S100B protein level for the detection of clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage in patients with mild traumatic brain injury: a subanalysis of a prospective cohort study

Julien Blais Lécuyer, Éric Mercier, Pier-Alexandre Tardif, Patrick M Archambault, Jean-Marc Chauny, Simon Berthelot, Jérôme Frenette, Jeff Perry, Ian Stiell, Marcel Émond, Jacques Lee, Eddy Lang, Andrew McRae, Valérie Boucher, Natalie Le Sage

ABSTRACT

Background Clinical assessment of patients with mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is challenging and overuse of head CT in the ED is a major problem. Several studies have attempted to reduce unnecessary head CTs following a mTBI by identifying new tools to predict intracranial bleeding. Higher levels of S100B protein have been associated with intracranial haemorrhage following a mTBI in previous literature. The main objective of this study is to assess whether plasma S100B protein level is associated with clinically significant brain injury and could be used to reduce the number of head CT post-mTBI.

Methods Study design: secondary analysis of a prospective multicentre cohort study conducted between 2013 and 2016 in five Canadian EDs. Inclusion criteria: non-hospitalised patients with mTBI with a GCS score of 13–15 in the ED and a blood sample drawn within 24 hours after the injury. Data collected: sociodemographic and clinical data were collected in the ED. S100B protein was analysed using ELISA. All CT scans were reviewed by a radiologist blinded to the biomarker results. Main outcome: the presence of clinically important brain injury.

Results 476 patients were included. Mean age was 41±18 years old and 150 (31.5%) were women. Twenty-four (5.0%) patients had a clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage. Thirteen patients (2.7%) presented a non-clinically significant brain injury. A total of 37 (7.8%) brain injured patients were included in our study. S100B median value (Q1–Q3) was: 0.043 μg/L (0.008–0.059) for patients with clinically significant brain injury versus 0.039 μg/L (0.023–0.059) for patients without clinically important brain injury. Sensitivity and specificity of the S100B protein level, if used alone to detect clinically important brain injury, were 16.7% (95% CI 4.7% to 37.4%) and 88.5% (95% CI 85.2% to 91.3%), respectively.

Conclusion Plasma S100B protein level was not associated with clinically significant intracranial lesion in patients with mTBI.

INTRODUCTION

Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) is a major public health problem. The annual incidence of mTBI has been estimated to be 600 per 100,000 population worldwide. MTBI is defined by most experts as a head injury associated with loss of consciousness and/or amnesia and/or disorientation with a GCS score of 13–15. It is the most common form of traumatic brain injury (TBI) and represents 70%–90% of all TBI. Approximately 10% of patients will have an intracranial injury detected on CT following an mTBI but less than 1% will actually require a neurosurgical intervention. Intracranial injuries should be promptly identified, as their consequences can be catastrophic for those requiring a neurosurgical intervention.

Clinical assessment of patients with mTBI is challenging and overuse of head CT in the ED is a major problem. Even with the Canadian CT head rule (CCHR), a validated and widely used tool, up to 30% of patients with mTBI without an indication to perform a head CT have one while in the ED. During the last decades, studies aiming to identify new intracranial bleeding prediction tools...
were conducted in an attempt to reduce unnecessary head CTs following a mTBI. Some authors tested the use of neurobiochemical markers to refine current models to detect intracranial haemorrhages and better predict the evolution of these injuries. S100B protein is one of the most studied biomarkers to evaluate traumatic brain haemorrhage and its consequences. A higher level of S100B protein has been associated with intracranial haemorrhage following a mTBI as this protein is released by the injured cells within seconds of impact. Increased levels of S100B protein in plasma may indicate a dysfunction of the blood–brain barrier and hence a potential injury. Some studies have investigated the sensitivity of the S100B protein in the early detection of intracranial lesions on head CT and their results have been promising. Head CT and their results have been promising.

**METHODS**

**Study setting and population**

This is a secondary analysis of a larger multicentre prospective cohort study conducted in five Canadian hospitals (CHU de Québec-Université Laval (Hôpital de l’Enfant-Jésus); CHU Hôtel-Dieu de Lévis du CISSS de Chaudière-Appalaches, Lévis; CHU régional du CIUSSS de la Mauricie, Trois-Rivières; Hôpital du Sacré-Cœur de Montréal, Montréal; The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa) between July 2013 and July 2016.

