Effects of the Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease Outbreak on Coral Communities and the Benthic Composition of Cozumel Reefs
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In the Caribbean, disease outbreaks have emerged as significant drivers of coral mortality. Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease (SCTLD) is a novel white plague-type disease that was first reported off the Florida coast in 2014. This disease affects >20 coral species and is spreading rapidly throughout the Caribbean. In December 2018, SCTLD reached southwestern (SW) Cozumel, one of the healthiest reef systems in the Caribbean. In this study, we integrate data from multiple survey protocols conducted between July 2018 and April 2020 to track the progression of the outbreak in SW Cozumel and to quantify the impacts of SCTLD on coral communities and the benthic composition of reefs. Given that the SCTLD outbreak coincided with a period of prolonged thermal stress that concluded in widespread coral bleaching in autumn 2019, we also investigated whether this event further exacerbated coral mortality. Our findings show that SCTLD spread throughout SW Cozumel in only 2 months and reached a peak after only 5 months. By the summer of 2019, most of the afflicted corals were already dead. Species of the families Meandrinidae, Faviinae, and Montastraeidae showed 33–95% mortality. The widespread coral die-off caused an overall loss of 46% in coral cover followed by a rapid increase of algae cover across all surveyed reefs that persisted until at least April 2020. In November 2019, more than 15% of surveyed coral colonies were bleached. However, we did not find that bleaching further increased coral mortality at either the colony or the community level, which suggests that the coral communities were able to recover from this event despite still being affected by the disease. In conclusion, SCTLD is radically changing the ecology of coral reefs by decimating the populations of several key reef-builders and reconfiguring the benthic assemblages. The actions needed to restore coral populations have to be accompanied by stringent controls related to the effects of climate change, coastal development, and wastewater treatment to improve coral conditions and ecosystem resilience.
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INTRODUCTION

Coral reefs have shifted away from coral dominance over the past few decades, which has compromised ecosystem stability and reduced their capacity to support important ecosystem services and biodiversity (Hughes et al., 2017; Perry and Alvarez-Filip, 2019). Climate-driven thermal stress has become a major force reshaping coral reef communities, threatening the persistence of coral-dominated reefs across the tropics (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Hughes et al., 2018; Oliver et al., 2018). However, coral loss may also occur in response to other stressors or to multiple stressors that act simultaneously, and at times synergistically, to increase coral mortality or limit coral growth and reproduction (Darling et al., 2010; Ban et al., 2014; Elias-Ilosvay et al., 2020).

In particular, disease outbreaks have emerged as significant causes of coral die-off in the Caribbean region, with devastating effects on key reef-building species (Gladden, 1982; Weil, 2004; Harvell et al., 2007). Recent studies have shown that the increases in both the frequency and intensity of coral disease outbreaks are often related to multiple pressures, such as rising sea surface temperatures and decreased seawater quality (Randall and Van Woesik, 2017). For example, numerous studies have documented that coral disease outbreaks, especially those related to white plague disease, occur either simultaneously or following thermally induced coral bleaching events (Cróquer and Weil, 2009; Rogers et al., 2009; Precht et al., 2016; Gintert et al., 2019). This is because abnormally warm temperatures may increase the abundance and virulence of pathogens and/or immunocompromised coral hosts (Sokolow, 2009; Reed et al., 2010; Maynard et al., 2015; Pinzón et al., 2015). In consequence, increasing thermal stress has facilitated the occurrence of outbreaks, particularly in areas in which corals are abundant (Bruno et al., 2007). Both diseases and bleaching events are thus key drivers for triggering mass coral mortality in the Caribbean (Cróquer and Weil, 2009; Eakin et al., 2010), which has contributed to important shifts in assemblage composition and to long-term phase-shifts in some coral reefs.

Stony coral tissue loss disease (SCTLD) is an unprecedented white plague-type disease first observed off the Florida coast in 2014 (Precht et al., 2016). Since then, outbreaks of the disease have been confirmed in the Western Caribbean, the Greater Antilles, the Bahamian Archipelago, and the Eastern Caribbean (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019; Weil et al., 2019; Kramer et al., 2020). This disease affects at least 20 species of scleractinian corals, including major reef-building species and species listed as endangered on the Red List of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (FDEP, 2018; Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019). When corals become infected, multifocal acute lesions can arise that quickly progress throughout the colony, resulting in total mortality in most cases. The exact putative agent is still unknown, although the characterization of the microbial communities of the lesions and the responses to antibiotic treatments suggest that SCTLD is associated with bacteria of the Flavobacteriales, Rhodobacterales, and Rhizobiales orders (Aeby et al., 2019; Meyer et al., 2019). Moreover, the disease is highly contagious, and at least in Florida, SCTLD has followed a linear spread pattern, moving slightly faster to the north than to the south. This progression may possibly have been due to the influence of currents (Muller et al., 2020) and sediments, which have been identified as possible sources of transmission (Aeby et al., 2019; Muller et al., 2020; Rosales et al., 2020).

