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ABSTRACT

Introduction Physical activity in the early years is necessary for setting the foundation for healthy growth and development in later childhood and adolescence. While most published evidence to date focuses on typically developing children, prevalence rates of physical activity among children with disabilities have been less studied. This protocol paper documents the plan of a systematic review, which aims to synthesise the evidence regarding physical activity levels among young children with disabilities.

Methods and analysis Searches are anticipated to commence in May 2022. Empirical quantitative studies will be considered for inclusion if they present intervention or observational data on non-therapeutic (ie, leisure time) physical activity among children ≤5.99 years with physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments. Data sources will be retrieved via electronic database searches (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), EBSCO Sports Medicine Database (SPORTDiscus), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Elsevier Bibliographic Database (Scopus), Psychological Abstracts (PsycINFO), Education Resources Information Centre (ERIC) and Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE)). Additional strategies to identify identified studies will be screened for inclusion, followed by full-text reviews. Three independent reviewers will conduct quality appraisal using the Downs and Black checklist. A summary of included studies will describe the study designs, participant and activity characteristics, and outcomes.

Ethics and dissemination This systematic review involves a secondary analysis of previously published data; therefore, this review does not require ethical approval. The proposed paper will summarise the current evidence base on physical activity levels among young children with a diagnosed disability. The findings from this systematic review will identify gaps to be explored by future research studies and inform future investigations among the paediatric disability population.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ This protocol paper documents the plan of a systematic review, which aims to synthesise the evidence regarding physical activity levels among young children with disabilities—a current gap in the field of paediatric exercise science.

⇒ Our search of the literature draws on a wide variety of databases.

⇒ A foreseeable challenge of this review is disentangling therapy studies from the studies that focus on non-therapeutic physical activity among young children with disabilities.

⇒ Restricting included papers published from 2000 onward could potentially omit key papers related to the overarching objectives of the review.

⇒ Eligible studies will be limited to those published in French and English, which may limit the global applicability of these findings.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity in the early years is necessary for setting the foundation for healthy growth and development in later childhood and adolescence. Various international guidelines stipulate that young children (0–4 years) should engage in a variety of physical activities totalling 180 min per day,5–6 eventually striving for 60 min daily of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity by the age of 5 years.4 Increased time spent participating in physical activity in childhood is linked with several health benefits.5 6 This includes lower adiposity, improved motor and cognitive development, and improved psychosocial, cardiometabolic and skeletal health.5 7 While physical activity has been positively associated with health indicators in children with disabilities,8 limited evidence suggests these children are less likely to be physically active compared with their peers without disabilities.9 While most published evidence to date focuses on typically developing children,10–14 prevalence rates of physical activity among children with disabilities have been less studied. Due to physical and social environment barriers, physical activity participation among children with disabilities can be

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

⇒ This protocol paper documents the plan of a systematic review, which aims to synthesise the evidence regarding physical activity levels among young children with disabilities—a current gap in the field of paediatric exercise science.

⇒ Our search of the literature draws on a wide variety of databases.

⇒ A foreseeable challenge of this review is disentangling therapy studies from the studies that focus on non-therapeutic physical activity among young children with disabilities.

⇒ Restricting included papers published from 2000 onward could potentially omit key papers related to the overarching objectives of the review.

⇒ Eligible studies will be limited to those published in French and English, which may limit the global applicability of these findings.

INTRODUCTION

Physical activity in the early years is necessary for setting the foundation for healthy growth and development in later childhood and adolescence. Various international guidelines stipulate that young children (0–4 years) should engage in a variety of physical activities totalling 180 min per day,5–6 eventually striving for 60 min daily of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity by the age of 5 years.4 Increased time spent participating in physical activity in childhood is linked with several health benefits.5 6 This includes lower adiposity, improved motor and cognitive development, and improved psychosocial, cardiometabolic and skeletal health.5 7 While physical activity has been positively associated with health indicators in children with disabilities,8 limited evidence suggests these children are less likely to be physically active compared with their peers without disabilities.9 While most published evidence to date focuses on typically developing children,10–14 prevalence rates of physical activity among children with disabilities have been less studied. Due to physical and social environment barriers, physical activity participation among children with disabilities can be
challenging, and social inclusion is often limited\textsuperscript{15–17}. For example, barriers include inaccessible facilities, financial constraints, lack of accessible equipment and lack of access to professionals who are prepared or trained to promote physical activity among this population\textsuperscript{17–19}. Such realisations are concerning, given there are many additional benefits of physical activity to children with disabilities, including improved social integration\textsuperscript{15 16 20–22} opportunities for enhanced interaction and communication skills,\textsuperscript{23 24} improved daily functions, health-related outcomes and quality of life.\textsuperscript{25} With close to 240 million children worldwide living with a disability,\textsuperscript{26} the investigation of physical activity as means of improved physical and social health and well-being among children with disabilities is warranted and needs to be prioritised. In order to synthesise the existing knowledge, identify research gaps and establish research priorities, and ultimately to elevate the importance of physical activity among children with disabilities, a thorough review of the evidence is required.

