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Airborne spread of pathogens can be rapid, widespread, and difficult to prevent. In this international workshop, a panel of 6 experts will expound on the following: (1) the potential for indoor air to spread a wide range of human pathogens, plus engineering controls to reduce the risk for exposure to airborne infectious agents; (2) the behavior of aerosolized infectious agents indoors and the use of emerging air decontamination technologies; (3) a survey of quantitative methods to recover infectious agents and their surrogates from indoor air with regard to survival and inactivation of airborne pathogens; (4) mathematical models to predict the movement of pathogens indoors and the use of such information to optimize the benefits of air decontamination technologies; and (5) synergy between different infectious agents, such as legionellae and fungi, in the built environment predisposing to possible transmission-related health impacts of aerosolized biofilm-based opportunistic pathogens. After the presentations, the panel will address a set of preformulated questions on selection criteria for surrogate microbes to study the survival and inactivation of airborne human pathogens, desirable features of technologies for microbial decontamination of indoor air, knowledge gaps, and research needs. It is anticipated that the deliberations of the workshop will provide the attendees with an update on the significance of indoor air as a vehicle for transmitting human pathogens with a brief on what is currently being done to mitigate the risks from airborne infectious agents.
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“Clean air is a basic requirement of life. The quality of air inside homes, offices, schools, day care centres, public buildings, health care facilities or other private and public buildings where people spend a large part of their life is an essential determinant of healthy life and people's well-being…” – World Health Organization, 2010

I welcome you all to this multinational workshop! This workshop was conceived over a year ago, and the organizing committee (Table 1) formally requested that ASTM International (www.astm.org/) hold the event under its auspices. ASTM’s Committee E35, which deals

with pesticides, antimicrobials, and alternative control agents, approved the proposal in April 2015.

Mounting recognition of indoor air as a vehicle for infectious agents is leading government regulators, such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, to refine and update their guidelines, researchers to develop better means of studying airborne pathogens, and civil engineers and architects to find innovative means of making indoor air safer while keeping energy conservation in mind. Although comprehensive guidelines and standardized means are available to study chemical pollutants in indoor air, there remains a general lack of suitable experimental facilities and standardized protocols to quantitatively assess the survival of pathogens in indoor air and to document their removal and inactivation by physical and chemical means. This workshop will address these issues, among others.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

The workshop’s specific objectives, therefore, are as follows:

• To provide a forum for the exchange of ideas on current research on the role of the indoor environment in general and indoor air in particular in the spread of human pathogens;
To discuss experimental facilities and test protocols for the study of airborne microbial survival;

• To review available means of recovering viable microbes from indoor air;

• To propose better surrogates for the study of indoor air as a vehicle for human pathogens;

• To model microbial movements in indoor air for further improvements in the design of experimental aerobiological facilities and test protocols; and

• To review ongoing research on physical and chemical means of indoor air decontamination.

The deliberations will also focus on the development of standards for assessing indoor air decontamination technologies and government regulations for registration of products to be marketed.

SPONSORSHIP AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT

As noted, this workshop has been organized under the auspices and with the support of ASTM International. The City University of New York and the University of Ottawa (Canada) are the 2 academic sponsors of the workshop, and financial support has been provided by RB (Montvale, NJ) and Microbac (Sterling, VA). These 2 companies are also funding publication of the workshop proceedings. We gratefully acknowledge their generous support.

THE PROGRAM

The organizing committee has put together an outstanding group of speakers who will offer a comprehensive yet balanced perspective on the key issues. Table 2 lists the topics to be covered, along with the names and affiliations of the presenters.

WORKSHOP PROCEEDINGS

Elsevier (www.elsevier.com) has agreed to publish the proceedings of the workshop after peer review. Elsevier will also provide a preview of the proceedings, including the abstracts for each presentation, for release during the 2016 conference of the Association

TARGET AUDIENCE

Potential members of the audience include researchers in aerobiology, makers of air purification technologies, contract laboratories that assess air decontaminants, government regulators dealing with indoor air quality, and members of standards-setting organizations, such ASTM International (www.astm.org) and American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers (www.ashrae.org).

BACKGROUND ON THE TOPIC

Table 3 is a glossary of the common terms used throughout this workshop’s presentations. This is included in an attempt to create a level playing field while facilitating the understanding of the subject matter by experts in fields other than environmental microbiology. However, the emphasis here is on working definitions, recognizing that efforts are needed to develop a more comprehensive glossary for broader applications in this area.

