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Abstract

Deep learning (DL) has recently changed the development of intelligent systems and is widely adopted in many real-life applications. Despite their various benefits and potentials, there is a high demand for DL processing in different computationally limited and energy-constrained devices. It is natural to study game-changing technologies such as Binary Neural Networks (BNN) to increase DL capabilities. Recently remarkable progress has been made in BNN since they can be implemented and embedded on tiny restricted devices and save a significant amount of storage, computation cost, and energy consumption. However, nearly all BNN acts trade with extra memory, computation cost, and higher performance. This article provides a complete overview of recent developments in BNN. This article focuses exclusively on 1-bit activations and weights 1-bit convolution networks, contrary to previous surveys in which low-bit works are mixed in. It conducted a complete investigation of BNN’s development—from their predecessors to the latest BNN algorithms/techniques, presenting a broad design pipeline and discussing each module’s variants. Along the way, it examines BNN (a) purpose: their early successes and challenges; (b) BNN optimization: selected representative works that contain essential optimization techniques; (c) deployment: open-source frameworks for BNN modeling and development; (d) terminal: efficient computing architectures and devices for BNN and (e) applications: diverse applications with BNN. Moreover, this paper discusses potential directions and future research opportunities in each section.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Artificial intelligence (AI) means the simulation of human intelligence in machines. Because of increased volume of big data, continually advanced algorithms, and incessant improvements in hardware, AI is growing to be one of the most popular topics in today’s world. In recent years, AI holds tremendous promise to power nearly all aspects of society. In AI community, convolution neural networks (CNN) is one common method to solve vision problems such as image classification (Lu and Weng 2007; Nath et al. 2014; Li et al. 2018b; Deepa and Devi 2011; Wang et al. 2019a), object detection (Zou et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2020a; Borji et al. 2019; Shantaiya et al. 2013; Jiao et al. 2019) and object recognition (Sukanya et al. 2016; Goyal and Benjamin 2014; Jafri et al. 2014; Campbell and Flynn 2001; Zhou et al. 2021) (Fig. 1).

Although new CNN models were continually presented and advanced, such as ResNeXt (Xie et al. 2017), SE-Net (Hu et al. 2018a) and SK-Net (Li et al. 2019c), the CNN architectures don’t change too much compared to that before 2017. And as CNN models become larger so that they require more computational power and storage, they cannot be equipped on resource-constraint platforms such as smart phones and tiny Internet of Things (IoTs) devices. Therefore, it is reasonable to generate a new open problem, which is how to develop more compact, lightweight and efficient power networks which can simultaneously maintain acceptable accuracy. So that trained models can be effectively utilized on devices that billions of customers use in their everyday lives.

Model Compression and Acceleration for deep neural networks is one type of solution to solve the problem mentioned above, trying to save the memory and reduce computational costs of CNN while still offering similar capabilities of full-precision CNN models. Based on solutions’ properties, this type can be subdivided into five major categories: parameters quantization, parameters pruning, low-rank matrix factorization, transferred/compact convolutional filters and knowledge distillation (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 1 Popular topics using AI](Image)
1.2 Motivation

It is known that current deep neural network models are computationally expensive and use memory resources extensively. For example Krizhevsky et al. (2012) designed AlexNet, which contains 60 million float-point parameters and 650,000 neurons, on the ImageNet dataset. Simonyan and Zisserman (2014)’s model VGG-16, when applied to the same dataset, has over 130 million float-point parameters. Large-weight models are difficult to deploy on tiny devices with limited resources. It is natural to study game-changing technologies such as BNN to increase deep learning (DL) capabilities. BNN method is an extreme case of parameters quantization methods. Completing activations and weights to 1-bit values can theoretically have 32 times lower memory storage and 58 times faster inference speed than traditional 32-bit CNN. BNN can be used in a variety of problems including classification (Chen et al. 2021; Qin et al. 2020a), pattern recognition (Qiao et al. 2020), computer vision (Frickenstein et al. 2020), natural language processing (NLP; Xiang et al. 2017; Qian, Y.m. and X. Xiang. 2019; Gao et al. 2021), etc. Because of BNN’s incredible advantages with fewer size parameters and faster inference speed can be easily applied and embedded on resource-limited devices such as wearable devices and tiny sensors. In recent years, with the increasing trend on lightweight and practical networks, more and more researchers are turning their attention to BNN. In 2021, a workshop spotlights BNN called binary networks for computer vision held by Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). BNN has become one popular and important research topic in the AI community.

1.3 Related work

There are a few published surveys on BNN that are Simons and Lee (2019) and Qin et al. (2020b). However, by carefully checking those surveys, we find some of the previous representative BNN techniques were not reviewed and discussed. Even worse, some benchmark results in the literature were wrongly collected and cited. For example, the results on the dataset COCO-2017 (Caesar et al. 2018) from a 4-bit quantization network called FQN (Li et al. 2019b) were mistaken as the BNN in Qin et al. (2020b). Efficiency indicators measured on FPGA were incorrectly cited in both of Simons and Lee.
(2019) and Qin et al. (2020b). Besides, in the most recent year, there is a great number of new BNN methods published. Some of them have already been crucially improved BNN performance and have generated new directions that were not included and discussed in those previous survey works. For those reasons, we present a new extensive review of BNN which covers all the BNN design pipeline topics including algorithms, deployment, and applications. Especially, different from prior surveys that mixed low-bit networks reviews, we only focus on reviewing the pure and truthful BNN where has 1-bit activations and weights in the convolution. We accurately summarize the BNN’s major optimization techniques and subdivided them into five categories to discuss. More importantly, we noticed that each previous work may contain several optimization techniques. So we don’t simply relegate each work to one category. More carefully, we utilize tables to list all the associated works with their contributions in that category. To the best of our ability, we collect all previous BNN works which were published in realizable conferences and journals till the date. We believe this work can serve as educational materials on the topic of BNN, and as reference information for professional researchers in this field (Fig. 3).

1.4 Organization

The organization of this work is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the basic principles of BNN and their early successes and challenges. Section 3 mainly reviews the optimization methods for BNN based on selected representative works that contain vital optimization techniques. Section 4 reviews the open-source frameworks for the BNN modeling. Section 5 introduces popular efficiency hardware platforms and their definitions of common terms. Section 6 presents the recent BNN applications, including the associated tables with the performance progress history. Section 7 is our conclusion and summary.

Fig. 3 Topics covered in this survey paper
2 Binary neural network

2.1 What is BNN?

BNN is a type of neural network that activations (or called features) and weights are 1-bit values in all the hidden layers (except the input and output layers). In a few words, BNN is an extremely compacted case of CNN. Because BNN and CNN have the same structures except for the different precision activations and weights. BNN also specifically refers to BNN techniques that compact 32-bit activations and weights to 1-bit values. The process of compacting 32-bit to 1-bit values is binarization. The purpose of binarization not only can save the expensive model’s storage, but also reduce the matrix computation costs by using XNOR and popcount operations. Rastegari et al. (2016) reported that BNN can have 32 times lower memory saving and 58 times faster convolution operations than 32-bit CNN. In traditional CNN, the vast majority of computing cost spent in matrix multiplication inside the convolution operation. The basic convolution operation without bias can be expressed as:

$$Z = I \ast W,$$

where $I$ and $W$ represent activations and weights, respectively, $Z$ is the output of the convolution operation with matrix multiplication. Because in such a multiplication operation, it contains a large of floating-point operations, including floating-point multiplication and floating-point addition, which is the reason for low-speed performance in the neural network inference. To resolve this issue, Courbariaux et al. (2016) and Kim and Smaragdis (2016) separately proposed their vanilla BNN architectures (Table 1).

| BNN name                                      | Key techniques                              |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Binarized Neural Networks (Courbariaux et al. 2016) | FP: sign(x) BP: clip(x, -1, 1)=max(-1,min(1,x)) |
| Bitwise Neural Networks (Kim and Smaragdis 2016)  | FP: sign(x) BP: two steps, similar to clip(x, -1, 1)=max(-1,min(1,x)) |

$FP$ Forward Propagation, $BP$ Backward Propagation

An artificial neural network consists of two processes: forward propagation and backward propagation. Forward propagation is the process of moving from the input layer (left) to the output layer (right) in Fig. 4, which also refers model inference. Backward propagation is the process of moving from the output layer (right) to the input layer (left) in Fig. 4, which represent the process of fine-tuning the model’s weights. Sections 2.2 and 2.3 discuss how BNN works in forward propagation and backward propagation.
2.2 Forward propagation

The neural cell is a basic computation structure in the forward path of a neural network. Different from the 32-bit CNN, neural cell in the BNN’s forward path adds the binarization steps to the activations I and weights W before convolution operation. The
Binarization steps’ purpose is to represent the floating-point activations and weights using 1-bit. Figure 5 presents the difference in computation steps inside a neural cell along the forward path between naive BNN and 32-bit CNN.

The sign function is widely used for binarization:

\[
\text{Sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 
+1, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\
-1, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

After binarization, activations I and weights W will be:

\[I \approx \text{sign}(I) = B_I,\]  

(3)

\[W \approx \text{sign}(W) = B_W,\]  

(4)

where \(B_I\) and \(B_W\) are binary activations and binary weights, respectively.

Because \(B_I\) and \(B_W\) values are \(\{+1, -1\}\) which has the similar XNOR results compared to \(\{0, +1\}\) as Table 2 shown. Then, we can use bitwise XNOR and popcount to replace expansive matrix multiplication calculation. Figure 6 presents the examples of convolution computation processes in native BNN and 32-bit CNN.

| Binary activations | Binary weights | XNOR result |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| \(-1(0)\)         | \(-1(0)\)      | \(+1(1)\)   |
| \(-1(0)\)         | \(+1(1)\)      | \(-1(0)\)   |
| \(+1(1)\)         | \(-1(0)\)      | \(-1(0)\)   |
| \(+1(1)\)         | \(+1(1)\)      | \(+1(1)\)   |

Table 2 BNN XNOR operations

Fig. 6 Naive BNN’s forward propagation compared to CNN’s
2.3 Backward propagation

Because the derivation result of binarization function (sign) is 0. Binary weights cannot be learned with the traditional gradient descent method based on a backward propagation algorithm. To resolve this issue, Binarized-Neural-Networks (Courbariaux et al. 2016) apply the technique called straight-through estimator (STE; Tieleman and Hinton 2012; Bengio et al. 2013) to learn binary weights in backward propagation. Figure 7 explains the process of learning Binarization weights in Binarized-Neural-Networks. During the BNN training steps, each layer’s real weights are kept and updated using STE. After training, binarized weights are saved and the real weights are discarded. Besides, Bitwise-Neural-Networks (Kim and Smaragdis 2016) contains two steps to train the BNN model. The first step is to train some compressed network parameters in real-value networks with weight compression. Then, the authors initialize the real-valued parameters for the target bitwise neural network, and adopt a training strategy that is similar to STE.

2.4 Summary

Although naive BNN has faster inference speeds and smaller weight sizes, the accuracy performance is much lower than that using full-precision CNN in the early stages. The reason is the severe information loss due to parameter binarization, including binary activations and binary weights. To address the above issue, a variety of novel optimization solutions have been proposed in recent years. In the next section, we regulate these methods into categories.

3 Binary neural network optimization

To report as the latest solutions at the published time, each BNN model contained several optimization and improvement points/methods. We regulate these enhancement methods to five categories as Fig. 8 shown: (1) quantization error minimization, (2) loss function
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improvement, (3) gradient approximation, (4) network topology structure and (5) training strategy and tricks.

3.1 Quantization error minimization

3.1.1 Scaling factor

To reduce the information loss during sign function transformation from 32-bit value to 1-bit value, XNOR-Net (Rastegari et al. 2016) adds channel-wise scaling factors $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for activations and weights. Therefore, Eqs. 3 and 4 can be changed to:

\[ I \approx \alpha \times \text{sign}(I) = \alpha \times B_I, \]

\[ W \approx \beta \times \text{sign}(W) = \beta \times B_W, \]

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are:

\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{n} \| I \|_{L_1}, \]

\[ \beta = \frac{1}{n} \| W \|_{L_1}. \]

Therefore, Eq. 1 can be changed:

\[ Z = I \ast W \approx (\alpha \ast B_I) \ast (\beta \ast B_W) = (\alpha \ast \beta) \ast (B_I \odot B_W). \]

Hadamard matrices (Agaian 1986) have the same properties as binarized matrices in which all the values are $+1$ or $-1$. Advancing on top of XNOR-Net, HadaNet (Akhauri 2019)

Fig. 8 BNN enhancement methods
applies the concept of Hadamard transforms (Agaian et al. 2011) to binary activations and weights without increasing filter map counts. Also, XNOR-Net++ (Bulat and Tzimiropoulos 2019) proposes to merge the activation and weight scaling factors into a single one, and explore various ways to construct the shape of scaling factor based on input, output, channel and their combinations. Later, Zhao et al. (2021) design DA-BNN which is a data-adaptive method that can generate an adaptive amplitude based on spatial and channel attention (Fig. 9).

