Lactate in the tumour microenvironment: From immune modulation to therapy
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1. Introduction

Cancer cells with aberrant metabolism consume large amounts of oxygen and nutrients, resulting in hypoxia, nutritional deficiency, and elevated levels of metabolic by-products in the tumour microenvironment (TME) [1]. Elevated metabolites in the TME, particularly lactate, facilitate the establishment of an immunosuppressive milieu that favours cancer cell growth and immune escape [2]. An increasing number of studies have demonstrated that lactate is not only an end product of glycolysis, but also an important regulator that participates in multiple signalling pathways in both normal and tumour tissues [3].

Excessive lactate production and rapid lactate transport in cancer cells depend primarily on the upregulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) and c-Myc [4,5]. Continuous activation of HIF-1α and c-Myc causes aberrant expression of multiple glycolytic enzymes and monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), including lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), MCT1, and MCT4 [4]. Lactate in the TME not only induces lactic acidosis, but also shuttles among cell populations, including cancer cells, tumour-associated stromal cells, tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs), and tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) [6].

Lactate is an evolutionarily conserved metabolite. The processes by which immune cells respond to this ancient molecule explain its modulatory effects on immune responses in the TME. In this review, we describe the current understanding of lactate-modulated immune responses and assess the recent literature to briefly summarise the therapeutic strategies that target lactate anabolism and transport in the TME.

2. Lactate in the TME

2.1. Excessive lactate secreted from cancer cells in the TME

Otto Warburg in the 1920s discovered distinct metabolic characteristics of cancer cells, including excessive glucose uptake and preferential production of lactate, even in the presence of sufficient oxygen [7]. Under normal circumstances, pyruvate from glycolysis is completely oxidised in the mitochondria via oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to produce ATP and CO$_2$ [8]. Normal cells produce lactate only under hypoxic conditions through anaerobic glycolysis. The process of lactate production in cancer cells, even in an oxygen-rich environment, is referred to as aerobic glycolysis or the Warburg effect [9]. Lactate produced by cancer cells is exported into the
extracellular space via MCTs to avoid their intracellular acidification, but this causes an increase in lactate levels in the TME [10], which has been associated with poor prognosis [11]. Below, we discuss how cancer cells upregulate lactate production in the TME by producing and secreting excessive lactate.

One of the most significant characteristics of the TME is hypoxia, which leads to increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and enhanced tumour invasion, as well as chemotherapy resistance via the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB), nuclear factor erythroid 2, and HIF-1α [12]. HIF-1α was initially identified as an important factor in response to hypoxic conditions [13]; posttranslational modifications play a critical role in its regulation. Hypoxia inhibits several critical enzymes that participate in the posttranslational modification of HIF-1α, including prolyl hydroxylase domain proteins (PHDs), the von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor protein (VHL), and HIF-1α subunit inhibitor HIFIAN (also termed FIH1). Hypoxia thus inhibits HIF-1α protein degradation and facilitates HIF-1α transcriptional activation [14]. Additionally, the HIF-1α pathway can be activated via hypoxia-independent mechanisms that cause pseudohypoxia, even when oxygen is sufficient. For example, loss-of-function and somatic mutations in the VHL gene or inactivation of VHL gene expression facilitate HIF-1α protein stabilisation and accumulation, even under normoxia [15]. In normoxia, loss of p53 protein function causes HIF-1α protein to accumulate by interrupting mouse double minute 2 homologue-mediated HIF-1α protein degradation [16]. In addition, activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling pathway and elevated ROS levels are involved in the activation of HIF-1α signalling [14]. Under inflammatory conditions, multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1β and TNF-α, can induce the expression of HIF-1α protein by activating HIF-1 DNA binding [17], whereas PI3K inhibitors interrupt cytokine-induced expression of HIF-1α mRNA [18]. In addition to cytokines, other non-hypoxic mediators such as growth factors, as well as vasoactive hormones such as vascular endothelial growth factor, angiotensin II, thrombin, and endothelin, can induce the expression of HIF-1α by activating the p42/p44-MAPK or PI3K/p70S6K/mTOR pathways [19–21]. Other proinflammatory mediators such as nitric oxide (NO) can induce the accumulation of HIF-1α under normoxia [22]. In macrophages, the production of NO is controlled in part by the differential expression of HIF-1α and HIF-2α, which are induced by Th1 cytokines during M1 polarisation and Th2 cytokines during M2 polarisation, respectively [23]. Furthermore, viral proteins, including hepatitis B virus X protein and Epstein-Barr virus latent membrane protein 1, can induce the accumulation of HIF-1α protein under normoxia [24,25].

