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**Chemicals and Materials.** All reagents were of analytical reagent grade and used without further purification. FeCl$_2$·4H$_2$O, FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O, K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$, CrCl$_3$, Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O, BaCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·3H$_2$O, CrCl$_3$, CdCl$_3$·6H$_2$O, gallic acid, ethanol, and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.5%) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemistry Reagent Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). 1,5-Diphenylcarbazide was from J&K Chemical Co., LTD (Beijing, China). All solutions were prepared with deionized water with 18 MΩ cm resistivity (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The stock solution of Cr(VI) and each anion and cation was prepared by dissolving the corresponding salts in deionized water.

**Preparation of Fe-Gallic Acid Hybrid.** We synthesized Fe-GA hybrids under solvothermal synthesis conditions by using DMF as solvent. Briefly, 4 mmol of FeCl$_2$·4H$_2$O was dissolved in 10 mL of ethanol, and then mixed with 80 mL of DMF; after that 8 mmol of gallic acid were added to the mixture under stirring. The reaction solution was transferred in a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave, sealed to heat at 393 K for 24 h. The synthesized Fe-GA hybrids were black in color. The products were washed with DMF and ethanol several times and dried at 333 K under vacuum environment for 4h.

**Batch Experiments.** All batch experiments were conducted in 100 mL PET bottles containing 50 mL working solution with desired Cr(VI) concentration contacted with 1 g L$^{-1}$ of Fe-GA (D). The batch reactors were placed on a KS4000 ic control (IKA, Germany) at 300 r/min at room temperature. The effect of initial Cr(VI) concentration was tested by altering the initial concentration to 100-2000 mg L$^{-1}$. The influence of solution pH was investigated by adjusting pH from 3.0 to 10.0 with dilute aqueous solution of HCl and NaOH. The reaction kinetic of Cr(VI) removal in water was studied following the above adsorption procedure at pH 4. At certain intervals of time, a 1 mL solution was collected, centrifuged, and analyzed for Cr(VI). The effects of coexisting anions (including chloride ions, nitrate, sulfate, and phosphate) and cations (Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Cd$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Ba$^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$) on the removal of Cr(VI) was investigated at pH 4 with 1 g L$^{-1}$ of Fe-GA (D). The initial concentration of each anion and cation was 100 mg L$^{-1}$. After reaction, the samples were centrifuged at 10, 000 rpm and the supernatants were collected and analyzed for Cr(VI).

Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption models were applied to describe the equilibrium characteristics of adsorption of Cr on Fe-GA (D). The Langmuir model is based on the assumption that the adsorption takes place through monolayer adsorption on a homogeneous surface without transformation and interaction between the adsorbed molecules. The Freundlich equation is an empirical model assuming that the adsorption occurs on a heterogeneous surface or surfaces supporting sites of varied affinities. The linear form of the Langmuir isotherm equation is expressed as:

\[
\frac{c_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{Q_m K_L} + \frac{c_e}{Q_m}
\]

where $c_e$ is the equilibrium concentration (mg L$^{-1}$), $q_e$ is the amount of adsorbate per unit mass of adsorbent at equilibrium (mg g$^{-1}$), $Q_m$ is the maximal adsorption
capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) and $K_L$ is a constant related to the free energy of the adsorption (L mg$^{-1}$).

The well-known logarithmic form of the Freundlich isotherm is given as:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$

where $K_F$ ((mg g$^{-1}$)(L mg$^{-1}$)$^{1/n}$) and $n$ are the Freundlich constants that point to the sorption capacity and adsorption intensity of the adsorbent, respectively.

The pseudo-second-order kinetic model can be expressed in the following form:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{kq_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$

where $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$) and $q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$) are the amounts of Cr(VI) adsorbed at equilibrium and any time $t$ (h), respectively, $k$ is the rate constant of pseudo-second-order sorption (g mg$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$).

To test the feasibility of Fe-GA (D) for Cr(VI) removal from environmental water, batch experiments were also conducted for river water, tap water, influent and effluent of sewage treatment plant without adjusting solution pHs.

**Analytical Methods.** The concentration of Cr(VI) anion was determined with colorimetric method using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer at 540 nm in the presence of 1,5-diphenylcarbazide. The detection limit of the colorimetric method was 0.01 mg L$^{-1}$ (as Cr). The concentration of total Cr after reaction, including both Cr(VI) and the produced Cr(III) species, the leached Fe cations from Fe-GA (D) in the reaction process, and the concentration of coexisting cations were measured by ICP-MS (7500A, Agilent).

