Increased Susceptibility to Glaucomatous Damage in Microfibril Deficient Mice
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Purpose. To test whether mice with microfibril deficiency due to the Tsk mutation of fibrillin-1 (Fbn1Tsk/+), have increased susceptibility to pressure-induced retinal ganglion cell (RGC) degeneration.

Methods. Intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation was induced in Fbn1Tsk/+ and wild type (wt) mice by injecting microbeads into the anterior chamber. Mice were then followed up for four months, with IOP measurements every three to six days. Retinas were stained for Brn3a to determine RGC number. Optic nerve cross-sections were stained with p-phenylene diamine to determine nerve area, axon number, and caliber and thickness of the pia mater.

Results. Microbead injection induced significant IOP elevation that was significantly less for Fbn1Tsk/+ mice compared with wt. The optic nerves and optic nerve axons were larger, and the elastic fiber-rich pia mater was thinner in Fbn1Tsk/+ mice. Microbead injection resulted in reduced optic nerve size, thicker pia mater, and a slight decrease in axon size. Fbn1Tsk/+ mice had significantly greater loss of RGCs and optic nerve axons compared with wt (14.8% vs. 5.8%, P = 0.002, and 17.0% vs. 7.5%, P = 0.002, respectively).

Conclusions. Fbn1Tsk/+ mice had altered optic nerve structure as indicated by larger optic nerves, larger optic nerve axons and thinner pia mater, consistent with our previous findings. Despite lower IOP elevation, Fbn1Tsk/+ mice had greater loss of RGCs and optic nerve axons, suggesting increased susceptibility to IOP-induced optic nerve degeneration in microfibril-deficient mice.
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Glaucoma is the leading cause of irreversible blindness and is due to the progressive degeneration of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and their axons.1 Many risk factors, such as age, intraocular pressure (IOP), and race have been identified. Although the precise pathogenesis of glaucoma is still under intense investigation, it has long been recognized that the initial injury site of RGC axonal damage occurs at optic nerve head (ONH).2 The concept of the ONH as a biomechanical structure,3 particularly when challenged by elevated IOP, has been described both experimentally4–6 and through computer modelling.7–11 Substantial evidence supports the hypothesis that the biomechanical properties of ONH connective tissues and their responses to IOP-related stress are key determinants of susceptibility to glaucomatous optic nerve damage.3,12 Identification of specific features of ONH connective tissue that render certain populations more susceptible to developing glaucoma will facilitate our understanding of disease pathogenesis.

A key component of the extracellular matrix (ECM), microfibrils, are composed of fibrillins and fibrillin-associated glycoproteins, which contribute to mechanical properties of a variety of tissues, such as ocular, aortic and dermal connective tissue.13 Fibrillin-1, encoded by the FBN1 gene, is the major structural component of microfibrils. Although microfibrils can form fibrous structures on their own, most commonly they ensheath the elastin core of elastic fibers. The elastic fiber-rich pia mater is the innermost layer of the optic nerve meninges, which is tightly wrapped around the optic nerve and is thought to be crucial for load-bearing.14,15 Previously, we found that the pia mater of Fbn1Tsk/+ is thinner than wt, suggesting altered biomechanical properties of the optic nerve.16 Likewise, the ECM in the aorta has been shown to play a fundamental role in sensing and responding to mechanical stress.17,18 In a mouse model of Marfan syndrome (MFS), which is caused by microfibril defects due to a mutation in Fbn1 (Fbn1C1039/+), elastic fiber lamellae in the aorta are disrupted, contributing to aneurism formation and eventual dissection.19,20 A weakened pia mater could result in an optic nerve more sensitive to mechanical stress, rendering the optic nerve more vulnerable to pressure-induced damage. The prevalence of glaucoma in MFS is higher than in the general population, supporting the idea that microfibril defects contribute to glaucoma.21 In addition, racial differences in the expression of ECM components including fibrillin in the optic nerve could partially explain the varying prevalence of glaucoma in different populations.22 Alterations in the elastic fiber structure have been implicated in the pathology of glaucoma, specifically in pseudo-exfoliation glaucoma.23,24 Downregulation of elastic fiber components such as
fibrillin-1 and elastin in the lamina cribrosa has been reported in exfoliation syndrome-associated glaucoma. These findings support our hypothesis that microfibril abnormalities in optic nerve head tissue play a key role in glaucoma pathogenesis.

