Visualization of micromorphology of petal epidermal features of waxy and velvety flowers in *Phalaenopsis*
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ABSTRACT: *Phalaenopsis*, also known as moth orchids, are well known for their use as potted plants and high-grade cut flowers. The flower petals of *Phalaenopsis* have two specific natural textures, waxy and velvety. In order to look for the factors that affect the flower petal textures, in this study, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and optical microscopy were used to observed, compared, and analyzed the cell shape and arrangement of petal epidermal cells among seven samples from Orchidaceae family, including six *Phalaenopsis* cultivars of different colors and optical textures, and one *Paphiopedilum* sample as the out-group. The observational results revealed that waxy petals were formed by parallel and tight arrangement of flat epidermal cells with relatively thick and smooth cuticles, whereas soft (velvety) petals were formed by independent and loose arrangement of conical epidermal cells with thin cuticles. This research showed that the petal epidermal cell structure and arrangement and the accumulation of cuticles directly affect the petal texture of *Phalaenopsis* germplasm, but not strongly relate to germplasm sources, floral color, floral stripe color, and floral size. The study could provide basic information for further studies on floral texture formation mechanisms in *Phalaenopsis*, and could provide more insight and evidence for directional breeding of ornamental traits in orchid.
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INTRODUCTION

*Phalaenopsis*, commonly known as moth orchids, are well known for their use as potted plants and high-grade cut flowers. The flower petals of *Phalaenopsis* have two specific natural textures, waxy (glossy) and velvety (papery), meanwhile those waxy petals are thicker and harder than velvety petals in the conventional breeding and cultivation experience. In this study, we looked at the factors that affect the petal textures. As the current ornamental flowers on the market usually have thin and velvety petals, the introduction of thick and waxy flowers largely meets the diverse needs of consumers, bringing a novel visual and tactile experience. As they have petals with a hard texture, waxy flowers are able to be transported over long-distance and can be preserved for a longer time; they are becoming more and more popular on the flower market. However, studies [1, 2] on the relationship between floral texture differences and petal epidermal cellular microtextures have provided insight for further studies of inheritance and defense mechanisms between different floral textures.

Plants can defend themselves against insects by forming epidermis of different smoothness at different parts. For example, some plants have smooth waxy surfaces that avoid adhesion of insects, whereas in the pitcher plant, the waxy surface of its pitcher attracts insects; and the waxy surface of its stem and flowers prevents insects from eating nectar. Some chemical components of waxy surfaces act as deterrents for insects [3]. As a major reproductive organ of angiosperms, flowers are conservative in plant systematic phylogeny, and have an important role in plant systematics. In recent years, studies of flowers have mainly focused on floral morphology and morphogenesis, and the results of these studies provided crucial information about the relationships between different plant species. Another study [4] used scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to observe petal epidermal types in angiosperms and proposed that different petal epidermal types are helpful for the systematic classification of plants. A recent study on the differences in floral development of the two species of *Lycoris*, widely used in gardening and medicine, has been reported which may be useful in the hybridization, breeding and
regulation of flowering of these plants [5].

Floral texture is an important ornamental characteristic, and is also an important breeding trait of high ornamental and economic values. Previous studies regarding the floral textures of ornamental flowers found that different textures could generate different visual effects, such as metallic luster, velvet luster and diamond dust, which increase both the value of ornamental plants and the color diversity of flowers [1,2]. Different floral textures can increase the diversity of petal types and can be an important trait for breeding new cultivars. Current breeding studies in ornamental flowers mainly focus on the selective breeding of floral colors with no concern for texture traits. Light reflected from the petal surface and observed by the naked eye can affect the formation of floral textures and present different visual effects. Previous Studies [6–8] also demonstrated that the light reflected from the petal surface was affected by epidermal cell shape. And in another orchid study [9] of petals from 34 cultivars and varieties in the Dendrobium genus determined their color intensity, color ratio, and visual quality by investigating the roles of floral pigment distribution and shapes of epidermal cells. Moreover, the largest previous study on the petal structure of 201 angiosperm species from 60 families [10] and investigating into the majority of the germplasm resources (85 out of 97) found that the epidermal cells of most petals had either a dome or conical cell wall. It was also discovered that there are six basic types of petal epidermis, based on anatomical structure. Sometimes, the petal epidermis presents with a mixture of more than one anatomical type.

