The ability to distinguish elite from nonelite athletes is not clearly defined. Traditionally, those athletes drafted in higher rounds or playing in higher divisions are elite. Differentiating these levels is a multifaceted process. Several variables have been investigated to define the elite athlete, including anthropometric and physiologic characteristics, balance, the role of the athlete on the team, length of training, type of performance training, talent development and maturation, and physical performance. The multiple variables attest to the complexity of the elite athlete.

Confounding the delineation is the question of which performance characteristics are most predictive of success. Are elite athletes simply of a different genetic makeup than nonelite athletes? Can performance variables such as strength, power, endurance, and agility be trained at a level sufficient to make one an elite athlete?

Successful performance in sport during childhood and adolescence is affected by a wide range of physical and physiologic factors that operate in a sport-specific manner. While training specific performance variables engenders future success for some young children and adolescents, a more comprehensive analysis insinuates that the interaction between genetic and training factors promotes elite performance.

**OPERATIONAL DEFINITION**

The studies analyzed used a wide array of definitions for an elite athlete. For the endurance athlete, the determination is even more complicated because of the inconsistency in defining what variables (eg, anaerobic threshold [AT], maximal oxygen uptake [VO$_{2\text{max}}$]) determine elite performance. For this article, an elite athlete is defined as follows:

---

**Context:** There are significant data comparing elite and nonelite athletes in anaerobic field and court sports as well as endurance sports. This review delineates specific performance characteristics in the elite athlete and may help guide rehabilitation.

**Evidence Acquisition:** A Medline search from April 1982 to April 2012 was undertaken for articles written in English. Additional references were accrued from reference lists of research articles.

**Results:** In the anaerobic athlete, maximal power production was consistently correlated to elite performance. Elite performance in the endurance athlete is more ambiguous, however, and appears to be related to the dependent variable investigated in each individual study.

**Conclusion:** In anaerobic field and court sport athletes, maximal power output is most predictive of elite performance. In the endurance athlete, however, it is not as clear. Elite endurance athletes consistently test higher than nonelite athletes in running economy, anaerobic threshold, and VO$_{2\text{max}}$.

**Keywords:** elite versus nonelite athlete; performance characteristics; endurance athlete
1. drafted or drafted in high rounds versus those undrafted or drafted in later rounds;
2. perceived as having greater performance ability than that of their peers in the same sport;
3. play at a higher level within a sport (division I vs II, professional vs amateur); and
4. for endurance, greater variables (e.g., running economy, AT, VO₂max).

CHARACTERISTICS OF ANAEROBIC FIELD AND COURT SPORT ATHLETES

American football requires a variety of mental and physical attributes to be successful. Elite American football players typically possess superior anthropometric height and weight measurements compared with nonfootball individuals. In addition, they demonstrate a variety of physical performance characteristics for numerous movement patterns. The National Football League combine assesses 8 physical performance tests. Despite the effort to choose athletes with the best physical attributes, actual performance varies considerably.

A recent study examined the combine performance differences between drafted and undrafted players. Players were divided into 3 position groups: skill players (wide receivers, running backs, and defensive backs), big skill players (fullbacks, linebackers, tight ends, and defensive ends), and linemen (centers, offensive tackles, offensive guards, and defensive tackles). For skill players, those drafted performed significantly better than nondrafted players on the 40-yard dash, vertical jump height, proagility shuttle, and 3-cone drill. In the big skill group, drafted players performed significantly better on the 40-yard dash and the 3-cone drill. Finally, the 40-yard dash, 225-lb bench press test, and the 3-cone drill were significantly better in the drafted versus undrafted linemen.

An evaluation of anatomic and physiologic characteristics to determine those that best predict American football ability found the only test that predicted football ability was the Margarita-Kalamen power test (athlete propels up a flight of 12 stairs, 3 at a time, as quickly as possible), which also related to a better 40-yard dash. In division I-A football, football playing ability was significantly correlated with vertical jump for all athletes. A study of 46 college football players found that the 36.6-m sprint and 18.3-m shuttle run predicted football playing ability, while physical characteristics such as height, weight, and percentage body fat did not.

