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Summary:
The aim of this study was to determine the pattern of individual traits of malocclusion, including sagittal molar relationship, overbite, overjet, crowding, spacing, crossbite, missing tooth, impacted tooth and extra tooth of upper and lower jaws in patients attended in Orthodontic Department of a Tertiary Level Hospital.

This cross-sectional study included orthodontic patients who visited the department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics in Sapporo Dental College and Hospital from January 2013 to February 2018.

Among the study population comprised of 371 patients the females were 57.1\% and the males were 42.1\%. Among them, 6.7\% belonged to 6 to 11 years, 20.5\% belonged to 12 to 17 years and the remaining 72.8\% were of 18-35 years of age. Angles Class I malocclusion was seen to be the most prevalent (64.2\%). In incisor classification, Class I malocclusion was seen to be the most prevalent (38.3\%). Increase in overjet, deep overbite, crossbite, crowding and spacing were found in 35.34\%, 40.3\%, 24.3\%, 58.2\% and 38.5\% of the patients respectively.
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Introduction:
The importance of beauty and attractiveness in today’s society has been well established. Physically attractive people are perceived to be more kind, sensitive, interesting, strong, poised, modest, sociable, outgoing, exciting and responsive\textsuperscript{1}.

People with a normal dental appearance are judged more socially attractive over many personal characteristics than those with malocclusions. In fact malocclusion is not an illness but an occlusal condition that lies within the boundaries of all possible occlusal discrepancies. Occlusion is the relationship among all the components of masticatory system in their function, parafuction and dysfunction.\textsuperscript{2} Edward H. Angle defined occlusion as a normal relation of occlusal inclined planes of the teeth when jaws are closed.\textsuperscript{3} Whereas, occlusion which is aesthetically and functionally not acceptable is referred to as malocclusion.\textsuperscript{2}

The demand of orthodontic treatment is increasing as patients are more concerned about their esthetics. Therefore, the epidemiological data on the pattern of malocclusion is essential in assessing the resources required for orthodontic services and can also provide valuable information regarding the etiology of malocclusion.\textsuperscript{4}

Dental malocclusion is present in all societies but its pattern varies. There have been several studies investigating the pattern of various dentofacial characteristic \textsuperscript{5,6} but only a few have been conducted on an orthodontic population.\textsuperscript{7}Identifying occlusal problem, their incidence and the need of treatment can help to determine the appropriate manpower needed in orthodontics.

The aim of this study was, therefore, to determine the pattern of individual traits of malocclusion, including sagittal molar relationship, overbite, overjet, crowding, spacing, crossbite, missing tooth, impacted tooth and extra tooth of upper and lower jaws in a sample of Bangladeshi orthodontic patients. Furthermore, the data
will be useful to compare those of other populations in future and also will be useful in planning the treatment needs to those patients attending the department of orthodontics in Sapporo Dental College.

Materials and Methods:

This cross-sectional study included orthodontic patients who visited the department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics in Sapporo Dental College from January 2013 to February 2018. Pretreatment orthodontic records of 371 patients fulfilling the selection criteria were obtained and used for this study.

Those patients with complete pre-treatment records and those undergoing orthodontic treatment were included in the study. The exclusion criteria included those patients who came to dental OPD just for consultation or had previously undergone orthodontic treatment.

All data were collected from the records and dental casts of orthodontic patients. Sagittal molar relationship were classified as Angle’s class I malocclusion, Class II div 1, Class II div 2 malocclusion and Class III malocclusion. Patients with Class I malocclusion having crowding, spacing, abnormal overjet and overbite were also included under Class I malocclusion. Class I malocclusion was characterized as the mesio-buccal cusp of maxillary first permanent molar occluding in the anterior buccal groove of mandibular first permanent molar. Class II malocclusion was characterized as disto-buccal cusp of maxillary first permanent molar occluding in the buccal groove of the mandibular first permanent molar. Class II division 1 malocclusion was characterized by proclined upper incisors with increased overjet and deep overbite. Class II division 2 malocclusion was characterized by retroclined maxillary central incisors and proclined maxillary lateral incisors or retroclined maxillary central and lateral incisors and proclined maxillary canines with increased overbite and decreased overjet. Class III malocclusion was characterized as mesio-buccal cusp of maxillary first permanent molar occluding in the interdental space between mandibular first and second molars.

Overjet is the horizontal distance between palatal surface of maxillary incisors and labial surface of mandibular incisors. Overjet between 2 to 3 mm were considered as normal and more than 3 mm were considered as increased and less than 2mm was considered as decreased edge to edge bite. Overbite is the vertical overlapping of mandibular incisors by maxillary incisors. Overbite between 2 to 3 mm was considered normal. Greater than 3mm was considered increased and less than 2 was considered decreased overbite. Crossbite or negative overjet were used when maxillary teeth were palatal or lingual in position than mandibular teeth.

