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Role of Linkers between Zinc Fingers in Spacing Recognition by Plant TFIIIA-Type Zinc-Finger Proteins
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The EPF family of plant TFIIIA-type zinc-finger (ZF) proteins (ZPTs) is characterized by long linkers separating ZF motifs. We previously reported that two-fingered ZPTs bind to two tandem core sites that are separated by several base pairs, each ZF making contact with one core site. Here we report further characterization of DNA-binding activities of ZPTs using four family members, ZPT2-14, ZPT2-7, ZPT2-8, and ZPT2-2, having inter-ZF linkers of different lengths and sequences, to investigate the correlation of the length and/or sequence of the linker with preference for the spacing between core sites in target DNAs. Selected and amplified binding site (SAAB)-imprinting assays and gel mobility shift assays prompted three conclusions. (1) The four ZPTs have common specificity for core binding sites—two AGT(G)/(C)ACTs separated by several nucleotides. (2) The four ZPTs prefer a spacing of 10 bases between the core sites, but each ZPT has its own preference for suboptimal spacing. (3) At a particular spacing, two zinc fingers may bind to the core sites on both strands. The results provide new information about how the diversity in linker length/sequence affects DNA-sequence recognition in this protein family.

1. Introduction

The EPF proteins form a subfamily of TFIIIA-type zinc-finger (ZF) proteins (ZPTs) of plants [1, 2]. The TFIIIA-type ZF motif is a sequence of CX2–4 CX3FX5LX2HX3–5 H, in which two cysteines and two histidines tetrahedrally coordinate a zinc atom to form a compact structure containing a β-hairpin and an α-helix (ββα motif), and the other conserved residues are packed to form a hydrophobic core [3–10]. Generally, in animals, multiple ZF motifs are present as tandem arrays linked by a conserved short sequence, the HC-link [11–13], and the ZF proteins interact with contiguous sets of triplet sequences, with each ZF making contact with 3–5 base pairs in the major groove of DNA. The ZPTs have 1, 2, 3, or 4 ZF motifs [14]. In most ZF motifs of ZPTs, a highly conserved sequence, QALGGH, is located within DNA-contacting surfaces [2, 14]. Since ZPT2-1 (renamed from EPF1) was first identified in petunia as a DNA-binding protein that interacts with a petal-specific promoter of the enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase gene [15], several EPF1-like ZPTs have been reported in various plant species [16, 17]. In Arabidopsis, a model plant whose genome has been sequenced, an estimated 64 ZF genes containing the QALGGH motif are encoded [16].

Two-fingered ZPTs have been implicated in various important regulatory processes. Some of these proteins are implicated in plant responses to abiotic stresses. These include petunia ZPT2-3 and various Arabidopsis proteins that are involved in drought tolerance [18, 19]. In addition, Arabidopsis ZPTs RHL41 [20] and SCOIF1 [21] are implicated in plant responses to high-intensity light and low temperatures, respectively. The gene for petunia ZPT2-2 responds to various stress treatments such as drought, cold, salinity, and wounding [22]. Another two-fingered ZPT of petunia, MEZ1, is involved in the regulation of meiosis [23]. A vascular-bundle-associated ZPT, MsZPT2-1, is required for the formation of the central nitrogen-fixing zone of the root nodule in alfalfa [24]. Given that different ZPTs are
implicated in various biological processes, different ZPTs most likely have different specificities for target sequences.

The ZPTs are characterized by long linkers between ZFs. Our previous study of DNA binding revealed that ZPT2-2 binds to two tandem core sites separated by several base pairs, each ZF making contact with one core site [25, 26]. We also found that a truncated peptide of ZPT2-2 can bind to multiple patterns of target sequences owing to the elasticity of the linker; an N-terminal finger (ZF1) was capable of binding to a core site located either upstream or downstream of the linker; an C-terminal finger (ZF2) [27]. The lengths of linkers between two adjacent ZF motifs vary among ZPTs, ranging from 19 to 65 amino acids, in contrast to the core site bound by the C-terminal finger (ZF2) [27].

