BosR Functions as a Repressor of the *ospAB* Operon in *Borrelia burgdorferi*
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Abstract

The Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, must abundantly produce outer surface lipoprotein A (OspA) in the tick vector but downregulate OspA in mammals in order to evade the immune system and maintain its natural enzootic cycle. Here, we show that BosR binds two regulatory elements of the *ospAB* operon and that increasing BosR expression leads to downregulation of OspA. Both regulatory sequences, cisI and cisII, showed strong BosR-binding and cisII bound much tighter than cisI. A promoterless bosR gene fused with an inducible promoter was introduced into an rpoS mutant and a wild-type strain to assess RpoS-independent and -dependent downregulation of OspA by BosR. With the induction of BosR expression, OspA expression was reduced more significantly in the RpoS-deficient than wild-type background, but not completely repressed. In the presence of constitutive expression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB, increasing BosR production resulted in complete repression of OspA in the RpoS mutant. Taken together, the study clearly demonstrated BosR serves as a repressor that binds both regulatory elements of the *ospAB* operon and shuts off expression.

Introduction

Outer surface proteins (Osps) A and B, encoded by a 2-gene operon [1], are among the most abundantly produced outer surface antigens by the Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*, in engorged and unfed *Ixodes* ticks [2–5]. In response to a fresh bloodmeal, *B. burgdorferi* downregulates OspA/B and upregulates OspC and other proteins, a process that prepares *B. burgdorferi* for infection of a mammal [6–8]. Repressing *ospA* expression during mammalian infection is critical for *B. burgdorferi* to evade the immune system, cause persistent infection, and maintain the enzootic cycle, as both OspA and OspB, even expressed at a low level, may ultimately induce a strong humoral response due to their high immunogenicity. The specific response can pose tremendous pressure on the pathogen or even clear infection [9,10]. Even by chance, the anti-OspA/B humoral response may not effectively target spirochetes with very low OspA/B expression in mammalian tissues. Once acquired by the tick vector, the pathogen has to dramatically upregulate OspA/B and consequently becomes extremely vulnerable to the specific antibodies in bloodmeal [11], whereby potentially leading to the eradication of the organism and a discontinuation of the enzootic cycle.

Expression of the *ospAB* operon is driven by a σ70-dependent promoter [12]. *B. burgdorferi* has only two alternative σ factors, RpoN and RpoS, which form a regulatory network, in which RpoS expression depends on RpoN and controls expression of many important Osps, including OspC, DbpA and DbpB [13]. A study by Radolf and colleagues suggested that RpoS is involved in repression of OspA expression [14]. Given the fact that RpoS activates expression of many Osps, the indirect effect on OspA expression due to their absence could be significant. The inability of *rpoS* mutants to downregulate OspA may be caused by an indirect effect resulting from the lack of RpoS-dependent Osps. There has been no evidence showing any interaction of RpoS with DNA sequences associated the *ospAB* operon, essentially ruling out direct involvement of RpoS in OspA downregulation.

Successful identification of two regulatory sequences, namely cisI and cisII, which flank the *ospAB* promoter, indicates the existence of a repressor(s), which should bind the two elements and shut off expression during murine infection [15]. Our recent study revealed that more than 156 genes in the *B. burgdorferi* genome have at least one putative BosR-binding site, among which is the *ospAB* locus [16]. Interestingly, one of the two putative BosR-binding sites associated with the *ospAB* operon is completely included within the previously identified cisII regulatory sequence [15], and the second partially overlaps with cisII and the -10 region of the promoter [16].

As a key regulator, BosR functions to bind the *rpoS* promoter region and positively regulate the alternative σ factor, which in turn upregulates a number of Osps, including OspC, DbpA and DbpB [17–19]. Although in vitro grown *B. burgdorferi* does not produce BosR during early growth phase, once grown to late log phase, the pathogen dramatically upregulates the regulator. This dramatic BosR upregulation thus far has not been correlated with OspA downregulation, seriously challenging the notion that BosR,
in addition to the identified function, may also serve as a repressor of the ospAB operon. The current study first demonstrated that BosR bound both cisI and cisII and then showed that increased BosR expression indeed led to a shutoff of OspA.

