A Study of Familial Aggregation of Habitual Constipation
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Abstract

Background: Constipation is a frequent complication in pediatrics, most of which is habitual, comprising 25% of visits in pediatric gastroenterology clinics.

Objectives: The main object of this study was to investigate clustering of habitual constipation among families of pediatric patients.

Methods: This case-control study was conducted on families of 150 children < 18 years old with chronic habitual constipation alongside families of 150 healthy children as controls. The cases were enrolled in the study according to the Rome IV criteria for constipation. The parents and siblings were evaluated regarding constipation. Data were analyzed using SPSS-16, χ² and t-test were used for comparison.

Results: A total of 300 children and their families participated in the study. No significant differences were found between the study and the control groups in age, sex, or BMI. However, the siblings or parents from the study group had significantly higher rates of constipation compared with the control group.

Conclusions: Considering different survey findings, a correlation between “habitual constipation” and “familial background” seems to exist in children. A clear pathophysiological explanation for this phenomenon is not yet available.
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1. Background

Constipation is a common complaint in the referral of patients to clinics and specialized pediatric clinics (1). Although its prevalence in children is unclear, various studies at the community level indicate that the prevalence in adults in Western and Asian countries varies from 10% to 20% and in children between 0.7 and 29.6% (2-4). The latter group also accounts for 5% of all referrals to pediatricians and about 10% - 25% of pediatric gastrointestinal patients. In this regard, an American study estimates health costs for children with constipation to be $3.9 billion per year (5). Constipation is often posed as a major problem for both the patient and the family. Several factors may contribute to this problem: social and economic status, consumption of low-fiber diet, lack of mobility, lack of sufficient fluid intake, and genetic predispositions, which can set the ground for constipation (6, 7).

In three periods, children are susceptible to functional constipation: the time to start supplementary nutrition, the time for toilet training, and the time when the school starts. For any reason, if the child feels pain and fear during bowel movements, it causes refusal of bowel movements resulting in more fecal stiffness and consequent defective cycles and worsening constipation. Approximately 35% of children under the age of 3 years, who referred to pediatric gastroenterologist for chronic constipation, mentioned a history of refusals and problems with excretion. In 63% of children with chronic functional constipation with fecal incontinence, there was a history of painful stools. Therefore, painful bowel movements are commonly associated with chronic constipation, stiff fecal mass and fecal incontinence. As a result, early treatment of painful bowel movements in children can reduce chronic constipation, stiff fecal mass and fecal incontinence in children of school age (8-10). Studies have indicated that inappropriate diets and fast food intake can have a detrimental effect on functional constipation. However, improving the nutri-
2. Objectives

The cause of constipation in family history, with possible contributions of genetic, environmental or nutritional factors, is not well known. Considering that the role of familial history in constipation has not yet been proven to be 100%, the authors sought to further investigate the relationship between family history and functional constipation.

3. Methods

This case-control study was conducted by random sampling of children aged 2-18 years referred to the pediatric gastroenterology clinic of Hazrat-e-Rasoul and Ali-Asghar Hospitals, Tehran, in 2017. After providing explanation about different aspects of the survey and confirming confidentiality of the data and asking for permission from parents, they were qualified for the inclusion criteria. They were diagnosed with habitual constipation based on the Rome IV criteria, and treated as the case group. The Rome IV criteria in infants and children up to 4 years of age include existence of at least two of the following states for one month or longer: excretion of less than or equal to twice per week, more than or equal to one episode of incontinence after acquiring excretion training skills, history of excessive retention of feces, history of painful or stiff excretion, large stool mass in the rectum, and history of a thick stool blocking the toilet bowl (14, 15). In addition, all patients who had non-functional constipation, or patients and their families who suffered from a specific disease were excluded from the study. As the control group, we took children who were referred to the pediatric gastroenterology clinic for reasons other than constipation along with their parents and siblings. A prepared questionnaire on demographic information and history of constipation in parents and siblings including age, gender, and weight was completed for each patient, and the results were compared between the two groups.

3.1. Statistical Analysis of Data

Data of the two groups were first analyzed by descriptive analysis using SPSS 16 software and fixing bugs. Then, both qualitative and quantitative variables in the two groups were compared using chi-square test and independent t-test, respectively, at a significance level of P < 0.05.

