Analysis of the Regional Ionosphere at Low Latitudes in Support of the Biomass ESA Mission

Lucilla Alfonsi, Gabriella Povero, Luca Spogli, Claudio Cesaroni, Biagio Forte, Cathryn N. Mitchell, Robert Burston, Sreeja Vadakke Veettil, Marcio Aquino, Virginia Klausner, G. De Franceschi, Ingrid Hunstad, Giorgia De Franceschi, Elvira Musicò, Alessandra Giuntini, Marco Pini, Vinh La The, Hieu Tran Trung, Asnawi Husin, Sri Ekawati, Noridawaty Mat Daud, Le Huy Minh, and Nicolas Floury

Abstract—Biomass is a spaceborn polarimetric P-band (435 MHz) synthetic aperture radar (SAR) in a dawn–dusk low Earth orbit. Its principal objective is to measure biomass content and change in all the Earth’s forests. The ionosphere introduces the Faraday rotation on every pulse emitted by low-frequency SAR and scintillations when the pulse traverses a region of plasma irregularities, consequently impacting the quality of the imaging. Some of these effects are due to total electron content (TEC) and its gradients along the propagation path. Therefore, an accurate assessment of the ionospheric morphology and dynamics is necessary to properly understand the impact on image quality, especially in the equatorial and tropical regions. To this scope, we have conducted an in-depth investigation of the significant noise budget introduced by the two crests of the equatorial ionospheric anomaly (EIA) over Brazil and Southeast Asia. This paper is characterized by a novel approach to conceive a SAR-oriented ionospheric assessment, aimed at detecting and identifying spatial and temporal TEC gradients, including scintillation effects and traveling ionospheric disturbances, by means of Global Navigation Satellite Systems ground-based monitoring stations. The novelty of this approach resides in the customization of the information about the impact of the ionosphere on SAR imaging as derived by local dense networks of ground instruments operating during the passes of Biomass spacecraft. The results identify the EIA crests as the regions hosting the bulk of irregularities potentially causing degradation on SAR imaging. Interesting insights about the local characteristics of low-latitudes ionosphere are also highlighted.

Index Terms—Equatorial ionospheric anomaly (EIA), ionospheric climatology, ionospheric impact on synthetic aperture radar (SAR), total electron content (TEC) gradients.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Biomass mission is envisaged to be launched around 2021 and it is planned to cover a five-year duration. The space segment consists of a single satellite in a near-polar, Sun-synchronous orbit at an altitude of 637–666 km. The orbit is designed to enable repeat pass interferometric acquisitions throughout the mission’s lifetime and to minimize the impact of disturbances from the ionosphere [1]. Nevertheless, given the radar working frequency (435 MHz) and the disturbed nature of the low-latitude ionosphere, some special care is being given to the potential corruption that the ionosphere can induce on synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imaging.

The ionosphere can introduce several effects into the Biomass SAR imaging process, such as the following:
1) crosstalk caused by the Faraday rotation;
2) loss of spatial resolution caused by scintillation;
3) azimuthal image shifts caused by total electron content (TEC) spatial gradients.

These effects are determined by the TEC along the propagation path of every pulse emitted by the SAR. The slant TEC derived from Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) signals received by ground receivers is a physical quantity, which provides the number of free electrons over 1-m² cross-section along the ray path connecting a receiver and a satellite. As the bulk of free electrons is in the ionosphere, a good knowledge of the local features of the equatorial and low-latitude ionosphere can help in optimizing Biomass performance and in decision making such as for the choice of external calibration sites [2]. This is one of the reasons that triggered ESA to support, at the end of 2015, the studies of the upper atmosphere through the Alcantara initiative of the General Studies Program (https://gsp.esa.int/alcantara). Two projects, named Ionospheric Research for Biomass in South America (IRIS) and Ionospheric environment characterization for Biomass Calibration over South East Asia (IBisCo), were among the successful proposals. IRIS and IBisCo released an in-depth climatological description of the Brazilian as well as the Southeast Asian (SEA) equatorial ionospheric anomaly (EIA) used to support Biomass-related investigations. The main objective of this paper is to report the principal findings of the two projects, highlighting the original approach adopted to assess the regional characteristics of TEC, TEC gradients, and scintillations around 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. local times (LTs) of the Biomass orbital passes.

