Twenty-Four-Hour Intraocular Pressure Related Changes Following Adjuvant Selective Laser Trabeculoplasty for Normal Tension Glaucoma
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Abstract: To investigate intraocular pressure (IOP) related patterns before and after selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) for normal tension glaucoma (NTG).

In this prospective cohort study, 18 NTG patients underwent SLT. Success was defined as IOP reduction ≥ 20% by Goldmann applanation tonometry. 24-hour IOP-related pattern recording with a contact lens sensor (CLS) (SENSIMED Triggerfish®, Sensimed, Switzerland) was done before (baseline) and 1 month after SLT. A cosine function was fitted to the mean CLS patterns for each individual in the SLT success and non-success groups and the amplitude before and after SLT was calculated. Diurnal, nocturnal, and 24-hour CLS pattern local variability was determined for pre- and post-SLT sessions. Cosine amplitude and variability were compared before and after SLT by paired t-tests, with α = 0.05.

Patients (11 women, 7 men) had a mean age of 65.1 ± 13.7 years. Mean IOP was 15.3 ± 2.2 mm Hg at baseline and was reduced by 17.0% to 12.7 ± 1.8 mm Hg 1 month after SLT (P = 0.001). SLT was successful in 8 patients (44%). The amplitude of the fitted cosine was reduced by 24.6% in the success group, but displayed an amplitude increase of 19.2% post-SLT in the non-success group. Higher diurnal local variability of the CLS pattern was observed after SLT in non-success subjects (P = 0.002), while nocturnal variability showed no significant change. The increase in diurnal variability in the non-success group led to an increase in 24-hour variability in this group (P = 0.001). No change in local variability (diurnal, nocturnal, and 24-hour) was seen in the success group.

The IOP-related pattern cosinor amplitude was reduced in NTG patients with a successful SLT treatment whereas the non-success group exhibited an increase of cosine amplitude. Higher diurnal and 24-hour CLS pattern variability was observed in non-success patients 1 month post-SLT.

LINKS

INTRODUCTION

Intraocular pressure (IOP) is still one of the most important modifiable risk factors for glaucoma progression,1–3 even in glaucomas where the pressure is normal or low. A single IOP measurement in the clinic is not an accurate depiction of the pressure profile of glaucoma patients, as it does not account for the influence of circadian rhythm and nocturnal posturing on IOP.4–6 Various studies have documented IOP fluctuations throughout the day and night using intermittent IOP measurements taken a few hours apart6–10 but it is still uncertain whether it is the frequency of IOP peaks or the range of IOP fluctuation that leads to glaucoma progression.

Selective laser trabeculoplasty (SLT) is a non-invasive, repeatable, and effective modality of IOP reduction for open angle glaucoma.11–14 It has a similar efficacy to anti-glaucoma eye drops and the former argon laser trabeculoplasty,15 and a recent study has affirmed SLT’s efficacy in normal tension glaucoma (NTG), reducing the IOP by an additional 20% while using 27% less medication at 6 months compared with pre-treatment levels.16

In terms of IOP fluctuation, Kothy et al17 reported reduced IOP fluctuation over a 24-hour period after SLT and Nagar et al18 found that SLT’s ability to reduced diurnal IOP variation was inferior to latanoprost. Prasad et al19 showed that there was less inter-visit IOP fluctuation after 360° SLT treatment compared to 180° SLT treatment. The majority of studies investigating the effects of IOP reduction after SLT only measured IOP over a few sampling periods or during clinical visits.

