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Abstract The next generation interferometric radio telescope, the Square Kilometre Array (SKA), which will be the most sensitive and largest radio telescope ever constructed, could greatly contribute to the detection, survey and characterization of Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs). By the SKA, it will be possible to perform the follow up of GRBs even for several months. This approach would be extremely useful to extend the Spectrum Energetic Distribution (SED) from the gamma to the radio band and would increase the number of radio detectable GRBs. In principle, the SKA could help to understand the physics of GRBs by setting constraints on theoretical models. This goal could be achieved by taking into account multiple observations at different wavelengths in order to obtain a deeper insight of the sources. Here, we present an estimation of GRB radio detections, showing that the GRBs can really be observed by the SKA. The approach that we present consists in determining blind detection rates derived by a very large sample consisting of merging several GRB catalogues observed by current missions as Swift, Fermi, Agile and INTEGRAL and by previous missions as BeppoSAX, CGRO, GRANAT, HETE-2, Ulysses and Wind. The final catalogue counts 7516 distinct sources. We compute the fraction of GRBs that could be observed by the SKA at high and low frequencies, above its observable sky. Considering the planned SKA sensitivity and through an extrapolation based on previous works and observations, we deduce the minimum fluence in the range 15-150 keV. This is the energy interval where a GRB should emit to be detectable in the radio band by the SKA. Results seem consistent with observational capabilities.
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1 Introduction

Due to their high fluence, between $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-5}$ erg/cm\textsuperscript{2}, and to their huge isotropic energies, between $\sim 10^{48} - 10^{54}$ erg emitted in a very short time, Gamma Ray Bursts (GRBs) are the most violent and energetic astrophysical phenomena currently known in the Universe.

Discovered in 1963 but announced only in the 1974 (Strong et al. 1974), the study of GRB is continuing and improving. Although GRBs are the brightest sources in the Universe and studied for long time, there are several unclear aspects that has to be understood. For example, several models try to explain the physics of these phenomena, but none can be considered final and self-consistent. One of the principal issues is that GRB spectra are very different from each other and this fact makes them very difficult to study and classify: in general, different spectra correspond to various combinations of parameters. So far, the central engine of these sources is still debated (see, e.g., Frail et al. (2000); S. Dado et al. (2003); Mészáros (2006)), because there is no single theoretical model capable of explaining in a comprehensive way all the observations.

In general, they are cosmological objects and may occur at any point of the Universe, namely at different redshift, and, for this reason, strong selection effects plays an important rule in the discussions about the physics
of GRBs. Currently, the farthest GRBs have been detected at $z = 8.1$ (Salvaterra et al. 2009) (spectroscopic redshift) and $z = 9.4$ (Cucchiara et al. 2011) (photometric redshift). Using them as distance indicators is crucial issue in order to probe the Hubble flow up to early epochs.

In general, GRBs are peculiar sources and because of the variety of light curves and spectra, many efforts are concentrating on a possible standardization. These attempts are principally focused on high energies (i.e., $\gamma$-rays, X-rays), even though some recent studies are extending the frequency range towards the low energies. The general aim is to discover a standard behavior for a specific class of GRBs presenting defined characteristics and features.

In other words, it is necessary to observe GRBs in several spectral bands and consider their complete envelopes in a large energy range. Studying in detail a large number of Spectral Energy Distributions (SEDs) could allow to highlight the emission process in a large spectral band. In this sense, a crucial breakthrough has been achieved by the launch of the Swift satellite in 2004. The Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) in 15-150 keV energy range, the X-Ray Telescope (XRT) in 0.3-10 keV and the Ultra-Violet/Optical Telescope (UVOT) in 170-650 nm make up the payload of Swift and allow a rapid follow-up of the afterglows in different wavelengths. These facilities give a better coverage of the GRB light curve than the previous satellite missions. By the instrumentation for the X and UV counterparts, Swift allows a rapid localization of GRBs and several efforts have been dedicated to trace afterglow light curves at different wavelengths (Norris and Bonnell 2006; Kann et al. 2011; Oates et al. 2009).

By using large catalogues, comparative studies among optical and X-ray light curves allow to fix constraints on GRB theoretical models (see, e.g., Oates et al. (2009), Schulze et al. (2011) for the standard fireball model) and to carry out correlations among optical and X-ray properties, e.g. between fluence and brightness (Gehrels et al. 2008; Nysewander et al. 2009; Kann et al. 2011).

Regarding to the radio band, the GRB light curve could be tracked for hundreds of days after the $\gamma$-ray onset, but fluxes are very faint at these frequencies and only a few of current instruments can detect them. This paper, without claiming for completeness, is a discussion on interferometric radio observations since these could improve the detection, the surveys and the characterization of GRBs at frequencies which are not usually investigated. Our aim is to show that these observations are a realistic option. In general, the analysis of the GRB afterglow light curves, at different wavelengths, allows to investigate the physics of these fascinating objects probing how the blast wave generated by the burst propagates in the circumburst medium. At the present state of the art, it is crucial to boost the multi-wavelength study of the afterglow by radio observations and, in particular, by the Square Kilometre Array (SKA$^1$).

Although satellite observations are the primary step for the GRB detection, they are not and cannot be the only observational channel. Satellite missions are very expensive, have limited lifetimes and something could go amiss (e.g., malfunctioning, mission delays, wrong orbiting, etc). This means that satellite GRB investigations should be supported with ground-based telescopes, promoting, finally, research towards radio frequencies. It is worth noticing that radio band is not affected by radiation extinction, contrary to higher frequencies. An accurate calorimetry in the radio band for well-detectable GRBs would be possible in principle. In addition, radio observations can give substantial indications of the inverse Compton effect, since only radio frequencies can probe the density of the interstellar medium. In other words, redshift measurements of the host galaxies can be obtained observing the hydrogen spin-flip at 1.4 GHz. These observations give fundamental information for GRBs guested in galaxies.

The layout paper is as follows: in Sec. 2 we discuss GRBs in radio band; in Sec. 3 the SKA telescope is shortly introduced, considering, in particular, its instrumental sensitivities. Specifically, in subsections (Sec. 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5), we calculate the minimum fluence between 15-150 keV to detect a GRB by the SKA introducing a first simple SED from gamma range to radio band, give some estimations of the GRB detection rates for the SKA at high and low frequencies. We use a catalogue assembled by collecting several previous catalogues and obtain a large list with 7516 GRBs. Discussions and conclusions are given in Sec. 4.

2 GRBs and their radio emissions

Notwithstanding the peculiarities of single GRBs, both optical and X-ray afterglows share the feature of an exponential decline with time $t$ since the burst. Their light curves cannot be followed for more than few days, with exception of some particular long GRBs such as GRB980425 or GRB060218 (Dainotti et al. 2007; Bernardini et al. 2008). On the other hand, radio afterglows have a shallower fall off, extending the light-curve decay up to hundredths of days since the burst.

---

$^1$http://www.skatelescope.org/
Because of their faint radio emission, these observations are quite difficult and the number of GRBs with radio detections is small if compared to those observed in both optical and X-ray wavelengths. In fact, since January 1997 to April 2011, the radio list counts 304 GRBs observed and collected by Chandra and Frail (2012). The current radio detection rate is about 30\% (Chandra and Frail 2012), but it might be limited by the sensitivity of the current radio telescopes and interferometers. The GRB radio emissions have been observed between 0.1 and 300 days, within a frequency range between 600 MHz and 660 GHz. Radio detections have typical flux densities of 150 – 200 \( \mu \)Jy, while the 3\( \sigma \) upper limits are at the level of 100 – 150 \( \mu \)Jy.

One year after the work by Chandra and Frail (2012), the radio non-detections and detections identified in their sample were thought as belonging to two distinct populations by Hancock et al. (2013). They named the radio non-detections as radio-faint (RF) and the radio detections as radio-bright (RB), thus dividing the GRBs into two radio groups (or populations). The reason of this classification is that the detection rates at radio wavelengths are significantly lower than those at X-rays and optical frequency, and that this difference could not depend on the instrumental sensitivity, because the means between RB and RF GRBs differ by up to three orders of magnitude, perhaps due to intrinsic differences. Nevertheless, the limits between the two populations are not sharp, in particular during the first two weeks after the burst, while in later times, fainter radio afterglows tend to dim. The correct fraction between RF and RB is \( \sim 30 - 40\% \) and \( \sim 60 - 70\% \), respectively. With an additional investigation, they show that the two populations follow particular distributions at different wavelengths. Even though the 20 - 40\% of RF GRBs could be bright, the difference between the group seems to be effective and confirmed at other frequencies.

