A conserved role of CBP/p300 in mitochondrial stress response and longevity
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Abstract

Organisms respond to mitochondrial stress by activating multiple defense pathways including the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR\textsuperscript{mt}). However, how different layers of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} regulators are orchestrated to transcriptionally activate the stress responses remains largely unknown. Here we identified CBP-1, the worm ortholog of the mammalian acetyltransferases CBP/p300, as an essential regulator for UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation, as well as for mitochondrial stress-induced immune response, reduction of amyloid-\(\beta\) aggregation and lifespan extension in \textit{Caenorhabditis elegans}. Mechanistically, CBP-1 acts downstream of histone demethylases, JMJD-1.2/JMJD-3.1, and upstream of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcription factors including ATFS-1, to systematically induce a broad spectrum of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes and execute multiple beneficial functions. In mouse and human populations, transcript levels of \textit{CBP/p300} positively correlate with UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts and longevity. Furthermore, CBP/p300 inhibition disrupts, while forced expression of p300 is sufficient to activate, the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in mammalian cells. These results highlight an evolutionarily conserved mechanism that determines mitochondrial stress response, and promotes health and longevity through CBP/p300.
Mitochondria not only contribute to the harvesting of energy, but also serve as key signaling hubs connecting numerous metabolic processes to essential cellular and organismal functions\(^1\)-\(^3\). It is therefore not surprising that the dysfunction of mitochondria is tightly associated with ageing, as well as diverse human age-related diseases, including those affecting metabolic, cardiovascular and neuromuscular systems, as well as cancer\(^2\)-\(^7\). Moreover, mitochondria function as platforms to regulate programmed cell death and innate immune responses\(^1\),\(^8\),\(^9\). Multiple mitochondrial stress response (MSR) pathways have evolved to adapt mitochondrial function to the ever-changing cellular milieu and to a variety of extra-cellular cues\(^10\),\(^11\). However, aberrant activation of these MSR pathways may also be maladaptive and contribute to disease and ageing\(^2\), underscoring the importance of the tight control of these regulatory circuits.

The mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\)), one of these MSR pathways, is triggered by mitochondrial-to-nuclear communication, leading to an adaptive transcriptional response that promotes repair and recovery of the cell or organism from transient mitochondrial dysfunction\(^10\)-\(^13\). It recently emerged that the activation of the UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) provides resistance to pathogen infections (e.g. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) in *C. elegans*, and animals that failed to activate UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) during *P. aeruginosa* infection died earlier, suggesting that the UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) is a *bona fide* component of the innate immune response\(^14\),\(^15\). In mammalian cells, mitochondrial perturbations also lead to cellular stress responses closely associated with innate immunity\(^9\),\(^16\); e.g., herpesvirus infections induce a mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) stress response, which enhances antiviral signaling and type I interferon responses and thereby confer viral resistance\(^17\).

The regulation of the UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) is complex and pleiotropic, and includes control at the level of transcription and chromatin organization. At the transcriptional level, the transcription factor ATFS-1 in *C. elegans*\(^18\), and its functional orthologues, ATF4, ATF5 and CHOP in mammals\(^19\)-\(^21\), seem to be key regulators of the UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\). Two recent studies suggest that an OMA1–DELE1–HRI signaling pathway relays the mitochondrial stress from mitochondria to the cytosol in mammals\(^22\),\(^23\). On the epigenetic level, the MET-2/LIN-65 histone methyltransferase complex and two histone demethylases JMJD-1.2/PHF8 and JMJD-3.1/KDM6B, regulate the UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) and mitochondrial stress-induced longevity in both *C. elegans* and mammals\(^24\),\(^25\), whereas in yeast the histone demethylase, Rph1p, is the key modulator\(^26\). However, how these different layers of UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) regulators are systematically coordinated to induce the expression of various UPR\(^{\text{mt}}\) genes and execute different biological functions is still poorly understood.
Here, we demonstrate that CBP-1 acts as an essential link to translate the mitochondrial stress signal from the demethylases, JMJD-1.2/JMJD-3.1, to the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcription factors (e.g. ATFS-1), into the coordinated transcriptional induction of a wide panel of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in C. elegans. Importantly, the beneficial effects induced by mitochondrial perturbations, such as resistance to pathogen infection, improved proteostasis against amyloid-β aggregation, and lifespan extension are almost completely blocked by cbp-1 silencing. Moreover, systematic correlation analysis in mouse and human populations, as well as genetic and pharmacological loss-of-function studies in mammalian cells, strongly suggest that the function of CBP/p300 in the regulation of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}, health and lifespan are also conserved in mammals. Collectively, these results highlighted that CBP/p300 is an evolutionarily conserved node for mitochondrial stress signaling that defends mitochondrial function, and promotes health and longevity.

Results

CBP-1 controls UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in C. elegans

We used a UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation model by knocking down cco-1 (cytochrome c oxidase-1) in the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} reporter \textit{hsp-6p::gfp} strain\textsuperscript{27,28}, and performed an RNA interference (RNAi) screen by feeding RNAi targeting all putative lysine acetyltransferases (KATs) in C. elegans (Extended Data Fig. 1a)\textsuperscript{29-32}. Only RNAi of \textit{cbp-1 (R10E11.1)}\textsuperscript{33}, the ortholog of human \textit{CBP/p300}\textsuperscript{34-37}, attenuated UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation to a similar extent as the silencing of the key UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcription factor \textit{atfs-1}\textsuperscript{18} (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1b). The effect of \textit{cbp-1 RNAi} on UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by RNAi-mediated loss-of-function (LOF) of \textit{cco-1} and \textit{mrps-5} (mitochondrial ribosomal protein S5)\textsuperscript{13} was furthermore dose-dependent (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1c, d). Moreover, another RNAi clone (\textit{cbp-1 RNAi_2}), targeting a different region of the \textit{cbp-1} mRNA, compared with the one used in the RNAi screening (\textit{cbp-1 RNAi_1}), also impaired UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation (Extended Data Fig. 1e, f).

As an alternative approach to inhibit CBP-1 activity, we used two mechanistically different small-molecule inhibitors, a highly specific CBP/p300 catalytic inhibitor, A-485\textsuperscript{38}; and a CBP/p300 bromodomain inhibitor, PF-CBP-1 (Extended Data Fig. 1e)\textsuperscript{39}. Both inhibitors suppressed UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by \textit{cco-1} or \textit{mrps-5 RNAi}, with A-485 having effects at a lower concentration (10 μM) compared to PF-CBP-1 (80 μM) (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 1g). Likewise, genetic or pharmacological inductions of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} by LOF of \textit{spg-7, timm-23, tomm-40, cts-1} and \textit{dlst-1}, or by administering antimycin A and doxycycline (Dox)\textsuperscript{13,14,40},
were abolished by \textit{cbp-1} RNAi (Fig. 1d, e). Of note, \textit{UPR}\textsuperscript{mt} activation is not affected by RNAi that specifically targets the two probable pseudogenes of \textit{cbp-1, cbp-2} and \textit{cbp-3} (Extended Data Fig. 1h, i), both of which lack most of the functional domains compared to CBP-1, including the histone acetyltransferase domain (Extended Data Fig. 1j)\textsuperscript{33}. \textit{cbp-1} RNAi also attenuates the activation of the endoplasmic reticulum UPR (UPR\textsuperscript{ER}), but not the cytosolic UPR (UPR\textsuperscript{CYT})/heat shock response in \textit{C. elegans}, suggesting some activity in cross-modal stress response pathways (Extended Data Fig. 1k-n).

To determine the footprints of CBP-1 on the regulation of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on total RNA isolated from \textit{hsp-6p::gfp} worms fed with \textit{cco-1} or \textit{mrps-5} RNAi, in combination with \textit{cbp-1} or \textit{atfs-1} RNAi (Extended Data Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 1). The majority of transcripts induced by \textit{mrps-5} RNAi were also induced by \textit{cco-1} RNAi, but not the other way around (Extended Data Fig. 2b), which might due to the superior knockdown efficiency of \textit{cco-1} than that of \textit{mrps-5} (Extended Data Fig. 2c). We thus focused on the genes affected by \textit{cco-1} RNAi. 1,241 transcripts were significantly up-regulated after \textit{cco-1} RNAi (log\textsubscript{2}FC > 0.5, adjusted \textit{P} < 0.05; defined here as UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes), among which 506 (40.8\%) were CBP-1-dependent and 404 (32.6\%) required ATFS-1 (Fig. 1f, g). The number of ATFS-1-dependent transcripts was similar to that found in a previous study\textsuperscript{18}. Up to 259 genes induced by \textit{cco-1} RNAi were dependent on both CBP-1 and ATFS-1 (Fig. 1g). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that a large number of “mitochondrion”, “transmembrane transport” and “metabolic process”-related genes including \textit{hsp-6}, \textit{timm-23} and \textit{gpd-2}, required both CBP-1 and ATFS-1 for induction (Fig. 1h, j and Extended Data Fig. 2d). In addition, many innate immune genes, such as the C-type lectin \textit{clec-65}, were also included in this gene set (Fig. 1f, h, j), supporting a role of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in regulating the immune response\textsuperscript{14,15}.

