Spectroscopic confirmation of the low-latitude object FSR 1716 as an old globular cluster
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ABSTRACT

Star clusters are invaluable tracers of the Galactic components and the discovery and characterization of low-mass stellar systems can be used to appraise their prevailing disruption mechanisms and time scales. However, owing to the significant foreground contamination, high extinction, and still uncharted interfaces of the underlying Milky Way components, objects at low Galactic latitudes are notoriously difficult to characterize. Here, we present the first spectroscopic campaign to identify the chemodynamical properties of the low-latitude star cluster FSR 1716. While its photometric age and distance are far from settled, the presence of RR Lyrae variables indicates a rather old cluster variety. Using medium-resolution (R∼10600) calcium triplet (CaT) spectroscopy obtained with the wide-field, multi-fibre AAOmega instrument, we identified six member candidates with a mean velocity of −30 km s\(^{-1}\) and a velocity dispersion of 2.5±0.9 km s\(^{-1}\). The latter value implies a dynamic mass of \(1.3 \times 10^3\) \(M_\odot\), typical of a low-mass globular cluster. Combined with our derived CaT metallicity of −1.38 ± 0.20 dex, this object is finally confirmed as an old, metal-poor globular cluster.
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1. Introduction

Star clusters in any of the Galactic components (halo, disks, bulge) are crucial tracers of the prevailing stellar populations at their birthplace. Thus they play an important role for disentangling the chemodynamic evolution of the Milky Way. For a unique mapping it is therefore imperative to be able to distinguish between low-mass, young objects (e.g., Vande Putte et al. 2010) and old globular clusters (GCs), or to diversify objects formed in situ versus those accreted from larger objects such as dwarf galaxies (Marin-Franch et al. 2009) and old globular clusters (GCs), or to diversify objects formed in situ versus those accreted from larger objects such as dwarf galaxies (Marin-Franch et al. 2009) and old globular clusters (GCs) (Law & Majewski 2010). This becomes progressively more difficult towards the interfaces of the components, when distinctions between, e.g., the inner and outer halo or the disk-bulge-halo transition need to be sampled (e.g., Recio-Blanco et al. 2014, Koch et al. 2016, 2017a, Mucciarelli et al. 2017).

However, such a cartography becomes challenging towards the plane of the Milky Way, since the high extinction and confusion with disk field stars along the line of sight render such studies a pain in the plane. Past estimates of the completeness of GCs in the Milky Way (e.g., Ivanov et al. 2005) indicated that about ten (±3) clusters are still missing towards the inner Milky Way, and discovery of more unknown objects still lurking in the Galactic anticenter direction would add invaluable information to the overall population of Galactic star clusters. Once discovered, it needs to be carefully assessed which Galactic component has spawned them, but without the proper chemical and/or kinematic information, this endeavour remains aleatoric.

Here, we continue our efforts to characterize hitherto uncharted star clusters in the Milky Way (Koch et al. 2017a,b) by performing a spectroscopic study of the low-latitude (\(l\lesssim 330^\circ\), \(b\approx -1.6^\circ\)) object FSR 1716. This star cluster was discovered by Froebrich et al. (2007) in the infrared Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Cutri et al. 2003). Subsequent analysis of ESO NTT infrared (JHK) data indicated an age of \(\geq 2\) Gyr and a metal-poor nature of approximately −1.6 dex (Froebrich et al. 2008). As of then, no consensus had been reached whether this is an open or a globular cluster.

