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Abstract: The combined effect of the use of carbon fiber and seawater and the molecular structure on the tribological behavior of various polymer materials under natural seawater lubrication was investigated. After the investigation, the wear morphology of the contact surface was observed by a laser scanning confocal microscope, and the texture of the wear scars and tracks were presented in 3D profiles. Moreover, the mechanism of mixed lubrication and wear resistance was analyzed. The results demonstrated that the friction coefficient of carbon fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone (CFRPEEK) is the lowest and fluctuates at approximately 0.11. Moreover, the seven polymer materials in ascending order of friction coefficients are CFRPEEK, carbon fiber-reinforced polyamide-imide, polytetrafluoroethylene, polyoxymethylene, polyetheretherketone (PEEK), acrylonitrile butadiene styrene resin, and glass fiber–epoxy resin. More critically, the simultaneous incorporation of deposition, polymeric scrap, hydrophilic groups, and seawater resulted in a decrease in the friction and wear of polymer materials under seawater lubrication. This observation implies that a synergistic friction-reducing and wear-resistant effect exists between carbon fiber, seawater, and the molecular structure of PEEK. As a result, a highly effective polymer material was discovered, CFRPEEK, which has the lowest friction coefficient of 0.11 and lowest wear rate of $2 \times 10^{-5}$ mm$^3$·(N·m)$^{-1}$ among the polymer materials; this validates the selection of dual friction pairs for seawater hydraulic components.
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1 Introduction

With industrialization and population growth, the problems of resource shortage and environment pollution have intensified. Marine exploitation is the primary means of expanding human living space and facilitating the further development. Oceans, covering more than 71% of the earth’s surface, form an abundant water resource. Natural water has become the most popular hydraulic transmission system medium in place of oil. However, the physical and chemical properties of seawater, such as low lubricity and high causticity, result in numerous challenges. Consequently, research into the tribological performance of friction pairs in seawater environment is critical for seawater hydraulic components.

Compared with metal, polymer materials exhibit higher performance in terms of self-lubrication, friction properties, and wear and corrosion resistance [1–3]. These advantages render them more adaptable to underwater environment. Therefore, a number of international scholars conducted numerous studies on the friction and wear characteristics of polymer materials. Chen et al. [4] prepared carbon fiber and
Polyimide composites simultaneously reinforced with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and determined that the incorporation of polyimide (PI) and carbon fiber (CF) contributes toward significantly enhancing the wear resistance of PTFE. Dubey et al. [5] reported the effects of nanosized PTFE particles as a solid lubricant. The results demonstrated that PTFE particles significantly enhance the weld load, as well as antiwear and friction reduction properties. Ye et al. [6] used interrupted microscopy measurements to investigate the evolution of transfer film development for an ultra-low-wear PTFE nanocomposite.

Hedayati et al. [7] observed that semicrystalline pure polyetheretherketone (PEEK) coating exhibited higher hardness and lower adhesion strength, coefficient of friction, and wear rate than the amorphous one. Chen et al. [8] investigated the friction and wear behaviors of PEEK/PEI/PES alloys under dry sliding contact condition and reported that wear resistance of the alloys were considerably higher than those of pure polyetherimide (PEI) and polyarylethersulfone (PES). Tang et al. [9] researched on the tribological characteristics of carbon fiber-reinforced polyetheretherketone (CFRPEEK) sliding on Si$_3$N$_4$ lubricated with water and determined that the sliding velocity has a higher effect on friction than pressure does. Rasheva et al. [10] reported that fiber orientation influences the mechanical performance and tribological properties of short-carbon fiber-reinforced PEEK materials. Chen et al. [11] investigated the tribological behaviors of CFRPEEK and observed that the incorporation of CF significantly enhances the wear resistance of PEEK under seawater lubrication.

Pihtili [12] prepared glass fiber–epoxy resin (GFER) composites and determined that the weight loss of the woven GFER composite increased with increasing load and speed. Basavarajappa and Ellangovan [13] suggested that 5% silicon carbide and 5% graphite filled the glass–epoxy composites exhibited remarkably high wear resistance. Siddhartha and Gupta [14] observed chopped glass fiber-reinforced composites to exhibit higher performance than bidirectional glass fiber-reinforced composites under abrasive wear situations. Suresha et al. [15] reported that silicon carbide-filled glass-epoxy (G-E) composite exhibits higher resistance to slide wear compared to plain G-E composites.