4. Results

There were 79 (52.7%) boys and 71 (47.3%) girls in the case group, while the control group comprised 83 (55.3%) boys and 67 (44.7%) girls. The mean age in the case and the control group was 8.08 ± 3.43 and 8.53 ± 4.52 years respectively, the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.337). The mean weight in the case group was 21.03 ± 8.56 kg and in the control group 23.26 ± 13.11 kg, with no statistically significant difference (P = 0.084). Also, the mean height (114.88 ± 18.75 cm) in the case and control (117.95 ± 23.68 cm) groups were not statistically significant (P = 0.214). Other demographic characteristics in the study groups are presented in Table 1.

Table 2 presents the distribution of meconium excretion within the first 48 h of birth, history of allergies, and fecal soiling in the two groups. As can be seen, the frequency of allergy in the case and control group was equal to 39 (26%) and 22 (14.7%) respectively, which had a statistically significant difference (P = 0.015); the history of allergy increased the development of habitual constipation to a value of 1.77 times. The prevalence levels of allergy to cow’s milk protein in the case and control group was 23 (35.3%) and 17 (11.3%) respectively, showing a statistically significant difference (P = 0.006); the history of allergy to cow’s milk protein increased the development of habitual constipation by 2.5 times (It should be noted that the history of allergy to cow’s milk protein and meconium excretion was
Table 1. Frequency Distribution and Mean Values of Demographic Characteristics in the Two Groups

| Variable               | Case Group (N = 150) | Control Group (N = 150) | P Value |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age, y                 | 8.08 ± 3.43          | 8.53 ± 4.52             | 0.337a  |
| Gender, No. (%)        |                      |                         | 0.728b  |
| Boy                    | 79 (52.7)            | 83 (55.3)               |         |
| Girl                   | 71 (47.3)            | 67 (44.7)               |         |
| Weight, kg             | 21.03 ± 8.56         | 23.26 ± 13.11           | 0.084a  |
| Height, cm             | 114.88 ± 18.75       | 117.95 ± 23.68          | 0.214a  |
| Body mass index (BMI)  | 15.81 ± 2.76         | 15.19 ± 3.77            | 0.103a  |

a Independent t-test  
b Chi-square test

obtained only by questionnaire data from the parents and no special tests were used for this issue). No significant differences were, however, found between the two groups regarding meconium excretion within the first 48 h of birth and fecal soiling.

Table 3 provides the frequency distribution of fathers and mothers with constipation as well as the parental relationships in the two groups. As can be observed, the frequency of fathers with constipation in the case and control groups was 31 (20.7%) and 11 (7.3%) respectively, indicating a statistically significant difference (P = 0.001); the fathers’ constipation increased the development of habitual constipation by 2.81 times. The frequency of mothers with constipation in the case and control groups was 27 (18%) and 13 (8.7%) respectively, revealing a statistically significant difference (P = 0.017) between the case and control group; the mothers’ constipation developed habitual constipation by 2.7 times. Finally, habitual constipation among related parents developed by 1.76 times.

In Table 4, the frequency distribution of brothers and sisters with constipation is presented in the two groups. As can be seen, the frequency of brothers with constipation in the case and control group was equal to 13 (29.5%) and 3 (8.1%) showing a statistically significant difference (P = 0.024); the brothers’ constipation increased the development of habitual constipation by 3.64 times. The prevalence levels of constipation in sisters in the case and control group were equal to 8 (22.8%) and 5 (21.7%) individuals, indicating no statistically significant difference (P = 1); the sisters’ constipation increased the development of habitual constipation by 1.5 times.

5. Discussion

This study investigated the relationship between habitual constipation and family history of all children with habitual constipation referred to the pediatric gastroenterology clinic of Hazrat-e-Rasoul and Ali Asghar hospitals, Teheran, in 2017. The study material consisted of 150 children with habitual constipation as the case group and an equal number of non-affected individuals as the control group.

The present study shows that habitual constipation in children has family roots, and that the siblings and parents of these children are affected more than those of the control group. Our findings are consistent with those found in adults, indicating the existence of genetic relations in this disease.

