Effectiveness of dietary interventions on weight outcomes in childhood: a systematic review meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials
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Background: Rapid growth and elevated weight in childhood are significantly associated with obesity in later life, but evidence regarding dietary interventions and weight outcomes is lacking. This study aimed to determine the effectiveness of dietary interventions on body mass index (BMI) and BMI z-score in childhood.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane library were searched from inception till June 2019. Studies that investigated the effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI and BMI z-score in childhood were considered eligible in our study. The changes in BMI and BMI z-score between dietary interventions and control were calculated by pooled weighted mean differences (WMDs) and 95% CIs were evaluated using random-effects model.

Results: Twenty-eight randomized controlled trials involving a total of 17,488 children were included. The summary WMDs indicated that children who received dietary interventions had greater reduction in BMI (WMD: –0.12; 95% CI: –0.20 to –0.05; P=0.001) and BMI z-score (WMD: –0.04; 95% CI: –0.06 to –0.02; P=0.001) when compared to the usual controls. Subgroup analyses revealed that the sample size, mean age, duration of interventions, and study quality could affect the effectiveness of dietary interventions in children.

Conclusions: The findings of this meta-analysis suggested that dietary interventions improved BMI and BMI z-score, whereas these results are limited due to substantial heterogeneity and study quality of the included studies.
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Introduction

Childhood obesity is mainly associated with wide range of adverse psychosocial and physical health outcomes. Therefore, an effective intervention strategy should be developed for preventing childhood obesity, as it is significantly correlated with public health (1). According to a previous study, the effectiveness of early intervention in the first few years of life for preventing overweight and obesity is clearly evident, and the prevalence of overweight is nearly 6.7% in children below 5 years of age (2). Although overweight during infancy is significantly correlated with the occurrence of obesity during childhood, adolescents, and adulthood, the occurrence of overweight during infancy might not be diagnosed by the providers (3,4). Previous
studies have reported that educated mothers focused on both nutritional as well as environmental aspects, which played an important role in preventing non-communicable diseases (5). However, it is still controversial whether dietary interventions through education affects the weight outcomes in childhood.

Nowadays, numerous factors are associated with the progression of obesity in childhood, which included infant feeding practices, children’s eating habits and television watching time, and these are the most modifiable factors (6-10). Moreover, infant feeding practices showed significant correlation with the eating behaviors of children as well as adults in the later life (11). Therefore, obesity-related behaviors were affected by a range of settings, especially the dietary interventions. Recently, several interventional strategies have been employed for preventing and treating childhood obesity, whereas these intervention strategies provided controversial results with slight reduction in the body mass index (BMI) (12,13). Numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs), some participants were preschool age children (14-17) and others were school-age children (18-41), have already investigated the role of dietary interventions on BMI and BMI z-score in childhood, whereas the effect estimates varied owing to differences in the intervention theories. Therefore, we attempted to comprehensively examine the published RCTs for determining the effectiveness of dietary interventions on weight outcomes in childhood. Moreover, stratified analyses were conducted to explore whether the effectiveness of interventions differed according to the sample size, mean age, duration of interventions, and study quality.

We present the following article in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Statement reporting checklist (42) (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-20-183).

Methods

Ethical statement

Institutional Review Board approval was not required because this article is a meta-analysis. The data comes from published articles and does not require ethical approval.

Data sources, search strategy, and selection criteria

Studies that are designed as RCTs and those that evaluated the effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI or BMI z-score were considered eligible for inclusion in this meta-analysis, and there was no restriction to published language and status. PubMed, EmBase, and the Cochrane library were systematically searched for studies published from inception till June 2019. The core search terms used were as follows: (“child*” OR “infant*”) AND (“education” OR “school health services” OR “child health services” OR “community health planning” OR “primary health care” OR “child nutrition sciences” OR “child nutrition disorders” OR “food habits” OR “nutrition assessment” OR “diet” OR “diet therapy”). Moreover, the reference lists of the obtained studies were manually searched for inclusion of any new study.

