Rapid Genome Evolution and Adaptation of *Thlaspi arvense* Mediated by Recurrent RNA-Based and Tandem Gene Duplications
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Retrotransposons are the most abundant group of transposable elements (TEs) in plants, providing an extraordinarily versatile source of genetic variation. *Thlaspi arvense*, a close relative of the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* with worldwide distribution, thrives from sea level to above 4,000 m elevation in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP), China. Its strong adaptability renders it an ideal model system for studying plant adaptation in extreme environments. However, how the retrotransposons affect the *T. arvense* genome evolution and adaptation is largely unknown. We report a high-quality chromosome-scale genome assembly of *T. arvense* with a scaffold N50 of 59.10 Mb. Long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTR-RTs) account for 56.94% of the genome assembly, and the Gypsy superfamily is the most abundant TEs. The amplification of LTR-RTs in the last six million years primarily contributed to the genome size expansion in *T. arvense*. We identified 351 retrogenes and 303 genes flanked by LTRs, respectively. A comparative analysis showed that orthogroups containing those retrogenes and genes flanked by LTRs have a higher percentage of significantly expanded orthogroups (SEOs), and these SEOs possess more recent tandem duplicated genes. All present results indicate that RNA-based gene duplication (retroduplication) accelerated the subsequent tandem duplication of homologous genes resulting in family expansions, and these expanded gene families were implicated in plant growth, development, and stress responses, which were one of the pivotal factors for *T. arvense*’s adaptation to the harsh environment in the QTP regions. In conclusion, the high-quality assembly of the *T. arvense* genome provides insights into the retroduplication mediated mechanism of plant adaptation to extreme environments.
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INTRODUCTION

*Thlaspi arvense* is a member of the extended II lineage of Brassicaceae (Huang et al., 2016), which is closely related to *Arabidopsis*. *T. arvense* is native to Eurasia and has a wide distribution in various temperate regions of the northern hemisphere (Warwick et al., 2002). *T. arvense* plants can survive in a wide altitude distribution from 0 to 4,000 m in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) region, the world’s highest plateau as a consequence of the continuous rising from the late Tertiary/mid-Miocene to the Quaternary, thus producing extensive genetic divergence and great species diversity (An et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). Haplotypes of *T. arvense* unique to the QTP were recently recognized and collected. Based on a phylogeographic analysis, populations of *T. arvense* in China are a mixture of highly diverged ancestral subpopulations (An et al., 2015). The broad biodistribution of the Chinese *T. arvense* population demonstrates that *T. arvense* has relatively strong adaptability to environmental changes. Furthermore, *T. arvense* has been well recognized as a potential winter cover biofuel crop given its extreme cold tolerance and high seed oil content (Dorn et al., 2013, 2015; Sedbroom et al., 2014; Claver et al., 2017).

Transposable elements (TEs) are one of the major driving forces in genome and gene evolution (Fedoroff, 2012; Elbarbary et al., 2016). There are two main classes of TEs: Retrotransposons (class I), which transpose via an RNA intermediate with a “copy-and-paste” mechanism, and DNA transposons (class II), which transpose without an RNA intermediate through a “cut-and-paste” mechanism (Wicker et al., 2007). Among the class I, long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTR-RTs) are the most abundant component in the genome of flowering plants and provide an extensive source of mutations and genetic variations (Feschotte et al., 2002; Lisch, 2012). LTR-RTs can contribute to the formation of retrogenes by retrotransposition, where a gene’s messenger RNA (mRNA) is captured, reverse transcribed, and integrated into new genomic positions by a retrotransposon (Kaessmann et al., 2009). The most remarkable feature of these RNA-based duplicated genes is the lack of introns compared with their parental genes. Compared with retroduplication driven by non-LTR retrotransposon (LINE/SINE), retrogenes mediated by LTR-RTs are flanked by LTR sequences which can function as donors of promoter regions for a novel gene copy (Casola and Betrán, 2017) and are prone to ectopic recombination (Stritt et al., 2020). LTR-RTs flanking genes in plants were first reported in maize (Jin and Bennetzen, 1994), where the *Bs1* LTR-RT transduced sequences from three different host genes. Further study showed its specific expression in reproductive tissues, e.g., post-pollen tassel (Elrouby and Bureau, 2010). In tomatoes, the *SUN* gene mediated by *Rider* LTR-RT led to an elongated fruit shape (Xiao et al., 2008). The genome-wide identification of LTR-RT-mediated retrogenes has been reported in plants as well as animals. For example, 27 retrogenes within LTR-RTs in the rice genome were identified, and the analysis demonstrated that the mechanism of the formation of free retrogenes is different from that of retrogenes inside LTR-RTs (Wang et al., 2006). Additionally, three retrogenes within LTR-RTs based on *Arabidopsis* resequencing data were recently reported (Zhu et al., 2016). A specific expression of 10 polymorphic retrogenes flanked by LTR-RTs in fruit flies and mice were detected in the testis, ovary, and head (Tan et al., 2016). A recent genome-wide survey in hot peppers reported numerous nucleotide-binding and leucine-rich-repeat (NLR) disease-resistance genes located inside LTR-RTs (105, 123, and 86 in *Capsicum annuum*, *Capsicum baccatum*, and *Capsicum chinense*, respectively). Some of these genes were set as being retroduplicated by LTR-RTs even when the parental genes were not identified (Kim et al., 2017). This study in peppers concluded that retroduplications played key roles in the massive emergence of disease-resistance genes (Kim et al., 2017). However, how retrotransposons, especially LTR-RTs, facilitate genome evolution and organismal adaptation has seldomly been explored.

The combination of single-molecule long-read sequencing, optical mapping, and chromosome conformation capture technologies have greatly improved the assembly of plant genomes, which contain a high proportion of repetitive sequences (Zhang et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). The genome size of *T. arvense* (*2n = 14*) is approximately 539 Mb (Johnston et al., 2005). An next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based assembly (343 Mb) of the draft *T. arvense* genome only accounted for 63.6% of the predicted genome size, with a great discontinuity and large gaps that hindered its utility for more accurate genome studies (Dorn et al., 2015). To better understand the genome evolution and expansion and further unravel the broad adaptability of *T. arvense* to environmental changes, we performed a *de novo* assembly of the *T. arvense* genome using a combination of single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing (PacBio) (Berlin et al., 2015; Jiao et al., 2017), optical mapping (BioNano) (Jiao et al., 2017), and chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) technologies (Mascher et al., 2017). Comparable to the newly published complete *T. arvense* genome (Geng et al., 2021), we present an additional genome assembly with a high level of continuity and annotation. The genomic analysis identified recent LTR-RT amplification events between 0 and 6 million years ago (MYA), which was responsible for the *T. arvense* genome size expansion. Comparative analyses of gene families between *T. arvense* and other three relative species in Brassicaceae suggest that LTR-RT amplification during the Pleistocene and late Pliocene contributed to the adaptation of *T. arvense* to the glacial-interglacial cycle in the QTP region. Gene family expansions mediated by retroduplication, especially LTR-RT mediated retroduplication, and the subsequent tandem duplication of homologous genes provided the caliper of development and stress resistance of *T. arvense* to cope with the harsh environment.

