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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of the study was to analyse differences in pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture between obstructive sleep apnea patients with and without morphological deviations in the upper cervical spine and to analyse associations between pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture in the total sample.

Material and Methods: The sample comprised 53 obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) patients of which 32.1% had upper spine morphological deviations. Accordingly two groups were defined: 17 OSA patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine and 36 without upper spine deviations. Pharyngeal airway dimensions in terms of distances, cross-sectional areas and volume and upper spine morphological deviations were evaluated on cone-beam computed tomography. Head posture was evaluated on two-dimensional generated lateral cephalograms. Differences were analysed and adjusted for age and gender by multiple linear regression analysis.

Results: OSA patients with upper spine morphological deviations had a significantly more backward and curved neck posture (OPT/HOR, P < 0.01; OPT/CVT, P < 0.05) compared to OSA patients without spine deviations. No significant differences were found in airway dimensions between patients with and without upper spine deviations. In the total group significant associations were found between head posture and pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional areas at the nasal floor, epiglottis and hyoid bone level (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001). No significant association was found between head posture and airway volume.

Conclusions: The results may contribute to differentiate obstructive sleep apnea patients and thereby may prove valuable in diagnosis and treatment planning of obstructive sleep apnea patients.

Keywords: nasopharynx; obstructive sleep apnea; oropharynx; standing position; vertebral column.

Accepted for publication: 26 September 2017
To cite this article:
Sonnesen L, Petersson A, Berg S, Svanholt P.
Pharyngeal Airway Dimensions and Head Posture in Obstructive Sleep Apnea Patients with and without Morphological Deviations in the Upper Cervical Spine
J Oral Maxillofac Res 2017;8(3):e4
URL: http://www.ejomr.org/JOMR/archives/2017/3/e4/v8n3e4.pdf
doi: 10.5037/jomr.2017.8304
INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is by far the most common sleep-related breathing disorder, affecting 2 - 4% of the adult population, particularly males aged 60 years and older where the prevalence is 30 - 60% [1,2]. OSA is defined as cessation of airflow with persistent respiratory effort, due to repeated anatomical obstruction or partial collapse of the oropharyngeal region, involving the soft palate, dorsum of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall [1,3]. OSA is multifactorial with age, gender and body mass index (BMI) as predisposing factors [1,3]. Authors agree that there are craniofacial morphological and postural characteristics in OSA patients such as reduced posterior airway space, abnormally long soft palate, low position of the hyoid bone and an extended head posture [4,5].

The upper airway is normally maintained by the bony and cartilaginous structures surrounding the naso- and oropharynx. Located posterior to the pharynx, the cervical spine is composed of seven vertebrae that provide full range of motion to the neck through articulations with the occiput and between the vertebrae. Some studies have been performed on the relationship between the cervical spine and OSA. Pathological conditions as osteoarthritis of the cervical spine [6-8], cervical osteophytes [9-11] and osteochondromas [12,13] have been reported in relation to OSA patients. Recently, the morphology of the upper cervical spine in patients with OSA has been described on two-dimensional lateral cephalograms [14,15] and three-dimensional cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) [16]. The prevalence of morphological deviations in the upper spine range between 32 and 46% and the deviations occurred significantly more often in OSA patients than in subjects with neutral occlusion and normal craniofacial morphology [14-16]. In addition, associations between OSA patients with upper spine morphological deviations and mandibular advancement device (MAD) treatment outcome have been reported [17].

Studies have also shown an association between upper cervical spine morphology and head posture evaluated on lateral cephalograms in healthy subjects or subjects with malocclusion [18-21]. Furthermore, associations between head posture and pharyngeal airway dimensions have been documented on lateral cephalograms in adults [5,22-24]. According to a recent systematic review, there may be a lack of evidence on the effect of head posture on the upper airway dimensions in three-dimensional imaging due to lack of standardized method of the head and tongue position during image acquisition [25]. Furthermore, the relationship between morphological deviations in the upper spine and pharyngeal airway dimensions in OSA patients has not previously been reported.

Due to the cervical spine’s and head posture’s close associations with the pharyngeal airway it seems clinically relevant to focus on the relationship between the upper cervical spine and sleep apnea on three-dimensional imaging of OSA patients in a standardized head position.

