Quantum magnetism in the cuprate superconductors is an intriguing issue. A detailed understanding of the dynamic spin susceptibility as measured by inelastic neutron scattering (INS) experiments should allow to clarify the role of magnetism in the mechanism of high-$T_c$ superconductivity. In particular two features have been in the focus of interest: the appearance of the so-called resonance peak [1,2] in the superconducting phase at the antiferromagnetic wave vector $Q_{AF} = (1/2, 1/2)$ (see Fig. 1b) at finite energies (e.g. 41 meV in optimally doped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-δ}$ (YBCO)) and the existence of stripe order which manifests itself in superstructure satellites around $Q_{AF}$ (Fig. 1b) [2–4]. In general, these superstructure satellites are incommensurate, but they may become commensurate for certain doping concentrations. For many years, these two features have been regarded as separate issues, each of them apparent in only one of the two families of cuprates on which most neutron studies have focused: La$_{2−x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (LSCO) and YBCO. But recent experimental results show that the resonance peak in YBCO is accompanied at lower energies by incommensurate reflections [5,6], and that stripe order appears also in YBCO [7–9]. Very recently, Tranquada et al. [10] observed a resonance peak at $Q_{AF}$ also in stripe-ordered La$_{15/8}$Ba$_{1/8}$CuO$_4$ (for $T > T_c$).

An $S=1$ collective mode (the resonance peak) in the superconducting phase is a prominent feature of many different theoretical scenarios. Its interpretation ranges from a particle-hole bound state (see Refs. in [1,2]) to a particle-particle bound state in SO(5) theory [11]. In the stripe-ordered phase the choice of the microscopic model is straightforward. Static stripe order corresponds to a segregation into hole-rich charge stripes and hole-poor spin ladders. Tranquada et al. [10] analyzed their INS data at high energies (including the resonance) in terms of the elementary triplet excitations (triplons [12]) of isolated two-leg ladders (Fig. 1a) which are realized in case of bond-centered stripes [13]. But the incommensurate low-energy excitations were described in a separate model as spin waves (magnons), motivated by the existence of weak long-range order.

Based on a two-dimensional model of coupled two-leg spin ladders, we derive a unified picture of recent neutron scattering data of stripe-ordered La$_{15/8}$Ba$_{1/8}$CuO$_4$, namely of the low-energy magnons around the superstructure satellites and of the triplon excitations at higher energies. The resonance peak at the antiferromagnetic wave vector $Q_{AF}$ in the stripe-ordered phase corresponds to a saddle point in the dispersion of the magnetic excitations. Quantitative agreement with the neutron data is obtained for $J = 130 − 160$ meV and $J_{cyc}/J = 0.2 − 0.25$.
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**FIG. 1.** (a) 2D square lattice split into two-leg $S=1/2$ ladders (full dots) coupled by bond-centered charge stripes (open dots). (b) Momentum space, coordinates in reciprocal lattice units $2\pi/a$ (rlu). Dashed: magnetic Brillouin zone around the antiferromagnetic wave vector $Q_{AF} = (1/2, 1/2)$. Open (full) dots: superstructure peaks from vertical (horizontal) ladders. (c) Momentum space rotated by 45° with $h' = h + k$ and $k' = k - h$, thus $Q_{AF} = (1, 0)$ in magnetic reciprocal lattice units $2\pi/\sqrt{2}a$ (mrlu). Dotted: see caption of Fig. 4.
triplets are odd excitations relative to rung singlets with respect to reflection about the centerline of the ladder. The Fourier transform of Eq. (2) yields

\[ S(k)^{a,R} = a(k)(t^\alpha_{h,k} + t^\alpha_{-h,-k}) . \]  

Below we only need the one-triplon weight \( a^2(k) \) (Fig. 2b), which is extrapolated in the same way as \( \omega^0_{\hbar} \). Relative to the total weight \( (S^\alpha)^2 = 1/4 \) of the local operator \( S^\alpha_i \), the \( k \)-integrated one-triplon weight \( \int_0^1 a^2(k) \, dk \) is 74\% [18], 70\%, 63\%, and 59\% for \( x_{\text{cyc}} = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \) and 0.25. The missing weight belongs to multi-triplon channels. By inclusion of \( J_{\text{cyc}} \), \( a^2(k) \) becomes more pronounced around \( k = 1/2 \).

