Introduction

In-vitro fertilization (IVF) is a complex series of technologically driven procedures used to treat infertility or genetic problems and assist with the conception of a child.\(^1\) During IVF, mature eggs are collected (retrieved) from a woman’s ovaries and fertilized by spermatozoa in the laboratory.\(^1\) The pioneering work of Patrick Steptoe and Robert Edwards gave birth to “In-vitro fertilization” and Louise Joy Brown, the first test tube baby, was born on the 25th of July, 1978.\(^2\) Since then, the science of IVF has taken the world by storm and this technology with its various processes are now being made available in almost every country though the developing counties still lag behind.\(^1\) In Nigeria, few government and private establishments have successfully adopted the procedures used to treat infertility or genetic problems and assist with the conception of a child.\(^1\) Assisted Reproductive Technique permits unrestricted use, distribution, and build upon your work non-commercially.

## Abstract

**Background:** In-vitro fertilization is a component of Assisted Reproductive Technique.

**Objective:** To evaluate awareness, knowledge and perception of final year medical students in In-vitro fertilization.

**Method:** A semi-structured questionnaire was served to 126 participants in September 2015.

**Result:** Mean ±SD age of the 69 males (27.1 ±4.2) in the study was significantly different (t=3.15; P value=0.001) from that of the 57 females (25.1 ±2.9). In all, approximately 35% were sexually active 6 months prior to the survey, including 28% and 3% with one and with ≥4 heterosexual sexual partners respectively while almost 37% had never had sex. Three (5.3%) females and 2(2.9%) males had their first heterosexual intercourse at age ≤15. Almost 63% of them heard of IVF during lectures. About 90% knew that IVF involves bringing egg and sperm together outside the body and putting fertilized egg back into the womb. While 84% regarded IVF babies as normal, 72% thought that it is too expensive, though 70% would opt for IVF in case of infertility. In all, 82% agreed that the wife is commonly blamed for infertility.

**Conclusion:** Major source of information on IVF was during medical lectures. Most of the respondents knew of basic IVF procedure. Assisted Reproductive Technology should be stressed more in medical curriculum.
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...
women seeking fertility treatment may increase the duration of fertility and eventually they may require in-vitro fertilization. Some of the studies carried out in the past have focused on the women seeking fertility treatment and nursing personnel. Studies in South-East Nigeria found that 95.1% of 122 nurses surveyed and only 37.6% of women respondents attending gynecological fertility clinic were aware of in-vitro fertilization.\(^7\)

Adesiyun & his colleagues found that of 176 infertile women interviewed in Northern Nigeria, about 76.5% had heard of assisted reproductive techniques but more than 50% were not sure if the babies from it were normal or natural.

This study, likely the first to survey medical students about IVF in Nigeria, aims to assess the awareness, knowledge and perception of In-vitro fertilization by final year medical students in South-West Nigeria.

**Materials and methods**

**Design and data collection**

The study was a descriptive cross-sectional investigation conducted among final year medical students in February 2015. Data was collected at a gathering of final year medical students to mark their valedictory lecture. Questionnaires containing 20 questions — some multiple choice and others open ended questions — designed to acquire information on knowledge, attitude and perception of In-Vitro Fertilization (IVF), were administered to interviewees who gathered for their final graduating lecture.

Permission and cooperation were obtained from their teachers to distribute questionnaires for the study. Only students who refused to take part in the study after explaining the importance and addressing their concerns including confidentiality or who improperly or incompletely filled the questionnaires were excluded from the study. A pilot study was initially conducted among laboratory and nursing staff of Nordica Fertility Centre, Lagos (n=15).

**Setting**

The study was conducted at the College of Medicine of a tertiary Teaching Hospital, in Sagamu, Ogun State in South-West Nigeria, about 70 km from metropolitan Lagos, the economic capital of the country.

**Participants**

One hundred and fifty questionnaires were distributed among the final year medical students of the university. This was based on the average number of students (120) expected in the graduating class of majority of medical schools in Nigeria. Sample size was increased to 150 to cater for non-response. Students who refused participation or returned incomplete or improperly filled questionnaires were excluded from the study.

**Research instrument**

A self-administered questionnaire with closed format questions was used. It had two sections. Section A contained questions on sociodemographics and elicited responses on age, religion, gender, marital status, sexual activity among other sociodemographic characteristics. Section B had questions on awareness, knowledge and perception on In Vitro Fertilization.

