Development of an indoor air purification system to improve ventilation and air quality
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ABSTRACT
Novel types of an air purification system for improving indoor air quality (IAQ) and ventilation by reducing carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration were assessed in office buildings in India. This study provides an evaluation of a control system that reduces toxic gases in indoor spaces using the synthesized filter media. The reduction potential of CO$_2$ levels of the control system, for different air handling unit (AHU) capacities, were compared. Experimental studies and in-situ evaluation has been done using the air purification system. Ventilation rates and CO$_2$ concentration are monitored before and after the installation of the purification system. The results of the investigation indicated CO$_2$ concentrations were reduced by more than 40% with the purification system. Fresh air intake to maintain the desired ventilation rates has been reduced to more than 50%, further reduce heat load. Ventilation flow rates were achieved with this air purification system. This system has the potential aspiration in controlling the CO$_2$ levels in mechanically ventilated buildings. CO$_2$ concentrations were brought down to permissible limits and maintained further without introducing fresh air into the system. Based on the results, assessment of the air purification system is a crucial and wide range of applicability in indoor environments to reduce cooling costs.

1. Introduction

During the last decades, indoor air quality (IAQ) has been widely considered as a major concern as people spend 90% of their time (De Giuli et al., 2012). Most of the time indoor air quality will be 5–10% more polluted than ambient (Carslaw, 2007). The US Environmental Protection Agency (US-EPA) has ranked indoor air pollution (IAP) as among the top five environmental dangers. IAP is having a potential impact on health concerns to humans (Berglund et al., 1992; Nimlyat and Kandar, 2015). In 2012, the World Health Organization reported that 4.3 million premature deaths occurred due to undesired IAQ worldwide. Poor IAQ causes adverse health effects including respiratory symptoms, allergies, sensory irritation, asthma, sick building syndrome (SBS), cardiovascular diseases, headache, and fatigue (Dascalaki et al., 2008). PM and formaldehyde are classified as carcinogenic agents, cause lung cancer under chronic exposure (Herbarth et al., 2003; Reynolds et al., 2001). Children are more vulnerable to the risk associated with poor IAQ (Mendell and Heath, 2005; Suk et al., 2003). Improved IAQ and thermal comfort enhance the productivity of children and teachers in schools (Coley and Beisteiner, 2002; Faustman et al., 2000). Toxic gases and particulate matter (PM) concentrations were prominent concerning parameters in determining the risk associated with IAQ (Reche et al., 2014). Buildings with heating ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems, desired suspended particulate matter levels have typically been achieved through the use of HEPA filters, non-thermal plasma air filters, and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) multi-tube high efficiency membrane air filters (Jung and Kim, 2017; Sublett et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2021). Activated carbon fibers filters (ACF) and photochemical oxidation methods are capable of adsorbing indoor volatile organic compounds (VOC) (Mamaghani et al., 2017; Roegiers and Denys, 2021). Ionic liquids and porous materials have been used to remove inorganic gases (Yu et al., 2021). In general gaseous pollutants, removal can be separated into two types, adsorption and destruction processes. Oxidation techniques such as electrostatic precipitators, ozone generation, plasma driven oxidation, and photochemical oxidation (PCO) are known as destructive processes (Ongwandee and Kruewan, 2013; Zhong and Haghighat, 2015). Pollutants captured by a sorbent media by means of either physical or chemical binding is adsorption (Sublett et al., 2010). Each of these categories of control technologies has its limitations and significance in neutralizing the various indoor air pollutants.
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In mechanically ventilated buildings, Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is an indicator to ensure the ventilation rates, air exchanges, and air quality (McNabola et al., 2013; Persily, 2015). Pollutants are spread throughout the spaces they serve (Haleem Khan and Mohan Karuppayil, 2012). According to the standards, the ventilation rate should be more than 10 l/s per person at below 800 ppm of CO₂ concentration (Kapalo et al., 2014; Seppanen et al., 1999). Carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations are often associated with occupants (Seppanen et al., 1999). In the recent past studies have assessed and reported that IAQ at high occupancy buildings has nonattainable (Hwang and Park, 2019). Maintaining desired air quality includes source control, improve ventilation, and air purification in indoors. Environmental factors and natural ventilation are considered to be important factors in determining IAQ (Nimlyat and Kendar, 2015). Improper maintenance, poor building design, and occupant activities resulting in vulnerable IAQ (da Costa Filho and Vilar, 2020). Chemical absorption of carbon dioxide from indoor air by aqueous solutions using cross-flow rotating packed bed (RPB) demonstrated the various parameters influencing the CO₂ removal efficiency (Lin and Lin, 2015). The electric swing adsorption (ESA) method to remove CO₂ concentration indicated that it is economical for higher concentrations i.e. mole fraction must be more than 0.15 (Lee et al., 2015). Smart ventilation systems (SVS) are used to control ventilation, CO₂ sensor based demand control ventilation (DCV), infrared (IR) occupancy sensor based DCV, and constant air volume (CAV). Among these carbon dioxide (CO₂) sensor based control strategies provide energy efficient ventilation and improved IAQ system (Carslaw, 2007; Li et al., 2013). Several studies indicate that CO₂ based DCV diminishes energy utilization (Chao and Hu, 2004; Lu et al., 2011). Many approaches are presented to implement DCV systems like proportional and exponential controls. The proportional control is optimal in energy saving as it was affected by the design ventilation rates based on CO₂ equilibrium concentration under steady state conditions (Schell et al., 1998). With exponential control, CO₂ concentration maintained much closer to the set point with PI or PID control (Lu et al., 2011).

