4-twist helix snake to maintain polarization in multi-GeV proton rings
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Solenoid Siberian snakes have successfully maintained polarization in particle rings below 1 GeV, but never in multi-GeV rings, because the spin rotation by a solenoid is inversely proportional to the beam momentum. High energy rings, such as Brookhaven’s 255 GeV Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), use only odd multiples of pairs of transverse B-field Siberian snakes directly opposite each other. When it became impractical to use a pair of Siberian Snakes in Fermilab’s 120 GeV/c Main Injector, we searched for a new type of single Siberian snake that could overcome all depolarizing resonances in the 8.9–120 GeV/c range. We found that a snake made of one 4-twist helix and 2 dipoles could maintain the polarization. This snake design could solve the long-standing problem of significant polarization loss during acceleration of polarized protons from a few GeV to tens of GeV, such as in the AGS, before injecting them into multi-hundred GeV rings, such as RHIC.
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To study the strong interaction’s spin dependence with polarized proton beams [1] (see [2] for more references) one must preserve and control the polarization during acceleration and storage in rings. This is made difficult by many depolarizing spin resonances. In 1977 Derbenev and Kondratenko [3,4] proposed a clever way to overcome all depolarizing resonances by introducing a special sequence of magnets that would rotate each proton’s vertical spin component by 180° while leaving the proton’s orbital motion in the ring unchanged (optical transparency). Courant named this sequence of magnets a Siberian snake [5]. The snake concept was first tested in 1989 using a solenoid Siberian snake with longitudinal magnetic field at the Indiana University Cyclotron Facility Cooler ring [6]. At energies below a few tens of GeV, however, the orbit excursions within the transverse snake become too large or the space requirements for pairs of transverse Siberian snakes to be practical.

Recent studies [13] inspired by the spin dynamical properties of a figure-8 ring [14] indicate that one can compensate the main spin perturbation harmonics in a high-energy ring using multiple pairs of snakes with parallel axes. The main advantages of this scheme are that all of the snakes
are identical and one can use the longitudinal-axis snake design described in this paper. It is much simpler and cheaper than that of a conventional helical snake that has its spin axis at an angle to the beam. This opens the possibility of using our snake design to accelerate polarized beams to high energies such as those of an EIC, LHC, and even a future FCC.

In flat horizontal rings, a beam proton’s spin precesses around the ring dipole magnets’ vertical fields. The spin tune \( \nu_s = G \gamma \) is the number of spin precessions during one turn around the ring, where \( \gamma \) is a proton’s Lorentz energy factor and \( G = (g - 2)/2 = 1.792 \) is its gyromagnetic anomaly. Horizontal magnetic fields can perturb the proton’s stable polarization creating depolarizing spin resonances [15–19], which occur whenever \( \nu_s = lv_x + m\nu_y + n \), where \( l, m \) and \( n \) are integers; \( \nu_x \) and \( \nu_y \) are the horizontal and vertical betatron tunes, respectively. Intrinsic spin resonances occur when \( l = m = 0 \). Infraspin resonances occur when either \( l \neq 0 \) or \( m \neq 0 \), or both; the sum \( |l| + |m| \) defines each resonances’ order.

To overcome these depolarizing spin resonances, a full snake must rotate the vertical spin component by exactly \( 180^\circ \). The snake must also be optically transparent, which means, that to the rest of the ring, it appears to be an empty space. Moreover, the excursions caused by the snake must fit well inside the beam’s vacuum chamber. The stable spin direction is vertical in a ring with pairs of snakes exactly opposite each other, when each pair’s spin rotation axes are at \( 90^\circ \). In a ring with one snake with longitudinal spin rotation axis, the proton’s stable spin direction is in the horizontal plane, and it is longitudinal directly opposite the snake.

Snakes using multitwist helical dipoles were first discussed in [4] and then studied in more detail by Kondratenko [20] and Courant [21]. We calculated properties of snakes with 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-twist helices that have almost exactly longitudinal spin rotation axes and need only one vertical dipole at each end to obtain optical transparency. The magnetic fields and the protons’ resulting excursions and spin behavior in the multitwist helix were obtained by treating them as multiple 1-twist helices in series. We assumed 4-T helical dipoles for our snake design, since the technology for building such magnets has been demonstrated at RHIC [12].

