Helicobacter pylori CagA: from pathogenic mechanisms to its use as an anti-cancer vaccine
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INTRODUCTION

Helicobacter pylori, since its culture from a gastric biopsy in 1982 (1), has become one of the most studied bacteria with a number of publications comparable to those on Staphylococcus and Mycobacterium genus, which are second only to Escherichia coli, the most cited bacterial species. Research on H. pylori had been truly global due to the interest of investigators from many disciplines, including microbiologists, gastroenterologists, cancer biologists, and those in pharmaceutical industry.

Helicobacter pylori is a spiral-shaped, flagellated, microaerophilic Gram-negative bacillus that colonizes the gastric mucosa of more than 50% of the human population, with the highest prevalence in developing countries (2, 3). The infection is transmitted within the family in childhood (4, 5), likely by fecal–oral transmission (6, 7). A recent meta-analysis related the presence of H. pylori in the oral cavity to gastric colonization and possible reinfection (6, 7). H. pylori presence in tonsils is controversial (8–10); if confirmed, it could help further understanding of H. pylori transmission and reinfection. An updated review on the H. pylori epidemiology is presented in (11).

Helicobacter pylori is the etiological agent of severe gastric diseases. In particular, a subset of the colonized individuals may develop corpus gastritis, gastric atrophy, gastric ulcer, and increased risk of gastric cancer, whereas another subset may develop antral-predominant gastritis, associated with gastric hyperchlorhydria and increased risk of duodenal ulcer (12–15).

In 1994 the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) identified H. pylori as a group 1 carcinogen (75% attributable risk) on the basis of epidemiological data (16). Research concerning the association with gastric cancer has achieved enormous progress over time, and molecular pathogenesis studies are providing strong evidences for an active role of the bacterium.

In the majority of H. pylori-infected population, however, infection results in asymptomatic chronic active gastritis. Symptomatic diseases occur in approximately only 10% of infected individuals. The explanation of such a phenomenon may reside on host factors, such as genetic predisposition to higher colonization and to inflammatory response. Furthermore, epidemiological studies suggest that H. pylori strain-specific virulence factors play a major role in the pathogenesis. One of the best characterized toxins of H. pylori is cytotoxin-associated gene A (CagA), the product of cagA which is associated with enhanced induction of gastritis, peptic ulcer, and higher risk of gastric cancer (17–21).

The present manuscript focuses on the interaction between H. pylori, and in particular CagA, with host cell, molecular
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mechanisms behind its association to gastric cancer, and on the potential role of vaccines in preventing such a deadly disease.

**INTRODUCING H. PYLORI-ASSOCIATED MALIGNANCIES**

While various viruses have been successfully linked to human cancer, the oncogenic potential of bacteria remains less defined (22). *H. pylori* is the only bacterium to date that has been clearly associated with development of cancer (16). Several studies in animal models provided the formal evidence that *H. pylori* infection is able to promote cancer development (23–25).

According to the World Health Organization and the National Cancer Institute, gastric cancer is only second to lung carcinoma in terms of cancer-related mortality with 738,000 deaths annually and the fourth most common form of cancer (7.8%) overall (26).

A recent update of the IARC *H. pylori* monograph included a detailed overview of several studies on the association between *H. pylori* infection and various types of cancer (23). It must be noted that in some cases there is lack of agreement among the conclusions of different studies. This contradictory data makes it, for the moment, impossible to reach definitive statements about the association of *H. pylori* infection with certain cancer diseases. On the other hand, it can be stated that these contradictory results might be often due to the different geographic areas in which the studies were conducted, thus referring to subjects having different genetic background and also different lifestyle, which include diet and environmental conditions that can influence the outcome of the infection and the disease. A further element that could have influenced the outcome of those studies is constituted by the different methods used to assess *H. pylori* positivity of the subjects included in the studies. The IARC data on *H. pylori* and cancers are summarized in the following part of this paragraph.

The relationship of *H. pylori* infection with non-cardia gastric carcinoma (i.e., in the stomach region distal to the esophageal sphincter) is considered well established, with odds ratios (ORs) ranging from 1.07 to 21.0. In particular, association between CagA-positive strains and non-cardia gastric carcinoma was found. Several studies found that, among the *H. pylori*-infected subjects, the smoking habit, as well as diets including salted, smoked foods, and processed meats, significantly increase the risk of non-cardia gastric carcinoma; conversely, diets rich in fresh vegetables reduce the risk of non-cardia gastric carcinoma in *H. pylori*-infected subjects. Differently from non-cardia gastric carcinoma, association of *H. pylori* infection with cardia gastric carcinoma appears controversial, even when considering the CagA status.

Association of *H. pylori* infection with gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma is considered proven by the fact that the eradication treatment of *H. pylori* infection consistently results in remission of MALT lymphoma (27).

Based on the epidemiological studies, there is no association between *H. pylori* infection and increased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma; moreover, some of these studies, in contrast with others, indicate the reduction of risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma for *H. pylori*-infected subjects.

Liver cancers have been also evaluated for their possible association with *H. pylori*. Although such association was proposed with hepatocellular carcinoma and cholangiocarcinoma, the conclusion of different studies is not in agreement.

Some studies reported association between *H. pylori* infection and colorectal cancer, cancer of the pancreas, and cancer of the lung (but in this case the studies were not adjusted for smoking habit). However, other studies did not find such significant relationships. Among the cancers of the head and neck, significant association with *H. pylori* infection was reported for squamous cells laryngeal cancer and squamous cell cancer of upper aerodigestive tract (excluding the esophagus), while moderate association was found for squamous cell carcinoma of the laryngopharynx. No association of *H. pylori* infection was found with childhood leukemia.

**PART 1 – CELLULAR AND MOLECULAR MECHANISMS ASSOCIATED WITH CANCER INDUCTION BY H. PYLORI**

*Helicobacter pylori* has been linked to a myriad of cancer-related pathways *in vitro* and *in vivo* that provide a rationale for its ability to transform cells and cause malignancies (28–30).

The presence of a pathogenicity island (cag) renders *H. pylori* more virulent (21, 31, 32). Encoded in cag is a type IV secretion system (T4SS), which is made up of circa 20 Cag proteins, and its substrate, the CagA (21). Although the role of other *H. pylori* proteins, such as the vacuolating toxin A (VacA) in cancer has been discussed, the CagA protein appears to be the major disease specific bacterial factor in cancer development (Figure 1) (33).