**Characterization of the Materials.** The size and morphology of the synthesized material was surveyed using a Hitachi S-5500 field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Tokyo, Japan) and a JEOL JEM-2010 high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, Kyoto, Japan). X-Ray powder diffraction (XRD, Almelo, Netherlands) which using a Cu Ka radiation ranging from 5$^\circ$ to 90$^\circ$ with a resolution of 0.02$^\circ$ was utilized to analysis the crystalline. Fourier Transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra were obtained from 4000 to 400 cm$^{-1}$ by a NEXUS 670 Infrared Fourier Transform Spectrometer (Nicolet Thermo, Waltham, MA). The sample powder was prepared by mixing with KBr and then pressing the mixture into transparent disks. Surface area, pore size and volume were measured by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) methods (ASAP2000 V3.01A; Micromeritics, Norcross, GA). X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was measured with an ESCA-Lab-200i-XL spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) with monochromatic Al Ka radiation (1486.6 eV). Zeta potential of adsorbents at different pHs were measured using Zetasizer Nano series (Malvern, United Kingdom).

Before the measurement of surface areas of the material, the as-prepared Fe-GA(D) hybrids were washed with DMF three times and then soaked with ethanol at 60°C for three days (change fresh ethanol each day) to exchange DMF solvent in the crystal lattice of Fe-GA nanorods. After that, the Fe-GA(D) was heated at 80°C for 4h under vacuum.
Electroplate liquids samples. Two kinds of electroplate liquids after usage were sampled directly from electroplating bath in a small zinc-plating plant in Hebei Province. The electroplate liquids contain 10% of HCl and high concentration of Fe atoms (about 2300 mg L$^{-1}$). The initial concentration of Cr(VI) was 20542.4 and 22638.6 mg L$^{-1}$ respectively. We first adjusted the solution pH to about 5.0 using NaOH. And the concentration of Cr(VI) decreased to 17212.2 and 18305.5 mg L$^{-1}$ respectively.
| Table S1 | Freundlich and Langmuir adsorption isotherms parameters for Cr(VI) and total Cr sorption on Fe-GA (D) |
|----------|---------------------------------|
|          | Freundlich                      | Langmuir                          |
|          | $K_F$ (mg/g) (L/mg)$^{1/n}$     | $1/n$                              | $R^2$ | $Q_m$ (mg/g) | $K_L$ (L/mg) | $R^2$ |
| Cr(VI)   | 665.35                          | 0.137                              | 0.968 | 1709.2       | 0.079        | 0.920 |
| Total Cr | 115.55                          | 0.433                              | 0.994 | 1666.7       | 0.014        | 0.911 |

| Table S2 | Comparison of maximum adsorption capacity and optimal solution pH of Cr(VI) on Fe-GA (D) with other adsorbents |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adsorbents | Adsorption capacity (mg/g) | Optimal solution pH | Temperature (°C) | Ref. |
| Salvinia auriculata biomass | 62.2-72 | 2 | 20-30 | 1 |
| Magnetic chitosan–Fe (III) hydrogel | 153.8 | 3 | | 2 |
| Micro/nanoparticles of chitosan (MCH) | 135.2 | 2 | | 3 |
| Spent tea and coffee dusts | 44.9 and 39.0 | 4 | | 4 |
| Sulfuric acid-modified Avocado seed | 333.33, 370.37, and 400 | 2 | 25, 35, and 45 | 5 |
| ZVI-immobilized calcium alginate beads | 320.66 | 7 | | 6 |
| Biofilm-coated nZVI–calcium alginate beads | 473.9 | 7 | | 6 |
| Titanium cross-linked chitosan | 171 | 5 | 25 | 7 |
| Microsheets of ZnAl-LDH hexagonal nanoplates | 172-223 | 5-6 | 25-45 | 8 |
| Lactate-intercalated [MgAl-NO$_3$]-LDH | 125.97 | 6 | | 9 |
| Aluminium magnesium | 112 | 4 | 40 | 10 |
| Nanoalumina | 73.2 and 59.4 | 7 | 27 | 11 |
| Silane modified halloysite | 37.25 | 3-5 | | 12 |
| Polyaniline/Mg/Al layered double hydroxides | 393.7 | 2.5-5.4 | | 13 |
| Humic acid coated on magnetite | 3.37 | 4-10 | | 14 |
| Urchin-like rutile TiO$_2$–C nanocomposite | 225 | 3-6 | | 15 |
| Amino groups modified graphene oxide | 215.2 | 2 | 55 | 16 |
| mesoporous carbon | 165.3 | 3 | | 17 |
| Material                                                      | Adsorption Capacity | pH/Conditions | References |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| microspheres                                                 | 23.2-56.2           |               |            |
| Carbon beads                                                 | 2-3                 |               |            |
| Cellulose derived magnetic mesoporous carbon                 | 327.5               | 1.0           |            |
| Polyethyleneimine and graphene oxide composite               | 581                 | 2             |            |
| Magnetic carbon obtained at 800°C                            | 278.8               | 2             |            |
| Activated carbon coated with quarternized poly(4-vinylpyridine) | 53.7 (pH2.25)       |               |            |
|                                                             | 30.7 (pH3.65)       |               |            |
|                                                             | 18.9 (pH6.04)       |               |            |
| Cr(VI) ion imprinted polymer                                 | 338.73              | 2             |            |
| Polypyrrole-polyaniline nanofibers                           | 227.2-294.1         | 25-45         |            |
| Poly(ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol) functional nanofiber membranes | 90.74-100.3         | 2             |            |
|                                                             | 25-45               |               |            |
| Cr(VI)-imprinted polymer                                     | 286.56              | 1.5-2.5       |            |
| Poly(amidoamine)-grafted cellulose nanofibril aerogels       | 377.36              | 2             |            |
| Crosslinked chitosan–diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid     | 192.3               | 3             |            |
| Polyethyleneimine functionalized eggshell membrane           | 169                 | 3             |            |
|                                                             | 35                  |               |            |
| MOFs based on isonicotinate N-oxide                          | 145                 | 2–9           |            |
|                                                             | 25                  |               |            |
| Core-shell Fe3O4@MIL-100(Fe)                                 | 18                  | 2             |            |
| Silver-triazolate MOFs                                       | 37                  | 5             |            |
| MOFs, FIR-53 and (FIR-54)                                   | 74.2 and 103        | 30            |            |
| Fe-GA (D)                                                    | 1709.2              | 3-9           | room temperature | This study |

