Detection of a rare AXIN2 variant in an Iranian family with hypodontia and oligodontia

Shiva Safari1, Asghar Ebadifar1†, Hossien Najmabadi2, Koorosh Kamali2, Seyedeh Sedigheh Abedini3, Mohammad Mousavi3

1Orthodontist, Private Practice, Tehran, Iran
2Dentofacial Deformities Research Center, Research Institute of Dental Sciences, Department of Orthodontic, Faculty of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3Genetic Research Centre, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO
Article History:
Received: 22 Nov. 2021
Accepted: 4 Feb. 2022
ePublished: 15 Oct. 2022

Keywords:
PAX9
MSX1
AXIN2
Oligodontia

Abstract
Background. Hypodontia, or the absence of one or more teeth during tooth formation, is a highly prevalent dental anomaly. Nevertheless, the main causes are still unknown. Mutations in PAX9, MSX1, WNT10A, and AXIN2 genes are most commonly associated with non-syndromic tooth agenesis in the literature. This study investigated these candidate genes in an Iranian family with non-syndromic hypodontia and oligodontia.

Methods. Peripheral blood samples of the proband and her family members were collected, and DNA extractions using the salting-out method were carried out. In addition, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing for candidate genes were performed.

Results. A missense variant (rs121908568) in the AXIN2 gene, with one heterozygous missense variant (rs2240308) and one stop-gained variant (rs121908568) in the AXIN2 gene.

Conclusion. By surveying similar studies and analyzing the variant in bioinformatics websites, we concluded that the heterozygous stop-gained variant rs121908568 in exon 8 of the AXIN2 gene could be responsible for tooth agenesis in the Iranian population.

Introduction
Tooth agenesis is a common dental anomaly in the normal population. It is mostly classified based on the number of affected teeth. Hypodontia is defined as the developmental absence of 1–6 permanent teeth. The term oligodontia refers to the congenital missing of ≥6 teeth, excluding third molars, and anodontia represents the clinical situation of complete failure of the dentition. Tooth agenesis affects the quality of life by causing aesthetics, masticatory, and speech problems. The prevalence of hypodontia has been reported to be 6.4% worldwide. Mandibular second premolars are the most affected teeth, followed by maxillary lateral incisors and second premolars. The prevalence of tooth agenesis in the Iranian population has been reported at 10.9%, and mandibular second premolars are the most affected.

Tooth development starts in the second month of embryogenesis and is regulated by genetic networks and tissue interactions like other ectodermal organs. Although the absence of teeth can result from environmental factors, the cause of this disease is often genetic aberrations because the position, number, size, and shape of the teeth are strongly influenced by genetics. Studies on monozygotic twins have confirmed that genetics plays a critical role in congenital tooth agenesis. It has been suggested that isolated tooth agenesis can result from mutations in MSX1, PAX9, WNT10A, AXIN2, EDA, KDF1, and SMOC2 genes in autosomal and X-linked traits. Transcription factor genes MSX1 and PAX9 were the first identified genes responsible for tooth agenesis. MSX1 of the Homeobox family is the first reported gene associated with tooth agenesis. Over 20 mutations in the MSX1 gene have been identified to cause isolated tooth agenesis.

PAX9 gene is essential for the development of teeth and several organs. Along with MSX1, PAX9 mutations are widely surveyed in odontogenesis. MSX1 mutations mostly lead to second molar agenesis, and PAX9 mutations cause molar agenesis. AXIN2 gene encodes a protein that regulates the stability of β-catenin, involved in the Wnt pathway. Changes in the Wnt signaling pathway can lead to tooth agenesis and predispose to cancer. WNT10A is expressed in dental epithelium and enamel knots during tooth formation and encodes Wnt ligands. In recent studies, WNT10A gene variants have been detected in up to 50% of patients with tooth agenesis.
mutations of the gene are also responsible for autosomal recessive ectodermal dysplasia and severe non-syndromic tooth agenesis.\textsuperscript{21,22}

In this study, we investigated four candidate genes \textit{MSX1}, \textit{PAX9}, \textit{AXIN2}, and \textit{WNT10A} in an Iranian family with hereditary non-syndromic tooth agenesis to find the variants responsible for tooth agenesis.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Clinical evaluation}

The proband of the study was selected in the Department of Orthodontics, Dental School, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Science, after confirming the familial history of hypodontia. All the participants were clinically examined by a dental professional for tooth agenesis and other craniofacial anomalies. The control subjects were selected from the Iranian database (http://www.iranome.ir/) containing 800 healthy Iranian citizens and unaffected members of the family.

