New England Salt Marsh Recovery: Opportunistic Colonization of an Invasive Species and Its Non-Consumptive Effects
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Abstract

Predator depletion on Cape Cod (USA) has released the herbivorous crab Sesarma reticulatum from predator control leading to the loss of cordgrass from salt marsh creek banks. After more than three decades of die-off, cordgrass is recovering at heavily damaged sites coincident with the invasion of green crabs (Carcinus maenas) into intertidal Sesarma burrows. We hypothesized that Carcinus is dependent on Sesarma burrows for refuge from physical and biotic stress in the salt marsh intertidal and reduces Sesarma functional density and herbivory through consumptive and non-consumptive effects, mediated by both visual and olfactory cues. Our results reveal that in the intertidal zone of New England salt marshes, Carcinus are burrow dependent, Carcinus reduce Sesarma functional density and herbivory in die-off areas and Sesarma exhibit a generic avoidance response to large, predatory crustaceans. These results support recent suggestions that invasive Carcinus are playing a role in the recovery of New England salt marshes and assertions that invasive species can play positive roles outside of their native ranges.

Introduction

Unchecked human population growth has threatened the persistence of natural ecosystems [1] by escalating extinctions [2], ecosystem phase shifts [3], habitat loss [4], and species invasions [5]. Species introductions can have negative ecological impacts and, consequently, are often viewed as destructive [6,7]. Recently however, invasive species have been shown to restore lost ecological functions and promote recovery within heavily degraded ecosystems [8], stimulating debate on the costs and potential benefits of species outside native ranges e.g. [6,9–12], particularly in light of the extent and severity of human impacts on ecosystems.

Ecosystem recovery after anthropogenic disturbance has been documented in terrestrial [13], freshwater [14] and marine systems [15], but full recovery has been observed in only a third of ecological recovery studies [16]. Invasive predators could have a particularly large impact on the recovery of degraded communities if their impact is exerted through both consumptive and non-consumptive effects [17]. Non-consumptive effects have been hypothesized to be a more potent community structuring force than predation alone because a single predator can influence more prey through non-consumptive interactions than it can consume directly, resulting in larger community effects [17,18]. Elucidating recovery mechanisms, including the potential for invasive species to aid in recovery, is essential for informing conservation to improve management success, attain sustainable human ecosystem use, and test ecological theory [19,20].

Overexploitation of predators is one of the greatest threats to coastal marine ecosystems [21], so the resilience and recovery potential of ecosystems damaged by predator depletion is of considerable conservation and management importance [22,23]. The importance of predators on coral reefs [24] and kelp forests [25] is well established, but their role in salt marshes remains contentious [26,27]. Recent die-offs of salt marsh cordgrass across the western Atlantic [28–30], however, illustrate that in the absence of top predators salt marshes can be heavily damaged by herbivory. Such results suggest that, under continued predator depletion, salt marshes worldwide may become vulnerable to consumer-driven die-off [27].
Opportunistic Invasion Promotes Cordgrass Recovery

Herbivore-driven die-offs on Cape Cod (MA), first reported in 2002, result from overgrazing by the native, nocturnal marsh crab Sesarma reticulatum on the low marsh cordgrass Spartina alterniflora [30,31], the foundation species critical for New England marsh growth and the provisioning of ecosystem services. Sesarma are common in New England, but die-off is not found in undisturbed salt marshes with robust predator populations and low Sesarma densities [32–34]. At sites with heavy recreational fishing >50% of marine predators (e.g. striped bass Morone saxatilis, blue crab Callinectes sapidus) have been removed, increasing Sesarma densities by ~400% and triggering cordgrass die-off [32]. On Cape Cod, Sesarma-driven die-off has denuded >95% of creek banks at impacted sites and is prevalent at >90% of marshes regionally [31]. At elevated densities, Sesarma dig communal burrow networks in denuded peat banks. Burrows can displace >65% of peat volume and large burrow complexes can contain >25 Sesarma, which rely on this refuge from predation and desiccation to persist in the marsh intertidal [35].

