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ABSTRACT

Background: Identification and repurposing of therapeutic and preventive strategies against COVID-19 are rapidly undergoing. Several medicinal plants from the Himalayan region have been traditionally used to treat various human disorders. Thus, in our current study, we intended to explore the potential ability of Himalayan medicinal plant (HMP) bioactives against COVID-19 using computational investigations.

Methods: Molecular docking was performed against six crucial targets involved in the replication and transmission of SARS-CoV-2. About forty-two HMP bioactives were analyzed against these targets for their binding energy, molecular interactions, inhibition constant, and biological pathway enrichment analysis. Pharmacological properties and potential biological functions of HMP bioactives were predicted using the ADMETlab and PASS webserver respectively.

Results: Our current investigation has demonstrated that the bioactives of HMPs potentially act against COVID-19. Docking results showed that several HMP bioactives had a superior binding affinity with SARS-CoV-2 essential targets like 3CL\textsubscript{pro}, PLpro, RdRp, helicase, spike protein, and human ACE2. Based on the binding energies, several bioactives were selected and analyzed for pathway enrichment studies. We have found that selected HMP bioactives may have a role in regulating immune and apoptotic pathways. Furthermore, these selected HMP bioactives have shown lower toxicity with pleiotropic biological activities, including anti-viral activities in predicting activity spectra for substances.

Conclusions: Current study results can explore the possibility of HMPs as therapeutic agents against COVID-19.

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has paralyzed societal and economic conditions across the world. It is one of the life-threatening disease for which no specific preventive or curative treatment has been foolproof so far. According to WHO statistics, by the end of March 2021, COVID-19 has infected more than 123 million people worldwide and caused more than 2.71 million mortality. COVID-19 is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which belongs to the β coronavirus family of enveloped positive-sense RNA viruses \cite{1}. The SARS-CoV-2 viral life cycle involves infection of host cells, viral gene translation (non-structural proteins), and viral genome replication \cite{2}. A comprehensive understanding of the viral life cycle provides critical viral and host factors that offer valuable targets for developing a therapeutic strategy against COVID-19.

The viral life cycle initiates with the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cell. The entry of the virus takes place through the host cell receptor interaction by the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Spike protein is a vital structural protein that recognizes the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor and facilitates viruses’ binding to the host cells \cite{3}. Spike protein is composed of S1 and S2 subunits, wherein the S1 subunit recognizes the ACE2 receptor and binds it, following which
S2 subunit helps the viral membrane fusion with the host membrane resulting in the release of the viral genome into the host cell [4,5]. Intriguingly, the spike protein binding affinity of SARS-CoV-2 with ACE2 receptor is ten to twenty-fold higher than SARS-CoV, which might have contributed to the higher infection and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in comparison with previous coronavirus infections [6,7].

After the viral genome’s release into the host cell, it leads to complex viral gene expressions. Initially, ORF1a and ORF1b from the viral genome is translated into pp1a and pp1ab polyproteins. Two vital proteins process these polyproteins, i.e., 3-chymotrypsin-like proteinase (3CLpro) and papain-like protease (PLpro), into several non-structural proteins (nsps), which are involved in SARS-CoV-2 genome replication. Several nsps, including nsp12, i.e., RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDPR), and nsp13, i.e., helicase, are engaged in the SARS-CoV-2 viral replication machinery [2]. Thus, 3CLpro, PLpro, RdRp, helicase, spike protein, and human ACE2 are the crucial proteins involved in the SARS-CoV-2 lifecycle and might be valuable targets for intervention of COVID-19.

Host response against SARS-CoV-2 has a significant influence on the severity of COVID-19. Cytokine production, the hyperinflammatory response against the virus, and comorbidities will significantly impact COVID-19 disease progression [8]. Fever, cough, sore throat, headache, fatigue, breathlessness, diarrhea, muscle pain, and sputum production are commonly observed symptoms in COVID-19 patients [9]. On the other hand, in the advanced stages of COVID-19, patients suffer from acute respiratory distress syndrome, loss of speech or movement, chest pain or pressure, and severe cardiac injury, leading to death. Extensive research is going on different anti-viral drugs, vaccines, and therapeutic formulations to treat the SARS-CoV-2. Currently, a sequential clinical trial of anti-viral drugs in combination has been investigated against COVID-19. The combination of nitisoxanide, ribavirin, and ivermectin is under phase III clinical trials against COVID-19 (Clinical trial# NCT04392427). Furthermore, the FDA-approved remdesivir is the first emergency drug for treating hospitalized COVID-19 patients over the age of 12. However, there is a lack of confidence in the effectiveness of drugs or vaccines against COVID-19, even when the number of new cases, new viral strains, and mortality rates are still increasing globally.

Several countries, including India, have focused on their ancient practices to overcome this problem. ‘Ayurveda’ is one of the traditional medicines that have been the source of remedies for numerous diseases, including viral infection, flu, cold, etc. Also, the Indian Materia Medica comprises around two thousand natural origin drugs, nearly most of them can be obtained from diverse traditional systems and folklore practices [10]. The Himalayan region is one of the oldest and wealthiest medicinal plant biodiversity repositories among different world regions. The geographical features, including altitude, ecology, topography, and climatic conditions, are making this region home to more than 8,000 species of vascular plants, of which 1,748 are known for their medicinal properties. These higher altitude plants have played essential roles in the lives of tribal peoples by acting as herbal medicines. From ancient times, different parts of these altitude medicinal plants have been used as curative agents, especially for cold, cough, fever, bronchitis, and asthma, either alone or in combination with common medicinal plants [11].

