Prediction Student Eligibility in Vocation School with Naïve-Byes Decision Algorithm
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Abstract. This research is proposed about decision in eligibility for accepting and rejecting student in vocational school. Prediction is one area in data mining that discuss about classification. One method of algorithm in prediction is Naïve-Byes Decisions Algorithm. Variable will be counted by Naïve-Byes Decisions algorithm that content of final exam, report, psychology, interview, and competency. Execution for the first step is transforming each variable into ranging values. Step forward is continued to calculate possibility value for every variable. In 270 student, the research has been resulted the validation test is 199 same as actual condition. Precision is 96,1%, recall is 99,3%. The result is meaning that the algorithm has ability to get the decision in accepting manner with 74,87% accuracy. The value gives the reasoning that has not all submitting students are accepted by the school. Meanwhile, the algorithm has giving fair process for accepting the candidate. The impact of this research is the school can predict how many students is accepted and rejected. So, school will prepare for how many rooms should be available.

1. Introduction
Prediction is a model used for data sustainability using existing data. Prediction models are used for decision making on the information provided. Development of prediction model, in the realm of data mining, is very helpful to solve the problem of classification. Purpose of data mining is to find patterns against dataset presented. Performance student is a description of the ability of students' knowledge to be able to follow to advanced level. This information is often used to assist schools in accepting new students. Humera Sahija explains that use of data mining techniques helps to recognize student abilities in the subjects taken [1]. Semester assessment is used as a reference to provide treatment to students about the results of the value obtained each semester. Mokhairi Makhatar in his research answered the problem of applying Naïve Bayes to extract hidden information from students [2]. Result obtained that the Naïve Bayes algorithm is able to extract the hidden information with the accuracy of 73.4%. In the study of Lalit Dole and Jayant Rajurkar, explains that Naïve Bayes can predict students' success rates through a variety of ways [3].

Problem in this research is how to determine of new students by using the quantitative measure that students have. Prediction of graduation is very important for students as it will help the provision of facilities and infrastructure for new students. Determination of graduation that has been running still uses the calculation of the average value that is on the students. This calculation has not been able to extract hidden information on prospective students. This causes students who excel at certain objects
may not necessarily follow the learning in vocational schools. Recognition of information from students is very important, because it will review how far the students are successful in learning. Data mining is a widely used technique for extracting knowledge from existing dataset information. This approach is known as machine learning that can be classified into supervised learning and unsupervised learning. Stages of data mining enroll consists of three parts of pre-processing data, Mining, and Postprocessing [1].

Purpose of this study is to obtain prediction of graduation from students who will follow vocational school by assessment on student performance such as final exam score, competency score, report score, physical test, interview test, and psychology test. Prediction will be done by applying a proven Naïve Bayes Algorithm in classifying the hidden information in a data set [1-8]. Impact of this research is candidate will predict about accepting and rejecting in vocational school.

2. Methodology
Pre-processing data is the beginning of the process of data mining. At this stage is preparing the dataset to be processed by using mining techniques. This stage also performs feature selection that is to reduce the dimensions (parameters) and remove the information that is irrelevant to improve the accuracy of the results [2].

Mining is the step of applying techniques or methods to get the pattern or knowledge of the dataset used. The application of mining techniques in this research is by using Naïve Bayes Algorithm [1-8]. Method approach in this research has used Naïve Bayes Algorithm [1-8]. We have three sequences process that is Pre-Processing, Mining, and Post Processing. Each step in mining process will be included Naïve Bayes model. Dataset is taken from survey in vocational school. Amount of dataset is 270 students. Pre-process that has occupied is cleaning dataset from violation and error value. In mining step, we have worked by applying Naïve Bayes model into dataset tend to get pattern of dataset. Final step in mining model is Post processing. Post processing is model to gain decision from evaluation dataset. Result from final step is model that can be used into next feature dataset. At Figure 1 is picturing steps of mining in Naïve Bayes model (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Naïve bayes model for student competence.

2.1. Naïve bayes algorithm
Naïve Bayes was first introduced by Thomas Bayes. Bayes Classification provides practical learning, knowledge and data to be reviewed so that it can be combined. Data generation was done by using simulation test on WEKA 3.6.11.

