Mapping fractional woody cover in an extensive semi-arid woodland area at different spatial grains with Sentinel-2 and very high-resolution data
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ABSTRACT

Woody canopy cover is an essential variable to characterize and monitor vegetation health, carbon accumulation and land-atmosphere exchange processes. Remote sensing-based global woody and forest cover maps are available, yet with varying qualities. In arid and semi-arid areas, existing global products often underestimate the presence of woody cover due to the sparse woody cover and bright soil background. Case studies on smaller regions have shown that a combination of collected field data and medium-to-high resolution free satellite data (e.g., Landsat / Sentinel-2) can provide woody cover estimates with practically-sufficient accuracies. However, most earlier studies focused on comparably small regions and relied on costly field data. Here, we present a fully remote sensing-based work-flow to derive woody cover estimates over an area covering more than 0.5 million km². The work-flow is showcased over the Zagros Mountains, a semi-arid mountain range covering western Iran, the northeast of Iraq and some smaller fraction of southeast Turkey. We use the Google Earth Engine to create homogeneous Sentinel-2 mosaics of the region using data from several years. These data are combined with reference woody cover values derived by a semi-automatic procedure from Google® and Bing® very high resolution (VHR) imagery. Several random forest (RF) models at different spatial grains were trained and at each grain validated with iterative splits of the reference data into training and validation sets (100 repetitions). Best results (considering the trade-off between model performance and spatial detail) were obtained for the model with 40 m spatial grain which showed stable relationships between the VHR-derived reference data and the Sentinel-2 based estimates of woody cover density. The model resulted in median values of coefficient of determination (R²) and RMSE of 0.67 and 0.11, respectively. Our work-flow is potentially also applicable to other arid and semi-arid regions and can contribute to improve currently available global woody cover products, which often perform poorly in semi-arid and arid regions. Comparisons between our woody cover products with other arid and semi-arid regions and can contribute to improve currently available global woody cover products, which often perform poorly in semi-arid and arid regions. Comparisons between our woody cover products with common global woody or forest-cover products indicate a clear superiority of our approach. In future studies, these results may be further improved by taking into account regional differences in the drivers of woody-cover patterns along the environmental gradient of the Zagros area.
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1. Introduction

Woody vegetation canopy cover (hereafter termed woody cover) is one of the most commonly used structural parameters to describe forest and woodland ecosystems and is an essential biodiversity variable under the class of ecosystem structure (Jongman et al., 2017). Woody cover is defined as the fraction of land that is covered by the vertical projection of trees’ and shrubs’ canopies relative to the entire area (Gonsamo et al., 2013, Yang et al., 2017). Woody cover is also an important input parameter to describe biosphere – atmosphere exchange processes such as evapotranspiration (Villegas et al., 2015). Further, woody cover can be used to describe the current state of an ecosystem: reductions in woody cover may indicate decline or overexploitation processes in forest and woodland areas (e.g., Wang, Cochrane, 2005) with corresponding losses in biomass and, hence, the sequestered carbon. Increases in woody cover may indicate reduced anthropogenic pressure, for example due to land-use changes (e.g., Baumann et al., 2012) or climate-change induced encroachment of woody species in temperature- or in some cases precipitation-limited ecosystems (e.g., García Criado et al., 2020). In dryland ecosystems, an increase in woody cover may indicate...
unfavorable shrub encroachment processes, which may fragment habitats and endanger biodiversity (e.g., Yang, Crews, 2019). Regular monitoring of woody cover at ecosystem level over large geographical extents is hardly feasible with terrestrial surveys alone. Hence, numerous studies have combined field data with remote sensing data (e.g., Wagenseil, Samimi, 2007) or directly used remote sensing approaches to map forest or woody cover (e.g., Yang, Crews, 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Nagelkirk and Dahlin, 2020). Woody cover over local to regional extents has for example been estimated from airborne remote sensing data including aerial photographs (e.g., Fadaei et al., 2010; Lopez et al., 2016) and laser scanning data (e.g., Andersen et al., 2005, Lee and Lucas, 2007). While these approaches typically provide realistic woody cover estimates, these data are often restricted in their spatial coverage due to quite high costs and thus may not be available for some parts of the Earth. Therefore, other studies examined freely-available satellite data to estimate forest and woody cover using data from sensors, such as Landsat TM (Rikimaru et al., 2002, Nandy et al., 2003; Deka et al., 2012), Landsat ETM+ (Deka et al., 2012; Joshi et al., 2006), Landsat 8-OLI (Korhonen, 2017) and Sentinel-2 (S2) (e.g., Korhonen et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). As summarized by Yang et al. (2017) most of these studies applied one of three methodical approaches to estimate woody cover. These include pixel-wise/spectral unmixing, physically-based and empirical modeling approaches.

In contrast to empirical methods, the spectral unmixing method does not necessarily involve field-based measurements, as all required information can principally be derived directly from the image being unmixed (Nagelkirk and Dahlin, 2020). The basic principle of pixel-wise spectral unmixing follows the assumption that the spectrum of each pixel is a (linear) mixture of the spectra of a limited number of so-called endmembers. Each endmember represents the spectral properties of one of the “pure” landcover classes typically occurring in the region (e.g., soil, woody vegetation, rocks). Comparing the spectrum measured for an individual pixel against (mixed) spectra of the endmembers then allows to estimate the percentage cover of each of the corresponding landcover classes in the pixel. In a recent study in presence of field data, spectral unmixing outperformed linear regression for mapping woody cover in a savannah environment, while in turn random forest (RF) outperformed the unmixing approach (Nagelkirk and Dahlin, 2020). The selection of endmembers was stated to be challenging in semi-arid regions due to the varying spectral properties of vegetation and soil over time and space (Nagelkirk and Dahlin, 2020).