The naturally differentiated features of floral textures can provide more options for cross breeding, such as selective breeding of new cultivars with waxy flowers (or flowers with thicker petals). Current studies regarding the inheritance of important floral traits mainly focus on color, shape, and fragrance, but the unique trait of waxy flowers is only present in some ornamental flower species, such as Chimonanthus praecox (L.) Link and Camellia chrysanthta (Hu) Tuyama. Due to the limitation of study materials, the principles and mechanisms of inheritance of floral textures are poorly understood. Nonetheless, there have been a number of studies regarding leaf surfaces or epidermis cells of plants [11–17]. Therefore, as the most reproductive and ornamental organ in plants, flowers have significant economic value, and our study of floral textures is biologically significant and has long-term commercial value. In this study, we selected waxy flower material of three typical colors from our previously established Phalaenopsis germplasm bank and then selected velvety flowers with the corresponding colors. We then selected waxy flower material from Paphiopedilum, a different genus in the Orchidaceae family, as the out-group. Using SEM and optical microscopy, we observed, compared, and analyzed the cell shape and arrangement of petal epidermal microstructures and cross section, and we identified factors that affect differences in floral texture. This study can provide basic information for further studies on floral texture formation mechanisms in Phalaenopsis, and can provide more insight and evidence for directional breeding of ornamental traits in Phalaenopsis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

In this study, the inflorescences were selected from seven orchid samples. Ten replicated samples were taken each from six Phalaenopsis cultivars of different colors and optical textures. Ten replicated samples of the Paphiopedilum germplasm were used, another genus in the Orchidaceae family. All samples contained petals of both waxy and velvety textures and of three typical colors, fuchsia, yellow, and white. Due to a lack of orchid germplasm with pure white waxy flowers, all the white waxy petal samples were excised from the white part of the petal edges of Phalaenopsis cultivar ‘866’ (Fig. 1c). Morphological characterization was executed in accordance with the type of petal and flowers following International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) guidelines [18]. (See Table 1 for the description of morphological features of these samples and Fig. 1 for the images of their floral morphological features.)

Scanning electron microscopy of petal epidermal cell surfaces

To observe the petals epidermis, immersion fixation procedure was used in this project: the fresh petals from the 4th or 5th day of blooming flower were selected and used, and the central parts of fresh petals were cut (approx. 0.5 × 0.5 mm square) and immediately immersed in 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde solution at 4 °C overnight, and washed with phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.2) for 3 times, 10 min for each time. Then the petal samples were dehydrated once and 10 min each, in a graded ethanol series: 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and 100%. The samples were further treated twice with isoamyl acetate for...
Fig. 1 Photograph illustrating the texture and colour differences of petal surfaces of the six *Phalaenopsis* tested samples and one *Paphiopedilum* as an out-group sample. (a) *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘YHP-019’, pure red-waxy petals; (b) *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘657’, pure yellow-waxy petals; (c) *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘866’, the red arrows point to the pure white-waxy petal margin sections which were sampled in this project; (d) *Phalaenopsis Queen Beer ‘Mantefon’*, pure red-velvety petals; (e) *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘873’, pure yellow-velvety petals; (f) *Phalaenopsis* ‘Sogo Yukidian’, pure white-velvety petals; (g) *Paphiopedilum Maudiae*, pure red-waxy lip. Bar = 5 cm.

