The AGN Component in Deep Radio Fields: Current Understanding
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The present paper reviews our current understanding of the AGN component in sub–mJy radio fields, as it results from the exploitation of multi-frequency information available in two deep extra-galactic radio fields: the ATESP 5 GHz sample and the First Look Survey. One of the key issues addressed here is whether low-power AGNs are more related to efficiently accreting systems (mostly radio-quiet) or to systems with very low accretion rates (mostly radio-loud). The emerging picture is the following. Radio-loud jet-dominated radio galaxies seem to be largely dominant down to flux densities of the order of e.g. $S > 400 \mu Jy$. At lower flux densities ($S_{1.4GHz} \lesssim 100 \mu Jy$) radio-loud AGN are still present in significant numbers. However a population of radio-emitting AGNs, whose properties are consistent with those expected from existing radio-quiet AGN modeling, clearly shows up. This may indicate that the bulk of the radio-quiet AGN population could emerge from studies of deeper ($S < 100 \mu Jy$) radio samples. The radio-quiet AGN component could be recognised thanks to the availability of IR colors which prove to be especially useful to efficiently separate radio sources triggered by AGNs, from sources triggered by star-formation.
1. Introduction

After many years of studies, AGNs are now recognised to contribute significantly at radio fluxes below 1 milliJy (mJy).

Multi-wavelength studies of deep radio fields show that star-forming galaxies dominate at microJy (µJy) levels, and rapidly decrease in number going to higher flux densities. This is very clearly shown by Seymour et al. ([40]), who graphically summarizes the results obtained by several recent studies in a single self-explanatory picture (see Fig. 1). From the analysis reported in Fig. 1 it is clear that at $S > 100 - 200$ µJy star-forming galaxies account for no more than 20 – 30% of the entire population. At such flux densities the sub-mJy population is in fact dominated by AGNs.

This is very clear in Fig. 2, which shows the composition of the ATESP 5 GHz sample, characterized by a flux limit of 400 µJy (see [38, 26]). As reported by Mignano et al. ([26]), radio sources associated with early-type galaxies and plausibly triggered by AGNs account for 64% of the total (red histogram) with a further 14% contribution from broad-/narrow-line AGNs (green histogram). Star-forming galaxies (blue histogram) account for only 19%. Evidences pointing towards a large AGN contribution at sub-mJy fluxes have been found in several other studies of deep radio fields (see e.g. [15, 13, 23, 37, 28, 2, 42, 32]).

This somehow unexpected presence of large numbers of AGN–type sources at sub-mJy levels has given a new and interesting scientific perspective to the study of deep radio fields, since a better understanding of the physical and evolutionary properties of low/intermediate power AGNs may have important implications for the determination of the black-hole-accretion history of the Universe as derived from radio-selected samples. Of particular interest is the possibility of assessing...
whether the AGN component of the sub–mJy population is more related to efficiently accreting systems - like radio-intermediate/quiet quasars - or to systems with very low accretion rates - like e.g. Fanaroff & Riley Type I (FRI) radio galaxies ([9]). The latter scenario (radio mode) is supported by the presence of many optically inactive early type galaxies among the sub–mJy radio sources. The quasar mode scenario, on the other hand, may be supported by the large number of so-called radio-intermediate quasars observed at mJy levels by e.g. Lacy et al. ([21]) and by the modelling work of Jarvis & Rawlings ([18]), who predict a significant contribution of radio-quiet quasars ($P \sim 10^{22–24}$ W Hz$^{-1}$), at sub-mJy levels. Radio-quiet AGNs are expected to be compact and have steep radio spectrum ([20]).

The sub-mJy radio population is currently modeled in three main components. The star-forming galaxies, the extrapolation to low flux densities of the classical radio-loud AGN population (radio galaxies and radio-QSO, which fully account for the source counts at higher flux densities), and a radio-quiet AGN component (assuming they are not radio silent).

Another issue is represented by the possible role played at sub-mJy radio fluxes by low radiation efficiency accretion mechanisms, associated to optically thin discs, such as the so-called *advection dominated accretion flows* (ADAF) and modifications (ADIOS, CDAF, etc; see [29, 31, 1]).

