The workshop on Parton Distributions and Lattice Calculations in the LHC era (PDFLattice2017) was hosted at Balliol College, Oxford (UK), from 22nd to 24th March 2017. The workshop brought together the lattice-QCD and the global-fit physicists who devote their efforts to determine the parton distribution functions (PDFs) of the proton. The goals were to make the two communities more familiar between each other, review developments from both sides, and set precision targets for lattice calculations so that they can contribute, together with the forthcoming experimental input, to the next generation of PDF determinations. This contribution summarises the relevant outcome of the workshop, in anticipation of a thorough white paper.
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In Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) are universal objects that encode the long-distance dynamics of quarks and gluons interacting in a hard-scattering process. Following factorisation [1], they are convoluted with partonic cross sections, that encode instead the short-distance dynamics of the interaction, in order to obtain predictions for the experimental observables. While partonic cross sections can be computed in QCD as a perturbative expansion in the strong coupling \( \alpha_s \), PDFs cannot, although their dependence on the factorisation scale \( \mu \) results in the perturbatively computable DGLAP evolution equations [2, 3, 4].

The accurate knowledge of PDFs is at the base of the understanding of the nucleon structure, including how its momentum and spin are carried by quarks and gluons. Parton distributions are also fundamental tools in high-energy, nuclear and astroparticle phenomenology. Their determination has thus received considerable theoretical and experimental attention over the years [5, 6].

There are currently two main methods to determine PDFs. The first method consists in a global QCD analysis of measurements of various hard-scattering observables. Parton distributions are parametrised at an initial scale, evolved up to the scale of the data, and used to build up the theoretical predictions for the relevant observables. In the corresponding factorisation formulæ, the factorisation scale, \( \mu \), is usually set equal to the characteristic scale of the process, \( Q \). The best-fit parameters are then determined by minimising a suitable figure of merit, such as the \( \chi^2 \).

Several theoretical and methodological details must be handled in a global QCD analysis. On the theoretical side, general physical constraints must be enforced, specifically PDFs must lead to positive cross sections, and fulfill sum rules; heavy quarks must be treated through an appropriate flavour scheme, possibly extended to allow for intrinsic components [7, 8]; and the highest-order QCD corrections should be included in the evolution and in the computation of the partonic cross sections. These are currently available at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in \( \alpha_s \) for an increasing number of processes where the polarisation of the initial state is not measured, otherwise they are usually known only up to next-to-leading order (NLO). As the precision of the measurements will increase, PDFs including Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) corrections [9], resummation effects [10], and higher-twist contributions [11, 12] might be considered.

On the methodological side, particular attention is devoted to the determination of the PDF uncertainty, which is usually quantified with either the Hessian [13] or the Monte Carlo [14] method. Both these methods allow one to account for various contributions to the PDF uncertainty: the measurement uncertainty propagated from the data, uncertainties associated with incompatibility of the fitted experiments, and procedural uncertainties such as those related to the choice of the PDF parametrisation. Theoretical uncertainties, such as the parametric uncertainty due to the uncertainties on the values of the physical parameters used in the fit (e.g. the reference value of \( \alpha_s \)), and the missing higher order uncertainty (given that fits are performed with fixed-order perturbation theory), are instead more elusive. While the size of the former can be estimated by varying the input parameters, the size of the latter is currently unknown, although it is supposed to be subdominant.

Several collaborations provide regular updates of PDF determinations from a global QCD analysis, both in the helicity-averaged (unpolarised, henceforth) [11, 15, 16, 17, 18] and helicity-dependent (polarised, henceforth) [12, 20, 21] cases. Differences in both the PDF central value and uncertainty from different sets are observed, mostly depending on the data set, and on the theoretical and methodological details of each QCD analysis. In the unpolarised case, most of these differences [22] are now understood, up to a point that some sets [15, 16, 19] were statistically
combined into a single PDF set [23], with PDFs accurate to a few percent. In the polarised case, instead, a more limited and less precise data set, along with a lower degree in the theoretical and methodological sophistication of the available QCD analyses, has led to less accurate PDFs. This has also prevented from benchmarking various polarised PDF sets quantitatively so far.

The second method is provided by lattice QCD, i.e., QCD formulated on a finite-volume Euclidean spacetime discretised by means of the introduction of an ultraviolet cutoff. Lattice QCD is generally studied by numerical computations of QCD correlation functions in the path-integral formalism, using methods adapted from statistical mechanics. In order to make contact with the data, numerical results have to be extrapolated to the continuum and infinite-volume limits.

