CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL POTENTIAL OF PASSIFLORA VITIFOLIA FRUIT BYPRODUCTS COLLECTED IN THE COLOMBIAN CENTRAL ANDES
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INTRODUCTION

 According to the Alexander von Humboldt Biological Resources Research Institute, Colombia has approximately 170 Passiflora species, among which 45 are exclusive of the Andean region. Some of them are prominent for internal consumption and with export potential [1]. Despite these facts, the literature survey has revealed that several fruits are not available in our market, many others remain anonymous and very little work has been done on wild passionflower species, as in the case of Passiflora vitifolia (granadilla de monte or güilita). It is one of the more than 530 species that form Passiflora genus (Passifloraceae), distributed mainly in the New World [2]. This is an exotic plant that grows very easily in warm areas and tropical climates, native to southern Central America and northwestern South America. It is also found with a lower frequency in Australia, Africa, or Asia [3].

Previous works carried out in our research group allow us to describe P. vitifolia, developed in Ibagué-Tolima-Colombia, as a climbing vine of wild habitat; its leaves are characterized for having tomentose pubescence and coriaceous texture; the stems are cylindrical (terete) and of ferruginous coloration that reaches up to 8 m in length. The plant produces markedly different leaf morphology between the juvenile and adult stage (heteroblasty). The fruit is an indehiscent (6-7 cm long and 4.50 cm diameter) and egg-shaped berry, it has an edible part enclosed in a tough leathery pericarp (58 mm thickness); when unripe, it is green with white spots, quite sour still when it falls and of water so that the fruit loses texture and looks wrinkled. The ripe fruit is perfumed, bittersweet, and has good nutritional content. The edible part contains 240–330 seeds (0.70 cm long and 0.45 cm diameter) per fruit embedded in the pulp rich in A and C vitamins. The seed retains the shape of the fruit, has a non-cross-furrowed reticulate-alevolate surface, hornless apex, and striated margin. The anatomy of the seminal cover may have taxonomic identification value [4]. The big deep, bright red of the flowers (scarlet passion flower) and the bracts of great size, colored and with smaller glands in the margin, are additional characteristics that would allow to P. vitifolia distinguishable from other Colombian passion flowers.

Nevertheless, the use of P. vitifolia fruit is limited in Colombia due to its low productivity and poor market linkage, even remaining as a wild plant. The main ethnomedical use of this species is an infusion of the dried plant and the scarlet flower tinctures [5]. Flavonoids-C-glycosides, C-glycosylflavonoids, and cyanogenic glycosides were found in our country as the main constituents of hydroalcoholic extract from P. vitifolia leaves [6]. Just the same, Jimenez Rodriguez [7] evaluated the antihypertensive and antioxidant capacities of the ethanolic extract obtained from the seeds of P. vitifolia Kunth.

This study was designed to assess the physical characteristics, chemical properties, and biological potential of the peel and seeds from P. vitifolia fruits collected in the Colombian Andean central region. The physicochemical characterization of fatty oil extracted from its seeds was studied. This new information on Passiflora may provide insight on its pharmacological properties and its use in the development of new functional products of importance in various industrial fields, as well as generate additional value to the fruit byproducts in the possibility of its industrialization. The production of large amounts of plant derivatives leads to economic losses and environmental pollution, which should make the use of these residues attractive to the industry to increase cost-effectiveness.
METHODS

Chemicals and reagents
All reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade unless otherwise specified. Other chemicals and reagents, such as for high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), CG analysis, and antioxidant and hyperglycemic activities, were obtained from SIGMA-ALDrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Plant material collection
P. vitifolia ripe fruits were collected at the proper time (December 2018) with suitable methods [8] in the botanical garden Alejandro von Humboldt at University of Tolima (UIT), located in Ibagué (1170 m.a.s.l., 27°C). The sample was randomly taken, considering the four cardinal points from shrubs available until completing a total of 30 individuals in optimal phytosanitary status. Collecting Permit for the UIT: Resolution No. 02191, November 27, 2018 – Framework Permit – ANLA and Resolution No. 00472, March 27, 2019. The plant was determined and identified at the National of Colombia herbarium with the name of P. vitifolia Kunth.

Physical and chemical characterization of the fruit byproducts
To establish some additional parameters of the peel and seeds, color parameters (n=25) were assessed using a reflectance spectrophotometer (Spectrophotometer CM S, Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan), the results were expressed according to the CIELAB color system (L*=lightness, a*=-redness, and b*=-greenness). P. vitifolia epidermis texture was measured using a texturometer (LS1 Lloyd, A METTRK Inc, Pennsylvania, PA), with a speed of 2 mm/s, and compression of 20 mm [9].

