Wetting of Sn-Zn-Ga and Sn-Zn-Na Alloys on Al and Ni Substrate

TOMASZ GANCARZ 1,4, PIOTR BOBROWSKI,1 SYLWIA PAWLAK,2 NORBERT SCHELL,3 ROBERT CHULIST,1 and KATARZYNA JANIK1

1.—Institute of Metallurgy and Materials Science, Polish Academy of Sciences, Reymonta 25 St., 30-059 Kraków, Poland. 2.—Wroclaw Research Centre EIT+, Stablowicka 147 St., 54-066 Wroclaw, Poland. 3.—Institute of Materials Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Max-Planck-Strasse 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany. 4.—e-mail: t.gancarz@imim.pl

Wetting of Al and Ni substrate by Sn-Zn eutectic-based alloys with 0.5 (wt.%) of Ga and 0.2 (wt.%) of Na was studied using the sessile drop method in the presence of ALU33/C210 flux. Spreading tests were performed for 60 s, 180 s, and 480 s of contact, at temperatures of 503 K, 523 K and 553 K (230°C, 250°C, and 280°C). After cleaning the flux residue from solidified samples, the spreading areas of Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na on Al and Ni substrate were determined. Selected, solidified solder-pad couples were cross-sectioned and subjected to scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy, x-ray diffraction study and synchrotron measurements of the interfacial microstructure and identification of the phases. The growth of the intermetallic Ni5Zn21 phase layer was studied at the solder/Ni substrate interface, and the kinetics of the formation and growth of the intermetallic layer were determined. The formation of interlayers was not observed on the Al pads. On the contrary, dissolution of the Al substrate and migration of Al-rich particles into the bulk of the solder were observed.
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INTRODUCTION

Bearing in mind the EU Directive on the restriction of hazardous substances, and economical considerations, Sn-Zn alloys form the main group of solders to replace traditional materials.1 However, Sn-Zn eutectic solder is difficult to use, especially due to its highly active characteristics.2 Therefore, alloying additives are combined with Sn-Zn alloys.3–6 The microstructures of soldered joints with Sn-9Zn binary alloys were investigated, as were their interfaces with Cu2,6–9 However, coupon testing demonstrated a thickening of the Cu2Zn8 phase layer at the interface, as well as the formation of voids, with increasing aging time.10 Therefore, the Ni layer at the interface caused the formation of intermetallic compounds (IMCs) from the Cu-Zn system to be blocked,2,11–15 and allowed the formation of Sn-Ni-Zn phases.14 Interfacial reactions between Sn-Zn solder and Ni will occur during reflow and subsequent solid-state annealing, and Ni5Zn21 is the primary phase formed at the Sn-9wt.% Zn/Ni interface.16–17 The authors13 observed that the Ni and Ni (P) coating layer caused a reduction in the thickness of the IMC layer at the interface compared to Cu substrate, and that the thickness of the IMC layer remained at the same level for up to 15 min during soldering. A ball shear test showed that the electroless Ni(P) and electrolytic Ni bond pads as reflowed joints exhibited high strength.13 The introduction of a new coating layer (Au) did not provide protection before the formation of the Ni-Zn phases.2 Taking into account that Zn has a strong chemical affinity with Cu, the amount of soluble Zn content in the solder should be reduced.18–20 Similar behavior for Sn-Zn with Ga21

(Received April 19, 2017; accepted September 6, 2017)

Published online: 15 September 2017
and Na on Cu substrate was observed. The addition of 0.5 (wt.%) Ga to eutectic Sn-Zn caused a reduction in the IMC layer at the interface. The addition of 0.2 (wt.%) Na to Sn-Zn caused the formation of NaSn13 and Na-Sn precipitates, which led to improved mechanical properties of the solder and a slight reduction in the IMC layer at the interface. In the case of soldering on Ni substrate, with Sn-Zn containing Ga and Na, similar behavior is expected. However, the level of Zn in Sn-Zn alloys caused the formation of ternary IMCs from Sn-Zn-Ni, as shown in Ref. 15.

An alternative for the automotive industry in soldering is aluminum, which has a broad range of applications including the ability to join Al with Cu using Sn-Zn alloys. In the literature, it has been observed that the spreading area on Al is one order of magnitude greater compared to that on Cu substrate. During soldering of Sn-Zn alloys on Al substrate, IMC layers are not formed at the interface, and the Al dissolves in the solder. It is well known that the cooling rate significantly affects the resulting microstructure array and solder alloy properties (e.g. mechanical, corrosion and wettability), including their corresponding IMC formation when this is clearly constituted. The formation of aluminum dendrites is based on the dissolution of aluminum grains, which are dissolved from their centers by the surrounding Sn solder. On the other hand, the addition of Ag and In to Sn-Zn alloys improves mechanical and wetting properties. The results in Ref. show that the resultant increasing shear strength is correlated with the increasing volume of Al dissolved in the Sn-Zn-XAg/Al joint over longer soldering time. The alloying elements reduce the reactivity of Zn, which could improve the wettability of the Al substrate.

