Direct Regulation of Hyperpolarization-Activated Cyclic-Nucleotide Gated (HCN1) Channels by Cannabinoids
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Cannabinoids are a broad class of molecules that act primarily on neurons, affecting pain sensation, appetite, mood, learning, and memory. In addition to interacting with specific cannabinoid receptors (CBRs), cannabinoids can directly modulate the function of various ion channels. Here, we examine whether cannabidiol (CBD) and Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), the most prevalent phytocannabinoids in Cannabis sativa, can regulate the function of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic-nucleotide-gated (HCN1) channels independently of CBRs. HCN1 channels were expressed in Xenopus oocytes since they do not express CBRs, and the effects of cannabinoid treatment on HCN1 currents were examined by a two-electrode voltage clamp. We observe opposing effects of CBD and THC on HCN1 current, with CBD acting to stimulate HCN1 function, while THC inhibited current. These effects persist in HCN1 channels lacking the cyclic-nucleotide binding domain (HCN1ΔCNBD). However, changes to membrane fluidity, examined by treating cells with TX-100, inhibited HCN1 current had more pronounced effects on the voltage-dependence and kinetics of activation than THC, suggesting this is not the primary mechanism of HCN1 regulation by cannabinoids. Our findings may contribute to the overall understanding of how cannabinoids may act as promising therapeutic molecules for the treatment of several neurological disorders in which HCN function is disturbed.
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INTRODUCTION

Hyperpolarization-activated cyclic-nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels are widely expressed in the central and peripheral nervous systems. All four isoforms (HCN1-4) are expressed in the brain (Pape, 1996; Santoro et al., 1997, 1998; Ludwig et al., 1998; Moosmang et al., 2001) where they play a role in setting the resting membrane potential, dendritic integration, neuronal pacemaking, and establishing the action potential threshold (Pape, 1996). HCN channels are important for learning and memory, pain sensation, sour taste sensation, and vision. HCN1−/− mice show impaired motor learning but enhanced spatial learning and memory (Nolan et al., 2003, 2004) and enhanced susceptibility to kainic acid-induced seizures (Huang et al., 2009). HCN2−/− mice presented symptoms of absence epilepsy and tremoring (Ludwig et al., 2003). Gain and loss of function mutations in HCN1 and HCN2 have also been identified in patients with various forms of
epilepsy (Tang et al., 2008; Dibbens et al., 2010; DiFrancesco et al., 2011; Nakamura et al., 2013; Nava et al., 2014). Altered HCN-cAMP signaling in prefrontal cortex networks also appears to contribute to the working memory deficits in schizophrenia and stress (Arnsten, 2011; Paspalas et al., 2013; Gamo et al., 2015). HCN channels are also highly expressed in primary afferent (sensory) neurons such as the dorsal root ganglion (Scroggs et al., 1994; Villiere and McLachlan, 1996; Yagi and Sumino, 1998; Cardenas et al., 1999; Abdulla and Smith, 2001; Chaplan et al., 2003; Yao et al., 2003; Tu et al., 2004; Masuda et al., 2006; Onoda et al., 2006) with HCN1 and HCN2 predominant in large and small-sized neurons, respectively (Moosmang et al., 2001; Chaplan et al., 2003; Tu et al., 2004). HCN2/−/− mice do not demonstrate neuropathic pain in response to mechanical or thermal stimuli (Emery et al., 2011) suggesting that If drives action potential firing to initiate neuropathic pain in nociceptors. Mutations in the scaffolding protein SHANK3 may predispose people to autism by inducing an If channelopathy with increased neuronal input resistance, enhanced neuronal excitability, and reduced synaptic transmission (Yi et al., 2016).

Cannabinoids are a broad class of molecules that act primarily on neurons, affecting pain sensation, appetite, mood, learning, and memory. There are 3 classes of cannabinoids: (1) endocannabinoids produced naturally by the body, (2) phytocannabinoids from some plants, and (3) synthetic cannabinoids. Cannabinoids may provide effective treatments for addiction, pain, epilepsy, major mood disorders, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, spasticity in multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease, and Huntington’s disease (Cunha et al., 1980; Hill et al., 2012; The Health Effects of Cannabis Cannabinoids, 2017; Russo, 2018). However, to use them therapeutically, without negative side effects, we must understand exactly how they interact with their targets to affect nerve function.

