Positive Association Between Nutrient Adequacy and Waist Circumference: Results of a Cross-Sectional Study

Aliyu T. Jibril, Parivash Ghorbaninejad, Fatemeh Sheikhhossein, Sakineh Shab-Bidar

Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS), Tehran 14155-6117, Iran

ABSTRACT

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a multifactorial disease with its exact causes not completely clear. Micronutrients such as vitamin A, vitamin D, zinc, and magnesium have been associated with MetS components. Our objective was to investigate the association of nutrient adequacy (NA) with MetS components. The present cross-sectional study consisted of 850 adults between 18-59 years from Tehran, Iran. Dietary intake, socio-demographic data, medical history, and anthropometric indices were collected by trained personnel. NA was calculated as the mean intake ratio to the recommended amount of 16 micronutrients. MetS were defined by the consensus of National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III criteria. The association between NA and MetS was examined using linear regression analyses after controlling potential confounders. More participants in the highest quartile were obese in terms of general obesity (p = 0.004) and abdominal obesity (p = 0.003) compared with subjects in the least quartile. A significant positive correlation was found between waist circumference (WC) and NA even after controlling for all potential confounders (p < 0.001). NA was positively associated with WC among adults living in Tehran.
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INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a bunching of some disorders such as central obesity, elevated blood pressure, abnormal homeostasis of glucose, and two types of dyslipidemia; high serum triglyceride (TG) levels and low concentration of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) concentrations, according to the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria [1,2]. Evidence show MetS to be related to increased risks of type 2 diabetes [3], cardiovascular disease [4], and all-cause mortality among adults [5]. In Asia, the prevalence of MetS has been reported to be between 10%–20% [5], while 8%–35% prevalence was reported in Iran [6].

Several modifiable risk factors, such as undesirable lifestyles and poor dietary patterns, have been attributed to this condition [7]. Thus, dietary intake that involves healthy food items and which causes adequate nutrient intake plays a vital role in the progression of MetS [8].
Looking for a dietary pattern that satisfies the nutritional requirement of a specific population is a necessity to implement nutrition recommendations [9]. The criteria mainly used to clarify adequacy of intake are to prevent deficiency-associated diseases, the prevention of chronic disorders or decrease the risk of diet-related diseases, subclinical nutritional health conditions identified by specific biochemical or functional tests, or requirements to keep the body physiologically balanced [10]. Therefore, nutritional adequacy (NA), referred to as the sufficient intake of essential nutrients needed to fulfill nutrition requirements to maximize health, could be an important marker in assessing participants’ nutritional status and health.

Evidence of the effect of nutritional adequacy on MetS is rare. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the association of NA with MetS and its components in a large sample of Iranian adult participants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and participants**
We conducted the present cross-sectional study among Iranian adults between 18–59 years referred to five different Health centers in the Tehran region. Inclusion criteria were age between 18–75 years and willingness to participate in the study. Individuals with kidney, liver, lung, and heart diseases were not involved in the study. Pregnant and lactating women, subjects who routinely use supplements or drugs like weight loss, sedative drugs, and thermogenic supplements such as caffeine and green tea were also excluded from the current study. The study guidelines were approved by the ethical committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and under the Declaration of Helsinki. After informing participants in detail about the study aims, they signed written informed consent before the study began.

**Demographic data**
A questionnaire was used to gather demographic characteristics such as age, gender, educational level, marital status, occupation, and smoking status.

**Physical activity**
The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was used to evaluate the physical activity levels of participants. Obtained amounts were considered based on Metabolic Equivalents (METs) and sorted into three classes (low: < 600, moderate: 600 to < 1,500, and high ≥ 1,500 MET-minute/week) [11].

