SOLAR FLARE COMPOSITION AND THERMODYNAMICS FROM RESIK X-RAY SPECTRA
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ABSTRACT

Previous estimates of the solar flare abundances of Si, S, Cl, Ar, and K from the RESIK X-ray crystal spectrometer on board the CORONAS-F spacecraft were made on the assumption of isothermal X-ray emission. We investigate the effect on these estimates by relaxing this assumption and instead determining the differential emission measure (DEM) or thermal structure of the emitting plasma by re-analyzing RESIK data for a GOES class M1.0 flare on 2002 November 14 (SOL2002-11-14T22:26) for which there was good data coverage. The analysis method uses a maximum-likelihood (Withbroe–Sylwester) routine for evaluating the DEM. In a first step, called here AbuOpt, an optimized set of abundances of Si, S, Ar, and K is found that is consistent with the observed spectra. With these abundances, the DEM evolution during the flare is found. The abundance optimization leads to revised abundances of silicon and sulfur in the flare plasma: $A(S) = 6.94 \pm 0.06$ and $A(Si) = 7.56 \pm 0.08$ (on a logarithmic scale with $A(H) = 12$). Previously determined abundances of Ar, K, and Cl from an isothermal assumption are still the preferred values. During the flare’s maximum phase, the X-ray-emitting plasma has a basically two-temperature structure, with the cooler plasma with approximately constant temperature (3–6 MK) and a hotter plasma with temperature 16–21 MK. Using imaging data from the RHESSI hard X-ray spacecraft, the emission volume of the hot plasma is deduced from which lower limits of the electron density $N_e$ and the thermal content of the plasma are given.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Observations of solar soft X-ray spectra are essential for the diagnostics of hot plasmas associated with flares and active regions. The fluxes of emission lines and continua depend sensitively on electron temperature or rather, since the plasma is not in general isothermal, the distribution of emission measure with electron temperature. For rapidly varying conditions, X-ray spectra can also be used to find the plasma’s ionization state or the presence of nonthermal electrons. If combined with images of the emitting source on the Sun, in practice, this very rarely occurred. The REntgenovsky Spektrometr s Izognutymi Kristalami (RESIK, Sylwester et al. 2005) instrument, a crystal spectrometer aboard the Russian CORONAS-F spacecraft, is one of several such spectrometers over the past 30 yr or so using a bent crystal geometry with position-sensitive detectors, so that complete spectra over particular ranges can be captured in short time intervals and with much higher sensitivity than is possible with flat scanning spectrometers. RESIK obtained spectra from non-flaring active regions and during numerous flares between 2001 and 2003, the only crystal spectrometer to do so. This period occurred during the latter part of Cycle 23, when the activity levels were higher than at any time since, including the peak of the present cycle (number 24). Consequently, a number of large active regions and flares were observed, and the results already discussed; a catalog of observations is available at http://www.cbk.pan.wroc.pl/experiments/resik/resikCatalogue.htm. Four spectral bands covered the nominal range 3.4 Å–6.1 Å with two silicon crystals (Si 111, 2d = 6.27 Å) for channels 1 and 2 (spectral ranges for an on-line source 3.40 Å–3.80 Å, 3.83 Å–4.27 Å) and two quartz crystals (quartz 1010, 2d = 8.51 Å) for channels 3 and 4 (spectral ranges 4.35 Å–4.86 Å, 5.00 Å–6.05 Å). Instrumental fluorescence background emission, often a problem with previous solar X-ray spectrometers, was minimized through the adjustment of pulse-height analyzer settings over the mission lifetime; ultimately, for the period 2002 December 24 to 2003 March 23, the fluorescence background was entirely eliminated for channels 1 and 2 and its amount reduced and accurately estimated for channels 3 and 4. To maximize the instrument’s sensitivity, no collimator was used; although this introduced the possibility of overlapping spectra from two or more X-ray sources on the Sun, in practice, this very rarely occurred.

