Antioxidant Biomarkers from *Vanda coerulea* Stems Reduce Irradiated HaCaT PGE-2 Production as a Result of COX-2 Inhibition
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**Abstract**

*Background:* In our investigations towards the isolation of potentially biologically active constituents from Orchidaceae, we carried out phytochemical and biological analyses of *Vanda* species. A preliminary biological screening revealed that *Vanda coerulea* (Griff. ex. Lindl) crude hydro-alcoholic stem extract displayed the best DPPH/\(\cdot\)OH radical scavenging activity and *in vitro* inhibition of type 2 prostaglandin (PGE-2) release from UV\(_B\) (60 mJ/cm\(^2\)) irradiated HaCaT keratinocytes.

*Principal Findings:* Bio-guided fractionation and phytochemical analysis led to the isolation of five stilbenoids: imbricatin (1) methoxycoelonin (2) gigantol (3) flavidin (4) and coelonin (5). Stilbenoids (1–3) were the most concentrated in crude hydro-alcoholic stem extract and were considered as *Vanda coerulea* stem biomarkers. Dihydro-phenanthryran (1) and dihydro-phenanthrene (2) displayed the best DPPH/\(\cdot\)OH radical scavenging activities as well as HaCaT intracellular antioxidant properties (using DCFH-DA probe: \(I_{50} 8.8\) \(\mu\)M and 9.4 \(\mu\)M, respectively) compared to bibenzyle (3) \(I_{50} 20.6\) \(\mu\)M. In turn, the latter showed a constant inhibition of PGE-2 production, stronger than stilbenoids (1) and (2) \(I_{50} 12.2\) \(\mu\)M and 19.3 \(\mu\)M, respectively. Western blot analysis revealed that stilbenoids (1–3) inhibited COX-2 expression at 23 \(\mu\)M. Interestingly, stilbenoids (1) and (2) but not (3) were able to inhibit human recombinant COX-2 activity.

*Conclusions:* Major antioxidant stilbenoids (1–3) from *Vanda coerulea* stems displayed an inhibition of UV\(_B\)-induced COX-2 expression. Imbricatin (1) and methoxycoelonin (2) were also able to inhibit COX-2 activity in a concentration-dependent manner thereby reducing PGE-2 production from irradiated HaCaT cells. Our studies suggest that stilbenoids (1–3) could be potentially used for skin protection against the damage caused by UV\(_B\) exposure.

**Introduction**

Skin is continuously exposed to ultraviolet (UV) radiations from sunlight and to environmental pollution. UV\(_B\) (290–320 nm) radiations trigger the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), increasing oxidative stress in irradiated skin. ROS are an inherent part of the anabolism and catabolism of tissues, including skin. Cellular enzymes and controlled metabolic processes ordinarily keep oxidative damage to cells at a minimum. In times of increased ROS production (caused by sunlight, smoke and pollution) protective controls may not be adequate anymore resulting in an oxidant-antioxidant imbalance, defined as oxidative stress. It can cause many adverse effects (ageing) and pathological conditions (inflammation, cancer) via the modulation of biochemical, genetic and signal transduction pathways [1–3].

UV\(_B\) radiations induce cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) expression and subsequently increase the production of prostaglandin E2 (PGE-2) in keratinocytes. COX-2 is a rate limiting enzyme for the generation of prostaglandin metabolites and its expression has been linked to the pathophysiology of inflammation and cancer [4]. PGE-2, the major prostaglandin synthesized by COX-2, is produced abundantly by keratinocytes under UVs exposure, especially in aged human skin [5]. This prostaglandin is known to play an important role in skin inflammation as it is responsible for erythema, edema and vascular permeability facilitating the infiltration of neutrophils to the dermis [6]. It also increases keratinocytes proliferation and differentiation and is consequently involved in epidermal homeostasis and repair [7]. Finally, UV\(_B\) increase oxidative stress and the generated reactive oxygen intermediate are most likely involved in the up-regulation of COX-2 [7,8]. Consequently, both ROS and PGE-2 are critical mediators of skin inflammation process. Inhibition of COX-2 enzyme is considered as an important mechanism for skin photoprotection [9].

