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SUMMARY

The emergence of influenza A viruses (IAVs) from zoonotic reservoirs poses a great threat to human health. As seasonal vaccines are ineffective against zoonotic strains, and newly transmitted viruses can quickly acquire drug resistance, there remains a need for host-directed therapeutics against IAVs. Here, we performed a genome-scale CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screen in human lung epithelial cells with a human isolate of an avian H5N1 strain. Several genes involved in sialic acid biosynthesis and related glycosylation pathways were highly enriched post-H5N1 selection, including SLC35A1, a sialic acid transporter essential for IAV receptor expression and thus viral entry. Importantly, we have identified capicua (CIC) as a negative regulator of cell-intrinsic immunity, as loss of CIC resulted in heightened antiviral responses and restricted replication of multiple viruses. Therefore, our study demonstrates that the CRISPR/Cas9 system can be utilized for the discovery of host factors critical for the replication of intracellular pathogens.

INTRODUCTION

Influenza A virus (IAV) is an upper respiratory pathogen in humans with the ability to rapidly evolve, resulting in both seasonal epidemics and occasional pandemics (Wright et al., 2013). As the constant emergence of variant- and drug-resistant strains greatly reduce the efficacy of current vaccines and therapies, there remains a need for host-directed therapeutics against IAVs. Multiple genome-wide screening approaches, including small interfering RNA (siRNA), proteomic, and insertional mutagenesis screens, have been employed to identify host factors involved in IAV infection (Hao et al., 2008; Brass et al., 2009; Karlas et al., 2010; König et al., 2010; Ward et al., 2012; Su et al., 2013; Benitez et al., 2015; Shapira et al., 2009; Sui et al., 2009; Watanabe et al., 2014). Although some common hits and pathways were revealed, most notably members of the vacuolar ATPase family, meta-analyses demonstrated little overlap in the identified IAV host factors, likely because of differences in the strains used, time points assayed, and functional readouts chosen (Mehle and Doudna, 2010; Stertz and Shaw, 2011; Watanabe et al., 2010). Therefore, alternative screening strategies will provide the flexibility needed to uncover host factors and pathways, as well as validate previously identified hits, to serve as potential targets for the development of anti-influenza therapeutics.

Recent advancements in CRISPR/Cas9 technology have allowed for gene disruption on a genome-wide scale in mammalian cells (Shalem et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2016). Recently, the GeCKO (genome-wide CRISPR knockout) screening strategy has been utilized to investigate virus-host interactions (Haga et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2015; Marceau et al., 2016; Orchard et al., 2016; Park et al., 2017a; Savidis et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). Here, we generated a GeCKO library in human lung epithelial (A549) cells negatively selected against genes essential for cell viability and subjected this A549-GeCKO library to five rounds of lethal infection with a human isolate of an avian IAV strain. Deep sequencing analysis of the enriched single guide RNA (sgRNA) population identified numerous genes involved in the sialic acid biosynthesis and glycosylation pathways, as well as in the regulation of cell-intrinsic immunity. Validation studies revealed host factors critical for multiple IAV strains that function at different stages of viral replication, including entry and antiviral responses. Loss of SLC35A1, a CMP-sialic acid transporter, rendered cells resistant to IAV infection because of the absence of cell-surface sialic acids. Furthermore, GeCKO screening identified capicua (CIC), a DNA-binding transcriptional repressor, as a negative regulator of cell-intrinsic immunity. Loss of CIC resulted in upregulation of antiviral responses and restricted replication of viruses from diverse families. Taken together, our studies demonstrate that GeCKO screening is a versatile strategy that can be utilized to identify host factors critical for IAV replication.

RESULTS

Generation of an A549-GeCKO Library

To identify host genes critical for IAV replication, we generated a GeCKO library in A549 cells as previously described and performed a genome-scale loss-of-function genetic screen (Shalem et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). First, we derived
Figure 1. GeCKO Screen for Host Factors Essential for IAV Replication

(A) Overview of GeCKO screen in human lung epithelial (A549) cells. Steps 1–4: Cas9-expressing A549 (Cas9-A549) cells were transduced with lentivirus containing sgRNA library A and selected for 14 days in puromycin to generate the A549-GeCKO library. Steps 5–7: the A549-GeCKO library was infected with a low-pathogenic H5N1 (VN04Low) to obtain resistant cells. Steps 8a–9: preliminary consecutive screen: surviving cells were subjected to a total of five rounds of H5N1 infection with minimal expansion of resistant cells. Steps 8b–9: sequential screen: surviving cells were subjected to a total of five rounds of H5N1 infection with substantial expansion of resistant cells between each round. Step 10: validation and characterization of selected hits.

