Host–pathogen interactions upon Candida auris infection: fungal behaviour and immune response in Galleria mellonella
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ABSTRACT

Candida auris has globally emerged as a multidrug-resistant fungus linked to healthcare-associated outbreaks. There is still limited evidence on its virulence, pathogenicity determinants, and complex host–pathogen interactions. This study analyzes the in vivo fungal behaviour, immune response, and host–pathogen interactions upon C. auris infection compared to C. albicans and C. parapsilosis in G. mellonella. This was performed by immunolabelling fungal structures and larval plasmatocytes and using a quantitative approach incorporating bioinformatic morphometric techniques into the study of microbial pathogenesis. C. auris presents a remarkably higher immunogenic activity than expected at its moderate degree of tissue invasion. It induces a greater inflammatory response than C. albicans and C. parapsilosis at the expense of plasmatocyte nodule formation, especially in non-aggregative strains. It specifically invades the larval respiratory system, in a pattern not previously observed in other Candida species, and presents inter-phenotypic tissue tropism differences. C. auris filamentation in vivo less frequently than C. albicans or C. parapsilosis mostly through pseudohyphal growth. Filamentation might not be a major pathogenic determinant in C. auris, as less virulent aggregative phenotypes form pseudohyphae to a greater extent. C. auris has important both interspecific and intraspecific virulence and phenotype heterogeneity, with aggregative phenotypes of C. auris sharing characteristics with low pathogenic species such as C. parapsilosis. Our work suggests that C. auris owns an important morphogenetic plasticity that distinguishes it from other yeasts of the genus. Routine phenotypic identification of aggregative or non-aggregative phenotypes should be performed in the clinical setting as it may impact patient management.

Introduction

Candida auris is an emergent fungal pathogen which, because of its multi-drug resistance [1–3], difficult identification by conventional microbiology techniques [4], high transmissibility, and environmental adaptability [5,6], has been associated with large outbreaks of healthcare-related invasive infections with a high mortality rate worldwide [2,5,7–9]. Consequently, in 2019, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) considered it to pose an urgent threat to public health in the context of multi-drug resistant organisms [10,11].

To date, there is still limited evidence on C. auris virulence, pathogenicity determinants, and the complex host–pathogen interactions. Pathogenicity has been recently studied in several animal models such as Galleria mellonella [12–18], Caenorhabditis elegans [18], and mice [15]. However, it appears to be highly diverse, with some authors describing a comparable or even higher degree of pathogenicity to that of C. auris [12,13] contrarily to other reports [14,15]. High interspecific but also intra-species virulence heterogeneity seems to be an inherent characteristic of C. auris infection, as it also differs between aggregative and non-aggregative phenotypes, strains, and clades [16,19,20].

Despite the first insights into its cellular, molecular, and genetic pathogenicity determinants have been provided [13,20–22], histological data on in vivo host–pathogen interactions are also scarce. Some works in both murine and invertebrate animal models have superficially described the tissue fungal burden after infection [15,16,18,19,22–24]. Nevertheless, histological studies afford little evidence on fungal invasiveness, distribution, burden, and morphology, as well as host immune and cell biological response upon C. auris infection. Despite plasmatocytes are the responsible cells for fungal phagocytosis [25], different hemocyte subpopulations have not been
analyzed nor quantified. Furthermore, no quantitative data have been reported to date beyond subjective, purely qualitative depictions using a very limited number of strains, individuals, and samples. Morphometry has been widely used in several fields of medical research such as cancer or ischemic heart disease to provide valuable quantitative histological information [26,27]. Indeed, immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry have improved these techniques, enabling the differentiation of specific cell types.

To tackle this problem, we incorporated for the first time in the study of fungal pathogenesis the body of knowledge of morphometrics to quantitatively analyze the in vivo C. auris specific traits and mechanisms of invasion as well host cell and immune response by immunolabelling fungal structures and larval plasmocytes in a G. mellonella infection model, compared to Candida albicans and Candida parapsilosis as high and low pathogenicity controls, respectively.

