ABSTRACT

Objective: The study aims to determine whether apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) can help differentiate benign and malignant bone tumors. 

Materials and Methods: From January 2012 to February 2013, we prospectively included 26 patients. Of these 15 patients were male and 11 were female; ranging in age from 8 to 76 years (mean age, 34.5 years). Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance (MR) imaging was obtained with a single-shot echo-planar imaging sequence using a 1.5T MR scanner. We grouped malignant lesions as primary, secondary, and primary tumor with chondroid matrix. The minimum ADC was measured in the tumors and mean minimum ADC values were selected for statistical analysis. ADC values were compared between malignant and benign tumors using the Mann-Whitney U-test and receiver operating curve analysis were done to determine optimal cut-off values.

Results: The mean ADC values from the area with lowest ADC values of benign and malignant tumors were $1.99 \pm 0.57 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s and $1.02 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s, respectively. The mean minimum ADC values of benign and malignant tumors were statistically different ($P=0.029$). With cut-off value of 1.37 ($10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s), sensitivity was 77.8% and specificity was 82.4%, for distinguishing benign and malignant lesion. Benign and secondary malignant tumors showed statistically significant difference ($P=0.002$). There was some overlap in ADC values between benign and malignant tumors. The mean minimum ADC values of benign and malignant chondroid tumors were high. Giant cell tumor, non-ossifying fibroma and fibrous dysplasia showed lower ADC values.

Conclusion: Although there is some overlap, ADC values of benign and malignant bone tumors seem to be different. Further studies with larger patient groups are needed to find an optimal cut-off ADC value.
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Most bone tumors have classical radiographic appearance and they can be diagnosed and correlated with patient age and clinical data. MRI can detect non-mineralized tumor tissue, evaluate the local extent of a malignant process for the purpose of staging and assess bone tumor therapeutic responses. However, lesions that have high T2 signal and low enhancement constitute diagnostic challenge in daily practice. In addition, a few benign and malignant tumors show atypical features and need further investigation. Some benign lesions in patients with known primary malignancies also constitute a diagnostic problem. DW MRI has been applied to evaluate certain musculoskeletal tumors and has been reported to be a useful diagnostic aide. In this study, we aim to determine whether apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values can help differentiate benign and malignant bone tumors.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

The study was approved by a regional ethics committee and all participants provided written informed consent.

From January 2012 to February 2013 we prospectively included 26 patients (15 male and 11 female; ranging in age from 8 to 76 years (mean age, 34.5 years) with bone neoplasm in this study. None of the patients had biopsy, surgery, or any treatment before imaging. The diagnosis of all masses was confirmed by biopsy and/or surgery after MRI. Of the 26 lesions, six were located in the distal femur, four were in the proximal femur, three were in the proximal tibia, three were in the distal tibia, two were in the humerus, one was in the proximal fibula, one was in the proximal ulna, one was in the calcaneus, one was in the phalanx, one was in the sacrum, and three were in the pelvic bones.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

All studies were conducted using a 1.5-T system (Achieva, Philips, Best, The Netherlands). All images were obtained with a flexible circular surface coil, flex phased-array coil or body coil. MRI protocol includes the following sequences: Axial, coronal, and/or sagittal T1-weighted, fat-suppressed T2-weighted, diffusion weighted images, dynamic MRI, and contrast-enhanced fat-suppressed axial, coronal, and/or sagittal T1-weighted images.

All DW images were obtained before contrast administration. The pulse sequence used for obtaining the DW images was a single-shot echo-planar imaging technique with the following parameters: Repetition time: 4500 ms, echo time: 105 ms, directions of the motion-probing gradients: Three orthogonal axes, b value: 0 and 1000 s/mm², field of view: 220 mm, matrix size: 128 × 80, section thickness: 5 mm with 0.2 mm intersection gaps and two signals acquired. Parallel imaging techniques, sensitivity encoding with a reduction factor of 1-1.5 were used. In all images a fat-saturated pulse was used to exclude chemical-shift artifacts. The DW images were obtained within an acquisition time of 1-2 min.

ADC maps were automatically generated on the operating console from concurrent images. The ADC values were calculated by using the following equation: \( ADC = -\ln(S(b)/S(0))/b \), where \( b \) indicates the b value and \( S(b) \) and \( S(0) \) are the signal intensities of images with b values equal to 1000 and 0, respectively.