HIF-1α and c-Myc are two critical transcriptional factors that maintain high glycolytic activity in cancer cells [26]. HIF-1α and c-Myc in cancer cells upregulate lactate production through multiple mechanisms. First, they can enhance pyruvate production by accelerating two of the three rate-limiting steps in glycolysis involving hexokinase 2 and fructose-2,6-bisphosphate [27]. Second, they can suppress pyruvate dehydrogenase-mediated pyruvate mitochondrial metabolism by inducing pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase-1, which phosphorylates pyruvate dehydrogenase and inhibits its activity [28]. Third, they can activate lactate dehydrogenase-5 (LDH-5) and inhibit LDH-1. There are five different combinations of four subunits for LDH tetramers: LDHA4, LDHB4, LDHA3LDHB, LDHA2LDHB2, and LDHALDHB3. LDH-5 (LDHA4) promotes the conversion of pyruvate to lactate, whereas LDH-1 (LDHB4) plays the opposite role. Both HIF-1α and c-Myc induce the expression of LDHA gene and inhibit the expression of LDHB gene, which further enhances the activity of LDH-5 and decreases the activity of LDH-1, causing an increase in lactate production [29].

High glycolytic activity has been associated with the activation of the mechanistic (previously ‘mammalian’) target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway cascade in cancer cells. The mTOR protein is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine protein kinase and a member of the PI3K-related protein kinase family [30]. It can form two distinct catalytic complexes: mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTORC2. It senses and integrates diverse environmental signals to control cell growth and proliferation, acting as a critical regulatory node in the cellular metabolism network [30]. Activation of the mTOR pathway increases expression of HIF-1α and c-Myc to upregulate expression of glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and glycolytic enzymes, such as hexokinase 2 and pyruvate kinase muscle isoform 2 (PKM2), thereby facilitating lactate production in cancer cells [30]. Upregulated PKM2 also stimulates mTORC1 in cancer cells through a positive feedback loop [31].

In addition to aerobic glycolysis, cancer cells generate lactate from glutamine catabolism, which is referred to as glutaminolysis [32]. Cancer cells can increase glutamine uptake and promote the conversion of glutamine to glutamate via glutaminase, which is also regulated by c-Myc [33]. Glutamate subsequently enters the mitochondria and participates in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle in the form of α-ketoglutarate, which is converted to malate and then transported into the cytoplasm, providing pyruvate for lactate production [34]. In addition to providing sufficient ATP for tumour growth, glycolysis and glutaminolysis generate intermediates that are important carbon sources for anabolic pathways and antioxidant stress in cancer cells, including NAPDH production, nucleotide synthesis, and purine-pyrimidine synthesis, as well as amino-acid, fatty-acid, and sterol synthesis.

In addition to the production of excessive lactate, cancer cells induce the c-Myc-mediated high expression of MCT1 (also termed solute carrier 16A1 or SLC16A1) and the HIF-1α-mediated high expression of MCT4 (also termed solute carrier 16A3 or SLC16A3), facilitating the export of lactate into the extracellular TME to avoid intracellular acidification [35]. In summary, cancer cells increase lactate levels in the TME via excessive production and rapid export of lactate (Fig. 1).

