Endodontic Management and Cone Beam Computed Tomography Assessment in a Four Rooted Maxillary First Molar
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Abstract The success of endodontic treatment not only lies in meticulous cleaning, perfect shaping and precise filling of canals, it also embodies vital importance on familiarity of unusual root canal patterns. This article presents a case report of a maxillary first molar that had two separate palatal roots with distinct canals and also an invitro assessment of an extracted tooth which had a a similar root canal morphology using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Both of them highlight the importance of knowing the anatomical variations to achieve a functional and biological favourable outcome in endodontic treatment.
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1. Introduction

The understanding of complex root canal system and the acquaintance of uncommon anatomical variations in teeth is vital and foremost for a successful root canal treatment. In spite of efficient chemo-mechanical preparation and proper obturation of root canal system, failure can result unless the clinician has a better cognition of the intricate root canal system and its frequent variations.

Majority of the maxillary first molars normally has three roots with independent canals. The mesiobuccal root is broad buccolingually and flatter mesiodistally. This root usually contains two canals and is associated with highest degree of anatomical variability. The frequency of MB2 canals in the mesiobuccal root was reported to be 92.85% (based on ex vivo results), 95.63% (based on clinical results), and 95.45% (CBCT results) [1,2]. The distobuccal root is generally rounded or ovoid in cross section and usually contains a single canal. Variations of two or more canals do also exist [1,3,4,5,6]. The palatal root is more broad mesiodistally than buccolingually and ovoidal in shape but normally contains only a single canal. More rarely the palatal root exhibits anatomical diversification of root canal system with two or more canals [4,5,6,7]. Among the three roots, the least to show unusual morphology is the palatal root [8,9,10]. Extensive studies and case reports regarding the unusual anatomical variation and configuration of the root canal system in maxillary first molars have been illustrated. These include a single root canal in a single root [11,12], two root canals [13], five root canals [14,15,16,17,18], six root canals [19,20,21], seven root canals [22], eight root canals [1], C-shaped canals [23,24], fusion of two buccal roots [25], fusion of two buccal roots with two palatal roots [26], four roots including an additional palatal root [27-33] and also five roots [31]. There are innumerable case reports and clinical studies of maxillary first molar on varied root canal morphology. Since this article is on extra palatal root, (Table 1) encapsulates all the case reports done so far in maxillary first molar with palatal root aberrations.

2. Case Report

A 41 year old female patient complained of spontaneous pain in the decayed right maxillary first molar. On clinical examination, the tooth had a deep carious lesion on the distoocclusal surface. Pain on probing was present but the tooth was not tender to percussion. Periodontal probing was within physiological
limits. Thermal and EPT vitality test was conducted and a response suggestive of irreversible pulpitis was elicited and endodontic treatment was planned. Assessment of preoperative radiograph revealed radiolucency of distal crown portion involving pulp chamber. Lamina dura remained intact. The information regarding number of roots and types of the root canals was inconclusive suggesting some type of morphological variations. Additional off-angle radiographs delineated an extra root.

Table 1. Summary of previous clinical cases with palatal root aberrations in maxillary first molar