The inversion of physically-based models, which simulate the physical relationships between vegetation canopies’ spectral reflectance and a set of parameters including leaf and canopy traits, soil properties and view-geometry parameters has also been used to estimate fractional vegetation cover (FVC), a variable related to woody cover (Baret et al., 2007, Yang et al., 2017). Direct inversion of these models is usually difficult due to the complexity of the physical models that often require

---

Fig. 1. The top panel shows a high-resolution Google satellite image of a small area in Zagros. The bottom left panel shows the Hansen treecover2000 layer of the global forest cover product. In this area of Zagros, the Hansen Forest cover is very low in most parts even though the high-resolution images show a very high woody cover. In the bottom right panel, the DLR TanDEM-X Forest/Non-Forest (FNF) product for the same area is depicted. Almost the whole area is classified as non-forest as well. (The comparison of this area with the results of our woody cover map, is also shown in the Supplementary Material 1). All images are projected in the geographic coordinate system (EPSG 4326).
the definition of numerous parameters, while corresponding data are limited. The comparably heterogeneous horizontal vegetation structure of semi-arid woodlands may also not match key assumptions of some radiative transfer models. For example, the SAIL model assumes a horizontally homogeneous canopy (Verhoef 1984) which cannot be assumed for most semi-arid woodlands at the spatial scale of Landsat or Sentinel-2. Hence, most studies and data products that follow a physical modelling approach to estimate variables related to vegetation cover were based on coarse resolution data. Using coarse resolution data, some generalizations can be made concerning the parameters required for the inversion procedure and the assumption of a homogeneous canopy cover may become acceptable. For example, Yang et al. (2017) mention products based on MODIS and MERIS data and Baret et al. (2007) used the PROSAIL model to estimate FVC from VEGETATION data at approximately 1.15 km spatial resolution. Contrarily, studies applying physically-based models to estimate vegetation cover at finer resolution are lacking, most likely due to the restrictions outlined above.

Finally, the presumably most common and straightforward approach to estimate woody cover is via empirical models, in which a statistical relationship between vegetation cover and remotely sensed spectral information is established (Yang et al., 2017). Many earlier studies combined woody cover reference values obtained from field data or very high spatial resolution imagery (VHR) with moderate to high spatial resolution satellite data (e.g., Wagenseil, Samimi, 2007; Bucini et al., 2009), via statistical or machine learning models (e.g., Wingate et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2020; Anchang et al., 2020). Machine learning models are nowadays often applied because of their computational efficiency and robustness against noisy data, as well as their ability to estimate multivariate nonlinear relationships (Yang et al., 2017). A general key limitation of empirical models is their commonly-observed restriction to the environmental conditions under which they were established (Schlerf and Atzberger, 2006). As a result, empirical models often cannot be extrapolated to new conditions that were not covered in their calibration data (Twery and Weiskittel, 2013). Calibration data, in turn, are typically constrained by the availability of field or other reference datasets. On the other hand, empirical models need only basic inputs, are simple to implement and often perform better than physical models and spectral unmixing approaches within a limited dataspace or study area. Hence, they are a viable option if the corresponding reference data demands can be matched. This high data demand of empirical models has become less problematic over the last years. Numerous new satellite sensors deliver continuous streams of satellite data with some of the data being available free of cost (Turner et al., 2015). Also, corresponding reference data become increasingly available through data sharing and direct derivation of reference data from very high-resolution imagery collected by aerial surveys, unmanned aerial systems or satellites (e.g., Ludwig et al., 2019; Kattenborn et al., 2019; Fassnacht et al., 2021). In summary, empirical models have shown to work well if sufficient reference data are available, i.e. the advantages of empirical models over physical models and unmixing approaches may prevail if large amounts of reference data can be obtained at low cost.

Independent from the applied methodology, there is a tendency of earlier studies examining work-flows to estimate woody cover to focus on temperate (e.g., Heckel et al., 2020), boreal (e.g., Korhonen et al., 2017) and tropical forests (e.g., Wasiennski et al., 2020). Contrarily, the estimation of woody cover from satellite imagery has been less frequently examined in arid and semi-arid regions (Soleimannejad et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2012). In the context of remote sensing, such regions differ from tropical, temperate and boreal forests in both their structural and spectral properties. Dryland ecosystems like the woodlands found in the semi-Mediterranean Zagros area on which we focus in this study are associated with patchy occurrences of trees and a corresponding discontinuous crown cover. In Zagros, these patterns are a result of the joint effects of a longstanding land-use history and the climatic conditions. These patchy and often sparse canopies typically build a strong contrast to the bright soil background (Abdollahnejad et al., 2019), which notably affects the relation between woody cover and the observed satellite signal.

Depending on the exact location and season in which remote sensing data are acquired, herbaceous vegetation may additionally have a notable influence on the spectral signal (Rautiainen and Heiskanen, 2013, Rautiainen et al., 2011). In arid and semi-arid regions, vegetation growth is mostly limited by water availability, thus vegetation phenology displays pronounced seasonal trends following the precipitation patterns (wet and dry seasons) of the region (Wagenseil, Samimi, 2007). Hence, previous studies suggested that applying multi-temporal intra-annual data can be useful for mapping woody cover in arid and semi-arid regions (Zandler et al., 2015; Wingate et al., 2019; Ludwig et al., 2019). It has also been observed that spectral bands in the visual and near-infrared regions often show a greater contrast between soil and woody vegetation during the dry season due to the lack of herbaceous vegetation (Ludwig et al., 2019). These differences in the spectral properties of arid and semi-arid woodlands forests as compared to boreal, temperate and tropical forests may be one important reason why global forest cover products often perform poorly in arid and semi-arid regions (Cunningham et al., 2019). In particular, underestimations of woody cover are common in these ecosystems (Friedl et al., 2002; Bastin et al., 2017; Cunningham et al., 2019). This can be problematic as these global forest cover products are often used as input to environmental modelling studies and for the planning of forest restoration and
afforestation measures, but are rarely scientifically evaluated in these studies (Fagan 2020). Inaccuracies in these products may also affect future decision-making, especially in regions that are associated with data scarcity (Cunningham et al., 2019). This local quality issue of global products is shown for example in Fig. 1 where areas densely stocked with trees are depicted as mostly unforested in two global forest cover products (i.e., the Hansen Global Forest Change map 2000–2014, and the Global TanDEM-X Forest/Non-Forest Map by DLR). These two products show less than 5 percent woody vegetation/forests in most parts of the Zagros area, although in reality there are some areas in which these woodlands have cover-values of up to 80–90 percent (Sagheb-Talebi et al., 2014). It has to be mentioned though, that for example the Hansen global forest cover product is estimated for trees with heights greater than 5 m. These tree heights are not achieved in all areas of Zagros, however, a comparably large fraction of the oak forests of the region have notably higher trees. This is only one example which shows that the accurate quantification of woody cover from satellite imagery over dryland ecosystems with sparse vegetation cover (Soleimannejad et al., 2018, and Yang et al., 2012) and often limited amounts of field reference data (Bai 2010) still remains a challenge.

Fig. 3. Preparation of the binary reference images for a wooded area (left panels) and a non-wooded area/bare soil (right panels) based on a simple threshold-based procedure.

This challenge has been widely discussed in several recent studies. For example, Fagan (2020) shows that relying on current global forest cover product may lead to a wide overestimation of the afforestation potential in dryland regions as the actual forest cover is notably underestimated. Bastin et al. (2017) show in a study based on an extensive dataset of photo-interpreted forest and woodland plots in drylands (using very high-resolution imagery available in Google Earth) that the actual tree cover of global drylands may be 40–47% higher than reported by global tree cover products. A more general discussion of the challenges of remote sensing approaches in dryland ecosystem can be found in the recent review of Smith et al. (2019).

