Melting of Flux Lines in an Alternating Parallel Current
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We use a Langevin equation to examine the dynamics and fluctuations of a flux line (FL) in the presence of an alternating longitudinal current $J_\parallel(\omega)$. The Magnus and dissipative forces are equated to those resulting from line tension, confinement in a harmonic cage by neighboring FLs, parallel current, and noise. The resulting mean-square FL fluctuations are calculated exactly, and a Lindemann criterion is then used to obtain a nonequilibrium ‘phase diagram’ as a function of the magnitude and frequency of $J_\parallel(\omega)$. For zero frequency, the melting temperature of the mixed phase (a lattice, or the putative ‘Bose’ or ‘Bragg Glass’) vanishes at a limiting current. However, for any finite frequency, there is a non-zero melting temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

The phases of flux lines (FLs) in high temperature superconductors are the subject of many current experimental and theoretical investigations \([2,3]\). In the classical (Abrikosov) picture of type-II superconductors, FLs penetrate a clean material for fields $H > H_{c1}$, to form a triangular solid lattice. This mean field phase diagram is modified by inclusion of thermal fluctuations, or in the presence of various forms of disorder (such as point or columnar defects), which play an important role in high-$T_c$ materials \([4,5]\). The essentially classical problem of understanding the equilibrium and non-equilibrium properties of the resulting vortex matter composed of meandering FLs, poses a myriad of interesting challenges \([3,4]\).

There is now growing evidence that the mixed phase of high-$T_c$ materials is a “Bose Glass” (BoG) \([2,3]\), in which the FLs are pinned by such extended (or correlated) imperfections as grain boundaries or columnar pins. Since the FLs are pinned by such extended (or correlated) imperfections, showing that a metastable “Bragg Glass” has a kind of topological order. Experiments on BSCCO \([6]\) have measured the effects of both point and columnar imperfections, showing that a melting transition exists in the presence of either type of disorder. For technical applications, it may be useful to control the melting temperature of the mixed phase (BoG or BrG) by application of external parameters. One possibility is an alternating longitudinal current $J_\parallel(\omega)$, as in some recent experiments \([6]\).

The response of FLs to $J_\parallel$ was studied extensively even prior to the high-$T_c$ era \([7]\). Since the Lorentz force $\vec{F} = \phi_0\vec{J} \times \vec{B}$ is absent for $\vec{J}_\parallel \parallel \vec{B}$, the straight FL is stable. Any fluctuation of the FL, however, results in a force that causes helical instabilities at long wavelengths \([2]\). A lattice of FLs is similarly unstable to an infinitesimal $J_\parallel$, if it penetrates the whole sample. As shown by Brandt \([8]\), for a finite penetration depth of current, a finite $J_\parallel \sim \varepsilon^{-1/4}$, is needed to cause instability, where $\varepsilon$ is related to the shear modulus $c_{66}$. The many studies of this subject point to the importance of surface effects \([2,3]\), and the bewildering behavior of the unstable FLs.

Brandt has suggested \([3]\) that FLs can also be stabilized for infinitesimal $J_\parallel$ due to pinning by disorder. However, this study predates the introduction of the BoG and BrG phases, which are more ordered than a flux liquid. We may thus enquire if these phases are stable with respect to $J_\parallel$. More generally, what is the behavior of the flux matter in response to an alternating current $J_\parallel(\omega)$? In what follows, we shall assume a current $J_\parallel(\omega)$ that uniformly penetrates the whole sample, and calculate a ‘melting temperature’ ($T_m$) based on this assumption. Self-consistency of the treatment thus requires penetration of $J_\parallel$ through the whole sample. Whether or not the longitudinal penetration depth $\lambda_\parallel(\omega)$ is finite at $T_m$ appears to be unsettled. This will be discussed in more detail in the next sections. If it does happen that $\lambda_\parallel$ is finite at the transition, our result becomes applicable only to thin samples with thickness of a few $\lambda_\parallel$, or alternatively, only close to the surface of the sample. Nonetheless, such a melting at the surface will undoubtedly affect the transport properties of the superconductor, and may well trigger melting in the bulk of the material.

