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ABSTRACT

In the present study digenean parasite faunas of four labrid fishes, Symphodus tinca, S. rossali, S. cinereus and S. ocellatus were investigated and compared. A total of 52 fish specimens were caught on the Black Sea coast near Sinop, Turkey, over two years. Eight digenean species were found in the four labrid fishes. These are Helicometra fasciata, Gaevskajatrema perezi, Proctoeces maculatus, Phyllodistomum crenilabri, Galactosomum lacteum, Condylocotyla pilodora, Metadenata pauli and Opecoelidae gen. sp. Each digenean species on their respective hosts were counted, their prevalence, mean intensity and abundance values were determined. While the maximum parasite diversity was found in S. tinca, S. ocellatus was infected with the fewest parasites. Total parasite abundance was significantly high in S. roissali, which was infected with four species. A close resemblance was observed in the digenean parasite faunas of S. tinca and S. roissali. The core, secondary, satellite, and rare species in the digenean parasite community of each host were determined. This study contains the first data on the digenean parasites of labrid fish on Turkish Black Sea coast. Phyllodistomum crenilabri and Metadenata pauli are new parasite records in Turkish fish parasite fauna, while Condylocotyla pilodora is a new parasite record for the labrid fishes.
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INTRODUCTION

The family Labridae is one of the large taxons of fish, comprising approximately 580 species in 82 genera and they are widely dispersed in tropical and temperate marine waters around the world (Hanel, Westneat, & Sturmbauer, 2002). A total of 20 species of this family have been recorded from the Turkish Sea to date (Bilêcenoğlu, Kaya, Cihangir, & Çiçek, 2014). A total of 5 out of 8 species of this family, which inhabit the Black Sea, are the species of the Symphodus genus. The native habitats of the genus Symphodus are the coastal zones of the East Atlantic Ocean, the Mediterranean and the Black Sea. They are found to a depth of 1–50 m near rocks and eel-grass beds. Labrid fish are not target species commercially. However, labrid fish are often caught by fishermen that focus on fishing for other species (Choat & Bellwood, 1998; Nelson, 2006).

Digenean parasites provide important information about the aquatic ecosystem since they have complex life cycles, during which several groups of marine animals are used as intermediate hosts. There are a lot of published data on digenean parasites of labrid fishes from the Mediterranean and Black Sea (Sey, 1970; Nikolaeva & Solonchenko, 1970; Parukhin, Naidenova, & Nikolaeva, 1971; Gaevskaya & Solonchenko, 1989; Campos, Carbonell, & Pellicer, 1990; Campos & Carbonell, 1994; Sasal, Niquil, & Bartoli, 1999; Korniychuk, 2001; Bartoli, Gibson, & Bray, 2005; Gargouri, Elbohli, & Maamouri, 2010; Radujkovic & Sundic, 2014; Munoz & Diaz, 2015).

