Natremia and liver transplantation: The right amount of salt for a good recipe
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Abstract

An adequate balance between electrolytes and clear water is of paramount importance to maintaining physiologic homeostasis. Natremia imbalance and, in particular, hyponatremia is the most frequent electrolyte abnormality observed in hospitalized subjects, involving approximately one-fourth of them. Pathological changes occurring during liver cirrhosis predispose patients to an increased risk of sodium imbalance, and hypervolemic hyponatremia has been reported in nearly 50% of subjects with severe liver disease and ascites. Splanchnic vasodilatation, portal-systemic collaterals' opening and increased excretion of vasoactive modulators are all factors impairing clear water handling during liver cirrhosis. Of concern, sodium imbalance has been consistently reported to be associated with increased risk of complications and reduced survival in liver disease patients. In the last decades clinical interest in sodium levels has been also extended in the field of liver transplantation. Evidence that [Na⁺] in blood is an independent risk factor for in-list mortality led to the incorporation of sodium value in prognostic scores employed for transplant priority, such as model for end-stage liver disease-Na and UKELD. On the other hand, severe hyponatremic cirrhotic patients are frequently delisted by transplant centers due to the elevated risk of mortality after grafting. In this review, we describe in detail the relationship between sodium imbalance and liver cirrhosis, focusing on its impact on peritransplant phases. The possible therapeutic approaches, in order to improve transplant outcome, are also discussed.

Key Words: Sodium imbalance; Liver transplant; Cirrhosis; Vaptan; Transplant list; Hypervolemic hyponatremia

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.
INTRODUCTION

We are all well aware that the human body is composed of a high percentage of water (approximately 60% by weight), with significant changes among different tissues and according to sex and age\(^1\). However, when we examine the human physio-pathological mechanisms, it would be better to keep in mind that this large fluid mass is actually composed of “salt” water\(^2\). The accurate proportion/relationship between electrolytes and water is of paramount importance to preserve cellular and tissue homeostasis. Moreover, specific transporters, such as the sodium pump or aquaporin channels, exist to keep definite gradients of electrolytes and water between the inside and outside of the cell\(^3\). Failure to maintain adequate solute concentration (osmolality) between the intra- and extracellular compartments may affect toxicity, determining cellular damage for shrinkage or swelling. The accumulation in the cell of sodium (Na\(^+\)), the most concentrated cation in extracellular fluid, is prevented by the sodium pump. For this reason, Na\(^+\) is not only the main determinant of plasma osmolality but it also plays an important role in maintaining isotonicity between the intra- and extracellular environment\(^4\). Central regulation of the sodium-water balance and tonicity are obtained by brain/kidney crosstalk\(^5\). In brief, during physiologic conditions, neurohypophysis detects hypertonic signals recorded by osmoreceptors and activates vasopressin [i.e. antidiuretic hormone; antidiuretic hormone (ADH)] release by the pituitary gland. ADH, in turn, stimulates kidney reabsorption of water, thus reducing hyperosmolality. Thirst is also stimulated by osmoreceptors as long as homeostasis is not reacquired. In hypotonic conditions, the mechanism is the reverse, with decreased ADH secretion and inhibition of thirst. Despite this fine-tuned regulation of osmolality, altered sodium serum levels are frequently encountered in clinical practice; in this case, our attention is usually recalled by the Na\(^+\) reported value; however, changes in plasmatic sodium are more frequently the expression of an impaired water balance rather than electrolyte increase or loss.

In this review, we examined natremia changes in pathological conditions focusing on the aspects observed during liver cirrhosis. The consequences of altered sodium levels on the outcome of patients undergoing liver transplantation (LT) and the possible corrective measures will also be discussed.

NATREMIA IMBALANCE IN GENERAL PRACTICE

While natremia imbalance should be considered a symptom rather than a disease, evidence in clinical practice of a plasma sodium concentration lower than 135 or higher than 145 mEq/L, respectively, defines hypo or hypernatremia. Hyponatremia is by far the more frequently encountered electrolyte abnormality in hospitalized patients\(^2\)\(^3\). In a large retrospective study, prevalence of Na\(^+\) < 135 mEq/L was 22.1% and 14.7% in hospitalized and ambulatory patients, respectively\(^2\)\(^3\). Despite the finding of hyponatremia, a hypo, hyper or isotonic plasma/cell condition should not be ruled out since other solutes not able to cross plasma membrane (such as glucose) may impact tonicity\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^4\). However, hypotonic or dilutional hyponatremia caused by excess...
water intake or impaired kidney water disposal is the most frequent form[31].

