Diagnostic challenges in neuroinfections: case report and literature review

Marius-Costin Chitu¹, Teodor Salmen², Bianca Margareta Mihai³, Vlad Dima³, Claudia Gabriela Potcovaru⁴, Delia Cinteza⁵, Roxana Elena Bohiltea⁶, Paula-Roxana Raducanu⁷, Dragos Marcu⁸, Dan Liviu-Dorel Mischianu⁸,9

¹ Doctoral School, “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, “Matei Bals” National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Bucharest, Romania
² Doctoral School, “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, “N.C. Paulescu” National Institute for Diabetes Mellitus, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, Bucharest, Romania
³ Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Neonatology, Filantropia Clinical Hospital, Bucharest, Romania
⁴ Doctoral School of “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, National Institute of Rehabilitation, Physical Medicine and Balneoclimatology, Bucharest, Romania
⁵ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, National Institute of Rehabilitation, Physical Medicine and Balneoclimatology, Bucharest, Romania
⁶ Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Neonatology, “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Filantropia Clinical Hospital, Bucharest, Romania
⁷ Department of Intensive Care Unit, Emergency Clinical Hospital, Bucharest, Romania
⁸ Department of Urology “Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Emergency University Central Military Hospital “Carol Davila”, Bucharest, Romania
⁹ The Association of Scientists from Romania, Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT

Meningitis and encephalitis are a group of neuroinfectious diseases that require both correct and early diagnosis and etiopathogenic treatment, because their potential for severe evolution, is often being associated with sequelae. In addition to the detailed anamnesis and clinical examination, it is important to know the specific neurological manifestations at the beginning in order to decide properly the indication to perform the lumbar puncture for identifying an etiopathogenic agent in order to administer a targeted treatment. We present the approach both in terms of diagnosis and treatment, in case of an elderly patient with a favourable evolution, towards healing, without associating neurological sequelae. At the same time, we present a synthesis of the novelties of diagnostic and treatment methods in infectious meningitis and encephalitis.

Keywords: Meningitis, encephalitis, infectious disease, diagnosis, mortality

INTRODUCTION

Meningitis and encephalitis are among the most important causes of morbidity and mortality of infectious diseases, but also of neurologic aetiology. They are associated with severe evolutionary potential and require an early diagnosis, in order to initiate the treatment as quickly and correctly as possible, in order to increase the patient’s chances of survival [1]. Viral meningitis is more common than bacterial meningitis and has, most frequently, an self-limiting evolution. The most common causes of viral menin-
Bacterial meningitis, often, has a very rapid, with potential lethal evolution, the treated patients remaining with sequelae in the neurological sphere. The most common aetiologies are: Meningococcus (Neisseria meningitidis) – the most serious form of meningitis, the prototype of meningitis with purulent CSF; Pneumococcus (Streptococcus pneumoniae) - common in elderly, ethanol-consuming, splenectomised patients or patients presenting sinusitis, otomastoiditis or head trauma; Haemophilus Influenzae B - specific to children under 2 years of age; Group B agalactiae streptococcus – specific to the neonatal period; Listeria monocytogenes - route of transmission is tegumentary, respiratory or digestive [4].

Tubercul, fungal and parasitic meningitis are specific to immunocompromised patients and, in the absence of early initiated treatment, the evolution is towards death.

CASE REPORT

We present the case of a 70-year-old patient, farmer, hospitalized for fatigue, fever, chills, vertigo, malaise started 4 days ago. From the anamnestic data, the patient has no significant personal pathological history, except for chronic alcoholism and a dental abscess in one of the molars, for which he has been on beta-lactam antibio-therapy for 4 days.

At the clinical examination we identify a conscious, agitated, slightly confused, afibrile patient, new-onset hearing loss [5], with a painful and limited movement of the head flexion, associating also the Flatau and the kiss signs, right submandibular ganglion sensitive to palpation, otherwise, cardiorespiratory balanced, bradycardic AV = 58 bpm and without other subjective symptoms. As meningoencephalitis diagnosis was suspected, brain CT [6] and fundus eye examination were performed. No pathological changes were identified so we proceed to lumbar puncture.

