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Abstract
Cultures of *Nasturtium officinale* were cultivated in vitro under illumination with different wavelengths of light-emitting diode (LED) light (white LED light—WLED, blue light—B, red light—R, 70% red and 30% blue light—RB, 50% green, 35% red and 15% blue light—RBG, 50% yellow, 35% red and 15% blue light—RBY, 50% far red, 35% red and 15% blue light—RBIR, 50% UV, 35% red and 15% blue light—RBUV, darkness—D), and under white fluorescent light (WF)—control conditions. The study investigated the influence of the applied lighting conditions on biomass growth and the production of glucosinolates, phenolic compounds, as well as photosynthetic pigments, and soluble sugars. The study showed a significant beneficial effect of the RBG light on biomass growth (\(Gi = 11.81\) after 20 days) and the production of glucosinolates. The total glucosinolate content under these conditions increased 5.8 and 1.4 times in comparison with the WF light and D condition, respectively, reaching 237.92 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW. The production of phenolic compounds, sugars, and photosynthetic pigments was comparable to the production under the control conditions. The antioxidant potential of extracts from the cultivated biomass was assessed by the CUPRAC, DPPH, and FRAP assays. Extracts obtained from the biomass of cultures grown under the RBG light had an antioxidant potential similar to that of the control cultures. This is the first report providing evidence of the stimulating effect of light quality on the biomass yield and production of glucosinolates by *N. officinale* microshoot cultures in vitro.

Key message
The studies of different LED light quality on *Nasturtium officinale* microshoot cultures were proven that combination of 50% green, 35% red and 15% blue lights stimulated the production of glucosinolates, phenolic compounds, photosynthetic pigments, and influenced on the antioxidant potential of biomass extracts.
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**Abbreviations**

BA  6-Benzyladenine  
CUPRAC  CUPric Reducing Antioxidant Capacity  
DPPH  1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl  
DW  Dry weight  
F–C  Folin–Ciocalteu  
FRAP  Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma  
FW  Fresh weight  
GAL  Gallic acid equivalent  
Gi  Growth index  
LED  Light-emitting diode  
MS  Murashige and Skoog  
NAA  1-Naphthaleneacetic acid  
PGRs  Plant growth regulators  
PPFD  Photosynthetic photon flux density  
RE  Rutoside equivalent  
SIN  Sinigrin equivalent  
TE  Trolox equivalent  

**Introduction**

Plant biotechnology strategies may be used to manipulate the production of valuable secondary metabolites responsible for the biological activity of different plant species. One of the advantages is their use in research on rare and protected plants. The main factors affecting the production of secondary metabolites are basal medium composition, quality and quantity of plant growth regulators (PGRs), and lighting conditions (Mulabagal and Tsay 2004; Karuppusamy 2009).

Different intensities of light, different wavelengths, and photoperiods, and also lack of light could be used as the physical conditions to influence the production of valuable products in plant in vitro cultures (Ramawat and Mathur 2007; Ramakrishna and Ravishankar 2011). Studies have shown that light can stimulate the production of different groups of secondary metabolites such as anthocyanins (Zhong et al. 1991), polyamines (Shin et al. 2004), polyphenols (Szopa et al. 2018), phenylpropanoids (Kubica et al. 2020), and also other biologically active compounds, e.g. artemisinin, digoxin, taxol, or vinblastine (Ramakrishna and Ravishankar 2011).

The object of our research was *Nasturtium officinale* R. Br. (Robert Brown; described earlier by Linnaeus as *Sisymbrium nasturtium-aquaticum*), from the family Brassicaceae, known as watercress. It is a rare perennial, aquatic or semi-aquatic herb with characteristic creeping or floating stems, native to Europe, North Africa, and Asia (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2018). The Red List of Threatened Species of the International Union for Conservation of Nature has classified this species as a plant of least concern in Europe (IUCN).