The key idea is to couple neighboring ladders weakly by \( J' \) (see Fig. 1a):

\[ H' = -J' \sum_{h,k;\alpha} d_{h,k}(t^\alpha_{h,k} + t^\alpha_{-h,-k})(t^\alpha_{h,k} + t^\alpha_{-h,-k}) , \]  

where \( d_{h,k} := \cos(8\pi h) a^2(k) \) and \( h \) is the wave vector perpendicular to the ladders measured in \( \text{r.u.} \) of the square lattice, cf. Fig. 1. The total Hamiltonian \( H \) is the sum of \( H_{\text{ladder}} \) for all ladders and \( H' \). Since \( J' \ll J \) it is justified to neglect the hard-core constraint so that a Bogoliubov transformation yields \( H = \sum_{h,k;\alpha} \omega_{h,k} t^\alpha_{h,k} t^{\alpha\dagger}_{h,k} \) with

\[ \omega_{h,k} = \sqrt{\omega^0_{\hbar}^2 - 4J'd_{h,k}^2} . \]  

In the INS data [10] the minima are at \( h = 1/2 \pm 1/8 \), in agreement with a ferromagnetic \( J' < 0 \).

We intend to describe the physics at the quantum critical point where magnons just emerge [20], i.e. we choose \( J' \) such that \( \omega_{h,k} = 0 \) at the minima. The corresponding values for \( J' \) are -0.20, -0.13, -0.072, and -0.051 for \( x_{\text{cyc}} = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \) and 0.25. This is a physically plausible range [21]. The overall shape of the 2D dispersion \( \omega_{h,k} \) is depicted in Fig. 2c. Magnons with linear dispersion emerge from \( (1/2 \pm 1/8, 1/2) \). At \( Q_{\text{AF}} = (1/2, 1/2) \) a saddle point occurs. The dispersion at higher energies is hardly changed from its form for isolated spin ladders. This stems from the quadratic average (5) and from the suppression of the one-triplon hopping for smaller values of \( k \) due to the factor \( a^2(k) \), cf. Fig. 2b.

The one-triplon part of the dynamic structure factor \( S_{h,k}(\omega) \) reads

\[ S_{h,k}(\omega) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \text{Im} \frac{\sin^2(\pi \hbar a^2(k) \omega^0_{\hbar} \hbar a^2(k) \omega^0_{\hbar})}{(\omega + i0^+)^2 - \omega^2_{h,k}} . \]  

where the factor \( a^2(k) \) stems from (3), the factor \( \sin^2(\pi \hbar) \) from the interference of the two spins on a single rung, and \( \omega^0_{\hbar} \) from the Bogoliubov transformation. Both horizontal and vertical ladders contribute to the INS data, summing over different stripe domains. Thus we consider \( \tilde{S}_{h,k} (\omega) = (S_{h,k}(\omega) + S_{h,k}(\omega))/2 \). The constant-energy slices of \( \tilde{S}_{h,k}(\omega) \) shown in Fig. 3 (in the rotated

---

**FIG. 2.** (a), (b): dispersion \( \omega^0_k \) and spectral weight \( a^2(k) \) of one triplon in a two-leg \( S = 1/2 \) ladder with \( J_I = J_\perp = J \) and \( x_{\text{cyc}} = J_{\text{cyc}}/J_\perp \). (c) One-triplon dispersion \( \omega_{h,k} \) for coupled ladders at the quantum critical point \( J'/J = -0.072 \) for \( x_{\text{cyc}} = 0.2 \).
frame of Fig. 1c) agree very well with the experimental results [10]. At low energies (6 meV) the cones of magnons emerging from the superstructure satellites define a square around $Q_{AF}$. The main intensity of these cones has shifted towards $Q_{AF}$ at 36 meV, culminating in the resonance peak at the saddle point (44 meV). At still higher energies, the 1D character of the triplons of a quantum spin liquid predominates, giving rise to almost straight lines in the constant-energy slices. These lines form a diamond pattern which is rotated relative to the low-energy square.

The high-energy diamond is filled in the INS data, but not in our single-mode calculation. This discrepancy is caused by the finite experimental momentum resolution, by the decay of a single triplon (finite life time) into two or three triplons due to the interladder coupling, and by the missing two- and three-triplon channels of the isolated ladder (see above). The two-triplon weight piles up around $k = 1/2$ [18], which translates in 2D into a large contribution around $Q_{AF}$. Energetically, the lower edge of the three-triplon continuum is degenerate at $Q_{AF}$ with the one-triplon energy (e.g. for triplons with $q_1 = Q_{AF}$, $q_2 = -q_3 = (3/8, 1/2)$, and $\omega(q_2) = \omega(q_3) = 0$). Thus both channels contribute to the filling of the diamond.

