This paper argues that the considerable volume of discussion in early hadith literature on the issue of men dyeing their hair can grant us significant insight into the logic of early Muslim identity and norm formation. The first to address
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this topic in Western scholarship was Gautier H.A. Juynboll in a 1986 article.\(^4\) Three decades later, it is time to reconsider the issue, for two main reasons: first, we now have access to a much greater range of sources, which enable us to fill in gaps where Juynboll had to speculate; and second, the scope of our imagination regarding what hadith are and what one can do with them has expanded dramatically, beyond the Schachtian theorisation that still very much underpins Juynboll’s article.

I begin by briefly summarising the main findings of Juynboll’s article. I then offer a different interpretation of the material he presented and support this interpretation by introducing previously unknown sources from outside of the Islamic tradition. After establishing the form and meaning of hair dyeing among early Muslims, I conclude by drawing out the significance of this hair-care phenomenon for our understanding of the relationship between hadith and law in the early period.

In his hair-dyeing article, Juynboll sought to explain a cluster of hadith reports in which Muḥammad enjoins his followers to dye their hair, recommends ways of doing it, and distinguishes the practice from the contrary customs of the Jews and Christians. The article takes a Schachtian view of the origins of these hadith reports, arguing that after the conquests the Arabs came into contact with a new cultural practice of men dyeing their hair; they adopted it and subsequently appropriated it by inventing hadith that encouraged the practice. In support, Juynboll points out that hair dyeing was a common practice in Egypt, the Levant, and the Fertile Crescent well before the advent of Islam, whereas Muslim sources and pre-Islamic poetry suggest that it was not well known or practiced among the Arabs before Islam. He then turns to the hadith reports in question, seeking to understand the various colours and dyeing agents described in them. This task is more difficult than one might think. The most commonly recommended dyeing agent is a combination of henna and katam, as in the hadith “The best way of changing this white is with henna and katam.”\(^5\) While the henna plant (ḥinnāʾ, Lawsonia inermis) and the vivid red colour it produces are widely known, katam is more obscure; Juynboll tentatively identifies it as a plant with the English common name Dyer’s woad (Isatis tinctoria).\(^6\) He quotes Abū Ḥanīfa al-Dīnawārī’s (d. 282/895)
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observation that *katam* darkens the colour of henna and concludes that the combination produced a dark colour. This conclusion appears to contradict another hadith, which claims that the combination of henna and *katam* produces the colour *ṣufra*. Instead of accepting the word’s common lexical meaning of “yellow” or “orange,” Juynboll excavates another possible meaning of *ṣufra*, a *de facto* opposite (*ḍidd*), as “black.” A further hadith, according to which Muhammad recommended that Abū Bakr’s father dye his hair red and forbade him to dye it black, is dismissed by Juynboll as an exceptional measure that Muhammad took to make fun of a man who had only recently converted to Islam.

Juynboll then identifies a second group of hadith reports, which explicitly forbid dyeing one’s hair black. He argues that the pro-dyeing hadith scholars, who were seeking to legitimise a practice that Arabs had adopted from their non-Arab subjects, were from Kufa, whereas the minority anti-dyeing hadith scholars, who were hostile to this innovation, were from Basra. Juynboll also examines the *isnāds* of the pro-dyeing hadith but reaches no firm conclusions beyond pointing out that a number of their common links are scholars who died in the early second Islamic century. He concludes his article by speculating that the pro-dyeing hadith were most likely invented by hadith scholars who were also herb-sellers and who sought to use the fabricated hadith to promote their business, which included the sale of hair-dyeing agents.

