Nosocomial cross-infection of hypervirulent Listeria monocytogenes sequence type 87 in China
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Background: To investigate the epidemiological and phenotypic characteristics and molecular relatedness of L. monocytogenes, which were cultured from the blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples isolated from two neonates.

Methods: In the present case study, two infected neonates were interviewed and epidemiological investigation performed. The phenotypic characteristics and molecular relatedness of L. monocytogenes was characterized by serotyping, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and whole-genome sequencing (WGS).

Results: The field investigation found that the two neonates were born in the same hospital (Hospital B) and admitted to the neonatal department through different channels within half an hour by different nurses, where they were weighed and placed in different but adjacent incubators. Then they were cared for by the same group of nurses that evening. It is worth noting that there was no record of sanitation of the neonatal incubator of neonate-1. The serotype of the two isolated L. monocytogenes were 1/2b, with an indistinguishable pulsotypes and were sequence type (ST) 87. WGS showed that there were no core SNP differences identified. In order to explore the genomic traits associated with L. monocytogenes virulence genes, we identified the Listeria pathogenicity island 4 and found that the genome was devoid of any stress islands. There are no positive results from the environmental samples. Considering the genomic data together with epidemiological evidence and clinical symptoms, insufficient surface cleaning along with the nursing staff caring for these neonates was considered as cross-infection factors.

Conclusions: To our knowledge, this is the first report of a nosocomial cross-infection of L. monocytogenes ST87 between two neonates, which carries the recently identified gene cluster expressing the cellolbiose-family phosphotransferase system (PTS-LIPI-4) between two neonates. The test results of environmental samples in the hospital indicate that strict sterilization and patient isolation measures cannot be emphasized enough in neonatal nursing.
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Introduction

*Listeria monocytogenes* is a pathogen of animals and humans, which can be transmitted through food and water. Well-defined population groups, such as the elderly, immune compromised, the immunocompromised pregnant women and neonates, are particularly susceptible to listeriosis, and the average mortality rate is 20% to 35% (1-3). Human listeriosis is a major public health challenge globally (4) and outbreaks associated with *L. monocytogenes* are increasing (1,5,6).

*L. monocytogenes* can be divided into four phylogenetic lineages, four PCR-sero-groups and 13 serotypes. Serotypes 1/2a (lineage II), is predominantly associated with food-derived sources, along with serotypes 1/2b and 4b (lineage I) are responsible for 95% of human cases reported (7). Detection of these different serotypes can help us to determine its geographical origin; for example, serotypes 1/2a and 4b are prevalent in some European countries, e.g., Finland (8), Sweden (9), Italy (10) and Hungary (11), and 4b is the predominant type in Japan (12) and US (13).

In China, the most prevalent serotype is serotype 1/2b accounting for more than half of all clinical isolates (64.29%), and serotypes 1/2a and 4b are ranked the second (21.43%) and third (7.14%), respectively (14). Feng et al. analyzed listeriosis cases from 2000 to 2009, and reported that the average mortality rate was 21%, but the mortality rate for newborns reached 56% (15). As *L. monocytogenes* is transmitted mainly through contaminated food, nosocomial cross-infection in neonates is rare but serious (16,17). In this study, we report a nosocomial cross-infection of *L. monocytogenes* sequence type (ST) 87 between two neonates.

On July 28, 2016, two cases of *L. monocytogenes* infection were identified in neonates through the Food borne Disease Monitoring and Reporting System of China. We culture the *L. monocytogenes* from the blood sample of the first neonate (named SD1) and the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) sample of the second neonate (named SD3). Both of these isolates cultured from the neonates were serotype 1/2b, with an indistinguishable pulsotype, and were susceptible to a panel of antimicrobial compounds (Figure S1). Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) confirmed that the two isolates were ST87 with no detectable differences. These two isolates were positive for the *Listeria* pathogenicity island 4 (LIPI-4). Epidemiological investigation showed insufficient surface cleaning and lack of patient isolation measures may cause the risk factors of cross-contamination in this case. There searches of epidemiological survey confirmed the fact that both neonates were nursed by the same group of nurses and without strict sterilization.

Methods

Medical ethics

A signed informed consent was obtained from the families of the children, and the research plan was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Shandong Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, Jinan, China).