Where Chandra & Frail do not give final response about radio non-detections, apart from an instrumental sensitive limit, Hancock et al. give a theoretical interpretation, providing a good estimate about the flux density of radio emissions of RF GRBs, in a good agreement with the previous radio observational data. Their estimation is used in Sec. 3.4.

3 GRB Statistics within the SKA field of view

The next radio telescope able to observe GRB radio afterglows will be the SKA. It will be the largest and most sensitive radio telescope in the world, composed by three antenna designs which will be able to observe in a large frequency range, from 50 MHz to \( \sim 20 \) GHz. The ranges of these three kinds of antennas will be for low, middle and high frequency. Respectively, the Low-Frequency Aperture Array (LFAA, or SKA-Low) will cover the lowest frequency band, from 50 MHz up to 350 MHz; the SKA Mid Frequency Aperture Array (MFAA) is scheduled in a later phase of the construction and will cover from 400 MHz upwards; finally, 3000 single dish antennas are planned to observe from around 350 MHz to 20 GHz, (for details see Dewdney et al. (2013)).

By using interferometry, the SKA will have a combined collecting area of approximately one square kilometre, with its 15 m diameter dishes, LFAA and MFAA antennas. Regarding the high frequency, receivers of the dish are planned to be of two types, i.e., Single Pixel Feeds (SPFs) in South Africa and Phased Array Feeds (PAFs) in Australia, with different reception characteristics. For this reason, two different names have been chosen to distinguish these dishes, i.e., SKA-Mid with the SPFs and SKA-Survey with the PAFs. Its field of view (FoV) will be equal to 200 square degrees between 70 and 300 MHz, from 1 to 200 square degrees between 300 MHz and 1 GHz and 1 square degree maximum between 1 and 10 GHz. The SKA telescope will have sensitivities of 3.72, 2.06 and 0.72 \( \mu \)Jy-hr\(^{-1/2} \), respectively with SKA-Survey, SKA-Low and SKA-Mid (Dewdney et al. 2013). To give a more precise idea about SPF bands, they will be five between 0.35-1.05 GHz, 0.95-1.76 GHz, 1.65-3.05 GHz, 2.80-5.18 GHz and 4.60-13.8 GHz, but only the bands 1 and 2 will be populated during the first phase of construction. Currently, the sensitivities \( T_{\text{sys}} / A_{\text{phy}} \eta_{\text{feed}} \) at the different frequencies will respectively be 4.2, 7.0, 7.0, 6.4, 6.2 \( \text{m}^2/K \). In general, the minimum detectable flux density is

\[
\Delta S \approx 2k_B \frac{T_{\text{sys}}}{\eta_{\text{feed}} A_{\text{phy}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Delta \nu \Delta t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}},
\]

where \( T_{\text{sys}} \) is the system temperature, \( A_{\text{phy}} \) is the physical area of the dish, \( \eta_{\text{feed}} \) is the antenna efficiency (Wrobel and Walker 1999). These factors depend on the dish structure. Here \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( \Delta t \), \( \Delta \nu \) and \( N \) are respectively the integral observational time, the bandwidth and the number of antennas used for the observation. To give an idea of the minimum detectable flux densities at those five frequencies, we can take into account 50 antennas, 30 minutes in observations in continuum band and bandwidths of 700, 808, 1403, 2380 and 9200 MHz. The results are respectively: 8.36 \( \cdot 10^{-1} \), 4.67 \( \cdot 10^{-1} \), 3.54 \( \cdot 10^{-1} \), 2.98 \( \cdot 10^{-1} \) and 1.56 \( \cdot 10^{-1} \) \( \mu \)Jy. Thanks to the interferometric technique with a baseline of about 3000 km and a planned angular resolution of 0.1 arcsec at 1 GHz, the SKA will be able to operate surveys of the sky at a rate faster than any survey
telescope that has ever existed. It will perform continuous surveys looking at vast swathes of the radio sky from the southern hemisphere and part of the northern one, making a detailed map as the thousands of telescopes work in unison. The continuity of the surveys will give the possibility to observe transients, such as GRBs. It will allow to obtain their light curves in radio frequencies, currently detectable with difficulty, and only if very bright in this band. Instrumental synergies of gamma satellite missions and radio telescopes, e.g., Swift and AMI (Arcminute Microkelvin Imager) (Stalery et al. 2013; Anderson et al. 2014), could give an excellent chance to the SKA monitor program. In fact, high frequency dishes could be on-target already a few minutes after the explosion, thus obtaining early-time light curves of GRBs. Furthermore, thanks to the FoV and range of the LFAA, one will have the opportunity to observe transients whose GRBs either elude gamma-ray satellites, or are impossible to detect at high energies such as orphan afterglows (Ghirlanda et al. 2014). In these cases no gamma-alert is needed. For more information, we suggest to see the SKA science books too (e.g. Feretti et al. (2014) and the international white book).

In the next subsections, we discuss radio observations and statistics following two cases. The first case concerns the usual method for radio GRB detections, i.e. satellite detections and then radio observations. The second case concerns the serendipitous radio detection. Regarding the first case, it is important to highlight that we use satellite observations for our statistics. Since we have to consider only obtained gamma detections, an “instrumental probability” will be more plausible instead of a (theoretical) enlarged detection related to all the possible detections. In other words, we firstly consider only the probability for an ensemble of already detected GRBs and, secondly, the likelihood concerning all the detectable GRBs.

3.1 The sample selection

As mentioned before, to investigate the potentialities of the SKA related to the GRB detections in radio band, we need a good instrumental probability, so that we present here a useful repository of all GRBs taken by several catalogues. The general approach pursued to obtain this large catalogue is explained in this subsection. Other details are given in Appendix A. This repository, associated to radio considerations, has allowed us to find a final number of sources that could possibly be observed by the SKA after satellite detections. In addition, the complete table reported on line will make faster the searches for given GRBs. The following satellite missions and the associated catalogues have been taken into account: Agile by Galli, M. et al. (2013); Pal’shin et al. (2013); Longo, F. et al. (2012); Hurley et al. (2013), BeppoSAX by Frontera et al. (2009), CGRO by Stern et al. (2001); Kommers et al. (2001); Schönfelder et al. (2000) and the batseg Robertson catalogue (Meegan et al. 1998; Meegan et al. 1996) in HEASARC web site3, Fermi with Paciesas et al. (2012); von Kienlin et al. (2014) and the fermignorst catalogue in HEASARC archive4 (Gruber et al. 2014; von Kienlin et al. 2014; Goldstein et al. 2012; Paciesas et al. 2012), GRANAT, HETE-2, INTEGRAL by Minaev et al. (2014); Bošnjak, Z. et al. (2014); Mereghetti (2013), Konus/Wind by Pal’shin et al. (2013), Swift by Sakamoto et al. (2011) and the “Swift GRB Table and Lookup”5, ULYSSES by Hurley et al. (1999). By cross-correlating of different catalogues, we have created a list composed by 7516 distinct GRBs shown in Table 2 (here only a sample, the complete table is presented as on line material). Considering only GRBs that have coordinates in various catalogues, coincidences have been found in order to identify equal sources and discard duplicates.

Table 2 in Hurley et al. (1999) has 218 GRBs, but, as mentioned in the associated paper, they are all coincident with 218 GRBs triggered by CGRO/BATSE.

The catalogues in Stern et al. (2001) and in Kommers et al. (2001) (both focused on BATSE receiver on-board CGRO) have been considered. The former counts 3906 GRBs (2068 triggered and 1838 non-triggered), whereas the second one contains 873 (only non-triggered). Precisely, 725 non-triggered plus 15 triggered elements out of (Kommers’) 873 objects were considered by Stern like coincident GRBs in his catalogue. 133 GRBs by Kommers plus 1838 by Stern have been taken to make up “BATSE non-triggered catalogue” (BNT) with 2068 GRBs in total. Subsequently, using the batseg Robertson catalogue, and merging this with the previous 2068 GRBs in the Stern catalogue, the “BATSE triggered catalogue” (BT) with 2703 elements has been obtained. Finally, 4674 GRBs detected by BATSE/CGRO instrument have been selected. Apart from BATSE catalogues, also table 8 in Schönfelder et al. (2000) has been consulted, for the COMPTEL instrument. In that table, the precise detection time lacks, but thanks to the

---

2http://arxiv.org/find/all/1/all:+EXACT+Science+with+the+Square+Kilometer+Array/0/1/0/all/0/1

3http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/cgro/batsegrob.html. However, there is another useful web site at http://gammaray.msfc.nasa.gov/batse/grb/catalog/current/.