We use the cage model to examine the fluctuations of one representative FL in the confining potential provided by its neighbors. The collective interactions of many FLs is thus approximated by a simple harmonic potential. The cage model provides a good description for the BoG phase in the limit of $B > \phi_0/\lambda_\parallel^2$ as argued in Ref. \([3]\), and is also applicable to the BrG, as discussed by Er-
tas and Nelson. Basically, there is evidence of some reasonably long, but possibly finite range, translational order in the flux phase of high temperature materials, despite the presence of disorder. The cage model is a valuable tool for estimating the limits of such order, and how it is destroyed by fluctuations. More recently, the cage model was used by Goldschmidt, in conjunction with a replica variational method, to compare and contrast the effect of point and columnar disorders on the melting temperature.

So far the cage model has only been used to study melting due to thermal fluctuations or impurities under equilibrium conditions. The Lindemann criterion, however, has been applied to study the non-equilibrium melting dynamics of the vortex lattice moving in a random medium due to a transverse current. In the same spirit, we use the Lindemann criterion, in conjunction with the cage model, to examine the destruction of order induced by an alternating parallel current. Inclusion of a time-dependent current necessarily implies the need to go beyond the standard treatments of a Hamiltonian. Instead, we work directly with a Langevin equation for the motion of a FL, enabling us to estimate fluctuations in the presence of $J_0(\omega)$. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the cage model and construct an equation of motion for the FL in the presence of $J_0(\omega)$. Using a Lindemann criterion, we then estimate a melting temperature $T_m$, and examine its dependence on the amplitude and frequency of $J_0$. Application of this result to BoG and BrG are discussed in Secs. III and IV respectively. The conclusions appear in sec. V, and details of the steady state distribution for $\omega = 0$ are placed in the Appendix.

II. THE CAGE MODEL

In the spirit of the Einstein model for vibrations of a solid, the "cage model" approximates the system of many interacting FLS by that of a single FL confined by its neighbors to a harmonic potential $kr^2/2$. The spring constant $k$, is approximated in the dense limit of $B > H_{c1}$, by $k \approx \varepsilon_0/a^2$, where $\varepsilon_0 = (\phi_0/4\pi\lambda)^2$, and $\phi_0 = hc/2e$. The spacing of flux lines is indicated by $a$, while $\lambda$ is the penetration depth. The energy of the FL is then given by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \int dz \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial z} \right)^2 + k \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^2 \right],$$

where $\mathbf{r}(z)$ is a two-component vector describing the FL configuration ($\mathbf{z} \parallel \mathbf{B}$). In the addition to the harmonic potential, there is an effective line tension $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_0/\gamma^2$, where $\gamma^2 = m_z/m_\perp \gg 1$ is the mass anisotropy.

In the Langevin approach, the dynamics of the field $\mathbf{r}(z, t)$ is governed by the equation of motion

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = -\mu \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{r}} + \eta(z, t) = \mu \left( \varepsilon_1 \partial_z^2 \mathbf{r} - k \mathbf{r} \right) + \eta(z, t),$$

where $\mu$ is the mobility of the FL, estimated by the Bardeen-Stephen expression $\mu = \rho_n/(\phi_0 \mathcal{H})$, where $\rho_n$ the normal state resistivity of the material. The random noise $\eta$ has zero mean, and is uncorrelated at different points and times, such that

$$(\eta_\alpha(z, t)\eta_\beta(z', t')) = 2D_\alpha \delta(z - z') \delta(t - t').$$

To ensure that in the steady state the fluctuations are governed by the Boltzmann weight of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ at a temperature $T$, we must satisfy the relation

$$D = \mu k_B T.$$
where we have assumed that $R(q, 0) = 0$.

From Eq. (3), we obtain that the Fourier components of noise satisfy

$$\langle \hat{n}(q, t) \hat{n}(q', t') \rangle = 4D(2\pi)\delta(q - q')\delta(t - t').$$

(10)

Using Eqs. (1) and (10) we find,

$$\langle |R(q, t)|^2 \rangle = \int_0^t dt' \frac{4DL_z}{1 + \alpha^2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{2\mu}{1 + \alpha^2} \int_0^t d\tau \left[ \varepsilon_1 q^2 + k + \phi_0 J_z(\tau)q \right] \right\},$$

(11)

where we have set $2\pi\delta(0) = L_z$, for a sample of length $L_z$ in the z-direction.

