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Abstract
This special issue focuses on the developments in ethical standards in the post-communist countries of Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) including the former Soviet Union. Over thirty years have elapsed since the demise of the Soviet Bloc and, despite some common institutional features, the societies have had very different experiences with uneven developments across the region since the collapse of communism. In this special issue, the authors explore business and management ethics situated within the context of the challenges that face these still transforming post-communist societies. The papers cover a range of issues and countries including Albania, Belarus, Bulgaria, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, North Macedonia, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia. Potential further avenues for research are identified in the field of business ethics in post-communist societies.
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Introduction
This special issue, which follows on from the last special issue of the Journal of Business Ethics on post-communist societies (Brown et al., 2003), focuses on the developments in ethical standards in the post-communist countries of Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) including the former Soviet Union. Over thirty years have elapsed since the demise of the Soviet Bloc, and despite some common institutional features, the societies have had very different experiences (Hardy, 2014; Myant & Drahokoupil, 2010, 2012) with uneven developments across the region since the collapse of communism. In this special issue the authors explore business and management ethics situated within the context of the challenges that face these still transforming post-communist societies.

The post-communist societies of Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union have experienced radical changes since the collapse of communism. The events stimulated enormous interest in how, and how far, after decades of state socialism, these societies could adjust to the globalised economic context. The starting point of post-communism with the legacy of its inherited institutions, was so distinctive from existing varieties of capitalism that Western social scientists regarded the CEE region as an “immense social laboratory” for the study of radical change at all levels of society (Whitley & Czaban, 1998, p. 260). Initially, neo-liberal economists also found a lot of support regionally and internationally for their advocacy of an economic transition defined by the quick introduction of free market conditions and institutions (the so-called ‘shock therapy’) coupled with the simultaneous eradication of those structures inherited from state socialism (cf. Hoen, 1996). This approach was also politically regarded at the time as a way of preventing the nomenklatura potentially taking back
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control. The quick introduction of a market economy was seen as a vital part of the process of restoring democracy (Brom & Orenstein, 1994; Holy, 1996; Kopeček, 2011). Underlying the dynamics of the political and economic shifts in the former state socialist societies of Central and Eastern Europe were fundamental processes of social reformation. It had been argued (e.g., Haynes, 1992) that the pressures for political change for the most part originated from two levels: The grass roots and the top of society. In many of the communist regimes the pressures for change had been resisted, through force, if necessary. The critical element in tipping the balance leading up to the revolutions in 1989 was the reorientation of the interests of the top members. This has had paradoxical effects on the post-communist societal transformation. It is irrefutable that the changes in the political and economic systems of these countries have been revolutionary, a characteristic that has attracted so much Western interest in the problems and destinies of these countries. However, other researchers who have considered the political and economic decision-making behind the changes have tended to suggest that the transition had been directed and driven by the same people as had defended the politico-economic status quo before 1989 (Callinicos, 1991; Haynes, 1992; Wolchik, 1991).

However, in retrospect, it has become evident that the mainstream, western inspired, neo-liberal economic theories preferred by international economic institutions (e.g., the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development) that had given financial assistance to the region and that guided the reform process at its earliest stages (e.g. Amsden et al., 1994; Lipton & Sachs, 1990; Sachs, 1996; Whitley & Czaban, 1998) have failed to account for the deep pull of diverse institutional histories across the countries of CEE, rendering socio-economic shifts towards capitalism both uneven and fragmented (Hardy, 2014; Soulsby & Clark, 2007).

At a societal level, a particular issue for these former communist societies has been the necessity for the development of new political and economic institutions to meet the requirements of modern European-style market democracies. In CEE, an important part of this process was the move to develop these societies to conform to the norms of the European Union (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia in 2004; Bulgaria and Romania, 2007; Croatia in 2013. The other countries of the Western Balkans are in the process of joining the European Union). In addition, the effects of the financial crisis in 2008 are also still being differently felt across the region (Soulsby et al., 2017).

The experience of these radical societal changes, together with the revelations of political and economic corruption, has revealed the need for greater ethical awareness and stronger ethical standards in business and management as the effects and consequences of decisions taken during the early years and middle years of transformation become more apparent (Sicakova-Beblava & Beblavy, 2016). The ethical problems and challenges that had been created by these radical changes had been recognised early on in the transition. Bohatá, in her research in the mid-1990s, found that there were no governmental initiatives in CEE to tackle problems in the field of business ethics (Bohatá, 1997, p. 1575).

