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The interband transitions of UO$_2$ are validated independently through cathode luminescence. A picture emerges consistent with density functional theory. While theory is generally consistent with experiment, it is evident from the comparison of UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ that the choice of functional can significantly alter the bandgap and some details of the band structure, in particular at the conduction band minimum. Strictly ab initio predictions of the optical properties of the actinide compounds, based on density functional theory alone, continue to be somewhat elusive.

1. Introduction

The electronic properties of actinide dioxides, from UO$_2$ to AmO$_2$, include the localized 5f electronic states, where the interband transitions are validated independently through cathode luminescence. A picture emerges consistent with density functional theory. While theory is generally consistent with experiment, it is evident from the comparison of UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ that the choice of functional can significantly alter the bandgap and some details of the band structure, in particular at the conduction band minimum. Strictly ab initio predictions of the optical properties of the actinide compounds, based on density functional theory alone, continue to be somewhat elusive.

The electronic properties of actinide dioxides from UO$_2$ to AmO$_2$, include the localized 5f electronic states, where

The complex dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ has been derived experimentally from UO$_2$ single crystals, along with the roughly 2 eV bandgap, optically. More recent efforts to extract the complex dielectric function have been made by Siekhaus and J. Crowhurst as well as Mock, Dugan, and coworkers. There are significant shifts in the transitions observed in the more recent optical ellipsometry measurements compared with reflectivity measurements performed by Schoenes. Absorption of UO$_2$ thin films, by optical transmission, has also been measured. Uranium oxide and thorium oxide single crystals, fabricated using a hydrothermal synthesis growth technique, produce bulk single crystals of near-stoichiometric UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ so that defect contributions to the complex dielectric function are much suppressed. These improved single UO$_2$ crystals allow us here to validate the observed interband transitions identified in variable-angle ellipsometry (VASE) with cathode luminescence and theory. There is much prior theory on UO$_2$ upon which to draw, so here there is a somewhat increased emphasis on ThO$_2$ to provide an indication that, as in the case of UO$_2$, the choice of DFT functional leads to wide variability in quantities like bandgap that are extracted from the calculated band structure.

The electronic properties of actinide dioxides, from UO$_2$ to ThO$_2$, include the localized 5f electronic states, where

The complex dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ has been derived experimentally from UO$_2$ single crystals, along with the roughly 2 eV bandgap, optically. More recent efforts to extract the complex dielectric function have been made by Siekhaus and J. Crowhurst as well as Mock, Dugan, and coworkers. There are significant shifts in the transitions observed in the more recent optical ellipsometry measurements compared with reflectivity measurements performed by Schoenes. Absorption of UO$_2$ thin films, by optical transmission, has also been measured. Uranium oxide and thorium oxide single crystals, fabricated using a hydrothermal synthesis growth technique, produce bulk single crystals of near-stoichiometric UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ so that defect contributions to the complex dielectric function are much suppressed. These improved single UO$_2$ crystals allow us here to validate the observed interband transitions identified in variable-angle ellipsometry (VASE) with cathode luminescence and theory. There is much prior theory on UO$_2$ upon which to draw, so here there is a somewhat increased emphasis on ThO$_2$ to provide an indication that, as in the case of UO$_2$, the choice of DFT functional leads to wide variability in quantities like bandgap that are extracted from the calculated band structure.

The interband transitions of UO$_2$ are validated independently through cathode luminescence. A picture emerges consistent with density functional theory. While theory is generally consistent with experiment, it is evident from the comparison of UO$_2$ and ThO$_2$ that the choice of functional can significantly alter the bandgap and some details of the band structure, in particular at the conduction band minimum. Strictly ab initio predictions of the optical properties of the actinide compounds, based on density functional theory alone, continue to be somewhat elusive.
2. Theoretical Methods

All the electronic band structure calculations were performed within the framework of spin-polarized plane-wave density functional theory (PW-DFT), implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).

