Integrability in Yang-Mills theory on the light cone beyond leading order
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The one-loop dilatation operator in Yang-Mills theory possesses a hidden integrability symmetry in the sector of maximal helicity Wilson operators. We calculate two-loop corrections to the dilatation operator and demonstrate that while integrability is broken for matter in the fundamental representation of the SU(3) gauge group, for the adjoint SU(N) matter it survives the conformal symmetry breaking and persists in supersymmetric \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \), \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) Yang-Mills theories.

Four-dimensional Yang-Mills (YM) theory exhibits new symmetry which manifests itself on the quantum level through integrability property of the dilatation operator in the sector of the maximal helicity Wilson operators in the multi-color limit [1]. In supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theories, integrability is promoted to a larger class of operators and ultimately to all Wilson operators in the maximally supersymmetric \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) theory [2]. It is expected that the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) dilatation operator has to be integrable to all orders in \( 't \) Hooft coupling and it was argued to hold in certain closed subsectors of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory [3]. So far integrability in YM theory was unequivocally established at leading order in coupling and it was argued to hold in certain closed subsectors of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory in higher loops [4]. In distinction with the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) model, the conformal symmetry of YM theory is broken by quantum corrections and the conformal anomaly affects the dilatation operator starting from two loops. A natural question arises whether integrability in the sector of the maximal helicity operators carries on to higher orders in YM theory and its supersymmetric extensions.

In this Letter, we report on a calculation of the two-loop dilatation operator in the sector of three-quark (baryon) operators of the maximal helicity-3/2. Integrability is a genuine symmetry of YM theories and the choice of the sector is driven solely by the simplicity of the computations involved. For our purposes we consider separately the case of quarks in the fundamental \( SU(3) \) and adjoint \( SU(N_c) \) representations. The former corresponds to QCD while the latter is relevant to its supersymmetric extension. The corresponding three-quark light-cone operators are defined as

\[
\mathbb{B}_f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \epsilon^{ijk} q_i^\dagger(z_1) q_j^\dagger(z_2) q_k^\dagger(z_3), \\
\mathbb{B}_a(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \text{tr} \left[ q_i^\dagger(z_1) q_j^\dagger(z_2) q_k^\dagger(z_3) \right],
\]

where \( q^\dagger(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)q(z)n_\mu \) is the helicity-1/2 fermion “living” on the light-cone (\( n_\mu = 0 \)) and, in the second relation, \( q = q^\dagger t^a \) with the \( SU(N_c) \) generators \( t^a \) in the fundamental representation. The quarks in [1] may have different flavors and their total number is \( N_f \). It is tacitly assumed that the gauge invariance is restored in [1] by inserting appropriate Wilson lines between quark fields. Later on we shall adopt the light-like axial gauge \( n \cdot A = 0 \) in which the gauge links reduce to the unit matrix. The light-cone operators [1] are generating functions of local Wilson operators. The latter can be obtained by Taylor expanding \( \mathbb{B}(z) \) in the light-cone separations \( z_i \). The operator \( \mathbb{B}_f(z_i) \) has a direct phenomenological interest in QCD as its matrix element defines the \( \Delta^2 \)–distribution amplitude. For \( N_f = 1 \), the operator \( \mathbb{B}_a(z_i) \) is a component of a supermultiplet in the \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) SYM theory. Its remaining components are obtained from \( \mathbb{B}_a(z_i) \) by replacing \( q^\dagger \) one by one with helicity-up gluon fields, thus covering the maximal-helicity three-gluon operator.

