Regulation of protumorigenic pathways by Insulin like growth factor binding protein2 and its association along with β-catenin in breast cancer lymph node metastasis
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Abstract

Background: Insulin like growth factor binding proteins modulate the mitogenic and pro survival effects of IGF. Elevated expression of IGFBP2 is associated with progression of tumors that include prostate, ovarian, glioma among others. Though implicated in the progression of breast cancer, the molecular mechanisms involved in IGFBP2 actions are not well defined. This study investigates the molecular targets and biological pathways targeted by IGFBP2 in breast cancer.

Methods: Transcriptome analysis of breast tumor cells (BT474) with stable knockdown of IGFBP2 and breast tumors having differential expression of IGFBP2 by immunohistochemistry was performed using microarray. Differential gene expression was established using R-Bioconductor package. For validation, gene expression was determined by qPCR. Inhibitors of IGF1R and integrin pathway were utilized to study the mechanism of regulation of β-catenin. Immunohistochemical and immunocytochemical staining was performed on breast tumors and experimental cells, respectively for β-catenin and IGFBP2 expression.

Results: Knockdown of IGFBP2 resulted in differential expression of 2067 up regulated and 2002 down regulated genes in breast cancer cells. Down regulated genes principally belong to cell cycle, DNA replication, repair, pS3 signaling, oxidative phosphorylation, Wnt signaling. Whole genome expression analysis of breast tumors with or without IGFBP2 expression indicated changes in genes belonging to Focal adhesion, Map kinase and Wnt signaling pathways. Interestingly, IGFBP2 knockdown clones showed reduced expression of β-catenin compared to control cells which was restored upon IGFBP2 re-expression. The regulation of β-catenin by IGFBP2 was found to be IGF1R and integrin pathway dependent. Furthermore, IGFBP2 and β-catenin are co-ordinately overexpressed in breast tumors and correlate with lymph node metastasis.

Conclusion: This study highlights regulation of β-catenin by IGFBP2 in breast cancer cells and most importantly, combined expression of IGFBP2 and β-catenin is associated with lymph node metastasis of breast tumors.
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Background

The Insulin like Growth Factor binding proteins (IGFBP) are a family of six proteins that bind with high affinity to Insulin like growth factors (IGF-I and IGF-II), prolong their half-life in circulation and thereby regulate IGF actions. Insulin like growth factor binding protein 2 (IGFBP2) is the second most abundant IGFBP in circulation and in a context dependent manner it can either inhibit or potentiate the actions of IGF [1], thereby modulating the prosurvival and/or mitogenic effects of IGF. Elevated expression of IGFBP2 has been observed in multiple malignancies, including Glioblastoma multiforme [2-4], ovarian [5,6], pancreatic [7], gastric [8], prostate [9], colon [10], breast [11,12], leukemia [13] and thyroid cancer [14]. In addition, increased expression of IGFBP2 has been correlated with poor prognosis in prostate, glioblastoma and colon cancers [15-18]. It has been reported that IGFBP2 inhibits the IGF dependent proliferation of normal cells while in tumor cells, it promotes proliferation in an IGF1R dependent or independent manner [19,20]. Pro proliferative action of IGFBP2 has been reported in prostate, ovarian and colon cancer cells and non-transformed rat osteoblasts [19,21-24]. IGFBP2 expression has also been shown to enhance migration and invasion in glioma, ovarian and bladder cancer cells [3,25-27]. Recent studies in glioma implicate IGFBP2 in the activation of PI3K Akt pathway [28], integrin/ILK/NF-B network which drives glioma progression in mice [29] and binding to integrin α5 [30] that brings about increased migration and invasion. In breast cancer, IGFBP2 over expression has been shown to confer drug resistance [11] and increased expression has been reported to correlate with lymph node metastasis In T1 breast carcinomas [31]. However, mechanisms that govern IGFBP2 actions in breast cancers are poorly understood.

In the present study, to elucidate the cellular pathways influenced by IGFBP2 in breast cancer, gene expression profiling of IGFBP2 knockdown breast cancer cells was compared with the expression profile of IGFBP2 positive breast tumors. Our results highlight regulation of cell cycle and Wnt signaling pathways by IGFBP2. Most significantly, our data shows for the first time that the concomitant over expression of IGFBP2 and β-catenin in breast cancer is associated with increased incidence of lymph node metastasis.

Results

IGFBP2 perturbation by shRNA alters gene expression profile in breast cancer cells

In view of the pro-tumorigenic actions of IGFBP2 reported in several cancers including breast tumors, we decided to delineate the molecular mechanism of IGFBP2 actions in breast cancers. Initially, stable sub lines of breast tumor cell line BT474 with knockdown of IGFBP2 were generated. Among several clones, two of the clones (C5 and C12) that showed considerable knock down of IGFBP2 (Figure 1a) were selected for further studies. Transcriptome analysis of the IGFBP2 knock down cells using Agilent whole human genome 4x44K arrays was performed against control cells (vector transfected). Data analysis revealed significant regulation of 4069 probes in both the clones compared to control cells. Among these, 2067 probes showed up regulation while 2002 probes showed down regulation (Additional file 1: Table S1). Hierarchical cluster revealed similar expression pattern of regulated genes in both the clones (Figure 1b). The list of top 25 up and down regulated genes is shown in Table 1. The differentially regulated genes were subjected to pathway enrichment analysis using GSEA (Table 2). This analysis revealed enrichment of down regulated genes belonging to cell cycle, DNA replication, repair, p53 signaling, oxidative phosphorylation, Wnt signaling, etc. qPCR analysis of some genes validated differential expression seen in microarray data (Figure 1c).