To achieve desired indoor air quality and ventilation, controlling must be considered as important in reducing pollutant levels. Improved ventilation rate and indoor air quality save energy consumption compared to higher ventilation to obtain the desired IAQ (Ng et al., 2015). A sustainable and economical solution to improve indoor air quality (IAQ) and ventilation rate is an important area of interest in recent times. Previous studies have not been reported the increase in ventilation rates with air purification technologies and economical advantage. This article limits its scope to present, test results of an air purification system to control the toxic gas pollutants concentration and to achieve desire ventilation. Hence, it provides a safe, healthy, and productive environment with improved IAQ. In the present study, an attempt has been made to evaluate the performance of an air purification system to reduce indoor air pollution. Therefore, this study’s objective is to evaluate a control system to improve indoor air quality, ventilation, and reduce cooling costs in mechanically ventilated buildings. The removal efficiency of an air purification system for inorganic air pollutants control (CO₂, SO₂, and NO₂) in a laboratory scale. The same air purification system has been tested on a laboratory scale and at office buildings of mechanically ventilated occupants to control CO₂ and improve ventilation. The removal efficiency (RE) and air exchange ratio were assessed for different air handling unit (AHU) capacities. Reduction of fresh air percentage into the AHU system was correlated with the amount of CO₂ reduction. The advantage of the purification system in reducing the heat load and cooling cost of the AHU capacities was discussed.

2. Materials and methodology

2.1. Filter media

Two configurations of filter media samples have been synthesized for the present study to evaluate its performance. Filter granular media with 1–4 mm size, with bulk density 700–900 kg/m³, and specific surface area 200–225 m²/g was used for the present study. The granule size of media is chosen as optimum based on the pressure drop consideration. The pressure drop across the filter was 210–250 psi. Sample 1 5 % and sample 2 10 % NaOH doping on calcium silicate granules have been tested for the present study. Chemisorptions process of CO₂ removal on the granular surface is as follows Eq. (1)

\[
\text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{NaOH} + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{NaCaSiO}_3(\text{OH})
\]  

(1)

