Structural Relationships Between Negative Cognitive Emotion Regulation Strategies and Symptoms of Internet Addiction: Mediating Role of Anxiety
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Abstract

Background: Internet addiction is one of the most paramount problems of societies. Therefore, the knowledge of its influencing factors is of special importance.

Methods: The present study was correlational in terms of goals and descriptive in terms of the data gathering procedure. The statistical population consisted of 2,000 male students and included all fourth-grade students of state high schools of Tabriz City in 2017. The sample included 246 students, selected through random cluster sampling. For the collection of data, the Cognitive Emotion Regulation questionnaire (CERQ), Young’s Diagnostic questionnaire (YDQ), and Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) were used. Then, the collected data were analyzed by structural equation modeling.

Results: Negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies could explain internet addiction symptoms. Self-blame, other-blame, rumination, catastrophizing, and anxiety could explain internet addiction symptoms directly. The indirect effect of self-blame and rumination on internet addiction mediated by anxiety was positive and significant; however, the indirect effect of other-blame and catastrophizing on internet addiction mediated by anxiety was not significant.

Conclusions: The findings have practical implications for clinical psychologists and can be used for diagnosis and therapy of internet addiction disorder.
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1. Background

Internet addiction is a serious problem that negatively affects the economy and security of people all over the world (1). Young (2) believes that the word ‘addictive’ can be used for internet users because internet addiction symptoms have the same properties that exist in alcohol and tobacco addiction. Different terms have been used for the uncontrolled use of the internet like “computer addiction”, “online addiction”, “cyber addiction”, “pathological internet use”, “excessive internet use”, “internet behavior addiction”, “compulsive internet use”, “technological addiction”, “problematic internet use”, “cyberspace addiction”, “net addiction”, and “internet addiction disorder” (3, 4).

While extreme internet addicts use the internet for movies, music, cartoon, internet games, social sites, sex chats, and pornography, normal users use it for news, shopping, booking, and education. Also, internet addicts use it with no aim and in private (5). The study of related literature shows that some internet addicts behave in the same way as drug addicts, alcoholics, and compulsive gamblers, and this leads to failure in education, job dysfunction, marital dissatisfaction, and divorce (6). Regarding internet addiction and other psychological variables, the results of the studies demonstrate that internet addiction is positively related to shyness, loneliness, anxiety, depression, and suicidal ideations. On the other hand, internet addicts usually possess characteristics such as agitation, anxiety, craving, depression, hostility, drug abuse, rumination, withdrawal, loss of control, and decision-making ability (7, 8). Moreover, problematic or pathological users of the internet are in an excessive mental activity about the internet and think that it is necessary to use the internet in an enhanced amount to get the pleasure they want and evade problems and negative feelings such as despair, guilt, exhaustion, and worry (9, 10). Like drug and alcohol addicts, internet addicts behave in such a way that it leads
to failures in education and job performance, disputes in marital affairs, and finally, divorce (11, 12).

One of the main approaches concerning the etiology of psychological disorders, including addiction, is cognitive emotion regulation strategies. The findings indicate that of the nine cognitive emotion regulation strategies, four negative strategies including self-blame, other-blame, rumination, and catastrophizing have the most relationship with psychological disorders such as major depression, bipolar disorder, anxiety, eating disorders, borderline personality disorder, drug addiction, and internet addiction (13-15).

Self-blame occurs when you blame yourself for what you have done. Other-blame occurs when you blame others for what you have gone through. Rumination refers to thinking all the time about the feelings and thoughts related to a negative event. Catastrophizing refers to emphasizing the terror of the experience (16). A few studies have shown that all of these negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies are related to psychopathologies such as depression, anxiety, drug abuse, and behavioral addictions, including internet addiction (17). In addition, researchers have shown that anxiety is always associated positively with negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies (17, 18).

Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a strong positive relationship between internet addiction and many psychological disorders and negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies. On the other hand, there is an association between negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies and anxiety and a positive relationship between anxiety and internet addiction. Taking into account the impact of factors like anxiety, emotion regulation, and internet addiction on psychopathology, it is important to investigate the relationship among these factors.

2. Objectives

The present study aimed to answer the main question “whether there is any relationship between negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies and the symptoms of internet addiction via the mediation of anxiety” and if so, “what is the contribution of these variables to predicting internet addiction”.

3. Methods

The present study was correlational in terms of goals and descriptive in terms of the data gathering procedure. Taking into account the goals of the study, the statistical population consisted of 2,000 male students and included all grade 4 students of state high schools of Tabriz City in 2017. Considering Tabachnick and Fidel (19), 246 students were selected as the sample. A cluster random sampling method was used to select the sample. First, from the five educational zones, three zones were selected randomly, and then, six high schools from these zones were randomly selected. From the selected high schools, three classes were randomly selected, and all the students of these classes were considered as the final sample. For the analysis of collected data, descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) and inferential statistics (structural equation modeling) under LISREL (linear structural relations) software were used.

