The long-term optical evolution of the black hole candidate MAXI J1659−152

Jesús M. Corral-Santana, Manuel A. P. Torres, Tariq Shahbaz, Elizabeth S. Bartlett, David M. Russell, Albert K. H. Kong, Jorge Casares, Teodoro Muñoz-Darias, Franz E. Bauer, Jeroen Homan, Peter G. Jonker, Daniel Mata Sánchez, Thomas Wevers, Pablo Rodríguez-Gil, Fraser Lewis, and Laurien Schreuder

1 European Southern Observatory (ESO), Alonso de Córdova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19, Santiago, Chile
2 Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Vicuña-Mackenna 4860, Macul, Santiago, Chile
3 Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Vía Láctea s/n, E-38205, La Laguna, Spain
4 Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. de Astrofísica, Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez s/n, E-38206, La Laguna, Spain
5 SRON, Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Sorbonnelaan 2, 3584 CA Utrecht, The Netherlands
6 New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129811, Abu Dhabi, UAE
7 National Tsing Hua University, Department of Physics and Institute of Astronomy, No. 101 Sect. 2 Kuang-Fu Road, 30013, Hsinchu, Taiwan
8 Department of Physics, Astrophysics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
9 Millennium Institute of Astrophysics (MAS), Nuncio Monsenor Sótero Sanz 100, Providencia, Santiago, Chile
10 Space Science Institute, 4750 Walnut Street, Suite 205, Boulder, Colorado 80301
11 Eureka Scientific, Inc., 2452 Delmer Street, Oakland, California 94602
12 Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
13 Faulkes Telescope Project, School of Physics, and Astronomy, Cardiff University, The Parade, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK
14 Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK
15 Astronomical Institute Anton Pannekoek, University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 94249, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Accepted 2017 December 4. Received 2017 December 1; in original form 2007 October 25

ABSTRACT

We present 5 years of optical and infrared data of the black hole candidate MAXI J1659−152 covering its 2010 outburst, decay and quiescence. Combining optical data taken during the outburst decay, we obtain an orbital period of 2.414 ± 0.005 h, in perfect agreement with the value previously measured from X-ray dips. In addition, we detect a clear Hα excess in MAXI J1659−152 with data taken during the outburst decay. We also detect a single hump modulation most likely produced by irradiation. Assuming that the maximum occurs at orbital phase 0.5, we constrain the phase of the X-ray dips to be ∼0.65. We also detect the quiescent optical counterpart at r′ = 24.20 ± 0.08, I = 23.32 ± 0.02 and H = 20.7 ± 0.1. These magnitudes provide colour indices implying an M2–M5 donor star assuming 60% contribution from a disc component in the r′-band.
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1 INTRODUCTION

X-ray binaries are systems formed by a neutron star or a black hole (BH) accompanied by a star which is feeding the compact source via an accretion disc. The mass of the companion star determines the main mode of accretion, e.g. whether produced through stellar winds in systems with massive companions or through Roche lobe overflow in systems with cool stars. Most of the systems harbouring a BH have been found in X-ray transients (XRTs), a type of X-ray binary with sporadic outburst episodes –caused by thermal-viscous instabilities in the accretion disc (see e.g. Lasota 2001; King et al. 1996)– followed by an e-folding decay towards the quiescent state, where the system can reside for decades to centuries. During outburst, XRTs increase their brightness by several orders of magnitude, such that they
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can be detected by the all-sky monitors onboard X-ray satellites.

MAXI J1659–152 (initially referred to as GRB 100925A) was detected during an outburst on 2010 September 25 simultaneously by the Gas Slit Camera (GSC) mounted on the Monitor of All-sky X-ray Image (MAXI) (Negoro et al. 2010) and the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) mounted on the Swift telescope (Mangano et al. 2010). de Ugarte Postigo et al. (2010) found broad emission lines from the Balmer series as well as He ii and Ca ii, confirming the Galactic origin and the X-ray binary nature. It was classified as a BH candidate based on its X-ray spectral and timing properties (Kalamkar et al. 2010; Kuulkers et al. 2011; Muñoz-Darias et al. 2011), which resemble those typically found in confirmed BH XRTs. (see, e.g., Belloni et al. 2011; Belloni & Motta 2016). A radio counterpart was also detected with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope showing 23% linear polarization (van der Horst et al. 2010) and the European Very Large Base Interferometer (eVLBI) network. The coordinates of the radio source are \( \alpha (J2000) = 16^h59^m01.676891s \) and \( \delta (J2000) = -15^\circ15'28.73237'' \), with 690 and 220 \( \mu \)as uncertainties, respectively (Paragi et al. 2013).

The optical counterpart was promptly detected by Swift/UVOT (Marshall 2010) and several ground based telescopes (e.g. Jelínek et al. 2010; De Cia et al. 2010). By analysing pre-outburst survey images, Kong et al. (2010) reported an optical counterpart consistent with the coordinates of the X-ray source. Later, Kong (2012) reported a quiescent \( r' \)-band magnitude in the range 23.6–23.8 from postoutburst images. However, Kuulkers et al. (2013) proposed that the quiescent counterpart found by Kong et al. (2010) and Kong (2012) was too bright to be the true counterpart and probably was a foreground star in our line-of-sight. To conclude this, they used the Shahbaz & Kuulkers (1998) relation corrected for inclination effects (Warner 1987; Miller-Jones et al. 2011) and proposed a magnitude for the quiescent counterpart in the range \( V = 26.2 - 27.5 \).