Therefore, the Himalayan ayurvedic plant’s formulation can be used alone or tested with an approved drug to treat COVID-19. The computational biology approach is required to predict the effective drugs or formulations from Himalayan medicinal plants (HMPs) against COVID-19. In the current study, we have selected HMP bioactives, which are traditionally used for cold, cough, fever, bronchitis, and asthma, and investigated their potentialities against COVID-19 by targeting the viral proteins involved in replication, maturation, and transmission to the host using computational approaches. Further, the therapeutic roles of the selected HMP bioactives were also analyzed using biological pathway enrichment analysis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Selection of bioactives from Himalayan medicinal plants

The selection of HMPs was based on a thorough search of the existing traditional use of the different parts of the plants against respiratory-related viral diseases, as summarized in Supplementary Table S1. The ethnopharmacological use of selected HMPs was well documented and found a lack of scientific validation. Therefore, in the current study, we identified forty-two bioactives from seventeen HMPs for the computational investigation against the potential targets of SARS-CoV-2 as summarized in Supplementary Table S2. Further, the potential bioactives were selected based on the highest binding affinity towards target proteins of SARS-CoV-2. The three-dimensional structures of standard drugs and HMP bioactives were retrieved from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Several anti-retroviral proteases, RdRp, and human ACE2 inhibitors (Darunavir, remdesivir, ritonavir, losartan, and enalapril) were selected as the standard drugs for docking analysis against SARS-CoV-2 target proteins (Supplementary Table S3). Ligand molecules were converted into Protein Data Bank (PDB) format using Open Babel software (The Open Babel Package, version 2.3.1; http://openbabel.org) and were prepared for docking by converting them into PDBQT format using AutoDock Tools [12].

2.2. Protein preparation

The three-dimensional coordinates of the targets of SARS-CoV-2 molecules 6LU7 (3CLpro), 7JRN (PLpro), 6M71 (RdRp), 6ZSL (helicase), 6W4I (spike protein), and 1HR2 (ACE2) were retrieved from PDB [13–17]. These SARS-CoV-2 target proteins have been used in several investigations to identify therapeutics against COVID-19 [13,18–21]. The protein molecules were processed by removing crystallographic water molecules, adding polar hydrogens, followed by stabilizing the charges as demonstrated earlier [22,23]. Finally, the three-dimensional coordinates were converted into PDBQT format using ADT [12].

2.3. Molecular docking

SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro, PLpro, RdRp, helicase, spike protein, and human ACE2 receptor were used as the target molecules for docking with the bioactives from HMPs. Molecular docking was carried out using AutoDock Vina [24]. Grid boxes were created around the active sites of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro, PLpro, RdRp, helicase, and the interacting crucial residues of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein-human ACE2 receptor complex using AutoDock tools with dimensions relative to the ligands (XYZ) with a resolution of 1 Å [22,23,25]. Each docking calculation was performed three-times using different seeds and retaining the remaining values as default. Protein-ligand interactive models were selected based on the binding energies (BEs), the potential hydrogen bonds (H-bonds), and hydrophobic interactions. Protein-ligand interaction profiler (PLIP) (https://projects.biotec.tu-dresden.de/plip-web/plip/) was used to determine H-bonds and non-bonded interactions. 3D stereo figures of protein-ligand interactions were computed using PyMOL (https://pymol.org/2). Further, the inhibition constant (Ki) for HMP bioactives with SARS-CoV-2 protein targets was calculated using the following equation [23,26].

\[ Ki = 10^{(\text{Binding energy}/1.366)} \]

2.4. Biological pathway enrichment analysis

SwissTargetPrediction web server (http://www.swistargetprediction.ch) was used to predict the top fifteen human protein targets for each of the selected bioactives of HMPs [27]. Ninety-three human
Protein targets were set after redundancy removal (Supplementary Table S4). Besides, one hundred seventeen human protein targets of the SARS-CoV-2 were chosen from the literature [28, 29]. Pathway enrichment analysis was performed by the Enrichr database [30, 31]. Enrichr displayed results according to \( p \)-values computed using the Fisher exact test for pathway and database enrichment for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways and VirusMint database. VirusMint (https://maayanlab.cloud/Harmonizome/resource/Virus-MINT) is a database that contains all the protein interactions between the virus and human proteins [32]. Further, the Revigo web server (http://revigo.irb.hr/) was used to remove redundancy from the list of Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes (\( p < 0.001 \)) obtained from Enrichr. Results were plotted using a scatterplot on the Revigo database.

2.5. Evaluation of ADME/Toxicity prediction

ADMETlab web server (http://admet.scbdd.com) was used to predict binding energies (BEs) (kcal/mol) of selected bioactives from the Himalayan medicinal plants against SARS-CoV-2 3CL\( ^\text{pro} \) and PL\( ^\text{pro} \), along with their hydrogen bonding (H-bond), hydrophobic interactions (HP), and inhibition constant (Ki), are shown. The bioactive compounds' names are displayed in **boldface**, and the botanical plant names are given in *italics*.

| Himalayan medicinal plants and their bioactives | SARS-CoV-2 3CL\( ^\text{pro} \) | SARS-CoV-2 PL\( ^\text{pro} \) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                               | BE                            | H-Bond                        | HP                           | BE                            | H-Bond                        | HP                           | Ki (\( \mu \text{M} \))     |
| Remdesivin*                                    | −8.3                          | Leu141, Gly143, His163, Glu166 | Thr25, Thr26, Leu27, His41,  | 0.84                          | −6.2                          | Lys105, His272, Lys274       | Trp106, Asp286, Ala288, Leu289 | 28.92                        |
|                                               |                               |                               | Met49, Met165, Glu166, Glu189 |                               |                               |                               |                               |
| Candibirin H in Heracleum candidicans Wall.   | −9.2                          | Asp142, Gly143, Cys145, His163 | His41, Met165, Glu166, Glu189 | 0.18                          | −7.0                          | His272, Ala288, Leu289       | Lys274, Asp286               | 7.51                         |
| Candibirin G in Heracleum candidicans Wall.   | −9.1                          | Gly143, Cys145, Glu166        | Leu27, His41, Met165, Glu189  | 0.22                          | −6.6                          | Lys274                       | Trp106, Thr265, His272, Asp286 | 14.74                        |
| Catechin 5-O-gallate in Aralia nitida         | −8.2                          | Thr26, Leu141, Gly143, Glu166 | Thr25, Leu27, His41, Cys145, | 0.99                          | −7.1                          | Trp106, Asn109, Cys270, His272, Asp286, Ala288 | Lys274 | 6.34                        |
| Hypericin in Hypericum perforatum L.          | −8.8                          | Gly143, Cys145, His163, Glu189 | Met46, Phe140, Ser144, Glu166 | 0.36                          | −7.6                          | Cys270, Lys274               | Trp106, Gly271, His272, Asp286 | 2.73                         |
| Pseudohypericin in Hypericum perforatum L.    | −8.5                          | Gly143, Cys145, His163, Glu166 | Ser144, Glu166                | 0.60                          | −7.4                          | Trp106, Thr265, His272, Lys274 | Gly266, His272, Asp286       | 3.83                         |