RESIK was intensity-calibrated to a higher accuracy than was possible for previous solar crystal spectrometers (the procedure is described by Sylwester et al. 2005), so enabling element abundances to be derived for elements whose spectral lines feature in RESIK spectra. Previously, such analyses (see, e.g., Sylwester et al. 2010b) have used the assumption of an isothermal plasma for the X-ray emission and with temperature and emission measure given by the flux ratio of the two emission bands of GOES. The justification for this was that plots of the measured line fluxes during flares divided by the GOES emission measure ($EM_{GOES}$) against GOES temperature ($T_{GOES}$) showed points distributed either along the theoretical $G(T_e)$ function or the function displaced by a constant amount. The $G(T_e)$ function is the line emission per unit emission measure as a function of electron temperature $T_e$ calculated, e.g., from the CHANTI atomic database and software package (Dere et al. 1997; Landi et al. 2012) for an assumed element abundance. The amount of the displacement gives the factor
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by which the assumed abundance must be adjusted to give agreement with the measured RESIK line fluxes. A particularly tight distribution of points around the calculated $G(T_e)$ curve was obtained for the case of the Ar xvii lines in RESIK’s channel 2, and a rather broader scatter of points for K and Cl since the line emission for these low-abundance elements was weak. Thus an argon abundance estimate with very small statistical uncertainty ($A(\text{Ar}) = 6.45 \pm 0.06$ on a logarithmic scale with $A(\text{H}) = 12$) resulted, in close agreement with other argon abundance estimates from solar proxies (Sylwester et al. 2010a). The RESIK Si and S abundance estimates are based on strong lines of H-like and He-like Si and S seen in RESIK’s channels 3 and 4, but the distribution of points given by line flux divided by $E_{\text{GOES}}$ against $T_{\text{GOES}}$ was less impressive than that for the Ar xvii lines. It was speculated (Sylwester et al. 2012, 2013) that the subtraction of crystal fluorescence was not as accurately done as was thought or that the results were affected by some lines occurring very near the end of the range of either channel 3 or channel 4. Here we investigate whether the assumption of an isothermal emitting plasma might instead be more significant in leading to biased results, the thinking being that the temperature derived from the two GOES channels is more representative of the hotter Ar xvii lines than that of the H-like or He-like Si and S ions, an idea described further in Section 3.

In this work, we discuss RESIK spectra for the particular case of the M1.0 flare on 2002 November 14 with GOES soft X-ray maximum at 22:26 UT (SOL2002-11-14T22:26 using the IAU standard flare-naming convention). We first used an iterative procedure (AbuOpt) to derive optimized element abundance estimates of Si, S, Ar, and K, since these elements are represented by lines in RESIK spectra and so their abundances will influence the nature of the RESIK spectra. The optimization is done with a maximum likelihood routine (the Withbroe–Sylwester routine) which determines the differential emission measure (DEM). The optimized abundances of Si and S in particular differ from our previous estimates based on an isothermal assumption. With the Withbroe–Sylwester routine, and with the optimized abundances of Si, S, Ar, and K, the evolution of the DEM over the flare duration was then found. With X-ray images of this flare from RHESSI, estimates of the emitting volume $V$ are made, and from these, lower limits to the electron densities and thermal energy content of the flaring plasma are determined. The physical significance of the new Si and S abundances are discussed in respect of the well-known first ionization potential (FIP) effect, in which the abundances of elements with low ($\lesssim 10$ eV) FIP in coronal plasmas are apparently enhanced over photospheric abundances.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The SOL2002-11-14T22:26 flare occurred in active region NOAA 10195 located at S14E65, a region that had recently rotated on to the southeast limb and became flare-productive on 2012 November 14. During the November 14 flare under discussion, the X-ray emission, indicated by both RESIK and GOES, showed a sudden enhancement starting at 22:15 UT with maximum at about 22:26 UT, followed by a slow decay extending until spacecraft night, at 22:56 UT. Some 124 individual RESIK spectra (total integrated time 26.5 minutes) are

![Figure 1](image-url)
Among those of note are the He-like K (K formed by thermal plasmas with temperature range 2–20 MK. The chief spectral line features are due to transition and decay phases of the flare. The changing relative line fluxes which is averaged over the entire flare duration. The top right passage through a polar van Allen radiation belt when the RESIK high-voltages (25–50 keV). The key letters above each time strip denote intervals over which RHESSI as black continuous and dashed lines, respectively. The DEM function \( \varphi(T) \) for individual lines is calculable from atomic excitation theory for chosen spectral intervals \( i \). The DEM function \( \varphi(T) \), defined by

\[ \text{DEM} \equiv \varphi(T) \equiv N_e \frac{dV}{dT}, \]

may be determined by solving under certain conditions from the analysis of a full system of equations like Equation (1) for \( i \) spectral intervals. Although the problem is recognized as being ill-conditioned (Craig & Brown 1976), methods of solution exist that give solutions for \( \varphi(T) \) and their uncertainties.

