Spatial Distribution, Sources Apportionment and Health Risk of Metals in Topsoil in Beijing, China
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Abstract: In order to acquire the pollution feature and regularities of distribution of metals in the topsoil within the sixth ring road in Beijing, a total of 46 soil samples were collected, and the concentrations of twelve elements (Nickel, Ni, Lithium, Li, Vanadium, V, Cobalt, Co, Barium, Ba, Strontium, Sr, Chrome, Cr, Molybdenum, Mo, Copper, Cu, Cadmium, Cd, Zinc, Zn, Lead, Pb) were analyzed. Geostatistics and multivariate statistics were conducted to identify spatial distribution characteristics and sources. In addition, the health risk of the analyzed heavy metals to humans (adult) was evaluated by an U.S. Environmental Protection Agency health risk assessment model. The results indicate that these metals have notable variation in spatial scale. The concentration of Cr was high in the west and low in the east, while that of Mo was high in the north and low in the south. High concentrations of Cu, Cd, Zn, and Pb were found in the central part of the city. The average enrichment degree of Cd is 5.94, reaching the standard of significant enrichment. The accumulation of Cr, Mo, Cu, Cd, Zn, and Pb is influenced by anthropogenic activity, including vehicle exhaustion, coal burning, and industrial processes. Health risk assessment shows that both non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risks of selected heavy metals are within the safety standard and the rank of the carcinogenic risk of the four heavy metals is Cr > Co > Ni > Cd.

Keywords: urban topsoil; metals; spatial analysis; source analysis; health risk

1. Introduction

Urban topsoil is a significant component of the urban ecological system. With rapid urbanization, plenty of toxic and hazardous metals have contaminated soils, causing serious soil pollution and decrease of capacity [1–3]. In 2014, the Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People’s Republic of China (MEPC) and Ministry of Land and Resources of the People’s Republic of China (MLRPC) issued an investigation result indicating that heavy metals are the most serious pollutants. Due to different land use types and human interference, the concentrations and sources of metals in urban topsoil differ and vary markedly over the space [4–10]. Metals in topsoil originate from natural and anthropogenic sources. Anthropogenic sources are mainly attributed to industrial activity, agricultural production, vehicle exhaust, coal combustion, waste disposal, atmospheric deposition, and other human activities [11–17]. Such accumulation has brought great harm to the urban environment and human health [18–20]. Metals such as Cd, Co, Cr, and Ni have carcinogenic effects, leading to
functional disorders and irreversible damage to the human body [21–23]. Previous studies show that substantial accumulation of Cd in the human body can inhibit the activity of many enzymes and stimulate the human gastrointestinal system, affect bone metabolism, and induce cancer, etc. Cr (VI) is a kind of strong oxidizer that is easily absorbed by the body. Its excessive accumulation can cause respiratory system and skin diseases, etc. Nowadays, metal pollution in urban soil has become a serious problem world-wide and has attracted the attention of a large number of scientists. Hence, this study intends to visualize the spatial distribution of urban topsoil metals by geo-statistics. Based on that, the origin of the metals was identified through principal component analysis. Then, a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency model was used for health risk assessment from different exposure pathways. This research is supposed to provide references for environmental risk warning, management, and restoration.

2. Data and Method

2.1. Study Area and Sampling

Beijing, located on the northwest edge of the North China Plain, is surrounded by Hebei Province and Tianjin. The overall terrain is high in the northwest and low in the southeast. The area is influenced by the typical warm temperate semi-humid continental monsoon climate, with four distinct seasons. North or northeast winds dominate in the winter, and south or southeast winds prevail in the summer. The total area is 16,410.54 km² with 16 districts. The study area covers all places inside the sixth ring road. The main soil type of our study area is cinnamon soil and moisture soil. The land use types include urban land, urban built-upland, forest land, grassland, garden land, and other building land, etc.