Cannabinoids bind to cannabinoid receptors (CB1R or CB2R). However, activating CBRs cannot directly alter electrical excitability in neurons, since CBRs do not generate electrical signals on their own. Instead, membrane potential and electrical signaling in all excitable cells, including neurons, are generated by ion channels embedded in the cell membrane. Recently, it has been shown that the synthetic cannabinoid WIN55,212-2 affects memory by activating CB1 receptors, leading to intracellular signaling changes that affect the If current generated by hyperpolarization-activated HCN channels (Maroso et al., 2016) (Figure 1). The CB1R–If pathway does not involve adenyl cyclase/cAMP formation, since CB1Rs decrease cAMP levels through Goi. Instead, CB1R activation involves JNK-mediated increases in activated nitric oxide synthase (NOS), resulting in increased guanyl cyclase activity and, in turn, cGMP.

In addition to activating CBRS, cannabinoids can also directly bind ion channels, or affect their function by altering the physiochemical properties of the cell membrane. WIN 55,212–2, ∆9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD) alter the voltage-dependence of activation and inactivation in several Nav channels (Okada et al., 2005; Ghovanloo et al., 2018). THC and CBD also inhibit T-type calcium channels (Ross et al., 2008). Some TRP channel subfamilies, including TRPV1, are activated and desensitized by CBD, while TRPM8 is inhibited (De Petrocellis et al., 2011, 2012; Iannotti et al., 2014). On the other hand, anandamide, THC, and CBD potentiate hommeric and heteromeric glycine receptors (Hejazi et al., 2006; Ahrens et al., 2009). Given the important role of HCNs in synaptic integration, mood, pain sensation, and memory, and the knowledge that cannabinoids may have therapeutic potential for these same disorders and can directly regulate the function of several ion channels, we aimed to determine if cannabinoids have direct effects on HCN channels that are independent CBR activation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drugs and Reagents

Drugs, cannabidiol (CBD), and ∆9-THC (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) were prediluted in 99.8% methanol at a concentration of 1.0 mg/mL. Detergent, Triton™ X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was diluted to a working concentration of 10 mM with distilled water from a stock solution.

Molecular Biology and Cell Expression
cDNA coding for the mouse HCN1 gene was previously cloned into expression vector pGH19 (provided by Dr. William N. Zagotta, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington). The mouse HCN1-CX5 construct (denoted as HCN1-CNBD in this article) was previously cloned into expression vector pGH19 (provided by Bina Santoro, Columbia University, New York). To obtain RNA, Nhel (New England Biolabs) was used to linearize both cDNA constructs of mHCN1 and ~1.0 µg of linearized cDNA was used for in vitro transcription synthesis using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE™ T7 Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Life Technologies, USA).

All the experiments were performed using unfertilized Xenopus oocytes, extracted from anesthetized female Xenopus laevis. Once extracted, oocytes were injected with 4.6 ng of mHCN1 using a Drummond Nanoject II injector (Drummond Scientific Company). Prior to injection, oocytes were subject to a controlled temperature of 17–19°C and placed in vials containing Barth antibiotic solution (mM): 90 NaCl, 3 KCl, 0.82 MgSO4·7H2O, 0.41 CaCl2·2H2O, 0.33 Ca(NO3)2·4H2O, and 5 HEPES supplemented with 100 U/ml of penicillin-streptomycin and 10 mg/ml of kanamycin stock (10 mg/ml). Post injection cells were incubated in Barth antibiotic serum solution supplemented with ~5% horse serum. Cells were expressed and ready to be used in electrophysiological recordings 1–3 days post injection.