Space in upper and lower arches exceeding 2mm was considered spacing. Crowding was recorded in upper arch and lower arch. Between 0-1 mm, no crowding was considered. Scissor bite was recorded when palatal cusp of maxillary posterior teeth occluded buccal to the buccal cusp of lower posterior teeth or vice versa. When extra tooth was present clinically or radiographically it was also recorded.

All statistical analyses were performed by using SPSS 20.0 version. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the pattern of malocclusion and occlusal characteristics.

Results:

The study showed that among the total 371 patients in this study, 57.1% female and 42.1% male patients were seeking orthodontic treatment as shown in Figure 1. Regarding the age of the subjects, they were divided into three groups: Ages 6 to 11 (children), 12 to 17 (adolescents) and 18 to 35 (adults). Among them, 6.7% belonged to 6 to 11 years, 20.5% belonged to 12 to 17 years and the remaining 72.8% were of 18-35 years of age (Figure 2). Angles Class I malocclusion was seen to be the most prevalent (64.2%). Class II malocclusion was seen in 25.3% of the subjects and class III was seen in 10.5% of them (Figure 3&4). In incisor classification, Class I malocclusion was seen to be the most prevalent (38%), Class II Division 1 malocclusion was 33%, Class II division 2 malocclusion was 6% & Class III malocclusion was seen in 23% of them (Figure 5).

In this study increased overjet was found in 35.34% of the patients. Deep overbite was found in 40.3% of the subjects. Crossbite was found in 24.3% of the patients. In 58.2% of the subjects, crowding was present. In 38.5% of the patients, spacing was seen (Table 1 and Figure 6).
Fig.-1: Shows 57% sample are female & 43% are male.

Fig.-2: shows adolescent patient are seeking more orthodontic treatment than other age group.

Fig.-3: shows Angle's Class I malocclusion is most common followed by Class II & Class III

Fig.-4: shows Angle's Class I malocclusion is more than Class II & III for both in male & female

Variation of Angle classification among male & female

| Sex/Classification | Class I | Class II | Class III |
|--------------------|---------|----------|-----------|
| M                  | 63.30%(100) | 24.05%(38) | 12.65%(20) |
| F                  | 65.40%(138) | 26.55%(56) | 8.05%(17)  |

Fig.-5: Shows Class I & Class II div-1 malocclusion are more common & Class II div-2 is least common.

Fig.-6: Shows Crowding & spacing are most causes for seeking orthodontic treatment.
Table-I

|                | Normal | Increased | Decreased |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Overjet        | 53.16% | 35.34%    | 11.49%    |
| Overbite       | 48.3%  | 40.3%     | 11.4%     |

Discussion:
In the present study, only those patients seeking orthodontic treatment were included. The present study demonstrated that Angle’s Class I malocclusion was found in 64.2%, Class II malocclusion was seen in 25.3% and class III malocclusion in 10.5%. This result is almost similar to the study done by Rahman MM et al.\(^\text{12}\) in the Dhaka Dental College Hospital. It is also similar similar to the study conducted by Piya et al at Nepal Dental –Medical College. A study in Turkish population conducted by Sayin is differ from our study, where he found Class II malocclusion among patients was higher. The difference in sample size, ethnic variation and socio-demographic variations could be the reason for the differences.

Asian races showed a higher prevalence of Angle class III malocclusion than other races.\(^\text{13}\) Chinese and Malaysian adult males showed a much higher mean prevalence rate of Class III malocclusion whereas Indian males showed higher prevalence of Class II malocclusion which is inconsistent with the result of the present study.\(^\text{14}\)

Gul-e- Erum and Fida done a study in Pakistani population & found the prevalence of Class II malocclusion (70.5%) and increased overjet (75%) were higher followed by Class I and Class III malocclusion respectively. This could be due to difference in sample size and racial predisposition to certain malocclusion. In the study done by Albarakati and Sahar,\(^\text{15}\) Class I malocclusion was more prevalent in Saudi female population followed by Class III malocclusion while Class I malocclusion followed by Class II malocclusion was more prevalent in this study.

In incisor relationship, it was 38.3% Class I, 33.2% class II div 1, 5.9% class II div 2 & 22.6% class III. It is also very similar to the study conducted by Rahman MM et al.\(^\text{12}\)

Among them females (59.5%) were higher than males in seeking orthodontic treatment. This result is similar to the study done Rahman MM et al. This could be due to more esthetic concern in females than males regarding malocclusion or could be due to parental concern for matrimonial reasons.

Crowding was seen most prevalent in this study similar to other studies.\(^\text{8,15,16}\)

In this study, Class I malocclusion was found to be more prevalent with no significant gender differences which was concurrence with the study done in India.\(^\text{8}\) Similarly, no significant gender differences were obtained in case of crowding, overjet, overbite and spacing.

Conclusion:
In this hospital based study, the frequency of Class I, Class II and Class III malocclusion was found to be 59.5%, 26.7% and 13.7% respectively. Female were more concern & seeking orthodontic treatment than male. Nationwide Survey including various ethnic groups of Bangladesh is necessary for proper planning of orthodontic treatment for the people of Bangladesh.
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