In a decadal study in Florida, thermal-stress events were found to be responsible for coral bleaching and associated coral diseases (van Woesik and McCaffrey, 2017). In that region, a warm winter and summer appeared at the onset of widespread coral bleaching in 2014, which likely enhanced the severity of SCTLD (Manzello, 2015; Precht et al., 2016; Walton et al., 2018; Gintert et al., 2019). However, although the onset of SCTLD may have co-occurred with high water temperatures, which may have in turn helped the emergence of SCTLD, these temperatures did not necessarily facilitate its spread (Muller et al., 2020). Furthermore, in the Mexican Caribbean, it has been observed that a decrease in water temperatures during winter did not slow the progression of SCTLD (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019), which was further supported by findings from the Florida Reef Tract that suggested that water temperature did not affect either disease prevalence or virulence (Aeby et al., 2019). Moreover, a trade-off between disease and bleaching susceptibility in coral species has been observed (Shore-Maggio et al., 2018), suggesting that the impacts from bleaching events and diseases are not necessarily synergistic.

In addition to thermal stress, other environmental stressors may have influenced the spread of SCTLD, as the prevalence and progression of the disease have been found to vary between sites, suggesting a potential role of local-scale habitat variation in environmental conditions, such as nutrient concentrations, turbidity, and temperature variability (Rippe et al., 2019). Recent studies have found that the presence of certain bacteria associated with soil, human, and animal gut consortia may be a contributing factor to SCTLD, implicating surface runoff and/or fecal contamination in the spread of the disease (Iwanowicz et al., 2020). Further, the linear spread of SCTLD along the Florida Reef Tract (Muller et al., 2020) indicates that water currents may play an important role in disease transmission and geographic spread, as the causative agent of SCTLD might have been transported to the first-infected colonies within sediments resuspended by dredging operations (Dobbelaere et al., 2020).

A rigorous evaluation throughout the Mexican Caribbean determined that the disease afflicted all mainland reefs surveyed along the shore within a few months, as the onset of SCTLD in this region occurred in summer 2018; however, due to the high variability in disease prevalence among these sites, a geographical gradient was not evident (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019). So far, SCTLD may have considerably altered the structure of the coral communities and decreased their physical functionality in the region (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019; Estrada-Saldívar et al., 2020). In contrast to what was observed in the mainland reefs, SCTLD was first observed in December 2018 on the western coast of Cozumel Island. This allowed for the implementation of preventative measures by the managers of the Arrecifes de Cozumel National Park and allies in the tourism sector to monitor the disease. These reefs constitute some of the most popular diving sites for tourists in the Caribbean as well as being important sites for Caribbean cruises, and
thus Cozumel receives thousands of tourists each year that
generate an annual estimated income of US$ 304 million dollars
(CONANP-GIZ, 2017).

The reefs in southwestern (SW) Cozumel are among the most
complex and best-conserved reef systems in the Caribbean, as
they present high coral cover and high diversity when compared
to those of other reefs in the region (Bozec et al., 2015; Martínez-
Rendis et al., 2020; McField et al., 2020). Although the coral reefs
in Cozumel have exhibited increases in macroalgae cover, they
have simultaneously shown increases in coral cover over the last
decade, suggesting that they are highly resilient despite growing
anthropogenic threats (Contreras-Silva et al., 2020). Although the
SW Cozumel reefs were among the last to be affected by
the SCTLD outbreak, as the first report of the disease was in
Paraiso reef (located near the northwestern boundary of the
Arrecifes de Cozumel marine protected area, MPA; Figure 1) on
December 5, 2018, SCTLD spread very rapidly across the 20 km of
SW Cozumel during the winter. The disease outbreak remained
throughout 2019, affecting coral communities and coinciding
with a severe thermal stress event that peaked in autumn 2019.
In this study, we first set out to describe the timing of the spread
of SCTLD and its impacts on the coral communities within the
reefs of the Cozumel MPA. Second, we assessed the consequences
of coral die-off on the composition of the benthic community
by quantifying changes in the cover of corals and algae. Lastly,
we evaluated whether coral mortality was further exacerbated
by thermal stress.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The shelf of SW Cozumel (leeward portion) is structured into
three terraces, which are often covered by sediment, bordered by
distinct steps on which reef formations are found (Muckelbauer,
1990). On the edge of the terraces, at approximately 5, 10, and
20 m below sea level, a series of discontinuous reef formations
that follow the contour of the edge are present (Jordán-Dahlgren,
1988; Muckelbauer, 1990). These border reefs follow a marked
north-south gradient of increasing structural complexity and
habitat diversity (Muckelbauer, 1990). The area is influenced by
the Yucatán Current, which travels from south to north, and
by the presence of temporal and tide-induced coastal counter
currents (Chávez et al., 2003). The leeward coast is protected from
high wave action, except in autumn when strong northern and
north-western winds blow (Muckelbauer, 1990). These reefs have
been protected since 1980 when the western coast of Cozumel
was declared a refuge zone for the Protection of Marine Flora
and Fauna. In 1996, this system was upgraded to a national park
(total area of 11,987.87 ha expanding to the southeast, south, and
southwest of the island) under the administration of the National
Commission of Natural Protected Areas (CONANP).

