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To unlock the potential of Chlorella stigmatophora (Trebuoxiphyceae, Chlorophyta) and Hemiselmis andersenii (Cryptophyceae, Cryptophyta) as natural reactors for biotechnological exploitation, their lipophilic extracts were characterized using Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy with Attenuated Total Reflectance (FTIR-ATR) and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) before and after alkaline hydrolysis. The GC-MS analysis enabled the identification of 62 metabolites—namely fatty acids (27), aliphatic alcohols (17), monoglycerides (7), sterols (4), and other compounds (7). After alkaline hydrolysis, monounsaturated fatty acids increased by as much as 87%, suggesting that the esterified compounds were mainly neutral lipids. Hemiselmis andersenii yielded the highest Σω3/Σω6 ratio (7.26), indicating that it is a good source of ω3 fatty acids, in comparison to C. stigmatophora (Σω3/Σω6 = 1.24). Both microalgae presented significant amounts of aliphatic alcohols (6.81–10.95 mg · g dw⁻¹), which are recognized by their cholesterol-lowering properties. The multivariate analysis allowed visualization of the chemical divergence among H. andersenii lipophilic extracts before and after alkaline hydrolysis, as well as species-specific differences. Chlorella stigmatophora showed to be a valuable source of essential fatty acids for nutraceuticals, whereas H. andersenii, due to its high chemical diversity, seems to be suitable for different fields of application.
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Microalgae show dual potential as a feedstock for biomass and biofuel production. The advantages that make these organisms sustainable biofactories to synthesize value-added compounds include non-competition for agricultural land, carbon fixation ability, and metabolic plasticity (Rocha et al. 2017). From the wide biological diversity of microalgae and cyanobacteria, only a few species belonging to Arthospira and Chlorella genera dominate the human nutrition market, with an estimated global production of 6,600 and 12,000 tons of dry matter per year, respectively (Kent et al. 2015, Muys et al. 2019).

Among algal metabolites, lipids are of great importance for the biotechnological exploitation of microalgae (Matich et al. 2018). For instance, essential fatty acids, such as linoleic (C18:2ω6) and α-linolenic (C18:3ω3) acids, can be suitable for applications in the food industry as an additive and/or nutritional supplement, while triacylglycerols and saturated fatty acids are suitable for biofuel production (Matich et al. 2018). Additionally, given the reduction of global fish stocks and fishes’ susceptibility to contamination by pollutants (e.g., heavy metals), microalgae have emerged as sustainable sources of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (Guiheneuf and Stengel 2013, Gheysen et al. 2018). Besides fatty acids, microalgae-derived lipids also contain aliphatic alcohols, monoglycerides, and phytosterols which have potential applications in aquaculture feed, food, and pharmaceutical industries (Luo et al., 2015). Indeed, phytosterols have been incorporated in food matrices such as low-fat milk, fat-based spreads, and cereal bars due to their efficacy as cholesterol-lowering agents (Jones and AbuMweis 2009).

Cryptophyceaeen species are used for application in aquaculture industries due to their high polyunsaturated fatty acids and sterol concentrations, but are not widely produced for the purpose of human health (Peltomaa et al. 2017). Hemiselmis andersenii belongs to this taxonomic class and research efforts have been focused on its nucleomorph
characterization to study the process of genome evolution (Lane et al. 2007). The genus *Chlorella* is recognized as a model oleaginous microalga, *Chlorella stigmatophora* being one of the few marine species that belongs to this genus (Jiang et al. 2012). In both microalgal species, the analysis of the lipophilic fraction is limited to specific families like fatty acids and sterols (Slocombe et al. 2015). The abundance of other important lipophilic classes, such as long-chain aliphatic alcohols and monoglycerides, is unknown.

Lipidomics play a key role in deciphering the potential of microalgae as natural reactors to produce bioactive lipids (da Costa et al. 2016). This area of metabolomics is responsible for the detailed identification and quantification of the lipid species (da Costa et al. 2016). In this field of study, GC-MS is often applied due to its low sample requirement and because it is a powerful analytical tool that enables the robust characterization of the total lipid extract (da Costa et al. 2016). In this sense, GC-MS techniques have been used for the complete study of the lipid extract as a whole (Santos et al. 2015, Rahmouni et al. 2017).

