Synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from CO$_2$ and methanol over a hydrophobic Ce/SBA-15 catalyst†
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A series of Ce/SBA-15 catalysts with different degrees of hydrophobicities were prepared via a post-grafting method and used for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate (DMC) from CO$_2$ and methanol. The Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst exhibited the highest DMC yield of 0.2%, which was close to the equilibrium value under the reaction conditions of 130 °C, 12 h and 12 MPa. The catalysts were characterized via XRD, BET, FT-IR, solid-state $^{29}$Si MAS NMR, CA, TEM, XPS and NH$_3$/CO$_2$-TPD; the results indicated that the hydrophobicity of the catalysts facilitated the creation of oxygen vacancies, which could act as Lewis acids to activate methanol. Higher amounts of moderate acid sites led to higher yields of DMC. In addition, the hydrophobicity of the catalysts could also reduce the adsorbed water on their surface and increase the DMC yield while shortening the reaction time.

1 Introduction

As an environmentally friendly and biodegradable chemical feedstock, dimethyl carbonate (DMC) has been widely used as a safer alternative to poisonous dimethyl sulphate and phosgene and as a precursor for the production of polycarbonates. It can also be used as an oxygenate additive to gasoline for reducing exhaust emission due to its high oxygen content (53%). Compared with other synthetic routes, the direct synthesis of DMC from carbon dioxide and methanol is an economical and environmentally friendly route, which not only avoids the use of poisonous reagents such as phosgene and carbon monoxide, but also solves the problem of CO$_2$ mitigation and excess methanol capacity. However, due to the thermodynamic limitations of this process, methyl conversion is very low (around 1%), and the DMC yield is far from satisfactory. Therefore, the development of high-activity and high-selectivity catalysts for the direct synthesis of DMC from methanol and carbon dioxide is necessary.

It has been reported that metal tetra-alkoxides, metal oxides, metal acetates, alkali compounds, etc. show considerable catalytic performances for the direct synthesis of DMC from methanol and carbon dioxide. However, these homogeneous catalysts are difficult to separate from the products, and they are easily deactivated due to their decomposition in the presence of H$_2$O. To overcome the above-mentioned problems, supported metal catalysts such as Cu–Ni, Cu–Fe, and CeO$_2$ have been prepared, and they show better catalytic performances in a fixed bed reactor. In addition, metal oxides, especially zirconia-based, cerium-based, and vanadium-based catalysts, also show good catalytic activities due to both acidic and basic sites on the catalyst surface. Especially, CeO$_2$ is widely used as a catalyst along with a dehydrating agent and exhibits good catalytic performances. Furthermore, CeO$_2$ can also be considered as an excellent catalyst for the activation of CO$_2$ in the preparation of several complexes such as the complex obtained from copolymerization of CO$_2$ and diols, dialkyureas from CO$_2$ and amines, cyclic carbonates from CO$_2$ and diols, cyclic ureas from CO$_2$ and diamines, cyclic carbamates from CO$_2$ and amino alcohols, and propylene carbonate from propylene glycol and CO$_2$. Although CeO$_2$ is used in the direct synthesis of DMC from CO$_2$ and methanol and in other reactions, its acidity is weak. Meanwhile, it is easily deactivated due to the occupation of its active sites by adsorbed byproducts such as water.

The hydrophobic modification of a catalyst can effectively prevent the competitive adsorption of water molecules on its surface, which is beneficial for the activity and stability of the catalyst. Recently, Zhang et al. prepared hydrophobic organic–inorganic hybrid materials and tested them for the synthesis of diethyl carbonate (DEC) via oxidative carbonylation of ethanol in a gas-phase reaction. The results showed that hydrophobicity favored the removal of water, which reduced the adsorbed water on the active sites and inhibited the hydrolysis of DEC, thus improving the catalytic activity and stability. Besides, the enhanced hydrophobicity of the catalyst can facilitate the formation of more oxygen vacancies on CeO$_2$, which could act as Lewis acid sites to activate CH$_3$OH and improve the catalytic activity.

