Design and experiment on atomizer parameter of impinging low-speed centrifugal atomization sprayer
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Abstract: Atomizers were designed with different atomization parameters to obtain droplets that satisfy optimal particle size requirements for an impinging-type low-speed centrifugal atomizing sprayer. The main factors affecting droplet size are turntable speed, the number of teeth and the tooth shape of the toothed disc. Winner318 software was used to evaluate droplet sizes for different structures and the working parameters of the atomizer. The response surface method and Design-Expert were used to analyze the effect of each factor. The response surface analysis of the effect of structural and working parameters of the atomizer on the interaction between the volume medium diameter of the droplet and the spectral width of the droplet size was used to establish the atomizer droplet Granular spectrum prediction model. Optimal design fitting formulas are obtained, and the droplet sizes required for pesticides to control flying insect pests, to control the growth of reptile larvae, and the use of spraying fungicides to prevent crop damage were determined. This research provides a product not only similar to those in the market, but also the theoretical basis and references for innovation, development, and optimization of centrifugal atomization technology.
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1 Introduction

The “biological optimal particle size” theory states that the particle size range of droplets suitable for capturing different biological targets is different, and the possibility of a medical solution interacting with a biological target directly affects the effective utilization and control of pesticides. A large number of experimental studies showed that the optimal droplet size ranges between 50-150 μm when spraying fungicides, and it is 250 μm when spraying herbicides[1-3]. Therefore, accurately controlling the size of the droplets when employing plant protection machinery and obtaining a narrow spectrum of the droplets is effective to ensure efficient pest control and minimize pesticide pollution. Traditional chemical liquid atomization technologies such as hydraulic atomization and pneumatic atomization involve a wide spectrum of particle sizes and a large number of invalid droplets, thereby causing a large number of small droplets to drift, and large droplets to be lost, which decreases the effective utilization rate of the pesticide[1-2].

Therefore, an ideal control effect cannot be achieved, which can lead to serious environmental pollution.

Controllable droplet application (CDA) technology is a low-volume spraying technology adopted by developed countries[2-4]. Further, centrifugal atomization technology is currently recognized as an advanced technology that produces uniform fine droplets within a narrow particle spectrum range[5]. In the 1950s, some countries in Western Europe conducted experiments in Africa to employ centrifugal atomization technology to the aerial application of ultra-low-volume spraying[1,8]. The earliest designed centrifugal atomizing turntable has no teeth on the edge. In 1970, Bals, the founder of the “Controllable Fog Drop” theory, designed an edged-toothed turntable to form a semi-shuttle cone-shaped fog ejection point to reduce the force required to generate tension for producing smaller droplets[9-12]. Further, Bals invented a turntable with a groove on the inner surface in 1976. This helped create a uniformly flowing groove that allowed liquid drugs to be broken down into liquid filaments before reaching the edge of both grooved and pointed turntables. The toothed turntable produces droplets in a very narrow particle spectrum range, and therefore, it can be used for “controllable mist droplet” plant protection equipment[13-15].

In recent years, there has been a considerable increase in new plant protection equipment products that employ centrifugal atomization technology as the core technology, and these products have been widely used in aviation plant protection and ground micro spraying fields[16-19]. The key component of the Micronair AU3000, AU4000, AU5000, and other series products (Micron group, UK) in the field of aviation spray is an air-cylinder rotating nozzle driven by airflow to rotate at high speed. Under the action of centrifugal force, this nozzle can eject tiny droplets below 30 μm at high speed above 8000 r/min.
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The development of centrifugal spray technology in China started late; however, related research has been conducted since the 1970s. In 1974, the Dongfanghong-18 type power sprayer was developed for low-volume spraying, which is used in agricultural pest control and sanitary and epidemic prevention. It uses a high-speed airflow generated by a centrifugal fan to rotate the teethed turntable at 9000 r/min. Because it rotates at a high speed, the 180 tooth tips on the outer periphery of the front and rear teeth of the medicinal liquid are ejected at linear speeds of 135 m/s and atomized into 15-75 μm diameter droplets. This device can control droplet size based on the rotation speed of the turntable. In the same year, centrifugal atomization technology was also employed in aerial applications of ultra-low-volume spraying.