Fig. 1. (A) Secondary structural representation of SARS-CoV-2 3CL\( ^\text{pro} \) (cartoon and surface representation) consists of domain I (blue), domain II (green), and domain III (orange). The His41-Cys145 catalytic dyad is shown in the sphere and colored red and blue, respectively. The substrate-binding site is highlighted in a square box, docked molecules remdesivir (yellow) and candibirin H (sky blue) are shown in the ball-and-stick model, and carbon atoms are colored red and blue in the rightmost panel. (B–C) 3D stereo figures demonstrating molecular interactions of SARS-CoV-2 3CL\( ^\text{pro} \) (PDB ID: 6LU7) with remdesivir (B) and bioactive from Himalayan medicinal plants (C) are shown. The 3CL\( ^\text{pro} \) residues making H-bond are shown in the ball-and-stick model, and carbon atoms are colored green. The 3CL\( ^\text{pro} \) residues, which make hydrophobic interactions, are shown in the sphere (purple). H-bond interactions are shown in dotted lines, and the corresponding distances (Å) are marked. The oxygen and nitrogen are colored red and blue for both bioactives.
the absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity of selected bioactives from HMP. The numerical values was interpreted by the qualitative units based on the ADMETlab server explanation.

2.6. Estimation of biological activity

PASS (Prediction of Activity Spectra for Substances)-Way2Drug server (http://www.pharmaexpert.ru/passonline/) was used to predict the biological activity of the selected HMP bioactives. PASS helps to predict the potentially active or inactive ligands based on their Pa (close to one for active compound) and Pi (should be close to zero for inactive compounds) values.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Molecular docking

Our current study intended to identify potential HMP bioactives against the replication, maturation, and transmission targets of SARS-CoV-2. In this study, we have utilized molecular docking analysis to identify therapeutic agents from HMPs against COVID-19. Molecular docking is a versatile tool that predicts the binding efficiency and interactions between the therapeutic compounds and protein targets. SARS-CoV-2 viral life cycle provides several therapeutic targets to develop treatment options against the virus. Among these, targeting replication, maturation, and transmission of the virus hold great potential. Thus, we have chosen SARS-CoV-2 3CL\(\text{pro}\), PLpro, RdRp, and helicase as replication and maturation targets. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and human ACE2 receptor were chosen as transmission targets to identify potential bioactives from HMPs that can probably inhibit SARS-CoV-2 using molecular docking analysis.

3.1.1. HMP bioactives with SARS-CoV-2 replication and maturation targets

Initially, we performed molecular docking analysis with standard anti-retroviral drugs with replication and maturation targets. Among the standard drugs, remdesivir is the FDA recommended emergency use authorization (EUA) drug for SARS-CoV-2 treatment, which had superior binding affinities towards 3CL\(\text{pro}\) (BE: -8.3 kcal/mol), PLpro (BE: -6.2 kcal/mol), RdRp (BE: -7.1 kcal/mol), and helicase (BE: -8.0 kcal/mol). The results are summarized in Supplementary Table S3. After that, we obtained BEs of HMP bioactives against these targets. Among HMP bioactives, candibirin H had the highest binding affinity with 3CL\(\text{pro}\) (–9.2 kcal/mol) and helicase (–9.1 kcal/mol), whereas hypericin had a higher binding affinity with PLpro (–7.6 kcal/mol and RdRp (–8.9 kcal/mol). Based on the BEs of standard drug and HMP bioactives, we set the cut-off BE of –8.0 kcal/mol for 3CL\(\text{pro}\), –6.5 kcal/mol for PLpro, –8.0 kcal/mol for RdRp, and –7.5 kcal/mol for helicase. Based on the cut-off BEs, potential HMP bioactives were further narrowed for protein-ligand interaction studies and biological pathway enrichment analysis. The criteria selected were based on (i) the cut-off BEs, and (ii) having a higher binding affinity towards all the targets of SARS-CoV-2. Five HMP bioactives such as candibirin H, candibirin G, catechin 5-O-gallate, hypericin, and pseudohypericin yielded superior BE values against SARS-CoV-2 replication and maturation targets, and their interactions with protein targets were analyzed.

SARS-CoV-2 3CL\(\text{pro}\) is an attractive drug target as it plays an essential role in the proteolytic maturation of pp1a and pp1ab polyproteins involved in the replication and transcription of SARS-CoV-2 [13]. 3CL\(\text{pro}\) is a cysteine protease with His41-Cys145 active site, and the substrate-binding site is situated in the cleft between domain I and II, as shown in Fig. 1A [13]. Molecular docking results of standard drug and selected bioactives from HMPs with 3CL\(\text{pro}\) revealed that these bioactives firmly bind at the catalytic dyad of 3CL\(\text{pro}\). Standard drug remdesivir formed four H-bonds with 3CL\(\text{pro}\) residues Leu141, Gly143, His143, and Glu166, furthermore various hydrophobic contacts at the active site (Table 1 and Fig. 1B). Similarly, bioactives from HMPs such as candibirin H formed four H-bonds with 3CL\(\text{pro}\) residues Asn142, Gly143, Cys145, and His163, besides various hydrophobic interactions at the active site (Table 1 and Fig. 1C). Similarly, selected HMP bioactives candibirin G (BE: –9.1 kcal/mol), catechin 5-O-gallate (BE: –8.2 kcal/mol), hypericin (BE: –8.8 kcal/mol), and pseudohypericin (BE: –8.5 kcal/mol) have shown a higher binding affinity with the 3CL\(\text{pro}\) and formed strong H-bonding and non-bonded interactions with its active site, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. These results suggest that the selected HMP bioactives may likely inhibit the 3CL\(\text{pro}\) activity. The inhibition constant (Ki) calculated for the selected HMP bioactives with 3CL\(\text{pro}\) are summarized in Table 1. The candibirin H bears the lowest Ki value with 180 nM, and the remaining Ki values for the selected HMP bioactives were in the range between 220 and 990 nM.

