Detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Trypanosoma rangeli* Infection by Duplex PCR Assay Based on Telomeric Sequences

Miguel Angel Chiurillo,1,2 Gladys Crisante,3 Agustina Rojas,3 Andreina Peralta,4 Manuel Dias,4 Palmira Guevara,4 Néstor Añez,3 and José Luis Ramírez2,4*

Decanato de Medicina, Universidad Centroccidental “Lisandro Alvarado,” Barquisimeto 3001, Universidad de los Andes, Mérida,7 and Instituto de Biología Experimental, Universidad Central de Venezuela, 1041-A Caracas, Venezuela

Received 30 January 2003/Returned for modification 31 March 2003/Accepted 10 June 2003

We used the species specificity and repetitious nature of subtelomeric kinetoplastida sequences to generate a duplex PCR assay for the simultaneous detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Trypanosoma rangeli* in experimentally and naturally infected triatomine (Reduvid) bugs and in infected human subjects. The assay was species specific and was capable of detecting 1/20th of *T. cruzi* and 1/4th of *T. rangeli* cell equivalents without complementary hybridization. In addition, the PCR-based assay was robust enough for direct application to difficult biological samples such as Reduvid feces or guts and was capable of recognizing all *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* strains and lineages. Because the assay primers amplify entirely different target sequences, no reaction interference was observed, facilitating future adaptation of this assay to an automated format.

Trypanosoma cruzi causes Chagas’ disease, a fatal illness endemic to many Latin American countries. *T. cruzi* shares reservoirs and vectors with the related protozoan *Trypanosoma rangeli*, which is pathogenic to Reduvid bugs but apparently harmless to humans (1). These parasites share morphological similarities and antigenic determinants, making microscopic and serological diagnosis a challenge (13, 27). In recent years, we have studied an area where Chagas’ disease is endemic and the two parasites coexist (3, 4). In this area, *T. rangeli* is more efficiently transmitted (23) and has the larger population exposure (3). Considering that inaccurate serological tests can lead to misdiagnosis, unnecessary chemotherapy (frequently accompanied by side effects) and psychological trauma to the patients and their families, we sought to develop a molecular test to be used in difficult cases and as a gold standard for our serology. The assay consists of a simple duplex PCR that allows the simultaneous detection of *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* DNA in complex biological samples.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Parasites.** *T. rangeli* and *T. cruzi* strains and isolates (Table 1) were cultured in LIT (liver infusion tryptose) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum or in biphasic blood-agar/NNN (0.146% NaCl, 0.045% KCl, 0.05% CaCl2, 0.019% NaHCO3 [pH 7.2]) medium at 28°C. *Leishmania* promastigotes were cultured as described previously (10). For experimental infections and assays with parasitic cultures, we used *T. cruzi* isolate MHOM/VE/92/2-92-YBM and *T. rangeli* isolate MHOM/VE/2000/CH.

**DNA extraction from parasites.** Genomic DNA from *T. rangeli* and *T. cruzi* epimastigotes and *Leishmania* and *Crithidia* promastigotes was extracted from log-phase cultures of 10⁸ parasites as previously described (18). DNA samples from other trypanosomatids were kindly provided by Franco Da Silveira (Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidad Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil) and Ines Sepulveda (Instituto de Biología Experimental, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas, Venezuela).

**Preparation of samples for duplex PCR.** For blood samples collected on filter paper, 6-mm-diameter filter disks were cut and placed in Eppendorf microtubes with 100 μl of distilled sterile water. In the case of heart biopsies, approximately 2 mm³ of material was used in place of the filter disks. All samples were incubated with 5 μl of 20 mg/ml protease K (Promega) at 56°C for 30 min. After boiling for 10 min, Chelex-100 (Bio-Rad) was added to a final concentration of 10%, and the mixture was boiled again for 15 min. The samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min, and the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube, phenol-chloroform extracted, and precipitated with 99% ethanol plus 1 μl of 2% glycogen. Precipitated DNA was resuspended in 30 μl of H2O and stored at −20°C.