Electrophysiological Recordings

Electrophysiological studies were conducted using the two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) technique. Borosilicate rapid fill microelectrode pipettes (1.0 mm OD × 0.5 mm ID/Fiber from FHC Inc., USA) were filled with filtered 1 M KCl solution. Oocytes expressing wild-type HCN1 and HCN1-CNBD were recorded in a bath solution containing (in mM) 89 KCl, 15 HEPES, 0.4 CaCl2, and 0.8 MgCl2, pH = 7.4. Macroscopic currents were recorded using Oocyte Voltage Clamp (OC-725C) amplifier (Warner Instruments, USA) and digitized using the
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Activation and deactivation kinetics were determined with mono-exponential fits of test pulses after the initial lag period. Steady-state activation curves were fit with a standard Boltzmann equation:

$$G = \frac{G_{\text{Max}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{V_m - V_1}{k}}}$$

where \(V_m\) corresponds to the test pulse, \(V_{1/2}\) is the midpoint of activation, and \(k\) is the slope factor. \(EC_{50}/IC_{50}\) values were determined by fitting concentration dependence curves with the Hill equation:

$$I = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{EC_{50} \text{ or } IC_{50}}{|A|}\right)^n}$$

where \(I_0\) is the baseline current for HCN1 prior to treatment.

Data are presented as means (±) standard error. Statistical significance for I–V curves was measured using two-way ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc analysis. \(V_{1/2}\)'s of steady-state dependencies were determined for each recording and pooled for a given treatment then analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis. Mean activation and deactivation kinetics (from −20 to −70 mV) were analyzed using the Zar method for significance (Zar, 1984).

RESULTS

Unfertilized Xenopus oocytes lack CBRs (Xenbase.org) (Karimi et al., 2018) and thus, provide an ideal system to examine the direct effects of cannabinoids on HCN channels by two-electrode voltage-clamp (TEVC). Using a repetitive pulse protocol to hyperpolarized potentials (−130 mV from a holding potential \(V_H = 0\) mV), we assessed the effects of adding CBD or THC to the bath solution at increasing concentrations (Figure 1). To ensure the effects of CBD and THC could be differentiated from the vehicle (methanol), we first examined the effects of equimolar...
quantities of methanol used to solvate the cannabinoids to their listed concentrations. We saw a negligible change (<5%) in overall current over the course of nearly 2 h at varying concentrations (Figures 2F,G). We observed a concentration-dependent increase in HCN1 current with the addition of CBD, which can then be inhibited by a saturating concentration of ZD7288 (Figures 2A,C,D). At −130 mV, the concentration dependence of CBD activation of HCN1 shows 50% max response (EC_{50}) at 28.5 μM (slope = 0.1) with up to 91% maximal increase in current (Figure 2B). This effect is observed even in the presence of the CB1 receptor antagonist AM-251 (Figure 2B), providing further support that CBRs are not functionally expressed in Xenopus oocytes. Furthermore, the addition of CBD to un.injected oocytes does not stimulate the activation of a “background” current (Figure 2C), indicating that the observed changes are only due to the effect on HCN1 currents.

We then studied the effect of Δ9-THC on HCN1. Contrary to the effect of CBD, THC has an inhibitory effect on HCN1 (Figure 2E). Using repetitive pulses to −130 mV, we observe a concentration-dependent inhibition by THC with a maximal inhibitory response of THC of 63% (Figure 2G) and a half-maximal response (relative IC_{50}) at 21.8 μM (slope = −0.1). A total of 50% block of HCN1 current by THC (absolute IC_{50}) occurs at 28.9 μM.

To assess the effects of CBD and THC on HCN1 currents in more detail, we examined the relative I–V relationship, steady-state voltage-dependencies, and gating kinetics. Cannabinoids were added to the bath solution in 10 μM increments only after currents at the previous concentration had stabilized, and for each cell, currents were normalized to the amount of current −160 mV under control (0 μM) conditions. We observed a concentration-dependent increase in HCN1 current with the addition of CBD above 10 μM, with no statistically significant changes in steady-state voltage-dependence and gating kinetics (Figures 3A–E). On the other hand, the addition of THC in the bath induces a concentration-dependent decrease in the current (Figures 3F,G). However, THC also does not affect steady-state voltage-dependence or gating kinetics (Figures 3G,H). Equivalent amounts of methanol used to dissolve CBD or THC have no effect on current, voltage-dependence, or gating kinetics (Supplementary Figure 1).

