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1. Introduction

The sustainability debate is not new and has gained ground and strength in recent years, from the demands of contemporary society, attentive to new patterns of production and consumption. Guided the expectations and desires of this contemporary society, for some time the subject has, repeatedly, been the focus of major global meetings.

Among the targeted global initiatives to the subject, there is the United Nations Conference on Environment, known as ECO-92, which created the Agenda 21, with the goal of greater provide support discussions and actions on sustainability through planning guidelines and building sustainable societies.

In Brazil, sustainability has also been an important topic of political, institutional and scientific order. The first publications on sustainability in journals are in the late 1980s and early 1990s, during which were published major books and international reports [1,2], among Brazilian authors stand out Maimon [3-5], Donaire [6] and Barbieri [7].

It is observed then that deal with sustainability, is not restricted to private organizations, but notes that it is civil society, private organizations and public authorities complement the implementation and promotion of sustainability [8]. The government agent plays an important role, either in the preparation, implementation or dissemination
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of actions that allow the effective sustainable development. It is the governmental entity, providing means for achieving such sustainability. Therefore, the appropriateness of the public bodies and entities structures is fundamental for the construction of a new institutional culture that includes sustainability as a core principle.

The choice of study in Higher Education Institutions (HEI) is due to the fact of its importance as an example to society through the development of studies and projects that prioritize actions and sustainable practices. Through policies and management practices, the IES have responsibility and should promote sustainable development in the communities in which they operate, influencing the present and the future of every reality.

Several studies have been conducted on sustainability in IES. Noeke [10] researched the environmental management system of a university in Germany. Herreman and Allwright [11] studied sustainability initiatives in American universities. Carpenter and Meehan [12] investigated the environmental management in universities in Australia and New Zealand. Sammalisto and Arvidsson [13] analyzed the implementation of environmental management in Swedish universities. Already Arvidsson [14] investigated the sustainability reports of the Swedish universities, which have a legal obligation to submit such reports.

In this context, this study aims to explore how the theme of sustainability in HEI has been addressed in the scientific literature. Due to the scope extended and diversified its potential application, this research aims to identify articles published with relevance and scope in both themes and analyze the main types, methodologies, scales, dimensions and approaches, as well as trends and gaps.

### 2. Materials and Methods

The combination of systematic literature review and analysis and bibliometric content gives this study qualitative and quantitative characteristic. The systematic review provides the investigation of similarities and differences [14]. In turn, the bibliometric analysis is the literature of the behavior of identification and its evolution. Already the content analysis uses mathematical and statistical methods in order to interpret the results [15].

A systematic review from the collection, identification and selection of relevant studies was performed. Thus, the evidence has been mapped; grouped and summarized data and results and identified gaps in the research.

The bibliometric analysis is the literature of the behavior of identification and their evolution in a context and time determined [15]. In all the literature, there are significant inconsistencies in how the terms are defined. For example, an author considers a criterion, one can treat as an indicator.

In this study the sustainability information applied in IES were registered in IBM SPSS in order to expose the bibliometric indicators of the selected articles in the literature systematic review and present the results of the analysis in tables and figures.

Content analysis includes descriptive statistics of articles, periodicals, authors and quotes, as well as the analysis of the temporal evolution [14]. After the fonts have been selected for inclusion in the study by the systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. Each source has been read in full for the content analysis. The content was classified according to five characteristics: type, methodology, scale, size and approach.

Finally, data were extrapolated and interpreted after cross-examination of the characteristics of the dimensions of sustainability.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Initially will be presented the results of a systematic literature review in order to obtain an overview of the publications. Following, we will discuss the results of bibliometric analysis and content of the articles, in order to detail the characteristics of this group of publications.

#### 3.1 Systematic Review of Literature

The starting point has been established by the following question: which path characteristics of HEI research on the scientific production in the area of sustainability? To address this issue, a systematic review followed the process illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Implementing process of systematic review of the literature](https://doi.org/10.30564/mmpp.v3i3.3670)
A combination of search terms, "Sustainability" AND "University".

Regarding the time period it was decided to no restriction in order to ensure a greater range of bibliometric analysis. Therefore, the study was conducted between 1975 and 2019.

The initial search in the database resulted in a total of 4,931 publications, geographically distributed as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2 shows that the theme recurs in the international literature, countries like the United States of America (649 items) and the UK (388 articles) are the highest number of publications, followed by Australia (257 articles) and Spain (148 articles). Shortly thereafter are Canada (126 products), Germany (114 products), Malaysia (100 products), Brazil (98 items), and China (90 items). Following is South Africa (81 items), the Netherlands (78), Portugal (77 articles), Sweden (71 articles), Italy (65 articles) and Mexico (40 articles). The sum of items of other countries represent 18.08% of the total.

