Basic Physical, Mechanical, Thermal and Hygric Properties of Concrete with Coarse Aggregates Fabricated from Recycled Concrete Pavements
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Abstract. Concrete production unfavourably affects the environment due to high energy demands of cement production and consumption of limited natural resources. Therefore, waste utilization in fabrication of cementitious material is beneficial and legitimate. Significant reduction of environmental impact can be secured by utilization of various types of wastes or byproducts used for a partial substitution of cement binder or aggregates. However, the use of waste materials usually leads to deterioration of material properties of the designed composites. Therefore, it is very important to thoroughly investigate important materials properties to verify performance and practical usability of the newly designed materials. In this paper, three types of concrete mixes were designed. The reference concrete involved fine and coarse natural riverbed aggregates and two other mixes were designed using both, natural and recycled aggregates represented by crushed concrete paving cobbles. Concretes were tested in terms of basic physical properties (bulk density), mechanical properties (compressive strength), thermal properties (thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity, thermal diffusivity), and hygric properties (water vapour diffusion resistance factor, water vapour sorption at 97% RH, water absorption coefficient, moisture diffusivity) and experimentally determined data were compared and discussed. It was observed that materials properties of concretes with recycled aggregates are comparable with those of the reference concrete which is a promising fact from the environmental point of view.

1 Introduction

The utilization of recycled materials in the construction industry is systematically gaining on importance. It is mainly due to an increasing amount of waste resulting from an
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increasing industrial production process of various resources. With respect to the sustainability of industrial production, it exists a legitimate demand for environmental protection which can be partially ensured by meaningful reuse of the waste resulting in the production of building materials with favourable materials properties and sufficiently high utility value comparable with building materials made of primary resources.

In the case of concrete, the main limiting factor from an environmental point of view is the production of cement which is currently the most widely used binder in the construction industry with rapidly increasing production in recent years [1, 2]. The process of cement production is highly energy-demanding with accompanying high environmental impact. Global cement production is the third-largest source of anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ after fossil fuels and land-use change [3] with approximate 5 – 8 % estimation of the total CO₂ emissions [4-7]. Taking into consideration that global cement production has increased more than 30 times since 1950, estimated global emissions of CO₂ were 1.5 ± 0.12 Gt in 2018, cumulative global emissions were of 38.3 ± 2.4 Gt CO₂ between 1928 and 2018, 71% of which have occurred since 1990 [3], it exists a legitimate demand on the use of alternative binders with significantly decreased influence on the environment or the use of recycled cementitious materials utilized in new concrete mixes.

Due to the importance of reutilization of recycled aggregates in newly produced cementitious materials, scientists already focused on such a topic. Silva et al. [8] presented a comprehensive review dealing with availability and processing of recycled aggregates within the construction and demolition supply chain with important conclusions concerning the quality control of the recycled materials. Krou et al. [9] focused on determination of the incorporation rate of various types of recycled aggregates in cement raw materials. Based on the data collected from three recycling plants located in Belgium and France they concluded that incorporation rate is mainly between 10 – 20 %, just occasionally below 5 % or more than 30%. Besides, various studies dealt with by their-own designed materials and determination of materials properties, e.g. Omrane and Rabehi [10] studied the effect of natural pozzolan and recycled concrete aggregates on thermal and physico-mechanical characteristics of self-compacting concrete and Berredjem et al. [11] focused on mechanical and durability properties of concrete based on recycled aggregates from demolished concrete.

In this paper, we focused on the design of three concrete mixtures with various dosages of coarse aggregates including the recycled ones originating from crushed concrete paving cobbles and their material characterization in terms of basic physical, thermal and hygric properties.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Studied materials

Three composites signed CREF, CREC1 and CREC2 were designed and studied in terms of basic physical, hygric and thermal properties. All the materials were based on cement binder CEM I 42.5 R Małogoszcz, w/c ratio equal to 0.45 and different dosages of 4 types of fillers (fine and coarse aggregates). Fine aggregates (0-4 mm) were represented by a natural river sand from the location Dwudniaki. Coarse aggregates involved two fractions of natural gravel from Dwudniaki of the particle size up to 16 mm and recycled concrete aggregates (crushed concrete paving cobbles) – Figure 1. In the case of CREF concrete, fine and coarse aggregates without the recycled one were used, CREC1 was made of all 4 types of aggregates with the mass ratio (recycled/total mass) equal to 0.5. CREC2 was made without the natural gravel with the mass ratio (recycled/total mass) equal to 0.65.
In this paper, we focused on the design of three concrete mixtures with various dosages of coarse aggregates including the recycled ones or originating from crushed concrete paving cobbles and their material characterization in terms of basic physical, thermal and hygric properties.

