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Abstract

Visually-situated language is ubiquitous—sources range from textbooks with diagrams to web pages with images and tables, to mobile apps with buttons and forms. Perhaps due to this diversity, previous work has typically relied on domain-specific recipes with limited sharing of the underlying data, model architectures, and objectives. We present Pix2Struct, a pretrained image-to-text model for purely visual language understanding, which can be finetuned on tasks containing visually-situated language. Pix2Struct is pretrained by learning to parse masked screenshots of web pages into simplified HTML. The web, with its richness of visual elements cleanly reflected in the HTML structure, provides a large source of pretraining data well suited to the diversity of downstream tasks. Intuitively, this objective subsumes common pretraining signals such as OCR, language modeling, and image captioning. In addition to the novel pretraining strategy, we introduce a variable-resolution input representation and a more flexible integration of language and vision inputs, where language prompts such as questions are rendered directly on top of the input image. For the first time, we show that a single pretrained model can achieve state-of-the-art results in six out of nine tasks across four domains: documents, illustrations, user interfaces, and natural images.

1. Introduction

Research on the interaction between language and vision has traditionally focused on tasks where images and text can be separated into distinct channels, e.g. visual question answering or image captioning. However, visually-situated language is a far more pervasive way in which these modalities interact and blend together. For example, documents, tables, infographics, and user interfaces (UIs) are intended to be consumed holistically, without clear boundaries between textual and visual elements (Figure 1). Comprehensive understanding of this information requires a deep set of skills, including the ability to recognize text, understand language, and incorporate diverse visual context.

Previous work on understanding visually-situated language is scattered. The focus is typically on complex task-specific combinations of available inputs and tools. For example, document-understanding models [Huang et al., 2022] rely on external OCR systems, UI-understanding models rely on platform-specific metadata (e.g. Android view hierarchy) [Bai et al., 2021], and diagram-understanding models rely on diagram parses [Kembhavi et al., 2016]. Domain-specific engineering can be effective for high-resource settings such as documents, where there is an abundance of tools and data available. However, these pipelined models lack sharing of the underlying data, model architectures, and objectives across domains, limiting their general applicability. Moreover, relying on external systems like OCR increases engineering complexity, limits adaptability, and can increase overall computational cost. Recent work on OCR-free, end-to-end document understanding from images [Kim et al. 2022; Davis et al. 2022] has attempted to remove such task-specific engineering and reliance on external components during inference by learning to decode OCR outputs during pretraining—a significant step towards more general-purpose models. However, the focus on text at the surface level limits the depth of knowledge transferred from unsupervised data.

We present Pix2Struct, a pretrained model that com-

1Equal contribution 1Google Research succinctly.ai
2University of Cambridge. Correspondence to: Kenton Lee <kentonl@google.com>, Mandar Joshi <mandarj@google.com>.

Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA. PMLR 202, 2023. Copyright 2023 by the author(s).
Pix2Struct: Screenshot Parsing as Pretraining for Visual Language Understanding

Figure 1: Examples of visually-situated language understanding tasks, including diagram QA (AI2D), app captioning (Screen2Words), and document QA (DocVQA). We also include an example of our proposed pretraining task (screenshot parsing) on the left. Pix2Struct encodes the pixels from the input image (above) and decodes the output text (below).

We train two variants with 282M and 1.3B parameters, which we refer to as Pix2Struct-Base and Pix2Struct-Large respectively, on 80M screenshots of web pages collected from the URLs in the C4 corpus [Raffel et al., 2020]. Experiments on four domains and nine tasks show that our finetuned models strongly outperform Donut (ranging from 9 to 53 points), the strongest existing baseline without pipelines. Compared with models with domain-specific pipelines, we lag behind the state of the art in high-resource domains such as documents and natural images but observe significant improvements (ranging from 1 to 44 points) in low-resource domains such as illustrations and UIs. We hope these results encourage the community to continue developing such general-purpose methods and further enable new applications in this currently fragmented intersection of language and vision.

To summarize, our major contributions are as follows:

- We introduce the area of general-purpose visually-situated language understanding, which consists of diverse tasks but common challenges.
- We propose a screenshot parsing pretraining objective based on the HTML source of web pages. Our objective is shown to be more effective than prior attempts to enable the elegant pixel-to-text design for general-purpose visually-situated language understanding.
- We introduce variable-resolution input representations to ViT and new fine-tuning strategies that seamlessly integrate language and vision inputs by directly rendering any text prompts on top of the input image.

2. Method
2.1. Background

Prior attempts at pixel-only modeling of visually situated language have largely focused on documents and natural images. For documents, Donut (Kim et al., 2022) and Dessert (Davis et al., 2022) combine pretrained objectives based on surface-level features from synthetic images or predicted OCR outputs. For natural images, recent work—GIT2 (Wang et al., 2022a) and PaLI (Chen et al., 2022)—
focuses on collecting and training on large scale image captioning data that transfers well to datasets with natural images (e.g. TextCaps).