4http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/fermi/fermigbst.html

5http://swift.gsfc.nasa.gov/archive/grbetable
reported days and coordinates, it has been possible to establish that 29 out of 31 sources were present in BT catalogue, 1 out of 31 source was in BNT catalogue, and the last one had a coincidence with BT and GRANAT detections.

By considering Table 2 in Frontera et al. (2009), the catalogue counts 873 GRBs with their own coordinates. For not considering the same source between the BeppoSAX and CGRO catalogues, we assume two GRBs equal if their detection intervals are ≤ 0.005 days (that is ~ 7 minutes) and if they are within an angle of 146° (both RA and DEC)\(^6\). This solution is ad hoc for our tasks, but a similar method was used by Stern matching between non-triggered sources in his table and sources in Komsers’ table. Finally, 421 (340 of which are in BT, while the remaining 81 are in BNT) are common sources between BeppoSAX and CGRO. A similar comparison has been done with other missions working in the same time-frame of BeppoSAX.

For HETE-2 mission, the following catalogues have been consulted: "hete2grb: HETE-2 Gamma-Ray Bursts"\(^7\) by MIT\(^8\) (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) and "hete2gcn: HETE-2 GCN Triggers Catalog"\(^9\). In these catalogues, because not every GRB has coordinates, we have cross-correlated the 84 GRBs of the first catalogue with the 1235 rows of the second one, thus obtaining 71 GRBs in common, associating them to their respective coordinates. No GRB is in common between HETE-2 and BeppoSAX, Konus/Wind, Swift, only 1 with INTEGRAL is in common.

Then, we have considered the current missions, Swift and Fermi, using tables in Sakamoto et al. (2011); Paciesas et al. (2012); von Kienlin et al. (2014) and the HEASARC archive. Until 12th of May 2014, Fermi satellite has reported 1359 GRBs and Swift has reported 869\(^10\). As previously, two detections are considered equal if they lie in a time range of 0.005 days and an angular range\(^11\) of 45°. We find 193 common sources between Fermi and Swift, but all coincidences with other missions are reported in Table 1.

Unfortunately, contrary to Fermi, Swift or CGRO, a large unique catalogue dedicated to gamma-ray bursts does not exist for the Agile mission, thus we have consulted the above-mentioned catalogues, and the Swift web site. We have always taken into account GRBs with their respective coordinates, thus obtaining the “Agile list” which collects 89 sources. Sources collected in literature are the results of triangulations with various instruments (e.g., Konus/Wind, INTEGRAL, HETE-2, Suzaku, etc) where the Agile contribution was used. The GRBs of Table 2 presented in Hurley et al. (2013) are InterPlanetary Network (IPN) identifications and the Fermi/GMB was used for some of these triangulations. In those cases, they have already been included in Fermi catalogues, cited here, and hence excluded from this final Agile list.

Konus/Wind, GRANAT and INTEGRAL have the same issue as Agile. In fact, we have matched various catalogues (i.e., Minnec et al. (2014), Bošnjak, Ž. et al. (2014), Mereghetti (2013), Pal’shin et al. (2013)), in order to identify single different objects with respective coordinates, and to have a reasonable list for each of these missions. For this purpose, the SIMBAD Astronomical Database and HEASARC database have also been used. From the latter, the grbcat\(^12\) catalogue and the phebus\(^13\) catalogue (Terekhov et al. 1994, 1995; Tkachenko et al. 1998, 2002) (this last in particular way for GRANAT) have been consulted. In this case, if the sources were without coordinates, we searched in SIMBAD or in grbcat catalogue. Obviously, great care was taken for not reporting duplicates among catalogues of the same satellite. We have matched these last catalogues with previous ones by GRB names and detection time.

Distributions of GRBs are presented in figure 2, where the histogram shows 12 different columns divided into three groups. The first ten columns report objects which have been detected by only one mission or one instrument, the eleventh column where same objects have been detected by two instruments (the “couples” column), finally, the last column where GRBs have been detected by three instruments simultaneously (label as “triples”). Precisely, Table 1 shows all the combinations, also distinguishing the triggered and non-triggered GRBs detected by BATSE.

The overlapping missions can be easily seen in Figure 1, where detections are plotted in function of the time. The trigger time has been used to do this plot, which is

\(^6\)The estimate for maximum location error is 63.7° in Stern et al. (2001) for BATSE; the maximum location error is 83° in Frontera et al. (2009) for BeppoSAX. However, the most discriminant factor is generally the time, indeed changing angular range modifies their overlapping of just a few elements.

\(^7\)http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/hete-2/hete2grb.html

\(^8\)http://space.mit.edu/HETE/Bursts/

\(^9\)http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/hete-2/hete2gcn.html

\(^10\)I report that in Sakamoto et al. (2011) there are 3 elements more with respect to the web table in “Swift GRB Table and Lookup”, but they have not been counted.

\(^11\)The most position error (90% error radius) in Sakamoto et al. (2011) is of 6.4°; the maximum positional uncertainty in Fermi catalogue is 45.7°.

\(^12\)http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/gamma-ray-bursts/grbcat.html

\(^13\)http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/W3Browse/gamma-ray-bursts/phebus.html
conventionally written as “year, month, day, universal
time” (YYYY MM DD hh:mm:ss). We wrote a routine
that works as a counter, translating dates and hours in
progressive numbers starting at the first gamma detec-
tion (18th January 1990) until the last considered for
our estimations (12th May 2014). Table 3 lists an ap-
proximate detection-rate for each fitted line. For this
last point, it is worth stressing that several missions
were not exclusively dedicated for GRB exploration, so
those rates are only indicative; in fact some tables found
in literature are not complete for each mission and they
report only partial GRB detections. However, the most
plausible detection-rates are CGRO, BeppoSAX, Swift
and Fermi.

3.2 The sky above the SKA and its shadow cone

Having collected the information about GRBs detected
by satellite missions on $4\pi$ sterad, it is necessary to se-
lect which part of the sky will be observable by the SKA
from its position on the Earth. Starting from a circle,
pole axis and equator can be traced, and it is possible
to take into account the (roughly averaged) latitudes
where antennas will be placed, i.e., $\sim -30.7^\circ$ in South
Africa and $\sim 26.7^\circ$ in Australia, on its edge. The
point on the latitude closest to the equator is chosen
to draw a tangent (the horizon line). Now if the circle
is rotated around the pole axis, a sphere and a dou-
ble cone whose vertex is on the pole axes (below the
south pole) is traced out. For our case, only the cone
which contains the sphere can be shaded. As a last
step, the minimum elevation of the SKA dish antenna,
i.e. $\sim 13.5^\circ$\textsuperscript{14}, is taken into account. This angle
must be added from the horizon line, because of a structural
mechanical limit of the dish antennas, so we must con-
sider an elevation line. For the sake of clarity, a radio
telescope is generally used to observe at higher elevation
(e.g., $\gtrsim 20$) to have a cleaner signal without emis-
sion effects due to the atmosphere which decrease the
intensity of the observed radiations. These steps are
represented in Figure 3 to show the final shadow-cone
for the SKA. The final angle to consider, between the
red line and the polar axes, in Figure 3, is

$$\vartheta_s = \vartheta_l + 13.5^\circ = 40.2^\circ \approx 0.702 \text{ rad},$$

where the shadow cone has a solid angle $\Omega_s$ calculated as:

$$\Omega_s = \int_0^{2\pi} \, d\phi \int_0^{\vartheta_s} \, d\vartheta \sin \vartheta,$$

