Research article

CLOCK expression identifies developing circadian oscillator neurons in the brains of Drosophila embryos

Jerry H Houl†1,2, Fanny Ng†1,2,3, Pete Taylor1,2,4 and Paul E Hardin*1

Address: 1Center for Research on Biological Clocks, Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, 3258 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843, USA, 2Department of Biology and Biochemistry, University of Houston, 4800 Calhoun, Houston, TX 77204, USA, 3Department of Neuroscience, Tufts University School of Medicine, 136 Harrison Ave., Boston, MA 02111, USA and 4Department of Pediatrics, MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe, Houston, TX 77030, USA

Email: Jerry H Houl - jhoul@mail.bio.tamu.edu; Fanny Ng - bio_sng@yahoo.com; Pete Taylor - taylor_pete@hotmail.com; Paul E Hardin* - phardin@mail.bio.tamu.edu

* Corresponding author    †Equal contributors

Abstract

Background: The Drosophila circadian oscillator is composed of transcriptional feedback loops in which CLOCK-CYCLE (CLK-CYC) heterodimers activate their feedback regulators period (per) and timeless (tim) via E-box mediated transcription. These feedback loop oscillators are present in distinct clusters of dorsal and lateral neurons in the adult brain, but how this pattern of expression is established during development is not known. Since CLK is required to initiate feedback loop function, defining the pattern of CLK expression in embryos and larvae will shed light on oscillator neuron development.

Results: A novel CLK antiserum is used to show that CLK expression in the larval CNS and adult brain is limited to circadian oscillator cells. CLK is initially expressed in presumptive small ventral lateral neurons (s-LNvs), dorsal neurons 2 s (DN2s), and dorsal neuron 1 s (DN1s) at embryonic stage (ES) 16, and this CLK expression pattern persists through larval development. PER then accumulates in all CLK-expressing cells except presumptive DN2s during late ES 16 and ES 17, consistent with the delayed accumulation of PER in adult oscillator neurons and antiphase cycling of PER in larval DN2s. PER is also expressed in non-CLK-expressing cells in the embryonic CNS starting at ES 12. Although PER expression in CLK-negative cells continues in ClkJk embryos, PER expression in cells that co-express PER and CLK is eliminated.

Conclusion: These data demonstrate that brain oscillator neurons begin development during embryogenesis, that PER expression in non-oscillator cells is CLK-independent, and that oscillator phase is an intrinsic characteristic of brain oscillator neurons. These results define the temporal and spatial coordinates of factors that initiate Clk expression, imply that circadian photoreceptors are not activated until the end of embryogenesis, and suggest that PER functions in a different capacity before oscillator cell development is initiated.
Background

Most organisms exhibit daily rhythms in physiology, metabolism, and behavior that persist in the absence of environmental cues. In animals, these ~24 hr rhythms are controlled by circadian oscillators that reside in the central nervous system (CNS) and/or peripheral tissues. These oscillators are comprised of interlocked transcriptional feedback loops that regulate rhythmic gene expression within and downstream of the circadian timekeeping mechanism.

In Drosophila, the per/tim and Clk feedback loops control rhythmic transcription that peaks around dusk and dawn, respectively (reviewed in [1-3]). The per/tim feedback loop is initiated during mid-day, when Clk/CYC heterodimers bind E-box sequences to activate per and tim transcription [4,5]. Although per and tim mRNAs peak around dusk, phosphorylation of PER and TIM delays their peak accumulation to the late evening and promotes their nuclear localization [6-10]. After entering the nucleus, PER or PER-TIM heterodimers bind Clk to inhibit Clk-CYC-dependent transcription [11-13]. In addition, clockwork orange (cwo) is also thought to inhibit per and tim transcription by competing for E-box binding with Clk-CYC [14-17]. PER and TIM are then degraded after dusk, thus relieving transcriptional inhibition. Clk-CYC initiates the Clk feedback loop by binding E-boxes to activate vri transcription [18]. VRI accumulates in parallel with vri mRNA during early evening and binds to V/P-boxes to repress Clk transcription [19,20]. Mutants that disrupt Clk-CYC transcriptional activity (e.g. Clek, cyc) exhibit constitutive high levels of Clk mRNA [21], indicating that Clk is activated independent of circadian oscillator function. Since Clk-CYC is required to initiate circadian feedback loop function, we hypothesize that the activation of Clk and cyc during development determines oscillator cell identity.

