Dataset on social and psychological effects of COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey

Emre Sari1✉, Gamze Kağan2, Buse Şencan Karakuş3 & Özgür Özdemir4

This data was gathered to investigate how individuals' levels of intolerance to distress and instant anxiety are related to some of the behaviors that people can change in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. We present a dataset based on a four-wave survey of the social and psychological effects of the COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey (N = 2,817). Turkey was heavily impacted by the first waves of infections in 2020, and citizens were forced to adapt to governmental measures. So, the dataset provides unique opportunities to investigate the COVID-19 pandemic’s role in shaping people's intolerance to distress and instant anxiety. The survey considered personal cleaning behavior, bank/credit card usage, online spending habits, individual security perception, and stockpile behavior. Furthermore, in this data, whether an individual or a household member was officially diagnosed with COVID-19 and socio-demographic indicators were determined. Hence, the resulting dataset can enable various analyses on social, psychological, perceived security, and self-rated health, influencing how individuals' levels of intolerance to distress and instant anxiety.

Background & Summary

The COVID-19 pandemic ravaged the world began in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 and reached Turkey on March 10, 20201. Medical research is still being conducted to determine the impact of this pandemic on humans in Turkey and around the world. This subject is receiving much attention in the social sciences (e.g., Aker and Midik1; Ferreira et al.2; Garbe et al.3; Karaar and Canli4; Leslie et al.5), as well as medical research. Like Mondino et al.6,7 stated that understanding how people perceive multiple risks and how major crises shape individual behaviors is required for ‘detecting windows of opportunity for policy change’8,9, ‘improving risk management strategies’7,10, and ‘supporting communication between decision-makers and the general public’11. Researchers can use this dataset to investigate how the COVID-19 pandemic has affected people’s emotions and behavior.

This paper presents a new dataset that provides unique opportunities to investigate the COVID-19 pandemic’s role in shaping people's intolerance to distress and instant anxiety. We explore the public reflection of the epidemic in Turkey. A total of 2,817 people were surveyed online, and the results are compiled in this dataset. Data were collected from adults aged 18 to 65 and older, with an extensive demographic section covering location (province and rural-urban divide), income, employment status, occupation, occupational sector, family background, whether having a child, marital status, and gender identity. This data will allow researchers to investigate how the pandemic affects people differently depending on their age, economic impact, social status, and risk status. The survey remained online during those times. By timestamping, this data can be merged with other datasets: number of vaccinations, tests performed and positivity, hospital and ICU admissions, confirmed cases, confirmed deaths, policy responses, and other relevant variables. Furthermore, this data will be helpful for international comparative studies.

The epidemic has had a significant impact on the physical and mental well-being of many Turkish people. Despite the government's efforts to keep COVID-19 under control (for details, see Table 1), the social isolation caused by the outbreak has had a significant impact on people's lives. Due to the nature of the fight against COVID-19, these findings are not surprising in individuals who experience an increasing number of cases, new deaths, economic and other direct stress factors related to the pandemic12. To put it in a medical context,
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Table 1. Precautions and significant events from the start of the COVID-19 pandemic until the end of April 2020 in Turkey. These events occurred before the active collection of data and are critical for understanding individual mental development.

| Date       | Measures implemented and significant events                                                                 |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jan 10     | The Coronavirus Scientific Committee (KvBK) was established within the Ministry of Health (MoH).             |
| Jan 14     | KvBK has published the first guide on testing and monitoring for healthcare professionals.                   |
| Jan 16     | The Central Bank implemented the first economic action, and 75 basis points reduced the policy rate to 11.25%.  |
| Jan 24     | Thermal cameras were installed in all airports, and passengers arriving from China were screened.           |
| Feb 7      | The MoH has published a series of videos on social media to inform the public about COVID-19.                |
| Feb 19     | The Central Bank lowered the policy rate by 50 basis points to 10.75%.                                      |
| Mar 11     | The first case of COVID-19 was detected.                                                                  |
| Mar 17     | The first death from COVID-19 occurred.                                                                   |
| Mar 19     | The Central Bank lowered the policy rate by 100 basis points to 9.75%.                                     |
| Mar 21     | All sporting events across the country have been suspended, and public gathering places have also been temporally closed. Restaurants were obliged to put Table 1 meter apart. Places of worship were closed; cultural, scientific, or artistic meetings were canceled. |
| Mar 22     | Flexible working arrangements have been made for those working in public institutions and organizations.  |
| Apr 3      | The Ministry of Interior (MoI) declared that all land, air, and sea entries and exits from 30 metropolitan provinces and Zonguldak province borders would be temporarily closed. He declared that citizens under the age of 20 (with exceptions) were prohibited from going out on the streets for a while, including 81 provinces. Furthermore, the Province Pandemic Boards were announced as having the authority to take the necessary additional measures. |
| Apr 10     | Interior Minister Süleyman Soylu announced the curfew in 30 provinces with metropolitan status and Zonguldak. |
| Apr 17     | MoI has banned all citizens from going out on the weekends for 30 provinces with metropolitan status and Zonguldak. |
| Apr 21     | With a circular issued by MoI, the curfews to be implemented on 23-24-25-26 April were announced.          |
| Apr 21     | The Central Bank lowered the policy rate by another 100 basis points to 8.75%.                             |

Methods
This dataset is collected for quantitative research. In this data, we used the survey technique, which is a common quantitative research method. According to McKay (2005), survey research is the most controlled and structured method between experimental statistical research and qualitative research because it can use both statistical and qualitative analysis. The questionnaire to be used as a data collection method was divided into three sections and contained 55 questions.