\textbf{Molecular analysis}

DNA extraction was performed on peripheral blood samples of all the participants using the salting-out method. Primers were designed for all the coding and non-coding regions of \textit{MSX1}, \textit{PAX9}, \textit{AXIN2}, and \textit{WNT10A} genes using the Primer3 program, considering the data in the UCSC genome browser (all the designed primers are available if needed). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reaction mix was carried out by forward and reverse primers with 10-pmol concentration and DNA (50-100 ng) in a total volume of 20 µmol using Super PCR Master Mix 2X (Yektajhiz). Mutation screening for all the subjects was performed using direct Sanger sequencing (ABI PRISM\textsuperscript{TM}3100; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

The minor allele frequency (MAF) of the reported variants was extracted from online databases such as https://asia.ensembl.org/, https://genome.ucsc.edu/, and https://www.internationalgenome.org/1000-genomes-browsers/. For the Iranian control group, the data available at http://www.iranome.ir/ was used. The prediction of the possible impact of the amino acid substitution on protein function was checked via PolyPhen-2, SIFT, and PROVEAN. The pathogenicity score of variants was determined online via https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/, https://varsome.com/, http://wintervar.wglab.org, and http://www.iranome.ir/ websites. All the variants were interpreted according to ACMG standards and guidelines.

\textbf{Results}

\textbf{Clinical findings}

The proband of the family was diagnosed with oligodontia according to clinical and radiographic examinations carried out by a dental specialist (Figure 1). She had eleven missing teeth in four quadrants, including second premolars and second molars. Except for the left mandibular third molar, the other three were missing. The clinical records also showed decreased tooth size, defined as microdontia. Sweat glands, skin, and skeletal structure

---

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Patient ID} & \textbf{Dental arch} & \textbf{8} & \textbf{7} & \textbf{6} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{4} & \textbf{3} & \textbf{2} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{2} & \textbf{3} & \textbf{4} & \textbf{5} & \textbf{6} & \textbf{7} & \textbf{8} \\
\hline
\textit{II:1} & \textit{Upper} & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\textit{Lower} & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\textit{II:2} & \textit{Upper} & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\textit{Lower} & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Pedigree and clinical features. (a) The pedigree of the family; the arrow indicates the proband. (b) The panoramic radiograph of the proband (black stars: missing permanent teeth). (c) The intraoral photograph of the proband. (d) The table represents the missing teeth in each quadrant.}
\end{table}
showed no abnormalities in the general evaluation. The father (patient: I: 2) also had missing teeth. Since the dental history was unavailable, we could not determine whether the missing teeth were due to extraction or congenitally missing. Patient (II: 2) showed isolated tooth agenesis in the mandibular second lateral incisor. The parents had complete dentition. There was no history of cancer in the family.

**Sequencing analysis**

In the *PAX9* gene, we detected two 5’ UTR variants in intron 3: rs12883298 in heterozygous form in patient II: 2 and homozygous form in patient II: 1 and rs12882923 in homozygous form in both patients. The missense variant rs4904210 in exon 4 of patient II: 1 (homozygous) and patient II: 1 (heterozygous) was also found.

In exon 1 of the *MSX1* gene, a heterozygous missense variant (rs36059701) was found in patient II: 1. In intron 1, the homozygous form of intronic variant rs149370601 was found in patient II: 2, and heterozygous form in patient II: 1. The 3’ UTR variant rs8670 in heterozygous form was identified in both patients.