Forty-six sampling sites were located by GPS within the sixth ring road in Beijing, which were collected on 10 March 2014. Topsoil samples between zero and five centimeters were collected near the relatively stable zones, such as schools, parks, and green belts. At each sampling site, five sub-samples were collected (approximately 16 m²) using stainless steel shovel. These sub-samples were thoroughly mixed into a composite sample, with a total sample size of one kilogram. The soil samples were stored in plastic bags and transferred to the laboratory for further analysis. The location of sampling sites is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Map showing the distribution of sampling sites.
2.2. Laboratory Analysis

After the air-drying and grinding process, the soil samples were acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}–HF–HClO\textsubscript{4}) digested. Then, the concentrations of twelve metals (Nickel, Ni, Lithium, Li, Vanadium, V, Cobalt, Co, Barium, Ba, Strontium, Sr, Chrome, Cr, Molybdenum, Mo, Copper, Cu, Cadmium, Cd, Zinc, Zn, Lead, Pb) were analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES). The procedural blank, duplicate analysis and standard reference materials (GBW) were included for quality assurance and control (QA/QC). The accuracy of standard reference material (GBW) was <8%. The relative standard deviation (RSD) of duplicate samples was below 6%.

2.3. Numerical Analysis

2.3.1. Enrichment Factor

Enrichment factor is an important index to describe the influence of human activity on the enrichment of heavy metals in soil and sediment [24]. In order to minimize the error from the process of sampling and handling, the metal concentrations were normalized by the reference element. In general, stable elements—such as Scandium (Sc), Aluminum (Al), and Iron (Fe)—were selected as the reference elements [25]. The calculation is as follows:

\[
EF = \frac{[C_i/C_n]_{\text{sample}}}{[C_i/C_n]_{\text{background}}}
\]  

(1)

where \([C_i/C_n]_{\text{sample}}\) is the ratio of the measured concentration of one metal element in the topsoil with that of reference element. \([C_i/C_n]_{\text{background}}\) is the ratio of the background concentration of one metal element in the topsoil with that of reference element. This study chose Scandium (Sc) as the reference element. In addition, the background values of twelve metals in Beijing were chosen [26]. The background value of Scandium (Sc) is 10 mg·kg\(^{-1}\). According to the variety of enrichment factors, the degree of contamination was divided into six grades, which are listed in Table 1.

| Variation Range | Degree of Contamination          |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| EF \leq 1       | No enrichment                    |
| 1 < EF \leq 2   | Minimal enrichment               |
| 2 < EF \leq 5   | Moderate enrichment              |
| 5 < EF \leq 20  | Significant enrichment           |
| 20 < EF \leq 40 | Very high enrichment             |
| EF > 40         | Extraordinary high enrichment    |

2.3.2. Statistical Analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a mathematical procedure that has been widely used in source apportionment of soil, atmosphere, and water [27–29]. Topsoil is mainly influenced by soil parent material and human activity during the formation process. The constitution feature of elements can be investigated by Principle Component Analysis (PCA) [30]. This method can also reduce the spatial plurality in order to provide evidence to trace the sources [31]. Cluster analysis (CA) is an efficient method for judging the source of metal elements. Cluster distance refers to the intimating degree between elements and represents their relationship [32]. The results of PCA can be examined effectively by cluster analysis [33]. In this study, PCA and CA were carried out on the concentrations of twelve metals in topsoil using SPSS 19.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

2.3.3. Human Health Risk Assessment

There are three routes of exposure for soil metals to the human body: (a) Dose contacted through ingestion (AD\textsubscript{ing}); (b) Dose contacted through inhalation (AD\textsubscript{inh}); (c) Dose absorbed through dermal.
contact (AD_{der}). According to the method of health risk assessment proposed by the United States environmental protection agency (EPA) [34–36], the computation formulae are as follows:

$$AD_{\text{ing}} = \frac{C \times IR_{\text{ing}} \times CF \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$$ (2)

$$AD_{\text{inh}} = \frac{C \times IR_{\text{inh}} \times EF \times ED}{PEF \times BW \times AT}$$ (3)

$$AD_{\text{der}} = \frac{C \times CF \times SA \times AF \times ABS \times EF \times ED}{BW \times AT}$$ (4)