In order to address the physical properties and nature of the sub-mJy AGN component, I will focus in the following on recent results obtained from multi-wavelength studies of two deep extra-galactic radio fields: the already mentioned ATESP 5 GHz survey and the First Look Survey (FLS).
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2. The AGN Component in the ATESP 5 GHz Sample

The ATESP 5 GHz survey is especially suited to study the phenomenon of low-luminosity nuclear activity, possibly related to low radiation/accretion processes and/or radio-intermediate/quiet QSOs, since it is characterized by a relatively high flux density limit ($S \sim 400 \mu$Jy). At such flux densities star-forming galaxies are starting to appear, but are not yet the dominant population (see Fig. 2). The ATESP 5 GHz survey, carried out with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) by Prandoni et al. ([38]), covers a 2 × 0.5 sq. degr. region of the wider original 1.4 GHz ATESP survey ([34, 35, 36]), and has deep ($R < 25$) UBVRIJK multi-colour imaging available ([17, 30]). Interestingly, the analysis of the 1.4 and 5 GHz ATESP data has revealed a significant flattening of the source radio spectra going from mJy to sub-mJy flux levels ([38]). Such flattening is mostly associated to early-type galaxies. As shown in Fig. 3 panel a, > 60% of the early-type galaxies (red points) have flat ($\alpha > -0.5$, where $S \sim \nu^\alpha$) and/or inverted ($\alpha > 0$) spectra and rather compact linear sizes ($d < 10$–$30$ kpc, see also [26]). The absence of emission lines together with low radio luminosities (typically $10^{22}$–$25$ W/Hz, see Fig. 3, panel b) suggests that these are FRI radio galaxies. However, both compactness and spectral shape suggest a core emission with strong synchrotron or free-free self-absorption. Such sources are known to exist among FRI radio galaxies, but they are relatively rare.

Alternatively, these sources may represent a composite class of objects very similar to the so-called low power ($P_{408MHz} < 10^{25.5}$ W/Hz) compact ($< 10$ kpc) - LPC - radio sources studied by Giroletti et al. ([14]). LPC host galaxies do not show signatures of strong nuclear activity in the optical (and X-ray) bands, and preliminary results indicate that multiple causes can produce LPC sources: geometrical-relativistic effects (low power BL-Lacertae objects), youth (GPS-like sources), instabilities in the jets, frustration by a denser than average ISM and a premature end of nuclear activity (sources characterised by low accretion/radiative efficiency, i.e. ADAF/ADIOS systems). However some difficulties remain. First, very simple orientation arguments show that
no more than 3-6% of the sources in the sample can be associated to BL-Lac sources (about 2 – 4 objects assuming viewing angles < 15° – 20°). Second, if the objects are young GPS sources, much higher (> 10^{25} \text{ W/Hz}) radio luminosities are expected. Third, if they are old ADAF/ADIOS sources, the expected luminosities are much lower (< 10^{21} \text{ W/Hz}), [4]). In the latter case there may yet be different solutions, as for example if the ADAF source coexists with a radio jet, as was suggested by Doi et al. ([4]) in the case of a few low luminosity AGNs (see also the ADAF-jet model described in [5]).

Another possibility is that we are dealing with radio-quiet/intermediate QSOs, where the activity in the optical band is obscured by dust in the galaxy. This scenario may be supported by the results reported by Klöckner et al. ([19]), who have recently observed with the EVN 11\,z > 2 radio-intermediate obscured quasars, detecting seven of them. The detected radio emission accounts for 30-100% of the entire source flux density, and the physical extent of this emission is ≲ 150 pc. The missing flux implies the likely existence of radio jets of physical sizes between ≳ 150 pc and ≲ 40 kpc. It is worth noticing on the other hand, that broad-line AGNs and QSO (green points in Fig. 3, panel b) have radio powers of the order of $P \sim 10^{25–26} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$, higher than expected for radio-quiet QSO.

To further explore the nature of the AGN component in the ATESP 5 GHz sample, multi-frequency (4.8, 8.6 and 19 GHz) quasi-simultaneous observations of a complete sub-sample of ATESP radio sources associated with early-type galaxies (26 objects with $S > 0.6 \text{ mJy}$) was carried out with the ATCA ([39]). This multi-frequency data can provide insights into the accretion/radiative mechanism that is at work, since different regimes display different spectral signatures in the radio domain.

