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Abstract: The paper provides an estimate of the latest relative and absolute rates of rise and accelerations of the sea levels for the East Coast of North America. The computation is based on the long-term trend (LTT) tide gauge records of the relative sea levels and the Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) time series of the absolute position of fixed dome nearby the tide gauges. The GNSS result is used to infer the subsidence or uplift of the tide gauge instrument. The data of 33 LTT tide stations with more than 80 years of data are shown. The average relative sea-level rise is +2.22 mm/yr, subjected to a small, positive average acceleration of +0.0027 mm/yr\(^2\). The average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instruments is -0.52 mm/yr, translating in an average absolute sea-level rise of +1.70 mm/yr. This is the first paper publishing a comprehensive survey of the absolute sea-level rates of rise along the East Coast of North America using the reliable information of relative sea-level rates of rise from LTT tide gauges, plus the absolute subsidence rates from GNSS antennas that are close to the tide gauges installations.
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1 Introduction

The absolute sea-level rise is computed by correcting the relative sea-level rise measured by a tide gauge instrument by the absolute vertical motion of the instrument, either modeled [1] or measured [2]. Système d’Observation du Niveau des Eaux Littorales (SONEL) [3], National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) [4], and Nevada Geodetic Lab (NGL), [5], also [6], provide estimations of the absolute (geocentric) vertical velocities from continuous Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) measurements at fixed locations nearby tide gauges. GNSS time series are obtained from a constellation of satellites which is used for navigation and measurements of the precise geodetic position of antennas. Monthly average mean sea level (MSL) observations in tide gauge locations are provided by Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level (PSMSL) [7]. While an analysis of the PSMSL data permits to estimate the relative rate of rise of the sea levels for these stations, the analysis of the SONEL data permits to estimate the absolute vertical velocity nearby some of the stations, and compute for these stations an absolute rate of rise.

There are requirements for determining the trend, in case of tide gauges length of the period, completeness, quality, and additionally, in case of the GNSS antennas, the stability of the solution. In case of tide gauges, the sea levels oscillate with well-known periodicities in the 60-year range, like other climate parameters [8,9], more than 60 years of continuous recording from the same tide gauge, without any major perturbation, are needed to compute a reliable slope by linear fitting, and more than 90 years are needed to compute a reliable acceleration by the parabolic fitting.

SONEL computes the absolute rates of rise of the sea levels from their GNSS data and the tide gauge data from PSMSL. Only 83 locations are shown worldwide in their latest analysis if a maximum time window 1900 to 2013 is selected. Only 9 locations are shown along the East Coast of the US and Canada, only Halifax in Canada, then 9 locations in the US, Newport, New York, Philadelphia, Atlantic City, Annapolis, Baltimore, Washington DC, Charleston, Key West.

As there are 33 Long Term Trend (LTT) tide stations along the East Coast of North America where their measured MSL relative to the tide gauge instrument are given by the PSMSL, there is the opportunity to compute the absolute sea-level rates of rise in more locations.

Analyses of the MSL data are offered by different providers such as the above-mentioned PSMSL, SONEL, sealevel.info [10], and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, [11]).
While the analysis of sea level data is straightforward, the analysis of GNSS data is more troublesome, hence there is a need to use multiple providers. While Sonel is directly linked to PSMSL, it only considers a small number of GNSS antennas, 496 as per June 2018 to cover the world, with data not always up-to-date. JPL has a more extensive database of GNSS antennas, 2,822, albeit not comparable to the NGL database [5], that is by far the most extensive, with 15,277 antennas.

It is widespread to correct the relative sea-level rise trend by a GIA computation such as [1, 12, 13]. However, global GIA models only account in everything but a perfect way for only one of the many components of land motion, the deformation of the Earth’s crust in response to changes in the polar ice caps, completely neglecting regional subsidence and crustal movement. Land subsidence is a global problem, especially relevant in the United States, where more than 45,000 km² of land [14], have been directly affected by subsidence. The principal causes are aquifer-system compaction, hydro-compaction, natural compaction, underground mining, drainage of organic soils, sinkholes, and thawing permafrost [15]. Nearly the entire East Coast of the United States, from Massachusetts and parts of Maine to Florida, is known to be affected by subsidence [16–19].