C (mg·kg$^{-1}$) is the concentration of trace element in topsoil; IR_{ing} (mg·d$^{-1}$) is the ingestion rate; IR_{inh} (m$^3$·d$^{-1}$) is inhalation rate; CF (kg·mg$^{-1}$) is the conversion factors; EF (d·a$^{-1}$) is the exposure frequency; ED (a) is the exposure duration; PEF (m$^3$·kg$^{-1}$) is the particle emission factor; SA is the exposed skin area (cm$^2$); AF (mg·cm$^{-1}$·d$^{-1}$) is the skin adherence factor; ABS (unitless) is the dermal absorption factor; BW (kg) is the average body weight; AT (d) is the averaging time. The reference values for all parameters are listed in Table 2.

Health risk assessment is divided into non-carcinogenic risk assessment and carcinogenic risk assessment:

(1) Non-carcinogenic risk assessment:

$$HQ_i = \frac{AD_{ij}}{RfD_{ij}}$$ (5)

$$HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} HQ_i$$ (6)

$$HI_{\text{t}} = HI_{\text{ing}} + HI_{\text{inh}} + HI_{\text{der}}$$ (7)

Hazard quotient (HQ) is the non-carcinogenic risk, where a value of one refers to the threshold reference value suggested by USEPA. RfD (mg·kg$^{-1}$·d$^{-1}$) is the reference dose of metal through oral ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of soil particles. HI (Hazard Index) is the total non-carcinogenic hazard quotient of more than one metal element [37]. HI_{t} is the total Hazard Index. HI_{\text{ing}}, HI_{\text{inh}}, and HI_{\text{der}} refer to the hazard quotients of metals through oral ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact, respectively. In cases that the non-carcinogenic HQ does not exceed the threshold (HQ below one), no potential non-carcinogenic risks occur in the study area.

(2) Carcinogenic risk assessment:

$$\text{Risk} = AD_{ij} \times SF_{ij}$$ (8)

Risk is of an individual developing cancer over a lifetime (unitless) and SF (mg/kg-day) is the slope factor of a carcinogen. The reference values for all parameters are listed in Table 3. The acceptable risk value is in the range of $10^{-6}$–$10^{-4}$ [38].
Table 2. Parameter values in average daily dose calculation models (adult).

| Parameter | $IR_{\text{ing}}$ (mg·d$^{-1}$) | $IR_{\text{inh}}$ (m$^3$·d$^{-1}$) | CF (mg·kg$^{-1}$) | EF (d·a$^{-1}$) | ED (a) | SA (cm$^2$) | AF (mg·cm$^{-1}$·d$^{-1}$) | ABS | PEF (m$^3$·kg$^{-1}$) | BW (kg) | AT (d) |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-----------|----------------------|-----|---------------------|--------|-------|
| value     | 100                 | 15                   | $1 \times 10^{-6}$ | 87.5           | 6     | 5700      | 0.2                  | 0.001 | $1.36 \times 10^6$  | 55.9   |        |

$IR_{\text{ing}}$: ingestion rate; $IR_{\text{inh}}$: inhalation rate; CF: conversion factors; EF: exposure frequency; ED: exposure duration; PEF: particle emission factor; SA: exposed skin area; AF: skin adherence factor; ABS (unitless): dermal absorption factor; BW: average body weight; AT: averaging time.

Table 3. References dose (RfD) for non-carcinogenic metals and slope factors for carcinogenic metals.

| Element | V | Cr | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn | Cd | Pb | Mo |
|---------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| RfD$_{\text{ing}}$ | $7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| RfD$_{\text{inh}}$ | $7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.86 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.71 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.06 \times 10^{-2}$ | $4.02 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.52 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.95 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| RfD$_{\text{der}}$ | $7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $5.40 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.25 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| SF$_{\text{inh}}$ | - | 42 | 9.8 | 0.84 | - | - | - | - | - |

RfD$_{\text{ing}}$: reference dose for ingestion; RfD$_{\text{inh}}$: reference dose for inhalation; RfD$_{\text{der}}$: reference dose for dermal contact; SF$_{\text{inh}}$: slope factor for inhalation route.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Spatial Distribution of TopSoil Metals

