Diagnostic Challenges of Acute Abdominal Emergencies in Spinal Cord Injury Patients: A Clinical Perspective
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Abstract

Background: Early diagnosis of acute abdominal emergencies in Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) patients is the most important factor for improving outcomes. However, the diagnosis and management of acute abdomen in SCI patients remains a significant challenge due to alterations in their abdominal somatic and visceral sensation, as well as coexisting chronic abdominal conditions prevalent in this population. The purpose of this paper is to provide a contemporary review of the diagnosis and management of abdominal emergencies in spinal cord injury patients.

Data Sources: Peer-reviewed, English language studies published between 1985 and 2019 reporting on acute abdomen in SCI patients. Reference lists of articles were reviewed to identify additional studies.

Conclusions: In addition to understanding the neurological consequences of spinal cord injury and maintaining a high clinical suspicion for abdominal emergencies in SCI patients, appropriate imaging studies should be obtained without delay.
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Introduction

Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) is a catastrophic event with 15-40 new cases per million people per year [1]. The timely diagnosis of an acute abdomen in SCI patients represents a significant challenge due to the alterations in their abdominal somatic and visceral sensation. As a result, approximately 33% of SCI patients experience a significant delay (1-4 days) in the diagnosis and management of their abdominal emergencies [2-4], leading to increased mortality rates of 4-17% and morbidity rates of 30-50% [3-9].

In order to facilitate early diagnosis and management of acute abdominal diseases in patients with spinal cord injury, it is crucial that surgeons become familiar with the neurological consequences of spinal cord injury and maintain a high index of suspicion for acute abdominal pathologies in this vulnerable population. The purpose of this paper is to provide a contemporary review of the diagnosis and management of abdominal emergencies in spinal cord injury patients.

Dysfunction of Abdominal Innervation in SCI

Spinal cord injury interrupts connections between central and peripheral nervous system, resulting in somatic motor and sensory deficits, as well as autonomic dysfunctions. The symptoms vary according to the level and severity of the injury. When evaluating SCI patients, surgeons should consider the type of neurologic deficit and the SCI level, because this influences the presenting signs and symptoms of acute abdomen.

Somatic abdominal sensation

Somatic abdominal sensation (T7-L1) is lost with lesions above T7 [3,5]. However, chronic SCI patients may retain some sensation over their anterior abdominal wall, suggesting that some innervation pathways may remain intact [5]. According to Neumayer LA, et al. [5], a potential explanation is that a large proportion of SCI is the result of blunt trauma in which the cord is damaged but not transected, resulting in partial preservation of innervation.

Abdominal visceral sensation

While somatic sensation is compromised in SCI patients, visceral sensation may often be present. Although the precise mechanism remains undetermined, one reason could be that visceral sensory nerves travel along the autonomic ganglia and enter the cord above the level of injury [10]. Visceral sensory fibers could also travel with the vagus nerve, thus bypassing the cord lesion [5]. In addition, some visceral afferent pathways may remain intact through the level of injury [5].
Enteric nervous system

Small and large bowel activity is mostly autonomous, controlled by the Enteric Nervous System (ENS), in addition to signals from the spinal cord and the brain [11]. ENS is an intrinsic nervous system of the intestine which includes Auerbach's plexus between the longitudinal and the circular muscle layers, and Meissner's plexus in the submucosa [12]. The ENS is modulated by central input from parasympathetic and sympathetic nerves (Figure 1). The vagus nerve provides parasympathetic regulation from the esophagus all the way to the splenic flexure of colon. Parasympathetic fibers originating from the S2-S4 spinal levels join the descending colon and rectum via the pelvic nerve.

The splanchnic nerves from the T5-T12 spinal levels and the hypogastric nerve from the T12-L2 levels provide sympathetic preganglionic innervation to postganglionic neurons controlling the colon. The external anal sphincter muscle is innervated by somatic pudendal nerve from the S2-S4 levels [13].

The ENS remains functionally intact after spinal cord injuries [13]. However, spinal cord injuries cause changes in the neuronal control of the bowel through local synaptic remodeling [14,15], leading to colonic dysfunction known as "neurogenic bowel".

Spinal cord injuries above the conus medullar are result in an upper motor neuron syndrome characterized by increased colonic and anal sphincter tone. The striated external anal sphincter muscle, normally under voluntary control, remains tight as a result of losing descending inhibitory regulation [16]. With time this colonic outflow obstruction leads to constipation and stool retention causing colonic elongation and abdominal distension.

**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of neuronal control of the gastrointestinal tract (adapted from Hou S, et al. [11]).