Among the 506 UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts regulated by CBP-1, 247 (48.8\%) were only dependent on CBP-1, but not ATFS-1 (Fig. 1g), and were enriched for “innate immune response” (e.g. \textit{clec-70}), “proteolysis” (e.g. \textit{asp-10}), and “metabolic processes” (e.g. \textit{gdh-1}) (Fig. 1i, j and Extended Data Fig. 2e). Consistent with the role of ceramide biosynthesis in mitochondrial surveillance\textsuperscript{14,41}, \textit{sptl-2}, which encodes a serine palmitoyltransferase, was robustly induced after \textit{cco-1} or \textit{mrps-5} knockdown in a CBP-1-, but not ATFS-1-, dependent fashion (Extended Data Fig. 2f). Moreover, other UPR\textsuperscript{mt} inducers, including LOF of \textit{spg-7}, \textit{timm-23}, \textit{tomm-40}, \textit{cts-1} and \textit{dlst-1}, not only led to the induction of CBP-1- and ATFS-1- commonly dependent UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts (e.g. \textit{hsp-6}), but also up-regulated UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts that were only dependent on CBP-1 but not ATFS-1 (e.g. \textit{clec-70}) (Extended Data Fig. 2g).
In response to cco-1 RNAi, 1,354 transcripts were significantly down-regulated (log2FC < -0.5, adjusted \( P < 0.05 \)) (Extended Data Fig. 2h and Supplementary Table 2); among these transcripts, 709 (52.4%) were also down-regulated, and 190 (14.0%) were conversely up-regulated after cbp-1 RNAi (Extended Data Fig. 2h). Interestingly, both gene clusters were enriched for “metabolic process”, “oxidation-reduction process” and “carbohydrate metabolic process” (Extended Data Fig. 2i, j), indicating a global rewiring of metabolism during mitochondrial stress\(^{19,21,42,43}\), and the vital role of cbp-1 in this reprogramming. Finally, most transcripts down-regulated after mrps-5 RNAi were also down-regulated during cco-1 silencing (Extended Data Fig. 2k). Taken together, these data suggest that CBP-1 controls the induction of a broad spectrum of UPR\(^{mt}\) genes upon various mitochondrial stresses in \( C.\) \( e\)legans.

**Mitochondrial stress increases CBP-1-mediated histone acetylation at the loci of UPR\(^{mt}\) genes**

We next sought to explore the molecular mechanism on how CBP-1 regulates UPR\(^{mt}\) activation. CBP/p300, the mammalian homologues of CBP-1, are acetyltransferases involved in histone acetylation\(^{36,37}\). In worms fed with cco-1 and mrps-5 RNAi, the global histone 3 acetylation at K18 (H3K18Ac) was increased by 60% and 40%, and H3K27Ac by 90% and 20%, respectively, compared with worms fed with control RNAi (Fig. 2a). This increase was remarkably attenuated by cbp-1 RNAi. Similar results were also found for H4K5Ac (Extended Data Fig. 3a), while H3K4Ac and H3K9Ac levels were not affected by cbp-1 knockdown. RNAi for atfs-1 did not alter the levels of any of the histone modifications examined (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 3a). Moreover, the CBP/p300 catalytic inhibitor A-485 also impaired H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac, but not H3K9Ac, under cco-1 knockdown condition (Fig. 2b). Additionally, other UPR\(^{mt}\) inducers, including LOF of spg-7, timm-23, tomm-40, cts-1 and dlst-1, increased H3K18Ac by 90% to 170%, and H3K27Ac by 30% to 150% (Fig. 2c).

It has been known that acetylation of H3K18 and H3K27, which transforms the condensed chromatin is into a more relaxed structure, is generally linked to active transcription\(^{29,30,32,44}\). To examine if CBP-1-mediated histone acetylation contributes to the transcriptional activation of UPR\(^{mt}\) genes, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) with antibodies against H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac in worms fed with control or cco-1 RNAi. Among the 506 UPR\(^{mt}\) genes regulated by CBP-1 (Fig. 1g), 203 had enriched H3K18Ac or H3K27Ac
peaks in the genome (Fig. 2d). Importantly, 66.0% (134/203) of these UPR<sup>mt</sup> genes (e.g. hsp-6, timm-23, hsp-60 and gpd-2) demonstrated significantly increased levels of H3K18Ac or H3K27Ac (110 genes for H3K18Ac, \( P < 1.9 \times 10^{-10} \), Fisher’s exact test; and 76 genes for H3K27Ac, \( P < 1.5 \times 10^{-12} \), Fisher’s exact test) after cco-1 RNAi (FDR < 0.05) (Fig. 2d-g, Extended Data Fig. 3b and Supplementary Table 3). In contrast, no differences in H3K18Ac or H3K27Ac marks were observed for the UPR<sup>ER</sup> markers hsp-3 and hsp-4, or the UPR<sup>CYT</sup>/heat shock response marker hsp-16.2, upon cco-1 RNAi treatment (Extended Data Fig. 3c-e). By analyzing the distribution of the 265 increased H3K18Ac/H3K27Ac peaks on the 134 UPR<sup>mt</sup> genes, we found that 54.0% (143/265) of them were located in promoter regions, 40.0% (106/265) were in coding regions, and 6.0% (16/265) were downstream of the coding regions (Extended Data Fig. 3f and Supplementary Table 3). Indeed, for some genes (e.g. hsp-60), acetylation peaks are restricted to the promoter (Fig. 2f); whereas for other genes (e.g. hsp-6 and timm-23), acetylation marks exist in both promoter and coding regions (Fig. 2e, g). It is also noteworthy that both gene sets up-regulated for the acetylation marks in response to cco-1 RNAi (4,639 genes for H3K18Ac, and 2,283 genes for H3K27Ac) were highly enriched for GO terms including “metabolic pathways”, “mitochondrion” and “determination of adult lifespan” (Fig. 2h, i and Supplementary Table 3), supporting a critical role of these epigenetic adaptations in the control of mitochondrial metabolism and lifespan upon mitochondrial stress. Using ChIP-qPCR, we detected that the increased enrichment of H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac at the loci of UPR<sup>mt</sup> genes (e.g. hsp-6, hsp-60 and timm-23) in response to cco-1 knockdown was completely blocked by cbp-1 RNAi (Fig. 2j-l). These results indicate that increased CBP-1-dependent histone acetylation upon mitochondrial stress is closely associated with the transcriptional activation of a large set of UPR<sup>mt</sup> genes.

**CBP-1 acts downstream of JMJD-3.1/1.2 and upstream of ATFS-1**

Two histone demethylases, JMJD-3.1 and JMJD-1.2, have been reported to remove the repressive H3K27me3 mark from the promoter/coding regions of UPR<sup>mt</sup> genes, poised them for transcription, and overexpressing (OE) of jmjd-3.1 in worms is sufficient to activate the UPR<sup>mt</sup> RNAi for cbp-1 abolished the activation of the UPR<sup>mt</sup> in two independently generated jmjd-3.1 OE strains (Fig. 3a). Moreover, increased levels of H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac, but not H3K9Ac, were detected in jmjd-3.1 OE worms, which was attenuated by cbp-1 RNAi (Fig. 3b). In addition, 177 (35.0%) of the 506 CBP-1-dependent UPR<sup>mt</sup> transcripts, were also induced in jmjd-3.1 OE worms (GSE78990) (Fig. 3c, d and Supplementary Table 4); and 129 (72.9%) of
these 177 UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts were up-regulated as well upon \textit{jmjd-1.2} OE (Supplementary Table 4), underscoring a positive role of CBP-1 in the regulation of the MSR. Notably, \textit{cbp-1} stood out as the most up-regulated transcript among all the 13 putative KATs in \textit{jmjd-3.1} OE worms (Fig. 3e).

To further explore how CBP-1 affects the transcriptional activation of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes, we took advantage of the \textit{atfs-1(et18)} mutant\textsuperscript{15}, which carries a mutation in the mitochondrial targeting sequence of the transcription factor ATFS-1, leading to its nuclear accumulation and the constitutive activation of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}. Silencing of \textit{cbp-1} blocked UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in the \textit{atfs-1(et18)} mutant (Fig. 3f, g). Moreover, ATFS-1 failed to bind to the promoters of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes (e.g. \textit{hsp-6}, \textit{hsp-60}) in \textit{cbp-1} RNAi-fed \textit{atfs-1p::atfs-1::flag} worms even during mitochondrial stress induced by \textit{cco-1} RNAi (Fig. 3h). Collectively, these data indicate that \textit{jmjd-3.1} OE-mediated UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation requires CBP-1, and CBP-1-dependent histone acetylation acts downstream of JMJD-3.1/1.2, and meanwhile upstream of ATFS-1, leading to the transcriptional induction of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes.