The low-latitude ionosphere is characterized by electron density gradients, termed as blobs and bubbles, which are the signatures of the inhomogeneous distribution of the free electrons resulting from the complex electrodynamics featuring in the region [3]. The daytime equatorial ionosphere presents northward and eastward electric fields generated in the E-region that are mapped along the magnetic field lines to F-region. These electric fields depend strongly on magnetic flux-tube-integrated conductivity. The joint action of magnetic field (B) and zonal electric field (E) causes an E × B drift that moves plasma upward during day. The uplifted plasma then, under the action of the gravitational force, diffuses to higher latitudes, and two electron density-enhanced regions, defined as “equatorial ionization anomaly crests,” appear in both the northern and southern ±15°/±20° low-latitude magnetic sectors, leaving a trough at the magnetic equator. This phenomenon is known as the “fountain effect” and gives rise to the EIA [3]–[5]. It is worth highlighting that besides the E × B drift and field-aligned diffusion of plasma, meridional winds modulate the morphology of the EIA, whose crests are raised in the windward hemisphere by trans-equatorial meridional winds through the ion drag effect [6]. After the local sunset, the low-latitude ionosphere presents also an additional peculiar feature: the prereversal enhancement (PRE) [7]. The PRE is superimposed to the daytime upward and nighttime downward drift of plasma, creating the condition for a destabilization of the ionosphere due to the Rayleigh–Taylor instability [8]. Such condition favors the formation of irregularities of several scale sizes resulting in TEC gradients, scintillations, and equatorial spread F (presence of electron density irregularities visible as diffuse echoes on the ionograms at F altitude) [9]. Scintillations appear as phase and amplitude fluctuations of the transmitted signals received at ground. Ionospheric changes can be even more dramatic during magnetic storms [10].

The choice to design the Biomass mission with the orbital passes at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. could save the SAR imaging from the ionospheric perturbation occurring mainly in the evening hours. Nevertheless, some degradation could also be observed in the 6 P.M. pass because of the ionospheric instability about to happen in the succeeding hours.

The coupling between ionized and neutral atmosphere can also result in the formation of traveling ionospheric disturbances (TIDs). TIDs are the ionospheric manifestation of gravity waves propagating in the neutral atmosphere [11]. These disturbances are one of the most common ionospheric phenomena that can contribute to TEC perturbations. TIDs are classified into two main categories, namely large-scale TIDs (LSTIDs) with a period greater than 1 h and moving faster than 0.3 km/s, and medium-scale TIDs (MSTIDs) with shorter periods (from 10 min to 1 h) and moving more slowly (0.05–0.3 km/s) (see [12] and the references therein). The origin of MSTIDs is usually attributed to the neutral atmospheric turbulence associated with meteorological activity or to the vertical irradiance gradient associated with the solar terminator (see [12] and the references therein).

The occurrence of external perturbations originating from the Sun and affecting the magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling makes the EIA configuration very different from the one assumed during quiet times. In particular, the EIA crests can disappear, causing the suppression of the ionospheric irregularities producing scintillations. In other cases, the disturbances can intensify the EIA resulting in the exacerbation of scintillations and LSTIDs occurrence [13], [14]. During the same storm, inhibition and intensification of the ionospheric scintillations can concur [15].

This paper focuses on the description of the ionospheric climatology derived from TEC and GNSS scintillation data acquired in the years 2014 and 2015 in Brazil and SEA under quiet geomagnetic conditions. Section II focuses on the data used for the analysis, whereas Section III describes the original approach adopted to highlight the behavior shown by the low-latitude ionosphere at the different observed longitudinal sectors. Section IV describes and discusses the achieved results. Since results for SEA and Brazil present peculiar characteristics, they are described separately. Differences and similarities found in the ionospheric environment of the two regions are reported in the concluding remarks.

