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Abstract

Two species of styelid colonial ascidians in the genus *Syncarpa* Redikorzev, 1913 are known from the northwest Pacific. The valid status of the lesser known species, *Syncarpa composita* (Tokioka, 1951) (type locality: Akkeshi, Japan), is assessed here. To assess the taxonomic identity of *S. composita*, we compared one of the syntypes and freshly collected topotypes of *S. composita* with a syntype of *S. oviformis* Redikorzev, 1913 (type locality: Ul’banskij Bay, Russia). Specimens of *S. composita* consistently differed from the syntype of *S. oviformis* in the number of oral tentacles, the number of size-classes of transverse vessels, and the number of anal lobes. In this paper, *S. composita* is redescribed as distinct from *S. oviformis*, and its phylogenetic position inferred within Styelidae based on the 18S rRNA and cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I gene sequences. In our phylogenetic tree, *Syncarpa* formed a well-supported clade together with *Dendrodoa* MacLeay, 1824. In *Syncarpa* and *Dendrodoa*, a single gonad is situated on the right side of the body, which is unique among Styelidae, and thus can be a synapomorphy for this clade.
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Introduction

**Syncarpa** Redikorzev, 1913 is a member of the ascidian family Styelidae and consists of two species, *Syncarpa composita* (Tokioka, 1951) and *S. oviformis* Redikorzev, 1913. The two nominal species *S. corticiformis* Beniaminson, 1975 and *S. longicaudata* Skalkin, 1957, all from the Northwest Pacific, have been synonymized with *S. oviformis* by Sanamyan (2000). This genus is defined by the following four characters: i) colonial, with zooids reproducing asexually, ii) a single, well-developed fold is present on each side of the pharynx, iii) a single gonad is situated on the right side of the body, and iv) the gonad has several branches. *Syncarpa composita* is only known by the original description based on material from Akkeshi, Japan (Tokioka 1951). It was originally placed in a new monotypic genus *Syndendrodoa* Tokioka, 1951, which has been synonymized with *Syncarpa* by Nishikawa (1995).

The phylogeny of ascidians including stylids has been investigated by Zeng et al. (2006), Pérez-Portela et al. (2009), Tsagkogeorga et al. (2009), Alié et al. (2018), and Delsuc et al. (2018). Among these, Alié et al.’s (2018) analysis was based on 4908 genes and included 16 OTUs from Styelidae. It recovered Styelidae as monophyletic with maximum branch-support values, which turned out to be sister to part of paraphyletic Pyuridae. Alié et al.’s (2018) phylogeny showed three major clades for Styelidae: i) Polyzoinae + Botryllinae, ii) *Dendrodoa* + *Polycarpa* + *Polyandrocarpa zorritensis* (Van Name, 1931), and iii) *Astrocarpa* + *Styela*. However, no member of *Syncarpa* has ever been placed on a phylogenetic context in any of the previous studies.

The aims of this study are to assess the taxonomic identity of *S. composita* based on type specimens and freshly collected topotypes and to infer the species’ phylogenetic position among Styelidae. In this paper, we redescribe the species and present the results of a multi-gene molecular analysis.

Materials and methods

Eleven topotype colonies of *S. composita* were freshly collected by dredging, snorkeling, and SCUBA diving in the type locality, Akkeshi Bay, at depths of 3–5 m in June, August, and September 2017, and July 2018 (Table 1). One of the colonies was photographed underwater and in the laboratory with a Nikon COOLPIX AW130 digital camera. The live colonies were anesthetized with menthol; then a part of a zooid was cut off along with the tunic from each colony and preserved in 99% EtOH for DNA extraction. The colonies were preserved in 10% formalin-seawater for morphological observation; zooids were removed from the colonies and then dissected for morphological examination. Larvae for histological observation were dehydrated in an ethanol series, cleared in xylene, embedded in paraffin wax, sectioned at 5 µm thickness, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. After sections were mounted on glass slides in Entellan New (Merck, Germany), they were observed under an Olympus BX51
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Compound microscope and photographed with a Nikon D5200 digital camera. These voucher specimens have been deposited in the Invertebrate Collection of the Hokkaido University Museum (ICHUM), Sapporo, Japan. For comparison, specimens deposited in the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory (SMBL), Shirahama, Japan, and the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ZIRAS), St. Petersburg, Russia, were also examined.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from a piece of the body wall tissue for eight specimens of *S. composita* as well as one specimen each of *Botryloides violaceus* Oka, 1927, *Pyura mirabilis* (Drasche, 1884), *Styela clava* Herdman, 1881, and *Styela plicata* (Lesueur, 1823) (Table 1). The tissue was placed in a 1.5 mL tube after air-dried, then mixed with 180 µL of ATL buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 20 µL of proteinase K (>700 U/mL, Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan), and incubated at 55 °C for ca. 10 h. To the lysis solution, 200 µL of AL buffer (Qiagen) was added and incubated at 70 °C for 10 min; then 210 µL of 99% EtOH was added. The rest of the DNA extraction was carried out following Boom et al.’s (1990) silica method.

