Validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in \textit{Suaeda aralocaspica}, an annual halophyte with heteromorphism and C4 pathway without Kranz anatomy
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ABSTRACT

Reverse transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) is a powerful analytical technique for the measurement of gene expression, which depends on the stability of the reference gene used for data normalization. \textit{Suaeda aralocaspica}, an annual halophyte with heteromorphic seeds and possessing C4 photosynthesis pathway without Kranz anatomy, is an ideal plant species to identify stress tolerance-related genes and compare relative expression at transcriptional level. So far, no molecular information is available for this species. In the present study, six traditionally used reference genes were selected and their expression stability in two types of seeds of \textit{S. aralocaspica} under different experimental conditions was evaluated. Three analytical programs, geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper, were used to assess and rank the stability of reference gene expression. Results revealed that although some reference genes may display different transcriptional profiles between the two types of seeds, $\beta$-TUB and \textit{GAPDH} appeared to be the most suitable references under different developmental stages and tissues. \textit{GAPDH} was the appropriate reference gene under different germination time points and salt stress conditions, and \textit{ACTIN} was suitable for various abiotic stress treatments for the two types of seeds. For all the sample pools, $\beta$-TUB served as the most stable reference gene, whereas \textit{18S rRNA} and \textit{28S rRNA} performed poorly and presented as the least stable genes in our study. \textit{UBQ} seemed to be unsuitable as internal control under different salt treatments. In addition, the expression of a photosynthesis-related gene (\textit{PPDK}) of C4 pathway and a salt tolerance-related gene (\textit{SAT}) of \textit{S. aralocaspica} were used to validate the best performance reference genes. This is the first systematic comparison of reference gene selection for qRT-PCR work in \textit{S. aralocaspica} and these data will facilitate further studies on gene expression in this species and other euhalophytes.
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INTRODUCTION

\textit{Suaeda aralocaspica}, the only species of \textit{Borszczowia} section of \textit{Suaeda} genus in the Amaranthaceae, is a monoecious annual halophyte distributed in the saline-alkaline sandy
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soils in the southern margin of Junggar Basin in China (Li, 1979; Mao, 1994). It not only produces heteromorphic seeds with different forms and germination characteristics on a single plant (Wang et al., 2008), but also possesses unique C4 photosynthesis pathway without Kranz anatomy (Voznesenskaya et al., 2001), which allows the photosynthesis to perform within a single elongated chlorenchyma cell (Voznesenskaya, Franceschi & Edwards, 2004). More interestingly, the seedling of S. aralocaspica showed delayed developmental phenomenon to get through the harsh natural conditions (e.g., high salinity, remarkable daily temperature variation, strong light, etc.) in early spring (J Cao et al., 2016, unpublished data). All of these characteristics endow S. aralocaspica with remarkably abiotic tolerance and high efficiency of photosynthesis; however, how can this species adapt to such a harsh environment, e.g., the molecular mechanism of the stress tolerance, is still poorly-understood.

To provide insight into these complex stress-responsive regulatory networks and identify stress-responded genes, high throughput gene expression analysis should be considered (Delporte et al., 2015). Reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) is one of the most widely used technologies to validate small sets of gene expression or the whole-genome microarray data, due to its high sensitivity and accuracy, good reproducibility, as well as the broad dynamic range for a limited number of target genes (Higuchi et al., 1993; Heid et al., 1996; Huggett et al., 2005). However, the accuracy of qRT-PCR remains influenced by many experimental variations (Bustin, 2002; Ginzinger, 2002; Udvardi, Czechowski & Scheible, 2008; Bustin et al., 2009); among them, selection of a constant expression reference gene for normalization is crucial for the acquisition of biological meaningful data (Thellin et al., 1999; Schmittgen & Zakrajsek, 2000). So far, the traditional reference genes involved in basic cellular maintenance such as 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), 28S ribosomal RNA (28S rRNA), cytoskeletal structural protein β-actin (ACTIN), β-tubulin (β-TUB), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and ubiquitin protein (UBQ) were largely used for quantification of mRNA expression in model plants, animals and human beings (Remans et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2010; Ponton et al., 2011; Du et al., 2013). However, several reports demonstrate that the copy of transcript of these genes does not always maintain stable under different experimental conditions (Bas et al., 2004; Czechowski et al., 2005; Exposito-Rodriguez et al., 2008; Tong et al., 2009; Die et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2013). If the chosen reference gene exhibits large expression fluctuation, the normalization will lead to inaccurate gene expression profile of target genes and inappropriate interpretation of biological data (Czechowski et al., 2005; Tong et al., 2009; Bustin et al., 2009; Artico et al., 2010). Therefore, it is essential to choose suitable reference genes for each species and ensure their stability under the specifically experimental conditions (Li et al., 2015).

