The Role of Properdin in Killing of Non-Pathogenic Leptospira biflexa
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Properdin (P) is a positive regulatory protein that stabilizes the C3 convertase and C5 convertase of the complement alternative pathway (AP). Several studies have suggested that properdin can bind directly to the surface of certain pathogens regardless of the presence of C3bBb. Saprophytic Leptospira are susceptible to complement-mediated killing, but the interaction of properdin with Leptospira spp. has not been evaluated so far. In this work, we demonstrate that properdin present in normal human serum, purified properdin, as well as properdin oligomers P2, P3, and P4, interact with Leptospira. Properdin can bind directly to the bacterial surface even in the absence of C3b. In line with our previous findings, AP activation was shown to be important for killing non-pathogenic L. biflexa, and properdin plays a key role in this process since this microorganism survives in P-depleted human serum and the addition of purified properdin to P-depleted human serum decreases the number of viable leptospires. A panel of pathogenic L. interrogans recombinant proteins was used to identify putative properdin targets. Lsa30, an outer membrane protein from L. interrogans, binds to unfractionated properdin and to a lesser extent to P2-P4 properdin oligomers. In conclusion, properdin plays an important role in limiting bacterial proliferation of non-pathogenic Leptospira species. Once bound to the leptospiral surface, this positive complement regulatory protein of the AP contributes to the formation of the C3 convertase on the leptospire surface even in the absence of prior addition of C3b.
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INTRODUCTION

Spirochetes of the genus Leptospira may cause leptospirosis, a zoonosis of worldwide distribution. The Leptospira genus includes pathogenic and saprophytic species, which are classified into more than 300 serovars (1). Pathogenic leptospires have evolved virulence strategies to successfully colonize a variety of hosts, but the mechanisms of pathogenesis in leptospirosis are still poorly defined. While progress has been made in this field, gaps remain in our understanding of how Leptospira causes disease.
One of the factors that contribute to a successful infection by spirochetes is their ability to escape the natural defense mechanisms of the human host by circumventing complement-mediated killing. Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. control complement activation on their surfaces or in the surrounding microenvironment by i) hijacking soluble regulatory proteins from the host; ii) cleaving complement molecules of the alternative (AP), the lectin and the classical pathways through the secretion of proteases such as thermolysins; or, iii) the acquisition of host proteases such as plasminogen [reviewed in (2)]. In contrast, saprophyte *Leptospira* spp. are devoid of complement evasion strategies and so are highly susceptible to the bactericidal activity of human serum (2–4).

A number of surface-exposed proteins have been shown to contribute to complement immune evasion by pathogenic *Leptospira*. By binding soluble complement regulatory proteins that avoid complement activation (negative regulators) such as Factor H, C4b binding protein, and vitronectin, these bacterial proteins potentially down-regulate all three complement pathways as well as the terminal step of this cascade (3–5). While the interaction of these complement regulatory proteins with different *Leptospira* species has been well explored over the last years, binding of properdin, the only positive regulator of the complement cascade, to the surface of saprophyte and pathogenic *Leptospira* has never been evaluated.

Human properdin is a 53 kDa plasma glycoprotein composed of seven tandem repeats called thrombospondin type 1 modules (6, 7). In the circulation, identical subunits display a head to tail arrangement to form dimers, trimers and tetramers in a fixed ratio, the trimers being the most abundant form (8, 9). Properdin is synthesized primarily by neutrophils, dendritic cells, monocytes, macrophages and T cells [reviewed in (10)], and its serum concentration is approximately 5–25 μg/ml (10, 11). A number of important functions have been ascribed to properdin [reviewed in (12)]. The first studies assessing the role of this complement regulator date back to the 1950s when Pillemer and [reviewed in (12)]. The number of important functions have been ascribed to properdin (13), late

Given the importance of the AP in eliminating non-pathogenic *Leptospira*, we addressed the following questions in the present work: i) do pathogenic and non-pathogenic leptospiral strains interact differentially with properdin? and ii) does properdin bind directly to the bacterial surface or is previous binding of C3b required?