Difallah et al. [16] investigated the mechanical and tribological response of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) polymer matrix filled with graphite powder and determined that the addition of graphite in ABS matrix lowers friction coefficient and wear. Huseyin et al. [17] researched on the tribological performance of industrial PAI in a cooling environment without air cooling and observed that the coefficient of friction marginally decreased and wear rates increased with increase in load and sliding speed.

Mergler et al. [18] reported that the friction coefficient of polyoxymethylene (POM) sliding against stainless steel starts at a relatively low level of approximately 0.2 and increases to higher values after 20 h of sliding owing to material transfer. Cho et al. [19] investigated the effect of surface texturing on the friction of POM and determined that the lowest friction coefficient was obtained with a 10% texturing density. Chaudri et al. [20] investigated the frictional performance of PBT + PTFE pin sliding against POM; the results indicated that the decrease in friction at higher loads is due to softening of the PBT pin by frictional heating.

Although the tribological behavior of polymer materials was investigated, the studies mainly focused on dry friction and pure water lubrication. These studies are focused on material modification of fillers; however, the combined effects of seawater and molecular structure of matrix and carbon fiber are seldom discussed. In the field of metal matrix composites, Bajwa et al. [21–23] investigated the wear and corrosion resistance properties of Ni-base composite and the microstructure of electrodeposited coatings under water lubrication and nano-enhanced lubrication. They reported that the nickel composite of nanosized alumina exhibits the maximum corrosion resistance.

In the present study, tribological experiments of 316L against PTFE, PEEK, CFRPEEK, GFER, ABS resin, carbon fiber-reinforced polyamide-imide (CFRPAI), and POM under natural seawater lubrication were conducted by using an MMU-5G ring-on-disk friction and wear tester. In this study, the wear morphology and texture of the wear scars and tracks on the contact surfaces were investigated, and the mechanisms of mixed lubrication and wear resistance were analyzed.
2 Experimental details

2.1 Preparation of seawater and materials

In this study, natural seawater sourced from China’s Bohai Bay was prepared [24]. The pH and salinity of the seawater are 7.2 and 2.983%, respectively. The test materials included PTFE, PEEK, GFER, ABS resin, CFRPAI, POM, and CFRPEEK. The main performance parameters are presented in Table 1. All the specimens were washed in an ultrasonic ethanol bath for 15 min and then air-dried to ensure the cleanliness of material’s surface.

2.2 Test specimens

The dimensions of the upper specimen ring and bottom specimen disk were Ф26 mm (outer diameter) × Ф20 mm (inner diameter) and Ф43 mm (diameter) × 3 mm (height), respectively. The upper specimen ring and bottom specimen disk were fabricated from stainless steel 316L (the composition is presented in Table 2) and polymer, respectively. Stainless steel 316L was selected as the upper specimen ring in the present research as it is likely that tribological materials are suitable for the marine environment. Stainless steel 316L has remarkably high wear and corrosion resistance [25, 26] and is widely used to fabricate the friction components of seawater pumps and motors [27, 28]. The properties of stainless steel 316L is presented in Table 3.

2.3 Friction and wear tests

The experiment used ring-disk sliding contact to investigate the friction and wear properties of each friction pair, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The upper specimen rotated around its own central axis under the set load and speed, while the bottom specimen was held stationary. The specimens were set in the box, which was filled completely with seawater in order to realize the continuous sliding of the friction pairs’ contact surfaces in seawater. The load is adjusted through manipulating the supply pressure of the hydraulic cylinder, and the rotational speed is adjusted through the frequency speed regulation system. The seawater temperature was measured by a temperature sensor installed beneath the contact surface of the bottom specimen.

