INTRODUCTION

_Zinnia elegans_ Jacq., a member of the Asteraceae family that is highly valued as an ornamental plant, was first identified and scientifically named in the 1700s by Johann Gottfried Zinn, a German professor of botany who brought the plant to Europe. Dr. Zinn started cross-breeding these Zinnias with other Zinnias he found and so many forms were created through this hybridization technique. In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, _Z. elegans_ entered America and spread in several places, such as the West Indies, Australia, Italy, and Asia, including Indonesia. Since then, many forms of _Z. elegans_ have been recognized and bred as ornamental plants (Bruno 2017). It is widely cultivated in Indonesia and commonly used in landscaping and flower borders and for cut flowers (Purwantoro and Jamhari 2017).

Inflorescence of _Z. elegans_ has a capitulum consisting of two types of flowers, i.e. a ray floret with various bright colors and a yellow disc floret. The wild type of the species has a single flower, and its capitulum consists of only one purple or purple-pink ray floret layer (Misra and Misra 2017). The species is one of the most popular plants of the Asteraceae family cultivated through plant breeding resulting in hundreds of cultivars with various flower colors, sizes, and shapes. Plant breeding with various genetic methods such as hybridization and polyploidization occurs in _Z. elegans_ (Metcalfe and Sharma 1971). These genetic methods could affect the number of chromosome sets in plants, thus, the number may differ between wild-type species and cultivars (Aziz 2019).

The chromosome number of _Z. elegans_ wild type is _n_ = 24, with the basic chromosome number being _n_ = _x_ = 12 (Torres 1963; Gupta and Koak 1976). Anantasan (2007) found that _Z. elegans_ cult., “Dreamland” and “Peter Pan” have diploid chromosomes (2n = 24), unlike cult. “Jungle,” which has tetraploid chromosomes (2n = 48). Gupta and Koak (1976) found that polyploidization in _Z. elegans_ results in tetraploids, whose morphology differs from that of wild-type diploid individuals. These tetraploid plants form with a ray floret without a disc floret. The flower arrangement without a disc floret exhibits a pom-pom flower character, with many ray floret layers (Anderson 2007).

Polyploidization has been reported in _Z. elegans_ with pom-pom flowers (Gupta and Koak 1976), the variation in chromosome numbers of various flower shapes has yet to be investigated. Moreover, data on the chromosome variation of _Z. elegans_ is also needed.
numbers of some *Z. elegans* cultivars are limited. Therefore, this study aimed to analyze the chromosome numbers of *Z. elegans* cultivar “California Giant,” “Lilliput,” and “Cactus Flowered Mix” with single, double, and pom-pom flowers to determine their variations and identify the morphology of the flowers.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant materials**

*Zinnia elegans* cult. “California Giant,” “Lilliput,” and “Cactus Flowered Mix” were analyzed in this study. The seeds of *Z. elegans* cult. were purchased from a local plant shop in Jakarta. Wet paper towels or filter paper provides an excellent medium for germinating seeds. The bright white tip of the root branch and the germinated roots from dried flowers (seeds) were used in the analysis. The root tips of sprouts were used as samples to determine the chromosome numbers after the roots reached 1-3 cm.

**Procedures**

The chromosome preparation used was the squashing method employed by Anantasaran (2007) in several *Zinnia* species, with some modifications. The collected roots were cut into pieces of 1 cm. The root tips were pretreated in cold water for 12 hours, followed by fixation with Carnoy’s solution I (Farmer’s solution; ethanol: glacial acetic acid ratio: 3:1) for 24 hours. The samples were then washed with distilled water and macerated with 1 N HCl solution at 60°C for 15 minutes. They were then again washed with distilled water. The roots were subsequently soaked in an aceto-orcein solution for 20 minutes. The samples in the solution were then heated on a Bunsen burner for 5-10 seconds. Then, using a brush, the roots were placed on a slide. One to two drops of 45% acetic acid was added to the root tips, and the slides were covered with glass covers. Then, the glass covers were pressed using the tip of a brush until the root samples spread. The samples were then observed under a light microscope. Each flower type of single, double, and pom-pom from each cultivar was prepared and analyzed with a minimum of 5 slides.

