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The rational development of fast–ion-conducting solid electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries requires understanding the key structural and chemical principles that give some materials their exceptional ionic conductivities. For the lithium argyrodites Li$_6$PS$_5$X (X = Cl, Br, I), the choice of the halide, X, strongly affects the ionic conductivity, with room-temperature ionic conductivities for X = {Cl, Br} × 10$^3$ higher than for X = I. This variation has been attributed to differing degrees of S/X anion disorder. For X = {Cl, Br} the S/X anions are substitutionally disordered, while for X = I the anion sublattice is fully ordered. To better understand the role of substitutional anion disorder in enabling fast lithium-ion transport, we have performed a first-principles molecular dynamics study of Li$_6$PS$_5$I and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl, with varying amounts of S/X anion-site disorder. Considering the S/X substructure as a tetrahedrally close-packed lattice, we identify three partially occupied lithium sites that form a contiguous three-dimensional network of face-sharing tetrahedra. The active lithium-ion diffusion pathways within this network, however, depend on the S/X anion configuration. For anion-disordered systems, the active site–site pathways give a percolating three-dimensional diffusion network; whereas for anion-ordered systems, critical site–site pathways are inactive, giving a disconnected diffusion network with lithium motion restricted to local orbits around S positions. Analysis of the lithium substructure and dynamics in terms of the lithium coordination around each sulfur site shows a mechanistic link between substitutional anion disorder and lithium disorder, which enables fast lithium diffusion. In anion-ordered systems the Li-ions are pseudo-ordered, with preferential 6-fold coordination of sulfur sites. Long-ranged lithium diffusion disrupts this SLi$_6$ pseudo-ordering, and is therefore disfavoured. In anion-disordered systems, a uniform 6-fold S–Li coordination is frustrated due to Li–Li Coulombic repulsion. Lithium positions become disordered, giving a range of S–Li coordination environments. Long-ranged Li diffusion is now possible with no net change in S–Li coordination numbers. This gives rise to superionic lithium transport in the anion-disordered systems, which is effected by a concerted string-like diffusion mechanism.
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S1. MECHANISTIC ANALYSIS FROM INHERENT STRUCTURES VERSUS UNPROCESSED TRAJECTORIES

Comparison of unprocessed versus inherent-structure trajectories

Our focus in this study is on the underlying diffusion mechanisms operating in each molecular dynamics simulation. To help identify these we post-process each simulation trajectory to obtain a set of “inherent structures” that capture the key diffusive motions of the lithium ions [1–3]. Each inherent structure is generated by taking a “snapshot” single configuration from the relevant molecular dynamics trajectory and performing a conjugate-gradient geometry optimisation, which relaxes the structure into a local potential energy minimum. By repeating this process for a sequence of configurations sampled at fixed time-intervals we obtain an “inherent-structure trajectory” that can then be analysed alongside the original unprocessed simulation trajectory.

These inherent-structure trajectories are useful because we are interested in identifying non-trivial displacements of lithium ions, i.e. those displacements that contribute to net lithium diffusion. These are different to vibrational motions, which produce short-lived lithium displacements that do not contribute to meaningful lithium diffusion. For a given starting configuration, performing a geometry optimisation to obtain the corresponding inherent structure has the effect of “quenching out” much of this high-frequency thermal motion, providing a clearer description of the underlying diffusion mechanisms.

Figure S1 shows the unprocessed x, y, z coordinates for a single lithium ion from the 50 % site-inverted Li₆PS₅Cl simulation, and the corresponding inherent structure coordinates. The inherent structure trajectory tracks the unprocessed trajectory, but filters out much of the short-timescale short-ranged vibrational motion. The larger scale diffusion motion that describes the movement of the lithium ion through the host framework, however, is preserved.

FIG. S1. x, y, z coordinates of a single lithium ion during the 50 % site-inverted Li₆PS₅Cl simulation, from both the unprocessed simulation trajectory (“raw coordinates”) and from the corresponding inherent structures. Source: The simulation data and analysis scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as Refs. [4, 5].

Tetrahedral-site–projections from unprocessed versus inherent-structure trajectories

To help understand the different mechanisms of lithium diffusion in our simulations, part of our analysis of the simulation trajectories consists of an analysis of lithium positions and dynamics in terms of occupation of the set of tetrahedral holes formed by the close-packed S/X-anion substructure. Occupation of a specific tetrahedron broadly corresponds to a lithium ion being assigned as occupying the corresponding crystallographic site, and transitions between adjacent tetrahedra give a discretised “site-to-site” description of the lithium diffusion process.

Because the calculation of each inherent structure involves performing a geometry optimisation to relax the structure into a local potential energy minimum, the structures of any given molecular dynamics configuration and its corresponding inherent structure differ. For any single molecular dynamics timestep, this can lead to individual lithium ions being assigned to different sites depending on whether the coordinates from the raw trajectory or from the corresponding inherent structure are used when calculating the tetrahedral-site occupations.
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FIG. S2. Site-indices for the tetrahedral sites deemed “occupied” by a single lithium ion during the 0 % Li$_6$PS$_5$I (upper panel) and 50 % Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl (lower panel) simulations, assigned from the unprocessed simulation trajectories and from the corresponding inherent-structure trajectories. The site numbering is arbitrary and in each case is assigned based on the order of sites occupied in the unprocessed trajectory. Source: The simulation data and analysis scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as Refs. [4, 5].

This behaviour is illustrated in Figure S2, which shows the indices of the sites assigned as “occupied” at each timestep for a single lithium ion from the 0 % site-inverted Li$_6$PS$_5$I simulation (upper panel) and from the 50 % site-inverted Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl simulation (lower panel). Both site-occupation trajectories follow the same general sequence of sites, and describe the same underlying diffusion behaviour. The site-occupation trajectory obtained from the unprocessed simulation coordinates, however, contains transient site-to-site transitions that are absent from the inherent structure trajectory. These additional transitions correspond to short-lived vibrational motions; in nearly every case the transition to a new site does not persist beyond a single analysis frame. Working with the inherent structure trajectory, therefore, has the benefit of filtering out these non-diffusive “vibrational” transitions between sites, while preserving the transitions that describe the time-evolution of the lithium-ion configuration that underpins the key lithium-diffusion processes.