In the present study, we characterized DNA-binding specificity of four ZPTs—ZPT2-1, ZPT2-14, ZPT2-8, and ZPT2-2—having inter-ZF linkers of various lengths and sequences, to further investigate the role of the linker in the recognition of target DNA sequences. Our results showed that the four ZPTs share common specificity for core binding sequences. However, the ZPTs showed different preferences for the spacing in target sequences. In addition, the results suggested that the ZPTs could bind to AGTs on either the same strand or both strands, dependent on the spacing contexts between the AGTs. The results provide new information about how the diversity in linker length/sequence affects DNA-sequence recognition in this protein family.

2. Experimental Procedures

2.1. Construction of Expression Vectors. To generate expression vectors for the production of truncated forms of ZPTs in Escherichia coli, we amplified DNA fragments of ZPT2-7 (residues 32–114, ZPT2-7ZFB), ZPT2-8 (residues 32–125, ZPT2-8ZFB), and ZPT2-14 (residues 41–117, ZPT2-14ZFB), encoding two zinc-finger motifs, by PCR using their full-length cDNAs as templates. Oligonucleotides used as primers for the PCR were primer 1 (5′-GGGCTCAGGATTCAGCGGTAAAATTTCTGAGATGCAAG-3′) and primer 2 (5′-GGGCTCAGGATTCAGCGGTAAAATTTCTGAGATGCAAG-3′) for ZPT2-7ZFB, primer 1 and primer 3 (5′-GGGCTCAGGATTCAGCGGTAAAATTTCTGAGATGCAAG-3′) for ZPT2-8ZFB, and primer 4 (5′-GGGCTCAGGATTCAGCGGTAAAATTTCTGAGATGCAAG-3′) and primer 5 (5′-GGGCTCAGGATTCAGCGGTAAAATTTCTGAGATGCAAG-3′) for ZPT2-14ZFB (restriction sites underlined). The PCR fragments were cut with XbaI and PstI and inserted between the XbaI and PstI sites of pMAL-(TEV)-ZPT2-2F12 [27], in place of the fragment encoding ZPT2-2F12, to yield pMAL-(TEV)-ZPT2-7ZFB, pMAL-(TEV)-ZPT2-8ZFB, and pMAL-(TEV)-ZPT2-14ZFB. To generate an expression vector for the production of a truncated form of ZPT2-2 (residues 66–210, ZPT2-2ZF), we excised the corresponding DNA fragment from pMAL-ZPT2-2ZF [26] with XbaI and PstI and inserted it between the XbaI and PstI sites of pMAL-(TEV)-ZPT2-2F12 [27, 28] to yield pMAL-(TEV)-ZPT2-2ZF.

2.2. Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins. The expression vectors were introduced into E. coli strain JM109. The transformants were grown at 37°C, and protein production was induced for 3 h in the presence of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside at 37°C. Cells were harvested and lysed by sonication in buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM ZnCl2, 1 mM diethytoxietol [DTT], 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], and 0.05% Tween 20), and then centrifuged for 40 min at 20,000 x g. The resulting supernatants were loaded onto an amylose resin affinity column (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Mass, USA), washed with buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 μM ZnCl2, and 0.5 mM DTT), and eluted with buffer B plus 10 mM maltose. The eluate was loaded onto a Hitrap Q column (GE Health-care, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and eluted with a linear gradient of 0–1.0 M KCl in buffer B. The fractions (0.1–0.2 M KCl) were pooled, dialyzed against buffer B, and then digested with TEV protease (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif, USA) for 10 h at 16°C in the presence of protease inhibitors (0.1 mM PMSF, 1 μM pepstatin A, and 10 μM leupeptin). The mixture was loaded onto a Protein-Pak SP 8HR AP mini column (Waters, Milford, Mass, USA) and eluted with a linear gradient of 0–1.0 M KCl in buffer B. The fractions (0.45–0.5 M KCl) were pooled and dialyzed against buffer C (25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6, 10 μM ZnCl2, and 0.1 mM DTT).

