID-19 infected and mortality rate in India. According to MOHFW [9], Govt. of India, the first COVID-19 vaccine was launched on 16th January 2021 in India. The Serum Institute of India has locally manufactured the Oxford-Astra Zeneca vaccine to fight against COVID-19 in India. At the same time, Bharat Biotech, the vaccine’s manufacturer, has produced India’s Covaxin for the same purpose. After launching the COVID-19 vaccine in India, healthcare and front-line workers are given the first preference, and then the persons over 60 years of age. Besides that, planning with the modern mathematical epidemiological model and the preventive control analysis is very important to break the chain of the continuous spread of the disease. We have to study the mechanism of this viral disease transmission and how to control the spread of the virus.

1.2. Different epidemiology models

Global analysis of an epidemiological model with varying populations and vaccination has been studied by Yang et al. [10] and Sun et al. [11]. Zhou and Cui [12] investigated the stability and bifurcation analysis for an epidemic SEIR model with a saturated recovery rate. The persistence of the HIV disease model has been discussed by Busenberg et al. [13] and Samanta [14]. Cai et al. [15] analyzed the extended HIV disease model with treatment. The stability analysis of cholera epidemic models has been discussed by Tian and Wang [16]. Bai and Zhou [17] analyzed an epidemic SEIRS model with vaccination and seasonally contact rate. Lahrouz et al. [18] explained the global stability for a SIRS epidemic model with vaccination. An SEIR epidemic system including media impact with relapse and nonlinear incidence rate has been explained by Wang et al. [19], and also by Khyar and Allali [20]. Then a simple mathematical model for fitting mild, severe, and known cases during the current COVID-19 epidemic has been considered by Betti and Heffernan [21]. Nadim et al. [22] analyzed a compartmental epidemic model of COVID-19 to predict and control the outbreak. Tian et al. [23] investigated the spread and control of COVID-19 using a data set. Li et al. [24] developed and analyzed a SEIQR difference-equation COVID-19 epidemic model. Sun et al. [25] explored the effects of lockdown and medical resources on the COVID-19 transmission in Wuhan. The transmissibility of the COVID-19 mathematical model has been studied by Chen et al. [26], Mumbu et al. [27], Rezapour et al. [28] and Wijaya et al. [29]. A critical analysis of the SIR epidemic model has been explained by Comunian et al. [30]. Engbert et al. [31] and Rihan et al. [32] studied the stochastic epidemic mathematical model for novel coronavirus infection. Carvalho and Pinto [33] studied the importance of quarantine in COVID-19 pandemic. The dynamical transmission of the coronavirus model has been analyzed by Memarbashi and Mahmoudi [34] and Farman et al. [35]. A state-space method is used by Koyama et al. [36] to find the time-varying reproduction number of COVID-19. A case study COVID-19 epidemic in Egypt using machine learning has been discussed by Amar et al. [37] and another case study with a mathematical model for the same pandemic in India has been explained by Biswas et al. [38]. Then network-based COVID-19 disease spreading in Italy has been discussed by Pizzuti et al. [39]. The only effect of lockdown in the COVID-19 pandemic has been studied by many scientists [40–42]. De Sousa et al. [43] discussed the Kinetic Monte Carlo COVID-19 epidemic model with the impact of mobility restriction. A SEIAR COVID-19 epidemic model with confinement and quarantine has been proposed by De la Sen et al. [44] and Yuan et al. [45]. Hikal et al. [46] analyzed the stability of the COVID-19 epidemic model with fractional-order derivatives whereas the delay in implementing the quarantine policy. Mishra et al. [47] studied the three novel quarantine epidemic systems for the spread of novel coronavirus worldwide. A SIHR epidemic model with population size dependent contact rate has been analyzed by Jiao and Huang [48]. During the same pandemic, the bed allocation strategy in hospital-based on queuing theory has been studied by Hu et al. [49]. Modeling of control strategy depending on test, trace and quarantine for the coronavirus disease in a state of Brazil has been discussed by Amaku et al. [50]. Then different control strategies for the COVID-19 epidemic have been optimized by many mathematician [51–62]. The impact of influenza vaccination in public health for the COVID-19 epidemic has been analyzed by Li et al. [63]. Gonçalves et al. [64] considered the dynamical analysis on COVID-19 disease in non-human primates.

1.3. Uniqueness of proposed COVID-19 model

Our study analyzed the stability analysis of the new COVID-19 epidemic model with lockdown effect and the same natural death rate in each class that provides a more accurate representation of the infection rate. The basic reproduction number is calculated based on some parameter table values with different progression rates and effective contact rates of infective individuals; and presented graphically. After lockdown, we discussed this mathematical epidemiological model with three controls: vaccination control on exposed class, treatment control on asymptomatic infected class, and another treatment control on symptomatic infected class. First, optimal control represents the vaccination been applied on exposed population, second optimal control represents the recovery rate of the symptomatic infected individuals under treatment, and third optimal control represents the recovery rate of the asymptomatic infected individuals under treatment. Then, the objective function is defined for the three optimal control systems to minimize the effect of infection on exposed, asymptotic, and symptomatic infected phases.

2. Formulation of six-compartmental pandemic model

In this section, a six-compartmental model has been studied. To derive a realistic model, we divide the total population $N(t)$ in to six different classes, namely, susceptible class $S(t)$, exposed class $E(t)$, infected but asymptomatic class $I_A(t)$,
Fig. 1. Flow diagram of the mathematical pandemic model.

| Parameter | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| $\Lambda$ | Recruitment rate of new individuals |
| $\alpha_1$ | The progression rate from the susceptible class to the lockdown class |
| $\alpha_2$ | The progression rate from the lockdown class to the susceptible class |
| $\beta$ | Effective contact rate of asymptomatic infective individuals |
| $d$ | Natural death rate in each class |
| $\gamma_1$ | Rate of exposed individuals gets infected and remain asymptotic to COVID-19 disease |
| $\gamma_2$ | Rate of exposed individuals get recovered |
| $\delta_1$ | Rate of asymptotic infected individuals become symptomatic to the disease |
| $\delta_2$ | Rate of asymptomatic infected individuals gets recovered |
| $\mu_1$ | Death rate of the asymptomatic infected individuals due to the COVID-19 disease |
| $\mu_2$ | Death rate of symptomatic infected individuals due to the COVID-19 disease |
| $\epsilon$ | Recovery rate of the symptomatic infected individuals |

The COVID-19 pandemic model has the following assumptions (see Table 1):

(a) The susceptible population ($S$) consists of humans who are not yet infected by COVID-19 disease. Still, it is assumed that the humans of this class are infected when there is an effective contact with asymptomatic infected individuals ($I_A$) and the transmission rate of infection is given by $\beta S I_A$.

(b) The lockdown population ($L$) consists of humans moving from susceptible class and confine due to lockdown, and the rate of transmission from susceptible class is given by $\alpha_1 S$ and move out from the lockdown class ($L$) to the susceptible class with the transmission rate $\alpha_2 L$.

(c) The exposed population ($E$) is composed of humans who are infected by COVID-19 disease and are not capable of spreading the disease. After testing positive for COVID-19 disease, the humans are assumed to be asymptomatic and move to the asymptomatic infected class ($I_A$) with the rate of transmission given by $\gamma_1 E$. Some humans of this class naturally recover from COVID-19 disease and move to the recovered class ($R$) with the transmission rate $\gamma_2 E$.

