ABSTRACT

Type 2 diabetes management can be improved by the use of second-generation basal insulin analogues as the first choice on commencement of insulin, in this instance focusing on insulin glargine 300 U/mL (Gla-300). The clinical application of the use of Gla-300 include advantages such as less intra- and interpatient variability in glucose control resulting in rather less hypoglycaemia, longer duration of action and greater flexibility in the timing of administration thus suitting a wide range of patient presentations.
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WHEN SHOULD I CONSIDER INITIATING INSULIN?

As the worldwide prevalence of type 2 diabetes increases (8.8% of the adult population) [1], general practice has become the principal point of care for the majority of these patients. Type 2 diabetes is a progressive disease associated with multiple long-term complications [2], mostly directly related to glycaemic control as measured by glycated haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c). While the recent advent of newer therapies has resulted in wider choice of treatments, insulin remains the most effective way of lowering blood glucose. In most clinical scenarios it is introduced when other therapies are unable to effectively reduce glucose levels to achieve individualised HbA1c targets. However, there is evidence that there still exists considerable clinical inertia around introducing insulin. Studies in Australia and internationally have shown that insulin was often initiated after HbA1c levels had remained between 8% and 9.3% for an extended period of time [3–5], thereby increasing the risk of diabetes complications. Barriers that underlie this include practitioner and patient fear of hypoglycaemia and the complexity of initiation [6]. As general
practice is the main point of care for these patients, it is essential that healthcare providers become familiar with the use of insulin and are comfortable with its initiation. The newer second-generation insulin analogues provide an excellent option with a simple initiation regimen and a reduced risk of hypoglycaemia.

Insulin initiation may be useful in the following clinical scenarios:

1. Metabolic decompensation—hyperglycaemia with polyuria, polydipsia, weight loss.
2. Maximally tolerated non-insulin agents without achievement of glycaemic targets.

When insulin therapy is initiated and titrated, it is important to make the correct choice to minimise impact on patients. Choosing an insulin that promotes simpler regimens, less hypoglycaemia and prolonged daily activity with less variability will allow flexibility for modern lifestyles and dietary patterns. Newer second-generation basal insulin analogues with changes in pharmacodynamics can assist the clinicians to support glycaemic management focussing on patient needs.

To address these issues, the authors have reviewed the evidence that second-generation insulin analogue options can assist in improving outcomes supported by clinical guidelines.

**COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICS GUIDELINES**

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**WHY SHOULD I CHOOSE A SECOND-GENERATION INSULIN ANALOGUE?**

**Pharmacology**

Second-generation basal insulin analogues insulin glargine 300 U/mL (Toujeo®) and insulin degludec (Tresiba®) have been developed to address clinical needs for a stable predictable basal insulin response beyond 24 h. These insulin analogues are characterised by different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties compared to insulin glargine 100 U/mL (Gla-100), a first-generation basal insulin analogue commonly used in type 2 diabetes, and insulin detemir [7–9].

Second-generation basal insulins have a comparatively longer duration of action (> 24 h), less glycaemic fluctuation (more even 24 h distribution) and less intrapatient and interpatient variability [10]. These pharmacological differences have important implications for practice.

**Supportive Clinical Trial Evidence**

A comprehensive collection of clinical trials comparing Gla-300 to current insulins, oral hypoglycaemic agents and in a variety of populations has been done [11–14] (EDITION studies). These are multinational trials with a range of patient populations. The ‘average’ patient was aged 57–60 years, 52–57% being male with an HbA1c of 8.1–8.6%.

EDITION 1, 2 and 3 demonstrated lower rates of hypoglycaemic events and particularly nocturnal hypoglycaemia, positively comparing Gla-300 to Gla-100 [12, 14]. EDITION 4 demonstrated comparable HbA1c levels between the two insulins but the eventual insulin Gla-300 dose was 0.62 U/kg/day compared to 0.53 U/kg/day for Gla-100 [13].

