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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present a survey-based study regarding the use of PhET simulations in the teaching modality in high schools of Albania before and during COVID-19. We are mainly interested in teachers' attitudes on Physics PhET simulations and their impact on the high school students' performance. This study was performed in 125 high schools, in 10 different cities of Albania. For this, we have performed a survey composed of 25 questions for 180 teachers, of which 72 % working in public schools. The results show that most of the teachers have information about PhET simulations but during the classical face to face teaching, the usage percentage was about 41%. While during online classes the use of PhET simulations increased. The results show that the interest of students toward physics increases when PhET simulations are used. What can be understood easily is the fact that even PhET simulations are very useful in understanding physics, both in face to face and online teaching, the teachers in Albania seems not to be so "friendly" with them. Albanian teachers seem to be more attractive by the traditional teaching.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the last two years, the world is facing with a not normal situation: the COVID - 19 pandemic (WHO, 2020). Almost all the countries passed a lockdown period of time, full with restrictions. Due to restrictions on activities in the education sector, teaching and learning activities were carried out at home, and online learning became a new trend in all the world. The same happened in Albania, and the first months, from March to June 2020 were the most difficult regarding the distance/online teaching. The teachers have the duty and responsibility to find new methods of teaching modalities, adaptable for this new situation. In the distance learning, the interaction between teachers and students happens virtually, using tools or technology such as computers or cell phones. Both, teachers and students have to adapt with this situation. (Paudel, 2021; Urokova, 2020). Sciences' teachers were the ones who had more difficulties in this process. The lack of face to face reactions and especially of laboratories were two of the main reasons responsible for what we mentioned above. Physics was one of the most effected subjects. This because physics is a way to understand how this nature works, it's not just equations. That's why labs are very important. During online teaching, virtual laboratories have to be implemented in order to make clear the lessons' topics. One of the most useful tools for virtual laboratories and simulations is PhET simulation media (Wieman et al., 2008). PhET Interactive Simulations, a project at the University of Colorado Boulder, was founded in 2002 by Nobel Laureate Carl Wieman. PhET began with Wieman's vision to improve the way science is taught and learned. The acronym "PhET" initially stood for "Physics Education Technology," but soon PhET was used even for other disciplines, such as: chemistry, biology, earth science, and mathematics. The team changes over time and the current director of PhET is Dr. Katherine Perkins (Perkins et al., 2006; Guttenplan, 2011; Hensberry et al., 2013).

PhET Interactive Simulations implement research-based practices on teaching in order to increase the learning of science concepts. The simulations are designed to be simple so in order to be used as lecture demonstrations, laboratories, or homework. They use an environment where students can learn through exploration and where science ideas are connected to real-world life phenomena.

The PhET team performs education research on their simulations. They have shown in their research that when students explore simulations in addition to traditional labs, student concept understanding improves (Wieman et al., 2008). The idea of our study was to see how virtual laboratories and simulations in physics, especially PhET simulations are implemented in the teaching modalities, in
both cases: in person and online teaching, in the high schools of Albania.

II. METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted with 180 teachers (ages from 24 - 60 years) working in 125 high - schools, 20 of them nonpublic schools, in seven important cities of Albania: Tirana, Durrës, Korca, Fier, Vlora, Shkodra and Kukës. For the teachers we prepared a survey with 25 questions regarding the use of PhET simulations before and during the online teaching. Some of the questions for the teachers were: Do you use PhET simulations during the online teaching? Do you have previous experience with PhET simulations in the face to face teaching? Did you have difficulties in the beginning of the online teaching with PhET simulations? How useful are PhET simulations for the students? Can it substitute the laboratories in person? Where is more effective the use of PhET simulations: in person or online? How PhET simulations help in the understanding of the students? We prepared an online survey in Google forms for the teachers, shared in LinkedIn, in a public group on Facebook, “The platform "Mesuesi i fizikes online"(Online Physics teachers)”, and directly to schools.

We collected data from March 2021 to June 2021 and then analysed the results. All the analyse is more qualitative, in order to have an idea of what the impact of using PhET simulations was.

III. RESULTS

After we collect the data, we made a simple analysis of the results for the questions of the survey. Some of the most important questions and the related results are presented in the figures below. The first figures, from 1 to 8, present results of the questions regarding teachers’ attitude regarding PhET simulations use, while figures from 9 to 12 present results regarding students’ performance and its connection with PhET simulations. We choose to present all the results in terms of percentages in order to create a better idea of where and how the situations was.
In which situations are used the PhET simulations?
- In classroom discussion for the physical phenomenon: 41%
- Homeworks: 52%
- Laboratory demonstration: 7%

Do you think that simulations has substitute the laboratory equipements during the online teaching?
- Yes: 47%
- No: 53%

Where do you think that the PhET usage is more effective?
- In the classroom: 36%
- Online: 33%
- No difference: 31%

The lessons are more effective when teachers use:
- PhET: 30%
- Tradicional methods: 34%
- No difference: 36%

During the online lessions when you use the simulations the activity of the students is:
- Low: 6%
- Medium: 70%
- High: 24%

When do you have more interes from the students during the PhET simulations or during the lessions?
- When I explain lessons: 29%
- When using PhET simulations: 42%
- Both of them: 29%
When do you use PhET simulations the students has better results in:

- Scientific arguments - 47%
- The connections with the previous lessons - 35%
- Understanding of the physics concepts - 18%

Fig. 11

Does the students work better when they connect the homework with the PhET simulations?

- Yes - 74%
- No - 20%
- No difference - 6%

Fig. 12

IV. CONCLUSIONS

As can be seen by the results, high school teachers in Albania have used PhET - simulations even before the online teaching, but just as demonstrations, and adding them to the traditional teaching methods. Interesting was the fact, that most of the teachers who used PhET - simulations during the face to face teaching, work on nonpublic schools. This because this schools have all the infrastructure to support this kind of teaching modality. What we saw by the results, was the fact that the usage percentage of Physics PhET simulations increased during the pandemic online teaching. It can be considered quite normal, because teachers have the possibilities to have contact with computers and internet during the lessons, differently from the face to face case in public schools. Also, they have to find methods to make more attractive and effective the lessons. As we can see from the results, most of the teachers initially had problems using PhET in the conditions of the pandemic situation, when most of the teaching elements had to be reframed. Anyway, besides the increased usage percentage of PhET simulations, the teachers in Albania, believe that the simulations can't substitute the laboratories.

Regarding the students’ performance, the teachers noticed that the students like the use of simulations during the lesson, in classroom or online. Comparing to the traditional lessons during the online learning, the teachers noticed that the activity of the students, their concentration and the homework performance increased when PhET simulations are used. So, they confirm that the use of Physics PhET simulations affect for good the online teaching. Besides this, an interesting fact is that for the teachers there is no difference of where is better to use PhET, in classroom or online and most of them seem to be convinced that the traditional method of teaching is the best one.
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