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Materials and Methods

Chromium catalysts were synthesized as previously described.\textsuperscript{1,2} Ru(bpz)\textsubscript{3}(PF\textsubscript{6})\textsubscript{2}, 9-mesityl-10-methylacridinium tetrafluoroborate, and 2,4,6-triphenylpyrilmium tetrafluoroborate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All solvents, excluding acetonitrile and nitromethane, were purified by passing through activated alumina columns; acetonitrile was distilled over CaH\textsubscript{2}, and nitromethane was purchased from Alfa Aesar (98+%, A11806) and used without further purification. All reagents were used as received unless otherwise noted. Commercially available chemicals were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA), Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), Oakwood Products, (West Columbia, SC), Strem (Newburyport, MA) and TCI America (Portland, OR). Qualitative TLC analysis was performed on 250 mm thick, 60 Å, glass backed, F254 silica (Silicycle, Quebec City, Canada). Visualization was accomplished with UV light and exposure to \textit{p}-anisaldehyde or KMnO\textsubscript{4} solution followed by heating. Flash chromatography was performed using Silicycle silica gel (230-400 mesh). Reactions under NUV irradiation were performed in a Luzchem chamber reactor equipped with 10 lamps of wavelengths 419, 350, and 300 nm. Irradiation with visible light was performed in a sealed box using a 23 W compact fluorescent light bulb (EcoSmart 23 W bright white CFL spiral light bulb, 1600 lumens). NMR spectra were acquired at the University of Georgia Chemical Sciences Magnetic Resonance Facility on a Varian Mercury Plus 400 MHz NMR. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra were acquired at 400 MHz and are reported relative to SiMe\textsubscript{4} (\(\delta\) 0.00). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectra were acquired at 100 MHz and are reported relative to SiMe\textsubscript{4} (\(\delta\) 0.00). IR spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu IRPrestige-21 FT-IR. High resolution mass spectrometry data were acquired by the Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Facility at the University of Georgia on a Thermo Orbitrap Elite. Absorption spectra were obtained with a Hewlett-Packard 8453 spectrometer in quartz cuvettes with a 1 cm path length. Emission spectra were obtained with an Aviv automated titrating differential/ratio spectrofluorometer (model ATF105). Quantum yields and chain lengths were determined in a similar manner reported by Cismesia and Yoon.\textsuperscript{3} Actinometry experiments were performed using a Newport TLS-300XU tunable light source, which includes a 200 watt Xe arc lamp, a Cornerstone 130 monochromator, and a motorized filter wheel; this instrument provides a wavelength range of 250-2400 nm and a wavelength selectivity of \(\pm\)0.7 nm. Electrochemical experiments were performed in 0.1 M solutions of Bu\textsubscript{4}NPF\textsubscript{6} in CH\textsubscript{3}CN and CH\textsubscript{3}NO\textsubscript{2}. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) and square-wave voltammograms
(SWVs) were recorded with a CH Instruments potentiostat (Model 1230A or 660C) using a 0.25 mm Pt disk or 0.25 mm glassy carbon disk working electrode, Ag⁺/Ag reference electrode and a Pt wire auxiliary electrode. Scans were collected at a rate of 100 mV/s. Reported potentials are referenced to the \([\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}]^+/\text{[Cp}_2\text{Fe}]\) redox couple (abbreviated \(\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc}\), where \(\text{Cp} = \text{cyclopentadienyl}\)), and were determined by adding ferrocene (which was sublimed before use) as an internal standard at the conclusion of each electrochemical experiment. Oxygenated experiments were performed by bubbling \(\text{O}_2\) into the experimentation vessel for 10 seconds prior to data collection. Dark experiments were performed by removing the vessel from all light for 20 minutes before and during scans. To minimize electrode interactions with possible superoxide species present, the working electrode was polished before each set of experiments were performed. The surface of the working electrode was also cleaned with a Kimwipe before each scan to help provide a clean surface of the electrode.
Cr-Photocatalyzed Cycloaddition

**General Notes:** Irradiation was performed in a sealed box using a 23 W compact fluorescent light bulb; the temperature inside the box was recorded at ~45 °C. Reported NMR data are of the major isomer. Ratios of “opposite”/“normal” Diels-Alder isomers were determined through analysis of crude $^1$H NMR spectra. Reported yields are for the mixture of isomers.

**General Procedure for Cr-Photocatalyzed Cycloaddition:** A flame-dried 2 dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with the alkene (1 equiv), diene (3 equiv), [Cr(Ph$_2$phen)$_3$](BF$_4$)$_3$ (0.5 mol %), and nitromethane (0.10 M). The vial was then capped and placed in front of a bright white 23 W compact fluorescent light bulb in a closed box lined with aluminum foil. The solution was irradiated with stirring until consumption of the alkene was complete, as determined by TLC, and then it was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 cm high x 1 cm wide, Et$_2$O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography.

**Cyclohexene 5.** Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene 1 (23.8 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph$_2$phen)$_3$](BF$_4$)$_3$ (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 16 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 5 (24.5 mg, 80% yield, 13:1 isomeric ratio) as a white solid.

**Gram-scale procedure:** Prepared according to a modified General Procedure (modification: the reaction was run in a 250-mL round-bottom flask and stoppered with a plastic cap) using alkene 1 (1.00 g, 4.20 mmol), diene 2 (1.26 mL,
12.6 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (27.5 mg, 0.0210 mmol), and nitromethane (42.0 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 30 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 5 (1.13 g, 88% yield, 11:1 isomeric ratio) as a white solid. 

TLC: R_f = 0.68 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

^1^H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.79 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.54 (br s, 1H), 3.97 (td, J = 10.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.18 (td, J = 10.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.35-2.24 (comp. m, 4H), 1.72 (s, 3H).

^13^C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 203.8, 157.8, 137.4, 135.7, 132.7, 128.39, 128.36, 128.0, 122.0, 120.9, 113.7, 55.1, 47.3, 41.5, 35.2, 34.2, 23.2.

IR (ATR, neat): 3055, 2909, 2839, 1682, 1512, 1242, 1034, 734, 702 cm⁻¹.

HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)^+ [C₂₁H₂₂O₂ + H]^+: 307.1693, found 307.1699.

Cyclohexene 7. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S1 (31.7 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 17 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 7 (29.9 mg, 78% yield, 13:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

TLC: R_f = 0.71 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

^1^H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.64 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.53 (br s, 1H), 3.89 (td, J = 10.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.14 (td, J = 10.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.32-2.15 (comp. m, 4H), 1.71 (s, 3H).
\(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 202.9, 157.9, 136.2, 136.1, 132.3, 131.7, 129.5, 128.3, 127.8, 121.0, 113.7, 55.1, 47.4, 41.7, 35.1, 34.1, 23.2.

IR (ATR, neat): 2963, 2901, 2832, 1682, 1582, 1512, 1242, 1003, 826, 733 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI+): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H\(^+\) \(\left[\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{21}\text{BrO}_2 + \text{H}\right]\)): 385.0798, found 385.0805.

Cyclohexene 8. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S2 (25.2 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 \(\mu\)L, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph\(_2\)phen)]\(_3\)(BF\(_4\))\(_3\) (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 43 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes \(\rightarrow\) 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 8 (20.6 mg, 64% yield, 8:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

TLC: \(R_f\) = 0.82 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.41 (d, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.26 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.16 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.08 (comp. m, 3H), 6.70 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 5.51 (br s, 1H), 3.78-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.11 (td, \(J = 10.8, 5.7\) Hz, 1H), 2.42-2.29 (comp. m, 2H), 2.25-2.18 (comp. m, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 208.2, 158.0, 139.6, 137.6, 136.3, 132.5, 131.4, 130.7, 128.6, 127.4, 125.3, 120.9, 113.7, 55.2, 50.9, 42.2, 34.8, 34.4, 23.3, 20.2.

IR (ATR, neat): 2963, 2909, 2839, 1682, 1512, 1242, 1034, 734 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI+): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H\(^+\) \(\left[\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_2 + \text{H}\right]\)): 321.1849, found 321.1849.
Cyclohexene 9. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S3 (26.8 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 43 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 9 (24.8 mg, 74% yield, 10:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** Rf = 0.52 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl3): δ 7.29 (td, J = 7.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (br s, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.84-3.80 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.04 (td, J = 10.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.39-2.16 (comp. m, 4H), 1.73 (s, 3H).

**13C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl3): δ 208.0, 157.8, 157.2, 136.5, 133.1, 132.2, 130.7, 129.3, 128.7, 120.43, 120.40, 113.4, 110.8, 55.5, 55.2, 52.4, 42.5, 34.0, 33.7, 23.4.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2963, 2901, 2832, 1682, 1598, 1512, 1242, 1018, 756 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS** (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C22H24O3 + H]⁺: 337.1798, found 337.1801.

Cyclohexene 10. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S4 (17.6 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture
was irradiated for 23 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 10 (20.3 mg, 83% yield, 12:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.61 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained yellow with \( p \)-anisaldehyde.

**\( ^1H NMR \)** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 7.09 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 5.46 (br s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.00 (td, \( J = 10.9, 5.2 \) Hz, 1H), 2.87 (td, \( J = 10.9, 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 2.29-2.04 (comp. m, 4H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H).

**\( ^{13}C NMR \)** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 212.4, 158.1, 136.0, 132.1, 128.4, 120.7, 113.9, 55.2, 53.8, 41.9, 34.1, 33.3, 29.8, 23.2.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2963, 2909, 2832, 1705, 1612, 1512, 1435, 1242, 1173, 1034, 826 cm\(^{-1}\).

**HRMS** (ESI+): \( m/z \) calc’d for \((M + H)^+ \) \([C_{16}H_{20}O_2 + H]^+ \): 245.1536, found 245.1538.

**Cyclohexene 11.** Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S5 (20.6 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 \( \mu \)L, 0.300 mmol), \([\text{Cr}(\text{Ph}_2\text{phen})_3](\text{BF}_4)_3\) (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 23 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 11 (20.6 mg, 75% yield, 19:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.67 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**\( ^1H NMR \)** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 7.12 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 5.46 (br s, 1H), 3.85 (qd, \( J = 7.1, 1.5 \) Hz, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.90 (td, \( J = 10.9, 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 2.80 (td, \( J = 10.9, 5.1 \) Hz, 1H), 2.42-2.16 (comp. m, 4H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 0.93 (t, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 3H).