The main aim of the present work was to evaluate the potential of *Hemiselmis andersenii* and *Chlorella stigmatophora* strains as potential sources of high-value compounds for further applications in nutraceutical and other related fields. With this purpose, the profiles of the lipophilic extracts from *C. stigmatophora* and *H. andersenii* were analyzed using GC-MS. Since the lipophilic fraction can be enriched in molecules in their esterified forms, the study of complex lipids is crucial. Thus, the characterization of the lipophilic extracts was made before and after alkaline hydrolysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Growth and culture conditions.* Microalgae were obtained from the Roscoff Culture Collection (RCC) and the Spanish Bank of Algae (BEA). The cultivation of the Chlorophyta *Chlorella stigmatophora* (RCC 661) and the Cryptophyta *Hemiselmis cf. andersenii* (BEA 0118B) was performed by inoculating starter cultures in sterile 0.2–Si medium with pH adjusted to 7.0, under 70 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity and 16:8 h light-dark cycles, at 25°C. At the end of the logarithmic phase, cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 3720 g, and pellets were washed twice with an isotonic saline solution (0.09 g NaCl L⁻¹). Cell densities were determined with a Neubauer-improved counting chamber (Marienfeld Superior) and a light microscope (Olympus BX41, Tokyo, Japan) with 40X magnification. The specific growth rate was obtained by the linearization of the following logistic model (Equation 1):

\[
N = \frac{K}{1 + e^{-\mu t}}
\]

where \(K\) (cells mL⁻¹) stands for the carrying capacity, \(N\) (cells mL⁻¹) corresponds to the cell concentration in time \(t\), \(a\) is the position of the origin, and \(\mu\) (d⁻¹) is the specific growth rate (Xin et al. 2010, Fernandes et al. 2016).

Biomass yield (g L⁻¹; in dry weight) was estimated at the end of the batch cultivation by freeze-drying algal samples on pre-weighed flasks. Dry weight (dw) was obtained based on weight difference.

*Extraction of the lipophilic fraction.* The lipophilic phase was extracted as reported in Ma et al. (2015), with some modifications. Approximately 100–150 mg of freeze-dried sample was extracted with an aqueous solution (methanol:water, 1:1) and chloroform in a 1:1 ratio. The mixture was left stirring for 15 min and separated by centrifugation at 4,427 g for 10 min. The lipophilic phase was transferred into pre-weighed tubes and evaporated in a nitrogen atmosphere before hydrolysis (BH). The amount of extractable substances was gravimetrically quantified and expressed as a percentage by weight of the freeze-dried biomass (dw).

*Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy with attenuated total reflectance (FTIR-ATR).* FTIR-ATR spectra of microalgal raw freeze-dried biomass and lipophilic extracts BH were collected on a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum Two instrument coupled with a Diamond ATR accessory (DurasampleIR II, Smiths Detection, UK) scanning over the wave number range of 4,000–650 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and 36 scans.

*Alkaline hydrolysis and GC-MS analysis.* The alkaline hydrolys was performed in two aliquots according to Santos et al. (2015). To each extract, 10 mL of 0.5 M NaOH in 50% aqueous methanol solution was added and the mixture heated at 100°C for 1 h in a nitrogen atmosphere. Samples were acidified to pH 2 with 1 M HCl and extracted with dichloromethane. The solvent was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen and named AH.

To fully exploit the potential of the lipophilic fraction of *Chlorella stigmatophora* and *Hemiselmis andersenii*, an untargeted approach was implemented. Two aliquots of each dried extract (before—BH and after—AH alkaline hydrolysis) were silylated according to the methodology described by Santos et al. (2015). Briefly, an accurate amount of internal standard (IS; tetracosane 0.20–0.30 mg), 250 μL of pyridine, 250 μL of N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide, and 50 μL of trimethylchlorosilane were added to the extracts. The mixtures were then left to react at 70°C for 30 min.

The trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatives were analyzed in a gas chromatograph (Agilent HP 6890, Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a mass selective detector (Agilent 5973) and a ValcoBon 17704 capillary column VBD (30 m x 0.25 mm inner diameter, 0.25 μm film thickness). The temperature gradient started with an initial temperature of 80°C for 5 min; a linear increase to 208°C at 4°C min⁻¹, followed by an increase to reach 260°C at 2°C min⁻¹, and a final increase to 300°C at 5°C min⁻¹ with 4 min of hold time. The temperature of the injector was 250°C; the transfer line, 290°C; and the split ratio was 33:1. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The identification of the TMS derivatives was made by comparison of the mass spectra fragmentation to those in the GC-MS spectral library (Wiley-NIST Mass Spectral Library 1999), published data (Khannoon et al. 2011, Christie 2018, Xu et al. 2018) or by injection of standards. For semiqualitative analysis, the GC-MS was calibrated with pure reference compounds (manose, transfrucic acid, nonadecan-1-ol, eicosan-1-ol, 5α-c-holesterol, cholesterol, stigmastanol, hexadecenoic acid, and nonadecanoic acid) and the response factor was calculated toward tetracosane (IS).

