Regulation of the plastochron by three *many-noded dwarf* genes in barley
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Abstract

The plastochron, the time interval between the formation of two successive leaves, is an important determinant of plant architecture. We genetically and phenotypically investigated *many-noded dwarf* (*mnd*) mutants in barley. The *mnd* mutants exhibited a shortened plastochron and a decreased leaf blade length, and resembled previously reported *plastochnon1* (*pla1*), *pla2*, and *pla3* mutants in rice. In addition, the maturation of *mnd* leaves was accelerated, similar to *pla* mutants in rice. Several barley *mnd* alleles were derived from three genes—*MND1*, *MND4*, and *MND8*. Although *MND4* coincided with a cytochrome P450 family gene that is a homolog of rice *PLA1*, we clarified that *MND1* and *MND8* encode an N-acetyltransferase-like protein and a MATE transporter-family protein, which are respectively orthologs of rice *GW6a* and maize *BIGE1* and unrelated to *PLA2* or *PLA3*. Expression analyses of the three *MND* genes revealed that *MND1* and *MND4* were expressed in limited regions of the shoot apical meristem and leaf primordia, but *MND8* did not exhibit a specific expression pattern around the shoot apex. In addition, the expression levels of the three genes were interdependent among the various mutant backgrounds. Genetic analyses using the double mutants *mnd4mnd8* and *mnd1mnd8* indicated that *MND1* and *MND4* regulate the plastochron independently of *MND8*, suggesting that the plastochron in barley is controlled by multiple genetic pathways involving *MND1*, *MND4*, and *MND8*. Correlation analysis between leaf number and leaf blade length indicated that both traits exhibited a strong negative association among different genetic backgrounds but not in the same genetic background. We propose that *MND* genes function in the regulation of the plastochron and leaf growth and revealed conserved and diverse aspects of plastochron regulation via comparative analysis of barley and rice.
Author summary

The number of leaves produced during a plant’s lifetime is major determinant of plant architecture and affects the efficiency of photosynthesis and crop productivity. The leaf number is dependent on the temporal pattern of leaf initiation at the shoot apical meristem, which is termed the plastochron. The genetic factors involved in plastochron regulation have been identified in several plant species. However, whether the functions of plastochron-related genes and their genetic pathways are universal or diversified among different plant species is unclear. In this study, we investigated many-noded dwarf (mnd) mutants in barley, which exhibited a shortened plastochron and a decreased leaf blade length. The mutant alleles used in this study were derived from three genes, MND4, MND1, and MND8, which encode a cytochrome P450 family protein, an N-acetyltransferase-like protein, and a MATE transporter-family protein, respectively. Phenotypic and expression analyses revealed that these three MND genes affect the leaf production rate and leaf maturation program, but their expression levels were interdependent. In addition, the plastochron and leaf growth are closely related but independently regulated. We also analyzed the expression patterns and knockout mutants of rice MND orthologs to clarify whether their biological functions are conserved in rice and barley. This study provides insight into the genetic mechanisms of plastochron control in grass species.

Introduction

The spatiotemporal pattern of leaf initiation is a major contributor to the formation of plant architecture. The temporal pattern of leaf initiation is termed the plastochron; that is, the time interval between the initiation of two successive leaf primordia. The spatial pattern of leaf initiation along the shoot axis is referred to as phyllotaxy. Both patterns of leaf initiation are determined by the activity of the shoot apical meristem (SAM), which is the source of leaf primordia [1,2]. Although the plastochron and the phyllotaxy are sometimes regulated by shared genetic components operating at the SAM, some genes are specific to one or the other of the patterns [1,3].

PLASTOCHRON1 (PLA1) specifically regulates the plastochron in rice (Oryza sativa) [4,5]. Loss of function of PLA1 causes rapidly emerging small leaves, resulting in more than twice the number of leaves compared to the wild type (WT). PLA1 encodes a plant-specific cytochrome P450 family protein, CYP78A11 [5]. Arabidopsis KLU is also a CYP78A-family member and its loss-of-function mutant exhibits accelerated leaf initiation and produces small organs [6]. PLA2 is another plastochron-regulating factor in rice [7]. PLA2 encodes a MEI2-like RNA-binding protein, which is an ortholog of TERMINAL EARLY (TE1) in maize (Zea mays) [8]. Although TE1 plays a role in the regulation of phyllotaxy, accelerated leaf initiation in the loss-of-function mutant is shared between te1 and pla2. PLA3 has also been reported to regulate the plastochron. A loss-of-function mutant of PLA3 exhibits not only a shortened plastochron but also pleiotropic phenotypes such as embryonic defects [9]. PLA3 encodes a homolog of glutamate carboxypeptidase, which dissociates glutamate from small peptides [9]. PLA3 is the rice ortholog of Arabidopsis ALTERED MERISTEM PROGRAM1 (AMP1) [10] and maize VIVIPAROUS8 [11]. Consequently, in rice, loss-of-function mutants of three PLA genes share the phenotypes of rapid leaf production, small leaf size, and aberrant inflorescence structure [4,7,9].

SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN-LIKE (SPL) genes are plant-specific transcription factors, some of which are negatively regulated by miR156 [12–15]. SPL genes are
also involved in plastochron regulation. A double loss-of-function mutant of AtSPL9 and AtSPL15 in Arabidopsis exhibited a short plastochron [6,16]. Conversely, the expression of an miR156-resistant form of SPL9 caused a prolonged plastochron [6]. In grass species such as rice and maize, SPL genes and miR156 have conserved functions in plastochron regulation. Accumulation of OsSPL transcripts caused a prolonged plastochron in rice and loss-of-function mutants of several SPL genes and plants overexpressing miR156 exhibited a short plastochron in both rice and maize [17–23].

The big embryo1 (bige1) mutant in maize exhibits an increased leaf and seminal root number in addition to a large embryo [24]. BIGE1 encodes a MATE-type transporter that likely plays a role in the secretion of an unidentified small molecule in the trans-Golgi. BIGE1 function is conserved between maize and Arabidopsis, because both single and double mutants of BIGE1 homologs in Arabidopsis produced an increased number of leaves, and the introduction of BIGE1-GFP fusion genes into an Arabidopsis bige1 mutant partially complemented the mutant phenotype [24].