**2 Materials and Methods**

**2.1 Studied materials**

Three composites signed CREF, CREC1 and CREC2 were designed and studied in terms of basic physical, hygric and thermal properties. All the materials were based on cement binder CEM I 42.5 R Małogoszcz, w/c ratio equal to 0.45 and different dosages of 4 types of fillers (fine and coarse aggregates). Fine aggregates (0-4 mm) were represented by a natural river sand from the location Dwudniaki. Coarse aggregates involved two fractions of natural gravel from Dwudniaki of the particle size up to 16 mm and recycled concrete aggregates (crushed concrete paving cobbles) – Figure 1. In the case of CREF concrete, fine and coarse aggregates without the recycled one were used, CREC1 was made of all 4 types of aggregates with the mass ratio (recycled/total mass) equal to 0.5. CREC2 was made without the natural gravel with the mass ratio (recycled/total mass) equal to 0.65.

BASF BV 18 and BASF Glenium SKY 591 plasticizers were used in the amount of 0.9 and 1.4 wt. %, respectively, to achieve favourable rheological properties of fresh mixtures. The composition of the designed mixtures is summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Composition of the studied materials.**

| Component                                      | CREF | CREC1 | CREC2 |
|------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-------|
| CEM I 42.5 R Małogoszcz [kg/m³]                | 414  | 414   | 414   |
| Water [kg/m³]                                  | 186  | 186   | 186   |
| w/c [-]                                        | 0.45 | 0.45  | 0.45  |
| Natural river sand 0/4 mm [kg/m³]              | 615  | 281   | 569   |
| Gravel 2/8 mm [kg/m³]                          | 580  | 281   | 0     |
| Gravel 8/16 mm [kg/m³]                         | 562  | 264   | 0     |
| Recycled concrete aggregates 4/16 mm [kg/m³]   | 0    | 825   | 1057  |
| BASF 18BV [wt. %]                              | 0.9  | 0.9   | 0.9   |
| BASF Glenium SKY 91 [wt. %]                    | 1.4  | 1.4   | 1.4   |

In Figure 2, granulometries of four types of aggregates used within the production of samples are presented. In Figure 3, granulometries of the final mixes of aggregates used for preparation of CREF, CREC1 and CREC2 composites according to the Table 1 are given.
2.2 Experimental methods

The bulk density of the designed mixtures was determined by averaging of the values determined by the gravimetric method on the set of 4 cubic samples of the dimensions 50x50x50 mm³, 3 samples of the dimensions 100x100x100 mm³, and 3 prisms of the dimensions 40x40x150 mm³.

Thermal accumulation and transport properties (thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity, thermal diffusivity) were determined by a non-steady state method using ISOMET 2114 device that was equipped by a surface probe. Such measurements involve two measuring stages. In the first stage, the surface of the probe is heated up by a resistance...
wire embedded in the probe by a passing current which subsequently heats up the sample. In the second stage, turned off electrical power source results in cooling of the probe due to a dissipation of the thermal energy to the sample. Cooling down velocity determined by a thermocouple embedded in the probe is proportional to the thermal properties calculated by the device. Measurements were repeated 9- and 5-times on dry and fully water-saturated samples of the dimensions 100×100×100 mm³.

Water vapour diffusion resistance factor was experimentally determined by the dry- and the wet-cup methods on samples with dimensions 100×100×30 mm³. In the dry-cup method arrangement, silica gel was placed under the dry samples to ensure 0-3 % relative humidity (RH), cups with on-side insulated samples were placed into a climatic chamber with regulated 50 % RH and the mass increase was continuously monitored and evaluated after the linear dependence on time. Wet-cup experiments were performed by using water under the samples evaporating into a small space beneath the samples to ensure 97 % RH against 50 % in the climatic chamber and the mass decrease was monitored and evaluated in the same way as for the dry-cup experiment.

Water vapour sorption ability was determined on 4 samples per each set of the studied materials. The mass of the samples was in the range of 30 – 50 g. Samples were placed into a desiccator with supersaturated solution of K₂SO₄ ensuring 97 % RH and the water vapour sorption capacity corresponding with the amount of water bonded in the porous body (one point of the sorption isotherm) was determined after reaching the mass equilibrium.

Hygric properties were determined on samples of the dimensions 50×50×50 mm³ by means of the vertical water sorption test. Samples were insulated on lateral sides by epoxy resin to ensure 1D water transport and the bottom side of the sample was continuously wetted by water from a water reservoir. Based on the observed time dependent increases in the mass of the samples, water absorption coefficients and the apparent moisture diffusivities were calculated.

