A soil-carrying lacewing larva in Early Cretaceous Lebanese amber
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Diverse organisms protect and camouflage themselves using varied materials from their environment. This adaptation and associated behaviours (debris-carrying) are well known in modern green lacewing larvae (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), mostly due to the widespread use of these immature insects in pest control. However, the evolutionary history of this successful strategy and related morphological adaptations in the lineage are still far from being understood. Here we describe a novel green lacewing larva, *Tyruschrysa melqart* gen. et sp. nov., from Early Cretaceous Lebanese amber, carrying a preserved debris packet composed by soil particles entangled among specialised setae of extremely elongate tubular tubercles. The new morphotype has features related to the debris-carrying habit that are unknown from extant or extinct green lacewings, namely a high number of tubular tubercle pairs on the abdomen and tubular tubercle setae with mushroom-shaped endings that acted as anchoring points for debris. The current finding expands the diversity of exogenous materials used by green lacewing larvae in deep time, and represents the earliest direct evidence of debris-carrying in the lineage described to date. The debris-carrying larval habit likely played a significant role during the initial phases of diversification of green lacewings.

Actively selecting, gathering, and carrying exogenous materials for mechanical protection and camouflage—debris-carrying, trash-carrying, or decoration—is a strategy that has evolved independently across a wide diversity of metazoans, including sea urchins, gastropods, and, above all, arthropods. In the latter, debris-carrying (excluding structure-building behaviour) is known in crustaceans (namely decorating crabs), arachnids (some oribatid mites and harvestmen, as well as sand-covering and walking mud spiders), and immature insects (assassin bugs, barklice, beetles, and neuropterans)1–6. Debris-carrying organisms have developed a series of behavioural and/or morphological adaptations aimed at fixing foreign elements to their bodies, such as sticky integumentary secretions or specialised (e.g., thorny or hooked) hair-like extensions of the integument (setae).

With about 1,400 known living species, Chrysopidae are the second most species-rich of the 20 living families currently recognised within Neuroptera7,8, and a well-studied insect group from an ecological standpoint due to the remarkable role that their predatory larvae have in biological pest control9. Chrysopids, and neuropterids in general, are a group that diversified during the Mesozoic and today shows a relict diversity and disparity8.

Two morphobehavioural types are distinguished among modern green lacewing larvae: the debris-carrying forms and the so-called “naked” ones. Naked forms do not construct a packet and tend to rely on swiftness and ambushing when hunting. On the contrary, debris-carrying forms use stealth and crypsis to capture their prey. Debris-carrying chrysopid larvae can be selective towards the collection of one or more materials for the construction of the debris packet. These materials may include sternorrhynchan waxy flocculence, arthropod exoskeletons, fragments of dried leaves, wood, lichens, mosses, trichomes, snail shells, silk, particles of sand or soil, frass (excrement of herbivorous insects), or the larva’s own exuviae10. From all of these, only plant material (trichomes and vegetal debris) and exoskeletal fragments have been formerly reported as exogenous elements composing the debris packet from Cretaceous chrysopoid diversity2,11,12. On the other hand, intermediate stages between “pure” naked and debris-carrying forms exist whereby larvae show occasional debris-carrying
or construct debris packets with loosely attached particles. Debris-carrying larvae allocate the packet elements on their backs through a series of stereotyped movements arching the head backward while bowing the thorax and abdomen forward, followed by occasional peristaltic body movements to reallocate said packet elements. In any case, both debris-carrying and naked forms are known in two of the three subfamilies of Chrysopidae, i.e., Nothochrysinae and Chrysopinae (the latter subdivided into four tribes, Ankylopterygini, Belonopterygini, Chrysopini, and Leucochrysini). Only a debris-carrying mode of life is known among larvae of the remaining subfamily, Apochrysinae, but the larvae of only three species have been described. Although it is clear that carrying debris is an ancient trait that has appeared or been lost multiple times during the evolution of larval chrysopoids, it is yet unclear whether it was the ancestral condition of the lineage.

