Chaperones as thermodynamic sensors of drug-target interactions reveal kinase inhibitor specificities in living cells
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The interaction between the HSP90 chaperone and its client kinases is sensitive to the conformational status of the kinase, and stabilization of the kinase fold by small molecules strongly decreases chaperone interaction. Here we exploit this observation and assay small-molecule binding to kinases in living cells, using chaperones as ‘thermodynamic sensors’. The method allows determination of target specificities of both ATP-competitive and allosteric inhibitors in the kinases’ native cellular context in high throughput. We profile target specificities of 30 diverse kinase inhibitors against >300 kinases. Demonstrating the value of the assay, we identify ETV6-NTRK3 as a target of the FDA-approved drug crizotinib (Xalkori). Crizotinib inhibits proliferation of ETV6-NTRK3-dependent tumor cells with nanomolar potency and induces the regression of established tumor xenografts in mice. Finally, we show that our approach is applicable to other chaperone and target classes by assaying HSP70/steroid hormone receptor and CDC37/kinase interactions, suggesting that chaperone interactions will have broad application in detecting drug-target interactions in vivo.

Determining the specificity of small molecules is important for research scientists, medicinal chemists, clinicians and their patients alike. In the laboratory, small-molecule drugs are commonly used as chemical probes, and the meaningful interpretation of the results of such experiments requires detailed knowledge of a drug’s targets. In the pharmaceutical industry, target profiling can be used to identify candidate targets for compounds discovered in cell-based screens and to guide medicinal chemistry efforts to obtain a favorable target spectrum during lead compound optimization. In the clinic, experimental drugs often show unexpected efficacy or toxicity that can sometimes be explained through more thorough target profiling. Moreover, identification of additional targets of an approved drug with a previously established safety profile can facilitate its rapid repurposing to new diseases.

The protein kinase family illustrates the challenges inherent to target profiling. Almost all protein kinases share the same conserved fold, and, consequently, developing inhibitors that are both highly potent and highly selective has proven difficult1,2. Given the pharmaceutical interest in drugging protein kinases, several high-throughput methods have been developed for profiling inhibitor specificities in vitro3–5. However, the correlation between in vitro results and in vivo efficacy has often been disappointing6,7. Chemical proteomics-based approaches have shown considerable promise for inhibitor profiling8,9. However, they are not well suited to profiling allosteric inhibitors, which are not competitive with the ATP site–directed labeling agents employed by these methods.

Currently, no assays combine the benefits of in vitro assays (high throughput) with those of in vivo approaches (relevant cellular context). We recently developed a quantitative protein-protein interaction assay to survey the association of the HSP90 chaperone and its CDC37 co-chaperone with the majority of human kinases in vivo10. We established that one of the main determinants of HSP90’s association with a particular kinase is the thermal stability of the kinase domain. Here, we exploit this finding and use HSP90 and CDC37 as thermodynamic sensors for profiling small molecule–kinase interactions in living cells. We further demonstrate that this assay is not limited to kinases as targets and HSP90 or CDC37 as sensors, suggesting a more general approach for probing small molecule–target interactions.

RESULTS
Characterizing ATP-competitive kinase inhibitors in vivo

To study HSP90–client protein interactions in a systematic manner, we developed an assay derived from the luminescence-based mammalian interactome (LUMIER) assay11. Our implementation, LUMIER with bait control (BACON), both increases the assay throughput and enables quantification of interactions12. Briefly, the assay employs 293T cells stably expressing the chaperone protein HSP90 fused to Renilla luciferase. Plasmids encoding potential client proteins (e.g., kinases, transcription factors and ubiquitin ligases) with a 3xFLAG epitope tag are then used to transiently transfect the cell line expressing luciferase-tagged HSP90. The interaction of client proteins with
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the luciferase-tagged HSP90 is detected in cell lysates by immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibodies in 384-well plates and quantification of the luminescence signal from the luciferase-HSP90 fusion co-purified with the FLAG-tagged client proteins. Subsequently, client protein abundance is measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), using a polyclonal anti-FLAG antibody, coupled to horseradish peroxidase. The amount of bait protein is measured with ELISA, using a different, polyclonal anti-FLAG antibody coupled to horseradish peroxidase. The interaction score is calculated as log₂(chaperone/bait). (b) Protein kinases are in equilibrium between the fully folded conformation and a partially unfolded conformation that is recognized by Hsp90 and its kinase-specific co-chaperone Cdc37. Hsp90 machinery assists the kinase in adopting its fully folded conformation. Binding of a small molecule to the kinase fold shifts the equilibrium toward the fully folded conformation, which can be detected as decreased chaperone interaction.

