Measurement of $\sigma_{\chi \gamma}B(\chi \gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma)/\sigma_{\chi \gamma}B(\chi \gamma \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma)$ in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV
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Since it was first observed, charmonium (c\bar{c}) production in hadronic collisions has been a subject of considerable theoretical interest. Recent approaches to understanding charmonium production make use of nonrelativistic QCD [1, 2] to calculate hadro-production rates at the Tevatron and elsewhere. While most experimental observations of charmonium production consist of J/ψ measurements, a significant contribution of J/ψ production is indirect, resulting from the decay of higher mass states [3]. In particular, the radiative decay of the J/ψ (ψ0) into a \gamma\gamma pair, in 1.1 fb−1 of pp collisions at √s = 1.96 TeV. This measurement covers the kinematic range pT(J/ψ) > 4.0 GeV/c, |η(J/ψ)| < 1.0, and pT(γ) > 1.0 GeV/c. For events due to prompt processes, we find Rp = 0.395 ± 0.016(stat.) ± 0.015(sys.). This result represents a significant improvement in precision over previous measurements of prompt χc1,2 hadroproduction.

PACS numbers: 13.60Le, 13.85Qk
serving the decay process \( \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma \). Experimental results available until now have suffered from large statistical uncertainties, and no measurement has had the precision to test the consistency of the cross-section ratio \( \frac{\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}}{\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}} \) with the simple spin-state counting expectation of \( \frac{3}{4} \) for \( \chi_{cJ} \) mesons that are directly produced in the interaction [6]. Knowledge of this ratio is needed in calculations of \( J/\psi \) production through radiative \( \chi_{cJ} \) decay, and can be an important standard for comparing production models.

In this letter, we report a measurement of the relative cross section times branching fractions of the \( \chi_{c1} \) and \( \chi_{c2} \) mesons produced in \( p\bar{p} \) collisions at a center of mass energy of 1.96 TeV using the CDF II detector at the Fermilab Tevatron. We study the inclusive process \( p\bar{p} \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} X \), where \( \chi_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma \), and \( J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \), in a data sample with a time-integrated luminosity of 1.1 fb\(^{-1}\). The final state photon is reconstructed through its conversion into \( e^+ e^- \), which provides the mass resolution needed to distinguish the \( \chi_{c1} \) and \( \chi_{c2} \) states. The spatial resolution of the \( \mu^+ \mu^- \) vertex allows separation of prompt \( \chi_{cJ} \) production from events where the \( \chi_{cJ} \) meson is a \( B \)-hadron decay product. We measure the ratio of the cross section times branching fraction \( R_p \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}}{\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}} B(x_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma) / \sigma_{\chi_{cJ}} B(x_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma) \) for promptly produced \( \chi_{cJ} \) mesons. In addition, we obtain a result for the analogous quantity in \( B \) decay events, \( R_B \equiv \frac{\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}}{\sigma_{\chi_{cJ}}} B(B \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} X) B(x_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma) / \sigma_{\chi_{cJ}} B(B \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} X) B(x_{cJ} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma) \), which provides a measurement of \( B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c2} X) / B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c1} X) \) for the \( B \) hadrons produced in the Tevatron environment.

This analysis makes use of the tracking, photon identification, and trigger systems. The CDF II detector has been described in detail elsewhere [8]. The tracking system consists of a seven-layer silicon microstrip detector and an open-cell drift chamber (COT) that operate inside a solenoid with a 1.4 T magnetic field. Muon candidates from the decay \( J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) are identified by two sets of drift chambers located outside the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters. The central muon chambers cover the pseudorapidity region \(|\eta| < 0.6\), and are sensitive to muons having transverse momentum \( p_T > 1.4 \text{ GeV}/c \) [11]. A second muon system covers the region \( 0.6 < |\eta| < 1.0 \) and is sensitive to muons having \( p_T > 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c \). Muon triggering and identification are based on matching tracks measured in the muon system to COT tracks.

The analysis of the data begins with a selection of well measured \( J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) candidates. These are selected by requiring events that contain two oppositely charged muon candidates, each with a match between the COT and muon chamber tracks. We also require that both muon tracks have measurements in at least three layers of the silicon detector and a two-track invariant mass within \( \pm 80 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \) of the world-average \( J/\psi \) mass [2]. The \( J/\psi \) candidates are required to fall within kinematic bounds of \( p_T(J/\psi) > 4.0 \text{ GeV}/c \) and \( |\eta(J/\psi)| < 1.0 \), which correspond to the approximate limits of our acceptance. A simultaneous mass and vertex constrained fit is performed on the muon tracks, where the dimuon mass is constrained to the world-average \( J/\psi \) mass.

