CASCADES OF ALTERNATING SMOOTH BIFURCATIONS AND
BORDER COLLISION BIFURCATIONS WITH SINGULARITY IN
A FAMILY OF DISCONTINUOUS LINEAR-POWER MAPS

LAURA GARDINI∗
Department of Economics, Society and Politics, University of Urbino
via Saffi 42, 61029 Urbino (PU), Italy

ROYA MAKROONI
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
Azadi St., Tehran, P.O.Box 11365-11155, Iran

IRYNA SUSHKO
Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
3 Tereshchenkovska st., 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine

(Communicated by Miguel Sanjuan)

ABSTRACT. We investigate the dynamics of a family of one-dimensional linear-
power maps. This family has been studied by many authors mainly in the con-
tinuous case, associated with Nordmark systems. In the discontinuous case,
which is much less studied, the map has vertical and horizontal asymptotes giv-
ing rise to new kinds of border collision bifurcations. We explain a mechanism
of the interplay between smooth bifurcations and border collision bifurcations
with singularity, leading to peculiar sequences of attracting cycles of periods
$n, 2n, 4n−1, 2(4n−1),... , n ≥ 3$. We show also that the transition from invertible
to noninvertible map may lead abruptly to chaos, and the role of organizing
center in the parameter space is played by a particular bifurcation point re-
lated to this transition and to a flip bifurcation. Robust unbounded chaotic
attractors characteristic for certain parameter ranges are also described. We
provide proofs of some properties of the considered map. However, the com-
plete description of its rich bifurcation structure is still an open problem.

1. Introduction. The large number of applied models characterized by sharp
switching between different states are described by nonsmooth systems. The dy-
namics of such systems can often be investigated using a first return map defined on
some Poincaré section of the phase space. This fact has lead to primary importance
investigations of the bifurcations occurring in piecewise smooth maps, continuous
or discontinuous, which expanded in the last decade. In particular, the well known
Nordmark systems associated with grazing bifurcations have been intensively stud-
ied using one-dimensional (1D for short) piecewise smooth (PWS for short) return
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maps with power function nonlinearities [21, 22]. In the present paper, we consider such a map, defined by two functions, $f_L(x)$ and $f_R(x)$, as follows:

$$x \mapsto f_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} f_L(x) = ax + \mu & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ f_R(x) = bx^{-\gamma} + \mu & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $a$, $b$, $\mu$, $\gamma$ are real parameters and $\mu < 0$, $\gamma > 0$.

The PWS map (1) has been considered by many authors, mainly for $\gamma < 0$ corresponding to the continuous case. The most studied is the case $\gamma = -1/2$ related to the square-root nonlinearity, see [26, 23, 11, 5, 4, 3]. In [9, 7] the normal-form mapping of sliding bifurcations is derived, leading to an additional linear term in the function $f_R(x)$ in (1), and to the values $\gamma = -3/2$, $\gamma = -2$ and $\gamma = -3$, related to different cases of sliding bifurcations (see also [3]). Other examples of maps with nonlinear leading-order terms, associated with grazing and sliding bifurcations in power converters and in nonsmooth sliding-mode controls can be found in [5, 6, 1].

The piecewise linear case related to $\gamma = -1$ leads to the skew tent map, whose dynamics are well described (see, e.g., [14, 32, 34]). Map (1) with $\gamma = -2$ is a particular case of the linear-logistic map considered in [30, 31].

It is worth noticing that the power term in (1) in the applied context appears through a Taylor series expansion of a nonlinear function, and so it can take only particular positive values (as mentioned above). In all these cases the system is a continuous PWS map, whose characteristic feature is the occurrence of border collision bifurcations (BCB for short). This term, introduced by Nusse and Yorke (see [24, 25]) denotes the crossing of a border by an invariant set, typically a periodic point. The study of continuous PWS systems can take advantage of the skew tent map as a border collision normal form, a powerful analytical tool which allows to determine the effect of the border collision of cycles of any period. Applications can be found in [30, 31, 32, 33, 34], and we shall use it also in the present work.

Besides $\gamma < 0$, also the case $\gamma > 0$ has been recently analyzed for $\mu > 0$. This leads to a family of maps in which the function $f_R(x)$ has a vertical asymptote at the discontinuity point $x = 0$. The dynamic properties of such a family and bifurcations which can be observed under variation of its parameters are quite different from those occurring in the continuous case. The discontinuous case with $\gamma > 0$ is first considered in [27], and some remarks for the particular case with $\gamma = 1/2$ are reported in [28]. Detailed analysis of the discontinuous map in this case ($\mu > 0$) is presented in some recent papers ([15, 16, 17, 18, 19]), where several open problems are left for further investigations. In the present work we consider the discontinuous ($\gamma > 0$) map (1) for $\mu < 0$.

For a generic discontinuous map the complete classification of the possible results of a BCB is still an open problem, as well as the use of the piecewise linear map as a normal form. It concerns especially maps with a vertical asymptote giving rise to peculiar kinds of BCB. However, one of the main points in the investigation of PWS systems, especially discontinuous, is related to the fact that besides BCBs also standard bifurcations characteristic for smooth systems (we call them smooth bifurcations for short) may be involved in the bifurcation sequences. Thus, it is important to investigate the interactions between these two kinds of bifurcations. This is particularly relevant in maps with hyperbolic branches, that applies to the map considered in the present paper, and we shall explain how smooth and nonsmooth bifurcations alternate for some parameter ranges, leading to sequences of attracting cycles with peculiar periods.
The existence of a vertical asymptote in the applied models, particularly in engineering applications, is not new (see, e.g., [29], [13]). A peculiarity of such systems is associated with the fact that they can possess unbounded chaotic attractors. Such attractors are considered in [8] where they are related to structurally unstable situations, while in [16, 17] it is shown that in the discontinuous map (1) with \( \mu > 0 \) unbounded chaotic attractors exist and are robust, i.e., persistent under parameter perturbations in some open set in the parameter space. As we shall see, unbounded chaotic attractors of the map here considered, with \( \mu < 0 \), may be robust in some parameter regions and not robust in others.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we first discuss an overall bifurcation structure of the parameter space of the considered map identifying the regions with nontrivial dynamics and splitting them in two parts, where the map is invertible \((a < 0, b < 0)\) and noninvertible \((a < 0, b > 0)\). Then, after the description of the bifurcations of the fixed points, we present the results associated with the dynamics of the map in the invertible case. We show that a degenerate flip bifurcation (DFB for short) of the fixed point occurs simultaneously with a BCB with singularity of a 4-cycle. Such a peculiarity is caused by the existence of the vertical and horizontal asymptotes of the map. This explains, in particular, a sharp transition from an attracting fixed point to an attracting 4-cycle. We describe also the flip bifurcation of the 2-cycle, which can be subcritical (for \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \)), degenerate (for \( \gamma = 1 \)) and supercritical (\( \gamma > 1 \)). Clearly, the noninvertible case is associated with more rich bifurcation structures which depend essentially on \( \gamma \): our analysis is split in two parts, for \( \gamma > 1 \) and \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \), described in Sec. 3.1 and in Sec. 3.2, respectively. The first case is characterized by particular sequences of alternating smooth and border collision bifurcations. In particular, using the skew tent map as a normal form and an auxiliary map, we show that smooth fold bifurcation (S-fold for short) and fold-BCB can occur, ultimately leading to a pair of cycles of map (1), one attracting and one repelling, whose periods differ by 1, say \( n \) and \( (n - 1) \), respectively. We show also that smooth flip bifurcation (S-flip for short) alternates either with flip-BCB or persistence-BC, so that starting from an attracting cycle of period \( n \), a cascade of bifurcations leads ultimately to attracting cycles of periods \( 2n, (4n - 1), 2(4n - 1), 4(4n - 1) - 1, \ldots \) that is, the period is alternatingly doubled and ‘doubled-1’. In Sec. 3.2 we show that for \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) the map may have attracting chaotic intervals, unbounded and robust, or chaotic repellors coexisting with an attracting fixed point or an attracting cycle. Sec. 4 concludes.

2. Preliminaries. invertible case \((a < 0, b < 0, \gamma > 0)\). As mentioned in the Introduction, we are interested in the dynamics of map \( f_\mu \) given in (1) in the case \( \gamma > 0, \mu < 0 \). Using the transformation \((x, a, b, \mu) \rightarrow (-x/\mu, a, b(-\mu)^{-\gamma-1}, -1)\) the parameter \( \mu < 0 \) can be scaled to \( \mu = -1 \), so that without loss of generality we can consider the map

\[
x \mapsto f(x) = \begin{cases} 
  f_L(x) = ax - 1 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\
  f_R(x) = bx^{-\gamma} - 1 & \text{if } x > 0
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

The overall bifurcation structure of the parameter space of map \( f \) is shown in Fig. 1, where we present 2D bifurcation diagrams in the \((a, S(b))\)-parameter plane for \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) (see Fig. 1a) and for \( \gamma > 1 \) (see Fig. 1b). Examples of map \( f \) are also shown (see Fig. 1c). In the 2D bifurcation diagrams the values of the parameter \( b \) are scaled as \( S(b) = \arctan(b) \). Given that the transformation \( S \) maps an unbounded interval \((-\infty, +\infty)\) into a bounded one, \((-\pi/2, \pi/2)\), one can see the bifurcation
structure for the complete range of $b$, including the values tending to $-\infty$ and $+\infty$. The colored regions in Fig. 1 are related to attracting cycles of period $n$, $n \leq 60$, where different colors are associated with cycles of different periods; the gray region is related to divergent trajectories, the white region is related either to attracting cycles of higher periods or to chaotic attractors, and striped areas are related to coexistence.

In Fig. 1 one can immediately see that the dynamics of $f$ is much simpler for $b < 0$ than for $b > 0$. Moreover, the case $0 < \gamma < 1$ differs essentially from the case $\gamma > 1$. In fact, for $a < 0$, $b < 0$ map $f$ is invertible, and, thus, it has rather simple dynamics which we completely describe below, in the present section. For $a < 0$, $b > 0$, map $f$ is noninvertible, and thus its dynamics are more complicated. As one can see in Fig. 1b (see also an enlargement in Fig. 4a) the case $\gamma > 1$ is characterized by periodicity regions issuing from the point $(a, b) = (-1, 0)$ and related to the attracting cycles of peculiar periods, namely, for decreasing value of the parameter $a$ one observes the adjacent regions of attracting cycles of periods $n$, $2n$, $4n - 1$, $2(4n - 1)$, ..., for $n \geq 3$. In the next section we explain the basic mechanism of such peculiar cascades. The case $0 < \gamma < 1$ (see Fig. 1a) is characterized by unbounded robust chaos which may coexist with an attracting fixed point or an attracting cycle, as described in the next section as well. For completeness note that the case $a > 0$ is rather simple: for $\gamma > 1$ any trajectory is either attracted to the fixed point of map $f_L$ or it diverges (see the yellow and gray regions, respectively, in Fig. 1b), while for $0 < \gamma < 1$ also the fixed point of $f_R$ may be attracting (see the orange striped region in Fig. 1a).

To begin, let us denote two partitions of the definition range of map $f$ as $I_L = (-\infty, 0]$, and $I_R = (0, +\infty)$, and its fixed points as $x = x^*_L \in I_L$, and $x = x^*_R \in I_R$.

