Influence of Hydrogen Ion Concentrations on the Protein Mobility in Native-PAGE Buffers
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Abstract

The study demonstrates the effect of hydrogen ion concentrations (pH) of Tank Buffer (TB) and resolving gel buffer (RGB) in a native-PAGE system on protein samples. A pH range of 7.8 to 9.3 for RGB and 8.3 to 9.3 for TB were used. Proteins in the samples under native-PAGE at varying pH of RGB such as 7.8, 8.3 and 8.8 with pH of TB, 8.3 and 8.8 were resolved well. The total running time for the samples to reach the end of the dye front ranged between 2.30 h to 4.30 h at the above pH combinations. It was observed as 3.30 h as total running time under normal pH conditions (RGB, pH 8.8; TB, pH 8.3). At the same time, buffers at higher pH or the combination of extreme pH (7.8 vs. 9.3) in the buffers were not favored good protein mobility/resolution, and bands were diffused. Longer running time was observed in various combinations of pH of RGB and pH of TB with 18.00 h being a longest with a pH of 8.3 and 9.3 for RGB and TB, respectively. This indicated the importance of pH of electrophoresis buffers for ionization of various proteins for better separation.
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TB: Tank Buffer; RGB: Resolving Gel Buffer; PAGE: Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis; pIs: Isoelectric Points; BSA: Bovine Serum Albumin; RBC: Red Blood Cells; PTU: Phenylthiourea

Introduction

Acrylamide gel electrophoresis is a standard technique used to separate, analyse and purify molecules such as proteins based on their net charge and size [1-3]. Separation is influenced by the type of proteins, pH of the buffers used, electrical field strength and temperature [4,5]. The pH of the electrophoresis buffer required to be in the range over which proteins of interest are stable or at which they retain their biological activity [6]. The pH should be appropriately chosen with respect to the isoelectric points (pIs) of proteins. The pH of the resolving gel buffer must be adequately distant away from the pIs of the proteins and so they carry enough net charge to travel through the gel in a reasonable time period [7]. The resolution and stability of proteins are often decided by the choice of pH [8]. A protein possesses charge as a result of the constituents of its acidic (glutamic and aspartic acids) and basic (lysine and arginine) amino acids. However, it is only the net charge on the molecule that is significant in deciding its movement on a matrix. Since each molecule possesses unique charge and mass, it migrates to a specific position within the electric field in a given duration of time [9]. Therefore, if a mixture of proteins is subjected to electrophoresis, each of the protein would be expected to concentrate into a tight migrating band at a unique position in the electric field on a matrix [10]. Different protein molecules in the sample move through the matrix at various velocities under the influence of an applied electrical current [11]. Polyacrylamide is the most common matrix used for separating proteins [11,12]. Although studies on standardization of PAGE according to the different model proteins in regard to various pH have reported, there is no information pertaining to the effect of changes of hydrogen ion concentration in resolving gel buffer which is crucial for separation of biological macromolecules [10,13,14]. In that context, this study is aimed to optimize a polyacrylamide gel to separate native proteins with good resolution by varying the pH of buffers used in both electrophoresis and resolving gel.

Materials and Methods

Protein Samples

Lysozyme and Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) fraction-V were purchased from Hi-Media, haemoglobin from ox red blood cells (RBC) and haemolymph of Bombyx mori (fifth instar larva) were used for this study. The average molecular weight of lysozyme is 14.6 kDa and its pI value is 4.9. The protein concentration of samples was measured using bovine serum albumin as standard by following Lowry et al. [15]. Alsever’s solution was prepared by following Garvey et al. [16] for maintaining ox RBC.

Preparation of Protein Samples

Lysozyme muramidase from chicken egg white

Lysozyme (5 mg lyophilized powder) was weighed and dissolved in 1 ml of double distilled water (stock). From stock, 5 µl (25 µg) was taken and mixed with 10 µl of sample buffer for electrophoresis.

Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) fraction-V

BSA (1 mg) was weighed and dissolved in 1 ml of double distilled water (stock). From this 3 µl (3 µg) was taken and mixed with 10 µl of sample buffer for electrophoresis.
Haemoglobin from Ox RBC

Ox RBC was collected from the Corporation Slaughter House, Perambur, Chennai. It was collected in Alsever’s solution. The RBC was washed thrice with 0.9% physiological saline by centrifugation at 500g for 10 min. From the suspension, 10 μl of RBC was taken and diluted to 2 fold with 25 mM NaCl. This solution was incubated for 30 min at Room Temperature (RT), centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min. From this 2 μl (28µg) of supernatant was directly loaded on the gel.

Analysis of protein samples in Native-PAGE

The protein samples were analyzed using native PAGE [17]. The gel plates were assembled according to the manufacturer’s instruction and the volume of the gel mould was determined (170 x 150 x 1.5 mm). In a beaker, the resolving gel mixture for 8% (2.70 ml of 30% acrylamide stock + 2.50 ml of 1.5 M Tris HCl (pH 7.8, 8.3, 8.8 and 9.3), + 4.60 ml of double distilled water + 100 μl of 10% ammonium per sulphate + 6 μl of TEMED) was prepared. The components were mixed thoroughly and without delay the resolving gel mixture was poured into the glass mould and overlaid with distilled water carefully. After polymerization (approximately 20 minutes), the overlay was removed. 5% stacking gel was prepared by mixing 0.67 ml of 30% acrylamide stock, 0.50 ml of 0.5 M Tris HCl, pH 6.8, 2.70 ml of distilled water, 40 μl of 10% ammonium per sulphate and 4 μl of TEMED. These components were mixed and poured over the resolving gel. Immediately a comb was inserted and the gel was allowed to polymerize (approximately 15 min). Once it got polymerized, comb was removed and lanes were washed with distilled water. The gel mould was placed in an electrophoresis apparatus and completely polymerized (approximately 15 min). Once it got polymerized, the apparatus was plugged to the power supply unit taking care of anode and cathode wire plugged properly. Initially the voltage was set at 60 for stacking gel and later 120V for resolving gel. The power supply was turned off, once the bromophenol blue reached the bottom of the resolving gel. The gel mould was removed apart using a spatula. The orientation of the gel was marked. The gel was stained with staining solution. Each box was labelled for identification. After 4 hours, each gel was washed with distilled water and destaining solution was poured. Destaining solution was changed until the background was cleared. It was stored in 7% acetic acid. The bands were visualized using an illuminator and then photographed.

Results

Silkworm haemolymph sample, hemoglobin from ox RBC and two commercially purchased proteins such as lysozyme and BSA were used in the study to show the influence of pH in the buffer systems used in native-PAGE. The results obtained were presented in the order of constant pH of resolving gel buffer with differing pH of tank buffers. At a resolving gel buffer of pH 8.8, three different pH of electrophoresis or tank buffers (pH: 8.3, 8.8 and 9.3) were used to separate various samples in the acrylamide gel. The native gel system comprised of resolving gel pH 8.8 with a combination of tank buffer pH 8.3 separated lysozyme, haemoglobin, BSA and the silkworm haemolymph proteins better than other pH variants of tank buffers (i.e., pH 8.8 & 9.3) (Figure 1A). The increasing pH of tank buffers made proteins to diffuse over the gel and separate poorly in native-PAGE (Figures 1B and 1C).

