Controlled and Efficient Polymerization of Conjugated Polar Alkenes by Lewis Pairs Based on Sterically Hindered Aryloxide-Substituted Alkylaluminum
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Abstract: Reported herein is the development of an effective strategy for controlled and efficient Lewis pair polymerization of conjugated polar alkenes, including methyl methacrylate (MMA), n-butyl methacrylate (nBuMA), and γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMMBL), by the utilization of sterically encumbered Al(BHT)₂Me (BHT: 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol) as a Lewis acid that shuts down intramolecular backbiting termination. In combination with a selected N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) as a Lewis base, the polymerization of MMA exhibited activity up to 3000 h⁻¹ TOF and an acceptable initiation efficiency of 60.6%, producing polymers with high molecular weight (Mₙ up to 130 kg/mol) and extremely narrow dispersity (Đ = 1.06~1.13). This controlled polymerization with a living characteristic has been evidenced by chain-extension experiments and chain-end analysis, and enabled the synthesis of well-defined diblock copolymers.
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1. Introduction

Lewis pair polymerization (LPP), catalyzed by frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) [1–5] or classical Lewis pairs (CLPs) in which Lewis acid (LA) and Lewis base (LB) are involved in monomer substrate activation, chain initiation, as well as chain propagation and termination/transfer steps (Scheme 1A) [6], has recently emerged and attracted enormous interest because the cooperation of a Lewis pair (LP) allows for polymerization that is hardly accessible using a single catalyst and enhances the activity and selectivity of this polymerization. The pioneering work of LPP was first reported by Chen et al. in 2010 utilizing FLPs or CLPs consisting of bulky phosphine or an N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) LB and the highly acidic Al(C₆F₅)₃ LA, which are capable of promoting rapid polymerization of conjugated polar alkenes, such as methyl methacrylate (MMA) and biorenewable γMMBL (turnover frequency (TOF) [7] up to 48,000 h⁻¹) [8]. In their latter contributions, this unique catalytic system was extended to the polymerization of other acrylate and acrylamide derivatives [9] as well as monomers with C=C=C=N functionality such as 2-vinyl pyridine and 2-isopropenyl-2-oxazoline [10]. Recently, the highly active LPP of γMMBL by metal-free B(C₆F₅)₃-based LA in combination with NHC or phosphine LB was investigated by Chen et al., who found that interacting LPs or even CLPs are essential for highly effective polymerization, whereas the B/P FLP systems exhibit no or negligible polymerization reactivity [11–13]. In 2014, Lu and colleagues reported the chemoselective polymerization of dissymmetric divinyl acrylic monomers by Al(C₆F₅)₃-based CLPs, affording soluble polymers with high molecular weight for post-functionalization [14]. Very recently, Xu and
coworkers developed intramolecular and intermolecular rare-earth (RE = Sc, Y, Lu, La)/phosphine LPs for the polymerization of MMA, γMMLB, and other acrylate derivatives [15,16]. Besides the conjugated-addition polymerization of polar alkenes, controlled ring-opening (co)polymerizations of heterocyclic monomers such as lactide, lactones, and α-aminoo acid N-carboxy-anhydride, as well as the alternating ring-opening copolymerization of epoxides with carbonyl sulfide or cyclic anhydrides, have been achieved with BEt3, B(C6F5)3, Al(C6F5)3 and Zn(C6F5)2-based LPs [17–25].