(d) The asymptomatic infected individuals ($I_A$) is composed of humans who are infected with COVID-19 disease without any symptoms. The humans of this class, after symptoms appear, move to the symptomatic infected class ($I_S$) with the rate of transmission $\delta_1 I_A$. Some humans of this class naturally recover from the disease and move to the recovered class ($R$) with the transmission rate $\delta_2 I_A$. 

infected but symptomatic class $I_S(t)$ and recovered class $R(t)$. The transfer diagram of the proposed pandemic model is represented in Fig. 1.
(e) The symptomatic infected individuals ($I_S$) is composed of humans who are infected with COVID-19 disease with symptoms of the disease. Some humans of this class recover from COVID-19 disease who are under treatment and move to the recovered class ($R$) with the rate of transmission given by $\epsilon I_S$.

(f) It is assumed that in every compartment, the natural death of humans occurs. In the compartments, $I_A$ and $I_S$ there exists the death of humans related to the COVID-19 disease in addition to the natural death.

A mathematical model of COVID-19 that provides a more accurate representation of the infection rate, which is useful for prevention and control, is given by the following system of nonlinear differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= A + a_2 L - (a_1 + d) S - \beta SI_A \\
\frac{dL}{dt} &= a_1 S - (a_2 + d) L \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta SI_A - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) E \\
\frac{dI_A}{dt} &= \gamma_1 E - (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d) I_A \\
\frac{dI_S}{dt} &= \delta_1 I_A - (d + \mu_2 + \epsilon) I_S \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma_2 E + \delta_2 I_A + \epsilon I_S - d R
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

Here all coefficients are positive with their initial conditions:

$$
S(0) > 0; L(0) > 0; E(0) \geq 0; I_A(0) \geq 0; I_S(0) \geq 0; R(0) \geq 0
$$

(2)

3. Basic properties of the model

3.1. Non-negativity solutions

**Theorem 1.** All solution of the system (1) with initial conditions (2) are non-negative for all $t \geq 0$.

**Proof.** The functions on the right-hand side of the system (1) are completely continuous and locally Lipschitzian on $C^1$, and hence the unique solution $(S(t), L(t), E(t), I_A(t), I_S(t), R(t))$ of the system (1) with the initial conditions (2) exists on the interval $[0, u]$ where $0 < u \leq \infty$. From the first equation of the system (1) with $S(0) > 0$, we get $\frac{dS}{dt} > -(a_1 + d + \beta I_A) S$ and hence $S(t) > S(0)e^{-\beta \int_0^t I_A(s) ds} > 0$, where $\psi(I_A) = a_1 + d + \beta I_A(x)$. Integrating the second equation of (1) with $L(0) > 0$, we get $\frac{dL}{dt} > -(a_2 + d)L$, and hence $L(t) > L(0)e^{-\int_0^t (a_2 + d) ds} > 0$. From the remaining equations of the system (1) with $E(0) \geq 0$, $I_A(0) \geq 0$, $I_S(0) \geq 0$ and $R(0) \geq 0$, we get $\frac{dE}{dt} \geq -(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) E$, $\frac{dI_A}{dt} \geq -(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d) I_A$, $\frac{dI_S}{dt} \geq -(d + \mu_2 + \epsilon) I_S$, $\frac{dR}{dt} \geq -d R$ and hence after integrating, we get $E(t) \geq E(0)e^{-\int_0^t (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d) ds} \geq 0$, $I_A(t) \geq I_A(0)e^{-\int_0^t (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d) ds} \geq 0$, $I_S(t) \geq I_S(0)e^{-\int_0^t (d + \mu_2 + \epsilon) ds} \geq 0$ and $R(t) \geq R(0)e^{-dt} \geq 0$. Hence the proof.

3.2. Invariant region of the system and boundedness

**Theorem 2.** All solutions of the system (1) which lies in $R^6_+$ are uniformly bounded and are restricted to the invariant region $D = \{(S, L, E, I_A, I_S, R) \in R^6_+ : 0 < Q(t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\}$ as $t \to \infty$, where $h \leq d$ and $Q(t) = S(t) + L(t) + E(t) + I_A(t) + I_S(t) + R(t)$.

**Proof.** Let us assume that $(S, L, E, I_A, I_S, R)$ be the solution of (1). Let $Q(t) = S(t) + L(t) + E(t) + I_A(t) + I_S(t) + R(t)$. The time derivative of $Q(t)$ is given by $\frac{dQ}{dt} = A - dQ - \mu_1 I_A - \mu_2 I_S$. Hence for each $h > 0$, we have $\frac{dQ}{dt} + hQ = A - (d + h)Q - \mu_1 I_A - \mu_2 I_S$. For $h \leq d$, we get $\frac{dQ}{dt} + hQ \leq A$. Applying the theory of differential inequality [65], we get $0 < Q(S(t), L(t), E(t), I_A(t), I_S(t), R(t)) \leq \frac{Q(0)}{(1 - e^{-ht})} + Q(S(0), L(0), E(0), I_A(0), I_S(0), R(0))e^{-ht}$ which yields, $0 < Q(t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus every solution of (1) which pledge in $R^6_+$ are uniformly bounded and restricted to the region $D = \{(S, L, E, I_A, I_S, R) \in R^6_+ : 0 < Q(t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\}$. Hence the region $D$ with the initial conditions (2) is a positively invariant region under the flow induced by the system (1) in $R^6_+$. \[Q\]

**Remark 3.** Since every solution of (1) have non-negative components with non-negative initial values in $D$ for $t \geq 0$ and globally attracting in $R^6_+$ based on the system (1), and further, the last equation of the system (1) does not depend on the other equations, we confine our attention of the dynamics of the system (1) without involving the last compartment in $I' = \{(S, L, E, I_A, I_S) \in R^5_+ : 0 < S(t) + L(t) + E(t) + I_A(t) + I_S(t) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}\}$. Thus the system (1) defined on $I'$ is well-posed mathematically and epidemiologically. So, it is sufficient to study the dynamics of the system (1) defined on $I'$.

4. Equilibrium points of the system and its existence

To find the equilibria of the system (1), we set the right hand side of the system to equal zero. Then we get two equilibria in the coordinate $(S, L, E, I_A, I_S)$: (i) Disease-free equilibrium (DFE) $P_0(S_0, L_0, 0, 0, 0)$ where, $S_0 = \frac{\alpha_2 L_0}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 d}$ and $L_0 = \frac{\alpha_1 S_0}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 d}$. It is
observed that DFE \( P_0 \) always exists. (ii) The endemic equilibrium (EE) \( P_1(S^*, L^*, E^*, I^*_A, I^*_S) \) with positive components: \( S^* = \frac{S_0}{R_0} \), 
\[
L^* = \frac{\gamma_1 I^*_A}{R_0 \Delta(c_2)} E^* = \frac{\gamma_1 A_2 c_3 c_2}{\Delta(c_2)} E^*, I^*_A = \frac{\gamma_2 E^*}{\Delta(c_2)} I^*_A, I^*_S = \frac{\gamma_3 E^*}{\Delta(c_2)} I^*_S \]

where \( c_1 = d + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, c_2 = d + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1, c_3 = d + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \) 
\[
\gamma_1 = d + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, c_4 = d + \alpha_2. \]

Clearly \( S^* > 0, L^* > 0 \) but \( E^*, I^*_A \) and \( I^*_S \) are positive if \( \gamma_1 A_2 c_3 c_2 > 0 \) and hence \( E^* = \frac{\gamma_1 A_2 c_3 c_2}{\Delta(c_2)} I^*_A \) and \( I^*_S = \frac{\gamma_3 A_2 c_3 c_2}{\Delta(c_2)} I^*_S \) which are positive. Hence the EE point \( P_1 \) exists if \( R_0 > 1 \).

