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Abstract

Background

Echinococcosis is considered a neglected zoonotic disease. It has been an major worldwide health problem primarily affecting pastoral and poor rural communities with weak infrastructures, limited economic resources and poor sanitation. In general, the population in these poor areas has a low level of health literacy. This study aims to examine the association between echinococcosis-specific health literacy (ES-HL) and behavioral intention to prevent echinococcosis (BIPE) among herdsmen on the Tibet Plateau in China.

Methods

A cross-sectional study of 401 Tibetan herdsmen was conducted in Gande country of Qinghai Province, China. Participants were recruited from August to September 2018 and from February to March 2019. A self-developed questionnaire was used to measure demographic information, ES-HL and BIPE. Hierarchical regression analysis was done to identify the factors associated with BIPE.

Results

In the hierarchical regression analysis, we entered age, sex, education level, marital state and family monthly income per capita into model 1 which explained a significant amount of variance in BIPE (Adjusted $R^2$ change = 0.029, $P = 0.006$), and sex ($\beta = -0.125$, $P = 0.013$) and family monthly income per capita ($\beta = -0.133$, $P = 0.009$) were found to be associated with BIPE. Then three factors of ES-HL was added to Model 1 to create Model 2. In Model 2, the two factors of ES-HL, perceived echinococcosis information support ($\beta = 0.229$, $P < 0.001$) and echinococcosis-specific self-management ability ($\beta = 0.252$, $P < 0.001$), were significantly associated with BIPE, while the information acquisition and evaluation ability factor ($\beta = 0.093$, $P = 0.089$) was not found to be associated with BIPE. The model improved significantly when ES-HL was included (Model 2) explaining 25.8% of variance of BIPE (Adjusted $R^2$ change = 0.229, $P < 0.001$)

Conclusions

ES-HL is an important predictor of whether individuals take preventive actions against echinococcosis. ES-HL promotion action project should be developed aiming at different target population to enhance some of the specific abilities needed to prevent echinococcosis.

1 Background
Echinococcosis is a chronic cyst-forming and neglected zoonosis caused by infection with the larval stage of the genus Echinococcus (E.) [1]. Dogs and wild Canidae are the definitive hosts, while domestic Ungulates act as intermediate hosts[2]. The two most important forms, which are of medical and public health relevance in humans, are alveolar echinococcosis (AE) caused by E. multilocularis and cystic echinococcosis (CE) caused by E. granulosus [3]. In 2015, WHO estimated that echinococcosis is responsible for 19,300 deaths and an increase in disability-adjusted life years of approximately 871,000 people worldwide each year [4].

Since the mid-1990s detailed studies and field investigations on the Tibetan Plateau have revealed the epidemic of echinococcosis to be an under-reported major public health problem, particularly in the rural communities and some low socio-economic areas with poor sanitary facilities and limited resources in the eastern and central regions [5]. Human prevalence surveys showed that CE and AE are co-endemic with higher burdens of each disease on the Tibetan Plateau than other endemic world regions [6–7]. In some countries of the Tibetan Plateau, human incidence rates for echinococcosis can reach more than 50 per 100,000 person-years, and prevalence levels as high as 5%-12% may occur [8]. The prevalence levels of human AE in Ganze Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture which is one of the deep poverty areas in China are among the highest recorded anywhere in the world[9]. In livestock, the prevalence of echinococcosis found in slaughterhouses ranges from 20%-95% of slaughtered animals[10].

There are many social factors favouring the life cycle of E. multilocularis and E. granulosus. In China, Tibetan plateau is dominated by animal husbandry and stay at a lower socio-economic level. Most Tibetan herdsmen families keep at least 1 dog. They have a tradition of close contact with their pet dogs. Dog manure and cow dung are scattered around their tents. Women collect them by hand, dry them for fuel, make fire with dung cakes when cooking. These behaviors are important factors that favour the life cycle of E.. Moreover, water scarcity in the alpine pasture, insufficient hygienic awareness with poor hand washing habits, and hand-kneaded food increase the chances of eating insect eggs. Home slaughter and feeding of dogs with raw offals favour the parasite’s life cycle. Furthermore, herdsmen are often reluctant for fear of hurting valuable animals, so they often refuse deworming dogs and vaccinating lambs. These lifestyle factors which are more likely to be determined by health literacy (HL) increase the risk of echinococcus infection in humans, which in turn contributes to the prevalence of echinococcus in these pastoral and poor rural communities[11].

