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Abstract: We investigated the weak-form market efficiency of nine daily sectoral indices of Malaysian stock market between 1996 and 2006. The structural break unit root tests evidenced most of the price indices characterized by mean-reverting process that violated the random walk process. These empirical results were in sharp contrast with the traditional unit-root test which ignored the economic crisis and currency control. Our findings concluded that the Malaysian sectoral stock markets were weak-form inefficient (except the property index) under the structural change.
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INTRODUCTION

The early study by French economist Louis Bachelier[1] suggested that the presence of random walk theory in the French commodity markets where the random nature of the stock prices is unforecastable. Paul Samuelson[2] has conducted similar study of the RWH to financial markets and concluded that price changes must be unpredictable if they are properly anticipated by all the market participants. The randomness of price changes are the results of instantaneous responses from an enormous investors who seeking for greater wealth. Investors incorporated their available (even a tiny piece of information) information into the market price and caused rapid prices adjustment. As a result, these actions have eliminated the possible of profit opportunities in the financial markets. Fama[3] summarized this concept into three categories according to the information efficiency degree namely the weak-from efficiency (history of prices/returns), semi-strong efficiency (publicly available information) and strong efficiency (private information) respectively. The weak-form efficient market hypothesis (EMH), which is our focus, stated that the current asset price is determined only by its historical prices (information set) of that particular asset. If stock price failed to reject the random walk hypothesis (RWH), this implies that the future returns are unpredictable by using information on past returns. On the other hand, if the stock prices is characterized by a mean reverting (trend stationary) process, then there is a tendency for the price level to return to its trend path. This suggested that the presence of predictable component based on the historical information.

Recent literatures[4-6] of market efficiency analysis have paid strong attentions to the presence of structural break in the financial time series. In general, these literatures reported that by ignoring the presence of SBs may lead to model misspecification problem, spurious statistical inference and consequently, wrong conclusions may be used to explain the actual financial market behaviour. In this specific study, the implications of random walk hypothesis of nine sectoral Malaysian stock prices. Normally, the associated important events such as foreign exchange scheme (from fixed to float or vice-versa), fiscal policy (increase in government expenditure), monetary policy (injection of extra money supply), terrorism events, nature disasters, etc., may contribute to the shift in price, return and volatility series.

In this paper, we have selected the Kuala Lumpur stock exchange (KLSE) as our case study. As one of the emerging market, the KLSE has received great attentions[7-10] from researchers and investors as the testing ground for EMH, and potential investment alternatives. Firstly, we run the unit root test without the structural break to understand the time series properties of each of the selected stock market index. Later, we adopted the Zivot and Andrews[11] test with the possible structural change in intercept and trend respectively. The inclusion of structural change in the unit root test which allowed for both the mean shift and trend shift are important to improve the econometrics tests reliability and consequently, improved the accurate statistical inference upon the market efficiency hypothesis.
Data Source: In this study, we have selected the index prices (DATASTREAM) from 1st August 1996 to 1st 30th June 2006 (2578 observations) which included the Asian crisis. During the Asian Financial crisis, the Malaysian stock market has experienced a massive slide due to the major factor of drastic depreciation of Malaysian Ringgit (RM) where the RM (RM2.50 in first half of 1997) was depreciated to the weakest rate recorded against USD as RM4.88 on 9th January, 1988. Malaysian government had implemented the one USD pegged to RM3.80 in the 1st September 1998 to stabilize the RM from currency speculation. After the implementation, the Malaysian economics show significant recovery. Firstly, we intended to identify the specific date for the possible structural change during the Asian crisis. Secondly, by including the presence of structural break, we test the underlying process for all the selected indices. The daily price series, \( P_t \) is defined as the closed price on a trading day and the natural log of price is written in the form of:

\[
p_t = \ln(P_{t, \text{close}})
\]

**METHODOLOGY**

Andrews (1993) unknown break-point test:

Andrews\[12\] extended Quandt\[13\] test by deriving the asymptotic distribution of the LR-like test for one shift based on first order autoregressive model:

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_t &= \rho_1 p_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad t = 1, \ldots, m. \quad (1) \\
    p_t &= \rho_m p_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t+m}, \quad t = m+1, \ldots, T. \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \). We allowed the OLS estimations under the possibility of heteroscedasticity. The estimated parameters remain unchanged but not the estimated standard errors. According to White\[14\], the covariance matrix in a general case is given by:

\[
\text{var}(\hat{\rho}) = (p'p)^{-1}\left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\epsilon}_t^2 p_t p_t' \right) (p'p)^{-1}
\]

where the square roots of the diagonal components are White standard errors. Andrews performed a single Chow test at every observations between \( t = 1, \ldots, T \) and the maximum Chow’s F-statistics is selected as the most possible location of structural change as follow:

\[
\text{Sup LR-F} = \max_{1 <= k <= T} \frac{(\hat{\epsilon}_i^2 - (\hat{\epsilon}_i^2 + \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 + \hat{\epsilon}_i^2)) / k}{(\hat{\epsilon}_i^2 + \hat{\epsilon}_i^2 + \hat{\epsilon}_i^2) / (T - 2k)}
\]