We aim to provide a single pretrained model that can be finetuned on a wider variety of tasks and domains. The input to our model is an image in the form of raw pixels only, and the output is text in the form of token sequences, similar to Donut. The goal is a visual analog of models like T5 (Raffel et al., 2020), where the generality of simple inputs and outputs is combined with the power of pretraining on large unsupervised sources of data. During finetuning, the complexity of adapting to diverse downstream tasks resides only in data preprocessing.

Even without visual context, pixel-only language modeling for text has only recently been attempted (Rust et al., 2022)—perhaps because it requires solving multiple hard sub-problems. First, the ability to read with high fidelity while also building rich high-level representations poses a difficult optimization problem. Second, encoding text-heavy inputs (e.g. long documents) involves processing high-resolution images with variable aspect ratios. State-of-the-art document understanding models (Huang et al., 2022) therefore rely on the combination of (possibly noisy) OCR outputs with low resolution images.

We show the components of Pix2Struct that address these challenges. Section 2.2 discusses modifications to the transformer inputs to handle variable aspect ratios and resolutions. Section 2.3 details our proposed screenshot parsing objective and Section 2.4 describes curriculum learning for more robust transfer learning. Finally, Section 2.5 shows how Pix2Struct consumes textual and visual inputs for downstream tasks (e.g. questions and images) in the same space by rendering text inputs onto images.

2.2. Architecture

Pix2Struct is an image-encoder-text-decoder based on ViT (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021). While the bulk of the model is fairly standard, we propose one small but impactful change to the input representation to make Pix2Struct more robust to various forms of visually-situated language. Before extracting fixed-size patches, the standard ViT scales the input images to a predefined resolution, which creates two undesirable effects: (1) rescaling the image distorts the true aspect ratio, which can be highly variable for documents, mobile UIs, and figures. (2) transferring these models to downstream tasks with higher resolution is non-trivial (Touvron et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021b), since the model only observes one specific resolution during pretraining.

We instead propose to always scale our input image up or down such that we extract the maximal number of fixed-size patches that fit within the given sequence length (Figure 2). In order for the model to handle variable resolutions unambiguously, we use 2-dimensional absolute positional embeddings for the input patches. Together these changes to the standard ViT inputs provide two major advantages in terms of robustness to: (1) extreme aspect ratios, which is common in the domains that we experiment with, and (2) on-the-fly changes to the sequence length and resolution.

2.3. Pretraining

The goal of pretraining is for Pix2Struct to represent the underlying structure of the input image. To that end, we create self-supervised pairs of input images and target text from web pages. For each page in the pretraining corpus, we start by collecting its HTML source and a screenshot using a viewport of 1024 x 1024.

Screenshot parsing inputs & outputs The screenshot and HTML are modified to ensure rich and dense learning signal during pretraining. These modifications provide a reasonable trade-off between preserving the semantics of the page and requiring a practical decoder sequence length.

We condense the HTML DOM tree by (1) only keeping nodes with visible elements or descendants with visible elements and (2) if a node does not contain visible elements and it only has a single child, replacing the singleton child
with any grandchildren to remove chained nesting. In each
node, we only use the text, along with filenames and alt-text
of images. Much more information could be retained (e.g.
element tags, style, titles and URLs) in future work. The
decoder sequence length is further reduced by finding the
largest linearized subtree that fits within a predefined se-
quence length. A bounding box indicating the region cov-
ered by the chosen subtree is also drawn on the screenshot.
For better context modeling, we introduce a BART-
like (Lewis et al., 2020) learning signal by masking 50%
of the text and decoding the entire subtree. The masked re-
gions are randomly sampled spans of text from the chosen
subtree where we render masks (Figure 3).

Comparison to existing pretraining strategies Our
proposed screenshot parsing seamlessly integrates signals
reminiscent of several well-known pretraining strategies:

• Recovering the unmasked parts of the parse is simi-
lar to OCR, a prerequisite skill for understanding lan-
guage. OCR pretraining was proposed in Donut which
uses synthetic renderings or OCR outputs. In Figure 3,
predicting <C++> exemplifies this learning signal.
• Recovering the masked parts of the parse is much like
masked language modeling (Devlin et al., 2019). A
major difference is that the visual context often pro-
vides additional powerful cues. In Figure 3 predicting
<Python> exemplifies this signal.
• Recovering the alt-text from images is a common pre-
training strategy for image captioning (Sharma et al.,
2018) Wang et al. 2022a Chen et al. 2022c. A ma-
jor difference is that the model is permitted to use the
web page as additional context. In Figure 3 predict-
ing img_alt=C++ exemplifies this learning signal.

Appendix F contains more details including examples of
screenshots paired with their gold and predicted parses.

2.4. Warming up with a reading curriculum
While we can directly pretrain Pix2Struct on the
screenshot parsing task, we find that doing this naively
can result in instability and slow learning. However, if we
first expose the model to a short “warmup” stage of sim-
ply learning to read, we find a strong curriculum learning
effect where (1) pretraining is more stable and converges
faster, and (2) we observe better finetuning performance,
as discussed in Section 5. We create images of text snip-
pets with random colors and fonts. The model is simply
trained to decode the original text (see Appendix F for ex-
amples). This type of curriculum learning was also used
in Dessurt [Davis et al., 2022] and can also be viewed as a
simplified version of Donut’s pretraining.

