Regulators of Global Genome Repair Do Not Respond to DNA Damaging Therapy but Correlate with Survival in Melanoma
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Abstract

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) orchestrates the repair of helix distorting DNA damage, induced by both ultraviolet radiation (UVR) and cisplatin. There is evidence that the global genome repair (GGR) arm of NER is dysfunctional in melanoma and it is known to have limited induction in melanoma cell lines after cisplatin treatment. The aims of this study were to examine mRNA transcript levels of regulators of GGR and to investigate the downstream effect on global transcript expression in melanoma cell lines after cisplatin treatment and in melanoma tumours. The GGR regulators, BRCA1 and PCNA, were induced in melanocytes after cisplatin, but not in melanoma cell lines. Transcripts associated with BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM and CHEK2 showed altered expression in melanoma cell lines after cisplatin treatment. In melanoma tumour tissue BRCA1 transcript expression correlated with poor survival and XPB expression correlated with solar elastosis levels. Taken together, these findings provide evidence of the mechanisms underlying NER deficiency in melanoma.

Citation: Bowden NA, Ashton KA, Vilain RE, Avery-Kiejda KA, Davey RJ, et al. (2013) Regulators of Global Genome Repair Do Not Respond to DNA Damaging Therapy but Correlate with Survival in Melanoma. PLoS ONE 8(8): e70424. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070424

Editor: John D. Minna, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, United States of America

Received March 12, 2013; Accepted June 18, 2013; Published August 5, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Bowden et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the Hunter Medical Research Institute; the University of Newcastle Centre for Information Based Medicine; the Cancer Council, New South Wales, Australia; Cancer Australia; and the Cure Cancer Australia Foundation. NB and RV are supported by the National Health & Medical Research Council. KA is supported by the Mrs. Joyce and Dr. George Bogner Estate and the Hunter Medical Research Institute. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: Nikola.Bowden@newcastle.edu.au

Introduction

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is primarily associated with the repair of the ultraviolet light radiation (UVR) induced lesions, cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) and pyrimidine (6-4) pyrimidone photoproducts (6-4PP) [1]. Cisplatin is a common DNA-damaging agent that is used in the treatment of many types of malignancy [2]. Cisplatin binds to DNA forming similar helix distorting intra- and inter-strand cross-links [3,4] which must be removed prior to either transcription or DNA replication. Accumulation of cisplatin-induced DNA damage results in cellular death. The removal and repair of large helix distorting DNA damage induced by cisplatin is orchestrated by NER [5]. The versatility of NER suggests that this mechanism may play a pivotal role in resistance to treatment and development of cancer.

The NER pathway consists of 2 DNA damage detection arms: Global genome repair (GGR) and transcription coupled repair (TCR). GGR operates across the entire genome and is a crucial step in the initial recognition of DNA damage [6]. GGR scans for damage in the non-coding regions of the genome, silent genes, and the non-transcribed strand of active genes [7], whereas TCR detects DNA damage in the transcribed strand of active genes using RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) as a lesion sensor [7]. Once the DNA damage is detected by GGR or TCR, the remaining members of the NER process are recruited. Briefly, this process involves unwinding of the DNA helix around the lesion by the helicases XPB and XPD, incision of the DNA upstream and downstream of the lesion by the endonucleases XPF/ERCC1 and XPG and DNA resynthesis and ligation by DNA polymerases δ and ε and DNA ligase I [8].

Even though NER is a vital component required for the maintenance of genomic integrity, studies investigating the role of NER in melanoma are limited. Current evidence suggests cells recognise cisplatin induced DNA damage but rather than repairing the lesions, NER triggers apoptosis [5]. If NER remained intact in melanoma cells, treatment with cisplatin should be highly effective but this is not the case. Therefore, it is possible that a reduced level of NER in melanoma may result in an accumulation of DNA damage rather than signalling apoptosis, which would be observed as a limited or absence of response to cisplatin treatment. The intra and inter-strand cross-links caused by cisplatin are recognised by the GGR component XPC then the DDB1/DDB2 complex is recruited to bind specifically to the large helix distorting DNA adducts [6]. We recently reported only a limited induction of XPC, DDB1 and DDB2 GGR transcripts in melanoma cell lines 24 hours after cisplatin treatment suggesting a
breakdown in the normal NER response to DNA damage [9]. Other recent studies have sequenced the whole genome of a metastatic melanoma cell line [10] and 25 metastatic melanomas [11] to catalogue somatic mutations characteristic of melanoma. Both studies revealed the most frequent type of somatic mutation were C>T or CC>TT transitions at adjacent pyrimidines, indicative of residual UV-DNA damage. Further, genome-wide investigation found a higher prevalence of the UVR mutational signature in lowly transcribed genes, suggesting that reduced activity of the GGR component of the NER pathway is predominantly responsible for the accumulation of the UVR mutational signature in melanoma. Despite this growing body of evidence, a recent study reported no difference in overall NER capacity between melanocytes and melanoma cell lines after UV-irradiation [12]. This seemingly contradictory finding may be due to melanocytes having a lower than normal NER capacity [13], which may indeed be the reason they are susceptible to malignant transformation after UV-irradiation. Irrespective of overall NER capacity being similar in melanocytes and melanoma cells, there is evidence that GGR is impaired in melanoma cells.

