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Abstract

In this paper, we address a rain removal problem from a single image, even in the presence of heavy rain and rain streak accumulation. Our core ideas lie in the new rain image models and a novel deep learning architecture. We first modify an existing model comprising a rain streak layer and a background layer, by adding a binary map that locates rain streak regions. Second, we create a new model consisting of a component representing rain streak accumulation (where individual streaks cannot be seen, and thus visually similar to mist or fog), and another component representing various shapes and directions of overlapping rain streaks, which usually happen in heavy rain. Based on the first model, we develop a multi-task deep learning architecture that learns the binary rain streak map, the appearance of rain streaks, and the clean background, which is our ultimate output. The additional binary map is critically beneficial, since its loss function can provide additional strong information to the network. To handle rain streak accumulation (again, a phenomenon visually similar to mist or fog) and various shapes and directions of overlapping rain streaks, we propose a recurrent rain detection and removal network that removes rain streaks and clears up the rain accumulation iteratively and progressively. In each recurrence of our method, a new contextualized dilated network is developed to exploit regional contextual information and outputs better representation for rain detection. The evaluation on real images, particularly on heavy rain, shows the effectiveness of our novel models and architecture, outperforming the state-of-the-art methods significantly. Our codes and data sets will be publicly available.

1. Introduction

Restoring rain images is important for many computer vision applications in outdoor scenes. Rain degrades visibility significantly and causes many computer vision systems to likely fail. Generally, rain introduces a few types of visibility degradation. Raindrops obstruct, deform and/or blur the background scenes. Distant rain streaks accumulate and generate atmospheric veiling effects similar to mist or fog, which severely reduce the visibility by scattering light out and into the line of sight. Nearby rain streaks exhibit strong specular highlights that occlude background scenes. These rain streaks can have various shapes and directions, particularly in heavy rain, causing severe visibility degradation.

In the past decades, many researchers have devoted their attention to solving the problem of restoring rain images. Some focus on rain image recovery from video sequences [2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 41]. Others focus on rain removal from the single image, by regarding the rain streak removal problem as a signal separation problem [22, 17, 31, 8, 27], or by relying on nonlocal mean smoothing [23]. While there are varying degrees of success, majority of existing methods suffer from several limitations:

- Due to the intrinsic overlapping between rain streaks and background texture patterns, most methods tend to remove texture details in non-rain regions, leading to over-smoothing the regions.
- The degradation of rain is complex, and the existing rain model widely used in previous methods [22, 8] is insufficient to cover some important factors in real rain images, such as the atmospheric veils due to rain streak accumulation, and different shapes or directions of streaks.
- The basic operation of many existing algorithms is on a local image patch or a limited receptive field (a limited...
spatial range). Thus, spatial contextual information in larger regions, which has been proven to be useful for rain removal [18], is rarely used.

Considering these limitations, our goal is to develop a novel rain model that is more capable of describing various rain conditions in real scenes, including rain streak accumulation and heavy rain, and then, use them to design an effective deep learning architecture. Here, we focus on a single input image.

To achieve the goal, we explore the possible rain models and deep learning architectures that can effectively restore rain images even in the presence of heavy rain. Our ideas are as follows. First, we introduce novel region-dependent rain models. In the models, we use a rain-streak binary map, where 1 indicates the presence of individually visible rain streaks in the pixels, and 0 otherwise. We also model the appearance of rain streak accumulation (which is similar to that of mist or fog), and the various shapes and directions of overlapping streaks, to simulate heavy rain.

Second, based on our introduced models, we construct a deep network that jointly detects and removes rain. Rain streak regions are detected automatically and are used to constrain the rain removal. With this, our network is capable of performing an adaptive operation on rain and non-rain regions, preserving richer details.

Third, to retrieve more contextual information, we propose a contextualized dilated network to enlarge the receptive field. In this network, the extracted features are enhanced in each recurrence progressively by the aggregated information from several parallel dilated convolutions.