Patients aged 16 years and over were included if they consulted to a participating ED within 24 hours of a mTBI and had S100B protein blood sampled within 24 hours after the injury. As per the WHO, mTBI was defined in this study as a head trauma patient with a GCS score of 13–15 in the ED and one of the following: loss of consciousness <30 min, confusion, disorientation, amnesia of the event, retrograde amnesia, post-traumatic amnesia <24 hours, post-traumatic convulsions or other transient neurological symptoms (loss of vision, diplopia, ataxia, dysarthria, paresthesia or dizziness). Patients who were hospitalised following the ED visit were excluded.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

**Data collection**

ED physicians or research nurses collected the data using a standardised questionnaire. Head CT was obtained at the discretion of the attending physician and was thus not performed in every patient.

Research nurses conducted a structured telephone interview at 3 months post-ED visit, in which they collected information regarding the accident, injuries, medical treatment and symptoms. Patients’ medical records were also assessed at 3 months in order to make sure participants did not undergo neurosurgery following a clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage.

**Blood sampling**

After obtaining informed consent of eligible patients, blood samples were collected by ED nurses within 24 hours from head trauma, and were immediately sent to local laboratories to be centrifuged at 1300 g relative centrifugal field for 10 min at 22°C or less. Following centrifugation, the collected plasma was frozen at −20°C for a maximum of 2 weeks. Samples were then sent to the Centre Hospitalier de l’Université Laval Central Research Laboratory to be frozen at −80°C. Each tube was demineralised to ensure confidentiality. S100B protein levels were measured using Human Protein ELISA kits from Millipore Sigma (Germany) for plasma samples, as per the manufacturer’s protocols. The detection limit of the assay was 0.0027 µg/L. Detection of a clinically significant intracranial brain lesion occurs when a S100B protein level is ≥0.10 µg/L.

**Outcome**

The primary outcome was the presence of any clinically significant intracranial lesion, which was determined using the ED head CT scan. Patients who did not have an initial head CT during the medical assessment and who were not hospitalised within 3-month post-injury, were assumed to be free of any clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage. Head CT scans were interpreted in each participating centre by the attending radiologist who was blinded to the plasma S100B protein level. Clinical significance of intracranial traumatic lesions discovered on head CT was then interpreted by two independent reviewers (trained emergency physicians) according to the clinical significance criteria proposed by Stiell et al.

Patients with clinically insignificant lesions were neurologically intact and had the following CT findings: (1) single contusion of <5 mm in diameter, (2) localised subarachnoid blood <1 mm, (3) subdural haematoma <4 mm or (4) closed depressed skull fracture not through the inner table. If the measurement of a lesion was not specified, or if a patient had multiple non-clinically significant lesions, the traumatic anomaly was classified as non-clinically significant. All other traumatic brain injuries were considered clinically significant.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive analyses are presented with proportions or medians with their corresponding measure of dispersion. The association between plasma S100B protein and the presence of intracranial lesions was assessed using a Wilcoxon rank test. We calculated sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values with exact binomial 95% CIs to detect the presence of a clinically significant intracranial lesion when the plasma S100B protein level was ≥0.10 µg/L.

S100B protein values had a right-skewed distribution and were therefore described using medians. The result was 7.8% patients had S100B protein values below the limit of detection (2.7 µg/mL or 0.0027 µg/L) and were given a concentration equal to half the limit of detection based on recommendations from the Environmental Protection Agency.

Considering variables that might affect the S100B diagnostic accuracy, we performed predetermined subgroup analyses: gender (male vs female), age (<65 vs ≥65 years old), nature of injury (isolated mTBI (defined as non-concomitant injuries identified during the physical examination) vs patients with at least one concomitant injury), and delay between trauma and plasma sampling (≤3 hours and ≤6 hours). We restricted analyses to patients with complete data on plasma S100B protein concentrations. We conducted statistical analyses using Statistical Analysis System V9.4. Statistical significance was set at 0.05 (two-sided tests) for all analyses.
RESULTS

A total of 476 patients were included in this study, most of whom were aged under 65 years (88.4%) and were men (68.5%) (table 1). GCS on arrival was 15 in most patients (85.7%). A total of 172 (39.5%) patients had isolated mTBI while the presence of at least one fracture was noted in 86 (18.1%) patients.