Hyponatremia should also be discriminated in the clinic according to the volume of extracellular fluid in hypo, normo, or hypervolemic forms[33]. In chronic diseases such as cardiac insufficiency, nephrotic syndrome, or liver cirrhosis, a hypervolemic hyponatremia is usually present, characterized by edema. Concern exists in hospitalized patients because of the strict relationship between morbidity/mortality and hyponatremia[34]. Moreover, an increased risk of mortality, in sodium-deficient hospitalized patients, seems to be present also for mild reduction of blood [Na+] (130-134 mEq/L) and persists also in the 5-year follow-up after discharge[17-21].

With regard to mortality, the most dramatic complications of acute hyponatremia (also when a too rapid correction of sodium depletion occurs) are considered the neurologic ones[35,36]. Symptoms of onset are represented by lethargy, vomiting, headache and confusion, among others, reflecting impairment of the central nervous system as a consequence of a rapid change of extracellular tonicity exiting in brain edema and possible demyelination[37]. This condition is characterized by a relevant morbidity in more than one-third of subjects[38]. However, (1) since this important complication requires rapid modification of plasma sodium concentration and (2) considering that an adaptive response to osmotic changes exists in the brain (constituted mainly by the shift of fluid in the subarachnoid space[39] and loss of solutes by brain cells[40]), increased overall mortality observed in patients with hyponatremia does not seem completely explained by neurological involvement. In this perspective, besides patients in which sodium depletion is the main cause of death, there are others in whom hyponatremia is only the innocent expression of a chronic severe disease, thus not eliciting a direct impact (or with just a partial impact) on mortality[41].

Hypernatremia, recognized by a plasma [Na+] > 145 mEq/L (as also stated before), is a condition with a lower prevalence in comparison with hyponatremia, but it is associated, like the latter, with significant mortality. While hyponatremia may be present in different conditions of extracellular fluid tonicity, the hypernatremia is constantly characterized by a hypertonic plasma[42]. In the pathogenesis of the increased plasma sodium concentration, an imbalance between clear water and Na⁺ loss/intake occurs, with concomitant failure of the compensatory systems, including the brain-kidney axis and the thirst triggering mechanism[43]. Given the important defense operated by thirst stimulation against hypernatremia, increased plasma sodium levels usually occur when the sensitivity to central stimuli is absent or greatly reduced. Therefore, in clinical practice, patients with reduced consciousness, such as those in an intensive care unit are at major risk for hypernatremia[15-21]. In outpatient settings increased sodium levels are rarely observed and the main causes are represented by diarrhea (in elderly and small children), excessive use of diuretic drugs, diabetes insipidus, and others[15,20].

From all the above, it is clear that complex diagnostic flow charts are sometimes needed to achieve a correct diagnosis in patients with sodium imbalance. In other clinical situations, such as in liver cirrhosis, changes in sodium blood levels are instead characteristic of the disease.

NATREMIA IMBALANCE IN LIVER CIRRHOSIS: IT ALL STARTS HERE

Impaired water handling has long been demonstrated in liver cirrhosis[16]; this is well documented by the frequent finding of ascites and edema in patients with relevant liver impairment[18]. Ascites and dilutional hyponatremia are, in liver cirrhosis, the ending evolution of the same pathological sequence of events. In fact, as an important step, a hyperdynamic circulation with increased cardiac output and decreased blood pressure for reduced peripheral vascular resistance develops[17]. Fall of peripheral resistance, which is not justified by increased requirement of oxygen by tissues, is clearly evident also by the subject examination usually exhibiting warm extremities, palmar erythema, spider naevi, and other typical signs. Rearrangement of the systemic circulation is thought to occur as a response to portal hypertension, stimulating splanchnic vasodilatation and portal-systemic collaterals’ opening[22]. Vasodilatation in this setting seems to be maintained by the excretion of several modulators[23]. Among these, nitric oxide has long been identified as of major importance[24,25].

Finally, arterial underfilling activates baroreceptors stimulating chronic ADH release. Increased reabsorption of clear water is then the determinant of fluid accumulation and hypervolemic hyponatremia in decompensated cirrhosis[26]. A simplified scheme of events leading to dilutional hyponatremia during cirrhosis is reported in Figure 1. Considering (1) the constant occurrence of altered clear water
Figure 1 A simplified scheme including the sequential factors and the organs involved in hypervolemic hyponatremia onset, during cirrhosis, is depicted. ADH: Antidiuretic hormone; NO: Nitric oxide. ↑: Increase; ↓: Decrease.

