Mechanoforces experienced by an organ are translated into biological information for cellular sensing and response. In mammals, the tendon connective tissue experiences and resists physical forces, with tendon-specific mesenchymal cells called tenocytes orchestrating extracellular matrix (ECM) turnover. We show that Mohawk (Mkx), a tendon-specific transcription factor, is essential in mechanoresponsive tenogenesis through regulation of its downstream ECM genes such as type I collagens and proteoglycans such as fibromodulin both in vivo and in vitro. Wild-type (WT) mice demonstrated an increase in collagen fiber diameter and density in response to physical treadmill exercise, whereas in Mkx−/− mice, tendons failed to respond to the same mechanical stimulation. Furthermore, functional screening of the Mkx promoter region identified several upstream transcription factors that regulate Mkx. In particular, general transcription factor II-I repeat domain-containing protein 1 (Gtf2ird1) that is expressed in the cytoplasm of unstressed tenocytes translocated into the nucleus upon mechanical stretching to activate the Mkx promoter through chromatin regulation. Here, we demonstrate that Gtf2ird1 is essential for Mkx transcription, while also linking mechanical forces to Mkx-mediated tendon homeostasis and regeneration.

All living cells experience mechanical stresses in various forms at both cellular and organ levels (1). The extracellular matrix (ECM) not only provides cells with a physical scaffold but also plays a critical role in development, differentiation, and homeostasis (2). The ECM undergoes constant remodeling, adjusting to physical surroundings and external stresses (3). This environment-dependent remodeling results in intertissue variation depending on the type of forces applied (4, 5). The alteration of the physiological stress that the cells experience, either physical or biochemical, affects the extracellular scaffold construction and its normal biological function (5, 6).

The musculoskeletal system experiences the greatest load and mechanical stress in living organisms (7, 8). However, the type of stress varies according to the role of the tissue, even among the mesenchymal tissues. Tendons and ligaments are fibrous tissues that connect muscle to bone or bone to bone, which require both stiffness and elasticity to withstand and absorb stress from daily activities and exercises. Failure of tendon/ligament results in inflammation, rupture, joint instability, and dislocation. Ruptures often require surgery, and treatment of tendon/ligament disorders often results in prolonged periods of rest, severely affecting activities of daily living (9–11). As tendons and ligaments are similar in components, tendons are often used as autografts in ligament injuries (12). Even after healing, these tissues have poor vasculature and form fibrotic scars with reduced elasticity, increasing the risk of recurrence (13). Inadequate repair resulting in the alteration of normal tension may also lead to degeneration of tendons and ligaments (14). This diminution of tissues after loss of tension may be partly explained by enhanced apoptosis, and although the exact response mechanism to the withdrawal of tension is unclear, it confirms the importance of adequate tension in homeostasis (15). Thus, understanding the mechanisms of tendon/ligament homeostasis is important in order to promote healing and repair. Of the various tendons and ligaments in the body, the Achilles tendon is the largest tendon and also experiences the greatest load in the body (7, 8). Therefore, the Achilles tendon is the ideal candidate for in vivo and in vitro analysis to assess the transcriptional network of tendon-associated genes and their involvement in mechanosensing.

Tendons consist of fibroblast-like cells, referred to as tenocytes. Type I collagen accounts for the most of the tendon dry mass that forms the collagen fibers and fibrils, with the remaining components consisting of type III collagen, proteoglycans (fibromodulin [Fmod], biglycan, and decorin), glycoproteins (elastin, fibrillin, and tenascins), and other ECM proteins (12, 16). To date, transcription factors Mohawk (Mkx) and Scleraxis (Scx) have been identified as essential transcription factors in tendon development (17–20). While Scx is critical during the embryonic stages, Mkx is thought to be important in both development and maturation into adulthood (18–21). Mkx is a transcription factor responsible for the development of tendons, ligaments, and other ligamentous tissues, and the absence of Mkx results in hypoplastic tendons and ligaments, with decreased collagen fibers (19, 22, 23). Downstream genes...
include type I collagen alpha 1 (Col1a1) and proteoglycans that regulate fibril growth such as fibromodulin, decorin, and lumican (19, 20). However, no upstream regulators have yet been identified, and elucidation of Mkx regulators is fundamental to uncovering the complex molecular network of tendon development and homeostasis (24).

