The lncRNAs MIR600HG and TSPOAP1-AS1 may potentially act as biomarkers for predicting pancreatic cancer
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Background: The purpose of this study was to assess long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) as biomarkers of pancreatic cancer (PC).

Methods: The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database was used to obtain the expression profiles of lncRNAs and clinical characteristics of PC patients. Then, differentially expressed lncRNAs (DElncRNAs) between tumor and normal tissue samples were determined. A pancreatic cancer related lncRNA prognostic model was established by univariate and multiple Cox regression analyses of all DElncRNA expression data. The specificity and sensitivity of the developed prognostic model were evaluated by Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves analysis. A lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Ontology (GO) functional enrichment analyses was built to predict the potential biological functions of lncRNAs.

Results: A total of 178 DElncRNAs were screened from TCGA. Through univariate and multiple Cox regression analyses, a two-lncRNA (MIR600HG and TSPOAP1-AS1) predictive model was established. Further analysis determined a risk score that predicted prognosis independently of other clinicopathological factors. ROC curves analysis showed that the lncRNA signature model had high sensitivity and specificity. GO and KEGG functional enrichment analysis revealed that the two-lncRNA signature was mostly concentrated in PC related biological processes (BP).

Conclusions: These data provide evidence that MIR600HG and TSPOAP1-AS1 may serve as potential biomarkers to predict PC prognosis.
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Introduction

Pancreatic cancer (PC) is one of the deadliest cancers; prognosis remains very poor, with a five-year net survival of less than 6% in the USA (1). Despite significant advances in molecular diagnostics and therapeutics in clinical practice, progress in understanding PC carcinogenesis remains insufficient. Lack of early detection methods and strategies leads to poor prognosis. Consequently, specific prognostic biomarkers are desperately needed in PC, and of great significance for early diagnosis and effective treatment.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are transcripts with more than 200 nucleotides (nt) that exhibit limited or no protein-coding capacity (2). Multiple reports revealed that lncRNAs participate in the regulation of a range of biological processes, including genomic imprinting,
transcriptional regulation, and cell death, growth and differentiation, controlling apoptosis, chromatin modification and inflammatory pathologies, which play critical roles in cancer development (3). Many lncRNAs were found to be differentially expressed in various tumor types (4-10). Due to the ease of detection and high specificity of lncRNAs in tissues and body fluids, they are considered useful biomarkers for disease diagnosis and prognosis, as well as potential therapeutic targets for these types of diseases (11-16). LncRNAs have been used as biomarkers for the diagnosis and prognosis of prostate, breast, gastric, pancreatic, ovarian, and bladder cancers, as well as many other malignancies (3). The above studies demonstrated that lncRNAs could serve as non-invasive biomarkers for clinical application in PC.

It is urgent to identify a specific molecular biomarker that can determine the prognosis of PC patients and help provide adequate treatment as early as possible. This study aimed to assess differentially expressed lncRNAs (DElncRNAs) profile differences between PC and adjacent normal pancreas samples, in order to identify potential lncRNA biomarkers using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), which could help determine prognosis in PC. In addition, the functional analysis results of lncRNAs could provide insights into the effects of lncRNAs on the biological processes of PC, opening new horizons for further assessment of PC formation and development.

Methods

The dataset of PC patients

LncRNA expression and the corresponding clinical data of PC patients were obtained from the TCGA database (http://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/repository) (as of December, 2018). In the current study, a total of 177 PC patients and 182 samples were enrolled.

Identification of differentially expressed LncRNAs in PC

Gene expression profiling data of 178 cancer tissue samples and 4 normal tissue specimens were downloaded. The edgeR package (version 3.8) from Bioconductor was used to analyze DElncRNAs between normal and pancreatic tumor tissues. The criteria for screening the DElncRNAs were padj <0.05 and |log2FoldChange| >1. Volcano plots were used to visually display DElncRNAs between the upregulated and downregulated groups. Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis was performed based on the retrieved DElncRNAs with the pheatmap package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) in R. All analyses were performed with R version 3.5.1.

Cox regression analysis and establishment of a prognostic risk score model

To determine associations of DElncRNAs with overall survival (OS) by univariate Cox regression analysis, lncRNAs with of P<0.001 in univariate analysis were considered candidate variables and entered into a stepwise multiple Cox regression analysis to identify covariates with independent prognostic values for patient survival. Then, a prognostic risk model was established based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). A mathematical formula was computed as Risk score =−0.4768×MIR600HG expression −0.4021×TSPOAP1-AS1 expression, to predict the risk score of each patient based on multiple Cox regression analysis. Based on the median risk score, PC patients were divided into the high- and low-risk groups. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for cases predicted with low and high risk were generate respectively. Then, in order to test the sensitivity and specificity of the prognostic risk scoring model for 3-year and 5-year survival rates in pancreatic cancer patients, ROC curve analysis was performed. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was derived as reported previously.