**CagA – A BACTERIAL ONCPROTEIN**

Cytotoxin-associated gene A was identified as a cancer-associated factor long before its function was scrutinized, since isolates from cancer patients frequently expressed CagA, while strains from asymptomatic individuals or patients suffering from mild gastritis did not (34). Indeed, transgenic expression of CagA in mice was recently shown to cause multiple malignancies including gastric epithelial hyperplasia and, in some cases, gastric polyps and adenocarcinomas of the stomach and small intestine, or myeloid leukemias and B cell lymphomas, establishing the role of CagA as a bacterial oncoprotein (35). This study also demonstrated the relevance of CagA phosphorylation to the development of *H. pylori*-associated neoplasms, since mice expressing non-phosphorylatable CagA did not present pathological abnormalities (23).

Up to this date, CagA is the only identified protein substrate of the T4SS and is delivered into the host cell during bacterial attachment to the gastric epithelial cell layer (36–39). CagA translocation requires binding of CagL, which is exposed on the surface of the secretion pilus structure, to the α5β1-integrin located on the basolateral surface of the cells (40–42). In addition, an amino-terminal region of CagA was also shown to bind to α5β1-integrin and is involved in CagA internalization into the host cell (43). Therefore, only bacteria that have reached the paracellular space and the lamina propria have access to the receptor and indeed *H. pylori* has been shown to colonize these paracellular niches (44). While it was originally thought that CagA uses the T4SS as a conduit to pass from the bacterial cytosol directly into the cytoplasm of the host cell, recent findings by Murata-Kamiya et al. demonstrated T4SS-dependent localization of CagA to the bacterial surface (45).
FIGURE 1 | Schematic of major CagA structural domains and functions. The amino-terminal domain (D1; aa24–aa221) of CagA activates inflammatory responses via NFκB and prevents apoptosis via the tumor suppressor p53. The central domain (D2; aa303–aa644) contains the β1-integrin binding domain, which is required for CagA translocation into the host cell, and a segment of basic as that tethers CagA to phosphatidylserine in the inner leaflet of the cytoplasmic membrane. The N-terminal binding sequence (NBS) located within the D3 domain (aa645–aa824) binds to the C-terminal binding sequence (CBS) located within the intrinsically unstructured C-terminus to form a loop-like structure that exposes the CM dimerization motifs (blue circles) and the EPIYA motifs (red circles). Both motifs trigger many of the CagA-dependent signaling events including disruption of cell polarity, morphological changes, cell motility, and invasion. Here CagA interacts with phosphatidylserine of the host, which is externalized from the inner to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane as response to bacterial contact. CagA binding to phosphatidylserine requires the K-Xn-R-X-R motif within the central region of CagA. This interaction is followed by an as yet uncharacterized eukaryotic uptake mechanism. The K-Xn-R-X-R motif is conserved in various PH domains that are known to bind to acidic phospholipids. Inside the host cell, CagA is tethered to the inner surface of the plasma membrane, once again by the interaction between the central K-Xn-R-X-R motif of CagA with phosphatidylserine (45). Recent structural data indicate that CagA contains three distinct domains: a structural N-terminus followed by the phosphatidylserine binding domain, and a third domain that interacts intramolecularly with an intrinsically disordered C-terminal region (46).

Following translocation, Src-family and Abl kinases then phosphorylate CagA on tyrosine residues within a specific motif, EPIYA, which is found as part of a repetitive sequence within the carboxyterminal region of the protein (39, 47, 48). Following these events, CagA interacts with various host signaling factors and triggers cancer-related pathways that can be broken up into two categories, those that depend on tyrosine phosphorylation of the EPIYA motif [SHP-2, C-terminal Src kinase (CSK), Crk, E-cadherin] and those that depend on CagA, but are phosphorylation-independent (Grb2, c-Met, Par-1b/MARK2, ZO-1) (see below).

CELL MOTILITY AND PROLIFERATION
The first molecule that was shown to interact with phosphorylated CagA was the protooncogen SHP-2, a tyrosine phosphatase that links growth factor signaling with activation of Erk (49). Erk is part of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway, which has been reported to play a role in carcinogenesis by inducing mitogenic responses (50). Indeed, CagA binding to the Src-homology domain 2 (SH2) of SHP-2 caused aberrant activation of SHP-2 and consequently of the ERK-MAPK pathway. Activation of this pathway by CagA may therefore act in enhanced cell-cycle progression and increase cell proliferation (51). In addition, SHP-2 activation also resulted in morphological changes, which have been described in AGS (human gastric
adenocarcinoma) tissue culture cells as the “hummingbird phenotype” (57). This phenotype is characterized by dramatic cellular elongations and cytoskeletal rearrangements resembling those that occur during hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR) activation (52). In vitro, these morphological changes also coincide with increased cell motility and a tendency of cells to detach from culture dishes. The search for SHP-2 phosphatase substrates that may explain CagA morphogenic activity led to the identification of focal adhesion kinase (FAK), a known regulator of the turnover of focal adhesions and cellular motility (53). FAK dephosphorylation via activated SHP-2 reduced FAK activity leading to a reduction of focal adhesion sites and contributing to cell detachment and increased cell motility. In agreement with these findings, reduced phosphorylation of FAK substrates like paxillin, which are involved in cell adhesion processes, was reported (55).

Interactions of CagA with additional signaling proteins that may contribute to cell morphological changes, cell substrate adhesion, and increased cell motility and proliferation have been described. These factors include Csk (54) the adapter proteins Grb2 (55) and Crk (56), and HGFR (c-Met) (57). Inhibition of Csk by phosphorylated CagA was shown to contribute to reduced cell-matrix adhesion by reducing the phosphorylation state of vinculin (54, 58). Vinculin was shown to be a major factor contributing to cell spreading and reduced wound healing. Thus both signals, CagA phosphorylation-dependent inhibition of FAK and Csk, seem to reduce cell adherence to the extracellular matrix (ECM) by causing dephosphorylation of cytoskeletal and FAK-associated proteins. Grb2 binding was suggested to act as a transducer of growth factor-like stimuli further contributing to the hummingbird phenotype and also to promote cell proliferation (55). These effects depended on activation of the Ras/MEK/ERK by CagA, but did not require CagA phosphorylation. Interaction of phosphorylated CagA with Grb2 was also shown to contribute to cell proliferation via Erk activation, albeit by a different signaling cascade than Grb2 (56). Additionally, Crk2 caused cytoskeletal changes by promoting Rac1 activity through the CrkDock180/ELMO pathway. Finally, the c-Met oncogene was activated by CagA independently of the CagA tyrosine-phosphorylation status. Activation of c-Met was suggested to deregulate growth factor receptor signaling and to play a role in mobility and invasiveness of gastric cells (57).

