Fermi/LAT observations of lobe-dominant radio galaxy 3C 207 and possible radiation region of γ-rays
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Abstract 3C 207 is a lobe-dominant radio galaxy with a one sided jet and bright knots, spanning a kpc-Mpc scale, which have been resolved in the radio, optical and X-ray bands. This target was confirmed as a γ-ray emitter with Fermi/LAT, but it is uncertain whether the γ-ray emission region is the core or knots due to the low spatial resolution of Fermi/LAT. We present an analysis of its Fermi/LAT data acquired during the past 9 years. Different from the radio and optical emission from the core, it is found that the γ-ray emission is steady without detection of flux variation at over a 2σ confidence level. This likely implies that the γ-ray emission is from its knots. We collect the radio, optical and X-ray data of knot-A, the closest knot from the core at 1.4″, and compile its spectral energy distribution (SED). Although the single-zone synchrotron+SSC+IC/CMB model that assumes knot-A is at rest can reproduce the SED in the radio-optical-X-ray band, the predicted γ-ray flux is lower than the LAT observations and the derived magnetic field strength deviates from the equipartition condition by 3 orders of magnitude. Assuming that knot-A is moving relativistically, its SED from radio to γ-ray bands would be represented well with the single-zone synchrotron+SSC+IC/CMB model under the equipartition condition. These results likely suggest that the γ-ray emission may be from knot-A via the IC/CMB process and the knot should have relativistical motion. The jet power derived from our model parameters is also roughly consistent with the kinetic power estimated with radio data.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Jet substructures, including knots and lobes with hotspots, on scales of kpc-Mpc, are resolved in the radio, optical and X-ray bands for some powerful jets associated with active galactic nuclei (AGNs), especially radio galaxies (see Harris & Krawczynski 2006 for a review). It is interesting that the radio lobes of Cen A and Fornax A were also detected in the γ-ray band with Fermi/LAT, likely suggesting that these substructures are powerful particle accelerators (Abdo et al. 2010a; Sun et al. 2016; McKinley et al. 2015; Ackermann et al. 2016). The radio emission of these substructures, as well as the optical emission of the substructures in some sources, is believed to be produced by synchrotron radiation of relativistic electrons (Tavecchio et al. 2000; Hardcastle et al. 2004; Harris & Krawczynski 2006; Sambruna et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2009, 2010). For the X-ray emission of most substructures, the spectrum is harder than the radio-optical spectrum, showing that it is not an extrapolation from the radio-optical band. A new component needs to be involved for producing the X-ray emission and emission in a higher energy band, such as the synchrotron emission of a second population of very-
high-energy electrons (Zhang et al. 2009; Meyer et al. 2015; Zargaryan et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2017), the synchrotron self-Compton process (SSC, Hardcastle et al. 2004; Kataoka & Stawarz 2005; Zhang et al. 2010) or the inverse Compton scattering of cosmic microwave background photons (IC/CMB, Tavecchio et al. 2000; Sambruna et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2010; Zhang & Li 2010). Since these models predict different γ-ray emission features (e.g., Zhang et al. 2010), observations with Fermi/LAT then may place strong constraints on these radiation models (e.g., Zhang et al. 2010; Meyer et al. 2015).

3C 207 is an FR II radio galaxy at redshift of \( z = 0.684 \) with lobe-dominant radio morphology (Hough & Readhead 1989). The ratio of core to extended lobe emission at 8.4 GHz is 0.82 (Hough et al. 2002). Its pc-scale jet structure was resolved by the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) at 8.4 GHz and is distinctly curved with a bright inner knot misaligned from the jet axis defined by the outer weaker jet features (Hough et al. 2002). It has a powerful large-scale one sided radio and X-ray jet, and extended X-ray emission coincident with the western radio lobe is also detected in the opposite direction (Brunetti et al. 2002). Three radio knots at 1.4′′ (9.94 kpc, knot-A), 4.6′′ (32.66 kpc, knot-B) and 6.5′′ (46.15 kpc, knot-C, maybe a hotspot) are resolved and detected in the Chandra image. Among the three knots, only knot-A was detected in the optical band with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST, Sambruna et al. 2004). 3C 207 was confirmed as a γ-ray emitter by Fermi/LAT observations (Abdo et al. 2010b), but it is uncertain whether the emitting region is the core or the extended substructures since LAT is inadequate for spatially resolving the location of γ-ray emission.

