1. Introduction

Artificial oxide heterostructures can now be grown with atomic precision [1]. At oxide interfaces, charge transfer is a very general and robust phenomenon. With electrons moving from one oxide to the other, new charge configurations can be induced at the interface. These charge configurations can be substantially different from those found in bulk versions of the constituent materials. As a consequence, at oxide interfaces new electronic, magnetic and orbital states emerge. A classical example of emergent phenomena in oxide heterostructures is the LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface [2], where a high mobility two-dimensional electron gas exhibiting magnetism and superconductivity is discovered at the interface [3–8], while both LaAlO$_3$ and SrTiO$_3$ are wide band gap insulators.

During the past decade, designing new oxide heterostructures and seeking new interfacial phenomena have been a focus of condensed matter physics [9–11]. The exciting new discoveries pose a challenge for theory: can we reliably describe and predict charge transfer phenomena in oxide heterostructures, in particular when constituting oxides are strongly correlated? In this report, we discuss charge transfer effects at oxide interfaces. We first distinguish three important mechanisms of charge transfer in oxide heterostructures: (1) polarity difference; (2) occupancy difference and (3) electronegativity difference. For the first two mechanisms, we briefly discuss representative examples since excellent reviews are already available [1, 12–14]. We focus on the last mechanism and present a comprehensive review of various examples and different emergent phenomena arising from interfacial charge transfer. Next we briefly describe theoretical methods that are widely used in literature to calculate charge transfer in oxide
heterstructures and discuss the theoretical challenges pertinent to descriptions of charge transfer in strongly correlated materials. Finally we present a summary and our perspectives for the field of oxide heterostructures. Space limitations and the rapid development of the field mean that the review can not be comprehensive. We apologize to those whose work is not included here.

In this review we focus on an important class of transition metal oxides: perovskite oxides. Their atomic structure is shown in figure 1(A). The atom on the corner of the cube is called A-site atom, which is either an alkaline earth metal or a rare earth metal. The atom at the center of the cube is called B-site atom, which is a transition metal. Each transition metal atom is surrounded by six oxygen atoms which are at face-center of the cube. As we form an oxide heterostructure using two perovskite oxides, we need to choose a stacking direction. In this review, unless otherwise specified, we focus on (001) interfaces, which are shown in figure 1(B).

2. Overview of charge-transfer mechanisms

The materials separated by an interface will generically have different electronic properties, and therefore different chemical potentials (measured, say, relative to the vacuum level) and this difference will generally lead to charge flow across the interface. With the transferred electrons, the physical and chemical properties of the constituent oxides close to the interface can be fundamentally different from bulk properties because the transition metal d occupancy is changed.

In the context of oxide interfaces, it is useful to distinguish three driving mechanisms, all of which contribute to the chemical potential difference: polarity difference, occupancy difference and electronegativity difference. The classification of different charge transfer mechanisms is not unambiguous. In fact, different mechanisms are closely related and sometimes intertwined. The classification is nonetheless useful, because charge transfer across oxide interfaces always occurs to compensate for some type of ‘discontinuity’, and our classification lists the three most relevant types.

2.1. Polarity difference

In this review, a polar material is understood as an insulator (polar metals have recently been experimentally synthesized [15, 16], which however goes beyond the scope of our current discussion) such that along a certain direction, in the form of a stoichiometric thin film, an average internal electric field develops. Correspondingly, a nonpolar material is an insulator such that in the stoichiometric thin film, along the given direction, the internal electric field is averaged to zero. For example, stoichiometric (001) LaAlO3 films are polar because they are composed of alternating (LaO)\(^{1-}\) and (AlO\(^{2-}\)) layers, while stoichiometric (001) SrTiO\(_3\) films are nonpolar since they consist of alternating (SrO\(^{2-}\)) and (TiO\(^{2-}\)) layers. However, we note that along the (110) direction, SrTiO\(_3\) can be considered as polar because of the alternating (SrTiO\(^{4+}\)) and (O\(_2^2\)) layers.

We focus on [001] as the stacking direction. Figure 2 illustrates the interface between a polar material and a nonpolar material. An average internal polar field \(E = -\frac{dV}{dz}\) is developed in the polar material along the [001] direction. The potential difference between one side of the polar material and the other side is proportional to the thickness of the material \(d\). Therefore as

\[
eEd > \min\{\Delta_1, \Delta_2\} \tag{1}\]

electrons can tunnel from the surface to the interface (\(\Delta_1\) is the band gap of the nonpolar material and \(\Delta_2\) is the band gap of the polar material). As a consequence of charge transfer, electrons emerge in the conduction bands of the nonpolar material (if \(\Delta_1 < \Delta_2\)) or in the conduction bands of the polar material (if \(\Delta_1 > \Delta_2\)) and holes appear in the valence band of the polar material (on the surface). The smallest value of \(d\) that satisfies equation (1) defines the critical thickness. For the \(n\)-type LaAlO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) interface (the interface with LaO/TiO\(_2\))
terations), the experimental critical thickness is 4 unit cells [3]. As \( d \) is above the critical thickness, the two-dimensional electron/ hole gas at the interface and surface counteracts the internal field in the polar material. The sheet density of electrons/holes increases with the thickness of the polar material and approaches the saturation value as \( 1/d \) when the internal polar field is completely compensated [17–19].

However, we need to make two important comments:

1. We note that equation (1) is based on the assumption that valence bands are perfectly aligned. If there is a significant band misalignment between the polar and the nonpolar materials, equation (1) needs to be refined. However, the general picture that charge transfers from one side of the polar material to the other side above a critical thickness remains qualitatively the same.

2. The polar catastrophe mechanism provides one way to compensate the internal polar field. However, as the thickness \( d \) of the polar material is large enough (in the limit of bulk materials), other compensation mechanism will be in play, such as vacancies, interstitials and adsorbed molecules. The \( 1/d \) thickness dependence of sheet carrier density only applies to the situation without defect formation.

### 2.2. Occupancy difference

The second mechanism is the difference of transition metal \( d \) occupancy across the interface. Many transition metal ions, such as Ti, V and Mn, have multiple valences and the valence state can be controlled by other elements in a compound. For example, in \( ABO_3 \) perovskite materials, one may think of the O ions as having formal valence 2\(^-\), while the A ion may have formal valence 2\(^+\) (if \( A = \) Sr, Ca, Ba, etc) or 3\(^+\) (if \( A = La \) or other member of the lanthanide series), so charge neutrality fixes the valence of the B-site transition metal ions as 4\(^+\) (if \( A = \) Sr) or 3\(^+\) (if \( A = La \)). While formal valence is a oversimplification of the true situation, it provides a useful description. Figure 3 illustrates the interface between \( LaMO_3 \) and \( SrMO_3 \). Without charge transfer, the formal valence of transition metal ion \( M \) abruptly changes from 3\(^+\) to 4\(^+\) at the interface. This discontinuity drives a charge flow, so that some electrons on \( M^{4+} \) ions may flow to \( M^{3+} \) ions, which smooths out the occupancy discontinuity at the interface. However, we note that difference in transition metal \( d \) occupancy does not always lead to charge transfer, or even if charge transfer does occur, conduction does not necessarily emerge at the interface. This is ascribed to the competition between correlation effects and kinetic energies. We will discuss it in more details in subsequent sections.