**Data analysis and presentation**

The responses from returned and properly completed questionnaires were collated, entered into an Excel spread sheet in a laptop and coded accordingly. The coded data was cleaned before final analysis using STATA 13 computer software. Chi square analysis was done and odds ratio was calculated where applicable. Level of confidence was set at \(P<0.05\). Results were presented in tables and figures.

**Ethical consideration**

The study was approved by the ethical board of Nordica Fertility Centre, Lagos.

**Results**

One hundred and twenty-six (females: 57, 45.2%; males: 69, 54.8%), with overall mean (±sd) age of 26.2 (3.8) years, participated in the study. Males were significantly older than females (\(t=3.15, P=0.001\)). Figure 1 illustrates the age distribution of the medical students which shows unimodal appearance with a peak at 27 for males and 26 for females. Only 12 (7 males and 5 females) of the medical students were married, though there was no significant statistical difference in marital status of females and males (\(χ^2=0.92, P=0.34\)) though males were approximately 1% more like to be married than females (OR=1.79, 95% CI: 0.54, 5.98). In all, 81% of the students were Christians and only 19% were Muslims. Although very few (3.2%) were already parents, majority (91.3%) wish to be a parent (Table 1).

Sexual history of the medical students, which shows that approximately 35% of them were sexually active 6 months prior to the study, is as illustrated in Table 2. The Table also shows that 79(62.7%), 33(27.8), 4(3.2%), 1(0.8%) and another 4(3.2%) had 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 or more sexual partners within the past 6 months. Incidentally, those who had 2 or 3 sexual partners in the past 6 months were males and those who had 4 or more sexual partners were females. Majority (36.5%) had never had heterogenous sexual intercourse before while 24.6% had their first sexual intercourse at age over 20 years, 21.4% at age between 19 and 20 years and only 4% at age 15 years or less, especially females (5.3%). The mean (±sd) age at menarche of females was 13.0(1.4) years with 77.2% of them have regular menstrual activity. All the females had normal cycle interval of 21-35days.

The study probed further into age at first sexual experience for both male and female respondents relevant to the number of sexual partners they had 6 month prior to the study (Table 3) (Table 4). Among males who had 1 sexual partner 6 months prior to the study, 2 (16.7%) had first heterogenous sexual experience at age 15-18 years compare to 3 (60.0%) females who had their sexual experience between age 15-18 years. Of the 4 females who had 4 or more sexual partners in 6 months prior to the survey, 3 (75%) had first heterogenous sexual experience at age less than 15years and 1 (25.0%) at age between 15 and 18 years.

Sources of information on In-vitro fertilization (IVF) among the respondents was mainly at lectures (62.7%), magazines/journals/books (37.3%) and from Radio and Television (34.9%) indicating that educational system confers knowledge on IVF on students more than other sources of information (Figure 2).

Eight domains were used to assess the respondents’ knowledge and perception of IVF. These domains were

i. How is IVF performed?
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**Citation:** Ajayi A, Ajayi V, Biobaku O, et al. Awareness, knowledge and perception of in-vitro fertilization among final-year medical students in South-West Nigeria. Int J Preg & Chi Birth. 2017;2(1):5–12. DOI: 10.15406/ipcb.2017.02.00007
ii. Is it possible for a woman to have a baby after menopause?

iii. Are IVF babies normal?

iv. What’s your biggest worry about IVF?

v. What option would you choose in case of infertility?

vi. Who is commonly blamed for infertility in the society?

vii. Do you think test-tube babies are or should be socially acceptable?

viii. Should fertility drugs be socially acceptable?

| Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variable** | **Item** | **Male** | **Female** | **All** |
| Age (Years) | | Number (%) | 69 (54.8) | 57 (45.2) | 126 (100.0) |
| | Mean | 27.1 | 25.1 | 26.2 |
| | ±SD | 4.2 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| | Median | 27 | 25 | 26 |
| | Mode | 27 | 26 | 27 |
| | t-test (P-value) | 3.15 (0.001) | - |
| Marital Status | Single | 64 (92.8) | 50 (87.7) | 114 (90.5) |
| | Married | 5 (7.2) | 7 (12.3) | 12 (9.5) |
| | χ² (P-value) | 0.92 (0.34) | - |
| | OR (95% CI) | 1.79 (0.54, 5.98) | - |
| Family Position | 1st (%) | 21 (30.4) | 16 (28.1) | 37 (29.4) |
| | 2nd (%) | 14 (20.3) | 19 (33.3) | 33 (26.2) |
| | 3rd (%) | 18 (26.1) | 5 (8.8) | 23 (18.3) |
| | 4th (%) | 8 (11.6) | 9 (15.8) | 17 (13.5) |
| | 5th (%) | 1 (1.4) | 7 (12.3) | 8 (6.3) |
| | >5th (%) | 7 (10.1) | 1 (1.8) | 8 (6.3) |
| Number of Children in Family | <5 (%) | 37 (53.6) | 42 (73.7) | 79 (62.7) |
| | 5-9 (%) | 27 (39.1) | 15 (26.3) | 42 (33.3) |
| | ≥10 (%) | 5 (7.3) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (4.0) |
| Religion | Christian (%) | 53 (76.8) | 49 (88.0) | 102 (81.0) |
| | Islam (%) | 16 (23.2) | 8 (14.0) | 24 (19.0) |
| Are you already a Parent? | Yes (%) | 3 (4.3) | 1 (1.8) | 4 (3.2) |
| | No (%) | 66 (95.7) | 56 (98.2) | 122 (96.8) |
| Wish to be a Parent | Yes (%) | 63 (91.3) | 52 (91.2) | 115 (91.3) |
| | No (%) | 2 (2.9) | 1 (1.8) | 3 (2.4) |
| | Don’t know (%) | 1 (1.5) | 3 (5.3) | 4 (3.2) |
| | Already a parent (%) | 3 (4.3) | 1 (1.8) | 4 (3.2) |

| Table 2 Sexual history of respondents by gender |
|------------------------------------------------|
| **Sexual Activity** | **Item** | **Male** | **Female** | **χ² (P-value)** | **OR (95% CI)** | **All** |
| | | Freq. | % | Freq. | % | | Freq. | % |
| Sexually active in past 6 Months | Yes | 25 | 36.2 | 19 | 33.3 | 0.12 | 1.14 | 44 | 34.9 |
| | No | 44 | 63.8 | 38 | 66.7 | -0.73 | (0.54, 2.38) | 82 | 65.1 |
| How many Hetero-Sexual Partners in past 6 Months | 0 | 44 | 63.8 | 38 | 66.7 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 79 | 62.7 |
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Table Continued...

| Sexual Activity | Item | Male | Female | $\chi^2$ (P-value) | OR (95% CI) | All |
|-----------------|------|------|--------|-------------------|-------------|-----|
|                 |      | Freq. | %      | Freq. | %      |                  | Freq. | %      |
| 1               |      | 20    | 29     | 15    | 26.3   | 0.11             | 0.74  | 1.14   | (0.52, 2.51) | 35  | 27.8 |
| 2               |      | 4     | 5.8    | 0     | 0      | 1.79             | -0.18 | Undefined | 4   | 3.2  |
| 3               |      | 1     | 1.5    | 0     | 0      | 0.01             | -0.92 | Undefined | 1   | 0.8  |
| ≥4              |      | 0     | 0      | 4     | 7      | 2.98             | -0.08 | Undefined | 4   | 3.2  |
| Age at First Heterosexual Experience |      |       |        |       |        | 0.05             | -0.83 | (0.09, 3.33) | 5   | 4    |
| ≤15             |      | 2     | 2.9    | 3     | 5.3    | 1.99             | -0.16 | 1.86   | (0.30, 1.54) | 17  | 13.5 |
| 16-18           |      | 12    | 17.4   | 5     | 8.8    | 5.17             | -0.02 | 2.19   | (0.72, 6.64) | 27  | 21.4 |
| 19-20           |      | 20    | 29     | 7     | 12.3   | 2.8              | -0.09 | 2.06   | (0.88, 4.83) | 31  | 24.6 |
| >20             |      | 21    | 30.4   | 10    | 17.5   | 17.31            | 0.0   | 0.00003 | (0.09, 0.44) | 46  | 36.5 |
| Have never had sex |      | 14    | 20.3   | 32    | 56.1   | -0.00003         |                  | 5.03   | (2.29, 1.04) |                  |

For females only

| Age at Menarche | Mean (±SD) | 13.0 (1.4) | - |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|---|
| Menstrual Regularity Regular | Freq. (%) | 44 (77.2) | - |
| Irregular | Freq. (%) | 13 (22.8) | - |
| Cycle interval | 21-35 days (normal) | 57 (100.0) | - |