Fossil green lacewing immatures are scarce and only known from amber. In Cretaceous amber, only one larval species of the debris-carrying morphotype—showing extremely elongate tubular tubercles (TTs) forming a dorsal basket—has been previously described and named, the late instar Hallucinochrysa diogenesi from late Albian El Soplao amber (Spain). A new genus and species of neonate, also from Lebanese amber, will be described elsewhere (Pérez-de la Fuente et al., submitted) (Fig. 1). Additionally, three debris-carrying larval morphotypes recognised from 12 Burmese amber specimens were reported and briefly characterised. Further diversity of Cretaceous chrysopoid larvae but not of the debris-carrying morphotype have been described—an unnamed neonate clutching at the egg from where it hatched in Canadian amber, an extremely long-legged larva interpreted as a spider hunter in Burmese amber, and a larva mimicking liverworts also from Burmese amber.

Here we describe a novel-looking chrysopoid larva with peculiar morphological adaptations for debris-carrying that is associated to debris packet elements interpreted as soil particles. The fossil is preserved in Early Cretaceous (Early Barremian, ca. 130 Ma) Lebanese amber, the oldest amber providing abundant biological inclusions. The specimen, representing the oldest chrysopoid larva carrying a debris packet to be described, was previously figured and regarded as a new debris-carrying morphotype, but its debris packet was overlooked and the specimen was not named or described in detail.

Results
Systematic Palaeontology
Order Neuroptera Linnaeus, 1758
Superfamily Chrysopoidea Schneider, 1851
Family incertae sedis

Genus Tyruschrysa gen. nov.
LSID, urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:57DE496F-8EB7-4CEB-81F8-0CE90136D42D.

Type species. Tyruschrysa melqart sp. nov.
LSID, urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:B7C5A783-EBF8-4170-B996-E27C2A9CC2E8.
Diagnosis (second or third instar larva). Jaws short and wide, shorter than cephalic capsule. Antenna very short, shorter than jaws, with flagellum slightly expanding apically and lacking a terminal bristle. Prothorax lacking tubular tubercles (TTs); mesothorax with lateral and laterodorsal extremely elongate TT pairs, sub-equally developed, metathorax also with lateral and laterodorsal TT pairs, but laterodorsal pairs much more reduced. Abdominal segments (A) 2–6 with pairs of lateral TTs. A1–A7 with pairs of laterodorsal TTs, thinner and shorter than lateral pairs. TT setae with mushroom-shaped endings. Abdomen with three sets of pairs of ventral tubercles bearing a few unspecialised setae: A2–A8 with hemispherical lateroventral pairs of tubercles and smaller pairs of tubercles in a more submedial position ventrally (= submedioventral). A2–A7 with pairs of weakly-developed tubercles between lateral TTs and lateroventral tubercles (= subpleural tubercles). Pretarsal claws without basal expansions.

Etymology. From the Latin Tyrus (= Tyre), the ancient Phoenician city on the southern coast of Lebanon, after the allegorical resemblance of the series of tubular tubercles of the holotype from the type species and the allegedly Roman, colonnaded agora at the Al Mina excavation site, and chrysa (Greek, meaning “golden”), a traditional ending for chrysopoid genus-group names (gender: feminine).

*Tyruschrysa melqart* sp. nov.

Figure 2–5
2016 “Morphotype CIV, naked” Wang et al., fig. S1.F

Type material. Holotype TAR-96, an almost complete specimen carrying a preserved packet composed by soil particles. The specimen is deposited in the Natural History Museum of the Lebanese University, Faculty of Sciences II (Azar Collection), Fanar. The specimen is almost complete, only lacking the disutoventral part of the cephalic capsule (including labial palps and the distalmost part of the maxillae). Preservation is relatively good but the specimen shows microfracturing and displacement in multiple points, likely resulting from preparation.

Diagnosis. As for the genus (above).
Description. Second or third instar larva (all measurements are in millimetres). Body campodeiform and gibbous. Body length ca. 1.4 from the head (excluding the jaws) to the apex of abdomen. Cephalic capsule sub- semicircular in shape, ca. 0.24 long, 0.38 wide. Mandibles very short, 0.19 long, 0.05 wide at their base (ratio \(W/L = 0.26\)), rather stout, barely recurved; apices with a few sensilla, serrated inner margins present. Coupling structures at jaws absent. Maxillae only preserved basally and labial palps not preserved. Antennae very short, 0.17 long; scape cylindrical (0.04 long, 0.04 wide); pedicel 0.07 long; flagellum thick and short, 0.06 long, slightly expanding apically (not tapering), lacking terminal seta (Fig. 4a). Cranial sockets holding base of antennae absent. Ocular tubercles not prominent, with at least five stemmata although exact number not discernible. Several cephalic setae present, short; chaetotaxy not apparent but at least six very short setae emerging from the lateral sides of head, curving anteriorly, 0.04 long. No apparent colour pattern nor epicranial marks preserved.

