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Abstract

1. Apparent competition is one mechanism that can contribute to the complex dynamics observed in natural systems, yet it remains understudied in empirical systems. Understanding the dynamics that shape the outcome of processes like apparent competition is vital for appreciating how they influence natural systems.

2. We empirically evaluated the role of indirect trophic interactions in driving apparent competition in a model laboratory system. Our experimental system was designed to let us evaluate combined direct and indirect interactions among species.

3. Here we describe the results of a factorial experiment using two noncompeting prey (Colpidium kleini, a heterotroph, and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, an autotroph) consumed by a generalist predator Euplotes eurystomus to explore the dynamics of apparent competition. To gain intuition into the potential mechanism driving the asymmetry in the observed results, we further explored the system using structural equation modelling.

4. Our results show an important role of positive interactions and indirect effects contributing to apparent competition in this system with a marked asymmetrical outcome favouring one prey, Chlamydomonas. The selected structural equation supports a role of indirect facilitation; although Chlamydomonas (a photoautotroph) and Colpidium (a bacterivore) use different resources and therefor do not directly compete, Colpidium reduces bacteria that may compete with Chlamydomonas. In addition, formation of colonies by Chlamydomonas in response to predation by Euplotes provides an antipredator defence not available to Colpidium.

5. Asymmetric apparent competition may be more common in natural systems than the symmetric interaction originally proposed in classic theory, suggesting that exploration of the mechanisms driving the asymmetry of the interaction can be a fruitful area of further research to better our understanding of interspecific interactions and community dynamics.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Apparent competition, an indirect interaction in which the presence of two or more non-competing prey increases shared predator abundance thereby reducing prey abundances, has been explored as a means of understanding complex ecological outcomes (Holt & Bonsall, 2017; Holt & Lawton, 1994). The integration of apparent competition (Holt, 1977) into studies of broader ecological frameworks is critical to understanding how direct and indirect effects interact in theory (e.g. Caulder et al., 2021; Seno et al., 2020; Stige et al., 2018) and in natural ecological communities (Anderson et al., 2018; Holt & Bonsall, 2017; Holt & Lawton, 1994). Apparent competition can influence community structure and functioning in a variety of ways (Frost et al., 2016; Morris et al., 2004) such as promoting the stable coexistence of prey species (Grover & Holt, 1998; Tilmann, 2007) or exclusion of community members (Banerji & Morin, 1997; Bonsall, 1999; McPeek, 2019). Although research has demonstrated that many natural systems display aspects of apparent competition (DeCesare et al., 2009; Dunn et al., 2012; Holt & Lawton, 1994; Neufeld et al., 2021), the complex combination of direct and indirect interactions can often restrict the ability to determine the mechanisms governing the type of symmetry seen between prey as a result of apparent competition.

Despite the difficulty of observing indirect interactions (Orrock et al., 2015; Wootton, 1994), indirect effects may be as important as direct effects in influencing community dynamics (Bonsall & Hassell, 1997; Han et al., 2020). More recent theoretical and subsequent empirical work by Stige et al. (2018, 2019) indicates that although it can be difficult to infer and interpret indirect effects, evaluating top-down and bottom-up effects in these systems can lead to greater understanding of the role of apparent competition for food web dynamics. This is especially likely for apparent competition given the number of factors that can promote, modify, or eliminate its effects (Tack et al., 2011). A major prediction of a number of models (e.g. Holt et al., 1994) is that one prey will be an inferior apparent competitor and such cases of asymmetry appear to be common in nature (Chaneton & Bonsall, 2000). One mechanism that might play a role in shaping asymmetric outcomes of apparent competition is a change in prey suitability (e.g. Holt & Kotler, 1987). Specifically, if prey suitability declines (e.g. due to an induced defence) predator attack rates or assimilation efficiency might decline, altering the outcome for the prey beyond what would be suggested in early theoretical models, potentially exacerbating or even driving such an observed asymmetry. These studies highlight the need to understand how combinations of processes, such as bottom-up effects and apparent competition, can modulate community-level changes.