The aims of the present study were to analyse: 1) differences in pharyngeal airway dimensions between OSA patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations, 2) differences in head posture between OSA patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations and 3) associations between pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture in the total sample of CBCTs taken in a standardized head position.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

The first 53 patients with apnoea-hypopnoea index (AHI) > 5 were enrolled as a part of a longitudinal sleep apnea study at the Orthodontics Section, Department of Odontology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, in the period from January 2012 to May 2013 form part of the present study. The patients were diagnosed with OSA by sleep studies, using overnight polygraphy (Embletta Portable Diagnostic System, Iceland). Definition of AHI: apnea as cessation of airflow more than 10 seconds, hypopnea as reduction in airflow of at least 30% with an associated desaturation of 4%. The sample was divided according to a visual assessment of morphological deviations in the upper cervical spine on three-dimensional images using the NewTom NNT software (QR srl, Verona, Italy) and analysed according to Sandham [26] as fusion of the vertebra units and posterior arch deficiencies. The findings were categorized as morphological deviations of the upper cervical spine, yes/no and have previous been described in details [16]. A total of 32.1% of the OSA patients had morphological deviations in the upper spine. Accordingly two groups were defined: 17 OSA patients, 7 women and 10 men aged between 27 and 76 years (mean 58.1 [SD 13.1] years) with morphological deviations in the upper spine and 36 OSA patients, 10 women and 26 men aged between 26 and 72 years (mean 49 [SD 10.1] years) without morphological deviations in the upper spine.
In OSA patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine AHI ranged between 9 and 35 (mean 20.41 [SD 8.49]) and in OSA patients without morphological deviations in the upper spine AHI ranges between 7 and 57 (mean 20.17 [SD 11.76]). No statistical gender or AHI differences were found between the groups, but the patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine were older than the patients without spine deviations (P < 0.05). All participants had read and signed the informed consent form. The study had been approved by the Ethical Committee for Copenhagen, Denmark (Ref. No. H-3-2011-086) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (J. No. 2012-54-0041).

**Methods**

The CBCTs were taken in a NewTom VGI unit (QR srl, Verona, Italy) of standing OSA patients with the teeth in occlusion and in the standardized head posture in the self-balance position as described by Siersbaek-Nielsen and Solow [27]. The settings were as followed: standard scan mode with an imaging volume of 15 x 15 cm, scan time 18 seconds and axial thickness of 0.3 mm. The pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional areas were analysed using the ITK-Snap software [28] and the pharyngeal airway volumes were analysed by Romexis software (Planmeca Company, Helsinki, Finland). Two-dimensional images were generated with the Romexis software in the mid sagittal plane and the head posture was analysed using View Box 3® (Demetrios Halaznetis, Athens, Greece).

**Pharyngeal airway dimensions**

The sagittal and transversal linear distances were measured at five horizontal levels: the nasal floor (NF), velum (V), radix linguae (RL), epiglottis (EP) and hyoid bone (HY) (Figure 1, Table 1) according to the levels defined in the two-dimensional sagittal plane by Solow et al. [5] and in three-dimensional according to Sears et al. [29]. Furthermore, as the shape of the pharynx at the V level was kidney-shaped, the sagittal distances were also measured at the largest distance in the right and left sides (Figure 2, Table 1).
Table 1. Postural variables and pharyngeal dimensions in obstructive sleep apnea subjects with and without upper spine deviations