Field Surveys and Analyses

In this study, we used three complementary approximations
to assess the spread and impacts of the SCTLD outbreak.
First, to track the spread of the disease across the MPA, we
conducted prospective surveys during February and March 2019
in collaboration with Park Authorities and local dive shops. Second,
to assess the impacts of SCTLD and bleaching, from July
2018 to April 2020, we surveyed the coral communities of six reef
sites during seven different periods in addition to four reef sites
over a single period. Lastly, to estimate changes in the cover of
corals, algae, and other benthic components, we conducted four
benthic surveys between July 2018 and April 2020.

Prospective Surveys

From February 7, 2019, to March 27, 2019, one diver trained
in coral disease identification (i.e., O.O. R-G) joined commercial
diving trips (that consisted of drift dives) to survey 10 sites that
dive shops use for recreational activities. During each trip, two
sites were surveyed. At each site, the diver swam in a straight
line at an average depth of 18 m during the first dive and 10 m
during the second dive. All coral colonies that were found within
4 m across the field of vision of the diver were registered, and
the following data were recorded: species identity, the presence
of SCTLD, and the percentage of recent mortality for each diseased
colony. The diver estimated the percentage of recent mortality,
considering the entire flat surface. To confirm the sampling
points, geographical coordinates were captured at the entry and
exit of each dive.

Coral Community Surveys

To evaluate the coral communities, we used both bar drop and
belt transect methods (Lang et al., 2012; Searle et al., 2014). The
bar drop method was employed in January 2019, April
2019, and April 2020 (Paraiso, Paso del Cedral, and Colombia
sites). The belt transect method was used in July 2018 (Paraiso,
Chankanaab, Yucab, Paso del Cedral, Dalila, and Colombia),
July 2019 (Paraiso, Chankanaab, Yucab, Paso del Cedral, Dalila,
Colombia, La Franca, and Palancar Jardines), August 2019
(Cardona and Punta Sur sites), and October and November
2019 (Paraiso, Paso del Cedral, and Colombia). Surveys were
conducted between 4 and 14 m depth (Supplementary Table S1).
Different methodologies were utilized because of logistic and
time restrictions; however, the information is largely comparable
as both methods provide detailed colony data. The main
difference between these two methods is that the bar drop method
allows for large areas to be surveyed, although it is not possible to
estimate the total surveyed area.

For the bar drop method, 2–5 surveyors used a 1-m PVC
tube that was marked every 25 cm. Each surveyor swam in a
straight line holding the bar perpendicular to the swimming
transect. Every three kick-cycles (i.e., a full downward and
upward push for each leg), the bar was placed straight down
onto the substrate. Coral colonies ≥4 cm under the mark were
recorded, and if a mark did not fall directly on top of a coral, the
condition of the nearest coral colony (within a 12.5-cm diameter)
was then recorded (Searle et al., 2014). For the belt transect
method, we followed the methodology of the Atlantic and Gulf
Rapid Reef Assessment (AGRRA V5.5; Lang et al., 2012). Belt
transects were haphazardly placed within the reef structure, and
all corals (≥4 cm) found within the 1-m band were registered and
measured (fragments were registered but not measured). In July
2018 (prior to SCTLD), an average of 5.1 (4–6) belt transects (10 × 1 m) were surveyed in each reef, whereas in the 2019 surveys, the effort increased considerably with an average of 9 (4–13) belt transects. Given the low number of transects conducted in July 2018, it is probable that some uncommon or rare species were underrepresented, although this would only make our findings more conservative for those species.

For both methods, the following data were recorded for each coral colony within the transect: species identity, colony size (maximum diameter, diameter perpendicular to the maximum diameter, and height), percentage of bleaching, percentage of mortality (recent, transient, and old), and the presence of SCTLD or other diseases (Lang et al., 2012). For this study, we also recorded colonies with 100% mortality for which mortality could be attributed to SCTLD (i.e., recent mortality was still evident). Mortality was deemed to be recent when the superficial structure of the colonies was bare (white coral skeleton) or covered by a thin layer of sediment or filamentous algae, indicating that the soft tissue had died within a time frame of hours to weeks (e.g., Figure 1C). Only 68 (out of 10,687) colonies were recorded to have been affected by other diseases, none with evidence of rapid disease progression or severe coral mortality. Therefore, we assumed that coral mortality was produced by SCTLD because this disease has a high rate of lethality and velocity of dispersion and progression (Precht et al., 2016; Muller et al., 2020). Given that bleaching was also observed during some surveys, we also identified four possible causes associated with recent mortality: bleaching (the colony only presented signs of bleaching), bleaching and SCTLD (the colony presented both signs of SCTLD and bleaching), SCTLD (the colony had only signs of SCTLD), and unconfirmed mortality, which was used for completely dead colonies (i.e., no visible signs of bleaching or SCTLD). During the surveys, to differentiate SCTLD from bleaching, we carefully observed if the colony presented live tissue. SCTLD kills the living tissue of the colony, and as it progresses, leaves a band of exposed skeleton (recent mortality). When a colony presented signs of bleaching, the remaining tissue had a pale or transparent color that was still visible.

