Integrated structural and functional analysis of the protective effects of kinetin against oxidative stress in mammalian cellular systems
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Metabolism and signaling of cytokinins was first established in plants, followed by cytokinin discoveries in all kingdoms of life. However, understanding of their role in mammalian cells is still scarce. Kinetin is a cytokinin that mitigates the effects of oxidative stress in mammalian cells. The effective concentrations of exogenously applied kinetin in invoking various cellular responses are not well standardized. Likewise, the metabolism of kinetin and its cellular targets within the mammalian cells are still not well studied. Applying vitality tests as well as comet assays under normal and hyper-oxidative states, our analysis suggests that kinetin concentrations of 500 nM and above cause cytotoxicity as well as genotoxicity in various cell types. However, concentrations below 100 nM do not cause any toxicity, rather in this range kinetin counteracts oxidative burst and cytotoxicity. We focus here on these effects. To get insights into the cellular targets of kinetin mediating these pro-survival functions and protective effects we applied structural and computational approaches on two previously testified targets for these effects. Our analysis deciphers vital residues in adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) and adenosine receptor (A2A-R) that facilitate the binding of kinetin to these two important human cellular proteins. We finally discuss how the therapeutic potential of kinetin against oxidative stress helps in various pathophysiological conditions.

The small-molecule adenosine N6-furfuryladenine (N6FFA: kinetin) is commonly used by the plant community as a low-priced proxy for the natural cytokinins (CKs) in plant tissue-culture experiments. CKs are a group of phytohormones influencing the entire bauplan of plants; ranging from seed germination, cell division, flowering, organogenesis, immunity, and communication until senescence of the plant. In plants, kinetin binds to almost all known CKs canonical pathway receptors and invokes analogous physiological responses as many more specific CK-types. The naturally occurring CKs in plants are isoprenoid-type CKs, for instance, isopentenyl adenine (iP), trans-zeatin (IZ), cis-zeatin (cZ), and dihydrozeatin (DZ) are the most common forms. The majority of naturally occurring CKs exist as free (active forms) bases. Cytokinins conjugate with sugars or amino acid residues and thus form inactive forms. Previously, CKs were assumed to be exclusively present in the kingdom Plantae; however, their discovery in all forms of life except Archaea, have changed the former notion. Likewise, land plants are considered to be the only eukaryotes that harbor two-components system (TCS) that senses and transduces the signal of CKs. No such CKs-sensing circuitry has ever been reported for mammalian cells. More intriguingly, many human pathogens such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis and rodent malarial parasites such as apicomplexan...
parasites *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Plasmodium berghei* also produce and sense the presence of CKs. These and alike studies underscore the biological significance of CKs in *ex- planta* cellular systems.

Besides their emerging biological implications for animal-host pathogen interaction systems, CKs have been gaining attention for their potential therapeutic roles as anticancer agents in vitro cell cultures. Much emphasis has been placed on assessing new CKs with cytotoxic effects on cancer cell lines. On the contrary, CKs have been used to mediate anti-ageing effects for fibroblast lines, as a novel neuroprotectant and anti-inflammatory agent. Insights into the roles of kinetin through exogenous application in mammalian cells has gained attention through these studies; however, much remains to be explored about the in vivo metabolism and the endogenous function of CKs in mammalian cells. In this regard, Seegobin et al. unequivocally detected the presence of seven CK forms in a wide selection of canine tissues: iPRP (mono-, di-, and triphosphate), *cis*-zeatin riboside (*cZR*), *cis*-zeatin nucleotide (*cZR*P), mono-, di-, and triphosphate), 2-methylthio-isopentenyladenine (2MeSiP), 2-methylthio-isopentyl-adenosine (2MeSiPR) and 2-methylthio-zeatin riboside (2MeSZR) by mass spectrometry. Another interesting development is the metabolism of benzylaminopurine (BAP) in HeLa cells; a 12-fold decrease in BAPR concentration was observed within the first 24-h of incubation of BAP with HeLa cells. These findings point to the notion that mammalian cells possess the enzymatic pathways for the metabolism of both endogenous and exogenous CKs.

