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ABSTRACT

In 2015 July 29–September 1, the satellite XMM–Newton pointed at the BL Lac object PG 1553+133 six times, collecting data for 218 h. During one of these epochs, simultaneous observations by the Swift satellite were requested to compare the results of the X-ray and optical–UV instruments. Optical, near-infrared and radio monitoring was carried out by the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope (WEBT) collaboration for the whole observing season. We here present the results of the analysis of all these data, together with an investigation of the source photometric and polarimetric behaviour over the last 3 yr. The 2015 EPIC spectra show slight curvature and the corresponding light curves display fast X-ray variability with a time-scale of the order of 1 h. In contrast to previous results, during the brightest X-ray states detected in 2015 the simple log-parabolic model that best fits the XMM–Newton data also reproduces reasonably well the whole synchrotron bump, suggesting a peak in the near-UV band. We found evidence of a wide rotation of the polarization angle in 2014, when the polarization degree was variable, but the flux remained almost constant. This is difficult to interpret with deterministic jet emission models, while it can be easily reproduced by assuming some turbulence of the magnetic field.

Key words: galaxies: active – BL Lacertae objects: general – BL Lacertae objects: individual: PG 1553+113.

1 INTRODUCTION

The active galactic nuclei known as ‘blazars’ include flat-spectrum radio quasars and BL Lac objects. They show strong flux variability over all the electromagnetic spectrum, high and variable
polarization, superluminal motion of the radio knots, brightness temperatures exceeding the Compton limit. These properties are explained by assuming that blazar emission comes from a plasma jet oriented close to the line of sight, implying relativistic beaming of the radiation (Blandford & Königl 1979). This is produced by synchrotron and likely inverse-Compton processes at low and high energies, respectively (Königl 1981).

The source PG 1553+113 is a high-energy peaked BL Lac object (HBL), which means that its spectral energy distribution (SED) shows a synchrotron bump extending from the radio to the X-rays, and an inverse-Compton bump from X-rays to TeV energies. For these sources, the inverse-Compton radiation is usually assumed to originate from the scattering of synchrotron photons by the same relativistic electrons that produce the synchrotron emission (SSC models; e.g. Mastichiadis & Kirk 1997). The redshift of PG 1553+113 has been investigated in several ways, but has not been firmly established yet. Constraints derived from the analysis of the intergalactic absorption features set it in the range 0.43 < z < 0.58 (Danforth et al. 2010). Lower limits to the redshift were also obtained from spectroscopy, z > 0.12 (Shaw et al. 2013) and z > 0.3 (Landoni et al. 2014). Studies of the very-high-energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) spectrum suggested z < 0.5 (Orr 2011), z = 0.49 ± 0.04 (Abramowski et al. 2015) or z ≈ 0.4 (Aleksić et al. 2015). The source has recently gained particular attention because of the ~2 yr quasi-periodicity of its flares (Ackermann et al. 2015) and because of its possible association with neutrino signals detected by IceCube (e.g. Padovani et al. 2016; Righi, Tavecchio & Guetta 2016).

The SED modelling has produced different results. Abdo et al. (2010) obtained satisfactory fits to the broad-band source SED, from the radio to the VHE band, with a one-zone SSC model where the energy distribution of the electrons producing the synchrotron radiation is modelled by a three-component power law. Different source states were accounted for by only changing the parameters determining this law, while Aleksić et al. (2012) had to fine-tune six parameters to explain the noticeable SED variations. A one-zone SSC model was also applied to the broad-band SED observed during the 2012 flaring state by Aleksić et al. (2015).

In 2013, the Whole Earth Blazar Telescope1 (WEBT) coordinated a multiwavelength campaign on PG 1553+113 in support of MAGIC observations. The results of the WEBT radio-to-optical monitoring were presented in Raiteri et al. (2015) and used together with observations by Swift and XMM–Newton to analyse the source synchrotron emission. The picture that emerged was enigmatic because the connection between the UV and X-ray spectra seemed to require a double curvature. Possible interpretations were discussed, favouring variations of the viewing angle of the jet emitting regions, whose effects were tested with an inhomogeneous SSC helical jet model (Villata & Raiteri 1999; Raiteri et al. 2009). Different SED shapes corresponding to various brightness states were matched by changing only three parameters defining the jet geometry.

In 2015, nearly 218 h of XMM–Newton observing time on PG 1553+113 were awarded to investigate the warm–hot intergalactic medium (WHIM; e.g. Nicastro et al. 2013, and references therein). At the same time, this offered an extraordinary opportunity to study in detail the emission of the blazar itself and, in particular, the problem of the spectral connection between the UV and X-ray regions discussed by Raiteri et al. (2015). To maximize the scientific output, the WEBT organized a new multifrequency campaign involving optical, near-infrared and radio observing facilities. Moreover, Swift target of opportunity observations simultaneous to one of the XMM–Newton pointings were activated to check for cross-calibration between the optical-UV and X-ray detectors. MAGIC observations were also scheduled in the first half of August. Their results will be reported elsewhere.

In this paper, we present the results of the six XMM–Newton guest-observer (GO) observations of PG 1553+113 in 2015 (Section 2), together with those of the Swift observations (Section 3) and WEBT continuous monitoring (Section 4) in the same period. We discuss the SEDs built with contemporaneous data in the six XMM–Newton epochs (Section 5). Moreover, we analyse the long-term (2013–2015) optical photometric and polarimetric behaviour of the source in conjunction with that observed in the X-ray band (Section 6) and discuss a possible interpretation (Section 7). Summary and conclusions are outlined at the end (Section 8).