The model used in Naïve Bayes consists of test data, model Naïve Bayes, and Results of prediction. The Bayes Theorem is as follows [7]:

\[
P(h|D) = \frac{P(D|h) \cdot P(h)}{P(D)}^{-1}
\]

(1)

Explain the formula is \( P(h) \) is a previous occurrence caused by \( h \), \( P(D) \) training dataset in actual condition \( D \), \( P(h|D) \) is event \( h \) caused by \( D \), \( P(D|h) \) is \( D \) event caused by \( h \). Calculation of events in the class is defined by dataset can be calculated by Naïve Bayes Classifier. The events in the \( C_i \) can be determined if and only if:

\[
P(C_i | x) > P(C_j | x) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq m \text{ and } j \neq i
\]

(2)

To determine the maximum value then used the rule:
\[ P(C_i | x) = P(X | C_i) P(C_i) [P(X)]^{-1} \]  
(3)

While determining the maximum likelihood then used the following rules:

\[ P(X | C_i) = P(X | C_i) P(C_i) \]  
(4)

2.2. Precision and recall

Precision is the closeness of the result to the actual event. This precision will give you the corresponding measure of the results given during the mining process. Precision is also a standardized measure in determining the document or dataset, whether it relates to the intended purpose or not. Precision can be calculated using the following formula [1-8]:

\[ \text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \]  
(5)

Another calculation that gives good results is Recall. Recall is a measure of the significance of the existing document to the given result. Recall can be calculated using:

\[ \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \]  
(6)

Calculating Precision and Recall can be composed by confusion matrix. Content of confusion matrix is value from how many result is corrected with real condition. Matrix can be constructed and looked at (Table 1).

| Test says accepted A | Condition : A | Not A |
|----------------------|---------------|------|
|                      | True positive (TP) | False positive (FP) |
| Test says accepted not A | False negative (FN) | True negative (TN) |

### Table 1. Composition of confusion matrix.

3. Results and discussion

Objective in this research is discovered prediction model by Naïve Bayes model. Dataset that used in this research is almost 270. We have process not all data, only 199 dataset. The data is collected by survey. This survey is tailor from vocation school that can be accepted student.

In this subsection, we explain about results that have tailored. Refer to Figure 1, pre-processing step has done by evaluating dataset from error value and inconsistence. We have 270 dataset, and final dataset is no more error value. In pre-processing, we have normalize dataset that conversion from numeric value into perception in range like highest, high, moderate, and low. Conversion dataset can be seen at Table 2. (Table 2) views about rules to convert original dataset into normalize dataset. At Table 2, we are engineered transformation to convert original value into categorical data. We proposed some limitation classification of range. This activity is worked because algorithm just receives value in classification value.
Table 2. Rules of conversion in dataset.

| No | Attribute          | Description                        | Values                  |
|----|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1  | Final Exam         | Final exam after student complete   | highest >= 35; high >=30 and <35; |
|    |                    | learning                            | moderate >=25 and < 30; low <= 25 |
| 2  | Competency Test    | Competency Assessment               | highest >= 80; high >=70 and <80; |
|    |                    |                                    | moderate >=60 and < 70; low <= 60 |
| 3  | Grade Report       | Grade report                        | highest >= 80; high >=70 and <80; |
|    |                    |                                    | moderate >=60 and < 70; low <= 60 |
| 4  | Body Test          | Body endurance Assessment           | highest >= 80; high >=70 and <80; |
|    |                    |                                    | moderate >=60 and < 70; low <= 60 |
| 5  | Interview          | Interview Assessment                | highest >= 80; high >=70 and <80; |
|    |                    |                                    | moderate >=60 and < 70; low <= 60 |
| 6  | Psychology Test    | Psychology Assessment               | highest >= 80; high >=70 and <80; |
|    |                    |                                    | moderate >=60 and < 70; low <= 60 |

At (Table 3) shows about original data and at (Table 4) is result table from conversion between original data into classification value.

Table 3. An example original dataset.

| No | Name               | Final Exam | Competency Test | Report | Body test | Interview | Psychology Test | Ave. |
|----|--------------------|------------|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|------|
| 1  | DADANG WASISTO     | 30.74      | 83.33           | 79.84  | 80.00     | 85.00     | 50.00           | 68.15|
| 2  | TRI ANDI KUSUMAH   | 28.21      | 73.33           | 79.52  | 80.00     | 85.00     | 80.00           | 71.01|
| 3  | ANI SURYANI        | 31.66      | 83.33           | 79.16  | 70.00     | 65.00     | 80.00           | 68.19|
| 4  | WINNY FITRIANI     | 25.62      | 63.33           | 79.04  | 70.00     | 65.00     | 50.00           | 58.83|
| 5  | RINNA SILVIA       | 27.67      | 66.67           | 77.68  | 70.00     | 65.00     | 80.00           | 64.50|

Table 4. An Example normalized dataset.

| Name             | X1   | X2   | X3   | X4   | X5   | X6   | Recommendation |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------------|
| Dadang Wasisto   | High | High | High | Higher| Higher| Low  | Accepted       |
| Tri Andi Kusumah | High | High | High | Higher| Higher| Higher| Accepted       |
| Ani Suryani      | High | High | High | Higher| Higher| Higher| Accepted       |
| Winny Fitriani   | Moderate| High | High | Higher| Higher| Higher| Rejected       |
| Rika Silvia      | Moderate| High | High | Higher| High  | High  | Accepted       |