The Vagus Nerve (CNX) provides parasympathetic regulation from the esophagus to the splenic flexure of colon. Ascending afferent fibers terminate in the Nucleus Tractus Solitarii (NTS) where the signals are integrated and subsequently relayed to parasympathetic neurons in the Dorsal Motor Nucleus of Vagus (DMV). Parasympathetic fibers originating from the S2-S4 spinal levels join the descending colon and rectum via the pelvic nerve. The mesenteric nerves from the T5-T12 spinal levels and the hypogastric nerve from the T12-L2 levels provide sympathetic preganglionic innervation to postganglionic neurons controlling the colon. The external anal sphincter muscle is innervated by somatic pudendal nerve from the S2-S4 levels. (CNX: Cranial Nerve X; SMG: Superior Mesenteric Ganglion; IMG: Inferior Mesenteric Ganglion; G: Ganglion; N: Nerve).
In contrast, the lower motor neurogenic bowel results from injuries below the conus medullaris (such as cauda equina or pelvic nerve injuries), which damage motor and parasympathetic pathways to the colon and rectum [13]. These lesions are characterized by a severe rectosigmoid dysfunction with a relaxed colon and slow stool propulsion, leading to constipation [13]. In addition, the external anal sphincter becomes denervated anatomic, increasing the risk of incontinence. Subsequently, the levator ani muscles lose tone and the sigmoid and rectum descend into the pelvic floor, contributing to fecal incontinence as well development of hemorrhoids [17].

**Autonomic dysreflexia**

Autonomic Dysreflexia (AD) is a syndrome characterized by a profound disordered autonomic response to visceral or cutaneous stimuli below the level of spinal cord lesion [13]. Clinical manifestations include a sudden increase in blood pressure of at least 20 mmHg from baseline, baroreflex-mediated bradycardia, headache, flushing, sweating, chills, nasal congestion, piloerection, and pallor.

Severe hypertension can lead to raised intracranial pressure resulting in seizures, intracranial hemorrhage, myocardial ischemia, arrhythmias, pulmonary edema, and even death [18].

Development of AD is influenced by the duration and the level of the spinal injury. Although AD may develop any time following spinal cord injuries, it usually occurs in chronic SCI patients. The incidence of AD is between 50% and 70% in patients with lesions above T6 [19] and increases in frequency with higher level lesions and complete lesions [20]. The higher the level of spinal cord injury, the more severe the autonomic dysreflexia symptoms [13,18].

The pathophysiology of AD is thought to be a result of a disorganized sympathetic response to stimuli below the level of the lesion (Figure 1). The loss of supraspinal inhibitory modulation of spinal sympathetic neurons facilitates a massive discharge of sympathetic preganglionic neurons below the level of the lesion, which can give rise to a profound sympathetic response up to the level of injury, with alterations in vasomotor, pilomotor, and sudomotor activities [13].

When spinal cord injuries are above the T6 level, the splanchnic innervation is activated, causing splanchnic vasoconstriction and resulting in more severe symptoms such as hypertension and compensatory bradycardia.

In patients with SCI below the T6 spinal level, supraspinal sympathetic innervation of the splanchnic vasculature is usually preserved; as a result, autonomic dysreflexia rarely occurs [18].

AD is typically triggered by noxious pelvic visceral stimulation, with the most common (approximately 80%) cause being bladder distension [19]. Other triggers include acute abdominal pathology, bowel distension, urinary tract infections, skeletal fractures, pressure ulcers, activation of pain fibers, sexual activity, uterine contractions, and even gentle skin stroking [19,21-23].

**Diagnostic Features of Acute Abdomen in SCI Patients**

Symptoms

In neurologically intact patients, abdominal pain is the most common presenting symptom of an acute abdomen. Because SCI patients have altered symptoms of acute abdominal processes, timely diagnosis of acute abdomen becomes more challenging. As such, surgeons should be aware of signs and symptoms in the neurologically impaired patient that can facilitate earlier diagnosis of an acute abdomen. Early symptoms of an intra-abdominal pathology in SCI patients are often subtle and non-specific, such as deviations from the SCI patient's normal lifestyle, feeling that "something is wrong", anorexia, and nausea [6,24].

The early pain of intra-abdominal pathologies in SCI patients is due to inflammation of visceral peritoneum and is usually described as dull and oppressive [7,25]. As the inflammation progresses and involves the parietal peritoneum, SCI patients experience somatic pain that is described as sharp and severe. The reason for this difference between visceral and somatic pain is the slow rate of transmission of visceral sensation over unmyelinated class C fibers at 2 meters/sec, compared to a rate of 100 meters/sec for the sensation conducted via the large myelinated somatic sensory fibers [25].

