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Abstract

Introduction: In response to the COVID-19 pandemic and the restriction of students participating in face-to-face instruction, two medical students rapidly adapted a preclinical curriculum that virtually teaches improvement science and equips learners with the knowledge to address patient needs.

Methods: Eight first-year medical students participating in a longitudinal patient navigation and health systems science program completed 15 interactive video sessions. After learning about the Model for Improvement and various quality improvement tools, students worked in teams of four to conduct several plan-do-study-act cycles. Postsession surveys captured student satisfaction, session feedback, and reflections about conducting improvement work. Two medical students then applied conventional content analysis to identify themes to describe the data.

Results: Student projects focused on addressing patients' health care and social resource needs through telephone and electronic interactions. Five themes were identified in the survey results: (1) learning by doing in the dynamic nature of improvement work; (2) enjoyment of virtual team-based learning; (3) project relevance to COVID-19; (4) utility of quality improvement tools; and (5) continuous curriculum improvement with student feedback.

Conclusions: In this student-led endeavor, we implemented a virtual improvement curriculum where first-year medical students apply improvement science knowledge to patient needs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Results demonstrate the feasibility of teaching improvement in a virtual setting where learning is action-based with project work being relevant to health care priorities. Our work provides a framework for others to continue teaching this integral component of medical education.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has created many changes in how medical students learn and contribute to patient care. Improvement teaching is a vital component of medical education, and is ideally action-based, combining didactic and project work linked to local health system improvement efforts, with assessment of learner outcomes and modeling continuous improvement in educational processes. We describe a new approach of teaching improvement whereby students apply didactic knowledge in a value-added manner during COVID-19. The Student Navigator Project (SNaP) is a longitudinal integrated preclinical program at a large urban academic medical center; SNaP includes improvement science learning where students complete mentored clinical improvement projects and collaborate interprofessionally with clinic staff. In this report, we describe how a student-led effort rapidly adapted this clinic-based improvement curriculum into a virtual experience where first-year students respond to patient...
needs while adhering to social distancing measures. This intervention serves as a model for others to implement virtually at their own institutions.

**Methods**

**Educational Response**

Upon transition to virtual-only learning in March 2020, two medical students convened with the SNaP director to adapt the improvement curriculum to be delivered virtually. All eight first-year medical students (age range 23-29 years; seven females, one male) in the SNaP cohort participated. Students had previously established a 1-3-month relationship with one to two patients as part of their patient navigation work and received an introduction to improvement science through the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) Open School Modules.

**Curriculum Description and Implementation**

Figure 1 shows curriculum objectives. The curriculum involved two phases: project-based learning followed by scholarly synthesis of work (Table 1). We utilized WebEx, a virtual meeting platform, and Box, an encrypted cloud drive with Microsoft Office applications. Didactics focused on purposefully linking improvement concepts to the impact of COVID-19 on the students’ patient navigation work. For the 3-question project brainstorming, students applied the Model for Improvement to the pandemic context by identifying a problem related to their patients’ needs, brainstorming change ideas, and generating measures. In teams of four, students spent approximately 2 months completing three plan-do-study-act (PDSA) cycles. Students utilized a shared Excel sheet on Box to record their project work, which included a project management template to record each phase of the PDSA cycle. WebEx meetings consisted of large-group learnings and separate project team discussions facilitated by a project coach. In the scholarly synthesis of work phase, students reviewed quality improvement tools and applied them to their projects. Students created posters to highlight key project learnings and to present at scholarly venues.

**Curriculum Evaluation**

Students completed a voluntary, anonymous, online survey after each session that captured student satisfaction, session feedback, and reflections about the session content. A conventional content analysis approach was utilized to identify themes. Two lead medical students reviewed the survey results and developed preliminary themes. The students analyzed later surveys in a similar manner, adding new codes only if necessary. The Institutional Review Board determined this activity exempt from oversight. Curriculum materials are available on the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine Resource Library.

**Results**

The findings in this report reflect data collected from March 30, 2020 to June 1, 2020 during the project-based learning phase. Student projects focused on addressing patients’ health care and social resource needs through telephone and/or electronic interactions.