Despite the identification of genes involved in the plastochron, the relationships among these genes and genetic pathways in plastochron regulation are unclear. In Arabidopsis, KLUH/CYP78A5 and AtSPL9/miR156 affect the plastochron and organ size in parallel genetic pathways [6]. In rice, a pla1 and pla2 double mutant exhibited enhanced phenotypes compared with each single mutant, suggesting that PLA1 and PLA2 function in independent pathways [7]. Although maize BIGE1 is involved in the feedback regulation of a CYP78A pathway, the phenotypic effect of the interaction on the plastochron has not been elucidated [6]. In addition to the genetic pathways, knowledge of functional conservation of plastochron-related genes among plant species is fragmentary.

Barley (Hordeum vulgare) is an important cereal crop for which considerable genetic resources are available, including collections of morphological and developmental mutants [25]. In addition, high-quality genome sequence information is available [26]. Thus, barley is an alternative model for grass molecular genetics with less gene redundancy and is suitable for comparative studies with other grass species. Here, we genetically and phenotypically characterized many-noded dwarf (mnd) mutants in barley, which were originally described in the 1920s as dwarf mutants with many nodes [27]. All mnd mutants exhibited a shortened plastochron, comparable to pla mutants in rice. We identified the genes responsible for mnd mutants and showed that the plastochron and leaf length are independently controlled by three genetic factors—MND1, MND4, and MND8. Here, we propose to assign a new locus name mnd8 to a mutation that was found at a locus different from the seven previously described mnd loci in barley. We revealed that MND8 encodes a MATE transporter-family protein, which is an ortholog of maize BIGE1. MND1 encodes an N-acetyltransferase-like protein that reportedly regulates phase changes [28]. MND4 had been reported as a cytochrome P450-family gene and a homolog of rice PLA1 [29]. Our phenotypic and genetic analyses of the mnd mutants suggested functions for the three MND genes and the existence of complex genetic interactions among them. Furthermore, our comparative analysis of rice and barley clarified the diversity and conservation of plastochron genetic pathways.

Results

Plastochron of mnd mutants

The mnd mutants used in this study are listed in Table 1. Allelism tests revealed that these mutants carried alleles derived from at least three independent genes, MND1, MND4, and MND8 (Table 1). MND4 is also known as HvMND [29]. Among the mutants, three—OUM165, OUM169, and OUX051—which are presumed to contain the alleles mnd8OUM165—
mnd4, and mnd1, respectively, and Akashinriki, an original cultivar of OUM165 and OUM169, were subjected to detailed genetic and phenotypic analyses.

First, we evaluated the vegetative phenotypes. The three mnd mutants produced more leaves than Akashinriki at all stages of vegetative development (Fig 1A–1D). However, the extent of leaf emergence varied among the mutants and growth stages. In the WT and mnd mutants, leaf emergence was slow in the early vegetative phase and rapid in the late vegetative phase (Fig 1E). At 40 days after germination, the number of leaves that emerged in mnd8 and mnd1 was twofold that in the WT, and that in mnd4 was intermediate between those of the WT and other mutants, indicating that the three mutants have an increased leaf production rate (Fig 1E).

Two indices represent the temporal pattern of leaf production, the plastochron and the leaf emergence rate which is also referred to as the phyllochron [30]. The plastochron is the time interval between two successive leaves produced at the SAM, and the leaf emergence rate / the phyllochron is the time interval between two successive leaves emerging from the top of the former leaf sheath. Although the plastochron and the leaf emergence rate are equal in rice, the plastochron is shorter than the leaf emergence rate in most other cereal crops [30]. To calculate the plastochron, we observed shoot apices of the WT and three mnd mutants at 7 and 14 days after germination (DAG) (S1 Fig). In most shoot apices of Akashinriki at 7 DAG, the P1 primordium was the fifth leaf protruding from the SAM (S1A Fig). At 14 DAG, the P1 primordium was the seventh leaf in most Akashinriki shoot apices (S1E Fig). Therefore, two new leaf primordia were produced from the SAM over 1 week in Akashinriki. In the mnd mutants, most of the P1 primordia were fifth leaves at 7 DAG, but eighth leaves at 14 DAG (S1B–S1D and S1F–S1H Fig). The plastochrons of the three mnd mutants were shorter than that of the WT (Table 2). We also calculated the leaf emergence rates for the four growth periods. For the first period from 7 to 14 DAG, the leaf emergence rate was longer than the plastochron in the WT and mnd mutants. Although the leaf emergence rates in the WT and mnd mutants became shorter in the later periods, the difference between the WT and mnd mutants increased, suggesting that the leaf production rates of mnd mutants increased at later developmental stages. These results suggested that the three mnd mutants were defective in plastochron control,
although the plastochron was shorter than the leaf emergence rate in both the wild-type and mnd mutants.

In addition to the vegetative development, the three mnd mutants showed abnormal panicle morphology. In the normal panicle of barley, a non-branched single axis of the panicle is produced, but diverged and multiple panicles were observed in mnd8OUM165 and mnd1OUX051 (S2 Fig). A panicle abnormality was also recognized in mnd4OUM169, which exhibited the weakest vegetative-development phenotype among the mnd mutants.

**Growth and cell-division pattern of mnd leaf primordia**

An increased leaf production rate may alter the spatial relationship among leaf primordia in the mnd mutants. It is possible that the mnd mutants have more leaf primordia inside a leaf primordium than wild type at the same developmental stage. To assess this, we examined the shoot apices of the mnd mutants at 14 DAG under an electron microscope (Fig 2). The
Table 2. Characterization of leaf production and leaf emergence rate in mnd mutants.

|                         | Akashinriki | mnd8OUM165 | mnd4OUM169 | mnd1OUX051 |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Leaf number of P1 stage at 7 DAG * | 5.0±0.0     | 5.2±0.4    | 5.0±0.0    | 5.8±0.4**  |
| Leaf number of P1 stage at 14 DAG * | 7.2±0.4     | 8.0±0.0**  | 8.0±0.0**  | 9.2±0.4**  |
| Plastochron during 7–14 DAG (days/leaf production) * | 3.2±0.7     | 2.5±0.4**  | 2.3±0.0**  | 2.0±0.4**  |
| Phyllochron during 7–14 DAG (days/leaf emergence) b | 5.6±0.1     | 4.3±0.6    | 6.4±0.2    | 3.0±0.3**  |
| Phyllochron during 14–21 DAG (days/leaf emergence) b | 6.9±0.1     | 3.1±0.7**  | 5.2±0.2**  | 3.0±0.4**  |
| Phyllochron during 21–28 DAG (days/leaf emergence) b | 6.9±0.1     | 3.0±0.7**  | 5.4±0.2**  | 2.9±0.7**  |
| Phyllochron during 28–35 DAG (days/leaf emergence) b | 5.3±0.3     | 1.9±0.7**  | 2.6±0.5**  | 2.4±0.8**  |

DAG: day after germination.