Usually the pain of an acute abdomen in patients with low (below T6) spinal cord injuries is better localized and similar to normal patients [3,5,7], whereas pain is more likely to be absent or vague in high (above T6) cord lesions [3,5]. However, patients with incomplete high cord lesions may experience normal pain sensation [7].

Another important symptom is referred pain to the shoulder [3,7,9,26], which is more common in high (above T6) spinal cord injuries [5,7].

More than 80% of the SCI patients experience some degree of muscle spasticity, resulting in involuntary and sustained contractions of muscles [27]. Spasticity is more prevalent in high cord injuries due to increased reflex activity. If spasticity or muscle spasms suddenly become worse than usual or the pattern of the spasticity changes, it may be a warning sign of an acute process such as a urinary tract infection, constipation, pressure wounds, fractures, or an acute abdomen. In some cases, increased spasticity in the abdominal or lower extremity musculature may be the only clue to significant intra-abdominal pathology, especially when in association with abdominal pain [6,7,9,24].

**Signs**

Fever occurs in 32% to 81% of SCI patients with acute abdomen [3,5,7,9], and are usually due to urinary tract infections [6,7,28,29].

Abdominal distension is encountered in 27% to 77% of patients [3,5,8]. However, abdominal distension is commonly encountered in SCI patient in the absence of an acute abdomen and is due to chronic constipation and gastroparesis. As such, abdominal distension has a diagnostic value when there is an acute increase in girth of the abdomen [7,8].

Autonomic dysreflexia (21-92%), tenderness with palpation (50%), palpable abdominal mass (23%), rigidity (14-21%), and hypoactive bowel sounds (18%) are some other findings of acute abdomen in SCI patients [3,5,7,8,30]. Surgeons should be aware that abdominal rigidity may not be easily recognized in SCI patients because of chronic spasticity or flaccidity of the abdominal muscles [6]. However, increased resistance can be felt over the affected region of the abdomen or can present as a change in the characteristic of abdominal wall spasticity from tonic to clonic [10,31].

**Laboratory findings**

Laboratory tests used to differentiate diagnosis in SCI patients with acute abdomen include a complete blood count, amylase, lipase, liver enzymes, basic chemistry, and urinalysis. However, surgeons should remain aware that laboratory results are not reliable in the diagnosis of acute abdomen in the patient with SCI. Leukocytosis is not specific to acute abdomen as most of these patients are prone...
to concurrent conditions such as respiratory infections, urinary tract infections, and pressure wounds which can induce a rise in the leukocyte count. In addition, leukocytosis is reported only in 33-55% of acute abdomens [3,5]. Furthermore, while a white cell left shift is found in most neurologically intact patients with an acute abdomen, it has been reported only in 36% of SCI patients [5]. Additionally, a positive urinalysis in this population does not equate an infection, but rather colonization of the urine secondary to chronic indwelling catheterizations required by many SCI patients with neurogenic bladder.

Radiologic findings

Given the significant challenge of correctly diagnosing an acute abdomen in SCI patients based on clinical features alone, surgeons should have a low threshold for obtaining appropriate radiographic studies to facilitate early diagnosis. In 77% of SCI patients radiographic studies are required to correctly diagnosing acute intra-abdominal pathology [5].

Although abdominal X-ray is often the first imaging test obtained [32], the most useful diagnostic imaging tests are ultrasound and computed tomography.

Acute Abdominal Diseases in SCI Patients

Acute cholecystitis

Cholecystitis occurs in 16.7-36% of SCI patients with an acute abdomen [5,6,30,33] and is attributed to a propensity for the development of gallstones in this population. Thus, the prevalence of gallstones in SCI patients is 17-49% [34-36], compared to 7-15% in neurologically intact patients [30,35,36]. The mechanism underlying the association between gallstones and SCI remains unclear; however, there is evidence of gallbladder dysfunction in SCI patients. While gallbladder contraction remains normal in SCI patients [37,38], gallbladder relaxation is often impaired in injuries above the T10 level [37-39], resulting in lower resting volumes. Ultrasonographic studies have found lower resting volume in SCI patients (21 ml) compared with healthy subjects (28 ml), suggesting that lower resting volume may increase the bile lithogenesis [37], leading to cholelithiasis.

The observed higher incidence of cholecystitis in SCI patients is responsible for a higher annual rate of cholecystectomy (6.3%) than in the general population (3.7-5.1%) [34]. Furthermore, given the diagnostic delay of cholecystitis, SCI patients have a higher annual incidence of biliary complications (2.2%) than the general population (0.2% to 1.0%) [34]. Although mortality rates are comparable to the general population, SCI patients have a higher complication rate and a higher conversion from laparoscopic to open procedures [34].