Plants have also to protect themselves from solar radiation. Thus, they synthesize natural chromophores like polyphenolic...
compounds (flavonoids, stilbenoids and tannins) that are able to absorb UV radiations and display antioxidant properties [10]. Therefore, there has been considerable interest in the use of natural occurring plant products for the prevention of UV-induced skin damages. Polyphenols possessing skin anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties are among the most promising group of natural compounds that can be exploited as ideal photoprotective agents [11]. Recently, polyphenols such as silimarine from milk thistle, soy isolavones including genistein, green tea catechins, proanthocyanidins and resveratrol from grape seeds, have been demonstrated to have potent photoprotective properties when used topically on skin. These antioxidant polyphenols were all able to reduce UV-induced inflammatory responses, partly due to the suppression of COX-2 expression and/or its enzymatic activity [10–12].

The Orchidaceae is subdivided in five subfamilies. Genera form the Epidendroideae subfamily such as Dendrobium, Bletilla, Cymbidium, Gastrodia and Vanda occurred mostly in tropical areas [13–14]. Flavones C-glycosides [15], hydroxybenzene derivatives [16], fluorenes and stilbenoids [17–20] are the most common polyphenolic compounds described for these orchids. Many cosmetic patents protected the use of different orchid extracts but without any information about the identity of their active compounds. Orchidaceae from the genus Vanda have not been well studied considering their biological activities on human cells and their chemical composition. Only two kinds of phenanthropyran have been described in the species V. parviflora [21] and V. tessellata [22], which has been demonstrated to display wound healing properties [23]. These observations led us to focus our interest to Vanda coerulea Griff. ex Lindl, also known as the “Blue Orchid”. A preliminary biological screening on stem, root and leaf crude hydro-alcoholic extracts revealed that V. coerulea stem extract displayed best DPPH /OH radical scavenging activity and in vitro inhibition of PGE-2 production from irradiated HaCaT (genetically modified keratinocytes). Bio-guided fractionation combined with phytochemical analysis allowed us to isolate and identify five stilbenoids (Figure 1): two 9,10 dihydro-phenanthropyrans imbricatin (1), and flavidin (4), two 9,10 dihydro-phenanthrenes methoxycoelonin (2) and coelonin (5) and one bibenzyle gigantol (3). Additional compounds identified were carbohydrates and terpenoids such as sesquiterpenes and phytosterols.

In the present work, we examine whether stilbenoids from Vanda coerulea stems display radical scavenging activities, attenuate intracellular ROS formation and reduce both UV induced PGE-2 production on HaCaT cells and COX-2 activity.

Comparison of their radical scavenging properties led us to a first structure-activity relation (SAR) study performed with orchid’s stilbenoids. Biological analyses on HaCaT cells were performed with the three most concentrated stilbenoids (1–3). We evaluated here for the first time the potential interest of stilbenoids from Orchidaceae as new skin photoprotecting agents.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Quercetin was obtained from Extrasynthese. Luminol was obtained from Fluka Biochemika. Indomethacin, tocopherol and all other chemicals like dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH DA) probe, were from Sigma. Keratinocytes serum free medium (KSFM), foetal bovine serum (FBS) for HaCaT culture, penicillin/streptomycin, glutamine and phosphate buffer serum (PBS) were from Invitrogen. Cell proliferation kit II reagent (XTT) was provided by Roche Diagnostic. Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay kit for protein dosage was obtained from Uptima Interchim. Enzyme immunoassay (EIA) kits for PGE-2 were obtained from R&D Systems and Cayman Chemical. Antibodies anti β-actin (sc-19999) and anti COX-2 (sc-19999) as well as CCD-1064SK cell lyase were provided by Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. Amersham Hybond ECL nitrocellulose membrane, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (NIF-825) and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit were obtained from GE Healthcare Europe GmbH.