Upon re-analysis of the 2MASS data, Bonatto & Bica (2008) confirmed an old age between 7–12 Gyr and placed FSR 1716 within 0.8–2.3 kpc of the Solar radius\footnote{Note that this estimate used a value for the Solar radius of 7.2 kpc, whereas new measurements place this at larger distances of \(\sim 8.3\) kpc (e.g., Reid et al. 2009, Brunthaler et al. 2011)}. However, in order to remain stable at that old age, this system needed to be an “extreme dynamic survivor”, since the severe disruption mechanisms in the Galactic disk would lead to strong mass loss. Accordingly, open clusters that old are mainly found at larger Galactocentric distances due to the decreased relentlessness of dynamical processes leading to their fast disruptions on time scales well below 1 Gyr (Lamers et al. 2005, Bonatto & Bica 2007, Friel 2013). Their derived very small radius (\(r_r < 4\) pc), low stellar content (\(M<200\) \(M_\odot\)), and Solar metallicity prompted Bonatto & Bica (2008) to prefer a characterization as a rare, old open cluster over a low-mass, old globular cluster. In contrast, Buckner & Froebrich (2016) assigned this object an old age (10–12 Gyr), but at a larger distance to the Sun of 7.3 kpc.

Recently, FSR 1716 was identified via its RR Lyrae population in the deep, multi-epoch, near-infrared “VISTA Variables in...
the Via Lactea” (VVV) survey (Minniti et al. 2017), which as-
certained an old age of \( >10 \) Gyr and a low metallicity (\(-1.5 \text{ dex} \)) from the RR-Lyrae’s period-metallicity relation. Accordingly, this analysis placed FSR 1716 at a distance well within the Solar galaxy. Therefore, only the addition of chemical abundance and detailed kinematic information will be able to settle the true nature of FSR 1716 and its proper place in the Milky Way galaxy.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sect. 2 we describe our spectroscopic observations, from which we measure the stellar kinematics and assess membership with the star cluster in Sect. 3. Sect. 4 contains our derivation of metallicities, and finally, in Sect. 5, we summarize our findings.

2. Observations

Targets for this program were selected from the 2MASS catalog, applying generous selection boxes that would, in principle, encompass the cluster’s red giant branch (RGB) from its tip \( K_s \sim 9 \) mag) to its red clump level (at \( K_s \sim 13.5 \) mag). Owing to its very low latitude, the line of sight towards FSR 1716 is heavily con-
taminated with Galactic disk stars, which renders an efficient tar-
et selection difficult (Fig. 1; see also Fig. 4 in Minniti et al. 2017). Similarly, the success rate of our procedure is limited by the small extent of the cluster: at the distance to FSR 1716 of \( \sim 7.5 \) kpc, its core radius subtends a mere \( 26.5'' \) (Froebrich et al. 2008), while Minniti et al. (2017) estimate a full extent of the cluster of \( \sim 3 \). This compares to a field of view of the AAOmega instrument of \( 2'' \) and a minimum fibre separation of \( \sim 30'' \) (Sharp et al. 2006). Details on the bona fide member candidates, as de-
termined in Sect. 3, are summarized in Table 1.

The data presented here were taken over three nights during our six-nights’ observing run in June 2017, with the AAOmega multifibre spectrograph at the 3.9 m Anglo-Australian Telescope (Siding Spring Observatory, Coonabarabran, NSW, Australia). We created three plate configurations for the Two Degree Field (2DF) fibre positioner, containing a total of 1048 science fibres and 75 sky positions. As before, we used a dual setup that employed the red 1700D grating, centered at 8600 Å and the blue 2500V grating, centered at 5000 Å. This way we ensured to com-
ploy the red clump level of \( \sim 30 \) km s\(^{-1} \) (heliocentric) and \( \sim 71 \) km s\(^{-1} \) (galactocentric) with a dispersion of \( 50\pm 1 \) km s\(^{-1} \) are fully compatible with the Galactic rotation curve towards this line of sight (e.g., Dame et al. 2001).