**Anthropometric and blood pressure assessment**
Weight was measured with light apparel and without shoes utilizing a digital scale (Seca 808; Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the closest of 0.1 kg. Height was measured while standing and keeping the shoulders and hips against the wall without shoes, using a stadiometer (Seca) with an exactness of 0.1 cm. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared and presented as kg/m². We measured waist circumference (WC) using a non-stretchable tape meter, according to standard guidelines. Waist-to-hip ratio was determined as WC (cm) divided by hip circumference (cm).

After enough rest (at least 15 minutes), blood pressure (measured twice) was assessed using a digital barometer (RC 08, Beurer, Ulm, Germany) whiles the participants were in a sitting position with the mean of two estimations recorded for each person.
Biochemical assessments
Initial, a 10 mL venous blood sample was obtained from each participant following 7–10 hours of fasting, centrifuged for 20 minutes. Fasting blood glucose (FBG) was measured using a commercial kit (Pars Azmoon, Tehran, Iran) by an enzymatic colorimetric test (glucose oxidase). HDL-c was assessed by the cholesterol oxidase phenol-aminopyrine technique, and TG was measured by the enzymatic method of glycerol-3-phosphate oxidase phenol-aminopyrene with automatic apparatus (Selecta E; Vitalab, Hoogerheide, Netherland).

Dietary assessment and calculation of NA
We assessed usual dietary intake using a valid and reliable 168-item Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) [12] by trained dietitians via face-to-face interviews. Converting consumed food portion sizes to grams was done by household measures [13] and calculated using an adjusted version of NUTRITIONIST IV software for Iranian foods (version 7.0; N-Squared Computing, Salem, OR, USA). NA is defined as the proper intake of essential nutrients needed to meet nutritional requirements for optimal health. Commonly used criteria for defining adequate intake are prevention of deficiency, prevention of chronic illness or reduction of risk of diet-related illness, asymptomatic as identified by specific biochemical or functional means, or diet-related health status, or a requirement to maintain physiological balance [10]. Nutrient adequacy ratio (NAR), which is a measure of the adequacy of nutrients by comparing an individual’s daily intake of a nutrient with the recommended dietary intake or recommended dietary allowance for that nutrient [14], was used to calculate the micronutrient adequacy for each individual. The mean adequacy ratio (MAR) was then calculated as the average of the NAR values for the selected nutrients for each participant [14]. The MAR was therefore derived by summing the NARs and dividing by the number of micronutrients assessed. A total of 10 vitamins (A, B1, B2, B3, B6, B9, B12, C, D, and E) and six minerals (calcium, iron, magnesium, phosphorus, selenium, and zinc) were involved in this study.

MetS definition
The presence of at least three (3) of the accompanying criteria was considered as MetS: (1) central obesity (WC ≥ 102 cm for men and ≥ 88 cm for women); (2) low concentrations of HDL-c (< 50 mg/dL for women and < 40 mg/dL for men); (3) high serum TG levels (≥ 150 mg/dL); (4) abnormal homeostasis of glucose (FBG > 100 mg/dL); and (5) increased blood pressure (systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg) [15].

Data analysis
We performed all statistical analyses using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 25; SPSS Inc., IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). We considered p < 0.05 as the significance level. The normality test was performed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and the Q-Q plot. We analyzed the study participants’ characteristics according to NA quartiles, using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and χ² tests for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Data are shown as the mean ± SD for continuous variables and percent (%) for categorical ones. In the next step, for the modeling of relationships, a linear regression test was conducted to assess the association of MetS components with NA after controlling for confounders such as age, sex, total physical activity, smoking habits, educational level, BMI, marital status, and occupation. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were obtained using logistic regression to determine the relationship of the energy-adjusted NA with MetS. The risk was reported in crude and two adjusted models. In this analysis, we considered the first quartile of exposure as the reference category.
Table 1. General characteristics of participants across quartiles of energy-adjusted nutrient adequacy