DATA AVAILABILITY

A dataset containing inputs and outputs for all DFT calculations supporting this study is available under the CC-BY-4.0 licence from the University of Bath Research Data Archive [4]. All code used to analyse the simulation trajectories and to generate the corresponding figures is available as a series of Jupyter notebooks under the MIT licence as Ref. [5].
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Abstract: The rational development of fast-ion-conducting solid electrolytes for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries requires understanding the key structural and chemical principles that give some materials their exceptional ionic conductivities. For the lithium argyrodites Li₆PS₅X (X = Cl, Br, I), the choice of the halide, X, strongly affects the ionic conductivity, giving room-temperature ionic conductivities for X = {Cl, Br} that are ×10³ higher than for X = I. This variation has been attributed to differing degrees of S/X anion disorder. For X = {Cl, Br} the S/X anions are substitutionally disordered, while for X = I the anion substructure is fully ordered. To better understand the role of substitutional anion disorder in enabling fast lithium-ion transport, we have performed a first-principles molecular dynamics study of Li₆PS₅I and Li₆PS₅Br with varying amounts of S/X anion-site disorder. By considering the S/X anions as a tetrahedrally close-packed substructure, we identify three partially occupied lithium sites that define a contiguous three-dimensional network of face-sharing tetrahedra. The active lithium-ion diffusion pathways within this network are found to depend on the S/X anion configuration. For anion-disordered systems, the active site–site pathways give a percolating three-dimensional diffusion network; whereas for anion-ordered systems, critical site–site pathways are inactive, giving a disconnected diffusion network with lithium motion restricted to local orbits around S positions. Analysis of the lithium substructure and dynamics in terms of the lithium coordination around each sulfur site highlights a mechanistic link between substitutional anion disorder and lithium disorder. In anion-ordered systems the lithium ions are pseudo-ordered, with preferential 6-fold coordination of sulfur sites. Long-ranged lithium diffusion would disrupt this SLi₆ pseudo-ordering, and is therefore disfavoured. In anion-disordered systems, the pseudo-ordered 6-fold S-Li coordination is frustrated due to Li-Li Coulombic repulsion. Lithium positions become disordered, giving a range of S-Li coordination environments. Long-ranged lithium diffusion is now possible with no net change in S-Li coordination numbers. This gives rise to superionic lithium transport in the anion-disordered systems, effected by a concerted string-like diffusion mechanism.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lithium-ion-conducting solid electrolytes are considered candidate materials for use in future all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries [1–3]. Present-day commercial lithium-ion batteries use liquid-organic electrolytes; these are flammable, raising safety issues, and have narrow electrochemical stability windows, preventing their use with energy-dense high-voltage electrodes. One possible solution is to instead use solid electrolytes, which ideally should be electrochemically inert, mechanically robust, have negligible electronic transport, and have high lithium-ion conductivities [4].

Although a number of highly conducting solid lithium-ion electrolytes are known, none meet all the criteria for general commercial use [1, 4–6]. Identifying new solid lithium-ion electrolytes is an active area of research [3], with strategies ranging from targeted chemical modification of known solid electrolytes, to improve their conductivities [7–11], to high-throughput screening of new materials [12–15]. In both cases, it is useful to understand why some materials are highly-conducting, yet others are not [3, 16–20]. Such understanding can help inform chemical strategies for optimising the ionic conductivities of known materials, or can provide selection criteria for identifying new promising electrolytes. Particular insight can be gained from studying families of solid electrolytes that are superficially similar—such as those that share a common structural motif—but that exhibit quite different ionic conductivities [2, 19], as this can help reveal the fundamental mechanisms and key material characteristics that govern fast-ion conduction.

One family of promising lithium-ion solid-electrolytes are the lithium argyrodites Li₆PS₅X (X = Cl, Br, I) [11, 21–25]. While Li₆PS₅Cl and Li₆PS₅Br exhibit high room-temperature ionic conductivities (σRT ≈ 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹), Li₆PS₅I is considerably less conductive (σRT ≈ 10⁻⁶ S cm⁻¹) [26, 27]. The large difference between X = {Cl, Br} and X = I is notable because these three materials have topologically identical crystal structures, suggesting the same lithium-ion diffusion pathways should exist in each system. This inverse correlation between anion size and ionic conductivity also runs counter to the trend seen in other families of solid electrolytes, for example, thio-LISICON and NASICON, in which larger, more polarisable, less electronegative anions are associated with increased ionic conductivities [2]—with this relationship often attributed to a combination of larger an-
ions giving an increased accessible volume for the diffusing lithium ions and weaker lithium–anion electrostatic interactions.

A partial explanation for the ionic conductivity trend in the Li₆PS₅X argyrodites comes from the observation that in these materials high conductivities are correlated with substitutional S/X anion disorder [23, 27]. In Li₆PS₅I the anions are fully ordered, and S and I atoms each fully occupy crystallographically distinct 4c and 4a Wyckoff positions, respectively. In Li₆PS₅Cl and Li₆PS₅Br the S and Cl, or S and Br, atoms are substitutionally disordered, which has been attributed to their similar ionic radii [11, 24, 28] giving a low formation energy for S/X antisites [29, 30]. Molecular dynamics simulations of Li₆PS₅X in which the degree of S/X disorder has been systematically varied provide additional evidence for a causal link between anion substitutional disorder and fast lithium-ion transport [22, 30–34].

Simulations performed on Li₆PS₅X models with fully-ordered S/X atoms predict low lithium diffusion coefficients and highly localised lithium motion, with lithium ions restricted to discrete “cages” surrounding the S atoms. In contrast, simulations performed on S/X-disordered models predict high lithium diffusion coefficients, with lithium ions moving through a contiguous three-dimensional diffusion network. Despite this experimental and computational evidence linking lithium-ion conductivities in Li₆PS₅X argyrodites with the degree of S/X disorder, a mechanistic model that explains this relationship is currently lacking.

To address this question, we have performed a first-principles molecular dynamics study of Li₆PS₅I and Li₆PS₅Cl with varying amounts of S/X anion-site disorder. We find that the lithium substructure can be generally described in terms of partial occupation of three crystallographically distinct tetrahedral sites that define a contiguous three-dimensional network. The pattern of active and inactive lithium-ion diffusion paths within this network, however, depends on the degree of S/X disorder. In anion-ordered systems, lithium site positions are displaced towards neighbouring sulfur sites due to electrostatic S–Li attraction, giving an ordered pattern of “inactive” site–site paths. In anion-disordered systems, however, the lithium site positions are statically disordered, and the set of active site–site paths forms a percolating three-dimensional network that permits long-ranged lithium diffusion.

We also have analysed our simulation trajectories by considering clusters of lithium ions as “coordination polyhedra” located around S anions. This perspective provides insight into the spatial correlations and collective dynamics in these groups of lithium ions. In the anion-ordered systems, the lithium-ions are pseudo-ordered, and preferentially form 6-coordinate polyhedra around sulfur atoms. While lithium movement within these SLi₅ units is frequent, lithium exchange between SLi₅ units is rare on a simulation timescale. We explain this by considering lithium exchange as a form of “defect formation”, which is energetically disfavoured. In the anion-disordered systems, however, strong Coulombic interactions between nearby lithium ions frustrate the otherwise preferable 6-fold S–Li coordination, producing a range of disordered SLix (x ≥ 6) coordination environments. Lithium movement between coordination polyhedra is now possible without a net change in S–Li coordination, making long-ranged lithium diffusion a viable low-energy process. Further analysis of the dynamical correlations between mobile lithium ions reveals a concerted string-like “superionic” diffusion mechanism in the anion-disordered argyrodites. These results provide a mechanistic explanation for the exceptional ionic conductivities of anion-disordered Li₆PS₅X argyrodites, and show how configurational framework disorder in solid electrolytes can cause static disorder amongst mobile ions, which consequently facilitates superionic conductivity.