**Image analysis**

Images were transferred to a workstation (EasyVision; Philips Medical Systems). The measurements were performed by two radiologists, who did not have any knowledge of tumor type, patient clinical data, and of prior images.

We performed all measurements in the axial plane. The most solid and/or homogeneous portion of the lesion according to T2-weighted and contrast-enhanced images were selected for measurement. A region of interest (ROI) was placed around the margin of the suspicious area and the mean minimum ADC values were obtained. When heterogeneity in signal intensity was observed, multiple small, at least three, uniform round or oval ROIs (area, minimum 10 mm², maximum 55 mm²) were placed on the ADC map including the areas of enhancing tumor with the lowest ADC determined by visual inspection. The ROIs position was always checked with reference to conventional MRI to avoid contamination from different adjacent tissues. The mean ADC values from the area with lowest ADC values were selected for statistical analyses.

ADC of normal fatty bone marrow was 0 × 10⁻¹ mm²/s because of the fat suppression technique used for DW imaging. However, it was above 0 in hematopoietic bone marrow. Normal bone cortex ADC values were 0 × 10⁻³ mm²/s. We avoided selecting voxels with ADC values of almost 0, which corresponded to the fatty marrow, the cortex and tumor calcification-ossification.

**Statistical analysis**

Data analyses were performed using statistical software (SPSS 15.0 for windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). For statistical analysis, we grouped lesions as benign and malignant tumors and subdivided malignant tumors as primary malignant tumors, malignant tumors with chondroid matrix and secondary malignant tumors according to the data obtained from previous studies. The mean minimum ADC values were compared between malignant and benign tumors using the Mann-Whitney U-test. The mean minimum ADC values of malignant...
lesions (primary malignant tumors, malignant tumors with chondroid matrix and secondary malignant tumors) were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis analysis. A difference with \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant. We did a receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis to determine optimal cut-off mean minimum ADC value for distinguishing benign from a malignant tumor. Inter-observer agreement for the ADC measurements was analyzed with the method of Bland and Altman and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) regarding the measurements were calculated. ICC > 0.75 was considered as a good agreement.

**RESULTS**

The ICCs for inter-observer agreement about the measurements were 0.83 (95% confidence interval: 0.78, 0.84). The variability between ADC measurements was larger by using single ROI for measurement than using multiple small ROIs.

The histologic diagnosis, male-female distribution, patient age and tumor ADC values are presented in Table 1.

The mean minimum ADC values of benign and malignant tumors were \(1.99 \pm 0.57 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \) and \(1.02 \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \) (mean ± standard deviation), respectively. The mean minimum ADC values of benign and malignant tumors were statistically different \((P = 0.029)\). The three bone cysts in our study group were complicated with fracture. According to ROC analyses, for distinguishing benign from malignant lesions, the cut-off mean minimum ADC value was \(1.37 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \). Sensitivity and specificity for differentiation were 77.8% and 82.4%, respectively (area under the curve: 0.765) [Figure 1].

There was some overlap in ADC values between benign and malignant tumors. The mean ADC values of benign and malignant tumors with chondroid matrix were high. Giant cell tumor, non-ossifying fibroma and fibrous dysplasia had lower ADC values.

**DISCUSSION**

Our preliminary results indicate that although there is some overlap, ADC values of benign and malignant bone tumors seem to be different. However, further studies with larger patient groups are needed to demonstrate the role of DW MRI in differentiating benign and malignant tumors and to find an optimal cut-off ADC value. Contrast between different tissues seen on DW MRI makes this imaging modality a useful tool for identifying bone lesions. It is known that the whole body DW MRI detection capability is superior to positron emission tomography and scintigraphy. DW imaging has also been used in monitoring therapeutic response and a higher ADC values after treatment were found be related to good response.