Table 3 Cross-tabulation between age at first heterosexual sex and number of sexual partners 6 months before the study

| Variable | Item | Male | Female | Age at first sexual activity | Number of sexual partners in past 6 months |
|----------|------|------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|          |      | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) |
|          | <15  | 15-18 | 19-20 | <20  | Never had sex | <15  | 15-18 | 19-20 | >20  | Never had sex |
| 0        | 0(0.0) | 8(66.7) | 10(50.0) | 12(57.1) | 14(100.0) | 0(0.0) | 1(20.0) | 2(28.6) | 3(33.3) | 32(100.0) |
| 1        | 0(0.0) | 2(16.7) | 9(45.0) | 6(28.6) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 3(60.0) | 5(71.4) | 7(66.7) | 0(0.0) |
| 2        | 0(0.0) | 1(8.3) | 0(0.0) | 3(14.3) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) |
| 3        | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 1(5.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) |
| ≥4       | 2(100.0) | 1(8.3) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 3(100.0) | 1(20.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) |
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Table 4 Knowledge and perception of In-vitro fertilization among medical students

| Variable                                      | Response                                                                 | All          | Age (years) | Sex          |          |          |          |          |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                               |                                                                          | Freq. (%)    | <20         | 20-24        | 25-29    | ≥30      | Male     | Female   |
|                                               |                                                                          | Freq. (%)    | Freq. (%)   | Freq. (%)    | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) | Freq. (%) |
| How is IVF performed?                        | Growing babies in test tube for 9 months                                 | 8 (6.3)      | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.5)      | 5 (6.3)   | 1 (7.1)  | 4 (5.8)  | 4 (7.0)  |
|                                               | Bringing egg and sperm together outside the body and putting fertilized egg back into the womb | 112 (88.9)   | 2 (100.0)   | 27 (87.1)    | 70 (88.6) | 13 (92.9)| 62 (89.9)| 50 (87.7)|
|                                               | Manufacturing sperm and egg                                              | 1 (0.8)      | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)      | 1 (1.3)   | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.8)  |
|                                               | Selling of babies                                                       | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)   | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  |
|                                               | Don’t know                                                               | 5 (4.0)      | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.4)      | 3 (3.8)   | 0 (0.0)  | 3 (4.3)  | 2 (3.5)  |
| Is it possible for a woman to have a baby after menopause? | Yes                                                                      | 79 (62.7)    | 1 (50.0)    | 17 (54.8)    | 49 (62.0) | 12 (85.7)| 42 (60.9)| 37 (69.9)|
|                                               | No                                                                       | 46 (36.5)    | 1 (50.0)    | 14 (45.2)    | 29 (36.7) | 2 (14.3) | 26 (37.7)| 20 (35.1)|
|                                               | Don’t know                                                               | 1 (0.8)      | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)      | 1 (1.3)   | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.4)  | 0 (0.0)  |
| Are IVF babies normal?                       | No                                                                       | 4 (3.2)      | 0 (0.0)     | 1 (3.2)      | 3 (3.8)   | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.4)  | 3 (5.3)  |
|                                               | Yes                                                                      | 106 (84.1)   | 2 (100.0)   | 25 (80.6)    | 66 (83.5) | 13 (92.9) | 59 (85.5)| 47 (82.5)|
|                                               | Not sure                                                                 | 12 (9.5)     | 0 (0.0)     | 3 (9.7)      | 8 (10.1)  | 1 (7.1)  | 6 (8.7)  | 6 (10.5) |
|                                               | Don’t know                                                               | 4 (3.2)      | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.4)      | 2 (2.5)   | 0 (0.0)  | 3 (4.3)  | 1 (1.8)  |
|                                               | Don’t believe it works                                                   | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)      | 0 (0.0)   | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  |
|                                               | Not sure babies are normal                                               | 3 (2.4)      | 0 (0.0)     | 3 (9.7)      | 0 (0.0)   | 0 (0.0)  | 2 (2.9)  | 1 (1.8)  |
| Biggest worry with IVF                       | Too expensive                                                            | 91 (72.2)    | 2 (100.0)   | 19 (61.3)    | 57 (72.2) | 13 (92.9)| 51 (73.9)| 40 (70.2)|
|                                               | It doesn’t work all the time                                             | 21 (16.7)    | 0 (0.0)     | 6 (19.4)     | 14 (17.7) | 1 (7.1)  | 9 (13.0)| 12 (21.1)|
|                                               | Religious perspective about IVF                                          | 7 (5.6)      | 0 (0.0)     | 1 (3.2)      | 6 (7.6)   | 0 (0.0)  | 6 (8.7)  | 1 (1.8)  |
|                                               | Others                                                                   | 4 (3.2)      | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.4)      | 2 (2.5)   | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.4)  | 3 (5.3)  |
|                                               | IVF                                                                      | 89 (70.1)    | 1 (50.0)    | 21 (67.7)    | 61 (77.2) | 6 (42.9) | 51 (73.9)| 38 (66.7)|
|                                               | Adoption                                                                 | 26 (20.6)    | 1 (50.0)    | 8 (25.8)     | 11 (13.9) | 6 (42.9) | 13 (18.8)| 13 (22.8)|
|                                               | Abstain from children                                                    | 2 (1.6)      | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.4)      | 0 (0.0)   | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.4)  | 1 (1.8)  |
| Option in case of infertility                | Not sure                                                                 | 11 (8.7)     | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.4)      | 7 (8.9)   | 2 (14.3) | 5 (7.2)  | 6 (10.5) |
|                                               | Husband                                                                  | 2 (1.6)      | 0 (0.0)     | 2 (6.4)      | 0 (0.0)   | 0 (0.0)  | 1 (1.4)  | 1 (1.8)  |
|                                               | Wife                                                                     | 103 (81.7)   | 2 (100.0)   | 23 (74.2)    | 66 (83.5) | 12 (85.7)| 54 (78.3)| 49 (86.0)|
|                                               | Both                                                                     | 11 (8.7)     | 0 (0.0)     | 3 (9.7)      | 8 (10.1)  | 0 (0.0)  | 7 (10.1)| 4 (7.0)  |
|                                               | Neither                                                                  | 10 (7.9)     | 0 (0.0)     | 3 (9.7)      | 5 (6.3)   | 2 (14.3) | 7 (10.1)| 3 (5.3)  |
|                                               | Yes                                                                      | 93 (73.8)    | 2 (100.0)   | 23 (74.2)    | 59 (74.7) | 9 (64.3) | 49 (71.0)| 44 (77.2)|
|                                               | No                                                                       | 33 (26.2)    | 0 (0.0)     | 8 (25.8)     | 20 (25.2) | 5 (35.7) | 20 (29.0)| 13 (22.8)|
| Test-tube babies socially acceptable?        | Yes                                                                      | 112 (88.9)   | 2 (100.0)   | 28 (90.3)    | 70 (88.6) | 12 (85.7)| 63 (91.3)| 49 (86.0)|
|                                               | No                                                                       | 14 (11.1)    | 0 (0.0)     | 3 (9.7)      | 9 (11.4)  | 2 (14.3) | 6 (8.7) | 8 (14.0) |
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Is it possible for a woman to have a baby after menopause?