Table 1 Properties of polymer materials.

| Polymer materials | Density (g/cm³) | Water absorption after 24 h immersion in water at 23 °C (%) | Heat distortion temperature (°C) | Coefficient of thermal expansion (10⁻⁵/°C) | Tensile strength at 23 °C (MPa) | Bending strength at 23 °C (MPa) | Compressive strength (MPa) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| PTFE             | 2.2            | 0.01                                                     | 120                             | 10.3                                     | 28                            | 18                           | 11                        |
| PEEK             | 1.3            | 0.5                                                      | 163                             | 4.7                                      | 100                           | 163                          | 118                       |
| GFER             | 1.8            | 0.04                                                     | 260                             | 0.4                                      | 210                           | 397                          | 310                       |
| ABS resin        | 1.04           | 0.2                                                      | 180                             | 2.88                                     | 55                            | 80                           | 65                        |
| CFRPAI           | 1.42           | 0.33                                                     | 250                             | 2.5                                      | 152                           | 235                          | 221                       |
| POM              | 1.41           | 0.22                                                     | 124                             | 0.23                                     | 98                            | 283                          | 110                       |
| CFRPEEK          | 1.4            | 0.06                                                     | 315                             | 1.5                                      | 220                           | 298                          | 240                       |

Table 2 Chemical composition of 316L and 9Cr18Mo.

| Stainless steel | C    | Si | Mn | P  | S   | Ni  | Cr  | Mo |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 316L            | 0.03 | 1  | 2  | 0.035 | 0.03 | 10–14 | 16–18.5 | 2-3 |

Table 3 Main performance parameters of 316L.

| Stainless steel | Density (g/cm³) | Modulus of elasticity (GPa) | Brinell hardness | Elongation (%) | Thermal conducance (W/(m·k)) | Coefficient of thermal expansion (10⁻⁵/°C) | Tensile strength (MPa) | Yield strength (MPa) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
The experiments were performed with a rotational speed of 100 r/min (0.12 m/s), load of 100 N, and period of 2 h and used seawater as the lubricant to simulate the actual working environment of the main dual friction pairs in the low-speed and high-torque hydraulic motor. All test parameters are displayed on the computer screen, and the computer recorded the curve of friction factor–time and temperature–time.

Subsequent to the friction and wear test, the depth of the wear track on the bottom specimen was measured using a digital thickness gauge (YG141D) to an accuracy of 0.01 mm. The corresponding wear volume loss $\Delta V$ of the specimen was obtained by measuring the wear scar depth. Finally, the specific wear rate $\omega$ (mm$^3$/N·m) was calculated from the volume loss by using the following equation:

$$\omega = \frac{\Delta V}{2\pi R n t F}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Delta V$ is the wear volume loss (mm$^3$), $R$ is the mean radius of the upper specimens ring (m), $n$ is the rotational velocity (r/min), $t$ is the wear time (min), and $F$ is the load (N).

### 2.4 Observation of morphologies of worn surfaces

The worn morphologies of the bottom specimens were observed using a laser scanning confocal microscope to understand the wear mechanism of PTFE, PEEK, GFER, ABS resin, CFRPAI, POM, and CFRPEEK under seawater lubrication. The texture of the wear scars and tracks were presented in 3D profiles to study its functional mechanisms further. The 3D profiles present the morphology of the contact surface, and the variations in surface topography were represented using various colors.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Analysis of friction and wear of polymer materials

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the variation of the friction coefficient of 316L against several polymers, with the test time. All the upper specimens were of 316L, while bottom specimens were of PTFE, PEEK, GFER, ABS resin, CFRPAI, POM, and CFRPEEK. The upper specimens rotated at 100 r/min speed and under 100 N load, while the bottom specimens were held stationary.

As illustrated in Fig. 2, the friction coefficient of 316L–PTFE increased rapidly from 0.1 to 0.17 in 10 min and then stabilized at approximately 0.17. After 80 min, it fluctuated around 0.15. The friction

![Fig. 2 Variation of the friction coefficient of 316L against PTFE, CFRPAI, CFRPEEK, and POM.](image)

![Fig. 3 Variation of the friction coefficient of 316L against PEEK, GFER, ABS resin, and POM.](image)
The coefficient of friction of 316L–PTFE is consistently higher than that of 316L–CFRPAI and 316L–CFRPEEK. The friction coefficient of 316L–POM fluctuated between 0.12 and 0.18, which is marginally larger than that of 316L–PTFE. Meanwhile, the friction coefficients of 316L–CFRPAI and 316L–CFRPEEK fluctuated at approximately 0.1 over 25 min. The two friction coefficients did not exhibit a running-in period. Then, the friction coefficient of 316L–CFRPAI gradually increased to become higher than that of the pair whose bottom specimen was CFRPEEK, while the coefficient of 316L–CFRPEEK fluctuated at approximately 0.11. This is because of the molecular structure of PEEK, which has a higher proportion of hydrophilic groups than PAI. With the increase of the test time, the hydrophilic groups cause more water molecules to occupy the clearance. The flowing water better adapts to the action of external shearing force.