The goal of this study is to elucidate the symmetry properties of the dilatation operator governing the scale dependence of the operators [1] to two-loop order. A unique feature of the operators [1] is that they mix only with themselves and obey the Callan-Symzanzik equation

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \right) \mathbb{B}(z_i) = -[\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{B}](z_i). \tag{2}
\]

Here \( \mathbb{H} \) is an integral operator acting on light-cone coordinates of quark fields and defining a representation of the dilatation operator \( \mathbb{D} \) in the space spanned by light-cone operators, \( i[\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{B}(z)] = ([\mathbb{H} + 3d_0] \mathbb{B}(z)) \) with \( d_0 = 3/2 \) the quark canonical dimension. Its perturbative expansion

\[
\mathbb{H} = \lambda \mathbb{H}^{(0)} + \lambda^2 \mathbb{H}^{(1)} + O(\lambda^3), \tag{3}
\]

goes in \( \lambda \), depending on the quark representation in [1],

\[
\lambda_f = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{4}{3}, \quad \lambda_a = \frac{g^2}{8\pi^2} N_c, \tag{4}
\]

gauge coupling \( g \). Notice that the leading order evolution kernel \( \mathbb{H}^{(0)} \) is universal (see [5] below) while the two-loop kernel \( \mathbb{H}^{(1)} \) is different for \( \mathbb{B}_f(z_i) \) and \( \mathbb{B}_a(z_i) \).

To solve [2] one has to examine the Schrödinger equation for the evolution kernel [3]

\[
[\mathbb{H} \cdot \Psi_q](z_1, z_2, z_3) = \gamma_q(\lambda) \Psi_q(z_1, z_2, z_3), \tag{5}
\]

with eigenvalues \( \gamma_q(\lambda) \) having a perturbative expansion in the coupling constants [4] similar to Eq. [3], and

\[
\Psi_q(z_i) = \Psi^{(0)}_q(z_i) + \lambda \Psi^{(1)}_q(z_i) + O(\lambda^2). \tag{6}
\]
being homogeneous polynomials of degree \(N = 0, 1, \ldots\). Having solved Eq. (3), one can expand nonlocal operators \(B(z_1)\) over local Wilson operators \(\mathcal{O}_q(0)\) built from three quark fields and \(N\) covariant derivatives acting on them

\[
\mathbb{B}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \sum_q \Psi_q(z_1, z_2, z_3) \mathcal{O}_q(0). \tag{7}
\]

To one-loop order, these operators have autonomous scale dependence but this property is lost in two loops due to dependence of \(\Psi_q(z_1)\) on the coupling constant, Eq. (8). Integrability arises as a hidden symmetry of the Schrödinger equation (5) through the existence of a new quantum number \(q\).

To one-loop order, the kernel \(\mathbb{H}^{(0)}\) has a pair-wise form

\[
\mathbb{H}^{(0)} = \mathbb{H}_{12}^{(0)} + \mathbb{H}_{23}^{(0)} + \mathbb{H}_{31}^{(0)} + \frac{3}{2}, \tag{8}
\]

with the two-particle kernel \(\mathbb{H}_{jk}^{(0)}\) displacing \(j^{th}\) and \(k^{th}\) quarks along the light-cone in the direction of each other

\[
[\mathbb{H}_{12}^{(0)} : \mathbb{B}] (z_1, z_2, z_3) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \tilde{\alpha}^{2j_1-1} \left[ 2B(z_1, z_2, z_3) - B(\tilde{\alpha}z_1 + \alpha z_2, z_3) - B(z_1, \alpha z_1 + \tilde{\alpha} z_2, z_3) \right], \tag{9}
\]

where \(\tilde{\alpha} = 1 - \alpha\) and \(j_q = 1\) is the conformal spin of the quark. The one-loop dilatation operator \(\mathbb{D}_{jk}\) possesses two conserved charges \(q_2^{(0)}, q_3^{(0)}\) such that \(\mathbb{H}_i^{(0)}, q_j^{(0)} = 0\),

\[
q_2^{(0)} = L_{12}^2 + L_{23}^2 + L_{31}^2, \quad q_3^{(0)} = iL_{12}L_{23}, \tag{10}
\]

where \(L_{jk}^2\) is a two-particle Casimir operator of the “collinear” \(SL(2)\) subgroup of the conformal group

\[
L_{jk}^2 B(z_i) = -z_{jk}^{2(1-j_q)} \partial_j z_i \partial_k z_i z_{jk}^2 B(z_i), \tag{11}
\]

with \(z_{jk} = z_j - z_k\). Complete integrability implies that the one-loop dilatation operator is a function of the conserved charges \(\mathbb{H}^{(0)} = \mathbb{H}_i^{(0)}, q_j^{(0)}\). So that its eigenfunctions diagonalize both of them simultaneously, e.g.,

\[
q_3^{(0)} \Psi_q^{(0)}(z_i) = q \Psi_q^{(0)}(z_i). \tag{12}
\]

