Exploring the Communal Pathogenesis, Ferroptosis Mechanism, and Potential Therapeutic Targets of Dilated Cardiomyopathy and Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy via a Microarray Data Analysis
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Background: Cardiomyopathies are a heterogeneous group of heart diseases that can gradually cause severe heart failure. In particular, dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) are the two main types of cardiomyopathies, yet the independent and communal biological mechanisms of both remain far from elucidated. Meanwhile, ferroptosis is a non-apoptotic form of cell death that has been proven to be associated with cardiomyopathies, but the concrete nature of the interaction remains unclear. Hence, this study explored the pathogenesis and ferroptosis mechanism of HCM and DCM via a bioinformatics analysis.

Methods: Six datasets were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database based on the study inclusion/exclusion criteria. After screening the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and hub genes of HCM and DCM, subsequent analyses, including functional annotation, co-expression, validation, and transcription factors (TF)–mRNA–microRNA (miRNA) regulatory network construction, were performed. In addition, ferroptosis-related DEGs were also identified and verified in HCM and DCM.

Results: We found 171 independent DEGs of HCM mainly enriched in the regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade, while 171 independent DEGs of DCM were significantly involved in cell adhesion. Meanwhile, 32 communal DEGs (26 upregulated genes and 6 downregulated genes) and 3 hub genes [perioistin (POSTN), insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-5 (IGFBP5), and fibromodulin (FMOD)] were determined to be shared between HCM and DCM and the functional annotation of these genes highlighted the important position of growth hormone in HCM and DCM. Moreover, we identified activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3), lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 3 (LPCAT3), and solute carrier family 1 member 5 (SLC1A5) as ferroptosis-related genes in HCM and S7A3 as a ferroptosis-related gene in DCM.
Conclusion: The identified independent and communal DEGs contribute to uncover a potentially distinct and common mechanism of HCM and DCM and ferroptosis-related genes could provide us with a novel direction for exploration. In addition, 3 hub genes could be potential biomarkers or therapeutic targets in patients with cardiomyopathy.
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INTRODUCTION

Cardiomyopathies are a heterogeneous group of diseases characterized by structural and functional alterations of the heart, which gradually cause severe heart failure (HF) (1, 2). Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) are the two most common and prominent types of cardiomyopathies (3). DCM is characterized by ventricular dilatation accompanied by contractile impairment. DCM is one of the main causes of HF, and the prevalence of DCM is 1:250–1:400 cases in the HF population (2, 4). In contrast, the main characteristic of HCM is left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy with primary involvement of the interventricular septum. HCM is a common cause of sudden cardiac death in young athletes, with a prevalence of ~0.2% (5, 6). Recent studies have shown that sarcomere gene mutation is an important factor in the occurrence and development of cardiomyopathy. Although HCM and DCM have some crossover in terms of sarcomere gene mutations, the different types and degrees of sarcomere gene mutations provoke different histopathology and contractile properties, leading in turn to different ventricular morphologies and clinical features (7–9). Although sarcomere biophysics and physiology have provided a new perspective and direction for DCM and HCM research in the past few years, the pathophysiological mechanisms and biological progress of DCM and HCM are still not fully explained (7). The subsequent cascading inflammatory response caused by mutations in the sarcomere gene and the underlying factors independent of the sarcomere remain unclear. Therefore, extensive studies have suggested that the focus of research should be converted from single-gene hypotheses to alternative and complementary mechanisms, and new determinants of cardiomyopathies should be reviewed and screened comprehensively in terms of network medical analysis (10–12). In addition, ferroptosis is a non-apoptotic form of cell death characterized by the iron-dependent accumulation of lipid hydroperoxides to lethal levels (13). Increasingly evidence have shown that ferroptosis plays an essential role in cardiovascular diseases (14–16). Ferroptosis is reported to be associated with many types of cardiomyopathies, yet the exact role and mechanisms of ferroptosis in cardiomyopathy are far from fully elucidated (17). With further study of genotype-to-phenotype correlations in DCM and HCM, the independent pathogenesis between DCM and HCM has gained significant attention (3, 18). Meanwhile, the common therapeutic direction and target of these cardiomyopathies remain to be urgently explored.

