Determination of aortic pulse transit time based on waveform decomposition of radial pressure wave
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Carotid-femoral pulse transit time (cfPTT) is a widely accepted measure of central arterial stiffness. The cfPTT is commonly calculated from two synchronized pressure waves. However, measurement of synchronized pressure waves is technically challenging. In this paper, a method of decomposing the radial pressure wave is proposed for estimating cfPTT. From the radial pressure wave alone, the pressure wave can be decomposed into forward and backward waves by fitting a double triangular flow wave. The first zero point of the second derivative of the radial pressure wave and the peak of the dicrotic segment of radial pressure wave are used as the peaks of the fitted double triangular flow wave. The correlation coefficient between the measured wave and the estimated forward and backward waves based on the decomposition of the radial pressure wave was 0.98 and 0.75, respectively. Then from the backward wave, cfPTT can be estimated. Because it has been verified that the time lag estimation based on of backward wave has strong correlation with the measured cfPTT. The corresponding regression function between the time lag estimation of backward wave and measured cfPTT is $y = 0.96x + 5.50$ ($r = 0.77$; $p < 0.001$). The estimated cfPTT using radial pressure wave decomposition based on the proposed double triangular flow wave is more accurate and convenient than the decomposition of the aortic pressure wave based on the triangular flow wave. The significance of this study is that arterial stiffness can be directly estimated from a noninvasively measured radial pressure wave.

Abbreviations

BSI  Blind system identification
CfPTT  Carotid-femoral pulse transit time
CfPWV  Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity
$D_t$  Elastic arterial diameter
$D_m$  Muscular arterial diameter
HR  Heart rate
$P_b$  Backward pressure wave
$P_f$  Forward pressure wave
$P_m$  Measured pressure wave
$Q_b$  Backward pressure wave
$Q_f$  Forward flow wave
$Q_m$  Measured flow wave
R  Peripheral vascular resistance
RI  Reflection index
RM  Reflection magnitude
SV  Stroke volume
TF  Transfer function
Increased conduit artery stiffness is associated with ageing and many types of arterial disease and carotid-femoral aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) is a widely accepted assessing arterial stiffness. Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (cPWV) can be calculated from two synchronized pressure waves obtained noninvasively and is a reliable surrogate for aortic PWV. The cPWV is generally calculated by the pulse transit time (PTT) from carotid artery to femoral artery. However, there remain some barriers to its widespread adoption as a routine clinical procedure, especially in the measurements of pressure wave and the true distance between carotid and femoral measurement sites. In practice, the carotid and femoral pressure waves are recorded simultaneously or determined with reference to the R wave of the ECG signal. The femoral pressure wave cannot be readily obtained when the patients are obese. Therefore, it is necessary to explore a simplified method to calculate the cPWV.

Pressure waves are formed by the contractions of the heart and are transmitted and reflected in the arterial system. Wave reflection is a very important concept in arterial pressure wave analysis. Wave reflection index, which is defined as the ratio of the forward wave and backward (reflected) wave, is related to arterial stiffness. Westerhof's study also showed that the forward and backward pressure waves can be extracted from the measured pressure wave and the triangular flow wave fitting method. The first zero point of the second derivative of the aortic pressure wave can be used to represent the beginning of the reflected wave. Then forward and backward waves can be determined from the triangular flow wave and measured pressure wave. Qasem et al. improved the method by introducing a regression model to estimate cPWV from time difference between the forward wave and backward wave in human aorta. This time difference (TR2) can be calculated from the cross-correlation between the two waves. The calculated TR2 is strongly correlated with the cPWV, so the cPWV can be estimated from the distance of carotid artery and femoral artery divided by TR2/2, where the factor 2 allows for the combined distance travelled by the forward-going and reflected pressure waves. Studies have shown that the reflection wave becomes progressively greater with age, and returns progressively earlier. The age-dependent decomposition of radial pressure wave has been proposed by Gwaghyun et al. The decomposition model contains two triangular components neither of which is derived from the measured pressure wave. However, the estimation of arterial stiffness still has some problems due to the difficulty of acquiring the aortic pressure wave noninvasively. Although there is a variety of ways to estimate this wave, such as surrogate methods, transfer function (TF) methods, blind system identification (BSI) methods and so on, the reconstruction of the aortic pressure wave still has many drawbacks, so that useful clinical information contained in the 'true' aortic pressure wave may not be directly derivable from radial pressure wave. Hence, there will be mistakes in the recognition of the feature points of aortic pressure wave after reconstruction, which may result in some errors in the decomposition.

