Internal hemorrhoid harboring adenocarcinoma: A case report
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BACKGROUND
The incidence of carcinoma found within an internal hemorrhoid specimen is exceptionally rare. Further, the presence of primary anal canal adenocarcinoma within internal hemorrhoids is even more infrequent. We describe a case in which anal canal adenocarcinoma was found within an internal hemorrhoidectomy specimen and perform a review of the current literature.

CASE SUMMARY
The patient was a 79-year-old male who presented with rectal bleeding and was found to have large thrombosed internal hemorrhoids during screening colonoscopy. The patient subsequently underwent a three-column hemorrhoidectomy. Pathologic analysis revealed one of three specimens containing a 1.5 cm moderate-to-poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of anal origin with superficial submucosal invasion. At three-month follow up, he was taken to the operating theatre for biopsy and re-excision of his non-healing wound, which showed no recurrence. His wound has since healed and he was cancer free at ten-month follow up.

CONCLUSION
When faced with primary anal canal adenocarcinoma an interdisciplinary approach to treatment should be considered. Routine pathological analysis of hemorrhoidectomy specimens may be beneficial due to the severity of anal canal carcinomas if left undiagnosed and untreated in a timely manner.
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Core Tip: The presence of primary anal canal adenocarcinoma within internal hemorrhoids is extremely rare and can be easily missed if hemorrhoidectomy specimens are not sent for routine pathology. When faced with primary anal canal adenocarcinoma an interdisciplinary approach to treatment should be considered. Routine pathological analysis of hemorrhoidectomy specimens may be beneficial due to the severity of anal canal carcinomas if left undiagnosed and untreated in a timely manner.

INTRODUCTION
Pathologic analysis of a hemorrhoidectomy specimen rarely results in carcinoma\(^1\). Current literature cites an incidence of 1%-2%; however, objective data is lacking\(^2\text{-}^4\). Cataldo and Mackeigan\(^2\) reviewed a data set that revealed only 1 of 21527 hemorrhoidectomies (0.0046%) contained an unsuspected carcinoma and did not specify whether this particular specimen contained adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma. Neoplasms of the anal canal are most commonly squamous cell carcinoma, followed by cloacogenic (or basaloid or transitional cell) carcinoma, and rarely adenocarcinoma\(^1\text{-}^5\). Additionally, adenocarcinomas of the anal canal are often considered to be the result of the downward extension of a primary tumor of distal rectal origin or from the columnar epithelium in the upper anal canal, and are therefore considered to be of rectal origin and not true anal carcinomas\(^1\). We describe the case of an unsuspecting hemorrhoidectomy specimen that was found to contain adenocarcinoma of the anal canal.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
Rectal bleeding.

History of present illness
A 79-year-old male who presented with rectal bleeding and discovery of large thrombosed internal hemorrhoids during screening colonoscopy. He reported intermittent hematochezia and denied rectal pain or changes in the frequency, consistency or caliber of bowel movements. He is a self-reported never smoker who adheres to a high-fiber diet. The patient subsequently underwent an uneventful three-column hemorrhoidectomy. The internal hemorrhoids were identified, excised, and sent for routine pathologic evaluation. Pathologic analysis revealed the left lateral hemorrhoid column positive for a 1.5 cm moderate-to-poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. The tumor showed superficial invasion into the submucosa along with a focus that was suspicious for lymphatic invasion (Figure 1).

History of past illness
The patient has a history of atrial fibrillation, diabetes, and remote history of hemorrhoids. The patient underwent a previous laser ablation 10 years prior for bleeding internal hemorrhoids. However, there was no procedure note to denote the location of the bleeding hemorrhoid or pathology report to suggest biopsy in the electronic medical record.

Physical examination
Digital rectal examination prior to hemorrhoidectomy revealed one small skin tag, but was otherwise unremarkable. He had no inguinal lymphadenopathy. Anoscopy revealed a single large inflamed and prolapsing internal hemorrhoid.
Figure 1 Hematoxylin and eosin staining results. A and B: Hematoxylin and eosin (H/E) stains (2 × and 20 × lenses) showing classic anorectal hemorrhoidal vascular ectasia, but with associated moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma invading through the muscularis mucosa (arrows); C: H/E stain (40 × lens) showing benign glands.