Herein, to enhance the surface acidity of CeO$_2$ and reduce the adsorbed water on the active sites, a cerium-supported SBA-
15 (Ce/SBA-15) catalyst was prepared and then silylated via post-
grafting using hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) as a hydrophobic
solvent. This hydrophobic catalyst was first used in the direct
synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from methanol and CO2. The
hydrophobic functional groups effectively prevented the
adsorption of water on its surface. Moreover, the surface acidity
of the catalyst could be adjusted by changing the content of
oxygen vacancies on CeO2. In addition, the effects of the
strength and the amount of acidic sites on the catalytic activity
were explored. This study is a continuation of the research on
the development of efficient catalysts to synthesize DMC
without a dehydrating agent, and the results will attract the
attention of researchers in the field of catalyst design for CO2
conversion.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Catalyst preparation

2.1.1 Synthesis of SBA-15. SBA-15 was synthesized as re-
ported in the literature. Typically, 24 g of Pluronic P123
copolymer as a structure-directing agent was dissolved in a
solution containing 120 mL of 12.1 M HCl and 636 mL of
distilled water. Once the polymer was dissolved, 54.2 mL of
tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was added, and the resulting
mixture was vigorously stirred at 40 °C for 20 h. Then, the
mixture was heated to 100 °C for 24 h without stirring. The
reaction was quenched with 400 mL of distilled water and then,
the resulting solution was immediately filtered and washed with
distilled water several times. The white precipitate was dried
overnight at 75 °C and then calcined in air according to the
following procedure: (i) the temperature was increased to 200 °C
at the rate of 1.2 °C min−1 and maintained at 200 °C for 1 h; (ii)
next, it was ramped to 550 °C at 1.2 °C min−1 and maintained at
550 °C for 12 h and then, the precipitate was cooled to room
temperature.

2.1.2 Synthesis of Ce/SBA-15. The Ce/SBA-15-supported
catalyst with a metal loading of 10 wt% was prepared via the
slurry impregnation method. Ce(NO3)3·6H2O (1.37 g) was dis-
solved in deionized water (20 mL) and then added dropwise to
SBA-15 (4.0 g) under stirring. Then, the mixture was heated for
6 h at 70 °C and subsequently dried in an oven at 100 °C for 12 h;
next, it was calcined at 550 °C for 6 h. The calcined sample was
designated as Ce/SBA-15 catalyst.

2.1.3 Preparation of trimethylsilylated Ce/SBA-15. The preparation of trimethylsilylated Ce/SBA-15 was adapted from the
literature. Briefly, the calcined Ce/SBA-15 solid (2.0 g) was
heated at 150 °C under primary vacuum for 4 h and then slur-
ried in anhydrous toluene (200 mL) for 1 h. Next, a certain
amount of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) in anhydrous toluene
(100 mL) was added, and stirring was continued (at room
temperature) for 24 h. The materials were recovered by filtration
followed by washing in dry toluene (100 mL), acetone (100 mL),
ethanol (100 mL), ethanol/water (50:50, v:v; 100 mL), water
(100 mL), ethanol (100 mL) and acetone (100 mL). The powder
was then dried in an oven at 150 °C for 24 h and used as the
catalyst. The obtained silane-modified Ce/SBA-15 catalysts were
named according to the volume of HMDS used: Ce/SBA-15-3
indicates that 3 mL HMDS was used as the silylation reagent
to modify the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst, whereas Ce/SBA-15-6 and Ce/
SBA-15-9 indicate the use of 6 mL and 9 mL HMDS, respec-
tively. For comparison, an SBA-15-6 support was prepared using
6 mL HMDS to modify the SBA-15 support under the same
conditions described above. The CeO2 catalyst was prepared by
calcining Ce(NO3)3·6H2O at 550 °C for 6 h directly. Finally, the
Ce-SBA-15-6 catalyst was prepared by mechanically mixing pure
CeO2 and SBA-15-6 (0.05 g CeO2 + 0.45 g SBA-15-6).

2.2 Physical characterization

Powder small-angle X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of all the
samples were collected using a Bruker D8 Advance (Germany)
diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) in the 2θ
range of 1–10° and a step size of 0.04°. The wide-angle X-ray
diffraction (XRD) patterns of the catalysts were measured on a
Bruker D8 Advance (Germany) diffractometer equipped with a
Cu Kα radiation source. The scattering intensities were
measured over an angular range of 10–80° at a scanning speed of
5° min−1.

The specific surface areas and pore volumes of the catalysts
were measured via N2 adsorption–desorption at the liquid
nitrogen temperature of 77 K using a Micromeritics Tristar II
(3020) apparatus. The BET surface area was calculated from the
adsorption branches in the relative pressure range of 0.05–0.25,
and the total pore volume was evaluated at a relative pressure of
about 0.99. The pore diameter and the pore size distribution
were calculated from the desorption branch of the isotherm
using the Barrett, Joyner and Halenda (BJH) equation.

Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR) of the catalysts
were recorded on a Nicolet Nexus 470 spectrophotometer using
the KBr self-supported pellet technique over the wavelength
range of 4000–400 cm−1 with 64 scans and a resolution of
2 cm−1.