We first used a simplified model of the helical field to demonstrate the main features of the proposed design. It ignores coupling and optical focusing introduced by the longitudinal component and nonuniformity of the transverse component of a realistic helical field. These effects are inversely proportional to beam momentum but may become significant below 10–20 GeV/c. However, they may be compensated by the ring’s global decoupling and betatron tune control systems and have no impact on the conclusions of this paper.

Analytic calculations of the beam excursions inside the snake and the spin rotation were possible only for a simplified case of paraxial beam approximation and no fringe fields for the magnets. These calculations [2] were done using simplified on-axis magnetic field for an ideal 1-twist helical dipole

\[
B_x = B_0 \sin kz, \quad B_y = B_0 \cos kz, \quad (1)
\]

where the coordinates are: \( x \) (radial), \( y \) (vertical), and \( z \) (longitudinal); \( k = 2\pi/L \) is the wave number of the \( B \)-field, and \( L \) is the length of one twist. Spin calculations were done using formulas from Kondratenko [20] and the matrix for a one-twist helix obtained by Syphers [22]. We varied the helix lengths to rotate the vertical spin component by exactly \( 180^\circ \) at 120 GeV/c, the Fermilab’s Main Injector top energy.

We then used excursion equations from Courant [23] to calculate the maximum excursions for each number of twists. For Fermilab’s 120 GeV/c Main Injector, the beam excursions caused by a 1-twist helix at the 8.9 GeV/c injection energy were too large, but those caused by a 4-twist helix were acceptable. Note that, in going from a 1-twist to 4-twist helix, the maximum transverse excursions inside the helical snake decrease almost 4-fold (from 4.76 to 1.26 mm at 120 GeV/c and 64.18 to 16.55 mm at 8.9 GeV/c), while the total snake length increases by only 52% (from 4.242 to 6.459 m).

In order to understand a snake with more complicated fields we also did numerical tracking calculations in Python. At each point along proton’s path we used the Lorentz force equation,

\[
\vec{F} = qv \times \vec{B}, \quad (2)
\]

and the Thomas-BMT equation [24,25],

\[
\frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} = -\frac{q}{\gamma m} [(1 + G\gamma)\vec{B}_x \times \vec{S} + (1 + G)\vec{B}_z] \times \vec{S}, \quad (3)
\]

to calculate the changes in proton’s trajectory and spin, respectively. We initially used simplified magnetic fields from Eq. (1) with 780,000 steps (0.03 picosec step) and compared the results to the analytic calculations (Fig. 1).

We recently did ultraprecise numerical calculations using the Blewett-Chasman fields [26], which satisfy Maxwell’s equations and give small deviations of the fields from Eq. (1) away from the axis. We also reoptimized the length of the helix from 5.653 m to 5.652 874 m for these ultraprecise calculations using a 0.05 picosec time step. We solved Eqs. (2) and (3) using the Blewett-Chasman fields,

\[
B_x = -B_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{8}(3x^2 + y^2) \right] \sin kz - \frac{k^2}{4} xy \cos kz
\]

\[
B_y = -B_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{8}(x^2 + 3y^2) \right] \cos kz - \frac{k^2}{4} xy \cos kz
\]

\[
B_z = -kB_0 (x \cos kz + y \sin kz) \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{8}(x^2 + y^2) \right], \quad (4)
\]
FIG. 1. (a) Horizontal and vertical field components for a Siberian Snake consisting of: 4-T–4-twist–5.653 m–long helical dipole, 4-T–0.203 m–long dipoles at each end and two 0.200 m-long gaps [2]. Vertical lines show the helix’s edges (solid black) and the dipoles’ edges (dashed blue). In the graphs, the curves are from a Python-based spin and excursion tracking program, the symbols are for analytic matrix calculations. (b) Horizontal and vertical orbit excursions. (c) Radial, vertical, and longitudinal spin components for a 8.9 GeV/c beam. (d) Spin components for a 120 GeV/c beam. Note that while numerical calculations can give us spin orientation anywhere inside the snake, the matrix calculations are only available for the end of each magnet. Note the much better agreement between our numerical and matrix calculation at 120 GeV/c than at 8.9 GeV/c. Fortunately a polarized proton beam spends almost all of its time at or near its final energy; the depolarization near 8.9 GeV/c should be much smaller.
with various time-steps, as shown in Tables I and II and Figs. 2 and 3. We varied the time steps from 0.002 to 0.15 picosec, which is emphasized by vertical colored lines in the graphs, making them into quasilog plots.