Dips in the X-ray flux were detected during outburst with Swift/X-ray telescope (Kennea et al. 2010, 2011), INTEGRAL (International Gamma Ray Astrophysics Laboratory; Kuulkers et al. 2010a) and XMM-Newton (Kuulkers et al. 2010b), recurring approximately every 2.4–2.5h (Kuulkers et al. 2010b, 2013). This allowed Kuulkers et al. (2013) to obtain an accurate orbital period \( P_{\text{orb}} \) of 2.414±0.005h, being the shortest \( P_{\text{orb}} \) reported for a BH candidate hitherto (see, e.g., BlackCAT; Corral-Santana et al. 2016). Kuulkers et al. (2013) constrained the inclination to be between 65° and 80° due to the lack of eclipses, and the presence of the aforementioned periodic absorption dips that obscured up to 90% of the total emission.

The distance of MAXI J1659–152 is not well constrained. With the reported quiescent counterpart, Kong (2012) assumed an M2 or M5 dwarf companion which contributes 50% to the total optical flux (Jonker et al. 2012) to derive a distance of \( 5.5 \pm 3 \) kpc and \( 3 \) kpc, respectively. Kennea et al. (2011) estimated a distance of \( 6 \pm 1 \) kpc from the properties of the X-ray emission whereas, Kaur et al. (2012) obtained a distance of \( 4 \pm 1 \) kpc from the interstellar lines of the optical spectra. Jonker et al. (2012) derived a distance of \( 6 \pm 2 \) kpc assuming an M2 V companion star with a 50% contribution of the accretion disc to the \( R \) band magnitude. Finally, using the Shahbaz & Kuulkers (1998) relation together with the estimated Galactic hydrogen column density (\( N_H \)) given by Kalberla et al. (2005), Kuulkers et al. (2013) obtained a distance to MAXI J1659–152 of \( 8.6 \pm 3.7 \) kpc. However, this relation does not take into account inclination effects, yielding overestimated values (Miller-Jones et al. 2011). Thus, the distance would drop to \( 7.1 \pm 3 \) kpc for \( i = 65^\circ \) or \( 4.0 \pm 1.7 \) kpc for \( i = 80^\circ \) (Kuulkers et al. 2013).

Interestingly, there is a growing population of XRTs with short \( P_{\text{orb}} \) located at high Galactic latitudes. MAXI J1659–152 has a height above the Galactic plane of \( z = 2.4 \pm 1 \) kpc. This can be compared to Swift J1753.5–0127 with \( z = 1.1 \text{kpc} \) and \( P_{\text{orb}} = 3.24 \text{h} \) (Cadolle Bel et al. 2007; Zurita et al. 2008); XTE J1118+480 with \( z = 1.6 \text{kpc} \) and \( P_{\text{orb}} = 4.08 \text{h} \) (Zurita et al. 2002b; Torres et al. 2004; Gelino et al. 2006) and more recently Swift J1357.2–0933 with \( z > 1.75 \text{kpc} \) and \( P_{\text{orb}} = 2.8 \text{h} \) (Mata Sánchez et al. 2015; Corral-Santana et al. 2013; Shahbaz et al. 2013). It has been proposed by Yamaoka et al. (2012) that MAXI J1659–152 is probably a runaway BH XRTs kicked out from the Galactic plane at a high speed similar to XTE J1118+480 (Mirabel et al. 2001). Although this scenario has not been established for Swift J1753.5–0127 and Swift J1357.2–0933 yet, the hypothesis is well supported by the properties found in MAXI J1659–152 (Kuulkers et al. 2013).

2 Observations and Data Reduction

In what follows, we detail the observations and reduction of the data taken with the Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC), Gemini South (GS), Very Large Telescope (VLT), William Herschel (WHT), Isaac Newton (INT), Faulkes North and South (FTN and FTS, respectively) and Mercator (MER) telescopes. A log of the observations is shown in Table 1. In Figure 1 we present a finding chart with the comparison stars used for the photometry, the magnitudes of which are listed in Table 2.

2.1 Photometry from Australia and Hawaii

Optical images of MAXI J1659-152 were taken with the 2-m FTN and FTS telescopes situated in Hakeaala, Hawaii (USA) and Siding Spring (Australia), respectively. The observations are part of an ongoing monitoring campaign of \( \sim 40 \) low-mass X-ray binaries (Lewis et al. 2008). The observations mostly covered the outburst and decay phases, since during quiescence the system is too faint to obtain clear detections with these telescopes. Useful images were taken in \( i' \)-band between 2010 September 26 and 2011 August 26. We adjusted the exposure time to account for the change of brightness of the system, between 40 and 300 s. The observations obtained in 2010 were taken with the Merope detector which had a pixel scale of \( 0.278^'' \times 0.278^'' \) (binning \( 2 \times 2 \)), covering a field of view (FoV) of \( 4.7^\circ \times 4.7^\circ \). Since 2011, the Spectral camera replaced Merope, providing a pixel scale of \( 0.304^'' \times 0.304^'' \) and a 10.5'×10.5' FoV. The average image quality of the entire dataset is 1.3''.