II. STRUCTURAL CONSIDERATIONS

The Li₆PS₅X argyrodites typically adopt a cation-disordered cubic aristotype in the F43m space group, which can be considered to be derived from the MgCu₂ cubic Laves phase (Fd3m space group) [21, 35, 37]. In MgCu₂, the Mg sites (8a) form a diamond-structured array, and the Cu sites (16d) form an interpenetrat-
ing corner-sharing network of tetrahedra (Fig. 1, upper panel). In Li$_6$PS$_5$X, the phosphorus atoms occupy only half of the “Cu” tetrahedra, reducing the crystal symmetry from Fd$ar{3}$m to F4$ar{3}$m. The “Cu” sites (now denoted 16e) are fully occupied by S, forming a face-centered cubic array of PS$_4$ tetrahedra, and the “Mg” sites (now split into 4a and 4c) are occupied by an equal ratio of S and X anions (Fig. 1, lower panel) [35, 36]. In Li$_6$PS$_5$I the anions are ordered, with I atoms fully occupying the 4a sites and S atoms fully occupying the 4c sites. In Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl and Li$_6$PS$_5$Br the Cl/Br and S atoms are disordered, with both anions distributed over the 4a and the 4c sites.

This three-dimensional arrangement of anions at 4a, 4c, and 16e positions defines a tetrahedrally close-packed lattice [37–39]. The centres of these tetrahedra represent interstitial sites available to accommodate immobile cations (such as P) or mobile lithium ions. In MgCu$_2$ the Mg and Cu positions define the vertices of three crystallographically distinct tetrahedral sites. The lower crystal symmetry of the argyrodites splits these into six distinct tetrahedral types, which are listed in Table I, and were first described by Deiseroth et al. [36]. In Li$_6$PS$_5$X, one set of tetrahedra (type 0) is occupied by phosphorus, while the remaining tetrahedra (types 1–5) are available to potentially accommodate lithium. The type 3 tetrahedra are centred on the 4d Wyckoff positions with four 16e sites as vertices, which with the type 0 PS$_4$ tetrahedra. The remaining tetrahedra types 1, 2, 4, and 5 form face-sharing cages around the 4a and 4c S/X sites. These cages each contain 28 tetrahedra, and each tetrahedron represents one lithium interstitial site (Fig. 2). The 4a and 4c coordination polyhedra are topologically identical: each has twelve pentagonal faces and four hexagonal faces, with the face-centres forming a 16-vertex Frank-Kasper polyhedron [35, 40]. The hexagonal faces of these coordination polyhedra are arranged tetrahedrally around each central S/X site and are comprised of alternating type 2 and type 5 tetrahedral sites, which are shared between adjacent 4a and 4c-coordination polyhedra.

In high-temperature modifications of Li$_6$PS$_5$X, lithium is disordered over the available tetrahedral sites types 1–5. X-ray single-crystal data for high-temperature-Li$_6$PS$_5$I show that electron density associated with these disordered lithium ions is smeared out over an extended region, but is predominantly associated with type 5 tetrahedra [21, 36]. Subsequent neutron diffraction studies have typically assigned Li in Li$_6$PS$_5$X as primarily occupying either 48h sites—located within the type 5 tetrahedra—or 24g sites—located at the shared face between adjacent type 5 tetrahedral pairs [23, 27, 41], and denoted as type 5a by Deiseroth et al. [36].

The model for lithium diffusion in Li$_6$PS$_5$X considers only these type 5 48h and type 5a 24g positions, with microscopic lithium motion assumed to occur as a sequence of stochastic “jumps” between these sites [23, 28, 30, 32, 42–44]. Because type 5 tetrahedra form disconnected face-sharing pairs, a description of lithium transport that only considers the type 5 and type 5a sites is necessarily incomplete: any lithium motion beyond simple hopping back-and-forth within paired type 5 sites must involve other tetrahedral site types [45].

The capacity for non-type 5 tetrahedra to accommodate lithium may therefore determine the degree to which lithium can diffuse through the structure. Some computational evidence for the role of non-type 5 tetrahedra in lithium diffusion in Li$_6$PS$_5$X argyrodites comes from previous bond-valence calculations, which predict three distinct lithium sites [26, 27, 29]. Non-type 5 sites have also been identified in recent neutron diffraction studies of Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$I [46, 47], as well as in lithium–argyrodites with lithium stoichiometries $x$(Li) $>$ 6 [48–50]. A general mechanistic description of lithium conduction in lithium–argyrodites that describes the role of different lithium sites and that can explain the relationship between substitutional anion disorder and fast lithium transport, however, is currently lacking.
TABLE I. Tetrahedral holes formed by the close-packed S/X anion substructure in Li$_6$PS$_5$X ($F\bar{4}3m$, setting 2), following the classification of Deiseroth et al. [36].

| Type | Wyckoff notation | Comments |
|------|------------------|----------|
| 0    | 4b (P)           | Centre of PS$_4$ tetrahedra. |
| 1    | 16c              | 4-fold coordination of 4c sites. |
| 2    | 48h              | 12-fold coordination of 4a and 4c sites. Form face-sharing pairs around 4a sites. |
| 3    | 4d               | Four common corners with neighbouring PS$_4$ tetrahedra. |
| 4    | 16c              | 4-fold coordination of 4a sites. |
| 5    | 48h              | 12-fold coordination of 4c and 4a sites. Form face-sharing pairs around 4c sites. |

To simulate lithium dynamics in Li$_6$PS$_5$I and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl, we have performed a series of \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics simulations using VASP [51, 52]. For all calculations we have used the revised Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA) PBEsol exchange-correlation functional [53]. Interactions between core and valence electrons were described using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method [54], with cores of [He] for Li, [Ne] for P, [Ne] for S, [Ne] for Cl, and [Kr] for I. Zero-pressure volumes were calculated for ordered Li$_6$PS$_5$I and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl, with the 4c sites occupied by S, and the 4a sites occupied by I or Cl. These calculations consisted of full geometry optimisations for a single unit cell (52 atoms) starting from the Materials Project structure ID-985592 [55], with a cut-off of 700 eV, and a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ Monkhorst-Pack $k$-point mesh. The optimised lattice parameters were then used to construct $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercells (416 atoms) for the subsequent molecular dynamics simulations.

The molecular dynamics simulations used a plane-wave cut-off of 280 eV and only the gamma point for $k$-space sampling. All MD simulations were performed at 500 K, and used a time-step of 2 fs. For both Li$_6$PS$_5$I and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl we have considered three different S/X configurations: 0\% site-inversion, with S fully occupying the 4c sites and X fully occupying the 4a sites, corresponding to the experimentally reported ordered Li$_6$PS$_5$I structure; 50\% site-inversion, with a random S/X configuration that approximates the experimentally reported disordered Li$_6$PS$_5$I structure; and 100\% site-inversion, with S fully occupying the 4a sites and X fully occupying the 4c sites. The same randomly generated 50\% site-inverted S/X configuration was used for the Li$_6$PS$_5$I 50\% and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl 50\% simulations. For each system, the lattice parameters were kept fixed to the zero-pressure 0\% optimised values. For each MD simulation two equilibration stages were performed, first using a 2 ps NVE run with temperature rescaling every 50 steps, followed by a 2 ps NVT run. For each simulation, the production runs were 70 ps.

The analysis of MD simulation trajectories is often complicated by “trivial” thermal motions of the mobile ions and of the host framework. Here, we are interested in non-trivial lithium displacements that contribute to net lithium diffusion, rather than short-timescale vibrational motion. To help resolve the lithium-diffusion processes in our simulations, we have extracted a series of “inherent” structures [56-58] from each simulation trajectory by performing conjugate-gradient geometry optimisations for configurations selected every 50 time-steps. Each inherent structure represents a local minimum on the corresponding 3N-dimensional potential energy surface, and the sequences of inherent structures from a given simulation describe the non-trivial motion of lithium ions as they move between these local minima.