Two independent authors conducted the literature search and study selection processes, and any disagreement was resolved by discussion with each other until a consensus was reached. Studies were included if they met the following inclusion criteria: (I) study design: studies with RCT design; (II) participants: all individuals were less than 18.0 years, irrespective of their weight status; (III) intervention: individuals who received medical health education containing dietary contents; (IV) control: usual health program; and (V) outcomes: studies reporting BMI or BMI z-score.

Data collection and quality assessment

The collected information from the retrieved studies included the first authors’ surname, publication year, country, sample size, mean age, intervention populations, dietary intervention target, control, duration of intervention, and changes in BMI or BMI z-score. The quality assessment was evaluated using the Cochrane Collaboration risk of bias instrument, and each item was answered with yes, no, or unclear (43). Data collection and quality assessment were conducted by 2 authors, and any conflicts were resolved by an additional author by referring to the original article.

Statistical analysis

The effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI or BMI z-score in childhood was used as continuous variable based on the mean, standard deviation, and sample size in each individual trial. The summary weighted mean differences (WMDs) with its 95% CIs were used to calculate the effect size of dietary interventions by using random-effects model (44,45). F and Q statistics were employed to
assess the heterogeneity across the included trials, and I² >50.0% or P<0.10 was regarded as significant heterogeneity (46,47). Sensitivity analyses for BMI and BMI z-score were carried out to assess the impact of each trial from the overall analysis (48). Subgroup analyses for BMI and BMI z-score were conducted based on sample size, mean age, duration of interventions, and study quality to evaluate the effectiveness of dietary interventions according to the study or individuals’ characteristics. After this, the P value between subgroups was compared through interaction test using Student’s t-test as the number of included studies was small (49). Publication biases for BMI and BMI z-score were evaluated using funnel plot, Egger (50), and Begg (51) tests, and P<0.10 was considered as potentially significant publication bias. The inspection level for pooled results was 2-sided, and P<0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. All analyses in this study were conducted using STATA software (Version 10.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Literature search

Our initial electronic database search yielded 12,471 records. Of these, 5,953 articles were excluded due to duplications. After that, the title and abstract of the remaining 6,518 studies were reviewed, and 6,379 of these were excluded due to irrelevant topics. A total of 139 articles were selected for further evaluation, and 111 of these were excluded due to the following reasons: the intervention strategy did not contain the dietary content (n=46); other health outcomes were reported (n=42); studies reported on similar population (n=17); and data on BMI or BMI z-score were not available (n=6). The reference lists yielded 87 potential studies, whereas all these studies were obtained from electronic searches, and so are excluded as duplicates. Finally, 28 RCTs were selected for quantitative meta-analysis. The detailed literature search and study selection process are presented in Figure 1.

Study characteristics

Of the 28 eligible RCTs, 17,488 children were included for final analysis. The baseline characteristics of the included studies and children are summarized in Table 1. The duration of dietary interventions in individuals was 2.0–72.0 months, and each study included 106–2,950 children. The age of the children included ranged from 0.75–13.0 years, and most of the included trials were conducted in western countries. Study quality of individual trials was shown in Table 2.
Table 1 Baseline characteristic of studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis

| Study                  | Country  | Sample size | Mean age (years) | Intervention populations                                      | Dietary intervention target                                                                                         | Control group                                                                                       | Duration of intervention | Study quality |
|------------------------|----------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| James 2004, (18)       | England  | 644         | 8.7              | School children                                                | Focused educational programme on reducing the consumption of diet carbonated drinks                                 | No changes in relation to interventions schools                                                                 | 12 months               | 1             |
| Muckelbauer 2009, (19) | Germany  | 2,950       | 8.3              | School children                                                | Single school-based intervention provided cooled and optionally carbonated water                                  | Did not receive any intervention                                                                      | 12 months               | 4             |
| Jansen 2011, (20)      | Netherlands | 2,622     | 9.2              | Normal, overweight and obese school children                  | Multi-component intervention main lessons on healthy nutrition, active living and healthy lifestyle choices     | Control schools continued with their usual curriculum                                                   | 9 months                | 4             |
| Manios 2002, (21)      | Crete    | 1,043       | 6.0              | School children and parents                                   | Multi-component school-based Intervention on health and nutrition                                               | Not assign                                                                                           | 72 months               | 2             |
| Siegrist 2013, (22)    | Germany  | 724         | 8.4              | Normal weight and overweight or obese school children         | Multi-component JuvenTUM Intervention on healthy eating                                                        | Usual activities                                                                                     | 12 months               | 1             |
| Gentile 2009, (23)     | USA      | 1,323       | 9.6              | School children and parents                                   | Multiple interventions to eat five fruits/vegetables or more per day                                              | Did not receive any materials                                                                         | 7 months                | 1             |
| Graf 2005, (24)        | Germany  | 651         | 6.9              | School children                                                | Multi-component school-based intervention on nutrition                                                         | Usual health program                                                                                | 20.8 months             | 0             |
| Williamson 2012, (25)  | USA      | 2,060       | 10.5             | Normal weight and overweight or obese school children        | Multi-component intervention to promote healthy nutrition                                                       | The control group received none of the prevention components that are hypothesized to yield weight gain prevention | 28 months               | 2             |
| Sichieri 2009, (26)    | Brazil   | 1,134       | 10.9             | School children                                                | Single school-based intervention focused on the reduction in consumption of sugar-sweetened carbonated beverages by students | Received two one-hour general sessions on health issues and printed general advises regarding healthy diets | 7 months                | 3             |
| Natale 2014, (14)      | USA      | 307         | 3.9              | Preschool-aged children and their parents                     | Healthy menu changes and family-based education focused on fresh produce intake, decreased intake of simple carbohydrate snacks | Attention control program                                                                                   | 12 months               | 3             |
| Johnston 2013, (27)    | USA      | 835         | 7.8              | School children                                                | Professional-facilitated intervention on health and nutrition educational materials                               | self-help control                                                                                      | 24 months               | 4             |

Table 1 (continued)
| Study                  | Country       | Sample size | Mean age (years) | Intervention populations                          | Dietary intervention target                                                                 | Control group                                      | Duration of intervention | Study quality |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| McFarlin 2013, (28)    | USA           | 221         | 13.0             | School children                                  | School-based intervention focused on diet                                                   | self-help control                                  | 12 months                | 1             |
| De Coen 2012, (29)     | Belgium       | 1,102       | 2.5-6.5          | Preschool-aged children and school children      | Nutrition and Physical Activity Health Targets of the Flemish Community                     | Usual health program                              | 24 months                | 3             |
| Kesztyüs 2013, (30)    | Germany       | 719         | 7.6              | School children                                  | The intervention focused on consumption of sweetened beverages                              | Usual health program                              | 22 months                | 1             |
| Llargués 2012, (31)    | Spain         | 426         | 6.0              | School children                                  | Intervention program aimed dietary habits                                                   | Usual health program                              | 24 months                | 2             |
| Kain 2014, (16)        | Chile         | 1,474       | 6.6              | School children                                  | Multi-component intervention focused on nutritional status                                   | Usual health program                              | 15 months                | 4             |
| Puder 2011, (32)       | Europe        | 652         | 5.1              | Preschool-aged children                          | The multidimensional culturally tailored lifestyle intervention focused on lessons on nutrition | Usual health program                              | 10 months                | 5             |
| Grydeland 2014, (36)   | Norway        | 1,324       | 11.2             | School children                                  | The multidimensional culturally tailored lifestyle intervention to promote a healthy diet     | Usual health program                              | 20 months                | 3             |
| Magnusson 2012, (15)   | Iceland       | 321         | 7.4              | School children                                  | The intervention primarily focused on promoting healthy dietary habits, both at school and at home. | Usual health program                              | 24 months                | 2             |
| Larsen 2016, (37)      | Denmark       | 106         | 12.0             | School children                                  | A six-week day-camp intervention focused on healthy diet                                    | Standard intervention arm consisting of one weekly exercise session for six weeks | 12 months                | 5             |
| Davis 2016, (33)       | USA           | 980         | <4.0             | Preschool-aged children                          | CHILE intervention to improving dietary intake                                              | Usual health program                              | 19 months                | 3             |
| Amini 2016, (38)       | Iran          | 334         | Median: 10–12    | School children                                  | The intervention focused on change in food items sold at the schools’ canteens              | Usual health program                              | 4.2 months               | 2             |
| Taveras 2017, (39)     | USA           | 721         | 8.0              | Preschool-aged children and school children      | Enhanced primary care pluscontextually-tailed focused on decreases in sugar-sweetened beverages and improving diet quality | Enhanced primary care                              | 12 months                | 4             |
| Ojeda-Rodríguez 2018, (40) | Spain    | 107         | 11.3             | School children                                  | Lifestyle intervention on nutrient adequacy and diet quality                                  | Usual health program                              | 2 months                 | 2             |
Table 1 (continued)

