Contamination from a nearby star cannot explain the anomalous transmission spectrum of the ultra-short period giant planet WASP-103 b

John Southworth and Daniel F. Evans
Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK

6 July 2018

ABSTRACT
The planet in the WASP-103 system is an excellent candidate for transmission spectroscopy because of its large radius and high temperature. Application of this technique found a variation of radius with wavelength which was far too strong to be explained by scattering processes in the planetary atmosphere. A faint nearby star was subsequently detected, whose contamination of the transit light curves might explain this anomaly. We present a reanalysis of published data in order to characterise the faint star and assess its effect on the measured transmission spectrum. The faint star has a mass of $0.72 \pm 0.08 \, M_\odot$ and is almost certainly gravitationally bound to the planetary system. We find that its effect on the measured physical properties of the planet and host star is small, amounting to a planetary radius larger by $0.6 \sigma$ and planetary density smaller by $0.8 \sigma$. Its influence on the measured transmission spectrum is much greater: the spectrum now has a minimum around 760 nm and opacity rises to both bluer and redder wavelengths. It is a poor match to theoretical spectra and the spectral slope remains too strong for Rayleigh scattering. The existence of the faint nearby star cannot therefore explain the measured spectral properties of this hot and inflated planet. We advocate further observations of the system, both with high spatial resolution in order to improve the measured properties of the faint star, and with higher spectral resolution to confirm the anomalous transmission spectrum of the planet.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Hot Jupiters were the first type of extrasolar planet to be discovered, for both the radial velocity and transit methods (Mayor & Queloz 1995; Henry et al. 2000; Charbonneau et al. 2000), their detection being aided by their comparatively large radii and short orbital periods. They were also the first extrasolar planets whose atmospheres were detected (Charbonneau et al. 2002; Vidal-Madjar et al. 2004), helped by their often-large atmospheric scale heights. At this point, approximately 30 transiting hot Jupiters have been studied using the method of transmission spectroscopy, where opacity in the planetary atmosphere is probed by measuring the size of the planet as a function of wavelength (e.g. Sing et al. 2016).

Such analyses can also be performed using transmission photometry, where wavelength resolution is achieved by using multiple passbands rather than via a spectroscopic approach (e.g. Mallonn et al. 2015). Versus transmission spectroscopy, the method of transmission photometry typically requires more observing time and has a lower wavelength resolution, but can be performed on smaller telescopes and is less subject to systematic errors due to Earth’s atmosphere and instrumental effects (Southworth et al. 2013). Rayleigh scattering has so far been detected in four transiting hot Jupiters using transmission photometry: GJ 3470 b (Nascimbeni et al. 2013; Biddle et al. 2014; Dragomir et al. 2015), WASP-103 b (Southworth et al. 2013, hereafter Paper I), and tentatively in GJ 1214 b (de Mooij et al. 2012) and Qatar-2 b (Mancini et al. 2014).

The WASP-103 system (Gillon et al. 2014, hereafter G14) stands out in this list as having the hottest star, the largest planet, and a highly significant detection of the Rayleigh scattering signal ($7.3 \sigma$) which is, however, much stronger than expected. Adopting the MassSpec concept from de Wit & Seager (2013) leads to a measurement of the planetary mass which is a factor of five smaller than the dynamical mass measurement (Paper I). Since this work, a faint and cool nearby star has been detected with a very small sky-projected separation from the WASP-103 system (Wöllert & Brandner 2015). The purpose of the current work is to revisit the analysis of WASP-103 to determine the effect of the presence of this faint companion star on the measured properties of the system, and see if it can provide an explanation for the anomalous transmission spectrum of the planet. The presence of a faint nearby star has previously been shown to affect both transmis-
2 REANALYSIS OF THE LIGHT CURVES

In Paper I we presented light curves of 11 transits of WASP-103 obtained using three telescopes and seven optical passbands. Eight transits were observed using the 1.54 m Danish Telescope at ESO La Silla, seven through a Bessell I filter and one through a Bessell F filter. Two transits were observed in four passbands simultaneously (similar to the Gunn griz bands) using the GROND imager (Greiner et al. 2008) on the MPG 2.2 m at the same site. The final transit was observed using the 2.15 m telescope at CASLEO, Argentina, and will not be considered further in this work because of its significantly greater scatter and more complex continuum normalisation (Paper I).