A dataset containing inputs and outputs for all DFT calculations supporting this study is available under the CC-BY-4.0 licence from the University of Bath Research Data Archive [59]. All code used to analyse the simulation trajectories and to generate the corresponding figures is available as a series of Jupyter notebooks [60] under the MIT licence. Our analysis used the MATPLOTLIB [61], NUMPY [62], PYMATGEN [63, 64], SCIPY [65], TQDM [66], VASPPY [67], SITE-ANALYSIS [68], POLYHEDRAL-ANALYSIS [69], KINISI [70], and CRYSTAL-TORTURE [71] Python packages.

IV. RESULTS

\textit{Lithium Mean-Squared Displacements.} The rate at which individual lithium ions diffuse through a solid electrolyte is described by the lithium self-diffusion coefficient, which can be calculated from molecular dynamics simulations as the slope of the lithium mean-squared displacement (MSD) versus time, in the long time limit [72]. Fig. 3 shows calculated lithium mean-squared displacements for Li$_6$PS$_5$I and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl with 0\%, 50\% and 100\% S/X site inversion. For both X = I and X = Cl, for the anion-ordered systems (0\% and 100\% site inversion) the MSD initially increases, before plateauing at longer times, giving an effective lithium diffusion coefficient of zero. These plateaus indicate that in the anion-ordered systems the lithium ions do not diffuse freely, but instead are confined to small disconnected regions of space. The MSDs of the anion-disordered systems (50\% site inversion) show qualitatively different behaviour: these MSDs continually increase at long
times, corresponding to non-zero diffusion coefficients and long-ranged lithium diffusion. These results are consistent with data from previous molecular dynamics simulations [22, 30–32], and highlight two interesting points. First, the diffusion behaviour is qualitatively the same for $X = 1$ and $X = Cl$, as noted previously by Stamminger et al [30]. Second, lithium caging is observed for both 0% and 100% site inversion, showing that long-ranged lithium diffusion is not a first-order consequence of occupying 4a sites with sulfur, but that instead anion disorder across the 4a and 4c sites is the necessary prerequisite.

Tetrahedral Site Occupations. The qualitative difference in diffusion behaviour between anion-ordered and anion-disordered $Li_{6}PS_{5}X$ suggests that the arrangement of anions in each system directs the microscopic lithium diffusion mechanism. To examine the relationship between anion configuration and diffusion behaviour, we can calculate the time-averaged tetrahedral site-type populations for each simulation trajectory. To assign lithium ions to specific sites at each time-step, we use the instantaneous positions of the S/X anions to define the tetrahedra vertices. A lithium ion is deemed to occupy a particular tetrahedron if it sits inside the volume defined by these vertex positions [74].

Fig. 4 shows the time-averaged probabilities for a lithium ion to occupy each of the six tetrahedral site types, calculated using the inherent structures from each simulation trajectory. Each atomic configuration used in this analysis therefore corresponds to a local potential energy minimum. For all systems, lithium ions are most likely to occupy type 5 tetrahedra. This is broadly consistent with previous diffraction studies of $Li_{6}PS_{5}I$ (anion-ordered) and $Li_{6}PS_{5}Cl$ (anion-disordered), which have assigned lithium as predominantly occupying two positions associated with the type 5 tetrahedra: the 48h positions located inside each type 5 tetrahedron, and the 24g positions (type 5a sites) in the trigonal faces shared by type 5 tetrahedra pairs [21, 36, 75].

In all six systems, we find some proportion of lithium ions located at non-type 5 tetrahedra. For 0% site-inverted $Li_{6}PS_{5}I$, lithium partially occupies tetrahedra types 5 and 2. In all the other systems lithium is distributed over tetrahedra types 5, 2, and 4. The possibility of lithium occupying non-type 5 tetrahedra in $Li_{6}PS_{5}X$ argyrodites has been discussed in detail by Deiseroth et al. [36], who noted that lithium ions must pass through non-type 5 tetrahedra for long-ranged lithium transport to occur [45].

The observation of partial occupation of non-type 5 tetrahedra is qualitatively consistent with recent neutron diffraction studies of $Li_{6}PS_{5}Br$ and $Li_{6}PS_{5}Cl$, which have reported partial occupation of type 2 tetrahedra [46, 47]. The study of Minafra et al. also reported data for $Li_{6}PS_{5}I$ [46], with lithium assigned only to type 5 and type 5a sites, in apparent contradiction to the simulation results presented here. Experimental samples of $Li_{6}PS_{5}I$ are fully anion-ordered, and are approximated by our 0% site inversion model. For this system our simulations predict only 2.5% of Li occupies type 2 sites, which is unlikely to be resolved in diffraction experiments. Similarly, we pre-

FIG. 3. Mean-squared displacement (MSD) of lithium ions for $Li_{6}PS_{5}I$ and $Li_{6}PS_{5}Cl$ with 0%, 50%, and 100% S/X site inversion. Shaded regions show estimated 95% confidence intervals, calculated at each time interval via bootstrap sampling [73]. Source: The raw data and scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as part of Ref. [60].

FIG. 4. Time-averaged probabilities for a lithium ion to occupy a particular tetrahedral site type, for (a) $Li_{6}PS_{5}I$ and (b) $Li_{6}PS_{5}Cl$ with 0%, 50%, and 100% S/X site inversion. Source: The raw data and scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as part of Ref. [60].
In each tetrahedral site (Fig. 4). All six models predict partial occupation of both type 5 and type 2 tetrahedra, with type 2 occupation increasing with greater anion site-inversion. The set of all type 5 and type 2 tetrahedra forms a three-dimensional network of face-sharing tetrahedra, and we might therefore expect all systems to exhibit long-ranged lithium diffusion. Yet this is not the case, as only the 50 % site-inverted systems exhibit long-ranged lithium diffusion. All systems apart from 0 % site-inverted Li₆PS₅I also exhibit partial type 4 tetrahedral occupation, which further increases the connectivity of the three-dimensional tetrahedral network (Fig. 6(a)), and provides additional potential pathways for long-ranged diffusion. As is the case for increasing the occupation of type 2 tetrahedra, an increase in the occupation of the type 4 occupation is similarly not correlated with increased lithium diffusion. The 100 % site-inverted Li₆PS₅X models have the highest probabilities of occupying both type 2 and type 4 tetrahedra, yet exhibit no long-ranged Li diffusion.

Site-Site Transition Probabilities. The lack of direct correlations between the tetrahedral site-type occupations and the calculated diffusion data indicates that the varying capacities for long-ranged diffusion in the Li₆PS₅X argyrodites is not a simple consequence of whether lithium does or does not partially occupy non-type 5 sites. Instead, we consider the possibility that it is not simply the occupations of the different tetrahedral sites that is important, but that the anion configuration may crucially affect whether the diffusion pathways connecting these sites are active or inactive. To determine the active diffusion paths in each system, we have analysed our inherent structure trajectories to identify transition events, defined as a lithium ion moving from one tetrahedral site to a neighbouring site. We can then calculate the probability that a lithium ion initially occupying site type i subsequently moves to another site of type j, averaged over all observed transitions, for each i→j pairing. Fig. 5 shows transition matrices of the probabilities P(i→j). In each matrix, each row corresponds to a different initial site type (2, 4, or 5) and each non-blank entry in that row gives the observed probability of moving to a given adjacent site type. For 0 % anion site-inversion, only 5→5, 5→2, and 2→5 transitions occur. With no 2→2 or 5→4 transitions, no long-ranged diffusion is possible, and lithium motion is restricted to closed “cages” around the 4c sites (see Fig. 6(b)) [76]. For 100 % site-inversion we observe only 2→2, 5→2, and 5→4 transitions. Long-ranged diffusion is now blocked by the inactive 5→5 transition, again giving to restricted lithium diffusion around the 4a sites (see Fig. 6(c)). For 50 % site-inversion, however, all jump types are observed, which is consistent with the existence of a contiguous diffusion network that can accommodate long-ranged Li diffusion; Li can now move around 4a sites and 4c sites. We therefore find that lithium motion between different tetrahedral sites is dependent on the local S/X anion configuration, which gives rise to a qualitative difference in active lithium diffusion pathways between anion-ordered and anion-disordered Li₆PS₅X systems, as well as between models with 0 % and 100 % site inversion.