* Calculated by the P1 leaf primordium of the shoot sections as shown in S1 Fig.

b Calculated by the leaf emergence change as shown in Fig 1F. Values are mean±SD, n = 5

**P<0.01 vs. Akashinriki.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009292.t002
relationships between successive leaf primordia in the mnd mutants were similar to that in the WT. Namely, when the P1 leaf primordium protruded from the flank of the SAM, the P4 leaf primordium gradually enclosed the inner leaf primordia in the WT (Fig 2A and 2B), and this relationship was conserved in the three mnd mutants (Fig 2C–2F).

To understand the growth pattern of leaf primordia in the mnd mutants, cell division around the shoot apex was investigated by in situ hybridization using the cell-division biomarker histone H4 (Fig 2G–2J). Patterns of density of histone H4 signals in the mnd4 and mnd8 mutants were similar to that in the WT; namely, cell division activity was relatively low at P1 to P4 and enhanced in P5-stage leaf primordia in the WT, mnd4, and mnd8. The number of histone H4 signals in P2 and P3 primordia did not significantly differ among Akashinriki, mnd4 and mnd8, although those of the mnd1 were increased in P3 (Figs 2G–2J and S3). Therefore, stage-specific developmental events in leaf primordia in the mnd4 and mnd8 are likely unchanged, although leaf stage progressions could be more accelerated in the mnd1. Thus, the barley mnd mutants exhibited rapid leaf production but maintained leaf stage-specific development by rapid leaf maturation, as do pla mutants in rice [7].

Molecular identification of three MND genes

The three mnd mutants exhibited similar phenotypes, and the affected traits were comparable to those of pla mutants in rice. Accordingly, the three MND genes in barley could be counterparts of the three rice PLA genes [5,7,9].

To identify the three MND genes, we first determined the nucleotide sequences of MND4/HvMND (HOR5Hr1G081060) in OUM169, a homolog of rice PLA1 [29] (S4A Fig). We detected a single nucleotide polymorphism between Akashinriki and mnd4OUM169. The mutation was a G-to-A single base change (G425A) causing a Gly-to-Asp amino-acid substitution in the first exon of MND4 (Fig 3A and Table 1). This amino acid is conserved among angiosperms, suggesting it to be responsible for the phenotype of mnd4OUM169 (S5 Fig). We detected an identical mutation in the other two mnd mutants, mnd4GSHO2135 and mnd4GSHO1798, that caused an Arg-to-Lys amino-acid substitution, which has been reported previously as the mnd4e allele [29] (Figs 3A and S5 and Table 1). Because we found multiple mnd4 alleles linked to a shortened plastochron phenotype, MND4/HvMND regulates the plastochron in barley.

Next, to identify candidates for MND1 and MND8, we tested the barley ortholog of PLA2: HvPLA2 (HORVU3Hr1G091930). Rice pla2 exhibits a phenotype similar to those of barley mnd mutants [7]. We determined the nucleotide sequences of HvPLA2 in various mnd mutant and WT pairs. A database search showed that HvPLA2 is located in barley chromosome 3H, which is syntenic to rice chromosome 1 carrying PLA2, but our mapping showed that MND8 and MND1 are located on chromosomes 7H and 5H, respectively (S6 Fig). We found no mutation causing an amino-acid change or frameshift in HvPLA2 in mnd8OUM165 and mnd1OUX051, suggesting that neither MND8 nor MND1 is HvPLA2 (S7 Fig).

A barley ortholog of rice pla3 was next investigated because of its similar phenotype [9]. The barley ortholog HvPLA3 (HORVU5Hr1G103900) of PLA3 in rice chromosome 3, is located on syntenic chromosome 5H. We mapped MND8 to the distal region of the long arm of barley chromosome 5H (S6 Fig), but this position deviated distally from the HvPLA3 candidate interval. No mutation was detected in the nucleotide sequence of HvPLA3 in mnd8OUM165. Sequencing of HvPLA3 in mnd1OUX051 revealed two point mutations causing amino-acid substitutions, and a six-base deletion causing two amino-acid deletions in HvPLA3 in mnd1OUX051. Although one of the point mutations and the deletion mutation did not change the amino acids conserved among angiosperms, a C-to-A point mutation (C773A) in the first exon caused a Pro-to-Gln amino-acid substitution (P258Q) at a conserved position
However, we did not find nucleotide polymorphisms between Akashinriki and the other mnd mutants with mnd1 alleles, i.e., mnd1_GSHO253 and mnd1_SM6. Based on these inconsistent mapping and sequencing results, HvPLA3 is not responsible for mnd1.

Because it is unlikely that MND1 and MND8 are HvPLA2 or HvPLA3, we searched for other candidate genes that regulate the plastochron based on reports in species other than rice. Rough mapping in barley showed that mnd8 is located 7.2 cM distal to the barley EST marker k08652 (syn. HORVU5Hr1G112990), in the distal region of the long arm of chromosome 5H according to the EST map [31] (S6 Fig). Around the mapped region, we found a candidate gene, a homolog of BIGE1, which regulates not only embryo size but also the leaf initiation rate in maize [24]. We subsequently examined the nucleotide sequence of the BIGE1 homolog (HORVU5Hr1G103900), which encodes a MATE transporter-family protein. We found a T-to-C single base change (T3022C) mutation in the second exon of the gene in mnd8_OUM165 that caused a Leu-to-Pro amino-acid substitution (L404P) (Figs 3B and S9 and Table 1). The nucleotide sequence of the gene in four mnd mutants—OUM166, OUM168Ku, NBG114547, and NGB117205—revealed three independent mutations causing amino-acid substitutions (G106E, W278R, and G298N) in the coding region. Accordingly, we concluded that this MATE transporter-like gene is MND8. A phylogenetic analysis indicated that MND8 is an ortholog of maize BIGE1 (S4 Fig).
Next, we mapped mnd1 using publicly available simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers in barley [32] (S6 Fig). Barley mnd1 was located in the distal region of the long arm of barley chromosome 7H, flanked by the barley EST markers, k09432 (syn. HORVU7Hr1G109770) and k04741 (syn. HORVU7Hr1G115050). Using this map information, we searched for mnd1 candidate genes. We identified as a strong candidate for MND1 (HORVU7Hr1G113480), a homolog of HOOKLESS1 (HLS1), which encodes an N-acetyltransferase-like protein. Although there are no reports on HLS1 homologs regulating the plastochron in Arabidopsis, multiple Arabidopsis mutants of HLS1 homologs exhibited excess leaf production and a small leaf size [33–35]. One HLS1 homolog in barley was located near the distal arm region of chromosome 7HL, where mnd1 was mapped. Sequence analysis of this gene revealed that mnd1 carries an eight-nucleotide deletion in the second exon starting at position 464, causing a frameshift and introducing a premature stop codon (Figs 3C and S10 and Table 1). Sequencing of four mnd mutants with the mnd1 alleles (mnd1SM5, mnd1SM6, mnd1GSHO253, and mnd1GSHO2038) and one other mnd mutant, NGB114540, revealed an eight-base insertion, two independent one-base deletions, and one amino-acid substitution in the coding region (Figs 3C and S10 and Table 1). In addition, among the mutations in MND1, the eight-base insertion in mnd1GSHO253, mnd1GSHO2038, and mnd1GSHO2038 was identical to that was recently reported in mnd1.a [28]. Therefore, we concluded that this HLS1-like gene is MND1. A phylogenetic analysis indicated that MND1 is an ortholog not of HLS1 but of GW6a, a quantitative trait locus that regulates grain weight in rice [36] (S4 Fig).

