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Abstract:

BACKGROUND: It is essential to evaluate the performance of hospitals in the health system. Hospitals need a performance evaluation system to develop and compete in order to measure the efficiency and effectiveness of their programs, processes, and human resources. This study aimed to evaluate the performance of teaching hospitals using the Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) method and hierarchical analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This was a cross-sectional and descriptive study conducted in 2019 in all teaching hospitals affiliated to Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. The required data were collected using a standard checklist. The collected data were analyzed using the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) and TOPSIS. In the first phase, annual indicators of hospital evaluation were collected. Following the AHP, key performance indicators (KPIs) were selected and prioritized in hospitals.

RESULTS: The questionnaires were provided to 15 experts to weigh KPIs, and the most important indicators were selected. The results of hierarchical analysis showed that three main indicators in evaluating the performance of hospitals were bed turnover rate, emergency clients, and length of stay.

CONCLUSIONS: One of the problems in evaluating hospitals is the use of key indicators that alone measure the quantity or quality of their performance. Multicriteria decision-making can be used to determine key indicators first, and then by combining these indicators into a multicriteria decision-making model, a better assessment of the role and performance of hospitals can be provided.
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Introduction

It is essential to evaluate the performance of hospitals in the health system because hospitals are the most expensive part of the health system and they have the most staff.[1] Performance assessment is critical for every organization such that lack of assessment system in different dimensions of organization is considered as a symptom of disease in organization.[2] Performance appraisal is a numerical scale that provides managers with information needed to evaluate and monitor the status and current activities of the hospital. One of the performance evaluation tools is the use of dynamic multicriteria decision-making.[3,4]

Hospitals face numerous risks to the environment that affect the performance of the system and make it less efficient. Hospitals need a performance evaluation system to develop and compete in order to measure the efficiency and effectiveness of their programs, processes, and human resources. Hospitals are the key units in the health-care system and have a key role in providing health-care services. Hospitals play the most important role in advancing
Many studies have evaluated the performance of hospitals using TOPSIS and other multicriteria decision-making methods. However, the main disadvantage of TOPSIS is the lack of weights as well as the lack of judgmental compatibility. Therefore, this technique needs an efficient procedure to determine the significance of different indices based on the target. Analytic hierarchy process (AHP) that is another multiple attribute decision-making model can provide such a procedure. In addition, to provide a logical conclusion, this model can cover the limitation of the TOPSIS method by comparing the pairwise indices.\textsuperscript{[13,14]}

Analytic hierarchy process was invented by Thomas L. Saaty at 1980. This is one of the most reliable and strong techniques in multiple attribute decision-making that makes us able to compare indices in pairs and to measure their scores against just an index in order to achieve a sum score for each index. It is obvious that weighting the indices in the pairwise comparisons is easier and safer than other methods. Using this model in weighting also prevents bias in assessments.\textsuperscript{[15]} This study aimed to evaluate the performance of general hospitals of Beheshti University in Tehran using TOPSIS method and hierarchical analysis.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

This was a cross-sectional and descriptive study conducted in 2019 in all teaching hospitals affiliated to Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences ($n = 11$). The required data were collected using a standard checklist. The collected data were analyzed using the AHP and TOPSIS technique. In the first phase, annual indicators of hospital evaluation were collected. Following the hierarchical analysis, key performance indicators (KPIs) were selected and prioritized in hospitals. These KPIs were bed occupancy,\textsuperscript{[16]} BTR, average LOS,\textsuperscript{[16]} BTI, inpatient discharge, outpatient discharge, emergency clients,\textsuperscript{[13]} and surgery capacity.

In the second phase, we used the TOPSIS method to assess the hospital performance. TOPSIS was selected as one of the compensatory classic methods in multiple attribute decision-making to solve the problems of prioritization based on similarity to ideal solution developed.\textsuperscript{[17,18]} TOPSIS method, a MADM technique, was used to perform prioritization in this study. The TOPSIS technique consists of the following steps:\textsuperscript{[18]}

1. Compute the normalized decision matrix. The normalized value $r_{ij}$ is calculated as:

$$ r_{ij} = \frac{f_j}{\sum_j f_j^2}; \quad j = 1, \ldots, J; \quad i = 1, \ldots, n $$