2.1 Accounting for the companion star

In Paper I we presented a high-resolution image of the sky area surrounding WASP-103 using the lucky imaging technique and the Two Colour Imager also on the Danish 1.54 m telescope (Skottfelt et al. 2015). The image had a FWHM of 5.9 pixels (0.53 arcsec) in both spatial scales and showed no evidence for stars sufficiently close by to contaminate the light curves obtained of this object. The lower limit on the spatial resolution of this instrument is approximately 0.5 arcsec imposed by triangular coma present in the telescope optics (Skottfelt et al. 2015; see also Evans et al. 2016a).

Subsequently to this work, Wöllert & Brandner (2015) presented the discovery of a companion star to the WASP-103 system, based on observations using the Astralux lucky imager at Calar Alto Observatory, Spain. The star is separated by 0.242 ± 0.016 arcsec at a position angle of 132.66 ± 2.74°, so was too close to be apparent on our own lucky imaging (Paper I). It is fainter by Δι = 3.11 ± 0.46 and Δζ = 2.59 ± 0.35 mag, so is likely to be significantly cooler than WASP 103 A and therefore impose a wavelength-dependent contamination on photometry of the planetary system.

The two magnitude differences were used to determine the fraction of contaminating light in the passbands used in Paper I following the method outlined by Southworth (2010) and Southworth et al. (2010). In brief, theoretical spectra from ATLAS9 model atmospheres and the known effective temperature of the planet host star (Teff = 6110 ± 160 K; G14) were used to determine that of the faint star. A value of $T_{\text{eff}} = 3377_{-199}^{+743}$ K was found; its large and asymmetric uncertainties are due to the large uncertainties in the measured values of Δι and Δζ, which are logarithmic quantities.

Magnitude differences and thus the fractional contributions of the faint companion to the total light of the system were then determined for the light curves from Paper I. This was done using the same set of theoretical spectra, the Bessell I and F filter response functions from Bessell & Murphy (2012) and the GROND griz response functions. The results of this process are given in Table I.

During the refereeing process of the current paper, magnitude differences in the J, H and K bands were published by Ngo et al. (2016) along with an improved separation measurement of 0.2397 ± 0.0015 arcsec. These were obtained from adaptive-optics imaging with Keck/NIRC2 and are much more precise than the measurements of Wöllert & Brandner (2015): $\Delta J = 2.427 \pm 0.030$, $\Delta H = 2.217 \pm 0.010$ and $\Delta K_s = 1.965 \pm 0.019$. Although they require more extrapolation to visible wavelengths than the Δι and Δζ measurements from Wöllert & Brandner (2015), their much greater precision means they provide better constraints on the properties of the companion star and thus on the effect of contaminating light on the transmission spectrum of WASP-103 b. We have therefore repeated our analysis in order to include the new observations, and discuss the results from both analyses in the following work.

The use of $\Delta J$ and $\Delta K_s$ versus $\Delta i$ and $\Delta z$ makes a significant difference to the contaminating light values and allows a major decrease in the uncertainties (Table I). The change is particularly pronounced for the temperature of the faint star, which we now find to be $T_{\text{eff}} = 4405_{-85}^{+85}$ K, in agreement with the values found by Ngo et al. (2016). Our results were obtained using the NIRC2 J and Ks transmission functions; we checked the effect of using the 2MASS J and Ks transmission function instead and found differences of no more than 0.25σ. This suggests that any imperfections in the available filter transmission functions have an insignificant effect on our results.

2.2 Light curve modelling

The transit light curves were modelled in the same way as in Paper I, by using the JKTEBOP code (Southworth 2013) to fit the data for each passband individually. The fitted parameters were the orbital inclination (i), reference time of mid-transit (To), and the sum and ratio of the fractional radii ($r_A + r_b$ and $k = r_b/r_A$) where the fractional radii are those of the star and planet in units of the orbital semimajor axis ($r_A, b = \frac{2\pi a}{k}$). Limb darkening was accounted for using four two-parameter laws, with the linear coefficient fitted and the non-linear coefficient fixed to theoretically-predicted values. Polynomials of order 1 or 2 versus time were used to normalise the flux to unity outside transit (Southworth et al. 2014). A circular orbit was assumed (G14). The one modification to the procedure was to include contaminating light (‘third light’ in JKTEBOP parlance) as a fitted parameter but constrained by the measured values collected in Table I. Error estimates were obtained using Monte Carlo and residual-permuation simulations (Southworth 2008) and the larger of the two options retained for each fitted parameter. The errorbars were further inflated to account for any scatter in the solutions for different limb darkening laws. The final results for each set of light curves are given in Table II and the best fits are shown in Fig. II.