We shall henceforth consider an alternating current, $J_z = j_z \cos(\omega t)$, for which the integral in the exponent can be easily performed to yield

$$\langle |R(q, t)|^2 \rangle = \int_0^t dt' \frac{4DL_z}{1 + \alpha^2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{2\mu}{1 + \alpha^2} \left[ \varepsilon_1 q^2 + k + \phi_0 J_z\sin(\omega t) - \sin(\omega t') \right] \right\}.$$

(12)

Note that the mean-square fluctuations have an oscillatory dependence on the time $t$, even in the long-time limit. However, to calculate the fluctuation-induced melting temperature we have to consider the behavior over the entire cycle. In particular, we shall focus on the maximal fluctuations which occur when $\omega t = 0$, and $\omega t = 2\pi$. After setting $t' = t - \tau$, we obtain in the long-time limit

$$\langle |R_{\text{max}}(q)|^2 \rangle = \frac{4DL_z}{1 + \alpha^2} \int_0^\infty d\tau' \exp\left\{ -\frac{2\mu}{1 + \alpha^2} \left[ \varepsilon_1 q^2 + k + \phi_0 J_z\sin(\omega \tau') \right] \right\}.$$

(13)

The extent of FL fluctuations in position-space is given by

$$I = \int_0^{L_z} \frac{dz}{L_z} \langle r_1(z)^2 + r_2(z)^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{L_z} \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} \langle |R(q)|^2 \rangle.$$  

(14)

Following simple changes of variables, the maximal extent of fluctuations is obtained from Eq. (13) as

$$I = I_c \int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{\sqrt{x}} \exp\left\{ -x \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{j_z}{j_c} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\sin(\omega x/\omega_c)}{\omega x/\omega_c} \right)^2 \right] \right\},$$

(15)

where $I_c = D/\mu \sqrt{\pi \varepsilon_1} \approx D\phi_0 H_{c2}/(\sqrt{\pi} \rho_0 \varepsilon_0)$, $j_c = \sqrt{4\varepsilon_1/\phi_0^2} \approx 2\varepsilon_0/(a\gamma_0\phi_0)$, and $\omega_c = 2\mu k/(1 + \alpha^2) = 2\rho_0\varepsilon_0/(a^2\phi_0 H_{c2}(1 + \alpha^2))$. Using Eq. (3), we find that $I_c$ depends on the temperature as $I_c = k_B T/\sqrt{\pi \varepsilon_1}$, but is independent of $\alpha$, while $\omega_c$ does depend on $\alpha$.

According to the Lindemann criterion, melting occurs when $\sqrt{(r^2)} \approx c_L a$, where $c_L$ is a constant of the order of unity. Using this criterion, we can find the dependence of $T_m$ on $j_z$ and $\omega$. For $\omega = 0$ the integral in Eq. (15) is given by $I = I_c \sqrt{\pi}/(1 - (j_z/j_c)^2)$ for $j_z < j_c$, and diverges for $j_z > j_c$. Therefore, the melting temperature is

$$T_m = T_m^0 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{J_z}{J_c} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \text{ for } j_z < j_c,$$

(16)

where $k_B T_m^0 = a^2 c_L^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} = \frac{a^2 c_L^2 \varepsilon_0}{\gamma}$. Taking, as parameters for BSCCO, $\gamma = 50$, $H_{c2} = 100T$, $\varepsilon_0 = 100(K/A)$, $a = 225A(B \approx 4T)$, $\rho_n = 8 \times 10^{-3}(\Omega - cm)$, and $\alpha = 1.75$, leads to $j_c \approx 40(A/m^2)$, and $\omega_c \approx 17kHz$. Finally, the choice of $c_L = 0.2$, leads to $T_m^0 \approx 18^\circ K$, which is the estimate for the melting temperature in the absence of a current $J_z$. (Similarly, the parameters $\gamma \approx 7$ and $\varepsilon_0 \approx 50$ appropriate to YBCO, yield $T_m^0 \approx 64^\circ K$.)

The divergence of the integral (for $j_z > j_c$) is removed at any finite frequency $\omega$, leading to a non-zero melting temperature. The behavior of $T_m$ for different values of $j_z$ and $\omega$ (obtained from numerical integration) is shown in Fig. 1. Due to the onset of helical instabilities for $\omega = 0$, the melting temperature vanishes for $j_z > j_c$. However, at any finite frequency the system retains its stability for all currents, although fluctuations are enhanced. The cage model provides an estimate for the destruction of translational order by these fluctuations.