RESULTS

Genome Assembly, Assessment, and Annotation

We sequenced and collected 23.5 Gb Illumina HiSeq data for the genome survey. The estimated genome size, heterozygosity rate, and repeats rate are 487.20 Mb, 0.04%, and 41.73%, respectively (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). We
TABLE 1 | Statistics of repeats contents in the T. arvense genome.

| Type                        | Length (Mb) | Percent (% genome) | Percent (% all repeats) |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| All repeats                 | 320.26      | 65.86              | 100.00                  |
| Satellites                  | 0.02        | 0.00               | 0.00                    |
| Simple repeats              | 3.46        | 0.71               | 1.08                    |
| Low complexity              | 0.83        | 0.17               | 0.26                    |
| Small RNA                   | 1.11        | 0.23               | 0.35                    |
| Transposable elements       | 315.15      | 64.81              | 98.41                   |
| Class I: retrotransposon    | 281.64      | 57.92              | 87.94                   |
| LTR retrotransposon         | 276.88      | 56.94              | 86.46                   |
| Gypsy                       | 250.65      | 51.55              | 78.27                   |
| Copia                       | 14.59       | 0.30               | 4.56                    |
| Unknown                     | 11.64       | 2.39               | 3.63                    |
| Non-LTR retrotransposon     | 4.76        | 0.08               | 1.49                    |
| SINE                        | 0.33        | 0.07               | 0.11                    |
| LINE                        | 4.44        | 0.09               | 1.38                    |
| Class II: DNA transposon    | 10.69       | 2.20               | 3.34                    |
| Unclassified interspersed repeats | 22.82  | 4.69            | 7.12                    |

Generated 27.99 Gb (∼52×) subread sequences of T. arvense genome using SMRT sequencing technology from the PacBio Sequel platform (PacBio, 1305 O’Brien Dr., Menlo Park, CA, United States) with an average read length of 8.9 Kb (Supplementary Figure 2). We also collected 4.6 Gb optical map sequencing data from the BioNano Genomics Saphyr platform and 32.3 Gb Hi-C high-throughput chromosome conformation capture sequencing data. By combining all these data, we assembled a chromosome-scale T. arvense genome by conducting contigs assembly, scaffolds assembly, pseudochromosome construction, as well as rounds of polishing and gap filling (Supplementary Table 2). The final assembled genome size was 486.27 Mb containing 954 contigs with contig N50 = 2.36 Mb and 170 scaffolds with N50 = 59.10 Mb (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 3). Seven pseudochromosomes were anchored, covering 99.31% of the final assembly (Figure 1A and Supplementary Tables 3, 4). To further assess the quality of the genome assembly, Illumina paired-end reads were mapped to the final assembly. The mapping rate of 99.82% suggested the high uniformity of the sequencing. The Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) analysis showed that 94.58% of 1,440 plant lineage single-copy orthologs were present in the T. arvense assembly indicating the high completeness of the gene regions of the final assembly (Supplementary Table 5).

Based on genome assembly, we performed repetitive sequences annotation using a combination of ab initio and homology-based approaches. Overall, 65.86% of the genome assembly was identified as repeat regions, mainly including 57.92% retrotransposons, 2.20% DNA transposons, and 4.69% unclassified interspersed repeats (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 4). Gene prediction was performed by a comprehensive strategy combining evidence-based and ab initio gene prediction after repeats masking of the genome. A total of 36,556 protein-coding genes were predicted, 99.61% of which were anchored to seven chromosomes in our genome assembly (Supplementary Table 4). The average length of the predicted genes was 1,861.9 bp, and each gene averagely harbored 4.46 exons with an average exon length of 232.8 bp (Supplementary Tables 4, 6). The functional annotation of protein-coding genes was achieved by searching against the Swissprot, RefSeq, InterPro, Pfam, and GO protein databases. Overall, 31,079 (85.02%) predicted genes were functionally annotated (Supplementary Table 7).

We analyzed the global distributions of the genes and TEs on pseudochromosomes and found that the density of Gypsy LTR-retrotransposons was negatively correlated with gene density (Pearson correlation = −0.25, P = 1.128e-15). The densities of Copia LTR-retrotransposon, non-LTR retrotransposons (LINE and SINE), and DNA transposons were relatively low and their distributions were random (Figure 1A).

Gene and Genome Duplication
We identified paralogous genes in the T. arvense genome and then calculated the rate of synonymous substitution (Ks), which is defined as the number of synonymous substitutions per the number of synonymous sites, to estimate the age of duplication events. Based on the characteristics of paralogous copies, all paralogs were classified into four types of gene duplication by using MCScanX (Wang et al., 2012). Overall, dispersed duplicated genes accounted for the most with 33.12% of all duplicates followed by whole-genome duplication (WGD)/segmental, proximal, and tandem duplicates (TDs) accounting for 12.19, 10.33, and 9.51%, respectively (Supplementary Figure 5 and Supplementary Table 8). However, following the increment of time, the number and percentage of dispersed and WGD genes showed a downward trend. In contrast, the number and percentage of proximal and tandem duplicated genes increased continuously over time (Supplementary Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 9).

To investigate the genome evolution of T. arvense, we identified putative WGD events by analyzing the Ks distribution of synteny paralogs. We found that α WGD (Ks = 0.75) event was the most recent WGD event for T. arvense, and this WGD event was shared by T. arvense and three other members of Brassicaceae (Arabidopsis thaliana, Brassica rapa, and Eutrema salsugineum), except for B. rapa which experienced an addition of a whole-genome triplication (WGT) (Ks = 0.3) (Figure 1B; Wang et al., 2011). Conclusively, T. arvense has not undergone an additional species-specific WGD event after it diverged from E. salsugineum (Figure 1C).

Phylogeny and Orthogroups Analysis
We first constructed the phylogenetic tree using 532 single-copy gene families from T. arvense and other eight angiosperms, including Amborella trichopoda, Oryza sativa, Vitis vinifera, A. thaliana, Arabidopsis lyrata, B. rapa, Brassica oleracea, and E. salsugineum. As shown in Figure 1C, T. arvense is most closely related to E. salsugineum. This result agrees with previous species relationships (Huang et al., 2016). Using this phylogenetic tree and three fossil calibrations, we estimated that T. arvense and E. salsugineum diverged from each other 9.7–15.4 MYA and that
both species shared a common ancestor with *A. thaliana* and *A. lyrata* 15.1–24.0 MYA (Figure 1C).