Material and methods

Selection and processing of fungal strains

Two strains of C. auris (CJ175 and CJ101), 1 strain of C. albicans (reference strain ATCC SC5314), and 1 strain of C. parapsilosis (reference strain ATCC 22019) were randomly selected and used for the essays. C. auris strains were isolated from clinical samples obtained from blood cultures of patients admitted to surgical or medical intensive care units (ICU) of the University and Polytechnic La Fe Hospital (Valencia, Spain) who developed candidemia, and kept at −80°C until use.

Blood cultures were processed with a BacT/ALERT™ VIRTUO™ automated microbial detection system. Definitive identification of C. auris was performed by internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequencing using ITS4-ITS5 primers following the protocol used by Bellemain et al. [28] The sequencing technique was performed using GenomeLab™ GeXP kits (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA) and the sequences obtained were compared with those of Microbial Genomes BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/guide/sequence-analysis/) and Mycobank Database. (C. auris CJ175 GenBank accession number: KJ126759.1, 96% similarity with sequence no AB375772. C. auris CJ101 accession number KC692045.1, 95% similarity with sequence no AB375772). For DNA extraction, inoculum was prepared from a 48-h culture grown on Sabouraud agar with chloramphenicol (Oxid Madrid, Spain) by resuspending 2–3 colonies in 800 µL of lysis buffer (Tris HCl 0.06M, EDTA 0.1M, NACL 1M, BrijTM −58 0.5%, sodium deoxycholate 0.2%, Lauryl Sarcosyl 0.5%). Once homogenized, 80 µL of Proteinase K (Qiagen, France) was added and incubated for 45 min at 56°C. Three freeze/thaw cycles were performed at −80°C/95°C for 1 min. Finally, extraction was performed using the DNasey Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) on the QIAsymphony® automated extraction system (Qiagen, France). All isolates were sent for confirmation and complete genome sequencing to the Mycology Reference Laboratory of the National Mycobiology Centre of the Carlos III Health Institute (ISCIII). Aggregative or non-aggregative phenotypes were determined by documenting immediate yeast aggregation at 200× magnification after vortexing for 3-minutes 1 mL of sterile saline with a concentration of 10⁸ CFU/mL.

Infection model in G. mellonella

Healthy sixth instar larvae of G. mellonella weighing 250–350 mg (TruLarv®, BioSystems Technology Ltd., UK) were selected. Larvae were decontaminated upon arrival using 70% ethanol and were kept in groups of 10 in Petri dishes at 15°C and dark conditions until inoculation.

Fungal isolates were grown on Sabouraud’s agar for 24 h at 37°C. Individual colonies were collected with sterile plastic loops, washed twice in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), counted with a TC20™ Automated Cell Counter (BioRad Laboratories, France), and adjusted to 10⁵ CFU/µL in sterile PBS. A standard inoculum of 10 µL of the solution (10⁶ CFU) was chosen [12,16].

Thirty larvae were inoculated with 10⁶ CFU of C. albicans in the left rear proleg using a 10 µL Hamilton syringe with a 26-gauge blunt needle. Another group of 30 larvae was infected with 10⁵ CFU of C. parapsilosis. Eighteen larvae were inoculated with 10⁵ CFU of the C. auris strain CJ101, and 15 larvae with 10⁶ CFU of the C. auris strain CJ175 by intrahe mocelic injection of 10 µL of the solution (10⁶ CFU) Groups of 10 infected larvae were placed in Petri dishes and were incubated at 37°C. Further analyses were blinded by tagging every Petri dish with an individual code. Larvae were euthanized after 24 h of incubation following the AVMA Guidelines for the euthanasia of animals. They were firstly anesthetized through immersion in 5% ethanol and later euthanized by immersion in a solution of neutral-buffered 10% formalin. To preserve tissue architecture while allowing formalin cuticular penetration and tissue diffusion, larvae were fixed for 28 days as previously described [16].

Tissue processing and immunohistochemical staining

Each larva was midsaggittally sectioned and embedded in paraffin. Histological 3–4 µm thick sections were obtained with the microtome. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) with specific antibodies against Candida spp. and G. mellonella plasmocytes was performed.
Candida spp. immunostaining
Midsagittal tissue sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated through graded alcohols. All samples were tagged for identification with the number of the larva and Petri dish blinded coding system. The primary murine polyclonal IgG anti-*C. albicans* antibody (PA1-27158, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was chosen to specifically label fungal structures, as it was shown to have cross-reactivity with *C. auris* and *C. parapsilosis* strains. Tissue sections were incubated with the primary antibody at a dilution of 1:20,000 at room temperature for 45 min. Amplification of the primary antibody signal was carried out by 45 with polyclonal goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins/HPR as secondary antibody for 45 min (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Tissues were then counterstained with hematoxylin–eosin.