3.1. Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire

The Cognitive Emotion Regulation questionnaire (CERQ) was used (20) to measure the particular cognitive emotion regulation strategies used by participants in response to the experience of fearful or worrisome life events. Developed in 1999, the CERQ was based on both theory and experiment and was the first inventory that measured self-regulation and conscious cognitive elements of emotion regulation.

The CERQ consists of 36 items, dealing with nine separate subscales based on different concepts. Each subscale contains four items, and each is related to what the person thinks after the experience of fearful or worrisome life events. Self-blame means blaming yourself for what you have done or experienced. Other-blame refers to blaming the environment or another person for actions that may be your failures. Rumination or focus on thought refers to pondering about negative events and experiences. Catastrophizing refers to emphasizing the horror of what you have done. Putting into perspective means putting aside the importance of the happening and signifying the pertinence when considering it concerning other events. Positive Refocusing refers to the reflecting on pleasurable and delightful topics and ignoring the real event. Positive reappraisal refers to thinking positively about the experience regarding the growth of the person. Acceptance refers to the reflection of consenting to what you have done and yielding yourself to what has occurred. Refocus on planning refers to reflection on what course to take and how to manage the negative circumstances (16).

Cognitive emotion regulation strategies were assessed on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always). Each subscale consists of four items. Specific subscale scores were attained by summing the scores belonging to the particular subscale or cognitive emotion regu-
lation strategy (ranging from 4 to 20). A higher subscale score indicated more use of a specific cognitive strategy.

The study of cognitive emotion regulation strategies has indicated that all the subscales are internally consistent. Alpha reliabilities ranging from 0.70 to 0.80 have been reported for this questionnaire (16). In Iranian culture, Alpha reliability of 0.82 has been reported for the cognitive emotion regulation questionnaire (21).

3.2. Young’s Diagnostic Questionnaire

Young (2) developed Young’s Diagnostic questionnaire (YDQ) to measure Internet addiction disorder (IAD). Young’s Internet Addiction scale involves the following features. It is a questionnaire consisting of 20 items, which should be answered on a five-point Likert scale. It is an indication of the amount of internet use that affects the user’s daily activities, social life, productivity, sleeping pattern, and feelings. The least possible score is 20 and the most possible is 100; higher scores indicate the more severity of problematic internet use. Young (2) claims that a score of 20 - 39 shows average usage, a score of 40 - 69 indicates persistent difficulties, and a score of 70 - 100 signifies major difficulties. Widyanto and Griffiths (4) carried out the most exhaustive study of the psychometric features of YDQ, in which the factor analysis of YDQ showed six factors explaining 68% of the variance, including salience, anticipation, lack of control, neglecting work, excessive use, and ignoring social life. These factors indicated excellent internal consistency and concurrent validity and salience and had very high reliability, i.e. 0.82. These six factors had an excellent correlation with one another, varying from \( r = 0.226 \) to \( r = 0.62 \). Young’s Diagnostic questionnaire (YDQ) has been standardized among the Iranian community (22). Alpha coefficient was found to be 0.89. Also, it had a high aggregate validity coefficient and construct validity, which was based on convergent validity. On the whole, the Persian version of YDQ demonstrates satisfactory psychometric features among Iranian populations.

3.3. Beck Anxiety Inventory

The Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) is a scale, including 21 items that assess the severity of anxiety in adolescents and adults above 17-years-old. The Beck Anxiety Inventory was developed to measure the symptoms of anxiety (23). The psychometric properties of BAI in Iranian culture were as follows: Alpha coefficient of 0.92, split-half reliability coefficient of 0.91, and the test-retest coefficient of correlation of 0.81 with a one-week interval. Also, the correlation coefficient of BAI with BAI-II was reported to be 0.62 (24).

4. Results

The descriptive statistics consisting of means and standard deviations of the research variables are presented in Table 1 and the covariance matrix of the variables of the study is presented in Table 2.

| Variable          | Values       |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Internet addiction| 44.80 ± 17.16|
| Self-blame        | 13.32 ± 2.63 |
| Other-blame       | 10.95 ± 2.59 |
| Rumination        | 14.41 ± 4.11 |
| Catastrophizing   | 9.21 ± 2.16  |
| Anxiety           | 35.25 ± 10.19|

*Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

The fit indices of the model were calculated using structural equation modeling to answer the questions and hypotheses of the research. Accordingly, negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies (self-blame, other-blame, rumination, and catastrophizing) as latent exogenous variables, anxiety as a first-order latent endogenous variable, and internet addiction as a second-order latent endogenous variable were entered into the model. The results of the fitness of the model are illustrated in Figure 1.