*Statistical analysis.* Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using the software IBM SPSS Statistics 24, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA. Differences between treatments were assessed with a Student’s t-test, and the \(P\)-values \(< 0.05\) were considered statistically significant. Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to summarize the loadings and
record information using a reduced number of principal components. Varimax rotation was selected to represent the planar projection of the loadings and scores for the two principal components.

RESULTS

Growth and extraction yield. In our study, *Chlorella stigmatophora* displayed the highest growth (Fig. S1 in the Supporting Information) with a specific growth rate ($\mu$) of $1.30 \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$, while *Hemiselmis andersonii* showed a growth rate of $0.96 \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$. Biomass yields were 0.48 and 0.32 g dw $\cdot$ L$^{-1}$ for *C. stigmatophora* and *H. andersonii*, respectively. The FTIR-ATR analysis of microalgal freeze-dried biomass displayed different patterns (Fig. S2 in the Supporting Information) for the species analyzed with major differences in the regions often linked with polysaccharides (900–1,200 cm$^{-1}$) and lipids (1,745 and 2,850–3,000 cm$^{-1}$). Comparing the spectra of raw biomass with the lipophilic extracts before hydrolysis, it was possible to observe specific bands associated with the lipophilic fraction, located at: (i) 3,010 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu \text{C-H} \text{ of CH}_2 \text{ chains}$); (ii) 2,954–2,850 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu \text{C-H in CH}_3 \text{ and CH}_2 \text{ sp}^3$); (iii) 1,744 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu \text{C=O in carbonyl groups}$); (iv) 1,463 cm$^{-1}$ ($\delta \text{CH}_2$); and (v) 721 cm$^{-1}$ ($\delta \text{CH}_2$ rocking; Driver et al. 2015, Forfang et al., 2017). The yields for lipophilic extracts of the marine microalgal strains accounted for 5.76–6.50% and 0.65–0.34% dw in *C. stigmatophora* and *H. andersonii*, respectively.

Lipophilic characterization. The GC-MS metabolite profile (Fig. 1) of the lipophilic fraction before (BH) and after (AH) alkaline hydrolysis enabled the characterization of up to 71 and 64% of the total extractable substances from *Chlorella stigmatophora* and *Hemiselmis andersonii*. This holistic approach allowed us to identify and quantify a total of 62 metabolites (Table 1). Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) were observed in the metabolites detected for both microalgae before and after alkaline hydrolysis.

Fatty acids. *Chlorella stigmatophora* and *Hemiselmis andersonii* fatty acids contained the main set of molecules, with up to 80 and 69% of the total identified compounds, respectively (Fig. 2). It was possible to observe compositional differences in the fatty acid profiles of both microalgal strains (Fig. 2; Table 1). *Hemiselmis andersonii* displayed the highest diversity of fatty acids with 25 detected, in comparison to *C. stigmatophora* where only 16 were identified. Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) were the most affected after alkaline hydrolysis, increasing by 54% and 87% in *C. stigmatophora* and *H. andersonii*, respectively.

The results in Table 1 show that hexadecanoic (C16:0), oleic (C18:1$\omega_9$), $\alpha$-linolenic (C18:3$\omega_3$), and linoleic (C18:2$\omega_6$) acids were the major fatty acids found in *Chlorella stigmatophora*. In this microalgae, C18:1$\omega_9$, C18:2$\omega_6$, and eicosapentaenoic (EPA; C20:5$\omega_3$) acids increased more than 34% with alkaline hydrolysis. The four most predominant fatty acids for *Hemiselmis andersonii* were C16:0, C18:3$\omega_3$, EPA, and octadecanoic (C18:0) acids. Remarkable increases (>90%) in individual C18:0, C18:1$\omega_9$, and tetradecanoic (C14:0) acid levels were observed for *H. andersonii* lipophilic extracts after hydrolysis.

*Chlorella stigmatophora* comprised the highest amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA)—
10.30 mg · g dw^{-1}. The main contributors to this family were the 7,10-hexadecadienoic (C16:2ω6), the 7,10,13-hexadecatrienoic (C16:3ω3), C18:2ω6, and the C18:3ω3 acids. In contrast, Hemiselmis andersenii displayed the highest level of saturated fatty acids (SFA; 15.18 mg · g dw^{-1}). However, in C. stigmatophora, it was possible to observe the presence of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; C22:6ω3). In both microalgae, the Σ3/Σ6 ratio was higher than one, with H. andersenii presenting the highest values when compared to C. stigmatophora (7.26 and 1.24, respectively).