**Beneficial effects of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} requires CBP-1**

We then explored the physiological functions of CBP-1 on MSR regulation. In line with the fact that mild mitochondrial stress protects against infection by pathogens, such as \textit{P. aeruginosa}\textsuperscript{14,15}, \textit{cco-1} or \textit{mrps-5} knockdown increased the survival rate of worms exposed to \textit{P. aeruginosa}, an effect that was completely abolished by \textit{cbp-1} knockdown (Fig. 4a, b). To further examine the vital role of CBP-1 in mitochondrial surveillance, we raised wild-type (N2) and the mitochondrial respiration mutants that have disruptions in one of the mitochondrial electron transport chain (ETC) components, \textit{isp-1(qm150)} and \textit{clk-1(qm30)}\textsuperscript{46,47}, on control or \textit{cbp-1} RNAi. Compared to \textit{C. elegans} fed with control RNAi, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi even at 10\% led to severe synthetic growth defects of the \textit{isp-1(qm150)} and \textit{clk-1(qm30)} mutants, whereas the development of wild-type worms was only slightly delayed (Fig. 4c). Similar effects were also observed in A-485 treated worms (Fig. 4c), indicating that mitochondrial mutants strongly rely on CBP-1 activity to maintain growth.

We then questioned whether \textit{cbp-1} is required for mitochondrial stress-induced lifespan extension in \textit{C. elegans}\textsuperscript{13,48}. RNAi for \textit{cbp-1} at 20\%, which was enough to suppress the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by \textit{cco-1} knockdown (Extended Data Fig. 1c), completely blocked the lifespan extension induced by \textit{cco-1} RNAi (Fig. 4d). Likewise, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi at 10\% fully
abolished \textit{mrps-5} knockdown-induced lifespan extension (Fig. 4e), in line with its capacity to block \textit{mrps-5} RNAi-induced UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation (Extended Data Fig. 1d). Meanwhile, consistent with the finding in another study\textsuperscript{49}, \textit{cbp-1} knockdown alone shortened the lifespan of \textit{C. elegans} (Fig. 4d, e), potentially due to the attenuated basal expression of diverse nuclear-encoded MSR transcripts (Fig. 1j).

We have previously shown that humans with Alzheimer’s disease (AD), as well as mouse and \textit{C. elegans} models of AD, are all typified by the induction of a cross-species conserved MSR transcript signature\textsuperscript{50}. Strikingly, further activation of these MSR pathways reduced amyloid-\(\beta\) (A\(\beta\)) proteotoxicity in cells, worms and in transgenic mouse models of AD\textsuperscript{50}. The GMC101 strain is a worm AD model that expresses the human A\(\beta\) 1–42 peptide in body wall muscle cells\textsuperscript{51}. Adults of GMC101 develop age-progressive paralysis and amyloid deposition after a temperature shift from 20 to 25 °C. In these worms, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi at 10% caused a severe developmental delay even in the absence of the disease-inducing temperature shift, phenocopying mitochondrial respiration mutants that rely on \textit{cbp-1} for adaption, whereas the control CL2122 strain was not affected (Extended Data Fig. 4). Similar to \textit{atfs-1} RNAi, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi exacerbated A\(\beta\) aggregation in the GMC101 strain (Fig. 4f). In addition, \textit{cbp-1} knockdown in GMC101 worms prominently repressed not only the classical UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts (e.g. \textit{hsp-6}), but also many UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes involved in “proteolysis” that only depend on CBP-1, but not ATFS-1 (e.g. \textit{asp-10}) (Figs. 1i, j and 4g). Interestingly, the transcripts of another branch of the MSR, i.e. autophagy/mitophagy (e.g. \textit{sqst-1}, \textit{dct-1}), were conversely increased during \textit{cbp-1} RNAi, suggesting a specific role of CBP-1 in regulating the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} branch of the MSR. Finally, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi worsened the paralysis and completely blocked the beneficial effect of Dox, an antibiotic that inhibits mitochondrial translation and activates the MSR\textsuperscript{13}, on the reduction of A\(\beta\) aggregates in GMC101 worms\textsuperscript{50} (Fig. 4h, i). Together, these results indicate that CBP-1 is essential for mitochondrial stress-induced immune response, lifespan extension and amyloid-\(\beta\) aggregation reduction in \textit{C. elegans}.

CBP/p300 expression correlates with UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts and lifespan

Next, we examined if the role of CBP-1 in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation and MSR-associated beneficial effects is conserved in mammals. \textit{CBP} expression in spleen, pituitary, adrenal and eye positively correlated with \textit{p300} expression in the BXD mouse genetic reference population (GRP)\textsuperscript{43,52} (www.genenetwork.org, Fig. 5a), confirming a complementary function of the two
acetyltransferases\textsuperscript{35-37}. Their expression levels also correlate with transcript levels of \textit{Kdm6b} and \textit{Phf8}, the murine homologs of \textit{jmjd-3.1} and \textit{jmjd-1.2} (Fig. 5a). Moreover, in these tissues, \textit{CBP/p300} expression overall positively correlated with transcripts of UPR\textsuperscript{mt}-related genes\textsuperscript{10-12}, including the mitochondrial proteases (\textit{Lonp1}, \textit{Yme1l1} and \textit{Spg7}), the DNA-binding proteins (\textit{Satb1} and \textit{Ubl5}), the mitochondrial chaperones (\textit{Hspe1}, \textit{Hspd1} and \textit{Hspa9}), and asparagine synthetase \textit{Asns} (Fig. 5a). Similar correlation networks were also found in the hippocampus and hypothalamus of BXD mice (Extended Data Fig. 5a), and in the brain and prefrontal cortex of mice from a different GRP, the LXS cohort\textsuperscript{53} (Extended Data Fig. 5b). In accordance with the indispensable role of CBP-1 in MSR-associated health and lifespan regulation in \textit{C. elegans} (Fig. 4), we observed positive correlations between lifespan and \textit{CBP/p300} expression in spleen, pituitary, adrenal, eye, hippocampus and hypothalamus of the BXD strains (Fig. 5b, c and Extended Data Fig. 5c).

Finally, in the human Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database\textsuperscript{54}, mRNA levels of \textit{CBP} and \textit{p300} positively correlated with \textit{KDM6B}, \textit{PHF8} and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts in many tissues including brain, hypothalamus, liver, heart, stomach, pancreas, kidney and small intestine, forming a systematic network (Fig. 5d). These observations suggest that CBP/p300 likely play an evolutionarily conserved role in MSR regulation across species from worms to human.

**A conserved role of CBP/p300 in MSR**

To validate the strong connections between \textit{CBP/p300} and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in mammals, we challenged wild-type (WT) and \textit{CBP/p300} knockout (KO) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) with the mitochondrial stress inducer Dox\textsuperscript{13,36}. Dox induced many UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts such as \textit{Hspd1}, \textit{Hspa9}, \textit{Lonp1} and \textit{Asns}, a response that was remarkably blocked by \textit{CBP/p300} KO (Fig. 6a). RNA-seq analysis revealed that 327 transcripts were up-regulated (log\textsubscript{2}FC > 0.5, adjusted \( P < 0.05 \)), and 245 transcripts were down-regulated (log\textsubscript{2}FC < -0.5, adjusted \( P < 0.05 \)) in wild-type MEFs upon Dox treatment (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b and Supplementary Table 5). In contrast, only 38 up-regulated and 58 down-regulated transcripts were detected in \textit{CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-}} MEFs (Extended Data Fig. 6b). Importantly, up to 197 (60.2\%) of the 327 Dox-induced transcripts in wild-type MEFs were dependent on CBP/p300 for induction (Fig. 6b). These Dox-induced and CBP/p300-dependent transcripts were enriched for “aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase”, confirming a close link between mRNA translation and the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}\textsuperscript{21,55}, for “serine biosynthesis”, including \textit{Phgdh}, \textit{Psat1}, \textit{Psph} and \textit{Shmt}\textsuperscript{20,56}; and for metabolic and
mitochondrial pathways (e.g. Enolb, Timm10) (Fig. 6c and Extended Data Fig. 6c). Similar gene sets were also recently reported to be induced by other MSR inducers, such as CCCP (carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone) and oligomycin in different mammalian cells\textsuperscript{22,23}. It is also noteworthy that Dox-induced expression of both Atf4 and Atf5, two key transcriptional regulators of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} \textsuperscript{19,20}, was heavily dependent on CBP/p300 (Extended Data Fig. 6c), suggesting a commanding role of CBP/p300 in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation. In addition, reconstitution of WT-p300, but not a p300 acetyltransferase activity-defective mutant, restored Dox-induced UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in CBP/p300\textsuperscript{−/−} MEFs (Fig. 6d, e), confirming that the catalytic activity of CBP/p300 is indispensable for this stress response.

