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We determined scaling laws for the numerical effort to find the optimal configurations of a simple model potential energy surface (PES) with a perfect funnel structure that reflects key characteristics of the protein interactions. Generalized Monte-Carlo methods (MCM, STUN) avoid an enumerative search of the PES and thus provide a natural resolution of the Levinthal paradox. We find that the computational effort grows with approximately the eighth power of the system size for MCM and STUN, while a genetic algorithm was found to scale exponentially. The scaling behaviour of a derived lattice model is also rationalized.

Despite recent successes in the description of the molecular structure [1,2] and the folding process of small polypeptides [3,8] the ab-initio prediction of the molecular structure for larger proteins remains an elusive goal. Since sequencing techniques presently outperform available experimental techniques for protein structure prediction (PSP) by a wide margin, the reservoir of sequenced proteins of unknown structure represents an ever growing pool of available, but as of yet inaccessible, biological information. These observations motivate the search for ab-initio techniques to predict the molecular structure of proteins from the amino acid sequence alone as one of the outstanding challenges to biological physics.

In one widely pursued theoretical approach to PSP, the native structure of the protein is sought as the global minimum of an appropriate potential/free energy-function of the molecule [2,9–11] often including interactions with the solvent in an approximate, implicit fashion. As the folding process in nature takes place on a long time scale (10^{-3} – 10 s), its direct simulation cannot be accomplished with the presently available computational resources. It is therefore desirable to determine the global minimum of the potential function without recourse to the folding dynamics. It has been argued that the resulting minimization problem is NP-hard [12–14], i.e. that the number of low-energy local minima grows exponentially with the number of amino acid residues. For this reason stochastic minimization procedures [15] are widely believed to be the most promising avenue to avoid an exponential increase of the numerical effort for the probabilistic “solution” to this problem. Since the available computational resources fall short by orders of magnitude to treat large proteins, it is important to obtain an order-of-magnitude estimation of the numerical effort required. This question can be answered by addressing the scaling laws [16,17]:

\[ n_{\text{CPU}}(N) \sim A N^\alpha, \quad (1) \]

governing the dependence of the computational effort \( n_{\text{CPU}} \) on the system size \( N \).

In this investigation we determined the scaling exponents for four different global minimization methods, for a very simple, idealized model that reflects some key characteristics of the realistic problem. Our results demonstrate that the Levinthal paradox [18–20], which arises from the enormous number of low-lying conformations of the protein, is naturally resolved in the presence of a funnel structure. For such models, stochastic, thermodynamically motivated, minimization techniques are generically able to avoid the exponentially difficult enumerative search of the potential energy surface (PES) in favour of a power-law dependence. Our investigation of a novel stochastic tunnelling technique, which removes the kinetic barriers between local minima of the PES, demonstrates that the scaling exponents \( \alpha \) is determined by the thermodynamic complexity of the model, not by the barrier height of the kinetic pathways. We find that the computational effort of Monte-Carlo-based methods grows with approximately the eighth power of the system size. The genetic algorithm we investigated was the most efficient technique for small systems, but its computational effort grew exponentially with system size. This finding demonstrates that the investigation of the growth laws yields a much stronger criterion for the selection of promising algorithms than the comparison of different techniques for fixed system size. Finally, we provide the first explicit demonstration that the scaling exponent of Monte-Carlo techniques on a lattice model, which incorporates only the low-energy physics of the continuum model, is consistent with its continuum equivalent.

Because a detailed direct experimental characterization of the protein PES is difficult, there is ample controversy [1,2,4] regarding its structure and defining features. However, in recent years an consensus regarding the existence of a “funnel structure” has emerged as the most important characteristic of the PES in the present paradigm for
protein folding. In such a structure, the global minimum can be reached via a multitude of pathways that traverse a sequence of increasingly well-formed intermediates in the folding process. This observation implies a positive correlation between the “distance” of a given local minimum from the native state and the relative energy difference between the two minima. There is some evidence to suggest the existence of different families of protein models within this paradigm, which may be characterized with different scaling laws in their folding time. However, since the origins of these differences are presently not known, they are difficult to incorporate into a simple continuum model that remains amenable to treatment with present-day computational resources. In order to determine a lower bound on the computational complexity, we therefore focus on the scaling laws governing the relaxation in a “perfect funnel” landscape. Such a landscape is characteristic of the family of “fast folders” in the lattice models. In addition to the existence of a funnel-structure we demand that the PES reflects two other characteristics of their realistic counterparts: a near-solid packing density in the vicinity of the global minimum and the existence of two energy scales that are derived from the two relevant types of interactions in polypeptides. The free-energy difference between low energy protein conformations is small (10 kcal/mol), arising from hydrogen-bonding, dispersion and solvent interactions. In contrast, the energy barriers separating such conformations are characterized by strong interactions (≥ 100 kcal/mol), arising from covalent bonding and steric repulsion. Simplifying significantly, the strong interactions are responsible for the reduction of the phase space to a few energetically allowed “islands”, which are then differentiated in energy by the weaker interactions.

