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SUMMARY

Teeth exert fundamental functions related to mastication and speech. Despite their great biomedical importance, an overall picture of their cellular and molecular composition is still missing. In this study, we have mapped the transcriptional landscape of the various cell populations that compose human teeth at single-cell resolution, and we analyzed in deeper detail their stem cell populations and their microenvironment. Our study identified great cellular heterogeneity in the dental pulp and the periodontium. Unexpectedly, we found that the molecular signatures of the stem cell populations were very similar, while their respective microenvironments strongly diverged. Our findings suggest that the microenvironmental specificity is a potential source for functional differences between highly similar stem cells located in the various tooth compartments and open new perspectives toward cell-based dental therapeutic approaches.

INTRODUCTION

Teeth are composed of a unique combination of hard and soft tissues. Enamel, the hardest tissue of the human body, covers the crown of the tooth, and it is supported by a second less mineralized tissue, the dentin. The central portion of the tooth is occupied by the dental pulp, a highly vascularized and innervated tissue that is lined by odontoblasts, the cells responsible for dentin formation. The tooth is anchored to the surrounding alveolar bone via the periodontium, which absorbs the various shocks associated with mastication and provides tooth stability by continuously remodeling its extracellular matrix, the periodontal ligament (Nanci, 2013). The development of the tooth results from sequential and reciprocal interactions between cells of the oral epithelium and the cranial neural crest-derived mesenchyme (Kollar, 1986; Mitsiadis and Graf, 2009; Nanci, 2013). Oral epithelial cells give rise to ameloblasts that produce enamel. Dental mesenchymal cells give rise to odontoblasts that form the dentin, as well as to the dental pulp (Mitsiadis and Graf, 2009; Nanci, 2013). Dental pulp and periodontal tissues contain mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), namely the dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) and periodontal stem cells (PDSCs) (Gronthos et al., 2000; Roguljic et al., 2013). The epithelial cell remnants in the periodontal space upon dental root completion form an additional tooth-specific epithelial stem cell population (Athanassiou-Papaefthymiou et al., 2015). DPSCs and PDSCs are multipotent and respond to a plethora of cellular, chemical, and physical stimuli to guarantee homeostasis and regeneration of dental tissues. Isolated DPSCs and PDSCs are the subject of intense investigation as possible tools for the regeneration of both dental and non-dental tissues (Chen et al., 2020; Iohara et al., 2011; Lei et al., 2014; Orsini et al., 2018; Ouchi and Nakagawa, 2020; Trubiani et al., 2019; Xuan et al., 2018). In vivo studies aiming at the regeneration of dental pulp and periodontal tissues were however not completely successful (Chen et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2019; Xuan et al., 2018). Indeed, the behavior of these and other stem cell populations is regulated by molecular cues produced in their microenvironment by stromal cells, neurons, vascular-related cells, and immune cells, as well as by physical factors such as stiffness, topography, and shear stress (Chacon-Martinez et al., 2018; Machado et al., 2016; Oh and Nor, 2015; Oh et al., 2020; Pagella et al., 2015; Rafii et al., 2016; Scadden, 2014; Yang et al., 2017). Much effort has been spent in the last decades to understand the fine composition of tissues and the cellular and molecular mechanisms that mediate the cross talk between stem cells and their environment to drive regenerative processes (Blache et al., 2018; Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Lane et al., 2014; Mitsiadis et al., 2017a; Oh et al., 2020; Rafii et al., 2016). Concerning teeth, one recent article reported the single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of mouse dental tissue and the human dental pulp, focusing mostly on the continuously growing mouse incisor and on the conservation between species of cellular populations and features that underlie tooth growth (Krivanek et al., 2020). A second single-cell RNA sequencing analysis study in the continuously erupting mouse incisor identified dental epithelial stem cells subpopulations that are important upon tooth injury and contribute to enamel regeneration (Sharir et al., 2019). Despite the great effort that has been spent in the last decades to understand the fine composition of tissues and the cellular and molecular mechanisms that mediate the cross talk between stem cells and their environment to drive regenerative processes (Blache et al., 2018; Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Lane et al., 2014; Mitsiadis et al., 2017a; Oh et al., 2020; Rafii et al., 2016). Concerning teeth, one recent article reported the single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of mouse dental tissue and the human dental pulp, focusing mostly on the continuously growing mouse incisor and on the conservation between species of cellular populations and features that underlie tooth growth (Krivanek et al., 2020). A second single-cell RNA sequencing analysis study in the continuously erupting mouse incisor identified dental epithelial stem cells subpopulations that are important upon tooth injury and contribute to enamel regeneration (Sharir et al., 2019). Despite the great effort that has been spent in the last decades to understand the fine composition of tissues and the cellular and molecular mechanisms that mediate the cross talk between stem cells and their environment to drive regenerative processes (Blache et al., 2018; Chakrabarti et al., 2018; Lane et al., 2014; Mitsiadis et al., 2017a; Oh et al., 2020; Rafii et al., 2016). Concerning teeth, one recent article reported the single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of mouse dental tissue and the human dental pulp, focusing mostly on the continuously growing mouse incisor and on the conservation between species of cellular populations and features that underlie tooth growth (Krivanek et al., 2020). A second single-cell RNA sequencing analysis study in the continuously erupting mouse incisor identified dental epithelial stem cells subpopulations that are important upon tooth injury and contribute to enamel regeneration (Sharir et al., 2019).
Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of the dental pulp of human teeth