Despite this growing body of evidence, the underlying mechanisms have not been extensively investigated. Similarly, the downstream effects on transcription of reduced GGR capacity remain unclear. The present study examined gene transcripts involved in the regulation of GGR, after cisplatin treatment and investigated the downstream effects of a reduced GGR response in melanoma cell lines. The results of the cell culture analysis were correlated with events occurring in melanoma tissue. The results of this study shed light on the mechanisms by which GGR fails to be induced in response to cisplatin treatment in melanoma and we have also identified the possibility that GGR regulators may have potential as biomarkers of melanoma.

Methods

Ethics Statement

The use of diagnostic FFPE melanoma tissue in this study was approved by the Hunter New England Area Health Service Human Ethics Committee approval number 08/08/20/5.17. Waiver of written consent was obtained for the use of diagnostic fixed tissue (FFPE) blocks in this study by the Hunter New England Area Health Ethics Committee, therefore written informed consent is not available.

Cell Lines and Cisplatin Treatment

One melanocyte, three primary melanoma (MM200, IgR3, Me4405) and two metastatic melanoma (Mel-RM and Sk-mel-28) cell lines were used for this study. The derivation of MM200, IgR3, Me4405, Mel-RM and Sk-Mel-28 melanoma cell lines has been described previously [14–17]. Sk-mel-28 has mutant p53 and Me4405 was null for p53 [14]. Melanocytes were purchased from Cascade Biologies at the commencement of this study. Cell line authentication was described previously [9], each cell line had a distinct individual set of markers present. All cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma every 3 months and found to be free of contamination.

All of the melanoma cell lines were cultured in DMEM (5% FCS) and the melanocytes were cultured in Medium 254 (Cascade Biologies). All cell lines were maintained in exponential growth at 37°C and 5% CO2. Cells were treated with 10 μg/mL cisplatin (Pharmacia Upjohn) as previously described [14] and harvested before treatment and 6 and 24 hours after treatment for gene expression analysis. Total RNA was extracted and quantified as described previously [9]. Briefly, total RNA was extracted from all cell lines at all time points in duplicate using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega).

Melanoma Tumours

RNA was extracted from 196 formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) melanoma samples collected for diagnostic purposes at the Hunter Area Pathology Service, NSW, Australia between 2004 and 2008. The Hunter New England Area Health Service Human Ethics Committee approved the study. Clinical parameters of the tumours are outlined in Table 1.

Illumina WGGEX arrays

Duplicate total RNA from all cell lines at all time points, was amplified and biotinylated using the Illumina TotalPrep kit (Ambion, USA). The resultant biotinylated cRNA was hybridised to Whole Genome Gene Expression Human Ref8 V3 BeadChips (Illumina, USA) containing approximately 24,000 transcripts. The BeadChips were scanned using a Bead Array Reader (Illumina USA).