| Variables                              | Quartiles of nutrient adequacy | p value* |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
|                                       | Q_1                              | Q_2      | Q_3      | Q_4      |          |
| Samples                                | 212 (24.90)                      | 214 (25.20) | 212 (24.90) | 212 (24.90) |          |
| Q ranges                               | < 0.6861                         | 0.6862–0.7792 | 0.7793–0.8525 | > 0.8526 |          |
| Age (yr)                               | 45.74 ± 10.54                    | 45.19 ± 10.13 | 44.36 ± 11.15 | 43.67 ± 11.11 | 0.20     |
| BMI (kg/m^2)                           | 26.81 ± 4.30                     | 28.07 ± 4.54 | 28.27 ± 7.25 | 28.30 ± 5.58 | 0.01     |
| WC (cm)                                | 90.18 ± 13.17                    | 93.08 ± 12.12 | 92.53 ± 11.69 | 92.49 ± 12.59 | 0.07     |
| FBG (mg/dL)                            | 107.73 ± 57.23                   | 109.37 ± 42.63 | 110.04 ± 35.17 | 106.53 ± 31.50 | 0.83     |
| TG (mg/dL)                             | 149.16 ± 87.46                   | 139.43 ± 72.32 | 147.54 ± 77.18 | 145.63 ± 80.18 | 0.60     |
| HDL-c (mg/dL)                          | 49.74 ± 9.88                     | 50.76 ± 10.43 | 49.28 ± 10.39 | 49.76 ± 10.12 | 0.49     |
| SBP (mmHg)                             | 119.03 ± 26.19                   | 120.49 ± 22.60 | 119.40 ± 19.89 | 119.92 ± 20.22 | 0.91     |
| DBP (mmHg)                             | 78.80 ± 15.09                    | 78.47 ± 15.13 | 78.17 ± 12.94 | 78.00 ± 12.07 | 0.93     |
| Education (university graduate)        | 62 (21.20)                       | 80 (27.40) | 65 (24.40) | 47 (17.70) | 0.004    |
| Occupation (employed)                 | 55 (25.00)                       | 57 (25.90) | 44 (20.00) | 64 (29.10) | 0.15     |
| Marital status (married)              | 169 (24.60)                      | 172 (25.00) | 173 (25.10) | 174 (25.30) | 0.96     |
| Smoking status (current smoker)       | 13 (29.50)                       | 11 (25.00) | 10 (22.70) | 10 (22.70) | 0.97     |
| Physically active (moderate)          | 75 (24.20)                       | 78 (25.20) | 80 (25.80) | 77 (24.80) | 0.77     |
| General obesity†                      | 40 (16.70)                       | 62 (25.80) | 68 (28.30) | 70 (29.20) | 0.004    |
| Abdominal obesity‡                     | 80 (19.30)                       | 114 (27.50) | 107 (25.80) | 113 (27.30) | 0.003    |
| Metabolic syndrome§                   | 22 (21.40)                       | 31 (30.10) | 28 (27.20) | 22 (21.40) | 0.46     |

Data are presented as mean ± SD or number (%). BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; FBG, fasting blood glucose; TG, triglyceride; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

*Calculated by χ^2^ and analysis of variance for qualitative and quantitative variables, respectively and p < 0.05 indicates a significant level; † General obesity is considered as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2^; ‡ Abdominal obesity is considered as WC ≥ 88 cm for women and ≥ 102 cm for men; § Hypertriglyceridemia, Hypertension, Hyperglycemia, Low-High Density Lipoprotein cholesterol, Enlarged waist circumference.

RESULTS

Table 1 contains the general characteristics of the participants across quartiles of NA. A total of 850 participants participated in this study, of which 266 (31.3%) were males. The mean age of participants was 44.74 ± 10.75 years old. The mean BMI in the highest quartile was significantly higher compared to the least quartile (28.30 ± 5.58 kg/m^2^ to 26.81 ± 4.30 kg/m^2^, p = 0.01, p-trend = 0.031). More participants in the highest quartile were obese with general obesity (p = 0.004, p-trend = 0.006) and abdominal obesity (p = 0.003, p-trend = 0.007) compared with participants in the least quartile.