SARS-CoV-2 PLpro comprises four domains: ubiquitin-like, finger, thumb, and palm domains, as demonstrated in Fig. 2A. There is a high structural similarity between PLpro of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, and they share an 82.9% sequence identity and a 100% sequence identity for the binding site [33]. The active site of PLpro consists of a catalytic triad of residues Cys111, His272, and Asp286 and is located between the thumb and the palm domains [33–35]. Among the selected HMP bioactives, hypericin had a higher BE of –7.6 kcal/mol than the standard drug remdesivir (–6.2 kcal/mol) (Table 1). Hypericin formed H-bonds with Cys270 and Lys274 of PLpro of SARS-CoV-2 and had hydrophobic interactions with Trp106, Gly271, His272, and Asp286 at the active site (Table 1 and Fig. 2C). The interactions of hypericin were similar to the interactions of standard drug remdesivir such as H-bonds with Lys105, His272, and Lys274 as well as hydrophobic interactions with Trp106, Asp286, Ala288, and Leu289 (Table 1 and Fig. 2B). The HMP bioactives, including candibirin H, candibirin G, catechin 5-O-gallate, and pseudohypericin were interacting with the catalytic site of PLpro, which are displayed in Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2. Ki for selected HMP bioactives was in the range of 2–15 μM, as shown in Table 1.

RdRp is another essential target of SARS-CoV-2 that plays a vital role in the replication and transcription cycle of viruses [36]. RdRp, also called nsP12, in a complex with nsP7 and nsP8, executes the viral genome’s replication. The active site of RdRp has remained conserved for many viruses and consists of motifs A-G (Fig. 3A). Motif A consists of residues 611–626, where Asp618 is a critical divalent-cation-binding residue. Ser759, Asp760, and Asp761 are other catalytic residues that are a part of motif C. Nucleotide triphosphate (NTP) entry channel consists of motif F residues Lys545, Arg553, and Arg555 [17]. Our docking analysis of standard drug and HMP bioactives also displayed interaction with residues of the NTP entry channel and active site of RdRp. Standard drug remdesivir interacted with RdRp by forming H-bonds with Arg553, Arg555, Tyr619, Lys621, and Ser682 as well as hydrophobic interactions with Asp623, Asp760, and Asp761 as displayed in Table 2 and Fig. 3B. Among HMP bioactives, hypericin (–8.9 kcal/mol) had the highest binding affinity, followed by pseudohypericin (–8.6 kcal/mol), candibirin G (–8.5 kcal/mol), catechin 5-O-gallate (–8.0 kcal/mol), and candibirin H (–7.9 kcal/mol) (Table 2). Similarly, hypericin had the lowest Ki (310 nM), and the selected HMP bioactives Ki value ranges from 0.31 μM to 1.65 μM, as shown in Table 2. Hypericin strongly binds at the catalytic site of RdRp by forming H-bonds with Tyr619, Lys621, Cys622, Asp760, and Ser814 as well as hydrophobic interaction with Asp618 (Table 2 and Fig. 3C). Like hypericin and remdesivir, other selected HMP bioactives interact with the NTP entry channel and active site of RdRp (Supplementary Fig. 2). SARS-CoV-2 helicase forms a triangular-pyramid shape that consists of five domains. These five domains are formed by two RecA-like domains, namely 1A and 2A, present near the core of C terminal helicase, the N-terminal Zinc binding domain (ZBD), the beta-barrel domain (1B), and the stalk domain, which connects 1B and ZBD (Fig. 4A). The helicase enzyme’s ATP binding site has hydrolytic activity, which comprises residues Lys288, Ser289, Asp374, Glu375, Glu404, and Arg567, which is present in the cleft between 1A and 2A domain base. This implies that
inhibiting the NTPase activity by blocking the ATP binding site of the enzyme can lead to helicase inhibition \cite{37,38}. Intriguingly, our docking study revealed that the selected HMP bioactives bind at the cleft between 1A and 2A of the enzyme, implying that these bioactives may disrupt ATP binding. Standard drug remdesivir docked at the active site (BE: -8.0 kcal/mol) and formed H-bonds with Gly285, Thr286, Gly287, Lys288, Ser289, His290, and Arg443 as well as several hydrophobic interactions, as given in Table 2 and Fig. 4B. Among HMP bioactives, candibirin H had the lowest BE (−9.1 kcal/mol) and formed three H-bonds with Gly285, Ala312, and Ala316 (Table 2 and Fig. 4C).

Table 2

| Himalayan medicinal plants and their bioactives | SARS-CoV-2 RdRp BE | H-Bond | HP | Ki (μM) | SARS-CoV-2 Helicase BE | H-Bond | HP | Ki (μM) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------|----|---------|------------------------|--------|----|---------|
| Remdesivir*                                    | −7.1               | Arg553, Arg555, Tyr619, Lys621, Ser682 | Asp623, Asp760, Asp761 | 6.34 | −8.0 | Gly285, Thr286, Gly287, Lys288, Ser289, His290, Arg443 | Gly319, Lys320, Asp374, Glu375, Glu540 | 1.39 |
| Candibirin H \textit{Heracleum candicans} Wall. | −7.9               | Arg553, Arg555, Ser814 | Arg555, Asp618, Tyr619, Asp623, Ser759, Cys813 | 1.65 | −9.1 | Gly285, Ala312, Ala316 | Pro284, Lys288, Asp315, Glu375, Arg443, Glu540 | 0.22 |
| Candibirin G \textit{Heracleum candicans} Wall. | −8.5               | Arg553, Thr556, Asn691, Asp760 | Tyr455, Lys545, Lys621, Asp23 | 0.60 | −8.9 | Gly285, Ser289 | Lys288, Ala316, Glu319, Arg443 | 0.31 |
| Catechin 5-O-gallate \textit{Acacia nilotica}   | −8.0               | Arg553, Tyr619, Asp760, Gln811, Cys813, Ser814 | Asp618, Lys621, Asp623, Thr800 | 1.39 | −8.7 | Gly285, Lys288, Gln404, Gln537, Gly538, Glu540, Arg567 | Lys288, Ser289, Ala312, Ala313, Ala316, Glu319 | 0.43 |
| Hypericin \textit{Hypericum perforatum} L.    | −8.9               | Tyr619, Lys621, Cys622, Asp760, Ser814 | Asp618 | 0.31 | −8.0 | − | Lys288, Ser289, Ala312, Ala313, Ala316, Glu319, Asp374 | 1.39 |
| Pseudohypericin \textit{Hypericum perforatum} L. | −8.6               | Lys621, Cys622, Asp760, Ser814 | Asp618, Lys798 | 0.51 | −8.0 | Ser289, Ser310 | Lys288, Ala312, Ala313, Ala316, Glu375 | 1.39 |
Similarly, HMP bioactives like candibirin G (−8.9 kcal/mol), catechin 5-O-gallate (−8.7 kcal/mol), hypericin (−8.0 kcal/mol), and pseudohypericin (−8.0 kcal/mol) had lower binding energies and interacted at the catalytic site as displayed in Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 4. Likewise, lower Ki was found for the selected HMP bioactives with SARS-CoV-2 helicase ranging between 0.22 and 1.39 μM as given in Table 2.

