Central sensitization in opioid use disorder: a novel application of the American College of Rheumatology Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria

O. Trent Halla,*, Julie Teatera, Kara M. Roodb, K. Luan Phanb, Daniel J. Clauwc,d

Abstract
Introduction: Central sensitization (CS) involves dysfunctional central nervous system pain modulation resulting in heightened pain perception. Central sensitization is not commonly assessed among patients with opioid use disorder (OUD), despite the fact that pain has been implicated in the development, maintenance, and relapse of OUD and chronic opioid use may produce opioid-induced hyperalgesia. Central sensitization is a plausibly important mechanism underlying the complex relationship between OUD and chronic pain. However, this premise is largely untested.

Methods: Participants with OUD (n = 141) were recruited from an academic addiction treatment center in Columbus, Ohio. An established surrogate measure of CS, the American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria, was administered using electronic survey. Participants also responded to questions about pain interference (Brief Pain Inventory), quality of life (RAND-36), and items regarding pain beliefs and expectations of pain and addiction treatment. Descriptive analyses, Spearman rho correlations, and Mann–Whitney U tests were performed.

Results: Hypothesized relationships were confirmed between degree of CS, pain interference, and health-related quality of life. Degree of CS was also positively correlated with greater endorsement of pain as a reason for the onset, maintenance, and escalation of OUD; treatment delay; and OUD relapse. Participants with the American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria ≥13 had significantly greater endorsement of pain as a reason for delaying OUD treatment, continuing and increasing opioid use, and precipitating OUD relapse.

Conclusions: This study provides early evidence CS may underlie previously observed connections between clinically salient features of chronic pain and OUD, potentially informing future mechanistic research and precision treatment.
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1. Introduction

Central sensitization (CS), like closely related construct nociplastic pain, is believed to result from aberrant functioning of the nociceptive system leading to heightened pain perception.36,43,100 Central sensitization is not commonly assessed among patients with opioid use disorder (OUD), despite the fact that chronic opioid use is known to produce a neurobiological state very similar to CS, opioid-induced hyperalgesia (OIH), and pain has been implicated in the development, maintenance, and relapse of OUD.6–8,58,93 Indeed, chronic pain and OUD are often comorbid, frustrating treatment and compromising outcomes for both conditions.81,84 Although relationships between chronic pain and OUD are complex and incompletely understood, CS may be an important underlying factor warranting further study.8,83,93

Converging evidence points to environmental, genetic, and neurobiological overlap between CS and OUD. Early life adversity increases the risk of both CS and OUD.34,59,70,82,88,101 Polymorphisms of the mu-opioid receptor gene OPRM1 may predispose individuals to CS and OUD.22,32,34,41,80 Neuroimaging has associated decreased gray matter volume in the insula and anterior cingulate cortex with CS and OUD.13,43,79 These structures are involved in affective pain processing as well as conscious urges to take drugs.47,65,79 Together, these findings suggest CS may be a promising precision medicine target for chronic pain and OUD.
Assessing CS in OUD might increase the understanding of comorbid chronic pain and OUD, perhaps allowing for the identification of subgroups for whom centralized or nociceptive pain presents a barrier to OUD remission. Characterizing CS in this population could also aid in the selection of more targeted OUD treatments as US Food and Drug Administration approved medications for OUD (MOUD) each have distinct pain pharmacology, and OUD behavioral counseling may be augmented with therapies proven to improve pain coping among individuals with CS. Therefore, a clinically applicable measure of CS in OUD would be of great importance.

A strong candidate measure is the American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria (ACR-FMS). The American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria has been used to assess CS among patients with other chronic pain conditions (ie, rheumatoid arthritis, postoperative pain, and low back pain). However, no previous research has examined CS using ACR-FMS in patients with OUD. Consequently, the prevalence of CS among patients with OUD is unknown, and any relationships existing between CS and clinically salient features of pain or addiction among this population remain untested.