Tendons and ligaments are load-bearing organs, and there have been reports of tendon-associated gene expression changes with mechanical loading in vitro and in vivo (25–27). Adequate in vitro cyclic stretching of tenocytes and moderate in vivo treadmill exercise have been shown to increase expression of tendon-associated genes such as Scx, tenomodulin (Tnmd), and Col1 (27). However, there have been no reports linking Mkx with mechanical loading, despite its prolonged expression beyond the developmental stages and its presumed role in tendon/ligament homeostasis. This research investigates the role of Mkx in mechanical loading, as well as exploring the upstream regulators of Mkx.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical statement.** All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the committee at the Tokyo Medical and Dental University.

**Treadmill.** C57BL6/N mice, 10 to 12 weeks old, were placed on a treadmill system (Osaka Microsystem) and were exercised according to their allocated treadmill protocol. Moderate exercise included 12 m/min at 0° inclination for 30 min, 5 days per week, for 4 weeks’ duration following a period of acclimatization (Fig. 1A). Three or more mice were used for each RNA analysis. All mice were able to complete their allocated protocol.

**Mkx**-/− mice. The Mkx−/− mice used were generated and analyzed as described previously (19).

**RNA collection.** RNA collection from rat Achilles tendon was performed after immersion of samples in RNAlater (Ambion). Tissues were cut into 1-mm3 pieces and homogenized using a Precellys ceramic beads kit and a Minilys homogenizer (both from Bertin Technologies). RNA collection was performed using a ReliaPrep RNA tissue miniprep system (Promega). RNA collection from cells was performed using a ReliaPrep RNA cell miniprep system (Promega).
Gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR. Reverse transcription (RT) was performed using Reverta Ace (Toyobo). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed with Thunderbird Sybr qPCR mix (Toyobo). The expression level of Gapdh was used as an internal control for mRNA expression. Gene expression levels were quantified using the \( \Delta\Delta Ct \) (where \( C_t \) is threshold cycle) method. qRT-PCR was performed with three independent samples to confirm reproducibility. Primer sequences for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

Primary rat tenocyte cultivation. A 3- to 6-week-old Wistar rat was euthanized and briefly immersed in 70% ethanol. An incision was made in the skin, and both Achilles tendons were resected after the surrounding paratenon was removed. The Achilles tendons were cut into 1-mm\(^2\) pieces and immersed in Triple Express (Gibco) for 30 min before further immersion in Liberase (Roche) for 45 min. The dissolved tissues were filtered before culture at 37°C in 5% CO\(_2\) in minimum essential medium alpha (MEMa) (Gibco) with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Primary cells were cultured for 5 to 7 days. Medium was changed to MEMa with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin for maintenance. The number of passages of cells used for experiments was kept to fewer than 5 as multiple passages resulted in changes in cell character.

Cell stretching. Cells were stretched using an FX-5000 tissue tension system (Flexcell International). Primary tenocytes were trypsinized and seeded onto a type I-collagen-coated chamber and incubated until cell attachment. The cells were stretched at various stretch magnitudes (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 10%) and frequencies (0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 Hz) in the incubator at 5% CO\(_2\), 37°C. All data shown in this paper represent stretching at 2% and 0.25 Hz for 6 h.

TEM. Three Achilles tendon sections of different mice from each protocol were collected at midpoint of the Achilles tendon, midway between the calcaneal attachment and musculotendinous junction, and fixed in glutaraldehyde before dehydration and epoxy resin fixation. Sections were stained with toluidine blue to reveal appropriate tendon structure for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis. Ultrathin slices were obtained and viewed at a magnification of \( \times \)50,000. The shortest collagen diameters were counted in three different views (\( n = 100 \) each) for diameter comparison, and the numbers of collagen fibers per area were determined by counting from three different views to assess fiber density.

Plasmid construction. A region 7 kb upstream and a region 3 kb region of the \( \text{Mkx} \) first coding exon were cloned from the C57BL6/N mouse genome and subcloned into a promoterless pGL4.12 luciferase (Luc) vector for screening. Deletion constructs were made by PCR amplification of the respective promoter regions using PrimerSTAR HS DNA polymerase (Clontech); amplified fragments were cut with restriction endonucleases NotI and SalI (NipponGene) and then ligated using a DNA ligation kit (TaKaRa). Primers used are listed in Table S2 in the supplemental material. The plasmids were then sequenced to check for mutations.

The \( \text{Mkx} \) upstream region between bp -666 and +319 was further divided into three segments (\( \text{Mkx}_{-1 \text{el} -2 \text{el} -3 \text{el}} \) and cloned onto a pGL4.12 luciferase vector with a thymidine kinase (TK) promoter using EcoRV and BglII restriction enzymes (NipponGene) (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). This region was further narrowed down to a 146-bp region \( \text{Mkx}_{-4 \text{el}} \) by selecting a region of high conservation in the mouse genome and subcloned into a promoterless pGL4.12 luciferase construct for normalization (Promega). This region was further narrowed down to a 68-bp region containing GATTA and GATTA-like motifs that was identified within the 146-bp region \( \text{Mkx}_{-4 \text{el}} \). This 68-bp region was deleted from the \( \text{Mkx}_{-4 \text{el}} \) vector using inverse PCR (see Table S4 in the supplemental material).

