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The most prevalent mental disorders, anxiety and depression, are commonly associated with structural and functional changes in the fronto-limbic brain areas. The clinical trials investigating patients with affective disorders showed different outcome to different treatments such as psychotherapy or pharmacotherapy. It is, however, still unexplored how these interventions approach affect the functional brain. This meta-analysis aims to compare the effects of psychotherapy compared to antidepressant therapy on functional brain activity in anxiety and depression disorders. Twenty-one samples with psychotherapy and seventeen samples with antidepressant therapy were included. The main finding showed an inverse effect of the two treatments on the right paracingulate activity. The patients undergoing psychotherapy showed an increase in the right paracingulate activity while pharmacological treatment led to a decrease of activation of this area. This finding seems to support the recent studies that hypothesize how psychotherapy, through the self-knowledge and the meaning processing, involves a top-down emotional regulation.
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INTRODUCTION

A human being is the outcome of a developing process, which depend on a complex interaction between the genetic information and the environment. A remarkable characteristic of the brain is that it allows the nervous system to process information from the interacting environment, modifying itself by experience in measurable ways (Markham and Greenough, 2004). With the recent advances in neuroimaging techniques, scientists are able to identify neural correlates not only of mental disorders but also of the changes associated with therapeutic interventions (Fuchs, 2004). These interventions are broadly categorized into psychotherapy or pharmaceutical treatments. However, it is very interesting to understand how the outcome of different treatments affect the functional brain activity and neural circuits (Salone et al., 2016).

The main impairment in affective disorders is related to emotional dysregulation and is characterized by abnormal brain activity in the cortico-limbic brain networks (Ochsner and Gross, 2008; Wager et al., 2008; Messina et al., 2013). Patients with depression showed hyperactivation...
of “default mode network” (DMN), consisting of the posterior cingulated, precuneous, inferior parietal lobule, medial prefrontal cortex, and of the amygdala during resting-state and in response to emotional stimuli (Greicius et al., 2007; Siegle et al., 2007; Grimm et al., 2009; Carlson et al., 2017). The patients with anxiety disorders showed multiple underlying structural abnormalities within the fear circuit, in particular of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Cha et al., 2014; Carlson et al., 2017) and an increased response in the amygdala, anterior cingulated cortex, and insula in anticipation of aversive and neutral stimuli (Stein et al., 2007; Nitschke et al., 2009; Carlson et al., 2011, 2017).

Accordingly, studies on the neurobiological outcomes of the therapeutic interventions in anxiety and depression disorders report the changes in neural activity in the cortico-limbic brain regions implicated in the emotion regulation (Ressler and Mayberg, 2007; Messina et al., 2013). With respect to prognosis and improvement of psychopathological symptoms, both psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy are clinically effective for treating psychopathological disorders (Cuijpers et al., 2013). Despite psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy seem to lead to a final common neurological pathway, it is reasonable to hypothesize that these widely differing treatments might engage diverse neural mechanisms (DeRubeis et al., 2008; Marano et al., 2012; Quidé et al., 2012). In accordance with this hypothesis, a recent meta-analysis showed that in patients with major depression the psychotherapy induced modifications in the left frontal, temporal, lingual gyri and in the cingulate cortex, as well as in the right frontal and precentral gyri. Otherwise, pharmacotherapy affected brain activation in the right insula (Boccia et al., 2016).

The pharmacotherapy is directly oriented to the emotional reactivity through the balance of neurotransmitter activity that seems to modify the neural activity in the limbic structures normalizing the cortical activity through bottom-up approach (Stahl, 2013). On the other side, the psychotherapy works on to build and to elaborate meanings that can regulate the attention and memory systems inducing modifications in the left frontal, temporal, lingual gyri and in the cingulate cortex, as well as in the right frontal and precentral gyri. Otherwise, pharmacotherapy affected brain activation in the right insula (Boccia et al., 2016).
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**METHODS**

In order to reach the aim of the meta-analysis, the following comparisons were performed: (1) pre- vs. post-treatment changes in the activations of brain regions due to psychotherapy (2) pre- vs. post-treatment changes in the activations of brain regions due to antidepressant therapy, and (3) post-treatment changes in the activations of brain regions due to psychotherapy vs. antidepressant therapy. Successively, the same contrasts only on resting state studies were re-performed. The significant effects for all contrasts were considered in both directions (increased and decreased effects post-treatment).