Figure 2 shows the spatial distribution patterns of twelve metals (Ni, Li, V, Co, Ba, Sr, Cr, Mo, Cu, Cd, Zn, Pb) inside the sixth ring road of Beijing. In addition, descriptive statistics of metal in the topsoil is presented in Table 4. The distribution of Ni presents that the low concentration area is mainly distributed between the south fifth ring road and the south sixth ring road. The high concentration of Ni appears in the center of the Fengtai District and the area near the northwest edge. Land use types of these areas include forest and urban construction land. The average concentration of Ni is 25.09 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), which is a little higher than the background concentrations of Beijing (BCB) and lower than the background concentrations of national (BCN). The hot-spot is located in the junction of the Mentougou District and the Shijingshan District (38.00 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)). The concentration of Li was low both in the north area and between south fifth ring road and south sixth ring road, while it was high of most area inside the urban zone. The average concentration of Li is 28.07 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), which is lower than the BCN and 2.07 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) higher than the BCB. The hot-spot is located in the same regions as Ni (38.50 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)). The spatial distribution of V appears high in the west and low in the east. It appeared to have high concentration both on the west edge of urban zone and between south fifth ring road and south sixth ring road. The average concentration of V is 75.48 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), which is higher than the BCB and lower than the BCN. The average concentration of Co is 10.16 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), which is close to the BCB. Its low concentration zone is in the northeast and southwest, and the concentration is rather high elsewhere. The spatial distribution patterns of Ba and Sr are quite consistent. They are low in most places within the fifth ring road and high in north and east outside the fifth ring road. Both Ba and Sr are second main group elements and have similar characteristics. The only difference is between the south fifth and sixth ring road. The average concentrations of Ba and Sr are 612.59 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) and 291.00 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), both of which are higher than the BCB and BCN. The concentration of Cr is high in the western area and low in most of eastern area. Its average concentration is 75.36 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), which is higher than BCB and BCN. The spatial distribution of Mo appears high in the north and low in the south. Its average concentration is 1.16 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) higher than the BCB and BCN. The spatial distribution of Cu, Cd, and Zn are quite similar. The high concentration zone is located in the center and northwest of Beijing. Their average concentrations are 38.68 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), 0.44 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), and 126.22 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), which are much higher than the BCB and BCN. Pb shows serious pollution characteristics in the center and trends lighter to its surroundings. Its average concentration is 34.40 mg·kg\(^{-1}\), higher than the BCB and BCN.

| Element | V (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cr (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Co (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Ni (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cu (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Zn (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cd (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Pb (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Li (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Sr (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Ba (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) | Mo (mg·kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|---------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Max     | 99.27            | 147.84          | 11.94           | 38             | 66.8           | 221.77         | 0.93           | 66.28          | 38.5           | 387.94         | 796.73         | 2.24           |
| Min     | 52.63            | 50.8            | 7.62            | 15.06          | 19.73          | 54.2           | 0.17           | 19.51          | 17.11          | 191            | 534.5          | 0.57           |
| Mean    | 75.48            | 75.36           | 10.16           | 25.09          | 38.68          | 126.22         | 0.44           | 34.4           | 28.07          | 291            | 612.59         | 1.16           |
| Beijing’s Background | 71  | 58  | 10  | 25  | 20  | 58  | 0.09  | 19  | 26  | 271  | 598  | 0.6     |
| National Background   | 87  | 73  | 13  | 29  | 24  | 68  | 0.11  | 23  | 37  | 156  | 495  | 0.7     |
Figure 2. Spatial distribution of metal element concentrations in the topsoil (mg/kg).
3.2. Source Appointment of Heavy Metal Elements

3.2.1. The Enrichment of Heavy Metal Elements

As shown in Table 5, the enrichment degree of metals in the topsoil can be divided into three types. The average enrichment factors of V, Cr, Co, Ni, Li, Sr, and Ba are below two, which is close to Beijing’s soil background value. Most of the sampling points are minimal enrichment or not at all. Among these seven elements, the enrichment degrees of V and Co in all sampling locations appear to be minimal enrichment. Almost 8.75% of Cr sampling spots are moderate enrichment, while others are minimal enrichment. The average enrichment degrees of Cu, Zn, Pb, and Mo are between two and five (moderately enrichment), indicating that they are being seriously polluted by human activity. The average enrichment degree of Cd is 5.94; 65.71% of samplings are ranked as significant enrichment and 34.29% of the sampling spots are moderately enrichment.