To denote an $n$-cycle $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ of map $f$ we use its symbolic representation, associating the symbol $L$ with $x_i \in I_L$, and $R$ with $x_i \in I_R$. For example, an $n$-cycle
with $x_0 \in I_L$ and $x_i = f^i(x_0) \in I_R$, $i = 1, ..., n-1$, has the symbolic representation $LR^{n-1}$. With such a notation the point $x_0$ is related to the composite function $F_{LR^{n-1}}(x) = f_{IR}^{-1} \circ f_L(x)$ and is a solution of the equation $F_{LR^{n-1}}(x) = x$.

The fixed point $x_0^* = \frac{1}{a-1}$ exists obviously for $a < 1$: is attracting for $|a| < 1$; and at $a = -1$ it undergoes a degenerate flip bifurcation (DFB for short), at which any point of the set $[-1, 0] \backslash x_0^*$ is 2-periodic. The result of this bifurcation depends on the values of parameters $b$ and $\gamma$, and we discuss it later. The fixed point $x_R^*$ exists for $b > 0$; its bifurcations are described in the following

**Proposition 1** (bifurcation of the fixed point $x_R^*$). Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then map $f$ given in (2) has a fixed point $x_R^* \in I_R$ satisfying $0 < x_R^* < b^\frac{1}{\gamma}$ which is repelling for $\gamma \geq 1$. For $0 < \gamma < 1$ a subcritical S-flip bifurcation of $x_R^*$ occurs at

$$b = \frac{\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^{\gamma+1}} =: b_R^f$$

moreover,

$$x_R^*|_{b=b_R^f} = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} =: x_R^f$$

The fixed point $x_R^*$ is repelling for $0 < b \leq b_R^f$ and attracting for $b > b_R^f$.

**Proof.** It is easy to check that for $x > 0$ the function $f_R$ is continuous, monotone decreasing and convex. From $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f_R(x) = +\infty$, $\lim_{x \to +\infty} f_R(x) = -1$, it follows that there is only one point, say $x_R^*$, satisfying $f_R(x_R^*) = x_R^*$, that is:

$$b - (x_R^*)^\gamma = (x_R^*)^{\gamma+1}$$

(5)

Moreover, it holds that $0 < x_R^* < O_R^{-1}$ where

$$O_R^{-1} := f_R^{-1}(0) = b^\frac{1}{\gamma}$$

(6)

From $f_R'(x) = \frac{-bx}{x^\gamma} < 0$ and (5) it follows that

$$f_R'(x_R^*) = -\frac{b}{(x_R^*)^\gamma} \frac{\gamma}{x_R^*} = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{x_R^*}\right) \gamma$$

(7)

Since $(1 + \frac{1}{x_R^*}) > 1$ it holds that for $\gamma \geq 1$ the fixed point is repelling. Differently, for $0 < \gamma < 1$ the fixed point $x_R^*$ may be attracting or repelling. Map $f$ undergoes an S-flip bifurcation when $f_R'(x_R^*) = -1$, that is, when $(x_R^*)^{\gamma+1} = b\gamma$, or

$$x_R^* = (b\gamma)^{(1/(\gamma+1))}$$

(8)

while from (7) it holds that $f_R'(x_R^*) \geq -1$ for $x_R^* \geq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$. Together with (8) this leads to $b\gamma \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^{\gamma+1}$. Thus $f_R'(x_R^*) \geq -1$ if $b \geq b_R^f$, where $b_R^f$ is the bifurcation value given in (3). Therefore, for $b > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$ an S-flip bifurcation curve denoted $\psi_R$ is defined as

$$\psi_R : b = \frac{\gamma^\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^{\gamma+1}}$$

(9)

From (8) and (3) we also have that the fixed point at the flip bifurcation value is given by (4). The fixed point $x_R^*$ is attracting for $b > b_R^f$, repelling for $b < b_R^f$, while for $b = b_R^f$ the fixed point $x_R^*$ is repelling, because, as we prove below, the flip bifurcation is subcritical.
To investigate which kind of S-flip bifurcation of $x_R^*$ occurs (subcritical, supercritical or degenerate) we check the sign of the third derivative of

$$f_R^2(x) = f_R \circ f_R(x) = \frac{b}{(bx^\gamma - 1)^\gamma} - 1$$

(10)
evaluated at the fixed point $x = x_{RF}^*$ and at the bifurcation value $b = b_R^f$. Namely, (see, e.g., [36]), if $(f_R^2)'''(x_{RF}^*) < 0$ (resp. $> 0$) then the flip bifurcation of the fixed point is supercritical (resp. subcritical). Using (4), we obtain

$$(f_R^2)'''(x_{RF}^*) = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{(x_{RF}^*)^2} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(1 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma)^3$$

from which we can conclude that for $0 < \gamma < 1$ it holds $(f_R^2)'''(x_R^*) > 0$ and thus the flip bifurcation is subcritical.

Suppose now that $a < 0, b < 0$, so that map $f$ is invertible. Below we prove several propositions describing the dynamics of $f$ in such a case, indicating three qualitatively different cases, for $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\gamma > 1$ and $\gamma = 1$, illustrated in Fig.2a, b, and c, respectively.

**Proposition 2** (bifurcations of the 2-cycle $LR$ for $b < 0$). Let $a < 0, b < 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then map $f$ given in (2) has a unique 2-cycle $\{x_0, x_1\}$ with $x_0 < \frac{a}{b} < 0$, $x_1 > 0$, which undergoes an S-flip bifurcation at

$$b = \frac{1}{a\gamma} \left(\frac{a + 1}{1 - \gamma}\right)^{\gamma+1} =: b_{LR}^f \text{ for } \gamma \neq 1$$

$$a = -1 \text{ for } \gamma = 1$$

For $0 < \gamma < 1$ this S-flip bifurcation occurs at values $a \in (-1, 0)$, while for $\gamma > 1$ it occurs at values $a < -1$, moreover, it is subcritical for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and the 2-cycle is attracting for $b < b_{LR}^f$; supercritical for $\gamma > 1$ and the 2-cycle is attracting for $b \geq b_{LR}^f$; degenerate for $\gamma = 1$, and the 2-cycle is attracting for $a < -1$. Moreover, at $a = 0$ the 2-cycle $LR$ disappears due to a BCB with singularity: $\{x_0, x_1\} \to \{-\infty, 0\}$ as $a \to 0$.

**Proof.** Let $\{x_0, x_1\}$ be the points of a 2-cycle of map $f$ with symbolic sequence $LR$, and let $x_0 \in I_L$. Then it must hold that $x_0 < O_L^{-1} = f_L^{-1}(0) = \frac{a}{b}$ in order to have $x_1 = f_L(x_0) > 0$. The existence of a 2-cycle can be shown via the existence of a unique fixed point $x_1$ for the composite function

$$F_{RL}(x) := f_L \circ f_R(x) = \frac{ab}{x^\gamma} - (a + 1)$$

(11)

For $x > 0$ the function $F_{RL}(x)$ is continuous, monotone decreasing and convex (since $F_{RL}'(x) = -\gamma \frac{ab}{x^{\gamma+1}} < 0$ and $F_{RL}''(x) > 0$). From $\lim_{x \to 0^+} F_{RL}(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \to +\infty} F_{RL}(x) = -(a + 1)$ it follows that for any $a < 0$, the function $F_{RL}(x)$ intersects the diagonal in exactly one point, say $x_1$, satisfying $F_{RL}(x) = x$, that is, $x_1$ is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{ab}{x^\gamma} = x + a + 1$$

(12)

Considering

$$F_{RL}'(x) = -\gamma \frac{ab}{x^{\gamma+1}}$$

(13)
by using (12) we obtain

\[ F'_{RL}(\xi_1) = -\gamma \left( 1 + \frac{a + 1}{\xi_1} \right) \]  

The 2-cycle is attracting if \( F'_{RL}(\xi_1) > -1 \), that is \(-\gamma \left( 1 + \frac{a + 1}{\xi_1} \right) > -1 \), leading to

\[ \frac{a + 1}{\xi_1} < \frac{1}{\gamma} - 1 \]  

(15)

From this inequality we can see that a sufficient condition for the 2-cycle to be attracting is \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1 \) and \( a < -1 \). Differently, for \( \gamma > 1 \) and \( -1 < a < 0 \) we have \( (a+1) > 0 \) while \( \frac{1}{\gamma} - 1 < 0 \), so that the condition in (15) is not satisfied, leading to \( F'_{RL}(\xi) < -1 \) and thus the 2-cycle is repelling. The transition attracting/repelling occurs when \( F'_{RL}(\xi_1) = -1 \) and the 2-cycle undergoes an S-flip, i.e., from (13), when \(-ab\gamma\xi_1^{-1} = -1 \) holds. Thus

\[ \xi_1 = (ab\gamma)^{-1} \]  

(16)

From (14) we also have \( \xi_1 = \gamma \left( \frac{a + 1}{1 - \gamma} \right) \) leading, at the flip bifurcation value, to

\[ \xi_1 = (ab\gamma)^{-1} = \gamma \left( \frac{a + 1}{1 - \gamma} \right) \]  

(17)

The rightmost equation has solutions when both sides have the same sign, that is, for \( b < 0, 0 < \gamma < 1 \) the flip bifurcation occurs at values \( a \in (-1, 0) \), while for \( \gamma > 1 \) the flip bifurcation occurs at values \( a < -1 \). In both cases, the equation leads to the following flip bifurcation curve in explicit form:

\[ \psi_{LR} : \quad b = \frac{1}{a\gamma} \left( \gamma \frac{a + 1}{1 - \gamma} \right)^{\gamma + 1} =: b'_{LR} \]  

(18)

Moreover, from (15) we have \( F'_{RL}(\xi_1) > -1 \) for \( \xi_1 > \frac{1}{\gamma} \), so that, for \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \) (and thus \( a + 1 > 0 \)) the stability condition holds for \( \xi_1 > \frac{2(a+1)}{1 - \gamma} \) leading to \( b < b'_{LR} \), while for \( \gamma > 1 \) (and thus \( a + 1 < 0 \)) the stability condition holds for \( \xi_1 < \frac{2(a+1)}{1 - \gamma} \) leading to \( b > b'_{LR} \). Examples of the curve \( \psi_{LR} \) can be seen in Fig.1a,b.

The remaining case to consider is \( \gamma = 1 \). From (12) we have \( \bar{x}_1^2 + (a+1)x_1 - ab = 0 \) leading to \( \bar{x}_1 = 0.5(-a+1) + \sqrt{(a+1)^2 + 4ab} \) and from (15) we have \( F'_{RL}(\bar{x}_1) \geq -1 \) if \( a \leq -1 \). Thus, the flip bifurcation of the 2-cycle occurs at \( a = -1 \), at which \( \bar{x}_1 = \sqrt{-b} \). Moreover, at these parameter values (\( \gamma = 1 \) and \( a = -1 \)), the function \( F_{RL}(x) \) is linear-fractional:

\[ \bar{F}_{RL}(x) = \frac{-b}{x} \]  

(19)

and its derivative (from (13)) at the unique fixed point \( \bar{x}_1 = \sqrt{-b} \) is always \( \bar{F}'_{RL}(\bar{x}_1) = -1 \) (for any \( b \)), while the second iterate of the function leads to the identity (that is \( \bar{F}_{RL}^2(x) \equiv x \)), which means that all the points of the interval \((0, +\infty)\setminus\bar{x}_1\) are 2-periodic for \( \bar{F}_{RL} \) and thus 4-periodic for map \( f \). It follows that for \( \gamma = 1 \) the flip bifurcation occurring at \( a = -1 \) is degenerate (see [32]), and for map \( f \) the 2-cycle is attracting (resp. repelling) for \( a < -1 \) (resp. \(-1 < a < 0 \)).