Identification of the microfibril-associated ADAMTS gene family in dog models of primary open angle glaucoma and human glaucoma patients support the hypothesis that microfibril detects cause glaucoma. To test this hypothesis in a previous study, we characterized glaucomatous phenotypes in a mouse line with known microfibril deficiency due to heterozygosity of the Tsk mutation of Fbn1 (Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup>). The Tsk mutation is an in-frame duplication of exons 17 to 40 in Fbn1 that results in a larger-than-normal protein. The mutant protein can copolymerize with normal fibrillin-1 but results in functionally deficient microfibrils. We found that although Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice did not display accelerated loss of RGC axons, they do have several ocular phenotypes that may be relevant to glaucoma pathogenesis, particularly expansion of the optic nerve and optic nerve axons, which occur in the setting of normal IOP. In the DBA/2J mouse model of glaucoma, which display spontaneous IOP elevation at advanced age, axons expand before frank loss, suggesting that axonal enlargement predicts degeneration. Moreover, age-related axon expansion was reported in C57BL/6J mice. With their optic nerve and optic nerve axon expansion and thinner pia mater, we hypothesized that Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice would be more susceptible to elevated IOP as an additional stressor.

The objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that microfibril-deficient mice are susceptible to pressure-induced RGC damage. To this end, microbeads were injected unilaterally for inducing IOP elevation, IOP was monitored, and RGC degeneration was characterized. Although microfibril deficient mice have lower IOP responses, greater magnitudes of RGC axon and cell loss were detected compared with the wt mice, supporting our hypothesis.

**Methods**

**Animals and Tissue Collection**

This study was performed in accordance with the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research and with approval by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Vanderbilt University. Male Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> and female wt mice, both on the C57BL/6J background, were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory and bred in house to produce wt and Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> experimental animals. Mice were housed in a facility operated by the Vanderbilt University Division of Animal Care with a 12-hour light/dark cycle with standard chow and water provided as desired.

Male and female mice seven months of age at the time of microbead or sham injections (see below) were used for experiments. This age was chosen because we previously found expanded optic nerves starting at six months of age and to avoid a potential protective effect of advanced age fluctuations and calculated as the average of three separate determinations. IOP was measured every three days during the first month, then every six days during the following three months after injection. ΔIOP for each animal was calculated as IOP of the injected eye minus the contralateral eye at each time point. Positive integrated ΔIOP for each animal was calculated as the area under the ΔIOP versus time curve, with negative values set to zero.

**Immunostaining of Retinal Whole Mounts and RGC Quantification**

Retinas were dissected from enucleated eyes and stained for the RGC-specific marker Brn3a as described previously. Briefly, retinas were stained 40 hours at 4°C with goat polyclonal anti-Brn3a antibody (cat. no. sc-31984; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), diluted in blocking buffer (5% normal donkey serum in 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS), then washed and incubated for three hours at room temperature in donkey anti-goat immunoglobulin G Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Montage images (×20) of the entire retina were assembled using
Nikon NIS-Elements software from image tiles acquired with a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). RGCs were counted from the entire retina using a semi-automated method described by Geeraerts et al.15

Optic Nerve Staining, Axon Quantitation and Pia Mater Thickness Determination

Optic nerves were fixed in 2% osmium in PBS for one hour, dehydrated, and embedded in epon as described previously.16 Thin cross-sections (1 μm) of optic nerves were cut at approximately 1.5 mm from the globe using an EM UC7 ultramicrotome (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Sections were stained with p-phenylene diamine (PPD), then mounted using Permount Mounting Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Nerve images were acquired using an inverted microscope equipped with a 100 x 1.45 NA oil immersion objective and DS-Ri2 camera (Nikon). Montage Images of the entire nerve were assembled in NIS-Elements software (Nikon) and analyzed in Fiji (https://imagej.net/Fiji). Nerve area (not including the pia mater) and the area of the nerve not including the pia mater (A<sub>inner</sub>) were determined using the polygon drawing function of NIH ImageJ (Supplementary Fig. S1). The area of the pia mater was determined counting plugin for Fiji developed by Zarei et al.43

Size of axons were determined using AxonJ, an automatic and DS-Ri2 camera (Nikon). Montage Images of the entire nerve were assembled in NIS-Elements software (Nikon) and analyzed in Fiji (https://imagej.net/Fiji). Nerve images were acquired using an inverted microscope equipped with a 100 x 1.45 NA oil immersion objective and DS-Ri2 camera (Nikon). Montage Images of the entire nerve were assembled in NIS-Elements software (Nikon) and analyzed in Fiji (https://imagej.net/Fiji). Nerve area (not including the pia mater) and the area of the nerve not including the pia mater (A<sub>inner</sub>) were determined using the polygon drawing function of NIH ImageJ (Supplementary Fig. S1). The area of the pia mater was determined counting plugin for Fiji developed by Zarei et al.43

Optic nerve glial lamina sections 7 μm thick were deparaffinized and hydrated. Sections were oxidized in 10% oxone (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 40 minutes, washed, then incubated in Weigert’s Resorcin Fuchs in solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) for one hour. After clearing in 95% ethanol, sections were stained in Weigert’s iron hematoxylin solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 10 minutes, then counterstained with van Gieson’s solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 30 seconds. Slides were rinsed in distilled water, dehydrated in 95% ethanol, cleared in xylene, and then mounted.