Understanding positive effects in ecology is a needed extension of niche theory and community ecology to better explain complex dynamics (Koffel et al., 2021). The roles of indirect positive effects, such as facilitation, are being incorporated into broader ecological concepts with increasing frequency (Bruno et al., 2003; Bulleri et al., 2016; Michalet & Pugnaire, 2016; Stachowicz, 2001; Wright et al., 2017), including apparent competition (Allesina & Levine, 2011). A combination of direct and indirect facilitation has been observed, although the strength of facilitation appears to be highly context-dependent (Cuesta et al., 2010; Michalet et al., 2015). Conceptual models predict that interspecific prey facilitation should increase prey abundance (Bruno & Bertness, 2001), leading to increased predator abundance (Bulleri et al., 2016). There is also support for the role of mutualisms in systems experiencing apparent competition (Abrams et al., 1998; Costa & Anjos, 2020; Long et al., 2012), although such effects are contingent on the relative densities of the prey. These conceptual frameworks, coupled with the observed role of facilitation in regulating community structure (Butterfield, 2009; Butterfield & Callaway, 2013; Lortie et al., 2021) and biodiversity (Bulleri et al., 2018; McIntyre & Fajardo, 2009) make establishing the link between facilitation and apparent competition crucial for understanding outcomes of these indirect effects.

Here we describe a factorial experiment that revealed the role of facilitation by prey species in shaping outcomes of apparent competition on predator and prey abundances in a community of protists. Two prey species, the heterotrophic ciliate Colpidium kleinii and the autotrophic green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, are unlikely to compete directly given their different trophic positions (bacteria- and photosynthetic, respectively), and both prey species are consumed by the ciliated predator Euplotes eurystomus. Aside from apparent competition, two additional factors had the potential to complicate the dynamics we observed between our three study species. First: the inclusion of a standardized bacterial community as prey for Colpidium also presented a potential competitive challenge to the alga Chlamydomonas. Algae and bacteria frequently compete for nutrients such as phosphorus (Grover, 2000; Løvdal et al., 2007), and high turbidity caused by abundant bacteria could also potentially depress algal growth by reducing the light available for photosynthesis (Wang, 1974). Second: Chlamydomonas responds to predators by forming non-motile, multicellular colonies that that reduce predation by small filter feeding micrograzers (e.g. Becks et al., 2010; Lurling & Beekman, 2006) and create a refuge from elevated predator abundances resulting from apparent competition. These colonies are advantageous to Chlamydomonas populations experiencing predation but come with a trade-off in restricting the colonies to a suboptimal region of their environment in terms of access to light and nutrients. Although these colonies provide a partial defence against predators like Euplotes, the defence is incomplete at the population level (both unicells and colonies of Chlamydomonas persist in culture under predation) and the colonial subpopulation may continue to contribute unicellular Chlamydomonas over time as predator abundances fluctuate.

We used a series of factorial treatments containing subsets of our three protist species to address three specific questions. (1) Do the prey Chlamydomonas and Colpidium experience apparent competition when interacting with the generalist predator Euplotes? (2) Does consumption of the bacterial community by Colpidium facilitate the alternate prey Chlamydomonas? and (3) Does the formation
of defensive colonies by *Chlamydomonas* result in that species being a superior apparent competitor? We expected that the two prey would exhibit apparent completion and that the formation of defensive colonies by *Chlamydomonas* and facilitation of *Chlamydomonas* by *Colpidium* would result in an asymmetric outcome favouring the alga.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Organisms and culturing conditions