### 3.1.2 Quantization function

Different using sign functions to do activation and weight binarization, several prior works present new methods to binary the parameters to $[-1, +1]$. DoReFa-Net (Zhou et al. 2016), UniQ (Pham et al. 2021), Quantization-Networks (Yang et al. 2019) and DSQ (Gong et al. 2019) propose the k-bit method for parameter quantization including binarization. Their 1-bit methods provide a different way to binary parameters compared to that used sign function. SI-BNN (Wang et al. 2020a) proposes and finds that binary activations to $[0, +1]$ and binary weights to $[-1, +1]$ can alleviate information loss. Rectified clamp unit (ReCU; Xu et al. 2021c) is a weights standardization method to reveal the inherent contradiction between minimizing the quantization error and maximizing the information entropy in BNN. SiMaN (Lin et al. 2022) proposed an angle alignment objective, sign-to-magnitude, to constrain the weight binarization to $\{0, +1\}$. AdaBin (Tu et al. 2022) proposed an optimal binary sets of weights and activations for each layer (Table 3).

### 3.1.3 Activations/weights distribution and others

Different to directly optimization the binarization process in the convolution layer, IR-Net (Qin et al. 2020c), BBG-Net (Shen et al. 2020), SLB (Yang et al. 2020), RBNN (Lin et al. 2020) , IA-BNN (Kim et al. 2020c) and Bi-half (Li et al. 2022) optimize and reshape and activations and weights distribution before binarization in their models. LAB2 (Hou et al. 2016) applies the proximal Newton algorithm to binary weights by directly considering

![Fig. 9 Binarization process in HadaNet](image-url)
Table 3 Summary table for quantization error minimization

| BNN name                  | Code available | Key idea                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Idea category |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| XNOR-Net (2016)           | ✔️(O,UO)       | Channel-wise scaling factor α and β for activations and weights                                                                                                                                          | ▲             |
| LAB2 (2016)               | ✔️(O)          | Minize the weight binarization loss through the proximal Newton algorithm with diagonal Hessian approximation                                                                                     | ●             |
| DoReFa-Net (2016)         | ✔️(O,UO)       | New quantization method to get binary or low bitwidth weights and activations using low bitwidth parameter gradients                                                                                   | ■             |
| HORQ (2017)               | ✗              | Order-Two Residual Quantization to alleviate information loss                                                                                                                                             | ●             |
| HadaNet (Akhaouri 2019)   | ✔️(O)          | Apply Hadamard product to binarization                                                                                                                                                                   | ▲             |
| XNOR-Net++ (2019c)        | ✗              | Several ways to construct the scale factors                                                                                                                                                            | ●             |
| Quantization Networks (2019) | ✔️(O)      | Soft quantization function: formulate quantization as a differentiable non-linear mapping function based on sigmoid                                                                                   | ■             |
| CI-BCNN (2019c)           | ✗              | Interacted to alleviate XNOR and popcount quantization error via channel-wise interaction by a reinforcement graph model                                                                               | ●             |
| DSQ (2019)                | ✔️(O)          | Soft quantization function: formulate quantization as a differentiable non-linear mapping function based on tanh                                                                                     | ■             |
| IR-Net (2020c)            | ✔️(O)          | Libra Parameter Binarization: a implicit rectifier that reshapes the data distribution before binarization                                                                                             | ●             |
| BBG-Net (2020)            | ✗              | Maximizing entropy with balanced binary weights                                                                                                                                                       | ●             |
| SI-BNN (2020a)            | ✗              | Binary activations to 0 or +1 and binary weights to −1 or +1 to remains most information                                                                                                                 | ■             |
| LNS (2020)                | ✗              | Binary weights mapping with noisy supervision                                                                                                                                                           | ●             |
| SLB (2020)                | ✗              | State batch normalization and low-bit search including binary                                                                                                                                           | ●             |
| ProxyBNN (2020)           | ✗              | Learning orthogonal matrix basis coefficients to and construct the pre-binarization weights                                                                                                            | ●             |
| RBNN (2020)               | ✔️(O)          | Rotate the full precision weight vector to its binary vector to reduce the angular bias                                                                                                                  | ●             |
| UniQ (2021)               | ✔️(O)          | Symmetric quantizer with a trainable step size                                                                                                                                                           | ●             |
| IA-BNN (2020c)            | ✗              | Unbalanced Distribution of binary activations actually improves the accuracy of BNN by shifting the trainable thresholds of binary activations                                                              | ●             |
| DA-BNN (2021)             | ✗              | Data-adaptive re-scaling                                                                                                                                                                                | ▲             |
| ReCU (2021c)              | ✔️(O)          | Weights standardization                                                                                                                                                                                 | ●             |
| Bi-half (2022)            | ✔️(O)          | Optimal Transport: optimizes the weight binarization by aligning a real-valued proxy weight distributions with an idealized distribution                                                               | ●             |
### Table 3 (continued)

| BNN name       | Code available | Key idea                                      | Idea category |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| SiMaN (2022)   | ✔️(O)          | Sign-To-Magnitude: constrain the weight binarization to \{0, +1\} |               |
| AdaBin (2022)  | ✔️(O)          | Optimal binary sets                           |               |

O: Official implementation, UO: Un-official implementation. ▲: Scaling Factor, ■: Quantization Function, ●: Activations/Weights distribution and Others
the binarization loss. HORQ (Li et al. 2017) proposes to use recursive binary quantiza-
tion to lighten information loss. CI-BCNN (Wang et al. 2019c), via learning reinforcement
graph model, mines the channel-wise interactions to iterate popcount and reduce inconsist-
ency of signs in binary feature maps and preserves the information of input samples. LNS
(Han et al. 2020) proposes to train binarization function to predict binarization weights
via supervision noise learning. ProxyBNN (He et al. 2020) constructs the pre-binarization
weights matrix using the basis and coordinates submatrix to reduce information loss after
binarization.

3.2 Loss function improvement

To close the accuracy gap from real-valued networks, How-to-Train (Tang et al. 2017),
Binarized-Convolutional (Bulat and Tzimiropoulos 2017), BNN-RBNT (Darabi et al.
2018), PCNN (Gu et al. 2019a), BNN-DL (Ding et al. 2019), CCNN (Xu and Cheung
2019), BONN (Gu et al. 2019b), RBNN (Lin et al. 2020) and LCR (Shang et al. 2022)
propose adding distribution loss or special regularization to the overall loss function. Their
basic types can be expressed as :

\[ L_T = L_S + \lambda L_{DR}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)  

where \( L_T \) is total loss, \( L_S \) is a cross-entropy loss, \( L_{DR} \) is the added special distribution loss
or regularization and \( \lambda \) is a balancing hyper-parameter. LNS (Han et al. 2020), Real-to-Bin
(Martinez et al. 2020) and ReActNet (Liu et al. 2020c) proposes special loss functions for
servicing transfer learning strategy. Table 4 is a summary table for BNN that proposed or
used techniques for BNN loss function.

3.3 Gradient approximation

As the derivative result of sign function equals to zero, it leads weights fail to get updated
in the back-propagation. STE is one available method to approximate sign gradients. How-
ever, using STE fails to learn weights near the borders of \(-1\) and \(+1\), that greatly harms
the updating ability of back propagation. GB-Net (Sakr et al. 2018) uses true gradient-
based learning to train BNN with parametrized clipping functions (PCF) and replace PCF
by scaled binary activation function (SBAF) to obtain final BNN interface. BNN-RBNT
(Darabi et al. 2018) proposes a backward approximation based on the sigmoid function.
Bi-Real-Net (Liu et al. 2018) proposed a polynomial steps function to approximate for-
ward sign function. CCNN (Xu and Cheung 2019) introduces the derivation estimator to
approximate their binarization function. CBCN (Liu et al. 2019b) designs the gradient
approximation based on Gaussian functions. Although the authors of CBCN presented
the function’s characteristics and displayed the function graph, we don’t know the detailed
implementation for their function and in their open source code, we find they in effect use
Bi-Real-Net’s method for gradient approximation. IR-Net (Qin et al. 2020c) and RBNN
(Lin et al. 2020) separately design a dynamic gradient estimator which can adjust the gra-
dient approximation during the training process. SI-BNN (Wang et al. 2020a) designs their
gradient estimator with two trainable parameters on the top of STE. BinaryDuo (Kim et al.
2020b) Quantitatively analyzed the differentiable approximation function and proposed to
use the gradient of smoothed loss function to estimate the gradient. FDA (Xu et al. 2021b)
proposed a method that uses the combination of sine functions in the Fourier frequency
| BNN name             | Code available | Key idea                                                                 | Idea category |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| How to Train (2017)  | ✗              | New regularization to replace L2 regularization (Euclidean)              | ▲             |
| Binarized Convolutional (2017) | ✔ (O)         | Sigmoid cross-entropy pixel-wise loss function                           | ▲             |
| BNN-RBNT (2018)      | ✗              | Add regularization functions (Manhattan or Euclidean) to the overall loss function | ▲             |
| PCNN (2019)          | ✔ (O)          | Add projection loss to jointly learned with the conventional cross-entropy loss | ▲             |
| BNN-DL (2019)        | ✔ (O)          | Add distribution loss to the overall loss function                       | ▲             |
| CCNN (2019)          | ✗              | L2 regularization term acting on the weight scaling factors             | ▲             |
| BONN (2019)          | ✔ (O)          | Add Bayesian kernel loss and Bayesian feature loss to the overall loss function | ▲             |
| RBNN (2020)          | ✗              | Add kernel approximation and adversarial learning loss to the overall loss function | ▲             |
| LNS (2020)           | ✗              | Unbiased auxiliary loss for binary weights mapping                       | ■             |
| Real-to-Bin (2020)   | ✔ (UO)         | Standard logit matching loss for attention transfer between BNN and real-valued networks | ■             |
| ReActNet (2020c)     | ✔ (O)          | Distributional Loss to learn similar between BNN and real-valued networks | ■             |
| LCR (2022)           | ✔ (O)          | Retain the Lipschitz constant serving as a regularization term           | ▲             |

O: Official implementation, UO: Un-official implementation, ▲: Non-transferring Learning, ■: Transferring Learning
| BNN name       | Code available | Key idea                                                                 | Shape number |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| GB-Net (2018) | ✗              | Parametrized clipping functions (PCF), scaled binary activation function (SBAF) | 3            |
| BNN-RBNT (2018)| ✗              | SignSwish: a Gradient Approximation based on sigmoid function             | 4            |
| Bi-Real-Net (2018) | ✔️ (O, UO)     | Tight approximation to the derivative of sign function with respect to activations, magnitude-aware gradient with respect to weights | 5            |
| CCNN (2019)   | ✗              | Long-tailed higher-order estimator                                        | 6            |
| CBCN (2019b)  | ✔️ (O)         | Gaussian function as the approximation of the gradient                   | –            |
| IR-Net (2020c)| ✔️ (O)         | Error Decay Estimator: a training-aware Gradient Approximation function based on tanh function | 7            |
| SI-BNN (2020a)| ✗              | Trainable thresholds into backward propagation                            | 8            |
| RBNN (2020)   | ✔️ (O)         | Training-aware Gradient Approximation function based on sign function      | 9            |
| BinaryDuo (2020b) | ✔️ (O)     | Quantitatively estimate the gradient mismatch using cosine similarity applying CDG | –            |
| FDA (2021b)   | ✔️ (O)         | Decomposing sign with Fourier Series                                     | –            |

O: Official implementation, UO: Un-official implementation. Number: shape plots in Figs. 10, 11, and 12, – means none.
domain to estimate the gradient of sign functions. Table 5 is a summary table for BNN that proposes techniques for gradient approximation (Figs. 10, 11, 12).

### 3.4 Network topology structure

Network architectures can affect BNN performance. ABC-Net (Lin et al. 2017), CBCN (Liu et al. 2019b), Bi-Real-Net (Liu et al. 2018), WPRN (Mishra et al. 2017), GroupNet (Zhuang et al. 2019), BBG-Net (Shen et al. 2020) and Real-to-Bin (Martinez et al. 2020) propose to modified classical network (e.g. ResNet) to improve accuracy performance. BENN (Zhu et al. 2019) proposes to leverage ensemble BNN to improve prediction performance. BinaryDenseNet (Bethge et al. 2019) proposes methods and constructs customized BNN special dense network to improve accuracy performance against similar model size BNN. Search Accurate (Shen et al. 2019) and DMS (Li et al. 2020), via designing search algorithm, adjust the number of channel to close the accuracy gap compared to full-precision network. BATS (Bulat et al. 2020a), BNAS (Kim et al. 2020a), NASB (Zhu et al. 2020) and High-Capacity-Expert (Bulat et al. 2020b), through designed NAS methods, search architectures for BNN to compare accuracy performance with other BNNs which have similar model sizes and binarized from classic network (e.g. ResNet). Especially, High-Capacity-Expert (Bulat et al. 2020b) first applied condition computing within BNN called expert convolution and combined it with grouped convolution. Inspired
Fig. 11 Shapes of sign or approx-sign functions and their derivatives continue Fig. 10
by MobileNet-v1, MoBiNet-Mid (Phan et al. 2020a) and Binarized MobileNet (Phan et al. 2020b) propose new BNN architecture with high accuracy performance, fewer ops and lighter model size. MeliusNet (Bethge et al. 2020a) and ReActNet (Liu et al. 2020c) designs new BNN architectures which can beat the accuracy rate of full-precision lightweight MobileNet with fewer OPs computation cost. Inspired by BN-free (Brock et al. 2021), BNN-BN-free (Chen et al. 2021) replaces the batch normalization (BatchNorm) with scaling factor and the ReActNet without BatchNorm still has competitive classification top-1 accuracy on the ImageNet dataset. FracBNN (Zhang et al. 2021b) extends ReActNet’s topology, re-balances the blocks of networks and designs a two 1-bit activation scheme to improve feature learning. FracBNN has a competitive top-1 prediction result on the ImageNet dataset compared to full precision MobileNet-v2. BCNN (Redfern et al. 2021) designs a customized structure for ImageNet classification with lower model size compared to MeliusNet and ReActNet. BiMLP (Xu et al. 2022) proposes a binary architecture of vision Multi-Layer Perceptrons (Fig. 13) (Table 6).

