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Abstract: Roughness plays an important role in identifying how the real object interacts with the surrounding. The rough surface gets wear easily, so therefore the object manufacturing sector needs to get concentrate in it. Nowadays composite plays an important role as leading components with some good properties. So, the composite manufacturer needs to get more concentration on cutting technology. Other cutting technology, the tool wear is the most important drawbacks need to be considered. There is some non-traditional machining process in which the cutting tool is not used in it. In this work we use Abrasive water jet machine (AWJM) because it is one of the most used non-traditional machining process, which has no cutting tool and the mixture of abrasive particle of garnet 80 mesh and high-pressure water from the nozzle is used to cut the workpiece with some following parameters like stand-off distance (S), feed rate (F) and water pressure (P). The jute fiber reinforced polyester composite (JF/UPR) fabricated by compression moulding which is used for measuring surface roughness (Ra) and also to find the minimum Ra.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Composite materials are used increasingly in highperformance applications because of their superior-specific strength and stiffness. However, the macroscopically distinct multi phases of the material structure make such materials difficult to machine with conventional tools. [1] In traditional way machining there are some notable demerits that affect the whole machining process, time, material and money. [2] The machining tolerance, Dimensional Accuracy, Surface finish are some of the important factors that affect the workpiece. [3] In order to have good accuracy and better machining process the use of Non-Conventiona machining came to trend in the world of Mechanical Engineering. [4] AWJM stands for Abrasives Water Jet Machining. It is one of the Non-Conventiona Machining in recent trends.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Materials used

Jute fibers are used for the fabrication of the composite plate. To reinforce the fiber, polyester resin is applied. These were supplied by vasavibala resin (P) Ltd, Chennai.

B. Preparation of composite

The jute fiber (JF) is cut into the shape of the mould in size of 30x13 cm and then polished wax is applied over the moulds to remove the plate after fabrication with ease and then the required amount of polyester resin is taken. The jute fiber of 250g is placed in the mould cavity and the unsaturated polyester resin (UPR) is gradually spread over the JF and it is covered by upper mould. And it is subjected to compression moulding about to a pressure of 120 psi and it takes around 4 hours for curing time. After curing of fiber it is been removed off from the compression moulding the excess resin and fibers are been trimmed off. And the plate that we required for the testing has been obtained at the weight of 450gand the percentage of JF is calculated by dividing the weight of fiber by composite weight we obtain 55%. Now, this can be used for the next process.
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III. MACHINING AND MEASUREMENTS

A. Cutting of plate through AWJM

Figure 1. Shows the AWJ machine nozzle setup. The fabricated JF/UPR composite is placed on the work table of AWJM machine with the availability of specified garnet 80 mesh abrasive particles with a mixture of water for cutting the plate as per given input of $V_f$, $P$, $S_d$. The plate has been cut into 54 pieces of 2cm to measure the Ra of the plate by varying the above parameters.

![Fig. 1. Abrasive water jet machine nozzle setup](image)

B. Measurement of Ra

The surface roughness of the fabricated JF/UPR composites is determined by Ra testing machine. Place the measuring probe properly and check it out without any error. Now place the workpiece on the table and now adjust the adjuster to touch tip of the fibre up to the indication of the green light and also give input of length of material for identification purpose for the machine is up to 20mm and now start the machine and now the probe moves from the one end to other end and retains to the starting point. Now the readings are been displayed at the monitor as per this following the remaining readings are been noted and finally, the 54 different values are been formed as table format for easy identification of the measuring of minimum Ra of the specified abrasive particle on this composite material.

![Fig. 2. Surface roughness testing machine](image)

Table - I: Parameters and Levels

| S.No | Parameters | Levels |
|------|------------|--------|
| 1.   | $P$ (Mpa)  | 150, 200, 250 |
| 2.   | $S_d$ (mm) | 1, 2, 3 |
| 3.   | $V_f$ (mm/s) | 20, 30, 40 |

Table I indicates the parameters and levels which are the different parameters with the different levels have been done on our research work. As a result of this experiment, we got three graphs which indicates the relationship between the Ra versus three different parameters.