2.2. Laboratory testing

To study the filter media efficiency, an air purification system was tested in a sealed room of 1000 ft² volume. The testing room was sealed to prevent the airflow for convective diffusion. Porous filter media sorbs the gaseous pollutants in the air, by chemisorptions. This experimental room was equipped with multi gas analyzers of Gray wolf make to monitor the concentration profiles of CO₂, SO₂, NO₂, and O₃. Each time a single pollutant source was introduced to test the filter media performance, the same experiment was conducted with the air purification system for each parameter. Monitoring data logged every five minutes. Several assumptions have been made for laboratory testing, a completely sealed room, no diffusion from boundaries, uniform concentration of pollutants throughout the room, no external factors are influencing the test conditions and the temperature remains constant for all experiments. Each experiment was done three times with each pollutant considered. Ozone (O₃) concentration during the laboratory testing has been monitored.

2.3. AHU testing

An air purification system of three filter layers in series in a rectangular chamber introduces fresh air into the air handling unit (AHU) room. The pressure drop across filters and required airflow rate were achieved with a suction fan in the airflow direction. Figure 1 presenting a schematic of AHU and arrangement of air purification system set up inside the AHU room for adsorbing the inorganic gaseous pollutants. For the present study selected office spaces consist of variable AHU- flow rates, cubic feet per minute (CFM), and occupants capacities to test the efficiency of CO₂ adsorption. Air purification system has been designed to use at variable AHU capacities in commercial and nonresidential buildings. During testing, fresh air inlets are closed. The specifications of study locations, occupants, and AHU capacities are mentioned in Table 1. Assuming CO₂ concentrations are uniform inside the AHU room, no fresh air is introduced into the system. The number of occupants throughout the testing remains the same. Testing of the purification system has been done for three days (8 h) at each AHU. Air purification system was started with the AHU every time and continuously operated. During testing at each AHU, suction flow rate was arranged as 25% of the AHU capacity.

Circulation air gets cleaned upon partial treatment. As the air re-circulates through the system continuously CO₂ levels indoors decrease with time. Air purification has two stages where the air purification mechanism takes place. In the first stage, contaminated air passes through the pre-filter, where the coarse particles get trapped from the air stream. After that, in the second stage, gaseous pollutants like CO₂ will be removed from the gas stream.

2.3.1. Ventilation through air purification

To maintain the acceptable level of ventilation in buildings, periodically take the fresh air into the system. The parameter influencing the system to consider the rate of fresh air is CO₂ concentration. In general 10–20 % of fresh air is taken into the system for maintaining permissible air quality in the building environment. The amount of fresh air into the AHU significantly influences the electric power consumption for cooling. The rate of air cleaning can be compensated using the ventilation rate. This is calculated using the rate equation.
Ventilation rate, \( n = \frac{1}{t} \ln \left( \frac{C_n - C_{\text{supply}}}{C - C_{\text{supply}}} \right) \) (1/s) \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( n \) is the ventilation rate in the room through air filtration, \( C_n \) is the \( CO_2 \) concentration in indoor air, \( C_{\text{supply}} \) is the \( CO_2 \) concentration in the supply air, \( C \) is the \( CO_2 \) concentration after air purification.

Carbon dioxide increase in indoor space can be mathematically described as

\[ C_t = C_{\text{supply}} + \frac{Q_{\text{CO2}}}{Q} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{Q}{V} t \right) \right) \text{mg/m}^3 \hspace{1cm} (3) \]

where \( C_t \) is the \( CO_2 \) concentration at the time \( t \), [g/m\(^3\)];

\( C_{\text{supply}} \) is the \( CO_2 \) concentration in the supply air at the time \( t \), [g/m\(^3\)];

\( Q_{\text{CO2}} \) is the carbon dioxide released from a human source, [g/s]; \( Q \) is the required ventilation airflow rate, [m\(^3\)/s]; \( V \) is the room volume, [m\(^3\)]; and \( t \) is the time, [s].