### 2.3. Electronegativity difference

The third mechanism is the difference in electronegativity of dissimilar transition metals \( M \). Loosely speaking, electronegativity (or sometimes referred to as electron affinity) is a measure of the energy gain (or cost) of moving an electron from a reservoir to the ion in question; of course the value of the electronegativity depends on the choice of reservoir and on the valence of the ion. The electronegativity, defined at constant valence, decreases as one moves from left to right across the transition metal series and the differences in electronegativity play an important role in the magnitude of the charge transfer. As a rule of thumb, we observe that the greater the electronegativity difference, the greater the magnitude of the charge transfer. To make these considerations more specific and quantitative, we first remark that in transition metal oxides, one may think of the O\(^{2-}\) as providing the reservoir. Thus the electronegativity is in essence the energy separation between transition metal d and oxygen p states, which is often referred to as the charge transfer energy [20]. In many oxide superlattices, the oxygen states approximately align across the interface so that the electronegativity difference translates directly into a contribution to the chemical potential difference and can drive charge transfer, as shown in figure 4(A). This figure shows the interface between \( AMO_3 \) and \( AM'O_3 \), where the two transition metal ions \( M \) and \( M' \) have identical formal valences (i.e., no polar discontinuity), but have different energy levels of their d states (dissimilar electronegativity) as shown in figure 4(B), leading to transfer of electrons from \( M \) to \( M' \) across the interface to reduce the total energy.

We note that similar to the previous discussion, we perfectly align the O\(^{p}\) states across the oxide interface in figure 4, which is an oversimplification: although the oxygen states form a continuous network the energies do not exactly align across interfaces. However, the simplified picture provides a very useful way of understanding the results of detailed calculations.

### 3. Polarity difference (polar catastrophe)

The charge transfer mechanism of polarity difference at oxide interfaces is commonly known as the ‘polar catastrophe’. The term becomes commonly used after Ohtomo and Hwang synthesized LaAlO\(_3\) thin films of a few unit cells thick on SrTiO\(_3\) substrates with a TiO\(_2\) termination and discovered a high-mobility electron gas at the LaAlO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) interface [2]. While the LaAlO\(_3\)/SrTiO\(_3\) interface (to be more precise, the one with LaO/TiO\(_2\) termination, sometimes referred to as...
the n-type interface) is just one example of the ‘polar catastrophe’ mechanism [21], it is an important special case which has stimulated numerous theoretical and experimental works, and led to many unexpected phenomena including magnetism [5, 7, 8], superconductivity [4, 6] and tunable Rashba spin-orbital interaction [22, 23]. We refer readers to the excellent review papers that have already appeared in literature [1, 12–14].

However, we want to comment that while the LaAlO 3/SrTiO 3 interface is the prototype of the ‘polar catastrophe’ mechanism, accumulating evidence shows that the ‘polar catastrophe’ mechanism alone can not explain all the observed experimental results. For example, early experiments failed to observe the average internal polar field in LaAlO 3 [24]. Later experiments do report an internal polar field, but the magnitude is only 80 meV Å −1 [25], smaller than the first-principles calculations by at least a factor of 2 [26, 17]. This implies that in addition to charge transfer, other mechanisms can also screen the internal polar field, leading to smaller values than theoretical predictions. Recently a polarity-induced defect mechanism was proposed to account for both conduction and magnetism in the LaAlO 3/SrTiO 3 interface [27]. Chambers et al [28] show that at the (001) LaCrO 3/SrTiO 3 interface, a potential gradient within the polar material LaCrO 3 is sufficient to trigger a charge transfer, which one would expect to lead to conduction. However, the interface is experimentally found to be insulating. The insulating behavior is attributed to cation-intermixture.

All these results show that at a general polar-nonpolar interface, the ‘polar catastrophe’ picture which is based on the ideal atomic structure probably is not the only mechanism in play. Atomic reconstruction, such as cation intermixture, various types of vacancies and point defects, are very likely to occur.

4. Occupancy difference

The charge transfer mechanism of occupancy difference between oxide interfaces are closely related to the ‘polar catastrophe’ mechanism. However, we use this classification to refer to one particular type of superlattices which have been under intensive study. The general formula of those superlattices can be expressed as RM/O 3/AMO 3 where R is a tri-valent cation, A is a di-valent cation and M is a transition metal ion. The most common case for R is La and for A is Sr. Important examples of these oxide heterostructures include LaTiO 3/SrTiO 3 [29, 30], LaMnO 3/SrMnO 3 [31, 32] and LaVO 3/SrVO 3 [33, 34] superlattices.

Like the LaAlO 3/SrTiO 3 interface, there are also many reviews in literature discussing LaTiO 3/SrTiO 3, LaMnO 3/SrMnO 3 and related oxide heterostructures [14]. Here we briefly review these important examples and mention some points that from our perspective deserve attention for future research.

The authors of [29] show that as a few unit cells of Mott insulator LaTiO 3 are embedded into a band insulator SrTiO 3 matrix, electrons move from the Ti atoms in LaTiO 3 to the Ti atoms in SrTiO 3, providing emergent conduction at the interface. Similar phenomena have also been reported for a few unit cells of Mott insulating GdTiO 3 embedded into an SrTiO 3 matrix. The carrier concentration at this interface is even higher [35]. Okamoto and Millis [30] show that charge transfer and conduction are general features at the interface between a semi-infinite Mott insulator and a semi-infinite band insulator. However, if we change the geometry, different phenomena can emerge. Jang et al [36] shows that if we insert only a single RO layer in a SrTiO 3 matrix, and if R = La, Pr and Nd, conduction appears at the interface, but if R = Sm and Y, the interface remains insulating. References [37, 38] study another related geometry: they consider inserting SrO in a Mott insulator GdTiO 3 matrix and both theory and experiment find that due to extreme quantum confinement, a dimer Mott insulating state can be stabilized.