The occurrence of a DFB for \( \gamma = 1 \) suggests that for \( \gamma > 1 \) and \( \gamma < 1 \) the type of flip bifurcation of the 2-cycle changes. To see in which way we consider the sign of the third derivative of the second iterate of \( F_{RL}(x) \) in (11), that is of the function...
Figure 2. Bifurcation diagrams $a$ vs $S(x)$ at $b = -5$, where $S(x) = \arctan(x)$. In (a) $\gamma = 0.5$, in (b) $\gamma = 2$ and in (c) $\gamma = 1$, associated with the subcritical, supercritical and degenerate flip bifurcations of the 2-cycle $LR$, respectively.

$$F^2_{RL}(x) = F_{RL} \circ F_{RL}(x),$$ evaluated at the fixed point $\pi_1$ given in (17), and at the bifurcation values given in (18):

$$(F^2_{RL})'''(\pi_1) = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{(\pi_1)^2}$$

For $0 < \gamma < 1$ it holds $(F^2_{RL})'''(\pi_1) > 0$ and thus the flip bifurcation of the 2-cycle is subcritical (see Fig.2a), while for $\gamma > 1$ it is supercritical (see Fig.2b).

As a result, we have that for any $\gamma \leq 1$ and fixed $b < 0$, besides the attracting fixed point $x^*_L$ a unique repelling 2-cycle $LR$ exists as $a \to 0$., while it does not exist for $a > 0$ (when the fixed point $x^*_L$ is globally attracting). Indeed, crossing $a = 0$ the fixed point $x^*_L$ does not change its stability. However, the map changes from invertible to noninvertible, and the value $a = 0$ also corresponds to a BCB with singularity of the 2-cycle $LR$ which becomes repelling after the flip bifurcation (necessarily occurring increasing the value of $a$ from $-\infty$ to 0). In fact, the points of the 2-cycle are given by $\{f_R(\epsilon), \epsilon\}$, with $\epsilon > 0$ approaching 0 and, thus, $f_R(\epsilon)$ approaching $-\infty$. At $a = 0$, due to the flat branch $f_L(x)$ the 2-cycle disappears (or we may consider that it becomes $\{-\infty, 0\}$ and it does not exist for $a > 0$.

Considering the results stated in Propositions 1 and 2 we can completely describe the dynamics of $f$ in the particular case $\gamma = 1$ (see Fig.2c).

**Proposition 3** (DFB of the 2-cycle). Let $a < 0$, $b < 0$ and $\gamma = 1$. Then for map $f$ given in (2) it holds that for $-1 < a < 0$ the fixed point $x^*_L$ is attracting and the 2-cycle $LR$ appearing at $a = 0$ is repelling; for $a = -1$ the segment $[-1, 0]\backslash x^*_L$ is filled with 2-cycles, while the segments $(-\infty, -1)\backslash x_0$ and $(0, +\infty)\backslash x_1$ are filled with 4-cycles; for $a < -1$ the fixed point $x^*_L$ is repelling and the 2-cycle $LR$ is attracting.

Regarding the flip bifurcation of the 2-cycle $RL$ proved so far, in the subcritical case, i.e. for $0 < \gamma < 1$, at fixed $b < 0$, as the parameter $a$ is decreased from $a = 0$, at a value $a^*_{LR} \in (-1, 0)$ the subcritical flip bifurcation occurs (for fixed values of $b$ and $\gamma$ the value $a^*_{LR}$ is obtained from the equation of $\psi_{LR}$ given in (15)). It leads to an attracting 2-cycle $LR$ and a repelling 4-cycle $(RL)^2$. Thus, for a range of values of the parameter $a$ we have bistability: for $a \in (-1, a^*_{LR})$ the fixed point $x^*_L$ and the 2-cycle $LR$ are both attracting. The basin of attraction of the 2-cycle is bounded by the 4-periodic points of the repelling 4-cycle. The other points converge to $x^*_L$. At $a = -1$ the DFB of $x^*_L$ occurs at which any point of the interval $[-1, 0]\backslash x^*_L$ is
2-periodic, but the 2-cycle \(\{0, -1\}\) on the boundary can also be considered as a 4-cycle \(\{0, -\infty, +\infty, -1\}\), and in fact it corresponds to the BCB with singularity of the repelling 4-cycle, which does not exist for \(a < -1\), when \(x^*_L\) becomes repelling and the 2-cycle \(LR\) globally attracting (except for \(x^*_L\)). The bifurcation sequence in the subcritical case is shown in Fig.2a.

Fig.2b illustrates the bifurcations occurring in the supercritical case, i.e. for \(\gamma > 1\). Considering some fixed values of \(\gamma > 1\) and \(b < 0\), as the parameter \(a\) is increased from \(-\infty\) we have the repelling fixed point \(x^*_L\) and the globally attracting \(\{0, -1\}\) 2-cycle \(LR\). This holds up to the flip bifurcation value \(a^f_{LR} < -1\), at which a supercritical flip bifurcation occurs, leading to a repelling 2-cycle \(LR\) and an attracting 4-cycle \((LR)^2\). In the interval \(a \in (a^f_{LR}, -1)\) the 4-cycle attracts all the points except for the unstable 2-cycle and the unstable fixed point \(x^*_L\). At \(a = -1\) the DFB of \(x^*_L\) occurs (the segment \([-1, 0]\) \(x^*_L\) is filled with 2-cycles). The 2-cycle \(\{0, -1\}\) on the boundary can also be considered as a 4-cycle \(\{0, -\infty, +\infty, -1\}\), and in fact it corresponds to the BCB with singularity of the attracting 4-cycle, which does not exist for \(a > -1\), when \(x^*_L\) becomes attracting. For \(a \in (-1, 0)\) the fixed points \(x^*_L\) attracts all the points except for the unstable 2-cycle \(LR\).

The BCB of the unstable 2-cycle is described in Proposition 2, while the arguments presented above describe the BCB of the 4-cycle, as summarized in the following

Proposition 4 (BCB of the 4-cycle \((RL)^2\)). Let \(a < 0\), \(b < 0\), \(\gamma > 0\). Then for map \(f\) given in (2) at \(a = -1\) a BCB with singularity occurs so that for \(0 < \gamma < 1\) the repelling 4-cycle disappears as \(a \to -1_+\); for \(\gamma > 1\) the attracting 4-cycle disappears as \(a \to -1_-\).

3. Dynamics in the noninvertible case (\(a < 0\), \(b > 0\), \(\gamma > 0\)). First note that for \(a = -1\), \(b > 0\), in the segment \([-1, 0]\) \(x^*_L\) filled with 2-cycles (related to the DFB of the fixed point \(x^*_L\)), the border 2-cycle \(\{x_0, x_1\}\) \(a = -1\) can be considered as a 3-cycle \(\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}\) \(a = -1\) \(\in \{0, +\infty, -1\}\). In fact, as \(a\) is decreasing through \(-1\), a 3-cycle \((LR)^2\) appears due to a BCB with singularity. Below we prove that for \(a < -1\), at least for \(a = -1 - \varepsilon\), for some \(\varepsilon > 0\), this 3-cycle \((LR)^2\) is attracting for \(\gamma > 1\), while it is repelling (and belonging to a chaotic attractor) for \(\gamma < 1\).

Since for \(a < 0\), \(b > 0\) both functions \(f_L(x)\) and \(f_R(x)\) are decreasing, we have that besides a BCB, a cycle of \(f\) of odd period may undergo an S-flip bifurcation because it has a negative eigenvalue, while a cycle of \(f\) of even period may undergo an S-fold bifurcation, given that it has a positive eigenvalue.

Let us now introduce an auxiliary map defined by three functions, \(f_L(x)\), \(f_M(x) = f_R(x)\), and \(f_R(x)\), which is of help to study the dynamics of map \(f\) for some parameter ranges.

Proposition 5 (map \(g\)). Let \(b > 0\), \(\gamma > 0\). Then the dynamics of map \(f\) given in (2) are in one-to-one correspondence with the dynamics of the map \(g\) defined as

\[
x \mapsto g(x) = \begin{cases} 
 f_L(x) = ax - 1 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\
 f_M(x) = \frac{b}{(bx^{-\gamma}-1)} - 1 & \text{if } 0 < x < O_R^{-1} = b^\frac{1}{\gamma} \\
 f_R(x) = bx - 1 & \text{if } x \geq O_R^{-1}
\end{cases}
\]

(21)

which is continuous at \(x = 0\), with \(g(0) = -1\), and discontinuous at \(x = O_R^{-1}\) with \(\lim_{x \to (O_R^{-1})^-} g(x) = +\infty\) and \(\lim_{x \to (O_R^{-1})^+} g(x) = 0\).
Proof. For $b > 0$ any point $x > O^{-1}_R$ is mapped by $f_R(x)$ in one iteration to $I_L$, while any point $0 < x < O^{-1}_R$ has a trajectory which starts with the symbolic sequence $R^2$. This implies that the dynamic behavior of map $f$ can be equivalently studied by using the map $g(x)$ defined above. Moreover, from $\lim_{x \to 0^+} f_R(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \to +\infty} f_R(x) = -1$ it follows $\lim_{x \to 0^+} g(x) = \lim_{x \to -\infty} f_M(x) = -1$, thus the function $g(x)$ is continuous in $x = 0$, while it is discontinuous in $x = O^{-1}_R$ with $\lim_{x \to (O^{-1}_R)^-} g(x) = \lim_{x \to (O^{-1}_R)^+} f_M(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \to (O^{-1}_R)^+} g(x) = f_R(O^{-1}_R) = 0$.

The middle branch $f_M(x)$ is monotone increasing for $0 < x < O^{-1}_R$ as follows from the positive derivative:

$$\frac{d}{dx} f_R(x) = f_R'(f_R(x)) f'_R(x) = \frac{b^2\gamma^2}{(b - x^\gamma)^{\gamma+1}} x^{\gamma-1} > 0$$

since $(b - x^\gamma) > 0$ holds for $x \in (0, O^{-1}_R)$, so we have $g'(x) > 0$, and it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} g'(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \gamma > 1 \\
1 & \text{if } \gamma = 1 \\
+\infty & \text{if } 0 < \gamma < 1 
\end{cases}$$

(22)

Moreover, for $\gamma \geq 1$ the function $f_M(x)$ is convex, since $g''(x) > 0$ holds. For $\gamma < 1$ it holds that $g''(x) = 0$ where $\bar{x}$ is the inflection point:

$$\bar{x} = b^{1/(1-\gamma)}$$

(23)

so that the function $f_M(x)$ is concave for $0 < x < \bar{x}$ while it is convex for $\bar{x} < x < b_{R_f}^{1/\gamma}$ (and clearly at the bifurcation value $b = b_{R_f}$ (see (3)) the inflection point corresponds to the fixed point, i.e. $\bar{x} = x_{R_f}$). Examples of map $g(x)$ are shown in Fig.3.

