Novel Subgroups in Subarachnoid Hemorrhage and Their Association With Outcomes—A Systematic Review and Meta-Regression
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Background and Purpose: Subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) has long been classified into two main forms, aneurysmal SAH (aSAH) and non-aneurysmal SAH (naSAH), but the related risk factors for aSAH and naSAH are heterogeneous. Our objective was to determine the risk factors for SAH of known or unknown origin with respect to diagnostic evaluation in a large patient cohort. We sought to determine whether our classification system can further predict middle long-term stroke and death.

Methods: We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to identify risk factors for each SAH subtype. The discovery phase analyzed 11 risk factors from case studies in the literature. Kruskal-Wallis, Cox regression, logistic regression, and Kaplan-Meier analyses were used to compare the two groups.

Results: A total of 14,904 (34.53%) male and 22,801 (52.84%) female patients were eligible for this study. At a median follow-up of 45.6 months, the 5-years overall survival was 97.768% (95% CI: 0.259–0.292) for aSAH patients and 87.904% (95% CI: 1.459–1.643) for naSAH patients. The 10-years survival rate was 93.870% (95% CI: 2.075–3.086) and 78.115% (95% CI: 2.810–3.156), respectively. Multi-risk factor subgroups showed significant intergroup differences. We identified eight risk factors (drugs, trauma, neoplastic, vessels lesion, inflammatory lesion, blood disease, aneurysm, peri-mesencephalic hemorrhage) using logistic regression, which were optimally differentiated among the aSAH [aSAH-S (AUC: 1), a-d-SAH (AUC: 0.9998), aSAH-T (AUC: 0.9199), aSAH-N (AUC: 0.9433), aSAH-V (AUC: 1), aSAH-I (AUC: 0.9954), a-bd-SAH (AUC: 0.9955)] and naSAH [na-pmSAH (AUC: 0.9979), na-ni-ivl-SAH (AUC: 1), na-t-SAH (AUC: 0.9979), na-ne-SAH (AUC: 0.9475), na-d-SAH (AUC: 0.7676)] subgroups. These models were applied in a parallel cohort, showing eight risk factors plus survival rates to predict the prognosis of SAH.
INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) was first described by Wilks in 1859 (Wilks, 1859). SAH accounts for 5% of strokes (Feigin et al., 2009), which refers to neurological dysfunction caused by bleeding from ruptured blood vessels to the cavity under arachnoid conditions. Clinical symptoms include abrupt onset, coma, recovery, and death and may be due to non-traumatic aneurysm hemorrhage, glioma, glioblastoma, arteriovenous angioma, atherosclerosis, dural thrombosis, etc. (Symonds, 1924; Russel and Kershman, 1937; Lin et al., 2006). In the early stage of SAH, non-contrast computed tomography (CT), a diagnostic test for SAH (Perry et al., 2011), and lumbar puncture supportive findings are essential requirements for effective intervention. In addition, angiography or autopsy is necessary for the diagnosis of the presence of a ruptured or an unbroken aneurysm. The incidence of SAH in patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms (UIAs) is still unclear (Suarez et al., 2006) (residual aneurysm or unknown or not removed risk factors). Multiple risk factors, including age, location, size, multiple aneurysms, sex, accuracy of detection, environmental factors, lifestyle, and genetic factors, are associated with unruptured aneurysms, aneurysm formation, and death or disability in SAH patients (Macdonald and Schweizer, 2017). However, studies of risk factors have yielded some contradictory results that could be related to bias in the studies, which leads to a misunderstanding of aneurysms (Macdonald and Schweizer, 2017).

Currently, owing to the wide usage of diagnostic imaging approaches including magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) and computed tomography angiography (CTA), the initial diagnosis of occasional SAH has profoundly increased. The incidence rate of SAH is 2.0 per 100,000 people in China (Ingall et al., 2000), with a higher rate in women than in men (Shea et al., 2007; Van Munster et al., 2008; Sacco et al., 2009). Based on angiographic findings, SAH is categorized as either aneurysmal SAH (aSAH) or non-aneurysmal SAH (naSAH) (Mark, 2001; Raya et al., 2014); aSAH in 1 to 2% of patients is associated with trauma, infection, or tumor, and most intracranial ruptured aneurysms are small (Gijn et al., 2007). According to imaging detection of the blood distribution in the cavity of the subarachnoid, SAH could be described as peri-mesencephalic/prepontine (PM-SAH) or non-peri-mesencephalic hemorrhage (npm-SAH) in naSAH. In 2010, Nayak categorized four types of naSAH on the basis of CT findings and the position of bleeding (Nayak et al., 2010). However, these clinical imaging classification systems for SAH have not been integrated. It is difficult to use the variability of imaging and classification on the basis of a single factor to predict the prognosis. Furthermore, appropriate criteria are still lacking for the classification of naSAH and the scales to assess the prognosis of SAH patients. Thus, some researchers, including Fisher, Hijdra, Greene, and Morris and Marshall, designed a variety of classification schemes and attempted to establish a grading system based on the amount of subarachnoid bleeding in the subarachnoid cavity (Gijn et al., 2007).

To date, SAH research has been complicated due to the wide range of terms used to define different conditions, leading to confusion over potential pathophysiology. In addition, other risk factors, such as traumatic injury, hypertension, inflammation, blood disease, and neoplastic lesions, may contribute to SAH progression and recurrence. These factors are associated with an attributable risk of naSAH, aSAH, or aneurysm formation.

Many confounding risk factors make it difficult to classify a single type of SAH. This inconsistency in classification not only fails to improve the prognosis of patients but also may affect the pathological outcomes and even increase mortality. We proposed a refined classification approach with multiple axes based on digital subtraction angiography (DSA) and imaging (CTA and MRA). This approach also takes into account numerous factors or causes of either aSAH or naSAH, including the clinical symptoms of abrupt onset, recovery and pathophysiology, age, genetics, and follow-up. An ideal powerful classification tool would be able to identify the most important risk factors at diagnosis and guide clinicians in choosing an optimal personalized treatment, such as eliminating the aneurysm and its complications. Concurrently, we proposed a grading prognostic assessment system for SAH, which is a relatively new prognostic index for SAH patients. In this study, we used two statistical methods, multivariate Cox regression and Kaplan-Meier survival curves, to identify and weight the prognostic factors that are important to each subgroup.