The transcription signatures may provide new insights into the pathogenesis of DCM and HCM. Hence, this article sought to identify independent and distinct biological mechanisms of DCM and HCM by bioinformatics analysis and, more significantly, screen the potential common biological pathways of DCM and HCM in order to unearth potential biomarkers and therapeutic directions in these cardiomyopathies. In this article, 4 gene-expression datasets were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) for identifying independent and communal differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in HCM and DCM. Then, enrichment analyses of independent and overlapping DEGs were performed in order to uncover the independent and shared biological mechanisms of HCM and DCM. Based on a protein–protein interaction (PPI) network constructed using the search tool for the retrieval of interacting genes (STRING) database and Cytoscape, we performed hub gene selection, validation, and analysis in order to screen potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets in both cardiomyopathies. In addition, we identified and verified ferroptosis-related genes in HCM and DCM, respectively, in order to uncover the underlying mechanisms between ferroptosis and cardiomyopathy. In the end, a total of 32 communal DEGs, 3 hub genes, and 4 ferroptosis-related genes that may provide new insights into the biological mechanisms and therapeutic directions of HCM and DCM were identified.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Source

The GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) is a public database created by the US National Center for Biotechnology Information, which contains microarray and high-throughput sequencing datasets submitted by research institutes globally (19). HCM and DCM were used as keywords to search for gene-expression datasets with consideration of strict inclusion/exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were set as follows: [1] sporadic HCM or DCM, [2] datasets should consist of patients and healthy controls and should include the largest possible sample size, and [3] the test specimens in the datasets should be from human cardiac tissues. Exclusion criteria were as follows: Patients had participated in a clinical trial for drugs or other treatments. Finally, based on the inclusion/exclusion criteria, 6 microarray datasets were selected from GEO. In the DCM group, GSE36961 (106 patients with HCM and 39 controls), GSE32453 (8 patients with HCM and 5 controls), and GSE1145 (GPL570, 5 patients with HCM and 11 controls) were chosen from GEO, while GSE21610 (21 patients with DCM and 8 controls) (20), GSE79962 (9 patients with DCM and 11 controls) (21), and GSE3585 (7 patients with DCM and
Identification of DEGs
The GEO2R (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/) is a network tool that works based on the Limma package and GEOquery (23). We used GEO2R to identify DEGs with the conditions of \( P \leq 0.05 \) and \(|\log FC| > 0.5\). Then, we constructed VEEN diagrams to detect and visualize the overlapping DEGs of the HCM and DCM datasets.

Enrichment Analyses of Independent and Overlapping DEGs
We performed the Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis to elucidate the biological characteristics of the independent DEGs using the database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery (DAVID) database (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) (24). Metascape (https://metascape.org) is used to conduct functional annotation analyses of overlapping DEGs (25) and further pathway enrichment of overlapping DEGs from 5 pathway databases (i.e., the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, Pathway Interaction Database, BioCyc, Reactome, and Panther) was implemented in the online platform KEGG orthology based annotation system (KOBAS) version 3.0. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant (26).

Protein–Protein Interaction Network Construction
Protein–protein interaction networks are used to uncover protein interactions and screen core protein genes. We constructed a PPI network of overlapping DEGs based on the STRING (http://string-db.org) version 11.0 database with the condition that the interaction combined score was \( > 0.4 \) points (27). Subsequently, Cytoscape (version 3.7.0) was used to visualize the molecular interaction networks of overlapping DEGs (28).

Hub Gene Selection and Analyses
The hub genes in overlapping DEGs were screened using CytoHubba, a Cytoscape plugin, which was used to indicate the core protein genes of the PPI network (29). A total of 12 algorithms are available in CytoHubba, all of which are proved to be effective in identifying hub genes in this study. We randomly selected 5 of the 12 algorithms in CytoHubba and took the results of the intersection of these 5 algorithms to identify the hub genes. After that, a co-expression network of hub genes was constructed based on GeneMANIA (http://www.genemania.org/), which is a credible web tool for conducting gene list function analyses and unearthing internal associations (30). Finally, we predicted the subcellular localization of proteins encoded by the hub genes using the WoLF PSORT platform (31). Based on UniProt and the GO database, WoLF PSORT was able to predict the subcellular localization from the amino acid sequences of hub genes according to sorting signals, functional motifs, and amino acid composition.