Science images were de-biased and flat-fielded with an
Table 1. Log of the photometry. Each column represents the date of observation, telescope, detector, filter, exposure time and total number of images, respectively.

| UT date     | Telescope | Detector | Filter | Exp. (s) | No. |
|-------------|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-----|
| 2010-09-26  | FTN       | Merope   | i'     | 300      | 5   |
| 2010-09-28  | FTS       | Merope   | i'     | 100      | 14  |
| 2010-10-05  | FTS       | Merope   | i'     | 40       | 11  |
| 2011-05-21  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 1   |
| 2011-05-25  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 1   |
| 2011-05-26  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 1   |
| 2011-05-27  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 1   |
| 2011-05-30  | Mer       | Merope   | R      | 120      | 117 |
| 2011-05-31  | Mer       | Merope   | R      | 120      | 132 |
| 2011-06-02  | FTN       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 24  |
| 2011-06-03  | FTN       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 4   |
| 2011-06-06  | FTN/S     | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 37  |
| 2011-06-28  | WHT       | ACAM     | r'     | 240      | 42  |
| 2011-07-27  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 11  |
| 2011-07-31  | INT       | WFC      | r'     | 120      | 2   |
| 2011-08-03  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 1   |
| 2011-08-26  | FTS       | Spectral | i'     | 200      | 1   |
| 2011-08-28  | WHT       | ACAM     | r'     | 200      | 2   |
| "           | "         | "       | i'     | 150      | 2   |
| "           | "         | "       | Ha     | 600      | 3   |
| 2012-06-08  | INT       | WFC      | r'     | 1800     | 4   |
| 2013-04-13  | GS        | GMOS     | i'     | 603      | 13  |
| 2013-05-04  | GS        | GMOS     | i'     | 603      | 12  |
| 2013-06-06  | VLT       | FORS2    | I      | 90+120   | 2   |
| 2014-02-26  | WHT       | LIRIS    | H      | 30       | 180 |
| 2014-04-09  | "         | "       | J      | 60       | 90  |
| 2014-06-30  | GTC       | Osiris   | r'     | 180      | 4   |
| 2014-07-20  | WHT       | LIRIS    | H      | 30       | 120 |
| 2014-09-01  | "         | "       | K      | 15       | 278 |
| 2015-06-12  | VLT       | FORS2    | I      | 240      | 11  |

* See text for the definition of the acronyms

Figure 1. I-band finding chart of the field containing MAXI J1659−152 in quiescence obtained on 2015 June 12 with VLT. The comparison stars are labelled in black whereas MAXI J1659−152 is marked in red. The ID names of the comparison stars correspond to those in Table 2. The two comparison stars used by Kong (2012) are labelled K1 and K2. The blue circle represent the Swift/X-ray Telescope positional error circle.

2.2 Observations from the Canary Islands

We obtained images with several telescopes located at the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory on the island of La Palma, Spain. We observed with the 1.2-m MER telescope on 2011 May 30 and 31 using the Merope camera (6.5′ × 6.5′ FoV, 0.19″ pix−1 plate scale) and the R-band filter. The exposure times were 120 s for both nights, obtaining a total of 117 and 132 images, respectively, with a seeing better than 0.9″ in all cases. The conditions during 2011 May 30 were degraded due to the presence of some cirrus during part of the night. Thus, data taken between UT 01:44 and UT 02:25 were removed due to its lack of quality to detect the target. The images were de-biased and flat-fielded following standard procedures in IRAF. Then, we applied differential optimal-aperture photometry (Naylor 1998) to obtain the magnitudes of local comparison stars and the object with tasks in IRAF and IDL.

We also observed MAXI J1659−152 with the 4.2-m WHT on 2011 June 28 and August 28 using the Auxiliary-port Camera (ACAM; Benn et al. 2008). This instrument provides a FoV of 8′ × 8′ with a plate scale of 0.25″ pix−1. The images were taken with the r′-, i′- and Ha-band (λc = 6553, Δλ = 63 Å) filters, with the latter only used on 2011 August 28. The average seeing in each band along the entire FoV was 1.2, 1.2 and 1.1″, respectively. The reduction and analysis of these images were done as for the data taken with MER.

J, H and Ks-band images were obtained with the Long-Slit Intermediate Resolution Infrared Spectrograph (LIRIS) on four nights between 2014 February 26 and September 01. This camera provides 4.27″ × 4.27″ FoV with a plate scale of 0.25″ pix−1. The observing conditions were variable with seeing ranging from 0.7 to 2″. The exposure times used ranged from 15 to 60 s depending on the filter (see Table 1). The standard data reduction was performed using the lirisdr package (Alves et al. 2011). Optimal aperture photometry (Naylor 1998) was carried out on the resulting combined images for each band to obtain the counts for MAXI J1659−152 and local comparison stars as well as a 2MASS star in the field (2MASS16590790−1515289). Differential photometry of MAXI J1659−152 with respect to the 2MASS local standard was then performed, which also allow an absolute photometric calibration.