### Materials and Methods

#### Expression and purification of recombinant BosR

The entire bosR-coding region was amplified from genomic DNA of *B. burgdorferi* B31 with the use of primers P5F and P5R (Table 1). The resultant PCR product was digested, purified and cloned into pET-23a vector (EMD Chemicals Inc., Darmstadt, Germany), generating a construct that contained the bosR-coding region flanked by a C-terminal His6 tag. One Shot BL21(DE3)-pLysS Chemically Competent *E. coli* cells (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) were transformed with the construct and induced with 1.0 mM isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). Recombinant BosR was affinity-purified with the use of HiTrap Chelating HP following the manufacturer’s instruction (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Pittsburgh, PA). Protein concentration was measured using Quick Start Bradford Dye Reagent following the manufacturer’s protocol (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

#### Gel mobility shift assay

Each strand of the probes CisI, CisII and IRs was synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA). One end of each complementary pair was incorporated with 5' digoxigenin during synthesis. Complementary strands were allowed to anneal to form a double-strand probe. The binding assay reaction volume was set to 20 µl, in which 1.0 nM DNA probe was allowed to bind 400 nM of recombinant BosR at room temperature for 10 min. The binding buffer contained 50 µg/ml salmon sperm DNA, 100 µg/ml BSA, 1.0 mM DTT, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5) and 5% glycerol. In the competition assay, 10 nM DNA competitor was first added to a reaction volume of 20 µl and allowed to interact with 400 nM of recombinant BosR at room temperature for 10 min before 1.0 nM DNA probe was supplemented and incubated for an additional 10 min. Resultant mixtures were separated by electrophoresis on 10% polyacrylamide gels prepared with 0.5x TBE buffer. Separated DNA was transferred to a nylon membrane and probed with the use of the DIG High Prime DNA Labeling and Detection Starter Kit I per the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany).

#### Construction of plBM- and pME22-plasmids

As diagrammed in Fig. 1A, to construct plBM-bosR, a 554-bp DNA fragment covering the entire coding region of the bosR gene was amplified with the use of primers P1F and P1R (Table 1) and DNA template extracted from *B. burgdorferi* B31. The resultant PCR product was purified, digested with NcoI and XhoI, repurified and cloned into plIBM, which was created in a previous study [20], and pre-digested with the same enzymes. To construct pME22-bosR, the plasmid that conferred constitutive BosR expression, a 379-bp DNA fragment containing the entire coding sequence of the bosR gene was amplified with the use of primers P2F and P2R (Table 1) and DNA template purified from *B. burgdorferi* B31. The resultant amplicon was purified, digested with BspHI and BamHI, repurified and cloned into pME22, which was created in an earlier study [21], and pre-digested with NcoI and BamHI. The construction process was summarized in Fig. 1B.

To construct pME22-C'B'A'-bosR, a plasmid that was able to provide constitutive BosR, OspC, DlpA and DlpB expression simultaneously, two plasmids, pME22-bosR’ and pME22-C'B'A’ were used. pME22-C'B'A’ was constructed in an earlier study [20]. A 917-bp DNA fragment covering the promoterless bosR gene fused with the flaB promoter was amplified from pME22-bosR’ with use of primers P3F and P3R (Fig. 1C; Table 1). A 10,059-bp DNA fragment harboring the promoterless ospC, dbpA and dbpB fused with the same flaB promoter was amplified from pME22-C'B'A’ by using primers P4F and P4R (Fig. 1C; Table 1). The resultant PCR products were pooled, purified, digested with NcoI and PstI, and ligated to complete construction of pME22-C'B'A'-bosR’.

#### Generation of transformants

The rpoS mutant, ΔrpoS, which was generated in our previous study [20], was grown to late logarithmic (log) phase in Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly H (BSK-H) complete medium (Sigma). Spirochetes were harvested from approximately 40 ml of culture and transformed with pIBM-bosR, pME22-bosR’ or pME22-C'B'A'-bosR’ as described previously [22]. Transformants were identified by PCR using a primer pair specific for either streptomycin or kanamycin resistance.

### Table 1. Primers used in the study*

| Primer | Sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|--------|---------------------|
| P1F    | AAATTCATGCCATGAGCACACACATAATAGCAG |
| P1R    | TTTCGCGTCGAGCATATAAAGTATTCTGGTTTTC |
| P2F    | AAATCATGAGGACAATACATGGTACATCC |
| P2R    | AAAGATCCCACGATTTAGAAGTAGATAAGATAATAG |
| P3F    | AAAGTACGAGAAGACGATTAGCAGCAAGGACAGTACG |
| P3R    | TGCCGAAAATTCATGAGCTGAGCAG |
| P4F    | GACTGCGCCCGTACCAGGCTGTC |
| P4R    | AAAGCTACGTCGCGTGTATACGGCCGAGAG |
| P5F    | TAATTCATAGAACGACAACTATAGAGAG |
| P5R    | TTTCGCGTCGAGTAAGTATCTTTGTTTC |

