Spatial Organization of Large-Scale Chromatin Domains in the Nucleus: A Magnified View of Single Chromosome Territories
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Abstract. We have analyzed the spatial organization of large scale chromatin domains in chines hamster fibroblast, human lymphoid (IM-9), and marsupial kidney epithelial (PtK) cells by labeling DNA at defined stages of S phase via pulsed incorporation of halogenated deoxynucleosides. Most, if not all, chromosomes contribute multiple chromatin domains to both peripheral and internal nucleoplasmic compartments. The peripheral compartment contains predominantly late replicating G/Q bands, whereas early replicating R bands preferentially localize to the internal nucleoplasmic compartment. During mitosis, the labeled chromatin domains that were separated in interphase form a pattern of intercalated bands along the length of each metaphase chromosome. The transition from a banded (mitotic) to a compartmentalized (interphasic) organization of chromatin domains occurs during the late telophase/early G1 stage and is independent of transcriptional activation of the genome. Interestingly, generation of micronuclei with a few chromosomes showed that the spatial separation of early and late replicating chromatin compartments is recapitulated independently of chromosome number, even in micronuclei containing only a single chromosome. Our data strongly support the notion that the compartmentalization of large-scale (band size) chromatin domains seen in the intact nucleus is a magnified image of a similar compartmentalization occurring in individual chromosome territories.

Although considerable information is available about the primary structure of genes and sequence elements controlling their regulation, much less is understood about the higher order organization of DNA in the interphase nucleus. An understanding of chromosome organization is likely to be crucial for models of nuclear structure and function. Most studies of chromosome structure have focused on condensed metaphase chromosomes that can be readily identified as discrete units.

Metaphase chromosomes usually appear as thick fibers in which centromeres, but few other structural features, can be recognized. A major advance in analyzing chromosome structure emerged when techniques that produced differential staining showed metaphase chromosomes to have a characteristic pattern of alternating transverse bands (for review see Sumner, 1982). For example, staining with Giemsa dye after protein denaturation showed intensely stained bands (Giemsa dark) to alternate with pale staining (Giemsa light) bands along the chromosome length. Interestingly, the banding patterns produced by different techniques are related to each other (Bickmore and Sumner, 1989; Sumner, 1990). The banding patterns have been widely used to detect translocations and other chromosomal abnormalities in clinical cytogenetics, although insight into the structural basis for the banding has only recently emerged (Saitoh and Laemmli, 1994). According to this model, the light and dark bands, which differ in their content of AT base pairs, are reported to result from a differential folding path of the AT rich scaffold associated regions (SARs) along the length of the chromosomes (Saitoh and Laemmli, 1994). Most widely expressed housekeeping genes in human cells map to the Giemsa light bands, suggesting that the banded structure is of functional significance (Holmquist, 1992; Craig and Bickmore, 1993). There is also a strong correlation between the presence of DNA in light or dark bands and the timing of its replication during S phase. Thus, most late replicating DNA occurs in dark bands, while most early replicating DNA occurs in light bands (for review see Bickmore and Sumner, 1989; Holmquist et al., 1982; Holmquist, 1992; Craig and Bickmore, 1993).
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There is considerable evidence that a protein scaffold (Laemmli et al., 1977; Paulson and Laemmli, 1977) plays an important role in the organization of higher order chromosome structure (for review see Gasser and Laemmli, 1987; Saitoh et al., 1995; see also Bickmore and Oghene, 1996). In mammalian metaphase chromosomes, the scaffold defines the unit of higher order organization with chromatin arranged in tandem loops of ~50–100-kb pairs attached at their base to the protein scaffold. The scaffold interacts with chromatin at SARs also referred to as matrix attachment regions, MARs (for review see Gasser et al., 1989; Laemmli et al., 1992). Recently, SARs were shown to play a critical role in shape determination and maintenance of metaphase chromosomes (Strick and Laemmli, 1995). MARs (SARs) were also shown to bind to the nuclear scaffold (Mirkovitch et al., 1984), a substructure of complex and poorly defined composition believed to organize the chromatin in looped domains during interphase (for review see Jackson, 1991). At least two scaffold proteins have been characterized, called ScI and ScII. ScI, the major scaffold protein (Lewis and Laemmli, 1982), was later identified as topoisomerase II (Earnshaw and Heck, 1985; Gasser et al., 1986). More recently, ScII was cloned and sequenced and both ScI and ScII were shown to colocalize with the scaffold along the chromosome axis (Saitoh et al., 1994). An important issue for future studies will be to determine how the scaffold organization seen in metaphase relates to chromosome organization in interphase nuclei. We note that alternative models of chromosome organization, where chromatin compaction is achieved through successive levels of helical coiling (helical coiling models) have also been proposed (for example see Sedat and Manuelidis, 1978; Belmont et al., 1987; for review see Earnshaw, 1988).

In most cells, the compact structure of metaphase chromosomes decondenses during telophase, and in interphase it becomes difficult to distinguish individual chromosomes. Much of the data on chromosome structure in the interphase nucleus has come from studies on insect cells, particularly the polytene nuclei of salivary gland cells. This has shown that the chromosomes are folded in the nucleoplasm with focal attachments to the nuclear periphery (Agard and Sedat, 1983; Mathog et al., 1984; Hochstrasser et al., 1986; Hochstrasser and Sedat, 1987a, 1997b; for review see Hochstrasser et al., 1988). In some cases, chromosomes adopt a so-called Rabl configuration, with centromeres at one pole of the nucleus and telomeres at the other (Comings, 1980). A major advance in analyzing chromosome organization in mammalian cells has come with the recent development of fluorescence in situ hybridization methods, using both gene- and chromosome-specific probes (see for example Cremer et al., 1993; Lawrence et al., 1993). Using fluorescence in situ hybridization techniques, individual genes in the interphase nucleus are identified as foci with no obvious linkage between separate alleles. Analysis of entire chromosomes using chromosome-specific painting probes shows little or no overlap between separate chromosomes. Individual chromosomes appear to occupy defined territories but have irregular shapes and the homologues are not associated throughout interphase (for an overview, see Cremer et al., 1993 and references therein). It remains unclear whether the relative positions between different chromosomes is strictly specified or results from a more random assortment process (for example, Manuelidis, 1984, 1990; Nagele et al., 1995). It is also unclear whether all chromosomes in a given cell interact with the nuclear periphery or whether specific chromosomes selectively occupy defined positions in the nucleoplasm. Although it has been shown that certain chromosome regions, including telomeres and centromeres, can cluster at the nuclear periphery (for review see Spector, 1993 and references therein), it is not known whether other chromosome regions in mammalian nuclei specifically interact with the nuclear periphery. Another important question is to what extent the banded structure of mammalian metaphase chromosomes is reflected in their organization during interphase. The basic question of whether interphase chromosomes are ordered structures with specific sequences lying at particular sites (Cremer et al., 1993; Kurz et al., 1996), or conversely whether the folding of chromatin is a random event (Sachs et al., 1995), remains largely unanswered.