As Remišová et al. (2019) found in their research in Slovakia, in the 1990s, the implementation of business ethics was mostly associated with, or sometimes confused with, the adoption of a code of ethics. The term ‘ethics program’ was used arbitrarily and was not associated with a systematic or long-term development of business ethics in organizations. In the mid-1990s, the first connections between both Slovak business practice and academia with business ethics were developed. However, at the end of the 1990s there was a change in attitudes to the regulation of business activities in Slovakia. Some businesses with a strong drive for long-term economic success realised that their economic strategy could not be successfully applied in an unethical business environment. The development of appropriate legislative and political structures and an ethical framework with systematic support from the government was needed. Private companies and other not-profit organizations, together with academics, took the initiative and started to develop the theory and practice of business ethics (Remišová et al., 2019). In the field of public administration and local government there have been relatively few studies of ethics and ethical behaviour by public servants (for exceptions, see Bohatá et al., 2018; Kooskora & Virjoka, 2015; Rybnikova & Toleikienė, 2019; Stare & Klun, 2016). It has taken considerable time for ethics to become more important in the field of public administration. This has also had an effect in contributing to a weak political environment in terms of preventing or restraining unprofessional practices and unethical behaviour.

In the early days of the transition period managers of organizations had to respond to the fast changes in their markets and the privatisation of former state-owned enterprises (Filatotchev et al., 1994; Gray, 1996). Managers also had to respond to the challenge of the re-legitimisation of management as an activity in post-communist society where

---

1 The key institution responsible for developing and sustaining the previous social elite, or ruling class, was the nomenklatura system. This was a list of posts where the approval of the Communist Party was required for someone hold that position e.g., state enterprise managers or positions in various institutions. This control meant that the Communist Party, at central, regional, and local levels, had maintained a senior group of trusted comrades (Ionescu, 1967).
managers were viewed as part of the previous repressive state bureaucracy (Bohatá, 1997). They had to demonstrate they had the credentials and expertise to manage organizations along more recognisably western lines and that they could compete with the market challenges posed by arrival of foreign direct investment and new businesses (Clark & Soulsby, 2007). However, the managers were used to running state-owned enterprises under the system of central planning. The state-owned enterprise was integrated around a simple functional hierarchy, which administered production processes to meet given targets and supply products and services to ‘partner’ enterprises. These bureaucratic structures, the ideological attachment of senior managers to the Communist Party via the nomenklatura system of political appointment and associated incentive structures strongly encouraged inertia and compliance (Clark & Soulsby, 1995; Kozminski, 1995). The ideological emphasis of the socialist state on employment as well as the problems created by a shortage economy had also led to senior managers indulging the work force and the systematic creation of overstaffing (Kornai, 1980). Moreover, senior managers usually had little direct experience of markets (unless they worked for the export organizations) and minimal modern technical know-how of systems such as quality assurance. Strategic managers poorly understood the nature of operating in competitive business environments (Myant, 1993; Newman, 2000; Soulsby & Clark, 1996) and the personnel function was tainted and defined by its relationship to the Communist Party (Koubek & Brewster, 1995). However, the managers had the advantages of being well-placed in terms of networks and knowledge about the enterprises.

The intention behind the privatisation processes was to create owners for former state-owned enterprises (SOEs), imposing the discipline of the market on decision-making and organizational restructuring. However, the existing management often continued to exercise high levels of discretion over strategic decision-making with ethical consequences for the future ownership and governance of SOEs (e.g., Sanders, 2006). Moreover, the rolling back of the state as part of the neo-liberal approach that was fashionable in the early 1990s meant that the state was often in too weak a position to control the cronyism and corruption that was often part of the privatisation of state-owned assets (Smallbone & Welte, 2001). Managers were therefore either in position to directly acquire the enterprise’s assets or were kept on in their positions by other external shareholders (Hingorani et al., 1997; Reed, 1995).

