Establish real-time monitoring models of cotton aphid quantity based on different leaf positions in cotton seedlings
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Abstract

Cotton aphids, Aphis gossypii glover, are major pest threats to cotton plants, leading to quality and yield loss of cotton. Rapid and accurate evaluation on the occurrence and quantity of cotton aphids can help precision management and treatment of cotton aphids. The occurrence rules of cotton aphids on different leaf positions in cotton seedling stage for two cultivars of cotton were studied. The quantity of cotton aphids in the whole cotton seedlings were predicted based on the single leaf cotton aphid quantity. The correlation analysis results showed that cotton aphids of single leaf were significantly and positively correlated with the infected time, the all leaves of the whole plant, the whole plant contained all leaves and branches. The variance analysis results showed that cotton aphids of single leaf were significant difference with the extension of infected time. Based on different leaf positions, monitoring models were constructed respectively. The modelling set's determination coefficient of 'Xinluzao-45' was greater than 0.8, while 'Lumianyan-24' was greater than 0.6. The best monitoring leaf position was the third for 'Xinluzao-45', the sixth for 'Lumianyan-24'. From the data analysis, we can realize that it is feasible to construct a monitoring model based on the occurrence of cotton aphid in one leaf in cotton seedling, and different cotton varieties have different leaf positions. This will greatly reduce the investment of manpower and time.
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Introduction

Aphis gossypii glover, a worldwide cotton pest, has different hazard levels and hazard areas at different leaf ages of cotton, resulting in lower height and leaf area of cotton plants, an increasing fall rate and lower yield. Now, manual field surveys are used to determine the occurrence and hazard level of aphids and to provide a basis for decision making in plant protection work. This method is accurate, but it is labour-intensive and time-consuming to count whole-plant aphids. It is necessary to find an intermediate indicator to characterize whole-plant aphids, thus reducing the investment of labour and time. In addition, the spatial and temporal distribution of information on cotton aphids in cotton fields is not clear, resulting in the current production
of pesticide overspray, causing environmental pollution, which is not conducive to green and sustainable development of agriculture.

At present, the research on the quantity of cotton aphid mainly focuses on the relationship between the natural enemies of cotton aphid and its ebb and flow (Jiang et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017), as well as the influence of environmental conditions (Wang et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2016) on the feeding of cotton aphid and its reproduction rate. Aphids damaged plants’ organic and transmitted viruses. So, it’s important to reveal the occurrence regularity and damage characteristics of cotton aphid. A new method showed the mortality of *Aphis gossypii* was different among different layers after stratification (Jiang et al., 2019). With the development of information science and technology, the monitoring of crop pest quantity has changed from traditional manual statistics to image recognition counting with a good accuracy rate (Boissard et al., 2008; Jiao et al., 2018). However, the current researches based on image recognition counting are rarely carried out based on the distribution characteristics of pests on plants, but mostly based on sample size. Therefore, there is little basis for practical application. The selection of host plants by pests is related to the content of compounds in host plants and affects the number of pests (Huang et al., 2020).

Aphids are also the important insects of vegetables. The low tunnels reduced insect infestation and chewing herbivory leaf injury to brussels sprouts (Acharya et al., 2020). Aphids could produce honey, which affected on the soil (Kyaw et al., 2020). Aphids, a type of sucking pest, reproduce rapidly and are usually caught and monitored in sticky traps. Experiments on drought and aphid infection of potato with different genotypes showed that drought sensitive genotypes had good tolerance to aphid (Quandahor et al., 2019). Image segmentation is one of the most important steps to count the number of pests. Different segmentation algorithms can be used to achieve the aim. Using threshold algorithms, Bodhe and Mukherji (2013) developed a system to detect and count whiteflies using image analysis. Using samples of yellow sticky traps from greenhouses, Qiao et al. (2008) proposed a model for density estimation of the silverleaf whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* based on image processing system which proved to be more efficient with medium and high densities of the pest. Using leaf samples, Maharlooei et al. (2017) designed a model for identification of soybean aphid (*Aphis glycines*) based on image processing techniques with different types of cameras and two illumination conditions and compared results with human counting. The best results were obtained with low illumination and Sony camera with 96% accuracy. Also using leaf samples, Chen et al. (2018) proposed a model for the segmentation and counting of aphid nymphs. This system showed a high accuracy when compared to human counting, but it is not selective and can be used for other pests. As a limitation, this and some of the systems described appear just to count and not distinguish between the whiteflies or aphid species. After the damage of cotton aphid, the hormone level of host plants will change, and the occurrence time of different host plants will be different (Koch et al., 2020). In production, insecticides are mainly used to protect cotton aphids. Studies have shown chemical control can make aphids rapidly produce resistance to insecticides (Ghazy et al., 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to accurately grasp the occurrence and development of aphids, so as to formulate effective control strategies. The spatial distribution of aphids on plants is not clear, but some studies have shown that the damage of aphids to host plant leaves is uneven (Li et al., 2020). Under the threat of pests, the physiological and biochemical elements of the host plants will change, and the insect pests will interact (Eliezer et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020). Low toxicity pesticides and appropriate hormone derivatives can inhibit and interrupt the growth cycle of pests (Jiang et al., 2020).