### 3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS METHOD

A commonly used method of deducing electron temperature \( T_e \) and volume emission measure \( EM = \int N_e^2 dV \) for X-ray flare emission assumed to be isothermal uses the flux ratio of the GOES 1–8 Å and 0.5–4.0 Å bands based on the work of White et al. (2005). However, an isothermal assumption is not generally valid for the interpretation of X-ray spectra with many lines formed over a broad temperature range, different temperatures being obtained according to the flux ratio of the lines chosen. More generally, the emission measure within intervals of temperature is described by the DEM (Withbroe 1975; Levine & Pye 1980; McTiernan et al. 1999; Landi & Chiuderi Drago 2008). Thus, for an optically thin, multi-thermal plasma such as the X-ray flare discussed here, the observed flux \( F_i \) of each line or spectral interval \( i \) can be expressed as (Sylwester et al. 1980)

\[ F_i = A_i \int_{T_0}^{\infty} f_i(T) \varphi(T) dT, \]

where \( A_i \) represents the assumed abundance of an element contributing to the flux of a particular line or spectral interval. We assume \( A_i \) to be constant over emitting volume \( V \), while \( f_i(T) \), the emission function (more commonly known as the \( G(T) \) function for individual lines) is calculable from atomic excitation theory for chosen spectral intervals \( i \). The DEM function \( \varphi(T) \), defined by

\[ \text{DEM} \equiv \varphi(T) \equiv N_e \frac{dV}{dT}, \]

may be determined by solving under certain conditions from the analysis of a full system of equations like Equation (1) for \( i \) spectral intervals. Although the problem is recognized as being ill-conditioned (Craig & Brown 1976), methods of solution exist that give solutions for \( \varphi(T) \) and their uncertainties.

### Figure 2
Normalized RHESSI and GOES light curves for the SOL2002-11-14T22:26 flare. The GOES fluxes in the 1–8 Å and 0.5–4 Å ranges are shown as black continuous and dashed lines, respectively. The RHESSI light curves are shown by colored lines: pink (6–12 keV), green (12–25 keV), and blue (25–50 keV). The key letters above each time strip denote intervals over which RESIK spectra were integrated for DEM analysis. The gray strip indicates a passage through a polar van Allen radiation belt when the RESIK high-voltages were turned off and no observations were made.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

included in the spectrum shown in Figure 1 (top left panel) which is averaged over the entire flare duration. The top right and lower panels (e, g, n) show RESIK spectra averaged over time intervals (given in the caption) during the rise, maximum, and decay phases of the flare. The changing relative line fluxes of the S\text{v} lines near 5.04 Å (channel 4) and the S\text{xi} \( \text{L}_{\alpha} \) line at 4.73 Å, connected by dotted lines, are evident, indicating as expected that the maximum temperature is attained near the flare peak phase. Three RESIK spectra were taken during the previous spacecraft orbit (from 21:15:16 UT to 21:18:52 UT) when no soft X-ray flare was in progress and the total X-ray activity was much lower (GOES C1 level); the average of these spectra is shown near the zero level of panel n, clearly showing that the spectra shown in Figure 1 are almost entirely due to the flare. The chief spectral line features are due to transitions in H-like and He-like ions of Si, S, Ar, and K and are formed by thermal plasmas with temperature range 2–20 MK. Among those of note are the He-like K (K\text{viii} \( 1s^2–1s2s, 1s2p \)) lines (Sylwester et al. 2010b) and H-like and He-like Ar lines in channel 1; the He-like Ar (Ar\text{vii} \( 1s^2–1s2s, 1s2p \)) lines (Sylwester et al. 2010a) and the He-like S (S\text{xi} \( 1s^2–1s4p \) line in channel 2; the S\text{v} \( 1s^2–1s3p \) and H-like S (S\text{vii} \( \text{L}_{\alpha} \)) lines (Sylwester et al. 2012) and He-like Cl (Cl\text{xvii}) lines (Sylwester et al. 2011) in channel 3; and S\text{v} \( 1s^2–1s2s, 1s2p \) lines and H-like Si (Si\text{xiv}) and He-like Si (Si\text{xii}) lines and some Si\text{xii} dielectronic satellites (Sylwester et al. 2012, 2013) in channel 4. Further details about these lines including wave-lengths are given in the papers cited and in Table II of Sylwester et al. (2005).

Figure 2 shows the normalized X-ray light curves for the flare in RHESSI and GOES with color codes for different energy bands (the two GOES bands and three selected from available RHESSI bands). The harder X-rays seen with RHESSI peak early in the flare development, with the 12–25 keV and 25–50 keV emission showing sharp impulsive bursts at 22:24:42 UT and 22:25:27 UT, during the soft X-ray emission rise seen with GOES. This figure also shows 19 time intervals (marked by key letters a to s) over which RESIK spectra were integrated and used for further analysis, in particular the inversion of line fluxes to obtain DEM for which spectra of high statistical quality are necessary. (Letters e, g, and n in the panels of Figure 1 refer to those shown in Figure 2.) Figure 3 shows RHESSI images of the flare, with the upper two images were taken during the first and second hard impulsive X-ray peaks, the lower two images during the soft X-ray maximum (22:26:48 UT) and the decay phase (22:28:52 UT). The image reconstructions were performed using the PIXON method and RHESSI grids 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 9; this was done for the 6–7 keV energy range, which is effectively the lowest accessible to RHESSI and so is larger than the energy range of the RESIK spectra (2.0–3.6 keV). In the analysis below, we are interested in the RHESSI image dimensions so the relative merits of image construction routines are of some importance. These are discussed by Dennis & Pernak (2009). The PIXON method is the only way to image extended sources in the presence of compact sources, as in the case here, and there is much less background compared with the CLEAN routine which is also available. There is the possibility of “over-resolution” (i.e., the source size is under-represented) with PIXON, but only if the total signal in the image exceeds 6000–7000 photon counts: in the case of the images shown in Figure 3, the total signal is slightly less than 2000 counts. The contours on the images in Figure 3 are drawn at levels 0.5, 0.7 and 0.9 of the maximum emission in each image. Approximately 60 such images over the flare duration show an additional weak feature to the south of the main structure; this does not add to estimates of the image extent which, at the 0.5 contour level, is almost constant at 5400 km.