Time-Average Site Positions and Site-Site Percolation. As discussed in section II, all argyrodites possess topologically identical MgCu₂-structured anions, and therefore have equivalent tetrahedral interstitial sites available for lithium diffusion. Understanding why a specific arrangement of anions across the 4a and 4c sites gives continuous versus discontinuous diffusion pathways requires going beyond the analysis presented above, which only considers the occupation of specific tetrahedra and the movement of lithium between these discrete sites. In the mixed-anion Li₆PS₅X argyrodites, each tetrahedral hole may have a mixture of S and X anions at its vertices, giving an asymmetric coordination environment. The equilibrium lithium position within a given tetrahe-
site–site distances exhibit active transitions, while transi-
tion paths, while short inter-site distances correspond to “inactive” diffusion paths, the site–site pdfs show sharp peaks, indicating a degree of ordering by the lithium ions, and a clear hierarchy of site separations: the shortest 5–5 separation is \( \sim 1.2 \) Å, corresponding to pairs of adjacent type 5 tetrahedra. The next-nearest separation is 5–2 at \( \sim 1.5 \) Å, and the first 2–2 site separation peak is at \( > 2 \) Å. The 100 % site inverted systems also show sharp rdf peaks and distinct short and long site–site separations. Now the nearest-neighbour distances increase in the order 2–2 < 5–4 < 5–2 < 5–5, with the first 5–5 peak at \( > 2 \) Å. Comparing the positions of nearest-neighbour first peak for each site-pair to the correspond-
ing site–site transition probabilities above (Fig. 5) shows long inter-site distances correspond to “inactive” diffusion paths, while short inter-site distances correspond to “active” diffusion paths. The site–site pdfs for the 50 % site-inverted systems show broader distributions, indicating a range of site–site separations and a somewhat disordered lithium substructure. We find minimum inter-site distances of \(< 1\) Å for all four site–site distances. This does not mean that all site–site distances are this short in the 50 % disordered system. Instead we observe a continuous range of short to long separations, indicating that these anion-disordered systems the average lithium positions within each site are statically disordered.

In each system, the hierarchy of site–site distances (Fig. 7) is correlated with the pattern of active and in-
active lithium–site-transitions described in the previous section (Fig. 5). In the anion-ordered 0 % and 100 % site-inverted systems, tetrahedral pairs with short site–site distances exhibit active transitions, while transi-
tions between tetrahedral pair types with longer nearest-neighbour distances are inactive. In the anion-disordered 50 % site-inverted systems, all combinations of face-sharing tetrahedral pairs exhibit a range of short and long site–site distances, and all site–site transitions are observed.

This correlation between short or long site–site dis-
tances and active or inactive lithium–site-transitions sug-
gests a model wherein fast long-ranged lithium diffusion in the anion-disordered systems is associated with a per-
colating network of short lithium site separations, while non-diffusive motion in the anion-ordered systems is as-
associated with a non-percolating disconnected network.

To test this model, for each system we have calculated the minimum site—site separation distance at which the average lithium positions at each site form a percolating network (Fig. 7). We find this threshold percolation distance is significantly shorter for the anion-disordered systems than for the anion-ordered systems. For both the 0 % and 100 % site-inverted systems, the large 2–2 or 5–5 separations mean lithium motion is predominantly constrained to local “cages” of closely separated sites, surrounding the 4c or 4a positions respectively.

Anion–Lithium Radial Distribution Functions. The ef-
effect of ordered versus disordered anion configurations on the lithium substructure is also evident in the S/X–Li and X(4a/4c)–Li radial distribution functions (Fig. 8).

In the anion-ordered 0 % and 100 % site-inverted systems, the nearest-neighbour S–Li distances are shorter than the nearest-neighbour X–Li distances. This corresponds to a displacement of the Li site positions towards the S-occupied 4c or 4a sites, and can be understood in terms of simple electrostatics—positive lithium ions are more strongly attracted to \( S^{2-} \) ions than to \( X^- \) ions. This asymmetry in anion–lithium coordination is larger for \( X = I \) than for \( X = Cl \), due to the additional difference in anion ionic radii. Because the S and X anions are crys-
tallographically ordered in these systems, a decrease of Li-site distances to S-occupied 4c or 4a sites corresponds to an increase of Li-site distances to X-occupied 4a or 4c

![FIG. 6. (a) Schematic of all possible site–site lithium diffusion pathways between face-sharing pairs of type 2, type 4, and type 5 tetrahedra. (b) In 0 % site-inverted Li6PS5I and Li6PS5Cl, only 5 → 5, 5 → 2, and 2 → 5 transitions are observed, corresponding to “caged” diffusion around 4c sites. (c) In 100 % site-inverted Li6PS5I and Li6PS5Cl, only 2 → 2, 2 → 5, 5 → 2, 5 → 4 and 4 → 5 transitions are observed, corresponding to “caged” diffusion around 4a sites.](image-url)
This pattern of short S–Li and long X–Li distances, combined with the S/X ordering over 4c and 4a sites, explains the Li–Li site distances discussed in the previous section (Fig. 7), which then explains the pattern of active and inactive lithium diffusion pathways in these systems (Fig. 6). This effect, where an ordered S/X anion substructure induces ordered displacements in the lithium-site positions, is illustrated schematically in Fig. 10 (a and b).

In the anion-disordered 50% site-inverted systems, the S–Li and X–Li nearest-neighbour distances are more similar, and the corresponding peaks are broader. In particular, for 50% site-inverted Li_6PS_5Cl the S–Li and X–Li nearest-neighbour peaks coincide. In an anion-disordered system, the Li sites experience a range of local coordination environments with different permutations of S and X neighbouring anions. The average position of each Li site now depends on the specific local anion environment. Because the S and X anions are disordered, the arrangement of long Li site–site distances, which correspond to inactive diffusion paths, is also disordered, allowing a percolating network of shorter active diffusion paths (Fig. 10(c)).

The average numbers of lithium ions around the S/X 4a and 4c sites can be calculated by integrating the rdf data (Fig. 9). For Li_6PS_5X stoichiometry argyrodites there are exactly six lithium ions per 4a/4c S anion. For all the anion-ordered systems (0% and 100% site-inversion) we find an average of \( n = 6 \) lithium ions around the 4c or 4a S atoms, respectively, suggesting the structure can be described as 4c or 4a-centered SLi_6 sub-units, with X occupying the remaining 4a or 4c sites. For the 50% systems, we find an average of \( n > 6 \) Li ions associated with each S atom, suggesting a more complex lithium arrangement. Because the ratio of lithium to 4a/4c sulfur is consistently 6 Li to 1 S in all cases, an average coordination number of \( n > 6 \) Li ions indicates that some Li contributes to coordination of more than one S centre.