Therefore, the present work aims to describe the use of a surrogate measure of CS among a clinical sample of individuals with OUD; test group differences based on a previously established ACR-FMS cut point; and explore potential relationships between ACR-FMS scores and pain interference, quality of life, pain-beliefs, and care expectations. We specifically probed pain-coping motivated opioid use, awareness of the opioid hyperalgesia phenomenon, and pain-based negative expectations of medical care and addiction treatment.

2. Methods

Before initiation, the study protocol was approved by the Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center Institutional Review Board. Survey data were collected on tablet computers using REDCap, a web platform capable of securely collecting personal health information and managing online databases. Participants provided verbal consent and were monetarily compensated for their time.

2.1. Study sample

Study inclusion criteria were adults with OUD defined by the presence of at least 2 of 11 symptoms of OUD during the past 12 months as described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5). Exclusion criteria were inability to provide informed consent, read, or comprehend survey items. There were no other inclusion or exclusion criteria. Potential participants were not prescreened for the presence of pain as an inclusion or exclusion criterion.

One-hundred forty-four individuals were consecutively recruited between July 7, 2021, and December 10, 2021, from the patient pool at Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center Addiction Care at Talbot Hall. This facility provides OUD treatment including partial hospitalization, intensive outpatient, group and individual counseling, medically supervised withdrawal, and medication management (buprenorphine and naltrexone). Recruitment was conducted by trained addiction treatment professionals during the course of routine evaluation. Participants accessed the questionnaire on a tablet computer in a private examination room and were not allowed to interact with one another while completing the survey.

Eight individuals were offered participation but declined. One case was excluded for violating inclusion criteria. Two cases were excluded for noncompletion of the primary measure of interest (ACR-FMS). Other cases were missing health-related quality of life (5, 3.7%) or demographics (10, 7.1%). These were retained in the final sample but excluded from specific correlational analyses where necessary, as noted. The final sample was 141 (n = 141).

2.2. Measures

The survey included DSM-5 OUD criteria (as assessed by an addiction provider on the date of participation), a demographic questionnaire (sex, race, ethnicity, employment status, income, education, housing status, and substance use treatment needs), as well as the following validated instruments and original items. Age was unintentionally omitted from the survey.

2.3. 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria

Participants’ burden of pain and related symptoms was assessed by the ACR-FMS. Although it has principally been used for fibromyalgia diagnosis, ACR-FMS has also been purposed as a measure of CS and related construct nociceptive pain. Although both CS and nociceptive pain may be assessed by ACR-FMS, the definition of these constructs bears further distinction. CS is “Increased responsiveness of nociceptive neurons in the central nervous system to their normal or subthreshold afferent input,” while nociceptive pain is defined as “Pain that arises from altered nociception despite no clear evidence of actual or threatened tissue damage causing the activation of peripheral nociceptors or evidence for disease or lesion of the somatosensory system causing the pain.” The American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria characterizes pain location by body region (0–19) as well as the severity of associated symptoms including problems thinking, sleep difficulty, and fatigue (0–12). As a continuous measure (range 0–31), ACR-FMS has been used as a proxy for the degree of CS or as an indicator of probable fibromyalgia (ACR-FMS ≥ 13, sensitivity 96.6% and specificity 91.8%). The American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria have previously quantified CS and have been found to be robustly predictive of pain, disability, and treatment outcomes in diverse clinical populations. The American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria can also be used to assess how much nociceptive pain contributes to the symptom burden in other chronic pain conditions.

2.4. Pain interference

The degree to which pain interfered with participants’ daily experience was measured with the pain interference subscale of the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI). This subscale assesses pain interference across two dimensions including “affective interference” (sleep, relationships, life enjoyment, and mood) and “activity interference” (general activity, normal work, and walking). Items comprising the BPI pain interference subscale are scored 0 “Does not interfere” to 10 “Completely interferes” and may be summed together (range 0–70). Alternatively, the “affective interference” and “activity interference” dimensions may be treated as separate subscales with ranges 0 to 40 and 0 to 30, respectively. It has been proposed that treating “affective interference” and “activity interference” as separate subscales is appropriate because each suggests a different target for intervention and either may be
unequally affected potentially negating the value of their sum as an index of pain interference.63