**Table 1** Seven Orchid accessions used in the present study.

| Code | Species                        | Characteristics of flower                                      | RHS color chart no. |
|------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘YHP-019’ | Petal texture (waxy/velvety)                                      | PURPLE GROUP 78-A    |
|      |                                 | Thickness of petal (mm)<sup>a</sup>                              |                     |
|      |                                 | Width in front view of flower (cm)<sup>a</sup>                   |                     |
|      |                                 | Length in front view of flower (cm)<sup>a</sup>                  |                     |
|      |                                 | Flower main color                                                |                     |
|      |                                 | RH$^b$ color chart no.                                            |                     |
| 2    | *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘657’    | Waxy                                                            | GREEN-YELLOW GROUP 1-A |
| 3    | *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘866’    | Waxy                                                            | WHITE GROUP 155-A   |
| 4    | *Phalaenopsis Queen Beer ‘Mantefon’ | Velvety                                                       | RED-PURPLE GROUP 72-A |
| 5    | *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘873’    | Velvety                                                         | GREEN-YELLOW GROUP 1-D |
| 6    | *Phalaenopsis* ‘Sogo Yukidian’   | Velvety                                                         | WHITE GROUP 1155-D  |
| 7    | *Paphiopedilum Maudiae*          | Waxy                                                            | GREYED-PURPLE GROUP 187-B |

<sup>a</sup> Data are mean ± SD (n = 10)

<sup>b</sup> Flower color: All samples’ color was measured in the absence of direct sunlight using the Royal Horticultural Society (RHS) colour chart.
15 min each time. Then the samples were dried in a critical point drier (CPD 030; BAL-TEC Inc., Balzers, Liechtenstein) with liquid carbon dioxide as a transitional fluid. Samples were mounted on metal stubs, sputter-coated with gold, and adaxial surface of all the samples were examined under a Scanning Electron Microscopes (SEM) (XL-30-ESEM, FEI, Holland). The terminology of epidermis morphology suggested by previous researches was adopted in this study \[2, 14, 19\].

**Preparation of petal tissue sections and observation of anatomical structure**

Fresh petals were collected and washed with distilled water immediately from the 4th or 5th day of blooming flower. For microscopic observation, transverse sections were prepared by following the method detailed by Mudalige et al [9], fresh petal parts (approx. 0.1–0.2 mm thickness) were sectioned using a sharp razor blade, and immersed in 0.25% polyethylene glycol solution (M.W. 4000), and then observed using a Zeiss Axio Scope A1 microscope.

**RESULTS**

**Epidermal cell structure features of waxy and velvety petals of different colors under SEM**

All petals used in this study were of pure color. This ensured that features of the epidermal cell structure could be better compared between *Phalaenopsis* petals with different textures. It also avoided the interference of any stripes on the petals. First, the differences in adaxial epidermal structure between waxy petals (Fig. 2(a,b,c)) and velvety petals (Fig. 2(d,e,f)) were compared using SEM. In this experiment, petals of three typical colors (fuchsia, yellow, and white) were observed. The observation results showed that the adaxial epidermis surface and cell arrangement is not related to floral color but to floral texture. The waxy petals of different colors in these *Phalaenopsis* observed samples all showed consistent adaxial epidermis surface and cell arrangement: flat and irregular shaped, parallel and tightly arranged, and no intercellular gaps. The epidermal cells of velvety flowers were conical (or dome) shaped, loosely arranged, and with a large number of intercellular gaps. Furthermore, the epidermal cell structure and arrangement of *Paphiopedilum* waxy petals (Fig. 2g) were consistent with those of *Phalaenopsis* waxy petals.