Cervix subconical, rather elongate. Prothorax narrower than other thoracic segments, lacking setigerous tubular tubercles (=TTs). Mesothorax with lateral and laterodorsal pairs of elongate TTs, equally developed, anteriorly directed, about 0.70 long. Metathorax with lateral and laterodorsal pairs of elongate TTs, laterodorsal pairs much more reduced (0.20 long) than lateral ones (0.75 long). Setigerous TTs extremely elongate to moderately elongate, present on thorax and abdomen, with a rather constant thickness along their length (not becoming conspicuously thinner towards the apices), 0.01–0.02 wide. Thoracic and abdominal TTs with a similar thickness. TT with setae along entire length, setae shorter basally (setae of abdominal TTs about 0.05 long basally and up to 0.40 long apically). TT setae emerging from tuberculated bases that are more conspicuous towards TT apex, smooth (without serrations), tapering apically, with mushroom-shaped endings (Fig. 4c). Some TT setae with less developed endings, almost knob-like, more common in distal areas of TTs. Body setae not emerging from TTs filiform (without expanded endings), both dorsally and ventrally. Chalazae not conspicuous. Insertion of thoracic TTs not particularly broad. Legs not particularly large and robust in relation to body, with scattered setae; prothoracic leg....
0.73 long (coxa 0.09 long, trochanter 0.10 long, femur 0.23 long, tibia 0.20 long, tarsus 0.12 long); mesothoracic leg 0.76 long (coxa 0.11 long, trochanter 0.10 long, femur 0.23 long, tibia 0.21 long, tarsus 0.11 long); metathoracic leg about 1.13 long (coxa about 0.10 long, trochanter 0.18 long, femur 0.37 long, tibia 0.36 long, tarsus 0.12 long), about 2/3 the length of prothoracic leg. Trochanters without a conspicuous external, rounded process but bearing an especially elongate seta ventrodistally. Each tibia with a ventral row of three pairs of thickened setae, increasing in length and thickness towards apex (Fig. 4b); most apical pair of setae 0.08 long (on prothoracic leg). Tarsi
with fused tarsomeres. Pretarsal claws sharp, recurving inwards, ca. 0.03 long, without laminar basal expansions (Fig. 4b). Trumpet-shaped empodia well developed (0.07 long on prothoracic leg). Two long, setae-like pulvilli present between claws, 0.04 long (on prothoracic leg).

Abdomen with two sets of dorsal TTs bearing setae with mushroom-shaped endings: five lateral TT pairs in abdominal segments (A) 2–6, and seven shorter laterodorsal TT pairs, about 0.20, in A1–A7. Lateral TT pairs in A1–A3 ~0.80–0.85 long, A4 ~0.65 long, and A5 ~0.45 long. Abdomen bearing three sets of pairs of ventral setose tubercles: (1) weakly-developed pairs of tubercles in submedial position on A2–A8 (=submedioventral tubercles), bearing two setae each; (2) hemispherical pairs of tubercles in lateroventral position on A2–A8, each bearing three setae; and (3) weakly-developed pairs of tubercles between lateroventral tubercles and lateral TTs on A2–A7, bearing a few setae each (=subpleural tubercles) (Fig. 4d). Lateroventral pair of tubercles in A7 especially well-developed and slightly projected ventrad, papilliform. Pair of ventral tubercles in A8 particularly broadened, papilliform, likely fusion of lateroventral tubercle and subpleural tubercle from same abdominal segment. A9 and A10 subcylindrical, latter (apex) relatively large. A9 with a ventrodistal fan of 7–8 remarkably elongate and thick setae (Fig. 4d,f).

**Age and locality.** Early Cretaceous, Early Barremian²⁰, Bouarij, Caza Zahleh, Mohafazat El-Beqaa, Central Lebanon²¹.
Etymology. The specific epithet is after the most accepted transcription of the Phoenician god “Milk-Qart” (originally meaning “king of the city”, also spelled Melqart, Melkart, or Melkarth), tutelary deity of the city of Tyre and a mighty hunter that was identified with the divine hero Heracles by the Greek. The name, which is treated as a noun in apposition, refers to the powerful appearance that carrying large pieces of debris gives to the holotype and the predatory habits of green lacewing larvae.