In line with increased CBP/p300-mediated histone acetylation during mitochondrial perturbations in \textit{C. elegans} (Fig. 2a-c), H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac levels peaked at 3-6 hour of Dox treatment in WT MEFs, which was significantly attenuated in CBP/p300\textsuperscript{−/−} MEFs (Fig. 6f). Meanwhile, H3K9Ac was not affected by the KO of CBP/p300 (Fig. 6f). ChIP-qPCR analysis further revealed that CBP/p300 is essential for Dox-induced increases in H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac levels at the promoters of prototypical UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes (e.g. \textit{Hspd1} and \textit{Hspa9}) (Fig. 6g).

Liver is the central hub for metabolism and we have previously found that hepatocytes respond robustly to Dox treatment\textsuperscript{13}. We thus further tested the impact of Dox treatment in the human hepatoma cell line HepG2. Similar to the effect of CBP/p300 KO, the induction of multiple prototypical UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts upon Dox treatment were abolished by the CBP/p300 KAT activity inhibitor A-485 (Fig. 6h). RNA-seq analysis revealed that the expressions of a much smaller number of transcripts were altered upon Dox treatment in A-485-treated cells, compared to that in control cells (Extended Data Fig. 6d, e). Moreover, Dox treatment induced 299 transcripts (\textit{log}_2 FC > 0.5, adjusted \textit{P} < 0.05), and the induction of 163 (54.5\%) of them were abrogated by A-485 (Extended Data Fig. 6f and Supplementary Table 6). Notably, in addition to the GO terms found in MEFs (e.g. “aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase” and “Mitochondrion”), the Dox-induced transcripts in HepG2 cells were also enriched for “Innate immunity” and “Response to exogenous dsRNA”, containing 12 genes (two genes belonged to both terms) and 7 of them were dependent on CBP/p300 activity for induction (Fig. 6i and Supplementary Table 6). Finally, forced expression of WT-p300, but not the KAT activity-defective mutant of p300, is sufficient to induce the expression of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} and Dox-induced immune response genes (e.g. \textit{DDX21}, \textit{SLC3A2}) in HepG2 cells (Fig. 6j). Taken together, these results point to a conserved and central role of CBP/p300 in MSR regulation in mammals.
Discussion

Here, by employing multilayered genetic and pharmacological approaches applied to *C. elegans*, mouse and human populations and cell lines, we provided strong evidence that CBP-1 or the mammalian CBP/p300 act downstream of demethylases JMJ-3.1/JMJ-1.2 or mammalian KDM6B/PHF8, switching the transcription-repressive histone methylation marks (e.g. H3K27Me3) to the transcription-active acetylation marks (e.g. H3K27Ac), and thereby relays the mitochondrial stress signal to the transcriptional induction of diverse UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in *C. elegans* as well as in mammals (Fig. 7). Notably, many of the CBP-1- or CBP/p300-dependent UPR\textsuperscript{mt} effectors positively contribute to mitochondrial function recovery, improved immune response, enhanced proteostasis against amyloid-β aggregation, and lifespan extension. In support of these findings, changes in CBP/p300 function tightly associate with multiple ageing/mitochondrial-related diseases, including Alzheimer’s and Huntington's diseases\textsuperscript{57-59}, and forced expression or pharmacological activation of CBP/p300 is sufficient to ameliorate neurodegenerative phenotypes in both mice and *Drosophila* AD models\textsuperscript{60-62}.

How CBP-1 or CBP/p300 as well as the histone demethylases, sense mitochondrial stress remains an important direction for future work. One possibility is that CBP-1 itself is a downstream target that is activated in response to mitochondrial stress, as evidenced by increased *cbp-1* expression after *cco-1* and *mrps-5* silencing (Extended Data Fig. 2c), and after *jmjd-3.1* OE (Fig. 3e). Changes in mitochondrial metabolism may also modulate the levels of acetyl-CoA, which acts as a substrate for the acetyltransferase activity of KATs including CBP/p300\textsuperscript{30,32,63}. Of note, CBP/p300 may also affect mitochondrial function and stress resistance by targeting proteins besides histones, through mechanisms dependent or independent of their acetyltransferase activity. For instance, it has been reported that the PPAR\textgamma coactivator-1 (PGC-1α) can be acetylated by p300 and deacetylated by Sirt1, serving as an important switch controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and function\textsuperscript{64,65}. In another study, p300 was identified as a binding partner for ATF4, and could enhance ATF4-mediated transcriptional activation through a mechanism independent of its acetyltransferase activity\textsuperscript{66}.

In addition to the indispensable role of CBP-1 or CBP/p300 in MSR, we have noticed that the basal expression of some UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts also decreased after *cbp-1* silencing, *CBP/p300* KO or CBP/p300 activity inhibition (Figs. 1j, 6a, h; Supplementary Tables 1 and 5), suggesting that CBP/p300 functions in maintaining “basal UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activity” as well. Nevertheless, the
distinction between "basal" and "stress" conditions is somehow artificial, especially considering that organisms and cells are constantly exposed to multiple cues, and different wild C. elegans strains differ with respect to the level of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation at “basal” condition\textsuperscript{67}. Moreover, it is likely that some UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes controlled by CBP-1 or CBP/p300 may also contribute to basal mitochondrial function. For example, the chaperone hsp-60 or its mouse ortholog Hspd1, which demonstrated decreased “basal” H3K18Ac/H3K27Ac enrichment, ATFS-1 binding and mRNA expression upon cbp-1 RNAi or CBP/p300 suppression (Figs. 2k, 3h, 6a, g, h), have been reported to be essential for mitochondrial homeostasis even at basal state\textsuperscript{27,68}.

Altogether, by applying genetic and pharmacological LOF approaches, combined with bioinformatic and mechanistic studies, we identified the acetyltransferase CBP-1, as an essential regulator for the activation of the MSR and in particular the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}. The beneficial effects on pathogen infection resistance, protein aggregation reduction and lifespan extension caused by mitochondrial perturbations are almost completely dependent on CBP-1 in C. elegans. Furthermore, systematic correlation analysis in mouse and human populations, as well as LOF studies in mammalian cells, indicate that functions of CBP/p300 in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} regulation and longevity are also conserved in mammals. Our results thus reveal an evolutionarily conserved mechanism that coordinates the multiple layers of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} regulators to systematically activate the stress responses, defend mitochondrial function, and promote health and longevity. Further studies will have to define whether genetically or pharmacologically targeting these CBP/p300-driven MSR pathways can have therapeutic applications against mitochondrial-related diseases, pathogen infections as well as ageing.

Methods

C. elegans strains

The Bristol strain (N2) was used as the wild-type strain. SJ4100 (zcIs13[hsp-6p::GFP]), MQ887 (isp-1(qm150)IV), MQ130 (clk-1(qm30) III), QC118 (atfs-1(et18)), OP675 (atfs-1::TY1::EGFP::3xFLAG), GMC101 (dvIs100 [unc-54p::A-beta-1-42::unc-54 3'-UTR + mtl-2p::GFP]) and CL2122 (dvIs15 [(pPD30.38) unc-54(vector) + (pCL26) mtl-2::GFP]) were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC; Minneapolis, MN). Strains with jmj-d-3.1 overexpression line #1 AUW3 (N2, epfls3[myo-2p::cfp, jmj-d-3.1p::jmjd-3.1]; zcIs13[hsp-6p::gfp]V) and line #2 AUW4 (N2, epfls4[myo-2p::cfp, jmj-d-3.1p::jmjd-3.1];
were described previously. The strain \textit{atfs-1(et18)}; \textit{zcIs13[hsp-6p::GFP]} was generated by crossing the \textit{SJ4100 (zcIs13[hsp-6p::GFP])} males with the \textit{QC118 (atfs-1(et18))} early L4 hermaphrodites. Worms were cultured at 20°C and fed with \textit{E. coli} OP50 on Nematode Growth Media (NGM) plates unless otherwise indicated.

**RNA interference.** Bacterial feeding RNAi experiments were performed as described. RNAi clones were used from either the Ahringer or Vidal libraries and verified by sequencing. Double RNAi experiments were carried out by mixing bacterial cultures normalized to their optical densities (OD600) before seeding onto NGM plates.