Using the data obtained from the coral surveys, we then calculated SCTLD prevalence for each site, time period, and coral species. To evaluate disease prevalence, we took into account diseased and recently deceased colonies to prevent underestimating the effects of the disease, as has been done in other similar studies (Miller et al., 2016; Neely, 2018; Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019; Gintert et al., 2019; Estrada-Saldívar et al., 2020). *Siderastrea siderea* showed different signs of infection (termed white-blotch syndrome in some studies; Gintert et al., 2019; Weil et al., 2019); however, we considered that this species was affected by SCTLD, as both the timing of when signs appeared and the disease progression were similar to what we observed for other species in Cozumel and elsewhere in the Mexican Caribbean (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019). To provide a clearer picture of the magnitude of the outbreak in Cozumel, we focused on exploring geographical and temporal trends for the most highly susceptible species, which were considered to be those with more than 10% prevalence (considering diseased and dead colonies). The highly susceptible species were identified using data obtained in July and August of 2019, as this was when the disease was most evident across all reefs sites and more data was available (10 reef sites, 86 transects). Although *Orbicella franksi* did not meet this criterion, it was considered to be a highly susceptible species because it is a species that has been highly affected in the rest of the Mexican Caribbean due to SCTLD. We also calculated the percentage of bleached colonies for each period and the percentage of colonies with recent mortality, considering all coral species.

### Disease Progression

We used data obtained from the prospective surveys and the coral community surveys to create a rough timeline of the spatial progression of SCTLD across the Cozumel MPA. For this, we used four periods that corresponded to the first observation of the outbreak to the time that it was present across the entire study area: (1) January 1–18, (2) February 7–12, (3) March 25–28, (4) and July 1–16. As described above, we calculated SCTLD prevalence for each site and period using only highly susceptible species. For this, we also considered diseased and recently deceased colonies, in which mortality was attributable to SCTLD. Due to logistic limitations, not all sites were surveyed during each period (Supplementary Table S1).

### Benthic Surveys

Benthic cover surveys were performed according to the Mesoamerican Barrier Reef System protocol (Almada-Villela et al., 2003) in July 2018 and July 2019 (Paraiso, Chankanab, Yucab, Paso del Cedral, Dalila, and Colombia; Figure 1), November 2019 (Paraiso, Yucab, Paso del Cedral, Dalila, and Colombia), and April 2020 (Paraiso, Paso del Cedral, and Colombia). This method has been used to monitor coral reefs in Cozumel over the last two decades. At each site, six 30-m long transects were haphazardly located parallel to the coast. Each transect was surveyed using the point intercept method (every 25 cm) to determine the benthic component underneath the transect. For this study, we estimated the percentage cover of hard corals and algae by using the proportion of points under the line represented by each category. The latter category included fleshy macroalgae, calcareous macroalgae, cyanobacterial mats, and turf or filamentous algae, as all these groups can rapidly colonize the free space left by recently deceased coral tissue. Although point intercept transects are not a direct measure of the spatial coverage of benthic components, this approximation has proven useful to represent reef condition and to track temporal changes; it is also widely used in research and monitoring protocols (Hill and Wilkinson, 2004; Magdaong et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2017). In addition, the point intercept method has been shown to provide very consistent data when comparing among methods that use a two-dimensional approach (e.g., photoquadrants or videotransects; Nadon and Stirling, 2006; Jokiel et al., 2015).

We compared the percentage cover of corals and algae between July 2018 (before the outbreak) and July 2019 for each reef and for Cozumel as a whole by pooling the data from the six reefs. For this, a univariate comparison of coral and algae cover for all the periods and sites was performed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA), t-tests, or non-parametric equivalents (Mann-Whitney U test) based on an assessment of normality.
and homogeneity of variance using the Shapiro-Wilk test. In addition to testing for significant differences between these two periods, we calculated the percentage of change. We also tested for possible changes in dominance between coral and macroalgae cover between July 2018 and April 2020. For this, we estimated the ratio between the percentage cover of coral and algae, always using the lowest value of these two coverages as the denominator and the highest value as the numerator. In this way, we could always yield the proportion in relation to the dominant group.