We then identified orthogroups using OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly, 2019) based on sequence similarity. The orthogroup was defined as the set of genes descended from a single gene in the last common ancestor from one or more species being considered (Emms and Kelly, 2015). We compared orthogroups among *T. arvense* and other three close relatives, including *E. salsugineum*, *A. thaliana*, and *A. lyrata*. A total of 18,250 *T. arvense* orthogroups were clustered, of which 15,249 orthogroups were shared with three other species and 944 were *T. arvense* specific. Unexpectedly, the *T. arvense* genome contains the most species-specific orthogroups among these four species (Figure 1D). Among these orthogroups, we further extracted significantly expanded orthogroups (referred to as “SEOs” thereafter), which were identified by computational analysis of gene family evolution (CAFE) based on the P-value associated with the gene family sizes between the extant species and the estimated ancestral nodes (De Bie et al., 2006). More surprisingly, the *T. arvense* genome possesses the most SEOs with a total of 345 orthogroups identified (*P* < 0.05) (Supplementary Table 10). Based on the GO annotations, the genes in these *T. arvense* SEOs are significantly enriched in “cysteine-type peptidase activity” (*P* = 1.7E-103).
(Supplementary Table 11). Moreover, the GO summary indicated that the genes of SEOs in the *T. arvense* genome are related to growth and development and stress responses, e.g., “hormone biosynthetic process,” “signal transduction in response to DNA damage,” “positive regulation of multicellular organism growth,” and “ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process” (Figure 1E). Based on Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) annotations, these SEOs are highly enriched in DNA replication proteins, mismatch repair, DNA replication, homologous recombination, nucleotide excision repair, DNA repair and recombination proteins, aminobenzoate degradation, and circadian rhythm pathway (Supplementary Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 12).

### Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposon Superfamily

We searched all kinds of repetitive sequences of the *T. arvense* genome. LTR-RTs are the most abundant type of TE, covering 56.94% of the genome, accounting for 86.46% of the total repeats component (Supplementary Figure 4). Among the LTR-RTs, the Gypsy superfamily was predominant, making up 51.55% of the genome, followed by the Copia superfamily accounting for 3.00% of the genome (Table 1). In order to test whether the richness of LTR/Gypsy is specific for the *T. arvense* genome, we applied the same criteria and methodology to search repeat sequences in the other four Brassicaceae species, *E. salsugineum*, *S. parvula*, *B. rapa*, and *A. thaliana*, respectively (Supplementary Table 13).
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**FIGURE 2** | Neighbor-joining phylogeny of the LTR-RTs Gypsy (A) and Copia (B) superfamily in *T. arvense*. Different lineages were shown in clades marked in different colors. Each branch represents an LTR-RT family. The gray histogram indicates the copy number of each family (counted based on reverse transcriptase domains).

### Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposon Family Identification, Amplification, and Divergence

To further explore the proliferation of Gypsy and Copia superfamilies, we defined “family” in each superfamily based on the peptide sequence similarity of known reverse transcriptase (RT) domain from GyDB (Llorens et al., 2011) and following previous methods (Baucom et al., 2009). We then constructed the phylogeny of LTR-RTs and counted the copy number of
families to investigate the activation of each family. Phylogenetic
trees revealed that the Gypsy superfamily was grouped into six
lineages, i.e., del, galadriel, crm, reina, athila, and tat (Figure 2A).
The Copia superfamily in the T. arvense genome was basically
grouped into five lineages, i.e., oryco1, oryco2, tork, sire, and
retrof, except that sire was nested within retrof (Figure 2B).
We identified a total of 4,110 copies for 98 families in six
clades of the Gypsy superfamily. Specifically, the crm clade had
the most families with 33, followed by the tat clade with 27
families. The copy number (1,630) of one family in the athila
clade was the highest among all families in LTR-RT superfamilies.
The Galadriel clade contains only one family with five copies.
The reina and galadriel clades contain the least copy number
of families (<10) (Figure 2A and Table 2). For the Copia
superfamily, we identified a total of 1,422 copies for 38 families
in five clades. However, most clades in the Copia superfamily
possess few copies of each family, except that the oryco2 clade has
the average copy number of families larger than 10 (84.53 copies
per family) (Figure 2B and Table 2).

To investigate the evolutionary dynamics of LTR-RTs, we
estimated the insertion time of all intact LTR-RTs. In comparison
to the insertion times of LTR-RTs within three closely related
species, A. thaliana, E. salsugineum, and S. parvula, the T. arvense
genome possessed the most recently originated LTR-RTs, with
the peak around 0.2 MYA (Figures 3A,B). Specifically, the
origination of Gypsy in the T. arvense genome preferably occurs
around 0.5 MYA with a successive proliferation since 4 MYA.
However, the amplification of Copia increased abruptly since
1 MYA and reached the greatest density around 0.1 MYA
(Figures 3C,D).

Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposons
and Gene Duplication

Considering that 56.94% of the T. arvense genome was composed
of LTR-RTs (Supplementary Table 13), we explored the impact of
LTR-RTs on gene duplication. We identified 351 retrogenes and
their corresponding parental genes (Supplementary Table 14)
by integrating and improving previous strategies (Zhang et al.,
2005; Zhu et al., 2009), which considered the retrogenes produced
through alternative splicing mechanisms based on a recent study
(Zhang et al., 2014; Supplementary Figure 9). Surprisingly,
78.92% of the retrogenes contain an intron(s). Comparing
these intron-containing retrogenes to their parental genes, we
identified that the intron-containing retrogenes were either
emerged through alternative splicing mechanisms, e.g., intron
retention or exon skipping (Supplementary Figures 10b,c, 14b),
as described in previous studies in plants and animals (Zhang
et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2017), or acquired intron(s) resulting in
the variable structure of exons (Supplementary Figures 10b,f,g).
Mapping all retrogenes and their parental genes on the T. arvense
genome revealed a higher distribution of parental genes and their
paralogs in Chr1 than in other chromosomes (Figure 1A track
h). Additionally, integrating and improving previous strategies
(Kim et al., 2017), which considered that the genes were
retroduplicated if genes were fully contained within LTR-RTs, we
identified 303 genes flanked by LTRs (Supplementary Table 15),
including eight retrogenes and 295 genes located inside LTR-
RTs, respectively.