This study aimed to derive an optimal design fitting formula for an impinging-type low-speed centrifugal atomizer to determine the optimal combination of the structural parameters and working parameters of the atomizer satisfying the “biological optimal particle size” theory. The impinging-type low-speed centrifugal atomizing sprayer was designed, and orthogonal test analysis of the droplet spectrum of the atomizer under different structural parameters was conducted; the study result was expected to provide a theoretical basis and a reference for future research. The paper is divided into three parts, the first part introduces the design of basic and key components of impact-type low-speed centrifugal atomizing sprayer, the second part introduces the orthogonal test analysis of droplet spectrum under different structural parameters, and the third part introduces conclusions.

2 Design of basic and key components of impact-type low-speed centrifugal atomizing sprayer

2.1 Structure

An impact-type low-speed centrifugal atomizing sprayer is composed of a power system, speed-regulating device, liquid-supply system, wind-assist system, spray tank, machine frame, control system, and centrifugal atomizer. Considering the convenience of using and moving the machine, a four-wheeled walking cart structure of the locking device is employed for moving and operating the machine. An air cylinder is placed above the spray tank. The drive motor of the power system is installed in the air cylinder through the motor base. The speed motors, fan impellers of the air-assist systems, and the atomizing turntables for test centrifugal atomizers are installed on the two output shafts of the motor, respectively. In the spray test, a test centrifugal atomizer and the fan impeller are separately driven by two output shafts of a two-speed motor, and the speed of the output shaft driving the centrifugal atomizer is steplessly adjusted by a frequency converter to obtain the required rotation speed of the atomizing turntable of the centrifugal atomizer. The fan impeller operation is controlled by a switch. The switch is on the console, and the spray center is at a height of 1395 mm from the ground, and the total height of the implement is 1455 mm. Figure 1 shows the schematic of the centrifugal atomizing sprayer.

2.2 Design and selection of basic components

2.2.1 Air-assisted system

1) Axial-flow fan

One end of the two-speed motor is connected to the atomizing turntable of the atomizer, and the other is connected to the fan impeller. The fan has characteristics such as low power, high air pressure, and large air volume, and it can transport the spray droplets ejected by the atomizer in a tangential direction along the axial direction of the atomizer. The input power of the fan is 300 W, the speed of the impeller is 1500 r/min, and the wind speed 12 m away from the axial direction of the fan outlet is ≥2 m/s; therefore, it meets the requirements of the particle size spectrum test of the centrifugal atomizer at different distances.

![Figure 1 Schematic of centrifugal atomizing sprayer](image)

2) Power system

The power system provides the power source for the centrifugal atomizer and fan impeller. To simplify the structure and minimize the sizes of the power system, air-assist system, and the overall size of the test bench, the power system uses a common base dual-rotor two-speed motor. The speed of the two output shafts of the motor and their operation (start and stop) can be controlled separately. The total input power of the motor is 600 W and the rated voltage is 220 V. The fan impeller end is a four-pole motor with a rated power of 300 W and a rated speed of 1500 r/min. At the end of the centrifugal atomizer is a two-pole motor with a rated power of 300 W and a rated speed of 3000 r/min. The fan impeller and the centrifugal atomizer are driven by a dual-rotor and two-speed motor with a common base, which not only makes the machine compact, but also minimizes the size of the power, fan, and other components to achieve a small, light, and simplified tank.

2.2.2 Variable fluid supply system

The variable liquid supply system comprises a low-pressure small-flow submersible liquid pump, an electronically controlled pinch flow valve, and a liquid supply line. The rated power of liquid pump is 220-240/55 V/Hz, the rated power is 28 W, maximum range is 2 m, and maximum discharge is 1400 L/h. Because the spray flow of the atomizer used in this study is 0.3-0.5 L/min, it is necessary to control the flow rate of the liquid pump. However, it is difficult to achieve this under the condition of small flow rate with frequency conversion speed regulation of the liquid pump and cross sectional control of the flow channel using a solenoid valve. For fast and precise adjustments, the electronic control pinch flow valves shown in Figures 2 and 3 are used in this study to achieve a fast and precise adjustment of the liquid pump flow rate under small flow conditions. The flow adjustment range is between 0.2-1.5 L/min, and the requirement of matching the liquid supply flow needed in this study with the spraying flow of the test atomizer was satisfied. Further, the liquid supply flow rate of the complete atomization of the centrifugal atomizer was 0.26 L/min, and thus, this flow controller meets the requirements.
2.2.3 Spray tank and pipeline system

The volume of the spray tank is 60 L. According to the installation requirements of the centrifugal atomization test bench, a hole needs to be created at an appropriate position of the tank and the water outlet, and the return water pipe and circuit protection connectors should be installed as shown in Figure 4.