It is plain that given the symbolic sequence of a trajectory of map $g$, that is a sequence in which the three symbols $L$, $M$ and $R$ may occur, to obtain the symbolic sequence of the corresponding trajectory of map $f$ it is enough to replace the symbol $M$ with $R^2$.
Recall that in the interval $[-1, O_R^{-1})$ the PWS map $g(x)$ is unimodal with a local minimum in the kink point $x = 0$, thus $x = 0$ is a local extremum for any composite function $g^n(x)$, $n \geq 1$, and the following property holds:

**Property 1.** Let $a < 0$, $b > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, then for any $n > 1$ the function $g^n(x)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{d}{dx} g^n(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \gamma > 1 \\
+\infty & \text{if } 0 < \gamma < 1 \text{ and } g^n(0) \text{ is a local minimum} \\
-\infty & \text{if } 0 < \gamma < 1 \text{ and } g^n(0) \text{ is a local maximum}
\end{cases} \quad (24)$$

This property immediately follows from the value of the derivative of $g^n(x)$ for $n = 1$ (as given in (22)) by applying the chain rule for the derivative. For $\gamma > 1$ the derivative is always zero, for any $n$. Similarly for $0 < \gamma < 1$ the derivative is always infinite, and the sign depends on the local minimum or local maximum of the function $g^n(x)$ at $x = 0$.

Notice that as long as the inequality $f_L(-1) = -a - 1 < x_R^*$ holds, the dynamics of the PWS map $g(x)$ can be restricted to an invariant absorbing interval $J \subset [-1, O_R^{-1})$.

**Property 2.** Let $\gamma > 0$ and $a < -1$, then map $g$ is continuous and unimodal in the invariant absorbing interval $J$ defined as follows:

1. when $x_R^*$ is repelling (see Proposition 1) and $b = b_R^h$, where

$$b_R^h := -a(-a - 1)^\gamma \quad (25)$$

and the first homoclinic bifurcation of $x_R^*$ occurs, then $J = [-1, -1 - a] = [-1, x_R^*]$;

2. when $x_R^*$ is repelling and $b > b_R^h$ then $J = [-1, -1 - a] \subset [-1, x_R^*]$;

3. when $x_R^*$ is attracting and $b < b_R^h$, then $J = [-1, x_R^*]$.

To see that this property holds, recall first that the invariant absorbing interval is determined by the iterates of the local extremum, that is, we have to consider the interval $[g(0), g^2(0)] = [-1, -a - 1]$. Clearly, when the fixed point $x_R^*$ is repelling, then as long as the inequality $f_L(-1) = -a - 1 < x_R^*$ holds, the dynamics of the PWS map $g(x)$ can be restricted to the interval $J = [-1, -1 - a] \subset [-1, x_R^*]$. In fact, any point belonging to the interval $(-a - 1, x_R^*)$ has the trajectory entering $J$ in a finite number of iterations. Moreover, any point of the interval $(x_R^*, O_R^{-1})$ is mapped to $x > O_R^{-1}$ in a finite number of iterations while any point belonging to the interval $[O_R^{-1}, +\infty)$ is mapped to $x \leq 0$ in $J$ in one iteration.

When the fixed point $x_R^*$ is attracting, then as long as it holds $f_L(-1) = -a - 1 < x_R^*$, the dynamics of the PWS map $g(x)$ can be restricted to $J = [-1, x_R^*]$, which is invariant ($g(J) = J$) and any point belonging to the interval $(x_R^*, +\infty)$ is mapped in $J$ in a finite number of iterations or converges to $x_R^*$.

So we have to detect when the condition $-a - 1 \leq x_R^*$ holds. Given that $x_R^*$ must satisfy $f_R(x) = x$, i.e. $bx_R^{-\gamma} - 1 = x$, we have $-a - 1 \leq bx_R^{-\gamma} - 1$ leading to $b \geq b_R^h$, where $b_R^h$ is given in (25). When the fixed point $x_R^*$ is repelling, the equation $b = b_R^h$ corresponds to the curve in the $(a, b)$-parameter plane (for fixed $\gamma$) at which the first homoclinic bifurcation of $x_R^*$ occurs. In fact, for $b > b_R^h$ the fixed point $x_R^*$ has no rank-1 preimage in $J$ (since $f_L^{-1}(x_R^*) < -1$). At $b = b_R^h$ we have $-1 \in g^{-1}(x_R^*)$, i.e. $0 \in g^{-2}(x_R^*)$, and infinitely many critical homoclinic orbits of $x_R^*$ exist. For $b > b_R^h$ infinitely many noncritical homoclinic orbits of $x_R^*$ exist (see e.g. [10]).
One more relevant change in the dynamics of map \( f \) occurring when \( a < -1 \), besides the homoclinic bifurcation at \( b = b_{1L}^h \) is related to the crossing of the discontinuity point of map \( g \) by the value \( f_L(-1) \), that is when \( f_L(-1) = O_{R}^{-1} \), separating the cases in which \( f_L(-1) \leq O_{R}^{-1} \), and only for \( f_L(-1) > O_{R}^{-1} \) it is possible to have cycles of \( f \) with periodic points in \( x < 0 \) and \( x > O_{R}^{-1} \). In particular, it is possible for map \( f \) to have a new pair of 2-cycles \( LR \), as we shall see in Sec.3.1.4.

Given that \( f_L(-1) \geq O_{R}^{-1} \) holds for \(-a - 1 \geq b_{1/2}^3 \), that is, for \( b \leq (-a - 1)^\gamma \), we have that in the parameter space the boundary of the region has the following equation:

\[
b = (-a - 1)^\gamma =: b_{LR^3}
\]

and corresponds to the BCB of a cycle of map \( f \). In fact, when \( f_L(-1) = O_{R}^{-1} \), we have the repeated sequence \( \{0, -1, O_{R}^{-1}\} \). Noticing that for \( a < -1 \) and \( b > (-a - 1)^\gamma \) a 3-cycle with symbolic sequence \( L^2R \) cannot exist, it must be the BCB of a 4-cycle \( LR^3 \), existing for \( b > (-a - 1)^\gamma \), which becomes \( \{0, +\infty, -1, O_{R}^{-1}\} \) at the border collision leading to a 3-cycle with symbolic sequence \( L^2R \) for \( b < (-a - 1)^\gamma \).

We can describe now the role of the bifurcation curves \( b = b_R^h \) given in (25) and \( b = b_{LR^3} \) given in (26) for the symbolic sequences which are allowed for map \( f \). Keeping fixed a value of \( b > 0 \) and considering the parameter \( a \) in the range \( a \in (a_{LR^3}^h, -1) \) (where \( a_{LR^3}^h \) and \( b \) satisfy the equation of \( b_{LR^3}^h \)) the asymptotic dynamics of map \( g \) involve only the symbols \( L \) and \( M \) (and thus \( L \) and \( R^2 \) for map \( f \)).

Differently, at smaller values of \( a \), in the range \( a \in (a_{LR^3}^h, -1) \) (where \( a_{LR^3}^h \) and \( b \) satisfy the equation of \( b_{LR^3}^h \)) the asymptotic dynamics of map \( g \) involve all the symbols \( L, M, \) and \( R \), but \( L \) is necessarily followed by \( M \) (that is, for map \( f \) the symbol \( L \) is necessarily followed by \( R^2 \)), and since \( M \) may be followed by \( M \) or \( R \) we have that for map \( f \) the symbol \( L \) is necessarily followed by at least \( R^3 \). For \( a < a_{LR^3}^h \) also the sequence of symbols \( LRL \) is allowed, both for map \( g \) and map \( f \).

For any \( \gamma > 0 \) the interesting dynamic behaviors which occur for \( a < -1 \), when map \( f \) is noninvertible, differ depending on \( \gamma \leq 1 \) as can be seen in Fig.1a,b, and the difference is due to the property of map \( g \) in the right neighborhood of \( x = 0 \) : with slope 0 (resp. \( +\infty \)) for \( \gamma > 1 \) (resp. \( \gamma < 1 \)). These two cases are considered separately, in Sec.3.1 and Sec.3.2.

### 3.1. Periodicity regions \((a < -1, b > 0, \gamma > 1)\).

In the considered parameter range, several periodicity regions related to attracting cycles exist, while the fixed point \( x_{LR}^* \) is always repelling, as well as \( x_{LR}^* \). An enlargement of Fig.1b is shown in Fig.4a in the \((a, b)\)-parameter plane. The periodicity regions are better visible for larger values of \( \gamma \) (see Fig.4b). Here different colors are related to attracting cycles of different periods. As one can see, the periodicity regions issue from the point \((a, b) = (-1, 0)\), which is an intersection point of two straight lines, the one given by \( a = -1 \) associated with the DFB of the fixed point \( x_{LR}^* \), and another one defined by \( b = 0 \), related to the transition from invertibility to noninvertibility of map \( f \). The curves \( b_{LR^3}^h \) and \( b_{LR^3}^h \) given in (25) and (26), respectively, are also shown. On the right side of the curve \( b_{LR^3}^h \) we can see a sequence of adjacent periodicity regions related to attracting cycles of map \( f \) of periods 1, 3, 6, 11, .. or 5, 10, 19, ... Below we explain why such peculiar periods are observed.

At any fixed value \( b > 0 \), decreasing the parameter \( a \) through \(-1\) the fixed point \( x_{LR}^* \) undergoes a DFB, leading to a unique attracting 3-cycle. In fact, as shown in Fig.3b, in the interval \( J \) in which map \( g \) is unimodal, this bifurcation leads (for \( a = -1 - \varepsilon \), for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon > 0 \)) to a 2-cycle with symbolic sequence \( LM, \)
Figure 4. 2D bifurcation diagrams of map $f$ in the $(a, b)$-parameter plane for $\gamma = 1.5$ in (a) and $\gamma = 3$ in (b).

Figure 5. Maps $g(x)$ and $g^2(x)$ at $a = -1.7$, $b = 10$ and $\gamma = 1.5$. In the right panel the generic case starting a period doubling sequence is schematically shown.

having the positive derivative close to 0 at the periodic point on the right side of $x = 0$, thus leading to an attracting 2-cycle $LM$. Fig. 5 shows an enlargement of Fig. 3b, where besides map $g$ also map $g^2$ is presented (for which the 2-cycle $LM$ corresponds to two attracting fixed points).

Thus, as long as this unique 2-cycle of map $g(x)$ is attracting, it attracts all the points in $J$ except for the fixed point $x^*_L$. This corresponds to the 3-cycle $LR^2$ of
map \( f \), which attracts all the points, except for \( x_L^* \) and \( x_R^* \) and their preimages. The 3-cycle of \( f \) is not related to a fold bifurcation, and thus it does not exist a companion repelling cycle, because for map \( g \) the 2-cycle \( LM \) is born (decreasing \( a \) through \( -1 \)) at the DFB of \( x_L^* \) at \( a = -1 \).

Decreasing the parameter \( a \), the ranges \( a_R^b < a < -1 \), \( a_{LR^3} < a < a_R^b \) and \( a < a_{LR^3} \), are related to different dynamic properties. In the following subsections we describe the dynamics occurring in the first range, for \( a \in (a_R^b, -1) \), where the use of map \( g \) is very convenient. In particular, we can explain how the first cascade of flip bifurcations in map \( g \) leads to attracting cycles of map \( f \) of periods 3, 6, 11, 22, 43, 86, ... (see Fig. 4).

As stated in Property 2, for any fixed value \( b > 0 \) in the range \( a \in (a_R^b, -1) \) (where \( a_R^b \) and \( b \) satisfy the equation of the homoclinic bifurcation \( h_R^b \) given in (25)), the asymptotic dynamics of map \( g \) involve only the symbols \( L \) and \( M \) since it holds that \( f_L(-1) < x_R^* \), and dynamics are confined in the invariant absorbing interval \( J = [-1, -1 - a] \subset [-1, x_R^*] \), inside which map \( g \) is continuous and unimodal.