### 2 OBSERVATIONS BY XMM–NEWTON

Six long GO observations of PG 1553+113 were performed by XMM–Newton in 2015, between July 29 and September 1 (PI: F. Nicastro). Their logbook is given in Table 1. The total observing time was 784 100 s.

#### 2.1 EPIC

The European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) onboard XMM–Newton carries three detectors: MOS1, MOS2 (Turner et al. 2001) and pn (Strüder et al. 2001). During the GO observations of PG 1553+113 in 2015, the pn detector was set in full-frame mode2 with thin filter; MOS1 operated in small-window mode with thick filter, and MOS2 in small-window mode with thin filter. We ran the emproc and epmproc tasks of the sas package, version 14.0.0, to reduce the data.

#### 2.1.1 Spectra

Good time intervals with low background counts were selected by asking that the count rate of high-energy events (>10 keV) was less than 0.35 and 0.40 counts s−1 on the MOS and pn detectors, respectively. We extracted source counts from a circular region with 25–35 arcsec radius and the background counts from a source-free circle with 50–70 arcsec radius. The epatplot task was used to verify the presence of pile-up. This was not a problem for the MOS detectors, but in the case of the pn data, we had to pierce the source counts extraction region, excluding a central circle with 10 arcsec radius.3

| Rev. | Start          | End          | Duration (s) |
|------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2864 | 2015-07-29 19:57:33 | 2015-07-31 20:24:13 | 138 400 |
| 2865 | 2015-08-02 19:40:00 | 2015-08-04 10:15:00 | 138 900 |
| 2867 | 2015-08-04 19:32:00 | 2015-08-06 10:07:00 | 138 900 |
| 2869 | 2015-08-08 19:12:07 | 2015-08-10 09:47:07 | 138 900 |
| 2873 | 2015-08-16 18:52:06 | 2015-08-17 19:52:06 | 90 000 |
| 2880 | 2015-08-30 17:52:29 | 2015-09-01 08:29:09 | 139 000 |

1 http://www.oato.inaf.it/blazars/webt/

2 With the only exception of the observation on August 16–17, when it was set to small window.

3 This was not necessary for the August 16–17 data.

---
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Table 1. Logbook of the XMM–Newton GO observations of PG 1553+113 in 2015 (PI: F. Nicastro).
are the best calibrated ones, and rejected events next to either the edges of the CCDs or bad pixels (FLAG==0). We produced effective area files with the task epicalccorr and redistribution matrix files with rmfgen. We used the task grppha of the HEASOFT package to bin each spectrum and associate it with the corresponding background, redistribution matrix (rmf) and ancillary (arf) files. We set a binning of at least 25 counts for each spectral channel to use the \( \chi^2 \) statistic. All spectra were analysed with XSPEC version 12.9.0 between 0.3 keV and 10 keV in the case of MOS, and between 0.3 keV and 12 keV in the pn case. We fitted the three EPIC spectra of each observation first separately and then together. In the latter case, we allowed for some offset between detectors and normalized with respect to MOS1.

The spectra were fitted with both an absorbed power law \( N(E) = N_0 E^{-\Gamma} \), where \( N_0 \) represents the number of photons keV\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at 1 keV, and an absorbed log-parabolic model

\[
N(E) = N_0 (E/E_s)^{-\alpha-\beta \log(E/E_s)},
\]

where \( E_s \) is a scale parameter that we fixed equal to 1 keV. In all cases, we adopted the Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000) elemental abundances. An absorbed power law with \( N_0 \) fixed at the Galactic value of \( 3.72 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (from the LAB survey; Kalberla et al. 2005) gave statistically bad fits, with \( \chi^2_{\text{red}} \) of 1.25, 1.29, 1.21, 1.07, 1.27 and 1.49 for the six epochs. We obtained good fits with a power law with free absorption and acceptable fits with a log-parabola with absorption fixed at the Galactic value. The results of the spectral fitting with these two models are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

The power-law fits are statistically better, but suggest an absorption that is 23–52 per cent higher than the Galactic value. This may indicate a spectral curvature that, according to the log-parabolic fits, is however not very pronounced. Indeed, the \( \beta \) parameter ranges between 0.06 on August 8–10 and 0.15 on August 30–September 1. We note that the minimum/maximum flux density, respectively.

For illustrative purposes, Fig. 1 shows the three EPIC spectra acquired on August 8–10, with the absorbed log-parabolic model folded and data-to-model ratio.

---

**Figure 1.** X-ray spectra of PG 1553+113 acquired by the EPIC instruments onboard XMM-Newton on 2015 August 8–10. Black, red and green symbols represent MOS1, MOS2 and pn data, respectively. The folded model, an absorbed log parabola with \( N_0 = 3.72 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\), is shown by solid lines of the same colour. The ratio between the data and the folded model is plotted in the bottom panel.

