DETERMINING STAR FORMATION TIMESCALE AND PATTERN SPEED IN NEARBY SPIRAL GALAXIES
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ABSTRACT

We present a revised method for simultaneous determination of the pattern speed (Ωp) and star formation timescale (tSF) of spiral galaxies, which is originally proposed in our previous work. As this method utilizes offsets between molecular and young-stellar arms, we refer to it as the “Offset Method.” Details of the method, its application, and results for CO and Hα images of 13 nearby spiral galaxies are described here. CO data are from our observations with the Nobeyama Millimeter Array for two galaxies, and from the BIMA SONG for the rest. Out of 13 galaxies, we were able to derive Ωp and tSF for five galaxies. We categorize them as “C” galaxies as their offsets are clear. Our findings are as follows. (1) The corotation radius calculated by the derived Ωp is close to the edge of the CO data, and is about half of the optical radius for three galaxies. (2) The derived tSF is roughly consistent with the free-fall time of typical molecular clouds, which indicates that the gravitational instability is the dominant mechanism triggering star formation in spiral arms. (3) The tSF is found to be almost independent of the surface density of molecular gas, metallicity, or spiral arm strengths. The number of “C” galaxies and the quality of CO data, however, are not enough to confirm these relationships. We also find that two other galaxies show no offsets between CO and Hα, although their arms are clearly traced, and categorize them as “N” galaxies. The presence of a bar could account for this feature, since these two galaxies are both barred. With one galaxy excluded from our analysis due to its poor rotation curve, offsets of the remaining five galaxies are found to be ambiguous. Either their dependence on the rotational frequency cannot be explained by our picture, or the number or quality of data is not sufficient for the analysis. We categorize them as “A” galaxies. The possible reasons for this ambiguity are (1) the density wave is weaker, and/or (2) observational resolution and sensitivity are not enough to detect the spiral arms and their offsets clearly. The former is supported by our finding that the arm strengths of “A” galaxies are slightly weaker than that of “C” galaxies.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Pattern Speed

Ever since the spiral density wave theory was proposed by Lin & Shu (1964) to solve the winding problem of spiral arms, it has been known as the most successful theory to explain observational features of spiral galaxies. The pattern speed (Ωp), defined as the angular rotational velocity of a spiral pattern or underlying gravitational potential, is one of the fundamental parameters in the density wave theory, since it determines the existence and location of kinematical resonances.

The one that has been extensively discussed is the “Corotation Resonance” (CR), described as

$$\Omega(r = R_{CR}) = \Omega_p,$$  (1)

where $\Omega$ is the angular rotational velocity of materials. This equation means that at the CR the rotational speed of materials and the pattern are the same. The radius $R_{CR}$, which satisfies this equation, is called the “Corotation Radius.” As the rotation speed of gas in the pattern-rest frame becomes small around the CR, a galactic shock (Fujimoto 1968; Roberts 1969) would not occur. Since galactic-scale star formation is thought to be enhanced by this shock, star formation should be less efficient around the CR. As the relative velocity of materials viewed from the pattern changes its sign at the CR, the direction of streaming motions due to the galactic shock should be different between the inside and outside of the CR. These observable features have been used to locate the CR as described later in this section.

Another important resonance is the “Lindblad Resonance” (LR), which is defined by

$$\Omega(r = R_{LR}) = \Omega_p \pm \frac{\kappa}{m},$$  (2)

where $m$ is any integer but a frequency of the strongest modes or the number of arms is mostly used, and $\kappa$ is the epicyclic frequency, which is expressed as

$$\kappa = 2\Omega \sqrt{1 + \frac{r}{2\Omega} \frac{d\Omega}{dr}}.$$  (3)

With an assumption that spiral arms are tightly winding, the dispersion relation for the stellar disk is different from that of a gaseous disk (Binney & Tremaine 1987), so that gases and stars are theoretically predicted to show different behaviors at the resonances.

As described above, the pattern speed not only locates the resonances, but also influences the star formation activities. Therefore, its determination is very important to the study of
galaxies. It cannot be determined directly from observations, however, since the pattern structure is not a material structure but a density wave.

Several methods have been proposed for its determination. The earlier and common technique is to locate specific resonances on radii where some properties of arms change. Roberts et al. (1975) adopted $\Omega_R$ for 24 galaxies so that the corotation radius is nearly coincident with the radius where arms of H II regions disappear, or the radial extent of the “easily visible” disk. Elmegreen et al. (1992) used B-band images to locate five resonances for 18 galaxies. Such techniques are, however, subject to uncertainties regarding the sensitivity and/or resolution of the imaging observations. In addition, the tracers used must be carefully considered, since stars and gases can behave differently at resonances and their distributions are not identical.

Cepa & Beckman (1990) derived the star formation efficiency (SFE) in the arm and interarm region for NGC 628 and NGC 3992 from H$\alpha$ and H I data. The arm-to-interarm ratio of the SFE is expected to be larger than 1 as the star formation is thought to be enhanced in arm regions, but they found that its value drops to almost unity at a certain radius. Since star formations at spiral arms are thought to be less efficient around the CR, they concluded that this radius is the corotation radius. It is difficult to derive the SFE values in interarm regions, however, since there are fewer stars and gases than in arm regions, and thus sensitive observations are required.

For our Galaxy, a time periodicity of the star formation history of about 0.5 Gyr has been found at the solar neighborhood (Hernandez et al. 2000; de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos 2004). Assuming that this periodicity is due to the passage of a spiral potential and that the potential has a two-armed pattern as suggested by Drimmel (2000), the pattern speed can be calculated as $\Omega_\pi = \Omega(r = R_0) - \pi/(0.5 \text{ Gyr}) = 21 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{kpc}^{-1}$. A consistent result from numerical simulations of the stellar and gaseous response to the spiral potential is shown by Dewey et al. (1995), and they obtained a comparable location of the CR. Sempere et al. (1995) and Canzian & Allen (1997) applied this method to H I and H$\alpha$ data of NGC 4321, respectively, and they obtained a comparable location of the CR. Since this method requires precise kinematical information over the entire disk, a velocity field covering the whole galaxy with high velocity and spatial resolution is essential.

Tremaine & Weinberg (1984) presented a method which did not use any morphological locations of resonances, but used the continuity equation for a surface brightness of galaxies. This method is called the “Tremaine–Weinberg method” (hereafter TW method). As it is based on the continuity equation, it has been applied mostly to early-type galaxies (e.g., Merrifield & Kuijken 1995; Gerssen et al. 1999; Debattista & Williams 2004), whose star formation is less active than that of late-type galaxies. In recent studies, however, H I and CO data have come to be used for the application to gas-dominated, late-type galaxies (e.g., Westpfahl 1998; Zimmer et al. 2004). Meanwhile, Debattista (2003) showed by N-body simulations that the results of the TW method is sensitive to the uncertainty in adopted position angle (P.A.) of the outer disk. Rand & Wallin (2004) applied the TW method to CO data of nearby galaxies with varying P.A.s and confirmed that the uncertainty in P.A. made the error of the resultant $\Omega_\pi$ larger. They also showed that the best-fitted $\Omega_\pi$ only to the inner bar was larger than that of the remaining disk, even though the galaxy in interest was not classified as SB galaxy. Meidt et al. (2008) used a modified method which explicitly allowed a radial variation of $\Omega_\pi$, and found multiple spiral patterns for the nearby spiral galaxy M 51 (NGC 5194) with outwardly decreasing $\Omega_\pi$. The dependence on P.A.s, however, was found to be still large.

The results of numerical simulations also show a large deviation of $\Omega_\pi$ from kinematics and spiral structure (e.g., Wada et al. 1998), so that they can be used to derive the best value of $\Omega_\pi$ by comparison with observations. Oey et al. (2003) adopted an evolutionary model of the H II luminosity function to draw isochrones of massive stars, and fitted these isochrones to an observed distribution of H II regions to derive $\Omega_\pi$. These approaches, of course, depend on their modelings, and often have difficulty estimating the accuracy of the derived values and the effect of other parameters on the results.

1.2. Star Formation Timescale

Since all the physical processes from molecular clouds to young stars are not yet clearly understood, especially for massive objects, it has been difficult to derive a timescale for star formation from a theoretical point of view. A number of numerical simulations regarding the giant molecular cloud (GMC) formation and successive star formation have been performed (e.g., Vázquez-Šemadéni et al. 2007; Wada 2008) and have inferred on the timescale, but the full processes are not traced since the dynamic range of the size scale and the number of processes are too large to be included in calculations. Instead, it is common to estimate ages of H II regions from equivalent widths of emission lines from ionized gas, such as H$\alpha$ and H$\beta$, on the basis of population synthesis models, which do not require any information about the parental molecular clouds.