2.3. SAAB-Imprinting Assay. DNA-binding sequences of ZPT2-14 were screened by SAAB-imprinting assay [28, 29] as described previously [27] using a library of randomly synthesized DNA (5′-GGGCTCAGGAGCTT(AGCTT)3′-GGATCCTGACGAGGCC-3′) and PCR primers 5′-GGGCTCAGGAGCTT-3′ and 5′-GGGCCCTGACGAGATCC-3′. Purified ZPT2-14ZF proteins were incubated with 32P-end-labeled oligonucleotides containing a central stretch of 25-bp random sequences and separated by gel electrophoresis. Then ZPT2-14-bound oligonucleotides were recovered from the gel, amplified by PCR, and subjected to further rounds of selection. After 15 rounds of selection, electrophoretic patterns in gel mobility shift assays indicated that enrichment of ZPT2-14-binding DNA sequences had been saturated. ZPT2-14-bound oligonucleotides were recovered from the gel, amplified by PCR, and cloned (sequence pool after 15 rounds, SP15). We also cloned the oligonucleotides before selection by the SAAB-imprinting procedure (SP0). We sequenced 45 SP15 clones and 103 SP0 clones and counted the occurrence of various triplet sequences within the central 25-bp region. Enrichment (X) of each triplet through the selection was calculated as

\[
X = \frac{N_{15}}{T_{15}} - \frac{N_0}{T_0},
\]

where \(N_{15}\) and \(N_0\) are total numbers of each triplet in the sequences in SP15 and SP0, respectively, and \(T_{15}\) and \(T_0\) are total numbers of sequenced clones, respectively. We regarded reverse complementary triplets as the same as the forward triplets.
2.4. DNA-Binding Assays. All binding reactions were carried out in 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6, 40 mM KCl, 0.1% (v/v) Nonidet P-40, 10 μM ZnCl₂, 1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, 10% glycerol, 10 μg/mL double-stranded poly(dI-dC) (GE Healthcare), and 1 mM DTT. We mixed 10 000 cpm of 32P-end-labeled probe (at a concentration of approx. 1.5 × 10¹⁰ M) with 1 × 10⁻⁷ M ZPT2-7ZF, 1 × 10⁻⁷ M ZPT2-8ZF, 3 × 10⁻⁸ M ZPT2-14ZF, or 3 × 10⁻⁸ M ZPT2-2ZF. After incubation for 25 min at 20°C, the mixtures were loaded into a 10% polyacrylamide gel (acrylamide/bisacrylamide, 29:1) and run in 0.5 × TB (45 mM Tris-borate, pH 8.0). The radiolabeled bands were visualized by autoradiography and quantitated using a STORM 840 system (GE Healthcare). Each experiment was performed four times using freshly thawed aliquots of peptides, unless otherwise indicated.

Apparent dissociation constants (Kd) of ZPT2-14 to specific DNA sequences were determined as described in [30]. Probe DNAs were prepared by annealing two strands and purifying double-stranded DNA fractions in the 10% polyacrylamide gel. Gel mobility shift assays were performed using 1.5 × 10⁻¹⁰ M DNA and 1 × 10⁻⁹, 3 × 10⁻⁹, 1 × 10⁻⁸, 3 × 10⁻⁸, 1 × 10⁻⁷, 3 × 10⁻⁷, 1 × 10⁻⁶ M ZPT2-14 protein. Kd was calculated by plotting the ratio of free and bound DNA to the amounts of proteins used (P₀) using the following equation:

\[
\frac{\text{free DNA (β)}}{\text{bound DNA (β)}} = K_d \cdot \frac{1}{[P_0]}.
\]

3. Results

3.1. Inter-ZF Linkers of EPF-Family ZPTs Are Diverse in Length and Sequence. Amino-acid sequences in the ZF and inter-ZF linker regions of the four EPF-family ZPTs are shown in Figure 1. The ZF regions (ZF1 and ZF2) are well conserved among the four ZPTs. By contrast, the linker regions are very diverse in both length and amino-acid sequence. In contrast, the H-C links [13] are highly conserved in many TFIIIA-type ZF proteins and thus prompted us to investigate the significance of diverse linker length/sequence in DNA-sequence recognition by these ZPTs.

3.2. SAAB-Imprinting Assay of ZPT2-14. As an initial step to study DNA-binding specificity of the four ZPTs, we first screened the DNA-binding sequences of ZPT2-14 by SAAB-imprinting assay using recombinant ZPT2-14 proteins.