**2.1.2 Light curves**

We checked the EPIC data for possible fast variability, which can put constraints on the size of the emitting region. We built MOS1, MOS2 and pn light curves following standard prescriptions.\(^4\) We performed a barycentric correction on the event files with the barycen task. Source and background light curves were extracted in the 0.2–10 keV energy range from the same regions used for the spectral analysis. For the pn, we considered single and double events only, while for the MOS we also included triples and quadruples. The task epiclccorr was finally run to obtain background-subtracted light curves, after performing both absolute (energy-dependent) and relative (time-dependent) corrections. Fig. 2 shows the corrected light curves. Some variability can be recognized especially during

---

\(^4\) [http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-Newton/sas-thread-timing](http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-Newton/sas-thread-timing)

---

**Table 2.** Results of the EPIC spectral fitting with a power-law model with free absorption.

| Date       | \( N_0 (10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) | \( \Gamma \) | \( F_{\text{keV}} (\mu\text{Jy}) \) | \( \chi^2_{\text{red}} \) (d.o.f.) |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| July 29–31 | 5.02 ± 0.11                   | 2.565 ± 0.006 | 3.260 ± 0.016  | 1.09 (2671)                      |
| August 2–4 | 3.20 ± 0.11                   | 2.564 ± 0.006 | 2.995 ± 0.015  | 1.08 (2787)                      |
| August 4–6 | 3.04 ± 0.10                   | 2.560 ± 0.006 | 3.010 ± 0.015  | 1.05 (2832)                      |
| August 8–10| 2.57 ± 0.11                   | 2.551 ± 0.006 | 2.893 ± 0.015  | 1.00 (2657)                      |
| August 16–17| 3.92 ± 0.09                  | 2.594 ± 0.005 | 3.678 ± 0.018  | 1.08 (2865)                      |
| Aug 30-Sep 1| 5.65 ± 0.10                  | 2.595 ± 0.005 | 3.912 ± 0.017  | 1.08 (2948)                      |

**Table 3.** Results of the EPIC spectral fitting with a log-parabolic model with absorption fixed at the Galactic value \( N_0 = 3.72 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\).

| Date         | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | \( F_{\text{keV}} (\mu\text{Jy}) \) | \( \chi^2_{\text{red}} \) (d.o.f.) |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| July 29–31   | 2.487 ± 0.004 | 0.104 ± 0.010 | 3.179 ± 0.012  | 1.13 (2671)                      |
| August 2–4   | 2.476 ± 0.004 | 0.115 ± 0.010 | 2.909 ± 0.011  | 1.14 (2787)                      |
| August 4–6   | 2.482 ± 0.003 | 0.101 ± 0.009 | 2.931 ± 0.012  | 1.10 (2832)                      |
| August 8–10  | 2.501 ± 0.004 | 0.057 ± 0.010 | 2.645 ± 0.013  | 1.04 (2657)                      |
| August 16–17 | 2.521 ± 0.003 | 0.102 ± 0.008 | 3.596 ± 0.016  | 1.12 (2865)                      |
| Aug 30-Sep 1 | 2.481 ± 0.003 | 0.151 ± 0.009 | 3.767 ± 0.013  | 1.18 (2948)                      |
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Figure 2. X-ray background-corrected light curves from the EPIC detectors during the XMM–Newton pointings. Grey plus signs correspond to the MOS1 rates obtained with a binning of 900 s, while the black line shows the result of a binning over 3600 s. The red and green lines represent 3600 s binning on the MOS2 and pn data, respectively, properly scaled so that the mean values of the three data sets match.

the last XMM–Newton pointing, on a hourly time-scale. This suggests that the size of the emitting region is $R \lesssim \delta \times 10^{14}$ cm, where $\delta$ is the Doppler beaming factor and typically ranges between 4 and 35 in blazars (Savolainen et al. 2010).

2.2 OM

During each XMM–Newton observation, the Optical Monitor (OM; Mason et al. 2001) instrument acquired many exposures in the optical and UV wide-band filters $V$, $B$, $U$, $W1$, $M2$ and $W2$. For each filter, one exposure in imaging mode (5000 s long) is followed by one exposure in fast, imaging mode (usually 4400 s long); the sequence ends with a number (4–14) of fast exposures in $W2$ band, for a total integration time of 6–17 h in this band.

We processed the data with the pipelines omichain and omfchain of the SAS package, and analysed the results with the omsource task. Source magnitudes corresponding to the imaging mode are shown in Fig. 3. Flux densities were obtained by multiplying the count rates for the conversion factors derived from observations of white dwarf standard stars.$^5$ We conservatively assumed a 10 per cent uncertainty on the count rates for all filters.

2.3 Joint EPIC-OM spectral fitting

The exceptional quality of the XMM–Newton data encouraged us to try a joint fit of the EPIC and OM data. We thus used the SAS task om2pha to convert the OM data into “spectra” that can be read into

\[ \chi^2 \]

http://xmm.esac.esa.int/sas/8.0.0/watchout/

Table 4. Results of the joint OM+EPIC spectral fitting with a log-parabolic model with free reddening and absorption.

| Date       | $E(B-V)$ (10$^{-2}$ mag) | $N_{\text{H}}$ (10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ (d.o.f.) | $r/r_{\text{MW}}$ |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| July 29–31 | 3.32 ± 0.07              | 4.30 ± 0.05                         | 2.36 (2669)                   | 2.6              |
| August 2–4 | 3.74 ± 0.08              | 4.40 ± 0.05                         | 1.51 (2786)                   | 2.4              |
| August 4–6 | 3.49 ± 0.08              | 4.27 ± 0.05                         | 1.75 (2830)                   | 2.5              |
| August 8–10| 2.88 ± 0.09              | 3.56 ± 0.05                         | 1.71 (2655)                   | 2.5              |
| August 16–17| 5.02 ± 0.09             | 3.81 ± 0.04                         | 1.32 (2859)                   | 1.5              |
| Aug 30–Sep 1| 4.20 ± 0.08             | 4.47 ± 0.05                         | 1.52 (2947)                   | 2.2              |