Table II: RA Readings

| S.No | $P$ (Mpa) | $S_d$ (mm) | $V_f$ (mm/s) | $R_a$ ($\mu$m) |
|------|-----------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| 1.   | 250       | 1          | 20           | 0.07           |
| 2.   | 250       | 1          | 30           | 0.20           |
| 3.   | 250       | 1          | 40           | 0.57           |
| 4.   | 250       | 1          | 20           | 0.07           |
| 5.   | 250       | 2          | 20           | 0.27           |
| 6.   | 250       | 3          | 20           | 0.37           |
| 7.   | 150       | 1          | 20           | 0.33           |
| 8.   | 200       | 1          | 20           | 0.18           |
| 9.   | 250       | 1          | 20           | 0.07           |

Table II. Indicates the input given to the machine in order to find the Ra of JF/UPR composite, the main aim of this work is to identify the Ra with different input parameters with the help of AWJM. JF/UPR composites with the dimensions of 30 cm x 13 cm which is placed in AWJM with some integrated joint. Therefore, the plate is fixed. And finally, the feed rate, pump pressure, and stand-off distance have been mentioned in the table as a result of the input parameter based on the value, the surface roughness is obtained. Each and every feed rate has different surface roughness, therefore when each input parameters change according to that surface roughness will get varied based on the input which was given.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Fig 3(a) represents the relationship between the Ra versus $V_f$. This graph shows the three different feed rate with some specific parameters to know the surface roughness. This graph has clearly shown that if the feed rate increases the surface roughness will get increased when the input parameters with the pressure 250MPa, stand-off distance 1mm, and the material are JF/UPR composites.
To achieve the surface smoothness, the feed rate needs to be decreased. This means that the feed rate must be some specific but main drawback of this parameter, as feed rate decrease the cutting time will get decreased. It leads to slow down the manufacturing process. It means time consumption is high.

![Fig. 3(a). Effect of V_1 at P = 250MPa, S_d = 1mm](image)

**Fig. 3(a). Effect of V_1 at P = 250MPa, S_d = 1mm**

![Fig. 3(b). Effect of S_d at P = 250MPa, V_f = 20mm/s](image)

**Fig. 3(b). Effect of S_d at P = 250MPa, V_f = 20mm/s**

Fig 3(b) represents the relationship between the Ra versus stand-off distance. This graph shows the comparative value with three different stand-off distance with some specific parameters. This graph has clearly shown that if the stand-off distance increases then the surface roughness will get increased, when the input parameters with the pressure 250MPa, feed rate 20mm/s and the material is JF/UPR composites. To achieve the surface smoothness, stand-off distance needs to be decreased. This means that the stand-off distance must be some specific but main drawback of this parameter, as stand-off distance decrease the cutting time will get decreased as same as feed rate versus surface roughness. Once again time consumption is high with the stand-off distance parameter. This two-parameter results in time-consuming but the third parameter is different. The pressure is different from the other two parameters. With these two parameters, we can say that the AWJM is not suitable for composite materials.

![Fig. 3(c). Effect of Pressure at S_d = 1mm, V_f = 20mm/s](image)

**Fig. 3(c). Effect of Pressure at S_d = 1mm, V_f = 20mm/s**

Fig 3(c) represents the relationship between the surface roughness versus pressure. This graph shows the three different pressures with some specific parameters to know the surface roughness. This graph has clearly shown that if the pressure increases the surface roughness will get decreased when the input parameters with the feed rate 20mm/s, stand-off distance 1mm, and the material are JF/UPR composites. Surface smoothness has been achieved. This shows that whatever the feed rate and stand-off distance, the pressure needs to be more specific than others. The pressure is the outstanding parameter, with this we can say that the composite materials can be processed in AWJM.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

From the testing of surface roughness of the JF/UPR composite material, we have found that by varying the feed rate and the other two parameters remaining constant. We noted an increase in feed rate also increases the Ra of the material. Then by varying the S_d and other parameters remaining constant we noted an increase in S_d also an increase in the surface roughness of the material. Then by varying the pressure and other parameters remains constant and there we found the increase in the pressure leads to decreases in the surface roughness. Then by determining all three parameters pressure (250MPa), S_d(1mm) and V_f(20mm/s) we found the minimum surface roughness on this jute fiber reinforced polyester composite.
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