Functional screening assay. The 6,049 different expression vectors from the Mammalian Gene Collection (MGC) human cDNA expression vector library were arrayed with pCDNA3.1 (+) as a negative control on 384-well plates using 50 ng of plasmid per well. High-throughput transfection assays were performed with 20 \( \mu \)l of Opti-MEM (Gibco) containing 0.1 \( \mu \)l of Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) and 20 ng of pGL4.12 reporter vector, which were incubated for 20 min. HEK293T cells in 40 \( \mu \)l of medium were added to each well and cultured for 48 h. Luciferase activity was measured using a Steady-Glo luciferase assay system (Promega). A second screening was performed for 35 samples that showed increased luciferase activity in 96-well plates. A third screening was performed in a 24-well plate. Candidate genes were selected based on significant luciferase activity increases when empty luciferase vector without the \( \text{Mkx} \) promoter was used as a control.

Immunocytochemistry. Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 min at room temperature and rewash with PBS. Cells were immersed in Blocking One (Nacalai Tesque) for 60 min before the addition of primary antibodies overnight. The samples were then rinsed, and secondary antibodies were added for 1 h before visualization. The primary antibodies used were anti-Gtf2ird1 (AV33735; Sigma) for endogenous Gtf2ird1 (general transcription factor II-I repeat domain-containing protein 1) and anti-FLAG antibody (2368S; Cell Signaling) to detect exogenous FLAG-GTF2IR1D. The secondary antibody used was Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit IgG (A21206; Life Technologies). Hoechst (H3570; Life technologies) was used to stain the nucleus.

Western blotting. Protein extracts were collected using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer, separated in SDS-PAGE running buffer, and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Membranes were washed in Tris-buffered saline plus Tween (TBST) and blocked using Blocking One for 1 h. Anti-Gtf2ird1 (1/250) (AV33735; Sigma) was used as the primary antibody at 4°C overnight. Anti-β-actin antibody (1/250) (AC-74; Sigma) was used as an internal control, while anti-H3 antibody (1/2,000) (4499S; Cell Signaling) and anti-α/β-tubulin antibody (1/1,000) (21485; Cell Signaling) were used as nuclear and cytoplasmic controls, respectively. Anti-rabbit and antimouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibodies (both at 1/2,000) were used as secondary antibodies (NA9340V and NA931V, respectively; GE Healthcare). Detection reagent (Thermo Scientific) was used to detect the target protein. Stripping solution (Wako) was used for stripping membranes.

Knockdown experiments using siRNA. A small interfering RNA (siRNA) was transfected with Lipofectamine RNAiMax (Invitrogen) into primary rat tenocytes. Three Mission siRNAs (Rn_Gtf2ird1_9273; Sigma) for rat Gtf2ird1 were purchased, and similar results were obtained for all siRNAs (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). siRNA 00039274 was used in all experiments. For an siRNA targeting \( \text{Mkx} \) (siMkx), Silencer Select (s145551; Ambion) was used. siRNA (0.03 nmol) was transfected with 6 to 9 \( \mu \)l of RNAiMax and incubated in culture medium for 36 to 48 h before collection and qRT-PCR analysis.

Luciferase assay. An \( \text{Mkx} \) promoter-firefly luciferase reporter gene construct (50 ng), an effector gene construct (50 ng), and 5 ng of the pGL4.74 Revalia luciferase construct for normalization (Promega) were cotransfected per well using Fugene HD (Roche) at 50% confluence. Cell extracts were prepared 36 to 48 h after transfection, and luciferase activity was measured using a Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Primary rat tenocytes, cultured as described above, were transfected with the GTF2IR1D expression vector from the MGC library with three volumes of polyethyleneimine Max (Polysciences, Inc.) and incubated in a 15-cm culture dish for 36 to 48 h before fixation in formaldehyde solution. Sonicated DNA fragments were enriched by immunoprecipitation with rabbit polyclonal anti-Gtf2ird1 antibody (AV33735; Sigma). IgG rabbit antibody (Sc-2027; Santa Cruz) was used as a control. Immunoprecipitated and input DNAs were analyzed by qRT-PCR using primers designed upstream in intervals up to 7 kb (see Table S5 in the supplemental material). Anti-histone H3 tetramethylated at K4 (anti-H3K4me3) (ab5850; Abcam), anti-acetyl histone H4 (anti-H4ac) (06-598; Upstate), and anti-RNA polymerase II (Pol II) (8WG16; Covance) were used for histone and Pol II markers.