Except in the very few cases where the GNSS antenna is co-located with the tide gauge, and precise leveling is ensured between the GNSS antenna and the tide gauge instrument, there is no guarantee that the absolute vertical velocity of an inland GNSS antenna is an accurate estimation of the absolute vertical velocity of the tide gauge instrument. However, the GNSS monitoring of the position of antennas certainly provides a much better-quality estimation of the local absolute vertical velocity than a global glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) model computation. It is well accepted that the correction of the relative rate of rise of the sea level by the absolute velocity of a GNSS antenna nearby the tide gauge returns the absolute rate of rise of the sea levels with higher accuracy [2]. The need for a GNSS antenna co-located with the tide gauge is stressed by [20]. The GIA correction has been seriously questioned by [21].

If the GNSS correction is more accurate than the correction by a global GIA model that does not include any regional subsidence or crustal movements, nevertheless many technicalities limit the accuracy of the GNSS vertical velocity estimation for a specific location, for the same antenna different providers may propose different values of the absolute vertical velocity, and nearby antennas may exhibit a strongly variable pattern of subsidence not always genuine.

In the following sections, one relative MSL result, and multiple GNSS results are proposed for every selected tide gauge location, in addition to the GIA estimations. While the subsidence rate will be based on the NGL GNSS results [5], the other estimations help to understand the uncertainties in the assumption.

2 Method

Two regressions are usually applied to the measured relative sea levels of a tide gauge record to compute the relative sea-level rate of rise and acceleration. A linear regression:

\[ y(x) = A + B \cdot x \]

returns the sea level rate of rise \( u \) as the slope \( B \). A quadratic regression

\[ y(x) = A' + B' \cdot x + C \cdot x^2 \]

returns the acceleration \( a \) taken as 2\( -C \). The linear regression is also applied to the absolute vertical position of the GNSS record for antennas located nearby tide gauge installations. The linear regression now returns the absolute velocity \( w \) as the slope \( B \). The absolute rates of rise of the sea levels are then computed as \( v = u + w \) [2].

3 Results

Presented below are the analyses of the relative rates of rise and accelerations of the sea level in the 33 Long Term Trend (LTT) tide stations of the East Coast of North America. Figure 1 presents a map with the relative sea-level rise trends in the locations with more than 80 years of data in the PSMSL database, with the East Coast of North America in evidence. The PSMSL map only shows Halifax and Trois-Rivières in Canada, then the US stations between Portland and Key West. Galveston, that in the Gulf of Mexico, and not strictly speaking East Coast of North America is also shown. Galveston is located in a well-known area of extreme subsidence for oil and groundwater extraction.

Table 1 summarizes the relative rates of rise and accelerations for the 33 stations that are considered in the survey. \( u \) is the relative sea-level rise, \( w \) is the absolute vertical velocity at the GNSS antenna nearby the tide gauge, and \( v = u + w \) is the absolute sea-level rise. Table 1 summarizes the tide gauge results as well as the results at the nearby GNSS antennas, and the results of two GIA models. The absolute rates of rise of the sea levels are computed based...
on these data. The table proposes as \( w^* \) the Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) vertical velocities VM2 and VM4 from [12, 13]. The VM2 and VM4 data are presently available at [22]. This page has two links for Peltier’s files, [23] (VM2) and [24] (VM4). The second link is now broken also in the archived versions. The data contains a known error, which was discovered in March 2012, that is however irrelevant for the paper.

Figures 2 to 16 present the details of the monthly average mean sea levels (MSL) as well as the GPS position for the more representative 15 stations, having more than 100 years of data. MSL images are from sealevel.info. GPS images are reproduced modified after [5].

3.1 Saint John, N.B., Canada

The MSL trend at Saint John, N.B., Canada, Figure 2, is +2.14 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.20 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1896/6 to 2016/12. The acceleration is -0.00631 ±0.01237 mm/yr².

Saint John, N.B., Canada has no nearby GNSS stations from SONEL. JPL also has no station nearby. NGL has STJH, of absolute vertical velocity -0.177±12.814 mm/yr, SJNB, of absolute vertical velocity -0.664±1.052 mm/yr, and SJPA, of absolute vertical velocity -0.697±0.717 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.697 mm/yr, the subsidence of the NGL station of SJPA, the one with more data and still operational.

