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Abstract

In this paper, we present our system designed to address the W-NUT 2020 shared task for COVID-19 Event Extraction from Twitter. To mitigate the noisy nature of the Twitter stream, our system makes use of the COVID-Twitter-BERT (CT-BERT), which is a language model pre-trained on a large corpus of COVID-19 related Twitter messages. Our system is trained on the COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus and is able to identify relevant text spans that answer pre-defined questions (i.e., slot types) for five COVID-19 related events (i.e., TESTED POSITIVE, TESTED NEGATIVE, CAN-NOT-TEST, DEATH and CURE & PREVENTION). We have experimented with different architectures; our best performing model relies on a multilabel classifier on top of the CT-BERT model that jointly trains all the slot types for a single event. Our experimental results indicate that our Multilabel-CT-BERT system outperforms the baseline methods by 7 percentage points in terms of micro average F1 score. Our model ranked as 4th in the shared task leaderboard.

1 Introduction

COVID-19, a highly infectious disease, has dramatically influenced the world. According to official COVID-19 related data from World Health Organization (WHO), the number of confirmed cases has surpassed 29 million, and the death toll rises to 922,252 as of 15 September 2020. Many countries have taken necessary measures, such as social distancing and mask wearing to prevent the spreading of the virus. Because of these measures, the communication among people has been changed. As a result, people tend to share their opinions on social media while also obtaining useful information from other users. Twitter, a popular social media platform, allows its users to share views through short Twitter messages (tweets). With a large number of COVID-19 related tweets shared in a daily basis, Twitter is a valuable source for one to find relevant information about COVID-19.

The “W-NUT 2020 shared task 3: COVID-19 event extraction from Twitter” is the task of finding useful information from COVID-19 related tweets. In this work, we focus on the provided dataset COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus to build a system that can identify text spans (slots) that answer pre-defined questions related to COVID-19 events (e.g., “Who is tested positive (negative)?”). This task (i.e., identifying text spans that answer pre-defined questions) has been framed as a slot filling problem by the organizers of the competition and a detailed description of that is available on the work of Zong et al. (2020). Figure 1 illustrates an example of an annotated tweet. That tweet reports a TESTED POSITIVE case. The goal of the task is to identify that the text spans “Tom Hanks” and “Rita Wilson” are slots of type “who”, and “Australia” is a slot of type “where”.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the related work on slot filling tasks. Section 3 describes the dataset we used to build our system along with the tweet preprocessing technique. Section 4 presents our pro-

Figure 1: An example of an annotated tweet. That tweet reports a TESTED POSITIVE case. The goal of the task is to identify that the text spans “Tom Hanks” and “Rita Wilson” are slots of type “who”, and “Australia” is a slot of type “where”.

https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019
posed Multilabel-CT-BERT system as well as the underlying techniques. Section 5 presents the baseline and other methods that have been tested in the context of this competition. Section 6 shows our experimental setup and results for the proposed systems and the baseline model. Section 7 summarizes our findings and concludes our work on this W-NUT shared task.

2 Related Work

The slot filling task is the problem where the goal is to identify fine-grained information (related to specific events of interest) from an input sequence. Specifically, given a text sequence, the aim is to find relevant text spans for certain types of slots (Benson et al., 2011), where in our case are the different slot types (e.g., “who”, “where”) for different event types (e.g., TESTED POSITIVE, TESTED NEGATIVE).

For the slot filling task, several approaches have been proposed in the literature. In particular, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) have been exploited in the works of Peng et al. (2015); Kurata et al. (2016); Vu (2016). More recently, Chen et al. (2019) developed a BERT-based joint intent classification and slot filling model. Their system relies on the pre-trained BERT model to encode the input sequences, and jointly trains the intent classification and slot filling tasks by maximizing the conditional probability between the two tasks. In another work, Coope et al. (2020) introduced a slot filling model called Span-ConveRT that is based on the ConveRT language model (Henderson et al., 2019) to extract text spans from dialogs. In this work, we focus on developing a system that can extract COVID-19 related information from Twitter messages. To do so, we make use of a language model that is optimized for COVID-19 related tweets, and we fine-tune the system by jointly training the different slots.

3 Dataset

We use the dataset provided by the shared task for our proposed system. The name of the dataset is COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus (Zong et al., 2020).