|                                | With spine deviations | Without spine deviations | P   |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----|
|                                | N | Mean | SD  | N | Mean | SD  |     |
| **Head posture (°)**           |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Craniovertical angles          |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| FH/VER                         | 15| 95.31| 5.36| 31| 92.97| 4.91| NS   |
| NL/VER                         | 15| 93.02| 6.93| 30| 89.64| 8.59| NS   |
| **Craniovertical angles**      |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| NL/CVT                         | 15| 108.17| 9.43| 30| 106.52| 9.52| NS   |
| NL/OPT                         | 15| 92.15| 9.8 | 30| 95.67| 9.19| NS   |
| FH/CVT                         | 16| 103.69| 7.22| 31| 104.96| 8.06| NS   |
| FH/OPT                         | 15| 94.42| 8.91| 31| 99.02| 8.06| NS   |
| **Cervicohorizontal angles**   |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| CVT/HOR                        | 16| 74.71| 6.22| 36| 73.07| 7.92| NS   |
| OPT/HOR                        | 16| 90.45| 7.42| 36| 83.85| 7.22| b,c  |
| **Cervical curvature**         |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| OPT/CVT                        | 17| 15.89| 8.12| 36| 10.79| 4.3 | a    |
| **Pharyngeal dimensions (mm/mm²/mm³)** | |     |     |   |      |     |     |
| Nasal floor level              |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Transversal distance           | 17| 28.31| 4.39| 36| 27.84| 5.47| NS   |
| Sagittal distance              | 17| 21.56| 4.06| 36| 20.33| 3.4 | NS   |
| Area                           | 17| 533.33| 154.53| 36| 469.2| 121.68| NS   |
| Velum level                    |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Transversal distance           | 17| 23.15| 6.86| 36| 24.28| 6.82| NS   |
| Mean sagittal distance         | 17| 6.21 | 2.15| 36| 6.72 | 2.32| NS   |
| Area                           | 17| 136.93| 77.86| 36| 155.81| 95 | NS   |
| Radix lingual level            |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Transversal distance           | 17| 31.59| 14.16| 36| 31.28| 6.53| NS   |
| Sagittal distance              | 17| 10.75| 3.96| 36| 10.92| 4   | NS   |
| Area                           | 17| 264.49| 152.63| 36| 257.62| 103.47| NS   |
| Epiglottis level               |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Transversal distance           | 17| 30.62| 6    | 36| 33.03| 5.44| NS   |
| Sagittal distance              | 17| 13.13| 4.7 | 36| 13.07| 3.83| NS   |
| Area                           | 17| 314.46| 158.13| 36| 311.15| 117.65| NS   |
| Hyoid bone level               |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Transversal distance           | 17| 38.01| 3.75| 36| 38.95| 4.19| NS   |
| Sagittal distance              | 17| 16.73| 3.84| 36| 17   | 3.56| NS   |
| Area                           | 17| 418.5| 119.32| 36| 440.38| 114.2| NS   |
| Pharyngeal high and volume     |   |      |     |   |      |     |     |
| Pharynx total high             | 17| 54.49| 6.7 | 36| 56.23| 7.83| NS   |
| Total pharyngeal volume        | 17| 12928| 6274| 36| 12666| 6381| NS   |
| Velopharyngeal volume          | 17| 6799 | 3589| 36| 6729 | 5085| NS   |
| Oropharyngeal volume           | 17| 6129 | 3952| 36| 5937 | 3436| NS   |

*a* *P < 0.05; b* *P < 0.01; c* gender effect (*P < 0.05*). Multiple linear regression analysis.

N = number of patients; SD = standard deviation; NS = not significant; FH/VER = the angle between Frankfort horizontal and true vertical line; NL/VER = the angle between nasal line and true vertical line; NL/CVT = the angle between nasal line and cervical vertebra tangent line; NL/OPT = the angle between nasal line and odontoid process tangent line; FH/CVT = the angle between Frankfort horizontal and cervical vertebra tangent line; FH/OPT = the angle between Frankfort horizontal and odontoid process tangent line; CVT/HOR = the angle between cervical vertebra tangent and true horizontal line; OPT/CVT = the angle between odontoid process tangent and cervical vertebra tangent line.
The cross-sectional areas were assessed by marking the edge of the pharynx aperture and the area was then calculated by the software within the defined points and margins (Figure 2, Table 1). The pharyngeal volume was assessed as described by Anandarajah et al. [30]. The volumes were defined according to Sears et al. [29] as the total pharyngeal volume between NF and EP, the oropharyngeal volume between V and EP and the nasopharyngeal volume as the difference between the total volume and the oropharyngeal volume (Figure 3, Table 1). The airway volumes were then automatically calculated by the software within the defined margins (Table 1).

**Head posture**

Nine variables representing the craniovertical, craniocervical and cervicohorizontal postural relationships and the curvature of the upper cervical spine was defined according to Solow and Tallgren [31]. As the cranial base and thereby the nasion-sella line (NSL) was not visible on some of the CBCTs the Frankfort horizontal line was used instead in all OSA patients. The reference points and lines are illustrated in Figure 4 and the mean values are shown in Table 1.

**Reliability**

The reliability of the variables describing the pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture were assessed by re-measuring 25 lateral CBCTs selected at random from the previously evaluated CBCTs. The CBCTs were marked and measured again, and paired t-test found no significant differences between the two sets of recordings. The method errors calculated by Dahlberg’s formula [32] for the head posture ranged from 0.04 to 2.5 degrees and the Houston reliability coefficient [33] from 0.7 to 1. The method errors for the distances of the pharyngeal airway ranged from 0.08 to 3.35 mm and the Houston reliability coefficient from 0.73 to 1. The method errors for the cross-sectional areas of the pharyngeal airway ranged from 4.6 to 52.4 mm² and the Houston reliability coefficient from 0.79 to 1. The method errors for the volumes of the pharyngeal airway ranged from 549.1 to 1270.8 mm³ and the Houston reliability coefficient from 0.92 to 0.99.

