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Abstract: A Cefixim Trihydrate method was developed and validated for determination of Cefixim in raw materials and tablets. This was to enable quantification of the compound using an available and less expensive analytical method for those forms. Henceforth, this study aimed to develop and validate a fast, simple and economical Ultraviolet-visible (UV/vis) spectrophotometric method for the quantitative estimation of Cefixim in pure state and in tablet forms. The predicted wavelength for maximal absorption to perform with this method was 286 nm with 0.1N HCl as solvent and blank. This gave useful results for the following steps. Linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, robustness, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were evaluated for the method validation according to the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) requirements. The developed method was used for the quantitative determination of Cefixim tablets marketed on the local market. The calibration data showed a better correlation ($R^2=1$) over the range of concentrations used (2.5-15µg/ml) and the regression equation was $Y=0.0511X$. The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) determined were 0.275 µg/ml and 0.919 µg/ml, respectively. The accuracy study carried out at three concentration levels (80%, 100%, and 120%) gave respective recovery rate averages of 97.25%, 97.56% and 97.44% at which the relative standard deviations (RSD) were all less than 2%. The intra and inter-day precision, specificity and robustness were also satisfactory.
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1. Introduction

Cefixim is one of beta-lactam family antibiotic, from the group of so-called 3rd generation cephalosporin’s. Like others, its mechanism of action is based on inhibition of bacterial cell wall synthesis blocking the formation of peptidoglycan. Cefixim is described in the pharmacopoeias, especially in the British, American and European pharmacopoeias. Chemically, it is a (6R, 7R) -7' - [[[Z] -2(2-amino-thiazol-4-yl) -2' - [(carboxymethoxy) imino] acetyl] aminono] -3- acid. ethenyl-8-oxo-5-thia-1-azabicyclo [4.2.0] oct-2-ene-carboxylic trihydrate, the structure of which is shown in figure 1 [1].

The American, British and European pharmacopoeias offer High Performance Liquid Chromatography as a separative technique for the analysis of this molecule in its pure state as a raw material or in its pharmaceutical formulations as tablet
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Reagents

We used the Thermo Scientific UV/vis spectrophotometer and an analytical branded balance Gram Precision serie SV. Pure Cefixim was purchased from Fagron NV (Waregen, Belgium), batch: 14E3549, degree of purity: 100.2%. The Cefixim tablet (CEFI-200) was purchased on the local market in Kinshasa (DR Congo). Hydrochloric acid (Merck KGaA, Germany) and formic acid (Merck KGaA, Germany) were all analytical grade.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of 0.1M Hydrochloric Acid

In a 1000 ml volumetric flask, dissolve a hydrochloric acid volume corresponding to 4.2 g of HCl in a sufficient quantity of carbon dioxide-free water; adjust the volume to the gauge line with the same solvent [7].

2.2.2. Preparation of the Stock Solution of Cefixim Trihydrate

The stock solution of Cefixim was prepared by dissolving 25 mg of the substance exactly weighed in 3 ml of formic acid contained in a 200 ml volumetric flask and subsequently adjusting the volume to the mark with hydrochloric acid 0.1N finally to obtain a concentration of 125 µg/ml. Subsequent dilutions were made with 0.1N HCl solution.

2.2.3. Determination of the Maximum Absorption Wavelength \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \)

An adequate volume of the standard solution was diluted with 0.1N hydrochloric acid solution to obtain an essay solution for determination of the maximal absorption wavelength of the compound. Indeed, Cefixim Trihydrate showed a maximum absorption at 286 nm.

2.2.4. Preparation of Solution for Method Validation

The proposed Method was validated following different criteria such as linearity, precision, accuracy, specificity, robustness, LOD, LOQ and Essay [8].

(i) Solution for the Linearity of the Method

Aliquots of the stock solution containing 125 µg/ml of Cefixim were successively diluted with 0.1N HCl solution to finally obtain respective concentrations of 25 µg/ml; 5 µg/ml; 7.5 µg/ml; 10 µg/ml; 12.5 µg/ml and 15 µg/ml. Absorances were measured at 286 nm. The regression line was constructed by integrating the means of the three consecutive days; Absorances against those of the respective concentrations [9, 10].

The figure 2 illustrates the shape of the obtained line.