Kinetin was first isolated from human urine decades ago. However, its exact biogenesis in mammalian cells, its functional implications and toxicity effects were not intensively assessed until now. Recently, it was demonstrated that under sub-optimal availability of ATP, mammalian neuronal cells generate kinetin through Fenton reaction as a natural product of oxidative-DNA-damage. Kinetin protects Huntington's disease models in a dose dependent manner, and that exogenous application of 1–10 µM concentration of kinetin improves the viability of the mutant huntingtin expressing cells with no significant toxicity effects on the neuron. On the contrary, 1 µM exogenously applied kinetin was previously shown to increase cytotoxicity as well as genotoxicity in HeLa cells. Quite recently, kinetin was shown to increase the inclusion levels of exon 20 of IκB kinase (IKBAP) in cells derived from familial dysautonomia (FD) patients; however, the effective dosage (10 µM) of kinetin in FD patients led to severe toxicity effects. These various reports demonstrate opposing effects manifested by various doses of kinetin in diverse cell types under various pathophysiological conditions. Here, we systematically assessed the effect of kinetin concentrations on four different cell lines under conditions of oxidative stress.

Materials and methods

**Cell lines.** All examined cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. HL-60, a human promyelocytic cell line, HL-60 cells were cultured three times per week at 37 °C, 5% (v/v) CO₂ in RPMI 1,640 medium, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% (w/v) l-glutamine and 0.4% (w/v) antibiotics (50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 mg/mL streptomycin).

HDF (Human Dermal Fibroblast), A549 cells, the adeno-carcinomic human alveolar basal epithelial cells and WI38, the a diploid human cell line composed of fibroblasts derived from lung tissue were cultured two times per week at 37 °C, 5% (v/v) CO₂ in RPMI 1,640 medium, supplemented with 15% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% (w/v) l-glutamine and 1% (w/v) antibiotics (50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 mg/mL streptomycin).

**Vitality test.** Vitality staining was applied for the cell lines which were treated with different concentrations of kinetin for 24 h. 0.35 × 10⁶ cells were cultured in six well plates for 24 h in a control medium. After treatment with kinetin or H₂O₂ as positive control, cells were collected, and 2:1 mixture of the cell suspension and staining solution (Gel Red Biotrend, Germany; fluorescein diacetate) was prepared. 20 μL of this mixture was applied to the slide, 200 cells from each sample were examined at a 500-fold magnification with a fluorescence microscope for the fraction of green (viable cells) vs red (dead) cells.

**Comet assay.** The alkaline version of the comet assay was applied in order to detect DNA single and double strand breaks in the kinetin treated cells. The method was applied to quantify the Kinetin-induced DNA damage in the mammalian cell lines. The following procedure were applied: (1 × 10⁶) cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations of kinetin either alone or in combination with H₂O₂, for 30 min post kinetin treatment.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines.** All examined cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection.

HL-60, a human promyelocytic cell line, HL-60 cells were cultured three times per week at 37 °C, 5% (v/v) CO₂ in RPMI 1,640 medium, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% (w/v) l-glutamine and 0.4% (w/v) antibiotics (50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 mg/mL streptomycin).

HDF (Human Dermal Fibroblast), A549 cells, the adeno-carcinomic human alveolar basal epithelial cells and WI38, the a diploid human cell line composed of fibroblasts derived from lung tissue were cultured two times per week at 37 °C, 5% (v/v) CO₂ in RPMI 1,640 medium, supplemented with 15% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% (w/v) l-glutamine and 1% (w/v) antibiotics (50 U/mL penicillin, and 50 mg/mL streptomycin).

**Vitality test.** Vitality staining was applied for the cell lines which were treated with different concentrations of kinetin for 24 h. 0.35 × 10⁶ cells were cultured in six well plates for 24 h in a control medium. After treatment with kinetin or H₂O₂ as positive control, cells were collected, and 2:1 mixture of the cell suspension and staining solution (Gel Red Biotrend, Germany; fluorescein diacetate) was prepared. 20 μL of this mixture was applied to the slide, 200 cells from each sample were examined at a 500-fold magnification with a fluorescence microscope for the fraction of green (viable cells) vs red (dead) cells.