II. DATA

The ionospheric irregularities appearing as steep TEC gradients describe the inhomogeneous distribution of free electrons in the upper atmosphere. Since TEC is an intrinsic characteristic of the ionosphere, it is independent of the working frequency used to probe the plasma. Differently, as scintillation is an effect induced on the amplitude and phase of the signal, its measurement is frequency-dependent. This means that the use of GNSS data can provide a complete TEC scenario but can only give a partial description of scintillation climatology.
at the P-band (Biomass working frequency). At low latitudes, the worst scintillation effects occur on the amplitude of the signals. The amplitude fluctuations are mainly caused by ionospheric irregularities with the sizes of the order of the first Fresnel zone, which at the (GNSS signals) L-band corresponds to scales of hundreds of meters, while at the P-band corresponds to scales of kilometres. This implies that the L-band scintillation climatology addresses the ionospheric irregularities of one order of magnitude smaller than those causing P-band scintillation [16], [17]. Unfortunately, a long-term coverage of P-band measurements of the ionosphere is not available, so the climatology of scintillation to support Biomass presented here is derived from GNSS data. Nevertheless, an in-depth study of TEC gradients can give important insights on the ionospheric morphology and, in particular, on the different scale sizes of the electron density structures present in the upper atmosphere. Further information can be inferred by the comparison between TEC and the L-band scintillation climatology.

A. Data Providers and Instrumentation

In SEA, the institutions providing the data are: the Institute of Geophysics of the Vietnamese Academy of Science and Technology (Vietnam), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (Malaysia), the National Institute of Aeronautics and Space of Indonesia (Indonesia), and the National Mapping and Resource Information Authority (The Philippines). Such institutions provided Receiver Independent Exchange Format and scintillation data from the stations shown in Fig. 1. The SEA region is divided into two subregions, west and east SEA, with respect to the 115°E meridian.

In South America, in terms of GNSS receivers, the largest network is the Brazilian network for continuous GNSS monitoring (RBMC), managed by the Department of Geodesy of the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE). The stations of the Rede Brasileira de Monitoramento Contínuo dos Sistemas GNSS (RBMC)/IBGE network Geocentric Reference System for the Americas. Data from more than 100 GNSS receivers are available under request from the IBGE website (http://www.ibge.gov.br). The Brazilian Space Weather Program (EMBRACE), managed by the National Institute for Space Research (INPE), uses data from RBMC/IBGE to provide TEC products for the technical and scientific communities. A second network of GNSS receivers managed by INPE under the EMBRACE Program is available only for scintillation monitoring. Some of these receivers are also part of the permanent array of instruments from the low-latitude ionospheric sensor network (LISN). The EMBRACE/INPE network consists of 17 GNSS receivers; however, only 6 scintillation monitors have recently been in operation (http://www2.inpe.br/climaespecial/portal/sci-home/). A third network is part of a joint collaboration between the São Paulo State University (Universidade Estadual Paulista), INPE, and the Universidade do Vale do Paraíba. This network was established as a result of the Concept for Ionospheric-Scintillation Mitigation for Professional GNSS in Latin America (CIGALA) and Countering GNSS high Accuracy applications Limitations due to Ionospheric disturbances in Brazil (CALIBRA) projects (European Union/European GNSS Agency Framework Program 7). Although the CIGALA/CALIBRA network can also provide dual-frequency data for TEC investigations, this network was deployed mainly for continuous monitoring of ionospheric scintillations over Brazil. Presently, 10 GNSS receivers for scintillation monitoring are in operation in the CIGALA/CALIBRA network (http://is-cigala-calibra.fct.unesp.br/is/). The sites providing data in Brazil are described in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, the red and green boxes indicate the geographic sectors considered for the characterization of the geomagnetic equator (red) and crest (green) regions, respectively.

III. Method

To assess the climatology of the ionosphere, we based our analysis on two quietest days provided for each month by the World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto (http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/qddays/index.html) between March 2015 and February 2016 for SEA region and along the entire 2015 for Brazil. These periods have been selected to ensure a good data
coverage and at the same time taking advantage of the data acquired during the EquatoRial Ionosphere Characterization in Asia (ERICA) campaign conducted in SEA [15], [18].

The two quietest days of each month allow the definition of an “average” undisturbed ionosphere, useful to describe the main features of interest for Biomass, which is foreseen to be launched under solar minimum conditions (2021) and to remain in orbit during the ascending period of the next solar cycle. A special focus is given to the times of the foreseen Biomass orbital passes, i.e., local 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. In particular, the monthly variation of TEC and TEC gradients has been derived considering a 1-h window centered around 6 A.M. and 6 P.M.

