Alpha-glucosidase Inhibitory and Antioxidant Potential of Antidiabetic Herb *Alternanthera sessilis*: Comparative Analyses of Leaf and Callus Solvent Fractions
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** *Alternanthera sessilis* is a medicinal herb which is consumed as vegetable and used as traditional remedies of various ailments in Asia and Africa. **Objective:** This study aimed to investigate the antiguicosidase and antioxidant activity of solvent fractions of *A. sessilis* leaf and callus. **Materials and Methods:** Leaf and callus methanol extracts were fractionated to produce hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, butanol, and water fractions. Antiguicosidase and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl scavenging activities as well as total phenolic (TP), total flavonoid (TF), and total coumarin (TC) contents were evaluated. Lineweaver–Burk plot analysis was performed on leaf and callus fractions with the strongest antiguicosidase activity. **Results:** Leaf ethyl acetate fraction (LEF) had the strongest antiguicosidase (EC50 0.55 mg/mL) and radical scavenging (EC50 10.81 µg/mL) activity among leaf fractions. Callus ethyl acetate fraction (CEF) and chloroform fraction had the highest antiguicosidase (EC50 0.25 mg/mL and radical scavenging (EC50 34.12 µg/mL) activity, respectively, among callus fractions. LEF and CEF were identified as noncompetitive and competitive α-glucosidase inhibitors, respectively. LEF and CEF had greater antiguicosidase activity than acarbose. Leaf fractions had higher phytochemical contents than callus fractions. LEF had the highest TP; and TC contents. Antiguicosidase and antioxidant activities of leaf fractions correlated with phytochemical contents. **Conclusion:** LEF had potent antiguicosidase activity and concurrent antioxidant activity. CEF had the highest antiguicosidase activity among all fractions. Callus culture is a promising tool for enhancing production of potent α-glucosidase inhibitors.
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**SUMMARY**

- Leaf ethyl acetate fraction (LEF) had the strongest antiguicosidase (EC50 0.55 mg/mL) and radical scavenging (EC50 10.81 µg/mL) activity among leaf fractions
- Callus ethyl acetate fraction (CEF) and chloroform fraction had the highest antiguicosidase (EC50 0.25 mg/mL and radical scavenging (EC50 34.12 µg/mL) activity, respectively, among callus fractions

Abbreviations used: LHF: Leaf hexane fraction, LCF: Leaf chloroform fraction, LEF: Leaf ethyl acetate fraction, LBF: Leaf butanol fraction, LWF: Leaf water fraction, CHF: Callus hexane fraction, CCF: Callus chloroform fraction, CEF: Callus ethyl acetate fraction, CBF: Callus butanol fraction, CWF: Callus water fraction, TP: Total phenolic, TF: Total flavonoid, TC: Total coumarin.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Diabetes is a major health problem worldwide. The global population of people with diabetes was forecasted to rise to 592 million by 2035.[1] Type 2 diabetes accounts for a major portion of diabetes cases worldwide. Postprandial hyperglycaemia is a hallmark of Type 2 diabetes and also a key risk factor for diabetes-associated complications. Control of postprandial hyperglycaemia is one of the key strategies for the management of diabetes and diabetes-related complications (Aryangat and Gerich, 2010). Currently, oral antihyperglycemic drugs, such as acarbose, miglitol, and voglibose, are used to manage postprandial hyperglycaemia. These drugs exert their effects by inhibiting α-glucosidase in the brush border of the small intestine, hence delaying or inhibiting gastrointestinal digestion of oligosaccharides and the subsequent glucose absorption into the bloodstream.[2] Such drugs have undesirable side effects, such as flatulence and diarrhea. This has generated tremendous interest among researchers to search for alternative, potent α-glucosidase inhibitors from natural products, particularly from medicinal plants.[3] To search for novel sources of natural products with potent antiguicosidase and antioxidant potential of antidiabetic herb *Alternanthera sessilis*.
antigliucosidase properties from tropical flora, we have investigated the antigliucosidase potential of *Alternanthera sessilis*. In Asia and Africa, the herb is consumed as vegetable and used as traditional remedies for various ailments, including diarrhea, headache, hepatitis, bronchitis, and asthma. Pharmacological investigations found *A. sessilis* extracts to have various bioactivities, including antidiabetic, antibacterial, and cytotoxic activities. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis of the ethanol extract of *A. sessilis* revealed the presence of catechin, rutin, ellagic acid, and quercetin. Notably, recent studies in diabetes-associated complications. In this study, we compared mechanism reinforcing the connection between chronic hyperglycemia and antioxidant activity of *A. sessilis* extracts in diabetic rats and glucose-loaded mice. These studies proposed that *A. sessilis* may contain natural products that can lower blood glucose level in vivo. The antigliucosidase activity of *A. sessilis* has never been reported in the literature. Meanwhile, phytochemicals with potent α-glucosidase inhibitory activity are abundant in nature, with more than 400 such natural products documented in the literature. This prompted us to speculate that *A. sessilis* extracts may be a source of potent antgliucosidase agents.