| Study               | Country         | Sample size | Mean age (years) | Control group | Duration of intervention | Dietary intervention target                                                                                           | Intervention populations                        | Study quality |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Ahmad 2018, (34)    | Malaysia        | 134         | 9.6              | Wait-list control | 6 months                 | The intervention primarily focused on decreases in sugar-sweetened beverages and improving fruits and vegetables         | School children                               | 3             |
| Gómez 2018, (35)    | Spain           | 2,086       | 10.1             | Usual health program | 15 months               | TCHP focused on eating habits and cooking techniques                                                                   | School children                               | 3             |
| Adab 2018, (41)     | UK              | 1,397       | 6.3              | Usual health program | 12 months               | The intervention focused on healthy eating                                                                           | School children                               | 5             |
| Enö Persson 2018, (17) | Sweden    | 1,091       | 0.75–4.0         | Usual healthcare | 12 months               | The intervention to promote healthy food habit                                                                        | Preschool-aged children                        | 1             |

BMI Data regarding the effectiveness of dietary intervention on BMI in childhood were available in 20 trials (22 cohorts). The results revealed that children who received dietary interventions had greater reduction in BMI when compared with usual healthcare (WMD: –0.12; 95% CI: –0.20 to –0.05; P=0.001; Figure 2), and showed a significant heterogeneity among the included trials (I²=99.9%; P<0.001). The results of sensitivity analysis indicated that the conclusion was stable and unaltered by excluding any particular trial (Figure 3).

Subgroup analyses indicated that dietary intervention significantly reduced BMI when compared with healthcare when the sample size <1,000 (WMD: –0.18; 95% CI: –0.37 to –0.00; P=0.047), mean age of individuals ≤6.0 (WMD: –0.25; 95% CI: –0.43 to –0.09; P=0.002) or >10.0 years (WMD: –0.21; 95% CI: –0.30 to –0.11; P<0.001), the duration of intervention ≥12.0 months (WMD: –0.18; 95% CI: –0.27 to –0.09; P<0.001), and studies with high quality (WMD: –0.25; 95% CI: –0.37 to –0.13; P<0.001). Moreover, significant effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI could be affected by sample size, mean age, duration of intervention, and study quality (Table 3).

BMI z-score

Data regarding the effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI z-score in childhood were available in 17 trials (18 cohorts). The summary WMD indicated that children who received dietary interventions had greater reduction in BMI z-score (WMD: –0.04; 95% CI: –0.06 to –0.02; P=0.001; Figure 4), showing a significant heterogeneity across the included trials (I²=99.8%; P<0.001). This conclusion was unaffected by sequential exclusion of included trials (Figure 3).

Subgroup analyses indicated that dietary intervention was associated with greater reduction in BMI z-score irrespective of sample size (≥1,000: WMD, –0.05, 95% CI, –0.10 to –0.01, P=0.020; <1,000: WMD, –0.03, 95% CI, –0.05 to –0.00, P=0.022), mean age >10.0 years (WMD: –0.05; 95% CI: –0.06 to –0.03; P<0.001), the duration of intervention ≥12.0 months (WMD: –0.04; 95% CI: –0.06 to –0.02; P<0.001), and study with high quality (WMD: –0.10; 95% CI: –0.17 to –0.04; P=0.003). These results suggested that the effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI z-score was affected by sample size, mean age, and study quality (Table 3).