To date, there have been many survey studies on digenean parasites in Turkey (Oğuz & Bray, 2006; Akırıma, 2013; Tepe, Oğuz, & Heckmann, 2014; Çınar, 2014; Öztürk & Özer, 2016; Öztürk & Güven, 2021).
The present study was carried out between May 2015 and April 2017. The fish specimens were sampled with gill nets by fishermen from the Sinop coast of the Black Sea (42°01' 55' N, 35°16' 36' E). The caught fish were transported to the parasitology laboratory of the Faculty of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences at Sinop University. A total of 52 specimens of the four labrid species, Symphodus tinca (27), S. cinereus (16), S. roissali (7) and S. ocellatus (2) were investigated for the digenean parasites. The fish were examined within 24 h of capture. At necropsy, the fishes were measured and weighed. Skin, fins, gills, eyes, brain, liver, stomach, intestine, kidney, urinary and gall bladder were examined under the dissecting microscope. The number of parasites was counted individually and the site of infection was recorded. Parasite specimens were studied in both alive and permanent preparations. For identification, the parasites were fixed in Bouin's fluid between slide and coverglass without pressure, stained with acetic carmine and mounted in Canada balsam. Permanent preparations were examined using a light microscope (Olympus microscope BX53) at magnification X10 and X100. The prevalence (%), mean intensity (MI), and abundance (A) values of each digenean parasite were calculated following the definitions of Bush, Lafferty, Lotz, & Shostak, (1997). The standard deviation (SD) of the mean intensity was calculated. The Kruskal-Wallis test (Nonparametric ANOVA) was performed to compare the overall mean intensity values of digenean parasites in each fish host. The analyses were carried out using the computer programmes GraphPad Instat 3.0. P-values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. The significance of the digenean parasite fauna was determined by using an abundance (A) index according to the scale presented in Zander, Reimer, Barz, Dietel, & Strohbach, (2000), as follows; A>2: core species, A=0.6-2: secondary species, A=0.2-0.6: satellite species, A<0.2: rare species. The Czekanowski–Sørensen Index (ICS, %) was used to compare the digenean faunas of four Symphodus spp. (Sørensen, 1948).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, a total of eight digenean parasite species including adults of Helicometra fasciata, Gaevskajatrema perezi, Proctoeces maculatus, Phyllodistomum crenilabri and metacercariae of Galactosomum lacteum, Condyllocotyle podilora, Metadena pauli and Opecoelidae gen. sp. were determined in four labrid fish (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Table 2 summarises the digenean parasite list with indications of prevalence (%), mean intensity (MI), and abundance (A) values of identified digenean parasites in their respective fish hosts. Our results showed that S. tinca has the richest digenean fauna with 7 digenea species, but S. ocellatus was infected with only 2 digenea species (Table 2). In other words, the most diverse digenean fauna was found in S. tinca; S. ocellatus showed a low diversity with 2 species (Table 2). In this study, the parasite species richness observed in labrid fish may be related to sampling effort. Many more specimens of S. tinca (27 individuals) were examined, compared to S. ocellatus (2 individuals).

The overall mean intensity values of digenea parasite species varied significantly among the four labrid fish (P<0.05). Overall, the highest prevalence and mean intensity values (100% and 73.86) were found in S. roissali, which was infected with 4 digenea species. Galactosomum lacteum occurred only in S. tinca and Condyllocotyle podilora occurred only in S. cinereus (Table 2).

Metadena pauli was observed as a core species, but G. lacteum and C. podilora were found as rare species (Table 2). Helicometra fasciata and G. perezi played the role of core in S.tinca and S. roissali. Proctoeces maculatus played the role of core, satellite or

### Table 1. List of digenean parasite species identified in four labrid fish in the present study and their infection site (microhabitat)

| Family            | Digenean parasite species           | hosts          | Infection site (microhabitat) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Opecoelidae       | Helicometra fasciata Rudolphi, 1819 | S. tinca, S. roissali | intestine                   |
|                   | Opecoelidae gen. sp. (met.)         | S. tinca, S. roissali | gills                       |
|                   | Gaevskajatrema perezi (Mathias, 1926) | S. tinca, S. roissali, S. cinereus | intestine                   |
| Fellodistomidae   | Proctoeces maculatus (Looss, 1901), Odhner, 1911 | S. tinca, S. roissali, S. cinereus | intestine                   |
| Gorgoderidae      | Phyllodistomum crenilabri Dolgikh & Naidenova, 1968 | S. tinca, S. ocellatus | urinary bladder              |
| Heterophyidae     | Galactosomum lacteum Jägersköld, 1896, (met.)  | S. tinca | gills                       |
|                   | Condyllocotyle podilora Pearson & Prevot, 1805 (met.) | S. cinereus | brain                       |
| Cryptogonimidae   | Metadena pauli (Vlasenko, 1931) Yamaguti, 1958 (met.) | S. tinca, S. roissali, S. ocellatus | gills, eyes                 |

(met.: metacercariae)
Figure 1. Digenean parasites identified in four labrid fish in this study (original), A) *Helicometra fasciata*, B) *Gaevskajatrema perezi*, C) *Proctoecces maculatus*, D) *Phyllodistomum crenilabri*, E) encysted metacercariae of Opecoelidae gen. sp., F) excysted metacercariae of Opecoelidae gen. sp. G) encysted metacercariae of *Condocotyla pilodora*, H) excysted metacercariae of *C. pilodora*, I) encysted metacercariae of *Galactosomum lacteum*, J) excysted metacercariae of *G. lacteum*, K) encysted metacercariae of *Metadena pauli*, L) excysted metacercariae of *M. pauli*. 
rare parasite depending on the host species. *Phyllodistomum crenilabri* was core in *S. tinca*, but secondary in *S. ocellatus* (Table 2). Moreover, all digenean species found in *S. roissali* were determined as core species (Table 2).

