Credit Risk Evaluation of Large Power Consumers Considering Power Market Transaction
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Abstract. Large power users will participate in power market in various forms after power system reform. Meanwhile, great importance has always attached to the construction of the credit system in power industry. Due to the difference between the awareness of performance and the ability to perform, credit risk of power customer will emerge accordingly. Therefore, it is critical to evaluate credit risk of large power customers in the new situation of power market. Firstly, this paper constructs index system of credit risk of large power customers, and establishes evaluation model of interval number and AHP-entropy weight method.

1. Introduction
Power industry has always attached great importance to construction of credit system. With the development of power system reform, the types of power market transactions will become more diversified while power market environment will more variable and complex. Therefore, institution of power market regulation should evaluate credit risk of all market subjects urgently, which will provide decision-making basis for effective prevention of market risk and technical support for protection of market standardized operation and healthy and orderly development.

There are many researches on credit index system of power industry. Literature [1] has proposed a customer credit evaluation index system, including the status of industry, the financial situation of enterprises, payment status and so on. Literatures [2-4] have calculated the correlation coefficient between different credit categories. Literature [5] has evaluated the credit risk of power customers based on AHP. In literatures [6-7], power customer risk evaluation model has been constructed by entropy weight method and improved entropy weight method.

In this paper, firstly index system of credit risk evaluation is constructed. Then, based on interval numbers and comprehensive weights, a credit evaluation model is set up, which provides a theoretical reference for the evaluation of large power customer credit risk.

2. Construction of Credit Risk Index System for Large Power Customers
In this paper, credit analysis of large power customers is divided into four parts: external environment, production and operation, financial analysis and transaction credit analysis. As Table 1 shown, credit evaluation index system for large power customers consists of 4 criterion layers, 9 primary factor layers, and 23 secondary factor layers.
Table 1. Credit Risk Evaluation Index System for Large Power Customers.

| Target Layer | Criterion Layer | Primary Factor Layer | Secondary Factor Layer |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Credit Risk of External Environment | Policies and Regulations | Government Support | Industrial Macro-Control Policies |
| | Industry Operation Status | Fluctuation of Supply and Demand | Consolidated Price Increase |
| Credit Risk of Production and Operation | Operation Risk | Market Share |
| | Production Risk | Corporate Social Impact | Product Qualification Rate | Safety Accident Rate |
| Credit Risk of Large Power Customers | Credit Risk of Financial | Profitability |
| | | Return on Assets | Net Profit Margin on Sales | Cost Profit Margin | Asset Liability Ratio |
| | Solvency | Current Ratio |
| | Development Ability | Main Business Revenue Growth Rate | Net Profit Growth Rate |
| | Direct Purchase of Large Power Consumers | Full Payment Rate | Time Contribution Ratio | Contracting Rate of Electricity Sales Contract | Actual Power Completion Rate | Contract Performance Rate |
| | Demand Side Response | Interruptible Load Contract Performance Rate | Load Control Coordination | Electricity Check Fit |

2.1. **External Environment Risk**

Enterprises are affected by national environment at a macro level. Besides, the operation of industry and the state related to this industry should also be considered. Therefore, this paper will analysis the project from the aspects of policies and the running state of the industry.

2.2. **Production and Operation Risk**

The overall level of enterprises operated well is in a leading position, and the credit risk can reduce. While the enterprises operated poorly may face the risk of losses or failures. This paper will discuss from two perspectives of the production level and operating conditions of large power customers.

2.3. **Financial Credit Risk**

The financial situation of large power customers reflects the enterprise management level, will also affect the future development and the competitiveness of the enterprise. The financial risk can be divided into solvency risk, profitability risk and development capacity risk.
2.4. Transaction Credit Risk
The transaction credit risk can be divided into direct trade credit risk and demand side response to the credit risk according to the type of market transactions that large power customers participate in.

3. Credit Risk Evaluation Method for Large Power Customers

3.1. Determine Index Score by Interval Number Method

3.1.1. Dimensionless Treatment for Interval Numbers. Dimensionless treatment is to eliminate the influence of dimensional difference among different indicators on evaluation.

\[ Y_{\text{Max},ij}^p = X_{ij}^p / \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{ij}^p, Y_{\text{Max},ij}^q = X_{ij}^q / \sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{ij}^q, Y_{\text{Min},ij}^p = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{ij}^p}, Y_{\text{Min},ij}^q = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{ij}^q} \]  \hspace{2cm} (1)

3.1.2. Value Transformation for Interval Numbers. Supposing that interval numbers are subordinated to normal distribution and exchanged into evaluation value by standardization.

\[ u_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij}^p + X_{ij}^q}{2}, \sigma_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij}^q - X_{ij}^p}{6}, \sigma_{ij} = u_{ij} + \lambda \times (1 - \sigma_{ij}) \]  \hspace{2cm} (2)

3.2. Credit Evaluation Process Based on AHP and Entropy Weight Method (EWM)

3.2.1. Determine Objective Weight by Entropy Weight Method (EWM).

(1) Calculate the proximity between each large power customer index and the optimal value.

\[ x_{ij} = x_{ij} / x_{max,ij}, x_{ij} = x_{ij} / x_{min,ij}, d_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{ij}} \]  \hspace{2cm} (3)

(2) Calculate importance entropy of index.

\[ E_{ij} = -d_{ij} \times \ln(d_{ij}), e_{ij} = -\frac{d_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ij}} \times \ln\left(\frac{d_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ij}}\right), e_{ij} = \frac{1}{\ln(m)} \times \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{ij} \]  \hspace{2cm} (4)

(3) Calculate weight of index.

\[ u_{ij} = (1 - e_{ij}) / \left(n - \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{ij}\right) \]  \hspace{2cm} (5)

3.2.2. Determine Objective Weight by AHP.

(1) According to the experience of experts and credit rating workers’ opinion, criterion indexes are ranked by importance in pairs, which form a judgment matrix.