3.2 Halifax, Canada

The MSL trend at Halifax, Canada, Figure 3, is +3.18 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.13 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1895/11 to 2014/7. Acceleration is -0.001387 ±0.008732 mm/yr².

Halifax, Canada has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of HLFX, having absolute vertical velocity -1.11±0.18 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 3100 m. From JPL, HLFX has absolute vertical velocity -1.07±0.213 mm/yr. From NGL, HLFX has absolute vertical velocity -0.895±0.552 mm/yr.

A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.895 mm/yr., the NGL result for HLFX.

3.3 Charlottetown, Canada

The MSL trend at Charlottetown, Figure 4, is +3.20 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.16 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1911/4 to 2016/12. The acceleration is -0.00230 ±0.01056 mm/yr².

Charlottetown, Canada has no nearby GNSS station from SONEL. JPL also has no stations nearby. NGL has PETI, of absolute vertical velocity -1.751±1.520 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -1.751 mm/yr., the NGL result for PETI.
Pointe-Au-Pere, Canada

Pointe-Au-Pere, Canada, is an LTT tide gauge shorter than 100 years. This location is on the St. Lawrence River, close to the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The nearby GNSS Station from SONEL is PPER, of signal not robust. The distance to the tide gauge is only 206 m. Per JPL, PPER has an absolute vertical velocity of 2.527±1.679 mm/yr. NGL also has PPER, of absolute vertical velocity 3.373±0.863 mm/yr. plus RIMO, of absolute vertical velocity 0.683±1.622 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity in table 1 is taken as 2.028 mm/yr., an average of the NGL results for PPER and RIMO.

Quebec, Canada

The MSL trend in Quebec, Canada, Figure 5, is -0.26 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.45 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1910/1 to 2012/10. The acceleration is -0.0367±0.0314 mm/yr².

The station is along the St. Lawrence River, but upstream of Pointe-Au-Pere.

Quebec, Canada has no nearby GNSS station from SONEL. JPL also has no station nearby. NGL has ATR1, of absolute vertical velocity 0.643±1.847 mm/yr.; ANG7, of absolute vertical velocity 2.698±1.018 mm/yr.; LAVE, of absolute vertical velocity 3.606±2.356 mm/yr.; LEVI, of absolute vertical velocity 2.006±2.006 mm/yr.; and RIMO.
vertical velocity $1.847 \pm 1.102$ mm/yr. and VALB, of absolute vertical velocity $2.732 \pm 1.353$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $2.3052$ mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

3.6 Deschaillons, Canada

The MSL trend at Deschaillons, Canada, Figure 6, is $+0.25$ mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of $\pm 1.46$ mm/year, based on MSL data from 1915/5 to 2016/12. The acceleration is $-0.0556 \pm 0.1069$ mm/yr$^2$.

Deschaillons, Canada is on the St. Lawrence River, south of Quebec. It has no nearby GNSS station from SONEL. JPL also has no station nearby. In Trois Riviere, further south, NGL has BECA, of absolute vertical velocity $2.318 \pm 0.958$ mm/yr.; 3RIV, of absolute vertical velocity $1.742 \pm 0.263$ mm/yr.; TRI2, of absolute vertical velocity $0.482 \pm 2.429$ mm/yr.; and PTDL, of absolute vertical velocity $-1.445 \pm 1.040$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity in Trois Riviere is taken as $0.77425$ mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

3.7 Trois-Rivieres, Canada

The MSL trend at Trois-Rivieres, Figure 7, is $-1.43$ mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of $\pm 1.84$ mm/year, based on MSL data from 1899/10 to 2016/12. The acceleration is $-0.0440 \pm 0.1225$ mm/yr$^2$.

Trois-Rivieres, Canada is further south on the St. Lawrence River. SONEL and JPL have no station nearby, NGL has those stations listed before. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $0.77425$ mm/yr., same as above.
Batiscan, Canada is also on the St. Lawrence River, south of Quebec, north of Trois-Rivieres. In absence of any data from SONEL and JPL, a likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as 0.77425 mm/yr., the same as above.

