Defective DNA repair and chromatin organization in patients with quiescent systemic lupus erythematosus
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Abstract

**Background:** Excessive autoantibody production characterizing systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) occurs irrespective of the disease’s clinical status and is linked to increased lymphocyte apoptosis. Herein, we tested the hypothesis that defective DNA damage repair contributes to increased apoptosis in SLE.

**Methods:** We evaluated nucleotide excision repair at the N-ras locus, DNA double-strand breaks repair and apoptosis rates in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from anti-dsDNA autoantibody-positive patients (six with quiescent disease and six with proliferative nephritis) and matched healthy controls following ex vivo treatment with melphalan. Chromatin organization and expression levels of DNA repair- and apoptosis-associated genes were also studied in quiescent SLE.

**Results:** Defective nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair were found in SLE, with lupus nephritis patients showing higher DNA damage levels than those with quiescent disease. Melphalan-induced apoptosis rates were higher in SLE than control cells and correlated inversely with DNA repair efficiency. Chromatin at the N-ras locus was more condensed in SLE than controls, while treatment with the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat resulted in hyperacetylation of histone H4, chromatin decondensation, amelioration of DNA repair efficiency and decreased apoptosis. Accordingly, genes involved in DNA damage repair and signaling pathways, such as DDB1, ERCC2, XPA, XPC, MRE11A, RAD50, PARP1, MLH1, MLH3, and ATM were significantly underexpressed in SLE versus controls, whereas PPP1R15A, BARD1 and BBC3 genes implicated in apoptosis were significantly overexpressed.

**Conclusions:** Epigenetically regulated functional abnormalities of DNA repair machinery occur in SLE, regardless of clinical disease activity, and may promote lymphocyte apoptosis. Approaches to correct these abnormalities may be of therapeutic value in SLE.
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Background

The prototypic systemic autoimmune disease, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), is characterized by loss of immunological tolerance to self-nuclear antigens and abnormal T- and B-cell responses, which are attributed to genetic and epigenetic causes [1]. Excessive autoantigen presentation and autoantibody production is considered a central pathogenetic mechanism of SLE [2]. Significant diversity in organ involvement, disease activity, severity and responsiveness to treatment are common [3]. Of note, the production of myriads of autoantibodies in human SLE may precede the onset of clinical disease by many years and is facilitated by accumulation of dead cells due to dysregulated apoptosis [1, 4].

Every day, each of our cells is faced with at least 10⁴ DNA lesions and their efficient repair is a mandatory biological process to preserve cell survival and function [5]. The genomic integrity is maintained by a network of...
DNA damage response and repair pathways, a comprehensive signaling process that determines the cell’s ability to repair DNA damage or to undergo mutation(s) or apoptosis [6]. The wide diversity of DNA lesion types necessitates multiple, largely distinct DNA repair mechanisms. For example, nucleotide excision repair executes the repair of DNA lesions induced by UV light, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, heavy metals such as platinum and cadmium, and by other sources that form bulky DNA lesions that distort the DNA helix [7]. On the other hand, for DNA double-strand breaks, which are introduced by exogenous agents such as ionizing radiation and therapeutic drugs as well as by cellular processes such as V(D)J recombination, class switch recombination, stalled replication forks and reactions that generate reactive oxygen species [8], two principal repair mechanisms are used. The first is the homologous recombination, an error-free repair pathway that uses DNA homology to direct DNA repair, and the second is the nonhomologous end joining, a straightforward religation of broken DNA ends without any requirement for a template [5]. Defective nucleotide excision repair has been indeed reported in SLE [9–11], whereas the majority of B lymphoblastoid cell lines derived from children with SLE gave evidence of inefficient or delayed kinetics of DNA double-strand breaks repair [12]. Our recent study has shown that circulating mononuclear cells from patients with a severe SLE complication, such as lupus nephritis, are characterized by higher intrinsic DNA double-strand breaks accumulation compared to healthy controls, possibly due to increased susceptibility of these cells to develop these DNA lesions and/or an impaired repair [13].

