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### Intake of Korean Red Ginseng Extract and Saponin Enhances the Protection Conferred by Vaccination with Inactivated Influenza A Virus
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Vaccination is the main strategy for preventing influenza infection. However, vaccine efficacy is influenced by several factors, including age and health status. The efficacy of the influenza vaccine is much lower (17% to 53%) in individuals over 65 yr of age compared with young adults (70% to 90%). Therefore, increasing vaccine efficacy remains a challenge for the influenza vaccine field. In this study, we investigated the impact of supplementing vaccination with the dietary intake of Korean red ginseng (RG) extract and RG saponin. Mice were immunized two times intranasally with inactivated influenza A (H1N1) virus. Mice received RG extract or RG saponin orally for 14 d prior to the primary immunization. After the primary immunization, mice continued to receive RG extract or RG saponin until the secondary immunization. Mice vaccinated in combination with dietary intake of RG extract and RG saponin showed elevated serum anti-influenza A virus IgG titers and improved survival rates in lethal influenza A virus infection: 56% and 63% of mice receiving RG extract or RG saponin survived, respectively, while 38% of mice that only received the vaccine survived. Moreover, mice receiving RG extract supplementation recovered their body weight more quickly than those not receiving RG extract supplementation. We propose that the dietary intake of RG extract and RG saponin enhances the vaccine-induced immune response and aids in providing protection against influenza virus infection.
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### INTRODUCTION

Ginseng (*Panax ginseng*) is a traditional medicine, and it has been widely used for more than 2,000 years in China, Korea, and Japan. Ginseng is also one of the most widely used herbs in the United States: a national survey of men and women in the United States found that 4% to 5% of those aged 45 to 64 yr used ginseng [1]. Ginseng contains saponin, essential oils, antioxidants, polyacetylenic alcohol, peptides, vitamins, and polysaccharides [2] and it is known to have a wide range of therapeutic and pharmacological activities [3,4]. Moreover, many reports have suggested that ginseng acts as an anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, anti-viral, and anti-aging agent [2,5,6].

More than 40 different saponins have been isolated and identified from the root of ginseng [7]. The ginseng root contains 2% to 3% saponins, of which Rg1, Rc, Rd, Re, Rb1, Rb2, and Rb0 are considered to be the most important [5]. Accumulating data indicate that saponins are responsible for ginseng functionality [5] and researchers have investigated a potential adjuvant effect of ginseng extract and ginseng saponin [8]. It has been suggested that ginseng extract and ginseng saponin increase humoral and cellular immune responses when used as an adjuvant for vaccination [8-10]. However, their use as a vaccine adjuvant has been limited due to associated toxicity [11].
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Influenza virus is one of the most common causes of serious respiratory illness [12]. The epidemic caused by influenza virus results in 3 to 5 million patients with severe cases and 0.25 to 0.5 million deaths each year [13]. Vaccination is the main strategy for preventing and controlling seasonal influenza and is a key strategy in preparing for pandemic influenza outbreaks [14]. It is thought that vaccine efficacy may be influenced by several factors including age, health status, and the use of concurrent medications [15]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that the clinical vaccine efficacy is 70% to 90% in young adults [16]. However, vaccine efficacy in those over 65 yr of age is 17% to 53% [17]. Indeed, the elderly over 65 yr of age currently account for approximately 90% of all influenza-related deaths [18] and the weak anti-influenza virus antibody response in the elderly is thought to be a cause of the low vaccine efficacy [17]. Therefore, considerable effort has been made toward enhancing the vaccine-induced antibody response in the elderly [19]. In addition, vaccine efficacy in individuals with high-risk medical conditions may be much lower compared with those without high-risk medical conditions [20]. Therefore, increasing vaccine efficacy is a high priority in the field of influenza vaccine design.

Many studies indicate that ginseng extract and ginseng saponin can modulate or enhance the immune response [8,21]. However, there has been little examination of how dietary intake of ginseng extract or ginseng saponin affects vaccine-induced immune response and protection. In this study, we investigated the effect of dietary Korean red ginseng (RG) extract and RG saponin on immunization with inactivated influenza A virus.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

RG extract was obtained from Geumsan Korean Ginseng Nonghyup (Geumsan, Korea). RG saponin was obtained from the Ambo Institute (Daejeon, Korea). RG extract and RG saponin were dissolved in distilled water at a concentration of 0.025 mg/mL (the concentrations were based on the residual amounts of RG extract and RG saponin).

