Interaction of streptococcal plasminogen binding proteins with the host fibrinolytic system
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INTRODUCTION

In addition to a largely commensal lifestyle reflected by colonization of mucosal surfaces, species like \textit{S. pyogenes} (group A streptococci, GAS) and \textit{S. pneumoniae} appear as opportunistic pathogens causing a wide spectrum of local and systemic diseases in humans. In agriculture, subclinical infections with \textit{Streptococcus} sp. lead to high financial deficits and an increasing demand for pets fosters the occurrence of streptococcal species in companion animals (Devriese et al., 1986; Staats et al., 1997; van der Linden et al., 2009). Interspecies jumps which might result in real zoonoses, therefore, represent a new attribute of streptococci to their respective host. Hence, a huge variety of plasminogen binding proteins and activation mechanisms exist. This review solely focuses on the genus \textit{Streptococcus} and, in particular, on the so-called non-activating plasminogen binding proteins. Based on structural and functional differences, as well as on their mode of surface linkaging, three groups can be assigned: M-(like) proteins, surface displayed cytoplasmatic proteins with enzymatic activities (“moonlighting proteins”) and other surface proteins. Here, the plasminogen binding sites and the interaction mechanisms are compared. Recent findings on the functional consequences of these interactions on tissue degradation and immune evasion are summarized.

The ability to take advantage of plasminogen and its activated form plasmin is a common mechanism used by commensals as well as pathogenic bacteria in interaction with their respective host. Hence, a huge variety of plasminogen binding proteins and activation mechanisms exist. This review solely focuses on the genus \textit{Streptococcus} and, in particular, on the so-called non-activating plasminogen binding proteins. Based on structural and functional differences, as well as on their mode of surface linkaging, three groups can be assigned: M-(like) proteins, surface displayed cytoplasmatic proteins with enzymatic activities (“moonlighting proteins”) and other surface proteins. Here, the plasminogen binding sites and the interaction mechanisms are compared. Recent findings on the functional consequences of these interactions on tissue degradation and immune evasion are summarized.

Keywords: plasminogen, \textit{Streptococcus}, enolase, M-protein, SCM, transmigration, phagocytosis

STREPTOCOCCAL PLASMINOGEN BINDING PROTEINS

The relevance of plasminogen binding in streptococcal pathogenesis is documented by an increasing amount of literature published in the last years (Bergmann et al., 2001, 2005; Sun et al., 2004; Sanderson-Smith et al., 2008; Fulde et al., 2011; Siemens et al., 2011; Teles et al., 2012; Agarwal et al., 2013). The structure of bacterial receptors, their surface linkage, and their mechanism of plasminogen binding differ significantly. Based on this, three groups of proteins can be assigned (Table 1): (a)
| Strain | Plg-binding protein | Additional functions | References |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|
| (a) M- and M-like proteins | | | |
| S. canis | SCM | M-like protein, protection against phagocytosis | Fulde et al., 2011 |
| S. pyogenes | PAM | M-protein | Berge and Sjöbring, 1993; Ringdahl and Sjöbring, 2000 |
| S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis | Prp | M-protein | Sanderson-Smith et al., 2006a; 2007; 2008 |
| (b) Moonlighting proteins | | | |
| S. pneumoniae | Eno/SEN (Enolase) | Binding to C4b binding protein | Eberhard et al., 1999; Bergmann et al., 2001, 2003, 2005; Agarwal et al., 2012 |
| S. canis | | Protection against phagocytosis | Fulde et al., 2013a |
| S. pyogenes | | | Pancholi and Fischetti, 1998; Pancholi, 2001 |
| S. mutans | | | Jones and Holt, 2007 |
| S. suis | | Fibronectin binding | Esgeleas et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2012 |
| S. oralis | | | Kinnby et al., 2008 |
| S. pneumoniae | GAPDH (Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphodehydrogenase) | Actin and fibrinogen binding | Seifert et al., 2003 |
| | Pir (plasminogen-receptor) | | Kinnby et al., 2008 |
| | SDH (surface dehydrogenase) | | Gase et al., 1996 |
| S. agalactiae | | Binding to fibronectin, lysozyme, myosin, actin, uPAR/CD87; bacterial adherence; neutrophil evasion; inhibition of complement; ADPribosylation | Lottenberg et al., 1992; Pancholi and Fischetti, 1992, 1993, 1997; Winram and Lottenberg, 1996; Boel et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2005; Terao et al., 2006 |
| S. oralis | | | |
| S. dysgalactiae sub sp. equisimilis | | | |
| S. pyogenes | PGK (phosphoglycerate kinase) | Actin binding | Boone et al., 2011; Boone and Tyrrell, 2012 |
| S. pneumoniae | tPA binding | | Fulde et al., 2013b |
| S. oralis | | | Kinnby et al., 2008 |
| S. pneumoniae | PepO (endopeptidaseO) | Fibronectin binding | Agarwal et al., 2013 |
| S. oralis | PGM (phosphoglycerate mutase) | | Kinnby et al., 2008 |
| (c) Other surface proteins | | | |
| S. pneumoniae | PavB (pneumococcal adherence and virulence factor B) | Fibronectin binding, adhesion, colonization, cell adherence | Jensch et al., 2010; Papasergi et al., 2010 |
| | PfbPA | Fibronectin binding, phagocytosis protection | Yamaguchi et al., 2008 |
| | Pce (pneumococcal choline binding protein C) | Choline esterase, dissemination | Attali et al., 2008a,b |
| S. pyogenes | Epf (Extracellular Protein Factor) | MSCRAMM, cell adhesion | Linke et al., 2012 |