Aliphatic alcohols. In both Chlorella stigmatophora and Hemiselmis andersenii, the aliphatic alcohols were the second most abundant class of lipophilic compounds (Fig. 2), representing up to 24% and 27% of the total identified compounds, respectively. In Figure 3, it is possible to observe that the analyzed microalgae had a great diversity of aliphatic alcohols (a total of 17), with the highest diversity verified in H. andersenii lipophilic extracts subjected to alkaline hydrolysis being 16 aliphatic alcohols (Fig. 3). Between species, it was possible to observe qualitative and quantitative variations. General trends include the predominance of hexadecanol (C16:OH), octadecanol (C18:OH), 9-octadecen-1-ol (C18:1:OH), and phytol (3,7,11,15-tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol), which together accounted for more than 40% of the total aliphatic alcohols (Fig. 3). Phytol was one of the main aliphatic alcohols affected by the alkaline hydrolysis in C. stigmatophora, yielding a 2.25 times increase after alkaline hydrolysis. This diterpene alcohol alone accounted for up to 15% and 19% of the total aliphatic alcohols in C. stigmatophora and H. andersenii (Fig. 3), respectively.

Long-chain aliphatic diols (C28-(OH)2) were detected in the composition of the analyzed microalgae. Both octacosane-1,3-diol and octacosane-1,2-diol accounted for up to 12% and 11% of total aliphatic alcohols identified in Chlorella stigmatophora and Hemiselmis andersenii (Fig. 3), respectively. The mass spectrum of the octacosane-1,3-diol (Fig. 4a) presented the characteristic ions of an alkane-1,3-diol: m/z 103 (TMSOCH2+), 219 ((CH3)3SiOCH2CH2(CH3)3SiOCH2)+, and (M-117 (TMSOCH2CH2O)+ (Khanmook et al., 2011), while octacosane-1,2-diol (Fig. 4b) presented the diagnostic markers at m/z 73, 103, 129, 147, and (M-103 (TMSOCH2)+, which represents the cleavage between carbons 1 and 2 of the alkane-1,2-diol moiety. The sum of long-chain aliphatic alcohols (LCAA; C20 to C36), including the two octacosane-diols detected, gives a total of up to 2.21 mg · g dw^{-1}, in C. stigmatophora, and 3.47 mg · g dw^{-1}, in H. andersenii.

Sterols and monoglycerides. Both microalgae strains showed a simple sterol profile dominated by β-sitosterol (68–70% of total sterols in Chlorella stigmatophora) and brassicasterol (58–70% of total sterols in Hemiselmis andersenii; Table 1). Through Figure 4d, it is possible to visualize the mass fragmentation of β-sitosterol. The mass fragmentation corresponds to diagnostic peaks at m/z 129 and [M-129]+, which are characteristic of the 3-hydroxy-Δ5-sterol TMS. The observed peaks at [M]+, [M-15]+, and [M-90]+ are specific to silylated sterols.

In Hemiselmis andersenii, it was possible to identify seven monoglycerides: five monoacylglycerides and two monoalkylglycerides. In the monoacylglycerides group, two stereoisomers designated as 1- and 2-monoacylglycerides were found. The identification of these two isomers was possible by the analysis of the diagnostic markers at m/z 218 and [M-103]+. Therefore, in 1-monoacylglycerides, the intensity of the peak at m/z 218 was lower than that observed in 2-monoacylglycerides, and a high-intensity peak at [M-103]+ was observed, in contrast to 2-monoacylglycerides. In Figure 4c, it is possible to visualize the mass spectra obtained for 1-monoheptadecanoin. The monoacylglyceride content showed an 82% reduction yield after alkaline hydrolysis, where only 1-hexadecylglycerol and 1-octadecylglycerol were detected.

Principal component analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the metabolites found in lipophilic extracts of Hemiselmis andersenii and Chlorella stigmatophora to summarize the metabolites species information before and after alkaline hydrolysis. Figure 5a represents the distribution of the loadings for the species studied before and after hydrolysis in a two components model. The first component (PC1) accounted for 50% of variance, whereas the second (PC2) accounted for 40%, which together explained 90% of the total variance. The variables strongly associated with positive values of factor 1 were: tetracosanoic acid (14), heptadecanoic acid (25), eicosanoic acid (41), vaccenic acid (31), 10-nonenecenoic acid (36), eicosapentaenoic acid (39), aliphatic alcohols (AA), octacosane-1,3-diol (59), 2,6-bis(1,1-dimethylphenyl)phenol (7), and dehydroabietic acid (38). While 10-hydroxyoctadecenoic acid (43), docosanoic acid (49), methyl-4,7,10,13-hexadecatetraenoate (22), 7,10,13,16,19-docosapentaenoic acid (45), eicosanol (40), monoglycerides (MG), 1-Mono-15-17-19-hexadecae-15-17-19-acidoin (48), 2-Monoheptadecaeoin (47), 1-Mono-15-17-19-hexadecae-15-17-19-acidoin (53), 2-Monoheptadecaein (52), 2-methyltetradecane (4), and methylsuccinic acid (12) were positively correlated to factor 2.