Plant material

Vanda coerulea Griff. ex Lindl. was identified by Dr. Josef Margraf in Thailand. A voucher specimen (No HITBC128192) was
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**Figure 1. Structures of stilbenoids isolated from V. coerulea stems.** Stilbenoids isolated from Vanda coerulea stems belong to the phenanthrene group including 9,10 dihydro-phenanthrenes, 9,10 dihydro-phenanthropyrans and bibenzyle. Carbon numbering relative to NMR data is represented here. Compounds 1 and 4 are 9,10 dihydro-phenanthropyrans, compounds 2 and 5 are 9,10 dihydro-phenanthrenes and compound 3 is a bibenzyle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013713.g001
deposited in the Herbarium of the Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden (XTBG). Stems from flowering specimens were collected in October 2006. Cut stems were dried by sunshine on hanging basket to avoid contamination by soil and prevent fungus development. After reception in France, dried cut stems were finally reduced to powder in the ultra-centrifuge crushe (Retsch KSFM with 5% FBS). Microplates were incubated during 24 h in vitro antioxidant analyses

All in vitro radical-scavenging experiments (DPPH, •OH/luminol chemiluminescence) and IC_{50} calculations were assayed as previously described by Parajo et al [30]. Results were expressed as percentage inhibition of DPPH discolouration and luminol chemiluminescence reduction, respectively. The concentration effect (logarithmic curve) obtained for each compound was used to calculate IC_{50}. Serial dilutions of 1-5, and quercetin (used as standard reference) were prepared in MeOH.

Analyses on HaCaT cells

For measurement of reactive oxygen species neutralization and PGE-2 quantification with [%]
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Cites (Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of wild Fauna and Flora) regulations.
(37°C, 5% CO2) before UVB irradiation at 60 mJ/cm² and treatment. For western blot analysis HaCaT cells were seeded at a density of 10⁶ cells/100 mm dishes and incubated during 48 h before irradiation with UVB at 60 mJ/cm² (UV Lamp, VL-6LM, Vilber Lourmat) and treatment. All experiments were performed at 90% cell confluency. Cell viability was previously evaluated thanks to XTT analysis according to Roehm NW et al [31]. Serial dilutions of 1-3, were prepared in DMSO (0.1% v/v final concentration). The first higher concentration tested was not cytotoxic (data not shown).

Reactive oxygen species neutralization on HaCaT

The DCFH-DA method was used to detect the levels of intracellular ROS [32] DCFH-DA diffuses into cells, where it is hydrolyzed by intracellular esterase to polar 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein. This non-fluorescent fluorescein analog is oxidized by intracellular oxidants to a highly fluorescent, 2',7'-dichloro-fluorescein. Tocopherol [Sigma] (25 μM) was used as a positive reference. HaCaT cells were seeded as described and treated with serial dilutions of 1-3 and quercetin (standard reference) after 24 h incubation. Treated microplates were further incubated during 24 h (37°C, 5% CO2). HaCaT cells were washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and DCFH-DA (0.05 mM) was added to the cells. 45 min after incubation, cells were stimulated by a H2O2 solution (100 μM in PBS) to generate an oxidative stress. Immediately after F(T0) and 40 min after stimulation F(T40), DCFH fluorescence was detected at an excitation wavelength of 485 nm and an emission wavelength of 535 nm, using a SpectraFluor Plus spectrofluorometer (Tecan). Two kinds of assay controls were used: negative control was non-stimulated cells and positive control was H2O2 stressed cells (DMSO 0.1% v/v). Results were expressed in percentage of fluorescence inhibition (% of ROS neutralization) calculated by comparison with H2O2 assay controls were used: negative control was non-stimulated cells and positive control was H2O2 stressed cells (DMSO 0.1% v/v). Results were expressed in percentage of fluorescence inhibition (% of ROS neutralization) calculated by comparison with H2O2 stimulated cells.

\[
\% \text{ of ROS neutralization} = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{F(T40) - F(T0)}{F(T0)}\right)_{\text{positive control}}/F(T40)} \times 100
\]

Inhibition percentages were plotted according to compounds 1-3 and quercetin concentrations. Logarithmic regression analysis of the mean replicate values was used to calculate IC₅₀ (Concentration causing half-maximal neutralization of ROS on H2O2 stressed HaCaT).