Over expression of IGFBP2 in the knockdown cells resulted in up regulation of IGF1R, IGF2, TOP2A, p53, CCND1 and FOXM1 genes which were down regulated upon IGFBP2 knockdown (Additional file 2: Figure S1) suggesting the specificity of the regulation of these genes by IGFBP2. Hence, perturbation of IGFBP2 results in differential expression of several genes and pathways.

Differential expression of genes between tumors staining positive or negative for IGFBP2

In order to determine, whether expression of IGFBP2 regulated genes as revealed by IGFBP2 perturbation is also altered in tumors, we studied the gene expression patterns in tumors based on IGFBP2 expression. We selected 12 IGFBP2 positive and 7 IGFBP2 negative tumor RNAs for microarray expression analysis using Agilent whole human genome 4x44K arrays. Comparison of gene expression profiles between IGFBP2 positive and negative tumors revealed 3460 probes as significantly differentially regulated. Among them, 1635 probes were up regulated and 1825 probes were found to be down regulated in IGFBP2 positive tumors compared to IGFBP2 negative tumors (Additional file 3: Table S2). List of top 25 up or down regulated genes are shown in Table 3. To identify enriched pathways associated with differentially expressed genes, Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was carried out. The genes up regulated in IGFBP2 positive tumor samples showed significant enrichment in Focal adhesion, MAPK signaling pathway, apoptosis, Chemokine signaling, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction and ECM receptor interaction and Wnt signaling pathway (Table 4). Hierarchical cluster (Euclidean distance method) of log2 transformed differentially expressed genes between IGFBP2 positive and negative tumors revealed
two major clusters consisting of predominantly either IGFBP2 positive or negative tumors. However, in one cluster, there is a sub cluster representing exclusively IGFBP2 positive tumors (Figure 2a). Microarray results were validated on few genes by qPCR. As shown in Figure 2b, qPCR revealed that CCND1(Cyclin D1), CDC42, GATA 3, SYT13 and SFRP2 and TMEM49 as up regulated in IGFBP2 positive tumors while IGFBP2, NR4A2 and SFRP2 were down regulated in IGFBP2 negative tumors. In addition, since Wnt pathway genes were significantly regulated in IGFBP2 knock down cells, we studied the expression of Wnt target genes in IGFBP2 positive and negative breast tumors. The Wnt target genes CCND1, SFRP2 (Figure 2b) MCAM, SP5 and IGF1 (Additional file 4: Figure S2) were found to be differentially expressed between IGFBP2 positive and negative tumors. Taken together, the data from the IGFBP2 knockdown cells and IGFBP2 positive breast tumors suggest a positive correlation of IGFBP2 with pro-tumorigenic pathways including Wnt pathway in breast cancer.

**Common genes differentially expressed in breast tumors and cell lines based on IGFBP2 expression**

In the previous experiments, we identified genes differentially expressed in breast tumors and breast cancer cell lines based on IGFBP2 expression. In order to identify the genes commonly regulated by IGFBP2 in cell lines and tumors, we compared the gene expression profiles of IGFBP2 positive versus negative tumors and IGFBP2 knockdown breast cancer cells. 654 probes were found to be common among IGFBP2 regulated genes in tumors and cell line. Among these 412 probes were down regulated in IGFBP2 positive tumors and up regulated upon IGFBP2 knockdown while 242 probes were up regulated in IGFBP2 positive tumors and down regulated upon IGFBP2 knockdown (Additional file 5: Table S3). Some genes that are
expression of genes associated with the regulation of cell phenotype are associated with distinct changes in data indicate that tumors with IGFBP2 expression pathway (Additional file 6: Table S4). Taken together, these Apoptosis, Pathways in cancer and ErbB signaling genes belong to Glioma, Oxidative Phosphorylation, indicate that these genes or the pathways associated with these genes could be truly regulated by IGFBP2 in breast cancer. Some of these genes/pathways may have a role in IGFBP2 mediated tumor progression.

KEGG pathway analysis of common differentially regulated genes between IGFBP2 perturbed cells and IGFBP2 positive tumors revealed that the regulated genes belong to Glioma, Oxidative Phosphorylation, Apoptosis, Pathways in cancer and ErbB signaling pathway (Additional file 6: Table S4). Taken together, these data indicate that tumors with IGFBP2 expression phenotype are associated with distinct changes in expression of genes associated with the regulation of cell proliferation and tumorigenicity.