In this work, we have used a replication labeling method to follow the higher order organization of chromosomes in Chinese hamster fibroblasts between metaphase and interphase. This approach has allowed us to follow the fate of specific collections of DNA sequences that replicate in a defined order during S phase. The data suggest a model for the organization of DNA in the mammalian cell nucleus based on the structure of interphase chromosomes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals and Antibodies**

Actinomycin D, 5,6-dichlorobenzimidazole riboside (DRB), emetine, a-amanitin, colcemid, nocodazole, 5-bromouridine-5’-triphosphate (BrUTP), 5-chloro-2’-deoxyuridine (CldU), 5-iodo-2’-deoxyuridine (IdU), and 1,4-diazabicyclo(2.2.2)octane (DABCO), were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). 5-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) was obtained from Boehringer Mannheim (Mannheim, Germany). Three different commercially available monoclonal antibodies against BrdU were used in this study: mouse mAb (clone BMC 9318; Boehringer Mannheim); mouse mAb (clone B-44; Becton-Dickinson & Co., Mountain View, CA); rat mAb (clone BU 1/75, ICR 1; Harlan Sera-Lab, Ltd., Sussex, England). Rabbit polyclonal antiserum against lamin B (Drouin et al., 1991) was kindly given by S. Georgatos (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany); human anti-DNA (ref. L 70) and anti-kinetochore (refs. A76, A89 and A 188) autoimmune antisera were a kind gift of W. van Venrooj (Katholieke Universiteit, Nijmegen, The Netherlands); mouse mAb anti–hnRNP A1 protein (mAb 4B10) was a kind gift of G. Dreyfuss (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA). FITC and Texas red-conjugated affinity purified secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA). Tissue culture reagents were supplied by Gibco BRL (Gaithersburg, MD).

**Cell Culture and Cell Synchronization**

Diploid Chinese hamster fibroblasts (CHF: CCL-39 cells from American Type Culture Collection (ATCCC, Rockville, MD, established from the lung of female Chinese hamster; chromosome number: 2n = 22) were cultured in DME supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, antibiotics (penicillin 100 /µg/ml and streptomycin 100 /µg/ml), and 10% FCS and maintained at 37°C with an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. To obtain cells at defined stages of S phase, the culture medium was supplemented with 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4. IM-9 cells (CCL-159 cells from ATCC, a human lymphoblastic cell line; chromosome number: 2n = 46) and PtK2 cells (CCL-56 cells from ATCC, a marsupial epithelial cell line; 2n = 13) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with glutamine, antibiotics, and FCS as above.

For the synchronization of CHF cells at the G1/S border of the cell cyto...
cle, we routinely used two protocols. When protocol 1 was followed, mitotic cells were obtained from logarithmically growing cultures by mechanical shakeoff. Controls showed that this method isolated at least 95% of mitotic cells as judged by both phase contrast microscopy and staining with Hoechst 33342 (0.5–1 mM). The mitotic cells were centrifuged (700 g, 5 min), resuspended in culture medium, and replated at ~60% confluency. Hydroxyurea was then added to a final concentration of 1.5–2.0 mM. After 8–10 h of incubation, cells were released into fresh culture medium. Synchronization protocol 2 differs from protocol 1 only in its treatment of mitotic cells was achieved by adding nocodazole (100 ng/ml) to the cell cultures for 4–5 h before mechanical shakeoff.

Synchronization of IM-9 cells was achieved using the double thymidine block as described (Johnson et al., 1993).

**Incorporation of Halogenated Deoxynucleosides into Replicating DNA**

Halogenated deoxynucleosides were added to exponentially growing cells to final concentrations of 5 or 10 µM for BrdU, 5 µM for CldU, and 2.5 µM for IdU. All manipulations were performed under minimal light.

**Replication Pattern Progression.** CHF cells growing on glass coverslips were synchronized as described above (protocol 1). After hydroxyurea removal (time point zero), the cells were pulse labeled for 25–30 min with BrdU (5 µM) before collection at hourly intervals. As a control experiment, BrdU was added for 20 min just before hydroxyurea release. Analyses were only performed on cells where the controls showed zero BrdU incorporation.

**Labeling of DNA Sequences Replicating at Defined Stages of S Phase.** To obtain CHF cell populations highly enriched for either replication pattern 1, 2, or 3, synchronized cells were pulse labeled with BrdU (10 µM final concentration, 15 min before hydroxyurea) beginning at 1–2 h after arrest in mitosis. To evaluate the percentage of cells labeled for a given pattern control, coverslips were removed at the end of the pulse and immediately fixed. Unsynchronized cell populations were used to specifically obtain cells that were labeled at either stages 4 or 5 of S phase. Stage 4 cells were obtained by pulse labeling the cultures with BrdU (10 µM, 15 min), followed by release in BrdU-free medium for 3.5–4 h. Nocodazole was then added for 40–60 min before harvesting mitotic cells. Stage 5 cells were selected by adding BrdU (10 µM) to the cultures for 2.5 h. Without removing the BrdU-containing medium, nocodazole was added for an additional 40–60 min. Nocodazole-arrested mitotic cells were harvested by the mitotic “shake-off” method, as described. To control for the specificity of labeling of cells at either stage 4 or 5 (or 4 plus 5, see below), ~50% of the mitotics were replated back into culture and analyzed at different time points for up to 10 h. Only experiments where controls showed at least 80% of a given replication pattern were considered for further analysis.

In some experiments, cells that accumulated replication patterns 4 and 5 were used. To achieve this, asynchronous cultures were grown in the presence of BrdU (10 µM) for 2–3 h. Nocodazole was then added to the BrdU-containing medium for an additional 90–120 min before harvesting.

**Other Labeling Experiments.** To follow the spatial distribution of late replicating chromatin in CHF cells during telophase and early G1, asynchronous cell populations were pulse labeled with BrdU (5 µM) for 20 min. After release into fresh culture medium (time point zero), the cells were harvested at hourly intervals. Only the first telophase/early G1 cells to appear labeled (i.e., at 2 and 3 h after BrdU release) were used for this purpose.

A similar pulse-chase protocol was used to set up cell cycle curves from which the duration of G2 and S phase were determined in CHF and murine kidney epithelial (PK1) cells (Mitchison, 1971).

**Quantitation of Labeling Patterns.** We analyzed 50 or more nuclei for each of the five replication patterns, randomly selected from an asynchronous cell population pulse labeled with BrdU (10 µM final concentration, 30 min). For each nucleus, two planar equatorial confocal sections were obtained under standardized conditions. One image was from whole DNA immunolabeled (anti-DNA antiserum) and the other from BrdU-DNA immunolabeling. The former was used to automatically select the nuclear boundary and the latter to select BrdU signal threshold (National Institutes of Health [NIH] Image 1.55 software). The proportion between the area occupied by the signal in the inner 80% of the nuclear profile and the total area of the signal was calculated and averages for each group (i.e., for each replication pattern) were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Scheffe’s multicomparison tests. For the purposes of the present study, the inner 80% of the area of an equatorial nuclear compartment with the proportion expected for an homogeneous distribution of the signal.

**Drug Treatments**

CHF cells labeled for peripheral (late replicating) chromatin (see above) were replated at ~40–50% confluency in the presence of one of the following drugs: actinomycin D (10 µg/ml), DRB (150 µM), or emetine (40 µg/ml). Cells were then collected at 30 min and at 1, 3, and 6 h after replating. In control experiments, only drug solvents were added.