Locomotor activity rhythms in adults can be synchronized by light-dark cycles in L1 larvae, but not in embryos, which indicates that the circadian oscillator is only functional after hatching [22]. Circadian oscillator cells are present in LNvs, DN1s and DN2s from L1 larval brains during development determines oscillator cell identity. Indeed, per mRNA is detected in the central nervous system (CNS) of embryos [24,25], which implies that Clk and CYC accumulate in presumptive oscillator cells during embryonic development. To understand oscillator cell development in Drosophila, the spatial and temporal expression of Clk and PER was determined during embryogenesis.

In our previous studies, Clk GP47 antibody revealed Clk expression in circadian oscillator and non-oscillator cell nuclei from adult heads at all times of day [26]. Using a newly generated Clk antibody we show here that Clk is expressed exclusively in circadian oscillator cells, and that detection of Clk in non-oscillator cells in a previous study was due to cross-reactivity with DACHSHUND (DAC). During embryonic development PER is first expressed in the ventral nerve chord (VNC) at ES 12 and then the brain at ES 14, whereas Clk is not detected until ES 16 in brain cells that lack PER expression. These Clk-expressing brain cells correspond to LNvs, DN1s and DN2s, and by the end of ES 16 or early ES 17 PER is detected in LNvs and DN1s but not DN2s. These results demonstrate that presumptive brain oscillator cells are present before functional oscillators are detected around the transition to larval life, suggest that the delayed appearance of PER accumulation in presumptive embryonic DN2s gives rise to the antiphase cycling of PER in larval DN2s compared to LNvs and DN1s, and imply that PER has a clock-independent function in the VNC and brain in non-oscillator cells of embryos.

Results

CLK expression is detected only in oscillator neurons

We previously demonstrated Clk immunostaining in all circadian oscillator cells and some non-oscillator cells in adults [26]. One group of non-oscillator cells that showed Clk immunostaining was Kenyon Cells (KCs), which are involved in olfactory learning and memory [27]. To characterize Clk immunostaining during development, we stained L3 larval CNSs with Clk, oscillator cell marker PER, and the KC cell marker DAC [23,28-30]. As expected, we observed Clk staining in every PER-expressing cell, but also detected Clk in every DAC-expressing cell (Fig. 1). This surprising correspondence between Clk and DAC expression in non-oscillator cells suggests a relationship between Clk and dac activation: Clk and dac are activated by the same activator, Clk activates dac, and dac activates Clk. Alternately, Clk and DAC co-immunostaining could also result from cross-reactivity of Clk antisemur to DAC, though little, if any, sequence identity is evident between these two proteins (data not shown). Because Clk and DAC run at a similar apparent molecular weight of ~130 kDa on western blots [13,31], we tested whether our Clk antisemur (GP47) cross-reacted with in vitro translated DAC. As expected, GP47 detected Clk on western blots, but this Clk antisemur also detected DAC (Fig. 2A). Competition with purified DAC protein blocked GP47 detection of DAC on western blots (Fig. 2B), confirming DAC cross-reactivity. Likewise, incubating L3 CNSs with purified DAC specifically blocks GP47 immunostaining in DAC expressing non-oscillator cells (Fig. 2C).

GP47 antisemur detects more than the canonical 4 to 5 LNvs, 2 DN1s and 2 DN2s in L3 brains blocked with purified...
DAC. Although these additional cells could be due to incomplete blocking by DAC, they may also represent DN3s, LNds, and/or l-LNvs, which have been detected previously in L3 brains [23,32]. CLK immunostaining in non-oscillator cells is also eliminated in the CNS of dac<sup>03</sup> mutant larvae [33]. Taken together, these results demonstrate that CLK immunoreactivity (IR) in non-oscillator cells is due to cross-reactivity with DAC, which implies that CLK expression is limited to oscillator cells.