We also obtained images with the 2.5-m INT on 2011 July 31 and 2012 June 08 and 09. In these campaigns we used the Wide Field Camera (WFC) mounted on the prime focus of the telescope with the r'-band filter, obtaining an average seeing of 2.0, 1.4 and 1.6″, respectively for each night. Given the large FoV of 0.3 square degrees of this camera, we reduced the exposure area in order to decrease the readout time. The images were de-biased and flat-fielded with IRAF. We used optimal aperture photometry on the 2011 campaign. Given the faintness of the system in 2012 and the presence of nearby stars of similar brightness, we decided to perform point spread function (PSF) photometry using DAOPHOT II/ALLSTAR (Stetson 1987). The automatic pipeline. We then performed aperture photometry using PHOT in IRAF with a fixed aperture of 6.0 pixels (1.7 and 1.8″ for each camera, respectively) in the target and local comparison stars.
PSF model was chosen interactively among the six different functions available in the software to fit the profile of point-like objects. This is repeated iteratively to reject non-stellar objects. Once the model converged, it is applied to all the detections in the field. On 2012 June 09 the weather and seeing conditions prevented us from detecting the system.

Photometric frames were taken on 2014 June 30 with the 10.4-m GTC telescope. Four 180 s $r'$-band detections in the field. On 2012 June 09 the weather and objects. Once the model converged, it is applied to all the like objects. This is repeated iteratively to reject non-stellar functions available in the software to fit the profile of point-

′′ pixel scale of 0.073″. Spectrograph (GMOS) mounted on the 8-m GS situated in Cerro Pachón on 2013 April 13 and May 04. The observations were performed with the EEV detectors which had a pixel scale of 0.073″ pix$^{-1}$ covering a FoV of 5.5′ × 5.5′ and a seeing better than 0.7″.

We also obtained I-band images with the 8-m VLT situated on Cerro Paranal on 2013 June 06 and 2015 June 12. We used the FOcal Reducer and low dispersion Spectrograph (FORS2) which provides a FoV of 6.8′ × 6.8′ and a scale of 0.25″ pix$^{-1}$ (binning 2×2). The 2015 frames were taken in imaging mode and standard resolution collimator whereas the images taken in 2013 correspond to the acquisition frames of long-slit spectroscopy (see Torres et al., in preparation for more details).

We again reduced the GS and VLT images in the standard way with tasks on IRAF and performed PSF photometry in both the single and the average images. The source is only detected in six of the 11 VLT frames obtained in 2015 due to the combination of poor seeing (1.3′′on average) and high airmass (>1.5). The 2013 data had 0.7′′ seeing.

### 2.3 Photometry from Chile

We obtained $i'$-band images with the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) mounted on the 8-m GS situated in Cerro Pachón with the Optical System for Imaging and low-Intermediate-Resolution Integrated Spectroscopy (OSIRIS) with an average seeing of 0.8″. This instrument covers a 7.8′ × 8.5′ FoV in imaging mode with a plate scale of 0.254″ pix$^{-1}$ (binning 2×2). As before, the images were de-biased and flat-fielded following standard procedures in IRAF. The reduced images were aligned and combined in order to detect the faint quiescent counterpart to MAXI J1659–152 with a higher signal-to-noise ratio. We then obtained PSF photometry on both the average and individual frames.

### 2.4 Calibration of the data

Table 1 lists all the data obtained in different bands and photometric systems. In order to calibrate the data, we searched for calibrated stars in our field in different public surveys. In our search we only found the star C1 ($\mu(J2000) = 16 : 59 : 05.900, \delta(J2000) = -15 : 15 : 41.74$) in the AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS, Henden et al. 2009) with magnitudes $r' = 15.8 \pm 0.1$ and $i' = 15.21 \pm 0.06$. Given the large uncertainty on the $r'$-band magnitude, we decided to calibrate this band with the check stars published by Kong (2012) in his so called epoch $t$ (hereafter labelled as K1 and K2), with derived $r'$-band magnitudes $20.738 \pm 0.004$ and $21.85 \pm 0.01$. Respectively. We calibrated the $r'$-band magnitudes of the comparison stars listed in Table 2, by obtaining a weighted average offset between our instrumental and the calibrated magnitudes. Thus, we derive $r' = 16.065 \pm 0.005$ for C1. We adopted the C1 $i'$-band value given in APASS survey since Kong (2012) did not obtain images in this band.

The magnitudes of all the local standards in both bands are listed in Table 2. We then derived the equivalent $R$- and $I$-band magnitudes of all the local comparisons applying the transformation equations given by Jordi et al. (2006).

### 3 RESULTS

In Figure 2 we show the light curve of MAXI J1659–152 covering the evolution since its 2010 outburst until 2015. It contains all the photometric data listed in Table 1 together with the photometry published by D’Avanzo et al. (2010), Gorbyskov et al. (2010), Kong et al. (2011) and Kong (2012). In the bottom part of the figure, we added the acronyms of the telescopes used to obtain the data, using the same colour code as the photometric points. Our images taken with MER on 2011 May 30–31 (JD 2455714–5) were close to the peak of the X-ray rebrightening reported by Homan et al. (2013) (JD 2455700).