Remarkably, \(\mathbb{H}^{(0)}\) can be mapped into the \(SL(2, \mathbb{R})\) Heisenberg magnet of spin \(-j_q\) and length equal to the number of quarks \(N\). As a result, the Schrödinger equation (5) is completely integrable to one-loop order and is solved exactly using the Bethe Ansatz.

The one-loop integrability holds irrespective of the \(SU(N_c)\) representation of the quark fields in (13). To verify whether this symmetry is preserved beyond leading order, we calculate the two-loop dilatation operators \(\mathbb{D}_{jk}\) in the light-like axial gauge \(n \cdot A = 0\). In this gauge, the relevant Feynman diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. Their contribution to the two evolution kernels \(\mathbb{H}_i^{(1)}\) and \(\mathbb{H}_i^{(1)}\) only differs by the accompanying color factors. As a consequence, the two kernels are different but have the same general form

\[
\mathbb{H}_i^{(1)} = \mathbb{H}_{12} + \mathbb{H}_{23} + \mathbb{H}_{31} + \mathbb{H}_{123} + \Gamma^{(1)}. \tag{12}
\]

Here \(\mathbb{H}_{jk}\) is the two-particle kernel computed from the graphs in Fig. 1 (\(a) - (f)\) and \(\mathbb{H}_{123}\) is the three-particle irreducible kernel defined by the diagram (g) and its cyclic permutations. The diagram (h) vanishes for both operators in (13). Notice that the nonplanar diagram (b) contributes to \(\mathbb{B}_i(z_i)\) and vanishes for \(\mathbb{B}_a(z_i)\). The quark-\(g\) renormalization (not displayed) contribute to the coefficient constant \(\Gamma^{(1)}\).

By analogy with one-loop, Eq. (8), we define the two-loop Hamiltonians \(\mathbb{H}_{jk}\) and \(\mathbb{H}_{123}\) in such a way that they annihilate the local baryon operator \(\mathbb{B}_a(z_i) = 0\) so that its anomalous dimension equals \(\mathbb{H} - \gamma_0(\lambda)\mathbb{B}(z_i) = 0\) with \(\gamma_0(\lambda) = \lambda \frac{3}{2} + \lambda^2 \sum^{(1)} + O(\lambda^3)\). To save space we do not present the explicit expression for \(\Gamma^{(1)}\). It defines the ground state energy for the Hamiltonian \(\mathbb{H}\), Eq. (13), and is not relevant for the discussion of integrability.

The diagram in Fig. 1 (f) involves a quark loop. Its contribution is proportional to the number of flavors \(N_f\) and is gauge invariant. This allows one to split the two-particle kernel \(\mathbb{H}_{jk}\) into the sum of gauge-invariant terms

\[
\mathbb{H}_{jk} = \mathbb{H}_{jk}^{(b=0)} + (\beta_0/c) \mathbb{H}_{jk}^{(1)}, \tag{13}
\]

where the second one is proportional to the one-loop \(\beta\)-function \(\beta_0 = N_c/3 - 4T_dN_f/3\) in the underlying YM theory with \(T_d = 1/2\), \(c = 2/3\) and \(T_d = c_d = N_c/2\).

Starting from two loops the anomalous dimensions receive conformal symmetry breaking corrections due to nonzero \(\beta\)-function \(\beta_0\) and, in addition, they depend on the scheme used to subtract ultraviolet divergences. In our calculations we applied the dimensional regularization with \(d = 4 - 2\varepsilon\) and subtracted poles in \(1/\varepsilon\) in the \(\bar{MS}\)-scheme. In general, supersymmetry is broken in the dimensional regularization and is preserved in the dimensional reduction. The diagrams in Fig. 1 give identical results for both procedures so that supersymmetry is preserved. The difference arises only for two-loop quark...
self-energy, which contributes to $\Gamma^{(1)}$. The resulting ex-
pressions for the two-loop Hamiltonians $H_{jk}$ and $H_{123}$, Eq. (12), are cumbersome and will be given elsewhere.

