Bonding of Resin Cement to Zirconia with High Pressure Primer Coating
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Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the effect of air-drying pressure during ceramic primer coating on zirconia/resin bonding and the surface characteristics of the primed zirconia.

Methods: Two ceramic primers (Clearfil Ceramic Primer, CCP, Kuraray Medical Inc. and Z-Prime Plus, ZPP, Bisco Inc.) were applied on the surface of air-abraded zirconia (Katana zirconia, Noritake) and dried at 4 different air pressures (0.1–0.4 MPa). The primed zirconia ceramic specimens were bonded with a resin-based luting agent (SA Luting Cement, Kuraray). Micro-shear bond strengths of the bonded specimens were tested after 3 days of water storage or 5,000 cycles of thermocycling (n = 12). Failure modes of the fractured specimens were examined with scanning electron microscopy. The effects of air pressure on the thickness of the primer layers and the surface roughness (Sa) of primed zirconia were evaluated using spectroscopic ellipsometry (n = 6), optical profilometry and environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) (n = 6), respectively.

Results: Clearfil Ceramic Primer air-dried at 0.3 and 0.4 MPa, yielding significantly higher μSBS than gentle air-drying subgroups (p < 0.05). Compared to vigorous drying conditions, Z-Prime Plus air-dried at 0.2 MPa exhibited significantly higher μSBS (p < 0.05). Increasing air-drying pressure reduced the film thickness for both primers. Profilometry measurements and ESEM showed rougher surfaces in the high pressure subgroups of CCP and intermediate pressure subgroup of ZPP.

Conclusion: Air-drying pressure influences resin/zirconia bond strength and durability significantly. Higher air-drying pressure (0.3-0.4 MPa) for CCP and intermediate pressure (0.2 MPa) for ZPP are recommended to produce strong, durable bonds between resin cement and zirconia ceramics.

Introduction

Interest for using advanced ceramic materials for dental applications including orthodontic brackets, posts, implant abutments, and restoration frameworks has increased substantially in recent years. Among the different types of ceramic materials, zirconia has attracted the most attention because of its superior mechanical properties and esthetics [1–5]. Chemical inertness is one of the advantages of zirconia, which enables it to survive the harsh chemical change in oral environments. However, it is also the chemical inertness which poses a big challenge for the bonding of zirconia. Unlike silica-based ceramics, the surface stability of zirconia makes it difficult to be chemically bonded to resin cements [4].

Due to the lack of amorphous silica in zirconia, traditional bond strength-increasing methods, such as the application of hydrofluoric acid to selectively dissolve the silica phase, and the use of silane coupling agent to create chemical bonding between the silica phase and resin cements, are not suitable for the bonding of zirconia [6]. Thus, new methods focused on surface modification and activation of the stable chemical surface of zirconia have been investigated to create chemical reaction at the cement/zirconia interface. These methods include chemical vapor deposition, selective infiltration etching, laser irradiation, fluorination and...
“glaze on” technique [6–10]. One promising method is the use of tribochemical silica-coating system to introduce silica phase onto the zirconia surface [4,7]. Although increases in immediate bond strength between zirconia and composite resin was achieved with this method [11–13], this surface pre-treatment method showed significant loss in long-term bond strength when compared with the combined application of airborne-particle abrasion and resin luting agents containing phosphate ester monomers (10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate, 10-MDP) on the zirconia surface [1–3,7,14–18]. For the latter method, it is thought that the hydroxyl groups present on the zirconia surface could react with the phosphate group of 10-MDP. This results in the formation of a stable Z-O-P chemical bond between zirconia ceramics and 10-MDP [19]; the zirconia surface become an organic-inorganic hybrid composite [20] which can react with the polymerizable groups of methacrylate resin composites, producing higher bond strength and better durability. In addition, it was shown that particle air-abrasion or tribochemical coating, followed by the application of 10-MDP-containing bonding agents, resulted in increased bond strength compared to 10-MDP-containing cements only. The large reaction surface, high concentration of functional terminal groups, and intimate contact between the two reactants all contribute to the formation of Zr-O-P bonds and the improved zirconia-resin bonding [20].

