Effect of Rice Husk Ash on the Shear Strength Parameters of Silty Sand
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Abstract: It is a known truth that sand possesses zero cohesion. As a consequence, the resulting shear strength parameters are of poor nature. If sand is to be used for the purpose of embankments such as in desert areas of Thar and Kutchh, it is inevitable that the shear strength parameters of a sandy soil should be improvised. The present study aims at improving the shear strength parameters of chosen soil sample i.e. silty sand with the help of a natural waste (rice husk ash). Shear strength parameters are evaluated using direct shear test. Also with the addition of varying proportions of rice husk ash (RHA), CBR test are carried as a check parameter. The detailed study and discussions can be described as below.
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1. Introduction

Soil is one of the most abundant naturally occurring construction and foundation material. Generally, the suitability of a soil for a particular use should be determined based on its engineering characteristics. In most cases, soils need to be ‘improved’ in order to meet the geotechnical characteristics/properties required for a specific project. Soil improvement could be either by modification or stabilization, or even both. In common practice improvement of soil using conventional additive materials such as cement and lime has kept the cost of construction financially high. The price of these materials has dramatically increased due to high demand since 1970s. However, in recent years, the use of various waste products in civil engineering construction has gained considerable attention in view of shortage and high cost of conventional construction materials, increasing cost of waste disposal, and environmental constraints. Rice husk is one of the waste products that have been mentioned with potential to be used for stabilization of soil for road construction. When burnt, the resulting Rice Husk Ash (RHA) contains high percentage of siliceous compounds, making it an excellent material for road construction. In the present study, RHA in different proportions is added to the sandy soil followed by direct shear test and CBR test.

2. Literature Review

Briefing by various researchers that have worked using RHA with different types of soil are as follows:

Rao et.al (2012) conducted study on improving the properties of expansive soil with help of ferric chloride and RHA. It was seen that decreases in liquid limit by 26% with the addition of 8% RHA and 1% Ferric chloride and swelling pressure reduced by 50% and increased UCS value 57% after 28 days curing.

Grytan et.al (2012) conducted studies on geotechnical properties of soil in stabilizing by using RHA and conducted various experiment for workability, strength, compressibility and compaction characteristic. Due to addition of RHA swelling index and compressibility is reduced. At 10% of RHA UCS and direct shear strength is optimised.

Subbarao et al., (2011) conducted study on Industrial Wastes in soil. It enhanced the geotechnical properties of soil replaced with wastes having pozzolanic properties like RHA and Fly Ash. 2%, 4%, and 6% RHA of soil weight is used in soil sample. Using 4 % RHA and Fly Ash is not giving much improvement in soil hence accelerator like lime is used with RHA and Fly Ash is used and optimum result is obtained.

Akshaya et al. (2010) conducted study on effect of RHA and marble dust on expansive soil. This study represents the test results of marble dust on strength and durability of soil with optimum percentage of RHA. For best stabilization is found on optimum proportion of soil RHA and Marble dust 70:10:20.

Aihassan Musa (2008) conducted experiment RHA and cement with soil. Using 2% to 8% cement by weight of soil for compaction, CBR, UCS characteristic. It is seen that increases OMC with decrement in dry density. Also improvement in CBR and UCS with increases RHA. Maximum UCS is obtained on 6% to 8% RHA.

3. Materials and its Properties

Two materials were used in the study namely Soil, Rice husk ash (RHA). Their properties are as follows.

The soil sample (sand) was collected from a trader at Sultanpur city and was tested in geotechnical laboratory, Civil Engineering Department, Kamla Nehru Institute of Technology, Sultanpur. The soil sample properties can be briefed in the tabular manner as below:
### Table 1: Geotechnical properties of plain soil

| S. No. | Parameters                     | Results   |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.     | Specific Gravity              | 2.55      |
| 2.     | Liquid Limit                  | 9%        |
| 3.     | Plastic Limit                 | Non Plastic |
| 4.     | Sand Content                  | 81.65%    |
| 5.     | Silt Content                  | 13.19%    |
| 6.     | Clay Content                  | 5.16%     |
| 7.     | Indian Soil Classification    | SM (silty sand) |
| 8.     | Optimum Moisture content      | 14.35%    |
| 9.     | Maximum Dry Density           | 1.75 g/cc |
| 10.    | CBR                           | 6.14      |
| 11.    | Direct Shear Result           | C = 9.291 kN/m² \( \phi = 29^\circ \) |

The particle size distribution curve can be shown as below:

Rice husk is by product obtained during milling of rice. Burning of the rice husk in a kiln produces rice husk ash (RHA). The main component of the rice husk ash is silica, which is the element that governs the reactivity of the ash. A too high temperature would transform amorphous silica to crystalline silica, which would reduce the reactivity. The suggested burning process in literatures available is 2 hours at 500°C. Literatures also suggest that RHA possesses pozzolanic properties. Few physical and chemical properties of rice husk ash can be briefed as below:

### Table 2: Physical properties of rice husk ash

| Sr. No. | Properties | Values |
|---------|------------|--------|
| 1       | Sp. Gravity| 02.01  |
| 2       | % Gravel   | 00.00  |
| 3       | % Sand     | 43.60  |
| 4       | % Silt and Clay | 56.40 |

### Table 3: Chemical properties of rice husk ash

| Sr. No. | Constituents | Composition |
|---------|--------------|-------------|
| 1       | SiO₂         | 86.00%      |
| 2       | Al₂O₃        | 02.60%      |
| 3       | Fe₂O₃        | 01.80%      |
| 4       | CaO          | 03.60%      |
| 5       | MgO          | 00.27%      |

4. **Test Methodology**

The effect of rice husk ash on the c - \( \phi \) values of soil sample has been studied in this study keeping in view that along with the increase in shear strength, the compression strength remain unaltered. Based on several literatures available, a series of tests has been decided to be performed on the different soil: rice husk ash (RHA) mixes with varying proportions of RHA. The different proportions proposed in this work are 5%, 8%, 11% and 14% w/w respectively.

Mix proportions adopted:
1) Soil: RHA = 95%: 05%
2) Soil: RHA = 92%: 08%
3) Soil: RHA = 89%: 11%
4) Soil: RHA = 86%: 14%

The tests proposed on the different mixes are as under:
1) Proctor Compaction Test as per IS: 2720 (Part-8) - 1983
2) California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test as per IS: 2720 (Part-16) - 1987
3) Direct Shear Test as per IS: 2720 (Part-13) – 1986

5. **Results and Discussions**

The results of the test series performed on the different proposed soil mixes can be briefed as below:
It can be clearly seen that maximum value of cohesion is obtained for 14% addition of RHA. But maximum CBR value is achieved at 11% addition of RHA. Further addition of RHA follows the fall in CBR value which is not desirable. Also, it can be observed that the OMC value is continuously rising along with a continuous declination in MDD results. The phenomena of continuous rise in the OMC as well as cohesion may be attributed to the continuous increase in % RHA possessing more of finer grains particles.

Table 4: Effect of RHA on soil samples

| Properties         | Plain Soil (100%S:00%RHA) | Soil + 5% RHA (95%S:05%RHA) | Soil + 8% RHA (92%S:08%RHA) | Soil + 11% RHA (89%S:11%RHA) | Soil + 14% RHA (86%S:14%RHA) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| OMC (%)            | 14.35                       | 14.70                        | 15.17                       | 15.58                        | 15.66                        |
| MDD (g/cc)         | 1.75                        | 1.73                         | 1.71                        | 1.70                         | 1.68                         |
| Cohesion C in kN/m²| 9.21                        | 19.44                        | 22.11                       | 25.22                        | 27.01                        |
| Angle of Internal friction φ in degree | 29.0 | 27.5 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 20.5 |
| CBR value          | 6.14                        | 7.63                         | 8.37                        | 9.67                         | 9.30                         |

The continuous increase in the OMC value can be seen from the above graph with maximum value of 15.66% for 14% addition of RHA.

Figure 2: Change in OMC with percentage change of RHA

The continuous decrease in the MDD value can be seen from the above graph with minimum value of 1.68 g/cc for 14% addition of RHA.

Figure 3: Change in MDD with percentage change of RHA

The phenomena of continuous rise in the OMC as well as cohesion may be attributed to the continuous increase in % RHA possessing more of finer grains particles.
The continuous increase in the cohesion value can be seen from the above graph with maximum value of 27.08 kN/m² for 14% addition of RHA.

The gradual decrease in the angle of internal friction can be seen from the above graph with minimum value of 20.5° for 14% addition of RHA.

The maximum value of CBR was achieved for 11% addition of RHA, further addition of RHA shows fall in the CBR value.

6. Conclusions

Following conclusions can be drawn from the study:

1) The optimum percentage of RHA for stabilization of soil was found out to be 11%.
2) With increase in RHA content, MDD goes on decreasing and OMC goes on increasing.
3) From the results of direct shear, Cohesion increases with increase of the RHA content while Angle of friction decreases with increase in the value of RHA content.
Cohesion increases continuously with addition of 0% to 14% RHA, but the CBR value increases only up to 11% addition of RHA content. Further addition of RHA decreases the CBR value of soil. Thus, best results for the purpose of stabilization can be achieved for the proportion of 89%S:11%RHA.
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