Intrinsic checkpoint deficiency during cell cycle re-entry from quiescence
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To maintain tissue homeostasis, cells transition between cell cycle quiescence and proliferation. An essential G1 process is minichromosome maintenance complex (MCM) loading at DNA replication origins to prepare for S phase, known as origin licensing. A p53-dependent origin licensing checkpoint normally ensures sufficient MCM loading before S phase entry. We used quantitative flow cytometry and live cell imaging to compare MCM loading during the long first G1 upon cell cycle entry and the shorter G1 phases in the second and subsequent cycles. We discovered that despite the longer G1 phase, the first G1 after cell cycle re-entry is significantly underlicensed. Consequently, the first S phase cells are hypersensitive to replication stress. This underlicensing results from a combination of slow MCM loading with a severely compromised origin licensing checkpoint. The hypersensitivity to replication stress increases over repeated rounds of quiescence. Thus, underlicensing after cell cycle re-entry from quiescence distinguishes a higher-risk first cell cycle that likely promotes genome instability.

Introduction

Proliferating mammalian cells initiate DNA replication at thousands of DNA replication origins every cell cycle. Replication origins are chromosomal loci where DNA synthesis initiates in S phase. The minichromosome maintenance complex (MCM) is an essential component of the helicase that unwinds DNA to initiate replication (Bell and Labib, 2016). Cells prepare for DNA replication in S phase by loading MCMs at replication origins in the preceding G1 phase, a process called “origin licensing.” The amount of DNA-loaded MCM increases as cells progress through G1 until reaching a maximum at the G1/S transition (Remus and Diffley, 2009; Siddiqui et al., 2013). Once cells enter S phase, multiple mechanisms block any new MCM loading to restrict origin licensing activity to G1 phase (Arias and Walter, 2007; Truong and Wu, 2011). Cells block MCM loading outside of G1 phase, but the location of stalled forks in S is unpredictable, and dormant origins are critical to protect cells during replication stress. Since dormant origins are used at any time needed (Woodward et al., 2006; Ge et al., 2007; Ibarra et al., 2008). Cells with considerably less loaded MCM can still complete a normal S phase under ideal growth conditions (Ge et al., 2007). Nonetheless, if cells enter S phase “under-licensed” with fewer dormant origins, they are hypersensitive to replication stress. In addition, animal models illustrate the long-term consequences of underlicensing. Mice heterozygous for MCM null alleles or homozygous for hypomorphic MCM alleles have less MCM loading, increased replication stress, and defects in highly proliferative tissues (Pruitt et al., 2007; Alvarez et al., 2015). In addition, these mice are prone to genomic instability, premature aging, and cancer (Pruitt et al., 2007; Shima et al., 2015). Since dormant origins are critical to protect cells during replication stress, a control mechanism ensures sufficient origin licensing. An origin licensing cell cycle checkpoint in untransformed mammalian cells ensures abundant licensing in G1 phase before S phase entry (Shreeram et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009; Nevis et al., 2009). The checkpoint was revealed by artificially reducing MCM loading, which delayed the late G1 activation of cyclin E/CDK2 (Nevis et al., 2009). Delayed cyclin E/CDK2 activation delays the phosphorylation of substrates that drive
S phase entry (Giacinti and Giordano, 2006). Delaying CDK2 activity lengthens G1 phase and ensures that cells do not enter S phase underlicensed. Moreover, this checkpoint is p53 dependent (Nevis et al., 2009), meaning that a common genetic perturbation in transformed cancer cells compromises the normal coordination of origin licensing and S phase onset.

Given the importance of coordinating G1 length with the progress of origin licensing for robust S phase completion, we considered natural circumstances where G1 length changes. We previously found that stem cells with short G1 phases load MCM faster than differentiated cells with longer G1 phases to achieve the same amount of loaded MCM at S phase entry (Matson et al., 2017). An alternative example is the long G1 after cell cycle re-entry from quiescence (Coller, 2007). Cell cycle quiescence, or “G0,” is a reversible cell cycle exit to a nondividing state. G0 is distinct from a G1 arrest; it is an active state requiring up-regulation of anti-apoptotic, anti-senescent, and anti-differentiation genes as well as repression of cell cycle genes (Coller et al., 2006; Litovchick et al., 2007). The longer G1 phase during re-entry likely reflects the need to reactivate and express genes repressed in G0 and other fundamental differences in G1 regulation.

The unique features of G0 and the first G1 phase suggest that origin licensing may be distinctly regulated during cell cycle re-entry. We used single cell flow cytometry to measure the amount of loaded MCM at S phase entry and live cell imaging to measure cell cycle timing to determine if the amount of loaded MCM differs between cell cycle re-entry and active proliferation. We discovered that cells re-entering the cell cycle from G0 are routinely and significantly underlicensed, rendering them hypersensitive to replication stress. This finding is consistent with a recent report that the first S phase experiences higher spontaneous replication stress, though the source of that stress was not identified (Daigh et al., 2018). We report that MCM loading is slow in the first G1 phase and furthermore that the first cell cycle has a severely compromised origin licensing checkpoint relative to the robust checkpoint in actively proliferating cells. To our knowledge, these results demonstrate the first naturally underlicensed cell cycle and suggest that repeated cell cycle re-entry from G0 is particularly hazardous for long-term genome stability.

Results

G0 cells re-entering the cell cycle are underlicensed compared with actively proliferating cells

Cells re-entering the cell cycle from G0 have a long G1 before S phase entry relative to actively proliferating cells (Coller, 2007). We hypothesized that the difference in G1 length and unique aspects of the G0 to G1 transition could alter the timing or amount of loaded MCM at S phase entry. We therefore focused on quantifying how much MCM is loaded at the onset of S phase (G1/S transition). Standard synchronization and immunoblotting is an inadequate approach, however, because G0 cells re-enter G1 phase semi-synchronously. Even in the first cycle after re-entry, there is no time point near the G1/S transition that is not a mix of different phases (e.g., G1 and S phase cells), and the following second cell cycle is nearly asynchronous (Kwon et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). We therefore used a previously published and validated single cell flow cytometry assay to measure DNA-loaded MCM at the G1/S transition (Håländ et al., 2015; Moreno et al., 2016; Matson et al., 2017). We examined proliferating retinal pigmented epithelial cells (RPE) immortalized with telomerase (RPE1-hTert, also referred to hereafter as RPE1 for simplicity). We extracted soluble proteins with nonionic detergent so that DNA-bound proteins were retained, then fixed and stained with anti-Mcm2 antibody as a marker for the whole MCM2-7 complex, with DAPI to measure DNA content, and with the nucleotide analogue 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) to measure DNA synthesis (Fig. 1 A). We defined MCM-positive cells based on an antibody-negative control; gray cells in the Fig. 1 plots are below this background for detecting DNA-loaded MCM (MCMDNA negative). Fig. S1 A shows the flow cytometry gating scheme. We marked cells blue for 2C DNA content (G1) that are negative for DNA synthesis but positive for DNA-loaded MCM (G1-MCMDNA positive). MCM loading is presumably unidirectional in G1, and loaded MCM complexes are very stable and are only unloaded in S phase as replication terminates (Kuipers et al., 2011; Maric et al., 2014; Moreno et al., 2014). We marked cells orange for S phase based on EdU incorporation plus DNA-loaded MCM (S-MCMDNA positive). In actively proliferating populations, we consistently observe that the majority of cells reach a similar maximum loaded MCM per cell in G1 (blue) before becoming EdU positive (orange) in very early S phase. In this way, we accurately measured loaded MCM in single cells at very early S phase even in fully asynchronous populations (Fig. 1 A, G1/S marked by the arrow).