The ionospheric assessment has been investigated through the study of TEC (including its spatial and temporal variation) and amplitude scintillation ($S_4$).

A. TEC and TEC Gradients Determination

TEC values are retrieved by using GPS phase and code measurements on L1 and L2. In order to cancel out satellite and receiver interference biases, the calibration technique described in [19] and further detailed in [20] was applied. To obtain the maps of TEC over the regions of interest, the “natural neighbor” interpolation technique has been applied. According to [21], in the case of local maps of TEC, this technique gives better results than other commonly used methods, such as linear, inverse distance weighting, Kriging, and so on. The natural neighbor interpolation technique is based on the identification of the Voronoi cell associated with a set of scattered points in the selected area [22]. The Voronoi polygons network can be constructed through Delauney triangulation of the data [23].

The TEC spatial gradients have been derived from the TEC maps produced with the previously described method. In particular, we have calculated the TEC gradients along both the north–south direction $\Delta$TEC$_{N-S}$ and the east–west direction $\Delta$TEC$_{E-W}$ with the following equation [20]:

$$\Delta$$TEC$_{N-S}(GP_{i,j}) = \frac{TEC(GP_{i+1,j}) - TEC(GP_{i,j})}{d_j} \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta$$TEC$_{E-W}(GP_{i,j}) = \frac{TEC(GP_{i,j+1}) - TEC(GP_{i,j})}{d_j} \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta$TEC$_{N-S}(GP_{i,j})$ is the TEC gradient along the north–south direction calculated for the point of the grid with coordinates $(i, j)$, $\Delta$TEC$_{E-W}(GP_{i,j})$ is the TEC value of the first northerly point of the grid with respect to $(i, j)$, $\Delta$TEC$_{E-W}(GP_{i,j})$ is the TEC value of the considered grid point $(i, j)$, and $d_j$ is the distance between point $(i, j)$ and point $(i+1, j)$. Similar terms are used in (2) in which $\Delta$TEC$_{E-W}(GP_{i,j+1})$ is the easterly point of the grid with respect to $(i, j)$. Given the density of the considered networks and the division in subregions reported in Section II, the binning of the grid points has been set to 1° latitude × 1° longitude for the east SEA, west SEA, and Brazilian equatorial regions, while 0.5° latitude × 0.5° longitude for the Brazilian crest region.

B. TIDs Detection

Algorithms to detect the presence of TIDs have been run on TEC maps obtained at every 5-min interval, over the regions of interest for the two quietest days, accordingly to what is described previously. The networks used to produce TEC maps are those described in Figs. 1 and 2. TEC data were detrended by subtracting a 4-h running average in each latitude–longitude bin, which is the same as that of the TEC maps. The running average window of 4 h was chosen in order to detect the variations due to both LSTIDs and MSTIDs. The detrended TEC thus contained only its perturbation components and was used to generate the temporal latitudinal and longitudinal TEC perturbation profiles at the corresponding longitudinal and latitudinal sectors, respectively. As our work focused only on the TEC variations associated with TIDs, increases/decreases in the amplitude of the TEC perturbations above/below a threshold of 0.2 total electron content units (TECU) were used to detect the presence of TIDs. The propagation parameters (period, horizontal drift velocity, and horizontal wavelength) of the detected TIDs were estimated based on the assumption that TIDs propagate as a plane wave. The time lag was determined by running the cross-correlation function on the TEC perturbation profiles and the distance estimated from the latitude and longitude values of the observed TEC perturbations. The apparent velocity was then calculated dividing the distance by the time lag. To determine the period of the TEC perturbations, a fast Fourier transform was then performed on the TEC perturbation temporal profiles. The values for the horizontal wavelength were determined from the values of velocity and time period. In this work, MSTIDs are defined as TEC perturbations that satisfy the following criteria:

1) The TEC perturbation has an amplitude exceeding 0.2 TECU.
2) The horizontal wavelength of the TEC perturbation is smaller than 600 km.
3) The period of the TEC perturbation is lower than 60 min. The LSTIDs are defined as TEC perturbations that satisfy the following criteria:

1) The TEC perturbation has an amplitude exceeding 0.2 TECU.
2) The horizontal wavelength of the TEC perturbation is larger than 1000 km.
3) The period of the TEC perturbation is greater than 60 min.