**Sulfur–Lithium Coordination Polyhedra.** For a more detailed description of the local S–Li coordination environments, we have classified the local lithium coordination around each 4a or 4c sulfur according to the degree of geometric similarity with respect to a set of reference SLi_4 coordination polyhedra. We consider a “coordina-

---

**FIG. 7.** Lithium site–site radial distribution functions for Li_6PS_5I and Li_6PS_5Cl at 0%, 50%, and 100% anion-site-inversion. For each set of data, the vertical dashed line shows the minimum separation for which the lithium sites form a percolating network. *Source:* The raw data and scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as part of Ref. [60].

**FIG. 8.** S(4a/4c)–Li and X(4a/4c)–Li radial distribution functions, \( g(r) \), for Li_6PS_5I and Li_6PS_5Cl with 0%, 50%, and 100% S/X site inversion. *Source:* The raw data and scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as part of Ref. [60].
tion polyhedron” to consist of a single S atom residing at a 4c or 4a site plus the set of lithium ions within a spherical cut-off \( r_{\text{coord}} \), with the cut-off distance chosen to lie in the first plateau region from Fig. 9. For each coordination polyhedron, we can quantify the geometric similarity to a given reference polyhedron, such as a perfect octahedron, by calculating the corresponding Continuous Symmetry Measure (CSM) \([77]\). The CSM can be thought of as a normalised “distance” between two polyhedral geometries: larger CSM values indicate larger deviations from the reference geometry. Here, we classify each coordination polyhedron geometry by computing CSM values with respect to a set of common polyhedral coordination motifs \([64, 78]\) and selecting the “most similar” motif—given by the smallest CSM.

The relative proportions of different coordination polyhedral geometries are shown in Fig. 11. For the 0% and 100% site-inverted systems we observe nearly exclusively 6-coordinate polyhedra \([79]\), as suggested by the average S–Li coordination numbers (cf. Fig. 9). In these anion-ordered systems, these SLi\(_{6}\) units preferentially adopt approximately octahedral geometries, with a few trigonal prismatic configurations observed for all but the Li\(_{6}\)PS\(_{5}\)I 0% system. An octahedral distribution of lithium ions around each S is reasonable from electrostatics, because it minimises the net Coulomb repulsion between the lithium ions for \( n = 6 \). For the anion-disordered systems we observe a mixture of 6- and 7-coordinate polyhedra, in agreement with the average \( n > 6 \) coordination number obtained from the \( g(r) \) data.

**S–Li\(_{x}\) Polyhedra Dynamics.** The SLi\(_{x}\) coordination polyhedra provide a schema for classifying the lithium dynamics in each system. For each polyhedron, we consider two features: the first is the set of lithium ions that define the polyhedron vertices, and the second is the set of edges that connect these vertices, which then defines the polyhedron topology. In our simulation trajectories, each lithium ion is assigned an integer index. The set of lithium ions that define a specific coordination polyhedron (all those within \( r_{\text{coord}} \) of the central atom) can be described by a vertex list of these ion indices, e.g. \((1, 3, 7, 20, 52, 100)\). The edge topology connecting these ions is described by an undirected edge graph, where we consider an edge formed between any two vertices of a polyhedron with a separation smaller than a threshold distance \( r_{\text{edge}} \). These features allow us to define three classes of lithium motion:

1. Neither the vertex list nor the edge graph change, but the polyhedron undergoes a “rigid” rotation in space.

2. Only the edge graph changes. The vertex list remains unchanged. This corresponds to some internal reorganisation of lithium ions that changes the polyhedron topology.

3. The vertex list changes (and the edge graph therefore also changes). This corresponds to a lithium ion leaving a polyhedron (moving beyond the cut-off \( r_{\text{coord}} \)), or joining a new polyhedron, or both.

The first two of these correspond to local lithium motion, while the third constitutes lithium transfer between SLi\(_{x}\) polyhedra, which is required for long-ranged lithium diffusion.

In the anion-ordered 0% and 100% site-inverted systems, we find that exchange of lithium ions between coordination polyhedra is nearly never observed on the timescale of our simulation \([80]\), which is consistent with the long-time plateaus in the lithium mean-squared displacement data and the inactive site–site transitions described above, and with data from previous molecular dynamics simulations of anion-ordered Li\(_{6}\)PS\(_{5}\)X argyrodiles \([22, 30–33]\). In these anion-ordered systems, the lithium dynamics nearly exclusively comprises internal motions of SLi\(_{6}\) units.

For anion-ordered Li\(_{6}\)PS\(_{5}\)I (Fig. 12) these motions are predominantly rigid rotations of the SLi\(_{6}\) octahedral coordination polyhedra, which proceed via a concerted motion of four coplanar lithium ions around the perpendicular axis (Fig. 13(a)). We also observe a small number of internal reorganisations consisting of octahedral \( \rightarrow \) trigonal-prismatic \( \rightarrow \) octahedral transitions, which proceed via the concerted motion of three face-sharing lithium ions (Fig. 13(b)). This internal reorganisation via a trigonal-prismatic intermediate is analogous to a “Bailar twist” and is the minimum distortion pathway between two topologically inequivalent octahedra \([81]\).
FIG. 10. Schematic illustrating the coupling between configurational order/disorder of the anion substructure and static order/disorder of the Li sites. (a) An “ideal” anion substructure. All Li sites are in symmetric environments, and all site–site distances are equal. (b) An ordered anion substructure. All Li sites are in locally asymmetric environments, and move towards \(S^{2−}\) (blue) and away from \(X^{−}\) (yellow) anions, to give an ordered non-percolating network of short site–site distances. (c) A disordered anion substructure. The shift of Li site positions depends on the local anion configuration. Moving towards \(S\) and away from \(X\) anions produces a disordered percolating network of short site–site distances. Solid lines in (c) indicate site–site distances that are shorter than in the “ideal” anion substructure.

FIG. 11. Populations of SLi\(_x\) coordination polyhedra geometries, for Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)I and Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)Cl with 0 %, 50 %, and 100 % S/X site inversion. Polyhedral geometries have been assigned by calculating the minimum Continuous Symmetry Measure (CSM) [77] for a set of reference coordination geometries [64, 78]. Blue bars denote 6-coordinate polyhedra; yellow bars denote 7-coordinate polyhedra. Source: The raw data and scripts used to generate this figure are available under CC-BY-4.0/MIT licences as part of Ref. [60].

Both these motions have midpoints that are local potential energy minima, where the displaced lithium ions have moved from type 5 to type 2 tetrahedral sites.

For anion-ordered Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)Cl we observe similar behaviour, with local Li dynamics comprising both rigid octahedral rotations and internal reorganisation via trigonal-prismatic intermediates. These local Li dynamics in Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)Cl are more frequent than in Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)I, and individual SLi\(_x\) units spend more time in intermediate configurations, where some lithium ions occupy type 2 sites, making it difficult to classify discrete dynamical events.