ZPT2-14-bound oligonucleotides after repeated selections by gel electrophoresis (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)) were sequenced. Inspection of the selected sequences by using 3-base windows revealed that AGT/ACT was most highly enriched by nearly 200% in the SP₁₅ sequences relative to the SP₉ sequences. GTG/CAC was enriched by almost as much. Other triplets were enriched by at most 100% or were underrepresented (Figure 2(c)). These two triplets, AGT/ACT and GTG/CAC, are overlapping portions of a tetramer, AGTG/CAC. The inspection of the selected sequences by using 4-base windows revealed that AGTG/CAC was significantly enriched over other tetramers including AGT or GTG, as indicated in Figure 2(d).

Of the 45 clones sequenced, 42 included multiple AGT/ACT triplets in the central 25-bp region. Thus, we investigated the spacing between the pairs of AGT/ACT triplets that occur in three patterns (AGT-AGT, AGT-ACT, and ACT-AGT; Figure 3(a)) in the SP₁₅ sequences. We observed two peaks of spacing in all three patterns, with the 7-base spacing missing. One peak was at the spacing of 5 bases, where 5'-ACT-N₅'-AGT-3' was predominant. The other peak was at the spacing of 10 bases, where 5'-AGT-N₁₀'-AGT-3' was predominant. To examine whether these two patterns of sequences, having AGT or ACT at different spacings, permit sequence-specific binding of ZPT2-14, we performed competition assays using two probes, ACT-5-AGT and AGT-10-AGT (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)). The binding of ZPT2-14 to both probes was clearly outcompeted by excess amounts of competitors with identical sequences as probes, but not by competitors having mutations in AGT/ACTs. These results confirm the binding of ZPT2-14 to AGT/ACTs as core sites in different spacing contexts.

3.3. Sequence Specificity of ZPT2-14 for Core Binding Sites. We further investigated the sequence specificity of ZPT2-14 for the core binding sites by using a set of probes having one-base substitutions in the core triplets in the AGT-10-AGT probe (Figure 4(a)). AGT-10-AGT probe showed highest affinities to ZPT2-14 among all the probes. ACT-10-AGT and AGT-10-AGT probes showed only slightly lower affinities, but other mutant probes showed markedly reduced binding affinities. Thus, 5'-AGT-N₁₀'-AGT-3' is an optimal ZPT2-14-binding sequence, and the replacement of one of the two AGTs with ACT caused only minor effect on ZPT2-14-binding affinity. Thus, we used AGT-N-AGT probes for
further analysis as a representative. To investigate the effects of sequence contexts around the AGT core triplets, we tested a set of probes \((x_1\text{AGT}y_1-8-x_2\text{AGT}y_2)\) having one-base mutations outside the two AGT core sites (Figure 5). The binding assays revealed a preference for G at the positions downstream of AGT \((y_1\) and \(y_2)\), with the preference particularly strong at \(y_1\), but did not reveal any preference for the bases upstream of AGT \((x_1\) and \(x_2)\). However, the effects of base substitution at these positions were minor compared with those in the AGT core sites (Figures 4(a) and 5). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the core binding sites of ZPT2-14 are two AGT(G)/(C)ACTs. This conclusion is in accordance with the tetramer sequence enriched in the SAAB-imprinting assays, and we used this consensus sequence in the basic probes used in this work (Figures 3, 4, and 6).

3.4. ZPT2-14 Binds to Two Sequences Differing in Both Core Sequences and Spacing. The SAAB-imprinting assays using ZPT2-14 described above revealed two candidate target sequences, \(5'-\text{AGT-N}_{10}-\text{AGT-3'}(=5'-\text{AGTG-N}_9-\text{AGTG-3'})\) and \(5'-\text{ACT-N}_{5}-\text{AGT-3'}(=5'-\text{CACT-N}_5-\text{AGTG-3'})\) (Figure 3). The two sequences differ in both core sequences and spacing between the core sequences, suggesting that ZPT2-14 prefers different combinations of core sequences under different spacing contexts. We determined apparent dissociation constants \((K_d)\) for the binding of ZPT2-14 to 6 sequences that include 5- and 10-base spacings between core binding sites with different triplet sequences (Table 1). Of the 3 sequences with 10-base spacings, ZPT2-14 showed smallest \(K_d\) to AGT-10-AGT and slightly larger \(K_d\) to AGT-10-ACT and ACT-10-AGT sequences, consistent with the results in Figure 4(a). By contrast, of the 3 sequences with 5-base spacings, ZPT2-14 showed obviously larger \(K_d\) to AGT-5-AGT compared with those to 2 other sequences, AGT-5-AGT and ACT-5-AGT. Thus, the spacings between core sites largely affect the preference for core triplet sequences.