Preparation and evaluation of total soluble extractives (TSEs)
Peel and seeds were manually separated from the pulp, dried on the stove (45±2°C), and milled to obtain a homogeneous flour that was degreased with n-hexane using the conventional Soxhlet extraction apparatus. The quantification of TSEs was carried out extracting the degreased flour by sonication-assisted (15 min, pulses 10 s, rest 5 s, amplitude 70%; FISHER SCIENTIFIC device Model FB505, 20 kHz frequency, Pittsburgh, USA). About 96% ethanol and ethyl acetate were used individually for extraction at a sample-to-solvent ratio of 1:100 (w/v). Three separate extractions for each solvent were conducted in parallel on peel and seed samples. The extracts were evaporated (Buchi R-215) under vacuum (40°C). Afterward, an aliquot (1 ml) of each extract was taken, the solvent evaporated (vacuum desiccator, 27°C), and oven-dried (103±2°C) until a constant mass was reached. TSEs were expressed as a percentage (% w/w).

Extraction procedure of ethanolic extracts
The extraction procedure was conducted from degreased plant material, which was macerated with 96% ethanol and occasional stirred (24 h), renewing the solvent every 2 h. The extracts obtained were filtered and concentrated by a rotary vacuum evaporator (Rotavapor R-114, Büchi, Flawil, Switzerland) under vacuum (40°C) and stored in a deep freezer (−80°C) until further analysis. The degreased powder was also kept in a refrigerator in the laboratory was 18±1°C. The ethanolic extracts were selected for further analysis. The above assays were carried out in microplate spectrophotometers (96 wells microplate UV-vis reader). The oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) was also estimated (excitation wavelength of 493 nm and emission wavelength 515 nm, and slit emission 13, with attenuator of 1% and without plate attenuator). Signals were recorded in a fluorescence spectrometer (PerkinElmer LS-55) with a thermostatted multicell, essentially as described by Ou et al. [22]. For each trial, various concentrations of Trolox standard solution (for construction of a standard curve) or blank (as a control) were placed in the individual wells on the respective device. All results were expressed as the concentration required for 50% inhibition of viability (IC50, RSC or IC50, AC values).

Anti-hyperglycemic activity
An in-vitro study on alpha-amylose inhibitory activity was performed using the 3,5-dinitrosaliclic acid (DNSA) method [23]. To 100 µl of P. vitifolia peel and seed extracts, the starch in phosphate buffer solution (1% w/v, pH 6.9) containing 6.7 mM of sodium chloride to give (100–800 µg/ml) final concentration was added. The reaction was initiated by adding 100 µl alpha-amylose enzyme (SIGMA-A6255) solution dissolved in the buffer (1:10, sample/solvent) and incubated (37°C, 10 min). From the above mixture, 200 µl were taken along with 500 µl of DNSA (1 g of DNSA, 30 g of sodium potassium tartrate, and 20 ml of 2 N sodium hydroxide was added and made up to a final volume of 100 ml with distilled water) and kept it in a boiling water bath (85–90°C, at this temperature the DNSA reagent forms a colored complex with the reducing sugars, the product of the previous enzymatic activity) for 15 min. The reaction mixture was stopped by an ice bath diluted (2.7 ml distilled water), and its absorbance was read at 540 nm using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific Evolution 600, USA).

For each concentration, blank tubes were prepared by replacing the enzyme solution with 100 µl in distilled water. A control, representing 100% of the enzyme activity, was prepared similarly, without extract.
The experiments were repeated thrice using the same protocol. The alpha-amylase inhibitory activity was calculated using formula 1.

\[
\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Abs control} - (\text{Abs sample} - \text{Abs blank sample})}{\text{Abs control}} \times 100
\]

(1)

The effect of the extracts from the byproducts on a model on in vitro glucose diffusion through a dialysis membrane was also applied [24]. This model simulates the absorption of glucose in the small intestine. Twenty-five milliliters of glucose solution (20 mM) and the samples of plant extracts (1%) were dialyzed in dialysis bags against 200 mL of distilled water (37°C) in a shaker water bath. The glucose content in the dialysate was determined at 30 and 60 min, 2, 6, and 24 h. The quantification of reducing sugars was carried out according to the DNS methodology [25]. A calibration curve was made with soluble glucose (125–2000 mg/L). A control test was carried out without a sample. Glucose dialysis retardation was calculated using formula 2 and expressed as a percentage.