The dilatation operator $H$, Eq. (6), is invariant under the cyclic permutation of quarks $P$ and the interchange of any pair of quarks $P_{jk}$. Since $[P, P_{jk}] \neq 0$, the eigenfunc-
tions $\Psi_q(z_i)$ cannot diagonalize both operators simultaneously. Choosing $P$, the eigenstates can be classified with respect to the quasimomentum

$$P \Psi_q(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \Psi_q(z_2, z_3, z_1) = e^{i\theta_q} \Psi_q(z_1, z_2, z_3),$$

(14)

with $\theta_q = 2\pi n/3$ and $n = -1, 0, 1$. The parity symme-
try of the Hamiltonian, $[P_{12}, H] = 0$, combined with the

$$H = \text{identity}$$

and $q$ in $H$, $[P, H] = 0$ implies that, independently of the ex-
istence of the charge $q_3$, the eigenvalues of $H$ with

$$\langle q \psi | \phi \rangle = \gamma_i$$

are the eigenvalues of $H_{12}$, Eq. (15), normalized with respect to the

$$H_{12} = H_{12} F_i$$

orthonormal polynomials of degree $N$ for $q = 0$. To determine two-loop cor-
tections to the eigenspectrum of $H_{12}$, Eq. (15), normalized with respect to the $SL(2; \mathbb{R})$ invariant scalar product as $\langle \Psi^{(0)}_q \mid \Psi^{(0)}_{q'} \rangle = \delta_{qq'}$, one finds

$$\gamma^{(1)}_q = \langle \Psi^{(0)}_q \mid H^{(1)} \mid \Psi^{(0)}_q \rangle,$$

(16)

$$\Psi^{(1)}_q = \sum_{q' \neq q} \frac{\langle \Psi^{(0)}_q \mid H^{(1)} \mid \Psi^{(0)}_{q'} \rangle}{\gamma^{(0)}_q - \gamma^{(0)}_{q'}} \Psi^{(0)}_{q'} \equiv \mathcal{Z}^\pm \Psi^{(0)}_q.$$

Going over through lengthy calculations we observed that, in agreement with our expectations, the eigenstates with non-zero quasimomentum $\theta_q \neq 0$ are double degener-
ated to two loops for both operators in $H$. For the eigen-
states with $\theta_q = 0$ the situation is quite different. We found that the desired pairing of eigenvalues occurs only for the operator $B_{12}(z_i)$ while it is lifted for the $B_{1}(z_i)$.

We remind that the eigenstates $\Psi^{(0)}_q(z_i)$ are homoge-

neous polynomials of degree $N = 0, 1, \ldots$ and the total number of eigenvalues equals $N + 1$. The first non-trivial example arises for $N = 3$ and demonstrates the main trend, recurring for higher $N$. At $N = 3$, for quarks in the adjoint representation one finds two pairs of the eigenvalues

$$\Delta \gamma_1^\pm(\lambda) = \gamma_1^\pm(\lambda) - \gamma_0(\lambda),$$

(17)

with $\Delta \gamma_1^\pm(\lambda)$ and $\Delta \gamma_1^\pm(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigen-
states with $\theta_q = 0$ and $\theta_q = \pm 2\pi/3$, respectively, and $\beta_0/c_3 = 4/(11 - 2N_f)$. For quarks in the fundamental representation, the two eigenstates with $\theta_q = 0$ have different eigenvalues starting from two loops, $\Delta \gamma_1^\pm(\lambda)$, and we get instead

$$\Delta \gamma_1^+(\lambda) = \lambda t^2 + \lambda^2 \left[ -\frac{112}{124} + \frac{29}{42} \frac{\beta_0}{c_3} \right],$$

$$\Delta \gamma_1^-(\lambda) = \lambda t^2 + \lambda^2 \left[ -\frac{289}{40} + \frac{29}{42} \frac{\beta_0}{c_3} \right],$$