Figure 2: SAAB-imprinting assay of ZPT2-14-binding sequences. (a, b), Gel mobility shift assays after the first (a) and the fifteenth (b) rounds of selection by SAAB-imprinting procedure for ZPT2-14-binding sequence. Concentrations of ZPT2-14ZF in the reaction mixture are shown at the top of the lanes. Oligonucleotides were recovered from the shifted bands indicated by rectangles and processed. (c), Enrichment of respective triplets after 15 rounds of selection. Shown are increases in total numbers of each triplet occurring on both strands over those in the sequences before selection. (d), Enrichment of tetramers after 15 rounds of selection. Shown are increases in total numbers of tetramers including AGT or GTG over those in the sequences before selection.
3.5. ZPT2-14, ZPT2-7, ZPT2-8, and ZPT2-2 Recognize Common Core Sequences. In our previous study, 5’-AGT-N10-AGT-3’, one of the sequences binding with high affinity to ZPT2-14, also showed high affinity for ZPT2-2 [26]. To test whether this sequence binds with high affinity to other ZPTs as well, we tested ZPT2-7, ZPT2-8, and ZPT2-2 for binding to AGT-10-AGT and mutant probes with substitutions in the core sites (Figure 4). Gel mobility shift assays revealed that all three ZPTs bound with high affinity to AGT-10-AGT, with similar effects of mutations in the core sites to those observed with ZPT2-14. These results indicate that the four ZPTs share common sequence specificity for core binding sites. We previously reported an optimal binding sequence of ZPT2-2 N-terminal ZF to be AGC(T) [27]. This inconsistency could be due to the use of further truncated ZPT2-2 peptide in the previous experiments.

### Table 1: Apparent dissociation constants for the binding of ZPT2-14 to target sequences with 5- and 10-base spacings.

| Probe       | $K_d$ (nM) |
|-------------|------------|
| AGT-10-AGT  | 8.0 ± 0.3  |
| AGT-10-ACT  | 10.4 ± 1.6 |
| ACT-10-AGT  | 11.6 ± 1.9 |
| AGT-5-AGT   | 9.1 ± 1.2  |
| AGT-5-ACT   | 21.7 ± 3.4 |
| ACT-5-AGT   | 7.3 ± 0.7  |
3.6. Recognition of Spacing between Core Binding Sites.

The results of SAAB-imprinting assays indicate that ZPT2-14 prefers a specific spacing between core binding sites, as does ZPT2-2 [26, 27]. This raises the questions of whether each ZPT has its own preference for the spacing in target sequences, and, if so, how the length/sequence of linkers differing among different ZPTs is correlated with the preference for the spacing. To answer these questions, we compared the preferences of the four ZPTs for spacing in target sequences by using a set of probes with various spacings between two AGTs (AGT-Nx-AGT, x = 0–20 bases) (Figure 6(e)). Gel mobility shift assays revealed that ZPT2-14, having a linker of 24 amino acids long, showed two peaks of binding affinity, at the spacings of 6 and 10 bases (Figure 6(a)). ZPT2-7, having a linker of 19 amino acids long, showed a peak of the highest affinity at 10-base spacing, and two additional peaks of suboptimal affinity at the spacings of 2 and 6 bases (Figure 6(b)). In contrast, ZPT2-8 and ZPT2-2, having relatively long linkers of 26 and 44 amino acids long, respectively, showed only one broad peak of spacing preference, with maximum affinity at 10 bases (Figures 6(c) and 6(d)). In summary, the four ZPTs all preferred the spacing of 10 bases, but those having relatively short linkers tended to show multiple peaks of preferred spacing. Thus, the diversity in length/sequence of inter-ZF linkers does influence the preference for the spacing in target DNA sequences.