We first analyzed the cellular and molecular composition of the dental pulp of human teeth. For this purpose, we isolated dental pulps from five extracted third molars, dissociated them into single-cell suspensions and proceeded with droplet-based encapsulation (using the 10x Genomics Chromium System) and sequencing. Our analyses yielded a total of 32'378 dental pulp cells (Figure S1). We identified 15 clusters of cells using the graph clustering approach implemented in Seurat v3 (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019) and visualized them using uniform manifold approximation and projection (McInnes et al., 2018) (Figures 1A–1D). Our analysis identified a variety of cell populations including MSCs, fibroblasts, odontoblasts, endothelial cells, Schwann cells, immune cells, epithelial-like cells, and erythrocytes (Figure 1B). MSCs were localized around the vessels (Figure 1G), where the perivascular niches are formed (Lovschall et al., 2007; Shi and Gronthos, 2003), as well as in the sub-odontoblastic area, which is another potential stem cell niche location in the dental pulp (Mitsiadis and Rahiotis, 2004; Mitsiadis et al., 2003). The fibroblastic compartment composed the bulk of the dental pulp tissue (mean proportion = 0.38 and sd = 0.1; Figure 1E). Different fibroblastic clusters could be identified. Fibroblasts were characterized by the expression of collagen-coding genes (e.g., COL1A1; logFC = 0.91 and adjusted p value <0.001) and MDK (logFC = 1.44 and adjusted p value <0.001), a gene whose expression is restricted to the dental mesenchyme during mouse odontogenesis (Mitsiadis et al., 1995), as well as by the reduced expression of FZRB (logFC = −0.76 and adjusted p value <0.001; Figures 1B–1D). One cluster, characterized by the high expression of osteomodulin/osteoadherin (Figure S3), represented an intermediate state between MSCs and fibroblasts, with shared gene expression from these two groups. Odontoblasts were characterized by the expression of dentin sialophosphoprotein (DSPP) (Figure 1) and dentin matrix acidic phosphoprotein 1 (DMP1), genes encoding for phosphoproteins that constitute essential components of the dentin matrix (D’Souza et al., 1997; Liang et al., 2019). ECs, which constitute important components of the MSC microenvironment (Rafii et al., 2016), showed a significant degree of heterogeneity (Figures 1B and 1I, and S4). Three well-defined clusters of ECs were detected. A first cluster was characterized by the expression of EDN1/CLDNS and represented arterial ECs (Figure S4). A second endothelial cluster was characterized by the expression of ACKR1/CD234 (Figures 1I and S4) and represented postcapillary and collecting venules. The third main endothelial cluster was...
characterized by the expression of the *insulin receptor* (INSR) and RGCC (Figure S4). Immune cells are part of all healthy tissues and organs (Senovilla et al., 2013) and were also consistently detected in the healthy dental pulp tissues. This cluster mostly consisted of T cells and macrophages, characterized by the expression of PTPRC, CD3E, and CSF1R (Figures 1B and S5). Nerve fibers are crucial elements of stem cell niches, as they regulate MSC functions and fates (Pagella et al., 2015). ScCs formed two clearly distinct clusters of SOX10+ cells, identified as myelinating MBP+ScCs and non-myelinating GFRA3+ScCs (Figures 1B, 1C, 1J, and S6A). MBP+ScCs were mostly localized around major nerve fibers entering the dental pulp, while GFRA3+ScCs were detected at a distance from nerve fibers and mostly within the sub-odontoblastic regions (Figure 1J), where NOTCH3-expressing MSCs were localized. We further identified an epithelial-like cell population within the human periodontal tissue (Figures 1B and 1C), in accordance with previous reports in human deciduous teeth (Nam and Lee, 2009). These epithelial cells express keratin-coding genes such as KRT14 and KRT5, as well as stratifin (SFN) (Figures 1C and S6B). We validated the presence of the epithelial cluster within the dental pulp with an immunofluorescent staining against keratin14 (Figure 1K). We finally identified a population of erythrocytes that is characterized by the presence of the beta-hemoglobin-coding transcript HBB.

**Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of the periodontium of human teeth**

We then set out to identify and characterize the cell populations that compose the periodontium of human teeth. We obtained the periodontal tissue by scraping the surface of the apical two-thirds of the roots of five extracted third molars. We dissociated the isolated periodontal tissue to single-cell suspensions and processed them for single-cell RNA sequencing (Figure 2A). We obtained a total of 2,883 periodontal cells (Figure S2A) and identified 15 clusters of cells (Figure 2B). MSCs, fibroblasts, ECs, ScCs, immune cells, epithelial-like, cells and erythrocytes composed the human periodontal tissue (Figure 2B). Similar to the dental pulp tissue, MSCs represented a large fraction of the periodontium (mean proportion = 0.19, sd = 0.11 and se = 0.05). We detected a cluster of MSCs expressing FR2B, NOTCH3, MYH11, and THY1 (logFC of 1.83, 1.24, 1.47, and 1.61, respectively, and adjusted p value <0.001, compared to other cells in the periodontium; Figures 2B and 2C). The fibroblastic compartment was defined by cells expressing MDK (logFC = 1.25 and adjusted p value < 0.001; Figure 2C) and collagen-coding genes such as COL1A1 (Figures 2B and 2C; logFC = 3.42 and adjusted p value < 0.001). This cluster represented a small fraction of the periodontium (mean proportion = 0.11, sd = 0.08 and se = 0.03; supplemental information Appendix, Figure S2). ECs were more abundant than fibroblasts and represented a big proportion of the periodontal tissues (mean proportion = 0.19, sd = 0.17 and se = 0.07; Figure S2B). We distinguished two main separate ECs clusters, which were characterized by the expression of EDN1/CLDN5/CXCL12 and ACKR1/CD234 (Figure 2B), similar to what observed in the dental pulp (Figure 1B). A cluster of INSR/RGCC-expressing ECs was observed as an intermediate state between the EDN1/CLDN5/CXCL12 and ACKR1/CD234 ECs clusters. ScCs represented a minor population within the periodontium. ScCs expressed SOX10, GFRA3, NGF, and NGFR (Figures 2B and 2C; Dataset). The periodontium was characterized by the presence of PTPRC+ immune cells, including T cells (CD3E+/CD3D+), B cells (MZB1+), monocytes, and macrophages (CSF1R+) (Figure 2C; Dataset). Unexpectedly, we found that the most abundant population of the periodontium consisted of epithelial cells (mean proportion = 0.28, sd = 0.27 and se = 0.12) (Figures 2B, 2E and S2B). Epithelial cells formed different subclusters, characterized by the expression of epithelial genes such as KRT14 and ODAM, signaling molecules such as WNT10A, and specific sets of interleukin-coding genes such as IL1A and IL1B (Figure S7). Using immunofluorescent staining, we showed...
Figure 3. Comparative analysis of the MSC compartment in the pulp and the periodontium

(A) UMAP visualization of pulp clusters, highlighting the MSC compartment.
(B) Feature plots showing genes that characterize the main MSC subclusters within the pulp.
(C) UMAP visualization of periodontium clusters, highlighting the MSC compartment.
(D) Feature plots showing genes that characterize the main MSC subclusters within the periodontium.
(E and F) Feature plots showing the distribution of the expression of common genes characterizing dental pulp (E) and periodontal (F) MSCs. FRZB is expressed by all MSCs, both in the dental pulp and in the periodontium. ACTA2, RERGL, and PLN (phospholamban) are particularly enriched in the MYH11+ MSC subcluster, while DCN (decorin) and STEAP4 are highly expressed in the THY1+ MSC subcluster. TNC (tenascin) is highly expressed in the CCL2+ MSC subcluster. Previous studies have shown that TNC is expressed during odontogenesis in the dental mesenchyme (Vainio et al., 1989) as well as in the mature periodontium at the interface with cementum and with the alveolar bone (Lukinmaa et al., 1991; Midwood et al., 2016).

(G) Dot plot showing the top 40 genes that characterize both dental pulp and periodontal MSCs against other dental cell types. Light yellow highlights genes of particular interest. MSCs in the dental pulp and the periodontium shared the expression of many stem cell markers and genes associated with stem cell function. MYH11 codes for a myosin heavy chain and its expression has been primarily observed in perivascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes, a common
that the epithelial cells are organized in discrete islets along the entire periodontium (Figures 2H and 2I).

Finally, we identified a small cluster of erythrocytes expressing HBB (Figure 2B).