**\( ^{13}C NMR \)** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 175.2, 158.1, 136.0, 132.1, 128.5, 120.6, 113.6, 59.9, 55.21, 55.19, 46.9, 42.1, 33.9, 23.2, 13.9.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2963, 2901, 2839, 1782, 1512, 1242, 1172, 1034, 826 cm\(^{-1}\).
HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)^+ [C_{17}H_{22}O_3 + H]^+: 275.1642, found 275.1640.

Cyclohexene 12. Prepared according to a modified General Procedure (modification: 1 mol % catalyst was used) using alkene S6 (17.8 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph_2phen)_3](BF_4)_3 (1.3 mg, 0.00100 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 72 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 12 (14.0 mg, 57% yield, 17:1 isomeric ratio) as a white solid.

TLC: R_f = 0.64 in 1:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO_4.

^1H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl_3): δ 7.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.45 (br s, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.91 (td, J = 10.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (td, J = 10.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.37-2.08 (comp. m, 4H), 1.70 (s, 3H).

^13C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl_3): δ 180.0, 158.1, 135.8, 131.8, 128.3, 120.6, 113.8, 55.2, 46.3, 41.3, 33.64, 33.61, 23.1.

IR (ATR, neat): 3364, 2970, 2909, 2839, 1713, 1512, 1242, 949, 826 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)^+ [C_{15}H_{18}O_3 + H]^+: 247.1329, found 247.1326.

Cyclohexene 13. Prepared according to a modified General Procedure (modification: 9 equivalents of diene were used, and it was added in 3 portions) using alkene S7 (8.1 mg, 50.0 µmol), diene 2 (15.0 µL, 150 µmol),
[Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.3 mg, 0.250 µmol), and nitromethane (0.500 mL). After 24 and 48 h, additional portions of diene 2 (15.0 µL each) were added. The reaction mixture was irradiated for 67 h total. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 13 (6.1 mg, 53% yield, 11:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** Rᵣ = 0.63 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 9.45 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.49 (br s, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.98 (td, J = 9.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.82-2.75 (m, 1H), 2.34-2.02 (comp. m, 4H), 1.73 (s, 3H).

**¹³C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 204.5, 158.3, 135.3, 131.8, 128.4, 120.9, 114.1, 55.2, 51.9, 39.9, 33.4, 29.5, 23.3.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2970, 2909, 2839, 1721, 1512, 1250, 826 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS** (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C₁₅H₁₈O₂ + H]⁺: 231.1380, found 231.1379.

**Cyclohexene 14.** Prepared according to a modified General Procedure (modification: 1 mol % catalyst was used) using alkene S₈ (17.9 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (1.3 mg, 0.00100 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 43 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 14 (18.2 mg, 74% yield, 17:1 isomeric ratio) as a pale yellow oil.

**TLC:** Rᵣ = 0.65 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.49 (br s, 1H), 4.91 (td, J = 10.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.29 (td, J = 10.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.79-2.72 (m, 1H), 2.59-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.44-2.37 (m, 1H), 2.34-2.24 (m, 1H), 1.75 (s, 3H).

**¹³C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 158.8, 131.9, 130.3, 128.3, 120.7, 114.2, 88.1, 55.2, 43.4, 35.6, 33.1, 22.8.
IR (ATR, neat): 2963, 2909, 2839, 1551, 1512, 1373, 1242, 1033, 826, 733 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI\(^+\)): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H\(^+\)) \([\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_3 + \text{H}]^+\): 248.1281, found 248.1286.

**Cyclohexene 15.** Prepared according to a modified General Procedure (modification: 300-420 nm irradiation was used) using alkene 1 (23.8 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 31 (43.0 µL, 0.380 mmol), [Cr(Ph\(_2\)phen)]\(_3\)(BF\(_4\))\(_3\) (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated with 300-420 nm light for 70 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 15 (23.4 mg, 73% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \(R_f = 0.63\) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO\(_4\).

**\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.81 (d, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 3.95 (td, \(J = 10.8, 5.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.25-3.22 (m, 1H), 2.31-2.21 (comp. m, 4H), 1.67 (app. s, 6H).

**\(^{13}\)C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 203.7, 157.8, 137.4, 136.7, 132.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.0, 125.7, 124.1, 113.7, 55.1, 47.7, 42.2, 40.7, 37.0, 18.7, 18.6.

IR (ATR, neat): 2901, 2832, 1674, 1512, 1242, 1034, 825, 733, 694 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI\(^+\)): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H\(^+\)) \([\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_2 + \text{H}]^+\): 321.1849, found 321.1855
**Cyclohexène 16.** Préparé conformément au *General Procedure* en utilisant l'alkène S4 (15.4 mg, 0.0873 mmol), le diènes 31 (29.0 µL, 0.262 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.6 mg, 0.000437 mmol), et le nitrométhane (0.870 mL). La réaction a été irradiée pendant 38 h. Le produit brut a été purifié par chromatographie en phase solide (100% hexanes → 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc) pour obtenir le cyclohexène 16 (12.6 mg, 56% de rendement) sous forme d'un huile colorée.

**TLC** : *Rf* = 0.65 dans 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, tacheté avec KMnO₄.

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃) : δ 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.01 - 2.89 (comp. m, 2H), 2.32 - 2.03 (comp. m, 4H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H).

**13C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃) : δ 212.3, 158.1, 136.1, 128.3, 125.4, 123.7, 113.9, 55.2, 54.2, 42.7, 40.6, 35.0, 29.6, 18.7, 18.6.

**IR** (ATR, sec) : 2991, 2911, 1705, 1512, 1244, 1176, 1033, 827 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS (ESI+)** : m/z calc’d pour (M + H)⁺ [C₁₇H₂₂O₂ + H⁺] : 259.1693, trouvé 259.1689.

**Cyclohexène 17.** Préparé conformément au *General Procedure* en utilisant l'alkène S5 (20.6 mg, 0.100 mmol), le diènes 31 (33.8 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), et le nitrométhane (1.00 mL). La réaction a été irradiée pendant 48 h. Le produit brut a été purifié par chromatographie en phase solide (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc) pour obtenir le cyclohexène 17 (16.6 mg, 58% de rendement) sous forme d'un huile colorée.

**TLC** : *Rf* = 0.73 dans 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, tacheté avec KMnO₄.

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃) : δ 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.99-2.92 (m, 1H), 2.78 (td, *J* = 11.2, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.45-2.38 (m, 1H), 2.23-2.15 (comp. m, 3H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).
\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 175.2, 158.1, 136.1, 128.4, 125.3, 123.7, 113.6, 59.9, 55.2, 47.2, 42.9, 40.3, 35.5, 18.7, 18.6, 13.9.

IR (ATR, neat): 2980, 2904, 1728, 1512, 1244, 1176, 1153, 1033, 829 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

HRMS (ESI\textsuperscript{+}): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H)\textsuperscript{+} \([\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_{3} + \text{H}]^{+}\): 289.1798, found 289.1799.

Cyclohexene 18. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene 1 (17.9 mg, 75.0 \(\mu\)mol), diene S9 (24.8 mg, 225 \(\mu\)mol), [Cr(Ph\textsubscript{2}phen)]\textsubscript{3}(BF\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} (0.5 mg, 0.375 \(\mu\)mol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 70 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes \(\rightarrow\) 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 18 (14.1 mg, 54% yield, 6:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

TLC: \(R_f = 0.35\) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with \(p\)-anisaldehyde.

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 9.79 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.68 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 5.57 (br s, 1H), 3.95 (td, \(J = 10.5, 5.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.21-3.15 (m, 1H), 2.60-2.56 (comp. m, 2H), 2.37-2.19 (comp. m, 6H).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 203.6, 202.1, 157.9, 137.2, 136.1, 134.3, 132.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 121.5, 113.7, 55.1, 47.0, 41.7, 41.6, 33.9, 33.5, 29.4.

IR (ATR, neat): 2901, 2832, 2723, 1721, 1674, 1512, 1244, 1176, 1153, 1034, 826, 733 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

HRMS (ESI\textsuperscript{+}): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H)\textsuperscript{+} \([\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_{3} + \text{H}]^{+}\): 349.1798, found 349.1796.
Cyclohexene 19. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene 1 (17.9 mg, 75.0 µmol), diene S10 (34.7 mg, 225 µmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.5 mg, 0.375 µmol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 70 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 19 (18.0 mg, 61% yield, 7:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

TLC: Rf = 0.50 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

1H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl3): δ 7.79 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.57 (br s, 1H), 4.07 (t, 2H, J = 6.4 Hz), 3.98-3.92 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.18 (td, J = 10.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.41-2.20 (comp. m, 4H), 2.09-2.05 (comp. m, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.82-1.74 (comp. m, 2H).

13C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl3): δ 203.7, 171.2, 157.8, 137.2, 136.3, 135.0, 132.8, 128.5, 128.34, 128.28, 128.0, 121.3, 113.7, 64.1, 55.1, 47.2, 41.6, 34.1, 33.5, 26.4, 21.0.

IR (ATR, neat): 2955, 2901, 2832, 1728, 1674, 1512, 1234, 1034, 826, 702 cm⁻¹.

HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)+ [C25H28O4 + H]+: 393.2060, found 393.2065.

Cyclohexene 20. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene 1 (17.9 mg, 75.0 µmol), diene S11 (25.2 mg, 225 µmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.5 mg, 0.375 µmol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was
irradiated for 70 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 20 (14.5 mg, 55% yield, 8:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.62 \) in 1:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with \( p \)-anisaldehyde.

**\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 7.79 (d, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 2H), 7.48 (t, \( J = 7.4 \) Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H), 5.59 (br s, 1H), 3.95 (td, \( J = 10.4, 5.1 \) Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.22-3.15 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.21 (comp. m, 4H), 2.12-2.08 (comp. m, 2H), 1.75-1.65 (comp. m, 4H).

