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ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the present study was to develop an HPLC analytical method and to perform in vivo study of Emblica officinalis and Aegle marmelos extracts for antioxidant and antidiabetic activity.

Methods: The phytochemical analyses, total phenolic content (TPC), TLC, DPPH assay were performed for freeze-dried Emblica officinalis fruits aqueous extract (EOFAE) and Aegle marmelos leaves ethyl acetate extract (AMLEAE). The active constituents present in both extracts were estimated by using HPLC system having Hypersil C18 column (250x4.6 mm, 5 μm) and UV detector (254 nm). A gradient mobile phase (acetonitrile and water with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) was used at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. In vivo antioxidant, antidiabetic activity of both extracts was conducted on male albino Wistar rats for 21 d in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats (42 rats; n=6). The antidiabetic activity was measured by blood glucose level and biochemical parameters i.e. total cholesterol, triglycerides and total protein. Oxidative stress was measured by antioxidant biomarkers i.e. SOD, GSH, lipid peroxidation by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances method on the liver of the experimental rat.

Results: Tannins, saponins, carbohydrate, glycosides are found in EOFAE; coumarins and flavonoids are found in AMLEAE and quinones, phenols are present in both extracts. The values of TPC present in standard gallic acid, EOFAE and AMLEAE were found to be 485.7, 315.6, 300.7 mgGAE/g, respectively. Rf values obtained by TLC of EOFAE and AMLEAE were found to be 0.41 and 0.50, respectively. The values of % inhibition shown by EOFAE and AMLEAE in DPPH assay were found to be 97.8±2 and 95.2±2, respectively. The values of retention time of EOFAE and AMLEAE by HPLC analysis were found to be 4.59 and 5.28 min, respectively. Histopathological examination of the liver was revealed that low dose EOAM (containing of EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kg body weight) administered once a daily for 21 d showed significant activity (R=0.001) with biochemical parameters and antioxidant biomarkers.

Conclusion: The present study showed that the EOFAE and AMLEAE treated group III with (EOAM) low dose of 500 mg/kg body weight has potent antioxidant and antidiabetic activity.
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INTRODUCTION