Comparison of dental pulp and periodontal stem cell populations

The establishment of the single-cell atlas of the dental pulp and periodontium of human teeth allows further analyses and comparisons at the molecular level between these two tissues (Figures 3 and 4). Therefore, we first proceeded with the comparison between the stem cell clusters detected in these two dental components. In both tissues, MSCs were characterized by the expression of FRZB and NOTCH3 (logFC = 2.05 and 1.27 and p values < 0.001; Figures 1C, 1D, 2C, 2D, and 3E–3G). We then analyzed the composition of the dental pulp and periodontal MSC clusters in deeper detail. Upon separate subclustering of the NOTCH3+FRZB+ pulp and periodontal MSCs, we identified three major MSC subpopulations (Figures 3A–3D). Unexpectedly, the main dental pulp and periodontal MSC populations exhibited very similar molecular signatures. Both compartments contained two main MSC clusters characterized by increased expression of MYH11 (logFC = 2.00 and p value <0.001) and THY1 (logFC = 1.63 and p value <0.001), respectively, when compared to all other clusters (Figures 3B and 3D). We detected a second THY1-positive (and MYH11-negative) MSC cluster, with increased expression of CCL2 (logFC = 3.46 and p value <0.001 when compared to other clusters; Figures 3B and 3D). The CCL2+ MSC cluster also expressed genes associated with the remodeling of the extracellular matrix, such as TNC (tenascin C) (Figure 3E).

Next, we merged the dental pulp and periodontium data sets and jointly clustered them to compare the transcriptomes of their MSCs (Figures 3H and 4A). We detected gene expression log-fold changes higher than 0.25 in only 333 genes and as few as 33 genes with a logFC higher than 1 (p values < 0.05, Figure 3H; Table S1). MSCs from the two tissues showed no significant differences in the expression of the already mentioned NOTCH3, FRZB, THY1, and MYH11, as well as the other stem cell markers MCAM/CD146, RGS5, ACTA2, and ID4 (Figure 3G). Some genes were significantly more expressed in periodontal MSCs than in the pulp, such as CCL2 (logFC = 0.78 and p < 0.001), and those coding for collagens (e.g., COL3A1, COL1A1, COL6A1, COL6A3, COL4A1) (logFC = 1.65, 1.59, 1.02, 0.70, 0.86, respectively, and adjusted p values < 0.001; Figure 3H; Tables S1 and S3; Figure S8). Periodontal MSCs were also characterized by higher expression of SPARC/osteonecisin, a secreted molecule fundamental for the regulation of periodontal homeostasis and collagen content (logFC = 1.00 and p value < 0.001; Figure 3H; Tables S1 and S4). In contrast to the periodontal MSCs, dental pulp MSCs expressed higher levels of CXCL14 and RARRES1 (logFC = 2.04 and 1.00, respectively, and p values < 0.001; Figure 3H; Table S1). Surprisingly, dental pulp MSCs strongly expressed KRT18, a gene previously reported to be exclusively expressed in cells of single-layered and pseudostratified epithelia (logFC = 1.46, p value < 0.001, Table S1, Figure S10).

Comparative analysis of the MSC microenvironment in the dental pulp and periodontium of human teeth

We then compared the two specific MSC niches in the dental pulp and the periodontium (Figures 4 and S2). We observed that their cell compositions diverged in relative proportion for certain cell types, mainly the fibroblastic and epithelial compartments. Fibroblasts represented the most abundant cell population within the dental pulp, while in the periodontium, the proportion of fibroblasts was considerably lower (mean dental pulp = 0.38 and se = 0.04; mean periodontium: 0.11 and se = 0.03. Figures 4B and S2). Likely, due to the high variability of scRNA-seq, it is not possible to statistically confirm this difference using our data set. Genes coding for collagens and matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) were highly expressed by periodontal fibroblasts and MSCs (Figures S8 and S9 and Tables S3 and S5) when compared to their pulp counterparts. Interestingly, genes coding for bone-specific proteins, such as osteonectin (SPARC), osteocalcin (BGLAP), and bone sialophosphoprotein (BSP), were expressed by the periodontal fibroblasts (Figure S11 and Table S6). Periodontal fibroblasts also expressed MGP (matrix Gla protein), a potent inhibitor of mineralization (Figure S11, Table S6). The periodontium was characterized by a larger proportion of cells expressing epithelial cell markers such as KRT5 and KRT14 (Figure S2B). As in the case of fibroblasts, it was
not possible to statistically confirm this difference in proportion. These periodontal epithelial-like cells expressed different sets of keratin-coding genes when compared to those of the dental pulp (Figure S10, Table S4). In the periodontium, keratin-coding genes such as Krt14, Krt17, and Krt19 were not exclusively expressed by epithelial cells but also significantly enriched in fibroblasts and ScCs (Figure S10 and Table S4). The periodontal epithelial-like cells also expressed genes encoding for signaling molecules such as FDCSP (follicular dendritic cell-secreted protein) and WNT10A (Figures 2D and S7). We also found that in the periodontium, the MSCs expressed significantly higher levels of collagen-coding genes (e.g., COL1A1, COL3A1, COL6A1; Figure S8A). We further estimated the pairwise extended Jaccard similarity for all cell types present in the periodontium and dental pulp and ranked these pairwise similarities. This analysis revealed that the three most similar cell types between the periodontium and the dental pulp were, in order, endothelial cells, erythrocytes, and MSCs (Figure 4C and Table S2).

We analyzed the overall dynamics and differentiation trajectories of dental pulp and periodontal MSCs by velocity (Figure S12). We did not identify major differentiation trajectories between different cell types neither in the dental pulp nor in the periodontium. In the dental pulp, endothelial cells showed the most dynamic behavior, while only minor differentiation trajectories were identified within most dental pulp

---

**Figure 4. Comparison of the dental pulp and periodontal microenvironment**

(A) UMAP plot showing the clusters distribution in the merged dental pulp/periodontium data set.

(B) Comparison of the relative abundance of the different cell types composing the pulp and the periodontium. Epithelial cells are the most abundant cell type in the periodontium, while fibroblasts constitute the most abundant cluster in the dental pulp.

(C) Jaccard similarity plot between the various periodontium and pulp clusters using the top three thousand differentially expressed genes. See supplemental information Appendix, Table S2, for Jaccard similarity ranking.
cell populations (Figure S12). In the periodontium, epithelial-like cells, fibroblasts, and MSCs displayed dynamic behaviors (Figure S12). Periodontal MSCs showed a directional gene expression trajectory from the MYH11+ to the THY1+ sub-cluster (Figure S12). Periodontal THY1+ MSCs co-expressed genes that characterize the fibroblastic compartment, such as the collagen-coding genes, MMP14, and SPARC (Figures S8 and S11). MYH11+ cells might thus constitute the most undifferentiated pool of MSCs within the periodontal tissue, while the THY1+ sub-cluster could represent MSCs directed toward the fibroblastic fate.