**\(^{13}\)C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 203.8, 157.8, 136.4, 135.7, 132.8, 128.4, 128.0, 121.0, 113.7, 62.6, 55.1, 47.2, 41.6, 34.1, 33.6, 33.5, 30.5.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 3387, 2931, 2909, 2839, 1674, 1512, 1265, 1242, 1034, 732, 702 cm\(^{-1}\).

**HRMS** (ESI+): \( m/z \) calc’d for (M + H)\(^+\) \([C_{23}H_{26}O_3 + H]^+\): 351.1955, found 351.1955.

**Cyclohexene 21.** Prepared according to the *General Procedure* using alkene 1 (23.8 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene S12 (45.7 mg, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph\(_2\)phen\(_3\)](BF\(_4\))\(_3\) (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 39 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 21 (28.2 mg, 72% yield, 7:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.52 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained yellow with \( p \)-anisaldehyde.

**\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 7.81-7.78 (comp. m, 2H), 7.48 (t, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 5.61 (br s, 1H), 3.99-3.92 (m, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.23-3.16 (m, 1H), 2.73 (q, \( J = 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 2.44-2.10 (comp. m, 6H), 1.68-1.63 (comp. m, 2H), 1.32 (s, 3H), 1.27 (d, \( J = 1.4 \) Hz, 3H).

**\(^{13}\)C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 203.7, 157.8, 137.2, 136.3, 135.3, 132.8, 128.4, 128.0, 121.0, 113.7, 64.0, 58.4, 55.1, 47.1, 41.6, 34.2, 34.0, 27.3, 24.9, 18.8.
IR (ATR, neat): 3055, 2963, 2909, 2839, 1682, 1512, 1250, 734, 702 cm⁻¹.

HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C_{26}H_{30}O_{3} + H]⁺: 391.2268, found 391.2267.

**Cyclohexene 22.** Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S5 (15.5 mg, 75.0 µmol), diene S13 (31.1 mg, 225 µmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.5 mg, 0.375 µmol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 44 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 22 (22.9 mg, 89% yield, 5:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** Rf = 0.76 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl3): δ 7.11 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.89-5.86 (m, 1H), 5.72-5.69 (m, 1H), 3.96 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.29 (dd, J = 10.4, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.00 (dt, J = 10.4, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.38-2.36 (comp. m, 2H), 2.24-2.21 (m, 1H), 1.27-1.09 (comp. m, 10H), 1.04 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

**13C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl3): δ 175.5, 157.8, 134.2, 131.5, 129.4, 124.1, 113.3, 60.1, 55.1, 44.9, 41.2, 39.0, 31.7, 31.4, 29.4, 28.9, 27.3, 22.5, 14.04, 14.01.

IR (ATR, neat): 2955, 2924, 2855, 1728, 1512, 1242, 1242, 1173, 1034, 833 cm⁻¹.

HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C_{22}H_{32}O_{3} + H]⁺: 345.2424, found 345.2426.
Cyclohexene 23. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S5 (15.5 mg, 75.0 µmol), diene S14\(^3\) (35.6 mg, 225 µmol), [Cr(Ph\(_2\)phen)]\(_3\)BF\(_4\)_3 (0.5 mg, 0.375 µmol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 70 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 15:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 23 (23.1 mg, 85% yield, 4:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

TLC: \(R_f = 0.74\) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained red with p-anisaldehyde.

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 7.20\) (t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 3H), 7.13 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 5.94-5.90 (m, 1H), 5.81-5.75 (m, 1H), 3.97 (q, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.35 (dd, \(J = 10.2, 5.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.01 (dt, \(J = 10.2, 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 2.42-2.39 (comp. m, 3H), 2.35-2.27 (comp. m, 2H), 1.54-1.41 (comp. m, 2H), 1.04 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 3H).

\(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 175.4, 157.9, 142.3, 133.9, 131.0, 129.4, 128.24, 128.19, 125.6, 124.7, 113.5, 60.2, 55.2, 44.7, 41.3, 38.5, 33.6, 33.2, 28.6, 14.0.

IR (ATR, neat): 3024, 2932, 2839, 1728, 1512, 1242, 1157, 1034, 833, 733, 702 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI+): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H)\(^+\) [\(\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_3 + H\)]\(^+\): 365.2111, found 365.2109.

Cyclohexene 24. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S5 (24.7 mg, 0.120 mmol), diene S15 (71.4 mg, 0.360 mmol), [Cr(Ph\(_2\)phen)]\(_3\)BF\(_4\)_3 (0.8 mg, 0.000600 mmol), and nitromethane (1.20 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 50 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 15:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 24 (37.6 mg, 77% yield, 4:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

TLC: \(R_f = 0.76\) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained red with p-anisaldehyde.
\textbf{H NMR} (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.18 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.86-5.75 (comp. m, 2H), 3.93 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.35 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.32-3.26 (m, 1H), 2.36-2.32 (comp. m, 3H), 1.01 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), -0.05 (s, 3H), -0.08 (s, 3H).

\textbf{13C NMR} (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 175.8, 158.0, 133.7, 129.4, 129.0, 126.0, 113.3, 63.3, 60.0, 55.2, 44.0, 41.82, 41.77, 28.9, 25.8, 18.2, 14.0, -5.6.

\textbf{IR} (ATR, neat): 2932, 2901, 2855, 1728, 1512, 1250, 1042, 833, 772 cm$^{-1}$.

\textbf{HRMS} (ESI+): $m/z$ calc’d for (M + H)$^+$ [C$_{23}$H$_{36}$O$_4$Si + H$^+$]: 405.2456, found 405.2455.

\textbf{Cyclohexene 25}. Prepared according to the \textit{General Procedure} using alkene \textbf{S5} (15.5 mg, 75.0 µmol), diene \textbf{S16} (31.1 mg, 225 µmol), [Cr(Ph$_2$phen)$_3$](BF$_4$)$_3$ (0.5 mg, 0.375 µmol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 46 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes $\rightarrow$ 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene \textbf{25} (15.8 mg, 61% yield, 3:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

\textbf{TLC}: $R_f = 0.78$ in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

\textbf{H NMR} (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.09 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 5.57 (br s, 1H), 3.97 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.24 (dd, $J = 10.3$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.04-2.98 (m, 1H), 2.29-2.16 (comp. m, 3H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 1.36-1.14 (comp. m, 8H), 1.05 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 0.79 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H).

\textbf{13C NMR} (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 175.6, 157.8, 134.2, 131.3, 129.4, 125.7, 113.3, 60.1, 55.1, 47.7, 44.9, 41.7, 39.1, 33.3, 32.0, 31.4, 27.1, 23.4, 22.6, 14.0.

\textbf{IR} (ATR, neat): 2955, 2924, 2855, 1736, 1512, 1466, 1250, 1180, 1034, 833 cm$^{-1}$.

\textbf{HRMS} (ESI+): $m/z$ calc’d for (M + H)$^+$ [C$_{22}$H$_{32}$O$_3$ + H$^+$]: 345.2424, found 345.2423.
Cyclohexene 26. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S5 (15.5 mg, 75.0 µmol), diene S17 (31.5 mg, 225 µmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.5 mg, 0.375 µmol), and nitromethane (0.750 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 60 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 26 (20.2 mg, 78% yield, 6:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** Rf = 0.55 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl3): δ 7.10 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.45 (br s, 1H), 3.97 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.67 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (dd, J = 10.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (ddd, J = 10.8, 8.8, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 2.63-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.32-2.28 (comp. m, 2H), 1.91 (s, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.04 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

**13C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl3): δ 175.2, 169.6, 158.2, 134.2, 132.9, 129.0, 121.6, 113.7, 65.1, 60.3, 55.1, 43.1, 41.8, 39.0, 33.3, 23.3, 20.9, 14.0.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2963, 2901, 2839, 1728, 1512, 1247, 1172, 1034, 833 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS (ESI+):** m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C20H26O5 + H⁺]: 347.1853, found 347.1853.

Cyclohexene 27. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S18 (25.2 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 µL, 0.300 mmol), [Cr(Ph2phen)3](BF4)3 (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction
mixture was irradiated for 24 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 27 (21.9 mg, 68% yield, 15:1 isomeric ratio) as a white solid.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.68 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained yellow with \( p \)-anisaldehyde.

**\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 7.42-7.29 (comp. m, 5H), 7.10 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H), 5.46 (br s, 1H), 5.01 (s, 2H), 3.00 (td, \( J = 10.9, 5.3 \) Hz, 1H), 2.88 (td, \( J = 10.9, 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 2.29-2.05 (comp. m, 4H), 1.83 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H).

**\(^{13}\)C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 212.4, 157.4, 137.0, 136.3, 132.2, 128.6, 128.4, 127.9, 127.5, 120.71, 120.70, 114.9, 70.0, 53.8, 42.0, 34.1, 33.3, 23.2.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2970, 2909, 2839, 1705, 1512, 1242, 903, 725 cm\(^{-1}\).

**HRMS (ESI+):** \( m/z \) calc’d for (M + H\(^+\)) \([\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_2 + \text{H}]^+\): 321.1849, found 321.1853.

**Cyclohexene 28.** Prepared according to the *General Procedure* using alkene **S19** (26.8 mg, 0.100 mmol), diene 2 (30.1 \( \mu \)L, 0.300 mmol), \([\text{Cr(Ph}_2\text{phen})_3]([\text{BF}_4])_3\) (0.7 mg, 0.000500 mmol), and nitromethane (1.00 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 42 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 28 (18.1 mg, 54% yield, 12:1 isomeric ratio) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.63 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with \( p \)-anisaldehyde.

**\(^1\)H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 7.80 (d, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.47 (t, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 1H), 7.37 (t, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 2H), 7.09 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, \( J = 8.5 \) Hz, 2H), 5.52 (br s, 1H), 5.07-5.02 (comp. m, 2H), 3.97 (td, \( J = 10.5, 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.18 (td, \( J = 10.5, 5.7 \) Hz, 1H), 2.38-2.19 (comp. m, 4H), 1.71 (s, 3H).