The World Health Organization defines HL as ‘the cognitive and social skills which determine the motivation and ability of individuals to gain access to, understand, and use information in ways which promote and maintain good health [12]’. HL has been considered to be a primary goal of public health education and communication strategies[13]. Low levels of HL are associated with poor understanding of disease knowledge, resultant non-adherence to health behaviors, and infrequent use of preventative services, and ultimately, increased morbidity and mortality rates[14]. According to the US Department of Education, only twelve percent of US residents are with adequate HL[15]. This leads to fewer patient choices, safety risks, and an increased rate of hospitalizations[16]. Studies have shown that HL in patients with high-risk cardiovascular conditions such as hypertension and congestive heart failure is
essential to self-care, especially in the most vulnerable populations[17]. Many studies showed that diabetes patients with poor HL had difficulties adhering to all aspects of treatment regimens because different knowledge and skills were required for unique aspects of the regimens[18–19]. Echinococcosis-specific health literacy (ES-HL) refers to the abilities that enable the acquisition and processing of information in association with echinococcosis and actively manage one's health for the prevention and control of echinococcosis. We hypothesized that ES-HL is an important determinant of echinococcosis related health behaviors. Now, few researches have considered the association between ES-HL and echinococcosis related health behaviors.

Behavioral intention is the key index of a person’s mental readiness for action in several social psychological models of behavior. The intention construct has been employed extensively to understand social and applied issues[20]. According to the theory of reasoned action, intentions are the proximal predictors of behavior[21]. The theory of planned behavior also assumes that intentions are the most important predictor of behavior[22]. Moreover, a meta-analysis indicated that intentions explain 28% of the variance, on average, in future behavior[23]. It is sufficient to say that the prerequisite for a person to take preventive action against echinococcosis is his or her behavioral intention to prevent echinococcosis (BIPE).

This study aims to examine the association between ES-HL and BIPE among herdsmen on the Tibet Plateau in China, so as to help with designing and better focusing of future interventions aimed at improving people’s echinococcosis related health behaviors and health outcomes.

2 Methods

2.1 Recruitment

The study was conducted in Gande county, Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture of Golog, Qinghai Province, China. Gande county is located in the Southeastern part of Qinghai Province and in the hinterland of the Tibet Plateau. The area of Gande country is about 7046.2 km² with an average elevation higher than 4300 meters. Gander county is a national-level impoverished county with limited resources, poor sanitation and a large proportion of poor people. Its economy is dominated by animal husbandry. Gande county is composed of 36 townships. There are 8579 households (including 7671 shepherd households), with a total population of 38352 (including 32523 animal husbandry population people). The Tibetan population accounts for 98% of the total population. Their main religion is Tibetan Buddhism, and there is a total of 71 Tibetan Buddhist monasteries in Gande county.

In this study, a convenient sampling method was adopted to recruit the participants from August to September 2018 and from February to March 2019. Participants were recruited during religious activities in buddhist temples. The inclusion criteria included: 1) Tibetan herdsmen; 2) 18–70 years old; 3) no serious concurrent diseases; 4) no serious mental illness or cognitive impairment; 5) no communication disorder. Participants meeting the above inclusion criteria will be included in this study. Participants
completed the questionnaire with the help of investigators who read the questions one by one and recorded the answers. A total of 640 people were surveyed, 550 questionnaires were collected, and 401 questionnaires were valid. The response rate were 85.9%, and the valid rate was 72.9%.

2.2 Measurement

2.2.1 Socio-demographic information

Socio-demographic information including age, sex, education level, marital state, family monthly income per capita was collected with self-report questionnaires.