We then asked how much MCM had been loaded by the time of the G1/S transition in the first cell cycle compared with the amount loaded at G1/S in the second cell cycle (Fig. 1 B). We arrested RPE cells in G0 by contact inhibition (in the presence of growth serum) for 48 h. Contact-inhibited G0 cells showed a robust cell cycle exit to G0 with very little loaded MCM; 94% of cells were G0/G1, but only 1% were still in S phase (Fig. S1 B). G0 cells also expressed the expected high levels of p27 and low cyclin D1 compared with cells in the first cycle (Fig. S1 C). We then released cells to re-enter the cell cycle by plating at sub-confluent cell density. For comparison to the flow cytometry analysis, we also used biochemical chromatin fractionation and immunoblotting to observe MCM loading after cell cycle re-entry (Fig. 1 C). We pulse-labeled cells with EdU and harvested some cells in G0 or at 24 h when they were a mix of first G1 and ~50–70% first S phase cells or at 48 h when they had proceeded to the second cell cycle (Fig. 1, C and D). In a separate continuous EdU labeling experiment in which EdU was added at the time of release, we determined that nearly 100% of cells had entered S phase by 28 h (Fig. S1 D), and almost no cells remained in Go/G1. Thus, we concluded that additional cells we harvested 48 h after release had completed their first S phase and were into their second cell cycle.

Strikingly, the amount of loaded MCM at the G1/S transition was markedly different between the first and second cell cycles after G0. Cells in the first cell cycle progressed into S phase (Fig. 1 D, orange cells) with substantially less MCM loaded than
cells in the second cell cycle. By the second cell cycle, G1 cells progressed into S phase as a tight group with relatively high amounts of loaded MCM (transition from blue to orange). In contrast, many cells in the first cell cycle entered S phase with low amounts of loaded MCM. This behavior creates the filled orange triangle on flow cytometry plots of the first S phase instead of the normally clear region under a high arc characteristic of the second S phase.

To quantify the amount of loaded MCM at the G1/S transition, we analyzed the S phase cells from Fig. 1 D and defined very early S phase cells as EdU positive with ~2C DNA content (Fig. 1 E, black rectangles; and see Fig. S1 E for early S phase on EdU plots). We focused on the early S population because they report the amount of MCM that had been loaded by the G1/S transition without confounding contributions from replication termination. Early S phase cells in the first cycle had a broad range of loaded MCM levels that included many cells with low MCM, whereas early S phase cells in the second cycle primarily had high loaded MCM levels. The second cell cycle was nearly indistinguishable from asynchronously proliferating cells. We thus used the second cell cycle to define the normal licensing levels and classified cells with less MCM loaded in early S as
underlicensed (Fig. 1 E, dashed line). To visualize and directly compare the full MCM loading distribution in different populations, we generated histograms of loaded MCM levels in early S phase cells (Fig. 1 F). We noted on the one-dimensional histograms that the maximum MCM loading in the first cycle rarely reached the same values as in the second cycle (x axis positions). We also quantified the differences as the ratio of mean MCM loaded in the first versus second early S phases for multiple biological replicates. Cells in the first cycle after re-entry from G0 loaded only half as much MCM as cells in the second cell cycle (Fig. 1 G). We then used this same comparison to test if this underlicensed first cell cycle is common among different untransformed cell lines or methods of quiescence induction. We observed similar underlicensing after quiescence induction in RPE by serum starvation and restimulation and in two fibroblast cell lines arrested by contact inhibition or serum starvation (Fig. 1 G is the ratio of the means within each experiment, and representative replicates are shown in Fig. S1 [F–I] and Fig. S2 [A–F]). We noted some variation in the shapes of the one-dimensional histograms among individual replicates, but the relative means of these populations were consistently lower in the first cycle. We observed not only that the mean MCM loaded by the first S phase was half that of subsequent S phases but also that the majority of first S phase cells were underlicensed (Fig. 1 H). Additionally, the earliest cells re-entering S phase before 24 h after G0 release were similarly underlicensed (Fig. S2, G–K). Thus, re-entry from G0 is characterized by substantial underlicensing in the first cell cycle.

Cells re-entering S phase from G0 are hypersensitive to replication stress

Cells typically load extra MCM in G1 to license dormant origins so they can tolerate replication stress in S phase (Woodward et al., 2006). Given that the first S phase is underlicensed, we hypothesized that cells re-entering the cell cycle from G0 would be hypersensitive to replication stress in the first S phase. To test that idea, we treated cells in the first or second cell cycle after G0 with gemcitabine, a chemotherapeutic drug that perturbs nucleotide pools to cause replication stress (Zhang et al., 2016; Fig. 2 A). We used flow cytometry to measure the expression of γH2AX, a common replication stress marker (Ewald et al., 2007; Fig. 2 B and Fig. S3 A). We specifically analyzed mid-S phase cells because the outcome of underlicensing on replication forks is expected when many forks are active and to account for differences in cell cycle distribution. We scored the number of γH2AX-positive S phase cells with expression equal to or greater than the top 5% of basal γH2AX levels in untreated cells (Fig. 2 C, dashed line). By this measurement, cells in the first S phase after G0 are significantly more sensitive to replication stress than cells in the second S phase (Fig. 2, B and D). Moreover, gemcitabine-treated first S phase cells expressed double the amount of γH2AX per cell than cells in the second S phase, suggesting that not only were more total cells exhibiting a replication stress response but also that there was more replication stress per cell (Fig. 2, Dii and Diii). Cells in the first S phase were similarly hypersensitive to the topoisomerase inhibitor etoposide (Fig. 2, Ei–Eiii). We also measured the ssDNA binding protein replication protein A (RPA) as an alternative replication stress marker and found that both gemcitabine and etoposide increased DNA-loaded RPA in the first cell cycle (Fig. 2, F–Giii; and Fig. S3 B). This hypersensitivity to replication stress in the underlicensed first cell cycle suggests that cell cycle re-entry is an inherently higher-risk cycle with respect to genome stability compared with subsequent cell cycles.