The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional and projector-augmented wave (PAW) potentials were used. An energy cutoff of 600 eV was adopted for the planewave expansion of the electronic wave function. Geometrical structures were relaxed until the force on each atom is less than 0.01 eV Å⁻¹ and the energy convergence criteria of 10⁻⁷ eV were met. Here the UO₂ and ThO₂ stable structures are the fluorite structure (cubic space group Fm3m (225)), as in previous work and in most other DFT calculations. This is a UO₂ or ThO₂ unit cell with four uranium atoms in face-centered cubic positions and eight oxygen atoms in the tetrahedral sites. Once the optimized structures were achieved, the hybrid functional, in the HSE06 form, was used to give more accurate bandgaps. The imaginary part of the frequency-dependent dielectric matrix was calculated based on the HSE06 ground states of ThO₂ using random phase approximation (RPA).

The default U values for the uranium and thorium oxides are both 4 eV for f-electrons consistent with many other DFT calculations. We note that in some previous ab initio studies of UO₂ there is an expansion or contraction of the c lattice parameter, relative to the a and b lattice constants, leading to a tetragonal unit cell, and indicative of a different ground state not seen here.

3. Interband Transitions of ThO₂ and UO₂

When the DFT + U method was used, with a choice of U = 4 eV for f-states of Th atoms to provide the necessary correction for the on-site Coulomb interactions, the calculated bandgap is found to be 5.04 eV. Using the HSE functional to estimate a more accurate bandgap, as has been very popular with UO₂, our calculation revealed that the ground states of bulk ThO₂ are non-magnetic, with a bandgap of 6.12 eV, as shown in Figure 1. This is close to the 6.21 eV value previously calculated. It has also been shown that the HSE band structure calculations, with spin–orbit coupling turned on, provides a bandgap of 5.8 eV, which is closer to the experimental value of 5.75 eV and our value of 5.4 eV measured from VASE. The HSE functional does, however, differ significantly from the calculated band structure obtained using PBE, discussed below, and the band structures previously reported.

These bandgaps are not representative nor do they include surface effects. Density functional theory slab calculations indicate that ThO₂(001), with a Th atom termination, is not a spin-polarized semiconductor, and in this approach, we are left with the suggestion that the bandgap is small, of order 0.28 eV. ThO₂(100), with an O atom termination, is a ferromagnetic metal with the magnetic moments of the supercell 4.0 μB. Basically, by including the surface, the ThO₂(001) band structure is characteristic of a semimetal, an n-type semimetal if the ThO₂(100) surface terminates with Th atoms and a p-type semimetal if the ThO₂(001) surface terminates with Th atoms. The semimetal bandgap has an indirect gap of about 2.7 eV, and in any case, the predicted semimetal behavior of the surface is not what has been measured optically.

The PBE-calculated ThO₂ band structure, with a correlation U = 4 eV, as in Figure 2, is qualitatively similar to the band structure obtained through the B3LYP functional and the relativistic linear augmented-planewave (RLAPW) calculation for the valence band, but differs significantly from the calculated band structure, using the B3LYP functional or RLAPW, on the conduction band side. One difference with the calculated band structure of other studies and the calculations shown here, is that the calculation of Figure 1 does have the conduction band minimum at the L point of the Brillouin zone, as shown in the study by Szpunar et al., but this is clearly not seen in Figure 2 where the conduction band minimum is close to the K point. For the pure ThO₂ bulk, the bandgap is 5.04 eV in the PBE + U functional, consistent with most other theory published to date.

A similar band gap was obtained with PBE + U in the density mixing scheme and the ensemble density functional theory (EDFT) scheme. These are the band structures within the same PBE functional plus a correlation energy U from different convergence schemes and should converge to the same ground states. While the band structure is very similar, one makes ThO₂ more p-type and the other more n-type. A key point that comes from comparing the band structure from different functionals is that the resulting calculated band structures do differ.