2.2. Lactate shuttling in the TME

Lactate is an energy-rich signalling molecule that shuttles between cells under both physiological and pathological conditions. In normal skeletal muscle, slow-twitch fibres take up lactate produced by the glycolysis of fast-twitch fibres [36]. Astrocytes are also glycolytic and produce adequate lactate that is imported by adjacent neurons [37]. In an inflammatory environment, glycolytic synovial fibroblasts secrete lactate via MCT4, while CD4+ T cells take up lactate from inflamed joints via sodium-coupled monocarboxylate transporter 2 (SMCT2; also termed solute carrier 5A12 or SLC5A12), causing lactate-induced inhibition of T-cell motility and preferential differentiation into pro-inflammatory CD4+ T-cell subsets [38–40].

In the TME, cancer cells are metabolically heterogeneous, depending on their intratumoral spatial localizations [4]. Using dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, Hensley et al. showed that enrichments of 13C in the more well-perfused superior regions of human lung tumours were significantly lower than those in the inferior regions [41]. In addition, Hensley et al. infused mice bearing subcutaneous tumours with 2-13C-lactate and found that 13C was enriched in multiple metabolites, including glutamate, malate, and citrate, indicating that lactate is an important carbon source for lung tumours. Similarly, Faubert et al. infused mice bearing lung tumours with [13C]lactate, [13C] pyruvate, or [13C]alanine and found that after infusion with [13C] lactate, 13C was enriched in tumour lactate and intermediates in the TCA cycle, relative to 3-phosphoglycerate [42]. Furthermore, Faubert et al. constructed tumour-bearing mouse models with MCT1-deficient lung cancer cell lines and found that enrichment of 13C in tumour metabolites was significantly reduced,
demonstrating that MCT1 is critical for lactate influx in cancer cells. Consistent with these studies, Hui et al. demonstrated that circulating lactate was the predominant source of TCA cycle intermediates in mice with lung tumours, while the contribution of glutamine exceeded that of lactate in pancreatic cancer [43]. In summary, cancer cells far from blood vessels are under hypoxic conditions and obtain energy mainly through glycolysis while producing excessive lactate, which is exported into the TME via MCT4 [44]. Cancer cells that are close to blood vessels are normoxic and can oxidise lactate for ATP synthesis via MCT1-mediated lactate influx. This lactate metabolic symbiosis occurs not only in cancer cells, but also in cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and tumour-associated endothelial cells [45–47]. For example, CAFs with an aerobic glycolytic metabolic phenotype produce and release high amounts of lactate via MCT4, while adjacent cancer cells with oxidative ability can take up lactate via MCT1 and oxidise it [48]. In angiogenic endothelial cells, MCT1-mediated lactate uptake induces ROS-dependent NF-κB activation independently of HIF-1α activation to upregulate the production of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [45]. Lactate metabolic symbiosis also occurs among tumour-infiltrating regulatory T (Treg) cells and TAMs, which will be discussed below. Altogether, lactate shuttling between cell populations is a prominent feature of the TME and is essential for tumorigenesis, and tumour progression and metastasis [49].

2.3. Modulation of immune response by lactate in the TME

2.3.1. T cells

Elevated lactate suppresses the antitumour activity of T cells by increasing the accumulation of H+ and maintaining low pH in the TME. Extracellular acidification suppresses the functions of CD8+ T lymphocytes (CTLs), while neutralisation of acidic TME and protonpump inhibitors can reverse the suppression of antitumour immunity and improve immunotherapy [50]. Mechanistically, lactic acidosis can impair the functions of CTLs by inhibiting T-cell receptor-triggered activation of the p38 and JNK/c-JUN pathways, which are important for IFN-γ production. Lactic acidosis also drives T-cell apoptosis by reducing the levels of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+), which is crucial for the expression of the focal adhesion kinase family interacting protein of 200 kDa [51,52]. Additionally, lactic acidosis may drive the redistribution of perinuclear lysosomes to cellular peripheries, thus suppressing mTORC1 activity in immune cells by separating lysosomal mTORC1 from its activator, small GTPase Ras homologue enriched in brain [53]. Furthermore, lactate can modulate CD4+ T-cell polarisation and reduce the percentage of the antitumoral T-helper 1 subset by inducing SIRT1-mediated deacetylation/degradation of T-bet transcription factor [54].