(B) Boxplot of sgRNA distribution in the A549-GeCKO library and after each round (Rd) of the sequential screen. Biological replicates are shown as Rep1 and Rep2; values are represented in log2 scale; and sgRNAs are median-normalized to account for differences in total Illumina read counts. Each point represents

(legend continued on next page)
a clonal Cas9-expressing A549 cell line (Cas9-A549s) by transducing wild-type (WT) A549 cells with lentivirus expressing the Cas9 gene (Figure 1A, step 1). Next, Cas9-A549s were transduced with lentivirus containing a pooled human genome-wide sgRNA library (library A) of 65,383 sgRNAs targeting 19,050 protein-coding genes and 1,864 microRNA (miRNA) precursors and selected in puromycin for 14 days (Figure 1A, steps 2–4) (Sanjana et al., 2014; Shalem et al., 2014). To evaluate sgRNA diversity in the A549-GeCKO library, we PCR-amplified the integrated sgRNA cassettes from genomic DNA and subjected them to Illumina sequencing. Analysis of the 9 M reads obtained from 1 × 10^6 cells revealed the presence of 62,659 sgRNAs (≥ 10 reads) in the A549-GeCKO library, representing an average coverage of ~140x per sgRNA (Figures S1A and S1B). The loss of ~4.2% of sgRNAs post-puromycin selection likely suggests the negative selection of a non-viable cell population. Thus, we successfully generated a pooled GeCKO library in A549 cells with sufficient coverage to perform genetic screens for IAV host factors.

### Preliminary Screen for Positive Selection of H5N1-Resistant Cells in the A549-GeCKO Library

To enrich for a cell population resistant to IAV replication, we subjected the A549-GeCKO library to lethal infection with a human isolate of a low pathogenic avian H5N1 virus (A/Vietnam/1203/04, VN04Low; Figure 1A, steps 5–7). For our preliminary GeCKO screen, we performed five consecutive rounds (Rd) of lethal infection with minimal expansion of cells between rounds (preliminary consecutive screen); the resistant cells were subsequently expanded, and sgRNA distribution was assessed by Illumina sequencing (Prelim Rd5; Figure 1A, steps 8a–9). For the 8 M Illumina reads obtained from Prelim Rd5, we observed robust enrichment of 586 sgRNAs (≥ 10 reads) representing ~1% of the sgRNAs present in the A549-GeCKO library (Figures S1A and S1C). Next, candidate genes were identified and ranked using the model-based analysis of genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 knockout (MAGeCK) program (Li et al., 2014). We observed positive selection of 119 genes in Prelim Rd5 (p < 0.1), with the SLC35A1 gene (sialic acid transporter) ranked highest and demonstrating representation by all 3 independent sgRNAs (Table S1). Interestingly, the remaining genes showed enrichment for a single sgRNA, likely because of the stringent selection achieved by performing five consecutive rounds of lethal infection with minimal expansion of cells between rounds. Together, our preliminary screen identified potential host factors whose loss rendered cells highly resistant to H5N1 infection.

### Analysis of sgRNA Enrichment during Sequential H5N1 Selection

Next, we performed a less stringent GeCKO screen by allowing for substantial expansion of surviving cells between each round of H5N1 selection (sequential screen; Figure 1A, steps 8b–9). This would allow us to determine if progressive enrichment of sgRNAs occurs at each round of selection, such that genes critical for H5N1 replication are represented by multiple sgRNAs in the earlier rounds. We assessed sgRNA representation at each round of infection in duplicate sample sets up to five rounds. Comparative analysis of sgRNA representation between the A549-GeCKO library and Rd1 of the sequential screen showed no significant differences (Figures 1B and S1A). In contrast, we observed robust enrichment of specific sgRNAs at Rd2, with a progressive increase in enrichment occurring between Rd2-5. These data indicate that selection of a cell population less permissive to H5N1 occurs after two rounds of lethal infection.