Histologic Staining

Optic nerve glial lamina sections 7 μm thick were deparaffinized and hydrated. Sections were oxidized in 10% oxone (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 40 minutes, washed, then incubated in Weigert’s Resorcin Fuchs in solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) for one hour. After clearing in 95% ethanol, sections were stained in Weigert’s iron hematoxylin solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 10 minutes, then counterstained with van Gieson’s solution (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 30 seconds. Slides were rinsed in distilled water, dehydrated in 95% ethanol, cleared in xylene, and then mounted.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism or Python (https://www.python.org). Comparisons between two groups were performed using Student’s t-tests or Mann-Whitney tests. Percentage RGC loss or axon loss relative to the population mean was calculated as follows: for each mouse, the difference between the number in the injected eye and the average number of the uninjected eyes of the same genotype was divided by the average number of the uninjected eyes of the same genotype. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Numbers of samples are stated in the figure legends. Approximately equal numbers of male and female animals were included in experiments.

RESULTS

Lower IOP Response to Microbead Injection in Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> Mice

Previously, we showed that Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice have expanded optic nerves with enlarged optic nerve axons, which we hypothesized could result in increased susceptibility to IOP-induced RGC degeneration.16 To test this hypothesis, the anterior chambers of the right eyes of seven-month-old wt and Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice were injected with polystyrene beads followed by 1 μL of sodium hyaluronate to induce elevation of IOP, with the left eyes not injected to serve as control-er controls. As shown in Figures 1A and 1B, microbead injection induced significant elevation of IOP compared with uninjected control eyes of both wt and Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice that was sustained over the four-month postinjection period. An unanticipated result, apparent in Figures 1A and 1B, is that IOP elevation was much less for Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice compared with wt. Bead-induced ΔIOP (injected minus uninjected eye) was significantly greater for wt compared with Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice (Fig. 1C), with average ΔIOP over the four-month period of 5.8 ± 1.1 mm Hg for wt but only 2.8 ± 1.0 mm Hg (mean ± SEM) for Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice. Quantifying IOP responses by integrating ΔIOP over time (Fig. 1D) shows lower magnitude responses of Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice compared with wt (mean ± SEM: 398 ± 43 for Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> vs. 708 ± 78 mm Hg days for wt, P = 0.0016, analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test). A small elevation of IOP was observed in sham control eyes that were injected with PBS instead of microbeads (mean ± SEM: 158 ± 13 for wt and 204 ± 12 mm Hg days for Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice), with no significant difference between Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> and wt (P = 0.95, Fig. 1D).

Optic Nerve Size

Consistent with our previous finding of enlarged optic nerves in Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice,15 optic nerves from uninjected eyes were significantly larger for Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice as compared with wt (Fig. 2A). Combining data from uninjected eyes from sham and microbead injection groups, the optic nerve area of Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice was 7.6% larger than wt (0.101 ± 0.002 mm<sup>2</sup> for Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> vs. 0.094 ± 0.001 mm<sup>2</sup> for wt, P = 0.0001, Student’s t-test). This confirmation of increased nerve size indicates abnormal optic nerve structure in Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice.

Microbead injection induced a significant size reduction of the optic nerves (Fig. 2B) of both wt and Fbn1<sup>Tsk/+</sup> mice (P = 0.004 and 0.0006, respectively, Student’s t-test). Compared
FIGURE 1. IOP responses to microbead injections. Microbead-injected eyes (filled symbols) developed sustained elevations of IOP above that of uninjected control eyes (open symbols) for wt (A), with lower magnitude responses for Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (B). ΔIOP (injected minus uninjected IOP) was significantly lower for Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (red symbols) compared to wt (blue symbols, C). Integrated ΔIOP was significantly lower in magnitude for Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (red bar) compared to wt (blue bar), whereas sham injections induced a small elevation of integrated ΔIOP that was not different between wt (green bar) and Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (orange bar, D). Numbers of microbead-injected animals: 45 wt and 31 Fbn1Tsk+/+; sham-injected: 12 wt and 10 Fbn1Tsk+/+. Statistical significance assessed by Student t-tests (paired for A and B, unpaired for C) or ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons tests (D) are indicated: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