The transcript expression results were cubic spline normalised using BeadStudio 2.0 software (Illumina, USA), and the remaining analyses was performed using GeneSpring GX 11.0. To account for bias or skewing of expression results all the gene expression profiles and each individual gene were normalized to the median resulting in two way normalisation. For visualisation of the results

| Characteristics | Total Patient No. (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
| **Total**       | 157 (100)             |
| **Sex**         |                       |
| Female          | 48 (30.6)             |
| Male            | 109 (69.4)            |
| **Age at 1st Diagnosis** |               |
| Mean (range)    | 65.8 (23.3–94.5)      |
| Unknown         | 15 (9.6)              |
| **Solar Elastosis** |                   |
| None            | 8 (5.1)               |
| Mild            | 25 (15.9)             |
| Moderate        | 17 (10.8)             |
| Severe          | 42 (26.8)             |
| Unknown         | 65 (41.4)             |
| **Survival (weeks)** |                  |
| Mean (range)    | 206.6 (3.1–1418)      |
| Alive           | 17 (10.8)             |
| **Breslow Thickness** |                |
| Mean (range)    | 5.3 (0.4–33)          |
| No. Unknown     | 62 (39.5)             |
| **Weeks Local to Distal Metastasis** |       |
| Mean (range)    | 121 (5.6–343.4)       |
| No. Unknown     | 66 (42)               |
| **Mutation Status** |                   |
| BRAF            | 40 (25.5)             |
| NRAS            | 33 (21.0)             |
| Wildtype        | 84 (53.5)             |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070424.t001
the data was log transformed. Raw and normalised data is available in the GEO repository (accession number GSE47980).

Network diagram
GeneSpring GX 11.0 was used to build network diagrams of regulators and targets of the GGR transcripts. The relations used to build the networks are derived from published literature abstracts using a proprietary Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithm and additional interactions from experimental data, available in public repositories (eg:IntAct).

Relation score was used as a measure of confidence in the relationship identified by the NLP algorithm. All relations derived from curated databases were given a score of 10 (highest score). NLP derived relationships were scored on a scale of 1–9, the best being 9 and the weakest being 1. The score is calculated based on the number of references, grade of the reference (known as RefScore, scale 1–9) and the syntax of the sentences. Any relation supported by at least one reference of RefScore 9 or having 5 or more references supporting it, is graded as 9. All relationships in the GGR network diagram generated for this study had a relation score >9 and were limited to the following interactions: binding, expression and regulation.

Real-time PCR
RNA was reverse-transcribed and relative expression (RE) was measured as described previously [9]. Briefly, 300 ng of duplicate total RNA for each cell line at all timepoints was reverse-transcribed using the High Capacity Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) and a 1:20 dilution of the resultant cDNA was used in triplicate for each sample. For the melanoma tumours, 500 ng total RNA was reverse-transcribed and a 1:20 dilution of cDNA was used in triplicate for each tumour. Relative expression was measured in triplicate and normalised to β-actin (ΔΔCt) using TaqMan gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems) and a 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) for the following gene transcripts: PCNA and BRCA1. To ensure β-actin did not change between the cell lines/timepoints the ratio of β-actin to a second housekeeping gene GAPDH was measured. The average β-actin/GAPDH ratio was 1.02±0.04 across all the individual cell lines and treatment timepoints [9]. RE was calculated using 2^ΔΔCt. A 2-tailed t-test was also used to test the homogeneity of the survival curves. Wilcoxon’s test was used to determine the significance of the survival curves.

Statistical analysis of PCNA, BRCA1 and XPB transcript expression in melanoma tumours
Correlation between PCNA, BRCA1, XPB transcript expression and the clinical parameters outlined in Table 1 was performed using both Spearman’s Rho and Kendall’s tau_b tests.

Results
GGR regulator and downstream target transcript expression
A network of regulators of GGR and downstream targets of the three GGR transcripts was generated using a NLP algorithm (Figure 1). p33 was the only transcript previously reported to have regulatory interaction at the protein level with all three GGR genes, DDB1, DDB2 and XPC [21,22]. We have previously reported the transcript expression levels of p33 were not significantly different in the melanoma cell lines compared to the melanocyte cell line 24 hours after cisplatin treatment as assessed by real-time PCR analysis [9]. Importantly, DNA repair transcripts PCNA and BRCA1, both of which have previously been reported to regulate DDB1 and DDB2 transcript expression [23–26], had significantly higher induction in melanocytes 24 hours after cisplatin treatment but not in the majority of the melanoma cell lines (Figure 2 and Figure S1). The primary melanoma cell line IgR3 was the only melanoma cell line to show increased BRCA1 expression at 24 hours, but it was largely variable with a fold change of 3.17±1.29 (Figure S1). There was no significant difference in PCNA or BRCA1 expression between primary and metastatic melanoma cell lines or in the presence/absence of a functional p53 transcript.