HCN channels have been shown to undergo a hysteresis or mode-shifting in their voltage-dependence where the voltage sensitivity of gating charge movement depends on the previous state (Mannikko et al., 2005; Elinder et al., 2006; Xiao et al., 2010). Voltage-hysteresis is thought to play an important role in preventing cardiac arrhythmias (Mannikko et al., 2005; Elinder et al., 2006; Xiao et al., 2010), and for short-term, activity-dependent memory (Bruening-Wright and Larsson, 2007). We quantified the degree of hysteresis using ramp protocols of various rates between 600 and 37.5 mV/s and measuring the difference in area between the curves of the forward and reverse direction as performed previously (Furst and D’Avanzo, 2015) (Figure 4A). We observe that HCN1 hysteretic properties in oocytes decreases with slower ramp speeds (Figure 4A), and that methanol has no concentration-dependent effect on HCN1 hysteresis (Figure 4B). We observe that at all concentrations and ramp speeds, CBD had no significant effect on HCN1 hysteresis (Figures 4C–E). On the other hand, at concentrations above 10 μM, THC decreases the degree of hysteresis at faster ramp speeds (Figures 4C–E). Notably, the impact of THC on HCN1 hysteresis also appears to be concentration-dependent with a greater range of ramp speeds affected as THC concentrations increase.

To address the mechanism(s) by which CBD and THC modulate HCN1 channels, we first examined the role of the CNBD, by performing similar experiments on an mHCN1 construct lacking the cyclic-nucleotide binding domain (HCN1ΔCNBD) (Santoro et al., 2011). Similar to full-length channels, methanol has no effect on HCN1ΔCNBD properties (Supplementary Figures 1E–H). The application of CBD to HCN1ΔCNBD channels increases the current in a concentration-dependent manner, with a 35% maximal increase in current above 40 μM (Figure 5A). Steady-state voltage-dependence and activation kinetics are unaffect ed by treatment with CBD (Supplementary Figures 2B,C). Intriguingly, the lack of CNBD uncovered an effect of CBD on slowing the deactivation kinetics of HCN1 (Figure 5B). On the other hand, HCN1ΔCNBD current decreases following treatment with THC, although the effect on slope conductance (Figure 5C) is less than what was observed for full-length HCN1 (Figure 3G). Again, similar to full-length channels, THC does not affect HCN1 steady-state voltage-dependence and gating kinetics (Supplementary Figures 2F–H). Hysteresis in HCN1ΔCNBD channels is also unaffect ed by methanol and CBD, however, similarly to what we observed for full-length channels, HCN1ΔCNBD hysteresis also decreases with elevated levels of THC at faster ramp speeds (Figures 5D,E). These results suggest that the primary effects of CBD and THC on HCN1 function do not directly involve the CNBD, though this domain may fine tune their modulation.

Our results suggest that the CBRE-independent regulation of HCN1 channels by CBD and THC does not involve the CNBD and thus likely involves the transmembrane regions. The functions of numerous membrane proteins are regulated by the physiochemical properties of the membrane bilayer, including membrane fluidity (Caires et al., 2017; Yoshida et al., 2019; Ghovanloo et al., 2021). CBD and THC have both been shown to alter membrane fluidity. THC increases membrane fluidity in the hydrophobic core of brain membranes (Hillard et al., 1985; Beiersdorf et al., 2020), while CBD decreases membrane fluidity (increases membrane stiffness) (Ghovanloo et al., 2021). To assess whether the mechanism of cannabinoid regulation of HCN1 involves changes to membrane fluidity, we performed experiments using Triton X-100, a non-ionic surfactant that has been shown to increase membrane fluidity (Ingolfsson et al., 2010). Similar to THC, TX-100 rapidly decreases HCN1 current at −130 mV using a repetitive pulse protocol (Figure 6A). However, while the effects of cannabinoid treatment on HCNs were slow (15−40 min), the effects of TX-100 treatment occurred much more rapidly (<5 min). TX-100 also decreases HCN1 current using step protocols (Figure 6B), however, the effects on steady-state activation and gating kinetics are significantly
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FIGURE 2 | Concentration-dependent regulation of HCN1 current by THC and CBD. (A) Steady-state current values from a representative cell following repetitive pulses to −130 mV from $V_\text{H} = 0$ mV with the addition of 0, 10, 20, 30, and 50 µM CBD. (B) Concentration dependence of HCN1 activation by CBD (■) at −130 mV ($n = 13$). This activation of HCN1 persists in the presence of the CB1R antagonist 10 µM AM-251 (○) ($n = 5$). A total of 50% Max response ($EC_{50}$) for CBD is elicited at 28.5 µM, with a 91% maximal increase in current. (C) CBD has no effects on uninjected oocytes, indicating that we are not observing effects on background currents. (D) CBD activated currents can be fully inhibited by 500 µM ZD7288. This indicates that CBD is only activating HCN1 currents, and not activating a background current in oocytes. (E) Steady-state currents from a representative cell following repetitive pulses to −130 mV in 0, 10, 25, and 50 µM THC. (F) Steady-state currents from a representative cell following repetitive pulses to −130 mV in the presence of the quantity of methanol used as a vehicle for 0, 10, 25, and 50 µM CBD/THC. (G) Concentration dependence of HCN1 inhibition by THC (■) or methanol (□) at −130 mV ($n = 5$). THC induces a 63% maximal block of HCN1 currents, with a half-maximal response (Relative $IC_{50}$) of 21.8 µM. 50% block of total current (Absolute $IC_{50}$) occurs at 28.9 µM. Methanol induces <5% decrease in current at concentrations above 20 µM ($n = 4$).