It can be seen that imposition of the contaminating light has led to parameter values which are generally consistent with previous results, and in some cases more precise due to the greater agreement between the models for different passbands. The ratio of the radii is the exception as it has increased significantly (by 2.9σ), as expected when third light is taken into account (e.g. Daemgen et al. 2009).

1 http://www2.keck.hawaii.edu/inst/nirc2/filters.html
2 http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/releases/allsky/doc/sec3_1bl1.html
Table 1. The fractional contribution of the faint nearby star to the total light of the WASP-103 system, assessed using the two sources of magnitude differences.

| Parameter                          | Value from $\Delta i$ and $\Delta z$ | Value from $\Delta J$ and $\Delta K_s$ |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| $T_{\text{eff, comp}}$ (K)         | $3377^{+743}_{-499}$                | $4405^{+85}_{-80}$                     |
| Fractional contribution in Bessell $R$ | 0.023 ± 0.023                     | 0.0525 ± 0.0040                      |
| Fractional contribution in Bessell $I$ | 0.055 ± 0.017                     | 0.0673 ± 0.0040                      |
| Fractional contribution in GROND $g$ | 0.0068 ± 0.0068                    | 0.0246 ± 0.0032                      |
| Fractional contribution in GROND $r$ | 0.019 ± 0.019                      | 0.0492 ± 0.0040                      |
| Fractional contribution in GROND $i$ | 0.042 ± 0.020                      | 0.0641 ± 0.0041                      |
| Fractional contribution in GROND $z$ | 0.089 ± 0.028                      | 0.0766 ± 0.0037                      |

Table 2. Parameters of the fit to the light curves of WASP-103 from the JKTEBOP analysis (top). The final parameters are given in bold and the parameters found by G14 and Paper I are given below this.

| Source       | $r_A + r_b$  | $k$          | $i$ (°)     | $r_A$  | $r_b$  |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| DFOSC $R$-band | 0.370$^{+0.005}_{-0.002}$ | 0.1160$^{+0.0010}_{-0.0006}$ | 89.5$^{+2.5}_{-2.7}$ | 0.331$^{+0.004}_{-0.002}$ | 0.0384$^{+0.0006}_{-0.0002}$ |
| DFOSC $I$-band | 0.374$^{+0.016}_{-0.009}$ | 0.1157$^{+0.0012}_{-0.0006}$ | 85.6$^{+3.4}_{-3.3}$ | 0.336$^{+0.014}_{-0.009}$ | 0.0388$^{+0.0019}_{-0.0013}$ |
| GROND $g$-band | 0.372$^{+0.017}_{-0.007}$ | 0.1196$^{+0.0021}_{-0.0016}$ | 87.0$^{+4.9}_{-3.6}$ | 0.335$^{+0.014}_{-0.009}$ | 0.0387$^{+0.0013}_{-0.0010}$ |
| GROND $r$-band | 0.372$^{+0.017}_{-0.007}$ | 0.1177$^{+0.0010}_{-0.0009}$ | 86.3$^{+3.0}_{-3.6}$ | 0.335$^{+0.009}_{-0.009}$ | 0.0392$^{+0.0010}_{-0.0009}$ |
| GROND $i$-band | 0.364$^{+0.011}_{-0.011}$ | 0.1126$^{+0.0014}_{-0.0013}$ | 89.4$^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$ | 0.327$^{+0.010}_{-0.008}$ | 0.0368$^{+0.0006}_{-0.0006}$ |
| GROND $z$-band | 0.368$^{+0.012}_{-0.004}$ | 0.1153$^{+0.0016}_{-0.0015}$ | 89.9$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | 0.330$^{+0.010}_{-0.008}$ | 0.0380$^{+0.0008}_{-0.0008}$ |
| Final results | 0.3705$^{+0.0032}_{-0.0021}$ | 0.1158$^{+0.0006}_{-0.0006}$ | 88.2$^{+1.5}_{-1.5}$ | 0.3319$^{+0.0030}_{-0.0019}$ | 0.03854$^{+0.00041}_{-0.00030}$ |
| Paper I      | 0.3712 ± 0.0040 | 0.1127 ± 0.0009 | 87.3 ± 1.2 | 0.3335 ± 0.0035 | 0.03754 ± 0.00049 |
| G14          | 0.1093$^{+0.011}_{-0.017}$ |                      |              |        |        |