2.5. Finetuning
Finetuning Pix2Struct is straightforward and largely a
matter of preprocessing the downstream data to unambigu-
ously reflect the task in the image inputs and text outputs,
analogous to the way T5 (Raffel et al., 2020) is used for
text-based tasks. In this section, we cover the preprocess-
ing strategies for the tasks described in Table 4. Examples
of this preprocessing are shown in Figure 1.

Captioning is the most straightforward, since the input im-
age and the output text can be directly used (as in TextCaps,
Screen2Words). In the case where the focus of the caption
is a specific bounding box (as in Widget Captioning), we
draw the target bounding box on the image itself.
For visual question answering (as in OCR-VQA, ChartQA,
DocVQA, InfographicsVQA), while multimodal models
typically reserve a specialized text channel for the question,
we opt to instead directly render the question as a header
at the top of the original image. Pix2Struct reads both
the question and the image jointly via the visual modality.
This strategy is analogous to the common practice of sim-
ply concatenating all inputs during finetuning of pretrained
text models, first proposed in GPT (Radford et al., 2018)
and has been the default method in NLP since then. Intu-
itively, this strategy is effective because Pix2Struct has
been pretrained to be sensitive to long-range interactions
between various parts of the input image. In the case of
multiple choice answers (as in AI2D), we also render the
choices in the header as part of the question.

The most complex scenario is RefExp, where the task is
choosing between UI components that a natural language
expression could be referring to. For each candidate, we
create a training instance where the input image contains
the bounding box and referring expression, and the decod-
training data from other datasets (Powalski et al., 2021; geral methods use model ensembles, multitask with labeled each domain (see Section 4 for method descriptions). Sev-
against state of the art (SotA) methods in Pix2Struct of methods which could serve as baselines. We compare Baselines Across all tasks, we found a large number can be found in Appendix D.

on the pretraining validation set. Details about finetuning is 128 tokens, and we choose pretraining targets to have at 2048 patches and are optimized of 1024 on 128 Google Cloud TPUs. Both models use an large model is pretrained for 170K steps with a batch size 2048 on 64 Google Cloud TPUs. The
for 270K steps with the screenshot parsing objective us-
 덕다. The base model is then pretrained further for 270K steps with the screenshot parsing objective us-
ing a batch size of 2048 on 64 Google Cloud TPUs. The large model is pretrained for 170K steps with a batch size of 1024 on 128 Google Cloud TPUs. Both models use an input sequence length of 2048 patches and are optimized using Adafactor (Shazeer & Stern 2018). The learning rate schedule uses a linear warmup of 1000 steps to 0.01, followed by cosine decay to 0. The decoder sequence length is 128 tokens, and we choose pretraining targets to have at most 1024 characters. As a reference point, the base model reaches 30 BLEU and the large model reaches 32 BLEU on the pretraining validation set. Details about finetuning can be found in Appendix D.

Baselines Across all tasks, we found a large number of methods which could serve as baselines. We compare Pix2Struct against state of the art (SotA) methods in each domain (see Section 4 for method descriptions). Several methods use model ensembles, multitask with labeled training data from other datasets (Powalski et al., 2021). For fair comparison and ease of experimentation, we focus on single-model and single-task baselines trained on standard splits. Several (per-task) SotA (Li et al., 2021b; Masry et al., 2022) use domain-specific inputs (e.g. view hierarchies for UIs or gold data tables for charts) making it difficult to apply them to other domains. For a strong, consistent visual baseline across domains, we finetuned Donut on tasks where a purely visual baseline was unavailable.\\n
4. Results

Table 1 compares Pix2Struct with prior work.

4.1. Illustrations

ChartQA (Masry et al., 2022) is a VQA dataset with questions based on charts, i.e. visual representations of tabular data. VisionTaPas (Masry et al., 2022), the current SotA, is a pipeline which operates on data tables predicted from the given charts. It consists of (1) a ViT encoder for encoding the chart image, (2) a TaPas encoder for encoding the question and the data table, and (3) a cross-modal encoder. In contrast, Pix2Struct does not rely on table extractors and uses the chart directly—improving the SotA from 45.5 to 58.6 with the large variant.

AI2D (Kembhavi et al., 2016) contains multiple choice questions based on illustrative science diagrams (about geological processes, biological structures etc.). The dataset comes with train and test splits. We set aside 1% of the train split for validation. The current SotA DQA-NET (Kembhavi et al., 2016) focuses on modeling entity relationships via a pipeline of tools for extracting arrows, blobs, and other visual elements. Pix2Struct-Large outperforms DQA-NET and Donut by 3.6 and 11.27 points respectively without any domain-specific modifications.