### 3.5 Training strategy and tricks

Different training scheme and tricks can also affect final accuracy of BNN. SQ-BWN (Dong et al. 2017) applied Stochastic Quantization (SQ) algorithm to gradually train and
| BNN name               | Code available | Key idea                                                                 | Idea category |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| ABC-Net (2017)        | ✔ (UO)        | Employ multiple binary activations and linear combination of multiple binary weight to alleviate single channel information loss | ★, ▲        |
| WPRN (2017)           | ✗             | Increase the number of filters to compensate for information loss          | ★, ◀        |
| Bi-Real-Net (2018)    | ✔ (O, UO)     | Shortcut for one layer per block                                           | ★, ▲        |
| CBCN (2019b)          | ✔ (O)         | Circulant filters (CiFs) and circulant binary convolution (CBConv) to enhance the capacity of binarized convolutional features | ★, ◀        |
| Group-Net (2019)      | ✔ (O)         | Group binarization base block to approximate full precision network       | ★, ▲        |
| BENN (2019)           | ✔ (O)         | Use ensemble variant bagging to aggregate multiple BNN results            | ★, ▼        |
| BinaryDenseNet (2019) | ✔ (O)         | New BNN efficiency architecture: BinaryDenseNet                           | ★, ▼        |
| Search Accurate (2019)| ✗             | Evolutionary algorithm to search and adjust the number of channels in each convolutional layer after binarization | ○, ■        |
| DMS (2020)            | ✔ (O)         | Differentiable dimension search algorithm to search and adjust the number of channels in each convolutional layer after binarization | ○, ■        |
| BATS (2020a)          | ✗             | Space specially BNN architectures search                                  | ○, ■        |
| BNAS (2020a)          | ✔ (O)         | BNN architectures searches based on the cell based on search methods      | ○, ■        |
| NASB (2020)           | ✗             | Neural Architecture Search algorithm for optimal BNN architecture         | ○, ■        |
| BBG-Net (2020)        | ✗             | Reconstructing information flow with gated residual                       | ★, ▲        |
| Real-to-Bin (2020)    | ✔ (UO)        | Data-driven channel re-scaling gated residual                            | ★, ▲        |
| MoBiNet-Mid (2020a)   | ✗             | MoBiNet: a lightweight module binarization with the support of skip connection, the three block designs and the K-dependency | ○, ◆        |
| MeliusNet (2020)      | ✔ (O)         | DenseBlock: increases the feature capacity, Improvement Block: increases the feature quality | ○, ◆        |
| Binarized MobileNet (2020b) | ✗         | Evolutionary search to explore group structures when either using depth-wise or fully convolutional layers in MobileNet | ○, ◆        |
| High-Capacity-Expert (2020b) | ✔ (O)      | Condition computing(exerts convolution) grouped convolution and NAS strategy | ○, ◆        |
| ReActNet (2020c)      | ✔ (O)         | ReAct-Sign and ReAct-PReLU to reshape and shift the activation distributions | ○, ◆        |
| FracBNN (2021b)       | ✔ (O)         | Design a dual-precision activation scheme to compute features             | ○, ◆        |
| BCNN (2021)           | ✗             | Design a network for ImageNet classification with lower model size         | ○, ◆        |
| BNN-BN-free (2021)    | ✔ (O)         | Replace batch normalization with scaling factors                          | ○, ★, ▼    |
| BNN name       | Code available | Key idea                                                                 | Idea category |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| DyBNN (2022)  | ✔ (O)          | DySign and DyPReLU to reshape and shift the channel-wise activation distributions | ●, ✧         |
| DyBNN (2022)  | ✔ (O)          | DySign and DyPReLU to reshape and shift the activation distributions      | ●, ✧         |
| BiMLP (2022)  | ✔ (O)          | Binary vision Multi-Layer Perceptrons                                   | ●, ▽         |

O: Official implementation, UO: Un-official implementation, ★: Modification on classical CNN model, ●: Customized Network Structure, ▲: Increasing shortcut/residual gate, ◄: Increasing filters, ▶: Increasing number of channels, ▼: other, ▪: Search algorithms to optimize BNN, ◆: aims to beat real value light-weight network.
quantize BNN to compensate the quantization error. Bi-Real-Net (Liu et al. 2018) initiated trainable parameters based on pre-train real value network and replaced RELU activation function with Htanh function. How to Train (Tang et al. 2017) replaced the RELU activation function with PReLU function and explored that learning rate can have an influence on the accuracy of the final trained BNN. Empirical Study (Alizadeh et al. 2018) explored the impact of pooling, optimizer and learning rate initialization for training BNN. Bop (Helwegen et al. 2019) and UniQ (Pham et al. 2021) separately proposed new optimizer for their BNN training. Main/Subsidiary (Xu et al. 2019) proposed filter pruning for BNN. Also, inspired by The Lottery Ticket Hypothesis (Frankle and Carbin 2018), MPT (Diffenderfer and Kailkhura 2021) designed a scheme to learn highly accurate BNN simply by pruning and quantizing randomly weighted full precision CNN. CI-BCNN (Wang et al. 2019c) simultaneously trained reinforcement graph model and BNN to alleviate binarization inconsistency, their training scheme is similar to RBNN (Lin et al. 2020) which applied generative adversarial network (GAN) to train BNN. Real-to-Bin (Martinez et al. 2020) designed a two-step training strategy that applied transfer teaching method to train BNN through learning real value pre-train network. Utilizing Real-to-Bin’s training strategy, some BNN works finally trained a high accuracy model such as ReActNet (Liu et al. 2020c), High-Capacity-Expert (Bulat et al. 2020b) and BCNN (Redfern et al. 2021). Extend based on Real-to-Bin’s training strategy, BNN-Adam (Liu et al. 2021) investigates and designs a new training scheme based on Adam optimizer and can successfully improve Real-to-Bin and ReActNet’s trained performance. BinaryDuo (Kim et al. 2020b) proposed a two-stage training scheme to decouple a ternary-activation network into a two-binary-activation BNN network. MD-tanh-s (Ajanthan et al. 2021) applied mirror descent to optimize BNN optimizer. Instead of training BNN on conventional hardware such as GPU and TPU, BNN-EP (Laydevant et al. 2021) and BNN-Edge (Wang et al. 2021a) explores to directly train BNN on the chip and Edge. BNN-EP (Laydevant et al. 2021) proposes to use Equilibrium Propagation (EP) to train BNN and finds its possibility of training on-chip BNNs with compact circuitry. BNN-Edge (2021a) designs a low-memory and low-energy training scheme by modifying the forward propagation and back propagation including binarizing weight gradients, changing batch normalization layer and using low-precision floating-point data. BNN-stochastic (2021a) proposes a transfer training and initialization scheme for BNN using the stochastic relaxation approach and improves the accuracy on the small-scale CIFAR-10 dataset. Sub-bit Neural Networks (SNNs) (2021c) proposed a new method to further compress and accelerate BNN in FPGA based on the observation of the binary kernels in BNN. Table 7 are a summary table for BNN that proposed techniques for training strategy and tricks.

3.6 Summary

In this section, we put BNN enhancement methods into five categories: (1) quantization error minimization, (2) loss function improvement, (3) gradient approximation, (4) network topology structure, and (5) training strategy and tricks. With the development of BNN optimization, we notice that just unitizing one enhancement method is hard to improve BNN’s accuracy performance. We understand some problems are still unsolved.

How does each binarization layer affect the entire BNN performance? Understanding the degree of information loss from each binarization layer can promote the production of layer-wise optimization methods. Besides, OPs are becoming an equally important performance indicator as well as accuracy rate. To design BNN with high accuracy and lower
Table 7  Summary table for training strategy and tricks

| BNN name                  | Code available | Key idea                                                                 | Idea category |
|---------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| SQ-BWN (2017)             | ✔(O)           | Stochastic quantization (SQ) algorithm for training                      |               |
| Bi-Real-Net (2018)        | ✔(O, UO)       | Initialization: replace ReLU with clip (−1, x, 1) to pre-train the real-valued CNN model | ●, ▲          |
| How to Train (2017)       | ×              | Low learning rate better, use PReLU, scale layer, multiple activation  |               |
| Empirical Study (2018)    | ✔(O)           | Identify the essential techniques required for optimisation of BNN       | ▲             |
| BoP (2019)                | ✔(O)           | BoP: a Latent-Free Optimizer designed specifically for BNN              | ▲             |
| Main/Subsidiary (2019)    | ✔(O)           | BNN filter-level pruning                                               | ▲             |
| CI-BCNN (2019c)           | ▲              | Train BNN and reinforcement graph model simultaneously to alleviate binarization inconsistency | ●             |
| RBNN (2020)               | ×              | Use generative adversarial network to train                             | ●             |
| Real-to-Bin (2020)        | ✔(UO)          | Two-step training strategy: spatial attention transfer computed from a teacher real-valued network to the binary network | ●             |
| BinaryDuo (2020b)         | ✔(O)           | Decouple ternary activation to two binary activations                   | ●             |
| ReActNet (2020c)          | ✔(O)           | Adopt two-step training strategy from Real-to-Bin                      | ●             |
| High-Capacity-Expert (2020b) | ✔(O)       | Adopt and improve two-step training strategy from Real-to-Bin         | ●             |
| MD-tanh-s (2021)          | ✔(O)           | Apply mirror descent to BNN                                            | ▲             |
| UniQ (2021)               | ✔(O)           | Special optimizer and warm-up strategy for binary training with symmetric quantizer | ●, ▲          |
| BCNN (2021)               | ×              | Adopt two-step training strategy from Real-to-Bin                      | ●             |
| MPT (2021)                | ✔(O)           | Multi-Prize Lottery Ticket Hypothesis                                   | ▲             |
| BNN-stochastic (2021b)    | ×              | Initialization and Transfer Learning stochastic BNN                    | ●             |
| BNN-Edge (2021a)          | ✔(O)           | Low-memory and low-energy training                                       | ●             |
| BNN-EP (2021)             | ✔(O)           | Equilibrium Propagation for training BNN                               | ●             |
| BNN-Adam (2021)           | ✔(O)           | Adam-based optimizers investigation                                     | ●, ▲          |
| SNNs (2021c)              | ✔(O)           | Further compress BNN                                                   | ●, ▲          |

O: Official implementation, UO: Un-official implementation. ●: Train strategy. ▲: tricks/activations/optimizer/learning rate/pruning
operations per second (OPs) simultaneously becomes critical. How to effectively speed up BNN training time? Although BNN has faster inference speed and lighter weight size in resource-limited devices, training BNN still has to be done on conventional devices such as GPU and takes expansive computing cost and a long time. There are a few published works that can reduce memory and energy usage. But we still cannot find any breakthrough to significantly reduce BNN training time. How to effectively speed up BNN training time is still an open problem.

For a given BNN application, which training strategy and tricks should be used? There are many different published works that report their proposed training strategies and tricks that can improve trained models’ accuracy performance. However, all the benchmark results were tested based on the designed BNN structure and specific datasets such as CIFAR-10 or ImageNet. We are not sure if a similar improvement effect could be achieved in different datasets and BNN variants. It is necessary to do a survey research study to compare the difference among proposed training strategies and tricks.

4 Open source frameworks of binary neural network

4.1 Open source frameworks of BNN introductions

BNN has the ability to decrease the memory consumption and computational complexity. However, most published implementations of BNN do not really store their weight parameters in the binary format and cannot use XNOR and popcount to perform binary matrix multiplications in convolutions and fully connected layers. The reason is that DL models are directly implemented by python frameworks such as TensorFlow (Abadi et al. 2016) and PyTorch (Paszke et al. 2019), but python cannot store the data in binary form and does bit type data operations like C/C++ language. In the literature, there are several published available open-source BNN inference framework that can make the BNN’ models achieve the actual BNN performance. This section introduces and reviews the published BNN inference frameworks BMXNet (Yang et al. 2017), BMXNet2 (Bethge et al. 2020b), daBNN (Zhang et al. 2019), Riptide (Fromm et al. 2020), FINN (Blott et al. 2018) and Larq (Bannink et al. 2021).

BMXNet is an Apache-licensed open-source BNN library framework. It is written based on MXNet (Chen et al. 2015) which is a high-performance and modular DL library. Depends on custom MXNet operators such as QActivation, QConvolution and QFullyConnected, BMXNet is able to support quantization and binarization of input data and weights. BMXNet can store the weights of convolutional and fully connected layers in their binarized format and perform matrix multiplication using bit-wise operations (XNOR and popcount). The BMXNet library, several sample code and a collection of pre-trained binary deep models are available at https://github.com/hpi-+xnor.

daBNN is a BSD-licensed open-source BNN inference framework highly optimized for ARM-based devices. daBNN designs an upgraded bit-packing scheme to pack multiple elements simultaneously, which reports that the speed of naive sequential method by about 4 times. In additions, daBNN proposes a new binary direct convolution to squeeze the cost of extra instructions in binary convolution, and creates a new novel memory layout to reduce memory access. daBNN is implemented in C++ and ARM assembly. Also, daBNN provides Java and Android package. Compared to BMXNet, daBNN is constructed based on standard ONNX (xxxx 2019) operators (Sign and Convolution) to ensure interoperability.
daBNN can convert PyTorch float-points models to BNN models. daBNN reports that their converted BNN model’s performance is 7–23 times faster on a single binary convolution than BMXNet. daBNN’s source code, sample projects and pre-trained models are available on-line: https://github.com/JDAI-CV/dabnn.

