The independent association between altered renal arterial resistance and loop diuretic dose in chronic heart failure outpatients

Massimo Iacoviello a,⁎, AnnaLisa Daronzo a, Valeria Paradies a, Valeria Antoncecchia a, Francesco Montitillo a, Gaetano Citarelli a, Marta Leone a, Agata Puzzovivo a, Loreto Gesualdo b, Marco Matteo Ciccone a

a Cardiology Unit, Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, University of Bari, Bari, Italy
b Nephrology Unit, Department of Emergency and Organ Transplantation, University of Bari, Bari, Italy

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 28 September 2014
Received in revised form 17 March 2015
Accepted 18 March 2015
Available online 25 March 2015

Keywords:
Renal resistance index
Chronic heart failure
Diuretic
Therapy
Renal function

A B S T R A C T

Background: In chronic heart failure (CHF) patients there is a wide variability in the minimal effective diuretic dose. The aim of this study is to evaluate whether renal resistance index (RRI) is associated to baseline diuretic dose and the changes at one year.

Methods and results: 250 outpatients in a stable condition and in conventional therapy were enrolled. Baseline RRI was calculated by renal arterial Doppler. The total daily dose of loop diuretics was assessed at baseline and after one year. High diuretic dose (HDD) was defined as a daily furosemide equivalent dose >100 mg. RRI was independently associated with baseline HDD at univariate (OR 1.39; 95% CI: 1.23–1.58; p < 0.001) and multivariate analysis (OR 1.27; 95% CI: 1.09–1.49; p: 0.002) after correction for other univariate predictors (age, NYHA class, left ventricular ejection fraction, tricuspid annulus peak of systolic excursion, NT-proBNP, glomerular filtration rate by EPI formula and central venous pressure). Moreover, baseline RRI was independently associated to one year stable increase in loop diuretic dose at univariate and multivariate regression analyses. Conclusion: RRI is independently associated with high dose loop diuretics and their increase during a mid-term follow-up thus suggesting its usefulness in detecting an altered diuretic response in CHF outpatients.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In chronic heart failure (CHF) patients diuretic therapy plays a key role in the relief of symptoms due to fluid overload as well as the control of both intracardiac and intravascular filling pressure [1]. However, diuretics are also affected by possible negative effects [2,3] and consequently, the minimum dosage necessary to keep patients in stable clinical conditions is generally prescribed [1]. It is worth noting that this dosage can vary greatly among patients due to the variability of dose–response curves. When a diuretic dose–response curve shifts downwards and to the right in CHF, a higher dose of diuretics is necessary in order to achieve the same level of sodium excretion [3].

The alterations of dose–response curves in CHF are related to several renal factors responsible for a reduced nephron response, such as a reduction in glomerular filtration rate, distal convoluted tubule cell hypertrophy, and the activation of adrenergic and renin–angiotensin–aldosterone systems [4]. Moreover, all these mechanisms can cause abnormalities in renal perfusion which can further contribute to a reduced diuretic response and to the progressive evolution towards diuretic resistance [5]. In this setting, the estimation of renal perfusion and renal arterial resistances could help the clinician to better characterise the pathophysiological background and the need for higher dose diuretics.

Renal arterial resistance index (RRI), a parameter reflecting vascular [6] and parenchymal renal abnormalities [7], has recently been proposed as a useful tool in detecting renal perfusion abnormalities and identifying patients prone to heart failure progression [8]. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether RRI is also independently associated to diuretic dose and to its mid-term increase in a group of CHF outpatients.

2. Methods

Outpatients with CHF of any origin who had been referred to the Heart Failure Unit of the University of Bari between January 2010 and January 2013 were enrolled in the study. At enrolment, those who had been clinically stable for at least 30 days and had been on conventional medical and electrical therapy for at least 3 months were included. Patients with acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF), acute worsening of kidney function or renal failure requiring dialysis or transplantation were excluded.
were excluded from the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

This was a secondary analysis of a study that had been approved by the local ethics committee, the main findings of which have already been published [8].

2.1. Baseline evaluations

At enrolment, a medical visit, an ECG, an echocardiographic examination and a renal arterial Doppler evaluation were performed.

2.2. Medical examination and electrocardiogram

A documented record of the patients’ ischemic heart disease, arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus was made. Cardiovascular drugs taken by patients were accurately documented. The New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, weight, height, systolic and diastolic arterial pressure were also evaluated and a 12-lead ECG was performed to evaluate heart rhythm and heart rate.