The alternative \textit{cbp-1} RNAi clone (\textit{cbp-1 RNAi}_2) was constructed by PCR amplification of \textit{cbp-1} cDNA from total RNA with the following primers: \textit{cbp-1 RNAi2_632_Fw}: 5'-CTCGAGGGTGTAAGGATGAGCTAG-3', \textit{cbp-1 RNAi2_632_Rv}: 5'-AGATCTTCTTTTGGCGCTTGATGAT-3'. The PCR product was then ligated into the L4440 empty vector and transformed into \textit{E. coli} HT115 competent cells. The \textit{cbp-1} RNAi clone from Ahringer library (\textit{cbp-1 RNAi}_1) was used for all experiments related to \textit{cbp-1} RNAi unless otherwise indicated.

Lifespan experiments were performed at 20°C as described previously. Briefly, 75-100 animals were used per condition and scored every other day, and those disappeared or exploded at the vulva were censored. All RNAi treatment for lifespan started since the maternal L4 stage.

**Induction of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}.** For RNAi-induced UPR\textsuperscript{mt}, RNAi bacteria were grown in LB containing 25 mg/ml carbenicillin at 37°C overnight. The bacteria were then seeded onto 6 cm NGM plates with 2 mM IPTG. Dried plates were kept at room temperature overnight to allow IPTG induction of dsRNA expression. L4 worms or synchronized worm eggs were raised on the RNAi plates at 20°C. The F0 worms were then removed the next day if L4 worms were seeded the day before. Fluorescent images with the same exposure time for each condition were taken after 2-3 days. For antimycin A or doxycycline induced UPR\textsuperscript{mt}, antimycin A (Cat. A8674, Sigma) with a final concentration of 2.5 μM, or doxycycline (Cat. D9891, Sigma) with a final concentration of 30 μg/ml were added into the NGM just before pouring the plates.

**RNA extraction and RNA-seq analysis.** For worm samples, worms were synchronized by bleaching. Synchronized worm eggs were plated in NGM plates under the described conditions and raised at 20°C. Worms were harvested after 2 days (at L4/young adult stage), washed with M9 buffer for three times to remove the bacteria, then snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. On the day of the extraction, 1 ml of TriPure Isolation Reagent (Cat. 11667165001, Roche) was added...
to each tube. The samples were then frozen and thawed quickly 8 times with liquid nitrogen and water bath to rupture cell membranes. RNA was then extracted by using a column-based kit from Macherey-Nagel (Cat. 740955.250). For mammalian cell samples, cells were directly dissolved in 1 ml of the TriPure Isolation Reagent and extracted by using the kit from Macherey-Nagel (Cat. 740955.250). RNA-seq was performed by BGI with the BGISEQ-500 platform.

RNA-seq data analysis for worm samples was performed using the R version 3.6.3 (https://www.r-project.org/). Briefly, after sequencing on the BGISEQ-500 platform, the raw reads were filtered by removing adaptor sequences, contamination and low-quality (phred quality < 20) reads. FastQC70 was used to verify the quality of the sequence data. Sequenced reads were mapped to the worm genome “Caenorhabditis_elegans.WBcel235.89” with STAR aligner version 2.6.0a71. Reads were counted using htseq-count version 0.10.072, using these flags: -f bam -r pos -s no -m union -t exon -i gene_id. Differential expression of genes was calculated by using Limma-Voom73,74. The genes with a Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted P-value of less than 0.05 were defined as statistically significant. Genes whose expressions were significantly up-regulated with log2FC > 0.5 (adjusted P < 0.05) in cco-1 RNAi condition; and were then down-regulated by more than 25% of the log2FC after cbp-1 or atfs-1 RNAi co-treatment, compared to the log2FC of cco-1 RNAi condition, were considered as CBP-1- or ATFS-1- dependent. Genes whose expressions were significantly down-regulated with log2FC < -0.5 (adjusted P < 0.05) were defined as the down-regulated genes. For MEFs or human HepG2 cell samples, similar analysis procedure was used, except that the “Mus_musculus.GRCm38.95” genome or the “Homo_sapiens.GRCh38.95” was used for mapping. Genes whose expressions were up-regulated with log2FC > 0.5 (adjusted P < 0.05) in Dox treatment condition; and were then down-regulated by more than 25% of the log2FC after CBP/p300 KO or A-485 treatment, compared to the log2FC of WT-Dox condition, were considered as CBP/p300-dependent or CBP/p300 activity-dependent. Functional clustering was performed by using the DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) database75. Heat-maps were generated by using Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus).

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** Worms were raised and total RNA was isolated as described for the RNA-seq studies. cDNA was then synthesized from total RNA using the Reverse Transcription Kit (Cat. 205314, Qiagen). qRT-PCR was performed by using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master kit (Cat. 04887352001, Roche). The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in
Supplementary Table 7. Primers for worm *pmp-3*, mouse *Actin* and human *ACTB* were used as normalization controls.

**Western blotting.** For worm samples, proteins were extracted as described previously\(^{13}\). Western blotting was performed with antibodies against GFP (Cat. 2956, CST), Actin (Cat. A5441, Sigma), H3K18Ac (Cat. 07-354, Merck), H3K27Ac (Cat. ab4729, abcam), H3K9Ac (Cat. 06-942, Merck), H3K4Ac (Cat. Ab176799, abcam), Histone 3 (Cat. 9715, CST), Tubulin (Cat. T5168, Sigma), H3K27Me3 (Cat. 07-449, millipore), H3K27Me2 (Cat. ab24684, abcam), H3K27Me1 (Cat. 07-448, millipore), H3K9Me1 (Cat. 07-450, millipore), H3K4Me3 (Cat. 07-473, millipore), Histone 4 (Cat. sc-10810, Santa Cruz), H4K5Ac (Cat. ab51997, abcam), β-amyloid 1-16 (6E10) (Cat. 803001, BioLegend), HA-tag (Cat. 3724, CST) and HRP-labelled anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and ChIP-seq of worms.** The ChIP of worms was performed as described\(^{76}\), with slight modifications. Briefly, worms were synchronized by bleaching. Synchronized worm eggs were plated in NGM plates under the described conditions and raised at 20°C. Worms were harvested after 2 days (at L4/young adult stage) and washed with M9 buffer for three times. Worms were then fixed with 1% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 min, and quenched by glycine. Immunoprecipitations were carried out by using antibodies against H3K18Ac (Cat. 07-354, Merck) or H3K27Ac (Cat. ab4729, abcam). For ChIP of ATFS-1, the *OP675 (atfs-1::TY1::EGFP::3xFLAG)* worm strain and anti-Flag M2 beads (Cat. A2220, Sigma) were used. The primers used for ChIP-qPCR were listed in Supplementary Table 7.

For ChIP-seq, DNA fragments were sequenced using the BGISEQ-500 platform. The data analysis was performed using the R version 3.6.3 (https://www.r-project.org/). FastQC\(^{70}\) was used to verify the quality of the sequence data. Alignment was performed against the *C. elegans* genome “Caenorhabditis_elegans.WBcel235.89” following the Bowtie2 (version 2.3.5)\(^{77}\) manual guidelines with default parameters. SAMtools (version 1.4.1)\(^{78}\) was used to sort, filter and index the obtained alignments. Peak calling was then performed using MACS2 (version 2.1.2)\(^{79}\) against default Poisson distribution to generate raw counts for each sample, or between samples of interest for comparison. The peak scores between treatment and control for each histone modification were generated with an associated FDR value (default value of FDR 0.05).

Quality of alignment and peaks were assessed using the ChIPQC (version 1.18.2)\(^{80}\) before proceeding with the analysis. Read counts per peak were obtained using BEDTools (version 2.26.0)\(^{81}\) and SAMtools (version 1.4.1)\(^{78}\) packages. Intersection between sets and their
associated p-values were computed using the SuperExactTest7 (version 1.0.6)82 package. Genome tracks were revealed by Integrative Genomics Viewer IGV (version 2.8.0)83, the two tracks were shown with the same total count range between basal and mitochondrial stress conditions for each gene.

**Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection assay.** The *P. aeruginosa* PA14 slow killing assay was performed as described84. Briefly, *P. aeruginosa* overnight cultures were seeded onto slow-killing NGM agar plates with 0.35% peptone. Plates were allowed to dry for 20 min at room temperature, and then incubated at 37°C for 24 h and allowed to equilibrate at 25°C for another 24 h. Synchronized worm eggs were raised on RNAi bacteria as indicated in the Figure legends, until they reach L4 stage. The worms were then transferred to *P. aeruginosa* slow-killing plates and were counted every 12 h. Animals were scored as dead if they failed to respond when gently punched with a worm picker. 80-100 worms were used for each condition, and those disappeared or exploded at the vulva were censored. Each experiment was performed at least two times, and the log rank (Mantel-Cox) statistical test was used to calculate *P* values.

