Lipid Peroxidation and Enzymatic Antioxidants among Breast Cancer Women of African Descent in Sokoto, Nigeria
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ABSTRACT

Aims: To assess lipid peroxidation and enzymatic antioxidants in breast cancer patients attending Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto-Nigeria.
Study Design: A cross-sectional study was conducted in which patients with confirmed cases of breast cancer and age-and gender-matched apparently healthy individuals were studied.
Place and Duration of Study: Breast Cancer Clinic, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto and Department of Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, between January, 2015 and December, 2015.

Methodology: We included forty six (46) each of breast cancer women and controls (age range 20 to ≥70 years). Demographic and anthropometric data were obtained using questionnaires administered to each breast cancer patient and control. Serum activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione Peroxidase (GPX) and serum concentration of malondialdehyde were estimated using standard techniques.

Results: Result indicated significantly (p<0.001) lower serum activities of SOD (1.52±0.03 U/ml), CAT (1.57±0.09 U/ml) and GPX (10.25±0.29 nmol/min/ml), while MDA (21.07±0.93 µl) is significantly (p<0.001) higher in breast cancer patients compared with controls (3.54±0.06 U/ml, 5.85±0.10 U/ml, 24.53±0.17 nmol/min/ml and 8.88±0.66 µl respectively). With the exception of serum SOD which is significantly (p<0.001) lower as the cancer progresses from stage I to IV, serum activities of CAT, GPX and concentration of MDA were not significantly (p>0.05) different between the stages of the breast cancer. Serum SOD (1.47±0.04 U/ml), CAT (1.39±0.10 U/ml) and GPX (9.53±0.23 nmol/min/ml) were significantly (p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001 respectively) lower, while MDA did not differ significantly (p>0.05) among the breast cancer patients on therapy compared with those not on therapy (1.62±0.07 U/ml, 1.99±0.17 U/ml and 12.07±0.54 nmol/min/ml respectively).

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that, the breast cancer patients are oxidatively stressed as evidenced by the lower serum activities of antioxidant enzymes and higher malondialdehyde concentration. It is recommended that, breast cancer patients be supplemented with antioxidants in order to prevent the oxidative onslaught by free radicals.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the second leading cause of death after lung cancer [1] and is the most common type of cancer in women and accounts for the highest morbidity and mortality worldwide [2,3]. It is second to cancer of the cervix in the North-Western geopolitical zone of Nigeria and also leading in the South-Western geopolitical zone [4,5].

Oxidative stress resulting from excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and/or decreased antioxidant capacity in the target cells and tissues has been suggested to play a crucial role in the initiation of DNA damage which might ultimately lead to carcinogenesis [5,6,7,8]. Major classes of biomolecules, including proteins, lipids and nucleic acids can be modified by ROS, with changes in their molecular structure and function [9]. The main targets of ROS are the polyunsaturated fatty acids located in the cell membranes and their oxidation results in lipid peroxidation. Lipid peroxidation in breast cancer has previously been reported [7,10].

Various cellular defense mechanisms, consisting of non-enzymatic antioxidants (vitamins A, C, E and glutathione) and enzymatic (SOD, CAT and GPX) are involved in the control of the debilitating effects of ROS production [11].

The increasing global incidence of breast cancer also emphasizes the need to understand the various mechanisms involved in breast tumourigenesis. However, no previous studies have evaluated lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzymes activities in breast cancer women of African descent in Sokoto, Nigeria. Therefore, the current study measured the serum activities CAT, SOD, GPX and MDA in breast cancer women in Sokoto, Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Subjects and Study Area

A total of ninety two (92) subjects were included in the study. These comprised of 46 women with histologically confirmed cases of breast cancer age range 20 to ≥70 years and 46 age- and gender-matched apparently healthy women monitored as controls. Eligibility criteria for the patients were histologically confirmed breast cancer patients who have started treatment for the tumour, those that were not yet on cancer treatment. Subjects were ineligible if they are known diabetes, liver or renal dysfunction...
The breast cancer patients attending the Breast Clinic of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital (UDUTH), Sokoto were enrolled in the study. Sokoto State is located in the North-Western Nigeria. It shares borders with Kebbi State (West and South-East), Zamfara State to the West and Niger Republic to the North. Report from the 2013 projection indicated that the state had a population of 4.6 million [12].