(ii) Solution for the Specificity of the Method

To assess the specificity of the method, a placebo mixture consisting of 25 mg of starch, 25 mg of magnesium stearate, 25 mg of talc and 25 mg of microcrystalline cellulose was placed in a 200 ml volumetric flask containing 3 ml of formic acid; the mixture was then stirred in an ultrasonic bath for 5 minutes and the volume was adjusted to the mark with 0.1N HCl. After filtration using Whatmann filter paper (N°41), 3.2ml; 4ml and 4.8ml of filtrate were respectively placed in three 50ml volumetric flasks and 4ml of Cefixim standard solution was added in each of three volumetric flasks finally to obtain 80%; 100% and 120% each of the constituent of the placebo mixture after adjusting the volume to the gauge line [11]. The calculated recovery rate, standard deviations (SD) and relative standard deviations (RSD) are shown in Table 1. In addition, as shown in Figure 2, the placebo mixture did not absorb at length d wave used in the developed method.

(iii) Solution for Method Accuracy

The intra-day and inter-day precision of the proposed method was evaluated by analyzing 6 times three different concentrations (2.5; 5 and 7.5 µg/ml) of the standard solution of Cefixim Trihydrate. The intra-day precision was determined the same. While the inter-day precision was determined on three consecutive days in the same week. Absorances were measured and the mean concentrations found, the relative standard deviations (RSD) and the relative errors were calculated. The results are shown in Table 2.
**Solution for the Correctness of the Method**

The accuracy of the method was calculated by estimating the recovery rate at three concentration levels (80%; 100% and 120%) by the standard addition method: a quantity of powder obtained from grinding of 20 tablets, equivalent to 25 mg of Cefixim (CEFI-200) were accurately weighed and transferred to a 200 ml volumetric flask containing 3 ml of formic acid. The mixture was stirred in an ultrasonic bath for 5 minutes, and then the volume was adjusted to the mark with 0.1N HCl. After mixing and filtration using Watmann filter paper (N°41), 4 ml of pre-analyzed filtrate was transferred to three different 50 ml volumetric flasks in which the Cefixim concentration was fortified with 3.2, respectively; 4 and 4.8 ml of standard solution and the volume were adjusted to the mark with 0.1N HCl finally to obtain spiked solutions of respective concentrations of 80%; 100% and 120%. Absorbances were measured. The recovery rates were calculated using the following formula:

$$\% = \frac{C_f - C \times 100}{C_a}$$

Where $C_f$ is the concentration of the fortified sample; $C$, the concentration of the unfortified sample and $C_a$, the concentration of the added substance [12]. The results are shown in Table 2.

**Solution for the Robustness of the Method**

The robustness of a method is its ability to provide consistent results under changing conditions. In this study, robustness was demonstrated by measuring the absorbances of the standard solution of 10 µg / ml while changing the maximum absorption wavelength by plus or minus one ($\lambda_{max} \pm 1$) [11, 13-15]. The measured absorbance means, standard deviations (SD) and relative standard deviations (RSD) were calculated. The results are shown in Table 4.

**Solution for Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantification (LOQ)**

The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) of the proposed method were calculated on the basis of the data obtained from the study of linearity: the slopes of the regression line and the standard deviation were calculated, and the intersection was known. The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined using the following formulas according to the requirements of the International Harmonization Conference (ICH) [6, 10, 16]:

$$\text{LOD} = \frac{S_b \times 3}{S}$$

$$\text{LOQ} = \frac{S_b \times 10}{S}$$

Where, $S_b$ is the standard deviation of the intercept and $S$ the slope of the regression line. The results are shown in Table 4.

**Solution for the Dosage of Cefixim Tablet-200**

20 tablets were weighed one by one and their average weight was calculated. All of the tablets were crushed and reduced to an impalpable powder. A quantity of obtained powder corresponding to 25 mg of Cefixim was exactly weighed and transferred to a 200 ml volumetric flask containing 3 ml of formic acid. After stirring the mixture in an ultrasonic bath for 5 minutes, the volume was adjusted to the mark with 0.1N HCl and then filtered using Watmann filter paper (N°41). An adequate volume of filtrate was diluted to obtain a solution with a concentration of 10 µg/ml. Absorbances were measured and concentrations, standard deviation (SD) and relative standard deviation (RSD) were calculated ($n$=3). The results are shown in Table 5.

### 3. Results and Discussion

The maximum absorption wavelength obtained was 286 nm. The regression line ($Y=0.0511x$) indicated an excellent linear correlation ($R^2=1$) over a range of concentrations (2.5-15 µg/ml) used (Figure 2). The limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) calculated from the data of the linearity study are respectively equal to 0.275 µg/ml and 0.919 µg/ml.

![Figure 2. Calibration curve of Cefixim Trihydrate standard solution.](image)
The method developed showed good specificity by the recovery rate (Table 1) and by the non-absorption of the placebo mixture at the maximum absorption wavelength used in the method (Figure 3).