The M- and M-like proteins of pyogenic streptococci, e.g., S. canis, GAS, and S. dysgalactiae subs. equisimilis (S. equisimilis) represent a first group (Berge and Sjöbring, 1993; Sanderson-Smith et al., 2006a,b; Bergmann et al., 2011; Fulde et al., 2011, 2013a). These proteins harbor a fibrillar structure with the tendency to dimerize and are covalently linked to the bacterial cell wall via a typical LPxTG motif (Fischetti, 1991). In 1995; Wistedt and co-workers identified K2 as the interaction site.
of the GAS Protein PAM (Table 1) (Wistedt et al., 1995). In contrast, SCM, a M-like protein of S. canis, and the M protein GCS3 of S. equisimilis interact with mPLG (Table 1) (Bergmann et al., 2011; Fulde et al., 2011, 2013a). (b) The second group includes several enzymes of the glycolytic pathway like enolase, phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate-dehydrogenase (GAPDH), a surface dehydrogenase (SDH). These proteins are transported to the bacterial surface by a yet unknown mechanism and comprise moonlighting functions. In contrast to SCM and GCS3, the plasminogen binding site for streptococcal enolase and PGK was narrowed down to the angiostatin domain (Fulde et al., 2013a,b). It is supposed by a yet unknown mechanism and comprise moonlighting functions, which mediate plasminogen and fibronectin binding and attachment to phosphoryl moieties of the cell wall.

PLASMINOGEN BINDING SITES

For a long period of time, C-terminally located lysine residues of bacterial proteins were assumed to constitute the exclusive binding sites significantly participating in the interaction of plasminogen with host proteins like fibrin(ogen), alpha2-antiplasmin, eukaryotic enolase, and cell-surface receptors (Miles et al., 1991; Ponting et al., 1992). Meanwhile, additional internal positively charged amino acid motifs had been identified as important binding sites for the pneumococcal enolase and pneumococcal endopeptidase O (PepO) (Table 1), as well as for the M-proteins of S. canis and GAS (Wistedt et al., 1995; Bergmann et al., 2003; Sanderson-Smith et al., 2006b, 2007, 2012; Fu et al., 2008; Fulde et al., 2011; Agarwal et al., 2013). These proteins share a stalk-domain containing repetitive amino acid sequences, which mediate plasminogen and fibronectin binding and attachment to phosphoryl moieties of the cell wall.