For quantitative comparison we turn to the momentum integrated structure factor $S(\omega)$. The one-triplon contribution is shown in Fig. 4a. The saddle point gives rise to a logarithmic van Hove singularity which can be identified with the resonance peak observed in Ref. [10]. Qualitatively, pure spin wave calculations are similar in this respect [23]. A striking dependence of the singularity on $J_{cyc}$ is found. Values of $J \approx 140$–150 meV (for La$_2$CuO$_4$) and $x_{cyc} = 0.2$–0.3 are well established [15–17]. Using $x_{cyc} = 0.2$ (0.25), we find $J = 127$ (162) meV from the INS resonance energy. This procedure fixes also the absolute scale of the intensity (Fig. 4b). The quantitative agreement for the accepted exchange couplings strongly corroborates our approach. The remaining differences can be explained easily. (i) Our theory predicts a second sharp peak above 200 meV. There, the experimental resolution is only $\pm 20$ – 25 meV, so that the high-energy peak is washed out entirely (thin solid line in Fig. 4b). (ii) We find too much weight below $\approx 40$ meV because we do not consider a finite staggered magnetization.

The superstructure periodicity of 4$a$ with antiphase
domain structure manifests itself in the reciprocal space coordinates of the satellites \((n/8, 1/2)\) and \((1/2, n/8)\) with \(n\) odd. This order has been depicted either as three-leg ladders separated by a site-centered charge stripe or as the bond-centered charge stripes discussed here and in Ref. [10]. Recent \textit{ab initio} results [13] support the bond-centered scenario. The quantitative agreement in Figs. 3 and 4 corroborates the two-leg ladder scenario, but coupled three-leg ladders cannot be ruled out at present.

Earlier, a unified description of the resonance peak and of the low-energy satellites was proposed based on strictly 1D models [24]. But in 1D the satellites carry much more spectral weight than the resonance. A true resonant behavior emerges only from a 2D saddle point.

In stripe-ordered \(S = 1\) La\(_{2−x}\)Sr\(_x\)NiO\(_4\) (LNO) [25,26], isotropic magnons emerging from the superstructure satellites describe the INS data up to the highest measured energy \((≈ 100\) meV) [23]. In the nickelates, a spin wave picture is much more justified due to the larger spin \(S = 1\) and due to the large interladder coupling \(J’\), which was found to be \(J’/J ≈ 0.5 – 1\) [25,26,23]. This shifts the saddle point, where the magnon cones merge, to high energies. Indeed, enhanced intensity was observed at \(≈ 80\) meV at \(Q_{AF}\) [25]. In the cuprates, the saddle point is rather low due to the small value of \(J’\) and due to the sizeable value of \(J_{cyc}\) (Fig. 4a).

Our spin-only model aims at the magnetic response of the stripe-ordered phase. Let us nevertheless speculate about the possible implications for the magnetic properties of the superconducting phase. Since our calculation does not rely on long-range magnetic order, it is plausible that its qualitative features survive in the superconducting phase. The phase on the disordered side of the quantum critical point shows a finite spin gap [20], as observed experimentally [5,6,9]. A saddle point exists if the dispersion is large in one direction and small in the perpendicular direction. But in a metallic phase the damping due to charge degrees of freedom has to be considered. In stripe-ordered La\(_{15/8}\)Ba\(_{1/8}\)CuO\(_4\) the spin-only model is applicable because the damping is reduced by the charge order. Similarly, the appearance of the peak and of the incommensurate low-energy modes below \(T_c\) in nearly optimally doped YBCO [5] can be attributed to the vanishing damping if the resonance mode is lying below the particle-hole continuum. Note the stunning similarity of Fig. 3 with recent INS data of YBCO [27] showing depleted diamonds. The absence of the resonance peak in LSCO, with similar \(J\) but a smaller superconducting gap, suggests that the mode is located \textit{within} the continuum, where it is overdamped [28,29]. The downward dispersion below the resonance energy \(ω_\parallel\) [5–7,9] as well as the upward dispersion above \(ω_\perp\) [6,7,9] are generic features of our stripe model. Close to \(ω_\parallel\) the saddle point implies an almost circular intensity distribution in \(S_{\parallel\perp}\) which may reconcile recent INS data of optimally doped YBCO [6] with a stripe scenario.

In conclusion, we have given a unified description of low-energy 2D magnons, high-energy 1D triplons and of the resonance mode at \(Q_{AF}\) in stripe phases. Both the resonance energy and the spectral intensity agree quantitatively with the INS data of La\(_{15/8}\)Ba\(_{1/8}\)CuO\(_4\) [10] for realistic values of \(J ≈ 130–160\) meV and \(J_{cyc}/J ≈ 0.2 – 0.25\). This underlines the significance of quasi-1D quantum magnetism in the 2D cuprates.
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While we wrote this manuscript we became aware of a mean-field calculation of \(ω_{h,k}\) based on coupled dimers [30] leading to qualitatively similar results as in Fig. 3.
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