Conclusions: The classification of risk factors related to aSAH and naSAH is helpful in the diagnosis and prediction of the prognosis of aSAH and naSAH patients. Further validation is needed in future clinical applications.
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Abbreviations: a-bd-SAH, aneurysmal-blood disease subarachnoid hemorrhage; a-d-SAH, aneurysmal drug subarachnoid hemorrhage (cocaine abuse); aSAH-I, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage coexisting with inflammatory lesion; aSAH-N, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage coexisting with neoplasm; aSAH-S, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage-simple; aSAH-T, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage coexisting with trauma; aSAH-V, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage coexisting with blood vessel lesion; na-d-SAH, non-aneurysmal drug subarachnoid hemorrhage; na-ne-SAH, non-aneurysmal-neoplastic subarachnoid hemorrhage; na-ni-il-SAH, non-aneurysmal-inflammation and intracerebral vascular lesion subarachnoid hemorrhage; na-pmSAH, non-aneurysmal peri-mesencephalic hemorrhage; na-t-SAH, non-aneurysmal traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage.

1Youman's neurology surgery, p1476, p3772, p3740. Chapter 361, p3747, p3428. Chapter 361 p3662, p3752.
METHODS

Study Design and Search Strategy
This systematic review and meta-regression followed preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009) and involved a systematic literature search of the MEDLINE databases to identify risk factors associated with SAH. In addition, priority was given to the commonly used quality assessment tools ROBIS (Risk of Bias in Systematic Reviews) and Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS), which were taken into a priori consideration throughout the course of this study (Whiting et al., 2013, 2016).

We searched the MEDLINE database and combined the keywords used with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms (see Appendix-total-1—the terms used in the search strategy and electronic databases, page 6 in Supplementary Material). The period of all original studies is from March 1, 1930 to April 1, 2019. The search terms were used to extract as many potentially relevant articles as possible from the database for the discovery of SAH-related risk factors. We also defined the selected and referenced optimal risk factors (see Appendix-total-1—page of 10 in Supplementary Material).

For risk factors, we have developed a set of theoretically reasonable methods and practices. These factors were explored in four stages to maintain a high level of homogeneity and methodological quality as possible. The first stage (the discovery stage/or identification and selection stage) reflected a mixture of risk factors in the longitudinal study. The second stage (identification of the best risk factors/or the stage of data collection and research evaluation) involved controlling confounding variables and finding the best risk factors for distinguishing between aSAH and naSAH cases. The third stage (disease progression stage/or synthesis and discovery stage) reflected the research on the relative risk of SAH to promote the occurrence and development of disease. The fourth stage (risk of bias in the review) refers to the concerns identified in different domains, with due consideration of the relevance of the search question and avoidance of excessive emphasis on the statistical significance of the results.

Eligibility Criteria
To be eligible, the studies included in this systematic review and meta-regression stage were randomized controlled trials (RCTs), original cohort studies, and original case series/case-control studies. (1) The primary inclusion criterion for all studies was that the CT scans showed a confirmation of SAH and angiograms displayed the presence of intracranial aneurysm/no aneurysm, and only articles containing patient data were included in the systematic review. (2) Studies reporting cases of putative or alternative categories of risk factors associated with SAH were included. (3) The risk factors reported in all studies were not limited to only smoking or female sex and include trauma, drug use (cocaine, amphetamine, morphine, or antiepileptic drug use), inflammation, blood vessel disease, neoplastic lesions, blood disease, environmental factors, atherosclerosis, genetic factors, or hypertension. (4) In cohort and case/control studies, the inclusion criteria referred to people with a specific disease (the specific related diseases are summarized in Appendix—total-1 page 7 in Supplementary Material), and these studies were published in English or Chinese in a peer-reviewed journal or cited in a relevant journal article. (5) Studies had to use imaging for diagnosis with confirmed evidence of aneurysms in angiography, such as CT, CTA, MRA, or DSA, and had to be original research studies on adults with a history of spontaneous SAH. (6) The inclusion criteria for follow-up studies about the risk of rebleeding/death in patients after treatment were (1) patients with aneurysms who had previously undergone clipping/coil embolization and (2) all patients who had been followed up after being discharged and had undergone cerebral angiography at different times after surgery (each patient with aneurysms underwent related treatment during hospitalization and was followed up after discharge). (7) Cross-checking the references was performed until no new articles were identified. In this study, non-aneurysm peri-mesencephalic SAH (na-pmSAH) was divided into idiopathic patterns of peri-mesencephalic hemorrhage (IPH) and non-idiopathic patterns of peri-mesencephalic hemorrhage (Rinkel et al., 1991a,b).

Exclusion Criteria
The following publications were excluded: (1) Articles classified as abstracts, comments/letters to the editor, reviews, lectures, and conference abstracts; (2) Those including patients with polycystic kidney disease, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, Marfan syndrome, fibromuscular dysplasia, or Moyamoya syndrome; (3) Animal-based, model-based, and experimental studies; (4) Studies for which the full text is not available; (5) Basic research; and (6) Duplicate articles or data, poor imaging quality, and insufficient data for analysis research.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment
Two authors (WMD and MWB) independently reviewed all the titles and abstracts to assess their quantification. The included studies met the following requirements: (1) First, angiography was the basis for identifying aneurysm patients. We classified imaging methods as DSA or CTA/MRA. (2) The subtypes of each classification were based on angiography, and other lesions were detected by head magnetic resonance imaging, CT, histopathology, and urinary toxicology screening of patients. (3) Some subtypes were based on the patient's etiology combined with angiography. (4) On the basis of a different pathophysiology of each subtype, we subdivided the studies. (5) The Cochrane Collaboration's tool was used for assessing the quality and risk of bias in non-randomized intervention studies (cohort and case/control studies) and randomized controlled studies (ROBINS-1 see Appendix-total-1—page 9 in Supplementary Material) (Sterne et al., 2016). Differences were resolved through group discussions until a consensus was reached. We created an SAH classification system with causes and disease.