Validation of Hub Gene Expression
The hub gene expressions were verified in GSE1145 (GPL570 plus 5 patients with HCM and 11 controls) and GSE3585 (7 patients with DCM and 5 controls). A comparison between the 2 sets of data was performed with the \( t \)-test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

Construction of the TF–mRNA–miRNA Regulatory Network
Mirwalk is a convenient database that mainly focuses on miRNA–target interactions (32). In this article, the miRNA of hub genes was predicted by the Mirwalk database with the strict condition that the predicted miRNA could be verified by other databases or experiments. The transcriptional Regulatory Relationships Unraveled by Sentence-based Text Mining (TRRUST) database, which contains the target genes corresponding to TFs and the regulatory relationships between TFs, was used to predict the TF that regulates the hub genes in this research (33). After the prediction of the TF–mRNA–microRNA (miRNA) relationship using TRRUST and Mirwalk, we used Cytoscape to visualize the regulatory network.

Identification and Validation of Ferroptosis-Related DEGs in DCM and HCM
A total of 259 ferroptosis-related genes were obtained from the Ferroptosis Database (FerrDb; zhounan.org) (34), and we intersected these genes with DEGs of HCM to screen ferroptosis-related genes in HCM. To ensure the rigor and accuracy of this study, we verified these gene expressions in GSE1145. The comparison between the HCM and control sets of data was performed by the \( t \)-test, and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant. Based on the validation results, we removed the disqualified genes and finally obtained the accurate ferroptosis-related genes in HCM. Using the same method, we acquired the ferroptosis-related genes of DCM, which were verified and proved to be significant in GSE3585.
Differentially expressed genes 3 contained a total of 32 genes overlapping between DEGs1 and DEGs2, as shown in the Venn diagram, consisting of 26 upregulated genes and 6 downregulated genes (Figures 3E,F). Besides, 171 DEGs (DEGs4) and 171 DEGs (DEGs5) were identified independently from the DEGs3 in DEGs1 and DEGs2, respectively.

**Gene Ontology Enrichment Analyses of Independent DEGs in HCM and DCM**

To uncover the biological roles of DEGs4 and DEGs5, the GO enrichment analysis was conducted (Table 1). The results were divided into 3 functional categories, specifically biological processes (BP), cell component (CC), and molecular function (MF). GO enrichment analysis of DEGs4 showed that the DEGs in the BP category were particularly involved in the positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade (GO:0070374), chemotaxis (GO:0006935), actin filament organization (GO:0007015), and negative regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade (GO:0070373). In terms of the CC category, DEGs4 were significantly involved in focal adhesion (GO:0005925), cytoplasm (GO:0005737), and clathrin-coated endocytic vesicle membrane (GO:0030669). As for the MF category, DEGs4 were mainly enriched in protein-binding (GO:0005515), protein homodimerization activity (GO:0042803), structural constituent of cytoskeleton (GO:0005200), and SH3/SH2 adaptor activity (GO:0005070). GO analysis results indicated that DEGs5 were mainly involved in cell adhesion (GO:0007155), positive regulation of cell-substrate adhesion (GO:0010811), positive regulation of cell division (GO:0051781), and positive regulation of cell proliferation (GO:0008284). In the CC category, the genes were particularly involved in the proteinaceous extracellular matrix (GO:0005578), extracellular space (GO:0005615), extracellular region (GO:0005576), and extracellular exosome (GO:0070062). Finally, the terms enriched under MF were collagen binding (GO:0005518), heparin binding (GO:0008201), integrin binding (GO:0005178), and calmodulin binding (GO:0005516).
Functional Annotation of Communal DEGs in HCM and DCM

For in-depth exploration and to reveal the biological characteristics of common DEGs in HCM and DCM, functional annotation analysis was performed with Metascape (Figure 4A), and further pathway enrichment analyses were conducted using KOBAS version 3.0 (Figure 4B). Functional annotation analysis using Metascape showed that DEGs5 were significantly involved in the regulation of system process (GO:0044057), supramolecular fiber organization (GO:0097435), and response to growth hormone (GO:0060416). Meanwhile, according to the further pathway enrichment analyses conducted with KOBAS version 3.0, DEGs5 were particularly enriched in signal transduction, immune system, signaling by interleukins, and growth hormone receptor signaling. These results revealed that growth hormone could play an important role in the communal mechanisms of HCM and DCM.

