SPECIAL FOCUS PAPERS
A NEW SMOOTH SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE WITH 1-NORM PENALTY TERM

A New Smooth Support Vector Machine with 1-Norm Penalty Term

http://dx.doi.org/10.3991/ijoe.v9iS4.2657

J.D. Shen¹, X.J. Peng²
¹ China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, P R China
² Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai, P R China

Abstract—Recently, soft margin smooth support vector machine with 1-norm penalty term (SSVM₁) is discovered to possess better outlier resistance than soft margin support vector machine with 2-norm penalty term (SSVM₂). One of the most important steps in the framework of SSVMs is to replace the sigmoid function with a differential function in the primal model, and get an approximate solution. This study proposes a function constructed by Padé approximant via formal orthogonal polynomials as the smoothing technique, and a new 1-norm SSVM, Padé SSVM₁, is represented. A method for outlier filtering is proposed to improve the ability of outlier resistance. The experimental results show that Padé SSVM₁ even without outlier filtering, performs better than the previous SSVM₂ and SSVM₁ on the polluted synthetic datasets.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Support vector machines (SVMs) have been proven to be one of the promising learning algorithms for classification [1]. The standard SVMs have loss + penalty terms measured by 1-norm or 2-norm measurements. The loss part measures the quality of model fitting and the penalty part controls the model complexity. In [2], Li-Jen Chien et al. showed that the measurement of the 2-norm loss term amplifies the effect of outliers much more than the measurement of the 1-norm loss term in training process. From this robustness point of view, the authors in [2] developed a SSVM₁ whose loss term is measured by 1-norm and the integral of the sigmoid function was selected as the smoothing technique (Sigmoid SSVM₁ for short). Finally, the experiments in [2] showed that Sigmoid SSVM₁ can remedy the drawback of 2-norm soft margin smooth support vector machine (SSVM₂) [3] for outlier effect and thus get outlier resistance.

Although SVMs have the advantage of being robust for outlier effect [4], there are still some violent cases that will mislead SVM classifiers to lose their generalization ability for prediction, even the good sigmoid SSVM₁ also became powerless at this time. Li-Jen Chien, Y.J. Lee, Z. P. Kao, and C. C. Chang [2] proposed a heuristic method to filter outliers among Newton-Armijo iteration of the training process and make SSVMs be more robust while encountering datasets with extreme outliers.

In this study, we will give a new smoothing technique, Padé approximant, which can approximate the plus function \( x_+ \) more accurately than the integral of the sigmoid function. The SSVM₁ smoothed by this function is denoted by Padé SSVM₁. We will show that the outlier resistance of Padé SSVM₁ is better than that of Sigmoid SSVM₁ in most of the cases, even still performs well in those violent cases. We will also give another strategy for outlier filtering, which turns out to be efficient to make SSVM₁ and Sigmoid SSVM₁ robust for those datasets polluted with extreme outliers.

II. 1-NORM SOFT SVM (SSVM₁)

Consider the binary problem of classifying \( m \) points in the \( n \)-dimensional real space \( \mathbb{R}^n \), represented by an \( m \times n \) matrix \( A \). According to membership of each point \( \mathbf{A}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) in the classes +1 or -1, \( D_m \) is an \( m \times m \) diagonal matrix with ones or minus ones along its diagonal. Similar to the framework of SSVM₂ [3], the classification problem can be reformulated as follows:

\[
\min_{(w,b) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{1}{2} \left( w^T \mathbf{I} + b^2 \right) + C \| \xi \|_1
\]

subject to: \( D(Aw+1b) + \xi \geq 1 \), \( \xi \geq 0 \) \tag{1}

As a solution of problem (1), the slack variable \( \xi \) is given by

\[
\xi = \left( 1 - D(Aw+1b) \right)_+ \tag{2}
\]

Thus, we can replace \( \xi \) in constraint (1) by (2) and convert the SVM problem (1) into an equivalent SVM which is an unconstrained optimization problem as follows:

\[
\min_{(w,b) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{1}{2} \left( w^T \mathbf{I} + b^2 \right) + C \left( 1 - D(Aw+1b) \right)_+ \tag{3}
\]

The problem is a strongly convex minimization problem without any constraint. Thus, problem (3) has a unique solution. Obviously, the objective function in (3) is not twice differentiable which precludes the use of a fast Newton method, because it always requires the objective function’s gradient and Hessian matrix. Y. J. Lee and O. L. Mangasarian [3] applied the smoothing technique and replaced \( x_+ \) by the integral of the sigmoid function \( 1 / (1+e^{-\eta x}) \) of neural networks:
\[ \rho(x, \eta) = x + \frac{1}{\eta} \ln(1 + e^{-\eta x}), \quad \eta > 0. \quad (4) \]