Finally, to restore images captured in the environment with both rain accumulation and various rain streak directions, we propose a recurrent rain detection and removal network that progressively removes rain streaks. Extensive experiments and evaluations demonstrate that our method outperforms state-of-the-art methods significantly on both synthesized data and real data. Particularly for some heavy rain images, our method achieves considerably good results.

Hence, our contributions are:

1. The first method to model the rain-streak binary mask, and also to model the atmospheric veils due to rain streak accumulation as well as various shapes and directions of overlapping rain streaks. This enables us to synthesize more similar data to real rain images for the network training.

2. The first method to jointly detect and remove rains from single images. With the additional information of detected rain regions, our rain removal achieves better performance.

3. The first rain removal method that uses a contextualized dilated network to obtain more context while preserving rich local details.

4. The first method that addresses heavy rain by introducing an recurrent rain detection and removal network, where it removes rain progressively, enabling us to obtain good results even in significantly complex cases.

Our training and testing data, as well as our codes, will be publicly available.

2. Related Work

Compared with the video based deraining problem, the single image based problem is more ill-posed, due to the lack of temporal information. Some single-image based rain removal methods regard the problem as a layer separation problem. Huang et al. [22] attempted to separate the rain streaks from the high frequency layer by sparse coding, with a learned dictionary from the HOG features. However, the capacity of morphological component analysis, the layer separation, and learned dictionary are limited. Thus, it usually causes the over-smoothness of the background. In [8], a generalized low rank model is proposed, where the rain streak layer is assumed to be low rank. In [24], Kim et al. first detected rain streaks and then removed them with the nonlocal mean filter. In [27], Luo et al. proposed a discriminative sparse coding method to separate rain streaks from background images. A recent work of [25] exploits the Gaussian mixture models to separate the rain streaks, achieving the state-of-the-art performance, however, still with slightly smooth background.

In recent years, deep learning-based image processing applications emerged with promising performance. These applications include denoising [32, 5, 6, 20, 1], completion [35], super-resolution [10, 11, 9, 29], deblurring [30], deconvolution [36] and style transfer [16, 37], etc. There are also some recent works on bad weather restoration or image enhancement, such as dehazing [7, 34], rain drop and dirt removal [12] and light enhancement [26]. Besides, with the superior modeling capacity than shallow models, DL-based methods begin to solve harder problems, such as blind image denoising [40]. In this paper, we use deep learning to jointly detect and remove rain.

3. Region-Dependent Rain Image Model

We briefly review the commonly used rain model, and generalize it to explicitly include a rain-streak binary map. Subsequently, we introduce a novel rain model that captures rain accumulation (atmospheric veils) and rain streaks that have various shapes and directions, which are absent in the existing rain models.
3.1. Region-Dependent Rain Image Formation

The widely used rain model [25, 27, 18] is expressed as:

$$O = B + \bar{S},$$

(1)

where $B$ is the background scene without rain streaks, and $\bar{S}$ is the rain streak layer. $O$ is the captured image with rain streaks. Based on this model, rain removal is regarded as a two-signal separation problem. Namely, given the observation $O$, removing rain streaks is to estimate the background $B$ and rain streak $\bar{S}$, based on the different characteristics of the rain-free images and rain streaks. Existing rain removal methods relying on Eq. (1) suffer from following two deficiencies. First, methods relying on Eq. (1) without distinguishing the rain and non-rain regions will cause over-smoothness on the rain-free regions. Second, solving the signal separation problem in Eq. (1) without distinguishing the rain and non-rain regions will cause over-smoothness on the rain-free regions.