With the growing awareness of significance of suitable reference gene, several statistical algorithms, such as geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002), NormFinder (Andersen, Jensen & Orntoft, 2004), BestKeeper (Pfaffl, Prgomet & Neuvians, 2004), qBasePlus (Hellemans et al., 2007) and RefFinder (Xie et al., 2012) have been well developed to validate the most stable reference gene(s) from candidates under a given set of experimental conditions. Recently, an increasing number of reports have focused on systematic validation of
stable reference genes in model plants (Remans et al., 2008; Schmidt & Delaney, 2010; Lilly et al., 2011), important crop species (Kim et al., 2003; Jain et al., 2006; Jian et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2009; Paolacci et al., 2009; Janská et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014), vegetables (Nicot et al., 2005; Die et al., 2010; Gantasala et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2015) and fruits (Reid et al., 2006; Tong et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Clancy et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015). However, only few studies have been conducted to identify the suitable reference genes in desert species (Li et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). To our knowledge, no work has been reported to evaluate reference genes for an euhalophyte in desert. In the present study, we cloned and evaluated the stability of six commonly used reference genes (18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, ACTIN, TUB, GAPDH, UBQ) based on their expression abundance in two types of plants derived from heteromorphic seeds of S. aralocaspica. Samples were collected from different tissues, developmental stages and various stress treatments. The best reference genes from the candidates were tested by normalizing expression of a C4 photosynthesis-related gene-orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK) and a salinity tolerance-related gene-salt induced AAA-Type ATPase (SAT). qRT-PCR data were analyzed using three widely applied algorithms-geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper to determine sets of reference genes suitable for S. aralocaspica in different experimental conditions. Our work should facilitate future study on gene expression analysis in S. aralocaspica and other euhalophytes, which will then improve our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of desert plant adaptation to salt stress.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Plant materials and treatments**

Mature seeds of *Suaeda aralocaspica* were collected from Gurbantunggut Desert of Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region of China (Wujiaqu 103 regiment, 44°29′821′′N, 87°31′181′′E) in October, 2014. Seeds were naturally air-dried indoor, then cleaned and sieved to remove the impurities, and stored at 4 °C in brown paper bag until use.

**Seed germination**

Four replicates with 45 seeds of each of the two morphs of *S. aralocaspica* were sown on two layers of moist filter paper in a 9-cm Petri dish and exposed to different stress conditions to evaluate the stability of the tested reference genes. 40 germinated (brown) or non-germinated (black) seeds of each sample were collected on day 1 and 7 after sowing, dry seed at day 0 was used as control. The stress treatments included salinity, drought, low temperature (conditions experienced by *S. aralocaspica* in its natural habitat), ABA and H$_2$O$_2$ (factors used in alteration of gene expression in plants). Filter paper was saturated with 6 mL of distilled water or the following aqueous solutions: 300 mM NaCl, 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 6000, 1 µM ABA and 0.01% H$_2$O$_2$, respectively. All Petri dishes were kept in an illumination incubator (RXZ-5000C, Ningbo Jiangnan Instrument Factory, China) at constant 25 °C (or 10 °C in low temperature stress) and a photoperiod of 16 h light/8 h dark, the light intensity was 396 µmol/m$^2$/s.
**Seedling growth**

*S. aralocaspica* seeds were sown in pots containing perlite: vermiculite (1:3) under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod at a temperature regime of 24–30 °C, 10–20% relative humidity and a light source of 500–700 µmol/m²/s. Before sowing, the black seeds were stratified for 10 d according to Wang et al. (2008) to synchronize seed germination. Subsequently, the brown and black seeds were sown at the same time. Seedlings were cultivated with half-strength Hoagland solution (Arnon & Hoagland, 1940) containing 100, 300, 500 mM NaCl, half-strength Hoagland solution only was used as control. For salt treatment, only two cotyledons of the seedling were collected as sample in the presence of various salt concentrations on day 15 after seedling emergence. For different tissues, samples were collected from cotyledons, stem and root of seedling in the absence of salt on day 15 after emergence. For different developmental stages, samples were collected from the whole seedling grown in the absence of salt on day 3, 15, 30 and 60 after emergence. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen on harvesting and stored at −80 °C prior to RNA extraction.