3.1 COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus

This corpus contains five COVID-19 related event types: TESTED POSITIVE, TESTED NEGATIVE, CAN-NOT-TEST, DEATH and CURE & PREVENTION. These event types are used to study the information that people are sharing on social media during the COVID-19 era. Table 1 shows the statistics of the COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus. The number of overall annotated tweets is 7,500. However, due to the Twitter policy, the redistribution of Twitter content is restricted. Since the distributed corpus only includes the tweet IDs, we collected the tweet text from the Twitter server. The total number of the collected annotated tweets is 7,149, and the reason is that some of the tweets are deleted by the users or the Twitter server. The models in Section 4 and Section 5 are evaluated on the collected dataset.

3.2 Pre-processing

The COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus provides candidate chunks along with annotations for each tweet text. To prepare instances for our system, we first replace the URLs in the tweets by a special [URL] token, and then enclose the current candidate chunk within special entity start and end tags: <E> and </E>, respectively (as in the work of Zong et al. (2020)). Table 2 shows an example of a processed tweet with an enclosed candidate chunk. In the example, the current candidate chunk is the word “Australia”. To process the tweet, we enclose it inside the <E> and </E> tags. The processed result is “Tom Hanks and Rita Wilson tested positive for coronavirus, in <E>Australia</E>.”.

4 Proposed System

In this section, we first introduce the underlying methods (BERT and CT-BERT) of our system. Then, we describe the proposed Multilabel-CT-BERT system in detail.

4.1 BERT

BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) (Devlin et al., 2019) is a language representation model based on Transformers (Vaswani et al., 2017). The BERT model is

| Event Type             | # of Annotated Tweets | # of Collected Tweets | # of Slots |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| TESTED POSITIVE        | 2,500                 | 2,400                 | 9           |
| TESTED NEGATIVE        | 1,200                 | 1,146                 | 8           |
| CAN NOT TEST           | 1,200                 | 1,127                 | 5           |
| DEATH                  | 1,300                 | 1,231                 | 6           |
| CURE & PREVENTION      | 1,300                 | 1,245                 | 3           |
| **TOTAL**              | **7,500**             | **7,149**             | **31**      |

Table 1: Statistics of the COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus and the number of tweets for the collected corpus.
pre-trained on large unlabeled corpora (by using a bidirectional strategy) either in the masked language model task or in the next sentence prediction task. By applying appropriate inputs and outputs to the pre-trained BERT model, it can be easily fine-tuned end-to-end on a specific NLP (Natural Language Processing) task, such as sentence classification, sentence tagging, and question answering.

BERT is basically a stack of multiple transformer encoders. The encoder consists of a self-attention layer that helps the encoder to pay attention to other tokens of the input sequence while encoding a specific token, and a feed forward neural network that processes the output encoding from the attention layer. The BERT input representation is the sum of three embeddings: (i) token, (ii) segment and (iii) position embeddings. For the token embeddings, a special [CLS] token is added at the beginning of the input sequence, and a special [SEP] token is added at the end of each input sequence. The segment embeddings can inform the BERT model about the sequence that the current token belongs to. The position embeddings indicate the positions of the input tokens inside the sequence. The outputs of the BERT model are high-level representations of the input tokens. The hidden states of the [CLS] token can be used to perform various NLP tasks (e.g., text classification). There are two model architectures for BERT: BERT-BASE and BERT-LARGE. The BERT-LARGE model has more model parameters (e.g., hidden dimensions) than the BERT-BASE model.

4.2 CT-BERT

COVID-Twitter-BERT (CT-BERT) (Müller et al., 2020) is a transformer-based language model that is pre-trained on a large number of COVID-19 related tweets, while the BERT model is pre-trained on textual data from Wikipedia and book corpora. Therefore, the main drawback of the BERT model in the context of this competition is that the pre-trained BERT model does not contain relevant information about COVID-19, while it mainly includes formal language. In this competition, the dataset consists of user-generated noisy text from social media like Twitter, which contains informal language. To overcome the aforementioned shortcomings of the BERT model, the CT-BERT is proposed to better represent the COVID-19 related text.

The CT-BERT is based on the BERT-LARGE model and uses the same pre-training techniques as BERT, but the pre-training step of the CT-BERT starts with the trained weights from the BERT-LARGE model. The pre-trained CT-BERT can then be used for a wide variety of NLP tasks, such as classification and question answering.