To evaluate the mechanisms and effects of apparent competition in our model laboratory community, we established treatments with three species in a factorial design. The alga *C. reinhardtii* (a photoautotroph) and the ciliated protist *C. kleini* (a bacterivorous heterotroph) are unlikely to compete directly, and each has the ability to support populations of our chosen ciliated predator, *E. eurystomus*. The ability of both prey species to support the growth of *Euplotes* and observations in feeding trials that *Colpidium* did not consume significant numbers of *Chlamydomonas* suggested these species would be suitable for evaluating the potential for apparent competition. Cultures of *Chlamydomonas* (CC-1010) originated from the *Chlamydomonas* Research Center (University of Minnesota). Populations of *Euplotes* and *Colpidium* were originally obtained from Carolina Biological Supply Company (Burlington, NC) and the Adelphia Plant Science Research and Extension Center (Freehold, New Jersey) respectively. Although the protist populations used in this study were obtained separately, members of these genera co-occur in freshwater ecosystems in the northeastern United States and are likely representative of the interactions between similar taxa. Approval for the ethical treatment of animals was not required given that this experiment intended to prevent nutrient limitation and minimize any nutrient-related competitive effects in our study.

Monospecific stock cultures of the three experimental species grew in microcosms (i.e. autoclave-sterilized, loosely lidded 250ml glass jars as used in Banerji & Morin, 2014; Faillace & Morin, 2016). Although *Euplotes* require other smaller protists as prey, their populations will persist without growth for weeks with a suitable bacterial community. Microcosms contained 100ml of autoclave-sterilized complex organic medium made with 0.4 grams of Carolina Biological Supply Company protozoan pellets (Carolina Biological Supply Company) and 0.14 g Herptivite nutrient supplement to 1 L of filtered well water collected from Somerset, New Jersey. Well water was filtered through Whatman filters to remove particulate matter before sterilization. Sterile medium received an inoculum of four bacterial taxa (*Serratia marcescens, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus cereus* and *Proteus vulgaris*) to standardize the bacterial community composition across treatments before introduction of protists. *Chlamydomonas* was initially cultured using a 1:1 mixture of TAP medium (Gorman & Levine, 1965) and the previously described organic medium. Our choice of media was intended to prevent nutrient limitation and minimize any nutrient-related competitive effects in our study.

### 2.2 | Experimental set-up

We created seven treatments containing all possible combinations of the three experimental species: *(Euplotes* alone, *Chlamydomonas* alone, *Colpidium* alone, *Colpidium* and *Euplotes*, *Chlamydomonas* and *Euplotes*, *Colpidium* and *Chlamydomonas*, *Euplotes* with *Colpidium* and *Chlamydomonas*) and an additional eighth treatment consisted of a protist-free control used to monitor bacterial abundance in the absence of protists (n = 5 for each treatment). Positions of microcosms were randomized in a Percival incubator at 24°C with a 12-hr light: 12-hr dark photoperiod. All experimental microcosms contained two sterile wheat seeds for additional nutrients. An addition of 50ml of bacterized medium to 50ml of dense algal culture (>1 × 10^6 cells/ml) initiated any treatments containing *Chlamydomonas*. We introduced *Colpidium* and *Euplotes* by transferring 20 individuals of each species from stock cultures using micropipettes. Prey grew for an initial period of 9 days without predators to ensure establishment of *Chlamydomonas* and *Colpidium*. *Euplotes* were added to appropriate treatments on day 10 and grew for a subsequent week of additional population growth before sampling to ensure that predators had sufficient time to become established. This experimental design therefore evaluated a minimum of approximately 70 protozoan prey generations and 40 predator generations. We monitored microcosms weekly to measure pH, to adjust volume due to evaporation and to evaluate them for bacterial or fungal contamination.

Every 2 days for 2 weeks (eight sample points total) after the introduction of predators we measured the abundances of all three species by removing a 1 ml subsample from well-mixed microcosms and estimated abundances of each species. Data consisted of counts of *Colpidium* and *Euplotes* using a Nikon SMZ microscope at 20X magnification and counts of unicellular *Chlamydomonas* using a Reichert haemocytometer and a Nikon Eclipse 80I compound microscope at 400X magnification with phase contrast.