Previously, we found that small molecules targeting ABL kinase lead to a decrease in the interaction between BCR-ABL and HSP90 (ref. 1). Small-molecule binding stabilizes the kinase in its fully folded conformation and thereby decreases the concentration of the partially unfolded conformation that HSP90 recognizes with its co-chaperone CDC37 (Fig. 1b). Here, we asked whether this method provides a means to assess the potencies of specific inhibitors quantitatively in vivo. To provide a well-studied test set, we measured the interaction of several BCR-ABL variants with HSP90 as a function of inhibitor concentration. Cells stably expressing the Renilla-HSP90 fusion were transfected with different BCR-ABL constructs and treated in triplicate with increasing concentrations of inhibitors for 1 h before analysis (Fig. 2a–d).

The potencies of each of the compounds in disrupting the HSP90 BCR-ABL interaction closely correlated with the known potencies of the compounds in vitro. Imatinib (Gleevec), the first-in-class BCR-ABL inhibitor that binds in the ATP pocket, displaced HSP90 from BCR-ABL with a half-maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) of 180 nM. Dasatinib (Sprycel), a second-generation inhibitor, was considerably more potent (EC₅₀: 6 nM)¹⁴. Neither inhibitor had an effect on the interaction between HSP90 and a BCR-ABL variant that carries an isoleucine-to-threonine substitution at residue 315 (T315I). The change in this ‘gatekeeper’ residue of the ATP-binding pocket confers resistance to both inhibitors (Fig. 2b,c)¹⁴. By contrast, the third-generation inhibitor ponatinib (Iclusig), specifically developed to combat the gatekeeper mutant¹⁵, affected the interaction not only of the native BCR-ABL with HSP90 but also of the BCR-ABL variant with the gatekeeper mutation. Moreover, in keeping with previous findings on the relative potency of the compound, ponatinib displaced the mutant protein from HSP90 with fivefold lower potency (70 nM versus 22 nM) than it displaced the native BCR-ABL (Fig. 2d).

Kinase inhibitor treatment decreased the kinase-HSP90 interaction, without eliminating it completely. Many factors probably contribute to the residual binding in the presence of the inhibitor. First, the HSP90 chaperone cycle is complex and the client kinase may vary in its sensitivity to inhibitor at various points in its maturation¹. Second, the degree of thermal stabilization by a small molecule depends on many factors¹⁶ and even when a kinase is stabilized by a small molecule, it will occasionally unfold with a half-maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) of 180 nM. Dasatinib (Sprycel), a second-generation inhibitor, was considerably more potent (EC₅₀: 6 nM)¹⁴. Neither inhibitor had an effect on the interaction between HSP90 and a BCR-ABL variant that carries an isoleucine-to-threonine substitution at residue 315 (T315I). The change in this ‘gatekeeper’ residue of the ATP-binding pocket confers resistance to both inhibitors (Fig. 2b,c)¹⁴. By contrast, the third-generation inhibitor ponatinib (Iclusig), specifically developed to combat the gatekeeper mutant¹⁵, affected the interaction not only of the native BCR-ABL with HSP90 but also of the BCR-ABL variant with the gatekeeper mutation. Moreover, in keeping with previous findings on the relative potency of the compound, ponatinib displaced the mutant protein from HSP90 with fivefold lower potency (70 nM versus 22 nM) than it displaced the native BCR-ABL (Fig. 2d).

Kinase inhibitor treatment decreased the kinase-HSP90 interaction, without eliminating it completely. Many factors probably contribute to the residual binding in the presence of the inhibitor. First, the HSP90 chaperone cycle is complex and the client kinase may vary in its sensitivity to inhibitor at various points in its maturation¹. Second, the degree of thermal stabilization by a small molecule depends on many factors¹⁶ and even when a kinase is stabilized by a small molecule, it will occasionally unfold with a half-maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) of 180 nM. Dasatinib (Sprycel), a second-generation inhibitor, was considerably more potent (EC₅₀: 6 nM)¹⁴. Neither inhibitor had an effect on the interaction between HSP90 and a BCR-ABL variant that carries an isoleucine-to-threonine substitution at residue 315 (T315I). The change in this ‘gatekeeper’ residue of the ATP-binding pocket confers resistance to both inhibitors (Fig. 2b,c)¹⁴. By contrast, the third-generation inhibitor ponatinib (Iclusig), specifically developed to combat the gatekeeper mutant¹⁵, affected the interaction not only of the native BCR-ABL with HSP90 but also of the BCR-ABL variant with the gatekeeper mutation. Moreover, in keeping with previous findings on the relative potency of the compound, ponatinib displaced the mutant protein from HSP90 with fivefold lower potency (70 nM versus 22 nM) than it displaced the native BCR-ABL (Fig. 2d).
and rebind HSP90. Finally, for some kinases the chaperone binding equilibrium might not be achieved in the 1-h treatment we used. In any case, these factors should not change the EC50 values derived from the assay.