Accordingly, the three MND genes—MND4, MND8, and MND1—encode CYP78A family, MATE transporter family, and N-acetyltransferase-like proteins, respectively. The MND8 is newly identified by this study.

Expression pattern of the three MND genes

To evaluate their regulation of the plastochron and leaf growth, the transcript accumulation patterns of the three MND genes around the shoot apex were investigated using in situ hybridization (Fig 4). No signals were detected in longitudinal sections hybridized with sense probes for MND4, MND8 and MND1 (S11 Fig). MND4 expression was observed both in the SAM and leaf primordia (Fig 4A). The expression pattern in the SAM was complex, with expression detected in small patches of the SAM flank in the longitudinal sections. One patch was observed at the boundary between the SAM and P1 leaf primordia. Cross sections revealed that the patch corresponded to a semicircular band in the SAM (Fig 4D). Strong MND4 expression was also observed in the proximal part of the adaxial and abaxial sides of P1–P4 leaf primordia, including the boundary between successive leaf primordia (Fig 4A and 4G). Expression of MND8 was weak in the SAM and young leaf primordia, and was observed faintly in the young stem (Fig 4B, 4E and 4H).

The expression pattern of MND1 was similar to that of MND4; that is, expression was observed in small patches of the SAM and the proximal part of leaf primordia (Fig 4C). However, the area of expression was different from that of MND4. First, MND1 expression was detected not at the boundary between the SAM and P1 leaf primordia but in the inner region of the SAM (Fig 4C and 4F). Second, gene expression at the boundary between successive leaf primordia was marked for MND4, but not for MND1. Finally, MND1 expression in the proximal part of leaf primordia was shifted distally and medially compared to MND4 (Fig 4C and 4I).

These observations suggest that MND4 and MND1 regulate the plastochron and leaf growth by means of their expression in limited but distinct regions of the SAM and leaf primordia.
Effect of \textit{mnd} mutations on the expression level of the three \textit{MND} genes

To examine whether \textit{MND} genes regulate other \textit{MND} genes, we compared the expression levels of \textit{MND} genes among three \textit{mnd} mutant backgrounds and WT Akashinriki and Mesa, with the former the original cultivar of \textit{mnd4} \text{OUM169} and \textit{mnd8} \text{OUM165} and the latter that of \textit{mnd1} \text{OUX051} (Fig 5). Quantitative real-time PCR revealed that the expression of \textit{MND8} was slightly decreased in \textit{mnd4} \text{OUM169} (Fig 5A). This indicates that \textit{MND4} positively regulates \textit{MND8} expression. The expression level of \textit{MND4} was slightly upregulated in \textit{mnd8} \text{OUM165} and significantly upregulated in \textit{mnd1} \text{OUX051}, suggesting that \textit{MND1} negatively regulates \textit{MND4} expression (Fig 5B). The expression level of \textit{MND1} was upregulated in \textit{mnd8} \text{OUM165} and downregulated in \textit{mnd1} \text{OUX051}, implying that \textit{MND8} negatively regulates \textit{MND1} expression and that \textit{mnd1} \text{OUX051} affects the accumulation of \textit{MND1} mRNA (Fig 5C). In addition, we examined the expression of \textit{HvPLA2} and \textit{HvPLA3} (Fig 5D and 5E). Although \textit{HvPLA3} expression was not significantly altered in the three \textit{mnd} mutants (Fig 5E), \textit{HvPLA2} expression was downregulated in the \textit{mnd} mutants (Fig 5D). This suggests that the three \textit{MND} genes positively regulate \textit{HvPLA2} expression.

Accordingly, the expression levels of the three \textit{MND} genes in addition to that of \textit{HvPLA2} were affected by functional defects in other \textit{MND} genes.
To examine the genetic interactions among MND genes, we generated double mutants between \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd4} \textit{OUM169} as well as \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd1} \textit{OUX051} (Fig 6A and 6B). We measured the leaf number and leaf blade length in the F\textsubscript{3} population at 2 months after germination and determined the genotypes (Fig 6C and 6D). Among the segregants of the F\textsubscript{3} population of \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd4} \textit{OUM169}, double homozygotic plants for \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd4} \textit{OUM169} produced the largest number of leaves among the nine genotypes (Fig 6A and 6C). Although the difference in leaf number between single \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} homozygotic plants

---

**Fig 5. Expression change in MND and HvPLA in mnd mutant backgrounds.** Relative expression levels of \textit{MND8} (A), \textit{MND4} (B), \textit{MND1} (C), HvPLA2 (D), and HvPLA3 (E) \((n = 5)\). ADP-ribosylation factor 1-like protein (ADP) was used as the internal control. Double and single asterisks indicate a statistically significant difference compared to the wild type (WT; \textit{t}-test, \(P < 0.01\) and 0.05, respectively).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009292.g005

**Genetic interactions among MND genes**

To examine the genetic interactions among MND genes, we generated double mutants between \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd4} \textit{OUM169} as well as \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd1} \textit{OUX051} (Fig 6A and 6B). We measured the leaf number and leaf blade length in the F\textsubscript{3} population at 2 months after germination and determined the genotypes (Fig 6C and 6D). Among the segregants of the F\textsubscript{3} population of \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd4} \textit{OUM169}, double homozygotic plants for \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} and \textit{mnd4} \textit{OUM169} produced the largest number of leaves among the nine genotypes (Fig 6A and 6C). Although the difference in leaf number between single \textit{mnd8} \textit{OUM165} homozygotic plants
and double homozygotic plants was slight, mature double-mutant plants exhibited an enhanced phenotype relative to the \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \) single mutant (S12 Fig), indicating that the \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \) allele has an additive effect with \( \text{mnd}^{4}\text{OUM169} \). Among the segregants of the \( F_{3} \) population produced from \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \) and \( \text{mnd}^{1}\text{OUX051} \), an additive effect between the two alleles on leaf number was evident; that is, the \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \text{mnd}^{1}\text{OUX051} \) double mutant had the largest number of leaves among the genotypes (Fig 6B and 6D).