The second example is (LaMnO 3) n/(SrMnO 3) m superlattices with different Sr/La ratio (by varying m and n). An important case is that when m = 2n, which deserves special attention [39]. For (LaMnO 3) 2/(SrMnO 3) n superlattices, as n increases from 1 to 5, a metal-insulator transition occurs (for n ≤ 2, the interface is metallic and for n ≥ 3, the interface becomes insulating)
Figure 5. Schematics of energy levels of transition metal $d$ states with respect to oxygen $p$ states in transition metal oxides LaMO$_3$ ($M = \text{Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Cu}$). As the mass of transition metal elements increases, the metal $d$ level decreases. For titanates, Ti-$d$ states lie above O-$p$ by about 3 eV. For nickelates and cuprates, Ni-$d$ and Cu-$d$ states even lie below O-$p$ states, leading to a ‘negative charge transfer’ energy and strong hybridization.

[40]. For the nature of insulating states, authors of [40] suggest that a finite peak does exist in the density of states at the Fermi level but it is localized by disorder. A recent experiment [31] proposes that it is the quantum fluctuation that disrupts the coherence of metallic states, giving rise to the insulating properties observed in $n \geq 3$ superlattices. However, theoretical work [41, 42] shows that within a reasonable range of parameters, the ideal interface between semi-infinite LaMnO$_3$ and semi-infinite SrMnO$_3$ should be metallic.

In [33] the authors synthesize (LaVO$_3$)$_x$(SrVO$_3$)$_{1-x}$ superlattices ($m$ varies from 2 to 6) and find that the superlattices have a net magnetization up to room temperatures due to the geometrically confined doping. However, the authors of [34, 43] show theoretically that the experimentally determined crystal structure of LaVO$_3$/SrVO$_3$ superlattices is not favorable to induce ferromagnetism. They propose that large amplitude of oxygen octahedral rotations would be needed to stabilize a ferromagnetic state.

We note that for these (LaMO$_3$)$_x$(SrMO$_3$)$_{1-x}$ superlattices (where $M = \text{Ti, Mn and V}$), their chemical composition is equivalent to La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MO$_3$ where $x = \frac{n}{m+n}$ and $0 < x < 1$. The physical properties of solid solution La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$MO$_3$ have also been comprehensively investigated in theory and experiment [44, 45]. For $x = 0$ or 1, the end material is usually insulating (band insulator or Mott insulator). In the solid solution ($0 < x < 1$), conduction emerges within a range of $x$. However, as we have seen from the above examples, with the same chemical composition, the superlattices can exhibit distinct properties from solid solutions. For examples, solid solution La$_{2/3}$Sr$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ is ferromagnetic metallic but (LaMnO$_3$)$_{1/2}$/(SrMnO$_3$)$_{1/2}$ superlattice is insulating. (LaVO$_3$)$_{1/2}$/(SrVO$_3$)$_{1/2}$ superlattice exhibits a large magnetic moment of 1.4 $\mu_B$/V ion, whereas solid solution La$_{9/7}$Sr$_{2/7}$VO$_3$ shows a much smaller net magnetization. Another important difference of superlattices from compositionally equivalent solid solutions is the anisotropy of transport. While solid solutions usually show three dimensional conduction, the emergent conduction in superlattices is confined to interfaces and exhibits two dimensional character, which may be more useful for device development.

We also need to mention that in many systems, both charge occupancy difference and polarity effects will contribute to the charge transfer. The LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ and LaTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ [29], GdTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ [46] systems provide useful examples. In the former, the Al valence is the same in all layers and the charge transfer depends strongly on the thickness of the polar LaAlO$_3$ layers, becoming negligible for less than 4 unit cells, so here the charge transfer is entirely driven by polarization effects. In the latter systems the amount of charge transfer depends on the thickness of polar LaTiO$_3$ (or GdTiO$_3$) layers but does not vanish even for 1 monolayer. Furthermore, the near interface Ti ions in the LaTiO$_3$ (or GdTiO$_3$) have a valence different from that of the Ti farther from the interface; thus both mechanisms contribute in this system.

5. Electronegativity difference

In this section, we present a detailed review of electronegativity-driven charge transfer in oxide heterostructures. We focus on the following interfaces $AMO_3$/AM$'$O$_3$ or double perovskite $AA_2MM'O_6$, where $A$ is di-valent or tri-valent ion and $M, M'$ are dissimilar transition metal ions. Figure 5 schematically shows the energy separation between metal $d$ and oxygen $p$ states for $3d$ transition metal oxides LaMO$_3$ ($M = \text{Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Cu}$). We note that as the mass of transition metal element increases, the Coulomb attraction to the nucleus lowers the energy of transition metal $d$ states, so the electronegativity increases as one moves from left to right along the transition metal row. For titanates, the energy separation between Ti-$d$ and O-$p$ states is about 3 eV. However, for nickelates and cuprates, the Ni-$d$ and Cu-$d$ states lie even below the O-$p$ states, which leads to a ‘negative charge transfer’ energy and strong hybridization between metal $d$ and oxygen $p$ states. The electronegativity-driven charge transfer is based on the energy difference between the $d$ states of two different transition metal ions.

Before we move on, we note that an electronegativity difference does not always drive charge transfer. If one material $AMO_3$ is a wide gap insulator with a nominally empty $M$-$d$ shell and the $d$ states of $M$ ions lie above those of $M'$ ions, then no charge transfer occurs between $M$ and $M'$ ions. SrTiO$_3$/SrVO$_3$ is one example in which there is no charge transfer between SrTiO$_3$ and SrVO$_3$ [47]. LaAlO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ is another
example [48]. This situation is called quantum confinement which reduces the band width of transition metal ions and also leads to emergent phenomena, but it goes beyond the scope of our current paper and we refer the readers to other review papers [49].

5.1. LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ and LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$/insulator superlattices

We start from the interface between LaTiO$_3$ and LaNiO$_3$. Bulk LaTiO$_3$ is an antiferromagnetic $S=1$ Mott insulator with a nominal $d^9$ occupancy on Ti atoms. Bulk LaNiO$_3$ is a paramagnetic metal with a nominal $d^6$ occupancy on Ni atoms. As figure 6(A) shows, in bulk LaTiO$_3$, Ti-$d$ states lie above O-$p$ states by about 3 eV, while in bulk LaNiO$_3$, Ni-$d$ states have strong hybridization with O-$p$ states. In a LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattice with a short periodicity, the lone electron on Ti-$d$ states is expected to transfer to Ni-$d$ states. Therefore after the charge transfer, in the superlattice Ti atoms nominally have a $d^0$ occupancy and Ni atoms nominally have a $d^6$ occupancy. In the new charge configuration, as figure 6(B) shows, Ti atoms have an empty $d$ shell; Ni atoms have a full $d^6$ shell and a half-filled $e_g$ shell. If the correlation strength on Ni sites is strong enough, a Mott gap will open up. In this way, by design, we can induce an artificial Mott insulating state via a nominally complete charge transfer from Ti to Ni in LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattices [50]. Figure 6(C) presents the theoretically calculated density of states for (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ superlattices, as compared to the density of states for the classical Mott insulator NiO (in which Ni atoms also nominally have a $d^9$ occupancy) as well as bulk LaNiO$_3$ and LaTiO$_3$. We can see that the Ti-$d$ conduction bands, which are partially filled in bulk LaTiO$_3$, become completely empty in the (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ superlattice. On the other hand, the Ni-$d$ states, which are partially filled in bulk LaNiO$_3$, are filled up in the (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ superlattice. As a consequence, a gap is opened in the superlattice, which separates Ni-$d$ and Ti-$d$ states. The lower and upper Hubbard bands of Ni-$d$ states in the superlattice are very similar to those in NiO, which is a strong evidence of the charge-transfer-driven Mott insulating state on Ni sites.