#### 3.1 Hα emission in outburst

On 2011 August 28 we obtained, quasi-simultaneous (45 min) $r'$, $i'$ and $H\alpha$ images of the field containing MAXI J1659–152 with the WHT. The Hα emission in XRTs is produced in the accretion disc, which dominates the optical spectrum during the outburst in nearly all X-ray binaries.

With the instrumental magnitudes obtained in the above bands, we examine an $(r'-H\alpha)$ vs $(r'-i')$ diagram. This has been used not only as a very efficient way to distinguish among different populations in the Galactic plane (see e.g. Drew et al. 2005; Corradi et al. 2008, 2010; Wevers et al. 2016) but also to identify the missing optical counterpart of BH candidates (Zurita et al. 2015). Figure 3 shows the uncalibrated $(r'-H\alpha)$ vs $(r'-i')$ diagram of all the stars observed in the detector FoV and detected in all three filters. The blue solid line is calculated as the running median of the $(r'-H\alpha)$ colour of nearly all the observed stars in the field, providing a reference $(r'-H\alpha)_{0}$ colour such that

| ID | $i'$ | $r'$ |
|----|-----|-----|
| C1 | 15.21 ± 0.06 | 16.065 ± 0.005 |
| C2 | 16.31 ± 0.06 | 17.069 ± 0.006 |
| C3 | 17.86 ± 0.06 | 18.794 ± 0.006 |
| C4 | 19.54 ± 0.06 | 20.240 ± 0.006 |
| C5 | 17.54 ± 0.06 | 18.256 ± 0.008 |
| C6 | 17.35 ± 0.06 | 18.332 ± 0.006 |
| D1 | 17.72 ± 0.06 | 18.450 ± 0.006 |
| D2 | 16.83 ± 0.06 | 17.629 ± 0.006 |
| D3 | 18.27 ± 0.06 | 19.023 ± 0.006 |
| D4 | 17.13 ± 0.06 | 17.877 ± 0.006 |
| D5 | 16.82 ± 0.06 | 17.532 ± 0.006 |
| D7 | 19.35 ± 0.06 | 20.085 ± 0.006 |
| D8 | 18.66 ± 0.06 | 19.407 ± 0.006 |
Figure 2. Optical and near-infrared light curve of MAXI J1659−152. Each coloured symbol represents a different band: i′ (red circles), r′ (green squares), R (blue diamonds), I (orange left-pointing triangles) and H-band (magenta X). We include H-band photometry by van der Horst et al. (2013) and D’Avanzo et al. (2010, encircled) and the R-band photometry by Gorbovskoy et al. (2010) and D’Avanzo et al. (2010, encircled). In addition, we added the R and r′-band magnitudes given by Kong et al. (2011) and Kong (2012), respectively. The solid gray line represents the X-ray light curve including the reflare observed at ∼JD 2455700 (see Figure 4 in Homan et al. 2013).

From the colour-colour diagram (Figure 3), it is possible to estimate the equivalent width of the Hα line \(EW(H\alpha)\). To do so, we first need to obtain the Hα excess emission \(\Delta(H\alpha)\) defined as the difference between the observed \((r′-H\alpha)_{\text{obs}}\) colour of a star, and the reference value \((r′-H\alpha)_0\) at the same \((r′-i′)_{\text{0}}\) colour. Then, the equivalent width \(EW(H\alpha)\) can be derived from equation 4 in De Marchi et al. (2010):

\[
EW(H\alpha) \sim RW \times [1 - 10^{-0.4 \Delta(H\alpha)}]
\]

where \(RW\) is the rectangular width of the filter in Å (see e.g. De Marchi et al. 2010 and Beccari et al. 2014 for more details). To derive \(RW\), we normalized to unity and resampled the Hα filter profile to 1 Å and measured its equivalent width, obtaining a value of 38.63 Å. Thus, with the equation above, we obtained \(EW(H\alpha) \sim 15\) Å for MAXI J1659−152.

3.2 Time series analysis

To search for a periodic modulation we performed a Lomb-Scargle analysis (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982) on the data acquired on 2011 May 30 and 31, June 02, 06 and 28. These nights were chosen as they have the best time coverage over the shortest baseline. Differential photometry all objects with values above it will have an Hα excess. The optical counterpart of MAXI J1659−152 (encircled in red) shows a clear Hα excess.

Figure 3. Uncalibrated \((r′-H\alpha)\) vs \((r′-i′)\) diagram of the stars in a field of 3′ × 3′ containing MAXI J1659−152. The main-sequence track is formed by the concentration of black circles, with the reddening direction increasing towards higher \((r′-i′)\) and \((r′-H\alpha)\), whereas MAXI J1659−152 (encircled in red) is clearly above them. This indicates that the optical counterpart detected in our images shows the largest unexpected Hα excess, confirming it is the true optical counterpart.
of MAXI J1659–152 was performed with C4 and then detrended by subtracting the mean value of each night. Analysis was performed using the \texttt{astropy.stats.LombScargle} package.

