Past occultation and phase-curve observations of the ultra-short period super-Earth 55 Cnc e obtained at visible and infrared wavelengths have been challenging to reconcile with a planetary reflection and emission model. In this study, we analyse a set of 41 occultations obtained over a two-year timespan with the CHEOPS satellite. We report the detection of 55 Cnc e’s occultation with an average depth of 12 ± 3 ppm. We derive a corresponding 2σ upper limit on the geometric albedo of A_s < 0.55 once decontaminated from the thermal emission measured by Spitzer at 4.5 μm. CHEOPS’s photometric performance enables, for the first time, the detection of individual occultations of this super-Earth in the visible and identifies short-timescale photometric corrugations likely induced by stellar granulation. We also find a clear 47.3-day sinusoidal pattern in the time-dependent occultation depths that we are unable to relate to stellar noise, nor instrumental systematics, but whose planetary origin could be tested with upcoming JWST occultation observations of this iconic super-Earth.
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1. Introduction

A striking feature in the population of exoplanets is the paucity of short-period objects (P ≤ 1 day) with sizes larger than 2 R_e. The vast majority of these ultra-short period (USP) planets have sizes comparable to that of the Earth (Sanche-Ojeda et al. 2014; Wu & Lithwick 2013; Owen & Wu 2013; Fulton et al. 2017). We may thus wonder whether these planets were formerly gas or ice giants that experienced dramatic erosion (e.g. Baraffe et al. 2005; Jackson et al. 2013; Owen & Wu 2013; Lundkvist et al. 2016; Jin & Mordasini 2018; Lee et al. 2018). Winn et al. (2017) provided convincing evidence that USP planets are likely not eroded hot-Jupiters (Lammer et al. 2009), based on the stark difference in metallicity between the hot-Jupiter and USP-planet host stars. It is still debated whether USP planets are remnants of smaller, sub-Neptune-sized planets or rocky planets that did not contain a significant amount of volatiles at the time of formation (Venturini et al. 2020). In this context, the characterisation of USP planet atmospheres is of prime importance (Raymond et al. 2018).

The raw and detrended photometric time-series data are available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr (139.78.126.5) or via https://cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/669/A64

** This article uses data from CHEOPS programme CH_PR100006.
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ABSTRACT

Past occultation and phase-curve observations of the ultra-short period super-Earth 55 Cnc e obtained at visible and infrared wavelengths have been challenging to reconcile with a planetary reflection and emission model. In this study, we analyse a set of 41 occultations obtained over a two-year timespan with the CHEOPS satellite. We report the detection of 55 Cnc e’s occultation with an average depth of 12 ± 3 ppm. We derive a corresponding 2σ upper limit on the geometric albedo of A_s < 0.55 once decontaminated from the thermal emission measured by Spitzer at 4.5 μm. CHEOPS’s photometric performance enables, for the first time, the detection of individual occultations of this super-Earth in the visible and identifies short-timescale photometric corrugations likely induced by stellar granulation. We also find a clear 47.3-day sinusoidal pattern in the time-dependent occultation depths that we are unable to relate to stellar noise, nor instrumental systematics, but whose planetary origin could be tested with upcoming JWST occultation observations of this iconic super-Earth.
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Table 1. Log of CHEOPS time-series photometric observations of 55 Cnc, out of which we extracted the occultations of 55 Cnc e. Times are MJD-2 400 000.

