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ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) is considered as one of the newest metaheuristic algorithms to be used for solving a type of NP-hard problems. WOA is known of having slow convergence and at the same time, the computation of the algorithm will also be increased exponentially with multiple objectives and huge request from n users. The current constraints surely limit for solving and optimizing the quality of Demand Side Management (DSM) case, such as the energy consumption of indoor comfort index parameters which consist of thermal comfort, air quality, humidity and vision comfort. To address these issues, this proposed work will firstly justify and validate the constraints related to the appliances scheduling problem, and later proposes a new model of the Cluster based Multi-Objective WOA with multiple restart strategy. In order to achieve the objectives, different initialization strategy and cluster-based approaches will be used for tuning the main parameter of WOA under different MapReduce application which helps to control exploration and exploitation, and the proposed model will be tested on a set of well-known test functions and finally, will be applied on a real case project i.e. appliances scheduling problem. It is anticipating that the approach can expedite the convergence of meta-heuristic technique with quality solution.

Keywords:
Scheduling Problem; Swarm Intelligence; Whale Optimization Algorithm

1. Introduction

The optimization of energy consumption schedules of appliances can be regarded as a classical scheduling problem. However, the user comfort level is often overlooked as an important constraint [1-2]. On the other hand, the goal of DSM is to successfully monitor the energy schedule to ensure the minimum electricity charge and the maximum Indoor Comfort Index (ICI) is achieved. ICI can be
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distributed into four basic parameters, namely thermal comfort, air quality comfort, humidity comfort, and visual comfort. In Shaikh et al. [3], the user comfort index is regarded as a significant issue due to the high portion of people’s time is allocated by staying in the buildings. As a result, high energy consumption is required to obtain a convenient indoor condition.

Previous study has suggested the various approaches to overcome the appliances scheduling problems. Rahim [4] has proposed three optimization techniques i.e. Genetic Algorithm (GA), Binary Particle Swarm Optimization (BPSO) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). These methods were utilized to reduce the electricity charge, PAR, execution period and increase consumer comfort, while the integration of RES was solved.

Authors in Muralitharan et al., [5] and Zafar et al., [6] have implemented a Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm (MOEA) and Harmony Search Algorithm (HSA), respectively. Both studies were trying to improve energy consumption and consumer comfort in the scope of a waiting period for appliances execution. However, they are only focused on consumer satisfaction in the context of the waiting period for the energy scheduling, but the indoor comfort index parameters are often ignored [4-6].

Over the last three years ago, meta-heuristic discipline has experienced a rapid growth of Swarm Intelligence (SI) techniques such as Multi-Verse Optimizer (MVO) [7], Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO) [8], Sine Cosine Algorithm (SCA) [9], and Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) [10]. WOA is powerful and easy to conduct because only a small number of variables need to be controlled. WOA also shows excellent potential to bypass the local optima [11]. Nevertheless, most of the SI technique suffers from low convergence accuracy and convergence rate when solving complex optimization problems [12-13]. Hence, WOA also inherits the disadvantages of SI with a slow convergence rate [14].

The complexity of the problem is also simultaneously increased with the number of objectives and users demand in real-time. Thus, it is desirable to distribute the optimization tasks /agents to several clusters in order to minimize the time for convergence. Hence, to the best knowledge of the authors, in the corpus of literature, there is no attempt to minimize the problem of slow convergence of Multi Objective WOA particularly for scheduling problem.

2. Meta-Heuristic Algorithm for the Scheduling Problem

Authors in Baker [15], Morton et al., [16], and Pinedo [17] defined that “scheduling is a process associated with the allocation of sources to perform the task within a period of time”. It has been widely utilized on the various field in real-world problem including manufacturing and services [18], satellite broadcast scheduling problem [19], academic scheduling problems [20], energy management [21], and engineering field [22]. Scheduling also involves many components including the distribution of available resources for tasks or activities over time. Meanwhile, this problem can be modeled as a big group of combinatorial optimization problems.

However, in many scenarios, the optimization problem provides the most problematic and it becomes NP-hard problem [18]. NP-hard stands for a non-deterministic polynomial-time hardness where the problem has no known polynomial algorithm, then, the finding solution time is increased simultaneously with problem size. In order to obtain optimum results, there is a need to find the most appropriate method in solving these problems. Therefore, the design of the efficient meta-heuristic algorithm is seen as a great choice.
2.1 The Progress of Swarm Intelligence for Scheduling Problem

Swarm Intelligence (SI) is a nature-inspired meta-heuristic algorithm motivated by biological or physical phenomena. SI plays an important role in solving any type of optimization issue, while Swarm-based technique shows the most popular approach in SI [10]. Originally, the SI term was implemented in the scope of cellular robotic systems only [23]. But today, SI is widely utilized as a division of Computational Intelligence (CI) [24]. There are five principles of SI paradigm as defined by [25] which are proximity, quality, diverse reaction, stability, and adaptability.