Several data mining algorithms for classification problems have been made such algorithms as Decision Trees, K-Nearest Neighbour, and Naïve Bayes. To predict the Naïve Bayes Algorithm, mining process can be done if the data is no longer, no noise or null value. Steps taken on the naïve Bayes as wrote in subsection 2.1. Purpose of mining using Naïve Bayes is to predict students eligible or not to entry in vocation school. It has two classifications in target that is P(Accepted) and P(Rejected). P(accepted) is 0.7463 and P (rejected) is 0.2537. Through generating, the process is noticed such as True Positive (TF) and False Positive (FP). Result TF and FP can be seen at (Table 5-6).
Table 5. True positive and false positive classification in WEKA process.

|       | TP-Rate | FP-Rate | Precision | Recall | F-Measure | ROC Area | Class   |
|-------|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|---------|
|       | 0.993   | 0.12    | 0.961     | 0.993  | 0.977     | 0.999    | Accepted|
|       | 0.88    | 0.007   | 0.978     | 0.880  | 0.926     | 0.999    | Rejected|
| Weighted Avg. | 0.965   | 0.092   | 0.965     | 0.965  | 0.964     | 0.999    |         |

Table 6. Evaluation in data training set.

| Evaluation                         | Calculation | Percentage |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Correctly Classified Instances     | 192         | 96.4824%   |
| Incorrectly Classified Instances   | 7           | 3.5176%    |
| Kappa statistic                    | 0.9033      |            |
| Mean absolute error                | 0.1098      |            |
| Root mean squared error            | 0.1826      |            |
| Relative absolute error            | 29.0772%    |            |
| Root relative squared error        | 42.1073%    |            |
| Total Number of Instances         | 199         |            |

At Table 6, evaluation Naïve Bayes is worked by WEKA. WEKA is mining software that created by Waikato University and free license. We have 270 dataset and only 199 dataset that we used to generate the model. To validate the model, we should be separated dataset in two parts that is accepted and rejected manner. At Table 7, Correctly Classified instance is reach 192, and incorrectly only 7 classes. We are concluded result with confusion matrix at (Table 7) [1].

Table 7. Class results are presented in confusion matrix.

| Classification             | Accepted | Rejected |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|
| Accepted By Test          | TF = 148 | FP = 1   |
| Rejected by test          | FN = 6   | TN = 44  |

At Table 5, precision dataset has been shown is 0.961 for Accepted and 0.978 for Rejected. This value can be stated that dataset have significant in model. In the other hand, calculated recall is got 0.993 for accepted and 0.880 for rejected. Calculating accuracy can be calculated in formula (5) as follow: Accuracy = \(\frac{TF + FP}{Total\ Dataset} - 1 = \frac{(148 + 1)}{199} - 1 = 0.7487\). Accuracy can be written as closeness to actual data. Accuracy is 0.7487; it means we have closeness to actual data 74.87% .

Final result in process is depending on providing dataset. Naïve byes guarantee, classification that has gained, is have dependence among data. At Table 8 shows comparison among Naïve Bayes, J48, and Decision (Table 8) [1-3, 7, 9].

Table 8. Comparison result among Naïve Bayes, J48, and decision table.

| Evaluation on Training Set     | Naïve Bayes | J48        | Decision Table |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| Correctly Classified Instances | 96.48%      | 89.95%     | 87.94%         |
| Incorrectly Classified Instances | 3.52%      | 10.05%     | 12.06%         |
| Kappa statistic                | 0.9033      | 0.7398     | 0.6246         |
| Mean absolute error            | 0.1098      | 0.1402     | 0.2016         |
| Root mean squared error        | 0.1826      | 0.2648     | 0.293          |
| Relative absolute error        | 29.0772%    | 37.14%     | 53.40%         |
| Root relative squared error    | 42.11%      | 61.04%     | 67.55%         |
| Accuracy                       | 0.7487      | 0.7487     | 0.7487         |
| Precision                      | 0.961       | 0.945      | 0.865          |
| Recall                         | 0.993       | 0.919      | 0.993          |
| Total Number of Instances      | 199         | 199        | 199            |
4. Conclusion
Prediction is one area of data mining to classify the desired target. In the dataset of 270, total of 199 datasets are expressed in accordance with the actual dataset. Calculation obtained using precision is 96.1%, recall is 99.3%, and accuracy is 74.87%. Results obtained can be said that dataset have an actual to dataset. Thus, the prediction with Naïve Bayes is 74.87% against the actual dataset. For comparison with other algorithms, it produces same accuracy like Naïve Bayes. Testing with the J48 and Decision Table has a result that approximates actual dataset with same accuracy is 74.87%. Impact from the research is prediction can be approximate how many student pass in exam.
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