In a temporal analysis of the articles could be identified that 4,931 articles identified in the initial portfolio represents 28 years of research with an emphasis on sustainability in the IES on the basis WoS, and have average annual publication of 63 articles.

Figure 3 illustrates the distribution of publications (column) and citations (stacked area) each year. Observed the growing number of publications and citations received. Please note that the number of citations corresponds to the indications received under the Main WoS collection.

![Figure 3. Evolution of publications and citations wos between 1990 and 2019 (blunt criterion)](https://doi.org/10.30564/mmpp.v3i3.3670)

In terms of publications and citations were identified statically three stages of study: from 1990 to 1999 as the first, from 2000 to 2009 as the second time, and from 2010 to 2019 as the third time (Table 1).

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics: percentiles**

| Percentiles | Year | Publications | Citations |
|-------------|------|--------------|-----------|
| 33.33333333 | 1999 | 16           | 27        |
| 66.66666667 | 2009 | 183          | 782       |

In addition, it was detected the first and second times, such as embryonic, as they represent only 16 publications and 27 quotations. The third time was identified as an emerging period, since it represents 183 publications and 782 citations.

The first embryonic marks the beginning of publications on the theme. At first, between 1990 and 1999 were recorded articles 62 and 75 quotes, which together represent 1.26% and 0.20% of publications and citations,
respectively. The dissemination of publications, the ratio between the average citations and the average is 1.21 publications, as can be seen in the early years of the series. In the second phase it is observed a small increase in the number of articles and quotations, especially from the 2000s, which concentrates 12.31% of all published articles. The number of citations is significant representing 6.22% of the total received mentions. The increase in the number of citations is observed from the increase of the ratio between the average and the average of citations to publications reaches 3.81, which represents a 3.15 fold increase in the order from the previous decade. The third time is considered an emerging period due to the growth rate of publications: 87.69% and the quote: 93.78% from 2010. In this respect, the period 2010 to 2019 concentrates 86.43% of the articles published and 93.58% of incoming quotes. It is observed that, during this period, the ratio between quote and publications increased to 8.19, showing an increase of spread within the WoS, publications analyzed and hence the expansion of themes in the area.

From the survey of 4,931 items, which form the initial portfolio, the most cited articles were identified under the WoS. Figure 4 shows the items 1166 received at least seven quotes, representing 23.99% of the total document.

The colors of the circles indicate the year of publication, as the caption displayed in the lower right corner. But the size reflects the number of citations received. The choice of criteria seven underlies It quotes the observation that the 90 shows the annual average of 7 quotes. Thus, it sought to incorporate the figure this period, despite representing only 0.21% of total citations, is relevant because it is the period when the research began.

Among the articles published in 1990, identified by blue color (Figure 4), stands out as the most cited work of Hart [16] entitled Beyond greening: Strategies for the sustainable world. The article published in the Harvard Business Review received 593 citations and has annual average of citations equal to 21.18, while the research Uhl, Kulakowski, Gerwing, Brown and Cochrane [17] received only 8 quotes.

The period between 2000 and 2009, shown in Figure 4 with colors ranging from pale blue to yellow, focuses items with intermediate quotes. The article published by Lozano [18], entitled incorporation and institutionalization of SD into universities: breaking through barriers to change has 264 citations and average annual equal citations 9.43. Further, there is the study published in 2008 by Alshuwaikhat and Abubakar [19] with 247 citations and average annual equal citations 8.82. In sequence is the Daub [20], which has 130 citations and average annual equal citations 4.64.

But the most recent period spanning between 2010 and 2019, shown in Figure 4 with colors ranging from light to red orange, concentrates the most quoted items analyzed together. The article Lozano [21] titled Declarations for sustainability in higher education: Becoming better leaders, through addressing the university system, has 281 citations and average of 10.04 citations, and is the most cited of all the analyzed publications. Soon after, another appears Lozano [22], which has 161 citations and annual average of 5.75 citations.

The analysis revealed that the periodic 4,931 selected articles were published in 1633 in the journal indexed WoS, as shown in Figure 5.

The colors of the circles indicate the average year of publication, as legend displayed in the lower right corner of the figure. But the size reflects the number of published articles.