Solid-state 29Si MAS NMR experiments were performed on a
Bruker Avance III spectrometer operating at a Larmor
frequency of 119.2 MHz with a pulse duration of 2 μs corre-
sponding to a flip angle of π/6, recycle delay of 80 s, and spinning
frequency of 9 kHz.

The hydrophobic property was investigated on Krüss GmbH,
DSA-25, where the error of the measured apparent CA was found
to be within ±2°.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a
JEOL JEM-2100F electron microscope operated at an accelerat-
on voltage of 200 kV.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were ob-
tained using a Thermo ESCALAB 250 spectrometer equipped
with an Al Kα radiation source (hv = 1486.6 eV) under ultrahigh
vacuum. The samples were dried at 150 °C in air for 24 h and
then ground into a powder with a size of <0.15 mm; then, they
were used for the XPS test directly. All binding energies were
referenced to that of the contaminant carbon (C 1s = 284.8 eV).

Temperature-programmed desorptions of NH3 (NH3/TPD)
and CO2 (CO2/TPD) were carried out on a GAM 200 mass spec-
trometer for the measurement of the acidity and basicity of the
catalysts. Each sample (50 mg) was placed in a quartz reactor.
and pretreated under an Ar flow (40 mL min⁻¹) at 500 °C for 1 h. The adsorption of NH₃/CO₂ was performed at 50 °C with pure NH₃/CO₂ (40 mL min⁻¹) for 30 min, followed by an Ar purge for 1 h to remove physisorbed NH₃/CO₂. The desorption process was performed at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ from 50 °C to 500 °C; the evolved NH₃/CO₂ was monitored with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and quantitatively analyzed using the external standard method.

2.3 DMC synthesis from methanol and CO₂

The direct synthesis of DMC from methanol and carbon dioxide was carried out in a 50 mL stainless-steel autoclave equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The standard procedure is as follows: 0.5 g of catalyst (particle size <0.15 mm) was put into an autoclave and then, CO₂ (99.99%; 100 mmol) was introduced into the reactor with an initial pressure of 5.0 MPa at room temperature (by adding CO₂ into the reactor first, the amount of CO₂ can be calculated accurately according to the ideal gas equation). Subsequently, 6.4 g of anhydrous methanol (200 mmol) was charged into the autoclave using a high-pressure liquid pump. The reactor was heated to 130 °C and simultaneously, the timer was started; the reaction pressure was maintained at 10 MPa for all experiments. The mixture was stirred for a certain period of time and then, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction products were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (FID-GC920) equipped with a capillary column (DB-210, 25 m 0.22 mm), and benzene was added as an internal standard.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Textural and structural properties

The low-angle XRD patterns of SBA-15, Ce/SBA-15 and silylated Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts are shown in Fig. 1a. The pattern of pure SBA-15 exhibited an intense diffraction peak at 2θ of about 0.85°, which corresponded to the (1 0 0) plane reflection of the mesostructure. The two additional weak peaks observed could be indexed to the (1 1 0) and (2 0 0) plane reflections of the hexagonal P6₃mm symmetry. These results indicated the highly ordered mesoporous structure of the samples. The intensities of the three well-resolved diffraction peaks decreased after loading with Ce, which can be due to the reduction in the extent of periodicity of SBA-15. Moreover, the (1 0 0) peak shifted slightly towards a lower angle; this suggested that the cerium species had been incorporated into the mesoporous framework of SBA-15 since the Ce–O bond length was longer than the Si–O bond length (radius of Ce⁴⁺ = 1.06 Å and Si⁴⁺ = 0.39 Å). Furthermore, the appearance of the same peaks for the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) samples revealed that the periodic ordered structure of SBA-15 was maintained after modification. The (1 0 0) peak of the silylated Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) samples shifted slightly towards a higher angle compared to that for SBA-15, indicating reduction in pore size due to the deposition of organosilane (Table 1).

Fig. 1b shows the high-angle XRD patterns of the corresponding samples. The pattern of pure SBA-15 showed a broad diffraction peak at 2θ = 23°, which could be due to amorphous silica. Moreover, four cubic phases of CeO₂ diffraction peaks were observed at 2θ = 28.5°, 33.1°, 47.5° and 56.3° for the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst, which could be due to the CeO₂ (1 1 1), CeO₂ (2 0 0), Ce₂O₃ (2 2 0) and Ce₂O₃ (3 1 1) planes, respectively (JCPDS 43-1002). Meanwhile, the weak diffraction peaks at 2θ = 59.0°, 69.4°, 76.6° and 79.0° could be assigned to the Ce₂O₃ (2 2 2), Ce₂O₃ (4 0 0), Ce₂O₃ (3 3 1), and Ce₂O₃ (4 2 0) planes, respectively (JCPDS 78-0484). After grafting with –Si(CH₃)₃ groups, no clear change in the above eight diffraction peaks was observed. Furthermore, no extra peaks related to Ce₂O₃ could be detected for the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts.