The \( \text{mnd} \) alleles had different effects on the increase in leaf number depending on their genotype (Fig 6C and 6D). For example, \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \) increased leaf numbers in the WT and \( \text{mnd}^{4}\text{OUM169} \) backgrounds by 94% and 73%, respectively. Similarly, \( \text{mnd}^{4}\text{OUM169} \) increased leaf numbers in the WT and \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \) backgrounds by 21% and 8%, respectively (Fig 6C). The same tendency was observed for combinations of \( \text{mnd}^{8}\text{OUM165} \) and \( \text{mnd}^{1}\text{OUX051} \) (Fig 6D). Accordingly, the effect of a single \( \text{mnd} \) allele on the increase in leaf number was diminished by the accumulation of other \( \text{mnd} \) alleles.

Therefore, \( \text{MND8} \) regulates the plastochron independently of \( \text{MND4} \) and \( \text{MND1} \). In addition, there are genetic or developmental mechanisms that moderately affect the leaf production rate in the short plastochron background.
Relationship between the plastochron and leaf-blade length in the mnd mutant

The shortened plastochron in most plastochron-related mutants is reportedly accompanied by the production of small leaves [4,6,7,24]. However, the correlation between leaf production rate and leaf length is unknown. Therefore, the leaf number at 2 months after germination and the length of the second leaf blade of F3 segregants produced from mnd8OUM165 and mnd4OUM169 and from mnd8OUM165 and mnd1OUX051 were measured (Fig 7). A strong

![Fig 7. Relationship between leaf number and leaf-blade length.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009292.g007)
negative correlation between leaf number and leaf-blade length was observed in all F3 segregants for both mnd8OUM165 and mnd4OUM169 crosses and mnd8OUM165 and mnd1OUX851 crosses (Fig 7A and 7B). This correlation was caused by the strength of the allelic effect on leaf production (Fig 6C and 6D). By contrast, no negative correlations were detected among the most of groups of segregants with identical genotypes (S13 Fig). In addition, among various WT and mnd mutant strains, no correlation between leaf number and the maximum length of the leaf blade was observed (S14 Fig and S2 Table).

These observations indicate that the plastochron and leaf-blade length are positively regulated by MND genes. However, the correlations between the plastochron and leaf length in the mnd mutants were slightly incomplete, suggesting that MND may partially acts on pathways that regulate the plastochron and leaf-blade length independently.

**Effect of rice MND orthologs on plastochron regulation**

Our results demonstrated that MND8 and MND1 regulate the plastochron in barley, but whether the rice homologs of these genes are involved in plastochron regulation is unknown. Therefore, we constructed knockout mutants of rice MND8 and MND1 orthologs using CRISPR/Cas9 (S15 Fig). A phylogenetic analysis indicated that two orthologs of barley MND8 and MND1 are present in rice (S4 Fig; two rice orthologs, OsBE1/Os03g0839200 and OsBE2/Os12g0552600, for barley MND8 and two rice orthologs, OsGNAT1/Os06g0650300 and OsGNAT2/Os02g0180400, for barley MND1). We generated single and double mutants of the rice orthologs and calculated the plastochron (Fig 8A–8G). The number of leaves increased in osgnat1, osbe1, osgnat1 osgnat2, and osbe1 osbe2 compared to the WT, but to a lesser extent compared to pla1-4 (Figs 8G and S16). This indicates that rice OsBE1 and OsGNAT1 are involved in plastochron regulation, as are their orthologs in barley, but their contribution to the plastochron is of lesser magnitude than that of MND in barley.

*In situ* hybridization showed that OsBE1 and OsGNAT1 were expressed around SAMs, particularly at the base of young leaf primordia (Fig 8H–8M), whereas OsBE2 and OsGNAT2 expression was not observed. We next compared the expression patterns of PLA1, OsBE1, and OsGNAT1. Although PLA1 and OsBE1 expression domain overlapped, PLA1, but not OsBE1, was expressed at the base of the P1-related region in the SAM (Fig 8H and 8I). In addition, OsBE1, but not PLA1, expression was detected in the inner tissue of P3 and the stem tissue (Fig 8K and 8L). OsGNAT1 expression was strongest at the base of P3 and the boundaries of leaf primordia (Fig 8J and 8M). Expression of the three genes partially overlapped, but none was expressed in the vascular bundle (Fig 8K–8M). By contrast, there were differences in the expression patterns of the rice and barley orthologs, although MND4 and PLA1 expression was similar. Punctate expression of MND1 was observed in the SAM (Fig 4C), whereas OsGNAT1 expression was detected in the P3 leaf primordium but not in the SAM (Fig 8J and 8M). MND8 did not exhibit a specific expression pattern (Fig 4B), but OsBE1 was expressed around the shoot apex (Fig 8I and 8L).

These results indicate functional conservation between the barley and rice orthologous genes (MND8 versus OsBE1 and MND1 versus OsGNAT1).

**Discussion**

Three MND genes regulate the plastochron via similar developmental pathways, but have unrelated functions and genetic pathways

Our results indicate that the many-noded phenotype of mnd mutants results from the rapid production of leaf primordia, which is caused by loss-of function of three independent genes,
MND4, MND8, and MND1. Although the magnitude of the plastochron reduction differed among the mutant alleles, the overall phenotypes of all mnd mutants during vegetative development were similar. In addition, the panicle-development phenotypes were comparable among the mutants. Therefore, common abnormalities were exhibited during vegetative and reproductive development, indicating that the three MND genes have similar roles in barley development.