Inspired by the theoretical predictions in [50], Cao et al. [51] synthesized a (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattice and measured the transport properties. Figure 7(A) shows the atomic structure of the superlattice. Figure 7(B) shows the temperature dependence of sheet resistance of (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattice and LaNiO$_3$ film. The former is highly insulating while the latter exhibits metallic behavior. To probe the change in charge states, figures 7(C) and (D) show the Ti $L_2$ and Ni $L_2$ edge of (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattices measured by the x-ray absorption spectroscopy. Panel (C) shows that the formal valence of Ti in the superlattice changes from the value 3+ in bulk LaTiO$_3$ to 4+ as in SrTiO$_3$, while the formal valence of Ni in the superlattice changes from the value 3+ in bulk LaNiO$_3$ to 2+ as in NiO. These results are consistent with the theoretical predictions in [50] and provide convincing evidence of charge transfer in the (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/((LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattices.

In addition to the charge transfer from Ti to Ni across the interface between titanates and nickelates, Grisolia et al. [52] find a more subtle effect. By synthesizing and comparing GdTiO$_3$/RNiO$_3$ interfaces ($R = \text{La, Nd, Sm}$), they find that the magnitude of charge transfer from Ti to Ni can be tuned by the element $R$. The charge transfer increases from LaNiO$_3$ to NdNiO$_3$ to SmNiO$_3$. The underlying mechanism is that different ionic sizes of $R$ affect the oxygen octahedral rotations and thus hybridization between Ni-$d$ and O-$p$ states. Because what we for simplicity refer to as the $d$-state is an antibonding $p$-$d$ hybrid, the $p$-$d$ covalency affects the energy. Therefore, in addition to the energy gain by moving electrons from Ti-$d$ states of higher energies to Ni-$d$ states of lower energies, Ni-$d$ and O-$p$ states change their hybridization and covalent character (so-called ‘rehybridization’), which also costs energy.
The larger the ionic size, the more energy the rehybridization costs, which limits the amount of charge that can be transferred across the interface. It is noteworthy that while hybridization in $\text{RNiO}_3$ tunes the charge transfer from Ti to Ni across the interface between $\text{GdTiO}_3$ and $\text{RNiO}_3$, hybridization in $\text{R} \text{TiO}_3$ plays a much less significant effect on the charge transfer across the interface between $\text{R} \text{TiO}_3$ and LaNiO$_3$. The reason is that different from strong hybridization between Ni-$d$ and O-$p$ states, in titanates Ti-$d$ states lie above O-$p$ states by about 3 eV, which results in a much weaker hybridization between Ti-$d$ and O-$p$ states. Therefore, changing the ionic size of $\text{R}$ in $\text{R} \text{TiO}_3$ can not significantly tune the hybridization and therefore does not have the controlling effects on charge transfer as eminent as it does in $\text{RNiO}_3$.

Next we discuss a LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$/insulator tri-component superlattice [53]. The motivation of designing such a new superlattice is to engineer an unprecedented orbital state in Ni atoms (in addition to the change in charge states) [54, 55]. As in the LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattice, nominally one electron transfers from Ti to Ni in the tri-color superlattice, which leads to a Ni $d^8$ occupancy with a full $t_{2g}$ shell and two electrons in the $e_g$ shell. However, they have fundamental difference. As figure 8 shows, in the LaTiO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattice, the two electrons in the Ni $e_g$ shell form a high-spin $S=1$ state, while in the tri-component superlattice, the two electrons in the Ni $e_g$ shell form a low-spin $S=0$ state. The high-spin/low-spin configuration is determined by the competition between Hund’s coupling $J$ and crystal field splitting $\Delta$, which is the energy difference between Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. If $\Delta < J$, the two electrons fill two different orbitals with the same spin, leading to a high-spin state. If $\Delta > J$, the two electrons fill the same orbital with opposite spins, leading to a $S=0$ low-spin state.
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**Figure 7.** (A) Atomic structure of (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattices. (B) Temperature-dependent sheet resistances of the (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattices and the reference LaNiO$_3$ film (20 unit cells). It is noteworthy that the sheet resistance of LaNiO$_3$ film is $\times 200$. (C) and (D) X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) of (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattices. (C) Ti $L_{2,3}$-edge. The reference spectra for Ti$^{4+}$ and Ti$^{3+}$ were measured on a SrTi$^{4+}$O$_3$ single crystal and YTi$^{3+}$O$_3$ film (~100 nm on TbScO$_3$ substrate), respectively. (D) Ni $L_{2,3}$-edge. The reference samples are bulk Ni$^{2+}$O and LaNi$^{3+}$O$_3$. Out-of-plane $(\text{Hc},$ dark blue solid line, $E//\text{c}$ and $E$ is the linear polarization vector of the photon) and in-plane $(\text{Hab},$ dark blue dashed line, $E//\text{ab}$) linearly polarized x-ray were used to measure XAS of (LaTiO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_2$ superlattices at Ni $L_{2,3}$-edge. Black dashed lines are guidelines for peak positions. All spectra were collected and repeated more than two times with bulk-sensitive total fluorescence yield (TFY) detection mode at room temperature. Adapted from [51]. CC BY 4.0.
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**Figure 8.** Charge and spin configurations of (A) (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ superlattice and (B) (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/insulator superlattice. $J$ is Hund’s coupling for Ni $d$ states and $\Delta$ is the orbital splitting between Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals. $\varepsilon_F$ is the Fermi level. The shallow blue patches illustrate band widths and the dark blue solid/dashed line highlight the central positions of bands. As $\Delta < J$, the two electrons fill two different orbitals with the same spin, leading to a $S=1$ high-spin state. As $\Delta > J$, the two electrons fill the same orbital with opposite spins, leading to a $S=0$ low-spin state.
The orbital splitting $\Delta$ between $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals is induced by a Jahn–Teller-like distortion, i.e. elongation of out-of-plane Ni–O bonds. Such a structural distortion occurs to the tri-component superlattice by the combination of charge transfer and insertion of a wide-gap insulator. Figure 9(A) shows the schematics of a (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(RbF)$_3$ superlattice. Charge transfer from Ti to Ni induces an internal electric field $E_1$ and due to the periodic boundary condition a second electric field $E_2$ appears. Both $E_1$ and $E_2$ pull the apical oxygen atoms away from the Ni atom, which leads to Jahn–Teller-like distortions that favor the occupancy of Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital over the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital. The presence of a wide gap insulator explicitly breaks the inversion-symmetry and leads to $E_1 \neq E_2$. This asymmetry induces a polar distortion on Ni atoms, i.e. Ni and O are not co-planar, which further increases the out-of-plane Ni-O bond length. Figure 9(B) shows the theoretically calculated atomic structure of (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(RbF)$_3$ superlattices. We note that the out-of-plane Ni-O and Ni-F bond lengths are on average equal to 2.7 Å, which is much longer than the in-plane Ni-O bond length 1.89 Å. The ferroelectric-like Ni–O displacement is clearly visible. Figure 9(C) shows the band structure of (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(RbF)$_3$ superlattice. The red symbols are band projections onto Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital. The green symbols are band projections onto Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. Using the Wannier functions to fit the DFT-calculated band structure, the difference between the on-site energy for Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and the on-site energy for Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital is found to be 1.25 eV. The Hund’s coupling for Ni $d$ orbital is about 0.7 eV.