Many cells in vivo switch between periods of active proliferation and periods of G0, repeatedly re-entering the cell cycle to proceed through this presumably underlicensed first cell cycle (van Velthoven and Rando, 2019). We considered the possibility that repeated cell cycle exit and re-entry would lead to additional replication stress sensitivity. To measure accumulation of replication stress during repeated cell cycle re-entry, we synchronized RPE cells in G0 by contact inhibition for 48 h and released them into the cell cycle for ∼48 h (∼2–3 cycles) until they became contact inhibited again. We reiterated this procedure for a total of three rounds of G0 arrest and re-entry. In the third release, we treated with gemcitabine and measured the induction of γH2AX (Fig. S3 C). Cells re-entering S phase from three repeated arrests in G0 (3xG0) were more likely to induce γH2AX than cells that were arrested only once (1xG0), and these cells were also more intensely γH2AX positive per cell (Fig. S3, D and E). The difference was not due to increased passage number or a change in MCM loading after repeated rounds of G0 (Fig. S3, F–K). The increased replication stress sensitivity after three rounds of G0 varied somewhat due to inherent variations in the G0 synchronization over multiple rounds (Kwon et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). This variability was reflected by unpaired t test P values of approximately P = 0.15 for both the number of γH2AX-positive cells and the γH2AX intensity (Fig. S3, D and E). Nevertheless, we observed a clear trend that repeated cell cycle re-entry from G0 increased sensitivity to replication stress.

Proliferating epithelial cells have a robust p53-dependent origin licensing checkpoint

Our findings raised a larger question about the relationship between origin licensing and S phase entry. The consistently high amount of loaded MCM we observed before G1/S in the second and subsequent proliferating cell cycles is consistent with an origin licensing cell cycle checkpoint (e.g., Fig. 1 A, arrow). Checkpoints enforce the order of events in the cell cycle, delaying progression through a phase until important events are completed (Hartwell and Weinert, 1989). The origin licensing checkpoint extends G1 and delays S phase entry when the amount of loaded MCM is low by delaying the activation of G1 CDKs until MCM loading is completed (McIntosh and Blow, 2012). Evidence for this checkpoint is the response to reducing MCM loading, which causes lower CDK activity and lengthening of G1 in proliferating cells (Shreeram et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009; Nevis et al., 2009). Because we observed underlicensed cells in the first S phase after G0 and higher licensing in the second cell cycle, we hypothesized that the origin licensing checkpoint is primarily active in only the second and subsequent subcell cycles.
Figure 2. The first S phase after G0 is hypersensitive to replication stress. (A) Diagram of experimental workflow. RPE1 cells were synchronized in G0 by contact inhibition and released into the first cell cycle (24 h) or second cell cycle (48 h). Cells were treated with gemcitabine, etoposide, or vehicle for the 2 h before harvesting. (B) Flow cytometry of chromatin-bound proteins in cells treated as in A with 50 nM gemcitabine and stained for DNA and γH2AX. Red cells are replication stress–induced γH2AX-positive, as defined in C. (C) Gating of cells from B to define the threshold of γH2AX signal induced in S phase by gemcitabine or etoposide. Inset: Replication stress–induced γH2AX-positive cells (red) are defined as those equal to or above the top 5% of the corresponding untreated cells and marked red in B. (Di) Histograms of mid-S phase γH2AX intensity per cell from B. (Dii) Percentage of replication stress–induced γH2AX from Fi. (Diii) Comparison of mean γH2AX intensity per cell, gemcitabine-treated divided by untreated cells from cells in Fi. n = 3, mean with SD. (Ei) Histograms of mid-S phase RPA intensity per cell from cells treated with 100 nM gemcitabine as in A. (Eii) Percentage of replication stress–induced RPA from Gi. (Eiii) Comparison of mean RPA intensity per cell, gemcitabine-treated divided by untreated cells from cells in Gi. n = 3, mean with SD.
Origin licensing checkpoint activity is cell type dependent, however (Shreeram et al., 2002; Nevis et al., 2009). Therefore, we assessed checkpoint status in proliferating untransformed RPE1 (Fig. 3 A). We decreased origin licensing with siRNA targeting Cdc10-dependent transcript 1 (Cdt1), an essential MCM loading protein (Pozo and Cook, 2016). We used either a pool of four siRNAs (siCdt1 A) or a single independent siCdt1 (siCdt1 B) and analyzed Cdt1 protein by immunoblotting (Fig. 3 B). We then tested both MCM loading and the length of G1 phase. Cdt1 depletion induced both a reduction in the rate of MCM loading during G1 phase (Fig. S4, A and B; note the left-shifted histograms for siCdt1 in Fig. S4 B) and also a striking G1 lengthening. G1 length dramatically increased by several-fold, and the more profound Cdt1 depletion by siCdt1 B caused a greater G1 delay (Fig. 3 F, green bars). Importantly, Cdt1 depletion alone changed neither the amount of early S loaded MCM (compare black and gray lines in Fig. 3 C, and relative loading in Fig. 3 D) nor the percentage of underlicensed early S phase cells (Fig. 3 E). By the time cells finally entered S phase, they had achieved normal amounts of loaded MCM. These observations indicate that actively proliferating RPE1 cells wait for the normal amount of loaded MCM in G1 phase before entering S phase.

A cell cycle checkpoint that delays progression to the next phase is distinct from a simple incapacity to proceed to the next phase because it is possible for genetic alterations to bypass a checkpoint and induce premature cell cycle progression (Hartwell and Weinert, 1989). The origin licensing checkpoint delays the activation of cyclin E/CDK2 (Nevis et al., 2009). To test if the G1 phase delay can be bypassed in Cdt1-depleted RPE1 cells, we overproduced cyclin E1 in a polyclonal line to prematurely activate CDK2. We used flow cytometry to measure early S phase loaded MCM as before (Fig. 3 C and Fig. S3 A). As expected from prior reports, cyclin E1 overproduction shortened G1 phase (Fig. 3 F, green bars, siControl versus ↑cyclin E1; Resnitzky et al., 1994; Matson et al., 2017). In addition, cyclin E1 overproduction alone induced underlicensing as measured by the amount of early S loaded MCM (compare black and red lines, Fig. 3 C, and the percentage of underlicensed cells, Fig. 3 E; Ekholm-Reed et al., 2004; Matson et al., 2017). Strikingly, when we overproduced cyclin E1 after Cdt1 depletion (Fig. 3 A), early S phase cells became severely underlicensed, quantified by both MCM loaded per cell (compare gray and orange lines, Fig. 3 C and relative loading in Fig. 3 D), and the percentage of underlicensed cells (Fig. 3 E). Relative to the single manipulations,
many Cdt1-depleted cyclin E-overproducing cells even entered S phase with MCM levels below the detection limit of the antibody (Fig. S4 A, gray MCMDNA-negative cells in S between 2C and 4C DNA). Moreover, G1 length decreased even in the Cdt1-depleted cells (Fig. 3 F). We previously determined that the apparent decrease in Cdt1 protein upon cyclin E1 overproduction is an indirect effect of cell cycle phase distribution (Matson et al., 2017). Thus, cyclin E1 overproduction bypassed both the normal relationship between MCM loading and G1 length and the strong G1 delay in Cdt1-depleted cells to cause underlicensed S phases.

