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ABSTRACT

Background/objectives: Total knee replacement surgeries being the ultimate operative modality are commonly performed for severe osteoarthritis. The challenges for the anesthesiologists are to provide optimal postoperative analgesia with minimum motor blockade, so that the patients can be ambulated early, thereby minimizing the complications of delayed ambulation.

Materials and methods: We compared the efficacy of fentanyl and dexmedetomidine in improving the analgesic efficacy of bupivacaine-driven regional anesthesia adductor canal block (ACB) in 60 patients who underwent total knee replacement surgeries. We conducted a randomized study of two different drug formulations for the same procedure with random allocation using a computerized table.

Results: The visual analog scale (VAS) score of the patients was less in group D. The number of steps walked and the time taken to stand from supine position was better in group D. The amount of local anesthetic requirement was less in group D. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) requirement was comparable in both the groups.

Conclusion: In our study, we observed that VAS score is less in group D, thereby promoting early ambulation and better patient satisfaction. Hence, dexmedetomidine is a better adjuvant than fentanyl for regional anesthesia.
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Introduction

Total knee replacement surgeries being the ultimate operative modality are performed for severe osteoarthritis. The challenges for the anesthesiologists are to provide optimal postoperative analgesia with minimum motor blockade, so that the patients can be ambulated early, thereby minimizing the complications of delayed ambulation. Many anesthetic techniques are used to provide postoperative analgesia for the total knee replacement surgeries and all have their own goods and odds. Epidural analgesia was the most commonly used technique in the past, but its disadvantage is motor blockade delaying ambulation, and the need of systemic opioids or NSAIDs for postoperative analgesia.

Currently using real-time assessment sonography gadgets, peripheral nerve blocks are performed for the postoperative pain management with ease and accuracy. Femoral nerve block was performed for many years following knee replacement surgeries. The disadvantage being blockade of motor nerve leading to quadriceps muscle weakness and delaying the ambulation. In recent years, adductor canal block (ACB) is being used as analgesia for total knee replacement surgeries. In the ACB, saphenous nerve, which is purely sensory and part of obturator nerve, is blocked. The adductor canal consists of the two large sensory saphenous nerves, the nerve to the vastus medialis and the articular branch of the obturator nerve. Since the ACB is distal to most of the efferent branches of the quadriceps muscle, it preserves the strength of this muscle. There are many studies supporting the efficacy of ACB with femoral nerve block in providing equivalent postoperative analgesia. In addition to excellent analgesia, ACB preserves the quadriceps' strength, which helps in early mobilization and reducing the postoperative complications.

Adductor canal block is performed by the technique proposed by Kirkpatrick et al., wherein the probe is placed transversely at the mid-thigh between the medial condyle of the femur and inguinal. The needle is inserted anterolateral to the femoral artery which traverses beneath the sartorius muscle. Rare complications like nerve injury, vascular injury, systemic toxicity, and anaphylactic reactions are seen with the drug injected under sonographic guidance.

We compared the efficacy of fentanyl and dexmedetomidine adjuvants to 0.125% bupivacaine in the postoperative pain management under a double-blinded randomized study. We have made a novel approach of comparing efficacy of most commonly used local anesthetic as we seek to determine which adjuvant is the most effective in reducing postoperative pain.
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used opioid, i.e., fentanyl, and an α-2 agonist, dexmedetomidine, which is most commonly used for ACB with continuous infusion using 20 g sonographic-guided catheter, enhancing postoperative analgesia. The parameters compared are time taken to stand up from supine position, number of steps walked, the amount of paracetamol used in 48 hours, hemodynamic parameters, and visual analog scale (VAS) score for 48 hours and also any other postoperative complications such as local anesthetic toxicity, nausea, vomiting, and catheter site infection.

**Materials and Methods**

We compared the efficacy of fentanyl and dexmedetomidine in improving the analgesic efficacy of bupivacaine-driven regional anesthesia ACB in 60 patients who underwent total knee replacement surgeries. We conducted a randomized study of two different drug formulations for the same procedure with random allocation using a computerized table. All the patients were explained about the type of drug and postoperative pain management, and the advantages and disadvantages of the procedures. Also the safety approval of both the drugs were explained with printed handouts. Written informed consents were taken from the patients in their preferred language.

