A dinosaur ilium from the Late Triassic of Brazil with comments on key-character supporting Saturnaliinae
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Abstract: Discoveries in Carnian-aged rocks are establishing a rich and diverse dinosaurian fauna at the so-called ‘dawn of the age of dinosaurs’ in the Late Triassic of Western Gondwana. Accordingly, Brazilian strata from the Candelária Sequence have contributed extensively to this trend. Here, we present a new dinosaurian specimen (CAPPA/UFSM 0200) from this geological unit. The material was collected at a fossiliferous site that had no previous record of dinosaurs. Our specimen comprises a single ilium, which we describe in detail. Its anatomy is consistent with Carnian sauropodomorph dinosaurs, but differs from coeval specimens by several features, although we do not discard the possibility of these features being the result of intraspecific variation. In part of our phylogenetic investigation, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 was recovered within Saturnaliinae, a group comprised of Carnian sauropodomorphs from South America. However, based on examination of better-sampled coeval taxa, a character (a strong rugosity in the ilium) supporting this less inclusive position might be related to intraspecific variation and so, should be carefully considered. This study increases the distribution of dinosaur remains in fossiliferous units from southern Brazil and adds to the discussion regarding intraspecific variation and its implications in the phylogeny of early dinosaurs.
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INTRODUCTION

Upper Triassic units from southern Brazil include the oldest dinosaur-bearing sites worldwide, dating back to the Carnian Stage 237–227 Ma (Langer et al. 2018). Over the last few years, outcrops from the Candelária Sequence (sensu Horn et al. 2014), have provided several exceptional dinosauro MORPH specimens (e.g. Cabreira et al. 2011, 2016, Müller et al. 2018a, Pretto et al. 2018) from the Hyperodapedon Assemblage Zone (AZ) (Langer et al. 2007). Consequently, it is of paleontological
interest to further recognize and identify dinosaurian specimens from the localities of this unit, because they hold fundamental data regarding the early evolution and radiation of dinosaurs. So far, the dinosaurian fauna from the Hyperodapedon AZ of the Candelária Sequence is mostly represented by herrerasaurids (Colbert 1970) and early-diverging sauropodomorphs (Langer et al. 1999, Cabreira et al. 2011, 2016, Müller et al. 2018a, Pretto et al. 2018), in which pelvic girdle/hindlimb remains are frequently sampled (e.g. Pretto et al. 2015, Müller et al. 2014, 2015, 2017a). Pelvic elements provide important diagnostic information for these clades (Novas 1996, Sereno 1999), and the identification and comparative description of these bones is fundamental for a comprehensive knowledge on early dinosaurian evolution and diversification.

Comprised of pairs of ilia, pubes and ischia, the unique pelvic morphology of dinosaurs and other dinosauromorphs allowed bipedal posture and cursorial locomotion, resulting in a highly specialized anatomical structure when compared to that of other archosaurs (e.g. Nesbitt 2011, Ezcurra 2016). The ilium is well-sampled in most early dinosaurs and dinosaurian relatives (e.g. Dzik 2003, Langer 2003, Cabreira et al. 2016), which makes it an important source of morphological data in these clades (Langer et al. 2010), even providing key characters that support some groups (Ezcurra 2010, Martinez et al. 2013). Particularly, the observation of a set of traits (especially rugosities related to muscle attachments) present in early dinosaurs and their close relatives raises a series of questions: are these rugosities dependent on intraspecific variation? If so, could they represent an ontogenetic feature? Are these traits reliable as phylogenetic characters (i.e. synapomorphic features), as previously suggested? Do they carry phylogenetic signal at all? Are they present in the same extent in other dinosauriforms? In an effort to assess some of these questions we describe here a partial left ilium that comprises the first dinosaurian specimen from the Piche site (Late Triassic of southern Brazil), in the municipality of São João do Polêsine, State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Additionally, we provide a comparative assessment of the iliac morphology among early dinosaurs and their kin.

Institutional abbreviations — BMNH, British Museum Natural History, London, UK; CAPPA/UFSM, Centro de Apoio à Pesquisa Paleontológica da Quarta Colônia, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, São João do Polêsine, Brazil; LPRP/USP, Laboratório de Paleontologia de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil; MCP, Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia, Porto Alegre, Brazil; MCZ, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, USA; NHCC, National Heritage Conservation Commission of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia; NMMNH, New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Albuquerque, USA; NMQR, National Museum, Bloemfontein; NMT, National Museum of Tanzania, Dares Salaam, Tanzania; PVL, Paleontología de Vertebrados, Instituto ‘Miguel Lillo’, San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina; PVSJ, Instituto y Museo de Ciencias Naturales, San Juan, Argentina; SMNS, Staatliches Museum of Naturkunde, Stuttgart, Germany; UCMP, University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley, USA; UFRGS, Universidade Federal de Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil; UFSM, Laboratório de Estratigrafia e Paleobiologia, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Brazil; ULBRA, Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Coleção de Paleovertebrados, Canoas, Brazil; UMMP, University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology, Ann Arbor, USA; ZPAL, Institute of Paleobiology of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY
Dinosauromorpha Benton, 1985
Dinosauriformes Novas, 1992
Dinosauria Owen, 1842  
Saurischia Seeley, 1888  
cf. Sauropodomorpha von Huene, 1932 sensu Sereno 2007

MATERIAL

CAPPA/UFSM 0200, a partial left ilium, roughly 75 mm in craniocaudal length, in which the iliac blade and the pubic peduncle are both incomplete.