\[
\% \text{ Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Abs control} - \text{Abs sample}}{\text{Abs control}} \times 100
\]

(2)

Statistical analysis

All measurements were carried out on triplicate samples. Linear regression (R²) to calculate the IC₅₀ values, Pearson’s Correlation (R) to measure strength and direction (-1 > r < 1) of the linear relationship between variables were performed with GraphPad 6.01 software (San Diego, CA, USA). Mean±SD was used for multivariate analysis (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc test. The difference at p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Physical characterization of peel and seeds

From the reflectance spectrums, the color coordinates CIE L* a* b* of *P. vitifolia* peel and seeds were obtained, where L* (55.5±0.28) is related to white spots of peel, and parameter a* = -3.80±0.17 indicates the intensity of greenness. This suggests a high presence of chlorophyll pigments. Parameter b* = 28.5±0.22 (intensity of yellowness) designates the joint participation of caroteneoid and anthocyanin pigments [9]. The color of *P. vitifolia* unripe fruit changes slightly during maturation (green-red); then, a* and b* values are much more representative of its visual color than the L* value (black to white or luminosity). The color values corresponding to the seed were: 28.8±0.32, 0.99±0.18, and 7.35±0.23 for L*, a*, and b*, respectively. The L* value indicates a tendency toward black and brown-gray colors, which coincide with the visual appearance of this plant part, which could be explained by enzymatic and non-enzymatic browning reactions that may result in the formation of water-soluble brown, gray and black colored pigments. The visual and instrumental colors of *P. vitifolia* peel and seeds were confirmed by the color index (−2.63±0.08 and −94.30±0.28, respectively) and the Whiteness Index (421.0±0.08 and 28.40±0.16, respectively). On the other hand, the texture value of *P. vitifolia* fruit (17.8±0.08 N) would be associated with the thickness of the peel (58.0±0.18 mm), which is higher compared to hardness and thickness values found in other species of the genus [26]. It is noteworthy to mention that *P. vitifolia* also has hard seeds covered with generally lignified cells.

TSES

The results showed that ethanol extracted a higher amount (18.9±0.13% and 16.6±0.13%, seed and peel, respectively), while ethyl acetate removed the lowest quantities (6.2±0.45% and 2.5±0.28%, seed and peel, respectively). The results showed that the tendency of TSES yield should rank in the following order: TSEPef_eth < TSEPef_et < TSEPef_dil < TSEPef_dil_dil, Mean values were considered statistically significant when p<0.05. Likewise, higher TSE contents were obtained mostly from the seeds than from the peel.

Physical and chemical characterization of ethanolic extracts

The Color Index values of the ethanolic extracts (−94.30±0.28 and −4.21±0.23) and Whiteness Index (8.38±0.13 and 4.6±0.28, peel and seed, respectively) show a tendency toward brown, gray, and black colors, which coincides with the visual appearance of the two residual materials. Moreover, the byproducts do not vary significantly (p>0.05). About the other evaluated characteristics: relative density at 27°C (1.10±0.09 and 1.01±0.04), Brix (20.30±0.01 and 20.40±0.06), refractive index at 27°C (1.38±0.01 and 1.33±0.00), absorption in UV to 365 nm (blue fluorescence in both extracts), pH at 27°C (4.90±0.10 and 3.50±0.09), and titratable acidity (2.52±0.02 and 2.90±0.01 % in citric acid, respectively.