Recently, 10-MDP was introduced into ceramic primers and used together with carboxylic acids, silanes or other adhesive resin monomers to promote chemical bonding to zirconia [21]. It is believed that inclusion of all the reagents in a single bottle plays distinctive roles in zirconia-resin bonding, with 10-MDP used for strong chemical bond formation, silane for surface energy modification and wetting [22] and HEMA as a co-solvent [23]. To avoid phase separation between hydrophilic and hydrophobic methacrylate resin components, manufacturers also add volatile solvents such as ethanol when formulating zirconia primers. Although improved bond strength was reported with one-bottle zirconia primers [24], excess residual solvent on the primer-treated zirconia surface inhibits polymerization of resin monomers and interferes with the durability of resin-zirconia bonding [25]. Since decreasing the solvent content in a zirconia primer may cause phase separation and compromise its wetting properties, modification of the primer-coating protocol appears to be feasible in alleviating this problem. Although gentle air-drying was recommended by manufacturers to evaporate solvent during primer-coating, recently studies showed that solvent removal was better achieved via more aggressive treatments such as warm air-drying or hot water-rinse. These strategies resulted in thinner and more uniform primer films which are critical for resin-zirconia bond durability [26–30]. To date, there is no consensus on the most appropriate air-drying pressure that evaporates zirconia primer solvents without adversely affecting bond stability. Thus, the present study investigated the effects of air-drying pressures in zirconia primer-coating protocols on the physicochemical properties of two commercial ceramic primers and the bond strength of the cement/zirconia interface. The null hypothesis tested was that air-drying pressure has no effect on the strength of zirconia-resin bonds and the surface characteristics of the primed zirconia.

Materials and Methods

Specimen preparation

Zirconia surface treatment. Zirconia cylinders (Katana zirconia, Noritake Dental Supply Co. Ltd., Miyoshi, Japan) were cut into disk-like specimens (5 mm diameter and 2 mm thick) and embedded in epoxy resin blocks (Epon 812; Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland). The surface of the zirconia specimens were polished with silicon carbide papers (from 180-grit to 2000-grit) under water cooling, air-abraded with 110 μm Al2O3 particles (Saint-Gobain Ceramic Materials Corp., Osaka, Japan) under a pressure of 0.3 MPa (Comiblibor, CL-FSG94, Heraeus Kulzer, GmbH & Co. KG, Hanau, Germany) for 15 s with the tip inclined approximately 45° and at a distance of 10 mm from the zirconia surface, and ultrasonically cleaned in 99% ethanol for 10 min [31,32].

Primer coating. One hundred and ninety-two specimens were randomly divided into two groups according to the primer used: a silane/10-MDP-combined primer (Clearfil Ceramic Primer; CCP, Kuraray Medical Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and a primer consisting of 10-MDP and carboxylic acid monomers (Z-Prime Plus; ZPP, Bisco Inc., Schaumburg, IL). Each group was divided into four subgroups according to the air-dried pressures used (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 or 0.4 MPa). One drop of the ceramic primer was applied on the surface of the specimen, left to react for 1 min and air-dried for 10 s with the nozzle swept and inclined approximately 45° and at a distance of 10 mm from the surface. A pressure gauge was connected to the triple syringe to control the pressure of the output oil-free air.

Bonding procedures. To control the bonding area for micro-shear bond test, tygon tubes (R-3603, Norton Performance Plastic, Cleveland, OH, USA) with an internal diameter of 0.8 mm and 2 mm in height were placed on the primed zirconia surfaces. The lumens were then carefully filled with a 10-MDP containing resin cement (Clearfil SA Luting, Kuraray Medical Inc.). The resin cement was light-cured for 40 s using a light-curing unit (Translux CL, Heraeus Kulzer, Dormagen, Germany) with an output intensity of 800 mW/cm2. All specimens were stored in distilled water for 3 days at 37°C. Half of the specimens in each group were used for immediate micro-shear bond strength test and the other half of the specimens were subjected to thermocycling aging treatment before bond testing (n = 12). Thermocycling was performed for 5,000 cycles between 5.0±0.5°C and 55±0.5°C, with 20 s dwell time in each water bath, and 2 s in transfer time [31]. The main materials utilized and their characteristics are listed in Table S1 and the groups and primer coating protocols used in the present study are presented in Table S2.