We sampled both *Chlamydomonas* colonies (which form when grazed by *Euplotes*) and turbidity of homogenized growth medium (to measure bacterial abundance) in appropriate treatments at the end of our 3-week experimental period. We collected subsamples and measured the abundance of *Chlamydomonas* colonies in the two treatments that contained both *Chlamydomonas* and *Euplotes* as described previously for single cells. Colony data were not collected in any other treatments unless they became detectable. Turbidity provided an approximation of the relative concentrations of the bacterial communities (Monod, 1949). For each replicate, optical density at 590nm (selected to reduce influence of *Chlamydomonas* on turbidity) of gently shaken growth medium estimated the approximate abundances of bacteria in each treatment (using a Milton-Roy 601 spectrophotometer). To similarly evaluate a protist-free control, we measured optical density of our treatment containing only bacteria that was initiated after the original data collection but was cultured for an equal time period under the same culturing conditions.
2.3 | Statistical analyses

We calculated mean density per mL for Chlamydomonas unicells, Euplotes, and Colpidium averaged over time points after the establishment of Euplotes (n = 6). Means averaged over time were then log$_{10}$-transformed and analysed with analyses of variance (ANOVA) for each species. Tukey’s honestly significant difference tests for multiple comparisons evaluated significant differences in treatment means for each species at the 0.05 level of significance. We performed an ANOVA for turbidity data across all treatments and a t-test for log$_{10}$-transformed abundances of Chlamydomonas colonies in the two treatments where colonies were detectable for the final time point in the sampling period. ANOVA and t-tests were performed using SAS (SAS Institute, 2011 v9.4).

We constructed structural equation models (SEMs) in an effort to understand the potential mechanism driving the unexpected results from the ANOVAs, in particular, the possibility of facilitation of Chlamydomonas by Colpidium. Model construction, fit testing, and analyses were performed using the lavaan package (Rosseel, 2012, version 0.6–7) in R (R Core Team, 2018, version 4.2.0). To incorporate feedbacks between the predator and prey species, as well as potentially between the prey species, we used exogenous ‘introduction variables’ associated singly with each target species that corresponded to the treatment introductions. These introduction variables thus provide unique information for each species in the feedback so that are ‘statistically anchored’ (Kline, 2011). Feedbacks otherwise result in a non-recursive model structure that is unidentified, making it impossible to evaluate our food web using SEM—the introduction variables thus allowed us to evaluate a single model containing both bottom-up and top-down effects simultaneously. We were unable to include Chlamydomonas colonies within the model because Chlamydomonas colonies form in the presence of Euplotes, the predator, and were not intentionally introduced. Thus, without a separate exogenous introduction variable, we were unable to evaluate their feedback with Chlamydomonas unicells and excluded them from the model. We assessed model fit using a chi-square test for three candidate models: a full model with all possible relevant paths among endogenous response variables (i.e. species’ abundances; Figure 1A), a second competition model with both predator–prey interactions and direct interactions between the two prey species (Colpidium and Chlamydomonas; Figure 1B), and a last model that contained, in addition to predator–prey interactions, a uni-directional effect of Colpidium on Chlamydomonas mediated through the bacterial community (Figure 1C).

We note that the results from the SEMs should be treated cautiously, as our sample size was quite small (N = 35). Although five samples per estimated path is generally recommended as a minimum, here we had 3.2 samples per estimated path for the full model and 3.9 samples per estimated path each for the competition and mediation models. Nonetheless, we believe that the nature of our data (i.e. that they come from a highly controlled, manipulative experiment in contrast to the more common observational data typically analysed with SEMs) allows us to make useful inference from the SEMs despite small sample size.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Apparent competition between Chlamydomonas and Colpidium