XSPEC. The fitting model must be curved since we are considering a broad frequency range that goes through the synchrotron peak. We adopted a log-parabolic model (Landau et al. 1986; Massaro et al. 2004), with reddening applied to the OM data and absorption to the EPIC data (redden*tbabs*logpar). Galactic reddening was set to $E(B-V) = 0.05$ (see Raiteri et al. 2015). Fixing both $E(B-V)$ and $N_{\text{H}}$ to their Galactic values results in a poor fit, which in general improves significantly when thawing the reddening parameter, but not so much when thawing $N_{\text{H}}$. Leaving both parameters free to vary gives an even slightly better fit, but its goodness is still questionable ($\chi^2/\nu = 1.3$–2.4, see Table 4). In general, a free $E(B-V)$ gives a value smaller than the Galactic one, while a free $N_{\text{H}}$ goes in the opposite direction, both results suggesting a gas/dust ratio $r = N_{\text{H}}/E(B-V)$ higher than the average value in the Milky Way, $r_{\text{MW}}$. Assuming $r_{\text{MW}} = 4.93 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ mag$^{-1}$ from Diplas & Savage (1994), we obtain gas/dust ratios from 1.5 to 2.6 times the Galactic value. The other parameters of the model, i.e. $\alpha$, $\beta$ and
the normalization, do not change much when freezing or thawing reddening and absorption.

We conclude that the log-parabolic model does not give a statistically acceptable description of the OM+EPIC spectrum. The above attempts indicate that the OM values for the source brightness are too high to allow for a fair connection between the optical–UV and X-ray spectra. However, we have to consider that these joint fits are strongly constrained by the large number of degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) of the X-ray spectra that give a heavy weight to the X-ray data. A comparison with optical–UV and X-ray data by Swift as well as with the WEBT data from the ground is crucial to assess whether the low goodness of the joint fits is due to data uncertainties or if it has to do with the source spectral properties.

### 3 Observations by Swift

The Swift satellite carries three instruments: the Ultraviolet/Optical telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005), the X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) and the BAT telescope. Data were reduced with the HEASOFT\(^6\) package version 6.18 available at the NASA’s High Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC).

#### 3.1 XRT

We reduced the XRT data with the CALDB calibration release 20160121. The task `xrtpipeline` was run on the observations performed in pointing mode with standard screening criteria, selecting events with grades 0–12 for the PC mode and grades 0–2 for the WT mode. We retained all the PC and WT observations with exposure time greater than 1 min and 30 s, respectively. Data acquired in PC mode were affected by pile-up, so we extracted the source counts from an annular region with inner and outer radius of 10 and 75 arcsec, respectively, while the background counts were derived from an annulus with radii of 100 and 150 arcsec. For the observations performed in WT mode, we extracted the source counts in a circular region with 70 arcsec radius, and the background counts in the same annulus used for the PC mode. The task `xrtmkarf` was used to create ancillary response files to correct for hot columns, bad pixels and the loss of counts caused by using an annular extraction region in the pile-up case. We binned each spectrum and associated it with the corresponding background, redistribution matrix (rmf) and ancillary (arf) files with the task `grppha`, setting a binning of at least 20 counts for each spectral channel in order to use the \(\chi^2\) statistic. We fitted the XRT spectra with the same models adopted for the EPIC spectra (see Section 2.1.1). When the number of degrees of freedom was less or equal to 10, we checked the results with the Cash’s C statistic. The X-ray light curves will be presented and discussed in Section 6.

#### 3.2 UVOT

UVOT observed PG 1553+113 with the \(v, b\) and \(u\) optical filters and \(w_1, m_2\) and \(w_2\) UV filters. Data were processed with the calibration release 20160321 of the CALDB data base accessible from the HEASARC. For each epoch, we summed multiple images in the same filter with the task `uvotimsum` and then performed aperture photometry with `uvotsource`. Source counts were extracted from a circular region with 5 arcsec radius centred on the source, while background counts were obtained from a circle with 20 arcsec radius located in a source-free field region close to the source. The results were checked against the results of aperture photometry on the single images. We also investigated the occurrence of small scale sensitivity effects\(^7\) due to the possibility that the source falls on the small areas of the detector with lower throughput.

Fig. 3 displays the optical and UV magnitudes derived from the UVOT data as well as those coming from the OM observations in imaging mode. The comparison between the results of the two instruments shows a fair agreement, as the OM data points lie on the path traced by the UVOT data points, and the differences between simultaneous data are within the uncertainties. The light curves present a general decreasing trend, with the XMM–Newton observations occurring when the source was in its faintest state.

