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Abstract

With the recent rise in popularity of Transformer models in Natural Language Processing, research efforts have been dedicated to the development of domain-adapted versions of BERT-like architectures.

In this study, we focus on FinBERT, a Transformer model trained on text from the financial domain. By comparing its performances with the original BERT on a wide variety of financial text processing tasks, we found continual pretraining from the original model to be the more beneficial option. Domain-specific pretraining from scratch, conversely, seems to be less effective.

1 Introduction

The Transformer architectures have taken the field of Natural Language Processing (NLP) by storm, leading to remarkable performance leaps in several tasks (Vaswani et al., 2017; Devlin et al., 2019).

The first-generation Transformers were mainly trained on general corpora, such as Wikipedia or Common Crawl. However, considering domain adaptations, many researchers have later injected domain-specific knowledge in such architectures, leading to the publication of Transformers trained on different types of in-domain text, e.g., scientific articles (Beltagy et al., 2019), biomedical text (Lee et al., 2020; Gu et al., 2020), clinical notes (Alsentzer et al., 2019), and patent corpora (Lee and Hsiang, 2020).

Since language technologies have seen increasingly frequent use in accounting and finance (Loughran and McDonald, 2016), it is not surprising that several attempts have been made to adapt Transformers to the financial domain (Araci, 2019; Yang et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020).

In this study, we test the FinBERT model by Yang et al. (2020) on a variety of tasks in the field of financial NLP, including sentiment analysis, causality detection, numeral understanding, and numeral attachment, and we study the impact of different types of pretraining on the system performance. We obtained the best results with a FinBERT model with pretraining continuing from the original BERT and with the same general-domain vocabulary, while a model trained anew on financial corpora and with a domain-adapted vocabulary performed similarly to BERT Base.

2 Related Work

Although financial NLP is a relatively recent field, it already has an active research community, which has regularly introduced new shared tasks and benchmarks in recent years, e.g., sentence boundary detection in financial documents (Azzi et al., 2019; Wan et al., 2019; Au et al., 2021), hypernymy detection (El Maarouf et al., 2021; Mansar et al., 2021), document causality detection (Mariko et al., 2020), document structure extraction (Juge et al., 2019; Bentabet et al., 2020), and document summarization (Zheng et al., 2020). Given the success of Transformer models in general-domain NLP, it is not surprising that they are also a popular choice for many systems competing in financial tasks (Chen et al., 2020).

To adapt the original BERT to sentiment analysis in the financial domain, Araci (2019) was the first to propose a FinBERT model by further pretraining BERT Base on the financial subset of the Reuters TRC2 corpus. The evaluation, carried out on the Financial Phrase Bank (Malo et al., 2014) and the FiQA sentiment scoring dataset (Maia et al., 2018), demonstrated that FinBERT largely outperformed all the LSTM-based baselines and was slightly better than the original model.

The second FinBERT model, introduced by Yang et al. (2020), followed two different training strategies. The first version (FinBERT Base-Vocab) was further pretrained from a BERT Base checkpoint on three financial corpora (i.e., the Corporate Reports 10-K & 10-Q from the Securities
Exchange Commission,\(^1\) the Earnings Call Transcripts from the Seeking Alpha website,\(^2\) and the Analyst Reports from the Investext database), and the second (FinBERT FinVocab) was trained afresh on the same three corpora but with new vocabulary specific to the financial domain, not inheriting it from the original BERT. They evaluated the models on the same sentiment analysis datasets, in conjunction with the opinion mining data from Huang et al. (2014), and reported improved performance over BERT Base, especially when using the FinBERT model with the domain-adapted vocabulary.

In this study, we chose to test the FinBERT system used in Yang et al. (2020), which has two publicly available versions, in order to directly compare the impact of the two different domain adaptation strategies and to evaluate them on more semantic tasks. The previous studies (Araci, 2019; Yang et al., 2020) focused their evaluation exclusively on sentiment analysis. However, sentiment analysis is a general task that is not necessarily ideal for observing the advantages of domain adaptation because the expressions of sentiment might not reflect the in-domain language. For example, in the biomedical domain, several tasks have recently been shown to benefit from training from scratch on an in-domain text and from a domain-specific vocabulary (Gu et al., 2020; Portelli et al., 2021). Therefore, besides sentiment analysis, we decided to evaluate our models on three semantic tasks that are more specific to the financial domain: document causality detection (Mariko et al., 2020), numeral understanding (Chen et al., 2019b), and numeral attachment (Chen et al., 2020).