For the anion-disordered Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)I and Li\(_6\)PS\(_5\)Cl systems we find qualitatively different behaviour to the anion-ordered systems described above. With S/X disorder present, lithium ions undergo rapid exchange between SLi\(_x\) units. This, again, is consistent with the mean-squared displacements and site–site transition analyses presented above, and with previous molecular dynamics simulations [22, 23–33].

String-like Collective Diffusion. The conventional model for ionic diffusion in solid electrolytes assumes that ion transport is effected by a sequence of single-ion “hops” between discrete sites [82–84], and this model has been assumed in the analysis of diffusion in lithium argyrodites in a number of previous studies [9, 11, 22–25, 28, 41–44, 48, 85]. For many fast-ion solid electrolytes, however, ion transport instead proceeds via collective diffusion processes, whereby multiple ions participate in synchronous cooperative motion [86–96]. Such cooperative motions can be considered a defining characteristic of “superionic” conductivity, in distinction to fast, but conventional, single-particle–hopping [88], and concerted lithium diffusion has recently been proposed to be a contributing factor in the exceptionally high ionic conductivities of Li-excess Li\(_{6+x}\)M\(_x\)Sb\(_{1−x}\)S\(_5\)I (M =
disordered Li₆PS₅X systems diffuse via individual or collective processes, we have identified groups of lithium ions that are involved in cooperative string-like motions [97]. We define strings that form on a timescale Δt by connecting two mobile ions i and j if

$$\min \left[ |\mathbf{r}_i(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{r}_j(t)|, |\mathbf{r}_j(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{r}_i(t)| \right] < \delta. \quad (1)$$

This corresponds to selecting pairs of mobile ions where one ion has moved into a position previously occupied by the second ion. To construct strings we then connect ion pairs that occur within the same time window that contain one common mobile ion.

The identification of strings of mobile ions, via equation 1, is not on its own sufficient to distinguish between individual hopping and a concerted diffusion mechanism. In the case of simple vacancy hopping, a sequence of “vacancy hops” produces a string of mobile ions, even though in this case the single-ion hops that produce this sequence are temporally uncorrelated. To distinguish a temporally uncorrelated process from a correlated process, we consider the distribution of string lengths observed in time Δt. For a stochastic hopping process, the number of hops in time window Δt, and hence the distribution of string lengths, samples a Poisson distribution [98]. Observing a probability distribution of string lengths that strongly deviates from a Poisson distribution is therefore evidence for ion displacements that are clustered in time.

Fig. 14 shows the probability distributions of string lengths, P(n), from our simulations, for Δt = 5 ps. For the anion-ordered systems we find high probabilities of strings with lengths 2–4, corresponding to the concerted motions of ions within SLi₆ coordination polyhedra described above. For the 50% site-inverted systems we observe a range of string lengths, with P(n) following an approximate geometric distribution. This mirrors the behaviour observed in supercooled glassy liquids [97] where string-like diffusion is often associated with dynamic heterogeneity [56, 99–101], whereby spatially cor-
related subsets of particles exhibit much faster dynamics than the system average. A geometric distribution of string lengths is consistent with a mechanistic model consisting of string “initiation”, followed by string “propagation” with the probability of a string increasing in length from $N$ particles to $N+1$ particles is independent of $N$. Our analysis here indicates that lithium mobility is effected by concerted ion motions in all our systems. In the anion-ordered systems, these motions are “closed-loops” typically consisting of groups of four or three lithium ions undergoing local cyclic motions (cf. Fig. 13). In the anion-disordered systems, we find string-like concerted motions that facilitate the diffusion of extended groups of lithium ions.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The data from our molecular dynamics simulations provide multiple complementary perspectives of the atomic-scale diffusion behaviour in Li$_6$PS$_5$X argyrodites. Combining these perspectives provides the basis of a coherent model for the lithium diffusion mechanism in these systems, and how this is affected with the degree of substitutional $4c/4a$ anion disorder.

We first analysed the lithium distribution in each simulation in terms of occupation of the different tetrahedral sites within the close-packed anion substructure. We find that lithium ions predominantly occupy type 5 tetrahedral sites; particularly so for the fully ordered S($4c$)/X($4a$) systems; which broadly agrees with previous diffraction experiments [21, 36]. For all systems, we also find partial occupation of non-type 5 tetrahedra. Dieseroth et al, have previously noted that long-ranged diffusion of lithium through the argyrodite structure requires movement of lithium through non type-5 tetrahedra [45]. In more recent studies of lithium argyrodites, however, the lithium substructure has typically been considered purely in terms of occupation of type 5 (and 5a) sites, with lithium diffusion decomposed into classes of direct 5→5 transitions [9, 11, 22, 24, 25, 27, 30, 32, 33, 41, 42, 85, 102, 103]. This simplified perspective neglects the different tetrahedra types defined by the close-packed anion substructure, and obscures their roles in the lithium diffusion processes in different argyrodite compositions.

For Li$_6$PS$_5$X systems, these non-type 5 tetrahedra are not simply high-energy intermediates that define local potential energy maxima along lithium diffusion 5→5 pathways. Instead we find stable Li configurations, corresponding to local potential-energy minima, in which non-type 5 sites are occupied. This illustrates the importance of these sites when describing the relevant potential energy surface for lithium diffusion. Occupation of non-type 5 tetrahedra has been identified in experimental samples for $x$(Li) > 6 argyrodites [48-50] as well as in recent neutron diffraction studies of Li$_6$PS$_5$Br and Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl [46, 47]. The tetrahedrally close-packed geometry defined by the MgCu$_2$-structured anion sites is common to all argyrodites, and we therefore expect analyses that consider diffusion in terms of ion motion between these different tetrahedra to provide useful insight into the transport mechanisms operating in argyrodite stoichiometries beyond Li$_6$PS$_5$X.

In the case of the Li$_6$PS$_5$X systems, an analysis in terms of occupation of spatially-discrete lithium sites also allows the active lithium diffusion pathways in each system to be resolved. While the partial site-occupations for these anion-ordered and anion-disordered systems might equally suggest the existence of a contiguous lithium-diffusion pathway, via a network of face-sharing tetrahedra, lithium does not always move freely between these partially occupied tetrahedra. For anion-ordered systems, there are regular “blocked” pathways between specific neighbouring tetrahedral sites, giving a non-contiguous diffusion network. Our analysis of the time-average lithium positions within each partially occupied tetrahedral site shows that “blocked” pathways correspond to large average Li–Li separations, while the set of short “active” pathways forms closed orbits around the 4c or 4e S anions, producing the restricted “cage-like” lithium diffusion reported in previous studies [22, 30, 31, 34]. This can be considered a form of lithium ordering, induced by the configurational anion order, that arises from a preference for shorter S–Li than...
In anion-disordered systems, conversely, we find no systematic pattern of short–long average lithium separations between adjacent sites: the set of short “active” pathways is disordered and forms a percolating three-dimensional network, that facilitates long-ranged lithium diffusion.

The idea that the lithium ions are, in some sense, ordered when the S/X anions are substitutionally ordered, but that lithium ions are disordered when these anions are disordered, and that this difference directs the lithium diffusion behaviour, also emerges from our analysis of the local lithium coordination environment around the 4a and 4c S anions. In the anion-ordered systems the lithium substructure can be considered as 6-coordinate SLi6 polyhedra around the 4c or 4a sulfur atoms. This “pseudo-ordering” corresponds to an effective crystal symmetry where the regular 6-coordinate SLi6 motif is invariant under integer lattice-vector translations, even though the lithium ions are crystallographically disordered over the available tetrahedral sites.