In all of the above experiments, the compounds were added to the growth medium for 1 h before cell lysis and the LUMIER assay. By contrast, the compounds had no effect on BCR-ABL–HSP90 interactions if they were added after lysis (Supplementary Fig. 1). Under the assay conditions we employed, once the cells were lysed, the HSP90 chaperone cycle was arrested owing to low temperature, the absence of nucleotides and the presence of molybdate, which is known to stabilize HSP90–client interactions1. Furthermore, it is unlikely that kinase inhibitors would bind the kinase when it is bound to HSP90 in vitro; structural studies suggest that the kinase is partially unfolded when bound to the chaperone complex17. Thus, although the assay readout occurs in lysates, it reflects the folding state of the kinase in vivo before lysis. The assay thus effectively measures the thermodynamic stabilization of the kinase fold in living cells.

**Determining potencies of allosteric small-molecule modulators**

Allosteric inhibitors may be preferable to ATP-competitive inhibitors because their binding sites show a larger structural variability than the conserved ATP-binding site and therefore present much greater opportunities for achieving specificity. The difficulty of developing assays that accurately reflect in vivo kinase regulatory interactions has greatly hindered efforts to develop allosteric inhibitors. We therefore tested the ability of the HSP90–kinase interaction to quantitatively assess the effects of two reported allosteric modulators of the ABL kinase: GNF-2 and DPH18,19. Both compounds bind the myristate-binding (myr) pocket located in the C-terminal lobe of ABL (Fig. 3a), but they have opposing effects on ABL kinase activity—GNF-2 is an inhibitor, DPH an activator18,19.

Both GNF-2 and DPH displaced HSP90 from BCR-ABL with potencies in close agreement with their reported cellular potencies against BCR-ABL–dependent proliferation18–20 (Fig. 3a,b). Notably, the T315I mutation, located in the ATP-binding cleft and far from the myr-pocket, did not affect the ability of either compound to displace HSP90. By contrast, a point mutation (P465S) located in the myr-pocket virtually abolished the effect of GNF-2 and DPH on HSP90’s interaction with BCR-ABL (Fig. 3c,d). Consistent with this, P465S confers strong resistance against GNF-2 in vivo18.

Another mutation in the myr-pocket (E505K) responded differently to GNF-2 and DPH. The E505K:HSP90 interaction was not sensitive to GNF-2, whereas it was sensitive to DPH (Fig. 3c,d). To date, no mutations have been reported to have distinct effects on allosteric modulators of ABL. To investigate the molecular basis of these results, we compared the published crystal structures of ABL bound to GNF-2 and to DPH18,19. In the GNF-2–bound conformation, Glu505 is adjacent to the myr pocket, and E505K confers resistance against GNF-2 in vivo (Fig. 3e)18. In the DPH-bound conformation, the ε helix is extended, moving Glu505 away from the binding site19 (Fig. 3f). Pro465 is located in the mouth of the binding pocket in both conformations. Thus, our chaperone assay not only yielded quantitative assessment of the allosteric drug target binding affinities, but also provided evidence for qualitatively distinct binding modes.

**Expanding the scope of the chaperone assay**

About 40% of human kinases do not associate with HSP90 (ref. 1), and therefore they cannot be currently assayed with our method. Might they be engineered to do so? In recognizing its clients, HSP90 does not bind particular sequence motifs but, rather, associates with intrinsically unstable kinase conformations. Yet, to be recognized as clients, the kinases must still have a recognizable kinase fold1. Thus, mutations that globally destabilize the client would not likely work. We therefore introduced more strategic mutations into two human kinases that are normally at the limits of detection by our assay, SRC and EGFR. For EGFR, we engineered a mutation in the εC–β4 loop (D770G), which was previously reported to increase EGFR:HSP90 interaction21. For SRC, we introduced a mutation in an exposed glutamic acid residue at the end of the ε helix (E381K). In both cases, the mutation increased the kinase’s interaction with HSP90 and the