**PCR conditions.** For *T. cruzi* detection, we used a modified version of a PCR assay targeted to the 189-bp telomeric junction (Tc189, GenBank accession number AF100651) (Fig. 1) (11). The primers were Tr189Fw2 (5'-CCACACCGGTGGGAAAAC-3') and Tr189Rv3 (5'-CCGGGAAAAC-3') (Fig. 1) (11). The primers were TrF1 (5’-CCCCTCATACAAAACCCCTTT-3’) and TrR8 (5’-TGGATAGTCCGTGGCGGCAC-3’). All oligonucleotides were purchased from Operon Technologies, Inc., Alameda, Calif. Single PCR amplifications were conducted in a final volume of 25 μl containing 0.2 mM deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate mixture, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.4 μM each of the forward and reverse primers, 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase.
TABLE 1. T. cruzi and T. rangeli strains, isolates, and clones used in this work

| Strain      | Origin     | Host                      | Source or referencea |
|-------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| T. rangeli  |            |                           |                      |
| DOG-82      | Venezuela  | Dog                       | Aíez et al. (2)      |
| Triatomino 1| Venezuela  | Rhodnius prolixus         | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| Triatomino 2| Venezuela  | Rhodnius prolixus         | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| San Agustin| Colombia   | Human                     | Tibayrenc et al. (26) |
| Palma-2     | Venezuela  | Rhodnius prolixus         | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| JRM         | Venezuela  | Human                     | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| CH-00       | Venezuela  | Macacus sp.               | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| Mono        | Venezuela  |                          |                      |
| T. cruzi    |            |                           |                      |
| CL          | Brazil     | Triatoma infestans        | Brener and Chiarì (6) |
| CL Brener   | Brazil     | Clone from CL strain      | Batista et al. (5)   |
| G           | Brazil     | Didelphis marsupialis     | Camargo (7)          |
| Y           | Brazil     | Human                     | Silva and Nussenzweig (22) |
| JMP         | Venezuela  | Human                     | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| YBM         | Venezuela  | Human                     | N. Aíez (ULA, Venezuela) |
| Tulahuen    | Chile      | Triatoma infestans        | Pizzi et al. (19)    |
| Dm28c       | Venezuela  | Didelphis marsupialis     | Contreras et al. (12) |
| Dm30c       | Venezuela  | Didelphis marsupialis     |                      |
| Maracay     | Venezuela  |                          | Requena et al. (20)  |

a ULA, Universidad de Los Andes.

(Boehringer Mannheim), and 1 to 10 ng of purified DNA template. An initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min was followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 55°C, 40 s at 72°C, and 1 min at 94°C. This amplification was followed by incubations of 1 min at 55°C and 3 min at 72°C. Amplification was confirmed in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

Duplex PCR was performed in a final volume of 30 μl containing 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 60 mM KCl, 12 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0), 0.12% Triton X-100, 0.24 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate mix, 0.01% bovine serum albumin, 0.4 mM each Tc189Fw2 and Tc189Rv3 primers, 0.67 mM each TrF3 and TrR8 primers, 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega), and 4 μl of DNA preparation. Cycling was performed as above. PCR products were electrophoresed in a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. All PCR amplifications were performed in a GeneAmp PCR System 2400 (Perkin-Elmer).

For Southern blotting, gel-separated PCR products were transferred to nylon filters (Hybond-N; Amersham-Pharmacia-Biotech), UV cross-linked, dried, and stored until used.

Labeling and hybridizations with capture oligonucleotides. For confirmatory hybridization experiments, we designed the following capture oligonucleotides: Tc189cap (5′-CGGTAAAGCGAGTTTTGGT-3′) for the T. cruzi assay and Trcap (5′-CGGTAAAGCGAGTTTTGGT-3′) for the T. rangeli assay.

These oligonucleotides were labeled with dUTP-digoxigenin tails synthesized by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase as described previously (15). Hybridizations were carried out in 5× SSC (0.75 M NaCl, 0.075 M sodium citrate [pH 7.0]), 0.1% sodium-N-laurylsarcosinate, 0.02% SDS, and 1% blocking reagent [Boehringer Mannheim]) at 55°C for 3 h. After hybridization, filters were washed at room temperature in 6× SSC-0.1% SDS for 5 min, then washed with 2× SSC-0.1% SDS at room temperature for 15 min, and finally washed at 58°C for 15 min with the same solution. Hybridized probes were detected by chemiluminescence with Lumi Phos 530, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Boehringer Mannheim).

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of T. cruzi and T. rangeli telomeric organization. Horizontal bars indicate the regions amplified by each set of primers. SubTr, T. rangeli conserved subtelomeric region. Blocks grouped with parentheses are sequences related to the T. cruzi trans-sialidase (TSA) superfamily.