Aerobiology

Aerobiology, the study of living organisms and their components in air, became a full-fledged scientific discipline in 1964. This was followed in 1974 by the founding of the International Association of Aerobiology (https://sites.google.com/site/aerobiologyinternational/). The initial focus of this group was the study and movement of pollen, but microbes and other life forms were soon added to the mix with a corresponding broadening of the organization’s scope (Fig 1). The microbiologic quality of indoor air comes under the rubric of aerobiology (Fig 2). This workshop will focus only on indoor air as a vehicle for human pathogens.
| Presentation title                                                                 | Speaker name, affiliation, and relevant experience                      | Subject matter to be covered                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Welcome                                                                           | John A. Mitchell: Wordsmith Scientific & Regulatory, Bozeman, MT         | Introduction of the topic with a set of definitions, clearly defined objectives, and expected outcome of the deliberations |
| Introduction, objectives, and expectation of outcome                               | Syed A. Sattar: Professor Emeritus of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada | Syed A. Sattar: Professor Emeritus of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada. His research focuses on the survival of human pathogenic microbes in the environment and how their environmental spread can be interrupted with physical and chemical agents. His expertise includes aerobiology of indoor air, where he has pioneered the development of several methods to study the airborne survival of viruses and bacteria and their inactivation. |
| Assessing microbial decontamination of indoor air, with particular focus on human pathogenic viruses | Caroline Duchaine: Professor, Université Laval’s Research Center, Quebec Heart & Lung Institute, Ste.-Foy, QC, Canada | His research foci include aerobiology of animal and human pathogenic microbes, sampling of air for infectious aerosols, surrogates for human pathogenic viruses, and the application of molecular approaches to the study of bioaerosols. |
| Spread of infectious agents in the indoor environment                              | Yuguo Li: Professor, Mechanical Engineering, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong | Yuguo Li: Professor, Mechanical Engineering, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong. He studies the impact of the built environment and aerosolized droplet evaporation-dispersion of respiratory pathogens indoors, including engineering control of aerosolized infectious agents. |
| Generic aspects of airborne spread of human pathogens indoors and emerging air decontamination technologies | M. Khalid Ijaz: Research Fellow, RB, Montvale, NJ, and Adjunct Associate Professor of Biology, Medgar Evers College of The City University of New York (CUNY), New York, NY | M. Khalid Ijaz: Research Fellow, RB, Montvale, NJ, and Adjunct Associate Professor of Biology, Medgar Evers College of The City University of New York (CUNY), New York, NY. His research focuses on the spread of human pathogenic microbial agents via the environment, including air, and the mitigational role of hygiene, including air decontamination. |
| Mathematical modeling and simulation of bacterial distribution in an aerobiology chamber using computational flow dynamics Aerobiology of the built environment—synergy between Legionella and fungi Knowledge gaps and future directions | Bahram Zargar: Postdoctoral fellow at the Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada | Bahram Zargar: Postdoctoral fellow at the Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology & Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada. In addition to his expertise in aerobiology, his training and experience in mechanical engineering are major assets in experimental design, data analysis, and modeling of the behavior of pathogens in indoor air. Expertise in the role of the environment in survival and spread of human pathogens. |
|                                                                                   | Syed A. Sattar and M. Khalid Ijaz: Please see previously listed speaker descriptions. | Syed A. Sattar and M. Khalid Ijaz: Please see previously listed speaker descriptions. |
|                                                                                   | All speakers                                                               | Vote of thanks: Joseph R. Rubino: Director of Research and Development, RB, Montvale, NJ. A set of preformulated questions will be presented for discussion. |
Indoor air quality

Exposure of humans to indoor air and its contents coincided with cave dwelling >200,000 years ago. Sharing of the human habitat with domesticated animals, such as cattle, dogs, and pigs, facilitated the rise of zoonotic infections, including airborne infections (eg, measles). Exposure to pathogens of humans and animals via the agency of indoor air continues to this day. Although the focus here is on indoor air, indoor air is not entirely immune to what goes on outdoors. The air from outside an edifice affects the air indoors and vice versa. In fact, the use of fossil fuels for heating the indoors contributes directly and indirectly to overall climate change. An early consequence of energy conservation was sealed buildings and houses, which eventually gave rise to sick building or tight building syndrome as a result of the trapping of airborne pollutants and higher levels of moisture inside.

Spread of airborne pathogens indoors

Humans and animals are the main contributors of microbioladen particles indoors. In fact, individuals leave their own personal microbial footprint as a part of the indoor microbiome. Aerosolization of microbes from biofilms and resuspension of dust are the other principal contributors to the microbial content of indoor air (Fig 3). Although the route by which airborne pathogens cause infections varies between microorganisms, improvements in the quality, quantity, and movement of indoor air can mitigate the airborne spread of many human pathogens by preventing pathogen...
inhalation and reducing the microbial load on environmental surfaces.

Indoor air is arguably the fastest and most highly efficient means of pathogen spread in a given setting. As depicted in Figure 2, indoor air is a complex and dynamic mixture of numerous components in a constant state of flux influenced by many factors both indoors and outdoors. The quality of indoor air represents the outcome of the unique mix of components in a given setting that, in themselves, change temporally.