2.5. Health-related quality of life
Health-related quality of life was assessed by the Research and Development (RAND) Corporation RAND 36-Item Health Survey 1.0 (RAND-36).45 RAND-36, also referred to as the Short Form 36 (SF-36), is a widely used comprehensive survey measuring health along 8 domains: general health, physical functioning, mental health, social functioning, vitality, bodily pain, role limitations due to physical health, and role limitations due to emotional problems. Scoring RAND-36 involves transforming each of its 36 items linearly to a range of 0 to 100 and averaging items by domain.21,45
Higher domain scores represent greater health-related quality of life. The psychometric properties of RAND-36 have been extensively studied confirming its validity and reliability.9,21,64,86,87

2.6. Original items regarding pain-related beliefs and care expectations
Eight original items were created to measure beliefs and expectations regarding pain and OUD treatment which might reflect opioid use motivations or relate to clinical outcomes for individuals with pain and OUD. Responses were scaled as strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4), or strongly agree (5). Table 1 presents these original items.

2.7. Data analysis
Descriptive analyses providing measures of central tendency, frequency, and percentages were conducted to characterize demographic features as well as OUD severity, CS, pain interference, health-related quality of life, pain-related beliefs, and care expectations. This was followed by a series of Spearman correlations to probe hypothesized associations between CS (ACR-FMS) and other variables of interest. For Spearman correlations, the size of $r_s$ was interpreted 0.1 = small, 0.3 = medium, and 0.5 = large.18 Mann–Whitney U tests were run to determine differences in pain beliefs and care expectations between participants above and below the ACR-FMS $\geq 13$ cut point. All tests were 2-tailed and were deemed significant at $\alpha < 0.01$. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (Version 27.0, SPSS, Inc).

3. Results
3.1. Sample characteristics
Demographic information was provided by 131 (92.9%) participants. Of those who completed the demographic questionnaire, 53 (40.5%) were female and 78 (59.5%) were male. Thirty-four reported their race as Black (26%), 94 White (71.8%), and 3 any other race (2.3%). Most (126, 96.2%) claimed non-Hispanic ethnicity. Age was unintentionally omitted from the questionnaire, but participants were adults between age 18 and 88 years. All 141 participants responded to a multiple answer question inquiring about the types of substances for which they were seeking treatment. Allowed to choose more than one substance, most reported they were seeking addiction treatment for fentanyl (107, 75.9%), followed by heroin (47, 33.3%) and prescription opioids (29, 20.6%). Little variance was observed in OUD severity (mean number of OUD criteria present $= 10.60 \pm 1.94$). Most (137, 97.2%) met criteria for severe OUD (6 or more DSM-5 OUD criteria). Three (2.1%) participants had moderate OUD (4–5 DSM-5 OUD criteria), and 1 (0.7%) had mild OUD (2–3 criteria). Table 2 presents sample characteristics.

3.2. Central sensitization (the American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria)
Pain was prevalent in this clinical sample of participants with OUD. The sample mean, minimum, and maximum ACR-FMS scores were 9.88 $\pm$ 4.91, 0 and 23, respectively. Total scores were normally distributed per Shapiro–Wilk ($P > 0.05$). One hundred twenty-five participants (88.7%) reported at least 1 painful body region. Widespread pain was common, with participants averaging 3.27 $\pm$ 2.83 painful body regions. Pain was most often reported in the low back (99, 70.2%), neck (45, 31.9%), and upper back (43, 30.5%). Figure 1 shows the frequency of pain by body region.

The number of participants reporting moderate or severe cognitive symptoms, fatigue, or waking unrefreshed was 62 (44.0%), 87 (61.7%) and 93 (66%), respectively. Among participants with any pain, the scores of 39 (31.2%) were consistent with the diagnosis of fibromyalgia (ACR-FMS $\geq 13$).