LOCALITY AND HORIZON

CAPPA/UFSM 0200 was collected in the basalmost level of the Piche outcrop (29°39’13.84”S; 53°27’38.36”W), in São João do Polêsine, Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil (Fig. 1). This outcrop is referred to the Candelária Sequence from the Santa Maria Supersquence (Horn et al. 2014), Upper Santa Maria Formation (Andreis et al. 1980). Besides CAPPA/UFSM 0200, this site has also yielded conchostracans (Jenisch et al. 2017), hybodontiformes (Perez and Malabarba 2002), actinopterygians (Perez and Malabarba, 2002), aetosaurs and hyperodapedontyn rhynchosaurs (Langer et al. 2007). The fossil record of this site is compatible with those from the Hyperodapedon Assemblage Zone, in which the upper level of its type-locality was radioisotopically dated as 233.23 ± 0.73 Ma (Langer et al. 2018). Therefore, the fauna of the Piche outcrop is considered Carnian in age.

DESCRIPTION

Most specimens from Argentina and Brazil were examined first-hand and listed in Table I. The overall morphology of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 resembles that of basalmost saurischian dinosaurs (e.g. Langer 2003, Sereno et al. 2013, Cabreira et al. 2016, Müller et al. 2018a), with the exception of herrerasaurids (Colbert 1970, Novas 1994). The acetabulum of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is not fully perforated and the specimen presents a well-developed postacetabular ala (Fig. 2), as in several other basal saurischians (Langer 2003, Ezcurra 2010, Cabreira et al. 2016), differing from herrerasaurids (Colbert 1970, Novas 1994). The iliac blade is dorsoventrally tall, as in most dinosaurs, and the preserved dorsal margin bears rugosities (possibly related to muscle attachment) which are further described below. A deep concavity is situated on the lateral surface of the cranial portion of the iliac blade, which possibly corresponds to the attachment area of m. iliofemoralis (see Hutchinson 2001, Langer 2003). According to these authors, this morphology represents a plesiomorphic trait among dinosaurs, as it is also observed in Marasuchus lilloensis, Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis (Fig. 3k), Caseosaurus crosbyensis, and Thecodontosaurus antiquus. However, in CAPPA/UFSM 0200 this concavity is substantially deeper than that observed in coeval taxa (e.g. Buriolestes schlutzi, Pampadromaeus barbarenai, Saturnalia tupiniquim). In lateral view, the dorsal margin of the preserved portion of the iliac blade is almost straight, as in Buriolestes schlutzi (ULBRA-PVT280) and Chromogisaurus novasi, slightly contrasting with the mostly convex shape present in Saturnalia tupiniquim (Fig. 2, 3).

CAPPA/UFSM 0200 possesses a postacetabular ala that is more prominent than its preacetabular counterpart, a condition shared with several other coeval saurischian dinosaurs, such as Buriolestes schlutzi, Saturnalia tupiniquim, Staurikosaurus pricei, and non-dinosaurian dinosauromorphs such as Marasuchus lilloensis, silesaurids, and herrerasaurids (Fig. 2, 3). Both alae possess conspicuous and strong developed rugosities (see below). Although the pubic peduncle of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is fragmented, the cranial margin of its preacetabular ala probably did not reach the cranialmost extent of the pubic peduncle, a feature that also occurs in sauropodomorphs as Buriolestes schlutzi and Efraasia minor, and the herrerasaurids Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis and Staurikosaurus pricei (Fig. 2, 3).
TABLE I

Specimens and references used in the comparisons. Specimens that were not seen first-hand by any of the authors are marked with an asterisk (*).