Statistical analysis. A two-tailed independent Student’s t test was used to calculate the P values.
Mechanoforces induce Mkx in vitro. Physical forces induced Mkx and tendon-related genes in vivo. In order to assess the role of Mkx at the cellular level, Achilles tendon-derived primary rat tenocytes were subjected to cellular stretching (Fig. 3A). In primary rat tenocytes, Mkx induction was most prominent when the tenocytes were stretched using a 2% sinusoidal wave pattern at
0.25 Hz cyclic strain for 6 h. The expression levels of ECM genes downstream of Mkx, such as Tnmd, Colla1, and Colla2, were also increased, indicating that ECM genes are also responsive in this particular experimental protocol (Fig. 3B). However, Scx, another tendon marker that has been reported to have sensitivity to stretching, did not increase. These results show that tenocytes are load-sensitive mechanosensors, inducing Mkx-mediated tendon marker expression under specific conditions.

Functional screening of transcription factors regulating Mkx promoter activity. Currently, there are no known molecular factors linking physical forces with Mkx induction, nor have any upstream regulators of Mkx been identified. Therefore, a functional screening of upstream regulatory factors of Mkx transcription was performed with Mkx promoter-driven luciferase constructs with an MGC library of 6,049 human genes (Fig. 4A and B). Among them, 619 genes increased luciferase activity by more than 2-fold, whereas 267 genes decreased activity by more than 50%. Among the genes with increased luciferase activity from the first screening, 35 genes which activated the Mkx promoter by greater than 3-fold were analyzed for a second screening (Fig. 4C). Then, among the 32 genes which increased luciferase activity, the seven genes with the greatest luciferase activity were selected for a third screening. ETS2 (v-ets avian erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 2) and GTF2IRD1 (general transcription factor II-I repeat domain-containing protein 1) showed the greatest relative luciferase activity increases (Fig. 4D) and were therefore selected as the prime candidate genes for further assessment with regard to Mkx promoter activity.

To determine whether GTF2IRD1 expression affects Mkx expression, qRT-PCR was performed. GTF2IRD1 transfection confirmed the increase of GTF2IRD1 and also demonstrated an increase in Mkx expression (Fig. 4E).

Mechanical stretching induces nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1. In order to assess whether the candidate genes play a critical role in the mechanical response of tendons, subcellular localization was studied with and without stretching in primary rat tenocytes. Mechanical loading in cells has been shown to induce cell shape changes (27, 33). Here, cyclic stretching resulted in elongated tenocytes that extended perpendicularly to the direction of stretch, as reported previously in mouse embryonic fibroblasts and muscle cells, rat vascular smooth muscle cells, xenopus kidney cells, and bovine aortic endothelial cells (34–37). Immunocytochemistry of Gtf2ird1, in particular, showed significant expression pattern changes as a result of cellular stretching. In cells without stretching, Gtf2ird1 showed cytoplasmic distribution (Fig. 5A). However, tenocytes exposed to mechanical stress revealed nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1 (Fig. 5B). Almost none of the control tenocytes showed greater Gtf2ird1 expression in the nucleus, whereas more than 80% of stretched tenocytes demonstrated greater expression in the nucleus than in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5C). The proportion of nuclear translocation was also confirmed by Western blotting, where nuclear protein levels increased and cytoplasmic protein levels decreased (Fig. 5D). The result demonstrates that mechanical loading encourages nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1, indicating that Gtf2ird1 is a mechanosensor in tenocytes.

Gtf2ird1 knockdown inhibits Mkx increase despite cellular stretch. To further corroborate the role of Gtf2ird1 in Mkx regulation, we tested the effects of Gtf2ird1 depletion on Mkx expression with mechanical stretching. Gtf2ird1 knockdown using siRNA did not show increased Mkx activity (Fig. 5E) despite the cellular stretching conditions that induce Mkx expression in control cells. These results demonstrate the importance of nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1 in regulating Mkx expression in tenocytes.