Leitherer et al. (1999) compiled physical processes and parameters for star formation such as the initial mass function, star formation history, and metallicity, to provide the package STARBURST99 to calculate observable properties such as colors, spectra, equivalent widths, and luminosity. Bastian et al. (2005) estimated the equivalent width of H$\alpha$ emissions and derived ages of star cluster complexes in NGC 5194 as 5–8 Myr using this package assuming solar metallicity. Even if the solar metallicity is assumed, the derived ages do not change largely. They also applied the GALEV SSP models (Anders & Fritze-v. Alvensleben 2003) to optical colors of individual star clusters and found the ages of the majority of the clusters to be 4–10 Myr. With spectroscopic observations of the Br$\gamma$ line, Grosbol et al. (2006) derived ages of K-band knots, presumably sites for massive star formation, to be about 7–10 Myr for the nearby spiral galaxy, NGC 2997. They also found that these knots were located slightly upstream from the smooth peak of K-band flux, regarded as the potential minimum of the spiral arm.

We should note that ages derived from models depend on parameters used in the model, and that the exact age is often difficult to determine due to degeneracies between internal extinction, mass, and metallicity. In addition, this age only represents the timescale from a newly formed star, and thus has no information about the molecular cloud collapse in contrast with the timescale we take into account in this paper.
As described above, it is in principle difficult to determine the pattern speed and star formation timescale from observations, although they are both important parameters for understanding kinematics and star formation activities in spiral galaxies. In this paper, we propose a method to determine both parameters simultaneously. We refer to this method as the “Offset Method” in this paper, we propose a method to determine both parameters simultaneously. We refer to this method as the “Offset Method” as it uses offsets between CO and Hα arms simultaneously. We define the star formation timescale, \( t_{SF} \), as the average time for the massive star formation from molecular clouds in spiral arms, which are agglomerated or compressed by the spiral structure. If the physical processes of star formation at spiral arms do not vary extremely with radius, this timescale can be regarded as a constant parameter representing a typical value of the entire disk. We should note again that this timescale \( t_{SF} \) would be different from ages of H II regions mentioned in Section 1.2, since \( t_{SF} \) includes the time needed for clouds to be collapsed.

Figure 1 illustrates our concept regarding the relationship between the spiral structure and star formation processes inside the CR, where \( \Omega > \Omega_q \). If we observe a face-on spiral galaxy at \( t = 0 \) (the left panel), at \( t = t_{SF} \) the same galaxy will be observed as in the right panel and the offset distance between the arm of young stars and molecular clouds, \( d \), can be written as

\[
d = (\frac{v}{v_p}) (t_{SF}) - (\frac{v_p}{s}) (t_{SF}) \quad \text{(km)}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( v \) is the velocity of materials, and \( v_p \) is the velocity of the pattern. If the outside of the CR is taken into account, massive stellar arms will be seen on the concave side of molecular arms, and \( d \) will be negative.

Dividing both sides of Equation (4) by radius \( r \) (kpc), we obtain

\[
\theta = \left[ \left( \frac{\Omega}{\text{km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1}} \right) - \left( \frac{\Omega_p}{\text{km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1}} \right) \right] \times \frac{t_{SF}}{s} \quad \text{(km kpc}^{-1}), \tag{5}
\]

where \( \Omega \equiv \nu/r , \Omega_p \equiv v_p/r \), and \( \theta \) is the azimuthal offset. This equation shows the relation between two observables, \( \Omega \) and \( \theta \),
and we can rewrite it as
\[
\theta = 0.586 \left[ \left( \frac{\Omega}{\text{km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1}} \right) - \left( \frac{\Omega_P}{\text{km s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-1}} \right) \right] \times \left( \frac{t_{SF}}{10^7 \text{yr}} \right) \text{ (degree)}. \tag{6}
\]
Assuming \( t_{SF} \) to be constant over the spiral disk, \( \theta \) is a linear function of \( \Omega \), since \( \Omega_P \) is also assumed to be constant. Therefore, by plotting \( \theta \) against \( \Omega \) and fitting them with a line, both \( \Omega \) and \( t_{SF} \) can be simultaneously determined as a horizontal-axis intercept and gradient of the fitted line, respectively.

The fitting is performed by the least \( \chi^2 \) method and each data point is weighted by errors in both axes, \( \Delta \theta \) and \( \Delta \Omega \). The inclusion of the uncertainty in \( \Omega \) and the use of the least \( \chi^2 \) method for the fitting are major methodological revisions from what we presented in the previous paper.

### 2.2. Uniqueness and Merits

One major advantage of the offset method is its independency from the tight-winding approximation for spiral structure and any models for cloud collapse and population synthesis. The results thus will provide constraints or parameters to theories and models of both galactic dynamics and star formation on the basis of observational data.

Another uniqueness is that it gives \( \Omega \) and \( t_{SF} \) simultaneously, as described in the previous section. Measuring offsets in a wide range of radii makes this possible. In addition, statistical uncertainties of both \( \Omega \) and \( t_{SF} \) are determinable by the fitting. We should emphasize that this is the first method proposed that derives \( t_{SF} \) observationally with quantitative estimates of statistical errors.

### 2.3. Requirements for Application

Application of the offset method requires tracing both molecular and young-stellar arms at a wide range of radii. Nearby galaxies with small inclination angle satisfy this requirement, while distant or highly inclined galaxies do not. Grand-design galaxies are usually preferable to see spiral structures. We do not pay attention to whether a galaxy has a bar in its center or not, since we put importance on spiral structures only and exclude bar regions from the analysis, if any. Images used in the analysis should have sufficient quality to detect and resolve spiral arms. For our application, CO and H\( \alpha \) images are used to trace molecular gas and young stars, respectively.

In addition to the image maps, we need information about the rotational velocity. As the line-of-sight velocities of an almost face-on galaxy do not give velocities parallel to the disk, the inclination angle must not be close to zero. The velocity data are available from spectroscopic observations in the optical and radio wavelengths.

In short, we need nearby spiral galaxies which are moderately inclined and show clear CO and H\( \alpha \) spiral structures. To satisfy these requirements, we observed two nearby spiral galaxies, NGC 4254 and NGC 6181, in the \(^{12}\text{CO}(1–0)\) line with the Nobeyama Millimeter Array (NMA). For NGC 4254, we have combined the single dish data from Kuno et al. (2007) with the NMA data to recover the missing flux. Details of the observations and data reduction will be presented in another paper. In addition to our data, we selected 11 galaxies from BIMA SONG (Helfer et al. 2003). The whole sample of 13 nearby spiral galaxies is described in Section 3.1.

### 3. DATA ANALYSIS

#### 3.1. Property of Sample Galaxies

In order to apply the offset method, we selected 13 nearby spiral galaxies. The selection criteria are that the galaxy shows a molecular spiral structure in CO data, and that its inclination angle is small but not zero. As we pay attention to spiral structures of molecular gas and H\( \alpha \) regions in the analysis, we do not take into account the bar type nor arm class (AC) from Elmegreen & Elmegreen (1987) for the selection. For these sample galaxies, we obtained H\( \alpha \) images and RC data from the literature. Most of the images were obtained via the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED).

The general properties of our sample are listed in Table 1. Out of the 13 galaxies, six are classified as SA (no bar), another six are classified as SAB (weakly barred), and one is classified as SB (strongly barred). Regarding the AC, 12 are grand-
design galaxies (AC = 9 or 12), and one is a flocculent galaxy (NGC 4736, AC = 3).

We show CO contours overlaid on an Hα image for the sample galaxies in Figure 2. Properties of the data are listed in Table 2. The spatial resolution of CO data is about 3″–7″, and typically 500 pc at the galaxy’s distance. As it is comparable to the typical value of offsets and arm widths, the CO data quality should be sufficient to resolve offsets for most of the sample galaxies. The Hα image seeing is typically about 2″, which is smaller than that of CO.

3.2. Rotation Curve

In general, RCs are obtained from spectroscopy, such as optical slit observations and radio mapping observations. With the CO data cube, we made velocity field maps and applied the task GAL in the AIPS software in order to derive the RCs.

This task fits the tilted-ring model to the velocity field, and simultaneously derives the kinematical center, systemic velocity, position angle of major axis (P.A.) and inclination of galactic disk (i), in addition to the rotational velocity (V_{rot}). Initial guesses for the fitting are the observing center for kinematical center, values from BIMA SONG, Sofue et al. (1999), or RC3 (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) for P.A., i, and systemic velocity. For the BIMA data, however, inclination angles were derived to be about 90° for almost all galaxies, although the sample was selected to be nearly face-on. This discrepancy is presumably due to the clumpiness of CO distributions, and we use values of i from the literatures (BIMA SONG; Sofue et al. 1999; or Daigle et al. 2006). Derived parameters from this procedure are listed in Table 3, with adopted values for P.A. and i.

Fixing all the parameters except for \( V_{rot} \), the rotation curve with statistical errors can be calculated from the velocity field. We could not derive meaningful kinematical parameters for three galaxies (NGC 3184, NGC 4535, and NGC 4736) out of 13 galaxies, however. For NGC 3184 and NGC 4736, the kinematical center could not be determined. For NGC 4535, the kinematical center and the systemic velocity could be determined, but the rotational velocities were not properly derived at some radii. This failure could be attributed to that CO data for NGC 4736 are rather noisy and those for the other two galaxies do not contain single-dish data, so that the flux from extended components are resolved out. For the remaining 10 galaxies we derived rotational velocities, but some of them are limited in the range of radii or have larger errors in the outer regions.