5. Basic reproduction number \( R_0 \)

This section represents the basic reproduction number, denoted by \( R_0 \), that is “the number of secondary cases which one case would produce in a completely susceptible population” [66]. Using the method of next generation matrix [67], we determine the expression for \( R_0 \) at \( P_0(S_0, L_0, 0, 0, 0) \). Let \( x = (E, I_A, I_S, S, L)^T \), then the system (1) can be written as

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = F(x) - Y(x)
\]

where \( F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta S I_A \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( Y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 E \\ -\gamma_1 E + c_2 I_A \\ -\delta_1 I_A + c_3 I_S \\ -A - \alpha_2 L + (\alpha_1 + \beta I_A + d)S \\ -\alpha_1 S + c_4 L \end{pmatrix} \).

The Jacobian matrices of \( F(x) \) and \( Y(x) \) at the DFE \( P_0 \) are given by

\[
DF(P_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad DY(P_0) = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\gamma_1 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_1 & c_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_6 & -\alpha_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then the matrices \( F \) and \( V \) can be written as

\[
F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} -c_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and \( FV^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

The spectral radius of \( FV^{-1} \) is \( \rho(FV^{-1}) \) which is the basic reproduction number \( R_0 = \rho(FV^{-1}) = \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} \).

6. Local stability analysis

6.1. Local stability of DFE

Theorem 4. The DFE \( P_0 \) of (1) is locally asymptotically stable if \( R_0 < 1 \) and unstable if \( R_0 > 1 \).

Proof. The Jacobian matrix of (1) at \( P_0 \) is given by

\[
J(P_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -c_5 & \alpha_2 & 0 & -\frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & -c_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -c_1 & \frac{\beta A c_2}{d c_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & -c_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_1 & -c_5 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Eigenvalues of the above matrix are \( \lambda_1 = -c_5 < 0, \lambda_2 = -c_3 < 0, \lambda_3 = -d < 0, \lambda_4 = -c_1 + \sqrt{c_1 c_2 + \frac{\beta A c_2^2}{d c_3^2}} < 0 \) and \( \lambda_5 = -c_1 + \sqrt{c_1 c_2 + \frac{\beta A c_2^2}{d c_3^2}} < 0 \) if and only if \( \frac{4 A c_2^2}{d c_3^2} < 4 c_1 c_2 \). But \( \frac{4 A c_2^2}{d c_3^2} < 4 c_1 c_2 \) if and only if \( R_0 < 1 \). Hence \( P_0 \) is stable if \( R_0 < 1 \) and unstable if \( R_0 > 1 \).

6.2. Local stability of EE

The local stability of the endemic equilibrium \( P_1 \) is proved using the Routh–Hurwitz criterion [68,69].

Theorem 5. If \( R_0 > 1 \), then the EE \( P_1 \) of (1) exists and is locally asymptotically stable if it satisfies the condition \( A_1 A_2 A_3 - A_3^2 - A_1^2 A_2 > 0 \), where \( c_1 = d + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2, c_2 = d + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1, c_3 = d + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, c_4 = d + \alpha_2, c_5 = d + e + \mu_2, c_6 = a_1 + a_2, k_1 = a_1 + \beta I^*_A + d, k_2 = \beta S^*, k_3 = \beta I^*_A, A_1 = c_1 + c_2 + c_4 + k_1, A_2 = (c_4 k_1 - a_1 a_2) + (c_1 + c_2)(c_4 + k_1), A_3 = (c_1 + c_2) c_4 k_1 - a_1 a_2 + k_2 k_1, A_4 = c_4 (c_1 k_1 + c_2 k_2). \)
The Jacobian matrix of (1) at $P_j$ is given by
\[
J(P_j) = \begin{pmatrix}
-k_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 & -k_2 & 0 \\
\alpha_1 & -c_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
k_3 & 0 & -c_4 & k_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & -c_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_1 & -c_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The characteristic equation of the above Jacobian matrix is given by $(\lambda + c_3)(\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_2\lambda^2 + A_3\lambda + A_4) = 0$, where $k_1 = \alpha_1 + \beta I_A^* + d$, $k_2 = \beta S^*$, $k_3 = \beta I_A^*$, $A_1 = c_1 + c_2 + c_4 + k_1 > 0$, $A_2 = (c_2 k_1 - a_1 a_2) + (c_1 + c_2)(c_4 + k_1)$, $A_3 = (c_1 + c_2)(c_4 k_1 - a_1 a_2) + k_2 k_3$, and $A_4 = k_2 k_3$. Clearly one of the roots of the characteristic equation of $J(P_j)$ is $\alpha < 0$. The remaining roots can be determined from the following equation $\lambda^3 + A_1\lambda^2 + A_2\lambda^2 + A_3\lambda + A_4 = 0$. Analyzing the polynomial by using the Routh–Hurwitz criterion [69], we get that the EE $P_1$ is locally asymptotically stable if $A_j > 0$ for $i = 1, 3, 4$ and $A_1 A_2 A_3 - A_1^2 A_4 > 0$. Since $c_j k_1 - a_1 a_2 > 0$ and $c_1 c_2 - k_2 k_3 = 0$, we get $A_j > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The equilibrium point $P_1$ exists iff $R_0 > 1$ and is locally asymptotically stable if it satisfies the condition $A_1 A_2 A_3 - A_1^2 A_4 > 0$. □