Twenty-four patients (5.0%) had a clinically significant intracranial lesion. Thirteen patients (2.7%) presented a non-clinically significant intracranial lesion. A total of 37 (7.8%) brain injured patients were included in our study. The mean delay (SD) between the time of the trauma and the blood sampling was 8.5 (10.5) hours.

At the 3-month follow-up, 112 (23.5%) patients were lost to follow-up, but only 40 (8.4%) of those did not have an initial head CT.

Association between plasma S100B protein level and intracranial haemorrhage

The median values (IQR) of the plasma S100B protein levels were: 0.0394 (0.0231–0.0605) for all patients, 0.043 µg/L (0.023–0.059) for patients without clinically intracranial lesion and 0.039 µg/L (0.008–0.080) for patients with clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage (p=0.40) alone or all intracranial haemorrhages (p=0.39).

The plasma S100B protein level and intracranial findings of patients with intracranial haemorrhage are presented in table 2. Sensitivity and specificity of the plasma S100B protein level were, respectively, 18.2% and 88.5% (n=476) to predict clinically significant bleeding while they were 17.7% and 88.5% (n=476) to predict any intracranial haemorrhage (table 3).

In patients with isolated mTBI, sensitivity and specificity were 25.0% and 89.6% (n = 172), respectively. A 66.7% sensitivity and 93.7% specificity were found in patients with isolated mTBI and a sampling taken within 6 hours after trauma for the detection of brain injury (n = 114). Age and sex did not influence the association between S100B protein level and intracranial haemorrhage. Using the traditional threshold of ≥0.10 µg/L to perform a head CT, only 4 of the 24 (16.7%) clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage would have been identified.

### Table 1

| Characteristics of included patients | All patients (n=476) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Age, mean (SD) | 40.9 (18.1) |
| ≥65 years old | 55 (11.6) |
| Sex (male) | 326 (68.5) |
| GCS on arrival | 15 (85.7) |
| 14 | 63 (13.2) |
| 13 | 5 (1.1) |
| Mechanism of injury |  |
| Pedestrian struck by motor vehicle | 19 (4.3) |
| Fall from height > 1 feet or five stairs | 73 (16.6) |
| Occupant ejected from motor vehicle | 19 (4.3) |
| Other | 328 (74.7) |
| Isolated mTBI | 172 (39.5) |
| Fractures | 86 (18.1) |
| Head CT performed | 316 (68.4) |
| Retrograde amnesia | 127 (27.0) |
| Anterograde amnesia | 237 (51.8) |
| Loss of consciousness | 233 (49.3) |
| Confusion | 266 (58.2) |
| Vomiting ≥2 episodes | 30 (6.3) |
| Transient neurological symptoms | 68 (14.3) |
| Seizures | 15 (3.2) |
| Anticoagulant and coagulopathy | 12 (2.5) |
| Suspected open or depressed skull fracture | 7 (1.5) |
| Any sign of basal skull fracture | 9 (1.9) |
| Suspected or proven alcohol intoxication | 50 (10.5) |