The key feature of Juynboll’s article is that it takes as its starting point the hypothesis that the hadith on hair dyeing are later fabrications to justify a cultural adoption by Muslims from their non-Muslim subject populations, and it then interprets the evidence in light of this assumption. Juynboll takes it for granted that the purpose of male hair dyeing was cosmetic—to hide the effects of aging—and thus would have required the use of natural-coloured (dark brown or black) dye. However, this approach requires selective and often contrived use of the evidence. It forces Juynboll to excavate a marginal meaning for the word *ṣufra* instead of accepting the more intuitive common one; to construe Muḥammad’s comment to Abū Bakr’s father as an act of ridicule; and to ignore a significant amount of relevant evidence that points to a preference for artificial reddish hues. This evidence includes a hadith in which Muḥammad tells believers with greying beards, “Colour
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[them] red and yellow” (ḥammirū wa-ṣaffirū); the preference expressed by the second-century Medinan scholar Mālik b. Anas (d. 179/796) for colours other than black (which Juynboll actually mentions in another context); the description of Abū Bakr’s beard as being “like a blazing fire from henna and katam”; a hadith according to which some of the companions used the yellowish substances turmeric (wars) and saffron (zaʿfarān) to dye their hair and beards; and the depiction of the prominent second-generation Muslim scholar Ibn Shihāb al-Zuhrī (d. 124/741) as “red of hair and beard, with a tinge of katam.” In addition, the great hadith commentators, such as Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr in the fifth/eleventh century and al-ʿAynī in the ninth/fifteenth century, clearly interpreted the colours in question as other than black: they concluded that according to the overwhelming majority of hadith scholars up to their own times, men should dye their grey hair red or yellow (al-ḥamra wa-l-ṣufra), but not black (sawād). This interpretation is also supported by evidence from poetry. Abū Tammām’s (ca. 188–231/804–845) great poem on the conquest of Amorium in 223/838 describes the city’s slain Byzantine defenders thus:

How many a heroic horseman lay between her walls
His forelocks reddened by hot flowing blood!
His hair hennaed by the way (sunna) of the sword—blood his henna
Not by the way (sunna) of religion and Islam.

In other words, Abū Tammām compares the Byzantine warriors, whose hair has been reddened by their own blood, with the Muslims, whose hair is red from henna in accordance with the prophetic example. Taken together, these sources overwhelmingly indicate that the hadith in question refer to dyeing the hair
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red, yellow, or similar colours that diverge from the Arabs’ natural hair colour. By contrast, as Juynboll notes, the evidence on the pre-Islamic pre-conquest societies of the Middle East relates to the use of black (or, on occasion, blond) hair dye. The idea that these hadith reports were fabricated in order to Islamise an originally foreign practice thus seems untenable.

Furthermore, the practice of mixing henna and katam as a hair dye continues to this day in the Muslim world, and it has also gained a following in the Western world given the nonaggressive and even beneficial nature of this mix for hair. As a result, we know that katam is, pace Juynboll, a dye produced from Buxus dioica, a shrub related to the boxwood tree, and that combining it with henna is done both to lock the colour into the hair for a longer time and to tone down and darken the brightness of henna in order to produce a reddish-brown colour that is less garish than that given by henna alone but clearly not black, and in fact close to the effect yielded by turmeric and saffron.

Thus, instead of two competing hadith traditions pro and contra dyeing, we are faced with a single tradition that discouraged men from dyeing their hair black and advocated dyeing it a reddish or reddish-brown colour. Juynboll in fact considers this possibility in his article but immediately dismisses it, asking: “What is the point in dyeing one’s white hair yellow/orange, if one wants to conceal the ‘hateful white’?” That is a very good question, to which I now turn.

The first possible explanation is that male hair dyeing was a pre-Islamic Arab custom, possibly a ritualistic marking similar to tattoos. When these newly converted Arabs then encountered non-Arabs after the conquests, the pre-existing practice was justified in religious terms through hadith, in a manner similar to the justification of turbans as the crowns of the Arabs. Dyeing the hair with henna was almost certainly known in pre-Islamic Arabia, as Imru’ al-Qays memorably compared the blood of a hunted gazelle to “henna juice upon an old man’s combed and hoary head.”
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The second possible explanation is that male hair dyeing was not undertaken for reasons of vanity—to conceal the “hateful white”—but rather for the purpose of differentiating its practitioners from other groups. This hypothesis is commensurable with the observation that hair dyeing in unnatural colours (specifically, the artificial reddish hues produced by agents such as henna) was known in pre-Islamic Arabia, but it differs from the first potential explanation in that the hadith on the topic would reflect not simply an act of religious rubber stamping, but rather a deeper religio-communal function served by the practice. This explanation also coincides with the explicit rationale given in several of the hadith reports in question, which urge Muslims to dye their hair in order to distinguish themselves from non-Muslims: “Change the white and do not imitate (lā tashabbahū) the Jews”; “Change the white and do not imitate the Jews or the Christians”; “The Jews and the Christians do not dye [their hair], so differentiate yourselves from them (fa-khālifūhum).”