**Immunolabeling Procedures**

Cells growing on coverslips were fixed/extracted in 2.4% paraformaldehyde in HPEM buffer (50 mM Heps, 65 mM Pipes, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, pH 6.9) plus 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 min at room temperature; the cells were then washed in PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-Tw) 3 × 10 min and either immediately used or kept at 4°C in the dark for a maximum of 3 d. In some experiments, the cells were fixed in 70% ethanol, 50 mM glycine, pH 2.0, for 20–30 min at ~20°C or fixed in 2% formaldehyde in PBS, pH 7.4 for 15 min at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min at 4°C (O’Keefe et al., 1992). BrdU-, CldU- or IdU-substituted DNA was denatured with 4 N HCl for 30 min at room temperature (O’Keefe et al., 1992) before immunolabeling. Less frequently, a nucleas-based detection system (cat. no. 1296736; Boehringer Mannheim) was used according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Chromosomes from metaphase spreads were denatured by incubation for 90 s in a mixture (2:5) of ethanolo1 M NaOH (Latos-Bieg lenska et al., 1987). Detection of substituted DNA was performed by indirect immunofluorescence. In double-labeling experiments with an anti-BrdU monoclonal antibody and antisera directed against other nuclear antigens (lamin B, DNA, or kinetochore antigens), detection of the halogenated deoxynucleoside proceeded in general the labeling of the other antigen. Differential immunolabeling of CldU-DNA and IdU-DNA was performed essentially as described (Aten et al., 1994).

Where appropriate, total DNA was stained with either DAPI or YOYO as described (Saitoh and Laemmli, 1994). For microscopical analysis, the samples were mounted in PBS/glycerol (2:1) containing 10% DABCO as an antifading agent.

**Microscopy and Image Analysis**

For conventional microscopy, the samples were analyzed using 63 or 100x objectives on an Axioshot microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped with epifluorescence. Photographs were taken on Fujichrome 100 color film (Fuji Photo Film Co., Tokyo, Japan). Confocal microscopy was performed essentially as described (Ferreira et al., 1994) using the EMBL compact confocal microscope (Stelzer et al., 1991). Images were then transferred to a Macintosh personal computer and analyzed using standard software tools (NIH Image 1.5.4 and Adobe Photoshop 3.0). For three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction, serial optical sections were taken at 0.33–0.4 mm intervals. Series of confocal planes were analyzed using a new program, MacInsight, to optimize the processing of 3D information. 3D data sets were visualized and edited in the program (changes in levels were used to compensate for weak or unbalanced signals in the respective channels) and projected on the two-dimensional plane using a volume tracing algorithm. Lamin-stained voxels opacity was reduced to render the nuclear envelope semitransparent and allow visualization of internal nuclear structures.

**Other Methods**

Metaphase spreads were prepared as described (Earnshaw et al., 1989). To obtain nuclei with a small number of intact chromosomes, cells were induced to microneucleate with colcemid (0.5–1.0 µg/ml; Frackowiak et al., 1986; Geraud et al., 1989). To detect transcriptional activity in microneucle-
ated cells, microinjection of Br-UTP, α-amanitin controls and detection of Br-RNA were performed essentially as described (Jackson et al., 1993; Wansink et al., 1993). Poly(A) RNA was detected by in situ hybridization as previously described (Ferreira et al., 1994) using a biotinylated 2'-O-methyl oligoribonucleotide probe (Sproat et al., 1989) containing 20 tandem uridine residues.

**Results**

**Progression of Replication Patterns in Chinese Hamster Fibroblasts**

A synchronized population of Chinese hamster fibroblasts was prepared by collecting mitotic cells, which were then arrested at the G1/S transition by incubation in medium containing hydroxyurea (see Materials and Methods). To examine the patterns of replicating DNA at different stages of S phase, CHF cells were released from the hydroxyurea block and pulse labeled with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) at hourly intervals. Distinct replication patterns were observed that can be conveniently classified in five distinct stages (Fig. 1). During stages 1 and 2, predominantly internal DNA sequences are replicated forming a complex pattern comprising multiple nucleoplasmic foci. Stage 2 is distinguished from stage 1 by the more clumped appearance of the nucleoplasmic foci. At stage 3, fewer nucleoplasmic foci are observed, often located around the nucleolus, and extensive labeling of the nuclear periphery is evident. A striking feature of the late stages of replication (i.e., stages 4 and 5) is the predominant location of labeled DNA at the nuclear periphery (Fig. 1). Almost continuous labeling of the periphery is observed at stage 4, whereas at stage 5 the peripheral labeling is discontinuous.

The number of pulse-labeled cells displaying each of the five patterns was evaluated at each time point (Fig. 2 A). This shows a clear temporal separation between the early (stages 1 and 2) and late (stages 4 and 5) patterns. In contrast, the intermediate pattern (stage 3) overlaps extensively with both early and late stages and is consequently more difficult to characterize. Pulse labeling of asynchronously growing CHF cells with BrdU showed that ~40% of the labeled cells had a late pattern, 40% an early pattern, and 20% an intermediate pattern.

The proportion of the total fluorescence signal occupying the internal nucleoplasmic volume (i.e., the internal 71.55% of the nuclear volume; refer to Materials and Methods) was quantified from 50 or more nuclei at each of the five stages (Fig. 2 B). This shows that >80% of the signal is internal during stages 1 and 2, while only 7–12% is internal during stages 4 and 5. We conclude that there is a strong correlation between the localization of DNA and the timing of its replication within CHF nuclei.

**Correlation between Replication Patterns and Chromosome Banding**

We next examined which chromosome regions contributed DNA sequences to the subnuclear compartments revealed by the time-of-replication experiments (Fig. 3). CHF cells were pulse labeled with BrdU at defined time points during S phase, such that five populations were obtained, highly enriched, for each of the five separate replication patterns (refer to Materials and Methods for experimental details). Metaphase spreads were prepared from each population after nocodazole arrest of the cells in the subsequent mitosis (Fig. 3).

Metaphase spreads from cells labeled at stages 1–4 all showed a banded BrdU labeling pattern extending throughout each chromosome (Fig. 3, Stages 1–4, and other data not shown). The BrdU banding pattern was also compared with DAPI labeling of the same chromosomes. For Stages 1 and 2, the most prominent BrdU bands correspond to R bands, which stain less strongly with DAPI (Fig. 3, Stages 1 and 2, large arrowheads). Some prominent R bands that incorporated BrdU during stage 1 may not appear labeled with BrdU during stage 2 (Fig. 3, Stage 2, small arrowheads).
Figure 2. Replication patterns succeed in an ordered sequence throughout S phase. (A) Synchronized cells were released into S phase and pulse labelled with BrdU before harvesting at hourly intervals. Thus, time point 1 refers to cells harvested 1 h after hydroxyurea release. For each time point, a minimum of 200 cells were analysed. The nuclei were sorted by pattern and the results quantified as percentages of the total number of BrdU-labelled cells. The graph demonstrates an ordered succession of replication patterns as cells progress through S phase with each pattern showing a distinct peak. It is also evident that the overlap between the earlier replication patterns (Patterns 1 and 2) and the later patterns (4 and 5) is minimal, i.e., always <6%. At later time points, no additional clearly defined peaks were observed (not shown). (B) We analyzed ~50 nuclei per replication pattern randomly selected from an asynchronous cell population pulse labelled with BrdU (10 μM final concentration; 30 min). For each nucleus, the BrdU signal was quantified in equatorial confocal sections (refer to Materials and Methods). Although the internal compartment corresponds to 71.55% of the inner nuclear volume, in an equatorial section it is represented by the inner 80% of the nuclear profile area. Thus, the expected proportion of the BrdU signal in the internal compartment, if its distribution was homogeneous, would equal 0.8. The graph represents the average proportion between the area occupied by the BrdU signal in the inner 80% of the nuclear profile and the total area of the signal in each group. Using ANOVA followed by Scheffe's multicomparison tests, the only statistical identities occur for patterns 1 and 2 (P = 0.999) and for patterns 4 and 5 (P = 0.463). P values for other pairs are <0.0001. All the measured proportions are statistically different from expected for a homogeneous distribution as assessed by Student's t test (P < 0.0001). A significant reduction in the BrdU signal in the nuclear interior is seen as cells progress through S phase.