In this paper, for the first time, the relationship between the number of cotton aphid in different leaf positions and the number of cotton aphid in the whole plant was attempted to explore the best leaf position for monitoring the number of cotton aphid, so as to provide a theoretical basis for the monitoring of crop pests and the interdisciplinary research. Previous studies have shown that the traditional manual statistics of pest quantity requires a lot of energy and time, so most of the current pest research is based on the classification of pest damage. Quantitative change produces qualitative change. Timely and accurate control of the number of cotton aphids can better predict the occurrence of cotton aphids in the next few days, and provide decision-making basis for the control of cotton aphids in production.
Materials and Methods

Sample preparation
Experiment was conducted in greenhouse of the Agronomy Experiment Station of Shihezi University, Shihezi City, Xinjiang Province, China, Latitude 44°18′ N and Longitude 86°3′ E. The indoor ambient temperature was 15.5 °C to 29 °C. The day and night were 16:8. The light intensity was 8000~10000 lx. The test cotton varieties were ‘Xinluzao-45’ which stem was hairy and ‘Lumianyan-24’ which stem was less hairy, and the seeds were coated.

Experimental design and treatments
The cotton seeds were sowed on September 28th, 2019, with five seeds per pot. After the seedling emergence, two or three plants were kept per pot. The manual inoculation of cotton aphids was conducted when the fourth main stem leaf of the plant was fully expanded on November 20th, 2019, as Figure 1(A). Cotton aphids were feed on cotton in pots in August in the glass greenhouse with suitable environment and isolated by nylon mesh, meanwhile kept cottons alive always.

The data were collected from on November 25th, 2019 to December 30th, 2019, which was every five days. When collecting leaf aphid data, the leaves were collected from the bottom to the top in the order of leaf position, and the quantities of aphids on the leaves were recorded by manual. The quantities of aphids on the stem and petiole were recorded separately on the front and back sides. All data information was shown in Table 1. The leaf position diagram was shown in Figure 1(B). The manual inoculation of cotton aphid plants was shown in Figure 2(A) and uninoculated as Figure 2(B).

| Table 1. Descriptive statistical analysis of quantity of single leaf during seedling stage |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Variety | Plants | Leaf position | Samples | Quantity |
| | | | | Maximum | Minimum | Average | Stdev |
| ‘Xinluzao-45’ | 12 | 1 | 12 | 132 | 0 | 46 | 43 |
| | | 2 | 12 | 243 | 0 | 51 | 67 |
| | | 3 | 12 | 460 | 5 | 132 | 172 |
| | | 4 | 12 | 519 | 1 | 153 | 185 |
| | | 5 | 10 | 570 | 0 | 177 | 202 |
| | | 6 | 6 | 346 | 7 | 199 | 133 |
| | | 7 | 3 | 310 | 24 | 205 | 128 |
| | | 8 | 2 | 303 | 137 | 220 | 83 |
| ‘Lumianyan-24’ | 57 | 1 | 54 | 486 | 0 | 107 | 123 |
| | | 2 | 56 | 367 | 0 | 123 | 118 |
| | | 3 | 57 | 701 | 1 | 201 | 179 |
| | | 4 | 57 | 911 | 0 | 244 | 223 |
| | | 5 | 49 | 895 | 0 | 258 | 235 |
| | | 6 | 32 | 939 | 40 | 325 | 271 |
| | | 7 | 16 | 939 | 28 | 313 | 291 |
| | | 8 | 7 | 1067 | 35 | 312 | 330 |
| | | 9 | 5 | 276 | 23 | 146 | 85 |