7. Global stability analysis of the model

7.1. Global stability of DFE

**Theorem 6.** The DFE $P_0$ of the system (1) is globally asymptotically stable if $R_0 < 1$.

**Proof.** Since $P_0$ is locally asymptotically stable when $R_0 < 1$, it is sufficient to show that $P_0$ is globally attractive. In Section 3, it has been proved that every solution of (1) is non-negative and bounded. For a bounded and continuous real valued function $g(t)$ (say) defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$, let $\bar{g} = \lim_{t \to \infty} g(t)$ and $\underline{g} = \liminf_{t \to \infty} g(t)$. Hence by the Fluctuation lemma [70] (using the following notations in [70]), there is a sequence $\sigma_n \to \infty$ such that $S(\sigma_n) \to \bar{S}$ and $S'(\sigma_n) \to 0$ whenever $n \to \infty$. From the first equation of (1), we get
\[
S'(\sigma_n) + c_6 S(\sigma_n) + \beta S(\sigma_n) I_A(\sigma_n) = A + a_2 L(\sigma_n).
\]
Letting $n \to \infty$, we get
\[
c_6 \bar{S} \leq (c_6 + \beta \bar{A} \bar{S}) \leq A + a_2 \bar{L}
\]
and using the remaining equations of (1), we get the following
\[
c_6 \bar{L} \leq A\bar{S}
\]
\[
c_6 \bar{E} \leq \beta \bar{S} \bar{I}_A
\]
\[
c_6 \bar{T}_A \leq \gamma_1 \bar{I}_A
\]
\[
c_6 \bar{T}_S \leq \delta_1 \bar{T}_A
\]
\[
ed \bar{R} \leq \gamma_2 \bar{E} + \epsilon \bar{T}_S + \delta_2 \bar{T}_A
\]
Next, we shall show that $\bar{E} \leq 0$. Suppose that $\bar{E} > 0$, using (6) and (7), we get $c_6 \bar{E} \leq \beta \bar{S} \bar{I}_A \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} \bar{E}$. Since $\bar{S} > 0$, we get $\bar{E} \leq \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} \bar{E}$. Since $R_0 < 1$, we get $\bar{S} > \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{E}$. Therefore, $\frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{E} < \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} < \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4}$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{E} = 0$ and hence $\lim E(t) = 0$. Suppose $\bar{A} > 0$, then using (6) and (7), we get $\beta \bar{S} \bar{I}_A \geq c_6 \bar{E} \geq \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} \bar{I}_A$. Since $\bar{I}_A > 0$, we get $\bar{S} \geq \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} \bar{I}_A = \bar{S} \geq \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{E}$. Further from (3) and (4), we get $\bar{S} \leq \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{E}$. Therefore, $\frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{E} < \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} \leq \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{E}$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{I}_A = 0$ which implies $\lim I_A(t) = 0$. Since $\bar{A} = 0$, $\bar{E} = 0$ from (9), we get $d \bar{R} \leq \epsilon \bar{T}_S \leq \frac{c_6}{c_4} \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha_3} \bar{T}_A$. Therefore, $\bar{R} = 0$ and $\bar{T}_S = 0$ which implies $\lim \bar{R}(t) = 0$ and $\lim \bar{I}_A(t) = 0$. Using Fluctuation lemma [70], we get a sequence $\rho_n \to \infty$ such that $S(\rho_n) \to \bar{S}$. $S'(\rho_n) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. From (4), we get $S'(\rho_n) + c_6 S(\rho_n) = A + a_2 L(\rho_n)$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we get $c_6 \bar{S} = A + a_2 \bar{L}$ and from (5), we get $L(\rho_n) + c_6 L(\rho_n) = a_2 S(\rho_n)$ and then $c_6 \bar{L} = a_2 \bar{S}$. Therefore, $c_6 \bar{S} = A + \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$ which implies $S = \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$. But, $\bar{S} \leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$. Hence, $\lim S(t) = \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$. Using $c_6 \bar{S} = A + a_2 \bar{L}$ and $c_6 \bar{L} = a_2 \bar{S}$, we get $\bar{L} = \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$. Further using (4) and (5), we get $c_6 \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} \leq c_6 \bar{S} \leq A + a_2 \bar{L}$ which implies $\bar{L} \leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$. So, $\bar{L} \leq \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S} = \bar{L}$ and hence $\lim \bar{L}(t) = \frac{a_2}{\alpha_3} \bar{S}$. Therefore, $\lim \bar{S}(t), L(t), E(t), I_A(t), I_S(t), R(t)) = P_0$. □

7.2. Global stability of the EE

We now analyze the global stability of the endemic equilibrium (EE) of the system (1) using Lyapunov functional method [71].

**Theorem 7.** The system (1) is globally asymptotically stable around the EE point $P_1(S^*, L^*, E^*, I_A^*, I_S^*)$, if $R_0 > 1$ and the following conditions are satisfied.
Proof. Let us consider the Lyapunov functional $L : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ as follows:

$$L(S, L, E, I_A, I_S) = a_1 \int_S \left( \frac{m - S_0}{m} \right) dm + a_2 \int_L \left( \frac{m - L_0}{m} \right) dm + a_3 \int_E \left( \frac{m - E_0}{m} \right) dm \quad (10)$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^+(i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ and their values are assumed in the following steps. Clearly $L(S, L, E, I_A, I_S) > 0$ on $F - (S^*, L^*, E^*, I_A^*, I_S^*)$. Differentiating (10) with respect to time $t$, we get

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = a_1 \left( \frac{-S - S_0}{S} \right) \frac{dS}{dt} + a_2 \left( \frac{L - L_0}{L} \right) \frac{dL}{dt} + a_3 \left( \frac{E - E_0}{E} \right) \frac{dE}{dt} + a_4 \left( \frac{I_A - I_A^*}{I_A} \right) \frac{dI_A}{dt} \quad (11)$$

We get the following result after some mathematical computations

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = a_1 \left( \frac{a_1 A}{S S^*} \right) \left( \frac{S - S_0}{S} \right) \left( \frac{S_0 - S_0}{S} \right) + a_2 \left( \frac{L - L_0}{L} \right) \left( \frac{L_0 - L_0}{L} \right) + a_3 \left( \frac{E - E_0}{E} \right) \left( \frac{E_0 - E_0}{E} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$\quad + \frac{dL}{dt} = a_1 \left( \frac{a_1 A}{S S^*} \right) \left( \frac{S - S_0}{S} \right) \left( \frac{S_0 - S_0}{S} \right) + a_2 \left( \frac{L - L_0}{L} \right) \left( \frac{L_0 - L_0}{L} \right) + a_3 \left( \frac{E - E_0}{E} \right) \left( \frac{E_0 - E_0}{E} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$\quad - \left( \frac{a_1 A}{I_A I_A^*} \right) \left( \frac{I_A - I_A^*}{I_A} \right) \left( \frac{I_A^* - I_A^*}{I_A} \right) + a_4 \left( \frac{I_A - I_A^*}{I_A} \right) \left( \frac{I_A^* - I_A^*}{I_A} \right) \quad (13)$$

Eq. (13) is written as

$$L = X^T \xi X$$

where

$$\xi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} & \xi_{12} & \xi_{13} & \xi_{14} & \xi_{15} \\ \xi_{21} & \xi_{22} & \xi_{23} & \xi_{24} & \xi_{25} \\ \xi_{31} & \xi_{32} & \xi_{33} & \xi_{34} & \xi_{35} \\ \xi_{41} & \xi_{42} & \xi_{43} & \xi_{44} & \xi_{45} \\ \xi_{51} & \xi_{52} & \xi_{53} & \xi_{54} & \xi_{55} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} S - S^* \\ L - L^* \\ E - E^* \\ I_A - I_A^* \\ I_S - I_S^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Here, $\xi = (\xi_{ij})_{5 \times 5}$ is a real symmetric matrix with $\xi_{11} = -\frac{a_1 A + a_2 L}{S S^*}$, $\xi_{12} = 1 \left( \frac{a_1 A + a_2 L}{S S^*} \right)$, $\xi_{13} = 0$, $\xi_{14} = -\frac{a_1}{L}$, $\xi_{15} = 0$, $\xi_{21} = 1 \left( \frac{a_1 A + a_2 L}{S S^*} \right)$, $\xi_{22} = -\frac{a_2 L}{L S^*}$, $\xi_{23} = 0$, $\xi_{24} = 0$, $\xi_{25} = 0$, $\xi_{31} = 0$, $\xi_{32} = 0$, $\xi_{33} = -\frac{a_2 I_A}{E E^*}$, $\xi_{34} = 1 \left( \frac{a_2 I_A}{E E^*} \right)$, $\xi_{35} = 0$, $\xi_{41} = -\frac{a_1 E}{E L^*}$, $\xi_{42} = 0$, $\xi_{43} = 0$, $\xi_{44} = 0$, $\xi_{45} = 0$, $\xi_{51} = 1 \left( \frac{a_2 I_A}{E E^*} \right)$, $\xi_{52} = 0$, $\xi_{53} = 0$, $\xi_{54} = 0$, $\xi_{55} = -\frac{a_2 I_A}{E E^*}$.