In this study, we focus on the “Zagros Forests” which spread over a semi-arid mountain range covering western Iran, the northeast of Iraq and some smaller fraction of southeast Turkey. Baseline information is generally sparse in this enormous area (about 5.5 million ha) and obtaining a woody cover map at fine spatial grain is an important contribution to characterize the forest structure of this vast area. Furthermore, information on woody cover is an important prerequisite for subsequent forest decline analyses from satellite time-series as the comparably large influence of the soil background has to be accounted for (Soleimannejad et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2012). To our knowledge, no study so far has estimated the woody cover of the entire Zagros Forests. Previous studies only examined smaller subset of the Zagros area (e.g., Darvishsefat and Saroei, 2003; Ahmadi Sani et al., 2007; Abdolahi and Shataee Joybari, 2012; Shahvali Kouhshour et al., 2012; Mirzaeizadeh et al., 2015).

The objective of this study is to develop a work-flow to derive woody cover estimates across a very large semi-arid area based on freely available Sentinel-2 satellite data. As a sub-objective, we additionally examine how the spatial grain of the analysis affects the model quality. The latter is interesting for at least two reasons: 1. we expect that at the spatial grain of Sentinel-2, the patchy occurrence of trees and shrubs may complicate the accurate estimation of woody cover and switching to slightly coarser spatial grains may improve the model performance. 2. A slightly coarser grain may additionally reduce variability introduced by sub-pixel spatial shifts between reference data and Sentinel-2 data. The present work-flow is potentially also applicable to other arid and semi-arid forest and woodland areas and can contribute to improve currently available global forest cover products, which often perform poorly across areas dominated by sparse woody vegetation on a bright background soil.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

Our study area includes the entire Zagros Forests covering more than 5.5 million ha across a length of approximately 1300 km (Khabazi, 2020; Rahimi, et al., 2020). A third of the total population of Iran lives in this southern part of West Azerbaijan Province to the Fars Province (Mahdavi, et al., 2014) and expands to neighboring countries (northern Iraq and southeastern Turkey) (Fig. 2). The climate in the Zagros area is semi-arid with a mean annual precipitation from 250 to 800 mm and a mean annual temperature from 9 to 25°C (Attarod et al., 2016). The woodlands in Zagros are associated with patchy occurrences of trees and a corresponding discontinuous crown cover. The most dominant and frequent tree species include: Brants Oak (Quercus brantii var. persica) that are partly mixed with Quercus infectoria G.Olivier, Quercus libani G.Olivier, wild Pistachio (Pistacia atlantica Desf.) and Acer monspessulanum L., Crataegus spp., Amygdalus spp. and Pyrus spp. (Erfanifard et al., 2014). The prevailing mixtures depend on multiple site factors along the latitudinal gradient. The Zagros Forests play an important role in maintaining endemic vegetation and wildlife habitats, providing forage and shelter for nomadic and rural populations and their cattle, as well as non-wood forest products like natural gum, oak seeds and oak gall (Jazirei, Ebrahimi-Rostaghi, 2003; Sagheb-Talebi et al., 2014). Over the last decades, the Zagros area has been deeply affected by environmental and political processes such as the occurrence of weather extremes, the 8-year Iran-Iraq war (1980–1988), and the still ongoing oak dieback (Alibakhshi et al., 2019; Arsalani et al., 2018) which have all contributed to a decline of the ecosystems in Zagros.

2.2. Remote sensing data

This research is based on two main data sources: multispectral S2 satellite data; Google Earth and Bing very high-resolution satellite images.

**Reference data preparation for woody cover estimation**

A large woody cover reference data set was collected using very high-resolution Google Earth and Bing imagery. The most recent acquisition dates for VHR imagery were 2015 and 2016 and the spatial resolution of Google Earth and Bing imagery ranges from 15 m to 15 cm. In this study we did not use images with less than 1.5 m spatial resolution to reduce uncertainty in the reference dataset. To compose our reference dataset, a total of 8000 points were randomly sampled inside the Zagros area and a square buffer with 200 × 200 m area was generated around each point. To create these buffers, we used the gBuffer function available in the rgeos library (Bivand et al., 2020) in R (R Development Core Team, 2021). Then, the corresponding Google Earth and Bing images were visually screened in QGIS to allocate the Google and Bing images at each location to one of the three classes (“Wooded area = 1”, “No wooded area = 0” and “Non-interpretable area” = 99) according to the area within each buffer. The Non-interpretable areas mostly referred to image scenes of low quality (e.g., coarse spatial resolution, blurry images, image dominated by dark shadows) in which the woody cover could not clearly be identified. Non-interpretable areas were excluded and the remaining images (in total 1270 images) composed the basis of our reference dataset. The reference samples were well distributed over the entire Zagros area (Fig. 2) and had an average distance of approximately 8.8 km from each other.

All the selected reference images were saved as geo-located screen-shots in QGIS and cropped to the size of the squared buffers of 200 × 200 m. Then, a semi-automatic threshold-based approach was applied in R using raster and Rdal libraries to prepare a binary dataset indicating the presence and absence of woody cover in each pixel of the high-resolution reference images. For this, we systematically applied several thresholds (typically ranging from 50 to 150 while the radiometric resolution of the screenshots was 8bit with values from 0 to 255) to the blue channel of the RGB images until the resulting binary image agreed well with the visual impression of the woody cover presence. Due to the very dark appearance of the woody vegetation in front of the bright soil background, this procedure was straightforward and reliable. This procedure resulted in high-resolution binary images indicating areas of woody cover and bare soil (Fig. 3). From these images, the fractional woody cover in percent could be easily obtained for any subset of the high-resolution reference image by dividing the number of woody cover pixels by the total number of pixels. For each RF model (see Fig. 3) we automatically produced the woody cover reference values from the high-resolution binary reference images by overlapping them with a polygon/vector file corresponding to the pixel size and selected grain of S2, as suggested by previous studies (e.g., Higginsbottom et al., 2018). All reference images can be accessed via a link provided in the Supplementary Material S.