Full origin licensing checkpoint activity in fibroblasts requires p53 (Fig. 4 A; Nevis et al., 2009). To test if p53-deficient epithelial cells are also more likely to enter S phase underlicensed, we compared isogenic WT and p53 homozygous null RPE1 (Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al., 2018). We first noted by immunoblotting that Cdt1 depletion induced accumulation of both p53 and the CDK2 inhibitor p21, the product of a p53-inducible gene, whereas p53 null cells lacked both p53 and detectable levels of p21 (Fig. 4 B). The absence of p53 had little effect on G1 phase length, MCM loading during G1 (Fig. 4 F and Fig. S4, C and D, respectively), or the amount of MCM loaded by early S phase in otherwise unperturbed cells (compare black and gray lines in Fig. 4 C, relative loading in Fig. 4 D, and percentage of underlicensed cells in Fig. 4 E). As before, Cdt1 depletion slowed MCM loading in WT cells and increased G1 length by several-fold (Fig. 4 F and Fig. S3 D). In contrast, Cdt1-depleted cells lacking p53 entered S phase with significantly less MCM loaded (compare red and orange lines in Fig. 4 C, relative loading in Fig. 4 D, and percentage of underlicensed cells in Fig. 4 E). The p21 increase in Fig. 4 B is likely attributable to the increased G1 and G2 phase percentages at the expense of S phase, and p21 is actively degraded in S phase (Abbas and Dutta, 2011). A secondary DNA damage response could also have been triggered. Moreover, unlike p53 WT cells, Cdt1 deletion in p53 null cells did not cause G1 lengthening (Fig. 4 F). Thus, loss of p53 cripples the origin licensing checkpoint in proliferating cells, allowing premature S phase entry of underlicensed cells. We note that even in control cells that had not been depleted of Cdt1, the p53 null cells entered S phase at a slightly lower amount of loaded MCM on average compared with p53 WT cells (compare black and red lines in Fig. 4 C). We also detected a modest increase in the percentage of underlicensed p53 null siControl cells (Fig. 4 E). Overall we conclude that a p53-dependent checkpoint couples S phase entry to the status of origin licensing.

The first G1 phase after G0 has an impaired origin licensing checkpoint
We established that cells re-entering the first cell cycle after G0 are underlicensed relative to subsequent cycles. We hypothesized that the first cell cycle has an impaired origin licensing checkpoint that poorly couples the length of G1 phase to the status of MCM loading. To test that hypothesis, we compared actively proliferating cells treated with siCdt1 to G0 cells re-entering the first cycle treated with siCdt1 (Fig. 5 A). We measured cell cycle phase distribution by DNA content (DAPI) and DNA synthesis (EdU; Fig. 5 B). The actively proliferating cells treated with siCdt1 increased the percentage of G1 cells as expected. However, cells re-entering the first cell cycle from G0 while treated with siCdt1 did not extend G1 and entered S phase.
We considered two explanations for underlicensing after a long G1. The first G1 and have less time to load than cells in the second cycle. Alternatively, cells in the first cycle may load MCM more slowly than cells in the second cycle. In both cases, the compromised licensing checkpoint is unable to extend G1, resulting in an underlicensed first S phase. To distinguish between these explanations, we measured the nuclear accumulation of the cell division cycle 6 (Cdc6) protein, an essential MCM loading protein. Cdc6 is degraded by the anaphase-promoting complex-Cdh1 (APCCdh1) in G1 phase, both in cells re-entering the cell cycle and in proliferating cells (Petersen et al., 2000; Mailand and Diffley, 2005). Cyclin E/CDK2 phosphorylates Cdc6 in late G1 to protect it from APCCdh1, allowing Cdc6 protein to accumulate (Mailand and Diffley, 2005). Because Cdc6 is essential for MCM loading, Cdc6 accumulation is one of the limiting steps for MCM loading in G1. The time for MCM loading ends when S phase starts (Petersen et al., 1999; Truong and Wu, 2011). Therefore, the time Cdc6 is detectable in nuclei is one proxy for the length of available MCM loading time in G1 phase.

We used live cell imaging of fluorescently tagged proteins to compare the maximum length of available MCM loading time between the first and second cell cycles after G0. We imaged RPE1s stably expressing three fluorescent fusion proteins: (1) full-length Cdc6 fused to mVenus (Segev et al., 2016), (2) proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) fused to mTurq2 to track cell nuclei and the borders of S phase (Burgess et al., 2012; Grant et al., 2018), and (3) a CDK kinase activity sensor fused to mCherry (Hahn et al., 2009; Spencer et al., 2013; Schwarz et al., 2018). CDK2 phosphorylates the reporter beginning in late G1 phase to induce export from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. The higher the ratio of cytoplasmic/nuclear signal, the higher the kinase activity. We imaged these RPE1 cells synchronized in G0 and released into the cell cycle for 72 h, capturing an image every 10 min, starting 6.5 h after release. PCNA-mTurq2 was present throughout the cell cycle and became punctate during S phase (Fig. 6 A). Nuclear Cdc6 first appeared in G1 and increased until S phase, when it was lost from the nuclei and accumulated in the cytoplasm. After mitosis, Cdc6 was degraded in early G1 phase; then nuclear Cdc6 increased again later in the second G1 (Fig. 6 A). The CDK reporter (DHB-mCherry) was nuclear in G0 (low CDK activity) and gradually became cytoplasmic/beginning in late G1 (high CDK activity; Fig. 6 A). We tracked 50 cells through the first and second cell cycles after G0 release. Fig. 6 B shows an individual cell trace for nuclear Cdc6 in which the first full cell cycle took nearly 35 h, whereas the second cycle took only 20 h, mostly from the difference in G1 length. The nuclear Cdc6 trace for the first cell cycle reaches the nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB), and the second cell cycle trace begins in G1 (Fig. 6 B). The time Cdc6 first appeared in G1 is marked “rise,” and the time of maximum nuclear Cdc6 in G1 is marked “peak” (Fig. 6 B). Cells began S phase as measured by the first appearance of PCNA foci ~20–30 min after this peak (data not shown). The length of time Cdc6 was present in G1 is the time between nuclear Cdc6 rise and peak, and represents the maximum “licensing window” for MCM loading in G1 (Fig. 6 B). Cells re-entering the first cell cycle after G0 had about double the licensing window of cells in the second cell cycle (Fig. 6 C).