G. mellonella novel plasmatocyte immunostaining
We specifically immunolabeled *G. mellonella* plasmatocytes. Following deparaffination and rehydration, a murine monoclonal IgG2b MS13 antibody against *Manduca sexta* plasmatocyte beta-integrin (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA) was used for *G. mellonella* plasmatocyte immunostaining. It was shown to cross-react and adequately immunolabel plasmatocytes of *G. mellonella* larvae. The primary MS13 antibody was incubated at a dilution of 1:20 for 45 min at room temperature. Subsequently, sections were incubated for 45 min with the secondary polyclonal goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins/HPR and counterstained with hematoxylin–eosin.

Histopathological evaluation and morphometric analysis
All samples were assessed using the optic Leica DMD108 digital microimaging network instrument (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Entire midsagittal larval sections were analyzed. The whole larval area was mapped by taking photomicrographs at 40× magnification for quantification of fungal density, 100× magnification for plasmatocyte analysis, and 200× up to 630× to evaluate *in vivo* fungal morphology, distribution, and degree of phagocytosis. The computerized morphometric study was carried out using the software Image Pro-Plus 7.0 (Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA).

For the study of the fungal load, tissue distribution, and *in vivo* cellular characteristics and host–pathogen interactions, several variables were analyzed:

- **Fungal density**: percentage resulting from the sum of the total area (µm²) occupied by yeast in all analyzed micrographs per larva, divided by the complete area of the larval midsagittal section (µm²).
- **Fungal distribution**: the main tissue distribution of the fungal pathogens - namely the digestive tract, fat tissue, hemolymph, tracheae, respiratory system, and uncharacterizable inflammatory tissue- was recorded.
- **Fungal morphology**: the presence of pseudohyphae or true hyphae was distinctively registered.
- **Phagocytosis** and presence of intracellular yeasts.

Further magnification captures up to 630× magnification were performed for the last two parameters, to better characterize fungal morphology and intracellular structures, whenever present.

The larval immune response was assessed in the whole larval section, based on the plasmatocyte-specific immunolabelling. The following morphometric parameters were studied:

- **Plasmatocyte density**: the number of plasmatocytes was recorded in each 100× magnification photomicrograph. In large immune aggregates, a watershed segmentation algorithm was applied to enable cellular quantification. The sum of the number of plasmatocytes in all micrographs of each larva was divided by the complete larval area. Results were expressed in plasmatocytes per mm².
- **Mean plasmatocyte diameter and area per 100× magnification micrograph**.
- **Plasmatocyte roundness**: the roundness of each plasmatocyte has been associated with the degree of cellular activation and was determined by the following formula:

\[
\text{Plasmatocyte Perimeter}^2 = 4 \times \pi \times \text{Plasmatocyte Area}
\]

Completely circular objects present a roundness = 1; other shapes present a roundness largely > 1 and would correspond to activated cells with an irregularly shaped cell membrane.

- **Plasmatocyte distribution**: the main tissue distribution of plasmatocytes - namely the digestive tract, fat tissue, hemolymph, tracheae, respiratory system, and uncharacterizable inflammatory tissue- was recorded per 100× magnification micrograph.
- **Plasmatocyte noduleation**: nodules were defined as the aggregation of 5 or more plasmatocytes. The presence of nodules was registered in each 100× magnification photomicrograph.
Statistical analysis

The descriptive statistics, graph representations, and hypothesis contrasts were performed with the statistical software R version 4.0.0 (R Development Core Team, 2020). Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were expressed as a percentage. The level considered to indicate statistical significance was \( p < 0.05 \). The descriptive statistics for each morphometric parameter were calculated and quantile-quantile (QQ) plots were performed to assess normality for each variable. The Levene test was used to assess the equality of variances in quantitative variables following normal or non-normal distributions, ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used, and Bonferroni \( p \)-value correction was applied for multiple comparisons. In the case of quantitative variables following normal or non-normal distributions, ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests were chosen, respectively.