In the initial period (1990), two journals stand out regarding the number of items, although they have not previously dominated the period studied. The Environmental Education Research presented articles 50 (1.03%) is released in the UK, and is indexed in WoS since 2000. The second most productive journal, in the 1990s, it is the Sustainability Science articles 19 showed that (0, 39%), is
published in Germany, and is indexed in WoS since 2007. In the 2000s, the most prominent journal in terms of number of publications, is the Water Science and Technology with 9 articles (0.19%). The journal, which is published in Germany, and indexed since 2013.

More recently, between 2010 and 2019, include: Journal of Cleaner Production indexed since 1993, has 263 articles (5.41%); Sustainability the Periodic indexed since 2009, with 242 articles (4.98%); and International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, indexed since 2000, and rely on articles 234 (4.81%).

Figure 6 shows the affiliation of the authors institutions, totaling 391 organizations, including universities and research organizations.

It is observed in Figure 6, the predominance with 1,212 citations, publications of authors linked to the Arizona State University (United States). Between 2000 and 2010, the highlights are the authors of the MIT University (United States) with 338 citations and State of Saint Paul (Brazil) with 309 citations. As of 2010, there is the University of Michigan (United States) with 325 citations.

After mapping the original sample (n = 4,931), the items have been grouped into three periods (Table 2), according to three previously identified times: 1990 to 1999, 2000 to 2009 and 2010 to 2019.

Table 2. Total of publications and citations for the period analyzed

| Period      | Total period of publications | Total period of quote (A) | Total citations (N = 20) (B) | Quotes (B / A) | Average annual period quotes | Average annual quotes (N = 20) |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1990-1999   | 62                           | 1410                      | 1322                        | 93.76%        | 1.87                        | 2.82                         |
| 2000-2009   | 607                          | 11,224                    | 3857                        | 34.36%        | 1.78                        | 13.15                        |
| 2010-2019   | 4,262                        | 24,768                    | 3038                        | 12.27%        | 1.17                        | 20.05                        |
| totals      | 60                           | 58                        | 20                          |               |                             |                              |

Articles were excluded if they had not in full text the term “Sustainability” AND “University” OR “Higher Education”, considering the title, abstract or keywords.

Of the 60 articles selected initially, 40 were excluded for not meeting the established criteria. Among the items removed two did not have the full text. Publications were also found that addressed sustainability, but they were not related to the HEI context. As a result, we selected 20 articles for a systematic review of the literature.

3.2 Analysis Bibliometric

In this study, shown in Figure 7, the analysis bibliometric exposes bibliometric indicators of selected articles in a systematic literature review: title, author (s), periodic, year, and average citation reference. Moreover, it presents the results of analysis by the table and figures.

Table 3. Description of the categories adopted for selection of studies

| Period      | Total analyzed publications | Complete text | Sustainability in IES |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| 1990-1999   | 20                          | 18            | 3                     |
| 2000-2009   | 20                          | 20            | 5                     |
| 2010-2019   | 20                          | 20            | 12                    |
| totals      | 60                          | 58            | 20                    |
Table 4. Selected Items for bibliometric analysis

| ID   | Title                                                                 | Author(s)                           | Filiation | Journal                                      | Year | Citation | Average |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|------|----------|---------|
|      | **1st Period (1990-1999)**                                          |                                     |           |                                              |      |          |         |
| 11   | Beyond greening: Strategies for the sustainable world                | Hart, SL                            | U.S       | Harvard Business Review                      | 1997 | 601      | 26.13   |
| 17   | Sustainable development and technology assessment                    | Jischa, MF                          | Germany   | Chemical Engineering & Technology            | 1998 | 10       | 0.45    |
| 18   | Issues of sustainability and pollution prevention in environmental engineering education | Gutierrez-Martin, F; Dahab MF | Spain     | Water Science and Technology                 | 1998 | 8        | 0.36    |
|      | **2nd Period (2000-2009)**                                          |                                     |           |                                              |      |          |         |
| 28   | Incorporation and institutionalization of SD into universities: breaking through barriers to change | Lozano, R                          | UK        | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2006 | 267      | 19.07   |
| 30   | Sustainable university: what can be the matter?                      | Velazquez, L; Munguia, N; Platt, A; Taddei, J | Mexico   | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2006 | 212      | 15.14   |
| 31   | Higher education for sustainability by means of transdisciplinary case studies: an innovative approach for solving complex, real-world problems | Steiner L; Posch, A                | Austria   | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2006 | 137      | 9.79    |
| 34   | The systemic approach to incorporate sustainability into university courses and curricula | Lidgren, A; Rodhe H; Huisingh, D | Sweden    | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2006 | 102      | 7.29    |
| 40   | An integrated approach to sustainability Achieving campus: assessment of the current campus environmental management practices | Alshuwaikhat HM.; Abubakar I       | Saudi Arabia | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2008 | 262      | 21.83   |
|      | **3rd Period (2010-2019)**                                          |                                     |           |                                              |      |          |         |
| 41   | Real-world learning opportunities in sustainability: from classroom into the real world | Brundiers, K; Wiek, A; Redman, CL  | U.S       | International Journal of Higher Education in Sustainability | 2010 | 167      | 16.7    |
| 42   | Diffusion of sustainable development in universities' curricula: an empirical example from Cardiff University | Lozano, R                          | UK        | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2010 | 161      | 16.1    |
| 47   | The state of sustainability reporting in universities                | Lozano, R                          | UK        | International Journal of Higher Education in Sustainability | 2011 | 118      | 13.11   |
| 48   | Future-oriented higher education: Which key competencies shouldn't be fostered through university teaching and learning? | Rieckmann, M                        | Germany   | Futures                                      | 2012 | 185      | 23.13   |
| 49   | Academic staff development as a catalyst for curriculum change towards education for sustainable development: an output perspective | Barth, F; Rieckmann, M             | Australia | Journal of Cleaner Production                | 2012 | 116      | 14.5    |
Figure 7. Implementing process of bibliometric analysis