2.2 Study Design

A cross-sectional study in which patients with confirmed cases of breast cancer and age-and gender-matched apparently healthy individuals were studied. Qualitative and quantitative data were obtained using standard techniques. Confirmed cases of breast cancer patients were consecutively enrolled from the population of breast cancer patients that attended Breast Clinic UDUTH, Sokoto. The breast cancer patients were selected with the help of a Consultant Surgeon in the Breast Clinic based on the satisfaction of the study inclusion criteria.

2.3 Ethical Approval

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ethics and Research Committee of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital (UDUTH), Sokoto. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants before enrollment.

2.4 Blood Samples Collection and Processing

Blood specimens (about 5 ml each) were taken into plain vacutainer tubes by venepuncture from a prominent vein. The blood specimen were allowed to clot and later centrifuged at 3000 rpm and the sera were carefully harvested into serum bottles and rapidly stored at -20°C until used for biochemical analysis.

2.5 Measurement of Anthropometric Parameters

Subjects were weighted with minimum clothing to the nearest 0.1 kg by using a regularly calibrated weighing health scale; model ZT 120 (manufactured by Seca Gmbh and Co., Germany). Body mass index (BMI) for each subject was calculated using the following formula: BMI (kg/m²) = Body Weight (kg)/Height (m²).

2.6 Estimation of Biochemical Analytes

Serum activities of SOD, CAT and GPX were assayed by the methods of [13,14,15,16] using assay Kits procured from Enzo Life Sciences International, Inc. 5120 Butler Pike, Plymouth Meeting, USA. Serum malondialdehyde concentration was estimated by the method of [17] using Lipid hydroperoxide (LPO) assay kit procured from Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA.

2.7 Data Analysis

The data obtained were analyzed using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. Group comparisons were made using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), paired comparisons were carried out using the Student’s t-test. P-value of equal to or less than 0.05 (p ≤ 0.05) was considered as significant.

3. RESULTS

The demographic and socio-economic characteristics of and breast cancer patients and controls (Table 1) indicated that breast cancer occur in women mostly within the age group of 40-49 years (45.7%), most of them were married (95.7%) with no formal education (52.2%) or unemployed (58.7%).

The anthropometric parameters of breast cancer patients and controls are presented in Table 2. The result indicated no significant (p>0.05) differences in the anthropometric parameters between the breast cancer patients and control.

The result of serum activities of antioxidant enzymes and malondialdehyde concentration among breast cancer patients and controls is presented in Fig. 1. The result indicated significantly (p<0.001) lower serum activities of SOD (1.52 ± 0.03 U/ml), CAT (1.57 ± 0.09 U/ml) and GPX (10.25 ± 0.29 nmol/min/ml), while serum MDA (21.07 ± 0.93 µl) is significantly (p<0.001) higher in breast cancer patients as compared with controls (3.54 ± 0.06 U/ml, 5.85 ± 0.10 U/ml, 24.53 ± 0.17 nmol/min/ml and 8.88 ± 0.66 µl respectively).
Table 1. Demographic and socio-economic characteristics of controls and patients

| Characteristics          | Controls                  |               | Patients                  |               |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Age groups (years)       | N=46                      | Percentage (%)| N=46                      | Percentage (%)|
| 20-29                    | 1                         | 2.2           | 1                         | 2.2           |
| 30-39                    | 15                        | 32.6          | 8                         | 17.4          |
| 40-49                    | 23                        | 50.0          | 21                        | 45.7          |
| 50-59                    | 3                         | 6.5           | 12                        | 26.1          |
| 60-69                    | 3                         | 6.5           | 3                         | 6.5           |
| ≥70                      | 1                         | 2.2           | 1                         | 2.2           |
| Marital status           |                           |               |                           |               |
| Married                  | 42                        | 91.3          | 44                        | 95.7          |
| Single                   | 1                         | 2.2           | 0                         | 0             |
| Widowed                  | 3                         | 6.5           | 1                         | 2.2           |
| Divorced                 | 0                         | 0             | 1                         | 2.2           |
| Tribe                    |                           |               |                           |               |
| Hausa                    | 32                        | 69.6          | 31                        | 67.4          |
| Yoruba                   | 3                         | 6.5           | 2                         | 4.3           |
| Fulani                   | 6                         | 13.0          | 7                         | 15.2          |
| Igbo                     | 3                         | 6.5           | 2                         | 4.3           |
| Others                   | 2                         | 4.3           | 4                         | 8.7           |
| Education level          |                           |               |                           |               |
| Tertiary                 | 13                        | 28.3          | 5                         | 10.9          |
| Secondary                | 7                         | 15.2          | 10                        | 21.7          |
| Primary                  | 9                         | 19.6          | 7                         | 15.2          |
| Non-formal               | 17                        | 37.0          | 24                        | 52.2          |
| Occupation               |                           |               |                           |               |
| Senior civil servant     | 6                         | 13.0          | 4                         | 8.7           |
| Junior civil servant     | 9                         | 19.6          | 4                         | 8.7           |
| Petty Trader             | 14                        | 30.4          | 11                        | 23.9          |
| Unemployed               | 17                        | 37.0          | 27                        | 58.7          |