Herein, we considered that increased apoptosis in SLE could be due, at least in part, to defective mechanisms of DNA damage repair, thus providing an additional putative pathogenetic mechanism in systemic autoimmunity. Indeed, we found that nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair mechanisms are defective in patients with either clinically quiescent disease or proliferative lupus nephritis, and strongly correlate with increased apoptosis. Notably, treatment of lupus mononuclear cells with the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat resulted in amelioration of DNA repair efficiency and decreased apoptosis. In line with these findings, genes involved in DNA repair and signaling pathways were found downregulated, whereas genes implicated in apoptosis were found upregulated in quiescent SLE patients.

Methods

Patients

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 12 patients (10 women) who fulfilled American College of Rheumatology criteria for SLE and had high serum titers of anti-double-stranded DNA autoantibodies at the time of sampling were studied. Six patients (all women, aged between 24 and 45 years, with disease duration ranging between 2 and 11 years) were selected on the basis of the presence of clinically quiescent disease for at least 6 months prior to sampling (SLE disease activity index Selena modification score of 6 or less, in the absence of any lupus-related clinical manifestation) under daily therapy with hydroxychloroquine only \( (n = 3) \), or in combination with 5 mg or less of prednisolone \( (n = 3) \). Six other patients (four women, aged between 21 and 59 years, with disease duration ranging between 1 and 15 years) were selected on the basis of the presence of proliferative glomerulonephritis; their characteristics, disease status, and medications have been described in detail elsewhere [13]. Twelve apparently healthy individuals, who were antinuclear antibody negative and matched 1:1 for age and gender to the patients, were studied in parallel.

Cell cultures

Freshly isolated PBMCs were stimulated into proliferation using phytohemagglutinin (PHA; 10 \( \mu \)g/ml) for 48 hours and then treated with 100 \( \mu \)g/ml melphalan for 5 min at 37 °C in complete RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 \( \mu \)g/ml streptomycin and 2 mmol/l L-glutamine. Cells were subsequently incubated in drug-free medium for various times (0–48 h), harvested, and stored at −70 °C. In some experiments, PBMCs were exposed to 2.5 \( \mu \)g/ml of vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid; Millipore Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 24 h [14], or 10 \( \mu \)g/ml a-amanitin (Millipore Sigma) for 6 h [15], treated with melphalan as above, harvested and stored at −70 °C.

Nucleotide excision repair measurement

The N-ras gene-specific nucleotide excision repair was evaluated by the monofunctional binding of the drug melphalan to a single site in the DNA molecule (monoadducts) at various time points, as described elsewhere [16].

DNA double-strand breaks repair measurement

For the evaluation of DNA double-strand breaks the immunofluorescence antigen staining and confocal laser scanning microscope analysis was used as described previously [13]. Briefly, aliquots of \( 2 \times 10^4 \) PBMCs were stimulated into proliferation using PHA, treated as described above, adhered to coverslip, fixed and stored at −70 °C until the analysis of γH2AX and Rad51. Cells were incubated with antibodies against γH2AX (serine-139, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) or Rad51 (Santa
Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), washed, incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse IgG; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and images were visualized with a Leica TCS SP-1 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Foci were manually counted in 200 cells/treatment condition and results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments.

**Micrococcal nuclease digestion-based analysis of chromatin condensation**

Nuclei were isolated from freshly isolated, untreated PBMCs (5 x 10^6) and digested with 1 unit of micrococcal nuclease (Millipore Sigma) for 0, 1, 2, 5 and 10 min at 37 °C. DNA was purified, separated in 1.5 % agarose gel, transferred onto nitrocellulose, and hybridized with the appropriate labeled probe [17].