Handling in the majority of patients with advanced liver disease and (2) in order to have a more tailored indication on pathological sodium levels in these patients, the beginning of the threshold limit for hyponatremia in cirrhotic patients was reduced to $[\text{Na}^+] < 130 \text{ mEq/L}^{[38,39]}$.

Detection of persistent hyponatremia in cirrhotic patients represents a worrisome event because of its relationship with complications and mortality$^{[40,41]}$. In 2006, a prospective survey on 997 cirrhotic patients with ascites, coming from 28 worldwide centers, was performed in order to assess the impact of natremia on the onset of complications$^{[42]}$. This study demonstrated a prevalence of hyponatremia ($\text{Na}^+ < 135 \text{ mEq/L}$) of nearly 50%, while 21.6% exhibited a $\text{Na}^+ < 130 \text{ mEq/L}$. Moreover, low sodium levels were associated with a more severe grade of liver disease (Child-Pugh C) and an increased risk of major complications, such as hepatic encephalopathy, hepatorenal syndrome (HRS), and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, excluding only gastrointestinal bleeding. Of particular concern is the association between natremia and HRS. The latter, that is currently diagnosed on the basis of acute kidney injury criteria (baseline increase of serum creatinine $\geq 0.3 \text{ mg/DL} \text{ and/or} \geq 50\%$ within 48 h), has, in fact, a recorded mortality higher than 50%$^{[43,44]}$. HRS is still in search of adequate medical treatment, and the complex interplay between hyponatremia and HRS are discussed in detail in a 2015 review by Mohanty et al$^{[45]}$.

Finally, hyponatremia has been demonstrated to impact the health-related quality of life (HRQL) of cirrhotic patients. This is also observed in the absence of hepatic encephalopathy$^{[46]}$. In a study, sodium correction in 21 patients with cirrhosis determined a statistical improvement in HRQL and cognitive performance in comparison with the corresponding baseline values$^{[47]}$. This finding, as also suggested in an editorial of the same journal, underscored the fact that correction of hyponatremia in cirrhosis did not correspond to just “treating a number” but to a cure for several clinical complications, including a reduced HRQL$^{[48]}$.

Hypernatremia is more seldom observed and less studied in cirrhotic patients, accounting for 2%-5% of cases only$^{[49,50]}$. It has been described in severely ill patients after the lactulose-induced loss of fluid or in concomitance with decompensated diabetes; however, a definite picture of hypernatremia prevalence, morbidity, and mortality in cirrhosis is not available at present$^{[51,52]}$.

Given the importance of sodium imbalance in liver diseases, with regard to its relationship with complications and mortality, the attention to sodium was also
translated in the field of liver transplantation in the last decades. Natremia levels were, in fact, examined for their possible role as a prognostic factor in the waiting list, as a predictor of outcome after grafting, and as possible effectors of complications in the peritransplant phases.

**NATREMIA IMBALANCE AND LIVER TRANSPLANTATION**

Starting from the new millennium, it was evident in the United States that liver transplantation should be offered on a “sickest first basis” rather than on-list waiting time. In this perspective, prognostic scores to forecast a short term decrease in cirrhotic patients acquired paramount importance in order to select subjects more in need of a transplant with a consequent reduction of in-list mortality. The model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score (calculated on the base of bilirubin, creatinine, and international normalized ratio values), originally proposed to evaluate 3-mo liver-related mortality in cirrhotic patients undergoing trans-jugular-portal-systemic shunt, seemed at that time adequate also for the selection of best liver transplant (LT) candidates. However, assessment of the MELD score in the real LT world suggested that dynamic evaluation of these parameters or adoption of adjunctive parameters should determine a better selection of patients for LT.

A study on 507 patients evaluated for LT in the United States aimed to find additional parameters (not included in MELD) indicating short-term mortality. This research evidenced that persistent ascites and sodium serum levels < 135 mEq/L represented important predictors of mortality that improved the performance of low (< 21) MELD score. Since the impact on survival of water imbalance was not captured by the MELD score and in need to find a possible objective parameter paralleling the severity of edema, a study was conducted to evaluate the predictive value of MELD and sodium serum levels in the waiting list for LT. In 554 cirrhotic patients in a single United States center: (1) A natremia < 126 mEq/L at listing was associated with an increased (nearly 8 times) risk of death; (2) The estimation of risk based on sodium levels was independent by MELD score; and (3) The inclusion of Na with MELD seemed to improve performance in estimating mortality at 3 mo and 6 mo. The role of sodium levels as an independent factor predicting in-list mortality was then definitively demonstrated in a very large study with data retrieved from the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network database. This research demonstrated a better graft allocation employing the MELD score and Na’ value together (more evident for low MELD score), with a possible 7% reduction of mortality in the list when this scheme was applied to retrospective results.