The pseudo-ordering of lithium in S/X-ordered argyrodites can be explained by considering the various Coulombic interactions between S–Li, X–Li, and Li–Li ion pairs. Lithium ions are attracted more strongly to S2− than X− anions, due to the larger formal charge of S, which encourages Li to adopt cage-like configurations around the 4a or 4c S ions. The repulsive Li–Li Coloumbic interactions, however, tend to maximise Li–Li separations within each coordination cage. In an anion-ordered system, these two factors can be simultaneously optimised by arranging exactly six Li ions around each 4a or 4c S anion (Fig. 15(a)). Within each SLi6 unit, the Li–Li repulsion is minimised by the Li ions adopting an approximately octahedral configuration. Lithium motions that only produce internal reorganisation of individual SLi6 units do not disrupt this pseudo-ordering, and are frequent on a simulation timescale. These motions are highly cooperative, proceeding via octahedral or trigonal-prismatic intermediates that preserve the mutual Li–Li separation.

Long-ranged diffusion, in contrast, requires lithium motion between adjacent SLi6 coordination polyhedra. Consider two adjacent [SLi6 + SLi6] polyhedra in the ordered system. Lithium transfer between these polyhedra produces a [SLi6 + SLi7] configuration, and disrupts the preferred pseudo-ordered coordination motif. This “disordered” configuration is energetically disfavoured, because of the increased Li–Li repulsion within the SLi7 unit. Forming SLi6 coordination environments with x ≠ 6 in these anion-ordered Li6PS3X argyrodites can therefore be considered a form of defect-pair formation, analogous to Frenkel pair formation in stoichiometric crystals [98].

In the S/X-disordered systems, we can again understand the behaviour by considering the interplay of anion–Li and Li–Li Coulomb interactions. Li ions again preferentially coordinate S, rather than X anions. We have seen in the anion-ordered system that if these SLi6 units are well-separated, the Li–Li interactions between SLi6 units are negligible, and the total electrostatic energy is minimised by forming a set of regular octahedral SLi6 subunits. In an anion-disordered system, however, S ions occupy both 4a and 4c sites. Forming adjacent SLi6 octahedra would now introduce short Li–Li distances between Li ions nominally associated with the different S anions (Fig. 15(b)). SLi6 configurations can be said to be “geometrically frustrated” [104]. To avoid these short Li–Li separations, Li ions can instead be “shared” between adjacent SLi6 environments, producing a mixture of SLi6 and SLi7 coordination motifs, and spatially disordered average Li positions within each tetrahedral site (Fig. 15(c)). Lithium motion between different S-ordination environments is now possible without changing the net distribution of coordination environments—e.g. Li transfer between two adjacent SLi6 environments might proceed as SLi7 + SLi6 → SLi6 + SLi7. This is expected to correspond to a low energy diffusion process, analogous to diffusion of extrinsic defects (vacancies or interstitials) in a non-stoichiometric conventional crystal [98].

Superionic conductivity in solid electrolytes is often associated with some form of disorder within the mobile-ion substructure [105–108], and studies of various solid electrolyte families have revealed a range of mechanisms that can contribute to this substructural disorder [10, 32, 104, 109–113]. The results presented here provide another example of this general principle. In this instance, superionic conductivity arises as a consequence of substitutional disorder within the immobile ion host-substructure, via induced mobile-ion disorder. Enhanced conductivities have been reported in other materials with configurational host-framework disorder [114–118], and the same underlying mechanism of induced mobile-ion disorder may be responsible in these cases. This raises the question of the extent to which substitutional framework-disorder might be useful as a general design strategy to obtain superionic conductivity in other families of solid electrolytes?

In the case of the lithium argyrodites, we can ask the more specific question of how these results for Li6PS3X might suggest design strategies for optimising the ionic conductivity of x(Li) ≠ 6 argyrodites? Our results indicate that in the case of Li6PS3X, substitutional anion disorder, and the resulting lithium disorder, are key to achieving fast Li diffusion. This suggests that substitutional disorder; either due to mixed anions, or to partial substitution of P with elements such as Ge, might have a similar positive effect in x(Li) ≠ 6 systems. A disordered potential energy surface may promote fast diffusion by causing concerted “superionic” diffusion mechanisms [119], rather than slower independent-hopping diffusion mechanisms. A second, contrasting, interpretation comes from noting that in Li6PS3X systems, S/X anion disorder gives S occupying adjacent 4a and 4c sites, which contributes to lithium disorder due to Coulombic frustration of otherwise regular SLi6 units. This perspective
(a) S/X ordered, with SLi$_6$ polyhedra  (b) S/X disordered, with SLi$_6$ polyhedra  (c) S/X disordered, with SLi$_{6,7}$ polyhedra
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FIG. 15. Schematic of the change in lithium coordination around 4$a$/4$c$ S ions as a function of S/X order/disorder. (a) For S/X anion ordering, the 4$c$ (or 4$a$) S ions are well separated, and Li ions arrange into SLi$_6$ coordination “cages”. (b) S/X anion disorder means S ions occupy adjacent 4$a$ and 4$c$ sites. Maintaining SLi$_6$ coordination would produce short Li–Li distances (red arrows), which are Coulombically disfavoured. (c) Sharing Li between adjacent 4$a$ and 4$c$ S coordination environments reduces the net Li–Li repulsion, and gives a mix of irregular SLi$_6$ and SLi$_7$ coordination environments.

suggests that in $x$(Li) ≠ 6 argyrodites, fast lithium-ion diffusion might be achieved in compositions in which the S/X ratio differs from one, making, for example Li$_7$PS$_6$ an interesting end-member case.

Finally, we note that the highest room-temperature ionic conductivities for lithium argyrodites have been reported for “Li excess” systems with $x$(Li) > 6, such as Li$_6$P$_0.4$Ge$_{0.6}$S$_5$I [11] and Li$_{6+x}$M$_x$Sb$_{1-x}$S$_5$I ($M = \{\text{Si, Sn, Ge}\}$) [48]. In the latter case, lithium has been shown to occupy non-type 5 sites, which was attributed to the $x$(Li) > 6 lithium stoichiometry, with this lithium “site-disorder” suggested as the origin of the observed fast lithium-ion conduction [48]. This suggestion is consistent with the general principle that lithium disorder (in some form) is necessary to achieve fast lithium diffusion, and raises the possibility of doing so directly through control of lithium stoichiometry; in contrast to the induced lithium disorder arising from substitutional framework disorder, as for the anion-disordered Li$_6$PS$_5$X systems. Attributing the exceptional ionic conductivity of these experimental $x$(Li) > 6 systems to a single mechanistic origin is challenging. The excess Li stoichiometry is a consequence of aliovalent substitution of host-framework atoms occupying the 4$b$ site, which introduces a new source of substitutional disorder within the host framework. These materials also exhibit small amounts of S/I disorder, which may also contribute to Li disorder and enhance Li diffusion [11]. Resolving the interplay between stoichiometry, structure, and lithium dynamics, and using this understanding to further optimise the ionic conductivities of this family of solid electrolytes, presents an intriguing challenge. The complexity of these issues suggests that a full understanding will only be reached by combining data from systematic experimental studies of controlled stoichiometries with insight from corresponding computational studies.
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