On the day of the surgery, once the patients were shifted to the operation theater, all monitors were connected and 18 g cannula was inserted, Ringer’s lactate was started. Subarachnoid block was given with 2.5 to 3 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine in all patients. After spinal anesthesia, the patients were positioned supine and under aseptic precaution 20 g catheter was inserted in the adductor canal with sonographic guidance and the catheter was placed posterior to the sartorius muscle. No infusion was started through this catheter intraoperatively. After the procedure, the patient was shifted to the postoperative recovery room and going by the rules of aseptic precaution 20 g catheter was inserted in the adductor canal using 20 g sonographic-guided catheter, enhancing postoperative analgesia. The parameters compared are time taken to stand up from supine position, number of steps walked, the amount of paracetamol used in 48 hours, hemodynamic parameters, and visual analog scale (VAS) score for 48 hours and also any other postoperative complications such as local anesthetic toxicity, nausea, vomiting, and catheter site infection.

**Results**

The demographic profile of the patients in both the groups was comparable with regard to age, weight, and height. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grading was comparable in both the groups (Table 1). The average mean blood pressure in group D ranged from 71.2 to 85.33 mm Hg and the average mean blood pressure in group F ranged from 73.77 to 85.9 mm Hg. Hypotension was reported in five patients in group D in the first hour and three patients in group F. Only in three patients of group D, mephentermine was given to treat hypotension. Hypotension responded to mephentermine and intravenous fluid (Table 2).

The average heart rate in group D ranged from 67.13 to 82.23 per minutes; and in group F, it ranged from 74.30 to 85.23 per minutes. A significant difference in heart rate was observed in the two groups in the initial 50 minutes. After 50 minutes, there was significant difference in the two groups. In group D, six patients and in group F two patients had bradycardia, which responded to one dose of atropine.

The average duration of the surgery in group D was 140.17 minutes, with a standard deviation of 19.45 minutes and the average duration of the surgery in group F was 145.33, with a standard duration of 13.51. The p value was 0.237, which was statistically not significant. Hence, there was no significant difference in the duration of the surgery in both the groups.

In group D, the mean time taken to raise up from the bed at 6 hours was 108.63 seconds, and in group F, it was 183.90 seconds, with a standard deviation of 35.88 seconds and a p value of 0.0001, which is highly significant. Hence, the need to stand up from supine position was higher in group F.

The VAS score at 4, 8, and 12 hours and every 4 hours for 48 hours is better in dexmedetomidine group and the p value is shown in the Table 3. Hence, the postoperative pain control is better in group D.

In group F, the average number of steps walked after 6 hours was 131.63, with a standard deviation of 11.61 steps; in group D, the mean number of steps walked was 150.43, with a standard deviation of 15.73 steps. The p value is 0.0001, which is statistically significant. Hence, the number of steps walked in group D was more than that in group F.

The amount of bupivacaine 0.125% used through the infusion in group D was 347.10 mL with a standard deviation of 21.18 mL; and the amount of bupivacaine used in group F was 361.50 mL with a standard deviation of 20.43 mL. The p value was 0.0096 which is statistically significant. Hence, the bupivacaine requirement was less in dexmedetomidine group. We observed that dexmedetomidine is a better adjuvant than fentanyl for the postoperative pain management in the total knee replacement surgeries (Table 4).

The mean paracetamol consumption in 48 hours in group F was 2.17 g, with a standard deviation of 1.02 g and in group D the mean consumption was 2.04 g, with a standard deviation of 0.84 g. The p value was 0.596, which is statistically not significant.

**Table 1: Demographic profile**

| Group  | Group D | Group F | p value |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age in years | 66.13   | 65.87   | 0.8858  |
| Weight in kg  | 54.45   | 55.39   | 0.778   |
| Height in cm   | 155.41  | 156.11  | 0.2590  |
| ASA 1 and 2    | 25 males/5 females | 23 males/7 females | 0.768 |
| Sex           | 19 males/11 females | 20 males/10 females | 0.866 |
Hence, the paracetamol consumption in both the groups was not significant. There were no postoperative complications in both the groups.

**Discussion**

Peripheral nerve block can be considered the procedure of choice for postoperative analgesia when compared to the conventional methods like epidural analgesia, systemic opioids, NSAIDs. Regional anesthesia or peripheral nerve block is the postprocedural anesthetic management of choice which improves early ambulation and decreases systemic side effects. The amount of local anesthesia if increased may lead to systemic toxicity, hence adjuvants that increase the effect of the drug are used. Other techniques like epidural analgesia, systemic opioids, and NSAIDs have their own advantages and disadvantages.