### Figure 3
Relative intensity of each image is given in the legend. The contours on the images in Figure 3 are drawn at levels 0.5, 0.7 and 0.9 of the maximum emission in each image. Approximately 60 such images over the flare duration show an additional weak feature to the south of the main structure; this does not add to estimates of the image extent which, at the 0.5 contour level, is almost constant at 5400 km.
Figure 3. Four RHESSI images of SOL2002-11-14T22:26 flare obtained with the PIXON algorithm in the energy range 6–7 keV. Times (UT) are indicated at the top of each panel. The top two images were taken during hard X-ray impulsive emission, the lower left image at the soft X-ray maximum, and the lower right image during the decay phase. The contours are drawn at levels 0.5, 0.7, and 0.9 of the maximum intensity in each image. Solar north is at the top of each image, solar east to the left; the x (east–west) and y (north–south) coordinates are in arcseconds. The dotted grid lines show solar longitude and latitude at 3° intervals. The integration time for the last image was 12 s, the first three images 4 s (i.e., one rotation of the RHESSI spacecraft). The low-intensity feature to the south of the main feature in the last image is reproducible and so is likely to be real, but its weakness does not significantly add to the total emission. The extent of the main flare emission at the 50% isocontour level is approximately 5400 km.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

that satisfy the input data to within observational uncertainties and can be ascribed physical meaning. Here, we followed the iterative maximum-likelihood, Bayesian routine called the Withbroe–Sylwester method and described by Sylwester et al. (1980). It has been tested previously on synthetic spectra and assumed DEM functions to see whether the DEMs are recovered after the inversion (e.g., Sylwester & Sylwester 1998, 1999; Kępa et al. 2006).

The analysis proceeds in two steps. In the first (called AbuOpt), optimized values for the abundances of elements that make large contributions to the line features in RESIK spectra are found. We selected the input data which consisted of observed fluxes in 15 narrow spectral intervals in RESIK spectra for each of the 19 time intervals (a to s) shown in Figure 2; most include strong emission lines while a few are almost entirely continuum radiation (the sum of free–free and free-bound). The wavelength ranges of the intervals and details of principal emission features included (lines or continuum) are specified in Table 1. The main lines are those due to H-like or He-like Si, S, and Ar and associated dielectronic satellite lines, with the He-like K lines generally appearing as weak (the He-like Cl lines are much weaker still and have practically no effect on the analysis). In the table, we use the notation “2p” for the transition 1s2 1S0–1s2p 1P1 in He-like ions and “2p” for Ly-α in H-like ions; “sat.” for dielectronic satellite lines; and “cont.” for continuum. We then applied the Withbroe–Sylwester DEM inversion method to these input data to achieve an optimum fit between the observed and calculated fluxes. This requires the evaluation of the emission functions $f_i(T)$ for these intervals—lines plus continuum or continuum alone—which can be calculated from the CHANTI (v. 7.0) code. These depend on temperature and element abundance (Equation 1). We investigated the effect of varying the abundances of Si, S, Ar, and K. To do this, and to