In this case, the cerebrospinal fluid was slightly hypertensive, opalescent, the number of nucleated cells was in the hundreds with mixed cytology with polymorphonuclears and mononuclear in varying proportions, Pandy ++, increased albuminorrhea, low glycorrea and no bacteria were seen on the smear nor did Biofire identify a pathogen.

Subsequently, the appearance of the identified CSF is specific to a bacterial meningitis “decapitated with antibiotics”, most likely secondary to the dental abscess. Neither cultures nor multiplex PCR / BI-OFIRE identified a germ, this being due to the fact that the patient had received antibio-therapy previously. Depending on the age of the patient, the mastoid starting point and the epidemiological context (farmer, chronic alcoholism), the most common causative agents incriminated may be S. pneumoniae and/or L. monocytogenes, which is also highlighted in the literature [7-9].

In the present case, we chose to start etiopathogenic therapy after the puncture, but before finding out the results of the CSF analysis. The etiopathogenic treatment that the patient received after performing the lumbar puncture consisted of Amoxicillin, Vancomycin, and third-generation cephalosporin, in doses adjusted for CSF penetration [10], without any evidence for the existence of epidemiological, clinical and paraclinical criteria to justify antiviral treatment. The subsequent evolution was with the rapid improvement of the symptomatology.

DISCUSSIONS

The positive diagnosis of meningitis / encephalitis is based on [11]:

- epidemiological data: from the anamnesis we should identify a pre-existing condition, family contact/epidemic outbreak, travel history or recent vaccination history, various activities with infectious potential
- clinical [12]:
  - the infectious syndrome is the first and consists of the presence of fever, myalgias, adynamia, sweating, sphygmo thermal dissociation, chills. Chills may be missing from this table.
  - meningeal syndrome, expressed by manifestations of intracranial hypertension: diffuse, continuous headache “in helmet”, “central” type vomiting unprevailed by nausea, bradycardia, photophobia, bulging of the fontanelle (specific to the infant) - the objective component; while the subjective component includes neuroradicular component, expressed by the elements from Table 1.
  - encephalitic syndrome affecting the cerebral cortex (convulsions, agitation, hallucinations, numbness, coma), pyramidal system (spastic paresis, osteotendinous hyperreflexia), extrapyramidal system (hypertonia, tremor), hypothalamus (central hyperthermia), cerebellum (dysmetria, nystagmus) or temporal lobe (hallucinations, aphasia, oddness).
• paraclinical (15):
  → cerebral imaging: CT, IRM
  and/or fundus of eye examination, followed by
  → identification of the etiopathogenic agent
  in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (obtained by lumbar puncture), blood or other fluids
  → CSF analysis is summarized in Table 2.

In addition to CSF analysis, BioFire® FilmArray® Meningitis/Encephalitis Panel has been developed in the last 5 years, the first FDA-approved multiplex PCR for the evaluation of cerebrospinal fluid samples, capable of identifying 14 organisms in a single test reaction, in only one hour (7 viruses, 6 bacteria, 1 fungus) [16].

Studies show that the ideal management is to initiate etiopathogenic therapy without waiting for the microbiologic results and then, after identifying the causative agent, it is recommended to de-escalate the treatment [17]. In addition, other reasons why