BMXNet2 is an Apache-licensed open-source BNN framework, implemented based on BMXNet framework. Compared to the original BMXNet framework, BMXNet2 reuses more of the original MXNet operators and only adds three new functions in the C++ backend: the sign, round with STE and gradcancel operator. BMXNet2 can easily have minimal changes to C++ code to get better maintainability with future versions of MXNet. Besides, in the original BMXNet, the code for optimized inference was mixed with the training code. In BMXNet2, the two parts’ code is separately implemented, which can further simplify debugging and unit testing. The BMXNet2 source code and demos are available at https://github.com/hipi-xnor/BMXNet-v2.

Riptide is a private licensed open-source BNN framework. It is built on the top of TensorFlow and TVM (Chen et al. 2018), to service for BNN training and deployment. TVM is an open source DL compiler framework for diverse hardware environments including CPUs, GPUs, and DL accelerators. TVM can automatically deliver the optimized kernels for DL models on a special hardware platform. Depended on TVM, Riptide designs and develops new customs functions to support the optimized kernels generation for BNN. And Riptide proposes a new solution to completely remove floating-point arithmetic in the intermediate ‘glue’ layers (weight scaling, batch normalisation, and binary re-quantization) between pairs of binarized convolutions. Riptide reports their BNN models performance can achieve 4–12 times speed-up compared to a floating-point implementation. The Riptide source code and library are available at https://github.com/jwfromm/Riptide.

FINN is a BSD-3-Clause License framework developed and maintained by Xilinx Research Labs. It services Xilinx’s series of FPGA boards. The framework can support model’s development, training, format conversion and embed in boards for both low-bit networks and 1-bit BNN. FINN has three components where are (1) Brevitas: a PyTorch library for model develop and training; (2) FINN compiler: model format transformation and compiled; and (3) PYNQ: a python package to connect transformed model with Xilinx board. The FINN source code, library and demos are available at https://github.com/Xilinx/FINN.

Larq is an Apache-licensed open-source BNN framework. It is built on the top of TensorFlow and TensorFlow Lite, and servicing for BNN model deployment, training and conversion. Larq contains two parts: Larq library and Larq Compute Engine (LCE). Larq library includes BNN quantization functions which extends TensorFlow. LCE contains a TensorFlow model graph converter and highly optimized implementations of binary operations and accelerations. Larq reports that it is the art-of-the-state fastest BNN framework over the existing inference frameworks. The Larq source code, library and demos are available at https://github.com/larq/larq.

4.2 BNN library for CPUs and GPUs

Exclude the discussed open source frameworks for BNN, there are a few of published research works that focus on BNN Library on CPUs and GPUs. Espresso (Pedersoli et al. 2017) is a library written in C/CUDA that does bit type data operations and bitwise operations required for the forward propagation of BNN on CPUs and GPUs. BitFlow (Hu et al. 2018b) is the first implemented framework for exploiting computing power of BNNs on
CPU. BSTC (Li et al. 2019a) and O3BNN (Geng et al. 2020) are architecture design strategies for BNN on FPGAs, CPUs, and GPUs. Phonebit (Chen et al. 2020) is a GPU-accelerated BNN inference engine for Android-based mobile devices.

### 4.3 Summary

When native BNN was published and taking full advantage of bits, users had to re-implement the model in a low-level programming language such as C/C++ to embed the BNN model on tiny devices such as FPGA or mobile phones. Such an engineering task is not convenient for un-professional software developers. With the rapid development of BNN open-source platforms, we can easily transform the trained BNN model to the related inference format on tiny devices without worrying about professional engineering works.

However, as Table 8 shows, only two BNN frameworks, FINN and Larq, are actively maintained. BMXNet, daBNN, BMXNet2, and Riptide have stopped version updating. Unfortunately, Larq only supports TensorFlow based models, and FINN exclusively services Xilinx’s FPGA boards. There are no other options for developers who prefer to use different libraries such as PyTorch to design and develop BNN systems. Besides, to fully unlock BNN model benefits on GPUs, researchers and developers have to re-implement the BNN models with C/C++ and CUDA. How to create and maintain the cross-platform open-source framework that can efficiently import any library-based BNN models, like NCNN (Tencent, 2017), is a new exciting research problem and opportunity.

### 5 Limit-resource hardware architecture

Currently, in many real-world applications such as robotics, wearable devices and even self-driving car, recognition vision tasks need to be carried out in a timely fashion on a computationally limited platform. Instead of applying complex and heavy-weight neural network in expensive hardware such as graphics processing unit (GPU), it is a trend to use the resource constraint hardware to embed with efficiency trained models. In this section, limit-resource hardware is briefly introduced and discussed. Among the various kinds of efficiency devices, field programmable gate array (FPGA) is one of the most popular circuit architectures. Because the dominant computations on FPGA are bitwise logic operations and FPGA’s memory requirements are greatly reduced, FPGA is well suited for BNN. Figure 14 is an example of an FPGA logic cell. To make this figure and the rest of this section easy to understand, we first define the terms and units used in this section.

| Framework | Model format base | Model training | Maintenance |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
| BMXNet    | MXNet             | MXNet          | Until Nov 18, 2019 |
| BMXNet2   | MXNet and BMXNet  | MXNet          | Until Jul 2, 2020 |
| daBNN     | ONNX              | PyTorch        | Until Nov 11, 2019 |
| Riptide   | TensorFlow and TVM| TensorFlow     | Until May 13, 2020 |
| FINN      | ONNX              | Brevitas (PyTorch Modification) | Present – |
| Larq      | TensorFlow and TensorFlow Lite | TensorFlow | Present – |
**FPGA**: an abbreviation for field programmable gate array. FPGA is an integrated circuit that enable users to program for different algorithms after manufacturing.

**LUT**: an abbreviation for Look-Up Table. FPGA uses it to do boolean algebra such as XNOR, AND, OR, etc. The LUT can be programmed by the designer to execute a boolean algebra equation.

**FA**: an abbreviation for Full Adder. FA is central to most digital circuits that perform addition or subtraction.

**DFF**: an abbreviation for D flip-flop. DFF is also known as a “data” or “delay” flip-flop, which is used for the storage of state. One DFF keeps a single bit (binary digit) of data; one of its two states represents a “one” and the other represents a “zero”.

**MUX**: an abbreviation for multiplexer, that selects a single input among input set to output.

**BRAM**: an abbreviation for Block Random Access Memory. BRAM is also known as “Block RAMs” Block RAMs are used for storing large amounts of data in FPGA.

**clk**: an abbreviation for clock. clk is a signal inside any digital circuit which presents how the performance a flip flop (or a group of flip flops) works. On the FPGA platform, the faster the clock, the faster the designed function will run.

**DSP slices**: (digital signal processing) DSP slices form the basis of a versatile, coarse grain DSP architecture in Xilinx FPGA, that can enable efficiently add powerful FPGA-based DSP functionality.

**FPS**: an abbreviation for Frames Per Second. FPS measures the frame rate that evaluates one trained model’s inference speed.

**ASIC**: an abbreviation for Application Specific Integrated Circuit. Different from FPGA, it does not allow users to reprogram or modify after fabrication.
SBC: an abbreviation for Single-Board Computer. SBC is a complete computer built on a single circuit board such as Raspberry Pi. It contains microprocessor(s), memory, input/output (I/O) and other features required of a functional computer.

ARM-processor: stand for one kinds of CPU based on reduced instruction set computing (RISC) architectures. It is widely used in mobile machine and efficiency platform.

SoC: an abbreviation for System-on-a-Chip. SoC comprises of various functional units on a single silicon chip.

SoC FPGA: SoC FPGA devices integrate both processor and FPGA architectures into a single device such as Xilinx family’s FPGA development board.

Figure 15 shows some representative resource-constrained SBC platforms based on FPGA and ARM processors. To testing if one neural network has a good efficiency performance on the resource-limited platform, there are common efficiency indicators including inference memory usage and inference speed such as FPS or latency time. In a FPGA platform, the number of measured DSP, LUT, BRAM and powers can also explain one trained model’s efficiency performance. In recent years, there are some works that report efficiency performance testing for BNN in above platforms. The performance results based on the special dataset are listed in the tables for reference in the next section.

6 Binary neural network applications

6.1 Image classification

Image classification is the basic benchmark testing task to evaluate BNN’ performance. The following tables show the summary of a variety of BNN’ performance on common benchmark image datasets including CIFAR-10 (Krizhevsky 2009) and ILSVRC12 ImageNet (Russakovsky et al. 2015). For each BNN model result, we contain the published years, BNN name, topology and accuracy. In particular for ImageNet results, we also contain the BOPs, FLOPs and OPs results (OPs = FLOPs + 1/64 * BOPs Bethge et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2018) which are equally important to represent the BNN efficiency performance compared to accuracy results. For BNN, FLOPs is for the floating-point operations in the networks, excepting the operation calculated in BOPs which is bitwise. For
| BNN          | Device       | FPS    | Acc (%) | Bits (W/A) | $F_{\text{max}}$ (MHz) | Power (W) | DSP | BRAM | LUT  |
|-------------|--------------|--------|---------|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----|------|------|
| Acce-BNN (2017) | Zynq 7Z020   | 168.4  | 87.73   | 88.8$^1$  | 1/1                    | 143       | 4.7 | 3    | 94   | 46900 |
| FC-BNN (2017)  | Zynq 7ZC020  | 420    | 81.8    | 1/1        | 143                    | 2.3       | 1   | 32   | 14509 |
| FO-BNN (2018)  | Zynq 7ZC020  | 930    | 86      | 1/1        | 143                    | 2.4       | 53  | 135  | 23436 |
| FP-BNN (2018)  | Stratix-V    | 7692.3 | 86.31   | 1/1        | 150                    | 26.2      | 20  | 2210 | 219010 |
| ReBNet (2018)  | Zynq ZC702   | 2000   | 86.98   | 1/1        | 200                    | –         | –   | –    | –    |
| FBNA (2018)    | Zynq ZC702   | 520.8  | 88.61   | –          | –                      | 3.3       | –   | 103  | 29600 |
| FracBNN (2021b) | Zynq ZU3EG   | 2806.9 | 89.1    | 1/1.4$^2$  | 250                    | 4.1       | 126 | 212  | 51444 |

$^1$Support materials from its code GitHub page, $^2$1.4 bit based on the analysis of quantized activations, convolution still executes 1W/1A operations.
## Table 10  BNN Accuracy comparisons on CIFAR-10

**Full Precision Base CNN**

| CNN Name                      | Acc (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| VGG-Small (2018)              | 93.8    |
| VGG-11 (2019)                 | 83.8    |
| NIN (2019)                    | 84.2    |
| ResNet-18 (2020d)             | 93.0    |
| ResNet-20 (2020d)             | 91.7    |
| WRN-22 (2016)                 | 92.62   |
| WRN-22 (4 × Kernel Stage)\(^1\) (2016) | 95.75   |

**BNN Accuracy Performance**

| BNN name          | Topology                        | Acc (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| BNN (2016)        | VGG-Small                       | 87.13   |
| XNOR-Net (2016)   | VGG-Small                       | 87.38   |
|                   | WRN-22 (2019)                   | 81.90   |
|                   | WRN-22 (4 × Kernel Stage)\(^1\) | 88.52   |
|                   | ResNet-18 (2021)                | 90.21   |
| LAB2 (2016)       | VGG-Small                       | 87.72   |
| DoReFa-Net (2016) | ResNet-20                       | 79.3 (2016) |
| HORQ (2017)       | Customized                      | 82.0 (2016) |
| GB-Net (2018)     | Customized                      | 89.59   |
| Bi-Real-Net (2018)| ResNet-18\(^{**2}\)             | 89.12 (2021) |
| HadaNet (2019)    | Customized (\(\beta_w = 4, \beta_a = 4\)) | 88.64   |
|                   | NIN (\(\beta_w = 4, \beta_a = 4\)) | 87.33   |
|                   | Customized (\(\beta_w = 16, \beta_a = 2\)) | 89.02   |
|                   | NIN (\(\beta_w = 16, \beta_a = 2\)) | 88.74   |
### Table 10 (continued)