Nutritional and Phytochemical composition

It is noted that the moisture content of the peel and seed was <50%, indicating high levels of dry matter (vitamins, acids, sugars, proteins, polysaccharides, phenolic compounds, and minerals, among others). The ash content, recognized as a quality parameter to assess food functional properties, is slightly different in peel and seeds (5.60±0.22 and 4.00±0.23%, respectively). *P. vitifolia* seeds have crude protein twice as large (15.50±1.13%) as the peel (6.60±1.22%) and crude fat content in seeds (25.6±2.25%) exceeds 5 times the one in the peel (5.70±0.27%). Nonetheless, the crude fiber and carbohydrates in the seed (28.00±0.21 and 38.40±1.62%) were lower than in the peel (11.40±1.22% and 34.00±1.58%, respectively), suggesting that the fruit of this plants species contains starch, mainly in the peel. The data on the mineral content show the potassium (6.20±0.22 g/g) and calcium (1.70±0.17 mg/g) with the highest levels in the peel, while the seeds revealed the following mineral profile: calcium (1.30±0.12 mg/g), followed by sodium (1.10±0.13 mg/g), magnesium (0.80±0.02 mg/g), potassium (0.50±0.10 mg/g), and phosphorus (0.21±0.05 mg/g). The calcium high content may be associated with the hardness/firmness of both byproducts, mainly in the fruit peel (17.80±0.01 N). Furthermore, among all the mineral elements analyzed, copper showed lower concentrations (0.02±0.01 and 0.01 mg/g dry seed and peel, respectively). Similar results were observed in the *Passiflora incarnata* seeds nutritional analysis [27]. The phytochemical screening results showed nine active components in both extracts, including reducing sugars, iridoids, alkaloids, saponins, triterpenes, steroids, tannins, flavonoids, and anthocyanins, the last three (phenolic compounds) are present in high amounts. Anthocyanins, tannins, flavonoids, anthraquinones, and alkaloids were more abundant in the seeds, while cyanogenic compounds and vitamins (A and C) were found mainly in the peel. Carotene and cardiac glycosides are absent in both fruit parts.

HPLC screening

Fourteen authentic samples of phenolic compounds (proanthocyanidins, flavonoids, glycoside flavonoids, hydroxytocinnamic acid derivatives, and phenolic acids) were used as reference compounds in HPLC screening. The peel ethanolic extract exhibited the following profile: Rutin (399.00 µg/g sample) > p-coumaric acid (73.80 µg/g sample) > sinapic acid (35.40 µg/g sample) > chlorogenic acid (24.00 µg/g sample) > hyperoside (20.00 µg/g sample) > quercetin (6.20 µg/g sample). The extract seeds showed p-coumaric acid (78.40 µg/g sample) and rutin (48.80 µg/g sample) as the only components. According to these study findings, the byproducts from *P. vitifolia* fruit can be differentiated by their chemical fingerprint. p-Coumaric acid and rutin at a peel-to-seed ratio of 1:1 and 8:1, respectively, might be taken into account as quality chemical markers in development programs who will use this *P. vitifolia* fruit residual biomass as raw material.

Physico-chemical characterization of seed oil

According to *P. vitifolia* seed composition, the lipid content was about 25.60% (on a dry weight basis). This result is comparable to that of the Passiflora species [28,29] and exceeds that of some others [27,30]. The refractive index value is consistent with those found for the conventional oils [31]. Furthermore, the specific index of *P. vitifolia* seed oil is lower than the one reported for passion fruit [32,33] or soybean [31]. However, it is similar to that of corn oil [31] (Table 1).
Iodine index for the sample analysed is comparable to *Passiflora edulis f. flavicarpa* (the most marketed passionflower in Colombia) [1] and corn oil [31-34]. With the iodine index above 100, the oil can be considered as semi-drying. The acidity index value found (Table 1) is within the permissible range of quality parameters for edible vegetable oils (<4 mg KOH/g). The peroxide index adjust to the requirements of the established quality parameters to crude oils (maximum value of 10 meq/kg) [31], which verify the good quality of *Passiflora* seed oil.

Table 2 shows the fatty acid composition of *P. vitifolia* seed oil compared to that of *P. edulis* f. edulis, which was extracted and analysed under the same conditions in our laboratory. *P. vitifolia* oil fatty acid profile may be described as follows: Linoleic acid (52.50%) > oleic acid (11.40%) > palmitic acid (11.40%) > stearic acid (11.40%). This fatty acid profile is composed of a high percentage of unsaturated fatty acids (UFAs), a low percentage of saturated fatty acids (SFAs), and two essential fatty acids (linoleic, ω-6, and linolenic, ω-3, acids). Table 2 also shows that the oil of *P. edulis* f. edulis contains a higher amount than *P. vitifolia* of linoleic acid but does neither exceed it in the total UFAs nor mono unsaturated fatty acids. The fatty acid profile of *P. vitifolia* here described is comparable to that reported by Malacrida and Jorge [33] on the oil of *P. edulis f. flavicarpa*.