Results

The two \( C. auris \) isolates were obtained from critically ill patients with candidemia. CJ101 showed an aggregative phenotype while CJ175 was a non-aggregative strain.

Morphometric analysis of the plasmatocyte response to \( C. auris \) infection in \( G. mellonella \)

\( C. auris \) induces a higher tissue density of plasmatocytes than \( C. albicans \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) at the expense of nodule formation

After analyzing more than 1,200 100× magnification micrographs and mapping all the tissue area in larval midsagittal sections, the tissue density of plasmatocytes after infection by \( C. auris \) was almost 4-fold higher than after infection by \( C. albicans \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) (\( p = 5.22 \times 10^{-9} \)), with a mean of approximately 24 plasmatocytes per mm\(^2\) (Table 1). No significant differences were observed between larvae infected with \( C. albicans \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) (\( p = 1 \)). The presence of inflammatory hemocyte aggregates was significantly higher after infection by \( C. auris \) than after infection by \( C. albicans \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) (\( p = 8.32 \times 10^{-7} \)). In 62.8% of the micrographs in the \( C. auris \) infected group plasmatocytes aggregated forming inflammatory nodules, while in the larvae infected by both \( C. albicans \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) they barely reached 50% (Table 1).

Furthermore, the \( C. auris \) capability of inducing a larger hemocyte density was independent of the phenotype and in both cases was higher than 20 plasmatocytes per mm\(^2\) (26.22 – SD 14.41 and 21.83 – SD 1.11; \( p = 1 \)). However, their tendency to form nodules was significantly lower in the aggregative strain than in the non-aggregative strain, with a nodulation frequency of 57.3% of the micrographs for aggregative \( C. auris \) versus 70.9% for non-aggregative \( C. auris \) (\( p = 0.004 \)) (Figure 4C and D).

Plasmatocytes of larvae infected by \( C. auris \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) have a lower size and membrane irregularity than \( C. albicans \)

More than 34,500 plasmatocytes were analyzed. On the one hand, significant differences were observed in the mean plasmatocyte area and diameter between \( C. albicans \) and \( C. auris \), as well as between \( C. albicans \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) (\( p = 6.08 \times 10^{-7} \) and \( p = 3.75 \times 10^{-14} \), respectively). Plasmatocytes were significantly smaller in larvae infected with \( C. albicans \) than in those with both \( C. auris \) and \( C. parapsilosis \). However, no significant differences were observed when comparing their mean area and diameter between larvae infected with \( C. auris \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) after Bonferroni \( p \)-value adjustment for multiple comparisons (\( p = 0.061 \)).

On the other hand, plasmatocyte roundness or membrane irregularity was significantly lower after \( C. albicans \) infection than after both \( C. auris \) and \( C. parapsilosis \) infection (\( p = 2.969 \times 10^{-6} \) and \( 3.3 \times 10^{-8} \), respectively) while it did not differ between the last two species (\( p = 0.24 \)) (Figure 1A). The mean roundness values and SD observed for each group are presented in Table 1. No differences were observed when comparing aggregative and non-aggregative phenotypes (\( p = 1 \)).

Table 1. Summary of the main morphometric parameters.

| Parameter                  | \( C. albicans \) | \( C. auris \) | \( C. parapsilosis \) | \( p \)-value |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|
| \( N_1 \)                  | 30               | 33             | 30                   | NA            |
| \( N_2 \)                  | 307              | 659            | 311                  | NA            |
| Density (cells/mm\(^2\))   | 8.51–7.03        | 23.83–13.68    | 8.77–7.76            | 5.22 \times 10^{-9} |
| Diameter (µm) mean – SD    | 6.66–1.36        | 7.23–1.22      | 7.43–1.32            | 3.75 \times 10^{-14} |
| Area (µm\(^2\)) mean – SD  | 41.44–18.79      | 49.62–18.09    | 52.01–19.28          | 6.08 \times 10^{-13} |
| Roundness mean – SD        | 2.15–0.83        | 1.95–0.50      | 1.87–0.45            | 1.3 \times 10^{-8} |
| Nodulation n – %           | 152–49.5         | 401–62.8       | 145–46.6             | 8.32 \times 10^{-7} |