**Data analysis**

Descriptive analyses were performed based on the photographs obtained and processed with Leica Application Suite EZ V2.0.0 software. Ideogram and quantitative analyses of chromosomes were performed using CHIAS IV, which was connected to ImageJ software (Kato et al. 2009; Kato 2015). Independent sample t-test was applied (p <0.05) in order to determine the significance between chromosome sizes.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Chromosome number**

The single and double flowers of *Z. elegans* cult. “Lilliput” and the single flower of “Cactus Flowered Mix” showed no variation in chromosome numbers (2n = 2x = 24). The representative flower and chromosome metaphase images of “Cactus Flowered Mix” single are shown in Figure 1. In contrast, variations were found in the double flower of “Cactus Flowered Mix” (2n = 9, 13, 15, and 24) (Figure 2). Chromosome number variation was also observed in the “California Giant” pom-pom flower (2n = 22, 24, and 48) (Figure 3). The chromosome number variation in different cultivars has also been reported by Anantasaran (2007) who evaluated the chromosome number of *Z. elegans* cult. “Dreamland” and “Jungle”.

![Figure 1. Flower and metaphase chromosome of *Zinnia elegans*. (A, B) cult. “Lilliput” double, and (C, D) cult. “Cactus Flowered Mix” single](image-url)
The percentages of total diploid, euploid, and aneuploid cells in *Z. elegans* flowers cult. “Lilliput”, “Cactus Flowered Mix”, and “California Giant” are presented in Table 1. The chromosome numbers are varied in the different cultivars. The “Lilliput” single and double flowers have the chromosome number of 2n=28 and 2n=26, respectively. The higher chromosome numbers were found in the “Cactus Flowered Mix” single and double flowers of 2n=44 and 2n=49, respectively. The highest number of chromosomes was found in the “California Giant” pom-pom flower (2n=87). Furthermore, not only diploid cells, the euploid cells were also found in the “California Giant” pom-pom, while the aneuploid cells were found in the “Cactus Flowered Mix” double (2n = x + 3 = 15, 2n = x + 1 = 13, 2n = x - 3 = 9) and “California Giant” pom-pom (2n = 2x - 2 = 22). The condition of cells or a tissue exhibiting chromosome number variation (different ploidy) is called mixoploidy. Mixoploidy can occur spontaneously and can also be induced (Prakash et al. 1988). Ranjbar et al. 2011 reported that mixoploidy in plant tissue underlies the phenomenon of gametophytic apomixis (agamospermy).

An example of this phenomenon is the presence of tetraploid cells among diploid cells. In this study, the tetraploid cells were found in pom-pom flower of “Lilliput.”
Mixoploidy is also known as polysomaty. In our previous studies, we found that several Asteraceae species were mixoploid, such as Cosmos caudatus (2n = 2x + 2 = 22, 2n = 3x + 30, 2n = 3x + 2 = 32, 2n = 3x + 6 = 36, 2n = 4x = 40), Elephantopus scaber (2n = 2x − 4 = 14, 2n = 2x = 18, 2n = 2x + 2 = 20, 2n = 4x = 4 = 22), Tridax procumbens (n = x = 9, 2n = 2x = 18, 2n = 3x = 27, 2n = 4x = 36, 2n = 5x = 45), Mikania micrantha (2n = x + 6 = 24, 2n = 2x − 4 = 32), Sphagnetocel trilobata (2n = 2x + 4 = 32, 2n = 4x − 2 = 54), Ageratum conyzoides (2n = 4x = 3 = 37, 2n = 4x = 40), Cyanthillium cinereum (n = x = 9, 2n = 2x − 2 = 16), Chromolaena odorata (2n = 4x = 40, 2n = 5x = 4 = 46, 2n = 6x = 6), Synedrella nodiflora (2n = 3x = 28, 2n = 3x + 4 = 34, 2n = 4x = 36, 2n = 4x = 38, 2n = 4x = 40), Youngia japonica (2n = 2x − 4 = 14, 2n = 2x = 18, 2n = 3x − 1 = 26), Eclipta prostrata (2n = 2x = 18, 2n = 2x + 4 = 22), and Porophyllum ruderale (2n = 3x − 2 = 28, 2n = 3x + 3 = 32, 2n = 3x + 3 = 33*, 2n = 4x − 4 = 36, 2n = 4x = 40) (Salamah et al. 2018). According to Kashin et al. (2011), mixoploidy in Asteraceae is related to the apomixis phenomenon. Apomixis is an asexual process which results in seeds that are of the same genotype as that of the female parent. This process leads to the avoidance of meiosis, fertilization-independent embryo development and autonomous development of the endosperm. Thus, apomixis results in polyploids, including mixoploid (Askar and Jerling 1992; Savidan 2000; Kantartzis and Roupakias 2010).