**Figure 3** Characterizing allosteric ABL modulators with the chaperone interaction assay. (a–d) Analysis of kinase inhibitor potencies with the chaperone interaction assay. 3xFLAG-tagged native BCR-ABL and its mutant variants transfected 293T cells stably expressing Renilla luciferase-Hsp90 fusion protein. Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of inhibitors for 1 h before cell lysis and the LUMIER assay. Allosteric compounds GNF-2 (an inhibitor) and DPH (an activator) displaced HSP90 from both the native BCR-ABL and the gatekeeper mutant T315I (a,b). In contrast, mutations in the allosteric myristate-binding pocket had distinct effects on DPH and GNF-2 (c,d). P465S conferred resistance against both DPH and GNF-2, whereas E505K conferred resistance against only GNF-2. Error bars, mean ± s.d. (e,f) Structural analysis of ABL illuminates the distinct effects of myr-pocket mutations P465S and E505K on GNF-2 and DPH. In the GNF-2-bound autoinhibited conformation (e), ABL1 ε helix (solid, champagne-colored helix) is bent 90°, bringing Glu505 (cyan) into close proximity with the myristate-binding pocket where GNF-2 (magenta) binds. Pro465 (orange) is located in the mouth of the binding pocket. The structure is based on PDB entry 3PYY. In the DPH-bound conformation (f), ε (solid green helix) is extended and Glu505 (cyan) is solvent-accessible. In contrast, Pro465 (orange) is in the same conformation as in the GNF-2-bound state. DPH, magenta. The structure is based on PDB entry 3PYY.
interactions were sensitive to EGFR and SRC kinase inhibitors, respectively (Fig. 4a,b). They remained resistant to imatinib, which does not target either kinase. Thus, with simple engineering, the assay can be readily extended to kinases that are not normally HSP90 clients.

To determine whether other chaperones could be used as in vivo sensors for the drug-target interactions, we first turned to CDC37. CDC37 is a kinase-specific co-chaperone for HSP90 and its interaction profile strongly correlates with that of HSP90 (ref. 1). We used a cell line stably transfected with a CDC37- Renilla fusion and assayed the EC50 of imatinib and dasatinib for BCR-ABL in a manner analogous to our Renilla-HSP90 sensor. Consistent with CDC37’s central role in chaperoning kinases, inhibitor EC50 values were virtually identical with those obtained with HSP90 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Thus, this co-chaperone is equally effective as a thermodynamic sensor of small molecule–kinase interactions.

Finally, we asked whether the assay could be broadened to other types of target proteins, other types of compounds, and to chaperones that act in a distinct manner from HSP90. We focused on two steroid hormone receptors that are known to associate with chaperones: the androgen receptor (AR) and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR)22. We also included 32 variants in 13 different kinases that have been reproducible with an in vivo platform, we screened 30 small molecules at 5 µM against our entire kinase-HSP90 and kinase-CDC37 interaction by LUMIER with BACON. Consistent with our previous results1, the assay was highly reproducible with an R2 value of 0.98 between replicates (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 3). Next, we repeated the assay in quadruplicate, but treated the cells with 5 µM staurosporine (two replicates) or DMSO (two replicates) for 1 h before cell lysis. Staurosporine is a promiscuous kinase inhibitor targeting all kinase families4, and thus would be expected to affect a large fraction of HSP90–kinase interactions. Indeed, staurosporine treatment led to a significant reduction in 45% of HSP90–kinase interactions (Fig. 5b).

Having established the robustness and reproducibility of the assay platform, we screened 30 small molecules at 5 µM against our entire kinase collection. These included kinase inhibitors approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, inhibitors currently in clinical trials, and compounds in preclinical development (Supplementary Table 1). The compounds represented all types of kinase inhibitors: active (type I) and inactive (type II) conformation binders, allosteric (type III) modulators and irreversible inhibitors. HSP90 and CDC37 interaction profiles with kinases correlate very strongly1 and the EC50 values derived with both interaction assays are nearly identical (see above), but the signal-to-noise ratio was slightly better with the CDC37–Renilla cell line (data not shown). Therefore, most inhibitors were profiled with CDC37 as the sensor (Supplementary Table 1).

Kinome-wide profiling: ATP-competitive inhibitors

Having established the applicability of the assay for single kinases, we asked if the assay could be used more systemically to profile inhibitor specificities and discover new drug targets. In our efforts to understand HSP90 client protein specificity, we had previously assembled a panel of 420 full-length kinase clones (representing 355 unique wild-type kinases and various splice isoforms)3. We expanded this collection with another 135 mutant kinase clones of interest in drug discovery efforts. This addition consisted of 29 translocations (involving 14 different kinase domains), 31 oncogenic mutants (in 9 different kinases), 43 drug-resistant kinase variants (of three kinases), all of which are associated with oncogenic phenotypes. We also included 32 variants in 13 different kinases that have been causally linked to dominant Mendelian diseases (Supplementary Table 1). About 70% of these new constructs interacted with HSP90 and CDC37 and could thus be tested in our assay, compared to 60% of the original kinase panel.