This paper presents analysis of the Fermi/LAT observations for 3C 207 in the past 9 years in order to investigate the radiation region and the radiation mechanisms of the γ-rays. The observations and data reduction are reported in Section 2. Data analysis and the broadband SED modeling of knot-A are given in Section 3. Discussion and conclusions are described in Section 4. Throughout, \( H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), \( \Omega_m = 0.27 \) and \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.73 \) are adopted.

2 Fermi/LAT Observations and Data Reduction

3C 207 was detected in the γ-ray band following launch of the Fermi mission. We downloaded the LAT data of 3C 207 covering 2008 August 4 (Modified Julian Day, MJD 54682) to 2017 July 20 (MJD 57954) from the Fermi data archive (Pass 8 data). The temporal coverage of the LAT observations is ∼9 years. The well-sampled LAT data provide a good opportunity to investigate both the short- and long-term variability of sources. The standard analysis tool gtlike/pyLikelihood, which is part of the Fermi Science Tool software package (ver. v10r0p5), and the P8R2-SOURCE-V6 set of instrument response functions (IRFs) were used to analyze the LAT data. Significance of the γ-ray signal from the source is evaluated with the maximum-likelihood test statistic. Events with energies from 0.1 to 100 GeV are selected from the region of interest (ROI) with radius of 10°, centered at the position of 3C 207 (R.A.=130.198, Decl.=13.2065). All point sources in the third Fermi/LAT source catalog (Acero et al. 2015) located in the ROI and an additional surrounding 10° wide annulus (called “source region”) were modeled in the fits. In the model file, the spectral parameters for sources lying within the ROI were kept free and sources lying within the “source region” were fixed. The isotropic background, including the sum of residual instrumental background and extragalactic diffuse γ-ray background, was fitted with a model derived from the isotropic background at high Galactic latitude, i.e., “iso-P8R2-SOURCE-V6-v06.txt,” and the Galactic diffuse GeV emission was modeled with “gll-iem-v06.fits.” In order to eliminate contamination from the γ-ray-bright Earth limb, events with zenith angle larger than 95° are excluded. The spectral analysis in the energy range of 0.1–100 GeV was performed using unbinned likelihood analysis. A power law (PL) model, i.e., \( dN(E)/dE = N_0 E^{-\Gamma} \), is used to fit the spectrum accumulated in each time-bin.

3 Data Analysis and SED Modeling

The derived light curve in time-bins of 30 d is shown in Figure 1. No significant flux variation in the γ-ray band is observed. From the perspective of long-term variation, the γ-ray flux is steady with small flux variation around \( 3.15 \times 10^{-8} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), where \( F = 3.15 \times 10^{-8} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) is the mean of these data points in Figure 1 and indicates the average flux in the past 9 years. On the timescale of months, we measure the confidence level of flux variation with \( (F_{\text{max}} - F)/\sigma_{F_{\text{max}}} \), where \( F_{\text{max}} \) and \( \sigma_{F_{\text{max}}} \) are the highest flux and its error.
in the past 9 years respectively. The confidence level is 1.4σ, which is smaller than 2σ.1

The steady γ-ray emission may imply that the emission is from large-scale substructures in the jet. We therefore further explore whether the γ-rays are from the knots. Three knots, knot-A, knot-B and knot-C, were resolved in the radio and X-ray bands for 3C 207, but only knot-A, the closest knot from the core at 1.4′′, was also detected in the optical band (Sambruna et al. 2004). We compile the broadband SED in radio, optical and X-ray bands of knot-A, as well as the average spectrum in the γ-ray band, which is shown in Figure 2. The X-ray spectral index is 0.1 ± 0.3, which is harder than the radio-to-optical spectral index, i.e., 1.16 ± 0.02 (Sambruna et al. 2004). The radio emission of knots is believed to be produced by the synchrotron radiations of relativistic electrons in knots. The X-ray emission with a harder spectrum than that in the radio-optical band cannot be produced by the same electron population via the synchrotron mechanism. Therefore, IC processes would need to be involved to explain the X-ray emission and higher energy emission.