Figure 9. (A) Schematics of LaNiO$_3$/LaTiO$_3$/insulator superlattices. (B) Theoretically calculated atomic structure of (LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(RbF)$_3$ superlattices. The in-plane Ni–O bond length is 1.89 Å. The out-of-plane Ni–O and Ni–F bond lengths are 2.64 and 2.76 Å. (C) Band structure of (LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(RbF)$_3$ superlattice. The red symbols are band projections onto Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital. The green symbols are band projections onto Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. Using the Wannier functions to fit the DFT-calculated band structure, the difference between the on-site energy for Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and the on-site energy for Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital is found to be 1.25 eV. Hund’s coupling for Ni $d$ orbital is about 0.7 eV.

Following the theoretical proposal of [53], Disa et al [56] synthesized an artificial (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaAlO$_3$)$_3$ superlattice and used x-ray linear dichroism (XLD) to probe the orbital occupancy. Figure 10(A) shows the experimental setup and figure 10(B) shows the orbital selective atomic transitions probed by the x-rays. The experiments compared LaNiO$_3$/LaAlO$_3$ superlattice (two-component superlattice) and the tri-component superlattice. The resulting orbital-polarization-dependent spectra are shown in figures 10(C) and (D). In the two-component superlattice, no significant dichroic signal is observed (panel (C)). The red (absorption for Ni $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital) and blue (absorption for Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital) symbols almost overlap with each other. In contrast, there is a marked dichroism for the tri-component superlattice (panel (D)). This result represents the largest experimentally observed Ni $e_g$ orbital polarization in perovskite nickelate systems to date. Furthermore, the Ni $e_g$ orbital occupancy measured experimentally is in good agreement with first-principles DFT calculations. However, Fabbris et al [57] measured resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) spectra for this superlattice recently and obtained good fits to the spectra by using a $d$-only model, i.e. we consider $dd$ excitations from a Ni $d^8$ atom without explicitly including oxygen $p$ states. The fits give an on-site energy splitting between the two Ni $e_g$ orbital of only about 0.2 eV in the superlattice, whereas a $d$-only Wannier function analysis of the DFT-calculated band structure gives an on-site splitting of about 0.8 eV [56]. This discrepancy, along with the fact that the $d$-only RIXS does yield the predicted orbital polarization, has been attributed to the hybridization between Ni $d$ and O $p$ states [57]. We believe

$\Delta = E(d_{x^2-y^2}) - E(d_{3z^2-r^2}) = 1.25$ eV

$\Delta > J \approx 0.7$ eV
that the $d$-only Wannier functions which are used to fit the DFT band structure treat $p$-$d$ hybridization in a different manner from the $d$-only RIXS model. Nevertheless, both the DFT calculations and the experimental spectra (XLD and RIXS) find a large orbital polarization in the (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaAlO$_3$)$_3$ superlattice. Further work is needed to address these remaining issues.

5.2. LaTiO$_3$/LaFeO$_3$ and YTiO$_3$/YFeO$_3$ superlattices

LaTiO$_3$/LaFeO$_3$ and YTiO$_3$/YFeO$_3$ superlattices are another example of a nominally complete charge transfer from Ti to Fe. The two superlattices share similarities but also have important differences.

Bulk LaFeO$_3$ (YFeO$_3$) nominally has a Fe $d^5$ occupancy. The half-filled Fe $d$ shell forms a high-spin state. Bulk LaTiO$_3$ (YTiO$_3$) nominally has a Ti $d^1$ occupancy. Kleibeuker et al [58] shows both in theory and in experiment that Ti atoms nominally donate one electron to Fe atoms across the LaTiO$_3$/LaFeO$_3$ interface and after the charge transfer Fe atoms nominally have a $d^6$ occupancy but a high-spin to low-spin transition occurs and the six electrons completely fill the Fe $t_{2g}$ shell. Figure 11(A) illustrates such a charge-transfer-driven spin transition. The theoretically calculated density of states shows an empty Ti $t_{2g}$ shell and a fully occupied Fe $t_{2g}$ shell. Experimentally, by using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, the authors of [58] confirmed the rearrangement of the Fe 3$d$ bands and revealed an unprecedented charge transfer up to $1.2 \pm 0.2e^-$ per interface unit cell in the LaTiO$_3$/LaFeO$_3$ heterostructures. In YTiO$_3$/YFeO$_3$ superlattices, a similar charge transfer from Ti to Fe is also found in theory [59]. However, in contrast to the LaTiO$_3$/LaFeO$_3$ superlattices, a robust high-spin state is found in the YTiO$_3$/YFeO$_3$ superlattices, probably due to the small ionic size of Y which leads to a smaller bandwidth of Fe $d$ states and favors the high-spin configuration. In addition to the high-spin state, hybrid ferroelectricity with a polarization $P \sim 1 \mu C \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is induced in a (YTiO$_3$)$_2$/(YFeO$_3$)$_2$ superlattice. Figure 11(B) shows the atomic structure of (YTiO$_3$)$_n$/(YFeO$_3$)$_n$ superlattices ($n = 1$ and 2) with arrow highlighting the displacement of Y atoms. If $n = 1$, all the Y atoms have the same environment. If $n = 2$, there are three types of Y atoms: one is sandwiched between TiO$_6$ and FeO$_6$, one is sandwiched between two TiO$_6$ and one is sandwiched between two FeO$_6$. The displacements of all three types of Y atoms do not exactly cancel each other, which leads to a net polarization.