Even if \( g \) is not smooth, the bifurcations occurring in the considered parameter range can be completely described. In fact, when the parameter \( a \) is decreased, the attracting cycles of map \( g \) appear in the order described by the well known U-sequence for a unimodal map (see e.g. [20]). In particular, at \( a = a_R^b \) all the cycles from the U-sequence exist and are repelling.

We know that in smooth maps the U-sequence is characterized by fold bifurcations (which open periodic windows) and cascades of period doubling bifurcations. In map \( g \) the critical point \( x = 0 \) is a kink point, and, thus, the fold bifurcation in \( J \) may be either standard S-fold bifurcations or fold-BCBs, leading to a pair of cycles, one of which is necessarily repelling. We shall prove that for the considered parameter range the second cycle is necessarily attracting. Similarly, in the cascade of period-doubling bifurcations, it is possible to observe both standard S-flip bifurcations and flip-BCBs. First we discuss a cascade originating by a 2-cycle born via the DFB at \( a = -1 \) (see Sec. 3.1.1). Then we generalize the result proving (in Sec.3.1.2) the following

**Proposition 6.** Let \( b > 0 \) and \( \gamma > 1 \) be fixed, and \( a \in (a_R^b, -1) \), where \( a = a_R^b \) satisfies the condition \( b = h_R^b \) given in (25) of the first homoclinic bifurcation of \( x_R^* \), then

- any fold bifurcation (either fold-BCB or S-fold) of map \( g \) given in (21) is associated with the appearance of a pair of cycles of map \( f \), one attracting and one repelling, whose periods differ by 1 (say \( n \) and \( n - 1 \)).
- Let \( x = x^* > 0 \) be a periodic point, closest to \( x = 0 \), of an attracting \( n \)-cycle of \( f \) with a negative eigenvalue, which attracts all the points of the interval \( (0, x^*) \), and let \( R^2 \tilde{\rho}_0 \) be the symbolic sequence of this cycle (here \( \tilde{\rho}_0 \) stands for the remaining symbolic sequence, necessarily starting with \( L \)). Then, decreasing \( a \) it is observed a cascade of alternating S-flip bifurcations and border collisions (BCs) leading to attracting cycles whose symbolic sequences can be written as \( R^2 \tilde{\rho}_k \), where \( \tilde{\rho}_k = \tilde{\rho}_{k-1}T \tilde{\rho}_{k-1} \), \( k = 1, 2, ..., \) with the alternating symbols \( T = R^2 \) and \( T = L \). The symbol \( T = R^2 \) corresponds to an S-flip bifurcation, so that an attracting \( m \)-cycle in this cascade is followed by an attracting \( 2m \)-cycle, while the symbol \( T = L \) is associated with a BC and the \( m \)-cycle is followed by an attracting \( (2m - 1) \)-cycle.

For example, starting from an attracting \( n \)-cycle with symbolic sequence \( R^2 \tilde{\rho}_0 \) undergoing an S-flip bifurcation, one can observe the following cascade:
Figure 6. Attracting 4-cycle \((ML)^2\) of map \(g(x)\) corresponding to the 6-cycle \(R^2LR^2L\) of map \(f(x)\). The cycle is close to its border collision. In (b) the enlargement of the small rectangle indicated in (a). Here \(a = -2.155\), \(b = 10\), \(\gamma = 1.5\).

\begin{align*}
R^2\rho_0 \xrightarrow{\text{S-flip}} R^2\rho_0 R^2\rho_0 \xrightarrow{\text{BC}} R^2\rho_1 L\rho_1 \xrightarrow{\text{S-flip}} R^2\rho_2 R^2\rho_2 \xrightarrow{\text{BC}} R^2\rho_3 L\rho_3 \Rightarrow ... \quad (27)
\end{align*}

and the period \(p_i\) of a cycle related to this cascade is defined as \(p_i = 2p_{i-1}\) for \(i\) odd, and \(p_i = 2p_{i-1} - 1\) for \(i\) even, where \(p_0 = n\).

3.1.1. Cascade of S-flip and flip-BCB of the 2-cycle. We already know that for \(b > 0\) and \(\gamma > 1\), decreasing the parameter \(a\) from \(-1\) an attracting 2-cycle \(ML\) of map \(g\) appears due to a DFB which is also a BCB for the outermost 2-cycle \([-1,0]\) of \(g\) (or \(f_L\)) existing at \(a = -1\) in the invariant interval \([-1,0]\) filled with 2-periodic points. If we continue to decrease \(a\) the eigenvalue of the 2-cycle \(ML\) approaches \(-1\). As map \(g^2\) is smooth at the two corresponding fixed points, this first flip bifurcation is a standard one, S-flip. That is, decreasing the value of \(a\) the two fixed points of \(g^2\) become repelling and an attracting 2-cycle appears in a neighborhood of each repelling fixed point, leading to an attracting 4-cycle \((ML)^2\) for map \(g\). This bifurcation is also a pitchfork bifurcation for map \(g^4\) leading to 4 attracting fixed points. Considering the fixed point of \(g^4\) closest to the kink point on its right side, say \(x^*>0\), we have that decreasing \(a\) the local minimum decreases, this fixed point \(x^*\) approaches \(x = 0\) and undergoes a BC at some value (which in smooth maps corresponds to the crossing of the critical point, associated with superstable cycles), at which \(x^*\) collides with \(x = 0\).

As an example, for the parameter values related to Fig.5 we show the attracting 2-cycle \(ML\) of map \(g\) and the two attracting fixed points of \(g^2\). One can see that the derivative at the periodic points is close to \(-1\), i.e. the parameters are close to the flip bifurcation value of the 2-cycle. In Fig.6 we show the map \(g^4\) before the BC, and the point \(x^*>0\) in the enlargement of Fig.6b.

The result of this BC can be predicted by using the skew tent map as a normal form (as described for example in [32]). In fact, evaluating left- and right side
derivatives of the function at the kink point $x = 0$ one obtains two values:

$$\alpha = \lim_{x \to 0^-} \frac{d}{dx} g^n(x), \quad \beta = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{d}{dx} g^n(x)$$

(28)

where $n = 4$. Then the point $(\alpha, \beta)$ in the 2D bifurcation diagram of the skew tent map with minimum (slope $\alpha < 0$ on the left side and $\beta \geq 0$ on the right one), determines the result of the collision. Due to Property 1 we have that it always holds that $\beta = 0$. This simplifies the analysis, as there are only two possibilities: the border collision is either (i.1) flip-BCB or (i.2) persistence-BC, occurring as follows:

(i.1) If $\alpha \leq -1$ then the bifurcation is a flip-BCB (leading to a cycle of double period). That is, after the collision the fixed point $x^*$ of $g^4$ belongs to the left side, i.e. $x^* < 0$, and becomes repelling, while an attracting 2-cycle of $g^4$ appears, with periodic points on the opposite sides of $x = 0$ (attracting fixed points of $g^8$ related to an attracting 8-cycle of $g$).

(i.2) If $-1 < \alpha < 0$ then a persistence-BC is observed. That is, after the collision the fixed point $x^*$ of $g^4$ belongs to the left side, i.e. $x^* < 0$, and persists as attracting. The eigenvalue of the attracting 4-cycle of map $g$ becomes negative, i.e. the slope of $g^4$ at $x^* < 0$ is negative. As $a$ is decreased further an S-flip bifurcation must occur, leading to an attracting 2-cycle of $g^4$ (constituting an attracting 2^3-cycle of map $g$). Then the periodic point of the attracting cycle closest to the kink point on the left side collides with it, and another persistence-BC occurs (since the cycle is attracting one slope is larger than $-1$ and the other is 0), leading to an attracting 2^3-cycle of map $g$ with different symbolic sequence.

Notice that independently on the occurrence of (i.1) or (i.2) the ultimate result of the bifurcation sequence described above is the same, as summarized in the following diagram for the symbolic sequences of the cycles of map $g$:

- $ML$ attr. $\xrightarrow{S-flip}$ $ML$ rep.
- $ML$ attr. $\xrightarrow{(i.1)}$ flip-$BCB$ $LLML$ rep. $(MLML)LLML$ attr.
- $ML$ attr. $\xrightarrow{(i.2)}$ persist.-BC $LLML$ attr. $(S-flip)$ $LLML$ rep. (and $(LLML)^2$ attr.) (persist.-BC) $(MLML)LLML$ attr.

In the example considered above it holds $\alpha < -1$ and thus a flip-BCB occurs (case (i.1)), as shown in Fig.6, Fig.7 and the enlargements. The enlargement in Fig.7b also shows the local shape of the function $g^8$.

Considering the fixed point $x^*$ of $g^8$ closest to $x = 0$ on the right side, one can see that the map has negative slope at this point. Decreasing the parameter $a$ the bifurcation sequence is repeated: first an S-flip bifurcation of this point leads to a 2-cycle of map $g$, then a BC (either (i.1) or (i.2)) occurs.

In our example, the periodic point closest to $x = 0$ on its right side collides with $x = 0$, with derivatives $\beta = 0$ on the right side and $\alpha < -1$ on the left side, leading to a flip-BCB which gives an attracting 2-cycle of map $g$, and so on. The full cascade occurs, with alternating S-flip and flip-BCB, leading to attracting cycles of doubled periods for map $g$. Note that this cascade occurs in a very narrow interval of values of the parameter $a$. 
Figure 7. In (a), repelling 4-cycle \(ML^3\) of map \(g\) corresponding to the repelling 5-cycle \(R^2L^3\) of map \(f\). In (b), the enlargement of the small rectangle marked in (a), which shows also the graph of \(g^8(x)\) with an attracting 8-cycle \(L^2(LM)^3\) of map \(g\) corresponding to the attracting 11-cycle \(L^2(LR^2)^3\) of map \(f\). Here \(a = -2.16, b = 10, \gamma = 1.5\).

As we have already mentioned, this sequence of bifurcations for the original map \(f\) is very peculiar. In fact, the 2-cycle \(ML\) of map \(g\) corresponds to the 3-cycle \(R^2L\) of map \(f\) and its S-flip bifurcation leads to the attracting 6-cycle \((R^2L)R^2L\) of map \(f\). But then, the attracting 6-cycle of \(f\) undergoes a BC from the right side (a periodic point collides with \(x = 0\) from the right side, and thus its image collides with \(+\infty\)) after which, i.e. decreasing \(a\), after the crossing of the kink point, it becomes a repelling 5-cycle \(R^2L^3\) of map \(f\), while the attracting 11-cycle \(L^2(LR^2)^3\) of map \(f\) appears. The symbolic sequences of the cycles of map \(f\) are summarized in the following diagram:

\[
\begin{align*}
R^2L \text{ attr.} & \xrightarrow{S\text{-flip}} R^2L \text{ rep.} \\
R^2LR^2L \text{ attr.} & \xrightarrow{(i) \text{ BC}} LLR^2L \text{ rep.} \\
(R^2LR^2L)LRL^2L \text{ attr.}
\end{align*}
\]

This peculiar transition of the 6-cycle of \(f\) into a 5-cycle is due to the BC which involves infinity. In fact, the attracting 6-cycle \((R^2L)R^2L\) has periodic points which at the BC tend to the values \(\{0, +\infty, -1, R, R, L\}\) that means for \(f\) the 5-cycle \(\{0, -1, R, R, L\}\), and after the BC the periodic point in 0 moves to the left side, so that the repelling cycle which is left after the collision has symbolic sequence \(\{L, L, R, R, L\}\). It follows that for map \(f\) the bifurcation sequence leads (alternatingly) to cycles with double period, say, \(2m\), when it is an S-flip and to cycles of period \(2m - 1\) when it is a BC.