Based on the association between annotated genes and the
presence of LTRs, we categorized T. arvense annotated genes
into four types. Type “A1,” “A2,” “A3,” and “B1” represent
the retrogene flanked by LTRs (referred to as “LTR-retrogenes”
hereinafter), retrogene not flanked by LTRs (referred as “free
retrogene”), non-retrogene flanked by LTRs (referred as “LTR-
gene”), and non-retrogene not flanked by LTRs (referred as “free
gene”), respectively. We considered type A1, A2, and A3
genes all as retroduplicated genes, as they all were
mediated by retrotransposons. Type A1 LTR-retrogens were
inferred to be produced by retrotransposition mediated by LTR-
retrotransposons; type A2 free-retrogens might be derived from
retrotransposition mediated by non-LTR retrotransposons, or
LTR-retrotransposons with sequences degradation; and type A3
LTR-genes, as identified in a recent study in hot peppers (Kim
et al., 2017), were classified as retroduplication events mediated
by LTR-retrotransposons. After removing 4,243 TE-like genes,
the number of genes in each type are 8 (type A1), 343 (type A2),
295 (type A3), and 31,667 (type B1) among 32,313 annotated
genes. By matching these four type genes to plant orthologs,
the numbers of corresponding orthogroups are 8, 265, 211,
and 20,576, respectively (Supplementary Table 16). Strikingly,
orthogroups containing type A1 LTR-retrogens show the highest
proportion (50.00%) of SEOs followed by that of type A3 LTR-
genes (27.96%) and A2 free-retrogen (7.20%). Orthogroups
that contained non-retrotransposed genes (type B1) have a much
lower proportion (1.28%) of SEOs (Supplementary Table 16).
These results imply that retroduplication contributed to the
expansion of orthogroups.

To understand how the retrotransposons affected the
expansion of orthogroups, we analyzed SEOs that contained
the aforementioned four types of genes and correspondingly
categorized them as “Group A1,” “Group A2,” “Group A3,” and
“Group B1.” In each SEO, we observed different percentages
of TDs among these four groups. In T. arvense genome, SEOs
that contained LTR-retrogens (Group A1) had the highest
percentage of TDs (42.71%) followed by Group A3 (30.77%),
Group A2 (29.51%), and Group B1 (27.66%) based on the sample
median (Figure 4B). The mean percentage of tandem duplicated
genes also showed that SEOs that contained genes produced by
retroduplication (Group A1, A2, and A3) had more TDs than
SEO that contained only non-retrotransposed genes (Group B1)
(Supplementary Tables 17, 18). Besides, the Ks values of the TDs
in Group A2 were medially smallest as 0.31, following Group
A3 (0.45), Group A1 (0.52), and Group B1 (0.57) (Figure 4C
and Supplementary Tables 17, 19). The comparison analysis
among Groups A1, A2, A3, and B1 indicated that SEOs that
contained retroduplicated genes (retrogens or genes inside LTR-
RTs) possessed more and younger tandem duplicated genes than
SEO that contained only non-retrotransposed genes (Figure 4).

Furthermore, we selected Group A1, A2, and A3 SEOs that
possessed more than 40% of TDs and checked whether the three
types of genes in SEOs are TDs themselves. We found that 66.67%
of LTR-retrogens in Group A1, 70.37% of free-retrogens in
Group A2, and 85.11% of LTR-genes in Group A3 were
TDs themselves (Supplementary Table 20). We calculated and compared the Ks values of the LTR-retrogenes/free-retrogenes with their respective parental genes and with their respective TDs. Seventy-five percent of the LTR-retrogenes/free-retrogenes being TDs themselves underwent the tandem duplication after the retroduplication (Supplementary Figure 11). Additionally, a comparison of Ks values for all TDs and retrogenes with their parental genes in Group A1 and A2 showed that the divergence time of TDs was averagely younger than the ones of retrogenes (Supplementary Figure 12). The above results suggest that RNA-based duplication (retroduplication) triggered the subsequent recent tandem duplication of homologous genes.

Analysis of Expanded Gene Families Induced by Retroduplication

For SEOs that contained genes mediated by retroduplication (Group A1, A2, and A3) and possessed more than 40% of TDs, we characterized the domains of these genes and then analyzed the related gene families consisting of orthogroups harboring the same protein domains. All these families were expanded in the T. arvense genome by retroduplication followed by tandem duplication of homologous genes. Nine families were involved in plant growth and development and stress resistance, and four families were related to TE, e.g., transposase family (Supplementary Table 21). We carried out a phylogeny analysis of the nine gene families with orthogroups among T. arvense, E. salsugineum, A. thaliana, and A. lyrata.

The SKP1 gene family contains the SEO belonging to Group A2, whose SEO contained free-retrogenes. Two clades are clearly clustered and defined as A and B (Supplementary Figure 13). The genes in clade B are mostly specific to T. arvense and contain the majority of SKP1 genes. Therefore, we specifically examined a few SKP1 genes in clade B. For example, TaChr1G15051 is a retrogene that lost one intron compared with its parental gene TaChr1G1824, and both share the same motifs (motif 1–5 in Figure 5A). Moreover, TaChr1G15051 and TaChr1G15052 are paralogous derived from tandem duplication. The Ks analysis showed that retrogene TaChr1G15051 diverged from its parental genes around 155 MYA and TD gene about 18.14 MYA.
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**FIGURE 4** | Analysis of tandem duplicated genes in significantly expanded orthogroups (SEOs) in Groups A1, A2, A3, and B1. (A) Conceptual diagram of analysis method for the tandem duplicated genes in SEOs in four groups. (B) The percentage of tandem duplicated genes of each SEO in four groups. (C) The Ks values of tandem duplicated genes in each SEO in four groups. Red asterisks represent outliers.

(Figure 5A and Supplementary Tables 14, 18). Besides, we found that 80.95% of the *SKP1* genes in the *T. arvense* species-specific clade were tandem duplicated genes and were diverged from each other about 7.41 MYA with the most recent divergence time around 1.79 MYA (Supplementary Table 19). Collectively, the *SKP1* family is an ideal model for understanding the family expansion mechanism mediated by retroduplication followed by tandem duplication.

The *SET* family contains the Group A1 SEO. The parental gene *TaChr3G257* is flanked by LTRs and is the TD with retrogene *TaChr3G258*. *TaChr3G257* gene also gave rise of an additional free-retrogene *TaChr3G225* and an LTR-retrogene *TaChr3G234*. By comparing their gene structures, we show that these three retrogenes emerged through exon skipping alternative splicing mechanisms, sharing the same motifs, and diverging from the parental gene 9.36, 8.95, and 3.54 MYA, respectively (Supplementary Figure 14). Other TDs in this family only possess parts of the motifs of the parental gene.

