Clinical characteristics of Taiwanese patients with Hereditary spastic paraplegia type 5
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Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the clinical, electrophysiological, neuroimaging characteristics and genetic features of SPG5 in Taiwan. Methods: Mutational analysis of the coding regions of CYP7B1 was performed by utilizing targeted resequencing analysis of the 187 unrelated Taiwanese HSP patients. The diagnosis of SPG5 was ascertained by the presence of biallelic CYP7B1 mutations. The SPG5 patients received clinical, electrophysiological, and neuroimaging evaluations. Disease severity was assessed by using the Spastic Paraplegia Rating Scale (SPRS) and the disability score. Two microsatellite markers as well as 18 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers flanking CYP7B1 were genotyped to assess the founder effect of the CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation. Results: Nineteen SPG5 patients from 17 families were identified. They typically presented an insidious onset progressive spastic paraparesis with proprioception involvement beginning at age 8 to 40 years. Their MRIs often showed white matter abnormalities in bilateral occipito-parietal regions, spinal cord atrophy, and mild cerebellar atrophy. Six different mutations in CYP7B1 were recognized, including three novel ones (p.N131Ifs*4, p.A295V, and p.L439R). CYP7B1 p.R112* was the most common mutation and present in 88.2% of the 17 SPG5 pedigrees. The patients with homozygous CYP7B1 p.R112* mutations had a milder clinical severity. Detailed haplotype analyses demonstrated a shared haplotype in the 25 individuals carrying at least one single allele of CYP7B1 p.R112*, suggesting a founder effect. Interpretation: This study delineates the distinct clinical and genetic features of SPG5 in Taiwan and provides useful information for the diagnosis and management of SPG5, especially in patients of Chinese descent.

Introduction

Hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP) is a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group of neurodegenerative diseases characterized by slowly progressive spasticity and weakness of the lower extremities with or without other neurological system involvement. Currently, more than 80 different loci and 67 genes have been shown to be associated with HSP.1-3 Spastic paraplegia type 5 (SPG5) is an autosomal recessive (AR) subtype of HSP and caused by mutations in the CYP7B1 gene, which encodes the cytochrome P450 oxysterol 7α-hydroxylase (CYP7B1).4 This enzyme participates in the acidic pathway metabolizing cholesterol to bile acid by catalyzing 7α-hydroxylation of two oxysterols: 27-hydroxycholesterol (27-OHC) and 25-hydroxycholesterol (25-OHC) to
produce intermediate products of bile. Mutations in CYP7B1 were first identified in the patients with congenital cholestasis, and later found to cause SPG5 more frequently.

SPG5 accounts for 3-7.9% of HSP patients in different populations. The most common CYP7B1 mutation in Caucasian populations was c.1456C>T (p.R486C), which was identified in 16-18% of the SPG5 patients. Goizet et al. identified 13 SPG5 patients from nine families and found pure form HSP in seven families and complex from HSP in two. Schölß et al. found that the concentration of serum 27-OHC was associated with the disease severity of SPG5. Although SPG5 has been well characterized in West countries, the information in other populations remains sparse, especially the clinical features and genotype–phenotype correlation.

This study aims at investigating the clinical features, neuroimaging findings, and frequency and spectrum of CYP7B1 mutations of Taiwanese patients with SPG5. We also demonstrated the genotype–phenotype correlation and the founder effect of the CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation.

Patients and Methods

Study subjects

A consecutive series of 187 unrelated individuals with HSP were enrolled from the Neurological Services of Taipei Veterans General Hospital between 1998 and 2018. All the participants were Taiwanese of Han Chinese ethnicity. Among the 187 HSP patients, SPG5 patients were diagnosed according to the presence of biallelic CYP7B1 mutations. Family members of the patients with SPG5 were also recruited for further studies, such as clinical evaluation and haplotype analysis to investigate the possible founder effect of CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital and the written informed consents were obtained from all the participants.

