Preparation of ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ adsorbent using *Elaeagnus Angustifolia* L. leaf extract and its evaluation for ciprofloxacin removal from aqueous solutions: an optimization study
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Abstract

Especially, most papers have reported an increase in antibiotic resistance (AR) bacterial infections during the COVID-19 pandemic. Because of the outbreak of the SARS-CoV-2, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) should be controlled and reduced. Researchers have reported that the adsorption technique is an sufficient procedure for separating drugs such as antibiotics from aqueous solutions. The prepared of ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ nanocomposite using *Elaeagnus Angustifolia* L. leaf extract was successfully obtained using green route. The synthesized nanocomposite was interacted with ciprofloxacin hydrochloride (CPF) to aim at eliminating the antibiotic from aqueous solutions. The incorporation of *Elaeagnus Angustifolia* leaf extract onto ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ proved a sustainable chemistry study. Hence, this study indicated that green nanoparticles include neither the use of hazardous chemicals nor toxic chemicals. FTIR, XRD, and SEM-EDX analyses were applied to give information about the structural properties of the green nanocomposite. Box-Behnken design (BBD) was executed by response surface methodology (RSM) to gain optimal conditions. The effect of pH, initial concentration of CPF, and nanocomposite dose on CPF-nanocomposite interaction was examined. The experimental findings of adsorption study revealed that the optimal adsorption capacity of CPF onto ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ was found as 125.29 mg g$^{-1}$ under optimal conditions (adsorbent dose: 3.00 mg, pH value of solution: 9.88, initial concentration CPF: 49.63 mg L$^{-1}$).
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, antibiotic resistance (AR) is a severe topic that affects global health. Antibiotics are designed to defeat bacteria and microorganisms. These antibiotics, taken uncontrollably and unconsciously, cause the bacteria and microorganisms to gain resistance and create bacterial resistance. There are many reasons that accelerate the spread of AR. AR arises from discharging antibiotics into agricultural land, drinking water and sewage [1].

Ciprofloxacin (CPF), a second-generation fluoroquinolone, has broad-spectrum antibiotic owing to its widespread uses in treatment for both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria [2]. It can be used therapeutically for the lower respiratory tract, urinary tract, especially bladder infection, bone infection such as osteomyelitis, intra-abdominal infection urinary tract, skin-related infections, neutropenic patients [3].

One of the solution ways against antibiotic resistance is the process of removing antibiotics from aqueous solutions. Many techniques have been progressed to eliminate antibiotics from the aqueous phase. These are membrane technology [4], Fenton oxidation [5], photocatalytic degradation [6], electrocoagulation [7] and adsorption [8]. Among these techniques, adsorption stands out because it is the easiest, cheapest and most applicable process.

Zinc oxide (ZnO) has drawn great attention owing to its nature such as low cost, non-toxic, thermal stability [9]. Nagpal and Kakkar (2019) underlined in their review that ZnO NPs are used in wastewater treatment due to the reasons aforementioned above [10]. Nowadays, green chemistry processes in the preparation of nanoparticles are becoming more and more popular thanks to environmentally benign
manufacturing. Especially, the synthesis of nanoparticles via plant extracts makes them more biocompatible [11]. Published papers related to ZnO nanoparticles exemplified the promising application of the green synthesis method [12–14]. BaTiO$_3$ is a common photocatalyst owing to the semiconductor material. When the studies in the literature were examined, it was seen that BaTiO$_3$ was scarcely used in the adsorption process. Kumari et al. (2015) prepared BaTiO$_3$-mesoporous silica nanocomposite for the extract of Cr$^{6+}$ from water [15]. Therefore, ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ has been used for the first time in CPF adsorption.

Phytochemicals are compounds such as polyphenols, phenolic acids, and flavonoids. They contribute significantly in the reduction of metallic ions [16]. Elaeagnus Angustifolia known as the Russian olive has numerous phytochemicals such as flavonoids, polyphenols, phenolic acids and vitamins [17]. It is used for the treatment of ulcer, sore throat, asthma treatment, and bronchial and lung diseases [18, 19] due to its antioxidant, anti-convulsant, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory properties [17].

Response surface methodology (RSM) is widely chosen to figure out the impacts of the inputs on outcomes. RSM is a helpful statistical technique. It indicates how the responses change in the selected input range. Besides, it helps to optimize the response [20].