Deletion analysis reveals that a GATTA motif-containing sequence is essential for GTF2IRD1 to regulate Mkx activity. Having identified GTF2IRD1 as the candidate transcription factor that enhances Mkx promoter activity in an Mkx-Luc vector containing a 7-kb region upstream and 3-kb region downstream of the first coding exon (exon 2), we generated deletion constructs to narrow down the Mkx promoter region that Gtf2ird1 regulates (Fig. 6A).
FIG 4 Functional screening for Mkx-regulatory genes. (A) A schematic of the screening system. A fragment 5 kb upstream of the transcription start site in addition to the first exon and intron, with a 3-kb region downstream of the first coding exon, was selected and cloned into a luciferase vector. This vector was cotransfected into HEK293T cells with expression vectors for a luciferase assay, which was repeated and narrowed down for a larger-scale analysis. The conservation plot was obtained using the ECR Browser (http://ecrbrowser.dcode.org/) (73). (B) First screening of 6,049 expression vectors performed in 384-well plates (n = 1). Thirty-five candidate genes with the greatest increases in luciferase activity were selected for a second screening. (C) Results of the second screening performed in 96-well plates (n = 1). Seven genes with consistent luciferase activity increases were selected for a more detailed analysis. Error bars represent standard errors of the means. (D) Results of the third screening performed in 24-well plates (n = 2). ETS2, GTF2IRD1, KIF22, HOXC11, and CCNDBP1 were found to elevate luciferase activity in the presence of an Mkx promoter. Error bars represent standard errors of the means (**, P < 0.01, two-tailed Student’s t test). (E) qRT-PCR of GTF2IRD1 transfected tenocytes confirmed GTF2IRD1 expression. GTF2IRD1 transfected cells also revealed an increase in Mkx expression in rat tenocytes. Error bars represent standard errors of the means (**, P < 0.01, two-tailed Student’s t test).
Luciferase assay of the six deletion constructs revealed low luciferase activity in empty-vector constructs and in the construct containing the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) (Fig. 6B, luc 6). Luciferase activity increased significantly when the deletion constructs were increased to contain a 666-bp region upstream of the transcription start site, suggesting that the critical Mkx-regulating region of Gtf2ird1 exists between bp /H11001 264 and /H11002 666 upstream of exon 1 (Fig. 6B, luc 5).

In order to further assess the Gtf2ird1 binding region, smaller deletion constructs of ~300 bp were constructed with a thymidine kinase (TK) minimal promoter. A luciferase assay revealed that the region between bp −666 and −337 upstream of exon 1 showed higher luciferase activity than the other regions. Furthermore, a 146-bp sequence within this region was found to be conserved among mammalian species, and this 146-bp sequence alone was sufficient for maintaining luciferase activity (Fig. 6C, Mkx-del 4) (38). On examination of this 146-bp region, a previously reported GTF2IRD1 binding sequence, GATTA, and an
other GATTA-like sequence were found (Fig. 6D). A luciferase assay revealed that deletion of a 68-bp region containing these two sequences is sufficient to cause a decrease in luciferase activity, suggesting that GTF2IRD1 binds to a GATTA motif found in the Mkx promoter region (Fig. 6E).

ChIP-qPCR confirms that Gtf2ird1 binds to the Mkx promoter region and is involved in histone modification to regulate Mkx transcription. Having identified the promoter region that Gtf2ird1 acts upon, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was performed to assess whether Gtf2ird1 binds to the Mkx promoter. The results demonstrate a 2.5- to 5-fold increase of immunoprecipitate in the primer designed around a region 600 bp upstream compared to the amount with the surrounding primers and a negative control (Fig. 7A). This region, which showed the greatest enrichment, was consistent with the finding from the luciferase assay. Furthermore, ChIP-quantitative PCR (qPCR) with H3K4me3, H4ac, and Pol II antibodies revealed enrichment compared with levels with the negative control, demonstrating chromatin modification at this location (Fig. 7B).

DISCUSSION

Living organisms and, in particular, the motile organs that resist tension such as tendons and ligaments, input physical forces as extrinsic cues obtained from the environment. The molecular mechanisms of how a tenocyte or a ligamentocyte receives the mechanosignals, however, are not fully understood. We investigated the role of Mkx, a tendon-specific transcription factor, in the mechanosensing mechanism of the tendon cell and of the tendon tissue. Mkx expression was sensitive to mechanical forces both in vitro and in vivo, where Mkx was induced by cellular stretching in primary rat tenocytes and by physical exercise in the Achilles tendon tissues of mice. The functional screening with transcription factors identified GTF2IRD1 and ETS2 as candidate upstream regulators of Mkx transcription. Among them, Gtf2ird1 was induced to translocate into the nucleus by the cellular stretching in primary rat tenocytes and was indispensable for stretch-induced Mkx up-regulation. These findings illuminate a transcriptional network instigated by mechanical forces that converges in Mkx, an essential gene for the development of collagen fibers and formation of proper tendons.