In this work, Sn-Zn + 0.5Ga, and Sn-Zn + 0.2Na (wt.%) alloys were used to study the spreading of Al and Ni substrates in the presence of flux. The aim of this work is to study the effect of spreading time and temperature on the microstructure evolution of solder/Al and solder/Ni couples. The kinetics of growth of the IMC layer formed at the interface, on Ni substrate, was determined.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The eutectic Sn-Zn alloy with additions 0.5 Ga and 0.2 Na (wt.%) on Al (99.9%) and Ni (99.9%) substrates were studied using the sessile drop method (SD). The alloys had been characterized in previous studies. For soldering, the as-cast solders were cut into pieces of ~0.2 g each, and Al and Ni substrates (25 x 20 x 0.25 mm) without special treatment were used. The tests were carried out with the setup used in studies of Sn-Zn, which enables the quick transfer of the sample to the already heated furnace, and out of it after the designated time, so that both the heating rate and cooling rate are high. To study the effect of extended time of contact and temperature on the evolution of the solder-substrate interface, samples were held for 60 s, 180 s, and 480 s of contact, at temperatures of 503 K, 523 K, and 553 K (230°C, 250°C, and 280°C, respectively). Spreading tests were performed using ALU3 flux applied to the solder sample and the surrounding part of the substrate. The flux components are aminoaethanolamine (C4H2NO) and ammonium fluoroborate (NH4BF4). According to ISO 9454-1, this is the 2.1.2-type flux, i.e., organic, water-soluble and activated with halides. The role of the flux is to break and remove the oxide film from the Al and Ni surfaces. The reported spreading areas are the average of three measurements taken from independent solidified samples after washing away flux residue with tap water and acetone. Measurements of spreading area were conducted in photo obtained 3 droplets using Getarea in CorelDraw, as described in Ref. 8. After wetting tests, selected solidified solder/substrate couples were cut perpendicular to the plane of the interface, mounted in conductive resin and polished for microstructural characterization. Microstructural and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analyses were performed via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Quanta 3D FEG system, at 20 kV, with the standardless analysis EDAX system based on Genesis 4000 software. Phase identification was carried out using x-ray diffraction (XRD). In order to obtain information from the bulk, diffraction of high-energy synchrotron radiation (87.1 keV, 0 = 0.142342 nm) using beam line P07 at DESY (Hamburg, Germany) was used. Transmission geometry and the high penetration depth of synchrotron radiation allow diffraction data to be obtained from representative large sample volumes. The diffraction data were collected using an area detector, located at a distance of 1070 mm from the sample, with a beam size of 0.5 x 0.5 mm2. To ensure that the optimal volume of Sn-Zn0.2Na/Ni is in the beam, the sample was measured at three different sites across the interface. The most representative area was chosen for phase analysis. Additionally, to bring all grains into diffraction (all planes fulfil the Bragg condition), the sample was continuously rotated around the 0 axis by 90° < 0 < 90°. In such a way, all orientations of a 0.5 x 0.5 x 2 mm3 sample volume were recorded in one single image. The thicknesses of the IMC layers on the Ni substrate were determined in the same place, and the average value was calculated from the schedule of 12 measurements for all microstructures. The greatest differences were less than 3%. To determine the diffusion coefficient described in Refs. 21 and 22, the thickness of the IMC layer (D) is dependent on the growth rate (k), the growth time (t) and an exponential factor (n). The character and rate of growth correlate to parameter “n” as a coefficient of growth, where n ≤ 0.5 is grain boundary diffusion, n = 0.5 is volume diffusion and n = 1 is chemical reaction.
The parameters of $n$ and $k$ were determined using Grapher software by fitting to experimental data. The growth rate for interface migration was calculated using an Arrhenius-type equation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### The Spreading Test on Al Substrate