Among other seven gene families that involved plant growth, development, and stress responses, the *AMP-binding* (*AMPB*) family and *Cu_bind_like* (*PCL*) family which contain a plastocyanin-like domain, both possess SEOs contained
free-retrogenes and are expanded by TDs (Supplementary Figures 15, 16). The F-box and leucine-rich repeat family contained SEOs belonging to Group A2 and A3. The retrogene TaChr3G404 was separated from the parental gene TaChr1G14282 around 56.04 MYA, and this parental gene was tandemly duplicated after its retroduplication (Supplementary Figure 17 and Supplementary Table 19). The LTR-gene (TaChr6G576) in the F-box family was tandemly duplicated very recently (Supplementary Table 19). The last four families, i.e., Cullin, Cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases (CRK), Aspartic proteinase inhibitors (API), and Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR), all contain LTR-genes in SEOs belonging to Group A3 and are also expanded by TDs (Supplementary Figures 18–21). Lastly, for each of these nine gene families, we used previously described transcriptomes of T. arvense to obtain expression levels (transcripts per million, TPM) for all retroduplicated genes and tandem duplicated genes that were younger than retroduplicated genes or had divergence time less than 6 MYA.
DISCUSSION

We presented a high-quality assembly of the *T. arvense* genome by combining PacBio SMRT, Bionano optical mapping, Hi-C, and NGS sequencing technologies. The quality of the genome assembly is substantially improved compared with the one mainly based on NGS sequencing, e.g., the scaffold N50 length of our assembly had been improved more than 420-fold of that based on NGS sequencing (Supplementary Table 3; Dorn et al., 2015). Compared with another recently reported genome sequence of *T. arvense* based on Nanopore, NGS, and Hi-C sequencing technologies (Geng et al., 2021), we observed similar overall quality. For example, there is a < twofold difference in the N50 length of contigs in two assemblies (Supplementary Table 3). The total assembly size in the previously published assembly is larger and closer to the estimated genome size (539 Mb) from flow cytometry (Johnston et al., 2005; Supplementary Table 3), which could be due to genome size variation within species stemming from the accumulation of repetitive elements (Biemont, 2008; Diez et al., 2013; Zhang J. et al., 2020), the difference in sequencing depth (Supplementary Table 3), and/or the longer sequence reads from Nanopore sequencing technology but higher error rate (Lu et al., 2016). However, our final genome assembly has a higher anchoring rate on seven pseudochromosomes (99.31% vs. 90.08%) (Supplementary Table 3). Our Hi-C contact map suggested a cleaner background of the map, where all bins were clearly divided into the seven pseudochromosomes without signal-noise interaction detected between different chromosomes (Supplementary Figure 22).

Genome comparison showed that the genome size of *T. arvense* (est. 486 Mb) was at least twice larger than that of its close relatives *E. salsugineum* (243 Mb), *Nocceca caerulescens* (267 Mb), and *Thellungiella parvula* (137 Mb), where they all share the same number of chromosomes (2n = 14) (Supplementary Figure 3; Dassanayake et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2013; Mandakova et al., 2015). To elucidate the causes and consequences of genome size variation in *T. arvense* and its closely related species, it is crucial to have detailed information about its genomic architecture. Therefore, we set out to investigate if the genome size difference could be caused by the expansion of specific genetic elements. Genome-wide paralogous comparative analysis showed that *T. arvense* did not experience a recent species-specific WGD event. However, *T. arvense* possessed the most SEOs when compared with *E. salsugineum*, *A. thaliana*, and *A. lyrata*. Thus, this may partially contribute to *T. arvense* genome size expansion. Retrotransposons are the main components of plant genomes and their activations frequently result in their duplication and insertion, leading to an increase in genome size (Bennetzen et al., 2005; Piegut et al., 2006). Based on the high-quality chromosome-scale genome assembly with precise genome structures, our in-depth repeats analysis revealed that LTR-RTs accounted for most of the *T. arvense* genome. LTR-RT family identification showed that all lineages of Gypsy and Copia superfamilies in *T. arvense* were also found in the *Aegilops tauschii* genome (Zhao et al., 2017). Specifically, the Gypsy superfamily accounts for over half the size of the *T. arvense* genome assembly, and the *athila* and *crm* lineages made a great proportion to the proliferation of the Gypsy superfamily (Figure 2A and Table 2). For further study of the evolution of LTR-RTs, nested LTR-RTs and relevant pipelines should be considered (Jedlicka et al., 2019; Lexa et al., 2020). Overall, LTR-RT proliferation largely contributes to the enlargement of the *T. arvense* genome size, which is consistent with a recent report (Geng et al., 2021).

Genome restructuration mediated by TE activity is essential for the stress response of hosts, which can facilitate the adaptation of species to changing environments (McCIntock, 1984; Bourque et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2020). Our analysis found that LTR-RTs had been recently more active in *T. arvense* than those in the other three close relatives of Brassicaceae since *T. arvense* diverged from *E. salsugineum*. Especially, the Gypsy superfamily has been accumulated steadily since 4 MYA, and the number of Copia increased sharply since 1 MYA. The insertion events of Gypsy and Copia LTR-RT reached the peak around 0.5 and 0.1 MYA in *T. arvense*, respectively (Figures 3C,D). Intriguingly, the time of the fastest LTR-RTs accumulation is consistent with the time that QTP unique haplotypes of *T. arvense* were separated from others during the middle Pleistocene (An et al., 2015). *T. arvense* plants survived from the glacial–interglacial cycle through molecular or phenotypic plasticity during the Quaternary, especially in QTP regions, just like most plants have been experienced (Nicotra et al., 2010). Consequently, the expansion of LTR-RTs provided great genetic diversity for *T. arvense* phenotypic plasticity to confront extreme environmental conditions.

To get a better understanding of how retrotransposons affect genome evolution, we identified 351 retrogenes and 303 genes flanked by LTRs in *T. arvense* genome. Compared with the 251 retrogenes and three retrogenes flanked by LTRs identified in the *A. thaliana* genome (Abdelsamad and Pecinka, 2014; Zhu et al., 2016), more retrogenes were identified in the *T. arvense* genome, which might result from the abundance of retrotransposons in the *T. arvense* genome. More significantly, our data show that retroduplication, especially retroduplication mediated by LTR-RTs, contributed to the expansion of orthogroups (Supplementary Table 16). To further unravel the mechanism of the retrotransposons impacting the gene duplication, we examined the activities and number of orthogroups associated with genes mediated by retroduplication. Firstly, our data demonstrated that the number and percentage of tandem duplicated genes increased continuously over time in the *T. arvense* genome (Supplementary Figure 6). Secondly, if orthogroups contained any kind of retroduplicated genes, these orthogroups possessed more and younger tandem duplicated genes than those that only contained non-retrotransposed genes (Figure 4). A similar phenomenon was reported in Solanaceae...
family plants where some disease resistance retroduplicated genes gained new function via subsequent tandem duplication (Kim et al., 2017), but at a family level rather than a species level. Our whole-genome analysis collectively showed that retroduplication facilitates the subsequent tandem duplication of homologous genes.