This explains the sequence of periods of the attracting cycles, which is observed in Fig. 4a starting from the attracting 3-cycle of map \(f\), namely, the periods 3, 6, 11, 22, 43, ... We can also notice that the cascade which starts from the attracting 5-cycle of map \(f\) consists of the attracting cycles with periods 5, 10, 19, 38, 76, ... and so on. In fact, the mechanism described above can be generalized.
Figure 8. Qualitative representation of the S-fold and BCBs of map $g^n$ in a neighborhood of $x = 0$, in all the possible cases, showing the shape of $g^n$ at the bifurcation and after: In (a) a fold-BCB; in (b) an S-fold, related to a local maximum of $g^n$ in $x = 0$; in (c) a fold-BCB; in (d) an S-fold, related to a local minimum of $g^n$ in $x = 0$.

3.1.2. Proof of Proposition 6 ($a \in (a_b^h, -1)$, $b > 0$, $\gamma > 1$). Let us first consider the fold bifurcations (either S-fold or fold-BCB) initiating each new set of adjacent periodicity regions or, in other words, leading to the different “boxes” of the PWS map $g$. It is known that in unimodal PWS maps a fold-BCB may lead not only to one attracting and one repelling cycle, but also to two repelling cycles (as it may occur for example in the skew tent map). However, such a case can not occur in map $g$ as can be shown making use of the skew tent map as a normal form.

Consider a fold bifurcation of a pair of $n$-cycles of map $g$. We can restrict our analysis to the $n$-th iterate of $g$, i.e., $g^n$, in a neighborhood of the kink point $x = 0$. A fold may be associated with a local maximum or a local minimum of $g^n$ at $x = 0$, as qualitatively shown in Fig.8. We describe these cases separately.

**Fold-BCB and S-fold related to a local maximum.**

Let $x = 0$ be a local maximum of $g^n$, approaching the diagonal from below. It occurs, for example, at all the fold bifurcations leading to basic cycles $MRM^k$, for any $k \geq 1$. What matters are the slopes $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on the right and left side of $x = 0$, respectively (see (28)). From Property 1 we know that $g^n$ is locally flat on its right side, thus $\beta = 0$, while $g^n(x)$ must be monotone increasing on the left side of $x = 0$ so that $\alpha > 0$. Two cases, either $\alpha \geq 1$ or $0 < \alpha < 1$, are possible. By using the skew tent map as a normal form, we have that:

(j.1) if $\alpha \geq 1$ then a fold-BCB occurs (as shown as in Fig.8a);

(j.2) if $0 < \alpha < 1$ then an S-fold bifurcation occurs first (as shown as in Fig.8b), followed by a persistence-BC.

If $\alpha \geq 1$ then a contact with the diagonal must necessarily occur exactly in the kink point $x = 0$ (i.e. it cannot occur before), and thus it is a fold-BCB, leading to two fixed points of $g^n$ on opposite sides of $x = 0$. For map $g$ the symbolic sequences of the related $n$-cycles differ only in the first symbol, which are $L$ and $M$, say with symbolic sequence $M\rho$ which is attracting and $L\rho$ which is repelling, where $\rho$ represents a suitable sequence of symbols $L$ and $M$, starting with the symbol $L$.

If $\alpha < 1$ then the contact with the diagonal must occur in smooth way, in a point on the left side of $x = 0$. That is, an S-fold bifurcation occurs, leading to two cycles having the same symbolic sequence, $L\rho$, where the fixed point on the left side of the tangency point is repelling and the other one, on the right, is attracting, so that
Figure 9. In (a): fold-BCB leading to a pair of 3-cycles of map $g$; In (b): fold-BCB leading to a pair of 4-cycles of map $g$. Here $a = -2.5$, $b = 10$, $\gamma = 1.5$.

the attracting cycle is the one closest to the origin. Thus, when the local maximum is increased, the attracting cycle undergoes a BC, and since it holds that $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta = 0$, the collision leads to persistence of the attracting cycle, which however changes its symbolic sequence to $M\rho$.

In both cases, ultimately we have a pair of $n$-cycles of map $g$, one with symbolic sequence $L\rho$ which is repelling and $M\rho$ which is attracting. Map $g$ has negative slope at the periodic point of the attracting cycle $M\rho$ on the right side of $x = 0$, as qualitatively shown in the rectangle in Fig.5, approaching an S-fold bifurcation. For the map $G(x) = g^n(x)$ a sequence of attracting cycles of doubled period is initiated, as the parameter $a$ decreases, via alternating S-fold and BC, as explained below.

It is worth to note that these fold bifurcations are particular when referred to map $f$. In fact, ultimately in both cases the bifurcation leads to a pair of cycles whose periods differ by 1, an attracting cycle with symbolic sequence $R^2\tilde{\rho}$ (where $\tilde{\rho}$ is obtained from $\rho$ substituting $M$ by $R^2$), and a repelling one with symbolic sequence $L\tilde{\rho}$. This is due to the BC with singularity which involves infinity, as in the cases commented above.

Two examples of fold bifurcations of the basic cycles $MRM_k$ for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$, which both are fold-BCB as in the case (j.1) described above, are shown in Fig.9. In Fig.9a we show map $g$ and its third iterate $g^3$ at the parameter values soon after the fold-BCB leading to an attracting cycle $MLM$ and a repelling one $LLM$. For map $f$ this leads to the attracting 5-cycle $R^4L$ (whose periodicity region is clearly visible in Fig.4a) and a repelling 4-cycle $L^2R^2$. In Fig.9b we show map $g$ and its fourth iterate $g^4$ at the parameter values soon after the fold-BCB leading to an attracting cycle $MLM^2$ and a repelling one $LLM^2$. For map $f$ this leads to the attracting 7-cycle $R^6L$ (see the related periodicity region in Fig.4a), and a repelling 6-cycle $L^2R^4$.

BC and S-fold related to a local minimum.

If we consider a local minimum of $g^n$ at $x = 0$, approaching the diagonal from above (see Fig.8.c.d), the fold bifurcation is necessarily smooth as $g^n$ is locally flat.
on its right side, thus an \textit{S-fold} leads to a pair of cycles both with symbolic sequence \( M \rho \) (where \( \rho \) represents a suitable sequence of symbols \( L \) and \( M \), starting with \( L \)). The attracting cycle is the one closest to the origin, and the other one is repelling. Thus, as the minimum decreases the attracting cycle undergoes a \( BC \), merging with \( x = 0 \) from the right side. For the slope \( \alpha \) on the left side of \( x = 0 \) it holds that \( \alpha < 0 \), and the slope on the right side is \( \beta = 0 \). We have two cases: either \( \alpha \leq -1 \) or \( -1 < \alpha < 0 \). By using the skew tent map as a normal form, it is easy to show that

\begin{enumerate}
  \item[(jj.1)] if \( \alpha < -1 \) then a \textit{flip-BCB} occurs, leading to a repelling cycle with symbolic sequence \( L \rho \) and an attracting cycle of double period, with symbolic sequence \( M \rho L \rho \);
  \item[(jj.2)] if \(-1 < \alpha < 0 \) then a \textit{persistence-BC} occurs first, leading to an attracting cycle \( L \rho \), and as the minimum decreases the attracting cycle undergoes an \textit{S-fold}, it becomes repelling leading to an attracting cycle of double period, with symbolic sequence \( L \rho L \rho \). Then the periodic point closest to \( x = 0 \) from the left side undergoes a \textit{persistence-BC}, leading to an attracting cycle with symbolic sequence \( M \rho L \rho \).
\end{enumerate}

In both cases, ultimately we have a repelling cycle \( M \rho \), a repelling cycle \( L \rho \) and an attracting cycle \( M \rho L \rho \) of double period. In particular, considering the map \( g^{2n} \), it has a local maximum at \( x = 0 \), and its slope is negative at the periodic point of the attracting cycle \( M \rho L \rho \) on the right side of \( x = 0 \) (as qualitatively shown in the rectangle indicated in Fig.5) approaching an \( S \)-flip bifurcation. For the map \( G(x) = g^{2n}(x) \) a sequence of attracting cycles of doubled periods is initiated, as the parameter \( a \) decreases, via alternating \( S \)-flip and BC, as explained below.

As in the previous case, it is worth to emphasize the peculiarity of the sequence of bifurcations in map \( f \). The \( S \)-fold leads to a pair of cycles, one is attracting and one repelling, with symbolic sequence \( R^2 \hat{\rho} \), where \( \hat{\rho} \) is obtained from \( \rho \) substituting \( M \) by \( R^2 \). The attracting cycle undergoes a BC and then ultimately, in both cases (jj.1) and (jj.2), the bifurcation leads to a pair of repelling cycles whose periods differ by \( 1 \), with symbolic sequence \( R^2 \tilde{\rho} \) and \( L \tilde{\rho} \), plus an attracting cycle with symbolic sequence \( R^2 \tilde{\rho} L \tilde{\rho} \). Note that for map \( f \), independently on the symbols in \( \hat{\rho} \), the period of this attracting cycle is necessarily \textit{odd}, and the periodic point closest to \( x = 0 \) on the right side, say \( x^* \), attracts all the points of the interval \( (0, x^*) \), and thus a flip bifurcation can occur as \( a \) decreases.

The difference in the periods of the two repelling cycles is due to the BC with singularity which involves infinity: when a \( k \)-cycle of map \( f \) with a periodic point in the \( R \) side close to \( 0 \), and thus with symbolic sequence \( R^2 L \ldots \), undergoes a BC, its periodic points tend to \( \{0, +\infty, -1, \ldots\} \), that also means for \( f \) the cycle \( \{0, -1, \ldots\} \), whose period is decreased by \( 1 \), that is, \( k - 1 \).

\textbf{Cascade of S-fold and BC for a generic cycle}

Consider now a generic attracting \( m \)-cycle of map \( g \) with negative eigenvalue, and let \( x^* > 0 \) be the fixed point of \( g^m \) closest to \( x = 0 \), which attracts all the points of the interval \( (0, x^*) \). For example, after a fold bifurcation related to local extremum in \( x = 0 \) as described above, we consider \( g^m \) at a local maximum and \( g^{2n} \) at a local minimum. Let us denote by \( M \rho_k \) symbolic sequence of the \( m \)-cycle, where \( \rho_k \) starts with \( L \). Locally the graph of \( g^m \) is as in the rectangle shown in Fig.5, and we can reason as it is done for the \( 2 \)-cycle of \( g \) (corresponding to the simplest case \( \rho_k = L \), although the appearance of the \( 2 \)-cycle is not related to a fold bifurcation). As the parameter \( a \) decreases, the sequence starts with an \( S \)-flip, leading to repelling cycle \( M \rho_k \) and an attracting cycle \( M \rho_k M \rho_k \) of double period. Then the periodic point
closest to $x = 0$ on its right side undergoes a BC, and what matters are the slopes $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on the right and left side, respectively, of the function $g^{2m}$ at the critical point $x = 0$, at the collision (the slopes are given in (28) with $n = 2m$). We have two cases:

(ii.1) If $\alpha \leq -1$ then the a flip-BCB occurs. That is, after the collision the considered fixed point of $g^{2m}$ crosses $x = 0$ and belongs to the left side becoming repelling with symbolic sequence $L\rho_k M\rho_k$, while an attracting 2-cycle of $g^{2m}$ appears, with periodic points on the two sides of $x = 0$, which for map $g$ is a cycle with symbolic sequence $(M\rho_k M\rho_k) L\rho_k M\rho_k$.