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis**

Total RNA was extracted by using RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The ratios of absorbance at 260 nm to that of 280 nm (260/280) or 230 nm (260/230) were used to assess the purity of RNA using a Nanodrop ND-1000 UV Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), only RNA samples with a 260/280 ratio between 1.9 and 2.1 and 260/230 ratio higher than 2.0 were used for subsequent analysis. RNA integrity was visualized via 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis with two clear bands of 28S/18S ribosomal RNA. Each reverse transcription reaction was performed with 1 µg of total RNA in a final volume of 20 µL by using M-MLV RTase cDNA Synthesis Kit (D6130, TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) with 2.5 µM oligo(dT) and 5 µM random hexamer primer following the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was stored at −20 °C before proceeding to the next step.

**Cloning the partial sequences of the candidate reference genes**

Based on previously reported qRT-PCR reference gene in Arabidopsis, we selected six commonly used reference genes spanning a range of biological functions as candidates in *S. aralocaspica*: 18S ribosomal RNA (18S), 28S ribosomal RNA (28S), cytoskeletal structural protein β-actin (ACTIN), β-tubulin (β-TUB), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and ubiquitin protein (UBQ). According to the published sequences in Amaranthaceae or Caryophyllales, the partial sequences of the six candidate genes were obtained from homology-based cloning. The EST fragments of pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase gene (PPDK) and salt-induced AAA-Type ATPase gene (SAT), which were screened from our previously transcriptomic data of *S. aralocaspica* (J Cao et al., 2016, unpublished data), were used as the target genes for reference gene validation.

PCR reaction was performed using TaKaRa Taq™ (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) at the conditions as follows: 35 cycles with denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55–65 °C (depending on melting temperature of each pair of gene-specific primers) for
Table 1 Gene-specific primers and amplicon characteristics of candidate reference genes and target genes used in qRT-PCR analysis.

| Gene name | Length (bp) | Tm (°C) | Amplification efficiency (%) | $R^2$ | 5′-sequence (forward primer) | 3′-sequence (reverse primer) |
|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 18S       | 256         | 85.4    | 97.76                       | 0.992 | GCGGCTTAATTGTGACCTCAACAGG    | CCTGTATTGCCTCAAACTTCC         |
| 28S       | 154         | 85.78   | 92.89                       | 0.998 | GCCGACCCTGTACCTCTCTGGA       | TACCCACGACAGCAAGACAGTT        |
| ACTIN     | 149         | 82.27   | 93.64                       | 0.996 | CCAAAGGCCAAGGAGAAGAT         | TGAGACACACACTTACCTGGAAAT      |
| β-TUB     | 223         | 83.47   | 94.36                       | 0.999 | CCCTATTCCATCCTACCTCCTCTTC    | CATCTGCTCATCACCCTCTTTTGTGC    |
| GAPDH     | 162         | 83.37   | 93.75                       | 0.998 | GTTTTCACTGACACAGGACAGCTGCTG | GGTGGTACAACCTGGCATTGGAGAC     |
| UBQ       | 127         | 81.39   | 93.18                       | 0.999 | GCTAAGATTCAAGCAAGGAGGTATAC   | CCAAGTGAGGGGTGATTCTTCTTCTG    |
| PPDK      | 144         | 80.49   | 95.93                       | 0.994 | CTGTCCCAGAGGTCAACAC          | CACTGAACATCGTGTCCCA           |
| SAT       | 168         | 88.35   | 97.12                       | 0.994 | GAGTATCTCCAGAGGAGCCAGAG     | GCATCTCACCCTCTTCTC           |

30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s; an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 94 °C and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min were performed. Amplicons were purified using the TIANgen Midi Gel Purification Kit (Tiangen, China) and cloned into pMD™ 18-T vector (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Positive colonies containing recombinant plasmids were then sent to Beijing Genomics Institute (Beijing, China) for DNA sequencing. The resulting DNA sequence was used to query the appropriate databases using the BLAST algorithm of NCBI (Table S1).