**Chromosome ideograms**

The results of the quantitative analysis of chromosome is shown in Table 2. The ideogram of Z. elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” with chromosome number 2n = x + 3 = 15 is shown in Figure 4. The ideogram of “Cactus Flowered Mix” with chromosome number 2n = 2x = 24 is shown in Figure 5. The karyotype formula for the diploid cell is 2n = 2x = 24 = 23m + 1t. The chromosome ideograms presented in this study are the representatives of the well-spread chromosome samples.

The ideogram of Z. elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” with chromosome number 2n = x + 3 = 15 is shown in Figure 4. The karyotype formula for the diploid cell is 2n = 2x = 24 = 23m + 1t. The chromosome ideograms presented in this study are the representatives of the well-spread chromosome samples.

The ideogram of Z. elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” with chromosome number 2n = x + 3 = 15 is shown in Figure 4. The karyotype formula for the diploid cell is 2n = 2x = 24 = 23m + 1t. The chromosome ideograms presented in this study are the representatives of the well-spread chromosome samples.

**Table 1. Percentages of total diploid, euploid, and aneuploid cells in Zinnia elegans flowers**

| Cultivar                  | x | Diploid cells (2x), n (%) | Euploid cells (4x), n (%) | Aneuploid cells, n (%) |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| “Lilliput” single         | 24| 28 (100)                 | -                        | -                      |
| “Cactus Flowered Mix” single | 24 | 44 (100)                 | -                        | -                      |
| “Lilliput” double         | 24| 26 (100)                 | -                        | -                      |
| “Cactus Flowered Mix” double | 24 | 49 (90.74)               | -                        | 5 (9.25)*               |
| “California Giant” pom-pom | 24 | 87 (94.56)               | 3 (3.26)                 | 2 (2.17)*               |
| Total                     |   | 234                      | 34 (1.22)                | 7                      |
| %                         |   | 95.9                     | 1.22                     | 2.86                   |

Note: *2n = x + 3 = 15, 2n = x + 1 = 13, 2n = x = 9; 2n = 2x = 2 = 22

**Table 2. Chromosome number, size, and morphology of Zinnia elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” and “California Giant”**

| Cultivar                  | Chromosome number | Greatest chromosome length (μm) | Largest chromosome morphology* | Smallest chromosome length (μm) | Smallest chromosome morphology* |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| “Cactus Flowered Mix”     | 9                 | 2.323                           | Metacentric                    | 1.588                           | Metacentric                    |
| “Cactus Flowered Mix”     | 15                | 4.323                           | Metacentric                    | 2.617                           | Metacentric                    |
| “California Giant”        | 24                | 3.364                           | Metacentric                    | 1.848                           | Subtelocentric                  |

Note: *Morphology according to Levan et al. (1964) based on the arm ratio. Metacentric: arm ratio of 1.01-1.69; subtelocentric: arm ratio of 3.01-7; acrocentric: arm ratio of >7.

**Figure 4. Ideogram of Zinnia elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” (2n = 9). (A) Metaphase chromosomes (2n = 9), and (B) ideogram based on chromosome condensation patterns. The long arm (p), short arm (q), and centromere (CEN) are shown in the ideogram.**
Chromosome length measurements were performed on *Z. elegans* cult. “Cactus Flowered Mix” (2n = 24) and “California Giant” (2n = 24). The t-test (α = 0.05) indicated that the total chromosome length of “California Giant” (61.374 μm) was significantly greater than that of “Cactus Flowered Mix” (51.931 μm). The flower sizes of “California Giant” and “Cactus Flowered Mix” were 6.5-7.3 cm and 5.5-6 cm, respectively. This result is consistent with Misra and Misra (2017) that “California Giant” is a cultivar with large flowers. The results also indicated a correlation between chromosome size and flower size in *Z. elegans*.Azizi et al. (2014) found a significant correlation between genome size (C value) and karyotype data in *Helichrysum* (Asteraceae). The karyotype data included ploidy levels, total chromosome size, long arm, and short arm length. The results suggest that differences in
A satellite is a small terminal segment of a chromosome that is formed due to a secondary constriction (Irawan 2019). Satellites are generally smaller than the size of chromosomes and are located at the ends of the chromosome and connected to it by a thin thread (secondary constriction) (Figures 6 and 7). Satellite chromosomes are quite common in plants, such as in the diploid family of Compositae (Zhang et al. 2013). Garrido-Ramos (2015) comprehensively reviewed the satellite DNA in plants, and suggested that the satellite DNA may have an indispensable role in regulating cellular events and shows that sequence conservation is not needed to develop any of the different structural roles that may be assigned to the subtelomeric satellite DNA.