This study was undertaken to explore the antgliucosidase and antioxidant potential of a total of ten solvent fractions prepared from crude methanol extracts of *A. sessilis* leaf and leaf-derived callus. Characterizing the antioxidant properties of an antidiabetic herb, in addition to its antgliucosidase potential, has practical importance. An antidiabetic herb with potent antioxidant activity may have additional advantages in tackling oxidative stress, which is considered a key mechanism reinforcing the connection between chronic hyperglycemia and diabetes-associated complications. In this study, we compared the antgliucosidase and antioxidant activity of *A. sessilis* leaf and leaf-derived callus. Although antioxidant activity of *A. sessilis* leaf extracts have been reported, comparison of antioxidant activity between leaf and leaf-derived callus has not been investigated. Plant tissue culture is a promising technique for mass-producing bioactive secondary metabolites. Field plants and in vitro cultures of even the same plant species are known to produce different phytochemical profiles and levels of bioactivities. Our findings should answer the question whether *A. sessilis* callus culture can be considered a promising tool for mass-producing potent antgliucosidase and antioxidant natural products.

The aims of this study were (1) to comparatively evaluate the antgliucosidase and antioxidant activity of *A. sessilis* leaf and callus; (2) to determine the modes of α-glucosidase inhibition exerted by the most active leaf and callus solvent fractions; and (3) to determine the total phenolic (TP), total flavonoid (TF), and total coumarin (TC) contents in the solvent fractions and analyze their correlations with antgliucosidase and radical scavenging activities.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material**

Specimens of *A. sessilis* (family Amaranthaceae) were collected from the university medicinal plant plots in May 2014. Herbarium voucher was stored at the Faculty of Science for future reference.

**Preparation and fractionation of leaf extract**

Plant specimens were cleaned under running tap water and blotted dry with tissue. Leaves excised from the plants were oven-dried at 45°C to constant dry weight and then pulverized with a Waring blender. The leaf powder was extracted with methanol (99.9%) at a 1:10 (g of powder: mL of methanol) ratio on an orbital shaker (125 rpm) at room temperature for 48 h. The leaf powder suspension was vacuum-filtered and then centrifuged at 7830 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant obtained was concentrated in vacuo with a rotary evaporator and then oven-dried at 37°C to constant dry weight. The solid residue of leaf methanol extract recovered was fractionated by solvent-solvent partitioning. Solid residue of the extract (3.5 g) was suspended in 50 mL of deionized water, followed by sequential partitioning into hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol by using a separatory funnel. All organic fractions were concentrated in vacuo and oven-dried at 37°C to constant dry weight. Water fraction was freeze-dried. The yields of leaf hexane fraction (LHF), leaf chloroform fraction (LCF) leaf ethyl acetate fraction (LEF), leaf butanol fraction (LBF), and leaf water fraction (LWF) were 22.5%, 7.2%, 2.6%, 10.5%, and 22.5% (w/w), respectively.

**Callus culture initiation and maintenance**

Leaf explants excised from *A. sessilis* were surface-sterilized for 15 min in 20% (v/v) chlorox solution and rinsed three times (10 min per wash) with sterilized distilled water. The explants were cut into small pieces (5 mm × 5 mm) and cultured on the Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 0.8% agar as gelling agent, 3% sucrose as a carbon source, and 3 mg/L picloram as growth regulator. Initiated calli were subcultured using the same medium at a 4-week interval. All callus cultures were maintained at 25 ± 1°C with a 16-h photoperiod under fluorescent light (1000 lux).