## 3 Experimental Setting

### 3.1 Tasks and Datasets

The following section describes all the tasks related to the study, and the datasets to be evaluated. Descriptive statistics for the latter are provided in Table 1. More details about the class distributions are in Appendix A.

#### 3.1.1 Sentiment Analysis

Sentiment Analysis stands out as one of the most popular tasks in NLP. To compare our models in the financial domain, we selected three different datasets. The Financial PhraseBank (Malo et al., 2014) is a standard dataset for sentiment classification composed of 4,840 sentences selected from financial news and annotated for Positive, Negative, and Neutral sentiment by 16 different annotators with experience in the financial domain. The dataset comes with the original annotations: for our study, we evaluated on a subset of 2,264 instances with at least 75% of annotator agreement.

We also used the FinTextSen dataset from SemEval 2017 Task 5 that dedicates itself to sentiment analysis on financial microblogs (Cortis et al., 2017). The dataset consists of 2,488 microblog messages retrieved from Twitter and StockTwits in March 2016. Each instance contains the following information: the message, a cashtag, and a sentiment score. The latter was originally a continuous score, but we used the dataset version by Daudert et al. (2018), who clustered the scores to obtain a 3-class annotation (Positive, Negative, and Neutral), to maintain consistency with the other sets.

Finally, the StockSen dataset (Xing et al., 2020) is composed of 20,675 financial tweets extracted from the StockTwits platform between June and August 2019, all of which were annotated with either Positive or Negative sentiments.

#### 3.1.2 Financial Document Causality Detection

For Document Causality Detection, we used the dataset of the FinCausal shared task 2020 (Mariko et al., 2020). The dataset is made of texts extracted from a 2019 corpus of financial news provided by Qwan, with each instance annotated with binary labels to indicate whether it described a causal relation. For example, in (1), the italicized part was annotated as the cause for the fall of the GDP.

(1) *Things got worse when the Wall came down.* GDP fell 20% between 1988 and 1993.

We refer to the dataset for subtask 1, which is a simple binary classification task (class 1 if the text includes a causal relation and 0 otherwise).

#### 3.1.3 Numeral Understanding

Understanding numerals is of key importance for the automatic processing of financial documents. In coincidence with the FinNum shared task, Chen et al. (2019b) released a microblogs dataset extracted from StockTwits, in which numerals are annotated with 7 high-level categories (i.e., Monetary, Percentage, Option, Indicator, Temporal, Quantity, and Product/Version) and 17 more

\(^1\)https://www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml.  
\(^2\)https://seekingalpha.com/.
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Table 1: Descriptive statistics for all the experimental datasets: train and test splits, classes, max text length.

| Datasets                          | Train | Dev   | Test  | Classes | Max Length |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|------------|
| Financial Phrase Bank (Malo et al., 2014) | 2,264 | \    | \    | 3       | 81         |
| FinTextSen (Daudert et al., 2018)  | 2,488 | \    | \    | 3       | 476        |
| StockSen (Xing et al., 2020)      | 14,457| 6,218 | \    | 2       | 370        |
| Causality Detection (Mariko et al., 2020) | 13,478| \    | 8,580 | 2       | 1,460      |
| FinNum-1 subtask 1/2 (Chen et al., 2019b) | 4,072 | 457   | 786   | 7/17    | 48         |
| FinNum-2 (Chen et al., 2019a)     | 7,187 | 2,109 | 1,044 | 2       | 120        |

3.1.4 Numeral Attachment

The numeral attachment task was introduced during the FinNum-2 competition (Chen et al., 2019a). The authors built a dataset of financial microblogs extracted from StockTwits, in which, given a target cashtag and a target numeral, a system predicts whether the numeral is attached to the cashtag. For example, in (3), the second numeral in the sentence is attached to the $NE cashtag, while the first one is not.

(3) $NE, last time oil was over $65 you were close to $8.