Two gene markers were amplified from the genomic DNA by PCR. The nuclear 18S rRNA (18S) gene was amplified with the primer pair 1F/9R (Giribet et al. 1996). The mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was amplified with the primers Sty_COI_F2 (5’-TTTGCCCTTTAATAGTAAGAAGTCC-3’) and Sty_COI_R1 (5’-CATCAAAACAGATGCTGATA-3’) for *S. composita* and with the primer pair LCO1490/HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994) for the other ascidians. PCRs were performed in a 10-µL total reaction volume with 3 µL of each primer pair (10 µM), 0.5 µL of TaKaRa Ex *Taq* (TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan), 10 µL of 10 × Ex *Taq* Buffer (TaKaRa), 8 µL of dNTP mixture (TaKaRa), 1 µL of extracted DNA, and 68.5 µL of deionized water. Thermal cycling condition was 94 °C for 2 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for

| Family     | Species           | Sampling date | Sampling site | GenBank accession number | Catalog number |
|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------|
|            | *Botryloides violaceus* | 30 Mar 2017   | Oshoro Bay    | LC432326/LC432331        | ICHUM 5826     |
| Styelidae  | *Styela clava*    | 26 Aug 2017   | Shukutsu      | LC432329/LC432334        | ICHUM 5827     |
|            | *Styela plicata*  | 10 Jul 2017   | Moroiso Bay   | LC432328/LC432333        | ICHUM 5828     |
| Syncarpa composita | 25 Jun 2017   | Akkeshi Bay   |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5815     |
|            |                   | 25 Jun 2017   |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5816     |
|            |                   | 2 Aug 2017    |               | LC432325/LC432330        | ICHUM 5817     |
|            |                   | 7 Sep 2017    |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5818     |
|            |                   | 7 Sep 2017    |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5819     |
|            |                   | 7 Sep 2017    |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5820     |
|            |                   | 7 Sep 2017    |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5821     |
|            |                   | 7 Sep 2017    |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5822     |
|            |                   | 7 Sep 2017    |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5823     |
|            |                   | 13 Jul 2018   |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5824     |
|            |                   | 13 Jul 2018   |               | –/–                      | ICHUM 5825     |
| Pyuridae   | *Pyura mirabilis* | 21 Jun 2017   | Oshoro Bay    | LC432327/LC432332        | ICHUM 5829     |

Table 1. List of specimens newly collected in this study with species, family, sampling date, sampling site, GenBank accession numbers for 18S and COI sequences included in the analysis, and catalog numbers.

compound microscope and photographed with a Nikon D5200 digital camera. These voucher specimens have been deposited in the Invertebrate Collection of the Hokkaido University Museum (ICHUM), Sapporo, Japan. For comparison, specimens deposited in the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory (SMBL), Shirahama, Japan, and the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ZIRAS), St. Petersburg, Russia, were also examined.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from a piece of the body wall tissue for eight specimens of *S. composita* as well as one specimen each of *Botryloides violaceus* Oka, 1927, *Pyura mirabilis* (Drasche, 1884), *Styela clava* Herdman, 1881, and *Styela plicata* (Lesueur, 1823) (Table 1). The tissue was placed in a 1.5 mL tube after air-dried, then mixed with 180 µL of ATL buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 20 µL of proteinase K (>700 U/mL, Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan), and incubated at 55 °C for ca. 10 h. To the lysis solution, 200 µL of AL buffer (Qiagen) was added and incubated at 70 °C for 10 min; then 210 µL of 99% EtOH was added. The rest of the DNA extraction was carried out following Boom et al.’s (1990) silica method.

