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Abstract

We present an automatic verb classifier system that identifies inflectional classes in Abui (AVC-abz), a Papuan language of the Timor-Alor-Pantar family. The system combines manually annotated language data (the learning set) with the output of a morphological precision grammar (corpus data). The morphological precision grammar is trained on a fully glossed smaller corpus and applied to a larger corpus. Using the k-means algorithm, the system clusters inflectional classes discovered in the learning set. In the second step, Naive Bayes algorithm assigns the verbs found in the corpus data to the best-fitting cluster. AVC-abz serves to advance and refine the grammatical analysis of Abui as well as to monitor corpus coverage and its gradual improvement.
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1. Analytical problem: Abui verb classes

Across languages, verbs are known to be sensitive to their syntactic context in various ways. Their meaning may remain constant (a paraphrase) or it may differ (e.g., number and type of arguments and their role). Their distribution across varying contexts is used to identify verbal classes that capture the meaning of the verb. Levin (2015) is an excellent overview of the various approaches to verb alternations that have been developed over the last 50+ years, starting with the work on case alternations (Fillmore, 1970), through valence databases, such as FrameNet or the Proposition Bank (Baker et al., 1998; Palmer et al., 2005) to recent typological studies, such as ValPal (Hartmann et al., 2013).

Such investigations are very labour-intensive and take years to complete for well-resourced language but are rarely undertaken for low-resourced languages. The workflow described here offers a significant acceleration to this endeavour by combining a learning set with the output of corpus data.

Abui is a head-marking language which records the argument configuration of the verb in its morphology: the verbal complements are indexed on the verb (their type, person and number). Therefore, the morphological indexing offers a formal means of classification, where verb stems can be classified according to their indexing of arguments analogously to the dependent-marking languages where such information can be extracted from the syntactically annotated corpus and where significant advances have indeed been made. In particular, we follow the work on automatic verb classification undertaken on well-resourced languages in using an abstract feature space that is the input for mathematical clustering tools (Merlo and Stevenson, 2001).

In the remainder of this section we give a brief overview of the Abui verbal morphology. Abui is notable for its argument realisation, which has been argued to be sensitive to semantic rather than syntactic features where the verbal stems show a low degree of lexical stipulation, i.e. the verb stems are compatible with a large number of morphological devices and their meaning is sometimes adjusted during this process (Kratochvíl, 2007; Kratochvíl, 2011). This issue has been discussed and elaborated in other publications (Fedden et al., 2014; Kratochvíl, 2014; Kratochvíl and Delpada, 2015; Saad, 2020a; Kratochvíl et al., 2021).

1.1. Abui verbal morphology and argument indexing

Verbs are at the heart of morphological complexity in Abui. Table 1 presents a schematic morphological template of the Abui verb, where the first line indicates the slot numbers, the second line the categories marked in each slot, and the subsequent lines the values attested in each slot. The table shows that (i) the root may be preceded by up to three person-number prefixes indexing various types of undergoer arguments or an incorporated noun (slots -1 to -3) and/or (ii) by the causative or applicative prefixes (slot -4). Many roots mutate to distinguish two stems (perfective and imperfective) and sometimes three (+ imperative). Roots may be followed by (iii) up to three aspectual slots (+1 to +3) and two mood slots (+4 to +5). The table records the values attested in each slot, represented here just by their glosses. For more details on the root mutation and aspectual suffixation, see (Kratochvíl et al., 2021).

1 Abui [abz] is a Timor-Alor-Pantar language of Eastern Indonesia. Over the last almost two decades, we have collected a corpus of roughly 22,500 sentences, of which about 6,200 have been glossed (Kratochvíl, 2022). The corpus consists of various genres and includes also elicited data.

2 According to Siewierska (2013), systems marking undergoers alone (leaving actors unmarked) are rare, constituting only about 7% of her sample. In the Alor-Pantar family, undergoer marking is a common trait.
1.2. Person-number prefixes

The prefixal slots of the Abui verb index objects, applicatives, and causatives. Objects are primarily indexed by a collection of five person-number prefix series, which are given in Table 2. To a large extent, each series is phonologically distinct (e.g. series PAT singular prefixes tend to end in a); but some plural forms are syncretic (e.g. series PAT and LOC). The person-number prefixes occur in slots -3, -2, and -1, where slot -1 is reserved to series PAT (listed in the second column) or incorporated nouns.