Recently, invasive European green crabs (Carcinus maenas) have colonized the intertidal zone of sites with high Sesarma densities. Although Carcinus do not dig burrows, they have been shown to use Sesarma burrows and evict resident crabs. Carcinus are >50X more common in the intertidal zones of die-off than healthy sites, where Sesarma burrow density is >5X greater [36]. These sites lack robust predator populations, have high Sesarma densities and have experienced severe cordgrass die-off over the last ~35 years [32,33]. Recently, sites colonized by Carcinus have experienced cordgrass regrowth, suggesting that Carcinus may act as compensatory predators [36], restoring predation pressure lost to localized overfishing for recreationally targeted species.

Our previous work suggests that the interaction between Sesarma and Carcinus is largely dictated by a behavioral response of Sesarma to the presence of, but not predation by, Carcinus [36]. In this paper we test the hypothesis that Carcinus opportunistically utilize Sesarma burrows for refuge and non-consumptively reduce Sesarma activity and herbivory through olfactory and visual cues. Specifically, we hypothesized that in the intertidal (1), Carcinus displace Sesarma from their burrows to avoid predation and desiccation, allowing them to remain in the intertidal during low tide (2), Carcinus play a compensatory predation role by reducing Sesarma functional density and herbivory and (3) non-consumptive interactions between Sesarma and Carcinus are mediated by olfactory and/or visual cues.

Methods

Why do Carcinus use Sesarma burrows?

To test the hypothesis that Carcinus use Sesarma burrows as a refuge habitat from desiccation and/or predation in the intertidal, we ran a fully factorial tethering experiment crossing burrow and predator exclusion at two heavily burrowed sites. Predator exclusion cages (40 x 40 x 40 cm) and burrow exclusion panels (40 x 40 cm) were constructed of 12 mm galvanized hardware cloth. Cages and burrow exclusion panels were attached to the marsh surface with garden staples to prevent access by burrowing predators and the escape of tethered Carcinus. Carcinus were tethered with 15 cm of 50 lb braid fishing line threaded between the second and third walking legs and attached to the carapace with cyanoacrylic glue. Carapace pieces attached to the tether at the end of the experiment provided evidence of predation, while dead intact Carcinus were evidence of physical stress-induced mortality. Previous tethering experiments revealed that crab behavior and survivorship are unaffected by this tethering method [30]. Predador exclusion cages prevented predation but allowed access to Sesarma burrows, while burrow exclusion panels prevented burrow use by tethered Carcinus and allowed access to predators. Burrow densities at both sites were >115/m² and tethered Carcinus with access to burrows immediately entered them when deployed. Tethered Carcinus were randomly assigned to one of four treatments: open (burrow access and predator exposure), burrow exclusion (hardware cloth floor preventing burrow access), predator exclusion (cage preventing predator access), and predator and burrow exclusion (n=15/treatment/site). Carcinus mortality was scored after 48 hours and analyzed with a two-factor ANOVA (caged vs. uncaged and burrow access vs. burrow exclusion).

To examine the generality of Carcinus reliance on Sesarma burrows, we surveyed creek bank Carcinus and Sesarma densities at healthy and die-off sites (n=3 sites/site type) in 2011. At each site, three replicate creek banks (10 m long, 1 m wide and 1 m deep) were surveyed for Carcinus and Sesarma. Species-specific abundances (Carcinus or Sesarma) were pooled by site. Species-specific abundance was analyzed with a one-factor ANOVA (healthy vs. die-off sites). To examine how Carcinus abundance varies temporally, sites were surveyed again in 2012. Carcinus abundance per creek bank was aligned rank transformed using ARTool [37] for nonparametric factorial data analysis and analyzed with ANOVA (site-type, year, and site-type*year).