Epidemiological and environmental investigations

On July 29th, 2016, we interviewed the maternity staff in Hospital A (in Jinan city) to collect clinical, diagnostic laboratory, demographic and epidemiological data, including the epidemiological questionnaires used in a survey on potential food exposures program during the 28 days before illness onset. On August 2nd, 2016, according to the case information and pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) results (Figure S1), the investigating team went to Hospital B (in Shanghe City) where both of these children born. Through field investigation and discussions with clinicians and nurses, we got all the details of the diagnostic and therapeutic measures in Hospital B. We collected anal swabs from the two parturients in Hospital A, along with 11 environmental swab samples and 2 food samples from the neonatal unit of Hospital B.

Microbial isolation and serotyping

All the samples collected during the investigation were sent to Shandong Centers for Disease Control and Prevent laboratory for microbiological culture. Serotypes were determined using the multiplex PCR assays, followed by the antigen serum agglutination tests (18).
Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility was tested by the broth microdilution method according to the standard protocols of the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (19) and European committee for the testing of antimicrobial susceptibility (EUCAST) (20). A panel of six antimicrobial compounds was used, including ampicillin (AMP), penicillin, tetracycline (TET), meropenem, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and erythromycin. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC™29213 was used as a quality control bacterium. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were interpreted according to CLSI and EUCAST guidelines.

Pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

The PFGE of the isolates was performed using the PulseNet standardized protocol (21). Restriction endonuclease digestion was carried out using ApaI and Ascl, respectively (Takara, Dalian, China), and run on a CHEF-DR III (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) over 19 h on 1% SeaKem gold agarose (Lonza, Rockland, MF) in 0.5 X Tris-borate-EDTA. BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium) was used to calculate the percent similarity (Dice coefficient) of PFGE banding patterns as we described before (22).

WGS

Genomic DNA for all the isolates was harvested using the wizard genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, USA). DNA libraries were prepared using the KAPA Low-Throughput Library Preparation Kit with Standard PCR Amplification Module (KapaBiosystems, Wilmington, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions except for the following modifications: 750 ng DNA was sheared using an M220 instrument (Covaris, Woburn, USA) in a 50 µL screw cap microtubce at 50 peak power, 20 duty factor, 20 °C, 200 cycles per burst and 25s duration. Adapter-ligated fragments were size-selected to 700–800 bp following Illumina protocols. Standard desalted TruSeq LT and PCR primers were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA) and used at 0.375 and 0.5 µM final concentrations, respectively. The PCR assay was reduced to 4 cycles. Libraries were quantified using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, USA), with a 10 µL volume and 90s annealing/extension PCR (the other steps are the same as the manufacturer’s protocols), then pooled and normalized to 4 nM. Pooled libraries were re-quantified by ddPCR on a QX200 system (Bio-Rad, USA), using the Illumina TruSeq ddPCR Library Quantification Kit following the manufacturer’s protocols, except for an extended 2 min annealing/extension time. The libraries were sequenced 2×150 nucleotide paired-end v2 on the HiSeq platform (Illumina, USA) at 13.5 pM, following the manufacturer’s protocols.

Genome assembly and annotation

Raw read quality was assessed with Fast QC (v0.11.5) (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and low-quality sequences were trimmed using Trimomatic (v0.36) (23). The high-quality read data was assembled into contigs using SPAdes v3.9.1 (24). Gene prediction and annotation were performed using Prokka version 1.12-beta (25). Phage sequences were screened using PHAST (26).

In silico sub-typing by core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST), multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and population analysis

The cgMLST scheme consists of 1,748 highly conserved core genes from the *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e reference strain. This genotyping method defines cgMLST types (CTs) as groups of cgMLST profiles that differ by up to 7 allelic mismatches and sublineage (SL) as groups of cgMLST profiles that differ by up to 150 allelic mismatches out of 1,748 loci. The cgMLST analysis was performed using the BIGSdb–Lm platform bioinformatics tool (http://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/listeria) (27,28). To elucidate the relationship of our isolates with the prevalent subtypes, published genome assemblies of *L. monocytogenes* were downloaded from GenBank database (accessed on March 21st, 2018). We used the 7-gene MLST scheme from the BIGSdb–Lm to perform subtyping in silico by BLAST for all the genomes (27). Minimum spanning trees of the two dominant lineages, lineages I and II, were generated using the eBurst algorithm in PHYLOViZ software (29).

Bioinformatic analyses of WGS data

Assembled genomes were screened for the absence/presence of antimicrobial resistance genes (AMR) using the ABRicate (https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) software package, which contains the ResFinder 2.1 database (30). Biocide
resistance genes (tetR, tnpABC, qacH, bcrABC, emrE, emrC and qacC) and comK were screened for using the BLASTN algorithm with a minimum nucleotide identity and alignment length coverage of 80% (31).