The SENSIMED Triggerfish® (Sensimed AG, Lausanne, Switzerland) is based on a wireless silicon contact lens sensor (CLS).20 The device allows for the recording of the IOP related pattern over a 24-hour period with minimal disturbance to one’s daily routines and sleep cycle. The CLS records IOP-related changes through the detection of biodimensional changes in the corneoscleral area, for 30 seconds every 5 minutes over 24 hours. Each recording “burst” represents 300 data points, of which the median is plotted as a single graph of the 24-hour IOP-related profile. The CLS output is in units of millivolts equivalent (mVeq). The device was CE-marked and approved for clinical use in 2009. It has been shown to be safe and well tolerated during 24-hour recording of IOP-related patterns in healthy subjects, glaucoma suspects, and glaucoma patients.21,22

The purpose of the study was to analyze the IOP-related fluctuations using the CLS before and after adjuvant SLT in subjects with NTG who were treated with topical anti-glaucoma medications.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed patient consent and approval by the Institutional Review Board were obtained prior to study...
This was a prospective cohort study from July 2012 to June 2013, conducted at a Queen Mary Hospital, a university hospital in Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China. The study recruited consenting adults (age > 18 years old) with unilateral or bilateral NTG who were currently on topical anti-glaucoma medications. NTG was defined by open angle on gonioscopy, progressive thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) on the Spectralis® (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany) Optical Coherence Tomography, and an IOP < 21 mm Hg during office hours. Subjects were excluded for those with previous glaucoma surgery or laser, active or previous corneal diseases, as well as subjects with only one functional eye.

One week prior to SLT, subjects wore the CLS for 24 hours. The CLS was placed on the subject’s eye by a single ophthalmologist in the clinic after a slit lamp examination and keratometry by the IOL Master 500 (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) to determine the corneal curvature for selection of the appropriate CLS base curve. For those with unilateral disease, the CLS was placed on the eye with NTG. For those with bilateral disease, a random eye assignment from card shuffling was used to determine the eye for CLS placement while SLT was scheduled for both eyes in subjects with bilateral NTG. The subject then returned home with lubricating eye drops and carried on their routine activities (both indoor and outdoor), apart from showering or swimming (as the device cannot be in contact with water). Subjects continued the same regimen of anti-glaucoma eye drops and slept in their habitual position at night. Each subject carried a logbook to record sleeping and medication instillation times during the 24-hour period. After 24 hours, the subject returned to the clinic to have the contact lens removed followed by a slit lamp examination. The data recorded by the CLS was uploaded into a computer database. Goldmann applanation slit lamp examination. The data recorded by the CLS was to the clinic to have the contact lens removed followed by a

The Primary Outcomes Included

1. Local variability: CLS IOP-related variability was measured over 24 hours, diurnally, and nocturnally. This was a measure of local variability of the raw CLS data from the smoothed function obtained using a locally weighted polynomial regression method (shown below). This parameter reflects the error of the smoothed function, or the amount of information that is “missed” by the smoothed values.

\[
\text{CLS variability } y = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |TF_0 - TF_T|
\]

where \( T \) is the number of CLS measurements over the recording period, \( TFO \) is the observed CLS signal, and \( TFP \) is the predicted CLS signal based on the smoothing function selected.

2. Global variability: Cosinor modeling of 24-hour CLS patterns was performed using the below formula. The cosinor model represents the actual amplitude of IOP-related fluctuation over a 24-hour period and is most representative of IOP-related changes

\[
y(t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{24} \times t \right) + \beta_2 \times \sin \left( \frac{2\pi}{24} \times t \right)
\]

where \( y \) is the observed signal in mVeq, \( t \) is the time, and \( \beta_0, \beta_1, \) and \( \beta_2 \) are the regression coefficients, estimated from the data.

3. SLT success rates: GAT IOP reductions ≥20% from the pre-SLT levels while on the same anti-glaucoma regimen at 1-month post-SLT.

The Secondary Outcomes Included

1. CLS increase and decrease rates (change in CLS units/ change in time): maximum, minimum, median, and mean.

2. Number of peaks: over 24 hours, < 30 minutes, > 90 mVeq.

3. Sleep-to-wake and wake-to-sleep slopes. The calculation of sleep-to-wake and wake-to-sleep slopes has been described previously by Mansouri et al.

4. The reduction in IOP after SLT was calculated based on the GAT IOP measured at baseline, 1 month, and 3 months after SLT. These GAT IOP readings were taken at approximately 3 pm each visit and taken before the CLS wear at the baseline and 1-month post-SLT visits.