**Comet assay.** The alkaline version of the comet assay was applied in order to detect DNA single and double strand breaks in the kinetin treated cells. The method was applied to quantify the Kinetin-induced DNA damage in the mammalian cell lines. The following procedure were applied: (1 × 10⁶) cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations of kinetin either alone or in combination with H₂O₂, for 30 min post kinetin treatment.
The cells with all different treatments were harvested, a mixture of 20 µL of this suspension as well as 180 µL of 0.5% low melting point agarose were prepared. Cells were fixed on slides which covered with high melting point agarose (1.5%). To lyse the cell membrane and nuclear membrane, the slides were subsequently incubated for 1 h at 4 °C in lysis buffer (2.5 M NaCl, 0.1 M EDTA, 0.01MTris, and 10 g/L sodium N-lauroylsarcosine adjusted to pH 10) mixed with 1% Triton X-100 and 10% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). After washing the slides, they were placed for 20 min in electrophoresis solution which is a mixture of 5 M NaOH and 0.2 M EDTA and adjusted to pH 13.0. Electrophoresis was conducted for 20 min at 25 V and 300 mA, adjusted with the electrophoresis solution. Neutralization of the slides with 0.4 M Tris buffer (pH 7.5), was followed by fixation in cold methanol for 5 min at 20 °C. These methanol fixed slides were then dried at 37 °C for 10 min and afterwards stored at room temperature. Before evaluation, 20 µL of GelRed (1:100)/diazabicyclo-octane (1:4) solution was added to each slide and images of 100 cells at the middle of the slide (50 per replicate slide) were analyzed with a fluorescence microscope (Labophot2; Nikon GmbH) at 200-fold magnification using image analysis software (Komet 5; BFI Optilas). In three independent experiments we quantified (averaged) of the percentage of DNA in the tail as read-out of the DNA damage.

**Molecular docking and molecular dynamic simulation of kinetin to APRT enzyme and to A2A-R receptor.** The molecular modelling of the Kinetin-APRT complex was performed using the Molecular Operating Environment Suite (MOE by CCG)\(^\text{26}\). The 1ORE, 3T4S and 2YDO RCSB entries were used for this experiment, which are the crystal structures of the human APRT protein, the AHK4 and A2A-R structures, respectively\(^\text{26}\). The 3D models were subsequently energetically optimized the CHARMM27 forcefield as it is implemented in the Gromacs Suite. The docking module of MOE was used for establishing the in-silico biological complexes of the Kinetin to APRT and A2A-R. A FFT—fast Fourier transformation pipeline is utilized by MOE for the docking experiment. The overall score is influenced by the model's packing, electrostatic, solvation and hydrophobic energies. Transient complexes of proteins are kept in a local database and their contact properties are statistically used for docking. The top hits of the docking experiment were energetically optimized using energy minimization pipelines to relieve the models from any residual geometrical strain. Finally, the Drugster suite was used to perform a final and rapid energy minimization step using an implicit Generalized Born (GB) water model. The interaction pattern and overall fold of the final three kinetin complexes was subjected to molecular dynamics simulations, Molecular dynamics simulations\(^\text{27}\) were executed in an explicitly SPC water solvated periodic cubic system. Counter-ions were used as required to neutralize the molecular system. The two water solvated in silico kinetin complexes (APRT-kinetin and A2A-R-kinetin, with 34,385 and 99,981 atoms respectively) were subjected to one hundred nanoseconds of molecular dynamics at 300 K and at 2 fs step size\(^\text{28}\).