### 3.9 Neuville, Canada

The MSL trend at Neuville, Canada, Figure 9, is +0.05 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.70 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1914/6 to 2016/12. The acceleration is -0.0266 ±0.0520 mm/yr².

Neuville, Canada is also on the St. Lawrence River, south of Quebec, north of Trois-Rivieres.

In absence of any data from SONEL and JPL, a likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as 0.77425 mm/yr., the same as above.

Worth to mention, are GNSS antennas inland from the St. Lawrence River between Trois-Rivieres and Quebec. From NGL, QCMM has an absolute vertical velocity -0.878±3.110 mm/yr. and LAUR has absolute vertical velocity 2.367±1.021 mm/yr. Hence, more GNSS data are certainly needed to resolve this region.
3.10 Harrington Hbr and St John’s Nfld., Canada

For the other stations of Canada, of length less than 100 years, the subsidence rates in Table 1 are selected as follows.

Harrington Hbr, Canada, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, close to the ocean, has no data from SONEL, no data from JPL. From NGL, but relatively far, there are HST2, of absolute vertical velocity $0.619\pm 0.035$ mm/yr.; HVRP, of absolute vertical velocity $3.003\pm 1.796$ mm/yr.; CBRI, of absolute vertical velocity $0.606\pm 2.127$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $1.4$ mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

St John’s, Nfld., Canada, is on the ocean. It has the nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of STJ3, of no data, and then STJO, of absolute vertical velocity $-0.10\pm 0.13$ mm/yr. According to NGL, there are STJ3, of absolute vertical velocity $-1.265\pm 2.346$ mm/yr. and STJO, of absolute vertical velocity $-0.309\pm 0.595$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $-0.787$ mm/yr. – average of the NGL results also here.

3.11 Eastport and Portland, United States

Then, moving south, within the US, the first station with more than 100 years of data is Portland. Before Portland, there is Eastport. Eastport, ME, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL EPRT, of absolute vertical velocity $0.28\pm 0.25$ mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 853 m. NGL has

EPRT, of absolute vertical velocity $0.103\pm 0.863$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $0.103$ mm/yr., the NGL result for EPRT. This is the value used in Table 1.

The MSL trend at Portland, ME, United States, Figure 10, is $+1.87$ mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of $\pm 0.15$ mm/year, based on MSL data from 1912/1 to 2017/12. The acceleration is $-0.00694 \pm 0.01079$ mm/yr$^2$.

Portland, ME, United States has no nearby GNSS antenna according to SONEL. JPL also has no data. NGL has MAMI, of absolute vertical velocity $1.018\pm 1.597$ mm/yr.; MAWB, of absolute vertical velocity $-4.625\pm 4.273$ mm/yr. and FMTS, of absolute vertical velocity $1.090\pm 0.892$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $-0.839$ mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

Woods Hole, MA, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL MAFA, of no data. The distance to the tide gauge is 15,290 m. JPL has nonearly stations. NGL has MAF1, of absolute vertical velocity $0.239\pm 1.418$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $0.239$ mm/yr., the NGL result for MAF1.

Newport, RI, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of NPRI, of absolute vertical velocity $0.20\pm 0.23$ mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 500 m. JPL has NPRI, of absolute vertical velocity $-0.603\pm 0.308$ mm/yr. NGL has NPRI, of absolute vertical velocity $-0.254\pm 0.704$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $-0.254$ mm/yr., the NGL result for NPRI.

3.12 Boston, Woods Hole, Newport, and Kings Pt/Willets Pt, United States

In between Portland and NY, there are several tide gauges of length less than 100 years. The subsidence rates in Table 1 are obtained as follows.

Boston, MA, United States has no nearby GNSS antenna according to SONEL. JPL also has no data. NGL has MAMI, of absolute vertical velocity $1.018\pm 1.597$ mm/yr.; MAWB, of absolute vertical velocity $-4.625\pm 4.273$ mm/yr. and FMTS, of absolute vertical velocity $1.090\pm 0.892$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $-0.839$ mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

Woods Hole, MA, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL MAFA, of no data. The distance to the tide gauge is 15,290 m. JPL has nonearly stations. NGL has MAF1, of absolute vertical velocity $0.239\pm 1.418$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $0.239$ mm/yr., the NGL result for MAF1.