| Author                | Year | Type of study       | Number of roots | Number of canals | Root canal system classification |
|-----------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Thews et al [27]      | 1979 | a. Case report      | 2               | 1                | Type I                           |
|                       |      | b. Case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Harris [34]           | 1980 | Case report         | 1               | 2                | Type IV                          |
| Cecic et al [16]      | 1982 | Case report         | 1               | 2                | Type V                           |
| Bond et al [6]        | 1988 | Case report         | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Wong [7]              | 1991 | Case report         | 1               | 3                | Type I                           |
| Jacobsen and Nii [29] | 1994 | a. Case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
|                       |      | b. Case report      | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
|                       |      | c. Case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Holtzman [35]         | 1997 | a. Case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
|                       |      | b. Case report      | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type IV                          |
| Di fiore [28]         | 1999 | Case report         | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
| Filho et al [30]      | 2002 | a. Case report      | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
|                       |      | b. Ex vivo study    | 1               | 2                | Type I                           |
| Maggiore et al [36]   | 2002 | Case report         | 1               | 3                | Type IX                          |
|                       |      | *Single canal with apical trifurcation(1-3) Type IX |
| Barbizam et al [31]   | 2004 | a. Case report      | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
|                       |      | b. Case report      | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
|                       |      | c. Ex vivo study    | 1               | 2                | Type I                           |
| Adanir [5]            | 2007 | Case report         | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
|                       |      | *Single canal in one root 2 canals in another root Type I Type II |
| Gopikrishna et al [26]| 2008 | Case report         | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
| Holderrieth and Gernhardt [37]| 2009 | a. case report | 1 | 1 | Type V |
|                       |      | b. case report      | 1               | 2                | Type IV                          |
| Deepalakshmi et al [38]| 2010 | case report        | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Aggarwal et al [18]   | 2009 | case report         | 1               | 2                | Type IV                          |
| Karthikeyan and Mahalakshmi [21]| 2010 | a. case report | 1 | 2 | Type I |
|                       |      | b. case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
|                       |      | c. case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Johal [39]            | 2001 | case report         | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Mirdha and Ponnapa [17]| 2011 | case report        | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Reddy et al [32]      | 2011 | a. case report      | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |
|                       |      | b. case report      | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Kottoor et al [1]     | 2011 | case report         | 1               | 2                | Type II                          |
| Veganeh et al [40]    | 2012 | case report         | 1               | 2                | Type IV                          |
| Mathew et al [33]     | 2013 | case report         | 2               | 1 in each root   | Type I                           |

*Sert and Bayirli’s Type IX

The tooth was isolated with a rubber dam under local anesthesia. Conventional coronal access was performed and pulp tissue removed. Four separate root canal orifices were found at the corners of a quadrangular shaped chamber floor. After scouting the canals with no. 10 and 15 Kfiles, coronal flaring with Gates glidden drill no.3 and no.2 was done. Working length was estimated with an apex locater (Propex Pixi, Dentsply Maillefer). A radiograph with the estimated working length was taken, this confirmed the real root length and the four independent roots, two buccal and two palatal classified as type I according to Christie et al [10].

The canals were instrumented initially with no.15 and no.20 K files followed by chemomechanical preparation with rotary Niti files (Protaper, Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland) in a crown down technique. The working solution was 3% sodium hypochlorite (Novo, dental products), changed with each file. 17% EDTA (Desmear, Anabond Stedman, Pharma) solution was used to remove the smear layer. Final rinse was done with 2% Chlorhexidine (RC-Chlor, Azure). The canals were dried with absorbent points and obturation was performed with gutta-percha and Zinc oxide eugenol sealer. Access cavity was sealed with composite material (ValuX plus, 3M ESPE) and an appropriate definitive coronal restoration was planned. After a 12 month period, the patient was reviewed and was found clinically asymptomatic. An orthopantogram (OPG) was taken as an evaluative radiograph. (Figure 1 A-C).
3. Cone Beam Computer Tomography (CBCT)

CBCT imaging was performed on an extracted maxillary molar with 4 roots to study the internal root canal anatomy. This tooth had been extracted from a patient in surgery department due to poor periodontal prognosis. 3D imaging was done with a CBCT scanner (Planmeca Promax 3D Mid, Chennai, India) at a tube voltage of 90kvp and tube current of 10mA. Axial slices of molar tooth of 200µm thickness were obtained at different levels to exactly evaluate the root canal pattern in the 4 roots. The axial images were transmitted to a commercially available dental program (Planmeca Romexis Software) to reformat into 3-dimensional images in all three planes.