**Western blot analysis**

Cells were harvested after treatment with various doses of vorinostat for 24 hours at 37 °C, rinsed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and lysed in buffer containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 0.4 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 μg/ml leupeptin, 2 μg/ml aprotinin, 5 μg/ml benzamidine, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 1 % NP-40, followed by SDS-PAGE on 12 % polyacrylamide gels. Subsequently, the proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, which were incubated for 40 min at room temperature with nonfat dry milk (5 %) in 1 x Tris-buffered saline (TBS) followed by incubation with specific primary antibodies to nonacetylated histone H4, acetylated histone H4, and beta actin (Cell Signaling) overnight at 4 °C. Then, the membranes were washed with 0.3 % bovine serum albumin in 1 x TBS and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. After washing with 1 x TBS, the bound antibody complexes were visualized using the Western Blotting Detection Kit ECL Plus (Amersham, Little Chalfont, UK) and XOMAT-AR film (Kodak, Rochester, NY, USA).

**Apoptosis assay**

Cells were treated with various doses of melphalan (0–200 μg/ml) for 5 min, followed by 24 h post-incubation time. Then, the Cell Death Detection ELISA-PLUS kit (Roche Diagnostics Corp., Basel, Switzerland) was used to determine apoptosis according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

**RT2 Profiler™ PCR Array**

Total RNA was extracted from freshly isolated untreated PBMCs using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and stored at –80 °C until use. For PCR array analysis, the RT² Profiler™ PCR Array (Qiagen) of 84 genes related to the DNA damage signaling pathway was utilized. Total RNA (200 ng) was reverse transcribed using the RT2 First Strand kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Resulting cDNA was diluted in nuclelease-free water and added to RT2 SYBR Green Fluor qPCR Mastermix (Qiagen), which was subsequently aliquoted to each well of the PCR array for quantitative PCR. Thermal cycling and fluorescence detection were performed using a Biorad iQ™5 (BioRad Laboratories, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Cycling conditions were: 10 min denaturation at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. Six healthy control samples and six quiescent SLE patients samples were run on individual plates and data were analyzed using the RT2 Profiler PCR Array Data Analysis Webportal (http://pcrdataanalysis.sabiosciences.com/pcr/arrayanalysis.php). Two independent experiments were performed for each sample.

**Systems biology statistical analysis**

The transcripts presenting statistically significant differential expression (p < 0.05) were analyzed through the use of Qiagen’s Ingenuity® Pathway Analysis (IPA®, Qiagen, Redwood City, CA, USA, www.qiagen.com/ingenuity) considering all direct and indirect relationships in the Ingenuity Knowledge base obtained only from experimentally verified information. Canonical pathways underwent enrichment analysis and the corresponding p values were calculated (p values < 0.05 were considered significant).

**Statistical analysis**

The efficiency of DNA repair and the induction of apoptosis were compared between groups of individuals using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Correlations between melphalan-induced DNA damage and induction of apoptosis were assessed by the linear regression analysis. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. P values indicating statistical significance of the gene expression levels where corrected for multiple comparisons (FDR correction; Additional file 1: Table S1) [18].

**Results**

**Nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair are defective in SLE, regardless of disease activity level**

In the present study, two major DNA repair mechanisms were evaluated in SLE patients, i.e., nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair. Based on our previous experience, we used the genotoxic drug melphalan as a tool to induce (a) DNA lesions such as
the monofunctional binding of this drug to a single site in the DNA molecule (monoadducts), which are almost exclusively repaired by nucleotide excision repair [16], and (b) DNA double-strand breaks, which are indirectly formed as a consequence of melphalan-induced oxidative stress [19] and as intermediates in the repair of melphalan-induced DNA damage [20, 21].

First, the efficiency of the nucleotide excision repair was measured in six patients with quiescent disease and their matched controls. Since we have previously shown that the efficiency of nucleotide excision repair at the N-ras locus strongly correlates with melphalan-induced apoptosis in PBMCs from healthy controls [22], the repair kinetics of N-ras-specific monoadducts were followed for up to 48 h using Southern blot analysis (Fig. 1a). In all subjects, similar formation of monoadducts was found at the end of the 5-min melphalan treatment. Thereafter, their levels were decreased, with the removal capacity being higher in healthy controls than in quiescent SLE patients and lowest in patients with active proliferative nephritis (Fig. 1b). In accordance to these data, monoadducts burden (expressed as the area under the curve for DNA adducts during the whole experiment), a parameter strongly correlating with the cytotoxicity of genotoxic agents [23], was significantly higher in quiescent SLE patients compared to healthy controls; maximal values were observed in patients with active proliferative nephritis (Fig. 1c).