We model the SED of knot-A with the single-zone synchrotron+IC radiation models. The emitting region is assumed to be a sphere with radius R, magnetic field strength B and a bulk Lorentz factor Γ at an angle θ with respect to the line of sight. Since the optical flux of knot-A is extracted within a circle with radius 0.5″ (Sambruna et al. 2004), we fix the dimension of \( R = 1.1 \times 10^{22} \) cm corresponding to this angular size. The radiation region is homogeneously filled by relativistic electrons, and the electron distribution is assumed to be a broken PL, i.e.,

\[
N(\gamma) = N_0 \begin{cases} 
\gamma^{-p_1} & \gamma_{\text{min}} \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_b \\
\gamma_b^{p_2-p_1} \gamma^{-p_1} & \gamma_b < \gamma < \gamma_{\text{max}}
\end{cases}
\]

\( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) can be constrained with the observed spectral indices \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) and \( \alpha_{\gamma} \), where \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) is broadband spectral index in the optical and X-ray bands and \( \alpha_{\gamma} \) is spectral index in the γ-ray band. The Klein-Nashina (KN) effect and the absorption of high energy γ-ray photons by extragalactic background light (Franceschini et al. 2008) are also considered in our calculations.

Two photon fields are involved in the IC processes during the model calculations, i.e., synchrotron radiation photon field and CMB photon field. The energy density of the synchrotron radiation photon field is estimated as

\[
U_{\text{syn}} = \frac{L_{\gamma\text{syn}}}{4\pi R^2 c} \approx \frac{5L_{5\text{GHz}}}{4\pi R^2 c} = 4.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}
\]

where we assume that the synchrotron luminosity is five times \( L_{5\text{GHz}} \), and \( L_{5\text{GHz}} \) is the luminosity of knot-A at 5 GHz. The CMB energy density in the comoving frame is derived as

\[
U'_{\text{CMB}} = \frac{4}{3} \Gamma^2 U_{\text{CMB}}(1+z)^4,
\]

where \( U_{\text{CMB}} = 4.2 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-3} \) (Dermer & Schlickeiser 1994). It is found that \( U_{\text{syn}} \) and \( U'_{\text{CMB}} \) are comparable. Therefore, two photon fields are taken into account for modeling the SED of knot-A.

---

1 Liao et al. (2015) reported that 3C 207 had significant variability around MJD 54750 on a timescale of months with a six-year light curve in time-bins of 7 months. Besides the different ‘Pass data’ and time-bin, their method to calculate the long-term average flux is also different from our work. Note that there is another high flux point after MJD 57000 in Figure 1. Hence, we obtain a higher 9-year average flux than their 6-year average flux.
Fig. 2 Observed SED and model fitting lines with the single-zone synchrotron+SSC+IC/CMB models for knot-A. The dashed line and solid line represent knot-A at rest and the beaming effect under the equipartition condition, respectively. The fitting parameters when considering knot-A at rest are $R = 1.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm, $B = (1.4 \pm 0.4)$ μG, $N_0 = (0.01 \pm 0.002)$ cm$^{-3}$, $p_1 = 1.97$, $p_2 = 4.4$, $\gamma_{\text{min}} = 200$, $\gamma_b = (2.5 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{2}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^{6}$. The fitting parameters when considering the beaming effect under the equipartition condition are $R = 1.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm, $\Gamma = \delta = 13.3 \pm 1.5$, $B = 5.9 \pm 1.7$ μG, $N_0 = (1.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^{-3}$, $p_1 = 1.97$, $p_2 = 4.4$, $\gamma_{\text{min}} = 3$, $\gamma_b = (2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{4}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^{6}$. The solid squares mark the observational data of knot-A. The open symbols mark the average spectrum of the Fermi/LAT observations, where down-triangles in the γ-ray band indicate the upper limits.

As illustrated in Figure 2, the X-ray spectral index places a strong constraint on the SED modeling, and the predicted γ-ray flux by the models should not exceed the flux observed by Fermi/LAT. We first assume that knot-A is at rest in order to model its SED. The result is shown in Figure 2. It is found that the SED from radio to X-ray bands is reproduced well by the model, but the predicted γ-ray flux by the model is lower than the Fermi/LAT observations. During the SED modeling, $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ cannot be constrained, and thus they are fixed, i.e., $\gamma_{\text{min}} = 200$ as reported in Zhang et al. (2010) and $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^{6}$. The other derived parameters are

$$B = (1.4 \pm 0.4) \text{ μG},$$
$$N_0 = (0.01 \pm 0.002) \text{ cm}^{-3},$$
$$\gamma_b = (2.5 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{3},$$

where $p_1 = 1.97$ and $p_2 = 4.4$. The corresponding equipartition magnetic field strength is $B_{\text{eq}} = 0.0014$ G, which is much higher than the derived magnetic field strength in this scenario. This issue of the SSC model has been widely reported (see Harris & Krawczynski 2006 for a review).

