Pattern of Mandibular Third Molar Impaction and Relationship to the Inferior Alveolar Canal: Retrospective Cone Beam Computed Tomography Analysis in a Group of Sri Lankan Patients
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Abstract
Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) provides a precise three dimensional analysis of vicinity of Impacted Mandibular third molar (IMTM) which helps in surgical decision making.

Objective: Objective of this study was to analyze the pattern of IMTM and the relationship of inferior alveolar canal to the tooth in 68 patients presented in year 2014 University Dental Hospital, Sri Lanka.

Methods: The study sample included 112 IMTM teeth in 68 patients (54.5 % females, 45.5 % males) with average age of 28 years. Preoperative radiological assessment of IMTM was carried out with the aim of analyzing relationship of inferior alveolar canal to the roots of IMTM, analyzing their morphology, predicting anticipated difficulty in exodontia of IMTM and complications such as damaging the inferior alveolar nerve

Results: Pell and Gregory Class I B pattern of impaction was predominant in the sample with mesioangular impaction being the most commonly occurring angulation of impaction. The Inferior alveolar canal was placed on buccal aspect of root apex in 35.7% of cases whereas in 33.9% of cases it was lingually placed.

Conclusion: In conclusion the Pattern of impaction and the morphology of IMTM in current study comply with international norms except in few aspects.
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Introduction

Impacted Mandibular third molar (IMTM) tooth is one of the most commonly impacted tooth of the dental arch [1-3] with a frequency of occurrence range from 18-32 % [1,2] with slight female predilection [1,4]. Tooth arch size discrepancy leading to inadequate space for the eruption of IMTM had been identified as most common cause for this phenomenon. IMTM may associate diversified clinical presentations and pericoronitis, swelling in the region, associated odotogenic cysts and tumours, bone resorption and resorption in adjacent tooth are some of them [5]. Such complications are usually associated with impaired oral functions and further leading to discomfort [5].

Surgical removal of impacted third molar is one of the most commonly practiced surgical procedures in the inpatient and outpatient oral and maxillofacial surgical units. As in any other surgical procedure surgical removal of impacted third molar may result intra operative and post operative complications. Surgical site infection, limitation in mouth opening and neurological complications such as damage to inferior alveolar nerve and lingual nerve are commonly encountered. Further fracture of lingual plate, dislodgement of root pieces in to facial spaces, mandibular fractures, infections in submandibular and pterygomandibular spaces are rarely seen in the clinical practice [5-7].

In surgical removal of IMTM, radiological analysis plays an utmost important role in careful preoperative assessment with the intention of minimizing complications. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) provides a precise three dimensional analysis of vicinity of impacted third molar and it is observed to be the main advantage of this imaging modality compared to conventional panaromic radiographs [8-11]. In surgical perspective review of literature highlights several important aspects to consider in assessment of impacted mandibular third molar. Surgical technique, method of anesthesia, patient age, position of the third molar, angulation and depth of impaction, relationship between the mandibular canal and the third molar, Curvature and other morphological aspects of roots and Shape of inferior alveolar canal were considered to be the factors that influential in predicting nerve damage [5-7].

Objective of this study was to analyze the pattern of IMTM and the relationship of inferior alveolar canal to the tooth. Study of basic morphological aspects of crown and roots were carried out as a specific objective.

Materials and Methods

Retrospective analysis was carried out in 68 patients with IMTM presented in year 2014 to division of Oral Medicine and Radiology for preoperative CBCT assessment. Data were retrieved from archives of division of Oral Medicine and Radiology. Patients age, gender and impacted tooth (whether it is 38 or 48) were obtained from the records. Patients above 18 years with IMTM or IMTM with definite future impaction predicted by consultant Oral and maxillofacial surgeon and Oral and maxillofacial radiology expert, were included in the study population.

CBCT’s with poor image quality were excluded from the study. Further patients presented with acute symptoms were excluded from the study. All these patients were routinely screened and IMTM was assessed with intra oral peri apical radiograph (IOPA). When features of IOPA radiograph predicts the risk of damage to inferior alveolar nerve to be high, CBCT was carried out to assess the definite risk. All CBCT mandibular scans were acquired using VATECH PAX-DUO 3D system with focal spot of 0.5mm and CMOS sensor. All images analyzed using Ez3D plus visualizer software. CBCT scans of IMTM teeth were reassessed in coronal, axial, sagittal views and pseudo dental panaromic tomogram view in a plane bisecting the tooth as well as the second molar tooth mesio-distally.

Pattern of impaction was determined according to PELL and GREGORY classification of impacted third molar [2] and categorization of angulation of impacted third molar done in accordance with modified Winters classification adopted by Quek SL, et al. [1] in 2003. The angulation of impaction was determined by the angle formed between the intersected longitudinal axes axis of the second and third molar teeth. Determination of angle was done as Table 1.

Abbreviations: CBCT: Cone beam computed tomography; IMTM: Impacted Mandibular Third Molar; IOPA: Intra Oral Peri Apical Radiograph.
Table 1: Angulation of impaction (note that the positive angulation indicates mesio angulation and negative angulation indicates distoangulation).

In addition, depth of impaction (Figure 1), Position of inferior alveolar canal (Figure 2), Distance from root apex to the wall of the canal (Figure 3) and presence of flattening of the canal (Figure 4) were also recorded.

| Type of impaction       | Angle formed between intersected longitudinal axes of second and third molar teeth |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vertical impaction      | $10^\circ$ to $-10^\circ$                                                        |
| Mesioangular impaction  | $11^\circ$ to $79^\circ$                                                         |
| Horizontal impaction    | $80^\circ$ to $100^\circ$                                                        |
| Distoangular impaction  | $-11^\circ$ to $-79^\circ$                                                       |
| Others                  | $111^\circ$ to $-80^\circ$                                                       |
| Buccolingual impaction  |                                                                                  |

Figure 1: Depth of Impaction: Distance from point of application to white line (Occlusal plane).