*The underlined sequences are restriction enzyme sites: a BamHI site (P2R), a BspHI site (P2F), a Ndel site (P5F), a NcoI site (P1F), NheI sites (P3F and P4R), PstI sites (P3R and P4F), and XhoI sites (P1R and P5R).*
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Growth rate estimation

The spirochete culture was grown at 33°C to late log phase (approximately 10⁸ cells/ml) in BSK-H complete medium and diluted to 10⁵ cells/ml with the medium. A total of fifteen 1.3-ml aliquots were prepared and IPTG was then added at final concentrations of 0, 0.02, 0.05, 0.10 and 0.20 mM. Each inducer aliquots were prepared and IPTG was then added at final

Immunoblotting and Coomassie staining

Spirochetes were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. Resultant pellets were dissolved in a SDS-PAGE sample buffer, separated by electrophoresis and electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Blots were probed either with a mixture of FlaB mAb and mouse anti-BosR sera or OspC mAb, mouse anti-DlpA or anti-DlpB sera alone as described in our previous study [23]. Mouse BosR sera was prepared in an earlier study [16]. For protein analysis, proteins separated on SDS-PAGE gels were directly stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 (Amresco, Inc., Solon, OH).

qRT-PCR

Total RNA was prepared from cultured spirochetes, converted to cDNA through reverse-transcription and quantified for the mRNA copy numbers of flaB and ospA by quantitative PCR as described previously [24].

Results

Both ospA regulatory sequences, cisI and cisII, bind BosR, albeit cisII shows much stronger binding

Our previous study identified two regulatory sequences contributing to downregulation of ospA expression in the mammalian host located upstream of the ospAB promoter and located between the promoter and the translational start codon, namely cisI and cisII, respectively [15]. Our most recent study revealed the existence of two putative BosR-binding sites associated with the ospAB locus [16]. One of the sites is completely included within cisII and the second covers the entire -10 region of the ospAB promoter and the first two base pairs of cisII (Fig. 2A). As the second site of the 14-bp putative BosR-binding sequence overlaps with the -10 region, it is impossible to entirely remove it without inactivation of the ospAB promoter. For this particular reason, only 2 bps of this putative binding site were identified as a part of cisII in the previous study [15].

The regulatory sequence cisI contains no putative BosR-binding site but showed a critical role in repression of ospA transcription during murine infection, albeit it is less effective than cisII in this regard [15]. To examine if the previously identified regulatory elements were able to bind BosR, three 70-bp DNA probes, namely cisI, cisII and IRs, were designed and synthesized as diagrammed in Fig. 2. Probe cisI covered the entire cisI sequence and additional 14 bps up- and downstream sequences. Probe cisII included the entire cisII sequence and extended upstream to include the -35 region of the ospAB promoter. Probe IRs contained the ospC IRs and extended few bps up- and downstream to make up a total of 70 bps. The IRs sequence was previously identified as an operator of the ospAB gene and was not expected to bind BosR; thus, it was chosen as a negative control [25]. To minimize nonspecific DNA interaction with BosR, the binding buffer was supplemented with 50 μg/ml salmon sperm DNA. As shown in Fig. 3, the presence of sperm DNA completely overlapped with the -10 region, it is impossible to entirely remove it without inactivation of the ospAB promoter.
the interaction of BosR with either cisI or cisII, reiterating the results showing that IRs do not bind with BosR. When cisI and cisII probes were added as a competitor, cisI was unable to significantly reduce the interaction of cisII with BosR, but the addition of cisII essentially eliminated the interaction of cisI with BosR, allowing for the conclusion that cisII more effectively binds BosR than cisI.

Our previous study showed no effect of the presence or absence of Zn\(^{2+}\) on the DNA-binding activity of BosR [16]. Nevertheless, the influence of Zn\(^{2+}\) on the binding of BosR to both cisI and cisII was investigated. At concentrations below 10 \(\mu M\), Zn\(^{2+}\) had no effect on binding. When Zn\(^{2+}\) was added to 100 \(\mu M\), however, the binding of BosR to either cisI or cisII was significantly inhibited (data not shown).