In this study, a novel method is proposed to estimate the cPTT from radial pressure wave alone. The method aims to fit the flow wave of the radial artery using two triangles, one to simulate systole and the other to simulate diastole. Then the forward and reflected waves can be derived from the measured radial pressure wave and the fitted double triangular radial flow wave. The decomposition of the radial pressure wave is evaluated by the measured radial pressure wave and flow wave recordings. cPTT can be calculated from the time difference of the radial backward wave. The estimated PTT is compared to the measured cPTT and that from previous studies. A regression model between relating the estimated aortic PTT and measured cPTT is obtained from 116 recordings of carotid and femoral pressure waves. Using a database of virtual healthy subjects, the relation between the radial and aortic backward waves is verified.

**Materials and methods**

**Data acquisition.** Ultrasonic equipment (Smart V-Link) and SphygmoCor device (SphygmoCor, AtCor Medical, Sydney, Australia) were used. The blood flow wave was extracted from the envelope of ultrasound data. Pressure wave recordings from the SphygmoCor device were sampled at 128 Hz. For the validation of double triangular flow wave, 35 sets of recordings were used including radial pressure and radial blood flow waves. The detailed follow-up records are listed in dataset 1 of Table 1. Dataset 1 includes the measured radial pressure wave and measured radial flow wave.

In addition, there were 116 individuals with pressure wave and cPTT. The ratio of training set to test set was 8:2. The radial pressure wave was measured noninvasively and aortic pressure wave calculated using the SphygmoCor device with a generalized transfer function. The cPTT was calculated from the foot-to-foot time delay. Brachial systolic and diastolic pressure values were measured using a brachial cuff oscillometric sphygmomanometer. Immediately after the brachial blood pressure measurement, carotid and femoral pressure waves were simultaneously measured two times by applying tonometry using two transducers one at the right common carotid artery and the other at the femoral artery, at a sampling rate of 1 kHz. Acquisition equipment is from Techman of Chengdu, China. The direct distance was measured with a steel tape measure between the carotid and femoral arterial sites. 80% of the direct distance between the common carotid and femoral arteries has the smallest error and the highest correlation. In this study, the pulse traveled distance is estimated by the 80% of the direct distance. It is known as the effective reflecting distance. The detailed follow-up records are shown in dataset 2 of Table 1. Approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Northeastern University (EC-2020B017), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. All authors confirm that the research is performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Every volunteer was in a supine position for data collection. The pulse wave and flow wave were collected at the same part of radial artery in same location, so they cannot be synchronized, but not more than 5 min. A total of 131 volunteers took part in

---

\[ V_c \quad \text{Elastic arterial PWV} \]
\[ V_m \quad \text{Muscular arterial PWV} \]
the data acquisition, of which 35 provided the radial flow wave and pressure wave, and 116, the radial arterial pressure wave and cfPTT. The volunteers were all healthy adults.

The database of virtual healthy subjects’ pressure wave was used to observe the influence of arterial parameters on the backward wave. The database uses a validated one-dimension model with parameters varied within the healthy range to generate 3325 sets of pressure and flow waves of virtual healthy adult subjects. There are seven parameters including elastic arterial PWV ($V_m$), muscular arterial PWV ($V_m$), elastic arterial diameter ($D_e$), muscular arterial diameter ($D_m$), heart rate (HR), stroke volume (SV), and peripheral vascular resistance (R) of 55 arteries, varying in different levels, which cause a change in the wave.

Wave decomposition analysis. As shown in the Fig. 1, the block diagram of the time delay estimation methodology is given. A double triangular wave can be fitted to estimate the flow wave from the radial pressure wave, as shown in Fig. 2. Then the fitted double triangular wave is used to replace the flow wave $Q_m(t)$ in Eqs. (3) and (4). $Z_c$ is the characteristic impedance of the corresponding artery, and can be calculated from frequency or time domains.