Then, to study the formation and repair of DNA double-strand breaks, γH2AX foci as a marker of DNA damage and the formation of Rad51 foci as a marker of homologous recombination were determined. In all subjects analyzed, γH2AX foci reached maximal levels within 8 h; thereafter, their levels were decreased, with the removal capacity being higher in healthy controls than in SLE patients (Fig. 2a, b). Increased γH2AX levels were found in lupus nephritis compared to quiescent SLE patients; statistical significance was observed at 2 h and 48 h following melphalan treatment (Fig. 2b). In accordance to these results, higher γH2AX foci burden, expressed as AUC, was observed in quiescent SLE patients compared to healthy controls, whereas patients with active proliferative nephritis showed higher γH2AX burden than quiescent SLE patients (Fig. 2c). The Rad51 response followed the same time course as the γH2Ax response, peaking at 8 h and declining thereafter, with healthy controls showing lower Rad51 foci levels compared to quiescent SLE patients (Fig. 2d-f).

**Apoptosis rates of quiescent SLE-derived mononuclear cells correlate inversely with DNA repair efficiencies**

The melphalan-induced apoptosis rates in PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients and their matched healthy

---

**Fig. 1** Impaired nucleotide excision repair in SLE patients.

**a** Representative autoradiograms for the Southern blot analysis of melphalan-induced N-ras-specific DNA adducts. 0/0, no treatment. The kinetics of monoadducts (b) and total amounts of monoadducts expressed as AUC (c) in quiescent systemic lupus erythematosus (QSLE) and lupus nephritis (LN) patients as well as in 12 healthy controls (HC) are shown. The asterisks indicate significant differences (*p* < 0.05) between QSLE and LN patients. The experiments shown were based on a minimum of three independent repeats and the data reported are the mean ± SD of all subjects analyzed.
controls were also measured. We found that the lowest concentrations of melphalan required for the induction of apoptosis were significantly higher in healthy controls than in quiescent SLE patients (Fig. 3a), indicating that PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients are characterized by increased apoptotic rates. Interestingly, an inverse correlation was observed between the melphalan concentration required for the induction of apoptosis and the levels of both types of DNA damage examined in the same quiescent SLE patients (linear regression analysis; Fig. 2).
monoadducts: $r^2 = 0.831$ for quiescent SLE patients and 0.920 for their matched controls, Fig. 3b; double-strand breaks: $r^2 = 0.878$ for quiescent SLE patients and 0.907 for healthy controls, Fig. 3c). Notably, a strong correlation was found between the individual efficiencies of the two DNA repair mechanisms under study in all subjects analyzed (linear regression analysis: $r^2 = 0.767$ for SLE patients and 0.567 for healthy controls, Fig. 3d).

**Defective chromatin organization and nucleotide excision repair in quiescent SLE are partially corrected by vorinostat**

We next analyzed chromatin condensation in the N-ras gene locus, using micrococcal nuclease digestion of untreated PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients and their matched controls. As shown in Fig. 4a and b, patients are characterized by more condensed chromatin at the N-ras locus than their matched controls. That is, in healthy controls the N-ras gene locus gave rise mostly to mono- and di-nucleosome structures, with a significant portion in mono-nucleosomes, while the same locus in quiescent SLE patients gave rise to di-, tri-nucleosomes and higher structures.