In this context, the ultrasound-assisted extraction method was preferred to prepare *Elaeagnus Angustifolia* L. leaf extract. The prepared ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ with the help of *Elaeagnus Angustifolia* L. leaf extract was used for the elimination of CPF from aqueous solutions. The secondary aim was to construct adsorption conditions containing three factors such as pH, adsorption dose, and initial concentration of CPF using RSM integrated into Box-Behnken design (BBD). Therefore, RSM was predicted to be the most suitable optimal CPF adsorption conditions, which gave the highest adsorption capacity onto ZnO/BaTiO$_3$. Both variance analysis (ANOVA) and Pareto chart were applied in order to show the impacts of inputs on the outputs. Besides, the changes of the adsorbent surface after adsorption were described using by ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. Hence, the surface morphology of the adsorbent and adsorption mechanism were illuminated.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Materials

ZnO (99.99 %, 18 nm) and BaTiO$_3$ (99.95 %, 90 nm, cubic) were purchased from Nanografi (ODTU Teknopark, Turkey).

| Parameters                              | Levels |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|
| pH                                      | 3 7 11 |
| Adsorbent Dose (mg)                     | 3 6 9  |
| Initial CPF concentration (mgL$^{-1}$)  | 20 35 50 |
Elaeagnus Angustifolia leaves were obtained from İzmir, Turkey in 2020.

2.2 Extraction of Elaeagnus Angustifolia leaves and synthesis of ZnO/BaTiO₃

First, Elaeagnus Angustifolia leaves were ground by using a grinder. Then, a homogenizer (Bandelin, Sonoplus HD 2200.2) with 13 nm titanium probe was used to extract Elaeagnus Angustifolia leaf. Briefly, 30 mL of distilled water was poured into 0.75 g of grinding leaves. The sonicator was operated with a 70% amplitude (50% nominal) during 10 min. Then, the extracts were filtered using RC filter, 20 μm. 20 mL of extracts were added to the beaker and BaTiO₃ by taking 50 % of the weight of ZnO and ZnO were added. The solution was mixed by magnetic stirrer at a speed of 400 rpm. Absolute ethanol was used as the washing solution. After the nanoparticle was washed three times and was dried at 60 °C in a vacuum dryer until it dries. The dried material was calcinated at 550 °C during 5 h at a heating rate of 10 °C. Figure 1 represented the preparation of ZnO/BaTiO₃ using Elaeagnus Angustifolia leaf extract as a solvent.

2.3 Characterization of the prepared ZnO/BaTiO₃

Spectrum Two FT-IR Spectrometer (FTIR) (Perkin Elmer) was applied to describe the functional groups of the prepared ZnO/BaTiO₃ nanocomposite. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive X-ray analysis (XL-30 SFEG, Philips, Eindhoven, Holland) was performed to illuminate the surface analysis of the samples. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were done using (Bruker D8 Advance device) via Cu Kα radiation over a 2θ range from 2-90° with the scanning rate of 3° min⁻¹.

2.4 Adsorption experiments and statistical analysis

The design of adsorption runs were constructed using Design Expert 12 software (Trial version 12, Stat-Ease, USA). The desired adsorbent dose was added to Erlenmeyer and the specified initial antibiotic solution was poured into the Erlenmeyer. Then, shaker was run at the speed of 100 rpm for two hours. Two hours later, the initial and final solution were read at 275 nm by using a UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Pekin Elmer, Lambda 365, USA). The adsorption capacity was calculated in Eq. (1) as follows:
\[
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_f)xV}{m}
\]  

(1)

In Eq. (1), \(q_e\) is the adsorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)), \(C_0\) is the initial CPF concentration in solution (mgL\(^{-1}\)), \(C_f\) is the final concentration in 2 h later (mgL\(^{-1}\)), \(m\) is the mass of ZnO/BaTiO\(_3\) (g), and \(V\) is the solution volume (L).

Response surface methodology (RSM) was preferred in order to optimize the adsorption process conditions. RSM suggested a mathematical model indicating the process conditions. By using this mathematical equation in coded factor in Eq. (2).

\[
Y = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i<j} \alpha_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon
\]  

(2)

where \(\alpha_0\) is the intercept, \(\alpha_i\) is a single factor, \(\alpha_{ij}\) is the interaction of factors and \(\varepsilon\) is a pure error.

The evaluation of the percentage effect (P \%) of each variable on the adsorption capacity could be drawn Pareto chart by following Eq. (3) [21].

\[
P(\%) = \left( \frac{\alpha_i^2}{\sum \alpha_i^2} \right) \times 100 \quad (i \neq 0)
\]  

(3)

In Eq. (3), \(\alpha_i\) is the coefficient of variables in Eq (2) in accordance with coded factor, \(P_i\) is the percentage of each variable, respectively.