**Model:** To obtain statistically relevant results for sufficiently large systems, we have investigated a very simple two-dimensional model, consisting of two types of particles that interact pairwise with Lennard-Jones potentials of unit radius such that like particles attract twice as strongly as unlike particles. The local minima of the model PES are slight distortions of a triangular lattice. There are exponentially many such minima, which are differentiated by the small energy difference in the interaction strength of the two types of bonds, while the transition states between the local minima are characterized by the large energy scale of steric repulsion. The problem is easily shown to be NP-hard. The dynamical process by which a random initial condition develops to the minimal configuration can be visualized as a “demixing” of the particles into two adjacent clusters of particles of the same type — the ideal funnel structure of the global PES is thus obvious. The average distance any given particle must travel from a random initial condition to its position in the minimal cluster grows with the system size, mirroring the “global” transformations required to fold the protein from the coiled to the native state.

We stress that the similarity between this model and the PSP is purely abstract, there is no correspondence or mapping between the coordinates of the particles and the coordinates of atoms or clusters of atoms in the protein. Given that a “global” transformation is required this minimization problem is more difficult than the minimization of Lennard-Jones clusters studied previously, but lacks the specific one-dimensional constraints of various simple protein models that have recently been studied on the lattice. A lattice version of the model is easily derived by associating each local minimum with its closest lattice configuration.

**Methods:** As the basic technique we have investigated Monte-Carlo with minimization (MCM), a generic and parameter-free extension of simulated annealing, which accelerates the minimization-process by allowing the configurations to relax locally before the Metropolis criterion is applied. Since only the energies of the local minima are compared to one another the simulation can proceed at a sufficiently low temperature to differentiate the local minima. Our results for trial runs using straightforward Monte-Carlo and simulated annealing calculations and their recent generalizations showed that it would be impossible to obtain sufficiently good statistics for large systems to estimate the scaling behaviour.

Secondly we investigated a novel stochastic tunnelling method (STUN), where a transformed PES:

\[
\hat{E}(\vec{x}) = 1 - e^{-\gamma |E(\vec{x}) - E(\vec{x}_0)|},
\]

is used in the dynamical process (Fig. 1). Since this transformation compresses the energy interval above the currently optimal energy \(E(\vec{x}_0)\) into the interval \([0,1]\), the high-energy scale of the problem is effectively eliminated and the simulation self-adjusts its “effective temperature” as better and better configurations are found.

Thirdly we have investigated a genetic algorithm (GA), as a radically different approach to stochastic global minimization. From a population of size \(P\), we select \(P/2\) pairs of configurations, each with probability

\[
p_i = \frac{E_{max} - E_i}{\sum_j (E_{max} - E_j)},
\]

where \(E_i\) designates the energy of configuration \(i\) and \(E_{max}\) the maximal energy of the present population. Two new configurations are generated from each pair created by randomly exchanging consecutive subsets of coordinates between the two configurations (crossover).

In addition a random alteration of one coordinate is made with a small probability (mutation). The latter step insures the ergodicity of the method, but most novel configurations are generated by the crossover mechanism. As a
reference we have gathered data for the multi-start algorithm (MS), where a sequence of independent random initial conditions is subject to local minimization.

**Results:** As an unbiased measure of the efficiency of a particular algorithm $N_{CPU}$ we adopted the average number of function evaluations ($n_{90}$) that is required to locate the global minimum with 90% probability. Given a set of parameters, we conducted between 100 and 500 independent runs. We heuristically determined a run-size $n_{max}(N)$ for which well over 90% of the runs were able to locate the global minimum. From this data we directly determined the fraction of runs necessary to locate the minimum $n_{90,raw}$. Because of the (asymptotic) time invariance of the minimization algorithms, the first-passage probability $p(n)$ must obey an exponential distribution. The systematic error to $n_{90,raw}$ is therefore small, the details of the data analysis will be published elsewhere [30]. For even system sizes $N = 4 - 16$ we have optimized the parameters of the various methods. The optimal parameters were found to be only slightly dependent on system size and could be extrapolated to larger system sizes where a full parameter optimization was too expensive [30].