**Search Criterion**

A systematic search strategy was used to identify relevant neuroimaging studies reporting the changes in functional neural activity as a treatment outcome of cognitive/psychodynamic therapies and antidepressant therapy on the anxiety and depression disorders. For this purpose, PubMed and Scopus database search was performed by two independent researchers to find putative studies reporting the treatment for the anxiety and depression disorders following DSM-IV-TR criteria. The search was conducted for studies published between 2000 and 2016. The following search terms were used: “imaging,” “fMRI” (functional MRI), “PET” (positron emission tomography), and “SPECT” (single photon emission computed tomography) in combination with the name of the disorder (anxiety, PTSD, panic disorder, phobias, and depression). Furthermore, the reference lists of the articles were manually checked for the studies not identified in earlier literature search. To achieve a high standard of reporting we have adopted “Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses” (PRISMA) guidelines.

**Selection Criteria**

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (a) being original papers in a peer-reviewed journal, (b) involving subjects with a pre and post-treatment effects, (c) having employed functional imaging, (d) having reported the brain coordinates in standard brain atlases, (e) having adult samples (age 18–65).

The studies in which the entire group of patients had received prior interventions at the time of the start of the treatment study and the studies which reported a single case study were not included in the meta-analysis to reduce the possible biases.

**Recorded Variables**

The variables recorded for articles in the meta-analysis were: sample size, gender, mean age of participants and peak coordinates reported along with the software and stereotactic space of these coordinates. Additionally, we recorded the statistical significance of the treatment outcomes and the method employed to correct the results for multiple comparisons. The studies which compared between two types of treatments (psychotherapy vs. antidepressant therapy), only the pre to post brain changes for each treatment separately were considered.

**Study Classification**

The studies were then classified into two categories based on the treatment type. The studies that included the cognitive (CT) and dynamic (DPT) based treatments were categorized in psychotherapy group, and those including the intake of antidepressant drugs in antidepressant therapy group (see Table 1).
In these studies, the brain activity was scanned during the resting-state, focuses on spontaneous, low frequency fluctuations in the BOLD signal (Lee et al., 2013), or during an emotional task, i.e., during emotionally arousing stimuli (Messina et al., 2013).”

**Standard Meta-Analyses of Functional Changes Post-treatment**

Voxel-based meta-analyses of functional brain changes to the treatment were conducted with the effect-size version of signed differential mapping (ES-SDM; Radua and Mataix-Cols, 2009, 2012). This technique has been used in meta-analysis studies on obsessive compulsive disorder, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, etc. (Bora et al., 2010, 2011; Palaniyappan et al., 2012). This method is based on using the peak coordinates to recreate, for each study, a map of the effect sizes of the differences between pre and post-treatment changes in patients, and then on conducting a standard random-effects variance-weighted meta-analysis in each voxel.

Between group comparison among the two treatments (psychotherapy vs. antidepressant therapy) was conducted and significant results were reported after threshold at \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected (equivalent to \( p < 0.05 \) corrected for multiple comparisons (Radua et al., 2010) with an extent threshold of \( K_e > 10 \) voxels. Default ES-SDM kernel size and thresholds were used (FWHM = 20 mm, peak height \( Z = 1 \), cluster extent = 10 voxels; Radua and Mataix-Cols, 2009).