De-reddened flux densities were obtained from UVOT data by following the prescriptions of Raiteri et al. (2015).

| Observatory     | Country   | Bands |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
| Abastumani      | Georgia   | R     |
| AstroCamp       | Spain     | R     |
| Belogradchik    | Bulgaria  | BVRI  |
| Catania         | Italy     | BVRI  |
| Crimea\(^1\)    | Russia    | BVRI  |
| Lulin\(^1\)     | Taiwan    | \(g, r, i\) |
| Michael Adrian  | Germany   | RI    |
| Mt. Mādānāk\(^2\) | Uzbekistan | BVRI |
| New Mexico Skies | USA       | R     |
| ROVOR           | USA       | RV    |
| Rožhen\(^2\)    | Bulgaria  | BVRI  |
| Siding Spring   | Australia | R     |
| Sirio           | Italy     | R     |
| Skinanak\(^1\)  | Greece    | BVRI  |
| St. Petersburg\(^1\) | Russia | BVRI |
| Teide\(^2\)     | Spain     | VR    |
| Tijarafe        | Spain     | BR    |
| ASV\(^3\)       | Serbia    | BVRI  |
| Campo Imperatore | Italy     | JHK   |
| Roque de los Muchachos (TNG) | Spain | JHK |
| Teide           | Spain     | JHK   |
| Medicina        | Italy     | 5, 8 GHz |
| Metsähovi       | Finland   | 37 GHz |

Notes. \(^1\)photometry and photopolarimetry

\(^2\)two telescopes

\(^3\)Astronomical Station Vidojevica

\(^6\)http://heasarc.nasa.gov/heasoft/

\(^7\)http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/analysis/uvot_digest/sss_check.html

### 4 WEBT Data

The WEBT campaign on PG 1553+113 in 2015 was motivated by and focused on the giant amount of XMM–Newton time awarded in July–September. Nonetheless, we collected data from the whole optical observing season. Table 5 lists the participating observatories, together with their country and observing bands.
In the optical band, 21 telescopes in 18 observatories acquired data for the campaign. The calibration of the source magnitude was obtained with respect to the photometric sequence published by Raiteri et al. (2015). We collected 3120 data points in the Johnson–Cousins' $B$, $V$, $R$, $I$ bands, which were carefully assembled to obtain homogeneous and reliable light curves. In particular, overlapping of different data sets sometimes revealed offsets that were corrected by shifting deviant data sets to make them match the common trend traced by the others. Moreover, the scatter of dense but noisy data sets was reduced either by binning data points close in time or by deleting points that lie more than 1 standard deviation out of the night average, if no trend is recognizable. Finally, we discarded data with large errors (larger than 0.1 mag) or standing clearly out of the location defined by the bulk of the other points. The cleaning process is facilitated by the simultaneous inspection of the multifrequency light curves. The final light curves are displayed in Fig. 4. They show the same decreasing trend already seen in the Swift–UVOT light curves in Fig. 3. The sampling is denser during the core of the campaign, centred on the XMM–Newton observations.

Near-infrared observations were performed at the Campo Imperatore, Roque de los Muchachos (TNG), and Teide Observatories. Data reduction and analysis procedures are described in Raiteri et al. (2014). The $JHK$ flux densities are plotted in Fig. 4 and show a course similar to that observed in the optical bands.

Radio data were acquired at 5 GHz and 8 GHz with the 32-m dish of the Medicina Radio Astronomical Station and at 37 GHz with the 14-m radio telescope of the Metsähovi Radio Observatory. Data are processed as explained in D’Ammando et al. (2012) and Teräsranta et al. (1998). The radio flux variability is modest.

---

Figure 4. Final $BVRIJHK$ light curves of PG 1553+113 obtained by the WEBT collaboration. Different colours and symbols highlight data from different telescopes. Grey boxes indicate the XMM–Newton observations. In the bottom panel flux densities at 5 GHz (purple triangles), 8 GHz (blue diamonds) and 37 GHz (green squares) are shown.

---

8 Lulin’s data were acquired in the SDSS $g$, $r$, $i$ filters and transformed into $B$, $V$, $R$, $I$ values with the Chonis & Gaskell (2008) calibrations.
Figure 5. SEDs of PG 1553+113 during the six XMM–Newton pointings in 2015 July–September. Both the power-law (blue) and log-parabolic (red) fits to the MOS1, MOS2 and pn spectra analysed together are shown. The OM data acquired in imaging mode are plotted as blue squares, while red diamonds display data taken in fast, imaging mode. Black circles represent WEBT data points. On August 8–10, a simultaneous Swift observation was performed; its results are plotted in green. The grey continuous line represents the log-parabolic fit to the joint OM+EPIC data of the last XMM–Newton pointing; it is reported in all panels to guide the eye. The log-parabolic fits to the joint OM+EPIC data of each epoch are shown as orange long-dashed lines.

5 SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION

Fig. 5 shows the broad-band SEDs of the source during the six XMM–Newton pointings. In X-rays, both fits to the EPIC data (power law with free absorption and log-parabola with Galactic absorption) are plotted. A zoom into the near-infrared-to-UV frequency range is displayed in Fig. 6. In both figures imaging and fast, imaging OM data are plotted separately.

The August 8–10 SED also includes the Swift data obtained simultaneously to the XMM–Newton pointing. They indicate a slightly lower flux in the UV and a bit harder X-ray spectrum than the XMM–Newton data. The UVOT spectrum also shows a less pronounced curvature with respect to those analysed by Raiteri et al. (2015).

Simultaneous optical and near-infrared data taken during the WEBT campaign are added. Flux densities were corrected for Galactic absorption according to the prescriptions given by Raiteri et al. (2015). The WEBT data are in agreement, within errors, with the space data in the overlapping spectral range. In the radio band, we plotted the nearest data in time, with a tolerance of a couple of weeks.