Remarks
Five black, opaque, irregularly-shaped particles are in contact with the tubular tubercles and the setae with mushroom-shaped endings emerging from them. These dense particles have different sizes: particle #1 is 0.43 to 0.62 mm, #2 is 0.19 to 0.33 mm, #3 is 0.26 to 0.31 mm, #4 is 0.09 to 0.13 mm, and #5 is 0.07 to 0.10 mm (Fig. 5a).

Although most surfaces of the particles are granulose and porous, one surface is relatively flat and shows distinct cracking (Fig. 5c). Most surfaces are coated by lighter (brownish to greenish), translucent films (Fig. 5b). Additionally, cloudy material, likely disaggregated from the dense particles, is present attached to the last laterodorsal tubercles of the abdomen (Fig. 5a).

The specimen corresponds to a late instar (i.e., second or third instar) due to: (1) the low relative size proportion of the legs and the head in relation to the body, (2) the high degree of setation and tubercle development, and (3) the humped body, all of the above when compared to extant green lacewing first instar larvae and the neonate species to be described elsewhere from Lebanese amber (Pérez-de la Fuente et al., submitted). Tyruschrysa melqart gen. et sp. nov. could have been the larval form of one of the multiple chrysopoid lineages described from the Cretaceous based on adult material.

The new species was previously figured in the supplementary information of Wang et al. Although those authors considered the specimen as belonging to the debris-carrying morphotype IV (in which four specimens, all from Lebanese amber, were included), TAR-96 was treated as “naked”. Therefore, the particles present in the
sample (Fig. 5) were overlooked as debris packet components, likely a result of not having had the chance to examine the specimen. Moreover, it is worthy to note that, contrary to the brief descriptive note offered by Wang et al.12 on morphotype IV, i.e., “The body is flat, with length about 4 mm. The head is approximately 1 mm long. The jaws are shorter than the head. The tubular tubercles are 3–4 mm long”, the body of T. melqart is clearly gibbous, and the lengths of body, cephalic capsule, and TTs are less than half the lengths originally provided13.

The previously described chrysopoid larva H. diogenesi shares with the new species a body dorsum with pairs of setigerous, extremely elongate tubercles (i.e., tubular tubercles), exceeding the body width; at least the mesothoracic segment with two pairs of tubular tubercles (lateral and laterodorsal pairs); and the abdomen with at least one pair of smaller tubular tubercles in laterodorsal position. The figured debris-carrying larvae from Burmese amber reported and figured by Wang et al.12 (at least morphotypes CI and CII) appear to share these characters as well, although detailed descriptions of these specimens are necessary. We refrain from creating further taxonomic subdivisions pending further descriptions and discoveries that will help expand the disparity of characters among fossil debris-carrying chrysopoids and test their stability. Tyruschrysa melqart differs from H. diogenesi in the shape of the head (semicircular vs. banana-shaped), the mandibles (shorter than the head, stout vs. longer and stylised), and the antennae (shorter than the mandibles vs. longer), the morphology of the TT setal endings (mushroom-shaped in the new species vs. trumpet-shaped), the relative size of the legs (smaller in the new species), and the lack of pretarsal claw basal expansions, among other characters. It is noteworthy that the blunt and short jaws and the lack of a terminal elongate seta (bristle) on the antennae are unusual characters among modern chrysopids. Indeed, although in extant Chrysopidae the jaws are styl- et- and scythe-like, and are longer than the cephalic capsule in most of the species, a few of them have blunt jaws shorter than the cephalic capsule, as in the new fossil species, such as some Belonopterygini19–21. Likewise, most extant chrysopids have an elongate seta on the antennal flagellum, but its absence is considered a synapomorphy of the third larval instars within the Nothochrysinae22.

**Discussion**

The five particles preserved together with Tyruschrysa melqart gen. et sp. nov. are confidently regarded as bits of debris gathered by the own larva. The morphological characteristics of these particles are consistent with them being soil particles containing decaying organic matter such as bark as well as microbial/fungal growths (Fig. 5d). Modern ecological analogues of T. melqart have been described among extant debris-carrying chrysopids: Italochrysa insignis gathers soil and dried plant material to construct a highly compacted packet. The resulting dome-like packet confers protection against the attacks of arborescent ants as the chrysopid larvae feed on ant larvae and pupae in the ant nests23.

