INTRODUCTION

The typical presentation of acute appendicitis was first-time described by Reginald Haber Fitz in the late seventeenth century. From that time, it was the most frequent presentation of emergency surgery patients. Around 6% of the peoples will be affected by acute appendicitis during their lifetime; hence, very strong efforts are already delivered for correct identification of appendicitis and its treatment. Due to this, decreases the death rate and morbidity significantly even with complications. The detection of appendicitis sometimes a hard task, hence doubtful atypical patients need admission and repeated examinations. This can lead to delay in diagnosis ultimately affect to raise the possibility of complications.

A negative appendectomy means a normal histopathology specimen. Various tools have been suggested to help in atypical cases to lower the negative appendectomy rates (NAR). Many scoring systems have been used to detect early acute appendicitis and its surgery. These scores are based on clinical findings and blood investigations. In all patients, but, a final diagnosis is only by histopathological examination of the appendix. Without removal, the diagnosis of acute appendicitis is not correct in most cases, and being bad in females than in males.

C-reactive protein (CRP) is quite a routine laboratory test performed, to assess and identify the inflammatory process. But, the functions of CRP in normal health is not distinctly understood but increases its level by many folds during the
event of insult to the body. Hence it is used as a tool to identify inflammatory disease process

Increased levels of C reactive protein (CRP) in a clinically suspected case of acute appendicitis suggest early exploration. C-reactive protein is synthesized in the liver in the response of any sort of insult to the body including inflammation leading to the rise of the level. Diagnostic accuracy of CRP is a more than ESR and leucocyte count in detecting inflammatory processes. Hence investigation like an estimation of CRP in suspected appendicitis may help to improve the diagnostic ability of clinician

Many studies have proved the role of CRP in increasing the accuracy of the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. It is a more specific and a good parameter of inflammation than ESR and white cell count. The CRP levels rise faster than that of ESR and decrease quickly when the inflammation subsides. A false-negative test can get usually early in infective episodes

Birchley D in his study results stated that in suspected cases of acute appendicitis posted for surgery based on clinical examination total leucocyte count and granulocyte count differentiate acute appendicitis from normal appendices but do not suggest the presence of appendicular perforation or abscess. C-reactive protein not able to differentiate appendicitis from normal, but suggests the presence of appendicular abscess at higher levels only. A study conducted by Lateef et al. suggested that it is possible to get inflamed appendix even inflammatory markers not raised.

Looking at this confusing scenario, we undertake this study to assess the significance of this inflammatory marker, CRP in a diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

METHODOLOGY

The present study was undertaken in the department of surgery, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College, Wardha in collaboration with Datta Meghe Medical College Hingana, Nagpur, Datta Meghe Institute of medical science (DMIMS), Sawangi, Meghe, Wardha, Maharashtra India. This study was a prospective interventional. The duration of this study was from September 2019 to June 2020. The numbers of patients were 100.

Sample size- 100

Type of study (research design): Prospective cross-sectional study.

Period of study: September 2019 to June 2020

Inclusion criteria

Clinically diagnosed cases of Acute Appendicitis.

Exclusion criteria

• Pregnancy
• Appendicular lump.
• Inflammatory Disease and connective tissue disorders like inflammatory bowel diseases or sickle cell disease
• HIV positive,
• Patients on corticosteroid therapy,
• Patient of interval appendectomy

Data collection tools and process, variables, definitions, analysis plan

Informed consent was obtained before enrollment. Approval of the institutional ethical committee was sought. After careful examination and appropriate imaging and blood investigations including estimation of CRP. The diagnosis of appendicitis was confirmed by a senior consultant. An appendectomy was performed and a specimen was sent for histopathological examination.

The data analysis was done by using the SPSS 17.0 statistical software, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and diagnostic accuracy of CRP was calculated.

Ethical approval for the study was got from the Ethics committee of DMIMS University

RESULT

Around 100 subjects were included in the study, consists of 60 males and 40 from the female gender. All patients were operated by the laparoscopic appendicectomy method (figure 1).