FIGURE 2. Reduced nerve size in response to microbead injection. Comparing un-injected eyes, Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice had enlarged optic nerves compared to wt (A). Analysis (B) comparing uninjected (left symbols) with bead-injected eyes (right symbols) showed significant reduction in cross-sectional area of nerves from wt (blue symbols) and Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (red symbols) but not for sham-injected controls (data not shown). Numbers of microbead-injected animals: 45 wt and 31 Fbn1Tsk+/+; sham-injected: 12 wt and 10 Fbn1Tsk+/+. Statistical significance assessed by Student t-tests (paired for A and B, unpaired for C) or ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons tests (D) are indicated: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Pia Mater Thickness

In cross-sections of the optic nerve at the glial lamina region, Weigert’s resorcin fuchsin counterstained with van Gieson’s staining revealed a network of elastic fibers within a collagen matrix that occupies the full thickness of the pia mater that stained purple (Fig. 3A). Comparing uninjected eyes (Fig. 3B), the pia mater was 28.7% thinner in optic nerves from Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (2.5 ± 0.09 μm, mean ± SEM) compared with those from wt (3.8 ± 0.10 μm, P < 0.0001, Student’s t-test), consistent with our previous finding.16 In addition, the area of the pia mater was 31% smaller in nerves from uninjected eyes of Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice compared those from wt (0.0029 ± 0.0001 vs. 0.0042 ± 0.0001 mm², mean ± SEM, P = 3.1 × 12−12, Student’s t-test), indicating that thinning was not simply due to increased inner radius of the optic nerve but represents reduction of pia mater tissue. The abnormal

to un-injected controls, cross-sectional area of nerves from bead-injected eyes was reduced by 4.2 ± 1.3% in wt and 11.1 ± 2.9% in Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice (mean ± SEM). The percent decrease in nerve area induced by bead injection was greater for Fbn1Tsk+/+ mice than it was for wt (p = 0.04). Sham injections did not induce significant reductions in nerve area for wt (P = 0.42) and Fbn1Tsk+/+ (P = 0.85, Student’s t-test).
pia mater suggests significant alterations in the extracellular matrix of the optic nerve in Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice that would likely impact biomechanical properties of the tissue and responses to elevated IOP.

Analysis comparing bead-injected and contralateral controls (Fig. 3C) showed that microbead injection resulted in thickening of the pia mater that reached statistical significance for Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice (P = 0.006, Student's t-test, Fig. 3C) but not for wt. Bead injection also caused an increase in the area of the pia mater that was statistically significant for Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice (P = 0.035, Student's t-test) but not for wt, suggesting that an increase in the amount of pia mater tissue contributed to its thickening. Thickening of the pia mater in nerves from bead-injected eyes suggests connective tissue remodeling of the optic nerve in response to elevated IOP.

**RGCs**

To determine RGC loss induced by microbead injection, immunofluorescent staining for the RGC-specific marker Brn3a was performed on whole-mount retinas. Heat maps of RGC density (Fig. 4A) showed lower density in the superior and nasal quadrants for all retinas, similar to our previous findings with manual RGC counting. The extent of RGC loss in microbead-injected eyes varied between individual mice, ranging from mild to severe damage (Fig. 4A).

Analysis of bead-injected and contralateral control eyes (Fig. 4B) showed significant loss of RGCs in bead-injected eyes for both wt (P = 0.003) and Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice (P = 0.0004) but not for sham-injected mice (P > 0.39, Student's t-test). Microbead injection and genotype significantly contributed to percentage axon loss (Fig. 4C, P < 0.0001 and P = 0.03, respectively) with significantly greater loss in microbead-injected eyes of Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice as compared with wt (mean ± SEM: 14.8 ± 3.6% for Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> and 5.8 ± 1.7% for wt, P = 0.002, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test). In the sham group, there was no significant difference in percentage RGC loss in either wt or Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice (not shown, two-way ANOVA).

**Optic Nerve Axons**

To determine the effect of microbead injection on RGC axons, postlaminar optic nerve cross-sections were stained with PPD and imaged by high-resolution light microscopy. The extent of axon loss in bead-injected eyes varied considerably between mice, with some nerves displaying obvious focal regions of severe damage (Fig. 5A, red asterisks). Focal axon loss in response to microbead injection was significantly more common in Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice, displayed by six of 29 nerves (20.7%), compared with one of 43 nerves (2.3%) for wt (P = 0.01, Fisher's exact test). Sham injected nerves appeared normal (not shown).