To overcome these drawbacks, we first propose a generalized rain model as follows:

$$O = B + SR,$$

(2)

which includes a new region-dependent variable $R$ to indicate the location of individually visible rain streaks. Here elements in $R$ takes binary values, where 1 indicates rain regions and 0 indicates non-rain regions. Note that, although $R$ can be easily estimated from $S$ via hard-thresholding, modeling $R$ separately from $S$ provides two desirable benefits for learning based rain removal methods: (1) it gives additional information for the network to learn about rain streak regions, (2) it allows a new rain removal pipeline to detect rain regions first, and then to operate differently on rain-streak and non-rain-streak regions, preserving background details.

3.2. Rain Accumulation and Heavy Rain

The rain image model introduced in Eq. (2) captures region-dependent rain streaks. In the real world, rain appearance is not only formed by individual rain streaks, but also by accumulating multiple rain streaks. When rain accumulation is dense, the individual streaks can not be seen clearly. This rain streak accumulation, whose visual effect is similar to mist or fog, causes the atmospheric veiling effect as well as blur, especially for distance scenes, as shown in Fig. 2.a. Aside from rain accumulation, in many occasions, particularly in heavy rain, rain streaks can have various shapes and directions that overlap to each other, as shown in Fig. 2.a and 2.b.

In this section, based on the model in Eq. (1), we create a model to accommodate rain streak accumulation:

$$O = \alpha \left( B + \sum_{t=1}^{s} \bar{S}_t R \right) + (1 - \alpha) A,$$

(3)

where each $\bar{S}_t$ is the direction- and shape-consistent individually-visible rain streaks, $t$ is the overlapping streak number, and $s$ is the number of shape and direction consistent rain streaks within an image. $A$ is the global atmospheric light, $\alpha$ is the scene transmission. Based on Eq. (3), we can synthesize the rain accumulation and heavy rain images as the training data, which is closer to the appearance of natural rain, as shown in Fig. 3. Note that the atmospheric veiling effect is enforced on the integrated rain-contaminated image $\left( B + \sum_{t=1}^{s} \bar{S}_t R \right)$. Thus Eq. (3) implies that, we can handle rain accumulation and rain streak removal separately, which provides convenience for our training.

In the following section, we first develop a deep convolutional network to detect and remove rain streaks from the rainy images having rain patterns explained by Eq. (3). Then we consider the heavy rain situations and generalize the CNN model to a recurrent one to perform iterative rain removal.

4. Convolutional Joint Rain Detection and Removal

We construct a convolutional multi-task network to perform JOint Rain DEtection and Removal (JORDER) that solves the inverse problem in Eq. (2) through end-to-end learning. Rain regions are first detected by JORDER to further constrain the rain removal. To leverage more context without losing local details, we propose a novel network structure – the contextualized dilated network – for extracting the rain discriminative features and facilitating the following rain detection and removal.
3. B is computed from a convolution on the concatenation \([F, \hat{R}, \hat{S}, O - \hat{R}\hat{S}]\).

There are several potential choices for the network structures, such as estimating the three variables in the order of \(S, R, B\), or in parallel (instead of sequential). We compare some alternative architectures and demonstrate the superiority of ours empirically in our supplementary material.

### 4.2. Contextualized Dilated Networks

For rain removal tasks, contextual information from an input image is demonstrated to be useful for automatically identifying and removing the rain streaks \([18]\). Thus, we propose a contextualized dilated network to aggregate context information at multiple scales for learning the rain features. The network gains contextual information in two ways: 1) it takes a recurrent structure, similar to the recurrent ResNet in \([38]\), which provides an increasingly larger receptive field for the following layers; 2) in each recurrence, the output features aggregate representations from three convolution paths with different dilated factors and receptive fields.

Specifically, as shown in the gray region of Fig. 4, the network first transforms the input rain image into feature space via the first convolution. Then, the network enhances the features progressively. In each recurrence, the results from the three convolution paths with different dilated factors are aggregated with the input features from the last recurrence via the identity forward. The dilated convolution \([39]\) weights pixels with a step size of the dilated factor, and thus increases its receptive field without losing resolution. Our three dilated paths consist of two convolutions with the same kernel size \(3 \times 3\). However, with different dilated factors, different paths have their own receptive field. As shown in the top part of the gray region in Fig. 4, path \(P_2\) consists of two convolutions with the dilated factor 2. The convolution kernel is shown as the case of \(DF=2\). Thus, cascading two convolutions, the three paths have their receptive fields of \(5 \times 5, 9 \times 9\) and \(13 \times 13\).