DISCUSSION

Understanding the fine composition of human organs is of paramount importance to develop regenerative therapies. In particular, unraveling the composition of stem cell populations and their niches is fundamental to drive regenerative processes toward the reconstitution of fully functional tissues and organs. This study revealed that MSCs in the human dental pulp and periodontium are characterized by the expression of FRZB, NOTCH3, THY1, and MYH11. Frzb has already been shown to mark periodontal ligament cells from very early developmental stages (Mitsiadis et al., 2017b), while its expression in the dental pulp has not yet been reported. Previous studies have also shown that Notch3 is expressed in perivascular MSCs both in dental and non-dental tissues (Jamal et al., 2015; Lovschall et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2014). Both dental pulp and periodontium MSCs can be subdivided into subpopulations characterized by the expression of the same specific markers MYH11, THY1, and CCL2. THY1/CD90 is a general marker of human mesenchymal stem cells, and it is vastly used to sort human dental pulp stem cells (Dominici et al., 2006; Ledesma-Martinez et al., 2016; Sharpe, 2016). MYH11 is mostly known to be expressed in smooth muscle cells, and in our data sets, it was generally co-expressed with ACTA2 (α-smooth muscle actin), recently found to play an important role in MSC cell fate specification (Talele et al., 2015). CCL2 codes for the chemokine ligand 2, and its expression in MSCs was shown to be a key mediator of their immunomodulatory properties (Giri et al., 2020). Expression of these markers in the dental pulp stem cells is in accordance with the data sets reported in a recent work (Krivanek et al., 2020), while the existence of three distinct MSC subclusters, both in the dental pulp and periodontium, was not reported before. Beyond the expression of these markers, dental MSCs show an overall striking homogeneity, in contrast to current assumptions (Hakki et al., 2015; Lei et al., 2014; Otabe et al., 2012). Indeed, previous studies have shown that although dental pulp and periodontal stem cells possess similar differentiation potentials in generating adipoblasts, myoblasts, chondroblasts, and neurons, their efficacies in forming bone tissues differ (Bai et al., 2010; d’Aquino et al., 2011; Schiraldi et al., 2012; Yagyuu et al., 2010). Human dental pulp and periodontal stem cells do not differ in their specific migratory behavior when cultured separately in vitro (Schiraldi et al., 2012). However, when these two cell types are co-cultured, the periodontal MSCs quickly spread and directionally migrate toward the dental pulp stem cells, which exhibit limited proliferative and migratory capabilities (Schiraldi et al., 2012). MSC proliferation and directional migration cues are generally produced by the target tissue, as well as by direct contacts established through the interactions of MSCs with cells composing their niches (Schiraldi et al., 2012; Shellard and Mayor, 2019). The divergent behavior of these MSCs, both in migration and in differentiation, could be due to their interaction with different environments rather than due to intrinsic differences. Our results support that dental MSC homogeneity is counteracted by a great divergence in their niches. In our samples, the dental pulp was composed mostly by fibroblasts, while epithelial cells constituted the most abundant cluster in the periodontium. Fibroblasts and epithelial cells within the dental pulp and the periodontium also expressed very different sets of molecules that could modulate MSC behavior. Genes coding for collagens and MMPs, as well as genes encoding for regulators of mineralization such as osteonectin, were highly expressed by periodontal fibroblasts and MSCs when compared to their dental pulp equivalent. Osteonectin is known to regulate Ca2+ deposition during bone formation, but in the periodontium, its function is essential for proper collagen turnover and organization (Luan et al., 2007). Periodontal fibroblasts also expressed MGP, a potent inhibitor of mineralization (Kaipatur et al., 2008). The most abundant periodontal cell type is represented by epithelial-like cells. These periodontal epithelial-like cells expressed genes encoding for signaling molecules such as FDCSP and WNT10A, which exert fundamental roles in the modulation of periodontal MSC proliferation and differentiation (Wei et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2020). Epithelial cells from the periodontium have been long proposed to constitute a dental epithelial stem cell population, with potential to generate tooth-associated hard tissues such as enamel, dentin, and alveolar bone (Athanassiou-Papaefthymiou et al., 2015; Tsunematsu et al., 2016). We showed that these cells also have signaling properties that could influence the behavior of periodontal MSCs. Overall, the cellular and molecular signature of
the periodontium identified in this study was indicative of its continuous and dynamic remodeling, which is tightly linked to the masticatory function of the teeth, and that requires continuous collagen secretion, extracellular matrix remodeling, and inhibition of mineralization (Takimoto et al., 2015). Taken together, these significant cellular and molecular differences in the microenvironment of the dental pulp and periodontium constitute strong tissue-specific traits. These traits can be indicative of a microenvironment that privileges MSC differentiation toward a fibroblastic-like fate in the periodontium, as opposed to the dental pulp microenvironment, which favors the osteogenic fate of MSCs. Both dental pulp and periodontal MSCs derive from cranial neural crest cell populations, and this common origin provides a developmental basis for the observed similarities in gene expression patterns (Luan et al., 2009). Dental pulp and periodontal precursors display however divergent behaviors from very early developmental stages. Such differences were proposed to be induced from the interaction of similar neural crest cells with different microenvironments (Diekwisch, 2002; Luan et al., 2009; Svandova et al., 2020). These interactions would thus be the basis for the generation of tissues as diverse as the dental pulp, periodontium, and alveolar bone, from common neural crest-derived cell populations (Svandova et al., 2020). Subpopulations of periodontal MSCs indeed maintain for long time a highly migratory behavior, which has been hypothesized to depend as well on the peculiar periodontal microenvironment (Diekwisch, 2002; Luan et al., 2009). Microenvironmental cues would then result in the generation of different mesenchymal cell and stem cell populations via induction of vast epigenetic alterations (Gopinathan et al., 2019; Luan et al., 2009), thus modulating MSC behavior and determining their identity in the dental pulp and periodontium both during development and in adult life.

Two recent articles described the single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of dental tissues (Krivanek et al., 2020; Sharir et al., 2019). One study identified the main cell types that compose the dental pulp and compared their behavior in mice and humans and between human adult and erupting teeth (Krivanek et al., 2020). This work showed that basic features underlying tooth growth, such as lineage hierarchy between Smoc2- and Smoc2+ cells, are conserved between mice and humans (Krivanek et al., 2020). The data sets concerning the human dental pulp presented in this work are in general agreement with our data. Our results provide a significantly more resolved analysis, in which we identified not only the major cell types present within the dental pulp and the periodontium but also their heterogeneity. In a second study, the authors performed single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of the epithelium of the continuously growing mouse incisor and revealed the role of Notch1-expressing stem cells showing that these cells are responsive to tooth injury and contribute to enamel regeneration (Sharir et al., 2019). Overall, these studies are complementary to our work, as they focused mostly on mouse teeth, while they did not investigate in detail the cell types that compose the human dental pulp and periodontium.

Taken together, our findings provide a thorough investigation of the human pulp and periodontal tissues at single-cell resolution, thus representing the basis for future research involving cell-based regenerative treatments.