| BNN name          | Topology                        | Acc (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| PCNN (2019)       | WRN-22                          | 89.17 (J = 1)<sup>3</sup> |
|                   | WRN-22                          | 91.27 (J = 2)<sup>3</sup> |
|                   | WRN-22                          | 92.79 (J = 4)<sup>3</sup> |
|                   | WRN-22 (4 × Kernel Stage)<sup>1</sup> | 94.31 (J = 1)<sup>3</sup> |
|                   | WRN-22 (4 × Kernel Stage)<sup>1</sup> | 95.39 (J = 4)<sup>3</sup> |
| BONN (2019)       | WRN-22                          | 87.34   |
|                   | WRN-22 (4 × Kernel Stage)<sup>1</sup> | 92.36   |
| CBCN (2019b)      | Customized ResNet-18 (4W, 4A)<sup>4</sup> | 90.22   |
| RBNN (2020)       | WRN-22 (4 × Kernel Stage)<sup>1</sup> | 93.28   |
| BNN-DL (2019)     | VGG-Small                       | 89.90   |
|                   | ResNet-18                       | 90.47   |
| CCNN (2019)       | VGG-Small                       | 92.3    |
| CI-BCNN (2019c)   | VGG-Small                       | 92.47   |
|                   | ResNet-20                       | 91.10   |
| Main/Subsidiary (2019) | NIN                      | 83.11   |
|                   | VGG-11                          | 81.97   |
|                   | ResNet-18                       | 86.39   |
| DSQ (2019)        | VGG-Small                       | 91.72   |
|                   | ResNet-20                       | 84.11   |
| Search Accurate (2019) | Customized VGG            | 92.17   |
|                   | Customized VGG                 | 93.06   |
| BNN name       | Topology               | Acc (%) |
|---------------|------------------------|---------|
| BBG-Net (2020)| ResNet-20              | 85.34   |
|               | ResNet-20 (2W,2A)      | 90.71   |
|               | ResNet-20 (4W,4A)      | 92.46   |
| SI-BNN (2020a)| VGG-Small              | 90.2    |
| IR-Net (2020c)| VGG-Small              | 90.4    |
|               | ResNet-18              | 91.5    |
|               | ResNet-20              | 85.4    |
|               | ResNet-20++            | 86.5    |
| SLB (2020)    | VGG-Small              | 92.0    |
|               | ResNet-20              | 85.5    |
| RBNN (2020)   | VGG-Small              | 91.3    |
|               | ResNet-18              | 92.2    |
|               | ResNet-20              | 86.5    |
|               | ResNet-20++            | 87.8    |
| DMS (2020)    | Customized VGG-11 (DMS-A) | 84.16 |
|               | Customized VGG-11 (DMS-B) | 89.10 |
|               | Customized ResNet-18 (DMS-A) | 89.52 |
|               | Customized ResNet-18 (DMS-B) | 92.70 |
| BNAS (2020a)  | Customized ResNet-18   | 92.70   |
|               | Customized ResNet-34   | 93.76   |
| BATS (2020a)  | Customized             | 96.1    |
| BNN name              | Topology                                                                 | Acc (%) | Reference    |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|
| ReActNet (2020c)     | ReActNet-A (Customized\textsuperscript{\textdagger} MobileNet-v1)        | 82.95   | (2021)       |
|                      | Customized ResNet-20                                                      | 85.8    | (2021b)      |
|                      | ReActNet-18 (Customized ResNet-18)                                        | 92.31   | (2021)       |
| FracBNN (2021b)      | Customized ResNet-20                                                      | 87.2    |              |
| MPT (2021)           | VGG-Small (75% weights pruned)                                            | 88.52   |              |
|                      | VGG-Small+BN\textsuperscript{\textdagger} (75% weights pruned)          | 91.9    |              |
| BNN-BN-free (2021)   | XNOR (Based on ResNet-18)                                                 | 79.67   |              |
|                      | Bi-Real-Net (Based on ResNet-18\textsuperscript{\textdagger\textdagger}) | 79.59   |              |
|                      | ReActNet-18 (Customized ResNet-18)                                        | 92.08   |              |
|                      | ReActNet-A (Customized MobileNet-v1)                                      | 83.91   |              |
| LCR (2022)           | ResNet-18                                                                 | 91.8    |              |
|                      | ResNet-20                                                                 | 86.0    |              |
|                      | Bi-Real-Net (Based on ResNet-18\textsuperscript{\textdagger\textdagger}) | 87.2    |              |
| ReCU (2021c)         | VGG-Small                                                                  | 92.2    |              |
|                      | ResNet-18                                                                 | 92.8    |              |
|                      | ResNet-20                                                                 | 87.4    |              |
| FDA (2021b)          | VGG-Small                                                                  | 92.54   |              |
|                      | ResNet-20                                                                 | 86.2    |              |
| SiMaN\textsuperscript{7} (2022) | VGG-Small                                                              | 92.5    |              |
|                      | ResNet-18                                                                 | 92.5    |              |
|                      | ResNet-20                                                                 | 87.4    |              |
| BNN name         | Topology       | Acc (%) |
|------------------|----------------|---------|
| DIR-Net\(^8\) (2022d) | VGG-Small      | 91.1    |
|                  | ResNet-18      | 92.8    |
|                  | ResNet-20      | 89.0    |
| AdaBin (2022)    | VGG-Small      | 92.3    |
|                  | ResNet-18      | 93.1    |
|                  | ResNet-20      | 88.2    |

\(^1\)WRN-22 (Zagoruyko and Komodakis 2016) original kernel stage is 16–16–32–64, \(^2\)ResNet*: Variant ResNet (Liu et al. 2018), \(^3\)J is total projection number, \(^4\)number of Activation and Weights, \(^5\)OPs similar, \(^6\)BN: BatchNorm, \(^7\)SiMaN: activations \([-1, +1]\), weights \((0, +1)\), \(^8\)DIR-Net: journal version of IR-Net (Qin et al. 2020c)
Table 11  BNN efficiency comparisons on ImageNet using FPGA

| BNN          | FPGA Platform       | FPS | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | Bits (W/A) | $F_{\text{max}}$ (MHz) | Power (W) | DSP | BRAM | LUT  |
|--------------|---------------------|-----|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----|------|------|
| Oc-BNN (2017)| Zynq ZU9EG          | 31.48| –             | –             | 1/1        | 150                    | 22        | 4   | 1367 | –    |
| ReBNet (2018)| Virtex VCU108       | 170 | 41.43         | –             | 1/1        | 200                    | –         | –   | –    | –    |
| FP-BNN (2018)| Stratix-V           | 862.1| 42.9          | 66.8          | 1/1        | 150                    | 26.2      | 384 | 2210 | 230918|
| FracBNN (2021b)| Zynq ZU3EG       | 48.1 | 71.8          | 90.1          | 1/1.4\(^2\) | 250                    | 6.1       | 224 | 201  | 50656|

\(^1\)Support materials from its code GitHub page, \(^2\)1.4 bit based on the analysis of quantized activations, convolution still executes 1W/1A operations, \(^3\)without bit-shift scales
| CNN Name        | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | FLOPs/OPs (×10^8) |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| AlexNet (2018)  | 57.1          | 80.2          | –                 |
| ResNet-18 (2018)| 69.6          | 89.2          | 18.1 (2018; 2020) |
| ResNet-34 (2018)| 73.3          | 91.3          | 36.6 (2018; 2020) |
| ResNet-50 (2018)| 76.0          | 93.0          | 38.6 (2020)       |
| BN-Inception (2017) | 71.64      | –             | –                 |
| MobileNet-v1 0.5 (2017) | 63.7      | –             | 1.49 (2020)       |
| MobileNet-v1 0.75 (2017) | 68.4    | –             | 3.25 (2020)       |
| MobileNet-v1 1.0 (2017) | 70.6    | –             | 5.69 (2020)       |
| MobileNet-v2 (2018) | 71.53   | –             | –                 |

### BNN Accuracy Performance

| BNN name        | Topology | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs (×10^9) | FLOPs (×10^8) | OPs (×10^8) |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| BNN (2016)      | AlexNet  | 27.9          | 50.42         | 1.70         | 1.20          | 1.47        |
|                 | ResNet-18| 42.2 (2020a)  | 69.2 (2020a)  | 1.70         | 1.31          | 1.67        |
| XNOR-Net (2016) | AlexNet  | 44.2          | 69.2          | –            | –             | –           |
|                 | ResNet-18| 51.2          | 73.2          | 1.70         | 1.33          | 1.60        |
|                 | ResNet-34| 56.49 (2020)  | 79.13 (2020)  | –            | –             | 1.78        |
| DoReFa-Net (2016)| AlexNet | 40.1          | –             | –            | –             | –           |
|                 | AlexNet  | 43.6 (initialized) | –         | –            | –             | –           |
| BNN name         | Topology        | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^6$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| ABC-Net (2017)   | ResNet-18       | 42.7          | 67.6          | -                     | -                     | 1.48                |
|                  | ResNet-18 (3W, 1A)$^1$ | 49.1          | 73.8          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-18 (3W, 3A)$^1$ | 61.0          | 83.2          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-18 (3W, 5A)$^1$ | 63.1          | 84.8          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-18 (5W, 1A)$^1$ | 54.1          | 78.1          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-18 (5W, 3A)$^1$ | 62.5          | 84.2          | -                     | -                     | 5.20                |
|                  | ResNet-18 (5W, 5A)$^1$ | 65.0          | 85.9          | -                     | -                     | 7.85                |
|                  | ResNet-34       | 52.4          | 76.5          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-34 (3W, 3A)$^1$ | 66.7          | 87.4          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-34 (5W, 5A)$^1$ | 68.4          | 88.2          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-50 (5W, 5A)$^1$ | 70.1          | 89.7          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| WRPN (2017)      | AlexNet (2 $\times$ wide)$^2$ | 48.3          | -             | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-34       | 60.54         | -             | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-34 (2 $\times$ wide)$^2$ | 69.85         | -             | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-34 (3 $\times$ wide)$^2$ | 72.38         | -             | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | BN-Inception (2 $\times$ wide)$^2$ | 65.02         | -             | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| SQ-BWN (2017)    | AlexNet         | 45.5 (2019)   | 70.6 (2019)   | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| BNN-RBNT (2018)  | AlexNet         | 46.1          | 75.7          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                  | ResNet-18       | 53.01         | 72.98         | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| BNN name           | Topology             | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^9$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Bi-Real-Net (2018)| ResNet-18**9         | 56.4          | 79.5          | 1.68                 | 1.39                 | 1.63             |
|                   | ResNet-18**9 (2020)  | 60.6          | –             | –                    | –                    | 1.14             |
|                   | ResNet-34**9         | 62.2          | 83.9          | 3.53                 | 1.39                 | 1.93             |
|                   | ResNet-34**9 (2020)  | 63.7          | –             | –                    | –                    | 1.43             |
|                   | ResNet-50**9 (2019)  | 62.6          | 83.9          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-152**9 (2020b)| 64.5          | –             | 10.7                 | 4.48                 | 6.15             |
|                   | MobileNet-v1 1.0 (2019)| 58.2        | –             | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18**9         | 57.3          | 80.0          | –                    | –                    | 1.63             |
| PCNN (2019)       |                      |               |               |                      |                      |                  |
| HadaNet (2019)    | AlexNet ($\beta w=4;\beta a=4$) | 46.3        | 71.2          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | AlexNet ($\beta w=16;\beta a=2$) | 47.3        | 73.3          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18 ($\beta w=4;\beta a=4$) | 53.3        | 77.3          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18 ($\beta w=16;\beta a=2$) | 53.8        | 77.2          | –                    | –                    | –                |
| XNOR-Net++ (2019) | AlexNet ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma$) | 46.9        | 71.0          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18            | 55.5          | 78.5          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18 ($\alpha_i$)$^4$ | 56.1        | 79.0          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18 ($\alpha_2, \beta_1$)$^5$ | 56.7        | 79.5          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18 ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma$)$^3$ | 57.1        | 79.9          | 1.695                | 1.333                | 1.60             |
| BoP (2019)        | AlexNet (BNN (2016)) | 41.1          | 65.4          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | AlexNet (XNOR-Net (2016)) | 45.9        | 70.0          | –                    | –                    | –                |
|                   | ResNet-18**9         | 56.6          | 79.4          | –                    | –                    | 1.63             |
| BNN name               | Topology                        | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| BNN-DL (2019)          | AlexNet (BNN (2016))            | 41.3          | 65.8          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | AlexNet (XNOR-Net (2016))       | 47.8          | 71.5          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | AlexNet (DoReFa-Net (2016))     | 47.8          | 71.5          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | AlexNet (Compact-Net (2017))    | 47.6          | 71.9          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
| Main/Subsidiary (2019) | AlexNet (WRPN (2017))           | 53.8          | 77.0          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
| CCNN (2019)            | ResNet-18 (78.6% filters)       | 50.13         | -             | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | AlexNet                        | 46.13         | 70.9          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | ResNet-18                      | 54.2          | 77.9          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
| Quantization Networks  (2019) | AlexNet                  | 47.9          | 72.5          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | ResNet-18                      | 53.6          | 75.3          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
| CI-BCNN (2019c)        | ResNet-18                      | 56.73         | 80.12         | -                      | -                      | -                   |
|                        | ResNet-18**9                   | 59.90         | 84.18         | -                      | > 1.54                 | -                   |
|                        | ResNet-34                      | 62.41         | 84.35         | -                      | -                      | 1.82                |
|                        | ResNet-34**9                   | 64.93         | 86.61         | -                      | -                      | > 1.82              |
| BONN (2019)            | ResNet-18                      | 59.3          | 81.6          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
| CBCN (2019b)           | ResNet-18 (4W,4A)              | 61.4          | 82.8          | -                      | -                      | 6.56                |
| RBCN (2019a)           | ResNet-18                      | 59.5          | 81.6          | -                      | -                      | -                   |
| Search Accurate (2019) | Customized ResNet-18           | 68.64         | 88.46         | -                      | -                      | 4.95                |
|                        | Customized ResNet-18           | 69.65         | 89.08         | -                      | -                      | 6.60                |
| BNN name              | Topology               | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Group-Net (2019)     | ResNet-18 (4W,4A)$^l$ | 64.2          | 85.6         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-18 (5W,5A)$^l$ | 64.8          | 85.7         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-18 (8W,8A)$^l$ | 67.5          | 88.0         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-18$^{\ast\ast}$(4W,4A)$^l$ | 66.3      | 86.6         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-18$^{\ast\ast}$(5W,5A)$^l$ | 67.0 (2018) | 87.5 (2018) | –                    | –                     | 2.68              |
|                     | ResNet-34 (5W,5A)$^l$ | 68.5          | 88.0         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-34 (8W,8A)$^l$ | 71.8          | 90.4         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-34$^{\ast\ast}$(5W,5A)$^l$ | 70.5 (2018) | 89.3 ((2018) | –                    | –                     | 4.13              |
|                     | ResNet-50 (5W,5A)$^l$ | 69.5          | 89.2         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | ResNet-50 (8W,8A)$^l$ | 72.8          | 90.5         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
| BinaryDenseNet (2019) | Customized DenseNet28 | 60.7          | 82.4         | –                    | –                     | 2.58              |
|                     | Customized DenseNet28 (2020) | 62.6      | –            | –                    | –                     | 2.09              |
|                     | Customized DenseNet37 | 62.5          | 83.9         | –                    | –                     | 2.71              |
|                     | Customized DenseNet37 (dilated) | 63.7       | 84.7         | –                    | –                     | –                 |
|                     | Customized DenseNet37 (2020) | 64.2      | –            | –                    | –                     | 2.20              |
Table 12 (continued)
BNN Accuracy Performance