Robustness of the significant results was assessed by means of exploration of the jack-knife analyses by systematically repeating the meta-analyses by excluding one study at a time. If a significant brain region remains significant in all or most of the combinations of studies it can be concluded that this finding is highly replicable.

**RESULTS**

Thirty-one studies met the inclusion criteria. Fourteen studies tested neural correlate of psychotherapy (eleven using CT,

---

**TABLE 1 | Studies included in meta-analysis.**

| Study                  | Disease | Therapy                  | Patients | Technique | Task             | Mean age |
|------------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| Farrow et al., 2005    | PTSD    | Psychotherapy            | 13       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 42       |
| Felmingham et al., 2007| PTSD    | Psychotherapy            | 8        | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 36.8     |
| Fu et al., 2008        | Depression | Psychotherapy       | 16       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 40       |
| Huang et al., 2014     | Depression | Psychotherapy        | 23       | fMRI      | Resting state    | 27.7     |
| Kircher et al., 2013   | Panic    | Psychotherapy            | 42       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 35.42    |
| Paquette et al., 2003  | Phobia   | Psychotherapy            | 12       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 24.8     |
| Ritchey et al., 2011   | Depression | Psychotherapy      | 11       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 36.1     |
| Sakai et al., 2006     | Panic    | Psychotherapy            | 11       | PET       | Resting state    | 29.8     |
| Schienle et al., 2007  | Phobia   | Psychotherapy            | 14       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 27.2     |
| Yoshimura et al., 2014 | Depression | Psychotherapy    | 21       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 37.3     |
| Aupperle et al., 2013  | PTSD     | Psychotherapy            | 14       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 40.1     |
| Buchheim et al., 2012  | Depression | Psychotherapy      | 16       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 38.9     |
| Wiswede et al., 2014   | Depression | Psychotherapy      | 13       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 39.8     |
| Beutel et al., 2010    | Panic    | Psychotherapy            | 15       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 32       |
| Furmark et al., 2002   | Social Phobia | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 6; 6 | PET | Emotional task | 35.2 |
| Goldapple et al., 2004 | Depression | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 14; 13 | PET | Resting state | 41; 36 |
| Kennedy et al., 2007   | Depression | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 7; 9 | PET | Resting state | 32.7; 40.1 |
| Konarski et al., 2009  | Depression | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 7; 9 | PET | Resting state | 32.7; 40.1 |
| Prasko et al., 2004    | Panic    | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 6; 6 | PET | Resting state | 31.8; 32 |
| Brody et al., 2001     | Depression | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 14; 10 | PET | Resting state | 40.7; 38.4 |
| Martin et al., 2001    | Depression | Psychotherapy; Antidepressant therapy | 13; 15 | SPECT | Resting state | 38.4; 39.4 |
| Brockmann et al., 2009 | Depression | Antidepressant therapy | 44       | SPECT     | Resting state    | 47.2     |
| Carey et al., 2004     | Anxiety  | Antidepressant therapy   | 37       | SPECT     | Resting state    | 33.5     |
| Kennedy et al., 2001   | Depression | Antidepressant therapy | 13       | PET       | Resting state    | 36.7     |
| Mayberg et al., 2000   | Depression | Antidepressant therapy | 4        | PET       | Resting state    | 49       |
| Samson et al., 2011    | Depression | Antidepressant therapy | 10       | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 41.5     |
| Seedat et al., 2004    | PTSD     | Antidepressant therapy   | 11       | SPECT     | Resting state    | 33.6     |
| Vlassenko et al., 2004 | Depression | Antidepressant therapy | 14       | SPECT     | Resting state    | 42.8     |
| Warwick et al., 2006   | Anxiety  | Antidepressant therapy   | 31       | SPECT     | Resting state    | 33       |
| Kilts et al., 2006     | Anxiety  | Antidepressant therapy   | 12       | PET       | Emotional task   | 38       |
| Hoehn-Saric et al., 2004| Anxiety | Antidepressant therapy | 6        | fMRI      | Emotional task   | 36       |
three DPT) and ten studies investigated neural correlate of antidepressant therapy. The remaining seven studies were performed on two randomized trial (five with CT vs. antidepressant therapy and two with DPT vs. antidepressant therapy). Thus, the meta-analysis included 16 samples reporting treatment outcomes with CT, 5 samples with DPT and 17 samples with antidepressant therapy. The overall sample was equivalent to a cohort of 546 individuals undergoing treatment for anxiety and depression (Mean age, SD = 36.3, 5.46) contributing data to the meta-analysis.