Mkx mechanobiology. The Achilles tendon is the largest load-bearing structure in the body and is exposed to various mechanical forces, including tension such as tendons and ligaments, which input physical forces as extrinsic cues obtained from the environment. Mkx expression was sensitive to mechanical forces both in vitro and in vivo, where Mkx was induced by cellular stretching in primary rat tenocytes and by physical exercise in the Achilles tendon tissues of mice. The functional screening with transcription factors identified GTF2IRD1 and ETS2 as candidate upstream regulators of Mkx transcription. Among them, Gtf2ird1 was induced to translocate into the nucleus by the cellular stretching in primary rat tenocytes and was indispensable for stretch-induced Mkx up-regulation. These findings illuminate a transcriptional network instigated by mechanical forces that converges in Mkx, an essential gene for the development of collagen fibers and formation of proper tendons.

FIG 6 GTF2IRD1 enhances Mkx promoter activity. (A) Schematic for Mkx-luciferase deletion constructs. A region 5’ upstream of Mkx ATG and a 3-kb downstream region were deleted as shown. TSS, transcription start site. (B) Mkx deletion constructs were cotransfected into HEK293T cells with GTF2IRD1 for luciferase assays. Luciferase activity was severely reduced when the Mkx upstream region was shortened to within 666 bp upstream of the transcription start site. Error bars represent standard errors of the means (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001, two-tailed Student’s t test). (C) Luciferase assay with shorter constructs with the TK promoter narrows down the GTF2IRD1 binding region to 329 bp (Mkx-del 1). On closer inspection of the Mkx-del 1 sequence, a 146-bp region was highly conserved in mammals. Luciferase assay of this 146-bp region (Mkx-del 4) did not show a decrease in luciferase activity compared to that in the Mkx-del 1 construct, thus indicating that this 146-bp region is sufficient for the binding of GTF2IRD1. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, two-tailed Student’s t test. (D) A section within the 146-bp Mkx-del 4 deletion construct described in panel C reveals a previously described GATTA motif and CATT, a GATTA-like-containing sequence. A 68-bp region containing these motifs was deleted from the Mkx-luc 5 reporter vector for subsequent analysis. (E) Luciferase activity was reduced in the reporter vector with the 68-bp deletion to confirm the importance of the motif-containing deleted region. Error bars represent standard errors of the means (*, P < 0.05, two-tailed Student’s t test).
 bearing tendon in the human body, and its molecular network has been investigated in the past using animal models (9, 39). Previous reports have revealed that various tendon-associated genes, such as Scx and Egr1/Egr2 (Egr1/2) are responsive to mechanical stimulation (40). Scx expression was increased after stretching in biartificial tendons composed of mouse mesenchymal stem cells, and treadmill running promoted Scx expression in the epitenon of mouse Achilles tendon while also enhancing expression in the Achilles tendon itself (26, 27). Egr1/2 have also been implicated in short treadmill exercise of rats, but they are not specific to tendons and are general transcription factors that are involved in chondrogenesis and osteogenesis (41–43).

Mkx is a transcription factor specifically expressed in the developing tendon and is essential for the proper tendon development as shown by the tendon-defects observed in Mxk<sup>-/-</sup> mice (19, 44). How Mkx expression is regulated, however, is not well understood. This study shows that mechanical stimulation is a cue that induces Mkx expression in tenocytes and in tendon tissues. Tenocytes and tendons receive mechanical forces immediately after birth, during growth, and throughout life. It has not been understood, however, how precisely the mechanical signals that the tendon receives may affect tendon development, homeostasis, or repair.

This study reveals that Mkx, a tendon-regulating transcription factor, is responsive to mechanical stimulation both in vitro and in vivo. Adequate mechanical stimulation promotes collagen fiber thickening, as observed by TEM, along with increased fiber density. However, this is not observed in Mxk-deficient mice, suggesting that Mkx is important in collagen fiber development in response to mechanical stimuli. Small leucine-rich proteins (SLRPs) such as fibromodulin and decorin, which are reduced in the absence of Mxk (19, 20), may account for the apparent resistance to collagen fiber density increase in Mxk<sup>-/-</sup> mice. SLRPs have been implicated in cross-linking between collagen fibers, and their decrease may prevent normal cross-link formation despite mechanical stimulation (31, 45).