As Fig. 3 illustrates, the friction coefficient of 316L–GFER fluctuated from 0.3 to 0.6 before 80 min and abruptly began to fluctuate from 0.3 to 0.9 when the friction coefficient of 316L–ABS resin fluctuated at approximately 0.25. The friction coefficient of 316L–PEEK increased gradually from 0.1 to 0.2 before 80 min and abruptly began fluctuating at approximately 0.3. The friction coefficient of 316L–POM fluctuated from 0.12 to 0.18, which is marginally lower than that of 316L–PEEK. Moreover, the fluctuation of the 316L–POM friction coefficient is the most regular and lowest in the four groups.

By analyzing the variation of the friction coefficient of the 316L pairs against the various polymer materials, the comparison of the various dual friction pairs were obtained as follows: 316L–CFRPEEK < 316L–CFRPAI < 316L–PTFE < 316L–POM < 316L–PEEK < 316L–ABS resin < 316L–GFER. Thus, it is determined that the friction coefficient of 316L–CFRPEEK is the lowest.

The specific wear rates of the seven types of polymers were calculated using Eq. (1), as illustrated in Fig. 4, to investigate the wear extent of the specimens. Amongst all the specimens, CFRPEEK exhibited the lowest wear rate of $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mm}^3/(\text{N}\cdot\text{m})$, while PTFE exhibited the highest wear rate of $75.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mm}^3/(\text{N}\cdot\text{m})$. Moreover, the wear rate of CFRPEEK is approximately 2.65% that of PTFE.

The sequence of specific wear rate for the seven polymer materials is: CFRPEEK < POM < CFRPAI < GFER < PEEK < ABS resin < PTFE. This indicates that CFRPEEK exhibits reasonable wear resistance in the process of friction and wear in seawater.

### 3.2 Analysis of worn surfaces of polymer materials

Figure 5 presents the worn surface micrographs of the various polymer materials. For comparison, the original surface morphologies are also provided. When compared with Fig. 5(a), Fig. 5(b) reveals that the worn surface of PTFE did not apparently exhibit scratch marks. However, particles of stainless steel 316L were observed on the worn surface, indicating that more extensive adhesive wear had occurred on the dual pair 316L–PTFE as a result of the wear test. Similar discovery was also reported in Refs. [29, 30]. Figure 5(d) illustrates that numerous small scratches appeared on the PEEK surface owing to wear, and the original morphology had disappeared. The Original GFER surface was grinded off and numerous small scratches on the worn surface (Fig. 5(f)). Moreover, the GFER surface was set with brown and white elements. The brown elements were dust particles from the worn GFER material, while the white elements were a few dust particles from the 316L. This observation indicates that the smearing and scratching phenomenon of adhesive wear exists on the surface of 316L–GF  as reported by Agrawal [31].

By comparing the original (Fig. 5(g)) with the worn ABS resin surface (Fig. 5(h)), it was determined that the surface had become smooth after the 316L–ABS resin wear test; however, numerous wide scratches were visible on the worn surface. White elements appeared on the ABS resin surface, which indicates
that falling debris was embedded into the contact surface. This phenomenon is similar to that reported in Ref. [32]. Numerous small scratches appeared on the CFRPAI surface, as illustrated in Fig. 5(j), which reveals that scuffing phenomenon had occurred. Meanwhile, the POM surface indicates the occurrence of the scratch phenomenon in adhesive wear (Fig. 5(l)). The surface wear is not uniform, and the scratches display unequal widths. A part of the original morphology continues to be visible. In addition, the surface morphology of CFRPEEK observed in Figs. 5(m) and 5(n) exhibits a more uniformly worn surface and to a marginal extent exhibits scratch phenomenon with thinner scratches.