Figure 2: Position of inferior alveolar canal: Either lingually positioned, apically positioned or buccally positioned.
Morphological aspects of IMTM such as dimensions of the tooth (Mesio-distal length at the lengthiest point and Bucco-lingual width at the widest point) and features of the roots were also recorded (either Divergent or Convergent: This was analyzed in relation to the width of the roots at its origin. During its course if width of the two roots exceeds the width at the origin of the roots were considered divergent, if not convergent).

Data was analyzed using Microsoft excel 2010 and descriptive statistics for all variables computed.

Results

The study sample included 112 IMTM teeth in 68 patients in which 54.5 % were female and 45.5 % were males. Average age of the subjects with IMTM was 28 years with the age range of 19 to 44 years. Occurrence of different patterns of impactions is shown in Table 2.

|                  | A  | B  | C  |
|------------------|----|----|----|
| Class I          | 17 | 24 | 1  |
| Class II         | 14 | 16 | 3  |
| Class III        | 6  | 17 | 14 |

Table 2: Pattern of impaction in accordance with Pell and Gregory classification.

Occurrences of different angulations of impactions are mentioned in Table 3.
Average depth of impaction was recorded to be 10.23 mm.

Relationship of the roots with inferior alveolar canal (IAC) is shown in Table 4.

### Table 3: Angulation of impaction in accordance with modified Andreson classification.

| Angulation of impaction | Number of cases |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Mesio angular           | 62              |
| Vertical                | 22              |
| Distoangular            | 11              |
| Horizontal              | 16              |
| Other (Mesio inverted)  | 1               |

### Table 4: Relationship of the root with inferior alveolar canal.

| Position of IAC Placed Lingual, Buccal, Apically Through root | No of Cases | Proximity of tooth to Inferior Alveolar Canal in mm average | Range | No of cases with Canal flattening |
|=================================================================|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| Lingual                                                        | 38          | 0.3 mm                                                     | 0-1.2 | 27                               |
| Buccal                                                        | 40          | 2.2 mm                                                     | 0-7.8 | 3                                |
| Apical                                                        | 31          | 1.44 mm                                                    | 0-3.7 | 2                                |
| Through                                                       | 3           |                                                            |       | 0                                |

### Table 5: Morphological aspects of IMTM.

| Average Mesial-Distal width | 11.15 mm |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Average Bucco-lingual width | 10.18 mm |

| Number of roots | 1 Root | 2 IMTM |
|-----------------|--------|--------|
| 2 Roots         | 103 IMTM |
| 3 Roots         | 7 IMTM |

| Root shape       | Convergent | 80 IMTM |
|------------------|------------|---------|
|                  | Divergent  | 32 IMTM |

| Root Fused Yes or No | Fused | 28 IMTM |
|----------------------|-------|---------|
|                      | Not fused | 84 IMTM |

| Presence of sharp curve in the root | 36/ 112 IMTM |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Condition of Second molar           | Absent      |
|                                     | 2           |
|                                     | Normal      |
|                                     | 68          |
|                                     | Carious     |
|                                     | 23          |
|                                     | Resorbed    |
|                                     | 19          |

Discussion

Preoperative radiological assessment of IMTM is carried out with the aim of predicting anticipated difficulty in exodontia of IMTM and complications specifically the damage to inferior alveolar canal [10,12]. The four most common post-operative complications reported in the literature are Alveolar osteitis, infection, bleeding and paresthesia. Effectiveness of CBCT in assessment of IMTM and its relationship to the inferior alveolar canal has been demonstrated in several studies around the world [3,8,9,11-13].

As in worldwide literature greater frequency of IMTM occurrence in female is observed in this study with a male to female to male ratio of 1: 0.83 [1].

Mesioangular impaction was recorded to be the most common impaction with the percentage of occurrence of 55.3 % as in the study done by Quek SL, et al. [1] in 2003 in a Singapore Chinese population [1]. This trend was observed in several other studies done around the world but sound conclusions cannot be drawn on the prevalence of angulation of impaction as heterogeneity of angulation determining criteria.
Worldwide studies done with the intention of determining the pattern of impaction the group A was found to be the commonest. However as in study done by Queck, et al. in Singapore Chinese population, our sample also demonstrated the Group B as commonest. Further the Class I impaction predominates as in literature, Class IB being the commonest.

Position of canal in buccal aspect of root was found in 35.7 % of the cases and around 31% of them showed canal flattening. Worldwide literature suggested that inferior alveolar canal lying on the lingual side to more prominent [12]. This is an important finding elicited in the study as in surgical removal of IMTM involves removal of bone mainly in the buccal aspect of the tooth. Therefore extreme care should be made not to remove excessive bone and not to drive the rotary instruments deeply, as buccally positioned inferior alveolar canal is in risk of trauma during surgery.

However inferior alveolar canal travelling through the roots of IMTM which the radiological finding is classified as very high risk for the never nerve damage during surgical exodontia was observed only in 3 cases (0.03%).

Conclusion

With a female predominance, Mandibular impacted third molar was commonly observed to be with the pattern of Class I B in Pell and Gregory classification. However, the reported radiological risk to be very high. was observed in only 3 cases of IMTM among 112 cases. CBCT play a major role in precise analysis of the IMTM and avoids most of the defects in two dimensional tomography.
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