Figure 1: Shows sensitivity and specificity, negative, positive predictive value and accuracy of C-reactive protein.

In the present study, we found the sensitivity and specificity of C-reactive protein was 81.28% and 92.86% with negative and positive predictive values were 26.26% and 99.37% respectively (Figure 2).
The present study, figure 1 & 2 suggest normal appendix in 32 patients out of 100 patients, 38 patients have catarrhal appendicitis, 16, 10 and 4 patients were diagnosed suppurative, gangrenous and perforated appendicitis respectively as per of histopathological examination.

**DISCUSSION**

An inflammatory marker, C-reactive protein (CRP) studied in the present research. It is an important parameter to help in detecting acute appendicitis in an early course of this disease process. If it is normal, the diagnosis of appendicitis must be reconfirmed by repeated clinical examination reinforced with ultrasonography or diagnostic laparoscopy. The typical combination of three, a history, clinical examination, and CRP has a higher accuracy power to detect appendicitis in most of the patients.

In the present study, including more males than females (3:2), the sensitivity and specificity of C-reactive protein was 81.28% and 92.86% with negative and positive predictive values were 26.26% and 99.37% respectively (see fig. 1 and 2). In the present study, CRP was positive in 62 out of 100 patients. A study conducted by Giorgio Stefanutti et al. proves that if CRP levels are normal in a child with even high clinical suspicion of acute appendicitis, the presence of an inflamed appendix is extremely rare and re-examination with appropriate investigations are required before attempting removal of the appendix. Results of a study done by Giorgio Stefanutti et al. are similar to the present study.

In a present study, a high negative appendectomy rate of 32% was observed (see figure 3) Wani et al. also suggested a NAR of 20-40% has been documented and many surgeons consider a rate of 30% as inevitable. Removal of a normal appendix is an economic loss on both the patients and the hospital. Misdiagnosis and delay in surgery can lead to complications like perforation and finally peritonitis.

The present study suggest normal appendix in 32 patients out of 100 patients, 38 patients have catarrhal appendicitis, 16, 10 and 4 patients were diagnosed suppurative, gangrenous and perforated appendicitis respectively as per histopathological examination. All complicated appendicitis were associated with higher levels of CRP more than 15 mg/dl. Choudhary SK et al. suggested that CRP useful to correctly predict the severity of acute appendicitis. higher CRP has a high sensitivity for complications of acute appendicitis than specificity. He also suggests the cut off level at 6mg/dl requires to be used. If clinical presentations and imaging suggestive of appendicitis, a person with high CRP should undergo surgery urgently.

Alvarado A in his review article depicts that a few inflammatory parameters, alone or combined, do well to help the detection of acute appendicitis in the late stages. But, not superior to the clinical examination of patients suspected of acute appendicitis. The duration of pain and other symptoms easily suggest that whether the inflammatory process is early or late. Hence clinical examination has a higher place to do a correct diagnosis.

D Birchley et al. suggested that ‘components of the history, clinical presentation and laboratory investigation are poor identifiers of acute appendicitis. But if combined, they provide high diagnostic ability.’ Hence it is wise to say that in combination, CRP has a raised diagnostic accuracy and more effective in helping a clinical diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Very high levels of CRP either alone or coupled with raised TLC warrants mandatory explorations. The present study recommends that CRP should be done as a routine laboratory test in the doubtful diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, CRP is an important inflammatory marker in acute appendicitis. Because it is not specific, hence it has limitations. The simultaneous presence of other inflammatory disease processes, and the effect of non-inflammatory agents, like tobacco chewing and smoking, high body mass index, and psychological stress, decreases the correctness of CRP significantly. Because of these factors, clinical correlation with imaging is required in atypical cases. Hence CRP is a valuable tool to rule out acute appendicitis and complications but its value to detect or diagnose acute appendicitis is low.
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