**Preparation and fractionation of callus methanol extract**

For preparation of callus methanol extract, 4-week old calli were blotted dry with tissue and oven-dried at 45°C to constant dry weight. Dried calli were pulverized and extracted with methanol as described above for leaf methanol extract preparation. The callus methanol extract obtained was solvent-partitioned as described above to produce callus hexane fraction (CHF), callus chloroform fraction (CCF), callus ethyl acetate fraction (CEF), callus butanol fraction (CBF), and callus water fraction (CWF). The yields of CHF, CCF, CEF, CBF, and CWF were 3.4%, 1.6%, 1.7%, 9.2%, and 64.7% (w/w), respectively.

**Determination of α-glucosidase inhibitory activity**

α-glucosidase inhibitory activity was carried out as previously described. Acarbose, an oral hypoglycemic drug used clinically in diabetes mellitus treatment, was used as the positive control. EC₅₀ value, defined as the sample concentration required to achieve 50% antgliucosidase activity, was computed using linear regression analysis.

**Lineweaver–Burk plot analysis**

The modes of inhibition of α-glucosidase by LEF and CEF were determined by means of Lineweaver–Burk plot analysis. Briefly, the antgliucosidase assay was carried out in the presence of LEF (0, 550, and 825 µg/mL) and CEF (0, 250, and 375 µg/mL) using 0-2 mM of p-nitrophenyl α-d-glucopyranoside as the substrate (S). The concentrations of LEF and CEF chosen correspond to 0-, 1-, and 1.5-fold of their respective antgliucosidase EC₅₀ values. Double reciprocal plots (1/v vs. 1/[S]) were prepared for LEF and for CEF, which were analyzed by using the Michaelis–Menten kinetics. Maximum velocity (Vₘₐₓ) and substrate concentration that yields a half-maximal velocity (Kₘ) were determined from the plots.

**Determination of 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity**

1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) scavenging assay was carried out as previously described. Quercetin, a potent antioxidant phytochemical found ubiquitously in vegetables and fruits, was used as the positive control. EC₅₀, defined as the sample concentration required to achieve
50% DPPH scavenging activity, was determined using linear regression analysis.

**Determination of total phenolic, flavonoid, and coumarin contents**

TP contents of the leaf and callus fractions were determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu colorimetric assay. TP content was expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents/g sample, calculated from a standard curve prepared with gallic acid (0–100 µg/mL). TF content was determined using an aluminum chloride colorimetric assay. TF content was expressed as mg quercetin equivalents/g sample, calculated from a standard curve prepared with quercetin (0–240 µg/mL). TC content was assessed as previously described. TC content was expressed as mg coumarin equivalents /g sample, calculated from a standard curve prepared with coumarin (0–500 µg/mL).

**Data analysis**

Experiments were performed in triplicates, and data are reported as mean ± standard errors of the mean. Statistical analyses were carried out using SAS (Version 9.3) (SAS, North Carolina, USA). Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA test and means of significant differences were separated using Fisher’s Least Significant Difference (LSD) test or Student’s t-test where appropriate, at α = 0.05. Linear regression and correlation analyses were performed using Microsoft Office Excel 2010.

**RESULTS**

Leaf solvent fractions (EC<sub>50</sub> 0.55–6.31 mg/mL) exhibited weaker antigucluodisase activity compared with callus solvent fractions (EC<sub>50</sub> 0.25–0.90 mg/mL) [Table 1]. EC<sub>50</sub> of LEF, the most active leaf solvent fraction, was 4.6-fold lower (P < 0.05) when compared with acarbose. Notably, EC<sub>50</sub> of CEF, the most active callus solvent fraction, was 10-fold smaller (P < 0.05) than that of acarbose. LWF, CWF, and CBF showed glucosidase-stimulatory activities (data not shown). Thus, EC<sub>50</sub> values were not determined for these three samples. Antigucluodisase activity of all other leaf and callus fractions increased in concentration-dependent manner (data not shown).