and data not shown). We note that some bands stained strongly with DAPI (Q bands) were also labeled with BrdU in Stages 1 and 2. For Stages 3 and 4, the most prominent bands labeled with BrdU were the strongly DAPI stained Q bands (Fig. 3, Stages 3 and 4, large arrowheads). Stage 3 appears to mark a transition from having most BrdU label in DAPI pale bands (R bands) to having most BrdU label in DAPI bright bands (Q bands). At Stages 3 and 4, some BrdU incorporation was detected in the DAPI pale R bands. Since these chromosomes have a low resolution of banding obtained after nocodazole arrest, the finding of a subset of early replicating sequences (Stages 1 and 2) in Q band regions and a subset of late replicating sequences (Stage 4) in R band regions is not surprising and may arise through the progressive chromosome compaction that occurs between prophase and metaphase (Drouin et al., 1991). Chromosomes from cells labeled in Stage 5 show fewer BrdU bands than seen for Stages 1–4 and these bands are predominantly DAPI bright (Q) bands dispersed throughout the chromosomes (Fig. 3, Stage 5). The number of chromosomes labeled in each metaphase plate was highly variable for stage 5, consistent with the variable BrdU labeling intensity seen in interphase nuclei at stage 5, and likely due to the progressive shut-off of DNA replication at the end of S phase.

The previous results indicate that the peripheral compartment that replicates essentially (but not exclusively) during stages 4 and 5 is contributed by an extensive banded pattern present in every metaphase chromosome in CHF cells (Fig. 3 for Stage 4, larger panels). This finding is better demonstrated in metaphase spreads from cells that continuously incorporated BrdU during stages 4 and 5 of S phase (Fig. 4 C). In some of these stage 4 plus 5 experiments, while a fraction of the mitotics was used to obtain metaphase spreads, another fraction was replated into fresh BrdU-free culture medium. These cells progressed parasychronously into the next cell cycle and harvesting at regular intervals showed that the BrdU-labeled chromatin remained peripheral during G1 and S phase (data not shown). Progression of these cells through G1 and reentry into S phase was judged in parallel immunostainings with an anti–PCNA antibody and checking for the emergence of replication-like patterns (Bravo and MacDonald-Bravo, 1987; Kill et al., 1991).

The difference in the banding pattern of early and late replicating DNA is illustrated by differential labeling of early (stages 1 plus 2) and late (stages 4 plus 5) replicating DNA using pulses of iododeoxyuridine (IdU) and chlorodeoxyuridine (CldU), respectively (Fig. 4, A and B). Parallel control experiments confirm the specificity of detecting incorporation of IdU and CldU (Fig. 4, D–F). These experiments show that early and late replicating DNA form distinct bands in an intercalated pattern extending along the metaphase chromosomes. At least for these sequences, accounting for ~80% of the total DNA content, the separate metaphase bands predominantly congregate during interphase into separate subnuclear compartments.

The Peripheral Compartment Is a Major Compartment in Other Cell Types

Having established that in CHF cells the peripheral compartment is a major one contributed extensively by most (or all) chromosomes, we next addressed whether a similar situation was observed in other cell types. We found that PtK2 cells pulse labeled with BrdU displayed spatial configurations of DNA replication similar to those seen in CHF cells (Fig. 5). In particular, one of the patterns (pattern C) shows replicating DNA concentrated at the nuclear periphery resembling pattern 4 of CHF cells (compare Fig. 5 C with Fig. 1 D). Quantitative analysis of the total fluorescence signal occupying the internal nucleoplasmic volume was performed in 44 nuclei that had a stage C pattern. This showed that only 5.37% of the signal was internal (SD, 0.0516). In PtK cells, it proved difficult to synchronize the temporal order of the replication patterns. The order of patterns was thus established by pulse labeling asynchronous cultures of PtK cells with CldU followed 3, 4, or 5 h later by a second pulse with IdU (Fig. 5, E and F, and data not shown). Using this approach, we could assign pattern C the third position among the four replication patterns seen in PtK cells. Pulse labeling of asynchronously growing cells with BrdU showed that this
pattern accounted for \( \sim 30\% \) of the labeled cells, indicating that stage C lasted for \( \sim 3 \) h (total S phase duration \( \sim 10 \) h as estimated from a standard cell cycle curve; Mitchison, 1971). To determine whether most chromosomes contributed to this long-lasting peripheral pattern, we pulse labeled asynchronously growing PtK cells with BrdU (10 \( \mu \)M, 30 min), and then estimated the proportion of stage C cells among the BrdU-labeled population at hourly intervals. This was done to delineate the time period during which stage C cells undergo mitosis. As these cells reach M phase and then exit into the next cell cycle, their proportion will progressively decline in the premitotic group. We note that as the labeled cells emerge in the next cell cycle, they appear as doublets with the two daughter cells displaying a similar, mirror-image-like BrdU labeling pattern. For the purposes of this analysis, we have only counted cells appearing as singlets, i.e., that are still in the premitotic group. The distinction between labeled singlets and doublets is easily established in PtK cells, since they have a very low mitotic index with few cells traversing S phase, and is further facilitated by replating the cells at a low density (\( \sim 15\% \) to 20\% confluence). In parallel, we also carefully analyzed the mitotics at each time point to determine the proportion of BrdU labeled vs. unlabeled cells and whether the labeling was present in all chromosomes (“complete” labeling) or in only a fraction of the chromosomes (“partial” labeling). Since PtK cells have a small number of chromosomes (2n = 13) that can be readily visualized as distinct particles during prophase, this stage was chosen for all the observations made on mitotics. The data were used to set up a graph that shows simultaneously the proportions of mitotics with complete chromosome labelling among the total mitotic population and of stage C singlets in the BrdU-labeled premitotic population (Fig. 6). The main result from this approach was that during the time period when stage C cells were undergoing mitosis, as shown by the decline in their proportion, the corresponding chromosomes displayed labelling scattered along their length (Fig. 6, and data not shown).