Despite their similar biological functions, the three MND genes encode unrelated proteins. MND4 encodes a CYP78A monoxygenase whose substrates are unknown [29]. Although CYP78A genes are important for development in several species [29,37–41], the synthetic or metabolic pathways in which CYP78A is involved are unknown. MND1 encodes an N-acetyltransferase-like protein and is the closest homolog of GW6a in rice [36]. Because GW6a exhibits histone H4 acetyltransferase activity, MND1 also likely regulates the transcription of
downstream genes by acetylating histone H4. Furthermore, MND8 is an ortholog of maize BIGE1, a transporter implicated in the secretion of an unidentified small molecule [24]. Accordingly, it is assumed that MND4, MND1, and MND8 are involved in the synthesis or metabolism of unknown factors, transcriptional regulation of downstream genes, and transportation of unidentified molecules, respectively. Although a close relationship between the CYP78A pathway and BIGE1 has been proposed [24], there is no direct evidence that BIGE1 is associated with the transportation of CYP78A-related molecules so far. In fact, although we did not investigate the relationship between MND4 and MND1, our genetic analysis indicates that MND4 and MND1 regulate the plastochron independently of MND8. Accordingly, at least two different genetic pathways regulate the plastochron in barley. This is also the case for plastochron regulation by three PLA genes in rice. The pla1, pla2, and pla3 mutants have a short plastochron and a small leaf size, and conversion of the primary rachis into a shoot occurs in all three, but it has been proposed that PLA1, PLA2, and PLA3 regulate the plastochron independently [7,9]. On the other hand, Arabidopsis AMP1 and CYP78A5/7, which are orthologs of PLA3 and PLA1, respectively, act on a common downstream process [42]. Therefore, the developmental program controlling leaf initiation, leaf growth, and panicle development in rice and barley is regulated by multiple and independent genetic pathways involving PLA and MND genes.

Interactions of MND genes
At least two independent genetic pathways seem to regulate the plastochron, but complex genetic interactions were also suggested by the expression analysis. In short, MND8 negatively affects the expression level of MND1, MND1 negatively affects that of MND4, and MND4 positively affects that of MND8. It was also noted that MND4 expression was slightly upregulated, but not significantly, in mnd8. Such a regulatory relationship between CYP78A and a MND8-related transporter has also been reported in maize; i.e., the expression of some CYP78A family genes was upregulated in the bige1 mutant background [24]. In maize, BIGE1 is required for feedback regulation of CYP78A family genes. If this is also the case in barley, the upregulation of MND4 and MND1 might have been the result of a deficiency in feedback regulation caused by the loss of MND8 function. By contrast, overexpression of rice GW6a, an ortholog of MND1, and the mnd1.a mutation affected the expression levels of thousands of genes in rice and barley, respectively [28,36]. Because GW6a is predicted to be a transcriptional regulator that modulates chromatin status, a change in MND4 expression in mnd1 could be a direct or indirect effect of loss of histone acetyltransferase activity by MND1. Although MND4 and MND8 do not directly affect gene transcription, they modulate that of other genes by influencing downstream events. In addition to the three MND genes, expression of HvPLA2 was downregulated in the three mnd mutants. This indicates that HvPLA2 is involved in plastochron regulation downstream of the three MND genes.

Phenotypic analysis of double mutants revealed that the effect of MND genes on plastochron regulation was dependent on the number of mnd mutant alleles present. In addition, the increase in leaf number in the double mutants was much less than when the effects of the two mutant alleles on leaf number were equally additive. There are two possible explanations for this phenomenon. One is that genetic pathways regulating plastochron are not completely independent between MND8 and MND4/MND1. In fact, expression levels of MND4 and MND1 were increased in the mnd8 background, which might weaken the short plastochron phenotype in the single and double mutants. Another is that a developmental restriction would exist, for example, responses of cells (e.g. division activity) in the SAM by the loss of each MND function do not proportionally affect leaf production rate. In any case, complex genetic and developmental interactions are implicated in the regulation of the plastochron.
**MND genes function in leaf production and growth**

In shortened-plastochron mutants, rapid leaf production is accompanied by small leaves [4, 6,7,24]. In *pla1* and *pla2* mutants in rice, a model of the effect of leaf production on leaf size was proposed. According to the model, rapid leaf production by *pla* mutants is not the result of the loss of *PLA* function in the SAM, but an indirect effect of accelerated leaf maturation in leaf primordia [7]. The model was based on the observation that *PLA* genes were expressed in leaf primordia but not in the SAM, although the mechanism by which the change in leaf-primordia maturation affects leaf production is unknown.

Our barley analysis basically supports the above notion. The relative developmental stage and cell-division activity of successive leaf primordia were maintained or increased in the *mnd* mutants. Therefore, leaf production and leaf-primordia maturation were accelerated, as for *pla* mutants in rice. In addition, maize *PLA1* genes control the duration of cell division in the leaf primordia [41], which is consistent with the rapid leaf maturation observed in the *mnd4* mutant in this study. Accordingly, *MND* genes maintain the leaf-maturation schedule. However, if the leaf production rate is affected only by leaf maturation, as suggested by the rice model, the correlation between leaf number and leaf-blade length should be solid. However, we showed that leaf number and leaf-blade length were not always correlated in plants of identical genotype, implying that the plastochron and leaf size are differentially regulated. In fact, expression of *MND4* and *MND1* was observed both in the SAM and leaf primordia. *MND* expression in the SAM may be required for the suppression of leaf initiation independently of expression in the leaf primordia, which is necessary for the suppression of leaf maturation.

Based on our findings, we propose a model that not only *MND* function in the leaf primordia but also in the SAM would be important for plastochron regulation in barley. This is supported by the fact that enhanced expression of the maize *PLA1* ortholog in leaf primordia affected leaf size but not leaf number [41], whereas overexpression of *PLA1* via the introduction of an increased gene copies resulted in a prolonged plastochron and an increased leaf size [43].

The mechanisms by which *MND* genes regulate leaf initiation in the SAM and leaf growth in the leaf primordia are unknown. Auxin may be associated with control of the duration of cell-division activity by maize *PLA1* [41]. It is also probable that auxin triggers leaf initiation via *MND*-mediated regulation, because the local auxin concentration is important for leaf initiation in the SAM [1,44]. It is possible that the limited *MND1* and *MND4* expression domain in the SAM is important for the auxin flow or concentration required for proper leaf initiation. Analysis of auxin dynamics in the mutant SAM may provide insight into the role of auxin in the temporal regulation of leaf initiation by *MND* genes.