Figure 1 shows the spreading area of Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na on Al substrate. For eutectic Sn-Zn with Ga content, a reduction in the spreading area over time, for each temperature, was observed. Furthermore, the spreading area was reduced as the temperature rose. For Na content, such a trend was not observed. At a lower temperature of 503 K (230°C), the area was reduced, but at 523 K and 553 K (250°C and 280°C, respectively), it increased slightly. The Al substrate was dissolved in liquid solder. The surface tension of the alloys was around 540 (mN m$^{-1}$) solder. The surface tension of the alloys was around 190-35 (mN m$^{-1}$) and that of the Al was around 900 (mN m$^{-1}$), which caused increasing surface tension of the liquid alloy and, in consequence, a reduction in the spreading area as time increased and as temperature rose. However, a different character was observed for the Sn-Zn with 0.2 (wt.%) Na. It is likely that Na, which has low surface tension (around 190$^{35}$) and high surface activity at temperatures of 523 K and 553 K (250°C and 280°C), caused the IMC to dissolve in the liquid solder, as observed in the calorimetry study for the Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy. The first peak showed (max) at 517 K (244°C) during cooling (Fig. 1b), which was not observed during heating because the small amount of IMC dissolved over time and the effect was blurred on the curve. However, this thermal effect (peak) only occurred during heating for higher Na content,$^{22}$ as a result of the formation of more IMCs. The 0.5Ga and 0.2Na additions to eutectic Sn-Zn were selected because they yielded the highest value of spreading area on Cu substrate.$^{21,22}$ A comparison of the spreading area for these alloys on Al to Cu substrates showed a similar relation for Ga additions, although IMC layers formed on the Cu substrate. For the Na content, the spreading area on the Al substrate increased with increasing temperature the same as on the Cu substrate, with one exception. At 503 K (230°C), the spreading area on Al reduced with time, which could be correlated with undissolved IMC precipitates and Na movement to the surface area being blocked. Similar results were obtained for the spreading area for eutectic Sn-Zn on Al substrate,$^{8,30}$ compared with Sn-Zn alloy with Ga and Na additions. Furthermore, with increased temperature (from 523 K to 773 K (250°C to 500°C, respectively)$^8$) and time (from 5 min to 60 min)$^{30}$, the spreading area reduced. The same behavior was observed for Sn-Zn with Ag and In alloys.$^{30}$

During the soldering process on Al substrate, the microstructure of a cross section at time and temperature dependency (Figs. 2 and 3) was observed. The obtained microstructure was similar for two alloys. Therefore, time dependence was presented for Sn-Zn0.5Ga, and temperature dependence for Sn-Zn0.2Na. Figure 2 shows Sn-Zn0.5Ga on Al substrate at a temperature of 503 K (230°C) and for times of 1 min, 3 min, and 8 min. The Al substrate was dissolved during the soldering process and, with increasing time, the liquid solder propagated along the grain boundaries, with bigger pieces of Al detaching and dissolving in the solder. The highest level of dissolved Al was observed for 8 min (Fig. 2c), which is correlated with the reducing spreading area as the level of Al in the liquid solder increases. EDS analysis of the cross section was performed, and the results are presented in Table I. The EDS analysis shows Al dissolving in the solder (points: 4, 11, 18), but also the Al substrate being dissolved by solder through the grain boundary, which increased with time and was observed in microstructure and EDS analysis (points: 6, 13, 19). According to the phase diagram of Al-Sn-Zn,$^{36}$ IMCs were not detected in this system. Similar to the previous work for eutectic Sn-Zn and Sn-Zn with Ag and In additions,$^{30}$ the Al substrate was dissolved by liquid solder, which is in line with the results of this work.

Figure 3 shows the microstructure of a cross section of liquid solder Sn-Zn0.2Na on Al substrate, for a time of 8 min at temperatures of 503 K, 523 K, and 553 K (230°C, 250°C, and 280°C, respectively). EDS analysis was performed for temperature dependences (see Table II). Taking into account that the analysis of Zn and Na by means of SEM-EDS was difficult, because the Zn line L$_x$ and Na line K$_x$ appear close to each other, EDS analysis of Na was not reported in the table. It was observed that with increasing time and temperature, the liquid solder propagated along the grain boundaries. However, with increasing temperature, the area of dissolve Al at the interface grew faster compared to the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. Taking into account the EDS analysis (points: 22, 28, 32, 33), the area of dissolving Al substrate by Sn-Zn0.2Na solder was higher compared to the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. The same character of dissolving Al substrate by solder was observed for alloys Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na through grain boundary EDS analysis (points: 24, 29, 35), which is in line with the previous studies and literature.$^{23,30}$ In addition, big needles of Zn were observed on the microstructure (confirmed by EDS analysis points: 21, 27, 31), and these were not noted for the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. Similar microstructures of Sn-Zn solder on Al substrate were obtained by Huang et al.$^{23}$ who observed partly dissolved Al and Zn needles near the interface. However, Zn needles were not observed in the microstructure for Ag additions to eutectic Sn-Zn,$^{23}$ because the Zn formed with Ag the IMC AgZn$_3$, and the microstructure is close to that obtained for the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. The cooling
rate and Zn content affect the Zn morphology, IMC formation, its distribution throughout the bulk and, consequently, the final wettability and mechanical properties.\textsuperscript{37–39} The model of dissolving Al by Sn was presented in Ref. 24, which shows the Al substrate dissolving in several steps. First, the oxide is removed from the base aluminum, then the aluminum substrate begins to dissolve in the Sn solder. After this, dissolution of Al occurs preferentially at grain boundaries near the interface. As time increases, the grains freed from their boundaries migrate into the solder. Finally, the precipitated aluminum that was previously dissolved in Sn is dissolved further by the surrounding Sn solder, and the migrated grains become aluminum dendrites.\textsuperscript{24} The same effect is observed for the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. For the Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy, the zone of the dissolved Al layer (points 28, 32, 33) is near the interface. Particles of dissolving Al are observed inside the drop of solder for both alloys, similar to the results presented in the literature\textsuperscript{24} and a previous study.\textsuperscript{8,30}