4.3 Multilabel-CT-BERT

The idea of our multilabel system is motivated by the following observation: for one event type, we observed that some slot types are semantically related to each other, so we can use a multilabel classifier to jointly train all the slot types within one event type. In addition, the use of the CT-BERT pre-trained model mitigates the noisy nature of the tweets.

Figure 2 illustrates the architecture of our proposed Multilabel-CT-BERT system. The system is based on the pre-trained CT-BERT model. It takes a processed tweet with an enclosed candidate chunk as an input to the pretrained CT-BERT model. The model produces hidden states for each input token. Then the hidden representation of the special entity tag <E> is used as input to the multilabel classifier on top of the CT-BERT to predict the labels for the current chunk. Our system aims to minimize the binary cross-entropy with logits loss (BCEWithLogitsLoss), which is implemented in PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2017).

5 Other systems

In this section, we describe several other systems including the baseline model, the Multilabel-BERT system, the NLI (Natural Language Inference) system and the Pairwise system.
5.1 Baseline

Multitask-BERT-BASE (Zong et al., 2020) is a fine-tuned model that relies on BERT-BASE and has been proposed by the task organizers. For this model, each slot filling task is transformed into a binary classification problem: given a tweet $T$ and a candidate slot $S$, the model predicts whether the slot $S$ answers the pre-defined question (Zong et al., 2020). To leverage the semantically related slot types such as the “gender” slot with the “who” slot, this model jointly trains the slot types by sharing the same parameters for these slot type classifiers. The input to this model is the text of the tweet with the candidate chunk enclosed inside the special start `<E>` and end `</E>` tags. The final BERT hidden representation of the `<E>` tag is passed to a fully connected layer with a softmax activation function in order to validate or not the candidate chunk (i.e., binary prediction). More-over, the BERT-LARGE model was exploited and is referred to as Multitask-BERT-LARGE.

5.2 Multilabel-BERT System

Multilabel-BERT-BASE is the variant of the Multilabel-CT-BERT that instead of using the CT-BERT pre-trained model, this model relies on BERT-BASE. Equivalently, the Multilabel-BERT-LARGE model is based on BERT-LARGE.

5.3 NLI System

NLI, which stands for Natural Language Inference, is the task of determining whether a “hypothesis” sentence is correct or not given a “premise” sentence. For the NLI system that we used in this work, the “premise” sentence is the slot filling question that used to annotate the COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus, and the “hypothesis” sentence is the pre-processed tweet with an enclosed candidate chunk. A multiclass classifier, which consists of a feed-forward neural network and a softmax layer, is added on top of the BERT-BASE model. The number of classes is determined by the slot types in an event type, since one event type can have several slot types. The input to this system is a pair of one “premise” sentence and one “hypothesis” sentence. The output of this system is the predicted class, which is determined by the highest prediction score from all the classes. There are two variants of this system: NLI-CLS and NLI-E. The input to the multiclass classifier in the NLI-CLS is the hidden state of the `[CLS]` token while in the NLI-E is the hidden state of the `<E>` token. This system aims to minimize the cross entropy loss between the different classes. Figure 3 shows the NLI system architecture.

5.4 Pairwise System

Figure 4 shows the architecture of the pairwise system. The pairwise system contains two parts: the BERT-BASE model and on top of that a binary classifier. The binary classifier has a feed-forward neural network with a tanh activation layer. This system focuses on each slot type for a single event type and treats each slot filling task as a binary prediction problem. One sentence for this system is defined as a tweet text with an enclosed candidate chunk like the processed tweet text shown in Table 2. For each candidate chunk, we generate such a sentence. In total, the number of all the sentences is the number of all the candidate chunks in the provided corpus.
There are two steps for this system, the training and the prediction step. During training, the input to this system is a pair of one “positive” sentence and one “negative” sentence. The “positive” sentence is the sentence that the inner enclosed candidate chunk answers the current slot filling question. The “negative” sentence is determined by the “positive” sentence: for each “positive” sentence, all the remaining sentences are considered as “negative” sentences. This system aims at minimizing
the pairwise ranking loss using PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2017), which is calculated as:

\[
\text{loss}(x, y) = \max(0, -y \times (x_1 - x_2) + \text{margin})
\]

where \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are input pairs (i.e., a “positive” and a “negative” sentence), \( y \leq 1 \) or \( y = -1 \). If \( y = 1 \) then the first input \( x_1 \) ranks higher than the second input \( x_2 \), and vice-versa for \( y = -1 \).