Figure 4 shows the resulting periodogram of the full dataset, as well as the periodograms of the data from the nights of the 2011 May 30 and 31. In all instances the initial frequency space searched was determined by a heuristic technique to optimise the frequency baseline searched and the grid spacing. A clear peak is seen in all the periodograms at \(\sim 10 \, \text{d}^{-1}\), consistent with that reported by Kuulkers et al. (2013). The periodogram of the full dataset, shown in red, has a complex structure due to the window function of the data (i.e. the gaps between the observations), whereas the corresponding peaks in the periodograms of the individual nights are broad, reflecting the fact that we are at the limit of the range of periods in a single night of observations. These periodograms also have strong peaks at \(\sim 656, 666\) and \(673 \, \text{d}^{-1}\), corresponding to the beat period of the duration of the observations, and once and twice the actual period, respectively.

The uncertainty on the orbital period was determined via a bootstrap technique: 1000 artificial light curves of MAXI J1659–152 were generated by sampling with replacement from the original dataset. The period was then estimated by performing a least squares fit of a simple sine wave to the data. Whilst we recognise that Lomb-Scargle analysis can be considered as a form of least squares fitting (see the review of the Lomb-Scargle periodogram by van der Plas 2017), the resulting structure of the window function on the periodogram makes determining the error on the period via Lomb-Scargle analysis difficult as we probe a discrete grid of frequencies. By performing a least squares fit, we can search a more continuous distribution of frequencies. The histogram of the frequencies determined from the artificial light curves is well described with a Gaussian with mean 9.938 d\(^{-1}\) and standard deviation 0.003 d\(^{-1}\). This corresponds to a period of 2.4149 h, with frequency fixed to that determined by this analysis and amplitude free to vary.

This is the first detection of periodic optical variability in MAXI J1659–152. The consistency between the X-ray period (i.e. 2.414 ± 0.005 h) and the periodicity found at optical wavelengths strongly suggests that the optical variability is due to X-ray irradiation of the donor star and not to a superhump modulation. The latter is due to the formation of an eccentric and precessing accretion disc during outburst (Whitehurst & King 1991). Given the orbital period, we can estimate the period of the superhump modulation using the empirical relation derived by Patterson et al. (2005):

\[
\Delta P = \frac{P_{\text{sh}} - P_{\text{orb}}}{P_{\text{orb}}} = 0.18 q + 0.29 q^2, \tag{2}
\]

where \(P_{\text{sh}}\) stands for the superhump period and \(q = M_2/M_1\) for the mass ratio of the binary, where \(M_2\) and \(M_1\) are the mass of the secondary star and compact object, respectively. For an M5 V donor star, \(M_2\) ranges between 0.15 and 0.25 M\(_{\odot}\) (Kuulkers et al. 2013; see also section 4). On the other hand, Molla et al. (2016) proposed a BH mass between 4.7 and 7.8 M\(_{\odot}\), depending on their models. These values imply \(q \simeq 0.019 - 0.053\), which together with \(P_{\text{orb}}\), yield \(P_{\text{sh}} \sim 2.422 - 2.439\) h - adopting instead a canonical 10 M\(_{\odot}\) BH, \(P_{\text{sh}}\) is between 2.421 and 2.425 h for the range of \(M_2\) mentioned above. The light curve folded with the 2.4149 h period (Figure 5) shows a single-humped modulation with maximum brightness occurring at \(T_0 = \text{JD} 2455713.62643123\). Assuming that this takes place at orbital phase 0.5 (when the irradiated face of the donor star is best visible to the observer), we constrain the phase of the X-ray dips to be \(\sim 0.65\).

### 3.3 Study of the companion star in quiescence

Kong et al. (2010) found a quiescent optical counterpart in a pre-outburst Pan-STARRS 3P1 Survey image with \(r \sim 22.4\). However, Kennea et al. (2010) proposed that it was not the real counterpart since it was brighter than expected in the context of the Shabaz & Kuulkers (1998) relation (Equation 3). Kong (2012) reported that the object dropped in brightness down to \(r \sim 23.7\) showing variations of \(-0.2\) mag in 30 min interval. However, Kuulkers et al. (2013) discarded this counterpart and supported the line-of-sight scenario.

In 2014, we obtained optical photometry of MAXI J1659–152, almost four years after the outburst. We clearly detect the system with a magnitude of \(24.20 \pm 0.08\) in the \(r\)-band with GTC. This suggests that the system reached its true quiescent state, as shown by the trend in Figure 2, between 2012 and 2014. In addition, we obtained images in the \(i\)-band with GS in 2013 (two nights covering \(\sim 2\) h each) and \(I\)-band images with VLT in 2013 and 2015 (the latter covering 0.74 h). On 2013 April 13 and May 04, we observed MAXI J1659–152 with GMOS-S obtaining 11 and 10 useful frames in each night, respectively. During the \(\sim 2\) h of nightly coverage, the system displays optical variability with root mean square (RMS) of 0.2 mag and maximum amplitude of 0.3 mag (see Figure 6). On 2013 June 06, two images were acquired with FORS2 at VLT (see Torres et al. in prep.). From these images we obtained an average magnitude \(I = 23.3 \pm 0.1\). In 2015, the system is only detected in 6 out of 11 images, with an average magnitude \(I = 23.32 \pm 0.02\). The individual exposures show variability of up to 0.35 mag with an RMS of 0.14 mag, which is consistent with the variability shown in the GS data taken two years earlier (see Figure 6).