In a similar study in Sri Lanka in 2010, 15.4% of children from 10 to 16 years of age had constipation, of whom those with a positive family history had a higher prevalence (12). In another study, a positive family history was reported in 28% - 50% of children with constipation along with its higher development in single-ovule than double-ovule twins, confirming the role of genetics in the disease development (16). In 2010, a total of 112 children and their families were studied, of which 37 were probands families (test) and 75 children and their respective family members constituted the control group. No significant differences were found between the study and the control groups in age, sex, or family size. Siblings or parents from the study group (probands) had significantly higher rates of constipation compared with the control group (30% vs. 7% and 42% vs. 9%, respectively; P = 0.001). The results showed that habitual constipation can occur in the family in a clustered form, and in the case of two family members affected, this risk was further intensified (17). In 2007, a study in China on 677 children and families (case group), and 591 children and families as the control group revealed that the prevalence of constipation in the parents and family members of the case group was higher than that of the control group, confirming the aggregation of family constipation in the context of genetic causes (18). A family history of common diseases in children has
Table 2. Frequency Distribution of Meconium in the First 48 h of Birth, History of Allergies, and Fecal Soiling in the Two Study Groups

| Variable                                      | Case Group, No. (%) (N = 150) | Control Group, No. (%) (N = 150) | P Value | OR (95% CI)       |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Meconium excretion in the first 48 h of birth | 142 (94.7)                    | 139 (92.7)                       | 0.477   | 1.02 (0.96 - 1.08) |
| Allergy history                                | 39 (26)                       | 22 (14.7)                        | 0.015   | 1.77 (1.10 - 2.83) |
| Allergy history to protein and the cow's milk  | 35 (23.3)                     | 17 (11.3)                        | 0.006   | 2.05 (1.20 - 3.53) |
| Fecal soiling                                  | 79 (52.7)                     | 70 (46.7)                        | 0.299   | 1.12 (0.89 - 1.44) |

*aChi-square test

Table 3. Distribution of Fathers and Mothers with Constipation and Parents’ Relationships in the Two Groups

| Variable                                | Case Group, No. (%) (N = 150) | Control Group, No. (%) (N = 150) | P Value | OR (95% CI)       |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Fathers contracted constipation         | 31 (20.7)                     | 11 (7.3)                         | 0.001   | 2.82 (1.47 - 1.08) |
| Mothers contracted constipation         | 27 (18)                       | 13 (8.7)                         | 0.017   | 2.07 (1.11 - 3.86) |
| Parents familial relationships          |                               |                                  |         |                   |
| Related                                 | 44 (29.3)                     | 25 (16.6)                        |         |                   |
| Unrelated                               | 106 (70.7)                    | 125 (83.4)                       |         |                   |

*aChi-square test

Table 4. Distribution of Brothers and Sisters with Constipation in the Two Groups

| Variable                                | Case Group, No. (%) (N = 150) | Control Group, No. (%) (N = 150) | P Value | OR (95% CI)       |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| Number of brothers                      | 44 (29.4)                     | 37 (25.3)                        |         |                   |
| Brothers contracted constipation        | 13 (29.4)                     | 3 (8.1)                          | 0.024   | 3.64 (1.12 - 11.82) |
| Number of sisters                       | 35 (23.3)                     | 23 (35.3)                        |         |                   |
| Sisters contracted constipation         | 8 (22.8)                      | 5 (21.7)                         |         |                   |

*aChi-square test

also been seen in other diseases which has led to studies on genetic causes of diseases like GERD (19-21) and asthma (22, 23). The first familial occurrence of constipation was reported in adult patients. It was found that subjects with more family members having constipation will have higher risk of constipation. There is no scientific explanation for this, but the researchers suggest that there was a significant genetic and familial connection in patients with constipation that might have been exacerbated by environmental factors (24). A similar result in pediatric patients was achieved in the present study. Previous findings suggest that the prevalence of constipation in non-white people is more common among women than men being directly related to age (25). However, in the present study, gender and age had no effects on the results; the race factor was not investigated since the children were all white.

A limitation of our study was that no valid documents, other than a parental history, were available concerning allergy to cow’s milk protein or other diseases, and other confounding factors.

5.1. Conclusions and Recommendation

The present study shows that habitual constipation in children has family roots and that it is more observed in the siblings and parents of these children than in the control group.

It is suggested that a similar study be conducted taking different races and other confounding factors into account. Also, a similar prospective study and patient follow-up can lead to more robust results. A limitation of our study was that no valid documents, other than a parental history, were available concerning allergy to cow’s milk protein or other diseases, and other confounding factors. It is, therefore, recommended that these factors be addressed by more reliable methods in future studies in order to obtain more accurate records on familial constipation.
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