Chromatin organization and the efficiency of nucleotide excision repair were also evaluated in the N-ras gene locus following treatment of PBMCs from quiescent SLE with vorinostat, a histone deacetylase inhibitor which has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma [24]. First, we determined the degree of H4 histone acetylation in PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients after treatment with vorinostat using Western blot analysis; nonacetylated histone H4 was included as a control for acetylated histone H4. We found that before incubation with vorinostat, the levels of acetylated histone H4 were low and did not increase remarkably with up to 1 $\mu$M vorinostat for 24 hours. However, incubation with 2.5 $\mu$M vorinostat for 24 h resulted in the maximal accumulation of acetylated histone H4 (Fig. 4d) associated with chromatin decondensation (Fig. 4c). In line with previous data showing that the rate of repair of UV-induced DNA damage increased following histone hyperacetylation by sodium butyrate [25], we found that vorinostat treatment of PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients resulted in increased efficiency of nucleotide excision repair (Fig. 4e) and decreased DNA damage burden of these cells (Fig. 4f). Conversely, following treatment of PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients with a-amanitin, an inhibitor of RNA polymerase II, which causes conversion of chromatin into a more...
condensed form [16], chromatin compaction of the N-ras gene locus (Additional file 1: Figure S1a, b) was accompanied by reduction of the efficiency of nucleotide excision repair and increased DNA damage burden (Additional file 1: Figure S1c, d).

**Vorinostat ameliorates DNA double-strand breaks repair efficiency and decreases apoptosis rates in quiescent SLE**

The effects of the chromatin organization modifiers on the efficiency of double-strand breaks repair and the apoptosis rates were also evaluated. In line with previous data showing that the compaction of chromatin influences the efficiency of the DNA damage response and the sensitivity of cells to DNA double-strand breaks [26], we found that vorinostat treatment of PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients increased the repair efficiency of double-strand breaks (Fig. 4g), reduced double-strand breaks burden (Fig. 4h), and suppressed apoptosis rates of these cells (Fig. 4i). Conversely, in all subjects, treatment of PBMCs with a-amanitin resulted in higher double-strand breaks levels and increased apoptosis rates (Additional file 1: Figure S1e-g). Under the conditions used in this study, vorinostat or a-amanitin alone did not induce apoptosis in PBMCs from all subjects examined (data not shown).

**Altered expression of DNA repair- and apoptosis-related genes in quiescent SLE**

The expression of genes involved in DNA damage repair and apoptosis pathways was analyzed in untreated PBMCs by RT² Profiler™ PCR Array (Fig. 5a). Of 84 genes examined, a total of 37 genes representing several non-mutually exclusive categories demonstrated at least twofold difference in gene expression between healthy controls and quiescent SLE patients (Additional file 1: Tables S2 and S3). Particularly, the downregulated genes were categorized into nucleotide excision repair (DDB1, ERCC2, XPA, XPC), double-strand breaks repair (BLM, CHEK1, HUS1, MRE11A, NBN, RAD50, RAD51, RPA1, TP53BP1, XRCC2, XRCC6), base excision repair (LIG1, PARP1, XRCC1), mismatch repair (MLH1, MLH3) and signaling pathways (ATM, RAD1) (Fig. 5b). The expression of the DNA repair XRCC3 gene was also found decreased in SLE patients. On the other hand, several overexpressed genes are implicated in the apoptosis pathway, including PPP1R15A, CDKN1A, BARD1, RAD21, RAD9A, PRKDC, CIB1, BRCA1, ABL1, CHEK2 and BBC3 (Fig. 5c). Also, GADD45A, involved in DNA repair, and H2AFX, a DNA damage response gene, were overexpressed in lupus PBMCs.

Finally, we performed a systems biology analysis of the significantly differentially expressed transcripts on canonical pathways through IPA®, which revealed significant involvement (enrichment p value < 0.05) of several DNA damage response and repair pathways, including nucleotide excision repair (p = 1.00E-007; Additional file 1: Figure S2), homologous recombination (p = 5.01E-020; Additional file 1: Figure S3), nonhomologous end joining (p = 2.51E-017; Additional file 1: Figure S2), base excision repair (p = 2.63E-010; Additional file 1: Figure S3), nonhomologous end joining (p = 3.16E-014; Additional file 1: Figure S7), as well as apoptosis pathway signaling (p = 3.16E-003). Given that the enrichment analysis was performed on the differentially expressed genes only, the fact that most of the preselected genes were differentially expressed enhances the initial working hypothesis.