The downstream targets of DDB1 and DDB2, STAT1 and MAPK14, respectively, showed slightly increased expression (STAT1 1.4 fold increase, p = 0.05, MAPK14 1.4 fold increase p = 0.05) in the melanocytes after cisplatin treatment. A third downstream target of DDB1 and DDB2, CDT1 had 1.4 fold decrease in expression (p = 0.007) 24 h after cisplatin treatment in melanocytes. None of the GGR downstream target transcripts identified by the NLP had significantly altered expression after cisplatin treatment in the melanoma cell lines.

Genome-wide transcript expression and gene set enrichment analysis
The consequences of cisplatin treatment in the melanocytes and melanoma cell lines respectively, was investigated at the whole
transcriptome level using gene expression data for ~24,000 transcripts. 3663 transcripts had significantly altered expression levels in the melanocyte cell line 24 hours after cisplatin treatment when compared to baseline expression levels (treatment = 0 hours). 3650 transcripts had significantly altered expression levels in the melanoma cell lines 24 hours after cisplatin treatment. 1084 of these transcripts were altered in both melanocyte and melanoma cell lines and are most likely to be transcripts generally involved in cisplatin response, therefore they were removed before further analyses. The remaining 2566 and 2579 transcripts altered specifically in melanocyte and melanoma cell lines and are most likely to be transcripts generally involved in cisplatin response, therefore they were removed before further analyses. The remaining 2566 and 2579 transcripts altered specifically in melanocyte and melanoma cell lines respectively were used for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the molecular signatures database (MSigDB) [19]. The melanocyte and melanoma gene sets were each individually tested for overlap with gene sets in the MSigDB. The 50 genes sets that most significantly overlapped the melanocyte or melanoma gene sets (p<0.001) were studied further to investigate potential mechanisms involved in the differences in gene expression in response to cisplatin in melanocytes and melanoma cell lines and are most likely to be transcripts generally involved in cisplatin response, therefore they were removed before further analyses. The remaining 2566 and 2579 transcripts altered specifically in melanocyte and melanoma cell lines respectively were used for gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the molecular signatures database (MSigDB) [19]. The melanocyte and melanoma gene sets were each individually tested for overlap with gene sets in the MSigDB. The 50 genes sets that most significantly overlapped the melanocyte or melanoma gene sets (p<0.001) were studied further to investigate potential mechanisms involved in the differences in gene expression in response to cisplatin in melanocytes and melanocyte cell lines. Gene sets with the highest relevance are reported in Tables 2 and 3. The gene sets overlapping with the transcripts altered in melanocytes 24 hours after cisplatin treatment were mostly related to normal cellular response to apoptosis inducing stimuli, e.g.: reovirus infection, CD40 stimulation, up-regulation of TP53 and importantly, UVB irradiation. There was a highly significant overlap of genes altered in response to cisplatin treatment in melanocytes and genes altered in response to UVB irradiation in epidermis (p = 2.07×10^{-19}) and normal epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK cells) (p = 1.43×10^{-7}). Interestingly, there was also significant overlap with genes up and down regulated in class 2 vs. class 1 uveal melanoma.

The gene sets overlapping with the transcripts altered in melanoma cell lines 24 hours after cisplatin treatment were highly correlated with DNA repair and DNA damage response genes that included BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM and CHEK2. As well as these DDR genes there was a highly significant (p<1×10^{-20}) overlap of genes with altered expression after UV irradiation in ERCC3 (XPB) mutant cells. XPB is a helicase involved in the NER pathway and mutations in this gene result in very low NER capacity.

Finally, a highly significant (p<1×10^{-20}) overlap of genes altered in response to UVC irradiation in fibroblasts and UVB irradiation of normal epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK cells) was observed for the melanocyte cell line. This was also reflected in gene expression changes seen in class 2 vs. class 1 uveal melanoma.

Figure 1. Network diagram of transcription regulators and targets of the GGR transcripts XPC, DDB1 and DDB2. Direction of small arrows represents direction of regulation. Regulators of XPC, DDB1 and DDB2 which had significantly higher expression 24 hrs after cisplatin treatment in the melanocytes but not the melanoma cell lines are indicated by large arrows.

doI:10.1371/journal.pone.0070424.g001
PCNA, BRCA1 and XPB expression in melanoma tumours

PCNA, BRCA1 and XPB displayed a lack of induction and highly correlated with altered expression in melanoma after cisplatin treatment by GSEA, therefore they were further investigated in 157 primary and metastatic melanoma tumours. The melanoma tumours were derived from fixed tissue blocks previously used for pathological diagnoses. The clinical characteristics of the melanomas are summarised in Table 1. The correlation analysis between transcript expression and clinical characteristics revealed no correlation between PCNA and any clinical parameters. BRCA1 transcript expression correlated with disease specific survival and solar elastosis (Table 3). XPB showed a trend towards correlation to the level of solar elastosis compared to disease stage or survival.