| Visit # | Start time [MJD] | End time [MJD] | File key | Frame count | Efficiency [%] |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|----------|-------------|----------------|
| 1       | 58 931.5730     | 58 932.6668    | CH_PR100041_TG000601_V0200 | 1213         | 56.7           |
| 2       | 59 184.5902     | 59 185.7266    | CH_PR100006_TG000301_V0200 | 1178         | 53.0           |
| 3       | 59 200.2144     | 59 201.2841    | CH_PR100006_TG000302_V0200 | 1171         | 56.0           |
| 4       | 59 201.3138     | 59 202.4393    | CH_PR100006_TG000303_V0200 | 1189         | 54.0           |
| 5       | 59 207.7710     | 59 208.8402    | CH_PR100006_TG000304_V0200 | 1194         | 57.1           |
| 6       | 59 208.8693     | 59 209.9395    | CH_PR100006_TG000305_V0200 | 1200         | 57.4           |
| 7       | 59 210.8231     | 59 211.9311    | CH_PR100006_TG000306_V0200 | 1226         | 56.6           |
| 8       | 59 224.2610     | 59 225.3281    | CH_PR100006_TG000307_V0200 | 1244         | 59.6           |
| 9       | 59 225.3638     | 59 226.4272    | CH_PR100006_TG000308_V0200 | 1237         | 59.5           |
| 10      | 59 228.4152     | 59 229.5097    | CH_PR100006_TG000309_V0200 | 1233         | 57.6           |
| 11      | 59 229.5172     | 59 230.6118    | CH_PR100006_TG000310_V0200 | 1257         | 58.8           |
| 12      | 59 232.7825     | 59 233.8771    | CH_PR100006_TG000311_V0200 | 1254         | 58.6           |
| 13      | 59 233.8849     | 59 235.0126    | CH_PR100006_TG000312_V0200 | 1317         | 59.7           |
| 14      | 59 238.7869     | 59 239.8871    | CH_PR100006_TG000313_V0200 | 1264         | 58.7           |
| 15      | 59 243.9759     | 59 245.0704    | CH_PR100006_TG000314_V0200 | 1264         | 59.1           |
| 16      | 59 247.7693     | 59 248.8639    | CH_PR100006_TG000315_V0200 | 1266         | 59.2           |
| 17      | 59 250.4992     | 59 251.5673    | CH_PR100006_TG000316_V0200 | 1270         | 60.8           |
| 18      | 59 267.3978     | 59 268.4654    | CH_PR100006_TG000601_V0200 | 1269         | 60.8           |
| 19      | 59 276.0585     | 59 277.1531    | CH_PR100006_TG000602_V0200 | 1248         | 58.3           |
| 20      | 59 279.5099     | 59 280.6045    | CH_PR100006_TG000603_V0200 | 1241         | 58.0           |
| 21      | 59 283.2943     | 59 284.4034    | CH_PR100006_TG000701_V0200 | 1231         | 56.8           |
| 22      | 59 294.7374     | 59 295.8055    | CH_PR100006_TG000702_V0200 | 1191         | 57.0           |
| 23      | 59 257.4394     | 59 258.4002    | CH_PR100006_TG000901_V0200 | 1257         | 55.4           |
| 24      | 59 258.0169     | 59 259.0126    | CH_PR100006_TG000902_V0200 | 1246         | 59.9           |
| 25      | 59 292.9374     | 59 294.0091    | CH_PR100006_TG000402_V0200 | 1263         | 60.3           |
| 26      | 59 294.0360     | 59 295.1652    | CH_PR100006_TG000403_V0200 | 1333         | 60.4           |
| 27      | 59 295.1728     | 59 296.2673    | CH_PR100006_TG000404_V0200 | 1253         | 58.6           |
| 28      | 59 629.6846     | 59 631.3758    | CH_PR100006_TG001201_V0200 | 1998         | 60.4           |
| 29      | 59 636.6283     | 59 638.3134    | CH_PR100006_TG001301_V0200 | 1939         | 58.9           |

55 Cnc e’s MOST visible phase-curves display varying amplitudes and offsets with time, there is only weak evidence for variability in the occultation depths measured with TESS on inter-sector timescales (Meier-Valdés et al. 2022).

To investigate the unusual behaviour of 55 Cnc e at visible wavelengths, we conducted a large programme in the frame of the CHEOPS Guaranteed Time Observations comprising 777 h. In this paper we investigate the origin of the occultation signal from a set of 41 eclipses and discuss the implications for 55 Cnc e’s geometric albedo.

2. Methods

2.1. CHEOPS observations and data reduction

CHEOPS (Benz et al. 2021) observed 55 Cnc in the frame of the Guaranteed Time Observations Programme CH_PR100006. The observations acquired between March 2020 and February 2022 consist of 29 visits in total, each comprising 16 CHEOPS orbits (except 25 orbits for visits #28 and #29), with an approximate 100-min duration each. While this study focuses on 55 Cnc e’s occultations, a separate paper will detail the phase-curve analysis (Meier-Valdés et al., in prep.). Because of the Sun-synchronous, dawn-to-dusk orbit of CHEOPS combined to the +28° declination of 55 Cnc, the time spent on source was mostly limited by Earth occultations, South-Atlantic anomaly interruptions, and stray light contamination, leading to a typical duty cycle between 53 and 61%. Individual frames (integration time of 2.2 s) were co-added on board into 44.2 s stacks, which corresponds to the data cadence, to reduce the downlink volume. A summary of all CHEOPS time-series photometric observations of 55 Cnc, out of which the occultations were extracted, is shown in Table 1.