### Table 2: Abui person-number indexing paradigm

| PERSON | PAT | REC | LOC | GOAL | BEN |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| 1SG    | na- | no- | ne- | noo- | nee- |
| 2SG    | a-  | o-  | e-  | oo-  | ee- |
| 1PL.EXCL | ni- | nu- | ni- | nnu- | nii- |
| 1PL.INCL | pi- | pi- | pi- | puu-poo- | pii- |
| 2PL    | ri- | ru- | ruu- | hoo- | rii- |
| 3      | ha- | ho- | he- | hoo- | hee- |
| 3.REFL | da- | do- | de- | doo- | dee- |
| DISTR  | ta- | to- | te- | too- | te- |

The person-number combinations of the verb *wik* ‘carry’ are not generalisable to other verbs and as we will show in section 2.1 verbs show various gaps in their compatibility with the affixes. When more than one person-number prefixes co-occur, their compatibility with the affixes.

### Table 1: Morphological template of the Abui verb

```
| EXT | EXT/U_1 | U_2 | U_1 | root_{mutation} | ASP_1 | ASP_2 | ASP_3 | MOOD_1 | MOOD_2 |
|-----|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| CAUS| BEN     | LOC | PAT | root_{pfv}     | INC   | INCH  | STAT  | PRIOR  | HORT   |
| APPL| GOAL    | REC | N   | root_{pfv}     | STAT  | PFV   | PROG  | REAL   | PROH   |
```

Some Abui verbs may combine with multiple prefix series, as shown in (1) where several prefix combinations of the verb *wik* ‘carry’ are listed. The PAT series-indexed form *ha-wik* in (1a) is used when an animate object, *kaai* ‘dog’, is involved. In (1b), the LOC series-indexed *he-wik* indexes a definite inanimate object, while the BEN series-indexed *hee-wik* involves a human benefactor for whom an object is carried (implied or contextually available). In (1c), the GOAL series-indexed *hoo-wik* is a type of causative construction, where the first person singular agent passes firewood to another person to carry; the secondary agent is indexed with the GOAL prefix. Finally, in (1d), the plain form *wik* is used when the object *sura foqa do* ‘this big book’ is topicalised and the information about the argument structure is contextual (i.e. the speaker assumes their responsibility for carrying the book). To sum up, the various combinations of person indexes and the verb *wik* ‘carry (in arms)’ distinguish object types, modify argument structure, and are sensitive to discourse structure.

(1) a. Bui kaai ha-wik.  
   name dog 3.1-carry:IPFV

b. ‘Bui is carrying her dog in her arms.’ [N2011.9]
   A-t'ang do mi he-wik,
   2SG:INCL-hand PROX take 3.II-carry:IPFV
   hee-wik-e!
   3.V-carry:IPFV-PROG
   ‘Carry it in your hands, carry it for him!’ [N2011.3]

c. Na ara mi hoo-wik.
   1SG:AGT firewood take 3.IV-carry:IPFV
   ‘I give him firewood to carry.’ [N2011.6]

d. Sura foqa do baai wik-e?
   book big PROX ADD carry:IPFV-PROG
   ‘This big book too, (should I) carry?’ [EYV:1238]

1.3. Light verbs

The second part of the argument-marking system consists of a set of light verbs which attach before the lexical verb and may take their own person-number indexes. The main function of the light verbs is to adjust the valency of the verb in a manner similar to adpositions (prepositions and postpositions) in other languages. Table 3 lists the most common light verbs that modify the argument frame of the main verb by highlighting human objects or by adding human goals or companions. All five light verbs are used to refine the marking of human participants affected by the event described by the main verb and have been analysed as differential argument marking devices (Kratovil, 2014).