\( N_1 \): number of larvae. \( N_2 \): number of analyzed micrographs. NA: not applicable. SD: standard deviation.
Tissue tropism of G. mellonella plasmatocytes in response to infection varies depending on the species of Candida

Hemolymphatic plasmatocyte distribution was predominant in all three Candida species (Figure 1A). More than 30% of photomicrographs mainly showed plasmatocytes within the hemolymph. A significant differential distribution was observed, however, when analyzing other larval tissues. Whilst in larva infected with C. albicans and C. parapsilosis the fat tissue distribution was the second most frequently observed distribution (17.3% and 19.3%, respectively), plasmatocytes of larva infected with C. auris were seen in close relation with the respiratory system in more than 20% of the photomicrographs (Figure 1A).

C. albicans showed remarkable muscle tissue inflammation (16.6%) (Figures 1A and 2F). These differences were statistically significant ($p = 5.602 \times 10^{-7}$). Both non-aggregative and aggregative C. auris phenotypes showed similar tissue distribution patterns, with a discrete predominance of peri-intestinal plasmatocytes for aggregative C. auris (15.9% vs. 8% in non-aggregative C. auris) and fewer hemolymphatic plasmatocyte observations (27.2% in aggregative C. auris vs. 37.5% in non-aggregative C. auris), as seen in Figure 3. The plasmatocyte distribution was partially superimposable to that observed for fungal structures, as seen in Figure 1, Figure 3, and Table 2.

Analysis of fungal burden, distribution, and morphology after C. auris infection in G. mellonella

C. auris seems to produce an intermediate fungal burden between C. albicans and C. parapsilosis

A statistically non-significant trend was observed in the mean fungal density ($p = 0.058$). The mean percentage of the larval midsagittal section area infected was 1.26% (SD 3.00) for C. albicans, 0.51% (SD 0.62) for C. auris, and 0.19 (SD 0.15) for C. parapsilosis. However, this higher pathogenicity was mainly observed in non-aggregative C. auris phenotypes. The fungal density of the aggregative strain CJ101 did not differ from that observed for C. parapsilosis. The mean fungal density for the non-aggregative strain was 0.83% of the larval tissue area (SD 0.81) while for the aggregative strain was 0.25% (SD 0.18).

C. auris specifically invades G. mellonella respiratory tissue, with non-aggregative phenotypes mainly located in the hemolymph and aggregative phenotypes showing a more homogeneous distribution of intestinal predominance

Large significant differences were observed regarding the tissue tropism of C. auris compared to C. albicans and C. parapsilosis ($p = 2.2 \times 10^{-6}$). The tissue invasion of C. albicans and C. parapsilosis was homogeneous, with most of the fungal load distributed in the hemolymph, followed by the larval adipose tissue and the intestinal tract. However, C. auris substantially invaded the larval respiratory system (Figures 1B and 2D), as seen in Table 2. Significant differences were observed between C. albicans and C. parapsilosis after Bonferroni p-value adjustment ($p = 0.0048$). C. albicans showed a muscle distribution more frequently than C. parapsilosis. Moreover, important differences were observed in the behaviour of different phenotypes ($p = 2.2 \times 10^{-13}$), as depicted in Figure 3. Although both aggregative and non-aggregative C. auris strains affected tracheal structures in 22.6% and 22.7% of micrographs (Figures 2D and 4B), respectively, non-aggregative C. auris was predominantly distributed through the larval hemolymph (30.5% of 594 micrographs) (Figure 4B), followed by tracheae, muscle cells (19.2%), fat tissue (16%), and intestinal tract (7.6%). For the aggregative strain, digestive structures...
Figure 2. Detail on fungal invasiveness and plasmatocyte characteristics as a response to Candida spp. infection in G. mellonella. (A) Detail on small nodule of activated irregular plasmatocytes. Anti-MS13 antibody. CJ101 strain. 400× magnification. (B) Large quiescent plasmatocyte containing many phagocyted yeasts. Anti-MS13 antibody. CJ175 strain. 400× magnification. (C) C. auris pseudohyphae in adipose tissue and hemolymph. Anti-C. albicans antibody. CJ101 strain. 630× magnification. (D) C. auris pseudohyphal forms with a peri-respiratory distribution. Anti-C. albicans antibody. CJ101 strain. 630× magnification. (E) C. albicans true hyphae located subcuticularly. Anti-C. albicans antibody. SC5314 strain. 630× magnification. (F) Large yeast aggregate invading muscle tissue. Anti-C. albicans antibody. SC5314 strain. 400× magnification. C, cuticle; D, digestive tissue; F, fat tissue; H, hemolymph; M, muscle tissue; P, plasmatocyte; p, pseudohyphae; R, respiratory tissue; Y, yeasts.
were predominantly affected after respiratory tissue (18.6% of 758 micrographs) (Figure 4A), followed by muscle tissue (17.7%), hemolymph (16.8%), and adipose tissue (14.2%) (Figure 3). The tissue distribution of both phenotypes significantly differed from *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* ($p < 10^{-12}$).