**\(^{13}\)C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 203.7, 155.5, 137.9, 137.3, 132.7, 132.4, 128.42, 128.38, 128.0, 120.9, 116.1, 94.4, 55.9, 47.2, 41.5, 35.2, 34.2, 23.2.
IR (ATR, neat): 2970, 2901, 2839, 1682, 1512, 1234, 1150, 1003, 903, 725 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI+): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + H)\(^+\) [C\(_{22}\)H\(_{24}\)O\(_3\) + H\(^+\)]: 337.1798, found 337.1799.

Cyclohexene 36. Prepared according to the General Procedure using alkene S\(_8\) (9.0 mg, 50.0 \(\mu\)mol), diene S\(_{10}\) (23.1 mg, 150 \(\mu\)mol), [Cr(Ph\(_2\)phen)\(_3\)](BF\(_4\))\(_3\) (0.5 mg, 0.250 \(\mu\)mol), and nitromethane (0.500 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 90 h. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 36 (12.4 mg, 74% yield, 11:1 isomeric ratio) as a yellow oil.

TLC: \(R_f = 0.57\) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO\(_4\).

\(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 7.16\) (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, \(J = 8.6\) Hz, 2H), 5.56 (br s, 1H), 4.93 (td, \(J = 10.6, 5.7\) Hz, 1H), 4.11 (t, \(J = 13.2, 6.6\) Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.34 (td, \(J = 10.6, 5.9\) Hz, 1H), 2.83-2.78 (comp. m, 2H), 2.61 (dd, \(J = 16.6, 5.2\) Hz, 1H), 2.52-2.46 (m, 1H), 2.37-2.31 (m, 1H), 2.15 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.08-2.07 (m, 1H), 1.84-1.78 (comp. m, 2H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta 171.2, 158.9, 132.9, 128.4, 127.9, 121.0, 114.2, 88.0, 63.81, 63.79, 55.2, 43.5, 34.0, 33.1, 32.9, 26.3, 21.0\).

IR (ATR, neat): 2918, 2839, 1732, 1548, 1514, 1375, 1244, 1032, 829, 733 cm\(^{-1}\).

HRMS (ESI+): \(m/z\) calc’d for (M + Na)\(^+\) [C\(_{18}\)H\(_{23}\)NO\(_3\) + Na\(^+\)]: 356.1468, found 356.1468.
Optimization Table

Table S1. Selected optimization experiments.

| Deviation from standard conditions | Resulta |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 mol % cat., 10 equiv diene       | 81% yield |
| 1 mol % cat., 10 equiv diene, NUV  | 70% yield |
| 1 mol % cat., 10 equiv diene, blue LEDs | 75% yield |
| 1 mol % cat., 10 equiv diene, sunlight | 54% yield |
| no light                           | no reaction |
| no [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃][BF₄]₃, 10 equiv diene under Ar, 10 equiv diene, 19 h | 0% yield, 20% yield 6 |
|                                    | 31% yield, 26% yield 6 |

*a* Yields determined by ¹H NMR with dodecyl acetate as an internal standard.

| Standard conditions |
|---------------------|
| CH₂NO₂               |
| 23 W CFL, air        |
| 6 h                  |
| 85% yielda (80%)b    |
| 13:1 5 / 4           |

*a* Isolated yield. Irradiation time was 16 h.

NUV = 419, 350, 300 nm light

**General Procedure for Deviations from Standard Conditions:** A flame-dried 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with alkene 1 (11.9 mg, 0.0500 mmol), diene 2 (50.1 µL, 0.500 mmol), catalyst, nitromethane (0.500 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 11.4 mg, 0.0500 mmol). The vial was then capped and placed in front of the indicated light source. The solution was irradiated with stirring for 6 h, then passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR.

Irradiation with a 23 W compact fluorescent light bulb was performed in a sealed box lined with aluminum foil (EcoSmart 23 W bright white CFL spiral light bulb, 1600 lumens). Reactions under NUV irradiation were performed in a Luzchem chamber reactor equipped with 10 lamps of wavelengths 419, 350, and 300 nm. Irradiation with blue LEDs was performed in a covered 1-L beaker lined with aluminum foil with the blue LED strand coiled around the inside of the beaker. Irradiation with sunlight was performed by placing the reaction vessel on a stir plate in front of a window on a sunny day. The reaction with no light was performed by wrapping the reaction vessel in aluminum foil.
The reaction under Ar was performed in a 50-mL Schlenk flask; the reaction components were combined open to air, then the entire reaction mixture was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles.
Catalyst Evaluation

Table S2. Catalyst comparison.

| Entry | Catalyst (mol %) | $E_{1/2}^*$ (V vs. SCE) | Solvent | Light source | Yield (%) | Yield (%) |
|-------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
|       |                  |                          |         |              | 5         | 6         |
| 1     | [Cr(phen)$_3$](OTf)$_3$ (0.5) | +1.33                    | CH$_3$NO$_2$ | 23 W CFL     | 85        | 0         | 67$^c$    |
| 2     | [Cr(phen)$_3$](OTf)$_3$ (0.5) | +1.45                    | CH$_3$NO$_2$ | 23 W CFL     | 52$^d$    | 6         | 79        |
| 3     | [(phen)$_2$Cr(dmbppy)](OTf)$_3$ (0.5) | +1.68                 | CH$_3$NO$_2$ | 23 W CFL     | 26$^d$    | 12        | 82        |
| 4     | [Cr(dmcbpy)$_3$](BF$_4$)$_3$ (0.5) | +1.84                  | CH$_3$NO$_2$ | 23 W CFL     | 14$^d$    | 9         | 75        |
| 5     | Ru(bpz)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_2$ (1.0) | +1.45                  | CH$_3$NO$_2$ | 23 W CFL     | 55$^d$    | 8         | 77        |
| 6     | Mes-Acr(BF$_4$) (5) | +2.06                | DCE     | blue LEDs    | 53$^d$    | 0         | 23$^d$    |
| 7     | TPT(BF$_4$) (3) | +2.28                  | CH$_3$CN | blue LEDs    | 3$^d$     | 0         | 84        |
| 8     | chloranil (10) | +3.33                   | CH$_3$CN | NUV          | 36$^{d,e}$ | 7         |           |
| 9     | DCB (10) | +2.67                   | CH$_3$CN | NUV          | 10$^{d,e}$ | 42        |           |
| 10    | TCB (10) | +3.15                   | CH$_3$CN | NUV          | 50$^{d,e}$ | 8         | 41        |
| 11    | DCA (10) | +2.19                   | CH$_3$CN | NUV          | 39$^{d,e}$ | 14        |           |
| 12    | DCA (10) | +1.97                   | CH$_3$CN | NUV          | 43$^{d,e}$ | 4         | 41        |

$^a$ All $E_{1/2}^*$ values were reported in CH$_3$CN, except for [Cr(phen)$_3$](BF$_4$)$_3$ which was taken in CH$_3$NO$_2$.

$^b$ $^1$H NMR yields with dodecyl acetate as internal standard.

$^c$ Yield diminished because of oxidation to S20.

$^d$ Majority of mass balance is recovered starting material.

$^e$ Reaction time was 20 h.

Excited state reduction potentials were found in the literature:

Ru(bpz)$_3^{2+}$: Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Rev. **2013**, 113, 5322-5363.

Mes-Acr$: Ohkubo, K.; Mizushima, K.; Iwata, R.; Souma, K.; Suzuki, S.; Fukuzumi, S. Chem. Commun. **2010**, 46, 601-603.

TPT$: Gesmundo, N. J.; Nicewicz, D. A. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. **2014**, 10, 1272-1281.
Chloranil, DCB, TCB, DCN, DCA: Fagnoni, M.; Dondi, D.; Ravelli, D.; Albini, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2725-2756.

**General Procedure for Full Cycloaddition:** A flame-dried 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with alkene 1 (11.9 mg, 0.0500 mmol), diene 2 (15.0 µL, 0.150 mmol), catalyst, nitromethane (0.500 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 11.4 mg, 0.0500 mmol). The vial was then capped and placed in front of the indicated light source. The solution was irradiated with stirring for 6 h, then passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR.

**General Procedure for Vinylcyclobutane Rearrangement:** A flame-dried 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with vinylcyclobutane 6 (9.2 mg, 0.0300 mmol), catalyst, nitromethane (0.300 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 6.9 mg, 0.0300 mmol). The vial was then capped and placed in front of the indicated light source. The solution was irradiated with stirring for 6 h, then passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR.