Significant loss of axons in bead-injected compared to contralateral control eyes was found for both wt (P = 1.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup>) and Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice (P = 5.7 × 10<sup>-4</sup>), but not for sham-injected mice (P > 0.72, Fig. 5B, Student's t-test). Microbead injection and genotype significantly contributed to percentage axon loss (Fig. 4C, P < 0.0001 and P = 0.03, respectively) with significantly greater loss in nerves from microbead-injected eyes of Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice as compared with wt (mean ± SEM: 17.0% ± 4.0% for Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> and 7.5% ± 1.5% for wt, P = 0.004, two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test). In the sham group, there was no significant difference in percentage axon loss in either wt or Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice (not shown, two-way ANOVA). These results show that despite having lower IOP responses to bead injection, Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice experienced greater axon loss, indicating greater susceptibility to IOP-induced degeneration.

**Axon Size**

Previously by manual determination of axon size, we had shown that Fbn1<sup>Tsk</sup>/<sup>Tsk</sup> mice have enlarged axons at six and 16 months of age and found that axon enlargement progresses with age. We hypothesized that axon enlargement may result in increased susceptibility to pressure-induced damage. Enlargement of optic nerve axons at 11 months of age was confirmed in the current data set by analysis of axon size of individual nerves using the program AxonJ (Fig. 6A), which showed median axon diameters from...
FIGURE 4. RGC loss in response to microbead injection. Heat maps of RGC density (A) show that compared to retinas from un-injected eyes (left column), microbead injection resulted in decreased RGC density in wt (top row) and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (bottom row) with variability between individual mice ranging from mild to severe damage, as well as lower densities in the superior and nasal quadrants. Analysis (B) comparing uninjected (left symbols) with bead-injected eyes (right symbols) showed significant loss of RGCs for wt (blue symbols) and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (red symbols) but not for sham-injected controls (green and orange symbols). The average percent RGC loss relative to the average number of RGCs in uninjected eyes (C) was lower for wt (blue bar) as compared with Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (red bar). Orientation of retinal whole mounts (S: Superior, N: Nasal, I: Inferior and T: Temporal) and heat map density scale are shown (A). Numbers of microbead-injected animals: 43 wt and 26 Fbn1Tsk/+; sham-injected: 12 wt and 10 Fbn1Tsk/+.

individual mice 2.4% larger in Fbn1Tsk/+ nerves compared to wt (0.881 ± 0.006 μm for Fbn1Tsk/+ and 0.860 ± 0.003 μm for wt, mean ± SEM, P = 0.0005, Student’s t-test). In addition, comparison of pooled axon diameters of all nerves from uninjected eyes (1,105,075 wt axons and 1,014,017 Fbn1Tsk/+ axons, Fig. 6B) shows a rightward shift in the size distribution, with median axon diameter 2.6% larger for Fbn1Tsk/+ compared with wt mice (median diameters 0.880 μm for Fbn1Tsk/+ and 0.858 μm for wt, P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U-test), confirming that axons were enlarged in nerves from Fbn1Tsk/+ mice.

To determine whether axon size was affected by microbead injection, comparisons were made between median axon sizes of individual mice (Fig. 6C) and between the size distributions of axons pooled from each group (Figs. 6D and 6E). Comparing the median axon diameter of bead-injected eyes of individual mice to the average median axon size of uninjected eyes of the same genotype (Fig. 6C) suggested that axon size was not altered by microbead injection (P > 0.33, Student’s t-test). However, analysis of pooled axons (Figs. 6D and 6E) showed that microbead injection resulted in a slight decrease in median axon diameter compared to un-injected nerves that was similar in wt and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (0.85% for wt and 0.81% for Fbn1Tsk/+). For wt, the median diameter was 0.858 μm for un-injected versus 0.851 for bead-injected, and for Fbn1Tsk/+ mice the median diameters were 0.880 μm for un-injected vs. 0.872 for bead-injected, P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U test. Although this would indicate a slightly higher susceptibility of larger than average axons, the shapes of the axon size distributions (Figs. 6D and 6E) were very similar with little or no change in the percentage of large axons having diameters above 1.2 μm in diameter. Analysis of axon size distributions including only mice with > 20% axon loss or including only those with 5% to 20% loss yielded similar results (data not shown).
FIGURE 5. RGC Axon loss in response to microbead injection. Images of optic nerve cross-sections (A) show that compared to nerves from uninjected eyes (left column), microbead injection results in focal axon loss in some nerves (red asterisks), more commonly in \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice. Paired analysis (B) comparing uninjected (left symbols) with bead-injected eyes (right symbols) showed significant loss of axons for \textit{wt} (blue symbols) and \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice (red symbols) but not for sham-injected controls (green and orange symbols). The average percent axon loss relative to the average number of axons in un-injected eyes (C) was lower for \textit{wt} (blue bar) as compared to \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice (red bar). Numbers of microbead-injected animals: 43 \textit{wt} and 29 \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}}; sham-injected: 12 \textit{wt} and 9 \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}}. Scale bar for the whole nerve in A: 100 \text{μm}. Dashed lines connect paired nerves (B).