**AIMS AND OBJECTIVES**

The aim of this paper is to describe a protocol for conducting a systematic review synthesising the physical activity levels of young children (<5.99 years) with disabilities. The overarching objectives of this review are to: (1) synthesise the existing literature regarding the amount (ie, percentage of day) of physical activity—at various intensities—in which young children with disabilities are participating, (2) explore differences in these outcomes between children with various disability types and (3) identify the gaps in the existing peer-reviewed literature. For the purpose of this review, disability will be defined as ‘physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others’.\textsuperscript{27} Restrictions will not be placed on the type of disability (ie, physical, intellectual, sensory and neurodevelopmental).

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Design**

The reporting of this systematic review protocol will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocol (PRISMA-P) checklist.\textsuperscript{28} The conduct of this systematic review will be guided by the PRISMA.\textsuperscript{29} This review has been registered with PROSPERO (International prospective register of systematic reviews).

**Data sources**

Preliminary scans of the available literature suggest that restriction of the review to randomised controlled trials would not be informative. Specifically, there are too few randomised controlled trials published from which to draw meaningful information. Rather, empirical research studies using quantitative and qualitative methods, intervention (baseline data only) or observational design will be included. The types of quantitative study designs to be included will be randomised and non-randomised controlled trials. Review articles (eg, literature reviews, systematic reviews and meta-analyses) will be excluded, but they will be used to identify additional eligible articles.

**Search strategy**

Our search strategy was developed with the assistance of a consulting health sciences librarian. The search strategy included key search terms that were pertinent to the review’s primary objectives, namely, physical activity among young children with disabilities. Relevant keywords and medical subject headings terms were combined using Boolean operators (table 1) and adapted based on the databases searched. The following databases will be electronically searched: Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), EBSCO Sports Medicine Database (SPORTDiscus), Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Elsevier Bibliographic Database (Scopus), Psychological Abstracts (PsycINFO), Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE) and Education Resources Information Centre (ERIC). Published theses were searched via ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global (PQDT). Additional studies meeting inclusion criteria will be identified via hand searching and citation tracking of the included articles’ reference lists.

Searches will be limited to work published from 2000 to present given the increased attention on the movement behaviours of this young demographic in recent decades. Database searches will be run over the course of 7 days to ensure all sources are retrieved during the same period. Search results will be exported to COVidence software and duplications reviewed.\textsuperscript{30} A log of all searches will be kept to record the initial search strategy and modifications.

**Eligibility criteria**

Study abstracts identified through the search strategy, citation tracking and hand searching will be screened according to the five eligibility criteria. These criteria include:

1. The study must be written in English or French.
2. The study must focus on young children with a disability. Restrictions will not be placed on the type of disability (ie, physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairment).
3. The study must focus on young children with a mean age of ≤5.99 years. In studies that examine a mixed population of individuals with disabilities, only those studies wherein data are presented separately for young children will be included. Where feasible, study authors will be contacted when participant eligibility is uncertain.
4. The study must include a measure on physical activity. Physical activity will be defined as any bodily movement produced by the skeletal muscles that results in...
Table 1  Search strategy and search terms developed in consultation with the health sciences research librarian for online databases