Publication bias

Review of funnel plots for BMI and BMI z-score did not
| Study                     | Random sequence generation (selection bias) | Allocation concealment (selection bias) | Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) | Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) | Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) | Selective reporting (reporting bias) | Other bias |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| James 2004, (18)         | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Muckelbauer 2009, (19)   | Yes                                        | Yes                                    | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | Unclear   |
| Jansen 2011, (20)        | Yes                                        | Yes                                    | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | No        |
| Manios 2002, (21)        | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | No        |
| Siegrist 2013, (22)      | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | No        |
| Gentile 2009, (23)       | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | No                                    | Unclear                             | Unclear   |
| Graf 2005, (24)          | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Williamson 2012, (25)    | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | No                                  | No        |
| Sichier 2009, (26)       | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Unclear                             | No        |
| Natale 2014, (14)        | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | No        |
| Johnston 2013, (27)      | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | No        |
| McFarlin 2013, (28)      | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | No                                  | Unclear   |
| De Coen 2012, (29)       | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Unclear                             | No        |
| Kesztyus 2013, (30)      | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Liargués 2012, (31)      | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | No                                  | No        |
| Kain 2014, (16)          | Yes                                        | Yes                                    | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | Unclear   |
| Puder 2011, (32)         | Yes                                        | Yes                                    | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | Yes       |
| Grydeland 2014, (36)     | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Magnusson 2012, (15)     | Yes                                        | Yes                                    | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Larsen 2016, (37)        | Yes                                        | Yes                                    | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | Yes       |
| Davis 2016, (33)         | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Amini 2016, (38)         | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | No                                    | Unclear                             | No        |
| Taveras 2017, (39)       | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | Unclear   |
| Ojeda-Rodriguez 2018, (40)| Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | No                                    | Unclear                             | No        |
| Ahmad 2018, (34)         | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Gómez 2018, (35)         | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
| Adab 2018, (41)          | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | Yes                                            | Yes                                   | Yes                                 | Yes       |
| Enö Persson 2018, (17)   | Yes                                        | No                                     | No                                                       | No                                             | Unclear                               | Unclear                             | No        |
yield any potential publication bias (Figure 5). The results of Egger and Begg tests showed no significant publication bias for BMI (P value for Egger: 0.477; P value for Begg: 0.176) and BMI z-score (P value for Egger: 0.774; P value for Begg: 0.880).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis study that focused on dietary intervention on weight outcomes in childhood. This quantitative meta-analysis recruited 17,488 children from 28 RCTs with varied individual characteristics. The findings of this study provided stable evidence and suggested that dietary intervention was associated with greater reduction in BMI and BMI z-score in childhood. The significant effectiveness of dietary intervention on BMI mainly focused on sample size <1,000, mean age of individuals ≤6.0 or >10.0 years, duration of intervention ≥12.0 months, and high quality studies, whereas significant effectiveness on BMI z-score was mainly detected irrespective of sample size, mean age >10.0 years, duration of intervention ≥12.0 months, and high quality studies.

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses that focused on diet, physical activity and behavioral interventions in children as well as adolescents with obesity have already been conducted. van Hoek et al. have pooled 27 studies and revealed that obese young children who received both dietary and physical activity education and behavioral therapy had the largest pooled change in BMI z-score (52). However, these results were obtained by conducting subgroup analysis of 2 studies. Brown et al. have conducted a meta-analysis of 29 studies including both South Asian children and adults. They pointed out that individuals who received diet or physical activity interventions showed significant improvement in weight, whereas no significant differences were observed in BMI and waist circumference (53). A meta-analysis conducted by Oosterhoff et al. have included 85 RCTs and found that school-based lifestyle interventions resulted in beneficial changes in BMI and blood pressure (54). A meta-analysis of 70 RCTs conducted by Mead et al. have evaluated the effectiveness of diet, physical activity and behavioral interventions in treating overweight or obese children aged 6–11 years. The results revealed that the above interventions
Figure 3 Sensitivity analyses for body mass index (A) and body mass index z-score (B).