**Statistical analysis**

The normality of distributions regarding the pharyngeal airway dimensions and the head posture was assessed by parameters of skewness and kurtosis and by Shapiro-Wilk W-test. Cervical lordosis (OPT/CVT), velum area and the pharyngeal airway volume were not normally distributed. Once the variables were transformed logarithmically they became normally distributed. Differences in means of pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture between the two groups were assessed by unpaired t-test and adjusted for age and gender by multiple linear regression analyses. Associations between head posture and airway dimensions adjusted for age and gender were assessed by multiple linear regression analysis. Parametric data were expressed as mean and standard deviation (M [SD]). The results from the tests were considered significant at P-values below 0.05. The statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.00 (Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

---

**Figure 3.** Illustration of pharyngeal volumes in the mid sagittal view. A = total pharyngeal volume between nasal floor plane and epiglottis plane; B = oropharyngeal volume between velum plane and epiglottis plane.
Table 2. Significant associations between pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture adjusted for age and gender in the total group (n = 53)

| Level                | Transversal distance | Sagittal distance | Area | Transversal distance | Sagittal distance | Transversal distance | Sagittal distance | Area |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------|
| **Craniovertical angles (°)** |                      |                   |      |                       |                   |                      |                   |      |
| FH/VER               | 0.36\(^a\)           | 0.39\(^a\)        | 0.33\(^a\) | -                     | -                 | -                    | -                 | -    |
| NL/VER               | -                    | -                 | -       | -                     | -                 | -                    | -                 | -    |
| **Craniovertical angles (°)** |                      |                   |      |                       |                   |                      |                   |      |
| NL/CVT               | -                    | -                 | -       | -                     | -                 | -                    | 0.31\(^a\)      | 0.42\(^b\) | 0.45\(^b\) |
| NL/OPT               | -                    | -                 | -       | -                     | -                 | -                    | 0.42\(^b\)      | 0.31\(^b\) |
| FH/CVT               | -                    | -                 | -       | 0.32\(^a\)           | 0.32\(^a\)       | 0.4\(^b\)            | 0.49\(^b\)      | 0.5\(^c\) |
| FH/OPT               | -                    | -                 | -       | 0.3\(^a\)            | 0.3\(^a\)        | 0.32\(^a\)           | 0.5\(^c\)       | 0.46\(^c\) |
| **Cervicohorizontal angles (°)** |                      |                   |      |                       |                   |                      |                   |      |
| CVT/HOR              | -                    | -                 | -       | -                     | -                 | -                    | -0.43\(^b\)     | -0.46\(^b\) | -0.57\(^c\) |
| OPT/HOR              | -                    | -                 | -       | -                     | -                 | -                    | -0.53\(^b,d\)   | -0.51\(^b,d\) |

\(^a\)P < 0.05; \(^b\)P < 0.01; \(^c\)P < 0.001. \(^d\)Age effect (P < 0.05). Multiple linear regression analysis.

FH/VER = the angle between Frankfort horizontal and true vertical line; NL/VER = the angle between nasal line and true vertical line; NL/CVT = the angle between nasal line and cervical vertebra tangent line; NL/OPT = the angle between nasal line and odontoid process tangent line; FH/CVT = the angle between Frankfort horizontal and cervical vertebra tangent line; FH/OPT = the angle between Frankfort horizontal and odontoid process tangent line; CVT/HOR = the angle between cervical vertebra tangent and true horizontal line; OPT/HOR = the angle between odontoid process tangent and true horizontal line; OPT/CVT = the angle between odontoid process tangent and cervical vertebra tangent line.
RESULTS

Differences between the groups

The cervicohorizontal angle (OPT/HOR) and OPT/CVT was significantly larger in OSA patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine compared to OSA patients without upper spine deviations (P < 0.01, P < 0.05, respectively; Table 1), meaning a more backward and curved neck posture in OSA patients with upper spine morphological deviations compared to OSA patients without spine deviations. No significant differences were found in airway dimensions between patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations (Table 1).

Associations

When associations between head posture and pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional areas were adjusted for age and gender a clear pattern was found between head posture and the pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional area at the HY level (Table 2). Thus, the craniocervical posture (FH/OPT, FH/CVT, NL/OPT, NL/CVT) was significantly positively associated with airway distances and cross-sectional area at the HY level (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001; Table 2) and the cervicohorizontal posture (OPT/HOR, CVT/HOR) was significantly negatively associated with airway distances and cross-sectional area at the HY level (P < 0.01, P < 0.001; Table 2) meaning larger airway distances and cross-sectional area at the HY level with an extended and forward head posture. Furthermore, the craniocervical posture (FH/OPT, FH/CVT) was significantly positively associated with the pharyngeal airway distances at the EP (P < 0.05; Table 2), and the cranovertical posture (FH/VER) was significantly positively associated with the pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional area at the NF level (P < 0.05; Table 2). No significant associations were found between head posture and pharyngeal airway volume (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to analyse differences in pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture between OSA patients with and without morphological deviations in the upper cervical spine and to study associations between pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture on three-dimensional CBCT taken in a standardized head position. To our knowledge differences in pharyngeal airway dimensions and head posture between OSA patients with and without morphological deviations in the upper spine have not previously been reported in the literature. Furthermore it is still uncertain how the head posture affects the upper airway dimensions analysed on three-dimensional CBCT taken in a standardized head position [25].