After validating the algorithm on midlatitude data by comparing the results with those reported in [24] (not shown here for the sake of conciseness), it was applied on TEC maps generated over SEA and Brazil.

C. Scintillations Occurrence

For what concerns ionospheric scintillation, we concentrated our analysis on the amplitude scintillation index ($S_4$) calculated by a given receiver every minute for each satellite in view. The considered scintillation receivers provide $S_4$ calculated on GPS L1 every minute. To minimize the effect of multipath mimicking actual ionospheric scintillation,
an elevation angle \((\alpha_{\text{elev}})\) mask of 30° was applied. The S4 index is projected to the vertical, with the aim to minimize the effect of the geometry of the GPS receiver network. Such verticalization is performed by deriving \(S_{4}^\text{vert}\) according to the following formula:

\[
S_{4}^\text{vert} = S_{4}^\text{slant} / (F(\alpha_{\text{elev}}))^{(p+1)/4}
\]

where \(S_{4}^\text{slant}\) is the amplitude scintillation index directly measured by the receivers, \(p\) is the phase spectral slope, and \(F(\alpha_{\text{elev}})\) is the obliquity factor introduced in [25], which is defined as

\[
F(\alpha_{\text{elev}}) = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{R_{E} \cos \alpha_{\text{elev}}}{R_{E} + H_{IPP}}\right)^{2}}
\]

In (4), \(R_{E}\) is the Earth’s radius and \(H_{IPP}\) is set to 350 km, where IPP stands for ionospheric piercing point.

The assumptions behind the verticalization are the following:

1) weak scattering regime, which allows the use of [26, Formula (31)] to derive (3);
2) single phase screen approximation, which allows writing the exponent of the obliquity factor in Formula (3) as \((p + 1)/4\).

As the scintillation receivers in the IRIS/IBisCo network do not directly measure the value of the phase spectrum \(p\), some extra assumptions are needed. By following the recommendations of [16], we adopted the same value of \(p = 2.6\) introduced in [27], which makes the exponent in (3), which is equal to 0.9.

A detailed discussion about the validity and perils of such assumptions is given in [28]. Hereafter, we refer to \(S_{4}^\text{vert}\) as \(S_{4}\).

D. TEC Mapping Method Sensitivity

The sensitivity of TEC and TEC gradients mapping to the density of the GNSS network is discussed to assess the reliability of the adopted method. The TEC maps obtained with the GNSS stations from the IBGE-RBMC network covering the southern crest region have been used as the ionospheric truth. Different numbers of fictitious stations located on a regular grid have been used to create the synthetic networks. In particular, five synthetic networks have been considered, with 4, 16, 36, 64, and 100 stations. For each synthetic network, the position of IPPs has been calculated considering the actual position of the GPS satellites, and the corresponding TEC value is sampled from the truth map obtaining the synthetic values of TEC. Such values are finally used to obtain a synthetic map of TEC (through their interpolation) and TEC gradients (according to the method described in Section II-B). Synthetic maps have the same resolution and boundaries of the truth ones. An example of the synthetic receivers’ location of the position of the corresponding synthetic IPP’s (calculated on March 10, 2015 at 6 A.M. LT) with respect to the grid points of the ionospheric truth map is provided in Fig. 3.

To provide a figure of merit, differences between truth and synthetic maps of TEC, \(\Delta \text{TEC}_{N-S}\) and \(\Delta \text{TEC}_{E-W}\) have been evaluated for the following days:

1) March 10, 2015 at 6 A.M./P.M.
2) December 30, 2015 at 6 A.M./P.M.

Such days have been selected as they are representative of the equinoctial and solstitial conditions. Results of the sensitivity analysis on December 30, 2015 at 6 P.M. are provided in Fig. 4 as an example. The first, second, and third rows of Fig. 4 report the histograms of the point by point differences between the truth and synthetic maps considering three different synthetic networks made up of 4, 36, and 100 fictitious stations, respectively. First column in Fig. 4 shows the differences \(\Delta \text{TEC}\) between \(\text{TEC}_{\text{true}}\) and \(\text{TEC}_{\text{syn}}\), whereas the second and third columns report the differences \(\Delta \text{TEC}_{N-S}\) and \(\Delta \text{TEC}_{E-W}\), respectively.