3.3. Pain interference (Brief Pain Inventory) and health-related quality of life (RAND-36)
Pain interference was common among participants, differentially affecting affective and activity domains. The BPI pain interference subscale total, affective interference, and activity interference dimensions were not normally distributed as assessed by Shapiro–Wilk tests ($P < 0.05$). Median scores were 39 (IQR = 26–50) of 70 for the BPI pain interference subscale, 24 (IQR = 15–32) of 40 for the affective interference dimension, and 14 (IQR = 6–20) of 30 for the activity interference dimension. Responses to RAND-36 1.0 indicated overall decreased health-related quality of life among the sample. Shapiro–Wilk tests of normality showed none of the 8 life domains of RAND-36

Table 1
Original items regarding pain beliefs and care expectations.

| Pain Beliefs | Care Expectations |
|--------------|-------------------|
| I first started using opioids because I was in pain. | Once doctors know you have an addiction, they would not help you with your pain. |
| Pain is a major reason why I have kept using opioids. | I have put off going to the doctor for my pain because I do not want treated like a “drug addict.” |
| I find myself needing more and more opioids to control my pain. | I have put off getting treatment for opioid use disorder because I am afraid my pain will be worse when I stop using opioids. |
| It is possible for opioids to make pain worse over time. | I am worried pain will cause me to relapse in the future. |

Responses were scaled as strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4), or strongly agree (5).
were normally distributed (P < 0.05). Median health-related quality of life was 50 or lower (100 denotes optimal life quality) in 7 of 8 life domains: general health (IQR = 35–60), mental health (IQR = 36–59), social functioning (IQR = 25–63), vitality (IQR = 30–50), bodily pain (IQR = 33–68), role limitations due to physical health (IQR = 3–100), and role limitations due to emotional problems (IQR = 0–67). Physical functioning was the exception with a sample median of 75 (IQR = 45–90).

### 3.4. Pain-related beliefs and care expectations

Pain-related beliefs including endorsement of pain-related onset, maintenance and escalation of OUD, as well as awareness of the OIH phenomenon were noted. Eighty-six of 141 participants (61.0%) agreed or strongly agreed that they first used opioids “because I was in pain.” Seventy-seven (54.6%) participants indicated that pain played an important role in maintaining their addiction by agreeing or strongly agreeing pain was “a major reason” they continued using opioids. Eighty-four (59.6%) participants agreed or strongly agreed doctors withhold pain treatment from patients with an addiction. Eighty-four (59.6%) participants endorsed delaying needed pain treatment for fear of being stigmatized for their OUD. Seventy-six (54.0%) indicated that they had delayed accessing OUD treatment because they worried their pain would be worse in recovery from OUD. Finally, pain-triggered OUD relapse was anticipated by 81 (57.4%) participants whom agreed or strongly agreed “I am worried pain will cause me to relapse in the future.”

### 3.5. Correlational analyses

Correlational analyses revealed relationships between CS, pain interference, and health-related quality of life among this clinical sample of participants with OUD. Central sensitization was significantly associated with total BPI pain interference (r_s (141) = 0.539, P < 0.001) as well as its affective interference (r_s (141) = 0.559, P < 0.001) and activity interference domains (r_s (141) = 0.457, P < 0.001). Significant negative associations were also noted between CS and 7 of 8 domains of health-related quality of life including general health (r_s (136) = −0.580, P < 0.001), mental health (r_s (136) = −0.547, P < 0.001), social functioning (r_s (136) = −0.592, P < 0.001), physical functioning (r_s (136) = −0.420, P < 0.001), vitality (r_s (136) = −0.480, P < 0.001), bodily pain (r_s (136) = −0.632, P < 0.001), and role limitations due to emotional problems (r_s (136) = −0.474, P < 0.001). No significant association was found between CS and role limitations due to physical problems.