Univariate and multiple Cox regression analyses were used to confirm that the prognostic value of the 2-lncRNA signature is independent of clinical features

Univariate and multiple Cox regression analyses were performed with OS as the dependent variable and the lncRNA signature and other conventional clinical factors as independent variables. For clinical features with P value <0.01 in Cox regression analysis, stratification analysis was further carried out to assess whether the predictive index of the prognostic risk score for the lncRNA signature is independent of other conventional clinical factors, with P<0.05 considered statistically significant.

Functional predictions of LncRNAs by bioinformatics enrichment analysis

With the Limma package in R, a LncRNA–mRNA co-expression network was established. Pearson correlation
analysis was used to calculate the co-expression relationship between the two prognostic lncRNAs and each protein-coding gene. Protein-coding genes (PCGs) with |Pearson correlation coefficient| >0.40 were considered lncRNAs-related PCGs. KEGG and GO analyses of the co-expressed PCGs with the two lncRNAs were performed to predict the biological functions of lncRNAs using the DAVID Bioinformatics Tool version 6.8 (david.ncifcrf.gov). P<0.05 was set as the cutoff value in both GO and KEGG functional analyses.

**Results**

**Clinical characteristics of the PC patients**

LncRNA expression profiles in 178 PC and 4 normal tissue samples were used to screen for DElncRNAs. A total of 177 pancreatic cancer patients were assessed for clinical data, including age, gender, grade, stage, and vital status (Table 1).

**Differentially expressed lncRNAs in PC**

A total of 178 DElncRNAs were screened between pancreatic tumor and normal tissues from the TCGA database, including 9 (3.3%) upregulated and 169 (96.7%) downregulated. The DElncRNAs between the two groups were visualized with a volcanic plot (Figure 1). Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis was performed, and the results demonstrated that the normal tissues could be distinguished from PC samples according to DElncRNA expression levels (Figure 2).

**Establishment of a two-lncRNA signature associated with PC patient survival**

By univariate Cox regression analysis, a set of 7 lncRNAs were considered to be associated with patient survival in PC (P<0.01). Then, the 7 lncRNAs were assessed in stepwise multiple Cox regression analysis. Finally, two-lncRNA (TSPOAP1.AS1 and MIR600HG) were identified (Table 2). We performed a risk score analysis of both lncRNAs to determine a risk score for each patient. These two lncRNAs were then used to establish a formula to assess the risk of prognosis as Risk score = (−0.4768×MIR600HG expression) + (−0.4021 × TSPOAP1-AS1 expression). This two-lncRNA signature was closely associated with low risk. In order to assess a risk score for each patient, the cases were divided into the high (88 cases) and low (89 cases) risk groups,
based on the cutoff median risk score (Figures 3,4). OS was significantly prolonged in the low risk group compared with the high-risk group (P<0.0001). Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown in Figure 5. The risk heatmap of the two-lncRNA signature's expression profiles revealed that with increasing risk score, MIR600HG expression decreased, as well as TSPOAP1-AS1 levels; meanwhile, the risk score was negatively correlated with the levels of the two-lncRNA of the signature (Figure 6). A time-dependent ROC curve was used to assess the ability of the risk score to predict 3- and 5-year survival rates (Figures 7,8). The results showed that for 3-year survival, the AUC of the lncRNA prognostic model was 0.751; while an AUC of 0.792 was found for 5-year survival. These results indicated that the two-lncRNA signature in PC could improve the prediction of patient survival.

**Prognostic value of the two-lncRNA signature in association with clinical factors**

Univariate analysis was performed to test the prognostic value of the two-lncRNA signature in OS. The results showed that gender (HR=0.811; P=0.436) and age (HR=1.504; P=0.174) were not correlated with OS in
patients with PC. Multiple Cox regression analysis indicated that risk score (HR=3.616; P=0.000) was an independent prognostic factor of PC, and the higher the risk score, the poorer the prognosis (Table 3).

Prediction of lncRNAs functions

We performed GO and KEGG functional enrichment analyses on co-expressed PCGs to reveal the potential functions of the two prognostic lncRNAs. The results indicated that co-expressed PCGs were enriched in 72 GO BP terms, which mainly focused on cell adhesion, protein binding and various nucleoside binding. KEGG functional annotation suggested that PCGs were significantly enriched in 41KEGG pathways, including multiple signal pathways, hematopoietic cell lineage, primary immunodeficiency, and cell adhesion molecules. The top 30 GO/KEGG terms are presented in Figures 9,10.

Discussion

PC is a highly lethal cancer. It caused 432,242 deaths (4.5% of all deaths caused by cancer) in 2018, ranking as the 11th among the world’s most common cancers, according to GLOBOCAN 2018 (17). PC is known to have poor prognosis. In order to improve prognosis, there is an urgent need to develop tools for diagnosing PC at an early stage.