Further evidence-based studies led to the incorporation of natremia in the MELD score, giving origin to MELD-Na in the United States and UKELD in the United Kingdom, respectively. Both scores were demonstrated to perform better than the original MELD at 3 mo and 6 mo, even if their superiority was not demonstrated when evaluating patients with acute liver failure. At present, the importance of sodium serum level inclusion in the MELD score has also been confirmed in an updated model undergoing optimization of coefficient bounds. However, some authors advanced concern in including natremia for LT allocation since this parameter could be artificially altered in the clinical setting, and its contribution in the MELD model seems to be limited as well as restricted to low score.

Interest in sodium serum levels and LT then rose also with regard to surgical outcome. In an early retrospective European study on 241 cirrhotic patients undergoing LT, a prevalence of hyponatremia (< 130 mEq/L) of 8% was found. Hyponatremic patients had ascites in 100% of cases and more severe liver disease before LT. In the first month after LT, the incidence of neurologic, infectious, or kidney complications was statistically more frequent in patients transplanted with a [Na+] < 130 mEq/L in comparison with others. This translated into a significant reduction of 3 mo survival (84% vs 95%; P < 0.05). A subsequent United Kingdom multicenter study reassessed this issue on 5152 patients undergoing LT and in whom pre-transplant sodium data were available. Patients were stratified according to blood [Na+] in severely hyponatremic (< 130 mEq/L), hyponatremic (130-134 mEq/L), normal (135-145 mEq/L), and hypernatremic > 145 mEq/L. The 3-mo mortality was increased in patients with sodium < 130 mEq/L, accounting for approximately 15% of cases, while the impact on mortality of hypernatremia was even more evident, accounting for 25% of cases. However, the finding of increased sodium levels was 20-times less frequent than hyponatremia in the study. Finally, patients with sodium serum levels falling between 130-134 mEq/L did not exhibit a difference in mortality in comparison with
Despite the fact that the main cause of death in all groups in the study was represented by infections, thus evolving in multi-organ failure, the authors suggested that the occurrence of central nervous system complications was the first trigger increasing mortality in groups with natremia imbalance. In fact, a previous study demonstrated that rapid corrective osmotic changes occurring during transplant and in early postoperative phases might be responsible in patients with deranged sodium serum levels of pontine and extrapontine myelinolysis\(^7\). Unfortunately, the occurrence of this complication was not assessed in the study. Prevalence of central pontine myelinolysis after LT and according to pretransplant natremia levels was then evaluated in a large United States study\(^7\). Central pontine myelinolysis was evidenced in 0.5% of the entire cohort (2175 patients) and was associated with the presence of hyponatremia. Interestingly in this American study, differently from previous European data, even if Na\(^+\) levels were associated with longer intensive care unit and in-hospital stay, an increased 90 d mortality after LT was not found.

The possible role of hyponatremia on LT short-term survival was again challenged by a following United States large study\(^8\). In this cohort of nearly 20,000 patients, there was no difference in short-term (90 d) survival after LT between hyponatremic and normonatremic patients. On the other hand, an important (statistically significant) reduced survival was observed in hypernatremic (Na\(^+\) > 145 mEq/L) subjects. The interesting discrepancy between the European and American studies does not have a clear explanation so far. However, it is possible that in European studies: (1) Hyponatremia was the expression of more severe liver disease; (2) The use of marginal graft was more largely applied; and (3) Different etiologies of liver diseases (with worse outcomes) were more represented\(^8\). More recently, a monocentric study with a limited number of patients (n = 306) reassessed the issue of natremia and short-term neurological complications\(^9\). In this research, while either hypo (< 130 mEq/L) or hypernatremia (< 145 mEq/L) did not have an effect on short-term survival after LT, a relationship between the magnitude of sodium levels correction (> 10 mEq/L), neurological complication, and reduced outcome was observed.