2. Calculate the weighted normalized decision matrix. The weighted normalized value $v_{ij}$ is calculated as:
In a similar study, Tourani [22,23] performed a study to develop a model to identify the critical patients. The results showed that the most important indicators of the hospital in order to provide efficient service and improve the productivity in the system. The efficiency of the hospital in utilizing resources can also be measured with the help of specific indicators, and by analyzing it and planning to improve the efficiency indicators, one can expect to improve the productivity in the system. In selecting performance indicators, they can only be used to achieve important policy, planning, and resource management goals by first selecting the most important indicators and then by understanding the relationship between the selected indicators at a glance. Overall, but at the same time, accurate monitoring of hospital performance is possible using the simultaneous indicators.

Studies show that there are different indicators to measure the efficiency of hospitals, the most important of which are the three indicators of bed occupancy rate, bed rotation rate, and average length of hospital stay. The common feature of most of these studies is that they are less concerned with the simultaneous comparison of indices. However, the studies have shown that use of hybrid indicators in performance appraisal can caused better results.

Using the Pabon Lasso diagram is one of the tools that can be used to compare the important hospital indices and evaluate in terms of efficiency in managing the affairs. This method, which is one of the active methods of problem identification in the hospital, enables the management to have an analysis of of hospital performance. In a similar study, Tourani et al. evaluated the performance of hospital wards using TOPSIS and concluded that using the TOPSIS method would make a better judgment on hospital performance and treatment for policy-makers and managers.

On the other hand, establishing organizational and organizational coordination in hospitals, especially in critical situations, requires a system that can measure the key indicators of the hospital in order to provide a link between hospitals in critical situations. The system is based on key indicators, so evaluating and

---

**Table 1: Weight and rank of key performance indicators using analytical hierarchy process**

| Main dimensions | Weight | Rank |
|----------------|--------|------|
| BOR            | 0.110  | 5    |
| BTR            | 0.250  | 1    |
| LOS            | 0.140  | 3    |
| BTI            | 0.0622 | 7    |
| OD             | 0.0625 | 6    |
| ID             | 0.0625 | 6    |
| EP             | 0.1875 | 2    |
| SC             | 0.125  | 4    |

BOR=Bed turnover rate, LOS=Length of stay, BTI=Bed turnover interval, ID=Inpatient discharge, SC=Surgery capacity, OD=Outpatient discharge, BOR=Bed occupancy rate, EP=Emergency Patients

Efficiency means maximizing the use of resources to generate efficiency. In order to determine the efficiency or inefficiency, each firm should use appropriate indexes as a benchmark. The efficiency of the hospital in utilizing the resources can also be measured with the help of specific indicators, and by analyzing it and planning to improve the efficiency indicators, one can expect to improve the productivity in the system. In selecting performance indicators, they can only be used to achieve important policy, planning, and resource management goals by first selecting the most important indicators and then by understanding the relationship between the selected indicators at a glance. Overall, but at the same time, accurate monitoring of hospital performance is possible using the simultaneous indicators.

The results of hierarchical analysis showed that main indicators to assessment of hospital performance were BTR, LOS and emergency clients [Table 1].

According to TOPSIS analysis of hospital performance over a 1-year period based on key indicators, Hospital A had the best performance in total university hospitals and F and K hospitals had the worst performance [Table 2].
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**Results**

The questionnaires were provided to 15 experts to weigh KPIs, and the most important indicators were selected. The results of hierarchical analysis showed that main indicators to assessment of hospital performance were BTR, LOS and emergency clients [Table 1].

According to TOPSIS analysis of hospital performance over a 1-year period based on key indicators, Hospital A had the best performance in total university hospitals and F and K hospitals had the worst performance [Table 2].

**Discussion**

Efficiency means maximizing the use of resources to generate efficiency. In order to determine the efficiency or inefficiency, each firm should use appropriate indexes as a benchmark. The efficiency of the hospital in utilizing the resources can also be measured with the help of specific indicators, and by analyzing it and planning to improve the efficiency indicators, one can expect to improve the productivity in the system. In selecting performance indicators, they can only be used to achieve important policy, planning, and resource management goals by first selecting the most important indicators and then by understanding the relationship between the selected indicators at a glance. Overall, but at the same time, accurate monitoring of hospital performance is possible using the simultaneous indicators.
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|----------------|--------|------|
| BOR            | 0.110  | 5    |
| BTR            | 0.250  | 1    |
| LOS            | 0.140  | 3    |
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Efficiency means maximizing the use of resources to generate efficiency. In order to determine the efficiency or inefficiency, each firm should use appropriate indexes as a benchmark.