| No. | Range [Å] | Main contributor (cont. = continuum) |
|-----|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| 1   | 3.480–3.630 | cont. + K xviii 2p+sat.             |
| 2   | 3.630–3.800 | cont. + Ar xviii 2p, S xvi 4p, 5p  |
| 3   | 3.900–4.060 | cont. + Ar xvii 2p+sat, S xv 4p   |
| 4   | 4.120–4.230 | cont. + S xv sat.                  |
| 5   | 4.340–4.430 | cont. + S xv 3p+sat                 |
| 6   | 4.430–4.520 | cont. + Cl xvi 2p+sat               |
| 7   | 4.680–4.750 | cont. + S xvi 2p, + Si xiv 8p      |
| 8   | 4.750–4.800 | cont. + Si xiv 6p                   |
| 9   | 5.000–5.150 | S xv 2p+sat + cont.                |
| 10  | 5.220–5.320 | Si xiii 5p+sat + cont.             |
| 11  | 5.320–5.470 | Si xiii 4p+sat + cont.             |
| 12  | 5.475–5.640 | Si xii sat. + cont.                |
| 13  | 5.640–5.715 | Si xii 3p + cont.                  |
| 14  | 5.715–5.850 | Si xii sat. + cont.                |
| 15  | 5.900–5.950 | Continuum                          |
avoid a time-consuming calculation, we pre-calculated a grid of theoretical spectra with temperatures in the range 1–100 MK (101 steps in equal intervals of log $T$) and 21 values of the abundances of four elements (Si, S, Ar, and K) ranging from 0.1 to 16 times the CHIANTI “coronal” value. With one exception, the other element abundances, which affect the free-bound continua only, were kept at their coronal values as specified in CHIANTI; the effect of varying these abundances is relatively minor—see the analysis of Phillips et al. (2010). The exception is the element Cl which has very low abundance; for this, we took our abundance estimate $A$(Cl) = 5.75 ± 0.26 (from Sylwester et al. 2011 based on an isothermal analysis of Cl xvi lines in RESIK channel 3). There are, as a result of this calculation, 84 ($4 \times 21$) pre-calculated spectra for each of 101 temperatures in the RESIK spectral range (the spectral resolution was chosen to be 0.001 Å).

With these calculated spectra, we ran the Withbroe–Sylwester DEM method on the input data consisting of fluxes in the 15 narrow spectral intervals, the DEM ($\psi(T)$) function varying freely for each of the 21 abundance values for Si, S, Ar, and K. After 1000 iterations, the value of normalized $\chi^2$ was obtained describing the difference between the measured and fitted fluxes in terms of measurement uncertainties (assuming Poissonian statistics of the photon counts). Figure 4 shows examples for Si, S, Ar, and K for the 19 time intervals (represented by curves with different colors) and the spectrum averaged over all 19 intervals (black curve with dots). Clear minima in the value of $\chi^2/\min(\chi^2)$ as a function of element abundance are apparent for Ar, S, and Si; the K abundance is too small to have any effect on the value of $\chi^2$ below a threshold corresponding to a potassium abundance of $10^{-6}$ of the hydrogen abundance. We interpret the plots to mean that the abundance corresponding to the minimum in $\chi^2$ is the optimum one, i.e., for which the agreement between the observed set of spectral fluxes and the theory is the best.

In Figure 5, we plot derived optimum abundance values against time for Si, S, Ar, and K. Uncertainties in the abundance determinations are assigned that correspond to the abundance range defined by $\min(\chi^2) + 1$ (Bevington & Robinson 2003). There is little evidence for time-changing abundances apart from a slight tendency of $A(S)$ to be a little smaller at earlier times. The abundances (linearly averaged over the 19 time intervals) obtained are $A(K) = 6.51 \pm 0.46$, $A(Ar) = 6.58 \pm 0.11$, $A(S) = 6.94 \pm 0.06$, and $A(Si) = 7.56 \pm 0.08$ (abundances are expressed on a logarithmic scale with $A(H) = 12$). As a result, we assume from hereon that the Si, S, Ar, and K...
abundances are time- and temperature-independent, at least for the flare considered here. In future analysis, this assumption may be relaxed to account for possible abundance differences with temperature.

As indicated earlier, most of our previous analyses of RESIK flare spectra were based on an isothermal assumption, with the emitting temperature and emission measure taken from GOES ($T_{\text{GOES}}$, $EM_{\text{GOES}}$). For the Ar abundance, determined from the prominent Ar xvi lines in channel 2, a very tight distribution of observed points given by the “G($T$)” plot, i.e., line flux divided by $EM_{\text{GOES}}$ plotted against $T_{\text{GOES}}$, was obtained, resulting in an abundance determination with small uncertainty: $A($Ar$) = 6.51 \pm 0.46$ (Figure 2 of Sylwester et al. 2010a). This value is in close agreement with other determinations from solar proxies (e.g., H ii regions, Jupiter’s atmosphere) and is considered by us to be fairly definitive. The value obtained in this work is slightly higher. It also has larger uncertainty which would indicate prima facie that for this particular flare the isothermal abundance interpretation is more likely to be correct. However, as indicated in Section 1, it appears, from the agreement of the observed points with the calculated $G(T)$ plot shown by Sylwester et al. (2010a), that the characteristic temperature of the plasma emitting the Ar xvi lines is well described by the temperature estimated from the two GOES channels. We therefore interpret this to mean that the isothermal abundance determination is to be preferred. For potassium, the estimated abundance from this work is a factor 4.5 higher than that from our previous determination ($A($K$) = 5.86 \pm 0.23$: Sylwester et al. 2010b) assuming isothermal emission and has larger uncertainty. The larger uncertainties again suggest that the value from our earlier isothermal analysis to be preferred; the similar temperature of formation of the K xvi lines to that of the Ar xvi lines suggests that the GOES temperature accurately describes the K xvi line emission also.