In general terms, whereas debris-carrying larvae tend to have globose, gibbous bodies and well-developed (up to digitiform) tubercles bearing abundant, relatively long, textured setae with curved endings, naked larvae have fusiform, flat bodies and weakly-developed (up to hemispherical) tubercles bearing sparse, short, smooth setae with acute or blunt endings20. Tyruschrysa melqart was clearly a debris-carrying morphotype, but both its tuberculation pattern and tubular tubercle setae are peculiar, unknown from extant chrysopid larvae and their extinct relatives described.

The number and, above all, the degree of development of pairs of setose tubercles in green lacewing larvae are variable and usually correlated with the naked or debris-carrying mode of life. Setose tubercles are usually lateral in position, but additional pairs can be developed laterodorsally and even submedially24,25. Lateral tubercles are better developed than laterodorsal ones, and the latter tend to be better developed than the submedial tubercles, when present. With the exception of some naked forms (e.g., Brinchochrysa, Hypochrysa, Pimachrysa) where tubercles are absent or vestigial26,27, extant chrysopid larvae show distinct pairs of setose tubercles on the dorsum of the three thoracic segments and of a variable number of abdominal segments. In any case, setose tubercles as dramatically elongate (= tubular) as in the Cretaceous chrysopoids are absent from modern chrysopid larvae, as their degree of development only ranges from weakly-developed (“small”), to hemispherical, papilliform, spherical, cylindrical, and digitiform. The longest digitiform tubercles known in extant chrysopid larvae are not, in any case, longer than the width of the larval body, and are laterally- placed on the dorsum of the thoracic segments from the debris-carrying species of Leucochrysinae26–31. The third instar of a species classified in the latter, Berchmansus adumbratus, is highly unusual in that not only the thoracic but also the abdominal lateral tubercles are digitiform, giving a superficial resemblance to the extinct chrysopoids bearing tubular tubercles32 (Fig. 6a). It is interesting to note that the condition of possessing digitiform lateral tubercles is commonly present in the larvae of owllies (Ascalaphidae) and split-footed lacewings (Nymphidae) (named scoli in these groups), the latter even possessing additional scoli placed laterodorsally33–35. Moreover, the first thoracic segment of extant debris-carrying chrysopid larvae (all instars) bears, at least, a pair of lateral tubercles. This is a major difference contrasting with the lack of tubercles on the first thoracic segment in Tyruschrysa and also some undescribed forms from Burmese amber2. This absence could be related to the extreme elongation of the lateral tubercles having imposed mechanical restrictions during the packet loading phase. Apart from pairs of lateral tubercles, pairs of laterodorsal tubercles can occur in all thoracic segments and most abdominal ones (excluding the distalmost segments), such as in some naked or occasionally debris-carrying species of Chrysopa36 (Fig. 6a). However, laterodorsal tubercles are more commonly only present on the abdomen: these can be distinct on most segments, i.e., A1–A7 or A1–A8, both in third instars of debris-carrying forms such as species in the genera Apochohra, Gonzaga, Leucochrysa, and Santocellus15,28–30 (Fig. 6a) or naked/light debris-carrying Chrysopini such as Peyerimhoffina and Plesiochrysa26,37. Conversely, laterodorsal tubercles can be restricted to particular abdominal segments in debris-carrying forms, namely on A6 and A7 (Chrysopodes, Suarius), A5–A7 (Pseudomallada), or A1, A6, and A7 (Ceraochrysa, Ceratochrysa)30,33–34 (Fig. 6a). In all of these cases, first instars tend to show a higher degree of tuberculation, with a higher number of laterodorsal and submedial tubercles than second or third instars. On the other hand, the presence of three sets of pairs of hemispherical to weakly-developed tubercles in ventral position
on the abdomen (named herein subpleural, lateroventral, and submedioventral pairs, Fig. 6a) each bearing a few (at least two) setae is a condition that, to our knowledge, has not been formally described from any extant or extinct green lacewing larva. Nevertheless, the presence of ventral tubercles on the abdomen appears to have been neglected at times in modern taxa, at least in some chrysopids. This is based on pictures of larvae in lateral view where alleged tubercles bearing setae in subpleural position are visible (vide Chrysopa oculata and an unconfirmed Pseudomallada sp.10), as well as on a brief reference to “protuberances ventrally and sublaterally”, each “bearing a long, curved bristle” in first instars and “with a few short bristles” in the third instar of Pseudomallada flavifrons34. Note that larvae within several Chrysopodes species show protuberances ventrally on the abdomen, below the lateral tubercles (in subpleural position)38, but these are minute and bear a single seta, therefore falling under the category of chalazae rather than true tubercles bearing more than one seta. Likewise, pairs of “chalazae ventral to lateral abdominal tubercles” were described in Chrysoperla carnea42. It is also important to note that setose tubercles or ventral abdominal protuberances of any kind are absent in the drawings/pictures from some first and third instar chrysopines, such as in Chrysopa and Kymachrysa species, respectively43,44. Therefore, although the presence of three sets of pairs of true, well-developed ventral tubercles on the abdomen is a condition that could be exclusive of T. melqart, it would appear as if these structures currently have an ill-documented variability in modern chrysopid (namely chrysopine) larvae that is worthy of reassessment. On the contrary, weakly defined tubercles (setiferous processes) bearing abundant setation are well known to occur ventrally on the abdomen from extant larvae belonging to other neuropteran families such as antlions (Myrmeleontidae) and spoon- and thread-winged lacewings (Nemopteridae)45,46. The ventral position on the abdomen of the tubercles, their low degree of development, and their setae lacking expanded endings (as in the dorsal TTs) suggests that these were not used by T. melqart to allocate debris, at least actively. One option is that these tubercles did not serve a particular function but that they were allometrically developed due to the general high degree of tuberculation shown by the larva.