Given that RCs from CO are not sufficient for all the galaxies, we searched the literature for RCs with a wider range of
radii. Sofue et al. (1999) compiled data from optical (Hα and [NII] line) and radio (CO and H1 line) observations to present central-to-outer RCs for nearby spiral galaxies. Daigle et al. (2006) observed a part of the SINGS galaxies in the Hα line via Fabry-Perot spectrometry and obtained kinematical information and RCs in the same way as in this study.

In Figure 3, available rotational velocities multiplied by the sine of the assumed inclination angle ($V_{rot \sin i}$) are plotted against radius. In the central regions, rotational velocities from Sofue et al. (1999) are larger than those from the others, while in outer regions Sofue et al. (1999) and Daigle et al. (2006) give almost consistent results. This difference is principally due to that Sofue et al. (1999) traces terminal velocities in a position-velocity diagram, while Daigle et al. (2006) and this study trace intensity-weighted velocities, and that these two velocities are known to be often substantially different in the inner regions. RCs from CO data generally follow those from Daigle et al. (2006) but drop around the outer edge of the CO map.

Considering the errors and radial coverage, we have decided which RC to use in the following analysis. In principle, we try to avoid the use of CO data, since they are not reliable in the outer regions. Although not all the data are consistent each other, most of them are in good agreement where we measure the offsets. For some galaxies, however, a poor RC makes the application of
offset method difficult, indicating the uncertainty of the results could be underestimated. We could not obtain a satisfactory RC for NGC 4535, so we decide to exclude this galaxy from the following analysis. Available RC data and the choice of RC are summarized in Table 2.

### 3.3. Phase Diagram and Offsets

The image analysis was started by checking the coordinates of CO and Hα data. If the coordinates of Hα images are incorrect or not available, foreground stars seen in the R-band image with the same field of view as Hα were used to derive the coordinates. The Aladin Sky Atlas was used to obtain the coordinates of foreground stars and the task ccmap of IRAF was used to calculate and register the image coordinates.

Projected images of galaxies in the (R.A., decl.) coordinate were transformed into deprojected images in the polar coordinate (radius \( r \), azimuth \( \phi \)), by the use of the task pgeom of AIPS with the dynamical center derived above and adopted values of P.A. and \( i \) listed in Table 3. For NGC 3184 and NGC 4736, whose dynamical centers could not be derived, the center of the CO map, which is almost the same as the NED position, was adopted. In Figure 4, we show CO contours on an Hα image in the \((\phi, \log r)\) coordinates for 12 galaxies whose RC are available. Images in this coordinate, called

### Table 2

| NGC | 0628 | 3184 | 3938 | 4535 | 4303 | 4321 | 4736 | 5194 | 5248 | 5457 | 6181 | 6946 |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| bmaj (′′) | 7.2 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 6.9 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 6.0 |
| bmin (′′) | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 5.0 |
| rms (mJy beam\(^{-1}\)) | 51 | 50 | 59 | 15 | 47 | 50 | 64 | 61 | 38 | 45 | 11.5 | 61 |
| Telescope | BIMA+12 m | BIMA | BIMA+12 m | NMA+45 m | BIMA+12 m | BIMA+12 m | BIMA+12 m | BIMA+12 m | BIMA+12 m | BIMA+12 m | NMA | BIMA+12 m |
| P.A. | 0.43 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 1.4 |
| Telescope | CTOI | JKT | KP2 | KP9 | JKT | JKT | JKT | BS | INT | P60 | P60 | JKT |
| Reference | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 |

### Notes.

a The rms of CO data was calculated for a velocity width of 10 km s\(^{-1}\).

b “CO” means a rotation curve from the velocity field of CO data, and data in bold font were used for the application. (See Section 3.2 for detail.) Abbreviations for telescopes: BIMA (Berkeley–Illinois–Maryland Association), 12 m (NRAO 12 m telescope), NMA (Nobeyama Millimeter Array), 45 m (Nobeyama 45 m telescope), CITO (Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory 1.5 m), JKT (1 m Jacobus Kapteyn Telescope), KP2 (Kitt Peak 2.1 m), KP9 (Kitt Peak 0.9 m), S90 (Steward 90 inch), BS (Kitt Peak Burrell-Schmidt), INT (2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope), and P60 (Palomar 60 inch).

### References.

(1) Kennicutt et al. 2003; (2) Knapen et al. 2004; (3) Koopmann et al. 2001; (4) Martin & Kennicutt 2001; (5) R. A. Koopmann et al. 2007, private communication; (6) Daigle et al. 2006; (7) Sofue et al. 1999.

### Table 3

| NGC | Dynamical Center | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | Error | Velocity | P.A.| i | Adopted Value |
|-----|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----------|----|---|---------------|
|     |                  | (h m s)     | (d m s)     |       | (km s\(^{-1}\)) | (°) | (°) |               |
| 0628 |                  | 01 36 41.589| +15 47 01.30| 3''3 | 650.2 ± 1.5 | 11.8 ± 1.1 | ... | 11.8 ± 24\(^{1}\) |
| 3184 |                  |             |             | 9'0  | 812.1 ± 3.7 | 202.2 ± 5.1 | ... | 202.2 ± 24\(^{1}\) |
| 3938 |                  | 11 52 49.502| +44 07 20.50| 3''2 | 2393.7 ± 8.5 | 70.9 ± 4.1 | 52.4 ± 2.3 | 70.9 ± 52.4 |
| 4254 |                  | 12 18 40.799| +14 25 03.90| 6''1 | 1557.8 ± 5.4 | 304.8 ± 9.1 | 27.7 ± 9.5 | 318\(^{2}\) ± 27\(^{2}\) |
| 4303 |                  | 12 21 54.929| +04 28 21.60| 5''7 | 1575.2 ± 7.8 | 161.7 ± 9.5 | 34.5 ± 23.3 | 146\(^{2}\) ± 27\(^{2}\) |
| 4321 |                  | 12 22 55.192| +14 25 10.20| 3''2 | 1943.8 ± 9.8 | ...      | ...      | ... |
| 4535 |                  | 12 34 20.427| +08 11 54.10| 3''2 | 1567.2 ± 7.8 | ...      | ...      | ... |
| 4736 |                  |             |             | 4''2 | 469.2 ± 2.4 | ...      | ...      | 108\(^{2}\) ± 35\(^{2}\) |
| 5194 |                  | 13 29 52.565| +47 11 42.20| 4''8 | 1165.0 ± 10.9 | 109.6 ± 0.2 | 109.6 ± 43\(^{1}\) |
| 5248 |                  | 13 37 32.140| +08 53 03.90| 7''1 | 258.1 ± 2.3 | ...      | ...      | 38\(^{2}\) ± 18\(^{2}\) |
| 5457 |                  | 14 03 12.330| +50 24 56.99| 4''3 | 2395.7 ± 4.0 | 332.8 ± 0.7 | 66.8 ± 3.9 | 332.8 ± 66.8 |
| 6181 |                  | 16 32 20.916| +19 49 35.23| 0''6 | 51.3 ± 9.0 | 244.8 ± 15.7 | ... | 64\(^{2}\) ± 30\(^{2}\) |

### Notes.

\(^{1}\) P.A. is the position angle of the disk major axis, which is defined as 0° at north and increases counterclockwise.

\(^{2}\) \( i \) is the inclination angle of the disk, which is defined as 0° when the disk is face-on, and 90° when edge-on. Data fields shown as “...” indicate that meaningful values are not derived by the analysis described in Section 3.2.

### References.

(1) Helfer et al. 2003; (2) Sofue et al. 1999; (3) Daigle et al. 2006.
the phase diagram, are used to recognize spiral arms, since spiral arms are often expressed as \(
\ln r = \tan(\theta_{\text{pitch}}) \phi\), where \(\theta_{\text{pitch}}\) is the pitch angle of arms, and appear as a line in this diagram.

To measure the offset, we first derived the average flux density in \(r \pm \Delta r\) for each azimuthal angle. The step in radius \(\Delta r\) was set to a value ranging from one-third to half of the CO beamsize. Then, peaks of CO and H\(\alpha\) flux belonging to a spiral arm were searched, and the offset was measured as the azimuthal separation of CO and H\(\alpha\) peaks.

3.4. The \(\Omega-\theta\) Plot and Fitting

The measured offsets (\(\theta\)) are plotted against \(\Omega\) in Figure 5. The error bar in \(\theta\) corresponds to the spatial resolution of CO data, which is the largest factor of uncertainty in \(\theta\). The uncertainty in \(\Omega\) is calculated from errors of the RCs. Since errors of RCs from Sofue et al. (1999) were not available, we assumed an error of \(\pm 20\) km s\(^{-1}\). The least \(\chi^2\) method was used to fit the \(\Omega-\theta\) plot and to derive \(\Omega_p\) and \(\theta_{\text{pitch}}\) with errors. The inclusion of the uncertainty in \(\Omega\) and the use of the least \(\chi^2\) method for the fitting are major revisions from what we have presented in the previous paper. Results from the fitting procedure are presented in Section 4.