OCR-VQA (Mishra et al., 2019) is a VQA dataset on images of book covers. The questions are based on book metadata such as title, author, genre etc. Much of work on OCR-VQA, including the pipeline SotA LATr (Biten et al., 2022), uses off-the-shelf OCR. Recent work, GIT2 (Wang et al., 2022a), the current SotA, is pretrained on 12.9B image caption pairs. Their final finetuning stage is preceded by intermediate finetuning on eight VQA datasets including VQA v2 (Goyal et al., 2017), VizWiz-VQA (Chen et al., 2022a), and OCR-VQA (Mishra et al., 2019) amongst others. Despite not using more labeled training data, we outperform GIT2 by almost 1 point.

5 Except RefExp due to the complexity inference.
6 We evaluate on the task without the gold data table.
4.2. UIs

**RefExp** (Bai et al., 2021) Given a natural language referring expression, an app screenshot, and a set of components (via bounding boxes on the screenshot), the goal is to retrieve the component that the expression refers to. UIB-**bert** (Bai et al., 2021), the current SotA, is pretrained on a combination of inputs from mobile apps including screenshots, OCR text, and Android view hierarchies. Our models substantially outperform UI Bert by 1.4 and 3.4% absolutely, with Pix2Struct-Large setting the new SotA.

**Widget Captioning** (Li et al., 2020b) is an image captioning task where the input is an app screenshot annotated with a single bounding box denoting a widget (e.g. a button or a scroll bar). The caption describes the functionality of the widget (e.g. find location). **VUT** (Li et al., 2021b), the current SotA uses a specialized UI encoder combining images, bounding boxes, and view hierarchies. **Pix2Struct-Large** improves the SotA CIDEr from 127.4 to 136.7.

**Screen2Words** (Wang et al., 2021a) is an image captioning task where the input is an app screenshot and the caption describes the functionality of the page (see Figure 1 for an example). **Pix2Struct-Large** improves the state of the art CIDEr from 64.3 to 109.4.

4.3. Natural Images

**TextCaps** Recently, GIT2 (5.1B parameters) and PaLI (17B parameters) have advanced the state of the art on TextCaps by pretraining on 10B+ image-caption pairs extracted from the web. PaLI (CIDEr 135.4) and GIT2 (CIDEr 145) show comparable performance without OCR inputs. PaLI achieves SotA (CIDEr 160.4) performance when finetuned with OCR, indicating that even for large-scale models, end-to-end pixel-only performance lags behind pipeline SotA. While their image captioning-based pretraining understandably improves TextCaps, previous work (Kim et al., 2022) shows that captioning may not transfer to other domains (e.g. documents). Moreover, screenshot parsing subsumes signals from captioning (Section 2.3) while using a fraction of the data used for pretraining GIT2 and PaLI. These results suggest that Pix2Struct could further benefit from scaling in pretraining data and model size.

4.4. Documents

**DocVQA** (Mathew et al., 2021) is a dataset of questions about scanned documents including typewritten, printed, handwritten and born-digital text. Pix2Struct-Large outperforms Donut, the previous visual SotA on DocVQA by 9 points. Top-performing single-task methods like UDOP (Lang et al., 2022) (ANLS 84.7) typically use three components: (a) an off-the-shelf OCR system, (b) pretrained text and image encoders, and (c) additional pretraining on the IIT-CDIP scanned documents corpus. Despite using purely visual representations and no in-domain pretraining data, Pix2Struct achieves competitive performance (ANLS 76.6).

**InfographicVQA** (Mathew et al., 2022) is a dataset of questions about infographics from the web. A unique challenge of this dataset is its large images with extreme aspect ratios. Donut scales images to a fixed aspect ratio, which we speculate is the cause of its poor performance with an ANLS of 11.6. Pix2Struct-Large sets the state of the art amongst visual models with an ANLS of 40.

For both DocVQA and InfographicVQA, text-only baselines are at or near the state of the art. A T5-based model (T5 + 2D + U) with 2D positional biases (Borchmann et al., 2021) achieves ANLS of 81 on DocVQA and 46.1 on InfographicVQA. This is in part due to the text-heavy nature of the data (especially DocVQA) where visual context plays a lesser role, and the more mature pretrained text-based encoders can do the heavy lifting.

**Common trends** Overall, Pix2Struct outperforms Donut in all tasks, underscoring the effectiveness of our

---

Table 1: Pix2Struct outperforms prior visual methods on 8 out of 9 benchmarks with SotA results on 6. While GIT2’s image captioning pretraining understandably helps on TextCaps, screenshot parsing transfers to a wider variety of downstream tasks. The individual pipeline SotA methods are described in Section 4 with full results in Appendix B.

| Method          | Pretraining  | ChartQA  | AI2D   | OCR  | VQA | Ref | Widget | Screen2 | Text | DocVQA | Info |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|--------|------|-----|-----|--------|---------|------|--------|------|
| State of the art w/pipelines | -            | (VTP)    | (DQAN) | (LAtr) | (UIB) | (VUT) | (VUT) | (PaLI) | (UDOP) | (UDOP) |
| GIT2            | Image captioning | -        | -      | 70.3  | -   | -   | -      | -       | 145.0 | -      | -    |
| Donut           | OCR          | 41.8     | 30.8   | 66.0  | 127.4 | 56.4 | -      | -       | 74.4  | 67.5   | 11.6 |
| Pix2Struct      | Screenshot parsing | 56.0     | 40.9   | 69.4  | 92.2  | 133.1 | 107.0  | 88.0    | 72.1  | 38.2   | -    |
| Large           | Screenshot parsing | 58.6     | 42.1   | 71.3  | 94.2  | 136.7 | 109.4  | 95.5    | 76.6  | 40.0   | -    |

---

---

**Table 1:** Pix2Struct outperforms prior visual methods on 8 out of 9 benchmarks with SotA results on 6. While GIT2’s image captioning pretraining understandably helps on TextCaps, screenshot parsing transfers to a wider variety of downstream tasks. The individual pipeline SotA methods are described in Section 4 with full results in Appendix B.