2.2.2 Measurement of ES-HL

ES-HL was measured by a self-developed scale which was based on “The Health Literacy Questionnaire (HLQ) [24]” and “The European health literacy survey (HLS-EU) [25]”. The initial scale consists of 17 items designed to measure five ES-HL factors, namely "perceived echinococcosis information support(4items)", "information acquisition ability(3items)", "information evaluation ability(3items)", "ability to actively communicate with health care providers(3items)", and "echinococcosis-specific self-management ability(4items)", and all items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = disagree not at all to 5 = agree very strongly. The maximum and minimum score for each item are five point and one point, and the total score is the sum of all the item scores. In order to eliminate the impact of the number of items, scores for each dimension of health literacy were obtained by dividing the total score of each dimension by the number of items in each dimension. Therefore, the maximum score for each dimension is five point, the minimum score for each dimension is one point.

2.2.3 Measurement of BIPE

BIPE was also measured by a scale developed by our own. This scale contains a total of 11 items, and all items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = very reluctant to 5 = very willing to. Participants were asked how strongly they were willing to take preventive action against echinococcosis by the question, "Are you willing to participate in the government-sponsored screening for echinococcosis?”, "Are you willing to deworm your dogs against echinococcosis?", "Are you willing to vaccinate your sheep against echinococcosis?", “Are you willing to participate in the health education program for the echinococcosis control organized by the government?”, "Are you willing to participate in the health education program for echinococcosis control organized by the monasteries?”, "Are you willing to keep the dog on a leash?”, "Are you willing to bury your livestock?", “Are you willing to hand over your sick and dead livestock to the government?”, “Are you willing to dispose of your dead livestock through celestial burial?”, "Are you willing to have your livestock slaughtered by the government rather than by yourself?”, “Are you willing to bury the guts of a slaughtered animal rather than feed it to a dog?”. The maximum score of each item is one point, and the total score is the sum of all the item scores. In this study, the Cronbach's $\alpha$ of the scale was 0.882.

2.3 Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographic characteristics of participants. Explore factor analysis (EFA) of the principal components with varimax rotation was carried out on the ES-HL Scale. An eigenvalue > 1 was used as a cut-off point to determine the applicability of the pre-designed factors of ES-HL. Items with factor loading > 0.5 and conceptual relevance were used as criteria for retaining in a factor. Items with factor loadings > 0.4 on two or more factors would be excluded. Pearson correlation analyses was used to preliminarily analyze the relationship between study variables. Hierarchical multiple regression was used to explore the association between ES-HL and BIPE with age, sex, degree of education, marital status and family monthly income per capita as control variables. Tolerance and variance inflation factors were used to diagnose multicollinearity among independent variables. When tolerance is less than 0.1 or variance inflation factor is greater than 10, it would be considered that there is high multicollinearity among independent variables.

3 Results

3.1 Demographic characteristics

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents. In this study, 401 Tibetan pastoral residents were recruited. The mean age of the respondents is 38.61 ± 13.31 (mean ± SD), and 49.1% were female. The majority (74.6%) have just finished primary school or were illiterate, and 44.6% had a monthly income < 1000 CNY (= 142USD). More than 80% of respondents were married.

3.2 Exploratory factor analysis

Table 2 presents the factor loadings based on EFA, Cronbach’s α, score mean and standard deviation for these factors. The results of KMO (kaiser-meyer-olkin) measurement (KMO value 0.935) and Bartlett’s sphericity test (P < 0.001) confirmed the adequacy for the EFA. EFA of the initial scale yielded three factors that accounted for 70.38% of total variance. Two items of the initial scale with factor loadings > 0.4 on two or more factors were deleted. After analyzing and categorizing the meanings of the factors and the items they contain, the three factors are named for perceived echinococcosis information support, information acquisition and evaluation ability and echinococcosis-specific self-management ability. Perceived echinococcosis information support (4 items) which contains the same items as the first dimension of the initial scale refers to the ability to obtain information support for echinococcosis control from health professionals, family members, friends, village cadres or other information channels. Information acquisition and evaluation ability (5 items) which mainly merges the second and the third factors of the initial scale refers to the ability to find information about echinococcosis independently and to make independent judgment and evaluation. Echinococcosis-specific self-management ability (6 items) which mainly merges the forth and the fifth factors of the initial scale includes the abilities to communicate with medical personnel about echinococcosis, to participate in the screening of echinococcosis and to participate in some lectures and activities about echinococcosis control.