4. RESULT

As described in the previous section, we show a plot of \(\theta\) against \(\Omega\) for 12 sample galaxies in Figure 5. For several galaxies with a substantial number (about 20 or more) of offsets, offset distributions for each arm are shown by different symbols. We find that the \(\Omega-\theta\) distribution varies with galaxies, and that for some galaxies, \(\theta\) can even show a negative dependence on \(\Omega\), which is not explained by our picture or Figure 1. From these
plots and the CO and Hα images, we categorize the sample galaxies into three types as follows.

**C:** galaxies with clear offsets, which show clear spiral structures in CO and Hα, and the fit to their Ω–θ plot results in $t_{SF} > 0$ and $\Delta \Omega_P/\Omega_P \lesssim 1$;

**N:** galaxies with no offsets, which show clear spiral structures, but their offsets in arm regions are almost zero;

**A:** galaxies with ambiguous offsets, which cannot be categorized into the above two, mostly because their Ω–θ plot show a negative correlation or large dispersion (i.e., $t_{SF} < 0$ or $\Delta \Omega_P/\Omega_P \gtrsim 1$).

For our sample of 12 galaxies, we have five in C, two in N, and five in A. For the five galaxies in category C, we derived meaningful values of $\Omega_P$ and $t_{SF}$ by the $\chi^2$ fitting. Results of the fitting and the offset category are listed in Table 4.

Results and discussions for each galaxy are presented in Section 4.1, and characteristics and differences in physical and observational parameters of the three categories are discussed in Section 4.2. Discussions on the derived $\Omega_P$ and $t_{SF}$ are presented in Sections 5.1 and 5.2, respectively.

### 4.1. Description for Individual Galaxies

#### 4.1.1. Galaxies with Clear Offsets

Here, we describe our results for “C” galaxies. The Ω–θ plot with the fitted line is shown in Figure 6. In Figure 7, we plot Ω and $\Omega \pm \kappa/2$ as a function of radius to locate the kinetic resonances. We obtain the lower and upper limit of $R_{CR}$ for four out of five “C” galaxies, while only the lower limit is derived for the remaining one galaxy, NGC 4303, whose uncertainty in $\Omega_P$ is as large as $\Omega_P$ itself. On the other hand, the insufficient quality of RC for some galaxies or at some radii hampers reliable derivation of $\kappa$, which makes it difficult to derive the LR. We thus locate the inner Lindblad resonance (ILR) for NGC 5194 only.

Comparison with previous studies is also presented in this section. Values of $\Omega_P$ and $R_{CR}$ from this and other studies are listed in Table 5.

**NGC 0628 (M 74)** We were able to measure 33 offsets between CO and Hα at $r = 0.5–3.7$ kpc (0′.2–1′.7), while Ω was calculated from the RC of Daigle et al. (2006) with a correction for the adopted inclination angle of 24°. We should note that even though the Ω–θ plot shows a large dispersion, the fitted line has a positive gradient since the outlying data with large
error bars have lower weights in the $\chi^2$ fitting. Removing such data points with $\Delta \Omega/\Omega > 1$ did not substantially change the fitted result. As offset distributions for the two arms appear to be consistent, we derive only one value for $t_{SF}$ and $\Omega_p$.

From the derived value of $\Omega_p$ and the RC, the corotation radius is calculated to be $R_{CR} \simeq 2$–$4$ kpc, corresponding to $0\.'9$–$1\.'9$. With $R_{CR} \simeq 5$ kpc or $1/3$ located by Cepa & Beckman (1990) from the arm/interarm ratio of SFE, Fathi et al. (2007a) derived $\Omega_p = 31^{+5}_{-3}$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ with the same RC which we used. We should mention that the discrepancy in $\Omega_p$ is due to the difference in the assumed distance and inclination angle, and that the location of the CR is consistent within errors. However, Elmegreen et al. (1992) examined the $B$-band morphology of this galaxy and derived $R_{CR} \sim 2$–$4$, which is larger than our result.

**NGC 4254 (M 99)** For this three-armed spiral galaxy, we were able to measure 18 offsets between CO and H$\alpha$ for the arm1, extending from east to south, and arm2, extending from west to north, at $r = 2$–$6$ kpc ($0\.'4$–$1\.'3$). The difference in the $\Omega-\theta$ distribution for the two arms is not clear, since the number of offsets is small for arm2 (see Figure 5). We could not measure any offsets for arm3, originating from the same position as the arm1 but with much smaller pitch angle extending to north, since the H$\Pi$ regions were not well aligned where the CO emission delineates the arm.

This galaxy has an asymmetric structure, as one spiral arm (arm1) is much prominent than the other two, which could be explained by a ram pressure effect from intra-cluster matter in the Virgo cluster (Hidaka & Sofue 2002; Sofue et al. 2003a). In addition, a faint but large H$\Pi$ structure, VIRGOHI 21, which might be interacting with this galaxy, has recently been found (Haynes et al. 2007; Minchin et al. 2007) and is also thought to be responsible for the asymmetry. This global asymmetry could explain why only a few offsets could be measured in arm2, and none in arm3.

From the derived value of $\Omega_p$ and the RC, the corotation radius was calculated to be $R_{CR} \simeq 4$–$6$ kpc, corresponding to $1\.'0$–$1\.'3$. Our result is consistent with Kranz et al. (2001), who used results from hydrodynamic simulations to locate the $R_{CR}$.

In our previous work, $\Omega_p$ and $t_{SF}$ were derived to be $28^{+10}_{-6}$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ and $4.8 \pm 1.2$ Myr, respectively, while this work gives $10 \pm 3$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ and $12.4 \pm 1.3$ Myr. The difference between the previous and current work is the fitting scheme, CO data (both in map and RC), and the adopted inclination angle. We have included the uncertainty in $\Omega$ in the fitting procedure, and furthermore, the number of data and the radial range of RC have been increased by our new CO observations with three pointings with NMA. These two aspects should have improved the reliability of results. The assumed inclination angle is changed from $34^\circ$ to $52^\circ$.4. However, since
\[
\sin(34^\circ)/\sin(52^\circ) \sim 0.7,
\]
this is not enough to explain the discrepancy in \( \Omega_p \) and \( t_{SF} \) (See Section 5.3). This implies that the uncertainties from the fitting could be underestimated.

\textbf{NGC 4303 (M 61)} We were able to measure only eight offsets between CO and H\( \alpha \) at \( r = 2.5-4 \) kpc (0'5-0'9), so that the uncertainty in \( \Omega_p \) is too large to derive \( R_{CR} \) for this galaxy. We only give the lower limit of \( R_{CR} \gtrsim 3 \) kpc or 0'6.

As NGC 4303 has a double bar and an active nuclei, studies of this galaxy have concentrated on the dynamics and morphology in the central region. Colina & Wada (2000) performed multiphase hydrodynamical simulations and derived \( \Omega_p \sim 0.5 \) Myr\(^{-1} \sim 500 \) km s\(^{-1} \) kpc\(^{-1} \) for the nuclear bar. They locate the CR at the end of the nuclear bar, which is about 2' in radius. On the other hand, Rautiainen et al. (2005) derived \( R_{CR} = 1'5 \pm 0'1 \) for the outer bar with the size of 1'5. Although

their \( R_{CR} \) gives \( \Omega_p \) close to our mean value of 24 km s\(^{-1} \) kpc\(^{-1} \), it is still unclear whether the bar and spiral arms have the same pattern speed. Koda & Sofue (2006) calculated orbits in the bar from CO data, taken as a part of the Virgo High-Resolution CO Survey (Sofue et al. 2003b), and estimated ages of young clusters apparent in H\( \alpha \) to be \( \lesssim 10 \) Myr, which is consistent to our result of \( t_{SF} \simeq 10 \) Myr.

\textbf{NGC 5194 (M 51)} We were able to measure 41 offsets between CO and H\( \alpha \) at \( r = 2.5-6 \) kpc (0'9-2'2), and found that their dependence on \( \Omega \) is different for the two spiral arms. The offsets of arm2, directly connected to the companion galaxy NGC 5195, show negative dependence on \( \Omega \). We have therefore derived \( \Omega_p \) and \( t_{SF} \) by the \( \chi^2 \) fitting to the offsets of arm1 only. The difference in the \( \Omega-\theta \) distribution for the two arms, may be attributed to the tidal interaction with the companion. This is

Figure 5. Plot of offsets (\( \theta \)) against angular rotational velocity of materials (\( \Omega \)). Horizontal lines indicate \( \theta = 0 \). For several galaxies, two symbols (open and filled circles) are used to show difference between arms.
because the interaction can cause asymmetry in the disk, and the outer part of the spiral arm connected to the companion could be a material arm.