We note that in [58, 60], the authors align the valence band edge of O-2$p$ and find the occupied Ti $t_{2g}$ states have overlap with the empty upper Hubbard bands of Fe-$d$ states in the energy window. However, in [59], the authors align the localized O-2$s$ states and find the occupied Ti-$t_{2g}$ states lie between the occupied lower Hubbard bands and the unoccupied upper Hubbard bands of Fe-$d$ states. However, in the calculations of both superlattices, charge transfer occurs from Ti to Fe atoms.
This shows that the rigid band alignment using the bulk band structures can only serve as an approximate guide. Charge transfer and the resulting band alignment at oxide interfaces should be determined in a self-consistent way, as performed in superlattice calculations.

### 5.3. LaMnO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattices

Next we discuss the LaMnO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattice. This is an interesting case, since up till now there is inconsistency between theory and experiment. While both theory and experiment indicate that charge transfer from Mn to Ni occurs due to electronegativity differences, experimental transport and optical measurements show that (LaMnO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_n$ superlattices are insulating [61, 62] but theoretical calculations find that the (LaMnO$_3$)$_m$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_n$ superlattices with different Mn/Ni ratios $m/n$ are all metallic [63].

Figure 12(A) shows the transport properties of (LaMnO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_n$ superlattices. As $n$ decreases from 5 to 2, a metal-insulator transition occurs. In particular, in experiment the (LaMnO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_n$ superlattice exhibits strong insulating behavior. Figure 12(B) shows the optical conductivity of (LaMnO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_n$ as a function of $n$, temperature and frequency. Similar to transport measurements, the low frequency optical conductivity substantially drops as $n$ decreases from 5 to 2. In both [61] and [62], the authors ascribe the observed metal-insulator transition to the charge transfer from Mn to Ni. Such a charge transfer is confirmed in first-principles calculations [63]. However, for both (LaMnO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ and (LaMnO$_3$)$_2$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_2$, no insulating state is stabilized in the calculations. Tuning the Hubbard $U$ for Mn and Ni $d$ orbitals in a reasonable range does not change the metallic properties of the superlattice. This raises the question whether the charge transfer from Mn to Ni is a nominally complete charge transfer or not. If it is, then presumably a Mott insulating state should emerge in (LaMnO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ superlattice where Mn atoms have a half-filled $t_{2g}$ shell and Ni atoms have a full $t_{2g}$ and half-filled $e_g$ shell, similar to (LaTiO$_3$)$_1$/(LaNiO$_3$)$_1$ superlattice. However, theoretical calculations show that a partial charge transfer from Mn to Ni occurs and the superlattice remains metallic. We note that double perovskite La$_2$MnNiO$_6$ is found to be a ferromagnetic insulator in both theory [64] and experiment [65, 66]. The inconsistency between theory and experiment on LaMnO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ superlattices implies that the interface may not be atomically sharp and disorder such as antisite defects [67] could play a role in inducing the insulating state. Further research, in particular characterization of interfacial atomic structure using high-resolution electron microscopy, may help to resolve the problem.

We note here that for LaMnO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ interfaces, in addition to (0 0 1) stacking direction, (1 1 1) interfaces have also been synthesized and studied [68, 69]. Gibert et al [68] shows that at (1 1 1) LaMnO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ interface, in addition to charge transfer, exchange bias emerges, which implies the development of interface-induced magnetism in the paramagnetic LaNiO$_3$ layers. Such a bias does not show up at the (0 0 1) LaMnO$_3$/LaNiO$_3$ interface.

### 5.4. Ba$_2$VFeO$_6$, Pb$_2$VFeO$_6$ and Sr$_2$VFeO$_6$ double perovskite oxides

Substantial charge transfer not only occurs to atomically sharp interfaces in superlattices, but also in double perovskite oxides which are bulk compounds that are based on two
single perovskite oxides (see figure 13 for the atomic structure). Double perovskite $\text{Ba}_2\text{VFeO}_6$ is one example in which a nominally complete transfer from V to Fe leads to Mott multiferroic properties which do not exhibit in either bulk BaVO$_3$ or bulk BaFeO$_3$. Perovskite BaVO$_3$ crystallizes in cubic structure with a nominally V $d^1$ occupancy. Perovskite BaFeO$_3$ also crystallizes in cubic structure with a nominally Fe $d^5$ occupancy. As figure 13(A) shows, in the double perovskite $\text{Ba}_2\text{VFeO}_6$, a nominally complete charge transfer leads to new charge configurations V $d^0$ and Fe $d^5$. Since Fe atoms have a half-filled configuration, strong correlation is expected to open a Mott gap. At sufficiently low temperatures, the large local magnetic moment $S = 5/2$ on Fe atoms is expected to order magnetically. More importantly, both the empty V $d$...
shell and the half-filled Fe d shell have a ferroelectric instability, just like the Ti d state in BaTiO3 and the Fe d state in BiFeO3. The presence of Ba ions which have a large ion size creates favorable conditions for ferroelectricity [70]. Figure 13(B) compares the band structure of BaTiO3, BiFeO3 and Ba2VFeO6. In BaTiO3 and Ba2VFeO6, both Ti and V have d^9 occupancy. However, due to the electronegativity difference between Ti and V, the Ti d states lie above the V d states, which leads to a smaller band gap for Ba2VFeO6 than for BaTiO3. On the other hand, in both BiFeO3 and Ba2VFeO6, the Fe atoms have a d^8 state. However, in Ba2VFeO6, we have an empty V d shell. Injecting one electron on V atoms changes its d occupancy from d^8 to d^9, which does not involve correlation effects.Injecting one electron on Fe atoms changes its d occupancy from d^7 to d^8, which increases the number of electron pairs and each additional pair is associated with a Hubbard U energy. Therefore the V d^8 state is expected to lie below the upper Hubbard band of Fe d state, which means a smaller gap for Ba2VFeO6 than for BiFeO3.

Chen and Millis [71] use first-principles calculations to support the above picture. In particular, the authors find that the polarization of Ba2VFeO6 is comparable to that of BaTiO3 and the gap of Ba2VFeO6 is smaller than that of BaTiO3 by about 1 eV. Since the experimental optical gap of BaTiO3 is 3.2 eV, it is predicted that the optical gap of Ba2VFeO6 is around 2.2 eV, which is 0.5 eV smaller than the optical gap of BiFeO3. This makes Ba2VFeO6 a promising candidate among perovskite oxides for bulk photovoltaic applications.