Differences between groups

In the present study, OSA patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine had a significantly more backward and curved neck posture compared to OSA patients without spine deviations. The findings were in agreement with previous studies where an association was found between morphological deviations in the upper spine and posture of the head and neck in healthy adults [18] and in children with class II malocclusion [19].

A direct relationship between morphological deviations in the upper spine and pharyngeal airway dimensions could not be verified in the present study. Previous studies have shown that morphological deviations in the upper spine occurred significantly more often in OSA patients compared to healthy controls [14,15] and that the craniofacial profile of OSA patients with block fusions in the upper cervical spine and fusion of two vertebrae differed significantly from the craniofacial profile of other OSA patients [15]. Therefore the morphological deviations in the upper spine contribute to phenotypic differentiations between types of OSA and thereby to the diagnosis and subdivision of patients with OSA [14,15]. Furthermore, OSA patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine show a worse response to MAD treatment compared to OSA patients without morphological deviations in the upper spine [17]. The findings indicate that the aetiology in OSA patients with morphological deviations in the upper spine is characterised by other factors or combinations of different factors than in OSA patients without upper spine morphological deviations [14,15,17].

Specific cervical spine pathologies, such as osteophytes, osteoarthritis and osteochondromas are conditions associated with OSA that may be due to a direct relationship to the pharyngeal dimensions resulting in a decrease in the pharyngeal airway dimensions [6-13]. Often these pathological conditions are parts of general syndromes that cause OSA [34] and thereby make it difficult to distinguish if it is the general syndrome or the cervical spine pathology that results in a decreased airway dimension. The association between OSA
and morphological deviations in the upper cervical spine found in previous studies [14-16] could not be explained by a direct relationship to the pharyngeal airway dimensions. No significant differences in the pharyngeal airway dimensions between patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations were found in the present study. Results from previous study [17] indicate that OSA patients with upper spine morphological deviations may respond poorly to MAD treatment. The results from the present study showed no statistically significant differences in upper airway dimensions between OSA patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations. Therefore it may be suggested the OSA patients with upper spine morphological deviations should be treated with constant positive airway pressure and OSA patients without upper spine morphological deviations should be treated with MAD. This recommendation should be investigated with future research.

Associations

When the CBCT was taken in the standardized head posture a clear pattern was found between head posture and the pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional area at the HY level: an extended and forward head posture was associated with larger airway distances and cross-sectional area at the HY level. These findings were in agreement with a previous study using two-dimensional lateral cephalograms of OSA patients taken in the standardized head position which showed that an extended and forward head posture was associated with the anterior-posterior airway distances especially in the lower part of the pharyngeal airway [5]. Since the previous study used a two-dimensional method the pharyngeal airway volume was not assessed. Another previous study using three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging of children with sleep-disordered breathing, found an association between various head positions and the oropharyngeal volume [35]. Interestingly, the present study of CBCTs in the standardized head position did not show an association between head posture and pharyngeal airway volumes, only pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional area. This is new and important knowledge as the previous described compensatory physiological postural mechanism that serves to maintain airway adequacy in OSA patients [5] may be due to a stretch of the pharyngeal airway at the HY level and not due to an increased airway volume. Pharyngeal airway patency depends on the relative influence of pharyngeal airway dimensions, pharyngeal airway compliance and the negative intraluminal pressure during inspiration [36,37]. Therefore, the stretch of the pharyngeal airway with an extended and forward head posture found in the present study may decrease the risk of airway collapsibility due to changes in pharyngeal airway dimensions and interluminal pressure during inspiration locally at the HY level and not by an increased airway volume as previously suggested [5,35].

CONCLUSIONS

Head posture was significantly different between patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations. No significant differences in airway dimensions between patients with and without upper spine morphological deviations were found. Head posture was associated with pharyngeal airway distances and cross-sectional areas but not with pharyngeal airway volume. These results may contribute to help differentiate obstructive sleep apnea patients and thereby may prove valuable in future diagnosis and treatment planning of obstructive sleep apnea patients.
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