From the derived $\Omega_P$ and the RC, we calculate $R_{CR} = 8.0^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$ kpc, or $2.9^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ arcmin, and the ILR to be at $r \sim$ 2–4 kpc, which is slightly outside of the inner edge of spiral arms. The derived values of $R_{CR}$ in Table 5 span a range more than a factor of 2, indicating the difficulty of its determination. Zimmer et al. (2004) derived $\Omega_P$ to be about 40 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$, from the TW method using the 45 m CO data (Nakai et al. 1994), while Meidt et al. (2008) applied the radial TW method to other CO data (Shetty et al. 2007) and derived two pattern speeds of about 90 and 50 km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ for $r \lesssim 2$ kpc and $2 \lesssim r \lesssim 4$ kpc, respectively, with a possible third pattern of $\Omega_P \sim 20$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ for $4 \lesssim r \lesssim 5$ kpc. As the $\Omega_P$ values from Zimmer et al. (2004) and this work are both between 50 and 20, derived by Meidt et al. (2008), these three works are in good agreement. On the other hand, Knapen et al. (1992) calculated the arm/interarm ratio of SFE along the two arms, and showed that positions of ILR, CR (at $r \sim 2'$ or 6 kpc), and outer Lindblad resonance (OLR) from Elmegreen et al. (1989) were in good agreement with the minimum of this ratio. They also found that the pattern of this ratio along the position in arms is quite similar for the two arms, and claimed that some global triggering mechanisms for star formation should be at work. This pattern they found is not consistent with our result showing different offset distributions for the two arms, as well as the location of the CR.

Bastian et al. (2005) estimated ages of H\(\upalpha\) regions in this galaxy as $\lesssim 10$ Myr based on population synthesis models, and the derived value of $t_{SF}$ is consistent to their ages. Recently published extinction-corrected distribution of star-forming regions (Kennicutt et al. 2007) and the latest CO observations with the 45 m and CARMA (Koda et al. 2009) of this galaxy will be able to improve the current results in terms of reliability of location of H\(\upalpha\) regions, the spatial resolution of CO map, and the quality of the RC.

**NGC 5457 (M 101)** We measured 10 offsets at $r = 1.7–3.1$ kpc (0.8–1.5). Although the data range in $\Omega$ is rather narrow, we were able to derive $t_{SF}$ and $\Omega_P$. We should note that the region where we measured offsets is only the central part of the whole disk, whose $R_{25}$ is 11.9.

Cedrés & Cepa (2002) derived the H\(\upalpha\) equivalent width from observations with narrow band filters, and estimated a mean age of H\(\upalpha\) regions at $r \lesssim 5'$ to be about 1.6–4 Myr, supporting our result of $t_{SF} = 4.0 \pm 1.3$ Myr.

### Table 4

| NGC | $t_{SF}$ (Myr) | $\Omega_P$ (km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$) | No. of Data | $\chi^2$ | Category |
|-----|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| 0628 | 28.2 ± 3.1 | 16 ± 3 | 33 | 91.78 | C |
| 3184 | $-34.1 \pm 10.9$ | 51 ± 24 | 19 | 26.93 | A |
| 3938 | $-6.4 \pm 6.5$ | 20 ± 28 | 9 | 5.2 A | |
| 4254 | 12.4 ± 1.3 | 10 ± 3 | 18 | 29.51 | C |
| 4303 | 10.8 ± 5.7 | 24 ± 29 | 8 | 7.09 C | |
| 4321 | 2.3 ± 0.8 | 31 ± 20 | 34 | 86.80 | N |
| 4736 | $-17.2 \pm 4.8$ | 166 ± 66 | 8 | 15.67 A | |
| 5194 | 13.8 ± 0.7 | 40 ± 4 | 41 | 811.54 C | |
| (arm1) | 7.1 ± 0.5 | 31 ± 5 | 24 | 157.32 C | |
| 5228 | $-0.1 \pm 2.6$ | 270 ± 10860 | 13 | 4.16 N | |
| 5457 | 4.0 ± 1.3 | 72 ± 37 | 10 | 16.09 C | |
| 6181 | $-85.7 \pm 102.7$ | 38 ± 150 | 27 | 31.4 A | |
| (arm2) | 43.4 ± 54.5 | 33 ± 119 | 14 | 19.52 A | |
| 6946 | 1.1 ± 0.8 | 36 ± 79 | 7 | 38.07 A | |

Note. A “C” galaxies are categorized if their $t_{SF}$ and $\Omega_P$ can be determined by the $\Omega-\theta$ plot. “N” galaxies show no offsets between CO and H\(\upalpha\) arms. “A” galaxies are those which do not fall into the above two categories. See Section 4 for details.

### Table 5

| NGC | Author(s) | $D$ (Mpc) | P.A. (°) | $i$ (°) | $\Omega_P$ (km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$) | $R_{CR}$ (arcmin) | Method | Data |
|-----|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------|-------|
| 0628 | Elmegreen et al. (1992) | ... | ... | 21$^a$ | ... | 2.4 | Morphology | B |
| 3184 | Fathi et al. (2007a) | 9.7 | 25 | 6.5 | 31$^{i-5}_{-1}$ | 1.8$^b$ | SFE | H, $\upalpha$ |
| This work (2009) | 7.3 | 11.8 | 24 | 16 ± 3 | 0.9–1.9 | Offset | CO, H$\alpha$ |
| 4254 | Elmegreen et al. (1992) | ... | ... | 27$^a$ | ... | 1.5 | Morphology | B |
| 4303 | Kranz et al. (2001) | 20 | 67.5 | 41.2 | ... | 20 | 1.3 ± 0.2 | Simulation | K, H$\alpha$ |
| This work (2009) | 11.1 | 39.9 | 52.4 | 10 ± 3 | 1.0–1.3 | Offset | CO, H$\alpha$ |
| 5194 | Colina & Wada (2000) | 16.1 | 40 | ... | ... | 500 | 2$^c$ | Simulation |
| 5457 | Elmegreen et al. (1992) | ... | ... | 45$^a$ | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| This work (2009) | 16.1 | 318 | 27 | 24 ± 29 | $\geq$0.6 | Offset | CO, H$\alpha$ |
| 5457 | Garcia-Burillo et al. (1993) | 9.6 | 170 | 20 | 27 | 2.7 | Simulation | CO |
| 5457 | Vogel et al. (1993) | ... | ... | 10 | 20 | ... | 2.1 | Streaming motion | H$\alpha$ |
| 5457 | Kuno et al. (1995) | 6.6 | 10 | 20 | 14 | 5.0 | Morphology | Optical |
| 5457 | Oey et al. (2003) | 9.6 | 85 | ... | ... | ... | 5.0 | Isochrones | H$\alpha$ |
| 5457 | Zimmer et al. (2004) | 9.6 | $-10$ | 20 | 38 ± 7 | ... | TW | CO |
| 5457 | This work (2009) | 9.6 | 22 | 20 | 31 ± 5 | 2.0$^{i+5}_{-1-4}$ | Offset | CO, H$\alpha$ |

Note. $^a$ Inclination is calculated from the ratio of the major axis to the minor axis, from de Vaucouleurs et al. (1976).

$^b$ $R_{CR}$ is from Cepa & Beckman (1990) but $\Omega_P$ is derived from their new RC.
The fitted $\Omega_p$ of $72 \pm 37$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ locates the corotation at $R_{CR} = 2.7^{+1.7}_{-0.7}$ kpc, or $1.3^{+1.8}_{-0.9}$ arcmin. Waller et al. (1997) derived $\Omega_p = 19 \pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ using offsets between CO and far-ultraviolet (FUV) at $r \sim 1.5$, assuming the timescale from CO to FUV to be 3 Myr, locating in $R_{CR} = 5.5^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$. Elmegreen et al. (1992) also located the corotation at 5.5 from the optical appearance. This discrepancy in $R_{CR}$ implies a radial variance of the pattern speed or the inclination angle, which could be caused by interactions with companions, NGC 5474 and NGC 5477. If the inner disk, where we measured offsets, is more inclined than the adopted inclination angle of 18°, the resultant $\Omega_p$ would be smaller or closer to other results mentioned above than the value presented here. In terms of the inclination, the adopted value for this galaxy is one of the smallest in our sample, implying a difficulty in deriving RC, which could be also inferred by the poor consistency in available RCs (see Figure 3). The uncertainties derived by the fitting, thus, could be underestimated.

4.1.2. Galaxies with No Offsets

Here, we describe our findings for “N” galaxies in comparison with previous researches, and discuss why they do not show offsets. Values for $\Omega_p$ from the literature are listed in Table 6.

**NGC 4321 (M 100)** We measured 34 offsets at $r = 1.6$–6.6 kpc (0.3–1.4), and found that the offsets in the arm region ($r \sim 5$–6.5 kpc) are almost zero, while offsets close to the bar region ($r \lesssim 4.5$ kpc) are about 10°, which had already been found by Sheth et al. (2002). There are several possible reasons for no offsets found in the arms: (1) material arms, (2) corotation at where offsets were measured, and (3) elliptical orbits nearly parallel to the spiral arms. Since there are offsets close to the bar region, where orbits should have higher ellipticity than in the arm region, (3) seems to be less plausible at least for this galaxy.