Next, we performed principle component analysis (PCA) and Pearson correlation analysis to understand the sgRNA distribution pattern between biological replicates in the sequential screen. The sgRNA distribution profile of Replicate one (Rep1, red) and Replicate two (Rep2, blue) at Rd1 clustered together and remained close to the A549-GeCKO library; however, in subsequent rounds (Rd2 to Rd5), the samples belonging to each replicate closely clustered within their respective groups, indicating divergence of replicates after Rd2 (Figure S1D). Similarly, comparison of individual sample sets showed strong correlation of sgRNA distribution between Rep1 and Rep2 at Rd1, with a correlation coefficient value of 0.92; however, the correlation coefficient value decreased to ≤ 0.25 in subsequent rounds (Figure S1E). Although replicate divergence occurred at Rd2, we observed enrichment of specific sgRNAs common in both replicates (Table S1). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the robust enrichment seen at Rd2 of the sequential screen occurred concurrently with replicate divergence, yet revealed the progressive enrichment of a common sgRNA population between replicates.

### Identification of Genes Enriched during Sequential H5N1 Selection

Next, we performed MAGeCK analysis to identify positively selected genes at Rd2 and Rd5 of the sequential screen (Table S1). We observed enrichment of 798 genes (p < 0.05) at Rd2, with 161 genes represented by two or more sgRNAs and 637 genes represented by a single sgRNA (Figure 1C). However, we observed enrichment of 501 genes (p < 0.05) at Rd5, with only 16 genes represented by two or more sgRNAs, and 485 genes represented by a single sgRNA. This decrease in the number of genes represented by multiple sgRNAs between Rd2 and Rd5 suggests that stringent H5N1 selection results in the preferential enrichment of individual sgRNAs. To further evaluate the genes identified at Rd5, we compared our hits to factors identified in the nine previously reported genome-wide screens for influenza virus (Brass et al., 2009; Hao et al., 2008; Karlas et al., 2010; König et al., 2010; Su et al., 2013; Ward et al., 2012; Shapira et al., 2009;
Sui et al., 2009; Watanabe et al., 2014). Comparative analyses of the hits identified at Rd5 (p < 0.05), excluding miRNAs, indicated that 33 of the 453 hits were also identified in previous screens. The hits identified at Rd5 (p < 0.05), excluding miRNAs, indicated highly enriched candidates representing various biological processes for the generation of individual CRISPR KO cell lines (Table 1). Eight hits (SLC35A1, GDF11, IRX3, C2CD4C, TRIM23, PIGN, ACADSB, and GRAMD2) were also highly enriched (p < 0.05) in the early rounds of selection (Rd2), and the remaining three hits (CIC, JAK2, and PIAS3) demonstrated enrichment only after multiple rounds of selection (Figure S2). To determine if these candidate genes are important for IAV replication, the 11 generated polyclonal KOs were infected with H5N1 at a low multiplicity of infection (MOI). A >60% reduction in viral titer was observed in eight KOs as compared to vector control cells, demonstrating that GeCKO screening was successful in identifying H5N1 host factors in A549 cells (Figure 2B).

As we anticipated incomplete disruption of target gene loci in the polyclonal population, we next generated seven clonal KOs for a subset of host factors. Sequence analysis of the sgRNA target sites in the clonal KOs indicated that complete gene disruption was achieved in all but the CIC KOs, which contained
We observed a >2 log reduction in viral titer in replication was unaffected in the clonal KOs. VSV H1N1 and H3N2, demonstrating that the identified host factors H5N1, yet H3N2 replication was unaffected. The remaining >80% reduction in viral titer was observed for H1N1 and PIAS3 may function in a pan-proviral manner to support viral replication.

Identification of Viral Life-Cycle Defects in Clonal KO Cells

To elucidate the mechanism by which the identified host factors contribute to IAV replication, we evaluated various stages of the viral life cycle in a subset of clonal KOs. First, to identify host factors that are critical for a single IAV infection cycle, we performed synchronized infections at a high MOI with H5N1. We observed an ~1–2 log reduction in viral titer in SLC35A1 and in CIC KOs as compared to vector control cells, indicating that loss of these host factors resulted in inefficient establishment or completion of the viral life cycle (Figure 3A). In contrast, the remaining clonal KOs displayed only modest differences in viral titer, suggesting that the defects observed at a low MOI were due to the cumulative effects of multiple replication cycles.