| BNN name       | Topology          | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| BENN (2019)    | AlexNet (3W,3A)$^{12}$ | 48.8 (bagging (1996)) | – | – | – | – |
| | AlexNet (6W,6A)$^{12}$ | 52.0 (bagging (1996)) | – | – | – | – |
| | AlexNet (6W,6A)$^{12}$ | 54.3 (boosting (1997)) | – | – | – | – |
| | ResNet-18 (3W,3A)$^{12}$ | 53.3 (bagging (1996)) | – | – | – | – |
| BENN (2019)    | ResNet-18 (3W,3A)$^{12}$ | 53.6 (boosting (1997)) | – | – | – | – |
| | ResNet-18 (6W,6A)$^{12}$ | 57.9 (bagging (1996)) | – | – | – | – |
| | ResNet-18 (6W,6A)$^{12}$ | 61.0 (boosting (1997)) | – | – | – | – |
| IR-Net (2020c) | ResNet-18$^{*9}$  | 58.1           | 80.0          | – | – | 1.63 |
| | ResNet-34$^{*9}$  | 62.9           | 84.1          | – | – | – |
| BATS (2020a)   | Customized       | 60.4           | 83.0          | 1.149                 | 0.805                 | 0.985               |
| | Customized (2x-wider) | 66.1           | 87.0          | 2.157                 | 1.210                 | 1.547               |
| BNAS (2020a)   | Customized$^{13}$ ResNet-18 (XNOR-Net) | 57.69           | 79.89          | – | – | 1.48 |
| | Customized$^{13}$ ResNet-18$^{*9}$ | 58.76           | 80.61          | – | – | 1.63 |
| | Customized$^{13}$ ResNet-34 (XNOR-Net) | 58.99           | 80.85          | – | – | 1.78 |
| | Customized$^{13}$ ResNet-34$^{*9}$ | 59.81           | 81.61          | – | – | 1.93 |
| | Customized$^{13}$ ResNet-18 (4W,4A)$^{1}$ (CBCN) | 63.51           | 83.91          | – | – | 6.56 |
| BNN name          | Topology               | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| NASB (2020)       | Customized ResNet-18   | 60.5          | 82.2          | -                     | -                     | 1.71                |
|                   | Customized ResNet-34   | 64.0          | 84.7          | -                     | -                     | 2.01                |
|                   | Customized ResNet-50   | 65.7          | 85.8          | -                     | -                     | 6.18                |
| Si-BNN (2020a)    | AlexNet                | 50.5          | 74.6          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-18              | 58.9          | 81.3          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-18**9           | 59.7          | 81.8          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-34              | 63.3          | 84.4          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| LNS (2020)        | AlexNet8               | 44.4          | -             | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-18              | 59.4          | 81.7          | -                     | -                     | 1.63                |
| SLB (2020)        | ResNet-18 (w/o SBN7)   | 61.0          | 82.9          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-18              | 61.3          | 83.1          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| Real-to-Bin (2020)| ResNet-18**9(baseline)| 60.9          | 83.0          | 1.68                  | 1.54                  | 1.63                |
|                   | ResNet-18**9 (BNN-Adam (2021)) | 63.2          | 84.0          | 1.68                  | 1.54                  | 1.80                |
| ProxyBNN (2020)   | AlexNet                | 51.4          | 75.5          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-18              | 58.7          | 81.2          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-18**9           | 63.7          | 84.8          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-34**9           | 66.3          | 86.5          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| RBNN (2020)       | ResNet-18              | 59.9          | 81.9          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
|                   | ResNet-34              | 63.1          | 84.4          | -                     | -                     | -                   |
| BNN name                      | Topology          | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs (×10^9) | FLOPs (×10^8) | OPs (×10^8) |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| BinaryDuo (2020b)            | AlexNet           | 52.7          | 76.0          | –            | –             | 1.19        |
|                              | ResNet-18         | 60.4          | 82.3          | –            | –             | 1.64        |
|                              | ResNet-18**9      | 60.9          | 82.6          | –            | –             | 1.64        |
| MoBiNet-Mid (2020a)          | Customized^{0} (K=3) | 53.47        | 76.46         | –            | –             | 0.49        |
|                              | Customized^{0} (K=4) | 54.4          | 77.5          | –            | –             | 0.52        |
| MeliusNet (2020)             | Melius-NetA       | 63.4          | 84.2          | 4.85         | 0.86          | 1.62        |
|                              | MeliusNetB (Customized^{1} ResNet-18**9) | 65.7 | 85.9 | 5.72 | 1.06 | 1.96 |
|                              | MeliusNetC (Customized^{1} MobileNetv1 0.5) | 64.1 | 85.0 | 4.35 | 0.82 | 1.50 |
|                              | MeliusNet42 (Customized^{1} MobileNetv1 0.75) | 69.2 | 88.3 | 9.69 | 1.74 | 3.25 |
|                              | MeliusNet59 (Customized^{1} MobileNet-v1 1.0) | 71.0 | 89.7 | 18.3 | 2.45 | 5.25 |
| MeliusNet (2020)             | MeliusNet22 (Customized^{1} BDenseNet28 (2019)) | 63.6 | 84.7 | 4.62 | 1.35 | 2.08 |
|                              | MeliusNet29 (Customized^{1} BDenseNet37 (2019)) | 65.8 | 86.2 | 5.47 | 1.29 | 2.14 |
| BNN name                     | Topology                        | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^6$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Binarized MobileNet (2020b) | Customized MobileNet-v3         | 51.06         | 74.18         | –                     | –                      | 0.33                |
|                             | Customized MobileNet-v2         | 59.30         | 81.00         | –                     | –                      | 0.62                |
|                             | Customized MobileNet-v1         | 60.90         | 82.60         | –                     | –                      | 1.54                |
| DMS (2020)                  | Customized (DMS-A)\textsuperscript{15} | 60.20         | 82.94         | –                     | –                      | –                   |
|                             | Customized (DMS-B)\textsuperscript{15} | 67.93         | 87.84         | –                     | –                      | –                   |
| High-Capacity-Expert (2020b)| Customized (4 experts)\textsuperscript{18} | 67.5          | 87.5          | 1.7                   | 1.1                    | 1.37                |
|                             | Customized (4 experts)\textsuperscript{19} | 70.0          | 89.2          | 1.7                   | 1.1                    | 1.37                |
|                             | Customized (4 experts)\textsuperscript{19} | 71.2          | 90.1          | 1.7                   | 1.1                    | 1.37                |
| ReActNet (2020c)            | ReActNet-18 (Customized ResNet-18) | 65.5 (2021)   | –             | –                     | –                      | –                   |
|                             | ReActNet-A (Customized MobileNet-v1) | 69.4          | 88.6 (2021)   | 4.82                  | 0.12                   | 0.87                |
|                             | ReActNet-A (BNN-Adam (2021)) (Customized MobileNet-v1) | 70.5          | 89.1          | 4.82                  | 0.12                   | 0.87                |
|                             | ReActNet-B (Customized MobileNet-v1) | 70.1          | –             | 4.69                  | 0.44                   | 1.63                |
|                             | ReActNet-C (Customized MobileNet-v1) | 71.4          | –             | 4.69                  | 1.40                   | 2.14                |
| MD-tanh-s (2021)            | ResNet-18**\textsuperscript{9}  | 60.3          | 82.3          | –                     | –                      | –                   |
|                             | ResNet-18**\textsuperscript{9} (dilated) | 62.8          | 84.3          | –                     | –                      | –                   |
Table 12 (continued)

| BNN name       | Topology                  | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| UniQ (2021)    | ResNet-18                 | 60.5          | –             | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | ResNet-34                 | 65.8          | –             | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | MobileNet-v2              | 23.2          | –             | –                    | –                     | –                   |
| IA-BNN (2020c) | AlexNet (BNN (2016))      | 42.1          | 66.6          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | AlexNet (XNOR-Net (2016)) | 45.6          | 69.6          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | ResNet-18 (XNOR-Net (2016)) | 54.2          | 77.6          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | ResNet-18**9              | 57.2          | 80.2          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | ResNet-34**9              | 62.8          | 84.5          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
| FracBNN (2021b)| Customized (1W/1.4A)      | 71.8          | 90.1          | 7.30                 | –                     | –                   |
| Group-Net Extend (2019; 2021) | ResNet-18**9(4W,4A) | 68.2          | 88.3          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | ResNet-34**9(4W,4A)       | 72.2          | 90.5          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | ResNet-50**9(4W,4A)       | 73.4          | 91.0          | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | MobileNet-v1 1.0          | 70.8          | –             | –                    | –                     | –                   |
| BCNN (2021)    | Customized (P=1)**6       | 69.0          | –             | 2.41                 | –                     | 1.31                |
|                | Customized (P=2)**6       | 71.2          | –             | 4.83                 | –                     | 2.08                |
| MPT (2021)     | WRN-34 (60% weights pruned) | 45.06        | –             | –                    | –                     | –                   |
|                | WRN-34+BN**7 (60% weights pruned) | 52.07      | –             | –                    | –                     | –                   |
### Table 12

| BNN name              | Topology                    | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^9$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| BNN-BN-free (2021)    | ReActNet-18 (Customized ResNet-18) | 61.1          | –             | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-A (Customized MobileNet-v1) | 68.0          | –             | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| ReCU (2021c)          | ResNet-18                   | 61.0          | 82.6          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-18                 | 66.4          | 86.5          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ResNet-34                   | 65.1          | 85.8          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| DA-BNN (2021)         | ResNet-18**9                | 63.1          | 84.3          | –                    | 1.69                 | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-18                 | 66.3          | 86.7          | –                    | 1.69                 | –                   |
| FDA (2021b)           | AlexNet                     | 46.2          | 69.7          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ResNet-18                   | 62.2          | 82.3          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-18                 | 66.0          | 86.4          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| LCR (2022)            | ResNet-18                   | 59.6          | 81.6          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-18                 | 69.8          | 85.7          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-34                 | 63.5          | 84.6          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| Bi-half (2022)        | ResNet-18                   | 60.4          | 82.86         | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ResNet-34                   | 64.17         | 85.36         | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| SiMaN7 (2022)         | ResNet-18                   | 60.1          | 82.3          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-18                 | 66.1          | 85.9          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ResNet-34                   | 63.9          | 84.8          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| AdaBin (2022)         | AlexNet                     | 53.9          | 77.6          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ResNet-18                   | 63.1          | 84.3          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                       | ReActNet-18                 | 66.4          | 86.5          | 1.69                 | 1.41                 | 1.67               |
|                       | ResNet-34                   | 66.4          | 86.6          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| BNN name                  | Topology                         | Top-1 Acc (%) | Top-5 Acc (%) | BOPs ($\times 10^6$) | FLOPs ($\times 10^8$) | OPs ($\times 10^8$) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| DyBNN (2022)             | ReActNet-18                      | 67.4          | 87.5          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                          | ReActNet-A (Customized MobileNet-v1) | 71.2          | 89.8          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| DIR-Net$^8$ (2022d)      | ResNet-18                        | 60.4          | 81.9          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                          | ReActNet-18                      | 66.5          | 87.1          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                          | ResNet-34                        | 64.1          | 85.3          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
|                          | ReActNet-34                      | 67.9          | 88.2          | –                    | –                    | –                   |
| BiMLP-S (2022)           | Customized MLP                   | 70.0          | 89.6          | 2.25                 | 1.21                 | 1.56                |
|                          | Customized MLP                   | 72.7          | 91.1          | 4.32                 | 1.21                 | 1.88                |

$^1$Nums W: number of Weights parallel, Nums A: number of Activations parallel, $^2$Nums $\times$ wide: number of filters, $^3$ $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ statistically learned via channels, heights, weights, $^4$ $\alpha_1$: a dense scaling, one value for each output pixel, $^5$ $\alpha_2$: learns the statistics over the output channel dimension, $\beta_1$: learns it over the spatial dimensions, $^6$Compact-Net (Tang et al. 2017) uses 2 bits for activations while BNN-DL (Ding et al. 2019) only uses 1 bit, $^7$SBN: State Batch Normalization (Yang et al. 2020), $^8$with only layer-wise scale factor to compare with XNOR-Net, $^9$ResNet$^*$: Variant ResNet (Liu et al. 2018), $^{10}$K-layer dependency to improve single-layer dependency in depth-wise convolution, $^{11}$OPs and Size similar, $^{12}$BNN networks bagging, $^{13}$OPs similar, $^{14}$1.4 bit based on the analysis of quantized activations, $^{15}$number of parallel branches, $^{16}$BN: BatchNorm training strategy from Real-to-Bin (Martinez et al. 2020), $^{17}$Improved training strategy from Real-to-Bin (Martinez et al. 2020)
full precision networks, OPs equals to FLOPs. To the best of our ability, we collect all the published reliable BNN’ performance results at the time of this paper submission.