The Spreading Test on Ni Substrate

Figure 4 shows the spreading area of Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na on the Ni substrate. For both alloys, the spreading area value obtained was for 1 min of soldering at all temperatures. With increasing time, the spreading area reduced at all temperatures, and the lowest level was obtained for 8 min. For the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy at 503 K and 523 K (230°C and 250°C, respectively), a high spreading area value was obtained for up to 3 min. However, at 553 K (280°C) this was true only for 1 min, and after that, the spreading area reduced. The same situation was observed for the Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy at all temperatures. This is caused by the γ-Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase layer formed at the interface (Figs. 5 and 6),
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Fig. 1. (a) Spreading area of Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys on Al substrate, with time and temperature dependency, (b) Calorimetry study for Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy.
resulting in changes to the interface condition. In the first step of the soldering process, the spreading area of liquid alloys on Ni substrate and, after time, the liquid alloys on the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase were observed (Fig. 5). The changes of chemical composition of the substrate caused a reduction in the spreading area, which was also observed in the contact angle.\textsuperscript{11} Huang et al.\textsuperscript{11} also observed a reducing contact angle with increased Ag content, caused by the Zn with Ag connection in the formed Ag$_3$Zn phase precipitates, and which resulted in a reduced amount of free Zn that could diffuse to the interface. Comparing the spreading area of Sn-Zn$_0.5$Ga and Sn-Zn$_0.2$Na alloys on Ni substrate with these same
alloys on Cu substrate, there was no such significant reduction of spreading area over time, although a Cu$_5$Zn$_8$ phase layer formed at the interface. This is accounted for by the much greater effect of the IMC layers on the spreading area in the soldering process on Ni substrate, compared to Cu.

In the case of the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy, the microstructure of the cross section on a Ni substrate at 503 K (230°C) for times of 1 min, 3 min and 8 min is shown in Fig. 5, and EDS analysis is presented in Table III. The Ga content dissolved in the Sn-Zn matrix and did not create an IMC with Sn and Zn. In the presented XRD analysis (Fig. 5d) of the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy on Ni substrate at 553 K (280°C) and for a time of 8 min, a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase [the chemical composition is confirmed by EDS analysis (point 45), the amount of Sn is shown because the IMC layer is very thin, which has an impact on the value obtained from the EDS method] was found at the interface. The EDS analysis presented in Table III shows that the Ga content was dissolved in the entire volume of solder, but also indicates a higher amount occurring at the interface of solder/substrate (points 41 and 45). With increasing time, the morphology of Zn precipitates changed, starting from small thin needles which reduced in number and grew after 8 min. The Zn diffused to the interface and formed a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase in the cases of both the Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys. A similar effect of creating a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer at the interface was observed in Refs. 11–18. In the case of Ag$_{11}$ and Cu$_{18}$ additions, additional IMCs occurred at the interface (AgZn$_3$ and Cu$_5$Zn$_8$, respectively). Ga content dissolved in Al and Zn, but Ga with Ni created an IMC, as shown in the phase diagram of the Ga-Ni system. However, the limited amount of Ga, the temperature of the soldering process and the value of Gibbs energy: for $\gamma$—NiGa$_3$, $\gamma$—Ni$_3$Ga$_2$ and $\delta$—Ni$_5$Ga$_3$ do not suggest IMCs from the Ga-Ni system, as opposed to $\gamma$—Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ and $\delta$—Ni$_5$Zn$_{15}$ from the Ni-Zn system. Neither do the EDS (points and linescan) and XRD analysis show an IMC from the Ga-Ni or Sn-Ni system, but only confirm the occurrence of IMCs from the Ni-Zn system.