**Preparation of S2 mosaics and predictor variables**

We applied S2 Surface Reflectance imagery available in the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform (https://earthengine.google.org/) data catalogue – detailed description of this data product and the applied radiometric, geometric and atmospheric corrections can be found at the GEE webpage (https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/COPERNICUS_S2_SR, last access 11th of October 2021). These data have a 5-day temporal resolution. For creating cloud-free mosaics, we first defined a filter to only consider S2 images with a maximum cloud cover of 30%. Then, from all remaining images acquired in the time-period between 2018 and 2020 and during the summer months (June - September) we calculated a composite mosaic image directly in the GEE. We did this by first using the quality assessment band (QA60) to eliminate cloud and cloud shadow affected pixels for each of the S2 scenes (Gao et al., 2017, Zhang et al., 2020). Then we calculated the median-reflectance value for each pixel and band from the remaining cloud-free image stack, which resulted in a cloud-free high-quality mosaic. A visual screening of the mosaic showed that no recognizable data artefacts were present. In preliminary analyses, we also examined the potential benefits of adding S2 mosaics of other seasons, but these did not improve the results. For the sake of parsimony, we hence focused on the summer-mosaics only.

In addition to the 10 S2 bands with 10- and 20-meter resolutions, we calculated the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and the reduced simple ratio (RSR) index. We included the RSR as it was found to decrease background effects in areas with open canopy cover in earlier studies (e.g.; Brown et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2010). Furthermore, a binary vegetation layer predictor variable was produced by applying a threshold of 0.17 to the S2 NDVI image which resulted in a binary image of vegetated and non-vegetated areas. Then, the fraction of vegetation pixels at 10 m pixel size within the reference areas was determined and used as predictor variable. The relatively low threshold was selected due to generally lower NDVI values in semi-arid regions such as the Zagros Forests (Eskandari et al., 2020). To account for potential influences of the large environmental gradient covered by the Zagros area on the relationship between remote sensing data and woody cover, we also included Bioclim variables (BIO12 = Annual Precipitation, BIO15 = Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation), BIO16 = Precipitation of Wettest Quarter, BIO17 = Precipitation of Driest Quarter) (www.worldclim.org) from the GEE data catalogue in our woody cover models. Furthermore, we calculated grey-level co-occurrence texture metrics
(GLCM) using the Near Infrared band - NIR (Band 8) of S2 and the NDVI to also consider spatial context (Wood et al., 2012). GLCM texture variables were previously stated to be a strong proxy for vegetation structure (Wood et al., 2012), and were found to be beneficial for vegetation-related remote sensing analyses in many earlier studies (e.g., Coburn and Roberts, 2004; Dobrowski et al., 2008). We calculated texture metrics in the GEE for neighborhoods of 3 × 3 and 5 × 5 pixels using the “glcmTexture” function and selected the metrics entropy, contrast, similarity/dissimilarity, correlation and variance. The selection of metrics was based on the recommendations of Deur et al. (2020).

2.3. Methods

The methodical work-flow for the study is summarized in Fig. 4 and explained with more details below.

The Google Earth Engine codes applied in this study can be found in the Supplementary Material 5.

**Rf algorithm**

We used the decision-tree ensemble method RF (Breiman, 2001) to map the canopy cover and land cover of the study area. For a summary of some earlier applications of RF in the context of remote sensing we refer to Belgiu and Dragut (2016). The benefits of RF over traditional classification and regression methods include its ability to manage (Table 1).
numerous input variables, to run effectively on large datasets, to be less susceptible to noisy input data and outliers and to be hardly prone to overfitting (Mellor et al., 2015; Gislason et al., 2006). RF is directly available in the GEE (Gaffarian et al., 2020). The availability of RF in GEE is particularly valuable as image processing on local computers would require a lot of memory, a long processing time, and a lot of storage space for our extensive study area.

Variable selection, modeling and multi-scale analysis
RF models were trained to predict the woody cover reference values using the 40 predictor variables described above and listed in Supplementary Material 2. We ran multiple RF models trained at different spatial grains. We started with the original S2 resolution of 10 m and then reduced the resolution with 10 m steps. In case of the model with 10 m resolution, we resampled the 20 m bands of S2 to 10 m in the GEE. This resulted in datasets with a pixel size of 10, 20, 30, 40, … up to 120 m. We ran RF models separately for each spatial grain. For each model, we automatically produced the woody cover reference values from the high-resolution woody cover- delineated RGB reference images by overlapping them with a polygon/vector file corresponding to the S2 pixel size of the current model, and then counting the number of pixels in the high-resolution binary reference images that were assigned to the woody cover class. The corresponding fractional woody cover values were attached to the reference polygons. This step was conducted in R using the raster (Hijmans and Etten, 2012) and rgdal (Bivand et al., 2021) packages. After obtaining the reference values, the polygon vector file was imported to GEE. Then, mean values of all predictor variables for the pixels covered by the polygons were obtained and exported as csv file using GEE. The extracted values were then imported to R to run a feature selection using the “three-step variable selection using random forests” (VSURF) package (Genuer et al., 2015). The VSURF method is based on the RF model and is designed to manage high-dimensional data. The VSURF package is highly scalable and can be used to select features in both, regression and supervised classification problems. To perform VSURF, the first step is to exclude all non-essential variables from the dataset. The second step is to pick all variables that are correlated to the response and contribute to a good model performance. For prediction purposes, the third step refines the collection by removing redundancy in the range of variables chosen in the second step (Genuer et al., 2015). The selected predictor variables for each spatial grain are reported in Table 1.

Using the VSURF-selected predictors, we then trained a RF model (ee.Classifier.smileRandomForest function with 500 trees) to predict woody cover across the entire Zagros area. This part of the analysis was again conducted in GEE. For model training in GEE, we used all available reference data to maximize the information content in the model used to create the prediction map of woody cover density. To further validate our models, we ran additional RF models in R by iteratively splitting the whole reference data sets into 70% training and 30% validation samples. Optimal mtry parameter for the models in R were selected using the tuneRF-function of the randomForest-package. The tuneRF function was run with settings: ntreeTry = 50, stepFactor = 2, improve = 0.05, trace = TRUE, doBest = TRUE. For the tuned models,
we calculated RMSE and coefficient of determination (R2) values based on the validation samples for each of the 100 model runs.