**4.2. UIs**

**RefExp** (Bai et al., 2021) Given a natural language referring expression, an app screenshot, and a set of components (via bounding boxes on the screenshot), the goal is to retrieve the component that the expression refers to. UI-Bert (Bai et al., 2021), the current SotA, is pretrained on a combination of inputs from mobile apps including screenshots, OCR text, and Android view hierarchies. Our models substantially outperform UI Bert by 1.4 and 3.4% absolutely, with Pix2Struct-Large setting the new SotA.

**Widget Captioning** (Li et al., 2020b) is an image captioning task where the input is an app screenshot annotated with a single bounding box denoting a widget (e.g. a button or a scroll bar). The caption describes the functionality of the widget (e.g. find location). **VUT** (Li et al., 2021b), the current SotA uses a specialized UI encoder combining images, bounding boxes, and view hierarchies. **Pix2Struct-Large** improves the SotA CIDEr from 127.4 to 136.7.

**Screen2Words** (Wang et al., 2021a) is an image captioning task where the input is an app screenshot and the caption describes the functionality of the page (see Figure 1 for an example). **Pix2Struct-Large** improves the state of the art CIDEr from 64.3 to 109.4.

**4.3. Natural Images**

**TextCaps** Recently, GIT2 (5.1B parameters) and PaLI (17B parameters) have advanced the state of the art on TextCaps by pretraining on 10B+ image-caption pairs extracted from the web. PaLI (CIDEr 135.4) and GIT2 (CIDEr 145) show comparable performance without OCR inputs. PaLI achieves SotA (CIDEr 160.4) performance when finetuned with OCR, indicating that even for large-scale models, end-to-end pixel-only performance lags behind pipeline SotA. While their image captioning-based pretraining understandably improves TextCaps, previous work (Kim et al., 2022) shows that captioning may not transfer to other domains (e.g. documents). Moreover, screenshot parsing subsumes signals from captioning (Section 2.3) while using a fraction of the data used for pretraining GIT2 and PaLI. These results suggest that Pix2Struct could further benefit from scaling in pretraining data and model size.

**4.4. Documents**

**DocVQA** (Mathew et al., 2021) is a dataset of questions about scanned documents including typewritten, printed, handwritten and born-digital text. Pix2Struct-Large outperforms Donut, the previous visual SotA on DocVQA by 9 points. Top-performing single-task methods like UDOP (Lang et al., 2022) (ANLS 84.7) typically use three components: (a) an off-the-shelf OCR system, (b) pretrained text and image encoders, and (c) additional pretraining on the IIT-CDIP scanned documents corpus. Despite using purely visual representations and no in-domain pretraining data, Pix2Struct achieves competitive performance (ANLS 76.6).

**InfographicVQA** (Mathew et al., 2022) is a dataset of questions about infographics from the web. A unique challenge of this dataset is its large images with extreme aspect ratios. Donut scales images to a fixed aspect ratio, which we speculate is the cause of its poor performance with an ANLS of 11.6. Pix2Struct-Large sets the state of the art amongst visual models with an ANLS of 40.

For both DocVQA and InfographicVQA, text-only baselines are at or near the state of the art. A T5-based model (T5 + 2D + U) with 2D positional biases (Borchmann et al., 2021) achieves ANLS of 81 on DocVQA and 46.1 on InfographicVQA. This is in part due to the text-heavy nature of the data (especially DocVQA) where visual context plays a lesser role, and the more mature pretrained text-based encoders can do the heavy lifting.

**Common trends** Overall, Pix2Struct outperforms Donut in all tasks, underscoring the effectiveness of our

```
from the UCSF Industry Documents Library https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu
```
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| Pretraining             | Doc VQA | Widget Captioning | TextCaps |
|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|
| Full                    | 67.8    | 137.5             | 84.2     |
| – Warmup                | 56.2    | 128.0             | 71.7     |
| – Masking               | 55.7    | 129.4             | 77.4     |
| – Screenshot Parsing    | 12.2    | 35.1              | 24.2     |

Table 2: Ablations of pretraining components. Each ablation is a modification with respect to the full model, while keeping the total number of pretraining steps constant.

pretraining. We also advance the single-task state of the art on six of nine benchmarks across four domains. Scaling up from base to large results in considerable improvements on all tasks despite the base model being trained for $3 \times$ more iterations than the large model. Previous work (Liu et al., 2019; Raffel et al., 2020) has shown that large batch sizes and many training steps contribute greatly to the quality of the pretrained model. Results indicate that further scaling up of Pix2Struct is a promising direction.

