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Abstract

Background: Association between Cyclin D1 (CCND1) polymorphism and cervical cancer risk are conflicting with published articles. We performed a meta-analysis to investigate the association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk.

Methods: PubMed, Embase and CNKI data were researched to conduct a meta-analysis on the associations between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk. Ten published case–control studies including 2,864 patients with cervical cancer and 3,898 controls were collected in this meta-analysis. Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) were applied to assess the relationship; meta-regression, sensitivity analysis and cumulative analysis were also conducted to guarantee the strength of results.

Results: Overall, no significant association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk were found in allele contrast (A vs. G: OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.88–1.19, \( P = 0.76 \), \( I^2 = 74.5\% \)), codominant model (GA vs. GG: OR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.77–1.26, \( P = 0.90 \), \( I^2 = 69.1\% \)), dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG: OR = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.78–1.28, \( P = 0.99 \), \( I^2 = 73.9\% \)), and recessive model (AA vs. GG + GA: OR = 1.06, 95% CI = 0.85–1.23, \( P = 0.62 \), \( I^2 = 70.1\% \)). Similarly, in the stratified analysis by ethnicity, study design and genotyping type, no significant association detected in all genetic models either.

Conclusions: Our meta-analysis indicated that CCND1 G870A might be not the crucial risk factor for the development of cervical cancer.
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Background

Cervical cancer is one of the most common malignant diseases; it is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer death in females with approximately 529,800 new cases and 275,100 deaths among females in 2008 worldwide [1].

Cervical cancer is a multifactorial and multistep disease. Innate immune deficiency, environmental aggravation, and genetic mutation have been considered as important pathogenesis factors. New molecular epidemiological studies revealed that human papillomavirus (HPV), particularly HPV 16 and 18 infections may be the common and important factor contributing to the development of cervical cancer, which is known to cause approximately 70% of cervical cancers [2,3].

Abnormal cell proliferation is an important step in cancer development. Cyclins are a family of proteins that control cell progression through the cell cycle by activating...
cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) enzymes [4]. Cyclin D1 (CCND1) is a major regulatory protein that serves a critical function in the transition from G1 to S phase by binding to CDK4 and CDK6 to promote cell cycle progression during cell division [5]. Over-expression of CCND1 will induce tumor cells to pass the G1/S checkpoint of the cell cycle. Several studies have found that amplification of CCND1 and the aberrant expression of protein are associated with cell proliferation and poor prognosis in some cancers, such as head and neck cancer [6], lung cancer [7], and breast cancer [8].

Recently, molecular epidemiologic studies have directed considerable attention toward the association between genetic mutation and cancer susceptibility. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are the most common type of genetic variation among people. The change in a nucleotide may alter gene functions and may influence protein expression, which could inhibit or promote cell proliferation and increase susceptibility to cancer development. In 1995, Betticher et al. [9] reported a synonymous SNP (G870A) in the CCND1 gene. The A allele has a longer half-life than the G allele and has been postulated to increase CCND1 level. Such increase promotes the proliferation of abnormal cells and the escape of these cells from apoptosis.

Catarino et al. published the first research about the association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk in 2005 [10]. Since then, a large number of epidemiological studies have been conducted, but conclusions were inconsistent. In 2014, a recent meta-analysis was conducted by Wu et al., which only included considerable attention toward the association between genetic mutation and cancer susceptibility. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are the most common type of genetic variation among people. The change in a nucleotide may alter gene functions and may influence protein expression, which could inhibit or promote cell proliferation and increase susceptibility to cancer development. In 1995, Betticher et al. [9] reported a synonymous SNP (G870A) in the CCND1 gene. The A allele has a longer half-life than the G allele and has been postulated to increase CCND1 level. Such increase promotes the proliferation of abnormal cells and the escape of these cells from apoptosis.

Catarino et al. published the first research about the association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk in 2005 [10]. Since then, a large number of epidemiological studies have been conducted, but conclusions were inconsistent. In 2014, a recent meta-analysis was conducted by Wu et al., which only demonstrated that no significant association existed between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk. More detailed analysis on subgroup of study design, genotyping type and heterogeneity was not conducted [11]. We therefore performed the comprehensive meta-analysis to clarify the relationship between the CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk with all published studies.