**Table 1: Antigucluodisase activity of leaf and callus solvent fractions**

| Fractions            | Samples | EC<sub>50</sub> (mg/mL) |
|----------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Leaf solvent fractions | LHF     | 6.31±1.70*              |
|                      | LCF     | 4.89±1.67*              |
|                      | LEF     | 0.55±0.00*              |
|                      | LBF     | 2.95±0.31*              |
|                      | LWF     | ND                      |
| Callus solvent fractions | CHF     | 0.67±0.05*              |
|                      | CCF     | 0.90±0.11*              |
|                      | CEF     | 0.25±0.01*              |
|                      | CBF     | ND                      |
|                      | CWF     | ND                      |
| Acarbose             | ND      | 2.54±0.05               |

*Values that are significantly different (P<0.05) from that of acarbose (positive control), as determined by using Student’s t-test. Data are mean±SEM (n=3). ND: Not determined; LHF: Leaf hexane fraction; LCF: Leaf chloroform fraction; LEF: Leaf ethyl acetate fraction, LBF: Leaf butanol fraction; LWF: Leaf water fraction; CHF: Callus hexane fraction; CCF: Callus chloroform fraction, CEF: Callus ethyl acetate fraction; CBF: Callus butanol fraction; CWF: Callus water fraction; SEM: Standard error of mean.

The modes of inhibition of LEF and CEF (the most active leaf and callus fractions) towards α-glucosidase were investigated. Lineweaver–Burk double reciprocal plots of α-glucosidase activity in the presence of LEF and CEF as inhibitors were established [Figure 1]. From these plots, K<sub>m</sub> and V<sub>max</sub> values at different levels of LEF and CEF concentrations were computed [Table 2]. For LEF, K<sub>m</sub> values showed no statistically significant changes (P > 0.05), whereas V<sub>max</sub> values decreased statistically significantly (P < 0.05) with increasing LEF concentration. For CEF, K<sub>m</sub> values showed a statistically significant rising trend (P < 0.05), whereas V<sub>max</sub> values showed no statistically significant changes (P > 0.05) with increasing CEF concentration.

In this study, DPPH scavenging activity of all leaf and callus fractions increased in concentration-dependent manner (data not shown).

**Table 2: K<sub>m</sub> and V<sub>max</sub> values for α-glucosidase activity in the presence or absence of leaf ethyl acetate fraction and callus ethyl acetate fraction**

| Samples | Concentration (mg/mL) | K<sub>m</sub> (mM) | V<sub>max</sub> (mM/min) |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| LEF     | 0.000                 | 1.906±0.145<sup>a</sup> | 0.051±0.004<sup>a</sup> |
|         | 0.550                 | 1.996±0.300<sup>a</sup> | 0.026±0.003<sup>b</sup> |
|         | 0.825                 | 2.406±0.070<sup>a</sup> | 0.018±0.001<sup>b</sup> |
| CEF     | 0.000                 | 1.698±0.091<sup>a</sup> | 0.048±0.002<sup>a</sup> |
|         | 0.250                 | 2.416±0.125<sup>b</sup> | 0.058±0.003<sup>a</sup> |
|         | 0.375                 | 3.706±0.283<sup>c</sup> | 0.063±0.009<sup>a</sup> |

Data are mean±SEM (n=3). For each sample, values in the same column that are followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05), as determined by using Fisher’s LSD test. LEF: Leaf ethyl acetate fraction; CEF: Callus ethyl acetate fraction; SEM: Standard error of mean; LSD: Least significant difference; V<sub>max</sub>: Maximum velocity; K<sub>m</sub>: Substrate concentration that yields a half-maximal velocity.
Overall, leaf solvent fractions (EC<sub>50</sub> 10.81-115.51 µg/mL) had stronger DPPH scavenging activity than callus solvent fractions (EC<sub>50</sub> 34.12-354.64 µg/mL) [Table 3]. EC<sub>50</sub> of CCF, the most active callus solvent fraction, was about 3-fold greater compared with EC<sub>50</sub> of LEF, the most active leaf solvent fraction. EC<sub>50</sub> values of LEF and CCF were 3- and 10-fold higher (P<0.05) than that of quercetin, respectively.

Phytochemical assays found that among leaf solvent fractions, LEF contained the highest TP, TF, and TC contents [Table 4]. Notably, when expressed on the basis of CEs, LEF contained 70% TC by weight. LHF contained the lowest TP and TF contents. The lowest TC contents were detected in LCF.

Among the five callus fractions, CCF had the highest TP content [Table 5]. CHF had the highest TF content, whereas CEF had the highest TC content. CWF consistently had the lowest levels of the three phytochemical parameters analyzed, with negligible levels of TF. Overall, when all ten leaf and callus fractions are compared, LEF had the highest levels of all phytochemical parameters examined.