different compared with THC (e.g., $P < 0.05$ for $V_{1/2}$ of 30 µM THC vs. TX-100 by two-sample unpaired t-test). We see a leftward shift from −10 to −15 mV in the steady-state, meaning increasing membrane fluidity makes it more difficult for HCN1 channels to open (Figure 6C and Supplementary Table 3). In addition, we see a change in the slope of the activation time constants, indicating that the channel opens slower at more hyperpolarized voltages (Figure 6D). This change in slope of the activation time constants was not observed in cannabinoid treated cells. Thus, since the effects of TX-100 do not resemble the modulation seen by either CBD or THC, it appears that the mechanism of HCN1 regulation by either of these cannabinoids cannot be completely described by the effects of altered membrane fluidity.

**DISCUSSION**

Phytocannabinoids CBD and THC have been shown to interact with numerous ion channels independently of CBRs (Okada et al., 2005; Hejazi et al., 2006; Ross et al., 2008; Ahrens et al., 2009; De Petrocellis et al., 2011, 2012; Iannotti et al., 2014; Ghovanloo et al., 2018). Here, we demonstrate that HCN1 currents are enhanced by CBD and inhibited by THC (Figures 2, 3). Using standard step protocols, the effects of both CBD and THC were limited to changes in current density with no observed changes in steady-state activation or gating kinetics. This is similar to the effects that modulating membrane cholesterol content has on HCN1 current (Furst and D'Avanzo, 2015). Some of the effects of THC and CBD on voltage-dependence may be obscured by the non-saturating voltage-dependence curve we report, as a result of our use of a high K+ bath solution. However, if the basis of the increase in HCN1 current observed following treatment with CBD was caused a shift in voltage-dependence, we would expect the $V_{1/2}$ to be more depolarized and thus saturation to be more apparent. From our traces and the data, we see this is not the case. On the other hand, it is possible that a further hyperpolarized shift in $V_{1/2}$ may cause the effect of decreased HCN1 currents by THC. However, this is also unlikely since we were able to detect such a hyperpolarizing shift with TX-100 but not THC. Thus, it is more likely that the number of channels at the membrane, or the unitary conductance, are affected by treatment by these cannabinoids.
FIGURE 3 | Regulation of HCN1 by cannabidiol (CBD) and Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). (A) Representative traces from a paired experiment following the addition of increasing concentrations of CBD to oocytes expressing full-length HCN1. (B) Current–voltage (I/V) relationship in presence of CBD normalized to maximal current (I_{WT(−160mV)} (5 < n < 18 per condition; P < 0.05 for 30-50 μM). (C) Steady state activation in presence of CBD (P = 0.81 for V_{1/2}). (D) Activation time constant (τ) kinetics in presence of CBD (0.21 < P < 0.71). (E) Deactivation time constant (τ) kinetics in presence of CBD (0.21 < P < 0.65). (F) Representative traces from a paired experiment following the addition of increasing concentrations of THC to oocytes expressing full-length HCN1. (G) (I/V) relationship in presence of THC normalized to maximal current (I_{WT(−160mV)} (4 < n < 8 per condition; P < 0.05 for Gmax (slope between −120 and −160 mV) of 20–50 μM). (H) Steady-state activation in presence of THC (P = 0.49 for V_{1/2}). (I) Activation time constant (τ) kinetics in presence of THC (0.21 < P < 0.90). (J) Deactivation time constant (τ) kinetics in presence of THC (3 < n < 8 per condition; 0.11 < P < 0.45).