\section*{β-catienin expression is regulated by IGFBP2 in breast cancer cells}

Since the GSEA analysis of differentially expressed genes in both tumors and knockdown cells revealed significant regulation of Wnt signaling pathway, we decided to examine if IGFBP2 regulates Wnt pathway. As β-catienin is an effector of Wnt pathway we determined β-catienin expression is also restored (Figure 4). These results collectively demonstrate regulation of β-catienin expression by IGFBP2.
It has been known that some of the IGFBP2 actions are mediated in part by the activation of IGF1 receptor and also through integrin receptors [20]. Hence, in order to identify the intermediates of IGFBP2 regulation of β-catenin, we studied the effect of IGF1R inhibitor (PPP, 10 μM) and Focal Adhesion Kinase inhibitor (PP2, 10 μM) on the regulation of β-catenin by IGFBP2. As described above, over expression of IGFBP2 in the knockdown clones increased β-catenin expression and in the presence of IGF1R inhibitor or FAK inhibitor, IGFBP2 induced β-catenin expression was abolished (Figure 4). Similar results were obtained using MDA-MB-231 cells which lack endogenous IGFBP2 expression (Additional file 7: Figure S3). These results suggest that IGFBP2 regulates β-catenin expression in an IGF1R and integrin dependent manner.

IGFBP2 and β-catenin staining together correlates with the lymph node metastasis in human breast cancer

Since the previous results showed an increase in β-catenin expression upon IGFBP2 over expression, we sought to examine the correlation of β-catenin and IGFBP2 staining in human breast cancer tissues. Towards this we performed IHC on 38 grade III Invasive Ductal Carcinoma tissues for β-catenin and IGFBP2 expression. A representative staining pattern of IGFBP2 and β-catenin expression is depicted in Figure 5. It was observed that 27 out of 38 tumors stained positive for IGFBP2. There was a positive correlation between IGFBP2 and β-catenin expression with 26 out of 27 IGFBP2 positive tumor samples also staining positive for β-catenin (Table 6). Tissues with β-catenin expression exhibited a heterogeneous mixture of membranous and cytosolic β-catenin accumulation. In addition, more lymph node metastasis was observed in patients positive for both IGFBP2 and β-catenin proteins (18/24, 75%) compared with patients with low levels of both proteins (1/24, 4%) (p = 0.0006).

No significant association of combined expression of IGFBP2 and β-catenin was observed with ER, PR, Her2 or triple negative receptor status of breast tumors.

Discussion

Enhanced expression of IGFBP2 is associated with a large number of malignant cancers that include tumors

| NAME | SIZE | NOM p-val | FDR q-val | FWER p-val |
|------|------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| KEGG_CELL_CYCLE | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEGG_DNA_REPLICATION | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEGG_NUCLEOTIDE_EXCISION_REPAIR | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEGG_MISMATCH_REPAIR | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COLI_INFECTION | 15 | 0 | 2.19E-04 | 0.001 |
| KEGG_HOMOLOGOUS_RECOMBINATION | 15 | 0 | 1.83E-04 | 0.001 |
| KEGG_PYRIMIDINE_METABOLISM | 21 | 0 | 1.56E-04 | 0.001 |
| KEGG_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION | 19 | 0 | 0.00635 | 0.039 |
| KEGG_PARKINSONS_DISEASE | 21 | 0 | 0.01229 | 0.082 |
| KEGG_PROGESTERONE_MEDIATED_OOCYTE_MATURATION | 17 | 0.006186 | 0.013514 | 0.098 |
| KEGG_SPLICEOSOME | 32 | 0.002012 | 0.01617 | 0.128 |
| KEGG_OOCYTE_MEIOSIS | 30 | 0 | 0.02404 | 0.199 |
| KEGG_PS3_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 26 | 0 | 0.027815 | 0.247 |
| KEGG_HUNTINGTONS_DISEASE | 30 | 0.004098 | 0.032137 | 0.3 |
| KEGG_WNT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 21 | 0.045726 | 0.138961 | 0.804 |
| KEGG_PURINE_METABOLISM | 26 | 0.047431 | 0.150692 | 0.844 |
| KEGG_GAP_JUNCTION | 17 | 0.15251 | 0.155502 | 0.877 |
| KEGG_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 15 | 0.393214 | 0.318836 | 0.995 |
| KEGG_SMALL_CELL_LUNG_CANCER | 15 | 0.55144 | 0.409925 | 0.999 |
| KEGG_REGULATION_OF_ACTIN_CYTOSKELETON | 25 | 0.517578 | 0.687552 | 1 |
| KEGG_TIGHT_JUNCTION | 16 | 0.787018 | 0.687305 | 1 |
| KEGG_PATHWAYS_IN_CANCER | 52 | 0.229814 | 0.731651 | 1 |
| KEGG_ALZHEIMERS_DISEASE | 38 | 0.433663 | 0.706543 | 1 |