Assessment of virulence factors

The presence and integrity of virulence factors was assessed using L. monocytogenes EGD-e (accession no: NC_003210) as the reference genome for internalin A (inlA), internalin B (inlB), Listeria pathogenicity island 1 (LIPI-1) and stress survival islet 1 (SSI-1). L. monocytogenes F2365 was used as reference genome for listeria pathogenicity island 3 (LIPI-3) with the protein sequences LMOf2365_1113 to LMOf2365_1119, whilst L. monocytogenes LM9005581 was used as reference for LIPI-4 with the protein sequences (LM9005581_70009 to LM9005581_70014). Analysis was performed using the BLASTP algorithm with a minimum amino acid identity of 70%, allowing the identification of premature stop codons and internal deletions.

Single-nucleotide polymorphisms analysis

Chromosomal SNPs calling analysis was performed using MUMmer v3.23 with the default parameter (32). SNP analyses were performed separately in comparison to the chromosomal sequence from the reference ST87 strain isolated from a patient with septicemia in Beijing, China (accession no: CP015593). SNP matrices were generated based on results of each comparison and then used to construct phylogenetic tree using maximum likelihood method via MEGA v5.1 (33), with bootstrap value set to 1000 (34).

Results

Neonate cases and related epidemiological features

On July 14th and 21st, 2016, two neonate cases were reported by Hospital A. The timeline of the events is shown in Figure 1. On July 9th, 2016; neonate-1 presenting with pneumonia, bacteremia, hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) and low-grade asphyxiation was admitted to Hospital A. One L. monocytogenes isolate (SD1) was obtained from blood culture on July 14th, 2016, at Hospital A. On July 17th, 2016, neonate-2 was admitted in Hospital A for suppurative meningitis, pneumonia, hyperbilirubinemia and asphyxiation. Another L. monocytogenes isolate (SD3) was also isolated from a CSF culture on July 21st, 2016, in Hospital A.

Interviews were conducted with the parturients on July 29th, 2016, and it was found that the two families lived in different geographical areas. The two pregnant women were healthy during pregnancy, especially for four antenatal weeks. The sole intersection of neonates-1 and neonates-2 was that they were born in the same hospital (Hospital B).
Investigations in Hospital B revealed that the two parturients were admitted to different wards across two rooms, did not meet before, during, or after birth, nor were the same medical and nursing staff present at the births. Neonate-1 was born by emergency caesarean section due to fetal distress at 37 weeks of gestation, and the amniotic fluid was contaminated (III level). Neonate-1 was resuscitated in the delivery room (APGAR scores of 5, 8 and 9 at 1, 5 and 10 min respectively) and diagnosed with neonatal sepsis, neonatal asphyxia, HIE and alimentary tract hemorrhage. Neonate-2 was born by natural labor at 35 weeks of gestation and healthy at birth (APGAR score of 10 at 1 min and clear amniotic fluid), but was diagnosed with premature birth, aspiration pneumonia and low birth weight. Then, both neonates were admitted to neonatal department of Hospital B through different channels within half an hour by different nurses, where they were weighed and placed in different but adjacent incubators. Neonate-1 was supported in a neonatal incubator near the incubator of neonate-2. The two neonates were cared for by the same group of nurses on the evening of July 9th, 2016. It is worth noting that there was no record of sanitation of the neonatal incubator number 64 on July 8–9th, 2016. No further cases were identified by the retrospective studies of medical records and following surveillance in Hospital B to date.

Sample culture and diagnosis

The anal swabs from the two parturients in Hospital A cultured were negative for *L. monocytogenes*. Three environmental samples from Hospital B were positive for *S. haemolyticus* (two samples) and *S. gallinarum* (one sample), which were not detected in the samples from both neonates (*Table 1*). Therefore, *L. monocytogenes* was considered the

---

**Table 1** Samples collected from the outbreak of *L. monocytogenes* and their culture results for pathogenic microorganisms