The 20 items selected as a sample for analysis bibliometric are shown in Table 4.

When we analyzed the authors of the publications, one realizes that only Lozano R. published articles 6 of 20 of the sample. Thus, it is evident an open field for other research and researchers the sustainability issue in HEI.

The geographical origin of affiliation of the authors who have published articles, as Figure 8 shows a distribution around the world, however, with the largest representation in Europe (15): Austria (1), Germany (2), Greece (1), Hungary (1), Netherlands (2), Portugal (1), Spain (1), Slovenia (1), United Kingdom (3); followed by North America (3): United States (2), Mexico (1); Asia (1): Saudi Arabia (1) and Oceania (1): Australia (1). Note that no work originated in Latin America and Africa.

As shown in Figure 9, the sample articles come from a variety of different periodic 6, with the greatest presence of the Journal of Cleaner Production 70% of posts (14, 20). Something interesting is that this journal focuses on transdisciplinary research involving Cleaner Production, Environmental and Sustainability.
The temporal distribution of items (Figure 10) indicates recent occurring theme in the literature, since only three articles published received higher quotes by 2005. In 2006, there was a first peak of publications, signaling the emergence of developing studies on the subject and, the year 2013 stands out with 25% of articles published in more citations. Worth noting also the fact that since 2015 was the last year with articles that received the highest quotes.

Figure 10. Monthly distribution of publications

Figure 11 illustrates the distribution of quotes (column) and average quotes (line) of each item classified by the ID number. It is observed that the article identified by the ID 11 alone received the highest number of citations. This indicates that such an article has been considered as a reference of the studied subject.

3.3 Content Analysis

The content analysis was performed in three stages: pre-analysis, exploration of material and processing results (Figure 12).

After completion of reading, the selected studies were classified according to five characteristics: type (qualitative, quantitative and qualitative / quantitative) methodology (case study, theoretical / conceptual and modeling), scale (local and global), dimension (environmental / economic / social, environmental / economic, environmental / social and environmental) and approach (critical theory and problem solving).
In order to facilitate analysis, data were synthesized according to the analyzed frequency characteristics (Figure 13).

It can be seen that most of the studies are of qualitative (75%), use is made of theoretical / conceptual methodology (50%), include global (70%) have environmental dimension / economic / social (60%) and address critical theory (70%). After this operation, the data were processed and the inferred results and interpreted in relation to the three dimensions of sustainability: environmental, economic and social.

Making a cross-analysis of the dimensions of sustainability versus types of research used in the sample publications it has been, that the 15 qualitative studies presented 53.3% have an environmental connotation / economic / social (Table 5).

Another analysis of the dimensions of sustainability versus methodologies used in sample surveys has been that of the 10 studies theoretical / conceptual presented 80% have an environmental connotation / economic / social (Table 6).

Already analyzing the dimensions of sustainability versus breadth of scale has been that of 14 studies on a global scale presented 64.3% have an environmental connotation / economic / social (Table 7).

When evaluating the dimensions of sustainability versus approach has been that of the 14 studies in critical theory approach presented 57.1% have an environmental connotation / economic / social (Table 8).