NOM P nominal P value.
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of breast, ovarian, glioma and prostate. Primarily known for its growth inhibitory actions in physiological context, IGFBP2 has now been shown to promote growth and tumorigenesis in numerous cancer cells such as glioma, prostate and colon cancers [15-18]. To gain further insights into the role of IGFBP2 in breast cancer, we have attempted to identify the molecular players in IGFBP2 associated tumorigenesis in breast cancer. To elucidate the molecular targets of IGFBP2, we perturbed IGFBP2 expression by shRNA and the differential gene expression was determined using whole genome microarrays. IGFBP2 knockdown resulted in significant changes in the expression of genes associated with cellular proliferation and tumorigenicity. The down regulated genes were found to be associated with several pathways, notably Cell cycle, p53 and Wnt pathways as revealed by GSEA. Comparison of our data with a previous microarray study of IGFBP2 regulated genes in glioma cells [29] revealed an overlap of about 22% genes with wild type IGFBP2 over expressing cells and 23% genes with RGE mutant IGFBP2 over expressing cells. Pathway comparisons revealed Cell cycle, p53 signaling, oxidative phosphorylation, nucleotide metabolism and Wnt signaling pathway to be common among the two data sets (Additional file 8: Figure S4). To further validate these results in breast cancer tissues, we performed whole genome expression analysis in 19 breast tumors which were categorized as IGFBP2 positive or negative based on immunohistochemical staining pattern. Compared to IGFBP2 negative tumors, IGFBP2 positive tumors showed increased expression of genes belonging to MAPK, Cell cycle, Focal adhesion and Wnt signaling.

IGFBP2 correlation with proliferation has been studied extensively in several tumor cells including in breast cancer cells. The effect of IGFBP2 on proliferation has been shown to be context dependent. In prostate, ovarian, nephroblastoma cells, it has a pro proliferative action [19,21-24]. In contrast IGFBP2 has an antiproliferative effect on HEK, Hs578T [32,33]. Our data on the regulation of different pathways such as MAPK, Cell cycle, Focal adhesion and Wnt corroborate the reported functional

| Probe    | Gene name | Accession no. | Fold change |
|----------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| A_23_P161940 | SCGB2A2 | NM_002411 | 4.2566 |
| A_23_P370972 | PIP | NM_002652 | 2.8894 |
| A_24_P137501 | SFRP2 | NM_003013 | 2.4919 |
| A_23_P312300 | SCGB2A1 | NM_002407 | 2.4797 |
| A_24_P347431 | FOXA1 | NM_004496 | 2.4462 |
| A_23_P372234 | CA12 | NM_001218 | 2.3044 |
| A_23_P930099 | TFF3 | NM_003226 | 2.2590 |
| A_23_P215328 | SFRP4 | NM_003014 | 2.2567 |
| A_23_P213745 | CXL14 | NM_004887 | 2.1824 |
| A_23_P443641 | PREX1 | NM_002652 | 2.1719 |
| A_23_P99063 | LUM | NM_002345 | 2.1563 |
| A_23_P75056 | GATA3 | NM_001002295 | 2.0939 |
| A_24_P322771 | TFF1 | NM_003225 | 2.0888 |
| A_23_P1119943 | IGFBP2 | NM_000597 | 2.0290 |
| A_23_P161659 | SYT13 | NM_002826 | 1.9984 |
| A_23_P329768 | GREB1 | NM_002407 | 1.9528 |
| A_23_P105212 | THRSP | NM_003251 | 1.9163 |
| A_32_P133072 | SPON1 | NM_002345 | 1.8750 |
| A_23_P33196 | COL5A2 | NM_001218 | 1.8374 |
| A_23_P2920 | SERPINA3 | NM_003225 | 1.8217 |
| A_23_P22970 | PIK3R3 | NM_003014 | 1.7963 |
| A_23_P165778 | MLPH | NM_002826 | 1.7915 |
| A_32_P184464 | ROPN1 | NM_002652 | 1.7500 |
| A_23_P53176 | FOLR1 | NM_002345 | 1.7367 |
| A_23_P56197 | CRLF1 | NM_002652 | 1.7107 |
| A_23_P369343 | KLK8 | NM_002345 | 1.7107 |
| A_23_P78248 | KRT23 | NM_002345 | 1.7107 |
| A_23_P333099 | TFF3 | NM_003226 | 1.7054 |
| A_23_P110837 | IRX4 | NM_002345 | 1.6859 |
| A_23_P216448 | NFIB | NM_002345 | 1.6243 |
| A_23_P78980 | B3GNT3 | NM_002345 | 1.5946 |
The significance of IGFBP2 with respect to its pro proliferative and tumor promoting roles in breast cancer cells. One of the important and novel findings from this study is the regulation of Wnt signaling pathway genes by IGFBP2. So far, only IGFBP4 has been reported to activate Wnt signaling pathway in renal cell carcinoma [34]. Activation of canonical Wnt signaling promotes tumorigenesis by regulating cell survival, proliferation and invasion of many cancers [35]. In numerous tumors cytoplasmic and/or nuclear accumulation of β-catenin has been shown to be a strong indicator of aberrant Wnt pathway activation. Elevated cytosolic and nuclear accumulation of β-catenin has been associated with a variety of malignancies and inversely correlated with patient survival [36-39]. Wnt activation leads to stabilization and translocation of β-catenin from cytoplasm to the nucleus where it associates with T-cell factor (TCF)/lymphocyte enhancer transcription (LEF) factors to activate target genes that are involved in cell survival, proliferation, and invasion [40,41]. In order to establish Wnt pathway activation by IGFBP2, we examined the canonical Wnt signaling target, β-catenin in IGFBP2 knockdown breast cancer cells. Compared to Vector transfected cells, IGFBP2 knockdown cells showed remarkably decreased levels of β-catenin. When IGFBP2 was re expressed in the knockdown cells, as expected there was substantial increase in β-catenin levels indicating that IGFBP2 regulates β-catenin. Interestingly, inhibition of IGF1R or integrin signaling resulted in the loss of β-catenin regulation by IGFBP2. These data suggest