Newport, RI, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of NPRI, of absolute vertical velocity $0.20\pm 0.23$ mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 500 m. JPL has NPRI, of absolute vertical velocity $-0.603\pm 0.308$ mm/yr. NGL has NPRI, of absolute vertical velocity $-0.254\pm 0.704$ mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as $-0.254$ mm/yr., the NGL result for NPRI.
Kings Pt/Willets Pt, NY, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of NYQN, of absolute vertical velocity -1.02±0.30 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 9,297 m. While there are no data from JPL, NGL has NYQN, of absolute vertical velocity -0.834±0.771 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.834 mm/yr., the NGL result for NYQN.

### 3.13 The Battery, United States

The MSL trend at The Battery, NY, United States, Figure 11, is +2.85 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.09 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1856/1 to 2018/3. The acceleration is 0.00849 ±0.00388 mm/yr².

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Figure 11:** a) MSL data for The Battery, NY, United States. Image reproduced modified after [10]. b) GNSS time series for NYBP. Image reproduced modified after [5].

The Battery, NY, United States has the nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of NYBR, with no data, and NYBP, of absolute vertical velocity -2.12±0.62 mm/yr. NYBP is practically co-located at a distance to the tide gauge of only 49 m. JPL has no data. NGL has NYBP, of absolute vertical velocity -2.152±0.969 mm/yr.; and NYBR, of absolute vertical velocity -1.085±1.021 mm/yr. As NYBP is co-located with the tide gauge, a likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -2.152 mm/yr., the NGL result for NYBP.

### 3.14 Sandy Hook, United States

The subsidence rate in Table 1 for the nearby tide gauge of Sandy Hook of length less than 100 years is given as follows. Sandy Hook, NJ, United States has nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of SHK1, of absolute vertical velocity -1.83±0.29 mm/yr.; SHK5, of absolute vertical velocity -2.65±0.27 mm/yr.; and SHK6, of no data. SHK1 has a distance to the tide gauge of 553 m, the same as SHK5. SHK6 has a distance to the tide gauge of 563 m. NGL has SHK1, of absolute vertical velocity -2.242±0.715 mm/yr.; SHK2, of absolute vertical velocity -1.734±1.019 mm/yr.; SHK5, of absolute vertical velocity -2.784±0.685 mm/yr.; and SHK6, of absolute vertical velocity -2.127±0.694 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -2.22175 mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

### 3.15 Atlantic City, United States

The MSL trend at Atlantic City, NJ, United States, Figure 12, is +4.08 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.15 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1911/9 to 2017/12. The acceleration is 0.01225 ±0.01122 mm/yr².

Atlantic City, NJ, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of NJGT, of absolute vertical velocity -1.62±0.66 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 16,342 m. NGL has NJGT, of absolute vertical velocity -0.327±1.047 mm/yr. and NJAC, of absolute vertical velocity -2.628±2.161...
mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -1.4775 mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

### 3.16 Philadelphia, United States

The MSL trend at Philadelphia, PA, United States, Figure 13, is +2.94 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.19 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1900/7 to 2017/12. The acceleration is 0.01607 ± 0.01221 mm/yr².

![Figure 13](image)

*Figure 13: a) MSL data for Philadelphia, PA, United States. Image reproduced modified after [10]. b) GNSS time series for PAPH. Image reproduced modified after [5].

Philadelphia, PA, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of PAPH, of absolute vertical velocity -0.53 ± 0.38 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 9,390 m. NGL has PAPH, of absolute vertical velocity -0.533 ± 1.081 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.533 mm/yr., the NGL result for PAPH.

### 3.18 Baltimore, United States

The MSL trend in Baltimore, MD, United States, Figure 14, is +3.15 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of ±0.13 mm/year, based on MSL data from 1902/6 to 2017/12. The acceleration is 0.00382 ± 0.00852 mm/yr².

![Figure 14](image)

*Figure 14: a) MSL data for Baltimore, MD, United States. Image reproduced modified after [10]. b) GNSS time series for UMBC. Image reproduced modified after [5].

Baltimore, MD, United States has the nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of SA15, of absolute vertical velocity -1.21 ± 0.26 mm/yr.; and UMBC, of absolute vertical velocity -1.57 ± 0.52 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 11,287 m (SA15) and 11,446 m (UMBC). NGL has UMBC, of absolute vertical velocity -1.573 ± 0.946 mm/yr. and SA15, of absolute vertical velocity -0.818 ± 0.897 mm/yr.