### 6.1.1 CIFAR-10 dataset

CIFAR-10 dataset consists of 60,000 color images in 10 classes. Each class has 6000 images and each image is 32 x 32 pixels. There are 50,000 training images and 10,000 test images (Tables 9, 10, 11, 12).

### 6.1.2 ImageNet dataset

ImageNet is a large images dataset that is usually used to test the trained model’s performance. There are different versions of ImageNet dataset. The common version used for BNN is ILSVRC2012 ImageNet which was used for the competition dataset of “ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge 2012”. The ILSVRC2012 ImageNet consists of three subset parts; training, validation and test dataset. Training dataset has more than 1.2 million color images in about 1000 classes. Validation dataset has 50,000 color images and test dataset contains 100,000 color images.

### 6.2 Point cloud classification

Different from 2D image classification tasks, 3D tasks using BNN are much more challenging as binarization will amplify information loss during aggregating point-wise features in pooling layers and lead to huge distortion at the point-wise feature extraction stage. BiPointNet (Qin et al. 2020a) proposed first binarization method for learning on 3D point cloud. Tables 13 and 14 list reported comparison results from Qin et al. (2020a). The benchmark dataset for testing accuracy used ModelNet40 (Wu et al. 2015), which contains 12,311 pre-aligned shapes from 40 categories.

| Method                  | Device                      | Bits (W/A) | Time (ms) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| PointNet (Qi et al. 2017a) | Raspberry Pi 3B (ARM Cortex-A53) | 32/32      | 131.8     |
| BiPointNet (Qin et al. 2020a) | Raspberry Pi 3B (ARM Cortex-A53) | 1/1        | 9         |
| PointNet (Qi et al. 2017a) | Raspberry Pi 4B (ARM Cortex-A72) | 32/32      | 67.3      |
| BiPointNet (Qin et al. 2020a) | Raspberry Pi 4B (ARM Cortex-A72) | 1/1        | 5.5       |

1. Support materials from its code GitHub page, 2.1.4 bit based on the analysis of quantized activations, convolution still executes 1W/1A operations, 3.without bit-shift scales
6.3 Object detection

Object detection is a more complex and difficult task than 2D image classification. Recently, there are a few published BNN works on objects detection. Sun et al. (2018) propose a fast object detection based on BNN (Courbariaux et al. 2016). Bethge et al. (2019) apply their proposed BinaryDenseNet to object detection, which has a comparable accuracy performance compared to full-precision Yolo. ASDA-FRCNN (Xu et al. 2020) applies the experience from Modulated-Convolutional-Networks (Wang et al. 2018) to represent full-precision kernel with BNN-based amplitude and direction, and designs a new loss function to reconstruct the full-precision kernels. Wang et al. (2020b) propose BiDet.
which employs the information bottleneck (IB) principle to remove redundancy information for taking full advantage of BNN and concentrate posteriors on informative detection prediction via learning the sparse object before. Zhao et al. (2021) apply their proposed re-scaling BNN method DA-BNN to Object Detection. Xu et al. (2021a) propose LWS-Det which is a training scheme under a student-teacher framework including (1) layer-wise minimizing angular loss by a differentiable binarization search method and (2) layer-wise minimizing amplitude error by learning scale factors. PASCAL-VOC (PASCAL Visual Object Classes) (Everingham et al. 2010) and MS-COCO (Microsoft Common Objects in Context) (Lin et al. 2014) are popular benchmark datasets to evaluate trained models’ performance for object detection. Wang et al. (2021b) develop the block scaling factor XNOR (BSF-XNOR) convolutional layer and enhanced the accuracy on VisDrone2019 dataset (Zhu et al. 2018) compared to XNOR-Net (Rastegari et al. 2016).

PASCAL-VOC is a collection of datasets for object detection, which was used as the competition dataset in the PASCAL Visual Object Classes Challenge Competition. There are two commonly versions: VOC2007 and VOC2012. VOC2007 (Everingham et al. 2007) dataset has 5011 training/validation (trainval) images and 4952 test images from 20 categories. VOC2012 (Everingham et al. 2012) dataset has 11,540 trainval images including 20 categories. VOC2012 is usually used as an additional data resource for model training.

MS-COCO is a large-scale object detection, segmentation, key-point detection, and captioning dataset which consists of 80 categories of images. The commonly used version of MS-COCO is MS-COCO2014. Table 12 is the collection of benchmark results in BNN and full-precision CNN, in where models were trained on 80,000 images from the training set and 35,000 images sampled from validation set (MS-COCO trainval35k) ASDA-FRCNN (Xu et al. 2020) applies the experience from Modulated-Convolutional-Networks (Wang et al. 2018) to represent full-precision kernel with BNN-based amplitude and direction, and designs a new loss function to reconstruct the full-precision kernels. Wang et al. (2020b) propose BiDet which employs the IB principle to remove redundancy information.

Table 15 are the latest BNN benchmark results on the VOC2007 test dataset. When we summarize this table, we notice (Qin et al. 2020b) wrongly cited FQN (Li et al. 2019b) as a BNN variant and collected its results on MS-COCO2017 (Caesar et al. 2018). FQN (Li et al. 2019b) results were actually collected from a 4-bit quantization neural network. Table 16 are the latest BNN benchmark results on MS-COCO minival test dataset.

### 6.4 Semantic segmentation

Group-Net (Zhuang et al. 2019) reports their method can be successfully applied to Semantic Segmentation task. The author proposes Binary Parallel Atrous Convolution (BPAC) to further improve the BNN model performance mIOU which is measured regarding averaged pixel intersection-over-union. Their used dataset for testing semantic segmentation is PASCAL VOC 2012 (Everingham et al. 2010), which contains 20 foreground object classes and one background class. The dataset consists of 1464 (train), 1449 (val) and 1456 (test) images. Table 17 lists the latest BNN benchmark results on PASCAL VOC 2012 test dataset.

### 6.5 Natural language processing

NLP is one of the most important applied fields of AI. BNN can be applied to promote the applications of NLP model on edge devices in the real world. Jain et al. (2020)
### Table 15: Benchmark results on VOC2007 test dataset

| Framework             | BackBone     | Method          | (W/A)    | Trained data     | mAP (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|---------|
| Faster-RCNN (2015)    | VGG-16       | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007          | 68.9 (2018) |
|                       | VGG-16       | BNN (2016)      | (1/1)    | VOC2007          | 47.3 (2018) |
|                       | AlexNet      | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007          | 66.0 (2018) |
|                       | AlexNet      | BNN (2016)      | (1/1)    | VOC2007          | 46.4 (2018) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007          | 67.8 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007 + 2012   | 73.2 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007 + 2012   | 74.5 (2020b) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007 + 2012   | 76.4 (2021a) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | BNN (2016)      | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 35.6 (2020b) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | XNOR-Net (2016) | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 48.4 (2020b) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Bi-Real (2018)  | (1/1)    | VOC2007          | 51.0 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Bi-Real (2018)  | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 58.2 (2020b) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Bi-Real (2018)  | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 60.6 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | Bi-Real (2018)  | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 60.9 (2021a) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | ASDA-FRCNN (2020)| (1/1)    | VOC2007          | 54.6 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | ASDA-FRCNN (2020)| (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 63.4 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | BiDet (2020b)   | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 50.0 (2020b) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | BiDet (SC)¹ (2020b)| (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 59.5 (2020b) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | BiDet (2020b)   | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 62.7 (2021a) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | DIR-Net² (2022d)| (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 60.4 (2022d) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | DA-BNN (2021)   | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 63.5 (2021) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | ReActNet (2020c)| (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 69.6 (2021a) |
|                       | ResNet-18    | LWS-Det (2021a) | (1/1)    | VOC2007 + 2012   | 73.2 (2021a) |
|                       | ResNet-34    | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007 + 2012   | 73.2 (2020) |
|                       | ResNet-34    | Full Precision  | (32/32)  | VOC2007 + 2012   | 77.8 (2021a) |
| Framework        | Backbone       | Method                  | (W/A) | Trained data         | mAP (%) |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------------|---------|
|                  |                | Bi-Real (2018)          | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 63.1 (2021a) |
|                  |                | ASDA-FRCNN (2020)       | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 65.5 (2020) |
|                  |                | BiDet (2020b)           | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 65.8 (2021a) |
|                  |                | ReActNet (2020c)        | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 72.3 (2021a) |
|                  |                | LWS-Det (2021a)         | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 75.8 (2021a) |
|                  |                | Full Precision          | (32/32)| VOC2007 + 2012       | 79.5 (2021a) |
|                  |                | Bi-Real (2018)          | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 65.7 (2021a) |
|                  |                | ReActNet (2020c)        | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 73.1 (2021a) |
|                  |                | LWS-Det (2021a)         | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 76.9 (2021a) |
| SSD300 (2016)    | VGG-16         | Full Precision          | (32/32)| VOC2007 + 2012       | 72.4 (2020b) |
|                  | VGG-16         | BNN (2016)              | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 74.3 (2021a) |
|                  | VGG-16         | XNOR-Net (2016)         | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 50.2 (2020b) |
|                  | VGG-16         | Bi-Real (2018)          | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 63.8 (2020b) |
|                  | VGG-16         | BiDet (2020b)           | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 52.4 (2020b) |
|                  | VGG-16         | BiDet(SC)¹ (2020b)      | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 66.0 (2020b) |
|                  | VGG-16         | AutoBiDet (2021d)       | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 53.5 (2021d) |
|                  | VGG-16         | AutoBiDet(SC)¹ (2021d)  | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 67.5 (2021d) |
|                  | VGG-16         | DIR-Net² (2022d)        | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 67.1 (2022d) |
|                  | VGG-16         | AdaBin (2022)           | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 64.0 (2022) |
|                  | VGG-16         | AdaBin(SC)¹ (2022)      | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 69.4 (2022) |
|                  | VGG-16         | ReActNet (2020c)        | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 68.4 (2021a) |
|                  | VGG-16         | LWS-Det (2021a)         | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 71.4 (2021a) |
|                  | VGG-16         | TA-BiDet (2022)         | (1/1) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 74.6 (2022) |
| MobileNetV1      |                | Full Precision          | (32/32)| VOC2007 + 2012       | 68.0 (2020b) |
| Framework      | BackBone     | Method                  | (W/A) | Trained data         | mAP (%) |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------|----------------------|---------|
| MobileNetV1    | XNOR-Net(2016) | (1/1)                   |       | VOC2007 + 2012       | 48.9 (2020b) |
| MobileNetV1    | BiDet        | (1/1)                   |       | VOC2007 + 2012       | 51.2 (2020b) |
| Yolo (2016)    | VGG-16       | Full Precision          | (32/32) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 66.4 (2019) |
| SSD512 (2016)  | VGG-16       | Full Precision          | (32/32) | VOC2007 + 2012       | 76.8 (2019) |
| BinaryDenseNet37 (2019) | BinaryDenseNet | (1/1)                   |       | VOC2007 + 2012       | 66.4 (2019) |
| BinaryDenseNet45 (2019) | BinaryDenseNet | (1/1)                   |       | VOC2007 + 2012       | 68.2 (2019) |

mAP: Mean Average. \(^1\)BiDet(SC) means the proposed method with extra shortcut for the architectures Precision. \(^2\)DIR-Net: journal version of IR-Net (Qin et al. 2020c)
explore and proposes a BNN method on text classification. Bai et al. (2020) firstly apply BNN methods to BERT (2018) on NLP tasks. Qin et al. (2022a) firstly propose a full binarization of BERT, called BiBERT, on NLP tasks. Liu et al. (2022) propose an innovative pipeline to quantize transformers for extremely low precision (1–2) bits, while reducing the performance gap of previous methods to full precision. GLUE