World Health Organisation (WHO) states that an estimated 1.6 million deaths were directly caused by diabetes in 2015 and projects that this disorder will be 7th leading cause of death in 2030 [1]. Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) and the American Diabetes Association are working accordingly for the prevention and treatment of this disorder [2–4]. Diabetes mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder caused by inherited and/or acquired deficiency in production insulin by the pancreas or by the ineffectiveness of the insulin produced. Such a deficiency results in increased concentrations of glucose in the blood, which in turn damage many of the body's systems, in particular, the blood vessels and nerves [5]. It affects all the vital organs such as heart, nerves, eyes, kidney, foot and associated with the chronic complications likes nephropathy, neuropathy, retinopathy and cardiovascular diseases. The most common types of diabetes are type 1-diabetes (insulin-dependent) in which the pancreas fails to produce the insulin which is essential for survival. This form develops most frequently in children, adolescents and they need to take insulin every day to stay alive. Type 2-diabetes (non-insulin dependent) occur due to reduce insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity. It mostly occurs in middle-aged and old-aged people. Gestational diabetes occurs in some pregnant women [6]. Oral hypoglycaemic agents like sulfonylureas, biguanides and thiazolidinediones are used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. These drugs are required throughout life in most of the cases. Various side effects of these drugs are stomach upset, skin rash, kidney complications, dizziness, metal taste, gas, bloating and diarrhoea, risk of liver disease. In the case of diabetes mellitus blood, glucose level and oxidative stress are high which increase the number of free radicals present in the body. Free radicals are highly reactive in other words increasing in oxidative stress [7]. The constituents of medicinal plants activate free radical scavenging enzymes and have antioxidant activity as well as antidiabetic activity [8]. Various parts of medicinal plants like leaves, roots, bark and fruit are used by Ayurvedic practitioners for different therapeutic effects as they are safe, economical, least adverse effects [9]. Literature reports that water-soluble [10] extract of Emblica officinalis has potent antioxidant activity and hypoglycemic activity [11]. Emblica officinalis Gaertn. is also known as "Amla" traditionally, belonging to the family Euphorbiaceae [12]. It is one of the most important plants of Ayurveda and traditional Indian medicine [11]. Other reports indicate that marmelosin present in Aegle marmelos has antidiabetic activity [13-16]. Marmelosin (Imperatorin) is freely soluble in ethyl acetate extract [14]. Aegle marmelos traditionally also known as bael (golden apple) in India, which is belonging to family Rutaceae and one of the most important plant in the Ayurveda [15]. Components present in the leaf of Aegle marmelos have the ability to inhibit aldose reductase and help in delaying the progression of diabetic cataract [14]. Proposed project involves the co-administration of aqueous extract of Emblica officinalis fruits and ethyl acetate extract of Aegle marmelos leaves for antioxidant and antidiabetic activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Shade-dried fruits of Emblica officinalis and leaves of Aegle marmelos were purchased from Green Pharmacy, Pune [Auth. 18-13, Auth. 18-14] Maharashtra, India. Both drugs were authenticated from Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, India. HPLC grade of Acetonitrile, AR grade of Ascorbic acid, gallic acid were purchased from Loba-chemie, Mumbai, India. Streptozotocin was purchased from Loba-chemie, Mumbai, India. Other chemicals and reagents used in this study were of analytical grade and were purchased from Himedia, Mumbai, India. The phytochemical analyses, total phenolic content (TPC), TLC, DPPH assay were performed for freeze-dried Emblica officinalis fruits aqueous extract (EOFAE) and Aegle marmelos leaves ethyl acetate extract (AMLEAE). The active constituents present in both extracts were estimated by using HPLC system having Hypersil C18 column (250x4.6 mm, 5 μm) and UV detector (254 nm). A gradient mobile phase (acetonitrile and water with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) was used at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. In vivo antioxidant, antidiabetic activity of both extracts was conducted on male albino Wistar rats for 21 d in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats (42 rats; n=6). The antidiabetic activity was measured by blood glucose level and biochemical parameters i.e. total cholesterol, triglycerides and total protein. Oxidative stress was measured by antioxidant biomarkers i.e. SOD, GSH, lipid peroxidation by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances method on the liver of the experimental rat.
from Enzo Life Science, UK. Diagnostic kits were procured from Biolab, Span and Tulip diagnostic Pvt. Ltd., Boisar, Maharashtra, India. Gibenclamide was purchased from Torrent Pharmaceuticals, Ltd, Bhat, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India. Male Albino Wistar rats were obtained from Global Bioresearch Solution Pvt. Ltd., Pune, India.

Instruments

The analytical method was performed on Shimadzu HPLC (LC 2010 CHT, Japan) having a quaternary system with automatic injection facility, LC solution software and UV visible detector. The column used was ProSpher Star RP-18e, Hibar C-18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm). Shimadzu (UV-1800) UV-visible spectrometer, Bruker (Alpha-T model) FT-IR, Martin Christ (Alpha 1-2 LD plus) Freeze dryer. EquiPrionics (EIQ-610) digital pH meter and Shimadzu (AVT 224) analytical balance were employed for this study.

Preparation of extracts

Preparation of Emblica officinalis fruits extract

Shade-dried powder of the Emblica officinalis fruits (50 gm) was macerated with 500 ml of water for 24 h at 25-30 °C with occasional shaking and filtered through a muslin cloth and then filtered through Whatman filter paper (0.45µ). Filtrate was freeze-dried under vacuum at -50°C to get dry powder. Freeze-dried extract was stored at below 4 °C until further use [10, 12].