| Taxon                          | Specimen                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| *Asilisaurus kongwe*          | NMT RB176* - Peecook et al. 2013 (Nesbitt et al. 2010)                   |
| *Bagualosaurus agudoensis*    | UFRGS-PV-1099-T - Pretto et al. 2018                                     |
| *Buriolestes schultzi*        | ULBRA-PVT056 (a putative juvenile individual)                             |
| *Caseosaurus crosbyensis*     | NMMNH P-35995* - Hunt et al. 1998, Baron and Williams 2018               |
| *Chromogisaurus novasi*       | UMMP 8870* - Hunt et al. 1998, Baron and Williams 2018                   |
| *Efraasia minor*              | PVSJ 845 - Ezcurra 2010 (Martínez et al. 2012)                            |
| *Eoraptor lunensis*           | SMNS 12354* - Yates 2003b (Bittencourt et al. 2012)                       |
| *Guaibasaurus candelariensis* | PVSJ 512 - Sereno et al. 2013                                            |
| *Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis* | MCN PV2355 - Bonaparte Ferigolo and Ribeiro 1999                      |
| *Ignotosaurus fragilis*       | PVSJ 883* - Martínez et al. 2013                                         |
| *Ixalerpeton polesinensis*    | ULBRA-PVT059 - Cabreira et al. 2016                                     |
| *Lessemsaurus sauropoides*    | PVL 4822* - Pol and Powell 2007                                          |
| *Lutungutali sitwensis*       | NHCC LB32* - Peecook et al. 2013                                         |
| *Marasuchus lilloensis*       | PVL 3870 - Sereno and Arcucci 1994                                       |
| *Melanorosaurus*              | NMQR 1551* - McPhee and Choiniere 2016                                   |
| *Nhandumirim waldsangae*      | LPRP/USP 0651 - Marsola et al. 2019                                      |
| *Pampadromaeus barbarenai*    | ULBRA-PVT016 - Cabreira et al. 2011                                      |
| *Panphagia protos*            | PVSJ 874 - Martínez and Alcober 2009                                     |
| *Pantydraco caducus*          | BMNH P77/1* - Yates 2003a                                                |
| *Plateosaurus*                | SMNS 12250* - Moser 2003                                                  |
| *Ptychosaurus*                | PVL 3808* - Langer Bittencourt and Schultz 2011                           |
| *Riojasaurus incertus*        | PVL 3809* - Novas 1996                                                    |
| *Saturnalia tupiniquim*       | PVL 3815* - Novas 1996                                                    |
| *Silesaurus opolensis*        | MCP 3944-PV - Langer 2003                                                 |
| *Staurikosaurus pricei*       | MCP 3945-PV (Langer 2003, Marsola et al. 2019)                            |
| *Staurikosaurus pricei*       | MCP 3946-PV (Bittencourt et al. 2012)                                    |
| *Thecodontosaurus antiquus*   | ZPAL AbIII404/1* - Peecook et al. 2013                                   |
| *Thecodontosaurus antiquus*   | ZPAL AbIII 907/6* - Langer Bittencourt and Schultz 2011 (Dzik 2003)      |
| *Thecodontosaurus antiquus*   | MCZ-1669* - Bittencourt and Kellner 2009 (Colbert 1970)                   |
| *Thecodontosaurus antiquus*   | BMNH R1539* - Benton et al. 2000                                         |
In dorsal view, the cranial portion of the preacetabular ala slightly turns laterally in CAPPA/UFSM 0200, as in specimens of *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (MCP 3945-PV and MCP 3946-PV) and differing from *Buriolestes schultzi* and *Pampadromaeus barberenai* (Fig. 3). The angle of the dorsal portion of the cranial tip in the preacetabular ala differs from that of *Buriolestes schultzi*, because in CAPPA/UFSM 0200 the preacetabular ala is gently sloped relative to the horizontal plane, whereas in *Buriolestes schultzi* it is more vertically oriented. Nonetheless, the cranial tip of the preacetabular ala is rounded as also observed in most Carnian saurischians, for instance: *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, *Pampadromaeus barberenai* and
Nhandumirim waldsangae. This morphology contrasts with the pointed and/or elongated preacetabular ala observed in many post-Carnian sauropodomorphs such as *Efraasia minor*, *Lessemsaurus sauropoides*, *Melanorosaurus*, *Pantydraco caducus*, and *Plateosaurus*. Although other saurischians like *Bagualosaurus agudoensis* and *Guaibasaurus candelariensis* (UFRGS PV 0725T), and silesaurids as *Asilisaurus kongwe* and *Silesaurus opolensis*, present a similar condition that may be result of a taphonomic artifact (Pretto et al. 2018). However, the ilium of *Lutungutali sitwensis* and some of the specimens of *Silesaurus opolensis* both give clues of the true aspect of this structure in silesaurids, because they possess more complete ilia (Peecook et al. 2013, Piechowski et al. 2014). Additionally, in CAPPA/UFSM 0200 the preacetabular ala is shorter than in coeval sauropodomorphs (e.g. *Pampadromaeus barberenai*, *Saturnalia tupiniquim*). Laterodorsally, on the preacetabular ala, there is a strong developed rugosity that forms a raised surface which extends to the dorsal margin of the iliac blade (Fig. 3), roughly similar to *Chromogisaurus novasi* and *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (MCP 3945-PV, MCP 3946-PV), and, to a lesser extent, to *Asilisaurus kongwe*, *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Caseosaurus crobyensis*, *Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis*, *Ignotosaurus fragilis*, *Lutungutali sitwensis*, and *Silesaurus opolensis* (see Discussion).
Figure 3 - Selection of dinosauriform ilia and highlight of the muscle scars on the pre and postacetabular alae and on the iliac blade (rugosities and striations). a, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 in lateral view; b, left ilium of Buriolestes schultzi (ULBRA-PVT280) in lateral view; c, left ilium of Buriolestes schultzi (ULBRA-PVT280) in lateral view; d, left ilium of Pampadromaeus barberenai (ULBRAPVT016) in lateral view; e, right ilium of Chromogisaurus novasi (PVSJ 845) in lateral view (reversed), modified from Martinez et al. 2013; f, right ilium of Saturnalia tupiniquim (MCP 3945-PV) in lateral view (reversed), modified from Bittencourt et al. 2012; g, left ilium of Saturnalia tupiniquim (MCP 3945-PV) in lateral view; h, right ilium of Plateosaurus (SMNS 12250) in lateral view (reversed), modified from Bittencourt et al. 2012; i, left ilium of Silesaurus opolensis (ZPAL AbII404/1) in lateral view, modified from Peecook et al. 2013; j, left ilium of Lutungutali sitwensis (NHCC LB32); k, right ilium of Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis (PVL 2566) in lateral view (reversed), modified from Bittencourt et al. 2012. Specimens not to scale. Muscle scars marked in green are striations and in red are rugosities.
The medial surface of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 bears a series of scars and marks for the articulation of the two primordial sacral vertebrae. Additionally, the long postacetabular ala suggests the presence of a caudosacral vertebra, a condition that matches basal saurischian dinosaurs (Novas 1996, Langer and Benton 2006). In addition, and as in *Chromogisaurus novasi*, the scar for the articulation of the first primordial sacral rib/transverse process molds an “inverted C” shape on the medial surface of the left ilium on its midcranial portion (Fig. 2b, 2d). Likewise, the scar of the second primordial sacral rib is located at the middle portion of the ilium (Fig. 2b, 2d). The caudalmost area of the postacetabular ala bears scars and a “gap” suggesting the presence of a third sacral vertebra (i.e. caudosacral) (Fig. 2b, 2d), similar to the condition encountered in most coeval sauropodomorphs and *Thecodontosaurus antiquus*. Conversely, *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (MCP-3845 PV) presents a dorsal vertebra incorporated to the sacral series (i.e. dorsosacral) (see Marsola et al. 2019), similar to *Riojasaurus incertus*.