**Discussion**

Herein, two major DNA repair mechanisms were evaluated ex vivo in patients with quiescent SLE and active lupus nephritis. The first mechanism, namely nucleotide excision repair was found to be less efficient in SLE patients compared to healthy controls, whereas patients with quiescent disease showed higher repair efficiency than those with active nephritis. Since the specific chromatin environment of a DNA lesion is considered an important factor that may affect recognition of lesions and repair rates [16, 27], a possible explanation for the lower efficiency of nucleotide excision repair could be related to a defective chromatin organization in our patients. Indeed, we found that quiescent SLE patients are characterized by more condensed local chromatin than healthy controls, which possibly impedes the access of DNA repair proteins to sites of DNA damage, thus reducing DNA repair capacity [28].

Whether a defective chromatin organization in SLE is associated with aberrations in histone acetylation processes remains unclear. In general, histone acetyltransferases and deacetylases regulate chromatin accessibility by adding or removing, respectively, acetyl groups to lysine residues in the N-terminal histone domains [29]. Acetylation neutralizes the positive charge of histone lysines, weakening electrostatic DNA-histone interactions and increasing DNA accessibility, while deacetylation strengthens DNA-histone interactions, thus decreasing DNA accessibility. Regarding the patterns of histone modifications in SLE patients, controversial results have been reported. For example, Zhou et al. [30] reported that histone H3 acetylation of the TNFSF7 (CD70) promoter in SLE CD4+ T cells is significantly increased, and may contribute to the development of lupus by increasing the expression of the CD70 gene. In addition, in monocytes isolated from SLE patients, Zhang et al. [31] identified a group of 179 genes with significantly enriched acetylation of H4 promoter compared to controls. Other data showed significantly increased total histone H4
acetylation in SLE monocytes [32]. In contrast to the above, and in line with our findings, global hypoacetylation of histone H3 and H4 was observed in active lupus CD4+ T cells compared with controls [33]. Notably, the degree of histone H3 acetylation correlated inversely with SLE disease activity [33].

The second major mechanism studied herein, namely DNA double-strand breaks repair, was also found less efficient in SLE patients compared to healthy controls, with the DNA double-strand breaks burden being higher in patients with active nephritis compared to those with quiescent SLE. These results are in line with recent data showing that both T cells and monocytes in SLE patients have increased sensitivity to acquire double-strand breaks following oxidative stress, which associates with disease activity [34]. Although we did not address the mechanistic basis for the decreased double-strand breaks repair efficiency of our patients, previous studies have...
shown that many repair proteins implicated in this repair mechanism are targets for autoantibodies. For example, autoantibodies against DNA repair proteins involved in nonhomologous end joining, including the two subunits of Ku protein, the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) protein, the catalytic subunit of the DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) and the DNA ligase IV were all identified in patients with systemic autoimmune diseases [35–38]. Moreover, a cell-penetrating lupus autoantibody, 3E10, was found to preferentially bind DNA single-strand tails and inhibit key steps in DNA single- and double-strand breaks repair process [39]. Interestingly, we found that the individual capacities of both nucleotide excision repair and double-strand breaks repair mechanisms strongly correlated with the corresponding PBMCs apoptosis rates, in either SLE patients or healthy controls, supporting our hypothesis that accumulation of DNA damage contributes to increased apoptosis.

Following our assumption that the more condensed chromatin in our quiescent lupus patients is linked to deficient DNA repair, we considered that chemical modifiers of chromatin organization would affect repair efficiency. Indeed, we found that treatment of PBMCs from quiescent SLE patients with the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat resulted in hyperacetylation of histone H4, chromatin decondensation, amelioration of both nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair efficiencies, and decreased apoptosis. Previous studies have shown that the in vivo administration of several histone deacetylase inhibitors including vorinostat, corrected the site-specific hypoacetylation states on H3 and H4 lupus mouse models with marked improvement of disease phenotype [40–42].