Figure 6 shows the microstructure of a cross section of liquid solder Sn-Zn0.2Na on Ni substrate for a time of 8 min, at temperatures of (a) 503 K (230°C), (b) 523 K (250°C) and (c) 553 K (280°C) and (d) XRD analysis. The EDS analysis, performed in...
the place marked on Fig. 6, is presented in Table IV. Taking into account that the Na cannot be detected using EDS, analysis for Sn, Ni and Zn was presented in Table IV. The highest value of Ni for EDS analysis (points 52 and 56) was obtained at the interface. This was caused by the IMC layer created at the interface of the solder/Ni substrate, the same as in the case of Sn-Zn0.5Ga. However, for the Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy, the observed Zn particles were dispersed compared to the single Zn needles observed (Fig. 5) in the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. Furthermore, the microstructure of Sn-Zn with Na on Ni substrate was different compared to this solder on Al substrate, as the huge needles of Zn that are observed when soldering on Al substrate (Fig. 3) are not apparent. With increasing temperature of soldering on Ni substrate, the growth of the IMC layer at the interface was observed for Sn-Zn0.2Na, similar to the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. The greatest IMC thickness was obtained for the longest time (8 min) at each temperature. However, a different character of IMC layer growth at the interface was observed for Sn-Zn0.2Na and Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloys on Ni substrate. For the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy, the layer grew continuously over time, with local maximums and minimums, resulting in growth characterized by diffusion. For the Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy, a thin IMC layer and large IMC particles of the Ni\(_3\)Zn\(_{21}\) phase formed at the interface during soldering. An IMC from the Ni-Sn system was also identified, using XRD. The XRD was confirmed using synchrotron measurements (SR-XRD), and the results obtained are presented in Fig. 6e. The obtained data show the occurrence of Ni\(_3\)Zn\(_{21}\), Ni\(_3\)Sn\(_4\), Ni\(_3\)Sn\(_2\) and NaZn\(_{13}\) phases, and also of pure elements Sn, Ni and Zn. For obtaining crystallographic data with the goal of identifying the phases, the crystal structure for Ni\(_3\)Zn\(_{21}\) was taken from Ref. 41, Ni\(_3\)Sn\(_4\) from Ref. 42, Ni\(_3\)Sn\(_2\) from Ref. 43 and NaZn\(_{13}\) from Ref. 44. The occurring NaZn\(_{13}\) phase precipitates were also identified in cast solder. Analyzed data showed, after pure elements Sn, Ni and Zn, the highest amount for the Ni\(_3\)Zn\(_{21}\) phase, then the Ni\(_3\)Sn\(_4\), Ni\(_3\)Sn\(_2\) and NaZn\(_{13}\) phases, respectively. The occurrence of phases from the Sn-Ni system and not only the Ni\(_3\)Zn\(_{21}\) layer at the
interface is correlated with the occurrence of NaZn$_{13}$ precipitates in solder, which reduce the amount of free Zn in the alloy able to diffuse to the interface and create an IMC layer. Liuo et al.\textsuperscript{18} made a similar observation for the phase with Sn, in the case of Sn-Zn with Cu on Ni substrate, but a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase layer is created at the interface only for 1 (wt.\%) Cu content. This was caused by the formation of Cu$_5$Zn$_8$ phase precipitates in the alloys, which resulted in the connection of free Zn with Cu.
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caused the formation of a stable Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase at 523 K (250°C). It was found that 9 (wt.%) Zn caused the formation of a stable Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase at the interface, but Zn below 9 (wt.%) caused the creation of a phase from the Ni-Sn system. This was due to the soldering process, where, in the beginning, when the liquid alloy dissolved the Ni substrate, the Zn easily formed a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase. However, as the reaction layer increased to a certain thickness, Zn diffusion through the IMC layer became dominant. The intrinsic diffusion coefficient of Zn compared to Ni was similar for the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase, and in Ref. 15 was calculated for 523 K (250°C) to be 0.38 and 0.33 for Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys, respectively. The value of $n$ corresponded to grain boundary dissolution. This was caused by small grain size, deep grooves between grains and the temperature of the soldering process, which was much lower than the melting temperature of the IMC layer. This led to grain boundary diffusion being the predominant mechanism for transport through the layers.