### 4.3. Network Training

Let \(F_{\pi}(\cdot), F_{\tau}(\cdot)\) and \(F_{rg}(\cdot)\) denote the inverse recovery functions modeled by the learned network to generate the estimated rain streak binary map \(R\), rain streak map \(S\) and background image \(B\) based on the input rain image \(O\). We use \(\Theta\) to collectively represent all the parameters of the network.

We use \(n\) sets of corresponding rain images, background images, rain region maps and rain streak maps \(\{(o_i, g_{r_i}, r_{i}, s_i)\}_{i=1}^{n}\) for training. We adopt the following joint loss function to train the network parametrized by \(\Theta\) such that it is capable to jointly estimate \(r, s\) and \(g\) based...
We define the process of the network in the Architecture.

5.1. Recurrent Rain Detection and Removal

The recurrent JORDAR model can be understood as a cascade of the convolutional joint rain detection and removal networks to perform progressive rain detection, estimation and removal. The contextualized dilated network has two features: 1) it takes a recurrent structure, which refines the extracted features progressively; 2) for each recurrence, the output features are aggregated from three convolution paths (P1, P2 and P3) with different dilated factors (DF) and receptive fields.

The recurrent JORDAR network introduces an extra time variable $t$ to the loss function $L(\Theta)$ in Eq. (5) and gives $L(\Theta_t, t)$, where $L(\Theta_0, 0) = L(\Theta_0)$. When $t > 1$, $L(\Theta_{t-1}, t)$ is equivalent to $L(\Theta_t)$ that replaces $\Theta_t$ by $\Theta_{t-1}$ and $\Theta_t$, respectively, where $\Theta_{t-1}$ is generated from the $(t-1)$-th iteration of the process Eq. (6) on the initial $\Theta_0$. Then, the total loss function $L_{\text{iter}}$ for training $T$ is

$$L(\Theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (||F_n^o(o_i; \Theta) - s_i||^2 + \lambda_1 ||F_{bg}(o_i; \Theta) - g_i||^2 - \lambda_2 (\log \hat{r}_{i,1} r_{i,1} + \log(1 - \hat{r}_{i,2})(1 - r_{i,2}))),$$

with $\hat{r}_{i,j} = \frac{\exp\{F_{n,j}(o_i; \Theta)\}}{\sum_k \exp\{F_{n,k}(o_i; \Theta)\}}, j \in \{1, 2\}$. (5)

Here $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the parameters to balance the importance among estimating $S$, $R$ and $B$. The network is trained to minimize the above loss, via error back-propagation.

5. Removing Rains from Real Rain Images

In the previous section, we construct a convolutional multi-task learning network to jointly detect and remove rain streaks from rain images. In this section, we further enhance our network to handle rain accumulation and rain streaks that possibly have various shapes and directions in one image.

5.1. Recurrent Rain Detection and Removal

The recurrent JORDAR model can be understood as a cascade of the convolutional joint rain detection and removal networks to perform progressive rain detection and removal and recover the image with increasingly better visibility.

Architecture. We define the process of the network in the blue dash box of Fig. 4 that generates the residual image between $O$ and $B$ as $T(\cdot)$. Then, the recurrent rain detection and removal works as follows,

$$[\epsilon_t, R_t, S_t] = T(O_t),$$

$$B_t = O_t - \epsilon_t,$$

$$O_{t+1} = B_t.$$

In each iteration $t$, the predicted residue $\epsilon_t$ is accumulated and propagated to the final estimation via updating $O_t$ and $B_t$. Note that, although the estimated rain mask $R_t$ and streak $S_t$ are not casted into the next recurrence directly. However, the losses to regularize them in fact provide strong side information for learning an effective $T(\cdot)$. The final estimation can be expressed as:

$$B_{\tau} = O_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \epsilon_t,$$ (7)

where $\tau$ is the total iteration number. Hence, the process removes the rain streak progressively, part by part, based on the intermediate results from the previous step. The complexity of rain removal in each iteration is consequently reduced, enabling better estimation, especially in the case of heavy rains.