Juynboll was familiar with these reports, but he did not assign them any value; for him, they were part of the false internal narrative of hadith. However, if Juynboll’s own theory is implausible, as I have argued, it is worth reconsidering the hadith that recommend the dyeing of hair in unnatural colours as part of the la tashabbahū/khālifū genre of hadith, which prescribes certain practices for the express purpose of distinguishing Muslims from non-Muslims. These hadith, as Meir Jacob Kister has argued, “seem to belong to a very early phase of Islam, in which it was felt to be essential for the nascent Muslim community to establish distinctive features for its own religious rites and practices, so as to differentiate itself from all other religious communities.”

This interpretation of the hair dyeing hadith gains support from two non-Muslim sources to which Juynboll did not have access but which provide a historical perspective on the Arabs’ hair-dyeing practices. The first of these is a text known as the Arabic Apocryphal Gospel of John. This gospel appears
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to have been translated from Syriac into Arabic before or around the year 184/800, and in the process of translation several elements were added to the text, including a prophecy of the Arab conquests. To summarise the prophecy, the text predicts the rise of a people who come from the desert; oppress Christians; hold theologically deviant views; flood the earth; capture the sacred temple (in Jerusalem); seek to abolish Christ’s rules; enslave and kill Christians, considering it an act of worship; dye their beards with dried herbs; and conquer much of the known world. The prophecy’s only specific information about the physical appearance of the Arabs concerns their dyed beards. While no colours are mentioned, it seems unlikely that the beards were dyed black, because that would hardly produce a noticeable feature. Also, black hair colour in antiquity was (and in places like Yemen is until today) mostly derived from ingredients such as walnut and gall and metals such as iron and lead, not from herbs.

By contrast, both henna and *katam* are extracted from shrubby plants. This source thus indicates that the practice of dyeing the beard in reddish hues was widespread enough among the early Muslim Arabs to serve as the defining
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shibboleth of the conquering Arabs for the Christian writers of the apocryphal gospel. This clearly contradicts Juynboll’s hypothesis of the adoption and promotion of a foreign, pre-conquest practice by an enterprising group of hadith scholars. Of course, the speculative dating of the gospel to the year 184/800 means that its recording was not contemporary to the conquests, but I believe it nonetheless has value: first, because on other points relating to the conquests the account appears to preserve an authentic early memory, very different from second-century Abbasid conditions; and second, because the fact that hair dyeing appears to have been such a clearly differentiating feature makes it likely that the practice was both indigenous and widespread among the Arabs, rather than a later adoption or a minority practice limited to a few hadith scholars.

This conclusion is supported by a second Christian source, the history of Dionysius of Tell-Mahre, which was composed in the third/ninth century but drew on earlier Christian chroniclers. At one point the history describes a group of early Muslims, saying that their beards have been dyed with henna, “as it is the custom of the Arabs to do.”

Finally, in an account of the Islamic conquest of Iberia, hair dyeing appears again as a distinguishing characteristic of the conquerors: when the people of the city of Merida met the Arab commander Mūsā b. Nuṣayr (19–97/640–716) on several occasions over the course of the year 94/713 to discuss the surrender of the city, they found him grey-haired on their first encounter, red-haired at their next meeting, and eventually sporting black hair, and they concluded that he must possess supernatural powers. The account comes from Ibn Ḥayyān (d. 469/1076), who used older sources in his work. I do not mean to argue that this report is necessarily historically accurate, but it provides another example of the recurring image of hair dyeing as a distinguishing mark of the early Arab conquerors—an image used by both Muslims and non-Muslims, both in the West and in the central lands of the Muslim empire.

This is not the only way in which hair was used as an identity marker in early Islam. Another well-attested hadith advises Muslim men to “trim [their]
moustaches and let [their] beards grow.”31 In some recensions of this report, the advice is preceded by the statement “Differentiate yourself from the polytheists,” which adds to the command an identity-forming motivation that relates to the Muslims’ situation in Mecca, where they were surrounded by the majority pagan Arab society, before their exodus in 622 to Medina, where they came into contact with Jews. Another early report, by Muḥammad’s cousin Ibn ‘Abbās, claims that Muḥammad wore his hair open, both to distinguish himself from the pagan Arabs who braided their hair and to follow the example of the Jews and the Christians, because he preferred to adopt the ways of the People of the Book in matters regarding which he had received no specific divine guidance.32