This \( \rho \) function with a smoothing parameter \( \eta \) is used here to simultaneously smooth and approximate the model (3), i.e., we use a differential (twice differentiable at least) function \( \rho \) to replace the plus function \( + \), in (3) in order to get an approximate solution of the model. Finally, we obtain the 1-norm smooth support vector machine with respect to the integral of the sigmoid function (Sigmoid SSVM\(_1\) for short):

\[
\min_{x, \rho(x, \eta) = x + \frac{1}{\eta} \ln(1 + e^{-\eta x}), \eta > 0} \frac{1}{2} \| w \|^2 + b^2 + C \| (1 - D(Aw + 1b), \eta) \|_1. \quad (5)
\]

By taking the advantage of the twice differentiability of the objective functions on problem (5), a prescribed quadratically convergent Newton-Armijo algorithm \([5] \) can be used to solve this problem. Hence, the smoothing problem can be solved without a sophisticated optimization solver.

The transformation from (3) to (5) raises a very natural question: Are the two models equivalent? In fact, the smoothing parameter \( \eta \) increases, the \( + \) approximation as follows.

For above problem can be solved without a sophisticated optimization solver.

III. 1-NORM SMOOTH SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE

Based on Padé Approximant

In this section, we propose a kind of rational function, as the smoothing technique to simultaneously smooth and approximate the plus function in the framework of SSVM\(_1\).

A. Padé Approximation via the FOP

Let \( f(x) \) be a given power series with coefficients \( c_i \in C \),

\[ f(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \ldots + c_n x^n + L, \quad (6) \]

For above \( f(x) \), we give the definition of Padé approximation as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let \( \theta(x) \) and \( \varphi(x) \) be two polynomials of degree \( m \) and \( n \) respectively, if the following relation holds:

\[ \theta(x) f(x) - \varphi(x) = O(x^{m+n+1}), \quad (7) \]

where the right-hand side denotes a power series in \( x \) with lowest order term of degree \( m+n+1 \) or higher, then \( \varphi(x)/\theta(x) \) is called Padé approximant for \( f(x) \) and is denoted by \( [m/n] f(x) \).

Let \( c^{(k)} : P \rightarrow C \) be a linear functional on the polynomial space \( P \), which is defined by

\[ c^{(k)}(t)_i = c_{i+1}, \quad i = 0,1,L \quad (8) \]

where

\[ c^{(0)}(t)_i @ c(t)_i = c_i, \quad i = 0,1,L \quad (9) \]

with the convention that \( c_i = 0 \) for \( i < 0 \).

We now give the definition of formal orthogonal polynomials (FOPs) associated with \( c^{(m,n+1)} \), which is defined by \([7] \) with \( h = m-n+1 \).

Definition 3.2. \( \{q_k\} \) is called a family of formal orthogonal polynomials associated with \( c^{(m,n+1)} \) if, \( k \geq 0 \), \( q_k \) has degree \( k \) at most and

\[ c^{(m,n+1)}(t) q_k(t) = 0, \quad i = 0,L,k-1. \quad (10) \]

Now we present a main theorem (its proof is referred to \([8] \)) about Padé approximation via the formal orthogonal polynomials (PAVOP) as follows.

Theorem 3.3. Let \( q_k \) be a polynomial which belongs to the family of formal orthogonal polynomials associated with \( f(x) \),

\[ q_k(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \ldots + a_k t^k, \quad (11) \]

satisfies

\[ c^{(m,n+1)}(t) q_k(t) = 0, \quad i = 0,L,n-1. \quad (12) \]

and set

\[ \varphi(t) = t^n q_k(t^{-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j t^{n-j}. \quad (13) \]

Define the polynomial \( \varphi(t) \)

\[ \varphi(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j x^{-j} f_{m-n-1}(x), \quad (14) \]

where

\[ f_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_j x^j, \quad k \geq 0 \]

\[ 0, \quad k < 0 \]

Then, it holds
\[ [m/n]_\eta (x) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^{\eta i} f_{\eta \text{abs}}(x)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^{\eta i} f_{\eta \text{aux}}(x)} \tag{16} \]

That is,

\[ q_{\eta}(x) f_{\eta}(x) - p_{\eta}(x) = O(\varepsilon^{\eta \text{aux}}). \tag{17} \]

**B. Padé Approximant for \( x_+ \)**

We now consider using a Padé approximant to simultaneously smooth and approximate the plus function \( x_+ \).