**Cell culture and drug treatment.** HepG2 cells were obtained from ATCC. Cells were validated to be free of mycoplasma contamination and maintained in DMEM medium containing 4.5 g glucose per liter and 10% FBS. Immortalized *Crebbp*0/0; *Ep300*0/0 mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing Cre-ERT2 were kindly provided by Dr. P. K. Brindle36. The floxed *CBP* and *p300* alleles were deleted as previously described37. Briefly, *Crebbp*0/0; *Ep300*0/0 MEFs were treated with 2 μM 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (Cat. H7904, Sigma), media were changed and fresh 4-OHT added every 12 h for 2 days. Cells were cultured for an additional 1 day to allow for complete depletion of CBP and p300 protein. For transfection, plasmids expressing human full-length WT-p300 (Cat. 89094, addgene), and the p300 acetyltransferase activity-defective mutant (Cat. 89095, addgene)85, were purchased from addgene and transfected with the Lipofectamine 3000 Reagent (Cat. L3000015, ThermoFisher). CBP/p300 acetyltransferase inhibitors A-485 (Cat. 6387, TOCRIS) and bromodomain inhibitor PF-CBP1 (Cat. S8180, Selleck Chemicals) were dissolved in DMSO and treated with final concentrations as described in the Figure legends. For the treatment of worms with CBP/p300 inhibitors, A-485 or PF-CBP1 was added into the NGM agar medium with final concentrations as indicated just before pouring the plates.

**Bioinformatic Analyses.** All BXD, LXS and GTEx transcriptome data sets for bioinformatic analyses were downloaded from GeneNetwork (http://www.genenetwork.org) and performed as described in previous studies43,52,53. The BXD transcriptome datasets used to establish
genetic correlations were UTHSC Affy MoGene 1.0 ST Spleen (Dec10) RMA Males, INIA_Pituitary_RMA_M_0612, INIA Adrenal Affy MoGene 1.0ST (Jun12) RMA Males, Eye M430v2 (Sep08) RMA, Hippocampus Consortium M430v2 (Jun06) RMA, and INIA Hypothalamus Affy MoGene 1.0 ST (Nov10) Male. The IDs for lifespan datasets were 12564, 17475, 18435, 19422 and 19424. The LXS transcriptome datasets used were UCAMC LXS Whole Brain Saline RNA Sequence (Feb16) FPKM, and VCU LXS PFC Sal M430A 2.0 (Aug06) RMA. For human genetic correlation analyses, the “GTEx v8 All Tissues” dataset was used54. Pearson’s r was used for measuring the correlations. Correlation heat-maps were generated with Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus). The Circos plot was generated using Circos (http://www.circos.ca)86.

**Statistics.** Survival analyses were performed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the significance of differences between survival curves calculated using the log rank (Mantel-Cox) test. Differences between two groups were assessed using two-tailed Student’s t-tests. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey post-hoc test (one-way ANOVA for comparisons between groups, two-way ANOVA for comparisons of magnitude of changes between different groups from different cell lines or treatments) was used when comparing more than two groups. GraphPad Prism 6 program was used for all statistical analyses.

**Reporting Summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

**Data availability**

The RNA sequencing datasets have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) with the accession numbers: GSE131611 (password for reviewers: idklmuicxfyjbwj) for worm RNA-seq, GSE148328 (password for reviewers: itkhekwihfqhdsr) for worm ChIP-seq, GSE131613 (password for reviewers: ibapqacefxkljwp) for MEFs RNA-seq and GSE156830 for human HepG2 RNA-seq (password for reviewers: ybabgusajxwrop). All other data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author J.A. upon request.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1 | CBP-1 controls the activation of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} in \textit{C. elegans}. \textbf{a, b}, cbp-1 RNAi attenuates UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by \textit{cco-1} (a) or \textit{mrps-5} (b) knockdown in \textit{hsp-6p::gfp} worms. For RNAi treatment, RNAi targeting \textit{cco-1} or \textit{mrps-5} occupies 40%, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi occupies 25%, \textit{atfs-1} RNAi occupies 60%, control RNAi (ev) was used to supply to a final 100% of RNAi for all conditions. DIC, differential interference contrast image. \textbf{c}, CBP/p300 small-molecule inhibitors A-485 and PF-CBP1 attenuate UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in worms. \textit{hsp-6p::gfp} worms were fed with control or the UPR\textsuperscript{mt}-inducing \textit{cco-1} (40%) RNAi, in combination with A-485 (10 \textmu M) or PF-CBP1 (80 \textmu M) treatment. \textbf{d, e}, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi abolishes UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by \textit{spg-7}, \textit{timm-23}, \textit{tomm-40}, \textit{cts-1} or \textit{dlst-1} RNAi (d), and by antimycin A (2.5 \textmu M) or doxycycline (Dox) (30 \mu g/ml) (e), in \textit{hsp-6p::gfp} worms. The \textit{atfs-1} RNAi was used as a positive control. RNAi targeting \textit{tomm-40}, \textit{cts-1} or \textit{dlst-1} occupies 40%, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi occupies 25%, \textit{atfs-1} RNAi occupies 60%. \textbf{f}, Volcano plots showing the effects on gene expression of \textit{cco-1} RNAi compared to control RNAi (ev) (left), and of \textit{cbp-1} (middle) or \textit{atfs-1} (right) knockdown in a \textit{cco-1} RNAi background. FC, fold change. Genes dependent on both CBP-1 and ATFS-1 for induction after \textit{cco-1} RNAi are highlighted in red (common). Genes dependent on CBP-1, but not ATFS-1, for induction are in blue (CBP-1 only). Genes dependent on ATFS-1, but not CBP-1, for induction are highlighted in yellow (ATFS-1 only). \textbf{g}, Venn diagram of CBP-1-dependent UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes, that are in common with ATFS-1-dependent UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in response to \textit{cco-1} RNAi, according to the RNA-seq data. \textbf{h, i}, Functional clustering of the 259 (h) and 247 (i) UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes as indicated in (g). \textbf{j}, Heat-map of the representative UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes dependent on CBP-1 for induction during \textit{cco-1} knockdown. The color-coded heat-map represents gene expression differences in log\textsubscript{2}FC relatively to control RNAi (ev) condition. Genes dependent on both CBP-1 and ATFS-1 are highlighted in red. Genes dependent on CBP-1, but not ATFS-1, are highlighted in blue.
Fig. 2 | Mitochondrial stress increases CBP-1-dependent histone acetylation at the loci of a large set of UPRmt genes. a, H3K18 and H3K27 acetylation increases in a CBP-1-dependent manner during UPRmt activation induced by cco-1 or mrps-5 RNAi. Western blots of hsp-6p::gfp worms fed with control, cbp-1, atfs-1, cco-1 or mrps-5 RNAi. RNAi targeting cbp-1 occupies 25%, atfs-1, cco-1 or mrps-5 occupies 50%, control RNAi was used to supply to a final 100% of RNAi for all conditions. b, The increase of H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac upon cco-1 RNAi is strongly blocked by chemical inhibition of CBP/p300 with A-485. Western blots of hsp-6p::gfp worms fed with control or cco-1 (50%) RNAi, in combination with DMSO or A-485 (10 μM) treatment. c, Western blots of hsp-6p::gfp worms showing increased H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac during UPRmt activation induced by RNAi targeting different mitochondrial genes as indicated. d, Summary of the H3K27Ac and H3K18Ac ChIP-seq results in worms fed with control or cco-1 RNAi. e-g, Treatment of cco-1 RNAi increases H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac at the genomic loci of representative UPRmt genes including hsp-6, hsp-60 and timm-23. Genome tracks showing the ChIP-seq analysis for H3K27Ac and H3K18Ac over the genomic loci of hsp-6 (e), hsp-60 (f) and timm-23 (g) in worms fed with control (ev) or cco-1 RNAi. The two tracks were shown with the same total count range between basal and mitochondrial stress condition for each gene. h, i, Functional clustering of the 4639 (h) and 2283 (i) genes as indicated in (d). j-l, cco-1 RNAi-induced increase of H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac at the loci of representative UPRmt genes is completely blocked by cbp-1 RNAi. ChIP-qPCR analysis of hsp-6 (j), hsp-60 (k) and timm-23 (l) in worms fed with RNAi targeting cco-1 and/or cbp-1 (n = 4 biologically independent samples). RNAi targeting cco-1 occupies 50%, cbp-1 occupies 25%. ChIP was performed by using antibodies to H3K18Ac or H3K27Ac. Error bars denote SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test (***P < 0.001; N.S., not significant). For uncropped gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.