### 3.2.2. Analysis

Percentage of fresh air for particular air handling unit to be determined by the ratio of supply air to the fresh air

\[ \frac{C_r - C_n}{C_r - C_s} \hspace{1cm} (4) \]

Assuming first order reaction kinetics rate constant was found to be 0.24 min\(^{-1}\) and 0.12 min\(^{-1}\).

**3.1.2. Sulfur dioxide (SO\(_2\))**

The average \( SO_2 \) concentration at null condition was observed to be 4028 ppb with an initial concentration of 5317 ppb (Figure 3). During the null condition, \( SO_2 \) concentration drop was observed to be around 37 ppb per minute with the coefficient of determination 0.98. With the purification system, \( SO_2 \) was completely absorbed within 30 min. More than 99% of removal efficiency was achieved with the two samples tested.

**3.1.3. Nitrogen dioxide (NO\(_2\))**

The average \( NO_2 \) concentration was observed to be 3330 ppb with an initial concentration of 3476 ppb (Figure 2). During the null condition, \( NO_2 \) concentration drop was observed to be 50 ppm per minute with the coefficient of determination was observed to be 0.97 and 0.99.

From the experimental results, the reduction in \( CO_2 \) concentration was assumed to be a first order reaction. The rate constant of \( CO_2 \) adsorption with the purification system was 0.69 min\(^{-1}\), and 0.5 min\(^{-1}\), for null condition i.e. without purification system rate constant was 0.05 min\(^{-1}\). The trends followed by \( CO_2 \) concentration drop and rate constant were in good agreement with the samples tested. The efficiency of removal was observed to be 37% for first sample media and 49% for second sample media was observed.

### 3.2. Testing in AHU

**3.2.1. AHU 1**

Different AHU capacities of mechanically ventilated buildings were selected for the performance evolution of the air purification system. Continuous monitoring of \( CO_2 \) concentration with occupants has resulted in an average of 1060 ppm. The rate of increase in \( CO_2 \) concentration was 144 ppm per hour. Average \( CO_2 \) concentration was maintained at 655 ppm and 657 ppm (Figure 5). The rate of \( CO_2 \) has decreased to 50 ppm and 56 ppm per hour with air purification.

Results with an air purification system in an AHU capacity of 10000 CFM found that the average air exchange was 24 % for two sample conditions, i.e. with the air purification system air quality achieved was the same as when it operated at 24 % of fresh air introduced (Figure 5). The efficiency of the \( CO_2 \) removal was observed to be 40% with the control technology with two sample conditions. A statistical analysis reported that with the air purification system the supply air concentration was decreasing with the performance.
A decrease in CO\(_2\) concentration due to air purification indoors is represented as the rate ventilation airflow rate (Q) into the system. For the amount of CO\(_2\) adsorbed with air purification, the rate of ventilation airflow rate was calculated using Eq. (3). Assuming that number of occupants is 110, with CO\(_2\) exhalation mass flow rate as 10 mg/s per person and 10 air changes per hour. It can be observed from Figure 6, that the CO\(_2\) concentration remains constant after two hours. The ventilation flow rate of 2776 CFM can be achieved by using the air purification system. According to ASHRAE 62.1 standards, the minimum fresh air required per person in an office building is 5 CFM. With the air purification system ventilation rate per person was achieved to 25 CFM.

### 3.2.2. AHU 2

The average CO\(_2\) concentration in the 15000 CFM AHU was observed as 1134 ppm. The rate of increase in CO\(_2\) concentration was 174 ppm per hour. With the purification system, the average concentration was maintained at 808 and 828 ppm for the two sample conditions (Figure 7).