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Leptospira Strains

Saprophytic *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I (non-pathogenic), *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona strain Pomona, (pathogenic, culture-attenuated), and *L. interrogans* serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm (pathogenic, virulent), were provided by the Laboratory of Bacterial Zoonoses at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, University of São Paulo. *Leptospires* were cultured at 29°C for 7 days under aerobic conditions in EMJH (Difco-USA) liquid medium supplemented with 10% *Leptospira* enrichment EMJH (BD-USA) or with 10% pre-enriched inactivated rabbit serum, L-asparagin (0.015%), sodium pyruvate (0.001%), calcium chloride (0.001%), magnesium chloride (0.001%), and peptone (0.03%). Attenuation of the strain Pomona was achieved by successive passages in culture medium and virulence of the strain Fromm was maintained by successive passages in hamsters.

#### Leptospira Survival in the Serum

*L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I (2–3 x 10^9^ bacteria/ml) cultured in EMJH medium supplemented with bovine serum albumin (BSA) was incubated at 37°C for 2 h with 40% normal human serum (NHS), heat-inactivated NHS (HI-NHS) obtained after incubation of NHS at 56°C for 30 min; properdin-depleted (P-DS) serum (Complement Technology, Inc.) or P-DS supplemented with 5 μg, 10 μg, or 25 μg of commercial purified human properdin (Complement Technology, Inc.) to a final volume of 100 μl. After incubation, *Leptospira* survival was estimated by counting the number of viable bacteria in Petroff-Hauiser’s chamber using dark field microscopy, according to (4). The experiments were performed in triplicate. The survival in the presence of each serum was compared to that observed in HI-NHS (100%).

#### Interaction of Leptospira With Properdin

 Cultures of *L. interrogans* serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm, *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I, and *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona strain Pomona were washed twice with VBS⁺⁺ (1.46 mM sodium barbiturate; 2.5 mM 5,5′dithiyl barbituric acid; 144 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 containing 0.83 mM MgCl2 and 0.25 mM CaCl2). Each suspension containing 5 x 10^8* Leptospira/ml was incubated with 0, 10, 25, and 50% NHS containing 10 mM EDTA (NHS-EDTA) or equivalent amounts of purified properdin (0, 2.5, 6.2, and 12.5 μg). *Leptospira* samples were also incubated with 40% C3-depleted human serum (C3-DS) (Complement Technology, Inc.) or with NHS-EDTA for 2 h at 37°C, with stirring, in a final volume of 500 μl. In parallel, *Leptospira* suspensions were
incubated with 5 µg of human properdin (Complement Technology, Inc.) or 10 µg of human C3b (Complement Technology, Inc.) for 1 h at 37°C with stirring. After three washes with VBS++, 10 µg of C3b was added to the bacterial cultures that had been pre-incubated with properdin, and 5 µg of properdin was added to those that had been pre-incubated with C3b. The bacterial suspensions were then washed five times with VBS++ and centrifuged at 3,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The precipitates were resuspended in 0.1 M NaHCO3 pH 9 and 100 µl of each sample were immobilized in duplicate on ELISA plate wells for 16 h at 4°C. Next, the wells were washed twice with PBS containing Tween 0.05% (PBS-T) and blocked with 3% BSA in PBS for 2 h at 37°C. The wells were washed three times with PBS-T. Properdin or C3b bound to the bacterial surface were detected with goat anti-properdin (1:2,000) or goat anti-C3 (1:5,000) (both antibodies were purchased from Complement Technologies, Inc.) diluted in PBS. After incubation for 1 h at 37°C and three washes with PBS-T, peroxidase-conjugated anti-goat IgG, diluted 1:10,000 in PBS, was added for 1 h at 37°C. After three washes the reactions were developed by adding the substrate o-Phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD; 0.04%) diluted in citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) and 0.01% H2O2. The plates were protected from light for 5 to 10 min and the reaction was quenched by the addition of 50 µl of 4 N H2SO4. The absorbance was read at 492 nm.