DISCUSSION

Previously we reported several optic nerve phenotypes in \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice at normal IOP, including larger optic nerves, larger optic nerve axons and thinner pia mater at 6 and 16 months of age.\textsuperscript{16} Although these differences did not result in fewer RGCs or RGC axons, we hypothesized that they could result in increased susceptibility to RGC degeneration if the \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice were challenged with elevated IOP. In the present study, we confirmed these alterations in optic nerve structure at normal IOP in \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice at 11 months of age (Figs. 2, 3, and 6). When IOP was elevated by injection of microbeads into the anterior chamber, loss of RGC cell bodies and axons was significantly greater in \textit{Fbn1\textsuperscript{Tsk/+}} mice.
FIGURE 6. Optic nerve axon size. The median axon diameter of uninjected eyes (A) was larger in nerves from Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (red bar) as compared with wt (blue bar). Histograms of pooled axon diameters of uninjected eyes (B) show a shift toward larger axons in Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (red bars) compared with wt (blue bars). Paired analysis (C) comparing nerves from uninjected (left symbols) with those from bead-injected eyes (right symbols) showed no change in median axon diameter for wt (blue bars) and microbead-injected (light bars) for wt (D) and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (E). Histograms of pooled axon diameters were very similar for uninjected (dark bars) and microbead-injected (light bars) for wt (D) and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (E). Numbers of animals: 32 wt and 18 Fbn1Tsk/+ (A and C). Numbers of axons included for each histogram: 1,105,075 for wt un-injected, 1,014,017 for wt injected, 684,888 for Fbn1Tsk/+ un-injected and 616,953 for Fbn1Tsk/+ injected (B, D, and E). Dashed lines connect paired eyes (C).

as compared with wt controls (Figs. 4 and 5), confirming our hypothesis of increased susceptibility to pressure-induced RGC degeneration.

There was considerable variation in susceptibility to microbead-induced RGC and optic nerve axon loss with relatively few mice showing very pronounced loss in the microbead-injected eye (Figs. 4B and 5B). This is not unexpected because axonopathy has been shown to be heterogeneous and asynchronous in multiple experimental models of glaucoma and in the DBA/2J mouse model of inherited glaucoma.

Although in general the amount of RGC death and axon loss varies considerably between studies and is dependent on specific methods of microbead injection, magnitude and duration of IOP elevation and age and strain of mice, the extent of loss in wt mice in our hands was on the lower side of other reported studies which more typically report approximately 10% to 30% axon loss with roughly similar IOP elevations. However, Cone et al. reported that older C57BL/6 mice are more resistant to IOP-induced damage compared with younger C57BL/6 mice. In that study, young mice two to four months of age had 7.5% loss of RGCs and 11.9% loss of optic axons, whereas older mice at 10 to 12 months of age had only 2.0% loss of RGCs and 5.2% loss of optic axons (tables 4 and 5 Cone et al. ). In the present study using the C57BL/6 strain, mice were of intermediate age of seven to 11 months and had losses intermediate to the young and old mice of Cone et al. 5.8% RGC loss and 7.5% axon loss. Therefore, although somewhat low, the percentage loss of RGCs and axons is not inconsistent with previous studies.

The increased RGC degeneration occurred despite lower elevations of IOP in response to microbead injection, which resulted in an approximately 6 mmHg increase in wt mice (similar to IOP elevation in C57BL/6 mice reported by others) compared with a 3-mm Hg increase in Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (Fig. 1C). In our previous study, we found that Fbn1Tsk/+ mice had thin corneas, which could lead to underestimation of IOP. However this was unlikely because we determined that tonometer calibrations were nearly identical in wt and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice. The Tsk mutation of Fbn1 could affect IOP by disrupting elastic fibers. Within the trabecular meshwork, a dense network of elastic fibers is likely to contribute to the biomechanical properties of the
aqueous humor outflow pathway and thereby contribute to IOP regulation. Fibrinillin-1 microfibrils are essential for elastic fiber formation and contribute to their mechanical properties. Elastic fiber structure is known to be affected by Fbn1 mutations, including Tsk. Although we did not directly investigate the mechanisms leading to reduced IOP responses, we did observe that clearance of microbeads tended to be more extensive and earlier in Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (data not shown), which could have resulted in less efficient blockage of the iridocorneal angle.