G0 cells re-entering the first cell cycle load MCM to license origins slowly

We considered two explanations for underlicensing after a long G1 in the first S phase: Cells may start loading MCM later in the
MCM than cells in the second cycle. Taken together, these data suggest that cells in the first G1 after G0 load MCM slowly.

Cells in both the first and second cell cycles also reached a similar CDK activity (cytoplasmic/nuclear ratio >1) at the time of peak nuclear Cdc6 and S phase entry (Fig. 6 D) close to previously reported values of 0.84–1.0 in other untransformed cell lines (Spencer et al., 2013; Schwarz et al., 2018). In other words, the underlicensed first G1 cells achieved the same level of CDK activity in late G1 as normally licensed second G1 cells. These equivalent CDK activity indicators suggest that the licensing checkpoint does not delay CDK2 activation in the first G1.

Extending the first G1 after G0 substitutes for the impaired licensing checkpoint

Cells re-entering the cell cycle from G0 license origins slowly and begin S phase underlicensed. We hypothesized that artificially extending the first G1 phase could rescue the underlicensing by extending time for MCM loading. If successful, cells would enter S phase with the high amount of loaded MCM typical of proliferating cells. We chose to extend G1 phase using nutlin-3a, a p53-stabilizing drug previously shown to lengthen G1 (Tovar et al., 2006). We treated cells re-entering the first G1 phase with nutlin-3a beginning in mid-G1 for 8 h, then washed off the drug to permit passage into S phase and measured the amount of loaded MCM at S phase entry (Fig. 7, A and B). Nutlin-3a stabilized p53, causing p21 protein accumulation. This effect is known to inhibit CDK2 kinase activity and delay S phase entry (Giono and Manfredi, 2007). A secondary effect of low CDK2 activity is failure to protect Cdc6 in late G1 phase, which would prevent MCM loading. To allow MCM loading during the nutlin-induced G1 arrest, we constitutively expressed a mutant form of Cdc6 that does not require CDK2 activity for stability (Matson et al., 2017). We then compared licensing and cell cycle progression in these treated cells to untreated cells from the first and second cell cycles (Fig. 7 C and Fig. S5 A). Strikingly, transiently extending the first G1 phase by several hours almost fully rescued licensing in the first cell cycle to the same high level as in the second cell cycle (compare green and gray lines, Fig. 7 C, and fold change, Fig. 7 D) and decreased the percentage of underlicensed cells to the same low level as the second cell cycle (Fig. 7 E). Unlike the effects of stable Cdc6 on the rate of MCM loading in proliferating cells, neither stable Cdc6 alone nor in combination with Cdt1 overproduction increased the rate of MCM loading or changed underlicensing in the first G1 phase (Fig. S5, B–F; Matson et al., 2017). Therefore, the improved licensing by early S phase from nutlin-induced G1 extension is due to the increased time for MCM loading, rather than improving MCM loading itself.

Finally, we predicted that since the second cell cycle has a robust origin licensing checkpoint, bypassing the checkpoint and artificially shortening the second G1 would induce a phenotype similar to the underlicensed first cell cycle. To test this prediction, we overproduced cyclin E1 as cells approached the second cell cycle to shorten the second G1 phase and bypass the checkpoint. We then compared licensing in the second S phase in these cyclin E1–overproducing cells to control second S phase
and first S phase cells (Fig. 7, F and G; and Fig. S5 G). The second cell cycle with a bypassed licensing checkpoint strongly resembled the first cell cycle (compare green and orange lines, Fig. 7 H, and Fig. 7 I, and percentage of underlicensed cells in Fig. 7 J). Taken together, we conclude that cells re-entering the cell cycle from G0 are underlicensed, and the second and subsequent cell cycles are fully licensed because of a robust p53-dependent checkpoint that ultimately controls the timing of cyclin E/CDK2-mediated S phase entry.

**Discussion**

The origin licensing checkpoint protects cells from premature S phase entry that could lead to genome instability (Fig. 8). This checkpoint couples the activity of CDK to MCM loading such that the G1 CDKs are not activated while the amount of loaded MCM is low. Cyclin E1 overproduction can bypass the checkpoint-induced delay and induce an underlicensed premature S phase entry (Fig. 3; Ekholm-Reed et al., 2004; Matson et al., 2017). Moreover, p53 loss clearly impairs the normal coupling of MCM loading and S phase entry, whereas p53 activation lengths G1 to give enough time to complete licensing (Figs. 4, 5, and 7). These observations fit the classic definition by Hartwell and Weinert (1989) for a cell cycle checkpoint. A checkpoint enforces the dependence of one event on a previous event, but the dependency can be bypassed by mutation to occur prematurely. The ability of mutations to induce inappropriate cell cycle progression indicates that in WT cells progression could have occurred but was instead restrained by the checkpoint. This relationship is in contrast to a simple inability to progress. Importantly, we induced S phase entry when the amount of loaded MCM was low but not completely absent. If we could have entirely prevented MCM loading, there would have been no DNA synthesis even in p53 null or cyclin E–overproducing cells because MCM is an essential component of the replicative helicase (Lemmens et al., 2018).

We note that licensing checkpoint activity is only readily detected in cells with slow MCM loading. In previous studies,
MCM loading was artificially slowed to test the checkpoint (McIntosh and Blow, 2012). Normally, however, both checkpoint-proficient and -impaired proliferating cells enter S phase with high levels of MCM loading. We were surprised to find that the first cell cycle after re-entry from G0 is naturally underlicensed, due to a combination of slow MCM loading and an impaired licensing checkpoint. It is unclear why cells re-entering G0 load MCM slowly, since overexpressing MCM loading proteins Cdt1 and Cdc6 together did not increase MCM loading rate (Fig. S5, B–F). It is striking, however, that both the checkpoint defect and slow MCM loading are restored just one cell cycle later. This behavior suggests that MCM loading is usually fast enough to achieve high levels of loaded MCM in advance of the other rate-limiting events necessary to trigger CDK2 activation and S phase entry. It is only slow-loading cells that are affected by the checkpoint. In that sense, the origin licensing checkpoint resembles other cell cycle checkpoints that operate in all cell cycles in that “elimination of the checkpoint may have catastrophic or subtle consequences depending on the prevailing conditions” (Hartwell and Weinert, 1989). For example, the mitotic spindle assembly checkpoint is most obvious when attachments are severely compromised (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2012). The effect of the spindle assembly checkpoint on the rate of unperturbed mitotic progression is relatively minor except in individual cells that fail to form kinetochore-microtubule attachments on time (Meraldi et al., 2004). Similarly, we detected a modest increase in the number of underlicensed S phase cells in otherwise unperturbed proliferating p53 null cells compared with p53 WT cells (Fig. 4). We infer that these individual cells loaded MCM slowly, but then could not delay S phase entry.