Micro-shear bond strength test (μSBS)

A universal testing machine (Model AGS-10KNG, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a customized fixture for stabilizing the specimen and for gripping the resin cement cylinder was used for micro-shear bond strength test (Figure S1). Loading was applied with a cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min until failure occurred. The maximum force (N) to produce fracture was recorded and the mean stress values on failure (in MPa) were calculated for all subgroups (Table 1).

The fracture surface of representative specimens was sputter-coated with gold–palladium (E-1030, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S4800, Hitachi, Japan). Failure modes were recorded as: adhesive failure (failure occurring at the zirconia-cement interface) and cohesive failure (failure within cement). Failure areas of each mode were calculated as described previously [16] and expressed as a percentage of the total bonding surface area for each subgroup.

Primer film characterization

Thickness measurement. The effect of air-drying pressure on the thickness of the coated primer film was determined using a spectroscopic ellipsometer (PZ2000, Jobin Yvon S.A.S, France)
equipped with a He-Ne laser source ($\lambda = 632.8$ nm) at 70° incidence angle [26]. Before testing, the primer was applied onto smooth ($R_s<10$ nm) and highly reflecting electropolished silicon wafer ($10$ mm $\times 10$ mm), and then air-dried with different pressures (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 or 0.4 MPa; $n = 6$) for $10$ s. Specimens which were only applied with primer but without air-drying procedures were used as the control.

**Surface roughness measurement.** The effect of air-drying pressure on the average surface roughness ($S_a$) of the primer-coated zirconia was examined with an optical profilometer (Talysurf CCI 6000, Taylor Hobson, Paoli, PA). Briefly, the primer was applied on the air-abraded zirconia, left to react for $1$ min and air-dried for $10$ s with different pressures (0.1, 0.2, 0.3 or 0.4 MPa; $n = 6$). Air-abraded zirconia specimens without primer application and air-drying were used as the control. Three-dimensional images in $5$ different regions ($350$ $\mu$m $\times 350$ $\mu$m) of each zirconia surface were obtained with the optical profilometer and the surface roughness was analysed with the TalyMap Gold software (Taylor Hobson).

**Environmental scanning electron microscopy.** The specimens in section 2.3.2 were further analysed with a scanning electron microscope (ESEM, Quanta 600 FEG, FEI, The Netherlands) at $10$ kV in low vacuum mode (4.6 Torr) to characterize the topographical changes of zirconia surfaces.

**Statistical analysis**

Data from the $\mu$SBS test were analysed with three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to examine the effects of primer type, air-drying pressure, thermocycling, and the interaction of these three factors on bond strength. The two-way ANOVA was employed for analysing the data (effects of primer type and air-drying pressure) derived from the primer film thickness measurement and surface roughness measurement. For these analyses, post-hoc pairwise comparisons were performed using the Tukey test. The Pearson correlation test was performed to evaluate the significance of the correlation among the primer film thickness, surface roughness and air-drying pressure. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 13.0 software package (SPSS 13.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). All for analyses, statistical significance was preset at $\alpha = 0.05$.

**Results**

**Micro-shear bond strength**

The results of $\mu$SBS tests are shown in Table 1. The main effects of the primer type, air-drying pressure and thermocycling, and the interaction of any two of these three factors on the $\mu$SBS values were all significant ($p<0.01$). The interaction of all the three factors on $\mu$SBS values was not significant ($p>0.05$). Tukey’s multiple comparisons indicated that at $0.1$ MPa (gentle) pressure, there were no statistically significant differences in the immediate $\mu$SBS between Clearfil Ceramic Primer (CCP) group and Z-Prime Plus (ZPP) group ($p>0.05$). As the air-drying pressure increased to $0.3$ and $0.4$ MPa (high), the immediate $\mu$SBS of the CCP subgroups were significantly improved compared to those at $0.1$ MPa (gentle) and $0.2$ MPa (intermediate) pressure ($p<0.05$). For the ZPP, the immediate $\mu$SBS achieved the highest value at $0.2$ MPa air pressure ($p<0.05$) and then declined when the air-drying pressure was further increased to $0.3$ and $0.4$ MPa ($p<0.05$).