**Results and discussion**

**Critical kinetin concentrations mediate cell viability and invoke cytotoxic responses from mammalian cells.** In a previous study, we showed that in low concentrations (below 100 nM) kinetin protects mammalian cells against oxidative stress, whereas high concentrations (above 100 nM) exhibited an opposite activity as it induced genotoxicity and cytotoxicity in the treated cells\(^\text{19}\). We tested cells with diverse potencies and functional capabilities such as HL-60 cells, HaCaT human keratinocyte cells, NRK rat epithelial kidney cells and human peripheral lymphocytes. In all these cellular systems kinetin concentrations above 100 nM induced cytotoxicity in the treated cells\(^\text{19}\). The concentrations of kinetin that protect cells from cyto/geno-toxicity in other studies are far higher (µM-concentrations \(^\text{13,18}\)) than those we used to rescue various cells from oxidative stress. To address this discrepancy, we redesigned experiments to find critical kinetin concentrations that cause cellular protection or mediate cytotoxicity to better ascribe kinetin functions in an era where it already got much attention for its therapeutic potential in mammalian cells.

We examined human promyelocytic HL-60 cells for cytotoxicity and genotoxicity after a 24 h treatment with low and high concentrations of kinetin. Cells which were treated with less than 500 nM kinetin did not show significant reduction in cell viability and no genotoxicity was induced. However, increasing the concentration beyond 500 nM we noticed cytotoxic as well as genotoxic effects of kinetin (Fig. 1A,B). We also exposed cells to 75 µM H₂O₂ in order to emulate conditions where cell burst occurs. A low dose of kinetin (0.1 µM) protected the cells against H₂O₂-induced genotoxicity, but by increasing the concentration of kinetin the protective effect was lost (Fig. 1B). It is noteworthy to mention that we did not see an additive effect in terms of genotoxicity between higher kinetin concentrations and addition of H₂O₂ to the cells. To confirm our results another cell line from different origin and with different potencies was examined. The human dermal fibroblast (HDF) cells were treated with low and high concentrations of kinetin. Cells which were treated with less than 500 nM kinetin did not show any significant reduction in cell viability as compare to mock treatment as well as lower (0–100 µM) concentrations. Likewise, the treatment of A549 cells with kinetin concentrations up to 100 µM did not show any significant reduction in cell viability (Fig. 1F).

We are of the view that kinetin mediates both protection as well as vulnerability of mammalian cells at lower and higher doses, respectively. In either case, the kinetin actions have interesting biomedical implications. The lower concentrations can be effective in pathophysiological conditions that lead to oxidative stress while higher doses may counteract cell proliferation by promoting apoptosis. We speculate that in higher and lower concentrations kinetin might have different cellular targets in invoking different cellular responses. Both these
hypotheses pertaining cellular protection or cytotoxicity need detailed investigation involving small-molecule protein interaction studies as well as the metabolic fate of CKs in mammalian cells.

The focus of this work is to further analyze the pro-cell survival and longevity-like responses mediated by kinetin in conferring protection against oxidative stress in mammalian cells. On the other hand, the pro-apoptotic and cytotoxic phenotypes that kinetin causes in higher concentrations require an independent focus and study not followed further here.

To further substantiate the pro-survival functions of kinetin in favor of mitigating oxidative stress in structural detail we selected two previously testified targets of kinetin in mammalian cells for detailed structural biology analysis.

Figure 1. Survival and toxicity mediated by kinetin within mammalian cellular systems. (A) Vitality assay for HL-60; (B) comet assay for HL-60; (C) vitality assay for HDF; (D) comet assay for HDF; (E) vitality assay for A549; (F) vitality assay for WI38. Cells treated with different concentrations of kinetin and/or 75 µM H₂O₂. *Significantly different from negative control and ∆significantly different from H₂O₂ treated cells. Data are shown as averages ± SD from three independent experiments. Statistical significance among multiple groups was tested by the Kruskal–Wallis test. Individual groups were then tested using the Mann Whitney U-test and results were considered significant if the p-value was < 0.05.
Structural insights on human APRT metabolic enzyme as kinetin target in mammalian cells. It was recently demonstrated that mammalian cells harbor the enzymatic pathways for the metabolism of endogenously occurring and exogenously supplied cytokinin to cells \(^{18}\). The enzyme APRT, which is known to convert free bases in plants directly into the nucleotide fraction, has never been linked to a mammalian cellular system until recently \(^{18}\). To get further insights into the evolutionary conservation of APRT, we aligned 19 different protein sequences involving human, bacterial species (e.g. *Francisella* sp.), yeast (*Saccharomyces* sp.), protozoa (*Leishmania* sp.) and archaea (*Sulfolobus*). The catalytic signature is shown as logo on the top of the alignment.