Measurement of PGE-2 enzyme production

Indomethacin (2.79 μM), a non-steroidal COX inhibitor, was used as a positive reference. Treated microplates were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2. Untreated irradiated and non irradiated cells (DMSO 0.1% v/v final) were respectively used as positive and negative controls. After 24 h, supernatants were harvested for PGE-2 concentration measurement and BCA assay was realized to determine total cellular protein in each well. PGE-2 concentration was measured using the enzyme immunoassay Parameter™ PGE-2 kit (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Each PGE-2 quantification (Q_{PGE2}) was reported to total protein concentration per well.

Results were expressed as inhibition percentage of PGE-2 (I_{PGE2}) production compared with untreated irradiated cells (positive control).

\[
\text{I}_{\text{PGE2}} = 100 - \left(\frac{Q_{\text{PGE2}}}{Q_{\text{PGE2}}_{\text{positive control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Inhibition percentages were plotted according to compounds 1-3 concentrations. Logarithmic regression analysis of the mean replicate values was used to calculate IC₅₀ (Concentration causing half-maximal Inhibition of irradiated HaCaT PGE-2 production).

Measurement of COX-2 enzyme expression by Western blot

After UVB irradiation 100 mm dishes were treated with stilbenoids 1-3 at 23 μM and 2.87 μM in a serum free medium and incubated during 16 h (37°C, 5% CO2). Untreated irradiated (UVB+) and non irradiated (UVB-) cells were used as positive and negative controls respectively. Total cell lysates were obtained using lysis buffer containing 0.5% SDS, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) and proteases inhibitors. The protein concentration of each sample was determined using a Bradford assay. 30 μg of total protein was separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel. Positive control CCD-1061SK cell lysate containing both β-actin and COX-2 was also loaded. The separated proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in Tris-buffered-saline (TBS), the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies anti-COX-2 and anti-β-actin at a concentration of 1:1000 in a 1% BSA TBS-T solution (containing 0.05% Tween 20) overnight at 4°C. Blots were washed twice with TBS-T and then incubated with a 1:20000 dilution of horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were again washed three times with TBS and then developed using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit. The assay was repeated three times with similar results. Densitometry analyses were performed for each blot on the radiographic films using the ImageJ software. Results were expressed as fold decrease compared to the (UVB+) control. Each data was then reported to β-actin density.

Measurement of COX-2 enzyme activity

Serial dilutions of 1-3 were prepared in DMSO. Six concentrations were tested from 0.1 μM to 100 μM for imibricatin (1), from 0.03 to 100 μM for methoxycyloclonin (2) and from 0.3 μM to 100 μM for gigantol (3).

Stilbenoids 1-3, indomethacin and water (negative control) were pre-incubated for 20 min at 22°C with the human recombinant COX-2 enzyme (0.2 μg) in buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 2 mM phenol and 1 μM hematine. Therafter, the reaction was initiated by addition of 2 μM arachidonic acid and the mixture was incubated for 5 min at 22°C. For basal control measurements, arachidonic acid was omitted from the medium. Indomethacin was used as positive inhibitory reference.

Following incubation, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 1 M HCl then 1 M Tris/HCl (pH 8.0) followed by cooling to 4°C. The amount of PGE-2 present in the reaction mixture was quantified using an EIA detection kit (Cayman Chemical). The results were expressed as inhibition percentage of the control enzyme activity:

\[
\text{IC}_{50} = 100 - \left(\frac{\text{activity measured in the presence of the compound/ control enzyme activity}}{\text{activity measured in the absence of the compound/ control enzyme activity}}\right) \times 100
\]

The IC₅₀ values (concentration causing a half-maximal inhibition of control enzyme activity) and Hill coefficients (H) were determined by non-linear regression analysis of the inhibition curves generated with mean replicate values using Hill equation curve fitting.

Statistical Analysis

In vitro experiments were performed three times independently. All data were expressed as means ± standard deviations (sd). Treatment groups were compared by using one way analysis of variance ANOVA post-hoc test. Each stilbenoid effect was compared to positive control using student t tests. Statistical significance (*) was set at p<0.05.
Supporting information

Confirmation of PGE-2 inhibitory effect on human normal dermal keratinocytes is provided as Figure S1.