The efficiency of the hospital in utilizing the resources can also be measured with the help of specific indicators, and by analyzing it and planning to improve the efficiency indicators, one can expect to improve the productivity in the system. In selecting performance indicators, they can only be used to achieve important policy, planning, and resource management goals by first selecting the most important indicators and then by understanding the relationship between the selected indicators at a glance. Overall, but at the same time, accurate monitoring of hospital performance is possible using the simultaneous indicators.

The whole process was performed by MATLAB software.
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The results of hierarchical analysis showed that main indicators to assessment of hospital performance were BTR, LOS and emergency clients [Table 1].

According to TOPSIS analysis of hospital performance over a 1-year period based on key indicators, Hospital A had the best performance in total university hospitals and F and K hospitals had the worst performance [Table 2].

**Discussion**

Efficiency means maximizing the use of resources to generate efficiency. In order to determine the efficiency or inefficiency, each firm should use appropriate indexes as a benchmark.

The efficiency of the hospital in utilizing the resources can also be measured with the help of specific indicators, and by analyzing it and planning to improve the efficiency indicators, one can expect to improve the productivity in the system. In selecting performance indicators, they can only be used to achieve important policy, planning, and resource management goals by first selecting the most important indicators and then by understanding the relationship between the selected indicators at a glance. Overall, but at the same time, accurate monitoring of hospital performance is possible using the simultaneous indicators.

Studies show that there are different indicators to measure the efficiency of hospitals, the most important of which are the three indicators of bed occupancy rate, bed rotation rate, and average length of hospital stay. The common feature of most of these studies is that they are less concerned with the simultaneous comparison of indices. However, the studies have shown that use of hybrid indicators in performance appraisal can caused better results.

Using the Pabon Lasso diagram is one of the tools that can be used to compare the important hospital indices and evaluate in terms of efficiency in managing the affairs. This method, which is one of the active methods of problem identification in the hospital, enables the management to have an analysis of of hospital performance.

In a similar study, Tourani et al. evaluated the performance of hospital wards using TOPSIS and concluded that using the TOPSIS method would make a better judgment on hospital performance and treatment for policy-makers and managers.

On the other hand, establishing organizational and organizational coordination in hospitals, especially in critical situations, requires a system that can measure the key indicators of the hospital in order to provide a link between hospitals in critical situations. The system is based on key indicators, so evaluating and
setting up a joint evaluation system in hospitals can create an inter-hospital coordination network and improve systemic therapeutic efficiency.\[25,26\] Hospital performance is partly a function of hospital management style. Therefore, it is possible to identify and correct the performance indicators with the exact and scientific method of troubleshooting and problems in the method of administering hospitals. It is the style of hospital management that can change key performance and indicators.\[27\]

Evaluation, which is used as a process for judging the efficiency of predefined programs, requires the use of specific tools and models. Using scientific and research methods of operations in evaluating and judging the performance of hospitals can provide managers and policy-makers with a better picture. Multicriteria decision-making methods are used in hospital evaluation today and confirm the findings of this study.\[20\] The use of hybrid and mathematical methods is less commonly used by organizations due to the complexity of computation, but scientific centers require the use of scientifically accurate evidence for decision-making. This study provides an opportunity for university-level managers and has been able to provide a more accurate picture of hospital performance compared to similar hospitals. The strength of this study was that it was measured using hybrid performance indices, and the disadvantage of these methods is the dominance of the efficacy perspective and the effectiveness of treatment centers is ignored.

### Conclusions

Measuring the performance of a hospital is a complex task. It should be measured using combined indicators of hospital performance. The study showed that the three indicators of bed occupancy, LOS, and outpatient referral were the most important performance indicators of educational hospitals. The use of routine indicators alone cannot provide a correct view of the performance of a teaching hospital. It is recommended to determine and measure cost savings using key decision-making methods in managing key indicators.
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