*C. auris* can filament in vivo to a lesser extent than both *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* and mainly in the form of pseudoohyphal structures

Of 482 micrographs, 259 (35%) showed some degree of filamentation in larvae infected by *C. albicans*. In larvae with *C. auris* and *C. parapsilosis* infection, 16.3% (206/1267) and 27.6% (157/569) of micrographs presented filamented fungal structures (Figure 2C and D). These differences were statistically significant ($p = 3.88 \times 10^{-21}$).

On the contrary, no significant differences were observed in the degree of filamentation between aggregative and non-aggregative *C. auris* phenotypes, despite observing filamented forms in 23.2% of micrographs in the aggregative group versus 10.6% in the non-aggregative group.

Yeast form structures were the most frequently found in vivo in all three species, as seen in Table 3. Nevertheless, highly significant differences were seen in the cellular morphology ($p = 1.97 \times 10^{-64}$). *C. albicans* usually presented both pseudohyphal and true hyphal forms (Figure 1E) (17.5% and 17.3% of the photomicrographs, respectively), followed by *C. parapsilosis*, which mainly only developed pseudoohyphae (26.5%). Finally, *C. auris* presented a lower frequency of filamentation and a majority of pseudohyphal forms (16.1% of pseudoohyphae vs. 0.6% of true hyphae) both in aggregative and non-aggregative phenotypes (Figures 2C, 2D and 4A).

**C. auris non-aggregative phenotypes and C. albicans show a lower frequency of intracellular forms than aggregative C. auris strains and C. parapsilosis**

The frequency of micrographs showing intracellular and intrahemocyte fungal forms was significantly higher in *C. parapsilosis* (35.1% of 569 micrographs), followed by *C. auris* (27.5% of 1,267 micrographs) and finally *C. albicans* (19.3% of 741 micrographs) ($p = 8.467 \times 10^{-15}$). These results run in parallel to the mean plasmatocyte diameter and area and may express larger sizes for those hemocytes with intracellular fungal forms. Furthermore, these differences were observed at the expense of a lower number of intracellular cells in *C. auris* non-aggregative phenotypes.
phenotypes. Furthermore, plasmatocytes after infection with the non-aggregative phenotype strain usually presented a disrupted appearance (Figure 4B). The frequency of intracellular forms within infected and plasmatocytes (arrow) undergoing cell lysis. Non-aggregative strain CJ175. Anti-C. albicans antibody. 400× magnification. (C) and (D) Small and large plasmatocyte nodules after infection with aggregative (CJ101) and non-aggregative (CJ175) strains of C. auris, respectively. Anti-MS13 antibody. 100× magnification. D, digestive tissue; H, hemolymph; P, plasmatocyte; T, respiratory tracheal system.

**Figure 4.** Detail on fungal and plasmatocyte characteristics as a response to G. mellonella infection by aggregative and non-aggregative phenotypes of C. auris. (A) C. auris pseudohyphae invading larval digestive epithelium, after infection with the aggregative strain CJ101. Anti-C. albicans antibody. 400× magnification. (B) Larval hemolymph containing yeasts of C. auris. Note the presence of intracellular yeasts within infected and plasmatocytes (arrow) undergoing cell lysis. Non-aggregative strain CJ175. Anti-C. albicans antibody. 400× magnification. (C) and (D) Small and large plasmatocyte nodules after infection with aggregative (CJ101) and non-aggregative (CJ175) strains of C. auris, respectively. Anti-MS13 antibody. 100× magnification. D, digestive tissue; H, hemolymph; P, plasmatocyte; T, respiratory tracheal system.