**Comparison of Regional Brain Response: Psychotherapy and Antidepressant Therapy**

Data for this analysis was obtained from 21 samples of 296 patients undergoing treatment with psychotherapy and 17 samples representing 250 patients undergoing antidepressant therapy.

As shown in Table 2, both psychotherapy and antidepressant therapy showed a decreased activation (post vs. pre) of the right inferior frontal gyrus, bilateral superior frontal gyrus, bilateral anterior cingulate, and right insula. However, other patterns of activations varied between these groups. In particular, patients undergoing psychotherapy showed an increased activation of right paracingulate gyrus and precuneus, and a decreased activation of right hippocampus, right parahippocampal gyrus, right amygdala, right rolandic operculum, right putamen, right temporal pole, right superior temporal gyrus, and bilateral anterior cingulate gyrus. Conversely, antidepressant therapy showed an increased activation (post vs. pre) in the right middle frontal gyrus, and a decreased activation of the bilateral supplementary motor area, bilateral paracingulate gyrus and bilateral caudate nucleus.

Interestingly, an inverse pattern of activation was observed in right paracingulate gyrus.

In order to control the effect of the task on the difference between outcome treatment of psychotherapy and antidepressant therapy, a comparison between the two treatments on only the resting state studies was conducted. Data for this analysis was obtained from 15 resting state studies representing 8 samples with 95 patients undergoing treatment with psychotherapy (25% anxiety and 75% depression disorders) and 11 samples with 192 patients undergoing antidepressant therapy (36% anxiety and 64% depression disorders). The findings confirm the inverse pattern of activation observed in right paracingulate gyrus in the previous analyses (Table 2).

**Robustness Analysis**

The analysis of robustness (jack-knife sensitivity analyses) showed that the results were highly replicable with possible exception of right parahippocampal gyrus, right superior frontal gyrus and bilateral caudate nucleus in antidepressant therapy group, and with possible exception of the left middle frontal activation in psychotherapy trials where this activation did not remain significant in 10/21 re-sampling combination trials.

**DISCUSSION**

This is to our knowledge is the first neuroimaging meta-analysis which focuses on a comparison between psychotherapy and antidepressant therapy in patients with anxiety and depression.

The patients undergoing psychotherapeutic and pharmacological treatments for anxiety and depression showed an overall decreased activations in right inferior frontal gyrus, bilateral superior frontal gyrus, bilateral anterior cingulate and right insula suggesting the relevant role of these areas in the symptoms reduction. Superior frontal gyrus and anterior cingulate represent the components of central executive networks of information processing which is activated when performing a task requiring focused attention. Furthermore, anterior cingulate and insula are involved in the Salience Network (Ham et al., 2013) which is responsible for switching between the default mode network (the network which is active during the rest when the brain is not engaged in a specific task) and the central executive network (Goulden et al., 2014). The intensity of interactions of default mode and central executive network in insular salience network activity have been previously associated with the severity of symptoms in major depressive disorder (Manolou et al., 2013). The reduced post-treatment activations of these areas in anxiety and depression could indicate the restored activity of the brain.