Moving beyond the band structure calculations for ThO₂ provided here and elsewhere, we have calculated the bulk dielectric function, as shown in Figure 3 for ThO₂. Absorption and the optical response will occur for any symmetry and selection rule allowed transition at any place in the Brillouin

Figure 1. The band structure of bulk ThO₂ using HSE hybrid functional. The bandgap is 6.12 eV and the ground state is antiferromagnetic.
zone, not just $\Gamma$, so long the transition itself has little or no momentum exchange, that is, $\Delta q = 0$ transition. Basically, the low-lying critical points seen in the VASE experiments of ThO$_2$ [14] are qualitative reproduced in theory, though shifted to higher energies in the HSE theory, as summarized in Table 1. For PBE, the agreement is more in line with experiment, but also qualitatively similar to HSE. This means that the strongest contributions to the optical properties for ThO$_2$ are the $p$–$d$ transitions in the region of 5–8 eV. This places the low-lying critical points for ThO$_2$ at 5.5 eV (the $\Delta q = 0$ [p to d; $e$ symmetry] transition in the $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ part of the dielectric function for single-crystalline ThO$_2$ and the $\Delta q = 0$ [p to d; $a_1$ symmetry] transition in the region of the center of the Brillouin zone) and at 6.8 eV (the combined $q = 0$ transitions [p to d; $e$ symmetry] in the region of W and K of the Brillouin zone). These transitions are strongly O 2$p$ → Th 6$d$/5$f$ transitions for the most part, made possible by Th $d$–$f$ hybridization (Figure 2) with more Th 6$d$ weight in the final state for the transitions at lower energies and more and more Th 5$f$ in the final state for the transitions at energies in the region of 8–9 eV. This assignment, based on the band structures of ThO$_2$ of Figure 1 and 2, differs from the O 2$p$ → Th 5$f$ assignment of Dugan et al. [15] O 2$p$ → Th 6$p$ is an allowed optical transition, while O 2$p$ → Th 5$f$ is not, although possible because of $d$–$f$ hybridization as just noted.

Five critical points are observed in the investigated spectra for both of the actinide oxides UO$_2$ (Table 2) and ThO$_2$ (Table 1). The two actinides present with a very similar oscillator pattern that appears to be compressed and shifted to higher energy for ThO$_2$, for the features below 10 eV. The imaginary part of
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**Table 1.** Critical point energies for ThO$_2$, obtained from VASE compared with theory. The assignment based on prior theory [15] is given as well as that based on the band structure provided here [*].

| Experiment [eV] | Theory [eV] | Assignment |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| 5.4             | 6.1         | O 2$p$ → Th 6$d$ [*] |
| 6.3             | 7.2         | O 2$p$ → Th 6$d$/5$f$ |
| 7.5             | 7.7         | O 2$p$ → Th 6$d$/5$f$ |
| 8.0             | 9.0         | O 2$p$ → Th 5$f$ |
| 8.8             | --          | --         |

*a) Experimentally determined energy values from VASE, taken from Mock et al. [14]; b) HSE theoretically determined energy values.
the calculated dielectric response for ThO₂ has the first major feature at 9 eV, as shown in Figure 3. In the dielectric response calculated from the experimental VASE,[14] the first low-energy peak appears at a lower energy of 8 eV, consistent with the fact that the HSE functional estimates a larger bandgap than is observed experimentally (Table 1).

In the case of UO₂, the dielectric response derived from the experimental VASE[13,14] has two peaks at low energy, at 2.0 and 5.0 eV, respectively. This is consistent with the optical bandgap of 2.0 eV previously reported.[30–32] These low-energy features are not as well resolved in theory, as seen in Figure 4, but as noted in the study by Dugan et al.[13] the bandgaps generally agree with theory if the HSE functional is used, while the PBE functional grossly underestimates the bandgap (as discussed in detail in the study by Dugan et al.[13]). This is, however, highly variable as the bandgap of 2.71 eV was found using HSE with \(U = 4.5\) eV and \(J = 0.5\),[10] and an even larger band of 2.76 eV was also found with HSE,[12] much larger than the bandgap 2.4[12,18] and 2.19 eV[13] found elsewhere using the HSE functional. The peaks in the calculated imaginary part of the dielectric response for UO₂ (Figure 4) appear only as higher-energy shoulders in experiment[14] and as weak features in the cathodoluminescence. This suggests that experiment and theory do not agree as to the extent of the oscillator strength used to describe the critical points’ lower energies.