Preparation of Aegle marmelos leaves extract

Shade-dried powder of Aegle marmelos leaves (150 gm) was macerated with 300 ml of ethyl acetate for 72 h at 25-30 °C in a suitable container. The solvent evaporation was protected by wrapping of the container with aluminium foil. The menstruum was filtered through muslin cloth and then filtered through Whatman filter paper (0.45µ). Filtrate was concentrated by using a vacuum rotary evaporator and kept at 37 °C to remove any traces of solvent. This concentrated extract was freeze-dried under vacuum at-50 °C to get the dry powder and stored at below 4 °C until further use [14].

Phyto-chemical investigation

Both the extracts were evaluated for preliminary phytochemical investigation and alkaloids, tannins, proteins, saponins, quinones, coumarins, phenols, flavonoids, carbohydrates, glycosides and steroids tests were performed [12].

Total phenolic content

Total phenolic content of EOFAE and AMLEAE was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent and stand for 5 min and then 350 µl of whatman filter paper (0.45µ). The absorbance of both solutions were measured at 515 nm. Extracts of both drugs (100 µg/ml) were added to the stock solution to 45 ml of methanol and absorbance was measured at 515 nm. The absorbances of both solutions were measured at 515 nm. For HPLC analysis [22].

Preparation of standard solutions

100 mg of EOFAE was accurately weighed and transferred into the 100 ml volumetric flask. It was then dissolved in water (HPLC grade) and volume was made up to the mark to prepare a standard stock solution. This solution was further diluted up to 100µg/ml with water.

100 mg of AMLEAE was accurately weighed and transferred into the 100 ml volumetric flasks. It was then dissolved in ethyl acetate and volume was made up to the mark to prepare a standard stock solution. This solution was further diluted up to 100µg/ml with ethyl acetate.

Preparation of sample solutions

Water (100 ml) was transferred to the separating funnel and accurately weighed the quantity of powder (equivalent to 200 mg of each extract) was added to it. The mixture was shaken and 100 ml of ethyl acetate was added to it. The resultant mixture was shaken for 10 min and kept for 6 h with occasional shaking. The layers were separated and collected carefully. These solutions were further diluted suitably in the range of standard solutions.

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectral analysis

FT-IR analysis of EOFAE [24] and AMLEAE [25] was done for the presence of the functional group in extracts. The dry sample of each extract was mixed with potassium bromide (IR grade) in the ratio 1:100. This mixture was compressed to form pellets by applying 10 tons of pressure in hydraulic press. The pellet was scanned over a wave number range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ by using FT-IR instrument.

In vivo antidiabetic study

Experimental animals

The experimental protocol [SDOP/IAEC/17-18/07/252, dated 23.02.2018] was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of Sinhgad College of Pharmacy, Pune. Forty-two Male Albino Wistar rats of weight 180-240 g were used in this project. The animals were housed in large, spacious polypropylene cages with paddy husk as bedding at an ambient room temperature with 12 h light and dark cycle. The animals fed with standard pellet diet (Nutrivet Lab, Pune) and water ad libitum throughout the experimental duration.

Induction of diabetes on experimental rats

After adequate acclimatisation period, rats were randomly divided into normal control (group 1, n=6) and diabetic model (group 2, n=36). Freshly prepared streptozotocin (STZ) [in freshly prepared 0.1 mol/l cold citrate buffer, pH 4.5] was administered intraperitoneally to an overnight fasted rat at a dose of 50 mg/kg body weight [8]. The STZ-treated animals were allowed to drink 5% glucose solution overnight to overcome STZ-induced hypoglycaemia.
After 48h, rats with marked hyperglycemia (fasting blood glucose ≥250 mg/dl) were selected and used for the study.