Table 2 contains the dietary intake of the study participants across quartiles of NA. The MAR scores and total energy intake significantly increased across the groups, p < 0.001. Also, we observed the mean intakes of carbohydrate, protein, total fat, monounsaturated fatty acid, and polyunsaturated fatty acid in the first quartile to be significantly lower compared to the fourth quartile. Furthermore, significant differences were seen across the groups for the intakes of all the micronutrients except vitamin B3 (p = 0.14) and iron (p = 0.42).

Linear regression analysis between MetS components and NA is shown in Table 3. We observed a significant positive correlation between WC and NA (β = 0.10, 95% CI = 3.78–16.00, p = 0.002) in the crude model. The observed correlation remained significant even after controlling for all potential confounding variables (p < 0.001). We did not find any correlation between NA and other components of MetS in this population.

The odds of MetS and its components across quartiles of NA can be found in Table 4. Logistic regression analysis revealed in the crude model that nutrient adequate participants in the
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second, third, and fourth quartile had greater odds of having enlarged WC, (OR = 1.88, 95% CI = 1.27–2.77, p = 0.001), (OR = 1.68, 95% CI = 1.14–2.47, p = 0.008), and (OR = 1.88, 95% CI = 1.27–2.77, p = 0.001) respectively. Also, after adjusting for age and sex, the odds of having enlarged WC was still positive for the subjects in the second (OR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.40–3.29, p < 0.001), third (OR = 1.88, 95% CI = 1.23–2.89, p = 0.004), and fourth (OR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.29–3.05, p = 0.002) quartile. The result remained significantly positive for the second (p < 0.001), third (p = 0.003), and fourth quartile (p = 0.001) even after additional control for physical activity, smoking status, educational level, marital status, and occupation. Non-significant associations were found for the other variables.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the association between NA and odds of having MetS among the Iranian population. Of the 850 participants, the prevalence of MetS was 12.12%, and the mean BMI and WC were 27.87 kg/m² and 92.08 cm, respectively. Participants in the highest quartile had a significantly high score for general and abdominal obesity. Overall, NA increased significantly across the quartiles. Energy intake and all nutrient intakes except dietary fiber, vitamin B3, and iron significantly differed among the study groups. In this study, we observed no association between NA and the overall measure of MetS. However, we found a significant positive correlation between NA and WC, even after controlling all potential covariates.