4. Discussion

In this study, we characterized the DNA-binding properties of four ZPTs with diverse length and/or sequence of inter-ZF linkers, aiming at investigating how they are correlated with the preference for spacing in target DNA sequences. The four ZPTs, although differing in the length and sequence of inter-ZF linkers, had common specificities for core binding sites, which enabled us to compare their spacing preference in a simple system using the same set of probes. All four ZPTs preferred the spacing of 10 bases but showed various patterns in their preference for suboptimal spacing lengths in the range of less than 10 bases (Figure 6). We attempt below to explain the differential preference for the spacing by assuming two types of ZPT-DNA interaction (Types I and II), as shown in Figure 7(A). In Type-I interaction, the linker wraps around the major groove of a DNA helix. In Type-II interaction, the linker crosses over the minor groove. In Type-II interaction, the helical periodicity of the DNA
helix (approximately 10.5 base pairs in the B-form helix) is a crucial factor determining the spacing preference, because 2 zinc fingers make contact with DNA from the same side of the DNA helix in Type-II interaction. In Figure 6, ZPT2-14 showed two peaks of binding affinity to AGT-Nx-AGT sequences with shorter (6 bases) and longer (10 bases) spacings. On the basis of the consideration described above, the peak at 6-base spacing is presumably in part due to Type-I binding. Presumably, the peak at 10-base spacing is mostly due to Type-II binding, because the linker is not long enough for binding in Type I mode. ZPT2-7 showed two peaks of suboptimal binding at spacings of 2 and 6 bases, in addition to a main peak at 10-base spacing. In this case, essentially the same interpretation as that given for ZPT2-14 also holds for the peaks at 6- and 10-base spacings. The peak of suboptimal binding at 2-base spacing could be due to an alternative stable structure of ZPT2-7 that is defined by the relatively short linker of this ZPT. ZPT2-2 and ZPT2-8 showed broad peaks without minor peaks of suboptimal binding. Presumably, the longer linkers of these ZPTs permit more flexible binding than those of ZPT2-14 and ZPT2-7, making these ZPTs less sensitive to steric restrictions upon DNA binding associated with different spacings.

On the basis of the results in Table 1, we propose that the two ZFs in ZPTs can bind to two core sites in both parallel and antiparallel orientations depending on spacing contexts. In the 10-bp spacing context, ZPT2-14 binds to 5′-AGT-N10-AGT-3′, 5′-ACT-N10-AGT-3′, and 5′-AGT-N10-AC T-3′ at similar affinities (Figure 4 and Table 1). In this case, the two ZFs presumably bind to two core sites in the same or opposite orientations presumably by the Type-II mode (Figure 7(B), a–c). By contrast, in the 5-bp spacing context, ZPT2-14 showed high affinities to 5′-AGT-N5-AGT-3′ and 5′-ACT-N5-AGT-3′, but lower one to 5′-AGT-N5-AC T-3′ (Table 1). We speculate that, in the 5-bp spacing context, ZPT2-14 binds to 5′-AGT-N5-AGT-3′ in Type I mode by interacting with two AGTs in the same orientations (Figure 7(B)-d). ZPT2-14 binds to 5′-ACT-N5-AGT-3′ in antiparallel Type II mode with each finger binding to core sites in opposite orientations (ACT is reverse complementary to AGT, Figure 7(B)-e). ZPT2-14 also binds to 5′-AGT-N5-AC T-3′ with each finger binding to core sites in opposite orientations, but with much lower affinity. The low affinity is presumably because of suboptimal fitting of ZFs with core sites due to steric constraint (Figure 7(B)-f). This interpretation is inline with our previous observation that multiple arrangements of two core sites accommodate binding
Figure 6: Recognition of spacing in target sequences. (a)–(d), DNA binding of four ZPT peptides—ZPT2-14ZF (a), ZPT2-7ZFB (b), ZPT2-8ZFB (c), and ZPT2-2ZF (d)—to DNA sequences with various lengths of spacing between two core sequences. Fractions of bound DNA are shown with representative electrophoretic patterns above them. The data are averages of four experiments, with bars representing standard deviation. (e) Sequences of the central regions of probes. Two core binding sites (AGT) in each probe are underlined. Besides the core sites, AGT/ACT is excluded from these regions, and AT/GC contents were balanced in these regions. Sequences flanking the central regions are 5'-CTCGAGAAATTCC-central region-GGCCGGGGGGATCC-3'.