**Seawater Temperature**

The potential effect of thermal stress was assessed from June 2018 to May 2020 with two different sources of information. First, the temperature at 8 m depth of the Cardona reef (20.41195 N, -87.02052 W) was measured with a Hobo UA-001-08 (Onset, Bourne, United States). The temperature was recorded every 30 min with a resolution of 0.10°C and an accuracy of ±0.53°C. Second, we obtained night sea surface temperature (NSST), Weekly HotSpots (WHS), and degree heating weeks (DHW) data for Cozumel from the SIMAR database (Sistema de Información y Análisis Marino Costero; Cerdeira-Estrada et al., 2018) using data from the Cozumel virtual buoy (20.575163 N, -86.840117 W), which obtains information from the OSTIA (Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis) and GHRSTT-MUR (Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature-Multi-Scale Ultra-High-Resolution) products. The Ocean Forecasting Research and Development group, as part of the European Union Global Monitoring for Environment and Security (GMES) MyOcean project, produced the OSTIA data. The GHRSTT-MUR data was produced by the GHRSTT, Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), the Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center (PO.DAAC), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA; Cerdeira-Estrada et al., 2018). DHW is calculated by summing WHS of 1°C or greater from the preceding 12-week period and is expressed as °C-weeks (Cerdeira-Estrada et al., 2018). The WHS are positive only-­­­­anomalies derived by subtracting the mean W-NSST from a specific week minus the maximum of the adjusted monthly climatology of the night sea surface temperature (Cerdeira-Estrada et al., 2018). The WHS measures the magnitude of the thermal, whereas DHW provides a measure of accumulated thermal stress experienced by corals and is a better predictor of bleaching (Liu et al., 2014; Cerdeira-Estrada et al., 2018).

**RESULTS**

The SCTLD outbreak spread rapidly throughout the reefs along the southwestern coast of Cozumel. The disease was first observed in the Paraiso reef in late December 2018 (B.Q.G, pers. Comm.; Figure 1A). During our survey on January 18, 2019, Paraiso was found to be the only site with clear evidence of SCTLD, and disease prevalence was already high with 59.6% of the colonies of highly susceptible species already presenting either partial or full recent mortality. This shows that it only took 2 or 3 weeks from when the disease was first observed (mid-late December 2018) until it was reported in the rest of the reef sites. Given the quickness of the spread, we were not able to identify a clear geographical dispersion pattern (e.g., north to south); however, by the first weeks of February, the disease was already present in all reefs in the southwestern portion of the Cozumel MPA. Nonetheless, with the exception of Paraiso, SCTLD prevalence was relatively low (5%). The species that showed early signs of the disease in the newly afflicted reefs were *Eusmilia fastigiata*, *Dichocoenia stokesii*, *Colpophyllia natans*, *Pseudodiploria strigosa*, and *Meandrina* spp. As the weeks passed, disease prevalence in highly susceptible species increased gradually across all reef sites, until July 2019, when all the reefs had a large number of either dead colonies or colonies with SCTLD (Figure 1A). The common scenario of the Cozumel reefs from January to June 2019 was the presence of a high abundance of diseased colonies (Figure 1B). After which, the number of recently dead colonies increased until the end of the year (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we observed many dead colonies that had growing macroalgae, cyanobacteria, and/or filamentous algal mats (Figure 1D).

The host range for SCTLD was very high in Cozumel. We identified 15 highly susceptible species for which at least 10% of the colonies that were observed in July and August 2019 were either infected or already dead (Figure 2A). However, the greatest susceptibility was observed with the species in the *Meandrinidae* family (70% disease prevalence), followed by those of the *Faviinae* subfamily (61% disease prevalence), and the *Montastreaeidae* family (50% disease prevalence; Figure 2A). In July 2018, before the SCTLD outbreak, 98% of the coral colonies of highly susceptible species were healthy, whereas only 2% were afflicted by diseases other than white plague-type diseases (i.e., black band, dark spot, and Caribbean yellow band diseases). However, from January 2019 and throughout the rest of the year, disease prevalence and mortality considerably increased (Figure 2B). Although we did not register *Dendrogyra cylindrus* colonies in our transects, we observed that colonies of this species were severely affected by the disease (e.g., Figure 2C).

The overall coral cover of the six reefs surveyed to explore changes in the benthic composition decreased significantly from 26.35 ± 2.21 (mean ± SE) in July 2018 to 14.71 ± 1.49 in July 2019 (Mann-Whitney, U = 273, Z = 3.98, P = 6.6717E-05), whereas algae cover increased significantly from 40.92 ± 2.74 to 50.64 ± 2.23 (Mann-Whitney, U = 411, Z = 2.51, P = 0.01). For each reef site, the same pattern was observed for both coral and algae cover (Figures 3A,B). Coral cover presented an overall loss of 46%, with the highest losses recorded in Paraiso (80%; Figure 3C). Likewise, a notable increase in overall algae cover of up to 34% was observed. Chankanaab was the only reef site in which algae cover did not increase, which was likely because the reef already had very high algae cover prior to the SCTLD outbreak (60%; Figure 3B). In July 2018, a clear dominance of algae over coral cover was not observed, with transects in some reefs (e.g., Colombia and Paso del Cedral) having between 2- to 10-fold more coral cover than that of algae (Figure 3D). However, in July and November 2019, a great majority of transects had a higher proportion of algae than corals, with some transects in the Paraiso reef reaching 30-fold more algae cover than that of corals (Figure 3B). By April 2020, no
transects had a higher proportion of corals compared to that of algae (Figure 3D).