*Nasturtium officinale* is a species known well the from food industry but is also used in phytopharmacology and cosmetology. It has scientifically proven biological activities, such as anticancer, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory properties (Bahramikia and Yazdanparast 2010; Yehuda et al. 2012; Casanova et al. 2013; Sadeghi et al. 2014). They are determined by a wide range of compounds found in the *N. officinale* plant, e.g. glucosinolates, isothiocyanates, polyphenols (flavonoids, phenolic acids, proanthocyanidins), carotenoids, vitamins, and bioelements (Afsharypuor and Salehi 2008; Boligon et al. 2013; Jeon et al. 2017). *N. officinale* plants recently have been the subject of genetic research relating to the identification of genes associated with enzymes associated with the phenylpropanoid biosynthetic pathway. During the research, 11 genes coding of enzymes in the leaves, stems, roots, flowers, and seeds of *N. officinale* were identified. HPLC analysis showed the presence of seven phenolic compounds (chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, rutoside, quercetin, and kaempferol) as well as their positive correlation between gene expression and the accumulation of these compounds (Bong et al. 2020).

In vitro cultures of *N. officinale* have not been the subject of many biotechnological studies. Those reported were mainly studies on micropropagation (Wainwright and Marsh 1986) and genetic transformation by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (Wielanek et al. 2009; Park et al. 2011). Our studies on the accumulation of bioelements, secondary metabolites, and the biological activity of biomass extracts have recently been published (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2019, 2020a, b).

The present research aimed to investigate the influence of LED light quality on the accumulation of metabolites: glucosinolates, phenolic compounds, soluble sugars, and photosynthetic pigments, as well as on the antioxidant potential of *N. officinale* microshoot extracts.

**Materials and methods**

**Experimental in vitro cultures**

Initial *N. officinale* microshoot cultures were established as reported previously by us (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2019). Based on our earlier studies, we used the optimal medium for the best “growth” and “production” in terms of the accumulation of glucosinolates,
phenolic compounds, and antioxidant activity (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020b). The chosen medium was the Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Murashige and Skoog 1962) supplemented with 1 mg L⁻¹ 6-benzyladenine (BA) and 1 mg L⁻¹ 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose, and 7.2 g agar. The experimental cultures were maintained over 20-day growth periods (3 series), in Magenta™ vessels (Sigma-Aldrich, product No. V8630, Poznań, Poland); medium volume was set at 50 mL, inoculum amount was 1 g FW (fresh weight). The cultures were maintained under a 16/8 h photoperiod, at 25/23 ± 1 °C (day/night), and at 70% relative humidity in a phytotron. All the experiments and measurements were performed in triplicate (including reagent blanks).

In the experiment, eight variants of illumination with light-emitting diode (LED) lights (combinations of different wavelengths) were tested: blue light—B (λ = 430 nm), red light—R (λ = 670 nm), a combination of 70% red and 15% blue light—RB, a combination of 50% UV-A (λ = 400 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBUV, a combination of 50% far red (λ = 730 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBfR, a combination of 50% green (λ = 528 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBG, a combination of 50% yellow (λ = 600, 630 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBY, and white LED light—WLED (33% warm—2700 K, 33% neutral—4500 K, 33% cold—5700 K). The control conditions were established with white fluorescent lamps—WF (Philips TL-D 36W/54 cool fluorescent lamps) (λ = 390–760 nm), and with lack of light—D (darkness). In total, ten different lighting conditions were tested. The PPFD was 40 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in all the combinations.

### Calculation of growth index

Biomass increments were expressed by the growth index (Gi). Dried weight (DW) was measured for each sample after lyophilization (Labconco Cooperation, Kansas City, MO, USA). The Gi was calculated using the formula:

\[ Gi = \frac{DW_1 - DW_0}{DW_0} \]

where \( DW_1 \)—dry weight of microshoots at the end of the experiment, and \( DW_0 \)—dry weight of the inoculum (Grzegorczyk and Wysokińska 2008).

### Sample preparation

Plant material harvested from the tested *N. officinale* microshoot cultures was immediately frozen in liquid N₂ and lyophilized (freeze dryer, Labconco Corporation, Kansas City, MO, USA). The dry biomass was pulverized (MM400, Retch, Haan, Germany). Samples (0.2 g) were weighed out and extracted twice with 4 mL of methanol (STANLAB, Lublin, Poland) under sonication for 20 min. at 25 °C (ultrasonic bath; POLSONIC 2, frequency 40 kHz, Warsaw, Poland). Then the samples were centrifuged (7 min., 2000xg; MPW-223E centrifuge; MPW, Warsaw, Poland) and filtered (0.22 μm syringe filters; Millex®GP; Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). If not otherwise stated, these extracts were used for further analyses.