\textsuperscript{14}The minimum elevation must be $< 15^\circ$, as imposed by con-
straints (Deedney et al. 2013)

| Mission          | GRB Number |
|------------------|------------|
| GRA              | 58         |
| GRA-BT           | 26         |
| GRA-BT-COMP      | 1          |
| GRA-BT-Uly       | 14         |
| GRA-BNT          | 1          |
| KW               | 153        |
| KW-BT            | 26         |
| KW-BT-BeS        | 18         |
| KW-Agi           | 4          |
| KW-Agi-Fer       | 7          |
| KW-BNT           | 3          |
| KW-BeS           | 15         |
| KW-BNT-BeS       | 2          |
| KW-INT           | 1          |
| KW-Fer           | 22         |
| HET              | 70         |
| INT              | 78         |
| INT-HET          | 1          |
| INT-Swi          | 6          |
| INT-Fer          | 14         |
| INT-Fer-Agi      | 1          |
| Agi              | 26         |
| Agi-Fer          | 31         |
| Agi-Swi          | 11         |
| Agi-Fer-Swi      | 9          |
| BT               | 2063       |
| BT-Uly           | 204        |
| BT-COMP          | 29         |
| BT-BeS           | 322        |
| BNT              | 1885       |
| BNT-COMP         | 1          |
| BNT-BeS          | 79         |
| BeS              | 401        |
| Swi              | 659        |
| Swi-Fer          | 184        |
| Fer              | 1091       |
| Total            | 7516       |
Fig. 1 In this figure, different missions, instruments and catalogues are considered. We report GRBs observed by GRANAT, Ulysses, CGRO/BATSE, CGRO/COMPTON, Konus/Wind, BeppoSAX, HETE-2, INTEGRAL, Swift, Agile and Fermi. We also plot the non-triggered GRBs by CGRO/BATSE. In the Y-axis the (progressive) number of GRBs detected by a mission/instrument is reported; in the X-axis the time of the detection expressed in years. Detections since 18th January 1990 done by GRANAT until 12th May 2014 done by Fermi and Swift are here plotted.

Fig. 2 In this histogram, 7516 distinct GRBs are allocated in different columns. The reported satellite detections occurred since 18th January 1990 to 12th May 2014, by GRANAT, Ulysses, CGRO, Konus/Wind, BeppoSAX, HETE-2, INTEGRAL, Swift, Agile and Fermi missions. As it is possible to see, we have considered single events for different missions/instruments, as well as same GRBs observed simultaneously by two or three satellite missions (or instruments) in the last two columns. See also Table 1.
Fig. 3 In the left panel, a 2D sketch of the Earth, with the polar axes, the South African (mean) antenna latitude $O$, the horizon line (in blue and the label “Horizon”) and the lowest angle-limit line (in red end the label “c”) for the antenna. In the right panel, the rotation simulates the Earth rotation and a shadow-cone is traced by the red line.

Table 2 This table is a collection and merging of all catalogues mentioned in this paper. In the columns, respectively: RA and DEC in degrees; detection year, month and day; name of the sample related to a given satellite; source name. For more details see Appendix A. The full table is available online.

| RA  | DEC | Year | Month | Day     | Cat. | GRB Name |
|-----|-----|------|-------|---------|------|----------|
| deg | deg |      |       |         |      |          |
| 174.680 | -44.320 | 1990 | 01    | 18.73618 | GRA | GRB900118 |
| 91.160   | -82.010  | 1990 | 01    | 20.85941 | GRA | GRB900120 |
| 113.280  | 27.890   | 1990 | 01    | 23.07098 | GRA | GRB900123A|
| 357.190  | -38.560  | 1990 | 01    | 23.78091 | GRA | GRB900123B|
| 131.630  | -38.180  | 1990 | 01    | 26.75309 | GRA | GRB900126 |
| 338.040  | 35.360   | 1990 | 02    | 22.49763 | GRA | GRB900222 |
| 124.580  | 38.840   | 1990 | 03    | 8.39725  | GRA | GRB900308A|
| 323.250  | -31.780  | 1990 | 03    | 27.43366 | GRA | GRB900327 |
| 206.920  | -12.250  | 1990 | 04    | 4.74625  | GRA | GRB900404 |
| 85.110   | 82.030   | 1990 | 04    | 13.42455 | GRA | GRB900413B|
| ...     | ...     | ...  | ...   | ...     | ...  | ...      |
resulting in

\[ \Omega_s = 2\pi \cdot [-\cos \vartheta]_0^\vartheta_s = 1.484 \text{ sr}. \]  

Even if it is only an approximation, this integral gives a satisfying idea of the observable sky above the SKA.

To conclude this section, Figure 4 shows 6508 GRBs which occurred from 18th January 1990 to 12th May 2014 in two polar plots, corresponding to the north and south celestial hemispheres that the SKA will be able to observe. More details about the developed routine are in Sec. Appendix A.

| Instrument     | Angular Coefficient |
|----------------|---------------------|
| GRANAT         | 21.17               |
| Ulysses        | 66.36               |
| COMPTEL        | 5.78                |
| BATSE (t)      | 295.78              |
| BATSE (nt)     | 216.93              |
| Konus/Wind     | 16.01               |
| BeppoSAX       | 159.28              |
| HETE-2         | 16.99               |
| INTEGRAL       | 9.80                |
| Swift          | 90.85               |
| Agile          | 33.82               |
| Fermi          | 230.97              |
Fig. 4  Polar plots show 6508 points out of 7516, i.e. GRBs with celestial declination $< 50^\circ$ (dashed circle), observed by GRANAT (coral), CGRO/BATSE (triggered and non-triggered GRBs are dark grey and violet, respectively), Konus/Wind (yellow-green), BeppoSAX (blue), HETE-2 (cyan), INTEGRAL (purple), Swift (red), Agile (lime green) and Fermi (orange). The first plot shows the north celestial hemisphere that the SKA can observe, i.e. the “central hole” delimited by the black dashed circle is due to the shadow cone, where it will not able to observe; the second plot shows the south celestial hemisphere. RA and DEC are expressed in J2000 coordinates. For the sake of clarity, we have avoided plotting the same sources in different catalogues repeatedly, e.g. Ulysses sources do not appear among these points because they are already plotted together with triggered CGRO/BATSE GRBs.

Fig. 5  Plot of fluxes reported in table 4. The point are plotted only if the row shows four values at the various frequencies (19.6 EHz, 1.3 EHz, $4.56 \cdot 10^2$ THz, 8.46 GHz).
Table 4: This table is a fusion between Tables 1 and 4 from Chandra and Frail (2012), but the original $F_{15-150}$ fluence, the R-band optical and the 4.86 GHz radio flux densities have been converted into erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ units, as indicated in Appendix B. The first three columns indicate the GRB name, right ascension and celestial declination. Fourth, fifth and sixth columns with Y or N indicate whether a GRB has a signal in the X, optical and radio band. The last five columns are the fluxes in the 15 - 150 keV and 0.3 - 10 keV energy ranges, at the optical R-band, at the 4.86 GHz radio band, redshift and equivalent isotropic bolometric energy. The apex "11h" indicates the value of the flux at 11 hours since the burst. The symbol "-" indicates not defined values.