Subsequently, expression of PCNA, BRCA1 and XPB transcripts were used for Kaplan-Meier survival analyses. High versus low (determined by above or below the median) XPB or PCNA transcript expression did not appear to be correlated with survival, although PCNA did show a non-significant trend towards higher expression and poorer survival. Low expression of BRCA1 however, was significantly related to poor survival. Although there was large variation in survival, low BRCA1 expression was significantly related with an average of 260.4±47.9 weeks survival compared to 453.9±77.5 weeks for high BRCA1 expression (p = 0.02) [Figure 3].

Discussion

Despite growing evidence that GGR may play a role in cisplatin resistance, the underlying cause of this has not been investigated. Similarly, the downstream effects on transcription of reduced GGR and subsequent NER have also not been thoroughly examined. There is a strong correlation between reduced XPC mRNA and protein levels and increased resistance of cancer cells to cisplatin treatment [27–29]. More recently, it has been reported that in addition to its role in DNA damage recognition, DDB2 is a key determinant in deciding the fate of a cell after DNA damage. Stoyanova and colleagues (2009) reported that wildtype mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) undergo apoptosis in response to both UV and cisplatin but DDB2−/− MEFs show a much lower level of apoptotic response. This led to the discovery that in the absence of DDB2, cells undergo cell cycle arrest rather than apoptosis [30]. The transcriptional regulation of XPC, DDB1 and DDB2 in response to DNA-damaging agents such as cisplatin and UV-irradiation is yet to be fully investigated.

Using a NLP algorithm and whole transcriptome gene expression data, we identified p53, BRCA1 and PCNA as transcriptional regulators of GGR. p53 was induced in melanocytes after cisplatin treatment in our previous study [9] and BRCA1 and PCNA were significantly induced in melanocytes after cisplatin in this study. p53, BRCA1 and PCNA were not induced in the...
melanoma cell lines in response to cisplatin. It is known that p53 sustains higher basal levels of the p48 component of the DDB complex, and upregulates its expression in response to DNA damage [31]. It has also been reported that following UV irradiation p53 upregulates the XPC protein, as part of the GGR complex, and upregulates its expression in response to DNA damage [31]. It has also been reported that following UV irradiation p53 upregulates the XPC protein, as part of the GGR complex, and upregulates its expression in response to DNA damage [31].

### Table 2. MSigDB gene sets that significantly overlap the set of transcripts altered in melanocytes and melanoma cell lines 24 hours after cisplatin treatment.

| Description | # Genes in Overlap (k) | # Genes in Gene Set (K) | p value | Ref. |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----|
| Genes up-regulated in uveal melanoma: class 2 vs. class 1 tumors. | 141 | 793 | 1.23×10^{-11} | [36] |
| Genes down-regulated in HEK293 cells after infection with reovirus strain T3A | 56 | 227 | 1.75×10^{-10} | [37] |
| Genes down-regulated in epidermis after UVB | 99 | 515 | 2.07×10^{-10} | [38] |
| Genes down-regulated in uveal melanoma: class 2 vs. class 1 tumors. | 93 | 532 | 9.18×10^{-8} | [36] |
| Genes up-regulated in normal epidermal keratinocytes after UVB | 93 | 537 | 1.43×10^{-7} | [38] |
| Genes up-regulated in primary mammary epithelium upon expression of TP53 | 174 | 1191 | 2.77×10^{-7} | [39] |
| Genes down-regulated in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma cell lines sensitive to CD40 stimulation | 55 | 271 | 3.53×10^{-7} | [40] |