The present study showed that EPF-family ZPTs have largely common specificity for the core sequences in target DNAs and that these proteins show some variations in preference for the spacing in target sequences. Although the variations were minor in our in vitro assay conditions, they could have more pronounced effects in vivo and could serve
Figure 7: Proposed DNA-binding modes of ZPTs. (A) Two types of ZPT–DNA interactions. In Type-I binding (left), the linker wraps around the major groove. In Type-II binding (right), the linker traverses the minor groove. (B) DNA-binding models of ZPT2-14 in different spacing contexts. In 10-base spacing context (5′-AGT-N_{10}-AGT-3′), two ZFs bind to AGTs on either strand presumably by Type-II mode (a)–(c). In 5-base spacing context, ZPT2-14 binds to 5′-AGT-N_{5}-AGT-3′ in Type I mode (d). It also binds to 5′-AC-T-N_{5}-AGT-3′ in antiparallel Type II mode at high affinity (e). Binding to 5′-AC-T-N_{5}-AGT-3′ is at low affinity (f).

as determinants of the selectivity of target genes by each ZPT.
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ZF: Zinc finger  
ZPT: Zinc-finger protein of TFIIIA type  
SAAB: Selected and amplified binding site  
PCR: Polymerase chain reaction  
TEV: Tobacco etched virus  
DTT: Dithiothreitol  
PMSF: Phenylmethylsulfonly fluoride.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a PROBRAIN Grant from the Bio-Oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution (BRAIN) of Japan and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

[1] H. Takatsuji, “Zinc-finger transcription factors in plants,” Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 582–596, 1998.
[2] H. Takatsuji, “Zinc-finger proteins: the classical zinc finger emerges in contemporary plant science,” *Plant Molecular Biology*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1073–1078, 1999.

[3] J. M. Berg and H. A. Godwin, “Lessons from zinc-binding peptides,” *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure*, vol. 26, pp. 357–371, 1997.

[4] J. Miller, A. D. McLachlan, and A. Klug, “Repetitive zinc-binding domains in the protein transcription factor IIId from Xenopus oocytes,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1609–1614, 1985.

[5] J. M. Berg and D. L. Merkle, “On the metal ion specificity of ‘zinc finger’ proteins,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 111, no. 10, pp. 3759–3761, 1989.

[6] M. S. Lee, G. F. Gippert, K. V. Soman, D. A. Case, and P. E. Wright, “Three-dimensional solution structure of a single zinc finger DNA-binding domain,” *Science*, vol. 245, no. 4918, pp. 635–637, 1989.

[7] D. S. Wuttke, M. P. Foster, D. A. Case, J. M. Gottesfeld, and P. E. Wright, “Solution structure of the first three zinc fingers of TFIIA bound to the cognate DNA sequence: determinants of affinity and sequence specificity,” *Journal of Molecular Biology*, vol. 275, no. 1, pp. 183–206, 1997.

[8] N. P. Pavletich and C. O. Pabo, “Zinc-finger-DNA recognition: crystal structure of a Zif268-DNA complex at 2.1 Å,” *Science*, vol. 252, no. 5007, pp. 809–817, 1991.

[9] N. P. Pavletich and C. O. Pabo, “Crystal structure of a five-finger GLI-DNA complex: new perspectives on zinc fingers,” *Science*, vol. 261, no. 5129, pp. 1701–1707, 1993.

[10] M. Elrod-Erickson, M. A. Roulel, L. Nekludova, and C. O. Pabo, “Zif268 protein-DNA complex refined at 1.6 Å: a model system for understanding zinc finger-DNA interactions,” *Structure*, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 1171–1180, 1996.

[11] G. H. Jacobs, “Determination of the base recognition positions of zinc fingers from sequence analysis,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 4507–4517, 1992.

[12] J. H. Laity, B. M. Lee, and P. E. Wright, “Zinc-finger proteins: new insights into structural and functional diversity,” *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 39–46, 2001.

[13] R. Schuh, W. Aicher, U. Gaul et al., “A conserved family of zinc-finger proteins containing structural elements of the finger domain for understanding zinc-finger-DNA interactions,” *Science*, vol. 245, no. 4918, pp. 635–637, 1989.

[14] G. H. Jacobs, “Zinc-finger-DNA recognition: crystal structure of a Zif268-DNA complex at 2.1 Å,” *Science*, vol. 252, no. 5007, pp. 809–817, 1991.

