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Abstract

Introduction: Scientometric is a field of science dealing with quality assessment of the scientific validity of published articles. Now a days publication of articles made mandatory for assessing the academic performance of any profession. If authors are aware about scientometrics, they will be able to maintain the standard in their research work. Present study aimed to assess the knowledge of publication criteria among health care professionals and objected to know the knowledge about citation index, H index about publication.

Materials and Methods: 60 health care professionals who have completed their master degree, working at Sri Chamarajendra Hospital, HIMS, HASSAN who are willing to participate were given with validated questionnaire. The opinion generated for each question were expressed in percentage of the study group and results were analyzed.

Results: Out of 60 participants, 63.3% of them were attended training programme. 68.77% were conducting language check before submission. 56.67% knew what is COPE – Committee on Publication ethics and were following it. 66.67% knew that their paper will undergo Editorial – Peer review – Technical – Linguistic check. 58.33% knew about SCI – Science Citation Index and 41.67% of them were selecting the journals based on impact factor. 38.33% knew about H index and hardly 6.67% measuring the journal’s H index before submitting their articles. 41.67% have their online research ID and 26.67% knew that citation metrics and h index calculated by these IDs

Conclusion: Every investigator must be made aware of citation index, impact factor and H index before writing/submitting their article for publication to maintain the quality of their publications.
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Statistical analysis
Descriptive analysis was used. Percentage of the answers were taken to analyze the knowledge of the health care professionals about Scientometric

Results

Table 1: Out of 60 participated health care professionals, 85% were males and 15% were females.

| Number of participants n = 60 |
|-------------------------------|
| Male                        | Female                     |
| 51                           | 9                          | 15%                        |

Table 2: Knowledge of Health care professionals

| S. No | Questions                                                                 | Yes In % | No In % | NA (Not attended in %) |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|------------------------|
| 1.    | Have you attended any training for Scientific writing and publishing?     | 63.30    | 36.7    | 0                      |
| 2.    | Do you know the term called ‘Scientometric’                               | 43.33    | 56.67   | 0                      |
| 3.    | Have you read once recommendations by International committee of Journal of medical editor’s guidelines for publication? | 53.33    | 46.67   | 0                      |
| 4.    | Did you know what is COPE – Committee on Publication ethics ?             | 56.67    | 43.33   | 0                      |
| 5.    | Did you follow what is COPE – Committee on Publication ethics (P)?        | 56.67    | 43.33   | 0                      |
| 5.    | Do you know the types of review your paper will undergo after submission (Editorial – Peer review – Technical – Linguistic Review) | 66.67    | 33.33   | 0                      |
| 6.    | Do you follow authorship criteria?                                       | 66.67    | 33.33   | 0                      |
| 7.    | Are you familiar with various guidelines to write scientific paper (Eg. CONSORT guidelines for clinical study reporting) | 58.33    | 41.67   | 0                      |
| 8.    | Do you do yourself language check before submission?                     | 68.77    | 31.33   | 0                      |
|       | Plagiarism check before submission?                                       | 39.12    | 60.88   | 0                      |
| 9.    | Do you know about ‘SCI – Science Citation Index’?                        | 58.33    | 41.67   | 0                      |
| 10.   | Do you select journal for publication based on impact factor by Thomson routers SCI analysis? | 41.67    | 58.33   | 0                      |
| 11.   | Do you know the term h index?                                            | 38.33    | 58.34   | 3.33                   |
| 12.   | Do you usually measure your citations and h index?                       | 6.67     | 90      | 3.33                   |
| 13.   | Do you know h index is used to evaluate scientific merit of a publication?| 36.67    | 60      | 3.33                   |
| 14.   | Do you have any of the following researcher ID (Google scholar, SCOPUS or Orchid or Thomson Routers) | 41.67    | 53.33   | 5                      |
| 15.   | Do you know your citation metrics and h index calculated by above said Online researchers IDs when you enrol yourself ? | 26.67    | 68.33   | 5                      |

After analysing the data we found that, 43.33% have heard of the term called ‘Scientometric’ even after 63% of them attending the training programme.