Bleaching began to be observed on the southwestern reefs of Cozumel in July 2019 (Figure 4A); however, the effects of sustained thermal stress became evident in October and November 2019 when a rapid increase in the percentage of bleached colonies was observed for all species (Figure 4B), which coincided with the period in which the accumulation of thermal stress exceeded 4 DHW (°C-weeks; Figure 4A). Furthermore, it is likely that the thermal stress was even higher than what was derived from SST given that between August and October 2019, the underwater temperature was considerably higher (+0.5°C) than the satellite derived SST (Figure 4A). An analysis of variance yielded significant differences in coral cover among surveyed periods \(F(3, 102) = 8.83, p < 0.01\), but the post-hoc Tukey test showed that coral cover was only significantly different between July 2018 and the rest of the surveyed periods (Figure 4B). This suggests that the increase of bleached colonies observed in October and November 2019 did not further decline coral cover.

Despite widespread coral bleaching, coral mortality resulting from bleaching was low (Figure 4C). Throughout the study period, the largest proportions of colonies with recent mortality were those with clear signs of being afflicted by SCTLD. A relatively small proportion of colonies (usually with a low percentage of tissue mortality) were afflicted by either bleaching and SCTLD or by only bleaching (Figures 4C–F). Overall, in October and November, the mortality associated with bleaching was found in 1–2% of the coral colonies, while the vast majority of bleaching was found near the lesions left by SCTLD (where other stressors, such as cyanobacteria, were also present; Figures 4D,E). Even in colonies that were not visually afflicted by SCTLD and that presented 100% bleaching, no evidence of tissue mortality was observed (Figure 4F). By April 2020, coral mortality (including total colony mortality) decreased significantly, and only a small percentage of colonies showed signs of SCTLD or recent mortality.

**DISCUSSION**

In 2019, SCTLD disrupted coral reefs located along the leeward coast of Cozumel. The afflicted colonies observed during the surveys presented similar signs to those of colonies that had been previously reported in 2014 in Florida and to those of colonies that were later found elsewhere in the Mexican Caribbean (Precht et al., 2016; Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019). The disease was first observed in the northernmost site (i.e., Paraiso reef), but then it rapidly spread throughout SW Cozumel, causing significant coral mortality and a loss of coral cover. This rapid spread in Cozumel corresponds to what has been observed for the rest of the Mexican...
Caribbean, in which SCTLD was found to spread along ∼ 400 km (linear) of coastline in less than a year (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019). The increase in coral mortality left available substrate for other organisms to colonize and grow, and in this study, a significant increase in algae cover was found after the outbreak. However, although coral diseases have been widely related to thermal stress events (Rogers et al., 2009; Randall and Van Woesik, 2017), our study indicates that the severe thermal stress of autumn 2019 did not enhance coral mortality nor did the number of diseased colonies increase.

The means by which SCTLD arrived in SW Cozumel remains uncertain, particularly because Cozumel is separated from the mainland by a deep-water channel in which the strong northward Yucatan Current passes, acting as a barrier to biological connections. However, we can speculate on three possible causes of the outbreak that are not mutually exclusive. First, given that SCTLD was present on the eastern coast of Cozumel since at least September 2018 (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019; Figure 1), it is possible that the outbreak circled the island, aided by the predominant currents that run from south to north (Alcérreca-Huerta et al., 2019). Another potential source for the outbreak may be that recreational divers or divemasters brought the disease to SW Cozumel from the mainland. Recreational diving is a common activity across the Mexican Caribbean and it is common for divers to travel among multiple locations within a single trip. As such, divers could have brought the disease with them via their diving gear (e.g., NOAA, 2019). Lastly, it is feasible that the high influx of ships arriving to Cozumel served as a vector for the disease. Cozumel has three piers that receive more than one thousand cruise ships a year, and one of the most important cruise ship piers is located near Paraiso reef (where the disease was first reported; Figure 1A). The other two piers are located to the north within a few km of Paraiso reef. In addition, Cozumel has two piers (also 3–5 km to the north of Paraiso) that serve to connect the mainland with the island. Ferries depart from and arrive to the island in a semi-continuous manner. Ballast water from commercial ships has been linked to the transmission of SCTLD across the Caribbean region (Baigent, Unpublished), and other coral epizootics have also been heavily associated with shipping vectors, predominantly through ballast water discharges of commercial sea traffic (Aguirre-Macedo et al., 2008; Khandeparker and Anil, 2017).
Once the SCTLD outbreak reached the reefs in SW Cozumel, it spread very rapidly. Assuming that subsequent infections arose from Paraiso reef, our observations suggest that it only took $\sim 25$ days for the disease to spread across the 20-km reef system of SW Cozumel (January 18, 2019, to February 12, 2019; Figure 1). This is a rate of progression of nearly 1 km d$^{-1}$, which is considerably faster than what has been reported in Florida ($\sim 0.1$ km d$^{-1}$; Muller et al., 2020). As previously mentioned, SW Cozumel hosts an important influx of divers that usually visit at least two reefs per day over multiple days. This results in hundreds of divers moving between reefs across the 20-km length of the MPA each day. Given that dive gear can harbor and proliferate pathogenic bacteria, which may survive for extended periods and can be transferred among reefs (NOAA, 2019), by remaining damp and trapping water, it is plausible that if equipment was not rigorously disinfected between dives, divers may have helped to spread the disease throughout the MPA. In addition, strong coastal currents and eddies that are formed when oceanic currents approach the coast (Alcérreca-Huerta et al., 2019) can also aid in the rapid waterborne transmission of SCTLD across and between reef sites. Regardless of whether the disease was rapidly transported by divers and/or currents, once SCTLD arrived to the reefs, it is possible that the abundant and diverse coral reef communities that were present in most sites also aided in rapid within-site transmission.