First, we transfected our kinase collection into Renilla–HSP90 and CDC37–Renilla cells (each in duplicate) and quantitatively measured each kinase-HSP90 and kinase-CDC37 interaction by LUMIER with BACON. Consistent with our previous results1, the assay was highly reproducible with an R2 value of 0.98 between replicates (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 3). Next, we repeated the assay in quadruplicate, but treated the cells with 5 µM staurosporine (two replicates) or DMSO (two replicates) for 1 h before cell lysis. Staurosporine is a promiscuous kinase inhibitor targeting all kinase families4, and thus would be expected to affect a large fraction of HSP90–kinase interactions. Indeed, staurosporine treatment led to a significant reduction in 45% of HSP90–kinase interactions (Fig. 5b).
In all but four cases, we identified the primary target of the inhibitor or if it was among the Hsp90- or CDC37-interacting kinases in our collection (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Discussion). For example, chaperone profiling identified wild-type and mutant BRAF as specific targets of PLX4720 (Fig. 5c). Similarly, ponatinib has been reported as a potent BCR-ABL15, pan-FGFR25 and FLT3 inhibitor26. Consistent with this, we identified all three as prominent ponatinib targets (Fig. 5d). For PLX4720, we identified 17 additional kinases as potential targets, and for ponatinib, 48. It is possible that some of these represent indirect effects (e.g., upstream kinase activation) that could affect chaperone binding, but this is unlikely to be a general confounding factor. For example, receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors did not affect the chaperone interactions of downstream kinases (e.g., MEK and ERK family kinases), even though their activity states must have been affected.

For all inhibitors, we measured inhibitor EC50 values for up to ten of the most prominent kinase hits (see Supplementary Fig. 4 for examples and Supplementary Table 2 for all data). Previously established in vitro specificity profiles (KINOMEScan) were available for nine of the inhibitors37. For five of these (crizotinib, GDC0879, HG-6-64-1, PLX4720, and sorafenib (Nexavar)), there was a statistically significant overlap between our data and previously published data sets (P < 0.0001, P = 0.0014, P = 0.0011 and P < 0.001, respectively; Supplementary Fig. 5). For two inhibitors (EPHB2 inhibitor ALW-II-49-7 and BTK inhibitor QL-X-138) the overlap was not statistically significant, as only a few of the previously identified in vitro targets were profiled with our assay (Supplementary Fig. 5).

For staurosporine, a larger number of targets had been identified in previous in vitro investigations. To address this discrepancy, we chose six such kinases for further study. We transfected 293T cells with the kinases, treated cells with staurosporine, and asked whether staurosporine altered kinase autophosphorylation, a surrogate for kinase activity. For four of the six, autophosphorylation changes were consistent with our results from the primary screen (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Thus, for these kinases, our in vivo LUMIER assay reflected inhibitor specificity better than former in vitro assays. Autophosphorylation of one kinase was affected by the inhibitor, but when we retested it by LUMIER, it proved to be a bone fide target by this assay, too. Thus, it was simply a false negative in the primary screen. Only one kinase, FLT4, showed a discordant pattern. It was not displaced from CDC37, even though its phosphotyrosine content decreased with staurosporine. Because we have found FLT4 to be a target of several other kinase inhibitors using our assay, this kinase is not intrinsically incompatible with the LUMIER assay. Instead, staurosporine might have an unusual mode of binding to FLT4 or the kinase might be transphosphorylated by a separate, staurosporine-sensitive kinase. Finally, we tested one kinase that was not previously identified as a staurosporine target but did score in our screen—JAK1. The effects of staurosporine on JAK1 autophosphorylation confirmed that it is indeed a target of this compound (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Thus, the chaperone interaction assay is a robust method for identifying biologically relevant kinase inhibitor targets in a high-throughput manner.

To more critically evaluate the relevance of our results to cellular physiology, we compared the measured EC50 values to previously published cellular potencies. We included only assays in which the cellular potency could be unequivocally attributed to a specific drug-target interaction (e.g., excluding sensitivity data for cancer cell lines that likely contain multiple genetic lesions). Such data were available for 40 drug-target pairs targeted by ten diverse compounds (Supplementary Table 3). The cellular potency of these compounds spanned almost five orders of magnitude (100 pM to 5 µM). Their measured EC50 values from the chaperone interaction assay strongly correlated with their cellular potencies (logarithmic R2 0.74, P < 0.0001, linear R2 0.59, P < 0.0001; Supplementary Fig. 7). The robust correlation between the two very different assays further demonstrates the ability of the LUMIER assay to address cellular physiology.