We then model the SED of knot-A under the equipartition condition by considering the beaming effect with an assumption of $\Gamma = \delta$. In this scenario, we try to constrain other fitting parameters by taking the γ-ray data into account in SED modeling. A small value of $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ (smaller than 3) is needed to model the optical data, hence we take $\gamma_{\text{min}} = 3$. The $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ is still fixed at a large value, i.e., $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^{6}$, which does not significantly affect our results. As illustrated in Figure 2, the SED from radio to γ-ray bands can be represented well by the model. The derived parameters are

$$\delta = 13.3 \pm 1.5,$$
$$B_{\text{eq}} = (5.9 \pm 1.7) \text{ μG},$$
$$N_0 = (1.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

and

$$\gamma_b = (2.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{4}.$$

4 CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

We have presented an analysis of the Fermi/LAT data in the past 9 years for 3C 207. It is found that the γ-ray emission is steady without detection of flux variation at over the $2\sigma$ confidence level. We suspect that the γ-ray emission is from its knots on a kpc-scale. By collecting data from the literature, we compile the SED in radio, optical and X-ray data of knot-A in 3C 207. Although the single-zone synchrotron+SSC+IC/CMB model, which
assumes that knot-A is at rest, can reproduce its SED in the radio-optical-X-ray band, the predicted γ-ray flux is lower than the LAT observations and the derived magnetic field strength deviates from the equipartition condition by 3 orders of magnitude. Assuming that the knot is moving relativistically, the SED of knot-A in the radio to X-ray bands as well as the γ-ray emission would be represented well under the equipartition condition. These results likely suggest that the γ-ray emission may be from knot-A via the IC/CMB process and knot-A should have relativistic motion.

Significant flux variations in the radio and optical bands on a timescale of days from the core region of 3C 207 were detected\(^2\). As shown in Figure 1, we do not find any flux variation in such a comparable timescale at a confidence level of 2σ. In addition, the γ-ray flux from the core region is usually correlated with the radio and optical fluxes from the same region (e.g., Jorstad et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2017). The non-correlation between the γ-rays and the radio and optical emission associated with 3C 207 may indicate that the γ-rays are not from the core region. These factors further support that the γ-ray emission of 3C 207 may be dominated by its knot-A. In addition, the steady γ-ray emission of 3C 207 may be contributed by both the core and knots. Thus, fluctuations of the core emission would be concealed if the flux levels of emission from the core and knots are comparable.

An apparent superluminal speed of β\(_{\text{app}}\) ∼ 10c in the pc-scale jet for 3C 207 has been reported by Hough et al. (2002) and Lister et al. (2016). Note that knot-A is the closest knot from the core region (Sambruna et al. 2004). We obtain Γ ∼ 13 by modeling the SED for knot-A, suggesting that the knot still moves relativistically. The one-sided jets in AGNs clearly imply that the kpc-scale jets are at least mildly relativistic (Bridle et al. 1994), hence our results are consistent with the observed one-sided jet in 3C 207, and the γ-ray emission is produced by the IC/CMB process.

One issue related to the IC/CMB model producing high energy emission in the large-scale jet substructures is that it may result in so-called “super-Eddington” jet powers as suggested by some authors (e.g., Dermer & Atoyan 2004; Uchiyama et al. 2006; Meyer et al. 2015). We calculate the jet power of knot-A based on the model parameters derived from our SED fit in case of knot-A having relativistic motion. Since the contribution of protons to jet power is not exactly known (see also Zargaryan et al. 2017), we assume that the jet only consists of electrons and magnetic fields, i.e.,

\[
P_{\text{jet}} = \pi R^2 \Gamma^2 c (U_e + U_B)\]

We obtain

\[
P_{\text{jet}} = 5.77 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.
\]

We also estimate the kinetic power of knot-A with the radio data, i.e.,

\[
L_k = 3 \times 10^{45} f^{3/2} L_{151}^{6/7} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.
\]

(Willott et al. 1999), where \(L_{151}\) is the 151 MHz radio flux in units of \(10^{28} \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}\) and taken from Arshakian et al. (2010), see the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database. We take \(f = 10\) (Cattaneo & Best 2009) and obtain

\[
L_k = 2.49 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.
\]

It is found that \(P_{\text{jet}}\) derived from our model parameters is lower than \(L_k\).\(^3\)
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