3 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF WASP-103

The physical properties of the WASP-103 system were measured in the same way as in Paper I (see also [Southworth 2012] and references therein) so we only briefly summarise the steps here. The light curve parameters ($r_A$, $r_b$, and $i$) from Section 2 were combined with the orbital period from Paper I and the spectroscopic parameters ($T_{\text{eff}}$, metallicity $[Fe/H]$, and velocity amplitude $K_A$) from G14. Five sets of tabulated predictions of theoretical stellar evolutionary models ([Claret 2004], [Demarque et al. 2004], [Pietrinferni et al. 2004], [VandenBerg et al. 2006], [Dotter et al. 2008]) were added. The overall best fit was then found to all properties using contraints from each of the theoretical models. Statistical errors were propagated from all input parameters, and systematic errors obtained from the scatter between the results for the five sets of theoretical models.

The final measurements for the physical properties of WASP-103 are given in Table 3 which also hosts the values from Paper I and G14 for comparison. The only parameters for which the changes are worth mentioning are the radius (0.6σ) and density (0.8σ) of the planet, as expected. These are also the two parameters which need to be adjusted to account for the aspherical shape of the planet (see Paper I and [Buda 2011]), and the corrections were applied in the same way as for Paper I. We can therefore conclude that the effect of the faint nearby star on the light curves of WASP-103 is insufficient to cause a significant change in the measured properties of the system. We next turn to the transmission spectrum, for which this statement certainly does not apply.

Table 4. Values of $r_b$ for each of the light curves as plotted in Fig 2. Note that the errorbars in this table exclude all common sources of uncertainty in $r_b$, so should only be used to compare different values of $r_b$ as a function of wavelength. The central wavelengths and full widths at half maximum transmission are given for the filters used.

| Passband | Central wavelength (nm) | FWHM (nm) | $r_b$ |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------|-------|
| $R$      | 658.9                   | 164.7     | 0.03846$^{+0.00012}_{-0.00011}$ |
| $I$      | 820.0                   | 140.0     | 0.03813$^{+0.00023}_{-0.00027}$ |
| $g$      | 477.0                   | 137.9     | 0.03929$^{+0.00031}_{-0.00032}$ |
| $r$      | 625.1                   | 138.2     | 0.03888$^{+0.00021}_{-0.00028}$ |
| $i$      | 762.5                   | 153.5     | 0.03742$^{+0.00029}_{-0.00032}$ |
| $z$      | 913.4                   | 137.0     | 0.03824$^{+0.00031}_{-0.00031}$ |

4 THE OPTICAL TRANSMISSION SPECTRUM OF WASP-103 B

Following the approach of [Southworth et al. 2012], we modelled the light curves of WASP-103 with the geometrical properties fixed to their best-fitting value from Table 2. The fractional radius of the planet and the linear LD coefficients were retained as fitted parameters, and the contaminating light was included as in Section 2. The data for each passband were fitted individually, and the true radius of the planet in that band found by multiplying $r_b$ by the best-fitting value of $a$ (41.41 R$_{\text{Jup}}$) neglecting its uncertainty. This process yielded measurements of the radius of the planet in different passbands, calculated in a consistent way and ignoring sources of error common to all passbands (e.g. the uncertainty in $a$). The results can be found in Table 4 along with the characteristics of the filters used for the observations.

Fig. 2 shows the measured planetary radius as a function of wavelength. The results from Paper I are also shown for compar-
We recast this equation to include all measurable terms on the left hand side. It is therefore reasonable to seek the value of $\lambda$ which matches the value found from the analysis in Section 3. However, it is possible to adjust any of the quantities on the right-hand side of Eq. 3 to match the measured value of the left-hand side. It is therefore reasonable to seek the value of $\alpha T_b$ where the planet mass from MassSpec equals the dynamical measurement (e.g. Sing et al. 2011; Nikolov et al. 2015). By manual iteration we found $\alpha T_b = 27900 \pm 2200$, and therefore $\alpha = 11.2 \pm 0.9$ when using $T_b = T_{\text{eq}}$, which is extremely high. Alternatively, a value for the mean molecular weight of $\mu = 0.83 \pm 0.07$ a.m.u. would balance the equation but is unphysically small. We therefore conclude that the slope of radius versus wavelength measured for WASP-103 b remains too large to be explained by Rayleigh scattering, as expressed in the MassSpec paradigm.