![Figure 2. The conserved phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) domain in various life forms. The multiple sequence alignment shows conservation among APRT enzyme sequences from various lifeforms: human, bacterial species e.g. *Francisella* sp., yeast (*Saccharomyces* sp.), protozoa (*Leishmania* sp.) and archaea (*Sulfolobus*). The catalytic signature is shown as logo on the top of the alignment.](image)

Intriguingly, the kinetin mediated protection from genotoxicity (Fig. 1) may involve the action of APRT. Recently it was demonstrated that DNA is oxidized via the Fenton reaction by ROS; this culminates in the excision of kinetin-riboside by the DNA damage-repair machinery \(^{18}\). The enzyme APRT salvages the excision product kinetin to kinetin triphosphate (KTP). KTP, which is an ATP analogue and is used as a phosphate donor by Casine Kinase 2 (CK2) to modify DNA-repair proteins in favor of cell survival and DNA-repair mechanisms \(^{18,29}\). Thus, the exogenous administration (or endogenous production) of kinetin potentiates cellular DNA-repair mechanism. Our structural analysis thus pinpoints vital residues that may affect the binding of kinetin to APRT in rescuing cells from genotoxic conditions. In this regard, the outcome of our molecular dynamic simulations can be exploited for functional and genetic approaches (generation of mutants for the mentioned sites) to further explore the binding dynamics of kinetin to the enzyme APRT.

A2A-R examined as potential cell surface binding site for kinetin and its comparison with the kinetin binding CHASE-domain of histidine kinases in plants. Looking at the various biological
activities\(^{18}\) CKs perform, such as anti-senescence, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidation and anti-cancer\(^ {30}\), the metabolic enzymes such as APRT might not be the only proteins that kinetin interacts within the mammalian cells. Rather there is a vast array of non-enzymatic proteins (receptors, transcription factors and regulatory factors) expected to interact with kinetin once administrated to/or produced by the cells. We look now in structural detail at the best suggested targets, conveying the observed kinetin effects and comparing plant cytokinin sensing receptors proteins to analogous mammalian counterparts. One of these putative targets is the adenosine A2A-receptor, where the binding of zeatin-riboside has been shown to prevent the serum-induced cellular apoptosis by acting on this receptor\(^ {14}\). Most of the CKs are adenine derived regulatory molecules that bind to the CHASE-domain of histidine kinases (AHKs) in plants and microbial cells\(^ {8,31,32}\). Structurally, adenosine is an adenine with added ribose sugar that binds to A2A-R, and so does the naturally occurring CK zeatin-riboside\(^ {14}\). Whereas the role of A2A-R is well established in cellular apoptosis, we anticipate that kinetin prevents cytotoxicity and apoptosis in various cell lines (Fig. 1) by acting upon the A2A-R receptor in mammalian cells.

| Ligand | Receptor | Interaction | Distance | E (kcal/mol) |
|--------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| N7     | OE1 GLU 104 (B) | H-donor     | 2.69     | −6.3         |
| N6     | O VAL 25 (B) | H-donor     | 3.12     | −1.9         |
| N6     | OE1 GLU 104 (B) | H-donor     | 2.86     | −5.1         |
| N9     | NH2 ARG 67 (B) | H-acceptor  | 3.77     | −0.8         |
| N6     | O HOH 332 (B) | H-acceptor  | 3.61     | −1.2         |
| N1     | CA PHE 26 (B) | H-acceptor  | 3.45     | −0.5         |
| N1     | N ARG 27 (B) | H-acceptor  | 2.98     | −4.4         |
| N9     | NH1 ARG 67 (B) | H-acceptor  | 3.02     | −7.6         |
| N9     | NH2 ARG 67 (B) | H-acceptor  | 3.52     | −1.2         |