5. Analysis

Ablating pretraining objectives Table 2 analyzes the importance of each component of our pretraining recipe on DocVQA, Widget Captioning, and TextCaps validation sets. The full pretraining method consists of a warmup reading stage on the BooksCorpus followed by pretraining using the screenshot parsing objective. For these experiments, we use the base variant with a total of 100K steps of pretraining including 30K warmup steps followed by 70K steps of screenshot parsing. The screenshot parsing ablation removes the screenshot parsing stage altogether and uses an extended warmup stage of 100K steps. The warmup ablation skips the warmup stage and directly pretrains from random initialization for 100K steps. The masking ablation uses 30K steps warmup followed by 70K steps of screenshot parsing without masking.

The biggest drop in performance comes from ablating the screenshot parsing stage, effectively reducing the pretraining to reading linear text. Ablating the warmup and masking is nearly equivalent on DocVQA and Widget Captioning while the warmup is slightly more important in TextCaps. Overall, our results seem to indicate that reading and understanding visually-situated language is a complex problem involving skills including recognizing text, understanding language, and incorporating visual context.

Ablating variable-resolution inputs Figure 4 compares various ways to convert input images into a constant number of patches. This ablation is performed on the warmup stage (Section 2.4), where we measure full sequence accuracy. The ‘padded’ variant maintains the original aspect ratio, but introduces significant padding, which sacrifices the effective resolution. The ‘stretched’ variant, typically used in ViT, introduces no padding but distorts the original image. Our variable-resolution inputs get the best of both worlds by maintaining the original aspect ratio while maximally utilizing the budget specified by the sequence length. Experiments in Appendix A show that this benefit leads to more effective learning, even for a task as simple as transcribing text in the input image.

6. Discussion

This section lays out some of the challenges in training general-purpose visual language understanding models, and discuss a road map for future work.

Resolution Like Donut, we found that pretraining and finetuning performance are extremely sensitive to the input resolution.9 The difficulty in using high-resolution images has been a bottleneck for pixel-only models since higher resolutions often lead to longer sequence lengths. This bottleneck has in part been responsible for the dominance of OCR-based pipelines which are able to use lower image resolutions due to a dedicated text encoder.10 However, steady progress with Donut and Pix2Struct combined with recent progress in long range transformers (Press et al., 2022) provides hope that pixel-only models will bridge the gap with OCR-based pipelines.

The visual web As a first attempt towards a general-purpose visual language understanding model, we focused on simplicity both in terms of how we use the HTML source and our choice for the pretraining corpus, C4—a known public corpus used in previous work (Raffel et al., 2020) that is significantly smaller and narrower than corpora used to train the largest language models today. However, web data includes even richer multimodal signals such as videos and interactions. We posit that future ver-

8All models use the same hyperparameters.

9See Appendix A for a concrete comparison.

10OCR pipelines, while noisy, often result in manageable sequence lengths for large-scale text encoders.
sions of general-purpose visual language understanding models will benefit from better data curation. This opportunity also comes with a caveat: just like text-based models, we must be careful of harmful content on the web, which multimodal models would also be sensitive to.

**Generalilty** While we have focused on general pixel-only models, we do acknowledge that using OCR-pipelines or metadata can be appropriate or even necessary in certain domains. For NLP, the scaling of pretrained text based models has led to not only simpler model architectures and preprocessing, but also emergent abilities on newer tasks which were hitherto considered far too difficult (Wei et al., 2022). A general-purpose model may also enable broader applications for visual language, e.g. filling in missing accessibility annotations (Zhang et al., 2021). Finally, given that the overwhelming majority of prior work has leveraged OCR-based features, it seems necessary to advance OCR-free alternatives (as this paper does) in order to enable a clearer longer-term understanding around the proper role for OCR. The broader objective of this work is to bring pretrained for visually-situated language understanding a step closer to text-based counterparts and pave the way for similar benefits from data and model scaling.

### 7. Related Work

To the best of our knowledge, no prior work has pretrained and evaluated a visually-situated language understanding model on tasks spanning all four domains of documents, illustrations, user interfaces, and natural images. We build on prior work primarily focused on a single domain and briefly highlight the similarities as well as the points of departure with respect to such work here.

**Document understanding** State-of-the-art models in this domain are based on a pipeline of an external OCR system and a model that combines images and OCR annotations (Appalaraju et al., 2021; Powsally et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021), inter alia. Prominent representatives are LayoutLMv3 (Huang et al., 2022), which uses a simplified transformer-based architecture and losses that encourage patch–OCR alignment. TILT (Powsally et al., 2021) pretrained a text decoder and an image + OCR-output encoder followed by intermediate finetuning on multiple QA tasks. Pix2Struct is more closely related to Donut and Dessurt (Davis et al., 2022), both image-to-text models without OCR at inference time; the main difference stems from our more powerful pretraining task from ground truth structures and resolution flexibility enabling transfer to a variety of visual language domains.