**Total phenolic content and in vitro TAP**

In vitro TAP assessment (Table 3) shows the extract of *P. vitifolia* fruit byproducts using the ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC methods. The total phenolic concentration ranged widely in plant material (2017 to 10 671 mg GAE/100 g/wet weight), showing significant differences between them, and an average content of 67.44 mg GAE/100 g sample. It is important to emphasize that the seed exhibited almost 4 times more phenolic compounds than the peel. These values are higher compared to those found by Moreno et al. [35] in the six pulp extracts of tropical fruits from Colombia: Banana passion fruit (*Passiflora tripartita* var. mollissima), gulupa (*P. edulis Sims*), avocado (*Persea americana Mill*), lalo (*Solanum quitoense Lam*), tomate de árbol (*Cyphomandra betacea Senst.*), and gooseberry (*Phyllis peruviana L.*). According to the data showed in Table 3, the RSC was increased like this: Peel extract against ABTS > peel extract against DPPH > seed extract against ABTS. In both tests, a lower IC₅₀ value indicates a greater radical scavenging ability for a given sample. Furthermore, it is evident that the standard deviations of all RSC results were relatively small and the seed extracts presented a higher RSC than the peel values. The ethanolic extracts of the fruit byproducts were also tested for their FRAP and ORAC assays. The mean FRAP and ORAC were found to be 95.50 and 502.60 μmol TEAC/100 g, respectively. Both FRAP and ORAC evaluations measure directly the antioxidant activity of the sample constituents. Therefore, the higher value obtained in these assays, the higher the AC of compounds from the plant or part of it [36]. Based on Table 3 data, in both AC methods evaluated, ethanolic extracts from the seeds are more active than those obtained with the peel.

As in the RSC assays, significant differences were also observed among the AC of the residual materials. In addition, a strong and significant Pearson’s linear correlations were found between total phenolics and DPPH, ABTS, and FRAP (R=0.93, 0.77, and 1.00 respectively, p<0.05); by contrast, no positive correlation was found between total phenolic content and ORAC (r=1.00). Similar results were reported by Rodrigues et al. [37] and González et al. [38]. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine significant differences between byproducts, when a significant difference was found, Tukey’s test was used to identify the categories that differed from one another.

**Anti-hyperglycemic activity**

The in vitro study on alpha-amylase inhibition showed that, at concentrations between 100 and 800 μg/ml, *P. vitifolia* ethanolic extracts exhibited an inhibitory effect on the enzyme in a dose-dependent manner. At an 800 μg/ml concentration, the ethanolic extracts of *P. vitifolia* fruits also exhibited significant (p<0.05) inhibition activities on α-amylase enzyme between the seed and the peel (55.10±0.10 and 11.00±0.30%, respectively). Nevertheless, the seed extract exhibited no significant α-amylase inhibitory activity (p>0.05, one-way ANOVA) when compared with acarbose (47.20±0.10%), used as positive control under the same test conditions. In this work, efforts were made to ensure that the obtained results were specific one and not purely a consequence of non-specific inactivation of the enzyme by variations in pH.

The potential role of many medicinal plants as inhibitors of α-amylase has been reviewed by several authors [39,40]. The enzyme inhibition value of *P. vitifolia* seed ethanolic extract can be considered low when compared

---

### Table 1: Physical and chemical characteristics of seed oil

| Characteristics | *Passiflora vitifolia* | *Passiflora edulis f. flavicarpa* | Soybean*** | Corn*** |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------|
| Refractive index| 1.46±0.00⁴             | 1.46±0.00⁴∗                     | NR**       | 1.46–1.47 |
| Saponification index¹ | 139±0.05              | 191±0.276*                      | 174.00±1.48** | 189–195 |
| Iodine index² | 116.00±0.07            | 128.00±0.75²                     | 11.00±0.52** | 124–139 |
| Acidity index³ | 2.31±0.04              | 2.35±0.06*                      | 1.63±0.08** | NR      |
| Peroxide index⁴ | 1.42±0.22              | 1.46±0.03*                      | 1.54±0.12** | NR      |

**Note:** NR: Not reported, mg KOH/g, g/l, mg KOH/g, g/meq/kg, *Taken from Malacrida CR, Jorge N [33], **Taken from Silva et al. [32], ***Taken from Codex Alimentarius, [31].