Performing an analysis of the periodic publications of the sample draws attention the Journal of Cleaner Production, which in addition to having most of the articles shows that 64.3% of them have a connotation / environmental / economic / social (Table 9).

Finally, cross-temporal analysis of the sample (Table 10) was performed.

This analysis identified the year 2013 as having 5 of the 20 articles of the sample. In addition, 100% of them have an environmental connotation / economic / social.

4. Conclusions

The literature of systematic review and bibliometric and content analysis showed that the topic of sustainability in HEI is an emerging period since 2010.

It can be concluded that most research initiatives are being made by means of theoretical methods / conceptual, analysing the environment tripod, economy and society, but mostly qualitative way, leaving to explore problem solving in order to increase its generalization. It is evident, too, the need for studies on the integration of two themes:

| Table 5. Types of research versus dimensions of sustainability |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **TYPE** | environmental/ economic/social | environmental/ economic | environmental/social | environmental | total |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| qualitative | 8 | 53.3% | 1 | 6.7% | 4 | 26.7% | 2 | 13.3% | 15 | 100.0% |
| quantitative | 3 | 100.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 3 | 100.0% |
| qualitative/quantitative | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 50.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 100.0% |
Table 6. Methodologies used versus dimensions of sustainability

| METHODOLOGY          | DIMENSION |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental | total    |          |
|                      | economic/ | economic  | social    |           |          |          |
|                      | social    |           |           |           |          |          |
| n                    | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         |
| case study           | 2         | 28.6%     | 1         | 14.3%     | 3         | 42.9%     | 1         | 14.3%     | 7         | 100.0%   |
| theoretical / conceptual | 8       | 80.0%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 10.0%     | 1         | 10.0%     | 10        | 100.0%   |
| modeling             | 2         | 66.7%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 33.3%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 3         | 100.0%   |

Table 7. Scales covered versus dimensions of sustainability

| SCALE                | DIMENSION |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental | total    |          |
|                      | economic/ | economic  | social    |           |          |          |
|                      | social    |           |           |           |          |          |
| n                    | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         |
| local                | 3         | 50.0%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 2         | 33.3%     | 1         | 16.7%     | 6         | 100.0%   |
| global               | 9         | 64.3%     | 1         | 7.1%      | 3         | 21.4%     | 1         | 7.1%      | 14        | 100.0%   |

Table 8. Approach versus dimensions of sustainability

| APPROACH             | DIMENSION |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental | total    |          |
|                      | economic/ | economic  | social    |           |          |          |
|                      | social    |           |           |           |          |          |
| n                    | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         |
| critical theory      | 8         | 57.1%     | 1         | 7.1%      | 3         | 21.4%     | 2         | 14.3%     | 14        | 100.0%   |
| resolution problems  | 4         | 66.7%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 2         | 33.3%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 6         | 100.0%   |

Table 9. Journal versus dimensions of sustainability

| PERIODIC             | DIMENSION |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental/ | environmental | total    |          |
|                      | economic/ | economic  | social    |           |          |          |
|                      | social    |           |           |           |          |          |
| n                    | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         | n         | %         |
| Harvard Business Review | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 100.0%   | 0         | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 100.0%   |
| Journal of Cleaner Production | 9       | 64.3%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 4         | 28.6%     | 1         | 7.1%      | 14        | 100.0%   |
| Chemical Engineering & Tecnology | 1       | 100.0%    | 0         | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 100.0%   |
| Water Science and Technology | 0       | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 100.0%    | 1         | 100.0%   |
| Futures              | 1         | 100.0%    | 0         | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 100.0%   |
| International Journal of Higher Education in Sustainability | 1       | 50.0%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 1         | 50.0%     | 0         | 0.0%      | 2         | 100.0%   |
sustainability and HEI, given the fact that research in this area is a global trend at present, due to the absence of reference articles in recent years. Still, this research presents a broad reading of the literature and finds trends consistently reported by others.

It recognizes as a limitation. This study has only exploratory and involves subjectivity in the interpretation of articles of the selected sample. Thus, new future research on the subject of this research should be conducted in order to clarify and closer and closer to the themes explored.

Despite efforts to systematically collect a solid set of studies, there are limitations to this research. First, the study was limited to journal articles found by the selected database. Second, the content analysis is based on the results reported by other authors, and there is little room to control the quality and integrity of the results of others as well as the selective biases of the authors.

However, the number of confirmed discussions to consider the dimensions of sustainability fully and effectively in the HEI, with models and methods applicable in different natures, is a major legal challenge to advance the frontiers of knowledge, may be a relevant topic for future research.
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