**Excessive BosR expression causes cell death in *B. burgdorferi***

To specifically regulate BosR expression, pIBM-bosR\(_{in}\) was constructed as illustrated in Fig. 1A. Within the construct, BosR expression was under the control of an inducible promoter. Production of BosR should not occur from the construct in the absence of the inducer IPTG, although the native bosR gene may produce BosR as normal. The construct pIBM-bosR\(_{in}\) was easily introduced into \(\Delta rpoS\). This mutant was used because any RpoS involvement in OspA downregulation was readily ruled out in this way. It was also electroporated into 13A, the parental clone of \(\Delta rpoS\), as a control. In a single transformation experiment with 13A, five transformants were obtained. Plasmid analyses led to the identification of one clone, namely, \(D_{rpoS}/bosR_{in}\), which lost cp9, lp5, lp21, lp25, and lp56 as \(D_{rpoS}\), in addition to lp28-1. Transformation of 13A led to the selection of seven transformants, one of which, namely 13A/bosR\(_{in}\), lost cp9, lp5, lp21, lp25, lp56 and was chosen for further studies. There has been no evidence that any of these lost plasmids affects gene regulation although both lp25 and lp28-1 are critical for murine infection [26]. However, there is a possibility that additional complexity of Osp-BosR regulation exists in wild-type spirochetes.

Our previous study reported that increasing RpoS expression causes cell death [27]. To investigate whether high BosR expression is toxic, both \(D_{rpoS}/bosR_{in}\) and 13A/bosR\(_{in}\) were grown to early log phase (10\(^7\) cells/ml) in BSK-H medium and diluted to 10\(^5\) cells/ml before IPTG was added to final concentrations ranging from 0 to 0.2 mM. When IPTG reached as low as 0.05 mM, \(D_{rpoS}/bosR_{in}\) growth was affected (Fig. 4A). When the concentration increased to 0.1 mM, growth was essentially arrested within a couple of days after induction and all spirochetes eventually died during the subsequent week.

---

**Figure 2. Diagram of cisI, cisII and putative BosR-binding sites of the ospAB operon and three probe sequences used in the study.** (A) The location of cisI, cisII and putative BosR-binding sites and the sequences of cisI and cisII probes. The regulatory sequences upstream of the coding region of the ospAB operon include cisI and cisII (both in bold) and two putative BosR-binding sites (italic). One putative site overlaps with the -10 region and cisII, and the other is contained within cisII. The -35 and -10 regions of the promoter, transcriptional initiation site, and start codon ATG all are underlined. The sequences of cisI and cisII probes are marked with brackets. (B) The IRs were identified as the ospC operator in a previous study [25], and used as a control probe. The sequence of the probe is marked with a bracket.

**Figure 3. Both regulatory sequences of the ospAB operon, cisI and cisII, bind BosR and cisII shows stronger binding.** The binding buffer contained 50 \(\mu g/ml\) salmon sperm DNA. The ratio of a DNA probe and a competitor was set at 1:10 (1.0/10 nM). Mobility shift analysis was performed with 10% polyacrylamide gels.

---

**Figure 4.***
Although at lower concentrations IPTG did not affect early growth, its presence reduced the stationary cell density. At 0.1 mM, the inducer showed little effect on growth of 13A/bosR in, indicating that this strain was less sensitive than ΔrpoS/bosR in to induction with IPTG (Fig. 4B). Even at 0.2 mM, the 13A/bosR in spirochetes continued to grow for a couple of days before beginning to die; induced spirochetes became uncountable within a week.

**Inducing BosR expression leads to dramatic downregulation of OspA**

As shown above, excessive BosR expression caused a lethal consequence to *B. burgdorferi*, therefore it is important to use appropriate concentrations of the inducer to treat the bacteria. At 0.2 mM, IPTG significantly inhibited both ΔrpoS/bosR in and 13A/bosR in growth shortly after induction and killed them within a week. This concentration was chosen for the investigation of how induction of BosR influenced OspA expression. The ΔrpoS/bosR in and 13A/bosR in spirochetes were grown to 10^7 cells/ml before supplementing with IPTG at 0.2 mM. Induction was allowed to
proceed for three days, during which time the spirochete density reached approximately 10^7 cells/ml, reflecting a three-fold increase. As shown in Fig. 5, as BosR was induced, OspA expression dramatically reduced in ΔrpoS/bosR_in, but had a lesser effect in 13A/bosR_in. However, the reduction in the detected protein amount may primarily depend on the dilution effect of cell division as OspA may be stable in live cells. Therefore, a reduction in the total OspA amount may not be greater than three-fold even if the transcriptional process could be fully stopped immediately upon induction. Moreover, the abundant ospA mRNA accumulated before induction would continue to be translated into protein until it was degraded.