Central sensitization was also correlated with pain-related beliefs and expectations of pain and addiction treatment. Small, but significant associations were found between CS and pain-related onset of OUD (r_s (141) = 0.273, P = 0.001), awareness of OIH (r_s (141) = 0.235, P = 0.005), and OUD stigma-related delay of treatment for pain (r_s (141) = 0.271, P = 0.001). Interestingly, despite its observed relationship with OUD stigma-related pain treatment delay, CS was not significantly correlated with the perception that physicians withhold pain treatment from patients with addiction. There was a modest association between CS and reporting pain was “a major reason” for continued opioid use (r_s (141) = 0.364, P < 0.001). Similarly sized correlations were noted between CS and pain-coping motivated escalation of opioid use (r_s (141) = 0.394, P < 0.001), delayed OUD treatment due to fear of pain exacerbation (r_s (141) = 0.390, P < 0.001), and worry about future pain-preficitated OUD relapse (r_s (141) = 0.395, P < 0.001). Figure 2 shows negative expectations of addiction treatment by ACR-FMS total score.
precipitated OUD relapse (ACR-FMS $\geq 13 \text{ Mdn} = 5$, ACR-FMS $< 13 \text{ Mdn} = 4)$, $U = 1269.5$, $z = -3.421$, $P = 0.001$. Figure 3 illustrates group differences (mean with 95% confidence interval), by ACR-FMS cut point $\geq 13$, in endorsement of pain as a major reason for continuing opioid use, needing more and more opioids to control pain, delaying OUD treatment for fear that pain will be worse when stopping opioids, and worry about pain-precipitated OUD relapse.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to describe ACR-FMS as a surrogate measure of CS, or nociplastic pain, in OUD. Participants were not selected on the basis of pain. Despite this, pain and CS were prevalent. Most participants reported pain in at least one body region. Widespread pain affecting multiple body regions and symptoms associated with CS (ie, fatigue, cognitive symptoms, and abdominal cramping) were common.

Relationships of potential clinical importance were observed between CS and lived experiences of pain and OUD. Greater CS was correlated with increased pain interference, perhaps indicating nociplastic pain may be more disruptive than pain that is primarily nociceptive or neuropathic to individuals with comorbid chronic pain and OUD. Similarly, greater CS was correlated with reduced quality of life, suggesting nociplastic pain may be an important, previously unappreciated, contributor to the overall disease burden of OUD.

Central sensitization was associated with endorsement of pain-related onset, maintenance and escalation of OUD, as well as awareness of OIH. That CS was associated with endorsement of pain-related OUD onset suggests nociplastic pain should be investigated as an independent risk factor for OUD, distinct from pain that is primarily nociceptive or neuropathic. Similarly, the present findings might indicate CS has a role in OUD disease progression. Nociplastic pain might serve as an aversive condition akin to the discomfort of withdrawal in well-established antireward systems and negative reinforcement processes central to the brain disease model of addiction.38,51–53,89 Intriguingly, although almost 90% of the sample reported pain, less than half endorsed awareness of OIH. This awareness was positively correlated with CS. Aligning with previous research implicating CS in OIH, this finding suggests the utility of ACR-FMS in future studies of CS and OIH.

Central sensitization was associated with negative expectations of pain treatment. Central sensitization was correlated with delaying pain treatment out of concern for OUD stigma. OUD stigma is a barrier to pain treatment.57 Anticipation of OUD stigma involves expecting that one’s pain complaints will not be believed.26 This may be particularly relevant for patients with nociplastic pain. However, CS was not associated with the belief that doctors withhold pain treatment from patients with OUD, suggesting opportunity for engagement.

Central sensitization also related to negative expectations of addiction treatment. Fear of pain exacerbation on stopping opioids as a reason for delaying OUD treatment was associated with CS. The effects of opioid cessation on pain levels among individuals with comorbid nociplastic pain and OUD are unknown. However, opioid tapering has improved pain among
patients with fibromyalgia.\textsuperscript{23,35,46} Patients should be counseled about pain in OUD recovery and should have access to evidence-based pain treatment. Finally, CS was robustly associated with fear of pain-precipitated OUD relapse. Individuals with comorbid chronic pain and OUD are 3 to 5 times more likely to relapse than those with OUD alone.\textsuperscript{58} We speculate that CS may potentially mediate the increased risk of OUD relapse conferred by chronic pain, although additional research is needed.