The alteration of gene family size facilitates the successful colonization of extreme environments by various eukaryotes (Ma et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2020; Zhang Z. et al., 2020). Populations of *Thlaspi arvense* surviving in the QTP region were exposed to dynamic environments driven by mountain building, Quaternary glacial cycles, and the intensification of the Asian monsoon (Ding et al., 2020). Furthermore, an extreme environment resulting in abiotic stresses and biotic stresses could affect the growth of *T. arvense*. We analyzed the expanded gene families induced by the mechanism of the retroduplication followed by tandem duplication of homologous genes (Supplementary Table 21). All these families contain genes that are related to retroduplication and tandem duplication. We then specifically examined the molecular and cellular functions of these expanded gene families as well as their association with the development and stress responses of *T. arvense* plants.

Nine gene families including SKP1, Callin, F-box, SET, AMPB, PCL, API, CRK, and TIR were expanded in the *T. arvense* genome by the mechanism of the retroduplication followed by the tandem duplication of homologous genes (Supplementary Figures 13–21), which might synthetically contribute to its survival in the harsh environment in the QTP regions. Ubiquitin (Ub)-mediated regulation is one of the fundamental mechanisms for degradation and protein signaling in eukaryotes (Hua and Vierstra, 2011), which plays crucial roles in vegetative/flower development and stress signaling. The ubiquitin molecule needs enzymes to attach to the target protein, and the SCF (SKP1/Callin/F-box) protein complexes formed by SKP1, Callin, Rbx1, and F-box proteins are one type of E3 ubiquitin ligases (Figure 5C). In our study, SKP1, Callin, and F-box families in the *T. arvense* genome were all expanded by the mechanism of the retroduplication followed by the tandem duplication of homologous genes (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figures 17, 18). The SET domain proteins are involved in DNA replication and globally influence plant development (Thorstensen et al., 2011); AMPB domain proteins widely exist in various plant species, and some members of this family were related to programmed cell-death induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Liu H. et al., 2016); Phytocyanins are ancient blue copper-binding proteins in plants that function as electron transporters and possess the plastocyanin-like (PCL) domain. The PCL gene family also plays an important role in plant development and stress resistance (Xu et al., 2017); Plants API genes have functions in protecting plant proteins against exogenous proteases synthesized by parasitic viruses, bacteria, and insects and are highly transcribed and translated in seeds and fruits (Volpicella et al., 2011); The CRK gene family, which harbors salt stress response/antifungal domain (Supplementary Table 21), plays crucial roles in plant responses to biotic and abiotic stresses and most of them are regulated by ROS, common signaling molecules produced in response to various stresses in plants (Gu et al., 2020); the TIR domain is the signature signaling domain of Toll-like receptors and their adaptors. The TIR gene family mediate disease resistance in plants (Essuman et al., 2018). Two studies have shown that gene families rich in retroposed genes are subject to tandem duplication (Jiang et al., 2010; Liu Z. et al., 2016). In addition, this pattern of family expansion is also shown in four gene families related to transposase-associated function in the *T. arvense* genome, implying that retrotransposons might have impacts on other TE's evolution.

Taken together, these specific gene family expansions in the *T. arvense* genome, which are associated with plant growth and development, abiotic, and biotic stress responses, appear to have been a driving force for *T. arvense*‘s adaptability to extreme environments, contributing to its worldwide distribution (Figure 5C). Studies have shown that LTR-RTs can be activated under conditions of biotic and abiotic stress (Feschotte et al., 2002), and TDs have a more important role in stress adaptations than other types of gene duplications (Oh et al., 2012). The two flanking LTRs, the recognizable characteristics of LTR-RTs, not only provide regulatory motifs for paralogous genes functionalization but also serve the avenue for ectopic recombination and unequal crossing-over (Stritt et al., 2020) that facilitate the tandem gene duplication (Panchy et al., 2016).

The strong adaptability of *T. arvense* has been described based on the evidence from population genetics profiling (Geng et al., 2021) and phylogeographic data analysis (An et al., 2015). Here, based on the comparative genomic analysis, we provided the molecular mechanism of how the *T. arvense* adapted to the harsh environment. Collectively, our data and results suggested that retroduplication and the subsequent tandem duplication of plant growth/development/stress responses related genes might be one of the key strategies for the rapid adaptive evolution of *T. arvense*. As the phenomenon that some gene families that contained retropositioned genes are subject to having TDs was also found in soybean and within Solanaceae and Brassicaceae, we hypothesize that retrotransposons mediated mechanism was one strategy for plants adaptive evolution. Overall, the high-quality assembly of the *T. arvense* genome provides insights into the mechanisms of plant adaptation to extreme environments and provides fundamental resources for comparative genomics studies and genetic improvement.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Genome Size Estimation**

Seeds [voucher number, LiJ461 (KUN)] of *T. arvense* were collected from the Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture of Garzê, Sichuan, China, whose habitat is in a high mountain meadow. These seeds of *T. arvense* were obtained from the Germplasm Bank of Wild Species in the Kunming Institute of Botany. They were planted and cultivated in the greenhouse at the Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The tender leaves of plants were used for genome sequencing. We generated about 234 million 100-bp paired-end Illumina reads (~46× coverage), sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 (Illumina, 5200 Illumina Way, San Diego, CA, United States) platform.
The base quality was assessed with FastQC\(^1\) before and after data cleaning. We estimated the genome size and heterozygosity rate by a k-mer distribution analysis with PBjelly (English et al., 2012) and GenomeScope (\(k = 41\)) (Vurture et al., 2017), using sequenced Illumina reads.

**Single-Molecule Real-Time PacBio Genome Sequencing and de novo Assembly**

The tender leaves of one single plant were acquired for PacBio SMRT sequencing. SMRT sequencing libraries were constructed using the PacBio protocol “Procedure & Checklist – 20 Kb Template Preparation Using BluePippin\(^\text{TM}\) Size-Selection System.” The genome was sequenced employing four SMRT cells on the PacBio Sequel platform (Pacific Biosciences, CA, United States) by sequencing provider Wuhan Nexomics.\(^2\) We obtained 28.11 Gb of the raw sequence of the targeted genome 50-fold coverage. Furthermore, a total of 27.99 Gb subreads with a mean read length of 8,886 bp were generated.