When the predator Euplotes fed on both Chlamydomonas and Colpidium together the mean abundance for the predator more than doubled compared with when it was cultured with either prey species separately, and Euplotes density was more than 10 times higher than when cultured on bacteria alone (F$_{3,16}$ = 51.57, p < 0.0001, Figure 2A). The increase in predator abundance when feeding on both Chlamydomonas and Colpidium was accompanied by a significant decrease in the abundance of Colpidium relative to the treatment where Euplotes fed only on Colpidium. Colpidium significantly declined in abundance relative to predator-free controls only when Chlamydomonas was also present ($F_{3,16} = 6.44$, $p = 0.0046$, Figure 2B). Although different treatments did affect Chlamydomonas unicell abundances ($F_{3,16} = 11.76$, $p = 0.0003$), unlike the pattern displayed by Colpidium, the abundance of unicellular Chlamydomonas coexisting with Euplotes actually more than doubled when Colpidium was present (Figure 2C), relative to controls.

3.2 | Influence of Colpidium on bacterial abundance

The positive effect of Colpidium on Chlamydomonas abundance, observed with or without predation by Euplotes, is associated with the depression of bacterial abundance as assessed by relative turbidity across treatments. All treatments containing Colpidium displayed similarly low levels of turbidity ($F_{3,32} = 69.09$, $p < 0.0001$), which are consistent with lower bacterial abundance. All treatments without Colpidium had higher turbidity and were indistinguishable from a comparison treatment containing only bacteria without protists (Figure 3). In treatments where Chlamydomonas and Colpidium were both present algal abundances were significantly higher in concert with reduced bacterial abundance.

3.3 | Induction of Chlamydomonas defensive colonies by Euplotes

Unicellular Chlamydomonas formed multicellular colonies in communities containing the predator Euplotes. Colonies did not appear at detectable levels when Chlamydomonas grew without Euplotes (i.e. in Chlamydomonas controls or with only Colpidium). There was a slight but statistically significant increase ($t_{0.042} = -2.4109$, $p = 0.042$) in Chlamydomonas colonies in the treatment containing Euplotes and Colpidium compared with cultures containing only Chlamydomonas and
Euplotes (Figure S1). Although the predators triggering colony formation doubled between these two experimental treatments containing colonies, the number of colonies themselves only sees a 41% increase.

3.4 Structural equation model fit and results

Chi-square tests for all three models indicated poor global fit (Table 1). Inspection of the model and sample covariance matrices indicated that global model fit was likely affected by the inclusion of the exogenous introduction variables whose inclusion was necessary to evaluate feedbacks in the model (see Section 2). Specifically, the model design requires that each introduction variable only be linked to its associated endogenous response variable (species' abundance), leaving multiple unspecified paths between exogenous introduction variables to additional endogenous variables. For example, only the path from 'C. kleini introduction' to 'C. kleini abundance' is specified in our models, leaving unspecified potential paths from 'C. kleini introduction' to 'E. eurystomus abundance', 'C. reinhardtii abundance' and 'bacterial community abundance'. With the preceding factors in mind, we used Akaike's information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc) to compare our three candidate models. To ensure comparable model structure for the competition model relative to the full and mediation models, the paths between
Colpidium and the bacterial community and between the bacterial community and Chlamydomonas were constrained (i.e. set to zero), but the bacterial abundance variable remained in the model.

Although global model fit was poor for all models, $R^2$ values for all endogenous variables were large (Figure 4). These large $R^2$ values support our assertion that meaningless paths in the model
LORUSSO and FAILLACE are biasing global fit (Lefcheck et al., 2018). For this reason, we proceeded with model selection and analysis of results despite poor global fit (Table 1). We selected the mediation model as the most parsimonious model after examination of the AICc scores (Table 1). Further examination of the mediation model suggests that all interactions among species are significant (Figure 4, Table 2).