**Differences in shape and arrangement of epithelial cells between waxy and velvety petals of different colors under optical microscope**

Under SEM, only the horizontal structure and arrangement of petal epidermal cells can be observed. The vertical cell structure can be observed using the cross section of fresh petal slices. Microscopic observation of six *Phalaenopsis* samples showed the presence of three types of epidermal cells: square, conical-papillate, and rounded-conical (Fig. 3). The epidermal cells of the three waxy petal samples were all flat (Fig. 3(a,b,c)), whereas the velvety petals only had conical cells, such as conical-papillate (Fig. 3(d,f)) and rounded-conical (Fig. 3e). In addition, square-type epidermal cells were also present in the waxy petals of *Paphiopedilum*. These results revealed that the cell shape and arrangement only contribute to floral texture, but are not related to floral color. However, the variation in pigment related to petal color. Meanwhile, the observational results of vertical epidermal cell structure were consistent with the horizontal cell structure.

**The relationship between epidermal microstructure and morphological features of petals**

In the two experiments above, we found that the shape and arrangement of petal epidermal cells are closely related to petal morphology in *Phalaenopsis*. Observation by naked eye revealed that under the same lighting conditions, waxy petals all presented with surface reflection and had a thick oily tactile quality; whereas velvety flowers presented with no surface reflection, and had a thin soft tactile quality. Measurement of *Phalaenopsis* petal thickness also showed that waxy petals were thicker than velvety petals (Table 1). In addition, the waxy lip of *Paphiopedilum* had morphological features that were consistent with the waxy petals of *Phalaenopsis*, with greater surface reflection observed and a more pronounced feeling of oiliness. The six *Phalaenopsis* samples used in this study all had different floral sizes and colors, but both experiments revealed that the petal epidermal cell structure is only closely related to floral texture. It was observed from this study that the thickness of petal was formed by the petal epidermal cell structure and arrangement and the accumulation of cuticle, which was directly related to the texture of petal of *Phalaenopsis* germplasm.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we compared the differences in petal epidermal microtexture between waxy and velvety flowers of *Phalaenopsis*, and we investigated the factors that affect petal texture, according to epidermal features.

Based on the results of this study and a preliminary observation study of petal epidermis between native and cultivated *Phalaenopsis* [20], we found that the epidermal cell structure and arrangement are not related to germplasm sources, floral color, or floral stripe color. Most of the waxy flowers in our Orchid Germplasm Nursery are medium-sized or small-sized; therefore, we selected a small-sized velvety flower cultivar (Fig. 1d); a medium-sized velvety flower cultivar (Fig. 1e); and a large-sized velvety flower cultivar (Fig. 1f). The observation results revealed that the waxy flowers of similar size showed consistent features of petal epidermal cell structure, and the three velvety flower cultivars all exhibited the same pattern of petal epidermal cell structure.

Our study has shown that waxy petals were formed by parallel and tightly arranged flat epidermal cells with relatively thick, smooth cuticles; whereas soft (velvety) petals were formed by independent loosely arranged conical epidermal cells with thin cuticles. Similar results were shown in a previous study of epidermal cell morphology of *Dendrobium* [9], which demonstrated that the shape and natural features of the mesophyll layers in epidermal cells mutually affected the visual quality of flowers. Square cells with relatively thick, smooth cuticles and tightly arranged mesophyll tissues with few intercellular gaps mutually contributed to the smooth texture of flowers; whereas thin cuticles and loosely arranged mesophyll tissues with large intercellular gaps mutually contributed to the softness of velvety flowers [9]. Other previous studies also had similar results; conical cells seem to be universally present in some orchid species, such as *Anacamptis pyramidalis, Dactylorhiza fuchsii* [10], and many species of *Cattleya* and *Laelia* [21]. In particular, observation of *Cattleya* flowers [21, 22] demonstrated that smooth petals had square epidermal cells; whereas velvety petals had triangular epidermal cells with a greater height-to-width ratio. Matsui [21, 22] hypothesized that the shape and size
of epidermal cells, particularly the height-to-width ratio of cells, could affect floral texture. Further investigation into more flower materials of other genera and species in the Orchidaceae family is required to determine whether this is the common feature of floral texture formation in orchid plants.