We used the Canu (Koren et al., 2017) pipeline to assemble the reads into contigs with high-sensitivity parameters (corOutCoverage = 80). The contamination of contigs from bacterial, viral, human, and plasmid genomes was eliminated using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) against the corresponding NR sub-database. A total of 13 contigs was removed as plasmid sequences and no other contamination was found.

**BioNano Optical Map Sequencing and Hybrid Scaffold Construction**

High-molecular-weight DNA was isolated from young leaves tissue. DNA was labeled at Nt.BspQI sites (Label Density 13.66/100 Kb) using the SaphyrPrep kit. Labeled DNA samples were loaded and run on the Saphy system (BioNano Genomics, CA, United States) (service provided by Wuhan Nexomics, see text footnote 2). A 600-fold coverage (323 Gb) optical map of the genome was produced with single labeled molecules above 150 Kb in size.

Molecules collected from BioNano chips were de novo assembled into consensus physical maps by BioNano Solve 3.0\(^3\) using “optArgumentshaplotype-saphyr.xml” and using the Canu assembled contigs to obtain the noise parameters. The hybrid scaffolds were created by aligning and merging these optical maps and previous curated sequence contigs by RefAligner of BioNano Solve 3.0 (-r RefAligner -o 150k -f -B 2 -N 2 -y).

**Hi-C Sequencing and Pseudomolecules Construction**

After fixing cells with formaldehyde lysed and digesting the cross-linked DNA with DpnII, the Hi-C libraries were constructed and sequenced on the HiSeq X Ten platform. Overall, 275 million 150-bp paired-end Illumina reads were produced. To assemble to a chromosome level, the Hi-C reads were aligned to the draft assembly by running the “bwa aln” algorithm, and the paired-end reads which were uniquely mapped onto the draft assembly scaffolds were finally grouped into seven chromosome clusters using the Lachesis software (RE_SITE_SEQ = GATC, CLUSTER_N = 7) (Burton et al., 2013).

**Contigs and Pseudomolecules Polishing**

After the de novo assembly, we used blasr\(^4\) and Arrow\(^5\) to do polishing of the draft assembly with SMRT reads, and we also used Pilon\(^6\) with Illumina short reads to do the correction. The DNA used for Illumina sequencing was extracted from the same genotype of the leaf tissue that has been used for SMRT PacBio sequencing.

After constructing pseudomolecules, PBjelly\(^7\) (English et al., 2012) was used to fill gaps using SMRT raw reads with default parameters. Subsequently, the final assembly was polished again using Arrow. Lastly, we performed sequence error correction again with the Pilon pipeline after aligning reads to assembly with BWA\(^8\) mem algorithm and parsing with SAMtools (Li et al., 2009).

**Genome Assembly Quality Assessment**

The final assembly had 1,282 gaps. The Illumina paired-end reads were mapped to the final assembly using BWA to evaluate the completeness of the assembly and the uniformity of the sequencing. The mapping rate was 99.26%, demonstrating that our assembly results contain almost all the information in the reads. Then we used BUSCO to evaluate the completeness of the gene regions.

**Repeat Annotation**

For the ab initio predictions, we used three pipelines together to build a de novo repeat library, RepeatModeler for all kinds of repeats,\(^9\) LTRharvest (Ellinghaus et al., 2008) and LTR_retriver (Ou and Jiang, 2018) are used for the identification of LTR-retrotransposons. LTR_retriver got LTR-RT models from structural detection of LTRharvest. LTRharvest was set by requiring LTRs with 90% identity with the presence of the canonical/typical motif “TGCA.” For evidence-driven prediction, we used the RepeatDatabase module of RepeatMasker (see text footnote 9) to build a RepBase “seed plant” repeat library. We applied Repeatmodeler (see text footnote 9) to build T. arvense species-specific TE families. Then, we combined these libraries and ran RepeatMasker on the assembly (default parameters) to identify and mask the repetitive sequences in the T. arvense genome. The same method of repeat annotations was applied to genomes of A. thaliana (version TAIR10), E. salsugineum (version 1.0, accession

---

\(^1\)https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
\(^2\)http://www.nextomics.cn
\(^3\)http://bnninstall.com/solve/Solve3.2.1_04122018.tar.gz
\(^4\)https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/blasr
\(^5\)https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/GenomicConsensus
\(^6\)https://github.com/broadinstitute/pilon/releases/
\(^7\)http://www.winsite.com/Home-Education/Science/PBJelly/
\(^8\)https://github.com/lh3/bwa
\(^9\)http://www.repeatmasker.org
GCA_000478725.1 at NCBI GenBank), S. parvula (version 1.0, accession GCA_000218505.1 at NCBI GenBank), and B. rapa (version 3.0, accession number GWHAASE00000000 at Genome Warehouse database).

**Gene Prediction**

After masking the repetitive sequences of the genome as described above, we identified protein-coding gene models using the FGENESH++ pipeline (Softberry Inc., Mount Kisco, NY, United States) with parameters trained with A. thaliana gene models. A transcriptome of T. arvense assembled from Illumina RNA-seq reads was used to facilitate the gene prediction with transcriptome evidence (Dorn et al., 2013). The de novo predicted gene model correction was performed by comparing all known plant protein sequences from the NCBI NR database.

**Gene Function Annotation**

Using BLASTP (E-value 1e-5), the functional annotation of protein-coding genes was implemented according to the reciprocal best hit (RBH) of the alignments against two integrated protein sequence databases: SwissProt (UniProt database) and the NCBI non-redundant RefSeq protein database. The InterProScan software was used to annotate the protein domains by searching against the InterPro (Jones et al., 2014), to obtain the GO terms corresponding to the InterPro entries, and get pathways in which genes might be involved. Moreover, these were assigned by BLAST against the KEGG databases, with an E-value cut-off of 1e-5. Besides, the GO terms were obtained by using eggNOG (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2019) and the KEGG annotations were obtained from the online KEGG automatic annotation server (KAAS) (Moriya et al., 2007).