Previous analysis by Daigh et al. (2018) indicated that cells released from G0 had increased endogenous replication stress in the first S phase compared with proliferating cells. We propose that the underlicensed S phase after the first G1 has higher endogenous replication stress because fewer dormant origins are available. While we did not detect frank stress markers in the absence of exogenous replication stress, we presume that underlicensing generated endogenous stress because these cells were particularly sensitive to both gemcitabine and etoposide. This hypersensitivity is a hallmark of cells that enter S phase while underlicensed (Woodward et al., 2006).

How frequent is this naturally underlicensed cell cycle in vivo? Cell cycle re-entry from G0 is common in tissues that naturally turn over cell populations (Wells et al., 2013; Sosa et al., 2014). Additionally, recent cell culture studies have detected subpopulations of proliferating cells that appear to spontaneously and transiently exit to a G0-like state (Spencer et al., 2013; Overton et al., 2014). Such transient cell cycle exit may be even more common in tissues than in cell culture that has been optimized for maximal growth. Whether or not that transient G0 also causes underlicensing is unknown.

Quiescent hematopoietic stem cells re-enter the cell cycle in response to stresses such as viral infection and then return to quiescence (Cheung and Rando, 2013). Interestingly, hematopoietic stem cells acquire DNA damage in the first cycle after G0, accumulate DNA damage, and become depleted as they age from repeated cell cycle re-entry (Beerman et al., 2014; Walter et al., 2015). Additionally, quiescent human T cells re-enter the first S phase even when treated with siRNA to reduce the amount of loaded MCM to ~5–10% of their normal loaded amount (Orr et al., 2010). Taken together, these data suggest that at least some quiescent cells in vivo lack a licensing checkpoint in the first cycle, although it is unknown if the first cell cycle is naturally underlicensed compared with the second cycle in vivo. Old hematopoietic cells experience more replication stress in the first S phase after G0 compared with young cells. In that regard, a defective licensing checkpoint would be especially toxic to old quiescent cells re-entering G1 (Flach et al., 2014). These in vivo studies are consistent with our observation that repeated rounds of quiescence and cell cycle re-entry enhanced replication stress sensitivity (Fig. S3). We suggest that over many rounds of quiescence and re-entry, incremental DNA damage accrues from unresolved replication stress or incomplete replication that may pass through mitosis into the next cell generation. Unresolved replication stress can carry forward to future cell cycles, and previous work shows that reducing loaded MCM by 50% (similar to the first cycle from G0) increases the amount of unresolved damage carrying over to following cell cycles (Ahuja et al., 2016; Moreno et al., 2016; Arora et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017). Other effects intrinsic to G0 could also accumulate over multiple G0s, including attenuated expression of DNA repair genes, error-prone repair, and reactive oxygen species damage (Mohrin et al., 2010; Beerman et al., 2014). Repeated rounds of underlicensed cell cycle re-entry could contribute to the genome damage that drives both aging and oncogenesis.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and synchronization

RPE1-hTERT (i.e., RPE1; CRL-4000; ATCC), 293T (CRL3216; ATCC), NHF1-hTERT (Boyer et al., 1991; Heffernan et al., 2002),...
and RPE1-hTERT pS3 CRISPR null (gift from P. Jallepalli, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; gRNA target: GGCAGCTACGGTTTCCGTC) cells were grown in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) with 10% FBS (SeraLab) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. W38 cells (CCL-75; ATCC) were grown in MEM with 10% FBS (SeraLab), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), and MEM nonessential amino acids (NEAA; Gibco) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were passaged every 3 d with trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) and not allowed to reach confluence except where intentionally arrested.

To synchronize RPE1 cells in G0 by contact inhibition, cells were grown to 100% confluency, washed with PBS, and incubated in DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine for 48 h. To release cells into the first or second cell cycles, G0 cells were restimulated by passaging 1:10 for the first cycle, harvesting 24 h later, or 1:20 for the second cycle, harvesting 48 h later, in DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine. To synchronize RPE1 cells in G0 by serum starvation, cells were plated to be subconfluent, washed three times with PBS, and starved in DMEM with 0% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine for 72 h. To release cells into the first or second cell cycles, cells were washed with PBS and restimulated by washing once with PBS and adding DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine for 24 h (first cycle) or 48 h (second cycle). To synchronize NHF1-hTERT cells in G0 by contact inhibition, cells were grown to 100% confluency, washed with PBS, and incubated in DMEM with 0.1% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine for 72 h. To release cells into the first or second cell cycles, G0 cells were restimulated by passaging 1:4 for the first cycle, harvesting 24 h later, or 1:8 for the second cycle, harvesting 48 h later, in DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine. To synchronize NHFi cells in G0 by serum starvation, cells were plated to be subconfluent, washed three times with PBS, and starved in DMEM with 0.1% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine for 72 h. To release cells into the first or second cell cycles, cells were washed with PBS and restimulated by washing once with PBS and adding DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine for 24 h (first cycle) or 48 h (second cycle). To synchronize W38 cells in G0, cells were grown to 100% confluency, washed with PBS, and incubated in MEM with 0.1% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and NEAA for 72 h. To release cells into the first or second cell cycles, G0 cells were restimulated by passaging 1:4 for the first cycle, harvesting 24 h later, or 1:8 for the second cycle, harvesting 48 h later, in MEM with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and NEAA. To synchronize W38 cells in G0 by serum starva
tion, cells were plated to be subconfluent, washed three times with PBS, and starved in MEM with 0.1% FBS, NEAA, and 2 mM L-glutamine for 72 h. To release cells into the first or second cell cycles, cells were washed with PBS and restimulated by washing once with PBS and adding MEM with 10% FBS, NEAA, and 2 mM L-glutamine for 24 h (first cycle) or 48 h (second cycle).

To synchronize RPE1 in three repeated G0s, cells were synchronized in G0 by contact inhibition (above), restimulated into the cell cycle by passaging 1:6 in DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine, and grown to 100% confluency within 48–72 h to start the second G0 by contact inhibition for 48 h. Cells were restimulated as before and grown to 100% confluency to start the third G0 for 48 h. To release cells for the experiment, G0 cells were restimulated by passaging 1:10 in DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine, harvesting the first cell cycle at 24 h after restimulation.

For the passage number experiment in Fig. S3, cells were passaged every 3 d. Passage 9 cells (counting from thawing the cells from frozen stocks) were grown for 24 d more than passage 1 cells.