| Name      | RA      | DEC     | X | O | R | $F_{15-150}$ | $F_{11h}$, 0.3-10 | $F_{11h}$, R-band | $F_{\text{radio}}$ | z | $E_{\text{bol}}$ |
|-----------|---------|---------|---|---|---|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---|----------------|
| 970508    | 06:53:49.2 | +79:16:19 | Y | Y | Y | 7.92857e-08 | 5.70000e-13 | 1.41304e-13 | 9.58000e-19 | 0.835 | 7.10E+51 |
| 970828    | 18:08:31.7 | +59:18:50 | Y | N | Y | 7.89116e-07 | - | 1.44000e-19 | 0.958 | 2.96E+53 |
| 980329    | 07:02:38.0 | +38:50:44 | Y | Y | Y | 7.51724e-07 | 6.00000e-13 | 5.00000e-14 | 3.32000e-19 | 2.95 | 2.10E+54 |
| 980519    | 23:22:21.5 | +77:15:43 | Y | Y | Y | 1.81000e-07 | 3.90000e-13 | 1.35652e-12 | 2.05000e-19 | - | - |
| 980703    | 23:59:06.7 | +08:35:07 | Y | Y | Y | 2.23333e-07 | 1.40000e-12 | 7.71739e-13 | 1.37000e-18 | 0.966 | 6.90E+52 |
| 981226    | 23:29:37.2 | -23:55:54 | Y | N | Y | 1.34000e-08 | 2.80000e-13 | - | 1.37000e-19 | 1.11 | 5.9E+51 |
| 990510    | 13:38:07.1 | -80:29:48 | Y | Y | Y | 1.61333e-07 | 3.47000e-12 | 3.95435e-12 | 2.55000e-19 | 1.619 | 1.78E+53 |
| 991208    | 16:33:53.5 | +46:27:21 | X | Y | Y | 1.34833e-06 | - | 8.53261e-12 | 1.80400e-18 | 0.706 | 1.10E+53 |
| 000210    | 01:59:15.6 | -40:39:33 | Y | Y | N | 4.09000e-06 | 3.10000e-13 | - | 9.30000e-20 | 0.850 | 2.00E+53 |
| 000301C   | 16:20:18.6 | +29:26:36 | Y | Y | Y | 3.47000e-07 | - | 1.44130e-12 | 5.20000e-19 | 2.034 | 4.37E+52 |
| 000418    | 12:25:19.3 | +20:06:11 | X | Y | Y | 7.16666e-07 | - | 6.97826e-13 | 1.08500e-18 | 1.119 | 7.51E+52 |
| 000911    | 02:18:34.4 | +07:44:29 | Y | Y | Y | 1.65000e-08 | - | 1.24565e-12 | 2.63000e-19 | 1.059 | 8.80E+53 |
| 000926    | 17:04:09.7 | +51:47:10 | X | Y | Y | 1.45200e-06 | - | 2.29130e-12 | 6.29000e-19 | 2.039 | 2.70E+53 |
| 001007    | 04:05:54.3 | -21:53:46 | Y | Y | Y | 1.45333e-07 | - | 3.03261e-12 | 2.22000e-19 | - | - |
| 001018    | 13:14:10.3 | +11:48:32 | X | X | Y | 6.38710e-07 | - | - | 5.90000e-19 | - | - |
| 010222    | 14:52:12.5 | +43:01:06 | Y | Y | Y | 2.97059e-07 | 7.05000e-12 | 1.67174e-12 | 9.30000e-20 | 1.477 | 1.33E+54 |
| 010921    | 22:55:59.9 | +40:55:53 | Y | Y | Y | 2.96667e-07 | - | 3.12391e-12 | 2.29000e-19 | 0.450 | 9.00E+51 |
| 011030    | 20:43:35.4 | +77:17:20 | Y | N | Y | - | 1.80000e-11 | - | 1.39000e-19 | 3 | - |
| 011211    | 11:15:18.0 | -21:56:56 | Y | Y | Y | 6.20000e-09 | 1.80000e-11 | 5.17391e-13 | 1.62000e-19 | 2.140 | 6.30E+52 |
| 020305    | 12:42:27.94 | -14:18:11.8 | X | Y | Y | 2.65182e-08 | - | 1.46957e-12 | 7.60000e-20 | 2.8 | - |
| 020405    | 13:58:03.12 | -31:22:21.9 | X | Y | Y | 1.23750e-06 | - | 1.26739e-12 | 1.13000e-19 | 0.690 | 1.10E+53 |
| 020819B   | 23:27:19.47 | +06:15:56.0 | X | N | Y | 7.94000e-08 | - | - | 2.91000e-19 | 0.410 | 7.90E+51 |
| 021004    | 00:26:54.68 | +18:55:41.6 | Y | Y | Y | 2.26000e-08 | 8.40000e-13 | 3.02826e-12 | 7.80000e-19 | 2.330 | 3.80E+52 |
| 021206    | 16:00:46.85 | -09:42:33.5 | X | N | Y | 1.32000e-05 | - | - | 1.37000e-19 | - | - |

Table 4: continue into the next page...
| Name      | RA         | DEC        | X | Y | R       | $F_{15-150}$ | $F_{11h}$ | $F_{11h}$ | $F_{radio}$ | $z$ | $E_{bol}$ |
|-----------|------------|------------|---|---|---------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----|----------|
| 030115A   | 11:18:32.60 | +15:02:59.0 | X | Y | Y       | 2.6250e-08  | -         | 1.0438e-13 | 8.3000e-20 | 2.500 | 3.91E+52 |
| 030226    | 11:33:04.93 | +25:53:55.3 | Y | Y | Y       | 3.9275e-08  | 1.3500e-12 | 1.0391e-12 | 1.7100e-19 | 1.986 | 1.20E+53 |
| 030227    | 04:57:33.00 | +20:29:09.0 | Y | Y | Y       | 2.1606e-08  | 9.0000e-13 | 1.3913e-13 | 6.4000e-20 | -    | -         |
| 030329    | 10:44:49.96 | +21:31:17.4 | Y | Y | Y       | 1.0761e-06  | 5.4860e-11 | 9.4087e-11 | 1.9567e-17 | 0.169 | 1.80E+52 |
| 030418    | 10:54:33.69 | -07:01:40.8 | Y | Y | Y       | 9.7272e-08  | -         | 5.1087e-13 | 6.9000e-20 | -    | -         |
| 030723    | 21:49:24.40 | -27:42:47.4 | Y | Y | Y       | 8.1290e-10  | 1.5000e-13 | 5.1956e-13 | 2.0400e-19 | 0.606 | 2.04E+52 |
| 030915    | 16:31:28.82 | +20:11:44.8 | Y | Y | Y       | 2.4909e-09  | 9.0000e-13 | 5.4348e-14 | 1.8000e-19 | 0.691 | 1.45E+52 |
| 030920    | 03:01:18.60 | +25:53:55.3 | Y | Y | Y       | 2.1606e-08  | 9.0000e-13 | 1.3913e-13 | 6.4000e-20 | -    | -         |
| 031025    | 10:44:49.96 | +21:31:17.4 | Y | Y | Y       | 1.0761e-06  | 5.4860e-11 | 9.4087e-11 | 1.9567e-17 | 0.169 | 1.80E+52 |
| 031203    | 16:31:28.82 | +20:11:44.8 | Y | Y | Y       | 2.4909e-09  | 9.0000e-13 | 5.4348e-14 | 1.8000e-19 | 0.691 | 1.45E+52 |
| 031245    | 21:49:24.40 | -27:42:47.4 | Y | Y | Y       | 8.1290e-10  | 1.5000e-13 | 5.1956e-13 | 2.0400e-19 | 0.606 | 2.04E+52 |
| 031301    | 16:31:28.82 | +20:11:44.8 | Y | Y | Y       | 2.4909e-09  | 9.0000e-13 | 5.4348e-14 | 1.8000e-19 | 0.691 | 1.45E+52 |

Note: Table 4 continues on the next page...
| Name      | RA     | DEC    | X | O | R | $F_{15-150}$ | $F_{0.3-10}$ | $F_{R-band}$ | $F_{radio}$ | $z$ | $E_{bol}^{bol}$ |
|-----------|--------|--------|---|---|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----|----------------|
| 100413A   | 17:44:53.22 | +15:50:02.4 | Y | Y? Y | 3.24607e-08 | 1.26000e-12 | -           | 8.00000e-20 | 3.5 | -              |
| 100414A   | 12:48:29.96 | +08:41:34.9 | Y | Y Y | 2.97692e-06 | 1.43700e-11 | 1.27174e-12 | 5.24000e-19 | 1.368 | 7.79E+53       |
| 100418A   | 17:05:27.18 | +11:27:40.1 | Y | Y Y | 4.85714e-08 | 1.07000e-12 | 2.65435e-12 | 1.21800e-18 | 0.620 | 5.20E+50       |
| 100906A   | 01:54:44.15 | +55:37:50.5 | Y | Y Y | 1.05263e-07 | 2.71000e-12 | 1.01304e-12 | 2.15000e-19 | 1.727 | 1.34E+53       |
3.3 The Spectral Energy Distribution from radio to gamma band

In order to fix ideas for GRB detections in radio band, we need to relate GRB gamma emission to GRB radio emission in a reasonable way. To do this, we take into account the Tables 1 and 4 in Chandra and Frail (2012). By converting all detected (or extrapolated) data from fluence and flux densities into fluxes \([\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]\), we obtain Table 4 which gathers four frequencies at 19.6 EHz, 1.3 EHz, 4.56 \cdot 10^2 \text{ THz}, 8.46 GHz, corresponding to the ranges 15-150 keV, 0.3-10 keV, the optical R-band and the obvious radio frequency. The Table shows 64 GRBs and their corresponding celestial coordinates observed at different frequencies. The adopted procedure to obtain fluxes is better described in Appendix B, where the time considered for conversion from fluence has been the \(T_{90}\). To convert flux densities for radio and optical bands, their acquisition bandwidths have been considered. The symbols “Y” and “N” in columns \(3^{\text{th}}, 4^{\text{th}}\) and \(5^{\text{th}}\) indicate if the signal is detected at X-ray, optical or radio frequencies.