To provide an overview of such results, Fig. 5 reports the standard deviation (SD) of the \(\Delta \text{TEC}\) (top), \(\Delta \text{TEC}_{N-S}\) (middle), and \(\Delta \text{TEC}_{E-W}\) as a function of the number of the synthetic stations. Different colors correspond to the four considered periods, according to the legend. At equinox, the SD is generally larger than at solstice, being up to about...
Fig. 5. SD of (Top) TEC, (Middle) ΔTEC_N−S gradient, and (Bottom) ΔTEC_E−W gradient differences as a function of the number of the synthetic stations. Different colors correspond to the four considered periods.

Fig. 6. Monthly variation of the hourly mean TEC gradients (north–south direction) over (a) and (b) west and (c) and (d) east SEA. (a) and (c) refer to 6 A.M. (b) and (d) refer to 6 P.M.

five times larger at 18 LT. Fig. 5 clearly illustrates that the SD saturates when the number of stations increases, indicating that a few tenths of receivers can be sufficient to efficiently cover regions like the one under consideration. Such information is crucial to support the Biomass mission, because it provides a quantitative assessment of the GNSS receivers necessary to provide a realistic picture of the local ionosphere. The sensitivity exercise has been applied on the Brazilian southern crest, because this sector includes many more stations than the SEA region.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis conducted according to the method described in Section III are here given in the form of slice plots and graphs to describe the monthly variation of the ionospheric climate in the regions under investigation.

A. SEA Region—TEC Spatial Gradients

Fig. 6 shows the monthly variation of the mean of the absolute value of the TEC gradients at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. along the N-S direction over west [see Fig. 6(a) and (b)] and east [see Fig. 6(c) and (d)] SEA. In Fig. 6, also the 1 σ (gray), 2 σ (green), and 3 σ (red) variations are reported. To obtain such values, a window of 1 h centered around 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. LT is considered. Over both the sectors, the equinoxes result highly variable at both considered times, even if in the west SEA, the larger variance is found in December at 6 A.M., implying the simultaneous presence of irregularities of different scale sizes during the equinoctial months and around the December solstice. To complete the picture provided, Fig. 7 shows the monthly variation of the mean of the absolute value of the TEC gradients at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. along the E–W direction over west [see Fig. 7(a) and (b)] and east [see Fig. 7(c) and (d)] SEA. Similar to the N-S gradients, in both SEA regions, the larger gradients and variability are found in the equinoctial months. Also in this case, the variability peak is found in December over west SEA at 6 A.M.

Figs. 8 and 9 show the slice plots of the monthly variation of the TEC mean gradients and their SDs along the north–south and the east–west direction at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. under west and east SEA. The pictures highlight the seasonal variation, clearly identifying the equinoctial months as the most disturbed. It is interesting to notice the change of sign around 20°N, over both west and east SEA. It is identified by a plateau (mean TEC gradients around 0 TECU/km) that separates the region characterized by positive gradients from the region characterized by negative gradients. As the dip equator is located at 7.5°N, the gradients mapping confirms the presence of the EIA crest around 20°N and the TEC positive and negative slopes before and after the plateau. The west SEA presents a larger variability of the gradients in the proximity of the dip equator. Over west SEA, the equinoctial and December months are clearly identified as the best candidates to host the greater zonal gradients. In the same sector, the signatures of the crest and the dip equator is recognizable. Over east SEA, the zonal gradients are very low and evenly distributed, and the crest signature is visible just between 15°N–20°N and at the highest longitude.
The variability shown by the SD is quite low over both west and east SEA, with a slight enhancement over the dip equator and within the crest.

**B. SEA Region—Scintillations**

The monthly variation of the scintillation occurrence were produced over the east and west SEA, covering the region between the dip equator and the southern EIA crest, as well as the region of the dip equator and the two EIA crests, respectively (see Fig. 10). The occurrence was sorted according to three levels of amplitude scintillations: $S_4 > 0.1$, $S_4 > 0.25$, and $S_4 > 0.7$, and by considering a 1-h window centered at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. LT.