(18)

with $\beta_0/c_3 = 1675 - N_f$. For $N_f = 1$ the exact spectrum of eigenvalues $\Delta \gamma(\lambda) = \lambda \gamma_N^{(0)} + \lambda^2 \gamma_N^{(1)}$ for the conformal spin $0 \leq N \leq 10$ is displayed in Fig. 2. It clearly demonstrates the lifting of the degeneracy and proliferation of eigenvalues for the baryon operator $B_{1}(z_i)$ compared to $B_{12}(z_i)$ where the pairing persists for all eigenstates.

We recall that the conformal symmetry is broken for $\beta_0 \neq 0$. One immediately sees from (17) and (18) that the degeneracy is lifted for the operator $B_{1}$ even in the conformal limit $\beta_0 = 0$, the $\beta_0$-terms themselves do preserve the “pairing” of eigenvalues. We have to em-
phasize that for quarks in the adjoint representation, the exact expressions for the anomalous dimensions $\gamma_N^{(0)}$ coincide with those in the multi-color limit. At the same time, for quarks in the fundamental, the baryon operator $B_{1}(z_i)$ exists only for $N_c = 3$, so that the large-$N_c$ counting is not applicable. The non-planar diagram (11) contributes to (15) and partially leads to breaking of integrability in two loops.

Remarkably enough, the degeneracy of the eigenstates of the two-loop dilatation operator $H$ survives the conformal symmetry breaking. To understand the phe-
nomenon, one considers the dilatation operator $H$ in the large $\beta_0$-limit, $\lambda \beta_0 = \text{fixed}$ and $\beta_0 \to \infty$. In this limit, the $(n + 1)$-loop Hamiltonian $H$ is dominated by the contribution of $\lambda(\beta_0)^n$-terms, which can be resummed. In two loops, $\lambda^2 \beta_0$-correction to (12) comes from the second term in (13) involving the kernel $H_{jk}^{(1)}$. Being combined with the one-loop kernel, the sum

$$H_{jk}^{(0)} + \lambda \beta_0 H_{jk}^{(1)},$$

is determined by the same integral operator as $H_{jk}^{(0)}$, Eq. (11), provided that one substitutes

$$\alpha^{2N_f-1} \to \alpha^{2N_f-1} \left[ 1 + \beta_0 \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left( \frac{5}{3} + \ln \alpha \right) \right].$$

(19)

Adding higher-loop $\lambda(\beta_0)^n$-corrections, one finds that the $\ln \alpha$-terms in the right-hand side of (19) exponen-
tiate and additively renormalize the bare quark conformal spin as $j_q \to j_q + \beta_0 g^2/(32\pi^2)$. As a result, the all-loop dilatation operator $H$, Eqs. (2), is given in the large-$\beta_0$ limit by the one-loop expression (10) with the quark conformal spin $j_q = 1$ replaced by its ‘renormalized’ value

$$H_{jk}^{(0)} = \lambda \varphi \left( \frac{\theta_0}{16\pi^2} \right) \cdot H_{jk}^{(0)} \bigg|_{j_q = 1 + \frac{\beta_0 g^2}{32\pi^2}}.$$
with \( \varphi(x) = \frac{1 + x\Gamma(4 + 2\gamma)}{6(1 - 2\gamma)^{2 + 2\gamma}} \), \( \lambda = \lambda_l \) and \( \lambda_a \) for the operators \( \mathbb{B}_l(z_i) \) and \( \mathbb{B}_a(z_i) \), respectively. The operator \( \mathbb{H}^{(0)}(\mathbb{H}) \) inherits integrability of the one-loop dilatation operator \( \mathbb{H}^{(0)}(\mathbb{H}) \) and it can be mapped into the Hamiltonian of the Heisenberg magnet of spin \( j_q = 1 + \beta_0 g^2/(32\pi^2) \). It possesses two conserved charges \( q_2^{(0)}(\mathbb{H}) \) and \( q_3^{(0)}(\mathbb{H}) \) which are given by the same expressions as before, Eq. (10), where \( L_{jk}^2 \) is given by (11) with \( j_q = 1 + \beta_0 g^2/(32\pi^2) \). Thus, the \( \beta_0 \)-terms preserve integrability of the dilatation operator for both operators in (16) and do not lift the degeneracy of its spectrum.