Rand & Wallin (2004) derived the pattern speed of this galaxy to be $28^{+4}_{-3}$ km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$ with the TW method applied to the CO
Figure 7. Plot of $\Omega$ (solid line with "+"), $\Omega + \kappa/2$ (dashed line with "×"), and $\Omega - \kappa/2$ (dashed line with "*") as a function of radius. Derived $\Omega_P$ is presented as a thick horizontal line with thin solid lines indicating the uncertainty. The CR and LR are derived to be where $\Omega_P = \Omega$ and $\Omega_P = \Omega \pm \kappa/2$, respectively.

Table 6

| NGC   | Authority                      | $D$ (Mpc) | P.A. (°) | $i$ (°) | $\Omega_P$ (km s$^{-1}$ kpc$^{-1}$) | $R_{CR}$ (arcmin) | Method     | Data |
|-------|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------|
| 4321  | Elmegreen et al. (1992)        | ...       | ... 27h  | ...    | 2.0                               | 1.8               | Canzian, simulation | H\textsubscript{i} |
|       | Sempere et al. (1995)          | 20        | 155 32   | 20     | 1.8                               | 0.2               | Canzian     | H\textsuperscript{α} |
|       | Canzian & Allen (1997)         | 17.1      | 153 28   | 31     | 1.6 ± 0.2                         | 65 (bar)          | Simulation  | CO |
|       | Wada et al. (1998)             | 17.1      | 155 30   | 65 (bar) | 0.2 (bar) | 2.6 (bar) | Simulation  | CO |
|       | Oey et al. (2003)              | 16.1      | ... ...  | ...    | 2.6                               | 28 ± 1           | Isochrones  | H\textsuperscript{α} |
|       | Rand & Wallin (2004)           | 16.1      | 153 27   | 28 ± 1 | 2.6                               | ...              | TW         | CO |
| 5248  | Elmegreen et al. (1992)        | ...       | ... 41h  | ...    | 1.7                               | 1.9               | Morphology  | B   |
|       | Jogee et al. (2002)            | 15        | 135 ...  | ...    | 1.9                               | 1.9               | Bar length  | K   |

Note. a Inclination is calculated from the ratio of the major axis to the minor axis from de Vaucouleurs et al. (1976).

With the RC from Sofue et al. (1999), this value locates the CR at about 10 kpc (~2') in radius. Previous studies listed in Table 6 also suggest $R_{CR} \gtrsim 2'$. These measurements are well beyond where we measured offsets, so that they cannot explain the lack of offsets.

The northern arm is weaker than the southern arm both in CO and H\textsuperscript{α}. This asymmetry is thought to be due to the existence of the companion galaxy NGC 4322, the ram pressure from intragalactic matter in the Virgo cluster, or the central bar. Knapen et al. (1996) investigated the arm/interarm ratio of
We were able to measure 13 offsets at it will give a new picture of spiral galaxy formation. A grand-design galaxy is confirmed to be without density waves, that (1) would be the most plausible among the three. If this rather unstable or localized at least in the region we see, and include any resonances, although star formation on arms was was larger than unity for most part of the two arms. They include any resonances, although star formation on arms was was larger than unity for most part of the two arms. They include any resonances, although star formation on arms was was larger than unity for most part of the two arms. They include any resonances, although star formation on arms was 

The SFE, and found no symmetric patterns though this ratio was larger than unity for most part of the two arms. They concluded that this was because their observed region did not include any resonances, although star formation on arms was actually enhanced and it might be triggered by spiral density waves. Thus, we deduce that the spiral density wave might be rather unstable or localized at least in the region we see, and that (1) would be the most plausible among the three. If this grand-design galaxy is confirmed to be without density waves, it will give a new picture of spiral galaxy formation.

NGC 5248 We were able to measure 13 offsets at \( r = 4.2–9 \) kpc (0.6–1.4), which were found to be almost zero, while two spiral arms were clearly traced. Three possible reasons for no observed offsets in NGC 4321 are also applicable to this galaxy.

In addition to a small bar with size of 0.4, Jogee et al. (2002) found a large-scale stellar bar with a semimajor axis of 1/6, indicating that the spiral structure seen in CO and H\( \alpha \) could be inside the larger bar. They also estimated \( R_{	ext{CR}} \sim 1.9 \) from the assumed ratio of the corotation radius to the bar semimajor axis (1.2), while Elmegreen et al. (1992) derived a comparable value. Following the concept of the larger bar, Yuan & Yang (2006) developed a nonlinear model for the spiral density waves excited by a bar potential, and succeeded in explaining the observational features of this galaxy. Their orbits show strong inward streaming motions along the spiral arm at \( r < 0.8 \), or 5.8 kpc using our distance. As we found that offsets of up to 1.4\( \) in radius were almost zero, this noncircular orbit can partially explain this feature.

4.1.3. Galaxies with Ambiguous Offsets

In this section, we describe our findings for “A” galaxies in comparison with previous studies, and discuss possible reasons for their ambiguity. These reasons are not the same for all the galaxies, and we expect that if data with higher quality become available, some of them will be recategorized as C.

NGC 3184 We measured 19 offsets at \( r = 1.6–3.6 \) kpc (0.6–1.4), and found a negative correlation between \( \theta \) and \( \Omega \), with large dispersion.

Since CO data from BIMA SONG do not include data from single-dish observations, they are subject to the missing flux problem. In order to quantify how much flux is missed in the BIMA observations, we compared its spectra to those from the 45 m observations (Kuno et al. 2007) in Figure 8. While about 90\% of the total flux was detected in the central 21” region, about 70\% was missed if the flux was averaged at \( r < 45” \).

We do not, however, attribute the ambiguity in the offset to the missing flux, since spiral arms are thought to be comprised of smaller molecular clouds, which should be selectively detected in the interferometric observations. Since the spatial resolution of the CO data (\( \sim 250 \) pc) is small enough to resolve typical molecular arms and offsets, the field of view and/or sensitivity should be insufficient. As spiral arms can be traced in the \( H\alpha \) to larger radii, we expect that the \( \Omega - \theta \) distribution will become clearer with smaller dispersion, if CO data with a larger field of view and higher sensitivity become available.

NGC 3938 We measured nine offsets at \( r = 3–4.6 \) kpc (0.6–0.9), and found a negative correlation between \( \theta \) and \( \Omega \), with a large dispersion. This large dispersion is partly because of the large errors and unreliability of the RC from CO data, which was used to calculate \( \Omega \). The reason we did not use the RC from Daigle et al. (2006) is that it is systematically different from ours, suggesting that the inclination angle mentioned in their paper is not correct. In addition, the CO image and resolution around 500 pc indicates that molecular arms are not sufficiently resolved and detected, while spiral arms are clear in the \( H\alpha \) image. Thus we need further CO observations to discuss the spiral structure of this galaxy based on the \( \Omega - \theta \) distribution.

NGC 4736 (M 94) Though we were able to measure eight offsets at \( r = 1–1.5 \) kpc (0.7–1.0), which are clear in the CO and \( H\alpha \) images, they show a negative dependence on \( \Omega \). We derived the rotational velocity from CO data, but it was not consistent with the RC from Sofue et al. (1999) at where we measured offsets. Given that the RC from Sofue et al. (1999) is flat, which is typical of spiral galaxies, we use this to calculate \( \Omega \). However, even if we used the RC from CO data, the dependence of offsets on \( \Omega \) would still be negative.

NGC 4736 is the only galaxy in our sample to be classified as flocculent (AC = 3). A star-forming ring or short spiral arms are apparent at \( r \sim 1–1.5 \) kpc in the \( H\alpha \) image, while molecular arms are longer and apparent at \( r \sim 1–2 \) kpc. This difference in morphology between CO and \( H\alpha \), which has been also noted by Wong & Blitz (2000), could be responsible for the negative correlation between \( \theta \) and \( \Omega \). Therefore, we deduce that there are other triggers of star formation than the spiral density wave in this galaxy.

One possible trigger is an expanding poststarburst ring, proposed by van der Kruit (1974) and Sanders & Bania (1976). They explained that the ring resulted from a central starburst which occurred about 10 Myr ago, from spectroscopic observations and hydrodynamical calculations. Maoz et al. (1995) found two compact UV sources separated by \( 2.5 \) in the nucleus with diffuse
emission centered on the one source, presumably corresponding to
the optical nucleus. They interpreted their results to be the
final stage of a merger, which is consistent with the poststarburst
to the optical nucleus. They interpreted their results to be the
emission centered on the one source, presumably corresponding
as the rms of emission-free regions.

NGC 6181 offsets.