The score plot (Fig. 5b) provides evidence for clear chemical divergence in the GCMS-based metabolite profile of Hemiselmis andersenii before (BH) and after (AH) hydrolysis. PCA results showed that Chlorella stigmatophora samples were richer in PUFA, oleic acid, β-sitosterol, and LA. While H. andersenii BH presented higher levels of sterols, monoglycerides, and DHA, the H. andersenii AH had higher amounts of the total identified compounds and SFA.

PCI separated the Chlorella stigmatophora samples from Hemiselmis andersenii, indicating that the
Table 1. Lipophilic profile (mg·g microalgal dw⁻¹) of Chlorella stigmatophora and Hemiselmis andersenii before (BH) and after (AH) hydrolysis

| No | Identified Compounds | Formula | MW | BH | AH | BH | AH |
|----|---------------------|---------|----|----|----|----|----|
|    | **Saturated**       |         |    |    |    |    |    |
| 3  | Nonanoic acid       | C₉H₁₈O₂ | 230| 5.72±0.01² | 8.42±0.05² | 8.51±0.01² | 15.18±1.69² |
| 5  | Decanoic acid       | C₁₀H₂₀O₂ | 244| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 10 | Dodecanoic acid     | C₁₂H₂₄O₂ | 272| 0.54±0.00² | 0.78±0.03² | 0.76±0.02² |
| 14 | Tetradecanoic acid  | C₁₄H₂₈O₂ | 300| 0.57±0.00² | 0.60±0.00² | 0.72±0.12² | 1.39±0.06² |
| 15 | Pentadecanoic acid  | C₁₅H₃₀O₂ | 314| 0.55±0.00² | 0.53±0.00² | 0.54±0.01² | 0.81±0.02² |
| 23 | Hexadecanoic acid   | C₁₆H₃₂O₂ | 328| 5.53±0.03² | 4.50±0.04² | 3.00±0.06² | 5.41±0.86² |
| 25 | Heptadecanoic acid  | C₁₇H₃₄O₂ | 342| 0.61±0.01² | 0.56±0.00² | 0.65±0.04² | 0.89±0.01² |
| 32 | Octadecanoic acid   | C₁₈H₃₆O₂ | 356| 1.07±0.02² | 1.09±0.01² | 1.15±0.06² | 2.77±0.88² |
| 43 | 10-Hydroxystearic acid | C₁₈H₃₈O₃ | 444| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 47 | 2-Monohexadecanoin  | C₁₉H₃₈O₄ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | 0.05±0.01² |
| 48 | 1-Monohexadecanoin  | C₁₉H₃₈O₄ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | 0.49±0.01² |
| 49 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 50 | 2-Monoheptadecanoin | C₁₇H₃₈O₄ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 56 | 24-Methylenecholesterol | C₂₈H₄₆O₄ | 720| 0.54±0.00² | 0.53±0.00² | 0.48±0.01² | 0.68±0.02² |
| 57 | Campesterol         | C₂₉H₅₀O₂ | 486| 0.51±0.01² | 0.42±0.01² | 0.33±0.05² | 0.32±0.04² |
| 1  | Octadec-9-enoic acid| C₁₈H₃₆O₂ | 368| 1.64±0.03² | 1.70±0.05² | n.d. | n.d. |
| 7  | Linoleic acid       | C₁₈H₃₂O₂ | 368| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 9  | Linoleic acid       | C₁₈H₃₂O₂ | 368| 2.11±0.13² | 3.21±0.15² | 0.70±0.02² | 1.22±0.03² |
| 10 | Linolenic acid      | C₁₈H₃₀O₃ | 350| 2.19±0.14² | 2.67±0.09² | 2.05±0.05² | 2.55±0.23² |
| 30 | Oleic acid          | C₁₈H₃₆O₂ | 354| 3.68±0.16² | 4.94±0.03² | 0.65±0.04² | 1.29±0.04² |
| 6  | Undecanol           | C₁₁H₂₂O₂ | 244| 0.70±0.01² | 0.79±0.11² | 0.51±0.00² | 0.83±0.01² |
| 7  | Hexadeceneic acid   | C₁₆H₃₂O₂ | 326| 0.70±0.01² | 0.79±0.11² | 0.51±0.00² | 0.83±0.01² |
| 9  | Linolenic acid      | C₁₈H₃₀O₃ | 350| 2.19±0.14² | 2.67±0.09² | 2.05±0.05² | 2.55±0.23² |
| 11 | Dodecenol           | C₁₂H₂₀O₂ | 258| 0.65±0.01² | 0.63±0.00² | 0.87±0.04² | 1.51±0.17² |
| 31 | Tetradecanoic acid  | C₁₄H₂₈O₂ | 303| 0.65±0.01² | 0.63±0.00² | 0.87±0.04² | 1.51±0.17² |
| 33 | Nonadecenoic acid   | C₁₉H₃₆O₂ | 446| 1.46±0.02² | 1.48±0.01² | 0.70±0.03² | 1.58±0.15² |
| 38 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 39 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 43 | 10-Hydroxystearic acid | C₁₈H₃₈O₃ | 444| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 46 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 51 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 54 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 57 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 58 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 61 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 62 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 64 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 66 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 68 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 70 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 72 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 74 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 76 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 78 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 80 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 82 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 84 | Docosanoic acid     | C₂₀H₄₀O₂ | 474| n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |

(continued)
lipophilic profile of both microalgae had unique features that could be further investigated as chemotaxonomic markers. Namely, the compounds 7,12-hexadienoic acid (19), 7,10,13-hexadecatrienoic acid (18), eicosanol (40), 24-methylenecholesterol (56) and campesterol (57) that were only present in C. stigmatophora samples and the 10-nonadecenoic acid (36), 7,12-hexadienoic acid (19), DHA (44), docosanol (46), and brassicasterol (55) that were only found in H. andersenii samples.

**DISCUSSION**

**Growth and extraction yield.** To explore the potential of microalgae as sustainable sources of value-added compounds, it is essential to assess
microalgae growth characteristics, biomass yield, and desired product content. The highest specific growth rate verified for *Chlorella stigmatophora* is in accordance with previous data that showed *Chlorella* as fast-growing strains (Peltomaa et al., 2017). In contrast to *Chlorella*, Cryptophytes are known to grow slowly ($\mu < 0.80 \cdot d^{-1}$), but in suitable conditions, some strains can achieve higher growth rates (Peltomaa et al. 2017).

The nature of microalgae cell covering is known to affect their shear resistance and cellular biomass weight (Borowitzka 2013). As a result, the absence of a heavy and rigid cell wall structure in *Hemiselmis andersenii* makes cells lighter and easier to break and process for further commercial purposes than *Chlorella stigmatophora* (Peltomaa et al. 2017). This observation explains the fact that the biomass yield verified for *H. andersenii* was low, despite its growth rate (estimated from cellular concentration) being high. Biomass values obtained for *C. stigmatophora* were 45% higher than those previously reported by Slocombe et al. (2015) that revealed values near to 0.33 g dw · L$^{-1}$.

The amount of lipophilic substances in *Chlorella stigmatophora* was lower than that previously obtained by Slocombe et al. (2015). The low lipophilic extracts’ yields might be explained by *Chlorella* strains’ growth conditions and the cell physiological status. Although there is no information regarding *Hemiselmis* species lipophilic contents, the values observed in the present study were lower than those determined by Huerlimann et al. (2010) for another Cryptophyta (*Rhodomonas* sp.) cultivated in f/2 growth medium, 13% dw.

**Lipophilic characterization.** In the present study, microalgae were scaled up in the same conditions as the inoculum and were collected at the late exponential phase, instead of the stationary phase. The low yields of lipophilic extracts—which in turn influence fatty acids, aliphatic alcohols, sterols, and other compound contents—might be explained by the assumption that lipid accumulation is often triggered by environmental fluctuations in microalgae growth systems (e.g., nutrient deficiency, light saturation, and growth stage; Sukenic 1991). Thus, the growth conditions employed were not ideal for eliciting marine microalgae carbon partitioning toward lipid accumulation.

**Fatty acids.** Neutral lipids like triacylglycerols are mainly constructed by SFA and MUFA (Guiheneuf and Stengel 2013). Therefore, the significant MUFA increase after alkaline hydrolysis might indicate that acylglycerols are mostly constituted by these fatty acids. C18:1ω9 and C18:2ω6 were the main

---

| H. andersenii | % of Total Fatty Alcohols |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| BH           | 5  12  12  10  5  5  8  11  7  5  19 |
| AH           | 6  6  6  10  14  10  6  7  12  7  15 |

| C. stigmatophora | % of Total Fatty Alcohols |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| BH               | 6  6  7  15  21  11  6  8  6  7  8 |
| AH               | 6  6  6  10  14  10  6  7  12  7  15 |

---

**FIG. 3.** Aliphatic alcohols proportion in *Chlorella stigmatophora* and *Hemiselmis andersenii* before (BH) and after (AH) alkaline hydrolysis. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
components of the esterified fraction of *Chlorella stigmatophora*, while C14:0, C16:0, C18:1ω9, and C18:2ω6 were the main esterified fatty acids for *Hemiselmis andersenii*.