In the past few years, increasing attention has been paid to the regulating effects of lncRNAs on the etiologies of several diseases, including cancer (18,19). Their levels are indicative of disease severity. Deregulated expression of lncRNAs is strongly linked to the development of various tumors, and can be effectively detected in body fluids and tumor tissues from patients in various cancers. It has been reported that many lncRNAs are stable in body fluids and can be detected in plasma and urine samples from cancer patients (11). Aberrant lncRNA expression and mutations in many cancers might be a major cause of tumorigenesis, with close association with metastasis and tumor stage (20,21). All these features make lncRNAs an attractive choice for application as noninvasive biomarkers of therapeutic targets in cancer. We hope to identify PC-associated IncRNAs that could be effectively used as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in clinical practice.
Figure 6 Risk heatmap of the two-lncRNA signature’s expression profiles. Rows represent lncRNAs in the signature, and columns represent patients. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; MIR600HG, MIR600 host gene.

Figure 7 ROC curves for 3-year survival. ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

Figure 8 ROC curves for 5-year survival. ROC, receiver operating characteristic.

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate analyses of the two-lncRNA (TSPOAP1-AS1, MIR600HG) signature in pancreatic cancer prediction

| Variables            | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                      | HR (95% CI)        | P value              |
|                      | HR (95% CI)        | P value              |
| Gender (female/male) | 0.811 (0.479–1.374) | 0.436                |
| Age (≥60/<60)        | 1.504 (0.835–2.710) | 0.174                |
| Grade (G3+G4/G1+G2)  | 2.006 (1.172–3.433) | 0.011                |
| Stage (I-IIA/IIB-IV) | 2.499 (1.287–4.854) | 0.007                |
| Risk score (high/low)| 4.612 (2.517–8.448) | 0                    |

lncRNA, long non-coding RNA; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.
Figure 9: Top 30 significantly enriched GO terms for co-expressed PCGs and DElncRNAs. DElncRNAs, differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs; GO, Gene Ontology; PCGs, protein-coding genes.

Figure 10: Top 30 significantly enriched KEGG pathways for co-expressed PCGs and DElncRNAs. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; PCGs, protein-coding genes.
In this study, lncRNA expression data and related clinical characteristics were collected from the TCGA database, and 178 DElncRNAs between pancreatic cancer and normal tissues were initially confirmed. By univariate and multiple Cox regression analyses, we established a two-lncRNA (TSPOAP1.AS1 and MIR600HG) model to predict the clinical results of PC. Using this predictive model, a significant gap was observed between the high-risk and low-risk patient groups in survival. As shown in the risk heat map of the two-lncRNA signature’s expression profiles, with increasing risk score, MIR600HG and TSPOAP1-AS1 amounts decreased, and the risk score was negatively correlated with expression levels of these the two-lncRNA. An AUC of 0.751 for 3-year survival and AUC of 0.792 for 5-year survival were obtained by ROC analysis, indicating that the lncRNA signature model possesses high sensitivity and specificity. Meanwhile, univariate Cox regression analysis showed that the characteristics of the two-lncRNA signature were not associated with clinical characteristics that affect overall survival, including gender, age, and tumor stage. Further stratified analysis of the remaining clinicopathological factors showed that risk score was an independent prognostic factor of PC, and the higher the risk score, the poorer the prognosis. This may provide additional references for clinicians in selecting improved personalized and effective treatments for patients with different survival risks. Finally, by constructing a lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network, we screened PCGs associated with lncRNAs for functional enrichment analysis by GO and KEGG. In GO enrichment analysis, we found that lncRNA functions were mainly focused on cell adhesion, protein binding and various receptor activities. KEGG analysis revealed associations with chemokine signaling pathways, hematopoietic lineage, and primary immunodeficiency.

Since our work is mainly based on the TCGA database, the limitation of this study is that the number of normal pancreatic tissues is relatively small. In our future work, we will integrate data from multiple databases for further analysis and subgroup analysis. In addition, we will collect patient samples and further verify our conclusions through experiments.

In conclusion, this study assessed the expression profiles of lncRNAs from the TCGA database, and identified a two-lncRNA (TSPOAP1.AS1, MIR600HG) signature as a cancer biomarker predicting 3-/5-year survival in PC patients. These two-lncRNA signature may become a new prognostic indicator for predicting clinical outcome. However, further experimental studies are required to assess the expression levels of the two-lncRNA signature in PC tissues and cells. In addition, the prognostic value of this two-lncRNA signature should be assessed and validated with lncRNA expression profiles from other databases. Few studies have explored the underlying mechanisms of lncRNAs, by using the small interfering RNA transfection technology to observe tumor growth and cell apoptosis, further confirming the function of lncRNAs in the development and progression of PC.
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