Interaction of Properdin Oligomers With Leptospira Strains and With Leptospiral Outer Membrane Proteins

We assessed binding of pure properdin oligomers, namely high molecular weight aggregates not present in serum (Pn), properdin dimer (P2), trimer (P3), and tetramer (P4) to pathogenic and non-pathogenic leptospires as well as to leptospiral membrane proteins. L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc 1 (non-pathogenic), L. interrogans serovar Pomona strain Pomona (culture attenuated), and L. interrogans serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm (virulent) suspensions containing 1 x 108 leptospires were incubated with 5 µg/ml of commercial properdin for 1 h at 37°C with stirring. The samples were washed three times with PBS and the pellets were incubated with 10% P-DS, NHS, HI-NHS diluted in AP-CFTD (VBS buffer containing 7 mM MgCl2, 10 mM EGTA, pH 7.2) buffer at 37°C for 30 min with stirring. After five washes, polyclonal goat anti-Factor B at a 1: 2,000 dilution (Complement Technology, Inc.) in PBS-T containing 1% BSA was added and incubation proceeded for 1 h at 37°C. After three washes with PBS, anti-goat IgG (KPL) diluted 1: 5,000 in PBS-T containing 1% BSA was added to the bacteria and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Subsequently, three washes were performed, and the reaction was developed with o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) substrate (0.04%) diluted in citrate-phosphate buffer (pH 5.0) and 0.01% H2O2. After 5 min the reaction was stopped with 50 µl of 4N H2SO4, the samples were transferred to a 96-well plate and the absorbance read at 492 nm.

Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using ANOVA one-way test, using Statgraphics Centurion XVI software. Except when indicated, the variance homogeneity was assessed using Cochran test and when necessary, data logarithmic transformation was used.

RESULTS

Properdin Contributes to Leptospira biflexa Killing in the Serum

Considering that the AP is important for the killing of the non-pathogenic L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc 1 (3, 4, 18, 19), we evaluated survival of this strain in P-DS. Under these conditions, 70% of the bacteria survived. When purified properdin (5–25 µg/ml) was added to P-DS, bacterial survival decreased significantly as properdin concentration increased because of stabilization of
the C3 convertase (C3bBb) (Figure 1). These results further confirm that *L. biflexa* activates the AP, and bacterial elimination depends on the presence of properdin.

**Binding of Properdin to *Leptospira* spp.**

Next, we investigated if three different species of *Leptospira* including virulent (*L. interrogans* serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm), culture-attenuated (*L. interrogans* serovar Pomona strain Pomona) and non-pathogenic (*L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I) strains, would bind to properdin. To assess this interaction, bacterial cultures were washed and incubated with purified properdin or NHS-EDTA as a source of properdin, and binding was measured by ELISA. Our data shows that properdin, either purified or present in NHS-EDTA, interacts dose-dependently with all *Leptospira* strains tested, regardless of their virulence status (Figures 2A–B). Purified properdin binding to leptospires was more pronounced compared to serum properdin binding suggesting a certain degree of competition between properdin and other serum molecules. Another possibility to explain this result would be the presence of inhibitors that may interfere with the properdin binding capacity on the surface of bacteria (Figure 2B). Attenuated *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona tend to bind less to purified properdin than *L. interrogans* serovar Pomona and non-pathogenic *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I. However, this was not statistically significant.

***Leptospira* spp. Binds to Purified Properdin Independently of C3b**

To investigate if properdin could bind directly to *Leptospira* strains even in the absence of C3b, we incubated *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I, *L. interrogans* serovar Patoc strain Pomona, and *L. interrogans* serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm with NHS-EDTA or C3-DS. Bound-properdin was detected by ELISA using anti-human properdin. As indicated in Figure 3A, all three *Leptospira* strains bound to serum properdin in relatively low amounts. When purified properdin was used a more pronounced interaction was observed, and properdin binding was observed in the presence or absence of C3b (Figures 3B, C). It is worth to mention that purified C3b is able to bind to the *Leptospira* membrane (20, 21).

