INTRODUCTION

The use of consumer’s online shopping is rapidly increasing all over the world. The online market in 2015 reached US$1,671 trillion, up 25% from 2014, and will further rise to $3,578 trillion in 2019 (E-Marketer, 2015). Especially in China, with the rapid spread of the Internet and mobile terminals, the use of online shopping has also increased greatly. According to the survey by the China Internet Information Center (CNNIC), the Internet population of China at the end of 2014 was 649 million, the mobile Internet population using the mobile terminal reached 557 million people. (China Internet Network Information Center, 2015). It is changing from purchasing in a real shop to purchasing with net shopping in China. Actually, the market size of net shopping in China has increased more than 20 times, from 62 billion yuan in 2007 to 1.3 trillion yuan in 2012. (Beijing Seimochi Consulting, 2012) Consumer protection, especially consumer education is inadequate in the midst of sharp changes in consumer environments. In Japan, consumer problem of online shopping has increased considerably in 2013 according to the development of the online shopping market (Consumer Affairs Agency of Japanese Government, 2014).

Under such circumstances, net shopping is not limited to purchasing in the domestic market, and online shopping across national borders, so-called "cross-border online shopping" has also spread. In cross-border net shopping, if there is a consciousness or behavior of different consumers depending on the country, there is a possibility that it may be a big problem in cross-border net shopping if there is a problem there. Therefore, consumers who can cope with cross-border net shopping without problem, or train con-
The number of net shopping users in China increased more than 20 times from 62 billion yuan to 1.3 trillion RMB in five years from 2007 to 2012. The net growth rate of net retail price in China continues after 2013 (Beijing Seimochi Consulting, 2012). As a result, China surpassed the U.S. as the world's biggest trading nation and is a growing influence in global commerce (Bloomberg News, 2013). The market size of net shopping in China increased more than 20 times from 62 billion yuan to 1.3 trillion RMB in five years from 2007 to 2012. The net growth rate of net retail price in China continues after 2013 (Beijing Seimochi Consulting, 2012). As a result, China surpassed the U.S. as the world’s biggest trading nation and is a growing influence in global commerce (Bloomberg News, 2013).

The number of net shopping users in China increased from 363 million at the end of 2014 to 413 million as of December 2015. The elongation rate was 14.3%. Compared to the Internet user growth rate of 6.1% during this period, net shopping growth rate is more than twice as high. The reason for this is thought to be a result of a rapid increase in users of online shopping through smartphones (Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, 2016).

Meanwhile, the reasons why some Chinese Internet users did not shop online were the lack of credibility and the inconvenience of payment in the survey results of 2011 (Atsmon, Magni, Li & Liao, 2012). Safety was still considered a big problem. However, concerning delivery anxiety and inconvenience of payment, the rate decreases year by year, giving rise to concerns about reliability, such as concerns about product quality, after-sales service, and distrust of sales sites (Kong, 2016). The China Consumers Association accepted 20,454 complaints regarding online shopping in 2012, which accounts for 52.4% of the total number of complaints received (National People's Congress, 2013). In 2015, among more than 20,000 complaints filed by the China Consumer Association on the mail order system, Internet mail order accounts for more than 90% (China Electronics Business Research Center, 2015).

Progress of Online Shopping and Consumer Issues in China

The EC market for Japanese consumer increased from 778 billion yen in 2010 to 1513.8 billion yen in 2016 to 15.1358. This is 9.9% increase over 2015. The EC conversion rate, which is an index showing the degree of penetration of EC, also increased by 0.68 points to 5.43%. However, it is lower than the US's (about 7%) and China's (15%). Of the total EC market, 52.9% is for merchandise-related EC, 35.4% for service area and 11.7% for digital area (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2016). The EC market for Japanese consumer increased from 778 billion yen in 2010 to 1513.8 billion yen in 2016 to 15.1358. This is 9.9% increase over 2015. The EC conversion rate, which is an index showing the degree of penetration of EC, also increased by 0.68 points to 5.43%. However, it is lower than the US's (about 7%) and China's (15%). Of the total EC market, 52.9% is for merchandise-related EC, 35.4% for service area and 11.7% for digital area (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2016). In the Basic Survey on Consumer Awareness by Consumer Affairs Agency (2017), 43.9% of the respondents answered "online shopping" as the sales form used for products and services buying in 2016. For purposes and uses of the Internet, the ratio of using "purchase/transaction of goods/services" was 54.3%, which was the second highest percentage after "sending and receiving e-mails" of 71% (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications of Japanese Government, 2015). Gehrt, Onzo, Fujita & Rajan (2007) surveyed Japanese web-shopping environment and discovered that customers from the shopping enjoyment segment are positively inclined toward recreation, quality, and impulse orientations when making online purchase.