To avoid the distribution degeneration of these statistics, it is customary trimmed out the 7.5% for the first and last of the overall observations. Andrews suggested 15% of trimming to obtain a reliable statistical inference. However, the trimming may vary depended to the sample size accordingly. Thus, be more precise, the null hypothesis indicated no breakpoints within the trimmed observations. The details of the numerical approximations of these asymptotic distributions p-values can be found in Hansen\[15\] where p-values are more preferable than the predefined significance tests.

The Zivot-Andrews test: The well-known unit root test such as Dickey Fuller\[16\] and Phillips and Perron\[17\] have low power and even spurious rejection of unit root caused by the presence of structural changes. Zivot-Andrews test is proven\[18-19\] outperformed in its power as compare to traditional test. The one-time shift in the intercept and trend under the structural break can be examined using the following equation:

\[
\Delta p_t = \theta_0 + \theta_1 DM_t + \theta_2 t + \theta_3 DT_t + \delta \tau_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \pi_i \Delta p_{t-n} + \epsilon_t
\]

where the \( DM_t = \begin{cases} 1 & t > BP \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \)

and

\[
DT_t = \begin{cases} 1 & t > BP \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

For the sample size, \( T \), an OLS regression is implemented with a potential break-point at SB with the ranges from 2 to T-2. Similar to ADF and PP unit root tests, the maximum lag length, \( L_{max} \), is added to avoid the spurious serial correlation in the error terms with 12 extra lag regressors as indicated by Campbell and Perron\[20\]. The lag coefficient is omitted stepwise with the last lag of the first differences is significant at 10% level. This test concludes the presence of random walk if the coefficient, \( \delta \) is not significantly different from zero. Whereas, if the coefficient is less than zero, then it implied that the time series is mean-reverted. The test statistics of \( \delta \) are based on null hypothesis with not significantly different from zero and the decision can base on the student-t test.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Break point identification: Figure 1 illustrated the plots of natural log price and return series for KLCI. Great potential of structural change is expected to observe in the price index with the relatively more volatile returns in the region between the green lines. Similarly, all the other indices indicated almost similar structural change within the year of 1997 to 1998. Other than that, the movements of the prices indices seem to be stable out the this region. Table 1 summarized the results of Quandt-Andrews test with the exact location of the break date due to the Asian financial crisis or currency control. Except the trading & service index, significant break points are found for all the other indices. The earliest break is experienced by the Property index (12/24/1997) during the crisis, follows by Finance (8/17/1998) and simultaneously by other indices at 9/1/1998, after the Malaysian government imposed the currency policy. Most of the indices rebounded significantly after the currency controls, for example, the KLCI radically increase by 12%, construction 13%, finance, plantation, industrial product, 9% and the lowest is 3% by property.

Unit root test with and without structural break: In Table 2, the unit root test without breaks are based on the augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) and Phillip – Perron (PP) approaches. For both the tests with or without the inclusion of trend, only one index namely the Trading & Service rejected the random walk process at 5% significance level. Overall, 8 out of 9 sectoral indices tended to suggest the evidence of random walk process instead of mean reverting process. The supportive of random walk hypothesis may due to the mis-specification of the possible observed structural change during the crisis in year 1997 and 1998.

After the break-point identification, we tested the random walk hypothesis under the structural change. Table 3 shown that the dummy variables for shift ($\phi_1$) and trend ($\phi_3$) are all significantly differed from zero at 5% level. It is necessary to point out that the trend of sudden changes in most of the indices are rather small with values x10^-05. However the exception is observed in Trading & Service index where neither structural change is observed in intercept and trend. This result is consistent with the previous break-point identification analysis. According to the asymptotic critical values, we can reject the null hypothesis of random walk at 1% significance level for Construction, Mining, Finance and Trading & Services indices. On the other hand, KLCI, Industrial Product, Industrial, Consumer Product and Plantation can be rejected at 5% significance level respectively. Exception is viewed for Property index where the crisis shock is permanent and there is no tendency for the Property price level to return to a trend path. As the conclusion, the broad examination indicated most of the sectoral indices may be well-followed mean reverting processes.