Controlled polymerization with a living characteristic is a powerful strategy for the precise control of macromolecular structure, which enables the addition of functionality to materials for practical applications [26–32]. Though exciting results have been achieved in the LPPs of conjugated polar alkenes, these polymerizations were unfortunately difficult to control, presumably due to undesired chain termination proceeding via intramolecular backbiting to generate a six-membered lactone (Scheme 1A) [33–35]. To avoid chain termination, the strategy reported in the literatures has been the utilization of weak acidic LAs, which weakens the activation of adjacent ester groups of the growing polymer chain, thereby suppressing the backbiting reaction. However, the controlled LPP via this strategy is usually at the expense of polymerization activity, as the weak acidic LA also impairs the activation of monomers, thus leading to slow propagation. For example, Rieger and co-workers reported a controlled LPP of N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMAA) and methacrylate derivatives, such as furfuryl methacrylate (FMA), tBuMA, and t-butyl methacrylate (tBuMA), via highly interacting CLPs composed of weak acidic organoaluminum LAs (AlMe3 and AlEt3) and less sterically hindered phosphines (PMe3 and PEt3), but the polymerization activity was relatively low (TOF: 67~500 h⁻¹) [36]. Likewise, Taton et al. established a controlled but low active polymerization of MMA (TOF < 14.3 h⁻¹) via metal-free LPs composed of a weak silane LA (Me3SiNTf2, Me3SiOTf) and phosphine LB [37].

As shown by the above overview, the development of an effective LPP of conjugated polar alkenes that not only exhibits good activity but also has a high degree of control over the polymerization has remained elusive and is the central goal of this study. In this context, we set out to examine the LPPs toward three representative acrylic monomers, including MMA, tBuMA, and γMMLB using Al(BHT)2Me as an LA (Scheme 1B), which is more sterically hindered but slightly less Lewis-acidic compared to commonly used Al(C6F5)3. We envisioned that the introduction of such a sterically encumbered LA would block intramolecular backbiting termination (Scheme 1B); furthermore, we figured that the LA’s suitable Lewis acidity as well as the utilization of a strong nucleophile NHC (Ph)NHC, tPrNHC, and tBuNHC) would ensure good activity and thus would present an opportunity for efficient and controlled polymerization.

Scheme 1. (A) Generalized chain initiation, propagation, and termination mechanisms of the Lewis pair polymerization (LPP) of methyl methacrylate (MMA) through zwitterionic intermediates. (B) The structures of Lewis acids (LAs) and Lewis bases (LBs) examined in this study.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Interaction of Al(BHT)$_2$Me with (Ph)EtNHC

The reactivity of the selected NHC (Ph)EtNHC toward equivalent Al(BHT)$_2$Me was examined at the NMR scale in J-Young NMR tube using benzene-$d_8$ as the solvent at room temperature (RT). $^1$H-NMR analysis revealed that the reaction between (Ph)EtNHC and Al(BHT)$_2$Me was rapid, which was completed in 10 min, affording a clean (Ph)EtNHC→Al(BHT)$_2$Me CLP adduct. The characteristic signals corresponding to the $>$CHCH$_3$ group of the (Ph)EtNHC were downfield-shifted by around 0.6 ppm after the reaction, which is indicative of the strong donation of electron density from the carbene center to the Lewis-acidic Al center. Interestingly, two sets of signals can be observed for the $>$CHCH$_3$ and –CH=CH– groups of (Ph)EtNHC with a ratio of around 6:4, indicating that the resultant adduct contains two isomers, presumably caused by the constrained geometry of (Ph)EtNHC in the adduct due to the sterically hindered Al(BHT)$_2$Me. The stoichiometric reaction of Al(BHT)$_2$Me with MMA was also investigated in the benzene-$d_8$ by $^1$H-NMR. Most significantly, the signals attributed to the MMA shifted upfield ($=$CH$_2$: 5.11 ppm, –OCH$_3$: 3.17 ppm, –CH$_3$: 1.39 ppm) (exception: $=$CH$_2$: 6.20 ppm) relative to free MMA ($=$CH$_2$: 6.07 and 5.19 ppm, –OCH$_3$: 3.36 ppm, –CH$_3$: 1.80 ppm), suggesting the formation of MMA→Al(BHT)$_2$Me CLP adduct and the ability of Al(BHT)$_2$Me to activate MMA. In contrast, the signals of MMA was shifted upfield even further ($=$CH$_2$: 5.85 and 4.98 ppm, –OCH$_3$: 3.11 ppm, –CH$_3$: 1.30 ppm) when coupled with Al(C$_8$F$_3$)$_3$ [8], which is consistent with the fact that the Lewis acidity of Al(BHT)$_2$Me is weaker than that of Al(C$_8$F$_3$)$_3$. We also tried to detect the zwitterionic imidazolium enolaluminate intermediate by the reaction of MMA→Al(BHT)$_2$Me with (Ph)EtNHC at RT in benzene-$d_8$. However, $^1$H-NMR spectrum after the reaction was very complex and the signals attributed to zwitterionic imidazolium enolaluminate were undetectable, though the signals corresponding to MMA→Al(BHT)$_2$Me and (Ph)EtNHC vanished, presumably a result of the limited stability of zwitterionic intermediate at RT in the absence of a monomers.