Two gene markers were amplified from the genomic DNA by PCR. The nuclear 18S rRNA (18S) gene was amplified with the primer pair 1F/9R (Giribet et al. 1996). The mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was amplified with the primers Sty_COI_F2 (5’-TTTGCCCTTTAATAGTAAGAAGTCC-3’) and Sty_COI_R1 (5’-CATCAAAACAGATGCTGATA-3’) for *S. composita* and with the primer pair LCO1490/HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994) for the other ascidians. PCRs were performed in a 10-µL total reaction volume with 3 µL of each primer pair (10 µM), 0.5 µL of TaKaRa Ex *Taq* (TaKaRa, Kusatsu, Japan), 10 µL of 10 × Ex *Taq* Buffer (TaKaRa), 8 µL of dNTP mixture (TaKaRa), 1 µL of extracted DNA, and 68.5 µL of deionized water. Thermal cycling condition was 94 °C for 2 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for
45 sec, 52 °C for 90 sec (for 18S) or 55 °C for 50 sec (for COI), and 72 °C for 55 sec; then 72 °C for 5 min. Amplification was verified by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel. The PCR products were purified through enzymatic reaction with 24 μU/μL of Exonuclease I (TaKaRa) and 4.9 μU/μL of Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (TaKaRa). The purified PCR products were sequenced directly with a BigDye Terminator ver. 3.1 Cycle Sequence Kit (Applied Biosystem, Foster, CA, USA) and 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), using the same primer pairs for amplification, as well as the following internal primers for 18S: 3F, 5R (Giribet et al. 1996); and 2, bi (Whiting et al. 1997). Base calling was performed with GeneStudio Professional Edition ver. 2.2.0.0 (GeneStudio, Suwanee, GA, USA).

To infer the phylogenetic position of *S. composita*, 18S and COI sequences of 24 species of Styelidae were obtained from GenBank (Table 2). For 18S, alignment was carried out by MAFFT ver. 7 using the E-INS-i strategy (Katoh and Standley 2013); ambiguous sites were removed by using Gblocks ver. 0.91b (Castresana 2002). For COI, nucleotide sequences were manually edited by MEGA ver. 5.2.2 (Tamura et al. 2011) so that translated amino acid sequences were aligned straightforward without indels. 18S and COI sequences were concatenated by using MEGA ver. 5.2.2 (Tamura et al. 2011).

**Table 2.** List of species obtained from GenBank included in the phylogenetic analysis with accession numbers for 18S and COI sequences.

| Family     | Species                  | GenBank accession number |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|            |                          | 18S                      | COI                      |
| Styelidae  | Botryllioides chevalense | –                        | KX650764                 |
|            | Botryllioides giganteus  | –                        | HF922627                 |
|            | Botryllioides leachii    | MG009583                 | KY235402                 |
|            | Botryllioides niger      | –                        | KP254541                 |
|            | Botryllioides perpicicus | –                        | KY235404                 |
|            | Botryllus schlosseri     | FM244858                 | AY600987                 |
|            | Dendrodoa aggregata      | AJ250774                 | –                        |
|            | Dendrodoa grossularia    | L12416                   | FJ528650                 |
|            | Distoma variolosus       | FM897308                 | FJ528652                 |
|            | Eusynstyela hartmeyeri   | FM897309                 | –                        |
|            | Metandrocarpa taylori    | AY903922                 | –                        |
|            | Pelonaia corrugata       | L12440                   | –                        |
|            | Polyandrocarpa anguinea  | –                        | KY111428                 |
|            | Polyandrocarpa misakiensis| AF165825                | –                        |
|            | Polyandrocarpa zorritensis| FM897311                | KX138505                 |
|            | Polycarpa aurata         | FM897312                 | FJ528646                 |
|            | Polycarpa tenera         | FM897313                 | FJ528655                 |
|            | Polyszost opuntia        | FM897314                 | FJ528647                 |
|            | Stolonica socialis       | FM897317                 | –                        |
|            | Styela canopus           | –                        | KU905887                 |
|            | Styela gibbii            | AY903923                 | HQ916447                 |
|            | Styela montereyensis     | L12443                   | FJ528638                 |
|            | Symplegma rubra          | FM897315                 | FJ528648                 |
|            | Symplegma viride         | DQ346655                 | –                        |
| Pyuridae   | Halocynthia roretzi      | AB013016                 | AB024528                 |
Bayesian inference (BI) was performed using MrBayes ver. 3.2.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). The best-fit substitution models selected by PartitionFinder ver. 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2016) for BI were GTR+I+G for 18S and GTR+G for all the three codon positions of COI. Each Markov chain was initiated from a random tree and run for $5 \times 10^6$ generations; trees were sampled every 100 generation from the chain. Burn-in fraction was set to be 0.25. A consensus of sampled trees was computed using the “sumt” command, and the posterior probability (PP) for each interior branch was obtained to assess the robustness of the inferred relationships. Values of run convergence indicated that sufficient amounts of trees and parameters were sampled (average standard deviation of split frequencies = 0.009823; average estimated sample size of tree lengths = 205.35; potential scale reduction factor of tree lengths = 1.005). Run convergence was also assessed with Tracer ver. 1.6 (Rambaut et al. 2014) to see if the effective sample size of each parameter exceeded 200. Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis was performed by RAxML ver. 8.2.3 (Stamatakis 2014). One thousand fast-bootstrap replicates were conducted to evaluate nodal support.