Figure 5 shows a plot with the four frequencies, i.e. fluxes vs 19.6 EHz, 1.3 EHz, 4.56 \cdot 10^2 \text{ THz}, 8.46 GHz. Data are taken from Table 4, but only where fluxes have all four values. At the end, the log-log plot reports 40 SEDs with three slopes and it is easy to see that the flux decreases with the wavelength. Implementing a linear fit for each gap and each source, we obtain the means between the observable frequencies. The angular coefficients (or spectral indexes) are 3.89461 between the gamma and X bands, 0.122765 between X-ray and R bands and, finally, 1.34954 between R-band and 8.46 GHz.

Limited to Table 4 (always in Chandra and Frail (2012)), we have carried out a method similar to previous one, collecting different flux densities of the same GRB observed within the radio band 1.4 - 43 GHz, and plotting their data vs frequency. The points are shown in Figure 6. If a GRB was detected at least twice, we have been able to trace a line to connect points each other. By making a linear fit for each set, we obtain some means in this range: 124.87, 55.60 and 36.50. The differences among these values depend on the considered fits. The first mean concerns all the 38 GRBs in the plot, for the second one we have excluded angular coefficient too steep, negative and irregular trend, in the third we have ignored the too steep slope only. The value 55.60 is a mean among 27 fits and is the most trustworthy, so it will be used in the following.

3.4 The SKA sensitivity and the radio GRB-detection probability

Considerations about flux extrapolations have been done in Sec. 3.3, hence we can discuss here about the minimum flux densities detectable by the SKA. As mentioned, because the sensitivity at 8.46 GHz for this radio telescope will be about 1.56 \cdot 10^{-1} \mu\text{Jy}, we multiply by 3 to have the 3\sigma. Thanks to the graphic in Figure 5 and its mean slopes calculated, we can extrapolate the minimum detectable gamma flux which the SKA will be able to detect, due to the luminosity decay.

First of all, we must convert the SKA sensitivity from flux density into flux. To this goal, we write

\[
F_{3\sigma} = 4.68 \cdot 10^{-1} \times 9.2 \cdot 10^8 \text{Hz} \times 10^{-29} \frac{\text{erg}}{\text{cm}^2 \text{s} \text{Hz}} = 4.29 \cdot 10^{-20} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1},
\]

where 9200 MHz is the bandpass at this specific frequency and \(10^{-29} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}\) is a conversion factor to convert Jy into erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) Hz\(^{-1}\). Considering the \(\log_{10}\) of the result in Eq. 5 and assuming the spectral indexes in Figure 5, we obtain an estimate of the minimum flux in the gamma band. This value is \(\sim 1.25 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) and corresponds to the minimum flux that a GRB should emit, at 15-150 keV, in order to be detected at 8.46 GHz by the SKA, that is when the luminosity is decaying and passing through lower frequencies from the gamma band.

Regarding the SKA-Low, we have already mentioned that the planned sensitivity is 2.06 \mu\text{Jy hr}^{-1/2}, so the 3\sigma will be 3.57 \mu\text{Jy} with an observation of 3 hours. By using the previous spectral index found in radio range, 55.60, we can extrapolate an estimated value at 8.46 GHz starting from 150 MHz (which is the central frequency in the observational range of the LFAA). In this way, we extrapolate the minimum flux density of 465.60 \mu\text{Jy}, corresponding to a flux equal to \(1.40 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\) (by using a bandpass at 300 MHz). Therefore, with the method previously used, starting from 8.46 GHz, a GRB might be detected by the LFAA if the flux at 15-150 keV emits at least \(4.08 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\).

Since satellite measures are in terms of fluence, we have to divide the extrapolated fluxes by a mean \(T_{90} \sim 70\) s, to have more common measure units. So that if a GRB is detected by the SKA antennas, it should emit a fluence of \(S_{m, mid} \gtrsim 8.75 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{erg cm}^{-2}\) for the SKA-Mid and \(S_{m, low} \gtrsim 2.86 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{erg cm}^{-2}\) for the SKA-Low.

In Chandra and Frail (2012), the authors state that, in their observed sample, the ratio between radio de-
Fig. 6  These plots show the fluxes densities vs frequency of GRBs reported in Table 4 by Chandra and Frail (2012). The lower panel is simply a zoom of the upper panel. Each GRB is fitted by a linear fit. The isolated points are GRB observed only at one frequency. In the internal picture frames, the GRB names are reported and associated with different colors.
The remaining 805 GRBs have \( \delta < 50^\circ \), while only 37 of them are without a fluence measured between 15-150 keV (some of them are “to be confirmed” (TBC)). It is worth noticing that the percentage of GRBs detected by the \textit{Swift} within the sky above the SKA is

\[
\frac{805}{951} \simeq 85\% 
\]  

which is close to the percentage found with our larger sample

\[
\frac{6508}{7516} = 86.6\%. 
\]  

Hence, the restricted group in \textit{Swift} catalogue is enough representative for our next discussion.

Considering the threshold gamma fluence over which the radio detections found by Chandra & Frail were about 86\%, i.e. \( S_{15-150} = 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \), it is straightforward to see that 578 GRBs are above this limit and have \( \delta < 50^\circ \). Therefore, the percentage of detecting GRBs for the SKA, in radio band and in the “\textit{Swift} world”, is at least

\[
P_{\text{RF}} = \frac{578}{805} = 71.8\%. 
\]  

On the other hand, it is possible to take into account the values calculated by Hancock et al. (2013), by using again the same catalogue of the \textit{Swift}. As mentioned, the median value of fluence at 15-150 keV, associated to their RF GRBs, is \( 1.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \). Thus, directly considering the level of the fainter emitters (obviously RB GRBs have higher fluences), the detection rate for the SKA becomes

\[
P_{\text{HF, min}} = \frac{523}{805} = 65.0\%. 
\]  

By using now the minimum fluence level calculated for the SKA-Mid, we can slightly increase the previous estimations. The GRBs with fluence \( \geq 0.88 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \) are 705, hence the rate to detect a GRB radio emitter within the sky above the SKA can be

\[
P_{\text{LF, min}} = \frac{48}{951} = 5.05\%. 
\]  

As concerning the LFAA antennas, we can initially consider GRBs detected by the \textit{Swift} at any declination, with a fluence \( \geq 28.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \), in the 15-150 keV. In this case, 48 GRBs out of 951 (in this considered new list, 47 GRBs have not fluence measured, or TBC, but probably with a fluence less than \( 28.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \)) are over this limit, thus the detection probability results

3.5 Serendipitous detection rates for the SKA

In Sec. 3.4, we have reported the estimated probability to detect GRBs at 8.46 GHz and 150 MHz for the SKA. In this section, starting from our GRB final list, we want to discuss the detecting rate of GRBs in a serendipitous way within the planned FoV of the SKA. However, we are referring to a potential GRB survey.
Let us take into account the times between the first and the last GRB detections for each instrument, without considering the whole life time of the instrument itself. We are aware that for a more refined calculation, one should also take into account the fraction of time in which the instruments are effectively operating. However we have not adopted this time interval, so that we can find only a minimum value. In other words, by using this longer time with respect to the shorter operational observation time, focused on GRB monitoring, we can achieve a more conservative estimation and provide GRB detection rates in worse cases. Here we want only to highlight the chance to observe and to study GRB in radio band as a realistic opportunity.

In Sec. 3.1, we have discussed how our comprehensive GRB list has been acquired. We now consider sources with declination lesser than 50°; these are 6508. Hence, let be \(N_{\text{GRB}} = 6508\) the number of GRBs within a spherical cap equal to \((4\pi - \Omega_s)\) sterad. As already mentioned, the SKA FoV is \(\sim 1\) square degree \((\Omega_{\text{HF}} \simeq 3.05 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ sr})\) at the high-frequency (1 - 20 GHz). Then, we assume a uniform distribution for GRBs detected within the telescope beam and with a time-frame of 24.33 years. This number must be multiplied by the estimated percentage found in Eq. 10, which is the lowest value (hence the worst case) among the equations in Sec. 3.4, to detect radio emission from GRBs. Following those steps, we obtain a first detection rate of observing GRBs by the SKA at high frequency:

\[
R_{\text{HF,Fer}} = \frac{N_{\text{GRB}}}{4\pi - \Omega_s} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\text{HF}}}{24.33 \text{ yr}} \cdot 0.650 = 4.78 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ GRB yr}^{-1} .
\]  

This number shows that the SKA in its high-frequency range has an extremely low probability to serendipitously detect radio transients associated to GRBs. This value can be estimated using all data considered here in Table 2 in a time-frame of almost 25 years.