Additional CLK antisera that we had generated were tested for cross-reactivity to DAC on western blots, and CLK antiserum GP50 showed no cross-reactivity to DAC (Fig. 4A). Based on the lack of CLK IR in non-oscillator cells from dac03 mutant larvae and L3 CNSs blocked with DAC antigen, we expected GP50 to detect CLK IR only in oscillator cells. Indeed, CLK and PER co-immunostained several clusters of cells including sLNvs, DN2s and DN1s in L3 larvae (Fig. 4B), but do not detect cells elsewhere in the CNS (compare CLK GP50 immunostaining with GP47 immunostaining in Fig. 1B, E), showing that CLK GP50 antiserum specifically detects oscillator cells in L3 brains. Four additional clusters of brain oscillator neurons are present in adult brains: LNds, I-LNvs, LPNs and DN3s [34,35]. Brains from adults collected at ZT23 were co-

---

**Figure 1**

**DAC is co-expressed with CLK in the CNS of L3 larvae.** L3 larval brains were dissected and fixed at ZT1, immunostained with CLK and DAC antibodies, and imaged by confocal microscopy. (A-C) Projected Z-series images of the CNS, where dorsal is at the top. (A) DAC expression in the CNS. Arrows denote DAC immunoreactivity in Kenyon cells (KC) and the optic lobe (OL). (B) CLK expression in the same brain as panel A. Arrows denote CLK immunoreactivity in KCs and the OL. (C) Superimposed dual laser image of DAC and CLK immunostaining in the same larval CNS as panels A and B. Co-localization of DAC (green) and CLK (red) is seen as yellow. Arrowheads, CLK positive/DAC negative cells. (D-F) Magnified 1 μm optical section through the left hemisphere of an L3 larval brain. OL, optic lobe. (D) DAC immunostaining. (E) CLK immunostaining. (F) Superimposed dual laser image of DAC and CLK immunostaining. Arrowheads, CLK positive/DAC negative cells. Images are representative of three independent experiments. Six or more larval CNSs were examined in each experiment. Z-series images are projections over 32 optical sections at 2.5 μm per optical section.
immunostained with GP50 and PER to determine if CLK expression is limited to oscillator neurons. CLK IR is also detected exclusively in oscillator cells from adult brains and is reduced or eliminated in brains from ClkJrk adults (Fig. 5), which express very low levels of truncated CLK protein (Fig. 6). These results demonstrate that GP50 specifically detects CLK, and that CLK is expressed exclusively in circadian oscillator cells in wild-type adult brains.

Given that CLK is detected specifically in brain oscillator neurons in adults and L3 larvae, we used CLK GP50 antiserum to determine when brain oscillator neurons first appear during development.

**Figure 2**

CLK GP47 antiserum cross-reacts with DAC. (A) Western blots containing 1.0 μl and 5.0 μl of in vitro transcribed and translated DAC (IVTT DAC), 0.1 μl and 1.0 μl of in vitro transcribed and translated CLK (IVTT CLK), and 100 μg of head extract from flies collected at ZT14 probed with either DAC monoclonal antibody (DAC mAb) (left) or CLK GP47 antiserum (GP47) (right). (B) Western blots of samples as denoted in panel A probed with CLK GP47 and 10 μg of purified DAC (GP47 + DAC) (left) or CLK GP47 alone (GP47) (right). (C) Dissected CNSs from wild-type L3 larvae collected at ZT21 were incubated with CLK GP47 antiserum, PER antiserum, DAC monoclonal antibody and 10 μg of purified DAC antigen. A 32 μm projected Z-series image of CLK GP47 IR alone (W.T. CLK), PER IR alone (W.T. PER), DAC IR alone (W.T. DAC), and merged CLK GP47, PER and DAC IR (W.T. merged). Arrows denote brain oscillator cells. s-LNv, small ventral lateral neurons; DN2s, dorsal neuron 2 s; DN1*s, dorsal neuron 1 s plus additional brain oscillator neurons that may include DN3s, l-LNvs and LNds.