The CHEOPS sub-array (200×200 pixels) data were first processed using the mission’s data reduction pipeline (DRP, version 13.1.0) described in Hoyer et al. (2020), which is based on aperture photometry. For all visits, we used the DEFAULT aperture of 25 pixels, which yields the smallest photometric root mean square (RMS) according to the DRP report. We then complemented the DRP output with point spread function (PSF) photometry using the PSF Imagegget Photometric Extrac-

tion (PIPE)¹ pipeline, which is a Python package developed for CHEOPS (Brandeker et al. in prep). A useful benefit of PIPE is that it allows us to quantify the PSF scale dependence on the spacecraft orbital phase. In addition, we employed PIPE to process the 30-pixel radii imagettes that were extracted from the sub-arrays, stacked in pairs on board providing a 2.2-s cadence. A visual inspection of the full-array frames shows smearing from the neighbouring 53 Cnc (V = 6.23), which contaminates 55 Cnc’s (V = 5.98) aperture on CHEOPS orbital timescales. The sub-array DRP and PIPE outputs, as well as the PIPE imagegget reductions, provide final time series that are corrected from the smearing of 53 Cnc.

For each reduction, we then removed data points flagged by the pipeline because of, for example, cosmic rays or stray light contamination and mask background, and X-Y centroid position

⁰ https://github.com/alphapsa/PIPE
outliers identified by large median absolute deviations from the median, assuming a Normal distribution and using a consistent estimator of the standard deviation being $\hat{\sigma} = 1.4826 \times \text{MAD}$ (Rousseeuw & Croux 1993). This final step of the data reduction resulted in about 12% of the frames being discarded for the analysis, out of which an average of 5% were due to bad quality flag from the DRP and 7% due to the large background. We attribute this larger number (compared to other CHEOPS studies, e.g. Brandeker et al. 2022) to the close contaminant and the sky location of 55 Cnc leading to more severe stray light contamination. We finally compared the DRP and PIPE sub-array reductions and found that the latter yield a slightly better flux RMS (82 vs. 76 ppm), and we therefore retained the PIPE sub-array photometry for the subsequent analysis.

### 2.2. Data analysis

In this section we describe how the occultation depths were derived from the reduced CHEOPS time series. We first cut the 29 visits into individual data segments with a single occultation each. We defined a data segment as valid if its duration was at least three times the occultation duration, to ensure that an accurate instrumental baseline model could be derived from the out-of-eclipse photometry. This step resulted in a sample of 41 individual occultations.

For the treatment of CHEOPS instrumental systematics, we employed a similar approach to the marginalisation method presented by Gibson (2014) and used in the past for other facilities (e.g. HST, Wakeford et al. 2016). The main reason for this approach is that CHEOPS orbital period (100 min), and therefore its associated systematics, is close to 55 Cnc’s occultation duration (∼95 min). Marginalising over the instrumental models reduces the incidence of a particular baseline model biasing the retrieved parameters. For this purpose, we used a modified version of the MCMC algorithm implementation already presented in the literature (e.g. Gillon et al. 2012b, 2014; Demory et al. 2012). The inputs to the MCMC fit are the CHEOPS photometric time series described in Sect. 2.1. We performed an initial fit to measure the noise properties in our time series. We estimated the underestimation or overestimation of white noise ($\beta_n$), which is the ratio of the un-binned residual RMS over the photometric error. We also computed an estimate for the correlated noise ($\beta_c$), which is the ratio of the binned RMS over Gaussian scaling of the photometric error on the same timescale (e.g. Winn et al. 2008; Delrez et al. 2018). We computed $\beta_c$ over 10- to 120-min timescales and adopted the most conservative value.

For each light curve, we used 16 different baseline models that we fitted simultaneously to the occultation depth. The photometric baseline model coefficients used for CHEOPS systematics detrending were determined at each step of the MCMC procedure using a singular value decomposition method (Press et al. 1992). The resulting coefficients were then used to correct the raw photometric light curves. Our 16 baseline models encompassed a sum of polynomial functional forms of the roll angle (first and second order), time (up to first order), centroid position in X and Y (up to first order), and background (up to first order). For each model, we approximated the Bayesian evidence using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC, Schwarz 1978) and, rather than selecting the model with the best BIC, we computed the marginalised occultation parameters from the three baseline models yielding the smallest BIC values. We then multiplied the time series uncertainties by the average correction factor $CF = \beta_n \times \beta_c$, determined from the three selected baseline models to account for the white- and red-noise estimates from the initial fit.

Our second fit was conducted in a global fashion, where the only free parameter was the occultation depth, where negative values were allowed in the fit to prevent an overestimation of the shallow signals (e.g. Demory 2014). Because of the strong correlated noise in the data (Sect. 4), we chose to hold the transit parameters (transit centre, period, impact parameter, and $a/R_*$) fixed (see Table 2) to avoid exploring unrealistic combinations of the multidimensional parameter space, which would happen if we used priors on these parameters (e.g. Garhart et al. 2020).