Based on the available studies, it appears that regardless of position, power, speed, and agility are most relevant to actual performance in the National Football League, and anthropometric characteristics such as height and weight are less important. Interestingly, it is not known which characteristics of undrafted or late-round picks indicate success in American football.

Similar to American football, rugby requires a wide variety of physical fitness qualities. To compete at a high level, athletes must demonstrate tactical abilities in addition to physical performance measures. Recent research compared elite division I National Rugby League players with division II state league rugby players. Twenty athletes from each league were assessed on the basis of the 1-repetition squat maximum, power output of a jump squat, 10-m and 40-m sprints, cone agility drill, and sprint momentum (body mass × average velocity during 10-m sprint test). Elite players were significantly bigger (height, weight) and stronger (maximum strength) than division II players. They were also significantly more powerful (explosive). Increased size and strength allowed elite players to produce greater momentum compared with their nonelite peers. The findings suggest that lower body strength relative to body mass is an indicator of success in rugby, as heavier, faster players would be able to drive forward better and, conversely, be able to repel their opponents drive forward.

Elite junior rugby players were compared with subelite players across anthropometric and physical ability measures to analyze predictors of tackling ability. Forty-one players were assessed on the basis of height, weight, and skinfold measurements as well as lower body muscular power. Each player performed 6 tackling drills and was evaluated by 2 expert coaches with a standardized grading system. Elite players ranked better in all anthropometric and physiologic measures. The strongest individual predictors of tackling ability were acceleration and lower extremity muscular power; acceleration alone was predictive of tackling ability in a regression analysis.

The relationship among isokinetic knee strength, single-sprint performance, and repeated-sprint ability in soccer and rugby players found the strongest correlation between relative knee extensor torque at 240° and the initial acceleration phase (0-10 m) of the single-sprint performance. Results suggest that factors other than strength (in this case, power) contribute to repeated-sprint ability.

Studies in other sports also highlight the relationship of power to specific sport demands. In elite-level ice hockey players, higher peak anaerobic power output is an important predictor of higher round picks in all positions. Furthermore, greater standing long jump distance was a significant predictor for overall hockey potential. With regard to weight and playing level, greater horizontal leg power (off-ice sprint and 3-hop jump) was the best predictor of skating performance.

In elite volleyball players, sport-specific jumps are directly related to depth jump performance, indicating that the stretch-shortening cycle and tolerance of high stretch loads are critical to performance. In a study of elite Serbian basketball players, anaerobic power was higher in the center position than in guards and forwards.

Acceleration (a critical component of sprinting) separates elite athletes from their nonelite peers. Lacrosse, soccer, and field hockey have similar characteristics to rugby and football. Investigators analyzed sprinting ability in soccer players with tests for power, strength, and leg stiffness to differentiate elite from nonelite athletes. Subjects were divided into 2 groups based on sprint speed. Faster accelerating athletes demonstrated shorter ground contact times and higher
step frequencies than the slower group. Higher strength and power measures were also found in the faster group. Success in sports requires a variety of physical factors that many athletes strive to attain. While some variables, such as ambition, drive, and mental toughness, are difficult to quantify for research purposes, measures of height, mass, strength, speed, acceleration, agility, and power are identifiable and measurable. On multiple levels, physical performance measures differentiate elite athletes from those who are not.\textsuperscript{54,55,74,76,78} Unfortunately, success cannot be defined by physical performance measures alone.

**PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF ELITE ENDURANCE ATHLETES**

Several key physiologic and training variables correlate with elite endurance performance, including \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \), running economy, \( A\text{T} \), anthropometry, and an array of training characteristics, and distinguish elite from nonelite endurance athletes.