(ii.2) If $-1 < \alpha < 0$ then a persistence-BC occurs. That is, after the collision the fixed point of $g^{2m}$ belongs to the left side (which is the $2m$-cycle $L\rho_k M\rho_k$ for $g$), and persists as attracting. Moreover, in this case the eigenvalue of the attracting 2m-cycle of map $g$ becomes negative, and as $\alpha$ is decreased further an S-flip bifurcation must occur, leading to an attracting 4m-cycle of map $g$ with symbolic sequence $(L\rho_k M\rho_k) L\rho_k M\rho_k$. After this bifurcation the periodic point closest to $x = 0$ on the left side (fixed point of $g^{4m}$) collides with $x = 0$ from the left side, leading necessarily to a persistence-BC (as the slopes on both sides are one 0 and the other smaller than 1 in modulus). Then this attracting fixed point of $g^{4m}$ moves to the right side, leading to an attracting 4m-cycle for map $g$ with symbolic sequence $(M\rho_k M\rho_k) L\rho_k M\rho_k$.

Notice that independently on the occurrence of (ii.1) or (ii.2) the ultimate result of the existing attracting and repelling cycles is the same, as summarized in the following diagram for the symbolic sequences of the cycles of map $g$:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \\
\xrightarrow{S-\text{flip}} \\
M\rho_k \text{ rep.} \\
M\rho_k M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \xrightarrow{\text{(ii.1) flip-BCB}} L\rho_k M\rho_k \text{ rep.} \\
(M\rho_k M\rho_k) L\rho_k M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \\
M\rho_k \text{ rep.} \\
M\rho_k M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \xrightarrow{\text{(ii.2) BC}} (\text{persist.-BC}) L\rho_k M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \\
(M\rho_k M\rho_k) L\rho_k M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \\
\end{array}
\]

We can summarize the cascade of period-doubling bifurcations of any $m$-cycle of map $g$ by use of the following mechanism. Let $M\rho_0$ be the symbolic sequence of an attracting cycle (for example the one born due to a fold bifurcation), where $\rho_0$ stands for the remaining symbolic sequence starting with $L$ (in the case of the 2-cycle occurring as $\alpha$ is decreasing from $-1$, it is $\rho_0 = L$), then for decreasing $\alpha$ we have alternating S-flip and BC leading to cycles whose symbolic sequences can be written as follows, starting from $k = 0$:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
M\rho_k \text{ attr.} \\
\xrightarrow{S-\text{flip}} \\
M\rho_k \text{ rep.} \\
M\rho_k+1 \text{ attr.} \xrightarrow{\text{(ii) BC}} L\rho_{k+1} \text{ rep.} \\
M\rho_{k+2} \text{ attr.} \xrightarrow{\text{BC}} \rho_{k+2} = \rho_{k+1} L\rho_{k+1} \\
\end{array}
\]

i.e. the attracting cycles of map $g$ have symbolic sequence $M\rho_0, M\rho_1, M\rho_2, M\rho_3, ...$ where the updating of the symbolic sequence of the cycles of doubled periods $\rho_{k+1} = \rho_{k} T\rho_{k}$ occurs with the symbol $T = M$ and $T = L$ alternatingly.
Similarly we can write the symbolic sequences for map \( f \). Starting from \( k = 0 \), the attracting cycles of map \( f \) have the symbolic sequences \( R^2 \hat{p}_k \) where \( \hat{p}_k \) (which starts with \( L \)) is obtained from \( p_k \) substituting \( M \) by \( R^2 \), and in the updating sequence \( \hat{p}_{k+1} = \hat{p}_k T \hat{p}_k \) the symbol \( T = R^2 \) and \( T = L \) alternate. Thus when \( T = R^2 \) (when the S-flip occurs) the period is doubled, say, \( 2m \), while when \( T = L \) (i.e. the BC occurs) the period is \( 2m - 1 \).

We have so proven Proposition 6.

### 3.1.3. Unbounded chaotic intervals for \( a \in (a_R^b, -1) \)

Let us discuss other properties of map \( f \) given in (2) making use of the continuous unimodal map \( g \) defined in the interval \( J \) (see (5)). In particular, it holds that all the cycles of map \( g \), except for the fixed points, have even periods as long as the fixed point \( x^*_L \) does not homoclinic. The first homoclinic bifurcation of \( x^*_L \) occurs when \( f_M \circ f_L(-1) = x^*_L \) leading to the following condition:

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta^h_L : & \quad b = \frac{a}{a - 1} \\
& \quad b = (b - a - 1)^\gamma - 1
\end{align*}
\] (29)

More relevant is the fact that for the considered parameter range, for any fixed \( b > 0 \) and \( a \) varying in the interval \( (a_R^b, -1) \) there are open intervals dense in \( [a_R^b, -1] \) related to attracting cycles. However, the set of values of \( a \) in the interval \((a_R^b, -1)\) at which map \( g \) has chaotic attractors (which are chaotic intervals with dense periodic points and aperiodic trajectories) is most likely a totally disconnected set of positive Lebesgue measure (and, thus, such attractors do not persist under parameter perturbations), as it occurs in smooth maps with negative Schwarzian derivative (see e.g. [12], or [35] for a survey). This is because the values at which the fold and flip bifurcations occur are only slightly modified, but all these bifurcations take place (even if border collisions are involved) and thus also the values of \( a \) at which all the homoclinic bifurcations of the repelling cycles occur, exist also here, as it happens in smooth maps.

As mentioned above, attracting chaotic intervals of map \( g \) (and, thus, of map \( f \)) are structurally unstable. Moreover, it is worth to note that for map \( f \) such attractors are unbounded. In fact, for map \( g \), which is continuous in \( J \), the images of the kink point give the boundaries of the chaotic interval(s). If it is a unique interval then it necessarily coincides with the interval \( J = [-1, -a - 1] \), while if it consists of \( k \)-cyclic chaotic intervals then \( c_i = g^i(0) \) for \( i = 1, ..., 2k \) are the boundary points of the intervals, and \( x = 0 \) necessarily belongs to one of them, say to interval \( B_0 \). For map \( f \) this means that the discontinuity point always belongs to the chaotic intervals, and thus also \( f(B_0 \cap I_R) \) belongs to the chaotic attractor. Given that \( f_R(B_0 \cap I_R) = [x^+, +\infty) \) with \( x^+ > x^*_R \), we have that for \( f \) the chaotic intervals are necessarily **acyclic and unbounded** (see e.g. [2]).

Clearly, a unique chaotic interval \( J = [-1, -a - 1] \) for map \( g \) exists at \( a = a_L^b \), when the homoclinic bifurcation of \( x^*_L \) occurs (i.e. the parameters \( b \) and \( a_L^b \) satisfy the equation of \( \beta^h_L \) given in (29), and map \( f \) has two chaotic intervals: \([-1, -a - 1] \cup [f_R(-a - 1), +\infty) \). At \( a = a_R^b \) related to the homoclinic bifurcation of \( x^*_R \) (i.e. the parameters belong to the curve \( \beta^R_R \) given in (25)), \( g \) is chaotic in \( J = [-1, -a - 1] = [-1, x^*_R \) and \( f \) is chaotic in the interval \([-1, +\infty) = [-1, -a - 1] \cup [f_R(-a - 1), +\infty) \).

Thus, we can state the following

**Property 3.** Let \( b > 0 \) and \( \gamma > 1 \). At any value \( a \in [a_R^b, -1] \) at which \( g \) has attracting chaotic intervals, map \( f \) has attracting unbounded acyclic chaotic intervals.
Examples of 1D bifurcation diagrams for map $g$ and map $f$ are presented in Fig. 10.

So, the dynamics related to the considered parameter range is well explained, however, this is not the case for $a < a_{h_R}^L$, as discussed in the next subsection.

3.1.4. Other S-fold bifurcations for $a \leq a_{h_R}^L$. After the homoclinic bifurcation of the fixed point $x_R$ map $g$ is no longer unimodal in an invariant absorbing interval. Thus, map $g$ or map $f$ has to be considered in its complete range, which is $[-1, +\infty)$. Both maps, $f$ and $g$, are discontinuous in the absorbing interval $[-1, +\infty)$ (with discontinuity points $x = 0$ and $x = O_{-1}^{-1} = b_{-1}^L$, respectively), and fold bifurcations may occur in which $f^n$ becomes tangent to the diagonal also at points larger than $x_R^L$.

The occurrence of fold bifurcations is confirmed by Fig. 4, where several periodicity regions of attracting cycles are evidenced, especially at large values of $\gamma$.

The bifurcation structure formed by the periodicity regions of attracting cycles existing for $a < a_{h_R}^L$ is still not well described. Below we give only some simple remarks leaving the detailed analysis of this structure for future study.

For any fixed value $b > 0$, in the range $a \in (a_{L,R}^L, a_{h_R}^L)$ (where $a_{L,R}^L$ and $b$ satisfy the equation of $b_{L,R}^L$ given in (26)), from the asymptotic dynamics of map $g$ it follows that in the symbolic sequence of a trajectory of map $f$ the symbol $L$ is necessarily followed by at least three symbols $R$, that is, it includes $LR^3$. This is the reason why among the several periodicity regions existing in the considered parameter range, the last one (for decreasing $a$) is related to a 4-cycle $LR^3$, which appears by S-fold bifurcation involving two cycles with the same symbolic sequence, one attracting and one repelling.

The considered parameter range is confined by the values related to the BC of the attracting 4-cycle $LR^3$, detected at the beginning of Sec. 3 (see (26)). At the bifurcation value $a = a_{L,R}^L$ there exists the attracting 4-cycle of $f$ with periodic points $\{0, +\infty, -1, O_{-1}^{-1}\}$ (applying $f_R$ to $x = 0$) or also $\{0, -1, O_{-1}^{-1}\}$ (applying $f_L$.
Figure 11. Fold bifurcation of the pair of 2-cycles LR at \( a = -3 \), \( \gamma = 1.5 \) and \( b = 0.35 \) in (a), \( b = 0.2 \) in (b).

to \( x = 0 \), and for \( a < a_{LR} \), the BC of this attracting 4-cycle leads to a repelling 3-cycle with symbolic sequence \( L^2R \).

For \( a < a_{LR} \) the sequence of symbols \( LRL \) is also allowed, both for map \( g \) and map \( f \). Thus, periodicity regions of different attracting cycles may exist where the last one (for decreasing \( a \)) is related to a pair of 2-cycles \( LR \) with points one smaller than \( x^*_L \) and the other larger than \( x^*_R \). To detect this S-fold let \( \{x_{0,2}, x_{1,2}\} \) be the periodic points of a 2-cycle of map \( f \) with \( x_{0,2} \in I_L \) and \( x_{1,2} = f_L(x_{0,2}) \in I_R \). Consider the composite function

\[
F_{LR}(x) := f_R \circ f_L(x) = \frac{b}{(ax - 1)^\gamma} - 1
\]

whose derivative is \( F'_{LR}(x) = -ab\gamma(ax - 1)^{-\gamma - 1} \). The S-fold bifurcation is obtained solving both \( F_{LR}(x) = x \) and \( F'_{LR}(x) = 1 \), leading to \( x_{0,2} = \frac{1 - \gamma a}{a(1 + \gamma)} \) and the fold bifurcation curve

\[
\varphi_{LR} : \ b = \frac{1}{a\gamma} \left( \frac{-\gamma a + 1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\gamma + 1} =: b_{LR}
\]

This curve is marked in Fig.1 and Fig.4, bounding the periodicity region of the 2-cycle \( LR \). Clearly, also a repelling 2-cycle with the same symbolic sequence exists. An example of the occurring fold bifurcation is shown in Fig.11. The pair of 2-cycles exists for any parameter values \( (a, b) \) belonging to the region between the curve \( \varphi_{LR} \) and the axis \( b = 0 \).

