Single stage substitution urethroplasty using buccal mucosa in the management of stricture urethra in balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO)
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Abstract

Introduction: Urethral stricture is “narrowing of the caliber of the urethra caused by the presence of a scar consequent to infection, inflammation or injury”. Urethral strictures are difficult to manage. BXO is more resistant to all types of treatment and recurrence is the most common complication. Buccal mucosa dorsal onlay graft urethroplasty is one of the surgical treatment modality for treating BXO related strictures. Objective and Methods: This study is to assess the outcome of stricture urethra in BXO cases treated by single stage buccal mucosa substitution urethroplasty. A Prospective study, total 35 patients underwent surgery for stricture urethra with BXO. Results: 33 patients underwent single stage dorsal onlay buccal mucosa substitution urethroplasty and 2 patients underwent augmented roof strip buccal mucosa urethroplasty Pre and post operative uroflowmetry done for all patients, a significant increase in post-operative uroflowmetry rates observed (P value is less than 0.0001). Patients were followed up post-operatively at 3, 6, 9, 12 and 36 months intervals out of 35 patients 6 patients had the recurrence. Out of 6 patients, 3 patients underwent one single procedure for recurrent stricture. the success rate is 91.42%. (mean follow up period 36.2 months). Conclusion: Buccal mucosa dorsal onlay urethroplasty a good surgical option for BXO patients with less recurrence rate.
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Introduction

Urethral stricture is “narrowing of the caliber of urethra” caused by the presence of a scar consequent to infection, inflammation or injury”. Urethral strictures are difficult to manage. Susruta described the management of urethral stricture in 300 BC [1]. Some treatment modalities for urethral strictures are fraught with high patient morbidity and stricture recurrence rates. However, an extremely useful tool in the armamentarium of the Reconstructive Urologist is buccal mucosa urethroplasty.

Amongst the various aetiologies for the development of stricture urethra the most common being traumatic and iatrogenic [2]. Other factors like inflammatory, congenital, malignant and balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO) - lichen sclerosis et atrophicus [LS] also contribute. Balanitis Xerotic obliterans or Penile Lichen Sclerosus is a dermatological condition affecting the genitalia and associated with chronic, progressive, sclerosing inflammatory dermatosis of unclear aetiology [3].

Depending on location, size and type various types of surgical treatments are available for stricture urethra. BXO is more resistant to all types of treatment and recurrence is the most common complication. The first choice for graft material is currently buccal mucosa, which can be used for either 1- or 2-stage urethroplasty [4].

Buccal mucosa dorsal onlay graft urethroplasty is one of the surgical treatment modality for treating BXO related urethral strictures.
Aim

This study is to assess the outcome of stricture urethra in BXO cases treated by single stage buccal mucosa substitution urethroplasty.

Materials and Methods

Study type and place of study- A prospective analysis was carried out, at our institute, Puttaparthi, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh from 2010 to 2014.

Sample size and evaluation- A total of 35 patients presenting with urethral strictures with suspicious or clinically diagnosed as BXO who were undergone single stage substitution buccal mucosa urethroplasty are included in the study.

On admission patients were clinically evaluated and by using retrograde urethrogram or Sonourethrogram, selectively to know the exact site and length of the stricture. Oral hygiene, preparation were ensured prior to the surgery.

All patients were submitted for urethral mucosa biopsy at stricture site intra operatively for histological confirmation of BXO.

Inclusion criteria

1. All cases selected are clinically proven cases of BXO.
2. All BXO cases which are undergoing buccal mucosa urethroplasty ONLY, irrespective of stricture length.
3. Prior minimally invasive procedures like Visual Internal Urethrotomy, dilatations for stricture urethra are also considered.

Exclusion criteria- Patients who underwent prior open urethral stricture surgeries were excluded form study.

Success:- Success is defined as the one who voids with a good stream of urine post operatively with or without an auxiliary Procedure (dilatation / Visual Internal Urethrotomy), not more than once.

Results and Analysis

Total 35 patients with age distribution ranging from 18 yrs to 52 yrs with the mean age of 36 yrs, with the maximum incidence in 3rd and 4th decades.

Out of 35 patients 26 patients presented with lower urinary tract symptoms like poor urinary stream, dysuria, frequency and feel of incomplete voiding and 8 patients had the history of previous interventions like meatoplasty, dilatation of urethra and Visual Internal Urethrotomy.