For the lower-temperature S ions (both H-like and He-like ions were considered), the isothermal analysis of RESIK spectra by Sylwester et al. (2012) was not particularly satisfactory, with a large scatter of points on the $G(T)$ plot, even though there are several strong lines with which the analysis is possible. Sylwester et al. (2012) determined $A($S$) = 7.16 \pm 0.17$, i.e., higher than the presently determined value ($A($S$) = 6.94 \pm 0.06$) and with larger uncertainty. A re-analysis of the SOL2002-11-14T22:26 flare spectra alone on an isothermal assumption gives $A($S$) = 7.15 \pm 0.10$ (S xv w and nearby

Figure 5. Time variations of derived absolute abundance of K, Ar, S, and Si. The abundances were determined using the abundance–optimization (AbuOpt) approach described in the text. The error bars on abundance determination are based on the results presented in Figure 4 and correspond to the range of values for $\min(\chi^2) + 1.0$. Thin black horizontal lines represent time-averaged values of elemental abundances together with their rms error bands (dotted horizontal lines). In the top panel, the GOES light curves integrated over the times of individual RESIK spectra collection are shown. The horizontal blue dotted lines correspond to “coronal” abundances (Feldman 1992), while the dashed red lines correspond to “photospheric” abundances (Asplund et al. 2009).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
lines at 5.04 Å and \(A(S) = 7.08 \pm 0.18\) (S xv w/4 line at 4.08 Å). Our interpretation here is that the less satisfactory agreement of the observed points with the calculated \(G(T)\) function is due to the fact that the S xv emission functions have characteristic temperatures that are less than those derived from the ratio of the GOES channels. In this case, a DEM analysis of the emitting flare plasma gives a more reliable abundance determination. In summary, our preferred value for the S abundance for this flare is the one determined in the present analysis, viz. \(A(S) = 6.94 \pm 0.06\).

The argument for the S abundance determination holds \textit{a fortiori} for the Si abundance, since the lines on which the determinations are principally made, He-like and H-like Si (Si xiii and Si xiv), have lower characteristic temperatures than the S lines. From an isothermal assumption (Sylwester et al. 2013), we determined \(A(Si) = 7.89 \pm 0.13\) (Si xiii lines), and \(A(Si) = 7.93 \pm 0.21\) (Si xiv lines), both estimates being about a factor two more than is estimated from the present work (\(A(Si) = 7.56 \pm 0.08\)) and having larger uncertainties. In this case, therefore, our preferred value for the Si abundance is the one given by this analysis. (Note that for comparison with recent abundance estimates for RS CVn stars (Huenemoerder et al. 2013), values of S and Si abundances were used from a DEM analysis of a flare on 2002 December 26 with the isothermal values of Ar and K for the same flare.)

An illustration of the foregoing argument with reference to the \(G(T)\) functions for the principal RESIK lines is provided by a plot that we constructed of RESIK and RHESSI spectra during a flare against photon energy together with a theoretical spectrum from CHIANTI calculated with GOES values of temperature and emission measure estimated at the time of the spectrum.

A very close agreement of the CHIANTI spectrum with the RESIK channels 1 and 2 spectra was found. However, the temperature of the CHIANTI spectrum was too low to describe the RHESSI spectrum but on the other hand too high to describe the RESIK spectrum in channels 3 and 4. As RESIK channels 1 and 2 include the Ar xvi and K xviii lines, it appears that these lines are well described by GOES temperature and emission measure but the S and Si lines in RESIK channels 3 and 4 are not; for these lines a lower-temperature component is needed to fit their fluxes.

With optimized averaged abundances for S and Si and the isothermal abundance determinations for Ar and K, the DEM distribution for the 19 time intervals of the flare could now be calculated. Abundances of elements other than these were taken from the CHIANTI "coronal" abundances of Feldman et al. (1992), except for Cl as already described. With the ionization fractions of Bryans et al. (2009), the Withbroe–Sylwester procedure was then used for the DEM inversion, the convergence continuing until iteration 10 000. The uncertainties of the inversion were determined from 100 Monte Carlo runs, where the input line fluxes for every time step were randomly perturbed with corresponding statistical uncertainties. The evolutionary changes of the DEM are indicated in the left and right panels of Figure 6. The left panel shows the DEM distribution as a contour plot, while calculated DEMs for selected times during the flare (intervals \(a, g, i, l, q\) in Figure 2) are shown in the right panel. It is evident that for all times the bulk of the emitting plasma has a temperature of 3–6 MK contributing to a cooler component. A hotter component is present near the flare's maximum phase with temperature of \(\sim 16–21\) MK as is clear from Figure 6, but the emission measure is always
The total emission measures of the cooler and hotter components indicated in Figure 6 (left panel) were evaluated and plotted in Figure 7 (top panel). The emission measures of both components evolve with time, reaching a maximum in each case at about the time of the GOES maximum emission (22:26 UT), more than two orders of magnitude smaller. For comparison, the temperature derived from the flux ratio of the two bands of GOES, assuming isothermal emission, is shown on this plot as the curve running from top to bottom; the GOES temperature is generally a little higher than the cooler component indicated by the DEM analysis except at the flare peak when it does not quite attain the temperature of the hotter component. The temperature of the cooler component is perhaps somewhat larger than that typical of non-flaring regions, but the GOES emission in the previous CORONAS-F spacecraft orbit (at about the C1 level) indicates a temperature of about 5 MK, a reflection of the presence of several non-flaring active regions on the Sun at the time. A DEM analysis of the RESIK emission during the previous orbit in fact shows the bulk of the emission to have a temperature of ~2.8 MK.