The postacetabular ala of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is slightly more robust than that of coeval basal saurischian dinosaurs (e.g. *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Panphagia protos*) excepting herrerasaurids, resembling the condition present in *Chromogisaurus novasi*, *Guaiabasaurus candelariensis*, and *Nhandumirim waldsangae*. As in most basal forms, such as *Bagualosaurus agudoensis*, *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Guaiabasaurus candelariensis*, *Panphagia protos*, *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, and also in non-dinosaurian dinosauriforms (Peecook et al. 2013), the postacetabular ala of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is caudally elongated, possesses a well-developed brevis shelf which laterodorsally delimits an also well-developed brevis fossa, both acting as attachment areas for the *m. caudofemoralis brevis* (Gatesy 1990, Hutchinson 2001) (Fig. 2a, 2c). In CAPPA/UFSM 0200 the brevis fossa is more ventrally located than in *Bagualosaurus agudoensis*, *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Chromogisaurus novasi*, and *Silesaurus opolensis*. As in *Buriolestes schultzi* and *Pampadromaeus barberenai*, the shelf itself does not merge cranially with the supraacetabular crest. Caudal to the supraacetabular crest, and dorsal to the ischiadic peduncle, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 bears a small foramen (Fig. 2a, 2c), which we tentatively relate to the innervation of the *m. caudofemoralis brevis*. This foramen is similar to that present in *Buriolestes schultzi* (ULBRA-PVT280) (Fig. 2a, 2c), *Efraasia minor*, *Nhandumirim waldsangae* (which presents two foramina instead of one), and *Pampadromaeus barberenai*. At the caudalmost portion of the postacetabular ala, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 presents a strong developed rugosity on the lateral side of the ilium (Fig. 2, 3a) that forms a raised surface or protuberance, which is more prominent than that of *Asilisaurus kongwe*, *Caseosaurus croysbensis*, *Eoraptor lunensis*, *Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis*, *Ignotosaurus fragilis*, *Lutungutali sitwensis*, *Silesaurus opolensis*, and even *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Chromogisaurus novasi*, and *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (see Discussion) (Fig. 3). The cranial margin of this rough rugosity connects to the extended rugosity area on the dorsal margin of the iliac blade and possibly relates to the attachment for either *m. flexor tibialis externus* or *m. iliofibularis* (Langer 2003, Ezcurra 2010). The medial blade of the postacetabular ala, which extends caudoventrally, is ventromedially developed, forming roughly a right angle with the brevis shelf.