An independent meta-analysis comparing the EDITION and the BEGIN programmes involving degludec (IDeg) [15] suggested that, despite reductions in fasting plasma glucose (FPG), IDeg was associated with less improvement in HbA1c versus Gla-100 with a hypoglycaemia benefit only evident at night. Gla-300 showed similar HbA1c reduction to Gla-100 with lower risk of hypoglycaemia both at night and any time of the day.

Similarly, in a review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with Gla-300 and IDeg in patients with both type 1 and 2 diabetes [16], the study showed that a large number of patients succeeded in meeting HbA1c targets.
but also had less hypoglycaemic events with both insulins versus first-generation insulin glargine 100 U/mL.

Clinical Implications

Second-generation basal insulin analogues such as Gla-300 and IDeg are at least as efficacious as first-generation basal insulin analogues in glycaemic control but have lower risk of hypoglycaemia (including nocturnal hypoglycaemia). Because of the longer insulin action beyond 24 h, they are also very suitable for patients who have irregular meal patterns, shift workers, frequent travellers travelling across multiple time zones and the forgetful patient.

UTILISING SECOND-GENERATION INSULIN GLARGINE 300 U/ML INSULIN IN CLINICAL PRACTICE

Case Vignette 1: Commencing Insulin and Titrating

John is a 58-year-old male non-smoker with a body mass index (BMI) of 32 and diagnosed with type 2 diabetes for 10 years. He is employed at a refinery and does night shifts every 3 weeks.

He has evidence of early peripheral neuropathy and an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of 70 mL/min/1.73 m² without microalbuminuria, and no other microvascular or macrovascular complications. Despite good adherence to diet and exercise programs and treatment with maximum tolerated doses of metformin, gliclazide and sitagliptin, his HbA1c has been consistently increasing over the past 9 months and is now 77 mmol/mol (9.2%).

Case Comment

Adequate glycaemic control is important to prevent the onset of further microvascular complications in a person who needs to live a healthy life with many years ahead living with diabetes. Thus, his individualised target HbA1c is ≤ 53 mmol/mol (7%) if possible, without raising risks of hypoglycaemia. When considering the available therapies, to achieve target HbA1c without added oral tablet burden, and efficacy, insulin remains the glycaemic lowering therapy that is flexible, and efficacious to assist John in achieving his target. He would be an ideal candidate for a second-generation analogue such as insulin glargine 300 U/mL owing to the longer duration of action and because it can be taken at any time each day to suit his shift work. European and American Guidelines suggest that additional oral medication would not be a usual choice because of the level of his hyperglycaemia [17]. Initiating second-generation basal insulin analogues with his existing oral glucose-lowering therapies provides an opportunity to reduce delays in achieving effective glycaemic control (i.e. clinical inertia) [18, 19]. The Bright study revealed that using Gla-300 could achieve adequate glycaemic control compared to IDeg with lower rates of hypoglycaemia [18]. Adding a Gla-300 to existing oral regimes may also offset the need for escalating doses of insulin. Alternatives could be a long-acting glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists but rationalisation of existing therapies would need to be hastened (ceasing the dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor [DPP4i] at initiation), potentially destabilising glycaemic control at this vital stage of upgrading glycaemic management. Also, GLP-1 agents lack the greater flexibility to titrate doses to glycaemic effect compared to insulin. As John has no established cardiac disease, the imperative to use agents that have been shown to benefit patients with established cardiovascular disease, such as a sodium/glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT2i) and liraglutide or dulaglutide (GLP-1 receptor agonists), may not be a priority.

Initiation of Basal Insulin

In insulin-naive patients, the recommendation is to commence a single daily insulin dose of Gla-300, commencing with 0.2 units/kg = 18 units] The titration goal is to achieve a morning
fasting glucose between 4 and 7 mmol/L [20]. John was instructed in self-monitoring of his glucose plus hypoglycaemia management and asked to increase his dose as per Fig. 1, until he achieves his target fasting glucose without symptomatic or measurable hypoglycaemia.