A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -1.1955 mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

### 3.17 Lewes, United States

Lewes, DE, United States has the nearby GNSS Station from SONEL of CHL1, of absolute vertical velocity -0.48 ± 0.56 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 2,825 m. NGL has CHL1, of absolute vertical velocity -1.059 ± 1.055 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -1.059 mm/yr., the NGL result for CHL1.

### 3.19 Annapolis, Solomon’s Island, Washington, DC, Sewells Point, Wilmington, Charleston, and Fort Pulaski, United States

South of Baltimore and north of Fernandina Beach there are other tide gauges of length less than 100 years. In these tide gauges, the subsidence rates shown in Table 1 are obtained as follows.
Annapolis, MD, United States has the nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of ANP6, of no data, USNA, of absolute vertical velocity -0.63±0.53 mm/yr.; LOYF, of no data, ANP1, of absolute vertical velocity -1.03±0.46 mm/yr.; and ANP5, of absolute vertical velocity -2.46±0.37 mm/yr. USNA is co-located with the tide gauge, with a distance to the tide gauge of 37 m. NGL has, in addition to the others, USNA, of absolute vertical velocity -1.55±0.231 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -1.55 mm/yr., the NGL result for USNA.

Solomon’s Island (Biol. Lab.), MD, United States has the nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of SOL1, of absolute vertical velocity -1.39±0.40 mm/yr. MDSI and SOL1 have a distance to the tide gauge of 308 m. NGL has SOL1, of absolute vertical velocity -2.72±0.773 mm/yr.; and MDSI, of absolute vertical velocity -1.975±1.428 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -2.3475 mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

Washington, DC, United States has the nearby GNSS Stations from SONEL of WDC4, of no data, WDC5, of no data, USNO, of absolute vertical velocity -0.10±0.19 mm/yr.; WDC6, of no data; USN3, of absolute vertical velocity -0.42±0.33 mm/yr.; USN7, of no data, NRL1, of no data, and WDC3, of no data. The closest to the tide gauge from NGL are USNO, of absolute vertical velocity -0.746±0.675 mm/yr. and NRL1, of absolute vertical velocity -0.359±0.882 mm/yr. The distance to the tide gauge is 5,859 m for NRL1 and it is 6,380 m for USNO. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.1935 mm/yr., an average of the NGL results.

Sewells Point, VA, United States has no stations from SONEL. JPL has Smithfield, DRV1, of absolute vertical velocity -2.39±1.499 mm/yr. NGL has also Smithfield, DRV6, of absolute vertical velocity -2.01±0.757 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -2.01 mm/yr., the NGL result for DRV6.

Wilmington, NC, United States has no stations from SONEL. JPL has CASL, of absolute vertical velocity -0.164±1.398 mm/yr. NGL has NCWG, of absolute vertical velocity -0.772±1.164 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.164 mm/yr., the NGL result for JXVL.

Fernandina Beach, FL, United States has no stations from SONEL. There are no nearby stations also from JPL. Relatively far, NGL has JXVL, of absolute vertical velocity -0.164±1.398 mm/yr. A likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity is taken as -0.164 mm/yr., the NGL result for JXVL.
Mayport, United States

South of Fernandina Beach, the tide gauge record in Mayport is less than 100 years long. The subsidence in Table 1 is obtained as follows.

Mayport, FL, United States has no nearby stations from SONEL. No nearby stations are provided by JPL. Relatively far, NGL has the above mentioned JXVL, of absolute vertical velocity \(-0.164 \pm 0.822\) mm/yr. CHIN, of absolute vertical velocity -2.916 mm/yr. The NGL result for CHIN of -2.916 mm/yr. is taken as a likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity.

Key West, United States

Finally, we reach Key West, the southernmost point of the continental United States. The MSL trend at Key West, FL, United States, Figure 16, is +2.42 mm/year with a 95% confidence interval of \(\pm 0.153\) mm/year, based on MSL data from 1913 to 2018. The acceleration is \(0.014 \pm 0.208\) mm/yr². CHIN, of absolute vertical velocity -1.489 mm/yr. The NGL result for CHIN of -1.489 mm/yr. is taken as a likely estimation of the absolute vertical velocity.