Table 1 Physical properties of SBA-15, Ce/SBA-15 and Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts

| Sample   | Vₚ (cm³ g⁻¹) | S_BET (m² g⁻¹) | D₀ (nm) | a₀ (nm) |
|----------|--------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| SBA-15   | 1.32         | 858            | 6.8     | 10.7    |
| Ce/SBA-15| 1.24         | 844            | 6.7     | 10.7    |
| Ce/SBA-15-3 | 1.03       | 797            | 6.5     | 10.7    |
| Ce/SBA-15-6 | 0.98       | 794            | 6.4     | 10.8    |
| Ce/SBA-15-9 | 0.96       | 792            | 6.4     | 10.8    |

a Total pore volumes were obtained at P/P₀ = 0.99. b BET specific areas. c Average pore diameter calculated using the BJH method. d XRD unit-cell parameter calculated as a₀ = 2 × d_{100}/√3.
detected, illustrating that the hydrophobic modification did not affect the structure of CeO₂/Ce₂O₃ mixed oxide on the catalyst surface.

The N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms and pore-size distributions of the obtained mesoporous materials are shown in Fig. 2. The type IV isotherm curves with H1 hysteresis loops in Fig. 2a clearly indicate a mesoporous structure for all samples. The narrow and sharp pore size distribution curves (Fig. 2b) reveal the highly uniform pore size of the as-obtained mesoporous materials. The textural parameters of the mesoporous catalysts are summarized in Table 1. Compared with the results for pure SBA-15, the specific surface area (S BET), pore size (D p) and pore volume (V p) of the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst decreased slightly, whereas the unit-cell parameter (a o) increased; this may result from the incorporation of Ce into the SBA-15 framework due to the longer bond length of Ce–O than that of Si–O. Finally, no clear pore blockage is observed.

Table 1 also shows that the amount of nitrogen adsorption decreases as the number of organic groups increases. Interestingly, the specific surface area and pore volume of the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) samples decrease in the following order: Ce/SBA-15 > Ce/SBA-15-3 > Ce/SBA-15-6 > Ce/SBA-15-9; the inverse order is observed in terms of a o, which confirms the presence of attached organic groups inside the pores. These results are also consistent with the results obtained from low-angle XRD.

The FT-IR spectra of the samples are presented in Fig. 3. The bands at 1083, 804 and 465 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the asymmetric stretching, symmetric stretching and deformation vibrations of the Si–O–Si framework, respectively. Also, the band at 960 cm⁻¹ could be ascribed to the Si–O stretching vibrations of the Si–OH group present in the SBA-15 framework.

Upon loading Ce, the absorbance intensity of the peak at 960 cm⁻¹ decreased, implying that the Si–OH groups had changed or transformed to Si–O–Ce. After grafting the hydrophobic –Si(CH₃)₃ groups, the absorbance intensity of the peak at 960 cm⁻¹ further decreased as a result of the transformation of the Si–OH groups into Si–O–Si(CH₃)₃. The band at 3470 cm⁻¹ was ascribed to the free Si–OH groups, whereas the other very sharp peak at around 1640 cm⁻¹ could be assigned to the physically adsorbed water. Furthermore, new peaks appeared at 2963, 848 and 757 cm⁻¹, which could be ascribed to the C–H stretching and Si–C stretching vibrations and CH₃ rocking, respectively. These results suggested that the hydrophobic –Si(CH₃)₃ groups were successfully grafted on the silica framework of SBA-15.

To quantify the degree of grafting on the SBA-15 framework, the catalysts were characterized via 29Si MAS NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 4). The peaks at –109.5, –102, and –91.3 ppm related to the Q4 [Si(OSi)₄], Q3 [Si(OSi)₃(OH)], and Q2 [Si(OSi)₂(OH)₂] units, respectively, were detected for SBA-15. After Ce loading, there was a small reduction in the intensities of the Q4, Q3 and Q2 species due to the fact that the Ce⁴⁺ species was strongly bonded to the mesoporous silica walls (Fig. 4a).