In these situations, the number of consumer consultations on online shopping in 2016 exceeded 250,000 (Consumer Affairs Agency, 2017). To respond to the growing cross-border online shopping, Consumer Affairs Agency established the Cross-Border Consumer Center Japan (CCJ) in 2011. The number of cross-border consumer consultations received by CCJ in 2016 was 4,473. Of these consulta-
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In 2014, the global B2C cross-border e-commerce generated transactions totaling US$230 billion. The value will have increased to US$1 trillion by 2020 (Erickson, 2016). Consumer perceived trust and value determine consumers' intention to shop across national borders. Consumers’ perceived trust is influenced by the perception of social and formal norms in the vendor’s country and by the perceived reputation of the vendor. Benefit and cost considerations determine perceived value (Huang & Chang, 2017). Wagner, Schramm-Klein & Schu (2016) indicated that consumers' cross-border online shopping intentions were particularly affected by the specific benefits (e.g., a wide selection and exclusive brands/products) and less so by the risks (e.g., long delivery times, additional fees, and warranty claims) of foreign online shopping. This relationship is partly moderated by foreign travelling and consumer cosmopolitanism.

Cross-border e-Commerce took over as the key growth engine to B2C trade, with a compound annual growth rate of 29.3 percent from 2014 to 2020. Asia Pacific leads the pack, not only in overall guaranteed minimum value, but also in volume growth of cross-border e-Commerce, contributing 53.6 percent of the incremental trade volume over the period of 2014 to 2020 (Ali Research, 2016). According to the survey by the China Electronic Commerce Research Center (2015), the transaction value of the cross-border B2C market in 2014 reached 449.2 billion yuan. Of these, the value of the import cross-border B2C market accounted for 129 billion yuan. This value increased by 60% compared to 2013. Particularly, the market size of cross-border EC for individual consumers among Japan, the United States and China has been increasing every year. In 2014, the amount purchased from overseas (imported cross border EC) was 208.8 billion yen in Japan, 813.4 billion yen in the United States, and 1235.4 billion yen in China. The market size of China as a consuming country was very large compared to Japan and the United States, and the difference between the consumption and the purchase expanded every year (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014). As a factor of rapid growth in China’s personal import cross-border EC market, Bi (2016) pointed out the product safety issues such as foods, luxury brand orientation, expensive sales-counterfeit sales of overseas products, relaxation of regulations and policy support in China. Chinese consumers purchase health foods, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals with electric appliances such as electric rice cooker and automatic washing toilet seat by cross-border online shopping from Japan. As these products are necessary to purchase on a continuous basis, cross-border net shopping has expanded among Chinese consumers (Kohmura, Kamimura & Fukushima, 2017).

There are also some studies that consumers’ consciousness in each country was different about the net usage and online shopping. In a survey of comparison between American and Chinese consumers’ consciousness for net shopping (Liao, Proctor & Salvendy, 2009), Chinese consumers felt much more risk. In particular, concerns about the safety of transactions, the handling of personal information, and the after-sales service were high. It was caused from the inadequacy of infrastructure for net shopping. Regarding the net use, it was also revealed that the contents and levels of consumers’ anxiety of ten countries were different (Chiba et al., 2013; Yamamoto et al., 2011; Yamamoto et al., 2012).

OBJECTIVES

Cross-border online shopping has been developing steadily. The most serious risk of cross-border online shopping was thought to be mainly related to logistics. However, as mentioned above, there were different social situations for each country and different development of the online shopping environment. And there were different tendencies of risk recognition of consumers of different countries against net and online shopping. These different situations will cause new and different risks for cross-border net consumption by consumers in each country. Regarding net consumers, many investigations have been done in the past, but the perspectives are different and detailed comparison can not be made. In this research, we decided to compare in detail the same contents of survey for several years using the results of the survey conducted for consumers in Japan, China, and the US from the survey of the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry.