Total RNA was also prepared and analyzed. As shown in Fig. 5C, induced BosR expression led to a reduction in ospA transcription greater than 86% in ΔrpoS/bosR_in and 63% in 13A/bosR_in. Unlike protein, mRNA is less stable and its level would be better reflected in the magnitude of ospA gene downregulation resulting from increased BosR expression.

Although induction led to a dramatic increase in BosR production, OspC expression did not significantly increase (Fig. 5A), probably because RpoS was also regulated by other regulators, such as RpoN, small RNAs and BadR [13,28-30]. An unbalanced increase in BosR expression might not significantly increase RpoS production if the other regulators were not actively involved.

Increasing BosR expression completely shuts off OspA production when constitutive expression of other Osps simultaneously occurs

Although increasing BosR expression, even to a toxic level, leads to dramatic downregulation of OspA, it does not abolish it. To completely downregulate OspA with increased BosR, we designed an experiment based on our hypothesis that the Osp layer homeostasis of B. burgdorferi overwrites the normal regulatory programs. In other words, as OspAB are the most dominantly expressed Osps in cultured spirochetes, B. burgdorferi cannot completely downregulate them without dramatically increasing expression of other Osps. Based on the hypothesis, two additional constructs, pBBE22-bos'R and pBBE22-C'B'A'-bos'R, were created as shown in Fig. 1. Within the former, the fused bos'R gene was designed to express driven by the flaB promoter, while in the latter, in addition to a fused bos'R gene, three osp genes, ospC, dbpA and dbpB, were all engineered to transcribe under the control of a fused flaB promoter.

After these constructs were introduced into ΔrpoS, five and eight transformants were obtained, respectively. Plasmid analyses led to the identification of two clones, namely, ΔrpoS/bos'R and ΔrpoS/C'B'A'-bos'R, which lost cp9, lp3, lp21, lp25 and lp36 as ΔrpoS. In addition, both clones also lost lp28-1. As shown in Fig. 6, a high level of BosR expression driven by the fused flaB promoter greatly downregulated OspA, but was unable to completely shut off expression, consistent with the result obtained with the inducible promoter shown in Fig. 5. In the presence of constitutive expression of OspC, DbpA and DbpB, increased BosR production led to complete shut off of OspA production.

Discussion

OspA is highly immunogenic and would induce a strong immune response even if expressed at a very low level during mammalian infection [31,32]. Even though OspA antibodies may not effectively kill the spirochetes with low OspA expression in mammalian tissues, once acquired by the tick vector, the pathogen is extremely sensitive to the specific antibodies [5,33]. In order to maintain its infectious life cycle, B. burgdorferi must abundantly produce OspA during this stage in the tick vector and downregulate to below the immune system detectable level during infection of the mammalian host. The current study revealed OspA downregulation can be achieved through binding BosR to the regulatory elements of the ospAB operon. Clearly, BosR functions as a repressor and the two regulatory elements, cisI and cisII, serve as operators of the ospAB operon.

Our previous study identified both cisI and cisII as the regulatory sequences of the ospAB operon and showed that the
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Figure 6. Increasing BosR expression shuts off OspA produc-
tion only when other Osps, OspC, DbpA and DbpB, are consti
tutively expressed. The ΔrpoS, ΔrpoS/bosR and ΔrpoS/CBA-
bosR were grown to late log phase (10^8 cells/ml), harvested and analyzed either by immunoblotting probed with FlaB, BosR, OspC, DbpA and DbpB antibodies (A), or Coomassie staining (B).
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presence of both was required for maximum downregulation during murine infection [15]. The study also showed that the two elements do not contribute equally to ospA downregulation as cisII exhibits a four-fold greater reduction in ospA transcription when compared to cisI [15]. These previous findings appear consistent with those of the current study, indicating that both elements bind BosR with cisII having more binding affinity than cisI. This double-operator system with use of a single common repressor may ensure full shutoff of the ospAB operon and thus providing the pathogen with a secure mechanism to completely conceal the highly immunogenic antigens during mammalian infection.