Differentiating benign and malignant tumors in the musculoskeletal system may be possible with DW imaging. Increased ADC values represent an increase in extracellular water or loss of the cell membrane integrity, whereas

![Figure 1: Receiver operating curve (ROC) curve of mean minimum apparent diffusion coefficient value for differentiation malignant and benign bone tumors. The area under the ROC curve is 0.765 (95% confidence interval: 0.518-1.000).](image-url)
decreased ADC values reflect the decrease in extracellular water content or increase in cell number or size. Malignant tumors tend to have lower ADC values and benign tumors tend to have higher ADC values, but there are some exceptions. Threshold ADC values for brain tumors, breast tumors, etc., have been reported but to the best of our knowledge there are no defined cut-off ADC values for bone tumors.

We used DW MRI and found that ADC values of benign and malignant tumors might be different. Bone cyst and aneurysmal bone cyst were the benign bone tumors that had the highest ADC values in our study like a previous study by Hayashida et al. [4] [Figure 2a-e]. All the three patients with bone cysts included in our study had old fractures that made diagnosis difficult with radiography and conventional MRI. However, they were hyperintense on DW imaging and ADC map and had high ADC values. Osteoblastoma and benign tumors that have chondroid matrix (chondroblastoma and enchondroma) had higher ADC values than fibrous dysplasia and non-ossifying fibroma. Lower ADC values in fibrous dysplasia and non-ossifying fibroma might be related to their content that restricted the diffusion. Giant cell tumor in our study also had low ADC values. Nagata et al., [10] reported low ADC values in giant cell tumors of soft-tissue. This is probably due to its histologic features, spindle-shaped stromal cells and multinucleated giant cells. These low ADC values and high signal in DW imaging could be used to differentiate tumor residue or recurrence from post-operative findings.

Malignant chondroid tumors had the highest ADC values among malignant tumors. Hayashida et al., [4] found significantly higher ADC values in chondroblastic osteosarcomas when compared with other types of osteosarcoma. However, they did not find any statistically significant difference between chondroblastic osteosarcoma and chondrosarcoma. The ADC values of malignant chondroid tumors were higher than benign tumors in our study. Nagata et al., [13] also reported similar findings. Hence, tumors that have chondroid matrix should be a different group and ADC values between benign and malignant chondroid tumors should be compared in further studies with more patients.

Ewing sarcoma was the tumor that showed the lowest ADC value in our study [Figure 3a-e]. Ewing sarcoma is a member of small round blue cell tumors, undifferentiated aggressive embryonal tumors, which have similar histological features. Our findings were similar with previous studies. Small round blue cell tumors should be in the differential diagnosis of the tumors with very low ADC values.

The ADC values between benign and secondary malignant tumors were significantly different in our study. Differentiation benign tumors and bone metastases, especially solitary bone metastases, might be difficult in patients with a known primary tumor [17] [Figure 4a-e]. We think DW imaging could be used as a problem solving tool in these patients.

Although, there was some overlap, mean ADC values of the benign tumors were always found to be above 1 in our study. A recent study demonstrated that the mean ADC value below $1.03 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s was a strong indicator of malignancy in pediatric patients.

**Limitations**

There are several limitations to our study. The number of patients, especially cases of malignant tumors was limited in this study. In light of the small number of patients, further studies will have to be conducted to show the relevance of these conclusions. We did histogram analysis and used mean minimum ADC values. We did not calculate perfusion effects. The two most important components of signal attenuation on DW imaging are diffusion of water molecules in the extracellular space

---

**Figure 2:** (a-e) A 28-year-old female with aneurysmal bone cysts (arrows). (a) Sagittal T1-weighted image demonstrates well-marginated, expansive, eccentrically located lesion in the ulna that is hyperintense to muscle. (b) Fat-suppressed T2-weighted and (c) Post-contrast fat-suppressed T1-weighted images show septated hyperintense mass with heterogeneous enhancement. (d) On diffusion-weighted image (b 1000) and (e) Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map show lesion is hyperintense. Mean minimum ADC value was $2.04 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s.
Perfusion fractions of malignant tumors are higher than that of the benign tumor and perfusion contributes more to ADC values in malignant lesions. However, by increasing the diffusion gradient strength the contribution of the perfusion effect is considerably reduced. We used high b values (b 1000) to reduce perfusion effects.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, our preliminary results indicate that although there is some overlap, ADC values of benign and malignant bone tumors seem to be different. Adding DW imaging to routine tumor MRI protocol would improve our diagnostic confidence without increasing study time.
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