The digenean species composition and the digenean species richness of the four labrid fishes were found to be different in the present study. A close similarity was observed in the digenea fauna of *S. tinca* and *S. roissali* (ICS = 80.0%) (Table 3). In this study, it was observed that the similarity among the digenean parasite fauna of the *Symphodus* species was low in general. Moreover, a similarity was not detected in digenea parasite fauna of two of the labrid fish, *S. cinereus* and *S. ocellatus* (Table 3). This may be related to the diet of the labrid hosts. The composition of the parasite fauna depends on many factors. The type of diet is one of them. This may be related with the diet of the labrid hosts. *S. cinereus* is carnivorous with a slight tendency toward omnivory, mostly consuming polychaetes, foraminiferans and decapod non-crustaceans (Fernandez, Freire, & Gonzalez-Gurriaran, 1995). Whereas *S. ocellatus* is omnivorous, tending toward herbivory, mostly consume algae, and to a lesser extent bryozoans and hydroids (Kabasakal, 2001).

A high Czekanowski–Sorensen index, indicating a close similarity, was observed in the digenea fauna of the *S. tinca* and *S. roissali* (80%). But the digenea fauna of *S. cinereus* and *S. ocellatus* were not similar in the present study (Table 3). This may be related to the diet of the labrid hosts. The composition of the parasite fauna depends on many factors. The type of diet is one of them. This may be related with the diet of the labrid hosts. *S. cinereus* is carnivorous with a slight tendency toward omnivory, mostly consuming polychaetes, foraminiferans and decapod non-crustaceans (Fernandez, Freire, & Gonzalez-Gurriaran, 1995). Whereas *S. ocellatus* is omnivorous, tending toward herbivory, mostly consume algae, and to a lesser extent bryozoans and hydroids (Kabasakal, 2001).

According to previous studies, it should be considered that the majority of the listed digenea species have been reported from *S. tinca* (Table 4).

**Table 3.** Czekanowski–Sorensen Index (%) in digenean fauna of four labrid fish in the study area

|                | *S. tinca* | *S. roissali* | *S. cinereus* | *S. ocellatus* |
|----------------|------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| *S. tinca*     | 100        | 80.0          | 44.4          | 40.0           |
| *S. roissali*  | 100        | 100           | 57.1          | 33.3           |
| *S. cinereus*  | 18.8       | 100           | 100           | 0.0            |
| *S. ocellatus* | 13.5       | 13.5          | 100           | 100            |