Statistics

All statistical calculations were done using SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The differences between the measured parameters detailed above, were compared before and after SLT using the paired \( t \)-test or Wilcoxon matched pairs test depending on the normality of the variable distribution. Statistical significance was taken as \( P \leq 0.05 \) and all means were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

RESULTS

In 18 subjects that were enrolled in the study, there were 7 males and 11 females. The mean age was 65.1 ± 13.7 years. All subjects were ethnic Chinese with pigmented trabecular meshwork and NTG. The mean of the average RNFL thickness
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was 72.9 ± 9.5 micrometers (μm). One subject did not accurately record his sleeping and waking times, thus, to ensure the accuracy of the data, this subject was excluded from analyses that required the input of sleeping and waking times.

The baseline (pre-SLT) GAT IOP was 15.3 ± 2.2 mm Hg while on 1.7 ± 0.7 types of anti-glaucoma eye drops. All subjects received a single session of SLT with a mean of 198.2 ± 22.9 shots with a mean energy of 0.9 ± 0.09 mJ. There were no complications from SLT.

The distributions of anti-glaucoma eye drops were: β-blockers (32.0%), prostaglandins (20.0%), fixed combination prostaglandin-β-blocker (20.0%), brimonidine (12.0%), and topical carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (8.0%).

The IOP-related pattern of the 18 subjects was unique in terms of their peaks and slopes. This personal IOP-related pattern remains similar in shape before and after SLT and it is only the CLS pattern amplitude and steepness of slopes that changed. Figure 1 shows the 24-hour IOP-related pattern before and after SLT.

At 1 month after SLT, the mean GAT IOP measured before the CLS wear was 12.7 ± 1.8 mm Hg while on the same anti-glaucoma medication regimen as before laser, representing a 17.0% reduction in IOP after SLT (P = 0.001). At 3 months post-SLT, the mean GAT IOP was 11.4 ± 1.7 mm Hg while on 1.4 ± 1.2 types of anti-glaucoma eye drops, representing a 25.5% (P = 0.0007) IOP reduction in addition to a 17.6% medication reduction compared to pre-SLT levels. Eight out of 18 (44.4%) subjects fulfilled the criteria of a successful SLT outcome.

The measured pre- and post-SLT parameters are summarized in Table 1 to 4. From initially similar levels, the mean acrophase amplitude of the fitted cosinor function (global variability) was reduced after SLT by 24.6% in the success subjects (Table 1). In subjects for whom the SLT was unsuccessful, the global variability increased by 19.2% post-SLT, indicating greater 24-hour IOP-related fluctuation after SLT (Figure 2A and B).

For the local variability in the non-success group, the 24-hour variability increased by 21.9% (P = 0.001), driven by the magnified 34.1% increase in diurnal variability (P = 0.002), while the nocturnal variability remained unchanged (P = 0.8).

For the success group, there was no significant difference in local variability over 24-hours, nocturnal, or diurnal (P > 0.7). For the mean sleep-to-wake slope, the slope was negative in both groups signifying a decrease in CLS output with waking. In the non-success group, the sleep-to-wake slope was flatter after SLT (P = 0.04). No significant changes in variability or sleep-to-wake slope were observed in the SLT success group (P = 0.2) (Table 2).

For the overall study population, local diurnal (22.5%, P = 0.01) and 24-hour (12.1%, P = 0.04) variability was higher post-SLT, mainly attributed by the increases in the non-success group detailed above (Table 2). The number of peaks >90 mVeq increased (P = 0.04) and the number of diurnal troughs decreased (P = 0.01) after SLT, independent of SLT success (Table 3). The mean number of peaks over the 24-hour period as well as the number of peaks <30 minutes were not affected by SLT (Table 3). There was no significant difference in the rates of CLS increase or decrease before or after SLT (Table 4). Area under the nocturnal part of the CLS curve was also not significantly different after SLT as compared to before (not shown). Table 5 compared the differences in pre- and post-SLT parameters between the success versus non-success group.