The photometric variability observed during quiescence is consistent with fluctuations produced by the relative contribution of the accretion disc, since the time coverage by the VLT data (0.74 h) represents only a third of the orbit and do not seem to follow a sinusoidal pattern. This activity is not surprising and has been reported in many others XRTs. Thus, Zurita et al. (2003) found variability of up to 0.6 mag independently on the orbital phase. However, the quality of the data does not allow us to establish robust conclusions about this scenario.

We also obtained near-infrared photometry with WHT. Due to the variable seeing, the quiescent counterpart was only detected in the \(H\)-band images taken on 2014 July 20, with an average magnitude of \(H = 20.7 \pm 0.1\).

### 4 DISCUSSION

The presence of an interloper star was suggested to reconcile the short \(P_{\text{orb}}\) of MAXI J1659–152 with the amplitude of
the outburst ($\Delta V$) given by the Shahbaz & Kuulkers (1998) relation:

$$\Delta V = V_{qui} - V_{out} = 14.36 - 7.63 \log P_{\text{orb}} (h),$$

where $V_{qui}$ and $V_{out}$ stand for the quiescent and outburst magnitudes in the $V$-band, respectively. This, for a 2.4 h orbital period, Kennea et al. (2011) derived a quiescent counterpart of $v_{qui} \sim 28$ while Kuulkers et al. (2013) derived $V_{qui} = 26.2 - 27.5$ (corrected by inclination effects; Miller-Jones et al. 2011). Both authors used $V_{out} = 16.5$ mag at the peak of the outburst (Kennea et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2010). However, Equation 3 is an empirical relation valid for systems with $P_{\text{orb}} \leq 12$ h but which also may break down for $P_{\text{orb}} \leq 5$ h, considering that the system with the shortest orbital period found at that time was GRO J0422+32 with $P_{\text{orb}} = 5.09$ h (Gelino & Harrison 2003). Since then, an increasing number of short orbital period XRTs have been discovered: XTE J1118+480 ($P_{\text{orb}} = 4.08$ h; Zurita et al. 2002a; Torres et al. 2004), Swift J1753.5−0127 ($P_{\text{orb}} \sim 3.24$ h; Zurita et al. 2008) and Swift J1357.2−0933 ($P_{\text{orb}} = 2.8$ h; Corral-Santana et al. 2013). None of these follow Equation 3 because they have quiescent magnitudes much brighter than predicted by the Shahbaz & Kuulkers (1998) relation. Consequently, we suggest that the Shahbaz & Kuulkers (1998) relation breaks down at short orbital periods, which is specially relevant in MAXI J1659−152, the BH candidate with the shortest orbital period detected so far.

Kaur et al. (2012) found a double-peaked H$\alpha$ emission line in two spectra obtained at the onset of the outburst. They measured EW(H$\alpha$) = 6.3 ± 0.1 Å, consistent with values reported for similar systems in outburst (see e.g. Torres et al. 2002). We obtained an EW(H$\alpha$) ~ 15 Å from the colour-colour diagram during the decay (see Section 3.1), when the system was $i' = 22.11 \pm 0.04$ mag, more than 5 mag fainter than at the peak of the outburst. This difference in the EW is probably caused by the change in the continuum of the spectra during the evolution of the outburst. The detection of the clear H$\alpha$ excess (Figure 3) when the system was only 1 mag brighter than in quiescence, together with the variability seen in quiescence (Figure 6), clearly indicates that the counterpart proposed by Kong (2012) is the true optical counterpart of MAXI J1659−152, ruling out the interloper star.

From the light curve of MAXI J1659−152 (Figure 2), it is not possible to establish the time when the source reached its optical quiescent level. Our photometry taken with the INT on 2012 June 08 (JD 2456086) about 2.5 months after Kong 2012’s observations (JD 2456009), shows that the counterpart faded to $r' = 24.04 \pm 0.08$, i.e. an average rate of ~ 0.15 mag-per-month. After that, the system brightness decreased to $r' = 24.20 \pm 0.08$ on 2014 June 30 (JD 2456838). Assuming that the brightness of the system continued dropping constantly at that rate, MAXI J1659−152 would have reached $r' \sim 24.2$ by July 2012. In addition, our $i'$ and $I$-band photometry, taken with GS and VLT (in 2013 and 2015) in three different nights, show that the system reached $I = 23.32 \pm 0.02$ ( $i' = 23.4 \pm 0.1$). The average magnitude shown in these datasets are compatible within errors and show no trend between the two epochs. Thus, from the analysis of the $r'$, $I$ and $i'$-band magnitudes, quiescence at op-
quiescent X-ray level.

JD 2455800 (a bright X-ray reflare occurred between JD 2455700 and Figure 4 in Homan et al. 2013 and Figure 2). However, similar outburst light curve evolution was observed, e.g., after the 2015 June outburst of V404 Cyg when the system reached the quiescent state in X-rays between 2015 August 5 and August 21 (Sivakoff et al. 2015), while in the optical the system reached this level only between 2015 October 10 and 15 (Bernardini et al. 2016). One possible explanation for the long time needed by MAXI J1659–152 to reach optical quiescence is, perhaps, that the cooling time of the donor and irradiated disc at optical wavelengths is long, while the X-rays coming from the central region of the accretion disc had reached a stable quiescent level much sooner. This behaviour might happen in all or many black hole transients in outburst and with MAXI J1659–152 being one of the first observational evidences for it.