Different proteins in the haemolymph and other standard proteins were resolved much better with combination of resolving gel (pH 7.8) and both pH of tank buffers (i.e., 8.3 & 8.8). Individual protein molecules were also dense and sharply packed in the gel (Figures 1D and 1E). But at the same pH of resolving gel, the pH 9.3 of tank buffer showed diffusion, damage of lanes and disappearance of some protein molecules (Figure 1F). At pH 8.3 of resolving gel buffer and tank buffer, there was incomplete transfer of haemolymph proteins from the stacking gel (Figure 1G). But, electrophoresis of various silkworm haemolymph proteins in the case of resolving gel buffer at pH 8.3 and tank buffer pH 8.8 showed a better resolution with dense packing of individual protein fractions (Figure 1H). Native-PAGE with a combination of resolving gel buffer and tank buffer at pH 8.3 and 9.3, respectively showed diffusion and disappearance of proteins (Figure 1I). At a high pH of 9.3 in resolving gel buffer, the native-PAGE failed to show a good profile of various proteins in all the three different pH variants of tank buffer 8.3, 8.8 and 9.3 (Figures 1J, 1K and 1L).

Discussion

In the present study, the influence of hydrogen ion concentrations (pH) of tank buffer and resolving gel buffer on vertical gel electrophoresis system was demonstrated. Proteins are biomolecules that migrate in the electric field on the basis of their net charge, mass and size. This principle of gel electrophoresis sets an interesting hypothesis that the net charge is greatly influenced by the pH of the buffers used in the electrophoresis system [9,18]. The state of ionization of the proteins is also dependent on the group of amino acids they possess, which is either acidic or basic or neutral. The ionization grossly differs at a particular pH [13,19]. Under standard electrophoresis conditions, the pH of the resolving gel buffer would be
8.8, and the electrophoresis or tank buffer would be 8.3. This condition is usually an ideal condition for most of the proteins, because at this pH, 95% of the ionization is achieved. In the present study, BSA and lysozyme whose molecular weight 66.3 kDa and 14.6 kDa, respectively, were used for electrophoresis with different combinations of pH of both resolving gel and tank buffers. BSA being high molecular weight than lysozyme, BSA should electrophoreses at the top in gel than lysozyme. This was not observed in Figure. 1A because lysozyme gets ionized faster when compared to BSA. Likewise, when the pH of the tank buffer was increased to 8.8, there was partial diffusion of haemoglobin, and when the pH was increased to 9.3, there was a complete diffusion or either denaturation of the haemoglobin. This might be due to the slow migration of haemoglobin. The resolution of proteins in haemolymph of silkworm was not clear at higher pH could be because of the high proportion of chlorine and tris ions when compared to the glycine ions and hence ineffective ionization. When the pH of the tank buffer was 8.8 and 8.3, there was good resolution in the protein profile. Throughout the study, the tertiary structure of BSA was unaltered; this is because BSA is known to keep its structure unaltered at wide range of pH varying from 4.0 to 9.0, even without undergoing any significant conformational change [20]. It is clear from the study that hydrogen ion concentrations (pH) play a very distinct role in migration of proteins. Our observations clearly showed that pH 9.3 of tank buffer was not suitable for the samples used in the present study. Likewise, buffers with low pH i.e., less than 8.0 made the irregular pattern of protein profile in the native-PAGE. The pH range of 8.3 to 8.8 in buffers showed clear protein profile in PAGE.

Finally, when the pH of the resolving gel buffer and the tank buffer were raised to 9.3, there was poor resolution of proteins and this could be because of high proportion of chloride and tris ions when compared to the glycine ions and hence ineffective ionization. When the pH of the tank buffer was 8.8 and 8.3, there was good resolution in the protein profile. Throughout the study, the tertiary structure of BSA was unaltered; this is because BSA is known to keep its structure unaltered at a wide range of pH varying from 4.0 to 9.0, even without undergoing any significant conformational change [20]. It is clear from the study that hydrogen ion concentrations (pH) play a very distinct role in migration of proteins. Our observations clearly showed that pH 9.3 of tank buffer was not suitable for the samples used in the present study. Likewise, buffers with low pH i.e., less than 8.0 made the irregular pattern of protein profile in the native-PAGE. The pH range of 8.3 to 8.8 in buffers showed clear protein profile in PAGE.
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