METHODOLOGY

The data used were extracted from the report of Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) of Japanese government, (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015). Respondents in each survey were assigned by gender, age, and region for China. The surveys were conducted on net. In Table 1, the number of the survey respondents is listed.
TABLE 1. The number of the respondents of the surveys of net shopping by the MITI

| Published in | Survey Conducted in | Number of Chinese Respondents | Number of Japanese Respondents |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2011         | 2010                | 2,093                         | 2,084                         |
| 2012         | 2011                | 2,038                         | 2,070                         |
| 2013         | 2012                | 2,044                         | 2,115                         |
| 2014         | 2013                | 2,000                         | 2,117                         |
| 2015         | 2014                | 1,953                         | 2,001                         |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Recognition of Benefits and Demerits of Online Shopping

In Table 2, the percentages of Chinese and Japanese respondents who agreed with the merits of net shopping were listed. The most chosen merit was "Cheaper than buying in a real store" both Chinese and Japanese, following "shopping without worrying about the travel time to the store and the opening hours of the store". Overall, Chinese consumers recognized more points as merits. They chose the merits such as "correspondence to various settlement services", "Because we can compare the functions and prices of similar products Can compare the price of the same goods", "can compare the functions and prices of similar goods", "Easy procedure and operation for purchasing goods", and "Can manage purchase history". Chinese consumers were collecting information by the functions of online shopping sites such as searching and comparing. Japanese consumers were obviously high only because of "Benefits such as points earning".

TABLE 2. Recognition of benefits of online shopping

|                        | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                        | 2010        | 2011         | 2012         | 2013         | 2010        | 2011         | 2012         | 2013         |
|                        | N = 1,953   | N = 1,819    | N = 1,800    | N = 1,999    | N = 2,001   | N = 1,946    | N = 1,906    | N = 1,816    |
| Cheaper than buying    | 75.7        | 70.4         | 76.3         | 74.7         | 61.1        | 55.1         | 64.6         | 64.1         |
| in a real store        |             |              |              |              |             |              |              |              |
| Can purchase goods/services that are not dealt with in real shops | 36.5        | 33.9         | 38.1         | 38.9         | 40.6        | 36.0         | 47.4         | 43.4         |
| Abundant inventory/inventory check | 14.4        | 11.3         | 11.8         | 13.7         | 19.9        | 17.9         | 22.1         | 24.4         |
| No time nor no efforts to deliver goods | 30.2        | 24.2         | 31.8         | 49.8         | 26.4        | 23.1         | 28.2         | 26.8         |
| Can consider carefully before purchase | 38.9        | 32.4         | 37.4         | 41.1         | 33.9        | 32.0         | 35.8         | 37.9         |
| Can compare the functions and prices of similar goods | 37.5        | 28.6         | 37.8         | 47.1         | 18.2        | 25.6         | 20.4         | 21.2         |
| Can compare the price of the same goods | 39.4        | 33.3         | 42.1         | 46.8         | 27.5        | 23.3         | 29.2         | 28.7         |
| Can find easily the goods to purchase by search function | 44.0        | 42.0         | 51.7         | 47.2         | 26.4        | 27.3         | 29.9         | 33.0         |
| Benefits such as points earning | 27.3        | 24.0         | 28.0         | 34.1         | 47.0        | 39.1         | 47.1         | 48.2         |
| Shopping without worrying about the travel time to the store and the opening hours of the store | 63.3        | 61.7         | 70.4         | 49.0         | 56.0        | 60.1         | 62.0         | 63.5         |
| Easy procedure and operation for purchasing goods | 37.3        | 31.0         | 38.3         | 32.8         | 21.2        | 18.5         | 20.7         | 19.4         |
| Clerk reception is not annoying | 14.4        | 11.0         | 11.2         | 17.9         | 14.4        | 15.0         | 15.3         | 13.3         |
| Correspondence to various settlement services | 28.4        | 24.1         | 29.1         | 30.6         | 10.8        | 10.0         | 12.4         | 11.7         |
| Can see the evaluation/review of the consumer who bought the goods | 36.7        | 31.6         | 41.7         | 50.2         | 28.4        | 21.5         | 29.4         | 27.7         |
| Can write impressions and feeling of use after purchasing goods | 9.1         | 6.7          | 11.9         | 14.5         | 1.9         | 2.1          | 1.9          | 1.5          |
| Can manage purchase history | 13.6        | 11.1         | 14.2         | 20.4         | 6.2         | 6.1          | 8.5          | 7.9          |
| Others(s)              | 0.5         | 0.4          | 0.1          | 0.2          | 1.1         | 1.5          | 1.3          | 0.9          |
Table 3 shows the recognition of the demerits of online shopping by Chinese and Japanese consumers. As a disadvantage, respondents chose the largest number in both China and Japan for "Can not check goods before purchase". Most Japanese consumers chose "Send personal information such as address and credit card number before purchase". Chinese consumers chose more for other items. They chose warranty of goods, delivery, such as "Do not know if after-sale service (returned goods, exchange, warranty, troubleshooting etc.) will be done", "Possibility of goods damage by delivery", "Possibility that the wrong product will be delivered", "Possibility that goods may not arrive even after depositing money".