Does the presence of Carcinus reduce Sesarma functional density and herbivory?

To test the hypothesis that Carcinus reduce Sesarma activity and herbivory we performed a Carcinus addition experiment at Blackfish Creek (Wellfleet, MA), a die-off site with little recovery and few naturally occurring Carcinus (7.3 ± 4.3 crabs/100 m²). We randomly selected 20 plots on creek banks with conspicuous Sesarma herbivory, separated by >4 meters. Ten plots were randomly assigned as Carcinus additions and the others assigned as unmanipulated controls. All plots had high fiddler crab (Uca pugnax) densities, so both Carcinus addition and control plots had high ambient crab activity. Carcinus placed in addition plots were of similar size to the large Carcinus used in avoidance response trials and predation experiments described below. To assess how the presence of Carcinus affects the spatial extent of Sesarma herbivory, we transplanted 3 cores (7.5 cm diameter) of cordgrass into each plot 0, 0.5 and 1.0 m from the center, parallel to the shore. In crab addition plots, a tethered Carcinus was added to the center on a 25 cm tether and provided with an artificial burrow for refuge. We checked all replicates biweekly for Carcinus survival and signs of predation on Sesarma. Carcinus were...
replaced as necessary throughout the experiment to ensure constant presence of live *Carcinus* in addition plots. We quantified *Sesarma* activity by sampling functional *Sesarma* density, 0.5 and 1.0 m from each plot’s center with pitfall traps [32]. Functional densities were measured before and 24 hours after *Carcinus* addition to test the hypothesis that the presence of *Carcinus* reduces *Sesarma* activity. After a month, the number of stems grazed by *Sesarma* on each cordgrass culm was quantified to test the hypothesis that the presence of *Carcinus* reduces *Sesarma* herbivory and that this effect decreases with distance from *Carcinus*. *Sesarma* functional density and herbivory were analyzed with 2-factor ANOVAs (treatment x distance).

**What cues trigger an avoidance response by *Sesarma***?

We performed avoidance response trials in field mesocosms to test the hypothesis that non-consumptive effects mediate interactions between *Sesarma* and *Carcinus*. Mesocosms had opaque sides and mimicked the submerged intertidal but were flat and lacked burrows to allow quantification of escape time in the absence of refugia. Trials were performed shortly after dusk because *Sesarma* are nocturnal crabs and leave their burrow complexes at night to forage. Mesocosms were supplied with fresh seawater for each trial to avoid the accumulation of olfactory cues. An arena was established within the mesocosm and its size (17 cm radius) was based on the average distance to the nearest burrow in field plots (9.8±0.5 cm). *Sesarma* (2.0±0.2 cm carapace width) were placed in the center of the mesocosm under a smaller container to allow time for habitation after which the smaller container was removed and the time for each *Sesarma* to move outside the arena was recorded. To examine whether the induction of *Sesarma* avoidance behavior is species-specific, trials were run with three similarly sized large predatory crabs, *Carcinus* (7.0 cm carapace width), Atlantic rock crab (*Cancer irroratus*; 9.6 cm carapace width) and blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*; 13.2 cm carapace width), as well as two non-predatory crabs commonly found in New England marshes: the horseshoe crab (*Limulus polyphemus*; 7.8 cm carapace width), and spider crab (*Libinia emarginata*; 5.8 cm carapace width). To test whether *Sesarma* avoidance response is size specific, trials were run with small (3.9 cm) and large (7.0 cm) *Carcinus*. To test the mechanism(s) of avoidance behavior, visual and olfactory cues were isolated in separate trials. For visual trials, a large *Carcinus* was placed in a clear, sealed glass container visible to *Sesarma*; for olfactory trials, water with *Carcinus* effluent was released into the mesocosm prior to the insertion of *Sesarma*. Avoidance responses were compared against control trials where only *Sesarma* were placed in the mesocosm.