---

**Table 2. Dietary intakes of participants across quartiles of energy-adjusted nutrient adequacy**

| Variables | Q₁ | Q₂ | Q₃ | Q₄ | p value* | p-trend† |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|----------|---------|
| Range     | ≤ 0.6861 | 0.6862–0.7792 | 0.7793–0.8525 | ≥ 0.8526 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| MAR       | 0.57 ± 0.11 | 0.73 ± 0.02 | 0.81 ± 0.02 | 0.90 ± 0.04 | < 0.001  | 0.001    |
| Total energy (kcal/d) | 1,931.87 ± 922.13 | 2,472.18 ± 3,347.57 | 2,501.61 ± 880.38 | 3,369.22 ± 1,410.96 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Total fat (g/d) | 62.33 ± 39.99 | 70.98 ± 31.32 | 77.19 ± 30.72 | 118.87 ± 71.81 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| MUFA (%) | 22.56 ± 47.27 | 21.81 ± 12.03 | 23.28 ± 10.63 | 35.69 ± 23.72 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| PUFA (%) | 12.70 ± 7.89 | 15.85 ± 9.42 | 15.38 ± 7.63 | 24.61 ± 19.12 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Carbohydrate (g/d) | 290.54 ± 150.42 | 396.28 ± 858.36 | 370.35 ± 143.67 | 481.82 ± 220.66 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Protein (g/d) | 64.78 ± 34.26 | 79.12 ± 57.32 | 86.65 ± 30.27 | 115.36 ± 50.09 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Dietary fiber (g/d) | 0.42 ± 0.47 | 1.01 ± 0.42 | 1.48 ± 0.83 | 2.57 ± 1.46  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Vitamin A (µg/d) | 997.73 ± 4,213.41 | 1,288.31 ± 4,181.84 | 1,511.81 ± 981.36 | 2,921.29 ± 2,270.46 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Vitamin B1 (mg/d) | 0.97 ± 0.65 | 1.53 ± 0.70 | 1.78 ± 0.84 | 2.38 ± 1.15  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Vitamin B2 (mg/d) | 0.42 ± 0.47 | 1.01 ± 0.42 | 1.48 ± 0.83 | 2.57 ± 1.46  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Vitamin B3 (mg/d) | 19.28 ± 70.00 | 24.54 ± 77.13 | 22.97 ± 8.87 | 31.48 ± 14.47 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Vitamin B9 (µg/d) | 126.46 ± 523.83 | 164.21 ± 519.30 | 180.63 ± 115.38 | 318.07 ± 222.49 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Vitamin B12 (µg/d) | 1.60 ± 0.98 | 2.96 ± 1.81 | 4.32 ± 5.46 | 6.63 ± 6.39  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Calcium (mg/d) | 1,224.28 ± 1,374.68 | 1,538.25 ± 1,435.53 | 1,679.10 ± 1,448.95 | 1,905.17 ± 1,339.94 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Phosphorus (mg/d) | 673.70 ± 378.85 | 894.32 ± 1,067.59 | 1,038.66 ± 530.54 | 1,759.40 ± 964.00 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Magnesium (mg/d) | 217.06 ± 131.93 | 289.51 ± 553.11 | 274.77 ± 113.43 | 416.87 ± 203.13 | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |
| Iron (mg/d) | 54.63 ± 93.21 | 70.71 ± 149.95 | 66.33 ± 102.80 | 57.44 ± 98.46  | 0.42     | 0.99     |
| Potassium (mg/d) | 2,622.55 ± 1,149.45 | 4,211.42 ± 15,243.05 | 3,473.37 ± 1,350.68 | 5,567.81 ± 2,938.86 | < 0.001  | 0.001    |
| Zinc (mg/d) | 7.11 ± 10.43 | 8.23 ± 9.10 | 9.28 ± 8.81 | 12.79 ± 6.64  | < 0.001  | < 0.001  |

Data are presented as mean ± SD.
MAR, mean adequacy ratio; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid.
*Calculated by analysis of variance and p < 0.05 indicates significant level; †Based on Post Hoc Test and p < 0.05 indicates a significant level.
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While some studies have found a significant association between micronutrient intakes with MetS or its components \([16-18]\), others have reported no association \([19-21]\).

In contrast to our result, a finding from an observational study indicated that a twofold increase in total vitamin A and C intake in women decreases the odds of having MetS and its component except for WC \([22]\). Another finding from a clinical trial showed that sufficient intakes of vitamin D were associated with a reduced WC, MetS, and FBG \([16]\). Moreover, a recently published cross-sectional study revealed that vitamin B6 and B12 were inversely associated with MetS in adults and children, respectively \([18]\).

When consumption of a micronutrient falls below the current recommended dietary allowance (RDA), a significant chronic metabolic disturbance may occur\([23]\). In earlier studies, micronutrients, including vitamins D and E and others, have been linked to obesity \([24-27]\).

We observed that the mean dietary adequacy of vitamins D and E in this study was far below recommended values. Once more, we saw that the highest quartile had much larger total calorie and macronutrient intakes than the lowest quartile. According to some theories, a combination of excessive energy consumption and micronutrient deficiencies may increase the number of harmful consequences of incomplete biochemical reactions, which may contribute to further weight gain or the emergence of related metabolic illnesses \([28,29]\). Additionally, an eight-week low-energy diet was reported to cause a 13% weight loss and an increase in serum vitamin D in a randomized control experiment by Geiker and associates \([30]\). Therefore, it should be no surprise that subjects with nutritional intakes in the top quartile had a higher WC.