The fitness indices of the measurement model are shown in Table 3. As can be seen in Table 3, the measured model had good fitness regarding the theoretical model. Therefore, negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies could explain the variance of internet addiction via the mediation of anxiety.

The direct effect of the independent variables on the dependent variables and the related test are presented in Table 4. As can be seen, negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies had positive relationships with both internet addiction and anxiety. Furthermore, anxiety and internet addiction are related positively.

The Bootstrap test was used to study the indirect effect of negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies on the variance of internet addiction. As demonstrated in Table 5, self-blame and rumination predicted the symptoms of internet addiction via the mediation of anxiety, whereas other-blame and catastrophizing did not.

5. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the structural relationships between negative cognitive emotion regula-
Table 2. Covariance Matrix of the Variables of the Study

| Variable            | Internet Addiction | Self-blame | Other-blame | Rumination | Catastrophizing | Anxiety |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|---------|
| Internet addiction  | 4.29               |            |             |            |                 |         |
| Self-blame          | 0.69               | 3.21       |             |            |                 |         |
| Other-blame         | 0.42               | 0.37       | 3.11        |            |                 |         |
| Rumination          | 0.75               | 0.34       | 0.41        | 3.41       |                 |         |
| Catastrophizing     | 0.37               | 0.29       | 0.48        | 0.39       | 2.91            |         |
| Anxiety             | 0.89               | 0.77       | 0.65        | 0.81       | 0.52            | 4.41    |

Figure 1. Fitness model of structural relations for latent exogenous and endogenous variables

Table 3. Fitness Indices of the Measured Model

| RMSEA  | χ²   | df  | χ²/df | CFI   | TLI   | IFI   | AGFI  | GFI   | χ²/df |
|--------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.002  | 198  | 99  | 2     | 0.96  | 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.95  | 0.94  |

Table 4. Direct Effect of Independent Variables on Dependent Variables and the Related Test

| Independent Variable | Dependent Variable | Error of Estimation | β    | t    | Level of Significance | Decision |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|------|-----------------------|----------|
| Self-blame           | IA                 | 1.21                | 0.35 | 4.26 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Other-blame          | IA                 | 1.25                | 0.26 | 3.75 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Rumination           | IA                 | 1.19                | 0.39 | 4.75 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Catastrophizing      | IA                 | 1.29                | 0.24 | 3.45 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Self-blame           | Anxiety            | 0.79                | 0.71 | 8.29 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Other-blame          | Anxiety            | 1.19                | 0.35 | 4.22 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Rumination           | Anxiety            | 0.79                | 0.74 | 8.41 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Catastrophizing      | Anxiety            | 1.27                | 0.31 | 3.29 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|
| Anxiety              | IA                 | 0.82                | 0.71 | 8.41 | 0.001                 | Confirmed|

tion strategies (self-blame, other-blame, rumination, and catastrophizing) and the symptoms of internet addiction via the mediation of anxiety. The findings of structural equation modeling demonstrated that negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies were positively related to anxiety. This finding is consistent with the findings of pre-
Anxiety and internet addiction are consistently associated with negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies, such as self-blame and other-blame. In these circumstances, to get rid of or suppress ruminations, catastrophes, self-blame, and other-blame, which all are interconnected with anxiety (13, 18), the person tries helplessly to reduce anxiety and get free from emotions arisen from the maladaptive thoughts. Meanwhile, connection to the internet and immersion in unlimited information sources and space can allay the person involved in the experiences of negative emotions (9, 14, 15).

This positive consequence as an operant conditioning process leads to internet addiction. Because the internet space as a negative reinforcement inhibits negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies, i.e. rumination, catastrophize, self-blame, and other-blame, the person turns into internet misuse whenever he/she comes into contact with similar situations and emotions (6, 7).

5.1. Conclusions

From the results of the present study, it can be concluded that negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies consisting of self-blame, other-blame, rumination, and catastrophizing can predict the symptoms of internet addiction via the mediation of anxiety. Young (2) believes that internet addiction behaves like a double-edged sword. To overcome life problems, internet addicts turn into negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies, and the use of these strategies makes the problem more severe and leads to depression and anxiety. To overcome their weaknesses, depressed and anxious people employ the internet addiction to researchers. One of the limitations of this study was the use of a self-reporting tool for research. In addition, the study was performed on people possessing nonclinical symptoms and the population of the study consisted of male students of state high schools. It is suggested that similar studies be done on internet addicts having clinical symptoms. Furthermore, they can be done on female high school students, male and female students of state high schools.
female university students, and employees. Moreover, regarding the correlation between negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies, anxiety, and internet addiction, it is suggested that clinicians take into account these factors in the therapy of internet addicts.
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