Limitations of the study
This is the first complete single-cell atlas of human teeth that allows a comparative single-cell RNA analysis of human dental pulp and periodontium. In our data sets, we identified great variability between patients, which was particularly pronounced in the periodontium. The latter could be due to the highly dynamic nature of the periodontium (Luan et al., 2007) and to the peculiar experimental procedure needed to isolate periodontal cells, i.e., scraping them from the surface of the tooth roots. Since our atlas represents cells that survive experimental procedures, the number of odontoblasts in the dental pulp might be underestimated, due to possible damages induced to some of them during the extraction of the dental pulp from the tooth. With our analysis, we observed little differences between dental pulp and periodontal MSCs, which were counteracted by a great divergence in the composition of their niches. We hypothesized that such divergence could be the basis for the observed differences in the behavior of otherwise similar MSCs in the dental pulp and periodontium. This hypothesis requires nevertheless further experimental validation.
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**Fig. S1.** Quality control and pre-processing of single-cell pulp (A) and periodontium (B) data, related to Figure 1 and Figure 2. Violin plots illustrate distribution of percentage of mitochondrial genes (mt), number of UMI counts (nCount) and number of genes with at least one UMI count (nFeature) per cell prior and after subsetting cells according to the following quality control measures: cells with a percentage of mitochondrial genes above 20 were excluded, as well as cells with less than...
200 genes. Healthy pulp and periodontal cells with UMI counts above 25'000 and 50'000, respectively were also excluded.

**A** Samples details

|                          | Dental pulp | Periodontium |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| number of samples        | 5           | 5            |
| total number of cells    | 32378       | 2883         |
| median number of cells per sample | 5100       | 615          |
| mean number of cells per sample | 6475.6     | 576.6        |
| sd number of cells       | 3022.7      | 152.9        |
| median genes             | 830         | 1333         |
| mean genes               | 1017.9      | 1612.5       |
| sd genes                 | 732.7       | 1255.8       |

**B** Relative abundance by cell type

**Fig. S2. Quantitative details of dental pulp and periodontal samples, related to Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 4.** A) Sample size and statistics. B) Relative abundance of cell types in the pulp (red) and periodontium (blue); Boxes illustrate the interquartile range (25th to 75th percentile), the median is shown as the middle band, and the whiskers extend to 1.5 times the interquartile range from the top (or bottom) of the box to the furthest datum within that distance. Any data points beyond that distance are considered outliers.
Fig. S3. Feature plots showing the expression of genes characterizing specific subclusters of dental pulp fibroblasts, related to Figure 1. 5 subclusters could be identified within fibroblasts. CXCL14 was generally expressed by fibroblasts, and it was particularly enriched in subclusters 2 and 3. CXCL14 expression is associated with angiogenic potential and overall chemoattractant properties (Hayashi et al., 2015). Subcluster 3 showed higher expression of the dental mesenchyme marker PTN (pleiotrophin), which is associated with odontoblastic differentiation potential (Mitsiadis et al., 1995). Cluster 6 was characterized by higher expression of Osteomodulin/Osteoadherin (OMD), a modulator of mineralization (Buchaille et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2019). This same cluster also showed particularly high expression of COL1A1. Clusters 2 and 6 expressed high levels of COCH, which encodes for a protein involved in mechano-sensation (Goel et al., 2012). Cluster 1 expressed high levels of DLX5, while cluster 5 was characterized by high expression of CTNNB1 and GOLIM4. CTNNB1 codes for b-catenin, key mediator of WNT signaling (Mosimann et al., 2009).
Fig. S4. Feature plots showing the expression of genes characterizing specific subclusters of dental pulp endothelial cells (ECs), related to Figure 1. INSR (Insulin Receptor) and RGCC marked ECs from subcluster 1. Expression of INSR suggests a role for these cells in modulating Glucose and Insulin metabolism within the dental pulp, while RGCC expression is usually observed in actively cycling cells (Konishi et al., 2017; Kubota et al., 2011). CLDN5 (claudin 5), EDN1 (endothelin 1) and IGFBP3 were enriched in ECs from cluster 3. These cells co-expressed the arterial markers GJA5 and EFNB2 (Mukouyama et al., 2002; Shin et al., 2001), thus indicating that this cluster represents arterial ECs. Cluster 5 was characterized by the high expression of POSTN (Periostin) and FABP4, both associated to angiogenic and pro-survival processes in endothelial cells (Elmasri et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2016). Cluster 2 was marked by the expression of ACKR1/CD234, which has been proposed as a marker for postcapillary and collecting venules in mice (Thiriot et al., 2017).
Fig. S5. Feature plots showing the expression of genes characterizing specific subclusters of dental pulp immune cells, related to Figure 1. All immune cells subclusters expressed the immune cells marker *PTPRC* (CD45). Clusters 1, 3 and 4 included T-cells and natural killer cells, as indicated by the expression of *CD3E, CD4, GZMH, GZMA*, and *NKG67*. Cluster 2 included macrophages and monocytes, as indicated by the high expression of *CSF1R*. Cluster 5 included B cells and plasma cells, as indicated by the expression of *MZB1* and *CD22* (see dataset) (Chetty and Gatter, 1994; Chitu and Stanley, 2006).
Fig. S6. Feature plots showing the expression of genes characterizing subclusters of dental pulp Schwann cells (A) and epithelial cells (B), related to Figure 1. A) All dental pulp Schwann cells express SOX2. MBP (myelin basic protein) is expressed by myelinating Schwann cells, while GFRA3 (GDNF family receptor alpha-3) marks non-myelinating Schwann cells. B) Epithelial cells express Keratin-coding genes, such as KRT14 and KRT5, as well as Stratifin (SFN). Keratins are intermediate filaments, and their expression is mostly restricted to epithelial cells (Herrmann et al., 2007; Karantza, 2011). SFN is expressed by differentiated keratinocytes, and it induces activation of adjacent fibroblasts by triggering expression of metalloproteases (Medina et al., 2007).
**Fig. S7. Feature plots showing the expression of genes characterizing subclusters of periodontal epithelial cells, related to Figure 2.** Epithelial cells represent the most abundant cell type in the human periodontium. Epithelial cells have been detected previously in mouse and human periodontal tissues (Athanassiou-Papaefthymiou et al., 2015; Tsunematsu et al., 2016). 5 subclusters could be identified. All epithelial cells express keratin-coding genes such as *KRT14*, and *FDCSP* (Follicular Dendritic Cells Secreted Protein). *KRT14* is a common marker for dental epithelial cells (Tabata et al., 1996). FDCSP increases cell proliferation and inhibits the expression of genes associated with mineralization processes in periodontal MSCs of human teeth (Wei et al., 2011). Subcluster 1 was characterized by the expression of *SLPI* (secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor) and *ODAM* (Odontogenic Ameloblast-associated Protein). *ODAM* is expressed by periodontal epithelial cells that display stem cell properties (Athanassiou-Papaefthymiou et al., 2015). Clusters 2 and 3 showed higher expression of *TUBA1B* (tubulin alpha-1B chain) and *WNT10A*. *WNT10A* expression in dental epithelium is fundamental for tooth development and root formation (Mues et al., 2014; Yamashiro et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2014). Cluster 5 showed higher expression of *IL1A* and *IL1B*, which are fundamental mediators of the immune response against infections (Miller and Cho, 2011), and they are strongly involved in the resolution of periodontal pathologies (Grigoriadou et al., 2010).
Fig. S8. Expression of collagen-encoding genes, related to Figure 4. A) Heatmap showing differential expression of genes encoding for collagens in MSCs from the periodontium and the dental
pulp. B) Heatmap showing differential expression of genes encoding for collagens in fibroblasts from the periodontium and the dental pulp. C, D) Feature-plots showing the distribution of collagen-encoding genes in the periodontium (C) and in the dental pulp (D). Collagen-encoding genes are overall more expressed in the periodontium, in accordance to the intense remodeling that this tissue undergoes in response to mastication.
Fig. S9. Expression of genes encoding metalloproteinases (MMPs), related to Figure 4. A-D) Heatmap showing differential expression of genes encoding for MMPs in A) MSCs, B) epithelial cells, C) fibroblasts, D) endothelial cells, from the periodontium and the dental pulp. E, F) Feature-plots showing the distribution of collagen-encoding genes in the periodontium (E) and in the dental
pulp (F). MMPs are actively involved in the turnover of the periodontal space since they degrade collagen and most of the secreted proteins that compose the periodontal extracellular matrix (Birkedal-Hansen, 1993; Sapna et al., 2014). MMPs-encoding genes are overall more expressed in the periodontium, in accordance with the intense remodeling of the extracellular matrix needed to compensate the stimuli that this tissue receives in response to mastication.
Fig. S10. Expression of genes encoding keratins, related to Figure 4. A-D) Heatmap showing differential expression of genes encoding for keratins in A) epithelial cells, B) fibroblasts, C) MSCs,
D) Schwann cells from the periodontium and the dental pulp. E, F) Feature-plots showing the distribution of Keratin-encoding genes in the periodontium (E) and in the dental pulp (F). In the periodontium, Keratin-encoding genes are expressed also in non-epithelial cells. KRT18, a gene previously reported to be exclusively expressed in cells of single-layered and pseudostratified epithelia (Karantza, 2011), is expressed by MSCs in the dental pulp.