2.3. Echocardiographic evaluation

Echocardiographic images were obtained using an echocardiograph (Vivid 7, GE Vingmed Ultrasound, General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) equipped with a 4 MHz probe. Left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic volume and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) were calculated using Simpson’s rule [9]. Right ventricular systolic function was assessed by measuring the systolic peak of tricuspid annular plane excursion (TAPSE).

Colour Doppler semi-quantitative evaluation of mitral regurgitation (MR) and tricuspid regurgitation (TR) was performed, with arbitrary units ranging from 0 to 4. Dilatation of the inferior vena cava and its collapsibility during inspiration were evaluated in order to estimate central venous pressure (CVP). In presence of a vena cava of normal diameter with good collapse CVP was estimated at 3 mm Hg, in intermediate cases the estimate was 8 mm Hg [10]. Pulmonary arterial systolic pressure (PASP) was evaluated by calculating the peak velocity of tricuspid regurgitation and estimated CVP [10].

2.4. Chemical evaluations

Blood samples were taken to evaluate amino-terminal brain natriuretic peptide NT-proBNP (immunoassay Dade Behring, Eschborn, Germany) and serum creatinine (mg/dl). The glomerular filtration rate was calculated using the abbreviated CKD-EPI formula (GFR-EPI, ml/min/1.73 m²) [11].

2.5. Renal arterial echo-colour Doppler

Renal arterial Doppler was performed after echocardiographic examination with the same echograph (Vivid 7, GE Vingmed Ultrasound, General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) and the same 4 MHz probe, by moving the patient to the sitting position and using a posterior approach to the kidney. The course of right or left renal segmental arteries was visualised by colour Doppler flow and pulsed Doppler was performed at the mid-tract level of the best one visualised. Every effort was made to obtain the best alignment of the ultrasonic beam. An average of 2 to 3 measurements of peak systolic velocity and end-diastolic velocity was used to calculate the renal arterial resistance index according to Peurcelot’s formula, i.e. 100 x (1 — (end diastolic velocity / peak systolic velocity)) [12]. Patients with a Doppler pattern suggesting renal artery stenosis [13,14] were excluded. A single operator, blinded to baseline diuretic dose, measured RRI.

2.6. Diuretic therapy and follow-up

The clinical practice in our Heart Failure Unit is to progressively reduce diuretic dose, if possible, based on the clinical conditions and changes in echocardiographic examinations. The minimum diuretic dose which can maintain patients in a stable clinical condition is generally prescribed. Patients are followed-up with scheduled visits (every one to six months according to NYHA functional class impairment) or with unscheduled visits in cases of worsening clinical status. The diuretic dose is increased in the case of worsening of symptoms or signs of congestion or after acute decompensated heart failure admission.

At the time of enrolment, all patients had been taking the minimum dose of diuretics for at least 30 days. The daily furosemide equivalent dose was calculated for each patient taking loop diuretics. High diuretic dose (HDD) has been defined as an equivalent furosemide dose >100 mg/die, that is 4 times the minimal basal dose [15]. The furosemide equivalent dose was reassessed after 1 year. The stable increase of ≥50% in the equivalent furosemide basal dose at 1 year follow-up was considered as the end-point reflecting a significant increase in diuretic dose.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Categorical variables are reported as frequencies and percentages. The between-group comparisons were made by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Newman–Keuls test for multiple comparisons for continuous variables. For categorical variables chi-squared and McNemar tests were used as appropriate. The receiving operating characteristic (ROC) curves for sensitivity and specificity were constructed in order to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of RRI in predicting diuretic increase by the areas under the curves (AUC). The best cut-off for the end-point analysed was defined on the basis of the greatest sum of sensitivity and specificity.

A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed in order to define the parameters independently correlated to HDD and to the increase of diuretic dose from baseline. Due to skewed distribution, NT-proBNP was assessed using logarithmically transformed values (base 10). Variable selection in multivariate models was based on statistical significance at univariate analysis. To avoid multicollinearity, redundant variables were dropped from the multivariate regression models in the case of pairwise correlations between continuous variables [16].

The odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were also computed to estimate the association between RRI and/or CVP and the significant increase in loop diuretic dose.

A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were made using Statistica 6.1 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma) and R software (R Development Core Team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

3. Results

Two hundred and fifty patients out of a total of 262 were enrolled in the study [8]. Twelve patients were excluded for the following reasons: two had a Doppler pattern of renal arterial stenosis, three had a poor quality pulsed Doppler that did not permit a reliable RRI evaluation and the remaining seven patients because of either acute decompensated heart failure or early loss to follow-up. Of the remaining 250 patients, 30 were not taking loop diuretic at baseline, and five were taking thiazides. Of the remaining 215 patients, 28 were excluded at one year evaluation (14 died, 2 underwent urgent heart transplantation, 12 did not perform 1 year evaluation). Therefore the 187 patients who made up our study cohort underwent a 1 year evaluation. Their baseline clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1.
CVP: central venous pressure. GFR-EPI: glomerular filtration rate by CKD-EPI formula; PASP: systolic peak of pulmonary arterial pressure; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; MR: mitral regurgitation; NT-proBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; TAPSE: peak of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TR: tricuspid regurgitation.