Table 2 outlines qualitative results of the postsession survey completed by students at an average response rate of 70% (n=8). Students reported enjoying a session 87% of the time, with the remainder as enjoying the session somewhat. We identified five themes: (1) learning by doing in the dynamic nature of improvement work; (2) enjoyment of virtual team-based learning; (3) project relevance to COVID-19; (4) utility of quality improvement tools; and (5) continuous curriculum improvement with student feedback.

**Conclusions**

In our student-led endeavor to implement a virtual improvement curriculum, first-year medical students learned and applied improvement science knowledge to patient needs during the COVID-19 pandemic. With the use of a virtual meeting platform and secure cloud drive for document, our program demonstrated the feasibility of teaching
improvement in a virtual setting where learning is action-based with project work linked to health care priorities, evident by the two projects carried out by students as a product of this curriculum. Learners reported satisfaction with virtual group discussions and conducting projects relevant to their patients and current events (Table 2, themes 2 and 3). Learner reflections also highlighted the dynamic, trial-by-learning nature of improvement work (Table 2, theme 1). These methods allowed medical students to engage in issues related to COVID-19, further building competence and confidence in health systems improvement. While it is unclear whether the projects will generate a measurable impact on patients, our results nonetheless indicate the program's salient effect on students by instilling the knowledge, skills (evident in theme 4, Table 2), and positive attitude to lead improvement work (shown by themes 1, 2, and 3, Table 2). Our role modeling of continuous improvement by utilizing student feedback to rapidly adapt the curricular content in real time also fulfills an important educational principle (proven by theme 5, Table 2).² Notably, students voiced concerns about session length, outside classwork volume, and insufficient practice with certain quality improvement tools, which helped to shape latter parts of the curriculum.

This study is limited by self-reported qualitative data and a small sample size of self-selected learners. The curriculum operates within a program where first-year medical students can gain longitudinal experience as patient navigators and therefore intimately understand patient needs during COVID-19. In the absence of such program and existing patient relationships, it may be challenging for early students to identify relevant and feasible project topics. Lastly, a conventional approach to content analysis may also fail to identify key categories.

In conclusion, this student-led implementation of a virtual curriculum engaged first-year medical students in improvement work that addressed patient needs during the COVID-19 pandemic. Engaged in virtual team-based discussions, students developed reflections about improvement work early in their clinical training. Future work should explore ways to incorporate more students and expand on project topics that align with both clinical and educational priorities.

**Tables and Figures**
Figure 1: Learning Objectives of a Rapidly-Adapted Virtual Improvement Curriculum for First-Year Medical Students

1. Understand & apply the Model for Improvement
   - defining an aim
   - defining a set of measures
   - generate change ideas
   - conduct at least 2 PDSA cycles

2. Develop & execute a team-based improvement project that addresses patient needs
   - cause & effect diagram
   - driver diagram
   - Pareto chart
   - run chart
   - flow chart

3. Recognize and utilize quality improvement tools

4. Create a poster that summarizes project learnings

5. Reflect on the role of medical students in improving healthcare & discuss the limitations of that role

Abbreviation: PDSA, plan, do, study, act
| Phase | Date   | Time (Hours) | Topic                                                                 | Materials                                      | Postsession Work                             |
|-------|--------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1     | Mar 30 | 1            | Curriculum overview                                                 | Microsoft PowerPoint                          | IHI QI 103 module                            |
|       |        |              | Run chart didactics                                                  | Google Trend                                  | Pareto data collection                       |
|       |        |              | Pareto chart didactics and exercise                                  | Excel                                         |                                               |
|       | Apr 6  | 1            | Review Pareto data                                                   | Excel                                         | 3-question project brainstorming             |
|       |        |              | Driver diagram didactics and exercise                                | Microsoft PowerPoint                          |                                               |
|       | Apr 13 | 1            | PDSA didactics                                                       | Microsoft PowerPoint                          | --                                           |
|       |        |              | Introduction to project teams                                        |                                               |                                               |
|       | Apr 20 | 1            |                                                                       |                                               |                                               |
|       | Apr 27 | 2            |                                                                       |                                               |                                               |
|       | May 11 | 1            |                                                                       |                                               |                                               |
|       | Jun 1  | 2            | Project team work: complete at least two PDSA cycles                  | Microsoft Excel project management template   | Plan and/or execute tests of change          |
|       | Jun 8  | 1            |                                                                       |                                               |                                               |
|       | Jun 15 | 1            |                                                                       |                                               |                                               |
| 2     | Jun 29 | 1            | Pareto chart                                                         | Microsoft PowerPoint                          | Finish creating tools for project            |
|       | Jul 6  | 1            | Run chart                                                            |                                               |                                               |
|       | Jul 13 | 2            | Driver diagram                                                       | Microsoft PowerPoint                          |                                               |
|       |        |              | Fishbone diagram                                                     |                                               |                                               |
|       | Jul 20 | 1            | Flow chart                                                           |                                               |                                               |
|       | Aug 10 | 1            | Poster                                                                | Poster examples from previous years           | Finish poster                                |
|       | Aug 17 | 1            |                                                                       |                                               |                                               |