In the wide chemical diversity of microalgae, some lipids are ubiquitous, such as C14:0, C16:0, and C18:0 fatty acids, while others are species specific, being useful as biomarkers (Volkman et al. 1998, Taipale et al. 2016). For instance, chlorophytes are recognized to have a predominance of C18:2ω6 and C18:3ω3, whereas cryptophytes are known for containing long-chain PUFA (EPA and DHA). In a previous study, C16:2ω6 and C16:3ω3 showed to be useful biomarkers for Chlorophytes (Taipale et al. 2016).

PUFA have shown to be effective in preventing or treating several diseases (Lum et al. 2013). In the European Union, a remarkable number of health claims have been authorized based on the essentiality of ω3 fatty acids (Bucchini 2019). When declaring that a product is a source of ω3 fatty acids, one of these two requirements has to be fulfilled: (i) must contain 0.3 g of α-linolenic per 100 g of product or (ii) EPA + DHA must be equal or higher than 40 mg per 100 g of product (Bonacci 2019). *Chlorella stigmatophora* extract presented 5.27 g of α-linolenic per 100 g of extract after hydrolysis, while *Hemiselmis andersenii* presented 3.85 g of α-linolenic per 100 g of extract and 4.24 g of EPA + DHA per 100 g of extract after hydrolysis.

Although both ω3 and ω6 PUFA are essential for human nutrition, a balance between the ingestion of these two series of PUFA is crucial for maintaining health (de Carvalho and Caramujo 2018). The western diet favors the consumption of ω6 PUFA leading to an unbalanced Σω3/Σω6 ratio of 1:20 (Simopoulos 2016). The ω3 series of fatty acids are known for their anti-inflammatory properties while the ω6 series are known as precursors of pro-inflammatory molecules (Simopoulos 2016). Thus, an unbalanced diet incorporating great amounts of ω6 PUFA can have prothrombotic and pro-inflammatory implications (de Carvalho and Caramujo 2018). To achieve a dietary balance of Σω3/Σω6 of 1:1, the consumption of ω3 PUFA-rich sources is recommended to prevent and mitigate inflammatory diseases. In the present study, both microalgae presented a Σω3/Σω6 > 1, suggesting that they are good sources for supplying a ω3-PUFA-rich diet for aquaculture and nutraceuticals. The greatest Σω3/Σω6 ratio was verified for *Hemiselmis andersenii*.

**Aliphatic alcohols.** C10-C20 aliphatic alcohols with C16-OH abundance were previously reported for...
species belonging to *Chlorella* genus (*Chlorella kessleri*; Volkman et al., 1998). In *C. stigmatophora*, the predominant aliphatic alcohols were those with shorter chain lengths (C10-C20). Docosanol (C22-OH) was found in phytoplankton and sediment samples where an algal origin is plausible (Volkman et al.,

**FIG. 5.** Projection (Varimax rotation) of the a) loadings and b) scores of components 1 and 2 obtained in the Principal Component Analysis based on the composition of *Chlorella stigmatophora* and *Hemiselmis andersenii* lipophilic extracts before (BH) and after (AH) alkaline hydrolysis. The corresponding identification of the numbered loadings is displayed in Table 1. AA, Aliphatic alcohols; FA, fatty acids; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; MG, monoglycerides; ST, sterols. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
This alcohol was only detected in small amounts for *Hemiselmis andersenii* samples.

Phytol comprises the hydrophobic tail of chlorophylls (Yao et al. 2015, Laurens et al. 2017) which can explain the large contribution of this compound to the hydrolyzed lipid fraction of *Chlorella stigmatophora*. Phytol is presently used as a fragrance constituent, and it has drawn increasing attention from the pharmaceutical and biotechnological fields due to its abundance in biological systems and diverse bioactivities—including cytotoxic, anti-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory effects (Islam et al. 2018).

Previous studies have reported the presence of C28-C32 saturated alkyl diols in cyanobacteria and Eustigmatophytes (*Nannochloropsis, Vischeria punctata, Vischeria helvetica*, and *Eustigmatos vischeri;* Volkman et al., 1998). These diols have been suggested to be the building blocks for novel aliphatic biopolymers synthesized by microalgae and cyanobacteria. According to Volkman et al. (1998), there is a strong possibility for long-chain alkyl diols to be found in other algal classes, and this observation is corroborated by our results.

Policosanol is a mixture of LCAs that is commercialized as a lipid-lowering supplement (Cherif 2011). According to Taylor et al. (2003), the dietary intake of 20 mg d⁻¹ of policosanol is as effective as 100 mg d⁻¹ of aspirin in the prevention of thrombosis and cerebral ischemia with the advantage of causing no side effects. Few reports address the presence of LCAA in microalgal biomass (Volkman et al. 1992, Gelin 1996). In contrast, Fernandes et al. (2020) find great amounts of LCAA in a Haptophyta microalga, *Pavlova pinguis*. This finding is in agreement with the LCAA contents found for both *Hemiselmis andersenii* and *Chlorella stigmatophora*, where 20 mg of policosanol is equivalent to 9.09 and 5.76 g of dried biomass, respectively.