In order to assess the impacts of the inputs (pH, CPF initial concentration and adsorbent dose) on the response (adsorption capacity), BBD design was selected. Box and Behnken devised Box-Behnken design in 1960 [22] BBD is an alternative method instead of a full factorial design serving labor efficiency with fewer essential experiments [23]. Herein, 3 factors with three levels of Box-Behnken design were applied to
maximize the response (adsorption capacity) under the optimal conditions such as pH (A), adsorbent dose (B), and CPF initial concentration (C). Table 1 represented the coded factors.

### 3 Results and discussions

#### 3.1 Characterization of ZnO, BaTiO₃, and ZnO/BaTiO₃

FTIR analysis of ZnO, BaTiO₃ and ZnO/BaTiO₃ was done using Perkin Elmer Spectrum Two IR in Fig. 2. In FTIR spectrum of BaTiO₃, a peak appeared at 511 cm⁻¹ corresponding to a common Ti-O absorption into BaTiO₃ [24]. Additionally, a small peak at 3500 cm⁻¹ was assigned to asymmetric and symmetric -OH bond. In ZnO FTIR’s spectrum depicted that a small peak at 687 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the Zn-O stretching bond [25]. FTIR spectrum of the synthesis ZnO/BaTiO₃ showed that the peak at around 498 cm⁻¹ was the characteristic bond of Ti-O vibration [26]. The other small intensity peak at about 1400 cm⁻¹ was related to the crystalline Ba-Ti-O vibration [27]. The small (C = O)p (p :pyridone) vibrations of CPF was seen at 1630 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of CPF-adsorbed ZnO/BaTiO₃ [28]. The other small peak emerged at 1260 cm⁻¹ owing to the vibration of C–F bond of CPF [28]. This result showed that electrostatic interactions occurs between raw CPF and ZnO/BaTiO₃. Briefly, it was seen that there is a strong overlapping between the spectrum of CPF-adsorbed ZnO/BaTiO₃ and the spectrum of pure ZnO/BaTiO₃.

| Element | Weight % | Atomic Net Int. | Net Int. | Error |
|---------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------|
| O K     | 15.18    | 50.88           | 704.3    | 0.01  |
| BaL     | 62.87    | 24.55           | 1537.68  | 0.01  |
| TiK     | 21.94    | 24.57           | 1533.36  | 0.01  |

Fig. 4 (continued)
XRD pattern of BaTiO$_3$ was seen in Fig. 3. The peaks at 2$\theta$ = 22.27, 31.66, 39.02, 45.35, 51.03, 56.98, and 69.12$^\circ$ were assigned to (1 0 0), (1 1 0), (1 1 1), (2 0 0), (2 1 0), (2 1 1), (2 2 0), (3 0 0) support the perovskite phase of barium titanate [29]. The peaks at 2$\theta$ = 31.67, 34.58, 36.02, 47.68, 56.68, 62.91, 68.02, 69.12 indexes as (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112) of ZnO revealing the wurtzite structure of nanoparticles (Zincite, JCPDS 5-0664) [30]. The peaks related to BaTiO$_3$ and ZnO were seen in the XRD pattern of ZnO/BaTiO$_3$.

SEM image of pure BaTiO$_3$ nanoparticle showed quasi-spherical shape in Fig. 4(b). Besides, the surface of BaTiO$_3$ had less agglomerated. The agglomeration form of ZnO appeared in Fig. 4(a). In addition, the spherical shape of ZnO nanoparticles occurred. Both the spherical shape of ZnO and the quasi-spherical shape of BaTiO$_3$ belonging to the synthesized ZnO/BaTiO$_3$ were seen in Fig. 4(c). It might be claimed that a new surface occurred. A well-synthesized form of the BaTiO$_3$ and ZnO was observed from the SEM-EDX result.

### 3.2 Response surface design with Box-Behnken design

Seventeen runs with five replicates at center points were shown in Table 2. Through the ANOVA analysis (Table 3)
with the F and p-value indicates which parameter is more crucial than the others. The most effective variable was seen as pH (F-value: 229.19 and p-value<0.0001). It can be sorted by other effective parameters as follows; dose of nanoparticle (B), CPF initial concentration (C), and the squared dose of nanoparticle (B^2). In addition to variance analysis, Pareto chart serves as a better understand which parameter is more significant than the others. Hence, Pareto chart implies the percentage values of factors that are statistically crucial.