Methods

Search strategy

Three electronic databases, including Pubmed, Embase, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), were searched with the terms “CCND1”, “Cyclin D1”, “cervical cancer”, and “polymorphism” for studies published from 1995 to June 2014. Additional studies were identified through manual searches of the references of original studies or review articles on the topic of interest. Only studies published in English or Chinese were included. All studies selected for our meta-analysis met the following criteria: (a) observational (case-control or prospective) studies on the association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk, (b) sufficient published data for estimating an odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI), and (c) the largest or most recent samples were selected when they overlapped with other studies.

Data extraction

Data from all included studies were extracted independently by two investigators (Niu and Zheng). The extracted data included the name of the first author, publication date, country of origin, sources of controls, racial descent of the study population (categorized as Asian, Caucasian, and Mixed), number of different genotypes, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in controls.

Statistical analysis

The strength of the association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer was evaluated by ORs with 95% CI, comprised with allele contrast (A vs. G), codominant model (GA vs. GG, AA vs. GG), dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG), and recessive model (AA vs. GG + GA). The HWE of the control group was assessed, and a P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant disequilibrium. Stratified analyses were used to evaluate ethnicity, study design, and genotyping type technique. Heterogeneity was explored with the use of a chi-squared test, and the quantity of heterogeneity was measured by the I² statistic. I² values of 25%, 50%, and 75% represent low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. The OR of each model was estimated by using the fixed-effects model (Mantel–Haenszel method) when I² ≤ 50%; otherwise, the random-effects model (DerSimonian and Laird method) was used. Meta-regression analyses were performed to assess potential covariates that can predict intertrial heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed on the basis of modified Egger’s bias test and Begg’s funnel plot. Statistical analysis was performed by using STATA versions 11.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). Two-sided P value (P < 0.05) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Study characteristic

A total of 25 possible articles were searched in Pubmed (n = 12), Embase (n = 9), and CNKI (n = 4) (Figure 1). Nine duplicates and three irrelevant references were excluded through abstract screening. Ten published case-control studies involving 2,864 patients with cervical cancer and 3,898 controls met our inclusion criteria [10,12-20]. The data included from each study on different populations are presented in Table 1. Only two studies deviated from HWE in control populations [13,15].

Meta-analysis

Overall, no significant association was found between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk
in this meta-analysis (Table 2). Values of ORs with 95% CI were as follows: allele contrast (A vs. G: OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.88-1.19, \( P = 0.76 \), \( I^2 = 74.5\% \)); codominant model (GA vs. GG: OR = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.77-1.26, \( P = 0.90 \), \( I^2 = 69.1\% \)); AA vs GG: OR = 1.03, 95% CI = 0.75-1.41, \( P = 0.85 \); dominant model (GA + AA vs. GG: OR = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.78-1.28, \( P = 0.99 \), \( I^2 = 72.3\% \), Figure 2); and recessive model (AA vs GG + GA: OR = 1.06, 95% CI = 0.85-1.23, \( P = 0.62 \), \( I^2 = 70.1\% \)). In the succeeding analysis of HWE studies, similar associations were found. In the stratified analyses of ethnicity, study design, and genotype, no significant association was found between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical risk in almost all models. Heterogeneity was observed in all five genotype models. Meta-regression and stratified analyses were conducted, but no critical factors were found to explain heterogeneity in the subgroup of ethnicity, design, and genotype either (e.g., GA + AA vs. GG model: \( P = 0.321 \) for ethnicity, \( P = 0.819 \) for design, and \( P = 0.398 \) for genotype).

Sensitivity analysis and cumulative analysis
Studies included in the meta-analysis were deleted one by one to reflect the influence of an individual dataset on the pooled ORs. The results were consistent (Figure 3 for the dominant model) for all of the researched genetic models, indicating that our results were statistically robust. In the cumulative meta-analysis, the result became negative form the second study of Jeon et al. [12] (Figure 4).

Publication bias
Funnel plot and Egger’s test were used to estimate publication bias. The shapes of the funnel plots for all genetic models did not reveal any asymmetrical evidence. Figure 5 shows the shapes of the funnel plots of the dominant model used to examine all publications in the meta-analysis. The result was further supported by the analysis of the data with Egger’s test. No significant publication bias was found in this meta-analysis (\( P = 0.643 \)).