### Spectrophotometric analysis of the total GSL pool

Total amounts of glucosinolates were determined according to Gallaher et al. (Gallaher et al. 2012), as reported previously (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020a, b), based on their alkaline hydrolysis and subsequent reaction of released 1-thioglucose with ferricyanide. A decrease in absorbance was read at 420 nm (Synergy II, BioTek, Winooksi, VT, USA). Briefly, lyophilized and pulverized samples were extracted after myrosinase inactivation. The centrifuged extract was evaporated under N₂ (TurboVap evaporator, Zymark, Midland, MI, USA), and after reconstitution in H₂O the samples were subjected to anion-exchange SPE (SupelSelect SAX, 60 mg, 3 mL, Bellefonte, PA, USA) to clean up. The GSLs were eluted with 4 × 0.25 mL of 0.5 M NaCl then dried under N₂, and the GSLs were hydrolysed with 1 M sodium hydroxide. After 30 min., the samples were neutralised with concentrated hydrochloric acid. The colorimetric reaction of 2 mM potassium ferricyanide solution (0.4 M phosphate buffer pH 7.0) with the standard or sample solution was monitored in 96-well plates. Absorbance was read at 420 nm (Synergy II, BioTek, Winooksi, VT, USA) 2 min. after ferricyanide addition. The total GSL content was expressed as sinigrin equivalent in mg of sinigrin (SIN)/100 g DW.

### Analysis of total flavonoids

Total flavonoid content was estimated according to the method described by Ramos et al. (2017). Forty microliters of 10% AlCl₃ was mixed with 100 μL of methanolic extract and samples were filled to 1000 μL with 5% acetic acid. The absorbance of Al³⁺ flavonoid complex was recorded at 425 nm in a 96-well plate format (Synergy II). The sum of flavonoids was calculated as mg of rutoside equivalent (RE)/100 g DW. The measurements were done in triplicate (including reagent blanks).

### Analysis of total polyphenols

Total phenolic content was measured according to Singleton et al. (Singleton et al. 1999), with the Folin–Ciocalteu (F–C) reagent, as previously reported (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020a, b). The methanolic extracts were prepared as
described in Sect. 2.3. Water-diluted F–C phenol reagent (5/2 v/v, 0.45 mL) was mixed with the sampled extracts (100 μL). After 10 min., saturated Na2CO3 (0.45 mL) was added. The incubation of the samples was continued for 2 h in darkness at 25 °C. Then, the samples were centrifuged and aliquoted to 96-well plates. The absorbance was detected at 760 nm (Synergy II, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The pool of phenolic compounds was calculated as mg gallic acid (GAL)/100 g DW. The analysis was done in triplicate (including reagent blanks).

Analysis of total soluble saccharide

For analyzing soluble sugars, the phenol–sulfuric method (Dubois et al. 1951) modified by Bach et al. (2015) was used. Samples of water extract were mixed with 5% phenol solution and concentrated sulfuric acid. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm in a 96-well plate format (Synergy II, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The saccharide content was expressed as glucose (GLU) equivalents.

Determination of photosynthetic pigments

Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoids) were determined spectrophotometrically using a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Helios Alpha, Unicam Ltd., Cambridge, GB). Material was homogenized in a mortar with the addition of a small amount of calcium carbonate, quartz sand, and 80% acetone (15 mL) added in portions. After filtration, the solution was made up to 20 mL with acetone. Absorbance was read at 663.2 nm for chlorophyll a and 646.8 nm for chlorophyll b, and 470 nm for carotenoids (Lichtenthaler and Buschmann 2001).

Assays of antioxidant potential

CUPRAC assay

To determine the total antioxidant activity, the CUPric Reducing Antioxidant Capacity (CUPRAC) method (Özyürek et al. 2007) modified as reported previously (Biesaga-Kościelnia et al. 2014, and Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020a, b) was used. Equal volumes of methanolic extracts (prepared as described in Sect. 2.3), 10 mmol/L Cu2+, 7.5 mmol/L neocuproine, and 1 mol/L ammonia-acetate buffer (pH 7.0) were sequentially dispensed. The samples were mixed and incubated for 15 min. (25 °C). The reduction of the neocuproine-Cu2+ complex was monitored spectrophotometrically at 425 nm in a 96-well plate format (Synergy II, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The antioxidants accumulation was calculated as mmol Trolox equivalent (TE)/100 g DW. The measurements were done in triplicate (including reagent blanks).