Kinome-wide profiling: allosteric modulators

As noted above, allosteric kinase inhibitors are thought to be more specific than ATP-competitive inhibitors, as they target nonconserved pockets27. Although this concept is intuitively reasonable, it has been challenging to systematically test it in vivo. To address this deficiency, we used our chaperone sensor assay to profile six allosteric inhibitors and one allosteric activator. All proved to be exquisitely specific. For example, allosteric AKT inhibitors MK-2206 and Kin001-102, which bind the interface between the AKT kinase domain and its pleckstrin-homology (PH) domain27, only targeted the AKT family kinases (Supplementary Fig. 8). The allosteric MEK inhibitor trametinib (MEKINIST) did not affect any wild-type kinases that interacted with CDC37. (Wild-type MEK1 (MAP2K1) or MEK2 (MAP2K2) do not associate with CDC37 and were not assayed.) However, introducing
Crizotinib is a potent inhibitor of ETV6-NTRK3

To demonstrate the translational value of our assay to uncover clinically relevant secondary targets, we focused on crizotinib (Xalkori), a recently approved high-potency inhibitor of the ALK and MET tyrosine kinases. We identified several other tyrosine kinases as potential secondary targets (Supplementary Table 2). Some of these had already been identified as secondary targets previously by other methods but in vivo data existed for only a few. To test the effect of crizotinib on the tyrosine kinase activity of the potential targets we identified, we assessed the autophosphorylation state of each kinase after a 1-hour treatment and the CRISPR inhibition state of each kinase after 1 hour of treatment. As expected, crizotinib potently inhibited ALK autophosphorylation (Fig. 6a) as well as that of previously reported secondary targets (Fig. 6b). In addition, autophosphorylation of the translation fusion kinase ETV6-NTRK3 was also markedly reduced by crizotinib (Fig. 6a). Although wild-type NTRK3 was among the 146 previously reported in vivo targets of the drug, ETV6-NTRK3 fusion has previously not been described as an in vivo target of crizotinib. Other targets (FES, TESK1, INSRR, EPHA2) were also affected by crizotinib, albeit only at higher concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 10). We also measured the EC50 of crizotinib for each target with the chaperone interaction assay. The potencies of crizotinib in reducing kinase autophosphorylation and its potency in dissociating the kinases from HSP90 were in good agreement (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 10).

Notably, crizotinib inhibited the ETV6-NTRK3 translocation fusion kinase in our assay even more potently (EC50 85 nM) than...
it inhibited its primary target ALK (EC_{50}: 220 nM) (Fig. 6b). This translocation has been found in pediatric tumors such as fibrosarcomas, mesoblastic nephromas and secretory breast carcinomas\(^{33}\). Infantile fibrosarcoma is the most common soft-tissue sarcoma in infants. Despite a generally favorable prognosis, treatment of more advanced tumors can require radical surgery and even amputation\(^{32}\).

In adults, this translocation has been associated with acute myeloid leukemia\(^{33,34}\) and a distinct subclass of salivary gland tumors, which occasionally metastasize and have poor outcome\(^{33-35}\). Thus, a drug targeting ETV6-NTRK3 might offer a valuable treatment option for these rare but often devastating cancers.

To test the effect of crizotinib on human tumor cells, we chose the M091 cell line, which carries the ETV6-NTRK3 translocation and was derived from a patient with acute myeloid leukemia\(^{36}\). Confirming our results from 293T cells, crizotinib potently inhibited endogenous ETV6-NTRK3 autophosphorylation in M091 cells after 1-h treatment (Fig. 6c). To determine if crizotinib inhibited signaling downstream of activated NTRK3, we measured the effect of crizotinib on ERK phosphorylation\(^{37}\). ERK phosphorylation was reduced in a concentration-dependent manner upon drug treatment (Fig. 6c).

Next, we assayed the sensitivity of M091 cell growth and survival to crizotinib. The cells were very sensitive to the drug, with a GI_{50} in the low nanomolar range (Fig. 6d). To exclude the possibility that this was due to inhibition of other known crizotinib targets that could play an important role in M091 biology, we tested three additional inhibitors. NVP-TAE684 inhibits ALK with low nanomolar potency\(^{38}\). Yet, it inhibited M091 cell growth only at micromolar concentrations (Fig. 6d), suggesting that ALK inhibition is not responsible for crizotinib’s growth-inhibitory effect. Similarly, the ABL1 inhibitor imatinib had no effect on M091 proliferation even at high concentrations (Fig. 6d). However, another ABL1 inhibitor ponatinib, which we found to also target NTRK3 in our screen (Supplementary Table 2), did inhibit the growth of the cells with nanomolar potency. Thus, the effect of crizotinib on M091 cell proliferation is not due to ALK or ABL1 inhibition but is very likely a result of direct inhibition of ETV6-NTRK3.