Next, we utilized beta-lactamase carrying influenza virus-like particles (flu VLPs) to measure the ability of the clonal KOs to support virion entry and/or fusion, in comparison to VSV glycoprotein (VSV-G) VLPs (Tscherne et al., 2010). SLC35A1 KOs showed robust restriction of IAV entry and/or fusion, as only ~3.6% of cells were positive for flu VLP infection (Figure 3B). In addition, only 25% of PIAS3 KOs were positive for flu VLP infection, suggesting a role for PIAS3 in IAV entry and/or fusion. In contrast, no defects in flu VLP infection were observed for the remaining KOs, and VSV-G VLP infection was largely unaffected in all of the tested KOs. These results demonstrate that SLC35A1 and PIAS3 play a critical role in the entry and/or fusion stage of the IAV life cycle.

To determine if the remaining host factors are required at a post-fusion stage of the IAV life cycle, we measured primary viral transcription and viral genome replication of H1N1 in the clonal KOs. At 3 hpi, primary nucleoprotein (NP) transcript (NP mRNA) and input viral genomic NP RNA (NP vRNA) levels were measured by qRT-PCR. As SLC35A1 KOs demonstrated defects in viral entry and/or fusion, we observed low levels of input NP vRNA and consequently low levels of NP transcription as compared to vector control cells (Figure 3C). Interestingly, NP mRNA levels were reduced >90% in CIC KOs as compared to vector control cells, despite displaying similar levels of input NP vRNA. Next, we assessed the clonal KOs for defects in viral genome replication at 6 hpi by qRT-PCR. In CIC KOs, we observed an ~80% reduction in both NP vRNA and mRNA as compared to vector control cells, indicating that the observed reduction in primary transcription impaired subsequent genome replication. Thus, CIC KOs demonstrate a defect in IAV replication at a stage between post-fusion and primary transcription.

As dysregulation of cell-intrinsic immunity may inhibit IAV replication, we evaluated the expression of antiviral genes by qRT-PCR under basal (mock) and H1N1-infected conditions. CIC and JAK2 KOs demonstrated an increase in antiviral gene expression (>2-fold) for both mock and H1N1-infected conditions as compared to vector control cells (Figures 3D, 3E, and S3A). Interestingly, in comparison to vector control cells, PIAS3 KOs displayed higher antiviral gene expression only.

Table 1. Enrichment of Selected Top Hits for Validation

| Hits       | Prelim RdS | Rd2 | Rd5 |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|
| SLC35A1    | 1.2072 × 10⁻⁶ | 2.37 × 10⁻⁷ | 2.37 × 10⁻⁷ |
| GDF11      | 0.00522   | 0.0008276 | 0.00002784 |
| IRX3       | 0.0077903 | 0.015112 | 0.00081498 |
| C2CD4C     | 0.0020496 | 0.0025642 | 0.0017149 |
| TRIM23     | 0.015962  | 0.025194  | 0.001811   |
| PIGN       | 0.0028786 | 0.0015024 | 0.0021415  |
| ACADSB     | 0.011031  | 0.0023905 | 0.0021926  |
| GRAM2      | 0.021846  | 0.0059108 | 0.0028634  |
| CIC        | 0.0053707 | 0.31911  | 0.0053541  |
| JAK2       | 0.056382  | 0.16907  | 0.0063662  |
| PIAS3      | 0.047231  | 0.33451  | 0.0077967  |

Of the 63 overlapping hits identified in the preliminary consecutive screen (Prelim RdS) and Rd2 and Rd5 of the sequential screen, 11 genes involved in various biological processes were selected for further validation. p values for enrichment in the various rounds are shown.

a WT allele (Table S5). We evaluated H5N1 replication in the clonal KOs, and observed an ~5 log reduction in viral titer in SLC35A1 and CIC KOs, as well as a >80% reduction in viral titer in the remaining KOs as compared to vector control cells (Figure 2C). The robust reduction in H5N1 titer observed in the clonal KOs demonstrated strain specificity, as a 50% reduction in viral titer in SLC35A1 and CIC KOs as compared to their respective polyclonal populations suggests that complete gene disruption was required for the validation of candidate host factors. To exclude the possibility of off-target effects in the clonal KOs, we analyzed the sgRNA sequences for potential complementarity in the exons of the human genome (Table S6). A minimum of 3-4 mismatches were required for identification of potential off-target genes, the majority of which were not enriched at Rd2 and Rd5 of the sequential screen (p < 0.05). As the seven evaluated clonal KOs demonstrated robust reduction in H5N1 viral titer and limited potential for off-target effects, we have thus confirmed that these hits are important for H5N1 replication.