2.2. Characteristic of Polymerization by NHC/Al(BHT)$_2$Me

The initial investigation was carried out using an Al(BHT)$_2$Me LA or (Ph)EtNHC LB alone for polymerization of MMA at RT in toluene, and these control runs yielded no polymer formation for up to 24 h (Table 1, Runs 1 and 2). Intriguingly, the polymerization became highly active when premixing Al(BHT)$_2$Me with MMA in toluene followed by the addition of (Ph)EtNHC to start the polymerization, which can convert all monomers into polymers in 3 min (TOF = 2000 h$^{-1}$, Table 1, Run 4) with an I’ of only 3.0%, indicating the importance of preventing the contact of Al(BHT)$_2$Me and NHC before initiation. Nevertheless, the problem of low I’ can be solved by decreasing the catalyst loading to 0.2 mol %, which leads to polymerization with an I’ of 50.0% (Table 1, Run 5). As shown in Figure 1, monomer conversion increases linearly with polymerization time after an initial induction period (1.2 min). The quantiative conversion can be achieved in 16 min, affording the polymer a TOF value of 1875 h$^{-1}$. It is worth noting that a perfectly linear growth of $M_n$ with increasing monomer conversion was observed while an extremely narrow dispersity remained ($D = 1.04$–1.11, Table S1, Figure S1), indicative of controlled polymerization with a living characteristic. Moreover, the MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of the PMMA oligomer produced by Al(BHT)$_2$Me/(Ph)EtNHC showed only one series of mass ions (Figure 2). A plot of $m/z$ values of this
series vs. the number of monomer repeat units (x) yielded a straight line with a slope of 100.0 (the mass of MMA) and an intercept of 277.6 corresponding to (Ph)EtNHC/H chain ends, supporting that the polymerization is initiated by (Ph)EtNHC and proceeds through a conjugate–addition mechanism. Note that a six-membered lactone chain end caused by backbiting termination was undetectable in the MALDI-TOF MS spectrum, demonstrating the successful suppression of backbiting termination by introducing sterically hindered Al(BHT)₂Me LA as anticipated, thus giving rise to the controlled polymerization with a living characteristic.