**Preparation of virus**

Influenza A/PR/8/34 virus (H1N1 subtype) was kindly donated by Professor Baik Lin Seong (Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea). Influenza A virus was propagated in 11-day-old fertilized chicken eggs at 37°C for 48 h. Egg allantoic fluid was clarified by centrifugation at 682 g for 10 min and filtered using a 0.22 μm syringe filter. The 50% lethal dose (LD₅₀) of the influenza A stock was determined as previously described [22].

**Preparation of inactivated whole virus vaccine**

The influenza A virus was dialyzed against binding buffer for anion-exchange chromatography (20 mM Na₂HPO₄, 150 mM NaCl pH 7.3) at 4°C for 4 h. The dialyzed virus was clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min and loaded on an anion-exchange column (Q sepharose FF; GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA), which was equilibrated with the binding buffer. The influenza A virus bound by the anion-exchange resin was eluted with a linear gradient of NaCl, from 0.15 to 1.5 M, and concentrated using the Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The concentrated virus was further purified by size-exclusion chromatography (2.8×30 cm of Superose-6 resin, GE Healthcare). The hemagglutination activity (HA) of purified influenza A virus was confirmed using chicken erythrocyte cells as an indicator [23]. The purified virus was diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to prepare the vaccine formulation, which consisted of 40 μL of PBS containing log 2 HA titer of influenza A virus. The formulation was inactivated with 0.02% formalin at 4°C for 72 h [24].

**Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blot**

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed according to the method of Laemmli [25]. Separated proteins were visualized using a silver staining kit (GE Healthcare). To detect proteins of influenza A virus via Western blot, mouse anti-influenza A virus polyclonal antibody and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (Bethyl, Montgomery, TX, USA) were used. The anti-influenza A virus polyclonal antibody was obtained from mice infected two times with influenza A (H1N1) virus.

**Animals**

Five-week-old female Balb/c mice were purchased from Orient Bio (Seongnam, Korea) and acclimatized for 1 wk. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the National Research Council’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and with the Guidelines for Animal Experiments of Chung-Ang University and they were approved by the university committee for animal experiments.
Administration of RG extract and RG saponin and immunizations with inactivated virus

Mice were divided into four groups (control, vaccine, RG extract + vaccine, and RG saponin + vaccine), each consisting of sixteen mice. The dosing schedule and immunization schedule for each group are shown in Fig. 1. Prior to the immunization, both the control and vaccine groups did not receive any material aside from arbitrarily ingested water and feed, while the RG extract + vaccine and RG saponin + vaccine groups received RG extract (0.25 mg/kg/d) or RG saponin (0.25 mg/kg/d) orally for 14 d, respectively. After the oral administrations, vaccine, the RG extract + vaccine, and RG saponin + vaccine groups were immunized intranasally two times with the inactivated whole virus vaccine (40 µL of PBS containing log 2⁴ HA titer of purified influenza A virus) at a three week interval. The control group remained unvaccinated. After the primary immunization, the RG extract + vaccine and RG saponin + vaccine groups continued to receive RG extract (0.25 mg/kg/d) or RG saponin (0.25 mg/kg/d) orally until the secondary immunization. Eleven days after the secondary immunization, mice sera were collected and assayed.

Virus challenge against mice immunized with inactivated influenza A virus

Twenty-five days after the secondary immunization, the mice were challenged intranasally with 10 LD₅₀ of influenza A virus. Body weights and survival were monitored for 14 d. The body weight of each mouse one day before virus challenge was defined as 100%.

Titration of anti-influenza A virus IgG

The titers of anti-influenza A virus IgG were determined as described previously, with slight modifications [26]. Briefly, 96-well enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plates (Greiner Bio One, Frickenhausen, Germany) were coated overnight at 4°C with 500 ng per well of whole influenza A virus and they were then blocked with 5% skim milk (Bioworld, Atlanta, GA, USA) in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 at room temperature for 2 h. The plate was incubated with serially diluted mice sera at 37°C for 1 h 30 min. The anti-influenza A IgG bound to the influenza A virus were detected by incubation (37°C for 1 h) with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (Bethyl). The reaction was developed with o-phenylenediamine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) as the substrate and was read at 492 nm. End-point titers were defined as an optical density of 1.5 times the control wells, in which sera of mice from the normal group were applied. Data are presented as mean±standard error of mean.

