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Introduction

During the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, stay-at-home orders were issued across most of the USA to mitigate the spread of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and prevent over-burdening health systems. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has reported that older adults and people who have severe underlying medical conditions are at a higher risk of developing more serious complications from COVID-19, and to date, 8 out of 10 deaths reported in the USA have been in adults 65 years or older (CDC, 2020).

Although self-isolation can help decrease transmission and mortality risk, it can lead to social isolation, which has been identified as a “serious public health concern” in older adults because of their increased risk for physical and mental health consequences (Armitage & Nellums, 2020). Social disconnection from day center venues, community centers, and places of worship during this time can place older adults at greater risk of depression and anxiety (Armitage & Nellums, 2020). As social isolation increases with age, older adults tend to be more prone to loneliness (Seyfzadeh et al., 2019).

While online technology platforms can be used to stay connected, barriers such as lack of support and low technology literacy (Vaportzis et al., 2017) limit older adults’ adoption of new technologies. However, older adults’ use of technology has been increasing; as only 14% of those 65 and older reported using the internet compared to 67% in 2017 (Anderson & Perrin, 2017). Additionally, social media usage has increased among those 65 and older, from 27% in 2013 to 34% in 2017 (Anderson & Perrin, 2017). Barriers to technology use are reported by adults aged 65 and older, including feeling less confident in their ability to use technology and being more likely to need help learning a technological device than individuals younger than 65 (Anderson & Perrin, 2017). Increased isolation during COVID-19 may compel older adults to engage with technology to maintain their social networks and a sense of belonging. To our knowledge, no study has yet investigated predominantly minority older adults’ use of technology platforms during COVID-19.

The purpose of our study was to understand how the stay-at-home order has affected older adults’ activities, use of technology platforms, and sense of loneliness.

Methods

This cross-sectional study of older adults from BakerRipley, a collection of community senior centers in Houston, Texas, was approved by the Baylor College of Medicine Institutional Review Board. Contact information for 113 members was provided by BakerRipley, and phone interviews were conducted between April 20, 2020 and May 1, 2020. Of the 113 older adults contacted, 60 did not answer the phone or did not want to participate. Participants were not compensated for their time, and there were no incentives to participate. Participants were not compensated for their time, and there were no incentives to participate. There was no consent for this study. Houston’s stay-at-home order had been in effect since March 24, 2020. All participants who started the survey completed it.

The survey started with demographic questions (e.g., age, living situation, internet access). Next, participants were asked about how their activities have changed since the stay-at-home order (e.g., grocery shopping, meeting friends) on a scale from 1 (completely stopped) to 6 (much more often) with an option to say that activity was not applicable to their life before the stay-at-home order. Next, we asked...
the six questions about frequency of messaging, video calling, and social media use before and then during the stay-at-home order on a scale from 1 (never) to 4 (daily). The University of California at Los Angeles Three-Item Loneliness Scale (Hughes et al., 2004) measured perceived loneliness (α = 0.84) on a scale from 1 (hardly ever) to 3 (often). Participants were asked open-ended questions. Open-ended questions asked about interest in learning more about technology and what has made them happy in the past as well as during the stay-at-home order, how they spent their time before and during the stay-at-home order, and how they could be better supported. Prior to conducting the interviews, the survey was approved by BakerRipley stakeholders. The results of the survey were reported back to BakerRipley so that it could better meet the needs of its members.

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS version 26 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance between 2 time points was determined with paired sample t-tests. Statistical significance among groups of 3 was determined using Welch’s ANOVA test because groups were not homogeneous, as determined by Levene’s test. To identify the specific areas of group differences, Games-Howell post-hoc analysis was performed. P values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Open-ended questions were analyzed with reflexive thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2019).

Results

A total of 53 older adults were included in the analysis.

Quantitative Analysis

Our respondents were 81.1% (43/53) female, 67.9% (36/53) African American, 18.9% Hispanic (10/53), 11.3% White (6/53), and 1.9% (1/53) Mixed. Three age groups were represented: 61–70 years (45.3%, 24/53), 71–80 years (34%, 18/53), and 80 + years (20.8%, 11/53). In terms of living situation, 35.8% (19/53) lived alone, 28.3% (15/53) lived with pets or had a caregiver, and 35.8% (19/53) lived with family members. All of our respondents were following the stay-at-home order, and 98.1% (52/53) agreed with social distancing. Self-reported changes in frequency of older adults’ activities during the stay-at-home order compared to before the stay-at-home order can be found in Table 1. The entire sample stopped eating out at restaurants, and all but one reported stopping attending religious services. Participants reported that they had completely stopped to much less often visiting friends and taking public transportation. Participants reported grocery shopping and visiting family much less often to less often as compared to before the pandemic.

| Activity                      | N   | M ± SD | Range       |
|-------------------------------|-----|--------|-------------|
| Groceries                     | 48  | 2.42 ± 1.09 | (1.00, 4.00) |
| Friends                       | 48  | 1.54 ± 0.74  | (1.00, 3.00) |
| Family                        | 50  | 2.74 ± 1.21  | (1.00, 6.00) |
| Religious service             | 40  | 1.08 ± 0.47  | (1.00, 4.00) |
| Public transport              | 19  | 1.21 ± 0.63  | (1.00, 3.00) |
| Restaurant                    | 47  | 1.00 ± 0.00  | (1.00, 1.00) |

*1 = completely stopped, 2 = much less often, 3 = less often, 4 = about the same, 5 = more often, 6 = much more often