Validation of Hits with Multiple IAV Strains

To determine if the validated host factors are required for the replication of multiple IAV strains, we infected the clonal KOs with H1N1 (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934; PR8) and H3N2 (A/Hong Kong/1/1968; HK68) at a low MOI. We observed a >5 log reduction in viral titer in SLC35A1 KOs and a >3 log reduction in viral titer in CIC KOs as compared to vector control cells (Figure 2C). Interestingly, PIAS3 KOs demonstrated strain specificity, as a >80% reduction in viral titer was observed for H1N1 and H5N1, yet H3N2 replication was unaffected. The remaining clonal KOs showed a >60% reduction in viral titers for both H1N1 and H3N2, demonstrating that the identified host factors are important for efficient replication of multiple IAV strains.

To distinguish between IAV-specific host factors and those that function in a pan-proviral manner, we assayed the replication of vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) in the clonal KOs. VSV replication was unaffected in SLC35A1 and PIGN KOs; however, we observed a >2 log reduction in viral titer in CIC KOs and a ≥50% reduction in viral titer in the remaining KOs (Figure 2C).
the loss of JAK2 protein expression in upon IAV infection. To further validate our findings, we confirmed that only loss of the JAK2 gene resulted in the observed viral replication defects in JAK2 KOs (Figure S3C). These data demonstrate that CIC, JAK2, and PIAS3 KOs display dysregulated antiviral gene expression. Taken together, our studies show that SCL35A1 KOs display defects in viral entry and/or fusion and CIC KOs demonstrate restriction of IAV replication between-post-fusion and primary transcription as well as dysregulation of antiviral gene expression.

**SLC35A1 Is Required for IAV Entry**

IAV infection of a host cell is initiated by the binding of viral HA to sialic acid moieties, which are terminal sugars on glycans and GPI-anchor synthesis (PIGN, DPM2) (Figures 4A and S4A). Of these host factors, SLC35A1 was the highest enriched gene and was represented by 3 independent sgRNAs (Figure S2). As SLC35A1 is a CMP-sialic acid (CMP-Neu5Ac) transporter, we hypothesized that SLC35A1 KOs lack cell-surface sialic acids (Hadley et al., 2014). To this end, we used specific lectins to detect 2'-3' (Maackia amurensis lectin [MAL]) or 2'-6' (Sambucus nigra lectin [SNA]) linked sialic acid on the cell surface. Flow cytometry and confocal microscopy analyses of SLC35A1 KOs showed a lack of binding for both types of lectins as compared to vector control cells, indicating the loss of cell-surface sialic acids in the absence of SLC35A1 (Figures 4B and 4C). Next, we evaluated the efficiency of recombinant HA (H5) binding. SLC35A1 KOs were unable to support HA binding as compared to vector control cells, indicating that the reduced susceptibility of SLC35A1 KOs was due to inefficient binding of IAV particles to the cell surface (Figure 4D).
Figure 4. Sialic Acid Transporter SLC35A1 Is Required for IAV Entry

(A) Simplified schematic of de novo sialic acid biosynthesis and N-glycan processing pathway. Significant genes identified in the GeCKO screen are shown in red. N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), N-acetylmannosamine (ManNAc), and N-acetyleneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac), cytidine monophosphate (CMP), and uridine diphosphate (UDP).

(B and C) Analysis of sialic acid expression by lectin staining. Vector control and SLC35A1 KOs were treated with lectins that have specificity for 2'-6' (SNA) or 2'-3' (MAL) sialic acids and analyzed by flow cytometry (B) and fluorescent microscopy (C). Histograms depict the intensity of lectin binding relative to cell count. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(D) Quantification of HA binding. Vector control and SLC35A1 KOs were incubated with purified HA (H5) and analyzed by flow cytometry.