After thermocycling, for the CCP group, decrease in $\mu$SBS after thermocycling only occurred in the $0.1$ MPa and $0.2$ MPa subgroups ($p<0.05$), while for the $0.3$ MPa and $0.4$ MPa subgroups, there were no significant differences between the $\mu$SBS before and after thermocycling ($p>0.05$). The highest $\mu$SBS in the CCP group after thermocycling was achieved in the $0.3$ MPa subgroups ($32.4$ MPa) ($p<0.05$). For the ZPP group, the $\mu$SBS of different air-pressure subgroups were all significantly decreased after thermocycling ($p<0.05$), with the $0.4$ MPa subgroup being the lowest ($8.7 \pm 3.9$ MPa) and the $0.2$ MPa subgroup being the highest ($21.6 \pm 2.7$ MPa) ($p<0.05$).

**Failure mode analysis**

Scanning electron microscopy images of representative failure modes are shown in Figure 1 and mean percentages of areas assigned to the failure modes observed in the subgroups are shown in Figure 2a. For the immediate testing groups (i.e. after 3-day water storage), only the ZPP-$0.2$ MPa, CCP-$0.3$ MPa and CCP-$0.4$ MPa subgroups showed high percentage of cohesive failure on the zirconia surface. After $5,000$ thermocycles, the percentage of adhesive failure increased in all subgroups. Only the ZPP-$0.2$ MPa subgroups indicated high percentages of cohesive failure, while the CCP-$0.1$ MPa, CCP-$0.2$ MPa and ZPP-$0.4$ MPa subgroups showed completely adhesive failure. Figures 1a and 1b represent low and high magnification images of a mixed failure mode in the

### Table 1. Means and standard deviation of $\mu$SBS for various primers under different air-drying pressures and storage conditions ($n = 12$).

| Primer             | Air-drying pressure (MPa) | After 3 days of water storage | After 5,000 $\times$ thermocycling |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Clearfil Ceramic Primer | 0.1                      | 18.7 (6.3)$^{a,b}$             | 12.1 (5.1)$^{a,b}$                |
|                    | 0.2                      | 19.7 (3.9)$^{a}$              | 11.4 (1.9)$^{a,b}$               |
|                    | 0.3                      | 35.7 (7.0)$^{a}$              | 32.4 (6.5)$^{a,b}$               |
|                    | 0.4                      | 28.4 (4.4)$^{a}$              | 23.5 (5.8)$^{a,b}$               |
| Z-Prime Plus       | 0.1                      | 20.5 (8.6)$^{a}$              | 15.8 (2.9)$^{a,b}$               |
|                    | 0.2                      | 29.6 (5.2)$^{a,b}$            | 21.6 (2.7)$^{b}$                 |
|                    | 0.3                      | 20.3 (3.1)$^{a}$              | 14.9 (4.0)$^{a,b}$               |
|                    | 0.4                      | 13.7 (5.9)$^{a,b}$            | 8.7 (3.9)$^{b}$                  |

Note: Within the same column, groups with the same upper case superscript letters are not statistically different ($p>0.05$). Within the same row, groups with the same lower case subscript letters are not statistically different ($p>0.05$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101174.t001
CCP-0.3 MPa subgroup after thermocycling, with a large percentage of cohesive failure at the bonding interface and small area of primer remnant on the zirconia surface; Figures 1c and 1d represent low and high magnification images of a mixed failure mode in the ZPP-0.1 MPa subgroup without thermocycling, with a small percentage of cohesive failure mode. Figures 1e and 1f represent low and high magnification images of complete adhesive failure in CCP-0.1 MPa subgroup after thermocycling, with primer residues on the zirconia surface.