The presence of smooth setae (not textured) with expanded endings, a character shared by H. diogenesi and T. melqart but unknown from the diversity of modern green lacewing larvae, exemplifies yet another type of adaptation for enhancing the debris-carrying capabilities of these setae. Setal endings are trumpet-shaped in H. diogenesi and relatively larger, mushroom-shaped in T. melqart (Fig. 6b), but both acted as anchoring points for the debris-packet elements. Interestingly, setae with such expanded endings (particularly resembling those of H. diogenesi) have been described from the extant larval diversity of nymphids34. Overall, larval neuropterans are characterised by a remarkable morphological diversity of their body setae. Specialised setae are also known from the larvae belonging to other neuropteran families, namely from myrmeleontiforms such as ascalaphids, nemopterids, nymphids, myrmeleontids, and psychopsids32,35,45–48, but also from other neuropterans such as hemerobiids and sisyrids49,50. Perhaps the most striking reported setal diversity is that of Ascalaphidae, in which greatly modified setae can range from goblet-shaped to scale-like or plumose52. Nonetheless, the diversity of tubercle setae in modern chrysopid larvae is quite comparable, and it includes both specialised endings and textured surfaces (Fig. 6b). These setal specialisations are usually aimed at increasing the retention of the packet elements in debris-carrying forms, although they can also be present in naked forms. Among these, expanded, blunt endings are the setal specialisations most similar to the trumpet-/mushroom-shaped setal endings described from fossil chrysopoid larva. These include spatulate (= spoonbilled) setae, present in debris-carrying (e.g., Chrysopidae, Cunctochrysa, Pseudomallada, and Suarius) and naked (e.g., Peyerimhoffia) Chrysopini39; and knobbed (=clavate) setae (as in Berchmansus and Antomalochrysa, occasionally debris-carrying)31,32. Further, specialisations encompass multrigoned (=truncated) setal endings, known in debris-carrying Vieira species and also in naked forms within Brinckochrysa26,52. However, the most common setal specialisation associated with debris-carrying is hook-like endings, present in species of genera such as Apochrysa, Ceraceochrysa, Gonzaga, Leucochrysa, Pseudomallada, Santocellus, Suarius, or Rexa26,28,29,40,53,54. Flattened hook-like setal endings are known in Chrysopodes38. Hook-like setal endings can also be present in light debris-carriers (e.g., Plesiocysra) or occasionally debris-carrying/naked forms as some Chrysopini (Atlanticochrysa, Chrysopa, and Melicoma)10,37,55,36. "These endings are sometimes difficult to distinguish from curled (recurved) setae, both broadly (e.g., Chrysopa) or narrowly as in Notochrysa (= uncinate setae)36. Curled setae sometimes have hook-like endings (e.g., Chrysopa), but these can also be spatulate (Pseudomallada, Cunctochrysa) or multipronged (Vieira) (see above). Furthermore, a different type of setal specialisation that tends to be associated to debris-carrying among modern chrysopids is the presence of textured surfaces, i.e., setae with more or less developed projections along their length. This includes setal projections that are, in order of increasing development, granular as present in Kymachrysa46, denticulate as in Halochrysa46, thorny/serrated as present in Ceraceochrysa, Chrysopodes, Leucochrysa, or Suarius26,38,40,54 and plumose as in Ankylopteryx47."