Nearly a third of all Caribbean coral species are known to be affected by SCTLD. In addition to all species that are well-known to be afflicted by this disease (FDEP, 2018; Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019), we also found species that have not yet been reported as being highly susceptible to SCTLD, such as *Helioseris cucullata*, *Isophyllia rigida*, and *Agaricia tenuifolia* (Figure 2A), probably because these are common species in the area. Yet, the overall prevalence and mortality observed for highly susceptible species in SW Cozumel is similar to what has been reported elsewhere in Mexico, in Florida, and in other regions of the Caribbean (Precht et al., 2016; Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019; Gintert et al., 2019; Roth et al., 2020). Similar to what was observed in Florida, we also observed that some of the most susceptible species disappeared from long-term monitoring sites (e.g., *Meandrina* spp.).

Although we did not register colonies of *D. cylindrus*, one of the species that is most affected by SCTLD (Alvarez-Filip et al., 2019; Neely and Lewis, 2020), an extensive effort (led by the Arrecifes de Cozumel National Park and local brigades) identified eight colonies of *D. cylindrus* within the boundaries of the MPA (one shown in Figure 2C). Four of these colonies received treatment with antibiotics with the intention of halting disease progression (sensu Neely et al., 2020). By summer 2020, of the eight initially observed colonies, four were dead (two of which received treatment), two colonies were kept alive with continuous antibiotic treatment, and only two remained alive with no visible signs of infection without receiving any treatment (B.Q.G, pers. comm.). These last two colonies were located very close to the rocky shore in shallow water (1ñ2 m) and far from diving activities and thus were probably not influenced by the main currents and eddies that connect the main reef system of Cozumel (Alcérreca-Huerta et al., 2019).

The biological consequences of the severe mortality of some coral species, such as *Meandrina* spp. and *D. cylindrus*, are yet to be fully understood, but it is likely that this outbreak...
FIGURE 4 | Bleaching and temperature trends for the reefs in southwestern (SW) Cozumel. (A) Thirty-day average of underwater sea temperature in dark blue. Thirty-day average of the daily night-time sea surface temperature in light blue. Weekly HotSpots (WHS) in red. Yellow (1–4°C-weeks) and orange (4–8°C-weeks) boxes indicate periods of prolonged accumulated thermal stress represented by the degree heating weeks. (B) Percentage of bleached colonies for the months of July 2018, July 2019, October 2019, November 2019, and April 2020 and the overall average (±SE) coral cover for all species. In October 2019, only the coral community surveys were conducted (see details in section “Materials and Methods”). (C) Percentage of colonies for each recent mortality category. (D) Diploria labyrinthiformis with lesions due to SCTLD (center of the colony), recent mortality covered by thin layer of filamentous algae, and bleached living coral tissue. (E) Colony of Orbicella faveolata with lesions of SCTLD and 100% bleaching (BL). (F) Colony of O. faveolata that presented 90% bleaching but not mortality or signs of the disease. In (C) BL = new mortality only associated with bleaching, SCTLD-BL = mortality associated with BL and SCTLD (depicted in (D) and (F)). SCTLD = mortality only associated with SCTLD, and unconfirmed indicates that it was not possible to associate mortality to either BL or SCTLD (e.g., colony completely dead). Photo credits: Lorenzo Alvarez-Filip.
the consequences of widespread application of antibiotics in coral reef systems.

Severe ecological changes in the benthic composition of Cozumel reefs were caused by the extensive tissue mortality produced by SCTLD, reducing competition for substrate, and opening substrate for colonization of other reef benthic organisms. Before the outbreak, the mean coral cover in SW Cozumel reached 26%, although some reefs located further to the south (e.g., Colombia) had mean coral covers > 45% (Figure 3) that resembled the historical baselines for Cozumel and the Caribbean region (Fenner, 1988; Jackson et al., 2014; Contreras-Silva et al., 2020). After the outbreak, live coral cover decreased by half, and filamentous algae rapidly colonized the newly available substrate left by dead coral tissue (Figure 1D and Figure 3).