Figure 4 shows the so-called color-color radio plot: high frequency (5/8 to 20 GHz) spectral index against the low frequency (1.4 to 5 GHz) one. The sources (black symbols) preferentially populate the region below the diagonal line, indicating a mild steepening of their spectra going from lower to higher radio frequencies. More importantly, all sources have high frequency spectral index < 0.2, i.e. consistent with jet-dominated surces, with the flattest sources possibly having a major contribution from base self-absorbed jet components. Pure ADAF models predict strongly inverted spectra and are ruled out by the high frequency data, while ADAF+jet scenarios are still consistent with flat/moderately inverted-spectrum sources, but are not required to explain the data.

A comparison with the Bright Source Sample ($S > 500 \text{ mJy}$), extracted by Massardi et al. ([25]) from the 20 GHz AT20G survey, shows that the radio spectral properties of the ATESP early-type sources are more similar to the ones of brighter radio galaxies (blue diamonds), than to the ones or brighter radio-quasars (blue dots). This support the hypothesis that at the flux densities probed by the ATESP sample ($S > 400 \mu \text{Jy}$), the AGN component is mainly the low power extrapolation of the classical bright radio galaxy population, rather than the radio-quiet counterpart of bright radio quasars.

3. Looking for a radio-quiet AGN component in the First Look Survey

In order to probe the radio-quiet AGN component it seems necessary to analyze deeper radio fields, like for instance the First Look Survey ($S > 100 \mu \text{Jy}$). As part of the extragalactic component
Figure 4: Color-color radio plot. Black symbols indicate ATESP sources, triangles indicate upper/lower limits in $\alpha_{HI}/\alpha_L$ respectively. Blue diamonds indicate sources associated with early-type optical spectra from the Massardi et al. sample ([25]; blue dots indicate sources associated with quasars or quasar candidates in the Massardi et al. sample.

of the First Look Survey (FLS), a region covering 4 square degrees and centered on RA=17:18:00, DEC=59:30:00 was imaged, with the aim of studying a low Galactic Background region to a significantly deeper level than any previous large-area extragalactic infrared survey. Such a survey was complemented by a smaller 0.75x0.3 sq. degr. survey (‘verification’ survey), lying in the same region, observed to a factor of 3 deeper flux levels.

Spitzer images and source catalogues are available at 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0 $\mu$m (IRAC, [22]) and at 24, 70, 160 $\mu$m (MIPS, [8, 10]), complemented by a large set of ancillary data taken at different wavebands: from deep optical imaging (R-band, [7]; u*-/g*-bands, [41]) and spectroscopy ([43, 33, 24]) to deep (rms noise level 23-30 muJy) radio images at 1.4 GHz (VLA, [3]) and 610 MHz (GMRT, [11]). A deeper (rms noise level 8.5 muJy) 1.4 GHz mosaic was obtained at Westerbork for a 1 sq. degr. region covering the FLSv ([27]).

The availability of both deep radio and far-infrared data is of particular interest, since it is possible to exploit the well-known tight correlation between far-IR and radio luminosities of star-forming galaxies (see e.g. [16, 12]) to efficiently separate radio sources triggered by AGNs. Very useful is also the availability of data at two radio frequencies – 0.61 and 1.4 GHz – which allows us to derive the source spectral index ($\alpha$), as done for the ATESP sources.
The FLS 0.61-1.4 GHz radio catalogue was cross-correlated with the Spitzer IRAC multi-color (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8.0 µm) catalogue ([22]), with the MIPS 24 µm catalogue ([8]) and with the optical spectroscopy catalogues of [24] and [33]. Identifying the optical counterpart of the sources is crucial to get information on both the galaxy redshift and classification (broad/narrow-line AGN, star-forming or early-type galaxy). An optical identification was found for ∼20% of the sources, most of which have a measured redshift. The sample of optically identified sources was then used to extract a robust sub-sample of radio-emitting AGNs. A first analysis of the multi-wavelength properties of the optically identified radio sources in the FLS is illustrated in Figure 5, where the 1.4 GHz radio power (top) and the 0.61-1.4 GHz spectral index (bottom) are plotted as a function of the so-called $q_{24}$ parameter, defined as the ratio between the 24 µm and the 1.4 GHz source flux density ($q_{24} = \log(S_{24\mu m}/S_{1.4GHz})$). The $q_{24}$ value range allowed for star-forming galaxies is shown by the blue shaded region ([24]). Radio-loud AGNs are located at the left side of such region (corresponding to an excess of radio emission with respect to infrared). As found in ‘brighter’ sub-mJy samples (see e.g. the ATESP sample, [26]) we
have a significant fraction of radio-loud AGNs in the FLS, and among them we have several flat/inverted–spectrum sources. Such radio–loud AGNs mostly have low radio powers ($P_{1.4GHz} < 10^{24} \text{ W/Hz}$) and are preferentially identified with early-type galaxies (shown in red) or weak narrow line systems (shown in magenta). Such properties are consistent with those of low power (FRI-type) radio galaxies, typically characterized by very low accretion rates.