The basic data information contains all samples which are collected from on November 25th, 2019 to December 30th, 2019. Different varieties are listed separately. Under the same environment, the growth of individuals is also different.
Figure 1. This figure shows respectively aphids inoculated and schematic diagram of leaf position
(A) The manual inoculation of cotton aphids at fourth leaf stage. By soft brush, the manual inoculation of cotton aphids was conducted when the fourth main stem leaf of the plant was fully expanded. Each leaf was inoculated with four aphids which from the first leaf to the fourth leaf. Must be careful, the size and colour of aphids are the same; (B) Schematic diagram of leaf position delineation in cotton main stem. The main stem leaf is from the bottom to the top. This figure lists four main stem leaves, and the remaining leaves are classified and so on.

Figure 2. This figure shows respectively aphid infested plants and healthy plants at the same environment
(A) The cotton plants covered with nylon mesh are infested by cotton aphid, and aphids distributed throughout the plant, aphids include winged aphids and wingless aphids; (B) The cotton plants covered with nylon mesh are healthy and keep away from inoculated plants.

Statistical analysis
The data processing was carried out in Microsoft Excel. Average, maximum, minimum, stdve were used to describe data characteristics. The statistical analysis was by IBM SPSS Statistics 24.0. Through the correlation analysis, we could get the correlation between the factors, and get the independent variables which have a greater impact on the dependent variables, which provided support for the model building.

Results

Statistical analysis of cotton aphid quantity during cotton seedling
Correlation of cotton aphids’ quantities in cotton seedling of different varieties
We list five parameters for correlation analysis, which were infected time, leaf position, cotton aphid of single leaf, cotton aphid of all leaves and cotton aphid of plant. The correlation analysis results are shown in the Table 2. From the table, the correlation coefficient of different varieties is different but the trend is consistent.
There is a significant positive correlation between the quantity of aphids and the infection time. It is mean that with the extension of infection time, the quantity of cotton aphids increased significantly. That regular can provide the basis for plant protection. Many studies on the quantity of cotton aphids are mainly regional, and there is little research on the distribution of aphids on plants. Experts’ experience shows that aphids like to live in tender parts of plants. The correlation analysis of leaf position showed that there was a significant positive correlation between the quantity of single leaf aphid and leaf position, which was consistent with the previous research results. While single leaf was a significant positive correlation between all leaves and plant. The correlation coefficient was more than 0.8 for 'Xinluzao-45', 0.79 for the 'Lumianyan-24'. The correlation coefficient of all leaves and plant it was more than 0.99, which mean more aphids live on leaves. From that, we can know that the quantity of single leaf can be used indicated plant.

**Table 2. Descriptive correlation analysis of five parameters during seedling stage**

| Variety       | Parameter         | Infected time | Leaf position | Cotton aphid of single leaf | Cotton aphid of all leaves | Cotton aphid of plant |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 'Xinluzao-45' | Infected time     | 1             |               |                             |                            |                       |
|               | Leaf position     | .285*         | 1             |                             |                            |                       |
|               | Cotton aphid of single leaf | .786**     | .367**        | 1                           |                            |                       |
|               | Cotton aphid of all leaves | .960**     | .265**        | .808**                      | 1                           |                       |
|               | Cotton aphid of plant | .972**     | .272**        | .811**                      | .994**                     | 1                     |
| 'Lumianyan-24'| Infected time     | 1             |               |                             |                            |                       |
|               | Leaf position     | .241**        | 1             |                             |                            |                       |
|               | Cotton aphid of single leaf | .720**     | .303**        | 1                           |                            |                       |
|               | Cotton aphid of all leaves | .776**     | .265**        | .817**                      | 1                           |                       |
|               | Cotton aphid of plant | .742**     | .272**        | .799**                      | .992**                     | 1                     |

*Notes: Cotton aphid of all leaves mean counting all of single leaf at the same plant. Aphids live on leaves and stem, so cotton of aphid plan means counting all leaves and stem. All samples where be used for correlation analysis which were collected from on November 25th, 2019 to December 30th, 2019, like table 1. Different varieties are listed separately.

*Notes: Different letters between cultivars denote significant differences (Duncan test, p < 0.05).

*Notes: Different letters between cultivars denote significant differences (Duncan test, p < 0.01).