**Orthogroup and Phylogeny Analysis**

OrthoFinder (version 2.4.1) (Emms and Kelly, 2019) was used to identify orthogroups based on sequences similarity. Removing 4,243 genes highly similar to TE-like transposase which only had RT domains by gene function annotation, we used OrthoFinder (Emms and Kelly, 2019) to construct a set of 32,313 protein-coding genes into orthogroups. CAFE (version 4.2) was applied to identify the gene family's expansion and contraction (De Bie et al., 2006). The GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of genes were conducted by clusterProfile (Yu et al., 2012). The GO enrichment of the expanded gene families of T. arvense was summarized using REVIGO (Supek et al., 2011), only showing GO categories that were significantly enriched (P < 0.05). Single-copy orthogroups were used to reconstruct the phylogeny. Multiple sequence alignment of protein sequences for each single-copy orthogroups was performed by multiple sequence comparison by expectation (MUSCLE) with default parameters (Edgar, 2004). The divergence time between species was estimated using MCMC TREE of PAML (Yang, 2007) (“correlated rates”; JC69” model; burnin = 20,000,000, nsample = 200,000 and sampfreq = 1,000) with three calibration points, i.e., Arabidopsis origination time (4.8–9.8 MYA) (Guo et al., 2017), B. rapa and B. oleracea divergence time (2.0–3.2 MYA) (Kumar et al., 2017), and angiosperms origination time (167.0–199.0 MYA) (Stull et al., 2021).

**Paralog and Whole-Genome Duplication Analysis**

We performed all-vs-all paralog analysis in T. arvense and other three relatives (E. salsugineum, B. rapa, and A. thaliana) genomes respectively by using BLASTP with RBHs. RBHs are defined as reciprocal best blast matches with an e-value threshold of 1e-7, length of RBHs longer than 100aa, and c-score (BLAST score/best BLAST score) larger than 0.3 (Guo et al., 2018). Based on the alignment produced by the MUSCLE program (Edgar, 2004), the synonymous substitution rate (Ks) for paralogous gene pairs was calculated using the paraAT 2.0 pipeline (Zhang et al., 2012). MCScanX (Wang et al., 2012) was used to classify types of duplicated genes and do synteny analysis within the genome with default parameters.

**Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposon Family Analysis**

We applied LTRharvest (Ellinghaus et al., 2008) and LTR_retriver (Ou and Jiang, 2018) to get non-redundant rexamplers of LTR-RTs in the T. arvense genome. All known RT domains were downloaded from GyDB12 (Llorens et al., 2011). We then blasted all known RT domains against the non-redundant LTR-RTs exemplars of the T. arvense genome (-outfmt 5, -max_target_seqs 1, -max_hsps 1, and length ≥ 200 bp) and used python scripts to extract the RT domains exemplars for each family and blasted them to the whole T. arvense genome. Sequences showing ≥ 80% similarity of the domain were grouped into one family as what was defined in the maize genome (Baucom et al., 2009). For each superfamliy of LTR-RTs, the RT domain exemplars of families were aligned with MUSCLE for multiple sequence alignments (default parameter settings). Neighbor-Joining (NJ) trees were constructed with MEGA7 [Bootstrap (BP): 1,000 duplicates; pairwise deletion] (Kumar et al., 2016). For family naming, LTR-RT families are designated with the format “Chr_start_end_XXX” where XXX is the designation showing ≥ 80% similarity of the domain were grouped into one family as what was defined in the maize genome (Baucom et al., 2009).

**Long Terminal Repeat Retrotransposon Age Estimation**

Time of insertion of the LTR-RT was implemented by TLR-retriever (Ou and Jiang, 2018), which calculated the flanking LTR sequences of an intact LTR-RT by measuring the divergence between the LTRs. Based on the neutral theory, this divergence value (hereafter K) was used to calculate the LTR-RT age
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10http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/
11https://www.genome.jp/kaas-bin/kaas_org
12https://gydb.org/index.php/Collection_MRC
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with the formula $T = \frac{K}{2\mu}$, where substitution rates ($\mu$) of $7 \times 10^{-9}$ substitutions per site per year was used for *A. thaliana* (Zhang et al., 2019) and $9.1 \times 10^{-9}$ for other three species (*E. salsugineum*, *S. parvula*, and *T. arvense*) which are closer to *B. rapa* (Park et al., 2019).

**Identification of Retrogenes and Genes Flanked by Long Terminal Repeats**

To identify retrogenes in *T. arvense* genome, we refined the previous strategy (Zhang et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2009). The flow chart of retrogenes identification is shown in Supplementary Figure 9. The scripts can be found at https://github.com/YantingHu/retrogenes_identification. After removing 4,243 TE-like genes which only had RT domains annotated by the InterProScan software (Jones et al., 2014), we did an all-to-all blastp of the remaining 32,313 genes using BLASTP. Gene pairs with similarity were retained for a further blastn, in which gene pairs with only one hit from DNA-based duplication were discarded. Then, we applied scripts to retain gene pairs in which at least two parental exons connect in one exon of the retrogene based on sites analysis (± 19 bp exon boundary shifts). Tandem duplication events of putative retrogenes with their parental genes were discarded. The numbers of exons were analyzed between the putative retrogene and the corresponding parental gene. Simultaneously we manually checked the structure of all retrogene candidates. For genes flanked by LTRs, we used LTR_retriever (Ou and Jiang, 2018) to search genes with flanking eight Kb sequences for LTRs. Genes located within a predicted LTR-RT by LTR_retriever were retained for further check. RepeatMasker was applied for false-positive checking and flanking eight Kb sequences of genes without annotations as LTR-RTs were discarded. In addition, because of the rapid deletion of LTR-RTs, we performed an additional identification of genes flanked by LTRs using the annotated repeats including the partial LTR-Rs generated by RepeatMasker, which was applied in a previous genome level study (Kim et al., 2017). As in Kim et al. (2017), we reasoned that if genes were fully contained within LTR-RTs annotated by RepeatMasker, the genes were retroduplicated.

**Analysis of Tandem Duplicated Genes in Gene Families**

All orthogroups and SEOs were identified, which was described in the aforementioned “Orthogroups and phylogeny analysis” methods. Gene families were defined as the ones that consisted of orthogroups harboring the same protein domains. Tandem duplicated genes were defined if the genes were adjacent to each other in the same chromosome or near each other but separated by one gene in one orthogroup. Proximal duplicated genes were defined if the gene copies are closely located on the same chromosome and near each other separated by 2–19 genes in one orthogroup. WGD duplications were predicted by MCScanX (Wang et al., 2012). The synonymous substitution rate ($K_s$) for paralogous gene pairs was calculated using the paraAT 2.0 pipeline (Zhang et al., 2012). The MEME online software was used to analyze the motif of these families' members and GSDS 2.0 was used to display gene structures. The iTOL (see text footnote 13) was used for the display of phylogenetic trees (Letunic and Bork, 2019). RNA-seq reads were downloaded from the SRA database of NCBI with accessions PRJNA183634, PRJNA379465, and PRJNA388539. The reads were preprocessing to remove contaminating sequences and then aligned to the assembled genome using HiSat (Kim et al., 2015). Reference-guided assembly was performed and the non-redundant set of transcripts were merged using StringTie (version 1.3.3) (Pertea et al., 2015).
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