### 3.1.2. HMP bioactives with SARS-CoV-2 transmission targets

SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is made up of S1 and S2 domains. The S1 domain forms the receptor-binding domain (RBD) (Fig. 5A), whereas the S2 domain forms the membrane fusion protein. The RBD of spike protein recognizes and binds to the human ACE2 receptor of the host cell and thus infecting the host cell. Molecular analysis of spike protein receptor-binding motif (RBM) and human ACE2 complex revealed different spike protein residues, and hotspot residues of the ACE2 receptor are involved in the virus’s interaction with the host cell. The ACE2 receptor comprises two viral-binding hotspots: Lys31 (hotspot 31) and Lys353 (hotspot 353) (Fig. 6A). Hotspot 31 includes a salt bridge between Lys31 and Glu35, and hotspot 353 composed of a salt bridge between Lys353 and Asp38 covered in a hydrophobic environment. The spike protein’s vital amino acid residues include Leu455, Phe486, Gln493, and Ser494, which bind to the ACE2 receptor [3–5,14].

To understand the potential capability of HMP bioactives against transmission targets SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and human ACE2 receptor, molecular docking analysis were carried out along with the standard drugs. Similar to the replication targets, among the standard drugs remdesivir also had a superior binding affinity and bound with spike protein and ACE2 receptor having a BE of −6.6 kcal/mol and −6.4 kcal/mol, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). Among HMP bioactives, hypericin had the highest binding affinity with spike protein (−8.3 kcal/mol), and tribuloside had a higher binding affinity with ACE2 (−7.4 kcal/mol). Based on the BEs of standard drug and HMP bioactives, we set the cut-off BE of 7.0 kcal/mol, and 6.5 kcal/mol for spike protein and ACE2, respectively. These cut-off binding energies were used to select the superior HMP bioactives as described in section 3.1.1. Based on the cut-off binding energies, six bioactives from HMP bioactives were selected for protein-ligand interaction studies and biological pathway enrichment analysis. The selected HMP bioactives were 6,6′-biapigenin, hypericin, kaempferol 3-glucoside 7-rhamnoside, kaempferol 7,4′-diglucoside, tribuloside, and tribulosin and their BE, as well as interactions, are summarized in Table 3.

Standard drug remdesivir (BE: −6.6 kcal/mol) formed five H-bonds at the spike protein receptor-binding motif, including Arg403, Tyr453, Gln493, Gly496, and Asn501, as well as hydrophobic interactions with Leu455, Phe456, and Tyr489 (Table 3 and Fig. 5B). Firm binding and impeding interaction between spike protein receptor-binding motif and ACE2 receptor provide a crucial opportunity to hinder the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. In line with this, HMP bioactives were also found to bind at the receptor-binding motif of spike protein firmly. For example,
Fig. 4. (A) Secondary structural representation of SARS-CoV-2 helicase (cartoon and surface representation) consists of five domains viz., RecA-like domains, namely 1A and 2A, zinc-binding domain (ZBD), the beta-barrel domain (1B), and the stalk domain. ATP-binding site is highlighted in a square box. The remdesivir (yellow) and candibirin H (sky blue) at the ATP-binding site are also displayed in the square box with its close-up view (rightmost panel). (B–C) 3D stereo figures demonstrating molecular interactions of SARS-CoV-2 helicase (PDB ID: 6ZSL) with remdesivir (B) and with the bioactive from HMP, candibirin H (C) are shown. The structural elements of bioactives and their corresponding molecular interactions are represented and colored as in Fig. 1.

Table 3

Binding energies (BEs) (kcal/mol) of selected bioactives from the Himalayan medicinal plants against SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and human ACE2, along with their hydrogen bonding (H-bond), hydrophobic interactions (HP), and inhibition constant (Ki), are shown. The bioactive compounds’ names are displayed in boldface, and the plant names are given in italics.

| Himalayan medicinal plants and their bioactives | SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein | Human ACE2 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | BE | H-Bond | HP | Ki (μM) | BE | H-Bond | HP | Ki (μM) |
| Remdesivir | −6.6 | Arg403, Tyr453, Gln493, Gly496, Asn501 | Leu455, Phe456, Tyr489 | 14.74 | −6.4 | His34 | 20.64 |
| 6,6’-biapigenin | −7.9 | Gln484, Phe490, Leu492, Ser494 | Leu452, Phe456, Tyr489 | 1.65 | −6.6 | His34, Asp38 | 14.74 |
| Hypericum perforatum L. | Hypericin | −8.3 | Ser494 | Tyr449, Leu452, Leu492, Gln493 | 0.84 | −6.7 | Glu75, Gln76 | 12.45 |
| Hypericum perforatum L. | 6.6’-biapigenin | −7.1 | Arg403, Gln406, Gln409, Tyr449, Gln493 | Tyr449, Tyr505 | 6.34 | −6.5 | Asn33, Phe390, Arg393 | 17.44 |
| 6,6’-biapigenin Hypericum perforatum L. | 6,6’-biapigenin | −6.6 | Arg403, Tyr453, Gln493, Gly496, Asn501 | Leu455, Phe456, Tyr489 | 1.29 | −7.4 | Glu37, Asp38, Gln96, Arg393 | 3.83 |
| 6,6’-biapigenin Hypericum perforatum L. | 6,6’-biapigenin | −7.7 | Tyr453, Phe490, Leu492, Gln493, Ser494, Gly496 | Tyr453, Tyr455, Phe456, Tyr495, Tyr505 | − | 2.31 | −6.6 | Asp30, Lys31, His34, Glu35 | 14.74 |
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hypericin makes H-bonding with Ser494 and hydrophobic interactions with Tyr449, Leu452, Leu492, and Gln493 residues of spike protein RBM (Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 5C). Similar to the hypericin, other selected HMP bioactives also strongly interact with spike protein RBM (Table 3, Supplementary Fig. 5C). Besides, HMP bioactives also had strong interactions with hotspot residues of the ACE2 receptor. Bioactives 6,′-biapigenin, hypericin, and tribulosin had interaction with residues of hotspot Lys31 of ACE2 receptor. Bioactives kaempferol 3-glucoside 7-rhamnoside, kaempferol 7,′-diglucoside, and tribuloside interact with residues hotspot Lys353 of ACE2 (Table 3, Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. S6), and the interactions of remdesivir and kaempferol 7,′-diglucoside with ACE2 receptor are displayed in Fig. 6B and C. The Ki was also calculated for the selected HMP bioactives with spike protein and ACE2 and are summarized in Table 3. Ki for the selected HMP bioactives with spike protein and ACE2 were found to be less than 40 μM stating that the selected HMP bioactives have a significant binding affinity with these target proteins. On the other hand, targeting ACE2 may have several biological consequences, such as functional deterioration of the heart and progression of cardiac, renal, and vascular pathologies. However, elevated levels of the catalytic active ACE2 were found in the SARS-CoV-2 infected patients [39]. This might be due to the infiltration of immune cells such as macrophages and neutrophils, which overexpressed the ACE2 during the infection. Therefore, like other diseases, the dose of the HMP bioactives needs to be adjusted to effectively reduce the elevated expression of ACE2 into the basal level. Further, the interaction of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor-binding motif with hotspot residues of ACE2 differs from the active site of ACE2. Spike protein receptor-binding motif interacts with hotspot residues Lys353 and Lys31 with S1 subunit. Hence, targeting the ACE2 receptor holds an advantage in the attenuation of spike protein interaction and attachment.