The endemic equilibrium point $P_i$ would be globally asymptotically stable if $L < 0$, i.e., if the real quadratic form $X^T \xi X$ is negative definite. From Frobenius theorem [7], the real symmetric matrix $\xi$ must be negative definite for the negativity of the quadratic form $X^T \xi X$ and hence must satisfy $(-1)^n D_n > 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, where

$$D_1 = \xi_{11}, \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} & \xi_{12} \\ \xi_{21} & \xi_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} & \xi_{12} & \xi_{13} & \xi_{14} & \xi_{15} \\ \xi_{21} & \xi_{22} & \xi_{23} & \xi_{24} & \xi_{25} \\ \xi_{31} & \xi_{32} & \xi_{33} & \xi_{34} & \xi_{35} \\ \xi_{41} & \xi_{42} & \xi_{43} & \xi_{44} & \xi_{45} \\ \xi_{51} & \xi_{52} & \xi_{53} & \xi_{54} & \xi_{55} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_3 = ||\xi||.$$

If we choose $a_1 = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, then we have the following conditions,

(i) $L^2 + 2S^2 + 2L^2 (S^2 + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(ii) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 - 2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(iii) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 (2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(iv) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 (2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(v) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 (2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(vi) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 (2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(vii) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 (2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$

(viii) $E^2 E^2 L^2 S^2 (2E^2 E^2 S^2 I_A^2 L + a_2 L + a_2) < 0$
(iv) \( E^2 I_A^2 I^3 L^3 S^4 T^3 B^3 = -2E I_A I_2 S^4 T^3 B^3 (S^2 I_A + L_2^2) - 4LS^2 I_2 (L_2 + A) + E^2 I_A E^3 T^3 B^3 (S^2 I_A + L_2^2 - 4LS^2 I_2 (L_2 + A) + I_A S^3 B^3 (E^3 T^3 B^3 (S^2 I_A + L_2^2 + 4LS^2 I_2 (L_2 + A)) > 0 \)

8. Dynamics of the system with control after lockdown

In this section, an optimal control system based on the CoV SARS-2 pandemic model (1) has been set up so that this vulnerable situation can be normalized. Here, we introduce three optimal control variables \( v_1(t), v_2(t) \) and \( v_3(t) \). The optimal control \( v_1(t) \) represents the vaccination on exposed population per unit time at \( t \), the control \( v_2(t) \) represents the recovery rate of the asymptomatic infected individuals under treatment per unit time at \( t \), and the control \( v_3(t) \) represents the recovery rate of the symptomatic infected individual under treatment per unit time at \( t \). Then, the pandemic model with vaccine and treatments after lockdown becomes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= A - \beta SI_A - dS \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \beta SI_A - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d + v_1(t)) E \\
\frac{dI_A}{dt} &= \gamma_1 E - (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d + v_2(t)) I_A \\
\frac{dI_S}{dt} &= \delta_1 I_A - (d + \mu_2 + \epsilon + v_3(t)) I_S \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= (\gamma_2 + v_1(t)) E + (\delta_2 + v_2(t)) I_A + (\epsilon + v_3(t)) I_S - dR
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

satisfying the initial conditions

\[
S(0) = S_0, E(0) = E_0, I_A(0) = I_{A_0}, I_S(0) = I_{S_0}, R(0) = R_0 \geq 0
\]

(16)

The effect of infection on exposed, asymptomatic and symptomatic infected phases are negative for recovered individuals around them. Thus it is essential to minimize them.

The objective functional \([72–76]\) is defined as

\[
J(v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)) = \int_0^T \left[ W_1 E(t) + W_2 I_A(t) + W_3 I_S(t) + \frac{W_4}{2} v_1(t) + \frac{W_5}{2} v_2(t) + \frac{W_6}{2} v_3(t) \right] dt
\]

(17)

where \( W_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \)) are positive weight factors that balance the size of the terms in the integrand. The weights \( W_4, W_5, W_6 \) represent the human’s level of acceptance of the vaccination, treatments on asymptomatic and symptomatic infected population respectively. Here, \( E(t), I_A(t) \) and \( I_S(t) \) are the state variables with the admissible control set \( V = \{(v_1, v_2, v_3) : v_i \text{ is measurable}, 0 \leq v_i \leq 1, t \in [0, T]\} \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and we have to seek the optimal control \( (v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) \) such that the objective functional is to be minimized, i.e., \( J(v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) = \min \{ J(v_1, v_2, v_3) : (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in V \} \).

8.1. Existence of an optimal control

Here, we shall show that there exists an optimal control \( (v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) \) for the control system (15) with initial condition (16). Let \( E(t), I_A(t) \) and \( I_S(t) \) be the state variables with controls \( v_1(t), v_2(t) \) and \( v_3(t) \) respectively.

Theorem 8. For the control system (15) with initial condition (16), there exists an optimal control \( (v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) \) such that \( J(v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) = \min \{ J(v_1, v_2, v_3) : (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in V \} \).

Proof. The optimal control system (16) can be expressed as the following form:

\[
G(\phi) = C\phi + F(\phi)
\]

(18)

where

\[
G(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix}
S(t) \\
E(t) \\
I_A(t) \\
I_S(t) \\
R(t)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi = \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{S}(t) \\
\dot{E}(t) \\
\dot{I}_A(t) \\
\dot{I}_S(t) \\
\dot{R}(t)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad F(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix}
A - \beta S(t)I_A(t) \\
\beta S(t)I_A(t) \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
C = \begin{bmatrix}
-d & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d + v_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_1 & - (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d + v_2) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_1 & -(d + \mu_2 + \epsilon + v_3) & 0 \\
0 & (\gamma_2 + v_1) & (\delta_2 + v_2) & (\epsilon + v_3) & -d
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
F(\phi_1) - F(\phi_2) \leq p_1 \left| S_1(t) - S_2(t) \right| + p_2 \left| I_{A_1}(t) - I_{A_2}(t) \right|,
\]

where the constants \( p_1 > 0 \) and \( p_2 > 0 \) are independent of the variables \( S(t) \) and \( I_A(t) \).
Hence,
\[ G(\phi_1) - G(\phi_2) \leq C |\phi_1 - \phi_2| + |F(\phi_1) - F(\phi_2)| \leq p |\phi_1 - \phi_2| < \infty \]
where \( p = p_1 + p_2 + ||C|| < \infty \). Therefore, \( G(\phi) \) is said to be uniformly Lipschitz continuous function. From the definition of \( V \) and restriction on \( S(t), E(t), I_A(t), I_S(t), R(t) \geq 0 \), we can say that a solution of the system (18) exist [65]. In this case, all the state variables and control variables are non-negative. The convexity [76] of \( J(v_i(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)) \) in Eqn. (17) is satisfied in the minimizing optimal control problem (15). As the set of control variable \( (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in V \) is closed and convex, the system of optimal control is bounded [77] which determines the compactness required for the existence of the optimal control \( (v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) \). Again, we observed that the integrand of (17) i.e., \( W_1 E(t) + W_2 I_A(t) + W_3 I_S(t) + W_4 v_1^2(t) + W_5 v_2^2(t) + W_6 v_3^2(t) + \int W(t) v_1(t) \) is convex on the control set \( V \). Since the state variables are bounded, \( \exists n > 1 \) and positive real numbers \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) such that \( J(v_1, v_2, v_3) \geq k_1 + k_2(|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 + |v_3|^2)^2 \), which shows the existence of an optimal control. \( \square \)

8.2. Depiction of the optimal controls

To describe the necessary conditions for the optimal control variables, the Pontryagin’s maximum principle [78] is applied and it follows the Hamiltonian \((H)\) as the form:
\[
H = \left( W_1 E + W_2 I_A + W_3 I_S + W_4 v_1^2 + W_5 v_2^2 + W_6 v_3^2 \right) + r_i[A - \beta SI_A - dS]
+ r_2[\beta SI_A - (v_1 + v_2 + d + v_1)E] + r_3[v_1 E - (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d + \nu_2)I_A]
+ r_4[\delta_2 I_A - (d + \mu_2 + \epsilon + v_1)I_S] + r_5[v_2 E + (\delta_2 + \nu_2)I_A + (\epsilon + v_3)I_A - d R]
\]
where \( r_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \), are the adjoint functions to be determined duly.