The stable expansion of SI studies prove that it has excellent potential in the research area of CI [26]. According to the definition above, the taxonomy of SI can be divided into several groups which consists of insect, bird, fish, group hunting, bacteria, mammal, frog, and others [26]. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [27] and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [28] were some of the well-known algorithms in SI.

PSO which developed in the year 1995 [27] is ideally coming from the social nature of bird flocking or fish schooling. PSO also shows much likeness to the evolutionary computation method such as the Genetic Algorithm. The process of PSO was initialized with the random population to generate the system. Then, the system will use the first solution to continually update the generation until the optimal solution is found.

In the past several decades, PSO shows outstanding performance in various fields and practices. This is due to the advantages of PSO such as high convergence speed and low cost compared to other optimizers. Here are some of an example of PSO practices, Ravagnani et al., [29] utilized PSO for solving the shells problem. Han et al., [30] and Yu et al., [31] implemented PSO to solve the problem of rolling fin-tube and plate fin heat exchanger, respectively.

Meanwhile, ACO is developed in the year 1992 [28]. ACO initially introduced as the metahueristic algorithm that usually use to solve the combinatorial optimization problems. Started with the basic algorithm of ACO [32-33], ACO is spread in many forms of variations and implementation i.e., traveling salesman person (TSP) [34], vehicle routing problem [35], set covering problem [36], and graph coloring [37].

2.2 Whale Optimization Algorithm

Recently, many new, robust, and improved SI algorithms have been introduced. One of the latest algorithms under swarm-based technique is Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA). WOA is firstly introduced by Mirjalili and Lewis in the year 2016 [10]. This algorithm is inspirited by the bubble net of a humpback whale as their hunting strategy [38]. WOA popularly come with the two ways; 1) exploitation and 2) exploration.

Meanwhile, under the exploitation strategy exists another two processes called encircling prey and spiral updating position. At first strategy, the best global or prey (in terms of whales) is investigated. While the exploration strategy is utilized to randomly explore the prey in order to avoid local optima. WOA is assumed had an impressive potential in exploring the best global solution and bypassing local optima in a good time length. This is because of their high capacity to maintain a stable process between exploitation and exploration. It’s already confirmed by the previous research in solving many real-life problems i.e., optimal sizing of renewable resources for loss reduction in distribution systems [39], feature selection [40], sizing optimization for skeletal structures [41] and data clustering [42]. Since the superiorities of WOA are undeniable, many researchers choose WOA rather than the other algorithm in dealing with complex optimization problems.
2.3 Current Issues in WOA

However, WOA shows a similar deficiency compared to other SI algorithms where it is slow in terms of convergence rate. Khalil et al., [14] asserted that the WOA performance degrades when it is applied to a large-size problem due to the need for a massive computational workload. Yan et al., [12] also reported a similar weakness of WOA in water resource allocation optimization problem. WOA shows a slow convergence rate by the increment number of iterations. They have started the iteration with a set of 180 times to an increase of 2000 times and does not yield the expected convergence rate [12]. Therefore, further research is needed to overcome the drawbacks of the low convergence accuracy and convergence rate before the WOA is applied to the more difficult optimization problem.

3. Cluster based Computation for WOA

WOA operates well on a single machine and has proven to outperform another Evolutionary Algorithm (EA) [36, 39-43]. However, as mentioned earlier, the WOA faces the major drawback against low convergence speed when it comes to complicated issues. This gives a negative impact on the performance of WOA towards time consumption and computational workload [12-14]. The computational task in WOA is the potential to enhance and expanded into clusters of WOA agents to produce a faster and feasible solution.

On the other hand, the multi objective or constraint/cost computation are also possible to be implemented in different/distributed machines or clusters considering the size, complexity and scalability of the datasets. In order to support enormous request at the same time, parallels and distributed approaches are promising to be develop in WOA framework. Some related works of other approaches includes Message-Passing Interface (MPI) [44], Open Multi-Processing (OpenMP) [45], Spark and Hadoop MapReduce [46-47].