Overall, among HMP bioactives, hypericin from H. perforatum has shown its potential in targeting all the six proteins involved in the replication and transmission of COVID-19. The presence of hypericin makes H. perforatum a primary photosensitizer. In clinical trials, hypericin appeared in the blood after a single oral administration of H. perforatum extract. Furthermore, the steady-state level of hypericin in the blood has been observed after long-term dosing. The polyphenol fraction of H. perforatum has shown immune-stimulating activity, whereas the lipophilic portion has shown immunosuppressing properties. Moreover, in acute toxicity studies, the extract has led to a relatively nontoxic effect in rats, guinea pigs, and mice [40]. Besides, the extract and the oil of this plant are used widely in a range of products. For example, H. perforatum extract is used in more than 50 cosmetic formulations, and the oil in more than ten products. Therefore, this extract with other combinations of HMP bioactives may likely be used to make formulations to inhibit COVID-19 infection and transmission.

However, the dire situation of SARS-CoV-2 has been further escalated due to the emergence of highly fit SARS-CoV-2 variants [41]. Currently, various strains of SARS-CoV-2 with several mutations of its proteins have been reported. For example, one of the mutations, R408I, in the spike protein happens to lie in the receptor-binding domain. This mutation has been reported to increase the stability of the spike protein [42]. Also, R60C mutation in the RdRp protein has been reported to have an impact on the root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the protein structure. Further, MD simulation results revealed that the ligand-binding affinity to the mutated 3CLpro was observed to have an impact on the root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the protein structure. In another study, D614G mutation in the spike protein and P323L mutation in the RdRp protein has been reported to have a high incidence of 43.27% and 43.21%, respectively. These mutations were not reported.
to have a significant change in the protein structure. However, D614G mutation in the spike protein has been observed to cause an increase in viral infection. Mutations Q57H and G251V in the ORF3a protein and mutations S194L and R203K/G204R in the nucleocapsid protein were observed to cause a structural change in the respective proteins [43]. These reports indicate mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 proteins may reduce the binding efficiency of HMP bioactives. Thus, to overcome the limitations of SARS-CoV-2 mutations, we have identified HMP bioactives that can target multiple protein targets. Hence, apart from the mutated proteins, HMP bioactives can also bind with other target proteins and may likely attenuate the life cycle of SARS-CoV-2.

### 3.2. Biological pathway enrichment analysis of the human protein targets distinguished for the HMP bioactives and SARS-CoV-2

Top fifteen human protein targets were obtained for each of the bioactives from SwissTargetPrediction, listed in Supplementary Table S4. Human protein targets for SARS-CoV-2 were obtained from the literature survey, also summarized in Supplementary Table S4. Pathway enrichment analysis was performed for both the HMP bioactive targets and the SARS-CoV-2 targets using the Enrichr.

It was observed that viral infection pathways, immunity, and apoptosis were common for both bioactives and SARS-CoV-2 targets. Enrichr was used to perform gene ontology (GO) enrichment of biological processes concerning p-values obtained by Fisher exact test for bioactives and SARS-CoV-2. Further, after redundancy removal using Revigo, scatterplot revealed distinct clusters of GO terms of biological processes for HMP bioactive targets and SARS-CoV-2 targets (Fig. 7). Each cluster represents semantically similar GO terms. Regulation of protein kinase B signaling (PKB/Akt) and cytokine-mediated signaling pathways were the most enriched term among biological pathways for the HMP bioactives and SARS-CoV-2, respectively (Fig. 7). Biological process enrichment analysis revealed that bioactives and SARS-CoV-2 targets commonly regulate the cytokine and apoptosis signaling pathways. MAPK pathway, shown to be targeted by the bioactives, is activated upon external stress.

When SARS-CoV-2 infects a host cell, spike protein binds to ACE2, thus downregulating its expression. As a result, p38 MAPK is highly upregulated, which causes a cytokine storm (IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1β), leading to inflammation [44].

Further, the bioactives target the PKB/Akt, which is involved in metabolism, cell proliferation, and cell survival. 3-phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1 (PDK1) helps to regulate the PKB/Akt pathway. Upon infection by SARS-CoV, 3CLpro interacts with PDK1, thereby hindering its interaction with PKB/Akt. This lowers PKB/Akt phosphorylation. As a result, apoptosis is induced in the viral affected cells [45]. Therefore, it may be assumed that bioactives targeting these pathways might help control SARS-CoV-2 infection. Another pathway targeted by bioactives in the calcium-mediated pathways. Viruses are known to disrupt calcium homeostasis, thus altering the membrane permeability and promoting viral infection into the cell [46]. Hence, bioactives might likely be used to target the calcium-mediated pathways.
Fig. 7. Scatterplot showing clusters of GO biological processes enrichment analysis. (A) HMP bioactives. (B) SARS-CoV-2. The scatterplot shows clusters of GO terms after redundancy removal. Bubble size represents the frequency of the GO term in the GO database. The color of the bubbles represents the $\log_{10} p$-values (a legend in the upper left-hand corner).
to disrupt the entry of the viral genome.