### TABLE 3. Recognition of demerits of online shopping

|                               | Chinese (%) |            | Japanese (%) |            |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
|                               | 2010       | 2011      | 2012         | 2013      | 2010       | 2011      | 2012         | 2013      |
| Can not check goods before purchase | 65.0       | 55.7      | 67.4         | 58.9      | 71.1       | 67.7      | 67.7         | 69.5      |
| Send personal information such as address and credit card number before purchase | 26.1       | 23.8      | 28.4         | 32.8      | 44.3       | 40.2      | 40.2         | 41.1      |
| No explanation/no consultation from a clerk before purchase | 21.9       | 18.4      | 18.4         | 17.2      | 13.0       | 9.6       | 9.6          | 11.7      |
| Do not know if after-sale service (returned goods, exchange, warranty, troubleshooting etc.) will be done | 38.7       | 31.9      | 33.6         | 45.2      | 26.5       | 21.8      | 21.8         | 24.0      |
| Possibility of goods damage by delivery | 59.6       | 49.3      | 57.8         | 51.7      | 16.8       | 16.6      | 16.6         | 18.0      |
| Possibility that products can not be obtained by desired date or time due to delivery delay | 45.7       | 36.1      | 44.2         | 34.8      | 20.8       | 17.0      | 17.0         | 19.1      |
| Must wait until delivery at home | 32.6       | 26.6      | 28.7         | 23.4      | 22.8       | 18.2      | 18.2         | 21.2      |
| Possibility that the wrong product will be delivered | 24.7       | 19.5      | 20.9         | 30.6      | 8.9        | 8.7       | 8.7          | 9.4       |
| Possibility that goods may not arrive even after depositing money | 30.6       | 26.3      | 28.2         | 21.1      | 19.9       | 16.9      | 16.9         | 19.3      |
| Do not know whether businesses will respond appropriately to inquiries and troubles | 34.0       | 26.2      | 29.6         | 23.6      | 22.1       | 20.5      | 20.5         | 22.7      |
| Complicated procedures before purchase | 8.9        | 7.0       | 3.8          | 6.9       | 4.1        | 3.6       | 3.6          | 3.7       |
| Different procedures and operations before purchase for each shopping site | 15.8       | 12.0      | 15.7         | 16.5      | 9.4        | 8.9       | 8.9          | 11.0      |
| Cumbersome payment method | 4.9        | 4.3       | 3.7          | 4.0       | 5.0        | 4.5       | 4.5          | 3.8       |
| Other(s) | 0.4        | 0.8       | 4.1          | 0.1       | 2.0        | 1.3       | 1.3          | 0.8       |
| No demerits | 22.2 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 7.2 |

**Information Sharing Source for Net Shopping**

Table 4 shows the results of the information sharing sources. Chinese Consumers mostly chose more for almost all items. Japanese consumers chose more "word of mouth site(s)" only. Chinese consumers were getting information from diverse sources such as "Internet advertisement", "review site", "search result page by search engine", "home page of product provider", "and TV ad mini blog (twitter etc.)". "Introduction from acquaintance" was particularly distinctive. Su, Xie & Wang (2015) investigated the sources and credibility of the Internet information and reviews on China's online shopping site and revealed that they mostly trusted the accounts of their friends and relatives even in social media. It was presumed that "Introduction from acquaintance" was cited as a reliable information source. Also in Accenture's (2012) survey, 92.7%
of respondents answered that they utilize word information from acquaintances (family members, friends, colleagues), and 73.8% thought word information from acquaintances was "important" or "very important". Word information from acquaintances was most widely used and considered the most important one.