Species-specific, *Carcinus* size-specific and non-consumptive mechanism trials were analyzed with one-way ANOVAs with escape time as the response variable. Data was pooled by treatment for analysis with Bonferroni corrections used to calculate experiment-wide error for avoidance response trials ($\alpha' = 0.017$).

We also tested species- and size-specific predation in field mesocosms. Species were placed within flat bottom circular (radius 9 cm) or rectangular mesocosms (42.5 x 30.2 cm) depending on trial species size, that were filled with fresh seawater, covered with hardware cloth mesh to prevent crabs from escaping, and staked into the marsh overnight. All trials included a *Sesarma* (1.95±0.03 cm carapace width), and either had no predatory crab, a large *Carcinus* (6.2±0.1 cm carapace width), small *Carcinus* (3.7±0.2 cm carapace width), *Libinia* (4.1±0.3 cm carapace width), *Cancer* (10.1±0.4 cm carapace width), *Callinectes* (13.0±0.3 cm carapace width), or *Limulus* (18.7±1.2 cm carapace width). Predation events were scored the following morning. Species-specific and *Carcinus* size-specific predation rates were analyzed with one-way ANOVAs with *Sesarma* mortality as the response variable.

**Results**

**Why do *Carcinus* use *Sesarma* burrows?**

*Carcinus* had higher mortality when exposed to predation and/or restricted from burrows (predation effect, $F_{1,4} = 13.70, P < 0.05$; burrow effect, $F_{1,4} = 52.07, P < 0.01$; Figure 1). By restricting burrow access, exposure to physical stress alone led to higher mortality than exposure to predation, but both treatments resulted in higher mortality rates than the predator exclusion with burrow access treatment. *Carcinus* that lacked burrow access had clear signs of desiccation mortality, while mortality events in replicates without cages left broken, predated carapaces. *Carcinus* exposed to predation without burrows experienced the highest mortality, but the interaction between exposure to predation and burrow access was not significant ($F_{1,4} = 3.78, P = 0.12$, Figure 1).

Recovering marshes have higher burrow densities and wider burrow complexes than healthy sites [36] and we found that *Carcinus* were >50X more common at burrowed, die-off sites than healthy sites with few *Sesarma* burrows ($F_{1,4} = 7.73, P < 0.05$). *Sesarma* density was also higher at die-off sites ($F_{1,4} = 13.23, P = 0.02$), but *Sesarma* and *Carcinus* were never found in the same burrow (Figure 2). Over two years, *Carcinus* abundance was again higher at die-off than healthy sites ($F_{1,4} = 90.67, P < 0.05$) and increased between 2011 and 2012 ($F_{1,11} = 4.54, P < 0.05$). There was also an interaction between site-type and year ($F_{1,1} = 5.89, P < 0.05$). *Carcinus* abundance increased from 2011 to 2012 at die-off sites but remained zero at healthy sites (Figure 3).

**Do *Carcinus* reduce *Sesarma* functional density and herbivory?**

Before *Carcinus* addition, functional *Sesarma* densities were similar at all distances in all plots (all $F_{1,42} < 4.25$, all $P > 0.05$). Forty eight hours after *Carcinus* addition, *Sesarma* functional density decreased in pitfall traps 0 m ($F_{1,42} = 4.53, P < 0.05$) and 0.5 m ($F_{1,42} = 4.98, P < 0.05$) from the tethered *Carcinus* with >3X decrease in *Sesarma* density at all distances (Figure 4B). *Carcinus* addition reduced *Sesarma* grazing over the duration of the experiment, an effect that decreased with distance (0 m: $F_{1,4} = 10.06, P < 0.0068$; 0.5 m: $F_{1,4} = 0.03, P = 0.87$; 1.0 m: $F_{1,4} = 2.39, P = 0.35$; Figure 4A) and was significant only in the center of experimental plots. There was no evidence of predation on *Sesarma* during the course of the experiment.
What cues trigger an avoidance response by Sesarma?