Generally, quiescent human CD8+ and CD4+ T cells express low levels of MCT1 and MCT4 [55]. After T-cell activation via the binding of CD28 to the B7 receptor, the metabolic pattern of T cells is

Fig. 1. Lactate from aerobic glycolysis and glutaminolysis in cancer cells. Aerobic glycolysis in cancer cells is efficient because of the cytoplasmic regeneration of NAD+ from NADH by LDHA without participating in mitochondrial electron transport chain. Without this process, deficiency of NAD+ pool limits glycolysis of cancer cells, then decreasing the rate of glycolysis at the GAPDH-mediated step. The continuous activation of HIF-1α, c-Myc, and the mTOR pathway induces aberrant expression of multiple glycolytic enzymes, thus facilitating aerobic glycolysis in cancer cells. ASCT2, alanine/serine/cysteine transporter 2; GLUT1, glucose transporter 1; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HIF-1α, hypoxia-inducible factor 1α; PFK-1, phosphofructokinase-1; MCT4, monocarboxylate transporter 4; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; LDHA, lactate dehydrogenase A. This figure is created by Pei Zhang, Zi-Hao Wang, and Qiong Zhou. All authors confirm originality of it and retain copyright to it.
transformed from OXPHOS to glycolysis, leading to the remarkable upregulation of MCT1 and delayed induction of MCT4 [56]. In the TME, activated T cells not only have to compete with tumour cells for glucose, but also must avoid intracellular acidification via MCT-mediated lactate transmission. However, the high levels of tumour-derived lactate in the TME prevent activated T cells from secreting lactate because of the lactate transmembrane concentration gradient [4]. This causes an endogenous lactate accumulation, which hampers the antitumour activity of effector T cells.

2.3.2. Natural killer cells and natural killer T cells

Elevated lactate levels not only directly constrain the cytolytic functions of natural killer (NK) cells, but also indirectly restrain NK cells by increasing the number of myeloid-derived suppressor cells [57]. Moreover, lactate inhibits the activation of nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) in NK cells, thus inhibiting their IFN-γ production [58]. Lactic acidosis can repress the lipid biosynthesis and antitumour activities of tumour-infiltrating invariant natural killer T cells by reducing the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) [59,60].

2.3.3. Monocytes and dendritic cells

Lactic acidosis not only induces the differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells with an immunosuppressive phenotype [61,62], but also promotes their differentiation into macrophages with an inflammatory protumour phenotype [63]. However, exorbitant lactate levels in the TME may also retard the differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells [6]. G-protein-coupled receptor 81 (GPR81, also termed hydroxyacidic acid receptor 1 or HCAR1) is expressed on the surface of antigen-presenting cells in the TME; tumour-derived lactate can activate GPR81 to inhibit the expression of MHC-II, playing a paracrine role [4]. GPR81 on the surfaces of plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) can sense lactate and activate calcineurin phosphatase signalling, leading to an increase in free cytosolic Ca2+ and the inhibition of pDC activation [64]. Additionally, MCT1-mediated lactate influx partially contributes to the inhibition of pDC activation [64].