The pipeline system is composed of a liquid inlet, liquid outlet, backflow, and other pipelines. The diameter of the inlet pipe and outlet pipe is $\phi 6$ mm, which is directly connected to the small submersible liquid pump. The spray liquid in the spray tank is sucked into the liquid pump through the inlet pipe, and then discharged by the liquid pump through the outlet pipe. The outlet pipe used for testing the centrifugal atomizer provides the spray liquid and plays the role of diversion. The diameter of the return pipe is $\phi 16$ mm, which is directly connected to the liquid spray tank, and it quickly circulates the excess spray liquid to the liquid spray tank. Corrosion-resistant PVC hoses are used for each pipeline, and they are arranged according to the structure of the entire machine. The layout is shown in Figure 5.

2.3 Design and selection of key components

2.3.1 Centrifugal Atomizer

To study the effects of different atomizing tooth shapes, tooth numbers, and distributions on the particle size spectrum of the droplets, two designed and trial-manufactured atomizing toothed discs with different tooth shapes were used in the study. The two types of the discs are toothed discs. The centrifugal atomizing turntable adopts a three-folded structure with a diameter of $\phi 260$ mm and a steplessly adjustable speed of 1500-3000 r/min. There are several atomizing teeth on it.

In the spray experiment, the liquid supply system of the centrifugal atomizer sends the chemical liquid to the center of the surface of the atomizing turntable. A liquid film is formed on the edge, and it is broken into liquid filaments after approaching or reaching the edge; then, the filaments are ejected out in a point shape. On impact with air, these filaments transform into mist droplets. The mist droplets collide with the atomizing teeth on the atomizing toothed disc and break, and they form finer mist drops.

The large diameter and three-folded structure of the centrifugal atomizing turntable allow the centrifugal atomizer to ensure a large inertial force and spray surface \(^{[8-13]}\), even at low speed ($<$3000 r/min). It can also form a uniform liquid film, which is conducive to the subsequent cracking and atomization of the medicinal solution; the multi-tooth structure of the fixed atomizing disk causes the centrifugal atomized medicinal liquid droplets to interact further, and then break into more uniform and finer droplets. Thus, the atomization quality of the centrifugal atomizer is effectively improved.

1. Fixed teeth 2. Housing 3. Inlet pipe 4. Double-speed motor 5. Fan impeller 6. Motor base 7. Chemical liquid return device 8. Fasten the screw nut 9. Centrifugal atomizing turntable

Figure 6 Centrifugal atomizer structure diagram

2.3.2 Atomizing toothed disc

The centrifugal atomizer atomizing tooth disc is an improvement over the original experimental research of the atomizing tooth disc. Structural parameters such as the
cross-sectional shape, number of teeth, and distribution of the atomizing tooth are optimized to achieve fog droplets with precise and controllable droplet sizes, narrow droplet spectra, and high atomization qualities.

The design parameters of the designed atomizing toothed disc are as follows: the diameter of the atomized toothed disc is 360 mm; 1) the tooth shape is wedge-shaped, the number of teeth is 120; 2) the semi-cylindrical tooth, the number of teeth is 90; 3) the tooth shape is wedge-shaped, the number of teeth is 60; 4) the tooth shape is wedge-shaped with 45 teeth; 5) the tooth shape is wedge-shaped with 30 teeth; 6) the tooth shape is wedge-shaped with 15 teeth. These atomizing teeth with different structural parameters are shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7 Three-dimensional diagram of centrifugal atomizer tooth plate with different structures](image)

3 Orthogonal test analysis of droplet spectrum under different structural parameters

3.1 Test aim

The droplet particle spectrum analysis test is conducted to determine the droplet particle spectrum under different structural parameters such as the speed of the atomizer and the shape, number, and distribution of the atomizing teeth, and to identify the impact of different factors on the particle spectrum of the impact centrifugal atomizer (size of droplets, and particle spectrum width of fog droplets), establish a low-speed centrifugal atomizer parameter optimization design fitting formula, and obtain the structural and operating parameters of the atomizer based on optimum combination to satisfy the "bio-optimal particle size" requirements.

3.2 Test materials

The atomization toothed discs of several atomizers of the abovementioned design are manufactured, as shown in Figure 8.