3.2. RRI and 1 year increase of loop diuretic dose

Of the patients enrolled, 35 (19%) reached the end-point of the stable increase in loop diuretic dose. In 12 patients the increase was consequent to hospitalization due to acute decompensated heart failure and in 23 to NYHA class worsening. Patients with increased one year diuretic dose, when compared to those without increased dose, did not show a significant difference of baseline daily furosemide equivalent diuretic dose (67 ± 67 vs. 90 ± 90 mg/die; p: 0.9). At univariate Cox regression analysis a one year increase in loop diuretic dose ≥50% was significantly associated with age (OR: 1.26; 95% CI: 1.09–1.45; p: 0.002), GFR-EPI (OR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.74–0.98; p: 0.023), logarithm NT-proBNP (OR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.14–1.51; p: < 0.001), LVEF (OR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.75–0.99; p: 0.044), CVP (OR: 1.28; 95% CI: 1.12–1.48; p: < 0.001) and RRI (OR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.19–1.57; p: < 0.001). At multivariate regression analysis only CVP (OR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.05–1.4; p: 0.008) and RRI (OR: 1.21; 95% CI: 1.01–1.44; p: 0.040) remained associated to the end-point.

At ROC curve (AUC: 0.71; 95% CI: 0.61–0.80) a RRI cut-off of 71 showed the best value in predicting an increase in loop diuretic dose with a sensitivity of 79% and a specificity of 58%. Fig. 1 shows the comparison between groups of patients with a RRI ≥71 and <71 who underwent both baseline and 1 year evaluation. Patients with higher RRI were characterised by significantly higher baseline loop diuretic dosage. Moreover, diuretic dosage further and significantly increased after 1 year (panel A). Similarly, a greater percentage of patients with HDD was observed in the group with higher RRI which further and significantly increased at 1 year (panel B).

Finally, in 79 patients with RRI ≥71 or CVP >5 mm Hg a higher probability of an increase in loop diuretic dose ≥50% was observed compared to patients without this risk factor (OR: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.13–1.52; p: 0.001). This risk was even greater in 21 patients with both these risk factors at baseline (OR: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.39–1.94; p < 0.001).

4. Discussion

The main finding of this study is the independent association between RRI, basal high diuretic dose and one-year increase of furosemide dose in a population of CHF outpatients.

RRI is a parameter reflecting abnormalities in renal perfusion, which we have recently found to be independently associated with heart failure progression [8]. Interestingly, even though it was strictly correlated to the world-wide accepted marker of renal dysfunction, its predictive value was incremental to that of estimated GFR, thus suggesting its
ability to better characterise renal dysfunction in CHF outpatients. The incremental information carried by RRI is strictly related to the pathophysiological conditions underlying the increase in renal vascular resistance. Firstly, a high RRI may reflect the abnormalities of the renal arteries related to vascular lesions [17] and/or an increased arterial stiffness [6]. Secondly, intra-renal hemodynamic changes due to both parenchymal and vascular abnormalities can cause an RRI increase [7]. Thirdly, a high RRI could be caused by increased CVP, as the latter determines higher intra-abdominal and intra-renal pressures [18,19]. Finally, neurohormonal hyperactivity can worsen systemic congestion and contribute to increased intravascular resistance [20].

All the abovementioned pathophysiological factors can explain the independent relationship we found with high diuretic dose. A decreased response of the nephron to diuretic therapy in CHF patients when compared to normal patients has been widely demonstrated. The dose–response curve shows a downward and right shift, thus requiring a higher dose in order to achieve the same level of sodium excretion [21]. However, in CHF patients, there is a high interindividual variability in loop diuretic response. Consequently, there could be patients with a similar left ventricular dysfunction who require either very high or low doses in order to maintain a euvolemic state [22]. Nevertheless, it is not unlikely that changes in renal perfusion can play a key role in determining differences in the dose–response curve of diuretics. In fact, the increased renal arterial resistance can cause water and sodium retention because of the reduction in glomerular filtration pressure [23]. Moreover, the reduced GFR could lead to a reduced delivery of diuretic molecules to their site of action at Henle’s loop [24,25].