During prediction, the input is one sentence with the unknown slot type of the enclosed candidate chunk, and the output is the predicted label for the enclosed chunk. The output is determined by using a threshold value for the predicted scores of the binary classifier.

6 Experiments and Results

We compare our Multilabel-CT-BERT system with other systems (i.e., NLI, pairwise and baseline) to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed architecture. Our code is available on GitHub.\(^2\)

6.1 Experimental Setup

Since each slot filling task in Multitask-BERT-BASE (Zong et al., 2020) is modeled as a binary classification problem, the non-binary “gender” slot is split into “gender male” and “gender female” slots. The Multitask-BERT-BASE uses a 60/15/20 split ratio to split the collected dataset into train/dev/test sets and is optimized using the Adam algorithm (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with \(2 \times 10^{-5}\) as a learning rate. In order to compare with the Multitask-BERT-BASE, the same parameters are used for the rest of the models.

For the pairwise system, the number of training instances (pairs of sentences) could be too large so that the pairwise model becomes difficult to train. Thus we used a downsampling strategy to reduce the number of the sentence pairs. For every positive sentence, we randomly select a specific number of negative sentences with respect to the number of negative sentences. The ratio of the number of the sentence pairs. For every positive instance (pairs of sentences) could be too large so that the pairwise model becomes difficult to train. Thus we used a downsampling strategy to reduce the number of the sentence pairs. For every positive sentence, we randomly select a specific number of negative sentences with respect to the number of negative sentences. The ratio of the number of the sentence pairs.

During prediction, the input is one sentence with the unknown slot type of the enclosed candidate chunk, and the output is the predicted label for the enclosed chunk. The output is determined by using a threshold value for the predicted scores of the binary classifier.

6.2 Results

Table 3 shows the results\(^3\) of the Multitask-BERT-BASE, Multitask-BERT-LARGE, NLI-E, NLI-CLS, Pairwise-r50, Pairwise-r100, Multilabel-BERT-BASE, Multilabel-BERT-LARGE, and Multilabel-CT-BERT in terms of micro avg \(F_1\) scores. Micro avg \(F_1\) combines the predictions (TP, FP, FN) from all the slot types and macro avg \(F_1\) is the mean of all the \(F_1\) scores for all the slot types.

\[\text{loss}(x, y) = \max(0, -y \times (x_1 - x_2) + \text{margin})\]

\(\text{micro avg } F_1\) and \(\text{macro avg } F_1\) scores. Micro avg \(F_1\) combines the predictions (TP, FP, FN) from all the slot types and macro avg \(F_1\) is the mean of all the \(F_1\) scores for all the slot types.

Table 3: The aggregated results in terms of micro avg \(F_1\) and macro avg \(F_1\) scores. Micro avg \(F_1\) combines the predictions (TP, FP, FN) from all the slot types and macro avg \(F_1\) is the mean of all the \(F_1\) scores for all the slot types.

-- for the W-NUT shared task 3, the organizers initially released an original dataset and replaced it with a newer version of the dataset. Before the release of the new dataset, our experiments were performed on the original dataset and we observed that the NLI and pairwise systems failed to outperform the other systems. Therefore, we only evaluated the performance of the other systems on the new dataset. As a result, the reported results on the NLI and pairwise systems are based on the original dataset while the results of the rest of the systems (Multilabel-CT-BERT, Multilabel-BERT system and the baseline system) are based on the new dataset.

\(^2\)\url{https://github.com/clovesme/covid19-event-extraction}

\(^3\)For the W-NUT shared task, the organizers initially released an original dataset and then replaced it with a newer version of the dataset. Before the release of the new dataset, our experiments were performed on the original dataset and we observed that the NLI and pairwise systems failed to outperform the other systems. Therefore, we only evaluated the performance of the other systems on the new dataset. As a result, the reported results on the NLI and pairwise systems are based on the original dataset while the results of the rest of the systems (Multilabel-CT-BERT, Multilabel-BERT system and the baseline system) are based on the new dataset.
Table 4: The results of Multitask-BERT-BASE, Multitask-BERT-LARGE, Multitask-BERT-BASE, Multitask-BERT-LARGE, and Multilabel-CT-BERT. # indicates the number of golden slots in the test set. Positive is the TESTED POSITIVE event. Negative is the TESTED NEGATIVE event. c.contact is the close contact slot type. recent.v is the recent travel slot type. gender_m is the gender male slot type while the gender_f is the gender female slot type.