(legend continued on next page)
As there are currently no inhibitors available against SLC35A1, we sought to determine if inhibition of downstream sialyltransferases with 3Fax-Peracetyl Neu5Ac (3F-Neu5Ac), a CMP-Neu5Ac analog, would inhibit IAV replication (Rililahan et al., 2012). Treatment of WT A549 cells with 3F-Neu5Ac resulted in robust restriction of H1N1 and H5N2 replication as compared to DMSO-treated cells (Figure 4E). Interestingly, H5N1 replication was unaltered in 3F-Neu5Ac-treated cells, despite displaying almost complete loss of lectin binding (Figure 4F). To exclude the possibility of other defects that may result in the restriction of IAV infection in SLC35A1 KO2s, we complemented SLC35A1 KO2s with cDNA expressing SLC35A1. We observed increased IAV replication in complemented SLC35A1 KO2s, yet observed no differences in VSV replication (Figure 4G). In addition, expression of SLC35A1 in WT A549 cells did not alter IAV replication (Figure S4B). These studies demonstrate that SLC35A1 facilitates incorporation of sialic acid moieties onto cell-surface proteins, and thus is an essential host factor for IAV entry.

Capicua Is a Negative Regulator of Cell-Intrinsic Immunity

Our studies show that capicua (CIC) is critical for both IAV and VSV replication (Figure 2C). CIC is a conserved DNA-binding transcriptional repressor that functions in conjunction with the co-repressor Ataxin1 (ATXN1) or its paralog ATXN1-Like (ATXN1L) (Ajuria et al., 2011; Jiménez et al., 2012). There are two major isoforms of CIC: short (CIC-S) and long (CIC-L), expressed from independent start codons (Figure S5A). To further understand the role of CIC in virus replication, we first confirmed our findings in new CIC KO2s generated with an independent sgRNA (CIC KO2s). Loss of CIC expression in CIC KO2s was confirmed by western blot analysis and sequencing of the sgRNA target site (Figure S5B; Table S5). In agreement with previous findings, we observed decreased levels of ATXN1L protein as well as increased expression of a CIC-regulated gene, ETV4, in CIC KO2s (Figures S5B and S5C) (Disanayake et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011). As previously observed, CIC KO2s also demonstrated an ~2–3 log reduction in the replication of various IAV strains, as well as a ~1 log reduction in VSV, encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV), and Zika virus replication (Figures 2C and 5A).

As anticipated, we observed higher antiviral gene expression in CIC KO2s under basal (mock) and H1N1-infected conditions as compared to vector control cells (Figures 5B–5D). Together, these data suggest that loss of CIC results in a heightened antiviral state, rendering cells less permissive to viral replication.

As loss of CIC resulted in higher antiviral gene expression, we hypothesized that ectopic expression of CIC would reduce the activity of antiviral gene promoters. Thus, we generated luciferase reporters under the control of the human IFIT1 and MxA promoters. Co-expression of CIC and ATXN1 resulted in a dose dependent decrease in IFIT1 reporter activity (up to ~50%) upon RIG-I stimulation as compared to GFP control, to a greater extent than expression of CIC or ATXN1 alone (Figure 5E). Similarly, an ~40% reduction in MxA reporter activity was observed upon co-expression of CIC and ATXN1. As our results indicate that CIC can repress antiviral gene expression, we investigated if downregulation of CIC occurs upon infection to facilitate the induction of antiviral genes.

Western blot analysis of H1N1-infected vector control cells demonstrated a rapid decline in CIC protein levels between 40–60 min post-infection (Figure 5F). qRT-PCR analysis of H1N1-infected vector control cells showed an ~50% decrease in CIC mRNA levels, demonstrating that both CIC mRNA and protein levels are downregulated in response to IAV infection (Figure 5G). Taken together, GeCKO screening has identified CIC as a negative regulator of cell-intrinsic immunity.

DISCUSSION

Here, we performed a GECKO screen using a human isolate of an avian H5N1 strain and identified host factors critical for IAV entry and regulation of cell-intrinsic immunity. Cells lacking the sialic acid transporter SLC35A1 were highly enriched post-H5N1 selection, as they were deficient in the viral receptor and thus were incapable of supporting HA binding. sgRNAs targeting several genes involved in sialic acid biosynthesis and related glycosylation pathways were also enriched during H5N1 selection. In addition, we identified CIC, a DNA-binding transcriptional repressor, as a key regulator of cell-intrinsic immunity. CIC-deficient cells demonstrated upregulated antiviral gene expression and decreased replication of multiple viruses. Taken together, GeCKO screening can be a powerful tool to discover host factors and to highlight biological pathways essential for the replication of intracellular pathogens.