**mTBI**, mild traumatic brain injury.

### Table 2

| ID | Age | S100B | Cerebral lesions | Outcome |
|----|-----|-------|------------------|---------|
| 1  | 59  | 0.016 | EH               | CS      |
| 2  | 64  | 0.185 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 3  | 71  | 0.003 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 4  | 43  | 0.129 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 5  | 67  | 0.026 | CC-S, SAH-S, SDH-N | CS  |
| 6  | 52  | 0.060 | SDH-S            | CS      |
| 7  | 47  | 0.047 | CC-S, SK-N       | CS      |
| 8  | 34  | 0.001 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 9  | 42  | 0.004 | SAH-S, P-N       | CS      |
| 10 | 58  | 0.001 | CC-S, SAH-S, SDH-S | CS  |
| 11 | 63  | 0.092 | EH, SAH-S, SDH-N, SK-N, SDH-N | CS, CS |
| 12 | 39  | 0.060 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 13 | 57  | 0.094 | SDH-S            | CS      |
| 14 | 76  | 0.061 | CC-S, SAH-S      | CS      |
| 15 | 53  | 0.042 | CC-S, CC-S, SK-N | CS      |
| 16 | 23  | 0.001 | CO, CC-M         | CS      |
| 17 | 43  | 0.147 | CO               | CS      |
| 18 | 60  | 0.044 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 19 | 61  | 0.039 | EH, SDH-N        | CS      |
| 20 | 67  | 0.001 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 21 | 19  | 0.018 | CO, CC-S, SDH-N, CC-N | CS  |
| 22 | 32  | 0.068 | SAH-S, SK-N, CC-M | CS   |
| 23 | 25  | 0.012 | EH               | CS      |
| 24 | 67  | 0.264 | SAH-S            | CS      |
| 25 | 22  | 0.222 | SDH-N, SDH-N     | MNCS    |
| 26 | 16  | 0.030 | CC-N, CC-N       | MNCS    |
| 27 | 75  | 0.044 | SDH-N, SAH-M     | MNCS    |
| 28 | 51  | 0.052 | CC-M, SAH-S      | MNCS    |
| 29 | 70  | 0.091 | CC-N, CC-N       | MNCS    |
| 30 | 22  | 0.001 | SK-N             | NCS     |
| 31 | 56  | 0.005 | SDH-N            | NCS     |
| 32 | 53  | 0.019 | CC-N             | NCS     |
| 33 | 65  | 0.014 | CC-N             | NCS     |
| 34 | 55  | 0.012 | SAH-M            | NCS     |
| 35 | 52  | 0.046 | SDH-M            | NCS     |
| 36 | 16  | 0.043 | SK-N             | NCS     |
| 37 | 53  | 0.044 | CC-N             | NCS     |

**NCS**, non-clinical significant; **CC-M**, cerebral contusion missing size; **CC-N**, cerebral contusion not significant; **CC-S**, cerebral contusion significant; **CO**, cerebral oedema; **CS**, clinically significant; **EH**, epidural haematoma; **MNCS**, multiple non-clinically significant; **NCS**, non-clinically significant; **P-N**, isolated pneumocephalus; **SAH-M**, subarachnoid haemorrhage missing size; **SAH-S**, subarachnoid haemorrhage significant; **SDH-M**, subdural haematoma missing size; **SDH-N**, subdural haematoma not significant; **SDH-S**, subdural haematoma significant; **SK-N**, skull fracture without inner table involvement.


**DISCUSSION**

In our cohort of non-hospitalised mTBI patients, the plasma S100B protein level showed a poor association with clinically significant intracranial lesion and would not have been useful in reducing the number of head CT performed in the ED. A plasma S100B concentration of 0.10 µg/L would have missed 83.3% of clinically important brain injuries. Several studies published data on diagnostic sensitivity of S100B protein, however most included ‘minor head trauma’ patients with no clear definition, that do not have indications for a head CT using validated criteria.6,10 Our study only included patients with a diagnosis of mTBI as per the WHO’s definition.11

Furthermore, most studies have used S100B protein in heterogeneous populations and for patients with a low risk of intracranial bleeding, including ‘minor head injuries’ or ‘minimal head trauma’. They have included unrepresentative populations with age and comorbidities exclusion criteria and have often used restrictive delays between the head trauma and the blood sampling, which are not generalisable to the ED’s mTBI population.14

Many studies regarding the S100B protein have focused on the detection of any intracranial lesions.15 However, from a clinical perspective, the detection of clinically significant haemorrhage is a more useful outcome. Few studies have used a well-defined set of criteria to identify this type of intracranial lesion, which is why our steering committee has decided to use the significance criteria proposed by the CCHR.1 This allows a better definition of our outcome and helps to clarify the value of the S100B protein in this clinical setting.

The delay between the mTBI and the blood sampling could potentially have an impact on the association between intracranial haemorrhage and plasma S100B protein levels as the half-life of the S100B protein is 90–120 min. It is therefore biologically plausible that the detectable concentration of the S100B protein could decrease as time elapses.19,20 Several studies used a shorter delay of enrolment (3–6 hours following the trauma) to evaluate the diagnostic value of S100B protein for brain haemorrhage, most of which obtained an excellent sensitivity. Our sensitivity of only 16.7% to detect clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage might limit the usefulness of the S100B protein measurement for 156 (32.8%) mTBI after 6 hours.