**PER is expressed before CLK during embryogenesis**

To determine whether CLK is expressed in presumptive brain oscillator cells, embryos between 0 h and 24 h old were collected at CT33 and co-immunostained with PER and CLK. PER IR is detected in a segmented pattern along VNC as early as ES 12 (Fig. 7A, B), consistent with previous *in situ* hybridization results in embryos [24,25]. PER in the VNC encompasses more cells, increases in intensity, and expands into the brain by ES 15 (Fig. 7C–H). Loss of PER IR in *per*01 mutant confirms that this IR represents true PER expression (Fig. 7I). Surprisingly, no CLK IR is detected in PER-expressing cells, indicating that *per* is not
activated by CLK-CYC during these early developmental stages. The CLK-CYC independent activation of per is similar to the situation in ovaries, where PER expression is not associated with circadian oscillator function [36]. To ensure that CLK expression below detectable levels does not activate PER at ES 12–15, Clk<sup>hik</sup> embryos were immunostained for PER. These Clk<sup>hik</sup> embryos show PER staining in the brain and VNC identical to that in wild-type embryos at ES 15 (Fig. 7J).

Figure 3
DAC is required for CLK cross-reactivity in non-oscillator cells. CNSs were dissected from dac<sup>03</sup> mutant L3 larvae collected at ZT21 and ZT9, immunostained with DAC, CLK GP47, and PER antibodies, and imaged by confocal microscopy. (A-D, E-H) Projected Z-series images of the CNS from L3 larvae are shown, where dorsal is at the top. (A-D') CNS from an L3 larva collected at ZT21 was imaged for DAC (A), CLK (B), PER (C) or DAC, CLK and PER (D) immunostaining. Boxed region in panels A-D is magnified in panels A'-D', respectively. (B'-C') Brackets denote CLK and/or PER IR in DN<sub>1*</sub> neurons, and arrowheads denote CLK and/or PER IR in s-LN<sub>v</sub>, DN<sub>2</sub> neurons. DN<sub>1*</sub>, s-LN<sub>v</sub>, and DN<sub>2</sub> neurons are as defined in the legend for Fig. 2. Co-localization of CLK (red) and PER (blue) appears as white. (E-H') CNS from an L3 larva collected at ZT9 was imaged for DAC (E), CLK (F), PER (G) or DAC, CLK, and PER (H) immunostaining. Boxed region in panels E-H is magnified in panels E'-H', respectively. (F'-H') Arrowheads denote CLK and/or PER IR in DN<sub>1*</sub>, s-LN<sub>v</sub>, and DN<sub>2</sub> neurons. Images are representative of three independent experiments at each time point. At least three larval CNSs were examined for each experiment. Z-series images are projections over 33 optical sections at 2.5 μm per optical section.
CLK expression precedes PER expression in presumptive brain oscillator cells during embryogenesis

Early in ES 16, weak CLK immunoreactivity is first detected in brain cells that do not express PER (Fig. 8A–C). During mid to late ES 16, CLK expression becomes stronger and expands to additional cells in the dorsal brain (Fig. 8D–F). In addition, PER starts to be detected in CLK positive cells in the ventral portion of the brain (Fig. 8F). By ES 17, CLK positive cells form three distinct clusters in each brain hemisphere, with two dorsal cells, four ventral cells, and two cells between and slightly posterior to these dorsal and ventral clusters (Fig. 8G–I). The positions of these CLK positive cells are reminiscent of oscillator cells in larvae; a dorsal cluster of DN\textsubscript{1}s, a ventral cluster of s-LN\textsubscript{s}s, and a medial cluster of DN\textsubscript{2}s [23,37].

Although PER is expressed in some CLK-positive dorsal brain neurons, two cells situated between the most dorsal and ventral CLK-expressing brain cells show little or no PER expression (Fig. 8I). Based on their location, these CLK positive/PER negative cells likely correspond to DN\textsubscript{2}s. In larvae, PER cycling in DN\textsubscript{2}s is antiphase compared to LN\textsubscript{s}s and DN\textsubscript{1}s [23], which is consistent with the absence of PER expression in the presumptive DN\textsubscript{2}s of embryos during the late night and early morning. These results suggest that CLK is expressed in LN\textsubscript{s}s, DN\textsubscript{1}s, and DN\textsubscript{2}s starting at ES 16, followed by PER expression in DN\textsubscript{1}s and LN\textsubscript{s}s during late ES 16 and ES 17. The timeline for CLK and PER expression in embryos is the same whether they are collected at CT49 (1 hour after subjective dawn) or CT37 (1 hour after subjective dusk) (data not shown), indicating that CLK and PER expression are controlled developmentally and are not influenced by the time at which embryos were laid during the circadian cycle.