These data suggest that properdin can bind directly to yet unknown leptospiral ligands regardless of the presence of C3b, which allows us to suspect that this complement regulatory protein may interact with pathogen molecular patterns and directly trigger AP activation, in addition to its known ability to act as a C3 convertase stabilizing molecule.
tested. Virulent forms of properdin interact with the three strains of commercial puriﬁbacterial binding to each oligomer to that observed with oligomers (P2, P3, P4, and Pn) was investigated by comparing mean ( ± SD) of three independent experiments each one performed in triplicate. Data are expressed as the (P + C3b) or PBS. Baseline values obtained without any addition of P or C3b were subtracted respectively for each type of leptospire. C3b. The binding of properdin (P) on Leptospira strains were incubated with 40% normal human serum-treated with EDTA (NHS-EDTA) or with C3 depleted serum (C3-DS). Washed, and then with C3b (C3b+P) or PBS. Anti-human C3 anti-human Factor B antibody. As expected, C3 convertase formation on non-pathogenic L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc I treated with P-DS was limited and not significantly different when in the presence of HI-NHS (negative control) (Figure 6). However, when purified properdin was added to P-DS, a significant increase in the formation of C3bBb was observed, albeit at lower levels than that observed with NHS. With regard to the virulent L. interrogans serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm, no signiﬁcant C3bBb formation was observed. This is probably because pathogenic leptospires bind quite well to Factor H and are able to evade complement activation (3, 5, 19).

**Interaction of Properdin With Leptospira OMPs**

A panel of recombinant proteins from pathogenic L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni 10A was used in an attempt to identify possible bacterial candidates for binding to properdin (Table 1). Regrettably, recombinant proteins from L. biflexa were not available. Among the proteins tested, Lsa30 (former LIC11087) was the only one which signiﬁcantly bound to unfraccionated commercial puriﬁed properdin (Figure 5A) as well as to P2, P3, P4, and Pn oligomers (Figure 5B). Binding of Lsa30 to Pn and to commercial puriﬁed properdin is more pronounced and signiﬁcantly different from its binding to P2, P3 and P4.

**Formation of C3 Convertase on the L. biflexa Surface Requires the Presence of Properdin**

To assess if the formation of the C3 convertase (C3bBb) was stabilized by properdin (PC3bBb) on the leptospiral surface, L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc I and L. interrogans serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm were incubated under different serum conditions and the C3 convertase formation was detected by ELISA using anti-human Factor B antibody. As expected, C3 convertase formation on non-pathogenic L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc I treated with P-DS was limited and not significantly different when in the presence of HI-NHS (negative control) (Figure 6). However, when purified properdin was added to P-DS, a significant increase in the formation of C3bBb was observed, albeit at lower levels than that observed with NHS. With regard to the virulent L. interrogans serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm, no signiﬁcant C3bBb formation was observed. This is probably because pathogenic leptospires bind quite well to Factor H and are able to evade complement activation (3, 5, 19).

**DISCUSSION**

The positive regulatory protein properdin stabilizes the C3 convertase and C5 convertase formed by the AP [(15, 16), and reviewed in (10)]. Its relevance is even more evident in immunodeﬁcient patients that lack properdin since they are more susceptible to repeated infections caused by *Neisseria meningitidis* (22) and often results in pneumonia and otitis media (23, 24).

Since Pillemer’s early work in 1954 (13), it is known that properdin can recognize pathogenic targets and thus activates the complement system (14). We are unaware of studies in the literature that decipher the role of properdin during infection with leptospires. The relevance of properdin in the elimination of non-pathogenic leptospires was conﬁrmed in this study. As
previously described (3, 4), *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc strain Patoc I is rapidly lysed in the presence of NHS. Here we have shown that approximately 70% of the cells of strain Patoc I survived in P-DS. Recently, we have demonstrated that both the Alternative and Lectin Pathways contribute to the killing of *L. biflexa* serovar *Patoc* (19). This could explain why, even in the absence of PC3bBb, a residual killing activity is still observed in the presence of P-DS. As purified properdin was added (up to physiological levels) to this depleted serum, the complement-mediated bactericidal activity was restored in a dose-dependent manner, confirming the importance of this regulatory protein for the control of saprophytic *Leptospira* infection. On the other hand, pathogenic *Leptospira* are more resistant to complement activation since they exhibit several immune evasion mechanisms [reviewed in (25)].

Properdin binds with similar affinity to non-pathogenic leptospires and as well to virulent or attenuated pathogenic species. In addition, purified properdin binds more efficiently to these bacteria compared to human serum properdin possibly because of competition with other serum molecules that could target the same interaction sites on the *Leptospira* surface.