### TABLE 4: Information sharing source for net shopping

| Source                                      | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                                            | 2010 2011 2012 2013 2010 2011 2012 2013 |              |
|                                            | N = 1,953 N = 1,819 N = 1,800 N = 1,999 N = 2,001 |              |
| TV advertisement                            | 35.1 41.9 39.6 22.4 11.6 | 17.7 17.4 18.5 |
| Magazine advertisement/newspaper advertisement/leaflet | 24.7 21.4 20.3 18.5 12.0 | 17.7 14.9 11.6 |
| Internet advertisement                      | 34.5 54.2 49.9 47.6 17.3 | 31.4 30.6 31.5 |
| Outdoor advertisement                       | 17.0 9.7 12.8 13.9 1.2 | 1.4 2.2 2.6 |
| TV program                                  | 23.3 19.5 1.7 16.7 7.7 | 11.8 11.4 11.7 |
| Magazine articles/newspaper articles         | 22.3 15.6 16.8 20.3 10.7 | 14.2 12.9 11.5 |
| Home page of product provider               | 25.2 28.5 30.2 28.6 23.0 | 32.4 32.2 24.1 |
| Search result page                          | 27.3 34.7 9.3 31.2 20.6 | 29.6 32.6 29.7 |
| E-mail magazine                             | 15.6 11.1 8.3 10.8 9.7 | 17.7 15.2 16.8 |
| News site                                   | 18.2 20.1 20.3 26.1 3.8 | 6.4 6.9 9.4 |
| Review site                                 | 28.1 31.8 30.3 35.8 17.5 | 28.4 31.7 28.7 |
| Word of mouth site                          | 33.6 34.4 30.1 17.3 24.4 | 36.2 39.1 35.9 |
| Blog                                        | 15.6 13.5 12.1 15.3 6.8 | 10.1 12.1 10.5 |
| Mini blog (twitter etc.)                    | 13.9 21.3 20.7 22.8 2.0 | 4.1 4.0 6.1 |
| SNS                                         | 9.3 2.9 2.5 6.8 2.6 | 5.1 4.7 5.6 |
| Q&A community                               | 15.5 3.7 2.7 6.6 2.5 | 3.9 4.9 4.7 |
| Electronic bulletin board (BBS)             | 11.3 4.6 4.7 6.8 3.3 | 4.7 5.2 5.5 |
| Real store                                  | 27.9 24.4 30.0 22.0 13.8 | 17.3 20.5 14.6 |
| Mail order catalog                          | 12.9 6.3 7.9 6.8 7.5 | 11.9 10.1 9.4 |
| Exhibitions/Events                          | 14.4 8.8 11.7 11.0 1.7 | 1.8 1.7 1.4 |
| Introduction from acquaintance              | 38.3 37.4 39.2 37.9 9.1 | 11.8 10.5 10.3 |
| Other(s)                                    | 10.9 2.4 4.7 0.5 9.9 | 7.9 10.9 0.1 |
| Not collect information                     | 4.7 0.3 0.0 4.0 0.4 | 0.1 0.3 16.5 |

### Selection of Online Shopping Site

Table 5 shows the answer results of the reasons for selecting the online shopping site. From both Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers, 60 to 70% had chosen "cheap", following "the number of goods is abundant". Chinese consumers chose "Availability of detail product information/developers' or producers' information", "Fast delivery", "Availability of purchaser's evaluation comments", "Specification of delivery date, inventory information or delivery status of purchased goods", "Sites introduced by friends/acquaintances" more than Japanese consumers. Japanese consumers chose more than Chinese consumers, "Point system" and "Past experience" only. It was presumed that China was considering from more viewpoints when selecting a shopping site.

On the other hand, the reliability of the site, such as "Clear indication of address of the business operator, contact address, or person in charge", and "Security measures" were selected up to only 10%. Chinese and Japanese consumers did not consider these points so much for site selection.

### Inquiries to Online Shopping Site

Table 6 shows the results of inquiries from Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers about their inquiries to the online shopping site. For Chinese consumers after 2011, over 60% of respondents inquire about any points. On the other hand, Japanese consumers chose "Stock and delivery date" as about 20% at maximum. Although it tends to increase little by little with respect to "Product function" and "Product specification", it is remarkably small compared to Chinese consumers.

Table 7 shows the results of asking Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers whether they had experience...
negotiating prices during net shopping. The percentage of Chinese consumers who responded that they had negotiated the price anytime was significantly higher than Japanese consumers. For Japanese consumers, it was less than 10% at maximum. Based on these results, Chinese consumers often carried out various inquiries before purchase, such as interaction with sites, checking sites, and price negotiations, more than Japanese consumers.