**Table 1.** Selected results of polymerizations by Al(BHT)₂Me/NHC LPs.¹

| Run | LB | LA | Monomer (M) | M/LA/LB | Solvent | Time (min) | Conv. (%)² | Mₙ³ (kg/mol) | D³ | I⁴ (%) |
|-----|----|----|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|--------------|----|--------|
| 1   | 1  | -  | MMA         | 100/-/1 | TOL     | 1440       | 0         | -            | -  | -      |
| 2   | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 100/1/-    | TOL     | 1440       | 0         | -            | -  | -      |
| 3   | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 3          | 100       | 54.1         | 1.11 | 19.0   |
| 4   | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 30         | 100       | 343.2        | 1.14 | 3.00   |
| 5   | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 500/2/1    | TOL     | 16         | 100       | 102.7        | 1.06 | 50.0   |
| 6   | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 500/3/1    | TOL     | 10         | 100       | 82.5         | 1.13 | 60.6   |
| 7   | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 500/2/1    | DCM     | 25         | 100       | 127.9        | 1.07 | 39.1   |
| 8   | 1  | B(C₆F₅)₃ | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 75         | 99        | 15.8         | 1.48 | 65.2   |
| 9   | 1  | Al(C₆F₅)₃ | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 1440       | 0         | -            | -  | -      |
| 10  | 1  | AlMe₃ | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 1440       | 0         | -            | -  | -      |
| 11  | 1  | AlEt₃ | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 1440       | 19.6      | -            | -  | -      |
| 12  | 1  | AlBu₃ | MMA  | 100/2/1    | TOL     | 1440       | 99.8      | 106          | 1.47 | 9.70   |
| 13  | 2  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 500/2/1    | TOL     | 30         | 98        | 130.6        | 1.07 | 38.0   |
| 14  | 3  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA  | 250/2/1    | TOL     | 34         | 93.2      | 258.0¹      | 1.08 | 9.03   |
| 15  | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | "BuMA| 500/2/1    | TOL     | 20         | 100       | 128.9        | 1.18 | 55.0   |
| 16  | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMBL | 500/2/1    | DCM+ TOL | 40         | 98.5      | 133.0        | 1.04 | 41.5   |
| 17  | 1  | Al(BHT)₂Me | MMA/*BuMA | (400 + 250)/2/1 | TOL | 16         | 100       | 87.4         | 1.13 | -      |

¹ Conditions: M/LA/LB = 100/2/1: LB = 37.4 umol, [MMA] = 1.56 M, M/LA/LB = 500/2/1: LB = 18.7 umol, [MMA] = 0.94 M, 250/2/1: LB = 37.4 umol, [MMA] = 0.94 M. ² Monomer conversions were measured by ¹H NMR spectra. ³ Number-average molecular weight (Mₙ) and dispersity (D = Mₙ/Mₚ) were determined by GPC at 40 °C in THF relative to PMMA standards. ⁴ Initiation efficiency (I) = Mₚ(calcd)/Mₚ(exptl), where Mₚ(calcd) = MW(M) × [M]/[LB] × conversion (%) × MW(chain-end groups). The polymerization was carried out by premixing LA and LB first followed by adding MMA. The polymerization was started by the addition of an NHC solution in toluene to DCM solution of γMMBL and Al(BHT)₂Me. ⁸ Diblock copolymer was prepared by initiating MMA block first followed by adding "BuMA.

When the amount of Al(BHT)₂Me was increased to 3 equivalent relative to (Ph)EtNHC, the I’ and TOF values of the polymerization further enhanced to 60.6% and 3000 h⁻¹, respectively, affording the polymer with a narrow D value of 1.13 (Table 1, Run 6). Changing the solvent from toluene to more polar DCM decreased both the activity and the I’ (Table 1, Run 7), as NHCs are known to decompose in DCM over time. In addition, polymerization by the other LAs in conjunction with (Ph)EtNHC LB has also been studied. The polymerization became sluggish and uncontrolled when replacing Al(BHT)₂Me with B(C₆F₅)₃ (TOF = 79.2 h⁻¹), producing the polymer with broader dispersity (D = 1.48), though the I’ of the polymerization was higher under the same conditions (Table 1, Run 8 vs. 3). No polymerization occurred within 24 h when the strong Lewis-acidic Al(C₆F₅)₃ was used as an LA for MMA polymerization (Table 1, Run 9). Switching to the alkyl aluminums, AlMe₃ and AlEt₃ with weak Lewis acidity and less steric hindrance were inactive for LPP up to 24 h (Table 1, Runs 10–11), while the polymerization by more sterically demanding AlBu₃ exhibited very low activity (TOF = 4.1 h⁻¹) and an I’ of 9.70% (Table 1, Run 12), producing the polymer with broad dispersity (D = 1.47). These results indicated the unicity of the Al(BHT)₂Me-based LA when coupled with the (Ph)EtNHC LB for highly active and controlled polymerization of MMA.
presumably caused by the formation of a more stable CLP, which is more difficult to dissociate so as to generate free NHC for initiating polymerization. Hence, it appears that the steric hindrance of (Ph)EtNHC has a fine balance between the ability to attack the monomer and the propensity to dissociate from the CLP, thus enabling the highest activity and $\dot{I}$ in (Ph)EtNHC/Al(BHT)$_2$Me-mediated polymerization. It is noteworthy that the polymerization by (Ph)EtNHC can be initiated by (Ph)EtNHC and proceeds as anticipated, thus giving rise to the controlled polymerization with a living characteristic.
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Figure 1. Conversion–time plots for the MMA polymerization by different Lewis pairs (LPs) in toluene at room temperature (RT).
polymerization. It is noteworthy that the polymerization by \textsuperscript{1}PrNHC/Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me is also very controlled with a living characteristic, similar to the (Ph)EtNHC/Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me system, as confirmed by a linear growth of $M_n$ with increasing monomer conversion as well as maintained extremely narrow dispersity ($\tilde{D}$: 1.06~1.13, Figure 3, Table S2). Different from (Ph)EtNHC and \textsuperscript{1}PrNHC-based LP systems, \textsuperscript{t}BuNHC/Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me promoted uncontrolled polymerization, affording the polymer with a bimodal molecular weight distribution (Figure S2). In terms of the stereoselectivity of these LPP systems, the use of the sterically demanding Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me LA did not noticeably affect the stereoselectivity, and the PMMAs produced at RT were typically syndio-rich (~70% rr).