Table 5. Proportion of contamination classification of metal elements in the topsoil.

| Element | Average Enrichment Coefficient | Contamination Classification Proportion |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|         | EF ≤ 1 | 1 < EF ≤ 2 | 2 < EF ≤ 5 | 5 < EF ≤ 20 |
| V       | 1.29   | 0.00%      | 100.00%    | 0.00%    |
| Cr      | 1.58   | 0.00%      | 91.43%     | 8.57%    | 0.00%    |
| Co      | 1.23   | 0.00%      | 100.00%    | 0.00%    |
| Ni      | 1.22   | 11.43%     | 88.57%     | 0.00%    |
| Cu      | 2.35   | 0.00%      | 38.64%     | 61.36%   |
| Zn      | 2.64   | 0.00%      | 25.71%     | 68.57%   | 5.71%    |
| Cd      | 5.94   | 0.00%      | 0.00%      | 34.29%   | 65.71%   |
| Pb      | 2.2    | 0.00%      | 32.35%     | 64.71%   | 2.94%    |
| Li      | 1.31   | 5.71%      | 94.29%     | 0.00%    |
| Sr      | 1.3    | 5.71%      | 94.29%     | 0.00%    |
| Ba      | 1.24   | 5.88%      | 94.12%     | 0.00%    |
| Mo      | 2.35   | 0.00%      | 48.57%     | 48.57%   | 2.86%    |

3.2.2. Principal Component Analysis

The results of the PCA for twelve metals are presented in Table 6. This study uses PCA to analyze through Kaiser standard orthogonal iteration. On the premise that the eigenvalue exceeds one after iteration and the accumulated variance contribution rate is greater than 81.88%, four factors are extracted. These factors contribute 32.80%, 20.69%, 17.74%, and 10.65% to the cumulative variance, respectively. The first principal component includes Pb, Zn, Cd, Cu, and Mo for comparatively high positive loadings. As Pb, Zn, and Cu are usually called traffic pollution recognition elements, factor one is called “traffic index”. What’s more, Co, V, Ni, and Li have positive loads in factor two. From Table 1, we can see that the average concentrations of those four elements are close to the background values. So, it can be inferred that these elements come from soil parent materials. Based on this, factor two is called the “natural index”. Moreover, factor three consists of Sr and Ba, which are in the same main group with similar characteristics. Ba also has a high rate in index one, meaning Ba in the soil is influenced by both nature and human activity. There is only Cr in factor four, which has a high load (0.86). Cr is mainly accumulated in the topsoil and hardly moves to deeper layers. Industrial pollutants and waste gas from coal burning are the main reasons for the high concentration of Cr. Based on the spatial distribution pattern and the sampling period (coal-burning period), we can infer that the contamination of Cr is related to coal burning. Thus, factor four is called the “coal burning index”. The high loads, both in factor one and four of Mo indicate that it is influenced by various factors.
Table 6. Rotated component matrix of metals in the topsoil.

| Element | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Pb      | 0.93     | −0.01    | −0.06    | 0.00     |
| Zn      | 0.91     | 0.13     | 0.07     | 0.07     |
| Cd      | 0.91     | 0.05     | −0.16    | 0.17     |
| Cu      | 0.78     | 0.08     | 0.17     | −0.13    |
| Mo      | 0.58     | −0.03    | 0.08     | 0.56     |
| Co      | 0.14     | 0.95     | −0.17    | 0.08     |
| V       | −0.20    | 0.84     | 0.16     | −0.17    |
| Ni      | 0.34     | 0.76     | −0.36    | 0.25     |
| Li      | 0.22     | 0.51     | −0.68    | −0.23    |
| Sr      | −0.06    | 0.01     | 0.89     | 0.10     |
| Ba      | 0.48     | −0.09    | 0.78     | −0.07    |
| Cr      | −0.05    | 0.02     | 0.09     | 0.86     |