The seasonal behavior shows how the equinoctial maxima characterize all the levels of scintillations (from weak to strong scattering regimes). A gap in the data from October to December 2015 and in January 2016 does not allow to characterize the seasonal variation in the east SEA region.
The monthly variation of the scintillation occurrence for $S_4 > 0.1$ west and east SEA is shown in the slice plots of Fig. 11. Even though the seasonal scenario over east SEA is not completed because of the data gap from October to December 2015 and in January 2016, Fig. 11 shows the presence of scintillations in the spring equinox at both 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. in the east SEA region. The seasonal behavior in the west SEA shows a fairly even distribution of scintillation all year long during both time intervals.

C. SEA Region—TIDs

The TIDs detection algorithms were applied on TEC maps used for TEC gradients analysis as described in Section II-B. It was observed that none of the analyzed days revealed the presence of LSTIDs over this region. It is well known that the LSTIDs are generally observed during geomagnetic storms, as these are mainly generated by Joule heating produced from intense particle precipitation in the auroral and subauroral regions [13], [14]. All the days analyzed in this paper are geomagnetically quiet and therefore not associated with any disturbances, which agrees with the absence of LSTIDs.

A MSTID was detected only on one day. The estimated parameters are shown in Table I.

D. Brazil—TEC Spatial Gradients

Fig. 12(a) and (b) shows the monthly variation of the mean of the absolute value of the TEC gradients along the N-S direction under the EIA southern crest. The maxima are located in March and between September and October, confirming a higher degree of ionospheric disturbance during the equinoctial months. Moreover, the gradients are generally larger around 6 P.M. than around 6 A.M. It is interesting to notice the intense scattering of the gradients observed in May and June at 6 A.M. Such feature implies the simultaneous presence of irregularities of different scale sizes during such months.

Fig. 12(c) and (d) shows the monthly variation of the mean of the absolute value of the TEC gradients along E-W direction under the EIA southern crest. At 6 P.M. [see Fig. 12(d)], the maxima are found during the equinoxes (in March and September) and the minima occur in July and between December and January. This is exactly the same pattern found for the meridional gradients in the same time interval. At 6 A.M. [see Fig. 12(c)], again three maxima are present: March, June, and September. Also, in this case, the strongest scattering of the gradients is found during equinoxes and winter, indicating the presence of different scale sizes of the ionospheric structuring also along the east–west direction.

Fig. 13(a) and (b) shows the monthly variation of the mean of the absolute value of the TEC gradients along the N-S direction over the dip equator. In Fig. 13, plots have been obtained considering a 1-h window centered at 6 A.M. [see Fig. 13(a)] and 6 P.M. [see Fig. 13(b)]. Comparing the panels of Fig. 13 with the corresponding ones in Fig. 12, the lower values of the gradients over the dip equator with respect to those recorded under the southern crest of the EIA are evident. The main differences arise at 6 P.M. At 6 A.M., again three maxima are present: March, July, and November. At 6 P.M., the largest variability of the ionospheric structuring close to the equator is found in August, and two maxima are found in March and in October, even if less pronounced than in the case of 6 A.M. Fig. 13(c) and (d) reports the same as Fig. 13(a) and (b) but for the zonal gradient. In this case, again the values are generally lower than those under the crest. At 6 A.M., largest variability gradients are found in November and between January and April. Minimum values and variability are found in the winter time. At 6 P.M., the largest variability of the gradients is found in August and October.

Figs. 14 and 15 show the 3-D representation of the monthly variation of the TEC mean gradients and their SDs along the north–south direction and east–west direction under the

| Day number | Apparent velocity (km/s) | Time period (minutes) | Wavelength (km) |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 242/2015   | 0.0722                   | 45                    | 195            |
Figs. 14 and 15 highlight how the meridional TEC gradients increase during the equinoctial months (March and October) and present a high degree of variability of the ionospheric structuring. It is interesting to notice the change of sign (negative gradients) around the same months in the region of the crest closer to the dip equator (located around $-9^\circ$N), corresponding to the highest degree of variability shown by the SD. The zonal gradients show a larger variability in the sign but confirm the presence of the ionospheric irregularities of different scale sizes mainly in March and also in October. The change of sign (positive gradients) around the same months in the region of the crest closer to the dip equator (located around $-9^\circ$N) appears similar to the one identified in the N-S gradients.