Fig. S11. Expression of genes encoding non-collagenous bone-associated proteins, related to Figure 4. A, B) Heatmaps showing genes differentially expressed between dental pulp and periodontal (A) MSCs and (B) fibroblasts. C, D) Feature plots showing the distribution of gene encoding non-collagenous bone-associated proteins in (C) periodontium and (D) dental pulp. Periodontal MSCs expressed higher levels of Osteonectin (SPARC) and MGP (Matrix Gla Protein) compared to dental pulp MSCs. Osteonectin is known to regulate Ca^{2+} deposition during bone formation (Termine et al., 1981), but in the periodontium its function is fundamental for proper collagen turnover and organization (Trombetta and Bradshaw, 2010). MGP (Matrix Gla Protein) is a potent inhibitor of mineralization (Kaipatur et al., 2008). Periodontal fibroblasts express higher levels of SPARC and MGP, as well as Osteocalcin (BGLAP) and Bone Sialophosphoprotein (BSP).
**Fig. S12. Velocity study of cell trajectories in the dental tissues, related to Figure 3.**

A) Velocity in the dental pulp. B) Velocity in the periodontium. Red and blue rectangles highlight respectively the dental pulp and periodontal MSCs clusters shown in the global velocity plots. Only patients analyzed with 10X Genomics v3 kit were used in the velocity estimates.
Table S1. Genes differentially expressed (Fc > 1; \( p < 0.005 \)) between periodontal and dental pulp MSCs, related to Figure 3. Periodontal MSCs expressed higher levels of \( CCL2 \) and \( Collagen \) encoding genes. Periodontal MSCs were also characterized by higher expression of \( SPARC/Osteonectin \), a secreted molecule fundamental for the regulation of periodontal homeostasis and Collagen content (Trombetta and Bradshaw, 2010). Dental pulp MSCs expressed higher levels of \( CXCL14 \), is associated with increased angiogenic potential (Hayashi et al., 2015), and \( RARRES1 \) which mediates retinoic acid-responses in stem cells (Oldridge et al., 2013). Dental pulp MSCs

strongly expressed *KRT18*, a gene previously reported to be exclusively expressed in cells of single-layered and pseudostratified epithelia (Omary et al., 2009).
Table S2, related to Figure 4. Left: Periodontal and dental pulp cell types ranked according to pairwise extended jaccard similarity. Right: number of differentially expressed genes between equivalent cell types in the dental pulp and the periodontium.
### Table S3. Collagen-encoding genes differentially expressed (p < 0.001) between periodontal and dental pulp fibroblasts and MSCs, related to Figure 3 and Figure 4.

**Fibroblasts**

| gene | p.val | avg logFC | pct.1 | pct.2 | p.val_adj |
|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 111  | COL1A1| 2.2548724263546E-166 | 3.30193665851082 | 0.028 | 0.376 | 5.60944613909531E-162 |
| 189  | COL1A2| 5.24366064312724E-106 | 1.96956844268406 | 0.975 | 0.737 | 1.30451724900406E-101 |
| 120  | COL3A1| 2.2219808141598E-163 | 2.46575302329974 | 0.867 | 0.495 | 5.52762938173506E-149 |
| 105  | COL4A1| 7.4515668786929E-180 | 0.83048262325594 | 0.343 | 0.027 | 1.85371287449748E-175 |
| 123  | COL4A2| 1.711510320727E-150 | 0.71289712912680 | 0.379 | 0.044 | 4.25772574729987E-146 |

| COL5A1| 0 | 1.22445088957512 | 0.632 | 0.03 | 0 |

| 75   | COL5A2| 3.05331225252065E-254 | 1.2386104796791 | 0.733 | 0.092 | 5.97562496901059E-250 |
| 72   | COL5A1| 9.39035008654511E-259 | 1.74716413182889 | 0.866 | 0.144 | 2.33603758492117E-254 |
| 89   | COL6A2| 3.4372709090998E-206 | 1.89125151813711 | 0.024 | 0.241 | 6.55088751600029E-202 |
| 6    | COL6A3| 0 | 1.87262976827482 | 0.834 | 0.075 | 0 |

| 635  | COL8A3| 1.0341426644368E-09 | -0.64372609050555 | 0.043 | 0.18 | 2.5658434255034E-05 |
| 16   | COL11A1| 0 | 1.27788783976508 | 0.444 | 0.013 | 0 |
| 12   | COL12A1| 0 | 1.535799584694227 | 0.61 | 0.006 | 0 |
| 20   | COL14A1| 0 | 1.1129391222703 | 0.455 | 0.019 | 0 |
| 23   | COL16A1| 0 | 1.02744669887705 | 0.538 | 0.026 | 0 |

| 476  | COL18A1| 2.47330333647139E-32 | 0.254176480519037 | 0.419 | 0.139 | 6.15283671013913E-28 |
| 937  | COL21A1| 0.115313459129048 | -0.48486613063316 | 0.213 | 0.219 | 1 |