| No. | Specimen name                        | Detection results          |
|-----|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|     |                                       | *L. monocytogenes* | Other pathogens |
| In Hospital A |                                    |                           |                |
| Neonate 1 | Anal swab (Puerpera) | Neg. | Neg. |
|           | Blood (Neonate)               | Pos. | Neg. |
| Neonate 2 | Anal swab (Puerpera) | Neg. | Neg. |
|           | CSF (Neonate)                 | Pos. | Neg. |
| In Hospital B |                                |                           |                |
| 1   | Swab (Operation hole of 64 incubator) | Neg. | Neg. |
| 2   | Swab (Switch of 64 incubator)     | Neg. | Neg. |
| 3   | Swab (Operation hole of 65 incubator) | Neg. | Neg. |
| 4   | Swab (Switch of 65 incubator)     | Neg. | Neg. |
| 5   | Swab (Inside of 64 incubator)     | Neg. | Neg. |
| 6   | Swab (Button of disinfectant belong to 64 incubator) | Neg. | Neg. |
| 7   | Swab (Button of disinfectant belong to 65 incubator) | Neg. | Neg. |
| 8   | Swab (Sink of preparation room)   | Neg. | Neg. |
| 9   | Swab (Tray of feeding-bottle)     | Neg. | *Staphylococcus gallinarum* |
| 10  | Swab (Infant radiant warmer)       | Neg. | Neg. |
| 11  | Swab (Operational key of infant radiant warmer) | Neg. | *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* |
| 12  | Newborn milk powder (Preparation room) | Neg. | Neg. |
| 13  | Premature milk powder (Preparation room) | Neg. | *Staphylococcus gallinarum* |

Neg., negative. Pos., positive.
only causative agent for the two cases. Combined with the clinical symptoms and laboratory results, the two cases were diagnosed as listeriosis, despite the anal swabs culture from the two parturients were negative after the use of antibiotics.

**Molecular subtyping and phylogenetic relationship of L. monocytogenes isolates**

The cgMLST profile of 1,748 loci was determined for the two L. monocytogenes isolates. They shared the same cgMLST profile (ST87). Seven housekeeping genes (abcZ, blgA, cat, dapE, dat, ldh, and lkbA) for MLST were extracted from WGS data showing that the ST of two isolates is ST87. Population study with the known STs showed that ST87 belonged to lineage I and the clonal complex (CC) 5 was the nearest large cluster (Figure S2).

Comparative genomic analysis showed that the two isolates were indistinguishable, with no SNP differences being detected. Our data confirmed that the bacterial etiological agent identified in the two cases was considered to be the same, or the isolate was transmitted from neonate-1 to neonate-2. The phylogenetic analysis based on the whole genome SNPs of all published ST87 genomes showed that these two isolates, SD1 and SD3, were close to the isolates from patients in China reported previously. Further, all six Chinese ST87 isolates were dispersed in one large cluster along with several environmental isolates from the US and some other isolates without metadata, and three isolates from European patients were also clustered together distant from the branch containing the isolates from China. It is interesting that four isolates collected from the US in 2017 were closely related to the isolates in this study (Figure 2).

**Resistance and virulence factors**

According to antimicrobial resistance gene and stress island analysis, no antibiotic resistance genes were identified in any of the isolates sequenced when the genomes were queried against current databases, which is in line with the antimicrobial susceptibility test. Antibiotic resistance gene analysis suggested that there were no benzalkonium chloride (BC) tolerance genes qacH (encoded in Tn6188 transposon) and emrC (an efflux pump).

Regarding to the virulence genes,SSI-1 (encodes the genes denoted as lmo0444-lmo0448) was confirmed to be present in the isolates (Table S1). The presence and integration of the following virulence factors was also investigated: prfA, plcA, bly, actA (all located in LIPI-1), inlA, inlB, llsGHXBYDP (located in LIPI-3) and LM9005581_70009 to LM9005581_70014 (located in LIPI-4). LIPI-1, inlA, inlB and LIPI-4 were present in the isolates without of LIPI-3 (Table S1). The PTS operon of ST87 was highly similar to that of prevalent strain CC4 (Figure 3). Therefore, the ST87 isolates SD1 and SD3 were considered as hypervirulent strains.

**Discussion**

Although L. monocytogenes is a rare cause of nosocomial infection, there were a few reports of L. monocytogenes in neonates with neonatal sepsis in Israel, 2002 (17) and France, 2013 (16). L. monocytogenes cross-transmission via health workers has been suspected, but the mechanism of transmission remains to be established. To our knowledge, there has been no nosocomial cross-infection of L. monocytogenes reported in China. Here, we describe a nosocomial cross-infection of listeriosis.

Combining all the evidence (field investigation and laboratory analysis, the epidemiological, microbiological and genomic data), we can conclusively demonstrated that insufficient surface cleaning and unsatisfactory hand hygiene by the same group of nurses on the evening of July 9th, 2016, at the neonatal department during the time when these neonates were being cared for were the possible causes of cross-infection that potentially resulted in a nosocomial outbreak of hypervirulent L. monocytogenes ST87, which carried the recently identified PTS-LIPI-4.