---

### Table 2: The fatty acid composition (%) of *Passiflora vitifolia* and *Passiflora edulis* f. edulis

| Methyl esters | *Passiflora vitifolia* | *Passiflora edulis f. edulis*** |
|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Capric (C10) | 0.03                   | 0.02                             |
| Tridecylic (C13) | 0.02                   | 0.02                             |
| Myristic (C14:0) | 0.06                   | 0.03                             |
| Pentadecylic (C15) | 0.02                   | 0.01                             |
| Palmitic (C16:0) | 7.15                   | 6.13                             |
| Palmitoleic (C16:1) | 0.15                   | 0.12                             |
| Margaric (C17) | 0.04                   | 0.05                             |
| Stearic (C18:0) | 1.58                   | 1.33                             |
| Oleic (C18:1) | 11.40                  | 6.91                             |
| Linoleic (C18:2) | 52.50                  | 56.20                            |
| Linolenic (C18:3) | 0.39                   | 0.34                             |
| Arachidic (C20) | 0.39                   | 0.35                             |
| Eicosenoic (C20:1) | 0.10                   | 0.06                             |
| Eicosadienoic (C20:2) | 0.07                   | 0.08                             |
| Behenic (C22:0) | 0.06                   | 0.05                             |
| UFA | 64.60                  | 63.70                            |
| MUFA | 11.60                  | 7.09                             |
| PUFA | 52.90                  | 56.60                            |
| PUFA/MUFA | 4.54                   | 7.99                             |
| SFA | 9.05                   | 7.71                             |
| SFA/UFA | 1.74/1                  | 1.82/6                           |

**Note:** UFA: Unsaturated fatty acids, MUFA: Monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: Polyunsaturated fatty acids, SFA: Saturated fatty acids. *Source: Authors. **Source: Authors. ***Source: Authors.
Table 3: Total phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of the peel and seeds of *P. vitifolia*

| Extract     | Total phenols* | TAP         | RSC | ABTS* | AC         | FRAP        | ORAC         |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----|-------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Peel        | 2.817±0.08 g/100 g | 7.8±0.90*   | 9.32±1.10* | 44.40±2.96* | 435.20±209.00* |
| Seed        | 1.067±0.01 g/100 g | 5.32±0.40*   | 1.84±0.20* | 146.60±4.65* | 569.90±170.00* |
| Mean±SD     | 674.40±11.30      | 6.5±0.65     | 5.58±0.65  | 95.50±3.80   | 502.60±189.50  |

*mg GAE: Gallic acid equivalents; ±100/g sample,*IC50*(50% inhibitory concentration), TAP: Total antioxidant potential (μmol/100 wet weight), RSC: Radical scavenging capacity, AC: Antioxidant capacity, FRAP: Ferric reducing antioxidant power (μmol TEAC [Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity]/100/g), ORAC: Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (μmol TEAC [Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity]/100/g);** Different letters indicate significant differences for each column (p<0.05); GAE: Gallic acid equivalents; SD: Standard deviation

Fresh is governed by many factors: Color, appearance, flavor, fragrance, texture and nutritional value (vitamins, antioxidants, and other health-promoting substances), and many more additional changes that take place after harvesting, which play a very significant role in the assessment of their quality or decreased market value; these quality attributes are becoming increasingly valued by consumers, scientists, and industry. However, a considerable lack of uniformity in *P. vitifolia* fruit maturation makes it difficult to monitor both physiological and horticultural maturity, which is based on the sensory characteristics of the product. Hence, certain standards of maturity must be kept in mind while harvesting their fruits.

The high diversity of minerals found in the fruit byproducts reveals that they could be a good dietary supplement. The low copper contents in the samples studied may be associated mainly with the phenolic oxidation by polyphenol oxidase enzyme. It is a copper-dependent enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of phenolics using molecular oxygen, leading to the formation of typically reddish-brown pigments. Hence, the presence of these metabolites can be related to the browning of *P. vitifolia* fruits that occurs with the advancement of maturity, besides contributing to unripe fruit bitter taste. Some mineral elements found (zinc, iron, magnesium, manganese, among others), although in very low quantity (data not shown), are important co-factors found in the structure of certain enzymes and are necessary for numerous biochemical pathways [47].

It is known there must be a close and linear relationship between the environmental factors of the plant development site, the ratio of depositary organs of secondary metabolites, and the level of concentration of these to the metabolic pathway that generates the phytocompounds no suffer deviations. If the above does not happen, the plant will not produce the bioactive compounds that it normally biosynthesizes and the yield of the extractives will be very low, even if a suitable solvent and a relevant extraction method are applied [48]. Perhaps some of these events influenced the low yield of dry matter obtained (<50%) in both byproducts. The correct of ethanol choice as evidenced by the diversity of secondary metabolites detected in the phytochemical analysis, including saponins. Although these types of phytocompounds have been reported for the genus *Passiflora*, their occurrence is restricted to just a few species [49].