2.3.1. Land cover

Our woody cover predictions were created for the entire Zagros area, which also includes non-forested areas. Hence, a land cover map was required to exclude other land cover classes such as built-up, water, and agricultural areas. A recent country-wide land cover map of Iran is available (Ghorbanian et al., 2020) and some other local products were provided by the provincial branches of the Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, yet based on varied datasets and unclear methodology. Initial visual interpretation of those maps showed that they do not appropriately depict the wooded areas in our study region. Almost the entire woodland areas in Zagros are classified as rangeland in the corresponding products (e.g., Ghorbanian et al., 2020) but also other land cover types were mis-classified in the available maps. Hence, a more suitable land cover product was produced within this study. For this, we first prepared S2 mosaics following the work-flow described above but containing all seasons. Using the images of different seasons may improve the land cover classification results, especially when classifying

Fig. 7. Map of woody cover estimates for the entire Zagros area. The three subsets A, B and C show two focus areas in topographically complex regions and one focus area with buildings and agricultural fields. The upper row shows the S2-based woody cover map and the bottom row the corresponding areas of high-resolution RGB imagery. Contour lines showing the elevation above sea level are shown on top of the RGB images.
agricultural areas (Wingate et al., 2019; Zandler et al., 2015). Thus, we used mosaics based on the S2 images of the last three years including spring (March, April, May), summer (June, July, August) and fall (September, October, November) seasons. We excluded winter as the corresponding mosaics were more frequently affected by data artefacts from clouds and snow. For each season we calculated a grey-scale image from the S2 image using equation (1). Texture variables for each of these greyscale images were calculated using the glcmTexture function in GEE. We used a neighborhood size of 2 and a kernel size of 3 × 3 and all available 21 texture metrics were used as predictors (e.g., entropy, contrast, asimilarity, correlation, etc.).

\[
\text{GreyScaleImage} = (0.3*S2Band8) + (0.59*S2Band4) + (0.11*S2Band3)
\]  

(1)

We further used additional vegetation indices (e.g., Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), Normalized Difference Tillage Index (NDTI) introduced by Van Deventer et al (1997), Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)), SRTM digital elevation data (Farr et al., 2007) and predictor variables from Sentinel-1 images. We used Sentinel-1C-band Ground Range Detected SAR data from GEE (ImageCollectionID: COPERNICUS/S1 GRD) at 10 m pixel size for spring (March, April, May), summer (June, July, August) and fall (September, October, November) seasons between 2018 and 2020. To construct a homogeneous subset of Sentinel-1 data, metadata properties were used to filter the S1 data collection with the following setting: Polarization of the transmitter and receiver: [‘VV’, ‘VH’], instrument Mode of ‘IW’ (Interferometric Wide Swath and orbit Properties pass: ‘DESCENDING’). Then we calculated a composite mosaic image directly in the GEE obtaining median-backscatter values for each pixel.

We defined seven land cover classes for the supervised classification including built-up, plantations (orchards), agriculture, water, wooded area, rangeland and bare soil. We collected approximately 1000 reference data points per class via visual interpretation of high-resolution agricultural areas (Wingate et al., 2019; Zandler et al., 2015). Thus, we used mosaics based on the S2 images of the last three years including spring (March, April, May), summer (June, July, August) and fall (September, October, November) seasons. We excluded winter as the corresponding mosaics were more frequently affected by data artefacts from clouds and snow. For each season we calculated a grey-scale image from the S2 image using equation (1). Texture variables for each of these greyscale images were calculated using the glcmTexture function in GEE. We used a neighborhood size of 2 and a kernel size of 3 × 3 and all available 21 texture metrics were used as predictors (e.g., entropy, contrast, asimilarity, correlation, etc.).

\[
\text{GreyScaleImage} = (0.3*S2Band8) + (0.59*S2Band4) + (0.11*S2Band3)
\]  

(1)

We further used additional vegetation indices (e.g., Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), Normalized Difference Tillage Index (NDTI) introduced by Van Deventer et al (1997), Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI)), SRTM digital elevation data (Farr et al., 2007) and predictor variables from Sentinel-1 images. We used Sentinel-1C-band Ground Range Detected SAR data from GEE (ImageCollectionID: COPERNICUS/S1 GRD) at 10 m pixel size for spring (March, April, May), summer (June, July, August) and fall (September, October, November) seasons between 2018 and 2020. To construct a homogeneous subset of Sentinel-1 data, metadata properties were used to filter the S1 data collection with the following setting: Polarization of the transmitter and receiver: [‘VV’, ‘VH’], instrument Mode of ‘IW’ (Interferometric Wide Swath and orbit Properties pass: ‘DESCENDING’). Then we calculated a composite mosaic image directly in the GEE obtaining median-backscatter values for each pixel.

We defined seven land cover classes for the supervised classification including built-up, plantations (orchards), agriculture, water, wooded area, rangeland and bare soil. We collected approximately 1000 reference data points per class via visual interpretation of high-resolution Google and Bing imagery in GEE. 70 percent of these points were used to train a RF model in the classification mode to classify the stacked image for the entire Zagros area. The point-based training for RF classifier was stated to result in more accurate classification compared to polygon-based training (Corcoran et al., 2015). We used an equal number of training points for each class, since RF tends to classify more pixels to the classes with a larger number of training points (e.g., Latifi et al., 2015). Analogously to the woody cover models, we used the VSURF package to select the most important predictors to improve the model. In the final model, 25 important variables were selected by VSURF (see Supplementary Material 3). Then after adding the important variables, we ran the model by splitting the whole reference data set to 70 % training and 30% validation samples to create the prediction map. We ran additional RF models to validate our model by iteratively splitting the whole reference data set to 70 % training and 30% validation samples following the same approach outlined above for the woody cover models. We calculated confusion matrices from the 100 iterations and report the accuracy of the classification via overall accuracy, kappa and class-specific accuracies (sensitivity, specificity, balanced accuracy).

2.3.2. Woody cover histogram

As final step, we created 25,000 random points across the entire Zagros area and at the location of each random point, we extracted the estimated woody cover as well as the landcover class assigned to the point. These data were then used to depict a histogram of the woody cover percentages across the entire Zagros area.

3. Results

3.1. Woody cover map

Fig. 5 shows violin plots for the model performance metrics obtained during the iterative evaluations of the RF models for estimating woody cover. The results notably improve from the highest resolution at 10 × 10 m until 40 × 40 m but then improvements slowly level off and remain approximately constant until they reach the coarsest spatial grain of 120 × 120 m. As the results hardly improved at resolutions coarser than 40 m, we prepared the predictions maps at 40 m spatial resolution as a finer spatial grain was considered beneficial.

For the selected model with 40 m resolution, coefficient of determination (R2) values range between 0.56 and 0.74 with a median value of 0.67. The RMSE values range between approximately 0.10 and 0.14 with a median of 0.12.

The corresponding scatter plot of the RF model with 40 m pixel size indicates that the model works reasonably well but also that a quite notable amount of variation in the reference data cannot be explained. Particularly for higher cover values the model only works moderately well (Fig. 6). The tendency of RF to underestimate high and overestimate low values is also apparent.

The final woody cover map for the entire Zagros area is depicted in Fig. 7. High woody cover values are particularly visible for the Northern, more humid parts of Zagros and for the central mountain regions with
higher elevations. This agrees very well with the existing knowledge on the cover distribution in the region.