Notice that the results obtained above for the fold bifurcation of a 2-cycle \( LR \) hold for any \( \gamma > 0 \) and thus the S-fold bifurcation curve given in (31) is related to a pair of cycles both for \( \gamma > 1 \) and \( 0 < \gamma \leq 1 \). Thus, we can state the following

**Proposition 7** (S-fold bifurcation of 2-cycle \( LR \) for \( b > 0 \)). Let \( a < -1 \) and \( \gamma > 0 \), then at \( b = b_{LR} \) where \( b_{LR} \) is given in (31), an S-fold bifurcation occurs. For \( 0 < b < b_{LR} \) a pair of 2-cycles of map \( f \) with symbolic sequence LR exist, one attracting and one repelling.
Figure 12. 1D bifurcation diagram of map $f$ as a function of $a$ at fixed $b = 1.9$ and $\gamma = 0.5$. The variable $x$ is scaled by $y = \arctan(x)$. In (b) an enlargement of (a) is shown for $-1.1 < a < -1$.

The boundaries $\varphi_{LR}$ marked in Fig.1 and Fig.4 are plotted using the equation given in (31). In particular, the curve $\varphi_{LR}$ intersects the straight line $b = b_{LR}^f$ (in the figures mentioned above the intersection point is out of the window), thus there is a bistability region related to the attracting fixed point $x^*_R$ and the attracting 2-cycle $LR$.

3.2. Dominant chaos for $a < -1$, $b > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$. For $-1 < a < 0$ the dynamics of $f$ are characterized by convergence to fixed points, while for $a < -1$ the dynamics abruptly become chaotic, as can be seen in Fig.4 where $f^L = b_{LR}^f$ is a chaotic set, attracting or repelling. We have different dynamic behaviors depending on the value of $b$, that is, depending on the existence of a repelling ($0 < b \leq b_{LR}^f$) or attracting ($b > b_{LR}^f$) fixed point $x^*_R$, as described below.

3.2.1. Chaos and a repelling fixed point $x^*_R$. For any fixed value $b \in (0, b_{LR}^f)$ (so that $x^*_R$ is repelling) and $a_{LR}^b < a < -1$, we can restrict the asymptotic dynamics to the interval $J$ which is invariant for map $g$. Decreasing the value of $a$ from $-1$, as long as the fixed point $x^*_L$ is not homoclinic the invariant intervals of $g$ are given by $[g(0), g^3(0)] \cup [g^4(0), g^2(0)] = [-1, f_R^L(-a-1)] \cup [f_L \circ f_R^L(-a-1), -a-1]$. Then at the parameter value related to the homoclinic bifurcation of $x^*_L$ the invariant interval of $g$ becomes $[g(0), g^2(0)] = [-1, -a-1]$, which persists up to the homoclinic bifurcation of $x^*_R$. Since it holds that $|g'(x)| > 1$ for any $x \in J$, an attracting cycle cannot exist, and due to the large slope of the function at the right side of the kink point (see Property 1), we can expect that map $g$ really is chaotic in these invariant intervals.

For $a < a_{LR}^b$ we have to consider map $f$ in the interval $[-1, +\infty)$, and even if it holds that $|g'(x)| > 1$ for any $x \in [-1, O_{LR}^R]$, there are points with slope smaller than 1 in modulus for $x > O_{LR}^R$, thus attracting cycles may exist. This depends on the value of $b$. An example of the 1D bifurcation diagram is presented in Fig.12 for $\gamma = 0.5$ and $b = 1.9 < b_{LR}^f = 2$ showing that the interval $[-1, +\infty)$ looks like an unbounded chaotic attractor for any $a \in (a_{LR}, a_{LR}^b)$ (here $a_{LR}$ is the value of $a$ corresponding to $b_{LR}^f$). However, for example, at $\gamma = 0.5$ and $b = 0.1$, for...
$a \in (-1.25, -1.248)$ an attracting 4-cycle of map $f$ exists, with symbolic sequence $RL^3$. Thus, attracting cycles of $f$ may appear also for $a > a_{LR}$, before the crossing of the $S$-fold bifurcation curve $\varphi_{LR}$. So, as long as $a > a_{LR}$ the unbounded interval $U = [-1, +\infty)$ may be a chaotic attractor of map $f$, or it may include a chaotic repeller. Thus, we can state the following

**Property 4** (robust unbounded chaos). Let $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $0 < b \leq b_{R}^{f}$ then

1. for $a_{L}^{b} < a < -1$ map $f$ has an unbounded chaotic attractor consisting of three intervals: $[-1, f_{R}^{0}(-a - 1)] \cup [f_{L} \circ f_{R}^{0}(-a - 1), -a - 1] \cup [f_{R}(-a - 1), +\infty)$;
2. for $a_{L}^{b} < a \leq a_{L}^{b}$ map $f$ has an unbounded chaotic attractor consisting of two intervals: $[-1, -a - 1] \cup [f_{R}(-a - 1), +\infty)$;
3. for $a_{LR} < a \leq a_{L}^{b}$, depending on the value of $b$, map $f$ has either an unbounded chaotic attractor $[-1, +\infty)$ or an unbounded chaotic repellor;
4. for $a < a_{LR}$ almost all trajectories converge to the attracting 2-cycle born crossing the curve $\varphi_{LR}$, and a chaotic repellor exists.

Examples of map $g$ in the cases 2, 3 and 4 listed in Property 4 are shown in Fig. 13.

3.2.2. Chaos and an attracting fixed point $x_{R}^{*}$. Differently from the previous case, for any fixed value $b > b_{R}^{f}$, decreasing the parameter $a$ from $-1$, $x_{R}^{*}$ is an attracting fixed point of map $g$ (and thus of map $f$) and two repelling fixed points of map $g$ exist, $x_{RR}^{1}$ and $x_{RR}^{2}$, which are periodic points of a repelling 2-cycle of map $f$, bounding the immediate basin of $x_{R}^{*}$. However, as long as $f_{L}(-1) < x_{RR}^{1}$ (one can consider the related interval of values of $a$), there is coexistence of an invariant chaotic interval and the attracting fixed point. In fact, as long as the fixed point $x_{L}^{*}$ is not homoclinic, the invariant intervals of $g$ are given by $[g(0), g(0)] \cup [g^{4}(0), g^{4}(0)] = [-1, f_{R}^{0}(-a - 1)] \cup [f_{L} \circ f_{R}^{0}(-a - 1), -a - 1]$. Then at the homoclinic bifurcation of $x_{L}^{*}$ the invariant interval of $g$ becomes $[g(0), g^{2}(0)] = [-1, -a - 1]$, which persists up to the homoclinic bifurcation of $x_{RR}^{1}$. Let us denote $a_{RR}^{b} the value of $a$ at which this occurs. The invariant absorbing interval $J$ includes a chaotic attractor of map $g$, as follows from the same arguments used above (since $|g'(x)| > 1$ for any $x \in J$, an attracting cycle cannot exist). The basin of attraction of the fixed point $x_{R}^{*}$ is the union of the immediate basin $(x_{RR}^{1}, x_{RR}^{2})$ and its unique preimage $f_{L}^{-1}((x_{RR}^{1}, x_{RR}^{2}))$: $B(x_{R}^{*}) = (x_{RR}^{1}, x_{RR}^{2}) \cup f_{L}^{-1}((x_{RR}^{1}, x_{RR}^{2}))$. 

![Figure 13. Graphs of map $g(x)$ at $\gamma = 0.5$ and $b = 0.5 < b_{R}^{f}$. In (a) $a = -1.1$; in (b) $a = -2$; in (c) $a = -4.5$.](image-url)
Figure 14. Graphs of map $g$ at $\gamma = 0.5$ and $b = 2.2 > b^f_R$. In (a) $a = -1.2$; in (b) $a = -1.6$. The fixed point is the unique attractor.

For $a < a^h_{LR}$ a chaotic repeller exists in $[-1, +\infty)$. If $x^*_R$ is the unique attractor, then it attracts almost all the points. However, a coexisting attracting cycle may appear (due to an S-fold bifurcation). For example this occurs for $a < a_{LR}$ when the attracting 2-cycle $LR$ coexists with $x^*_R$. In such cases the chaotic repeller belongs to the boundary separating the two basins of attraction. We can so state the following

**Property 5.** Let $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $b > b^f_R$ then

1. for $a^h_L < a < -1$ the attracting fixed point $x^*_R$ of map $f$ coexists with the unbounded chaotic attractor given by the intervals $[-1, f^2_R(-a-1)] \cup [f_L \circ f^2_R(-a-1), +\infty]$;

2. for $a^h_{RR} < a \leq a^h_L$ the attracting fixed point $x^*_R$ of map $f$ coexists with the unbounded chaotic attractor $[-1, -a-1] \cup [f_R(-a-1), +\infty]$;

3. for $a_{LR} < a \leq a^h_{RR}$ depending on the value of $b$, the fixed point $x^*_R$ may be the unique attractor of map $f$, a chaotic repeller exists;

4. for $a < a_{LR}$ the attracting fixed point $x^*_R$ coexists with an attracting 2-cycle born due to an S-fold for parameter point crossing the curve $\varphi_{LR}$, and a chaotic repeller exists.

Examples of map $g$ in the cases 2 and 3 of Property 5 are shown in Fig.14.

4. **Conclusions.** We have considered some bifurcations occurring in the 1D discontinuous linear-power map $f$ defined in (1), where $a$, $b$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\mu < 0$ are real parameters. The bifurcations of the fixed points have been classified. As the dynamics are quite different depending on $b < 0$ and $b > 0$, we have considered these two different ranges separately. The case $a < 0$, $b < 0$ is related to an invertible map for which we have proved that particular sets of 2-cycles and 4-cycles are involved both in smooth and border collision bifurcations, leading to coexistence with an attracting fixed point. The case $a < 0$, $b > 0$ is associated with a noninvertible map, for which we have described quite a rich bifurcation structure of the parameter space emphasizing its dependence on $\gamma$. In particular, for $\gamma > 1$, when the repelling fixed point in the right partition is not homoclinic, we have shown
that fold bifurcations (either smooth fold or fold-BCB) ultimately lead to a pair of cycles of $f$, one attracting and one repelling, whose periods differ by 1. We have completely described, by using the skew tent map as a normal form, the peculiar sequences of alternating smooth flip bifurcations and border collision bifurcations starting from an attracting cycle of period $n$ and followed by attracting cycles of periods $2n$, $(4n − 1)$, $2(4n − 1)$, $4(4n − 1) − 1$, .... The existence of unbounded chaotic attractors has been proved, which may be structurally unstable or robust. For $0 < \gamma < 1$, we have shown that as long as the fixed point in the right partition is repelling, map $f$ has either an unbounded chaotic attractor, or an attracting cycle coexisting with a chaotic repeller on the boundary of its basin of attraction. Differently, when the fixed point in the right partition is attracting, it may coexist with an unbounded chaotic attractor or with some attracting cycle. Many problems related to the dynamics of the considered map are left open, which require further investigations.
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