We also assumed circular orbits and fixed $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ and $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ to 0 (Nelson et al. 2014). We ran two chains of 100 000 steps (including 20% burn-in) each, and checked their efficient mixing and convergence by visually inspecting the autocorrelation functions of each chain, and by using the Gelman & Rubin (1992) statistical test, ensuring that the test values for all fitted parameters were $<1.01$. Our third fit was identical to the preceding one, with the exception that each individual occultation was fit independently of the rest of the dataset. Finally, we repeated this entire analysis using PIPE’s imagette photometry to assess whether the higher cadence impacted our occultation depth median values and corresponding credible intervals.

### 3. Results

The global fit, including all 41 orbits (2020–2022), yielded an occultation depth of $dF_\text{occ} = 12 \pm 3$ ppm (see Fig. 1) with BIC = 10891, compared to BIC = 10896 without the occultation model included. We thus assess the ABIC = 5 as moderate evidence for the detection of 55 Cnc’s occultation in the averaged dataset. We show all individual occultation depths from our third fit (Sect. 2.2) in Table 3 and they are also depicted in Fig. 2. We note that using sub-arrays or imagettes in the analysis yield results (parameter values and credible intervals) that are indistinguishable from each other. We thus elected to conduct the analysis using the sub-array frames for computational efficiency.

We used the same method as in Meier Valdés et al. (2022) to compute the corresponding geometric albedo in the CHEOPS bandpass, using a PHOENIX stellar spectrum (Husser et al. 2013) with $T_\text{eff} = 5200$ K, $[\text{Fe/H}]=0.3$, and log $g = 4.5$ (von Braun et al. 2011). We assumed a blackbody planetary emission spectral energy distribution. We find that at face value, the 12 ± 3 ppm occultation depth translates into a brightness temperature of $T_B = 249^{+298}_{-141}$ K in the CHEOPS bandpass or into a geometric albedo of $A_p = 0.42 \pm 0.12$ if it were reflected light alone. A significant contribution to the CHEOPS $T_B$ originates from the planetary thermal emission, for which a maximum dayside-hemisphere brightness temperature of $T_B = 2705^{+265}_{-252}$ K was

### Table 2. List of 55 Cnc e parameters values used in our global analysis.

| Parameter (fixed) | Value | Reference |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| Transit centre $T_0$ | 2.458 932.00042 | Morris et al. (2021) |
| Orbital period $P$ [d] | 0.73654737 | Bourrier et al. (2018) |
| Impact parameter $b$ | 0.39 | Bourrier et al. (2018) |
| $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ | 0.0 | Nelson et al. (2014) |
| $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ | 0.0 | Nelson et al. (2014) |

| Parameter (fitted) | Value | Reference |
|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| $dF_\text{occ}$ [ppm] | 12 ± 3 | This work |
measured with Spitzer at 4.5 μm (Tamburo et al. 2018). This thermal contamination translates into 4–15 ppm (8 ppm for the mean \( T_B = 2705 \) K) in the CHEOPS bandpass\(^2\).

The reflected light contribution in the CHEOPS bandpass results in a 55 Cnc e decontaminated geometric albedo \( A_g = 0.15^{+0.20}_{-0.10} \) (2σ upper limit of \( A_g < 0.55 \)). Assuming that 55 Cnc e has no volatile envelope, its Spitzer 4.5μm brightness temperature would point to a high-albedo CaO-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) molten surface with \( A_g \sim 0.5 \) (Rouan et al. 2011; Kite et al. 2016; Essack et al. 2020). Assuming a thick atmosphere scenario for 55 Cnc e yields a wide range of geometric albedos that strongly depend on the atmospheric composition and the possible formation of clouds (Hammond & Pierrehumbert 2017; Angelo & Hu 2017; Mahapatra et al. 2017). The sole knowledge of the geometric albedo of such a hot super-Earth does not enable us to distinguish between a molten surface and a thick atmosphere scenario.

### 4. Discussion

Two caveats jeopardise the accuracy of the parameters derived in the global fit and the inferred CHEOPS geometric albedo. First, our phase-folded light curve (Fig. 1) shows significant correlated noise, but we do not find significant inter-seasonal variations (see Fig. 2 and Appendix A), consistent with Meier Valdés et al. (2022). Second, the 4.5 μm maximum brightness temperature of 2700 K used above for 55 Cnc e’s thermal contribution may not be representative of the planet thermal emission at the time of the observations (Demory et al. 2016; Tamburo et al. 2018), which would result in an underestimated reflected light contribution. We discuss these issues in the next two sections.