**Theorem 9.** Let \( S^*(t), E^*(t), I_A^*(t), I_S^*(t) \) and \( R^*(t) \) are optimal solutions for the optimal control problem (15) with initial conditions (16) associated with the optimal control variables \( v_1^*(t), v_2^*(t) \) and \( v_3^*(t) \). Then there exist five ad-join variables \( r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \) and \( r_5 \) which satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
  r_1' & = (r_1 - r_2)B I_A^*(t) + r_1 d, \\
  r_2' & = -W_1' + (r_2 - r_3)\gamma_1 + (r_2 - r_3)(v_2 + v_1) + d r_2, \\
  r_3' & = -W_2' + (r_1 - r_2)B S^*(t) + (r_3 - r_2)\beta_1 + (r_3 - r_2)(\delta_2 + v_2) - r_3(d + \mu_1), \\
  r_4' & = -W_3' + (r_4 - r_5)(\epsilon + v_3) + r_4(d + \mu_2), \\
  r_5' & = r_5 d
\end{align*}
\]
with the transversality conditions
\[
  r_i(t_c) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1 \text{ to } 5.
\]
Furthermore, the solutions of optimal control variables are given as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
  v_1^* & = \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_1 - r_3) E^*(t)}{W_4} \right\}, 1 \right\} \quad (22) \\
  v_2^* & = \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_1 - r_3) I_A^*(t)}{W_5} \right\}, 1 \right\} \quad (23) \\
  v_3^* & = \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_1 - r_3) I_S^*(t)}{W_6} \right\}, 1 \right\} \quad (24)
\end{align*}
\]
**Proof.** To determine the all five ad-join functions and the transversality conditions, Hamiltonian (19) has been used. After setting \( S(t) = S^*(t), E(t) = E^*(t), I_A = I_A^*(t), I_S = I_S^*(t) \) and \( R(t) = R^*(t) \) and differentiating the Hamiltonian (19) with respect to \( S, E, I_A, I_S \) and \( R \), we obtain Eqs. (20). From the Pontryagin’s Maximum Principle [78], we obtained the following optimality condition:
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i} = W_{(i+3)} v_i^* - (r_i(t_{i+1}) - r_3) O_i &= 0 \quad \text{at } v_i = v_i^* \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3.
\end{align*}
\]
where \( O_i = E^*(t), O_2 = I_A^*(t), O_3 = I_S^*(t) \).
Using the bounds for the controls \( v_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \), it is obtained that
\[
\begin{align*}
  v_i^* &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  \frac{(r_{i+3} - r_3) O_i}{W_{(i+3)}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{(r_{i+3} - r_3) O_i}{W_{(i+3)}} \leq 1 \\
  0, & \text{if } \frac{(r_{i+3} - r_3) O_i}{W_{(i+3)}} \leq 0 \\
  1, & \text{if } \frac{(r_{i+3} - r_3) O_i}{W_{(i+3)}} \geq 1
\end{array} \right. \\
  \text{or, } v_i^* &= \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_{i+3} - r_3) O_i}{W_{(i+3)}}, 1 \right\}, 1 \right\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,
\end{align*}
\]
which represents the ultimate result of (22)–(24). \( \square \)
The solution of the optimal control variables \( (v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^*) \) is given by Eqs. (22)–(24). The optimal control and the state variables are obtained after solving the optimality system consisting of the state system (15), the adjoint system (20), initial condition (16), the transversality condition (21) and the characterization of the optimal control (22)–(24). Further it is noticed that the second derivative of the integrand of \( J \) from (17) with respect to the control variables \( v_1, v_2 \) and \( v_3 \) is positive, which guarantees that the optimal problem is minimum at the controls \( v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^* \). Substituting the optimal control values \( v_1^*, v_2^*, v_3^* \) in the control system (15), we find the system as follows

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = \lambda - \beta S^* I^*_A - dS^*
\]

\[
\frac{dE^*}{dt} = \beta S^* I^*_A - (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + d)E^* - \left[ \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_2 - r_3)E^*}{W_4} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right] E^*
\]

\[
\frac{dI^*_A}{dt} = \gamma_1 E^* - (\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1 + d)I^*_A - \left[ \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_4 - r_5)I^*_A}{W_5} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right] I^*_A
\]

\[
\frac{dI^*_S}{dt} = \delta_1 I^*_A - (d + \mu_2 + \epsilon)I^*_S - \left[ \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_6 - r_7)I^*_S}{W_6} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right] I^*_S
\]

\[
\frac{dR^*}{dt} = (\gamma_2 E^* + \delta_2 I^*_A + \epsilon I^*_S) - dR^* + \left[ \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_8 - r_9)E^*}{W_4} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right] E^*
\]

\[
+ \left[ \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_4 - r_5)I^*_A}{W_5} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right] I^*_A + \left[ \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_6 - r_7)I^*_S}{W_6} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right] I^*_S
\]

and the Hamiltonian (19) can be rewritten as follows

\[
H^* = W_1 E^* + W_2 I^*_A + W_3 I^*_S + \frac{1}{2} W_4 \left( \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_2 - r_3)E^*}{W_4} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right)^2
\]

\[
+ W_5 \left( \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_4 - r_5)I^*_A}{W_5} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right)^2 + W_6 \left( \min \left\{ \max \left\{ 0, \frac{(r_6 - r_7)I^*_S}{W_6} \right\}, 1 \right\} \right)^2
\]

\[
+ r_1(t) \frac{dS}{dt} + r_2(t) \frac{dE^*}{dt} + r_3(t) \frac{dI^*_A}{dt} + r_4(t) \frac{dI^*_S}{dt} + r_5(t) \frac{dR^*}{dt}
\]

To determine the optimal control and state variables, it is required to solve the system (25) and (26) numerically.

9. Numerical simulation

Parameter estimations: This part presents the estimation procedure of various parameters in the proposed model. The well-known non-linear least square curve fitting technique is used for this purpose. The confirmed infected cases in India from 23rd March to 31st December 2020 are taken in the estimation process. The Parameter estimation and fixing the initial values for each population class are difficult due to lack of information. We assume that the total population of India is approximately 135 crores. We divide this total Indian population into different classes following the COVID-19 situation of India. The number of susceptible population \( S \) and lockdown population \( L \) are not known precisely. Fixing the initial value for the exposed \( E \) class and asymptomatic infected \( I_A \) class is challenging in epidemic models. Only the information of symptomatic infected \( I_S \) class is available, and hence except for the symptomatic infected \( I_S \) class, the initial values of all other classes are assumed hypothetically based on the COVID-19 situation of India. The total population of India is approximately 135 crores, and the number of human births per day is approximately 70,000. The Life expectancy in India is approximately 68 years. Therefore it is assumed that \( A = 70000 \) and \( d = \frac{1}{68 \times 356} = 0.00004 \). The values of the parameters \( a_1, a_2, \beta, \mu_1, \mu_2 \) are best fitted due to the unavailability of accurate information.

The parameter values are chosen based on the characteristics of COVID-19 disease in India. In the proposed model, it is assumed that some individuals of the asymptomatic infected class are difficult due to lack of information. We assume that the total population of India is approximately 135 crores. We divide this total Indian population into different classes following the COVID-19 situation of India. The number of susceptible population \( S \) and lockdown population \( L \) are not known precisely. Fixing the initial value for the exposed \( E \) class and asymptomatic infected \( I_A \) class is challenging in epidemic models. Only the information of symptomatic infected \( I_S \) class is available, and hence except for the symptomatic infected \( I_S \) class, the initial values of all other classes are assumed hypothetically based on the COVID-19 situation of India. The total population of India is approximately 135 crores, and the number of human births per day is approximately 70,000. The Life expectancy in India is approximately 68 years. Therefore it is assumed that \( A = 70000 \) and \( d = \frac{1}{68 \times 356} = 0.00004 \). The values of the parameters \( a_1, a_2, \beta, \mu_1, \mu_2 \) are best fitted due to the unavailability of accurate information.