We have additionally addressed the same question using a different approach and human lymphoid cells growing in suspension (IM-9 cells). These cells were chosen because of their spherical nuclei, which should minimize geometrical constraints that might otherwise influence the spatial relationship between chromosome territories and the nuclear periphery in flat nuclei. After continuous BrdU labeling for a full S phase, the cells were released into BrdU-free medium and allowed to progress through several cell cycles before harvesting. This will produce cells with a progressively lower number of labeled chromosomes. After several days in culture (\( \sim 7 \) d), a fraction of exponentially growing cells was harvested for the analysis of interphase chromosomes. Immediately after harvesting, colchicine was added to the remaining cells and metaphase spreads were prepared 30 min later. These spreads were used to estimate the average number of BrdU-labeled particles per cell. Two independent experiments were performed and for each experiment 50 metaphase plates were examined. In experiment I 84\% of the cells had between one and seven particles labeled (average: 5.3 particles per cell) and in experiment II, 78\% of the cells had between one and seven particles labeled (average: 5.7 particles per cell). We note that \( \sim 80\% \) of the BrdU-labeled particles corresponded to fully labeled chromosomes and the remaining \( \sim 20\% \) to partially labelled chromosome segments generated by sister chromatid exchange. For the analysis of interphase chromosomes, 100 cells double immunolabelled for BrdU and lamin B were analyzed per experimental group (Fig. 7). This showed that between \( \sim 97 \) (experiment I: 477 particles analyzed) and \( \sim 96 \% \) (experiment II: 498 particles analyzed) of the BrdU-labeled particles were in close contact with the peripheral lamina in these human lymphoid cells.

---

**Figure 3. Analysis of replication labelling patterns in metaphase chromosomes.** Metaphase spreads were obtained from cells pulsed with BrdU at Stages 1–5 of S phase. BrdU staining and DAPI staining of the same chromosomes is shown side by side. Stage 1 and 2: major R bands show intense BrdU signal (large arrowheads). Stage 3: BrdU signal concentrates in Q bands (large arrowheads). Stage 4: BrdU signal concentrates in Q bands (large arrowheads) that spread along the length of every chromosome as shown in the whole chromosome complement from a single cell (larger panels). Stage 5: BrdU staining is restricted to a few bands per chromosome. Note that a prominent R band from a stage 2 chromosome (small arrowhead) is devoid of BrdU signal and that, in a stage 4 chromosome, an R band region (small arrowhead) is BrdU positive. Bar, 3 \( \mu \)m.
DNA (confocal imaging after differential immunolabelling of IdU-zlated replication patterns 1 and 2 during the first pulse and that reached. Controls showed that 5 of S phase followed by a second pulse with chlorodeoxyuridine in the presence of iododeoxyuridine (2.5 \mu M) starting 4 h after release into S phase until mitosis was reached. Confocal imaging after differential immunolabelling of IdU-DNA (green) and CldU-DNA (red) shows that the early and late replicating domains intercalate in a banded pattern. (C) Confocal imaging of a metaphase spread obtained from cells labelled with BrdU and that accumulated patterns 4 and 5. The whole chromosome set is shown. Immunostaining of BrdU-DNA was assigned a green pseudocolor that appears yellow in the merged image and total DNA was immunolabeled with an anti–DNA autoimmune antiserum (red). (D–F) To control the specificity of the differential immunolabelling of CldU-DNA- and IdU-DNA-synchronized CHF cells were grown for a full S phase in the presence of CldU (5 \mu M) and then for a second cell cycle in the presence of IdU (2.5 \mu M). Metaphase spreads show that IdU-DNA (E) is present in both chromatids of each chromosome, whereas CldU-DNA (D), as expected, is restricted to one chromosome. Red and green confocal images were merged (F) and areas of overlap appear yellow; some sister chromatid exchanges are clearly visible. Bar, 10 \mu m.

**Figure 4.** Intercalated banding of early and late replicating DNA sequences in metaphase chromosomes. (A and B) Metaphase spreads were obtained from synchronized cells grown in the presence of iododeoxyuridine (2.5 \mu M) during the initial 90–100 min of S phase followed by a second pulse with chlorodeoxyuridine (5 \mu M) starting 4 h after release into S phase until mitosis was reached. Controls showed that ~90% of the cells had accumulated replication patterns 1 and 2 during the first pulse and that ~80% had accumulated patterns 4 and 5 during the second pulse. Confocal imaging after differential immunolabelling of IdU-DNA (green) and CldU-DNA (red) shows that the early and late replicating domains intercalate in a banded pattern. (C) Confocal imaging of a metaphase spread obtained from cells labelled with BrdU and that accumulated patterns 4 and 5. The whole chromosome set is shown. Immunostaining of BrdU-DNA was assigned a green pseudocolor that appears yellow in the merged image and total DNA was immunolabeled with an anti–DNA autoimmune antiserum (red). (D–F) To control the specificity of the differential immunolabelling of CldU-DNA- and IdU-DNA-synchronized CHF cells were grown for a full S phase in the presence of CldU (5 \mu M) and then for a second cell cycle in the presence of IdU (2.5 \mu M). Metaphase spreads show that IdU-DNA (E) is present in both chromatids of each chromosome, whereas CldU-DNA (D), as expected, is restricted to one chromosome. Red and green confocal images were merged (F) and areas of overlap appear yellow; some sister chromatid exchanges are clearly visible. Bar, 10 \mu m.

**Figure 5.** Chromatin replication patterns in PtK cells. PtK cells were pulse labelled with BrdU immediately before harvesting. BrdU incorporation sites were visualized by indirect immunofluorescence (A–D) and total DNA was stained with YOYO (A–D). Shown are optical sections through nuclei considered representative of each pattern. (A) Pattern A, (B) pattern B, (C) pattern C, (D) pattern D. The temporal order of replication patterns in PtK cells was established by pulse labelling asynchronous cultures with CldU (5 \mu M; 30 min) followed 3–5 h later by a second pulse with IdU (2.5 \mu M; 30 min). In the cell shown (E and F), the two pulses were administered 3 h apart, and a succession from pattern B (E) to pattern C (F) is observed. Bar, 10 \mu m.

**Figure 6.** In PtK cells, peripheral chromatin that replicates in the second half of S phase (replication pattern C) is contributed by all chromosomes. Asynchronously growing PtK cells were pulse labelled with BrdU at each of the five stages of replication (Fig. 9). Treatment of CHF cells with colcemid typically gave rise to daughter cells containing 10–20 micronuclei. Many of

**Compartmentalization of DNA in Interphase Is Independent of Chromosome Number**

In the experiments described below, we made extensive use of micronucleated cells. Micronuclei originate from individual or small groups of chromosomes after induction of prolonged mitotic arrest (Frackowiak et al., 1986; Geraud et al., 1989). Before using this system in studies of chromatin compartmentalization, we asked whether micronuclei retain the functional characteristics of interphase chromatin. The results show that micronuclei are transcriptionally active as demonstrated by microinjection of bromo-UTP, concentrate an hnRNA binding protein (hnRNP A1) that is imported into the nucleus in a transcription-dependent fashion (Piñol-Roma and Dreyfuss, 1991), accumulate poly (A) RNA, which is known to accumulate in postmitotic nuclei depending on ongoing transcription (Moen et al., 1995) and replicate their DNA as assessed by pulse labelling with BrdU (Fig. 8).