**TABLE 5. Reasons for selection of online shopping site**

| Reason for Selection | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                      | 2011  | 2012  | 2013  | 2011  | 2012  | 2013  |
| Various items        | 62.8   | 58.9   | 30.4  | 51.1  | 48.3  | 56.8  |
| Search or narrow     | 30.4   | 31.1   | 21.5  | 37.7  | 30.6  | 35.8  |
| down products        |        |        |       |       |       |       |
| Availability of detail| 22.7  | 24.5   | 30.4  | 10.0  | 9.8   | 10.6  |
| product information/developers' or producers' information | | | | | | |
| Various inventory    | 12.4   | 7.3    | 18.8  | 18.4  | 16.4  | 15.7  |
| Cheap price          | 67.9   | 71.7   | 57.4  | 63.7  | 64.6  | 67.7  |
| Fast delivery        | 25.7   | 30.7   | 30.1  | 16.4  | 16.9  | 18.5  |
| Point system         | 12.6   | 10.8   | 13.7  | 31.8  | 28.9  | 31.2  |
| Availability of purchaser's evaluation comments | 26.7  | 31.6   | 36.2  | 21.5  | 19.3  | 18.1  |
| Recommendation function | 5.8   | 3.3    | 7.2   | 0.6   | 0.5   | 1.0   |
| Easy-to-understand site composition | 7.4   | 6.0    | 12.4  | 4.5   | 4.1   | 5.2   |
| Gift wrapping availability | 4.3   | 2.7    | 6.2   | 2.0   | 1.5   | 1.6   |
| Clear indication of address of the business operator, contact address, or person in charge | 9.3   | 13.7   | 11.5  | 8.0   | 7.6   | 7.2   |
| Specification of delivery date, inventory information or delivery status of purchased goods | 14.0  | 14.1   | 21.3  | 5.8   | 5.7   | 5.1   |
| Security measures    | 13.7   | 13.8   | 18.5  | 12.1  | 15.0  | 13.5  |
| Various payment methods | 15.9  | 19.0   | 19.1  | 12.1  | 13.4  | 11.2  |
| Various delivery methods and receiving methods | 3.6   | 3.1    | 11.7  | 5.0   | 6.2   | 4.7   |
| No shipping fee/cheap shipping fee/discount shipping fee | 33.9  | 37.6   | 24.6  | 30.7  | 35.7  | 33.3  |
| Major sites/famous sites | 15.7  | 16.2   | 19.9  | 18.2  | 19.8  | 20.8  |
| Sites introduced by friends/acquaintances | 9.9   | 9.8    | 9.9   | 1.3   | 1.2   | 0.7   |
| Careful handling     | 5.3    | 4.2    | 11.6  | 4.1   | 3.7   | 3.1   |
| Availability of inquiry form | 0.5   | 1.6    | 2.0   | 2.5   | 2.6   | 2.6   |
TABLE 6. Inquiries to the online shopping site  

|                      | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |                      | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |                      |                      | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                      | 2010        | 2011         | 2012                 | 2013        | 2010         | 2011         | 2012         | 2013        | 2010         | 2011         | 2012         | 2013         |
|                      | N = 807     | 921          | 768                  | 1927        | 1622         | 1575         | 1570         | 1796        | 1622         | 1575         | 1570         | 1796         |
| Product function     | 58.0        | 86.9         | 87.9                 | 85.0        | 8.8          | 15.0         | 14.2         | 17.9        | 8.8          | 15.0         | 14.2         | 17.9         |
| Product specification| 54.9        | 86.0         | 87.0                 | 85.2        | 7.8          | 14.6         | 13.3         | 18.5        | 7.8          | 14.6         | 13.3         | 18.5         |
| Terms of instruction manuals or operation screens of products | 17.6        | 65.4         | 65.1                 | 67.8        | 1.1          | 5.6          | 4.2          | 8.4         | 1.1          | 5.6          | 4.2          | 8.4         |
| Product usage method  | 31.7        | 73.2         | 76.1                 | 75.0        | 2.5          | 6.7          | 4.8          | 9.3         | 2.5          | 6.7          | 4.8          | 9.3         |
| Genuine product or fake product | 44.4        | 76.7         | 79.8                 | 77.1        | 1.4          | 5.6          | 4.1          | 7.3         | 1.4          | 5.6          | 4.1          | 7.3         |
| Presence of real stores | 20.2        | 63.8         | 57.4                 | 61.9        | 1.4          | 5.0          | 3.6          | 7.4         | 1.4          | 5.0          | 3.6          | 7.4         |
| Stock and delivery date | 42.1        | 83.2         | 84.8                 | 82.1        | 14.7         | 20.7         | 18.2         | 20.9        | 14.7         | 20.7         | 18.2         | 20.9        |
| Payment              | 36.8        | 70.9         | 67.7                 | 72.4        | 6.0          | 11.4         | 9.2          | 13.0        | 6.0          | 11.4         | 9.2          | 13.0        |
| Delivery trader/delivery means/delivery area | 32.8        | 76.3         | 74.7                 | 80.2        | 5.1          | 9.8          | 7.9          | 12.5        | 5.1          | 9.8          | 7.9          | 12.5        |
| After-sales service (free warranty period etc.) | 47.5        | 83.0         | 81.1                 | 82.5        | 3.9          | 9.0          | 6.9          | 11.0        | 3.9          | 9.0          | 6.9          | 11.0        |
| Product price (discount availability etc.) | 47.1        | 84.3         | 85.4                 | 83.1        | 2.2          | 5.9          | 5.1          | 9.4         | 2.2          | 5.9          | 5.1          | 9.4         |