Most of our respondents (79.2%, 42/53) had internet access. Group differences were tested from before the pandemic to during the stay-at-home order with a paired sample t-test. During the pandemic, older adults showed statistically significant increases in messaging (t(52) = −3.04, p = 0.004), video calling (t(52) = −4.81, p < 0.001), and social media usage (t(52) = −2.84, p = 0.006). Three Welch’s ANOVA were used to see if there were group differences for messaging, video calling, and social media usage during the stay-at-home order. The analysis revealed significant differences between age groups and messaging (Welch’s F(2, 26.25) = 130.46, p < 0.001), video calling (Welch’s F(2, 30.58) = 5.04, p = 0.01), and social media usage (Welch’s F(2, 30.30) = 9.91, p < 0.001). Games-Howell post hoc analyses were used to determine where the group differences were. The largest group differences were found between the 61–70 and 80 + age groups, with the 61–70 age group using each technology platform significantly more than the 80 + age group (p < 0.05). In addition, 58.5% (31/53) of our respondents were interested in learning more about different technology platforms.

The mean and standard deviation on the University of California at Los Angeles Three-Item Loneliness Scale were 4.79 ± 1.96. Interestingly, there were no statistically significant relationships between age group, living situation, or frequency of technology use and perceived loneliness.

Thematic Analysis

Older Adults with Frequent Family Contact Feel Supported

Respondents who lived with family members or had family members who visited them reported, “I got a lot of support! I talk to my friends and family on the phone, and they always check on me!” Some also learned how to use technology platforms from their children or grandchildren. In contrast, older adults who lived alone with no immediate family support or who had physical limitations voiced greater challenges, especially with groceries. One respondent who is wheelchair-bound shared, “…my grandchildren...
were the ones who usually helped me [with household
tasks], but I don’t see them now.” Another respondent who
lived alone expressed, “I have been actively seeking a coun-
selor, but I have been struggling to find the right person.”

**Older Adults Find Happiness in Spirituality** When respond-
ents were asked, “Currently, what brings you happiness?”
many responded “reading, especially the Bible,” “God,” or
“church.” One lady, “... [texted] prayers or pictures to other
people to bring some joy or happiness in their lives.”

**Older Adults Derive Social Support from Community Ven-
ues** All of our respondents participated in activities at
BakerRipley. When asked what brought them happiness
before the pandemic, many people mentioned “BakerRip-
ley.” However, they described their current activities as more
“limited” and “boring” because they “can’t go out.” As one
respondent shared in depth: “I used to go to the senior center
time five a week. We would go on field trips, line dance,
and go to the theater. I would see my friends and talk to
people my age at the center.”

**Self and Socially Isolated Older Adults Do Not Necessarily
Feel Lonely** Despite having to stay at home, older adults did
not report increased loneliness. Many of our respondents,
especially women, remained connected with their friends by
“talking to [them] on the telephone” every day. Others
kept themselves busy with “reading,” “writing,” “TV,” or
“walking outside.”

**Discussion**

Before the pandemic, all of our respondents participated
in activities at BakerRipley and primarily socialized with
their friends in-person. Our study revealed that, during the
stay-at-home order, older adults were able to overcome
isolation and maintain their social connections by engaging
more with technology. Video calling platforms showed
the greatest increase in use, which may be explained by
church activities transitioning to Zoom and older adults’
appreciation for face-to-face communication. Those in the
oldest age group (80+ years) showed the smallest increase
in technology use, which highlights a potential digital
divide within older adults themselves due to differences
in technology exposure, increased age-related cognitive
and physical changes, or a perceived inability to learn.
However, in general, respondents had positive attitudes
toward technology and expressed interest in technology
classes. Among those who were not interested, many cited
having family members available to help them or believed
that they were “too old to learn.” Since technology can
enhance interpersonal relationships (Chopik, 2016),

community venues should consider creating instructional
materials or hosting educational sessions to teach older
adults more about common technology platforms.

We found no statistically significant relationships
between living situation or frequency of technology use
and perceived loneliness. Our results may be explained by
notable differences between living alone and feeling lonely
(Perrissinotto et al., 2012; Yanguas et al., 2018; Bedard-
Thomas et al., 2019). Loneliness tends to manifest when
there is a perceived lack of social engagement (Bedard-
Thomas et al., 2019); however, our analyses suggest that
our respondents, even those who lived alone, hardly ever felt
lonely because they were still able to remain connected with
their friends and families. Additionally, studies have shown
that group interventions focused on strengthening social
bonds and friendship are the most effective in alleviating
loneliness experienced by older adults (Yanguas et al.,
2018). Given that our respondents had been actively engaged
with BakerRipley, they may have had stronger social bonds
than older adults who had not been attending a senior center.
Men and women also maintain social networks in different
ways, with women spending about twice as much time on
the telephone as men (Szell & Thurner, 2013). Since most
of our respondents were women, we believe that they were
more likely to derive support from their existing groups via
telephone check-ins than their male counterparts.

A limitation of our study is that all of our respondents
are members of a community center that provides support
and resources. Our results may not be generalizable to older
adults who are not part of a similar community. The study
used self-report measures, and there was no comparison
group. It is possible that our population under- or over-
reported activities during the stay-at-home order. Addition-
ally, our study was completed during the first few weeks
of the stay-at-home order; results may have changed if the
survey had been completed at different time points during
the pandemic.

Our study suggests that older adults are adapting to
unprecedented challenges during the initial stage of the pan-
demic. However, some interventions could be implemented
to better support them, such as distributing pamphlets with
key resources, developing a more robust grocery delivery
service, and offering instructional technology classes. Addi-
tional research is needed to elucidate how the needs of older
adults have changed throughout the pandemic.
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