Carcinus and Callinectes were the only species to prey on Sesarma in feeding trials (Figure 5B); there was no Sesarma mortality in Sesarma only trials or in trials with Libinia, Cancer, or Limulus. Carcinus predation on Sesarma was size-specific \(F_{2,27} = 20.52; P < 0.0001\), with higher predation rates by large \(6.2 \pm 0.1\) cm than by small \(3.7 \pm 0.2\) cm. Sesarma also exhibited size-specific avoidance to Carcinus \(F_{2,132} = 8.37; P = 0.0004\), with large Carcinus eliciting an avoidance response \(\sim 2X\) faster than small Carcinus and Sesarma-only controls (Figure 5A). All Sesarma left the arena after \(\sim 11\) seconds, mimicking the rapid movement of foraging Sesarma observed in nearby die-off patches. Olfactory and visual cues elicited similar escape responses \(F_{2,134} = 7.93; P = 0.0006\;\text{Figure}\;5A\). Avoidance responses were not limited to Carcinus: Sesarma avoided all predatory crabs (Carcinus, Callinectes and Cancer; \(F_{5,269} =3.54; P = 0.0041\), Figure 5A), but common non-predatory crabs (Limulus and Libinia) did not elicit an avoidance response.

Discussion

Our results suggest that Carcinus colonize the intertidal at die-off marshes by using Sesarma burrows as refuges from predation and desiccation. At these sites, our results support earlier experiments suggesting that Carcinus displace Sesarma, exposing them to increased thermal stress and predation [33,36]. This displacement increases Sesarma vulnerability to native predators and reduces foraging activity through consumptive and non-consumptive effects, facilitating cordgrass recovery. These results highlight the potential for invasive species to play positive roles outside of their native range [8], particularly when critical ecological functions have been lost due to human impacts.

Carcinus use of Sesarma burrows

Our data suggest that Carcinus opportunistically invade the intertidal zone of salt marshes on Cape Cod with high Sesarma densities and depleted predator populations and, in the absence of native predators, are becoming numerically dominant predators at die-off marshes [32]. Carcinus are unable to burrow in peat and are reliant on large Sesarma burrow complexes to invade marsh creek banks (Figure 2). Experimental tethering illustrated that Carcinus survival is significantly higher when given access to burrows (Figure 1), suggesting that Sesarma burrows provide Carcinus a refuge from predation and desiccation at low tide. Mud crabs (Panopeus herbstii) and Asian shore crabs (Hemigrapsus sanguineus) were also found in intertidal creek banks and were similar in size to Sesarma. Carcinus has been shown to be a superior competitor over Hemigrapsus [38] and laboratory feeding trials using Panopeus and Hemigrapsus (Bertness, unpublished data) have shown no evidence of predation on Sesarma, suggesting that Panopeus and Hemigrapsus likely...
have no impact on the interaction between Carcinus and Sesarma. Panopeus burrows are too small to be invaded by Carcinus and Hemigrapsus is not a burrowing crab, further suggesting these species have no effect on Carcinus and its dependence on Sesarma burrows for persistence. As a result, Carcinus reliance on Sesarma burrows likely explains the high density of Carcinus in the intertidal zone of marshes with severe die-off and high densities of Sesarma and their relative absence from sites without burrows (Figure 2).

**Carcinus influence on Sesarma functional density and cordgrass regrowth**

Historically, Sesarma densities were controlled by native marine predators such as striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*), and smooth dogfish (*Mustelus canis*). However, decades of recreational fishing have depleted local predator populations within New England salt marshes, releasing Sesarma from top-down control. Carcinus invasion at die-off sites, however, is partially restoring the predation pressure lost to recreational fishing. By inhabiting Sesarma burrow complexes, Carcinus effects on Sesarma are likely greater *per capita* than those of native predators which are unable to forage both in- and outside of intertidal burrows during low tide.