**Table 3.** Fungal morphology characteristics.

| Species          | N1 | N2 | Yeast form n (%) | Pseudohyphae n (%) | True hyphae n (%) |
|------------------|----|----|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| C. albicans      | 30 | 741| 483 (65.2)       | 130 (17.5)         | 128 (17.3)        |
| C. auris         | 33 | 1267| 1056 (83.3)      | 204 (16.1)         | 7 (0.6)           |
| C. parapsilosis  | 30 | 569| 410 (72.1)       | 151 (26.5)         | 8 (1.4)           |

N1: number of larvae. N2: number of analyzed micrographs.

Discussion

This study has shown that C. auris behaves differently from other species of Candida in the invertebrate host, but also presents a remarkable inter-phenotypic heterogeneity. In this work we have analyzed the in vivo fungal behaviour, host cell and immune response, and host–pathogen interactions upon C. auris infection in G. mellonella by specifically immunolabelling fungal structures and plasmatocytes, through a novel quantitative approach incorporating morphometric techniques into the study of microbial pathogenesis.

The main findings of this work can be summarized as follows: (i) C. auris owns a remarkably higher immunogenic activity than expected at its moderate
degree of tissue invasion, inducing greater inflammatory response than *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* at the expense of plasmatocyte nodule formation, especially in non-aggregative strains. (ii) *C. auris* specifically invades the larval respiratory system and presents inter-phenotypic tropism differences, with a more homogeneous distribution of intestinal predomina-

nace for aggregative strains resembling other *Candida* species. (iii) *C. auris* filaments in vivo less frequently than *C. albicans* or *C. parapsilosis* mostly through pseudohyphal growth. Filamentation might not be a major pathogenic determinant in *C. auris*, as known less virulent aggregative phenotypes tend to form pseudohyphae to a greater extent. (iv) Hemocyte immune response is superimposable to fungal tissue distribution. Plasmatocytes phagocyte less frequently non-aggregative *C. auris* phenotypes and *C. albicans*, for which they present a higher degree of activation and membrane irregularity than aggregative *C. auris* and *C. parapsilosis*.

*C. auris* morphogenetic plasticity and distinct expression of virulence factors may account for its remarkable inter and intraspecific heterogeneity [16,22,29,30]. Several genetic and molecular pathogenicity determinants have been defined for *C. auris*, such as expression of hemolysin, lipases, secreted aspartyl proteinases (SAPs), phospholipases, adhesins, integrins, or biofilm-related genes (IFF4, CSA1, PGA26, among others) [31]. However, morphological plasticity plays a critical role in fungal virulence [30], namely by biofilm formation and hyphal growth for *Candida* species [32]. In the unique case of *C. auris*, in vivo phenotypic yeast aggregation and yet uncertain filamentation ability are thought to partly explain this diversity. Despite the existence of discordant data on the species pathogenicity depending on strains and possibly clones, showing both higher [12,13] and lower virulence compared to *C. albicans* [14–17,19], evidence is congruent on aggregative strains being less virulent. However, it was not until recently that we began to have data on the *C. auris* ability to produce pseudohyphae. Previously considered unable to filament [12], recent studies have described phenotypic switching to pseudohyphal forms after genotoxic stress [33], temperature changes and passage through mammalian [22], invertebrate organisms [13,16,30], or even in vitro [30].

To date, there is scarce histopathological information on *C. auris* pathogenesis, and limited evidence is biased owing to works using only subjective approaches with a very limited number of strains, individuals, and samples. Moreover, there are no in vivo studies devoted to analyzing fungal pathogeny and host immune and cell biological responses upon *C. auris* infection. Computerized morphometric techniques provide a mathematical description of fungal and immune cell forms and densities, offering a more direct understanding of host–pathogen interactions and behaviour upon infection.