PLASMINOGEN-MEDIATED INTERACTION

Plasminogen circulates as a mono-chained multi-domain protein in the blood of vertebrates (Pollanen et al., 1991). Even without activation, recruitment of plasminogen to the bacterial surface has been reported as pivotal pathogenicity mechanism promoting bacterial attachment to cell surfaces (Lottenberg et al., 1994). Remarkably, intranasal mouse infection studies demonstrated that plasminogen recruitment to pneumococci significantly contribute to virulence in mice (Bergmann et al., 2003). Bacterial interaction with the host fibrinolytic system represents a double edged sword. While plasminogen binding to some commensals enhances bacterial colonization and protects tissues against access by pathogens, subversion of plasmin-mediated proteolysis by pathogens promotes infection with occasionally severe pathophysiological consequences. Several publications elucidate detailed mechanisms of plasmin activity recruited on streptococcal surface via single streptococcal plasminogen binding proteins (refer to references listed in Table 1).

PLASMINOGEN BINDING PROTEINS PROMOTE ATTACHMENT TO PROTEINS OF THE EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX

Interaction of streptococcal enolase with plasminogen has been reported for many species of the genus Streptococcus (Pancholi and Fischetti, 1992; Bergmann et al., 2001; Itzek et al., 2010; Fulde et al., 2013a). Its role in pathogenicity has been analyzed using a variety of in vivo and ex vivo infection models (Pancholi and Fischetti, 1998; Pancholi and Chhatwal, 2003; Bergmann et al., 2005, 2013; Agarwal et al., 2012). In addition, many moonlighting proteins have been characterized as adhesive molecules mediating streptococcal binding to proteins of the extracellular matrix (ECM) like fibronectin (Table 1) (Pancholi and Fischetti, 1992; Seifert et al., 2003; Bergmann and Hammerschmidt, 2006; Eglesas et al., 2008; Paterson and Ohiuela, 2010; Bernardo-Garcia et al., 2011; Voss et al., 2012). This interaction promotes the contact of the bacteria with epithelial and endothelial cell surfaces (Eglesas et al., 2008) and contributes to bacterial colonization of host niches. Importantly, the cooperative binding activity of plasminogen and fibronectin is not only restricted to surface-displayed glycolytic enzymes but has also been demonstrated for non-glycolytic proteins, i.e., PavB, PfbA/B, and PepO of S. pneumoniae and Epf of GAS (Table 1) (Yamaguchi et al., 2008; Jensch et al., 2010; Papasergi et al., 2010; Linek et al., 2012; Agarwal et al., 2013).
ROLE OF PLASMINOGEN IN BACTERIAL-HOST CELL ADHESION AND INTERNALIZATION

In addition to the support of bacterial colonization, binding of ECM-proteins to bacteria has been shown to promote activation of fibronectin-specific integrin receptors, which induces bacterial uptake (Hoffmann et al., 2010; Jensch et al., 2010). Similar to fibronectin, plasminogen mediates adherence of GAS to integrin receptors and triggers bacterial internalization into the cells (Siemens et al., 2011). Interestingly, recent data also demonstrates an adhesive effect of plasminogen when already bound to cell surfaces of epithelial and endothelial cells (Figure 1). As an example, surface-exposed enolase was identified as mediator for plasminogen-dependent bacterial attachment (Table 1) (Bergmann et al., 2013). In contrast to what has been shown for internalization of GAS, pneumococcal uptake in epithelial cells was not promoted by non-activated plasminogen. This strongly indicates an involvement of additional, yet unknown co-factors in cell entry mechanisms of GAS (Siemens et al., 2011).

Considering the conformation of plasminogen, two further interesting observations with respect to cell adhesion have been reported: while coating of pneumococci with Glu-plasminogen decreases attachment, pre-incubation of M-protein expressing S. equisimilis with N-terminally truncated Lys-plasminogen resulted in enhanced bacterial adherence to nasopharyngeal cells (Bergmann et al., 2011). Since Lys-plasminogen possesses an open molecule form, the adhesive capacity may reflect a conformation dependent effect, as already mentioned in the literature (Marshall et al., 1994; Lahteenmaki et al., 2005).