**TABLE 1.** Mean Global Variability Using the Cosinor Model Before and After SLT

| SLT Success | Non-Success | Overall |
|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Pre-SLT (n = 8) | Post-SLT (n = 8) | % Change | Pre-SLT (n = 10) | Post-SLT (n = 10) | % Change | Pre-SLT (n = 18) | Post-SLT (n = 18) | % Change |
| Cosinor amplitude (mVeq) | 79.0 | 59.6 | −24.6 | 75.9 | 90.5 | 19.2 | 76.0 | 76.6 | 0.8 |
DISCUSSION

Previous studies have reported the significance of IOP fluctuation on glaucoma progression. While there are studies that have reported otherwise, much of the existing literature only documented inter-visit IOP variability or daytime IOP variability over a limited number of IOP measurements. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first studies investigating the IOP-related fluctuation after SLT for medically treated NTG subjects by the use of a 24-hour continuous IOP related pattern recording device.

SLT was effective in lowering the mean GAT IOP by 17.0% at 1 month and 25.5% at 3 months, in addition to a 17.6% reduction in anti-glaucoma medication compared to pre-SLT baseline levels. Using the CLS for 24-hour continuous IOP-related pattern recording before and 1 month after SLT, we observed a reduction of mean global variability using the cosinor model in the SLT success group, which was anticipated based on previous reports in the literature. The CLS has previously been reported to be an accurate and reproducible method to model IOP rhythm. For local variability, we noted a

FIGURE 2. (A) Twenty-four-hour IOP-related pattern (global) variability in success group. (B) Twenty-four-hour IOP-related pattern (global) variability in non-success group.
24-Hour variability

Our population consisted of only NTG subjects with a pre-SLT assessing the effects of SLT on IOP control. Kothy et al17 on a continuous basis for 24 hours. Thus, the timing and glaucoma eye drops and our IOP-related pattern recording was although the effect on humans is yet to be determined.31 to retinal ganglion cells than persistently elevated IOP levels demonstrated that rapid IOP spikes can result in greater damage to retinal ganglion cells than persistently elevated IOP levels although the effect on humans is yet to be determined.31

Nagar et al19 previously reported a 41% reduction in IOP fluctuation in 50% of subjects treated with SLT. However, their study subjects consisted of subjects with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) or ocular hypertension with a pre-SLT IOP of 26.0 mm Hg and IOP was only measured during 4 time intervals at 08:00, 11:00, 14:00, and 18:00 hours.31 In contrast, our population consisted of only NTG subjects with a pre-SLT IOP of 15.3 ± 2.2 mm Hg while on 1.7 ± 0.7 types of anti-glaucoma eye drops and our IOP-related pattern recording was on a continuous basis for 24 hours. Thus, the timing and frequency of IOP measurement is important in accurately assessing the effects of SLT on IOP control. Kothy et al17 reported in their POAG series that after SLT, 5 eyes had IOP reduction >20% during office hour (08:00–12:00 hours) but none of the 26 eyes had a mean IOP reduction of ≥20% when the assessment period was extended from 08:00 to 00:00 hours. The influence of SLT on diurnal and nocturnal IOP fluctuation is also diversified in the literature and probably related to whether or not anti-glaucoma medications were used. Kothy et al17 reported a significant reduction in diurnal IOP fluctuation of 4.3 ± 1.7 and 5.0 ± 1.7 mm Hg at 3 and 6 months after SLT, respectively, in their POAG population after a 4-week washout period prior to SLT. In contrast, Lee et al32 reported in their medically treated POAG patients that after SLT, IOP range was significantly reduced nocturnally but not diurnally. Both of these studies however, only measured IOP at intervals rather than continuously over 24 hours. Therefore, the influence of SLT on IOP fluctuation cannot be fully evaluated using interval or daytime IOP measurements alone.