**Conservation and diversity of genetic pathways in rice and barley**

Although several genetic factors regulating the plastochron have been identified in rice, maize, *Arabidopsis*, and other species, our understanding of their functional conservation among plant species is inadequate. We characterized *mnd* mutants in barley and identified the responsible genes. It was somewhat surprising that two of the three *MND* genes were not homologs of three *PLA* genes in rice. At least five genes are involved in developmental pathways underpinning plastochron regulation in grasses. Moreover, three of the five orthologs perform similar functions in rice and barley. However, there was a considerable difference in the magnitude of their effects between the two species. For example, loss-of-function of *MND1* and *MND8* markedly shortened the plastochron in barley, but that of the rice orthologs *OsGNAT1* and *OsBE1* resulted in a slight effect, even for double loss-of-function of closely related paralogs. This might have been caused by differences in genetic redundancy and functional diversification of gene families between the two species. Namely, other homologs in different clades
compensate for the function of plastochron regulation in rice. In fact, the functional ortholog of \textit{MND4} in rice is \textit{PLA1}, but it is not the closest homolog among the CYP78A members [29]. It is predicted that \textit{Os09g0528700} is a phylogenetic ortholog of \textit{MND4} in rice, which is specifically expressed in roots and the embryo (http://ricexpro.dna.affrc.go.jp), unlike \textit{PLA1}. In terms of the functions of \textit{MND8}-related MATE transporter-family genes, \textit{BIGE1} in maize regulates both the leaf initiation rate and embryo size [24]. However, \textit{mnd8} in barley did not exhibit a phenotype change in terms of embryo size (S17 Fig). In addition, \textit{OsBE1}, a rice ortholog of \textit{MND8}, exhibited specific expression around the shoot apex, unlike \textit{MND8}. Accordingly, the phenotypic effect and expression pattern of the closest homologs of \textit{MND} genes differ among grass species.

Our understanding about a conserved function of plastochron-related genes among grass species is still insufficient. Identifications of \textit{HvPLA2} and \textit{HvPLA3} mutants in barley and comparative analyses with their rice counterparts would advance an understanding of the conservation and diversity of plastochron-related genes.

\section*{Materials and methods}

\subsection*{Plant materials and growth conditions}

The barley \textit{mnd} mutant and WT strains used in this study were listed in Table 1. Mutant and wild-type seeds were sown in soil and the seedlings were transplanted into the pots under conditions in the greenhouse of the University of Tokyo, and the plants were sampled and their phenotypes evaluated at predetermined timepoints (S1 Table). For the double mutant analysis, the genotypes of the F\textsubscript{3} plants were identified using PCR-based genotyping.

The \textit{pla1-4} mutant and WT rice plants were grown on soil or MS medium containing 3\% sucrose and 1\% agar at 28\°C under continuous light. Transgenic plants were grown in a bio-hazard greenhouse with temperatures of 30\°C in the daytime and 25\°C at night.

\subsection*{Histological and morphological analysis}

Samples of the mutant and WT plants were fixed with FAA (formaldehyde:glacial acetic acid:50\% ethanol, 2:1:17) for 24 h at 4\°C for histological analysis, or with PFA (4\% [w/v] paraformaldehyde and 1\% Triton X in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer) for 48 h at 4\°C for \textit{in situ} hybridization. They were then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, after which the ethanol was substituted with 1-butanol, and the samples were embedded in Paraplast Plus (McCormick Scientific). The samples were sectioned at a thickness of 8 \textmu m using a rotary microtome. The sections were stained with hematoxylin for histological analysis. After staining, the sections were mounted with Poly-Mount (Polysciences, Inc.) and observed under a light microscope.

For scanning electron microscopy, plant materials were fixed in PFA for 24 h and dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series, which was then gradually replaced with 3-methyl-butyl-acetate. Samples were critical-point dried, sputter-coated with platinum, and observed under a scanning electron microscope (S-4800, Hitachi) at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV.

\subsection*{In situ hybridization}

Paraffin sections were prepared as described above. For digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes, those for histone \textit{H4} (\textit{HvH4}: HORVU5Hr1G086620), \textit{MND4}, \textit{MND8}, and \textit{MND1} in barley and \textit{OsBE1} and \textit{OsGNAT1} in rice were prepared using cDNAs and specific primers (S3 Table). In addition, antisense RNA probes for \textit{MND4}, \textit{MND8}, and \textit{MND1} were also prepared. The \textit{PLA1} antisense probes were prepared as described previously [5]. \textit{In situ} hybridization
and immunological detection using alkaline phosphatase were performed according to the methods of Kouchi and Hata [45]. The experiments were performed using at least five samples per each probe.

For quantification of cell division activity in the P2 and P3 primordia in WT and mnd mutants, the number of histone H4 spots per area of the leaf primordia were calculated using three independent samples of each genotype (S1 Table). Five serial sections in a sample were used for measurements. The area of the leaf primordium was measured with IMAGEJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

Identification of MND genes and PLA2 and PLA3 orthologs in barley

The nucleotide sequences of MND4, MND8, MND1, HvPLA2, and HvPLA3 in the mnd mutants and WT were determined using sequence information from the IPK Barley Blast Server (https://webblast.ipk-gatersleben.de/barley_ibsc/) and Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/).

For phylogenic analyses, the amino-acid sequences of MND4, MND8, and MND1 homologs in various plant species were obtained from the Phytozome database. The amino-acid alignment was carried out using GENETYX software (Genetyx), and the phylogenic tree was constructed based on the neighbor-joining method with 1,000-replicate bootstrapping using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA X; [46]).

Quantitative real-time PCR

RNA was extracted from 1 cm of the most basal part of the shoot apices of barley seedlings at 14 DAG using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The extracted RNA was treated with Recombinant DNase I (TaKaRa), and cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed with the StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies) using TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master Mix and FAM-labeled TaqMan probes for each gene. ADP-ribosylation factor 1-like protein (ADP) was used as the internal standard [47]. In all experiments, we analyzed three technical and five biological replicates (S1 Table). The primers and TaqMan probes for MND1, MND4, MND8, ADP, HvPLA2, and HvPLA are listed in S4 Table.

Generation of knockout alleles of rice MND orthologs using the CRISPR/Cas9 system

The CRISPR/Cas9 system was used to generate knockout alleles for OsBE1, OsBE2, OsGNAT1, and OsGNAT2, which are orthologs of MND1 and MND8 in rice. The target sites were selected using the CRISPR-P program (http://cbi.hzau.edu.cn/crispr/) [48] (S15 Fig). The single-guide RNA (sgRNA) cloning vector (pZK_gRNA) and all-in-one binary vector (pZH_OsU6gRNA_MMCas9) harboring sgRNA, Cas9, and NPTII were provided by Masaki Endo [49]. The pZH_OsU6gRNA_MMCas9 vector including target-guide RNA for MND orthologs was constructed as described previously [49].

The constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 and transformed into cultivar Taichung-65 (T-65) calli via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Mutations and transgenes in each transformant were confirmed by sequencing and PCR-based detection (S15 Fig).

We performed rough observations of morphological phenotypes with at least three or more loss-of-function alleles. And then, we used only transgene-free genome edited plants (null segregants) for each gene for analyzing in detail and generating double mutants in our experiments.
Supporting information

S1 Fig. Changes in the leaf primordia of mnd mutants at 1–2 weeks after germination. Inner structure of the wild-type and mnd mutants at 1 week (A–D) and 2 weeks (E–H) after germination. (A, E) Akashinriki, (B, F) mnd8OUM165, (C, G) mnd4OUM169, and (D, H) mnd1OUX051. xL indicates the xth leaf and Px indicates the order of leaf emergence from the shoot apical meristem. col, coleoptile. Bars: 200 μm. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Panicle phenotypes of mnd mutants. Arrows indicate elongated bracts or ectopic shoot-like structures; arrowheads indicate branched panicles. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Quantification of the H4 signals in mnd mutants. (A, B) The number of histone H4 spots per area of the leaf primordia were calculated using three independent samples of each genotype by in situ hybridization. (A) P2, (B) P3. Five serial sections in a sample were used for measurements. Data are shown as mean±SD. The area of the leaf primordium was measured with IMAGEJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). *P<0.01 (Student’s t-test). (TIF)

S4 Fig. Phylogenetic tree of MND proteins. Phylogenetic tree of MND proteins from several angiosperms. (A) MND4, (B) MND8, and (C) MND1. Numbers above the branches are bootstrap values from 1,000 replicates. At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Zm, Zea mays; Os, Oryza sativa; Hv, Hordeum vulgare. Red and blue underlining indicates MND genes in barley and orthologs in rice, respectively. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Amino-acid alignment of MND4 and its homologs. Alignment of MND4 and its homologous proteins in several angiosperms used in S4 Fig. The effect of each mnd mutation is indicated in red. Black and gray, 100% and more than 50% identical amino acids, respectively. At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Zm, Zea mays; Os, Oryza sativa; Hv, Hordeum vulgare (TIF)

S6 Fig. Map position of MND8 and MND1. (A) For genetic mapping of MND8, 21 recessive homozygous F2 plants from a cross between Haruna Nijo and OUM165 were used. (B) For genetic mapping of MND1, 33 recessive homozygous F2 plants from a cross between OUI026 and SM6 were used. The double-ended arrows indicate the gene regions found in the mapping. EST markers with initial letter k were those reported by Sato et al. (2009). SSR markers underlined were developed by Varshney et al. (2007). MapMaker version 2.0 was used for map construction with LOD score 3. (TIF)

S7 Fig. Genomic structure of HvPLA2 and HvPLA3. Boxes indicate exons. DNA polymorphisms between Akashinriki and OUX051 in the HvPLA3 genomic structure are indicated by arrows. (TIF)

S8 Fig. Amino-acid alignment of HvPLA3 and its homologs. Alignment of HvPLA3 and its homologs in several angiosperms. The effects of mutations in OUX051 are indicated in red. Black and gray, 100% and more than 50% identical amino acids, respectively. LOC, Oryza sativa; GRMZM, Zea mays; Solyc, Solanum lycopersicum; Medtr, Medicago truncatula; AT, Arabidopsis thaliana. (TIF)
S9 Fig. Amino-acid alignment of MND8 and its homologs. Alignment of MND8 and its homologous proteins in several angiosperms used in S4 Fig. The effect of each mnd mutation is indicated in red. Black and gray, 100% and more than 50% identical amino acids, respectively. At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Zm, Zea mays; Os, Oryza sativa; Hv, Hordeum vulgare. (TIF)

S10 Fig. Amino-acid alignment of MND1 and its homologs. Alignment of MND1 and its homologs in the angiosperms used in S4 Fig. The effect of each mnd mutation is indicated in red. Black and gray, 100% and more than 50% identical amino acids, respectively. At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Zm, Zea mays; Os, Oryza sativa; Hv, Hordeum vulgare. (TIF)

S11 Fig. In situ hybridization using sense probes for three MND genes. Shoot samples at 10 days after germination were used. (A) MND4, (B) MND8, and (C) MND1. Bars: 200 μm. (TIF)

S12 Fig. Mature plant phenotypes of mnd double mutants. (A) Segregated plants of the F3 population derived from the F2 seeds of mnd4 OUM169 × mnd8 OUM165 crossings. (B) Segregated plants of the F3 population derived from the F2 seeds of mnd1 OUX051 × mnd8 OUM165 crossings. The genotypes of the plants are indicated. (TIF)

S13 Fig. Relationship between leaf number and leaf-blade length among plants of the same genotype. (A, B) Scatter plots of leaf number at 2 months after germination and the length of the second leaf blade with the same genotype from mnd4 OUM169 × mnd8 OUM165 (A), and mnd1 OUX051 × mnd8 OUM165 (B) crossings. The linear regression line and the coefficient of determination (R^2) are indicated. (TIF)

S14 Fig. Relationship between leaf number and maximum leaf-blade length. Scatter plot of leaf number at 100 days after germination and the maximum length of the leaf blade. Average values of the traits of five plants were used. The linear regression line and coefficient of determination (R^2) are indicated. (TIF)

S15 Fig. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of rice MND orthologs. (A–D) Genomic structures and target sites of rice MND orthologs. (A) OsGNAT1, (B) OsGNAT2, (C) OsBE1, and (D) OsBE2. Boxes indicate exons. Arrows indicate target sites (protospacer adjacent motif [PAM] sequences [red characters] and guide sequences [blue characters]) and directions starting from each PAM sequence. The lower sequence is that of the mutant used in the experiment. Bars: 500 bp. (TIF)

S16 Fig. Leaf number of wild-type (T-65) plants and CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutants at 10 days after sowing. Data are presented as the means ± SDs (n ≥ 5). (TIF)

S17 Fig. Seed phenotypes of mnd mutants. (A) Akashinriki, (B) mnd4 OUM169, (C) mnd8 OUM165, and (D) mnd1 OUX051. Bars: 2 mm. (TIF)
S1 Table. Raw data for figures and tables.  
(XLSX)

S2 Table. Leaf number and leaf size in the wild type and mnd mutants.  
(PPTX)

S3 Table. Primers used to produce in situ hybridization probes.  
(PPTX)

S4 Table. Primers and TaqMan probes used for real-time PCR.  
(PPTX)
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