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of differences between groups was determined using a two-tailed Student’s t-
RESULTS

Preparation of the inactivated whole virus vaccine

Influenza A virus was harvested from chicken egg allantoic fluid and sequentially purified by anion-exchange and size-exclusion chromatography. The influenza A virus was successfully eluted with a linear gradient of NaCl using anion-exchange chromatography (Fig. 2A). The eluted fraction was further separated by size-exclusion chromatography (Fig. 2B). As shown in Fig. 2C, the influenza A virus fractionated from size-exclusion chromatography was confirmed to have proteins ranging from 23 to 25 and 50 to 60 kDa on SDS-PAGE and these proteins were also detected by Western blot (Fig. 2D), indicating that the purity of influenza virus was high. The protein composition of purified influenza A virus was similar to that of a previous report [27]. The fractions from 2 to 4 were collected, inactivated and used for immunization.

Humoral immune response following vaccination

Eleven days after the second immunization, mice sera were obtained, and the anti-influenza A virus IgG titers were measured. The mean IgG titers of the RG extract + vaccine and RG saponin + vaccine groups were 3,468 and 2,109, respectively, while that of the vaccine group was 1,071 (Fig 3). Therefore, dietary intake of RG extract and RG saponin were confirmed to enhance the humoral immune response induced by vaccination with inactivated influenza A virus.

Comparison of the survival and change in body weight of mice vaccinated following virus challenge

Each mouse group was challenged with 10 LD_{50} influenza A virus following the two immunizations. Fifty-six percent of the mice in the RG extract + vaccine group and 63% of the mice in the RG saponin + vaccine
groups survived, while only 38% of mice in the vaccine group survived (Fig. 4A). Additionally, the mice in the RG extract + vaccine group recovered their body weight more quickly than those in the RG saponin + vaccine or vaccine groups (Fig. 4B). Therefore, it was confirmed that the vaccinations, in combination with oral supplementation with RG extract or RG saponin, increased the protection against lethal influenza A virus infection. Moreover, vaccination combined with oral supplementation with RG extract appeared to promote the recovery of body weight after influenza infection.

DISCUSSION

RG extract and RG saponin have been shown to elevate antigen-specific immune response when they are co-injected with antigen [28]. Saponins are a heterogeneous group of sterols and triterpene glycosides and have been isolated from a broad range of plants [11,29]. The saponins induce both the apoptosis and necrosis of immune cells, and the cell death signals are thought to contribute to their adjuvant effects [30]. The cytotoxicity of saponins has hindered their use as an adjuvant in humans, although their adjuvant effects are of value [11]. Many studies have utilized intraperitoneal and intramuscular injections to investigate the adjuvant effect of ginseng extract and saponins [21,31]. In this study, we focused on the effects of RG extract and RG saponin when they are administered via the oral route during nasal vaccination. Our experimental design corresponds to the traditional use of RGs, which is ingestion through the oral route, rather than the more recent focus on RGs for adjuvant use.

Anti-influenza IgG contributes to vaccine-induced protective immunity and is thought to significantly correlate with mouse survival in the lethal influenza infection model [32,33]. In this study, vaccination in combination with oral administration of RG extract and RG saponin enhanced the anti-influenza virus IgG titer (Fig. 3) and protection against lethal influenza virus infection (Fig. 4). Therefore, the higher levels of anti-influenza virus IgG induced by supplementation with RGs are thought to contribute to the higher mouse survival rate. Interestingly, vaccination with oral supplementation of RG extract significantly promoted the recovery of body weight, while supplementation with RG saponin did not (Fig. 4B). This result indicates that the function of RG extract in the vaccine-induced immune response is different from that of RG saponin. Previous reports indicated that the influenza-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T cells play a piv-
otal role in clearing virus and promoting recovery from influenza disease [34]. In addition, alternatively activated macrophages (AAM) are thought to be associated with the maintenance of tissue homeostasis or to be involved with tissue remodeling, wound healing, and the dampening of inflammatory responses [35,36]. It has been proposed that the AAM may also promote tissue repair and healing during recovery from influenza infection [37]. Therefore, we assume that components of the RG extract other than saponin may increase cell-mediated immunity associated with tissue repair and healing during vaccination.

In conclusion, our results suggest that dietary intake of RG extract and RG saponin enhances the protection afforded mice upon vaccination against influenza virus. Our strategy for enhancing vaccine efficacy is simple and safe, and there is little risk of side effects. We anticipate that further investigation of the effect of RG components when used with vaccination for humans will provide suitable prescriptions for the elderly and patients at a high risk for influenza infection.
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