In the present study, we have compared the efficacy of the two most commonly used adjuvants in the regional anesthesia technique to prolong the effect of local anesthesia, namely, fentanyl, which is most commonly used opioid anesthetic agent and an α-2 agonist dexmedetomidine which prolongs the effect of local anesthetic agent. Dexmedetomidine is an α-2 adrenoceptor agonist which has revolutionized the effect on peripheral nerve blocks by acting as an adjuvant. Perineural dexmedetomidine acts by blocking the hyperpolarization-activated cation current. The Ih current brings a neuron back to the resting potential from the hyperpolarized state that follows an action potential. By blocking the Ih current, dexmedetomidine causes
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**Table 2: Mean blood pressure**

|          | Mean blood pressure | Standard deviation | Standard error of mean | p value |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Basal    |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 84.45               | 6.25               | 1.15                   | 0.3404  |
| Group F  | 85.93               | 5.52               | 1.01                   |         |
| 10th minute |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 84.00               | 6.03               | 1.10                   | 0.6099  |
| Group F  | 84.73               | 5.00               | 0.91                   |         |
| 20th minute |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 72.20               | 4.71               | 0.86                   | 0.0001  |
| Group F  | 76.77               | 3.49               | 0.64                   |         |
| 30th minute |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 78.20               | 7.22               | 1.32                   | 0.0006  |
| Group F  | 84.20               | 5.42               | 0.99                   |         |
| 40th minute |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 81.10               | 7.32               | 1.34                   | 0.0025  |
| Group F  | 85.93               | 4.06               | 0.74                   |         |
| 50th minute |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 75.13               | 5.75               | 1.05                   | 0.0001  |
| Group F  | 83.93               | 4.06               | 0.74                   |         |
| 1 hour   |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 73.77               | 4.84               | 0.88                   | 0.0001  |
| Group F  | 82.97               | 3.56               | 0.65                   |         |
| 2nd hour |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 71.37               | 4.77               | 0.87                   | 0.0030  |
| Group F  | 74.70               | 3.47               | 0.63                   |         |
| 3rd hour |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 72.20               | 4.71               | 0.86                   | 0.0001  |
| Group F  | 76.77               | 3.49               | 0.64                   |         |
| 4th hour |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 72.97               | 4.86               | 0.89                   | 0.0001  |
| Group F  | 79.37               | 3.41               | 0.62                   |         |
| 5th hour |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 72.30               | 6.09               | 1.11                   | 0.0016  |
| Group F  | 77.63               | 6.40               | 1.17                   |         |
| 6th hour |                     |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 73.03               | 5.88               | 1.07                   | 0.0007  |
| Group F  | 78.80               | 6.61               | 1.21                   |         |
| 10th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 85.27               | 6.37               | 1.16                   | 0.7735  |
| Group F  | 85.67               | 4.10               | 0.75                   |         |
| 14th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 82.270              | 7.25               | 1.32                   | 0.0567  |
| Group F  | 85.07               | 5.51               | 1.01                   |         |
| 18th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 83.33               | 7.40               | 1.35                   | 0.2379  |
| Group F  | 85.33               | 5.45               | 0.99                   |         |
| 24th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 84.53               | 7.74               | 1.41                   | 0.6511  |
| Group F  | 85.30               | 5.05               | 0.92                   |         |
| 30th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 84.87               | 5.81               | 1.06                   | 0.643   |
| Group F  | 85.50               | 4.68               | 0.85                   |         |
| 36th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 84.87               | 5.90               | 1.08                   | 0.6024  |
| Group F  | 85.60               | 4.90               | 0.89                   |         |
| 48th hour |                    |                    |                        |         |
| Group D  | 82.23               | 15.1               | 2.77                   | 0.319   |
| Group F  | 85.23               | 6.14               | 1.12                   |         |
prolonged hyperpolarization of the nerve, thereby delaying the restoration of resting potential and preventing the conduction of a new action potential.17

Dexmedetomidine blockade is more effective in unmyelinated fibers, thus sparing the motor nerve and enhancing faster recovery.18 Many studies have shown that dexmedetomidine when used in a dosage of 0.2–2 μg/kg as an adjuvant to local anesthetic provides adequate analgesia.19 Keplinger et al. in their study concluded that dexmedetomidine in a dosage of 50, 100, and 150 μg increases the duration of the sensory block by 60, 72, and 57%, respectively, when given as adjuvant with ropivacaine compared to when given alone.19 However, 150 μg dexmedetomidine caused deep sedation and paresthesia in one third of the patients.19

In our study, bupivacaine dose has significantly reduced in dexmedetomidine group compared to the fentanyl group. Hence, we have observed that dexmedetomidine is a better adjuvant than fentanyl to reduce the dosage of local anesthetic.