**UI understanding** Models in this group have focused solely on the UI domain using pretraining data from models.

---

---
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**Illustrations** Models for illustrations have not been fully pretrained on large scale data, perhaps because such data is not readily available. Some components of such models, e.g. T5 and TaPas (Eisenschlos et al., 2020) used in the VL-T5 and VisionTaPas models of Masry et al. (2022) or LALT’s OCR output encoder (Biten et al., 2022) have been pretrained on digital-born or OCR-ed documents. Our approach outperforms current SotA models, without relying on other intermediate structures.

**Models learning from markup structure** MarkupLM (Li et al., 2022) and Webformer (Wang et al., 2022b) learn encoders of HTML from web pages. HTLM (Aghajanyan et al., 2022b) and CM3 (Aghajanyan et al., 2022a) are generative models of simplified HTML to enable zero-shot prompting with text and natural images. Im2Tex (Deng et al., 2017) is conceptually the most relevant in showing that a pixel-only parser can be learned from freely-available pairs of markup and renders, but doesn’t focus on transferring this signal to wider applications.

**Datasets** We have selected datasets representing challenges in visually-situated language understanding in a variety of domains, but our selection is not aimed to be exhaustive. The DUE benchmark (Borchmann et al., 2021)
focuses on a more limited domain of visual document understanding (e.g. excluding natural images and UIs), but integrates a more comprehensive set of tasks within the document understanding domain.
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Figure 5: Overview of the impact of resolution on the DocVQA task. Note that the bottom axis only applies to Pix2Struct. Pix2Struct is also the only model that adapts to various resolutions seamlessly, without any retraining or post-hoc parameter creation. (Left) In both Donut and Pix2Struct, we show clear benefits from use larger resolutions. (Right) Inference speed measured by auto-regressive decoding (max decoding length of 32 tokens) on the validation set of DocVQA using a v3-8 Cloud TPU.

A. Resolution in visually-situated language understanding tasks

Previous methods rescale input images to fixed resolutions, which can introduce severe aspect ratio distortions for inputs such as webpages and documents. In contrast, we prevent aspect ratio distortion by rescaling input images up or down such that we extract the maximal number of patches that fit within the given sequence length (Figure 2).

Figure 5 gives an overview of the importance of input resolutions in visually-situated language understanding tasks. Though Pix2Struct is more efficient at making use of the input resolution, both Pix2Struct and Donut require high resolutions to perform well on DocVQA (note the log scale). For example, we only see significantly diminishing returns after about 1M pixels (4096 patches of 16 x 16 pixels for Pix2Struct and 1024 x 1024 for fixed-resolution models). However, ViT models typically pretrain with resolutions of 224 x 224 and finetune with up to 512 x 512. This is a subtle but critical detail that makes using standard ViT out of the box suboptimal.

On the right of Figure 5, we also present example inference speeds on a v3-8 Cloud TPU when performing inference on DocVQA. At full resolution (4096 sequence length or 1M pixels), the base model processes 62 documents per second, and the large model processes 20 documents per second.
Table 3: Amongst single-task single-model methods, Pix2Struct achieves state-of-the-art results on 6 out of 9 benchmarks spanning 4 domains. * indicates that the method used additional labeled data from other tasks and are not directly comparable to single task methods. VisionTaPas uses a table extraction tool. DQA-NET uses diagram processing tools for detecting arrows, blobs, etc in addition to standard OCR. UI Bert and VUT use Android view hierarchies. All other non-image methods use standard OCR.

B. Full Results

Table 3 reports full results for pipeline and pixel-only methods. For fair comparison and ease of experimentation, we focus on single-model and single-task baselines trained on standard splits. Several (per-task) SotA (Li et al., 2021b; Masry et al., 2022) use domain-specific inputs (e.g. view hierarchies for UIs or gold data tables for charts) making it difficult to apply them to other domains.

C. Finetuning Dataset Details

Table 4 show the datasets in our benchmark for visually-situated language understanding.

Table 4: Summary our proposed diverse benchmark for visually-situated language understanding.
Table 5: Model hyperparameters

| Dataset            | Base          | Large         |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                    | Seq Len | Batch | Steps  | Seq Len | Batch | Steps  |
| DocVQA             | 4096    | 256   | 10000  | 3072    | 128   | 10000  |
| InfographicVQA     | 6144    | 64    | 10000  | 3072    | 128   | 10000  |
| AI2D               | 4096    | 32    | 5000   | 3072    | 32    | 5000   |
| ChartQA            | 4096    | 256   | 10000  | 3072    | 128   | 10000  |
| OCR-VQA            | 4096    | 256   | 10000  | 3072    | 128   | 10000  |
| RefExp             | 4096    | 256   | 10000  | 3072    | 128   | 10000  |
| Screen2Words       | 4096    | 32    | 5000   | 3072    | 32    | 5000   |
| Widget Cap.        | 4096    | 256   | 5000   | 3072    | 128   | 5000   |
| TextCaps           | 4096    | 256   | 5000   | 3072    | 128   | 5000   |

D. Hyperparameters

The base and large models are finetuned with an input sequence length of 4096 and 3072 respectively, except the base model on InfographicVQA which benefits from a longer sequence length of 6144. We cannot use a longer sequence length for the large variant due to TPU/GPU memory constraints. We finetune for 5000 or 10000 steps with a batch size of 32, 128, or 256, with hyperparameter tuning and early stopping based on the validation set. Table 5 contains hyperparameter values for all tasks.