The decreased diuretic response could be further worsened when an increased arterial renal resistance is associated to a high CVP [19,25]. In this condition, a further decline in GFR could be determined by an increase in both renal interstitial pressure and renal tubular pressure [19]. As a consequence, the net hydrostatic pressure difference between the glomerular capillaries and the tubule (i.e. one of the main driving forces for GFR) is reduced and diuretic response can be affected [25].

Our findings further support the potential harmful effects of RRI and CVP by demonstrating that these parameters are also independently associated with diuretic dose increase during a mid-term follow-up. In fact, the presence of RRI or CVP is associated with a higher risk of diuretic dose increase and this risk becomes even greater when both factors are present. These results suggest that the coexistence of renal venous congestion and high arterial renal resistance can cause a progressive loss of response to diuretics and this self-perpetuating vicious circle could lead to diuretic resistance. However, it should also be considered that several other mechanisms might be involved in the diuretic dose increase at mid-term follow-up, such as neurohormonal activation, cytokines, adaptive changes of nephrons, and the bioavailability of oral furosemide influenced by delayed intestinal absorption.

Finally, in our study cohort no independent association was found between GFR estimated by EPI formula and diuretic dose. Chronic kidney failure can cause a change in the dose–response curve of diuretics. In particular, the curve shifts to the right and higher doses are required to obtain a significant diuretic effect [26]. Nevertheless, our results suggest that, the main determinant of the variability in diuretics response is

### Table 3
Multivariate regression models to estimate the predictors of baseline high diuretic dose.

| Model | OR (95% CI) | P | OR (95% CI) | P | OR (95% CI) | P |
|-------|-------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| Model 1 |             |   |             |   |             |   |
| Diabetes | 1.11 (0.98–1.27) | 0.116 | 1.11 (0.98–1.27) | 0.111 | 1.11 (0.98–1.27) | 0.113 |
| NYHA | 1.14 (0.99–1.31) | 0.055 | 1.13 (0.99–1.29) | 0.077 | 1.13 (0.99–1.30) | 0.073 |
| GFR-EPI | 1.08 (0.93–1.27) | 0.311 | 1.07 (0.91–1.26) | 0.394 | 1.08 (0.93–1.27) | 0.319 |
| NT-proBNP | 1.12 (0.97–1.30) | 0.139 | 1.09 (0.94–1.28) | 0.240 | 1.15 (0.92–1.43) | 0.057 |
| LVEF | 0.84 (0.73–0.96) | 0.013 | 0.85 (0.74–0.97) | 0.019 | 0.83 (0.72–0.96) | 0.011 |
| TAPSE | 0.87 (0.77–0.99) | 0.045 | 0.86 (0.76–0.98) | 0.026 | 0.87 (0.76–0.99) | 0.042 |
| PVC >5 | 1.20 (1.05–1.38) | 0.007 | – | – | – | – |
| RRI | 1.22 (1.04–1.44) | 0.018 | 1.206 (1.021–1.425) | 0.029 | 1.21 (1.02–1.42) | 0.030 |
| PASP | – | – | 1.217 (1.059–1.398) | 0.006 | – | – |
| TR | – | – | – | – | 1.16 (1.01–1.33) | 0.041 |

* After log-transformation. For abbreviations see Table 1.

**Fig. 1.** Results of patients at both baseline and 1 year evaluation, according to an RRI ≥71 (92 patients) or less (95 patients). Panel A shows the baseline and one year mean diuretic dose in the two groups and panel B shows the percentage of patients taking high diuretic dose. * vs. RRI <71; † vs. baseline. RRI: renal resistance index. HDD: high diuretic dose.
the complex inter-relationship between the hemodynamic parameters related to renal blood flow as opposed to GFR.

4.1. Limitations of the study

Our study is a secondary analysis of a previously published paper. The analysis of diuretic dose was possible due to the fact that its use in our heart failure unit is standardised and conforms to current guidelines [1]. We did not measure RRI after one year follow-up. These data could be interesting in order to evaluate how this parameter changes over time and how it is related to the therapy.

In conclusion, RRI is a parameter reflecting arterial renal perfusion which is independently associated with the high dose of loop diuretics as well as with its increase during a mid-term follow-up. High central venous pressure is also a condition independently related to the diuretic dose increase. These data suggest the potential usefulness of renal resistance index and central venous pressure assessment in order to detect patients with an altered diuretic dose–response curve which can also lead to the development of diuretic resistance. In addition, the present findings may represent the basis for further investigations aimed at optimizing therapies intended to improve renal perfusion and, as a consequence, the response of CHF patients to diuretic therapy.
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