The search for photon conversion candidates begins with a scan of all additional tracks with \( p_T > 400 \text{ MeV}/c \) found in each \( J/\psi \) event. Two oppositely charged tracks are each assigned the electron mass, and have their track parameters recalculated by subjecting them and their uncertainties to a fit that has constraints consistent with the photon conversion hypothesis. Specifically, the two tracks are constrained to be parallel at their point of intersection, and the momentum vector of the pair is constrained to originate from the dimuon vertex. A displacement of 12.0 cm or more from the beam line in the direction of the track pair’s transverse momentum is required to omit conversions whose momentum is poorly measured due to bremsstrahlung in the inner detector material. We also require \( p_T(\gamma) > 1.0 \text{ GeV}/c \). Finally, a constrained fit is performed on the four tracks that combines the \( J/\psi \) mass constraint with the photon conversion hypothesis. The invariant mass distribution of all \( J/\psi \gamma \) combinations is shown in Fig. 1 which clearly demonstrates that the \( J/\psi \gamma \) mass resolution achieved by this technique is sufficient to resolve the \( \chi_{cJ} \) states.

The lifetime of \( B \) hadrons allows the transverse displacement of the dimuon vertex from the beamline to be used as a tool for their identification. Since any \( J/\psi \gamma \) combination that originates from \( B \) decay represents only a partial reconstruction of the \( B \) hadron, the proper lifetime is not directly measurable. We therefore use the quantity \( c \tau \equiv L \times M(J/\psi) \times F(p_T(J/\psi))/M(J/\psi) \) where \( M(J/\psi) \) and \( p_T(J/\psi) \) are the mass and transverse momentum, respectively, of the \( J/\psi \) candidate, and \( L \) is the measured displacement of the dimuon vertex in the direction of \( p_T(J/\psi) \). The correction factor, \( F(p_T(J/\psi)) \), was obtained by a Monte Carlo simulation of \( B \) hadron decay [3], and provides an average correction between the measured displacement and the lifetime of the decaying \( B \) hadron.

Mass resolution, acceptance, and reconstruction efficiencies for \( J/\psi \gamma \) final states of different invariant mass have been studied with a Monte Carlo simulation that generates events uniformly in rapidity and with a transverse momentum distribution that matches the measured distribution for \( J/\psi \) events [8]. The simulated events were processed through our reconstruction and analysis algorithms, and provided templates for the expected signal shape of the final \( J/\psi \gamma \) invariant mass distribution

\[ \text{as a function of } p_T(J/\psi) \]. In particular, the simulated events enabled an estimate of the mass resolution and radiative tail due to scattering and radiation by the conversion electrons as they passed through the material in the detector.

We used an unbinned likelihood fit to calculate the yield of \( \chi_{cJ} \) events for both prompt and \( B \)-decay production processes. The probability density function used for the fit is a function of both \( J/\psi \gamma \) invariant mass and \( c \tau \). Independent signal and background distribu-
tions are calculated for both processes. The mass distributions of the signals are constrained to the templates obtained through simulation. The mass distributions of the backgrounds are modeled by polynomials, and the probability density function for each event uses the calculated uncertainty on the invariant mass and $ct$. The $ct$ distribution is used to separate the production processes, and is modeled as a sum of prompt (Gaussian times branching fraction into the $J/\psi\gamma$ processes, and is modeled as a sum of prompt (Gaussian times branching fractions of the

| TABLE I: The acceptance ratio and ratios of cross section times branching fractions of the $\chi_{cJ}$ states for the prompt events and $B$ decay events. Uncertainties listed are statistical only. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| $pt(J/\psi)$ (GeV/$c$) | $\varepsilon_{\chi_{c2}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}$ | $R_\alpha$ | $R_B$ |
| 4 – 6 | $1.27 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.150 \pm 0.087$ | |
| 6 – 8 | $1.17 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.080 \pm 0.094$ | |
| 8 – 10 | $1.14 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.053 \pm 0.116 \pm 0.070$ | |
| > 10 | $1.10 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.197 \pm 0.082$ | |
| > 4 | $1.23 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.143 \pm 0.042$ | |

Several systematic effects that might change the reconstruction efficiency ratio $\varepsilon_{\chi_{c2}}/\varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}$ were studied. First, the simulated event sample size used for the acceptance calculation provides an overall relative uncertainty of $\pm 0.005$ on the ratio. A comparison between the full event simulation/reconstruction and the simpler simulation based on the electron energy distribution yields a relative systematic uncertainty of $\pm 0.020$. Another effect considered is that polarization of one of the two $\chi_{cJ}$ states would also introduce a systematic shift. We have evaluated the effect of having one state decay with a distribution given by $I(\theta_{\mu\mu}) \propto 1 + \alpha \cos^2(\theta_{\mu\mu})$ where $\theta_{\mu\mu}$ is the polar angle of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ in the $J/\psi$ rest frame, and we take $\alpha = 0.13 \pm 0.15$ as was done for a separate $J/\psi$ cross-section measurement [8]. A variation of $\alpha$ by this uncertainty for one of the two $\chi_{cJ}$ states implies a relative shift of $\pm 0.030$ on our reconstruction efficiency ratio.