Acute appendicitis

The incidence of acute appendicitis in SCI patients is 4.5-23.8% [5-7]. Although a palpable right lower quadrant mass can be found in 23% of patients, only 35% of cases are diagnosed correctly by clinical features [8]. Therefore, imaging studies are very important for a timely diagnosis, with CT scan being the most helpful investigation. In a study of 26 SCI patients with appendicitis, delays in diagnosis resulted in perforated appendicitis in 92% of cases, requiring more extensive surgeries. Thus, 88% of patients underwent a standard appendectomy, with 12% requiring a concomitant cecal resection [8]. This resulted in a high mortality (4%), increased postoperative complications (23%) and prolonged length-of-stay (mean of 16 days) [8].

Intestinal volvulus

Chronic constipation and stool retention result in a long redundant colon [40], and predispose SCI patients to colonic volvulus, which has been reported in 4.2-12.5% of cases [5,6,9].

Furthermore, fecal retention in the ascending colon leads to distal obstruction and dilation of small bowel in 39% of SCI patients [41], resulting in small bowel volvulus in 16.7-25% of cases [6,9].

Perforated peptic ulcers

The incidence of peptic ulcers in SCI patients remains higher than in the general population [42-46]. Most peptic ulcers occur in the first month after the acute cord injury, with an incidence of 1-24% [42,45,47], whereas the long-term incidence is 1-5% [43].

Peptic ulcers are more common in SCI patients with injuries above the T4 level, because sympathetic denervation of the thoraco-lumbar outflow tract creates an imbalance between the parasympathetic and sympathetic innervation of the stomach and duodenum. This result in increased gastric acid secretion, reduced perfusion of the gastric mucosa, decreased mucus production, impaired prostaglandin synthesis, decreased gastric intraluminal contractions, bile reflux secondary to pyloric incompetence, delayed gastric emptying, and atrophic gastritis [42,44,45,47]. Because of delays in clinical presentations, peptic ulcers in SCI patients are more severe and have more complications [48]. Thus, perforated gastro duodenal ulcers occur in 12.5-25% of cases [5,6,9]. In addition to a high index of clinical suspicion, imaging studies are particularly important for early diagnosis of perforated peptic ulcers given the paucity of clinical features.

Therefore, surgeons should remain familiar with the radiographic characteristics of intra-peritoneal air secondary to perforated viscus. An upright chest x-ray can detect as little as 1 ml of intraperitoneal air under the diaphragm, and is the most sensitive plain radiographic study for the detection of free intraperitoneal air. However, supine abdominal X-ray is usually obtained as the first line investigation by physicians caring for SCI patients. Therefore, it is important to become familiar with the correct interpretation of supine abdominal X-rays in order to avoid missing the critical diagnosis of pneumoperitoneum and to expedite the management of perforated peptic ulcers.

An important feature of pneumoperitoneum on a supine abdominal X-ray is the Rigler’s sign, also known as the double-wall sign. Rigler’s sign is present when gas outlines both serosal and luminal surfaces of the bowel wall [49,50] (Figure 2). Unfortunately, large amounts of pneumoperitoneum (>1000 mL) are usually required to demonstrate this sign [51]. The positive predictive value of Rigler’s sign is 74% [52], highlighting its important diagnostic value in SCI patients with perforated viscus.

Computer tomography would also demonstrate signs of pneumoperitoneum and has the advantage of further delineating the source of the perforation.

Other conditions

The differential diagnosis of acute abdomen in SCI patients is quite broad and must span to include various other conditions such as intestinal obstruction [5,7,9], perforation of large and small bowel [6,53], bladder perforation caused by chronic indwelling urinary catheters [5-7] fulminant pseudomembranous colitis [54,55]; toxic megacolon [56]; complications of inflammatory bowel disease [57,58], upper gastrointestinal bleeding [6]; complicated acute pancreatitis [59]; retroperitoneal hemorrhage [60], pelvic hematoma [30]; feeding tube dislodgement [60]; and superior mesenteric artery syndrome [61].
Conclusions

Early diagnosis of acute abdomen in SCI patients is the most important factor for improving outcomes. However, the clinical recognition of abdominal emergencies in SCI patients remains challenging due to alterations in their abdominal somatic and visceral sensation, as well as coexisting chronic abdominal conditions prevalent in this group of patients. Therefore, in addition to understanding the neurological consequences of spinal cord injury and maintaining a high clinical suspicion for abdominal emergencies in SCI patients, appropriate imaging studies should be obtained without delay. Furthermore, it is crucial for surgeons to maintain competency in the prompt interpretation of radiographic studies in order to expedite the management of acute emergencies in SCI patients.
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