**Primer film thickness**

The results of primer film thickness (Figure 2b) revealed that for both primers, increase in air-drying pressure gave rise to a significant thickness reduction (p < 0.05). Subgroups treated with ZPP showed significantly thinner primer films than those treated with CCP at different air-drying pressures (p < 0.05). For CCP, primer film thickness decreased from 1103 nm in the 0.1 MPa subgroup to 178 nm in the 0.4 MPa subgroup. For ZPP, primer film thickness decreased from 584 nm in the 0.1 MPa subgroup to 69 nm in the 0.4 MPa subgroup. A significant linear correlation was found between air-drying pressure and primer film thickness for CCP (r = -0.967, p = 0.047), while a significant power correlation was identified between air-drying pressure and primer film thickness for ZPP (r = -0.911, p = 0.031) (Figure 2c).

**Surface roughness measurement**

Figures 3a–3i are representative 3D roughness profiles after primer coating in various subgroups. The mean roughness (Sa) values of the experimental subgroups and sandblasted zirconia without primer application (NP) are shown in Figure 3j. The mean roughness values of the CCP subgroups were significantly lower than those of the ZPP subgroups. For the CCP subgroups, surface roughness of the sandblasted zirconia was significantly decreased after primer coating, with the CCP-0.1 MPa subgroup exhibiting the lowest surface roughness (p < 0.05). For the ZPP subgroups, surface roughness of the sandblasted zirconia was significantly decreased in the ZPP-0.1 MPa and ZPP-0.2 MPa subgroups (p < 0.05). Significant linear correlations were observed between air-drying pressure and surface roughness in the CCP subgroups (r = 0.996, p = 0.04) and the ZPP subgroups (r = 0.977, p = 0.023) (Figure 3k).

**Environmental scanning electron microscopy**

The results of ESEM observation (Figure 4) of the surface topography of primer-coated zirconia were consistent with data derived from surface roughness measurements. For the CCP subgroups, the CCP-0.1 MPa subgroup showed a thick layer of primer containing some bubbles (Figure 4a). With increases in air-drying pressure, primer films were thinned more evenly and the irregular contour of the sandblasted zirconia surface was visible gradually (Figures 4b–4d). Compared with the CCP subgroups, primer films in the ZPP subgroups (Figures 4e–4h) were much thinner, resulting in rougher primed zirconia surfaces. As the air-drying pressure increased, the primer-coated zirconia surface became increasingly rougher.

The raw data of micro-shear bond strength, primer film thickness and surface roughness are shown in Data S1.

**Discussion**

The present in vitro study evaluated the effect of air-drying pressures during primer coating on the resin-zirconia bond strength and the surface characteristics of the primed zirconia surfaces. It was found that higher air-drying pressures during primer coating resulted in thinner primer layers, rougher surfaces of the primed zirconia, higher bond strength and better durability of the bond between resin cement and primed zirconia. Thus, the null hypothesis that air-drying pressure has no effect on the bond strength of the zirconia/resin bonding and the surface characteristics of the primed zirconia has to be rejected.