We have also considered the sources of systematic uncertainty in the yield ratio calculation. We varied the invariant mass signal shape obtained from the simulation within the uncertainty of its parametrization, and found that the relative shift in the yield ratio is $\pm 0.005$. The uncertainty on parameters used in the $ct$ definition corresponds to a variation of the $B$ fraction of the $J/\psi \gamma$ events of $\pm 0.007$ of its value, giving a systematic uncertainty of $\pm 0.002(\pm 0.010)$ in the yield ratio for the prompt ($B$ decay) sample. Finally, we explored the possibility that our data contain partially reconstructed $h_c \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$, $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ events. This has been studied by simulating this process, parametrizing the resulting $J/\psi \gamma$ invariant mass distribution, and including this possible background in our likelihood fits for the signal yield. The possible $h_c$ background contribution was found to be negligible in this data sample, so no systematic uncertainty was assigned for this process.

Differences in the two states due to production angular or $pt$ distributions would require different production mechanisms for the $\chi_{c1}$ and $\chi_{c2}$ mesons, and are, therefore, considered to be unlikely. Consequently, we did not assign a systematic uncertainty on the $\chi_{cJ}$ acceptance due to production dynamics. A summary of the systematic uncertainties on the cross section times branching fraction ratio is listed in Table III. The individual uncertainties are combined in quadrature to give the total systematic uncertainty.

| Table II: Relative systematic uncertainties on $R_p$ and $R_B$. |
|---|---|
| | Uncertainty |
| Simulation Sample Size | $\pm 0.005$ |
| Photon Conversion Simulation | $\pm 0.020$ |
| Polarization Effects | $\pm 0.030$ |
| Invariant Mass Resolution | $\pm 0.005$ |
| Prompt/B Separation | $\pm 0.002(\pm 0.010$ for $B)$ |
| Total | $\pm 0.037(\pm 0.038$ for $B)$ |

Our final result on the relative rate of production for promptly produced $\chi_{cJ}$ states is $R_p = 0.395 \pm 0.016(stat.) \pm 0.015(sys.)$ for $\chi_{cJ}$ with $pt(J/\psi) > 4$ GeV/$c$ and $pt(\gamma) > 1$ GeV/$c$. For $\chi_{cJ}$ resulting from $B$ decay over the same kinematic range we find $R_B = 0.143 \pm 0.042(stat.) \pm 0.005(sys.)$. These results provide the most precise measurement of the $\chi_{cJ}$ production ratio obtained in any hadronic interactions. Conversion of this measurement into the direct cross-section ratio $\sigma_{\chi_{c2}}/\sigma_{\chi_{c1}}$ requires a knowledge of the branching fractions, which are not measured in this experiment, and a small correction due to $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} \gamma$ decays. Based on the existing
measurement of the prompt $\psi(2S)$ cross section \cite{12}, and the $\chi_{cJ}$ contribution to the prompt $J/\psi$ production cross section \cite{3}, we estimate that $4.0 \pm 1.0 (5.0 \pm 1.0)\%$ of our prompt $\chi_{c1}(\chi_{c2})$ sample is due to decay of promptly produced $\psi(2S)$ mesons.

Prior measurements of the prompt cross-section ratio have been severely limited in their precision due to the statistical uncertainties inherent to small data samples \cite{5}. The relative precision of previous measurements has typically been approximately 30% on the cross-section ratio, and provides weak guidance for production models. This work, combined with the best branching-fraction ratio measurement $R_{J/\psi \gamma} \equiv B(\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma)/B(\chi_{c2} \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma) = 1.91 \pm 0.10$ available \cite{12}, gives $R_p R_{J/\psi \gamma} = 0.75 \pm 0.03 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.03 (\text{sys.}) \pm 0.04 (B.F.)$, where the last term in the uncertainty is due to the branching-fraction ratio uncertainty. This level of precision should serve to inform any future developments in the calculation of hadronic charmonium production.
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FIG. 1: The $J/\psi\gamma$ mass distribution (points) with the projection of the likelihood fit overlaid on the data. The masses of the $\chi_{cJ}$ mesons and the contributions of the signal and background components are indicated.

FIG. 2: The $ct$ distribution (points) for events in the $\chi_{c1}$ (a) and $\chi_{c2}$ (b) mass ranges. The projection of the fit is overlaid on the data, with the contribution of each signal and background component indicated.