One major challenge in preventing and controlling the airborne spread of infection is the presence of possibly multiple and mobile sources of pathogens at a given location and time. One or more infected or colonized persons or pets may contaminate the air in their immediate vicinity with exposure of those nearby without the air having reached any available means of pathogen decontamination.

Certain factors that influence indoor air quality may fall under the categories of chemical and physical. For example, smoke from
burning wood for cooking fuel is, of course, chemical in nature, but respirable particles in the smoke are the primary means of lung irritation and potential damage leading to cardiopulmonary syndromes, including lung cancer (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs292/en/).

The study of indoor air quality received a major boost as a consequence of the severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak in 2003 and the anthrax scares in the United States in 2011. It also spawned much interest in the development, assessment, and application of technologies to decontaminate indoor air.

As shown in Figure 3, particles >10 μm in diameter entering the air may rapidly fall out of the air because of their mass under prevailing environmental conditions, particularly temperature and relative humidity, whereas smaller particles can not only remain airborne for extended periods but can also be transported readily indoors by air currents over considerable distances. Respirable particles fall in the range of 0.2-5.0 μm in diameter, whereas smaller particles are generally exhaled because of the aerodynamics of breathing. The actual site of retention of the inhaled particles depends on their nominal size. It is noteworthy here that persons with respiratory infections breathe out pathogen-laden particles during tidal breathing. A human adult at rest breathes in an average of 11,000 L of air per day. In any given setting, one may choose not to drink the water or eat the food that is available but generally has little choice in breathing the same air as everyone else. This makes air an environmental equalizer—conferring on it the unique potential to parse out evenly whatever it may contain. Further, infectious agents entering indoor air mix rapidly with no perceptible color or smell. Although the potential of air to spread respiratory pathogens is well recognized, its ability to transmit enteric pathogens is not as well appreciated. Airborne particles containing enteric pathogens may be retained in the tonsillar region and swallowed for relocation to the gastrointestinal tract with subsequent replication there.

WHAT TO EXPECT FROM THE WORKSHOP

The following are the main topics to be covered during the workshop.

Generic test protocols for the study of airborne pathogens under field-relevant conditions

Despite the recognized significance of indoor air as a vehicle for human pathogens, there are major gaps in our understanding of how well these pathogens remain viable under different environmental conditions. Such information is crucial to assessing the potential of a given pathogen to spread by air. Construction of an aerobiology chamber (approximately 24 m³) will be described, and data from its use to test airborne survival of Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Acinetobacter baumannii will be presented.

Assessment of newer air decontamination technologies against pathogens

Many technologies claiming microbial decontamination of indoor air are on the market, but without proper validation of their claims. Information will be presented on ways to test such technologies using standardized protocols for registration and marketing purposes.

Selection of better surrogates to study airborne human pathogens

Because they may not be readily available and generally are unsafe and difficult to culture in the laboratory, it is rarely possible to use actual field strains of human pathogens in testing. This necessitates the use of surrogate microbes to generate data predictive of the behavior of pathogens. However, certain surrogates that are used commonly and recommended by regulatory agencies and standards-setting organizations alike are inherently unsuitable for experimental work in aerobiology. For example, K pneumoniae, frequently used as a surrogate for airborne gram-negative bacilli, does not survive aerosolization well because it is relatively fragile and unstable in air. Therefore, data generated with K pneumoniae likely will not be predictive of the behavior of actual human pathogens. This workshop will identify more suitable surrogates with supporting data.

Can microbial decontamination of indoor air reduce the risk for pathogen contamination of environmental surfaces?

Data will be presented to demonstrate that reductions in the levels of airborne microbes can indeed lead to corresponding...
reductions in the microbial contamination of environmental surfaces in a given setting. The use of integrated models could help analyze outbreaks, evaluate the relative importance of hygiene and infection prevention and control for policymakers, and provide guidance in environmental design for greater occupant safety and comfort. These will be illustrated using some recent examples, including severe acute respiratory syndrome, influenza, and Middle East respiratory syndrome.

Update on the recovery and quantitation of viable microbes in indoor air

Quantitative recovery of viable microbes from air is vital in aerobiologic studies. An update will be given on available methods, including their strengths and limitations.

Mathematical modeling to help better design experimental chambers for work in aerobiology

Experimentation with airborne microbes is generally quite labor intensive and costly and requires special biosafety precautions. Mathematical models can assist greatly in optimizing aerobiology chamber design and in predicting the influence of furniture and other objects on the movement of microbes. Data will be presented with specific reference to a chamber that fully conforms to guidance from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Significance of biofilms as sources of pathogens in the built environment

Biofilms are not only common in the built environment, but they can be common sources of airborne pathogens. Such biofilms often contain several microbial species having complex interactions between them. This will be illustrated with the example of how fungi and legionellae coexist with potential risks to human health.
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