Serum creatinine levels in this study found to be ranging from 0.9 mg% to 2.6 mg% with an average of 1.4 mg%

Stricture length ranges from 3 cm to 14 cms with the mean length of 7.6 cms. 10 patients were having pan anterior urethral stricture, 16 patients with bulbar urethral involvement and 9 patients are having bulbar and proximal penile urethral involvement.

Fig-1: Stricture location
All patients underwent uroflowmetry study before and after surgery, with pre operative uroflowmetry readings ranging from 4 ml/sec to 14 ml/sec with the mean flow of 9 ml/sec. Post-operatively patients underwent uroflowmetry study ranging from 15 ml/sec to 24 ml/sec with an average of 19.17 ml/sec.

On statistical analysis:- (comparison of pre and post-operative uroflowmetry values)

**Confidence interval:** The mean of Group One minus Group Two equals -10.24 95% confidence interval of this difference: From -11.40 to -9.07.

**Intermediate values used in calculations:**
- \( t = 17.9179 \)
- \( df = 33 \)
- Standard error of difference = 0.571

The paired t test P value is less than 0.0001. By conventional criteria, this difference is considered to be statistically significant.

![Uroflow Comparison](image)

**Fig-2: Comparison of pre and post-operative uroflowmetry results**

33 patients underwent single-stage dorsal onlay buccal mucosa substitution urethroplasty and 2 patients underwent augmented roof strip buccal mucosa urethroplasty with operative time ranging from 3 hrs to 6 hrs with the mean operative time of 3.7 hrs.

Post-operatively 2 patients got surgical site infection and patients were given voiding trail after 4 to 6 weeks with a mean catheter period of 4.17 weeks.

Post-operatively 14 patients developed post void dribbling. Out of 35 patients 2 patients giving the history of erectile dysfunction. Donor site pain ranges from mild to moderate with good mouth opening.

Patients were followed up post-operatively at 3, 6, 9, 12 and 36 months intervals out of 35 patients 6 patients had recurrence, out of 6 patients 4 patients underwent Visual Internal Urethrotomy at 6 and 12 months post-operative period out of these 4 patients 3 patients underwent one time Visual Internal Urethrotomy and 1 patient underwent Visual Internal Urethrotomy twice and considered as failure. Two patients presented with complete obstruction underwent complete lay open of urethra with perineal urethrostomy later underwent stage II urethroplasty and considered as failures.
Discussion

BXO is a complex skin disease with unknown aetiology. It was described as occurring in combination with an autoimmune disorder, genetic factors, infection and hormonal influence. Trauma, injury and sexual abuse have been suggested as possible triggers in genetically predisposed individuals [5]. LS has been reported as the most frequent cause of long segment urethral stricture, especially in India [6].

It involves prepuce, glans and anterior urethra and a challenge when considering urethral reconstruction that will have a minimal recurrence. Surgery is the treatment of choice in BXO related stricture urethra, though regression of the disease observed with topical application of steroids in few patients with acute stage of the disease. In a study by A Hartley et al, the effectiveness of medical therapy for the treatment of BXO show limited success. Many cases eventually required surgical treatmen [7].

Several substitution options for reconstruction of urethral strictures are used like genital skin, bladder mucosa and buccal mucosa. But in cases of BXO, the skin is involved or may become involved during the followup hence not recommended, as 90% recurrence noted in a study by I. Depasquale [8].

Buccal mucosa is hairless, and the tough epithelium makes it tough yet easy to handle. It also has a thin and highly vascular lamina propria, which facilitates inoculation and imbibition and thus tissue harvesting. The donor site heals quickly with minimal morbidity and complications. Hence, since 1998 BMG has become the choice for urethral augmentation or reconstruction. So now the trends have moved towards using single-stage repair for difficult strictures of anterior urethra due to BXO, and the substitute of choice is BMG [9, 10].

In this study all cases underwent single-stage substitution even for long segment strictures as Francisco E. Martins et al shown in their review study, a 2-stage Johanson urethroplasty was not as successful as the buccal mucosal graft procedure, 64% versus 82.5%, respectively [6].

In this study results demonstrate that single stage dorsal on lay buccal mucosal urethroplasty provides satisfactory results in select cases of BXO related anterior urethral strictures. This study also indicates that, when the urethral plate is adequate, dorsal buccal mucosal grafting can be successfully used for reconstructing any urethral segment, including the meatus. Very few studies in literature shown buccal mucosa graft in BXO cases single stage procedure. Our approach is based on the technique of Kulkarni et al, and Deepak Dubey et.al., who presented their experience in patients with panurethral strictures related to BXO treated with 1-stage dorsal on lay buccal mucosal urethroplasty using a single perineal incision [11, 12].