Following a successful polymerization protocol developed for MMA polymerization, the (Ph)EtNHC/Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me LP system was also found to be highly active and controlled for the polymerization of the sterically encumbered \textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{u}}BuMA (TOF = 1500 h\textsuperscript{-1}) and biorenewable \textsuperscript{\textgamma}MMBL (TOF = 750 h\textsuperscript{-1}), showing moderate $I^*$ values (41.5~55.0%) and producing polymers with narrow dispersities (1.04~1.18), though their activities were lower than those of MMA polymerization (Table 1, Run 15 vs. 16 vs. 5). Moreover, the living characteristic of LPP by (Ph)EtNHC/Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me enabled the synthesis of well-defined diblock copolymers. The sequential addition as a result of polymerizing MMA first with MMA/Al(BHT)\textsubscript{2}Me/(Ph)EtNHC = 400/1/2 without quenching and subsequently with another 250 equivalent of \textsuperscript{\textgamma}BuMA afforded the successfully well-defined diblock copolymer PMMA-b-\textsuperscript{\textgamma}BuMA (Table 1, Run 17), as evidenced by GPC traces (Figure S3), where PMMA produced
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first ($M_n$ = 87.4 kg/mol, $D$ = 1.13) shifted to a higher molecular weight region while the narrow dispersity remained ($M_n$ = 105.5 kg/mol, $D$ = 1.09).

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Materials, Reagents, and Methods