In reflecting on the radical changes that have happened over the region since the collapse of communism it is timely and important to evaluate these processes of change. Many of the members of the elite that had previously controlled these societies had found a way to survive the transition period. From the early 1990s, managers that were able to demonstrate competent professional, organizational, functional and technical skills and were adaptable to the new environment were able to survive the period (Steger & Lang, 2003). Other managers survived through mobilising their social and political connections and networks, maintaining and transforming their communist privileges as former members of the nomenklatura (Clark & Soulsby, 1999; Ionescu, 1967; Lane, 1976; Soulsby & Clark, 1996; Večerník, 1999; Waller, 1993). For many, a key attribute was the ability to let go of the past and orientate themselves to the requirements of the new market economies (Steger & Lang, 2003). Also, since the collapse of the Soviet Bloc, a new generation of managers has developed. Since the start of the 2000s, the managers that have survived the political and economic turbulence of the changes of the 1990s, together with the new generation of owners and managers of new businesses that have since been established, have now become members of an elite in a western-marketised society with all the attendant social and economic advantages for them and their families (Hraba et al., 2000; Večerník, 1999).

As discussed above, the rapid collapse of state socialism after 1989 together with the need to cope with the radical changes necessary to create a market economy raised many ethical challenges for business and management. In order to provide a wide perspective in evaluating the changes since 1989, in the call for papers, the guest editors encouraged the submission of papers from across a range of disciplinary and methodological approaches on the ethical challenges facing business and management, e.g.: The effects of foreign direct investment; developing national government and local civic structures; developing regulatory bodies; developing professional associations; the development of management education in universities. After the call for papers closed, thirty-one papers were received for consideration for the special issue and fifteen papers were sent out for peer-review. After the rounds of review were completed, six papers were finally accepted. The accepted papers (some of which are comparative) cover a range of issues and countries: Albania, Belarus, Bulgaria, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lithuania, North Macedonia, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia. Table 1 gives more details and summarises the approaches taken by the authors.

The article by Chadee et al. (2021) is a comparative paper that investigates the influence of formal and informal institutions on firm innovation in transitional economies of Central and Eastern Europe. The authors differentiate between corruption and bribery as distinct informal institutions. They integrate institutional theory and legitimacy theory to explain that the failure of formal institutions creates an environment of corruption which therefore encourages firms to use bribes to facilitate economic exchange. Chadee et al. (2021) use a large sample (n = 1603) to test their hypotheses on the innovation performance of firms in eleven countries.
| Authors | Chadee, Roxas and Kouznetsov | Grigore, Molesworth, Vontea, Basnawi, Celep and Jesudoss | Koleva and Meadows | Potocan and Nedelko | Takačs-Haynes and Raskovic | Tokbaeva |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Main ethical issues | Bribery, corruption | CSR | CSR | Ethical standards and practices | Ethical behaviour of organizations and unethical behaviour of employees | Corruption | Leadershio style, managerial ethics |
| Level of analysis | Company | Individual | Company | Individual / Company | Individual / Society | Company |
| Country focus | Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia | Romania | Bulgaria | Slovenia | Hungary, North Macedonia, Slovenia | Russia, Kyrgyzstan |
| Time frame of empirical data | 2012–2014 | 2013–2016 | 2019 | 2006–2016 | 2019 | 2014–2016 |
| Theoretical approach | Institutional theory, legitimacy theory | Theory of ethics (Z. Bauman) | Theory of CSR washing | Behavioural ethics (L. Trevino) | Social identity theory, social cognitive theory | Concepts of charisma and authority (M. Weber) |
| Methodological approach | Quantitative secondary data (BEEPS data base) | Qualitative interviews | Qualitative interviews | Quantitative survey | Qualitative interviews | Qualitative interviews, company case studies |
| Main contribution | Weak regulative framework promotes corruption which inhibits firm innovation. Use of bribery enables firms to transform this environment into an innovation-friendly one | Individuals’ interest in CSR primarily for instrumental reasons, no long-term responsibility for CSR | Corruption accepted and normal in business practices. CSR engagement primarily cynical and used to minimise corporate damage | Tolerance for unethical behaviour goes down during crisis but goes up again afterwards. Organization position impacts on organizational ethical behaviour. Smaller organizations engage less in ethical behaviour than larger ones | Various social identities influence both individual and collective ethical behaviour. Some moral disengagement mechanisms are common across countries, some are linked to specific circumstances | Charismatic leadership start private businesses—rational-legal authority in established businesses. No systematic approach towards teaching and training in managerial ethics in CEE |
in Central and Eastern Europe (Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia). They argue that the results show that the weak rule of law and ineffective business regulations in Central and Eastern Europe propagate the perception of corruption that inhibits firm innovation. However, interestingly, they find that the use of bribery enables firms to transform this environment of corruption into one where they can innovate. Chadee et al. (2021) develop their findings by exploring the implications of their research for policy makers and managers for differentiating between corruption and bribery, arguing that bribery acts as a glue for binding informal and formal institutions. As they note, this is a relatively under-researched area in differentiating the state of corruption and the practice of bribery.