In the dip equator (see Fig. 14) and around the EIA southern crest (see Fig. 15) at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M.

Fig. 16. Monthly variation of the S4 occurrence above three levels of scintillation under the EIA southern crest (red curves) and around the dip equator (black curves). (a), (c), and (e) refer to 6 A.M. (b), (d), and (f) refer to 6 P.M.
E. Brazil—Scintillations

The monthly variation of the $S_4$ occurrence for the three levels of amplitude scintillation ($S_4 > 0.1$, $S_4 > 0.25$, and $S_4 > 0.7$), considering the two 1-h windows centered at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. is given in Fig. 16. The seasonal behavior shown in Fig. 16 highlights that, on a statistical base, there is no occurrence of strong scintillation events at 6 A.M. and 6 P.M. in neither the crest nor the dip equator [see Fig. 16(e) and (f)]. The equinoctial maxima characterize mainly the moderate scattering regimes of the crest region [see Fig. 16(c) and (d)] and the weak scattering regime of the equatorial region [see Fig. 16(a) and (b)]. The equatorial region is also characterized by an increase of the occurrence in June for the weak scattering regime [see Fig. 16(a) and (b)] at both 6 A.M. and 6 P.M., and for the moderate scattering regime at 6 A.M. [see Fig. 16(c)]. The two regions present a similar seasonal behavior of the weak scintillation ($S_4 > 0.1$) occurrence (see Fig. 17).

F. Brazil—TIDs

Algorithms to detect the presence of TIDs were applied on TEC maps obtained at every 5-min interval, over the equatorial and anomaly crest regions in Brazil (see Section II.2 for the method). The TEC maps for the equatorial regions covered a spatial range of $-12.0^\circ$N to $-2.0^\circ$N in IPP latitude and $-65.0^\circ$E to $-47.0^\circ$E in IPP longitude with a 1° resolution, while over the anomaly crest regions, they covered a spatial range of $-31.0^\circ$N to $-17.0^\circ$N in IPP latitude and $-56.0^\circ$E to $-38.0^\circ$E in IPP longitude with a 0.5° resolution.

It was observed that none of the analyzed days revealed the presence of LSTIDs over these regions, as expected during geomagnetically quiet conditions.

| Day number | Apparent velocity (km/s) | Time period (minutes) | Wavelength (km) | Region |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------|
| 018        | 0.124                    | 14                    | 106            | Crest  |
| 226        | 0.0833                   | 25                    | 125            | Equator|
| 329        | 0.1852                   | 45                    | 500            | Equator|

The MSTIDs were detected on one day over the crest and on two days over the equatorial region during the year 2015. The main characteristics of the MSTIDs observed over the crest and equatorial region are summarized in Table II.

V. Conclusion

The TEC gradients characterizing the low-latitude ionosphere can degrade the quality of the imaging that the P-band SAR on board the future Biomass ESA mission will provide. The original approach proposed in this paper offers a climatological picture of the low-latitude ionosphere properly customized to provide the information needed for the Biomass mission operational purposes. Such information cannot be entirely derived from the global models of the ionosphere. This is the reason why this paper is proposed as a valuable contribution to the regional characterization of the ionospheric impact on remote sensing.

Space weather introduces a high level of ionospheric unpredictability in the SEA and Brazilian regions due to the complex dynamics occurring in the proximity of the EIA. Indeed, TEC variations and scintillation occurrence can be very different from case to case, often being associated with very fine structuring of the ionospheric plasma. This means that a reliable assessment of the ionospheric scenario, even during quiet times, must rely on data-driven representation. Indeed, our statistical analysis of the TEC gradients and scintillations reveals the following:

1) An important distinction between the meridional TEC variation and the zonal variation in both regions are under investigation.
2) A clear definition of the role of EIA crests in hosting the ionospheric irregularities.
3) Peculiar characteristics of the low-latitude ionosphere at the Biomass orbital passes (6 A.M. and 6 P.M.).
4) The contribution of MSTIDs to TEC variations is minor but not negligible during quiet time.

Our assessment of the sensitivity of the method adopted to calculate both TEC and corresponding gradients to the number of the receivers in the network indicates that a few tenths of receivers can be sufficient to provide a reliable climatology over regions like the one considered in Brazil.
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