All synthesis and manipulations of air- and moisture-sensitive materials were carried out in flamed Schlenk-type glassware on a dual-manifold Schlenk line, on a high-vacuum line, or in an argon-filled glovebox. HPLC-grade organic solvents were first sparged extensively with nitrogen as they were placed into 20 L solvent reservoirs and then dried via passage through activated alumina (for dichloromethane (DCM)) and then via passage through Q-5 supported copper catalyst stainless steel columns [for toluene (TOL)]. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Shanghai, China), while butyl methacrylate ($^6$BuMA) and γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMMLB) were purchased from TCI Shanghai. These monomers were first degassed and dried over CaH$_2$ overnight, followed by vacuum distillation. MMA was further purified by titration with neat tri(n-octyl)aluminum to a yellow end point and distillation under reduced pressure [38]. The purified monomers were stored in brown bottles inside a glovebox freezer from TCI Shanghai. Benzene-$d_6$ was purchased from J&K Chemical Co. (Shanghai, China), while trimethylaluminum (AlMe$_3$) and triethylaluminum (AlEt$_3$) were purchased from Acros Chemical Co. and further purified via sublimation under vacuum. Tris(pentafluorophenyl) borane (B(C$_5$F$_5$)$_3$) was purchased from TCI Shanghai, while butyl methacrylate ($^6$BuMA) and γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMMLB) were purchased from TCI Shanghai. These monomers were first degassed and dried over CaH$_2$ overnight, followed by vacuum distillation. MMA was further purified by titration with neat tri(n-octyl)aluminum to a yellow end point and distillation under reduced pressure [38]. The purified monomers were stored in brown bottles inside a glovebox freezer at $-30 \degree$C for further use. Trisobutylaluminum (Al($^3$Bu)$_3$, 1.0 M in hexanes) was purchased from J&K Chemical Co. (Shanghai, China), while trimethylaluminum (AlMe$_3$, 1.0 M in heptane) and triethylaluminum (AlEt$_3$, 0.6 M in heptane) were purchased from Acros Chemical Co. (Shanghai, China), which were all used as received. 2,6-di-tert-Butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT-H) was purchased from Macklin and recrystallized from hexanes prior to use. Literature procedures were used to prepare MeAl(BHT)$_2$ [39]. Tris(pentafluorophenyl) borane (B(C$_5$F$_5$)$_3$) was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and further purified via sublimation under vacuum. Tris(pentafluorophenyl)alane (Al(C$_5$F$_5$)$_3$), as a (toluene)$_{1/2}$ adduct, was prepared by ligand exchange reaction between B(C$_5$F$_5$)$_3$ and AlMe$_3$ [40]. N-Heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs), including 1,3-bis-(1-phenylethyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene ([Ph]$_2$NHC) [41] and 1,3-diisopropyl-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene ([Pr]NHC) [42], were synthesized according to literature procedures, while 1,3-di-tert-butylimidazol-2-ylidene (δBuNHC) was purchased from TCI Shanghai. Benzene-$d_6$ was dried over a sodium/potassium alloy and vacuum-distilled or filtered.

3.2. Stoichiometric Reaction of Al(BHT)$_2$Me with MMA and In Situ Generation of MMA→Al(BHT)$_2$Me Adduct

To a benzene-$d_6$ (0.5 mL) solution of MMA (3.5 mg, 0.035 mmol) in a J-Young NMR tube, Al(BHT)$_2$Me (16.8 mg, 0.035 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to react for 10 min before $^1$H-NMR measurement, which indicated the formation of MMA→Al(BHT)$_2$Me adduct. $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-$d_6$, 25 $\degree$C): δ 7.18 (s, 4H, Ar–H), 6.20 (s, 1H, =CH$_2$), 5.11 (s, 3H, =CH$_2$), 3.17 (s, 3H, –OCH$_3$), 2.32 (s, 6H, Ar–CH$_3$), 1.56 (s, 36H, –CH$_2$Bu), 1.39 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$).

3.3. Stoichiometric Reaction of Al(BHT)$_2$Me with (Ph)EtNHC and In Situ Generation of (Ph)EtNHC→Al(BHT)$_2$Me Adduct

To a benzene-$d_6$ (0.5 mL) solution of (Ph)EtNHC (5.0 mg, 0.018 mmol) in a J-Young NMR tube, Al(BHT)$_2$Me (8.7 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to react for 10 min before $^1$H-NMR measurement, which indicated the formation of (Ph)EtNHC→Al(BHT)$_2$Me adduct as two isomers A (major) and B (minor) in a 6:4 ratio. $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-$d_6$, 25 $\degree$C): δ 6.98–7.20 (m, (Ph)EtNHC: 8H, Ph–H, Al(BHT)$_2$Me: 4H, Ar–H), 6.61 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, (Ph)EtNHC: 2H, Ph–H), 6.42 (s, 0.7H, B isomer, –CH=CH$\cdots$), 6.32 (s, 1.3H, A isomer, –CH=CH$\cdots$), 6.04 (q, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 0.7H, B isomer, >CHCH$_3$), 5.98 (q, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1.3H, A isomer, >CHCH$_3$), 2.40 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 6H, >CHCH$_3$), 1.33–1.59 (m, 42H, –CH$_2$Bu and Ar–CH$_3$), –0.21 (s, 3H, Al–CH$_3$).
3.4. General Polymerization Procedures