The article by Grigore et al. (2021), explores the nature of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Romania. The authors use qualitative research methods conducting fifty-three in-depth interviews. The sample of respondents are CSR practitioners and managers in non-profit organizations who work on CSR projects and the authors study their lived experience in a post-communist society. The authors argue that researchers in the field often focus on normative and ethical claims about social progress or claims about the instrumental or strategic advantages of corporate effectiveness. In this article, their view is more upon the moral conditions of people undertaking CSR in a specific social context of a post-communist society and ethics and moral ambivalence. The authors use Zygmunt Bauman’s theorisation of ethics including adiaphora and moral distancing. They also draw upon the work of Borţun’s interpretation of ‘Romanianess’. The authors then theorise liquid CSR as an ambivalence between adiaphoric practice (instrumental morality, careerism, and self-interest) and the moral impulse to do good, resulting in both intended (short-term promotion and competitive victimhood) and unintended consequences (a potential for corruption and collateral beneficiaries). Grigore et al. (2021) interestingly note, in their research on CSR in Romania, that individuals turn to CSR for instrumental reasons and take no responsibility for CSR in the long term. However, the authors note that they hope that Romanian people will be able to develop a broader understanding of democratic structures and citizens responsibilities, moving away from CSR projects that are in reality only a façade of progress.

The article by Koleva and Meadows (2020) also looks at Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and business ethics. It is a single country study, namely Bulgaria. Koleva and Meadows argue that CSR currently has a large body of theoretical literature and empirical work developed by mainly Western scholars and practitioners, but it is an under-explored topic in non-Western transition societies, particularly post-communist societies. The interest in researching CSR and business ethics in CEE and transition economies is relatively new (Jaffe & Tsimerman, 2005; Kooskora et al., 2005). The article is based on a qualitative approach to develop the study, using data from thirty-four executives who work in private and public sectors. In particular, Koleva and Meadows look at CSR-Washing where organizations use CSR very instrumentally and set their study against the context of the Communist period as well as developing what they call a ‘Neo-Communist model’ to conceptualise the links to past. They find that an unethical approach to CSR is commonplace in the Bulgarian business context as corruption is accepted and normal in business practices and taken for granted in society. The primary reason for people engaging with CSR is therefore a mainly cynical one as Bulgarian business people are sceptical about the worth of CSR as it is often deliberately used to minimise any corporate damage and to protect corporate image.

The next article by Potocan and Nedelko (this issue) looks at the significance of the 2008 economic crisis and the ethical behaviour of organizations and employees. The study is based in Slovenia and uses quantitative method of structural equation modelling to analyse answers collected from a sample of 2024 employees between 2006 and 2016. It is an article that also contributes because it has a longitudinal aspect that starts before the 2008 economic crisis allowing the authors to study changes in ethical behaviour and place them in historical context. Potocan and Nedelko also discuss the impact of the process of applying to join the European Union and its effects on ethical standards and practices in Slovenia. They note that during a crisis the tolerance for unethical behaviour goes down but after the crisis it went up again but not as much as before. Their research found that the position of respondents in the organization and organizational size significantly affected organizational ethical behaviour. Middle managers viewed the ethical duties of organizations as significantly less important compared to professionals in the study. This corresponds to the findings that managers may have a “rosier view” of ethical issues compared to other employees (Trevino et al., 2008). This could be a consequence of middle managers primary focus on employees and the organization’s operational results. The authors also found that organizations with fewer than one hundred employees engage significantly less in ethical behaviour compared to organizations with more than one thousand employees thus confirming the relationship of organizational size to the business ethics of organizations (Enderle, 2004; Spence, 1999). Potocan and Nedelko note that for smaller organizations engagement in CSR beyond minimum standards is optional and costly (Williamson et al., 2006) and so concern about ethical and CSR issues is not the top priority (Steurer & Konrad, 2009).