**Laboratory examinations**
Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), 6.3%; and albumin, 4.4 g/dL.

**Imaging examinations**
Computed tomography (CT) chest/abdomen/pelvis-negative, endorectal ultrasound-negative.

**MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION**
The case was presented to the institution’s Interdisciplinary Tumor Board, at which time the recommendation was made to pursue wide local excision of the area.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**
Moderate-to-poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the anal canal.

**TREATMENT**
A wide local excision of the previous left lateral hemorrhoidectomy column was pursued. The excision was carried out with a wide margin from the previous scar - at least 2 cm in either direction. The distal and proximal margins were 3 cm onto the perianal skin, and 5 cm into the anal canal and distal rectum. The lateral margins were at least 2 cm from previous scar. The deep margin was into the ischioanal fat. No frozen section was planned at the time of reoperation.
OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Pathologic analysis of the transanal excision specimen revealed chronic inflammation, fibrosis, and foreign body reaction with no residual neoplasm identified. The patient experienced some post-operative bleeding requiring chemical cauterization of granulation tissue, but has otherwise continued along an uncomplicated trajectory of recovery. At three-month follow up, he was taken to the operating theatre for biopsy and re-excision of his non-healing wound, which showed no recurrence. His wound has since healed and he was cancer free at ten-month follow up. It is unclear why the wound initially did not heal. The patient was a non-smoker, had well-controlled diabetes (HbA1c, 6.3%), and albumin (4.4 g/dL) at the time of operation. Close observation and surveillance will be kept, including a full colonoscopy 1 year from diagnosis of adenocarcinoma.

DISCUSSION

The incidence of a hemorrhoidectomy specimen harboring any type of malignancy is exceptionally rare. Adenocarcinoma represents approximately six percent of anal carcinomas overall and is even more rare within a hemorrhoidectomy specimen as one would expect squamous cell carcinoma to occur with higher frequency in the anal canal. It is also more common to have a primary rectal carcinoma within a hemorrhoid specimen from downward extension or metastasis than to have a hemorrhoid harboring a primary anal canal carcinoma. Additionally, there have been reports of implantation of primary rectal adenocarcinoma on a hemorrhoidectomy specimen and occurrences of metastatic rectal adenocarcinoma found within a hemorrhoid specimen[4,6].

Our case is unique because we describe a primary anal canal adenocarcinoma within a hemorrhoidectomy specimen. To our knowledge, there have been less than ten cases reported in the literature. Our patient presented with rectal bleeding, which is consistent with anal cancer but can often be attributed to internal hemorrhoids. Interestingly, he did not experience any anorectal pain, a symptom, which occurs in approximately thirty percent of patients with anal canal cancer. Additional epidemiologic factors that contribute to anal cancers are human papillomavirus, chronic immunosuppression and smoking[8-10]. These risk factors are typically associated with anal squamous cell carcinomas, and were not demonstrated by our patient.

Due to the rarity of primary adenocarcinoma in the anal canal, staging workup follows that of a rectal adenocarcinoma[11]. This should include full colonoscopy, carcinoembryonic antigen level, CT chest/abdomen/pelvis and either endorectal ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging pelvis, as would be performed for rectal adenocarcinoma. Consideration should be given to an interdisciplinary approach to treatment due to the rarity of this tumor. Our patient underwent complete evaluation and was discussed at our institution’s tumor board. The staging workup for our patient’s lesion was consistent with a T1N0M0 anal cancer. Management of adenocarcinomas arising in the anal canal typically follows the same principles as those applied to rectal cancer. Since our patient’s tumor was limited to the superficial submucosa, transanal excision was adequate and has spared the patient from the morbidity of an abdominoperineal resection (APR).

Another key point to be made in this case is that pathologic evaluation of the hemorrhoidectomy specimen was performed. It has been suggested that pathologic evaluation should be limited to patients who are at high risk of lesions with anal intraepithelial neoplasia (immunocompromised, papillomatous lesions) or if there is concern for malignancy based on preoperative, intraoperative or inspection of excised tissue[12]. This has been suggested as the cost of detecting one cancer would be uneconomic, given its rarity. Had this standard approach been followed, we would have missed a potentially life-threatening anal canal cancer, or it may have manifested months later and resulted in an APR. At our institution, hemorrhoidectomy specimens are routinely sent for pathologic analysis.

CONCLUSION

Adenocarcinoma within a hemorrhoidectomy specimen is an exceptionally rare event, but should not be overlooked. Consideration for routine pathological analysis of hemorrhoidectomy specimens may be beneficial due to the severity of anal canal
carcinomas if left undiagnosed and untreated in a timely manner.
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