While initial plasma S100B protein levels have been associated with mortality or long-term poor functional outcome following a moderate or severe TBI, other biomarkers could be more specific to detect clinically important brain injury following a mTBI but the literature on that topic is still scant.21 Biomarkers such as glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), neuron specific enolase (NSE), ubiquitin c-terminal hydrolase (UCH-L1), neurofilament light chain (NF-L) and C-Tau all have been studied. In a recent meta-analysis, the five biomarkers were compared13 and it was shown that S100B protein was the most studied biomarker. Nevertheless, very few studies were dedicated to the other biomarkers.13

A recent study proposes a multiplex test which includes four biomarkers: GFAP, UCH-L1, NF-L and C-Tau. The combination of the four biomarkers could offer more information to identify intracranial haemorrhage.22

**Limitations**

This study has limitations. We used a convenience sample of secondary data initially dedicated to assessing head CT scan in patients who were not hospitalised. It is therefore impossible to use our data to assess the ability to predict the need for neurosurgery because none of our patients underwent neurosurgery. Nonetheless, several recruiting hospitals observed patients with clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage for up to 24 hours without admitting them and these cases were captured within our cohort. Indeed, when they had intracranial haemorrhage, some proportion of our study participants were observed in the ED under the care of a neurosurgeon, for up to 48 hours after trauma. This could create an ascertainment bias, but this practice is representative of the current management in some EDs across Canada.23,24

**CONCLUSION**

Following an mTBI, plasma S100B protein level was not associated with clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage and would have missed many clinically important brain injuries in non-hospitalised patients. Future research should focus on different ways to assess patients with a mTBI and ultimately reduce unnecessary head CT.
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**Table 3** S100B sensitivities and specificities to predict clinically significant intracranial haemorrhage (ICH) and all lesions

| Blood sampling | Clinically significant ICH | Any ICH |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------|
| within 6 hours in all patients | Sensitivity, % | 18.2 (95% CI: 2.3 to 51.8) | 17.7 (95% CI: 3.8 to 43.4) |
| Specificity, % | 88.5 (95% CI: 84.2 to 91.7) | 88.5 (95% CI: 84.3 to 91.8) |
| NPV, % | 96.8 (95% CI: 94.0 to 98.5) | 95.0 (95% CI: 91.8 to 97.3) |
| PPV, % | 5.3 (95% CI: 0.6 to 17.8) | 7.9 (95% CI: 1.7 to 21.4) |
| Patients, n | 320 | 320 |
| Blood sampling within 24 hours in all patients | Sensitivity, % | 16.7 (95% CI: 4.7 to 37.4) | 13.5 (95% CI: 4.5 to 28.8) |
| Specificity, % | 88.5 (95% CI: 85.2 to 91.3) | 88.4 (95% CI: 85.0 to 91.2) |
| NPV, % | 95.2 (95% CI: 92.7 to 97.1) | 93.4 (95% CI: 89.4 to 94.7) |
| PPV, % | 7.1 (95% CI: 1.9 to 17.3) | 8.9 (95% CI: 2.9 to 19.6) |
| Patients, n | 476 | 476 |
| Blood sampling within 6 hours in isolated mTBI | Sensitivity, % | 66.7 (95% CI: 9.4 to 99.2) | 66.7 (95% CI: 9.4 to 99.2) |
| Specificity, % | 93.7 (95% CI: 87.4 to 97.4) | 93.7 (95% CI: 87.4 to 97.4) |
| NPV, % | 99.1 (95% CI: 94.8 to 99.9) | 99.1 (95% CI: 94.8 to 99.9) |
| PPV, % | 22.0 (95% CI: 2.8 to 60.0) | 22.0 (95% CI: 2.8 to 60.0) |
| Patients, n | 114 | 114 |
| Blood sampling within 24 hours in isolated mTBI | Sensitivity, % | 25.0 (95% CI: 3.2 to 65.1) | 22.2 (95% CI: 2.8 to 60.0) |
| Specificity, % | 89.6 (95% CI: 83.9 to 93.8) | 89.6 (95% CI: 83.8 to 93.8) |
| NPV, % | 96.1 (95% CI: 91.7 to 98.6) | 95.4 (95% CI: 90.8 to 98.1) |
| PPV, % | 10.5 (95% CI: 1.3 to 33.1) | 10.5 (95% CI: 1.3 to 33.1) |
| Patients, n | 172 | 172 |

mTBI, mild traumatic brain injury; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.
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