The pressure wave, $P_m(t)$ can be directly measured from the radial artery noninvasively. Both blood flow and pressure waves can be regarded as a superposition of forward and backward waves. The effect of reflections on the pressure wave and flow wave is opposite. The measured waves, $P_m(t)$ and $Q_m(t)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$P_m(t) = P_f(t) + P_b(t)$$

$$Q_m(t) = Q_f(t) - Q_b(t)$$

$P_m(t)$ and $Q_m(t)$ represent the measured pressure wave and flow wave, respectively. $P_f(t)$ and $Q_f(t)$ represent the forward wave, $P_b(t)$ and $Q_b(t)$ represent the backward wave. Then the forward wave, $P_f(t)$ and backward wave, $P_b(t)$ can be calculated from $P_m(t)$ and $Q_m(t)$ with transmission line analysis as follows:

$$P_f(t) = \frac{[P_m(t) + Z_c \times Q_m(t)]}{2}$$

$$P_b(t) = \frac{[P_m(t) - Z_c \times Q_m(t)]}{2}$$

The two peaks of triangle shape occur at the time of the first systolic inflection (reflection point) and peak of the dicrotic segment, respectively. The arterial input impedance was calculated in the frequency domain, and the characteristic impedance was derived from the averaged value of the 4th to 7th harmonic of the input impedance modulus. Two triangular waves were used to fit the systolic and diastolic blood flow waves. The timing of the first triangle is determined based on the ejection duration and the second triangle is based on the duration of diastole. In order to fit the blood flow wave accurately, the height of flow wave at the end of systole was calculated. The two triangles need to overlap a little at the intersection, to make the double triangular wave more similar to measured flow wave. The base of the second triangle intersects the first triangle by a tenth of the heart interval, which has achieved a better decomposition. The degree of overlap was generalized from all the collected flow waves. The reflection point is obtained from the first zero point of the second derivative of the pulse wave.

The radial pulse wave is decomposed into forward and backward waves through the double triangular flow wave, as shown in Fig. 3. From the backward wave, the time lag can be calculated between the fluctuations. Using the measured radial flow wave, the forward wave $P_f(t)$ and backward wave $P_b(t)$ can be calculated through Eqs. (3) and (4). The results of decomposition from measured radial flow wave were used as the ‘ground truth’, which was used to compare with the estimated decomposition based on the double triangular method. RMSE errors and correlation of the forward and backward waves were calculated. All feature points of the double triangular flow wave were determined according to the relationship between the radial pressure and blood flow waves. The selection of feature points was evaluated by calculating the correlation between the measured radial flow and double triangular flow waves. A record of the radial pressure wave during 5 min was decomposed for testing the robustness of the double triangular model. The elasticity of the radial artery can be assessed from the decomposition directly. Reflection magnitude (RM) and reflection index (RI) were calculated from Eqs. (5) and (6).

|                      | Dataset 1 | Dataset 2 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total (n)            | 35        | 116       |
| Males (n)            | 22        | 64        |
| Females (n)          | 13        | 52        |
| Age (yr.)            | 31 ± 17   | 43 ± 21   |
| Height (cm)          | 171 ± 10  | 168 ± 8   |
| Weight (kg)          | 63 ± 15   | 65 ± 11   |
| Systolic BP (mmHg)   | 116 ± 13  | 119 ± 15  |
| Diastolic BP (mmHg)  | 69 ± 11   | 74 ± 10   |
| Heart rate (bpm)     | 71 ± 10   | 68 ± 10   |

Table 1. Demographics of the volunteers for the dataset of 35 and 116, data are presented in mean ± SD.
The fluctuation of backward wave reduces and oscillates in cycles, which is formed by reflections in the cardiovascular system. Therefore, the fluctuation of the backward wave can reflect the elastic performance of blood vessels. The backward wave obtained by the double triangular method is fitted well in the systole. Fiducial points from ejection duration can be obtained accurately. The time difference (T-reflection in Fig. 3) of the first and the second peaks of the backward wave can be calculated. The calculated time difference is used to estimate the cfPTT by a linear model which is obtained according to the training data from linear regression. The validation data is then used to test the model. The measurement of cfPTT from the carotid artery to the femoral artery is used as the gold standard.