Table 1. Specificity of the developed method.

| Series | % Excipient | Standard introduced (µg/ml) | Standard collected µg/ml | % Recuperation | Mean | SD | % RSD |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------|----|-------|
| 1      | 80          | 10                          | 10.09                    | 100.90         | 100.50 | 0.45 | 0.45  |
| 2      | 100         | 10                          | 9.90                     | 99.00          | 99.90 | 0.73 | 0.73  |
| 3      | 120         | 10                          | 10.00                    | 100.00         | 100.30 | 0.52 | 5.52  |

Figure 3. UV/vis absorption spectrum of the placebo mixture.

The method exhibited good intra and inter-day precision, the relative standard deviations (% RSD) presented in Table 2 were less than 2%.

Table 2. Intra and inter-day precision of Cefixim Trihydrate.

| Studied parameters                                      | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Introduced concentrations (µg/ml)                       | 5     | 10    | 15    |
| Intra-day concentrations found (µg/ml)                  | 4.98  | 9.96  | 14.86 |
| Recovery (intra-day)                                    | 99.60 | 99.60 | 99.06 |
| Relative Bias (%)                                       | -0.40 | -0.40 | -0.90 |
| RSD (%)                                                 | 0.096 | 0.119 | 0.107 |
| Inter-day concentrations found (µg/ml)                  | 4.98  | 9.92  | 14.78 |
| Recovery (inter-day)                                    | 99.60 | 99.20 | 98.53 |
| Relative Bias (%)                                       | -0.40 | -0.80 | -1.46 |
| RSD (%)                                                 | 1.277 | 0.767 | 0.468 |

The accuracy of the method was evaluated by the metered addition method at three concentration levels (80%, 100% and 120%); the recovery rates and the % RSD calculated in Table 3, demonstrates the accuracy of the developed method.

Table 3. Accuracy of the method.

| Serial No | Analyzed tablets of CEFI-200 (µg/ml) | % standard added | Standard recovered (µg/ml) | % recovery | Means±SD | % RSD |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------|-------|
| 1         | 10,76                               | 80              | 7.76                        | 97.00      | 97.25 ± 0.25 | 0.2570 |
|           | 10,76                               | 80              | 7.78                        | 97.25      | 97.50    | 0.2570 |
|           | 10,76                               | 100             | 9.74                        | 97.40      | 97.40    | 0.2570 |
| 2         | 10,76                               | 100             | 9.73                        | 97.30      | 97.56 ± 0.38 | 0.3881 |
|           | 10,76                               | 100             | 9.80                        | 98.00      | 98.00    | 0.3881 |
|           | 10,76                               | 120             | 11.69                       | 97.41      | 97.41    | 0.3881 |
| 3         | 10,76                               | 120             | 11.65                       | 97.08      | 97.44 ± 0.38 | 0.3857 |
|           | 10,76                               | 120             | 11.74                       | 97.83      | 97.83    | 0.3857 |
The robustness of the method was evaluated by measuring the Absorbances of the standard solution of 10 µg/ml at wavelengths of $\lambda_{\text{max}} \pm 1$ nm, the relative standard deviations calculated in Table 4 prove the robustness of the developed method.

**Table 4. Robustness of the method.**

| Serial N° | Absorbance in 285 nm | Absorbance in 286 nm | Absorbance in 287 nm |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1         | 0.517                | 0.516                | 0.514                |
| 2         | 0.517                | 0.516                | 0.514                |
| 3         | 0.518                | 0.517                | 0.515                |
| Means     | 0.517                | 0.516                | 0.514                |
| SD        | 0.00070              | 0.00070              | 0.00070              |
| %RSD      | 0.1367               | 0.1356               | 0.1361               |

The application of the developed method for Cefixim quantification in tablets, gave good results as traduced on Table 5 by RSD value.

**Table 5. Quantification of CEFI-200 (Cefixim tablet 200 mg) using the developed method (n=3).**

| Theoretical concentration (µg/ml) | Concentration found (in µg/ml) | Concentration found (in %) | SD | %RSD |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----|------|
| 10                               | 10.777                        | 107.77                    | 0.295 | 0.274 |

4. Conclusion

A UV/vis spectrophotometric method for the estimation of Cefixim Trihydrate in pure form (raw material) and in its pharmaceutical form (tablets) without interference from the excipients commonly used in formulation was developed.

The study required simple and no sophisticated equipment and the hydrochloric acid as dilution solvent which made the process easier and practicable in laboratory.

The speed, simplicity, sensitivity, selectivity, accuracy, precision, reproducibility, robustness and economic character of the developed method allow us to recommend it for the routine analytical control of Cefixim either in pure state or in tablet forms.
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