This report, of course, seems to contradict the hair-dyeing hadith and their rationale of differentiation, and Muslim historians also saw the apparent contradiction. The Andalusian exegete al-Qurṭubī (d. 671/1272) proposed the following explanation:

[Muḥammad] preferred to adopt their ways in his early days in Medina, when he prayed in the same direction as they did [i.e., toward Jerusalem] and sought to draw close to them. But when this proved of no use with them and misfortune befell them, he ordered [the Muslims] to differentiate themselves from them in many areas. The reason for the preference for the ways of the People of the Book, rather than those of the polytheists, is that the former adhere to the remains of the laws of the prophets, whereas the latter are pagans with nothing to draw on except what they found their forefathers doing.33

This explanation identifies two phases in Muḥammad’s mission. In the first phase, Muḥammad sought primarily to distance himself and his community from the pagan Arabs while embracing the outward appearance associated with Jews and Christians, thus signalling his closeness to them and seeking to entice them to join him. In the second phase, once these hopes of rapprochement had been dashed, he then adopted a policy of symbolic distinction from the People of the Book, while retaining a fundamental doctrinal affinity with their teaching. This theory of distinct phases of assimilation and differentiation gains support from the Qurʾān, which also depicts two historical stages
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in Muḥammad’s relations with Jews and Christians: the first features optimism about finding common cause, whereas the second is characterised by disillusionment.34

Let me summarise my argument so far. First, male hair dyeing appears to be an early practice among Muslims; even Juynboll, generally a sceptic, affirmed its presence around the year 100 of the Hijra in both Syria and Iraq, and I would assume it to be even earlier than that. Second, the kind of hair dyeing advocated in these hadith reports involved unnatural colours; in other words, it was intended to make the fact that the hair was dyed immediately visible. And third, both the hadith themselves and the other sources indicate that the dyeing functioned as an effective communal boundary marker between Muslims and the other religious groups in their environment.

What made hair dyeing in particular suited to this purpose? The repeated references in the hadith to differentiating Muslims from Jews appear to offer a promising avenue of enquiry. In his 2006 book After Hardship Cometh Ease, Ze’ev Maghen speculates in a footnote that the hair-dyeing hadith could constitute the earliest source for the prohibition in Jewish law against men dyeing their hair to hide its greying, a position that is clearly articulated by the time of Maimonides’s Mishneh Torah in the seventh/thirteenth century.35 This prohibition is based on a biblical injunction for men not to imitate women who seek to disguise their grey hairs (Deuteronomy 22:5). Significantly, Maimonides situates this discussion in the section on foreign worship (Avodah Zarah), that is, among rules concerned with distinguishing Jews from non-Jews.36 The apparent overlap between the Muslim and Jewish discourses on the subject is strengthened by the fact that a related rule in Jewish law—namely, the prohibition on plucking out grey hairs, which is already Talmudic—also appears in the hadith corpus (where it is termed natf al-shayb), and it is often found either together with or immediately adjacent to the hadith on hair dyeing.37
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The interesting feature of the hadith on hair dyeing is thus that although they expressly seek to differentiate the Muslims from the Jews, neither their prescriptions nor those of the related hair-plucking hadith actually contravene Jewish law: both traditions prohibit men from plucking their grey hairs as well as dyeing them black. In fact, then, the hadith call on Muslims to differentiate themselves not in relation to the law of the Jews, but in relation to the Jews as a group. The practice of dyeing grey hair in unnatural colours appears to be a finely calibrated statement that drew on an already known practice of dyeing hair in unnatural colours and that placed Muslims doctrinally within biblical norms but distinguished them visually from other Abrahamitic communities. This conclusion fits well with Michael Penn’s observation that Christians referred to early Muslims, among other names, as “new Jews,” not only for doctrinal reasons—that is, because of the Muslims’ denial of the trinity—but also because the latter followed ritual practices that Jews adhered to but Christians had abandoned. The doctrinal and legal stances were, of course, interrelated: if, as Muḥammad proclaimed, Jesus was but a prophet, he did not abolish the law, as Paul had argued, but rather was a link in its continuation, leading all the way to the prophethood of Muḥammad. Juynboll, in his article, in fact entertains the potential significance of hair as a communal marker in early Islam when noting a possible parallel between hair dyeing and the Khārīji practice of shaving the head, but he does not pursue the possibility further.