We found strong correlation between antaglucosidase activity of leaf solvent fractions and TP (r² = 0.97, P < 0.05), TF (r² = 0.98, P < 0.05), and TC (r² = 0.97, P < 0.05). No statistically significant correlation was found between antaglucosidase activity of callus solvent extracts and the phytochemical parameters. DPPH scavenging activity of leaf solvent fractions correlated statistically significant (P<0.05) with TP (r² = 0.99), TF (r² = 0.97), and TC (r² = 0.96). For callus solvent fractions, statistically significant correlation (P<0.05) was found between DPPH scavenging activity and TP (r² = 0.98) and TC (r² = 0.69).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrated for the first time the antaglucosidase activity of active fractions prepared from leaf and callus of *A. sessilis*. A previous study found that grape skin extract which inhibited in vitro α-glucosidase activity can also suppress in vivo postprandial hyperglycemia in diabetic mice. Thus, α-glucosidase inhibition may account for, at least in part, the antihyperglycemic effects of *A. sessilis* in obese Type 2 diabetic rats.

**Table 4:** Selected phytochemical contents of leaf solvent fractions

| Samples   | TP (mg GAE/g) | TF (mg QE/g) | TC (mg CE/g) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| LHF       | 25.7±0.2*     | 10.2±0.4*    | 49.0±1.8*    |
| LCF       | 45.4±0.4*     | 14.5±1.2*    | 46.1±1.5*    |
| LEF       | 443.5±3.7*    | 373.2±1.3*   | 708.2±19.0*  |
| LBF       | 131.8±1.6*    | 85.6±1.0*    | 208.4±4.7*   |
| LWF       | 130.6±0.6*    | 43.3±0.5*    | 109.7±0.8*   |

Data are mean±SEM (n=3). Values in the same column that are followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05), as determined by using Fisher’s LSD test. CHF: Leaf hexane fraction; CCF: Leaf chloroform fraction; LCF: Leaf butanol fraction; LWF: Leaf water fraction; SEM: Standard error of mean; LSD: Least significant difference; TP: Total phenolic; TF: Total flavonoid; TC: Total coumarin; GAE: Gallic acid equivalent; QE: Quercetin equivalent; CE: Coumarin equivalent.

**Table 5:** Selected phytochemical contents of callus solvent fractions

| Samples   | TP (mg GAE/g) | TF (mg QE/g) | TC (mg CE/g) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| CHF       | 31.5±0.6*     | 62.7±0.3*    | 214.5±2.1*   |
| CCF       | 186.0±2.1*    | 43.9±0.5*    | 408.8±2.7*   |
| CEF       | 156.9±0.5*    | 29.9±1.1*    | 453.5±0.5*   |
| CBF       | 131.9±1.5*    | 7.1±0.1*     | 212.4±0.8*   |
| CWF       | 17.7±0.3*     | 0.2±0.0*     | 7.4±2.4*     |

Data are mean±SEM (n=3). Values in the same column that are followed by different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05); as determined by using Fisher’s LSD test. CHF: Callus hexane fraction; CCF: Callus chloroform fraction; CEF: Callus ethyl acetate fraction; CBF: Callus butanol fraction; CWF: Callus water fraction; SEM: Standard error of mean; LSD: Least significant difference; TP: Total phenolic; TF: Total flavonoid; TC: Total coumarin; GAE: Gallic acid equivalent; QE: Quercetin equivalent; CE: Coumarin equivalent.

**Table 3:** 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activities of leaf and callus solvent fractions

| Fractions   | Samples | EC<sub>50</sub> (µg/mL) |
|-------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Leaf solvent fractions | LHF | 93.3±5.64* |
|              | LCF | 115.5±7.56* |
|              | LEF | 10.8±0.29* |
|              | LBF | 35.7±1.24* |
|              | LWF | 35.96±1.28* |
| Callus solvent fractions | CHF | 171.97±3.53* |
|              | CCF | 34.12±0.67* |
|              | CEF | 43.87±0.39* |
|              | CBF | 57.11±0.13* |
|              | CWF | 354.64±29.12* |
|              | Quercetin | 3.29±0.06 |