A laser microscope was used to scan the 3D morphology of the worn surfaces to analyze the friction and wear features of 316L against the various polymer materials and study their mechanism further. The result is presented in Fig. 6.

Evidence of the plough-and-scratch phenomenon appears on the various types of polymer materials after wear, as illustrated in Fig. 6. Wear characteristics vary with the materials. The PTFE surface material is straightforwardly torn apart and forms the “prod” in the wear process owing to low strength and hardness of pure PTFE, as illustrated in Fig. 6(a). These prods repeatedly scrape the PTFE matrix, and this wear process increases the contact surface temperature. Then, plastic deformation appeared on the PTFE surface material and softened the matrix further, resulting in more extensive wear. The observation is consistent with those of few other researchers [33, 34]. Figure 6(b) illustrates that apparent grinded groove and “prods” appeared on the wear surface, which indicates that
the wear resistance of PEEK is not adequate.

When the bottom specimen is GFER, substantial “needles” appeared on the wear surface (Fig. 6(c)) because the bulges of the contact surface are cut off and the matrix wear debris and small particles are embedded into the surface during the process of wear. Numerous scratches and small grooves appeared on the contact surface (Fig. 6(d)); a number of “needles”, which are caused by the wear particles, are visible. The CFRPAI wear surface is smoother than that of several of the abovementioned specimens (Fig. 6(e)). Although there are “needles” and scratches, the scratches are fewer and smaller. Figure 6(f) illustrates that no apparent “needles” appeared on the POM wear surface; however, a number of wider scratches appeared on the surface.

A small-sized furrow or “needle” is visible on the 3D morphology of the worn surface (Fig. 6(g)) when the bottom specimen is CFRPEEK. A mark of light wear is visible in the linear or lamellate wear area on the contact surface in the direction of friction. Moreover, the worn surface is smooth without extensive scratches or grooves. This observation indicates that CFRPEEK has reasonable wear resistance, anti-attrition, anti-drag, and self-lubrication properties. A similar discovery was also reported in Refs. [11, 35, 36].

3.3 Analysis of wear mechanism in polymer materials

The analysis of the wear morphology reveals that the
wear mechanisms of the selected polymer materials mainly include tiny plough, grinding, and plastic deformation, and the two or more phenomena may occur simultaneously. Therefore, the wear mechanisms of the seven types of polymers are varied.

More extensive adhesive wear had occurred on the surface of PTFE after the wear test. Numerous small scratches and an apparent grinded groove appeared on the wear surface of PEEK, which indicates that the plough and grinding phenomenon occurred. Moreover, evidence of the smearing and scratching phenomenon of adhesive wear is visible on the GFER surface. The smearing phenomenon appears to have occurred on the worn surface, and numerous wide scratches and small grooves were visible. Although the scuffing phenomenon appears to have occurred on the worn surface of CFRPAI, the scratches are fewer and smaller. Evidence of the scratch phenomenon in adhesive wear also appears on the surface of POM, and the wear surface is not uniform. However, the CFRPEEK surface displays a more uniform worn surface and evidence of occurrence of scratch phenomenon to a marginal extent.

In addition, it can be observed in Fig. 6 depicting the 3D wear that mechanical scratches were present on the contact surfaces of the polymer materials. Minute bulges on the rough surface of stainless 316L resemble relatively softer polymer materials, like machine surface of softer polymer materials micro cut by tools continuously. Moreover, floating debris that is suspended in the water box also results in the polymer materials of the bottom specimens in the experiment being cut during the process of friction and wear.

4 Discussion

4.1 Mixed lubricating effect

In this study, the investigation of the tribological behavior of polymer materials is mainly for determining the appropriate counter materials for the port–plate pair of the low-speed high-torque water hydraulic motor. Therefore, the experimental model is similar to the port–plate and the rotor end surface in the low-speed high-torque water hydraulic motor. According to our previous study [37], the residual pressing force of the port plate pair is marginal. Under low load, it is convenient to form and maintain a seawater film between the stainless steel 316L and polymer materials. As illustrated in Fig. 7, the clearance between the sliding surfaces at the beginning is filled with adequate water. As the test progresses, the contact surfaces gain more severe damage because the seawater film breaks down. Thus, debris is crushed or broken and peeled off from the polymer materials matrix. Then, the sliding surfaces of the friction pair are immersed in a mixed solution of seawater and debris, as illustrated in Fig. 8. The debris of the polymer materials and seawater play a positive role, exhibiting a self-lubricating action and water lubrication in the process of friction and wear.