We also observed that THC alters the non-equilibrium gating properties of the HCN1, since they undergo less hysteresis following treatment with that cannabinoid, particularly with faster ramps (Figure 4). This means THC makes HCN1 channels less responsive to the rate of membrane potential changes (i.e., firing rate). The CNBD domain does not appear to be critical for cannabinoid regulation of HCN function, since these changes are also observed in recordings of HCN1ΔCNBD channels (Figure 5). This also indicates that CBD and THC do not alter HCN1 channels through changes in intracellular cyclic-nucleotide signaling. However, the lack of the CNBD did unmask the effects of CBD on the HCN deactivation kinetics (Figure 5B), indicating that CBD in the membrane slows channel closure.

Since cannabinoids are lipids that are embedded in the lipid bilayer, they can alter channel function by altering membrane properties and/or directly binding the channel. Both mechanisms appear to be important for the regulation of Nav’s by CBD (Ghovanloo et al., 2018, 2021; Saït et al., 2020) while TRPV2 directly binds CBD in the transmembrane helices (Pumroy et al., 2019). Changes to membrane fluidity were induced by the treatment of cells by TX-100, a non-ionic surfactant that has been shown to increase membrane fluidity (Ingolfsson et al., 2010). TX-100 decreases HCN1 function, indicating that membrane fluidity is an important modulator of this channel. However, the rate of action and the changes in voltage-dependent activation and gating kinetics did not reflect the effects of CBD or THC
FIGURE 4 | Effects of CBD and THC on HCN1 hysteresis. (A) Ramps from 0 to −150 mV and back to 0 mV at speeds of 600, 300, 150, 75, and 37.5 mV/s. The degree of hysteresis was quantified by the area between the forward and backward current traces when plotted vs. the membrane voltage. (B) Histogram of HCN1 hysteresis for HCN1 treated with different concentrations of methanol used as vehicles for the cannabinoids at concentrations listed in parentheses. Hysteresis is unaffected by increasing concentrations of methanol. (C–E) THC reduces the degree of hysteresis at fast ramp speeds, with a greater range of ramp speeds affected as THC concentration increases. CBD did not affect HCN1 hysteresis (3 < n < 10 for each condition; *P < 0.05).

on HCN1 that we observe. While it is possible that TX-100 has greater effects on membrane fluidity than THC at the concentrations used, even at low (15 µM) concentrations, the effects of TX-100 on HCN1 currents are faster than THC, a −10 mV change in V1/2 is observed, and the slopes of the activation kinetics are in the opposite direction. THC does not induce these effects even at the highest concentrations used, and thus their effects appear qualitatively different. Therefore, it appears that the primary mechanism of action on HCN1 channels is not through altered membrane fluidity, though it may still be a secondary contributor to the effects observed. However, at this time, we cannot conclude that these phytocannabinoids directly bind HCN channels without further experimentation. Other mechanisms, such as changes in bilayer thickness/hydrophobic matching, lipid organization, or other membrane properties may contribute to the modulation by cannabinoids. Since the macroscopic current is a function of the unitary conductance, the number of channels, and the open probability, we did not observe changes in steady-state voltage dependence (i.e., open probability), meaning THC and CBD may alter the single-channel properties of these channels. Alternatively, given the slow time courses involved in the observed effects, it is possible that these cannabinoids alter protein turnover at the membrane, altering the number of channels at the membrane with time.