*The maximum adsorption capacity for Cr(VI) in most studies is obtained according to Langmuir adsorption model.*
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Table S3. Pseudo-second-order rate constants for the adsorption of total Cr on Fe-GA (G)

|                | qe, cal (mg/g) | k (g/mg h) | R²   |
|----------------|---------------|------------|------|
| Total Cr       | 94.14         | 0.019      | 0.999|

Table S4. The composition of elements on the surface of Fe-GA (D) before and after the treatment of Cr(VI)

|                  | Fe-GA (D) pH4 | Fe-GA (D) Cr(VI) pH4 | Fe-GA (D) Cr(VI) pH8 |
|------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| C1s              | 58.23        | 41.19               | 40.8                |
| O1s              | 35.16        | 46.51               | 45.94               |
| Fe2p             | 6.61         | 8.34                | 9.44                |
| Cr2p             |              | 3.95                | 3.82                |

Table S5. Removal efficiency of Cr(VI) from two electroplate liquid samples

| Adsorbents       | Dosage of adsorbent (g L⁻¹) | Removal efficiency of Cr(VI) (%) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                  | sample-1 | sample-2 | sample-1 | sample-2 |
| Fe-GA(D)         | 2        | 15.9     | 15.9     | 20.7     |
|                  | 3        | 21.8     | 17.1     | 22.6     |
|                  | 5        | 35.6     | 24.2     | 35.6     |
|                  | 10       | 52.6     | 46.0     | 52.6     |
| AC               | 10       | 19.0     | 17.3     | 19.0     |
| Fe-GA(D)         | 10g/L three times | 90.5     | 85.2     |
| AC               | 10g/L three times | 29.3     | 27.6     |
**Fig. S1.** The SEM images of Fe-GA (D) prepared at different reaction temperature in DMF solvent (A) 80°C, (B) 100°C, (C) 120°C, (D) 150°C, and TEM image (E) of Fe-GA hybrids obtained at 150°C.
Fig. S2. Peak fitting of Fe2p spectra of Fe-GA (D) hybrids (A) and Cr 2p spectra of Fe-GA after treated with Cr(VI) at pH 4 (B), and pH 8 (C).
Fig. S3. N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of Fe-GA (D), the inset shows the distribution of pore diameter (A), and Zeta potential of Fe-GA (D) in 0.01 M NaNO$_3$ solution at different solution pHs (B). In the N$_2$ adsorption/desorption isotherm, a hysteresis loop at P/P$_0$=0.8-1.0 was observed, suggesting the surface coverage of macropores which might be the slits between Fe-GA nanorods.

Fig. S4. XRD patterns of the as-prepared Fe-GA(D) and Fe-GA(D) treated with acid (pH=2) and alkaline (pH11) solution (A), N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms of Fe-GA (D) treated with acid (B) and alkaline (C) solution. The insets in (B) and (C) show the distribution of pore diameter. The surface areas decreased a little, which was 257.5 and 278.7 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ as Fe-GA(D) was treated at acid (pH2) and alkaline (pH11) solution, respectively.
Fig. S5. C1s XPS spectra of Fe-GA (D) before and after interaction with Cr(VI).

Fig. S6. Peak fitting of O1s spectra of Fe-GA (D) (A), after treated with Cr(VI) at pH 4 (B), and pH 8 (C).
**Fig. S7.** Effect of coexisting anions on the removal of Cr(VI)/Cr(III) by Fe-GA (D) hybrids.

**Fig. S8.** FTIR spectra of Fe-GA (D) before and after interaction with Cr(VI) and Cr(III) (A), TEM (B), SEM image (C), high resolution of TEM image (E), and SEM image (E) of Fe-GA (D) after interaction with Cr(VI).
Fig. S9. STEM image and EDX mapping (A) and XPS spectra (B) of Fe-GA (D) hybrids after treatment of Cr(VI).