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and to avoid data variability and follow-up heterogeneity, 43,151 SAH patients were finally enrolled in this study. These cases were randomly divided into a training cohort (aSAH = 16,687 and naSAH =
4,889), a testing cohort (aSAH = 6,674 and naSAH = 1,956), and a validation cohort (aSAH = 10,012 and naSAH = 2,933) with a ratio of 3–2:7–8 (please refer to Appendix 1 -page 8).

**Clear Definition of Specifically Related Diseases**

To accurately identify the population at increased risk of a disease or illness and analyze the distribution of different risk factors (specific) in different SAH patients, different risk factors were divided into two groups: aSAH and naSAH. We included blood disease, drugs, inflammatory diseases, neoplastic lesions, trauma, vessel diseases, non-inflammatory and cerebrovascular diseases, simple hemorrhage, and perimesencephalic hemorrhage as risk factors (see Appendix-total-1-pp10 in Supplementary Material). Although these different systemic diseases (as risk factors) are mixed, there is still a significant association between risk factors and SAH in the literature.

**Assessment of the Risk of Bias/Methodological Quality**

According to the Cochrane Reviewers’ Handbook for RCTs (Higgins and Green, 2011), two reviewers (WMD and FQH) independently performed the risk of bias assessment and the risk of bias tool for controlled trials and observational studies. The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool was used to assess the methodological quality of studies that met the inclusion criteria. The Risk of Bias assessment tool involved seven domains (please refer to Appendix 1 -page 9 in Supplementary Material). We processed the information provided by the authors, including the name of author(s), publication year, type of clinical trial, sample size, age of participants, interventions, comparison groups, follow-up duration, outcomes, results, and conclusions. We also monitored the detailed information to support the risk of bias and assigned a judgement related to the risk of bias to this study. We completed a risk of bias table for each included study and presented the information graphically for all research areas (Figures 3 and 4).

**Statistical Analysis (Some Additional Notes on Statistics Appendix-Total-1 pp14)**

GraphPad Prism version 6.01 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA), R software (version 3.6.1), and SPSS-19 software (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used for all statistical analyses. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The relevant R language code package is included in the Supplementary Materials for reference (see Appendix-total-1-pp13 in Supplementary Material).

1. The meta-analysis for overall prevalence was conducted in the Stata version 10.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) and prepared in the R version 3.6.1 using the metaphor and meta packages (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, R Version 3.6.1, Vienna, Austria). Study heterogeneity was fully assessed by the chi-square test and I² statistics. When $p < 0.05$ or $I^2 > 50\%$, a random-effects model was used.

2. Patient demographic and clinical characteristics were evaluated by using the chi-square test and t test for categorical variables and continuous variables, respectively.

3. Normally distributed continuous variables are expressed as the means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Association of individual risk factors with SAH status was tested by using the Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc comparison by the Dunn test with Bonferroni correction. In the discovery phase, association of individual risk factors with SAH status was tested using the Kruskal-wallis test. Pairwise comparisons were then performed using the Dunn test with Bonferroni correction. These risk factors as variables and (tested for association with SAH status) used Meta-analysis to calculates pooled co-existing /associated ratios with 95% confidence interval (95%CI) and pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CI for data. The statistical heterogeneity between specific OR by the $I^2$ statistic and Quantitative test, specificity yielded a P value of 0.05. For pooled data, the $I^2$ statistic was used to estimate heterogeneity and risk of bias, specifically publication bias, based on the Egger test.

4. SAH are often complex and heterogeneous, and there may be varying related risk factors of overlap between two types, complicating an already intricate. For 9 risk factors aneurysmal-simple(aSAH-S), drugs (a-d-SAH & na-d-SAH), trauma (aSAH-T & na-t-SAH), neoplastic(aSAH-N & na-ne-SAH), vessels lesion(aSAH-V), Inflammatory lesion (aSAH-I), blood disease(a-bd-SAH), perimesencephalic haemorrhage (na-pmSAH), non-inflammatory & intracerebral vascular lesion(na-ni-ivl-SAH) were analyzed as Stepwise logistic regression (SLR) was used to find the risk factors associated with SAH that optimally distinguished between different risk factors. Multivariable analyses were performed by using the Cox proportional hazards (PH) regression models to assess the association between aSAH and the naSAH subgroup and the matched subgroups in aSAH and naSAH.

5. Using the sample function generates random numbers in the R software (version 3.6.1) and summarizes 50% of the data from the two sets of patient data sets as training data, 20% of the data as test data, and 30% of the data as a verification cohort (see Appendix 1 -pp8). For each comparison, the data was randomly split into validation a-SAH and na-SAH. The SAH dataset was used to select variable and fit the model which was then tested on the validation set using receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis. The models developed for aSAH-S, a-d-SAH, aSAH-T, aSAH-N, aSAH-V, aSAH-I, and abd-SAH were test in the cases cohorts using ROC analysis. The models developed for na-pmSAH, na-ni-ivl-SAH, na-t-SAH, na-ne-SAH, and na-d-SAH were tested in the cohorts using ROC analysis.