2.3.4. Macrophages

Elevated lactate levels are essential for maintaining the protumoral activities of TAMs. Lactic acidosis can suppress the function of M1 macrophages by reducing the expression of IL-6, iNOS, and CCL2 [6]. Lactate can also inhibit the expression of ATP6V0d2 in TAMs to promote tumour progression [65]. Lactate-induced M2 macrophage polarisation involves the stabilisation of HIF-1α and activation of the ERK-STAT3 signalling pathway and G-protein-coupled receptor 132 (GPR132) [50,66]. GPR132 is expressed at high levels on the surface of macrophages and plays an important role in the induction of the macrophage pro-inflammatory phenotype. Extracellular lactate sensed by GPR132 induces cyclic AMP (cAMP) and hence inducible cAMP early repressor (ICER), thus upregulating the expression of arginine-metabolizing enzyme arginase 1 (ARG1), VEGF, and HIF-1α and mediating the conversion of macrophages to a pro-angiogenic phenotype [47]. Moreover, intracellular lactate can cause epigenetic modifications via NAD(+)-independent histone deacetylase inhibition and histone lysine residue lactylation in macrophages [67,68]. In the TME, levels of histone lactylation have been associated with the production of oncogenic factors by M2 macrophages [68].

2.3.5. Treg cells

Tumour-infiltrating Treg cells can take up lactate to maintain their suppressive functions by increasing the expression of FOXP3 and MCT1 [46,69]. High expression of FOXP3 reprograms Treg cell metabolism by inhibiting c-Myc and glycolysis, enhancing OXPHOS, and increasing NAD(+)-oxidation, thus making Treg cells more adaptable in the low-glucose and high-lactate TME [69]. Additionally, MCT1-mediated lactate influx and intracellular lactate metabolism are important for tumour-infiltrating Treg cells to sustain their suppressive activity, while high glucose levels dampen their function and stability [46].

In summary, lactate modulates immune responses in the TME via both H+-dependent and lactate-dependent pathways. Lactic acidosis, lactate-mediated intracellular signal transduction, and histone modification are all involved in this regulation (Fig. 2).

2.4. Targeting lactate anabolism and transport therapies

2.4.1. Targeting lactate anabolism

Upregulation of LDHA serves as a robust indicator of poor prognosis in patients with diverse malignancies, whereas the loss of human LDHA protein induces only relatively mild exertional myopathy [29,35]. Therefore, targeting LDHA is considered a safe therapeutic strategy. LDHA inhibitors that function via multiple mechanisms have been tested for efficacy in preclinical experiments (Table 1). Oxamatic, which functions as a pyruvate-competitive LDHA inhibitor, has been reported to inhibit gastric cancer cell proliferation [70,71]. NADH-competitive LDHA inhibitors, including gossypol (also termed AT-101), 3-dihydroxy-6-methyl-7-(phenylmethyl)-4-propynylphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (FX11), and quinoline 3-sulfonamides, have also been shown to suppress cancer cell proliferation and cancer progression [72–79]. N-hydroxystyridine (NHS), a pyruvate and NADH-competitive LDHA inhibitor, inhibits tumour proliferation [77,80]. Other LDHA inhibitors, including galloflavin and GNE–140, have also been reported to inhibit tumour proliferation [81–85]. However, the clinical utility of LDHA inhibitors may be limited due to their non-selective toxicity or complex interactions with other cellular components.

2.4.2. Targeting lactate transport

Lactate metabolic symbiosis of cancer cells depends on MCT4-mediated lactate efflux and MCT1-mediated lactate influx [45]. Elevated levels of MCT1 and MCT4 have also been reported in multiple human malignancies [86]. Considering the central roles of MCT1 and MCT4 in lactate shuttling, targeting MCT-mediated lactate metabolic symbiosis may be beneficial for cancers that are resistant to conventional chemotherapy (Table 1). Mechanistically, normoxic cancer cells compete with hypoxic cancer cells for glucose under MCT1 inhibition, thereby resulting in the apoptosis of hypoxic cancer cells that mainly survive on glucose. Under MCT4 inhibition, hypoxic cancer cells cannot secrete excessive lactate over time, leading to intracellular acidosis and decreased survival.