Table 2 BBD for CPF adsorption onto ZnO/BaTiO3

| No | pH | Adsorbent dose (mg) | CPF concentration (mgL^-1) | Adsorption capacity (qe:mgg^-1) |
|----|----|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1  | 7  | 6                   | 35                        | 47.84                         |
| 2  | 7  | 6                   | 35                        | 45.34                         |
| 3  | 7  | 6                   | 35                        | 46.52                         |
| 4  | 4  | 6                   | 50                        | 22.1                          |
| 5  | 7  | 9                   | 20                        | 26.23                         |
| 6  | 10 | 3                   | 35                        | 109.21                        |
| 7  | 10 | 9                   | 35                        | 54.54                         |
| 8  | 4  | 3                   | 35                        | 60.02                         |
| 9  | 4  | 9                   | 35                        | 35.38                         |
| 10 | 7  | 3                   | 50                        | 92.06                         |
| 11 | 7  | 6                   | 35                        | 50.81                         |
| 12 | 10 | 6                   | 20                        | 35.24                         |
| 13 | 4  | 6                   | 20                        | 5.18                          |
| 14 | 7  | 6                   | 35                        | 48.62                         |
| 15 | 7  | 9                   | 50                        | 52.84                         |
| 16 | 7  | 3                   | 20                        | 54.09                         |
| 17 | 10 | 6                   | 50                        | 82.27                         |

Table 3 Variance analysis for CPF adsorption onto ZnO/BaTiO3

| Source                      | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | F-value | p-value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|
| Model                       | 10171.25       | 9  | 1130.14     | 82.40   | < 0.0001 significant |
| A-pH                        | 3143.45        | 1  | 3143.45     | 229.19  | < 0.0001 |
| B-adsorbent dose            | 2678.75        | 1  | 2678.75     | 195.31  | < 0.0001 |
| C-CPF initial concentration | 2065.00        | 1  | 2065.00     | 150.56  | < 0.0001 |
| AB                          | 225.45         | 1  | 225.45      | 16.44   | 0.0048  |
| AC                          | 226.65         | 1  | 226.65      | 16.53   | 0.0048  |
| BC                          | 32.26          | 1  | 32.26       | 2.35    | 0.1690  |
| A^2                         | 10.42          | 1  | 10.42       | 0.7596  | 0.4123  |
| B^2                         | 1446.43        | 1  | 1446.43     | 105.46  | < 0.0001 |
| C^2                         | 425.74         | 1  | 425.74      | 31.04   | 0.0008  |
| Residual                    | 96.01          | 7  | 13.72       |         |         |
| Lack of Fit                 | 78.59          | 3  | 26.20       | 6.01    | 0.0579  not significant |
| Pure Error                  | 17.42          | 4  | 4.36        |         |         |
| Cor Total                   | 10267.26       | 16 |             |         |         |

| Std. Dev.                   | 3.70           |    |             | R^2     | 0.9906  |
| Mean                        | 51.08          |    |             | Adjusted R^2 | 0.9786  |
| C.V. %                      | 7.25           |    |             | Predicted R^2 | 0.8749  |
|                             |                |    |             | Adeq Precision | 36.1144 |
The mathematical equation in terms of coded factor was obtained as the following Eq. (4).

\[ qe = 47.82 + 19.82A - 18.3B + 16.07C - 7.51AB \\
+ 7.53AC - 2.84BC - 1.57A^2 + 18.53B^2 - 10.06C^2 \]  (4)

The Pareto graph of the independent factors was drawn with the help of Eqs. (3) and (4). Looking at the Pareto chart in Fig. 5, the most influential factor was seen as A: (25.28%). Then, the other impacted parameters could be listed as the squared adsorbent dose (B^2: 22.09%), adsorbent dose (B: 21.55), CPF initial concentration (C: 16.62%).

The suggested model is well-fitted to the adsorption data because the lack of fit (LOF) is not significant (p-value=0.0579) and this value is also related to pure error containing replicates at center points. The higher $R^2$ value (0.9906) demonstrated a higher regression between the proposed data and empirical results. The obtained higher $R^2$-adj (0.9786) showed a reliable correlation. The difference between $R^2$-adj and $R^2$-pred was calculated as 0.1037. This value implied that the suggested model estimated the outcomes properly.

Generating 3-D surface graphs allow researchers to interpret the relationships between dependent and independent parameters more easily. The desired maximum adsorption capacity was found as 125.29 mg g\(^{-1}\) under optimal conditions such as the adsorbent dose: 3.00 mg, pH value of the solution: 9.88, initial concentration CPF:49.63 mg L\(^{-1}\).