For \( \beta_0 = 0 \), integrability holds to two loops only for adjoint quarks. Indeed, introducing the operator \( \mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{P}_2)\mathbb{Z}^+/2 + (\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_2)\mathbb{Z}^-/2 \) with \( \mathbb{Z}^\pm \) defined in (16), it is straightforward to verify that the charges

\[
q_n = q_n^{(0)} + \lambda_n [\mathbb{Z}, q_n^{(0)}] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_n^2) , \quad (n = 2, 3)
\]

(21) satisfy \( [q_2, q_3] = [q_2^{(0)}, \mathbb{H}] = [q_3^{(0)}, \mathbb{H}] = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_n^2) \) provided that the spectrum of \( \mathbb{H} \) is degenerate, \( \gamma^{(1)}_q = \gamma^{(1)}_{q_2^{(0)}} = \gamma^{(1)}_{q_3^{(0)}} \). Notice that the two-loop dilatation operator \( \mathbb{H} \) in the \( \overline{\text{MS}} \)–scheme does not respect the conformal symmetry even for \( \beta(g) = 0 \), \( \mathbb{H}, q_2^{(0)} \neq 0 \). The reason for this is that the dimensional regularization inevitably breaks conformal symmetry since \( \beta(g) = (d - 4)g/2 \) is non-zero in \( d \)-dimensions and it propagates into the anomaly as \( d \rightarrow 4 \). The anomaly can be cured by a finite renormalization of the \( \mathbb{B} \)-operator, \( \mathbb{B}_U(z_i) = \mathbb{B}(z_i)U \), leading to the dilatation operator, \( \mathbb{H}_U = \mathbb{U}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{U}^{-1} \). This does not affect the spectrum of the anomalous dimensions but modifies the conserved charges \( q_2, q_3 \) and the eigenfunctions \( \Psi_q(z_i) \). The operator \( \mathbb{U} \) defines a scheme in which the conformal symmetry of the dilatation operator is restored for \( \beta(g) = 0 \). Such scheme is not unique and can be defined for our best convenience. Choosing \( \mathbb{U} = 1 - \lambda \mathbb{Z} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \) with the same \( \mathbb{Z} \) as in (16), one can define a scheme in which the charges do not receive radiative corrections \( q_n^{(0)}U = Uq_nU^{-1} = q_n^{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \) while the two-loop correction to the dilatation operator \( \mathbb{H}_U = \mathbb{H}^{(0)} + \lambda \mathbb{H}^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \) with \( \mathbb{H}^{(1)} = \mathbb{H}^{(1)} - [\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{H}^{(0)}] \) commutes with the one-loop kernel, \( \mathbb{H}^{(0)}, \mathbb{H}^{(1)} \).

So far we discussed the three-quark operators (11). As was already mentioned, at \( N_f = 1 \) the operator \( \mathbb{B}_a(z_i) \) is a component of the supermultiplet in the \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) SYM. Supersymmetry allows one to extend the two-loop integrability to remaining components of the supermultiplet including three-gluon operator of the maximal helicity (1). Going over to \( N = 2 \) and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theories, one finds that the only modification one has to make is to add to the dilatation operator in (12) the contribution of two-loop diagrams with, respectively, two and six real scalars inside the loops of two-particle kernels but not in the external lines since the maximal-helicity operators carrying the maximal \( R \)-charge mix only among themselves. The scalars modify the constant \( \Gamma^{(1)}_q \) in (12) and induce an additional contribution to the two-particle kernels \( \mathbb{H}_{jk}^{(0)} \) proportional to \( \mathbb{H}_{jk}^{(0)} \) and \( \mathbb{H}_{jk}^{(1)} \). Since these terms preserve integrability, the two-loop integrability found above is promoted to the \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) and \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theories.
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