Let us now carry out the same calculation, but now focusing on the Fermi and Swift missions. In our final catalogue, the first Swift detection was on 2004/12/17 and the last one is on 2014/05/12, counting 869 GRBs. On the other hand, Fermi observed its first GRB on the 2008/07/14 and the last one on the 2014/05/12, having 1359 sources in total. Excluding the sources with a declination \(\geq 50^\circ\), they become 1194 by Fermi \((N_{\text{Fer}})\) for 2129 days, and 736 by Swift \((N_{\text{Swi}})\) for 3433 days. For these two missions, in their own time-frames, the probabilities to detect in a serendipitous way a GRB per year within the high-frequency SKA are:

\[
R_{\text{HF,Fer}} = \frac{N_{\text{Fer}}}{4\pi - \Omega_s} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\text{HF}}}{2129/365.25 \text{ yr}} \cdot 0.650 = \]
\[
= \frac{1194}{11.082 \text{ sr}} \cdot 5.22 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ sr yr}^{-1} \cdot 0.650 = \]
\[
= 3.66 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ GRB yr}^{-1} ;
\]

\[
R_{\text{HF,Swi}} = \frac{N_{\text{Swi}}}{4\pi - \Omega_s} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\text{HF}}}{3433/365.25 \text{ yr}} \cdot 0.650 = \]
\[
= \frac{736}{11.082 \text{ sr}} \cdot 3.24 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ sr yr}^{-1} \cdot 0.650 = \]
\[
= 1.40 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ GRB yr}^{-1} .
\]

These numbers are still very low. However, looking at Table 3, even if we use the CGRO mission, that is the mission with the most efficient detection rate, considering both triggered and non-triggered data (4036 GRBs within 3324 days), the result is \(7.93 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ GRB yr}^{-1}\). If we take into account the Earth rotation during an observation in order to increase these numbers, the previous results will be multiplied by a factor 2.5. Therefore, in the best case, the SKA could detect \(\sim 2.5 \cdot 7.93 \cdot 10^{-3}\) GRBs per year, at its high frequency.

The situation changes for low-frequency antennas and their FoV. In fact, the SKA-Low has a FoV equal to 200 square degrees, that corresponds to a solid angle \(\Omega_{\text{LF}} \simeq 6.1 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ sterad} \). At the low frequency, a slightly smaller spherical cap than before must be considered, because dipole antenna can observe with a scan angle of \(\pm 45^\circ\) with respect to the zenith (as constraint reported by Millenaar and Bolton (2010)). Following Eqs. 2 and 4, we have to add \(45^\circ\) to \(\theta_l\) instead of 13.5°, in order to obtain the new \(\Omega_{s,\text{LF}} \simeq 4.310\) sterad. Thus, with a declination less than to \(18^\circ\) (\(\simeq 90^\circ - \theta_l - 45^\circ\)), the number of GRBs detected by Fermi is 880. Considering also the probability calculated in Eq. 12, we obtain:

\[
R_{\text{LF,Fer}} = \frac{880}{4\pi - \Omega_s} \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\text{LF}}}{2129/365.25 \text{ yr}} \cdot 0.051 = \]
\[
= \frac{880}{8.256 \text{ sr}} \cdot 1.05 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ sr yr}^{-1} \cdot 0.051 = \]
\[
= 5.71 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ GRB yr}^{-1} .
\]

As previously done for the dishes, we can consider the Earth rotation, thus doubling the SKA-Low FoV. Doing this, we obtain a probability of \(1.14 \cdot 10^{-1}\) GRBs per year.
Contrary to the SKA dishes, the LFAA could have a greater opportunity to be a GRB-radio monitoring. However, we have performed a simulation implying a linear extrapolation into the 50-350 MHz range starting from the GHz radio band. Certainly, more self-consistent studies on GRB low-radio-band observations are needed to draw conclusions on the effective feasibility of GRB radio surveys by the SKA.

To complete the subsection, we want to add a more optimistic calculation. Before, we have used only satellite detections, but if the SKA is used as a radio-GRB monitor, we should consider all GRBs which lights up towards the Earth, without thinking about satellites. In Ghirlanda et al. (2014), the authors perform a simulation to estimate the number of GRB orphan afterglows in the Universe. From this number, it is possible to estimate the number of all GRBs per year which points towards the Earth, that is \( \sim 811.5 \). Continuing to assume that the detectable percentage used until now is uniform, we have

\[
R_{\text{radio},\text{GRB}} \sim 811.5 \cdot 0.65 \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}} = 527.5 \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}}. \tag{17}
\]

With this number, remembering the percentage of GRBs and the spherical cup above the SKA for the SKA-Mid, the previous detection rate becomes

\[
R_{\text{HF,radio},\text{GRB}} = 527.5 \cdot 0.866 \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\text{HF}}}{4\pi - \Omega_s} \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}} = 1.26 \cdot 10^{-2} \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}}. \tag{18}
\]

As for the detection rate for the SKA1-Low, the probability to have a detectable radio GRB at 150 MHz per year is

\[
R_{\text{radio,GRB}} \sim 811.5 \cdot 0.051 \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}} = 41.4 \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}}. \tag{19}
\]

The detection rate for the low frequency becomes

\[
R_{\text{LF,radio},\text{GRB}} = 41.4 \cdot 0.866 \cdot \frac{\Omega_{\text{LF}}}{4\pi - \Omega_s,\text{LF}} \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}} = 2.65 \cdot 10^{-1} \frac{\text{GRB}}{\text{yr}}. \tag{20}
\]

Results in Eqs. 18 and 20 give more chance to a GRB serendipitous detection at radio frequencies.

4 Discussions and conclusions

In this paper, we discussed the possibility use the SKA for GRB radio detections and surveys. In the last part of the previous section, we calculated some serendipitous detection rates. However, to detect GRBs in radio band, it is worth noticing that SKA dishes will be used principally to go on-source with specific (and precise) coordinates, namely after a GRB alert. In other words, GRBs will not be detected randomly, but moving antennas on-source after a satellite previous detection (e.g., Robo-AMI, mentioned above). In our opinion, only considering a comprehensive synergy among the radio band detections and the detections in other wavelengths (\(\gamma\)-ray, X-ray, optical, infrared) will be possible to really understand the light curves of these phenomena. In fact, the SKA will considerably be able to contribute to an increment of GRB radio data, so that the statistics will be improved, and finally the understanding of the GRB physics will be enhanced.

On the other hand, a serendipitous detection by the SKA could give an important contribution to the development of GRB science. In fact, another point to highlight concerns prompt radio emissions. Even though these emissions might not exist, it is also important to point out that they have never been directly observed by a radio telescope. Currently, this very short emission might be detected randomly only, because moving an antenna on-source takes some minutes (or seconds, in the best case). In collaborations like Robo-AMI and Swift, after the satellite detections, the radio antennas go on-source in \(\sim 4\) minutes but this time is too slow for a radio observation in the GRB prompt phase.

It is important to stress that GRBs are peculiar sources and basically emit covering all the spectrum. The principal investigations are limited around high frequencies (i.e., \(\gamma\), X, optical) and often authors try to point out any single aspect of them, to classify these objects in several classes. However, because of the very large emission spectrum, GRBs should be studied with a different approach, that is without focusing only on a narrow range of the electromagnetic spectrum. Analyzing GRBs with a large “spectral field of view” would give the chance to see aspects related to each other among more bands, perhaps discovering common features which are not clear if one sees only specific details in narrow electromagnetic bands. GRB studies in radio band could become crucial for discoveries in this sense, therefore observations by appropriate telescopes are necessary. Additional radio data will give the possibility to find possible correlations either within the radio band or between the radio frequencies and the higher ones. Without a lot of radio observations and focused analyses, it is impossible to get precise and self-consistent observations. In particular, we cannot say that every GRB with a fluence greater than an estimated value can emit in radio band (because only observations can confirm or not this issue), but the results
shown here about the radio detection rates and GRB radio sensitivities are relevant enough to encourage the study in this direction by the SKA.