It is well known that the plus function is not smooth, but continuous, so we can expand the plus function to a power series:

\[ x_+ = \frac{|x|^+ + x}{2} \tag{18} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\eta^2} \left[ 1 + \eta x^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-3)!}{(2n)!} (1-\eta x^2)^i \right] + x. \]

Then a Padé approximant for the above power series is computed by Theorem 3.3:

\[ \frac{1}{2\eta^2} \left[ 1 + 10\eta x^2 + 5\eta^2 x^4 + x \right] \tag{19} \]

Now we first give the smooth function whose main component is just the Padé approximant (19):

\[ P(x, \eta) = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq \frac{1}{\eta} \\ \frac{1}{2\eta^2} + 5\eta^2 x^2 + x \frac{1}{\eta}, & x < \frac{1}{\eta} \\ 0, & x \leq -\frac{1}{\eta} \end{cases} \tag{20} \]

and then a Padé SSVM1 model is constructed:

\[ \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m \cap D} \frac{1}{2} [v^T (I - D(A \omega + \mathbf{b})) v] + C \left[ P(1-D(A \omega + \mathbf{b}), \eta) \right], \tag{21} \]

where \( \mathbf{I} \) denotes a column vector of ones for arbitrary dimension, and function \( P \) has an effect on all components of a matrix or a vector in (21), i.e., \( P(I-D(A \omega + \mathbf{b}), \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^n, (P(1-D(A \omega + \mathbf{b}), \eta)) = P(A \omega + \mathbf{b}), \eta \), and \( \eta \) whose value is not a main factor for the final SSVM1 is called smoothing parameter. We will now show a simple theorem that bounds the difference between the plus function \( x_+ \) and its smooth approximant \( P(x, \eta) \).

Theorem 3.4. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, \eta) \) are defined as (20), \( x_+ \) is the plus function:

(i) \( P(x, \eta) \) is quadratic smoothness, at the point \( x = \pm 1/\eta \), \( x = 0 \), satisfies:

\[ P(1/\eta, \eta) = P(-1/\eta, \eta) = 0; \]

\[ \nabla P(1/\eta, \eta) = 1, \nabla P(-1/\eta, \eta) = 0; \]

\[ \nabla^2 P(1/\eta, \eta) = 1, \nabla^2 P(-1/\eta, \eta) = 0; \tag{22} \]

(ii) for arbitrary \( x, \eta \)

\[ P(x, \eta) > x_+; \]

(iii) for arbitrary \( x, \eta \)

\[ P(x, \eta) - x \leq 0.100/\eta. \tag{23} \]

Figure 1. The approximation of two smooth functions to \( x_+ \), with \( \eta = 10 \).

The Newton-Armijo algorithm with respect to SSVM1 is omitted here because it is running the same procedure as that in the 2-norm problem.

**IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND A METHOD FOR OUTLIER FILTERING**

As stated in [9], Sigmoid SSVM1 possesses good outlier resistance, which can be observed in a numerical tests. The first result is represented in Fig. 2 and the corresponding comparison of correctness is in Table I.

As has been already pointed out by Li-Jen Chien, there are some violent cases that are still easy to mislead either Sigmoid SSVM1 or Sigmoid SSVM2 to lose their generalization ability. A violent case is presented in Fig. 3, similar with Fig. 1 in [2], in which the positive and negative are normal distribution with mean 2 and -2 respectively and deviation 1. The outlier difference is 75 from the mean and the outlier ratio is 0.025 in positive and negative totally. In this case, no matter Sigmoid SSVM1 or Sigmoid SSVM2, both of them lost efficacy. Why all of the SVMs (Sigmoid SSVM1, Sigmoid SSVM2, including LIBSVM [10]) lose their generalization ability in this case is that they pay too much effort to minimize the loss term and sacrifice for minimizing the penalty term because of these extreme outliers [2]. Fortunately, Padé SSVM1 is still robust, and attains the generalization in this violent case.
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To eliminate the influence of outliers in such violent case, Li-Jen Chien, Y.J. Lee, Z. P. Kao, and C. C. Chang [2] prescribed a heuristic method to filter out the extreme outliers. In this study, we give another slightly different strategy to filter out the extreme outliers. We would first run the process of SSVM$_1$, and then ignore some large $\xi_i$’s. But how to determine the value of $\xi_i$ is large enough? We set outlier ratio as our threshold. In our method, the samples whose $\xi_i$’s are over 90 percentage are ignored until the threshold reaches the outlier ratio, and finally we use the rest samples to reconstruct a new SSVM$_1$ as the final classifier. We denote this outlier filtering method by SSVM$_{1-o}$.

Fig. 4 are in the same setting as Fig. 3. It is very obvious that SSVM$_{1-o}$ and SSVM$_{2-o}$ successfully classify the most of examples. But among them, Padé SSVM$_{1-o}$ performs the best.
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V. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed Padé approximant as a new smoothing technique for SSVM. The new SSVM constructed by this Padé approximant, i.e., Padé SSVM, has been proved by the theoretical analyses and the numerical results to possess the best outlier resistance compared with previous SSVMs. To strengthen the robustness of SSVMs in some violent cases, a simple method for outlier filtering is proposed. This method for outlier filtering also improves robustness a lot for Sigmoid SSVM and Sigmoid SSVM2.
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