| Positive slot | Multitask-BERT-BASE | Multitask-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-BERT-BASE | Multilabel-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-CT-BERT |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| who           | 0.75                | 0.72                 | 0.71                 | 0.78                 | 0.75              |
| c.contact     | 0.31                | 0.36                 | 0.4                  | 0.39                 | 0.33              |
| relation      | 0.32                | 0.5                  | 0.4                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| employer      | 0.44                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| recent.v      | 0.4                 | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| age           | 0.71                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| where         | 0.58                | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| gender_m      | 0.64                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| gender_f      | 0.71                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| when          | 0.5                 | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |

| Negative slot | Multitask-BERT-BASE | Multitask-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-BERT-BASE | Multilabel-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-CT-BERT |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| who           | 0.58                | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| relation      | 0.6                 | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| where         | 0.44                | 0.4                  | 0.4                  | 0.5                  | 0.4               |
| gender_m      | 0.65                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| gender_f      | 0.61                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |

| CAN NOT TEST | Multitask-BERT-BASE | Multitask-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-BERT-BASE | Multilabel-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-CT-BERT |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| who           | 0.56                | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| relation      | 0.71                | 0.4                  | 0.4                  | 0.5                  | 0.4               |
| where         | 0.68                | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| symptom       | 0.52                | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |

| DEATH         | Multitask-BERT-BASE | Multitask-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-BERT-BASE | Multilabel-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-CT-BERT |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| who           | 0.67                | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| relation      | 0.7                 | 0.6                  | 0.6                  | 0.7                  | 0.6               |
| where         | 0.74                | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| age           | 0.68                | 0.7                  | 0.7                  | 0.8                  | 0.7               |

| CURR/PREV    | Multitask-BERT-BASE | Multitask-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-BERT-BASE | Multilabel-BERT-LARGE | Multilabel-CT-BERT |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| opinion       | 0.5                 | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| what          | 0.5                 | 0.5                  | 0.5                  | 0.6                  | 0.5               |
| who           | 0.49                | 0.45                 | 0.45                 | 0.49                 | 0.45              |

| micro F1      | 0.6193              | 0.6508               | 0.6452               | 0.6497               | 0.6834            |
| macro F1      | 0.511               | 0.562                | 0.563                | 0.5726               | 0.608             |
| micro F1      | 0.586               | 0.5785               | 0.6095               | 0.6123               | 0.6614            |
| macro F1      | 0.572               | 0.556                | 0.588                | 0.618                | 0.654             |
| micro F1      | 0.5297              | 0.5409               | 0.5304               | 0.6069               | 0.6157            |
| macro F1      | 0.553               | 0.535                | 0.533                | 0.6075               | 0.6125            |
| micro F1      | 0.6516              | 0.6318               | 0.6613               | 0.6866               | 0.6527            |
| macro F1      | 0.616               | 0.62                 | 0.634                | 0.676                | 0.638             |
| micro avg F1  | 0.5826              | 0.5827               | 0.6085               | 0.6206               | 0.6585            |
| macro avg F1  | 0.5498              | 0.5539               | 0.5717               | 0.5928               | 0.6132            |

baseline models. The reasons for this performance difference are that the NLI system uses formal language while the tweets contains informal language and the pairwise models use only part of the training data. Since these two systems are not performing well on this shared task, the detailed results for these two models are included only in the Appendix (see A.1 and A.2). As we can observe from the results, the multilabel BERT-based models also perform better than the baseline models. This is because that systems not only train the slots jointly, but also share the same parameters for them by using a feed-forward neural network on top of the underlying model (BERT or COVID-Twitter-BERT). Multilabel-CT-BERT outperforms the multilabel BERT-based models because the CT-BERT-based model is optimized on COVID-19 related tweets.

Table 4 shows the detailed results of Multitask-BERT-BASE, Multitask-BERT-LARGE, Multilabel-BERT-BASE, Multilabel-BERT-LARGE, and Multilabel-CT-BERT. The results are
based on the test set. For each model, the precision (P), recall (R) and F$_1$ (F$_1$) scores are reported for each slot type of each event type. In addition, the micro F$_1$ and macro F$_1$ are reported for each event type, and the micro avg F$_1$ and macro avg F$_1$ are reported for all the event types.