The three focal areas of the woody cover map (in Fig. 7) show that the developed woody cover product is able to depict varied cover-situations quite well, even though areas with over- and underestimated cover values are also clearly apparent. Judging from the displayed contour-maps, no obvious influence of topography on the woody cover estimates can be observed in the depicted focal area maps.

The selected predictors that were applied in the final RF models at each spatial grain are summarized in Table 1. The selected variables are overall very stable across the examined spatial grains. In almost all models, most S2-bands were selected except for the SWIR bands B10-B12 and the red-edge band B6. The B8a band is also only selected in one model, while for all other models B8 (which includes the spectral region of B8a) was selected. Besides the original bands, the two examined indices NDVI and RSR as well as the threshold variable based on NDVI were chosen in almost all models, whereby NDVI and the threshold variable were always amongst the first ranked predictors. Additionally, two NDVI-based textures metrics (NDVI contrast and NDVI asimilarity) were frequently selected. All four bioclim variables were selected in almost all models, even though mostly at higher ranks.
3.2. Land cover map

Fig. 8 displays a summary of the validation metrics of the land cover classification. The highest sensitivity was gained by bare soil and built-up classes with median values of both > 0.98. Lowest sensitivity values (0.85 and 0.92) were observed for the wooded area and agriculture classes, respectively. The highest specificity values were obtained for water, built-up classes and bare soil (all three > 0.99) and the lowest specificity values for rangeland, wooded area, agriculture and plantation, respectively (approximately 0.98).

The corresponding trends are also mirrored in the balanced accuracies which were again highest for the bare soil with median value of 0.99, built-up and water with median values of more than 0.98 and lowest for the wooded area and agriculture with approximately 0.91 and 0.95, respectively. All metrics were comparably stable throughout the 100 iterations which indicates that the number of training samples were sufficient. The land cover classification model reached an overall accuracy and a kappa value of more than 0.94 and 0.93, respectively.

The final S2-based land cover map for the entire study area and some focal area maps with corresponding high-resolution RGB imagery are shown in Fig. 9. The dark-green belt of “wooded areas” reaching from the North-West to the South-East of the study area agrees very well with the fractional woody cover map (Fig. 7). The huge agricultural areas in the North of Zagros are also clearly visible, while agricultural areas are more sparsely distributed in the Southern parts of Zagros. The other land-cover classes mostly occur with smaller proportions, except for rangeland which becomes more continuous in the Southern parts of Zagros, where tree growth is more and more limited due to the climatic conditions. Overall, the land-cover map agrees well with the known distribution of land-cover in the Zagros area.

As shown in the focus area maps, discriminating the plantation/orchards class from the wooded areas was not always possible (see Fig. 9 - panel C and additional graphs in the Supplementary Material 3) and especially in areas with dense natural woody cover some confusions occurred. Some further confusion occurred between built-up areas and very bright bare soil or pebble areas (see Fig. 9 – panel B, along the river). Finally, the classes bare soil, rangeland and wooded area expectedly showed some confusions. This is no surprise as these three classes more or less form a continuum from bare soil to woodlands with rangeland having higher fractions of herbaceous vegetation. A clear separation of the three classes is hence challenging, even in the field.

3.3. Woody cover histogram

According to the final woody cover map presented in this study, most areas classified as forests, have a woody cover below approximately 60% even though higher cover values exist in some areas (bottom right panel of Fig. 10). The highest frequencies can be observed for areas with a cover of approximately 10% which is FAO’s minimum cover to fulfil the definition of a forest. If woody cover estimates for bare soil, rangeland and plantations areas are additionally considered in the histogram, the proportion of very low cover values increases notably (top panels in Fig. 10).
4. Discussion

In this study, we suggest a fully remote sensing-based work-flow to estimate fractional woody cover across the entire Zagros mountains. Our results demonstrate the capability of S2 images to estimate woody cover in the semi-arid Zagros Forest area using reference data from VHR satellite imagery of Google Earth and Bing instead of field measurements. Our methodology is practical when focusing on vast areas where field sampling can be very time-consuming and expensive. Recently published studies proved that high-resolution imagery can be used as complementary to field sampling (e.g., Anchang et al., 2020; Eskandari et al., 2020), whereas some studies solely relied on VHR imagery as surrogate of field data (e.g., Kattenborn et al., 2019; Fassnacht et al., 2021; Ludwig et al., 2019). The latter studies argued that for certain objectives, the VHR imagery might even be more reliable than field data. For example, visual estimates of woody cover on the ground may be less accurate as the field observer is not sharing the bird eye’s perspective of the satellite data (Kattenborn et al., 2019). Visual comparisons of our resulting woody cover map showed very good agreements with the VHR data and seem to confirm the validity of this approach.

One challenge when working with extensive study areas is the environmental variability. The Zagros area is considered a geographic entity, but the environmental and socio-political settings within Zagros vary widely. The Zagros area has varying rainfall patterns and crosses several climatic zones from very hot and dry (in southern Zagros) to semi-arid and even cold climates (in northern Zagros) (Ashraf Vaghefi et al., 2019). Different dominant woody species may occur in each of these climatic zones, exemplified by 1) the high mixture of Q. libani and Q. infectoria with Q. brantii in the northern part and their absence in central / southern parts and 2) the dominance of Amygdalus spp. in the very southern part, occasionally in absence of Q. brantii. Another significant source of variation is the traditional land-use practices outside the main urban centers, which range from a dominance of settled villages in the northern parts to different types of nomadic life in central/southern parts, resulting in variable forest tenure and thus densities due to different silvo-pastoral systems. This might be one reason why our model was able to predict woody cover reasonably well but still a notable amount of unexplained variation remained. Given, the comparably low woody cover in most parts of Zagros (Figs. 7 and 10), the obtained median RMSE value of 0.12 (12%) is comparably high and indicates that there is still room for improving the suggested work-flow. Concerning the variability introduced by differing climatic conditions, we tried to at least partly account for the environmental gradients of the Zagros area by adding bioclim variables to our work-flow. These turned out to be amongst the selected predictors in almost all RF models, which confirms the importance of considering information on environmental gradients in estimating woody cover fraction. Some earlier studies focusing on woody cover estimation classified their study area into various bioclimatic zones and found a significant relationship between bioclimatic zones and woody cover density (e.g., Brandt et al., 2018; Sankaran et al., 2005). Thus, an alternative way to our suggested approach could be to classify the entire study area to different climatic zones and then train separate zone-specific models.