### Table 3. Association of energy-adjusted nutrient adequacy with metabolic syndrome components

| Variables | Nutrient adequacy | β (SE) | 95% CI | R² | p value \* |
|-----------|-------------------|--------|--------|----|-----------|
| TG (mg/dL) |                   |        |        |    |           |
| Crude     | 0.02 (19.99)      | −27.47, 51.02 | < 0.001 | 0.55 |
| Model 1    | 0.02 (20.07)      | −27.33, 51.65 | 0.003 | 0.54 |
| Model 2    | 0.02 (20.22)      | −24.32, 55.08 | 0.01 | 0.44 |
| SBP (mmHg) |                   |        |        |    |           |
| Crude     | 0.03 (5.62)       | −5.42, 16.66 | 0.001 | 0.31 |
| Model 1    | 0.05 (5.22)       | −2.05, 18.44 | 0.14 | 0.11 |
| Model 2    | 0.05 (5.19)       | −2.05, 18.33 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| DBP (mmHg) |                   |        |        |    |           |
| Crude     | 0.006 (3.42)      | −7.36, 6.08 | 0.04 | 0.85 |
| Model 1    | −0.002 (3.44)     | −9.38, 3.73 | 0.11 | 0.39 |
| Model 2    | −0.02 (3.34)      | −21.82, 20.42 | < 0.001 | 0.94 |
| FBG (mg/dL) |                 |        |        |    |           |
| Crude     | 0.001 (10.80)     | −21.79, 21.61 | 0.002 | 0.97 |
| Model 1    | 0.001 (10.85)     | −21.47, 21.13 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
| Model 2    | −0.02 (10.58)     | −8.03, 2.05 | 0.002 | 0.24 |
| HDL-c (mg/dL) |             |        |        |    |           |
| Crude     | −0.04 (2.56)      | −8.03, 2.05 | 0.006 | 0.22 |
| Model 1    | −0.04 (2.57)      | −8.18, 1.92 | 0.01 | 0.16 |
| Model 2    | −0.04 (2.58)      | −8.66, 1.49 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| WC (cm)    |                   |        |        |    |           |
| Crude     | 0.10 (3.11)       | 3.78, 16.00 | 0.01 | 0.002 |
| Model 1    | 0.13 (2.79)       | 6.93, 17.91 | 0.23 | < 0.001 |
| Model 2    | 0.13 (2.76)       | 6.84, 17.70 | 0.23 | < 0.001 |

β, standardized coefficients; SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval; R², R square; TG, triglyceride; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; WC, waist circumference.

\*These p values are reported based on the linear regression test and are considered significant at \(< 0.05\); †Model 1: adjusted for age + sex; ‡Model 2: Model 1 + total physical activity + smoking habits + educational level + BMI + marital status + occupation.

While some studies have found a significant association between micronutrient intakes with MetS or its components \([16-18]\), others have reported no association \([19-21]\).
Overall, dietary patterns rather than individual nutrients or food groups have a greater influence on health than individual micronutrients [31]. Thus, dietary patterns may be more effective in demonstrating associations with body composition [32] and the development of chronic and degenerative diseases [19,33-37]. A “healthy dietary pattern” is independently associated with higher micronutrient adequacy [38-40], while an “unhealthy dietary pattern” has been linked with decreased nutrient densities of vitamin A, C, D, E, K, and folate and calcium [38]. In a cross-sectional study of Greek adults, Panagiotakos et al. found that the healthful dietary pattern, like MedDiet, which is loaded with vitamins such as B1, B2, niacin, B6, folates, or B12 and antioxidant vitamins (vitamins E and C) was inversely associated with WC, blood pressure, and TGs, and positively associated with HDL-c levels, all known components of MetS [41]. Furthermore, it has been shown that adherence to a diet loaded with antioxidants resulted in a lower level of HDL concentrations [17].