CONCLUSION

This paper studied whether the Malaysian stock markets follow a random walk or mean reverting processes under the structural break. Our results demonstrate that Asian Crisis and currency control show instantaneous impacts to the Malaysian stock market in general. For all sectoral indices we found a significant structural change during the Asian crisis (Property and Finance), after the currency control (Industrial Product, Consumer Product, Construction, Mining and Plantation) and exceptional for Trading & Service index.
Table 2: Unit root tests without structural change

|     | ADF   | PP    |
|-----|-------|-------|
|     | no trend | p-value | trend | p-value | no trend | p-value | trend | p-value | lag |
| 1   | -2.072   | 0.256  | -2.215 | 0.480  | -2.058   | 0.262   | -2.190 | 0.495   | 5   |
| 2   | -2.546   | 0.105  | -2.180 | 0.500  | -2.502   | 0.115   | -2.142 | 0.521   | 6   |
| 3   | -1.540   | 0.513  | -2.066 | 0.564  | -1.540   | 0.513   | -2.066 | 0.546   | 0   |
| 4   | -1.449   | 0.559  | -2.048 | 0.574  | -2.048   | 0.574   | -2.048 | 0.574   | 0   |
| 5   | -2.286   | 0.177  | -2.132 | 0.527  | -2.232   | 0.195   | -2.100 | 0.591   | 1   |
| 6   | -2.395   | 0.143  | -2.464 | 0.346  | -2.395   | 0.143   | -2.464 | 0.346   | 0   |
| 7   | -1.540   | 0.513  | -2.066 | 0.564  | -1.540   | 0.513   | -2.066 | 0.564   | 0   |
| 8   | -1.328   | 0.185  | -2.011 | 0.594  | -2.248   | 0.189   | -2.005 | 0.598   | 2   |
| 9   | -1.328   | 0.185  | -2.011 | 0.594  | -2.248   | 0.189   | -2.005 | 0.598   | 2   |
| 10  | -1.328   | 0.185  | -2.011 | 0.594  | -2.248   | 0.189   | -2.005 | 0.598   | 2   |

Notes:
1. Both the null hypothesis follows: $H_0$: The series has a unit root.
2. The maximum lag length is set to 12 and truncated with lag 12 for ADF test and PP test respectively as proposed by Campbell and Perron.
3. $\tau_{model}$ for intercept only are -3.43, -2.86 and -2.56 for 1%, 5% and 10% based on MacKinnon (1996);
4. $\tau_{model}$ for intercept and trend are -3.96, -3.41 and -3.13 for 1%, 5% and 10% based on MacKinnon (1996);
5. * denotes 5% significance level.

Table 3: Random walk with structural change

|     | $\theta_1$ | p-value | $\theta_2$ | p-value | $\delta$ | p-value | $\phi_1$ | p-value | lag |
|-----|------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-----|
| KLCI| -0.0095    | 0.013   | -3.94 x 10^-5 | 0.001   | -0.0098  | 0.012   | 6        |
| INP | -0.0102    | 0.020   | 3.82 x 10^-5 | 0.001   | -0.0011  | 0.012   | 6        |
| IND | -0.0113    | 0.012   | 4.08 x 10^-5 | 0.001   | -0.0099  | 0.012   | 6        |
| COP | -0.0102    | 0.010   | 3.39 x 10^-5 | 0.0001  | -0.0095  | 0.014   | 1        |
| CON | -0.0142    | 0.005   | 5.93 x 10^-5 | 0.0001  | -0.0106  | 0.007   | 6        |
| MIN | -0.0199    | 0.002   | 6.42 x 10^-5 | 0.0001  | -0.0123  | 0.002   | 5        |
| PRO | -0.0080    | 0.021   | 4.58 x 10^-5 | 0.0001  | -0.0029  | 0.231   | 6        |
| PLX | -0.0064    | 0.011   | 2.07 x 10^-5 | 0.0001  | -0.0049  | 0.027   | 5        |
| FIN | -0.0094    | 0.003   | 4.31 x 10^-5 | 0.0001  | -0.0075  | 0.002   | 6        |
| TRA | -0.0002    | 0.852   | -1.48 x 10^-5 | 0.657   | -0.0070  | 0.000   | 12       |

Notes:
1. Model: $\Delta \rho_t = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \Delta M_t + \theta_2 \Delta L_t + \theta_3 \Delta D_t + \theta_4 \Delta T_t + \delta \rho_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \rho_j \Delta \rho_{t-j} + \epsilon_t$
2. The maximum lag length is set to 12 as proposed by Campbell and Perron (1991);
3. b and c denote 5% and 1% level of significance.

The empirical evidence the presence of mean reverting processes in almost all the sectoral market which implied the tendency for price level return to its initial trend path which provided the useful information in future forecasting. In addition, these finding also implied that most of the sectoral stock markets are weak-form inefficient. Only the Property market indicated the shock element is permanent with no predictable component in the historical observations.
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