### Table 3. Derived physical properties of WASP-103. Quantities marked with a * are significantly affected by the spherical approximation used to model the light curves, and revised values are given at the base of the table.

| Quantity          | Symbol | Unit  | This work         | Paper I          | G14          |
|-------------------|--------|-------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Stellar mass      | $M_\star$ | $M_\odot$ | $1.205^{+0.094}_{-0.117} \pm 0.021$ | $1.204 \pm 0.089 \pm 0.019$ | $1.220^{+0.039}_{-0.060}$ |
| Stellar radius    | $R_\star$ | $R_\odot$ | $1.413^{+0.030}_{-0.028} \pm 0.009$ | $1.419 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.008$ | $1.436^{+0.052}_{-0.031}$ |
| Stellar surface gravity | $\log g_\star$ | cgs | $4.219^{+0.012}_{-0.016} \pm 0.003$ | $4.215 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.002$ | $4.22^{+0.12}_{-0.05}$ |
| Stellar density   | $\rho_\star$ | $\rho_\odot$ | $0.428^{+0.007}_{-0.011}$ | $0.421 \pm 0.013$ | $0.414^{+0.007}_{-0.039}$ |
| Planet mass       | $M_b$ | $M_{\text{Jup}}$ | $1.47^{+0.13}_{-0.10} \pm 0.01$ | $1.47 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.02$ | $1.490 \pm 0.088$ |
| Planet radius*    | $R_b$ | $R_{\text{Jup}}$ | $1.596^{+0.054}_{-0.007}$ | $1.554 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.008$ | $1.528^{+0.047}_{-0.073}$ |
| Planet surface gravity | $g_b$ | $\text{m s}^{-2}$ | $14.34^{+0.85}_{-0.65}$ | $15.12 \pm 0.93$ | $15.7 \pm 1.4$ |
| Planet density*   | $\rho_b$ | $\rho_{\text{Jup}}$ | $0.339^{+0.023}_{-0.025}$ | $0.367 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.002$ | $0.415^{+0.046}_{-0.053}$ |
| Equilibrium temperature | $T_{\text{eq}}$ | K | $2489^{+65}_{-65}$ | $2495 \pm 66$ | $2508^{+75}_{-70}$ |
| Safronov number   | $\Theta$ | | $0.0303^{+0.0019}_{-0.0002}$ | $0.0311 \pm 0.0019 \pm 0.0002$ | |
| Orbital semimajor axis | $a$ | au | $0.01979^{+0.00001}_{-0.00002}$ | $0.01978 \pm 0.00049 \pm 0.00010$ | $0.01985 \pm 0.00021$ |
| Age               | $\tau$ | yr | $3.8^{+2.1}_{-1.7} \pm 0.4$ | $3.8^{+2.1}_{-1.6} \pm 0.4$ | $3$ to $5$ |

### Planetary parameters corrected for asphericity:

| Quantity | Symbol | Unit  | This work | Paper I | G14 |
|----------|--------|-------|-----------|---------|-----|
| Planet radius | $R_{\text{Jup}}$ | $1.646^{+0.525}_{-0.15}$ | $1.603 \pm 0.052$ | |
| Planet density | $\rho_{\text{Jup}}$ | $0.309^{+0.088}_{-0.025}$ | $0.335 \pm 0.025$ | |
The ultra-short period planet WASP-103 b

The probability that the two stars form an asterism was calculated as follows. We used the TRILEGAL galactic model (Girardi et al. 2005) to produce a synthetic population of stars for a 1° field centred on WASP-103 ($l = 23.4^\circ$, $b = +33.0^\circ$). Stars were simulated to a depth of $i = 26$, and the default parameters for version 1.6 of the model were used (see e.g. Lillo-Box et al. 2014, Evans et al. 2016a).