In this study, SLT was offered as an adjuvant therapy for NTG with the aim of further lowering IOP or to reduce the anti-glaucoma medication requirement. The authors decided not to washout anti-glaucoma medication prior to SLT in order to simulate the realistic clinical scenario where adjuvant SLT is offered to medically controlled NTG patients to reduce medication load or for those with poor adherence or intolerant to topical anti-glaucoma medications. In addition, there is no definite consensus from the literature on the negative influence of topical prostaglandin analogs on SLT outcome. In a retrospective study by Scherer,23 a greater mean IOP reduction was demonstrated following SLT in POAG subjects being treated with topical prostaglandin analogs. Alvarado et al34 on the other hand, showed that prostaglandin analogs might dampen the

### Table 2. Mean Local Variability and Sleep-to-Wake Slope Before and After SLT

| Parameters                          | SLT Success (Pre-SLT) | SLT Non-Success (Post-SLT) | Overall (Pre-SLT) | Overall (Post-SLT) | P-Value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                                     | Pre-SLT (n = 8)       | Post-SLT (n = 8)           | Pre-SLT (n = 10)  | Post-SLT (n = 10)  | P-Value |
| 24-Hour variability (mVeq)          | 12.5 ± 4.2            | 12.6 ± 3.6                 | 13.7 ± 3.0        | 16.7 ± 3.9         | 0.001*  |
| Nocturnal variability (mVeq)        | 10.6 ± 3.3            | 10.5 ± 2.0                 | 14.0 ± 3.8        | 13.6 ± 4.3         | 0.8     |
| Diurnal variability (mVeq)          | 13.7 ± 5.5            | 14.6 ± 5.6                 | 13.8 ± 3.3        | 18.5 ± 4.4         | 0.002*  |
| Sleep-to-wake slope (mVeq)          | −33.3 ± 47.3          | −6.8 ± 33.2                | −48.9 ± 49.3      | −13.5 ± 54.0       | 0.04*   |

* Statistically significant.

### Table 3. Number of Peaks and Troughs Before and After SLT

| Parameters                          | Pre-SLT (n = 18) | Post-SLT (n = 18) | P-Value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Number of peaks over 24 hours       | 15.3 ± 5.7       | 14.1 ± 3.0        | 0.2     |
| Number of peaks <30 minutes         | 4.7 ± 3.1        | 4.8 ± 2.4         | 0.9     |
| Number of peaks >90 mVeq            | 1.7 ± 1.1        | 2.4 ± 1.5         | 0.04*   |
| Number of diurnal troughs           | 9.9 ± 2.4        | 8.5 ± 2.0         | 0.01*   |
| Number of nocturnal troughs         | 5.9 ± 2.3        | 5.3 ± 1.9         | 0.6     |

* Statistically significant.

| Parameters                          | Pre-SLT (n = 18) | Post-SLT (n = 18) | P-Value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Only 17 subjects used as 1 subject incorrectly recorded his sleep/wake times.
IOP-lowering effects of SLT while Singh et al.\textsuperscript{35} reported no significant difference in SLT outcome with prostaglandin analog use.

The findings of our study suggest that adjunctive and successful SLT may offer an additional benefit reducing 24-hour IOP-related fluctuation for NTG patients who are already on anti-glaucoma medication. The majority of our patients (40%) was on a once nightly topical prostaglandin analog or fixed combination prostaglandin-β-blocker and 32% were on a topical β-blocker. SLT and prostaglandin analogs have been postulated to share a common IOP-lowering pathway by opening up the intercellular junction and increasing conductivity at level of the Schlemm canal endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{34} We postulate that after the prostaglandin analogs reach their maximal IOP-lowering effect at 8 to 12 hours after instillation,\textsuperscript{36} this leads to the rebound in diurnal IOP fluctuation. For those subjects who were responsive to SLT (the success group), their diurnal variability was kept low by the continued effects of the SLT, accounting for the significantly lower diurnal variability as compared to the non-success group.