Eigenvalue            | 3.94 | 2.48 | 2.13 | 1.28 |
Contribution rate (%)  | 32.80| 20.69| 17.74| 10.65|
Accumulating contribution rate (%) | 32.80| 53.48| 71.22| 81.88|

3.2.3. Clustering Analysis

The results of CA are shown in Figure 3. This study divides all elements into four types at the class distance of 18. The first class includes Cu, Mo, Pb, Zn, and Cd, and the latter three elements as sub-classes, which are mainly related to the transportation process. The second class includes Co, V, Ni, and Li. Among which Co, V, and Ni are in the same period of the periodic table of the elements. Co and Ni showed identical characteristics as they both belong to the iron series elements and black metals, which means a closer relationship. Class three consists of Sr and Ba. Cr is the only element in class four. Thus, the result of cluster analysis is in accordance with principal component analysis.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
Figure 3. Hierarchical cluster analysis of metals in the topsoil.

3.3. Human Health Risk Assessment

The human health risk assessment of nine heavy metals (Nickel, Ni, Vanadium, V, Cobalt, Co, Cr, Molybdenum, Mo, Copper, Cu, Cadmium, Cd, Zinc, Zn, Lead, Pb) (Table 7) shows that the
exposure risk of nine elements through ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact pathways are below one, indicating that their non-carcinogenic risks are all under the safety standard. What’s more, the non-carcinogenic risks of nine elements vary through different exposure pathways. The pathways of Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, and Mo have the sequence that ingestion is first, dermal contact is second, and inhalation is third. V and Cd decreased in the following order: dermal contact > ingestion > inhalation. In addition, the non-carcinogenic risk of Co by ingestion is the highest, followed by inhalation and dermal contact. The non-carcinogenic risks of Cr by three pathways rank first among all metals. The excessive intake of Cr may lead to diarrhea, skin eczema, rhinitis, and bronchitis. Thus, Cr pollution in topsoil should be given serious attention. The HI through ingestion pathways is the highest, and inhalation is lowest. The HIt of each element is ranked as Cr > V > Pb > Ni > Cu > Cd > Co > Zn > Mo. We assessed the carcinogenic risks of Cd, Co, Cr, and Ni for their high carcinogenic effects on human beings. From Table 7, we can see that their risks decreased in the following order: Cr > Co > Ni > Cd. Among them, the high risk index of Cr is $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$, which is within the safety level.
### Table 7. Hazard quotient (HQ) and carcinogenic risk for each metal and pathway in the urban topsoil.