**Analysis of variance for different leaf positions of cotton aphid quantity in cotton seedling of different cotton varieties**

From Table 1, we can know that the 'Lumianyan-24' were growing well with nine main stem leaves, while the 'Xinluzao-45' were eight main stem leaves. Considering the effective analysis of the data, we choose five and seven leaves respectively. The follow figure shows the trends of different leaf position. From the follow figure, we can know that cotton aphids of single leaf are increasing with the infected time prolonging. In general, the quantity of cotton aphid of single leaf, 'Lumainyan-24' was greater than 'Xinluzao-45'. Figure 3 (A) showed that cotton aphid quantities of infected forty days were significantly greater than before in the same leaf position but the second leaf position. At the same infected time, there was no significant difference in different leaf positions but the second leaf position; Figure 3 (B) showed that leaf position of three, four and five were increasing always along the infected time, the other leaves were first increasing, then decreasing, and the increasing. At the same infected time, there was a significant difference in different leaf positions. So, we can see that different cotton varieties have different characters of changing trend about cotton aphid of single leaf.
From the Figure 3, we know that aphids on single leaf of ‘Xinluzao-45’ are less than Lumianyan-24. It maybe the stem’s hairy. ‘Xinluzao-45’ has fully hairy than ‘Lumianyan-24’. Some study showed hairy can avoid insects to move. With the infected time prolonging, aphids are not increase always like the leaf stage 2 of (a) and 1,2,7 of (b). Maybe it’s changes of leaves’ contents. That need further study to verify whether is it so.

Figure 3. This is a figure which shows analysis of variance on different leaf stage
(A) The analysis of variance of ‘Xinluzao-45’; (B) The analysis of variance of ‘Lumianyan-24’. The legend of “5,10,15,20,25,30,35,40” represent infection time respectively. The “5” means five days, and the remaining numbers are classified and so on. The (A) lack of three times’ data of “25, 30, 35”. In the figure, the significant difference was indicated by a, b, c, d according to the results.

*Notes: Duncan’s multiple range test, different letters in the same leaf position indicated significant differences. p<0.05)*

According to the previous analysis, linear models were established to estimate the total cotton aphids based on different leaf positions respectively. The quantity of single leaf was used as independent variable, the quantity of plant was the dependent variable. $R^2$ (correlation coefficient) and RMSE (root mean square error) are two indicators for evaluation model. As the follow table. For the ‘Xinluzao-45’, the monitor model based the second leaf position was not suitable, while the other monitor models were significant with correlation coefficient greater than 0.81. Considering correlation coefficient and RMSE, the best monitor model was based the third leaf position, with correlation coefficient was 0.85, extremely significant, RMSE was 215.908. For the Lumainya-24, all of monitor models’ correlation coefficient were extremely significant, which were greater than 0.62. The best monitor model was based the sixth leaf position, with correlation coefficient was 0.851, extremely significant, RMSE was 469.618.

From Table 3, it shows that linear model can be used for monitoring aphid’s quantity. But on the seedling stage, the monitor leaf position was different for varieties. Maybe, it correlated with variety’s characters. ‘Xinluzao-45’ was full hairy on stem, while ‘Lumianyan-24’ was less hairy. Meanwhile, further study needs to expand samples.
Table 3. Descriptive linear models based on different leaf position respectively

| Variety         | Leaf position | Monitor model | Modeling set | Validation set |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| 'Xinluza-45'    | 1             | Y=17.675x+19.277 | n=6, R²=0.814 | n=6, R²=0.9271, RMSE=331.5 |
|                 | 2             | Y=8.23x+377.744  | n=6, R²=0.507  | n=6, R²=0.8113, RMSE=558.1 |
|                 | 3             | Y=5.198x+139.767 | n=6, R²=0.853  | n=6, R²=0.976, RMSE=215.908 |
|                 | 4             | Y=4.509x+11.653  | n=6, R²=0.965  | n=6, R²=0.986, RMSE=333.5 |
|                 | 5             | Y=3.835x+239.287 | n=5, R²=0.933  | n=5, R²=0.9454, RMSE=423.7 |
| 'Lumianyan-24'  | 1             | Y= 10.084x + 210.14 | n=29, R²=0.738 | n=25, R²=0.78, RMSE=1006.15 |
|                 | 2             | Y = 11.442x + 59.163 | n=30, R²=0.622 | n=26, R²=0.8465, RMSE=679.671 |
|                 | 3             | Y = 8.75x – 204.475 | n=34, R²=0.856 | n=23, R²=0.9223, RMSE=680.953 |
|                 | 4             | Y = 6.863x – 172.919 | n=34, R²=0.829 | n=23, R²=0.9361, RMSE=525.638 |
|                 | 5             | Y = 6.777x -23.317  | n=31, R²=0.865  | n=18, R²=0.9053, RMSE=721.171 |
|                 | 6             | Y = 5.431x + 621.673 | n=22, R²=0.851 | n=10, R²=0.969, RMSE=469.618 |
|                 | 7             | Y = 5.5898x + 1347  | n=11, R²=0.657  | n=5, R²=0.9119, RMSE=1039.6 |