Furthermore, the case of hair dyeing permits important insights into the role of hadith and the power of hadith scholars in the development of early Islamic law. The merit of Juynboll’s article on hair dyeing is that it took up, for the first time in modern scholarship, the seemingly trivial topic of personal grooming practices in hadith and pointed out that given the immense interest the topic had attracted from hadith scholars, it might yield more interesting insights than the surface suggests. Unfortunately, as noted earlier, Juynboll’s investigation of the phenomenon was constrained by the Schachtian straightjacket. His focus was on identifying the second-century forgers and their motivations, and his analysis of isnāds was very limited.

The challenge to isnād analysis on the topic of hair dyeing lies in the fact that there are countless reports on the practice, formally independent but similar or identical in terms of content; see Table 9.1.

Juynboll provides a graph of the transmitters of one frequently cited report, according to which Muḥammad advised, “The best dye with which you can
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change [the colour of] your white hair is henna with *katam.*” He establishes a certain ‘Abd Allāh b. Burayda (d. 115/733) as the common link of the report, and notes that he lacks an uninterrupted *isnād* to the Prophet. However, complete *isnāds* from Ibn Burayda to Muḥammad can in fact be found, even in the works that Juynboll regularly uses, as well as other *isnāds* that do not feature Ibn Burayda at all.40 In addition, there are other important hadith on hair dyeing
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that possess rich and varied chains of transmission. For example, Muḥammad’s injunction, “Change the white and do not imitate the Jews,” is widely transmitted, and its chains of transmissions display partial common links in the second generation of Muslims (ʿUrwa b. al-Zubayr and Abū Salama b. ʿAbd al-Rahmān, both of whom died in 94/712); see Figure 9.1.41

Juynboll explains the preoccupation of certain hadith scholars with hair dyeing as a reflection of their commercial interests, noting that a considerable number of hadith scholars were known as henna sellers.42 However, the argument that such interests prompted these scholars to fabricate hadith that promoted their business is undermined by the fact that most of the scholars Juynboll names lived in the fourth and fifth centuries of the Hijra, centuries after the appearance of the hair-dyeing hadith in the hadith literature. Juynboll identifies one relatively early transmitter (ʿAmr b. Muḥammad al-ʿAnqazī, d. 199/814 or 815), whose name indicates that he was a marjoram seller, and concludes that this man, too, must have dealt in henna and thus would have had a motive to further his sales by means of faked prophetic approval of his product. The claim is not impossible, but it seems rather far-fetched. More importantly, this kind of speculation distracts us from a more productive question: Why, in spite of the virtual obsession of many hadith scholars with the topic of hair dyeing, did not a single one of the Sunni or Shiʿī schools of law come to consider hair dyeing in the colours prescribed by the Prophet a legal obligation? Consideration of this question suggests a more likely explanation than business interests for hadith scholars’ enduring preoccupation with the subject.

The closest that any mainstream Sunni or Shiʿī jurist came to labelling reddish hair dyeing obligatory was Aḥmad b. Hanbal’s proclamation, “For me, dyeing [the hair] is akin to an obligation” (al-khiḍāb ʿindī ka-annahu farḍ).43

---

41 For the chains of transmission for this hadith, see Aḥmad b. Hanbal, Musnad Ahmad, nos. 1415 and 7545; al-Tirmidhī, Sunan al-Tirmidhī, ed. Bashshār ʿAwwād Maʿrūf, 6 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī, 1998), no. 1752; al-Bazzār, Musnad al-Bazzār, ed. Maḥfūẓ Zayn Allāh, ʿĀdil b. Saʿīd, and Ṣabrī al-Shāfiʿī, 18 vols. (Medina: Maktabat al-ʿUlum wa-l-Ḥikam, 1998–2009), nos. 7942 and 8681; al-Nasāʾī, Sunan al-Nasāʾī, no. 9291; Abū Yaʿlā al-Mawṣilī, Musnad Abī Yaʿlā al-Mawṣilī, ed. Ḥusayn Asad, 13 vols. (Damascus: Dār al-Maʾmūn, 1984), no. 5678; Abū Jaʿfar al-Ṭāhāwī, Sharḥ mushkil al-āthār, ed. Ṣubayd al-ʿArnaʿūṭ, 16 vols. (Beirut: Muʿassasat al-Risāla, 1994), no. 3678; al-Dhahabī, Tārīkh al-islām, 5:178; Sulaymān b. ʿAbd al-Ẓabārānī, al-Muʿjam al-awsat, ed. Ẓafrī al-Ḥusaynī, 10 vols. (Cairo: Dār al-Haramayn, 1995), no. 5160; Abū Saʿīd b. Abū ʿArabī, Muʿjam Ibn al-ʿArabī, ed. ʿAbd al-Muḥṣīn al-Ḥusaynī, 3 vols. (Riyadh: Dār al-Jawzi, 1997), no. 742.