6-ring CD1 LEU 129 (B) pi-H 3.69 − 0.5
5-ring CD2 LEU 129 (B) pi-H 3.94 − 1.2

Table 1. Enzyme–substrate binding report for adenine and kinetin binding to the APRT.
Figure 3. Kinetin binding interactions to APRT, A2A-R and AHK4. Upper panel: Kinetin and APRT (docking) (A): top is the molecular interactions of the adenine molecule and bottom with kinetin in the human APRT enzyme. The molecular interactions are showing for each molecule in 2D interaction maps. (B) The final pose of kinetin in the human APRT enzyme, while showing the amino acids it interacts with. Middle panel: Kinetin and A2A-R (docking) (A) top is the molecular interactions of the adenosine molecule and bottom with kinetin in A2A-R. The molecular interactions are showing for each molecule in 2D interaction maps. (B) The final pose of kinetin in A2A-R, while showing the amino acids it interacts with. Lower panel: Kinetin and AHK4 (crystal structure) (A): The molecular interactions of the Kinetin as crystalized in AHK4. (B) The crystalized pose of kinetin in AHK4, while showing the amino acids it interacts with.
To get insights into the binding dynamics of kinetin to mammalian A2A-R, we compared structural and sequence homology between the binding socket of adenosine to A2A-R and that of CHASE-domain in AHK4 to which kinetin binds. We compared the sequence of the *A. thaliana* AHK4-CHASE-domain (PDB ID: 3T4S33) with human A2A-R protein and did not find any significant homology (Supplementary Fig. S1). We performed structural alignment between these two proteins in MOE and found no significant overlap between their PDB derived crystal structures. Furthermore, we visualized the crystal structure of *A. thaliana* AHK4 complex with kinetin and compared it with crystal structure of human A2A-R complex with adenosine. We located the interacting residues of both these complexes; AHK4 A chain residues Asp262 and Leu 284 interact with kinetin (Fig. 3, lower panel). Likewise, A2A-R residues Glu169, Asn253, Ser277 and His278 interact with adenosine (Fig. 3, Table 2). Apparently, there are no common residues between AHK4 and A2A-R in their respective binding pockets for these two-adenine derived small molecules. This is well in line with the long-standing knowledge that plants are unique among the higher eukaryotes that sense cytokinin with a TCS pathway and that cytokinin/kinetin perceiving CHASE-domains33 are not present in mammalian cells.

We then docked kinetin to A2A-R and found that kinetin does not bind to A2A-R in an adenosine-like pattern using a repertoire of molecular interactions (Fig. 3, Table 2). More intriguingly, we found that there is another active site like pocket where kinetin binds to A2A-R and that kinetin binding dynamics to A2A-R are different from the binding of adenosine (Table 2). Future studies focusing on the kinetin binding residues of A2A-R will help elucidate the molecular basis of kinetin actions in animal cells. The alternative binding sites on A2A-R nominate kinetin as potential agonist for dealing with oxidative stress arising from various pathophysiological conditions.