**Systematics**

**Family Styelidae Sluiter, 1895**
**Genus Syncarpa Redikorzev, 1913**

**Syncarpa composita** (Tokioka, 1951)

*Syndendrodoa composita* Tokioka, 1951: 14–16, fig. 11.

*?Syncarpa longicaudata* Skallin, 1957: 297–298, figs a, b.

**Material examined.** Thirteen specimens: SMBL 104 (syntypes, two colonies); ICHUM 5815–5825 (non-types, each represented by a single colony).

**Comparative material examined.** ZIRAS 508-911, one of the syntypes of *Syncarpa oviformis* Redikorzev, 1913.

**Description.** Colonies ca. 30–50 mm (40 mm and 50 mm in syntypes) in thickness and ca. 40–130 mm (45 mm and 100 mm in syntypes) in diameter. Tunic grayish violet to black or red in life, tough and leathery; zooids more or less protruded and thus externally discernible from each other (Fig. 1A–C). Zooids 12–50 mm long (21 mm and 22 mm in syntypes) and ca. 8 mm wide (Fig. 1D). Posterior extension of zooids varying in length within the colony and among different colonies; while main zooid length ($L_a$) varied from 9 mm to 20 mm, posterior extension length ($L_b$) varied from 3 mm to 22 mm among 20 zooids from 11 colonies, with $L_b/L_a$ ratio being 0.33–1.83 (Fig. 1E, Table 3). Siphons four-lobed, reddish in life, close together. Approximately 30 oral tentacles present (Fig. 2A), comprised of larger and smaller ones alternating almost regularly. Approximately 30 atrial tentacles present and ca. 0.3 mm long. Ciliated aperture of the dorsal tubercle C-shaped, with its interval directing leftward (Fig. 2B).
Figure 1. *Syncarpa composita* (Tokioka, 1951). **A, B, D, E** ICHUM 5817 **C** SMBL 104 (syntype). **A** Live colony **B** intact colony **C** preserved colony **D** intact zooid **E** zooid showing length from top of siphon to end of stomach ($L_a$) and from end of stomach to posterior end of zooid ($L_b$).

Table 3. Comparison of the posterior extension length and the ratios of $L_a$ to $L_b$. Each zooid from two colonies of SMBL 104 was measured.