TABLE 7. Price negotiation with online shopping site

|                      | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                      | 2011        | 2012         | 2013         |
|                      | 51.3        | 38.3         | 69.0         |
|                      | >           | >            | >            |
|                      | 6.2         | 1.6          | 7.7          |

Troubles with Online Shopping

Table 8 shows the results of asking Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers about their troubles in online shopping. In 2011 and 2012, many Japanese consumers answered their troubles with "Delay of good delivery/services providing (several days to one week)"; " and Product was defective or fake/Defect in service". However, these were drastically reduced in 2013. Many Chinese consumers answered "No delivery of goods/No service provided", "Did not match the product standard to the national standard", "Deformation and breakage of packing (No influence on goods)", "Deformation and breakage of packing/goods also damaged", "Different from the product on the site/the photo on the site", "Not get refund of the goods price", "Problem with shipping fee and commission (unreasonably high etc.)". Overall, Chinese consumers experienced much more troubles than Japanese consumers.

Table 9 shows the results of the complaint experience for net shopping troubles of Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers. The troubles in which many consumers complained were: "No arrival of goods/No service provided", "Delivery of different good(s)/Different service content(s)", "Defective or fake goods/Incomplete service", "The packaging was deformed or damaged, and the good(s) was damaged", "Different goods from the site or photos".

Japanese consumers made more complaints than Chinese consumers. For example, Japanese consumers complained "Not enough response to inquiries" or "No e-mail or late e-mail at the completion of purchase" much more than Chinese consumers.

Taking into consideration the result in Table 6, Japanese consumers seldom inquire before the purchase, but are found asking for a sufficient response when a trouble happens. Chinese consumers made various inquiries before purchasing, but even when they are still in trouble, they did not make much complaint.

Table 10 shows the percentage of people who returned the product(s) when troubleshooting online shopping. About 20% of Japanese consumers returned. More Chinese consumers returned every year, exceeding 60%
in 2013. Many Chinese consumers made various inquiries before purchasing, but still in trouble, they made no complaints, but returned product(s). Based on these results, it was clear that Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers have different consciousness about net shopping due to different social situation, different behaviors to avoid net shopping troubles, and different behaviors with net shopping troubles.