EPITHELIAL BARRIER FUNCTION

Early studies by light and electron microscopy of stomach biopsy specimens demonstrated that *H. pylori* accumulates in two locations: within the gastric mucus and associated with intercellular junctions of gastric epithelial cells (59, 60). Human gastric mucosa of patients with gastric ulcers can show discontinuity and decrease in numbers of tight junctional strands, and *H. pylori* has been found around intercellular junctions with abnormalities of the tight-junction complexes (61). Despite these observations, the significance of this localization was unclear. More recently, *H. pylori* was demonstrated to interact with tight-junction components explaining the preferential localization of the bacterium at the cell–cell contacts observed in human mucosa (62). *H. pylori* uses CagA to attach near the intercellular junctions and disrupt the organization and function of the apical junctional complex (AJC) of cultured epithelial cells (62). AJC of epithelial cells form the barrier between the lumen and the interstitial space, and they also regulate several basic epithelial functions, such as the establishment of apical and basal polarity, cell proliferation, cell–cell adhesion, and cell movement. Independently of tyrosine phosphorylation, the N-terminus of CagA targets the protein to the epithelial junctions (63). Here, it complexes with several junction proteins and can perturb the assembly and function of both the tight and the adherens junctions (62–64). Phenotypically, this leads to the deregulation of epithelial cell–cell adhesion and loss of epithelial polarity. Recently, these effects of CagA on host cell polarity have been linked to the ability of *H. pylori* to colonize the surface of the host epithelial cell (65).

Evidence for how CagA causes disruption of cellular polarity on the molecular level came from observations that non-phosphorylated CagA interacts with the serine-threonine kinase Par-1b (MARK2) (64, 66). In polarized epithelial cells Par-1b is an essential component of the Par-aPKC system, which plays an important role in establishing cellular polarity by phosphorylation of various cellular targets including microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) (67–69). CagA induced disruption of apical-basolateral polarity by inhibiting Par-1b kinase activity at the lateral cortex of MDCK polarized cell (66). In agreement, overexpression of Par-1b antagonized CagA-induced polarization defects. The FPLKRHKDVDDLKL peptide, also described as the CM (CagA multimerization) motif, which is located downstream of the EPIYA motifs in the C-terminal part of CagA, was sufficient to bind to the kinase substrate binding site of Par-1b and cause Par-1b inhibition by acting as a structural analog of kinase substrates (70). Association of CagA with Par-1b in MDCK cells not only caused disruption of tight junctions, but also prevented lumen formation and tubulogenesis, which are important hallmarks of epithelial differentiation (64). Furthermore inhibition of Par-1b kinase activity contributed to an increased hummingbird phenotype by acting on the actin cytoskeletal system (71). The authors demonstrated that inhibition of Par-1b prevented Par-1b-mediated phosphorylation and thus inactivation of the RhoA specific guanosine exchange factor GEF-H1, which is known to cause cortical actin and stress fiber formation and cell motility (72).

Another important function exerted by the CM motif of CagA is the association with E-cadherin (73–75). E-cadherin is a calcium-dependent cell–cell adhesion glycoprotein, which is crucial for the establishment of epithelial architecture as well as for maintenance of cell polarity and differentiation. Loss of expression of E-cadherin and disruption of the β-catenin/E-cadherin complex is considered an important factor in tumor development and loss or aberrant localization of E-cadherin is observed at sites of epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) during tumor progression (76–78). While cell-to-cell interaction is mediated by homophilic E-cadherin interactions through the amino-terminal extracellular domain, the cytoplasmic carboxyterminus is linked to the actin cytoskeleton via α, β, and γ-catenins. In addition to stabilizing cell-to-cell adhesion, the cadherin–catenin complex is also a key regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway. In the absence of Wnt, β-catenin is modified by serine-phosphorylation, which causes its ubiquitination and subsequent degradation by the proteasomal complex. In the presence of Wnt, however, β-catenin phosphorylation is inhibited and β-catenin accumulates in the cytoplasm.
and gains access to the nucleus, where it induces transcription of various cancer-related genes, including NFAT (79, 80). CagA was shown to directly bind to E-cadherin and this interaction prevented association of E-cadherin with β-catenin causing destabilization of the adherence junction complex and redistribution of β-catenin into the nucleus (73). CagA-dependent activation of β-catenin mediated transcription of cancer-related genes had also been described in Mongolian gerbils and in patients infected with CagA-positive strains of *H. pylori* (81). Mongolian gerbils, apart from primates, are the only animal model available that develop gastric cancer upon *H. pylori* infection, without additional treatment with carcinogenic substances. In addition, CagA can induce the β-catenin pathway via activation of c-Met-associated PI3K-AKT signaling *in vitro* and *in vivo* (82). Further highlighting the importance of the β-catenin pathway is the finding that *H. pylori* can also induce β-catenin redistribution to the nucleus by additional CagA independent events (29). E-cadherin is also targeted by secreted HtrA protein of *H. pylori*, which is a serine protease that cleaves the ectodomain of E-cadherin further disrupting epithelial barrier function (83).

Thus, by disrupting tight-junctions via inhibition of Par-1b, adherence junctions via cadherin, and focal adhesions via activation of SHP-2, the CagA oncoprotein is potentially able to disrupt cell–cell and cell–matrix interaction of gastric epithelial cells. These are processes involved in EMT of cells.

**EPITHELIAL TO MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION**

Expression of CagA into polarized epithelial monolayer was found to be associated with transition of epithelial cells from a polarized state to an invasive phenotype, a cellular change characteristic of EMT (63). CagA-induced EMT depends on signaling triggered by the EPIYA motifs and localization of CagA to the junctions (63).

Epithelial to mesenchymal transition and mesenchymal–epithelial transitions (METS) have key roles in embryonic development, and their importance in the pathogenesis of cancer is increasingly recognized (84). EMT results from a complex molecular and cellular program by which epithelial cells de-differentiate loosing cell–cell adhesion and apical–basal polarity, and acquire mesenchymal features, including motility, invasiveness, and a heightened resistance to apoptosis. Similar to embryonic development, both EMT and MET seem to have crucial roles in the tumorigenic process. In particular, EMT has been found to contribute to invasion, metastatic dissemination, and acquisition of therapeutic resistance.