In order to constrain the spectral type of the companion star, we must analyse the relative stellar and disc contributions of the star and disc to the total light. For this, we need the unreddened flux of MAXI J1659–152 in quiescence. Kuulkers et al. (2013) reported an $E(B-V)=0.26$ using the estimated Galactic HI density ($N_H=1.74\times10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) given by Kalberla et al. (2005) in the direction of the source. Kaur et al. (2012) obtained $E(B-V)=0.32$, consistent with the $E(B-V)=0.34$ (D’Avanzo et al. 2010) obtained by using $N_H=2\times10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ published by Kennea et al. (2010), although this was later observed to vary with the stages of the outburst ($N_H=2.4 - 5 \times10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$; Kennea et al. 2011). This variety of reddening is not surprising since MAXI J1659–152 is a dipping source, which can often show large changes in their internal absorption and might lead to systematic errors in the distance (see e.g. Jonker & Nelemans 2004). Thus, for the sake of our analysis, we adopt $E(B-V)=0.26$ (Kuulkers et al. 2013).

In Figure 7 we show the the $(r' - H)$ colour versus effective temperature for NextGen model atmospheres. We also show the de-reddened colours of MAXI J1659–152 allowing for the accretion disc contamination to the observed flux. We first de-redden the observed magnitudes of MAXI J1659–152 using $A_V/E(B-V)=3.1$ (Cardelli et al. 1989), which results in reddening values of 0.68 and 0.15 mag in the $r'$- and $H$-bands, respectively.

Differential PSF quiescent GS and VLT photometry of MAXI J1659–152 against C4 (top panel) as well as differential photometry for the stars C4 and D8 (bottom panel). The data have been folded with the orbital period. The phase is arbitrary. The light curve of MAXI J1659–152 shows no periodic trend, being dominated by flickering likely produced in the accretion disc.

tical wavelengths is reached between July 2012 and April 2013, i.e., $>660$ d after the beginning of the X-ray outburst.

On the other hand, MAXI J1659–152 reached its nearly quiescent X-ray level $\sim220$ d after the outburst onset (see figure 4 in Homan et al. 2013 and Figure 2). However, a bright X-ray reflare occurred between JD 2455700 and JD 2455800 ($\sim240$ d after the beginning of the outburst). MAXI J1659–152 returned to its quiescent X-ray level $\sim100$ d after the end of the re-flare activity (i.e. $\sim300$ d after the outburst was detected). This is almost a year before quiescence is reached at optical wavelengths. It is difficult to pin down the quiescence in X-rays due to the limited sensitivity of the all-sky monitors onboard X-ray satellites. However, similar outburst light curve evolution was observed, e.g., after the 2015 June outburst of V404 Cyg when the system reached the quiescent state in X-rays between 2015 August 5 and August 21 (Sivakoff et al. 2015), while in the optical the system reached this level only between 2015 October 10 and 15 (Bernardini et al. 2016). One possible explanation for the long time needed by MAXI J1659–152 to reach optical quiescence is, perhaps, that the cooling time of the donor and irradiated disc at optical wavelengths is long, while the X-rays coming from the central region of the accretion disc had reached a stable quiescent level much sooner. This behaviour might happen in all or many black hole transients in outburst and with MAXI J1659–152 being one of the first observational evidences for it.

2 https://phoenix.ens-lyon.fr/Grids/NextGen/COLORS/
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found in the VLT
I-band images could be explained as due to
a large contribution of the accretion disc in this band, which
would favour an M5 V spectral type of the companion star.
However, it is also well known that stars in cataclysmic vari-
ables and X-ray binaries often deviate from main-sequence
and tend to be overluminous for their masses and colors,
which would imply a hotter spectral type.

5 CONCLUSIONS
We present optical and infrared data of the evolution of
MAXI J1659–152 during its 2010 outburst, decay and qui-
escence, analysing more than 400 images covering 5 years of photometric data.

(i) Combining several observational campaigns of
MAXI J1659–152 to monitor the decay towards quies-
cence we obtained the first optical confirmation of the
2.414 ± 0.005 h orbital period. This value is in perfect
agreement with that obtained by Kuulkers et al. (2013)
analysing the X-ray dips, confirming MAXI J1659–152 as

(ii) In addition, we investigated a (r′ − H) vs (r′ − i′) di-
agram with all the stars in the field. In this diagram, the
optical counterpart of MAXI J1659–152 during its outburst
decay is clearly detected above the main stellar locus,
implying a large Hr excess.

(iii) We also determined optical quiescent magnitudes of
MAXI J1659–152: r′ = 24.20 ± 0.08, i = 23.32 ± 0.02 and
H = 20.7 ± 0.1. Combining the r′ − H colour together with a
model of stellar atmospheres and assuming a 60% accretion
disc contamination to the observed r′-band fluxes, we find a
secondary star in the range M2 to M5. This range of spec-
tral types are in agreement with those reported by Kuulkers
et al. (2013) and Jonker et al. (2012).
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