6. Stepwise logistic regression was used to find the risk factors that best distinguished individuals who subsequently progressed from Pathogenic factors to Aneurysm formation from non-progressors. Stepwise selection was performed on the complete data, followed by ROC analysis with leave-one-out cross-validation. The diagnostic accuracy of aSAH and naSAH in SAH was evaluated using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis and logistic regression. The area under the curve (AUC) of ROC analysis, its 95% confidence interval and the statistical analysis were used to evaluate the
diagnostic value of SAH classification; the OR value of logistic regression was used to further quantify. The confidence interval used in the Cochrane system review is 95%.
7. For survival analyses, we used the Kaplan-Meier method to analyze the correlation between variables and disease-free survival and the log-rank test to compare survival curves. The data were analyzed by the SPSS-19 software using the multiple logistic regression method. First, single factor logistic regression was used for the preliminary screening of risk factors. The factors with \( P < 0.10 \) in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant in multifactor analysis.

RESULTS
Description of Included Studies
Figure A1 in the Supplementary Material shows the study selection process (Appendix-total-1, pp2 in Supplementary Material). After review, 933 articles were included in the meta-analysis. To establish a clinically available classification risk prediction model for different populations of SAH patients, among the 43,151 patients studied, 14,904 (34.53%) were male, 22,801 (52.84%) were female patients, and 5,446 (12.62%) lacked information about sex (Table 1). The median follow-up periods of 1, 2, and 5 years were 6, 8.85, and 45.6 months, respectively (range 0–11.33 years). The 3-months to 5-years follow-up numbers (FNs) for the aSAH and naSAH cohorts (\( n = 10,665, n = 3,759 \)) were 31.96 and 38.44%, respectively. The 5- to 12-years FN rates for the aSAH and naSAH cohorts (\( n = 5,812, n = 660 \)) were 36.23 and 14.94%, respectively. A total of 159 (0.44%) patients were lost to follow-up. SAH recurrence occurred during the follow-up period, affecting 38 (0.114%-aSAH) and four (0.041%-naSAH) patients. Moreover, rebleeding from the aneurysm occurred. The incidence of SAH recurrence was one in 878-aSAH (2.439-naSAH) patient-years. In the aSAH group, there were 647 (1.94%) deaths, 115 (0.34%) autopsy reports (death certificates), and 354 (3.62%) deaths from naSAH.

Stratification of SAH-Related Risk Factors at Different Stages of Discovery (Literature Search Results)
The literature search yielded 27,447 SAH articles, including 23,478 aSAH and 3,969 naSAH articles. Among them, 933 articles (aSAH-634, naSAH-299) were identified in the discovery databases (these basically cover all years/coverage from 1930 to 2018). The factor is the online-only data supplement file (statistical analysis AND stratification of SAH-related risk factors). The distribution of the risk factors and discovery is displayed and a group comparison is shown in Table 2. Among the 12 subgroups (risk factors) analyzed categorically, the clinical subgroups showed statistically significant differences.

The weighted incidence rate of aSAH-S from 102 studies was 15.02% (Appendix-2.1 Figure S1 in Supplementary Material).

According to the aSAH subcomponent level, the incidence rates were 8.39% for a-d-SAH, 11.34% for aSAH-T, 13.69% for aSAH-N, 11.05% for aSAH-V, 28.13% for aSAH-I, and 6.19% for a-bd-SAH. Among the na-pmSAH subgroup, the incidence rate was 16.78% for na-pmSAH. The incidence rate was 60.53% for na-ni-ivl-SAH, 8.89% for na-t-SAH, 8.89% for na-ne-SAH, and 4.93% for na-d-SAH (Appendix-2.1, 2.2 Figures S1–12 in Supplementary Material, Table 1).

Relative Risk of SAH in Related Risk Factor Disease
When aSAH and naSAH groups were compared in a post-hoc exploratory SAH group analysis, aSAH and naSAH with various subgroups did not differ significantly. After adjusting for stratification direction (analysis and comparison between subgroups), significant improvements were observed in the aSAH [HR 2.316 (95% CI 1.770–3.032); \( P < 0.0001 \)] vs. naSAH [HR 0.432 (95% CI 0.330–0.565) \( P < 0.0001 \)] groups. The two-way comparison between aSAH-S/na-pmSAH vs. a-d-SAH/na-d-SAH, aSAH-T/na-t-SAH, aSAH-N/na-ne-SAH, aSAH-V, aSAH-I, and a-bd-SAH/na-ni-ivl-SAH subgroups is shown in Table 3. These findings indicate that various subgroups are relatively independent of risk factors.

Developing Models to Differentiate Stratified Risk Factors (SAH From aSAH and naSAH)
aSAH From aSAH-S, a-d-SAH, aSAH-T, aSAH-N, aSAH-V, aSAH-I, and a-bd-SAH
Stepwise selection of aSAH from aSAH-S, a-d-SAH, aSAH-T, aSAH-N, aSAH-V, aSAH-I, and a-bd-SAH showed an interdependence between certain risk factors related to SAH and revealed significant and independent high-risk factors for SAH that help distinguish clinical subgroups. We incorporated aSAH-S, a-d-SAH, aSAH-T, aSAH-N, aSAH-V, aSAH-I, and a-bd-SAH models. The diagnostic accuracy in distinguishing aSAH-S, a-d-SAH, aSAH-T, aSAH-N, aSAH-V, aSAH-I, and a-bd-SAH from aSAH was high (univariate ROC analysis AUC: 1, 0.9998, 0.9199, 0.9433, 1, 0.9954, and 0.9955). We correctly predicted 89.89% of samples with a sensitivity of 75.48% and a specificity of 94.55% (Figure 1A). We further evaluated its performance in distinguishing aSAH subgroups.

naSAH Model Composed of na-d-SAH, na-ne-SAH, na-t-SAH, na-ni-ivl-SAH, and na-pmSAH
The naSAH model composed of na-d-SAH, na-ne-SAH, na-t-SAH, na-ni-ivl-SAH, and na-pmSAH correctly predicted 70.84% of the samples with a sensitivity of 90.86% and a specificity of 72.57%. Furthermore, the accuracy of replicating models in na-d-SAH, na-ne-SAH, na-t-SAH, na-ni-ivl-SAH, and na-pmSAH was high (univariate ROC analysis AUC: 0.767, 0.9475, 0.9997, 1, and 0.9979) (Figure 1B). These findings suggest that this classification system based on the risk factors that distinguished between aSAH and naSAH was reliable and feasible. We further evaluated its performance in distinguishing subgroups of non-aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage.
## TABLE 1 | Meta-analysis of SAH for subgroups and baseline and disease characteristics stratified by aneurysm status.