The total emission measures of the cooler and hotter components indicated in Figure 6 (left panel) were evaluated and plotted in Figure 7 (top panel). The emission measures of both components evolve with time, reaching a maximum in each case at about the time of the GOES maximum emission (22:26 UT), with that of the cooler component larger by a factor of about 100. The decrease of the emission measure of both components is somewhat faster than that of EM\textsubscript{GOES} evaluated from the flux ratio of the two GOES bands.

The temperature of the cooler component is low enough that it is unlikely to contribute significantly to the 6–7 keV emission seen in the RHESSI images shown in Figure 3. If we interpret the RHESSI emission to be due mainly to the hotter component seen in RESIK spectra, we may obtain densities and other information about the flaring plasma. A series of approximately 60 RHESSI 6–7 keV images of the (SOL2002-11-14T22:26) flare shows that the main emission component is a confined feature likely to be located at the top of a small (few thousand km) loop. The size of this component is constant to within 25% or so, and as indicated earlier at the 50% isocontour level the extent is about 5400 km. An assumed spherical shape for this leads to a volume of $8 \times 10^{46}$ cm$^3$. Combined with the peak emission measure of the hotter component ($3 \times 10^{58}$ cm$^{-3}$), this gives an electron density $N_e = 2.6 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$. The detailed time variations are indicated in Figure 7 (center panel).

Estimates of the thermal energy $E_{\text{th}}$

$$E_{\text{th}} \bigg|_{N_e=\text{const}} = 3 k_B \sqrt{\int \psi(T) dT} \sqrt{\int \psi(T) dT}, \quad (3)$$

where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, presented in Figure 7 (bottom panel), show that $E_{\text{th}}$ reaches a maximum of $\sim 3 \times 10^{30}$ erg, which is rather rather typical for a medium-class flare such as the one under discussion.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

One of the primary intentions of this analysis of RESIK spectra and other data for the M1 flare under discussion has been to test a calculation procedure for obtaining DEM using spectral fluxes from the RESIK instrument on CORONAS-F. Data from this well-calibrated instrument have been used in the past to derive abundances of elements whose lines occur in the RESIK X-ray range (3.4–6.1 Å), viz., Si, S, Ar, K, and Cl. For flare data we have previously used the approximation that the emitting plasma is isothermal with temperature given by the flux ratio of the two bands of GOES. This appears to be a good assumption for the case of Ar, K, and Cl abundance determinations, which, particularly in the case of Ar, have small uncertainties and agree well with abundance determinations from other, unrelated, methods. Previous determinations of the Si and S abundances from RESIK spectra using the same procedure appear to be less accurate: the estimates have larger uncertainties, and the characteristic temperatures of the emission functions of H-like and He-like ions of these elements are significantly less than that from the flux ratio of the GOES bands. Here we use a procedure (called AbuOpt) in which the abundances of Si, S, Ar, and K are first optimized using the maximum-likelihood, Bayesian Withbroe–Sylwester inversion technique for obtaining DEM from line fluxes is used. The Withbroe–Sylwester routine was then run with optimized abundances to obtain the time evolution of the DEM. The result (shown as a time sequence in Figure 6) is a DEM distribution with well-defined cooler ($\sim 3–6$ MK) and hotter ($16–21$ MK) components. If the hotter component is assumed to describe the emission seen by RHESSI, the spatial dimensions combined with the total emission measure of the hotter component lead to estimates of electron density and thermal energy. Compared with recent estimates of $N_e$ from...
Extreme ultraviolet line ratios, these represent lower limits, but give an indication of the physical characteristics of the flaring plasma.