**Maximal Oxygen Uptake**

\( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) is the maximum rate that oxygen can be taken from ambient air and transported to cells for cellular respiration during physical activity.\textsuperscript{40} \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) in triathletes ranges from 39 to 49 mL/kg/min during tethered swimming,\textsuperscript{62,73} 57 to 61 mL/kg/min during cycle ergometry,\textsuperscript{68,71,72} and 61 to 85 mL/kg/min during treadmill running.\textsuperscript{62,68,72,73} These variances allude to the reality that variables correlated with endurance performance are dependent on individual sports.

Genetic factors, in addition to environmental and training factors, have an effect on an athlete’s \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \). A study of 268 Bolivians concluded that 20\% to 25\% of the variability in aerobic capacity at high altitude can be explained by genetic factors. In 172 dizygotic and monozygotic twins, the genetic effect for \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) was 40\%.\textsuperscript{77} Other studies suggest a genetic contribution to \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) and endurance running.\textsuperscript{84,88} \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) measured via a crank arm ergometer and tethered swimming showed weak correlations to triathlon swimming.\textsuperscript{21,27,73} More significant relationships have been demonstrated between \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) and cycling and total triathlon time.\textsuperscript{62,68,72,73} Evidence indicates that factors such as thermal regulation, fluid homeostasis, and energy balance have an increasingly larger impact on performance than \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) as the length of the triathlon increases.\textsuperscript{39}

Elite marathon runners typically have \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) values ranging from 70 to 85 mL/kg/min.\textsuperscript{69} \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) is considered a significant physiologic determinant of middle- and long-distance running performance.\textsuperscript{10,37,77} As such, \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) has been used to predict the upper limits of marathon performance.\textsuperscript{68,72} Moreover, \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) accounts for up to 59\% of the variance in times for “top-class” marathon runners.\textsuperscript{12} End-stage treadmill velocity in a \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) test also is a predictor of performance,\textsuperscript{25} and it may be the best predictor of 5000-m performance in untrained and trained individuals.\textsuperscript{86}

While \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) undoubtedly correlates with the performance of endurance athletes, this association should be tempered with the knowledge that maximal aerobic power may vary.\textsuperscript{73} The inability of \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) to predict endurance sport performance entirely necessitates inclusion of other physiologic variables, such as running economy.\textsuperscript{48}

**Running Economy**

Running economy (efficiency) is expressed as the steady-state submaximal oxygen uptake at a given running velocity.\textsuperscript{48} The lower the oxygen consumption at a given submaximal running speed, the better the economy. A higher proportion of slow-twitch fibers is associated with better running economy.\textsuperscript{16,50,89} A study of collegiate cross-country team members discovered that the combined analysis of a runner’s \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) and running economy could account for 92\% of the variance in performance during an 8000-m race.\textsuperscript{43} Running economy, like \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \), has been used to estimate a marathon pace in elite runners.\textsuperscript{7,48} However, male marathon runners’ oxygen cost at marathon velocity may not correlate with performance time.\textsuperscript{13} In fact, the average running economy of 10 top-class marathoners (210 ± 12 mL/kg/km) was significantly higher than that of 10 high-level marathoners (195 ± 4 mL/kg/km).\textsuperscript{12} Therefore, running economy alone may not be a conclusive predictor of elite endurance performance, although it is undoubtedly correlated.

**Anaerobic Threshold**

While the \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) of an endurance athlete separates elite from nonelite athletes, the ability to sustain a high percentage of \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) is perhaps even more predictive of endurance performance. This ability is related to the \( A\text{T} \).\textsuperscript{22,31} \( A\text{T} \) is the oxygen consumption during exercise, above which there is a sharp increase in anaerobic energy production resulting in a significant increase in lactic acid levels.\textsuperscript{22,31} Similar to \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \), genetic factors may have an impact on \( A\text{T} \).\textsuperscript{84} Measures of \( A\text{T}s \) during cycling for triathletes have ranged from 61% to 88% of the \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \).\textsuperscript{58,72,74,75,91} An athlete’s \( A\text{T} \) is the greatest predictor of race performance in endurance cycling\textsuperscript{14,22,23} and running events.\textsuperscript{30,75,76,78} \( A\text{T} \) also correlates with triathlon performance over Olympic distances.\textsuperscript{20,95} When each variable is examined independently, \( A\text{T} \) is more telling of endurance performance than \( V\text{O}_{2 \text{max}} \) or running economy.