**Specific primer design and quantitative real-time PCR**

Based on the gene sequence obtained from homology-based cloning, all gene-specific primer sets for qRT-PCR were designed using DNAMAN 5.0 according to the following requirements: the amplicon size is from 100 to 300 bp and the Tm value is about 60 ± 5 °C (Table 1). Amplification efficiency was evaluated using a standard curve generated by qRT-PCR using a ten-fold dilution series over at least four dilution gradients with three replicates of each. Primer specificity was confirmed by gel electrophoresis and melting-curve analysis based on qRT-PCR performance.

qRT-PCR reaction was performed using GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in the GeneAmp® 7500 Real-Time PCR System (ABI, Vernon, CA, USA). The reaction mixture consisted of 1 µL cDNA samples, 0.5 µL of each of the forward and reverse primers (10 µM), 10 µL of GoTaq® qPCR master mix and 8 µL nuclease-free water in a final volume of 20 µL. Four biological replicates with two technical replicates of each for all samples were applied. The qRT-PCR was performed as follows: 2 min initial denaturation at 95 °C, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. The crossing cycle number (CT) of each reaction was automatically determined by the GeneAmp® 7500 software with default parameters.

**Statistical analysis of gene expression stability**

Three different types of Microsoft Excel-based software (geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper) were used to rank the stability of reference genes in all experiments. All three software packages were used according to the manufacturer’s procedures.
For geNorm, the raw CT value was imported into Microsoft Excel first, and transformed into relative expression level using the formula $Q = 2^{(\text{min CT} - \text{sample CT})}$ (the maximum expression level of each gene was used as control and was assigned a value of 1) and then introduced into geNorm (version 3.5). The expression stability value ($M$) for each gene and the pairwise variation value ($V$) of the target gene with other genes were further calculated using geNorm algorithm. All of the tested genes were ranked according to their $M$ values (the cutoff of $M$ value was set as 1.5, the lower value suggests the more stable gene expression) and then the optimal number of reference genes for normalization was determined. NormFinder employed the same input file format as geNorm, while the BestKeeper analysis was based on the untransformed CT values.

**Normalization of the target genes**

To assess the validity of the programs used in ranking the reference genes above, PPDK and SAT gene of S. aralocaspica, which were characterized in ‘Cloning the partial sequences of the candidate reference genes’ section, were used as the target genes. The expression level of these genes was quantified using the most stable reference genes determined by geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper. Samples were collected from seedlings or seeds in germination treated by 300 mM NaCl for 0, 1 and 7 d. The relative expression level of target gene can be quantified according to the mathematical model $R = 2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$ (Shi & Chiang, 2005), where $\Delta \Delta CT = \Delta CT_{\text{target sample}} - \Delta CT_{\text{control sample}}$, $\Delta CT_{\text{sample}} = \text{CT}_{\text{test gene}} - \text{CT}_{\text{reference gene}}$. The final value of relative quantification was described as fold change of gene expression in the test sample compared to control. Data were expressed as mean ± SE of eight replicates for each sample.

**RESULTS**

**Verification of amplicon and primer specificity and amplification efficiency**

To identify the stability of reference genes for gene expression analysis of S. aralocaspica, the qRT-PCR assay was performed with the proper fragment of six candidate reference genes (18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, ACTIN, β-TUB, GAPDH and UBQ). Only a single band of each amplicon was generated from cDNA samples with the size from 127 bp to 256 bp (Fig. 1). They shared 84%–100% identity with the corresponding gene sequences from other plant species (Tabl S1). A single peak melting curve in qRT-PCR confirmed the specific amplification (Fig. S1). The efficiency of qPCR reaction varied from 92.89 for 28S rRNA to 97.76 for 18S rRNA, and correlation coefficient ranged from 0.992 (for 18S rRNA) to 0.999 (for β-TUB and UBQ), respectively (Table 1).

**Expression profiles of candidate reference genes**

Amplification of ACTIN in each cDNA sample of S. aralocaspica produced a single specific band with a predicted size (approximately 150 bp) (Fig. S2), which means the cDNA from the reverse transcription of S. aralocaspica total RNA was appropriate for the following analysis (Li et al., 2012; Wei et al., 2013). Detection of the expression level of all samples in both types of seeds revealed some variations amongst the six reference genes.
Specificity of primer pairs for qRT-PCR amplification. The mixture of equal amount of cDNAs from all tested samples was used as the template. 3.0% agarose gel electrophoresis visualized the specific amplification for each reference gene with the expected size. M stands for DNA size marker.

The cycle threshold (CT, Bustin et al., 2009) value for the six genes ranged from 12.95 to 33.73, while the majority of these values were between 17 and 23 in all tested samples. ACTIN showed the highest mean CT value (22.92 and 22.84 in brown and black seeds, respectively), which represented a relatively lower expression level. By contrast, 18S rRNA displayed high expression level compared to other reference genes in brown seed (CT = 18.20) and black seed (CT = 17.42). Among them, β-TUB and UBQ showed smaller gene expression variation (below 7 cycles) among studied reference genes in black seed, whereas 18S rRNA, 28S rRNA and ACTIN displayed much higher expression variation (more than 15 cycles; Table S2) among all studied genes in brown seed. The wide range variation in expression of the six tested reference genes suggests that each reference gene will not keep a constant expression level in different samples of S. aralocaspica, and selection of the reliable reference gene to normalize gene expression under certain condition is needed.