The sequencing of coding and non-coding areas in the *AXIN2* gene revealed a heterozygous missense variant (rs2240308) and a heterozygous synonymous variant (rs111470596) in exon 2 of patient II: 2. In intron 5, a 3’ UTR variant (rs8078753) and an intronic variant (rs11658824) were detected in homozygous form in patient II: 1 and heterozygous form in patient II: 2. Another heterozygous 3’ UTR variant (rs1422017403) was also found in patient II: 1. The exon 6 of the *AXIN2* gene of patient II: 1 and II: 2 revealed a homozygous synonymous variant (rs9915936) and another heterozygous synonymous variant (rs1133683) in patient II: 2 and in homozygous form in patient II: 1. There was also a report of a homozygous intronic variant in intron 7 of both patients II: 1 and II: 2 (rs28760438). We were also able to identify a heterozygous synonymous variant (rs143243661) in exon 8 of both patients II: 1 and II: 2, and a heterozygous stop-gained variant (rs121908568) in patient II: 1 (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Congenital absence of teeth or tooth agenesis is a consequence of disturbances in the early stages of tooth formation. It can be part of a syndrome, such as ectodermal dysplasia, or occur as a sporadic trait with autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or X-linked inheritance. 23

*MSX1* homeobox gene expression in the dental mesenchyme is critical in the early tooth formation stages. 24 The *MSX1* encodes a DNA-binding protein, which is essential for initiating tooth development. The protein regulates gene expression and increases the rate of the transcription process. 9,10 During initiation, bud, cap, and bell stages of ontogenesis, *PAX9* is expressed in the dental mesenchyme. *PAX9*-paired box gene cooperates with *MSX1* to facilitate the bud-to-cap stage transition. Therefore mutations causing loss of function in *MSX1* and *PAX9* in humans can lead to the partial failure of tooth development. 10

One missense variant (rs4904210) with a global MAF of 0.331 was found in the *PAX9* gene. The Iranian population MAF is 0.352 for this variant. 25 Lee et al26 performed a study on the Korean and Japanese populations to investigate the role of *PAX9* SNPs on tooth agenesis and morphology. Although they found no correlation between rs4904210 polymorphism and tooth agenesis, a significant association in crown size was seen. The homozygous trait of the variant could explain the reason for microdontia in patient II: 1. Zhang et al27 performed a meta-analysis to examine the correlation between hypodontia and *PAX9* polymorphisms and concluded that rs4904210 has no significant association with hypodontia. Wang et al28 raised the possibility that structural and functional changes of protein as a result of rs4904210 variant is a risk factor for oligodontia patients in the Chinese population. A high prevalence of this variant in Iranians and the world’s normal population and its presence in normal family members lead us to the conclusion that the variant could not be the cause of hypodontia.

Callahan et al1 reported that the rs2240308 variant of the *AXIN2* gene has a significant association with tooth agenesis in cases with at least one missing incisor in

![Figure 2. Chromatograph from sequencing of exon 8 of AXIN2 gene wild type and rs121908568.](image-url)
Brazillian–Turkish cases. However, the possible impact of the amino acid substitution on the structure and function of the AXIN2 protein was predicted to be benign. In addition, a high MAF of the variant, both in the global and Iranian populations, reduces the possibility of an effect on tooth agenesis.

The synonymous variant (rs143243661) is found in both II: 1 and II: 2 patients with different clinical signs. Based on the score and internal cut-off values and phenotype diversity, the rs143243661 variant is interpreted as benign for this disease. In this study, we also detected another variant in the AXIN2 gene (rs111470596) with a very low frequency in the Iranian population (0.00125). However, since the variant is synonymous and absent in the proband, there is a low probability that it was involved in the present phenotype.25

The Wnt pathway controls embryonic developmental pattern and morphogenesis of most organs, including odontogenesis.29,30 It has been suggested that AXIN2 expression is a negative regulator of the Wnt signaling pathway.2 The rs121908568 variant in exon 8 creates a premature translational stop signal (p.Arg656*) in the AXIN2 gene. The variant results in the disruption of protein products and truncated AXIN2 proteins. p.Arg656 stop mutations result in the deletion of the DIX domain of the AXIN2 gene. DIX domains are essential for protein interactions and their ability to modulate β-catenin stability.21 As a result, β-catenin accumulates in nuclei and leads to the over-activation of the pathway.32,33 This mutation is reported to induce colorectal cancer solely or and leads to the over-activation of the pathway.

Conclusion
Our findings may imply that the AXIN2 variant rs121908568, detected on exon 8 of the proband, causes a malfunction of the AXIN2 protein by creating a premature stop codon. It is considered responsible for the patient’s clinical profile and oligodontia.