Enrichr results for KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that the AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications and nitrogen metabolism was most enriched for bioactives. Influenza A and Epstein-Barr virus infection were most enriched for SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 8A). Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are present in higher levels in diabetic patients. SARS-CoV-2 infection increases COVID-19 severity and also the risk of mortality in diabetic patients [47]. Furthermore, tryptophan metabolism was found to be highly affected in COVID-19 patients. Tryptophan levels were highly reduced to be inactive. The value of both factors ranging from 0 to 1. Here, we found most of the bioactives function as potent membrane integrity agonists and membrane permeability inhibitors. Besides, they also have anti-inflammatory, antitussive, and anti-viral activities, especially against the influenza virus. Among them, tribulosin may likely function as respiratory analeptic to recover from respiratory depression. Intriguingly, several HMP bioactives have shown anti-viral activity against several genera of viruses, as shown in Table 5. These results suggest that HMP bioactives have pleiotropic biological activities that can enhance the therapeutic application against COVID-19.

### 3.4. Predicted biological activities of HMP bioactives

Several possible biological activities of the selected HMP bioactives were predicted using the prediction of activity spectra for substances (PASS) webserver. Two factors evaluate biologically active compounds; Pa signifies the probability “to be active”, and Pi signifies the probability “to be inactive”. The value of both factors ranging from 0 to 1. Here, we found most of the bioactives function as potent membrane integrity agonists and membrane permeability inhibitors. Besides, they also have anti-inflammatory, antitussive, and anti-viral activities, especially against the influenza virus. Among them, tribulosin may likely function as respiratory analeptic to recover from respiratory depression. Intriguingly, several HMP bioactives have shown anti-viral activity against several genera of viruses, as shown in Table 5. These results suggest that HMP bioactives have pleiotropic biological activities that can enhance the therapeutic application against COVID-19.

### 4. Conclusion

The unending COVID-19 pandemic has increased morbidity and mortality across the globe. Our study revealed that the selected HMP bioactives formed stable interactions with SARS-CoV-2 target proteins involved in viral infection and replication. The selected HMP bioactives were found to bind with a higher affinity at the active sites of replication target proteins and receptor-binding motif as well as hotspot residues of the transmission targets. Among them, hypericin from H. perforatum is the most potent compound, which actively targets all six proteins involved in replicating and transmitting COVID-19. Numerous literature has also shown the health beneficiary role of hypericin, and currently, several hypericin-based products are available in the market. Therefore, the hypericin extract can be used in several phyto/food formulations with other selected potential HMP bioactives to treat the COVID-19. The Ki values of the selected HMP bioactives were also lower than 40 μM.
| Class | Properties                                | Hypericin | Catechin 5-O-gallate | Cadinbin H | Cadinbin G | 6,6’ Biapigenin |
|-------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
|       | Excretion                                |           |                      |            |            |                |
|       | T_{1/2} (Half Life Time)                  | Low       | Low                  | Low        | Low        | Moderate       |
|       | (>8h: high;                               | 2.432 h   | 2.044 h              | 2.044 h    | 1.494 h    | 3.306 h        |
|       | 3h< Cl< 8h: moderate;                     |           |                      |            |            |                |
|       | <3h: low                                  |           |                      |            |            |                |
|       | Clearance rate (>15 mL/min/kg; high; 5mL/min/kg < Cl < 15mL/min/kg; moderate; <5 mL/min/kg; low) | Low       | Low                  | Low        | Low        | Low            |
|       | hERG (hERG blockers)                      | +         | ++                   | ++         | +          | +              |
|       | H-4T (Human Hepatotoxicity)               | 0.248     | 0.528                | 0.528      | 0.366      | 0.182          |
|       | Ames (Ames Mutagenicity)                  | 0.388     | 0.298                | 0.298      | 0.47       | 0.292          |
|       | Skin sensitization                        | 0.282     | 0.263                | 0.263      | 0.271      | 0.313          |
|       | FDAMDD (Maximum Recommended Daily Dose)   | +         | +                    | +          | +          |                |
|       |                                            | 0.608     | 0.272                | 0.272      | 0.624      | 0.674          |
|       |                                           |           |                      |            |            |                |
|       | Class                                     | Properties                                | Pseudohypericin | Kaempferol 3-glucoside | Kaempferol 7,4 diglucoside | Tribuloside | Tribulosin |
|       |                                           | Not optimal                               | Not optimal | Not optimal | Not optimal | Not optimal |
|       |                                           | -6.466 cm/s                               | -6.485 cm/s | -6.651 cm/s | -6.433 cm/s | -6.417 cm/s |
|       |                                           | 0.06                                           | 0.401       | 0.393       | 0.723       | 0.802        |
|       |                                           | 0.101                                          | 0.053       | 0.011       | 0.039       | 0.082        |
|       |                                           | 0.427                                          | 0.184       | 0.107       | 0.127       | 0.162        |
|       |                                           | 0.559                                          | 0.56        | 0.451       | 0.443       | 0.26         |
|       |                                           | 80.653 %                                     | 75.785 %    | 75.492 %    | 85.891 %    | 50.279 %    |
|       | Distribution                              | PPB (Plasma Protein Binding)                | +           | +           | ++          | ++          |
|       | (90%)                                     | Volume distribution (0.04~20 L/kg)           | -0.719 L/kg | -1.06 L/kg | -1.092 L/kg | -0.733 L/kg |
|       |                                           | BBB (Blood-Brain Barrier)                   | ++          | --          | --          | --          |
|       |                                           | BB ratio >0.1: BBB + BB ratio <0.1: BBB-     | 0.709       | 0.015       | 0.098       | 0.04         | 0.162        |
|       |                                           | P450 CYP1A2 inhibitor                        | +           | +           | ++          | ++          |