Values of element abundances derived from both an isothermal assumption and from the AbuoOpt method described here were discussed in Section 3. It appears that our previous estimates for Ar and K based on an isothermal assumption are reliable, having smaller uncertainties, and are preferred values, but for S and Si, the abundance estimates from the present work—$A(S) = 6.94 \pm 0.06$ and $A(Si) = 7.56 \pm 0.08$—are to be preferred for the flare analyzed here.

Our Si abundance estimate is significantly lower than our estimates from an isothermal analysis of RESIK flare spectra (Sylwester et al. 2013), viz. $A(Si) = 7.93 \pm 0.21$ from the Si xiv Ly-$\beta$ line at 5.217 Å and $A(Si) = 7.89 \pm 0.13$ from the Si xiii $\lambda3$ line at 5.688 Å. There are very few determinations of the Si abundance from X-ray flare spectra that our values can be compared with, the only reliable one being that of Veck & Parkinson (1981) who used the OSO-8 graphite crystal spectrometer to derive $A(Si) = 7.73 \pm 0.13$ from the Si xiii $\lambda3$ line and $7.62 \pm 0.34$ from the Si xiv Ly$\alpha$ line. An isothermal assumption was used, with the temperature derived from the slope of the nearby continuum which is evident in the spectra from this instrument. Clearly, our present estimates are nearer to the Veck & Parkinson (1981) values than those from our isothermal analysis. Photospheric abundance estimates (Asplund et al. 2009) from three-dimensional and one-dimensional LTE analyses, one with non-LTE corrections, give $A(Si) = 7.51 \pm 0.04$, so abundance estimates from our present X-ray flare result and from the Si xiv result of Veck & Parkinson (1981) are not significantly larger (factor 1.12 \pm 0.2) than photospheric despite the enhancement expected from the low FIP value for Si (8.15 eV); at any rate the enhancement is less than the factor four indicated by Feldman et al. (1992) and Feldman (1992).

The S abundance estimate obtained here is only 0.03 different from the determination of Veck & Parkinson (1981) from the OSO-8 instrument: they give $A(S) = 6.91^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$ determined from the intense S x v $\lambda w, y, z$ lines at ~5 Å. Again, our result and the Veck & Parkinson (1981) result are unexpected on the standard FIP picture for this element which has a FIP (10.4 eV) marginally considered to be high (i.e., more than 10 eV). Recent photospheric estimates range from $A(S) = 7.12 \pm 0.03$ (Asplund et al. 2009) to $7.15 \pm 0.01_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.05_{\text{syst}}$ (Caffau et al. 2011). If the uncertainty estimates for all these determinations are to be considered literally, there would appear to be a slight inverse FIP effect for S, i.e., our flare abundance estimate is 0.6 ± 0.1 times photospheric. An inverse FIP effect is difficult to reconcile with theoretical models (e.g., Hénoux 1998) with the exception of that based on ponderomotive forces associated with propagating Alfvén waves proposed by Laming (2004, 2009, 2012). An inverse FIP effect, which is observed in cool main sequence stars (Wood et al. 2012), can possibly be explained by waves propagating upward from the chromosphere and reflecting back down as opposed to propagating downward from the corona and reflecting back upward.

Extreme-ultraviolet spectral lines observed by the Extreme-ultraviolet Imaging Spectrometer (EIS) on Hinode have recently been used to give Si/S abundance ratios in active regions and other non-flaring features from the intensity ratio of Si x 258.37 Å line to the S x 264.23 Å line. The ratio was determined by Brooks & Warren (2011) to range from 2.5 to 4.1 (average 3.4) and by Baker et al. (2013) from 2.5 to 3 for an “anemone” active region within a coronal hole but up to more than four for established active regions. The Si/S abundance ratio from the estimates given here is $4.16^{+0.25}_{-0.13}$ so on the basis of the EIS results is typical of an established active region. The 2002 November 14 flare occurred in an active region that had recently appeared on the Sun’s southeast limb, so its history is not well determined; all one can say is that it was flare-poor on November 14, with a complex magnetic geometry.

The electron densities in this analysis are typical of those estimated from a combination of image data and volume emission measures, but are less than those from density-sensitive spectral line ratios. These are in short supply in the X-ray region, but a number of Fe xxi lines in the extreme ultraviolet spectrum seen with the Extreme Ultraviolet Variability Experiment instrument on Solar Dynamics Explorer have recently been identified, enabling electron density to be found as a function of time in two GOES class X flares (Milligan et al. 2012). These indicate densities of up to $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$, a factor five higher than the M1.0 flare discussed here. Our estimates of both $N_e$ and the thermal energy are thus likely to be lower limits with undetermined filling factors, perhaps of the order of 1/25.

We plan to use the AbuoOpt approach together with the Withbroe–Sylwester DEM analysis method to study element abundances and the thermodynamics of several other flares observed by RESIK to see whether the reduced Si and S abundances found here still hold, and whether any variations in element abundance are related to flare characteristics such as GOES class.
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