Mutation analysis

Mutational analysis of CYP7B1 was performed by utilizing a targeted resequencing panel covering the 76 genes associated with HSP (Table S1). The samples were sequenced on the HiSeq2500 platform (Illumina). All sequenced reads were mapped to the Human Genome version 19 (hg19/GRCh37). The BaseSpace pipeline (https://basespace.illumina.com/) and the IlluminaVariantStudio software (http://variantstudio.software.illumina.com/) were utilized to do variant calling and annotate variants, respectively. We further confirmed the CYP7B1 variants by Sanger sequencing. The novel putative pathogenic CYP7B1 variants were discriminated by their absence or presence with an extremely rare allele frequency in the public genome databases, including Taiwan biobank database (https://taiwanview.twbiobank.org.tw/index) containing 1517 Taiwanese healthy control genomes and the genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD, version r2.0.2; http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org). In silico prediction of the functional effects of the variants was performed using two bioinformatic programs, Provean (http://provean.jcvi.org/index.php) and Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD, GRCh38-v1.4, https://cadd.gs.washington.edu). Evolutionary conservation of the mutated amino acid residue was analyzed by aligning the amino-acid sequences of CYP7B1 orthologs from several species utilizing the UniProt website (http://www.uniprot.org).

Clinical evaluation and Imaging studies

Disease severity was assessed by using the Spastic Paraplegia Rating Scale (SPRS) and the disability score. SPRS is a 13-item scale designed to rate functional impairment by evaluating walking ability, muscle power, spasticity, pain and urinary function. Each scale item can have a score of 0 to 4, where 4 indicates most severe impairment. The disability score grades functional impairment from 0 (no functional handicap), 1 (signs at examination), 2 (able to run, walking unlimited), 3 (unable to run, limited walking without aid), 4 (walking with one stick), 5 (walking with two sticks), 6 (requiring wheelchair) to 7 (confined to bed). Other workups included magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of brain and spinal cord, electrophysiological studies (nerve conduction studies (NCS), somatic sensory evoked potential (SSEP) and motor evoked potential (MEP), and general blood test.

We reviewed a series of the images of the SPG5 patients, including brain MRI from 13 patients, and MRI of cervical and/or thoracic spinal cords from 14 patients. The control images came from 20 healthy individuals, which were age- and gender-matched to the 14 SPG patients (Table S2). The anterioposterior diameters of cervical and thoracic spinal cords are measured at the C2 and T4 levels, and the measurements were carried out by two investigators (Chou C.T. and Jih K.Y.) independently in a blinded manner.

Haplotype analysis

To investigate the founder effect of the CYP7B1p.R112* mutation in Taiwan, haplotype analysis was performed in 15 HSP patients carrying one or two p.R112* alleles and their 11 unaffected family members by genotyping 18 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers and two
microsatellite markers flanking the CYP7B1 gene and covering a region of 2.4Mb in size. These genetic markers are rs10100978, rs1217095, rs6994250, rs11994609, rs2166124, rs4573320, rs59524902, D8S512, rs4367588, rs8192906, rs3779869, rs4465006, rs116843046, D8S544, rs61146973, rs7842714, rs4572155, rs985116, rs9298109, and rs7823966. The first 12 markers are telomeric and the remaining ones are centromeric to CYP7B1.

Statistical analysis
We included the 19 Taiwanese patients with SPG5 in this study and the 31 Caucasian SPG5 patients reported by Schöls et al. to analyze genotype-phenotype relationship. Student’s t test was used to compare the clinical features between SPG5 patients carrying the homozygous p.R112* mutation and those harboring other mutations. To test the associations between SPRS and disease duration, multivariate regression analysis was performed with adjustment of sex and onset age. The diameters of spinal cord were compared between the SPG5 patients and normal controls using Student’s t test. All the statistical analysis was done by SPSS Statistics version 19.

Results
Mutation analysis
Among the 187 unrelated patients, SPG5 accounts for 9.1% (17/187) of the HSP pedigrees in our cohort. Mutation analysis of CYP7B1 in the 17 SPG5 patients revealed six different mutations, including p.R112* (c.334C>T), p.R388* (c.1162C>T), p.N131Kfs*3 (c.392–393insA), p.N131Kfs*4 (c.392–392delA), p.A295V (c.884C>T), and p.L439R (c.1316T>G) (Fig. 1). The last three mutations were never reported before. These three mutations were absent in Taiwan biobank database and absent or present with an extreme rare allele frequency (<10⁻⁵) in GnomAD and predicted to be deleterious by Provean or CADD programs (Table 1). The CYP7B1 p.N131Kfs*4 is a truncating frameshift mutation, which leads to a loss of approximately three fourths of the size of the protein. Both CYP7B1 p.A295V and p.L439R mutations alter the amino acid residues which are evolutionarily conserved at least from human to reptile (Fig. 1B). Both the p.A295V and p.L439R mutations were detected in trans with the pathogenic p.R112* mutation in the patients. According to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology (ACMG-AMP) guidelines, the p.N131Kfs*4 can be classified as pathogenic and the p.A295V and p.L439R can be categorized as likely-pathogenic.22 The CYP7B1 mutations in our cohort and those ever reported in literature were illustrated in the schematic diagram. (Fig. 2).