Acknowledgments
We are grateful to all the members of the families for their participation in the study. We would like to express our sincere appreciation for the support and help of the Ethics Committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

Authors’ Contribution
Study concept and design: SS and AE. Acquisition of data: SS. Analysis and interpretation of data: SS, AE, and HN. Drafting of the manuscript: SS and MM. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: KK and SSA. Administrative, technical, and material support: SS, AE, SS, and AE. Study supervision: MM.

Funding
The publishing of this study was supported by the Dental Research Center at Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences; grant number: 855 T.

References
1. Callahan N, Modesto A, Meira R, Seymen F, Patir A, Vieira AR. Axis inhibition protein 2 (AXIN2) polymorphisms and tooth agenesis. Arch Oral Biol. 2009;54(11):45-9. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2008.08.002.
2. Endo T, Ozoe R, Kubota M, Akiyama M, Shimooka S. A survey of hypodontia in Japanese orthodontic patients. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2006;129(1):29-35. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2004.09.024.
3. Buenvía TM, Rapp R. Dental anomalies in children: a clinical and radiographic survey. ASDC J Dent Child. 1984;51(1):42-6.
4. Tallón-Walton V, Nieminen P, Arte S, Carvalho-Lobato P, Ustrell-Torrent JM, Manzanares-Céspedes MD. An epidemiological study of dental agenesis in a primary health area in Spain: estimated prevalence and associated factors. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2010;15(4):e569-74. doi: 10.4317/medoral.15.e569.
5. Nieminen P. Genetic basis of tooth agenesis. J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol. 2009;312B(4):320-42. doi: 10.1002/jzmb.21277.
6. Khalaf K, Miskelly J, Voge E, Macfarlane TV. Prevalence of hypodontia and associated factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Orthod. 2014;41(4):299-316. doi: 10.1179/1465313314y.0000000016.
7. Sheikhi M, Sadeghi MA, Ghorbanizadeh S. Prevalence of congenitally missing permanent teeth in Iran. Dent Res J (Isfahan). 2012;9(Suppl 1):105-11.
8. Dinoi T, Caruso S, Niretou P, Fiocchini P, Gatto R, Marzo G. Genetic characterization of hereditary, sporadic, dental agenesis: research on PAX9 and MSX1 genes mutation. J Orthod Endod. 2015;1(1):5.
9. Abu-Hussein M, Wattad N, Yehia M, Profil P, Iraqi F. Clinical genetic basis of tooth agenesis. IOSR J Dent Med Sci. 2015;14(12):68-77. doi: 10.9790/0853-141236877.
10. Azzaldeen A, Wattad N, Mai A, Borbély P, Abu-Hussein M. Tooth agenesis: aetiological factors. IOSR J Dent Med Sci. 2017;16(1):75-85. doi: 10.9790/0853-1601057585.
11. Abid MF, Simpson MA, Barbosa IA, Seppala M, Irving M, Sharpe PT, et al. WNT10A mutation results in severe tooth agenesis in a family of three sisters. Orthod Craniofac Res. 2018, doi: 10.1111/ocr.12231.
12. Han D, Gong Y, Wu H, Zhang X, Yan M, Wang X, et al. Novel EDA mutation resulting in X-linked non-syndromic hypodontia and the pattern of EDA-associated isolated tooth agenesis. Eur J Med Genet. 2008;51(6):536-46. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2008.06.002.
13. Zeng B, Lu H, Xiao X, Yu X, Li S, Zhu L, et al. KDF1 is a novel candidate gene of non-syndromic tooth agenesis. Arch Oral Biol. 2019;97:131-6. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2018.10.025.
14. Haddaji Mastouri M, De Coster P, Zaghabani A, Jammali B, Vastardis H. The genetics of human tooth agenesis: new discoveries for understanding dental anomalies. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2000;117(6):650-6. doi: 10.1016/s0889-5406(00)07173-9.
15. Williams MA, Letra A. The changing landscape in the
17. Yin W, Bian Z. The gene network underlying hypodontia. J Dent Res. 2015;94(7):878-85. doi: 10.1177/0022034515583199.