IOP appeared to steadily decrease in bead-injected and uninjected eyes of Fbn1Tsk/+ mice starting at about 30 days after injection (Fig. 1B). Although we saw age-dependent declines in IOP in our previous work, in the present study the decline was more rapid and due to lower values. In this study, the contralateral uninjected eyes served as intra-animal controls for the bead-injected eyes. The contralateral eye likely does respond to elevation of IOP in the bead-injected eye, because previous studies have shown changes such as activation of retinal microglial cells in the contralateral control eyes of mice with unilaterally elevated IOP. Contralateral responses have been shown to not include loss of RGC axons in wt 129S6 mice. However, in mice carrying a deletion in the INK4 locus, loss of RGCs in the retinas of contralateral eyes has been reported. In our study, we included an additional control of sham-injected mice for which microbeads were omitted from the anterior chamber injection while the contralateral eye was left uninjected. For sham-injected wt or Fbn1Tsk/+ mice, anterior chamber injection did not result in elevated IOP. Comparing un-injected eyes from sham-injected and microbead-injected groups, RGC and axon numbers were similar, indicating that there was no significant loss in eyes contralateral to microbead-injected eyes. Therefore our uninjected contralateral and sham-injected controls support loss of RGCs and optic nerve axons specifically as a result of microbead injection, which resulted in elevated IOP.

We confirmed that the optic nerves of Fbn1Tsk/+ mice are significantly larger in cross-sectional area as compared with wt and that the enlargement of the optic nerve coincides with thinning of the pia mater, as we reported previously. These findings suggest altered biomechanical properties of the optic nerve, consistent with known differences associated with the Tsk mutation of fibrillin-1 in the biomechanics of other tissues, such as the skin and lung. At a molecular level in Fbn1Tsk/+ mice, alterations of biomechanics and tissue structure originate from the copolymerization of the Tsk and normal forms of the fibrillin-1 protein, which results in the formation of abnormal microfibrils. Microfibrils are required for proper formation of elastic fibers, which likely make significant contributions to the mechanical properties of the pia mater, thereby contributing to the biomechanical responses of the optic nerve to pressure changes. In addition, microfibrils regulate growth factors such as transforming growth factor β that in turn regulate production of other extracellular matrix proteins, a process that is known to be perturbed by mutations in Fbn1. Alterations in the biomechanics of the optic nerve have long been postulated to contribute to glaucoma pathogenesis. We speculate that thinning of the pia mater may allow for expansion due to interstitial tissue pressure within the optic nerve. Microbead injection resulted in reduction of nerve size and thickening of the pia mater, suggesting tissue remodeling in response to elevated IOP.

Expansion of the optic nerve appears to be associated with aging, which is a significant risk factor for glaucoma, as we previously reported with C57BL/6 mice and others have reported for DBA/2J mice and rats. Smith et al. found that before extensive axon loss, the optic nerves of the glaucoma model DBA/2J mice were significantly enlarged compared with the appropriate D2G controls, suggesting that acceleration of optic nerve expansion, as we have found for Fbn1Tsk/+ mice, may also be a component of the pathogenesis of glaucoma. In response to microbead injection, optic nerve size was reduced 4.2% for wt and 11.1% for Fbn1Tsk/+ mice as compared with uninjected controls. Percent nerve reduction was significantly correlated with percent axon loss ($R^2 = 0.45, P < 0.001$), suggesting that reduction of nerve size resulted from loss of axons.

We also confirmed in this study that the distribution of axon sizes was shifted to larger diameters in the optic nerves of Fbn1Tsk/+ mice (Figs. 6 A, 6B). Axon size is precisely regulated in central nervous system nerve tracts to optimize efficiency of information transfer and to minimize energy costs within spatial constraints. Small axons tend to predominate because of efficiency of information transfer, whereas larger axons allow for faster propagation of action potentials and more rapid firing rates and are capable of driving larger numbers of active zones. The distribution of axon sizes in the optic nerve is remarkably similar across species, with a strong skew toward smaller sizes as found in this study. Axon size is determined by several factors, including axonal membrane-associated microtubule organizing complexes and actin and neurofilament cytoskeletal elements. Cytoskeletal elements, including those of RGC axons, are regulated by interactions with the extracellular matrix. Fibrillin-1 expressed in the optic nerve could interact with axons and alter the cytoskeleton, perhaps through its arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) domain interacting with integrins, or by changing the biomechanical properties of the extracellular matrix. Although we do not know the mechanism, our data show that the fundamental parameter of axon caliber is dysregulated as a result of the Tsk mutation of Fbn1 and suggest a critical and previously unknown role for fibrillin-1 in determining axon size in the optic nerve.