Adsorption studies have revealed that pH of the solution plays an effective factor on adsorption mechanism as a result of the surface charge of the adsorbent is varied with pH [31]. While the pH value of the solution was increasing, the adsorption capacity of CPF onto ZnO/BaTiO\(_3\) was increased. The adsorption capacity was obtained from 57 to 126 mg g\(^{-1}\) from pH 4 to pH 10 (Fig. 6 (a)–(b)). Similar result was recorded by Sun et al. (2016) [32]. In order to explain the higher adsorption
capacity in basic pH, it is necessary to know the pKa values of the CPF antibiotic. CPF has two pKa values, which are pKa1: 5.90 and pKa2: 8.89 owing to the presence of the carboxyl group and amine groups in piperazine ring, respectively [33]. Thus, three different forms such as cationic CPF⁺ (pKa1), zwitterionic CPF± (between the pKa1 and pKa2) and anionic CPF⁻ (pKa2) occurred [34]. Thus, the electrostatic interaction between the anionic adsorbate and cationic charged adsorbent occurred in basic pH.

While varying ZnO/BaTiO₃ dose value from 3 mg to 9 mg, the adsorption capacity was decreased (Fig. 6 (a)–(c)). Obviously, the higher adsorption capacity is obtained by using the lesser adsorbent dose. Besides, increasing the amount of adsorbent brings about both the aggregation of green adsorbent and the improvement of electrostatic repulsive force between green nanoparticles [35]. Thus, CPF molecules are difficult to interact with the adsorbent. Similar data were recorded by Yu et al. (2018) [36] and Li et al. (2017) [37].

Numerous CPF concentrations (20-50 mgL⁻¹) were prepared to assess the impact of initial CPF concentration on the adsorption process. The higher adsorption capacity was gained, when the initial CPF concentration was 50 mgL⁻¹ (Fig. 6 (b)–(c)). This situation is clearly explained that, a high initial concentration of CPF results in higher concentration gradient owing to the driving force [38]. Thus, CPF molecules were adsorbed faster to the surface of ZnO/BaTiO₃. However, the rapid increment of adsorption capacity was gradually decreased after the certain CPF initial concentration, because of the saturation of adsorbent’s active sites by higher concentration of CPF [39]. The repulsion between the CPF and adsorbent happens by the virtue of the occupation of CPF onto available sites of adsorbent [40].

The high qe of adsorbent is a crucial factor for the utilization of the adsorbent from an economic point of view. ZnO/BaTiO₃ exhibited a good adsorbent for the adsorption of CPF. The measured qmax for ZnO/BaTiO₃ and green adsorbents recorded in the literature were summarized in Table 4. Hence, ZnO/BaTiO₃ is a promising adsorbent and can be used for the removal of antibiotics.

### Table 4 The maximum adsorption capacity for ZnO/BaTiO₃ and green adsorbents recorded in the literature for CPF adsorption

| Adsorbent                                      | qmax (mgg⁻¹) | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| amine- functionalized bio graphene             | 172.6        | [41]      |
| FeₓO₃–DyₓO₃ (FD)                               | 125          | [42]      |
| c-FeₓO₃–DyₓO₃ (c-FD)                           | 328          |           |
| (C. Syzygium aromaticum (Clove)) BC-2-650      | 449.40       | [43]      |
| (magnetic biochar of camphor leaf, ZnCl₂/biochar mass ratio of 2, Calcination: 650 °C) ZnO/BaTiO₃ | 125.29 mgg⁻¹ | This Study |

### 4 Conclusion

To sum up, this work examined the valorization of *Elaeagnus Angustifolia* L. leaf as a high potential reducing agent to prepare ZnO/BaTiO₃ nanoparticles. The leaves, which are also described as biowaste, were extracted by selecting the innovative extraction method. Thus, the ultrasound-assisted extraction method offers less extraction time, lower energy consumption, and lower solvent consumption. In this context, the extraction method chosen is also an environmentally friendly method. Box-Behnken design (BBD) gives a better outcome for optimization by reducing the number of experiments. The effects of pH, initial concentration of CPF, nanocomposite dose on CPF-nanocomposite interaction were examined as independent factors. The maximum adsorption capacity of CPF onto ZnO/BaTiO₃ was found as 125.29 mgg⁻¹ under optimal conditions (adsorbent dose:3.00 mg, pH value of solution: 9.88, initial concentration CPF:49.63 mgL⁻¹). Pareto graph was indicated that the most influential factor was pH (25.28%).
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