3.1 MapReduce Framework

MapReduce is a programming model that helps to simplify the growth of scalable and fault-tolerant parallel applications in a distributed condition. This model is basically formed from the two main functions, called Map and Reduce, where they drive together in a divide-and-conquer component. Parallelization in MapReduce occurs through distributing the workload among a cluster of heterogeneous commodity machines.

Meanwhile, Apache Hadoop [48-49] is an open-source implementation of the MapReduce structure written in Java. Previously, researchers are frequently using the Apache Hadoop due it is containing the characteristic of MapReduce that make it easy to use in a various range of disciplines including text mining, machine learning, bioinformatics, etc. Besides that, MapReduce also get a high concern in distributing EAs. Basically, the builder only needs to construct the main algorithm in a Map and Reduce structure [50-51]. This will encourage them to only pay attention to the algorithm but not too worried about the management of distributed implementations.

3.2 Spark Distributed Computation

However, when compared between Hadoop MapReduce and Spark, Spark dominantly shows an excellent performance in several perspectives rather than Hadoop MapReduce. Spark is well-known as an open-source of huge data structure with the strength of high speed and more common-function
data processing engines rather than Hadoop. Compared to a Hadoop MapReduce, Hadoop provide an open-source structure, but it only limited to writing purposes. It is also developed to run the big volume of data on a group of commodity hardware and is able to operate the data in batch mode [52].

Concurrently, in the spec of Spark area, it is conquered the several areas in showing the outstanding performances which are iterative processing, near-real-time processing, good of graph processing, etc. However, when comes to the biggest data sets, Hadoop is preferable to take it over since it needs a high frequency for the shuffling and sorting process [52]. Table 1 shows a comparison between Apache Spark and Hadoop MapReduce.

In this situation, Spark and MapReduce have their own advantages and disadvantages. Spark able to conduct any kind of specifications including batch, interactive and streaming, while MapReduce only restricted on batch processing. Hence, it is worth to discover which methods are expected to have a high tendency to increase the convergence rate of WOA in solving a real-world problem.

**Table 1**

| No. | Characteristic      | Apache Spark                                      | Hadoop MapReduce |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1.  | Processing speed    | 100 times faster in memory and while running on disk. | Slower than Apache Spark because if I/O disk latency. |
| 2.  | Data processing     | Batch Processing as well as Real Time Data Processing. | Only for Both Processing. |
| 3.  | Category            | Data Analytics Engine.                            | Data Processing Engine. |
| 4.  | Scalability         | Scalable                                          | Scalable         |
| 5.  | Machine learning    | Apache Spark have inbuilt API’s to Machine Learning. | More compatible with Apache Mahout while integrating with Machine Learning. |
| 6.  | Real-time analysis  | Excellent                                         | Fail             |
| 7.  | Compatibility       | Can integrate with all data sources and file formats supported by Hadoop cluster. | Majorly compatible with all the data sources and file formats. |
| 8.  | Scheduler           | Have own scheduler.                               | Dependent on external Scheduler. |
| 9.  | Ease of use         | Easier to use because of Rich API’s.              | Bit complex comparing Apache Spark because of JAVA API’s. |
| 10. | Fault tolerance     | Uses resilient distributed dataset (RDD) and various data storage models. | Uses replication for fault tolerance. |
| 11. | Duplicate elimination | Able to process every record exactly once hence eliminated duplication. | Do not support this feature. |
| 12. | Latency             | Much faster comparing MapReduce Framework.        | Very high latency. |
| 13. | SQL                 | Supports through Spark SQL.                       | Supports through Hive Query Language |
| 14. | Complexity          | Easy to write and debug.                          | Difficult to write and debug codes. |
| 15. | Security            | More evolving and getting matured.                | More secured compared to Apache Spark. |
| 16. | Costs               | More costlier because of large amount of RAM.     | Less costlier comparing Apache Spark. |

Additionally, there have other cluster-based technologies, namely Message Passing Interface (MPI) and OpenMP. Message Passing Interface (MPI) is introduced by researchers and implemented in a wide range of computing architectures field [54]. While OpenMP is used as a supporter of the multi-platform shared memory multiprocessing programming in C, and C++ [55]. As a conclusion, Spark and Hadoop MapReduce can be categorized in a cluster-based model in solving large datasets
of problems. Both technologies show the different pros and cons of architecture, speed rate, data processing, compatibility, and others.