Some of the multiple prefix series in Alor-Pantar languages (including the Abui prefixes in Table 2) have their origin in complex verbs (Klamer and Kratovil, 2018).
An example of the light verb use is shown in (3), where the verb he-fikang ‘guard, look after s.t.’ combines with the light verb clitic hée-l. The light verb differentiates the human object ama ‘person’. The meaning of the main verb he-fikang ‘guard, look after s.t.’ shifts due to the light verb hée-l= addition to ‘respect s.o., pay attention to s.o.’.  

(3) Deri di ama name 3.AGT person  
hee-l=he-fikang, hare  
3.BEN-GIVE=3.LOC-respect.IPVF so  
do-wa tanga haa  
3.REFL.REC-participate speak.IPVF not  
‘Deri respects people, so she does not talk (much)’ [NB9.103]

1.4. Applicative and causative prefixes

The third part of the argument marking system is formed by applicative prefixes (lang-, ming-) and the causative prefix ong- (attaching in slot -4) which extend the valency of the verb but do not index number or gender features of the added arguments. An example of the applicative prefix lang- can be seen in (4) where the reduplicated verb mara ‘go up, climb’ combines with lang- to add the nominal dieng-pe ‘kitchen’ to the argument structure of the intransitive motion verb.

(4) kaai de-tamai dieng-pe  
dog 3.REFL.III-keep.doing.IPVF kitchen  
lang-mara=mara  
APPL-RED~go.up.IPVF  
‘The dog is entering kitchen all the time.’ [EBD.047]

Complex predicates consisting of a light verb and a main verb are also compatible with applicatives, as shown in (5). The complex verb na-da=sama ‘be with me’ combines with the applicative ming- to include the time description into the argument structure of the verb.

(5) tung-ai loohu ming-na-da=sama  
year-root be.long APPL-1SG.1-JOIN=be.with  
‘may I have a long life!’ (lit. ‘may long years be with me!’) [EBD.7.15.7c]

The above examples illustrate that undergoer prefix series, light verbs, and applicative/causative prefixes constitute a complex argument marking system. Through detailed examination of the combinatorics of verb stems and the undergoer-marking material we can arrive at a classification of verb stem and at a better understanding of the semantic contribution of the prefixes. In the following sections we will describe the workflow that we have designed for this purpose.

2. System design

The Automatic Verb Classifier for Abui processes two types of data through three analytical modules. The data constituting the learning set is manually compiled and its structure is described in section 2.1. The learning set is analysed with the k-means clustering technique which groups the data into a pre-specified number of clusters, as described further in section 2.2. The next step is to include the pre-processed corpus data and examine the fit with the k-means based clustering. The pre-processing of the corpus data was described in a separate publication [Zamaraeva et al., 2017]. We offer a brief summary of this work in section 2.3. In section 2.4 we describe how the comparison of the clustering based on the learning set is implemented for the corpus data. The final part of the module will be a Bayesian network analysis whose intended purpose is briefly discussed in section 3. The workflow of the AVC-abz system is visualised in Figure 1. It processes a manually curated and complete training data to train a classifier system whose outputs (clustering, visualisation, membership lists) aid the linguistic analysis. The Bayesian Network Analysis Module is not yet finished.

| category               | morphology        |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| human undergoer        | U.V-GIVE=main verb|
| experiencer            | U.V-INSIDE=main verb|
| human goal (proximal)  | U.V-TOUCH=main verb|
| human goal (remote)    | U.V-THROW=main verb|
| companion              | U.V-JOIN=main verb|

Table 3: Abui light verbs attested in complex verbs
Form          | Gloss          | Feature |
-------------|----------------|---------|
Ø-           | stem alone     | A       |
Ca-          | patient (PAT)  | B       |
Ce-          | location (LOC) | C       |
Cee-         | benefactive (BEN)| D    |
Co-          | recipient (REC)| E       |
Coo-         | goal (GOAL)    | F       |
Cee-l=       | human undergoer| G       |
Coo-q=       | animate goal I | H       |
Coo-pang=    | animate goal II| I       |
Ca-da=       | companion (JOIN)| J    |
Coo-mi=      | inward goal    | K       |
ming-        | applicative I (APPL)| L |
lang-        | applicative II (APPL)| M |
ong-         | causative (CAUS)| N       |

Table 4: Inflectional features in the learning sets

designed by the authors in close collaboration with a team of Abui speakers who are responsible for the accuracy of the grammatical information.