Is the compartmentalization of DNA during interphase acting at the single chromosome level, independent of the number of chromosomes present? To address this question, we examined micronuclei generated from separate populations of CHF cells, enriched for cells pulse labelling with BrdU at each of the five stages of replication (Fig. 9). Treatment of CHF cells with colcemid typically gave rise to daughter cells containing 10–20 micronuclei. Many of...
the micronuclei contained only one or two chromosomes, as assessed by staining with anticentromere antibodies (data not shown). In every case, all the micronuclei display the same staining pattern as seen in intact cells, regardless of the number of chromosomes present or whether early or late replicating DNA had been labelled (Fig. 9). Furthermore, no micronucleus showed a different segregation pattern to its siblings. This demonstrates that compartmentalization of DNA sequences is independent of chromosome number. We conclude that the information required for segregation is an inherent property of each chromosome.

**Interphase Compartmentalization of DNA Is Established during Telophase**

To determine at what stage in the cell cycle the interphase compartmentalization of DNA is established, an asynchronous population of CHF cells was labelled with BrdU and analyzed at hourly intervals, with particular attention given to telophase and early G1 cells (Fig. 10). The first labelled cells correspond to those undergoing late replication when BrdU was first added. Typically, the first labelled mitotic cells were seen 2–3 h after the BrdU pulse. At the beginning of telophase, DNA that had been labelled during the

*Figure 7. Association of chromosomes with the nuclear periphery. Human lymphoid cells (IM-9 cells) were labelled with BrdU (5 μM) for a full S phase, and then grown in BrdU-free medium for ~7 d to generate a population with only a few particles labelled. Cells were double immunolabelled for BrdU (green) and lamin B (red) and serial optical sections were obtained by confocal microscopy at 0.4-μM intervals. Complete (A) and partial (B) confocal series are depicted. Note that all labelled particles reach the nuclear periphery. (C) Metaphase spreads were obtained from the same cell population after addition of colcemid to the cultures. Images from total DNA stained with DAPI (red) and BrdU-DNA (yellow) were acquired with a SIT camera and electronically superimposed. Only a few chromosomes show BrdU staining. Bars, 10 μm.*
late stages of S phase (i.e., replication stages 4 and 5) was not confined to the periphery of the nucleus, although lamin staining could be detected associated with the DNA (Fig. 10A, stereo pair). At later stages of telophase, specifically at the telophase/G1 transition, the typical late pattern of peripheral nuclear labelling was observed (Fig. 10B, stereo pair). Similar results were obtained when metaphase cells with BrdU-labelled late replicating chromatin (i.e., stages 4 and 5) were replated and the emerging telophase cells analyzed (data not shown). Addition of either transcription (Actinomycin D or DRB) or protein synthesis inhibitors (emetine) did not prevent segregation of late replicating chromatin to the periphery (data not shown). This indicates that activation of gene expression after mitosis is not required for DNA compartmentalization. We obtained further evidence that the spatial organization of DNA sequences typical of interphase is established during late telophase/G1 in CHF cells also for sequences replicating at other times during S phase. A culture of asynchronously growing cells was pulse labelled with BrdU and the cells collected at hourly intervals. For each time point, we have analyzed late telophase/early G1 cells and checked for the presence of BrdU-labelling patterns. This showed that each of the five patterns typical of CHF cells peaked at defined time points after BrdU release in a temporal order that is the reverse of that seen during S phase (Figs. 11 and 12). Since cells labelled at different stages of S phase reach the next cell cycle in a reversed order (i.e., late stages first), these results indicate that sequences replicating at other times during S phase also compartmentalize during late telophase/early G1.

Discussion

In this study, we have used a pulse-labelling protocol at defined stages of S phase to analyze the organization of large scale chromatin domains. In both CHF and PtK cells, we observe a similar close correlation between the spatial organization of chromatin domains and the timing of their replication during S phase. In mitosis, all metaphase chromosomes show a pattern of intercalated early and late replicating DNA bands extending along their entire length. During late telophase, most, if not all, of these DNA bands become compartmentalized, with multiple chromatin domains from each chromosome separating into two principal nuclear compartments; i.e., the peripheral and internal nucleoplasmic compartments. The peripheral compartment predominantly contains late replicating G/Q bands, whereas the internal compartment predominantly contains early replicating R bands. These data thus show a correlation between the G/Q banding pattern of metaphase chromosomes
and the subsequent separation of these chromosome bands between distinct nuclear compartments during interphase.

The present analysis of chromatin organization involves experiments requiring nuclear permeabilization and DNA denaturation steps. Although we cannot formally exclude that the DNA denaturation or other experimental techniques used in our analyses have induced some rearrangement of chromatin, we consider it most unlikely that this has had any significant influence on the results presented. We observe similar results when alternative protocols were used to avoid acidic denaturation of DNA and when permeabilization followed fixation (for a detailed discussion of the effects of fixation and permeabilization procedures on higher order chromatin structure, see Belmont et al., 1989).

One of the main conclusions from this study is that DNA compartmentalization is a phenomenon acting at the level of individual chromosomes and is independent of the total number of chromosomes present in the nucleus. This conclusion stems largely from the analysis of micronucleated CHF cells, which exhibit many typical features of intact interphase cells, including transcriptional activity, replication, and progression through the cell cycle. Correct separation of late replicating DNA to the nuclear periphery and early replicating DNA to the internal nucleoplasm was always observed, regardless of chromosome number, even in micronuclei containing only a single chromosome. In every case, the micronuclei resembled Lilliputian replicas of the whole nuclei from which they were derived. Clearly, chromosomes in CHF cells are not organized like “marbles in a bag,” with some exclusively located at either the nuclear periphery or within the nucleoplasm, and they also do not show the Rabl orientation seen in insect nuclei (for example see Comings, 1980; Marshall et al., 1996). Instead, most chromosomes contribute multiple regions to both the peripheral and internal nucleoplasmic compartments. Our analyses here of marsupial kidney epithelial and human lymphoid cells also strongly support this notion.

We propose that the higher order organization of most DNA in CHF nuclei is best described by an autonomous chromosome compartment model. A key feature of the compartment model is that multiple regions from each chromosome reassort during interphase to cluster in a common subnuclear location. The CHF cells provide a particularly clear example of chromosome compartmentalization, with most DNA sequences partitioning between the two major compartments; i.e., peripheral and internal nu-

![Figure 10](image-url)
cleoplasmic. PtK cells, although less extensively studied due to difficulties in obtaining finely synchronized populations, also have a prominent peripheral compartment contributed by most chromosomes. It should be noted, however, that at least one other minor chromatin region (i.e., nucleolar peripheral) is seen at stage 3 of replication, although in CHF cells this contains a small proportion of the total DNA. There is evidence that chromosomes that do not contain rDNA may also contribute to this chromatin region at least through their centromeres (Pluta et al., 1995; Carmo-Fonseca et al., 1996), but whether all chromosomes contribute DNA to the perinucleolar chromatin region remains to be determined. In other cell types, the number of compartments may vary, as may the proportion of total DNA in each. Previous labelling studies in other mammalian cell lines have shown a degree of separation of early and late replicating sequences during interphase, with clusters of late replicating sequences sometimes present in the nucleoplasm as well as at the nuclear periphery (Nakamura et al., 1986; Nakayasu and Berezney, 1989; Fox et al., 1991; Kill et al., 1991; O’Keefe et al., 1992; Hozák et al., 1994). Although less dramatic than in CHF cells, clear spatial separation between significant amounts of early and late replicating DNA is evident in these cell types. This is consistent with the chromosome compartment model, although it does not exclude that different modes of chromosome organization may also occur in other cell types. For example, in vitro studies using synchronously replicating Xenopus sperm nuclei showed that incorporation of labelled nucleotides occurred at several hundred evenly spaced foci and showed no preferential association with the nuclear membrane (Mills et al., 1989). Comparing the data from Diptera and CHF cells, it is clear that there can be differences in how cells organize chromatin in the nucleus. In the future, it will be interesting to extend these analyses to compare other cell types, including plant and insect cells as well as other mammalian cell types, which will help to determine the generality of the autonomous chromosome compartment model.