PLASMIN-MEDIATED INTERACTION

Streptococci differ not only in their repertoire of plasminogen binding proteins but also in their intrinsic ability to activate the zymogen. While GAS and S. equisimilis express streptokinases, S. canis, S. pneumoniae, and most of the oral streptococci require host-derived uPA or tPA to convert plasminogen in its active form plasmin (Bergmann et al., 2005; Bergmann and Hammerschmidt, 2007; Kinnby et al., 2008; Itzek et al., 2010; Fulde et al., 2011). Although direct binding of plasminogen activators to streptococcal surface is not required for plasmin activation, a recent publication demonstrates a direct binding of tPA to the pneumococcal moonlighting protein PGK, which has been shown to promote plasminogen activation (Fulde et al., 2013b). In contrast to the cleavage mechanism by uPA and tPA, streptokinases form a protein complex which activates the enzyme domain...
of plasminogen (Boxrud and Bock, 2000; Boxrud et al., 2004). Moreover, immobilization of plasmin in fibrin fibers or on surfaces of cells protects the enzyme against inhibition by its major inhibitor the serpin α2 antiplasmin and also against inactivation via several streptokinase variants (Plow et al., 1986; Hall et al., 1991; Cook et al., 2012). The capture of plasminogen to the bacterial surface via non-activating plasminogen binding proteins induces conformational changes, which promote generation of plasmin activity by host-activators, although no protective effect against α2 antiplasmin inhibition is gained (Miles and Plow, 1985; Plow et al., 1986). Nevertheless, conversion of plasminogen to plasmin equips the bacteria with efficient proteolytic activity, which is targeted against plasmin substrates of the host.

DEGRADATION OF FIBRIN THROMBI AND COMPONENTS OF THE EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX

Severe systemic streptococcal infections are frequently accompanied by enhanced vascular coagulation, which entraps bacteria in fibrinous thrombi (Abraham, 2000; Gunther et al., 2000). Hence, utilization of proteolytic activity has been shown to promote bacterial escape from these entrapments and enable transmigration through ECM and vascular barriers (Lahteenmaki et al., 2001). In this regard, incubation of semi-synthetic fibrin thrombi with plasmin-coated pneumococci or S. canis resulted in a complete dissolution of the fibrin bundles (Figure 1) (Bergmann et al., 2005; Fulde et al., 2011). Even in the absence of a streptokinase, plasminogen recruitment is accomplished via a subset of surface displayed plasminogen binding proteins acting in a compensatory manner. Fibrinogen serves as a major target for plasmin proteolysis and has been identified as an important cofactor in streptokinase-dependent plasmin activation. The reported fibrinogen binding of group A and B streptococci has also been shown to improve the efficiency of fibrin clot degradation by plasmin independent of streptokinase activity (Seifert et al., 2003; Olsen et al., 2009).

In addition to fibrin degradation, recruitment of plasmin results in enhanced degradation of ECM glycoproteins like fibronectin and laminin, which weakens the matrix integrity and might provide a benefit for dissemination of non-motile streptococci (Figure 1) (Liotta et al., 1981; Bergmann et al., 2005; Attali et al., 2008a; Fulde et al., 2013a). Moreover, plasmin-mediated cleavage of cellular junction proteins is supposed to promote subsequent pericellular transmigration of bacteria, as has been proposed for group A streptococci by Pancholi and colleagues and for pneumococci by Attali and colleagues (Pancholi et al., 2003; Attali et al., 2008b). The entirety of reported functional effects of recruited plasmin activity elucidates the mechanisms of plasminogen recruitment as a key strategy of streptococci to facilitate their transmigration through tissue barriers thereby establishing an infection.