Lattice-QCD calculations primarily determine the matrix elements of local twist-two operators that can be related to the Mellin moments of PDFs. In principle, given a sufficient number of Mellin moments, PDFs can be reconstructed from the inverse Mellin transform. In practice, calculations are limited to the lowest three moments [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31], because power-divergent mixing occurs between twist-two operators. Three moments are not enough to reconstruct the momentum fraction dependence of the PDFs without a significant model bias [32]. Novel strategies have been developed to compute higher moments [33, 34], although they are still in their infancy.

Alternative methods have been proposed to determine the PDF momentum fraction dependence directly from lattice QCD, among which the inversion method, the path-integral formulation of the Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS) hadronic tensor, and quasi-PDFs.

The inversion method allows one to relate the unpolarised and polarised structure function \( F_1 \) and \( g_1 \) to the appropriate Compton amplitude through an integral equation, which can be solved numerically. The Compton amplitude can be obtained by a simple extension [35] of existing implementations of the Feynmann-Hellman technique to lattice QCD [38, 39, 40]. Contributions from up, down and strange quarks, connected and disconnected, can be distinguished by appropriate insertions of the electromagnetic current. The same method can be extended to PDFs, provided that \( Q \) is sufficiently large that power corrections in the Compton amplitude can be neglected.

The path-integral formulation of the DIS hadronic tensor [36] provides an alternative formalism to carry out the operator product expansion. There are three gauge-invariant and topologically distinct contributions to the hadronic tensor - respectively from the valence and the connected and disconnected sea quarks - which can be computed on the Euclidean lattice by evaluation of four-point functions. The hadronic tensor should be converted from the Euclidean to the Minkowski space (for details on numerical approaches, see [37]). Once it is extended to the continuum and large volume limits and at the physical pion mass, one can apply QCD factorisation to fit the PDFs.

Quasi-PDFs [41] are defined as appropriate momentum-dependent nonlocal static matrix elements for nucleon states at finite momentum, with an ultraviolet cut-off scale, e.g. the inverse of the lattice spacing. Quasi-PDFs must be related to the corresponding light-front PDFs, for which the nucleon momentum is taken to infinity. This is usually achieved by means of a matching kernel in the Large-Momentum Effective field Theory (LaMET) [41]. Approaches alternative to LaMET [42] view quasi-PDFs as a lattice cross section from which the light-front PDF can be factorised. Related constructions were proposed in [43] and explored in [44]. A procedure combining information from quasi-PDFs and moments of PDFs was tested in [45]. Lattice calculations of quasi-PDFs have been encouraging so far, although they are still rather qualitative [46, 47, 48].

In order to make meaningful contact with the data, lattice QCD calculations must demonstrate
The workshop on Parton Distributions and Lattice Calculations in the LHC era (PDFLattice2017) [50] was organised to bring together physicists who actively work to determine PDFs either from global fits or from lattice QCD. It was hosted at Balliol College, Oxford (UK), from 22nd to 24th March 2017. The goals were to make the global-fit and lattice-QCD communities more familiar between each other, review recent developments from both sides, and discuss how lattice-QCD calculations can be used to improve global fits, and, conversely, how global fits can be used to benchmark lattice-QCD calculations. The workshop was specifically focused on precision physics, and included aspects of both the high-energy physics program at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), and the hadron physics program at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), at Jefferson Lab (JLab), and at other facilities. Therefore, the discussion was consciously limited to collinear unpolarised and polarised PDFs. Future editions of the workshop could be extended to transversity, Transverse-Momentum-Dependent PDFs (TMDs), and Generalised PDFs (GPDs).

A graphical summary of the workshop outcome is displayed in Fig. 1. It includes the follow-
ing list of *desiderata*, which was compiled in order to strengthen the cross-talk between the two PDF communities in the future. First, define a common language, including a mutually agreed conventional notation for relevant PDF-related quantities, such as PDF moments. Second, assess the sources of systematic uncertainties in lattice-QCD calculations, *e.g.* along the lines of [49]. Third, indentify a set of quantities to benchmark lattice-QCD results against global-fit determinations, and provide a prescription to select and/or combine the most reliable and up-to-date results from both sides. Fourth, set precision targets for lattice-QCD calculations so that they can contribute, together with the forthcoming experimental input, to the next generation of PDF determinations. Fifth and last, assess the impact of lattice-QCD calculations on global-fit determinations assuming their current and/or projected precision.

All these points will be addressed thoroughly in a forthcoming white paper [51]. In particular, this will include a full review of recent developments in lattice-QCD and global-fit PDF determinations, a complete set of up-to-date benchmark numbers for the relevant moments from both sides, and an assessment of the impact of lattice-QCD calculations on global-fit determinations assuming their current and/or projected precision. The white paper will represent the joint effort of the global-fit and lattice-QCD PDF communities to make the most of the lively activities spurred by the workshop. It will hopefully become a reference and a further motivation to encourage fruitful interactions between the two communities.
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