The supraacetabular crest of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is fragmented on its cranialmost portion, where the pubic peduncle would be located (Fig. 2a, 2c). As in *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Chromogisaurus novasi*, and *Panphagia protos* the supraacetabular crest extends lateroventrally, forming the acetabular roof, and its lateralmost extension is located slightly caudal to the ischiadic peduncle. In CAPPA/UFSM 0200, the supraacetabular crest extends ventrolaterally, forming an angle of...
65° relative to the acetabular wall and partially covering the acetabulum. This character state is present in neotheropods, such as Coelophysis, Dilophosaurus, Liliensternus, Ceratosaurus, and Eoabelisaurus (Langer et al. 2017). However, in CAPPA/UFSM 0200 it is difficult to determine if this is either a taphonomic artifact (Müller et al. 2018b) or a unique trait among coeval taxa, because the bone surface is crushed right above the supraacetabular crest. The acetabulum is roughly as lateromedially deep as craniocaudally long, differing from Nhandumirim waldsangae, and the acetabular wall is lateromedially compressed on its cranial portion. Additionally, its preserved ventral margin is mostly straight, but with a faint concavity, approaching the condition observed in Chromogisaurus novasi and Pampadromaeus barberenai, and contrasting with Bagualosaurus agudoensis, Buriolestes schultzi, and Saturnalia tupiniquim, as these possess an almost straight ventral margin, although these differences may be due to taphonomic and preservational processes (Müller et al. 2018b). As in most basal saurischian dinosaurs, the ischiadic peduncle extends ventrocaudally, and its articular surface is ovoid in cross section, the convex cranioventral surface, which corresponds to the antitrochanter (Langer et al. 2011), merges cranially with the acetabulum wall. In addition, the antitrochanter of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 does not present a raised process (Fig. 2), as in Guaibasaurus candelariensis and Ixalerpeton polesinensis. In the homologous area of the antitrochanter of CAPPA/UFSM 0200, however it is indeed possible to observe a slight variation on the texture of the bone surface as in Guaibasaurus candelariensis (Langer et al. 2011).

**PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS**

CAPPA/UFSM 0200 was scored in three different morphological datasets in order to test its phylogenetic affinities: Cabreira et al. (2016), Martinez et al. (2013), and Langer et al. (2017). These datasets reflect distinct evolutionary hypotheses, although they are based upon almost the same sample of taxa coeval with CAPPA/UFSM 0200. Accordingly, besides CAPPA/UFSM 0200, we also included Buriolestes schultzi (based on the holotype: ULBRA-PVT280) in the dataset by Martinez et al. (2013) and Chromogisaurus novasi (PVSJ 845) in the dataset by Langer et al. (2017). Regardless of the fragmentary condition of Chromogisaurus novasi, we included it in the dataset primarily due to its taxonomic significance within Saturnaliinae (Ezcurra, 2010). We excluded Agnosphitys cromhallensis from the analyses by Martinez et al. (2013) and Langer et al. (2017) because of its fragmentary condition. Besides, we also added character 362 of the dataset adopted by Martinez et al. (2013) into the dataset of Cabreira et al. (2016) (as character 257) and performed both analyses with and without this new character. This character focuses on the presence or absence of strong rugosities on the posterior portion of the postacetabular process of the ilium, which are related to the attachment of mm. flexor tibialis and iliotibialis. The datasets were processed with the software TNT v1.5 (Goloboff et al. 2008). Additive (i.e. ordered) characters were treated as in the original datasets, and all (ordered and unordered) received the same weight. Euparkeria capensis was used to root the most parsimonious trees (MPTs) and they all were recovered via “traditional search” [random addition sequence + tree bisection reconnection (TBR)], with 5000 replicates of Wagner trees (random seed = 0), TBR and branch swapping, saving 20 trees per replicate. See Appendix for the codification of CAPA/UFSM 0200 in the used datasets and codification for the added character.
RESULTS

In the first analysis using the dataset of Cabreira et al. (2016) (Fig. 4a), we recovered 432 MPts of 846 steps each \( \text{CI (consistency index)} = 0.348, \text{RI (retention index)} = 0.637, \text{RC (rescaled consistency index)} = 0.221 \), and the strict consensus tree places CAPPA/UFSM 0200 in a polytomy within saurischian dinosaurs, as neither a sauropodomorph nor a theropod. The second analysis employing this dataset (Fig. 4b), which included character 257, recovered 54 MPts of 848 steps \( \text{CI} = 0.348, \text{RI} = 0.638, \text{RC} = 0.222 \), and CAPPA/UFSM 0200 was nested as a saturnaliin sauropodomorph in all trees. The strict consensus tree recovered CAPPA/UFSM 0200 in a sister group relationship with Saturnalia tupiniquim, with Chromogisaurus novasi recovered as the sister taxon to this clade. As defined by Ezcurra (2010), Saturnaliinae includes Chromogisaurus novasi, Saturnalia tupiniquim, their last common ancestor and all its descendants. The only character supporting the clade CAPPA/UFSM 0200 + Saturnalia tupiniquim is the iliac blade depth relative to the acetabulum being twice deeper or more [249 (1)]. Among the synapomorphies that support Saturnaliinae in this analysis, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 only presents a strong trapezoidal rugosity that extends over the caudal portion of the postacetabular process [257 (1)]. It is important to point out that this last character is the one included in the second analysis using this dataset.

The analysis with the dataset of Martínez et al. (2013) (Fig. 4c) recovered 630 MPTs of 1230 steps each \( \text{CI} = 0.367, \text{RI} = 0.691, \text{RC} = 0.253 \), with CAPPA/UFSM 0200 nested in a polytomy including early-diverging sauropodomorphs from the Carnian of South America (e.g. Eoraptor lunensis and Saturnalia tupiniquim) and a clade with post-Carnian sauropodomorphs (e.g. Plateosaurus and Thecodontosaurus antiquus). In the strict consensus tree, only one of the 18 character states supporting this arrangement is scored for CAPPA/UFSM 0200: strongly laterally curved iliac blade in dorsal view, with a deep concave lateral border [372 (1)].