For best fitting, we assume that \( y_2 = 0.101 \). The incubation period for the coronavirus is between two and fourteen days after an effective contact with the asymptomatic infected individuals of this COVID-19 disease. A report published earlier in the pandemic period states that more than 97% of people who contract SARS-CoV-2 show symptoms within 12 days after having effective contact with the asymptomatic infected individuals. It appears that transmission can occur between one to three days before any symptoms appear. So, we assume some individuals move from exposed class \( E \) to asymptomatic infected \( I_A \) class within eight days. Therefore \( y_1 = \frac{1}{7} = 0.125 \). Those with a mild case of COVID-19 infection usually recover between one to two weeks. Recovery can take six weeks or more for severe cases where the vital organs like the heart, kidneys, lungs and brain are damaged. So, we assume that some individuals of the asymptomatic infected \( I_A \) class move to the recovered class within twelve days and hence it is assumed that \( \delta_4 = \frac{1}{12} = 0.08 \). In our model, the symptomatic case means the confirmed infected cases tested and declared by the Government. The COVID-19 testing process takes between two to three days, and not all infected people are tested due to a lack of infrastructure and hence considering all these factors, we assume that some percentage of individuals move from asymptomatic infected \( I_A \) class to symptomatic infected \( I_S \) class within six days. Therefore \( \delta_1 = \frac{1}{6} = 0.17 \). We assume that the recovery time for symptomatic infected \( I_S \) class is 13 days and hence \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{13} = 0.077 \).

Analytical works can never be completed without numerical simulation results. Here, firstly we consider the cases when \( R_0 \) value is less than unity using the parameter values \( A = 6 \times 10^6, a_1 = 5 \times 10^{-2}, a_2 = 10 \times 10^{-4}, \beta = 7 \times 10^{-10}, y_1 = 8 \times 10^{-2}, y_2 = 5 \times 10^{-2}, \delta_1 = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}, \delta_2 = 5 \times 10^{-2}, \mu_1 = 10 \times 10^{-4}, \mu_2 = 10 \times 10^{-4}, d = 4 \times 10^{-3}, \epsilon = 7 \times 10^{-2} \). Using these values for various...
initial conditions, the model’s dynamics are analyzed and presented in Figs. 2(A)-2(E). These figures clearly shows that when $R_0 = 0.89 < 1$, the susceptible population($S$) and lockdown population($L$) persists but the exposed population($E$), asymptomatic infected population($I_A$) and symptomatic infected population($I_S$) tends to zero as $t \to \infty$, i.e., the system approaches the disease free equilibrium $P_0(2.58278 \times 10^8, 1.24172 \times 10^9, 0, 0, 0)$ in long run. These numerical results supports the results of Theorem 4.

Next, we consider the case when $R_0 = 1.75 > 1$, using the parameter values $\Lambda = 6 \times 10^4, \alpha_1 = 5 \times 10^{-3}, \alpha_2 = 10 \times 10^{-4}, \beta = 7 \times 10^{-10}, \gamma_1 = 8 \times 10^{-2}, \gamma_2 = 5 \times 10^{-2}, \delta_1 = 7.5 \times 10^{-2}, \delta_2 = 5 \times 10^{-2}, \mu_1 = 10 \times 10^{-5}, \mu_2 = 10 \times 10^{-4}, d = 2 \times 10^{-5}, e = 7 \times 10^{-2}$ for various initial conditions, the dynamics of the model is presented in Figs. 3(A)-3(E). These figures clearly shows that the susceptible population($S$), lockdown population($L$), exposed population($E$), asymptomatic infected population($I_A$) and symptomatic infected population($I_S$) persists as $t \to \infty$, i.e., the system approaches the endemic equilibrium $P_1(2.91 \times 10^8, 1.42 \times 10^9, 1.98 \times 10^5, 1.26 \times 10^5, 1.34 \times 10^5)$ in long run.

The behavior of the parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and $\beta$ with respect to $R_0$ is presented through Figs. 4(A)-4(C). From Fig. 4(A), it is clear that when the progression rate from the susceptible class to the lockdown class increases, the basic reproduction number ($R_0$) decreases and goes below one. So, the system approaches the DFE $P_0$, which is globally stable. Hence, the more the population is in lockdown, the more likely it is that the disease will become extinct.

From Fig. 4(B), it is clear that as the progression rate from lockdown class to susceptible class increases, the basic reproduction number ($R_0$) increases steadily and goes over unity and, as a result, endemic equilibrium is stable. Hence, if lockdown is not strictly enforced, the disease persists in society for a long time.

From Fig. 4(C), it is obvious that as the effective contact rate of asymptomatic infective individuals increases the basic reproduction number ($R_0$) increases steadily and goes over-unity hence the endemic equilibrium is stable and the disease persists in society for a long period.
Fig. 3. The time series plot of (A) susceptible phase, (B) lockdown phase, (C) exposed phase, (D) asymptomatic infected phase and (E) symptomatic infected phase with various initial conditions when $R_0 = 1.75 > 1$.

In Figs. 5 and 6, the analysis is made on the change of $R_0$ with respect to $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_1$ and $\beta$, $\alpha_2$ and $\beta$ respectively, fixing other all parameter values as in Table 2. It is seen in Figs. 5(A) and 6(A) that as $\alpha_2$ increases, $R_0$ increases sharply, exceeding unity, thus stabilizing endemic equilibrium. As a result, the disease persists in society for a long time. With Figs. 5(B) and 6(B), it is apparent that as $\beta$, the effective contact rate of infected individuals, rises, $R_0$ value rises in proportion exceeding unity, thereby maintaining the stability of endemic equilibrium, which ensures that the disease persists in society. From Fig. 5(C) and Fig. 6(C), it is obvious that as $\alpha_2$ which is the progression rate from lockdown class to susceptible class, increases, there is a high chance of individuals in

### Table 2

The actual field value of all parameters.

| Parameter | Value per day |
|-----------|---------------|
| $A$       | $7 \times 10^4$ |
| $\alpha_1$ | $53 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\alpha_2$ | $10 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\beta$   | $121 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| $\gamma_1$ | $125 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\gamma_2$ | $101 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\delta_1$ | $17 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\delta_2$ | $8 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mu_1$   | $10 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mu_2$   | $11 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $d$       | $4 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $e$       | $77 \times 10^{-1}$ |
susceptible compartment getting in contact with asymptomatic infective individuals, which is represented by the effective contact rate $\beta$ also increases and as a result, the $R_0$ value exceeds unity. Hence there is a wide spread of the disease in the society. Figs. 7–8 shows the time-series graph (based on days) of the symptomatic infected population and the total death within a time period. In Figs. 9(A)–9(D), we studied the long-run history of the susceptible, exposed, locked down and asymptomatic infected classes of the model for different degrees of lockdown. In Figs. 10(A)–10(D), we studied the long-run history of the susceptible, exposed, locked down and asymptomatic infected classes of the model for various values of the effective contact rate of asymptomatic infected individuals $\beta$. In Figs. 11–13, we illustrate the optimal control strategies. Fig. 7 shows the time history of the symptomatic infected population and the total death population for $\alpha_1 = 0.0052; \alpha_1 = 0.0053;$ and $\alpha_1 = 0.0054$ with parameter values and initial population size as given in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively, for the period 23rd
Fig. 6. Contour plots of (A) $R_0$ based on $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$, (B) $R_0$ based on $\alpha_1$ and $\beta$, (C) $R_0$ based on $\alpha_2$ and $\beta$.