CT scan slices revealed 4 roots and 4 root canals. All the 4 roots- mesiobuccal, distobuccal, mesiopalatal and distopalatal roots presented with a Vertucci type I and Weine type I canal pattern (Figure 2 A-E). The anatomic laws generally act as a guidance for proper access and exact location of canal orifices [41]. All anatomic laws are applicable to maxillary molars except Laws of symmetry 1 and 2. The Law of symmetry 1 states that the orifices of the canals are equidistant from a line drawn in a mesial-distal direction through the center of the pulp chamber floor. Law of symmetry 2 states that the orifices of the canals lie on a line perpendicular to a line drawn in a mesial-distal direction through the center of the pulp chamber floor. On examining the pulp chamber floor of a maxillary molar with 4 roots having a Christie et al type I root pattern, both the Laws of symmetry 1 and 2 are appropriate and applicable (Figure 2 B).

CBCT reconstructed images are invaluable for assessing the variations in root canal anatomy. In fact the data obtained can be considered as “Gold standard”. If radiographs are considered to be the “eyes” of the dentist according to Walton, then CBCT can be considered as a “true vision” to endodontist.

4. Discussion

Extensive literature [9,45], clinical study [2,8,30,41,46,47,48,49] and case reports are presented on maxillary first molars (Table 2). Among the root canal anomalies of maxillary molars, the least frequent appears to be that of palatal root. In reviewing root and canal morphology according to Cleghorn et al [9] the frequency of a maxillary first molar with two roots or two palatal canals is very low 3.9 and 1% respectively. The presence of double palatal roots in maxillary molar is quite a rare phenomenon most frequently limited to maxillary second.

Figure 1. Right maxillary first molar with four roots. (A) Preoperative radiograph. (B) Master cone radiograph. (C) OPG after one year follow-up to simultaneously check for bilateral presence

Figure 2. CBCT images of an extracted maxillary molar with four roots showing axial sections. (A) Root of pulp chamber; (B) Floor of pulp chamber; (C) Tetrafurcation level (D) Middle 1/3rd; (E) Apical 1/3rd
molar. Many reports and clinical studies of second maxillary molar with 4 roots are published. Libfeld and Rostein [45] in a survey of 1200 maxillary second molars found 0.4% of the sample having this condition. Peikoff et al [50] indicated the frequency of variation to be around 1.4%.

Table 2. List of reviews and anatomical studies on maxillary first and second molars

| Author                  | Year | Type of study                        |
|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|
| Libfeld and Rotstein    | 1989 | Review and radiographic assessment   |
| Christie et al          | 1991 | Retrospective study                  |
| Pecora et al            | 1992 | Clinical study                       |
| Sempira and Hartwell    | 2000 | Clinical study                       |
| Krasner and Rankow      | 2004 | Clinical study                       |
| Cleghorn et al          | 2006 | Review                               |
| Filho et al             | 2009 | Clinical study                       |
| Neelakantan et al       | 2010 | Micro-computed tomography study      |
| Versiani et al          | 2012 | CBCT study                           |

Christie et al [10] also speculated that maxillary molars with 2 palatal roots may be encountered once every 3 years in a busy endodontic practice. The frequency of 4 rooted anatomy in maxillary 1st molars is extremely low. Very few case reports of maxillary 1st molar with 4 roots are discussed (Table 1).

The dentist should possess thorough knowledge and be aware that any tooth in the dental arch can present with unusual anatomical variations. To treat such cases successfully a schematic representation in access management “Access Success Triad” which includes triple ‘A’- Armamentarium, Acquaintance to extra canals and Advanced diagnostic aids is shown in (Figure 3). The ultimate goal of endodontic treatment is to create a conducive environment for effective healing. To achieve this proper access is of prime importance. Successful access management followed by procedure such as cleaning, shaping, filling and final restoration leads to eventual endodontic success.

5. Conclusion

A careful exploration of radiographs and if required additional advanced diagnostic tools like CBCT, Micro CT are essential. Awareness regarding possible variations in internal anatomy of teeth is of paramount significance in treating the challenges of pulp space anatomy and eventually leading to success in root canal treatment.
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