Currently, the AVC-abz interface includes two learning sets counting 150 and 356 verb profiles respectively, tracking the compatibility of the verbs with 26 inflectional features. Table 4 lists fourteen of these features. The values of seven features are exemplified for six verbs in Table 5.

Table 5: Examples of Abui verb feature profiles

| Stem       | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| wik        | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| fanga      | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| aquta      | + | + | - | + | + | + | + |
| took       | + | - | + | + | + | - | - |
| yaa        | + | - | + | + | + | - | - |
| bai        | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 5: Examples of Abui verb feature profiles

2.2. Principle component analysis and cluster visualisation

Using automatic clustering methods we find clusters of verbs that share a similar feature profile, i.e. the verbs occur in the same or similar morphological environments. We use the k-means clustering technique, which groups the data into a pre-specified number of clusters (k), while minimising the inter-cluster distance (d), which is defined as the total sum-of-squares distance of cluster members to the cluster mean.

The optimal number of clusters is not known in advance. The k-means technique allows us to employ expert human judgement and experiment with the optimal cluster number. To aid the human judgment we use a visualisation technique that plots the inter-cluster distance for each number of clusters. The idea here is that typically there is an elbow-like shape in the plot that enables us to identify the optimal number of clusters. The threshold for the optimal number for clusters is set so that the inter-cluster distance (d) decreases slowly after the threshold.

An example of the inter-cluster distance plot is given in Figure 2 where the plot shows an elbow-like dip in the inter-cluster distance. The inter-cluster distance decreases rapidly until 24 clusters, but starts to decrease gradually after 25 clusters. Therefore we set the threshold at 25 clusters.

Figure 2: The inter-cluster distance plot for the learning set Abui verbs (356) v. 2020.

For comparison, when we examine an older and smaller learning set of 150 verbs, we can see in the inter-cluster distance plot, shown in Figure 3 that the

---

While we are aware of the typical drawbacks of elicitation data that relies on a small number of speakers, such as accidental mistakes, gaps, or false negatives (forms that may sound unnatural in isolation may be fine in natural speech), we continue expanding the learning set, refining it with new verbs and information. Given the low-resourced status of Abui, it is unreasonable to expect that the corpus will reach the size where we could rely on it alone as a source of morphological information.

For the ease of exposition we ignore the plural forms here. The characteristic vowel patterns in singular appear to have much higher frequency in the corpus anyway.

While the gloss labels are suggestive of semantic roles, their exact semantic contribution is more complex and ultimately one of the puzzles we are working towards solving. The labels should therefore be interpreted as preliminary place-holders.
threshold value is lower. The inter-cluster distance decreases rapidly until 17 clusters, but starts to decrease gradually after 18 clusters but the characteristic elbow-shape is less obvious. We conjecture that the size of the learning set influences still the threshold value and therefore the learning set should be further expanded with new verbs until the threshold remains stable. This is a very useful information to guide the laborious construction of the learning set which requires a lot of time of highly-trained native speakers.

The content of each cluster is listed in a separate window (see section 2.4). We use the data point closest to the mean value becomes the cluster label in the Cluster membership window. We use the data point close to the mean value becomes the cluster label in the Cluster membership window (see section 2.4). The cluster visualisation interface includes a version 2.4).

The interface allows the 3D space to be rotated to examine the shape of the cluster, for example, whether its members are aligned along a line, lie within a sphere, are scattered far apart without an obvious geometric relation, etc.

A screenshot of the visualisation of the Abui verbs (356) v. 2020 learning set can be seen in Figure 3. The number of clusters is set here at 25 and clusters are numbered and coloured.

The content of each cluster is listed in a separate window called Cluster Membership under the same number as used in the 3D plot. We use the data point closest to the mean value to characterise each cluster; i.e. the verb nearest to the mean value becomes the cluster label in the Cluster membership window (see section 2.4).

The cluster visualisation interface includes a version control, so that we can load newer versions of learning sets and check the differences in analysis. Similarly, the gradually improving coverage of our corpus data is also stored, as described in the next section.