We observe also that the critical points seen in UO₂ are broader than their counterparts in ThO₂ in the imaginary part of the dielectric response extracted from the experimental VASE,[14] but the reverse is true in theory. These trends are seen in the calculated real and imaginary parts of the dielectric function for ThO₂ (Figure 3) and UO₂ (Figure 4). For the features at roughly 10, 12, 14, and 15 eV, thorium (ThO₂) and uranium (UO₂) resemble each other as noted in the study by Dugan et al.[15] The calculated optical properties of Figure 3 and 4 differ, but it should be noted that there is now a wealth of information on UO₂, as shown in Table 2, and the transition energies in VASE previously measured[13,14] agree with the cathodoluminescence and theory. This means that the transitions can be assigned, based on the theory.

### Table 2. Critical point energies for UO₂, obtained from VASE and cathode luminescence (CL), compared with theory. The assignments are based on prior theory.[16]

| Experiment [eV][a] VASE | Experiment [eV][b] CL | Theory [eV][c] Assignment |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2.0                    | 2.4                  | 2.2                     | U 5f → U 5f[30]            |
| 2.6                    | 2.6                  | 3.5                     | U 5f → U 5f                |
| 2.9                    |                      |                         |                         |
| 5.0                    | 3.8                  | 4.6                     | U 5f → U 6d               |
| 6.3                    | 6.1                  | 6.0                     | O 2p → U 6d/5f[10]        |
| 6.9                    | 6.8                  | 6.9                     | O 2p → U 6d/5f            |

[a] Experimentally determined energy values from VASE, taken from Mock et al.[14];
b) Transitions taken from cathode luminescence; c) HSE theoretically determined energy values.

In the case of UO₂, the dielectric response derived from the experimental VASE[13,14] has two peaks at low energy, at 2.0 and 5.0 eV, respectively. This is consistent with the optical bandgap of 2.0 eV previously reported.[30–32] These low-energy features are not as well resolved in theory, as seen in Figure 4, but as noted in the study by Dugan et al.[13] the bandgaps generally agree with theory if the HSE functional is used, while the PBE functional grossly underestimates the bandgap (as discussed in detail in the study by Dugan et al.[13]). This is, however, highly variable as the bandgap of 2.71 eV was found using HSE with \(U = 4.5\) eV and \(J = 0.5\),[10] and an even larger band of 2.76 eV was also found with HSE,[12] much larger than the bandgap 2.4[12,18] and 2.19 eV[13] found elsewhere using the HSE functional. The peaks in the calculated imaginary part of the dielectric response for UO₂ (Figure 4) appear only as higher-energy shoulders in experiment[14] and as weak features in the cathodoluminescence. This suggests that experiment and theory do not agree as to the extent of the oscillator strength used to describe the critical points’ lower energies.

We observe also that the critical points seen in UO₂ are broader than their counterparts in ThO₂ in the imaginary part of the dielectric response extracted from the experimental VASE,[14] but the reverse is true in theory. These trends are seen in the calculated real and imaginary parts of the dielectric function for ThO₂ (Figure 3) and UO₂ (Figure 4). For the features at roughly 10, 12, 14, and 15 eV, thorium (ThO₂) and uranium (UO₂) resemble each other as noted in the study by Dugan et al.[15] The calculated optical properties of Figure 3 and 4 differ, but it should be noted that there is now a wealth of information on UO₂, as shown in Table 2, and the transition energies in VASE previously measured[13,14] agree with the cathodoluminescence and theory. This means that the transitions can be assigned, based on the theory.