3.3 Preliminary correlation analyses
Table 3 summarizes the correlation among the demographic variables (sex, age, education level, marital status, family monthly income per capita), ES-HL and BIPE. It is indicated that sex, family monthly income per capita and ES-HL are correlated significantly with the BIPE.

### 3.4 Hierarchical multiple regression analysis

Table 4 reports the unstandardized (B) and standardized (β) regression coefficients for model 1 and model 2. For model 1, sex, age, education, marital status, income were entered into the model. Sex(β = -0.125, P = 0.013) and family monthly income per capita(β = -0.133, P = 0.009) explained a significant amount of variance of BIPE(Adjust R² = 0.029, P = 0.006). The model improved significantly when ES-HL was included (Model 2) explaining 25.8% of variance of BIPE(Adjust R² = 0.229, P < 0.001). In model 2, sex(β = -0.064, P = 0.152) was no longer significantly related to BIPE, while family monthly income per capita(β = -0.119, P = 0.008) was still significantly related to BIPE. Perceived echinococcosis information support (β = 0.229, P < 0.001) and echinococcosis-specific self-management ability(β = 0.252, P < 0.001) were significant predictors of BIPE, while the dimension of information acquisition and evaluation ability(β = 0.093, P = 0.089) was not significantly related to BIPE.

### 4 Discussion

This study shows that the two dimensions of ES-HL, perceived echinococcosis information support and echinococcosis-specific self-management ability, were significantly associated with BIPE, while the information acquisition and evaluation ability dimension was not found to be associated with BIPE. In addition, sex and income level were also associated with BIPE.

In this study, perceived echinococcosis information support requires the ability which involves the use of cognitive and interactive skills in a social environment and supports social participation in health-related issues in the community. Therefore, the ability is a determinant of how much social information support an individual can obtain. Studies show that social support is crucial for transmitting appropriate health information and monitoring behaviors and practices among all population groups [26–27]. In the current study, the result that perceived echinococcosis information support has significant positive effects on BIPE indicate that when individuals have more perception of echinococcosis information support from the village cadres, the medical staff or family members, their BIPE will increase. This may be because more social information support means more access to information, and then individuals would have a better understanding of echinococcosis, and they are more likely to be motivated by others to take some measures to prevent echinococcosis. Consistent with our research, in the case of oral health-promoting behaviour, it was indicated that higher level in health literacy domain “Feeling understood and supported by healthcare provider” measured by Scale 1 of HLQ is associated with better oral health-promoting behaviour including tooth-brushing at least twice a day and using interdental floss[28]. Besides, a study from Thailand found that “Feeling understood and supported by healthcare provider” was closed related to healthy behaviors that were affected by engaging in social health activities[29].
The significance of individuals’ behavioral choices places their self-management at the center of diseases care and prevention models[30]. Chodosh and colleagues defined self-management as having a minimum of two components—self-monitoring and decision-making[31]. In this study, echinococcosis-specific self-management requires individuals have the skills to conduct self-assessment, participate in echinococcosis prevention services and make decisions to modify their own behavior. At present, self-management ability has been widely concerned in the management of chronic diseases[32–34]. There are many studies that have also shown self-management interventions have benefits for the prevention and care of the infectious diseases, such as AIDS[35], tuberculosis[36], hepatitis C[37] and filariasis[38]. Furthermore, it has been found that health literacy domain “active managing of one’s health” measured by Scale 3 of HLQ was closely related to the health risks behaviors of Danish adults, including physically inactive, unhealthy diet and smoking [39], as well as the oral health-promoting behaviours of Slovak adults[28]. It was also indicated that “active managing of one’s health” scale was significantly associated with the lifestyle risk factors including smoking and being more sedentary among Geelong Osteoporosis[40]. The result of our study that echinococcosis-specific self-management ability was crucial to individual BIPE is consistent with the above studies on the association between health behaviors and self-management ability in health literacy domain.