To evaluate the activity of crizotinib in vivo against an ETV6-NTRK3-dependent tumor, we developed a xenograft model in non-obese diabetic-severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD-SCID) mice. Parental M091 cells were passaged as subcutaneous implants through these mice to generate a more highly tumorigenic variant that was adapted to engraft and progress in sufficiently uniform fashion to support testing of drug activity in vivo. When explanted in cell culture, these in vivo–passaged cells (M091-TA) remained highly sensitive to crizotinib (Supplementary Fig. 11) and were used for subsequent experiments evaluating anticancer activity. Three weeks after subcutaneous injection of M091-TA cells, we randomly assigned mice bearing established xenografts to treatment with crizotinib or vehicle control. Crizotinib was administered by oral gavage using a well-tolerated equal volume of water on the same schedule. Control-treated tumors grew rapidly to the point where the mice had to be euthanized. In contrast, crizotinib induced tumor regression after only a few days’ treatment (Fig. 6e).

At the end of the treatment interval, we resected tumor xenografts to examine relevant pharmacodynamic endpoints. Control-treated samples showed dense sheets of tumor cells with overt features of high-grade malignancy: large irregular nuclei with prominent nucleoli, regions of nuclear clearing representing open chromatin, and frequent, easily identified mitotic figures (Fig. 6f). By contrast, in crizotinib-treated animals tumor cells were sparse and were embedded in a fibrotic extracellular matrix. Mitoses were very infrequent, nucleoli were inconspicuous and nuclei were small with condensed chromatin, all features of nonproliferating cells (Fig. 6f). Immunohistochemistry for two proliferation markers, Ki67 (MIB1) and phospho-histone H3S10, confirmed that crizotinib treatment had markedly reduced proliferation (Fig. 6f and Supplementary Fig. 12). Moreover, crizotinib treatment caused a sharp decrease in total phosphotyrosine levels in the tumors (Supplementary Fig. 12) and specifically in the activated form of STAT3 that is phosphorylated in cells carrying the ETV6-NTRK3 translocation (Fig. 6f)\(^{39}\).

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we establish that chaperones can be used as ‘thermodynamic sensors’ for drug–target interactions. We used HSP90, CDC37 and HSC70 as examples of chaperones, and kinases and steroid hormone receptors as examples of drug targets. But it is likely that our approach will prove broadly applicable with other chaperones and other drug targets. The binding of small molecules to their targets generally leads to thermodynamic stabilization of those targets\(^{40}\). If the target proteins are in equilibrium between their fully folded and partially folded chaperone-bound conformations, drug binding will lead to decreased chaperone association. Chaperones associate with a large fraction of proteins in all organisms even at their steady states\(^{31,41,42}\), and, as we have shown, proteins that do not associate with them in this manner can be readily engineered to do so.

Our assay depends neither on the enzymatic activity of the target protein nor on a particular binding pocket in the target. Thus, it should be well suited for the pursuit of drugs for targets that have been notoriously difficult to assay in biologically relevant contexts, including E3 ligases\(^1\), transcription factors\(^4\), and diverse protein–protein interactions. Our method is amenable to high-throughput automation, bridging the gap between the more traditional high-throughput in vitro methods and lower throughput in vivo approaches in drug discovery.

We illustrated the utility of chaperone profiling by surveying kinase inhibitor specificities in vivo. Compared to other available methods for kinase inhibitor profiling\(^5-7\), our approach has several advantages. First, it uses full-length kinases in their native cellular environment, in the context of physiological post-translational modifications, conformational switches and cellular interactors. Although the expression levels of the kinases in our assay are higher than endogenous levels, the strong correlation between our results and in vivo potency suggests that this is not a generally confounding factor. With the use of lentiviral delivery systems, it should be readily adaptable to any cell type in cases where a particular cellular context is required (e.g., stem cells, neuronal cells). Second, kinases are assayed at physiological ATP concentrations. As most kinase inhibitors are ATP-competitive, the difference between in vitro and in vivo ATP concentrations often leads to substantial changes in cellular potency. Third, the assay is compatible with allosteric inhibitors and activators, in contrast to other in vivo approaches\(^{11,12}\). For example, neither GNF-2 nor MK2206 would have been identified in an in vitro screen using ABL1 or AKT1 kinase domains only, as the inhibitors absolutely require the kinases’ regulatory domains for function\(^47\).