FIG. 2. PCR amplification of the T. cruzi Tc189-specific sequence. (A) Agarose gel electrophoresis of amplified products obtained with primers Tc189Fw2 and Tc189Rv3. (B) Southern blot of gel A after hybridization with digoxigenin-labeled Tc189cap oligonucleotide. Lanes: 1, T. cruzi CL Brener; 2, T. cruzi CL; 3, T. cruzi G; 4, T. cruzi Y; 5, T. cruzi Tulahuen; 6, T. cruzi Dm28c; 7, T. cruzi Dm30c; 8, T. cruzi Maracay; 9, T. cruzi JMP; 10, T. rangeli DOG-82; 11, T. rangeli Triat 1; 12, T. rangeli S. Agustín; 13, T. rangeli Mono; 14, T. rangeli Alba; 15, L. chagasi; 16, L. braziliensis; 17, C. fasciculata; 18, recombinant TcVA17 (positive control) (9); 19, H₂O. Arrow indicates the 100-bp specific product.
RESULTS

Individual PCR assay specificity. We first determined the optimal conditions and specificity of each assay. To adapt the original Tc189 PCR assay (11) to a duplex format, we designed a new set of primers that produced a lower-molecular-weight amplification band. When the modified Tc189 PCR assay was used in DNA samples from different members of the Trypanosomatidae family, a specific 100-bp amplification product was observed (Fig. 2A). This band was consistently amplified from samples of T. cruzi from different origins and of different “types” (Fig. 2A, lanes 1 to 9). A human sample originally thought to contain only T. rangeli also tested positive for T. cruzi in this PCR assay (Fig. 2A, lane 14; Fig. 3). The positive control containing DNA from a T. cruzi CL Brener telomeric recombinant (TeVA17; lane 18) (9) was positive for the 100-bp PCR fragment, and the negative controls, including DNA samples from Leishmania (lanes 15 and 16), Crithidia (lane 17), and T. rangeli (lanes 10 to 11) did not produce the 100-bp amplification product. In addition, there was a higher-molecular-weight PCR product (lane 11, see below) that confirmed hybridization experiments with the capture digoxigenin-labeled Tc189cap oligonucleotide as probe proved to be unspecific (Fig. 2B).

To test the T. rangeli PCR assay (SubTr) (Fig. 3A), the above samples were examined with the addition of DNA from other species of the genus Trypanosoma, including T. sainii, T. lewisi, and T. evansi. The main PCR product (Fig. 3A) had the expected size of 170 bp; there were also some minor specific amplification products of higher molecular weight. The assay was species specific. It recognized all T. rangeli isolates (lanes 1 to 7 and 11), and the positive control (lane 20), but not T. cruzi (lanes 8 to 10); an unidentified Trypanosoma sp. isolate obtained in Brazil from the two-clawed sloth Coelops hofmannii, which is regarded as T. rangeli-like (lane 12) (30); T. sainii (lane 13); T. lewisi (lane 14); T. evansi (lane 15); Leishmania amazonensis (lane 16); L. braziliensis (lane 17); L. chagasi (lane 18); or Crithidia fasciculata (lane 19). Hybridization experiments with the corresponding capture oligonucleotide (Trcap) (Fig. 3B) confirmed the specificity of both the 170-bp and the minor higher-molecular-weight products.

Duplex PCR assay. Once the optimal conditions were established for the individual PCR assays, we combined them in a duplex assay and tested the sensitivity of this assay against a gradient of DNA concentrations from each parasite. As shown in Fig. 4, DNA was extracted from 10^5 cells of each parasite and sequentially diluted by factors of 10. DNA dilutions from the two parasites were mixed in inverted concentration ratios, so that the highest amount for one parasite, 10^5 cells per approximately 20 ng, matched the lowest dilution of the other (0, the negative control for reagents).

Duplex PCR yielded specific, appropriately sized amplification products from each reaction with no apparent primer interference (Fig. 4, lanes 2 to 8). Under these conditions, the assay detected DNA equivalent to a single cell.

Duplex PCR assay in other samples. Next, we tested the ability of the duplex PCR assay to detect both parasites together within various samples (Fig. 5). The duplex assay was able to appropriately detect the presence of cultured T. cruzi (lane 2), T. rangeli (lane 3), and a mix of the two (lane 4). Experimentally infected triatomine feces were successfully identified as uninfected (lane 5), infected with T. cruzi (lane 6), or infected with both parasites (lane 7). Dissected intestinal tracts of triatomine bugs were PCR identified as coming from an uninfected bug (negative control, lane 8), from R. prolixus experimentally infected with T. cruzi (lane 9), and with both parasites (lane 11). In addition, a triatomine bug captured...
from the wild (Barinas State, Venezuela) tested positive for both parasites (lane 10). Further testing of the PCR assay’s applicability showed that it was capable of distinguishing the presence and absence of the parasites in mouse blood in which infection of *T. cruzi* and/or *T. rangeli* was simulated (lanes 12 to 15), as well as in mouse heart samples from noninfected (negative control, lane 16) and *T. cruzi*-infected animals (15 days postinfection; lane 17). Finally, we tested a blood sample from a human subject living in an area where the disease is endemic, confirming the presence of both parasites in this patient, who was parasitologically and serologically positive for *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* (lane 19).