Network Training. The recurrent JORDAR network introduces an extra time variable $t$ to the loss function $L(\Theta)$ in Eq. (5) and gives $L(\Theta_t, t)$, where $L(\Theta_0, 0) = L(\Theta_0)$. When $t > 1$, $L(\Theta_{t-1}, t)$ is equivalent to $L(\Theta_t)$ that replaces $\Theta_{t-1}$ by $\Theta_{t-1}$ and $\Theta_t$, respectively, where $\Theta_{t-1}$ is generated from the $(t-1)$-th iterations of the process Eq. (6) on the initial $\Theta_0$. Then, the total loss function $L_{\text{iter}}$ for training $T$ is
\[ L_{\text{iter}}(\{\Theta_0, \ldots, \Theta_\tau\}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\tau} L(\Theta_t, t). \tag{8} \]

5.2. Joint Derain and Dehaze

Distant rain streaks accumulate and form an atmospheric veil, causing visibility degradation. To resolve this, clearing up the atmospheric veil, which is similar to dehazing or defogging, is necessary.

Eq. (3) suggests that dehazing should be the first step in the process of joint deraining and dehazing. Thus, we propose to estimate \( B + \sum_{t=1}^{\tau} \tilde{S}_t \) first. However, placing dehazing as a preprocessing has complicated effects on deraining, since all rain streaks (including the ones that are already sharp and clearly visible) are boosted, making the streaks look different from those in the training images. Hence, in our proposed pipeline, we derain first, then followed by dehazing and at last finished with deraining. This, as it turns out, is beneficial, since dehazing will make the appearance of less obvious rain streaks (which are likely unnoticed by the first round of deraining) become more obvious.

We implement a dehazing network based on the structure of contextualized dilated network, with only one recurrence, trained with the synthesized data generated with the random background reliance and transmission value [7]. We find that the sequential process of derain-dehaze-derain is generally effective (see the supplementary material for the evaluation of other possible sequences). The reason is that some obvious rain streaks, noises and artifacts are removed in the first round deraining. Then, the dehazing cleans up the rain accumulation, enhances the contrast and visibility, and at the same time boosts weak rain streaks. The subsequent deraining removes these boosted rain streaks, as well as artifacts caused by dehazing, making the results cleaner.

6. Experimental Results

Datasets. We compare our method with state-of-the-art methods on a few benchmark datasets: (1) Rain12 \(^1\) [25], which includes 12 synthesized rain images with only one type of rain streak; Rain100L, which is the synthesized data set with only one type of rain streak (Fig. 5.c); (2) Rain20L, which is a subset of Rain100L used for testing the potential network structures in the supplementary material; (3) Rain100H, which is our synthesized data set with five streak directions (Fig. 5.d). Note, while it is rare for a real rain image to contain rain streaks in many different directions, synthesizing this kind of images for training is observed to boost the capacity of the network.

The images for synthesizing Rain100L, Rain20L and Rain100H are selected from BSD200 [28]. The dataset

\(^1\)http://yu-li.github.io/

Figure 5. The examples of synthesized rain streaks and rain images.

for training our network and another deep learning baseline – SRCNN for deraining – is BSD300, excluding the ones appeared in Rain12. The rain streaks are synthesized in two ways: (1) the photorealistic rendering techniques proposed by [14] as shown in Fig. 5.a; (2) the simulated sharp line streaks along a certain direction with a small variation within an image as shown in Fig. 5.b. We will release our training and testing sets, as well as their synthesis codes for public in the future.