Results and Discussion

Bio-guided fractionation performed on Vanda coerulea stems revealed that a stilbenoid-enriched CH₂Cl₂ extract displayed a better in vitro DPPH/ \( \cdot \)OH radical scavenging activity and inhibition of PGE-2 release from (UVs 60 mj/cm²) irradiated HaCaT than crude hydro-alcoholic extract. These observations led us to the isolation and identification of the five stilbenoids described in Figure 1. These stilbenoids are specific from the Orchidaceae family and were already described in other orchid genera [19,20]. Imbricatin (1) was first identified in the orchid Pholidota imbricata [24]. It was found together with flavidin (4) in Bulbophyllum [19], Coelogyne and Pholidota species [24–26] and was also isolated with methoxycoceloin (2) in Agrostophysmum callosum and in Coelogyne flaccida [26]. Coelonin (5) was isolated from two species of Coelogyne: C. ochracea and C. data [27]. Finally, the presence of gigantol (3) was identified for the first time in Cymbidium giganteum [28], and was also found together with coelonin (5) and methoxycoceloin (2) in Cymbidium aloifolium [29].

A comparison of phytochemical profiles of root, stem and leaf crude extracts showed that stilbenoids 1–5 were only present in Vanda coerulea stems. The most concentrated (1–3) were considered as V. coerulea stem biomarkers.

Antioxidant activities

Each stilbenoid isolated from Vanda coerulea stems was already described for its radical scavenging properties mostly concerning DPPH neutralization [33–35]. Zhang X et al had evaluated radical scavenging properties of gigantol (3) using the DPPH (IC₅₀ 56.4 \( \mu \)M) and ORAC assays [33]. Wang J et al and Guo XY et al indicated that coelonin (5) and imbricatin (1) neutralized DPPH radical with IC₅₀ of 16.7 and 8.8 \( \mu \)M, respectively [34,35]. Finally, the global antioxidant activities of flavidin (4) were evaluated using different antioxidant assays, including DPPH and hydrogen peroxide neutralization (without IC₅₀ calculation) [36]. However, the radical scavenging capacities of stilbenoids 1 to 5 were not compared so far. Here, we aimed to propose a structure-activity relationship (SAR) relative to DPPH and \( \cdot \)OH radical scavenging activities.

Among all the ROS produced, \( \cdot \)OH radicals are among the most aggressive forms implied in cellular oxidative stress [1–2]. Our results revealed that dihydro-phenanthryranes (1, 4) and dihydro-phenanthrenes (2, 5) displayed better \( \cdot \)OH but also DPPH radicals scavenging properties than bibenzyle (3). Activities of imbricatin (1) and methoxycoceloin (2) were quite similar to the standard quercetin on \( \cdot \)OH radical scavenging activities, while flavidin (4) and coelonin (5) were globally less active. (Figure 2, Table 1).

SAR on \( \cdot \)OH radical scavenging indicated that dihydro-phenanthrypan and dihydro-phenantherne structures had quite the same potentiality, which was enhanced by an ortho-methoxy-hydroxyl substitution on aromatic ring. According to these results, a classification could be proposed as followed (from the lowest to the highest IC₅₀): 1=2>4=5>3 for \( \cdot \)OH radical scavenging activities.

We also observed a tendency for dihydro-phenanthrypan structures to better neutralize DPPH radical. Scavenging activities were better on structures without ortho-methoxy-hydroxyl substitution. Thus, a classification could be proposed as followed: 4>1=5≥2=3 for DPPH radical scavenging capacities.

In comparison with already published data, the IC₅₀ of imbricatin (1) calculated for DPPH scavenging activities was in accordance with those indicated in literature [35]. On the other side, better IC₅₀ values were obtained with gigantol (3) and coelonin (5) than those previously described by Zhang X et al and Wang J et al., respectively [33,34].