Thirteen of the 17 unrelated patients (76.5%) are homozygous for the CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation and another one is homozygous for the p.R388* mutation. The other three patients are compound heterozygous for the p.[R112*];[A295V], p.[R112*];[L439R], and p.[N131Kfs*3];[N131Kfs*4] mutations, respectively.

Clinical features and electrophysiological findings
Among the 17 unrelated SPG5 patients, 15 were apparently sporadic cases and two had one affected sibling each. The phenotypic and genotypic features of SPG5 patients are summarized in Table 2. The SPG5 patients in our cohort typically presented an insidious onset progressive spastic paraparesis with proprioception involvement. The mean onset age was 20.8 ± 11.5 years (range: 8–40). The mean muscle power (MRC grade) of hip flexors was 4.1 ± 0.88 (range 2–5). Exaggerated deep tendon reflexes were present in nearly all patients (94.1%) at the lower limbs whereas only present in a small part of the patients (23.5%) at the upper limbs. Babinski sign was present in most of the patients (86.7%). Almost all the patients (92.9%) had position and vibration sense impairment and 64.3% of all the patients had surface sensory deficit. More than half of the patients exhibited dysmetria at the lower limbs (57.1%) and only a minor group of them had upper limb dysmetria (14.3%). None of them had other signs of cerebellar ataxia. About 43.8% of the patients reported urinary urgency or incontinence. The mean SPRS of the patients was 20.9 ± 12.0 (range 7–41). The ambulatory function was graded by disability score. One fourth of the patients (25%) could walk without assistance, that is, disability score was less than 3. The others (62.5%) required one or two sticks for walking (disability score: 3 to 5). Two patients (12.5%) were confined to bed.

Twelve of the SPG5 patients had ever received NCS and all had normal finding. Five patients underwent SSEP studies and three had absent cortical response of both median nerve and tibial nerve SSEPs. The other two patients had absent response of tibial nerve SSEPs and prolonged latencies of median nerve SSEPs. All of four patients received MEP studies showed prolonged central motor conduction time to the arms and legs.

MRI findings
The MRI findings of cerebral and spinal cord in the SPG5 patients are listed in Table 3. The main brain MRI abnormalities were white matter abnormality (WMA) in bilateral occipito-parietal regions on T2 and FLAIR images.
Fig. 3), being present in 10 (76.9%) of the 13 patients who had received brain MRI study before. Besides, mild cerebellar atrophy also appeared in four of the seven patients with available sagittal views of brain MRI (57.1%).

In comparison with the healthy controls, the SPG5 patients had a significantly smaller anteroposterior diameter of spinal cord at C2 (6.7 ± 0.72 mm vs. 7.5 ± 0.66 mm, \( P = 0.0019 \)) and T4 levels (5.1 ± 0.45 mm vs. 66.3 ± 0.45 mm, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 3). The values of the C2 and T4 diameters of each individual are listed in Table S2.

**Homzygous p.R112* mutations are associated with lower SPRS**

The mean SPRS score was 20.9 ± 12.0 (available for 14 individuals, range 7–41) with a mean disease duration of
20 years (range 4–44 years). When we included the data of the 31 SPG5 patients in the study by Schölß et al.,
there was a significant correlation ($R^2 = 0.341, P < 0.0001$) between disease durations and SPRS scores (Fig. 4A), supporting SPRS as an appropriate scale to measure disease progression of SPG5.

To compare the clinical features between patients with homozygous p.R112* mutation and those with other mutations, we examined the onset age, disease duration, SPRS, the presence of ataxia, surface sensation, position sense, vibration sense, and urinary symptoms and included the data from the study by Schölß et al. The patients with the homozygous p.R112* mutation had a significant lower SPRS than that of patients with other CYP7B1 mutations ($P = 0.028$) after adjusting onset age and sex. Other symptoms and parameters did not show significant difference in patients homozygous to CYP7B1 p.R112* and those with other mutations (Table S3). The distribution of SPRS in the two groups is shown in Figure 4B.