To investigate the origin of the scatter in Fig. 2, we first computed the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the standardised residuals, where we superimposed the CDF of a Gaussian distribution (Fig. 3). One limitation is that our sample of 41 occultations was statistically small. We note that the occultation depth’s CDF follows a Gaussian behaviour for negative values. This sub-sample, therefore, is Normal-distributed and is not affected by significant correlated noise at zeroth order. The positive values, however, exhibit a higher probability around the mean, and an excess of events at \( z \sim 2.5 \) (depth \( \delta \sim 50 \) ppm) and a single \( z > 7 \) event at \( \delta = 166 \) ppm, where \( z = (\delta - \bar{\delta})/\sigma \). We show some of these individual occultations in Fig. B.1.

---

\(^2\) Compared to 11 ppm for the mean \( T_B = 2705 \) K in the redder TESS bandpass (Meier Valdés et al. 2022; Kipping & Jansen 2020).

| # | Timing   | Depth   | RMS     | \( \beta_n \) | \( \beta_r \) |
|---|----------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 8932.10871 | −23\(^{-19}_{+19}\) | 69 | 1.36 | 1.48 |
| 2 | 8932.79614 | 20\(^{+37}_{-33}\) | 69 | 1.34 | 2.48 |
| 3 | 9185.42750 | −3\(^{-15}_{+16}\) | 67 | 1.31 | 1.36 |
| 4 | 9200.93497 | 45\(^{+12}_{-12}\) | 62 | 1.22 | 1.00 |
| 5 | 9201.63159 | 166\(^{+22}_{-18}\) | 75 | 1.47 | 1.90 |
| 6 | 9202.37023 | −12\(^{-17}_{+17}\) | 68 | 1.33 | 1.37 |
| 7 | 9208.27724 | −15\(^{-12}_{+12}\) | 69 | 1.35 | 1.03 |
| 8 | 9208.99714 | −48\(^{-24}_{+14}\) | 70 | 1.36 | 1.77 |
| 9 | 9209.73371 | 33\(^{+14}_{-13}\) | 67 | 1.30 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 9211.94330 | −2\(^{-18}_{+20}\) | 74 | 1.45 | 1.42 |
| 11 | 9225.20107 | −11\(^{-16}_{+16}\) | 84 | 1.65 | 1.04 |
| 12 | 9225.93799 | −21\(^{-16}_{+16}\) | 83 | 1.63 | 1.06 |
| 13 | 9226.68685 | −22\(^{-20}_{-22}\) | 73 | 1.41 | 1.46 |
| 14 | 9229.63948 | 63\(^{+19}_{-18}\) | 77 | 1.50 | 1.36 |
| 15 | 9230.35707 | 3\(^{+12}_{-16}\) | 78 | 1.52 | 1.28 |
| 16 | 9233.30309 | 17\(^{+13}_{-13}\) | 79 | 1.54 | 1.00 |
| 17 | 9234.03952 | 23\(^{+11}_{-13}\) | 78 | 1.53 | 1.00 |
| 18 | 9234.79574 | −8\(^{-16}_{+16}\) | 75 | 1.46 | 1.00 |
| 19 | 9239.94868 | 21\(^{+16}_{-16}\) | 81 | 1.58 | 1.17 |
| 20 | 9245.09376 | 48\(^{+14}_{-15}\) | 79 | 1.55 | 1.00 |
| 21 | 9248.77071 | 23\(^{+14}_{-14}\) | 79 | 1.55 | 1.00 |
| 22 | 9251.00527 | 58\(^{+15}_{-14}\) | 78 | 1.52 | 1.06 |
| 23 | 9251.76684 | 16\(^{+26}_{-24}\) | 87 | 1.69 | 1.61 |
| 24 | 9267.92099 | 10\(^{+23}_{-23}\) | 78 | 1.51 | 1.70 |
| 25 | 9268.65738 | −18\(^{-28}_{+22}\) | 79 | 1.52 | 1.93 |
| 26 | 9276.76142 | −32\(^{-14}_{+14}\) | 73 | 1.43 | 1.00 |
| 27 | 9280.47084 | 6\(^{+26}_{-28}\) | 75 | 1.45 | 2.02 |
| 28 | 9284.12510 | 7\(^{+18}_{-16}\) | 80 | 1.57 | 1.14 |
| 29 | 9295.24081 | 53\(^{+18}_{-18}\) | 73 | 1.43 | 1.00 |
| 30 | 9295.92594 | 16\(^{+29}_{-30}\) | 76 | 1.47 | 2.20 |
| 31 | 9574.34935 | 12\(^{+16}_{-16}\) | 71 | 1.38 | 1.18 |
| 32 | 9592.00641 | −47\(^{-16}_{+16}\) | 89 | 1.74 | 1.00 |
| 33 | 9593.47491 | 9\(^{+12}_{-12}\) | 83 | 1.61 | 1.00 |
| 34 | 9594.21135 | 11\(^{+14}_{-15}\) | 85 | 1.66 | 1.00 |
| 35 | 9594.95962 | 2\(^{+12}_{-12}\) | 79 | 1.53 | 1.42 |
| 36 | 9595.71194 | 15\(^{+28}_{-30}\) | 84 | 1.63 | 1.62 |
| 37 | 9596.42095 | 12\(^{+23}_{-19}\) | 89 | 1.73 | 1.00 |
| 38 | 9630.32797 | 57\(^{+14}_{-15}\) | 85 | 1.64 | 1.00 |
| 39 | 9631.03901 | 13\(^{+11}_{-13}\) | 71 | 1.37 | 1.12 |
| 40 | 9637.67818 | −41\(^{-16}_{+16}\) | 75 | 1.46 | 1.02 |
| 41 | 9638.43423 | 4\(^{+11}_{-14}\) | 74 | 1.44 | 1.02 |
Fig. 2. Individual occultation depths of 55 Cnc e from the global analysis. Occultation epochs are related to the timings shown in Table 3. Each year is colour-coded, where data obtained in 2020, 2021, and 2022 are shown in blue, red, and green, respectively (see also Appendix A). Corresponding weighted means (plain lines) and credible intervals (dashed lines) are also shown. The residual photometric RMS for each occultation are shown as black triangles.