Through this approach, we have identified *C. auris* as highly immunogenic when compared to *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis*, while showing an intermediate fungal density. This finding contrasts with previous studies of hemocyte count in hemolymph extracts, in which an inverse relationship with infectious fungi and hemocyte density was described [34]. This may be explained due to elevated solid tissue tropism and aggregation into large nodules. As hereby demonstrated and in concordance with histological studies in murine models [19], these nodules run in parallel to the fungal load distribution, as hereby demonstrated. Previous reports on neutrophil and macrophage inflammatory infiltrate in mammal organs upon *C. auris* infection might imply a common pathway for the innate immune response induction in invertebrates or superior organisms including humans [19,23].

Moreover, the particular traits of the tissue distribution of *C. auris* described in this work are in line with known highly frequent septic metastatic complications in patients with *C. auris* infection [6]. We have quantitatively demonstrated its previously suggested specific respiratory tropism in *G. mellonella* [16], which significantly differed between phenotypes. Although both phenotypes predominantly invaded larval tracheae, aggregative strains similarly reproduced *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* distributions. However, we did not observe such an intestinal majority of fungal elements in *C. albicans* as previously reported in a qualitative manner [34].

On this line, several findings of this work advocate the lower virulence seen in aggregative phenotypes both in invertebrate and vertebrate animal models [12–16,19]. Aggregating *C. auris* forms induced plasmatocyte nodules to a lesser extent, but these immune cells did not appear to be less active than those seen upon non-aggregating *C. auris* infection. However, the tissue fungal burden of the aggregative phenotype was lower than in the non-aggregative phenotype and did not differ from that observed in *C. parapsilosis*: a known lower pathogenicity species of the genus [16,35,36]. Additionally, the aggregative phenotype presents a greater frequency of intracellular forms which, concerning its lower virulence, might imply either increased immune evasion mechanisms [31], lack of phagocytosis, or enhanced yeast “dumping” as yeast release after intracellular phagocyte killing [37] for non-aggregating strains. However, another striking finding of this work is the notably higher frequency of filamented forms in the aggregative group, which has also been previously described [30]. Filamentous forms have traditionally been associated with increased invasiveness and virulence [32]. Nevertheless, these findings make us hypothesize whether
pseudohyphal growth in *C. auris* is not one of the main pathogenic determinants upon infection, especially after hyphal-related proteins implied in pathogenesis such as candidalysin (ECE1) have not been detected in some works [15]. These data raise an important matter for clinical practice. Usually, phenotypic characterization is not routinely determined in hospital-based clinical microbiology laboratories, but we suggest its routine characterization and providing the information to clinicians, as knowing the aggregating phenotype might have implications in patient management and treatment beyond antifungal sensitivity testing.

Some limitations of the study deserve mention. Despite analyzing more than 4,500 different magnification micrographs and covering the whole tissue area, around 30 larvae per group were included and this sample size could ideally be increased. Likewise, the most important limitation is the use of only 2 strains of *C. auris*, as previously discussed. *G. mellonella* has been identified as an interesting model due to its complex innate immune system with functional and anatomical similarities to those found in more complex organisms [38], but results must be carefully interpreted, and extrapolation might be limited. Further analyses with a greater number of strains, even randomly selecting tissue areas for experimental feasibility, should be performed. Furthermore, these findings should be correlated with genomic analyses, RT–PCR analysis of virulence gene mRNA expression, further phenotypic changes, phospholipase activity detection, and biofilm formation ability, among others, to fully explain its wide morphogenetic plasticity.

In conclusion, we have documented differential traits in fungal invasiveness, distribution, burden, and morphological plasticity as well as host immune and cell biological response for *C. auris* aggregative and non-aggregative phenotypes compared to other species by incorporating the methodology of morphometrics. *C. auris* is a potent immune inducer at the expense of nodule formation, with specific respiratory tropism and filamentation capability through pseudohyphal growth. However, filamentation does not seem to be as decisive for its pathogenesis as previously assumed. *C. auris* owns important both interspecific and intraspecific virulence and phenotype heterogeneity with aggregative phenotypes of *C. auris* sharing characteristics with low pathogenic species such as *C. parapsilosis*. Hence, our work suggests that *C. auris* owns an important morphogenetic plasticity that distinguishes it from other yeasts of the genus. Routine phenotypic identification of aggregative or non-aggregative phenotypes should be performed in the clinical setting as it may impact patient management. Further studies are needed to completely untangle the molecular pathways underlying this plasticity that endow this emergent pathogen with an unusual complexity.
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