We were able to measure 27 offsets for two spiral
arms at \( r = 2–5 \) kpc (0.2–0.5). While the offsets of arm1 show
a negative dependence on \( \Omega \), those of arm2 show a positive
dependence (Figure 5). We thus fit the \( \Omega-\theta \) plot for arm2
only, but the uncertainties of the resultant values for \( \Omega \) and
\( \Omega_p \) are too large to give a quantitative conclusion. As the large
uncertainty is mainly due to large errors in the RC we used,
we derive offsets, with a width of 500 pc. From Figure 10, where
we measured offsets. In addition, we derive

NGC 6946 At the region where CO emission was detected,
the spiral structure is not clear, so we were able to measure
only seven offsets at \( r = 1.1–2.4 \) kpc (0.7–1.5). This small
number of data resulted in the large uncertainty of \( \Omega \), so that
we categorized this galaxy as C. The positive slope in the \( \Omega-\theta \)
plot, however, gives the fitted value of \( \Omega_f = 1.1 \pm 0.8 \) Myr. This
is slightly smaller than that for C galaxies, but still has the same
order of magnitude.

Zimmer et al. (2004) applied the TW method to the IRAM
30 m CO data (Walsh et al. 2002), and derived \( \Omega_p = 39 \pm
8 \) km s\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-1}\), consistent with our mean value of \( 38 \) km s\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-1}\). Fathi et al. (2007b) also applied the TW method using
H\(_\alpha\) data from Daigle et al. (2006), whose spatial resolution was
higher than that of CO data, and obtained two pattern speeds:
\( \Omega_p = 47^{+1}_{-2} \) km s\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-1}\) for the inner structure (\( r \approx 1' \)) and
\( \Omega_p = 22^{+3}_{-1} \) km s\(^{-1}\) kpc\(^{-1}\) for the outer structure (\( r > 1' \)). They
also claimed that spatially smoothed H\(_\alpha\) data gave a comparable
value to \( \Omega_p \) from Zimmer et al. (2004). As we measured offsets

### Table 7

| NGC 6181 | bmaj (\( \text{pc} \)) | rms (\( M_\odot \text{pc}^{-2} \)) | Category |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| 0628     | 250            | 52             | C         |
| 3938     | 490            | 73             | A         |
| 4254     | 370            | 34             | C         |
| 4303     | 570            | 45             | C         |
| 4321     | 560            | 55             | N         |
| 4736     | 170            | 72             | A         |
| 5194     | 270            | 80             | C         |
| 5248     | 760            | 37             | N         |
| 5457     | 200            | 57             | C         |
| 6181     | 600            | 47             | A         |
| 6946     | 160            | 80             | A         |

**Notes.**

- \(^a\) Major axis of the synthesized beam from the CO data.
- \(^b\) Sensitivity of CO channel maps (10 km s\(^{-1}\) per channel) measured

Figure 9. Plot of sensitivity (rms) against the beam major axis (bmaj) of the CO
images listed in Table 7 for each category.

around the border of the inner and outer structure, our \( \Omega_p \), which
is in between the two values, is not inconsistent to their results
despite the large uncertainty.

Since the spiral structure is more conspicuous and stronger
CO emission is detected in the outer regions (Walsh et al.
2002), further CO observations pointed to such regions will
give understanding of the property of the spiral arms and star
formation in this galaxy. We anticipate that this galaxy will be
recategorized as C if we can measure a larger number of offsets
and thus derive \( \Omega_p \) with smaller uncertainty.

4.2. Property of Galaxies in Each Category

In order to understand relationships between spiral structures
and other physical parameters, we have examined several
properties of galaxies according to their category. Similar
analyses are performed for all “C” galaxies, and their results
are shown in Section 5.2.

4.2.1. Molecular Gas Property

As we find that the missing flux in CO data of NGC 3184
data could be as large as about 70%, we exclude this galaxy
from the following quantitative analysis of molecular gas.
NGC 6181, whose CO data are also from interferometric
observations only, is included in the analysis, since we find
almost no flux is missed in the data by comparison with spectra
from Young et al. (1995).

First, we reassess the quality of CO data, which can cause
biases to the categorization. We list the beam sizes (bmaj) and
the noise levels (rms) of the CO images together with the offset
category in Table 7, and show a plot of rms against bmaj in
Figure 9. From this figure, we find no systematic differences or
biases to the category.

Next, global properties for each category are examined. We
calculate the mean H\(_2\) surface density \( \Sigma \) using a conversion
factor of \( X_{\text{CO}} = 3.0 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (K km s\(^{-1}\))\(^{-1}\) at the radial
region where we measured offsets. In addition, we derive \( \Sigma \) only
for the arm regions, centered on CO peaks, which were used to
derive offsets, with a width of 500 pc. From Figure 10, where
disk-averaged and arm-only \( \Sigma \) are plotted for each category, we
find no clear dependence of both values on the offset category.
This indicates that larger (greater than 500 pc) scale properties
of gas are not closely relevant to the spiral structure.

4.2.2. Morphological Property

In order to quantify the morphological properties of the
underlying gravitational potential of the spiral disk, we use the arm/interarm ratio in \( K\)-band images as an indicator of the
strength of the galactic shock or spiral arms, since the luminosity
in $K$ is less sensitive to extinction and thought to be proportional to the total mass of low-mass stars, which dominate total stellar mass, assuming a constant mass-to-luminosity ratio. The $K$-band images are taken from Knapen et al. (2003) and Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS), and shown in Figure 11. We define the arm region as a region with 500 pc width centered on the Hα peak which was measured in order to derive offsets, while the interarm region is set to the same width centered at the midpoint of the two arm regions, or 90° away from an arm region if only one arm region is defined at that radius. The arm/interarm $K$ flux ratio is calculated at each radius where Hα peaks (i.e., offsets) are measured and averaged over the disk. In Figure 12, the averaged ratio is plotted for each offset category. Although there is no strong correlation, “A” galaxies tend to have a smaller ratio.

As an alternative way of estimating the arm strength, we perform a one-dimensional discrete Fourier transformation,

$$A(r, m) = \sum_{\phi=0}^{360-1} F(r, \phi) \exp(-2\pi im\phi/360),$$

where $F(r, \phi)$ is the $K$-band flux at the polar coordinate $(r, \phi)$, in order to know which mode $m$ is dominant within a galaxy and obtain the typical strength of asymmetric components. We calculate the relative amplitude $|A(r, m)|/|A(r, m = 0)|$ for $m = 1–4$, and the mean of these components is shown against the offset category in Figure 13. The $m = 2$ component is the strongest for most of the galaxies, regardless of category. We find no clear dependence of the amplitude on the category, similar to that in the $K$ flux ratio. The smallest amplitudes, however, belong to “A” galaxies.

This tendency between the arm strengths and the offset category implies that stronger stellar arms or a deeper potential generates clearer offsets. As the potential depth should be tightly correlated with the strength of spiral density waves, the ambiguity in offsets for “A” galaxies at least partially results from weaker density waves.

In Table 8, we list the number of SA, SAB, and SB galaxies in each category. While our sample is not large enough for a robust statistical study, “N” galaxies seem to have stronger bars than others. Thus, bars could be responsible for no offsets as discussed in Section 4.1.2.

5. DISCUSSION

For five galaxies in the “C” category, we were able to derive their $\Omega_\rho$ and $t_{SF}$ by the $\chi^2$ fitting. In this section, we discuss the dependency of the derived values on other physical parameters. As we have only five galaxies, the statements here are still tentative and require a larger sample to confirm them statistically.

5.1. Pattern Speed

In Figure 14, we plot ellipses on CO and Hα maps to show the location of the derived corotation, except for NGC 4303, whose uncertainty in $\Omega_\rho$ is too large to derive a reliable $R_{CR}$. We find that $R_{CR}$ is nearly consistent with the edge of CO data, while Hα arms are more extended. This is a natural result from the fact that we did not find large negative offsets, but we cannot exclude the possibility that the small CO field of view has caused a bias to this result. For a more robust determination, larger CO maps are needed. In Table 9, the radius of the optical disk $R_{25}$ from Nearby Galaxies Catalog (Tully 1988) and the derived $R_{CR}$ are listed. From the ratio $R_{CR}/R_{25}$, we find that the corotation radius is about half of $R_{25}$, except for NGC 5457. We should note that this result is fully independent of the assumed distance, and less sensitive to the assumed inclination angle.

5.2. Star Formation Timescale

As the derived values of $t_{SF}$ are about 5–30 Myr and the free-fall time ($\sim 1/\sqrt{G\rho}$) of a typical molecular cloud ($\rho \sim 100$ cm$^{-3}$) is about 6 Myr, we deduce that the gravitational instability should be a dominant trigger for star formation in the spiral arms. Here, we examine how the gravitational instability and other factors affect the star formation timescale. Similar analyses are performed for each offset category and their results are shown in Section 4.2.

We should bare in mind that $t_{SF}$ is linearly dependent on the assumed distance, and also dependent on the assumed inclination, where its error is substantial for galaxies with low inclination angles. Its dependency is discussed in Section 5.3.

5.2.1. Gravitational Instability

In Figure 15, the mean H$_2$ surface density $\Sigma$ derived from CO data is plotted against $t_{SF}$. Values in the left panel are derived by averaging the data azimuthally, while those in the right panel are derived only from arm regions. As we obtained $t_{SF}$ consistent with the gravitational collapse timescale, we expect larger density with smaller $t_{SF}$. However, there is no clear correlation between $t_{SF}$ and $\Sigma$, suggesting that molecular properties at scale larger than 500 pc (adopted width of arm regions) are not directly relevant to star formation activities. Since the typical size of a GMC is about 50 pc, which is much smaller than the spatial resolution of CO data we used, we need CO data with higher resolution as well as larger sample of spiral galaxies for further study.
Figure 11. \(K\)-band images of sample galaxies.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 12. Plot of the arm/interarm ratio in the \(K\)-band image against the offset category (1 = C, 2 = N, 3 = A). Points are slightly shifted in the horizontal direction so as not to overlap.