| NAME | SIZE | NOM p-val | FDR q-val | FWER p-val |
|------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| KEGG_MAPK_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEGG_LEISHMANIA_INFECTION | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KEGG_CYTOKINE_CYTOKINE_RECEPTOR_INTERACTION | 35 | 0 | 0.007717 | 0.013 |
| KEGG_SYSTEMIC_LUPUSERYTHEMATOSUS | 19 | 0.001976 | 0.006687 | 0.015 |
| KEGG_T_CELL_RECEPTOR_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 19 | 0.00211 | 0.016915 | 0.045 |
| KEGG_FOCAL_ADHESION | 30 | 0.007619 | 0.025984 | 0.084 |
| KEGG_COMPLEMENT_AND_COAGULATION_CASCADES | 16 | 0.015968 | 0.023016 | 0.087 |
| KEGG_ECM_RECEPTOR_INTERACTION | 15 | 0.002053 | 0.022288 | 0.096 |
| KEGG_CHEMOKINE_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 32 | 0.008403 | 0.020502 | 0.1 |
| KEGG_APOPTOSIS | 20 | 0.008584 | 0.018452 | 0.1 |
| KEGG_VRRAVI_MYOCARDITIS | 17 | 0.005906 | 0.017723 | 0.105 |
| KEGG_NATURAL_KILLER_CELL_MEDIATED_CYTOTOXICITY | 27 | 0.028902 | 0.037213 | 0.228 |
| KEGG_GNRH_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 19 | 0.044444 | 0.113272 | 0.563 |
| KEGG_CELL_AHDhesion_MOLECULES_CAMS | 26 | 0.095808 | 0.195608 | 0.805 |
| KEGG_ALZHEIMERS_DISEASE | 24 | 0.087302 | 0.183226 | 0.805 |
| KEGG_PATHWAYS_IN_CANCER | 48 | 0.098 | 0.185527 | 0.831 |
| KEGG_AXON_GUIDANCE | 17 | 0.11553 | 0.176978 | 0.834 |
| KEGG_NEUROACTIVE_LIGAND_RECEPTOR_INTERACTION | 24 | 0.136538 | 0.210733 | 0.893 |
| KEGG_DILATED_CARDIOVOPATHY | 15 | 0.165339 | 0.237117 | 0.925 |
| KEGG_NEUROTROPHIN_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 19 | 0.168932 | 0.240447 | 0.932 |
| KEGG_MELANOBENESIS | 15 | 0.252033 | 0.367091 | 0.99 |
| KEGG_LYSOSOME | 18 | 0.329389 | 0.436596 | 0.996 |
| KEGG_CALCIUM_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 19 | 0.367886 | 0.427407 | 0.996 |
| KEGG_WNT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY | 24 | 0.361616 | 0.414545 | 0.996 |
| KEGG_ENDOCYTOSIS | 20 | 0.365503 | 0.423908 | 0.998 |
| KEGG_Antigen_PROCESSING_AND_PRESENTATION | 15 | 0.419958 | 0.454951 | 0.999 |
| KEGG_HUNTINGTONS_DISEASE | 18 | 0.568465 | 0.60777 | 1 |
| KEGG_REGULATION_OF_ACTIN_CYtoskeleton | 29 | 0.74269 | 0.769736 | 1 |
| KEGG_PUrine_Metabolism | 20 | 0.933054 | 0.931545 | 1 |

NOM P nominal P value.
that IGFBP2 acts through IGF1R and integrin pathways in the regulation of β-catenin. Although the mechanisms are not clear, recently Uzoh et al. demonstrated an increased proliferation of prostate cancer cells by IGFBP2 in an IGF1R dependent manner [20]. It is also known that IGF independent actions of IGFBP2 are mediated by the activation of integrin signaling through RGD motif present in the C-terminal region of IGFBP2 protein [30]. Role of integrin receptors in pro-tumorigenic action of tumor cells is well established [42,43]. Hence, it is conceivable that activation of integrin signaling by IGFBP2 leading to FAK phosphorylation may be an important step in the activation of IGF1R by IGFBP2. In congruence with this, it has been reported that activated FAK phosphorylates and stabilizes IGF1R in mouse embryonic fibroblast [44]. Very recently, IGFBP2 in association with IGF1 was found to activate IGF1R in endothelial cells [45]. Taken together, regulation of Wnt pathway by IGFBP2 involves FAK and IGF1R in breast carcinogenesis. However, the mechanism(s) by which FAK and IGF1R signaling converge on the regulation of Wnt pathway by IGFBP2 needs further investigations.