Baseline Methods. We compare the four versions of our approaches, JORDER- (one version of our methods that has only one convolution path in each recurrence without using dilated convolutions), JORDER (Section 4), JORDER-R (Section 5.1), JORDER-R-DEHAZE (Section 5.2) with five state-of-the-art methods: image decomposition (ID) [22], CNN-based rain drop removal (CNN) [12], discriminative sparse coding (DSC) [27], layer priors (LP) [25] and a common CNN baseline for image processing – SRCNN [21], trained for deraining. SRCNN is implemented and trained by ourselves, while other methods are kindly provided by the authors.

For the experiments on synthesized data, two metrics Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) [19] and Structure Similarity Index (SSIM) [33] are used as comparison criteria. We evaluate the results only in the luminance channel, which has a significant impact on the human visual system to perceive the image quality.

Quantitative Evaluation. Table 1 shows the results of different methods on Rain12. As observed, our method considerably outperforms other methods in terms of both PSNR and SSIM. Table 2 presents the results of JORDER and JORDER-R on Rain100H. Note that, our JORDER-R
Figure 6. Results of different methods on real images. From top to down: rain image, DSC, LP and JORDER-R.

Table 1. PSNR and SSIM results among different rain streak removal methods on Rain12 and Rain100L.

| Baseline | Rain12 | Rain100L |
|----------|--------|----------|
| Metric   | PSNR   | SSIM     | PSNR   | SSIM     |
| ID       | 27.21  | 0.75     | 23.13  | 0.70     |
| DSC      | 30.02  | 0.87     | 24.16  | 0.87     |
| LP       | 32.02  | 0.91     | 29.11  | 0.88     |
| CNN      | 26.65  | 0.78     | 23.70  | 0.81     |
| SRCNN    | 34.41  | 0.94     | 32.63  | 0.94     |
| JORDER-R | 35.86  | 0.95     | 35.41  | 0.96     |
| JORDER-R | 36.02  | 0.96     | 36.11  | 0.97     |

is designed to handle such hard cases, thus achieves considerably better results than other compared methods. The PSNR of JORDER-R gains over JORDER more than 1dB. Such a large gain demonstrates that the recurrent rain detection and removal significantly boosts the performance on synthesized heavy rain images.

Table 2. PSNR and SSIM results among different rain streak removal methods on Rain100H.

| Metric | ID | LP   | DSC   |
|--------|----|------|-------|
| PSNR   | 14.02 | 14.26 | 15.66 |
| SSIM   | 0.5239 | 0.4225 | 0.5444 |
| Metric | JORDER-R | JORDER-R | JORDER-R |
| PSNR   | 20.79  | 22.15  | **23.45** |
| SSIM   | 0.5978 | 0.6736 | **0.7490** |

Qualitative Evaluation. Fig. 6 shows the results of real images. For fair comparisons, we use JORDER-R to process these rain images and do not handle the atmospheric veils on these results, to be consistent with other methods. As observed, our method significantly outperforms them and is successful in removing the majority of rain streaks.

We also compare all the methods in two extreme cases: dense rain accumulation, and heavy rain as shown in Fig. 7.
7. Conclusion and Future Works

In this paper, we have introduced a new deep learning based method to effectively learn to joint remove rain from a single image, even in the presence of rain streak accumulation and heavy rain. A new region-dependent rain image model is proposed for additional rain detection and is further extended to simulate rain accumulation and heavy rains. Based on this model, we developed a fully convolutional network that jointly detect and remove rain. Rain regions are first detected by the network which naturally provides additional information for rain removal. To restore images captured in the environment with both rain accumulation and heavy rain, we introduced an recurrent rain detection and removal network that progressively removes rain streaks, embedded with a dehazing network to remove atmospheric veils. Evaluations on real images demonstrated that our method outperforms state-of-the-art methods significantly.
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