Notes: All samples are collected from on November 25th, 2019 to December 30th, 2019. Different varieties are listed separately. Under the same environment, the growth of individuals is also different.

*Notes: Different letters between cultivars denote significant differences (Duncan test, p < 0.05).

*Notes: Different letters between cultivars denote significant differences (Duncan test, p < 0.01).

Discussion

Cotton aphid is the main pest in the cotton seedling stage. By sucking leaf sap, it curls the leaves, and the aphid nectar secreted by it adheres to the leaf surface and affects the plant’s leaf photosynthesis and breeds bacteria easily. In addition, in the cotton seedling stage, cotton aphids are easy to live in the cotton growth point, affecting the growth and development of cotton, and very easy to cause cotton "multiple growth points", and reproduction rate is fast which a short period of time is very easy to large outbreak, causing serious damage, so it is an important pest control in cotton production. Therefore, fast and accurate access to cotton aphid quantity in cotton fields is a key point for aphid control. At present, the traditional survey also relies mainly on manual field visual inspection method, through the survey site within the cotton aphid reproduction rate, hundred aphid amounts to classify the cotton aphid occurrence level or hazard level. Its essence is defined by the cotton aphid quantity. Although the data obtained in this way is more accurate, conducive to the accurate judgment of the insect situation, statistics on the whole cotton aphid occurrence is not only not very timely and also requires a lot of labour input. Therefore, it is urgent to find out the occurrence and distribution of cotton aphid on cotton plants.

In this study, by studying the occurrence pattern of cotton aphids during the cotton seedling stage of two different cotton varieties, we obtained that the quantity of single leaf cotton aphids is highly correlated with cotton varieties, and the quantity of cotton aphids in the middle and upper leaves of cotton is higher than the lower leaves of cotton, which also indicates that the habit of cotton aphids is to take the young leaf position,
which is consistent with the results of previous research. The results of this study showed that during the cotton seedling stage, single leaf aphids were highest in leaf position 6, which was higher than other leaf positions. With the popularization and application of hyperspectral remote sensing technology, a large area of pest monitoring has been realized (Reisig and Godfrey, 2006; Zhang et al., 2017). However, due to the mechanism of spectral response of plants, most studies based on this technique only focus on the canopy and single leaf of plants, and little is known about the middle and lower part of plants and the overall pest situation. The purpose of this study is to study the distribution of cotton aphid on cotton plant and detect the optimal leaf position, which can provide ideas for the application of remote sensing technology in crop pest research. The results of this study showed that built monitoring models’ single leaf was feasible. For future, we can study the different canopy’s number of characteristics in? with other subjects, which will improve monitoring pest and decrees the cost and loss.

**Conclusions**

In this experiment, cotton aphids were inoculated artificially to damage cotton seedling plants. The quantities of cotton aphid in single leaf and plant were counted by manual. A total of 404 samples were collected. Through correlation and variance analysis, the best monitoring leaf position was determined respectively on cotton seedling stage. Through the implementation of model verification, the best monitor model for ‘Xinluzao-45’ was the third leaf position, while ‘Lumianyan-24’ was the fifth leaf position. Both of the two monitor models’ correlation coefficient is greater than 0.85. It is convenient to count cotton aphids manually and reduce the input of manpower and time. In this paper, the best monitoring leaf position is determined. In the future, the aphid identification and counting will be combined with image recognition technology, which will liberate manpower investment and make cotton pest management more efficient. But this study just on cotton seedling stage, not consider the flowering and boll stage which are most important for pest management. In the future, it will be going on to further study on the system.
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