**Cycloaddition Catalyzed by Aminium Radical Cation Salt**

A flame-dried 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with alkene 1 (9.5 mg, 0.0400 mmol), diene 2 (12.0 µL, 0.120 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (0.400 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 9.1 mg, 0.0400 mmol). The vial was
then capped and cooled to 0 °C, then \((p\text{-BrPh})_3\text{NSbCl}_6\) (1.6 mg, 0.00200 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, CH₂Cl₂ eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR.} <5\% \text{ yield of cyclohexene 5 had formed. An analogous experiment was performed where the vial was irradiated with a 23 W CFL (≈45 °C) for 6 h. Again, <5\% yield of cyclohexene 5 was formed.}

**Vinylcyclobutane Rearrangement Catalyzed by Aminium Radical Cation Salt**

![Reaction Scheme]

A flame-dried 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with vinylcyclobutane 6 (12.3 mg, 0.0400 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (0.400 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 9.1 mg, 0.0400 mmol). The vial was then capped and cooled to 0 °C, then \((p\text{-BrPh})_3\text{NSbCl}_6\) (1.6 mg, 0.00200 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, CH₂Cl₂ eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR. 26\% yield of cyclohexene 5 had formed.}

**Electrochemical Data**

**Table S3. Compilation of measured electrochemical data.**

| Compound                  | $E_{1/2}^{\text{M}^{\text{3+/0}}}$ (V) ($\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2$) | $E_{\text{ox}}^{\text{M}^{\text{3+/0}}}$ (V) ($\text{CH}_3\text{NO}_2$) | $E_{1/2}^{\text{M}^{\text{3+/0}}}$ (V) ($\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$) | $E_{\text{ox}}^{\text{M}^{\text{3+/0}}}$ (V) ($\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1                         | +1.51                                                           | +1.30                                                           | +1.29                                                           | +1.14                                                           |
| 1 + O$_2$ bubbling        | +1.65                                                           | +1.42                                                           | +1.22                                                           | +1.07                                                           |
| 1 + isoprene + air$^d$    | +1.31                                                           |                                                                  |                                                                  |                                                                  |
| 6                         | +1.38                                                           | +1.15                                                           | +1.33                                                           | +1.10                                                           |
| 6 + O$_2$ bubbling        | +1.33                                                           | +1.08                                                           | +1.33                                                           | +1.04                                                           |
| 6 + isoprene + air$^e$    | +1.14                                                           | +0.77                                                           | +1.24                                                           | +0.86                                                           |
| 30$^d$                    | +1.10                                                           | +0.96                                                           | +1.14                                                           | +1.00                                                           |
| 30 + O$_2$ bubbling       | +1.05                                                           | +0.91                                                           | +1.10                                                           | +0.98                                                           |
| 30 + isoprene$^f$         | +1.03                                                           | +0.88                                                           | +1.11                                                           | +0.97                                                           |
| 30 + isoprene + air$^f$   | +1.04                                                           | +0.89                                                           | +1.14                                                           | +0.98                                                           |
| 5                         | +1.30                                                           | +1.13                                                           | +1.19                                                           | +1.02                                                           |
| 5 + O$_2$ bubbling        | +1.33                                                           | +1.07                                                           | +1.21                                                           | +1.03                                                           |

$^a$ All potentials referenced to Fc$^+/$/Fc. Scans were performed at 100 mV/s scan rates in 0.1 M Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ solutions.

$^b$ $E_{1/2}$ and $E_{\text{ox}}$ values were gathered from square wave voltammograms gathered at 4 mV increments.

$^c$ “$E_{\text{ox}}$” defined here as the onset potential for the oxidation wave occurring when scanning the potential in the positive direction.

$^d$ Data acquired with 3 equiv isoprene added.

$^e$ Data acquired with 2 equiv isoprene added.

$^f$ Data acquired with 6 equiv isoprene added.

To convert the potentials from V vs. Fc$^+/$/Fc to V vs. SCE, 0.35 was added to the potentials taken in CH$_3$NO$_2$, and 0.40 was added to the potentials taken in CH$_3$CN.
Figure S1. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of 1 (black trace), 6 (blue trace) and 5 (red trace) in a 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆ solution in CH₃NO₂ measured at a scan rate of 100 mV/s.
Cr-Photocatalyzed Vinylcyclobutane Rearrangement

A 1/2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with vinylcyclobutane 6 (15.3 mg, 0.0500 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.3 mg, 0.000250 mmol) and nitromethane (0.500 mL). The vial was capped and irradiated with a 23 W CFL in a closed box lined with aluminum foil for 10 h with stirring. The reaction mixture was then passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 5 (10.2 mg, 67% yield) as a colorless oil. Only trace cyclohexene 5 was observed in the absence of catalyst (6 h) or in the absence of light (60 h). When this same reaction was performed under Ar (degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles) in a 50-mL Schlenk flask with dodecyl acetate (11.4 mg, 0.0500 mmol) as an internal standard, the product (5) was formed in 64% NMR yield after 6 h.
Vinylcyclobutane Trapping Experiments

Performed according to the General Procedure using vinylcyclobutane 6 (9.5 mg, 0.0310 mmol), diene 31 (34.0 µL, 0.310 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.2 mg, 0.000155 mmol), and nitromethane (0.310 mL). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 6 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR to reveal only the formation of cyclohexene 5. Cyclohexene 15 was not formed.

Performed according to the General Procedure using vinylcyclobutane S21 (16.0 mg, 0.0500 mmol), diene 2 (15.0 µL, 0.150 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.3 mg, 0.000250 mmol), nitromethane (0.500 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 11.4 mg, 0.0500 mmol). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 24 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR to reveal only the formation of cyclohexene 15. Cyclohexene 5 was not formed.
Performed according to the *General Procedure* using vinylcyclobutane 6 (15.3 mg, 0.0500 mmol), alkene S4 (26.4 mg, 0.150 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (0.3 mg, 0.000250 mmol), nitromethane (0.500 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 11.4 mg, 0.0500 mmol). The reaction mixture was irradiated for 24 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR to reveal only the formation of cyclohexene 5. Cyclohexene 10 was not formed.
UV/Vis Spectra

**Figure S2.** UV-vis spectra of compounds 1 (red trace), 6 (blue trace) and 7 (green trace) in acetonitrile.

**Figure S3.** UV-vis spectra of 6 before and after 18 hour irradiation under 420 nm light. The increased absorption is due to evaporation of solvent and/or instrument drift.
Enone Dimer Experiments

Enone dimer 30 was synthesized through irradiation (350 nm) of a concentrated solution of 4-methoxychalcone (1) in nitromethane (1.0 M) in the presence of benzophenone (0.1 equiv). All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.\(^7\)

Cycloreversion of Enone Dimer. To a 1/2-dram vial upon to air was added dimer 30 (8.0 mg, 0.0168 mmol), \([\text{Cr(Ph}_2\text{phen)}_3]\)(BF\(_4\))\(_3\) (0.1 mg, 0.0000840 mmol), nitromethane (0.170 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 3.8 mg, 0.0168 mmol). The vial was capped and stirred in front of a 23 W CFL in a closed box lined with aluminum foil. The reaction mixture was irradiated for 6 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et\(_2\)O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by \(^1\)H NMR. In the presence of catalyst, enone 1 was obtained in 16% NMR yield. In the absence of catalyst, 4% NMR yield of enone 1 was observed.

Trapping Experiment with Enone Dimer. To a 1/2-dram vial upon to air was added dimer 30 (8.0 mg, 0.0168 mmol), diene 2 (5.00 µL, 0.0504 mmol), \([\text{Cr(Ph}_2\text{phen)}_3]\)(BF\(_4\))\(_3\) (0.1 mg, 0.0000840 mmol), nitromethane (0.170 mL),
and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 3.8 mg, 0.0168 mmol). The vial was capped and stirred in front of a 23 W CFL in a closed box lined with aluminum foil. The reaction mixture was irradiated for 6 h, then was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation and the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR. Cyclohexene 5 was obtained in 20% NMR yield.
Static Emission Spectrum of 4-Methoxychalcone

Figure S4. Static emission ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 340$ nm, bandwidth = 2 nm) of 4-methoxychalcone (1) in acetonitrile at 0.0016 M. The $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ centered at 443 nm was reproduced on two separate samples that were degassed for ~45 min before the measurement was performed. A sample of acetonitrile revealed no increase in emission intensity over the wavelength range tested.
**Competition Experiment**

![Chemical Reaction Diagram]

**Procedure:** A stock solution was prepared containing alkene 32 (36.8 mg, 0.248 mmol), alkene 1 (59.1 mg, 0.248 mmol), diene 2 (0.250 mL, 2.48 mmol), [Cr(Ph₂phen)₃](BF₄)₃ (1.6 mg, 0.00124 mmol), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 56.6 mg, 0.248 mmol) in nitromethane (2.48 mL). Into five 1-dr borosilicate vials was added 0.400 mL of the stock solution. The vials were capped and placed in front of a bright white 23 W compact fluorescent light bulb in a closed box lined with aluminum foil. At each indicated time, a vial was removed from the box, and the reaction mixture was passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et₂O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR.

| Time (h) | % Remaining² | % Formed³ |
|---------|--------------|-----------|
|         | 32           | 1         | 33        | 5          |
| 2       | 45           | 97        | 17        | 0 (3)      |
| 4       | 22           | 94        | 29        | 0 (4)      |
| 6       | >5           | 94        | 64        | <5 (5)     |
| 10      | 0            | 60        | 74        | 18 (0)     |
| 24      | 0            | 25        | 75        | 62 (0)     |

² NMR yields with dodecyl acetate as the internal standard
³ Numbers in parentheses are yields of vinylcyclobutane 6.
Reactivity of Unsubstituted Chalcone

For the reaction of chalcone (S22) with isoprene (2) under the Cr conditions, we observed the formation of vinylcyclobutane S23, as well as cis-22, but cyclohexene 29 did not form.

![Diagram of reaction]

| Time (h) | Light source | Catalyst? | Yield S23 (%)<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 72 h    | 23 W CFL     | with cat. | 11                       |
| 72 h    | 23 W CFL     | no cat.   | 15                       |
| 64 h    | NUV          | with cat. | 33                       |
| 64 h    | NUV          | no cat.   | 40                       |

<sup>a</sup> NMR yields with dodecyl acetate as the internal standard

[cis-chalcone was also observed in all cases.]

Procedure: A 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with alkene S22 (15.6 mg, 0.0750 mmol), diene 2 (22.5 μL, 0.225 mmol), [Cr(Ph<sub>2</sub>phen)<sub>3</sub>](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.5 mg, 0.000375 mmol) (if catalyst was being used), nitromethane (0.750 mL), and dodecyl acetate (internal standard, 17.1 mg, 0.0750 mmol). The vial was then capped and placed in front of the indicated light source. The solution was irradiated with stirring for the indicated time, then passed through a short plug of silica (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, Et<sub>2</sub>O eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the resulting crude product mixture was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.
**Normal Electron-Demand Diels-Alder Reactions**

**Cyclohexene 34.** The 4 M LPNM solution was prepared by adding anhydrous LiClO₄ (0.145 g, 1.36 mmol) to nitromethane (0.340 mL, 0.50 M with respect to alkene S₈) in a flame-dried 1-dram vial, open to air. The vial was capped and the mixture was stirred until complete dissolution of the LiClO₄ was achieved (ca. 2 h). To the solution was added alkene S₈ (30.0 mg, 0.167 mmol) and diene 2 (85.2 µL, 0.850 mmol). The vial was capped, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C. After 46 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and then was diluted with H₂O (1 mL) and Et₂O (1 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (2 x 1 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 34 (19.4 mg, 46% yield, 18:1) as an off-white solid.