Coral mortality resulting from SCTLD is clearly consistent with the side-in model of reef degradation that invokes perturbations causing coral mortality as the primary factor favoring the rapid colonization of available space by non-accretionary organisms (Precht et al., 2020). Furthermore, it may also prevent coral recruitment and thus the recovery of populations that are highly affected by SCTLD (e.g., Birrell et al., 2005; Arnold et al., 2010; Brandt et al., 2019; Tebbett and Bellwood, 2019); a scenario that may worsen if stressors become more frequent and/or severe. In addition, the loss of highly susceptible coral species may also trigger an abrupt decrease in the accretion (growth) of the reef framework (Estrada-Saldivar et al., 2020), as most of the highly susceptible species to SCTLD are also important reef builders. A shift in the composition of reef-building corals and reef structure may not only compromise ecosystem resilience through a reduction in functional traits (González-Barrios et al., 2020; Molina-Hernández et al., 2020) but may also affect many other reef-associated species (Ijadi and Edmunds, 2006; Rogers et al., 2014). Given the short-temporal scale of our study, we cannot conclude that the drastic changes observed in the ecological communities will lock Cozumel reefs into low-carbonate production and high algae dominated states. This is because reef dynamics are driven by a complex network of processes and interactions, such as coral recovery or competition for space among other benthic organisms with rapid rates of colonization, such as incrusting sponges or tunicates, which needs to be investigated further. Therefore, a management and research priority for the near future is to continue conducting surveys in these reefs and in many others afflicted by SCTLD in the Caribbean to explore the long-term ecological implications of coral mortality resulting from SCTLD.

Our findings show that neither disease prevalence nor the rate of tissue loss in infected colonies increased as water temperatures warmed. This coincides with what was found in Florida, where disease onset co-occurred with high water temperatures that may have aided the emergence of SCTLD (Precht et al., 2016; van Woesik and McCaffrey, 2017; Walton et al., 2018), but thermal stress may not have necessarily prolonged its spread (Muller et al., 2020). This suggests that SCTLD is not directly influenced by thermal stress and that other factors, such as currents, water quality, or sedimentation may also enhance the severity of the disease (Dobbelaeere et al., 2020; Muller et al., 2020; Iwanowicz et al., 2020). However, it is important to highlight that the pathogens associated with SCTLD may be opportunistic, infecting corals that are already vulnerable and stressed by temperature, sedimentation, or water pollution (Meyer et al., 2019). In addition, thermal stress influences key physiological functions in corals, such as reproduction, growth, and calcification, all of which are essential for corals to survive and recover (Baird and Marshall, 2002; Manzello, 2010). Thus, although there is no clear evidence of a relationship between thermal stress and SCTLD, it is likely that the predicted increase in thermal stress in Caribbean reefs may reduce the capacity of populations of highly susceptible species to recover from SCTLD and may even further exacerbate coral mortality. Our findings suggest that in addition to thermal stress, it is necessary to analyze the role of other chronic sources of stress (e.g., decreasing seawater quality) to better understand the response of scleractinian corals to increasingly variable environmental conditions.

Although SCTLD had severe negative consequences on coral communities of Cozumel reefs, it also triggered a coordinated and dedicated collaborative effort between academics, MPA authorities, and members of the tourism sector to track the disease and raise awareness among the Cozumel community. Of particular importance for this study was the support of the local dive shops and divemasters that facilitated the initial surveys that were conducted to track the progression of SCTLD (January-March 2019). It is well-known that involving local communities in monitoring efforts builds public support for management initiatives (Conrad and Hickey, 2011). In our experience, this collaboration resulted in the generation of human resources in the form of dive masters and local divers, who have continued to report to the MPA authorities while participating in citizen science surveys and educating tourists about coral disease and the importance of conserving coral reefs. Public awareness of the catastrophic coral mortality event rose to such an extent within the MPA advisory board that in the summer of 2019, a temporary closure was implemented in which tourist and recreational activities in some of the southern reefs of the MPA were restricted. These actions were intended to give the reefs an opportunity to rest and to recover from SCTLD (CONANP, 2019). These actions later became a program that stipulates rotating temporal closures across all dive sites in the MPA.

In Cozumel, as well as in many other areas affected by SCTLD, a growing interest in engaging in proactive activities, such as treating colonies with antibiotics, rescuing colonies of susceptible species, and collecting and conserving genetic material, has emerged (Neely et al., 2020). We believe a robust scientific framework should support all of these activities; however, it is also fundamental that a better understanding is cultivated concerning the putative infectious agents and environmental conditions that favored the rapid appearance and dispersion of SCTLD. In addition, it is important to be aware that the palliative measures used to ameliorate the damage caused by SCTLD will only succeed if the chronic threats to reefs, such as poor water quality, pollution, and the effects of climate change, are controlled. Joint efforts to develop better management actions may help to improve the environmental conditions for coral regrowth while enhancing the recovery and resilience of these ecosystems.
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