| Characteristics | Aneurysmal-SAH (aSAH) | Non-aneurysmal-SAH (naSAH) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | aSAH-S (n = 21,909)    | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249)       |
|                 | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562)    | na-d-SAH (n = 47)           |
|                 | aSAH-T (n = 154)       | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)          |
|                 | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)     | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)        |
|                 | aSAH-I (n = 826)       | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093)   |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297)   |                           |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
|                | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249)|                           |
|                | na-d-SAH (n = 47)   |                           |
|                | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)  |                           |
|                | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)|                           |
|                | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093)|                       |

### Sex (%)

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297) |                           |

### Follow-up time (%)

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297) |                           |

### Heterogeneity

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

### Recurrence

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297) |                           |

### Died/(+FD)

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297) |                           |

### Autopsied

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297) |                           |

### Lost follow-up

|                | aSAH-S (n = 21,909) | na-pm-SAH (n = 3,249) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | a-d-SAH (n = 7,562) | na-d-SAH (n = 47)     |
|                | aSAH-T (n = 154)    | na-ne-SAH (n = 79)   |
|                | aSAH-N (n = 2,335)  | na-t-SAH (n = 2,310)  |
|                | aSAH-I (n = 826)    | na-ni-ivl-SAH (n = 4,093) |

|                | a-bdSAH (n = 297) |                           |

---

CI, confidence interval; FD, follow-up period died; mo, months; a-SAHS, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage-simple; a-d-SAH, aneurysmal-drugs Subarachnoid hemorrhage; a-SAHT, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing trauma; a-SAHN, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing neoplastic; a-SAHV, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing vessels lesion; a-SAHL, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing with inflammatory lesion; a-bd-SAHS, aneurysmal-blood disease subarachnoid hemorrhage; LG, lack of description of gender; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.
### TABLE 2 | Twelve (nine risk factors) subgroup analysis associated with clinical state in the discovery phase.

| Variable | a-SAH | P-value | a-SAH-S vs. a-d-SAH | P-value | a-SAH-S vs. aSAH-T | P-value | aSAH-S vs. aSAH-N | P-value | aSAH-S vs. aSAH-V | P-value | aSAH-S vs. aSAH-I | P-value | aSAH-S vs. a-bd-SAH |
|----------|-------|---------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|
|          | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | Kw D-t |
| aSAH-S   | 214.79 ± 551.31 | 0.000017 | NS | 0.000001 | 0.004 | 0.000001 | 0.004 | 0.000001 | 0.22 | 0.000001 | 0.004 | 0.000001 | 0.004 | 0.000001 | 0.005 |
| aSAH-T   | 3.76 ± 14.62 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| aSAH-N   | 1.65 ± 1.91 | NS | NS | NS | 0.005 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| aSAH-V   | 31.13 ± 59.38 | 0.024 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.005 | NS | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.005 | NS | 0.040 |
| aSAH-I   | 4.32 ± 9.73 | NS | NS | NS | 0.007 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| a-d-SAH  | 130.37 ± 651.08 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| a-bd-SAH | 7.07 ± 20.66 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |

Variable | na-SAH | P-value | na-pmSAH vs. na-d-SAH | P-value | na-pmSAH vs. na-ne-SAH | P-value | na-pmSAH vs. na-t-SAH | P-value | na-pmSAH vs. na-ivl-SAH | P-value | na-pmSAH vs. na-bd-SAH |
|----------|-------|---------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|
|          | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | KW D-t | Mean ± SD | Kw D-t |
| na-pmSAH | 63.70 ± 52.55 | 0.00001 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.0001 | 0.044 |
| na-d-SAH | 4.53 ± 5.61 | NS | NS | 0.13 | NS | NS | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| na-ne-SAH | 2.92 ± 3.51 | NS | NS | 0.11 | NS | NS | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| na-t-SAH | 85.55 ± 117.24 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 | 0.096 |
| na-ivl-SAH | 22.92 ± 47.95 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

KW, kruskal-Wallis; NS, not significant; D-t, Dunn test; SD, standard deviation; na-pmSAH, non-aneurysmal perimsencephalic hemorrhage; na-ivl-SAH, non-aneurysmal and non- inflammatory and intracerebral vascular lesion subarachnoid hemorrhage; na-t-SAH, non-aneurysmal-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage; na-ne-SAH, non-aneurysmal-neoplastic subarachnoid hemorrhage; na-d-SAH, non-aneurysmal-drugs subarachnoid hemorrhage. Showed statistically significant difference in clinical subgroup. a-SAH, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage; a-d-SAH, aneurysmal-drugs subarachnoid hemorrhage; a-SAH-T, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing trauma; a-SAH-N, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing neoplastic; a-SAH-V, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing vessels lesion; a-SAH-I, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing with Inflammatory lesion; a-bd-SAH, aneurysmal-blood disease subarachnoid hemorrhage. Showed statistically significant difference in clinical subgroup.
The short-term survival rates of patients with naSAH and aSAH was significantly higher than the long-term survival rate. Compared with the naSAH subgroups, the aSAH subgroups showed more significant differences in the overall survival (OS) and follow-up period associated with different risk factors (Figure 2). In addition, we evaluated the possibility of rebleeding or death events associated with different follow-up periods using improved SR assessment by an exploratory Kaplan-Meier survival ratio (SR) analysis. The outcomes showed that different follow-up times may represent different survival risk levels. In the aSAH-S subgroup and other subgroups, patients with low,
intermediate, and high risk had different risk levels at different follow-up times, with SRs of 99.695, 99.695, 99.439% [2 months: HR 0.985 (95% CI 0–0) for low risk, 2 months: HR 0.8207,955 (95% CI 0–2.628) for moderate, and 30 months: HR 21211.376 (95% CI 0–6.793) for high risk] (Figure 2-(1), Tables 4, 5).