42 Juynboll, “Dyeing the Hair and Beard,” 73–75.

43 al-Khallāl, al-Wuqūf, 132. Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal’s statement represents the strongest mainstream juristic support I have found for the practice, but it, too, stops short of claiming it to be obligatory.
Figure 9.1 Selected chains of transmission for the hadith "Change the white and do not imitate the Jews"
Only the late third-century Ţāhīrī jurist Abū Muḥammad b. Dāwūd al-Ţāhīrī (d. ca. 297/909) argued that it was actually compulsory.\(^\text{44}\) Conversely, dyeing one’s grey hair black, while often discouraged, was not prohibited by the vast majority of jurists, except in cases in which a man sought to hide his age for personal gain rather than mere aesthetics, typically to deceive a prospective wife about his age.\(^\text{45}\) On a formal level this seems surprising: several hadith, classified as authentic by the hadith scholars, contain prophetic statements explicitly prescribing the dyeing of grey hair, recommending the use of henna and *katam* as dyeing agents, and prohibiting colouring the hair black. In addition, the strong attachment of the majority of hadith scholars as well as formative jurists such as al-Shāfiʿī (d. 204/820)\(^\text{46}\) to this practice indicates that there was a considerable scholarly lobby for it in the period in which the legal schools were being formed and early legal literature was being written. However, alongside the prophetic reports urging the dyeing of hair in unnatural colours there was another, contrary cluster of reports (which were often transmitted even by *muḥaddithūn* who were strongly in favour of the use of red-hued dyes). According to these contrary reports, some prominent early Muslim men, including companions of Muḥammad and his two grandsons Ḥasan and Ḥusayn, either did not dye their grey hair at all or dyed it black.\(^\text{47}\) Early jurists grappled with these two groups of reports, trying to reconcile them. Since a reconciliation was impossible if one assumed the prophetic statements in the first cluster of reports to impart obligation and prohibition in the matter of hair dyeing, the jurists had no choice but to interpret the statements to express mere preference and dislike. As a result, discussions on hair dyeing are almost non-existent in works of Islamic law, in vivid contrast to their ubiquity in works of hadith.

The case of hair dyeing indicates that the power of early hadith scholars to influence the emerging norms of Islamic law was much more limited than Schacht and those who followed him, including Juynboll, thought. Despite the importance of hair dyeing for many major hadith scholars, despite the

---

\(^\text{44}\) See Ibn Qudāma, *al-Mughnī*, eds. Abd al-Fattāḥ al-Ḥulw and ‘Abd Allāh al-Turki, 15 vols. (Riyadh: ‘Ālam al-Kutub, 1986), 1125–126.

\(^\text{45}\) Ibn Juzayy al-Kalbi, *al-Qawānīn al-fiqhiyya*, ed. Muḥammad Mawlāy (Kuwait: Wizārat al-Awqāf, 2010), 657. Some Shāfiʿīs were an exception; see Muḥyī al-Dīn al-Nawawī, *al-Majmūʿ sharḥ al-Muhadhdhab*, ed. Muḥammad Najīb al-Muṭīʿī, 23 vols. (Jedda: Maktabat al-Irshād, 1992), 11345.

\(^\text{46}\) See, for example, Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr, *al-Istīḥlāl*, eds. Sālim ʿAtā and Muḥammad Muʾawwad, 9 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyya, 2000), 8:440.