DPPH radical-scavenging activity assay

The antioxidant potential of the extracts was also estimated using the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Blios 1958). Fifty microliters of extracts were mixed with 150 μL of DPPH methanolic solution. The samples were incubated for 60 min., and then their absorbance was read at 517 nm (96-well plates, Synergy II, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The measurements were performed in triplicate (including reagent blanks).

FRAP assay

The antioxidant capacity of the biomass methanolic extracts was also estimated employing the ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) tests (Benzie and Strain 1996). The reagent consisted of a 10 mmol/L TPTZ (2,4,6-tris(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine) soluted in 40 mmol/L HCl with addition of FeCl3·6H2O (20 mmol/L) and 300 mmol/L of a pH 3.6 acetate buffer (1:1:10 v/v/v). Fifty microliters of extracts was poured with 150 μL of the prepared reagent. After 5 min incubation the absorbance of the sample was read at 593 nm (96-well plates, Synergy II, BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The measurements were done in triplicate (including reagent blanks).

Statistical analysis

The influence of light conditions was evaluated by one-way ANOVA. Differences between means were calculated using Duncan’s multiple range test (p < 0.05) using the statistical package STATISTICA 13.0 (Stat-Soft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). The values show the means ± SD (standard deviation).

Results and discussion

Microshoot appearance and biomass growth

As a result of the experiment, different growth of microshoot culture biomass was observed after 20-day growth cycles, depending on the LED lighting conditions. In vitro cultures that were characterized by large amounts of microshoots and axillary shoots, and dark green colour were those under the B, RB, RBY, RBUV, and RBG light variants (Fig. 1). The unchanged appearance was also observed in the WF control and WLED light variant. Microshoots grown under the R, RBfR, and D light variants had a light green colour and their amounts were the smallest. The values of Gi ranged from 2.52 to 13.38 (Fig. 2). The highest Gi values were obtained for the microshoots grown under RBY and RBG lights—13.38 and 11.81, respectively. The lowest Gi values were obtained for the microshoots grown under RBY and RBG lights—13.38 and 11.81, respectively. The lowest Gi...
values were obtained for the microshoots grown in the control, and in D 2.52. A clear stimulating effect of all the tested LED light variants on biomass increase was observed in the tested cultures, in comparison with the control conditions (Gi increases of up to 5.3 times). In our previous study, Gi was equal to 10.24 after 20 days of growth under continuous LED white light in microshoot agar cultures of *N. officinale*, and was similar to the growth increments obtained under this study (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020b).

**The total glucosinolate content**

In this experiment, we also showed a significant impact of the eight tested LED light combinations on the production of selected groups of metabolites (Table 1). The total glucosinolate content ranged from 40.86 to 237.92 mg SIN 100 g⁻¹ DW. The highest content was obtained for *N. officinale* microshoot cultures grown under the RBG light, and it was 5.8 times higher than under the WF (40.86 mg SIN 100 g⁻¹ DW). Content higher than under the WF light was obtained in all tested light conditions except R (81.63 mg SIN 100 g⁻¹ DW). The lowest glucosinolate content (40.86 mg SIN 100 g⁻¹ DW) was obtained under the WF light, which is evidence that LED light tends to promote glucosinolate production (Table 1).

In our earlier study (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020b), we had performed optimization of agar and agitated *N. officinale* microshoot cultures under continuous white LED light. For the cultures which had been grown over 20-day periods on MS medium with 1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 1 mg L⁻¹ NAA, the total glucosinolate content was 164.97 and 177.34 mg SIN
Microgreens of the *Brassica* genus have also been the object of studies on the influence of different lighting conditions on the bioelement and vitamin content (Kamal et al. 2020). The studies confirmed the positive effects of a combination of red, green, and blue light (70:10:20%) on the growth and nutritional content of e.g. *Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata f. rubra*, *B. oleracea* var. *italica*, and *B. oleracea* var. *capitata*.