3.3 Test methods and steps

The particle size analysis test for the impact-type low-speed centrifugal atomizer is used to measure the sprayed droplets under the condition of indoor still wind using a Winner 318 laser particle spectrometer (Jinan Winner Particle Instrument Co., Ltd). Before the experiment, a test centrifugal atomizer was installed on the centrifugal atomizer sprayer, and the relative position of the sprayer and the laser particle spectrometer was adjusted according to the test plan. The power line of the sprayer was connected and the optical path of the laser particle size analyzer was adjusted. During the experiment, the centrifugal atomizer was adjusted to run at a set speed from low to high through the inverter speed regulator of the sprayer. After the operation was stable, the infusion switch was turned on and the spray was adjusted to a certain working pressure of 0.3 MPa.

When the spray state was stable, the laser spectrometer laser receiver was turned on to perform dynamic droplet sampling. Meanwhile, the computer system recorded and displayed the droplet test data and distribution curve. The sampling data was recorded in a table and saved. After sampling was finished, the test bench stopped working, and the power of the infusion switch and the test bench was cut off to ensure the safety of the droplet collection process. The centrifugal atomizer with another structural parameter was then used, and the above experimental steps were repeated. The particle size spectrum test is shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 8 Atomizing toothed disc of centrifugal atomizer with different structural parameters](image)

3.4 Analysis of droplet size by orthogonal test

Quadratic regression analysis is a scientific method for studying and processing multi-factor experiments. Through orthogonal experiment design, representative test conditions can be selected to ensure the lowest number of experiments. The corresponding test results are obtained through these few experiments, and a mathematical analysis is performed. Quadratic regression analysis was performed to obtain the optimal solution.

The volume medium diameter of the droplet and the spectral width of the droplet size are two very important indicators in droplet size analysis. In this study, the volume medium diameter of the droplet D_{50} and the spectral width of the droplet size (D_{80}-D_{20})/D_{50} are used. The assessment index is defined as the volume medium diameter of the droplet Y_1 and the width of the droplet spectrum Y_2. Factors with a significant effect on the droplet size are the rotating speed and the number of teeth of the atomizing disc^{15,16}. Further, the rotation speed of the turntable and the number of teeth of the atomizing tooth plate were selected as independent variables, without considering the influence of the tooth shape and the distribution of the teeth on the particle size spectrum of the droplet.

In the test conditions, the center point test was repeated three times. According to the Design-Expert 8.0.6 design principle^{122},

![Figure 9 Droplet spectrum test](image)
parameters $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ were used as the evaluation indicators. The speed $X_1$, the number of atomizing teeth $X_2$, and the response factor were also determined. The test factors and level codes are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1  Response surface test factors and levels

| Level | $\omega/r\cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ | Teeth number |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| −1.41 | 1200                          | 0            |
| −1    | 1838.3                        | 43           |
| 0     | 2100                          | 60           |
| 1     | 2738.3                        | 103          |
| 1.41  | 3000                          | 120          |

3.5 Test results, analysis, and optimization

3.5.1 Orthogonal test results

The volume medium diameter of the droplets[^9] is $D_{90}$. The volume of the droplets accumulates in the ascending order during spraying. When accumulated to 50% of the total droplet volume, the corresponding droplet diameter is $D_{90}$, and $(D_{90} - D_{90b})/D_{90}$ represents a measure of the width of the droplet particle size distribution. The smaller the $(D_{90} - D_{90b})/D_{90}$, the narrower is the droplet particle spectrum, and the more uniform is the particle size distribution. The test was completed according to the abovementioned second regression response surface test design, and test data $(D_{90} - (D_{90} - D_{90b})/D_{90})$ under 13 test conditions were input into the Design-Expert 8.0.6 software (Table 2).

3.5.2 Establishment of regression model and significance test

According to the data in Table 2, the secondary response surface analysis was performed using the central composite in Design-Expert 8.0.6 to establish $Y_1$ and $Y_2$. The regression model of the quadratic polynomial response surface of $X_2$ is given as

$$Y_1 = 80.10 - 42.66X_1 - 54.23X_1 + 15.28X_1 + 17.3X_1^2 + 28.87X_2^2$$

(1)

$$Y_2 = 1.52 - 0.31X_1 - 0.015X_1 - 0.05X_1X_2 + 0.12X_1 - 0.32X_2^2$$

(2)

where, $Y_1$ is the volume medium diameter of the droplets, $\mu m$; $Y_1$ is the droplet spectrum width, $\mu m$; $X_1$ is the rotating speed of the atomizing turntable, and $X_2$ is the number of teeth of the atomizing toothed disc. The regression equation is analyzed by variance. According to the analysis data in Table 3, the $p$ values of the regression equation models are less than 0.01, which indicates that the response surface model is extremely significant, and the test value of the model for the misfit term $p$ is greater than 0.05 ($p_{Y1}=0.2613, p_{Y2}=0.1775$), which is not significant.