4 Discussion

In the 33 LTT stations along the East Coast of North America, the average relative rate of rise is 2.22 mm/yr. subjected to a small, positive acceleration of \(+0.0027\) mm/yr². The average relative rate of rise of the 11 stations in Canada is 0.61 mm/yr. subjected to a negative acceleration of \(-0.0133\) mm/yr² while the average relative rate of rise of the 22 stations of the United States is 3.02 mm/yr. subjected to a positive acceleration of \(+0.0108\) mm/yr². Excessive groundwater withdrawal-induced subsidence is much stronger for the East coast of the United States than Canada.

The acceleration result is consistent with other global and regional estimations from LTT stations such as [25] to [28] recently reported as the latest average acceleration of worldwide data sets is still very close to zero. The Mitrovica’s 23 gold standard tide stations with minimal vertical land motion have average acceleration \(+0.0020 \pm 0.0173\) mm/yr². The Holgate’s nine excellent tide gauge records of sea-level measurements have average acceleration \(+0.0029 \pm 0.0118\) mm/yr². The NOAA’s 42 U.S. long term trend tide stations of 2011 have average acceleration \(+0.0025 \pm 0.0308\) mm/yr². The California-8 long term trend tide stations have average acceleration \(+0.0014 \pm 0.0266\) mm/yr². The LTT stations of the East Coast of North America have acceleration values on average positive, but of the order of the nanometers per year squared, similarly to the other data sets.

In addition to Table 1, also Figure 17 presents a summary of the sea level and GNSS results for the LTT stations of the East coast of North America. \(u\) is the relative sea-level rise, \(w\) is the absolute vertical velocity at the GNSS antenna nearby the tide gauge, and \(v = u + w\) is the absolute sea-level rise.

In the 11 stations of Canada, of average relative sea-level rise 0.61 mm/yr., the average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instrument as guessed from the GNSS data is \(0.43\) mm/yr. translating in an absolute sea-level rise of \(+1.04\) mm/yr. The average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instrument from GIA VM2 and VM4 is 0.02 mm/yr.
and -0.16 mm/yr, respectively. The absolute rate of rise of the sea level from GIA VM2 is 0.63 mm/yr.

Figures 17: Summary of sea-level rise and subsidence results. $u$ is the relative sea-level rise, $w$ is the absolute vertical velocity at the GNSS antenna nearby the tide gauge, and $v=u+w$ is the absolute sea-level rise. Units are mm/yr.

In the 22 stations of the US, of average relative sea-level rise 3.02 mm/yr., the average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instrument as guessed from the GNSS data is -0.96 mm/yr, translating in an absolute sea-level rise of 2.06 mm/yr. The average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instrument from GIA VM2 and VM4 is -1.15 mm/yr. and -0.42 mm/yr, respectively. The absolute rate of rise of the sea level from GIA VM2 is 1.88 mm/yr.

In all the 33 stations, of average relative sea-level rise 2.22 mm/yr., the average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instrument as guessed from the GNSS data is -0.50 mm/yr, translating in an absolute sea-level rise of 1.72 mm/yr. The average absolute velocity of the tide gauge instrument from GIA VM2 and VM4 is -0.76 mm/yr. and -0.33 mm/yr, respectively. The absolute rate of rise of the sea level from GIA VM2 is 1.46 mm/yr.

The subsidence along the East Coast of the United States is very well-known [14, 29, 30]. Hence, it should not be a surprise if along the East Coast of the United States the relative rates of rise of the sea level are generally higher than along the West Coast of the United States, where apart from southern California, subsidence is less relevant.

5 Conclusions

The GNSS monitoring of the position of antennas, is superior to GIA model computations, to assess vertical land velocities in general, and vertical movements of tide gauge instruments in particular. However, the technique still suffers from major uncertainties, as demonstrated by the differences between the estimates from different providers for the same antennas that are often larger than the trend. The measurements at the tide gauges are the best way to understand sea-level changes. These measurements show a stable pattern of mild rising sea levels with negligible accelerations mostly explained by the sinking of the tide gauge instrument.
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