The holotype of T. melqart is carrying soil particles corresponding to an initially built debris packet or a transportable load (Fig. 6b), but both acted as anchoring points for the debris-packet elements. These particles were selected, gathered and carried by the larva, and their presence on the specimen represent direct evidence that the debris-carrying strategy and associated behaviours have been present in larvae of the chrysopid lineage for at least, about 130 million years. The selective pressures that could explain why the larvae of these Cretaceous lineages of green lacewings possessed extremely elongate tubular tubercles are still unclear. It was previously hypothesised that such extremely elongate tubercles would have allowed the construction of a particularly thick debris packet, and therefore might have been adapted to protect from predators or parasitoids with elongate piercing structures such as proboscides or ovipositors1. In light of the fossils described so far, it would appear as if the debris-carrying condition in the chrysopid lineage shifted from forms with extremely elongate (tubular) tubercles bearing setae smooth and with expanded endings to forms with much shorter tubercles but bearing setae typically textured or hooked/tipped curved. Alternatively, these two groundplans (if distinct) could have coexisted. In any case, it is clear that more fossils are required, including detailed descriptions of the abundant chrysopoid larvae reported from Burmese amber46, in order to start assessing the relationships among the different stem-group larval chrysopids and to test
evolutionary trends in the chrysopoid lineage. Although some of the characters from the new fossil are unknown from modern relatives, such as elongate lateral and laterodorsal tubercles on most abdominal segments, tubercle setae with expanded endings, and sets of pairs of tubercles bearing a few setae each in a ventral position on the abdomen (tentatively exclusive of the fossil pending for a reassessment of these structures in modern chrysopids), similar features are today found among other neuropteran larvae from the myrmeleontiform lineage, namely in myrmeleontoids, nymphs, and/or ascalaphids. This suggests that these characters could potentially be pleisiomorphic for *T. melqart*, being lost during the evolution of chrysopoids, or, more likely, independently evolved relative to those in the Myrmeleontiformia. Again, the description of more extinct diversity is required to test this and further hypotheses. It is clear that larval studies have proven useful to shed light on the species- and suprageneric-level relationships of Chrysopidae.10 Gathering fossil evidence suggests that the debris-carrying habit of larvae played an important role for the diversification of green lacewings during the early evolutionary stages of the lineage. Fossil chrysopoid larvae will no doubt be paramount in unravelling the evolutionary history of this remarkable insect group and that of protective-camouflaging strategies as successful as the debris-carrying.

**Material and Methods**

The studied specimen, TAR-96, was isolated within a small amber piece by removing the main part of the amber around it, and then prepared between two circular cover slips in Canada balsam medium.12

A Discovery.V12 Zeiss stereomicroscope, and two compound microscopes, an Olympus BX51 and a Zeiss AXIO, were used to study the specimen in the two views that the preparation permits. The specimen was illustrated using a drawing tube Olympus U-DA attached to the Olympus BX51 and photographed using an Axiocam 105 colour digital camera attached to both the stereomicroscope and the Zeiss AX10. The scanned camera lucida drawing of the specimen was coloured with Adobe Photoshop CC version 18.0. Microphotographs were stacked using the software Helicon Focus version 6.80.

Nomenclature used follows that of C. A. Tauber and co-workers.10 This published work and the associated nomenclatural acts have been registered in ZooBank, the proposed online registration system for the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix "http://zoobank.org/". The LSID for this publication is 97C1C42D-EC87-40DF-93F6-73C7A0F11546, and those of the associated nomenclatural acts are 5D7E496F-8EB7-4CEB-81F8-0CE90136D42D (Tyrus chrysa gen. nov.) and B7C5A783-EBF8-4170-B996-E27C2A9CC2E8 (T. melqart sp. nov.).
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