Interestingly, the effect of follow-up periods between high-, intermediate-, and low-risk groups was heterogeneous, although the interaction was significantly different (HR = 67,571.49, 95% CI: 0.0–1.936). This showed that there were obvious differences in their correlation (HR = 21,985.72, 95% CI: 0.0–6.262 vs. HR = 13,128.70, 95% CI: 0.0–3.758, P = 0.0–3.758) (Figure 2-(2), Table 5). For patients with rebleeding or death events, close monitoring is necessary to identify the rebleeding and death happening at high-risk time points. On the other hand, patients in the na-pmSAH subgroup showed a 13-months SR of 97.211% (95% CI: 0.511–0.893) and a 30-months SR of 98.641% (95% CI: 0.966–1.468).

SR was the highest in aSAH-S (93.803%) in the aSAH subgroup and na-pm-SAH (98.222%) in the naSAH subgroup. At 10 years, aSAH-N had the lowest SR (19.253%). Among the different SAH subgroups, the longest SR was aSAH-S (97.803%), followed by ad-SAH&V (93.62 and 95.794%, respectively) and a-bd-SAH (76.737%) (Figures 1C,D). Due to the lack of 5- and 10-years follow-up, the histogram of na-ne-SAH was missed.

**Risk of Bias (Methodological Quality Assessment)**

Figure 3 shows the risk of bias, including RCTs (0.09%), original cohort studies (17.59%), and original case series/case-control studies (82.41%) (see Appendix-3.1, 3.2, 3.3 in
FIGURE 2 | The Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis of differ follow-up timepoint for SAH subtype stratified by disease-related or probable causes. (1) (A) the aSAH-S subgroup, (B) the a-d-SAH subgroup, (C) the aSAH-T subgroup, (D) the aSAH-N subgroup, (E) the aSAH-V subgroup, (F) the aSAH-I subgroup, (G) the a-bd-SAH subgroup, (H) aSAH-subgroup total figure. (2) (I) the na-pmSAH subgroup, (J) the na-ri-ivl-SAH subgroup, (K) the na-t-SAH subgroup, (L) the na-ne-SAH subgroup, (M) the na-d-SAH subgroup, (N) naSAH-subgroup total figure.
### TABLE 4 | The risk levels and survival rates of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage from differ follow-up timepoint.

| DTP: RL | aSAH-S | a-d-SAH | aSAH-T | aSAH-N | aSAH-V | aSAH-I | a-bd-SAH |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
|        | SR     | HR     | SR     | HR     | SR     | HR     | SR       | HR       |
| 2 mo: L | –      | 99.465%| 0.985  | –      | 99.890%| 0.986  | (0.090–0.128)| (9.695–15.690) |
|         | –      | (0.090–0.128)| (0.062–0.149) | (5.001–7.221) | (9.349–15.140) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.325–2.886) | (6.929–14.654) |
| 2 mo: IM| –      | 92.939%| 0.965  | –      | 99.890%| 0.965  | (0.062–0.149)| (7.39–12.79) |
|         | –      | (0.062–0.149) | (0–0) | (5.609–7.810) | (10.430–13.749) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.964–3.430) | (6.929–14.654) |
| 30 mo: H| –      | 99.493%| 0.985  | –      | 99.890%| 0.985  | (0.062–0.149)| (7.39–12.79) |
|         | –      | (0.062–0.149) | (0–0) | (5.609–7.810) | (10.430–13.749) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.964–3.430) | (6.929–14.654) |
| 19 mo: H| –      | 99.493%| 0.985  | –      | 99.890%| 0.985  | (0.062–0.149)| (7.39–12.79) |
|         | –      | (0.062–0.149) | (0–0) | (5.609–7.810) | (10.430–13.749) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.964–3.430) | (6.929–14.654) |
| 43 mo: H| –      | 99.493%| 0.985  | –      | 99.890%| 0.985  | (0.062–0.149)| (7.39–12.79) |
|         | –      | (0.062–0.149) | (0–0) | (5.609–7.810) | (10.430–13.749) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.964–3.430) | (6.929–14.654) |
| 53 mo: IM| –      | 99.493%| 0.985  | –      | 99.890%| 0.985  | (0.062–0.149)| (7.39–12.79) |
|         | –      | (0.062–0.149) | (0–0) | (5.609–7.810) | (10.430–13.749) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.964–3.430) | (6.929–14.654) |
| 147 mo: L| –      | 97.803%| 0.985  | –      | 99.890%| 0.985  | (0.062–0.149)| (7.39–12.79) |
|         | –      | (0.062–0.149) | (0–0) | (5.609–7.810) | (10.430–13.749) | (0.146–0.582) | (1.964–3.430) | (6.929–14.654) |

mo, months; CI, confidence interval; L, low; IM, intermediate; H, high; HR, hazard ratio; SR, survival ratio; "--", data not available; DTP, differ time point; RL, risk level; a-SAH-S, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage-simple; a-SAH-d, aneurysmal-drugs subarachnoid hemorrhage; a-SAH-T, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing trauma; a-SAH-N, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing neoplastic; a-SAH-V, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing vesselas lesion; a-SAH-I, aneurysmal Subarachnoid hemorrhage co-existing with Inflammatory lesion; a-bd-SAH, aneurysmal-blood disease subarachnoid hemorrhage.
The risk levels and survival ratio characteristics of patients with non-aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage.