### The total flavonoid and polyphenol content

The total flavonoid content in extracts from *N. officinale* microshoots cultured under the tested LED light variants ranged from 546.79 to 1149.45 mg RE 100 g⁻¹ DW (Table 1). The highest total flavonoid content was recorded under the RBY light, and it was 1.4 times higher than under the WLED light (842.82 mg RE 100 g⁻¹ DW) light. A high amount was also obtained for the RBG light (1074.13 mg RE 100 g⁻¹ DW), which was comparable to that obtained under the WF (1070.63 mg RE 100 g⁻¹ DW) light and 1.3 times higher than under the WLED light. The lowest flavonoid content was obtained for the D condition, under which it was 2.0 and 1.5 times lower than under the WF and WLED lights, respectively (Table 1).

In an earlier study, we had determined total amounts of flavonoids in extracts from *N. officinale* microshoot cultures grown in a temporary immersion system (in a RITA® bioreactor), on MS medium containing 1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 1 mg L⁻¹ NAA, cultured over 20-day growth periods (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020a). The results obtained for the

---

**Table 1** Total glucosinolates (mg sinigrin equivalent (SIN) 100 g⁻¹ DW ± SD), flavonoids (mg rutinoside equivalent (RE) 100 g⁻¹ DW ± SD), polyphenols (mg gallic acid equivalent (GAL) 100 g⁻¹ DW ± SD) and soluble sugars (g of glucose equivalent (GLU) 100 g⁻¹ DW ± SD) contents in *N. officinale* microshoot extracts depending on the applied LED lighting conditions

| Lighting condition* | Total content of glucosinolates | Total content of flavonoids | Total content of polyphenols | Total content of soluble sugars |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| WF                  | 40.86 ± 6.99                  | 1070.63ab ± 137.68        | 226.20ab ± 25.11            | 8.15ab ± 0.62                |
| D                   | 165.52 ± 17.79                | 546.79bc ± 26.40          | 224.63ab ± 14.39            | 8.26ab ± 0.91                |
| WLED                | 135.61bc ± 6.27               | 842.82bc ± 31.35          | 229.18 ± 7.58               | 9.26c ± 0.28                 |
| B                   | 126.53bc ± 2.92               | 878.98bc ± 46.15          | 194.62 ± 1.13               | 5.69b ± 0.47                 |
| R                   | 81.63bc ± 7.04                | 730.54bc ± 124.53         | 215.04bc ± 24.96            | 8.02b ± 0.04                 |
| RB                  | 103.91bc ± 2.32               | 866.60bc ± 79.30          | 205.44bc ± 2.90             | 8.30b ± 0.27                 |
| RBG                 | 237.92a ± 30.00               | 1074.13ab ± 95.86         | 190.84 ± 10.12              | 6.51c ± 1.45                 |
| RBY                 | 162.24bc ± 5.05               | 1149.45a ± 58.36          | 212.53abcd ± 20.78          | 5.62d ± 0.04                 |
| RBR                 | 150.09bc ± 23.40              | 927.52cd ± 26.67          | 198.94cd ± 4.91             | 6.49b ± 0.33                 |
| RBUV                | 141.96bc ± 7.39               | 1019.32bc ± 30.90         | 224.84bc ± 8.30             | 6.49b ± 0.32                 |

Data expressed as the mean value ± SD (n = 3). Different superscript letters (a–f) within column for each parameter indicate significant differences between means (Duncan’s multiple range test; p < 0.05)

*WF Philips TL-D 36 W/54 cool fluorescent lamps (λ = 390–760 nm), D darkness, WLED white LED light (33% warm—2700 K, 33% neutral—4500 K, 33% cold—5700 K), B blue light (λ = 430 nm), R red light (λ = 670 nm), a combination of 70% red and 30% blue light—RB, a combination of 50% green (λ = 528 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBG, a combination of 50% yellow (λ = 600, 630 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBY, a combination of 50% far red (λ = 730 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBR and a combination of 50% UV-A (λ = 400 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBUV

---

100 g⁻¹ DW, respectively. The maximum total amount of glucosinolates under the RBG light was respectively 1.4 and 1.3 times higher, while for the WLED light 1.2 and 1.3 times lower, in comparison with those agar and agitated cultures.

Our studies are innovative concerning the influence of different LED lighting conditions on glucosinolate production in *N. officinale* microshoot cultures. A study by Choi et al. (Choi et al. 2020) had tested the influence of red, blue, and green lights at different proportions on the production of glucosinolates in *N. officinale* plants grown in a hydroponic system over 2 weeks. The highest content was obtained for mixed red and blue light (70:30%) and mixed red, blue and green light (33:33:33%). The study showed a positive effect of combined red, blue, and green light on the production of glucosinolates, which was also confirmed in our studies.