In this study, information acquisition and evaluation ability dimension was not found to be associated with BIPE. This may be explained by the fact that most respondents recruited in this study have just finished primary school or were illiterate. Information acquisition and evaluation ability for most participants is generally at a very lower level. Therefore, it may be not a sensitive predictor of BIPE. Furthermore, the majority of herdsmen are poor and live in deprived communities, and their understanding of echinococcosis rely heavily on their social networks, so echinococcosis information channels and external echinococcosis information support may be more important than their own information acquisition and evaluation ability for the echinococcosis prevention and control in this poor and resource-limited area.

In addition, this study shows that sex is an influencing factor of the BIPE. Compared with males, the BIPE for females was lower in this study. There is a study that showed the main knowledge gaps varied between man and women[41]. For example, very few women but most men made the link between infected offal and dog infection, yet more women than men considered eating infected offal less of a health issue[41]. A health information intervention study on echinococcosis [42] also showed that the intervention had different outcomes among men and women because of the educative role and norms at the household level. Our study also showed that the BIPE in high-income people was lower than that in low-income people. This may be explained by the fact that high-income people have more cattle and sheep, and they have to spend more time and energy on herd care, so they are more likely to ignore the prevention of echinococcosis.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, this study is a cross-sectional survey, so the causal relationship between research variables can not be fully inferred. In the future, some intervention studies are needed to verify the results of this study. Secondly, the measurement tools in this study are self-developed
questionnaires which have certain measurement bias. The reliability and validity of the scales still need to be verified in future studies. Finally, the participants of this study are Tibetan herdsmen from Gande county, Qinghai Province, China. More studies are needed to further explore and verify the research results in other regions and other ethnic groups.

## 5 Conclusions

ES-HL is an important predictor of whether individuals take preventive actions against echinococcosis. ES-HL promotion action project should be developed aiming at different target population to enhance some of the specific abilities needed to prevent echinococcosis.
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Tables

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the respondents
| Characteristic                  | Number (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| **Sex**                        |            |
| Male                           | 197 (49.1) |
| Female                         | 204 (50.9) |
| **Age**                        |            |
| <29                            | 110 (27.4) |
| 30-39                          | 120 (29.9) |
| 40-49                          | 88 (21.9)  |
| ≥50                            | 83 (20.7)  |
| **Degree of education**        |            |
| Illiterate or primary school   | 299 (74.6) |
| Junior high school             | 38 (9.5)   |
| Senior high school or above    | 64 (16.0)  |
| **Marital status**             |            |
| Married                        | 326 (81.3) |
| Others(unmarried,divorced, widowed) | 75 (18.7) |
| **Family monthly income per capita** |    |
| <1000                          | 179 (44.6) |
| 1000-1999                      | 112 (27.9) |
| 2000-3999                      | 75 (18.7)  |
| ≥4000                          | 35 (8.7)   |