What is the mechanism that promotes the segregation of DNA into compartments? Since the pattern is established before G1, it is clear that the process of replication itself cannot be primarily responsible. The interphase pattern is not dependent upon activation of gene expression in daughter nuclei, since it is correctly established in the presence of transcription or translation inhibitors. Thus, it is not an indirect effect of differential DNA transcription, causing some sequences to be pushed out to the periphery. It is interesting that at early stages of telophase, when late replicating DNA sequences have not yet moved to the nu-

Figure 11. Interphase compartmentalization of DNA is established during late telophase/early G1 in CHF cells. Asynchronous cultures were pulse labelled with BrdU (10 μM; 30 min) and after a 3-h period (similar to the duration of G2 phase), cells were harvested at hourly intervals. Late telophase/early G1 cells were checked for the presence of labelled patterns after immunodetection of BrdU-DNA. For each time point, a minimum of 60 cells were evaluated. The graph shows that each pattern peaks according to a temporal order that is the reverse of that seen during progression through S phase (see text).

Figure 12. Late telophase/early G1 cells display spatial configurations of BrdU-DNA similar to the replication patterns seen during S phase. Confocal images are shown for cells harvested during the peak of each pattern (refer to Fig. 11). (A) Pattern 1; (C) pattern 2; (E) pattern 3; (G) pattern 4; (I) pattern 5. The corresponding phase contrast images are depicted in the right column (B, D, F, H and J). Bar, 10 μm.
clear periphery, extensive lamin staining on the DNA is evident (see Fig. 10). Although most of these internal projections of lamin staining are resolved by late telophase/early G1 (see Fig. 10), some may persist throughout interphase and remain associated with the minor fraction of internally located late replicating DNA during stages 4 and 5 (data not shown). These projections may correspond to tubular invaginations of the nuclear envelope that associate with lamins (Fricker et al., 1997, and references therein). The binding of lamins, or lamina-associated polypeptides (Gerace and Foisner, 1994), to specific chromosomal regions may play a role in establishing compartments. Consistent with this idea, B type lamin foci bind to late replicating DNA sequences in mouse 3T3 cells (Moir et al., 1994). In addition, there is also biochemical evidence for the binding of lamins and lamina-associated polypeptides to chromatin and associated proteins (Hoger et al., 1991; Yuan et al., 1991; Taniura et al., 1995; Pyrpasopoulou et al., 1996). The direct binding of lamin B1 to DNA, via SARs, was also reported (Luderus et al., 1992). There also appears to be a close structural association of the lamina with chromatin (see Belmont et al., 1993, and references therein) possibly involving discrete chromosomal regions (Hochstrasser and Sedat, 1987; Paddy et al., 1990; Belmont et al., 1993; Marshall et al., 1996). The binding of one of the lamina-associated polypeptides, termed LBR (lamin B receptor), to the heterochromatin-specific protein HP-1 (Ye and Worman, 1996) and to native chromatin fragments (Pyrpasopoulou et al., 1996) was recently reported. Importantly, the binding of purified LBR to intact chromosomes is not homogeneous, but rather follows a distinctive banding pattern present throughout the chromosomes that is reminiscent of Q banding (Pyrpasopoulou et al., 1996). These results are in good agreement with our finding that the peripheral/lamina-facing compartment is extensively represented in the Q bands of most chromosomes. Clearly, more experiments are needed to resolve whether this binding has implications in the compartmentalization of large scale chromatin domains during interphase. Our results show that the interphase compartmentalization of chromatin may occur in single chromosomes independently of physical interactions with other chromosomes (micronucleation experiments) and in the absence of ongoing transcriptional activity. The autonomous feature of the model does not, however, exclude that chromosomes may influence the chromatin organization of each other through their gene products, e.g., long-lived proteins that can withstand short-term protein synthesis inhibition. The nuclear lamins and lamina-associated polypeptides are well positioned to play this role since these molecules are recycled in subsequent cell cycles (for review see Georgatos et al., 1994; Marshall and Wilson, 1997).

We note that an important role for the nuclear periphery, involving either the nuclear lamina, the nuclear pores, or both, in the 3D organization of the genome has previously been discussed (Blobel, 1985; Gerace, 1985).

CHF cells segregate most of their DNA into two major compartments during interphase. It will be important now to analyze exactly which DNA sequences are present in each compartment and to understand what significance this may have for the organization of genes and relevant nongene sequences. For example, preferential assortment of specific genes within the nucleus (see for example Lawrence et al., 1993), of centromeric sequences to the nuclear or nucleolar periphery (Pluta et al., 1995), of telomeric repeats to the close proximity of the nuclear envelope in some cell types (Rawlins et al., 1991), or to the internal nucleoplasm in human cells (where telomeres correspond mostly to R bands; Luderus et al., 1996) and the recent finding that some genes occupy a preferential position in their chromosome territory (Kurz et al., 1996) might reflect the compartmentalization of chromosomes.

Another important question is whether the compartments might be to some extent functionally distinct. The fact that they contain DNA sequences that are replicated at different times during S phase is consistent with the idea that DNA binding factors are differentially distributed between them. Future studies will therefore address the possible relation between gene expression and DNA compartments.

The authors are grateful to R. Saffrich for help with the microinjection experiments. We also thank our colleagues M. Carmo-Fonseca, I. Mattaj, F. Stewart, K. Weis, K. Bohmann, E. Izaurralde, J. Lewis, and C. Lyon for helpful comments on the manuscript. T. Cremer and P. Lichter are also thanked for helpful suggestions. We also thank the following laboratories for generously providing antibodies used in this study: W. van Venrooj for human autoimmune antibodies against kinetochore antigens and DNA, G. Dreyfuss for the 4B10 monoclonal antibody, and S. Georgatos for the rabbit polyclonal antibody against lamin B.

J. Ferreira was supported by a Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation fellowship.

Received for publication 21 August 1997 and in revised form 17 October 1997.

References

Agard, D.A., and J.W. Sedat. 1983. Three-dimensional architecture of a polytene nucleus. Nature. 302:676–681.

Alen, J.A., J. Stip, R. Hoobe, and P.J.M. Bakker. 1994. Application and detection of IdUrd and CdUrd as two independent cell-cycle markers. Methods Cell Biol. 41:317–326.