(continued on next page)
Table 4 (continued)

| Class | Properties | Pseudohypericin | Kaempferol 3-glucoside 7-rhamnoside | Kaempferol 7,4 diglucoside | Tribuloside | Tribulosin |
|-------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| P450 CYP1A2 substrate | - | 0.438 | 0.228 | 0.178 | 0.257 | 0.266 |
| P450 CYP1A4 inhibitor | - | 0.11 | 0.151 | 0.072 | 0.615 | 0.509 |
| P450 CYP2A4 substrate | 0.44 | 0.366 | 0.31 | 0.372 | 0.495 |
| P450 CYP2C9 inhibitor | - | 0.131 | 0.158 | 0.109 | 0.398 | 0.431 |
| P450 CYP2C9 substrate | - | 0.361 | 0.366 | 0.481 | 0.469 | 0.218 |
| P450 CYP2C19 inhibitor | - | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.075 | 0.268 | 0.088 |
| P450 CYP2C19 substrate | - | 0.51 | 0.405 | 0.35 | 0.322 | 0.378 |
| P450 CYP2D6 inhibitor | - | 0.332 | 0.351 | 0.323 | 0.406 | 0.334 |
| P450 CYP2D6 substrate | - | 0.333 | 0.276 | 0.305 | 0.345 | 0.275 |
| Excretion T1/2 (Half Life Time) | Moderate | Low | Low | Low | Low |
| ( >8h; high; 3h < Cl < 8h; moderate; <3h; low) | 3.042 h | 2.09 h | 2.194 h | 2.299 h | 2.70 h |
| Clearance rate (>15 mL/min/kg; high; 5 mL/min/kg; <5 mL/min/kg; moderate; <3 mL/min/kg; low) | 0.212 mL/min/kg | 0.701 mL/min/kg | 0.656 mL/min/kg | 0.998 mL/min/kg |
| Toxicity hERG (hERG blockers) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| hERG (hERG Blockers) | 0.511 | 0.582 | 0.648 | 0.672 | 0.664 |
| I-H-HT (Human Hepatotoxicity) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ames (Ames Mutagenicity) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Skin sensitization | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| FDADDD (Maximum Recommended Daily Dose) | 0.668 | 0.602 | 0.628 | 0.544 | 0.37 |

Table 5

| Biological Activities | 6,6'-Biapigenin | Candibrin G | Candibrin H | Catechin 5-O-gallate | Hypericin |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| 6,6'-Biapigenin | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi |
| Membrane integrity agonist | 0.946 | 0.004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Membrane permeability enhancer | 0.382 | 0.067 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Membrane permeability inhibitor | 0.929 | 0.003 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Anti-inflammatory | 0.561 | 0.040 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Immunostimulant | 0.214 | 0.122 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Antitussive | 0.308 | 0.036 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Respiratory analeptic | 0.374 | 0.078 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SARS treatment | 0.328 | 0.007 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Anthrombocitic | 0.273 | 0.122 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Antibiotics | 0.466 | 0.028 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Antibacterial | 0.439 | 0.021 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Antiviral (Hepatitis B) | 0.479 | 0.005 | 0.447 | 0.009 | 0.447 | 0.009 | 0.283 | 0.038 | 0.460 | 0.008 |
| Antiviral (Influenza) | 0.332 | 0.072 | - | - | - | - | 0.677 | 0.007 | 0.246 | 0.136 |
| Antiviral (Rhinovirus) | - | - | 0.425 | 0.067 | 0.425 | 0.067 | 0.512 | 0.020 | - | - |
| Antiviral (Herpes) | 0.467 | 0.014 | - | - | - | - | 0.454 | 0.017 | 0.429 | 0.024 |

| Biological Activities | Pseudo hypericin | Kaempferol 3-glucoside 7-rhamnoside | Kaempferol 7,4 diglucoside | Tribuloside | Tribulosin |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 6,6'-Biapigenin | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi | Pa | Pi |
| Membrane integrity agonist | 0.840 | 0.027 | 0.987 | 0.001 | 0.989 | 0.001 | 0.996 | 0.000 | - | - |
| Membrane permeability enhancer | 0.372 | 0.072 | 0.545 | 0.007 | 0.528 | 0.009 | 0.386 | 0.005 | 0.618 | 0.003 |
| Membrane permeability inhibitor | 0.756 | 0.019 | 0.982 | 0.001 | 0.978 | 0.001 | 0.987 | 0.000 | 0.481 | 0.159 |
| Anti-inflammatory | 0.390 | 0.101 | 0.724 | 0.013 | 0.748 | 0.010 | 0.759 | 0.009 | 0.757 | 0.010 |
| Immunostimulant | 0.254 | 0.098 | 0.611 | 0.022 | 0.626 | 0.020 | 0.467 | 0.042 | 0.567 | 0.027 |
| Antitussive | 0.149 | 0.143 | 0.434 | 0.016 | 0.571 | 0.007 | 0.337 | 0.029 | - | - |
| Respiratory analeptic | 0.222 | 0.153 | 0.659 | 0.018 | 0.693 | 0.015 | 0.506 | 0.036 | 0.770 | 0.010 |
| SARS treatment | 0.208 | 0.061 | 0.582 | 0.002 | 0.661 | 0.001 | 0.520 | 0.003 | - | - |
| Anthrombocitic | 0.225 | 0.173 | 0.643 | 0.011 | 0.641 | 0.012 | 0.666 | 0.010 | 0.596 | 0.016 |
| Antiviral (Hepatitis B) | 0.417 | 0.040 | 0.590 | 0.005 | 0.765 | 0.005 | 0.483 | 0.025 | - | - |
| Antituberculosic | 0.252 | 0.107 | 0.250 | 0.099 | 0.456 | 0.018 | 0.424 | 0.025 | - | - |
| Antiviral (Hepatitis B) | 0.451 | 0.009 | 0.452 | 0.009 | 0.484 | 0.005 | 0.422 | 0.012 | 0.174 | 0.125 |
| Antiviral (Influenza) | 0.251 | 0.131 | 0.717 | 0.005 | 0.714 | 0.005 | 0.667 | 0.008 | 0.384 | 0.052 |
| Antiviral (Rhinovirus) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Antiviral (Herpes) | 0.431 | 0.023 | 0.567 | 0.005 | 0.561 | 0.005 | 0.539 | 0.006 | 0.435 | 0.022 |
affirming that these bioactives have firm interactions with the target proteins. Further, the pathway enrichment analysis revealed that pathways related to immunity and apoptosis were common to both HMP bioactives. Hence, the current study warrants further investigations such as nanotechnology, nanoformulation, or pharma-nutraceutical formulations to enhance the absorption of these compounds. By these processes, we can improve the efficacy and reduce the off-target effects of HMP bioactives. Hence, the current study warrants further investigations to test the in vitro and in vivo effects of the selected HMP bioactives against the SARS-CoV-2 infection.
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