**DNA cloning and cell lines**

The RPE1 CRISPR pS3 knockout (KO) was a gift of P. Jallepalli and described in the section Cell culture and synchronization (Rodriguez-Rodriguez et al., 2018). The polyclonal RPE1 cells with doxycycline-inducible cyclin E1 and the RPE1 cells with doxycycline-inducible Cdt1 and stable Cdc6 WT or Cdc6-mut were described previously. The plasmids are available on Addgene (109332, 109333, 109335, and 109348; Matson et al., 2017). The pLenti-PGK hygro PCNA-mTurq2 was described previously and is available on Addgene (118617; Grant et al., 2018). The CSII-EF zeo DHB-mCherry CDK activity reporter was a gift from S. Spencer (University of Colorado-Boulder, Boulder, CO) and encodes retrovirus packaging sequences to package a DNA helicase B fragment fused to mCherry for expression under the EEF promoter. The CSII-EF zeo Cdc6-mVen was a gift from M. Brandeis (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Safra Campus, Jerusalem, Israel) and encodes retrovirus packaging sequences to package Cdc6 WT fused to mVen for expression under the EEF promoter. Each reporter is under control of a constitutive heterologous promoter.

To make the RPE1 line containing PCNA-mTurq2, Cdc6-mVen, and DHB-mCherry, the plasmids were transfected into 293T with pCI-GPZ or ΔNRF and Vesicular stomatitis virus G packaging plasmids with 50 μg/ml polyethyleneimine (Aldrich Chemistry). Viral supernatants were transduced onto RPE1 cells in the presence of 8 μg/ml polybrene (Millipore). A clonal cell line was picked based on fluorescence of all three biosensors.

**siRNA transfections and drug treatment**

siRNA concentration and sequences were as follows:

- **siControl** (siLuciferase), 100 nM (synthesized by Life Technologies), 5’-CUUAGCGUCUGAUACUGA-3’; siCdt1 A, mixture of four sequences, 25 nM each (siGENOME CDT1 siRNA; Dharmacon); 5’-CAAGGAGGCACAGAAGCA-3’; 5’-GCUUAACCG UGGAUAGAU-3’; 5’-UCUCCGGCCAGAAUAAUA-3’; 5’-GGA CAUGAUGCGAGGGCU-3’; siCdt1 B, 50 nM (synthesized by Life Technologies); 5’-CUUACUGAAGCCUGAAATT-3’.

For siRNA transfections, siRNA was spotted into plates with DharmaFECT 4 transfection reagent (Dharmacon) and OptiMEM (Gibco), incubating for 20 min before adding cells in DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine (final concentrations), harvesting cells 72 h later for proliferating experiments, and 24 h later for G0 release, as described above. To overproduce cyclin E1 or Cdt1-HA, 100 ng/ml of doxycycline (CalBiochem) was added at times indicated in the legends of Figs. 3, 7, S4, and S5. To block and release cells with nutlin-3a (Sigma-Aldrich), RPE1 was synchronized in G0 by contact inhibition and released into the first cell cycle, adding 10 μM nutlin-3a 10 h later.
after release, washing off nutlin-3a 18 h after release by washing three times with PBS and adding back DMEM with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine. To treat cells with gemicitabine (Sigma-Aldrich) or etoposide (Cayman Chemical) in Fig. 2, cells were synchronized in G0 by contact inhibition, released into the first cycle, and treated with 50 or 100 nM gemicitabine from 22 to 24 h or released into the second cycle and treated with 50 or 100 nM gemicitabine from 44 to 46 h. See legends of Fig. 2 and Fig. S3 for concentrations. Etoposide treatment was the same as gemicitabine, with 0.5 µM or 5 µM etoposide. See legends of Fig. 2 and Fig. S3 for concentrations. To treat cells with gemicitabine in Fig. S3, cells were synchronized in one or three G0 (above), adding 5 nM gemicitabine at the time of restimulation from 0 to 24 h.

Total protein lysate and chromatin fractionation
To prepare total protein lysate for immunoblot, cells were harvested with trypsin and frozen in dry ice, and then lysed in cold cytoskeletal buffer (CSK; 10 mM Pipes, pH 7.0, 300 mM sucrose, 100 mM NaCl, and 3 mM MgCl2 hexahydrate) with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) and protease and phosphatase inhibitors (0.1 mM Pefabloc, 1 µg/ml pepstatin A, 1 µg/ml leupeptin, 1 µg/ml aprotinin, 10 µg/ml phosvitin, 1 mM β-glycerol phosphate, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate) on ice for 15 min. The lysate was centrifuged at 13,000 g at 4°C for 10 min, and a Bradford assay (Biorad) was done on the supernatant to load equal amounts of protein per sample.

To prepare chromatin fractions for immunoblot, cells were harvested with trypsin and frozen in dry ice, then lysed in cold CSK with 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM ATP, 5 mM CaCl2, and protease and phosphatase inhibitors (complete CSK) on ice for 20 min. Then a Bradford assay for equal loading was done on the lysate, a small aliquot was removed from each sample as total lysate, and complete CSK was added to each sample, mixed, and centrifuged for 5 min, 1,000 g. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was washed again with complete CSK, incubated for 10 min on ice, and then centrifuged for 5 min, 1,000 g. The supernatant was removed, and DNA loaded proteins were released by incubation with S7 nuclease (Sigma-Aldrich) in complete CSK at RT for 10 min. Samples were centrifuged again, keeping the supernatant as the chromatin fraction.

Immunoblotting
Samples were diluted with loading buffer to final concentrations: 1% SDS, 2.5% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 50 mM Tris, pH 6.8, and 10% glycerol; and then boiled. Samples were separated on SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to nitrocellulose (GE Healthcare) or polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After transferring, samples were blocked in 5% milk in TBS with 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) and incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibody with 2.5% milk in TBST. Then membranes were washed with TBST, incubated in HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at RT, washed with TBST, and imaged with ECL Prime (Amersham) on autoradiography film (Denville). Antibodies used were Mcm2 (1:10,000; mouse; 610700; BD Biosciences), cyclin E1 (1:2,000; mouse; 4129S; Cell Signaling Technology), p53 (1:2,000; mouse; sc-126; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), p21 (1:6,000; rabbit; 2947S; Cell Signaling Technology) Cdc6 (1:2,000; mouse; sc-9964; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Cdt1 (1:3,000; mouse; sc-365305; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), donkey-anti-mouse-HRP (1:10,000; 715-035-150; Jackson ImmunoResearch), and donkey-anti-rabbit-HRP (1:10,000; 711–035-152; Jackson ImmunoResearch). Membranes were stained with Ponceau S (Sigma-Aldrich) to visualize protein loading.

Flow cytometry
For DNA synthesis detected, cells were incubated with 10 µM EdU for 30 min before harvesting, except in Fig. S1 D, when cells were incubated with 1 µM EdU from restimulation to harvesting. Cells were harvested with trypsin to measure DNA loaded proteins by flow cytometry. Cells were washed once with PBS and centrifuged at 2,000 g for 3 min. Then the supernatant was aspirated and pellets lysed on ice in cold CSK with 0.5% Triton X-100 and protease and phosphatase inhibitors for 5 min. After incubation, 1% BSA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS (B-PBS) was added to each sample, mixed, and centrifuged at 2,000 g for 3 min. The supernatant was aspirated, and pellets were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in PBS for 15 min at RT. B-PBS was then added and mixed, and samples were centrifuged (2,000 g for 7 min, the same centrifuge conditions were used for all subsequent flow cytometry steps). The supernatant was aspirated, B-PBS was added, and samples were stored at 4°C before labeling.