**THERAPEUTIC STRATEGIES FOR SODIUM IMBALANCE IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING LT**

As reported above, the general issue of sodium imbalance in cirrhosis acquires particular importance with regard to patients proceeding toward LT. The management of hyponatremia in liver disease patients (the most frequent electrolyte alterations observed) changes widely according to the clinical picture. Acute hypovolemic hyponatremia (observed for extended diuretic therapy or fluid loss) may be managed with success by employing sodium and fluid replacement therapy\(^9\). On the other hand, treatment of chronic hypervolemic (dilutional) hyponatremia, that represents the expression of a more general impairment of clear water handling, is complex and overall results remain unsatisfactory. The heterogeneous management of this condition by different transplant centers, in the lack of a shared guideline, reflects the complexity of this pathological alteration and the physicians’ concern. The United States data show that the majority of LT centers delist patients with Na\(^+\) < 120 mEq/L and that a specific protocol to manage low natremic levels before surgery is adopted in less than one-third of transplant centers\(^7\). These findings suggest that the establishment of an optimal therapy of dilutional hyponatremia in cirrhotic patients may increment their access to transplants, also reducing in-list mortality and possible peritransplant complications.

In clinical practice and in this setting, treatment for decreased natremia is a multistep route to be tailored according to therapy response and target\(^7\). Low-level hyponatremia (Na\(^+\) < 135; ≥ 130 mEq/L) is not deemed worthy of treating since it is considered a frequent and harmless feature of severe liver disease. Sodium values lower than 130 mEq/L may be considered for corrective measures, even if symptoms are seldom observed above 125 mEq/L. Less aggressive, first-line therapy is represented by the withholding of diuretic drugs and fluid restriction. An adequate reduction of fluid intake (1-1.5 L/d) is frequently difficult to obtain because of compliance issues. When this measure is effective, blood sodium level rises within 24-48 h\(^7\). Correction of hypokalemia and administration of albumin may also improve sodium levels\(^7,9\). Potassium, when it is administered to restore the normal serum range, migrates in the cell, shifting sodium in the extracellular space to equilibrate the net charge concentration. The use of albumin, for sodium correction in cirrhotic...
patients, despite the efficacy, remains more controversial due to the cost and its transient effect\(^7\); however, in hyponatremic patients awaiting LT, it seems a reasonable second-line treatment to reduce possible perisurgical complications after the failure of fluid restriction.

In a large United States study on cirrhotic hyponatremic (< 130 mEq/L) patients, albumin administration was statistically associated with normalization of sodium levels; this, in turn, had a positive effect on 30-d survival\(^{80}\). The effect of albumin on natremia may be related to both its oncotic and non-oncotic (inhibition of vasodilators release) properties, as underlined in a commentary in the same journal\(^7\).

The possibility to administer hypertonic saline (generally contraindicated in cirrhotic patients since it increases ascites and edema) may be considered as a short-term treatment, in the few days preceding LT, in severely hyponatremic patients. However, drastic changes in sodium serum levels (> 8 mEq/L daily) should be carefully avoided for the possible onset of significant adverse events in these fragile patients\(^8\). In this perspective, it seems reasonable to postpone hypertonic saline infusion after attempting to correct sodium levels with fluid restriction or albumin infusion. In fact, the latter strategies are not flawed by significant complications.

Finally, a more complex therapeutic approach includes the use of vaptans; the latter are specific inhibitors of vasopressin (V)-receptors\(^8\). Among different V receptors (V1a, V1b, and V2), the one involved in kidney clear water reabsorption is the V2. Great interest was raised on V2-vaptans inhibitors (lixivaptan, stavaptan, and tolvaptam) for their possible beneficial effects in cirrhosis since they seemed to target the specific physio-pathological mechanisms leading to dilutional hyponatremia and edema during end-stage liver diseases. Three meta-analyses, focusing on cirrhotic patients, during the last decade consistently confirmed natremia and reduction of ascites and related complications, such as spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. However, a clear effect on survival was never observed also after a long-term (> 26 wk) follow-up\(^8\). Moreover, a safety warning was released by the Food and Drug Administration in 2013 to avoid tolvaptan in patients with underlying liver disease, since increased liver enzymes were observed in 4.4% of patients (significant alteration in 1%) in a trial on autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease\(^8\). On the other hand, despite the fact that early data on autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease evidenced changes in liver biochemistry during vaptans (tolvaptan) therapy\(^8\), a significant increase in adverse events in cirrhotic patients was never observed. A more worrisome complication related to V2-specific vaptans use might be considered the too rapid (> 8 mEq/L day) correction of sodium levels, with possible neurologic deleterious effect. For this reason, their administration should be decided, managed, and monitored by expert centers. However, the utility of long-term routine use of tolvaptan (the only V2-specific oral vaptan available) for chronic hyponatremia in cirrhotic patients, remains undefined and uncertain since the quick reversal of the therapeutic effect when treatment is withdrawn and the possible adverse events. For these reasons, hyponatremia in cirrhosis remains an “off label” indication for this drug in the majority of countries.