### Table 2  Quadratic regression experimental design and response value

| Test number | Speed $\omega$ | Teeth number | Droplet diameter $D_{90} / \mu m$ | Span $(D_{90} - D_{90b}) / D_{90} / \mu m$ |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1           | −1            | −1           | 49.1                             | 0.91                                     |
| 2           | 0             | 0            | 243                              | 1.62                                     |
| 3           | 0             | 0            | 80.6                             | 1.58                                     |
| 4           | 0             | 0            | 80.4                             | 1.56                                     |
| 5           | −1.41         | 0            | 155.7                            | 2.21                                     |
| 6           | −1            | 1            | 105.1                            | 1.63                                     |
| 7           | 0             | 1.41         | 58.4                             | 0.89                                     |
| 8           | 1.41          | 0            | 60.1                             | 1.42                                     |
| 9           | 1             | −1           | 120.9                            | 1.10                                     |
| 10          | 0             | 0            | 79.6                             | 1.57                                     |
| 11          | 0             | −1.41        | 213.6                            | 0.92                                     |
| 12          | 0             | 0            | 78.3                             | 1.55                                     |
| 13          | 0             | 0            | 81.2                             | 1.56                                     |

### Table 3  Regression equation ANOVA

| Source | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | F value | $p$ value | Sum of squares | Degree of freedom | F value | $p$ value |
|--------|----------------|------------------|---------|----------|----------------|------------------|---------|----------|
| Model  | 343.68         | 5                | 11.69   | <0.0001**| 1.72          | 5                | 3.66    | <0.0001**|
| $X_1$  | 73.14          | 1                | 12.44   | <0.0001**| 0.78          | 1                | 8.28    | 0.024*   |
| $X_2$  | 196.52         | 1                | 33.42   | <0.0001**| 0.00          | 1                | 0.02    | <0.0001**|
| $X_1X_2$ | 9.42         | 1                | 1.60    | <0.0001* | 0.01          | 1                | 0.11    | <0.0001**|
| $X_2^2$ | 2.16          | 1                | 0.37    | 0.046*   | 0.11          | 1                | 1.15    | 0.032*   |
| $X_1^2$ | 64.40         | 1                | 10.95   | 0.013*   | 0.73          | 1                | 7.81    | 0.027*   |
| Residual | 41.16        | 7                | 3.61    | 0.2613   | 0.66          | 7                |         |          |
| Lack of fit | 41.04      | 3                |         |          | 0.66          | 3                | 2.75    | 0.1775   |
| Pure error | 0.15        | 4                |         |          | 0.00          | 4                |         |          |
| Cor total | 384.84      | 12               |         |          | 2.38          | 12               |         |          |

Note: ** $p < 0.01$ (highly significant); * $p < 0.05$ (significant); $X_1$-Atomizing turning speed; $X_2$-Atomizing gear tooth number

The test value of the mismatch term $P$ indicates that the model is in good agreement with the data. The determination coefficients $R^2$ of the evaluation indexes $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ are 0.893 and 0.886, respectively, indicating that the regression model fits well. Based on these results, the model can well describe these two response variables, and the prediction of the response surface model is reasonable, which can be used to optimize the structural and working parameters of the centrifugal atomizer.

3.5.3 Analysis of the interaction between the structural and working parameters of the atomizer

According to the analysis results of the regression equation, the response surface was used to investigate the influence of each parameter on the evaluation index. This study examined the effect of the interaction between the atomizer structure parameters and the working parameters on $D_{90}$ and particle width $(D_{90} - D_{90b})/D_{90}$ using two response values to obtain the optimal combination of the structural parameters and working parameters of the atomizer.

Figure 10 indicates that, the larger the number of teeth and the rotation speed of the atomizing toothed disc, the smaller is the volume medium diameter of the droplet. The analysis shows that when the number of teeth of the atomizing sprocket is large, spray liquid is ejected by the turntable and the atomizing teeth are more fully impacted, and the spray liquid is more fully atomized; therefore, the mist droplets are finer and the volume of the droplets is smaller. The value of the diameter is smaller, and under the same structural parameters, the greater the rotation speed of the atomizing turntable, the greater is the centrifugal force of the atomizing turntable, and therefore, the droplets thrown out by the
atomizing turntable have a larger initial kinetic energy and impact the atomization. The force of the tooth is higher, and therefore, the secondary atomization is more complete. Thus, under the same structural parameters, the higher the rotation speed, the finer the droplets that are generated, and the smaller is the volume medium diameter of the droplet. Further, Figure 10 shows that when the number of atomizing teeth is 0, the volume medium diameter of the droplet is larger, and the slope of the corresponding secondary response surface is steeper than before. Therefore, the volume medium diameter of the droplet generated by the low-speed centrifugal atomizer without atomizing teeth is more significantly affected by the rotation speed of the atomizing turntable.