As expected, the response to this question varied as 79 (62.7%), among whom were 37 (69.9%) females agreed that a woman can have a baby after menopause. Of the 46 (36.5%) who disagreed that a woman can have a baby after menopause, 26 (37.7%) were males and 20 (35.1%) were females.

Are IVF babies normal?

Most respondents (106, 84.1%) thought that IVF babies are normal though 9.5% were unsure whether they are normal or not.

Biggest worry with IVF

The respondent’s biggest worry about IVF was that it is too expensive (91, 72.2%) and that it does not work all the time. However, 5.6% of the medical students alluded to the religious perspective of IVF while only 3.2% are unsure whether IVF babies are normal.

Option in case of infertility?

It wasn’t that strange that about 70% of the respondents chose IVF as an option in case of being discovered to be unable to naturally have a child.

Who is commonly blamed for infertility in the society?

Most (103, 81.7%) respondents were of the view that the woman is often blamed for infertility in the society. Almost equal proportions of the medical students thought that both husband and wife (11, 8.7%) on one hand and neither (10, 7.9%) on the other hand, were commonly blamed for infertility.

Test-tube babies socially acceptable?

Again, majority of the respondents agreed that test-tube babies are socially acceptable, especially females (44, 77.2%) more than male (49, 71.0%) among males (49, 71.0%) and those who were <20 years old (2, 100.0%).

Fertility drugs socially acceptable?