| Catalog number | $L_a$ (mm) | $L_b$ (mm) | $L_b / L_a$ |
|----------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| ICHUM 5817     | 9          | 3          | 0.33        |
| ICHUM 5821     | 11         | 4          | 0.36        |
| SMBL 104       | 15         | 7          | 0.47        |
| ICHUM 5817     | 12         | 6          | 0.5         |
| ICHUM 5820     | 14         | 7          | 0.5         |
| ICHUM 5819     | 9          | 5          | 0.56        |
| ICHUM 5821     | 12         | 7          | 0.58        |
| SMBL 104       | 11         | 7          | 0.64        |
| ICHUM 5818     | 14         | 9          | 0.64        |
| ICHUM 5825     | 19         | 15         | 0.79        |
| ICHUM 5822     | 10         | 8          | 0.8         |
| ICHUM 5819     | 11         | 9          | 0.82        |
| ICHUM 5818     | 14         | 12         | 0.86        |
| ICHUM 5824     | 22         | 23         | 1.05        |
| ICHUM 5823     | 13         | 14         | 1.08        |
| ICHUM 5825     | 19         | 25         | 1.32        |
| ICHUM 5824     | 20         | 30         | 1.5         |
| ICHUM 5823     | 13         | 20         | 1.54        |
| ICHUM 5820     | 18         | 29         | 1.61        |
| ICHUM 5822     | 12         | 22         | 1.83        |
Figure 2. *Syncarpa composita* (Tokioka, 1951). A, B, D, E ICHUM 5817 C SMBL 104. A) Zooid opened dorsally. B) Ciliated groove (rotated 90 degrees anti-clockwise and enlarged view of the white square of A) C) Magnification of inner surface of pharynx, showing large (indicated by an asterisk) and small (indicated by an arrow) transverse vessels. D) Outer surface of pharynx, viewed from right side. E) Magnification of white square in D, showing ‘shortcut’ of large transverse vessel (asterisk) above pharyngeal fold and ‘detour’ of small transverse vessel (arrowed) along pharyngeal fold.
Prepharyngeal band consisting of a single lamina running close to the ring of oral tentacles; prepharyngeal band V shaped around the dorsal tubercle. Neural ganglion close to dorsal tubercle. Dorsal lamina smoothly margined. One pharyngeal fold and one reduced pharyngeal fold present on each side of pharynx with formula:

L D. 0 (7–8) 2 (2) 3 V.  
R D. 0 (7) 2 (3) 3 V. 

Thirteen-twenty stigmata per mesh between endostyle and first longitudinal vessel from endostyle. Transverse vessels comprised of larger and smaller ones almost regularly alternating antero-posteriorly (Fig. 2C); when running across each pharyngeal fold (as well as reduced pharyngeal fold) on outer surface of pharynx, larger ones always taking a ‘shortcut’ and bridging over fold valley, while smaller ones ‘detour’ and go along valley (Fig. 2D, E). Parastigmatic vessels present. Stigmata straight. Gut located on left side (Fig. 3A). Alimentary system occupying approx. half of the left
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Side of body; intestinal loop J-shaped. Esophagus short and slightly curved; its length being one-third of stomach (Fig. 3A). Stomach spindle-shaped, shorter than one-third of body length and has no plication or striation on its outer surface; stomach lying almost parallel to longitudinal axis of body (Fig. 3A), with its internal wall having at least 22 well-defined, regularly arranged, parallel, longitudinal folds (Fig. 3B). Intestine gently curving from pyloric part. Anus lying almost beneath atrial aperture. Diameter of intestine almost uniform from pylorus to anus. Anus without lobes. Gonad with 2–5 branches, situated only on right side of body (Fig. 3A). Ovaries spherical, occupying medial side of gonad; oviduct slightly bending at its end to periharyngeal cavity before opening on right side of body at almost same level as pylorus. Male follicles located laterally within gonad, surrounding ovaries. Many endocarps present on inner surface of body wall (Fig. 3A).

Hatched tadpole larvae found in periharyngeal cavity of ICHUM 5824 and 5825; trunk spindle-shaped, ca. 1 mm in length (Fig. 3C). Three adhesive papillae arranged in triangle. Approximately 35 elongated ampullae discerned on anterior half of trunk surface. Photolith present in cerebral vesicle but invisible from the outside (Fig. 4). Tail twice as long as trunk.

Remarks. Syncarpa composita and S. oviformis are different in terms of the number of oral tentacles, the number of size-classes of transverse vessels, and the number of anal lobes (Table 4). In addition, the transverse vessels in S. composita alternate ‘shortcut’ and ‘detour’ when crossing the valley of pharyngeal folds, while all the transverse vessels in S. oviformis make a shortcut and bridge over the valley of pharyngeal folds (Fig. 5A, B). Based on the consistent, discontinuous differences discovered in the present

Table 4. Comparison of four species of Syncarpa. The number of size-classes of transverse vessels in S. oviformis (indicated by an asterisk) was newly confirmed in this study. Sanamyan (2000) concluded that S. corticiformis and S. longicaudata were junior synonyms of S. oviformis.