Protein–Protein Interaction Network Construction and Hub Gene Selection and Analyses

Based on the STRING database, the PPI network of DEGs5 with combined scores of >0.4 points was generated by Cytoscape, consisting of 20 nodes and 23 edges (Figure 5). In this article, 5 algorithms (MNC, Radiality, ClusteringCoefficient, DMNC, and EcCentricity) in CytoHubba were adopted to identify hub genes. The top 5 genes screened by these 5 algorithms are listed in Table 2. Subsequently, we overlapped the top 5 genes found by the 5 algorithms to determine the central genes (Figure 6). Three upregulated genes [insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-5 (IGFBP5), periostin (POSTN), and fibromodulin (FMOD)] were screened as hub genes. In order to better uncover the biological characteristics of these hub genes, we constructed and analyzed the network of the hub genes and their co-expression genes based on the GeneMANIA platform (Figure 7). Three hub
FIGURE 3 | (A) Venn diagram of the 108 communal upregulated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in HCM. (B) Venn diagram of the 95 communal downregulated DEGs in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). (C) Venn diagram of the 146 communal upregulated DEGs in dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). (D) Venn diagram of the 57 communal downregulated DEGs in DCM. (E) Venn diagram of the 26 communal upregulated DEGs shared between HCM and DCM. (F) Venn diagram of the 6 communal downregulated DEGs shared between HCM and DCM.

TABLE 1 | The gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of independent differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) and dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM).

| DEGs | Ontology | ID | Description | Counts | P value |
|------|----------|----|-------------|--------|---------|
| DEGs4 BP | GO:0070374 | Positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade | 10 | 4.85E–05 |
| | GO:0006935 | Chemotaxis | 8 | 1.74E–04 |
| | GO:0007015 | Actin filament organization | 6 | 6.35E–04 |
| | GO:0007373 | Negative regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade | 5 | 0.002309719 |
| CC | GO:0005925 | Focal adhesion | 12 | 9.81E–04 |
| | GO:0005737 | Cytoplasm | 66 | 0.002624132 |
| | GO:0005886 | Plasma membrane | 54 | 0.00403869 |
| | GO:0003699 | Clathrin-coated endocytic vesicle membrane | 4 | 0.006241558 |
| MF | GO:0005515 | Protein-binding | 110 | 2.44E–05 |
| | GO:0042803 | Protein homodimerization activity | 17 | 0.001586578 |
| | GO:0005230 | Structural constituent of the cytoskeleton | 6 | 0.003955574 |
| | GO:0005070 | SH3/SH2 adaptor activity | 4 | 0.01662195 |
| DEGs5 BP | GO:0007155 | Cell adhesion | 12 | 0.002514833 |
| | GO:0010811 | Positive regulation of cell-substrate adhesion | 4 | 0.004515698 |
| | GO:0051781 | Positive regulation of cell division | 4 | 0.008194139 |
| | GO:0008284 | Positive regulation of cell proliferation | 11 | 0.008342239 |
| CC | GO:0005578 | Proteinaceous extracellular matrix | 16 | 9.53E–09 |
| | GO:0005615 | Extracellular space | 33 | 8.94E–08 |
| | GO:0005576 | Extracellular region | 35 | 5.31E–07 |
| | GO:0007008 | Extracellular exosome | 47 | 4.96E–06 |
| MF | GO:0005518 | Collagen-binding | 7 | 1.05E–05 |
| | GO:0008201 | Heparin-binding | 8 | 3.94E–04 |
| | GO:0005178 | Integrin-binding | 6 | 0.001873305 |
| | GO:0005516 | Calmodulin-binding | 7 | 0.005123577 |
genes showed a complex PPI network with physical interactions of 67.64%, co-expression of 13.50%, co-localization of 6.17%, prediction of 6.35%, pathway of 4.35%, genetic interactions of 1.40%, and shared protein domains of 0.59%. As expected, the biological function of the hub genes re-emphasizes the importance of growth hormone and extracellular matrix in HCM and DCM, and these results may provide clues about therapeutic directions in cardiomyopathies. In addition, the subcellular localization of proteins encoded by the 3 hub genes suggested that FMOD and IGFBP5 exist in extracellular areas, whereas POSTN is located in the endoplasmic reticulum.