After the initial observation that CagA expression was able to induce a EMT-like process (63), the phenomenon has been studied in more detail and confirmed by other authors (85–87). Increased levels of the mesenchymal markers vimentin and fibronectin were detected in MDCK cells transfected with cagA (85). However, CagA expression did not down-regulate epithelial markers such as E-cadherin, α-catenin, β-catenin, and γ-catenin. Furthermore, there was no upregulation of EMT-inducing transcription factors, such as Twist and Snail, in cells expressing CagA. Therefore, CagA-expressing MDCK cells may undergo a peculiar EMT process in which both epithelial and mesenchymal markers are expressed simultaneously.

However, in another study in which three gastric epithelial cell lines (AGS, MGI-V1A, and ST16) were co-cultured with *H. pylori*, upregulation of the EMT-associated genes Snail, Slug, and vimentin was observed (87). *H. pylori* also increased shedding of soluble heparin-binding epidermal growth factor (HB-EGF). Recent data suggest that soluble HB-EGF has a role in inducing EMT by upregulating EMT factors such as Slug (88, 89). This phenomenon was found to be partially dependent on both gastrin and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-7 expression. Indeed, inhibition of gastrin and MMP-7 expression through siRNAs, reduced upregulation of HB-EGF shedding and EMT gene expression. Interestingly, MMP-7 is a downstream transcriptional target of β-catenin following E-cadherin deregulation and has been linked to EMT and found upregulated in *H. pylori* infection.

Matrix metalloproteinase-7 is a member of a family of zinc-dependent proteolytic enzymes and is expressed and secreted primarily by well-differentiated epithelial cells. Increased levels of MMP-7 are present in many epithelial-derived malignancies, including gastric adenocarcinoma (90). Elevated levels of MMP-7 have also been detected in a high proportion of pre-malignant lesions in the stomach (gastric ulcers), suggesting that this protein plays an important role in early stages of the carcinogenic process. *H. pylori* was shown to increase MMP-7 expression in gastric epithelial cell lines in a cagPAI-dependent manner. This association was confirmed in gastric epithelial cells isolated from *H. pylori* infected patients. More recently, expression of MMP-7 was assessed by immunohistochemistry on 120 mucosal biopsies, of which 76 specimens with gastric epithelial dysplasia and 36 with intramucosal cancer (91). Greater expression of MMP-7 was confirmed in early-stage gastric cancer in association with cagPAI-positive strains.

Matrix metalloproteinases play an important role in controlling cell interactions with the ECM. MMPs are involved in the breakdown of ECM in normal physiological processes, such as embryonic development as well as in disease processes, such as cancer invasion and metastasis. However, an intriguing new hypothesis proposes that changes in ECM may play an active role during early stages of tumor formation prior to the onset of malignant invasion (92). Therefore, deregulation of cell–matrix interactions occurring during EMT would act as an epigenetic mechanism actively promoting cancer development. Indeed, disruption of cell–matrix interactions by ectopic expression of MMPs has been shown to be enough to induce carcinomas in animal models (93).

**ANTI-APOPTOTIC PATHWAYS**

In an *H. pylori* experimental infection model in Mongolian gerbils, accumulation of the tumor suppressor factor p53 occurred at 4–6 h post-infection, followed by rapid decrease (94). Such a transient upregulation and downregulation of p53 was confirmed *in vitro*. This phenomenon was explained with the initial host response that up-regulates p53 expression, followed by CagA action that induces p53 degradation (94). Furthermore, *H. pylori* induces an apoptotic response of infected cells, which is inhibited by the delivery of CagA (95). These mechanisms have then been investigated *in vitro*, and it was demonstrated that CagA interacts with the tumor suppressor apoptosis-stimulating protein of p53-2 (ASPP2) (96). Upon DNA damage or oncogenic stimuli, ASPP2 binds and
activates p53, inducing apoptosis. After interacting with CagA, ASPP2 is still able to bind p53, but then proteasomal degradation of p53 occurs, thus inhibiting the apoptotic response of the host cell (96). Thus, CagA is able to modulate the apoptotic signal that H. pylori itself induces. Even though the complete mechanism by which CagA hijacks and deregulates the tumor-suppression function of ASPP2 remains to be elucidated, the demonstration that CagA is involved in anti-apoptotic pathways is another important finding that confirms its strong relationship with EMT.

**STAT3 ACTIVATION**

Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 (STAT3) belongs to the STAT family of transcription factors and affects expression of cancer-related genes (97). H. pylori activates STAT3 via the IL-6/gp130 receptor in various cell lines and activation was dependent on CagA, but independent of CagA tyrosine phosphorylation (98). While a direct interaction of CagA with either IL-6 receptor or gp130 receptor could not be shown, the authors suggested that CagA triggers receptor heterodimerization by an indirect mechanism. The authors confirmed CagA-dependent STAT3 activation in vivo using the Mongolian gerbil model. Further evidence of the importance of STAT3 came from the study that demonstrated STAT3 activation in gastric tissue obtained from human subjects that were infected with CagA-positive strains. A study by Lee et al. also investigated CagA-dependent activation of the IL-6/gp130 receptor (99). Their finding confirmed the importance of CagA in STAT3 activation and additionally showed that the SHP-2/ERK was also induced following Helicobacter-mediated IL-6/gp130 receptor activation. Interestingly, in this study STAT3 activation was much stronger using a phosphorylation-resistant mutant of CagA than using wild type strains, while the opposite observation was made for activation of the SHP-2/ERK pathway. Thus, while CagA induces the ERK/MAP kinase pathway by direct interaction with and activation of SHP-2 (see above) it also upregulates this pathway by indirect activation of the IL-6/gp130 receptor.

**ANGIOGENESIS**

The major characteristics of malignant cells are the following: deregulated cell proliferation, failure to differentiate, loss of normal apoptotic pathways, genetic instability, loss of replicative senescence, invasion, metastasis, evasion of the immune system, and increased angiogenesis (100). As described in the previous sections H. pylori affects most of these pathways and the following section summarizes the effects of H. pylori on angiogenesis.