**Table 1. Specifications of the study area.**

| Place of Cleaning | Capacity (CFM) | Occupants |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| AHU 1             | 10000          | 100–120   |
| AHU 2             | 15000          | 180–200   |
| AHU 3             | 25000          | 220–250   |

**Figure 1.** Schematic of active filter bed installed in an HVAC system and CO\(_2\) sampling sites.

**Figure 2.** Measured CO\(_2\) concentration profile during testing room experiments.

**Figure 3.** Measured SO\(_2\) concentration profile during testing room experiments.
With the purification system, the rate of CO₂ concentration was controlled to 90 ppm per hour. The efficiency of removal of CO₂ was observed to be 40% and 32% with the samples tested. The statistical analysis indicated that with the control system, offset and amplitude of rising in CO₂ concentrations were significantly varying.

For 15000 CFM of AHU capacity the amount of CO₂ adsorbed with air purification, the rate of ventilation air flow rate was calculated. Assuming that number of occupants is 190, with CO₂ exhalation mass flow rate as 10 mg/s per person and 10 air changes per hour. It can be observed from Figure 8, that the CO₂ concentration stabilizes after two hours of purification. The ventilation flow rate of 5175 and 5766 CFM can be achieved by using the air purification system for samples 1 and 2 respectively. By using a purification system ventilation rate per person was achieved to 27 CFM and 30 CFM.

3.2.3. AHU 3

With the AHU of 20000 CFM capacity, it was found to have an average CO₂ concentration of 1481 ppm. The rate of CO₂ concentration was found to increase at 201 ppm per hour. With the air purification system, it was reduced to 946 and 944 ppm (Figure 9). The average increase in CO₂ concentration was found to be 95 ppm and 100 ppm per hour with air purification. The rate of air exchange was found to be 14% with the control technology. The efficiency of CO₂ removal was observed to be 34% with the control technology.

With air purification in AHU 3, the ventilation flow rate was calculated by assuming that the numbers of occupants are 235, with the CO₂ exhalation mass flow rate as 10 mg/s per person and 10 air changes per hour. It can be observed from Figure 10, that the CO₂ concentration will stabilize after two hours of purification. The ventilation flow rate of 4434 CFM can be achieved by using the air purification system. With the purification system, the ventilation rate per person was achieved to 19 CFM.
Since, during the AHU testing due to continuous CO₂ source, it is difficult to determine the rate constants for the CO₂ reduction. The dynamic rate constant for the time was calculated using the null condition as a reference. The rate constant values were shown in Table 2.

Ventilation rate (n) that improved with the air purification system in AHU capacities tested was reported in Table 3. The required fresh air intake to get the CO₂ concentration achieved with the air purification system was calculated using Eq. (4), assuming outlet air CO₂ concentration was 400 ppm. Results indicated that more than 50% fresh air flow has to be introduced to maintain the CO₂ concentrations obtained with the purification system. The fresh air intake percentage of three AHU capacities has been reported in Table 4.

This study of the performance of the air purification systems on indoor air quality improved ventilation, and reduced energy demand demonstrated the when the purification system was incorporated in the HVAC system cooling costs were cut down as heat load to achieve the desired ventilation rate was achieved. Though there was an increase in daily average CO₂ levels in AHU, did not exceed relevant benchmarks and guidelines. Increasing the ventilation rate by more than 50% improved ventilating resulted in energy savings. The tonnage of refrigeration saved by using the air purification system was calculated, considering 10 % of the AHU capacity flow rate as fresh air intake and ambient temperature of 36.3 °C. This indicates that with air purification cooling cost reduced for the three AHU capacities of the present study indicated in Table 5.

CO₂ based filtration system can poise and purpose of the traditional conflict between reducing ventilation to save energy to maintain the ventilation rates desired indoor air quality. The properly maintained CO₂ based air purification system can provide the following benefits.