| First author | Year | Country | Racial/descent | Source of controls | Case | Control | Genotype distribution | P for HWE | Genotyping type |
|--------------|------|---------|----------------|-------------------|------|---------|----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Catarino     | 2005 | Portugal| Caucasian      | Healthy base      | 143  | 103     | GG 56 GA 44 AA 4 9   | 0.091     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Jeon         | 2005 | Korean  | Asian          | Hospital base     | 222  | 314     | GG 49 GA 112 AA 61 80| 0.730     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Catarino     | 2008 | Portugal| Caucasian      | Hospital base     | 226  | 247     | GG 60 GA 103 AA 63 40| 0.037     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Satinder     | 2008 | India   | Asian          | Healthy base      | 150  | 150     | GG 33 GA 64 AA 53 30| 0.184     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Thakur       | 2009 | India   | Asian          | Hospital base     | 200  | 200     | GG 39 GA 94 AA 67 47| 0.006     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Castro       | 2009 | Sweden  | Caucasian      | Population base   | 952  | 1713    | GG 229 GA 463 AA 260| 0.367     | Multiplex PCR and hybridization |
| Ni           | 2011 | China   | Asian          | Hospital base     | 300  | 312     | GG 48 GA 160 AA 92 70| 0.051     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Warchol      | 2011 | Poland  | Caucasian      | Healthy base      | 129  | 288     | GG 35 GA 65 AA 29 116| 0.100     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Wang         | 2012 | China   | Asian          | Population base   | 327  | 411     | GG 86 GA 180 AA 61 92| 0.859     | PCR-RFLP        |
| Djansugurova | 2013 | Kazakhstan| Caucasian    | Healthy base      | 215  | 160     | GG 54 GA 103 AA 58 41| 0.752     | Direct sequencing |

*HWE in control.
|                  | A vs. G | GA vs. GG | AA vs. GG | GA + AA vs. GG | AA vs. GG + GA |
|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
|                  | N* | OR | 95% CI  | P | I² (%)a | OR | 95% CI  | P | I² (%)a | OR | 95% CI  | P | I² (%)a | OR | 95% CI  | P | I² (%)a |
| Total            | 10 | 1.02 | 0.88-1.19 | 0.76 | 74.5     | 0.98 | 0.77-1.26 | 0.90 | 69.1     | 1.03 | 0.75-1.41 | 0.85 | 75.9     | 1.00 | 0.78-1.28 | 0.99 | 72.3     |
| HWE              | 8   | 1.01 | 0.86-1.18 | 0.92 | 71.9     | 1.08 | 0.85-1.39 | 0.52 | 62.2     | 1.00 | 0.72-1.39 | 0.99 | 73.1     | 1.06 | 0.82-1.36 | 0.66 | 68.7     |
| Ethnicity        |      |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |
| Caucasian        | 5   | 0.99 | 0.79-1.25 | 0.95 | 78.1     | 0.84 | 0.52-1.35 | 0.47 | 82.8     | 0.92 | 0.56-1.52 | 0.75 | 79.8     | 0.87 | 0.54-1.39 | 0.55 | 84.5     |
| Asian            | 5   | 1.05 | 0.84-1.33 | 0.65 | 76.1     | 1.11 | 0.88-1.40 | 0.38 | 25.6     | 1.13 | 0.70-1.83 | 0.61 | 77.1     | 1.10 | 0.86-1.41 | 0.44 | 40.4     |
| Design           |      |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |
| Healthy base     | 4   | 0.98 | 0.71-1.36 | 0.91 | 76.8     | 0.88 | 0.47-1.64 | 0.70 | 78.1     | 0.90 | 0.45-1.78 | 0.76 | 77.5     | 0.89 | 0.47-1.67 | 0.71 | 81.3     |
| Hospital base    | 4   | 1.11 | 0.87-1.40 | 0.40 | 71.7     | 0.99 | 0.60-1.63 | 0.96 | 79.4     | 1.24 | 0.75-2.07 | 0.40 | 74.5     | 1.06 | 0.68-1.66 | 0.80 | 76.6     |
| Population base  | 2   | 0.94 | 0.64-1.39 | 0.76 | 91.0     | 1.08 | 0.91-1.28 | 0.38 | 0.0      | 0.87 | 0.39-1.95 | 0.73 | 91.2     | 1.00 | 0.70-1.44 | 0.99 | 72.7     |
| Genotyping type  |      |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |      |          |     |          |
| PCR-RFLP         | 8   | 1.00 | 0.82-1.23 | 0.97 | 78.3     | 0.94 | 0.67-1.33 | 0.73 | 75.3     | 0.98 | 0.64-1.51 | 0.93 | 79.6     | 0.96 | 0.68-1.34 | 0.79 | 77.3     |
| Other            | 2   | 1.12 | 1.01-1.25 | 0.03 | 0.0      | 1.11 | 0.92-1.33 | 0.27 | 0.0      | 1.25 | 1.02-1.54 | 0.03 | 0.0      | 1.16 | 0.97-1.37 | 0.10 | 0.0      |

*N*Numbers of comparisons *Test for heterogeneity. The significance of the bold values are all 0.03.
for A vs. G; GA vs. GG: $P = 0.427$; AA vs. GG: $P = 0.558$; (GA + AA) vs. GG: $P = 0.423$; AA vs. (GG + GA): $P = 0.884$).