| Ligand | Receptor | Interaction | Distance | E (kcal/mol) |
|--------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Adenosine interactions to A2A-R |
| O3′  | O HOH 2017 (A) | H-donor | 2.73 | −1.0 |
| O3′  | OG SER 277 (A) | H-donor | 2.79 | −1.8 |
| O2′  | O HOH 2016 (A) | H-donor | 2.74 | −1.4 |
| N6  | OE2 GLU 169 (A) | H-donor | 3.06 | −4.3 |
| N6  | OD1 ASN 253 (A) | H-donor | 3.11 | −3.3 |
| O3′  | O HOH 2017 (A) | H-acceptor | 2.73 | −1.0 |
| O3′  | OG SER 277 (A) | H-acceptor | 2.79 | −1.7 |
| O2′  | O HOH 2016 (A) | H-acceptor | 2.74 | −1.0 |
| N7  | ND2 ASN 253 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.60 | −2.2 |
| N1  | O HOH 2018 (A) | H-acceptor | 2.72 | −1.3 |
| N3  | O HOH 2016 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.04 | −2.4 |
| 5-ring | 6-ring PHE 168 (A) | pi-pi | 3.61 | −0.0 |
| 6-ring | 6-ring PHE 168 (A) | pi-pi | 3.80 | −0.0 |
| Kinetin interactions to A2A-R |
| CAM 1 | CG2 THR 88 (A) | H-donor | 3.96 | −0.5 |
| CAO 3 | CG2 THR 88 (A) | H-donor | 3.70 | −0.7 |
| CAN 5 | CG2 VAL 84 (A) | H-donor | 3.56 | −0.8 |
| CAP 9 | CD2 LEU 249 (A) | H-donor | 4.03 | −0.6 |
| C2 15 | CG LEU 249 (A) | H-donor | 3.50 | −0.5 |
| N3 17 | OD1 ASN 253 (A) | H-donor | 2.83 | −3.6 |
| N7 21 | CD1 ILE 274 (A) | H-donor | 3.52 | −0.5 |
| N9 24 | CG GLU 169 (A) | H-donor | 3.48 | −0.7 |
| N9 24 | OE2 GLU 169 (A) | H-donor | 2.80 | −4.4 |
| N9 24 | CE MET 270 (A) | H-donor | 3.33 | −0.9 |
| CAM 1 | CG2 THR 88 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.96 | −0.5 |
| CAO 3 | CG2 THR 88 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.70 | −0.7 |
| CAN 5 | CG2 VAL 84 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.56 | −0.8 |
| CAP 9 | CD2 LEU 249 (A) | H-acceptor | 4.03 | −0.6 |
| N6 12 | O HOH 2016 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.02 | −1.3 |
| C2 15 | CG LEU 249 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.50 | −0.5 |
| N7 21 | CD1 ILE 274 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.52 | −0.5 |
| N7 21 | O HOH 2016 (A) | H-acceptor | 2.86 | −3.0 |
| N9 24 | CG GLU 169 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.48 | −0.7 |
| N9 24 | CE MET 270 (A) | H-acceptor | 3.33 | −0.9 |
| 5-ring | NE2 HIS 278 (A) | pi-H | 3.36 | −1.4 |

Table 2. Ligand interactions report for the binding of adenosine and kinetin to A2A-R receptor.
Future perspective and work on kinetin-binding proteins (KBPs) and kinetin mediated metabolic and transcriptional changes in mammalian cells. To further understand the molecular basis of protection kinetin confers to mammalian cells against oxidative stress, we discuss few methodologies that will shed light on the detailed understanding of kinetin functions in mammalian cells at the molecular level. Desired cells will be treated with kinetin, kinetin-riboside or H2O2 (to envision the effect of oxidative stress: Fig. 1) prior to RNA isolation and the generation of transcriptional datasets. Likewise, metabolomes datasets can be generated by using cells after treating cells with kinetin. Both transcriptomes and metabolomes datasets can be combined to assess a genome scale metabolic network model in order to infer about the metabolic capacity endowed by the kinetin treatment to the cells. Likewise, kinetin-immobilized resins or beads and columns can be prepared to scrutinize KBPs in the cellular proteomes. Protein extract from the target cells can be loaded onto a kinetin-linked resin. The loaded column will be washed to remove non-specifically bound proteins. Column-retained proteins will be eluted with loading buffer containing high concentration (mM) kinetin and will be analyzed. Eluted proteins will then be subjected to mass spectrometry. Selected proteins can be characterized and their affinity kinetics to kinetin can be assessed. These and alike approaches will further underscore the molecular mechanisms of the protection kinetin confers to mammalian cells against oxidative stress.

In conclusion, our analysis underscores the critical kinetin concentrations that protect cells from cytotoxicity under normal and pathophysiological conditions. The endogenous production of kinetin under stressed conditions or its exogenous application can be metabolized by animal cells and the enzyme APRT plays an important role in the metabolism of kinetin. We identified important residues in the catalytic cleft of APRT that facilitate the binding of kinetin to this evolutionary conserved enzyme across different life forms. Our computational and structural analysis provides evidence of the binding dynamics of kinetin and the human A2A-R receptor. Future concerted efforts in finding kinetin and CK drug targets in the human proteome will be instrumental in harnessing the applied benefits of kinetin as an alley to a new generation of pharmaceuticals.
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