In each panel, we show the result of the log-parabolic fit to the joint OM and EPIC data of each epoch, together with that of the sixth XMM–Newton pointing (see Section 2.3). While in the first five epochs the joint OM+EPIC fits overproduce the WEBT data (radio+near-infrared in the first two cases, radio only in the other three), the fit obtained in the sixth epoch also matches the contemporaneous radio data and suggests that the synchrotron peak lies in the near-UV band. It is also consistent with the broad-band SED of the fifth pointing, while slightly overproduces the X-ray flux of the other epochs and slightly underproduces the UV flux of the first one.

In Fig. 7, we compare this log-parabolic model with the fits to a high, intermediate and low X-ray state of the source obtained by Raiteri et al. (2015) with an inhomogeneous SSC helical jet model (Villata & Raiteri 1999; Raiteri et al. 2009). The 2015 UV-to-X-ray flux is in between those characterizing the intermediate and low
states, and the X-ray spectrum appears softer, while the radio flux is higher. We also show a realization of the same jet model that can satisfactorily reproduce the SED of 2015 August 30–September 1. With respect to the Raiteri et al. (2015) fits, it was obtained by changing the three parameters defining the jet orientation, and by lowering the emitted minimum and maximum frequencies at the jet apex. The new parameters are $\alpha = 75^\circ$, $\psi = 24^\circ$, $\phi = 18^\circ$ and $\log \nu = 15.4$–$18.2$ (rest frame). All the other model parameters are fixed to the values used in Raiteri et al. (2015). The largest separation between the log-parabolic and the jet model fits occurs in the infrared, so in Fig. 7, we added the WISE data downloaded from the AllWISE Source Catalog. Although the WISE data are not contemporaneous with the other data, they suggest a power-law shape of the SED in that wavelength range, more in agreement with the jet model.

In conclusion, the 2015 SEDs indicate an intermediate UV-to-X-ray state as compared to those analysed by Raiteri et al. (2015). Moreover, the smaller curvature of the UV and X-ray spectra in 2015 allows for a better connection between the UV and X-ray spectra, so that in first approximation a simple log-parabolic fit appears suitable to describe at least the SEDs with the highest X-ray fluxes. However, a more detailed analysis requires a more complex model, like the inhomogeneous SSC helical jet model that has already been applied to previous, more problematic SEDs of this source.

6 LONG-TERM PHOTOMETRIC AND POLARIMETRIC BEHAVIOUR

The long-term (2013–2015) optical and X-ray light curves are presented in Fig. 8 and compared with the behaviour of the polarization percentage $P$ and of the electric vector position angle (EVPA). Fig. 9 shows an enlargement of the period including the XMM–Newton pointings examined in this paper and the corresponding WEBT campaign.

A prominent flare was detected in the X-ray band at the very end of 2014–very beginning of 2015, which was characterized by a rapid fall and was followed by a minor flare. The ratio between the maximum and the minimum flux is $\sim 13$. The decreasing part of the X-ray major flare was observed also in the optical band, where it was probably more contained. The maximum observed flux density in the $R$ band was only $\sim 2.6$ times higher than the minimum in 2015. The optical counterpart of the X-ray second, minor flare appears to be more extended in time.

Optical polarimetric data were acquired at the Crimean, Lulin, Skinakas and St Petersburg observatories. Two points with large error ($\sigma_P/P > 2$) were removed. The $\pm n\pi$ ($n \in N$) ambiguity on the EVPA was treated by minimizing the difference between adjacent points. The value of $P$ rapidly oscillates between $\sim 1$ per cent and $\sim 10$ per cent in a way that does not appear to be correlated with the optical (see also Fig. 10) or X-ray brightness behaviour. The first polarimetric datum in 2015, when the source flux was still high, does not reveal particularly high polarization. Instead, $P$ reached the highest values in 2014, when the flux was rather stable and relatively low.

The EVPA is quite variable too. It increases during all the 2014 observing season and makes a rotation of $35^\circ$ in about six months, with a mean rate of $\sim 60^\circ$ per month, while it remains more or less stable after the major flare. Notice, however, that the jump at JD = 2456794 is $\sim 86^\circ$, i.e. very close to $90^\circ$. Therefore, at that epoch

http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/Gator/nph-dd
Figure 8. From top to bottom: time trend of the X-ray count rate (top panel), de-absorbed flux density in $R$ band, percentage polarization $P$ and electric vector polarization angle EVPA. In the top panel, red plus signs indicate Swift-XRT observations performed in WT mode, while blue crosses those in PC mode. In the other panels, red diamonds, cyan asterisks, blue circles and green triangles refer to data from the Crimean, Lulin, Skinakas and St Petersburg observatories, respectively. Grey plus signs in the second panel indicate the whole WEBT data set in the $R$ band, while the black crosses in the last panel correspond to VLBA measurements at 15 GHz obtained by the MOJAVE Project.

The EVPA minimizing procedure sets the rotation counter-clockwise (increasing EVPA) instead of clockwise (decreasing EVPA) because of a difference of a few degrees only. This is of the same order of the overall error on the two data points defining the EVPA jump. The opposite choice would produce a smaller total rotation and rate, about 230° in 4.8 months, i.e. $\sim 50°$ per month.$^{10}$

Fig. 9 allows us to identify other, smaller rotations of the EVPA corresponding to different behaviours of polarization degree and optical flux. In particular, a nearly 60° change of EVPA was observed in about 10 d, between JD $= 2457231$ and 2457241, with $P$ reaching a minimum at the rotation inversion point and the optical flux density remaining in a steadily decreasing phase and achieving a minimum just after $P$.