THE ROLE OF PLASMINOGEN AND PLASMIN IN STREPTOCOCCAL IMMUNE EVASION

Recruitment of host proteins to the streptococcal surface has been described as an efficient mechanism to circumvent innate immune strategies (Valenti-Weigand et al., 1996; Courtney et al., 2006; Yamaguchi et al., 2008). Recent reports also demonstrate the protective function of streptococcal plasminogen thereby neutralizing the host immune defense. For example, plasminogen-binding to GAS markedly reduced phagocytic killing by macrophages (Figure 1, Siemens et al., 2011). Furthermore, disruption of plasminogen binding capacity significantly reduced virulence of GAS in a murine model of invasive streptococcosis (Sanderson-Smith et al., 2008). Here, the mortality of transgenic mice expressing human plasminogen was markedly increased after infection with GAS (Sun et al., 2004; Sanderson-Smith et al., 2008). This was attributed to streptokinase expression and confirmed the high impact of plasmin generation for severe infections in humans (Sun et al., 2004). Moreover, inactivation of genes encoding either PAM or streptokinase attenuated the pathogenic potential of many GAS strains isolated from skin infections (Kalia and Bessen, 2004). A similar phenotype was recently reported for the zoonotic pathogen S. canis: the cooperative binding of SCM and surface-exposed enolase to plasminogen leads synergistically to an increase in the anti-phagocytic capacity as compared to the plasminogen binding activity of each of them (Figure 1) (Fulde et al., 2013a).

Plasminogen binding proteins also shield bacteria from the attack of the complement system. The GAPDH of GAS binds and inhibits the chemotactic function of the complement factor C5a (Boel et al., 2005; Terao et al., 2006). Immune-modulating activities have also been reported for the pneumococcal plasminogen binding proteins enolase and PepO. Recruitment of C4b-binding protein to the pneumococcal surface via enolase leads to a decrease in C3b deposition and represents an effective mechanism of human complement control (Agarwal et al., 2012). Furthermore, immobilization of proteolytic plasmin activity on the pneumococcal surface via PepO leads to C3b cleavage and weakens the complement-based immune defense (Agarwal et al., 2013). Another protection mechanism is directed against the activity of antimicrobial peptides such as the cathelicidin LL-37, which is cleaved by streptococcal surface-bound plasmin (Figure 1) (Hollands et al., 2012). Thus, streptococcal interaction with the plasminogen system provides powerful protective strategies against various branches of immune defense.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The increasing amount of publications on bacterial-plasminogen interaction reflects the high relevance of this topic in infection biology. The genus Streptococcus comprises around 60 species exhibiting commensal as well as pathogenic properties. Especially the pathogenic species like GAS, S. pneumoniae, S. canis, and S. suis, benefit from plasminogen recruitment in the infection process. Pyogenic streptococci like GAS, S. equisimilis, and S. canis use M and M-like proteins to interact with plasminogen/plasmin. Since M proteins constitute the primary, covalently linked proteinous structure on the surface of many streptococci, this interaction is usually strong and correlates with the pathogenic potential of the respective isolate. Furthermore, GAS and S. equisimilis express plasminogen activators, which convert the surface-bound zymogen to its active, proteolytic form plasmin. In contrast, streptococci lacking M-proteins and streptokinases like S. pneumoniae and S. suis primarily use a subset
of surface exposed proteins partially with moonlighting functions as plasminogen receptors. These proteins associate with the bacterial surface by a yet unknown mechanism and also the mode of anchorage remains still elusive. Therefore, the interaction between these proteins and plasminogen has long been regarded as non-essential and less relevant. Nowadays, complex formation between surface-exposed glycolytic enzymes and plasminogen/plasmin constitutes a well established explanation for systemic spread of bacteria lacking M proteins or intrinsic activators such as streptokinases. A fundamental prerequisite in this context is the utilization or direct binding of host-derived plasminogen activators by plasminogen-coated bacteria to convert the surface bound zymogen into plasmin. Finally, the cooperative plasminogen binding of S. caris M-Protein and the moonlighting protein enolase nicely illustrates the sophisticated and efficient strategies of bacterial interaction with the fibrinolytic system of the host. Facing the problem of antibiotic resistances, a therapeutic inhibition of the interplay between streptococci and plasminogen might constitute an alternative approach to significantly reduce bacterial cell adherence, immune evasion, and tissue degradation and would provide a promising strategy for combating severe streptococcal infections.
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