To confirm that CLK is expressed in larval LN\textsubscript{s}s, DN\textsubscript{1}s, and DN\textsubscript{2}s, L1 larvae collected at ZT13 and ZT1 were immunostained with CLK GP50 and PER antisera. As expected, CLK is expressed in all PER-expressing cells at ZT21, which
includes LNₙₛ and DNₙₛ (Fig. 9A–C). CLK is also expressed in the presumed DNₙₛ, which lack PER expression at this time. In contrast, PER is co-expressed with CLK in DNₙₛ at ZT9, but is absent in LNₙₛ and DN₁ₛ (Fig. 9D–F). In addition, little or no PER IR is detected at either time point in the VNC. From these experiments, we conclude that CLK is constantly expressed only in brain oscillator cells from L₁ larvae; whereas PER is rhythmically

---

**Figure 5**

CLK GP50 antibody only detects oscillator cells in adult brains. Brains were dissected from adults collected at ZT21, immunostained with CLK GP50 and PER antisera, and imaged by confocal microscopy. (A-C) A 66 μm projected Z-series image of a wild-type adult fly brain, where lateral is left and dorsal is top. CLK (A), PER (B) and CLK + PER (C) IR is detected in dorsal neurons (DN₁ₛ, DN₂ₛ, DN₃ₛ), lateral posterior neurons (LPNs), and lateral neurons (s-LNᵥₛ + l-LNᵥₛ). Co-localization of CLK (red) and PER (green) is shown as yellow. (D) A 64 μm projected Z-series image of a ClkJrk adult fly brain, where lateral is left and dorsal is top. No CLK or PER staining is detected. All images are representative of three or more independent experiments.

---

**Figure 6**

Truncated ClkJrk protein accumulates to low levels. (A) Western blot containing 100 μg of head extract from ClkJrk or wild-type flies collected at ZT1 and probed with CLK GP50 antiserum. Full length CLK and truncated ClkJrk proteins are denoted in short (left) or long (right) exposures of the blot. (B) Brains from ClkJrk L₃ larvae were dissected and fixed at ZT1, immunostained with a lower dilution of CLK GP50 antibody (diluted 1:1000 instead of 1:3000), and imaged by confocal microscopy. Images show a 28 μm Z-series projection of a right brain hemisphere, where dorsal is at the top. CLK GP50 immunostaining is detected in DN₁*, DN₂ and LN cells. DN₁*, DN₂ and LN cells are as defined in the legend for Fig. 2, and LN cells include s-LNᵥₛ, l-LNᵥₛ and LNₙₛ. All images are representative of three or more independent experiments.
expressed only in oscillator cells from L1 larval brains with high levels at ZT21 in LNvs and DN1s and at ZT9 in DN2s.

Discussion and conclusion

CLK is expressed exclusively in oscillator cells

CLK immunostaining was previously detected in all oscillator cells and many non-oscillator cells from adult brains [26]. CLK expression in non-oscillator cells was coincident with that of DAC, which is structurally related to the winged helix/forked-head subfamily of helix-turn-helix DNA binding proteins [38]. Here, we find that CLK IR in non-oscillator cells is due to cross-reactivity between CLK GP47 antiserum and DAC (Fig. 1A–F). We also characterized another CLK antiserum, GP50, and demonstrated that it does not cross-react with DAC on westerns (Fig. 4A). Immunostaining of adult brains with GP50 confirms that CLK is expressed only in oscillator neurons (Fig. 4B). The oscillator cell-specific expression of Clk implies that CLK is required for the development and/or function of these cells.