| (A) MEDLINE |
|---|
| 1 Infant/ or infant*.tw. |
| 2 Child, Preschool/ or preschool*.tw. |
| 3 pre-school*.tw. |
| 4 child*.tw. |
| 5 kindergarten*.tw. |
| 6 toddler*.tw. |
| 7 early child*.tw. |
| 8 early years.tw. |
| 9 young child*.tw. |
| 10 disab*.tw. or Intellectual Disability/ |
| 11 physical disabilit*.tw. or Disabled Persons/ |
| 12 intellectual disabilit*.tw. |
| 13 cerebral palsy.tw. or Cerebral Palsy/ |
| 14 spina bifida.tw. or Spinal Dysraphism/ |
| 15 Spinal Dysraphism.tw. |
| 16 Autis*.tw. or exp Autistic Disorder/ |
| 17 ASD.tw. |
| 18 ADHD.tw. |
| 19 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.tw. |
| 20 developmental coordination disorder.tw. or Motor Skills Disorders/ |
| 21 Psychomotor Disorders/ or Developmental co-ordination disorder.tw. or Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity/ |
| 22 down syndrome.tw. or Down Syndrome/ |
| 23 acquired brain injur*.tw. |
| 24 fetal alcohol syndrome.tw. or Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders/ |
| 25 drug related syndrome*.tw. |
| 26 Neurocognitive Disorders/ or neurocognitive disabilit*.tw. or Cognition Disorders/ |
| 27 Physical activit*.tw. or Exercise/ |
| 28 exp Sports/ or sport*.tw. |
| 29 gymnastics.tw. or Gymnastics/ |
| 30 soccertw. or Soccer/ |
| 31 t-ball.tw. |
| 32 Swimming/ or swim*.tw. |
| 33 danc*.tw. or Dancing/ |
| 34 hockey.tw. or Hockey/ |
| 35 structured physical activit*.tw. |
| 36 organised physical activit*.tw. or Physical Fitness/ |
| 37 gross motor play.tw. |
| 38 aquatic*.tw. |
| 39 active play*.tw. |
| 40 energetic play*.tw. |

(A) MEDLINE CONTINUED

| 41 exercise*.tw. |
| 42 physical education.tw. or ‘Physical Education and Training’/ |
| 43 extracurricular.tw. |
| 44 recreational sport*.tw. |
| 45 cancer.tw. or exp Neoplasms/ |
| 46 Post-Concussion Syndrome/ or Brain Concussion/ or concussion*.tw. |
| 47 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 |
| 48 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24 or 25 or 26 |
| 49 27 or 28 or 29 or 30 or 31 or 32 or 33 or 34 or 35 or 36 or 37 or 38 or 39 or 40 or 41 or 42 or 43 or 44 |
| 50 47 and 48 and 49 |
| 51 limit 50 to years=’2000–2022’ |
| 52 51 not (45 or 46) |

| (B) CINAHL |
|---|
| S48 S46 NOT S44 |
| S47 S46 NOT S44 |
| S46 S25 AND S45 |
| S45 S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 OR S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37 OR S38 OR S39 OR S40 OR S41 OR S42 |
| S44 (MH ‘Brain Concussion+’) OR ‘concussion’ |
| S43 (MH ‘Neoplasms+’) OR ‘cancer’ |
| S42 ‘recreational sport’ |
| S41 ‘extracurricular’ |
| S40 ‘energetic play’ |
| S39 ‘active play’ |
| S38 (MH ‘Aquatic Sports’) OR (MH ‘Aquatic Exercises’) OR ‘aquatic’ |
| S37 ‘gross motor play’ |
| S36 (MH ‘Physical Fitness’) OR ‘Physical Fitness’ |
| S35 ‘organised physical activit’ |
| S34 ‘structured physical activit’ |
| S33 (MH ‘Hockey’) OR ‘hockey’ |
| S32 (MH ‘Dancing’) OR ‘danc’ |
| S31 (MH ‘Swimming’) OR ‘swimming’ |
| S30 t-ball |
| S29 (MH ‘Soccer’) OR ‘soccer’ |
| S28 (MH ‘Gymnastics’) OR ‘gymnastics’ |
| S27 (MH ‘Sports+’) OR ‘sport’ |
| S26 (MH ‘Physical Activity’) OR ‘physical activit’ |
| S25 S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15 OR S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21 OR S22 OR S23 OR S24 |
| S24 ‘neurocognitive disabilit’ |