A separate study by Abdulatif et al. reported that addition of 25 μg of dexmedetomidine hardly made any difference in the pharmacodynamics of bupivacaine in femoral nerve blocks.2 A placebo-controlled trial was done by Andersen et al. on patients who underwent total knee replacement.20 Here ACB was given in both the groups with ropivacaine and dexmedetomidine and ropivacaine with placebo.20 They showed a statistical increase in duration of sensory block to temperature (22 vs 20 hours), pinprick (23 vs 20 hours), pain during tonic heat stimulation (22 vs 20 hours), and warmth detection threshold (23 vs 21 hours; \( p < 0.05 \)) but not to heat pain detection threshold (21 vs 20 hours; \( p = 0.068 \)) in the dexmedetomidine group as compared to ropivacaine group. Clinical relevance of this study with mild statistical significance has not been documented.20 Mild to moderate sedation occurred in all patients in the dexmedetomidine group during the first 4 hours after the block.20 One participant in the dexmedetomidine group experienced numbness in an area in the leg after receiving dexmedetomidine.20

This corresponds to our study where the sensory blockade lasted longer in group D. The mean number of steps walked after 6 hours in group F is 131.63 with a standard deviation of 11.61 steps, and the mean number of steps walked in group D was 150.43 with a standard deviation of 15.73 steps. The \( p \) value was 0.0001, which is statistically significant. Hence, the number of steps walked in group D was more than that in group F.

The VAS score for 48 hours was better with dexmedetomidine in our study, supporting the study done by Andersen et al., where the sensory block anesthesia was prolonged by addition of dexmedetomidine to the local anesthesia.

Goyal et al. in their study of 150 patients undergoing total bilateral knee replacement used two different concentrations of dexmedetomidine with ropivacaine in ACB.21 They found increase in duration of analgesia (18 hours) in patients administered 0.5 μg dexmedetomidine when compared to 0.25 μg (10.8 hours) along with ropivacaine.21 Postoperative pain scores and 24 hours tramadol consumption were less in patients receiving dexmedetomidine 0.5 μg/kg, and the number of steps taken on day 1 after surgery was better in the group given higher concentration of dexmedetomidine (0.5 μg/kg).21 Fentanyl is the most commonly used intermittent acting opioid for peripheral nerve block regional anesthesia. In our study, we used 2 μg/mL of fentanyl along with 0.125% bupivacaine as an infusion in the postoperative period.21

Rajkhowa et al. reported fentanyl as an adjuvant in brachial plexus block, where the mechanism of prolongation of the analgesia may be due to the peripheral receptors; however the mere existence of peripheral receptors is still questionable.22 It was also noted that fentanyl used as an adjuvant prolongs the duration of sensory and motor blockade, by directly binding with the receptors on the dorsal nerve roots and diffusion in the systemic circulation leading to the central action.22 Bharti et al. noted that when fentanyl was used as an adjuvant with local anesthetic for brachial plexus block, the analgesic effect prolonged by 3 hours and motor by 4 hours compared to the group where only anesthetic was used.23 Farooq et al. noted that when fentanyl and dexmedetomidine were used as an adjuvant to ropivacaine for brachial plexus block, there was no difference in the duration of analgesia and motor blockade in both the groups.24 Also confounding factor may be the longer duration of action of ropivacaine compared to bupivacaine.24

In our study, in group D, the mean time taken to raise up from the bed at 6 hours was 108.63 seconds, and in group F it was 183.90 seconds with a standard deviation of 35.88 seconds and the \( p \) value was 0.0001 seconds, which is highly significant. We have found that in group D the time taken to raise up was much less compared to group F.

**Conclusion**

In our study, we observed that dexmedetomidine when used as an adjuvant with bupivacaine showed better analgesia compared to fentanyl. The number of steps walked by the patients after 6 hours was more in patients administered dexmedetomidine
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and the mean time taken to raise up from the supine position was less in dexmedetomidine group compared to the fentanyl group. In addition, less VAS scores were observed in dexmedetomidine group, which promoted early ambulation and less local anesthetic requirements. Hence, we can use ACB with dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant in the postoperative pain management of patients undergoing total knee replacement, which in turn promotes early ambulation with minimal or no pain, thereby reducing the complications related to delayed ambulation.
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