Validation of Hub Gene Expression
In order to prove the reliability and accuracy of the bioinformatics analysis results, GSE1145 and GSE3585 were used to verify the expression of hub genes in HCM and DCM samples by independence testing analysis respectively (Figure 8). The results showed that the expression levels of all the 3 hub genes in HCM heart tissue were significantly higher than in normal heart tissue. In DCM heart tissue, FMOD and POSTN were significantly upregulated compared to in normal heart tissue, However, IGFBP5 were not seemed to change significantly in GSE3585.

TF–mRNA–miRNA Regulation Network Construction
On the grounds of the MiRwalk database predictions of the 3 hub genes, a total of 236 miRNAs were obtained with the condition that their prediction could be verified by experiments or other databases. We screened 5 TFs [YY1 transcription factor (YY1), transcription factor AP-2 alpha (TFAP2A), caudal type homeobox 1 (CDX1), ETS variant transcription factor 6 (ETV6), and twist family bHLH transcription factor 2 (TWIST2)] that could regulate the expression of the 3 hub genes based on the TRRUST database. Subsequently, a regulatory network of hub genes and their predicted miRNAs and TFs were generated by the Cytoscape software (Figure 9).

Identification and Validation of Ferroptosis-Related DEGs in DCM and HCM
Differentially expressed genes1 were intersected with 259 ferroptosis-related genes and 7 genes were screened as a result. All the 7 genes were downregulated DEGs, including ZFP36 ring finger protein (ZFP36), activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3), dual specificity phosphatase 1 (DUSP1), C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CXCL2), lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 3 (LPCAT3), SLC2A3, and solute carrier family 1 member 5 (SLC1A5). Subsequently, these genes’ expression was verified in GSE1145, and ATF3, LPCAT3, and SLC1A5 were determined to be significant ferroptosis-related genes in HCM. In the same way, we took the intersection of DEGs2 and 259 ferroptosis-related genes and obtained 2 upregulated genes [regulator of G protein signaling 4 (RGS4) and arrestin domain containing 3 (ARRDC3)] and 4 downregulated genes [STAT3, LPCAT3, perilipin 2 (PLIN2), and spermidine/spermine N1-acetyltransferase 1 (SAT1)].
Subsequently, STAT3 was determined to be a ferroptosis-related gene in DCM based on validation in GSE3585 (Figure 10).

DISCUSSION

In this article, we screened 26 upregulated and 6 downregulated overlapping DEGs in HCM and DCM. Functional annotation analysis revealed that DEGs are mainly involved in the immune system and growth hormone. After that, three hub genes (IGFBP5, POSTN, and POSTN) were determined in the PPI network. Subsequently, we constructed and analyzed a network of hub genes and their co-expression genes. The biological functions of the hub genes showed that growth hormone and extracellular matrix play important roles in the pathogenesis of DCM and HCM. Finally, we verified hub gene expression and constructed a TF–mRNA–miRNA regulation network.

Notably, both the GO and pathway analysis of communal DEGs and hub genes highlighted the important position of...
the growth hormone. In the cardiovascular system, GH plays a role as a modulator with certain effects in regulating cardiac growth and metabolism (35, 36). In recent years, numerous studies have focused on the association between the GH system and HF, especially HF caused by cardiomyopathy. Arcopinto et al. (37) reported that patients with chronic HF with GH deficiency show larger LV volumes, worse cardiac function, and increased mortality compared to patients with GH sufficient. Despite that GH treatment for HF was proven to be beneficial in various animal models, there remain controversies about the efficacy when translated into the clinical field (38). Cittadini et al. (39) showed that GH therapy in patients with HF could improve LV volume and ejection fraction, but Isgaard et al. (40) reported that no beneficial effects on cardiac function or structure could be detected in patients with HF receiving GH treatment. GH resistance was used to explain the variations in GH treatment efficacy, yet it is still far from elucidating the exact mechanism (41). Meanwhile, GH treatment for patients with DCM could increase the LV wall thickness and reduce the chamber size (42), but there is still a lack of relevant experiments and evidence in the area of treatment for HCM. In conclusion, consistent with our analysis, previous studies and evidence have preliminarily suggested that GH could be a potential clinical biomarker or therapeutic direction for cardiomyopathy and HF, but more studies are needed to confirm these suggestions urgently.