**TLC:** Rₜ = 0.74 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.14 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.40 (br s, 1H), 4.85 (td, J = 10.6, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.39 (td, J = 10.6, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.81-2.64 (comp. m, 2H), 2.35-2.23 (comp. m, 2H), 1.71 (s, 3H).

**¹³C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 158.8, 134.1, 132.0, 128.3, 116.7, 114.2, 87.7, 55.2, 43.8, 37.9, 31.3, 22.8.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2963, 2909, 2839, 1551, 1512, 1250, 1034, 833, 733 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS** (ESI⁺): m/z calc’d for (M + Na)⁺ [C₁₄H₁₇NO₃ + Na]⁺: 270.1101, found 270.1105.
**Cyclohexene 35.** The 4 M LPNM solution was prepared by adding anhydrous LiClO₄ (85.1 mg, 0.800 mmol) to nitromethane (0.200 mL, 0.25 M with respect to alkene S8) in a flame-dried 1-dram vial, open to air. The vial was capped and the reaction mixture was stirred until complete dissolution of the LiClO₄ was achieved (ca. 2 h). To the solution was added alkene S8 (9.0 mg, 0.0500 mmol) and diene S10 (38.6 mg, 0.250 mmol). The vial was capped, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C. After 44 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and then was diluted with H₂O (0.5 mL) and Et₂O (0.5 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (2 x 1 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 35 (10.3 mg, 62% yield, 17:1) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.36 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**¹H NMR** (500 MHz; CDCl₃): \( \delta \) 7.17 (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 2H), 5.47 (br s, 1H), 4.89 (ddd, \( J = 11.0, 10.1, 5.8 \) Hz, 1H), 4.08 (t, \( J = 13.0, 6.5 \) Hz, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.41 (td, \( J = 11.0, 6.1 \) Hz, 1H), 2.82-2.72 (comp. m, 2H), 2.41-2.28 (comp. m, 2H), 2.12-2.05 (comp. m, 7H), 1.80-1.77 (comp. m, 2H).

**¹³C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃): \( \delta \) 171.1, 158.9, 136.8, 131.9, 128.3, 117.0, 114.2, 87.7, 63.9, 55.3, 43.7, 36.3, 33.0, 31.2, 26.4, 21.0.

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2956, 2855, 1732, 1548, 1514, 1366, 1238, 1033, 831 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS (ESI+):** \( m/z \) calc’d for (M + Na)⁺ \([C_{18}H_{23}NO_5 + Na]^+\): 356.1468, found 356.1467.
Thermal Diels-Alder Reactions

Scheme S1. Comparison of conventional Diels-Alder and Cr-photocatalyzed cycloaddition conditions.

Cyclohexene 15. A 16 x 125 mm glass culture tube was charged with alkene 1 (95.3 mg, 0.400 mmol) and diene 31 (0.900 mL, 8.00 mmol). The tube was sealed with a Teflon cap and heated at 150 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and the unreacted diene was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 20:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 15 (90.0 mg, 70% yield) as a colorless oil.
Cyclohexene 16. A 2-dr vial was charged with alkene S4 (15.4 mg, 0.0873 mmol) and diene 31 (0.200 mL, 1.75 mmol). The vial was sealed with a Teflon cap and heated at 150 °C for 21 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and the unreacted diene was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 16 (8.1 mg, 36% yield) as a colorless oil.

Cyclohexene 17. A 2-dr vial was charged with alkene S5 (18.0 mg, 0.0873 mmol) and diene 31 (0.200 mL, 1.75 mmol). The vial was sealed with a Teflon cap and heated at 150 °C for 21 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and the unreacted diene was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexene 17 (5.0 mg, 20% yield) as a colorless oil.
Synthesis of Electron-Poor Alkenes

**General Notes:** Reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under Ar, unless otherwise noted. Aldol condensation reactions did not require flame-dried glassware and could be run in a stoppered flask.

**Ketone S1.** To a solution of aldehyde S24 (0.120 mL, 1.00 mmol) and ketone S25 (0.199 g, 1.00 mmol) in EtOH (10.0 mL) at 23 °C was added aq. KOH (0.500 g in 1.00 mL H₂O). The reaction mixture was capped and stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h. EtOH was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude mixture was partitioned between H₂O (15 mL) and EtOAc (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (40 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ketone S1 (0.108 g, 34% yield) as an off-white solid.

**TLC:** $R_f = 0.46$ in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.⁸

**Ketone S2.** To a solution of aldehyde S24 (0.120 mL, 1.00 mmol) and ketone S26 (0.130 mL, 1.00 mmol) in EtOH (10.0 mL) at 23 °C was added aq. KOH (0.500 g in 1.00 mL H₂O). The reaction mixture was capped and stirred at
ambient temperature for 16 h. EtOH was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude mixture was partitioned between H₂O (15 mL) and EtOAc (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (40 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ketone S₂ (0.212 g, 84% yield) as a yellow oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.42 \) in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.⁹

### Ketone S₃.

To a solution of aldehyde S₂₄ (0.120 mL, 1.00 mmol) and ketone S₂₇ (0.14 mL, 1.00 mmol) in EtOH (10.0 mL) at 23 °C was added aq. KOH (0.500 g in 1.00 mL H₂O). The reaction mixture was capped and stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h. EtOH was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude mixture was partitioned between H₂O (15 mL) and EtOAc (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (40 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ketone S₃ (0.114 g, 42% yield) as a yellow oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.22 \) in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

### ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃):

\( \delta \) 7.59-7.52 (comp. m, 3H), 7.46 (t, \( J = 7.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.05-6.98 (comp. m, 2H), 6.91 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H).

### ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃):

\( \delta \) 193.2, 161.4, 157.9, 143.4, 132.5, 130.2, 130.1, 129.5, 127.8, 124.9, 120.7, 114.3, 111.6, 55.7, 55.4.

**IR (ATR, neat):** 2970, 2839, 1651, 1589, 1172, 1026, 825, 756 cm⁻¹.
HRMS (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C₁₇H₁₆O₃ + H⁺]: 269.1172, found 269.1176.

Ketone S4. To a solution of aldehyde S24 (1.22 mL, 10.0 mmol) and acetone (7.35 mL, 100 mmol) in H₂O (2.00 mL) at 0 °C was added 10% aq. NaOH (5.00 mL) dropwise over 30 min. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After stirring for 20 h, 1 M aq. HCl was added slowly until a white precipitate formed (ca. 15 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration through a Büchner funnel. The solid was washed sequentially with ice cold H₂O and EtOH, then dried under vacuum. The crude yellow solid was purified by flash chromatography (8:1 → 1:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ketone S4 (0.834 g, 47% yield) as a yellow solid.

TLC: Rf = 0.33 in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained red with p-anisaldehyde.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.¹⁰

Ester S5. To a solution of triethyl phosphonoacetate (5.46 mL, 27.5 mmol) in THF (31.0 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (1.06 g, 60% dispersion in mineral oil, 26.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 45 min, then aldehyde S24 (3.04 mL, 25.0 mmol) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and maintained for 15 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with brine (30 mL), and the THF was removed by rotary evaporation. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with
brine (50 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to afford ester S₅ (4.14 g, 80% yield) as a white solid.

**TLC:** R<sub>f</sub> = 0.48 in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.<sup>11</sup>

---

**Carboxylic acid S₆.** To a solution of ester S₅ (1.00 g, 4.85 mmol) in EtOH (9.70 mL) at 23 °C was added 10% aq. NaOH (19.0 mL). The flask was stoppered, and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 45 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with 1 M aq. HCl (25 mL). EtOAc was added until the white solid was completely dissolved (ca. 75 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (60 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford carboxylic acid S₆ (0.864 g, quantitative) as a white solid. The crude residue was sufficiently pure by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and therefore was used without further purification.

**TLC:** R<sub>f</sub> = 0.60 in 1:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.<sup>12</sup>
**Alcohol S28.** To a solution of ester S5 (1.50 g, 7.27 mmol) in hexane (58.0 mL) at 0 °C was added DIBAL (14.6 mL, 1.0 M in hexane) dropwise with an addition funnel. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, then was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred an additional 20 min. The reaction mixture was then diluted with sat. aq. Rochelle salt (75.0 mL) and stirred overnight. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation to afford alcohol S28 as a white solid, which was used in subsequent reactions without further purification. All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.¹³

**Aldehyde S7.** To a solution of alcohol S28 (0.739 g, 4.50 mmol) in hexanes (22.5 mL) was added MnO₂ (7.82 g, 90.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, and then was filtered through a short plug of silica (5 cm high x 3 cm wide EtOAc eluent). The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford aldehyde S7 (0.387 g, 53% yield) as a white solid.

**TLC:** Rₚ = 0.56 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.⁶

![Chemical reaction](image)

**Nitroalkene S8.** To a solution of NH₄OAc (0.848 g, 11.0 mmol) in nitromethane (14.0 mL, 0.71 M with respect to S12) at 23 °C was added aldehyde S24 (1.22 mL, 10.0 mmol). The reaction flask was equipped with a reflux condenser (open to air) and the mixture was heated at 100 °C for 4 h. The reaction was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and the nitromethane was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), and the organic layer was washed sequentially with H₂O (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), then dried over
Na₂SO₄. The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (20:1 → 5:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford nitroalkene S8 (0.764 g, 43% yield) as a yellow solid. **TLC:** $R_f = 0.81$ in 1:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.¹⁴

**Aldehyde S30.** To a solution of aldehyde S29 (1.83 g, 15.0 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (2.18 g, 15.8 mmol) in DMF (15.0 mL) at 23 °C was added benzyl bromide (1.87 mL, 15.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h, and then was diluted with H₂O (20 mL) and EtOAc (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), then dried over Na₂SO₄. The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 → 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford aldehyde S30 (1.572 g, 49% yield) as a white solid. **TLC:** $R_f = 0.42$ in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): $\delta$ 9.88 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.44-7.35 (comp. m, 5H), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 5.15 (s, 2H).