A total of 2,817 individuals participated in our study (65.3% women, mean age = 28.55 ± 10.4 years, range = 18–65 years and above). Participation in the survey was possible from all provinces in Turkey, and the participation rate is displayed on the map in Fig. 1. The survey was conducted online between April 13, 2020, and November 25, 2020. The survey was designed to assess the psychological impact of the social and psychological effects of the COVID-19 on participants. The total of the data obtained covers the adult population of Turkey with a 2% margin of error, a 50% response distribution, and a 95% confidence level. When we consider the study waves separately, the first two waves have 3%, the third wave 4%, and the fourth wave with a 7% margin of error and a 50% response distribution with a 95% confidence level (see Table 2). Only those under the age of 18 were excluded.

We asked 15-item demographic information section in the first section to determine the participants’ personal information, and the second section begins with health and economic behavior-related questions (see Table 3 for the questionnaire). The majority of participants (86%) responded comprehensively to all the questions.

The second part of the questionnaire includes the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, developed by Spielberger et al. This scale measures anxiety symptoms in two sub-dimensions, state, and trait. The scoring of this scale, which consists of 40 items, is done on a 4-point Likert-type scale. High scores from the scale indicate a high level of anxiety. Within the scope of this research, the state anxiety sub-dimension of the inventory was used to measure the current anxiety of individuals. We used the 20-item part of this sub-dimension in our survey.

The third part of the questionnaire includes the Measure of Distress Intolerance. This scale was developed to evaluate the individual’s perceived competence for resilience to various internal or external distresses and his behaviors towards coping. This scale consists of 10 items and has a single factor structure. Items are scored on a 5-point Likert-type scale. High scores indicate higher intolerance to distress.

We conducted our survey online in four waves. We announced the first wave in the early period when the daily number of newly confirmed COVID-19 cases peaked (13–26 April 2020; N = 1,124); the second is the first period in which the number of COVID-19 cases decreased (6–23 May 2020; N = 958); the third is the end of the summer season when the number of cases is low (20 July – 8 August 2020; N = 513); the fourth is the period

According to Koca, people can readily access healthcare services in this country and obtain modern medical treatment. He notes that every patient in need of medical attention is admitted to a hospital, where they get specialized treatment, including intensive care and mechanical ventilation, if necessary.
when the number of cases increased very rapidly (14–25 November 2020; N = 201) (see Fig. 2). The dataset also includes 36 people who completed the survey randomly from among the waves.

**Recruitment methods.** We recruited participants primarily through social media posts (Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, and LinkedIn). In addition, we sent emails and messages directly to people who were in close contact with the researchers, asking them to share the survey with their networks. At the outset of the survey, we disclosed in the participant information part that no monetary or material compensation would be provided or requested in exchange for their participation. The questionnaire was hosted on the Google survey platform, Google Forms, and the survey questions took about five minutes to complete.

**Ethical approval.** The research protocol for this study was approved by the Non-Invasive Research Ethics Committee of Uskudar University (nr: 61351342/2020-236), as well as the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey. Each and every procedure used in the study was in accordance with the ethical standards established by the European Union (EU General Data Protection Regulation and FAIR Data Management). Additionally, we signed the World Medical Association’s Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects, which outlines ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. Participants were informed that participation was completely voluntary and that the results would be kept confidential. The research protocol excluded the collection of data that was sensitive to privacy or that contained personally sensitive information.

---

**Fig. 1** Spatial distribution of participants in Turkey. The number of people collected in each province is as follows: Istanbul, n = 1,155 (40.5%); Ankara, n = 281 (9.8%); Izmir, n = 219 (7.7%); Antalya, n = 122 (4.3%); other provinces, n = 1,076 (37.7%).

**Table 2.** Population of Turkey and sample sizes of survey waves. The numbers for the population of Turkey are taken from TurkStat, and they are Address Based Population Registration System Results in 201756.
identifiable information (PII). All the participants in the study gave their informed consent after being briefed on the study’s objectives.

Data Records
On the Mendeley Data platform, you can download data records in CSV format and files containing the questionnaires in both Turkish and English translations. There is also an abbreviation guide for variable names included in the XLSX file as well. All of these resources can be found at the link provided: https://doi.org/10.17632/sv95c7ydpy.

Technical Validation
Before asking participants to answer any questions, we presented an introductory page explaining the purpose of the study, the specifics of what participation would entail (including the identity and affiliations of the researchers, see the online version https://forms.gle/HFyqjhwhEcuPDZJW7), and confirmation that the research had received ethical approval from a legitimate review board and ethics committee. Furthermore, we stated that they are free to withdraw from the study at any time and that we will not compensate you in any way, nor will any financial or material contribution be requested from you in exchange for your participation in the study.