### 2.3. Corpus data harvesting

Presently, the Abui corpus is managed using the SIL Toolbox (SIL International, 2015) and SIL Fieldworks (SIL International, 2017). Both tools support simple concordance functions but lack more powerful distributional analysis tools needed to tackle the present problem. We therefore rely on a workflow, described in (Zamaraeva et al., 2017), where a morphological grammar of Abui is inferred from interlinear glossed text (IGT) extracted from the glossed part of the Abui corpus and applied on the entire corpus following a workflow described in (Bender et al., 2013).

The workflow is built on the precision grammar architecture known as the Grammar Matrix project (Bender et al., 2002) (Bender et al., 2010) which supports the creation of starter-kit precision grammars on the basis of the lexical and typological language profile and allows for specification of position classes and lexical rules (O’Hara, 2008) (Goodman, 2013). In addition, the precision grammar is enhanced by the information retrieved from existing collections of IGT, using methods developed by Lewis and Xia (2008) and Georgi (2016).

The system is implemented as Matrix-ODIN Morphology or ‘MOM’ (Wax, 2014) (Zamaraeva, 2016). It extracts from a corpus of IGT information such as (i) sets of affixes grouped in position classes; (ii) for each affix also its gloss; (iii) input for each position class. The MOM system can generate a feature matrix of the same structure as the learning set. Affixes can be expressed as a graph whose nodes represent the input relations. This can be illustrated using the example sentence in (6).

| he-ha-luol    | tila bataa ha-tang |
|---------------|-------------------|
| 3.LOC-3.PAT-follow rope tree | 3.PAT-branch     |
| he-tilaka mai neng nuku di mii | 3.LOC-hang REAL man one |
| 3.AGT take.PFV    | ya ho-puna ba natea.
| SEQ 3.REC-hold.IPV SIM      | stand |

In the next one, there was a rope hanging on the tree branch when a man came and took it and remained standing there holding it.

Classifying verbal stems is approached as a co-occurrence problem: given segmented and glossed IGT, we determine which stems co-occur with which types of affixes. Using the data in example (6), we see that (i) the verbs mii ‘take’ and natea ‘stand’ can occur freely (Feature I); (ii) the verb tilaka ‘hang’ with the prefix he- (Feature III); (iii) the verb luol ‘follow’ can combine with the prefixes he- and ha- (Feature II and III); and (iv) the verb puna ‘hold’ with the prefix ho- (Feature V).

The resulting co-occurrences can be explored visually, as described in (Lepp et al., 2019) or listed (as input

---

*Please note that in Figure 4 the largest sphere contains 164 verbs.*
Figure 4: The visualisation of the structure of the learning set Abui verbs (356) v. 2020.

Figure 5: The components of the corpus-processing (from Zamaraeva et al., 2017).

The AGGREGATION workflow allows bootstrapping the morphological information in the glossed part of the corpus which can be used to automatically analyse words that have not been manually glossed. In this way we can process much more data and verify the validity of the clustering proposed based on the learning set, as described in section 2.2.

2.4. Cluster lists comparison

The fourth component of the system is a Cluster list feature, which is built on a Naive Bayes classifier. Naive Bayes is a simple technique that assumes independence among features. Using the learning set features a naive Bayes classifier considers the features of each verb in the corpus set and assigns each verb to the most probable cluster.

The output of the Naive Bayes is listed as a table, as shown in Figure 6. The verb stems that are assigned by the Naive Bayes to the same cluster as they were by the k-means classifier are listed in bold face. Stems found only in one of the two datasets are listed in regular plain face. Finally, stems that are assigned differently by both classifiers are listed in italics. The typographic distinction aids the human expert to quickly evaluate the classification, check the data values by clicking the listed verb stem to examine their features.

The verb profiles can be examined using the Data tab shown in Figure 7. Thus mismatches between the curated and automatically derived data sets do not necessarily indicate system errors. Instead, they represent cases in which corpus analysis can further our understanding of the language at hand.