*Values that are significantly different (P<0.05) from that of quercetin (positive control); as determined by Student’s t-test; Data are mean±SEM (n=3). LHF: Leaf hexane fraction; LCF: Leaf chloroform fraction; LEF: Leaf ethyl acetate fraction; LBF: Leaf butanol fraction; LWF: Leaf water fraction; CHF: Callus hexane fraction; CCF: Callus chloroform fraction; CEF: Callus ethyl acetate fraction; CBF: Callus butanol fraction; CWF: Callus water fraction; SEM: Standard error of mean.
antigliucosidase agent with concurrent antioxidant activity is novel and notable. Concurrent antigliucosidase and antioxidant properties of LEF are advantageous as antioxidant therapy is known to mitigate oxidative damage associated with diabetic complications. Hence, LEF may be a promising source of natural products for the development into antidiabetic therapy or an adjunct therapy for the former in future. TP, TF, and TC contents correlated with antigliucosidase and DPPH scavenging activity of leaf solvent fractions. This finding suggests that antigliucosidase and antioxidant activities in A. sessilis leaf solvent fractions may both be attributed to flavonoids and coumarins. Rutin, catechin, and quercetin, which are bioactive compounds found in A. sessilis, possess both antigliucosidase and antioxidant activities. Coumarins and their derivatives also possess antigliucosidase and antioxidant activities. There is still no report of isolation and identification of coumarins from A. sessilis, although coumarins are recognized as key bioactive constituents in the Alternanthera species. Our findings provide a rationale for future research to explore for coumarins with potential antigliucosidase and antioxidant properties from A. sessilis leaf.

With the exceptions of CBF and CWF, other callus solvent fractions were found to have potent antigliucosidase activity in addition to concurrent antioxidant activity. Callus solvent fractions generally exhibited stronger antigliucosidase activity than leaf solvent fractions, as indicated by the ranges of antigliucosidase activity EC_{50} values determined from these samples [Table 1]. Importantly, CHF, CCF, and CEF all showed considerably higher antigliucosidase activity than positive control acarbose. Moreover, CEF had an EC_{50} value half as small as that of LEF, indicating that CEF was a more powerful α-glucosidase inhibitor than LEF. Our results therefore suggest that plant tissue culture may be a promising technique for mass-producing α-glucosidase inhibitory phytochemicals. Among callus solvent fractions, antiglucosidase activity of callus fractions did not correlate with TP, TF, or TC contents. This suggests that the active α-glucosidase inhibitors of CEF apparently were not phenolic constituents, but this should be verified in future phytochemical investigations. Lineweaver–Burk plot analysis revealed that that unlike LEF, CEF was a competitive inhibitor of α-glucosidase. As competitive inhibitors, active principles in CEF probably inhibited α-glucosidase activity mainly by competing with the substrate for active site of the enzyme. The different modes of α-glucosidase inhibition and the aforementioned discrepancy between outcomes of correlation analyses suggest that the most potent α-glucosidase inhibitors produced by A. sessilis callus were chemically dissimilar to those produced by in vivo leaf tissue.

Among callus solvent fractions, CCF had the strongest antioxidant activity. CCF, nevertheless, was clearly a weaker antioxidant than LEF. Thus, although A. sessilis callus remained as a concurrent source of antigliucosidase and antioxidant natural products, it appeared to be a suboptimal source of natural antioxidants compared with in vivo A. sessilis leaf. In this study, CCF also had lower levels of TP, TF, and TC compared with LEF. Our results are consistent with a recent report of lower antioxidant activity as well as lower TP and TF contents in callus culture of red clover, when compared with in vivo plants. We also found antioxidant activity to be correlated with TP and TC contents of callus solvent fractions, but not with TF content as was observed for leaf solvent fractions. Taken together, it is possible that the profiles of antioxidant phytochemicals produced by A. sessilis callus differed qualitatively and quantitatively from those produced by in vivo leaf tissue.

CONCLUSION

Our findings demonstrated that both leaf and callus of A. sessilis were sources of natural α-glucosidase inhibitors more potent than antidiabetic drug acarbose. Callus solvent fractions were generally stronger α-glucosidase inhibitors than leaf solvent fractions. The most active fractions, LEF and CEF, have been identified as noncompetitive and competitive α-glucosidase inhibitors, respectively. Our results have offered potent antgliucosidase activity of A. sessilis as a possible explanation for the antihyperglycemic effects of the herb. Our findings also highlight the potential of callus culture as a promising tool for mass-producing natural α-glucosidase inhibitors of A. sessilis. In this study, leaf solvent fractions generally had higher antioxidant activity than callus solvent fractions. Our results suggest that the prominent antgliucosidase and antioxidant compounds in A. sessilis leaf and callus are potentially dissimilar, qualitatively, and quantitatively. The identities of such bioactive compounds should be pursued in future research.
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