For this study, we employed a pooled GeCKO approach, relying upon gene disruption and stringent selection to enrich for a cell population resistant to IAV infection. As opposed to siRNA-based screening, it has been reported that cell-survival-based GeCKO screens for viral host factors predominately reveal hits that support early steps of viral replication (Perereira et al., 2016; Savidis et al., 2016). Similarly, we observed robust enrichment of host factors important for sialic acid (IAV receptor) expression as well as vacuolar acidification and endocytosis (Figure 1E; Table S3). Of these identified entry factors, several members of the vacuolar ATPase family were previously identified in multiple siRNA screens (Mehle and Doudna, 2010; Stertz and Shaw, 2011b; Watanabe et al., 2010; Figure 1D; Table S2). Interestingly, SLC35A1 was
Figure 5. CIC Is a Negative Regulator of Antiviral Gene Expression

(A) Comparison of viral replication. Vector control or CIC KO2s were infected with H5N1 (MOI = 0.001), H1N1 (MOI = 0.01), H3N2 (MOI = 0.01), VSV (MOI = 0.001), Zika virus (MOI = 0.01), and EMCV (MOI = 0.05) and viral titers were measured at 48 hpi (EMCV at 24 hpi). Data are represented as a percentage mean titer of triplicate samples relative to vector control cells ± SD.

(B and C) qRT-PCR analysis of antiviral gene expression in basal (mock) or in H1N1-infected conditions. Vector control and CIC KO2s were infected with H1N1 (MOI = 5), and mRNA levels for the indicated genes were measured at 16 hpi. Data are represented as the fold expression relative to uninfected (mock) vector control cells ± SD (B) or H1N1-infected vector control cells ± SD (C).

(legend continued on next page)
identified in only one of the prior siRNA screens, and knock-down demonstrated an ~50% decrease in H1N1 (PR8) infection (Brass et al., 2009). In this GeCKO screen, SLC35A1 was the highest enriched hit and gene knockout displayed an ~5 log decrease in viral replication for multiple IAV strains (Figure 2C). In addition, several host factors critical for sialic acid biosynthesis and related glycosylation pathways were uniquely enriched in this GeCKO screen (Figures 4A and S4A) (Chu and Whittaker, 2004; de Vries et al., 2012). In agreement, a survival-based haploid screen for enterovirus D68, which also utilizes sialic acid as an entry receptor, showed enrichment of host factors necessary for cell-surface sialic acid expression (Baggen et al., 2016). Thus, survival-based GeCKO screening allows for the identification of host factors critical for the early steps of viral replication.

In addition to the identification of viral entry host factors, GeCKO screening revealed factors important for the regulation of cell-intrinsic immunity. In our validation studies, we observed higher levels of antiviral gene expression in CIC, JAK2, and PIAS3 KOs (Figures 3D and 3E); however, loss of these host factors impacted different steps of the IAV life cycle (Figures 3A–3C). JAK2 was previously identified in one of the siRNA screens and implicated in IAV entry (König et al., 2010). However, in JAK2 KOs, we observed no defects in flu VLP entry yet decreased genome replication at 6 hpi. It is possible that increased antiviral gene expression may suppress IAV genome replication in JAK2 KOs. In another study, JAK2 was implicated in the intracellular localization of the viral M1 protein through tyrosine phosphorylation (König et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013). Therefore, further studies are necessary to delineate the role of JAK2 in IAV replication. In PIAS3 KOs, we observed increased antiviral gene expression only upon IAV infection, as well as defects in flu VLP entry and genome replication. Interestingly, PIAS3, an E3 SUMO ligase, has been shown to SUMOylate RAC1 and modulate cytoskeletal rearrangement; thus, it is possible that PIAS3 plays a role in IAV endocytosis (Castillo-Lluna et al., 2010). In addition, it has previously been shown that PIAS1 and PIAS3 negatively regulate STAT-mediated signaling and IRF1 transcriptional activity, suggesting that PIAS3 may be important for modulating cell-intrinsic immunity (Nakagawa and Yokosawa, 2002). These findings suggest that JAK2 and PIAS3 are important for the regulation of cell-intrinsic immunity, yet may function at different steps of the IAV life cycle.