Interestingly, properdin can bind directly to the surface of *Leptospira*, even in the absence of C3b fragments, suggesting that properdin may interact directly with molecular patterns present on the surface of this spirochete. Our results reinforce the existence of two models (direct and indirect) of properdin to participate in the activation of the AP, since properdin can bind to *Leptospira* before and after generation of activated C3b fragments. This observation is in agreement with previous results published by various investigators that demonstrated that properdin can directly bind to *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, apoptotic and necrotic cells, rabbit erythrocytes, and zymosan particles (26–31). Thus, we suggest that properdin may participate in the recognition of certain microorganism patterns through surface receptors not yet identified. However, this hypothesis is controversial and not completely endorsed by the work of Agarwal and colleagues (32) who did not observe direct binding to *Neisseria* when using physiological forms of properdin. In addition, the binding of physiological forms of properdin to erythrocytes or zymosan was not observed (27). No significant binding of properdin to human endothelial cells (HUVECs), zymosan from *Saccharomyces*
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Martinez et al. Properdin binding to compstatin Cp40 (which inhibits C3 cleavage) or C3-DS (33). Most abundant forms of circulating properdin, reduced binding when compared to the negative control. Since P2 and P3 are the

and these aggregates could (Pn) aggregates that are absent under physiological conditions, process there is formation of high molecular weight properdin and Pn form. We emphasize that the binding of P2, P3, and P4 oligomers, as well as to unfractionated properdin and its Pn form. However, pathogenic leptospires interacted less strongly with P2, P3, and P4 oligomers than with unfractionated properdin and its Pn form. We emphasize that the binding of P2 and P3 to pathogenic leptospires was not significantly different when compared to the negative control. Since P2 and P3 are the most abundant forms of circulating properdin, reduced binding of these two forms to pathogenic L. interrogans would diminish AP activation on the surface of these bacteria, thus allowing them to evade complement-mediated host defense.

The kidneys are one of the main target organs for leptospiral colonization, and it has been shown that properdin binds to renal proximal tubule HK-2 cells (3–4). Thus, we speculate that properdin binding to pathogenic leptospires and to renal cells could be in some extent important for invasion and subsequent dissemination through contaminated urine. This hypothesis remains to be investigated.

As we have been focusing our research efforts on characterizing Leptospira virulence factors over the last years, we had a panel of L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni 10A recombinant proteins at our disposal. Their possible interaction with properdin was then evaluated. Only Lsa30, a ~32 kDa membrane protein, also known as LIC11087, bound to properdin.

Lsa30 interacted with properdin P2, P3, and P4 as well as to the Pn form. However, the Lsa30 interaction with properdin oligomers P2, P3, and P4 was significantly lower when compared to its interaction with properdin in unfractionated form and Pn. These results are consistent with those observed for pathogenic leptospires, which did not bind significantly to fractionated properdin forms.

Once we had confirmed a direct interaction of properdin with different leptospiral strains, we evaluated whether the properdin bound on the spirochete surface would be able to promote activation of the AP. Pathogenic leptospires showed little or no activation of the AP in the presence of properdin on its surface. This effect can be attributed to: i) pathogenic leptospires and Lsa30 do not bind consistently to serum properdin oligomers and, ii) pathogenic bacteria interact with Factor H, and negatively regulate complement activation on their surface (3–5).

cerevisae and Escherichia coli was detected in the presence of compstatin Cp40 (which inhibits C3 cleavage) or C3-DS (33). Previous studies emphasized the importance of using isolated forms of properdin present in serum, since after the purification process there is formation of high molecular weight properdin (Pn) aggregates that are absent under physiological conditions, and these aggregates could per se induce complement activation in the fluid phase (26, 27). In this study, we demonstrate that non-pathogenic and pathogenic leptospires can bind to all properdin oligomers, as well as to unfractionated properdin and Pn form. However, pathogenic leptospires interacted less strongly with P2, P3, and P4 oligomers than with unfractionated properdin and its Pn form. We emphasize that the binding of P2 and P3 to pathogenic leptospires was not significantly different when compared to the negative control. Since P2 and P3 are the most abundant forms of circulating properdin, reduced binding