Experimental animal grouping and treatment

Diabetic animals were treated with low dose combination [EOAM] and high dose combination daily through oral gavage for three weeks and co-administration of glibenclamide with low or high dose of EOAM, glibenclamide (5 mg/kg). The suspension of EOAM was prepared with 2% of gum acacia. The diabetic animals were further divided into five groups consisting 6 animals each as follows:(1) group 3, low dose (containing of EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kg bw), (2) group 4, high dose (containing of EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kg bw), (3) group 5, low dose with glibenclamide (low dose of each extract+glibenclamide5 mg/kg body weight), (4) group 6, high dose with glibenclamide (high dose of each extract+glibenclamide5 mg/kg body weight) and (5) group 7, treated with glibenclamide5 mg/kg body weight used as reference drug [11].

Body weight

Body weight was recorded at the end of three-week treatment by gravimetrically using the electronic digital balance.

Biochemical analysis

Rats were fasted overnight and the blood was withdrawn by retro-orbital puncture on 1st day and 21st day post-induction for the estimation of various biochemical parameters, i.e., total cholesterol, total triglyceride, total protein [28-31]. Blood sample was centrifuged for 20 min at 5000 rpm at 37 °C and serum was separated for the biochemical estimation. All the analysis was completed within 24 h from sample collection. Blood glucose level (BGL) was determined by commercial glucometer by pricking tail vein.

Estimation of oxidative stress

At the end of treatment, the animals were allowed for fasting 16 h and they were sacrificed by sodium pentobarbital then the liver was carefully removed, weighed and washed with ice-cold saline. One gram of liver was homogenized with buffer containing 0.25 M sucrose and 0.1 M Tris-HCL buffer solution (pH 7.4). The homogenate was centrifuged at 10000 x g for 10 min at 0 °C in cold centrifuge; separated supernatant was again centrifuged at 10000 x g for 30 min and then used for the antioxidant enzyme estimations [8]. Antioxidant enzymes were estimated by SOD, GSH and LPO. A level of superoxide dismutase by the method of Ellman (1959), and lipid peroxidation was estimated by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS).

Histopathology

At the end of the experiment, whole liver from each animal were collected in 10% formalin solution and an immediately processed using paraffin technique. Thin section (5 µm) were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The tissue sample was examined and observed under a light microscope for structural abnormality.

Statistical analysis

All data sets were expressed as the mean±SEM (n=6). Data sets were subjected to One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey's test. p<0.05 was considered as a minimum level of significance. Statistical analysis was performed using the software GraphPad prism 5.01.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aqueous extract of Emblica officinalis fruit was selected because active constituents are water-soluble, i.e. ascorbic acid and tannins like emblicanin A and B which are antioxidant. Similarly, ethyl acetate extract of Aegle marmelos leaves was selected because active constituent marmelosin is soluble in it. The % yield was obtained for the EOFAE and AMLEAE 30 %and 5.33 %, respectively. Results of phytochemical investigation for EOFAE and AMLEAE are shown in table 1.

Total phenolic content

The total phenolic contents of gallic acid, EOFAE and AMLEAE were found to be 485.7, 315.6 and 300.7 milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per gram of dried extract (mgGAE/g), respectively. These results indicated that EOFAE and AMLEAE have sufficient phenolic content for antioxidant activity.

Thin layer chromatography

TLC showed single dark brown colour spot in normal day light at Rf value at 0.41 for ascorbic acid as shown in fig. 1.

Antioxidant assay by in vitro

DPPH (1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) free radical scavenging activity

DPPH is a stable free radical that reacts with compounds that can donate a hydrogen atom. The decline capability of DPPH radical is determined by the decrease in absorbance at 515 nm induced by antioxidants. The extracts are able to reduce the stable radical DPPH to the yellow-coloured diphenylpicryl hydrazine. The free radical scavenging activity was shown 97.08% by EOFAE and 95.06% by AMLEAE shown in fig. 3.