We note, however, a group of six stars located within 2.6 core-radii of the center (red circles in Fig. 2) that show very similar kinematic properties, clustering around a mean velocity of \( \sim 30 \) km s\(^{-1} \). Likewise, as we will show in Sect. 4, their the metallicities are in excellent agreement with each other. While the presence of a secondary plume of stars at \( \sim 70 \) km s\(^{-1} \) could in principle be identified with an underlying cluster population as well, these stars have markedly different metallicities (Sect. 4) and we rather deem these foreground objects. The same holds for those stars outside of the cluster boundary of \( \gtrsim 3 \). Thus we identify the innermost six stars at the higher velocity peak with

3. Radial velocities, cluster membership, and dynamical mass

Radial velocities were measured via cross-correlation of the three strong CaT lines against a synthetic template of that re-
gion (Kleyna et al. 2004), which led to a median velocity error of \( 1.8 \) km s\(^{-1} \). In Fig. 2 we show the run of velocities vs. radial distance from the nominal cluster center, here parameterized via it core radius. For clarity, this has been truncated at \( 10' \) to em-
phasize the “innermost” region of our data set close to the actual cluster.

As this figure and the distribution of the entire sample (Fig. 3) show, isolating the signal from the cluster itself is severely hampered by the Galactic foreground contamination. The mean velocities of these Galactic stars of \( \sim 61 \pm 2 \) km s\(^{-1} \) (heliocentric) and \( \sim 71 \pm 2 \) km s\(^{-1} \) (galactocentric) with a dis-
persion of \( 50\pm 1 \) km s\(^{-1} \) could in principle be identified with an underlying cluster population as well, these stars have markedly different metallicities (Sect. 4) and we rather deem these foreground objects. The same holds for those stars outside of the cluster boundary of \( \gtrsim 3 \). Thus we identify the innermost six stars at the higher velocity peak with
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The member candidates of FSR 1716. Their individual properties are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Derived properties of the FSR 1716 member candidates

| Star   | ID      | \( \alpha \)  | \( \delta \)  | \( r \)  | J       | H       | K       |
|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Plate 1 #16 | J161030.35−534450.8 | 16:10:30.35 | −53:44:50.81 | 6.0 | 13.429 | 12.393 | 12.013 |
| Plate 1 #24 | J161032.22−534550.3 | 16:10:32.22 | −53:45:50.33 | 57.8 | 12.710 | 11.547 | 11.189 |
| Plate 1 #106 | J161025.78−534446.3 | 16:10:25.78 | −53:44:46.28 | 38.7 | 13.971 | 12.954 | 12.641 |
| Plate 2 #266 | J161026.77−534407.7 | 16:10:26.77 | −53:44:07.73 | 56.1 | 13.858 | 12.840 | 12.395 |
| Plate 3 #76 | J161029.88−534605.4 | 16:10:29.88 | −53:46:05.35 | 69.4 | 12.897 | 11.737 | 11.333 |
| Plate 3 #213 | J161026.46−534423.2 | 16:10:26.46 | −53:44:23.18 | 45.4 | 14.435 | 13.426 | 13.069 |

Thereby, we establish the mean systemic velocity of FSR 1716 as \(-30.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) with a velocity dispersion of \(2.5 \pm 0.9 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), a moderately low value typical of a low-to-intermediate mass Galactic star cluster (e.g., Pryor & Meylan 1993; Koch et al. 2012, 2017). Using this information and the cluster morphology in terms of its characteristic King (1966) radius (Froebrich et al. 2008; Bonatto & Bica 2008; Minniti et al. 2017), we can estimate the dynamical mass of FSR 1716 as \((1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5 \text{ M}_\odot\) (Spitzer 1987), which is a factor of \(-70\) larger than the low-mass estimate of Bonatto & Bica (2008), albeit based on their premise of a significantly lower distance. Upon a typical stellar mass-to-light ratio of 1.5, this would place FSR 1716 at an approximate absolute magnitude of \(M_V \sim -5.1 \pm 1.0 \text{ mag}\), without doubt in the regimen of a GC.

Ideally, the kinematic information should be coupled with accurate proper motions, allowing for an orbital computation that could hint at this cluster’s origin. Unfortunately, none of the member stars had a cross match in the UCAC5 catalog (Zacharias et al. 2017), and only three stars could be identified in the HSOY (“Hot Stuff for One Year”) proper motion catalog (Altmann et al. 2017), which utilized the most recent positions from Gaia-DR1 (Prusti et al. 2016). However, the respective proper motions are highly inconclusive, leading to a broad variety of possible orbits, from thick disk to outer halo; therefore, we do not pursue the orbital analyses any further.