**Anthropometry**

An endurance athlete’s anthropometric characteristics, including body height, weight, and skinfold thickness, correlate with performance.\textsuperscript{5,44} Body mass positively correlates with race times for novice and experienced marathoners.\textsuperscript{39,45} Moreover, low body fat percentages are associated with faster race times.\textsuperscript{9,10,32,57} The mean percentage of body fat for elite female and male runners combined is 8.0\%, compared with 10.7\% and 12.1\% for “good” and “average” runners, respectively.\textsuperscript{9}
Low measures of select skinfold thickness also correlate with increased endurance performance. Elite endurance athletes generally have a slim physique high in ectomorphy compared with lower level athletes or sedentary groups. At the same time, conflicting studies demonstrate no association between anthropometry and endurance performances in recreational ultratriathletes, recreational ultrarunners, and ultraendurance cyclists.

**Training Characteristics**

Several training characteristics predict endurance performance. Among ultratriathletes, personal best triathlon times correlate with future triathlon performance. Personal best marathon time, longest training session, training intensity, and training volume all correlate with performance in recreational ultrarunners. Training speed, frequency, duration, and previous finishes in cycling marathons correlate with performance in endurance cyclists; training speed is the most predictive variable. Moreover, several other studies support the correlation between these training variables and improved endurance performance.

Highly competitive endurance athletes who perform resistance training in addition to routine endurance training demonstrate improved performance. Six or more weeks of sport-specific, explosive resistance training or heavy weight resistance training improves running economy by up to 8% and performance in 3- and 5-km runs by 29%. Highly trained cyclists can improve by implementing high-intensity explosive resistance exercises. Resistance training enhances endurance by transforming type Iib muscle fibers into type Ia muscle fibers—a muscular adaptation also induced by endurance training.

The necessity for the inclusion of training parameters, such as intensity, frequency, duration, and performance history, when attempting to characterize endurance athletes is considerable. Given the variability of \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \) running economy, AT, and anthropometric characteristics among high-level endurance athletes, training parameters may be the most reliable predictors of endurance performance. Furthermore, when sport-specific, explosive resistance training is correlated with increased endurance performance, an athlete’s muscular power must be considered.

**Elite African Endurance Runners**

In 2010, 41 of the 50 fastest marathons were run by Kenyans or Ethiopians, and 84 of the top 100 competitive marathon rankings were owned by Kenyan or Ethiopian runners in 2012. Genetic studies of elite African athletes do not show a unique genetic makeup, however, environmental and social factors likely play a role. Kenyan runners are from a distinct environmental background (higher altitudes) and commute farther by foot than other populations. A study within Kenya discovered that a higher percentage of elite runners ran to school each day (national athletes, 73%; international athletes, 81%; controls, 22%), in addition to covering greater distances. Seventy-five percent of controls traveled fewer than 5 km to school each day, compared with 49% of international athletes. East Africans possess several previously mentioned factors that combine to create an elite endurance athlete: sizeable \( \text{VO}_{2\text{max}} \), running economy, and ideal anthropometric characteristics.

**CONCLUSION**

Defining elite performance remains elusive owing to the wide array of descriptors utilized. No single characteristic has been defined as the main predictor of performance in elite endurance athletes. Elite athletes in anaerobic sports are more powerful and explosive than their counterparts. The focus of performance training in the anaerobic athlete should be on increasing power production, which has a direct correlation with speed and agility. Physical characteristics such as height, weight, percentage body fat, and flexibility are not as important in athletic performance.
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