To date, multiple MCT inhibitors have been shown to be effective in preclinical trials. For example, classic MCT1/MCT4 inhibitors, including α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamate (CHC) and phloretin, have been shown to be effective in cervical cancer, pharynx squamous cell carcinoma, breast cancer, colorectal cancer, prostate cancer, osteosarcoma, and renal cell carcinoma cells [35,87–89]. Other MCT inhibitors, including p-chloromercuribenzenesulphonate, 4,4-diisothiocyanostilbene-2,2-disulphonic acid, lonicadamine, quercetin, and simvastatin, have demonstrated therapeutic potential for multiple cancers [90]. However, the earliest identified MCT inhibitors, such as CHC, phloretin, and quercetin, have been found to be non-selective against MCT1/MCT2/MCT4 isoforms, which limits their clinical application [90].

Newer MCT inhibitors have higher selectivity for individual MCT isoforms than first-generation MCT inhibitors. For example, J-[(N-benzyl-N-methylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylic acid (7ACC2) is a potent inhibitor of MCT1 and mitochondrial pyruvate transport that has been reported to be effective in multiple human cancer types [91,92]. Other novel small-molecule inhibitors, including AR-C155858, AZD3965, and BAY-8002, have demonstrated potent MCT1-inhibitory and immunomodulatory activities [93–97].
Table 1  
Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and monocarboxylate transporter (MCT) inhibitors.

| Inhibitors   | Targets          | Cancer types                                                                 | References |
|--------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Oxamate      | LDHA             | Gastric cancer cells; cervical cancer cells; lung cancer cells               | [70, 71, 114, 115] |
| Gossypol     | LDHA             | Melanoma cells; lung cancer cells; breast cancer cells; cervical cancer cells; leukemia cells; glioma cells; adrenal cancer cells | [72–76, 116] |
| FX11         | LDHA             | B-lymphoma cells; pancreatic cancer cells; papillary thyroid carcinoma cells  | [77, 78, 117, 118] |
| Quinoline 3–sulfonamides | LDHA/LDHβ | Hepatocellular carcinoma cells                                               | [79]        |
| NHI          | LDHA/LDHβ        | Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells; cervical cancer cells; mesothelioma cells | [77, 80, 119, 120] |
| Galloflavin  | LDHA             | Breast cancer cells; hepatocellular carcinoma cells                           | [81–83]     |
| GNE–140      | LDHA/LDHβ        | Pancreatic cancer cells                                                       | [84, 85]    |
| 7ACC2        | MCT1             | Cervix cancer cells; pharynx squamous cell carcinoma cells; breast cancer cells; pancreatic adenocarcinoma cells | [91, 92]    |
| AR-C135858   | MCT1/2           | Breast cancer cells; cervix cancer cells; leukemic cells                     | [93, 94]    |
| AZD3965      | MCT1/2           | Breast cancer cells; small cell lung cancer cells; colorectal cancer cells     | [93, 95, 96] |
| BAY-8002     | MCT1/2           | Colorectal cancer cells                                                       | [97]        |
| GNC          | MCT1/4           | Cervix cancer cells; pharynx squamous cell carcinoma cells; breast cancer cells; colorectal cancer cells; prostate cancer cells; osteosarcoma cells; renal cell carcinoma cells | [87–89, 121] |
| DIDS         | MCT1/4           | Colorectal cancer cells; lung cancer cells                                    | [87, 122]   |
| Lonidamine   | MCT1/4           | DB-1 melanoma cells                                                           | [123]       |
| Phloretin    | MCT1/4           | Breast cancer cells; lung cancer cells                                        | [124]       |
| pCMBs        | MCT1/4           | Colorectal cancer cells                                                       | [125, 126]  |
| Quercetin    | MCT1/4           | Colorectal cancer cells; glioma cells; lung cancer cells                      | [87, 122, 127] |
| Simvastatin  | MCT1/4           | Lung cancer cells; breast cancer cells; prostate cancer cells; ovarian cancer cells | [122, 128]  |