Therefore, for each instance, the system must perform a binary classification task (i.e., 1 if the numeral is attached to the cashtag, and 0 otherwise).

3.2 Models

In this study, two baseline models were used. One is the BERT Base (Devlin et al., 2019), which consists of a series of stacked Transformer encoders. It was trained using both a masked language modeling objective and a next sentence prediction objective on a concatenation of the Books Corpus (Zhu et al., 2015) and the English version of Wikipedia. The other one is a traditional Support Vector Machine (SVM) baseline (Noble, 2006), where the input representation is the element-wise addition of the word vectors of each word in the sentence. We used the publicly available FastText vectors by Grave et al. (2018).

As for the FinBERT models, we used FinBERT BaseVocab (FV w/ BV) and FinBERT FinVocab (FB w/ FV) (Yang et al., 2020). The former was initialized from the original BERT Base (i.e., it also uses the same general-domain vocabulary) and then further pretrained on financial corpora, and the latter was trained afresh on financial corpora for 1M iterations and uses a domain-specific financial vocabulary.

Following the methodology by Devlin et al. (2019), all models used a linear layer with softmax as a classification layer and the cross-entropy loss as a loss function. The texts were directly fed to the models after some simple pre-processing steps. For all models, we replaced the URLs with the special token [URL]. For the Numeral Understanding task, the texts and the target numbers were concatenated with the special token [SEP] after the tokenization. Finally, in the Numeral Attachment task, we followed Moreno et al. (2020) by adding the special tokens £ and § to the beginning and the end of the $cashtag, and the target number, respectively.

3.3 Evaluation Metrics

All the models have been evaluated in terms of Macro F1-score and Micro F1-score. In this study,
Datasets & SVM & FB w/ BV & FB w/ FV & BERT Base  
Financial Phrase Bank & 61.62 & 33.55 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  
FinTextSen & 69.53 & 36.81 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  
StockSen & 73.21 & 42.90 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  
Causality Detection & 94.07 & 59.84 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  
FinNum-1 subtask 1 & 63.27 & 34.69 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  
FinNum-1 subtask 2 & 48.76 & 24.40 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  
FinNum-2 & 82.69 & 51.64 & 96.86±1.43 & 95.39±1.54 & 96.04±1.06 & 95.15±1.52  

Table 2: Comparative results in terms of Micro-F1 and Macro-F1 (top scores per dataset/metric are in **bold**), with standard deviations for the BERT models.

| Datasets | FB w/ BV vs. BERT Base | FB w/ FV vs. BERT Base | FB w/ BV vs. FB w/ FV |  
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|  
| Financial Phrase Bank | 0.26 & 0.36 & 0.09 & 0.24 & 0.17 & 0.12  
| FinTextSen | 1.44 & -4.02 & 0.04 & -3.49 & 1.4 & -0.53  
| StockSen | 0.76 & 0.91 & -2.35 & 0.6 & 3.11 & 0.31  
| Causality Detection | 0.04 & 0.14 & 0.27 & 0.03 & -0.23 & 0.11  
| FinNum-1 subtask 1 | 0.31 & 1.37 & -0.56 & -0.93 & 0.87 & 2.3  
| FinNum-1 subtask 2 | 0.72 & 1.31 & -0.67 & 1.26 & 1.39 & 0.05  
| FinNum-2 | 0.6 & 1.05 & 0.71 & 1.33 & -0.11 & -0.28  
| Sentiment Analysis | 0.82 & -0.92 & -0.74 & -0.88 & 1.56 & -0.03  
| Numerical Understanding | 0.52 & 1.34 & -0.62 & 0.17 & 1.13 & 1.18  

Table 3: Performance gaps for each dataset and metric. In the last two lines, we also report the aggregate performance for the group of sentiment analysis datasets (Financial Phrase Bank, FinTextSen and StockSen) and for the numerical understanding ones (FinNum-1 subtask 1 and 2).

the latter is equivalent to the traditional Accuracy metric, due to treating each task as a multi-class classification task. For the datasets without an official train-test split (e.g., FinTextSen and Financial Phrase Bank), we ran a 10-fold cross-validation and reported the average score. However, due to the instability of BERT fine-tuning on small datasets (Zhang et al., 2020), even the results of multiple runs on the same split may heavily fluctuate. Therefore, we reported the average scores after 10 runs, even for the datasets with an official train-test split.