Nearly one-third of the sample exhibited ACR-FMS scores consistent with fibromyalgia (ACR-FMS \(\geq 13\)); a remarkably high proportion given the population prevalence of fibromyalgia is estimated to be between 2\% and 4\%.\textsuperscript{36} These individuals reported greater pain interference and lower quality of life, again suggesting nociceptive pain as an important contributor to OUD disease burden. In addition, participants with ACR-FMS \(\geq 13\) were more likely to endorse pain as a reason for continuing and

---

**Table 3**

| Survey | ACR-FMS \(\geq 13\) median score | ACR-FMS < 13 median score | U    | Z     | P      |
|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------|-------|--------|
| BPI interference |                                    |                           |      |       |        |
| Total  | 52.00                             | 33.00                     | 867.0| -5.175| <0.001 |
| Affective Domain | 32.00                             | 22.00                     | 819.5| -5.397| <0.001 |
| Activity Domain  | 19.00                             | 12.50                     | 1080.5| -4.194| <0.001 |
| RAND-36 |                                    |                           |      |       |        |
| General health | 42.50                             | 50.00                     | 1039.5| -4.055| <0.001 |
| Mental health  | 36.00                             | 52.00                     | 1073.0| -3.836| <0.001 |
| Social functioning | 37.50                             | 50.00                     | 1086.0| -3.801| <0.001 |
| Vitality       | 35.00                             | 45.00                     | 1116.5| -3.836| <0.001 |
| Bodily pain    | 27.50                             | 57.50                     | 715.0 | -5.957| <0.001 |
| Physical functioning | 50.00                             | 80.00                     | 1158.0| -3.43 | 0.001  |
| Emotional role limitations | 0.00                             | 0.00                      | 1226.5| -3.48 | 0.001  |
| Physical role limitations | 50.00                             | 50.75                     | 1226.5| -1.154| 0.248  |

All comparisons with Mann-Whitney U test.

ACR-FMS, American College of Rheumatology Fibromyalgia Survey; BPI, Brief Pain Inventory; RAND-36, RAND 36-Item Health Survey 1.0 (a survey of health-related quality of life). Higher scores on BPI indicate greater pain interference. Lower scores on RAND-36 indicate lower quality of life.
escalating their opioid use and for delaying OUD treatment. The ACR-FMS ≥ 13 group also exhibited significantly greater fear of pain-triggered OUD relapse. Although further research is needed, we speculate that ACR-FMS ≥ 13 may be a clinically useful delineation indicating increased risk of poor treatment outcomes among patients with comorbid chronic pain and OUD.

Existing research aids interpretation of the present work. Hyperalgesia and decreased pain tolerance have been identified among individuals with OUD.30 These findings have been replicated among patients before starting MOUD (methadone and buprenorphine), during MOUD treatment, and in OUD remission.3,19,29,74 Similar experiments have related hyperalgesia and decreased pain tolerance to CS among individuals with fibromyalgia.28,69,72,90,91,92 Taken together, these findings support our notion that CS may be an important mechanism underlying comorbid chronic pain and OUD.

Once controversial, brain mechanisms linking OUD and chronic pain are now supported by substantial literature.33,77 A recent review article detailed common brain mechanisms of chronic pain and addiction including reward deficiency, impaired inhibitory control, incentive sensitization, aberrant learning, and antireward allostatic neuroadaptations. This study contributes to this literature by demonstrating ACR-FMS as a tool for studying CS in relation to antireward allostatic neuroadaptations deployable in both the clinical and laboratory settings.

Beyond basic neuroscience, other genetic and behavioral research links CS and OUD. OPRM1 polymorphisms may predispose individuals to CS and OUD.22,52,34,41,80 Childhood adversity is a risk factor for nociceptive pain as well as prescription opioid misuse, OUD onset, relapse, and opioid overdose.27,48,62,70,71,82 Traumatic stress leads to CS and increased drug intake in animal models and is associated with elevated clinical markers of CS and drug use in humans.4,44,54,61,75 Furthermore, posttraumatic stress disorder and fibromyalgia have additive effects on OUD risk.6 Given these substantially overlapping susceptibilities, we posit that CS may be a potent precision medicine target for comorbid chronic pain and OUD that is easily measurable (by ACR-FMS) in clinical populations.