The main finding of the present study was the inverse effects of psychotherapy and antidepressant therapy on the right paracingulate activity. The patients undergoing psychotherapeutic treatment led to an increased activation of the right paracingulate activity while those with antidepressant therapy showed a decrease of this area. The paracingulate cortex (approximately corresponding to BA32) is often considered to be part of the anterior cingulate cortex, however the BA32 has been described cytoarchitectonically as a cingulo-frontal transition area (Devinsky et al., 1995) and therefore anatomically (and maybe functionally) distinct from the anterior cingulated cortex (Gallagher and Frith, 2003). Specially, the paracingulate cortex activity seems to be associated with the mentalizing ability (Gallagher and Frith, 2003) and with self-monitoring such as: visual self-recognition (Kircher et al., 2000, 2001), autobiographical memory (Maguire and Mummery, 1999; Maguire, 2001), conflict monitoring (Botvinick et al., 2001; Beckmann et al., 2009), verbal self-monitoring (McGuire et al., 1996b), self-generated thoughts (McGuire et al., 1996a). The components of these abilities are implicated in initiation and maintenance of the symptoms of anxiety and depression (Roiser et al., 2012; Weightman et al., 2014; Anastasides et al., 2015; Bartczak and Bokus, 2015). Moreover, a study showed that the paracingulate cortex is active during the “rest” condition (Gusnard et al., 2001). The authors suggested that this might indicate a “default” mode of functioning in which “we think about ourselves” (Gusnard et al., 2001). In light of these findings, it seems that cingulo-frontal section could be specialized on internal mental states processing (Gallagher and Frith, 2003).

In a very interesting way, different authors reported that the anterior cingulated cortex is evolutionally very recent, in fact, it is present only in humans and in higher primates (Nimchinsky...
TABLE 2
Comparative results of treatment outcome of Psychotherapy and Antidepressant therapy.

|                         | Psychotherapy (Post vs. Pre) | Antidepressant therapy (Post vs. Pre) | Psychotherapy( Post-Pre) vs. Antidepressant therapy (Post-Pre) |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
|                         | Coordinates | SDM-Z | P-value | Voxelss | Coordinates | SDM-Z | P-value | Voxelss | Coordinates | SDM-Z | P-value | Voxelss | Coordinates | SDM-Z | P-value | Voxelss |
| L lingual gyrus         | ↑ −12,−44,−2 | 1.19  | 0.00119 | 117     | ↓ −8,44, 2.41 | 0.00001 | 307     |
| L supramarginal gyrus   | ↑ −58,−28,28 | 1.04  | 0.00432 | 101     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 2.42  | 0.00012 | 186     | ↓ −8,44, 2.41 | 0.00001 | 169     |
| L supplementary motor area | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,12,48 | 2.41  | 0.00016 | 224     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| R supplementary motor area | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.30  | 0.00011 | 244     | ↓ −4,14,48 | 2.42  | 0.00012 | 186     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| L inferior parietal     | ↑ −58,−28,28 | 1.04  | 0.00432 | 120     | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| L cerebellum            | ↑ −58,−28,28 | 1.04  | 0.00432 | 120     | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| L superior frontal gyrus| ↓ −8,42,−8  | 2.27  | 0.00001 | 699     | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| R superior frontal gyrus| ↓ −6,60,4  | 2.27  | 0.00001 | 699     | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| L paracingulate         | ↑ −14,−52,40 | 1.02  | 0.00005 | 119     | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| R paracingulate         | ↑ −14,−52,40 | 1.02  | 0.00005 | 119     | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| L median paracingulate  | ↑ −12,−12,38 | 1.16  | 0.00248 | 36      | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| R hippocampus           | ↓ −26,−4,−16 | 2.06  | 0.00001 | 84      | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| R parahippocampal gyrus | ↓ −26,−4,−16 | 2.06  | 0.00001 | 84      | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| R amygdala              | ↓ −26,−4,−16 | 2.06  | 0.00001 | 84      | ↓ −4,16,44 | 2.59  | 0.00002 | 367     | ↓ −4,2,46 | 1.78  | 0.00001 | 88      |
| L anterior cingulate    | ↓ 0,48,4 | 3.00  | ~0 674 | −2,8,36 | 2.41  | 0.00001 | 159     | ↓ −2,38,2 | 1.33  | 0.00049 | 226     |
| R anterior cingulate    | ↓ 0,48,4 | 3.00  | ~0 399 | 2,8,36  | 2.41  | 0.00001 | 61      | ↓ 32,18,8 | 1.89  | 0.00074 | 265     | ↓ 34,18,8 | 1.30  | 0.00230 | 51      |
| R insula                | ↓ 48,4 | 2.43  | 0.00001 | 702     | ↓ 32,18,8 | 1.89  | 0.00074 | 265     | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      |
| R Rolandic operculum   | ↓ 48,4 | 2.43  | 0.00001 | 305     | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      |
| R Putamen               | ↓ 48,4 | 2.43  | 0.00001 | 302     | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      |
| R temporal pole         | ↓ 48,4 | 2.43  | 0.00001 | 301     | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      |
| R superior temporal gyrus | ↓ 48,4 | 2.43  | 0.00001 | 138     | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      |
| R heschl gyrus          | ↓ 48,4 | 2.43  | 0.00001 | 141     | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      | ↓ 34,16,10 | 1.61  | 0.00035 | 25      |
| L rectus gyrus          | ↓ −12,36,−10 | 2.23  | 0.00004 | 34      | ↓ −4,8,8 | 1.61  | 0.00038 | 15      | ↓ −4,8,8 | 1.61  | 0.00038 | 15      |
| L caudate nucleus       | ↓ −8,4,10 | 1.86  | 0.00082 | 17      | ↓ −8,4,10 | 1.86  | 0.00082 | 17      | ↓ −8,4,10 | 1.86  | 0.00082 | 17      |
| R precuneus             | ↑ 14,−52,40 | 1.03  | 0.00054 | 86      | ↑ 14,−52,40 | 1.03  | 0.00054 | 86      | ↑ 14,−52,40 | 1.03  | 0.00054 | 86      |