Angiogenesis is defined as the physiological process through which new blood vessels form from pre-existing vessels (100). The newly formed endothelial cells (ECs) then migrate into the tumor and provide the condition for tumor growth and ultimately hematogenous spread. H. pylori appears to affect EC in different ways depending on the disease presentation. In peptic ulcer disease (PUD), presence of H. pylori was associated with delayed ulcer healing (101–104). In HUVEC cells water extracts of H. pylori-induced apoptosis independently of CagA or VacA (103), inhibited expression of angiogenic growth factor receptors (104) and triggered cytostasis of EC, likely by blocking the G1 to S phase cell-cycle transition (101, 102). Delayed wound healing and decreased proliferation together with increased epithelial damage caused by the infection may, in this scenario, cause chronic ulcer development. However, in gastritis and gastric cancer, opposite effects on angiogenesis do occur. Infection of the gastric mucosa with H. pylori causes a strong pro-inflammatory response, including activation of NF-kB and IL-8 (105). NF-kB induces expression of MMPs and angiogenic factors (106). Inflammation together with the effect of bacterial signaling factors may therefore act on EC in the vicinity of bacteria in the stomach and disturb their physiological function favoring tumor vascularization (107). Indeed, observations in patients with gastric adenocarcinoma have demonstrated a higher density of blood vessels in tumors before H. pylori eradication compared to after, suggesting a role of H. pylori in angiogenesis (108). In vitro, H. pylori also induced an increase in mRNA expression for IL-8, VEGF, angiogenin, urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA), and MMP-9 all of which are important mediators of angiogenic processes and gastric cell invasion (109, 110).

Cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) is an enzyme involved in prostaglandin biosynthesis and increase in COX-2 expression has been associated with various human cancers including colorectal, lung, pancreatic, esophageal, brain, and gastric cancers (111, 112). The cancer promoting functions of COX-2 may be explained by its ability to enhance cell proliferation, tumor cell invasion, and to induce angiogenesis (113). Indeed, COX-2 inhibitors reduce angiogenesis (114, 115). Chronic infection with H. pylori was shown to trigger upregulation of COX-2, which affects inflammatory processes and increased tissue damage (116). COX-2 expression was also upregulated in the human gastric mucosa of infected patients with gastric cancer suggesting that COX-2 upregulation plays a major role in gastric cancer development following infection.

**EFFECTS ON STEM/PROGENITOR CELLS**

Although H. pylori and specifically CagA trigger many cancer-related signaling pathways in vitro and in vivo, many questions remain to be elucidated to fully understand the mechanisms behind the association of the pathogen with cancer. For example, it is not clear which cell type carries the potential for malignant transformation or which mechanisms trigger tumor initiation. The major cell type colonized with H. pylori is represented by the gastric pit or mucous-producing cells (117). Since this cell type is replaced too rapidly to allow the accumulation of mutations that promote transformation, recent research focuses on the potential role of long-lived gastric stem/progenitor cells localized in the isthmus region of the gland (118). The stem/progenitor cells are thought to make up a small fraction of all cancer cells (~1%). A model is emerging, in which H. pylori recruits and affects progenitor cells through chronic inflammation and cagPAI/CagA-induced oncogenic pathways. As a consequence the progenitor cells accumulate genetic and epigenetic modifications, which ultimately cause loss of homeostatic control and initiation of tumor development (119).

Research to characterize the interaction of H. pylori with progenitor cells is still in its infancy. However, H. pylori has been shown to interact with and invade epithelial progenitor cells (44). A gnotobiotic mouse model of chronic atrophic gastritis has been used
Another targeted promoter, the FOXD3 promoter, was identified for adherence and cell invasion (120). A recent publication has demonstrated in a mouse model that H. pylori caused preneoplastic lesions, which contained bone marrow derived cells that were recruited to the gastric mucosa (121). The bone marrow derived cells are considered an alternate source of stem cells and likely are recruited along other stem cells to the isthmus region of the gland to produce the various types of gastric gland cells in the mucosa: pit, parietal, neck, and zymogenic cells (118, 122, 123). 

These experiments indicate that CagA and possibly other H. pylori factors likely participate in the regulation of stem cell differentiation and contribute to the initiation of gastric cancer.

**PART 2 – H. PYLORI EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS AFFECTING GENE EXPRESSION OF HOST CELL**

Epigenetics has been defined as “the study of heritable changes in gene expression that occur independent of changes in the primary DNA sequence” (124). In other words, epigenetics studies the chromatin structure and its impact on gene function. Epigenetic modifications include DNA methylation, post-translational histone modification, nucleosome positioning along the DNA strand, and microRNA expression (125). These modifications are typically acquired during cell differentiation and control the accessibility of the genetic information by regulatory proteins (126).

Recent studies link epigenetic mechanisms to EMT that lead to oncogenesis (127, 128). Cancer cells have to acquire genetic as well as epigenetic changes to undergo through EMT and DNA methylation, histone modifications, and miRNAs appear to be associated with EMT and cancer progression.

**DNA METHYLATION**

DNA methylation occurs mainly on cytosine in repetitive CpG dinucleotides sequences, which are part of the majority of human promoter sequences (112, 129). Methylation of these CpG islands causes transcriptional silencing and furthermore may regulate active promoters (126). Various studies have reported that infection with H. pylori is associated with promoter methylation of various gastric cancer-associated genes (130–132) and eradication of the bacteria was able to reverse the process in patients with gastritis, but not in patients with intestinal metaplasia (133–135). Methylated genes included the O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (DNA repair factor) (136), the trefoil factors 1 and 2 (regulators of gastric cell differentiation and proliferation) (137, 138), E-cadherin (133), GATA-4 and GATA-5 (134), p16 (cell-cycle control) (139), and IRX1 (cell-cycle control) (135). Another targeted promoter, the FOXD3 promoter, was identified using a genome-wide microarray-based approach, which compared methylation patterns of ca. 4500 CpG islands in mucosa samples of mice either infected or not infected with H. pylori (140). The study also compared mucosa of infected but asymptomatic individuals with mucosa of gastric cancer patients. FOXD3 is a member of the family of forkhead box transcriptional regulators. The FOXD3 promoter was found to be hypermethylated in both screens and progressively hypermethylated in more advanced lesions with the highest methylation level in human cancer cases. In agreement, FOXD3 was repressed in various gastric cancer cell lines and in more than 80% of gastric cancer cases. Furthermore, increased FOXD3 expression in cancer cell lines caused reduced proliferation rates, enhanced apoptosis, and reduced cell line invasiveness.