### Table 3. Significant correlation of BRCA1 transcript expression with clinical parameters.

| Correlation | BRCA1 |
|-------------|-------|
| Survival (weeks) | |
| Kendall’s tau_b | 0.183, p = 0.027 |
| Spearman’s Rho | 0.223, p = 0.027 |
| Solar Elastosis | |
| Kendall’s tau_b | -0.248, p = 0.032 |
| Spearman’s Rho | -0.264, p = 0.031 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070424.t002
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070424.t003
clear if the absence of PCNA induction in the melanoma cell lines is a consequence or cause of the absence of GGR induction. The limited induction of PCNA may be responsible for the limited GGR response to cisplatin-induced DNA damage in melanoma. The p53, BRCA1, PCNA and subsequent GGR induction in the melanocytes but not melanoma cell lines strongly supports the evidence that these transcripts control the GGR response to damage in a normal cellular system but are impaired in melanoma. Further studies in additional melanocyte cell lines are required to conclusively confirm this finding.

The generation of the NLP network of regulators and transcripts provided the basis for further investigation into the regulation of GGR. We undertook global transcript analysis of the response to cisplatin treatment that did not rely on a priori knowledge, rather it was completely dependent on statistical analysis of transcript expression. Transcripts with altered expression in the melanocytes were very similar to the normal cellular response to apoptosis inducing stimuli such as reovirus, CD40 stimulation, up-regulation of p53 and UVB irradiation. The similarity to UVB irradiation of normal epidermal keratinocytes and epidermis is not surprising as both UVB and cisplatin induce helix-distorting DNA damage that is repaired by NER.

The gene sets with significant overlap of transcripts altered in the melanoma cell lines 24 h after cisplatin treatment was quite different to the melanocytes. Although there was overlap with the response of fibroblasts and keratinocytes to UVR there was a greater overlap with transcripts that correlate highly with expression of the DNA double strand break (DSB) repair genes BRCA1 and BRCA2 and the DNA damage response genes ATM and CHEK2. The exact cause of this overlap in transcripts is unknown but given that there is a certain level of redundancy between DNA repair processes, it is feasible that double strand break (DSB) repair may be compensating for the NER deficiency in the melanoma cell lines. Given that we have identified limited induction of BRCA1 in the melanoma cell lines in response to cisplatin in this study, the result was not unexpected and may be indicative of other BRCA1-associated DNA repair transcripts undergoing normal response to DNA-damage inducing stimuli. Further support for the role of BRCA1 in DDR deficiency was the low BRCA1 expression in melanomas correlating with poor survival as shown by Kaplan-Meier analysis. Although this finding is irrespective of treatment, it is tantalising and requires further investigation given recent reports of BRCA1 being overexpressed in melanoma non-responders to chemotherapy [33] and patients with melanoma relapse [34].

The very significant overlap of transcripts differentially regulated in ERCC3 (XBP) deficient cells after UVR was the most striking of the gene sets overlapping with the transcripts altered in response to cisplatin in the melanoma cell lines. The previously reported absence of XBP induction [9] and the highly significant overlap with transcripts altered in XBP deficient fibroblasts after UVR is highly suggestive of melanoma cells having a very limited NER capacity of somewhere between 3% and 7% of normal as reported in XBP deficient fibroblasts [35]. Given that one of the key clinical features of individuals with mutations in the XBP gene is UVR sensitivity and an increase in UV-induced melanomas [35], the role of this gene in melanomagenesis and cisplatin resistance in the general population requires further investigation.

Conclusions

In this study we have confirmed that the GGR regulators, BRCA1 and PCNA, are induced in the normal cellular response to cisplatin-induced DNA damage, but there is complete absence of induction of these regulators in melanoma cell lines. A highly significant overlap of transcript expression in melanoma cell lines after cisplatin treatment with transcripts involved in DNA repair and DNA damage response genes BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM and CHEK2 was observed, which may be compensating for diminished NER capacity. We also identified a significant overlap of transcript expression with XBP deficient cells after UVR. Finally, we investigated correlation between PCNA, BRCA1 and XBP transcript expression and clinical parameters and found that low BRCA1 expression is significantly associated with poor survival. Taken together these findings provide support for the role of BRCA1 and to a lesser extent PCNA, in regulating NER in melanomagenesis and resistance to cisplatin treatment.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Induction of BRCA1 and PCNA after cisplatin treatment in melanocytes and melanoma cell lines. Induction of BRCA1 and PCNA at 0, 6, 24 h after cisplatin treatment in individual melanoma and melanocyte cell lines. Points are the mean of triplicates of two independent experiments, bars = SE. (TIFF)
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