Table 1 Continued

| (B) CINAHL |
|------------|
| S23 ‘drug related syndrome’ |
| S22 (MH ‘Fetal Alcohol Syndrome’) OR ‘fetal alcohol syndrome’ |
| S21 ‘acquired brain injur’ |
| S20 (MH ‘Down Syndrome’) OR ‘Down Syndrome’ |
| S19 (MH ‘Psychomotor Disorders’) |
| S18 (MH ‘Psychomotor Disorders’) OR ‘Psychomotor Disorder’ |
| S17 (MH ‘Motor Skills Disorders’) OR ‘developmental coordination disorders’ |
| S16 (MH ‘Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder’) OR ‘ADHD’ |
| S15 (MH ‘Autistic Disorder’) OR ‘Autis’ OR (MH ‘Asperger Syndrome’) |
| S14 ‘Spinal Dysraphism’ |
| S13 (MH ‘Spina Bifida’) OR ‘spina bifida’ |
| S12 (MH ‘Cerebral Palsy’) OR ‘Cerebral Palsy’ |
| S11 (MH ‘Intellectual Disability’) OR ‘intellectual disabilit’ |
| S10 (MH ‘Child, Disabled’) OR (MH ‘Disabled’) OR ‘physical disabilit’ |
| S9 S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S8 |
| S8 ‘young child’ |
| S7 ‘early years’ |
| S6 ‘early child’ |
| S5 ‘toddler’ |
| S4 ‘kindergarten’ |
| S3 pre-school |
| S2 (MH ‘Child, Preschool’) OR ‘preschool’ OR (MH ‘Child’) |
| S1 (MH ‘Infant’) OR ‘infant’ |

| (C) SPORTDiscus, Scopus, PsycINFO and ERIC |
|---------------------------------|
| 1 infant* OR preschool* OR child* OR kindergarten* OR toddler* OR ‘early child’ OR ‘early years’ OR ‘young child’ |
| 2 AND disab* OR ‘Intellectual Disabilit’ OR ‘physical disabilit’ OR ‘Cerebral Palsy’ OR ‘spina bifida’ OR ‘Spinal Dysraphism’ OR ‘Aust’ OR ADHD OR ASD OR ‘attention deficit hyperactivity disorder’ OR ‘developmental coordination disorder’ OR ‘Motor Skills Disorder’ OR ‘Psychomotor Disorder’ OR ‘Developmental co-ordination disorder’ OR ‘Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity’ OR ‘down syndrome’ OR ‘acquired brain injur’ OR ‘fetal alcohol syndrome’ OR ‘Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder’ OR ‘drug related syndrome’ OR ‘Neurocognitive Disorder’ OR ‘Cognition Disorder’ OR ‘neurocognitive disabilit’ |
| 3 AND ‘Physical activit’ OR ‘organise activit’ OR ‘physical education’ OR gymnastics OR soccer or t-ball or swim* OR ‘energetic play’ OR ‘physical activity’ OR ‘organised physical activit’ OR ‘organise activit’ OR ‘structured physical activit’ OR ‘legislation’ OR ‘key sport’ OR ‘active play’ OR ‘program’ OR ‘recreational sport’ |

Table 1 Continued

| (D) EMBASE |
|------------|
| 1 (infant* or preschool* or child* or kindergarten* or toddler* or ‘early child’* or ‘early years’* or ‘young child’). tw. |
| 2 (‘Intellectual Disabilit’* or ‘physical disabilit’* or ‘Cerebral Palsy’ or ‘spina bifida’ or ‘Spinal Dysraphism’ or autism or autistic or ‘attention deficit hyperactivity disorder’ or ‘developmental coordination disorder’ or ‘Motor Skills Disorder’ or ‘Psychomotor Disorder’ or ‘Developmental co-ordination disorder’ or ‘Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity’ or ‘down syndrome’ or ‘acquired brain injur’ or ‘fetal alcohol syndrome’ or ‘Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder’ or ‘drug related syndrome’ or ‘Neurocognitive Disorder’ or ‘Cognition Disorder’ or ‘neurocognitive disabilit’).tw. |
| 3 (‘Physical activit’* or sport* or gymnastics or soccer or t-ball or swim’ or dance or hockey or ‘structured physical activit’ or ‘organised physical activit’ or ‘Physical Fitness’ or ‘gross motor play’ or aquatic’ or ‘active play’ or ‘energetic play’ or ‘physical education’ or extracurricular or ‘recreational sport’).tw. |
| 4 (cancer or neoplasm* or concussion*).tw. |
| 5 1 and 2 and 3 |
| 6 5 not 4 |
| 7 limit 6 to years=’2000–2022’ |

CINAHL. Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; EMBASE, Excerpta Medica Database; ERIC, Education Resources Information Center; MEDLINE, Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online; PsycINFO, Psychological Abstracts; SPORTDiscus, EBSCO Sports Medicine Database.

Prospective studies will only be included if they provide details on the amount (ie, duration in percentage of day) and/or intensity level of physical activity participation. For the purpose of this systematic review, ‘activities of daily living’ (eg, bathing, dressing and position transfers) and therapies (ie, non-leisure time physical activity) will not be included in this definition of physical activity. Studies will be excluded if the primary focus is on children’s physical activity preferences or correlates.