This cell type specificity is consistent with the induction of oscillator cell function, when Clk is expressed in ectopic locations [39]. However, CLK expression cannot induce ectopic oscillators in any cell type, suggesting that other factors critical for oscillator function are not activated by CLK or the CLK-dependent developmental programs are incompatible with the development of many cell types. In the loss-of-function ClkJrk mutant, expression of direct CLK-CYC target genes, per, tim, vri, and Pdp1ε is abolished [4,18,19], making it difficult to positively identify oscillator cells. Though peripheral oscillator tissues (e.g. eye, Malpighian tubule, gut, antenna) apparently develop normally in ClkJrk flies, the loss of oscillator neuron markers in ClkJrk flies makes it difficult to determine whether these neurons are present. One exception to this is l-LNvs, which continue to express PDF in ClkJrk flies [40]. Determining whether CLK contributes to oscillator cell development depends on the availability of oscillator cell markers that are expressed independent of CLK-CYC or rescue of a loss-of-function Clk mutant, upon CLK induction in adults.

Figure 7

PER and CLK expression in ES 12 – ES 15 embryos. 0 h – 24 h wild-type (W.T.), per01, or ClkJrk embryos were collected at CT33, immunostained with CLK and PER antisera, and imaged by confocal microscopy. (A, B) 12 μm Z-series projection of PER (A) or CLK + PER (B) IR in the VNC of a W.T. embryo during ES 12. (C, D) 20 μm Z-series projection of PER (C) or CLK + PER (D) IR in the VNC of a W.T. embryo during ES 13. (E, F) 18 μm Z-series projection of PER (E) or CLK + PER (F) IR in the brain (box) and VNC of a W.T. embryo during ES 14. (G, H) 30 μm Z-series projection of PER (G) or CLK + PER (H) IR in the brain (box) and VNC of a W.T. embryo during ES 15. (I) 42 μm Z-series projection of PER (E) or CLK + PER (F) IR of a per01 embryo during ES 15. (J) 22 μm Z-series projection of PER (E) or CLK + PER (F) IR in the brain (box) and VNC of a ClkJrk embryo during ES 15. Co-localization of CLK (red) and PER (green) is shown as yellow. All images are representative of three or more independent experiments.
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Oscillator cell development

In adults, CLK-dependent activation of the feedback regulator per is required for oscillator function [4,5], thus we expect that Clk would be expressed before per during development. However, per mRNA and protein are expressed in the VNC starting at ES 12 and in the brain at ES 14 (Fig. 7A–F), well before CLK is detected in the brain at ES 16. This early PER expression in the VNC and brain is independent of Clk because it persists in the ClkJrk mutant and does not overlap with CLK later in development. The role of PER in CLK negative cells during embryogenesis is unknown, but it is possible that PER modulates transcription by targeting other bHLH-PAS transcription factors (e.g. SINGLE-MINDED) expressed in these cells [41]. Regardless of the role PER plays, the lack of obvious developmental defects in per01 flies suggests that per is not critical for embryonic development. In larvae, the intensity of PER IR in CLK-negative brain cells and the VNC decreases drastically (Figs. 3, 4, 9), consistent with previous results [19].

CLK can be detected in 2–4 cells in each brain hemisphere starting at early to mid ES 16, and expands during ES 17 to approximately eight CLK-positive cells in each brain hemisphere (Fig. 8). These cells are spatially segregated into three groups that correspond to larval LNv5, DN15, and DN16 (Fig. 8D–I). PER can be detected in some CLK-positive brain cells starting as early as the end of ES 16 (Fig. 8D), or about 16 h post-fertilization. During ES 17, PER IR increases in intensity and encompasses all four LNv5 and both DN15 (Fig. 8G–I). The 3–6 h delay between CLK detection and PER detection in embryonic brain cells is similar to the delay between the accumulation of per mRNA and protein in adults [42,43], and suggests that once CLK-CYC initiates per transcription in embryos, PER accumulation is delayed by the same DBT-dependent PER degradation mechanism described in adults. The initiation of molecular oscillator function at ES 17 coincides with the existence of light-entrainable oscillators that mediate behavioral rhythms in adults; a 12 h light pulse ending 6 h before larval hatching didn’t synchronize behavioral rhythms of adults, but a 12 h light pulse ending at the time of larval hatching did synchronize behavioral rhythms in adults [22]. The initiation of oscillator function by CLK in embryos is also consistent with Clk’s unique ability to initiate oscillator function when expressed in certain ectopic cells [39]. When combined with these previous studies, our results support a model whereby CLK expression initiates circadian oscillator function in brain neurons at ES 16, and these brain neurons go on to control rhythms in locomotor activity in adults.