However, tendons and tenocytes are extremely sensitive to mechanical stimuli, and tenogenic genes are elevated when only an appropriate amount of stimulation is applied. Mild stretching of tenocytes in vitro increased Mkx and tendon-associated genes, but increases in the stretching percentage and frequency resulted in a decrease of tendon markers. This was also observed in vivo. For example, short-duration treadmill exercise decreases tendon marker expression, and intense treadmill exercise also inhibits the increase of tendon markers (27). The optimum stretch condition differs from previous studies; however, this is likely to be dependent on interspecies variation and the different devices used (27, 42, 46). Indeed, replication of the tendon marker increase was confirmed with a different stretch device (STB-140; STREX) under slightly different conditions (data not shown). However, excessive cellular stretching decreases Mkx and tendon-associated markers, as reported previously (27).

Extreme stretching and intense exercise have been reported to increase osteogenic and chondrogenic marker expression levels in tendons (26, 27). It has also been reported that the nature of mechanical loading affects the stiffness of tendons, with stochastic strains more likely to cause microdamage and decreased stiffness than cyclic strains (47). The nature, amplitude, frequency, duration, and period of mechanical loading are all part of a delicate balance for tendon homeostasis, and our findings imply the sensitive nature of tendons and tenocytes to mechanical signals.

Transcription factors that regulate Mkx. Mkx is specifically expressed in developing tendons, but its transcriptional regulation remains to be elucidated (24). Uncovering the genetic network upstream of Mkx would reveal the process of how the tendon lineage is determined during developmental specification. In addition to this, revealing the regulatory network of the mechano-sensitive Mkx gene would provide insight into the mechanotransduction pathway in tendons (24). Functional screening performed in this study which covered 6,049 genes from the MGC library has identified GTF2IRD1 and ETS2 as candidate transcriptional regulators of Mkx. In particular, detailed analysis by lucif-
erase assay and ChIP-qPCR assay revealed that GTF2IRD1 regulates Mkx activity by binding to the Mkx promoter ~600 bp upstream of the first coding exon. Sequence analysis determined that a previously reported GTF2IRD1 binding motif and a sequence similar to the reported motif exist in the short sequence identified by the luciferase assay. Deletion of these sequences resulted in reduced luciferase activity (Fig. 6D and E) (38). These findings indicate that GTF2IRD1 binds to the GATA motif in the Mkx promoter region to regulate transcription.

GTF2IRD1 is one of 28 genes that are located in 1.5-Mb region on chromosome 7q11.23 that is commonly deleted in Williams syndrome (48). Whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) and transgenic mouse staining have shown that Gtf2ird1 expression appears from embryonic day 8.5 (E8.5) and stains more distinctly in somites and neural crest derivatives at E9.5 before localizing into limbs, tail, and frontonasal primordia (49, 50). This staining pattern of the limbs is in keeping with Mkx expression, which appears in the later embryonic stages (19). Gtf2ird1 null mice showed hemorrhage in the head and body with abnormally developed blood vessels and presents with embryonic lethality between E8.5 and E12.5 (50). Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) is caused by the hemizygous deletion of this GTF2IRD1-containing region and presents with various musculoskeletal features and neurodevelopmental features (51). Spinal deformities such as kyphosis and scoliosis, as well as joint contracture or laxity, have been reported in humans and in mice (50, 52–54). One of the characteristics of WBS is the malformation of the ascending aorta, which is caused by the haplosufficiency of the adjacent elastin gene, and its diverse severities and phenotypes may be associated with the concordant deletion length (53, 55). Aortic development is also a mechanoforce-directed morphogenesis (56), and it may be that GTF2IRD1 has a role in mechanosignal transduction in various tissues, including the vascular system, contributing to the various degrees of severity of this complex syndrome.

In tendons, we show that Gtf2ird1 translocates into the nucleus upon cellular stretching, thereby revealing how the stretch signals induce Mkx expression. Recent reports have identified possible proteins interacting with GTF2IRD1, which included transcriptional regulating proteins such as heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) and specificity protein 1 (SP1) (57). GTF2IRD1 showed some colocalization with zinc finger MYM type 2 (ZMYM2) and ZMYM3, which may implicate their involvement in histone deacetylation (HDAC)-containing silencing complexes. Interestingly, their localization patterns were also similar to the localization of general transcription factor II-1 (GTF2I or TFII-1), a member of the GTF2I family that is also deleted in WBS. However, these data are based on HeLa cells, which normally show nuclear localization of GTF2IRD1, and therefore may have different functions in tenocytes, where they reside predominantly in the cytoplasm without external stimulation. GTF2IRD1 may also interact with HDAC3 and protein inactivator of activated STAT xβ (PIASxB), a member of the E3 ligase family involved in the small ubiquitin-like modifier [SUMO] pathway), also suggesting a role in transcription regulation through alteration of chromatin structures (58). Indeed, histone modification was confirmed at the GTF2IRD1 binding site to show increased histone marks in H3K4me3, H4ac, and Pol II when GTF2IRD1 was overexpressed (Fig. 7B). These findings show that GTF2IRD1 is likely to regulate Mkx transcription through chromatin regulation; however, how the stretching-induced nuclear translocation of GTF2IRD1 is molecularly harnessed in relation to other proteins in tendons remains to be elucidated.