As *Phalaenopsis* has natural differentiation of floral texture, and has germplasm resources of both waxy and velvety flowers, it could be suggested as a model plant material for comparative studies of floral textures among different species in the *Phalaenopsis* genus, and for comparative studies of floral textures among other families and genera. In our study, the same texture could be found on both fuchsia and white colored petals. However, in one previous study [2] on selected ornamental flower materials included petals with a velvet luster texture and non-velvety texture showed that velvety petals had two common features, conical-papillate or dome shaped epidermal cells and dark colors. Moreover in our study, we only found waxy and soft velvety petals. The key factors that affect floral texture were cell shape and arrangement, and such floral texture differentiation exists both in petals of dark colors, with high pigment content, and light colors, with low pigment content. However, another study of color diversity and functional optics\(^1\) listed three typical floral textures, namely: velvet luster (e.g., *Viola tricolor*), generated by surface-reflected light; metallic luster (e.g., *Begonia rex*), generated by scattered light; and diamond dust (e.g., *Saintpaulia ionantha*). The study proposed that the key factors that affect floral texture are two types of surface reflected light, which are affected by different epidermal cell shapes and petal structure.

Furthermore, a previous study of buttercup (*Ranunculus* spp.) flowers [23] revealed that in addition to matte yellow flowers with little smoothness, buttercup also has yellow flowers with mirror-like reflectiveness. Using microscopic spectrophotometry and anatomical approaches, the researchers discovered the optical features of waxy flowers. The results showed that the waxy flower was formed by a rare structure and combination of pigmentation; very flat epithelial layer full of pigments, making the flowers appear waxy like a thin-film reflector. In our study of *Phalaenopsis* petals, we discovered similar waxy textures, not only in yellow petals, but also in petals of all three colors that exhibit the parallel and tightly arranged flat-type of epidermal

---

**Fig. 3** Transverse sections of the adaxial petal epidermis of the six *Phalaenopsis* tested samples and one *Paphiopedilum* out-group sample. (a) Square cells of *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘YHP-019’; (b) Square cells of *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘657’; (c) Square cells of *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘866’; (d) Conical-papillate cells of *Phalaenopsis Queen Beer ‘Mantefon’; (e) Rounded-conical cells of *Phalaenopsis* cultivar ‘873’; (f) Conical-papillate cells of *Phalaenopsis ‘Sogo Yukidian’; (g) Square cells of *Paphiopedilum Maudiae*. Bar = 100 µm. AEC = adaxial epidermal cell, M = mesohyll.
cells. Currently, there has been no evidence in plants of other families showing a similar phenomenon of floral texture differentiation within the same species. An important question would be whether this phenomenon is unique to Orchidaceae.

Due to a shortage of plant materials with waxy flowers, there are still many floral texture formation mechanisms that are poorly studied. The wax morphology is well studied in plant leaves and peels [24–27]. In terms of describing different leaf textures, generally they were described as thin, soft leaves as velvety, and thick, hard leaves as leathery [15]. However, there is still no unified criterion for describing floral texture as an important ornamental trait. In related research monographs and publications [18, 28, 29], different scholars used different words to describe floral textures. For example, waxy or glossy is used to describe flowers with hard, thick and smooth texture; whereas velvety or papery or membranous is used to describe flowers with soft, thin and light texture. Therefore, further investigation is still required to determine whether the waxy components are similar among floral epidermises and whether leaves have similar waxy components, in addition to whether they have similar cell structural patterns. Researchers should also work together to find out whether a universal definition should be used, such as waxy for the description of smooth and shiny floral textures; and velvety for the description of soft, thin film-like floral textures that do not reflect light.

In conclusion, the petal epidermal cell structure and arrangement and the accumulation of cuticle directly affect the texture of petal of Phalaenopsis germplasm, but not strongly related to germplasm size. Differences in floral development between Lycoris radiata and Lycoris sprengeri. ScienceAsia 46, 271–279.
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