Figure 8
CLK and PER expression in embryos during ES 16 and ES 17. 0 h – 24 h wild-type (W.T.) embryos were collected at CT33, immunostained with CLK and PER antisera, and imaged by confocal microscopy. Anterior is to the left and dorsal is on the top. (A-C) 58 μm Z-series projection of PER (A), CLK (B) or CLK + PER (C) IR in the brain (box) and VNC during early ES 16. (A-C) Magnified view of the boxed brain regions in panels A-C, respectively. (D-F) 18 μm Z-series projection of PER (D), CLK (E) or CLK + PER (F) IR in the brain (box) and VNC during late ES 16. (D-F) Magnified view of the boxed brain regions in panels D-F, respectively. (G-I) 20 μm Z-series projection of PER (G), CLK (H) or CLK + PER (I) IR in the brain (box) and VNC during ES 17. (G-I) Magnified view of the boxed brain regions in panels G-I, respectively. Co-localization of CLK (red) and PER (green) is shown as yellow. All images are representative of three or more independent experiments. 
CLK is expressed in all three groups of oscillator neurons during ES 16 and ES 17, but PER is only detected in LN vs and DN1s during this time. The delayed onset of PER accumulation in DN2s is intriguing, considering that the DN2s oscillator is antiphase compared to those in larval LN vs and DN1s [23]. The antiphase cycling of oscillator in DN2s can be brought into phase with oscillators in LN vs and DN1s by expressing CRY in DN2s [44], demonstrating that this antiphase cycling is CRY-dependent. Whether CRY also acts to control antiphase cycling in embryonic DN2s will be investigated. In any case, our results demonstrate that antiphase cycling of oscillators in DN2s is developmentally regulated and light independent.

The activation and maintenance of Clk transcription in developing and adult Drosophila is not well understood. The basic zipper protein PDP1ε is involved in maintaining Clk activation in cells [19], but does not appear to be the primary Clk activator [45]. One approach to defining Clk activators in embryos is to first determine which cells within the Drosophila embryonic brain express Clk base on co-expression of marker genes [46,47]. Once Clk-expressing cells have been identified, transcriptional activators expressed in these cells can be tested singly or in combination for their ability to activate Clk. Identifying factors that activate Clk in a cell-specific manner will ultimately reveal determinants of oscillator cell fate.

**Methods**

**In vitro translation**

The full-length dac open reading frame was removed from pUAS-dac [48] by digestion with EcoR1 and Xba1 and inserted into the EcoR1 and Xba1 sites of pBluescript KS(-). A plasmid containing the full-length Clk open reading frame was described previously (Lee’98). In vitro transcription/translation (IVTT) of plasmids containing the complete dac or Clk open reading frames was carried out using (Promega, L5010) as per manufacturer's instructions by combining the following: 25 μl TNT reticulocyte lysate; 2 μl TNT reaction buffer; 1 μl RNA polymerase; 1 μl complete amino acid mix; 1 μg DNA; to 50 μl with water. Samples were incubated at 30°C for 90 min.

**Protein expression and purification**

The complete dac open reading frame was removed from pBluescript KS(-) by digestion with EcoR1 and Xho1 and inserted into the EcoR1 and Xho1 sites of pET-28(b). The resulting pET-dac plasmid was transformed into BL21(D3) pLysS cells for protein expression. Cell lysates were purified over a His Trap FF Column (GE, 17-5010) as per manufacturer's instructions by combining the following: 25 μl TNT reticulocyte lysate; 2 μl TNT reaction buffer; 1 μl RNA polymerase; 1 μl complete amino acid mix; 1 μg DNA; to 50 μl with water. Samples were incubated at 30°C for 90 min.
Western blotting