Periostin encodes a secreted extracellular matrix protein that functions in tissue development and regeneration, and the encoded protein binds to integrins to support the adhesion and migration of epithelial cells (43). Recently, numerous studies have shown that POSTN plays an important role in cardiovascular disease. Katsuragi et al. (44) found that a high level of POSTN expression causes a decrease in cardiac myocytes and an increase in collagen deposition, which results in cardiac insufficiency. LV function could be effectively improved and the survival rate can be increased by inhibiting POSTN gene expression in a rat model. In addition, previous studies have also speculated and proved that POSTN may be a mediator of cardiac remodeling (45, 46). With the deepening of research, we need to pay more attention to the effects of POSTN in cardiomyopathy. There is significant evidence that the expression of POSTN is both increased in HCM and DCM and has different effects in both aspects and degrees. Norum et al. (46) found that mRNA and protein levels of POSTN in the plasma and heart tissues of patients with DCM are higher than in those of healthy controls, and the poorer the heart function was, the higher the levels were. In addition, some studies suggest that the level of POSTN could affect the efficacy of some treatment methods in patients with DCM, such as cardiac resynchronization therapy (47). Meanwhile, POSTN expression was verified to be increased in an HCM mouse model (48), and other studies suggest that POSTN may promote fibrosis and collagen deposition in patients with HCM through transforming growth factor (TGF)-β signaling, which is known to be activated in various HCM models (49, 50). As above, it is undeniable that POSTN could be a potential biomarker or target for cardiomyopathy.

Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-5 is a member of family IGF-binding proteins (IGFBP) family. In the past 30 years, studies of IGFBP5 have mainly focused on the regulatory mechanisms of kidney, bone, and mammary gland physiological processes and the regulation of specific tumor proliferation (51). With deepening research, IGFBP5 has been proven to play an important role in the biological mechanism of fibrosis (52). Song et al. (53) found that IGFBP5 mediates high glucose-induced proinflammatory effects in cardiac fibroblasts and Yasuoka et al. (54) reported that injection of an adenovirus expressing human IGFBP5 into mice induced skin fibrosis. Furthermore, IGFBP5 can bind insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) and block the activation of IGF-1 signaling (55). IGF-1 is considered to be a protective factor for the heart, especially in cardiomyopathy. The expression of IGF-1 was increased in both patients with HCM and DCM, and the degree of increase was related to the extent of both myocardial injury and recovery (56, 57). IGF-1 may act in concert with stromal...
cell-derived factors to protect and improve cardiac structure and function by reducing apoptosis and promoting repair (57, 58). Meanwhile, some studies have reported that IGF-1 treatment could effectively improve cardiac function and the survival rate in animal models of cardiomyopathy (59, 60).

To sum up, we speculate that IGFBP5 could be a potential therapeutic target.

Fibromodulin belongs to the family of small interstitial proteoglycans. Its encoded protein (FOMD) may play a role in the assembly of extracellular matrix and regulate...
TGF-β activities by sequestering transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) into the extracellular matrix (61). As is known, cardiac fibroblasts play an important role in cardiac fibrosis (62). Cardiac fibroblasts were proven to express FOMD, and the expression level was increased under pro-inflammatory stimuli. High expression of FOMD inhibits and reduces the migration of cardiac fibroblasts so as to alleviate cardiac fibrosis (63, 64). In the heart tissue of patients with HF and mice, the expression of FMOD was upregulated 3–10 times. Andenæs et al. (63) found that the LVs of FMOD-knockout mice developed mildly exacerbated hypertrophic remodeling compared to controls under aortic banding, and FMOD has anti-fibrotic functions in cultured cardiac fibroblasts. Therefore, FOMD could be a potential marker and offer new therapeutic methods.