The MOJAVE Project obtained two VLBA polarization measurements$^{11}$ at 15 GHz in the period we are considering, yielding radio EVPAs of 49° on 2014 January 11 and 22° on 2014 December 12. These values are plotted in Fig. 8 (the latter augmented by 360°) and show that there may be some displacement between the directions of the optical and radio polarization. The position angle of the structure of the radio source estimated from the MOJAVE data is about $-40°$, so the radio EVPAs are nearly transverse to the jet axis.

7 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we focused on two main issues regarding the spectral and polarimetric behaviour of the HBL PG 1553$+113$.

In Raiteri et al. (2015), we found a disagreement between the SEDs built with Swift and XMM–Newton data acquired at a short time distance (about 6 weeks) in 2013. The UVOT spectra showed a pronounced curvature, with a steep UV spectrum whose extrapolation to higher energies did not meet the soft XRT spectrum. On the contrary, the optical–UV spectrum obtained from the OM data was flat and the connection with the EPIC spectrum was less puzzling. To better clarify the matter, in Raiteri et al. (2015), we

$^{10}$ For a discussion on the treatment of the EVPA $\pm \pi$ ambiguity see also Kiehlmann et al. (2016).

$^{11}$ http://www.physics.purdue.edu/~astro/MOJAVE/
Figure 9. A zoom into the trends shown in Fig. 8. Boxes indicate the epochs of the XMM–Newton pointings. In the top panel, the 1 keV flux density is given for both the Swift-XRT observations (purple crosses) and the XMM–Newton-EPIC ones (black empty circles). They have been obtained by applying an absorbed power-law model with free $N_{\text{H}}$.

Figure 10. Polarization percentage in the $R$ band as a function of the $R$-band magnitude.

compared the 2013 data with previous Swift, IUE and HST-COS observations, confirming the UV spectrum steepness showed by the UVOT data. We then fitted the various radio-to-X-ray SEDs with an inhomogeneous SSC helical jet model (Villata & Raiteri 1999; Raiteri et al. 2009), where different X-ray brightness states and spectral shapes are reproduced by changing only three parameters defining the jet geometry and orientation. The 2015 SEDs analysed in this paper found the source in an intermediate UV-to-X-ray brightness state with respect to those discussed by Raiteri et al. (2015). They show a consistency within the uncertainties between the XMM–Newton and Swift data and smaller optical–UV and X-ray spectral curvatures. The two X-ray brightest SEDs are reproduced reasonably well by the simple log-parabolic model that best fits the OM and EPIC data together, while a possibly better fit is still obtained with the helical jet model. Further XMM–Newton long exposures on PG 1553+113 are planned in 2017 when, according to the quasi-periodic behaviour claimed by Ackermann et al. (2015), the source will be in outburst. It will be interesting then to study in detail its spectral variability during the high flux state and compare it with the results obtained in this paper relative to a low flux state.
As for the polarimetric behaviour, the major point is the interpretation of the ample EVPA rotation observed in 2014. This is also shown in the $u$ versus $q$ plot in Fig. 11. Wide rotations of the radio and optical EVPA have been observed in blazars for more than 30 yr (e.g. Ledden & Aller 1979; Aller, Hodge & Aller 1981; Kikuchi et al. 1988; Larionov et al. 2008; Marscher et al. 2008, 2010; Raiteri et al. 2013; Sasada et al. 2012; Blinov et al. 2015; Carnerero et al. 2015; Larionov et al. 2016). Sometimes they are coincident with $\gamma$-ray flares. Many models have been proposed to explain EVPA rotations, based on relativistic aberration or on true physical rotations or curved motions in the source emitting region. Blandford & Königl (1979) analysed the EVPA swing produced in an accelerating source. A more complex model was proposed by Björsnsson (1982), considering a 3D magnetic field distribution. Rapid changes of the EVPA are predicted to occur close to a minimum in $P$ and in both models the maximum observable amplitude is 180$^\circ$. An interpretation for wider rotations was given by Königl & Choudhuri (1985) in terms of shock waves propagating in a relativistic jet with non-axisymmetric magnetic field. This can produce in particular a ‘steplike’ behaviour where minimum $P$ values are expected during the fastest swings (the jumps), as observed in the blazar 0727 – 115 by Aller et al. (1981). Assuming a shock-in-jet model and a helical magnetic field, Zhang, Chen & Böttcher (2014) could explain large EVPA rotations correlated with flux flares, while Zhang et al. (2016) analysed the competition between the shock speed and the magnetization in the emission region and found that in a moderately magnetized environment a fast shock can produce a 180$^\circ$ rotation with only a modest flux increase. The time-scale of the rotation is about a couple of weeks. EVPA swings from emitting blobs propagating on curved trajectories have been investigated by Nalewajko (2010). The maximum rotation rate occurs when the viewing angle is minimum, which implies a minimum in $P$ and a maximum in flux. Larionov et al. (2013) satisfactorily fitted the photopolarimetric behaviour of 0716+714 during the 2011 outburst with a model involving the propagation of a shock in a helical jet, which produces a steplike rotation of the EVPA. Three wide EVPA rotations in different directions were observed by Aleksic et al. (2014) when analysing the polarimetric behaviour of PKS 1510 – 089 in early 2012. They ascribed this behaviour to turbulence.