This discrepancy might be explained by a lack of control for several confounders in some studies. In addition, different components of dietary patterns across studies along with differences in dietary assessment tools might explain these inconsistent findings.

The major limitation of this study was that, because the exposure and outcome were simultaneously assessed, there is generally no evidence of a temporal relationship between the exposure and outcome.

In general, we observed no association between NA and the overall measure of MetS. However, a significant positive association between NA and increasing WC was observed. Further prospective studies are required to confirm our findings.

Table 4. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for metabolic syndrome and its components across quartiles of nutrient adequacy

| Variables           | Q1 (n = 212) | Q2 (n = 214) | Q3 (n = 212) | Q4 (n = 212) |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                     | Reference    | OR (95% CI)  | OR (95% CI)  | OR (95% CI)  | OR (95% CI)  | OR (95% CI)  |
|                     | p value*     | p value*     | p value*     | p value*     | p value*     |
| Metabolic syndrome  | Crude        | 1.46 (0.81–2.62) | 1.31 (0.72–2.38) | 1.00 (0.53–1.86) |
|                     | Model 1†     | 1.46 (0.81–2.63) | 1.32 (0.72–2.40) | 0.99 (0.52–1.86) |
|                     | Model 2‡     | 1.45 (0.80–2.61) | 1.30 (0.71–2.38) | 0.96 (0.51–1.92) |
| Hypertriglyceridemia| Crude        | 0.83 (0.56–1.24) | 1.17 (0.79–1.72) | 0.94 (0.63–1.39) |
|                     | Model 1†     | 0.83 (0.56–1.24) | 1.16 (0.78–1.71) | 0.93 (0.63–1.39) |
|                     | Model 2‡     | 0.85 (0.57–1.27) | 1.20 (0.80–1.78) | 0.97 (0.65–1.45) |
| Hypertension        | Crude        | 0.95 (0.57–1.59) | 0.86 (0.51–1.46) | 0.86 (0.51–1.46) |
|                     | Model 1†     | 0.94 (0.56–1.57) | 0.84 (0.50–1.42) | 0.83 (0.49–1.40) |
|                     | Model 2‡     | 0.95 (0.56–1.60) | 0.85 (0.50–1.46) | 0.81 (0.47–1.39) |
| Hyperglycemia       | Crude        | 1.14 (0.62–2.09) | 1.53 (0.86–2.74) | 0.90 (0.47–1.70) |
|                     | Model 1†     | 1.14 (0.62–2.11) | 1.55 (0.86–2.78) | 0.92 (0.48–1.75) |
|                     | Model 2‡     | 1.16 (0.62–2.15) | 1.60 (0.88–2.90) | 0.91 (0.47–1.76) |
| Low HDL-c           | Crude        | 1.02 (0.59–1.76) | 0.96 (0.55–1.66) | 0.92 (0.53–1.60) |
|                     | Model 1†     | 1.03 (0.60–1.77) | 0.97 (0.56–1.68) | 0.95 (0.54–1.67) |
|                     | Model 2‡     | 1.11 (0.63–1.93) | 1.02 (0.58–1.80) | 1.02 (0.57–1.81) |
| Enlarged WC         | Crude        | 1.88 (1.27–2.76) | 1.68 (1.14–2.47) | 1.88 (1.27–2.77) |
|                     | Model 1†     | 2.15 (1.40–3.29) | 1.88 (1.23–2.89) | 1.98 (1.29–3.05) |
|                     | Model 2‡     | 2.25 (1.46–3.48) | 1.92 (1.24–2.96) | 2.06 (1.33–3.19) |

HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; WC, waist circumference.

*These p values are reported based on the logistic regression test and are considered significant at < 0.05; †Model 1: adjusted for age + sex; ‡Model 2: Model 1 + total physical activity + smoking habits + educational level + BMI + marital status + occupation.
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