Because the start of backward wave cannot be determined, the distance traveled by the backward wave cannot be also calculated. In order to reduce the deviation between the T-reflection and cfPTT, an attenuation rate is added to the T-reflection, as shown in Fig. 5. In order to observe the influence of age on experimental data, the transit time ratio (TT\text{Ratio}) is introduced. The parameter is calculated according to Eq. (7). The lag is the T-reflection and TR\text{2} in the radial artery and the aorta, respectively.

\[
\text{TT\text{Ratio}} = \frac{\text{lag}}{\text{cfPTT}}
\]  

\[
\text{RM} = \frac{|P_b|}{|P_f|}
\]  

\[
\text{RI} = \frac{|P_b|}{(|P_f| + |P_b|)}
\]  

Figure 1. The block diagram of the time delay estimation methodology.
Statistical analysis. Measured cfPTT and estimated PTT were analyzed by a paired t-test (IBM SPSS Statistics, version-23) and reported as mean ± SD or 95% CI where appropriate. The linear regression analysis and Pearson’s correlation coefficients were reported between measured cfPTT and estimated PTT. Bland–Altman plots were constructed to assess the agreement between estimated PTT versus measured cfPTT.

Results

Measured and estimated waves. The feature points were stable during the 5 min recording. The time of reflection, ejection time and the time of the dicrotic segment peak in pressure were 0.109 ± 0.01, 0.29 ± 0.04 and 0.51 ± 0.01 s. Therefore, the ratio of the time of reflection point to pressure wave was 10.30 ± 0.10%. The ratio of the time of the dicrotic segment peak to pressure wave was 47.90 ± 3.00%. The mean RMSE between the double triangular wave and the measured flow wave was 4.80 mmHg and the mean correlation was 0.87. As for the single triangular morphology, the mean RMSE with the measured flow wave was 7.30 mmHg and the mean correlation coefficient was 0.80.
For the forward wave, the mean RMSE was 1.00 mmHg and the mean correlation coefficient was 0.98. For the backward wave, the mean RMSE was 1.00 mmHg and the mean correlation coefficient, 0.75. The comparison between the measured and calculated waves is shown in the Fig. 6. Through the verification of the measured flow wave, the double triangular flow wave can accurately approximate the measured flow wave. As for the single triangular method, between the ground truth and calculated decomposition, the mean RMSE was 1.70 mmHg and mean correlation coefficient was 0.95 for the forward waves. For the backward waves, the mean RMSE was 1.70 mmHg and the mean correlation coefficient was 0.69. Particularly, because the sum of forward and backward waves are pressure waves, the corresponding RMSE errors are always equal. The mean value of RM and RI was 0.86 and 0.46, respectively. The mean correlation between blood flow and double triangular flow was 0.88. The reflection point, start point of the second triangle and peak of the second triangle remained steady for the 5-min recording period.

**Measured cfPTT and estimated T-reflection.** T-reflection can be calculated from the backward wave of decomposition. From the result, the T-reflection/2.8 gave the minimal deviation from the cfPTT. In 116 data sets, a linear regression model was obtained between the measured cfPTT (y, ms) and calculated T-reflection/2.8.
(x, ms) as shown in Fig. 7A. The regression equation ($r = 0.77$, $p < 0.001$) is shown as Eq. (8). The attenuation ratio $2.8$ was selected after the statistical analysis based on all the collected data.

$$y = 0.96x + 5.50$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The results of measured cfPTT and calculated time delay based on the three different methods are listed in Table 2. The mean difference of the measured cfPTT and calculated T-reflection/2.8 was $1.76$ ms, as shown in

| Method                | Mean (ms) | SD (ms) |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Measured cfPTT        | 85.68     | 20.37   |
| Calculated T-reflection/2.8 | 83.92     | 16.49   |
| Calculated TR/2        | 87.49     | 17.31   |