### TABLE 1. Main neurological signs in patients with meningoencephalitis (13-14)

| Sign              | Description                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Neck stiffness    | the patient complains of pain and resists trying to bend his head on his chest |
| Brudzinski of neck | the previous manoeuvre, performed faster, causes knee flexion               |
| Brudzinski        | the patient is positioned in a supine position, the leg is flexed unilaterally on the thigh and the thigh on the abdomen at a right angle, a forced extension movement is pressed, pressing on the knee and raising the heel, you can observe the bending of the contralateral knee |
| Flatau            | the appearance of mydriasis when the head is forced forward                  |
| Squires           | the appearance of mydriasis caused by the forced extension of the head       |
| Kernig I          | Attempting to lift the torso vertically by pushing from the shoulder blades, the patient will feel pain and bend his knees. |
| Kernig II         | the attempt to flex the lower limbs on the abdomen will cause the knees to flex |
| Amoss             | The patient sits leaning only on his hands and with the knees bent           |
| Kiss              | Sitting, the patient cannot touch his bent knees with his lips               |
| Magnus-De Klein   | Caused by lateral rotation of the head and, if positive, contraction of the ipsilateral extensor muscles in rotation and contraction of the contralateral flexor muscles in rotation |
| Von Hainiss       | Causes pain when exerting pressure on the ring of the adductor muscles of the foot |
| Binda’s           | The mobilization of the head corresponds to the rotation and raising of the shoulder contralateral to the direction of rotation of the head – specific to tuberculous meningitis |
| Trousseau’s       | Obtaining a persistent and delayed red dermografism by passing with a blunt tip on the patient’s skin – also specific in tuberculous meningitis |
| Meningitic line   | White line framed by two erythematous stripes, appeared following mechanical excitation with a needle |
| Lesaj             | Reproducible only in new-borns – considered positive if the physiological reflex of pedalling (lower limbs) movement is absent when raising the head with both hands subaxillary supported |

### TABLE 2. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) characteristics in infectious meningitis (15)

| Etiology | Physiological CSF | Viral | Bacterial | Tuberculosis | Fungal/Parasitic |
|----------|-------------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| Aspect   | Clear, like” rock water” | Clear / opalescent | Turbid /” cabbage juice” / purulent | Clear / opalescent | Clear |
| Pressure | “Bit by bit”      | Normal | Raised    | Raised       | Normal/ raised  |
| Pandy    | -                 | +/-   | +++       | +++          | +/-             |
| Number of elements/mm³ | 0-5 | Hundreds | Thousands | Tens |Hundreds |
| Cytology | Mononuclear       | Mononuclear | Polymorfonuclear | Mononuclear monomorphic: Small lymfocites | Mononuclear with polymorph aspect polymor/ Eosinophils |
| Proteins (mg/dL) | 15-45 | +     | ++        | +++         | +/-/Normal |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | 40-60 | Normal | --        | ---         | -/Normal |
| Presence of de bacteria on smear | No | No | Yes>50% (Gram staining) | Rare / Yes (Ziehl-Nielsen staining) | Yes (China ink staining) |
we did not start treatment before the puncture were that we were in front of a patient in good general condition, balanced both metabolically and hemodynamically, and the patient was already under antibiotic-therapy, which provided a time reserve until the lumbar puncture was performed and the CSF constants were found.

The anamnesis is a very important point in drawing the diagnosis and guiding the treatment and should help to choose the opportunity to start antibiotic/antiviral therapy, respectively before or after punctures and also before or after finding out the results of biochemical and microbiological analysis of CSF.

Given the increased mortality rate and morbidity of pathogens possibly incriminated in the cause of meningocencephalitis (S. pneumoniae 30%, L. monocytogenes 4-10%) [1], we believe that initiation of therapy should be started as soon as possible after the lumbar puncture (if there are no contraindications for its performance: idiopathic increased intracranial pressure, bleeding diathesis, hypertension associated with bradycardia etc [18-19], a fact reinforced by the data reported in the literature [20].

Also, both current medical practice and dates from literature show that in patients undergoing empirical therapy, if a deterioration of the general condition is observed with worsening of the present symptoms, it is advisable to escalate the treatment and add another antibacterial/antiviral drug or it is recommended to initiate a new regimen treatment [21-22].

It should be borne in mind that meningocencephalitis may initially have a diverse clinical picture with manifestations in all systems (cardiovascular, metabolic and neurological) and a rapid differential diagnosis should be made so that appropriate and early treatment can be initiated [23]. Any delay in taking a therapeutic decision can lead to neurological sequelae or an unfavourable outcome [24].

CONCLUSIONS

The present case presents the need to initiate empirical etiopathogenic therapy after lumbar puncture (in cases where it can be performed), in patients with suspected meningocencephalitis, prior to the microbiological result of CSF analysis, in order to prevent complications and reduce mortality.
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