Optimization of chromatographic conditions

The significant absorbance of both extracts was found at 264 nm; therefore this wavelength was selected as the detection wavelength for analysis of both extract by HPLC. Initially, various
chromatographic conditions were tried for both extracts separately, in order to obtain better separation characteristics by changing mobile phase composition. Finally, the mobile phase containing acetonitrile: water (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) 15:85 was selected for analysis of EOFAE and acetonitrile: water (0.1% trifluoroacetic acid) 13:87 was selected for analysis of AMLEAE. The flow rate of mobile phase for extracts was 0.8 ml/min. The values of retention time for an active constituent of EOFAE and AMLEAE were found at 4.59 and 5.24 min, respectively. Optimized chromatographic conditions are mentioned in Table 2 and Fig. 4 (a) and (b).

Table 1: Phyto-chemical investigation for EOFAE and AMLEAE

| Phyto-chemical test | EOFAE | AMLEAE |
|---------------------|-------|--------|
| Tannins             | (+)   | (-)    |
| Saponins            | (+)   | (-)    |
| Quinones            | (+)   | (+)    |
| Coumarins           | (-)   | (+)    |
| Phenols             | (+)   | (+)    |
| Carbohydrate        | (+)   | (-)    |
| Glycosides          | (+)   | (-)    |
| Flavonoids          | (-)   | (+)    |

(+): Present, (-): Absent

Fig. 3: (a) Ascorbic acid standard curve, (b) shows DPPH (1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) % inhibition. Free radical scavenging activity for EOFAE and AMLEAE

Table 2: Optimized chromatographic conditions

| S. No. | Parameters               | EOFAE                   | AMLEAE                   |
|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1     | Mobile phase             | Acetonitrile: water (0.1% TFA) |                          |
| 2     | Column                   | Purospher Star RP-18, Hibar C-18 (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) |                          |
| 3     | Ratio                    | 15:85 v/v               | 17:83 v/v                |
| 4     | Flow rate                | 0.8 ml/min              | 0.8 ml/min               |
| 5     | Column temperature       | 30 °C                   | 30 °C                    |
| 6     | Detection wavelength     | 264 nm                  | 264 nm                   |
| 7     | Injection volume         | 30 µl                   | 30 µl                    |
| 8     | Run time                 | 20 min                  | 20 min                   |
| 9     | Retention time           | 4.59 min                | 5.24 min                 |
| 10    | Tailing factor           | 0.67                    | 0.92                     |
| 11    | Theoretical plates       | 13619.46                | 4640.40                  |

Fig. 4: (a) Typical chromatogram of ascorbic acid in EOFAE; (b) Typical chromatogram of marmelosin in AMLEAE
FT-IR analysis of EOFAE and AMLEAE was done for the presence of functional group in extracts shown in fig. 5 (a) and (b).

Fig. 5: FT-IR spectum for (a) EOFAE (b) FT-IR for AMLEAE

Biochemical analysis

Diabetes is associated with weight loss. Body weight gets reduces in diabetic rats due to the derangement of the metabolic pathway. It causes failure to use of glucose for energy, which leads to increased utilization and decreased storage of protein responsible for the reduction of body weight. The average body weight of the experimental animals was increased treatment period as shown in table 3.

Table 3: Effect of three weeks of oral treatment of EOAM, glibenclamide and its combination in STZ-induced diabetic rats on body weight

| G. No. | Groups                                      | Body weight (gm)       |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| I     | NC                                          | 210.2±0.97             |
| II    | DC (50)                                     | 164±2.73*              |
| III   | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kgbw)         | 250.8±3.81*            |
| IV    | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kgbw)         | 242.7±6.96*            |
| V     | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kgbw)+Glib (5) | 239.2±3.81*            |
| VI    | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kgbw)+Glib (5) | 245±6.96*              |
| VII   | Glib (5)                                    | 247±9.63*              |

n = 6, values are expressed as mean±SEM, *p<0.001 as compared to NWC, €p<0.001 as compared to DC

Blood Glucose Level in STZ-induced diabetic rats is significantly increased and reduced in the treatment groups shown in table 4. Diabetes mellitus is characterized by high blood glucose levels (hyperglycemia) due to the inability of the body’s cells to utilize glucose properly. Increased BGL in DM produces superoxide anions which generate hydroxyl radicals via Haber-Weiss reaction. Reduction in BGL in STZ-induced diabetic rats, which means may be there is the regeneration of insulin.