Figure 2 Differential expression of genes in IGFBP2 positive and IGFBP2 negative tumor samples compared to control tissues. a) Genes were clustered using MeV software. The dendrogram on the left shows different clusters of genes segregated according to the pattern of regulation. Red and green indicate high and low expression of genes respectively. Black indicates no regulation. b) Validation of selected genes. Scatter plots of differentially regulated genes in IGFBP2 positive and IGFBP2 negative tumors compared to the expression in normal tissues. Log 2-transformed gene expression ratios obtained from real-time quantitative PCR analysis normalized to TBP expression are plotted. Each dot represents a data derived from one sample.
Another important finding from our data is the correlation of IGFBP2 over expression with elevated β-catenin levels in breast tumors. In humans, breast tumors frequently exhibit elevated levels of IGFBP2 [12] and β-catenin, with higher expression levels of β-catenin correlating with a decreased patient survival [39]. In mice, over expression of an activated β-catenin leads to the development of mammary hyperplasia and adenocarcinomas [46]. These studies coupled with our data suggest that regulation of β-catenin could be an important step for the pro-tumorigenic actions of IGFBP2. Most significantly, when both IGFBP2 and β-catenin expression was correlated with the lymph node status of breast cancers, we found a significant association of IGFBP2 and β-catenin staining with increased lymph node metastasis in comparison with tumors which did not show staining for either protein. Interestingly, in a previous report, expression of IGFBP2 and IGFBP5 were correlated with increased

| Probe     | Gene symbol | Accession no. |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| A_23_P119943 | IGFBP2      | NM_0005979    |
| A_23_P118392 | RASD1       | NM_016084     |
| A_23_P211631 | FBLN1       | NM_006486     |
| A_23_P353126 | LMO2        | NM_005574     |
| A_23_P22433 | RP2         | NM_006915     |
| A_23_P252306 | ID1         | NM_002165     |
| A_24_P119745 | FN1         | NM_212482     |
| A_23_P70307 | SMOC2       | NM_022138     |
| A_23_P170986 | TMCC1       | NM_001017395  |
| A_32_P140139 | F13A1       | NM_000129     |
| A_23_P204850 | R1          | NM_000321     |
| A_32_P2116004 | KCTD9    | AF130091      |
| A_23_P83939 | SYAP1       | NM_032796     |
| A_23_P259438 | DCK         | NM_007888     |
| A_32_P26824 | TPM4        | NM_003290     |
| A_23_P42257 | IER3        | NM_003897     |
| A_32_P152348 | HIST1H2BD   | BG683489      |
| A_32_P66881 | TL4         | NM_138554     |
| A_32_P89709 | TPM1        | NM_001018004  |
| A_23_P380848 | TXNLS       | NM_032731     |
| A_24_P106297 | AMACR       | NM_014324     |
| A_23_P401055 | SOX2        | NM_003106     |
| A_23_P89799 | ACA2        | NM_006111     |
| A_23_P500799 | CASP6       | NM_001226     |
| A_23_P134237 | RARRES2     | NM_002889     |
| A_23_P113952 | A2Y227436   | A2Y227436     |
| A_23_P88095 | TBC1D4      | NM_014832     |
| A_23_P369434 | KLK8        | NM_144505     |
| A_23_P371039 | NTSR1       | NM_002531     |
| A_24_P494917 | AF22023     | AF22023       |
| A_23_P90601 | STEAP3      | NM_182915     |
| A_24_P50747 | DLL3        | NM_203486     |
| A_23_P363426 | SRP46       | NM_032102     |
| A_23_P204751 | ACCN2       | NM_020039     |
| A_23_P11262 | F8A1        | NM_012151     |
| A_23_P376591 | CLYBL       | NM_206808     |
| A_23_P80773 | SRPRB       | NM_021203     |
| A_23_P253221 | ARHGEF4     | NM_032905     |
| A_24_P163237 | STOX2       | NM_020225     |
| A_23_P8240 | FAMS0B      | NM_012135     |
| A_23_P67529 | KCNN4       | NM_002250     |
| A_24_P411186 | BCL11A      | NM_022893     |
| A_23_P358597 | POPDC3      | NM_022361     |
| A_23_P78518 | CEACAM19    | AK128234      |
| A_23_P91702 | EIF357      | NM_003753     |
| A_23_P415358 | ZNF212      | NM_012256     |
| A_24_P414269 | ALG3        | NM_005787     |
| A_23_P130764 | KCNJ14      | NM_170720     |
| A_24_P152635 | TXNDC14     | NM_015959     |
| A_23_P82478 | PUS7        | NM_019042     |

Table 5 List of top 50 common genes differentially regulated between IGFBP2 positive tumors and IGFBP2 knockdown clones (Continued)
lymph node metastasis in T1 breast carcinoma. However, our data shows a significant positive correlation of IGFBP2 and β-catenin in lymph node metastasis. Hence, evaluation of IGFBP2, IGFBP5 along with β-catenin may provide a stronger predictive value for the prognosis of breast cancer.