Abbreviations: FX11, 3-dihydroxy-6-methyl-7-(phenylmethyl)-4-propynaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid; NHI, N-hydroxyindole; 7ACC2, 7-(N-benzyl-N-methylamino)-2-oxo-2H-chromene-3-carboxylic acid; GNC, α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamate; DIDS, 4,4′-dithiocyanoatostilbene-2,2′-disulfonic acid disodium salt hydrate; pCMBs, p-chloromercuribenzenesulfonate.
Moreover, most of these MCT1 inhibitors are also active against MCT2 [90]. Cryo-electron microscopy has revealed that MCT1 has an ‘outward-open’ structure in the presence of BAY-8002 or AZD3965 and an ‘inward-open’ structure when complexed with 7ACC2 [98]. A phase I clinical trial of AZD3965 in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and Burkitt lymphoma (BL) has been completed [99]. Preliminary results indicate that AZD3965 can be administrated to patients with solid tumours at a maximum tolerated daily oral dose of 20 mg [100]. For DLBCL and BL, AZD3965 can be safely administered to patients at 10 mg twice daily. Urinalyses showed that AZD3965 therapy increased the excretion of ketone bodies and lactate. In a patient who showed a confirmed complete response lasting 15 months, reduced 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) uptake by the tumour was observed on [107] PET on the third day of the first cycle. Further studies are urgently needed to determine the safe and biologically active doses of AZD3965.

In addition to directly targeting cancer cells, MCT inhibitors may also target immune cells in the TME. For example, tumour-infiltrating Treg cells and TAMs require MCT1-mediated lactate uptake to maintain their immunosuppressive and tumour-promoting functions [46,47]. Deletion of MCT1 in Treg cells prevents their accumulation in the hypoxic and lactate-rich TME, creating an environment conducive to antitumour immunity [46]. Theoretically, MCT1 inhibitors may exert their therapeutic effects by directly inhibiting tumour growth and repressing the functions of tumour-infiltrating Treg cells and TAMs. Conversely, MCT inhibition does not appear to compromise human CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell function [55]. Therefore, elucidating the roles of MCTs in energy metabolism and immunomodulation in the TME is crucial for improving cancer therapeutic strategies.

2.4.3. Targeting the mTOR pathway

Given the important roles of the mTOR pathway in lactate metabolism, combined therapy with mTOR inhibitors and lactate metabolism/transport inhibitors should be considered to improve antitumour immunity. Water-soluble rapamycin analogues (temsirolimus and everolimus), ATP-competitive mTOR inhibitors (MLN0128, PP242, AZD2014 and AZD8055), and dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitors (NVP-BEZ235, LY3023414, SAR245409, XL765, PQR309, XHO0230381967, SN20229799306, GSK2126458, and PKI-587) have been used to treat multiple cancers [101]. Although the antitumour effect of mTOR inhibitor monotherapy alone was limited, the combination of mTOR inhibition and other treatments, such as anti-PD-1 antibody or metformin, had synergistic antitumour activity [102,103]. These synergistic effects of targeting mTOR pathway and inhibiting glycolysis have also been reported in several cancers, including lymphoma, leukaemia, and colorectal cancer [104,105]. However, further studies are needed to explore the therapeutic efficacy of combined therapy with lactate inhibitors and other metabolically targeted drugs, such as mTOR inhibitors.