Once John is close to achieving his fasting glucose goal and HbA1c, consideration should be given to reviewing existing oral therapies (see Fig. 3). His sulphonylurea (gliclazide) could be ceased to reduce the risk of hypoglycaemia but this must be matched by insulin dose titration to prevent further hyperglycaemia. If a replacement treatment is required consideration could be given to adding an agent to his metformin such as an SGLT2i. Alternatively, consideration could be given to gradually ceasing both his DPP4i (sitagliptin) and sulphonylurea (gliclazide) and introducing a GLP-1 receptor agonist such as short-acting exenatide to address his postprandial hyperglycaemia which may offer similar advantages but at the inconvenience of additional daily injections [21].

**Case Vignette 2: Switching Between Insulin Regimes**

Mary is a 64-year-old non-smoker who has had type 2 diabetes for 16 years. She is on a pre-mix (NovoMix 30®) insulin at 40 units with her main meal and 48 units with her evening meal, as well as metformin 2 g/day, and empagliflozin 25 mg/day. Since commencing insulin she has gained about 6% of her body weight and now weighs 102 kg. She has atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 3a (eGFR = 52), peripheral neuropathy and moderate non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy. She is troubled by frequent episodes of hypoglycaemia measured by flash glucose monitoring, especially overnight, and is becoming concerned as she lives alone. Her HbA1c (66 mmol/mol; 8.2%) is still not at her agreed target of 58 mmol/mol (7.5%).

**Case Comment**

In patients who are on insulin therapy and experiencing hypoglycaemic episodes, switching to a second-generation basal insulin analogue is an option. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) can be used to detect hidden hypoglycaemic episodes [22, 23]. Switching to a second-generation basal insulin analogue is a logical choice e.g. for the elderly, those living alone, those with inadequate glucose control requiring intensification or in patients having difficulties maintaining a strict 24-h dosing schedule.

Hypoglycaemia is a major risk factor for Mary and the risk could be considerably reduced by switching her to a second-gener-
ation basal insulin analogue plus a short-acting insulin if required (basal plus) or basal insulin with a GLP-1 receptor agonist. This reflects a flatter 24-h glycaemic lowering effect of the newer-generation insulin analogues [7–9].

When commencing glargine U300, the starting dose would be her total daily insulin less 30% [(40 units + 48 units = 88 units) − 30% = 58 units] (see Fig. 2). This could be introduced as a single morning or evening dose. Her Gla-300 will be titrated against her fasting blood glucose level (BGL).

Once her morning fasting blood glucose is near 6 mmol/L, and there is no evidence of hypoglycaemia, we can focus on closely monitoring her postprandial blood glucose. If there is significant postprandial BGL excursions (see Fig. 3), consideration could be given to the introduction of a GLP-1 receptor agonist with proven cardiovascular benefits, to assist with controlling the postprandial glucose and help her reduce weight without a significant increased risk of hypoglycaemia or introducing short-acting insulin, initially to those meals showing the greatest postprandial BGL excursions (basal plus) where a GLP-1 receptor agonist may not be efficacious enough to control significant postprandial hyperglycaemia (see Fig. 4).

**SUMMARY PRACTICE POINTS**

See Figs. 2, 3 and 4.

---

**Current Insulin users:**

- Using first generation basal insulin analogues only: Total units of existing insulin = daily *initiation* dose of
  - Using Pre-mix insulin - Dose is [total daily insulin units (basal plus prandial)] − (30%) = daily *initiation* dose for Glargine 300
- Titration:
  - Patient controlled: **One unit per day to goal:** 4.4-5.6 mmol/L [24]
  - Physician controlled: Weekly using Fasting BGL (Goal is 4.4-5.6 mmol/L) [13]

| Fasting BGL | Dose adjustment |
|-------------|-----------------|
| ≥3.3 to <4.4 mmol/L | Reduce 3U |
| ≥4.4 to 5.6 mmol/L | Stay same dose  Nil |
| > 5.6 & < 7.8 mmol/L | Increase 3U |
| ≥ 7.8 mmol/L | Increase 6U |

- **Add prandial insulin at the largest meal (Basal plus) if continued significant post-prandial hyperglycaemia (≥10 mmol/L)**

Fig. 2 Switching to insulin glargine 300 U/mL (Toujeo®)
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