Similar to Tamburo et al. (2018), we then attempted to characterise the putative variability timescale and amplitude by employing two different models to reproduce the occultation depth versus time pattern. For each model fitted to the data, we computed the BIC for model comparison purposes. Model 1 is a flat line, whose only free parameter is the value at origin, which yields a BIC = 173. Model 2 is a sine function with an offset of the form $a \sin(2\pi \omega t + b) + c$, where $\omega$ is the sine function’s frequency independently determined prior to the fit, using an adaptive grid with initial periods ranging from 4 to 100 days. We find $\omega = 0.0211$ days$^{-1}$ (i.e. a 47.3-day period), $a = 29 \pm 4$ ppm, $b = -127.55 \pm 0.12$, and $c = 10 \pm 3$ ppm (close to our 12 ppm mean) to yield the smallest BIC = 126. We show the corresponding model fit, along the phase-folded occultation depths, in Fig. 4. This simple model comparison strongly favours ($\Delta$BIC = 47) that the 41 occultations collected by CHEOPS over the past two years are better reproduced by a variable, sinusoidal pattern, than by a constant, flat line.

4.1. Instrumental origin

We investigated whether the CHEOPS spacecraft and instrument could be at the origin of the variability behaviour described above. We repeated the same analysis as the one described in Sect. 2.2 but on out-of-eclipse time series where there are no occultations, extracted at the same orbital phase across all visits. We ran an MCMC analysis on that dataset, with the only difference compared with Sect. 2.2 and Table 2 being a 0.18-day shift in the $T_0$ to match the data given as input to the MCMC. This global fit yielded a depth of $-1 \pm 3$ ppm, which points to the instrument being innocuous to the occultation signal previously detected at the expected 55 Cnc e phase. We also investigated any dependence of this analysis’s measured depths on time, as done above for the occultation dataset. We find that the 47.3-day peak is entirely absent from the periodogram and that the dominant frequency is at 0.22 days$^{-1}$, which is also present in the occultation data and an alias of the window function. We finally note that the photometric residual noise measured in this analysis’s and the occultation’s time series are in good agreement (76 vs. 77 ppm respectively). This analysis discards the instrument as the source of the observed depth variations.

4.2. Planetary origin

Given the past evidence of 55 Cnc e’s brightness temperature variability measured at 4.5 µm with Spitzer (Demory et al. 2016; Tamburo et al. 2018), we explored whether the planet could be at the origin of the variable depth pattern detected in the visible
with CHEOPS. The excess of events around occultation depths of δ ~ 50 ppm (Fig. 3) corresponds to a hemisphere-averaged brightness temperature of ~3500 K, which is significantly above the maximum brightness temperature of 2892±280 K measured in the infrared with Spitzer. Even assuming such a large thermal contribution would lead to an unrealistically high geometric albedo $A_g \sim 1.58$, so these 50 ppm cannot be explained by reflected light alone. We thus do not have evidence that a surge in thermal emission could be at the origin of this excess in CHEOPS brightness temperature. We may hypothesise that the longer-term 47.3-day sinusoidal pattern of the CHEOPS occultation depths has a common origin with the ~35-day sinusoidal variation detected in the eight Spitzer occultations acquired between 2012 and 2013 (Tamburo et al. 2018). Upcoming JWST occultation observations may help in investigating this hypothesis further. We finally note for completeness that the non-transiting 51.2-M$_{\odot}$ planet 55 Cnc c has an orbital period of $P = 44.4$ days, which is close to the period of our sinusoidal model fit. The required reflected flux from 55 Cnc c to contribute to the drastic changes in 55 Cnc c’s occultation depth would, however, have to be unrealistically high (see also Sect. 4.3).