**Ketone S18.** To a solution of aldehyde S30 (0.424 g, 2.00 mmol) and acetone (1.47 mL, 20.0 mmol) in EtOH (3.30 mL) at 23 °C was added a solution of NaOH (0.136 g, 3.40 mmol) in H₂O (3.30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 20 h, and it was then diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and EtOAc (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude
residue was purified by flash chromatography (10:1 → 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ketone S18 (0.433 g, 86% yield) as an off-white solid.

**TLC:** $R_f = 0.24$ in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): $\delta$ 7.51-7.48 (comp. m, 2H), 7.45-7.34 (comp. m, 6H), 6.99 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, $J = 16.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 2.36 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃): $\delta$ 198.4, 160.7, 143.2, 136.3, 130.0, 128.7, 128.2, 127.5, 127.3, 125.1, 115.3, 70.1, 27.4.

**IR (ATR, neat):** 2978, 2886, 1667, 1597, 1242, 1172, 910, 732 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS (ESI+):** $m/z$ calc’d for (M + H)$^+$ [C₁₇H₁₆O₂ + H]$^+$: 253.1223, found 253.1224.

Ketone S19. To aldehyde S31 (0.341 g, 2.05 mmol) in EtOH (20.0 mL) and aq. KOH (1.00 g in 2.00 mL H₂O) at 23 °C was added ketone S32 (0.230 mL, 2.00 mmol). The reaction mixture was capped and stirred at ambient temperature for 24 h. Then EtOH was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude mixture was partitioned between H₂O (25 mL) and EtOAc (25 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (50 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 6:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ketone S19 (0.172 g, 21% yield) as a yellow oil.

**TLC:** $R_f = 0.35$ in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): $\delta$ 8.01 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.83 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.56 (comp. m, 3H), 7.50 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.07 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 3.49 (s, 3H).
$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 190.5, 159.2, 144.5, 138.4, 132.6, 131.9, 130.1, 128.6, 128.4, 120.2, 116.5, 94.1, 56.2.

IR (ATR, neat): 2978, 2901, 2824, 1659, 1589, 1504, 1149, 980, 833, 694 cm$^{-1}$.

HRMS (ESI+): $m/z$ calc’d for (M + H)$^+$ [C$_{17}$H$_{16}$O$_3$ + H]$^+$: 269.1172, found 269.1172.
Synthesis of Dienes

**Diene S9.** To a solution of epoxide S12 (0.581 g, 3.81 mmol) in Et₂O (15.2 mL) at 0 °C was added periodic acid (0.955 g, 4.19 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature, and was stirred for 20 h. The reaction mixture was passed through a short plug of celite (3 cm high x 2 cm wide, Et₂O eluent), and then the filtrate was washed sequentially with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and 10% aq. Na₂S₂O₃ (20 mL). The combined aqueous washes were extracted with Et₂O (50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 15:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford diene S9 (0.228 g, 54% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** R_f = 0.67 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.¹⁶

**Diene S10.** To a solution of alcohol S11 (0.168 g, 1.50 mmol) and acetic anhydride (0.170 mL, 1.80 mmol) in THF (15.0 mL) at 23 °C were added triethylamine (0.310 mL, 2.25 mmol) and DMAP (55.0 mg, 0.450 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 22 h. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford diene S10 (93.3 mg, 40% yield) as a colorless oil.
TLC: \( R_f = 0.76 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.¹⁷

**Diene S11.** To a solution of aldehyde S9 (2.64 g, 24.0 mmol) in MeOH (20.0 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH₄ (0.999 g, 26.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min, then diluted with H₂O (20 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL), and was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was washed with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes \( \rightarrow \) 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford diene S11 (1.57 g, 56% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** \( R_f = 0.27 \) in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.¹⁸

**Diene S12.** To a solution of myrcene (S33) (0.860 mL, 5.00 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.90 mL) at 0 °C was added \( m \)-CPBA (1.25 g, 5.05 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min, and then was diluted with 10% aq. NaOH (10 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed sequentially with H₂O (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford diene S12 (0.767 g, quantitative) as a colorless oil which was used without further purification.
**Supporting Information: Stevenson, Higgins, Shores, and Ferreira**

**TLC:** $R_f = 0.76$ in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with $p$-anisaldehyde.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.\(^1\)

**Ester S36.** To a solution of ylide S35 (8.36 g, 25.0 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (62.5 mL) at 23 °C was added aldehyde S34 (2.00 mL, 30.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 45 h, and then was filtered through a plug of silica (5 cm high x 3 cm wide, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ eluent). The filtrate was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford ester S36 (0.891 g, 32% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** $R_f = 0.72$ in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO$_4$.

**$^1$H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.27 (dd, $J = 15.4$, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (dt, $J = 16.9$, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d, $J = 15.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, $J = 16.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.50 (d, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H).

**Alcohol S37.** To a solution of ester S36 (0.516 g, 4.60 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (7.70 mL) at -78 °C was added DIBAL (9.70 mL, 1.0 M in hexanes, 9.70 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 23 °C, and was stirred for 3.5 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et$_2$O (15 mL), then H$_2$O (0.330 mL) was added, followed by 1.0 M aq. NaOH (0.660 mL), then H$_2$O (0.330 mL) again, causing a white precipitate to formed. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, then MgSO$_4$ was added. After stirring for an additional 10 min, the mixture was filtered through celite (CH$_2$Cl$_2$ eluent). The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford alcohol S37 (0.345 g, 89% yield), which was used without further purification. All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.\(^2\)
**Diene S15.** To a solution of alcohol S37 (0.505 g, 6.00 mmol) in DMF (8.80 mL) at 23 °C was added TBSCl (1.09 g, 7.20 mmol) and imidazole (1.02 g, 15.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h, and then was diluted with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 20:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford diene S15 (0.220 g, 18% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** R$_f$ = 0.86 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO$_4$.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.$^{21}$

**Ester S39.** To a solution of triethyl phosphonoacetate (3.97 mL, 20.0 mmol) in THF (40.0 mL) at 23 °C was added KOt-Bu (4.49 g, 40.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 35 min, then aldehyde S38 (1.65 mL, 20.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h, and then was diluted with H$_2$O (40 mL) and Et₂O (40 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to afford ester S39 (1.32 g, 47% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** R$_f$ = 0.71 in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO$_4$.

All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.$^{22}$

**Alcohol S40.** To a solution of ester S39 (1.32 g, 9.42 mmol) in Et₂O (23.6 mL) at 0 °C was added DIBAL (18.8 mL, 1.0 M in hexanes, 18.8 mmol). The reaction mixture stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, and then was diluted with MeOH (5.00
mL), then 1.0 M aq. HCl (5.00 mL) was added, followed by Et₂O (10.0 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), then dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to afford alcohol S₄₀, which was used without further purification. All spectroscopic data were consistent with previously reported values.²³

**Diene S₁₇.** To a solution of alcohol S₄₀ (0.196 g, 2.00 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.00 mL) at 23 °C was added DMAP (24.4 mg, 0.200 mmol) and pyridine (0.400 mL, 5.00 mmol). The solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 min, and then acetic anhydride (0.210 mL, 2.20 mmol) was added. After 16 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed sequentially with 1 M aq. HCl (10 mL), H₂O (10 mL), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (10 mL), and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% pentane → 9:1 pentane/Et₂O) to afford diene S₁₇ (0.279 g, quantitative) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** Rₚ = 0.69 in 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO₄.

\[ ^1H \text{ NMR} \quad (400 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 6.37 \text{ (d, } J = 15.7 \text{ Hz, } 1\text{H}), \quad 5.75-5.68 \text{ (m, } 1\text{H}), \quad 5.02 \text{ (d, } J = 4.4 \text{ Hz, } 1\text{H}), \quad 4.63 \text{ (s, } 1\text{H}), \quad 4.61 \text{ (s, } 1\text{H}), \quad 2.07 \text{ (s, } 3\text{H}), \quad 1.84 \text{ (s, } 3\text{H}). \]

\[ ^{13}C \text{ NMR} \quad (100 \text{ MHz}; \text{CDCl}_3): \delta 170.8, \quad 141.0, \quad 137.0, \quad 123.0, \quad 117.9, \quad 65.0, \quad 21.0, \quad 18.4. \]

**IR** (ATR, neat): 2978, 2886, 1736, 1227, 964, 733 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS** (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + H)⁺ [C₈H₁₂O₂ + H]⁺: 141.0910, found 141.0908.
Photochemical [2+2] Cycloaddition

An independent synthesis of vinylcyclobutane 6 was devised in order to determine its structure and perform additional experiments to confirm its role as a reaction intermediate.

Vinylcyclobutane 6. A flame-dried 2-dram borosilicate vial open to air was charged with alkene 1 (0.238 g, 1.00 mmol), diene 2 (1.00 mL, 10.0 mmol), and nitromethane (2.50 mL). The vial was capped, and the reaction mixture was irradiated with 300, 350, and 419 nm light, with stirring, for 45 h. The volatile materials were then removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 15:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclobutane 6 as the major product of an inseparable mixture of isomers (0.278 g, 91% combined yield) as a pale yellow oil.

The vinylcyclobutane isomers were difficult to separate by flash chromatography. The phenyl ketone was reduced to the alcohol (S41) to make purification easier, and then was oxidized to the ketone again.

Alcohol S41. To the vinylcyclobutane mixture (148.0 mg, 0.483 mmol) in MeOH (3.22 mL) at 0 °C under argon was
added NaBH₄ (27.4 mg, 0.725 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2.5 h, then the volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation. The crude residue was partitioned between Et₂O (10 mL) and sat. aq. NH₄Cl (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The volatile materials were then removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 10:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford a pure sample of alcohol S₄₁ (21.3 mg, ~1:1 mixture of diastereomers at the alcohol carbon) as a colorless oil. The remaining desired alcohol product coeluted with the undesired isomers.