4. Calcium triplet metallicities

The three near-infrared CaT lines are a powerful indicator of stellar metallicities, \([\text{Fe/H}]_{\text{CaT}}\), for RGB stars near and above the horizontal branch (Armandroff & Zinn 1988). In the following, we adopted the calibrations of line strength with metallicity from Vásquez et al. (2015), which are applicable into the metal-rich
regime up to 0.7 dex, and which employ the infrared magnitude above the red clump \((K_S - K_{RC})\). The latter is highly advantageous in population studies towards low latitudes, compared to the traditional use of the visual magnitude above the horizontal branch \((V - V_{HB})\), which is more sensitive to reddening. In practice, these calibrations are parameterized as:

\[
[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{CaT}} = -3.15 + 0.432W' + 0.006W'^2,
\]

with the reduced width \(W' = \Sigma EW + 0.384(K_S - K_{RC})\). Here, we defined the CaT line strength as the straight sum over the equivalent widths (EWs) of the two strongest lines, i.e., \(\Sigma EW = EW_{5542} + EW_{6662}\). The above formalism places our measurements on the metallicity scale of Carretta et al. (2009). The uncertainties on EW measurements and photometry were propagated through this process and added in quadrature to the R.M.S. scatter of 0.19 dex in the calibrations, as evaluated by Vásquez et al. (2015). The latter component also overwhelmed the overall error budget compared to the photometric and EW uncertainties.

We note that this procedure is explicitly only valid for stars above the red clump that are members to the system in question. Nominal metallicities assigned to foreground objects have no meaning, since their distance is an a priori unknown so that the formal magnitude above the clump, introduced as a proxy for absolute magnitude, cannot be established. Furthermore, the CaT remains poorly measurable in many cold foreground stars in the sample due to the lines lying within strong molecular bands (Sharples et al. 1990; Kunder et al. 2012).

As Fig. 4, again truncated towards the inner 10', shows, the velocity-selected member candidates share very similar CaT metallicities, bolstering their identification with the cluster population. These stars are characterized by a mean metallicity of \(-1.38 \pm 0.20\) dex and a 1σ dispersion of 0.15 dex that is purely driven by the measurement errors that are typically of 0.20 dex. This is in excellent agreement with previous measurements of the cluster mean from infrared photometry \((-1.6^{+0.3}_{-0.6})\). Froebrich et al. (2008). Likewise, Minniti et al. (2017) performed CMD-fitting on their VVV infrared photometry and used the period-metallicity relation for RR-Lyrae to ascertain a value of \(-1.5\pm0.4\) dex. Combined with its kinematic properties and the appearance of its CMD, this argues in favour of this system indeed being an old, metal-poor GC, ruling out a younger open disk cluster or a Solar-metallicity object as suggested by Froebrich et al. (2008) and Bonatto & Bica (2008).

5. Conclusions

The low-latitude star cluster FSR 1716 has so far defied a clear-cut identification and has been placed in various classes since its discovery. While originally suspected as an old open cluster at a close distance and very low mass of only a few hundreds solar masses (Froebrich et al. 2007; Bonatto & Bica 2008), the recent detection of old RR Lyrae stars associated with this object has argued in favour of a location near the Galactic bulge and an even older age, imprinting it with a globular label.

The present spectroscopic study has firmly established FSR 1716 as a metal-poor system (at \(-1.4\) dex), in line with photometric measurements. Our kinematic measurements confirmed a low mass on the order of \(10^4\) \(M_\odot\), thus rendering this object an old, metal-poor and low-mass globular cluster that is currently located in the inner disk of the Milky Way. Clearly, improved proper motions that can be gleaned from long-baseline, high-accuracy surveys (e.g., Zacharias et al. 2017; Minniti et al. 2017) are needed to aid in further investigation the history of this intriguing object.
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