Finally, in the analysis employing the dataset of Langer et al. (2017) (Fig. 4d), we recovered 443520 MPts of 1927 steps each \( \text{CI} = 0.273, \text{RI} = 0.619, \text{RC} = 0.168 \), CAPPA/UFSM 0200 nests within Saturnaliinae in all trees in an unresolved arrangement, which also includes Buriolestes schultzi, Chromogisaurus novasi, Pampadromaeus barbarenai, Saturnalia tupiniquim, and Panphagia protos. Curiously, Eoraptor lunensis was recovered outside this clade. Among the 10 synapomorphies supporting Saturnaliinae, only two are scored for CAPPA/UFSM 0200: straight ventral margin of the acetabular wall of the ilium [306 (1)], and presence of rugosity areas on the dorsal and lateral surfaces of the preacetabular and the postacetabular processes of the ilium [318 (1)].

DISCUSSION

The discovery of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 increases the record of Carnian dinosauromorphs in southern Brazil, comprising the first dinosaur collected at Piche outcrop, quantitatively improving the distribution of dinosaurian remains within the Hyperodapedon Assemblage Zone of the Candelária Sequence. Outcrops of this fossiliferous unit and their correlates in the Ischigualasto Formation (northwestern Argentina) include a dinosauromorph fauna that, so far, comprises lagerpetids (Sereno and Arcucci 1994, Martínez et al. 2013, Cabreira et al. 2016, Garcia et al. 2019), silesaurids (Martínez et al. 2013, see also Agnolin and Rozadilla 2017, Baron 2017), putative ornithischians (Casamiquela 1967, but see Agnolin and Rozadilla 2017, Baron 2017), putative theropods (Martínez et al. 2011, Marsola et al. 2019), and other saurischians, especially herrerasaurids (Reig 1963, Colbert 1970, Alcober and Martínez 2010) and sauropodomorphs...
Beyond that, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 possesses the usual traits of Carnian saurischian dinosaurs (e.g. postacetabular ala more developed than the preacetabular ala; elongated postacetabular ala; partially perforated acetabulum) and it is mostly similar to early-diverging sauropodomorphs as *Buriolestes schultzi*, *Chromogisaurus novasi*, and *Saturnalia tupiniquim*. In fact, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is consistently recovered as a saurischian dinosaur in the phylogenetic analyses herein presented and as a saturnaliin sauropodomorph in some of the analyses (Fig. 4). Although CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is morphologically different from *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, they share the same character states for the ilium in all datasets. Nonetheless, it is relevant to mention that CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is not complete and lacks 40% of the character states for the ilium. Moreover, the morphology of CAPPA/UFSM 0200 also differs from other coeval taxa mainly by the following combination of traits: concavity on the iliac blade, which is lateromedially deeper than in coeval sauropodomorphs; preacetabular ala that is shorter than in coeval sauropodomorphs; presence of strong developed rugosities on the pre- and postacetabular alae. Individually, similar traits occur in several saurischian dinosaurs (especially in early sauropodomorphs), but in CAPPA/UFSM 0200 their combination is unique. For instance, while *Buriolestes schultzi* also

*Eoraptor lunensis* Sereno et al. 1993, *Saturnalia tupiniquim* Langer et al. 1999, *Panphagia protos* Martinez and Alcober 2009, *Chromogisaurus novasi* Ezcurra 2010, *Pampadromaeus barberenai* Cabreira et al. 2011, *Buriolestes schultzi* Cabreira et al. 2016, Müller et al. 2018a, *Bagualosaurus agudoensis* Pretto et al. 2018.

Figure 4 - Phylogenetic affinities of CAPPA/UFSM 0200, reduced strict consensus trees. a, Analysis employing the dataset by Cabreira et al. 2016; b, Analysis employing the dataset by Cabreira et al. 2016 (modified); c, Analysis employing the dataset by Martínez et al. 2013 (modified); d, Analysis employing the dataset by Langer et al. 2017 (modified); e, silhouette presenting a hypothesized hindlimb and pelvic girdle reconstruction to CAPPA/UFSM 0200. Purple box, Saurischia; Orange box, Theropoda; Light Blue box, Sauropodomorpha; Dark Blue box, Saturnaliae. Numbers represent Bremer support values above 1.
has a short preacetabular ala relatively to other Carnian sauropodomorphs (e.g. *Pampadromaeus barberenai*, *Saturnalia tupiniquim*), CAPPA/UFSM 0200 has a shorter preacetabular ala than *Buriolestes schulzti*. Another example relies on the lateral concavity, which is lateromedially deeper in *Chromogisaurus novasi* and *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, but in CAPPA/UFSM 0200 is even deeper than in those taxa. Consequently, CAPPA/UFSM 0200 may either belong to a new, still unknown taxon from the Candelária Sequence or to an ontogenetically advanced individual belonging to a previously known taxon (see further discussion below), because the aforementioned traits are under the acceptable range of individual variation (i.e. ontogeny, sexual dimorphism).