[15] H. Takatsui, N. Nakamura, and Y. Katsumoto, “A new family of zinc finger proteins in *petunia*: structure, DNA sequence recognition, and floral organ-specific expression,” *Plant Cell*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 947–958, 1994.

[16] H. Takatsui and T. Matsumoto, “Target-sequence recognition by separate-type Cys/His zinc-finger proteins in plants,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 38, pp. 23368–23373, 1996.

[17] J. E. Remacle, H. Kraft, W. Lerchner et al., “New mode of DNA binding of multi-zinc-finger transcription factors: 5EF1 family members bind with two hands to two target sites,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 18, no. 18, pp. 5073–5084, 1999.

[18] J. Miller, A. D. McLachlan, and A. Klug, “Repetitive zinc-binding domains in the protein transcription factor IIId from Xenopus oocytes,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1609–1614, 1985.

[19] A. Iida, T. Kazuoka, S. Torikai, H. Kikuchi, and K. Oeda, “A zinc finger protein RHL41 mediates the light acclimatization response in Arabidopsis,” *Plant Journal*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 191–203, 2000.

[20] J. C. Kim, S. H. Lee, Y. H. Cheong et al., “A novel cold-inducible zinc-finger protein from soybean, SCOF-1, enhances cold tolerance in transgenic plants,” *Plant Journal*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 247–259, 2001.

[21] A. R. Van Der Krol, R. M. P. Van Poecke, O. F. J. Vorst et al., “Developmental and wound-, cold-, desiccation-, ultraviolet-B-stress-induced modulations in the expression of the petunia zinc finger transcription factor gene ZPT2-2,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 121, no. 4, pp. 1153–1162, 1999.

[22] A. R. Van Der Krol, R. M. P. Van Poecke, O. F. J. Vorst et al., “Developmental and wound-, cold-, desiccation-, ultraviolet-B-stress-induced modulations in the expression of the petunia zinc finger transcription factor gene ZPT2-2,” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 121, no. 4, pp. 1153–1162, 1999.

[23] S. Kapoor and H. Takatsuji, “Silencing of an anther-specific zinc-finger gene, MEZ1, causes aberrant meiosis and pollen abortion in petunia,” *Plant Molecular Biology*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 415–430, 2006.

[24] F. Frugier, S. Poirier, B. Satiat-Jeunemaitre, A. Kondorosi, and M. Crespi, “A Kruppel-like zinc finger protein is involved in nitrogen-fixing root nodule organogenesis,” *Genes and Development*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 475–482, 2000.

[25] H. Takatsuji, N. Nakamura, and Y. Katsumoto, “A new family of zinc finger proteins in *petunia*: structure, DNA sequence recognition, and floral organ-specific expression,” *Plant Cell*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 947–958, 1994.

[26] H. Takatsuji and T. Matsumoto, “Target-sequence recognition by separate-type Cys/His zinc-finger proteins in plants,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 38, pp. 23368–23373, 1996.

[27] K. I. Yoshioka, S. Fukushima, T. Yamazaki, M. Yoshida, and H. Takatsuji, “The plant zinc finger protein ZPT2-2 has a unique mode of DNA interaction,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 38, pp. 35802–35807, 2001.

[28] T. K. Blackwell, L. Kretzner, E. M. Blackwood, R. N. Eisenman, and H. Weintraub, “Sequence-specific DNA binding by the c-Myc protein,” *Science*, vol. 250, no. 4984, pp. 1149–1151, 1990.

[29] P. Denny, S. Swift, F. Connor, and A. Ashworth, “An SRY-related gene expressed during spermatogenesis in the mouse encodes a sequence-specific DNA-binding protein,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 3705–3712, 1992.

[30] M. Elrod-Erickson and C. O. Pabo, “Binding studies with mutants of Zif268. Contribution of individual side chains to binding affinity and specificity in the Zif268 zinc-finger-DNA complex,” *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 27, pp. 19281–19285, 1999.

[31] J. E. Remacle, H. Kraft, W. Lerchner et al., “New mode of DNA binding of multi-zinc-finger transcription factors: 5EF1 family members bind with two hands to two target sites,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 18, no. 18, pp. 5073–5084, 1999.