4.3. Stellar origin

We then investigated whether the photometric residuals seen in the CHEOPS occultation time series (~5 h each) could be explained by stellar granulation noise. Other studies have hinted that the sensitivity of high-precision photometric observations are due to the small-amplitude granulation stellar signal, which becomes dominant in the high-signal-to-noise regime of bright stars as seen for Kepler (Lally & Vanderburg 2022) or CHEOPS (e.g. Delrez et al. 2021; Sulis et al. 2022), and is thus particularly relevant for 55 Cnc.

The RMS of the CHEOPS 55 Cnc occultation time series range between 62 and 89 ppm (see Table 3). This is consistent with the photon noise level of 66.2 ppm that is predicted by the CHEOPS Exposure Time Calculator (ETC) at the cadence of the downloaded images (44.2 s) and the efficiency of these observations (53–61%).

The occultation time-series residuals reveal a clear dependence on frequency (Fig. 5, black). The corresponding periodogram shows an increase in power towards lower frequencies in the [596, 5365] µHz region (i.e. periods ~[3, 27] min, which matches 55 Cnc c’s occultation ingress and egress’ duration) that is characteristic of stellar granulation for solar-like stars. However, the lower-frequency end (1000 µHz and below) does not exhibit significant correlated noise. We computed the averaged periodogram of the time-series residuals (black line) and fitted a classical Harvey function of the form

$$P_H(\nu^2) := \eta^2(\nu^2) \frac{\sigma_g}{1 + (\nu^2/\nu_{max}^2)^g} + \sigma^2,$$

where $\{a_g, b_g, c_g\}$ are the amplitude, characteristic frequency, and power of the stellar granulation signal, and $\sigma^2$ is the variance of the high-frequency noise component, which is dominated by photon noise. The notation $\nu^2$ means that only positive frequencies are considered, and $\eta(\nu^2) := \text{sinc}(\frac{\pi \nu^2}{\nu_{Nyq}^2})$ is an attenuation factor based on the Nyquist frequency. The best-fitting Harvey function is shown in red in Fig. 5.

We obtained $a_g = 0.0108$ ppm$^2$/µHz, $b_g = 1563.5$ µHz, $c_g = 3.3$ and $\sigma^2 = 0.0046$ ppm$^2$/µHz. The level of the high-frequency noise $\sigma = 67.8$ ppm is fully consistent with the ETC prediction. Assuming an oscillation frequency at maximum power of $\nu_{max} = 3323.57$ µHz from asteroseismology (Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995), the characteristic amplitude $a_g$ and frequency $b_g$ are also found to be fully consistent with predictions from Kepler observations (see Fig. 8 of Kallinger et al. 2014). Finally, 3D hydrodynamical models of stellar granulation predict an RMS of 45.2 ppm for 55 Cnc A (see Table 2 of Rodríguez Díaz et al. 2022). To compare this value with our dataset, we binned the occultation time series into 3-min intervals and filtered the periods >27 min (see details in Sulis et al. 2022). We computed an RMS between 36 and 60 ppm, which is consistent with these 3D model’s predictions.

55 Cnc’s granulation amplitude and timescale thus appears as a plausible cause of the correlated noise observed in the individual occultation time series. Because of the partial coverage of our occultations, granulation could impact only part of each occultation, biasing the individual retrieved depth by several tens of ppm. One issue for this hypothesis, however, is that the

---

Fig. 4. Phase-folded long-term variability of 55 Cnc e’s occultation depths. The binned data (20 bins for 41 points) are shown in black, while our best-fit model (sine function with offset) is shown in red. The phase axis corresponds to the $P = 47.3$-day period.

Fig. 5. Periodogram of the residuals of each CHEOPS observation (black) and their respective averaged periodogram (thick black). The solid red line represents the best-fitting model given in Eq. (1), and the dashed red lines show the two components of this model.