Finally, we established that the assay can be used to discover clinically relevant drug targets. NTRK3 and its translocation fusion variant ETV6-NTRK3 proved to be prominent targets of crizotinib. Crizotinib inhibited ETV6-NTRK3 with nanomolar potency and treatment of ETV6-NTRK3-dependent xenografts with crizotinib led to dramatic regression of tumors. These results suggest that it might be worth testing crizotinib as a therapeutic agent against cancers driven by the ETV6-NTRK3 translocation. Crizotinib is already approved for
use in the treatment of certain lung cancers. Recommended dosing parameters with a good safety profile for children have already been established. Thus it should be possible to translate these findings rapidly to efficacy trials in selected pediatric malignancies such as infantile fibrosarcoma. Furthermore, given the intense interest in repurposing drugs and expanding the scope of druggable targets, the ease and reproducibility of our assay should have broad application in drug development.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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Kinase clones. The majority of the kinase collection has been described previously1. Additional kinases were amplified from cDNA and cloned into pDONR221 entry vector, and subsequently transferred into a pcDNA3.1-based expression vector with a cytomegalovirus promoter and a C-terminal 3xFLAG-V5 tag, using Gateway LR clonase. Kinase mutants were created with site-directed mutagenesis, except for BCR-ABL alleles, which were amplified from retroviral vectors (kindly provided by M. Azam) and cloned into the expression vector with Gateway cloning. All mutations were verified by Sanger sequencing. Coordinates for the translocation breakpoints were downloaded from TICdb44 and the translocations were created with fusion PCR45. Translocation breakpoints were verified by Sanger sequencing.

LUMIER with BACON and inhibitor profiling. LUMIER with BACON was done as previously described1. To assay compound EC_{50} values, 3xFLAG tagged kinases (or steroid hormone receptors) were used to transfect stable 293T reporter cell lines (Renilla-HSPA8, CDC37-Renilla or Renilla-HSPA8) in 6-well or 12-well plate format. Next day, the cells were split into 96-well plates, and 24 h later the cells were treated with a threefold dilution series of the inhibitor in triplicate for 1 h before cell lysis and the LUMIER assay. Renilla-HSPA90AB1 and CDC37-Renilla cell lines have been described earlier1. The stable polyclonal 293T cell line expressing Renilla-HSPA8 was created with lentiviral infection. The expression construct contained a codon-optimized Renilla luciferase fused to the N terminus of human HSPA8 (Hsc70), separated by a glycine-serine rich linker.

To profile kinase inhibitor specificities, we transfected each kinase into the reporter cell line in quadruplicate using polyethylenimine (branched PEI, Sigma-Aldrich 408727). Two days after transfection, two sets of transfections were done in treated versus control wells in 96-well plate format. Next day, the cells were split into 96-well plates, and 24 h later the cells were treated with a threefold dilution series of the inhibitor in triplicate for 1 h before cell lysis and the LUMIER assay. Renilla-HSPA90AB1 and CDC37-Renilla cell lines have been described earlier1. The stable polyclonal 293T cell line expressing Renilla-HSPA8 was created with lentiviral infection. The expression construct contained a codon-optimized Renilla luciferase fused to the N terminus of human HSPA8 (Hsc70), separated by a glycine-serine rich linker.

To profile kinase inhibitor specificities, we transfected each kinase into the reporter cell line in quadruplicate using polyethylenimine (branched PEI, Sigma-Aldrich 408727). Two days after transfection, two sets of transfections were done in treated versus control wells in 96-well plate format. Next day, the cells were split into 96-well plates, and 24 h later the cells were treated with a threefold dilution series of the inhibitor in triplicate for 1 h before cell lysis and the LUMIER assay. Renilla-HSPA90AB1 and CDC37-Renilla cell lines have been described earlier1. The stable polyclonal 293T cell line expressing Renilla-HSPA8 was created with lentiviral infection. The expression construct contained a codon-optimized Renilla luciferase fused to the N terminus of human HSPA8 (Hsc70), separated by a glycine-serine rich linker.
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44. Novo, F.J., de Mendibil, I.O. & Vizmanos, J.L. TICdb: a collection of gene-mapped translocation breakpoints in cancer. BMC Genomics 8, 33 (2007).
45. Atanasov, I.I., Etchells, J.P. & Turner, S.R. A simple, flexible and efficient PCR-fusion/Gateway cloning procedure for gene fusion, site-directed mutagenesis, short sequence insertion and domain deletions and swaps. Plant Methods 5, 14 (2009).
46. Koh, E.Y., Chen, T. & Daley, G.Q. Novel retroviral vectors to facilitate expression screens in mammalian cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, e142 (2002).