In order to prove the reliability and accuracy of our bioinformatics analysis results, hub gene expression was verified in other gene-expression datasets, and the results confirm the validity of the analysis in this research. Since the changes of IGFBP5 in the DCM group of GSE3585 were not significant, we retain some doubts about the critical role of IGFBP5 in DCM. In addition, we used the MiRwalk and TRRUST databases to predict a total of 236 miRNAs and 5 TFs of hub genes, which might show the potential mutual regulation between genes, allowing us to better understand the relationship and the potential regulation between hub genes.

In this study, we also identified and verified ferroptosis-related DEGs in HCM and DCM, respectively, in order to uncover the underlying mechanisms, which could provide a novel direction for exploration. STAT3, a transcription factor signal transducer and activator of transcription 3, has been linked to many cardiac protective mechanisms. Recently, more and more studies have identified that the cardiac myocyte STAT3 plays an important role in maintaining metabolic homeostasis (65). Researchers have proven that the protective effects of STAT3 are the key to inducing anti-inflammatory and survival gene expression (66). However, the exact molecular mechanism of STAT3 in cardiac protection remains unclear. Ferroptosis, an iron-dependent process, is a newly revealed type of cellular programmatic death that is different from apoptosis, autophagy, and necrosis. Meanwhile, STAT3 is known to be an inhibitor of ferroptosis due to suppressing the expression of ACSL4, which is an essential enzyme required for ferroptosis (67, 68). Based on our results, we boldly hypothesize that ferroptosis plays a significant role in DCM and may be regulated by STAT3, which provides a new direction for DCM treatment in the future.

Next, we speculated whether ferroptosis also works in HCM, so we analyzed the association between HCM and ferroptosis, same as we did with DCM. We found that 3 genes make sense, including ATF3, LPCAT3, and SLC1A5. ATF3, a member of the basic leucine zipper superfamily of transcription factors, was identified as an adaptive-response gene under stress conditions (69). In the cardiovascular system, it is significant in modulating
cardiac remodeling, as mice with \( \text{ATF3} \) deficiencies showed cardiac hypertrophy, dysfunction, and fibrosis under overload pressure (70). What’s more, Wang et al. (71) found that \( \text{ATF3} \) could suppress system \( \text{Xc}^- \) and predispose cells to ferroptosis by repressing \( \text{SLC7A11} \) expression. Orchestrally, \( \text{LPCA}7 \text{A}5 \) and \( \text{SLC1A5} \) are reported to participate in the ferroptosis pathway (67, 72) and facilitate ferroptotic cell death. However, the exact nature of the mechanism of these ferroptosis-related genes is far from clear, especially in cardiomyopathy, and there may still be some potential mechanisms that need to be elucidated to explain this phenomenon. In contrast to that in DCM, the role of ferroptosis in HCM seems more complex, and how ferroptosis influences HCM is still unknown. Anyway, we herein suggest the potential role of ferroptosis and the conceivable target genes in DCM and HCM, which could serve as a cardioprotective strategy for cardiomyopathy prevention. However, gaining more detailed and accurate knowledge of the mechanism behind the process requires further research.

In this article, we highlighted the potential role of hub genes and screened the ferroptosis-related genes in two cardiomyopathies. However, we acknowledge that the research has some limitations. First, despite the analysis involving a slightly large sample size and our success in verifying the expression hub genes and ferroptosis-related genes in other gene-expression datasets, this article is a retrospective study that still requires external verification. Moreover, the biological functions of these genes need to be further verified in an \textit{in vitro} model. The above study will be the focus of our future work.
CONCLUSION

In summary, the revealed independent DEGs provide us with new insight into the distinct mechanisms of HCM and DCM, and the common DEGs screened in this study help to uncover a potential common mechanism of HCM and DCM. In addition, ferroptosis-related genes could provide us with a novel direction of exploration in HCM and DCM, respectively, and 3 hub genes (POSTN, IGFBP5, and FMOD) could be potential biomarkers or therapeutic targets in cardiomyopathies.
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