The 2MASS catalogue (Cutri et al. 2003) does not resolve the two stars, giving a combined value of $K_s = 10.767 \pm 0.020$, which we assume includes all light from both WASP-103 A and the companion. Ngo et al. (2016) measured a $K_s$ magnitude difference of $1.965 \pm 0.019$, from which we calculate apparent magnitudes $K_s = 10.932 \pm 0.020$ for WASP-103 and $K_s = 12.897 \pm 0.026$ for the companion. We note that Ngo et al. (2016) also calculated the apparent magnitudes and colours for their detected companions.
physically bound to WASP-103. To compare this hypothesis to the elements were randomised, including the phase at the time of observation to represent the high frequency of mass ratios near the projected separation using a Monte Carlo simulation. We adopted we would expect to have the measured magnitude difference and a $\mu = 2.28$, eccentricity was uniformly distributed in the interval $0.0 \leq e \leq 0.95$, and the mass ratio followed a three-part parameterisation of 2.28, eccentricity was uniformly distributed in the interval $0.0 \leq e \leq 0.95$, and the mass ratio followed a three-part parameterisation to represent the high frequency of mass ratios near 1.0 and low fraction of binaries with extreme mass ratios. All other orbital elements were randomised, including the phase at the time of observation. Projected separations were calculated assuming a distance of $470 \pm 35$ pc (G14), and the magnitude difference determined from the models of An et al. (2009), with $\mu = 0.06$ and an age of 3.6 Gyr. The simulated stars were then weighted based on how closely they matched the measured magnitude difference of the companion, from which we determined that the probability of a star similar to WASP-103 having a matching stellar companion within 0.2397$''$ is 0.0013. This is five orders of magnitude more likely than the background star scenario, even though the inclusion of a constraint on mass ratio makes the probability conservatively low, so we conclude that the faint companion forms a part of the WASP-103 system.

Assuming the angular separation and distance to the system as above, the projected separation of the two stars is $113 \pm 8$ AU. Its orbital period is therefore of order 1000 yr, so is far too long for confirmation via spectroscopic radial velocity measurements. Additional flux ratios covering a wider wavelength range will, however, allow us to pin down its $T_{\text{eff}}$ and therefore mass and radius much more precisely.

In Fig. 5 we plot the absolute magnitudes of the two stars against the theoretical isochrone from An et al. (2009) for an age of 3.6 Gyr and $[Fe/H] = 0.06$. The position of the planet host star is in excellent agreement with its measured mass, confirming the correctness of the distance measurement given by G14. The $K_s$-band magnitude difference suggests a mass of around 0.7 $M_\odot$ for the secondary star, in agreement with Ngo et al. (2016) despite the use of different synthetic spectra (ATLAS9 versus PHOENIX) and theoretical evolutionary models (An et al. 2009 versus Baraffe et al. 1998).

6 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The WASP-103 planetary system is an important tracer of the atmospheric properties of very hot planets, as its short orbital period and hot host star lead to a high equilibrium temperature of $2480 \pm 66$ K. This is even hotter than that for WASP-121b (Delrez et al. 2015), which has very recently been found to have titanium oxide and vanadium oxide absorption in its atmosphere based on spectroscopy from the Hubble Space Telescope (Evans et al. 2016), although we note that thermal inversions can arise due to other chemical species (Molliere et al. 2013). The first work to probe the atmosphere of WASP-103b (Paper I) found that the radius of the planet – as measured by transit depth – was greater at bluer optical wavelengths. The significance level of this detection was strong at

---

**Figure 4.** Variation of planet radius with wavelength in SI units (filled circles) and the slope $-dR(\lambda)/dK_s$ determined from the data (unbroken line). For comparison the values from Paper I are shown with grey open circles and a grey dotted line.

**Figure 5.** Isochrone from An et al. (2009) for an age of 3.6 Gyr and $[Fe/H] = 0.06$ compared to the $J - K_s$ colour and $K_s$-band absolute magnitude of the two stars. The isochrone is plotted with a green dotted line and labelled with the initial stellar mass at appropriate intervals. The properties of the two stars are shown with thick blue lines.
The subsequent detection of a faint nearby star (Wöllert & Brandner 2015) offered the possibility of removing the discrepancy, by explaining the radius variation as a result of light from a faint and red object contaminating the light curves of WASP-103, rather than an intrinsic property of the planetary system. We have therefore used J- and K-band magnitude differences between the planet host and the nearby star, recently presented by Ngo et al. (2016), to determine the amount of contaminating light as a function of passband, apply the corrections in a reanalysis of the transit light curves, and rederive the properties of the system plus the optical transmission spectrum of the planet.