Similarly, some reports indicate that the majority of the plants that are used in popular medicine for the treatment of diabetes possess chemical constituents such as alkaloids, carbohydrates, coumarins, flavonoids, terpenoids, phenolic substances, and among others [50]. Many of these compounds were found in *P. vitifolia* byproducts ethanol extracts, showing a promising future as new hypoglycemic agents and suggesting the possibility of being used as phytotherapeutic agents. Medicinal plants may act as an alternative source of antidiabetic agents [44]. Furthermore, both extracts displayed the presence of routine and p-coumaric acid. The first mentioned compound has shown its multispectrum pharmacological benefits for the treatment of various chronic diseases, such as cancer, diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia [51]; meanwhile, p-coumaric acid is an...
antioxidant, which is implicated for the prevention of pathologies such as inflammatory diseases like heart diseases and cancer [52]. Likewise, these metabolites could be used as chemomarkers, which is crucial for the identification of a plant or some of its parts. Furthermore, chemical markers could be unique components that contribute to the therapeutic effects of herbal medicine [53]. Furthermore, harmala-type alkaloids were found, for example, harmane, harmine, harmaline, harmol, and harmalol. This category of alkaloid has been used therapeutically to treat sleep disorders, depression, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors, which would give antidepressant rather than sedative effects and also acts as a vasorelaxant [54].

The seeds of *P. vitifolia* exhibit oil content similar to that of other oilseeds, whose oils find application in the food or cosmetic industry. The yield could be influenced by the extraction technique, the type of solvent, the operating conditions, and the geographical areas where this fruit is grown [28,33,34]. In addition, the good quality of the oil from seeds can be verified by the physical and chemical characteristics, which were consistent with those found for the conventional oils such as soybean and corn [31]. Based on the yield and fatty acid composition, *P. vitifolia* seeds could be utilized as an additional source of edible oil in our country.

The results showed that the total phenolic content was higher compared to other fruits, for example, strawberries, raspberries, blackberries, and blackberries [37] and recognized as a source of phenolic compounds; nevertheless, they moderately differ from the values found in some highly commercialized *Passiflora* species [55]. These differences could be influenced by the plant geographical origin, the efficacy of the phytopharmaceutical recovery solvent, development conditions of cultivar, harvesting, and drying method; it could also be taken into account the equivalent unit in which the results are expressed. The determination of phenolic compounds is important since these phytoconstituents can act as antioxidants, structural polymers (ligins), attractants (flavonoids and carotenoids), UV screens (flavonoids), signal compounds (salicylic acid and flavonoids), and defense response chemicals (tannins and phytoalexins). In the human being, phenolic compounds are vital in defense responses, such as antioxidant, anti-aging, anti-inflammatory, and antiproliferative activities [55,56].

There is considerable debate about which method is best to measure antioxidant potential. Hence, the DPH method is commonly accepted to test the ability of compounds to act as free radical scavengers or hydrogen donors. ABTS assay is based on the capacity of different components to scavenge the radical cation ABTS⁺ compared to a standard antioxidant as ascorbic acid or Trolox [57]. Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) test is based on an electron transfer to colored oxidants in a redox reaction. Despite the method does not quickly detect some antioxidants such as glutathione, it may be considered suitable for the assessment of the antioxidant activity of fruit samples because only limited amounts of plant glutathione are absorbed by humans [58]. ORAC assay is based on the oxidation of a fluorescent probe by peroxyl radicals by means of a hydrogen atom transfer process. In the assessment, antioxidants and substrate compete for thermally generated peroxyl radicals; it combines both inhibition percentage and inhibition time of the reactive species action by antioxidants into a single quantity [22,36]. Then, the assay provides information about the scavenging capacity of antioxidants against the peroxyl radical (ROS) and also it measures the activity of all the antioxidants inherently present in the plant (AQ). Nevertheless, the TAP of extracts should not be attributed exclusively to phenolic compounds because the synergism between these metabolites and other substances present may contribute to the global antioxidant activity of the sample; further, a large amount of non-phenolic substances (sugars, organic acids, proteins, etc.) can also interfere in phenolic antioxidant activity [59,60].