Possible mechanisms of nuclear translocation. The function of GTF2IRD1 or its regulation of nuclear translocation is still largely unknown and remains an important topic for further research. Possible mechanisms include an ECM-orientated response and phosphorylation. Cytoskeletal changes affect F-actin polymerization and actin binding proteins to induce nuclear shuttling (59). Yes-associated protein (YAP)/transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) have been of recent interest for their sensitivity to ECM stiffness and actin fiber polymerization and capacity to induce nuclear localization to alter cellular function (60, 61). As YAP/TAZ have a role in mesenchymal cell differentiation, they may also play a part in tendon cell development (62).

Phosphorylation of TFII-I results in the translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and is involved in cell cycle signaling, transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) signaling, endoplasmic reticulum stress response, and immune signaling (63–65). TFII-I has also been shown to interact with TATA-binding protein (TBP)-associated factor 15 (TAF15), one of the other candidates from a functional screening that is known to be associated with gene regulation during cellular stress (Fig. 4D) (66). In order to assess whether the phosphorylation seen in TFII-I is observed in GTF2IRD1, reported phosphorylation sites in TFII-I were searched for in GTF2IRD1 (67–69). TFII-I contained two consensus Src-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation sites with two additional Src homology-binding motifs (67). However, none of the four sequences was found in GTF2IRD1, and this was not pursued further. Despite the lack of common phosphorylation motifs, there still remains the possibility of GTF2IRD1 phosphorylation; therefore, this remains an area to be further explored.

Mechanoforces are converged in the Mkx-oriented transcriptional network. Mechanoforces converge in Mkx induction, but the physiological role of Mkx under tenuous conditions is not completely understood. The findings indicate that mechanical cues are essential in collagen fibrillogenesis and also collagen cross-link formation. Furthermore, our recent findings revealed that Mkx transfected mesenchymal cells express numerous tendon-related extracellular matrix components, such as collagens and proteoglycans (70). This implies that Mkx induces a cellular state that responds accordingly to the extrinsic environment so that the tissue-resident cells and the tissue itself are capable of withstanding and adjusting to their environmental demands. However, the expression of Mkx and its downstream genes is load sensitive due to a complex and intricate network of mechanosensitive regulators which determine whether tenogenesis is maintained or whether chondrogenesis/osteogenesis is promoted. How Gtf2ird1 and Mkx interact in this complex regulatory system remains to be elucidated.

Future perspective. The elucidation of the Mkx-regulating gene network may eventually lead to the direct reprogramming of a cell to a tenocyte. This has a significant therapeutic impact because tendon pathologies are long-standing, difficult to treat completely, and can be debilitating. Advances in tendon repair and development of bioartificial tissues have been slow due to the lack of molecular understanding. So far, the involvement of the TGF-β/Smad pathway has been implicated in tenogenesis (71, 72). Scx,
which is heavily involved in tendon development, is also sensitive to mechanical cues, and although its role in tenogenesis seems to be independent of that of Mkhx, further analysis is warranted (19, 20, 25, 26, 40). However, our findings indicate a significant role of Mkhx in signal transduction that is independent of Scx, which may aid treatment of tendinopathy and identify optimal training conditions in athletes or rehabilitation programs postinjury to promote efficient tendon healing. Therefore, the Mkhx gene is a potential therapeutic target for regenerative medicine and development of bioartificial tendons and ligaments.

In conclusion, Mkhx, a tendon-specific transcription factor, is a mechanosensor that is transcriptionally induced by the binding of GTF2IRD1 to the promoter region through chromatin regulation. The nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1 is provoked by cellular stretching, thereby linking mechanoforces to Gtf2ird1 regulation. The nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1 is provoked by cellular stretching, thereby linking mechanoforces to Gtf2ird1 regulation. The nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1 is provoked by cellular stretching, thereby linking mechanoforces to Gtf2ird1 regulation. The nuclear translocation of Gtf2ird1 is provoked by cellular stretching, thereby linking mechanoforces to Gtf2ird1 regulation.
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