**HISTONE MODIFICATIONS**

Chromatin is made of repeating units of nucleosomes, which contain DNA wrapped around an octamer of four histones proteins (H2a, H2b, H3, and H4). The N-terminus of histones can be post-translationally modified by methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, or sumoylation and the modification status affects DNA packing as well as gene transcription and DNA replication and repair (141). Nucleosome-free regions (NFRs) allow gene activation and transcription (142), while occlusion of the transcription start site with a nucleosome causes epigenetic gene silencing (143). Nucleosome remodeling has been closely linked to DNA methylation and histone modifications (144, 145).

Several recent reports have investigated the effects of H. pylori on histone modification. A chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis of NCI-N87 and primary gastric cells revealed that H. pylori-induced expression of the cell-cycle control factor p21(WAF1). Induction followed the hyper-acetylation of histone H4 likely as a response to the release of HDAC-1 from the p21(WAF)1 promoter (146). HDAC-1 is a histone acetyltransferase that acetylates key lysine residues on H3 and H4 histones and acetylation activates transcription (147). cagPAI dependent dephosphorylation of histone H3 at serine 10 and threonine 3 was also shown, likely following transient pre-mitotic cell-cycle arrest and indeed, cell division cycle phosphatase CDC25C was strongly decreased during H. pylori infection (148). Similar effects on histone H3 phosphorylation were also reported by Ding et al., which furthermore demonstrated decreased acetylation of lysine 23 on histone H3 and this modification was associated with upregulation of the c-Jun proto-oncogene independent of the ERK/p38 pathways (149). Angrisano et al. demonstrated that H. pylori infection of gastric cells caused chromatin changes at the iNOS promoter. These changes included decreased methylation of lysine 9 on histone H3, but increased methylation and acetylation on histone H4, which were followed by increased iNOS expression (150). iNOS is the inducible nitric oxide synthase isoform, which is most commonly associated with malignant disease. Finally, H. pylori caused decreased expression of the gastric tumor suppressor protein p27 (151). Since p27 transcription was previously reported to be epigenetically regulated through histone acetylation via the G-protein coupled delta opioid receptor (DOR), histone acetylation, and acetyltransferase (p300) levels within the p27 promoter and DOR phosphorylation levels were measured. Infection of AGS and H3SC cells was associated with low p27 expression and reduced p27 promoter histone H4 acetylation. Recruitment of the p300 acetyltransferase and DOR phosphorylation were also decreased following infection with H. pylori.

**MICORNA EXPRESSION**

miRNAs are small, non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression through posttranscriptional gene silencing. They are typically 20–24 nucleotides long and pair with the 3’ untranslated regions
of target messenger RNA to form the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). As a result of the complex formation the target RNA is then degraded or its translation inhibited (152). MicroRNAs are tissue specific and control many regulatory processes such as signal transduction, cell proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, and differentiation and may also act as tumor suppressor genes (153). Considering such diverse regulatory functions it is not surprising that aberrant expression of miRNA has been linked to tumorigenesis (153) and various reports describe the role of miRNA in the development of gastric cancer specifically (154, 155). The effect of H. pylori on miRNA was recently comprehensively reviewed (156). It is becoming increasingly obvious that a considerable number of miRNAs is altered following infection with H. pylori and specific miRNA deregulation was found to contribute to host inflammation, cell-cycle progression, inhibition of apoptosis, cell invasion, and metastasis (156). One study of particular interest was using high throughput microarray screening to investigate the difference of miRNA signatures in H. pylori infected and uninfected gastric mucosa. The study found significant differences in the expression of 31 miRNAs and some of these, including the let-7 family members, required the expression of the cagPAI (157). Newer studies also pointed toward a role of the cagPAI and specifically CagA in regulating miRNA pathways. Zhu et al. demonstrated upregulation of miRNA-584 and miRNA-1290 in CagA-transformed cells and overexpression of both miRNAs induced intestinal metaplasia of gastric epithelial cells in knock-in mice (86). Interestingly, CagA-induced miRNA-584 and miRNA-1290 promote EMT through Foxa1, a critical factor in epithelial cell differentiation. These findings support a possible role of miRNA-584 and miRNA-1290 in deregulating cell differentiation and in promoting cancer through EMT. Saito et al. demonstrated that in polarized cells CagA-induced a mitogenic response via ERK activation. ERK prevented expression of p21(Waf1/Cip1) cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor by activating c-Myc. c-Myc induced miRNA-17 and miRNA-20, which were both required to suppress p21(Waf1/Cip1). The opposite effect was observed in non-polarized cells, where upregulation of p21 (Waf1/Cip1) expression caused cell senescence (85). Interestingly, CagA was also shown to contribute to cell-cycle arrest in proliferating gastric tissue culture cells. CagA translocation caused a strong inhibition of miRNA-372 and miRNA-373, which both promote cell proliferation by silencing large tumor suppressor homolog 2 (LATS2) (158). The author suggested that this process might inhibit gastric epithelial renewal in favor of the colonizing bacteria. Future studies will be required to address these seemingly opposite effects of miRNAs during infection of the gastric epithelium with H. pylori.

**PART 3 – THE IMMUNE RESPONSE AGAINST H. PYLORI: ROLE IN CANCER PROMOTION AND AGAINST THE INFECTION**

The knowledge of the immune response to H. pylori is still incomplete: why the natural response seems to be ineffective and what is the protective response are questions that have been answered only in part. H. pylori infection elicits a strong immune response, at both B and T cell level. Nevertheless, the natural response seems unable to clear the infection, while the inflammatory response contributes to the pathology development, creating a microenvironment that may facilitate cellular transformation. Even early inflammatory events occurring upon H. pylori infection are relevant to the understanding of mechanisms behind malignant transformation. Indeed, atrophic gastritis, which is the most common and early outcome of H. pylori infection, leads to a significant increase in the risk of developing gastric cancer (159, 160).

*Helicobacter pylori* infection induces both innate effectors and a complex mix of Th1, Th17, and T regulatory cells (Treg) adaptive immune responses (161). Th1 response drives an inflammation that, if prolonged, results in pathological sequelae. On the other hand, experimental data showed that polarized Th2 response alone does not guarantee protection, suggesting that specific Th1 response appropriately tuned by Th2 cells would lead to a balanced, protective response (162–165). In the recent years, some advances in the knowledge of the contribution of both bacterial and host factors in determining the outcome of *H. pylori* infection have further filled some parts of the puzzle (166). To maintain colonization in the gastric tissue in spite of the robust immune response, *H. pylori* activates escaping mechanisms and exerts on the host immune system immunomodulatory action, through various factors (167, 168), establishing a relatively pacific coexistence. Nevertheless, the concomitance of certain host genetic background and particularly virulent *H. pylori* factors, such as CagA, can break this balance and lead to pathological outcomes including malignant lesions.

