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An approach using the occipital parietal point for placement of ventriculoperitoneal catheters in adults
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Abstract

Background: Ventriculoperitoneal shunts (VPS) have been widely used in the management of hydrocephalus. As current investigations into optimal approaches are being studied in the pediatric population, no general consensus on cranial entry points has been established for the adults. We compare conventional posterior and frontal approaches with an occipital parietal point (OPP) on computerized tomography (CT) while analyzing its associated outcomes.

Methods: An Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved retrospective review was conducted on patients at a single institution between 1999 and 2016, with searches of CPT codes of 62223, 62230, 62258. The patient’s lost to follow-up were excluded. Demographics, etiology of hydrocephalus, cranial entry points, and clinical outcomes (optimal placement, blood loss, operative time, malfunctions, or infections) were abstracted. Chi-square analyses were conducted to identify the association between treatment and clinical outcomes.

Results: Ninety-three adults (≥ 18 years old) patients were included in the final analysis that had clinic follow-up, average age was 40.8 ± 15.6 years, with 57.0% had catheters placed utilizing the OPP, and 43.0% using conventional landmarks. OPP had less rates of suboptimal placement (P = 0.0469), and was less likely to develop a mechanical malfunction (5.7% vs. 12.5%). There was no difference in operative time, blood loss, or infection rate.

Conclusions: Shunt malfunctions remain to be a common complication but can be reduced by optimal catheter positioning. The OPP established on computed tomography (CT) is just as safe as conventional landmarks, and can aid in optimal catheter positioning and can potentially reduce the risk of shunt malfunction secondary to suboptimal catheter placement.

Keywords: Cranial approaches, Frazier’s point, hydrocephalus, intracranial catheters, Keen’s point, Kocher’s point, occipital parietal point, ventriculoperitoneal shunt

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Duong J, Elia CJ, Miulli D, Dong F, Sumida A. An approach using the occipital parietal point for placement of ventriculoperitoneal catheters in adults. Surg Neurol Int 2019;10:21.

http://surgicalneurologyint.com/An-approach-using-the-occipital-parietal-point-for-placement-of-ventriculoperitoneal-catheters-in-adults/
INTRODUCTION

Ventricular shunting has been widely used in the management of hydrocephalus. Each year there are 38,200–39,900 admissions, 391,000–433,000 hospital days, and total hospital charges of $1.4–2.0 billion for pediatric hydrocephalus, and while shunts have revolutionized the treatment of increased intracranial pressure, they have associated complications with more than 50% of pediatric patients have a shunt malfunction within 2 year of surgery.[3,4,10,12] Risk factors such as etiology of hydrocephalus, position of catheter tip, and local environment of the catheter have been associated with shunt malfunction.[1,5]

While predictors of shunt malfunction have been mostly studied in the pediatric population, there is little literature addressing factors and outcomes with ventricular shunt systems in adult patients with hydrocephalus. Also, no consensus regarding optimal intracranial catheter placement has been established with studies demonstrating mixed results between anterior and posterior approaches.[1,2] The authors of this study describe an occipital parietal point (OPP) to aid in catheter placement and compare the outcomes of patients undergoing ventriculoperitoneal shunts (VPS) utilizing an OPP versus traditional anterior or posterior approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved retrospective review was conducted at a single Level II trauma institution. Patients included in the review were 18 year‑of‑age or older that underwent placement or revision of a VPS between 1999 and 2016 and searches of CPT codes of 62223, 62230, 62258. Those lost to follow‑up upon discharge were excluded. Patient demographics, etiology of hydrocephalus, catheter entry point, and associated clinical outcomes (catheter placement, blood loss, operative time, shunt malfunction, or shunt infections) were obtained. Two groups of patients were established based on catheter entry site. Patients with the OPP entry site were in Group A and patients with traditional entry sites (Kocher’s, Frazier’s, Keen’s) were in Group B.

Radiographically, the OPP is determined by the point based on the trajectory with the shortest distance of traversing parenchyma from the skull to the atrium of the lateral ventricle [Figure 1]. Craniometrically, this entry point usually correlates to a point that is midline distance between a patient’s Keen’s and Frazier’s point within a few millimeters [Figure 2]. The trajectory is directed toward a midline point 4 cm above the nasion.

Optimal placement as defined by this study is considered as catheter placement within the ipsilateral ventricle without crossing midline or contacting the septum pellucidum, choroid plexus, or terminating in brain parenchyma. Chi-square analyses were conducted to identify the association between treatment and clinical outcomes.

RESULTS

A total of 93 adults (≥18 years old) patients were included in the final analysis, 53 had catheters placed utilizing the OPP and 40 had catheters placed using other landmark entry points. The average age was 40.8 ± 15.6. The majority of adult patients who required a VPS were due to trauma (25.8%) causing communicating hydrocephalus, which included traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhages, intraparenchymal subdural, epidural hemorrhages, gunshot wounds, and those who required a decompressive craniectomy for refractory intracranial hypertension. The next common causes of hydrocephalus requiring VPS in our adult population are tumors (17.2%) then followed by intraventricular hemorrhages (8.6%) [Table 1].