#### 4. Cathodoluminescence

Figure 5 displays the cathodoluminescence, taken at 10 keV, for a single-crystalline UO₂ sample grown by hydrothermal synthesis. The values given in Table 2 generally agree with the optical transition values extracted from the VASE.[13,14] An experimentally determined bandgap of 2.37 eV, from cathodoluminescence, is also consistent with theoretically predicted bandgaps of 2.19,[13] 2.3,[16] and 2.4 eV.[12] This value of 2.37 eV is larger than previously found by VASE (Table 1) and discussed at length in other studies.[13,14] As there is no initial state photohole, the luminescence corresponding to the lowest unoccupied state to highest occupied state transition is expected to be larger, as is the case with the value determined from VASE. The very low luminescence for the transitions corresponding to the bandgap is the result of being a selection rule forbidden transition.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
Figure 4. The calculated real (blue) and imaginary (red) parts of the UO₂ dielectric function, using the HSE functional.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
Figure 5. The optical transitions for single-crystal UO₂, measured from cathodoluminescence. The incident electron energy is 10 keV to avoid surface effects. The weaker transitions are indicated by arrows and that corresponding to the lowest unoccupied state to highest occupied state transition is shown in the inset.
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**Table 2.** Critical point energies for UO₂, obtained from VASE and cathode luminescence (CL), compared with theory. The assignments are based on prior theory.[16]
The fact that the cathodoluminescence features are generally very weak, especially for the luminescence corresponding to the lowest unoccupied state to highest occupied state transition for single-crystal UO₂, is because this transition is a selection rule forbidden transition. A U 5f → U 5f transition does not obey the required Δl = ±1 for an optical transition, as noted in the discussion of the optical transitions above. The U 6d/5f → O 2p luminescence transitions are also expected to be weak as they are extra-atomic transitions, as is observed here. As shown in Figure 5, the strongest luminescence feature is the on-site, selection rule allowed U 6d → U 5f transition (the reverse of the transition in VASE previously measured[14]). This very strong cathodoluminescence feature at 3.8 eV appears at a lower energy than predicted by theory or seen in the VASE previously measured[14] or predicted by theory, indicative of extensive hybridization in the band structure. These transition assignments are, nonetheless, generally consistent not only with theory,[30] as noted earlier, but also combined photoemission and inverse photoemission studies of UO₂.[55] This, in turn, implies that UO₂ and ThO₂ are indeed strongly correlated systems with correlation energies in the region from 0.9 to 6 eV for ThO₂[7,9,25] and from 3.5 to 5 eV for UO₂.[8,10,19–24,26,27]

5. Conclusion

There is a consistent picture of the electronic structure, from experiment, that is not shared among the many theoretical band structure calculations. As noted elsewhere,[7,8,21,23,55] when it comes to theory, the final arbiter of successful theoretical band structure calculations presently appears to be in agreement with the experiment results for the actinide oxides. As sample quality improves, the difference between experiments and theory is increasingly diminished, although the role of screening does appear to have a profound effect on the experiment. Surface effects, not discussed here, are expected to be a persistent problem and caution is needed in interpreting experimental results with a strong surface contribution. For example, the photoelectric work function of the (111) hydrothermally grown UO₂ was measured at 3–4 eV,[39] and 3.19 ± 0.03 eV.[27] More recently, the photoelectric determined work function of nearly stoichiometric hydrothermally grown UO₂(111) and (100) was measured to be 6.28 ± 0.36 and 5.80 ± 0.36 eV, respectively.[40] This range of experimental values reduces confidence in key parameters associated with these materials. However, as shown in this article, that confidence builds as theory and experiment begin to merge.

6. Experimental Section

Single crystals of UO₂ were grown by hydrothermal synthesis[13,14,35–41] as described in other studies.[13,14,41] Further, hydrothermal growth information is detailed in the study by Mann et al.[31] The UO₂ crystals grown under these conditions have measured lattice parameters of 5.4703 ± 0.0006 Å indicating a stoichiometry near UO₂.[25,56–59]

The cathodoluminescence system consists of a Kimball Physics EMG-12 electron gun powered by an EGPS-12 power supply, a vacuum system, a sample chamber with suitable optical ports, an optical system, a spectrometer, and a photomultiplier detector. The liquid nitrogen-cooled UO₂ was placed at the focal point of the electron gun and the resulting cathodoluminescence signal from the sample was transmitted through the quartz window of the vacuum chamber, focused onto the entrance slit of the monochromator and then to the photomultiplier or a solid-state detector. The electron beam was incident at an angle of ≈45° with 10 keV energy.
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