Values are number (N) of subjects expressed as percentage (%)

Table 2. Anthropometric parameters in breast cancer patients and controls

| Parameters               | Controls (n=46) | Breast cancer patients (n=46) | P-value |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)              | 42.43±1.14     | 45.37±1.23                    | 0.083   |
| Weight (Kg)              | 61.43±0.85     | 57.94±1.90                    | 0.100   |
| Height (m)               | 1.59±0.01      | 1.59±0.01                     | 0.437   |
| BMI (Kg/m²)              | 24.19±0.37     | 23.05±0.78                    | 0.188   |

Values are mean ± standard error of mean; N = number of subjects; BMI = Body mass index; Level of significance is considered when p<0.05; significant differences were not observed when breast cancer patients were compared with controls.

Serum activities of antioxidant enzymes and malondialdehyde concentration among breast cancer patients based on presence or absence of cancer therapy (Table 4) indicated that, with the exception of serum MDA which did not differ significantly (p>0.05) between the breast cancer patients on therapy and those not on therapy, the serum SOD (1.47 ± 0.04 U/ml), CAT (1.39 ± 0.10 U/ml) and GPX (9.53 ± 0.23 nmol/min/ml) decreased significantly (p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001 respectively) among the breast cancer patients on therapy as compared with those not on therapy (1.62 ± 0.07 U/ml, 1.99 ± 0.17 U/ml and 12.07 ± 0.54 nmol/min/ml respectively).
Fig. 1. Serum activities of antioxidant enzymes and malondialdehyde concentration in breast cancer patients and controls

Table 3. Serum activities of antioxidant enzymes and malondialdehyde concentration among breast cancer patients based on cancer staging

| TNM classification (American Cancer Society, 2013) | Antioxidant enzymes and malondialdehyde concentration | SOD (U/ml) | CAT (U/ml) | GPX (nmol/min/ml) | MDA (µl) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|---------|
| Stage I (n=3)                                    |                                                     | 1.93±0.03 | 1.97±0.02 | 12.75±0.35       | 18.81±0.66 |
| Stage II (n=20)                                  |                                                     | 1.68±0.02 | 1.43±0.11 | 10.43±0.43       | 20.52±1.70 |
| Stage III (n=16)                                 |                                                     | 1.38±0.01 | 1.56±0.17 | 9.78±0.50        | 22.36±1.46 |
| Stage IV (n=7)                                   |                                                     | 1.17±0.02 | 1.80±0.31 | 9.68±0.73        | 23.08±0.56 |
| P-Value                                           |                                                     | 0.000     | 0.377     | 0.086            | 1.000   |

Post Hoc Test, LSD

| Stage I vs II                                    |                                                     | 0.000     | 1.000     | 0.323            | 1.000   |
| Stage I vs III                                   |                                                     | 0.000     | 1.000     | 0.099            | 1.000   |
| Stage I vs IV                                    |                                                     | 0.000     | 1.000     | 0.141            | 1.000   |
| Stage II vs III                                  |                                                     | 0.000     | 1.000     | 1.000            | 1.000   |
| Stage II vs IV                                   |                                                     | 0.000     | 1.000     | 1.000            | 1.000   |
| Stage III vs IV                                  |                                                     | 0.000     | 1.000     | 1.000            | 1.000   |