**Conclusion**

This study highlights the pathways and genes regulated by IGFBP2 in breast cancer. Most importantly, this study reports regulation of β-catenin by IGFBP2 and their association in the lymph node metastasis. These findings
are highly relevant in the prediction of breast cancer progression.

**Methods**

All the tissues for this study were collected after obtaining written informed consent from the patients. This study and the protocols were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology, where the patients were treated.

**Cell culture and transfection**

BT474, a breast cancer cell-line was cultured in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 2.5 μg/ml fungizone (Invitrogen Life Sciences, USA). All the cells were maintained at 37°C in a humid atmosphere with 5% CO₂. Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) based on the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, breast cancer cells were transfected with IGFBP2 shRNA expression vector (Origene, Cat no. TR316590) or empty vector (Origene, Cat no. TR20003) and 48 hrs after transfection puromycin (1 μg/ml, Calbiochem) was added to the growth medium. Selection medium was replaced every 2–3 days until individual clones could be identified. After 3 weeks of selection, fourteen puromycin resistant clones of BT474 cells were isolated and expanded in the selective medium. Two clones (C5 and C12) which showed significant down regulation of IGFBP2 expression were selected for further experiments Reversion of IGFBP2 expression in IGFBP2 knockdown cells was achieved by transfecting IGFBP2 cDNA sub cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen). Pathway inhibitor treatments were performed using U0126 inhibitor (PPP, 10 μM, Calbiochem Cat. No. 407247) and Focal Adhesion Kinase inhibitor (PP2, 10 μM, Calbiochem Cat. No. 529573).

**Immunoblot analysis**

For immunoblot analysis, cells were grown in growth medium till they achieved 50–70% confluency, washed with serum free DMEM and cultured in serum free medium for another 48 h. The spent medium was collected, concentrated using centrifugal filter units (Millipore, Amicon ultra-3 k) and equal amounts of protein as determined by the Bio-Rad DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, USA) were separated on 12.5–15% polyacrylamide gel and electrophoretically transferred onto PVDF membranes (Immobilin P, Millipore). Membranes were pre-incubated for 1 h with 5% non-fat dry milk (Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich) in Tris buffered-saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) and then were incubated overnight with primary antibody. (IGFBP2 C-18: sc-6001, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, CA). Membranes were washed thrice for 15 min in TBST at room temperature, incubated with appropriate horseradish-peroxidase conjugated IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) at a dilution of 1:2000 for 1 h at room temperature and the complex detected using Super Signal West Femtoluminescence (Pierce, Thermo Scientific), as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

**RNA extraction and gene expression profiling**

Total RNA from frozen tumor tissues and tumor cells was extracted using the TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of RNA was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm (Nano Drop ND-1000 spectrophotometer) and integrity was verified on a denaturing 1% MOPS-formaldehyde agarose gel followed by ethidium bromide staining. For expression profiling, microarray experiments using whole genome human arrays (4×44K, Agilent) were used. The microarray hybridizations were performed as described before [47]. Microarray analysis was performed by R-Bioconductor (limma package) using subtract method for background correction [48]. Loess normalization was applied for dye bias and Quantile normalization was applied for spatial variation [49]. Linear model and empirical Bayes methods (limma) was used for assessing differentially regulated genes [50]. Benjamin Hochberg correction was applied for P value correction. Hierarchical cluster was done by Mev4.1 using Euclidean distance metric. The data was clustered by averaged linkage [51]. Adjusted p value cut-off was used as 0.05 for differentially regulated genes. Gene expression data are deposited into GEO (Clone arrays: GSE40682, Breast cancer tissue arrays: GSE40206).

**Real-time qPCR assay**

For RT-PCR, cDNA was synthesised from total RNA using the cDNA Archive kit (Applied Bio systems, USA). cDNA equivalent to 10 ng of total RNA was used for all the PCR reactions using Dynamo SYBR green mix (Finnzymes, Finland) in ABI Prism 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Bio systems, USA). The sequences of the primers are shown in Additional file 9: Table S5. The analysis has been done using SDS 2.1 software (Applied Bio systems, USA). For normalization of RT-PCR data, ribosomal protein L35a (RPL 35a) and TATA Binding Protein (TBP) were used for cells and tissues, respectively.