The *in-vitro* alpha-amylose enzyme inhibition assay uses drugs such as acarbose, miglitol, or voglibose as a model to evaluate phytocompound’s action capable of contributing to starch and glycogen degrading and their subsequent absorption as glucose. Medicinal plants, or their parts, reveal that this property could be used for treating diabetes and obesity [61], causing a marked delay of glucose absorption and thereby in the decreasing of post-prandial plasma glucose levels [42]. Post-prandial hyperglycemia is a prime characteristic of diabetes mellitus and has been a focus in the therapy for type-2 diabetes mellitus [62]. The alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, for example, acarbose, reduce the post-prandial glycemia peak by 20% [41]. However, this medicine has adverse effects directly related to the dose, the most common are gastrointestinal disorders such as flatulence, bloating, and abdominal distension, attributable to the changed digestion of starch by the strong inhibition of intestinal α-amylase [62]. According to some researchers, the extracts most effective in inhibiting alpha-amylose, such as strawberry, raspberry, red grape, and green tea, among others, contain appreciable amounts of soluble tannins [63]. Nonetheless, *Passiflora* species extracts are richer in flavonoids than tannins [7,38].

The glucose diffusion inhibition, or the dialysis tube technique, was applied as a simple model that simulates the potential of soluble dietary fibers to delay the diffusion and movement of glucose in the intestinal tract [64]. The ability of plants to inhibit the absorption of glucose with the viscosity of soluble polysaccharides suggests that the anti-hyperglycemic action may be due to the decrease of the metabolite’s absorption functionality in vivo [24]. Some researchers argue that the model used, despite the constant agitation applied, which mimics gastrointestinal movement, has limitations associated with testing time (2–24 h) because this moment is not directly comparable with the temporal space of the cellular mechanisms of glucose absorption in the intestine since glucose is a carbohydrate that is rapidly absorbed in the small intestine and takes no more than 3 h to reach the bloodstream [65]. It must be remembered that the ethanolic extract of seeds showed its highest effectiveness (70%) at 2 h. It could also be thought that the predominance of phenols in this plant part could be strongly compromised with the inhibition of the glucose diffusion through the dialysis membrane in the first 2 h of evaluation. Thus, it can be proposed that the delaying effect of the seed constituents is worth considering. Consequently, it can be thought that the use of products derived from this *P. vitifolia* fruit byproduct would be greatly beneficial to reduce the rate of digestion and absorption of carbohydrates from the intake, which would improve the quality of life of the hyperglycemic patient. However, a greater number of tests is required to corroborate it.

Great variability, high availability, low cost, and the least side effects make of plant preparations the main key of frequent therapies performed in rural areas. In recent decades, several studies have indicated the value of vegetable fiber or complex carbohydrates, including highly viscous soluble fibers, for the control of blood glucose concentrations [66]. Furthermore, it had been reported that the phenolic-rich plant extracts have a higher ability to inhibit the α-amylase enzyme and that, particularly phenols, exhibit an α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory activity in a dependent manner on the phenolic profile [37,67,68]. In this work, a higher content of fiber was found in the peel (11.40%) than in the seed (2.80%) p<0.05 between the values; the seed, in turn, showed almost 6 times more phenolic constituents than the peel. Therefore, the greater anti-hyperglycemic activity from the seeds ethanolic extract could be associated more with its phenolic availability than the fiber content. Thus, it could be thought that the predominance of phenols in this plant part could be strongly compromised with the inhibition of the diffusion of glucose through the dialysis membrane in the first 2 h of action; this can be relevant for the treatment of type-2 diabetes mellitus [69]. Besides, several works report a wide range of functionalities that have been scientifically proven for the *Passiflora* genus, many of which have been supported by the composition of certain bioactive compounds [38,70-72]. These studies have in common with the present work that the activities have been correlated with the phenolic constituents.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The exploratory evaluation of physicochemical parameters and nutritional composition of residual biomass, such as *P. vitifolia* peel and
seed, can be a viable economic alternative because it adds value to the plant product as a source of bioactive compounds. This supports the idea of proposing the fruit byproducts of *P. vitifolia*, an unconventional passionflower, in animal feed and suggests its potential use as a promising product. In addition, a broad spectrum of pharmacological benefits is expected, considering phytochemical characteristics and nutritional content of the seeds, which would allow them to be used as a raw material in various industries. The high positive relationship evidenced among phenolic content, the antioxidant potential and the anti-hyperglycemic activity reveals the true biological value of the fruit byproducts from *P. vitifolia*. This study has shown that the delaying effect of the constituents of *P. vitifolia* seed is worth considering to mitigate post-prandial hyperglycemia and ameliorate oxidative stress. Further studies are required to confirm this information. From the physicochemical characterization, *P. vitifolia* seed oil may be used as cooking oil or in cosmetology. This appears to be the first work to study the physical and chemical properties of *P. vitifolia* peel and seeds in our country; the information provided here could be helpful in the development of new food functional products.
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