**Founder effect of CYP7B1 p.R112* in Taiwan**

Haplotype analysis was performed in 25 individuals from 14 SPG5 families harboring the CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation, including 13 patients with homozygous p.R112*
| No. | Age/ Sex | CYP7B1 Mutations | Onset age | Family history | SPRS (0-7) | Disability score | Muscle Strength (hip flexors) | Babi-nski sign | Sensory impairment | Position/ Vibration | Dysmetria | Urinary symptoms |
|-----|----------|------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| A   | 30/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 7         | No            | 10         | 2               | 5                | ++                 | +++               | ++                | −/−/−/−/−  | −               |
| B   | 49/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 31        | No            | 18         | 4               | 4                | +++               | +++               | −                  | −/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| C   | 33/M     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 13        | No            | 9           | 2               | 5                | +++               | +++               | −                  | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| D   | 51/M     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 36        | No            | 13          | 3               | 4                | ++                | +++               | ++/−               | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| E   | 44/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 40        | No            | 23          | 3               | 4                | +++               | +++               | −                  | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| F   | 19/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 11        | No            | 7           | 2               | 5                | ++                | +++               | −                  | −/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| G   | 42/M     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 15        | No            | 24          | 5               | 3                | ++                | +++               | −                  | −/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| H   | 46/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 36        | No            | 13          | 3               | 4                | ++                | +++               | −                  | −/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| I   | 45/M     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 16        | Yes           | 16          | 3               | 4                | ++/−               | +++               | −                  | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| J   | 34/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 15        | No            | 11          | 1               | 5                | +                 | +++               | −/−                | −/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| K   | 35/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 30        | No            | NA          | 7               | NA               | ++                | +++               | −                  | NA/−/−/−/− | +               |
| L   | 47/F     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 32        | No            | NA          | 4               | NA               | NA                | NA                | −                  | NA/−/−/−/− | NA/NA          |
| M   | 66/M     | p.[R112*][R112*]| 31        | No            | NA          | NA              | NA               | NA                | NA                | −                  | NA/−/−/−/− | NA/NA          |
| N   | 42/M     | p.[R112*][L439R]| 28        | No            | 27          | 4               | 4                | −                 | +++               | +                  | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| O   | 52/F     | p.[R112*][A295V]| 8         | No            | 41          | 7               | 2                | ++                | +++               | +                  | +/−/−/−/−  | −/−/−/−/−/− | Can’t − |
| P−1 | 40/F     | p.[N131I*][4];  | 10        | Yes           | 40          | 5               | 3                | +++               | +++               | +                  | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| P−2 | 39/M     | p.[N131I*][4];  | 25        | Yes           | 41          | 5               | 4                | +++               | +++               | −                  | +/−/−/−/−  | +               |
| Q−1 | 39/F     | p.[R388*][R388*]| 8         | Yes           | NA          | NA              | NA               | ++                | +++               | −                  | NA/−/−/−/− | −/−/−/−/−/− |
| Q−2 | 36/M     | p.[R388*][R388*]| 12        | Yes           | NA          | NA              | NA               | ++                | +++               | −                  | NA/−/−/−/− | +               |

SPRS, Spastic Paraplegia Rating Scale; DTR, deep tendon reflex (+++++); UL, upper limbs; LL, lower limbs; NA, not available; +, presence of symptoms or abnormalities; −, negative for symptoms or abnormalities.

1Disability score: see text.

2Medical Research Council (MRC) Scale 0-5.
Table 3. MRI findings of the 15 patients with SPG5.

| Patient | Brain MRI | Cord antero-posterior diameter (mm) |
|---------|-----------|------------------------------------|
|         |           | C2      | T4      |
| A       | WMH       | 5.5     | 5.5     |
| B       | WMH, no CA| 6.4     | 4.8     |
| C       | WMH       | 6.7     | 5.7     |
| D       | NA        | 6.7     | 5.6     |
| F       | Normal, no CA | 8.4     | 5.3     |
| G       | WMH, CA   | 6.1     | NA      |
| H       | WMH, CA   | 7.4     | 5.5     |
| I       | NA        | NA      | 5.2     |
| J       | Normal, no CA | 6.2     | 4.5     |
| N       | WMH       | NA      | 4.5     |
| O       | WMH       | 7.1     | 5.2     |
| P-1     | WMH, CA   | 6.4     | 4.5     |
| P-2     | WMH, CA   | NA      | NA      |
| Q-1     | WMH       | 6.8     | 4.8     |
| Q-2     | Normal    | 6.7     | 5.5     |
| mean    | WMH (76.9%, 10/13) | 6.7 ± 0.72 | 5.1 ± 0.45 |
|         | CA (57.1%, 4/7) |          |         |
| Control subjects (n = 20) | 7.5 ± 0.66 | 6.3 ± 0.45 |
| P-value\(^1\) | 0.0019 | P < 0.0001 |

CA, cerebellar atrophy; NA, not available; WMH, white matter T2-hyperintensity in bilateral occipito-parietal regions.