Out of 35 patients 8 patients were underwent one or more procedures like dilatation, meatoplasty or visual internal urethrotomy before they underwent definitive urethral reconstructive surgery for stricture urethra. 9 patients were having meatal stenosis pre-operatively in whom buccal mucosa graft placed till meatus, all these patients voided well post-operatively. It suggests that even for meatal and fossa naviculars strictures buccal mucosa graft on-lay urethroplasty gives the good surgical outcome.

Similar results were observed in a study by Simsek et al where they performed circular buccal mucosal urethroplasty in 15 males for BXO related to anterior urethral strictures involving meatus, during subsequent visits, cosmetic outcome, symptoms assessment, and uroflowmetry over 20.5 months (range, 4-96 mo) were measured. The 15 men manifested no recurrent stricture, a normal meatus, and no chordee or erectile dysfunction. Excellent functional and cosmetic results were achieved in all 15 patients [13].

In this study age wise incidence of BXO showing the highest in 40 to 50 years age group and lowest in the extremes that is less than 10 years and more than 60 years age group. Patients who present early with stricture disease were having near normal serum creatinine values but who were presenting with chronic stricture disease having creatinine more than 2mg%.

Uroflowmetry done in all cases pre and post-operatively, with a mean pre- operative value as 9 ml/sec and mean post-operative value as 19.1 ml/sec. On the statistical analysis of pre and post-operative uroflowmetry values, the ‘p’ value is 0.0001 (paired ‘t’ test) which is statistically significant.
Meatal involvement in BXO related strictures is universal and the most accepted technique for reconstruction has been the ventral island fasciocutaneous flap, as described by Jordan [14] and Armenakas and McAninch [15]. In this study, the meatal component of the stricture was dealt simultaneously with proximal stricture repair. In patients in whom the urethral plate is severely stenosed, 2 cases in this study underwent augmented roof strip buccal mucosa urethroplasty. Donor site was sutured for all patients. post-operatively 11 patients complained of moderate pain that is they required oral analgesics for pain control, rest of the patients had mild pain. mouth opening was good post-operatively. None of the patients had donor site wound infection.

Erectile dysfunction is one of the complications of urethral reconstructive surgeries, but incidence reduced with substitution urethroplasty. The incidence of impotence after urethral reconstruction ranges from 16.2% to 72%. severe oedema and inflammation are responsible for erectile dysfunction after surgery. Oedema in the tissue surrounding the posterior urethra is more likely to impair the cavernous nerve fibres, potentially leading to the observed erectile dysfunction after surgery [16]. In this study 4 (11.4%) patients required internal urethrotomy later out of 4 patients 1 patient underwent twice internal urethrotomy considered as failure. and 2 cases (5.75%) underwent complete lay open of urethra after 6 months because of graft loss and severe urethral stenosis. Out of 35 patients 14 patients (40%) complained of post-void dribbling, this is more when compared with Barbagli’s study [17] which was 17% for dorsally placed grafts though other studies have shown ventral grafts causes more of post void dribbling.

Urethral strictures where the primary etiology other than BXO treated with buccal mucosa on lay graft as a single stage procedure shown similar results that is 82.8%, 87% and 90.6% success rate respectively in studies by G. barbagli et, al: Hussain Ahmad et, al : and Miodrag Acimovic et al, suggesting, irrespective of aetiology for anterior urethral stricture the results are good with buccal mucosa dorsal on-lay urethroplasty [18, 19, 20]. In a study by S.B Kulkarni et al, the overall success rate was 83.7% with a success rate of 86.5% for primary urethroplasty and 61.5% in patients in whom urethroplasty had previously failed. Most recurrent strictures developed at the proximal end of the graft [21]. Another study done by M.D. Mchembe et al in south Africa got similar results in their study with buccal mucosa graft dorsal on-lay procedure that is 90% success rate with single stage procedure [3]. Our study success rate is 91.42% which corroborates those of Kulkarni et.al. and Deepak Dubey et.al., who reported their experience with single stage buccal mucosa urethroplasty showed a success rate of 88% with the mean followup of 32.5 months [4]. Our study result suggestive of buccal mucosa graft on lay urethroplasty is one of the best options for BXO related urethral stricture.

Conclusion
For BXO related strictures, including meatal involvement ,
a. Single stage substitution urethroplasty using buccal mucosa graft has excellent surgical outcome
b. These strictures are amenable for augmentation with buccal graft urethroplasty.
l-long-term follow-up is required to see the results of urethral stricture surgery.
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