The article by Takacs-Haynes and Raskovic (this issue) is a comparative study that examines corruption across
three different Central and Eastern European countries: Hungary, North Macedonia, and Slovenia. They use an unusual approach in the study: a social psychology framework which seeks to integrate social identity theory, social cognitive theory, and moral disengagement mechanisms. Adopting a qualitative approach, they conducted interviews with nine experts (Bogner & Menz, 2009; Bogner et al., 2018) to explore how various social identities influence individual and collective action. Takacs-Haynes and Raskovic also draw upon the histories of the countries to understand the complexity and multi-layered aspects and features of the different identities, e.g., national histories, the relationship with the European Union. They argue that whilst there is a growing literature on the normalisation of corruption, less is known about the behavioural and cognitive mechanisms in ethical decision-making. In the article, they demonstrate how various social identities influence both individual and collective action in terms of ethical behaviour and corruption, creating and maintaining small-scale, grand, and systemic public and private corruption through triadic co-determination via cognition, behaviour and the environment. The authors find some moral disengagement mechanisms are common across all three countries, whilst others are linked to specific circumstances. Social identity mechanisms feed into moral disengagement, which individuals draw upon to reconcile the conflict between unethical behaviour and moral codes. Patterns of moral disengagement aggregate to the country level and explain normalisation of corruption in CEE society and organizations.

The article by Tokbaeva (this issue) deals with two media holding companies in Russia and Kyrgyzstan. It is a qualitative study primarily based on in-depth forty-three interviews conducted between 2014 and 2016. Tokbaeva uses two case studies of companies that were founded by entrepreneurs in the 1990s to set the context and explore leadership style and business ethics. The author examines post-communist ethics and leadership using Weberian concepts of charisma and legal-rational authority. Tokbaeva argues that in the 1990s and 2000s, business people (without links to elite networks) used charismatic leadership in order start private businesses and establish themselves in the market. However, once the businesses are established, the leadership style transitions to one based on rational-legal authority. Tokbaeva explores the issues of managerial ethics, e.g., career advancement and task delegation that can arise during the transition phase. The author argues that her findings confirm the earlier work of Bohatá (1997) and Rossouw (2011) that there has not been a systematic approach towards teaching and training in managerial ethics in Central and Eastern Europe and Central Asia by organizations such as the chambers of commerce.

In conclusion, the papers in this special issue make a strong contribution to understanding and theorising about the transformations in post-communist countries since the collapse of the Soviet Bloc and the ethical issues of these changes have raised for business and management in these societies. The table above shows that there are two dominant themes in the special issue submissions: Corruption and CSR. In the literature of business ethics in former communist countries, there is obviously a gap in analyses on how business ethics is managed in specific companies and what experiences these companies have had with the implementation of an ethics programme in their organizational culture. Instead, the studies explored how the companies dealt with the philosophy and “best practice” approaches of (Western) CSR. Moreover, there is also a lack of research about the quality of cooperation between government, private enterprises, and NGOs in the development of business ethics in business and society. In terms of future directions for research, it would therefore be very important to explore the role of professional and employers’ associations in the development of business ethics in these countries. As discussed above, in the field of public administration and local government there have also been relatively few studies of ethics and ethical behaviour by public servants (for exceptions, see Bohatá et al., 2018; Kooskora & Virjoka, 2015; Rybnikova & Toleikienė, 2019; Stare & Klun, 2016). More research focus on this would help develop more understanding of the importance of ethical behaviour and standards in public administration.

It could also be very useful to examine the role of global companies in the development of managerial and business ethics in CEE, for example, comparing the process of the implementation of ethics of one global company in different countries. Another approach that would also be beneficial would be to study the development of business ethics in small and medium-sized companies in CEE countries as those companies represent 99% of all businesses in the EU. (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Statistics_on_small_and_medium-sized_enterprises) These are just of the avenues identified for future research. Although it was not possible to include all the submitted papers, the strong interest that call for papers generated for this special issue demonstrates that there is still a substantial scholarly interest in exploring and studying ethics and ethical issues in business and management in post-communist societies.
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