In addition to Ba2VFeO6, double perovskite Pb2VFeO6 has a ferroelectric polarization comparable to PbTiO3. Double perovskite Sr2VFeO6, like SrTiO3, is paraelectric but in the vicinity of ferroelectric-paraelectric phase boundary. We note that in terms of ferroelectric properties, A2VFeO6 has a simple one-to-one correspondence to ATiO3 (A = Ba, Pb, Sr).

### 5.5. SrVO3/SrMnO3 and Sr2VO4/Sr2MnO4 superlattices

In addition to the cases of nominally ‘complete’ charge transfer (the formal valence of cation changes by ±1) that are reviewed above, we may also have partial charge transfer, if the electronegativity difference between two similar transition metals is moderate. Partial charge transfer generically leads to emergent metallic properties due to the non-integer filling of bands. The authors of [72] study SrVO3/SrMnO3 superlattices. Bulk SrVO3 is a paramagnetic metal with a nominal V d^1 occupancy, while bulk SrMnO3 is an antiferromagnetic insulator with a nominal Mn d^5 occupancy. In the SrVO3/SrMnO3 superlattice, the partially occupied V t^2g states have similar energy to the empty Mn e_g states, which results in an incomplete charge transfer, i.e. nominally the valence of V changes from 4 to (4 + x) and the valence of Mn changes from 4 to (4 − x) where 0 < x < 1. Figure 14 shows the theoretically calculated spectral functions of bulk SrMnO3, SrVO3 and (SrMnO3)1/(SrVO3)1 superlattice. All the calculations are performed in paramagnetic states. Figure 14(A) shows that a Mott gap is opened in bulk SrMnO3. Figure 14(B) shows that bulk SrVO3 is paramagnetic metallic with V t^2g states at the Fermi surface. The panels (C) of figure 14 show the spectral function of (SrMnO3)/(SrVO3)1 superlattice. In Figure 14(C1) the Mn e_g states are partially occupied, which leads to emergent metallic behavior on Mn atoms in the superlattice. In figure 14(C2) the V t^2g states are still partially occupied instead of empty, indicating that nominally less than one electron is transferred from V to Mn, in contrast to the complete charge transfer in (LaTiO3)1/(LaNiO3)1 superlattice. Furthermore, since SrMnO3 is electron doped, the double exchange mechanism favors a ferromagnetic ordering, just as in La_xSrMnO3 [45]. In the (SrVO3)/(SrMnO3)1 superlattice, ferromagnetism is expected to emerge in the MnO2 layer.

A closely related oxide heterostructure is Sr2VO4/Sr2MnO4 superlattice [72]. In this 214 Ruddlesden-Popper superlattice, similar charge transfer phenomenon from V to Mn occurs like the counterpart SrVO3/SrMnO3 superlattice. Synthesizing transition metal oxides of a complicated Ruddlesden-Popper structure is now feasible in experiment [73]. Designing Ruddlesden-Popper superlattices is an interesting direction for future research.

### 5.6. Manganite/cuprate interfaces

Partial charge transfer also occurs to the interface between the ferromagnetic conducting manganite La2/3Ca1/3MnO3 and the superconducting cuprate YBa2Cu3O7. The atomic structure of the interface is shown in figure 15(A). It is more complicated than the AMO3/AM’O3 superlattices, but the underlying charge transfer can be understood in a similar way to the previous examples we have reviewed. In [74], the authors observe a 0.2 e charge transfer from Mn to Cu per ion pair across the interface between La2/3Ca1/3MnO3 and YBa2Cu3O7. The direction of charge transfer can be deduced from our simple schematics of figure 5 that with respect to O-p states, Mn d state lie above Cu-d states. Figure 15(B) shows a detailed analysis of charge transfer at the interface. In theory, the on-site energy on Mn can be considered as a tuning parameter to control the charge transfer. In the right inset of figure 15(B) the energy of Mn d^3 to d^2 increases the same energy as that of Cu d^2 to d^1, and the hole resides on Cu d^0 orbital. In the left inset of figure 15(B) as the energy of Mn d^3 increases, a partial charge transfer occurs from Mn to Cu. In addition, the antibonding state formed by Cu d^3 to d^2 and Mn d^3 to d^2 orbitals has higher energy than that of Cu d^2 to d^1 orbital. Therefore the hole on Cu atoms moves from d^0 to d^1 orbitals. In [75], at the same interface, the authors also find significant re-arrangement of magnetic domain structures accompanying charge transfer from Mn to Cu atoms.

### 5.7. Antisite defects

In this section, we discuss antisite defects at oxide interfaces, which turn out to have close connections to charge transfer [67, 76]. Antisite defects in which atoms exchange places across an interface may be important. Here we focus on one particular type of antisite defects: at the interface between two semi-infinite perovskite oxides, two B-site transition metal
Figure 14. (A) Spectral function of cubic SrMnO$_3$. The red, blue and green are Mn-$t_{2g}$, Mn-$e_g$ and O-$p$ projected densities of states, respectively. (B) Spectral function of cubic SrVO$_3$. The red, blue and green are V-$t_{2g}$, V-$e_g$ and O-$p$ projected densities of states, respectively. (C1) Spectral function of SrVO$_3$/SrMnO$_3$ superlattices. The red and blue curves are Mn-$t_{2g}$ and Mn-$e_g$ projected densities of states. (C2) Spectral function of SrVO$_3$/SrMnO$_3$ superlattices. The green and purple curves are V-$t_{2g}$ and V-$e_g$ projected densities of states. Adapted with permission from [72]. Copyright (2014) by the American Physical Society.

Figure 15. (A) Atomic positions near the La$_{2/3}$Ca$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$/YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_7$ (LCMO/YBCO) interface. The MnCuO$_{10}$ cluster used for the exact-diagonalization calculations is highlighted. (B) Occupancy of Cu $d$ orbitals at the LCMO/YBCO interface as a function of Mn hole on-site energy, as predicted by the exact-diagonalization calculations described in the text. The occupancy is given by the total number of holes, measured from the full-shell (3$d^{10}$) electron configuration. The corresponding formal Cu valence states are indicated for clarity. The insets show the orbital level scheme at the interface, including extended bonding (B) and antibonding (AB) ’molecular orbitals’ formed by hybridized Cu and Mn $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals. The hole is indicated as the green circle. From [74]. Reprinted with permission from AAAS.
ions interchange their positions. As figure 16(A) shows, if substantial charge transfer occurs across the interface, the BO₆ oxygen octahedron that donates the electron shrinks its volume, while the B'O₆ oxygen octahedron that accepts the electron expands its volume. If the interface remains atomically sharp as in figure 16(B) some B'O₆ oxygen octahedra (electron donors) are under tensile strain, while other B'O₆ oxygen octahedra (electron acceptors) are under compressive strain. However, if antisite defects are induced at the interface (see figure 16(C)), the volume disproportionation will be naturally accommodated, which thus significantly reduces the internal strain. This indicates that significant charge transfer across oxide interfaces is a fundamental thermodynamic driving force to induce antisite defects.