In line with the data presented above, gene expression results showed that many genes involved in DNA damage repair and signaling pathways were downregulated in quiescent SLE patients, whereas, several genes...
implicated in the apoptosis pathway were upregulated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in SLE patients showing underexpression of the XPA, XPC, HUS1, MRE11A, NBN, RAD50, RAD51, RPA1, TP53BP1 and ATM genes involved in DNA damage repair and signaling pathways, as well as overexpression of PPP1R15A, BARD1, BBC3, BRCA1 genes involved in the apoptosis pathway. Moreover, in accordance to previous studies, we found downregulation of the excision repair cross-complementing ERCC2 and ERCC5 genes [43, 44], the X-ray repair cross-complementing XRC6 gene [44], the DDB1 gene coding for the damage-specific DNA binding protein 1 [45], the Bloom syndrome RecQ helicase-like gene (BLM) [46], the XRCC1 gene [11] and the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 gene (PARP-1) [47]. Also, we found that SLE cells showed higher GADD45A mRNA levels as compared with healthy controls [48]. Overexpression of several other apoptosis-related genes has also been reported in PBMCs from SLE patients [49].

Regarding the pathogenetic mechanisms of autoimmunity, an important link between DNA damage repair and the activation of the type I interferon (IFN) characterizing SLE emerges [50, 51]. Indeed, Gunther et al. [52] reported recently that rare variants of the genome surveillance enzyme ribonuclease H2 gene are associated with systemic autoimmunity and that these variants resulted in impaired ribonucleotide removal. They also showed that such embedded ribonucleotides caused increased UV-induced cyclobutane pyrimidine dimer formation and enhanced type I IFN signaling, thus linking mutations in a DNA repair enzyme with systemic autoimmunity. Furthermore, Härtlova et al. [53] showed that accumulation of spontaneous DNA lesions stimulates type I IFN system via the activation of the STING pathway by self-DNA released into the cytoplasm, implying that the DNA repair network emerges as an important new player in the pathogenesis of autoimmunity. Moreover, a polymorphism in the DNA repair gene RAD51B has been associated with increased risk of SLE [54].

A limitation of the present work is the small sample size of patients with quiescent SLE; increasing the number of patients would increase confidence in the data generated. However, the main conclusion of this study, i.e., that both nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair were defective in SLE patients (a) was confirmed in both quiescent SLE and in lupus nephritis patients (12 SLE patients versus 12 healthy controls) and (b) is in line with previous data showing that SLE T cells and monocytes have increased sensitivity to acquiring DNA double-strand breaks in response to oxidative stress [34]. Moreover, since total PBMCs were used in the present study, we cannot exclude the possibility that differences in cell subsets constituents between patients and controls contribute to some of the differences observed. Notably, a recent report provides evidence for defective repair of oxidative stress-induced double-strand breaks in all peripheral blood mononuclear cell subsets (CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells and monocytes) derived from SLE patients compared with those from healthy controls [34]. Also, previous studies have also shown that both lymphocytes and neutrophils from SLE patients display increased DNA damage and, additionally, may demonstrate defective repair of oxidative DNA damage [9, 10].

Conclusions
Our findings support the hypothesis that increased apoptosis in SLE could be due, at least in part, to defective DNA repair and chromatin organization (Fig. 6). Based on our findings we propose that SLE patients, for reasons currently unknown, are characterized by condensed chromatin in certain loci, resulting in lower repair efficiency of important DNA repair pathways (nucleotide excision repair and DNA double-strand breaks repair) and, thus, increased DNA damage burden, which in turn triggers the induction of the apoptotic pathway. Although not tested in vivo, i.e., in lupus mouse models, treatment of human SLE-

![Fig. 6](https://example.com/f6.png)
derived cells with the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat results in chromatin decondensation, amelioration of DNA repair efficiency, and decreased apoptosis. Therefore, further work on the mechanisms of the epigenetic imbalance in DNA damage repair may reveal potential therapeutic targets in SLE.
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