After grafting with –Si(CH₃)₃ groups, new monofunctional M¹ species (Fig. 4b) were detected at δ = 13 ppm for Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts. Furthermore, with an increase in the amount of HMDS, the intensity of M¹ bands (with respect to that of Q4 bands) gradually increased, and the resonance peaks for Q3 and Q2 units disappeared, which suggested that both types of surface silanol groups (Q3 and Q2) were consumed and
converted to Q^4 bands. These results also illustrated the successful condensation and grafting of the –Si(CH$_3$)$_3$ species onto the mesoporous SBA-15 walls. The amount of –Si(CH$_3$)$_3$ groups in the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts decreased in the order of Ce/SBA-15-6 > Ce/SBA-15-9 > Ce/SBA-15-3. It is worth noting that the Ce/SBA-15-9 catalyst involving the maximum addition of HMDS did not show the highest amount of hydrophobic functional –Si(CH$_3$)$_3$ groups. This may be because excess HMDS intensified the agglomeration of the SBA-15 powder and thus, it could not efficiently react with HMDS, which reduced the amount of grafted –Si(CH$_3$)$_3$ groups.

To further understand the effect of the amount of –Si(CH$_3$)$_3$ groups on hydrophobicity, detailed CA measurements were carried out, and the results are shown in Fig. 5. As shown, both the SBA-15 and the Ce/SBA-15 catalysts exhibited a small contact angle (below 90°), indicating the hydrophilic nature of the samples. Upon grafting of –Si(CH$_3$)$_3$ groups, the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst showed the largest contact angle of ~132.5°, followed by Ce/SBA-15-9 (120.5°) and Ce/SBA-15-3 (109°). Combined with the $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra, it was inferred that the highest hydrophobicity could only be achieved through an optimum HMDS usage.

The shape and surface morphology of the samples were investigated via TEM, and the results are depicted in Fig. 6. It can be seen that the SBA-15 (Fig. 6a) catalyst has a regular morphological and ordered structure; the hexagonal mesostructure was retained after loading with CeO$_2$ (Fig. 6b) and further hydrophobic modification (Fig. 6c and d), which further confirmed the results of low-angle XRD and N$_2$ adsorption-desorption. In addition, the average particle size of CeO$_2$ was about 10.92 nm for the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst. After surface grafting, the average particle sizes were about 11.12 nm and 11.33 nm for Ce/SBA-15-6 (Fig. 6c) and Ce/SBA-15-9 (Fig. 6d) catalysts, respectively. This result suggested that the hydrophobic modification did not change the particle size of the catalyst. Meanwhile, the particle size of CeO$_2$ obtained from TEM was clearly larger than the pore size of SBA-15 (Table 1), suggesting that CeO$_2$ molecules were mainly distributed on the surface of SBA-15. According to the TEM results, we inferred that the particle size of CeO$_2$ was slightly larger than that obtained from XRD (Table 1), which can be due to the aggregation of polycrystalline CeO$_2$. Moreover, the HR-TEM images of Ce/SBA-15, Ce/SBA-15-6 and Ce/SBA-15-9 catalysts (Fig. S1†) revealed that all particles exhibited crystalline structures with d-spacings of 0.30 nm and 0.32 nm, corresponding to the (3 1 1) plane spacing of CeO$_2$ and (2 2 2) plane spacing of Ce$_2$O$_3$. The presence of two species (CeO$_2$ and Ce$_2$O$_3$) of Ce oxide further confirmed the results of high-angle XRD (Fig. 1b).

XPS spectra were obtained to determine cerium species and their relative amounts in Ce/SBA-15 and Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts. Deconvolution of the Ce 3d profile was performed to discriminate the species (Fig. S2†) and determine the detailed content (Table 2). The structures labeled as $\nu$ (882.2 ± 0.2 eV), $\nu''$ (887.5 ± 0.3 eV), $\nu''''$ (898.5 ± 0.4 eV), $\pi$ (900.4 ± 0.4 eV), $\pi''$ (905.7 ± 0.5 eV), and $\pi''''$ (917.1 ± 0.3 eV) are characteristics of CeO$_2$ (3d$^{10}$4f$^0$ state of Ce$^{4+}$), whereas $\nu'$ (885.5 ± 0.3 eV) and $\pi'$ (903.4 ± 0.4 eV) are associated with Ce$_2$O$_3$ (3d$^{10}$4f$^1$ state of Ce$^{3+}$). It was found that the Ce$^{3+}$ content increased with an increase in HMDS, indicating that a valence transition from Ce$^{4+}$ to Ce$^{3+}$ might occur at higher hydrophobicity.