Sterols and monoglycerides. Throughout algal classes, there is a predominance of specific sterols. The major sterols in Cryptophyta are C28Δ5,22, for instance brassicasterol, while in chlorophyta, the prevalent sterols are C28Δ5 and C29Δ5 sterols such as campesterol and sitosterol, respectively (Volkman et al. 1998, Taipale et al. 2016). These observations are in accordance with the results obtained for *Hemiselmis andersenii* and *Chlorella stigmatophora*. The brassicasterol dominance in the *Hemiselmis* sterol family is also consistent with that previously reported by Dunstan et al. (2005) for other Cryptophyta species.

Previous studies have highlighted the bioactivities of sterols: brassicasterol is recognized for its antiaging and cholesterol-lowering properties, while campesterol is known for its anticancer and antiangiogenic properties (Luo et al. 2015, Peltomaa et al. 2017). From the detected phytosterols, β-sitosterol is the most valuable sterol, since it exhibits several bioactive properties like anti-inflammatory, antineoplastic, antipyretic, and immunomodulating activities, and has been subject of FDA health claims (Cherif 2011, Luo et al. 2015).

Monoglycerides are used in the food industry as emulsifiers, stabilizers, and/or thickeners (Wang and Marangoni 2016). In living systems, acylglycerols (mono-, di-, and triacylglycerols) are recognized for their storage role and are often composed of C16, C18, and C20 carboxylic acids (Bianchi and Canuel 2011). In *Hemiselmis andersenii*, esters of glycerol with saturated C16 and C18 fatty acids were detected. In contrast to acylglycerols, alkylglycerol ether bonds are stable to alkali, explaining the detection of these molecules after hydrolysis in *H. andersenii* (Christie 1989).

**Principal component analysis.** The sites of cleavage via alkaline hydrolysis (saponification) are the ester bonds of lipids, which result in a carboxylic acid and alcohol that are recovered and derivatized for further GC-MS analysis. This method targets complex lipids—like acylglycerols (mono-, di-, and triacylglycerols), wax, and sterol esters.

The chemical divergence observed in the GC-MS-based metabolite profile of *Hemiselmis andersenii* before (BH) and after (AH) hydrolysis is mainly explained by the detection of monoacylglycerols and other metabolites with strong positive correlation with PC2, which were only present in metabolite profiles of *H. andersenii* BH. In *H. andersenii*, the concomitant increase of fatty acids with aliphatic alcohols observed after alkaline hydrolysis suggests that wax esters are abundant in this species.

Regarding *Chlorella stigmatophora*, only fatty acids significantly increased, as well as phytol after hydrolysis. This might be explained by the fact that lipids are mainly constituted by polar lipids (glyco- and phospholipids) and non-acylglycerol neutral lipids like chlorophylls, which are more stable to alkaline hydrolysis. This assumption also explains the similarities in the lipophilic metabolite profiles for *C. stigmatophora* before and after alkaline hydrolysis observed in PCA analysis.

PCA results showed that *Chlorella stigmatophora* samples were richer in PUFA, oleic acid, β-sitosterol, and LA, which suggests that this microalga is a good source of essential fatty acids needed to fulfill the dietary requirements of humans and fish. *Hemiselmis andersenii* BH presented higher levels of sterols, monoglycerides, and DHA, and *H. andersenii* AH had higher amounts of the total identified compounds and SFA. This indicates that *H. andersenii* composition can be suitable not only for nutraceuticals (sterols and DHA) but also for the food industry (monoglycerides) and bioenergy (SFA).

**Conclusions**

Microalgal lipids are often assessed for their biotechnological potential, taking into consideration one specific family, namely fatty acids. This approach constrains microalgae strain selection for
further industrial applications. Since FTIR-ATR and GC-MS metabolite profile provides an overall insight into microalgae intracellular metabolites, it can be used to identify components that may serve as chemotaxonomical markers as well as novel natural sources of high-value compounds. The present study contributed to the valorization of *Chlorella stigmatophora* and *Hemiselmis andersenii* as sources of value-added compounds with recognized nutritional benefits. Although the mere presence of these health-promoting phytochemicals may not support its use in a particular application/formulation, their knowledge provides a novel insight for further studies and final product optimization targeting the field of application.
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**Figure S2** Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy with Attenuated Total Reflectance (FTIR-ATR) spectra of freeze-dried microalga (raw microalga) and lipophilic extract before hydrolysis a) *Chlorella stigmatophora* and b) *Hemiselmis andersenii*. 