Processing for EdU detection was performed before antibody staining. Cells were centrifuged, the supernatant was aspirated, and cells were incubated in PBS with 1 mM CuSO4, 100 mM ascorbic acid (fresh), and 1 µM Alexa Fluor 647–azide (Lifetechnologies) for 30 min at RT in the dark. Then B-PBS with 0.5% NP40 (United States Biochemical) was added, mixed, and centrifuged. For antibody staining, the supernatant was aspirated and cells were incubated in primary antibodies anti-Mcm2 (1:200; mouse; 610700; BD Biosciences), anti-γH2AX phospho-S139 (1:200; rabbit; 9718S; Cell Signaling Technologies), and anti-RPA2 (1:200; mouse; ab2175; Abcam) in B-PBS with 0.5% NP-40 for 1 h at 37°C in the dark. Next, B-PBS with 0.5% NP-40 was added and mixed and samples were centrifuged. The supernatant was aspirated and cells were incubated in secondary antibodies donkey anti-mouse-Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1,000; for Mcm2 or RPA2; 715–145–150; Jackson ImmunoResearch) and donkey anti-rabbit-Alexa Fluor 647 (1:1,000; for γH2AX; 711–605–152; Jackson ImmunoResearch) in B-PBS with 0.5% NP-40 overnight at 4°C in the dark. For negative control samples used to draw positive/negative gates, cells were not incubated with EdU but were labeled with Alexa Fluor 647–azide and were not incubated with primary antibody but were labeled with secondary antibody and DAPI.

Data were acquired primarily on an Attune NxT flow cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), with some data acquired on a CyAn ADP flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). Data were
analyzed using FCS Express 6 (De Novo Software). Gates are shown in Fig S1. The gate to isolate cells from debris was from forward scatter area versus side scatter area. The gate to isolate singlets from doublets was from DAPI area versus DAPI height (parent gate: cells). The gate to isolate cell cycle phases was from DAPI area (DNA content) versus 647 area (DNA synthesis, EdU; parent gate: singlets). Color gates to isolate S-MCM\(^{DNA}\)-positive, GI-MCM\(^{DNA}\)-positive, and MCM\(^{DNA}\)-negative were on 647 area (DNA synthesis, EdU) versus 488 area (loaded MCM), using a negative control sample to mark positive cells (parent gate: singlets). The early S phase gate was on DAPI area (DNA content) versus 488 area (loaded MCM), gating cells with 2C DNA content, S-MCM\(^{DNA}\)-positive in early S phase (parent gate: S-MCM\(^{DNA}\)-positive). The mid-S phase gate was on DAPI area (DNA content) versus 647 area (\(\gamma\)H2AX), cells between 2C and 4C DNA content (parent gate: singlets). Replication stress-induced \(\gamma\)H2AX was gated as cells equal to or greater than the top 5–6% of \(\gamma\)H2AX signal from untreated cells (parent gate: mid-S). Each flow cytometry plot typically has 9,000–11,000 total single cells. Histogram counts were normalized to the peak value of the second cell cycle or siControl. The normalization allows visual comparison of cell distributions between populations with different numbers of cells due to changes in synchrony in the second cell cycle. The quantification of relative loaded MCM or underlicensed cells was not normalized.

Doubling time and cell cycle phases

Cells were plated with siRNA and counted 48 h or 72 h later after dissociating with trypsin using a Luna II automated cell counter (Logos Biosystems). Each treatment was done with three biological replicates, and each dish in the replicate was counted twice as technical replicates. Doubling time was calculated using Prism 8 (GraphPad) regression analysis: exponential growth equation. Doubling times from the three biological replicates were averaged, and then the average doubling time was multiplied by the cell cycle phase percentages determined by DNA content and DNA synthesis (flow cytometry) to obtain cell cycle phase hours.

Live cell imaging

Cells were plated for live cell imaging from G0 by contact inhibition and restimulation in FluoroBrite DMEM (Gibco) with 10% FBS and 2 mM L-glutamine in #1.5 glass bottom plates (Cellvis) in a humidified enclosure at 37°C with 5% CO2. Images were taken with an Ando Zyula 4.2 sCMOS detector with 12-bit resolution, and filters were obtained from Chroma (excitation; beam splitter; emission filter): CFP, 436/20 nm, 455 nm, and 480/40 nm; YFP, 500/20 nm, 515 nm, and 535/30 nm; and mCherry, 560/40 nm, 585 nm, and 630/75 nm. Images were collected with Nikon NIS-Elements AR software.

Images were analyzed with Fiji version 1.51n (ImageJ). Briefly, images were background subtracted and tracked with custom ImageJ scripts based on the PCNA signal, and the nuclear signals quantified in a region of interest as described previously (Grant et al., 2018). Images were brightness/contrast adjusted. Cdc6 traces of mean nuclear intensity were scored as follows: Cdc6 peak time, frame with highest nuclear Cdc6 intensity before the sharp drop in intensity indicating export to the cytoplasm; Cdc6 rise time, frame with Cdc6 nuclear intensity two SDs greater than the lowest Cdc6 nuclear intensity before Cdc6 peak time; licensing window time, Cdc6 peak time minus Cdc6 rise time; and relative licensing window time, licensing window time divided by Cdc6 peak time.

CDK biosensor (DHB-mCherry) cytoplasmic measurement was described previously (Chao et al., 2019). Fluorescence signal of the cytoplasm was approximated by measuring signal within a ring-shaped region (5 pixels wide) around the nucleus. The ratio between the cytoplasm and the nucleus was calculated using mean signals of the ring-shaped cytoplasmic region and the full nuclear region.

Statistical analysis

All summary data are plotted as means with error bars showing SDs, with a minimum of three biological replicates for all experiments. All P values were calculated with an unpaired, two-tailed t test.

Online supplemental material

Figs S1 and S2 define flow cytometry gating and representative raw data for additional cell lines summarized in Fig 1, G and H. Fig S3 demonstrates replication stress sensitivity over repeated rounds of G0 and cell cycle re-entry. Fig S4 is representative complete flow cytometry color dot plots and histograms of GI MCM loading with siCdtt1 treatment. Fig S5 shows representative flow cytometry color dot plots for the nutlin-3a block and release as well as cyclin E1 overproduction in the second cell cycle. It also includes the minimal effects of Cdc6 and Cdtt1 overproduction in the first cell cycle on underlicensing.
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