Much earlier, Engelen-Eigles et al. (Engelen-Eigles et al. 2006) had performed a study concentrated on the effects of temperature, photoperiod, and light quality on glucosasturtiin production in *N. officinale* plants growing in vivo in special growth chambers. The tested light was emitted by metal halide lamps enriched with red or far-red lights, with the metal halide lamps alone used as the control. The authors had reported the highest glucosasturtiin content for plants grown under red light over 1 week (1074.4 mg 100 g⁻¹ DW), with that content being 1.3 times higher than in the control (under metal halide lamps only). In our study, the total glucosinolate content in extracts from the biomass cultured under the R light was 2.0 times higher and 1.7 times lower than under the WF and WLED lights, respectively (Table 1).
bioreactor cultures (1171.59 mg RE 100 g⁻¹ DW) were comparable to those reached by the microshoots cultured under the RBY light. The F–C assay was used to evaluate the total polyphenol content in the studied cultures. The total polyphenol content varied from 190.84 to 229.18 mg GAL 100 g⁻¹ DW (Table 1). The highest content was obtained under the WLED light, but similar results were also obtained for the WF (226.20 mg GAL 100 g⁻¹ DW) and RBUV (224.84 mg GAL 100 g⁻¹ DW) lights, and also the D condition (224.63 mg GAL 100 g⁻¹ DW). The maximum amounts of total polyphenols in extracts from the biomass cultured under different lighting conditions were lower than those obtained in our former studies. The total polyphenol content for *N. officinale* agar and bioreactor cultures grown on MS medium with 1 mg L⁻¹ BA and 1 mg L⁻¹ NAA over 20-day growth periods under continuous LED white light were respectively 2.5 and 2.2 times higher than the maximum content reached in this study (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020a, b) (Table 1).

The different LED lights used did not promote the production of phenolic compounds. There are other known studies in which the production of phenolic compounds did not increase by stimulation with different lighting conditions. Da Silva et al. (da Silva et al. 2020) had tested the influence of red and blue LED light (70:30%) on the total phenolic content in *Moringa oleifera* shoots cultures. In vitro cultures grown under white light (control) accumulated more phenolic compounds than under the red and blue light (70:30%) conditions. Moreover, a study on callus cultures of *Ocimum basilicum* had also reported a higher accumulation of chlorogenic acid under white LED light in comparison with red, blue, and green lights (Nadeem et al. 2019). Results of another study on callus cultures of *Lepidium sativum* (Ullah et al. 2019) also showed higher production of total phenolics and flavonoids under monochromatic white light than under red, green, blue, and yellow lights.

### The total saccharides content

The analysis of the total amounts of soluble sugars in extracts from *N. officinale* microshoot cultures indicated their highest content under the WLED light (9.26 g GLU 100 g⁻¹ DW). The lowest amounts of sugars were obtained in the in vitro cultures grown under the RBY (5.62 g GLU 100 g⁻¹ DW) and B (5.69 g GLU 100 g⁻¹ DW) lights (Table 1).

### Photosynthetic pigments content

The quality of light had an impact on the physiological responses of plants, which was reflected in the level of photosynthetic pigments in the analysed biomass (Table 2).
under the RB light produced 37.8, 18.2, and 22.9 times more chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoids, respectively, than the in vitro cultures of V. officinalis. Our studies can’t confirm the results of experiments conducted on in vitro cultures of Achillea millefolium, where the amounts of chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoids were influenced by the stimulating effect of green LED light (Alvarenga et al. 2015). In the N. officinale culture, the addition of the far red (fR) spectrum to the RB spectrum did not reduce the photosynthetic pigment content, compared with the control, as had been observed in Chrysanthemum morifolium shoot cultures, where such a change resulted in a decrease in the concentration of photosynthetic pigments (Kurilčík et al. 2008).