SDM-Z: Voxel probability; threshold P-value: p = 0.005; Peak height threshold: z = 1. In red, results on only the resting-state studies.
et al., 1999). Specifically paracingulate cortex seems to be present only in 50% of humans (Paus, 2001) and it might be indicative of a progressive evolution of this region in humans (Zilles et al., 1988; Gallagher and Frith, 2003), suggesting as its development could be affected by the environment and by the relative meaning attribution.

In line with the theoretical aspects of psychotherapies that emphasize the importance of the internal reality, as the representations, and the processes of the meaning attribution and elaboration (Timary et al., 2011), the increase of the right paracingulate activity might be interpreted as psychotherapy conditioned increase of the attention to personal inner states and of the emotions regulation ability (Keune et al., 2012; Messina et al., 2016).

Moreover, through the introspection and the self-knowledge, “a subject can construct itself as psychologically self-conscious (and not only as physically self-conscious) in an interplay of meta-representational abilities, autobiographical memory, and socio-communicative capacities” (Guerini et al., 2015). Conversely, the neurobiological outcome of the antidepressant therapy showed a decrease of the right paracingulate activity, which can be explained by the fact that this kind of treatment is not focused on the elaboration of internal mental states.

An alternative interpretation is that the inverse effect of the two types of treatment could be due to the different experimental tasks used during the neurobiological data acquisition (Messina et al., 2013). The results of the meta-analyses including only resting state studies, where the inverse effect of psychotherapy vs. antidepressant therapy on paracingulate activity was maintained, it falsifies this interpretation.

**Limitations**

The present meta-analysis entails certain limitations. First, methodological limitation concerns the data available for analysis. Several studies had small sample sizes, variable duration, heterogeneity of techniques and study designs which might affect the outcome of the therapeutic outcome and thus the quality of the meta-analysis.
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