5. The study must be study peer reviewed.

Selection of studies

Title and abstract screening will be conducted by three researchers using the outlined eligibility criteria. Included articles will be imported into COVIDENCE for full-text screening by the same three review authors. Any discrepancies will be discussed as a group until consensus is achieved. The results of the study inclusion process will be documented on a PRISMA flow chart for systematic reviews (figure 1).

Data extraction

For each included study, data will be extracted and presented in a standardised form (table 2). The extracted
data will include the following: (1) study details: title, authors, source, country, design and year of publication (2) population characteristics: number of participants, age, gender and type of disability and (3) physical activity measure: type, self-reported outcomes, objective outcomes and intensity. Study authors of included articles will be contacted, where possible, for missing or incomplete data. Three authors will perform data extraction in COVIDENCE.

**Quality assessment**

Three independent reviewers will conduct the quality appraisal of each of the included articles. Differences in quality assessment will be resolved by discussion between all authors. Each article will be independently assessed using the Downs and Black checklist. For randomised controlled trials, the full checklist (27 questions) will be used and a modified version of the checklist (10 questions) will be used for all other study types, which is in-line with previous studies. The quality score of each article will be included in the extraction table.

**Data synthesis**

Narrative syntheses of extracted data will follow Popay and colleagues’ framework. Data from studies will be grouped according to study characteristics and findings collated in tabular or text form (where appropriate). Using narrative analysis, a comprehensive descriptive account of study quality, strengths and limitations will be described. Extracted physical activity data will be

---

**Table 2** Standardised extraction form

| Author and year | Country and design | Study type | Sample size | Type of activity (recess, sport, play and outdoor play) | PA levels and intensity | Assessment: observation (wear time, cut points, # days and device model) | Assessment: parent report (include additional details) | Assessment: accelerometer (wear time, cut points, # days and device model) | Quality assessment score |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Vanderloo LM    | USA                | Randomised | 100         | Recess, sport, play and outdoor play                 | Low, moderate, high    | Wear time: 8 hours, cut points: 100, 200, 300, # days: 7 days, device model: Fitbit Charge 3                          | Parent report: 10 questions, includes additional details                        | Accelerometer: 8 hours, cut points: 100, 200, # days: 7 days, device model: Fitbit Charge 3 | 8                    |

**Figure 1** PRISMA flow chart detailing the study selection process. PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.
summarised (or ranges derived) based on frequency, duration and by intensity. Research gaps and study recommendations for future research veins will also be reported. All authors will be involved in this process.

Patient and public involvement
This research did not involve patient or public involvement.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION
All data used in this systematic review have been published and are publicly available. As such, this review does not require ethical approval. The findings from this systematic review will be disseminated via scientific peer-reviewed publication and conference presentations.

DISCUSSION
The aim of this review is to explore the literature on physical activity levels among young children (<5.99 years) with disabilities; it is anticipated that the results of this review will provide important insight into the existing knowledge and identify gaps in the literature. By highlighting specific trends in activity behaviours and approaches to measurement most commonly investigated, the findings of this work may help direct future research efforts as well as programme and service needs to support physical activity for young children with disabilities. Given the growing attention and investments in issues related to equity, diversity and inclusion, there is an identified need to understand the current physical activity behaviours of young children with disabilities and to identify potential avenues for intervention espoused to support healthy growth and development.

Strengths and Limitations of the Review
This systematic review will describe existing evidence regarding physical activity levels among young children with disabilities as well as highlight any gaps in the literature. This review is committed to transparently adhering to validated methods and best practices for systematically searching, identifying, appraising and extracting current literature. A robust search strategy has been developed (drawing on a variety of databases), clear inclusion criteria have been delineated and multiple independent screeners will be employed to review the selected evidence. A foreseeable challenge of this review is disentangling therapy studies from the studies that focus on non-therapeutic physical activity (eg, leisure activity, sport, play and active transportation) among young children with disabilities as well as interpreting behaviours within different disability groups. Eligible studies will be limited to those published from 2000 onward and in French and English only. As such, some relevant articles may be excluded based on year or language of publication.

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH
This systematic review will provide a comprehensive and rigorous evidence base on which areas of improvement and future research directions for physical activity among young children with disabilities can be proposed. Such work may lead to the development of physical activity interventions and inform public health recommendations regarding physical activity for this population.

REVIEW STATUS
This is an ongoing review. We estimate that the draft manuscript to be completed and submitted for peer review by June 2022 or July 2022.
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