**Discussion**

Cervical cancer is one of the most dangerous causes of health deficiency and death worldwide. Epidemiological studies have revealed that HPV infection is an important factor contributing to cervical cancer. Furthermore, gene mutation and abnormal tumor cell proliferation may serve critical functions in cancer development.

The CCND1 gene is located at chromosome 11q13 and encodes a key cell cycle regulatory protein with 295 amino acids. CCND1 is an activator of CDK, which can regulate cell division by accelerating/decelerating the transition from G1 to S phase. Over-expression of CCND1 could result in the aberrant proliferation of DNA damage and the accumulation of genetic errors. Some SNPs of CCND1 have been reported. The G-to-A mutation is found in a well-known locus in the boundary of exon 4 and intron 4. This mutation does not alter any amino acid in the protein.
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*Figure 2* OR of cervical cancer associated with CCND1 G870A polymorphism for the GA + AA vs. GG model in total.
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*Figure 3* Sensitivity analysis through deleting each study to reflect the influence of the individual dataset to the pooled ORs in GA + AA model.
sequence. CCND1 G870A mutation results in an alternatively spliced transcript with a longer half-life than the CCND1 G allele and thus enables abnormal cells to pass through the G1-S checkpoint easily. Previous studies have demonstrated that CCND1 G870A polymorphism is significantly associated with the development of various cancers, such as breast cancer, prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, and other cancer types [21-25].

In 2005, Catarino et al. firstly find that CCND1 GG polymorphism is associated with a 3.45-fold higher risk for the development of cervical cancer in a Portuguese population (OR = 3.45, 95% CI: 1.47-7.56) [10]. The same results were reported in a subsequent study in 2008 [13]. Similarly, the report by Wang et al. also demonstrated that the GG/GA genotype increased the cervical cancer risk in a Chinese population (OR = 3.31, 95% CI: 1.28-8.59) [19]. By contrast, Satinder et al. found that the AA genotype elevated cervical cancer susceptibility in Indians (OR = 3.7, 95% CI: 1.56-8.87) [14]. Thakur et al. also indicated in 2009 that Indian individuals carrying the AA genotype have a 2.49-fold increased risk of developing cervical cancer (OR = 2.49, 95% CI: 1.51-4.09) [15]. Moreover, other studies by Castro et al. [16] and Warchol et al. [18] found a
significant association between the A allele and cervical cancer risk. However, some other studies did not reveal any significant associations between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer.

In 2011, Ni et al. reported the first meta-analysis on the association between CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk [17]. Only five studies were included in their review. The small sample size without stratified and cumulative analysis may have influenced the strength of their results. Furthermore, in the subsequent meta-analysis of Wu et al., the strength of the conclusion was not enough due to the lack of detailed analysis [11]. Our updated meta-analysis, which includes 10 case–control studies with 2,864 patients and 3,898 controls, did not reveal any significant associations for all genetic models even when stratified analysis was conducted according to ethnicity, study design, and genotyping type. Moreover, the interaction between G870A polymorphism, HPV infectious status and cervical cancer risk was not conducted due to the deficiency of the data. Nevertheless, some current studies have shown that the presence of HPV infection combined with CCND1 G870A polymorphism might increase the risk of cervical cancer, which was consistent with the published reports with positive expression of HPV infection in cervical cancer [19,26,27]. Large sample size with more carefully stratified analyses, more exact statistic techniques and cumulative analysis and meta-regression analysis indicated that our results were statistically robust.

Our analysis has some limitations. First, some heterogeneity still exists despite stratified analysis, and the meta-regression could not be explained successfully. Second, some environmental factors, such as smoking, drinking, and HPV infection, were not included in our meta-analysis because of data deficiency. Third, the sample size was relatively small, which might have yielded false results and inaccurate conclusions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this meta-analysis indicated that CCND1 G870A polymorphism may not be a risk factor of cervical cancer development. Large and well-designed case–control studies are needed to validate our findings further.
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