FIGURE 5 Interaction of outer membrane proteins of Leptospira with properdin. (A) Recombinant proteins of pathogenic L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni 10A (listed in Table 1) were immobilized on ELISA plate wells and incubated with 0.5 µg of unfractionated properdin (P). Binding was assessed by ELISA using polyclonal anti-human properdin. (B) Recombinant Lsa30 from pathogenic L. interrogans was immobilized and incubated with 0.5 µg of properdin (P), P2, P3, or P4. Binding was assessed as described above in (A). These data represent the average (±SD) of three independent experiments, after subtracting the basal values obtained with PBS. Each experiment was performed in triplicate, using two independent preparations of P2, P3, P4, and Pn. Statistically significant differences (ANOVA one-way test) are indicated. *p ≤ 0.05, confidence interval of 95%.

FIGURE 6 Properdin is required for AP activation on the surface of L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc I. Non-pathogenic L. biflexa serovar Patoc strain Patoc I or pathogenic L. interrogans serovar Kennewicki strain Fromm were incubated with properdin-depleted human serum (P-DS), normal human serum (NHS), or heat-inactivated NHS (HI-NHS). Where indicated, leptospires were previously treated with 5 µg of purified properdin (P) before incubating with P-DS [(P + (P-DS)]. The presence of the C3 convertase was evaluated by ELISA using polyclonal anti-Factor B Data are expressed as the mean (±SD) of three independent experiments each one performed in triplicate. Statistically significant differences (ANOVA one-way test) are indicated. *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.001, confidence interval of 95%.

Once we had confirmed a direct interaction of properdin with different leptospiral strains, we evaluated whether the properdin bound on the spirochete surface would be able to promote activation of the AP. Pathogenic leptospires showed little or no activation of the AP in the presence of properdin on its surface. This effect can be attributed to: i) pathogenic leptospires and Lsa30 do not bind consistently to serum properdin oligomers and, ii) pathogenic bacteria interact with Factor H, and negatively regulate complement activation on their surface (3–5).
In response to host defense mechanisms, pathogenic microorganisms express various virulence mechanisms. *Leptospira* evasion mechanisms that directly inhibit properdin function are not yet known. However, a new mechanism was described by Tsao et al. (35) who demonstrate that exotoxin B (SPE B), a pyrogenic streptococcal cysteine protease, was able to degrade properdin, impairing the activation of the AP. As a consequence, opsonophagocytosis by neutrophils was affected, preventing the death of bacteria by neutrophils. This protease also contributes to the degradation of fibronecin, vitronectin and fibrinogen, and helps the pathogen to evade host defenses and increase its replication. Furthermore, S20NS protein, a tick salivary protein, interacts with properdin and, upon binding, this positive regulator dissociates from C3 convertase, inhibiting complete activation of the AP (36). Evasion of complement by pathogenic leptospires by secretion of proteases that cleave important complement components (C3, C3b, iC3b, C2, and C4) was also observed by our group (37). We evaluated whether such proteases would be able to cleave properdin, and we observed that properdin degradation only occurred under conditions of low specificity with an unsuitable substrate enzyme ratio (data not shown).

Neutrophils are phagocytes rapidly attracted in large numbers to infection sites and are considered an important source of properdin release after inflammatory stimuli such as LPS, C5a and inflammatory cytokines (28, 38). We wondered if neutrophils could internalize more leptospires when coated with properdin, effectively contributing to their elimination at the site of infection. Some studies suggest that the evasion by pathogenic bacteria of the human macrophage cell line (THP-1) from the phagolysosome may be another mechanism presented by these spirochetes to evade innate immunity and later colonize target organs of the cell host (39).

The results presented here contribute to our understanding of the role of properdin in direct interactions with leptospires. Properdin interacts directly or indirectly with pathogenic, attenuated and non-pathogenic leptospires. However, the most abundant forms of properdin present in serum (P2 and P3) do not bind pathogenic leptospires significantly. Thus, we suggest that properdin, besides being a positive regulator of the C3 convertase of the AP, could also participate in the recognition of molecular patterns of *Leptospira* and promote the activation of the complement by the AP. Studies aimed at identifying whether properdin can bind directly to leptospires in vivo and play a significant role in infectivity are warranted.
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