To date, ST87 (serotype 1/2b) which represents a rare ST from lineage I is relatively uncommon in Western countries; only two outbreaks in Spain were reported (35). However, it is the predominant type in human listeriosis cases (14,36) and wild rodents from natural environments in China (37). Population study with known STs showed that ST87 was closely related to the prevalent strain CC5 (Figure S2), a clonal group of serotypes 1/2b and 3b containing a variety of food products and processing environments and/or causing outbreaks (38,39). Analysis of L. monocytogenes isolated from food sources noted that ST87 (9.2%) was the third most prevalent ST in China (37); meanwhile, the first and second most common STs were ST9 (29.1%) and ST8 (10.7%), respectively (14). The high prevalence of ST87 in China, which is different from that found in the Western world, may be attributed to the different food matrices and dietary habits. Compared with all published ST87 genomes from different regions
worldwide, all six isolates from patients in China exhibited large genome diversities with each other and with the exception of SD1 and SD3 from this study, did not cluster closely, suggesting that there was no dominant strain of ST87 contain SD1 and SD3 discovered in China until now. Therefore, further studies on epidemiological characteristics and pathogenic mechanism of strain ST87 contain SD1 and SD3 are required.

LIPI-4 is a recently identified gene cluster that expresses a PTS system. PTS contributes to the neural and placental infections of CC4 (40). Wang et al. analyzed 8 clinical strains of ST87, which were responsible for maternal-neonatal or central nervous system infections, harbored LIPI-4 gene (41). Our research showed that the operon encoding PTS system was positive in all previously published ST87 genomes, along with our two isolates. In addition to the LIPI-4 cluster, other virulence genes identified included those found in LIPI-1, inlA and inlB may provide further support for the observations of ST87 in listeriosis cases in China.

Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial pathogens has become an international public health issue. *L. monocytogenes* is no exception, and there were some reports of resistance to TET, AMP, cephalothin, streptomycin and penicillin G in *L. monocytogenes* isolates (42,43). However, the isolates from our cases, reported here, were susceptible to all the tested drugs. Genomic analysis for markers of antimicrobial resistance confirmed the observed phenotypes. This
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Figure 3 Sequence comparison of PTS operon. The genes of PTS operon are in red, and adjacent genes were in blue. The shared regions between two sequences were in pink and the identities were showed.

observation supports the empirical use of meropenem which is the most common drug of choice for treating encephalitis. No new cases were found in Hospital B, after the neonatal incubator number 64 (used by neonate-1) was disinfected. Despite these careful investigations no source was identified, especially for the first baby (neonate-1) who was infected from birth. It is most likely that pregnant women ate food contaminated with L. monocytogenes, leading to secondary intrauterine infections in newborns, so the government should strengthen food risk safety surveillance.

Conclusions

In summary, a nosocomial cross-infection of the virulent L. monocytogenes ST87 was investigated in China. Results of PFGE analysis and WGS analysis suggested that the two completely same L. monocytogenes strain was isolated in the two babies, and these isolates are considered to be hypervirulent due to the carriage of virulence factors including LIPI-1, the internalin genes (inlA, inlB) and LIPI-4. Considering the genomic data together with epidemiological evidence and clinical symptoms, inadequate surface cleaning and hand hygiene at the neonatal department of Hospital B was hypothesized as a possible cause of cross-infection. The test results of environmental samples in Hospital B indicate that strict sterilization and patient isolation measures cannot be emphasized enough in neonatal nursing.
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Figure S1 PFGE patterns of two *L. monocytogenes* serotype 1/2b isolates collected from two neonates in Hospital A, Shandong province, China, in July 2016.

Figure S2 Population study with known STs. ST87 belonged to lineage I and was close to the prevalent strain CC 5.

Table S1 The presence and integrity of the virulence and resistance factors in the isolates

| Isolate | ST (MLST) | CC (MLST) | Lineage (MLST) | SL (cgMLST) | Deduced serogroup | Virulence factor | Stress-Biocides |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|         |           |           |                | LIPI-1 | inlA | inlB | LIPI-3 | LIPI-4 | SSI-1 | Tn6188_qac | emrC |
| SD1     | 87        | CC87      | I              | SL87      | IIb              | + + + -         | + + + -         | -    | -     | -     |
| SD3     | 87        | CC87      | I              | SL87      | IIb              | + + + -         | + + + -         | -    | -     | -     |

-, negative; +, positive. MLST, multilocus sequence typing; cgMLST, core genome multilocus sequence typing.