Given the small size of descriptive corpora, the generated feature array will have properties of a sparse matrix, where most elements are zero, not because the combination is impossible, but because the target morpheme sequence is not attested in the corpus. The sparsity of the feature matrix is de facto a metric of the corpus coverage of the selected phenomena. Mathematical methods exist to solve sparse matrixes so that they can serve as a reliable input for machine learning and clustering algorithms, whose output in turn aids linguistic analysis. For example, Fisher Information evaluation (which feature(s) predicts the class membership the best) informs the fieldwork practice (i.e. which constructions should be elicited and in what sequence). The clustering analysis helps detect morphemes with similar distributional properties. The analysis can be
Because the corpus is not phonologically normalised, we are expanding the list of alternate forms. For example the verb *aqua* ‘be blind’ is also attested as *akuta* in the unglossed part of the corpus. In the first two versions there was also no explicit linking for mutating stems such as *meeng* ‘wear (imperfective)’ and as *meen* ‘wear (perfective)’. The Cluster list allows us to discover further such candidates in the data and update the list of alternate forms.

### 3. Conclusion and future work

This paper presents an integrated workflow to support automatic verb classification in Abui, based on the morphological profile of the verb stem. The system is designed to support the advanced analysis of this complex grammatical feature of Abui that has been subject of a number of detailed investigations and continues to attract interest, especially in the context of the ongoing language shift and the growing influence of Alor Malay, which have been shown to lead to a gradual overhaul of the verb inflection system (Klamer and Saad, 2020; Saad, 2020b). In particular the AVC-**abz** system has got the following properties:
• Integrated native judgment and corpus data: Both types of data are handled as distinct types (learning set and corpus data). The learning set is manually curated with the assistance of native speakers and used as input for automated classifier.

• Corpus linguistics tool for extracting corpus information: The corpus is harvested for morphosyntactic information of verb stems following the methodology described in Zamaraya et al. (2017). The output is distributed into clusters.

• Mathematical tools for classification and feature relations: K-means and Naive Bayes are used to analyse the learning set and to assign the corpus data to the clusters with the best fit.

• Version control: It is typical for documentation projects that the work is ongoing and the analysis is changing. The system is designed to work with different versions of the learning set and corpus data in order to compare the coverage of the corpus and the gradual improvement of the analysis.

• Interface supporting data interpretation by the expert: The interface will serve as an open-data platform to support future publications on the Abui verb class system. It enables the expert to investigate the detailed properties of the various verbs as well as the entire class system.

The system is also relevant to the data coverage question put forth by Nikolaus Himmelmann as: ‘the aim of a language documentation is to provide a comprehensive record of the linguistic practices characteristic of a given speech community’ (Himmelmann, 1998).

There are no standards to report corpus coverage cross-linguistically except simple metrics such as number of words or sentences, or to ascertain whether the aggregated corpus ‘large enough’ and presents a ‘comprehensive record’ of the language, speaking in Himmelmann’s terms. While the question of ‘large enough’ may be a rhetorical distraction, it is practical to develop tools that can measure the corpus coverage of specific phenomena, whose aggregation can eventually answer the ‘large enough’ question. The AVC-abz addresses the question of corpus coverage locally; it measures the fit between the learning set and the corpus data. We can expect that at some point most verbs from the corpus data will always fit in the optimal number of clusters determined by the learning set whose size does not need to be increased anymore.

Our future work will focus on implementing a Bayesian Network to investigate the relationships between various inflectional categories. It hedges for the possibility that some features do correlate or are dependent. This information can be for example fed into the settings of the Naive Bayes classifier which can treat dependent or correlating features as one.

Another line of further improvement concerns the orthographic variation. The Abui corpus is partly sourced within the community and therefore contains multiple orthography standards and some dialectal variation. Abui speakers do not agree on a single orthography regarding velar and uvular stops (k~ q vs. k only), long vowels (e.g. a~ aa vs. a only), and tones (not written at all or written with accents). They also do not make a strict distinction between the single stem predicates and complex predicates containing light verbs, because in both cases the predicate (simple or complex) forms a single phonological word. The resulting variation in the unglossed part of the corpus is responsible for some noise in the classification, but the presented tool enables us to find such instances and to amend the orthographic profile of the given verb.
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