The present work has many implications. ACR-FMS may be useful in increasing the understanding of comorbid chronic pain and OUD. Previous studies of comorbid chronic pain and OUD have not benefitted from recent advancements in the mechanistic taxonomy of pain. In 2016, the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) added nociplastic as a third mechanism of pain, in addition to existing categories nociceptive and neuropathic.36 Mechanistic studies of comorbid chronic pain and OUD are urgently needed. ACR-FMS may aid in the detection of CS in OUD, potentially facilitating the identification of subgroups for whom centralized or nociceplastic pain presents a barrier to OUD remission. Longitudinal studies using ACR-FMS are necessary to determine the role of CS in the onset, maintenance, escalation, and relapse of OUD.

The American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria might also improve the precision of treatments for comorbid chronic pain and OUD by allowing randomized trials to control for primary underlying pain mechanism (nociceplastic vs

Figure S. Group differences (mean with 95% confidence interval) in endorsement of A. pain as a major reason for continuing opioid use, B. needing more and more opioids to control pain, C. delaying OUD treatment for fear that pain will be worse when stopping opioids, and D. worry about pain-precipitated OUD relapse by ACR-FMS cut point ≥13 (consistent with fibromyalgia). Responses (Y axis) to original questions were on a 5-point Likert scale and scaled as strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4), or strongly agree (5). ACR-FMS, American College of Rheumatology 2011 Fibromyalgia Survey Criteria; OUD, opioid use disorder.
neuropathic or nociceptive). Currently approved MOUD agents each have distinct pain pharmacology with plausibly differential effectiveness based on primary underlying pain mechanism, although their effect on CS in OUD treatment populations is unclear. In addition to agonizing μ-opioid receptors, methadone inhibits serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake and antagonizes N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. These secondary actions have theoretical benefit in modulating nociceptive stimuli propagation and inhibiting the wind-up phenomenon.\textsuperscript{56} Buprenorphine, a partial μ-opioid agonist, has been observed to reduce CS by uncertain means.\textsuperscript{28,35} Temporary blockade of μ-opioid receptors by naltrexone may produce upregulation of β-endorphin and related opioid peptides as well as opioid receptors, potentially enhancing endogenous analgesia.\textsuperscript{85} Existing nonopioid analgesics may have variable utility for comorbid chronic pain and OUD based on the degree of CS present.\textsuperscript{20,39,68,102} Finally, ACR-FMS might identify patients with OUD for whom OUD behavioral counseling should be combined with psychological therapies for nociceptive pain.\textsuperscript{24,36,68} Such counseling should include pain physiology education, promotion of physical activity, sleep hygiene, stress reduction, and improved diet.\textsuperscript{36,78}

4.1. Study strengths and limitations

A relatively large clinical sample and use of validated measures with low levels of missing data were notable strengths of the present work. Our study was limited by its cross-sectional design which prevented testing of relationships between CS and clinical outcomes. Other limitations involve unclear generalizability. Although we did not recruit participants based on the presence of pain, we also did not collect data regarding pain diagnoses or pain treatments. We did not control for the type (ie, buprenorphine, naltrexone, and level of behavioral care) or duration of OUD treatment or for psychiatric comorbidities. Most had severe OUD and therefore may not represent populations with mild or moderate OUD. Future prospective cohort studies should examine baseline ACR-FMS and serial ACR-FMS scores in relation to measurable aspects of treatment for comorbid chronic pain and OUD.

5. Conclusion

This study provides new mechanistic insight into the relationship between OUD and chronic pain. We described the use of ACR-FMS as a surrogate measure for CS in a clinical sample with OUD. Although participants were not selected based on the presence of pain, nociceptive pain was prevalent in the sample. Hypothesized correlations were confirmed between degree of CS, pain interference, and health-related quality of life. Central sensitization was also correlated with reporting pain as a reason for delaying OUD treatment and for the onset, maintenance, escalation, and relapse of OUD. Participants with ACR-FMS ≥ 13 had significantly greater endorsement of pain as a reason for delaying OUD treatment, continuing and increasing opioid use, and precipitating OUD relapse suggesting the possible utility of this cut score as a risk-indicator of poor treatment outcomes. Central sensitization may be an important underlying factor complicating the treatment of comorbid chronic pain and OUD.
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