3. Conclusions

The combination of glycolytic cancer cells and CAFs increases lactate levels in the TME. This elevated lactate can modulate immune responses to maintain immunosuppression in the TME via both H+-mediated and lactate-mediated pathways. Hence, therapeutic targeting to prevent this increase is regarded as a potent therapeutic strategy for metabolically reprogrammed cancers [6]. However, lactate-targeted monotherapy has limited therapeutic efficacy because of its off-target effects. Therefore, combining lactate targeting with other therapies, such as mTOR inhibitors, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitors, anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy, anti-CTLA-4 therapy, anti-HER-2 therapy, and anti-VEGF therapy, may be an alternative therapeutic strategy. For example, preclinical trials have shown that combined therapy with the MCT1 inhibitor AZD3965 and anti-PD-1 therapy reduces the infiltration of exhaustive PD-1+ Tim-3+ T cells in solid tumours and improves antitumour immunity [106]. Another preclinical study has indicated that combining angiogenesis inhibitors (blocking the VEGF signalling pathway) with AZD3965 inhibits tumour growth and reduces both blood perfusion and hypoxia in tumour tissues [107]. Although studies on the combination of mTOR inhibitors and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are limited, one preclinical trial has demonstrated that AZD3965 significantly inhibits cell proliferation and motility in TKI-sensitive and TKI-resistant non-small cell lung cancer cells, suggesting that combination therapies have great potential [108].

In addition to lactate targeting, targeting other metabolic pathways, including fatty acid, amino acid, one-carbon, and polyamine metabolism, are promising therapeutic regimens. For example, several fatty acid synthase (FASN) inhibitors, including cerulenin, C75, and epigallocatechin gallate, have been developed and have been reported to be effective in preclinical studies [109]. Additionally, polyamine metabolism therapy, such as the ornithine decarboxylase (ODC) inhibitor difluoromethylornithine, has been shown to have therapeutic efficacy in preclinical and phase I clinical trials [110–112]. Serine metabolism therapy, such as phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH) inhibitors, has also been reported to be effective in preclinical trials [113]. However, few studies have focused on how targeting metabolic pathways alters the metabolism of immune cells in the TME. Therefore, further studies are urgently needed to identify new targeted drugs that can modulate immune responses in the TME more efficiently and selectively.

3.1. Outstanding questions

Accumulating data have revealed that lactate metabolism is an important aspect of aberrant cancer metabolism, with regions of heterogeneous lactate metabolism existing in individual tumours. Cancer cells in less-perfused regions prefer to utilise glucose and secrete excessive lactate, while those in highly perfused regions use lactate as their primary carbon source. In addition to serving as an energy source for tumours, lactate plays a critical role in immune regulation within the TME. Elevated lactate levels not only suppress the antitumour effects of immune cells such as CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, NK cells, and NKT cells, but also favour immunosuppressive cells such as Treg cells. Numerous therapeutic strategies targeting lactate anabolism and transport have been developed to exploit the key role of lactate in cancer metabolism and immune modulation. Although small-molecule MCT inhibitors such as AZD3965 have demonstrated promising efficacy, these therapeutic strategies still face many challenges, and further studies are needed to improve them (Fig. 3).

Briefly, the challenges in lactate-targeting therapies include: (1) how to reduce or eliminate the off-target effects of lactate-targeting metabolism and transport inhibitors; (2) how lactate-targeting therapies regulate immunometabolism in the TME; (3) few clinical trials are designed to assess the therapeutic efficacy of combined therapy with lactate inhibitors and other targeted drugs such as mTOR inhibitors, FASN inhibitors, ODC inhibitors, PHGDH inhibitors, EGFR inhibitors, ALK inhibitors, anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy, anti-CTLA-4 therapy, anti-HER-2 therapy, and anti-VEGF therapy.

3.2. Search strategy and selection criteria

References for this review were identified by searching PubMed and Google Scholar databases for relevant articles preferentially published between 2012 and 2021 using the search terms ‘lactate’ or ‘lactic acid’ or ‘glycolytic enzymes’ or ‘lactate transporters’ and ‘cancer’ or ‘tumour immunity’ or ‘immune response’. Articles which explain well-known concepts were considered regardless of their date of publication.
Fig. 3. Outstanding questions in lactate metabolism. This picture depicts the multi-faceted roles of lactate in the tumour microenvironment and provides a description of lactate-targeting therapies. This figure has not been published previously. Originality of it is confirmed by all authors.
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