Among patients in Group A, 15.1% had suboptimal placement compared to 32.5% of patients in Group B (P = 0.0469). Additionally, Group A had less proximal catheter mechanical malfunctions compared to Group B (5.7% vs. 12.5%), although this did not achieve statistical significance. No difference was detected with infection rates (9.4% vs. 10%), OR time, and blood loss volume (18.3 mL vs. 26.3 mL) between the two groups [Table 2].

DISCUSSION

Obstruction of the ventricular catheter is the most common reason for mechanical shunt failure in both pediatrics and adults, and it can be caused by proteinaceous cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), debris, and more often obstruction by the ventricular wall or choroid plexus and optimal catheter placement can reduce the rate of proximal failure.[7,14] While additional frameless
stereotactic guidance or ultrasonography can aid in optimal catheter placement, the OPP can provide an alternative tool.[17] Our optimal shunt positioning in this study is defined as remaining in the ipsilateral ventricle, midline at the foramen of Monroe without crossing the septum pellucidum or entering the parenchyma.

Ventricular catheter approaches have been investigated for optimal outcomes with mixed results and current studies are being conducted to investigate anterior versus posterior entry points.[1,2,7,15] In adults, suboptimal catheter placement was found to be the strongest predictor of shunt malfunction and some have proposed optimal placement to be within the anterior center of the lateral ventricle and above the foramen of Monro.[6,18] Malfunction also occurs when the catheter tip is into the frontal brain or towards the body of the ventricle into the choroid plexus.[1,10,16]

In addition to reducing malfunction rates, improved positioning can reduce the need for additional surgical procedures for revision. Shunting procedures cost the United States more than 1 billion each year, where predominantly the costs arise from complication and revision rates.[8,9,11,13] Previous external ventricular drains (EVD), revisions, CSF leaks, and longer operation times were risks of long-term mechanical dysfunction and infection.[7] Although our overall infection rate is consistent with current rates reported in the literature, our cohort did not demonstrate and increased risk of infection in association with a previous EVD.

This study provides insight into different surgical options pertaining to entry point for VPS placement; however, this study is not without its limitations. The study would be strengthened if it were a randomized controlled study while comparing to separate traditional entry sites, as well as increasing the number of patients for statistical significance. Due to its retrospective nature, this study includes patients with different etiologies of hydrocephalus which allow confounding variables to influence outcomes and also there may be confounding CPT codes. Lastly, the study may have been strengthened if it were a single-surgeon study, rather than a multiple-surgeon study.

**Table 1: Patient population with males more common than females, and most common cause of hydrocephalus is due to trauma (traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage, gunshot wounds, intracerebral contusions, etc.)**

| Patient demographics | N (avg) |
|----------------------|---------|
| Age                  | 40.8 ± 15.6 years |
| Sex                  |         |
| Male                 | 58 (62%) |
| Female               | 35 (38%) |
| Approach              |         |
| OPP                  | 40 (43%) |
| Conventional         | 53 (57%) |
| Etiology of hydrocephalus |     |
| Aneurysm SAH         | 6 (6.5%) |
| Cerebral Abscess     | 1 (1.0%) |
| Chiari Malformation  | 3 (3.2%) |
| Congenital HCP       | 2 (2.2%) |
| IPH                  | 3 (3.2%) |
| IVH                  | 8 (8.6%) |
| Meningitis           | 4 (4.3%) |
| Neurocystercerosis   | 6 (6.5%) |
| NPH                  | 4 (4.3%) |
| Pseudomeningocele    | 1 (1.0%) |
| Pseudotumor cerebri  | 3 (3.2%) |
| SAH                  | 1 (1.0%) |
| Trauma               | 24 (25.8%) |
| Tumor                | 16 (17.2%) |
| Unknown              | 6 (6.5%) |
| Ventriculitis        | 5 (5.4%) |

**Table 2: Statistical analysis. The OPP demonstrates less suboptimal placement results, with a decreased rate of malfunction although not statistically significant due to power**

| Statistics                | OPP | Conventional | P  |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------|----|
| Infection rate            | 10% | 9.4%         | 0.9272 |
| Suboptimal position       | 15.1% | 32.5%       | 0.0469 |
| Malfunction               | 5.7%  | 12.5%        | 0.2441 |
| OR time                   | 84 minutes | 85 minutes  | 0.5464 |
| Average Blood Loss        | 18.3 ml | 26.3 ml     | 0.2037 |

CONCLUSION

We describe the OPP as a safe alternative to shunt entry site. We are able to obtain a higher a higher rate of optimal catheter positioning when using this method at our single institution. Although, no entry point or method has been established as superior when compared to others, we hope that this study leads to future randomized controlled studies comparing different modalities.
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