In [67], the authors use first-principles methods to survey 21 LaMO₆/La'MO₆ interfaces (M, M' = Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) and 15 SrMO₆/Sr'MO₆ interfaces (M, M' = Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co). The authors find that about 50% of the surveyed interfaces have strong tendency for antisite defects and these interfaces have a high degree of charge transfer between two dissimilar transition metal ions.

Chen and Millis [67] also show that for interfaces with negligible charge transfer, the presence of Jahn–Teller distortions can help inhibit antisite defects. Figure 17 shows the effects of Jahn–Teller distortions at oxide interfaces. Panel (A) shows the top view of two vertically adjacent oxide layers at the interface with no antisite defects. The purple oxygen octahedron has strong Jahn–Teller distortions (one long metal-oxygen bond length and one short metal-oxygen bond length). The blue oxygen octahedron has no Jahn–Teller distortions (two metal-oxygen bond lengths are equal). Panel (B) shows the top view of two vertical adjacent oxide layers at the interface with one antisite defect. The purple oxygen octahedron has bond disproportionation (Jahn–Teller distortion) and the blue oxygen octahedron does not have bond disproportionation. Compatibility with the geometry imposes strains (green arrows) to reduce the bond disproportionation of the purple oxygen octahedron and to induce a bond disproportionation in the blue oxygen octahedron. To reduce the elastic strain, the ideal interface with no antisite defects is thermodynamically favored.

We summarize that antisite defects are strongly associated with geometry constraints, which in turn are controlled by the charge states of transition metal ions and are therefore closely connected to charge transfer. Antisite defects are favored at oxide interfaces if the defect allows the system to accommodate volume disproportionation induced by charge transfer. On the other hand, if the ideal (un-defected) interface can accommodate bond disproportionation due to Jahn–Teller distortions (perhaps also due to charge transfer), antisite defects are disfavored.

6. Theoretical challenges

In this section, we briefly review the theoretical methods that are used to calculate oxide heterostructures and discuss the challenges faced in order to better understand charge-transfer-driven phenomena at oxide interfaces.

The key quantities to calculate are the band alignments between occupied and unoccupied states in bulk materials and between similar states on opposite sides of an interface. Therefore, the biggest challenge is to develop a method with no fitting parameters that calculates electronic structure of realistic materials (including complex heterostructures).

Currently, density functional theory (DFT) [77, 78] with local density approximation (LDA) [79] and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [80] is the workhorse to calculate the crystal structure of oxide heterostructures. Because this method gives access to the energy as a function of atomic positions, it can capture complicated distortions in oxides, including oxygen octahedral rotations, ferroelectric displacements...
Figure 17. (A) Top view of two vertically adjacent oxide layers at the interface with no antisite defects. The purple oxygen octahedron has strong Jahn–Teller distortions (one long metal-oxygen bond length and one short metal-oxygen bond length). The blue oxygen octahedron has no Jahn–Teller distortions (two metal-oxygen bond lengths are equal). (B) Top view of two vertical adjacent oxide layers at the interface with one antisite defect. The purple oxygen octahedron has bond disproportionation (Jahn–Teller distortion) and the blue oxygen octahedron does not have bond disproportionation. Compatibility with the geometry imposes strains (green arrows) to reduce the bond disproportionation of the purple oxygen octahedron and to induce a disproportionation in the blue oxygen octahedron. Rotations and tilts of oxygen octahedra are suppressed for clarity. Adapted with permission from [67]. Copyright (2016) by the American Physical Society.
We note that in many strongly correlated materials, electronic structure and atomic structure are closely related. For example, VO$_2$ undergoes a coupled metal-insulator rutile-monoclinic transition [91, 92]. While it is still an on-going research topic whether the transition is primarily driven by electronic transition or structural transition, it is a classical example for strongly correlated materials that different atomic structures correspond to distinct electronic structures. For oxide heterostructures, atoms close to the interface generically move away from their positions in bulk constituents and charge transfer phenomenon is strongly coupled to the new atomic positions because they can significantly change the energy separation between metal $d$ states and oxygen $p$ states as well as hopping matrix elements and band widths [67]. Currently DFT and DFT + $U$ methods can efficiently calculate forces on atoms in solids and therefore can perform atomic relaxation and obtain optimal atomic positions for complex heterostructures. However, strong correlation effects are either neglected in DFT or treated in a static mean field approximation in DFT + $U$. On the other hand, DMFT/hybrid functional/GW improve the calculations of electronic structure to different extent, but atomic relaxation is very difficult, if not possible, using these sophisticated methods. The compromising approach of using DFT/DFT + $U$ to obtain the optimal atomic structure or simply using experimentally determined atomic structure, and then using DMFT/hybrid functional/GW methods to calculate electronic structure is currently preferred. A unified theory which can calculate both electronic and atomic structures for strongly correlated materials on the same footing is highly desirable but very challenging. We finally note that while the calculation of many-body band offsets is a key theoretical challenge, measurements of charge transfer and band offsets in experiment can provide a key test of theories.

### 7. Summary and perspectives

We reviewed three major mechanisms for charge transfer in oxide heterostructures. In our classification, charge transfer can occur across oxide interfaces in order to compensate for (1) polarity difference, (2) occupancy difference and (3) electronegativity difference between two different transition metal oxides. We summarized representative examples for the first two mechanisms and present a more detailed review of important examples for the third mechanism. Table 1 provides a quick summary. We also reviewed the theoretical methods used to study charge transfer phenomena in oxide heterostructures and discuss the challenges we face in theory.

Oxide heterostructures have shown a plethora of properties which are not exhibited in their bulk constituents. Charge transfer is a very general and robust phenomenon that occurs to oxide interfaces. In the review, we highlight oxide interfaces in which charge transfer occurs to 3$d$ transition metal ions. However, recent experimental progress makes it feasible to synthesize oxide heterostructures that contain 4$d$ and 5$d$ transition metal ions [93]. Charge transfer between 3$d$-to-4$d$ or 3$d$-to-5$d$ transition metal ions is a very interesting direction for future research, since spin-orbit interaction is stronger in 4$d$ and 5$d$ transition metal ions and the interplay between correlation effects and spin-orbit interaction will play a crucial role in charge transfer phenomena. While our review mainly focuses on (001) interfaces, the emergent phenomena identified in oxide heterostructures may also be present in mixed bulk materials, such as double perovskite oxides (e.g. see section 5 (D)). We hope our review can stimulate further theoretical and experimental work to search for novel strongly correlated phenomena in oxide heterostructures.
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