One of the most conspicuous traits of the specimen relies on the strongly developed rugosities in its pre- and postacetabular alae, extending to the iliac blade, and these may be an artifact of ontogeny (see further discussion below), because the aforementioned traits are under the acceptable range of individual variation (i.e. ontogeny, sexual dimorphism).

A similar condition regarding the iliac rugosities is observed in silesaurids and herrerasaurids such as *Asilisaurus kongwe*, *Caseosaurus crosbyensis*, *Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis*, *Ignotosaurus fragilis*, *Lutungutali sitwensis*, and *Silesaurus opolensis*, although with slight differences regarding sauropodomorphs as *Buriolestes schulzti*, *Chromogisaurus novasi*, and *Saturnalia tupiniquim*. In silesaurids, sauropodomorphs and *Caseosaurus crosbyensis* the rugosities appear to be as not extensive as in *Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis*, in which these rugosities provide a “bulbous” aspect to the ilium in lateral view, although this might be a taphonomic artifact. Baron and Williams (2018) also mention the occurrence of rugosities in the ilia of *Coelophysis bauri* and *Staurikosaurus pricei*. Although, the homology of these rugosities is difficult to determine in dinosauromorphs (Baron and Williams 2018), at least in sauropodomorphs they seem to be restricted to the early-diverging forms, because *Bagualosaurus agudoensis*, *Efraasia minor*, *Melanorosaurus*, *Pantydraco caducus*, *Plateosaurus*, *Riojasaurus incertus*, *Thecodontosaurus antiquus* and other post-Carnian sauropodomorphs (McPhee and Choiniere 2016) seem to not present them, or to only present faint scars in the homologous area. Nonetheless, we could not observe either condition in *Panphagia protos* because the dorsal portion (most of the iliac blade) of the holotype’s ilium is fragmented, whereas the preserved portion of the ilium (roughly the cranialmost half) of *Pampadromaeus barberenai* does not exhibit the rugosities on its preacetabular ala, and neither does *Eoraptor lunensis*. Moreover, since the strong developed rugosity is regarded as one of the key characters supporting Saturnaliinae (Ezcurra 2010, Martínez et al. 2013), it is essential to consider if this is in fact a reliable taxonomic character or whether it may be result of intraspecific variation (e.g. ontogeny, sexual dimorphism, and individual variation). Actually, several studies demonstrated that variation play a significant role in the presence, absence, and morphology of several traits extensively applied in phylogenetic studies of dinosauromorphs (Nesbitt et al. 2009,
While an extensive analysis on the ontogeny of sauropodomorphs is beyond the scope of this paper, we list and describe some possible ontogenetic trends that are observable in specimens of *Buriolestes schultzi* and *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, including CAPPA/UFSM 0200 as a potential model for an ontogenetically advanced individual of both taxa. Following our hypothetical orientation of relative maturity in Figure 5, there is a trend though ontogeny in both the ilium of *Buriolestes schultzi* and *Saturnalia tupiniquim* of a shortening of the preacetabular ala (i.e. it becomes more rounded and/or subquadrangular and less pointed) and of the development of strong rugosities in the muscle scars present in both alae. This may be an indication that these muscle scars could reveal the relative maturity of the specimens, however without histological analyses and a broader ontogenetic approach, such assumption still remains ambiguous. Regarding *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, it is also noticeable that the lateral concavity of the ilium becomes deeper as the specimens are more ontogenetic advanced. In this case, the size of the specimens does not directly reflect the relative maturity, once all three (MCP 3946-PV; MCP 3945-PV; CAPPA/UFSM 0200) are similar in size, although they present different conditions in the traits previously cited. On the other hand, *Buriolestes schultzi* preserves a more complete ontogenetic series, presenting a putative juvenile specimen (ULBRA-PVT056; see also Müller and Garcia 2019), which will be formally described elsewhere. As in MCP 3946-PV, which is herein used as the less mature specimen of *Saturnalia tupiniquim*, and *Pantydraco caducus*,

Figure 5 - Hypothetical ontogenetic model to *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (above) and *Buriolestes schultzi* (below) based on the iliac muscle scars and morphology. Specimens placed from the “least mature” to the “most mature” from left to right. a, *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (MCP 3946-PV); b, *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (MCP 3945-PV); c, hypothetical ontogenetic advanced individual of *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (CAPPA/UFSM 0200); d, *Buriolestes schultzi* (putative juvenile specimen ULBRA-PVT056); e, *Buriolestes schultzi* (ULBRA-PVT280); f, *Buriolestes schultzi* (CAPPA/UFSM 0035); g, hypothetical ontogenetic advanced individual of *Buriolestes schultzi* (CAPPA/UFSM 0200). Specimens under scale.
ULBRA-PVT056 has a pointed preacetabular ala. Its muscle scars are faint striations along both alae, and overall it is proportionally more elongated than ULBRA-PVT280, CAPPA/UFSM 0035 and CAPPA/UFSM 0200.