4. Multiple Restart Strategy

Multi-restart strategy is defined as the initialization process where requires rework operations constructively. The rework operation is considered successful when the best result is obtained and selected for the execution. Glover [56] proposes a model/structure to build a few networks to multiple-restart techniques to upgrade the initialization solution. Each model provides the process to produce the initialization value for the parameters, while it’s also used to produce perturbation values from another initialization point. The changing of perturbation rules should involve the local search method for generating re-start. Thus, to expedite the convergence process, there is a need to control the initial randomization of solution.

Basically, randomization is regulated in two ways [56]. Firstly, the most popular method in heuristic is called a random restart approach. In this way, the random value is inserted as a first-generation for initializing the earliest starting point. Secondly, this method is called a random shakeup procedure. It systematically produces a randomized series of moves that lead the heuristic from its customary path into a region it would not otherwise reach.

Initialization is the assignment of an initial value for a data object or variable. Initialization plays a very important role in meta-heuristic process and then encourages many studies in this field to improve initialization techniques. The various approach has been proposed with the main goal of increasing the search space area. Authors in Kimura and Matsumura [57], Ma and Vandenbosch [58], and Kazimipour et al., [59] state that, the wide range of search space will increase the probability to gain the near-optimal solution in both aspects of maximizing global optima searching and minimize the computational costs.

Generally, most of the metaheuristic algorithms is utilize the random initialization at the starting process i.e., Genetic Algorithm [60], Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [27], Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm (ABC) [61], Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO) [62], Artificial Fish Swarm Algorithm (AFSA) [63], and Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) [10]. As mentioned earlier, a random solution is key to enhancing the convergence performance in order to balance the diversity in the population [64].

Xiang Li et al., [65] had present their method in producing the initial population of a Genetic Algorithm (GA) and investigate their effect on the effectiveness of overall GA in the scope of computational time and convergence. Shupeng Gao et al., [66] had proposed a novel pheromone initialization (NPI) approach for Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithm to counter the Travel Salesman Problem (TSP). Thus, the proposed method shows an improvement in the quality of robustness and the end solution. Meanwhile, Gai-Ge Wang et al., [67] using the equal partition and two random distributions (F and T distribution) to upgrade the Monarch Butterfly Optimization (MBO) achievement.

The basic principle of multiple restart strategy is to mix between the random start (population initialization) and finding the best approach of between stochastic and deterministic approach. Other related technique for deterministic approach is the use of Chaos Theory which is promising to be used as a strategy for initialization of WOA.

5. Methodology: Appliances Scheduling Problem using Modified Whale Optimization Algorithm

There are three phases involves in our research methodology. We normally follow the method defined from our previous research [68-70].
5.1 Phase 1: Information Gathering and Theoretical Framework

Related Activities in Phase 1 includes (i) Literature review on appliances scheduling problem and WOA and (ii) semi-structured interview to gather constraints related to appliances scheduling problem.

5.2 Phase 2: Development Model of Appliances Scheduling by Using A Cluster-Based Multi-Objective WOA With Multiple Restart Strategy

This phase concentrates on developing the new objective function with a set of constraints related to scheduling of appliances. Next, the research will focus on finding an initial feasible solution based on an ordering strategy where the meta-heuristics used are adapted. At this stage, the multiple initialization/restart strategy will be applied with a mixture of stochastic and deterministic methods. Subsequently, a new intelligent Multi-Objective WOA which is constructed through the implementation of the cluster-based platform such as MapReduce i.e. Hadoop and Spark to improve the quality of the appliances schedule. Related Activities in Phase 2 includes (i) Development of Multi-Objective of WOA (MOWOA), (ii) Development of MOWOA in multiple restart strategies and (iii) Development of Cluster based MOWOA using MapReduce. The pseudo-code of a general WOA algorithm is illustrated in Algorithm 1. Due to the limitation of SI, we modified the original WOA. The flow chart of the New Cluster-Based WOA with Multiple Restart Strategy is illustrated in Figure 1.

6. Conclusion and Future Works

This project is expected to assist the stakeholders and agencies to manage the energy industry efficiently. This work is in line with the United Nation’s Sustainable Development Goals (SDG17) that is to ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable, and modern energy for all. In Malaysia, a quality schedule with feasible solutions for energy-efficiency which involves multiple households with variety of appliances in a residential or commercial area is perceived as an important national agenda. On the other hand, we attempt to improve the current SI by improving WOA as an example and has the potential to replicate to other SI algorithms. In future we will continue with algorithm development and experimentations.
Fig. 1. Cluster Based WOA with Multiple Restart Strategy
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