The concentrations of CBD and THC necessary to affect HCN1 channels (in the mid-micromolar range) may be considered high for these molecules to have therapeutic actions through these channels. However, additional considerations may prove such concerns to be unwarranted. At first, various other ion channels have been shown to be modulated by CBD and THC within the concentration ranges used in this study. Direct activation of chloride ion channels (α1 and α2β glycine receptors) by CBD has been reported with an EC50 of 132.4 and 144.3 µM (Ahrens et al., 2009). Sodium channel, NavMs, and α7-nicotinic acetylcholine (α7 nACh) are inhibited by CBD with an IC50 of 17.8 (Sait et al., 2020) and 11.3 µM (Mahgoub et al., 2013), respectively. Kv1.2 channels are inhibited by THC with an IC50 of 2.4 µM (Poling et al., 1996), while human ether-à-go-go (hERG) channels are inhibited with an IC50 of 17.8 (Sait et al., 2020) and 11.3 µM (Mahgoub et al., 2013), respectively. Kv1.2 channels are inhibited by THC with an IC50 of 2.4 µM (Poling et al., 1996), while human ether-à-go-go (hERG) channels are inhibited with an IC50 of 10.3 µM (Orvos et al., 2020). In addition, single-dose administration of 10 mg CBD and THC generate serum levels of 3.0 ± 3.1 µg/L (= 9.1–19.4 µM) (Guy and Flint, 2004; Guy and Robson, 2004). Anticonvulsant effects of THC and CBD have an ED50 ~ 120 mg/kg (Devinsky et al., 2014). Depending on the mode of administration, 120 mg/kg of CBD leads to concentrations of 7 µM in serum and 1.3 µg/g in the brain; increased with IP administration to 45 µM in serum and 6.9 µg/g in the brain (Deiana et al., 2012). Because of their high lipophilicity (Koctanol–water ~ 6–7), there is a preferential distribution to fat with rapid distribution in the
FIGURE 5 | Effects of CBD and THC on HCN1 do not require the CNBD. (A) Current-voltage (I/V) relationship of HCN1ΔCNBD in the presence of increasing concentrations of CBD normalized to maximal current (I_{WT(-160mV)}) (4 < n < 13 per condition; P < 0.05 for 20–50 μM). (B) Deactivation time constant (τ) kinetics of HCN1ΔCNBD following treatment with CBD (4 < n < 10 per condition; P < 0.05 for 20–50 μM). (C) Current-voltage (I/V) relationship of HCN1ΔCNBD in the presence of increasing concentrations of THC normalized to maximal current (I_{WT(-160mV)}) (4 < n < 12 per condition; P < 0.05 for 10–50 μM). (D, E) THC reduces the degree of HCN1ΔCNBD hysteresis at fast ramp speeds, with a greater range of ramp speeds affected as THC concentration increases. CBD did not affect HCN1ΔCNBD hysteresis (3 < n < 10 for each condition; *P < 0.05).