Taking into account that the Na content formed with Zn the precipitates of the NaZn$_{13}$ phase, even such a small addition (0.2 (wt.%) of Na caused the formation of phases from the Ni–Sn system, which was not observed in the case of the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. The effect of Zn content was studied in Refs. 15 and 17 for soldering Sn-Zn alloy on Ni substrate at 523 K (250°C). It was found that 9 (wt.%) Zn caused the formation of a stable Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase at the interface, but Zn below 9 (wt.%) caused the creation of a phase from the Ni-Sn system. This was due to the soldering process, where, in the beginning, when the liquid alloy dissolved the Ni substrate, the Zn easily formed a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase. However, as the reaction layer increased to a certain thickness, Zn diffusion through the IMC layer became dominant. The intrinsic diffusion coefficient of Zn compared to Ni was similar for the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase, and in Ref. 15 was calculated for 523 K (250°C) to be 0.38 and 0.33 for Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys, respectively. The value of $n$ corresponded to grain boundary dissolution. This was caused by small grain size, deep grooves between grains and the temperature of the soldering process, which was much lower than the melting temperature of the IMC layer. This led to grain boundary diffusion being the predominant mechanism for transport through the layers.

Table III. The EDS analysis of Sn-Zn0.5Ga on Ni substrate marked at Fig. 5

| Sn$^L$ | Ni$^K$ | Zn$^K$ | Ga$^K$ |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 36     | 96.7   | 1.1    | 1.5    | 0.7    |
| 37     | 63.6   | 1.3    | 34.2   | 0.9    |
| 38     | 73     | 13.1   | 13.1   | 0.8    |
| 39     | 98.2   | 0.4    | 0.6    | 0.8    |
| 40     | 50.3   | 0.4    | 48.1   | 1.2    |
| 41     | 30.2   | 27.4   | 40.2   | 2.2    |
| 42     | 49.9   | 0.5    | 48.8   | 0.8    |
| 43     | 0.4    | 98.9   | 0.4    | 0.3    |
| 44     | 97     | 1.7    | 0.6    | 0.7    |
| 45     | 16.2   | 17.7   | 63.9   | 2.2    |

Table IV. The EDS analysis of Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy on Ni substrate marked at Fig. 6

| Sn$^L$ | Ni$^K$ | Zn$^K$ |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 46     | 94.3   | 1.2    |
| 47     | 56.4   | 1.3    |
| 48     | 91.4   | 2      |
| 49     | 94.6   | 1      |
| 50     | 44.4   | 0.2    |
| 51     | 93     | 2.4    |
| 52     | 15.6   | 18.3   |
| 53     | 93.4   | 0.9    |
| 54     | 61.6   | 0.4    |
| 55     | 47.4   | 2.8    |
| 56     | 15.1   | 14.5   |

Taking into account that the Na content formed with Zn the precipitates of the NaZn$_{13}$ phase, even such a small addition (0.2 (wt.%)) of Na caused the formation of phases from the Ni–Sn system, which was not observed in the case of the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy. The effect of Zn content was studied in Refs. 15 and 17 for soldering Sn-Zn alloy on Ni substrate at 523 K (250°C). It was found that 9 (wt.%) Zn caused the formation of a stable Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase at the interface, but Zn below 9 (wt.%) caused the creation of a phase from the Ni-Sn system. This was due to the soldering process, where, in the beginning, when the liquid alloy dissolved the Ni substrate, the Zn easily formed a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase. However, as the reaction layer increased to a certain thickness, Zn diffusion through the IMC layer became dominant. The intrinsic diffusion coefficient of Zn compared to Ni was similar for the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase, and in Ref. 15 was calculated for 523 K (250°C) to be 9.66 m$^2$/s for Ni. During the soldering process, the diffusion of Ni to the interface at a specified temperature should be stable for all cases. Taking into account that the thickness of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer is correlated with the amount of Zn in the solder, the additions that formed with Zn IMCs, as Na, could reduce the growth rate of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer. That is why the thickness of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer obtained for Sn-Zn0.5Ga is greater compared to Sn-Zn0.2Na. However, as shown by XRD and confirmed by synchrotron measurements, not only a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer occurred at the interface, as in the case Sn-Zn0.5Ga, but Ni$_5$Sn$_4$ and Ni$_5$Sn$_2$ phases were also detected for soldering Sn-Zn0.2Na on Ni substrate. A similar observation was made for Sn.10Zn (wt.%) alloys, where an Sn-Ni IMC layer formed at the interface. This is correlated with lower Zn content in the alloy.