Axon enlargement in this study with 11-month-old C57BL/6J mice (Figs. 6A, 6B) is consistent with our previous work showing age-dependent axon expansion in the optic nerves of six- and 16-month-old C57BL/6J mice. Optic nerve axon size has also been shown to be enlarged in DBA/2J mice, with age-dependent expansion. Age-dependent axon enlargement has also been shown in the human optic nerve. These findings in mice and humans suggest that axon enlargement in the optic nerve may be a normal component of aging and could be a factor in neurodegenerative diseases, such as glaucoma, for which age is an important risk factor. Consistent with this, Stahon et al. showed that age-dependent enlargement of optic nerve axons coincided with altered mitochondrial morphology and lowered axonal ATP levels, suggesting compromised metabolic capacity in axons enlarged through aging.

Studies from the 1980s and 1990s by Quigley et al. and Repka and Quigly extensively considered the signif-
icance of axon caliber in susceptibility to glaucomatous degeneration. In a monkey model of elevated IOP, a shift in the distribution of remaining axons towards smaller diameters could be seen in nerves with modest damage, and analysis of loss at each size category indicated greater loss for larger axons.81,83 Similarly, in optic nerves from glaucoma patients, the distributions of remaining axons was shifted toward smaller sizes compared with normal nerves, the magnitude of which correlated with the extent of damage, suggesting preferential loss of large diameter axons.80 However, smaller-diameter axons have also been suggested to be more vulnerable to pressure-induced damage. Measuring compound action potentials of isolated mouse optic nerves, Baltan et al.84 showed loss of signal from slow-conducting axons and reduced numbers of small- and medium-sized axons in response to elevated IOP in the DBA/2J mouse model, which they attributed to reduced metabolic capacity.

We had hypothesized that enlarged axons would be more vulnerable to pressure-induced RGC degeneration. Confirming our hypothesis, Fbn1Tsk/+ mice with their enlarged axons did have greater pressure-induced loss of RGCs and optic nerve axons than did wt (Figs. 4 and 5). Comparisons of median axon diameter of individual mice did not show significant changes in axon size (Fig. 6C). However, analysis of pooled axons from all mice in each group showed a slight (<1%) reduction in median axon diameter on microbead injection, suggesting a very slight preference for loss of larger than average size axons. On the other hand, the axon size distributions are very similar for bead injected and uninjected nerves (Figs. 6D, 6E) with little or no reduction in percentage of larger category axons, 1.2 μm in diameter and above, arguing against selective susceptibility of large axons. Analysis of size distribution including only mice with >20% axon loss or only those with 5% to 20% loss yielded similar results. Our results suggest the possibility that enlargement above normal size, as occurs through aging, rather than above a certain size threshold, renders RGCs and their axons more vulnerable to pressure-induced damage.

There was considerably more variability in phenotypes of Fbn1Tsk/+ mice as compared with wt (Figs. 2B, 3C, 4B, 5B). A previous study showed similar intraday variability arising from another mutation in Fbn1 in a genetically homogeneous mouse line.85 Intraday differences in phenotypes caused by genetic mutations are known to occur even in mice with identical genetic backgrounds because of epigenetic modifications,86 which could be a possible explanation for the higher variability of Fbn1Tsk/+ mice found in this study.

Comparing un.injected eyes, the number of axons and RGCs were significantly higher for Fbn1Tsk/+ compared with wt mice at 11 months of age (Figs. 4B, 5B). In a previous study by Wu et al.,16 we found that the number of axons and RGCs was not significantly different between wt and Fbn1Tsk/+ mice at 16 months of age. This discrepancy might be due to age differences but more likely is methodological because in Wu et al.,16 we manually counted axons and RGCs, whereas for the present study we used automated counting programs.

In summary, we have shown that Fbn1Tsk/+ mice had greater loss of RGC cell bodies in the retina and RGC axons in the optic nerve, despite having lower IOP responses. We also found that the Tsk mutation of Fbn1 results in enlargement of optic nerve axons, suggesting a previously unknown role for fibrillin-1 in determining axon caliber. The greater vulnerability of Fbn1Tsk/+ mice to pressure-induced RGC degeneration may be due to accelerated age-dependent axon enlargement which may result in decreased metabolic capacity and reduced capability to withstand mechanical stresses resulting from elevated IOP. Further study will investigate the mechanisms by which fibrillin-1 contributes to axon enlargement and the functional implications of enlarged optic nerve and optic nerve axons.
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