The 9,10 dihydro-phenanthrenes (2, 5) or phenanthropyranes (1, 4) are planar structures compared with the bibenzyle gigantol (3). Thus, electron delocalization and structure stabilization is better on phenanthrenes than on bibenzyle. As explained by Jayapranasha GK et al., the antioxidant capacities of 9,10 dihydro-phenanthrenes or phenanthropyranes may be attributed to hydrogen donating ability, the product phenoxyl radical being stabilized by resonance delocalization of the unpaired electron to the other position in the ring [36].

The excessive production of intracellular ROS plays an important role in the signalling events leading to gene activation [1–3]. Oxidative stress generated is responsible for a variety of conditions including skin ageing [2,3], skin inflammation and cancer [1,4]. Based on these premises, we examined whether the major stilbenoids (1–3), considered as Vanda coerulea stem biomarkers, could neutralize intracellular ROS in H₂O₂ stressed HaCaT cells.

The probe 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescin is used as an indicator of ROS formation in H₂O₂ (100 \( \mu \)M) stressed HaCaT. The intracellular fluorescence measurement using this probe mainly reflects the ability of stilbenoids to inhibit intracellular \( \cdot \)OH radical [37]. In this assay, we have compared the ROS scavenging activities of stem biomarkers. According to our previous cell viability analyses, the first higher concentration tested on HaCaT cells was not cytotoxic. Imbricatin (1) (IC₅₀ 8.8 \( \mu \)M) and methoxycoceloin (2) (IC₅₀ 9.4 \( \mu \)M) showed better ROS neutralization than gigantol (3) (IC₅₀ 20.6 \( \mu \)M) and even than quercetin (IC₅₀ 13.8 \( \mu \)M). (Figure 3, Table 2). These results were in accordance with our results obtained previously on \( \cdot \)OH radicals, with chemiluminescence. Consequently, we have demonstrated the intracellular ROS scavenging activities of these three stilbenoids on immortalized keratinocytes. Best intracellular antioxidant activities were obtained with the dihydro-phenanthrypan (1) and the dihydro-phenanthrene (2).

Intracellular ROS produced in excess contribute to inflammatory response triggered by UV radiations and have been suggested to be involved in UV- \( \beta \)-induced COX-2 expression [1,8]. In this study, we observed that stilbenoids (1–3) reduce the generation of intracellular ROS partly due to direct radical scavenging activities.

Inhibition of PGE-2 production

PGE-2 is known to play an important role in UV-induced skin inflammation. Its production is mediated by UVs and ROS induction of COX-2 expression. Moreover, it has been reported that keratinocytes proliferation and apoptosis are also regulated by COX-2 after acute UV radiations [9].

Anti-inflammatory properties for gigantol (3) and coelonin (5) only, were already described in the scientific literature and referred mostly to the neutralization of nitric oxide production (and PGE-2 production for gigantol) on murine macrophages RAW 264.7 [38–40]. However, the skin anti-inflammatory properties of orchid stilbenoids have not been evaluated so far on HaCaT, a recognized cellular model [41,42]. Therefore, we wanted to evaluate the potential of V. coerulea antioxidant stem biomarkers as skin anti-inflammatory agents. Their effects were evaluated on PGE-2 production and on inhibition of COX-2 expression in UV- \( \beta \) irradiated HaCaT cells. As shown in Table 3 and Figure 4, the stilbenoids (1–3) inhibited PGE-2 release.
from UV B (60 mJ/cm²) irradiated HaCaT cells. To compare biomarker activities the same range of concentrations was tested for each stilbenoid. Concentration-dependent effect was observed for imbricatin (1) (IC₅₀ 12.2 μM) and methoxycoelonin (2) (IC₅₀ 19.3 μM), but not for gigantol (3). The results revealed that even at the lowest concentration tested, gigantol (3) strongly inhibited PGE-2 production. We deduced from these data that these three major stilbenoids were principally responsible for the antioxidant and the reduction of PGE-2 production previously observed with V. coerulea crude stem extract. Bibenzyle gigantol (3) displayed better PGE-2 inhibition than dihydro-phenanthropyran (1) and dihydro-phenanthrene (2), but without any concentration-dependant effect at the tested concentrations.