18. Kim JW, Simmer JP, Lin BP, Hu JC. Novel MSX1 frameshift causes autosomal-dominant oligodontia. J Dent Res. 2006;85(3):267-71. doi: 10.1177/154405910608500312.

19. Taciak B, Pruszynska I, Kiraga L, Bialasek M, Krol M. Wnt signaling pathway in development and cancer. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2018;69(2):185-96. doi: 10.26402/jpp.2018.2.02.

20. Park H, Song JS, Shin TJ, Hyun HK, Kim YJ, Kim JW. WNT10A mutations causing oligodontia. J Dent Res. 2015;94(7):878-85. doi: 10.1177/0022034515583999.

21. Kim JW, Simmer JP, Lin BP, Hu JC. Novel MSX1 frameshift causes autosomal-dominant oligodontia. J Dent Res. 2006;85(3):267-71. doi: 10.1177/154405910608500312.

22. Yu M, Wong SW, Han D, Cai T. Genetic analysis: Wnt and other pathways in nonsyndromic tooth agenesis. Oral Dis. 2019;25(3):646-51. doi: 10.1111/odi.12931.

23. Vieira AR. Oral clefts and syndromic forms of tooth agenesis as models for genetics of isolated tooth agenesis. J Dent Res. 2003;82(3):162-5. doi: 10.1177/154405910308200030.

24. Reddy NA, Dinesh M, Hegde M, Arjunan S, Shetty A. Association of paired box 9 (PAX9)(rs12881240) and muscle segment homeobox 1 (MSX1)(rs12532) gene polymorphisms in human tooth agenesis. Int J Appl Dent Sci. 2017;3(4):493-8.

25. Available from: http://www.iranome.ir/variant/17-6354304-G-C.

26. Lee WC, Yamaguchi T, Watanabe C, Kawaguchi A, Takeda M, Kim YI, et al. Association of common PAX9 variants with permanent tooth size variation in non-syndromic East Asian populations. J Hum Genet. 2012;57(10):654-9. doi: 10.1038/jhg.2012.90.

27. Zhang W, Qu HC, Zhang Y. PAX-9 polymorphism may be a risk factor for hypodontia: a meta-analysis. Genet Mol Res. 2014;13(4):9997-10006. doi: 10.4238/2014.November.28.4.

28. Wang J, Jian F, Chen J, Wang H, Lin Y, Yang Z, et al. Sequence analysis of PAX9, MSX1 and AXIN2 genes in a Chinese oligodontia family. Arch Oral Biol. 2011;56(10):1027-34. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2011.03.023.

29. Lammi L, Arte S, Somer M, Jarvinen H, Lahermo P, Thesleff I, et al. Mutations in AXIN2 cause familial tooth agenesis and predispose to colorectal cancer. Am J Hum Genet. 2004;74(5):1043-50. doi: 10.1086/386293.

30. Bonds J, Pollan-White S, Xiang L, Mues G, D’Souza R. Is there a link between ovarian cancer and tooth agenesis? Eur J Med Genet. 2014;57(5):235-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2014.02.013.

31. Kishida S, Yamamoto H, Hino S, Ikeda S, Kishida M, Kikuchi A. DIX domains of Dvl and axin are necessary for protein interactions and their ability to regulate beta-catenin stability. Mol Cell Biol. 1999;19(6):4414-22. doi: 10.1128/mcb.19.6.4414.

32. Ehebauer MT, Arias AM. The structural and functional determinants of the Axin and Dishevelled DIX domains. BMC Struct Biol. 2009;9:687-90. doi: 10.1186/1472-6807-9-70.

33. Yue H, Liang J, Yang K, Hua B, Bian Z. Functional analysis of a novel missense mutation in AXIN2 associated with non-syndromic tooth agenesis. Eur J Oral Sci. 2016;124(3):228-33. doi: 10.1111/eos.12273.

34. van den Boogaard MJ, Créton M, Bronkhorst Y, van der Hout A, Hennekam E, Lindhout D, et al. Mutations in WNT10A are present in more than half of isolated hypodontia cases. J Med Genet. 2012;49(5):327-31. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2012-100750.

35. National Center for Biotechnology Information. ClinVar; [VCV000220937.5]. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/VCV000220937.5. Accessed Aug. 23, 2020.