TLC: Rf = 0.52 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

**Pure vinylcyclobutane 6.** To a mixture of DMSO (0.28 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (0.07 mL) at 0 °C under argon was added sulfur trioxide pyridine complex (27.5 mg, 0.173 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 10 min at 0 °C, then vinylcyclobutane S₄₁ (21.3 mg, 0.0691 mmol) and Et₃N (0.024 mL, 0.173 mmol) were added as a solution in CH₂Cl₂. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1.5 h, then was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 10 h. At this time, the vinylcyclobutane (S₄₁) was not yet consumed, so additional sulfur trioxide pyridine complex (27.5 mg, 0.173 mmol) and Et₃N (0.024 mL, 0.173 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred for 3 d total. The reaction mixture was then diluted with H₂O (2 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The volatile materials were then removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford pure vinylcyclobutane 6 (16.4 mg, 77% yield) as a colorless oil.

TLC: Rf = 0.66 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.96 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.09 (dd, J = 17.6, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 2.30 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (t, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 1.03 (s, 3H).
$^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 200.4, 158.1, 147.1, 136.1, 133.0, 131.4, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 113.5, 111.4, 55.2, 48.3, 41.8, 40.9, 36.7, 20.5.

IR (ATR, neat): 2963, 2832, 1674, 1512, 1242, 1033, 732, 694 cm$^{-1}$.

HRMS (ESI+): $m/z$ calc’d for (M + H)$^+$ [C$_{21}$H$_{22}$O$_2$ + H]$^+$: 307.1693, found 307.1693.

The stereochemistry of vinylcyclobutane 6 was confirmed through 1D NOESY NMR.

Figure S5. 1D NOESY of vinylcyclobutane 6.
Structural and Stereochemical Determination of 1-Substituted Diene Products

The major constitutional isomer of cyclohexene 22 was determined through COSY and HMQC NMR. The COSY NMR (Figure S6) revealed that protons H\textsubscript{a} and H\textsubscript{b} are coupled to each other, as are H\textsubscript{c} and H\textsubscript{d}.

*Figure S6. COSY NMR of cyclohexene 22.*
HMQC NMR was used to confirm that the proton peak at 2.37 ppm with an integration of 2 was a result of just the H_d protons and not a mix of H_d and H_c. The HMQC spectrum (Figure S7) indicated that the protons represented by that peak were both bonded to the same carbon atom, thus further solidifying our structural assignment of cyclohexene 22.

Figure S7. HMQC NMR of cyclohexene 22.
Decoupling Experiment

The stereochemistry of the 1-substituted diene products was determined through structural modification of cyclohexene 24 and a $^1$H NMR decoupling experiment.

**Cyclohexane S42.** To a solution of cyclohexene 24 (37.6 mg, 93.0 µmol) in MeOH (0.900 mL) at 23 °C was added Pd/C (10% w/w, 9.9 mg, 9.30 µmol). The flask was sealed with a rubber septum, and H$_2$ was bubbled through the solution for 1 min using a balloon and needle outlet. The needle outlet was removed, and the reaction mixture was left under positive pressure of H$_2$ and stirred for 9 h. The crude reaction mixture was passed through a short plug of celite (Et$_2$O eluent), and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexane S42 (15.3 mg, 56% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** $R_f = 0.16$ in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained with KMnO$_4$.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.09 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.93 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.54-3.47 (comp. m, 2H), 3.17 (dd, $J = 11.7$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (td, $J = 11.7$, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07-1.99 (comp. m, 2H), 1.65-1.53 (comp. m, 4H), 1.01 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H).

**Nitrobenzoate S43.** To a solution of cyclohexane S42 (15.3 mg, 52.3 µmol) and 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride (10.2 mg, 55.0 µmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.500 mL) at 23 °C was added triethylamine (9.0 µL, 65.0 µmol), then DMAP (0.3 mg, 2.60 µmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h, then was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3.00 mL), and
the solution was washed with H₂O (2 x 3.00 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude residue was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford nitrobenzoate S43 (16.6 mg, 78% yield) as a colorless oil.

**TLC:** Rₚ = 0.50 in 9:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 8.21 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.41 (t, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J = 11.0, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.27 (dd, J = 11.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (td, J = 11.5, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.45-2.41 (m, 1H), 2.15-1.96 (comp. m, 2H), 1.75-1.49 (comp. m, 4H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

Decoupling of proton Hₜ (2.45 ppm) of cyclohexane S43 resulted in peak H₅ changing from a doublet of doublets (J = 11.5, 4.6 Hz) to just a doublet (J = 11.5 Hz) (Figure S8). From this data, we can conclude that the coupling constant between H₄ and H₅ is 11.5 Hz, corresponding to an anti relationship, and the coupling constant between H₅ and H₆ is 4.6 Hz, corresponding to a syn relationship.
Figure S8. $^1$H NMR decoupling experiment on cyclohexane S43.
Identification of Minor Isomer

In all cases, the minor isomer was assigned as the constitutional isomer. For the 2-substituted diene products, this was determined by comparing the $^1$H NMR spectra of several of the products obtained with the Cr conditions to those obtained through traditional Diels-Alder conditions (i.e., 14 vs. 34); the remaining cyclohexene products were assigned by analogy.

In the cases of the 1-substituted diene products, the minor isomer was assigned based on the splitting pattern and coupling constants of the peaks for the benzylic proton ($H_a$) and the proton alpha to the ester ($H_b$) in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of cyclohexene 22 (Figure S9).

The peaks of the major and minor isomer were assigned with the benzylic proton ($H_a$) being the farther downfield peak and the proton alpha to the ester ($H_b$) being the more upfield peak. This trend is observed in all of the ester cyclohexene products. These two protons are presumed anti to each other because of their large coupling constant ($J = 10.4$ Hz). Throughout this research, we have only ever observed an anti relationship in the product between the two substituents of the starting alkene of the dienophile.

The peak of the benzylic proton ($H_a$) of the minor isomer is a triplet of doublets, indicating that $H_a$ is being split by 3 other protons; the peak of the proton alpha to the ester ($H_b$) of the minor isomer is a triplet, indicating that $H_b$ is being split by 2 other protons. This assessment is aligned with the minor product being the constitutional isomer (S44). This minor isomer was formed in too small of an amount for its stereochemistry to be determined.

|   | 22 | S44 |
|---|---|---|
| $H_a$ shift (ppm) | 3.29 | 2.80 |
| $H_b$ shift (ppm) | 2.99 | 2.60 |
| splitting | dd, 10.4, 5.4 | td, 11.2 |
| $J$ (Hz) | 11.2 | 11.2, 5.1 |

![Diagram of major and minor isomers]
Figure S9. Identification of minor isomer S44.

The other possible minor product, if not a constitutional isomer, would be the diastereomer, cyclohexene S45 (Figure S10). This diastereomer is likely not the minor product, since the coupling constant between H_a and H_c is 5.1 Hz, which is small and not indicative of an anti relationship, as would be required in the exo diastereomer.

Figure S10. Evaluation of the diastereomer S45 as possible minor isomer.
Oxidative Cleavage of PMP Group

Cyclohexane S46. H₂ gas was bubbled through a mixture of cyclohexene 5 (60.0 mg, 0.200 mmol) and Pd/C (10% w/w, 21.3 mg, 0.0200 mmol) in MeOH (2.00 mL) for 30 s using a balloon of H₂ and needle outlet. The needle outlet was removed and the reaction mixture was left under positive pressure of H₂ and stirred for 9 h. The reaction mixture was then passed through a short plug of celite (2.0-2.5 x 1 cm, EtOAc eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (100% hexanes → 15:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford cyclohexane S46 (47.0 mg, 76% yield) as a colorless oil. The NMR spectrum of the major diastereomer is reported.

**TLC**: Rₜ = 0.48 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, stained blue with p-anisaldehyde.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.31-7.15 (m, 7H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.61 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.24 (tt, J = 11.7, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (td, J = 11.7, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 1.94 (dq, J = 12.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.78-1.65 (comp. m, 2H), 1.56-1.24 (comp. m, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.86-0.81 (m, 1H).

**¹³C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 157.9, 138.0, 128.5, 127.71, 127.65, 127.20, 127.17, 114.2, 75.3, 55.2, 48.3, 46.1, 37.7, 35.2, 35.0, 32.2, 22.7.

**IR** (film): 3386, 3031, 2920, 1611, 1512, 1249, 1036, 704 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS** (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + Na)+ [C₂₁H₂₆O₂ + Na]+: 333.1825, found 333.1824.

Carboxylic acid S47. To cyclohexane S46 (10.4 mg, 0.0335 mmol) in CCl₄ (0.170 mL), acetonitrile (0.170 mL), and H₂O (0.340 mL) under argon was added RuCl₃•H₂O (0.9 mg, 0.00337 mmol), then NaIO₄ (108.2 mg, 0.506 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 46 h, then was diluted with H₂O (2 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The layers were
separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 5 mL). The combined CH₂Cl₂ layers were passed through a short plug of silica (EtOAc eluent). The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography (4:1 → 2:1 hexanes/EtOAc eluent) to afford carboxylic acid **S47** (5.4 mg, 65% yield) as a colorless oil. The NMR spectrum of the major diastereomer is reported.

**TLC:** Rf = 0.23 in 3:1 hexanes/EtOAc, visualized by UV.

**¹H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.96-7.91 (m, 2H), 7.57-7.54 (m, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 3.63-3.56 (m, 1H), 2.92-2.85 (m, 1H), 2.22 (dd, J = 13.2, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.98-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.72 (comp. m, 2H), 1.62-1.46 (comp. m, 3H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H).

**¹³C NMR** (100 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 211.8, 202.6, 136.0, 132.9, 128.6, 128.4, 46.8, 43.8, 37.9, 34.1, 32.3, 29.1, 22.0.

**IR** (film): 3060, 2255, 1703, 1448, 1286, 700 cm⁻¹.

**HRMS** (ESI+): m/z calc’d for (M + Na)⁺ [C₁₅H₁₈O₃ + Na]⁺: 269.1148, found 269.1149.
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