In all 18 subjects, both before and after SLT, the wake-to-sleep slope was positive and the sleep-to-wake slope was negative, signifying that the supine-posture induced IOP increases are still preserved after adjunctive SLT. There was no significant difference in the wake-to-sleep slope before and after SLT (\textit{P} = 0.9). However, the sleep-to-wake slope was dampened by 3.9 times, following SLT (\textit{P} = 0.02) signifying a more gradual drop in IOP upon waking after SLT. It has been demonstrated in animal models that SLT may increase the expression of aqueous endothelin-1 (ET-1) in the early post-laser periods, which can reduce aqueous outflow, explaining the slower drop in IOP upon waking.\textsuperscript{37} The magnitude and duration of ET-1 influence following SLT has not been well established in human subjects. However, we do not have an account for why only the sleep-to-wake slope was affected as the daytime IOP was reduced after SLT signifying an increase in aqueous outflow overall.

Our study had its limitations. Firstly, the IOP related fluctuation was monitored at 1-month post-SLT. Although it has been established that the post-SLT IOP values as early as 2 weeks were predictive of future IOP control,\textsuperscript{38} future studies monitoring 24-hour continuous IOP changes at a longer time frame after SLT would provide more long-term results.\textsuperscript{39} Secondly, at present, the CLS can only measure IOP-related fluctuations in mVeq. The GAT before CLS wear was used as a reference baseline. There are no effective formulae to convert these readings into the gold standard unit of IOP measurement (mm Hg). Further developments in this area would popularize the use of this device in clinical practice. Thirdly, due to the high cost and single use nature of the CLS, the sample size was relatively small due to the constraints of resources. Further studies involving larger samples should be carried out to further strength the preliminary conclusions drawn from this study. Fourthly, the observations from our study are only applicable to medically treated NTG patients and may not be generalizeable to other glaucoma patients or to those without baseline anti-glaucoma medication prior to SLT.

Nevertheless, this study has served to provide objective evidence for the controversies around IOP fluctuations after SLT by evaluating IOP related changes in a 24-hour, continuous manner. It seems that SLT was able to produce a significant IOP reduction measured at a single time point during the day, accompanied by dampened amplitude of the nycthemeral rhythm in patients for whom SLT was successful but an increased in those where SLT was not successful. Our findings confirm the relevance of continuous 24-hour IOP-related pattern monitoring in the accurate evaluation of glaucoma treatments. Further trials involving larger samples, different glaucoma subtypes, and groups with and without baseline anti-glaucoma medications would provide us with a clearer understanding of the influence of SLT on IOP fluctuation.
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### TABLE 4. CLS Pattern Increase and Decrease Rates Before and After SLT

| Parameter                        | Pre-SLT (n = 18) | Post-SLT (n = 18) | \(P\)-Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Maximum increase rate            | 104.3 ± 49.2    | 126.6 ± 85.1     | 0.2         |
| Maximum reduction rate           | 109.4 ± 67.4    | 126.0 ± 73.4     | 0.2         |
| Median increase rate             | 41.7 ± 15.9     | 38.8 ± 19.4      | 0.48        |
| Median reduction rate            | 34.7 ± 13.2     | 41.5 ± 16.1      | 0.19        |
| Mean increase rate               | 45.1 ± 15.3     | 45.3 ± 21.2      | 1.0         |
| Mean reduction rate              | 42.1 ± 16.9     | 46.9 ± 17.3      | 0.3         |
| Minimum increase rate            | 5.3 ± 3.4       | 5.3 ± 4.2        | 1.0         |
| Minimum reduction rate           | 3.7 ± 3.0       | 4.7 ± 4.8        | 0.5         |

### TABLE 5. Comparison of Differences in Pre- and Post-SLT Parameters Between the Success Versus Non-Success Group

| Parameter                        | \(P\)-Value |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Maximum increase rate            | 0.656       |
| Maximum reduction rate           | 0.789       |
| Median increase rate             | 0.929       |
| Mean increase rate               | 0.858       |
| Mean reduction rate              | 1.000       |
| Median reduction rate            | 1.000       |
| Minimum increase rate            | 1.000       |
| Minimum reduction rate           | 0.929       |
| Number of peaks < 30 minutes     | 0.375       |
| Number of peaks > 90 mVeq        | 1.000       |
| Number of peaks over 24 hours    | 0.623       |
| Sleep-to-wake slope              | 0.588       |
| Wake-to-sleep slope              | 0.657       |
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