Table 3 Subgroup analyses for BMI and BMI z-score

| Outcomes | Factors                | Subgroup | Number of cohorts | WMD and 95% CI   | P value | Heterogeneity | P value between subgroups |
|----------|------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------|--------------------------|
| BMI      | Sample size            | ≥1,000   | 10                | -0.06 (-0.12 to 0.00) | 0.059   | 99.8 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | <1,000   | 12                | -0.18 (-0.37 to -0.00) | 0.047   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          | Mean age (years)       | ≤6.0     | 5                 | -0.25 (-0.43 to -0.09) | 0.002   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | 6.0–10.0 | 12                | -0.02 (-0.15 to 0.10) | 0.702   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | >10.0    | 5                 | -0.21 (-0.30 to -0.11) | <0.001  | 99.6 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          | Duration of intervention| ≥12.0 months | 16      | -0.18 (-0.27 to -0.09) | <0.001  | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | <12.0 months | 6              | 0.02 (-0.05 to 0.09)  | 0.629   | 99.3 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          | Study quality           | High     | 8                 | -0.25 (-0.37 to -0.13) | <0.001  | 99.8 (<0.001) | 0.028                    |
|          |                        | Low      | 14                | -0.05 (-0.15 to 0.05) | 0.315   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
| BMI z-score | Sample size         | ≥1,000   | 6                 | -0.05 (-0.10 to -0.01) | 0.020   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | <1,000   | 12                | -0.03 (-0.05 to -0.00) | 0.022   | 99.7 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          | Mean age (years)       | ≤6.0     | 4                 | -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.05)  | 0.739   | 99.8 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | 6.0–10.0 | 7                 | -0.04 (-0.09 to 0.02)  | 0.220   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | >10.0    | 7                 | -0.05 (-0.06 to -0.03)  | <0.001  | 99.4 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          | Duration of intervention| ≥12.0 months | 16      | -0.04 (-0.06 to -0.02)  | <0.001  | 99.9 (<0.001) | 0.223                    |
|          |                        | <12.0 months | 2              | 0.02 (-0.09 to 0.13)  | 0.714   | 85.2 (0.009) | <0.001                   |
|          | Study quality           | High     | 5                 | -0.10 (-0.17 to -0.04)  | 0.003   | 99.9 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |
|          |                        | Low      | 13                | -0.01 (-0.03 to 0.01)  | 0.209   | 99.6 (<0.001) | <0.001                   |

BMI, body mass index; WMD, weighted mean difference.
yielded small and short-term benefits in BMI, BMI z-score and weight in children aged 6–11 years. However, whether the effectiveness of diet, physical activity and behavioral interventions on weight outcomes differed according to the individual characteristics were not elucidated (13). Hens et al. in a meta-analysis of 12 studies reported that children and adolescents who received diet or exercise interventions had better improvement in hepatic adiposity (55). However, the above meta-analyses evaluated by combining diet, physical activity and behavioral interventions on weight outcomes in children, and the effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI and BMI z-score stratified by study or individuals’ characteristics were not illustrated. Therefore, the current meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of dietary intervention on weight outcomes in childhood.

The summary results indicated that children who received dietary interventions had greater BMI reduction when compared to those with usual healthcare, while several studies have reported inconsistent results. Jansen et al. have recruited 2,622 children and found that multi-component intervention showed association with greater reduction in BMI in children of grades 3–5, whereas this effect was not detected in children of grades 6–8 (20). Siegrist et al. have included 724 children and suggested that multi-component JuvenTUM intervention did not yield any benefit on BMI when compared with usual activities (22). Graf et al. have indicated that preventive strategies used in primary schools could significantly improve motor skills, whereas energy intake and weight showed significant increase (24). Sichieri et al. have suggested that the intake of decreased sugar-sweetened beverages was associated with greater reduction in BMI, especially in girls, whereas this effect was balanced by limited effectiveness in boys (26). Puder et al. have recruited 652 pre-school children and found that a multidimensional intervention has significantly increased aerobic fitness and reduced body fat, whereas no significant effect was observed on BMI (32). Magnusson et al. have indicated that children who received intervention showed inconsistent results with regard to fitness. Moreover, the intervention did not yield any statistically significant effect on body composition (15). There are several reasons for these inconsistencies, which were as follows: (I) the intensive of intervention outside the school was not feasible, which further required extensive involvement of