Another important issue is related to cosmology. As it is well known, GRBs are cosmological sources and their studies can be addressed in a cosmological perspective. The most ambitious goal is demonstrating that these objects could be used as distance indicators. In order to find intrinsic relations among GRB parameters that effectively translates into distances, it is worth noticing that only observational quantities should be used. However, obtaining a standard light curve for GRBs, as Phillips achieved for SNeIa (Phillips 1993), is extremely more difficult. Indeed, GRBs spread in a very large range of variables that could be used to fix their fundamental features. If the redshift is known, it is possible to obtain a distance for GRBs. The SKA can help in this goal considering also observations not directly related to GRBs (e.g., the spin-flip emission of the hydrogen at 1.4 GHz from the host galaxy).

To conclude, precise radio observations and surveys would allow a deeper and complete understanding of these still mysterious objects. The expansion of the SED for GRBs would help to relate different bands to each other and a focused study also in radio frequencies would open a research perspective which has not been explored yet in detail. In this work we have shown that this opportunity is a fact since the SKA will be able to observe and detect GRBs. It is also useful to point out that the radio band is not affected by radiation extinction, contrary to higher frequencies. In general, an accurate calorimetry for radio well-detectable GRBs would be possible. In addition, radio observations can be useful for estimations of the inverse Compton scattering, since only radio frequencies can probe the density of the interstellar medium. However, it is imperative to keep in mind that synergies among ground-based and satellite telescopes, observing at different frequencies, have to be realized in order to understand the fundamental nature of GRBs.
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Appendix A

Table 2 is the merging of catalogues mentioned and explained in part in Sec. 3.1. For each row there are seven columns. The first two columns are GRB coordinates (RA J2000 and DEC J2000) in decimal degrees; the third, fourth and fifth are the acquisition time with year, month, day (days are decimal and contain information about hours, minutes and seconds); the sixth column is the referring mission and, finally, the source name.

In the sixth column, only one of the missions which detected GRBs is reported. As previously mentioned, some GRBs have been detected by more than one mission, hence they could be reported in more than one catalogue.

As for source name, we reported the same name of the catalogue considered in the sixth column. No official names were found for the BNT GRBs, therefore only “GRB” has been written in the last column. Furthermore, BT source names are the same used in the HEASARC web table, with a final dash instead of the standard progressive letters. However, sources have been sorted by detection time, so it is possible to read all GRBs in a time sequence.

The references used for every mission are reported in Table 2:

- GRANAT satellite (cat. GRA)
  References in the *phebus* catalogue (Terekhov et al. 1994, 1995; Tkachenko et al. 1998, 2002).
- BATSE/CGRO (t) (cat. BT)
  references in the *batsegrb* catalogue (Meegan et al. 1998; Meegan et al. 1996) and Stern et al. (2001).
- BATSE/CGRO (nt) (cat. BNT)
  references in the Stern et al. (2001) and Koomers et al. (2001).
- Konus/Wind (cat. K/W)
  references in the Pal’shin et al. (2013).
- BeppoSAX (cat. BeS)
  references in the Frontera et al. (2009).
- HETE-2 (cat. HET)
  references in the “hete2grb: HETE-2 Gamma-Ray Bursts” by MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) and “hete2gcn: HETE-2 GCN Triggers Catalog”.
- INTEGRAL (cat. INT)
  references in the Minaev et al. (2014), Bošnjak, Z. et al. (2014) and Mereghetti (2013). We matched with the the “Swift GRB Table and Lookup” (selecting INTEGRAL mission).
- Swift (cat. Swi)
  references in Sakamoto et al. (2011) and “Swift GRB Table and Lookup”.
- Agile (cat. Agi)
  references in Galli, M. et al. (2013), Pal’shin et al. (2013), Longo, F. et al. (2012) and Hurley et al. (2013) and I matched them with “Swift GRB Table and Lookup” (selecting Agile mission).
- Fermi (cat. Fer)
  references in Paciesas et al. (2012), von Kienlin et al. (2014) and the *fermigbrst* catalogue in HEASARC archive.

The following list explains how matchings among catalogues have been achieved. As mentioned in Sec. 3.1, different GRB catalogues, gathering in each list only a single mission (the only exception is CGRO with two different catalogues). Equal events from various tables have been made as one. For this purpose, lists have been matched each other, using the following criteria:

- The Agile list is matched with all other lists. A GRB is the same if it exploded within 0.005 days with respect to another one, and if they have a difference ≤ 90° in RA and in DEC each other. Even if the Agile angular resolution is within a few arcmin, many GRBs are localized by satellite triangulations and other satellite are not so fine in localization accuracy. As a check, a larger angular range has been used. More details about this mission can be read at the ASI web site.
- The BeppoSAX list is matched with all CGRO lists, as explained previously (time delay of 0.005 and angular range ≤ 146°).
- Among BATSE/CGRO lists no change is adopted for the criterion used by Stern et al. (2001).
- Swift list is matched with Fermi one, with a delay of 0.005 days and angular range of 45°.
- The GRANAT list is matched with all other lists, using only a time delay of 0.005 days.
• The HETE-2 list is matched with BeppoSAX and Swift lists: angular range of 83° and time delay of 0.005 days.
• The INTEGRAL list is matched with Hete-2, Fermi and Swift lists. Time delay 0.005 and angular range 90°.
• The Konus/Wind list is matched with all other lists, with a delay 0.005 and angular range 90°.

It is worth noticing that the RA and DEC difference thresholds depend on the largest pointing-range error among different satellites. For example, by matching three catalogues from BeppoSAX, Swift and HETE-2, where the worst pointings has a range error respectively of 85°, 67′ and 11.9°, the value 85° is the threshold range. One has to choose this value in order to have the worst case. However, in the reported cases, the most important threshold is the time threshold. The time delay of 0.005 days (that is ∼ 7 minutes between an explosion and the next) is in common. We changed angular ranges instrument by instrument, depending on their error positions, or FoV, or angular resolution. These angular values are probably large, but a conservative case has been preferred. However, the most relevant matching filter was the time delay and, that other criteria could be used.

Appendix B

GRBs are observed in different bands and there is a preferred measure of unit for each band. A measure can usually be given either in luminosity $L$ (erg s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$), fluence $S$ (erg cm$^{-2}$), flux $F$ (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) or flux density $F_{\nu}$ (W m$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$). Since we want to compare different wavelengths to each other, we must have the same measure unit. For convenience, the relations among these units is written here:

$$L = \frac{4\pi F_{\nu}d_L^2}{1+z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$F = F_{\nu} \Delta \nu$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

$$S = F \Delta t,$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where $\Delta \nu$ and $\Delta t$ are respectively the bandwidth and the integration time during the acquisition.

In order to plot the SED of the 95 GRBs detected by Chandra and Frail (2012), we choose to convert everything into fluxes. Taking into account tables 1 and 4 in that work, from the fluence at 15-150 keV we can obtain the flux by dividing by the $T_{90}$. Furthermore, from data in flux density, we can calculate the flux of the source knowing the bandwidth (100 MHz at radio band and R-band$^{15}$ at optical wavelengths). The conversion from energies into frequencies, or wavelengths, is given by using the Planck constant

$$E = h\nu = h c \lambda .$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Finally, the flux density is expressed in $\mu$Jy, so, in cgs system, it is

$$1 \mu\text{Jy} = 10^{-6} \text{Jy} = 10^{-32} \frac{W}{\text{m}^2\text{Hz}} = 10^{-29} \frac{\text{erg}}{\text{s}\text{cm}^2\text{Hz}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

In practice, if one has the R-band flux density $F_{\nu} = 6.5$ $\mu$Jy, it has be multiplied by the bandwidth 138 nm (converted into cgs system and frequency, it is $138 \cdot 10^{-7}$ cm $\times 3 \cdot 10^{10}$ cm/s) and the conversion factor is $10^{-29}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$. Thus the result in flux is $F = 2.691 \cdot 10^{-23}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

$^{15}$Its Full Width Half Maximum (bandwidth $\Delta \lambda$) is 138 nm, its Effective Wavelength Midpoint $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ for standard filter is at 658 nm (Binney and Merrifield 1998).