**TABLE 8.** Claim against problems to net shopping site

| Problem Description                                             | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|                                                                  | 2011 2012 2013 | 2011 2012 2013 |
| No arrival of goods/No service provided                         | 60.2 50.3 43.9 | 87.5 54.2 71.4 |
| Delivery of different good(s)/Different service content(s)     | 60.5 44.8 45.3 | 82.9 54.5 79.6 |
| Defective or fake goods/Incomplete service                      | 72.4 54.3 45.9 | 89.5 61.8 81.6 |
| The product did not match the standard                          | 45.2 44.7 42.2 | 50.0 22.2 46.7 |
| Delay in products delivery or providing services (several days to one week) | 61.1 41.4 27.5 | 71.9 30.3 32.0 |
| Delay in products delivery or providing services (a few weeks or more) | 76.4 44.6 42.2 | 77.6 47.1 55.7 |
| The packaging was deformed or damaged (no impact on products)   | 45.7 43.9 24.3 | 36.4 20.8 23.4 |
| The packaging was deformed or damaged, and the good(s) was damaged | 69.1 56.6 50.3 | 80.4 80.0 70.4 |
| Different goods from the site or photos                         | 64.3 53.4 35.7 | 52.5 60.0 54.5 |
| No product or insufficient numbers of goods                     | 56.9 42.0 41.3 | 2.9 80.0 76.9 |
| Unable to cancel or return the item                             | 44.1 41.2 32.7 | 53.1 50.0 52.2 |
| No refund of the goods                                          | 46.2 28.7 34.5 | 60.0 40.0 40.0 |
| Problem with shipping fee and commission (unreasonably high etc.) | 37.3 28.7 21.8 | 50.0 25.0 50.0 |
| Problem with request for goods price                            | 26.1 34.0 16.7 | 100.0 66.7 70.0 |
| Inadequate Product warranty (content or period)                 | 56.0 37.4 21.9 | 15.4 57.1 28.6 |
| Increase of phones or spam mails from unknown people after ordering | 32.4 30.6 16.7 | 32.1 0.0 7.7 |
| No e-mail or late e-mail at the completion of purchase          | 44.4 28.6 15.6 | 46.9 57.1 20.4 |
| No enough response to inquiries                                  | 29.8 19.6 15.0 | 46.7 71.4 53.5 |

Percentage of the number of people actually putting complaints against the number of people who encountered trouble

**TABLE 9.** Experience of goods return to net shopping site

| Year | Chinese (%) | Japanese (%) |
|------|-------------|--------------|
| 2010 | 35.4        | 19.5         |
| 2011 | 43.5        | 26.0         |
| 2012 | 45.7        | 22.2         |
| 2013 | 62.3        | 23.3         |
CONCLUSION

Consumers’ awareness of online shopping might be different for each country, depending on the different social situation and the development history of the online shopping environment. This will cause new and greater risks for consumers for cross-border online shopping. From the results of the survey conducted continuously for Chinese and Japanese for several years of METI, the comparison of awareness of online shopping between Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers was made.

As a result, online shopping was used for the convenience of price, travel time, and business hours by Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers. However, for Chinese consumers the easiness of information gathering such as searching and comparison was also the reason for using online shopping. Regarding disadvantage recognition, Japanese consumers were concerned about the transmission of personal information, but Chinese consumers had more anxiety of product warranty and delivery.

Chinese consumers collected information on online shopping through various media. Regarding the reason for choosing the site to use, both Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers chose prices, and abundant product numbers in common. Chinese consumers had selected sites from more viewpoints, such as buyer’s comment on evaluation, delivery date/inventory information, delivery situation, and introduction from friends/acquaintances. Chinese consumers as low as 60% responded that they will contact the site and about 20% from the Japanese consumers. The rate of negotiating prices is also significantly higher for Chinese consumers than for Japanese consumers. On the other hand, Chinese consumers have more trouble experience than Japanese consumers. When experiencing trouble, Japanese consumer claims are often experienced. Experience in returning goods when encountering trouble is high in Chinese consumers.

From the results, it was clear that there were common situations and different situations between Chinese consumers and Japanese consumers. Chinese consumers collected various information, and also made inquiries and negotiations before purchasing. Still, the rate of encountering trouble was high. They deal with returning goods in those case. Japanese consumers did not collect information, inquire or negotiate much before purchasing. They complained when they got into troubles.

For both consumers, they did not take into consideration information related to the reliability of the site for selection of the shopping site, such as “the location of the business operator, the contact address and the responsible person clearly indicated”, "and the security measures are being done".

This was one of the big common problems for Chinese and Japanese consumers. Cross-border net shopping is expected to increase more and more in the future. Knowing consumers themselves about the characteristics of their behaviors would be the key to preventing serious consumer problems in cross border online shopping. Furthermore, consumer education to enable necessary recognition and action, such as security confirmation, for healthy consumption will be necessary for consumers in any country.

LIMITATIONS

The actual situation of consumers’ use of the net changes intensely. The sense of net and net shopping is remarkably different depending on generation. Therefore, it is unknown when the results of this research are effective. However, the risk of net shopping does not always proceed in a direction to be alleviated. As the fact that there were differences in consciousness and reality by country and region is important, this research might be meaningful.
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