In addition, seawater exhibits higher performance. In the opinion of Chen [8, 38], seawater exerts a better influence on the sliding surfaces as result of the deposition of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ in the forms of CaCO$_3$ and Mg(OH)$_2$, respectively, onto the surface. The lubricating fluid, including deposition, polymeric scrap, and seawater are the mixed suspension liquid, which exhibits superior lubrication as well as prevents direct contact between the frictional surfaces. It ultimately causes the decrease in friction and wear.

![Fig. 7 Model of the beneficial effect of seawater.](image)

![Fig. 8 Model of the beneficial effect of debris and seawater.](image)
4.2 Molecular structure effect of polymer materials

Another feasible reason lies in the fact that the molecular structure of polymer materials promotes hydrodynamic lubrication [39], which further enhances the lubricating effect of the friction pair. Figure 8 illustrates the molecular structure of the various polymer materials. As illustrated in the figures, PEEK, GFER, PAI, and POM contained carbonyl groups (C=O) and ether linkage (−O−), which are combined with water molecules by hydrogen bond [40]. Therefore, water molecules aggregated on the surface under the influence of these hydrophilic groups of PEEK, GFER, PAI, and POM, forming a more stable lubrication film. Meanwhile, Figs. 9(a) and 9(d) do not reveal polar hydrophilic groups in the PTFE and ABS resin molecular structures. Therefore, the wear resistance of PTFE and ABS resin is lower than that of PEEK, GFER, PAI, and POM. It is consistent with the calculation results of specific wear rates, as illustrated in Fig. 4.

4.3 The carbon fiber effect

Experimental results demonstrate that polymer materials based on carbon fiber exhibit highly effective friction and wear characteristics under seawater lubrication. With the increment of wear time, the more severe wear of CFRPEEK and CFRPAI is adequately restricted because, according to the reports of Chen [4, 41], the exposed carbon fiber carried the main load. Moreover, CFRPEEK has lower friction coefficient and more effective wear resistance than CFRPAI owing to the molecular structure of PEEK, which has a larger number of hydrophilic groups than PAI. The use of carbon fiber and seawater and the molecular structure produce a synergistic effect on the enhancement of the wear resistance of the polymer materials, which substantiates the apparent decrease in friction and wear of CFRPEEK.

5 Conclusions

Friction and wear experiments of the dual friction pairs in the study are conducted under seawater lubrication. From the results of the experiments, the following conclusions are drawn:

1. The friction and wear test results demonstrate that CFRPEEK has the lowest friction coefficient of 0.11 among the seven types of polymers. Moreover, it exhibits the lowest wear rate of $2 \times 10^{-5} \text{mm}^3/(\text{N}\cdot\text{m})^{-1}$ followed by POM, CFRPAI, GFER, PEEK, ABS resin, and PTFE. The wear rate of CFRPEEK is approximately 2.65% that of PTFE.

2. The lubricating fluid in the process of friction and wear, including deposition and polymeric scrap and seawater, is a mixed suspending liquid, which exhibits superior lubrication as well as prevents direct contact between the frictional surfaces. Therefore, it ultimately causes the decrease in friction and wear.

3. The water molecules are aggregated on the surface by the hydrophilic groups of PEEK, GFER, PAI, and POM, forming a more stable lubrication film. On the contrary, the wear resistance of PTFE and ABS resin is lower than that of PEEK, GFER, PAI, and POM.

![Fig. 9 Molecular structure of (a) PTFE, (b) PEEK, (c) GFER, (d) ABS resin, (e) PAI, and (f) POM.](http://friction.tsinghuajournals.com)
owing to the absence of polar hydrophilic groups in the molecular structure of PTFE and ABS resin.

4. CFRPEEK exhibits effective friction and wear characteristics as well as self-lubricating properties in seawater, as compared to the rest of the polymer materials. This result is attributed to the use of carbon fiber and seawater and the molecular structure of PEEK, which produces a synergistic effect to enhance the friction and wear characteristics of polymer materials.
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