110 μm Alumina powder sandblasting was performed to standardize the zirconia surface in the present study. Although the negative effect of the microcracks on the zirconia surface caused by this surface pretreatment, sandblasting is believed beneficial to zirconia bonding by increasing the surface roughness, bonding area and remove any contaminants from the ceramic surface [1,4,7]. MDP-containing resin cements are also recommended for luting bonding of zirconia for clinical use [14]. However, according to previous laboratory investigations, the shear bond strengths for MDP-containing cements to sandblasted zirconia without primer coating were lower than the assumed minimal bond strength for acceptable clinical bonding to ensure favourable clinical service [21,24,33]. In the present study, chemical modification of the sandblasted zirconia surface, a combination of both surface pretreatments, is performed to try achieving higher bond strength [4,7]. The chemical bond strategies involve the use of acid adhesive monomers presented in adhesive cementation systems including a primer (such as MDP, 4-META, MEPS, and zirconate coupler) [4,7,15,21]. Clearfil
Ceramic Primer (CCP) used in the experiment is a two component ceramic primer, combining 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (3-MPS) and 10-MDP dissolved in ethanol. The 10-MDP resin monomers in CCP form cross-linkages with methacrylate functional groups in the SA Luting cement as well as with the OH-groups on the ceramic surface and silanol [1,7,14,34]. The 10-MDP monomers also create an acid environment that may promote rapid 3-MPS condensation, enhance zirconia surface wettability and resist moisture sorption [35]. Thus, one would have anticipated that the use of CCP on air-abraded zirconia produced better bonding performance. Contrary to this expectation, poor bonding strength and low durability were achieved in previous investigations when gentle priming protocols were employed to apply CCP on air-abraded zirconia surface [24,36]. In the present study, it was found that both the immediate SBS and the durability of the resin-to-zirconia bonding in the CPP group were significantly increased when the ceramic primer was air-dried with higher pressure (0.3 or 0.4 MPa). This phenomenon may be attributed to the formation of a thinner primer layer under higher air-drying pressure. As air-drying pressure increases, the thickness of the CCP layer decreases and the surface roughness of the primed zirconia increases significantly. This may result in better micromechanical interlocking of resin and higher bond strength. In addition, the silane component in CCP, which is not

Figure 2. Failure modes analysis and primer film thickness result. (a) Failure modes for the micro-shear bonding test after 3 days of water storage or 5,000 thermocycles. (b) Variation in primer film thickness as a function of air-drying pressure. Data presented are means and standard deviations (n = 6). For CCP subgroups, the same upper case letters represent absence of statistically significant difference (p>0.05). For ZPP subgroups, the same lower case letters represent absence of statistically significant difference (p>0.05). Asterisks indicate significant differences between the two ceramic primers (p<0.05). (c) Correlations between primer film thickness and air-drying pressure for the CPP and ZPP ceramic primers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101174.g002
chemically bonded to the zirconia, may be hydrolysed easily if it is present abundantly on the external surface. The free silane may be eliminated by high pressure air-drying, leaving a monolayer of strong oligomeric siloxane in the innermost region of the bonded interface that is more resistant to hydrothermal attack [26]. When CCP is air-dried with gentle pressure, a thick primer layer is formed the completely covers the air-abraded zirconia surface. This may result in decreases in surface roughness and compromised microretention of the resin cement, thereby compromising bond strength. Because a thick primer film is less incapable of resisting hydrolytic degradation and absorbing mechanical stress without causing crack growth into the primer film [26,27], deterioration of bond durability occurs. Apart from primer thickness, more thorough elimination of residual solvents from

Figure 3. Surface roughness of specimens in subgroups. (a–h) 3D surface images (350 μm x 350 μm) of specimens obtained from optical profilometry in subgroups: (a) CCP-0.1 MPa; (b) CCP-0.2 MPa; (c) CCP-0.3 MPa; (d) CCP-0.4 MPa; (e) ZPP-0.1 MPa; (f) ZPP-0.2 MPa; (g) ZPP-0.3 MPa; (h) ZPP-0.4 MPa; (i) NP. (air blasted zirconia without primer application) (j) Mean roughness (Sa) of experimental subgroups and control NP in μm. Data are presented as means and standard deviations (n = 6). For CCP subgroups, the same upper case letters represent absence of statistically significant difference (p > 0.05). For ZPP subgroups, the same lower case letters represent absence of statistically significant difference (p > 0.05). Asterisks indicate significant differences between the two ceramic primers (p < 0.05). (k) Correlations between surface roughness and air-drying pressure in the CPP and ZPP ceramic primers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101174.g003
the primer layer in the presence of stronger air-drying pressures may also contribute to the bonding effectiveness of the CCP group. Removal of water, ethanol and other by-products that remain in the pores of the silane network may also increase the number of bonding sites available for reacting with 10-MDP and enhance Zr-O-P bond formation [28].