The possibility that EVPA rotations are produced by a stochastic process because of the random walk of the polarization vector due to a turbulent magnetic field was investigated by e.g. Marscher (2014), Blinov et al. (2015) and Kiehlmann et al. (2016). They found that wide rotations can be obtained, but they are rare, so it is unlikely that all those observed are produced by this mechanism. Moreover, stochastic and deterministic processes can both be responsible for different events in the same source.

The extremely wide EVPA rotation of PG 1553+113 in 2014 is similar to that observed in the source 0727 – 115 by Aller et al. (1981), though on a different time-scale. There is a general increase, whose finer structure reveals a series of plateaux linked by abrupt jumps. The degree of polarization shows a (possibly double) minimum during the rotation that is much wider than 180$^\circ$, and the flux density does not show any flare. This questions most of the proposed deterministic models. Hence, it is unlikely that a single emission contribution is responsible for both flux and polarization variability.

Therefore, following the suggestions by D’Arcangelo et al. (2007), Kiehlmann et al. (2013), Blinov et al. (2015) and Kiehlmann et al. (2016), we assumed that some amount of magnetic turbulence is at work in the optical emitting region and we ran Monte Carlo simulations to see whether we can reproduce a photopolarimetric behaviour resembling that shown in Fig. 8. We considered the daily binned $R$-band light curve made by photopolarimetric data and assumed that the observed flux is equally contributed by $N_{\text{cells}} = 220$ emitting cells with randomly oriented magnetic field. These cells have uniform magnetic field with degree of polarization corresponding to synchrotron radiation from particles with a power-law distribution of energies with index $p$: $P_{\text{max}} = (p + 1)/(p + 7/3) \approx 75$ per cent for $p = 3$. At each time step, the flux density is fixed by the observed value, while a number of randomly chosen cells, $N_{\text{cells}}$, change the orientation of their magnetic field. This number is crucial to obtain a certain level of ordered behaviour. We set their minimum number to $N_{\text{cells}}/10$ and increased them proportionally to the length of the time step $\Delta t$. The overall values of $P$ and EVPA at each epoch can be derived from the Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$ of the whole emitting region, which are obtained by summing the $Q_i$ and $U_i$ parameters of all cells. We could obtain a variety of different behaviours, with $P$ values statistically close to the observed ones ($P_{\text{min}} = 1$ per cent, $P_{\text{max}} = 10$ per cent, $<P> = 4$ per cent, $\sigma(P) \sim 2$ per cent) and sometimes leading to wide EVPA rotations. We show in Fig. 12 the results of one of these simulations, which produces a wide rotation that is similar to that observed in 2014. A full statistical analysis is beyond the scope of this paper; we just want to stress here that the polarimetric behaviour shown by PG 1553+113 is difficult to explain in terms of simple emission models while it is easily accounted for by assuming some turbulence of the magnetic field in the emitting region.

Finally, we noticed that the radio EVPAs measured by the MOJAVE project before and after the 2014 optical EVPA rotation are nearly transverse to the jet axis and possibly present some offset with respect to the optical EVPAs.

8 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an analysis of the huge multiwavelength observing effort on the blazar PG 1553+113 in 2015, which was motivated by the long pointing time spent by XMM–Newton during six epochs in July–September.
The EPIC X-ray spectra are well fitted by a simple power-law model with free absorption, yielding neutral hydrogen column densities from 23 per cent to 52 per cent higher than the Galactic value. Fits with a log-parabolic model with Galactic absorption indicate slight spectral curvature. The long exposures reveal X-ray variability on hourly time-scale. The application of a log-parabolic model with free reddening and absorption to the joint OM+EPIC data suggests a gas/dust ratio that is from 1.5 to 2.6 times higher than in the Milky Way. During the August 8–10 pointing, simultaneous observations by Swift were obtained to check inter-calibrations. Both the optical–UV and X-ray data of the two satellites show consistency within the uncertainties.

The radio-to-optical data acquired by the WEBT collaboration complemented the space information at low energies. The optical and near-infrared light curves show a decreasing trend, in agreement with the UVOT and OM data, while the radio flux displays only modest variability.

We have built the radio-to-X-ray SEDs corresponding to the six XMM–Newton epochs with simultaneous data from space and ground. We wanted to investigate the properties of the synchrotron emission, and in particular to verify the critical connection between the UV and X-ray spectra that led us to propose an inhomogeneous SSC helical jet model to interpret the SED variability (Raiteri et al. 2015). The new SEDs presented in this paper show less curvature in both the optical–UV and X-ray spectra, and an intermediate UV–X-ray flux, as compared to those analysed by Raiteri et al. (2015). As a consequence, at least the two SEDs corresponding to the brightest X-ray states are satisfactorily reproduced by the simple log-parabolic model that best fits the XMM–Newton data. However, the helical jet model is still possibly giving a better fit.

We have also analysed the optical polarimetric behaviour of the source over the last three years, along with the X-ray and optical photometric behaviour. We did not find any clear correlation between the polarization degree and the optical brightness. The EVPA showed an almost complete counter-clockwise rotation in 2014. In the same period, the degree of polarization was very variable and the optical (and possibly the X-ray) flux was rather stable. All together, these pieces of information make an interpretation in terms of simple deterministic emission models quite difficult, while they are more easily explained by a stochastic model that assumes some turbulence of the magnetic field in the jet emitting region. This is in line with the results of Blinov et al. (2016) and Angelakis et al. (2016), who found that EVPA rotations in high-synchrotron-peaked sources are less frequent than in low-peaked ones and suggested that probably the former are mostly random walk rotations while the latter are due to deterministic processes.
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