From these detailed results of Table 4 (i.e., micro avg F$_1$ and macro avg F$_1$), we can observe that the multilabel-based systems (Multilabel-BERT-BASE, Multilabel-BERT-LARGE and Multilabel-CT-BERT) outperform the multitask-based systems (Multitask-BERT-BASE and Multitask-BERT-LARGE). We also notice that systems using BERT-LARGE perform better than those using BERT-BASE. Except for the slightly worse performance in terms of micro F$_1$ and macro F$_1$ on the DEATH event type compared to other multilabel systems, the Multilabel-CT-BERT substantially improves the system performance on the rest of the event types. Compared to the baseline model, the Multilabel-CT-BERT achieves a micro avg F$_1$ of 65.85% (7.59 percentage points absolute improvement) and macro avg F$_1$ of 61.32% (6.34 percentage points absolute improvement).

To conclude, the Multilabel-CT-BERT system is the best performing system among the compared systems on the COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus, and it achieves a performance of 61.6% in terms of F$_1$ score in the test data\textsuperscript{4} of the shared task.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, a COVID-Twitter-BERT based Multilabel-CT-BERT system is proposed in the context of the W-NUT shared task to deal with the slot filling problem of the recently introduced COVID-19 Twitter Event Corpus. Our experimental results illustrate that the proposed Multilabel-CT-BERT system outperforms the baseline and other proposed models in terms of micro avg F$_1$ and macro avg F$_1$ scores.
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### A Appendices

#### A.1 NLI System Results

Table 5 shows the detailed results of the NLI models: NLI-CLS and NLI-E.

| Positive slot | NLI-E | NLI-CLS |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| who           | 0.74  | 0.73    |
| c.contact     | 0.39  | 0.44    |
| relation      | 0.12  | 0.38    |
| employer      | 0.77  | 0.39    |
| recent_v      | 0.53  | 0.26    |
| age           | 0.58  | 0.73    |
| where         | 0.50  | 0.59    |
| gender_m      | 0.77  | 0.51    |
| gender_f      | 0.70  | 0.66    |
| when          | 0.37  | 0.77    | 0.2    |

| Negative slot | NLI-E | NLI-CLS |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| who           | 0.55  | 0.66    |
| relation      | 0.29  | 0.24    |
| where         | 0.28  | 0.32    |
| gender_f      | 0.48  | 0.45    |

| CAN NOT TEST slot | NLI-E | NLI-CLS |
|-------------------|-------|---------|
| who               | 0.72  | 0.44    |
| relation          | 0.44  | 0.35    |
| where             | 0.48  | 0.65    |
| symptoms          | 0.75  | 0.39    |

| DEATH slot        | NLI-E | NLI-CLS |
|-------------------|-------|---------|
| who               | 0.72  | 0.35    |
| relation          | 0.48  | 0.56    |
| where             | 0.75  | 0.39    |
| age               | 0.55  | 0.88    |

| CURE&PREV slot    | NLI-E | NLI-CLS |
|-------------------|-------|---------|
| opinion           | 0.49  | 0.42    |
| what              | 0.63  | 0.62    |
| who               | 0.39  | 0.21    |

Table 5: The detailed results of NLI-E and NLI-CLS.

#### A.2 Pairwise System Results

Table 6 shows the detailed results of the pairwise models: Pairwise-r50 and Pairwise-r100.

| Positive slot | Pairwise-r50 | Pairwise-r100 |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| who           | 0.74 0.75    | 0.78 0.74     |
| c.contact     | 0.23 0.38    | 0.24 0.31     |
| relation      | 0.28 0.58    | 0.5 0.08      |
| employer      | 0.77 0.44    | 0.44 0.44     |
| recent_v      | 0.53 0.26    | 0.41 0.65     |
| age           | 0.42 0.53    | 0.5 0.87      |
| where         | 0.54 0.58    | 0.47 0.67     |
| gender_m      | 0.61 0.61    | 0.69 0.61     |
| gender_f      | 0.47 0.59    | 0.65 0.55     |
| when          | 0.44 0.62    | 0.52 0.22     |

Table 6: The detailed results of Pairwise-r50 and Pairwise-r100.