The response surface curve of interaction factor to droplet particle spectrum width value is shown in Figure 11. The larger the atomizer rotating speed of the atomizer with the same number of teeth, the narrower is the droplet particle spectrum width, i.e., the droplet particle size distribution is more uniform. Under the condition of the same rotating speed of the atomizing turntable, for a higher number of teeth of the atomizing toothed disc, the droplet particle spectrum first widens and then narrows, and the secondary response surface is saddle-shaped, without the median diameter and droplet particle volume extreme values of spectral width.

![Figure 10](image1.png)

**Figure 10** Interaction effects on volume medium diameter of droplet

![Figure 11](image2.png)

**Figure 11** Interaction effects on the droplet spectrum span

### 3.5.4 Optimization of atomizer structure parameters and working parameters

According to the biological optimal particle size theory, an optimal particle size relationship exists between the pesticide efficacy and droplet size\(^{(9)}\). Based on relevant research\(^{(1)}\), the droplet size for controlling small insects in flight is found to be within 30-50 \(\mu m\), \(D_{50}\)=40 \(\mu m\); the droplet size for reptile larvae and spray fungicides is between 30-150 \(\mu m\), \(D_{50}\)=90 \(\mu m\); and when the size of the spray droplets is between 100-300 \(\mu m\), the intermediate value of \(D_{50}=200\) \(\mu m\) is considered. According to the requirements of this optimal particle size theory on the droplet size combined with the atomization model proposed in this study, a droplet size of \(Y_1=40\) \(\mu m\) was used for the control of small flying pests; \(Y_1=90\) \(\mu m\) was used for the control of reptile larvae and spray fungicide, and \(Y_1=200\) \(\mu m\) was considered for the control of crops and grasses. In MATLAB, for \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) in the interval \([-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}]\), the minimum value of \(Y_2\) was determined.

When \(Y_1=40\) \(\mu m\), \(X_1=0.715\) and \(X_2=0.802\), i.e., the rotation speed \(\omega=2588.5\) r/min, and the number of teeth \(n=94.5\), the minimum value of \(Y_2=1.113\) \(\mu m\). When \(n=95\), and \(\omega=2600\) r/min.

When \(Y_1=90\) \(\mu m\), \(X_1=-0.726\), and \(X_2=0.581\), i.e., \(\omega=1910.0\) r/min, and \(n=84.9\), the minimum value of \(Y_2=1.792\). When the atomized tooth plate is rounded, \(n=85\), and \(\omega=2000\) r/min.

When \(Y_1=200\) \(\mu m\), \(X_1=0.012\), \(X_2=-1.396\), i.e., \(\omega=2107.6\) r/min and \(n=41.5\), the minimum value of \(Y_2=0.914\). When \(n=42\), \(\omega=2100\) r/min.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, an impact-type centrifugal atomizer sprayer and its key and basic components were designed. Further, the secondary response surface regression analysis of the different structural and working parameters of the atomizer was performed to establish the volume diameter of the droplet. The main conclusions can be drawn as follows:

1) With variance and response surface analyses, the significance of the factors affecting the droplet size was obtained in a descending order: rotating speed of the atomizing turntable, the number of teeth of the atomizing gear, the tooth shape of the atomizing teeth.

2) Design-Expert8.5 was used to perform quadratic response surface regression analysis on each influencing factor. The \(p\) values of the regression equation models indicated that the response surface model is extremely significant, and the model’s misfit test value and the insignificant test value \(p\) of the misfit term
indicated that the model is in good agreement with the data. Further, the evaluation coefficients of the droplet median diameter \( Y_1 \), and droplet width \( Y_2 \) are 0.893 and 0.886, respectively, which indicate the regression model has a good fit. Based on this, the model can describe these two response variables very well, and the prediction of the response surface model is reasonable, which can be used to optimize the structural and working parameters of the centrifugal atomizer.

3) Through the response surface analysis of the interaction effect of the structural parameters and working parameters of the atomizer on the volume medium diameter of the droplet and the spectrum width of the droplet droplets, the model for predicting the droplet spectrum of the atomizer was established, and the optimal design proposal was obtained.
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