Polymerizations were performed either in 25 mL flame-dried Schlenk flasks interfaced to the dual-manifold Schlenk line for runs using external temperature bath or in 20 mL glass reactors inside the glovebox for ambient temperature (ca. 25 °C) runs. In a typical polymerization procedure, a predetermined amount of LA was first dissolved in a predetermined amount of monomer [M] and solvent inside a glovebox. The polymerization was started by the rapid addition of an LB solution in a solvent via a gastight syringe to the above-mentioned mixture containing the LA and monomer under vigorous stirring. The amount of the monomer was fixed for the varied [M]/[LB] ratio runs. After the measured time interval, a 0.2 mL aliquot was taken from the reaction mixture via syringe and quickly quenched into a 2 mL vial containing 0.4 mL of undried “wet” CDCl₃ stabilized by 250 ppm of BHT-H; the quenched aliquots were later analyzed by ¹H-NMR to obtain the percent monomer conversion data. After the polymerization was stirred for the stated reaction time, the polymer was immediately precipitated into 200 mL of methanol, stirred for 1 h, filtered, washed with methanol, and dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C overnight to a constant weight.

3.5. Polymer Characterizations

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C spectra were referenced to internal solvent resonances and are reported as parts per million relative to SiMe₄. Polymer number-average molecular weights (Mₙ) and molecular weight distributions (D = Mₘ/Mₙ) were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses carried out at 40 °C and a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, with THF as the eluent, on a Waters 1515 GPC instrument equipped with a Waters 2414 refractive-index detector and three Waters Styragel HR-3,4,5 columns connected in series. The instrument was calibrated with 10 PMMA standards, and chromatograms were processed with Waters Empower software (Version 3, Waters Ireland, Dublin, Ireland). The isolated low molecular weight samples were analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF MS); the experiment was performed on an Shimadzu Biotech Axima Performance MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Kyoto, Japan) operated in positive ion reflector mode using an Nd:YAG laser at 355 nm and a 25 kV accelerating voltage. A thin layer of a 1% NaI solution was first deposited on the target plate, followed by 0.6 µL of both sample and matrix (dithranol, 10 mg/mL in 50% ACN, 0.1% TFA). External calibration was done using a peptide calibration mixture (4–6 peptides) on a spot adjacent to the sample.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we have established an effective strategy for controlled and efficient Lewis pair polymerizations of conjugated polar alkenes including MMA, ⁷BuMA, and γMMBL under mild conditions. Success is attributable to the judicious selection of cooperative LAs and LBs: the introduction of sterically demanding Al(BHT)₂Me as an LA can completely shut down intramolecular backbiting termination, and the utilization of a strong nucleophile NHC as an LB ensures high activity and acceptable initiation efficiency. Investigation into the scope of LAs showed that an Al(BHT)₂Me-based LA is unique for such LPP, while the other less sterically hindered LAs, such as Al(C₆F₅)₃, B(C₆F₅)₃, AlMe₃, AlEt₃, and Al’Bu₃, did not lead to any polymer formation or resulted in uncontrolled/low active polymerization. In this study, compared to the ¹PrNHC and ¹BuNHC, the (Ph)EtNHC-based LP coupled with Al(BHT)₂Me, which showed a fine balance between the ability to attack monomers and the propensity to dissociate from CLPs, exhibited the highest activity (TOF up to 3000 h⁻¹) and an initiation efficiency up to 60.6%. Note that the (Ph)EtNHC/Al(BHT)₂Me and ¹PrNHC/Al(BHT)₂Me LP systems have good control over the polymerization of MMA with a living characteristic, as evidenced by chain-extension experiments and chain-end analysis. The controlled characteristic can also be extended to ⁷BuMA and MMBL polymerizations and enable the synthesis of well-defined diblock copolymers.
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