Belmont, A.S., J.W. Sedat, and D.A. Agard. 1987. A three-dimensional approach to mitotic chromosome structure: evidence for a complex hierarchical organization. J. Cell Biol. 105:77–92.

Belmont, A.S., M.B. Braunfeld, J.W. Sedat, and D.A. Agard. 1989. Large-scale chromatin structural domains within mitotic and interphase chromosomes in vivo and in vitro. Chromosoma. 98:129–143.

Belmont, A.S., Y. Zhai, and A. Thilenius. 1993. Lamin B distribution and association with peripheral chromatin revealed by optical sectioning and electron microscopy tomography. J. Cell Biol. 123:1671–1685.

Bickmore, W.A., and A.T. Sumner. 1989. Mammalian chromosome banding—an expression of genome organization. TIG (Trends Genet.). 5:144–148.

Bickmore, W.A., and K. Oghene. 1996. Visualizing the spatial relationships between defined DNA sequences and the axial region of extracted metaphase chromosomes. Cell. 84:95–104.

Blobel, G. 1985. Gene gating: a hypothesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 82:8527–8529.

Bravo, R., and H. MacDonald-Bravo. 1987. Existence of two populations of cytoplasmic PCNA during the cell cycle: association with DNA replication sites. J. Cell Biol. 105:1549–1554.

Blobel, G., M. Carmo-Fonseca, M. Cunha, N. Custodio, C. Carvalho, P. Jordan, J. Ferreira, and L. Parreira. 1993. Role of chromosome territories in the functional compartmentalization of the cell nucleus. Hum. Genet. 92:247–252.

Earnshaw, W.C. 1988. Mitotic chromosome structure. Bioessays. 9:417–150.
Earnshaw, W.C., and M.M.S. Heck. 1985. Localization of topoisomerase II in mitotic chromosomes. J. Cell Biol. 100:1716–1725.

Ferreira, J.A., M. Fricker, M. Hollinshead, N. White, and D. Vaux. 1997. Interphase nuclei of Drosophila melanogaster interphase nuclei. I. Tissue-specific aspects of chromosome spatial organization. J. Cell Biol. 135:112–123.

Gasser, S.M., T. Laroche, J. Falquet, E. Boy de la Tour, and U.K. Laemmli. 1989. Replication occurs at discrete foci spaced throughout nuclei replicating in vitro. J. Cell Biol. 104:471–477.

Laemmli, U.K., E. Käs, L. Poljak, and Y. Adachi. 1992. Scaffold associated replication of chromosome specific pre-mRNA metabolism: an emerging view. Hum. Mol. Genet. 4:1779–1785.

Moir, R.D., M. Montag-Lowy, and R.D. Goldman. 1994. Dynamic properties of nuclear lamins: lamin B is associated with sites of DNA replication. J. Cell Biol. 125:1201–1212.

Nagel, R., T. Freeman, L. McMorrow, and H.-Y. Lee. 1995. Precise spatial positioning of DNA replication foci in mammalian cell nuclei. J. Cell Biol. 136:531–544.

Laemmli, U.K., S.M. Cheng, K.W. Adolph, J.R. Paulson, J.A. Brown, and W.R. Moss, P.T., K.P. Smith, and J.B. Lawrence. 1995. Compartmentalization of chromosome specific a-satellite sequences. J. Cell Biol. 116:1095–1110.

Paulson, J.R., and U.K. Laemmli. 1977. The structure of histone depleted metaphase chromosomes. Cell. 17:281–288.

Pady, M.R., A.S. Belmont, H. Saumweber, D.A. Agard, and J.W. Sedat. 1990. Interphase nuclear envelope lamina forms a discontinuous network that interacts with only a fraction of the chromatin in the nuclear periphery. Cell. 62: 89–98.

Pirol-Roma, S., and G. Dreyfuss. 1991. Transcription-dependent and transcription-independent nuclear transport of hnRNP proteins. Science. 253:312–314.

Piuta, A.F., A.M. Mackay, A.M. Astarite, I.G. Goldberg, and W.C. Earnshaw. 1995. The centromere: hub of chromosomal activities. Science. 270:1591–1594.

Pyrpasopolou, A., J. Meier, C. Maison, G. Simos, and S.D. Georgatos. 1996. The lamin B receptor (LBR) provides essential chromatin docking sites at the nuclear envelope. EMBO (Eur. Mol. Biol. Organ.). 15:715–718.

Rawlins, D.J., M.I. Hightj, and P.J. Shaw. 1991. Localization of telomeres in plant interphase nuclei by in situ hybridization and 3D confocal microscopy. Chromosoma. 100:424–431.

Saitoo, Y., and U.K. Laemmli. 1994. Metaphase chromosome structure: bands arise from a differential folding path of the highly AT-rich scaffold. Cell. 76: 609–622.

Saito, N., I.G. Goldberg, E.R. Wood, and W.C. Earnshaw. 1994. SC: II: an abundant chromosome scaffold protein is a member of a family of putative ATPases with an unusual predicted tertiary structure. J. Cell Biol. 127:303–318.

Ueda, H., and S. Mihara, K. Inukai, T. Sakai, and S. Hirota. 1993. A direct approach to the structure of eukaryotic chromosomes. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 42:331–350.

Spector, D.L. 1993. Macromolecular domains within the cell nucleus. Annu. Rev. Cell. Biol. 9:265–315.

Spradling, A.C., L. Maia, B. Beijer, P. Neuner, and U. Ryder. 1989. Highly efficient chemical synthesis of 2’-O-methyl oligoribonucleotides with triethyl-0xylated derivatives: novel probes that are resistent to degradation by RNA or DNA specific nucleases. Nucleic Acids Res. 17:3372–3386.

Stelzer, E.H., I. Wacker, and J. De May. 1991. Confoal Flourescence micros...
copy in modern cell biology. Semin. Cell Biol. 2:145–152.

Strick, R., and U.K. Laemmli. 1995. SARs are cis DNA elements of chromosome dynamics: synthesis of a SAR repressor protein. Cell. 83:1137–1148.

Sumner, A.T. 1982. The nature and mechanisms of chromosome banding. Cancer Genet. Cytogenet. 6:59–87.

Sumner, A.T. 1990. Chromosome Banding. Unwin Hyman Limited, London, UK.

Taniura, H., C. Glass, and L. Gerace. 1995. A chromatin binding site in the tail domain of nuclear lamins that interacts with core histones. J. Cell Biol. 131:33–44.

Wansink, D.G., W. Schul, I. van der Kraan, B. van Steensel, R. van Driel, and L. de Jong. 1993. Fluorescent labeling of nascent RNA reveals transcription by RNA polymerase II in domains scattered throughout the nucleus. J. Cell Biol. 122:283–293.

Ye, Q., and J.H. Worman. 1996. Interaction between an integral protein of the nuclear envelope inner membrane and human chromodomain proteins homologous to Drosophila HP1. J. Biol. Chem. 271:14653–14656.

Yuan, J., G. Simons, G. Blobel, and S.D. Georgatos. 1991. Binding of lamin A to polynucleosomes. J. Biol. Chem. 266:9211–9215.