Table legend: Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table2 Results of exploratory factor analysis of ES-HL
| **Perceived echinococcosis information support** | Factor loading | Reliability/Cronbach’s α | Mean±SD |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Be able to get advice on echinococcosis control from health professionals | 0.671 | 0.880 | 2.964 ±0.968 |
| Be able to get advice on echinococcosis control from family members | 0.748 | 0.671 | |
| Be able to get advice on echinococcosis control from friends | 0.795 | 0.748 | |
| Be able to get advice on echinococcosis control from village officials | 0.785 | 0.795 | |
| **Information acquisition and evaluation ability** | 0.914 | 0.914 | 2.402 ±0.954 |
| Be able to find information on echinococcosis control from several different sources | 0.810 | 0.810 | |
| Be able to find information about echinococcosis independently | 0.828 | 0.828 | |
| Be able to analysis/evaluation information about echinococcosis | 0.879 | 0.879 | |
| Have enough information to help dealing with the problems about echinococcosis | 0.858 | 0.858 | |
| Be sure that knowledge about echinococcosis has been mastered | 0.836 | 0.836 | |
| Be able to discuss echinococcosis with health care provider | 0.552 | 0.552 | |
| **Echinococcosis-specific self-management ability** | 0.874 | 0.874 | 3.163 ±0.848 |
| Be able to give a good description of daily behavior | 0.743 | 0.743 | |
| Be able to obtain information on echinococcosis control through communication with medical and health personnel | 0.718 | 0.718 | |
| Be able to prevent echinococcosis through active behavior management | 0.742 | 0.742 | |
| Participate in screening for echinococcosis actively | 0.824 | 0.824 | |
Attend lectures and echinococcosis control actively

**Table** legend: Table 2 presents the factor loadings based on EFA, Cronbach's α, score mean and standard deviation for these factors.

| Characteristic | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1. Sex         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 2. Age         | -0.102* |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 3. Degree of education | 0.064 | -0.383*** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 4. Marital status | 0.126* | -0.357*** | 0.384*** |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 5. Family monthly income per capita | -0.004 | -0.013 | 0.159** | -0.017 |     |     |     |     |     |
| 6. Perceived echinococcosis information support | -0.09 | -0.141** | 0.053 | 0.015 | -0.031 |     |     |     |     |
| 7. Information acquisition and evaluation ability | -0.023 | -0.243*** | 0.269*** | 0.044 | 0.081 | 0.527** |     |     |     |
| 8. Echinococcosis-specific self-management ability | -0.131** | 0.064 | -0.032 | 0.065 | -0.04 | 0.646** | 0.371*** |     |     |
| 9. BIPE        | -0.137** | 0.042 | -0.026 | 0.092 | -0.127* | 0.439*** | 0.283** | 0.454** |     |

**Table** legend: Table 3 summarizes the correlation among the demographic variables (sex, age, education level, marital status, family monthly income per capita), three factors of ES-HL and BIPE. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001

**Table 4** Summary of hierarchical regression analysis for variables predicting the BIPE
| Variables                                      | BIPE | Model 1 |       |       | Model 2 |       |       |
|------------------------------------------------|------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
|                                                |      | B(SE)   | β     | B(SE) | β       | B(SE) | β     |
| Block1                                         |      |         |       |       |         |       |       |
| Age                                            | 0.082(0.342) | 0.013 | 0.370(0.310) | 0.060 |
| Sex                                            | -1.676(0.673) | -0.125* | -0.851(0.593) | -0.064 |
| Degree of education                            | 0.390(0.511) | 0.044 | 0.083(0.460) | 0.009 |
| Marital status                                 | -1.533(0.958) | -0.090 | -1.028(0.843) | -0.060 |
| Family monthly income per capita               | -0.901(0.343) | -0.133** | -0.808(0.301) | -0.119** |
| Block2                                         |      | 1.581(0.437) | 0.229*** |       |         |       |       |
| Perceived echinococcosis information support   |      | 0.649(0.380) | 0.093 |       |         |       |       |
| Information acquisition and evaluation ability  |      | 1.998(0.463) | 0.252*** |       |         |       |       |
| Echinococcosis-specific self-management ability |      |       |       |       |         |       |       |
| ΔAdjust R²                                      | 0.029 |       |       |       | 0.229  |       |       |
| F change                                       | 3.351** |       |       |       | 40.722*** |       |       |
| Final adjust R²                                | 0.029 |       |       |       | 0.258  |       |       |

Table legend: Table 4 reports the unstandardized (B) and standardized (β) regression coefficients for model 1 and model 2. Block1 was entered into model1. Block1 and Block2 were entered into model2. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P <0.001