**Figure 6.** The measured and calculated waves of a representative subject. (A) Forward waves; (B) backward waves.

**Figure 7.** Correlation graphs and Bland–Altman plots comparing measured and calculated PTTs. (A) Scatter plot of the calculated PTT (T-reflection/2.8) vs measured carotid to femoral transit time (cfPTT) with regression line (dashed line) for all data. (B) Bland Altman plot of T-reflection/2.8 vs cfPTT for all data. Solid line is the mean difference, and the dashed lines are ± 1.96 SD of the difference. Difference: Measured cfPTT − calculated PTT; Average: (Measured cfPTT + calculated PTT)/2.
In this study, according to the characteristics of radial artery blood flow, the decomposition of the aortic pulse wave was extended to a peripheral artery. The transfer function is an important method for noninvasive data acquisition in the decomposition of the aortic pressure wave. However, the transfer function method is just an estimation of aortic pressure wave. Therefore, the extraction of feature points is not reliable, which is an important step of fitting the triangular flow wave. Compared with the aortic pressure wave, the radial pressure wave can be acquired directly and noninvasively. As listed in Table 4, the correlation between \( V_e \) and the time delay derived from the aortic pulse wave is positive. Here, \( V_e \) represents the elastic arterial PWV. If \( V_e \) increases, the aortic pulse transit time decreases. However, the time delay based on the decomposition of the aortic pulse wave is positively correlated with \( V_e \). Conversely, the time delay based on the decomposition of the radial pulse

\[
y = 0.75x + 20.33
\]
wave is negatively correlated with $V_e$. The calculated results of the parameters ($V_e$, $V_m$, $D_e$) for the aorta and radial artery are opposite, while a similar effect between the time lags and $D_m$, HR, SV, R in aorta and radial artery is seen and is shown in Table 4.

**Discussion**

This study extends the wave reflection by decomposing the radial pressure wave and estimating the time lag of the fluctuation of the backward wave to calculate the cfPTT. The process of cfPTT estimation is a simple method based on the radial pressure wave alone. In order to explore the backward wave in the radial artery, a database of virtual healthy subjects’ pressure waves was used to decompose the aortic pressure wave to observe the influence of arterial parameters on the backward wave. The seven parameters are varying in different levels, which cause a change in the wave. As listed in the Table 3, both the time lags of aortic decomposition and radial backward wave are affected by the seven parameters. In particular, the SV and the R are changed every two or three sets and it is difficult to explore the relation among the time lags and the two parameters. The effects of aging on central elastic arteries and distal muscular arteries are opposing32–34, the correlations between $V_e$, $D_e$ and lag from the radial pressure wave are negative. It is obvious from the results that the time lag of the radial pressure wave can reflect more parameter changes. In contrast to the aortic lag, the radial lag may be applied in the future to arrhythmias and general examinations.

The verification has shown that the backward travelling component of the radial pressure wave can provide a noninvasive and nonintrusive estimation of cfPTT. The time lag of the fluctuations in the backward wave has a strong association with cfPTT. It is assumed that the fluctuations are caused by re-reflections in arteries35. The approach can be used for a noninvasively assessing aortic stiffness. However, the traditional cfPWV is the gold standard for noninvasive assessment of aortic stiffness. The carotid and femoral pressure waves are recorded simultaneously or determined with reference to the R wave of the ECG signal. The femoral pressure wave cannot be readily obtained when the patients are obese. Therefore, the proposed method can be used as a surrogate for the cfPTT. As shown in the Fig. 9, an example of a backward wave is calculated by the measured flow wave and pressure wave in the radial artery. Considering the reflection of a single period, the backward wave is causal with the pulse wave. Therefore, the fluctuation may represent the generation of a reflection. As seen in the backward wave, the fluctuations exist throughout the cycle. Because the diastole of ultrasonic blood flow has been unnoticed, the decomposition in diastole is not enough clear. In systole, peaks of fluctuation are distinguished.

From the point of view of the backward waves, the start point is different from the start point of the pressure wave. The reflection point represents the start of the backward wave; the true start of the wave occurs shortly before the reflection point. From the true starting point, the results show that the reflections are reduced and oscillated. This phenomenon confirms the existence of multiple pressure wave reflections. Therefore, reduction and oscillation of backward wave may be used to assess arterial stiffness.

The method of fitting blood flow to decompose a pressure wave has been studied. However, there are still drawbacks in the decomposition especially in diastole. Since the diastolic pulse wave contains less information and this method can be calculated from pulse wave itself, this convenient method has been widely used.

**Conclusions**

From a single radial pressure wave, a new method to calculate the decomposition by an assumed double triangle flow wave has been proposed. From the backward wave, a time lag can be calculated from fluctuations which can be used to assess arterial stiffness. This result has been compared with aortic PTT calculated using
the decomposition of the aortic pressure wave, cPTT measured by an independent noninvasive method and the database based on a one-dimensional model. The time lag obtained from the radial pressure wave alone can serve as a surrogate measurement for cPTT.
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