Our survey used three main questionnaires: demographic, health and safety questions in the survey on previous research, so that the questions appear reasonable in terms of obtaining the necessary data and comparable with previous findings. In the same way as Mondino et al., we administered the preliminary survey to a total of 19 people with various educational backgrounds. Among other things, we inquired whether the questions were straightforward and how they interpreted them. This step ensured that the responses to the questions were consistent with what we expected. It is worth to mention that the potential for human error in data entry is limited since the online survey system automatically collects responses.

| Category          | Variables                                      | N   | Missing | Distinct |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----|---------|----------|
| Demographic       | Age                                            | 2817| 0       | 49       |
|                    | Marital status                                 | 2817| 0       | 4        |
|                    | Gender                                         | 2817| 0       | 3        |
|                    | Age range of your child(ren) (if any)          | 2817| 0       | 25       |
|                    | City where you live (provinces)                | 2817| 0       | 78       |
|                    | Urban-rural divide                             | 2817| 0       | 25       |
|                    | Education level                                | 2817| 0       | 7        |
|                    | Mother’s education level                       | 2773| 44      | 8        |
|                    | Employment status                              | 2817| 0       | 9        |
|                    | Occupational sector                            | 2817| 0       | 7        |
|                    | Occupation (ISCO-08)                           | 2506| 311     | 10       |
|                    | Parent’s occupation (ISCO-08)                  | 2817| 0       | 10       |
|                    | Home office                                    | 2411| 406     | 3        |
|                    | Current income (in month)                      | 2817| 0       | 6        |
|                    | Last year income (average)                     | 2817| 0       | 6        |
| Health             | Self-rated health                              | 2817| 0       | 5        |
|                    | Personal hygiene behaviour                     | 2817| 0       | 5        |
|                    | Having a chronic disease                       | 2817| 0       | 2        |
|                    | Diagnosed COVID-19                             | 2817| 0       | 2        |
| Security           | Safety perception                              | 2817| 0       | 5        |
|                    | Community safety perception                    | 2817| 0       | 5        |
|                    | Feeling uneasy in crowded places               | 2817| 0       | 2        |
| EconomicBehavior   | Usage of debit/credit card                     | 2817| 0       | 5        |
|                    | Online Shopping                                | 2817| 0       | 5        |
|                    | Stockpiling                                    | 2817| 0       | 2        |
|                    | State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (20-item sub-dimension) | 2817| 0   | 5        |
|                    | Measurement of Distress Intolerance            | 2817| 0       | 5        |

Table 3. Items and observations about demographic, health, security, economic behavior, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, and Measurement of Distress Intolerance.
Usage Notes
This dataset provides excellent opportunities to investigate various aspects of psychology, economic behavior, and risk perceptions during natural hazards. It primarily provides detailed information from COVID-19 on individuals’ levels of anxiety and distress intolerance. Additionally, it includes information on economic behaviors such as stockpiling, online shopping, and bank/credit card use. It also provides data on people's perceptions of personal safety and public trust in the national government. Finally, it aids researchers in observing changes in the process by addressing the nature of the various responses to the COVID-19 pandemic’s different waves and the context of the pandemic's multiple stages.

Indeed, this dataset can be enhanced significantly by adding other relevant and important datasets for international comparative studies, such as Yamada et al.'s COVIDSTRESS Global Survey on the psychological and behavioral effects of the COVID-19 and Mondino et al.’s COVID-19 public impressions in Italy and Sweden datasets (for more details, see Supplementary Information). As Mondino et al.’s stated, we want to emphasize that such sensitive knowledge is scientifically valuable and can guide policymakers in developing and updating risk management policies during natural hazards.

The dataset is accompanied by survey questionaries files in Turkish and English in PDF format that includes variable descriptions, and R-codes for data processing are publicly available on the Mendeley Data platform. There is no need to request access to download data for academic purposes. Semicolons separate columns in the CSV file.

Limitations. Researchers should consider the sample's skewness for respondents, such as being female, single, well-educated, and residing in Istanbul. Therefore, the dataset's samples are not representative of the Turkish population, particularly gender, education level, and marital status. As a result, researchers who must address this issue can weigh the data by referring to publicly available demographic data for Turkey and applying suitable weights to the variables (e.g., https://data.tuik.gov.tr/). Users of this dataset should also be aware that these reports are based on self-report and subjective evaluations of participants. We have no attempt or chance to verify the accuracy of the participants’ answers externally.

Code availability
Raw data, as well as R-code for cleaning, are freely available at: https://doi.org/10.17632/sv95c7ydpy.
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Fig. 2 Number of new COVID-19 cases in Turkey and the data collection periods. We began gathering data on April 13th, 2020, and finished on November 25th, 2020. We announced the survey through social media accounts on April 18th, May 9th, July 20th, and November 16th of 2020. Daily new COVID-19 cases data obtained from Dong et al.57.
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