Z-Prime Plus is a proprietary formula of both nonvolatile hydrophilic (MDP, carboxylic monomer and HEMA) and hydrophobic ( Bis-GMA) resin monomers dissolved in ethanol and water. Carboxylic monomer is another acid adhesive resin monomer used in ZPP to assist 10-MDP in chemically interacting with zirconia [8,24]. Previous studies have shown that this primer yields superior bond strength when employed for zirconia-resin bonding [24,37]. However, in the present study, when Z-Prime Plus was air-dried with gentle pressure, there was no significant difference between the μSBS of the ZPP group and CCP group. This may be due to the compositional mismatch between Z-Prime Plus (Bisco Inc.) and the SA Luting resin cement (Kuraray Medical Inc.) [24]. Similar to the CCP group, the thickness of the ZPP layer decreased and the surface roughness of the primed zirconia increased, as the air-drying pressure increased. However, the highest μSBS between ZPP-primed zirconia and the resin cement was achieved when the primer was air-dried with intermediate pressure (0.2 MPa), but not with stronger air-pressure (0.3-0.4 MPa). The different responses of the two primers to air-drying pressure may be attributed to the differences between the two materials in terms of monomer composition, initiator and solvent [24,38]. Unlike CCP, ZPP does not contain silane and has a lower viscosity. Thus, compared with the more viscous CCP, it is much easier for ZPP to be thinned to a monolayer and to eliminate the residue solvent using a lower pressure. Thus, it appears that 0.2 MPa is the optimal air-drying pressure for ZPP that is able to form strong bonds between resin cement and zirconia ceramics. Further increasing the air-drying pressure may result in a very thin or virtually invisible nonexistent primer film that exposes the air-abraded zirconia surface without efficient priming, thereby decreasing bond strength.

After thermocycling, a significant reduction in bond strength and predominant adhesive failure were found in all subgroups of ZPP. Hydroxyethyl methacrylate, the co-solvent present in ZPP, is included in the ceramic primer for minimizing phase separation and increasing the miscibility of hydrophobic and hydrophilic resin components [29,39]. However, because of the existence of HEMA, the primer may be more susceptible to hydrolytic degradation [40] and disruption of the cross-linkages between ZPP and zirconia after thermocycling. It is known that HEMA is a non-volatile resin monomer that is difficult to be removed by air-drying [29,41]. Further studies are required to develop primer coating protocols to promote the hydrolytic stability hydrolytically of HEMA-containing ceramic primers.

It must be noted that only one aging condition (thermocycling) was performed to evaluate the durability, stability, and hydrolytic degradation of the resin-ceramic bond. This may be considered one of the limitations of the current study as long-term water storage may significantly affect the bonding durability [14,36,42]. Further investigation should consider a combination of long-term water storage and thermal cycling at regular intervals to test the long-term durability of the bonding because none of these artificial ageing treatments alone can realistically simulate the clinical scenario.

Conclusions

Within the limitations of the present study, it may be concluded that application of a relative high air-drying pressure during ceramic priming is necessary to achieve strong and long-term bonding between resin cement and zirconia. There exists an optimal air-drying pressure for each ceramic primer for forming strong durable bonds between resin cement and zirconia ceramics.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic diagram of micro-shear test. (a) Prior to micro-shear bond test, the tygon tubes around the resin cement cylinders were removed, by gently cutting the tubes into two hemicylinders using a leather blade. (b) Specimens were clamped with the custom fixture and tested in a universal testing machine (EZ-Test 500 N, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). A thin wire (diameter 0.2 mm) was looped around the resin cement cylinder, making contact through half of its circumference and was gently held flush against the resin/zirconia interface. A shear force was applied to each specimen at a cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min until failure
occurred. The resin-zirconia interface, the wire loop and the center of the load cell were aligned as straight as possible to ensure the desired orientation of the applied shear test force.

**Table S1** List of materials used in the present study.

**Table S2** Subgroups and primer-coating protocols used in the present study.
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