Flies having a normally functioning circadian clock (white) and CLK^lnk flies were entrained for at least 3 days in 12 h light: 12 h dark cycles and collected at different Zeitgeber Times (ZTs), where ZT0 is lights-on and ZT12 is lights-off. Fly protein samples were prepared from heads via RBS extraction [13]. Westerns blots were prepared by electrophoresing fly head extract and IVTT DAC and CLK on Criterion pre-cast gels (BioRad) and transferring the gel to Hybond-P membranes (Amersham). Antibodies were used at the following concentrations to probe western blots: GP47, 1:2,000; GP50, 1:5,000; anti-DAC, 1:300. For pre-absorption with DAC, GP47 was incubated with the indicated amount of DAC at 4 °C overnight with shaking. Incubation with primary antibodies was done at RT for 1 h for both anti-CLK antibodies and anti-DAC at 4 °C overnight. Incubation with secondary antibodies was done at RT for 1 hr at a concentration of 1:1,000 using anti-Guinea pig HRP (Sigma, A7289) or anti-mouse HRP (Sigma, A5278) for the anti-CLK and anti-DAC primary antibodies, respectively. Immunoblots were visualized with ECL Plus (Amersham).

Embryo and Larva collection and staging

Wild-type (Canton S) flies were entrained to 12 h light: 12 h dark cycles at 25 °C for at least 3 days in egg laying cages containing grape agar plates with yeast paste. Lights were turned off and embryos were collected on fresh plates starting at CT9 and ending at CT33 (9 h after subjective dawn). To determine if the circadian clock affected oscillator cell development or phase, embryos were collected on fresh plates from CT10 to CT37 (1 h after subjective dusk) or from CT22 to CT49 (1 h after subjective dawn). After collection, embryos were fixed and staged based on their morphology [49]. L1 and L3 larvae were collected at different times during LD cycles. Different larval stages were identified based on morphology [50].

Immunostaining embryos

Wild-type (Canton S) embryos were collected and dechorionated as described [51]. Embryos were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PEM buffer with pH6.9 (0.1 M PIPES pH6.9, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA) while shaking, washed with methanol, and then re-hydrated with PBST (1 × PBS, 1% BSA, 0.05% Triton X-100). Primary antibody was diluted in PBST and incubated at 4 °C overnight. The primary antibodies and dilutions used were: anti-Guinea pig polyclonal CLOCK GP50 antibody at a 1:200 dilution, and anti-rabbit polyclonal PER (gift from J. Hall) that was pre-absorbed against per0 embryos as described [52] at a 1:200 dilution. Following primary antibody incubation, embryos were washed with PBST for 30 minutes at least 6 times at room temperature. Embryos were then incubated with a fluorescently labeled secondary antibody at a 1:200 dilution at 4 °C overnight. The following secondary anti-

bodies were used: goat anti-guinea pig Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch) for anti-CLOCK, and goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes) for anti-PER. After secondary antibody incubation, the embryos were washed with PBST for 30 minutes at least 6 times at room temperature. Mounting was done using Vectashield (Vector Labs). Six or more embryos were examined at each stage. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times with similar results. Each Z-series image is a projection of optical thickness at 2 μm per optical section.

Immunostaining larval CNSs and adult brains

Dissected adult brains were processed as previously described [26]. CNSs from wild-type L3 larvae were dissected in 1 × PBS with pH7.4. Dissected larval CNSs were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde, washed, and incubated in the following primary antibodies at 4 °C overnight: mouse mAbdac2-3 (1:100 dilution), anti-Guinea pig polyclonal CLK GP50 (1:3,000 dilution), and pre-absorbed anti-rabbit polyclonal PER (1:30,000 dilution). More concentrated CLK GP50 antibody (1:100 dilution) was used to detect CLK in CLK^lnk larve. After primary antibody was removed, the samples were washed, then incubated with fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (diluted 1:200) at 4 °C overnight. The following secondary antibodies were used: goat anti-mouse Alexa 647 (Molecular Probes) for mAbdac2-3, goat anti-guinea pig Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.) for anti-CLOCK, and goat anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes) for PER.

Confocal microscopy

Embryos, larval CNSs, and adult brains were imaged using a Zeiss LSM310 or an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope. Serial optical scans were obtained at 2 μm intervals and organized using FV1000 confocal software to generate Z-stack images. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop.
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