Fig. 3 | CBP-1 acts downstream of JMJD-3.1/1.2, and upstream of ATFS-1, to support the expression of UPRmt genes. a, cbp-1 RNAi attenuates the UPRmt activation induced by jmjd-3.1 overexpression. Fluorescent micrographs of wild-type (N2) or two independent hsp-6p::gfp worm lines carrying the integrated jmjd-3.1p::jmjd-3.1 transgene fed with control, cbp-1 (25%) or atfs-1 (100%) RNAi. b, jmjd-3.1 overexpression increases H3K27Ac and H3K18Ac in a CBP-1-dependent manner. Western blots of wild-type or jmjd-3.1 transgenic worms fed with control or cbp-1 (25%) RNAi. c, Heat-map showing strong upregulation of a representative set of CBP-1-dependent UPRmt transcripts (log2FC values) in jmjd-3.1 transgenic worms
compared to wild-type (N2) worms\textsuperscript{25}. \textbf{d}, \textit{jmjd-3.1} overexpression increases the expression of a representative set of CBP-1-dependent UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes. qRT-PCR-results of wild-type or \textit{jmjd-3.1} transgenic worms fed with control or \textit{cbp-1} (25\%) RNAi (\(n = 4\) biologically independent samples). \textbf{e}, Heat-map (log\(_{2}\)FC values) showing the relative transcript levels of all putative KATs in wild-type and \textit{jmjd-3.1p::jmjd-3.1} transgenic worms\textsuperscript{25}. \textbf{f}, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi attenuates UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in \textit{atfs-1(et18)} mutant. Fluorescent micrographs of wild-type or \textit{hsp-6p::gfp} worms carrying the \textit{atfs-1(et18)} mutant fed with control, \textit{cbp-1} (25\%) or \textit{atfs-1} (100\%) RNAi. \textbf{g}, qRT-PCR-results of worms as indicated in (F) (\(n = 4\) biologically independent samples). \textbf{h}, \textit{cbp-1} RNAi blocks the binding of ATFS\textsuperscript{-}1 to the loci of UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes. ChIP-qPCR analysis of \textit{hsp-6} and \textit{hsp-60} in \textit{atfs-1p::atfs-1::flag} worms fed with control, \textit{cco-1} (50\%) and/or \textit{cbp-1} (25\%) RNAi (\(n = 3\) biologically independent samples). ChIP was performed by using anti-Flag M2 beads. Error bars denote SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test (**\(P < 0.01\); ***\(P < 0.001\); N.S., not significant). For uncropped gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.

\textbf{Fig. 4 | CBP-1 is essential for mitochondrial surveillance, MSR-associated immune response, lifespan extension and amyloid-β proteotoxicity reduction.} \textbf{a, b}, The impact of \textit{cbp-1} knockdown on mitochondrial stress-induced \textit{P. aeruginosa} resistance. Survival of worms fed with control (ev) or \textit{cbp-1} (20\%) RNAi, in combination with \textit{cco-1} (50\%) (a) or \textit{mrps-5} (80\%) (b) RNAi, and exposed to \textit{P. aeruginosa}. \textbf{c}, Representative photos of wild-type (N2), \textit{isp-1(qm150)} or \textit{clk-1(qm30)} worms fed with control, \textit{cbp-1} (10\%) RNAi or treated with A-485 (10 \(\mu\)M) since maternal L4 stage. The developmental stage and body length of the F1 progeny were quantified at Day 4 after hatching (\(n = 25\) worms for each condition). Scale bar, 1 mm. GA, gravid adult; YA, young adult; L1-4, larval stage 1-4. \textbf{d, e}, The impact of \textit{cbp-1} knockdown on mitochondrial stress-induced lifespan extension. \textit{cbp-1} RNAi attenuates lifespan extension induced by \textit{cco-1} (50\%) (D) or \textit{mrps-5} (50\%) (E) RNAi. RNAi targeting \textit{cbp-1} occupies 20\% (d) or 10\% (e). \textbf{f, g}, Western blots of A\textsubscript{β} aggregation and Actin levels (f), and transcript levels of selected genes measured by qRT-PCR (\(n = 4\) biologically independent samples) (g) of CL2122 or GMC101 worms fed with control, \textit{cbp-1} (10\%) or \textit{atfs-1} RNAi. \textbf{h}, Percentage of paralysis of CL2122 or GMC101 worms fed with control or \textit{cbp-1} (10\%) RNAi at Day 5 of adulthood (\(n = 5\) independent experiments). \textbf{i}, The impact of \textit{cbp-1} knockdown on mitochondrial stress-induced Amyloid-β proteotoxicity reduction. Western blots of A\textsubscript{β} aggregation and Actin in GMC101 worms fed with control or \textit{cbp-1} (10\%) RNAi with or
without Dox (15 μg/ml) treatment. Error bars denote SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test in (c, g, h), or log-rank test in (a, b, d, e) (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; N.S., not significant). For uncropped gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.

**Fig. 5** | Expression of CBP/p300 positively correlates with UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts and longevity in mouse and human populations. a, Pearson’s correlation co-expression heat-map for CBP, p300, Kdm6b, Phf8 and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in spleen, pituitary, adrenal and eye of the BXD mouse genetic reference population\textsuperscript{43, 52}. Positive and negative correlations are indicated in red and blue, respectively. The intensity of the colors corresponds to correlation coefficients. b, Positive correlations between lifespan and CBP transcript levels in spleen, pituitary and adrenal of BXD mice (Pearson’s r). c, Positive correlations between lifespan and p300 transcript levels in spleen, pituitary and eye of BXD mice (Pearson’s r). d, Circos plot of the expression correlations between CBP/p300 transcripts and the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} gene transcripts in the brain (cerebellar hemisphere), hypothalamus, liver, heart (left ventricle), stomach, pancreas, kidney and small intestine of human samples derived from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database (v8)\textsuperscript{54}. Red bar ring: the Pearson’s correlation coefficients (r) between UPR\textsuperscript{mt} gene transcripts and CBP (light red) or p300 (dark red). Blue bar ring: the Spearman Rank correlation coefficients (Rho) between UPR\textsuperscript{mt} gene transcripts and CBP (light blue) or p300 (dark blue). All correlations were found to be positive.

**Fig. 6** | Functions of CBP/p300 in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation are conserved in mammals. a, Knockout of CBP/p300 attenuates Dox-induced transcription of key UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in MEFs. qRT-PCR-results of wild-type (WT) and CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} MEFs treated with or without Dox (30 μg/ml) for 24 h (n = 4 biologically independent samples). b, Diagram of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes that are dependent (blue) or independent (grey) on CBP/p300 for induction upon Dox treatment in MEFs, according to the RNA-seq data. c, Functional clustering of the 197 genes as indicated in (b). d, e, Transcript (d) and protein levels (e) of CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} MEFs reconstituted with empty vector, WT-p300 or the D1399Y acetyltransferase activity-defective mutant of p300, after control or Dox (30 μg/ml) treatment for 24 h. f, Western blots showing time-dependent changes of H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac in WT and CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} MEFs treated with Dox (30 μg/ml) for 0-12 h. g, CBP/p300 is essential for Dox-induced H3K18Ac and H3K27Ac at the promoters of...
UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes (e.g. *Hspd1* and *Hspa9*). ChIP-qPCR analysis of *Hspd1* and *Hspa9* in wild-type and *CBP/p300*\textsuperscript{−} MEFs treated with or without Dox (30 μg/ml) for 3 h (*n* = 4 biologically independent samples). ChIP was performed by using antibodies to H3K18Ac or H3K27Ac. h, CBP/p300 acetyltransferase activity inhibitor A-485 strongly suppresses Dox-induced transcription of key UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in HepG2 cells. qRT-PCR-results of HepG2 cells pretreated with DMSO or A-485 (5 μM) for 1 h, and then co-treated with or without Dox (30 μg/ml) for 24 h (*n* = 4 biologically independent samples). i, Functional clustering of the 299 genes up-regulated upon Dox treatment (30 μg/ml, 24 h) and the CBP/p300 activity-dependency in human HepG2 cells, according to the RNA-seq data with A-485. CBP/p300 activity-dependent genes are indicated in red. j, qRT-PCR-results of HepG2 cells overexpressing empty vector, WT-p300 or the acetyltransferase activity-defective mutant D1399Y-p300 (*n* = 4 biologically independent samples). Error bars denote SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test (*P* < 0.05; **P* < 0.01; ***P* < 0.001; N.S., not significant). For uncropped gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.

**Fig. 7** | Model for CBP-1- or CBP/p300-mediated regulation of mitochondrial stress response and longevity. When the mitochondria are stressed in response to various intracellular or extracellular stimuli, CBP-1-mediated histone acetylation acts in conjunction with histone demethylation catalyzed by JMJD-3.1/JMJD-1.2, and thereby relays the mitochondrial stress signal to the transcriptional induction of diverse UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in both *C. elegans* and mammals. Many of these UPR\textsuperscript{mt} effectors play positive roles in the recovery of mitochondrial function, improved immune response and proteostasis, and lifespan extension.