\(^\text{47}\) For a list of these reports, see Ibn al-Qayyim, *al-Ṭibb al-nabawi*, 279.
existence of several statements attributed to Muḥammad commanding the practice, and despite the absence of contradictory hadith, the reports regarding important early religious figures who contravened Muḥammad’s apparent commands could not be ignored, forgotten, or overruled. In contrast to Schacht’s backgrowth model, in which companion reports become progressively sidelined and rendered irrelevant by prophetic hadith, this case is marked by hadith reports that indicate an early norm—dyeing the hair in unnatural colours—alongside companion reports that suggest that this norm was either abandoned relatively quickly or never seen as universally binding. While the majority of the *ahl al-ḥadīth* adopted the prophetic statements as their personal guideline, the jurists concluded that the later communal practice showed that the statements in question were not legally binding on Muslims in the sense of establishing legal obligations and prohibitions. It was only the idiosyncratic later jurist Ibn Dāwūd al-Ẓāhirī who was willing to disregard all other reports in favour of upholding the hadith norms and to declare the practice of hair dyeing obligatory.48 This case thus offers an important corrective to the simplistic image of hadith scholars as being able to introduce ideas at will and have them accepted by jurists unquestioningly.

It seems, then, that men’s dyeing of greying hair in unnatural colours emerged as a religious norm at an early stage to help safeguard the identity of the fledging Muslim community particularly vis-à-vis Jews in an environment in which the two communities often shared broadly similar norms (in this case, the norms against plucking greying hairs or dyeing them in their original colour). Over time, however, as the Muslims’ communal identity became increasingly consolidated in the context of an established territorial empire, the practice was largely abandoned. The anecdote quoted at the beginning of this paper, involving a man who did not dye his hair because he was reportedly ashamed, is evocative: it suggests that in the cosmopolitan and securely Muslim milieu of mid-third-century Baghdad, artificially red hair had become an aesthetic embarrassment. In this later cultural environment, then, dyeing one’s hair took on a countercultural significance as a token of group identity for the *ahl al-ḥadīth*, who saw themselves as rescuing a dying *sunna* from oblivion.49

Meanwhile, the practical significance of red hair was superseded by new forms of distinction. By the third/ninth century, confessional differentiation

---

48 It is, therefore, no coincidence that the most prominent living Ẓāhirī scholar, the Saudi Abu ‘Abd al-Raḥmān Ibn ‘Aqīl al-Ẓāhirī (born 1942), dyes his beard a reddish colour.

49 It is probably in this context that selling henna became a signature occupation of hadith scholars (who often made their living as traders, anyway).
was enforced through official mechanisms such as administrative and tax status and, in urban areas, the so-called *ghiyār* system, which forbade non-Muslims to dress like Muslims.\(^{50}\) According to the so-called “Stipulations of ‘Umar” (dating from anywhere between the reign of ‘Umar and the third/ninth century), non-Muslims undertook “not to imitate Muslims” (*lā natashabbah bi-l-muslimīn*) in terms of their headdress, footwear, or the manner of parting their hair. Therefore, as Muslims went from minority to majority, the burden of manifesting communal distinctions shifted from Muslims to non-Muslims, and Muslims could afford to dispense with their historical identity markers. By contrast, Maimonides, writing in the Egyptian diaspora, could not do so and in fact felt obliged to prescribe a harsh punishment for failure to uphold the Jewish community’s boundaries: he ruled that any man caught dyeing as much as a single hair black deserved whipping.\(^{51}\)

In sum, the hadith on hair dyeing, together with an array of sources from genres as varied as historical chronicles, biographies, poetry, an apocryphal gospel, and Halakha, indicate that significant numbers of early Muslim men dyed their hair and beards in reddish hues, and that they did so in order to distinguish themselves visually from other religious communities while remaining within the bounds of biblical law. This practice of embodied boundary-making supports the hypothesis that early Muslims saw themselves as an Abrahamitic reform movement that was not part of either Christianity or Judaism but separate from both. The Qurʾān, too, talks about Judaism and Christianity on two distinct planes: a doctrinal one, on which dogmas such as the Christian trinity and the Jewish non-recognition of Jesus can be criticised even as communalities in belief and ethics are stressed; and a communal one, on which issues of trustworthiness and good will are discussed. The hadith on hair dyeing render these two planes tangible, since they both affirm the continued relevance of biblical law yet prescribe a visible communal boundary. The divergent unfolding of the discourse on hair dyeing in Jewish and Islamic law offers a case study of the same motives being refracted through very different religious and historical concerns to produce laws that are intimately related yet incommensurable.

\(^{50}\) Luke Yarbrough, “Origins of the *ghiyār*,” *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 134, no. 1 (2014): 113–121.

\(^{51}\) Maimonides, *Mishneh Torah, Avodah Zarah*, 12:10.
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