E. Warmup Stage Data

For the warmup stage, we create images of text snippets from the BooksCorpus (Zhu et al. 2015), with random colors (uniformly sampled from all possible RGB values), fonts (uniformly sampled from all possible Google Fonts[1]), and font sizes (uniformly sampled from 12pt to 36pt) on a white background. The text snippets are up to 128 bytes long. The width of the images are 640 pixels, and the text is wrapped if it exceeds the width of the image. The height of the image is fit to the content height. The text is unmasked as this stage is intended purely as a learning-to-read task.

Exposing the model to a short “warmup” stage of simply learning to read, results in a strong curriculum learning effect where (1) pretraining is more stable and converges faster, and (2) we observe better finetuning performance. Figure 6 shows an example of rendered text from the BooksCorpus with its “parse”.

F. Pretraining Data

The pretraining data is constructed from URLs in the C4 corpus. We collect 80M (about one third of the total number of documents) pairs of screenshots paired with their HTML source. The screenshots have a width of 1024 pixels, and the height of the image is fit to the content height.

The figures below show screenshots of our pretraining data along with ground-truth and predicted parses.

---

[1] https://developers.google.com/fonts

The elves, it seemed, were possessed of some mysterious power over the arts; without eve

Figure 6: Example of input-output pairs during the warmup stage.
Ground-truth Parse

<<<CrossFit Thunderhawk | Rio Rancho
<dedicated to promote healthy kids and teens in Rio Rancho, NM>>
<<<<Drop-ins
Bring your child in for a drop-in to get a WOD in!>
<If you are visiting from out of town or traveling for club sports,
make sure your child’s routine is not disrupted. Bring them to our
drop-in for a full session!> <<<1:1 drop-in for

Predicted Parse

<<<<img_src=thunderhawk-logo-white img_alt=Thunderhawk Sports & Fitness
<Thunderhawk Sports & Fitness>
<<<<Drop-ins
Bring your child in for a drop-in to get a workout>
<If you are visiting from out of town or traveling for club sports,
make sure your child’s routine is not disrupted. Bring them to our
drop-in for a full session!> <<<1:1 drop-in for
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Cocoa Sweet Dough Hearts

Today I'm sharing our final recipe, Recipe #3, using Rhodes BakeNServ Sweet Dough. So far, in our other tests, we used chocolate chips and a chocolate spread. Neither worked great. Today, in conjunction with the 2019 Good Cookie Food Bloggers' Event, I tried something Valentine's themed.

I got the bag of Sweet Dough using a coupon for a free product that was sent to me by Rhodes BakeNServ in exchange for testing out their products and sharing the results with all of you; no other form of compensation was received.

Cocoa Sweet Dough Heart Cookies
By: Tammy Jo Edsward

Ingredients:
1 loaf frozen sweet dough
1 1/2 whole wheat flour
1 1/2 dark cocoa powder

Directions:
1. Thaw dough according to bag directions.
2. Dust the surface where you will roll out the dough with the flour and the cocoa, use your hand to mix them together.

Ground-truth Parse

\<, I tried something Valentine’s themed. If you’d like to help raise money for fighting children’s cancer you can follow the link right above and help out, too. As inspiration for this semi-homemade recipe, I looked at the two recipes on the bag of sweet dough, I got an idea and today I’m going to share with you how that worked out. 
\</x0> I got the bag of Sweet Dough using a coupon for a free product that was sent to me by Rhodes BakeNServ in exchange for testing out their products and sharing the results with all of you; no other form of compensation was received.>

Predicted Parse

\<, I tried something Valentine’s themed. If you’d like to help out, I think you’d go right ahead and do a post. Click on the link right above and help out, too. As inspiration for this semi-homemade recipe, I’ve shared up two recipes on the bag of sweet dough. I got an idea and today I’m going to share with you the second one. Thank you for any of the amazing baking ideas plus this free product that was sent to me by Rhodes BakeNServ in exchange for testing. I’m really excited and sharing this recipe with all of you.>
Fall is undeniably the best season for fashion for a multitude of reasons.

Polarized vs. UV Protection - What’s The Difference?

What’s Hot in The Hamptons

The Best Sunblock Sunscreen
Fairytale Games is a growing universe. Because of this, we have and will continue to grow spin-off games that utilize characters, storylines, and even poke fun of our games. Keep checking back and you just might be surprised at what you see!
Coronavirus Update! We are open and ready to help you. We are conducting most of our appointments via phone to help prevent the spread of the virus.

We can provide the guidance you need to get through stressful family disputes with your rights and interests intact.

CLICK HERE FOR MORE INFO

We are conducting most of our appointments via phone to help prevent the spread of infection.

CLICK HERE FOR MORE INFO

We are conducting most of our appointments via phone to help prevent the spread of infection.