Our data also suggests that Carcinus reduce Sesarma activity through visual and olfactory cues (Figure 5A). The magnitude of Sesarma response to visual and olfactory cues was similar, and when presented with both stimuli simultaneously, their response was not amplified. These results, coupled with the generic response to predatory crabs exhibited in escape trials with *Callinectes* and *Cancer*, suggest that Sesarma are sensitive to visual and olfactory cues from Carcinus despite its relatively recent invasion of the Western Atlantic [39].
Our temporal data also illustrates that *Carcinus* have remained at low densities at healthy sites and, coincident with recovery, have been increasing at die-off marshes (Figure 3). Therefore, the recent regrowth of cordgrass into formerly denuded creek banks harboring burrow-dwelling *Carcinus* [36,40] suggests that *Carcinus* is playing a role in promoting the recovery of salt marshes from die-off through both consumptive and non-consumptive effects. Our *Carcinus*

---

**Figure 3.** Abundance of intertidal *Carcinus* per creek banks at healthy and die-off sites between 2011 and 2012. Note not only the magnitude difference in *Carcinus* densities between site types but also the difference in abundance trends across years. At healthy sites, *Carcinus* remains low over both 2011 and 2012. At die-off sites, however, *Carcinus* increases from 2011 to 2012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073823.g003

**Figure 4.** *Carcinus* addition reduces *Sesarma* functional density and herbivory across a spatial gradient. (A) *Sesarma* grazing was reduced by the presence of a single, tethered *Carcinus* at 0m, and (B) *Sesarma* density was reduced at 0 and 0.5m but there was no evidence of predation, which is commonly seen in healthy marshes. This suggests that a single, large *Carcinus* can reduce *Sesarma* functional density and herbivory without directly consuming *Sesarma* (* denotes significant difference at $P < 0.05$). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0073823.g004
addition experiment (Figure 4) revealed that a single *Carcinus* is capable of reducing *Sesarma* activity and increasing the growth and survivorship of nearby cordgrass. While this effect is limited to <1 m, with *Carcinus* densities approaching $10^3$ crabs/m$^3$ at heavily invaded sites, the consumptive and non-consumptive effects of *Carcinus* burrow invasion are likely strong enough to drive marsh-wide regrowth. While consumptive effects may be playing a role in the marsh recovery, we have observed few naturally predated *Sesarma* body parts in the intertidal at die-off sites (Coverdale, personal observation), and none were found in our *Carcinus* tethering experiment, suggesting that non-consumptive effects may be more prevalent. Similar non-consumptive effects have been shown to produce strong, cascading effects on rocky shores [17], freshwater lakes [41] and terrestrial grasslands [42]. By invading burrow complexes, evicting resident *Sesarma* [36], and living within *Sesarma* burrows, *Carcinus* may also indirectly reduce *Sesarma* densities by enhancing the effectiveness of depleted native predators.

The restriction of the recent *Carcinus* colonization of intertidal creek banks to heavily burrowed marshes suggests that *Sesarma* burrowing facilitates compensatory predation by *Carcinus*, potentially creating a negative feedback loop whereby elevated *Sesarma* densities create conditions suitable for predator colonization. By creating a novel intertidal habitat with refuge from predation and desiccation, *Sesarma* burrows facilitate *Carcinus* invasion into the intertidal zone of predator-depleted marshes, where *Carcinus* suppress *Sesarma* activity and herbivory, promoting cordgrass regrowth and facilitating the recovery of die-off marshes [36,40]. In the absence of burrows at healthy sites, *Carcinus* are vulnerable to desiccation in the intertidal, suggesting that intertidal *Carcinus* and *Sesarma* population fluctuations may be linked in the future. Our results illustrate the severity of human impacts in this system by suggesting that consumptive and non-consumptive top-down control, mediated by an invasive predator, may be facilitating the recovery of heavily degraded Cape Cod salt marshes.
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