For just under a decade of system sizes (Figure 2) we obtain a power-law dependence of the computational effort with the system size with scaling exponents as $\alpha_{STUN} = 7.6(\pm 1.8)$ and $\alpha_{MCM} = 6.4(\pm 1.5)$ for the continuum and $\alpha_{MC/MCM} = 4.7(\pm 1.6)$ for the lattice model. The slight curvature of the MCM data for large system size correlates with an increasing efficiency of the local minimization algorithm we used (inset of Figure 2). Taking into account the exponents of the local minimization method, which scales almost linearly in the range of system size investigated, we find $\alpha_{MC,lattice} \approx \alpha_{MCM} - \alpha_{conj,gradient}$. For the GA and MS an exponential increase of the computational effort $n_{90,raw} \sim e^{\xi N}$ with system size was observed, with exponents $\xi_{MS} = 0.64$ and $\xi_{GA} = 0.37$.

**Conclusions:** The demonstration of power-law growth of the computational effort for the Monte-Carlo method (MCM) illustrates the fact that the existence of a funnel structure is sufficient to avoid an exponentially expensive search of the PES. This observation offers a natural resolution of the Levinthal paradox in the context of thermodynamically motivated, stochastic minimization methods: The exponential complexity in the Levinthal paradox results from the assumption that the local minima appear as uncorrelated “holes” on an otherwise flat PES. Obviously, the enumerative search of such a PES is unavoidable. The two necessary ingredients for a power-law scaling of the “folding time” are the existence of a hierarchy of the local minima and a method that can exploit this hierarchy by virtue of the correlation of successive configurations. The key difference between MS and MCM lies in the lack of correlation between the configurations of the former method and results in the expected exponential increase of the numerical effort for MS.

The equivalence of the exponents of MCM and the tunnelling method, which systematically eliminates kinetic barriers in the minimization process, indicate that presence and height of such barriers do not affect the scaling behaviour of the method. It is therefore the thermodynamic complexity of the PES, as opposed to the presence of kinetic constraints, which classifies the folding process here. This observation raises the intriguing question, whether the scaling exponents are different if the structure of the minima of the PES is altered in the transformation, such as in the diffusion equation method [31].

We note that the superiority of MCM over GA can only be established in the context of a scaling analysis, as the GA is the superior method for small system size. The reasons for the failure of the GA are presently ill-understood. Compounded with the $N^2$ effort to evaluate a long-range pair-potential, the total minimization effort grows with the eighth power of the system size, which places the protein structure problem among the computationally hardest problems studied today. In the context of recent discussion regarding the “foldability” of different families of model-“proteins”, our model is a natural “fast-folder” by virtue of construction. It is therefore encouraging that our results offer the first explicit confirmation that the scaling behaviour of the continuum systems is consistent with the behaviour of the derived lattice model, while the numerical effort of the treatment of the latter is orders of magnitudes less. It is further encouraging that the scaling exponent for the continuum model agrees within the statistical error with estimations of the “folding-time” in polymer models [7] and some lattice models for proteins [6].
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FIG. 1. Schematic one-dimensional PES (full line) and its STUN effective potential (dashed line), where the indicated minimum $E(x_0)$ is used as the reference. All energies ranging from the best present estimate to infinity are mapped to the interval $[0, 1]$, while all the energies of all lower minima are exponentially enhanced.
FIG. 2. (a) log-log plot of the average number of function evaluations $n_{90,\text{raw}}$ as a function of system size $N$ for Monte-Carlo with minimization MCM (circles) and the stochastic tunnelling method STUN (open squares) in the continuum (left scale) and for Monte-Carlo (triangles) on the lattice (right scale) with power-law fits. The inset shows the average number of function evaluations (in thousands) for the minimization of a cluster of $N$ particles using the conjugate gradient algorithm. To demonstrate that exponential and power-law scaling can be clearly distinguished we show data for the exponentially scaling MS algorithm (full squares). (b) log-linear plot of $n_{90,\text{raw}}(N)$ for the multi-start method (MS) (squares) and the genetic algorithm (GA) (circles) with exponential fits.