1. CO₂ based purification reduces the cooling cost when the space is completely occupied. The payback energy saving of the system can be less than two years.
2. CO₂ based purification can help to provide per person ventilation to ensure acceptable indoor air quality. This system helps ensure to meet the target ventilation rate per person based on actual occupancy.
3. This is not particular about seasonality and outdoor air is coming from.
4. A CO₂ based purification system can be adjusted to maintain any ventilation rate between 15 CFM to 30 CFM.
5. CO₂ based purification can help improve thermal comfort conditions with extreme outdoor conditions. The reduced outdoor air intake in hot and humid climates helps in maintaining indoor humidity.

### Table 2. Rate constants.

|          | AHU 1 | AHU 2 | AHU 3 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sample 1 | 0.07  | 0.05  | 0.046 |
| Sample 2 | 0.06  | 0.044 | 0.04  |

### Table 3. Obtained Ventilation rate for AHUs tested.

| Ventilation rate (1/s) | AHU 1      | AHU 2      | AHU 3      |
|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Sample 1               | 0.0024     | 0.0013     | 0.0012     |
| Sample 2               | 0.0022     | 0.0013     | 0.0011     |

### Table 4. Percentage of reduced fresh air introduced into the system.

| Percentage of fresh air intake to the system (%) | AHU 1 | AHU 2 | AHU 3 |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sample 1                                        | 0.64  | 0.6   | 0.51  |
| Sample 2                                        | 0.63  | 0.48  | 0.54  |

### Table 5. Heat load reduced due to air purification.

| S No | Sensible Heat (Btu/hr) | Latent Heat (Btu/hr) | Total Heat Load | The tonnage of Refrigeration (TR) |
|------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| AHU 1| 6473.6                 | 31292.92             | 37766.52       | 3.1                              |
| AHU 2| 9710.4                 | 46939.38             | 56649.78       | 4.7                              |
| AHU 3| 16184                  | 78232.3              | 94416.3        | 7.9                              |

3.3. The benefit of CO₂ filtration based ventilation system

CO₂ based filtration can poise and purpose of the traditional conflict between reducing ventilation to save energy to maintain the ventilation rates desired indoor air quality. The properly maintained CO₂ based air purification system can provide the following benefits.

1. CO₂ based purification reduces the cooling cost when the space is completely occupied. The payback energy saving of the system can be less than two years.
2. CO₂ based purification can help to provide per person ventilation to ensure acceptable indoor air quality. This system helps ensure to meet the target ventilation rate per person based on actual occupancy.
3. This is not particular about seasonality and outdoor air is coming from.
4. A CO₂ based purification system can be adjusted to maintain any ventilation rate between 15 CFM to 30 CFM.
5. CO₂ based purification can help improve thermal comfort conditions with extreme outdoor conditions. The reduced outdoor air intake in hot and humid climates helps in maintaining indoor humidity.

4. Conclusions

The performance of the two samples tested is not making any significant variation in controlling CO₂, SO₂, and NO₂. It was found that reduction of acidic gases such as CO₂, SO₂, NO₂ was significant in attaining the desired air quality levels indoors. The removal efficiency of
CO₂ is 43 ± 5%, SO₂ is 99%, NO₂ is 41 ± 4%, for the samples. With the AHU testing, it can be concluded that the amount of fresh air required maintaining the CO₂ levels reduced to more than 50%. The desired ventilation rate is achieved in the office buildings as suggested by ASHRAE 62.1 standards with air purification system. The tonnage of the cooling system and cooling cost maintenance to achieve the desired temperature can be reduced. This can simultaneously reduce the operating cost and increase the performance of AHU systems. The two samples tested during this study did not make any significant variation in performance. The results of this study help treat indoor environments to achieve the desired IAQ at an economical rate. The data will be useful to identify and quantify the CO₂ purification system performance evaluation in building environments. This study is useful in generating hypotheses for further studies on indoor air purification systems.

The scope of this study explains the significance of air purification system that improves the ventilation in mechanically ventilated buildings. It is important to maintain desired air quality and workplace comfort. The future research area will be explored in the work and specifies the parameters within the study. The extent of filtration removal efficiency enhancement with different configurations of media developed.
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