CIC in conjunction with the co-repressor Ataxin1 (ATXN1) or its paralog ATXN1-like (ATXN1L) has been implicated in cancer development and progression, as well as neuropathology and autoimmune (Bettegowda et al., 2011; Lam et al., 2006; Okimoto et al., 2017; Park et al., 2017b). However, CIC has not been shown to play a role in the regulation of cell-intrinsic immunity. In our validation studies, we observed robust restriction of RNA viruses from diverse families and increased antiviral gene expression in CIC KO2s (Figures 5A–5D). In agreement, a previous study demonstrated increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines in CIC−/− deficient mice (Kim et al., 2015). In addition, we observed repression of IFIT1 and MxA reporter activity upon ectopic expression of CIC with the co-repressor ATXN1 (Figure 5E). As CIC has been previously demonstrated to function as a DNA-binding transcriptional repressor, it is possible that CIC suppresses antiviral gene expression via its transcriptional repressor activity (Jiménez et al., 2012). Furthermore, our studies demonstrate downregulation of CIC protein and RNA levels upon IAV infection (Figures 5F and 5G). As viral infections activate MAPK pathways, and the activation of MAPK pathways result in the downregulation of CIC, it is possible that CIC degradation during IAV infection occurs via MAPK signaling (Ajuria et al., 2011; Dissnayake et al., 2011; Pleschka, 2008). Previous studies show that CIC degradation can be inhibited by the COP9 signalosome (Suisse et al., 2017). Interestingly, multiple members of the COP9 complex have been identified as IAV host factors; thus, it is tempting to speculate that viruses may usurp the COP9 signalosome to prevent CIC degradation and thereby repress host antiviral gene expression (Tripathi et al., 2015). Future studies will determine the mechanisms of CIC regulation during viral infection.

In conclusion, GeCKO screening is a powerful alternative strategy for the identification of host factors and biological pathways critical for the replication of multiple influenza viruses. Host pathways required for expression of the viral receptor sialic acid were uniquely enriched in this GeCKO screen, with the highest enrichment observed for SLC35A1, a sialic acid transporter. In addition to highlighting biological pathways, our GeCKO screen identified CIC as a host factor important for the regulation of cell-intrinsic immunity. Our studies show that CIC suppresses antiviral gene expression and suggest that CIC levels are regulated during viral infection to facilitate robust induction of antiviral responses. Therefore, we demonstrate that GeCKO screening is an invaluable tool for the discovery of host factors essential for the replication of intracellular pathogens and for the identification of targets for the development of host-directed therapeutics.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A549-GeCKO Library Generation and H5N1 Screen

The A549-GeCKO library was generated using the lentirGuide-Puro (#52963, Addgene) two-vector system for Cas9 and sgRNA delivery as previously described (Sanjana et al., 2014). Briefly, Cas9-A549 cells were generated via lentivirus transduction of the Cas9 transgene (lentiCas9-BLAST, #52962, Addgene), followed by selection with 5 μg/ml blasticidin. Clonal Cas9-A549 cells were further transduced with lentivirus particles containing the human sgRNA library (Human GeCKO v2 Library A Cat#:1000000049, Addgene) at MOI = 0.3 to attain no greater than 1 sgRNA per cell and selected with both 1 μg/ml puromycin and 5 μg/ml blasticidin for 14 days to achieve >95% gene disruption (Shalem et al., 2014). For the preliminary consecutive screen, 2 × 10⁷ A549-GeCKO library cells were infected with VN04L1m (H5N1) at MOI = 5 in infection media for 2 days. Surviving cells were reseeded in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S) solution and subjected to four more rounds of infection. The surviving resistant population was expanded to 1 × 10⁸ cells and subjected to deep sequencing analysis. For the sequential screen, 2 × 10⁷ A549-GeCKO library cells were infected with VN04L1m (H5N1) at MOI = 5 in infection media for 2 days in biological duplicates, and the surviving cells were allowed to expand (~2–4 × 10⁷). One-fifth of the expanded cells were utilized for deep sequencing analysis, and four-fifths of the expanded cells were subjected to the next round of infection.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test, and p values ≤0.05 are considered significant and denoted with an asterisk. Non-significant values are denoted as ns.

Additional experimental procedures are included in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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The accession number for the deep sequencing data reported in this study is GEO: GSE111166.
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