Meanwhile, to achieve charge balance, oxygen vacancies were introduced into the lattice during the process of valence transition from Ce$^{4+}$ to Ce$^{3+}$. As shown in Table 2, the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst exhibited the highest Ce$^{3+}$/Ce$^{4+}$ molar ratio of 0.69. However, with the addition of more HDMS, the molar ratio of Ce$^{3+}$/Ce$^{4+}$ decreased to 0.62 for Ce/SBA-15-9. Combined with the results of $^{29}$Si MAS NMR (Fig. 4) and contact angle (Fig. 5), it was easy to conclude that the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst has the highest concentration of oxygen vacancies due to its highest hydrophobicity. This result was consistent with the observations of Souza et al.; they concluded that the oxygen vacancy content in CeO$_2$ electrodeposits determines the hydrophobicity, and the oxygen vacancy content in CeO$_2$ can be effectively tuned by adjusting the strength of hydrophobicity.

This result was also supported by the O 1s spectra (Fig. S3†); the peak at 532.7 eV was assigned to the surface hydroxyl groups (Si–OH) in SBA-15, whereas the peak at ~532 eV was assigned to the oxygen vacancies or defects on the surface of CeO$_2$. The other peak at a binding energy of 533.5 eV was due to adsorbed
It was clearly observed that the main peak position gradually shifted from 532.7 eV for SBA-15 to a lower binding energy (~532 eV) with the addition of an increasing amount of HMDS, illustrating the transition of oxygen species from surface hydroxyl to oxygen vacancies. This result also indicated that the concentration of oxygen vacancies increased with the increase in hydrophobicity.

NH$_3$-TPD experiments were performed to characterize the acidities of different samples, and the results are shown in Fig. 7. Only one large desorption peak of NH$_3$ near 93 °C emerged for SBA-15, which could be ascribed to the weak acidic sites. There was also one NH$_3$ desorption peak at around 88 °C for the CeO$_2$ catalyst, which was similar to the results obtained by Keiichi Tomishige. After loading cerium, the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst showed two small peaks at approximately 80 °C and 250 °C, which could be ascribed to the weak (50–200 °C) and moderate (200–400 °C) acidic sites, respectively. However, for the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts, the two peaks...
corresponding to weak and moderate acidic sites moved to higher temperatures, which might be due to the fact that hydrophobic groups increase the diffusion resistance of NH3.

The total amounts of NH3 desorbed from the catalysts were measured via volumetric methods, and the results are presented in Fig. 7. The Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst exhibited the highest amount of desorbed NH3 (0.049 mmol gcat⁻¹), which indicated the largest amount of moderate Lewis acidic sites resulting from oxygen vacancies on the metal-oxide interface. The changes in the amount of moderate Lewis acidic sites followed the same trend as that of the concentration of oxygen vacancies, i.e., a higher concentration of oxygen vacancies leads to a larger amount of moderate Lewis acidic sites.

CO2-TPD experiments were performed to characterize the basicities of different samples. The result curves and the detailed amount of basic sites are shown in Fig. S4.† No desorption of CO2 was observed over SBA-15, which was in agreement with the fact that SBA-15 does not have basic sites. In addition, only one desorption peak of CO2 at a maximum temperature of ca. 90°C emerged for the CeO2 catalyst. Meanwhile, the amount of desorbed CO2 was 0.027 mmol gcat⁻¹, which was lower than that for the CeO2 catalyst (0.067 mmol gcat⁻¹), as reported by Keichi Tomishige.69 For the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst, three weak peaks corresponding to weak (50–150°C), moderate (150–350°C) and strong (>350°C) basic sites were observed. However, for the silylated Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts, weak basic sites assigned to hydroxyl groups (OH⁻) of Ce–OH disappeared, as they may have been consumed or transformed into Ce–O–Si bonds through the hydrolysis–condensation reaction. Meanwhile, moderate and strong basic sites of the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts derived from Ce–O2⁻ anions were formed, which exhibited similar peak positions and amount of CO2 desorption, indicating that the introduction of HMDS has negligible effect on the basic sites of the as-prepared Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts.