Fig. 7. Time history of the symptomatic infected population ($I_S$) and the total death for different values of $\alpha_1$.

March to 31st December, 2020. In Fig. 7, it is depicted that the real data of the total infected almost coincide with our proposed model curve from 23rd March to 31st December, 2020. It is seen that, the proposed epidemic model is best fitted to the current situation of India. Fig. 7 clearly show that lockdown parameter $\alpha_1$ has an apparent effect in controlling the spread of the disease in society.

Fig. 8 shows the time history of the total infected and the total death for $\beta = 0.00000000120$; $\beta = 0.00000000121$; and $\beta = 0.00000000122$ with parameter values and initial conditions are given in Table 2 and Table 3 respectively, for the period 23rd March to 31st December, 2020. It is seen that, the proposed epidemic model fits well according the present situation in India.
Table 3

| $S(0)$ | $L(0)$ | $E(0)$ | $I_A(0)$ | $I_S(0)$ | $R(0)$ |
|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|
| 650000000 | 700000000 | 6000 | 2000 | 455 | 2000 |

Fig. 8. Time history of the symptomatic infected population ($I_S$) and the total death for different value of $\beta$.

Fig. 9. Long time history of each compartment for different value of $\alpha_1$.

It can be seen from Fig. 8(A) that the number of symptomatic infected individuals increases as the effective contact rate of the asymptomatic infected individuals ($\beta$) increases.

Figs. 9(A)-9(D) shows the long-run history of the susceptible, exposed, lockdown, and asymptotic infected classes of the proposed epidemic model for different values of the lockdown parameter $\alpha_1$. Figs. 9(C) and 9(D) show that as the value of $\alpha_1$ increases, the
number of exposed and therefore asymptomatic infected populations is reduced. Therefore, the spread of the disease in society is also reduced. These figures clearly demonstrated the importance of the lockdown in controlling the spread of the disease.

Figs. 10(A)-10(D) shows the long-run history of the susceptible, exposed, lockdown, and asymptotic infected classes of the proposed epidemic model for different values of the effective contact rate of asymptomatic infected individuals ($\beta$). Figs. 10(C) and 10(D) show that as the value of $\beta$ increases, the number of exposed and therefore asymptomatic infected populations is increased. This paves way for the rapid spread of the disease in society. These figures clearly demonstrated the importance of the avoiding direct contact with asymptomatic infected individuals and hence the rapid spread of the disease in society is drastically reduced.

For numerical analysis of the optimal problem (15), the positive weights are taken as $W_1 = 10 \times 10^3; W_2 = 10 \times 10^3; W_3 = 10 \times 10^3; W_4 = 5 \times 10^2; W_5 = 5 \times 10^3; W_6 = 5 \times 10^3$; and initial population as $S(0) = 1.3446 \times 10^9; E(0) = 30 \times 10^5; I_A(0) = 10 \times 10^3; I_S(0) = 2.55525 \times 10^5; R(0) = 10 \times 10^5$ and remaining parameters values are taken from Table 2.
The optimal control graph for the controls $v_1(t), v_2(t)$ and $v_3(t)$ are presented in Figs. 11(A)-11(C). It is obvious from these figures that more effort must be given to the controls, namely, vaccination control $v_1(t)$ on the exposed class, treatment control on asymptomatic infected class $v_2(t)$ and treatment control on symptomatic infected class $v_3(t)$ at the beginning of the disease outbreak. Therefore, it is so important that these controls are applied to the respective compartments at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in India so that the rapid spread of the disease is controlled.

From Figs. 12(A)-12(E), it is obvious that the populations of the infected compartments are reduced when the optimal control strategies $v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)$ are applied in the compartments $E, I_A, I_S$ respectively. This shows the importance of the implementation of vaccination and treatment controls simultaneously to control the spread of this COVID-19 epidemic in India. From Fig. 13, it is obvious that the populations of the infected compartments, namely, $I_A$ and $I_S$ are reduced drastically when the optimal control strategies $v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)$ are applied simultaneously in the compartments $E, I_A, I_S$ respectively. Further, it is noticed from Fig. 13(E) that the population of the recovered compartment $R$ also increases gradually when the optimal control strategies are applied simultaneously in the respective compartments.
From Fig. 14, it is noticed that the single strain COVID-19 waves are formed in our epidemic model when $\alpha_1 = 0$. The study showed that if the lockdown was completely relaxed, a single strain COVID-19 wave was observed.

10. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered a COVID-19 epidemic model consisting of six population classes, namely, susceptible population ($S$), lockdown population ($L$), exposed population ($E$), asymptomatic infected population ($I_A$), symptomatic infected population ($I_S$), recovered population ($R$) and analyzed the dynamic behavior of the system. The system has two equilibrium points, namely disease-free equilibrium $P_0$ and endemic equilibrium $P_1$. The basic reproduction number $R_0$, which is an important threshold parameter used to study the dynamical behavior of the system, has been calculated and is given by

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta A(d + \alpha_2)\gamma_1}{d(d + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)(d + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \mu_1)(d + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}$$

It is found that the DFE $P_0$ is globally asymptotically stable when $R_0 < 1$ and the EE $P_1$ is globally asymptotically stable under some conditions when $R_0 > 1$. From the sensitivity analysis of $R_0$ with respect to the parameters $\alpha_1$, it is noticed that increase in the progression rate from susceptible class to lockdown class makes $R_0$ decrease and hence the spread of infection in society is drastically reduced. If $\alpha_2$ increases, i.e., relaxation in lockdown is announced by the Government, then the value of $R_0$ start increasing steadily and hence there is a rapid spread of the disease in society. Furthermore, the rise of the effective contact rate of infective individuals also increases the value of $R_0$ which in turn increases the number of infected individuals in the society.

The main aim of this paper is to establish an optimal control problem related to the COVID-19 epidemic model such as to minimize the spread of infection and the cost of treatment. We have used three controls, namely, vaccination control $v_1(t)$, treatment control $v_2(t)$ on asymptomatic infected compartment and treatment control $v_3(t)$ on symptomatic infected compartment.

Theoretical analysis of the dynamics of the COVID-19 model must be supported with numerical analysis, which is achieved by using MATLAB. Figures are created for analyzing the dynamical behavior of the COVID-19 system and comparative figures are presented related to the optimal control problem, which highlights the importance of the controls on the proposed epidemic model. The figures related to the dynamical analysis of the COVID-19 model support the theoretical results. The diagrams related to the optimal control problem indicate that the optimal controls, namely, vaccination and treatment controls are very vital in controlling this epidemic. The graphical analysis of the proposed epidemic model using the values as in Table 2 is presented and the optimal control are obtained theoretically and finally presented graphically. Controlling the spread of the epidemic is a very important task, and it is a vital issue to make detailed studies on control strategies. Predicting and identifying cost-effective control strategies to control the epidemic and minimize the cost of implementing control strategies are important tasks of health administrators and researchers. Many research articles analyzed the dynamics of the COVID-19 models without control strategies with real data belonging to various other countries and the results from our proposed COVID-19 pandemic model considered the data sets from Indian population during the pandemic and it suggested that the COVID-19 epidemic is well controlled by implementing the lockdown, and after analyzing the optimal control problem without lockdown relative to our basic model, we see that control strategies like vaccination and treatment are very effective in controlling the spread of COVID-19 disease in India.
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