|       | V   | Cr  | Co  | Ni  | Cu  | Zn  | Cd  | Pb  | Mo  | HI  |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| HQ<sub>ing</sub> Max | 6.08 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 2.11 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 2.56 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.15 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 7.16 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.17 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.99 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.12 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.92 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.80 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
|       | Min | 3.22 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 7.26 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.63 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.23 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.12 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 7.75 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 7.29 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 2.93 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 4.89 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.38 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
|       | Mean| 4.62 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.08 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 2.18 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.38 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.15 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.80 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.88 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.21 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 9.92 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 2.12 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
| HQ<sub>inh</sub> Max | 6.71 x 10<sup>-7</sup> | 2.45 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 9.89 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 8.73 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 7.86 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 3.50 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 4.40 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 8.91 x 10<sup>-7</sup> | 2.14 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 3.45 x 10<sup>-4</sup> |
|       | Min | 3.56 x 10<sup>-7</sup> | 8.40 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 6.31 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 3.46 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 2.32 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 8.55 x 10<sup>-9</sup> | 8.04 x 10<sup>-9</sup> | 2.62 x 10<sup>-7</sup> | 5.45 x 10<sup>-9</sup> | 1.48 x 10<sup>-4</sup> |
|       | Mean| 5.10 x 10<sup>-7</sup> | 1.25 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.41 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 5.76 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 4.55 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 1.99 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 2.07 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 4.62 x 10<sup>-7</sup> | 1.11 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 2.10 x 10<sup>-4</sup> |
| HQ<sub>der</sub> Max | 6.93 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.20 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 3.65 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 3.44 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 2.72 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.81 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 4.55 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 6.17 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.76 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 2.01 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
|       | Min | 3.68 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 4.14 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 2.33 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 1.36 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 8.04 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 4.42 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 8.31 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.82 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 1.47 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 8.11 x 10<sup>-3</sup> |
|       | Mean| 5.27 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 6.14 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 3.10 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 2.27 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.58 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.03 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 2.14 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.20 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.98 x 10<sup>-6</sup> | 1.20 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
| HI<sub>t</sub> Max | 1.30 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 3.34 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 3.59 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.49 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 7.43 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.35 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.54 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.74 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.98 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.85 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
|       | Min | 6.9 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.15 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 2.29 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.37 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.20 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 8.19 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 1.56 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 2.57 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 5.04 x 10<sup>-5</sup> | 2.20 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
|       | Mean| 9.9 x 10<sup>-3</sup> | 1.70 x 10<sup>-2</sup> | 3.05 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 5.61 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.30 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.91 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.03 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 4.53 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 1.02 x 10<sup>-4</sup> | 3.34 x 10<sup>-2</sup> |
| risk  | Max | 2.5 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 4.7 x 10<sup>-10</sup> | 1.3 x 10<sup>-10</sup> | 1.29 x 10<sup>-10</sup> | 1.07 x 10<sup>-10</sup> | 2.4 x 10<sup>-11</sup> | 4.3 x 10<sup>-12</sup> | 1.1 x 10<sup>-11</sup> |
|       | Min | 8.7 x 10<sup>-9</sup> | 3 x 10<sup>-10</sup> | 5.1 x 10<sup>-11</sup> | 8.5 x 10<sup>-11</sup> | 2.4 x 10<sup>-11</sup> | 4.3 x 10<sup>-12</sup> | 1.1 x 10<sup>-11</sup> |
|       | Mean| 1.3 x 10<sup>-8</sup> | 4 x 10<sup>-10</sup> | 8.5 x 10<sup>-11</sup> | 1.1 x 10<sup>-11</sup> |

HQ<sub>ing</sub>: hazard quotient, ingestion; HQ<sub>inh</sub>: hazard quotient, inhalation; HQ<sub>der</sub>: hazard quotient, dermal contact; HI<sub>t</sub>: total hazard index.
4. Conclusions

This study carried out the spatial distribution of twelve metals (Ni, Li, V, Co, Ba, Sr, Cr, Mo, Cu, Cd, Zn, and Pb) using geostatistical methods. It showed that there is a significant spatial variation. The concentrations of Ni, Li, V and Co within the sixth ring road are close to background concentrations of Beijing. Except for few sampling spots, their concentrations are all distributed uniformly and had little spatial variation. Ba and Sr are main group elements and have similar characteristics and spatial distribution patterns. The concentration of Cr was high in the west and low in the east, while that of Mo was high in the north and low in the south. Among twelve elements, the concentrations of Cu, Cd, Zn, and Pb are high in most places of the urban district. Source analysis showed that the accumulation of Ni, Li, V, and Co are mainly influenced by parent material, while Cr, Mo, Cu, Cd, Zn and Pb are mainly affected by human activities, including coal heating, transportation, and industry. Ba and Sr are influenced by both natural and artificial impacts. Nine heavy metals were absorbed by human beings through ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact pathways. Each exposure pathway has different non-carcinogenic risk. The highest non-carcinogenic risk is the ingestion pathway, which is 1.8 times and 101 times the non-carcinogenic risk of dermal contact and inhalation, respectively. The carcinogenic risks of Cd, Co, Cr, and Ni lay within the safety standard.
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