In general, each satellite chromosome is associated with a nucleolus, a spherical structure active from the telophase to the prometaphase at the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) synthesis site. Nucleoli are found in the nucleus and are associated with parts of the chromosome. The parts responsible for the formation of nucleoli are known as nucleolus organizer regions (NOR) (Singh 2018). NORs are usually located on satellites or secondary constrictions, but not all secondary constrictions contain NORs. NORs contain genes encoding the 45S rRNA, which is the precursor of the 18S, 28S, and 58S rRNAs, and are therefore referred to as rRNA gene sites. Chromosomes that have NORs are known as nucleolar chromosomes. Generally, two nucleolar chromosomes are found in diploid cells. NOR is found in the chromosomes of animals and plants (Bhat and Wani 2017).

Satellite chromosomes were observed in two of the three cultivars studied, “Cactus Flowered Mix” and “California Giant.” Puspita et al. (2020) reported that satellites cannot be observed in all cells. Successfully detecting the presence of satellites can be influenced by the accuracy of performing the squashing technique to obtain a clear chromosome constriction position in the metaphase or prometaphase. Moreover, determining the position of the constriction can be difficult in some plants with small and thick chromosomes. Thus, a specific marker is needed, such as CentO, CRR, alpha satellite sequence, etc. Singh (2003) reported that the conventional staining method can only be used to quantitatively determine the standard of a karyotype. Therefore, a comparative technique with a specific marker is needed to qualitatively identify individual chromosomes.

In conclusion, Z. elegans cult. “California Giant,” “Lilliput,” and “Cactus Flowered Mix” are uniformly diploid cultivars (2n = 2x = 24) with variations in flower shape and ray floret color. Aneuploid cells are found in the double flower of “Cactus Flowered Mix” (2n = 9, 13, and 15). Aneuploid (2n = 22) and tetraploid (2n = 48) cells are found in the pom-pom flower of “Lilliput.” “California Giant” has a larger flower and chromosome size than “Cactus Flowered Mix.”

| Chromosome number | Chromosome length (μm) | Long arm (μm) | Short arm (μm) | Arm ratio |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| 1 (without satellite) | 3.705 | 1.97 | 1.735 | 1.135 |
| 1 (with satellite) | 4.233 | 1.97 | 2.352 | 1.218 |
| 2 (without satellite) | 3.587 | 1.97 | 1.617 | 1.218 |
| 2 (with satellite) | 4.264 | 1.97 | 2.294 | 1.218 |

| Chromosome number | Chromosome length (μm) | Long arm (μm) | Short arm (μm) | Arm ratio |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| 1 (without satellite) | 2.569 | 1.327 | 1.242 | 1.068 |
| 1 (with satellite) | 3.364 | 1.327 | 2.037 | 1.068 |

| Chromosome number | Chromosome length (μm) | Long arm (μm) | Short arm (μm) | Arm ratio |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| 1 (without satellite) | 3.364 | 1.327 | 2.037 | 1.068 |
| 1 (with satellite) | 4.264 | 1.97 | 2.294 | 1.218 |

Table 3. Satellite chromosome sizes of Zinnia elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” (2n = 15)

Table 4. Satellite chromosome size of Zinnia elegans “California Giant” (2n = 24)

The effect of genome size and polyploidy on the cell cycle time can impact the physiological and phenotypic characters. It is well known that polyploid induction can affect the genome size, changing the nucleus size and shape and the chromatin structure and arrangement. These changes can affect the phenotype through gene expression (Doyle and Coate 2019). Intraspecific variations in genome size can correlate with phenotypic variations caused by the percentage of repeated DNA sequences (El-Shehawi and Elsehawy 2017).

Satellite chromosomes of Z. elegans were also found on prometaphase chromosomes derived from “Cactus Flowered Mix.” Satellites of metaphase chromosomes were observed in “California Giant.” All observed satellites were located on the short arm of the chromosome. On the “Cactus Flowered Mix” chromosome (2n = 15), satellites were observed on chromosomes number 1 and 2, which are thought to be a pair of homologous chromosomes. The sizes of chromosomes with satellites are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3. Satellite chromosome sizes of Zinnia elegans “Cactus Flowered Mix” (2n = 15)

Table 4. Satellite chromosome size of Zinnia elegans “California Giant” (2n = 24)
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