The clinical setting of LT is nevertheless different from the general management of liver cirrhosis. Sometimes, a patient needing an accelerated LT because of a poor prognosis may present with severe hyponatremia. This condition exposes the candidate to a well-recognized risk of neurological complications in the perisurgical phase and maybe to an “a priori” exclusion from transplant. In this complex and specific clinical situation, in which possible drug-induced liver toxicity appears negligible as LT is already required, it seems correct to consider vaptans treatment\(^9\).

In this perspective, tolvaptan was experimented for the first time by our group in two LT candidates presenting with severely reduced sodium levels\(^9\). These subjects were in need of an expedited LT (MELD > 30), both with a natremia approaching 120 mEq/L. After the failure of fluid restriction and hypertonic saline administration, they underwent a short-term (5 d) low-dose (15 mg daily) administration of tolvaptan, with a rise of sodium levels > 130 mEq/L. The drug administration (after acquisition of an informed consent due to the risk of increased liver damage) was carefully followed-up, with frequent testing of liver function parameters and sodium levels, as the patients were hospitalized in our liver sub-intensive unit. We did not observe any major changes in patient biochemical tests. One patient was transplanted and the outcome was uneventful, while the other died of multi-organ failure since an appropriate graft was not retrievable despite the urgency. Our data demonstrated that this short-term low-dose administration was effective, safe, and feasible also in the presence of relevant cholestasis and coagulopathy (both patients had bilirubin > 10 mg/dL and international normalized ratio > 2.5). Our experience was also replicated in a case report of an LT candidate with a less severe liver impairment (MELD17)\(^9\).
Finally, a study was undertaken with tolvaptan in LT candidates in order to improve refractory ascites\textsuperscript{[87]}. Ten patients were treated with a very low dose of tolvaptan (starting from 3.75 mg/d) together with standard diuretic therapy. Six of them had a reduction > 1.5 Kg of body weight within 1 wk of treatment. No major changes were observed with regard to liver function tests or natremic levels.

Also in the lack of unequivocal data and clear guidelines, a possible multi-step approach to severe hypervolemic hyponatremia, for candidates to expedite LT, is proposed in Figure 2.

**CONCLUSION**

Sodium imbalance, and in particular dilutional hyponatremia, is frequently encountered in patients with severe liver disease. Low sodium levels in cirrhosis should be considered as the expression of a more complex impairment of water handling rather than a mere electrolyte deficiency. In this perspective, the scarce effects of standard maneuvers for natremia correction are not surprising since the ideal treatment should be targeted to reverse the mechanisms at the base of hyperdynamic circulation or the increased excretion of nitric oxide and ADH. While waiting for appropriate medical treatment, sodium imbalance continues to affect survival and to increase complications in cirrhotic patients. It also sometimes prevents their transplantability, increasing their list mortality and the complexity of post-transplant outcomes. A definitive solution to these aspects would be an important achievement in the future. For the time being, we have to consider that LT represents the most effective therapy for chronic natremia unbalance in cirrhosis and that hyponatremic patients are those experiencing the major survival benefit from this procedure\textsuperscript{[88]}. 
Severe hypervolemic hyponatremia (Na⁺ < 120 mEq/L) in patient awaiting expedited liver transplantation

- Withhold diuretic
- Fluid restriction (1-1.5 L/d)
- Correction of potassium levels

Evaluate response after 24/48 h

No

Yes
Proceed to transplant

Albumin infusion (mean total amount 225 g)

Evaluate response

No

Yes
Proceed to transplant

Hypertonic saline infusion (Na⁺ correction to keep < 8 mEq/L d)

Evaluate response

No

Yes
Proceed to transplant

Consider short-term, low dose vaptan treatment (Tolvaptan 15 mg/d for 5-7 d; Na⁺ correction to keep < 8 mEq/L d)

Figure 2 Proposed algorithm for correction of severe hypervolemic hypernatremia in cirrhotic patients in wait of expedited liver transplantation. Indications are mainly desumed by small studies or on the base of expert opinion\textsuperscript{[74-78,86-89]}. For vaptan, consider (1) the “off-label” indication and (2) the warning on tolvaptan liver toxicity limiting its use in patients with liver injury, in some countries. Acquisition of patient informed consent is suggested before treatment.
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