Table 4: Effect of three weeks of oral treatment of EOAM, glibenclamide and its combination in STZ-induced diabetic rats on blood glucose level

| G. No. | Groups                                      | BGL (mg/dl)          |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| I     | NC                                          | 92.91±1.47           |
| II    | DC (50)                                     | 365.45±5.74*         |
| III   | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kgbw)         | 110.71±3.76*         |
| IV    | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kgbw)         | 114±4.09*            |
| V     | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kgbw)+Glib (5) | 115.9±6.22*          |
| VI    | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kgbw)+Glib (5) | 117.8±3.55*          |
| VII   | Glib (5)                                    | 120.58±3.74*         |

n = 6, values are expressed as mean±SEM, *p<0.001 as compared to NWC, €p<0.001 as compared to DC

Results of the biochemical analysis show that cholesterol and triglyceride were significantly increased and the total protein level was decreased in STZ-induced diabetes rats. Effect of EOAM on the lipid profile indicates the cholesterol (TC) and triglyceride (TG) were significantly reduced and total protein level (PROT) was increased in treatment groups as shown in table 5. These results indicate that the effective antidiabetic activity of EOAM.
### Table 5: Effect of three weeks of oral treatment of EOAM, glibenclamide and its combination in STZ-induced diabetic rats on lipid profile

| G. No. | Groups | TC (mg/dl) | TG (mg/dl) | TP (gm/dl) |
|--------|--------|------------|------------|------------|
| I      | NC     | 95.69±1.89 | 62±1.06    | 6.07±0.03  |
| II     | DC (50)| 191.1±3.28 | 165.9±1.28 | 3.65±0.01  |
| III    | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kg bw) | 105.79±2.92 | 70.88±1.29 | 5.80±0.18  |
| IV     | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kg bw) | 110.49±6.32 | 74.91±1.00 | 5.32±0.14  |
| V      | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kg bw)+Glib (5) | 106.76±2.49 | 69.97±1.81 | 5.54±0.24  |
| VI     | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kg bw)+Glib (5) | 109.16±3.82 | 75.22±1.35 | 6.06±0.10  |
| VII    | Glib (5) | 110.76±4.31 | 69.58±1.09 | 6.06±0.10  |

n = 6, values are expressed as mean±SEM, *p<0.001 as compared to NWC, €p<0.001 as compared to DC.

Diabetes is strongly co-related with oxidative stress induction. Lipid peroxidation is one of the characteristic features of diabetes mellitus and it is measured by TBARS (MDA) was used as an index of lipid peroxidation and it helps to assess the extent of tissue damage. By antioxidant assay results were found to be after three-week treatment that an increase in MDA level (Malondialdehyde) in liver of diabetic control animals. Oxidative stress in diabetes is coupled to a decrease in the antioxidant status, which can increase the deleterious effects of free radical. The SOD is the major scavenging enzymes that remove free radicals. Reduce activities of this antioxidant enzyme in liver tissue have been observed in diabetic animal and it may result in a number of deleterious effects due to an accumulation of superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide, which in turn generate hydroxyl radicals, resulting in initiation and propagation of LPO.

SOD protects from oxygen free radicals by catalyzing the removal of superoxide radical, which damage the membrane and biological structures. SOD and GSH level significantly increase and decrease in MDA level (shown in table 5) in the treatment groups which means that the treatment groups shows a combination of both extract can reduce the potential glycation of enzymes and could exert a beneficial action against pathological alteration caused by the presence of superoxide radicals, reduces the risk of tissue damage. Glutathione (GSH) is a tripeptide, intracellular antioxidant and protects the cellular system from adverse effects of LPO. Increase in aldehyde product of LPO has probably decreased GSH content and from the present study resulting in the elevation of the GSH level, which protects the cell membrane against oxidative damage by regulating the redox status of protein in the membrane [8].