Finally, 112 (88.9%) of the respondents agreed that fertility drugs are or should be socially acceptable, especially among males (63, 91.3%) and those who were <20 years old (2, 100.0%).

Discussion

The study was designed to explore the level of knowledge and awareness of IVF among final year medical students attending a College of Medicine in Nigeria. The issue of infertility has not been decisively addressed by any tier of the government or by any public organization in the country. However, annual endometriosis campaign, sponsorship of some television programs on infertility and holding talks and lectures on infertility in tertiary institutions have been undertaken by NFC for the past few years. Infertility probably affects one in every four couples or one in every 15-20 Nigerians, though some of these people eventually become parents of healthy babies. Therefore, infertility may be a transient phenomenon whereby a couple (male and female) may temporarily be unable to have a child but during this time, they may require experts’ assistance in having their own child through procedures such as IVF.

Male respondents were more in proportion and were older than female respondents probably because fewer females opt for medical
career. The study also observed that final year female medical students were about twice as likely to be married as their male counterparts. Setting up a family is central to a woman’s life as early as the opportunity arises. This may be because of the awareness that fecundity wanes as a woman ages. However, only 1 (14.3%) of the 7 married females, in contrast to 3 (60.0%) of the 5 married males, was a parent.

One major finding in this study was that about a third (34.9%) of the respondents was sexually active in the 6 months prior to the study. This figure is far less than the 75% obtained from a study among white female final year (senior) students in Michigan, USA. This low prevalence of sexual activity among the respondents may be due to the rigors of the medical course, especially in the final year of the students. Most of the respondents who were sexually active 6 months prior to the survey had only one heterosexual partner, though all who had 2–3 heterosexual partners were males and those who had ≥4 were females. A survey of adults aged 20–59 indicated that women have an average of 4 sex partners during their lifetime and men have an average of 7, a record supported by the other study. Reporting multiple sexual partnership is thus more common among males than among females. The phenomenon of multiple sexual partners has been linked to high incidence of HIV, other sexually transmitted diseases, cervicitis and cervical cancer in females and prostate cancer in males.

Another finding considered major in this study was that multiple heterosexual partners occurred among all respondents who had their first heterosexual intercourse at age of less than 15 years, regardless of whether they were males or females. This finding is at variance with what a study reported that males (44.6%) were expressively more likely than females (35.1%) to engage in early sexual entrance. Early sexual debut is considered a risk factor for HIV infection, STIs and unwanted pregnancy.

Expectedly, lectures were the main sources of information on IVF among the respondents more than the internet. Sourcing for information on the internet may be limited by inability to own a laptop computer or an internet compliant or internet-ready phone, time-consuming and attached to cost that student may ill afford, especially if interest is not directed towards infertility or its management.

Information on IVF passed to the students during lectures and from other sources is probably appropriate since majority of them (112, 88.9%) had a good understanding of how IVF is performed. Medical experts and specialists in fertility, embryology and gynecology impact step-wise education in the process of solving fertility problems through one form of Assisted Reproductive Technique (ART) or another, starting with ovarian stimulation, using fertility medication to increase the quantity of ovarian follicles and control ovulation time. This is probably why a large proportion of respondents (112, 88.9%) agreed that fertility drugs are socially acceptable and why another 89 (70.1%) opted for IVF in case of infertility.

As noticed in this paper, most respondents claimed that women are more commonly blamed for infertility in the society. This finding agrees with the statement “the infertile woman often blames herself or is blamed by others.” In certain parts of Africa, the common belief is that woman is mainly responsible for infertility, especially after years of marriage, and as such she should be treated as a pariah or an outcast. However, the rationale for blaming women may not be justified as infertility is soaring among men.

**Conclusion**

The study shows an overall good level of knowledge, awareness as well as perception of IVF among medical students. Medical lectures have been a good source of information and IVF as a form of infertility management should be included in the curriculum of medical students. Medical students who should be agents of socio-medical information and change in these parts must be aware of the paradigm shift that women are not more to blame for infertility than their male counterparts.

**Study limitations**

This study has some limitations. First it was a convenient sampling of all medical students from a particular geopolitical area of the country and does not necessarily represent the views, opinions and awareness level of medical students from other parts of Nigeria. Also, a control group of non-medical students could probably have strengthened the study. Other groups of students such as those to soon become embryologists, pharmacists, laboratory scientists and IVF Nurses were excluded.
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