TABLE I. Spin components at the exit of the snake as a function of time-step used for numerical calculations using Blewett-Chasman fields, for a 120 GeV/c beam entering the snake on-axis with spin components $S_X, S_Z = 0, S_Y = 1$.

| Time picosec | $S_X$     | $S_Y$     | $S_Z$     |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 0.15        | -0.0000285400 | -0.9999999998 | 0.0000119886 |
| 0.14        | -0.0000134248 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000045289 |
| 0.13        | -0.0000225569 | -0.9999999998 | -0.0000166101 |
| 0.12        | -0.0000003435 | -1.0000000002 | -0.0000004793 |
| 0.11        | -0.0000139847 | -1.0000000001 | -0.0000004871 |
| 0.10        | -0.0000132516 | -0.9999999996 | -0.0000289624 |
| 0.09        | -0.0000191655 | -0.9999999999 | 0.0000057266 |
| 0.08        | -0.0000002541 | -1.0000000000 | 0.0000007387 |
| 0.07        | -0.0000129620 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000025083 |
| 0.06        | -0.0000130694 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000127148 |
| 0.05        | -0.0000129161 | -0.9999999999 | 0.0000015511 |
| 0.04        | -0.0000087401 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000005177 |
| 0.03        | -0.0000097897 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000005495 |
| 0.02        | -0.0000108393 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000005273 |
| 0.01        | -0.0000076917 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000005851 |
| 0.008       | -0.0000070622 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000005966 |
| 0.005       | -0.0000071666 | -0.9999999999 | -0.0000006984 |
| 0.002       | -0.0000072628 | -0.9999999999 | 0.0000002188 |

Table I and Fig. 2 show the results for spin components at the exit of the snake for a 120 GeV/c beam entering the snake on-axis. One can see that for the vertical spin component ($S_Y$) the results begin to converge for time steps below 0.07 picosec. The component $S_Y$ is most important, since it must be rotated by exactly 180° for a full snake. Table I and Fig. 2 show that we achieved $S_Y$ rotation by 180° with very high precision; note the expanded vertical scales in Fig. 2. Note that $S_Y$ remained totally unchanged over the time-step range from 0.07 to 0.002 picosec, while the radial ($S_X$) and longitudinal ($S_Z$) spin components oscillate significantly. Also note that the $S_Y$ vertical scale is $10^4$ times smaller than the $S_X$ and $S_Z$ scales.

Table II and Fig. 3 show the results for the beam excursions and angles at the exit of the snake for a 120 GeV/c beam entering the snake on-axis. One can see that the results converge at time-steps below 0.005 picosec. The values of the beam excursions and angles at the snake exit are below 1 µm and 0.16 µrad, respectively; this certainly indicates that the snake should look like a drift space to the rest of the ring.
In summary, by using an ultraprecise numerical Python spin tracking program, we have shown that one can rotate the vertical spin component by \(180^\circ\) with very high precision. The 4-T transverse snake would contain a single 0.203 m-long dipole, separated by gaps of 0.200 m. This 4-twist helix snake should maintain the proton polarization in Fermilab’s Main Injector during acceleration from 8.9 to 120 GeV/c. By incorporating a multitwist helix into the core of a snake design, we were able to design a single snake practical for medium energies. It decreases the orbit excursions inside the snake, significantly, while increasing the space required for a single snake only slightly.

This compact single multitwist transverse snake design may provide the missing middle link in accelerating polarized beams from low energies, where solenoid snakes are practical, up to high energies, where multiple pairs of transverse snakes are most effective. In medium energy rings equipped with these compact snakes, one could inject low energy polarized protons, at a few GeV, and accelerate them up to tens of, or even a hundred, GeV, with negligible polarization loss. These highly polarized protons could then be injected into multi-hundred GeV, or even TeV, rings.

Examples of medium energy rings include Japan’s 30–50 GeV JPARC and Dubna’s 12–25 GeV NICA deuteron-proton collider. Moreover, this compact single snake design might allow the 25 GeV AGS to increase the polarization of its protons injected into the 255 GeV RHIC, thus perhaps solving a problem that existed for many years [27]. Use of multiple identical snakes of our design in high-energy rings allows for polarized beam acceleration up to LHC and even FCC energies.
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