| Framework       | BackBone    | Method            | (W/A) | mAP@.5 (%) | mAP@[0.5, 0.95] (%) |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|
| Faster-RCNN (2015) | ResNet-18   | Full Precision    | (32/32)| 42.7 (2020)| 21.9 (2020)        |
|                 | ResNet-18   | Full Precision    | (32/32)| 44.8 (2020b)| 20.0 (2020b)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | Full Precision    | (32/32)| 53.8 (2020b)| 32.2 (2020b)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | ASDA-FRCNN (2020) | (1/1) | 37.5 (2020)| 19.4 (2020)        |
|                 | ResNet-18   | BNN (2016)        | (1/1) | 14.3 (2020b)| 5.6 (2020b)        |
|                 | ResNet-18   | XNOR-Net (2016)   | (1/1) | 10.4 (2020b)| 6.1 (2020b)        |
|                 | ResNet-18   | Bi-Real (2018)    | (1/1) | 29.0 (2020b)| 14.4 (2020b)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | Bi-Real (2018)    | (1/1) | 33.1 (2021a)| 17.4 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | BiDet (2020b)     | (1/1) | 24.8 (2020b)| 12.1 (2020b)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | BiDet(SC)         | (1/1) | 31.0 (2020b)| 15.7 (2020b)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | DIR-Net (2022d)   | (1/1) | 31.5 (2022d)| 16.1 (2022d)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | ReactNet (2020c)  | (1/1) | 38.5 (2021a)| 21.1 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-18   | LWS-Det (2021a)   | (1/1) | 44.9 (2021a)| 26.9 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-34   | Full Precision    | (32/32)| 57.6 (2021a)| 35.8 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-34   | Bi-Real (2018)    | (1/1) | 37.1 (2021a)| 20.1 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-34   | BiDet (2020b)     | (1/1) | 41.8 (2021a)| 21.7 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-34   | ReactNet (2020c)  | (1/1) | 43.3 (2021a)| 23.4 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-34   | LWS-Det (2021a)   | (1/1) | 49.2 (2021a)| 29.9 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | Full Precision    | (32/32)| 59.3 (2021a)| 37.7 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | Bi-Real (2018)    | (1/1) | 40.0 (2021a)| 22.9 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | ReactNet (2020c)  | (1/1) | 47.7 (2021a)| 26.1 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | LWS-Det (2021a)   | (1/1) | 52.1 (2021a)| 31.7 (2021a)       |
| SSD300 (2016)   | VGG-16      | Full Precision    | (32/32)| 41.2 (2020b)| 23.2 (2020b)       |
|                 | VGG-16      | BNN (2016)        | (1/1) | 15.9 (2020b)| 6.2 (2020b)        |
|                 | VGG-16      | XNOR-Net (2016)   | (1/1) | 19.5 (2020b)| 8.1 (2020b)        |
|                 | VGG-16      | Bi-Real (2018)    | (1/1) | 26.0 (2020b)| 11.2 (2020b)       |
|                 | VGG-16      | BiDet (2020b)     | (1/1) | 22.5 (2020b)| 9.8 (2020b)        |
|                 | VGG-16      | BiDet(SC)         | (1/1) | 28.3 (2020b)| 13.2 (2020b)       |
|                 | VGG-16      | DIR-Net (2022d)   | (1/1) | 29.7 (2022d)| 14.0 (2022d)       |
|                 | VGG-16      | AutoBiDet (2021d) | (1/1) | 30.3 (2021d)| 14.3 (2021d)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | ReactNet (2020c)  | (1/1) | 30.0 (2021a)| 15.3 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | LWS-Det (2021a)   | (1/1) | 32.9 (2021a)| 17.1 (2021a)       |
|                 | ResNet-50   | TA-BiDet (2022)   | (1/1) | 37.2 (2022) | 19.9 (2022)        |

mAP: Mean Average (AP), mAP@0.5: mAP for Intersection over Union (IoU) = 0.5, mAP@[0.5, 0.95]: mAP for IoU ∈ [0.5:0.05:0.95]. BiDet(SC) means the proposed method with extra shortcut for the architectures. Precision, DIR-Net: journal version of IR-Net (Qin et al. 2020c)
(2018) is a popular benchmark with diverse NLP tasks. Table 18 lists the information for NLP task corpus. Table 19 presents the last BNN benchmark results on GLUE.

**Table 17** PASCAL VOC 2012 testing results

| Based Model                      | BackBone | Method/(W/A)                  | mIOU |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------|
| Faster-RCNN (2015), FCN-16s      | ResNet-18| Full Precision(32/32)         | 67.3 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net (5 x 1/1) (2019)    | 62.7 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net + BPAC (5 x 1/1) (2019) | 66.3 |
|                                  | ResNet-18** (2018) | Group-Net + BPAC (5 x 1/1) (2019) | 67.7 |
| Faster-RCNN (2015), FCN-32s      | ResNet-18| Full Precision (32/32)        | 64.9 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net (5 x 1/1) (2019)    | 60.5 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net + BPAC (5 x 1/1) (2019) | 63.8 |
|                                  | ResNet-18** (2018) | Group-Net + BPAC(5 x 1/1) (2019) | 65.1 |
| Faster-RCNN (2015), FCN-32s      | ResNet-34| Full Precision (32/32)        | 72.7 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net (5 x 1/1) (2019)    | 68.2 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net + BPAC (5 x 1/1) (2019) | 71.2 |
|                                  | ResNet-34** (2018) | Group-Net + BPAC (5 x 1/1)(2019) | 72.8 |
| Faster-RCNN (2015), FCN-32s      | ResNet-50| Full Precision(32/32)         | 73.1 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net (5 x 1/1) (2019)    | 67.2 |
|                                  |          | Group-Net + BPAC (5 x 1/1) (2019) | 70.4 |

**Table 18** Diverse NLP tasks on GLUE Benchmark

| Corpus            | Train data size | Test data size | Task                  | Metrics                      |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| CoLA              | 8.5k            | 1k             | Acceptability         | Matthews correlation         |
| SST-2             | 67k             | 1.8k           | Sentiment             | Accuracy                     |
| MRPC              | 3.7k            | 1.7k           | Paraphrase            | Accuracy/F1                  |
| STS-B             | 7k              | 1.4k           | Sentence similarity    | Pearson/Spearman correlation |
| QQP               | 364k            | 391k           | Paraphrase            | Accuracy/F1                  |
| MNLI              | 393k            | 20k            | NLI                   | Match/mismatched accuracy    |
| QNLI              | 105k            | 5.4k           | QA/NLI                | Accuracy                     |
| RTE               | 2.5k            | 3k             | NLI                   | Accuracy                     |
| WNLI              | 634             | 146            | Conference/NLI        | Accuracy                     |

CoLA: Corpus of Linguistic Acceptability, SST-2: Stanford Sentiment Treebank, MRPC: Microsoft Research Paraphrase Corpus, STS-B: Semantic Textual Similarity Benchmark, QQP: Quora Question Pairs, MNLI: Multi-Genre Natural Language Inference, QNLI: Question Natural Language Inference, RTE: Recognizing Textual Entailment, WNLI: Winograd Natural Language Inference, QA/NLI: Question and Answer/Natural Language Inference
Table 19  BNN BERT benchmark results on NLP tasks of GLUE

| Method               | #Bits (E–W–A) | Size (MB) | FLOPs (G) | MNLI (m/mm) | QQP    | QNLI   | SST-2 | CoLA | STS-B | MRPC | RTE | Avg. |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-----|------|
| BERT (2018)          | 32–32–32      | 418       | 22.5      | 84.9/85.5   | 91.4   | 92.1   | 93.2  | 59.7 | 90.1  | 86.3 | 72.2 | 83.9 |
| Without data augmentation |             |           |           |             |        |        |       |      |       |      |     |      |
| BinaryBERT (2020)    | 1–1–1         | 16.5      | 0.4       | 35.6/35.3   | 66.2   | 51.5   | 53.2  | 0    | 6.1   | 68.3 | 52.7 | 41.0 |
| BiBERT (2022a)       | 1–1–1         | 13.4      | 0.4       | 66.1/67.5   | 84.8   | 72.6   | 88.7  | 25.4 | 33.6  | 72.5 | 57.4 | 63.2 |
| BiT$^\dagger$ (2022) | 1–1–1         | 13.4      | 0.4       | 77.1/77.5   | 82.9   | 85.7   | 87.7  | 25.1 | 71.1  | 79.7 | 58.8 | 71.0 |
| BiT (2022)           | 1–1–1         | 13.4      | 0.4       | 79.5/79.4   | 85.4   | 86.4   | 89.9  | 32.9 | 72.0  | 79.9 | 62.1 | 73.5 |
| With data augmentation |             |           |           |             |        |        |       |      |       |      |     |      |
| BinaryBERT (2020)    | 1–1–1         | 16.5      | 0.4       | 35.6/35.3*  | 66.2*  | 66.1   | 78.3  | 7.3  | 22.1  | 69.3 | 57.7 | 48.7 |
| BiBERT (2022a)       | 1–1–1         | 13.4      | 0.4       | 66.1/67.5*  | 84.8*  | 76.0   | 90.9  | 37.8 | 56.7  | 78.8 | 61.0 | 68.8 |
| BiT$^\dagger$ (2022) | 1–1–1         | 13.4      | 0.4       | 77.1/77.5*  | 82.9*  | 85.0   | 91.5  | 32.0 | 84.1  | 88.0 | 67.5 | 76.0 |
| BiT (2022)           | 1–1–1         | 13.4      | 0.4       | 79.5/79.4*  | 85.4*  | 86.5   | 92.3  | 38.2 | 84.2  | 88.0 | 69.7 | 78.0 |

E–W–A: the quantization level of embeddings, weights and activations, m/mm: match/mismatch, $^\dagger$distilling binary models using full-precision teacher without using multi-
distill technique$^\ddagger$ (2022), *Data augmentation is not needed for MNLI and QQP
Unsupervised and semi-supervised learning

Unsupervised learning is one of the most popular cutting edge research topics in machine learning where the model is not given labeled data to learn from, but instead, it must find patterns and structure in the data on its own. Self-supervised learning (SSL) is one subfield of unsupervised learning where the model is trained to predict information from the input data itself in a first stage, which are then used for some supervised learning task in the second and later stages. SSL is a machine learning technique where the model is trained on a mixture of labeled and unlabeled data. There are a few BNN published research works on SSL and semi-supervised areas. Shen et al. (2021) propose a novel guided learning paradigm, called s2-BNN, to distill the target binary network training from real-valued. Kim and Choi (2022) design a framework, called BURN, that jointly trains the Full-Precision classifier and the binary network for the unsupervised representation learning as well as a feature similarity loss, a dynamic loss balancing and modified multi-stage training to further improve the accuracy. Tables 20 and 21 list the last benchmark results for unsupervised and semi-supervised learning.

Other tasks

There are other tasks that take the capacities of BNN. Bulat and Tzimiropoulos (2017) propose a new BNN network for human pose estimation and face alignment. Fasfous et al. (2021) present BinaryCoP which is a BNN classifier for correct facial-mask wear and positioning on edge devices. To speed-up large-scale image retrieval search with low storage cost, Zhang et al. (2021a) propose a novelty hashing method called Binary Neural Network Hashing (BNNH).
which combines BNN with hashing technique. Li et al. (2021) design a 3D BNN to recognize human actions. Their BNN can achieve 89.2% accuracy with 384 frames per second on the dataset KTH (Schuldt et al. 2004). Penkovsky et al. (2020) propose methods to apply BNN to biomedical signals tasks such as electrocardiography (ECG) and electroencephalography (EEG), which can enable smart autonomous healthcare devices. Xiang et al. (2017), Qian, Y. m. and X. Xiang. (2019) and Gao et al. (2021) are different BNN methods on speech recognition. BiFSMN (2022c) and its improved version BiFSMN2 (2022b) present the BNN applications on keyword spotting. Bahri et al. (2021) explore the field of designing BNN-based graph neural networks (GNNs) by evaluating different strategies for the binarization of GNNs. Frickenstein et al. (2020) propose Binary DAD-Net which was the first BNN-based semantic segmentation network on driveable area detection in the field of autonomous driving.

6.8 Summary

Although BNN has many successful applications, a few potential opportunities and challenges remain an open issue.

For a given application, what binary neural network architecture should be used? How automatically search architecture or create a 2D or 3D BNN network with higher accuracy and lower OPs. In general, all the layers (except the input and output layers) of a BNN are binarized CNN layers, which are one of the primary sources of losing information. This situation will be more difficult in the deeper layer because the performance drop is accumulated from the previous layers. Also, the information-lose level of different layers inside BNN should not be the same, and we should not be able to treat the information-loss issue equally. Besides, compared to information loss from binarization in 2D tasks, more severe information-loss from binarization can be generated in 3D tasks cases due to more dimensional information availability. Currently, there are few papers for solving 3D tasks.

How to develop transformer-based BNN models for vision tasks? In the past, deep neural networks mostly used costly convolution operations. Moreover, it is the reason and motivation to create the BNN that lowers expensive computing costs in convolution operations. Late last year Dosovitskiy et al. (2020) introduced a novel network structure called vision transformer (ViT). The idea for ViT came from the concept of transformer developed in NLP applications. Instead of using the convolution operations, ViT splits an input image into custom fixed-size patches and feeds the linear projections of these patches along with their image position into a transformer encoder network. More and more transformer-based or a combination of transformer and convolution variants models were published. They reported that their performance could beat CNN-based models with the same weight size. Therefore, how to effectively develop a transformer-based BNN can be a new challenge and a hot research opportunity.

7 Conclusions

As mentioned above, since 2016, BNN techniques have drawn increasing research interest because of their capability to deploy models on resource-limited devices. BNN can significantly reduce storage, network complexity and energy consumption to make neural networks more efficient in embedded settings. However, binarization unavoidably causes a significant performance drop. In this paper, the literature on BNN techniques
has been rigorously explored and discussed. For the first time, we solely focus on reviewing mainly 1-bit activations and weights networks that decrease the network memory usage and computational cost.

Furthermore, a comparative classification of these techniques has been performed and discussed under multiple network components: quantization function, activations/weights distribution, loss function improvement, gradient approximation, network topology structure, and training strategy and tricks. Additionally, we present popular efficient platforms for BNN and investigate current BNN applications progress. Also, we discussed and identified the research gap and the best methods available in the literature review. Finally, we provide several recommendations and research directions for future exploration. We firmly believe that such an intricate field of BNN is just starting to permeate a broad range of AI communities and tiny resource-constraint systems and will soon be taught to students and professionals as an essential topic in computer vision and DL.
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