This global reduction of PGE-2 production could be attributed to an inhibition of COX-2 enzyme activity and/or an attenuation of UVB-induced COX-2 expression.

Interestingly, Figure 5, Table 3 imbricatin (1) and methoxycoelonin (2) but not gigantol (3) were able to inhibit human recombinant COX-2 enzyme (IC₅₀ 12.0 μM and 5.8 μM, respectively), suggesting that dihydro-phenanthropyran (1) and dihydro-phenanthrene (2) but not bibenzyle (3) could reduce PGE-2 production through COX-2 inhibition. Their effect on human recombinant COX-2 enzyme was comparable to the activity of indomethacin (IC₅₀ 1.6 μM), a classical non-steroidal COX inhibitor.

Table 1. Radical scavenging activities of stilbenoids 1–5 on DPPH and 'OH radicals.

| Compounds        | IC₅₀ (μM) | DPPH       | 'OH        |
|------------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Imbricatin (1)   | 8.37±0.61| 0.034±0.011|            |
| Methoxycoelonin (2) | 9.01±0.93| 0.035±0.008|            |
| Gigantol (3)     | 14.01±1.69 | 0.157±0.039|            |
| Flavidin (4)     | 6.61±1.07 | 0.076±0.014|            |
| Coelonin (5)     | 8.47±1.58 | 0.079±0.018|            |
| Quercetin        | 2.42±0.65 | 0.045±0.012|            |

Results are expressed as IC₅₀ (mean ± sd) of stilbenoids determined on DPPH and 'OH radical scavenging. IC₅₀ concentration causing half-maximal neutralization of radical DPPH or 'OH. Quercetin was used as standard reference. IC₅₀ values were compared to those obtained with the standard reference. Statistical significance (*) was set at p<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013713.g002
The IC50 calculated for inhibition of PGE-2 production on HaCaT are different from those calculated for COX-2 inhibition. Indeed, for the first analysis we have measured stilbenoid activities on the whole cell, determining a global response leading to the release of PGE-2. For the second analysis, we have directly measured stilbenoid effects on human recombinant and isolated COX-2 enzyme. Because of these differences between cellular and enzymatic analysis the IC50 calculated in both experiments were different.

Wong J.H. et al 2006 have demonstrated that gigantol (3) was able to inhibit PGE-2 production on murine macrophages RAW 264.7 as a result of inhibition of COX-2 expression but also of NF-kB expression [38]. We hypothesized that the constant inhibition effect of (3) on HaCaT PGE-2 production could be explained by a strong reduction of UVB-induced COX-2 enzyme expression.

Western blot analysis was performed with UVB- (60 mJ/cm²) irradiated HaCaT cell lysates. Two concentrations of each stilbenoids were tested (23 and 2.87 μM) on HaCaT. As shown in Figure 6, the three biomarkers were able to attenuate UVB-induced COX-2 expression at 23 μM. Best results were obtained with methoxycoelonin (2) and gigantol (3). They did not inhibit COX-2 expression at the lowest concentration tested (2.87 μM). Gigantol demonstrated strong inhibition of PGE-2 production even at 2.87 μM but did not inhibit COX-2 expression and

### Table 2. Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) neutralization in H2O2 stressed HaCaT cells.

| Compounds         | IC50 (μM) |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Imbricatin (1)    | 8.8±1.7   |
| Methoxycoelonin (2) | 9.4±2.1  |
| Gigantol (3)      | 20.6±0.5  |
| Quercetin         | 13.8±2.2  |

Intracellular antioxidant property of stilbenoids 1–3 was evaluated on H2O2 stressed HaCaT by measuring ROS neutralization with DCFH-DA probe. Results are expressed as IC50 (mean ± sd) determined for global ROS neutralization on HaCaT. IC50 concentration causing half-maximal neutralization of intracellular ROS. Quercetin was used as a standard reference.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013713.t002