**Immunofluorescence**

Cells were grown on sterile cover-slips till they were about 50% confluent. The growth medium was discarded; cells were washed twice with chilled DPBS and were fixed in ice cold methanol for 10 minutes at −20°C. The fixed cells were then washed with DPBS thrice. For blocking non-specific binding of the antibodies, the cells were incubated with 1% BSA in PBS for 60 min followed by overnight
incubation with protein specific antibodies (β-catenin, 1:50; IGFBP2, 1:25) in a humidified chamber at 4°C. After the overnight incubation, the cells were washed thrice with PBS and incubated with the secondary antibody, 1:1500 dilution of alexa flur 488 (anti-rabbit, for β-catenin) and alexa flur 633 (anti-goat for IGFBP2) (Molecular probes, Invitrogen, USA) in PBS for 1 hour in dark. All steps thereafter were performed in the dark. After 1 h, the cells were again washed thrice with PBS and counterstained with 33 μg/ml Propidium Iodide for 5 minutes and mounted in anti-fade solution on clean slides. The stained cells were visualized using a confocal microscope (LSM 510 Meta, Carl-Zeiss) and were photographed.

**Tissue samples and immunohistochemistry**

For histology, sections of breast tumor tissues were obtained from blocks archived in the Department of Pathology at the Kidwai Memorial Institute of Oncology (KMIO). The status of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), Her2/neu, and pathological data like tumor grade, size and lymph node status were obtained from the pathology records of the respective patients. Tissue sections (5 μm) from the paraffin embedded tumor specimens were collected on silane-coated slides and immunohistochemistry for IGFBP2 and β-catenin was performed on 38 samples. Antigen retrieval was done by heat treatment of the deparaffinised sections in Citrate buffer (10 mM; pH 6.0). After the initial processing steps, sections were incubated overnight with respective primary antibodies - IGFBP2 (C-18: sc-6001, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, CA) and β-catenin (C 2206, Sigma-Aldrich), at 4°C. This was followed by incubation with the linked streptavidin- biotinylated secondary antibody (Universal LSAB, DAKO, Denmark) for IGFBP2 and with supersensitive non-biotin horseradish peroxidase detection system (QD440-XAK, Biogenex) for β-catenin antibodies. 3, 3’-Diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the chromogenic substrate.

**Evaluation of immunohistochemistry**

The scoring method used for IGFBP2 and β-catenin expression was based on semi quantitative scoring method as described before [52] where both intensity and percentage of cells with positive staining were counted and a combined score was given. The combined score was arrived by the multiplication product of both the scores. The scores are, (1) percentage of cells: no staining = 0; 10% or less of cells stained = 1; 11–50% of cells stained = 2; and 50% or more of cells stained =3; (2) intensity: no staining = 0, weak staining = 1, moderate staining = 2, and strong staining = 3. Thus, the combined scores ranged from 0–9. Only scores from 4–9 were considered positive for staining.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance for all experimental analyses (except microarray) was determined by Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). For correlation analysis Fisher’s exact test was utilized.

**Additional files**

Additional file 1: List of common probe between IGFBP2 knockdown clones C5 and C12.

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Gene expression changes in IGFBP2 knockdown cells upon IGFBP2 over expression. Cells were plated and 24 h later transfected with pcDNA3.1-IGFBP2 and pcDNA3.1 vector. 48 h post transfection, RNA was extracted and gene expression was analyzed by Semi quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Representative ethidium bromide gel shows the expression of genes regulated upon forced expression of IGFBP2 in a) clone C12 and b) clone C5. Expression values were quantitated and the graph (right) represents fold change over control after normalization with the expression of RPL35A.

Additional file 3: List of Differentially expressed probes between IGFBP2 positive and negative breast tumors.

Additional file 4: Figure S2. Validation of Wnt target genes in IGFBP2 positive and IGFBP2 negative tumors. Scatter plots of differently regulated genes in tumor tissues compared to the expression in normal tissues. Log 2-transformed gene expression ratios obtained from real-time quantitative PCR analysis normalized to TBP expression are plotted. Each dot represents data derived from one sample.

Additional file 5: List of differentially regulated probes common between IGFBP2 knockdown clones and IGFBP2 positive/negative tumors.

Additional file 6: List of pathways associated with differentially regulated genes common between IGFBP2 knockdown clones and IGFBP2 positive/negative tumors.

Additional file 7: Figure S3. Regulation of β-catenin by IGFBP2 is IGF1R and FAK dependent. MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with IGFBP2 and 36 h. after transfection cells were fixed and analyzed for β-catenin and IGFBP2 protein. For inhibitor treatments, 24 h. after transfection cells were treated with IGF1R or FAK inhibitor for 12 h. Cells were fixed and analyzed for β-catenin and IGFBP2 expression. Expression of β-catenin and IGFBP2 is shown in green and blue, respectively. Nucleus was stained using propidium iodide (PI) as shown in red. Original magnification was 63x; V, Vector control; OE, Over expression; Inh, inhibitor.

Additional file 8: Figure S4. Comparison of IGFBP2 regulated genes in knock down cells with other available data sets. a) Venn diagram showing genes common between IGFBP2 over expressing glioma cells (GEO accession no. GSE35467) and IGFBP2 knock down breast cancer cells (Additional file 1: Table S1). b) Venn diagram showing genes common between RGE mutant IGFBP2 over expressing glioma cells (GEO accession no. GSE35467) and IGFBP2 knock down breast cancer cells (Table S1). OV, over expression; KD, knockdown; RGE, RGE mutant IGFBP2.

Additional file 9: List of primer sequences used for qPCR.
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