BosR is required for expression of RpoS, which in turn activates ospC, dbpBA and many other genes encoding Osp proteins [17,19]. The regulator is not expressed in early growth phases, but is dramatically upregulated in the late log phase. No significant ospA downregulation has been observed in cultured spirochetes, despite BosR reaching its highest level in the stationary phase. Even in the tick’s midgut, no more than 50% of the spirochete population downregulate OspA during any period of the transmission bloodmeal [4]. Most of the remaining spirochetes highly express OspC, an indication that BosR is actively expressed, but they do not show OspA downregulation. Given these previous observations, the process of inducing OspA downregulation should not be oversimplified just based on the fact B. burgdorferi readily shuts off OspA either during mammalian infection or being grown in the host-adapted conditions [31,34]. As a matter of fact, to induce OspA downregulation, BosR expression was increased to a level that nearly kills B. burgdorferi. Under this in vitro condition, OspA expression was significantly downregulated but was not shut off.

When cycling between the two distinct hosts, B. burgdorferi must adapt bacterial survival strategies to extremely different environments. Without a doubt, spirochetal adaptation must dramatically change its gene expression. Under normal conditions, complete OspA downregulation occurs only during mammalian infection, in which OspC and other RpoS-dependent Osps are dramatically upregulated. The mammalian host apparently provides an extreme environment as these specific alteration signals, which may be unachievable under any in vitro conditions. While either excessive RpoS or BosR expression is lethal to B. burgdorferi in vitro, these high levels of expression may be essential for the pathogen to achieve downregulation of the ospAB operon and to greatly upregulate RpoS-dependent virulence factors, and ultimately allow B. burgdorferi to survive better in the mammalian host. To achieve OspA downregulation in B. burgdorferi grown in vitro, a high level of BosR expression in combination with simultaneous expression of OspC and other Osps may be required.

One feature of B. burgdorferi is to coat itself with lipoproteins. A decade ago, we hypothesized that the pathogen must maintain its Osp expression level in order to keep it viable [33]. In other words, B. burgdorferi must upregulate other Osps to compensate for the loss resulting from downregulation of some Osps. Our previous study designed based on the hypothesis successfully revealed dramatic upregulation of VlsE with OspC expression being shut off by B. burgdorferi reacting to an amounting specific humoral response [33]. Another study based on the same hypothesis successfully restored OspC-deficient spirochetes with infectivity by increasing expression of an Osp, such as OspA, VlsE, DbpA or ErpA [36]. Based on the same hypothesis, we designed experiments and modified the ΔrpoS spirochete to simultaneously express BosR and three major Osps to successfully achieve full shutoff of OspA in B. burgdorferi grown under in vitro conditions.

As a key regulator, BosR, like RpoS, must be strictly regulated. As the current study demonstrated, BosR causes cell death when expressed at a very high level as RpoS does [27]. The cell death caused by excessive RpoS expression may be simply attributed to σ factor competition, but there is no simple explanation for BosR-related death. While the biological significance of induced cell death remains to be addressed, the possibility that it is to control cell populations less diverse in the same environment should be considered. For instance, the death strategy would select out subpopulations with a phenotype that highly expresses RpoS- or BosR-dependent genes when in the tick vector. However, cell death resulting from increased RpoS or BosR expression is observed only in vitro. Given that B. burgdorferi cycles between the extremely different environments encountered in the tick vector and a mammal, in vitro growth conditions may only constitute an abnormal environment. As emphasized above, it is possible that a high level of BosR and RpoS expression may provide an essential strategy for the pathogen to survive. Especially during infection of the mammalian host, B. burgdorferi may have to highly produce BosR to achieve shutoff of OspAB expression, as
As a critical regulator, BosR binds the rpoS promoter region and activates its transcription with an involvement of other regulators, such as RpoN. The current study demonstrated that via binding of the two regulatory sequences of the ospAB operon, BosR shuts off gene expression. Elucidating the function of this regulator, which operates in two opposite ways, remains to be addressed. Our previous study showed the presence of cisI and cisII enhanced ospA transcription in spirochetes grown in vitro, albeit the effect was very minor, suggesting that BosR may be involved in upregulation of the gene, suggestive of another regulatory function of BosR when it is expressed at a relatively low level [13].

Taken together with our previous study showing that the presence of both cisI and cisII is required for maximum ospA downregulation in the murine host [15], the current study clearly demonstrates BosR functioning as a repressor of the ospAB operon by binding both regulatory elements and shutting off OspA expression. In combination with a series of studies by others showing that BosR positively regulates many OspVs via upregulation of RpoS [17,19], the current study provides sufficient evidence allowing for the conclusion that BosR, in general, functions as a coordinator by indirectly upregulating RpoS-dependent Osps, such as OspC, DbpA and DsbB, and directly repressing expression of OspAB.
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