**MSCs**

| gene | p.val | avg logFC | pct.1 | pct.2 | p.val_adj |
|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| 12   | COL1A1| 1.07403383721557E-213 | 1.5601423632018 | 0.751 | 0.162 | 2.67270950500042E-09 |
| 189  | COL1A2| 4.7454973002193E-48 | 0.72821186540018 | 0.732 | 0.399 | 1.18005376363753E-41 |
| 11   | COL3A1| 7.5235335873888E-215 | 1.6570763172883 | 0.899 | 0.138 | 1.87584569802071E-210 |
| 53   | COL4A1| 8.81438102003213E-103 | 0.860257320506067 | 0.495 | 0.118 | 2.1027466326671E-98 |
| 120  | COL4A2| 3.05435194531821E-68 | 0.56684068855517 | 0.555 | 0.102 | 7.59831133436812E-62 |
| 56   | COL5A1| 6.68949374408498E-95 | 0.327018391214429 | 0.216 | 0.021 | 1.0641453867158E-90 |
| 92   | COL5A2| 2.17400695670278E-78 | 0.484279806748682 | 0.338 | 0.07 | 5.40827711356411E-72 |
| 32   | COL6A1| 1.95314831743093E-124 | 1.023440236915478 | 0.86 | 0.191 | 4.85884706972712E-120 |
| 72   | COL6A2| 4.427598767271991E-89 | 0.831620605206911 | 0.744 | 0.292 | 1.10145534666183E-84 |
| 41   | COL6A3| 2.15954339202735E-115 | 0.706814172812724 | 0.532 | 0.119 | 5.37190059190944E-111 |
| 105  | COL11A1| 9.36381908188964E-70 | 0.505434309430353 | 0.647 | 0.227 | 2.32943720882529E-65 |
| 57   | COL18A1| 6.58847716426686E-100 | 0.34483521448103 | 0.189 | 0.01 | 1.6302643145156E-95 |
### Keratin-encoding genes

**(Periodontium vs dental pulp)**

#### Epithelial

| gene | p_val | avg_logFC | pct.1 | pct.2 | p_val_adj |
|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| KRT5 | 1.7786833374221E-50 | 0.682134560390257 | 0.773 | 0.269 | 4.41836251852985E-48 |
| KRT8 | 1.95430325717363E-55 | 0.296545123782902 | 0.423 | 0.035 | 4.081720198288E-51 |
| KRT13 | 2.40526686660614E-37 | 0.489124101031112 | 0.478 | 0.115 | 5.9635794460624E-33 |
| KRT14 | 9.53489912531268E-40 | 0.512019219754885 | 0.954 | 0.551 | 2.37199686540404E-35 |
| KRT15 | 1.00209060750766E-21 | 0.327423798792451 | 0.211 | 0.03 | 2.64416057216144E-17 |
| KRT18 | 2.3749419077221E-54 | 0.371834626936821 | 0.466 | 0.057 | 5.90814290636483E-50 |
| KRT19 | 2.917369133103E-57 | 0.77009489057003 | 0.792 | 0.272 | 7.25739903911636E-53 |
| KRT8 | 1.95430325717363E-55 | 0.296545123782902 | 0.423 | 0.035 | 4.081720198288E-51 |

#### MSCs

| gene | p_val | avg_logFC | pct.1 | pct.2 | p_val_adj |
|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| KRT8 | 8.1781174071683E-12 | -0.788924436402796 | 0.107 | 0.224 | 2.03447026738127E-07 |
| KRT14 | 1.0273513052100E-100 | 0.489689085742013 | 0.132 | 0.004 | 2.55574199128531E-96 |
| KRT18 | 1.6758964948967E-39 | -1.46872929126273 | 0.157 | 0.428 | 3.92035760102353E-35 |
| KRT8 | 8.1781174071683E-12 | -0.788924436402796 | 0.107 | 0.224 | 2.03447026738127E-07 |

#### Fibroblasts

| gene | p_val | avg_logFC | pct.1 | pct.2 | p_val_adj |
|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| KRT14 | 0 | 0.77471625188696 | 0.224 | 0.003 | 0 |
| KRT17 | 2.6908675919885E-296 | 0.31058569332385 | 0.148 | 0.001 | 6.8844190325898E-292 |

#### ScCs

| gene | p_val | avg_logFC | pct.1 | pct.2 | p_val_adj |
|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| KRT5 | 9.4092517212327E-177 | 2.3052972119242 | 0.538 | 0.002 | 2.36088628027446E-172 |
| KRT8 | 3.9861963124806E-32 | 0.800334531164587 | 0.333 | 0.02 | 9.9164605969128E-28 |
| KRT10 | 0.136633579018209 | -0.275482038836999 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 1 |
| KRT13 | 4.9758670989826E-141 | 2.1047124975943 | 0.359 | 0 | 1.238518314016E-136 |
| KRT14 | 1.58832510524415E-20 | 2.90952628899739 | 0.667 | 0.177 | 3.951275639431588E-16 |
| KRT15 | 3.209991748670151 | 0.128 | 0 | 6.39257645712096E-47 |
| KRT16 | 2.058691860055912E-161 | 2.31980135130677 | 0.436 | 0.001 | 5.12336653053508E-157 |
| KRT17 | 1.72298943997506E-92 | 0.7787053053477 | 0.231 | 0.002 | 4.30998210285495E-58 |
| KRT18 | 2.6266668227313E-13 | 0.40673670060318 | 0.282 | 0.037 | 7.2766756254088E-09 |
| KRT19 | 7.3897472017057E-193 | 2.87914734216864 | 0.536 | 0.001 | 1.93766081237395E-88 |
| KRT8 | 3.9861963124806E-32 | 0.800334531164587 | 0.333 | 0.02 | 9.014605969128E-28 |

**Table S4.** Keratin-encoding genes differentially expressed (p < 0.001) between periodontal and dental pulp epithelial cells, MSCs, fibroblasts and ScCs, related to Figure 3 and Figure 4.
### Table S5. Genes encoding for metalloproteases (MMP) differentially expressed ($p < 0.001$) between periodontal and dental pulp fibroblasts, epithelial cells, and endothelial cells, related to Figure 4.
Table S6. Genes encoding for non-collagenous bone associated-proteins differentially expressed (p < 0.001) between periodontal and dental pulp fibroblasts and MSCs, related to Figure 3 and Figure 4.

Transparent methods

Resource availability

The accession number for all sequencing data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE161267. All code is publicly available at: https://github.com/TheMoorLab/Tooth

Experimental model and subject details

The procedure for the collection of anonymized human dental pulp and periodontal cells at the Center of Dental Medicine (ZZM) of the University of Zurich was approved by the Ethic Commission of the Kanton of Zurich (reference number 2012-0588) and the patients gave their written informed consent. Samples were obtained in fully anonymized form from patients of 18-35 years of age.