**III. THE BOSE GLASS**

To what extent is the previous discussion of a single FL in a cage applicable to the disordering of the flux phases of high temperature superconductors? The susceptibility
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\caption{Dependence of the melting temperature on $j_z$ and $\omega$. The solid line is for $\omega/\omega_c = 0.5$, and (b) for $\omega/\omega_c = 1$.}  
\end{figure}
of FLs to pinning by the many sources of impurities in these materials destroys the long-range translational order of a FL lattice \([3]\), replacing it by one of a number of potential glassy phases. These glass phases have vanishing linear resistivity because of the presence of diverging energy barriers at low current density \([3]\). From the theoretical perspective, the best understood type of glass phase results from correlated disorder, such as parallel columnar pins or grain boundaries. Because of a mapping \([3]\) from this anisotropic system to superfluid bosons on a disordered substrate, the resulting phase is called a Bose Glass (BoG). Due to its anisotropy, the BoG phase has a distinct signature in its conductivity as a function of orientation. While the majority of transport experiments are carried out with currents perpendicular to the magnetic field, recent experiments \([10]\) measuring electrical transport parallel to the field direction, appear to lend support to the BoG theory.

As shown by Nelson and Vinokur \([3]\), the BoG phase is distinct from a liquid of FLs, and characterized by a reasonably long translational order despite the presence of columnar defects. The destruction of this order upon increasing temperature (or magnetic field) is reminiscent of melting, occurring at an irreversibility line which is typically at higher temperatures than that of pure lattice melting. It has been argued in Refs. \([3,17]\) that the cage model provides a good description for this transition when the magnetic field is greater than \(B_0 = \phi_0/\lambda_1^2\), which is about 1 T for BSCCO. The results of Fig. 1 should thus apply to the BoG phase in this region, establishing the limits of its stability in the presence of a longitudinal current.

For \(j_z = 0\), Eq. (16) reproduces the equilibrium melting temperature of \(T_m = T_0\) from previous studies \([3]\). However, applying the cage model at finite \(J_\parallel(\omega)\) assumes that the current uniformly penetrates the whole sample. Self-consistency then requires that the current penetration depth should diverge at the melting temperature; an issue which is not quite clear at present. Ref. \([3]\) claims that when \(T \rightarrow T_{\text{BoG}}\), the penetration length goes to infinity. However, Ref. \([3]\) finds that (for \(\omega \rightarrow 0\)) the penetration length diverges as \(T \rightarrow T_{\text{BoG}}\) for a perpendicular current, but remains finite for parallel currents. (Note that unlike usual melting, the disordering of the BoG phase occurs through a continuous phase transition.) The AC current also penetrates the sample at the scale of a few \(\lambda_2\) for the relatively (to the gap) low frequencies close to \(\omega_c\). If the current does not fully penetrate the sample, the bulk of the material may remain in the BoG phase, while the surface layer melts. The melting of the FLs at the surface will however profoundly effect the transport properties of the material, even if no structural change is detected in the bulk.

### IV. THE BRAGG GLASS

The nature of glassy phases in the case of isotropic disorder (e.g. point defects due to oxygen vacancies) is more complex. One proposal is for a “gauge glass” which assumes complete destruction of the lattice order. Another possibility is a dislocation-free Bragg Glass (BrG) at low temperatures and magnetic fields. The BrG maintains the topological order of a lattice, and the corresponding Bragg peaks in scattering experiments \([3]\). Ref. \([3]\) argues that due to its similarity to a solid, interactions of a FL with its nearest neighbors in the BrG are well captured by the cage model. Therefore, one can again apply our results to the BrG phase, and the phase diagram of Fig. 1 can also be used to establish the limits of stability of this phase in the presence of a current \(J_\parallel(\omega)\). In the limit of \(j_z = 0\), the equilibrium melting temperature of \(T_m = 2a\epsilon_0 c_2^2/\gamma\) from Eq. (16) is consistent with the result of \(T_m = 4a^3\sqrt{c_{66}c_{44}}\lambda_1^4\) in Ref. \([3]\). The latter is obtained using replica and variational methods, and coincides with the former if we set \(c_{66} = \epsilon_0/4a^2\) and \(c_{44} = \epsilon_0/\gamma^2a^2\) (single vortex contributions). The provisions presented before regarding the penetration of the alternating current into the bulk also apply to this case. However, the melting of the BrG is thought to be first order, excluding the possibility of diverging penetration depths at the transition.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we examine the response of a single FL in a harmonic cage to a (destabilizing) parallel alternating current \(J_\parallel(\omega)\). A Langevin equation is used to track fluctuations of the FL in the presence of both \(J_\parallel(\omega)\) and thermal noise at a temperature \(T\). The harmonic cage is frequently used to mimic the confinement of a FL by its neighbors in ordered flux phases. The equilibrium melting temperature (for the destruction of such order) is then estimated by the Lindemann criterion which equates the extent of fluctuations to a fraction of the FL spacing. While the system is no longer at equilibrium in the presence of an alternating current, we can still ask if the FL order is maintained in the steady state. In regions where the current penetrates the sample uniformly, we again apply the Lindemann criterion to answer this question.