Means followed by the same subscript letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05) for statistical analysis. (n: number of examined fish, S.D.: standard deviation, met: metacercariae)
| Digenean Species               | Symphodus tinca | Symphodus roissali | Symphodus cinereus | Symphodus ocellatus |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Skrjabiniella aculeata        | 6, 12           |                   |                   |                   |
| Proorhynchus crucibulum       | 12              |                   |                   |                   |
| Metadena depressa             | [18], [PS]      | [18], [PS]        | [18]              | [18], [PS]        |
| Proctoeces maculatus          | 10, 12, 16, [18], 19, 21, 22, [PS] | [5], [18], [PS] | [18], 22, [PS]   | [5], 14, [18] |
| Sterngtrema pagelli           | 22              |                   |                   |                   |
| Tergestia laticollis          | 22              |                   |                   |                   |
| Theledera skrjabini           | [1]             |                   |                   |                   |
| Phyllodistomum acceptum       | [4], 12, [18]   | [18]              | [18], 22          | [18]              |
| Phyllodistomum crenilabri     | [2], [18], [PS] |                   | [18]              | [18], [PS]        |
| Lecithochirium musculus       | 22              |                   | 19, 22            |                   |
| Lecithochirium rufoviride     | 12              |                   | 6                 |                   |
| Synaptobothrium caudiporum    | 6               |                   | 6                 |                   |
| Lecithaster gibbosus          |                 |                   |                   | 3, 22             |
| Lecithaster stellatus         |                 |                   |                   | 14, 16, 17, 19    |
| Holorchis micracanthum        |                 |                   |                   | 16                |
| Holorchis pyracanthus         |                 |                   |                   | 13, 19            |
| Lepidauchen stenostoma        | 8, 10, 12       |                   |                   | 14                |
| Centrodema spinossimum        | 3               |                   |                   |                   |
| Monorchis monorchis           | [4]             |                   |                   |                   |
| Genitocotyle mediterranea     |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Gaevskajatrema perezi         | [9], 18, 12, [PS] | 16, [18], 19, [PS] | 16, [18], 19 [PS] | 19 |
| Helicometra fasciata          | 10, 12, [15], 16, [18], 21, [PS] | 3, 16, [18], [PS] | 3, 11, [18] | 14, 16, [18] |
| Helicometra pulchella         | 21              |                   |                   | 11, [18]         |
| Macvicaria alacris            | 16, 19, 20, 21  |                   | 16, 19, 22        | 14, 16, 17, 19 |
| Peracreadium idoneum          | 22              |                   |                   | 3, 22             |
| Peracreadium sp.              | [4]             |                   |                   | [4]               |
| Gaevskajatrema pontica        | [4]             |                   |                   | [4]               |
| Caudotestis skrjabini         | [4]             |                   |                   | [4]               |
| Caudotestis trachuri          | [4]             |                   |                   | [4]               |
| Deretrema scorpaenicola       |                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Diphtherostomum brusinae      | 8, 10, 12, 22   |                   | 7                 | 7                 |
| Zoogonus rubellus             | 10, 12, 19, 21, 22 |                   |                   |                   |
| Galactosomum lacteum          | [18], [PS]      | [18]              | [18]              | [18]              |
| Cardiocephaloides longicollis | [18]            |                   |                   |                   |
| Condrocotyla pilodora         | Number of species |                   |                   | Number of species |
|                               | 28              | 14                | 16                | 14                |

[1]. Koval & Tsarychkova (1964), [2]. Dolgikh & Naidenova (1968), [3]. Sey (1970), [4]. Nikolaeva & Solonchenko (1970), [5]. Parukhin, Naidenova, & Nikolaeva, (1971), [6]. Papoutsoglou (1976), [7]. Bray & Gibson (1986), [8]. Orecchia, Paggi, & Redukovic (1988), [9]. Gaevskaya & Solonchenko (1989), [10]. Campos, Carbonell, & Pellicer (1993), [11]. Revetsat & Silan (1993), [12]. Campos & Carbonell (1994), [13]. Bartoli & Bray (1996), [14]. Bartoli & Boudouresque (1997), [15]. Kornychuk & Gaevskaya (1999), [16]. Sasal, Niquil, & Bartoli, (1999), [17]. Bartoli, Morand. Rietort, & Combes, (2000), [18]. Kornychuck (2001), [19]. Bartoli, Gibson, & Bray, (2003), [20]. Oğuz & Bray (2006), [21]. Gargouri, Elbohli, & Maamouri, (2010), [22]. Radukovic & Šundic (2014), [PS]. Present Study.
versity of digenean parasites reported from the Mediterranean basin and Black Sea may be related to the number of intermediate hosts and the variation in physical and chemical parameters of the environment that can influence the host. So far, **Condylocotyle pilodora** has not been previously recorded in labrid fishes. It is remarkable that this digenean parasite is reported for the first time in labrid fish in the present investigation (Table 4). Thus, the number of digenean parasite species in the four labrid fishes reported in both basins has increased to 36 with the addition of **C. pilodora**.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study revealed the first data on the digenean fauna of four fish species belonging to **Symphodus** genera in Turkish waters, and the data recovered in this paper contribute to the digenean parasites list of labrid fish inhabiting the Black Sea. In addition, these results contain some valuable knowledge, which can be used in future digenean parasite research.
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