4 Results and Discussion

The full results are shown in Table 2. Firstly, we observe that all the pretrained BERT models outperformed the SVM baseline in all the financial datasets. Secondly, many models reported large standard deviations on some of the datasets, especially the sentiment analysis ones. It can be observed that FinBERT BaseVocab reports the best performance in almost all the datasets, generally outperforming BERT Base. Excluding the FinTextSen dataset, in which BERT Base is the top-scoring model, FinBERT BaseVocab achieves an average increase of 0.85 of Macro F1-score on the other benchmarks. On the other hand, FinBERT FinVocab performed similarly to BERT Base, sometimes showing small improvements and sometimes lagging behind the original model. It achieved the top score only in the numeral attachment task and in causality detection, the latter only for the Micro-F1. Moreover, the performance increase for FinBERT BaseVocab was more noticeable on the datasets on numerals, while the performances of FinBERT FinVocab were more irregular, performing slightly better than BERT Base and the BaseVocab model on FinNum2 (numeral attachment), but lagging behind both on FinNum subtask 1 (numeral understanding).

Table 3 summarizes the performance comparison between the Transformer models, where it can be seen that FinBERT BaseVocab typically improves over the other models for both metrics (the FinTextSen dataset being the only exception). However, it should also be noticed that the differences between models are sometimes small compared to the standard deviations in Table 2, which invites to be cautious in drawing firm conclusions.

4.1 Error Analysis

We ran a qualitative error analysis of the instances that were misclassified by our models for the tasks of sentiment analysis, numeral attachment, and...
Table 4: Error cases for different tasks, together with the right label and the models that misclassified the instance.

| Text instance                                                                 | Task                | Golden Label | Misclassified by |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------|
| $AAPL$ Force in VWAP is strong with this one.....no break since it fell below.....awesome | StockSen            | 0            | All              |
| $GOOG$ SAMZN $AMZN$ $FB$ Trump is not going to do anything to these companies. He wouldn't risk crashing the market before the election. That anti-trust talk is just smoke and mirrors. $§HMNY§$ it's over. No one is going back. Once people get a deal. $§30§ years ago I sold Toyotas for full sticker only. The world changes! $§SPY§$ Tax reform scam is code word for bailout. After $§8§ years, the CBs are still pumping. They want to transfer wealth. Don't let them. When they signed up in 2008, the government invested R52-milion to fund the workers shares. The existing $500 - $600 billion of public support for agriculture must be redirected to more inclusive, resilient and low carbon production and innovative technologies and finance to enhance the resilience of small-scale producers. | Causality Detection | 0            | All              |
| $Causality Detection$ $5 Conclusions$ In this paper, we compared the original BERT model with the financially adapted models by Yang et al. (2020). Domain adaptation was generally confirmed to be beneficial and, unlike what has been recently observed in the biomedical domain (Gu et al., 2020; Portelli et al., 2021), the model benefiting from continuous pretraining from BERT Base showed more consistent improvements across tasks and datasets. This suggests that the models take advantage from exposure to financial text, but the tasks do not necessarily require a specialized vocabulary. On the negative side, fluctuations in the results confirmed that there is some degree of instability in the fine-tuning of BERT-like models on relatively small datasets (Zhang et al., 2020).

In our future work, we plan to investigate also the contextualized embeddings produced by the domain-adapted Transformers. Word embeddings have been used in tasks with important applications in the financial domain, such as the identification of semantic relations (Chersoni et al., 2016; Xiang et al., 2020), which is useful for building domain ontologies (El Maarouf et al., 2021; Mansar et al., 2021; Chersoni and Huang, 2021), and the unsupervised detection of semantic changes in diachronic data, e.g., annual reports of traded companies (Giuianelli et al., 2020; Montariol et al., 2021; Masson and Montariol, 2021). In this perspective, a promising research direction would be to analyze how different domain adaptation strategies affect the quality of the embedding representations.
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**Appendix**

Figure 1 shows the pie charts illustrating the distribution of classes for all the benchmark datasets.
Figure 1: Class distribution for each of the evaluation datasets.