Our primary results are summarized by Fig. 1: (1) At zero temperature and frequency, the FLs are stable up to a current \(j_c\) which is related to the strength of the confining potential. (2) A uniform current \((\omega = 0)\) decreases the energy cost of long wave-length deformations, resulting in larger FL fluctuations in response to thermal noise. The melting temperature \(T_m(\parallel)\) is thus depressed, eventually going to zero for \(J_\parallel = j_c\). (3) The diverging response of the FL is removed at any finite frequency \(\omega\). Thus while the transition temperature is reduced by the alternating current, it remains finite. A
substantial reduction of the transition temperature occurs only at frequencies less than a characteristic value of $\omega_c$ related to the FL mobility (and hence to the resistivity in the normal state). For BSCCO we estimate $j_c \approx 40 (A/m^2)$, and $\omega_c \approx 17kT/s$.

While the Lindemann criterion is usually applied to a solid phase, it should be equally applicable to any of the glassy phases which have a substantial degree of translational order. Our results are thus also relevant to the dislocation free BrG, as well as to the BoG in the dense limit. While the pinning of FLs by disorder stabilizes the glassy phases to the current, we note that the ordered lattice in the absence of impurities is unstable for even infinitesimal $J_j(\omega = 0)$. It would be interesting to examine the stability of a collection of FLs in the presence of both impurities and parallel current by extending previous replica variational calculations [12]. Even the fluctuations of a single FL in the absence of a cage to the combined effects of current and randomness is an interesting topic which can be potentially studies by computer simulations. Another potential extension is to study the depinning transition of the FL at zero temperature by methods such as in Refs. [4,19].

Finally we note that a related situation arises in the context of chiral polymer crystals [21]. Long polymers in dense solution often crystallize into a hexagonal columnar phase. When the polymers are chiral, a bias towards cholesteric twist competes with braiding along an average direction. If the chirality is weak, a defect free hexagonal columnar phase persists, as in the Meissner phase. When the chirality is strong, screw dislocations proliferate, leading to either a tilt grain boundary phase or a new state with twisted bond order. This new phase may be similar to a flux lattice in the presence of a parallel current [21].
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Appendix

Despite the differences in Eq. (3) from a standard Langevin equation, the calculated averages of $\langle r^2 \rangle$ for $\omega = 0$ are those from the Boltzmann weight of a Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \int dz \left[ \frac{\xi_1}{2} \left( \partial_z r \right)^2 - \frac{k}{2} \vec{r}^2 + \frac{\phi_0}{2} \left( r_2 \partial_z r_1 - r_1 \partial_z r_2 \right) \right].$$

(17)

First, we note that a uniform current can be absorbed into an effective Hamiltonian as also found in Ref. [3]. Second, it can be shown quite generally that a Langevin equation of the form

$$\left( \delta_{ij} - \alpha \epsilon_{ij} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} r_j = -\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta r_i} + \eta_i,$$

(18)

where $\epsilon_{ij}$ is the anti-symmetric tensor, has a stationary state in the form of a Boltzmann weight $\rho_0 \propto \exp \left[ -\mathcal{H}/k_B T \right]$, provided that the correlations of the noise satisfy the condition in Eq. (3). For other examples of fluctuation–dissipation conditions in modified Langevin equations, see Ref. [22].
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