As shown in Figure 5 the bulk of the FLS radio sources are characterized by $q_{24} > 0.6$, i.e. by $q_{24}$ values consistent with the sources being star-forming galaxies. Nevertheless only a fraction of such objects is optically classified as star-forming galaxy (blue points). A few sources are instead optically classified as AGNs (green points). Such AGNs represent a first very clear direct evidence of a radio-quiet AGN population showing up at the radio flux levels of the FLS. In addition there are a few sources classified as early-type galaxies (red points) and a significant fraction of sources displaying narrow emission lines, which do not have a secure classification (magenta points). Among such sources there may be several other hidden radio–quiet AGNs.

In order to better disentangle radio sources triggered by star formation from those triggered by...
AGNs, the available IRAC colors were exploited. Figure 6 shows the IRAC color-color plot for FLS sources with $q_{24} > 0.6$. As expected sources optically classified as star-forming galaxies (blue points) are confirmed as such by their IRAC colors, together with many of the sources with no secure optical classification (magenta points). Nevertheless there is a fraction of sources with typical AGN IRAC colors (objects falling in the pink region), which can be considered as genuine radio-quiet AGNs. Such radio-quiet AGNs account for $\sim 45\%$ of the overall AGN component in the FLS. Their radio properties ($P_{1.4\text{GHz}} < 10^{24} \text{ W/Hz}$; steep radio spectrum, see Figure 5) are fully consistent with those expected for the radio-quiet AGN population and, as expected, they are mostly associated to galaxies showing narrow emission lines in their optical spectra ([18, 20]).

4. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

From the analysis of the ATESP 5 GHz sample and of the First Look Survey we can draw the following conclusions:

- down to flux densities $S \sim 400 \mu\text{Jy}$ there is no clear evidence for a radio-quiet AGN component;
- sub-mJy radio-loud AGNs are mostly low-power, compact, (self-absorbed) jet-dominated systems, and seem to be mostly consistent with being a low power counterpart of the classical bright radio galaxy population;
- going to lower flux densities radio-quiet AGNs become increasingly important and at $S > 100 \mu\text{Jy}$ they account for about 45\% of the overall AGN component;
- mid-IR (IRAC) color-color diagrams prove to be very powerful to distinguish star-forming galaxies from radio-quiet AGNs;
- radio-quiet AGNs show radio/optical/IR properties consistent with the ones expected from available modeling and radio follow-up of optically selected radio-quiet AGNs: compact radio sizes; steep radio spectra; $P < 10^{24} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$; Sy 2 optical spectra and/or mid-IR colors.

It is clear however that panchromatic analyses of larger and deeper radio samples are needed to confirm the above results on a more reliable statistical basis, and to obtain robust quantitative constraints to current evolutionary modeling of faint radio-loud and radio-quiet AGNs. To this respect a huge step forward will be done in the next years, thanks to the combination of wide-field/all-sky surveys (sampling large local volumes) and very deep fields (sampling low powers at high redshifts), planned with the upcoming facilities (LOFAR, ASKAP, MeerKat, etc.). An example of what can be obtained is illustrated in Fig. 7, where we show the AGN luminosity function at different redshifts that can be derived from the combination of the planned ASKAP all-sky survey (EMU) and of the three-tiered deep survey proposed for MeerKat.
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