| Character                                      | Species                  |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                                 | S. composita | S. corticiformis | S. longicaudata | S. oviformis |
| Source                                         | Tokioka (1951)          | present study    | Beniaminson (1975) | Skalkin (1957) | Redikorzev (1913) | Sanamyan (2000) |
| Zooïd length (mm)                              | 12                      | 12–50            | 15               | 40           | 10               | 10–30            |
| Zooïd width (mm)                               | 8                       | 8                | 5                | 7.5          | 4                | 4–8              |
| Posterior extension of zooïd long (+) or short (‒) | –                       | –/+              | –                | +            | –                | –                |
| Number of oral tentacles                       | 30                      | 30–35            | 20               | 30–35        | 20–25            | 20–25            |
| Number of size-classes of transverse vessels   | ?                       | 2                | 1                | 2            | 1                | ?                |
| Stomach internal wall present (+) or absent (‒)| ?                       | +                | +                | +            | +                | +                |
| Intestinal loop                                | ?                       | J-shaped         | J-shaped         | J-shaped     | J-shaped         | J-shaped         |
| Number of anal lobes                           | 0                       | 0                | 2                | 0            | 2                | 2                |
| Number of gonadal branches                     | 2–5                     | 2–5              | 4                | 3            | 2                | 2–4              |
| Locality                                       | Akkeshi Bay             | Akkeshi Bay      | Kunashiri Island | South Kuril Islands | Ul’bankskij Bay | Sea of Olhotsk  |
Figure 4. Syncarpa composita (Tokioka, 1951), ICHUM 5824, cross section of a tadpole larva, showing photolith.

study, we conclude to leave S. composita as a valid species as opposed to S. oviformis, until molecular data settle the issue of conspecificity.

Syncarpa composita and S. longicaudata were supposed to be differentiated by the ratio of the lengths of the zooid’s main body ($L_a$) to its posterior extension ($L_b$), expressed as $L_b/L_a$ (Fig. 1E). The values of this character for S. composita and S. longicaudata, based on the original figures (Tokioka 1951, figs 11.2, 11.3; Skalkin 1957, fig. a), are 0.40 and 1.00, respectively. In this study, however, we discovered that the $L_b/L_a$ values could vary from 0.33 to 1.83 even intra-colonially in S. composita (Table 3), completely encompassing the character state of S. longicaudata. Although S. longicaudata has been considered a junior synonym of S. oviformis, we think that it is more similar to S. composita (Table 4). Extensive population genetic studies on potentially different populations of these species from the Northwest Pacific would help to improve our understanding of the taxonomy of this genus.
Redescription of Syncarpa composita

Figure 5. Syncarpa oviformis Redikorzev, 1913, ZIRAS 508-911 (syntype). A Outer surface of pharynx, viewed from right side B magnification of white square in A, showing that all transverse vessels make ‘shortcuts’ and bridge across the pharyngeal fold.

Phylogeny. In the phylogenetic tree, Syncarpa formed a well-supported clade together with Dendrodoa (Fig. 6). These two genera have a single gonad positioned on the right side of the body. This feature is likely to represent a synapomorphy for this clade. The only difference between Syncarpa and Dendrodoa is that the former is colonial while the latter is solitary. The latter currently consists of eight species (Shenkar et al. 2019). Future studies should ascertain the possible reciprocal monophyly of the two genera by analyses with expanded taxon sampling from Dendrodoa. If they turn out to be reciprocally non-monophyletic (e.g., Syncarpa completely nested within paraphyletic Dendrodoa), these two genera can be synonymized so that it consists of both colonial and non-colonial species, just as the diazonid Rhopalaea Philippi, 1843.

A clade comprised of Dendrodoa, Polycarpa, and Polyandrocarpa zorritensis was recovered in Alié et al.’s (2018) phylogenomic analysis based on 4,908 genes, in which Polyandrocarpa zorritensis was sister to Polycarpa aurata, forming a clade sister to Dendrodoa grossularia.

Although the nodal support values were generally poor, our tree does not support the three-subfamily classification system: Styelinae consisting of solitary styelid species, Polyzoinae of colonial styelid species without system, and Botryllinae of colonial styelid species with system. Highly reliable molecular analyses and detailed morphological observations including Syncarpa would help understanding the systematics of Styelidae.
Figure 6. Phylogenetic relationship of 28 styelid ascidians. ML tree generated from concatenated sequences of 18S (1582 bp) and COI (686 bp). Numbers on nodes indicate bootstrap values and, where applicable, posterior probabilities. Scale bar indicates number of substitutions per site. B, P, and S represented Botryllinae, Polyzoinae, and Styelinae.
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