### Antioxidant potential

In this study, we assessed the antioxidant potential of extracts from cultivated biomass depending on the LED light variants used in relation to white fluorescent light and darkness conditions, using three different assays—CUPRAC, DPPH, and FRAP (Table 3). The highest antioxidant potential evaluated with the CUPRAC method (2.39 mmol TE 100 g−1 DW) was demonstrated for the RBY light, and the lowest (1.65 mmol TE 100 g−1 DW) for D. In the DPPH assay, the highest antioxidant potential was recorded for WLED (0.71 mmol TE 100 g−1 DW), and the lowest for RBY (0.37 mmol TE 100 g−1 DW). In the FRAP assay, the highest antioxidant potential was obtained for the RBY light (0.40 mmol TE 100 g−1 DW) and the lowest for the R light (0.21 mmol TE 100 g−1 DW). The results showed a small influence of the applied lighting conditions on the total antioxidant potential. The antioxidant potential that had been assessed with the CUPRAC assay of extracts from N. officinale microshoot cultures grown for 20 days in RITA® bioreactors had values similar to the maximum value reached in this study (Klimek-Szczykutowicz et al. 2020a). Having analyzed these results, we can suppose that the tested lighting conditions did not significantly affect the antioxidant potential, which was probably related to their influence on the amounts of polyphenolic compounds in the N. officinale microshoot cultures.

| Lighting condition | CUPRAC | DPPH | FRAP |
|--------------------|--------|------|------|
| WF                 | 2.31±0.24 | 0.47±0.03 | 0.33±0.01 |
| D                  | 1.65±0.06 | 0.48±0.01 | 0.24±0.02 |
| WLED               | 2.37±0.61 | 0.71±0.02 | 0.33±0.01 |
| B                  | 1.88±0.10 | 0.57±0.02 | 0.37±0.01 |
| R                  | 1.90±0.01 | 0.64±0.02 | 0.21±0.01 |
| RB                 | 2.20±0.27 | 0.47±0.11 | 0.31±0.01 |
| RBG                | 2.18±0.04 | 0.39±0.01 | 0.36±0.01 |
| RBY                | 2.39±0.08 | 0.37±0.01 | 0.40±0.01 |
| RBIR               | 1.91±0.16 | 0.47±0.01 | 0.35±0.01 |
| RBUV               | 2.08±0.05 | 0.62±0.01 | 0.35±0.01 |

Data expressed as the mean value ± SD (n = 3). Different superscript letters (a–f) within column for each parameter indicate significant differences between means (Duncan’s multiple range test; p < 0.05)

*WF (Philips TL-D 36W/54 cool fluorescent lamps) (λ = 390–760 nm), D, darkness, WLED white LED light (33% warm—2700 K, 33% neutral—4500 K, 33% cold—5700 K), B blue light (λ = 430 nm), R red light (λ = 670 nm), a combination of 70% red and 30% blue light—RB, a combination of 50% green (λ = 528 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBG, a combination of 50% yellow (λ = 600, 630 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBY, a combination of 50% far red (λ = 730 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBIR and a combination of 50% UV-A (λ = 400 nm), 35% red and 15% blue light—RBUV

the influence of LED light quality on antioxidant activity (Kapoor et al. 2018). Antioxidant activity was expressed by the total antioxidant capacity based on phosphomolybdenum and DPPH tests. The total antioxidant activity showed no significant differences in the antioxidant power of the biomass grown under different lighting conditions. However, the percentage inhibition of DPPH free-radical scavenging activity was higher for calluses grown under blue light, but high antioxidant potential was also confirmed for illumination with white light.

### Conclusions

To sum up, this study evaluated for the first time the influence of different lighting conditions on biomass growth, the production of glucosinolates, phenolic compounds, soluble sugars, and photosynthetic pigments, as well as antioxidant potential in agar microshoot cultures of N. officinale. The study showed a clear effect of the applied LED lighting conditions on the amounts of sulphur compounds and a slight effect on the amounts of polyphenols and the antioxidant potential of biomass extracts. The best biomass growth and high glucosinolates production was shown for microshoots grown under the combination of 50% green,
35% red, and 15% blue lights (RBG light). Considering watercress as a plant whose greatest value is the production of glucosinolates, valuable in terms of biological properties, the RBG light can be nominated as the most suitable for high-productivity N. officinale microshoot cultures. From the point of view of plant biotechnology techniques, the next steps in optimizing the growth of biomass and the production of metabolites in N. officinale in vitro cultures could be their cultivation in the RGB light in the special bioreactors, and experiments in the field of media supplementation with exogenic precursors or applied elicitation techniques.

To conclude, the RBG LED light can be considered the best light quality variant for increasing the production of secondary metabolites and the antioxidant potential of N. officinale microshoot cultures.
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