| Study          | Mean difference (95% CI) | % Weight |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------|
| James          | -0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)    | 5.6      |
| Muckelbauer    | -0.01 (-0.01, -0.01)    | 5.8      |
| Siegrist       | 0.05 (0.04, 0.06)       | 5.7      |
| Williamson (a) | -0.03 (-0.04, -0.02)    | 5.8      |
| Williamson (b) | -0.04 (-0.04, -0.04)    | 5.8      |
| Natale         | -0.11 (-0.12, -0.10)    | 5.7      |
| Johnston       | -0.06 (-0.06, -0.06)    | 5.8      |
| McFarlin       | -0.04 (-0.04, -0.04)    | 5.8      |
| Coen           | -0.03 (-0.03, -0.03)    | 5.8      |
| Llauro (a)     | 0.04 (0.04, 0.04)       | 5.8      |
| Grydeland      | -0.03 (-0.03, -0.03)    | 5.8      |
| Larsen         | -0.20 (-0.22, -0.18)    | 5.5      |
| Davis          | 0.06 (0.05, 0.07)       | 5.8      |
| Amini          | -0.03 (-0.07, 0.01)     | 4.9      |
| Tavers         | -0.03 (-0.03, -0.03)    | 5.8      |
| Ahmad          | 0.08 (0.01, 0.15)       | 3.4      |
| Gomes          | 0.01 (0.01, 0.01)       | 5.8      |
| Adab           | -0.22 (-0.22, -0.22)    | 5.8      |
| Overall        | -0.04 (-0.06, -0.02)    | 100.0    |

(l-square: 99.8%; P<0.001)

**Figure 4** Effect of dietary intervention on body mass index z-score.
parents, community, and policies (56); (II) the prevalence of overweight at baseline differed, showing association with varied requirements to lower BMI; (III) additional specific measurements of the body fat are necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of dietary intervention as the effect of other components of intervention could affect BMI in generally non-obese children; and (IV) BMI is considered as a measure of general adiposity, providing no more information with regard to fat distribution, and these characteristics could be affected by other components of intervention.

In our study, individuals who received dietary intervention had greater reduction in BMI z-score. However, 5 of the included studies showed contrast conclusions (22,31,33-35). These studies indicated that children who received dietary interventions are associated with small reduction in BMI z-score. Moreover, they have pointed out that the prevalence of excess weight in school children with high socioeconomic status who received intervention showed a significant decrease. Furthermore, the skinfolds are more sensitive to changes in fat mass in children who received dietary interventions.

The results of subgroup analyses indicated the effectiveness of dietary interventions on BMI or BMI z-score, and are affected by sample size, mean age, duration of interventions, and study quality. The potential reasons for this could be due to that the (I) sample size of retrieved trials might affect the weight of the overall analysis, showing association with more stable effect size and smaller standard deviation; (II) the mean age of children is significantly correlated with the behavior of individuals and learning ability, affecting the effectiveness of dietary interventions; (III) the duration of interventions could improve the knowledge and implementation ability, and long duration of interventions is always associated with greater effect size of dietary interventions; and (IV) study quality is significantly correlated with the reliability of results in individual trial, affecting the effectiveness of dietary intervention due to uncontrolled biases.

However, there are several limitations in this study that should be highlighted. Firstly, the components of educational interventions differed among the included trials, showing significant correlation with the effectiveness of dietary interventions on weight outcomes in childhood. Secondly, substantial heterogeneity across the included trials could not be fully interpreted through sensitivity and subgroup analyses, restricting the recommendation of conclusions in this study. Thirdly, publication bias was inevitable because of the analysis published RCTs. Fourthly, language bias was inevitable as non-English databases were not searched. Finally, the analysis was based on pooled data, restricting us to conduct a more detailed analysis.

In conclusion, the results of this meta-analysis indicated that dietary interventions showed significant improvement in BMI and BMI z-score in childhood. Moreover, the effectiveness of dietary interventions was affected by sample
size, mean age, duration of interventions, and study quality. Further large-scale RCTs should be conducted to evaluate the differing effectiveness of dietary interventions between boys and girls.
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