---

https://cheops.unige.ch/pht2/exposure-time-calculator
shallow and negative occultation depths are Normal-distributed, as discussed in Sect. 4, while stellar granulation should induce at zeroth order the same level of correlated noise throughout all datasets. We note that a straightforward way to improve the quality of our fit would be to model granulation noise using Gaussian processes (Aigrain et al. 2015; Barros et al. 2020), but the reliability of this extra step would rely on the assumption that the occultation depth is constant across visits, which is challenged by the observed infrared occultation depth variability and the long-term sinusoidal pattern (Sect. 4). Since thermal emission accounts for roughly two-thirds of the signal measured in the CHEOPS bandpass, this approach could induce a bias of up to 8 ppm in the retrieved occultation depth for the thermal contribution alone.

We finally note that the sinusoidal model period of 47.3 days found above appears very close to the rotation period of the star, which has been previously reported from spectroscopy to be 38.8±0.05 days, but with a significant inter-seasonal scatter (Bourrier et al. 2018), and from photometry to be 42.7±2.5 days (Fischer et al. 2008). Our sinusoidal model fit yields a peak-to-valley change in the occultation depth (not in the stellar flux) of 58 ppm, which cannot be explained by the small, rotational 6-mmag photometric variability reported from 10 yr of monitoring from the star with the automatic photometric telescope at Fairborn Observatory in the visible (Fischer et al. 2008). The sinusoidal variatoins in the occultation depths are also challenging to explain by granulation, as no dependence of this process is expected on the stellar rotational period. Furthermore, the 47.3-day modulation does not appear in our out-of-eclipse data analysis (Sect. 4.1), which advocates against a stellar origin.

5. Conclusions

CHEOPS detects 55 Cnc e’s occultation in the visible, but also points to a large scatter that cannot be accounted for with Gaussian noise alone. The CHEOPS observations suggest that this larger scatter, recently studied with TESS data, can be explained by astrophysical correlated noise and that an instrument source can be discarded. The power density spectrum of the model residuals shows that the amplitude and timescale of the correlated noise is compatible with stellar granulation, whose amplitude exceeds 55 Cnc e’s average occultation depth and whose typical frequencies span 55 Cnc e’s occultation ingress and egress duration, which, coupled to the observations’ average efficiency biases the individual occultation depths. The variations in occultation depth observed in the visible with CHEOPS are likely affected by this short-timescale stellar noise and are of too small amplitude to be detected with TESS due to the larger photon noise. We also argue that granulation is not the cause for the observed variability in the *Spitzer* bandpass, since only the occultations have been shown to vary, not the transits (Demory et al. 2016; Tamburo et al. 2018), and with a significantly larger amplitude than the observed RMS in the CHEOPS observations. Furthermore, granulation noise is of lower amplitude in the infrared than in the visible.

In summary, while stellar granulation can explain the short-timescale noise seen in our data, it cannot impact the observed longer-timescale variations. We cannot identify a process originating from the instrument, nor from the star that could explain the 47-day modulation of the occultation depths detected with CHEOPS. With the data at hand, we are not able to discard a planetary origin for this signal. Two different JWST programmes will observe 55 Cnc e’s occultations in the infrared during cycle 1, using the NIRCam (PID 2084, PI: A. Brandeker) and MIRI (PID 1952, PI: R. Hu) instruments, which will undoubtedly help us understand better (Zilinskas et al. 2022) the nature of this iconic super-Earth.
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Appendix A: Seasonal 55 Cnc e’s occultation light curves

The 2020-2022 phase-folded occultation light curve shown in Fig. 1 exhibits significant correlated noise. Most notably, the occultation duration appears ∼30% shorter than the model and a trough of a similar depth is noticeable 2 hours after the occultation centre (0.46 days from $T_0$). Inspecting the inter-seasonal phase-folded light curves in Fig. A.1 reveals that this flux dip appears both in 2021 and 2022, and remains whether we use the PIPE imagette or DRP reductions. The average occultation depth of the two events in 2020 is $-12\pm 18$ ppm, while it is $14\pm 4$ ppm for the 28 events in 2021, and $3\pm 5$ ppm for the 11 events in 2022.

Fig. A.1. CHEOPS phase-folded occultation of 55 Cnc e for each season: 2020 (top, two epochs), 2021 (middle, 28 epochs) and 2022 (bottom, 11 epochs). Grey circles are un-binned data points while 15-minute bins are shown as black circles. The best-fit model is shown in red.

Appendix B: Subset of individual CHEOPS 55 Cnc e occultations

Fig. B.1. Selected CHEOPS individual occultations of 55 Cnc e reported in Table 3 and shown in Fig. 2. Occultation #5 (top), Occultation #16 (middle-top), Occultation #38 (middle-bottom), and Occultation #40 (bottom). All but Occultation #16 are outliers. Grey points are un-binned time series, while 15-minute bins are shown as black points. The best-fit model is shown in red.