**Extended Data Fig. 1 | Inhibition of CBP-1 by RNAi or pharmacological inhibitors attenuates UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in *C. elegans*.** a, All putative lysine acetyltransferases (KATs) in *C. elegans* and their human homologues. The worm KATs were validated/identified by searching the *C. elegans* protein database for proteins with a conserved acetyltransferase domain, and high amino acid sequences identities of the known human KATs\textsuperscript{30}. b, Role of KATs in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in *C. elegans*. *hsp-6p::gfp* worms were fed with control (ev) or *cco-1* (40%) RNAi in combination with RNAi targeting different KATs (60%). c, d, *cbp-1* RNAi attenuates the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by *cco-1* (c) or *mrps-5* (d) RNAi in a dose-dependent manner. RNAi targeting *cco-1* or *mrps-5* occupied 40%. *cbp-1* RNAi occupied 10-60%. Control RNAi was used to supply to a final 100% of RNAi for all conditions. e, Schematic
diagram showing the different regions regulated by the two different cbp-1 RNAi, and the two CBP/p300 inhibitors (PF-CBP1 and A-485). KIX, kinase-inducible domain interacting domain; Br, bromodomain; HAT, histone acetyltransferase domain; a.a., amino acids; n.t., nucleotides. f. The alternative cbp-1 RNAi (cbp-1_2) also inhibits UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation. RNAi targeting mrps-5 or spg-7 occupies 40%, cbp-1 RNAi occupies 25%. g. A-485 attenuates UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation induced by mrps-5 RNAi in a dose-dependent manner. hsp-6p::gfp worms were fed with control or mrps-5 RNAi (40%), in combination with 0-20 μM A-485. h, i, RNAi that specifically targets cbp-2 or cbp-3 failed to abolish UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in hsp-6p::gfp worms. Photos (h) and qRT-PCR-results (i) of hsp-6p::gfp worms fed with control, cco-1 (40%), cbp-1 (25%), cbp-2 (Ahringer library) or cbp-3 RNAi. j. Schematic diagram showing the protein structure of CBP-1, CBP-2 and CBP-3. The numbers in red indicate the amino acid sequence identities between two proteins in comparison. k-m, cbp-1 RNAi attenuates the UPR\textsuperscript{ER} activation induced by tunicamycin (5 μg/ml) (k), hsp-3 (l) or enpl-1 (m) RNAi in hsp-4p::gfp worms. RNAi targeting hsp-3 or enpl-1 occupies 40%, cbp-1 RNAi occupies 25%, atfs-1 RNAi occupies 60%. n, cbp-1 RNAi does not affect the cytosolic UPR (UPR\textsuperscript{CYT})/heat shock response activation induced by heat shock. hsp16.2p::gfp reporter worms were fed with different percentages of cbp-1 RNAi as indicated. As positive control, heat shock for 8 h at 31°C could induce the UPR\textsuperscript{CYT} and cbp-1 RNAi did not block this response.

Extended Data Fig. 2 | UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes dependent or independent of CBP-1 and ATFS-1 for expression. a. Principal-component analysis (PCA) of the RNA-seq profiles of worms treated with the indicated RNAi. b, Diagram of genes up-regulated after cco-1 RNAi, in common with genes up-regulated after mrps-5 RNAi according to the RNA-seq data. c-f, qRT-PCR-results of indicated genes in hsp-6p::gfp worms fed with control (ev), cco-1, mrps-5, cbp-1 or atfs-1 RNAi (n = 4 biologically independent samples). RNAi targeting cco-1 or mrps-5 occupies 50%, cbp-1 occupies 25%, atfs-1 occupies 50%. g, qRT-PCR-results of hsp-6p::gfp worms fed with control (ev), spg-7, timm-23, tomh-40, cts-1 or dlst-1 RNAi (n = 4 biologically independent samples). UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes depend on both CBP-1 and ATFS-1 for induction according to the RNA-seq dataset (as summarized in Fig. 1g) are highlighted in red. Genes only dependent on CBP-1, but not ATFS-1, are highlighted in blue. h, Diagram of the down-regulated genes after single cco-1 RNAi (orange), in common with down-regulated (blue) or up-regulated (pink) genes after single cbp-1 RNAi according to the RNA-seq data. i, j, Functional clustering of the 709 (i) and 190 (j) genes as indicated in (h). k, Diagram of genes down-regulated after cco-1
RNAi, in common with genes down-regulated after mrps-5 RNAi according to the RNA-seq data. Error bars denote SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test (**P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; N.S., not significant).

Extended Data Fig. 3 | Mitochondrial stress increases CBP-1-mediated histone acetylation at the loci of UPR\textsuperscript{mt}, but not UPR\textsuperscript{ER} or UPR\textsuperscript{CYT} genes. a, Western blots of hsp-6p::gfp worms fed with control, cbp-1, atfs-1, cco-1 or mrps-5 RNAi. RNAi targeting cbp-1 occupies 25%, atfs-1, cco-1 or mrps-5 occupies 50%. b-e, Genome tracks showing the ChIP-seq analysis for H3K27Ac and H3K18Ac over the genomic loci of gpd-2 (b), hsp-3 (c), hsp-4 (d) and hsp-16.2 (e) in worms fed with control or cco-1 RNAi. The two tracks were shown with the same total count range between basal and mitochondrial stress condition for each gene. f, Summary of the distribution analysis of the 265 increased H3K18Ac/H3K27Ac peaks on the 134 UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes (as indicated in Fig. 2d) in response to mitochondrial stress. For uncropped gel source data, see Supplementary Fig. 1.

Extended Data Fig. 4 | RNAi of cbp-1 caused a severe developmental delay in the worm Alzheimer’s disease model GMC101, but not in the control CL2122 strain. Representative photos of CL2122 or GMC101 worms fed with control or cbp-1 (10% or 20%) RNAi since maternal L4 stage. The developmental stage and body length of the F1 progeny were quantified at Day 4 after hatching (n = 25 worms for each condition). Conditions with 20% cbp-1 RNAi were not quantified as most of the eggs failed to hatch in GMC101 worms fed with 20% cbp-1 RNAi. Scale bar, 1 mm. Error bars denote SEM. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by Tukey post-hoc test (**P < 0.001; N.S., not significant).

Extended Data Fig. 5 | CBP/p300 expression positively correlates with Kdm6b/Phf8, UPR\textsuperscript{mt} transcripts and lifespan in mouse populations. a, Pearson’s correlation co-expression heat-map for CBP/p300, Kdm6b/Phf8 and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in hippocampus and hypothalamus of the BXD mouse genetic reference population\textsuperscript{43,52}. Positive and negative correlations are indicated in red and blue, respectively. The intensity of the colors corresponds to correlation coefficients. b, Pearson’s correlation co-expression heat-map for CBP/p300, Kdm6b/Phf8 and UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes in the brain (whole brain) and prefrontal cortex of the LXS mouse genetic reference population\textsuperscript{53}. c, Positive correlations between lifespan and CBP or
p300 transcript levels in hippocampus and hypothalamus of BXD mice (Pearson’s r). Each dot indicates an independent BXD strain.

Extended Data Fig. 6 | An essential role of CBP/p300 and CBP/p300 acetyltransferase activity in UPR\textsuperscript{mt} activation in mammalian cells. a, Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot of the RNA-seq profiles of wild-type (WT) and CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} MEFs treated with or without Dox (30 μg/ml) for 24 h. Note the decreased distance between Dox-treated and un-treated condition in the CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} background compared to that in WT background. b, CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} MEFs are insensitive to the treatment of mitochondrial stress inducer Dox. Volcano plots showing the effect of Dox treatment in wild-type (WT) (left) or CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} (right) MEFs on gene expression. FC, fold change. Genes that were up-regulated (log\textsubscript{2}FC > 0.5, adjusted P < 0.05) during Dox treatment were highlighted in red. Genes that were down-regulated (log\textsubscript{2}FC < -0.5, adjusted P < 0.05) were highlighted in blue. c, Heat-map of the representative UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes dependent on CBP/p300 for induction in response to Dox treatment in WT and CBP/p300\textsuperscript{-/-} (KO) MEFs, according to the RNA-seq data. The heat-map was shown in log\textsubscript{2}FC values. d, MDS plot of the RNA-seq profiles of HepG2 cells treated with or without CBP/p300 acetyltransferase activity inhibitor A-485 (5 μM) and/or Dox (30 μg/ml) for 24 h. e, The CBP/p300 catalytic inhibitor A-485 attenuates the effect of Dox on gene expression in HepG2 cells. Volcano plots showing the effect of Dox on gene expression of HepG2 cells in control (DMSO) (left) or A-485 (right) treatment background. FC, fold change. Genes that were up-regulated (log\textsubscript{2}FC > 0.5, adjusted P < 0.05) during Dox treatment were highlighted in red. Genes that were down-regulated (log\textsubscript{2}FC < -0.5, adjusted P < 0.05) were highlighted in blue. f, Diagram of the UPR\textsuperscript{mt} genes that are dependent (orange) or independent (grey) on CBP/p300 activity in response to Dox treatment in HepG2 cells, according to the RNA-seq data with A-485.