### 3.2 Catalytic behavior

The performance of Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts for the direct synthesis of DMC from CO2 and methanol is shown in Table 3. For all the catalysts, the selectivity to DMC was estimated to be 100% because the amounts of expected byproducts (DME and CO) were below the detection limit (not shown here). The results showed that no DMC formation was observed on the pure SBA-15 support. After Ce loading, the DMC yield increased to 0.075% for the Ce/SBA-15 catalyst. Upon introduction of trimethylsilyl (TMS) groups, the catalytic activity further increased. The DMC yield reached a maximum value of 0.19% (reaction time: 10 h) over Ce/SBA-15-6 and then decreased to 0.17% for Ce/SBA-15-9, suggesting that hydrophobic modification is beneficial for the formation of DMC. In addition, the equilibrium level of DMC formation over

| Samples        | Ce⁴⁺ Area% | u | v | v'' | u'' | v'''' | u'''' | Ce⁵⁺ | Ce⁶⁺ | Ce⁷⁺/Ce⁴⁺ |
|----------------|------------|---|---|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|------|-----------|
| SBA-15         | —          | — | — | —   | —   | —     | —     | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15      | 19.43      | 10.45 | 12.27 | 4.12 | 14.91 | 6.67 | —    | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15-3    | 18.70      | 12.83 | 8.83  | 2.91 | 15.93 | 4.55 | —    | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15-6    | 16.26      | 13.71 | 3.10  | 8.09 | 10.26 | 7.49 | —    | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15-9    | 15.47      | 26.18 | 0.74  | 9.70 | 1.83  | 7.61 | —    | —    | —    | —         |

| Samples        | Ce⁵⁺ Area% | v' | u' | v'' | u'' | v'''' | u'''' | Ce⁶⁺ | Ce⁷⁺ | Ce⁷⁺/Ce⁴⁺ |
|----------------|------------|---|---|-----|-----|-------|-------|------|------|-----------|
| SBA-15         | —          | — | — | —   | —   | —     | —     | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15      | 882.2      | 900.9 | 887.3 | 905.9 | 898.5 | 917.1 | —    | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15-3    | 882.1      | 900.9 | 887.9 | 905.8 | 898.9 | 917.0 | —    | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15-6    | 882.4      | 900.8 | 887.5 | 905.0 | 898.6 | 917.3 | —    | —    | —    | —         |
| Ce/SBA-15-9    | 882.1      | 900.0 | 887.8 | 905.1 | 898.1 | 917.0 | —    | —    | —    | —         |

Table 2 The relative contents and binding energies of cerium species in SBA-15, Ce/SBA-15 and Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts.
In addition, a comparison of previously reported catalysts with other catalysts was also determined, as shown in Fig. S5.† The results showed that both catalysts could achieve the same equilibrium yield of 0.2%, and the more active Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst required shorter reaction time to reach equilibrium. Meanwhile, the amounts of catalysts did not affect the equilibrium yield of DMC (Fig. S6†) under the same reaction conditions. According to the simulation results reported by Kabra et al., the equilibrium yield of DMC was 0.23% under the reaction conditions of 125 °C, 10 MPa and CH₃OH/CO₂ ratio of 2 : 1. In the present study, the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst showed a maximum DMC yield of 0.20% under the reaction conditions of 130 °C, 10 MPa and CH₃OH/CO₂ ratio of 2 : 1. Thus, it was suggested that hydrophobic catalysts have good catalytic activities for the formation of DMC.

To assess the relationship between catalytic activity and dehydration capability, the mechanically mixed Ce-SBA-15-6 catalyst (0.05 g CeO₂ + 0.45 g SBA-15-6) was also tested for the direct synthesis of DMC from CO₂ and methanol (Table 3). The Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst exhibited a DMC yield of 0.035%, which was much lower than that over Ce/SBA-15-6 (0.19%), suggesting the low effect of the hydrophobic groups on the DMC yield by mechanical mixing of CeO₂ and hydrophobic SBA-15-6 support.

In addition, a comparison of previously reported catalysts and our catalysts was carried out, and the results are also shown in Table 3. According to the results reported by Masayoshi Honda, the DMC yield was 0.024% over the CeO₂ catalyst (0.01 g) with a reaction time of 1 h. However, in the present study, the CeO₂ catalyst (0.05 g) had a DMC yield of 0.01% with a reaction time of 2 h. Meanwhile, the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst with the same amount of CeO₂ (0.05 g) showed a DMC yield of 0.075% under the same reaction conditions. These results suggested that the amounts of DMC formed in this study were reasonable and credible under our reaction conditions.

Finally, the catalytic stability of Ce/SBA-15-6 was also examined (Fig. S7†). The catalyst was recovered by washing with methanol several times and drying at 150 °C for 12 h and then, it was reused for another cycle. It was observed that the DMC yield was virtually stable during all recycling experiments, suggesting the high stability of the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst.