In agreement with the ANOVA results, both prey species positively influenced the abundance of the predator, which in turn negatively influenced the abundance of the two prey species. Colpidium depressed the abundance of bacteria, which itself had a negative impact on the abundance of Chlamydomonas. Based on our selected model, the SEM confirms that Colpidium has an indirect positive impact on the abundance of Chlamydomonas mediated through the bacteria. It should be noted that the unusually high $R^2$ values for the variables in our models are unsurprising in our system as they derive from the inclusion of the exogenous introduction variables with which the respective species’ abundances are inevitably highly correlated.

4 | DISCUSSION

As predicted, when C. kleini and C. reinhardtii occurred together with the predator E. eurystomus, we observed depressed prey abundance and increased predator abundance consistent with apparent competition. We also observed an asymmetric positive effect of Colpidium on Chlamydomonas that we attribute to a reduction in bacteria that inhibited algal growth when these two species grew together, regardless of whether the predator was present or absent (Figure 2C). The asymmetry observed (Figure 4) is consistent with other commonly observed asymmetrical outcomes between prey in systems displaying apparent competition.

### Table 1 Global fit statistics for three candidate models ($N=35$ for each). We report Akaike’s information criterion corrected for small sample size. The selected model based on AICc was the mediation model (in bold font). Significance is denoted using ‘*’ for $p$ values less than 0.005, ‘**’ for $p$-values less than 0.002 than 0.001 and ‘***’ for any value equal or less than 0.001

| Model    | Chi-square | df | p-value     | CFI   | TLI   | RMSEA | SRMR | AICc  |
|----------|------------|----|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Full     | 22.73      | 7  | 0.002 (**)  | 0.976 | 0.938 | 0.253 | 0.032| −205.6|
| Competition | 110.33    | 9  | 0.000 (***) | 0.884 | 0.689 | 0.567 | 0.265| −129.9|
| Mediation | 29.61      | 9  | 0.001 (*** )| 0.968 | 0.937 | 0.256 | 0.036| −210.7|

### Figure 4 Path diagram showing the relationships among species interacting in our community for the selected mediation model. Euplotes eurystomus is the predator, while Colpidium kleini and Chlamydomonas reinhardtii are the two prey species. Grey boxes indicate the exogenous introduction variables used to enable us to evaluate the feedbacks within the model. All paths in the model were significant ($\alpha = 0.01$). Path widths are proportional to the standardized coefficients shown next to each significant path. Unstandardized coefficients are shown in parentheses for each path. $R^2$ values (1 – Ratio of the residual variance) for each endogenous variable are shown in the boxes.
TABLE 2  Regression coefficients for all individual paths within the selected mediation model. All values are log-transformed. All paths are significant. Significance is denoted using ‘*’ for p values less than 0.005, ‘**’ for p-values less than 0.002 and ‘***’ for any value equal or less than 0.001

| Response                  | Predictor                        | Estimate | SE     | z-value | p-value | Standardized coefficient |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------|---------|---------|--------------------------|
| Euplotes Eurystomus       | E. eurystomus introduction       | 1.146    | 0.067  | 17.087  | 0.000 (***)| 0.971                   |
| E. eurystomus             | Colpidium kleini                 | 0.111    | 0.020  | 5.542   | 0.000 (***)| 0.313                   |
| E. eurystomus             | Chlamydomonas reinhardtii        | 0.053    | 0.008  | 6.654   | 0.000 (***)| 0.376                   |
| C. kleini                 | C. kleini introduction           | 3.334    | 0.025  | 133.307 | 0.000 (***)| 1.001                   |
| C. kleini                 | E. eurystomus                    | −0.067   | 0.021  | −3.149  | 0.002 (**) | −0.024                  |
| C. reinhardtii            | C. reinhardtii introduction      | 8.399    | 0.039  | 217.014 | 0.000 (***)| 1.005                   |
| C. reinhardtii            | E. eurystomus                    | −0.096   | 0.032  | −2.949  | 0.003 (**) | −0.014                  |
| C. reinhardtii            | Bacterial abundance              | −0.396   | 0.089  | −4.457  | 0.000 (***)| −0.020                  |
| C. reinhardtii            | Indirect effect of C. kleini     | 0.020    | 0.005  | 4.347   | 0.000 (***)| 0.028                   |
| Bacterial abundance       | C. kleini                        | −0.124   | 0.006  | −19.796 | 0.000 (***)| −0.958                  |