**INNATE IMMUNE RESPONSE: PATHOGEN-RECOGNITION RECEPTORS**

Mammalian toll-like receptors (TLR) allow recognition of microbial molecules, with consequent initiation of the innate cellular responses against the invading pathogens. TLR2, TLR4, and TLR5 have been involved in the *H. pylori* recognition in the stomach (169–173), with bacterial factors such as CagA and HP-NAP modulating the interaction of the bacterium with TLR (169, 174), eventually leading to activation of nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-κB) and secretion of inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, polymorphisms of these TLR have been reported to be associated with gastric carcinoma development (175, 176). However, TLR4 polymorphisms do not influence the risk of gastric cancer in Caucasian population (177). Also, association of gastric carcinogenesis with decreasing levels of TLR inhibitors and increased TLR2 and TLR4 levels has been reported (178), and chronic activation of TLR has been associated with tumor genesis (179).

The nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD) proteins are other important constituents of the innate immune response. NOD1 recognizes the bacterial peptidoglycan, resulting in signaling cascade that activates NF-κB and the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (180). *H. pylori* activates NOD1 responses (181), dependent on cagPAI and its ability of delivering the bacterial peptidoglycan via the TASS (182, 183). Also for NOD1, polymorphisms have been found to be associated with *H. pylori*-induced gastric mucosal inflammation (184), but not in the Caucasian population (177).

**PRO-INFLAMMATORY CYTOKINES**

*Helicobacter pylori* infection generates in the host a cytokine response that takes part in the disease development. Several studies indicate that polymorphisms of pro-inflammatory cytokines or
of related genes may strongly influence the pathological outcome (181, 185, 186).

Some IL-1β and IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RN) polymorphisms may increase the risk of malignant disease (187–190). This is conceivably linked to the suppression of gastric acid secretion induced by IL-1β. In a recent meta-analysis, ILRN2 polymorphism was found significantly associated with risk of gastric cancer in non-Asian populations, while reduced risk for Asian population was observed with IL-1β-31C polymorphism (191).

Polymorphisms of the human TNF-α (186, 192, 193), TNF-α promoter (194), and IL-10 (186, 195, 196) have been associated with higher risk of gastric cancer.

Polymorphisms in the Heat-shock protein 70 (HSP70)-1 have been reported to constitute possible risk factor for the development of precancerous lesions, gastric cancer, and duodenal ulcer (193). On the other hand, the BB genotype of HSP70-2 was found to be associated with a reduced risk of gastric pre-malignant condition in H. pylori-infected older individuals in the Japanese population (197). The effects of HSP70 can be due to the inhibitory activity exerted by HSP70 on IL-1 β and TNF-α production (198).

An IL-8 promoter polymorphism (IL-8-251A/T), which causes increased expression of IL-8, has been reported to be associated with progression of gastric atrophy in patients with H. pylori infection, thus increasing the risk of gastric ulcer and gastric cancer (199), as confirmed by a recent meta-analysis (200). The association of this IL-8 polymorphism with the risk of gastric cancer varies according to histological type, tumor location, H. pylori infection, and ethnicity/country (201, 202).

**T CELL RESPONSE**

T-cell-mediated adaptive immunity is considered to play a major role in antitumor immunity (203). High density of tumor-infiltrating T cells (cytotoxic and memory in particular) was found associated with longer survival time of gastric cancer patients (204), and a specific T cell response of type I to cancer antigens, detectable in gastric cancer patients, has been proposed to have the potential of hampering tumor cell growth (203).

T regulatory (Treg) cells are a CD4+CD25+ population able to suppress the activation/proliferation of other T cells (205). Treg play a physiological role in protecting against autoimmune diseases suppressing T responses to self-antigens, and in controlling immune responses to pathogens (206). In H. pylori-infected subjects, suppression of the responsiveness of CD4+ memory cells has been observed, depending on the presence of H. pylori-specific Treg (207).

Retrospective histopathological analysis performed on 167 subjects confirmed the local increase of Treg, finding an association between Treg, H. pylori infection, gastritis, peptic ulcer, and gastric adenocarcinoma (208). The Treg presence in the gastric mucosa of H. pylori-infected subjects suggested their involvement in suppressing mucosal immune responses, contributing to the infection persistence and modulating the H. pylori-induced gastritis (161, 209–211). With regard to gastric cancer, the accumulation of Treg in H. pylori-induced gastritis may prevent carcinogenesis, but in already established tumors they may promote tumor progression and metastasis (168).

A very recent study in a neonatal mouse model provided new insights on the role of Treg. Neonates responded to whole-cell H. pylori vaccination and were protected to an extent similar to that of the adults. The most intriguing results were observed in non-vaccinated animals: indeed, when infected with H. pylori, non-vaccinated neonates were protected from preneoplastic lesions, while adults were not (212), suggesting an active mechanism of peripheral tolerance induction. TGF-β was required for the development of tolerance. Depletion of Treg resulted in clearance of H. pylori accompanied by induction of gastric pathology (212). These observations indicate that tolerance can protect from severe disease outcomes, and may contribute to develop new gastric cancer prevention strategies.

A recent study observed that H. pylori infection induced a predominant Treg response in children, while the response was of Th17-type in adults (213). If on one hand this might account for the susceptibility of children to the infection and the lower degree of inflammatory cell infiltration observed in infected children (213), on the other hand it could further support the idea that immune response to H. pylori infection and the pathological outcome might be different depending on the age at which the colonization establishes.

Interestingly, CagA appears to be involved in mechanisms of T cell regulation. In mice, CagA-positive bacteria promoted the migration of H. pylori-primed CD4+ T cells to the site of infection, and CagA-dependent T cell priming elicited Treg-cell differentiation (214). Understanding of T cell response and of the mechanisms that H. pylori uses to shape the T cell response could be key to shedding new light on the mechanisms of H. pylori pathogenesis and to develop successful strategies against bacterial colonization and disease.

**VACCINES TO PREVENT H. PYLORI-RELATED CANCER ARE STILL LACKING**

*Helicobacter pylori* infection in symptomatic subjects is generally treated. Current therapies include one proton pump inhibitor plus two antibiotics for 1–2 weeks. Eradication of *H. pylori* results in regression of gastric and duodenal ulcer as well as MALT lymphoma (215), and if performed before transformation process is too advanced, may prevent development of gastric cancer (216–218).