### Table 3

| Catalyst       | Reaction conditions | Catalyst weight (g) | DMC yield (%) |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| SBA-15         | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0             |
| CeO₂           | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.05                | 0.035         |
| Ce/SBA-15      | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.075         |
| Ce/SBA-15-3    | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.135         |
| Ce/SBA-15-6    | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.19          |
| Ce/SBA-15-9    | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.17          |
| Ce/SBA-15-6    | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.19          |
| Ce/SBA-15-3    | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.135         |
| Ce/SBA-15-6    | 130 °C, 5 MPa, 1 h  | 0.5                 | 0.19          |
| Ce/SBA-15      | 130 °C, 2 MPa, 2 h  | 0.05                | 0.19          |
| Ce/SBA-15-3    | 130 °C, 2 MPa, 2 h  | 0.05                | 0.19          |
| Ce/SBA-15-6    | 130 °C, 2 MPa, 2 h  | 0.01                | 0.05          |
| Ce/SBA-15-3    | 130 °C, 2 MPa, 2 h  | 0.01                | 0.05          |

### 3.3 The effect of acidity-basicity on the catalytic activity

It is well-known that the acid sites of a catalyst play an important role in the activation of CH₃OH to CH₃⁺, which may be the rate-determining step in the formation of DMC from methanol and carbon dioxide. Among the catalysts tested, SBA-15 with the largest amount of weak acidic sites (0.064 mmol g⁻¹) showed no activity for DMC formation, implying that weak acid sites cannot activate methanol. After Ce loading, moderate acid sites appeared, and the DMC yield increased. Upon grafting of trimethylsilyl (TMS) groups, the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst with the largest amount of moderate acidic sites (0.049 mmol g⁻¹) showed a maximum DMC yield of 0.19%, and the DMC yields over the Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts increased with an increase in the amount of moderate acid sites. These results indicated that the amount of moderate acidic sites is the most important factor while determining the catalytic activity.

The CO₂-TPD results (Fig. S4†) combined with the catalytic performance (Table 3) revealed that despite similar CO₂ desorption peak positions and areas, the hydrophobic Ce/SBA-15-X (X = 3, 6, and 9) catalysts showed different DMC yields, suggesting that the basic sites have a smaller impact than the acidic sites on catalytic activity. These results were consistent with those reported by Jiang et al., where the activation of CH₃OH to CH₃⁺ on acidic sites may be the rate-determining step in the formation of DMC.

### 3.4 The effect of hydrophobicity on catalytic activity

Apart from moderate acid sites, the hydrophobicity of the catalyst also affects its catalytic behavior, i.e., despite similar amounts of moderate acid sites (0.017 mmol g⁻¹ and 0.013 mmol g⁻¹), the hydrophobic Ce/SBA-15-3 catalyst exhibited a higher DMC yield (0.135%) than the hydrophilic Ce/SBA-15 catalyst (0.075%). This means that the catalytic activity is not only related to the amount of moderate acid sites, but also to hydrophobicity. Compared with the mechanically mixed Ce/SBA-15-6, the Ce/SBA-15-6 catalyst showed enhanced catalytic activity, indicating that the combination of active sites and hydrophobic groups also affects catalytic activity; only the...
3.5 A discussion of the reaction mechanism

Based on previous researches and the results in this study, a possible reaction mechanism for the synthesis of DMC from CO₂ with methanol is proposed and depicted in Fig. 8. One molecule of methanol was activated to form methoxy species on the basic sites of CeO₂. Then, carbon dioxide reacted with the methoxy species to form the methoxy carbonate anion as an intermediate. Finally, the intermediate further reacted with the methyl species generated on the acid sites to produce DMC. For this reaction, the oxygen vacancies either acted as Lewis acid sites or enhanced the strength of Lewis acid sites on the CeO₂ catalyst, which could activate CH₃OH to form CH₃⁺. The higher the acidity of the catalyst, the more positive the charge on CH₃⁺, which easily promoted the formation of DMC. Meanwhile, the hydrophobic groups could enhance the removal of water and reduce the adsorbed water on the surface, thus shortening the reaction time.

4. Conclusion

Hydrophobic Ce/SBA-15 catalysts were prepared via the post-grafting method using HMDS as a modified solvent, and they showed better catalytic performances than hydrophilic catalysts for the direct synthesis of dimethyl carbonate from CO₂ and methanol. The hydrophobicity of the catalysts facilitated the creation of oxygen vacancies on CeO₂, which then acted as Lewis acids to activate methanol. The amount of moderate acidic sites on the catalyst played an important role in determining the catalytic performance. Furthermore, the hydrophobicity of the catalyst could reduce the deactivation of active sites by removing water, thus shortening the reaction time.
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