brain, adipose tissue, and other organs (Ohlsson et al., 1984) with only 10% of administered CBD bound to circulating red blood cells (Williamson, 2004). Chronic administration may lead to further accumulation. It is important to also note the relative differences in IC_{50} and EC_{50} values between mammalian cell lines such as HEK or CHO cells and Xenopus oocytes observed for some drugs, including cannabinoids. Previous studies reveal IC_{50} and EC_{50} values which are significantly higher in Xenopus oocytes when compared with mammalian cells. Potassium channel (Kv1.1) blocker, aminopyridine (4-AP), was shown to inhibit channels expressed in mammalian Sol-8 cells with an IC_{50} value of 88 ± 5 μM (Castle et al., 1994). This value was more than 10 times higher in oocytes with IC_{50} values closer to 1 nM. Another study monitoring the efficacy of various blocking agents on hERG potassium channels showed 5–100 times higher IC_{50} values in Xenopus oocytes when compared with the mammalian HEK293 and CHO cells (Lacerda et al., 2001). K2P10.1 channels are blocked by carvedilol with an IC_{50} of 24 μM in oocytes and 7.6 μM in HEK cells (Kisselbach et al., 2014). In our experiments, TRPV1 channels in oocytes required more than 10 μM CBD before activation could be observed (Supplementary Figure 3) whereas an EC_{50} of 1.0 ± 0.1 μM was reported in HEK-293 cells (De Petrocellis et al., 2011). These differences between cell types might be attributed to differences in basal properties of the cells (e.g., differences in levels of cAMP, or phosphorylation) or to differences in the membrane composition which may modify the equilibrium of cannabinoid insertion into the bilayers. For example, Xenopus oocytes membranes possess higher levels of sphingomyelin (~25% of lipids) (Stith et al., 2000; Hermansson et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2005; Pike et al., 2005) in their external leaflet than mammalian cells (4–18%) (Post et al., 1995; Hamplova et al., 2004; Hermansson et al., 2005; Pike et al., 2005). Moreover, some drugs have been shown to also bind the follicular layer of oocytes, with as much as a 90% reduction in membrane availability and increases of the IC_{50} values up to 30-fold (Madeja et al., 1997). Therefore, the general effect of the ligand still holds true, and variations between cell types are generally limited to 1 order of magnitude. Taking this into
FIGURE 6 | Changes in membrane fluidity do not account for cannabinoid regulation of HCN1. Membrane fluidity was altered by the addition of Triton X-100 (TX-100) to the bath solution. (A) Representative time course of the steady-state current following the application of TX-100 at different concentrations, indicating that increasing membrane fluidity decreases HCN1 channel activity. However, this decrease in HCN1 current occurs more rapidly (within 1–2 min) than the decline observed with THC (which occurs over the course of 10–30 min). (B) Current-voltage relationship of HCN1 upon the addition of 0, 15, 25, 30, and 45 µM TX-100 normalized to maximal current (I_{WT, -160 mV}). (C) TX-100 induces a −10 to −15 mV hyperpolarizing shift in the steady-state voltage-dependence of HCN1, which does not occur when THC or CBD is applied. (D) HCN1 activation kinetics are uniquely affected by TX-100, with membrane fluidity having a greater impact on slowing channel activation with more hyperpolarization of the membrane potential (3 < n < 9 per condition; P < 0.05).

account, it is likely the effects of CBD and THC we observe for HCN1 channels in oocytes are not outside the therapeutic range, especially since they are comparable to those observed for other channels and receptors, and that the IC_{50} and EC_{50} values are likely to be the same, if not even lower, in the mammalian cells.

Cannabinoids are already being examined for the therapeutic potential of various neurological disorders, including neuropathic pain and epileptic seizures (Jones et al., 2010). Similarly, HCN channels are promising targets for neuropathic pain and epilepsy (Tibbs et al., 2013; Dini et al., 2018; Balducci et al., 2021). Activation of HCN1 current may contribute to the overall reduction of neuronal hyperactivity in epilepsy with CBD treatment (Iannotti et al., 2014; Maroon and Bost, 2018), similar to the reduced neuronal firing observed in CA1 pyramidal neurons resulting from lamotrigine stimulated I_{h} (Peng et al., 2010). There is also growing evidence that CBD exerts promising analgesic effects in different models of inflammatory and chronic pain including nerve injury, chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, and diabetes (Costa et al., 2007; Xiong et al., 2012; Casey et al., 2017; Finn et al., 2021). Since HCN1 expression and I_{h} in HCN1/2-rich sensory neurons increase following their injury (Momim et al., 2008) and antineoplastics treatment (Descoeur et al., 2011), or HCN pore blockers (ZD7288 or ivabradine) reverse spontaneous discharges in injured nerve fibers and are anti-hyperalgesic for late-phase inflammatory pain, nerve injury-induced mechanical allodynia, as well as chemotherapy-induced mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia (Tibbs et al., 2016), we anticipate that CBD and THC effects on HCN1 currents may contribute to their role in reducing pain though through different mechanisms. Inhibition of HCN1 current by THC would be expected to reduce excitability in those neurons, as has been demonstrated for other HCN blockers. On the other hand, stimulation of HCN1 by CBD may reduce pain by depleting neurotransmitter release in sensory neurons similar to capsaicin stimulation of TRPV channels (Willis, 1997; Yan et al., 2006) or by stimulating inhibition by GABAergic interneurons. On the other hand, activation of HCN channels by CBD may not be directly involved in analgesia, but rather may help limit the decrease in excitability induced by action on other channels, including Nav's, whose conductance is inhibited up to 90% (Ghovanloo et al., 2018, 2021).
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