### 3 Results and discussion

Basic physical properties were represented by the bulk density (Table 2) which was in the case of the reference composite (CREF) equal to 2236 kg/m³. It was observed just a slight decrease ~ 2% in the bulk density for the composites with additional fraction of recycled aggregates (CREC1, CREC2). Mechanical properties after 28 and 90 days are summarized in Table 2. Highest strengths were observed for CREC1 (56.8 and 65.2 MPa). Both composites with recycled aggregates were of better strength performance than CREF.

| Quantity                  | CREF | CREC1 | CREC2 |
|---------------------------|------|-------|-------|
| Bulk density [kg/m³]      | 2236 | 2192  | 2192  |
| $f_c$ – 28 days [MPa]     | 46.1 | 56.8  | 54.5  |
| $f_c$ – 90 days [MPa]     | 52.2 | 65.2  | 64.0  |

Thermal properties of the studied samples in dry- and wet-state are summarized in Table 3. Correspondingly with the bulk density, the thermal conductivity of the reference CREF concrete was highest (2.41 W/m-K) and decreased to 1.84 W/m-K (CREC1) and 2.08 W/m-K (CREC2). Such decrease is mainly influenced by higher porosity of CREC1 and
CREC2. The specific heat capacity was in the range 785 – 817 J/kg·K without any significant difference between the studied materials. The thermal conductivity of wet concretes was about 10 – 25 % higher than that in the dry state. Such an increase is in accordance with thermal conductivity values of air/water and the fact that air present in the pores was substituted by water.

Table 3. Thermal properties.

| Quantity                        | CREF | CREC1 | CREC2 |
|---------------------------------|------|-------|-------|
| Thermal conductivity (dry) [W/m·K] | 2.41 | 1.84  | 2.08  |
| Specific heat capacity (dry) [J/kg·K] | 817  | 785   | 812   |
| Thermal diffusivity (dry) [m²/s] | 1.32·10⁻⁶ | 1.07·10⁻⁶ | 1.17·10⁻⁶ |
| Thermal conductivity (water-saturated) [W/m·K] | 2.79 | 2.30  | 2.29  |

Hygric properties are summarized in Table 4. In the case of the water vapour diffusion resistance factor, the lowest values were observed for CREF (µ_dry = 89.3, µ_wet = 42.9), whereas the highest for CREC2 (µ_dry = 131.0, µ_wet = 66.6). Water vapour sorption at 97% RH did not differ significantly and was in the range of 9.09 – 10.99 m³/m³. Water transport properties represented by the water absorption coefficient and the moisture diffusivity did not change fundamentally by utilization of recycled aggregates in comparison to the reference material, i.e. they were in the range of ~ 4.54·10⁻³ – 1.30·10⁻² kg/m²·s¹/₂ and 1.46·10⁻⁹ – 1.58·10⁻⁸ m²/s, respectively.

Table 4. Hygric properties (water vapour, water).

| Quantity                                               | CREF   | CREC1  | CREC2  |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Water vapour diffusion resistance factor (dry cup) [-] | 89.3   | 124.3  | 131.0  |
| Water vapour diffusion resistance factor (wet cup) [-] | 42.9   | 58.6   | 66.6   |
| Sorption isotherm 97% RH [m³/m³]                      | 9.09   | 10.99  | 10.49  |
| Water absorption coefficient [kg/m³·s¹/₂]              | 8.02·10⁻³ | 1.30·10⁻² | 4.54·10⁻³ |
| Moisture diffusivity [m²/s]                           | 7.13·10⁻⁹ | 1.58·10⁻⁸ | 1.46·10⁻⁹ |

4 Conclusions

In this paper, materials characterization of three designed concretes based on four types of aggregates including the recycled one was introduced. The reference material consisted of natural fine and coarse aggregates, whereas the two designed materials involved a particular
amount of recycled paving cobbles which were crushed to the fraction 4/16 mm. Observed materials properties involved basic physical, mechanical, thermal and hygric properties.

Results revealed that the compressive strengths of concretes with recycled aggregates (CREC1, CREC2) after 28 and 90 days were higher than those of the reference concrete CREF which is positive a positive fact with respect to meaningful utilization of waste materials. Significant changes were observed in the case of the water vapour diffusion and the thermal conductivity (slight shift to more insulative behaviour). Such properties are significantly dependent on the porosity and structure of the porous body which should be further studied by using mercury intrusion and gas porosimetry methods.
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