Because ontogenetic data of most Triassic dinosauromorphs are scarce, and many taxa are restricted to a single incomplete specimen (e.g. Colbert 1970, Martínez and Alcober 2009, Ezcurra 2010, Pretto et al. 2018, Marsola et al. 2019) the limited sample precludes the establishment of a reliable pattern to be followed as a model, whether regarding either ontogeny or individual variation. Once such traits could be related to intraspecific variation, we suggest caution when considering the faintness or roughness (i.e. the degrees of “development”) of these muscle scars in phylogenetic or taxonomic evaluations. The inclusion of the character regarding “strong trapezoidal rugosity that extends over the caudal portion of the postacetabular process of the ilium” modified the topologies recovered in Cabreira et al. (2016), nesting CAPPA/UFSM 0200 with Chromogisaurus novasi and Saturnalia tupiniquim as a saturnaliin sauropodomorph, which suggests that this character (even though it is not the only character supporting Saturnaliinae) might actually result from ontogenetic variation, and, accordingly, would be reliable only for mature individuals. However, it is important to note that Buriolestes schultzi (ULBRAPVT280, CAPPA/UFSM 0035) also presents a rugosity in the postacetabular process of the ilium, but as it is less developed and more restricted than in saturnaliin sauropodomorphs, it was coded differently (this is also true for silesaurids and Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis). In summary, based upon carefully examination of several illa of different early dinosaurs and dinosauromorphs, it is remarkable that muscle scars and/or rugosities are very variable between specimens of the same taxon (e.g. Buriolestes schultzi; Saturnalia tupiniquim). It is not clear if these variations result from ontogeny, sexual dimorphism or any other type of intraspecific variation, which makes a character based on this trait unstable and subjective. Nevertheless, the rugosities most likely present a phylogenetic signal, as they are absent in more advanced sauropodomorphs (e.g. Adeopapposaurus magnai Martínez 2009; Plateosaurus), which could indicate some heterochronical pattern, where even mature individuals possess the condition once present in juvenile early-diverging sauropodomorphs (i.e. absence of rugosities). Indeed, this seems a pedomorphic trait that could be related to the absence of a trochanteric shelf in the femora of all ontogenetic stages of mature individuals of post-Carnian sauropodomorphs. On the other hand, the trochanteric shelf comprises a muscle attachment absent in immature individuals, but present and well-developed in more mature individuals of Carnian sauropodomorphs (e.g. Buriolestes schultzi; Saturnalia tupiniquim; Nesbitt 2011, Müller et al. 2018a; see also Müller and Garcia 2019), for instance. Therefore, the rugosities seem to work well in a broad phylogenetic context, but should be carefully considered at alpha taxonomic level.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The specimen herein described increases the dinosauromorph record for the Carnian from southern Brazil and represents the first dinosaur record from the Piche outcrop, which along with other sites from São João do Polêsine, Rio Grande do Sul State, comprises one of the oldest dinosaur-bearing units worldwide. CAPPA/UFSM 0200 presents some differences in comparison to coeval dinosaurs, and all the phylogenetic analyses herein performed consistently recovered CAPPA/UFSM 0200 as a saurischian dinosaur and potentially a saturnaliin sauropodomorph. Its incompleteness, however, prevents its nesting within a less-inclusive group. One of the character states (the presence
of the abovementioned iliac rugosities) shared between CAPPA/UFSM 0200 and other saturnaliin sauropodomorphs might actually be result of intraspecific variation, such as ontogeny. Possible ontogenetic trends in the ilia of early-diverging sauropodomorphs show that these rugosities seem to have an ontogenetic signal, although histological analyses would be necessary to confirm maturity of the studied specimens. Finally, an increased sample of specimens is necessary to build a reliable ontogenetic series and improve the knowledge of comparative skeletal anatomy of these animals, and along with anatomical refinement, this would consequently shed light on the alpha taxonomy of early dinosaurs, a mandatory work to compile more reliable phylogenetic data on this fascinating group.
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APPENDIX

Phylogenetic analyses expanded data. —
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Codification of the added character (257) for all operational taxonomic units on the dataset by Cabreira et al. (2016):

(?) : Lagerpeton chanarensis, Dromomeron gregorii, Dromomeron romeri, Marasuchus lilloensis, Saltopus elginensis, Lewisuchus admixtus, Pseudolagosuchus major, Asilisaurus kongwe, Diodorus scytobrachion, Eucoelophysis baldwini, Sacisaurus agudoensis, Pisanosaurus mertti, Scutellosaurus lawleri, Lesothosaurus diagnosticus, Sanjuansaurus gordilloi, Pampadromaeus barberenai, Chindesaurus brianmali, Liliensternus liliensterni, ‘Syntarsus’ kayentakatae, Zupaysaurus rugeiri, Daemonosaurus chauliodus, Petrified forest theropod, Dilophosaurus wetherelli.

(0) : Euparkeria capensis, Ixalerpeton polesinensis, Silesaurus opolensis, Eocursor parvus, Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis, Staurikosaurus pricei, Panphagia protos, Eoraptor lunensis, Buriolestes schultzi, Pantydraco caducus, Efraasia minor, Plateosaurus, Tawa hallae, Eodromaeus murphy, Coelophysis bauri, Syntarsus rhodesiensis.

(1) : Saturnalia tupiniquim, Chromogisaurus novasi, CAPPA/UFSM 0200.