The comparative thicknesses of IMCs at the interface for Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys are presented in Fig. 7a. For all temperature and time dependencies, the thickness of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer for Ga content was slightly higher compared to Na. Taking into account the thickness of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase layer over time, the parameter “n” is obtained. The character and rate of growth are correlated to parameter “n” as a coefficient of growth, where $n \leq 0.5$ is grain boundary, $n = 0.5$ is volume diffusion and $n = 1$ is chemical reaction. From the obtained $n$ parameter for the Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys, the Ni substrate dissolves through a grain boundary process. The $n$ value is 0.38 and 0.33 for 503 K (230°C), 0.33 and 0.29 for 523 K (250°C) and with increasing temperature to 553 K (280°C), reduces to 0.28 and 0.23 for Sn-Zn0.2Na and Sn-Zn0.5Ga, respectively. The value of $n$ is volume diffusion and $n = 1$ is chemical reaction. The diffusion of Ni to the interface at a specified temperature should be stable for all cases. Taking into account that the thickness of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer is correlated with the amount of Zn in the solder, the additions that formed with Zn IMCs, as Na, could reduce the growth rate of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer. That is why the thickness of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer obtained for Sn-Zn0.5Ga is greater compared to Sn-Zn0.2Na. However, as shown by XRD and confirmed by synchrotron measurements, not only a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer occurred at the interface, as in the case Sn-Zn0.5Ga, but Ni$_5$Sn$_4$ and Ni$_5$Sn$_2$ phases were also detected for soldering Sn-Zn0.2Na on Ni substrate. A similar observation was made for Sn.10Zn (wt.%) alloys, where an Sn-Ni IMC layer formed at the interface. This is correlated with lower Zn content in the alloy.
(up to 48 h), and the authors used a different method, describing the experimental data by a logarithm scale for obtaining the \( n \) and \( k \) parameters. The obtained IMC thicknesses over a short time are similar to this study. These thicknesses were 0.632 \( \mu m \) for Sn11.1Zn \(^{12} \) and 0.591 \( \mu m \) for Sn9.0Zn for 600 s \(^{15} \) to 0.441 \( \mu m \) for Sn-Zn0.2Na and 0.454 for Sn-Zn0.5Ga for 480 s at a temperature of 523 K (250°C). However, 1.26 Bi and 0.34 P were added to the Sn11.1Zn alloy in Ref. 12, which could have an effect on IMC thickness at the interface, although obtained thicknesses do not indicate this. Comparing the thickness of the IMC layer on Ni with the Cu substrate shows that, even for 60 s, the thickness on the Cu substrate was one order of magnitude higher compared to the Ni substrate for Sn-Zn0.5Ga \(^{21} \) and Sn-Zn0.2Na \(^{22} \) alloys, and with increasing time the difference also increases. This difference results from the melting temperature of Ni, diffusion, the stability of formed phases and other factors. The obtained kinetic parameter as growth rate \( k \) (see Table V) was used in the Arrhenius equation to calculate activation energy \( Q \), which is presented in Fig. 7b. The activation energy was 52.9 (kJ/mol) for Sn-Zn0.5Ga and 55.1 (kJ/mol) for Sn-Zn0.2Na, which corresponds to the obtained thickness of the Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) layer and the manner in which Ga and Na are connected with Zn. The obtained results correspond well to those in Ref. 15 (55.0 (kJ/mol) for Sn7.0Zn and 47.2 (kJ/mol) for Sn9.0Zn). A much higher value was obtained in Ref. 46 [112.5 (kJ/mol) for Sn9.0Zn], but this was caused by the introduction of an intermediate Au layer, which caused a delay in the formation of the Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) layer by the time taken for the dissolution of the Au layer.