Method details, quantification and statistical analysis

Isolation of cells from the dental pulp and the periodontium for single cell RNA sequencing. Tooth extractions were performed by professional dentists at the Oral Surgery department of ZZM of the University of Zurich. Evaluation of the health status of the tooth was done post-extraction, upon direct observation of the specimen. All procedures were performed in accordance with the current guidelines. Teeth were collected immediately after extraction and preserved in sterile NaCl 0.9%, on ice for the time needed to transfer them from the clinic to the processing laboratory (< 10 minutes). The periodontium was isolated by scratching the lower two-thirds of the root of the teeth with a surgical scalpel directly into a Petri dish filled with sterile, cold Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution
The upper-third of the root was excluded to minimize contamination from the gingival epithelium. The cleansed tooth was then carefully wiped with 70% ethanol. The tooth was then cracked with a press, and carefully opened with forceps. The dental pulp was then removed from the tooth with a separate set of instruments, placed in a Petri dish filled with cold HBSS and minced into small pieces (< 2 mm diameter). Thereafter, periodontal and pulp tissues were transferred in falcon tubes filled with HBSS, centrifuged at 4°C, 300g, for 10 minutes. Tissues were digested in 10 mL Collagenase P 5 U/mL (11 213 873 001, Sigma Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) for 40 minutes at 37°C. After digestion, samples were disaggregated by pipetting, filtered through a 70 μm cell strainer, and resuspended in HBSS + 0.002% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA; 0163.2, Roth AG, Arlesheim, Switzerland).

**Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) using 10X Genomics platform.** The quality and concentration of the single cell preparations were evaluated using a hemocytometer in a Leica DM IL LED microscope and adjusted to 1’000 cells/µl. 10’000 cells per sample were loaded into the 10X Chromium controller and library preparation was performed according to the manufacturer’s indications (single cell 3’ v2 or v3 protocol). The resulting libraries were sequenced in an Illumina NovaSeq sequencer according to 10X Genomics recommendations (paired end reads, R1=26, i7=8, R2=98) to a depth of around 50,000 reads per cell.

**Computational analysis.** Velocity analysis was performed using scVelo (Bergen et al., 2019) and Python v3.6. Velocity was only calculated for patients’ samples sequenced with 10X v3. All other data analysis was performed using Seurat v3 (Stuart et al., 2019) and R version 3.6.4. Clusters were visualized using uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) (McInnes et al., 2018). Dental pulp and periodontium data were initially analyzed separately. Data was scaled and transformed using SCTransform (Hafemeister and Satija, 2019) for variance stabilization. Analysis of merged dental pulp and periodontium data was performed by integrating data with R package Harmony (Korsunsky et al., 2019) to cluster data into cell types. Any subsequent analysis was done using raw data and not data transformed after integration. In particular, all statistical analysis of differential expression was performed on unintegrated and untransformed data as both could lead to dependencies in the data rendering the assumption of independence of the statistical test void. Differential expression analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. All p values reported were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction. The extended Jaccard similarity was computed on the top three thousand differentially expressed genes across the two datasets (pulp and perio samples).
**Processing of human teeth for immunofluorescent staining.** Teeth used for histological analysis and immunostaining were immediately fixed by immersion in paraformaldehyde 4% (PFA 4%) for 24 hours, then decalcified in Morse’s solution for 8 weeks, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and serially sectioned at 5 µm. From a subset of teeth, the dental pulp was immediately extracted and fixed in PFA 4% for 2 hours. The specimens were then incubated in Sucrose 30%, embedded in Tissue Tek® O.C.T.™ (4583, Sakura, Alphen aan den Rijn, Netherlands), and serially sectioned at 10 µm.

**Immunostaining.** Paraffin sections were rehydrated by incubation in Xylol followed by a series of Ethanol solutions (100% to 30%) and distilled H₂O. Cryosections were let dry at room temperature for 1 hour and then washed with PBS before immunostaining. Cells used for immunofluorescent staining were first fixed in PFA 4% for 15 minutes at 4°C. Thereafter, specimens were blocked with PBS supplemented with 2% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and incubated with primary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. The following primary antibodies were used: Rabbit anti-Keratin 14 (1:500; PRB-155P, BioLegend, San Diego, CA, U.S.A.), Mouse anti-Vimentin (1:100; M0725, Dako, Baar, Switzerland), Mouse anti-FRZB (1:50, LS-B6898-50, LSBio, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.), Rabbit anti-Dentin Sialophosphoprotein (DSPP) (1:100, ENH083, Kerafast, Boston, MA, U.S.A.), Rabbit anti-Laminin (1:20; ab11575, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), anti-MBP (1:200; MAB386, Millipore), anti-CD31 (1:50; ab28364, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-CD234 (1:50; 566424, BD, Eysin Switzerland), anti-CD31 (1:20, ab28364, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The sections were then incubated with Fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature at dark. The following secondary antibodies were used: Alexa-568 Donkey anti-Rabbit (1:500; A10042, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland), Alexa-488 Chicken anti-Goat (1:500; A-21467, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland), Alexa-488 Goat anti-Rabbit (1:500; A32731, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland), Alexa-568 Goat anti-Rat (1:500; A-11077, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland). DAPI (4’,6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole; D1306, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland) was then used for nuclear staining. After immunofluorescent staining, samples were mounted in ProLong™ Diamond Antifade Mountant (P36965, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Reinach, Switzerland), and imaged with a Leica SP8 Inverted Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (Leica Microsystems- Schweiz AG, Heerbrugg, Switzerland).
| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Antibodies          |        |            |
| anti-Keratin 14     | BioLegend | Cat#PRB-155P |
| anti-Vimentin       | Agilent/DAKO | Cat#M0725 |
| Anti-DSPP           | Kerafast | Cat#ENH083 |
| Anti-GFRA3          | Abcam | Cat#ab8028 |
| Anti-MBP            | Millipore | Cat#MAB386 |
| Anti-CD31           | Abcam | Cat#ab28364 |
| Anti-Laminin        | Abcam | Cat#ab11575 |
| Anti-CD234          | BD | Cat#566424 |
| Anti-FRZB           | LSBio | Cat#LS-B6898-50 |
| Bacterial and Virus Strains |        |            |
| nn                  |        |            |
| Biological Samples  |        |            |
| Human teeth         | Center of Dental Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland | nn |
| Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins | | |
| nn                  |        |            |
| Critical Commercial Assays | | |
| nn                  |        |            |
| Deposited Data      |        |            |
| Raw and analyzed data | This paper | GEO: GSE161267 |
| Experimental Models: Cell Lines | | |
| nn                  |        |            |
| Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains | | |
| Oligonucleotides |  |
|-----------------|---|
| nn              | nn|

| Recombinant DNA |  |
|-----------------|---|
| nn              | nn|

| Software and Algorithms |  |
|-------------------------|---|
| **ImageJ**              | Schneider et al., 2012 | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/ |
| **Seurat v3**           | Stuart et. Al. 2019   | https://satijalab.org/seurat/v3.0/integration.html |
| **R Package Harmony**   | Korsunsky et al., 2019| https://github.com/immunogenomics/harmony |
| **R Package NicheNet**  | Browaeys et al. 2020  | https://github.com/saeyeslab/nichenetr |
| **R version 3.6.4**     | R Project             | https://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base/old/3.6.4/ |

| Other |  |
|-------|---|
| nn    | nn|
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