(Chaneton & Bonsall, 2000). The results of our path analysis also lend support to our second prediction that factors unrelated to the apparent competition (including facilitation) strongly influenced the outcome. The interplay of direct and indirect effects in our model communities creates a fascinating chain reaction in which (1) Colpidium reduces bacterial abundances and consequently promotes Chlamydomonas abundance, (2) The combined presence of Colpidium and Chlamydomonas increases Euplotes abundance and results in apparent competition. Only the facilitating prey (Colpidium), however, seems to suffer the consequences, perhaps because (3) The formation of Chlamydomonas colonies scales with increased predator abundance and potentially facilitates persistence of the Euplotes and Chlamydomonas interaction as explored in previous theoretical work (e.g. Grover & Holt, 1998), perpetuating the apparent competition and resulting in the asymmetrical pattern we observed.

There is a need to relate food web dynamics and processes to the various outcomes of apparent competition (Holt & Bonsall, 2017) to better understand how these outcomes arise. Our results allow us to integrate previous work on predation, apparent competition and the role of inducible defences in community dynamics. Models of species coexistence support the idea that predator–prey interactions can be stabilizing (Allesina & Tang, 2012; Brose et al., 2019), and many examples of top-down drivers for maintaining diversity have been found in natural systems (Terborgh, 2015). In addition to the more general role of predation for enabling species coexistence, anti-predator defensive phenotypes can also be important in driving persistence of focal groups (e.g. Aránguiz-Acuna et al., 2010) or communities as a whole (e.g. Boeing & Ramcharan, 2010). A number of factors could cause the asymmetrical outcome seen in our results, but we suggest the formation of defensive colonies by Chlamydomonas and the turbidity reduction caused by Colpidium as being the most significant drivers in this system. The role of Chlamydomonas defensive colonies in our experimental system provides a case like that predicted by Grover and Holt (1998), in which coexistence results from one prey being better defended against predation (Chlamydomonas forming colonies which favour defence over population growth rate) and one prey specializing on resource acquisition (Colpidium). Although the Chlamydomonas defensive phenotype does likely provide an advantage, our empirical results suggest that the asymmetry in prey abundance is more likely due to another cause – the facilitation of Chlamydomonas by Colpidium.

Theory that has considered differences in ways predators obtain prey in multi-prey systems would suggest that attack rate might decline as predator abundance increases (e.g. Holt and Kotler 1987) in cases like those seen in our experiment (where colony formation increases as a function of predator abundance and lowers attack rates). Although we believe colonies do provide a defence against predation, that defence is incomplete at the population level (both colonies and single celled Chlamydomonas phenotypes persist under predation). It remains unclear whether single cells can reenter the water column from the colonial phenotype, but our data suggest an alternate source of increased unicellular algal abundance in the form of Colpidium-mediated bacterial suppression. Single cells of Chlamydomonas significantly increase in abundance even in the absence of predation (and corresponding colony formation) so long as Colpidium is also present (Figure 2C). Furthermore, Chlamydomonas cultured with Euplotes in unbacterized medium also show significantly elevated abundance of unicells despite elevated predation and resulting colony formation in those conditions (NSL, unpublished data). These results suggest that interactions between the prey species in isolation of the predator might have a stronger influence on observed algal unicell abundances compared with the colony formation that occurs in the presence of the predator.

The fact that Chlamydomonas unicell abundances were unaffected by elevated predator abundances resulting from apparent competition was surprising compared with more traditional systems experiencing apparent competition where prey would be both experience depressed abundances. Given that Chlamydomonas and Colpidium are equally capable of supporting Euplotes populations when either prey occurs alone, and Chlamydomonas abundances are significantly higher in the presence of Colpidium one might anticipate...
depressed abundances of Chlamydomonas under apparent competition. The results of our selected mediation model (Figure 4) supports our interpretation that the interaction between the predator Euplotes and the combined prey species results in apparent competition that is asymmetrical due to dynamics between Chlamydomonas and Colpidium.