\(^1\)Comparing the diameters of the patients with those of the controls by t-test.

Discussion

This study comprehensively investigates the clinical, electrophysiological, neuroimaging, and genetic features of a Taiwanese cohort of 19 patients with SPG5 from 17 families. This is the largest SPG5 cohort of Han Chinese ancestry with clear clinical and genetic information. There are several intriguing findings from this study. First, SPG5 accounts for 9.1% (17/187) of the Taiwanese HSP cohort. SPG5 is the second most common HSP subtype in Taiwan next to SPG4 (data not shown). Second, CYP7B1 p.R112\(^*\) is the most common mutation in the Taiwanese SPG5 patients and present in 88.2% of the pedigrees, including 76.4% with the homozygous p.R112\(^*\) mutations. Third, the SPG5 patients typically presented with an insidious onset progressive spastic paraparesis, proprioception impairment, and lower limb dysmetria. Fourth, the electrophysiological studies revealed that the SPG5 patients had abnormal central motor and proprioception conduction with normal peripheral nerve function. Fifth, neuroimaging studies demonstrated that spinal cord atrophy, WHA in bilateral occipito-parietal regions and mild cerebellar atrophy. Finally, there is a founder effect for the p.R112\(^*\) mutation in Taiwanese patients and the homozygous p.R112\(^*\) mutation tend to have a milder clinical severity.

In the SPG5 cohort described by Schöls et al., 94% had dorsal column sensory deficits, 50% had reduced surface sensation, 47% had lower limb ataxia, and 55% had an affected urinary function.\(^1\) Our SPG5 patients also manifested similar clinical presentations. Within our SPG5 cohort, 92.9% had proprioception deficits, 64.3% patients had abnormal surface sensation, 57.1% had ataxia at the lower limbs, and 43.8% reported urinary urgency or incontinence. The common phenotypic features found in the two cohorts of different ethnicities are proprioception deficits and lower limb ataxia, which might be helpful features for recognizing SPG5 from different HSP subtypes. The proprioception deficits in SPG5 are of central origin and peripheral nerve system is sparing. Both the study by Marelli et al. and ours showed that SPG5 patients had abnormal SSEPs but normal NCS.\(^1\) In addition to proprioception deficits, the lower limb ataxia of SPG5 may also come from cerebellar dysfunction. Cerebellar involvement had been reported in a small number of patients previously.\(^1\) In our study, we found that gait ataxia in our patients usually went beyond the degree of proprioception deficits and accompanied with impaired heel-knee-shin test. Brain MRIs also revealed 57.1% of the patients had mild cerebellar atrophy.

Spinal cord atrophy and WMA in bilateral occipito-parietal regions are two common MRI features in our cohort. Spinal cord atrophy in SPG5 has also been observed in previous two studies.\(^1\) However, our study is the first one to investigate spinal cord diameters in SPG5 patients. The cord atrophy may reflect the damage of corticospinal and somatosensory tracts. Further studies are warranted to decide whether spinal cord diameters can be utilized as a disease progression marker for SPG5. Bilateral occipito-parietal WMA in SPG5 had also been reported in several studies.\(^2\) The exact pathology of the cerebral WMA remains elusive. However, MR spectroscopy (MRS) revealed gliosis or demyelination in the regions of WMA in SPG5 patients.\(^3\) Interestingly, several other types of HSP with disease genes involving lipid metabolism also exhibit WMA, for example, SPG26 (B4GALNT1),\(^2\) SPG35 (FA2H),\(^2\) SPG46 (GBA2),\(^2\) SPG54 (DDHD2),\(^2\) and SPG56 (CYP2U1).\(^2\) Similarly,
cerebrotendinous xanthomatosis, caused by CYP27A1 mutations and resulted in cholestanol accumulation, also presented with WMA in MRI.32 These phenomena may be due to that lipid metabolism is crucial for central myelin development and maintenance. Hence, the defects of enzymes regulating lipid metabolism may lead to cerebral WMA.