### Table 3. Effects of stilbenoids 1–3 on inhibition of HaCaT PGE-2 production and COX-2 enzyme activity.

| Compounds         | PGE-2   | COX-2   |
|-------------------|---------|---------|
| Imbricatin (1)    | 12.2±2.9| 12.0±1.2|
| Methoxycoelonin (2) | 19.3±3.8| 5.8±1.2 |
| Gigantol (3)      | No concentration-dependant effect | ≥100 |
| Indomethacin      | 2.7±0.7 | 1.6±0.4 |

Results are expressed as IC50 (mean ± sd) determined for inhibition of PGE-2 release from UVB (60 mJ/cm²) irradiated HaCaT and inhibition of human recombinant COX-2 activity. IC50 concentration causing half-maximal inhibition of HaCaT PGE-2 production and concentration causing a half-maximal inhibition of COX-2 control specific activity. Gigantol demonstrated strong inhibition of PGE-2 production but did not display concentration dependent effect at the tested concentrations, thus IC50 could not be calculated. Indomethacin was used as a positive reference.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013713.t003
activity at this concentration. Gigantol (3) may therefore act through other mechanisms to exert its inhibitory effect on PGE-2 production and COX-2 enzyme may not be its only biological target.

UV_B exposure induces ROS accumulation in human skin and is involved in its ageing process as well as its inflammatory response [1–3]. This accumulation would explain a higher induction of COX-2 and consequently a higher PGE-2 release [5]. Irradiated HaCaT cells provide a good model to measure PGE-2 production and allowed the evaluation of the potential keratinocytes anti-inflammatory properties of the major stilbenoids isolated from V. coerulea stems. Our results revealed that the dihydro-phenanthrene methoxycoelonin (2) and the dihydro-phenanthropyran imbricatin (1) displayed the best intracelluar antioxidant activities on HaCaT keratinocytes and interesting anti-inflammatory properties due to a concentration-dependant inhibition of COX-2 activity and expression. The bibenzyle gigantol (3) better inhibited HaCaT PGE-2 production compared to methoxycoelonin (2) and imbricatin (1) at each concentration tested. Its activity could be partly attributed to an attenuation of UV_B-induced COX-2 expression. No concentration-dependant inhibitory effect was observed to allow IC_{50} calculation for gigantol (3) effect on PGE-2 production. Wong JH et al also demonstrated that this compound (3) was able to reduce NF-κB expression on murine macrophages RAW 264.7 [38]. Thus, this transcriptor factor could be another target, leading to a reduction of PGE-2 synthesis and to a global anti-inflammatory effect on irradiated HaCaT. Interestingly, our results revealed that gigantol (3) displayed a 16-fold stronger inhibition of PGE-2 production on human HaCaT than on murine macrophage RAW 264.7 [38].

In summary, major stilbenoids (1–3), considered as Vanda coerulea stem biomarkers, provided chromophore structures absorbing UV_B radiations. Applied locally these polyphenolic compounds may act as a sunscreen [11]. Our data hitherto obtained showed that imbricatin (1), methoxycoelonin (2) and gigantol (3) displayed antioxidant properties on HaCaT by means of intracellular ROS neutralization. The three stilbenoids were able to reduce UV_B-induced COX-2 expression, thereby reducing PGE-2 production on HaCaT keratinocytes. These activities could be partly linked to the reduction of intracellular oxidative stress, to a reduction of COX-2 expression and, for imbricatin (1) and methoxycoelonin (2) to a direct inhibition of COX-2 enzyme activity. Globally, we observed complementary properties between the dihydro-phenanthropyran imbricatin (1) the dihydro-phenanthrene methoxycoelonin (2) and the bibenzyle gigantol (3). The first displayed better antioxidant activities whereas the latter showed strong inhibition of PGE-2 production. Anti-inflammatory property of orchid’s stilbenoids was here described for the first time on HaCaT keratinocytes. Vanda coerulea stem biomarkers could be considered as potential new natural skin photoprotecting agents.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Concentration-dependent effect of stilbenoids (1-3) on PGE-2 release from irradiated (UVB 60 mJ/cm^2) normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK). Histogram represents the quantity of PGE-2 produced (PGE-2/ total NHEK proteins pg/ μg) according to different treatments. Untreated irradiated...
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