Values are mean ± standard error of mean; n= number of subjects; SOD= Superoxide Dismutase; CAT= Catalase; GPX= Glutathione peroxidase; MDA= Malondialdehyde; Level of significance is considered when \( p \leq 0.05 \)

Table 4. Serum activities of antioxidant enzymes and malondialdehyde in breast cancer patients based on presence or absence of therapy

| Parameters                  | Therapy       | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|
|                             | Yes (n=33)    | No (n=13) |         |
| SOD (U/ml)                  | 1.47±0.04     | 1.62±0.07 | 0.043   |
| CAT (U/ml)                  | 1.39±0.10     | 1.99±0.17 | 0.050   |
| GPX (nmol/min/ml)           | 9.53±0.23     | 12.07±0.54| 0.000   |
| MDA (µl)                    | 20.86±1.12    | 21.61±1.70| 0.720   |

Values are mean ± standard error of mean; n= number of subjects; SOD= Superoxide Dismutase; CAT= Catalase; GPX= Glutathione peroxidase; MDA= Malondialdehyde; Level of significance is considered when \( p \leq 0.05 \)
4. DISCUSSION

The peak age of incidence of breast cancer was 40-49 years (5th decade). This is in agreement with the findings from the studies conducted in South Africa [18], Ilorin, Nigeria [19] and Sudan [20] who reported the peak age of incidence of breast cancer at the 5th decade. Our result is in contrast with the report from Norway [21] and among the Philippines [22] in which the peak age of incidence were 6th and 8th decade respectively and also contrasted with the report from Khartoum –Sudan [23] where the peak age was above 50 years. The variation in the peak age of the breast cancer in women may be related to the onset of aetiopathogenesis of breast cancer which have been found to include, early menarche, late menopause, late age at first birth, and other reproductive factors [24].

The decreased serum activity of SOD is consistent with the results in Iran [25], India [26] and Egypt [27]. Lower serum activities of CAT corresponded to the findings of a study [25] in Iran; [26,28] in India; but contrasted with that of other study [29] who reported increased serum activities of CAT in breast cancer patients. The decreased serum activities of GPX in this study agreed with the finding of other study [30] who reported decrease in red blood cell GPX activity in breast cancer patients; but this contrasted with the report of previous studies [29,31] who independently reported increased in GPX activity. These conflicting findings in the activities of SOD, CAT and GPX may be due to differences in the enzymatic assay techniques used.

The decreased in serum activity of SOD as the breast cancer progresses from stage I to stage IV is in agreement with the other study [29]. This may be due to increasing rate of generation and accumulation of ROS during the progression of breast cancer. Serum activities of CAT and GPX were similar between the breast cancer patients and controls which corroborated with previous study [26].

Cancer therapy appeared to be an important factor affecting antioxidant enzymes activities in breast cancer. The decreased serum activities of SOD, CAT and GPX observed in the current study agreed with the report of previous researchers [32,33] who reported lower SOD, CAT and GPX in breast cancer patients on chemotherapy. Cytotoxic drugs have been known to produce highly reactive free radicals (ROS) during chemotherapy treatment of cancer [33]. This explained the reason behind the decreased serum activities of antioxidant enzymes as they try to counteract the effects of these ROS in breast cancer patients that have started treatment for the cancer. The result of the present study also demonstrated an increase serum MDA in breast cancer patients as compared with controls. Oxidative stress resulting from enhanced lipid peroxidation in the tissue of patients with breast cancer as was demonstrated in the present study has been reported by other studies [34,35]. This may be the result of an imbalance between the antioxidant defence system and oxidant status, in favour of the oxidants that promoted the production of MDA.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The result confirmed the role of oxidative stress in breast cancer. Serum activities of SOD decrease as the breast cancer progresses from stage I to IV. This study also provides evidence that breast cancer patients undergoing therapy have lower serum activities of SOD, CAT and GPX compared to those not yet on therapy. Therefore levels of these antioxidants should be monitored periodically in breast cancer patients and antioxidant micronutrients supplementation along side with chemotherapy or radiotherapy is suggested. Further studies with more oxidative stress-related analytes are needed to explore the various mechanisms involved in breast tumorigenesis.
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