The effect of the inclusion of contaminating light is significant on some of the photometric parameters, in particular the ratio of the radii, which has increased by 2.9σ. This quantity is typically the best-determined of the photometric parameters because it depends directly on the transit depth and is only weakly correlated with other parameters. It is also generally found to be the quantity which exhibits the worst agreement between different datasets (e.g. Southworth 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012). We have usually ascribed this issue to the fact that the ratio of the radii is the best-determined photometric parameter, so therefore is the parameter which is most sensitive to the existence of red noise in transit light curves. It is also clear that some of this discord can be attributed to the variation of opacity with wavelength, which is the underlying physical process probed using transmission spectroscopy and photometry. However, it is likely that some is due to flux contributions arising from undetected faint nearby stars, in which case the scatter in the ratio of the radii is not intrinsic to many of the planetary systems which have been studied in the past.

The effect of the inclusion of contaminating light on the measured physical properties, however, is somewhat smaller. The main changes for the WASP-103 system are that the measured planet radius increases by 0.6σ and the density decreases by 0.8σ. This is encouraging in that our understanding of the general planet population is not greatly affected by the presence of undetected close companions (see also Daemgen et al. 2009). Such a statement is not valid in general, however, as light curves in redder bands are more affected in the typical scenario whereby the faint star is redder than the planet host star. Whilst there are many advantages in observing at redder optical wavelengths, such as weaker stellar limb darkening and starspot perturbations, it is better to observe in bluer passbands if contaminating light from a redder star is an issue. This will be an important consideration for the TESS mission (Ricker et al. 2014), which has a very coarse pixel scale and a passband which cuts on at 600 nm in order to minimise the chromatic aberrations present in refractive optics.

The impact of the contaminating light on the transmission spectrum of the planet is much more important. Instead of a relatively featureless slope through the optical wavelength range, there is now an upward inflection redward of 760 nm. This is not reproduced by existing theoretical models of planetary transmission spectra, which tend to predict the opposite: larger radii in the middle of the optical band due to broad absorption from either atomic sodium and potassium, or titanium oxide. An explanation of the transmission spectrum of WASP-103 b demands strong absorption from species at both bluer and redder wavelengths.

The MassSpec concept was invoked to explore possible explanations of the transmission spectrum of WASP-103 b. We note that this is not strictly applicable, because the spectrum does not exhibit a monotonic slope through the optical wavelength range and therefore is not consistent with purely scattering processes. The spectral slope is much weaker once the contaminating light has been included in the analysis, and is also more uncertain due to the large errorbars in the measurements of the contaminating light. The slope now has a significance of 4.4σ, and corresponds to a planet mass of 0.53±0.13 MJup which is still much smaller than the dynamically-measured value of 1.47 ± 0.11 MJup.

The magnitude differences ∆J and ∆Ks between the companion and the planet host star are consistent with the companion having a temperature of \( T_{\text{eff, comp}} = 4405_{-85}^{+85} \) K and thus a mass of \( M_{\text{comp}} = 0.72 \pm 0.08 \) M⊙. The probability of the two stars being aligned by chance is very low, 2.6 × 10⁻⁹, so they are almost certainly gravitationally bound. WASP-103 is therefore a hierarchical system consisting of (at least) two stars and one planet.

It is clear that our understanding of the WASP-103 system remains incomplete. A major improvement could be obtained from more precise characterisation of the flux ratio between the planet host and the faint nearby star. The available flux ratios are either extremely uncertain (Wöllert & Brandner 2015) or require extrapolation from near-infrared to optical wavelengths (Ngo et al. 2016). Additional observations should be obtained at optical wavelengths using adaptive optics on a large telescope, which can be capable of much greater resolution than a lucky imager on a 2.2 m telescope. It is entirely possible that such observations will cause a further revision to the measured transmission spectrum of WASP-103 b.

Finally, the WASP-103 system is now known to be another example of a planet in a stellar binary system. It is therefore an important tracer of the formation mechanisms of binary and planetary systems (Desidera et al. 2014, Neveu-VanMalle et al. 2014, 2016).
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