The mutational spectrum of SPG5 in Taiwan is very different from those in Caucasian populations. The p.R112* mutation is very rare in Caucasian populations.9,12-14 So far, only three families with p.R112* mutation were identified in European studies.12,14,24 The CYP7B1 mutations in European SPG5 patients varied widely and the most common one was p.R486C, accounting for only 18% of the patients.8,12-13,24,33 The commonness of the p.R112* mutation in the Taiwanese SPG5 patients may come from the founder effect. One previous study reported five Taiwanese SPG5 patients, presenting spastic paraparesis, dorsal column sensory deficits with or without cerebellar ataxia. All the five patients carried one or two alleles of the CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation and shared a common founder haplotype.34 This and our study suggest that the allele frequency of CYP7B1 p.R112* in Taiwan could be unexpectedly high. In Taiwan biobank database, the allele frequency of the CYP7B1 p.R112* in Taiwan was 0.396%. Therefore, the frequency of a Taiwanese individual to carry a homozygous p.R112* mutation is approximately 1.57 per 100,000. This number is very high for a single HSP subtype. One meta-analysis study analyzed 12 HSP-related epidemiology studies and concluded that the average prevalence of AR HSP was 1.8 per 100,000.35 In their analyses, the most common AR HSP subtypes were SPG11 and for SPG15.35 The high allele frequency of CYP7B1 p.R112* in Taiwan may explain why SPG5 accounts for a relatively large proportion (9.1%) of cases in Taiwan. In addition to Taiwan, CYP7B1 p.R112* may also play an important role in SPG5 in East Asia. We checked the gnomAD database and found the allele frequency of CYP7B1 p.R112* in East Asians was 0.171% and that in non-Finnish Europeans was 0.003%. Similar to our findings, one study from Fujian, the southeast province of China, showed that CYP7B1 p.R112* was also common in their SPG5 patients and present in 14 of the 16 SPG5 pedigrees.36

Figure 3. Representative neuroimages of the patients with SPG5. T2-weighted images or fluid attenuation inversion recovery images of brain and spinal cord MRI from a 31-year-old female patient with p.[N131Kfs*3];[N131Ifs*4] mutation (lane A) and a 44-year-old female patient with homozygous p.R112* mutation (lane B) demonstrate white matter hyperintensity in bilateral occipito-parietal regions (arrowhead), mild cerebellar atrophy (arrow), cervical and thoracic cord atrophy (T4 is labeled with asterisks), in comparison with normal control (lane C).
Figure 4. (A) Linear regression of SPRS scores by disease durations in our cohort \( (n = 14, \text{solid squares: homozygous CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation, solid circles: other genotypes}) \) and data from Schäls et al. \( (n = 31, \text{hollow circles}) \). There was a moderate correlation between the SPRS and disease duration with an estimated disease progression rate (SPRS points per year with the disease) of 0.55 points/year \( (R^2 = 0.341, P < 0.001) \).

(B) The scatter plot of SPRS in the SPG5 patients with a homozygous CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation and those with other genotypes (including data from Schäls et al).13 The SPRS were significantly lower in patients with homozygous p.R112* mutation than those with other genotypes despite the two groups having similar disease durations.

Figure 5. Haplotype analysis of 12 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers flanking the CYP7B1 gene in 13 pedigrees harboring the CYP7B1 p.R112* (c.334C>T) mutation. The squares and circles denote males and females, respectively. The filled symbols represent patients and open symbols designate unaffected members. Dotted symbols indicate asymptomatic carriers. A slash indicates deceased individuals. The mutated nucleotide is labeled in red and the linked haplotype is labeled with blue.
We demonstrated a common haplotype linked to p.R112* mutation in 14 Taiwanese SPG5 families, covering a region of 954Kb between loci rs6994250 and rs6985116. These findings support that individuals carrying p.R112* mutation might be descendants from a common ancestor. Given the geographical proximity between Taiwan and Fujian, individuals with CYP7B1 p.R112* in both regions may share the same founder haplotype. We compared the data of haplotype analyses from the study by Dong et al. and our study. The carriers harboring CYP7B1 p.R112* in both Taiwan and Fujian shared a shorter common haplotype, covering a region of approximately 200Kb between loci rs8192906 and rs7842714. Therefore, the CYP7B1 p.R112* mutation in Taiwan or Fujian may arise from a single founder mutation. A milder clinical severity associated with the homozygous p.R112* mutation may make this mutation more easily inherited from parents to offspring than other CYP7B1 mutations.
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