Figure 13. Plot of the relative amplitude, \(A(r, m)/A(r, m = 0)\), for \(m = 1\)–4 against the offset category (1 = C, 2 = N, 3 = A). Points are slightly shifted in the horizontal direction so as not to overlap.
Figure 14. Location of the corotation on CO (left) and Hα (right) images. Coordinates are (R.A., decl.) in J2000. Solid line indicates the best-fitted corotation location and dashed lines indicate the range of uncertainty. For NGC 0628 and NGC 4254, only dashed lines are drawn due to the large radial variation of their RC.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Table 8

| Bar Classification and Offset Category | C | N | A | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|-------|
| SA                                   | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6     |
| SAB                                  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5     |
| SB                                   | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1     |
| Total                                | 5 | 2 | 5 | 12    |

Table 9

| NGC  | \(R_{25}\) (arcmin) | \(R_{CR}\) (arcmin) | \(R_{CR}/R_{25}\) |
|------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 0628 | 3.5                 | 0.9–1.9             | 0.3–0.5           |
| 4254 | 2.5                 | 1.0–1.3             | 0.4–0.5           |
| 5194 | 6.8                 | 2.9^{+0.2}_{-0.4}   | 0.4–0.5           |
| 5457 | 11.9                | 1.3^{+1.8}_{-0.4}   | 0.1–0.3           |

5.2.2. Metallicity

We plot the heavy element abundance in the form of 12 + log(O/H) from Zaritsky et al. (1994) against \(t_{SF}\) in Figure 16. Each galaxy has two metallicity values measured at \(r = 0.4R_{25}\) and \(r = 0.8R_{s}\), where \(R_{s}\) is the scale length. We measured offsets around 0.8\(R_{s}\) with the exception of NGC 5457, for which we used the region of \(r < 1.5\) while 0.8\(R_{s} = 1.7\). Since heavy elements can help the cooling and collapse of molecular clouds by their transitional lines, we expect smaller \(t_{SF}\) with higher metallicity. Such a correlation is, however, not apparent here, implying that these effects by heavy elements are not critical to star formation processes.

5.2.3. Spiral Strength

In Figures 17 and 18, we plot the arm/interarm ratio and the Fourier amplitudes \(|A(r, m)|/|A(r, m = 0)|\) for \(m = 1–4\) from \(K\)-band images against \(t_{SF}\). Neither plots show strong dependence on \(t_{SF}\). If we can confirm that the spiral arm strength has no correlation with \(t_{SF}\), the spiral shock or potential should have no direct effect on star formation and its role could be just to agglomerate small pre-existing molecular clouds to form massive molecular clouds, in which star formation eventually occurs, as suggested by Koda & Sofue (2006). This is important for understanding the relationship between galactic dynamics and star formation activities, and we need a larger sample of galaxies for further study.

5.3. Validity of Offset Method

Out of 12 nearby spiral galaxies, we have succeeded in deriving \(\Omega_P\) and \(t_{SF}\) for five galaxies, which are referred as “C” galaxies. The rest of the sample, comprised of seven galaxies, are categorized as “N” or “A” according to their offset distributions.

We have examined the surface mass density \(\Sigma\) of the molecular disk, and strength of spiral arms in Section 4.2, to find any...
differences in physical properties between the categories. While no clear difference is found in the molecular properties, there is an indication that galaxies which show ambiguous offsets tend to have a smaller stellar density contrast between arm and interarm regions. Thus, the \( K \)-band morphology can be used as an additional selection criterion, when a larger sample is needed for further study.

The validity of the assumptions we have used in the offset method (i.e., circular rotation, constant \( t_{SF} \) and \( \Omega_p \)) can be estimated from the \( \Omega - \theta \) plot. Several features found in this work, such as the lack of offsets and different distributions for individual arms, indicate that these assumptions or even the density wave theory are not applicable to all the spiral galaxies. A presence of a central bar (e.g., Athanassoula 1980; Romero-Gómez et al. 2007) and an interaction with companion galaxies (e.g., Toomre & Toomre 1972) can drive material or short-lived spiral structures or enhance pre-existing density waves. Possible explanations for individual galaxies are mentioned in Section 4.1, but a full consideration of these effects is beyond the scope of this paper.
Here we consider the effects of the adopted parameters on the results. The parameter we must care most is the inclination angle $i$, as it changes both $t_{SF}$ and $\Omega_P$. Since $\Omega$ is derived as $V_{\text{obs}}/\sin i/r$, larger $t_{SF}$ and smaller $\Omega_P$ will be derived, if larger $i$ is adopted. In addition, different $i$ can change the shape of spiral arms, since $r = r_{\text{obs}}/\sin i$ for the minor axis direction. This change is difficult to quantify, because it does not change anything regarding the major axis direction. These effects are especially significant for the most face-on (small $i$) galaxies. For example, if $i = 12^\circ$ is adopted for NGC 0628, which is half of our value, the resultant $\Omega_P$ and $t_{SF}$ will be changed by a factor of 2 from our result, since $\sin(12^\circ)/\sin(24^\circ) \sim 0.51$. While this can directly change the result on $t_{SF}$, the location of the corotation is almost independent of $i$, since $\Omega$ is also inversely dependent on $\sin i$ as well as $\Omega_P$.

Another parameter we must keep in mind is the distance $D$. As $r$ is proportional to $D$, $\Omega$ is inversely proportional to $D$. Though $D$ affects $\Omega_P$ and $t_{SF}$ in the same way as $\sin i$, its uncertainty is about 20%, so that the effect is not as large as $\sin i$ for small $i$. We should note that the location of corotation is fully independent on $D$.

In addition, the poor determination of the RC for some galaxies and the discrepancy between this work and previous work for NGC 4254, both indicate that the uncertainty of the fitted $\Omega_P$ and $t_{SF}$ could be underestimated. The latter and several other works also suggest a radial variation of $\Omega_P$. In order to solve these problems, an extensive CO survey of nearby spiral galaxies with both interferometers and single dishes is critical.

6. CONCLUSION

We present a revised method to determine both the pattern speed ($\Omega_P$) and star formation timescale ($t_{SF}$) of spiral galaxies simultaneously, which is originally proposed by Egusa et al. (2004). This method utilizes offsets between molecular and young-stellar arms, and we refer to it as the “Offset Method.”

We have applied the offset method to CO and Hα data of 13 nearby galaxies, and derived $\Omega_P$ and $t_{SF}$ for five galaxies. Since their offsets are clear, we categorize them as “C” galaxies. From the results of these five galaxies, we find the following.

1. The corotation radius calculated by the derived $\Omega_P$ is near the edge of CO data, and is about half of the optical radius for three galaxies.
2. The derived $t_{SF}$ is roughly consistent with the typical free-fall timescale of molecular clouds, which indicates that gravitational instability is a dominant mechanism triggering star formations in spiral arms.
3. The surface density of molecular gas calculated from CO data, heavy elements abundance, and spiral arm strengths evaluated from $K$-band images do not show clear dependence on $t_{SF}$.

We also find that two out of the remaining eight galaxies show no offsets between CO and Hα and categorized them as “N” galaxies. As their arms are clearly traced, the spiral density wave is usually thought to be at work in these galaxies. There are several possible reasons to explain the lack of offset in these galaxies: (1) material arms, (2) corotation where arms are seen, and (3) elliptical orbits nearly parallel to spiral arms. Although the current data are not sufficient to confirm which case is at work, we have gained an insight that a central bar could account for this feature, since these two galaxies are both barred.

With one galaxy excluded from our analysis due to its poor RC, the remaining five galaxies have ambiguous offsets, whose dependence on the rotational frequency is not clear or different from our expectation. We categorize them as “A” galaxies. The major reasons for this ambiguity are (1) the density wave is weaker, and/or (2) observational resolution and sensitivity are not sufficient to detect arms and their offsets clearly. The former is supported by our finding that the arm strengths of “A” galaxies are slightly weaker than those of “C” galaxies.

In addition to the results for individual galaxies, properties of molecular gas and morphology are examined according to the category. We list the results in the following.

1. The disk-averaged and arm-only value of molecular surface density do not appear to correlate with the category, indicating that larger (greater than 500 pc) scale properties of gas do not vary according to a spiral structure.
2. Analyses of the $K$-band images show that the amplitude of stellar spiral arms is slightly smaller for “A” galaxies.

From the results for individual galaxies and offset categories as mentioned above, we summarize our findings are as follows.

1. Star formation in spiral arms is dominantly triggered by gravitational instability of molecular clouds.
2. Stellar spiral arms could affect the appearance of offsets between molecular gas and young stars.
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