(2) Calculate weight feature vector.

\[ M_i = \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij}, W_i = \sqrt[n]{m_i}, W_i = W_i / \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_i \]  \hspace{2cm} (6)

3.2.3. Calculate Comprehensive Evaluation Value.

Calculate maximum eigenvalue of matrix and get comprehensive evaluation value.
\[ \varphi_{int} = 0.5 \times \sigma_{AHP} + 0.5 \times \sigma_{EWM}, \chi = D \times \varphi_{int} \] (7)

4. Credit Rating of Large Power Customers

According to the evaluation process above, subjective and objective weight are calculated to get integrated weight of large power customers, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Interval Number of Large Power Customer Evaluation Indexes.

| Target Layer                          | Weight | Primary Factor Layer                      | Weight | AHP | EWM | Integrated Weight |
|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-------------------|
| Credit Risk of External Environment   | 0.0776 | Government Support                        | 0.2275 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 | 0.0021           |
|                                       |        | Industrial Macro-Control Policies         | 0.4834 | 0.0091 | 0.0000 | 0.0046           |
|                                       |        | Fluctuation of Supply and Demand          | 1.0798 | 0.0204 | 0.0425 | 0.0314           |
|                                       |        | Consolidated Price Increase               | 2.3280 | 0.0437 | 0.0425 | 0.0431           |
| Credit Risk of Production and Operation | 0.2010 | Market Share                              | 0.4396 | 0.0211 | 0.0450 | 0.0331           |
|                                       |        | Corporate Social Impact                   | 0.9356 | 0.0435 | 0.0451 | 0.0443           |
|                                       |        | Product Qualification Rate                | 1.4270 | 0.0681 | 0.0422 | 0.0552           |
|                                       |        | Safety Accident Rate                      | 1.4270 | 0.0681 | 0.0425 | 0.0553           |
| Credit Risk of Financial              | 0.2010 | Return on Assets                          | 0.4956 | 0.0142 | 0.0487 | 0.0315           |
|                                       |        | Net Profit Margin on Sales                | 0.8806 | 0.0251 | 0.0379 | 0.0315           |
|                                       |        | Cost Profit Margin                        | 1.5491 | 0.0444 | 0.0568 | 0.0506           |
|                                       |        | Asset Liability Ratio                     | 0.4956 | 0.0142 | 0.0476 | 0.0309           |
|                                       |        | Current Ratio                             | 0.4596 | 0.0142 | 0.0386 | 0.264            |
|                                       |        | Main Business Revenue Growth Rate          | 1.5491 | 0.0444 | 0.0841 | 0.0643           |
|                                       |        | Net Profit Growth Rate                    | 1.5491 | 0.0444 | 0.0841 | 0.0643           |
| Credit Risk of Transaction            | 0.5205 | Full Payment Rate                         | 0.9776 | 0.0635 | 0.0425 | 0.0530           |
|                                       |        | Time Contribution Ratio                   | 0.5253 | 0.0341 | 0.0446 | 0.0394           |
|                                       |        | Contracting Rate of Electricity Sales Contract | 0.9776 | 0.0635 | 0.0429 | 0.0532           |
|                                       |        | Actual Power Completion Rate              | 1.7587 | 0.1139 | 0.0425 | 0.0782           |
|                                       |        | Contract Performance Rate                | 1.7587 | 0.1139 | 0.0425 | 0.0782           |
|                                       |        | Interruptible Load Contract Performance Rate | 0.9776 | 0.0635 | 0.0417 | 0.0526           |
|                                       |        | Load Control Coordination                 | 0.5253 | 0.0341 | 0.0448 | 0.0394           |
|                                       |        | Electricity Check Fit                     | 0.5253 | 0.0341 | 0.0412 | 0.0376           |

As Figure 1 shown, comprehensive evaluation value of large power customer 3 is the highest, followed by large power customer 2. Credit rating of these two corporates is at a high level, which indicates that they have a certain reputation in the industry with good business development, high debt credit, strong resistance to external environmental impact and low default risk.
Figure 1. Evaluation Value of Large Power Customer Credit.

5. Conclusion
With the development of power system reform, credit problem in power industry will be more complicated. In this paper, a set of credit risk evaluation index and evaluation model based on comprehensive evaluation are constructed. As the results of the numerical examples shown, credit risk of large power customers is closely related to external environment risk, production and operation risk, financial credit risk and transaction credit risk. Also, we can compare and analyze the credit rating of different enterprises and the indicators to be improved. The model established in this paper provides a quantitative reference for the credit prevention of power market transactions.
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