Another source or variation in our work-flow relates to the VHR Bing and Google images. Most Google Satellite images for the Zagros area are from the visual domain to notably contribute to estimating forest cover. In contrast to Higginbottom et al. (2018) and some studies in other forest ecosystems (e.g., Heckel et al., 2020; Wąsiewski et al., 2020), we found the red edge bands (B5-B7) and also the bands located in the near-infrared (B8, B8a) to be of only intermediate importance for

4.1. Effects of spatial grain on model performance

One objective of our study was to examine how the spatial grain of the analysis affects the model quality. We initially used S2 bands at 10 m pixel size, and then additionally ran the model with down-sampled S2 data with pixel sizes from 20 to 120 m spatial resolution. Our results show a decrease in RMSE and increase in $R^2$ from 10 to 60 m pixel size, followed by a saturation and comparably stable results with only marginal fluctuations afterwards. This model improvement at coarser spatial grain matches our expectations and is in line with the findings of Korhonen et al. (2017), who reported better model performances when using nine S2 image pixels instead of one. Higginbottom et al. (2018) also estimated woody cover density using Landsat TM/ETM+ imagery at 30, 60, 90, 120 m pixel sizes, and suggested that the most accurate model was the one with the coarsest resolution ($R^2 = 0.8$, RMSE = 8.9, at the 120 m pixel scale) when solely using dry season images. They discussed that while the maps at 120 m were of the highest model accuracy, they were less suitable to detect smaller clumps and canopies of dryland vegetation. Accordingly, and as a compromise between model performance and the ability to depict finer spatial patterns, we used the 40 m model to produce our final map. The tendency of the models to perform better at coarser spatial grain may relate to at least two aspects: First, potential co-location errors between S2 and VHR imagery at the sub-pixel scale have less influence at coarser grain. Second, by increasing the spatial grain of the analysis, the number of extreme values in the reference data (very high and very low woody cover estimates) will decrease (see Supplementary Material 4). The latter point may lead to reductions in RMSE, particularly if a model like RF is applied that tends to predict towards intermediate values. This phenomenon has for example been described for biomass estimation models trained with field plots of differing sizes and using several remote sensing data types (e.g., Fassnacht et al., 2018; Hosseini et al., 2020), and is also related to the inability of RF to predict out of the range of its reference values. It was consequently reported in many studies that RF tends to under-predict high and over-predict low values, which was also confirmed in our study (Fig. 8).

4.2. Selected predictor variables

The spatial scale only had a minor influence on the selected predictor variables. According to the results of VSURF-based variable selection, the frequency and order in which predictors variables were selected remained fairly constant across all examined scales. One exception was band 8 (spectrally centered at 842 nm), which was repeatedly selected in models based on coarser grain data (>70 m). It was remarkably also the case for two texture metrics based on the same band (B8_ent1 and B8_var), which indicates that NIR-based texture information may become more relevant at coarser spatial grains. This seems logical as spatial variation in reflectance in the NIR is likely to relate to varied woody cover situations in our study area. This variation may play less of a role at finer spatial grains where the information in the individual pixel may be sufficient to describe the reference woody cover values extracted from the VHR images.

The most frequently selected predictor variables that were present in all RF models included NDVI, B4/ Red band, thres, B3/Green band, bio12/ Annual Precipitation, B2/ Blue band. Hence, particularly bands from the visual part of the spectrum were constantly selected. This partly agrees with Higginbottom et al. (2018) who reported B3 as the second-best individual S2 band (with $R^2 = 0.66-0.70$) for monitoring canopy properties in patchy discontinuous woody vegetation in South Africa. Heckel et al. (2020) and Wąsiewski et al. (2020) also reported the bands from the visual domain to notably contribute to estimating forest cover. In contrast to Higginbottom et al. (2018) and some studies in other forest ecosystems (e.g., Heckel et al., 2020; Wąsiewski et al., 2020), we found the red edge bands (B5-B7) and also the bands located in the near-infrared (B8, B8a) to be of only intermediate importance for
estimating woody cover. While this has also been reported by earlier studies focusing on related variables such as LAI (Meyer et al., 2019), it is very likely that this is also a consequence of NDVI being the most important predictor variables in all models. As NDVI captures the most important information contained in the red-edge, the additional, finer information from the red-edge bands may simply not be relevant when focusing on a straightforward target variable such as woody cover. The high importance of NDVI comes at no surprise as it has been previously reported to be a highly significant predictor variable for estimating tree/woody canopy cover fraction in the Zagros region (Eskandari et al., 2020). It was interesting to see that also our NDVI threshold predictor (named thres), a binary mask derived by applying a threshold of 0.17 to the NDVI was amongst the most frequently selected predictor variables. The idea of this predictor was to imitate the approach with which the reference data was created and we assumed that it will be particularly useful at coarser spatial resolutions. However, the predictor was relevant even at the finest examined spatial resolutions.

The two SWIR bands of S2 played almost no role in our study and only B12 was selected once in the model at 60 m spatial grain. This is not surprising, as the differentiation of the dark vegetation in front of the soil background is unlikely to depend on additional information on canopy structure or water content that is mostly contained in the SWIR bands. Furthermore, similar to the red-edge band, the most important information related to the SWIR bands (or at least Band 11) might have already been captured by the RSR index, which was also one of the most frequently selected predictors in all models. This index combines information from the RED, NIR and SWIR regions and tends to decrease the effects of bright and pronounced soil background in situations with sparse canopy cover like our study area. This high relevance of the RSR index agrees well with findings of several earlier studies focusing on similar target variables (e.g., Brown et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2010).

Earlier studies proved that climatic variables are important for mapping woody vegetation and can improve remote sensing models (e.g., Liu et al., 2017; Brandt et al., 2018). Therefore, to account for potential influences of the large environmental gradient covered by the Zagros area on the relationship between remote sensing data and woody cover, we also included selected bioclim variables (BIO12, BIO15, BIO16 and BIO17). The annual precipitation (BIO12) was selected in all models, while precipitation seasonality (Bio15) was selected in all models except the model with 40 m resolution. Precipitation of wettest and driest quarters (BIO16, BIO17) were also selected for all models except for the model with 20 m resolution. Even though, the bioclim variables were at a notably lower spatial resolution as compared to the S2 based predictors, it was remarkable to observe they were still selected in almost all models. We assume that, the bioclim variables were helpful to pre-stratify the feature space into coarse environmental regions which may explain a part of the variability in the reference data which was collected across the entire Zagros area. Topography metrics were also explored in some preliminary analyses but were found to not increase the model performance. The result of this analysis is shown in Supplementary Material 2. This was surprising but may partly be explained with the large environmental gradient of our study area. This gradient may challenge a stable relation between topography and woody cover as for example in the Northern areas with more precipitation, a shaded slope may be disadvantageous (due to less light and hence photosynthetic activity) while in the Southern areas with less precipitation a shaded slope may be beneficial due to the reduced transpiration stress. A more detailed analyses would be required to understand how the patterns of differing woody cover values relate to environmental variables and land-use trajectories. Our woody cover map could be a good starting point to analyze this question in future studies and corresponding findings may in turn be helpful to further improve the presented workflow by considering regional differences in the drivers of woody-cover patterns.