| DTP: RL | na-pmSAH | na-ni-ivl-SAH | na-t-SAH | na-ne-SAH | na-d-SAH |
|---------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------|---------|
|         | SR       | HR            | SR       | HR        | SR      | HR      |
| 3 mo: IM| 98.812%  | 21985.72      | 99.969%  | 79.224%   | 79.887% | 56.25%  |
|         | (0.511–0.893) | (0.0-6.262)   | (0.036–0.249) | (2.781–3.145) | (12.173–25.205) | (19.973–26.707) |
| 5 mo: L | 97.211%  | 67571.49      | 98.772%  | –         | –       | –       |
|         | (0.966–1.468) | (0.0-1.936)   | (0.367–0.523) | –         | –       | –       |
| 49 mo: H| 96.793%  | 13128.7       | 98.501%  | 67.921%   | 0%      | 0       |
|         | (1.188–1.797) | (0.0-3.758)   | (0.457–0.656) | (3.685–4.031) | (24.974–30.779) | –       |
| 13 mo: H| 97.211%  | 8.972%        | 0.916    | 79.224%   | 59.765% | 0       |
|         | (0.966–1.468) | (0.0-1.999)   | (0.367–0.523) | (2.781–3.145) | (25.628–41.092) | –       |
| 85 mo: L| 96.793%  | 5715.663      | 58.880%  | –         | 0       | 0       |
|         | (1.188–1.797) | (0.0-2.823)   | (5.079–5.500) | –         | –       | –       |
| 87 mo: IM| –       | 98.222%      | 114.758% | –         | 0       | 0       |
|         | (0.626–0.961) | (0-5.620)     | –         | (0.0-5.610) | –       | –       |
| 8 mo: L | 97.211%  | 97.772%       | 79.224%  | 0.401     | 79.887% | 56.25%  |
|         | (0.966–1.468) | (0.0-5.610)   | (0.367–0.523) | (2.781–3.145) | (0.065–2.478) | (12.173–25.205) | (19.973–26.707) |
| 11 mo: H| –       | –             | 79.224%  | 0.238     | 79.887% | –       |
|         | –       | –             | (2.781–3.145) | (0.048–1.230) | (12.173–25.205) | –       |
| 21 mo: IM| –       | –             | 71.522%  | 0.259     | 59.765% | 0       |
|         | –       | –             | (3.429–23.851) | (0.046–1.644) | (25.628–41.092) | –       |
| 7 mo: IM| 97.211%  | 98.772%       | 79.224%  | 2.986     | 56.25%  |
|         | (0.966–1.468) | (0.0-5.620)   | (0.367–0.523) | (2.781–3.145) | (0.332–26.855) | (19.973–26.707) |
| 13 mo: L| –       | –             | –        | 59.765%   | 4.194   | 0       |
|         | –       | –             | –        | (25.628–41.092) | (0.429–41.012) | 0       |
| 18 mo: H| –       | –             | 71.522%  | 59.765%   | 1.381   | 0       |
|         | –       | –             | (3.429–23.851) | (0.046–22.214) | (25.628–41.092) | 0       |
| 2 mo: H | 98.812%  | 98.772%       | 79.224%  | 79.887%   | 56.25%  |
|         | (0.511–0.893) | (0.0-5.610)   | (0.367–0.523) | (2.781–3.145) | (12.173–25.205) | (19.973–26.707) | (0) |
| 6 mo: L | 97.211%  | –             | –        | –         | 56.25%  |
|         | (0.966–1.468) | –             | –        | –         | (19.973–26.707) | (0.018–4.568) | (0) |
| 7 mo: IM| –       | –             | –        | –         | 56.25%  |
|         | –       | –             | –        | –         | (19.973–26.707) | (0) |
DISCUSSION

This new approach for categorizing subgroups of SAH patients is superior to the traditional method for SAH patient classification. The approach described in the current study can detect high-risk factors for aneurysmal and non-aneurysmal SAH at the time of diagnosis and help explore major risk factors involved in the etiology, early diagnosis, stratification, and prediction of stroke course. It also provides information necessary for guiding treatment preferences. The current classification is neither suitable for screening individuals on the basis of major risk factors nor for identifying related types of diseases or assessing responses to interventions.

The nine risk factors were markedly different between the aSAH and naSAH subgroups of different clinical conditions. Step-by-step selection demonstrated that the risk factors related to the formation of intracranial aneurysms were highly associated with the risk factors related to SAH. However, some risk factors significantly and independently help distinguish SAH clinical subgroups. The analysis displayed the best model for aSAH-S vs. aSAH subtypes, including a-d-SAH/aSAH-T/aSAH-N/aSAH-V/aSAH-I/a-bd-SAH. The a-d-SAH and aSAH-I subgroup statuses showed AUCs of 0.9998 and 0.9954 in the discovery cohort and were considered to be highly predictive in demonstrating the clinical specific features, course of related diseases, and follow-up examination of seven subgroups. The data showed that the overall and subgroup survival rates of aSAH patients relative to naSAH patients were significantly higher than those of high-risk stroke patients. Nevertheless, one may argue that diagnoses of patients with aneurysmal hemorrhage based on CT findings could be indicators of poor prognosis. Recurrences occurred in the aSAH-S and na-ni-ivl-SAH subgroups during the 1.4-years follow-up period (Serrone et al., 2016). Furthermore, rebleeding occurred at the target aneurysm. Elderly patients older than 60 years of age experienced recurrence during the follow-up period.