Out of which, 53.33% of them read the recommendations by International committee of Journal of medical editor’s guidelines for publication at least once and 58.33% were familiar with guidelines to write scientific paper. 56.67% knew what is COPE – Committee on Publication ethics and were following the ethics according to it. 66.67% knew that their paper will undergo Editorial – Peer review – Technical – Linguistic Review
When it was about language and plagiarism, 68.77% were conducting language check before submission but hardly 39.12% were checking for the Plagiarism which indicates the probability of fake publications. Only 58.33% know about SCI – Science Citation Index and that too only 41.67% of them were selecting the journal for publication based on impact factor by Thomson routers analysis. Among the participants, 38.33% knew about H index and hardly 6.67% measuring the journal’s H index before submitting their articles.

Among of 60 health care professionals, 41.67% have their researcher ID in Google scholar/SCOPUS or Orchid or Thomson Routers but very few about 26.67% knew that citation metrics and h index calculated by above said Online researchers IDs

Out of 60 healthcare professionals, Only 39.12 of them check for the plagiarism. Rest others are either unaware how to check for the plagiarism or they might be thinking it must be done by the editors after submission

Discussion
Scientific and professional research work is the primary educational tool to upgrade our knowledge. Scientists place their scientific work and experience in the common treasury of universal knowledge and at the same time is free to use the knowledge of other researchers. So it should have international standards by application of scientific methods and codes of conduct in scientific research are essential to science and its work to protect it against all forms of dupery
So far, only 8.83% of Scientometric study have been conducted in India. 2

Assessment of the achievement of every scientist, and thus indirectly determining his reputation in the scientific community of these publications, especially journals, is done through the impact factor, which shows how many times a scientific article in a specific journal receives an average number of quotes.3

According to our study, 58.33% of the health care professionals were familiar with different guidelines to write their scientific paper. Hopewell S et al says that The CONSORT Statement provides recommendations for reporting randomized controlled Trials. 63% were less clear in their recommendations. Very few journals mentioned the CONSORT extension papers.4

Almost 69% were check for the language and grammar before forwarding their article for publication. Rest others found it is waste of their time and anyways it will be corrected under editorial review. Few mentioned that they are facing lack of time to do check.

We found that very few, 39.12% of the participated professionals were checking for the plagiarism before submitting their research work for publication. Many were not having an idea about, from where to analyse for the Plagiarism and most of them thought that when they site an article, it must contain the same words and sentences,5 so they were just copying as it is in that cited in their publication. This in turn may lead to publication of most plagiarised article without intension.

The impact factor of a journal reflects the frequency with which the journal’s articles are cited in the scientific literature.6 A strength of the h-index is it evaluates quantity (evaluated by the number of publications) and quality (evaluated by the number of citations of publications). The H-index is, therefore, little affected by researchers who publish a high volume of low-impact papers or those who only have a few, high-impact publications.7 In our study, among 60 participated health care professionals, average number of publications were 87 less than 50% were not having idea about the H index and Impact factor of the citation they have used in their journal. Many of them were not aware how to calculate Impact factor of the journal and H index. This may decrease the quality of their publication though they have conducted good clinical research. And if the citation which they have quoted has the less impact factor, the current publishing author’s article will also have less weightage. Number of citation of the present author will also be decreased by indirectly reducing the Impact factor of his articles.

Online research IDs will provide Impact factor, Citation metrics and H index when the author upload their publication. Many of our participated health care professionals were not aware of this though 41.67% of them has their IDs in various sites.

Finally we came across the opinion that that, among the 63.30% of the participants who had attended the training programme regarding Clinical trial, Guidelines for publication, Article writing and Author’s criteria were not updated their knowledge. More than 50% of them have attended this training during their post-graduation. Many said that as the publications have made mandatory, they are lacking time to check all these factors.

Conclusion
1. Language and plagiarism checks to avoid being tagged plagiarist and avoid being lulled by fake journals
2. Every investigator must be made aware of terms like citation index, impact factor and H index before writing/submitting their article for publication.
3. Conduct workshops/programmes in view of update on current trends and key notes to improve their publication credibility and quality.
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