Our SEMs suggested that our data most closely match the apparent competition model, with interactions between the prey, Colpidium and Chlamydomonas, solely mediated through the abundance of the predator, Euplotes, and the bacteria. The apparent competition model has stronger support than either the full model with direct interactions between the prey and the indirectly mediated effects, or the model lacking an interaction mediated through the bacterial community. The selected model confirms that both prey increase the abundance of the predator, with their own abundances concurrently reduced by the predator. The model indicates an additional significant indirect path between Colpidium and Chlamydomonas mediated through the bacteria. As a bacterivore, Colpidium has a very strong negative effect on the abundance of bacterial taxa (turbidity in our study being a proxy for bacterial abundance). Increased turbidity has a smaller, but still significant negative effect on Chlamydomonas, such that the indirect effect of Colpidium on Chlamydomonas is positive and nearly twice as strong as that of the predator on Chlamydomonas (standardized coefficient of 0.028 for the indirect effect of Colpidium versus −0.018 for the effect of Euplotes).

Although we did not directly evaluate interactions between Chlamydomonas and bacteria in our system, we suggest that the algal population was not influenced by competitive interactions (e.g. nutrient limitation) and instead was more strongly influenced by the effect of the relative bacterial abundance on turbidity (Figure 3). Given that the experimental microcosms were designed to avoid nutrient limitation, the changes in prey and predator abundances observed are most likely due to interactions between members of the experimental community. A reduction in turbidity would provide more light to Chlamydomonas, enabling it increase its photosynthesis while increased turbidity would have the inverse effect. The results of our models provide additional support that the asymmetrical nature of the apparent competition we observed is the result of indirect facilitation between the two prey species. Coupled with the defensive phenotype shown by part of the Chlamydomonas population – this would create conditions where persisting Chlamydomonas single cells would still bolster Euplotes abundance and serve as a consistent pressure in promoting the asymmetry observed.

Although cases of predator facilitation of prey species have been considered previously (e.g. Pope et al., 2008), our results highlight a need to explore cases where facilitation between the prey themselves can influence apparent competition in ways that affect the fitness consequences for both prey species (Schöb et al., 2014). Indirect facilitation like that observed in our system has been linked to negative interactions previously (Adams et al., 2003; Flory & Bauer, 2014; Wright et al., 2017), but the roles of such indirect effects are far from clear (Cuesta et al., 2010; Soliveres et al., 2015). By considering indirect facilitation, we can broaden our understanding of ecological interactions in complex systems (Cavieres, 2021; Saccone et al., 2010). Our findings support previous evidence that facilitation can modify the strength of negative interactions between species (Bulleri et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019) and contribute to changes in species abundances by modifying interactions at the community level (Levine, 1999). Because facilitation and apparent competition contribute to shared processes at the community level (e.g. modifying interaction strength, community structure, invasions and conservation, etc.), improving our understanding of the interplay between the positive effect of facilitation and the negative effect of apparent competition should be a focus of future work.

Our study explored the role of mixed direct and indirect effects; including facilitation and trait-mediated indirect effects, in shaping the outcomes of apparent competition. This raises a number of interesting questions for fields such as invasion and conservation biology, where both apparent competition and facilitation have been observed to play a pronounced role (Bhattarai et al., 2017; Mumma et al., 2018; Strauss et al., 2012; Wittmer et al., 2013). More work is required to disentangle the combination of direct and indirect effects that ultimately combine to shape the outcomes observed from apparent competition and how effects may be promoted or diffused in natural systems. By exploring how these interactions are shaped and modified we hope to expand our understanding of the role of positive interactions in governing the outcomes of apparent competition.
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