Abbreviations: IHI, Institute for Healthcare Improvement; QI, quality improvement; PDSA, plan, do, study, act.
| Theme                                                                 | Student Comments                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Significance of Theme                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Theme 1: Learning by doing in the dynamic nature of improvement work | • Revisiting and adjusting our aim as we progress in the project is important.  
• Discussing the aim statement and potential measured data was more dynamic than I expected!  
• [I] learned that you cannot get stuck in a [quality improvement] QI project.  
• [Our team] needs to simplify expectations and take smaller steps with each PDSA  
• [I] learned that an intervention can still be considered successful even if achievement of a goal was not tied directly to my action as I had anticipated QI can be a constant process in the health care setting | The dynamic nature of quality improvement was well recognized, understood, and received by students. This theme emerged from students' comments not just on the process of gradual improvement in quality improvement but also the dynamic nature of working on a project in a virtual, real-time platform. |
| Theme 2: Enjoyment of virtual team-based learning                     | • [The session] was productive - felt exciting to have a plan moving forward for a project, we now have created our initial needs assessment survey  
• As ever, I love seeing how our project evolves with group discussion  
• I appreciated the unstructured time to ask questions and talk about our work  
• I learned a lot about different measurements and had fun discussing what we would do next.  
• I enjoyed the space allowed, for discussion which was much more substantive than I thought would be possible via telemeeting.  
• I liked the ability to have a long discussion and go back over pieces of the project that we had not touched in a while  
• I love that the discussion is very student driven with the assistance of an outside faculty facilitator to make sure we aren't forgetting anything  
• [I] liked live collaboration and plenty of brainstorming | This theme emerged as a surprise to the authors. Virtual team-based learning in quality improvement is not a tradition at our institution or of the SNAp program. As we designed the curriculum, we intentionally designed the sessions to increase interactivity (finding the ideal session length, planning to facilitate group discussion, planning for break-out groups, etc). The positivity towards virtual team-based learning is an encouraging sign for future collaborative work within and among institutions. |
| Theme 3: Project relevance to COVID-19                               | • I like that it is very relevant to the situation we are in right now. It makes [me] more excited to do the QI project than what we initially came up with.  
• I like that our QI session is relevant to current events and what we’re going through now. | With a pandemic taking over healthcare, the economy, education, and lives, it became very clear that medical education also needed to adapt to current events. Higher quality education is experienced when students enjoy the work; with this project, we learned that students benefit from medical education that is relevant and timely. |
| Theme 4: Utility of quality improvement tools                        | • Revisiting [our] driver diagram was a good idea.  
• I liked the presentation of graph format and data types  
• I like the flexibility in changing our project after we saw that the Pareto diagram [exercise] didn’t really work with our small population. | One of the five objectives of this curriculum was to teach quality improvement tools and then immediately allow students the opportunity to apply that tool in a meaningful project. That objective was clearly achieved as this theme emerged from the students’ comments. |
| Theme 5: Continuous curricular improvement with student feedback     | • I would have liked more time to look at the Pareto chart. I was confused because the values on the chart didn’t seem to match the frequency values on the tables, but I didn’t want to interrupt the class by asking that question.  
• I think 45 minutes is good enough time. I think we are able to address a couple of concerns and still have a good discussion. A little bit more would be nice, but 45 is doable.  
• More time! These meetings take longer over WebEx than they would in person  
• Less time in large groups—although if another group is stuck, it’s also understandable to spend more time in large groups  
• More examples on process measures & balancing measures. | Adopting the continuous improvement theory in designing the curriculum itself, we found that students contributed formative feedback that allowed us to continually improve the curriculum as we progressed. |
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