Unfortunately, the efficacy of the treatment has dropped below 80% (219, 220), mainly due to increasing antimicrobial resistance (clarithromycin in particular), but also to poor patient compliance with the multi-drug therapy. For this reason, several modifications in the combination and/or in the sequence of drug administration are under investigation (220–223). Although there are evidences that modified treatments and/or regimens are able in some cases to significantly increase the efficacy of the *H. pylori* eradication rates in comparison with the current standard therapy, antibiotic resistance remains a concern. Moreover, after a successful eradication, recurrence, or re-infection can occur (224). Vaccination represents a valid alternative approach to overcome issues associated with reduced efficacy of antimicrobial-based therapies. An effective vaccine would prevent *H. pylori*-related diseases, including gastric cancer.

A large number of pre-clinical efficacy studies for vaccine candidates against *H. pylori* have been published, with promising results. However, a limited number of clinical trials were
performed [reviewed in Ref. (225)]. In particular, several studies, conducted with urease-based vaccines, either administered as a purified recombinant protein along with mucosal adjuvants or as a Salmonella-vectored vaccine, showed limited immunogenicity and poor efficacy in humans. These disappointing results could discourage pharmaceutical companies to further invest in a H. pylori vaccine discovery and development. Nevertheless, in one of the latest studies with Salmonella-vectored vaccine, which included experimental challenge of volunteers, a small number of subjects cleared the infection, regardless of vaccination. In these subjects a T cell response to infection was observed that could be helpful in understanding mechanisms of protective response (226). Another vaccine, containing recombinant CagA, VacA, and HP-NAP proteins, was immunogenic and safe in a phase I clinical trial (227). No further results on clinical trials of H. pylori vaccines, and in particular of efficacy trials, were disclosed in the recent literature. Presently, there is not any anti-H. pylori vaccine licensed.

There are several reasons why currently the development of a H. pylori vaccine seems to be discontinued [exhaustively reviewed in Ref. (228)]. A major issue is the still incomplete knowledge of the mechanisms behind protective immunity against H. pylori. The majority of pre-clinical studies resulted in a significant decrease of bacterial colonization rather than complete, sterilizing, protection. This extent of efficacy against experimental infection in animals could be insufficient when translated to the human infection. Moreover, ideally a H. pylori vaccine would be both prophylactic and therapeutic, given the high rate of the currently infected population. Therefore, more research is needed to understand protective mechanisms and identify vaccine formulations able to prevent and cure the infection.

A further element that may limit the development of a H. pylori vaccine is represented by reports suggesting some beneficial roles of H. pylori colonization for the host (229, 230). Beneficial effects would be exerted in the first part of the host life, while detrimental effects start to appear over 50 years of age (229). Although it is clear that H. pylori infection can eventually lead to development of gastric cancer, the feeling that it could also provide the host with some advantages could persuade the compliance with a vaccination campaign.

In the frame of all these considerations, it could be now proposed for H. pylori vaccination an objective different than that of obtaining sterilizing immunity. Given that some of the most dangerous factors of H. pylori are already known, and that the relationships between CagA and H. pylori-induced carcinogenesis is well documented, it could be proposed a vaccine specifically targeting those factors. Such a vaccine should be aimed at affecting H. pylori-induced pathology rather than bacterial colonization. In other words, a still valuable H. pylori vaccine would be able to prevent gastric cancer, even without providing sterilizing immunity.

DISCUSSION

Unlike oncogenic retroviruses, H. pylori does not insert its genome into that of the host and CagA is not an inheritable signal, so how can it contribute to carcinogenesis? The answer likely relies on the nature of H. pylori infection itself: it normally causes chronic infection persisting in the patient’s stomach virtually for his entire life. Therefore, the association between H. pylori and cancer might stem from the sustained injection of CagA and its ability to cause epithelial cell de-differentiation by disrupting cell–cell adhesion and apical–basal polarity, and acquire mesenchymal features, including motility, invasiveness, and a heightened resistance to apoptosis. Therefore, life-long exposure of the gastric mucosa to H. pylori and sustained injection of CagA into gastric epithelial cells may provide the epigenetic promoting forces toward carcinogenesis.

![FIGURE 2 | Model of H. pylori-associated carcinogenesis through CagA-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). H. pylori injects CagA into gastric epithelial cells through a type IV secretion system. CagA disrupts cell–cell junctions by targeting the apical junction complex (A,JC), causing loss of cell polarity. Thereafter, CagA induces cell motility and formation of actin pseudopodia, invasive behavior with the expression of the matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP7), expression of EMF-associated genes, and resistance to apoptosis. Therefore, life-time exposure of the gastric mucosa to H. pylori and sustained injection of CagA into gastric epithelial cells may provide the epigenetic promoting forces toward carcinogenesis.](image-url)
infected with *H. pylori* undergoes several changes. A model which describes the progression of gastric adenocarcinoma as consequence of *H. pylori* infection has been proposed (232). After the bacteria have colonized the gastric mucosa, over a period of few weeks, the infection causes acute gastritis. With the persistence of infection, gastritis can progress to atrophic, and during the years turn into intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia and, eventually, gastric adenocarcinoma.

Cancer progression may not be blocked by antibiotic therapy if it is done when pre-malignant lesions are already present (233–237). Unfortunately, no vaccines are commercially available as of today. If vaccines able to prevent and/or cure *H. pylori* infection would exist, they could substantially decrease the burden of gastric cancer. Indeed, vaccination would be the ideal approach to control *H. pylori* spread in the population. The success of such an approach has already been shown with vaccines against hepatitis B virus (HBV) in preventing liver cancer (238). Furthermore, reduction of the incidence of cervical cancer is expected with the use of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines, as reduction in precancerous lesions has been demonstrated in vaccinees (239).

Given the established association of CagA with cancer, a vaccine aimed at preventing this disease should most likely contain the toxin. However, further research on mechanisms of protection against the pathogen is needed in order to develop an effective vaccine. Given the lack of natural protection associated with *H. pylori* antibodies present in infected patients, it is likely that cellular immunity plays a major role. Therefore, the association of new generation adjuvants stimulating potent cellular response with the appropriate antibody response against key virulence factors (228), may represent the cornerstone of *H. pylori* vaccine development.
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