### Table 5: Effect of EOAM on level of SOD, GSH and MDA after 3 w

| G. No. | Groups | In vivo antioxidant parameter | SOD (units/mg of protein) | GSH (µM/mg of protein) | MDA (n mol/mg of protein) |
|--------|--------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| I      | NC     |                            | 8.74±0.27                 | 9.07±0.28              | 7.00±0.14                 |
| II     | DC (50)|                            | 4.93±0.17                 | 5.07±0.17              | 11.03±0.51               |
| III    | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kg bw) | 7.97±0.27               | 8.31±0.23               | 6.50±0.27               |
| IV     | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kg bw) | 7.23±0.26               | 7.93±0.35               | 6.95±0.39               |
| V      | EOAM (EOFAE 250+AMLEAE 250 mg/kg bw)+Glib (5) | 7.40±0.46               | 7.37±0.35               | 6.64±0.003              |
| VI     | EOAM (EOFAE 500+AMLEAE 500 mg/kg bw)+Glib (5) | 7.21±0.03               | 7.62±0.49               | 6.73±0.43               |
| VII    | Glib (5) |                            | 6.78±0.001              | 7.79±0.47               | 6.54±0.15               |

n = 6, values are expressed as mean±SEM, *p<0.001 as compared to NWC, *p<0.001 as compared to DC.

![Fig. 6: Effect of three weeks of oral treatment of EOAM, glibenclamide and its combination in STZ-induced diabetic rats on antioxidant level](image-url)
Histopathological studies

Histopathological examination of the experimental rat liver after 21 d treatment indicates that the liver section of normal control rats (NC) did not reveal any lesion of pathological significance as shown in fig. 12 (I). Liver section of diabetic control rat showed multifocal moderate hepatocellular vacuolation (microvesicular) as shown in fig. 12 (II). Liver section of diabetes-induced rats treated with low dose and high dose did not reveal any lesion of pathological significance as shown in fig. 12 (III) and (IV). Combination of low dose and high dose with glibenclamide also did not reveal any lesion of pathological significance as shown in fig.
12 (V) and (VI). Standard drug did not reveal any lesion of pathological significance as shown in fig. 12 (VII). Histopathology of the liver of STZ induced diabetic animals showed that there were hepatic changes, mild portal inflammation and hepatocellular vacuolation. After treatment of the animals by EOAM and glimepiride, there was normal histology and reduced severity of the histopathological changes caused by STZ. The present study shows that the EOAM treated group III have significant antioxidant and antidiabetic activity as compared to diabetic control STZ induced animals. Equiproportion combination of both extracts shows significantly decreased in oxidative stress as evidenced by improved activities of antioxidant enzymes like superoxide dismutase, reduced glutathione. From the histological studies the liver section of group V and VI may have some interactions and liver section of diabetes-induced rats groups III, IV treated with EOAM and VII treated with well known sulfonylurea drugs like glibenclamide did not revealed any lesion of pathological significance, where the group III shows good significant effect (p<0.001) as compared to STZ-induced diabetic rats.

Conclusion: From the phytochemical investigation, hydrolyzable phytoconstituents like ascorbic acid, tannins like emblicanin A and B present in the aqueous extract which helps to increase the antioxidant activity and marmelosin present in the *Aegle marmelos* ethyl acetate extract which have potent antidiabetic activity. *In vitro*, antioxidant assay shows that both extracts have significant antioxidant activity. *In vivo* study reveals that the group III treated with EOAM (containing EOFAE 250 +AMLEAE 250 mg/kg b. o. d. weight) shows more significant in the reduction of blood glucose level, cholesterol, triglycerides, MDA level and concomitant increase in the serum protein level, SOD and GSH activities as compared to STZ-induced diabetic control rats. It can be concluded that equiproportion combination of both extracts exhibits synergistic effect, safe and has significant antioxidant and antidiabetic activity.
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