Based on experimental work presented in Ref. 15, the Zn atoms would tend to diffuse toward the interface and then react with Ni to form the Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) in the Sn-Zn/Ni interfacial reactions. The main factor of growth of the Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) phase is the amount of Zn in the alloys. The growth of the Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) layer is controlled by the diffusion of Zn to the formed layer at the interface. The increasing temperature and higher amount of Zn caused an increasing rate of growth of the IMC layer, resulting in the decrease of activation energy of the chemical reaction. As shown in Ref. 15, with increasing Zn content, the Zn atoms participating in the chemical transformation at the interface would presumably increase, which could favor the nucleation and growth of Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\). However, for the Sn-Zn/Ni interfacial reaction, Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) growth depends on the Zn supply from the solder. Therefore, with increasing time, the Zn diffused to the Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) layer, causing the formation of the Zn depletion region above the interface. As was shown in Ref. 18, the lower the Zn content, the wider the Zn depletion region. The Zn content in the Sn-Zn alloy has a decisive effect on the formation of IMCs at the interface. For 1.0 (wt.%) Zn at the interface, a Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) phase was created, but with increasing time and diffusion of Ni to the solder, this small amount of Zn caused the formation of IMCs from Ni-Sn and Ni-Sn-Zn systems. However, upon increasing Zn content to 3.0 (wt.%), Zn at the interface formed a Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) layer and this, with increasing time, grew, which indicates that this amount of Zn is sufficient to balance Ni diffusion. Furthermore, the activation energy of diffusion for the formation of Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) could be attributed to the energy barriers of atomic diffusion processes. That is why the activation energy for Ni\(_5\)Zn\(_{21}\) was higher for the Sn3.0Zn alloy compared to Sn9.0Zn. In the first step of dissolving the Ni substrate, after the
formation of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer, growth is controlled by the diffusion process. The same character of changes was observed in Refs. 12, 18, and 30. The phases occurring during soldering with Sn-Zn on Ni substrate show that for lower Zn content (up to 3 (wt.%)), (Zn$_2$Ni)$_3$Sn$_4$ formed at the interface. With increasing time, particles of the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase were observed at the front of the interface. The stability of the formed phases is shown in Ref. 18 for Sn9.0Zn with 1.0 (wt.%) Cu at a temperature of 528 (255°C) on Ni substrate. After 3 h, only the Cu$_5$Zn$_8$ layer was observed at the interface, but with increasing time the diffusion of Ni to the interface caused the formation of a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ layer and degradation of the Cu$_5$Zn$_8$ layer. Over a very long time, the system strives to obtain equilibrium, and other binary or ternary phases ($\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$) from the Ni-Sn-Zn system will be formed at the local area, as shown in phase diagram. The studies show that lower Zn content, or reduced Zn concentration via alloying additions, caused a phase with Sn to occur at the interface, as a result of the diffusion of Ni to the solder during the experiment.

CONCLUSIONS

The spreading behavior of Sn-Zn0.2Na and Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloys on Al and Ni substrates was studied using the SD method in the presence of AlU33\® flux. It was found that the examined alloys exhibited good, or at least sufficient, wetting on both types of substrate. However, a different character of spreading area on the Al substrate was obtained for Sn-Zn0.2Na and Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloys. For the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloy, the spreading area reduced with temperature and time, compared to the Sn-Zn0.2Na alloy [where, at 523 K and 553 K (250°C and 280°C), the spreading area increased over time]. Different microstructures were obtained for Al and Ni substrates, resulting from different chemical reactions of the liquid alloys with the substrates. The Al substrates dissolved in liquid solder. On the other hand, an IMC layer formed at the interface on the Ni substrate. For the Al substrate, the Al dissolved in the liquid solder and diffused to the drop for both the Sn-Zn0.2Na and the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloys. With increasing temperature, grain boundary dissolution of the Al substrate occurred, causing the detachment of whole grains. In the case of soldered Ni couples, a Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ IMC was formed at the interface. Similar thicknesses were obtained for both the Sn-Zn0.2Na and the Sn-Zn0.5Ga alloys. The growth rate is controlled by the grain boundary process, and the corresponding chemical reaction constants, kinetic parameters and their corresponding activation energies were also determined in this work. A slightly higher effect resulting from the addition of Na to eutectic Sn-Zn alloy (comparing to the Ga addition) on the growth rate of Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ was observed. The Na content by formation of Na$_4$Zn$_{13}$ precipitates has a greater influence on the growth of Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ compared to dissolved Ga. In the case of Al substrate, the substrate was observed to dissolve for both Na and Ga content. However, different Zn morphologies at the interface are observed for additions of Ga and Na to eutectic SnZn alloy. For Ga content, the Zn particles are globular, while for Na content, the Zn occurs in the form of needles.
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Table V. The Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase growth kinetic parameters and the corresponding activation energies obtained during soldering on Ni substrate by liquid Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys

| Alloys         | $k$ (m/s) | $k_0$ (m/s) | $Q$ (kJ/mol) |
|---------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Sn-Zn0.2Na    | 2.93 x 10$^{-8}$ | 1.64 x 10$^{-5}$ | 55.1 ± 0.1 |
| Sn-Zn0.5Ga    | 4.31 x 10$^{-8}$ | 1.42 x 10$^{-4}$ | 52.9 ± 0.1 |

Table V shows the Ni$_5$Zn$_{21}$ phase growth kinetic parameters and the corresponding activation energies obtained during soldering on Ni substrate by liquid Sn-Zn0.5Ga and Sn-Zn0.2Na alloys.