**DISCUSSION**

Here we showed that subtelomeric sequences of *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* are appropriate for species-specific PCR detection of these parasites in complex biological samples. The high interspecies variability exhibited by Trypanosomatidae subtelomeric sequences is the basis for the high specificity achieved by PCRs targeted to these sequences. Previously, we used a similar approach to specifically detect *Leishmania* in visceral leishmaniasis (10). Here, we designed a species-specific duplex PCR assay to detect *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli*. These reactions produced the expected PCR products when examined singly, with the *T. cruzi* primers yielding the expected 100-bp band and the *T. rangeli* primers yielding both the expected 170-bp band and minor high-molecular-weight bands. Confirmatory hybridization experiments proved that the assays did not cross-react with each other and that the high-molecular-weight bands observed in the *T. rangeli* assay were specific. Interestingly, the *T. rangeli* PCR assay did not react with DNA samples from isolates frequently found in squirrel monkeys, which are regarded by some authors as *T. rangeli*-like (30).

However, despite the minor bands present in the *T. rangeli* assay, we were able to duplex this reaction with the *T. cruzi*-specific assay and produce specific, correct amplification products. No amplification artifacts due to primer interference were observed even in samples with a large excess of DNA from one parasite over the other. The duplex assay was capable of detecting as little as one parasitic cell of each species in the samples tested. In additional experiments with parasite cell dilutions without confirmatory hybridization experiments (not shown), we detected an equivalent to 1/20th and 1/4th of *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* cells, respectively. This difference in detection level is likely due to a smaller number of telomeric targets in *T. rangeli*, as can be deduced from the smaller number of chromosomal bands exhibited by this organism in pulsed-field electrophoresis experiments (17). Importantly, the sensitivity reached by our duplex PCR in field samples is similar to that reported for single *T. rangeli* PCR typing assays with primers targeted to other nuclear targets (16, 21, 24, 29).

In the study of mixed infections, parasite culturing can cause the selection of one parasite over the other; hence, it is desirable to be able to analyze field samples directly. However, the presence of *Taq* DNA polymerase inhibitors in this type of sample poses a major problem for most PCR assays. A multiplex assay based on miniexon spacer sequences has been used to type *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* cells in culture (14). Another multiplex assay, targeted to the large-subunit rRNA gene, has been applied to detect these parasites in simulated infected vectors and in culture (24, 29). However, these assays have not been used previously to detect parasites in vertebrate blood. A related PCR assay based on kinetoplast DNA was highly sen-

---

**FIG. 5.** Duplex PCR in different samples visualized in ethidium bromide-stained 3% agarose gels. Cultured parasite cells, lanes: 2, *T. cruzi*; 3, *T. rangeli*; 4, *T. cruzi* plus *T. rangeli*. *R. prolixus* feces, lanes: 5, noninfected; 6, infected with *T. cruzi*; 7, infected with *T. cruzi* plus *T. rangeli*. *R. prolixus* intestinal tract, lanes: 8, noninfected; 9, infected with *T. cruzi*; 10, *T. rangeli*; 11, *T. cruzi* plus *T. rangeli*. Simulated mice blood infections, lanes: 12, noninfected; 13, infected with *T. cruzi*; 14, infected with *T. rangeli*; 15, infected with *T. cruzi* plus *T. rangeli*. Mouse heart samples, lanes: 16, noninfected; 17, infected with *T. cruzi*. Lane 18, H2O. Lane 19, blood from a human case. Lanes 1 and 20 are the 100-bp size ladder (Gibco-BRL). Arrows indicate 100- and 170-bp specific amplification products for *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli*, respectively.
sitive for both trypanosomes, but the small size differences of the PCR products made it impractical to study mixed infections (28).

Therefore, to our knowledge this is the first report of a duplex PCR assay based on different DNA targets for the simultaneous detection of *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli*. Our method ensures the simplicity, independent amplification of both targets and in addition is specific and robust enough to be used directly in the field and on complex samples. The duplex assay has the additional advantage of not requiring confirmatory hybridization experiments, and we are currently using it to examine patient blood and triatomine vector samples from an area in Barinas State, Venezuela, where *T. rangeli* infections greatly exceed those of *T. cruzi* (3). This method may allow the proper diagnosis of infections, allowing fewer false-positives and the attendant risk of chemotherapy.
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