4.3. Relevance of the created woody cover map

For environmental science and natural resource management, accurate and timely maps of woody cover are critical (Karlson et al., 2015). The Zagros Forests, which have a documented history for presence of human settlements of beyond 5500 years, are one of Iran’s most valuable cultural landscapes and play an important role in soil and water conservation. Climate change, population development, and people’s reliance on these woodlands for their livelihoods (by harvesting wood and forest sub-products, turning woodlands to agricultural fields, and grazing areas) have all contributed to the decline of the woodland ecosystems in Zagros over the last 30 years (Jazirei, Ebrahimi, 2013). Despite the high environmental importance of these woodlands, they are currently not part of a national-scale protection plan. As a result, developing accurate maps of the Zagros Forests (cover, density, and distribution) are an important contribution to monitor the area. Providing an accurate fractional woody cover map can therefore support forest managers in determining (1) the exact distribution of wooded areas in the vast Zagros region and (2) support the regular assessment of potential changes induced by for example changes in land-use practices and climate-change (Eskandari et al., 2020).

Our woody cover product and the straightforward workflow developed here are particularly relevant for semi-arid and arid regions as many existing large-scale tree/woody cover products based on satellite data have clear limitations for characterizing areas with sparse tree canopies (e.g., Brandt et al., 2016; Cunningham et al., 2019; Fagan, 2020). To further illustrate this, we validated the Global Forest Change map (Hansen et al., 2000) (GFC), a benchmark for many similar studies, using our reference dataset. The corresponding results show a severe underestimation of the woody cover across the entire Zagros Forests (Fig. 11). This backs up prior findings of Bastin et al. (2017), Brandt et al. (2016) and Cunningham et al. (2019) who also reported that global forest/woody cover products are underestimating the woody cover in arid and semi-arid forests and woodlands. Our final woody cover map returned visually much more plausible estimates across the majority of Zagros region compared with all available global products, including the GFC and a recently published map of the Zagros area (Ghorbanian et al., 2020).

In our product, we dealt with the problem of bright soil background by adding bare soil samples with corresponding zero woody cover

Fig. 11. Validation graph of Hansen global forest cover product at 30 m resolution with our reference woody cover dataset. It is worth mentioning that the Hansen global forest cover product is estimated for trees with heights greater than 5 m. While these heights are not achieved in all areas of Zagros, there is still a large fraction of the area with notably higher trees.
before (e.g., Piyoosh, Ghosh, 2018). In the Zagros area, besides bare soil areas. Further confusions occurred between the build-up class and bare roads could be helpful to mitigate the over-classification of plantation 

Hence, integrating a layer representing the distance to settlements and products covering the entire Zagros area were not available, excluding soil areas in the land-cover classification. This may relate to the comparably extreme behavior or urban areas in the SAR signal (double-bounce effect) which may have led to a comparably clear signal despite the discussed challenges related to the pre-processing of the SAR data.

4.4. Land cover mapping

Studies on the status quo and changes of ecosystems and land-use systems in the Zagros region are partly constrained by the lack of reliable land cover maps (Eskandari et al., 2020). Recently published land cover maps for the entire Iran based on Sentinel-1 (S1) and S2 data resulted in overall accuracy and kappa coefficient of 91.35 % and 0.91, respectively (Ghorbanian et al., 2020). However, these maps showed severe under-estimation of forested areas in the Zagros region, mostly due to mis-classification as rangelands. This may also relate to the definition of the classes forest and rangeland. Most of the Zagros Forests are indeed used as rangeland by nomads and villagers living in the area. On the other hand, extensive temperate forest regions exist in the Northern parts of Iran and hence, in a national-scale land cover map, the class forest may have been exclusively used for these ecosystems. Other products covering the entire Zagros area were not available, excluding global land cover products which are typically either available at a comparably coarse spatial grain or with only few land-cover classes. Our land cover product achieved high accuracies for the seven considered classes and we deem it useful for masking out irrelevant land cover classes from our woody cover product. The use of this map for other purposes may be limited due to the comparably sparse number of land-cover classes considered in the work-flow. Furthermore, despite the overall high accuracies, we still observed some obvious misclassifications in our land cover map. One of the major problems were misclassification of orchards with natural woody cover. Particularly, in areas where orchards are surrounded by forest stands, it is very challenging to discriminate the two classes. One example is given in Supplementary Material 3, where it is shown that very dense natural woodlands tend to be misclassified as plantation areas. One approach to improve related misclassifications in future studies may be to integrate spatial context into the analysis. In most cases plantations and orchards occur in the immediate neighborhood of settlements and nearby roads. Hence, integrating a layer representing the distance to settlements and roads could be helpful to mitigate the over-classification of plantation areas. Further confusions occurred between the build-up class and bare soil. This is also a commonly occurring problem that has been discussed before (e.g., Piyosh, Ghosh, 2018). In the Zagros area, besides bare soil areas, also areas covered with seasonally occurring herbaceous vegetation and crops (especially rainfed crops) can be confused with build-up areas. Combining optical and radar data can help mitigating this problem. While optical data is able to capture phenological characteristics of the vegetation, the backscatter behavior of SAR data can further contribute to reliably identify built-up surfaces as the scattering behavior typically differs notably from vegetated and bare soil areas (Luti et al., 2021). Some earlier studies showed the potential of jointly using Sentinel 1 and 2 data to extract built-up areas (e.g., Luti et al., 2021, Dong et al., 2020, Abdilkân et al., 2016). However, in topographical complex areas such as the Zagros region, the pre-processing of SAR data to account for terrain shadows, foreshortening and related effects is rather challenging. In our study, we addressed this issue by applying filters to the available Sentinel-1 data catalogue in GEE and this comparatively straightforward approach resulted in reasonable SAR mosaics that contributed to an improved separation of built-up and bare soil areas.

5. Conclusions

In this study we proposed a work-flow to map woody cover in an extensive semi-arid woodland region at comparably high spatial resolution using exclusively freely available datasets. The presented work-flow based on a combination of VHR images from Google Satellite, Bing and Sentinel-2 multispectral satellite data, implemented mostly in the Google Earth Engine, resulted in a reliable woody cover product for the entire Zagros Forest area. An additional land cover classification was used to mask out irrelevant land cover classes. The woody cover product was compared to existing global forest cover datasets and proved to be of notably higher quality. The approach is particularly interesting because no costly field data is required and the work-flow is straightforward to implement and could hence also be beneficial for estimating woody cover in other arid and semi-arid regions of the world.
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