UIAs should be classified as the aSAH-S subtype, and the number of patients identified to have UIAs would increase over time. DSA or CTA data support the hypothesis that non-na-pmSAH is decreased given that it is unclear whether non-na-pmSAH is truly caused by microaneurysm. Therefore, it is necessary to emphasize that the current simple types can be used only as a basis for further classification. A model analysis includes data and disease types. Arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) are a congenital vascular abnormality that occurs predominantly in young patients (Dalton et al., 2018). They are still an independent risk factor for intracranial aneurysm formation (Juvela et al., 2001), but 636 (47.99%) patients in the aSAH-V subgroup were found to be female. In the aSAH-I subgroup, inflammatory diseases that cause an aneurysm were identified, including Lyme borreliosis (LB), intracranial mycotic aneurysms (IMA), Behçet’s disease (BD), and polyarteritis nodosa (PAN) (Polet and Weinstein, 1999; Ducruet et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2013; Ha et al., 2016; John et al., 2016; Sangwoo...
FIGURE 4 | Risk of bias and applicability concerns summary: review author’s judgements about each risk of bias item for each included RCT.
et al., 2016). Intracerebral and/or intraventricular hemorrhage in patients with leukemia is a common cause of mortality. Sickle-cell anemia (SCA), Diamond–Blackfan anemia (DBA), idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), afibrinogenemia, and acute lymphoblastic leukemia belong to the a-bd-SAH subgroup (Trivedi et al., 2002; Vicari et al., 2004; Aggarwal et al., 2013; Kassim et al., 2016).

Distinguishing the diagnosis of non-aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage based on bleeding on CT and angiography-negative subarachnoid hemorrhage is still controversial (Rinkel et al., 1991a). Among currently reported naSAH patients, patients with idiopathic peri-mesencephalic hemorrhage have a good prognosis. However, we still know very little about naSAH and non-na-peri-mesencephalic SAH. We tried to validate our subgroup system in the naSAH cohort.

The best disease models were tested in naSAH cohorts, which included 9,778 patients: na-ni-ivl-SAH subgroup (AUC 1), na-pmSAH, na-ne-SAH, na-t-SAH (AUC: 0.9979, 0.9475, 0.9979), and na-d-SAH subgroup (AUC: 0.767). These models were validated in a multi-clinical center cohort study, indicating that these naSAH subgroup classifications can facilitate diagnosis and differential diagnosis and may provide a basis for clinical practice. In previous studies, the na-pmSAH subgroup was characterized only by blood distribution of the blood in the subarachnoid space anterior to the midbrain or the pons, which had a lower rate of vasospasm (Kang et al., 2009; Gross et al., 2012). Patients with IPH (local clots in the peri-mesencephalic cisterns) have a good prognosis, while patients with indistinguishable blood distribution have rebleeds from aneurysm hemorrhage confirmed on CT. Although recent data have shown an increasing trend of na-pmSAH in naSAH (Konczalla et al., 2016a,b; Lago et al., 2016). Through clinical meta-analysis, we can preliminarily conclude that the incidence of the na-pmSAH in naSAH is 16.78%. Based on the follow-up data analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier analysis, the risk of rebleeding or death at different time points was divided into high, moderate, and low risk, and the strength of this association varied between high, intermediate, and low risk. Risk factors for hemorrhagic disease in non-aneurysmal and non-inflammatory vascular disease (na-ni-ivl-SAH), including carotid artery dissection (CAD), dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVF), cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), and cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) (Lin et al., 2006; Mendel et al., 2017). The radiological features of na-ni-ivl-SAH predominantly show cortical sulci and convex hemorrhage on CT, and the aneurysm is negative in contrast.

Through our analysis and review of the subject, we can conclude that different types of aSAH and naSAH have the same relatively independent conditional risk factors. Trauma (aSAH-T) is the most common cause of an aneurysm, such as a middle meningeal artery aneurysm (Maekawa et al., 2009). In addition to a direct correlation between na-t-SAH and the incidence of hypoxia, hypotension, skull fractures, brain contusions, and intracranial hypertension, trauma is also one of the most important independent negative prognostic factors of the abovementioned cases (Mata-Mbemba et al., 2015, 2018). na-t-SAH and aSAH-T may exhibit different bleeding patterns on CT, but there may be significant tentorial SAH in the former (Connolly et al., 2012; Perry et al., 2015). Intra-cavernous aneurysms (IAs) (aSAH-N) may coexist with PAs, meningiomas, glioma, retinoblastoma, acoustic neuromenoma, RCC, and cerebral malformations (Raskind, 1965; Gonzales-Portillo and Valdivia, 2006; Vogel et al., 2011; Akutsu et al., 2014). Although na-ne-SAH is the rarest type of SAH, it occurs due to bleeding from vascularization of the pituitary apoplexy (PA), cerebral metastases (CM), malignant glioma (MG), acoustic neurroma (AN), schwannoma of the cranial nerve, cerebral meningiomas, cerebral spinal cord hemangioblastoma, and spinal meningele carcinomatosis with negative angiography (Gliemroth et al., 1999; Garg et al., 2004; Javalkar et al., 2009; Khanna et al., 2013; Heit et al., 2017). Drug-related SAH is relevant to cocaine abuse, and no aneurysms are shown or present during angiography (Rinkel et al., 1991a). The source of bleeding in patients without an aneurysm is unknown (Gliemroth et al., 1999). Although biopsy-proven vasculitis has been found in patients with cocaine abuse (Krendel et al., 1990), na-d-SAH related to the use of anticoagulant drugs is rare. The aneurysm is not found on angiography (Levine et al., 1991).

At this stage, we cannot claim that the new subgroups represent different causes of SAH or aneurysm, nor can we say that this classification is the best classification of SAH subtypes. It is possible to further stratify by other variables (such as genotype or other risk factors). This study is retrospective, and multicenter data should have variability and increased clinical follow-up heterogeneity, which also affects the tracking statistical models. However, the authors searched multiple databases, which may lead to selection bias. These results may indicate the possibility of delayed publication bias. Over time, with updated research, evidence suggests that multiple factors contribute to the formation of aneurysms. In addition, aneurysms may not have been detected in some patients due to the lack of technological progress or angiography in studies published before 1980. Therefore, the formation, location, number, and proximity of aneurysms cannot be determined.

In conclusion, information from some variables that are critical to the development of SAH or aneurysm is superior for estimating modifiable risk factors (smoking, drinking, exercise, serum cholesterol, and hypertension). Our exploratory subgroup research shows that it is necessary to extend clinical observation data to clinical practice to find more evidence. Clinically useful stratification represents an important step in stroke precision medicine.
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