Expression stability of candidate reference genes

Since the six candidate reference genes showed wide variation in expression level in different sample sets, it is necessary to use statistical method to rank the stability of these genes and determine the least reference gene number in normalization for accurate gene expression profiling under given conditions. In the present study, three most widely used algorithms, geNorm, NormFinder and BestKeeper were employed in the analysis.

GeNorm analysis

The expression stability value (M) for each reference gene is calculated based on the average pairwise variation value with other genes by geNorm algorithm. Stepwise exclusion of the least stable gene allows the genes to be ranked according to their M value. It is recommended that an M value below the threshold of 1.5 can be accepted. According to the geNorm algorithm, the lower the M value is, the higher the gene
expression stability is. The results achieved with geNorm algorithm are showed in Fig. 3. For all the tested samples, \( \beta\)-TUB and GAPDH (for brown seed) or ACTIN and \( \beta\)-TUB (for black seed) were the two most stable genes, whereas 28S rRNA was the least stable in both types of seeds (Fig. 3A). The \( \beta\)-TUB and GAPDH were proved to be the best candidates for normalization in two types of seeds at different developmental stages (Fig. 3B), salt treatments (Fig. 3C) and different tissues (Fig. 3D). However, ACTIN (for brown seed) and 28S rRNA (for black seed) were shown to be the least stable genes at different developmental stages and tissues (Figs. 3B and 3D), while UBQ was the least stable gene under salt treatment (Fig. 3C). For different germination time points, ACTIN and GAPDH (for brown seed) or \( \beta\)-TUB and GAPDH (for black seed) were the most stable genes, while 28S rRNA was the least stable (Fig. 3E). For stress treatment samples, ACTIN and GAPDH (for brown seed) or ACTIN and \( \beta\)-TUB (for black seed) were the two most stable genes, while 28S rRNA was the least stable (Fig. 3F). Combining above analysis, GAPDH (for brown seed) and \( \beta\)-TUB (for black seed) were the most stable reference genes in six different sample sets.

The optimal number of reference gene required for accurate normalization was calculated from the pairwise variation value \( V(n/n+1) \) to determine the effect of addition of another reference gene in normalization. A proposed cut-off value −0.15 is used to judge if it is necessary to include the \( n+1 \) reference gene for normalization (Vandesompele et al., 2002). When \( V(n/n+1) \) below 0.15, means that the addition of another reference has no significant effect in data normalization and is not necessary. Ideally, extra reference genes are included until the variation \( V(n/n+1) \) drops below the given threshold. As shown in Fig. 4, when all the samples or samples from different germination time points were
taken into account, all of the pairwise variations were higher than 0.15, suggesting that none of the combination was good enough for data normalization, much better reference genes should be included. For samples from the different developmental stages, the $V_{2/3}$ was 0.131 in brown and 0.159 in black seed, respectively, which indicates that the third reference had no significant effect in both types of seeds and was not necessary to include. For different tissues, the $V_{2/3}$ was 0.153 and 0.142 in brown and black seeds, respectively. Similar results were found for the different abiotic stresses with the $V_{2/3}$ value slightly higher than 0.15 in brown seed (0.163) and lower in black seed (0.141), suggesting that two references were sufficient for normalizing data with different tissues and under abiotic stress conditions. For the $V_{3/4}$ value below 0.15, three references were sufficient for normalizing gene expression in two types of seeds under salt stress condition.

**NormFinder analysis**

Different from geNorm, NormFinder program takes intra- and inter-group variation into account for normalization factor calculation. This algorithm ranks the set of candidate
reference genes according to the stability of their expression patterns: a lower value means a good expression stability. The results of the Normfinder analysis of our data sets were summarized in Table 2. For all the samples pooled together, NormFinder identified UBQ (ranked 2nd and 3rd in brown and black seeds, respectively by geNorm) and β-TUB (ranked 1st in both types of seeds by geNorm) were the most stable genes, while GAPDH (ranked 1st and 2nd in brown and black seeds, respectively by geNorm) was the least stable gene in both types of seeds. For samples from different developmental stages and tissues, β-TUB and GAPDH were suggested to be the most stable genes in both types of seeds (similar to geNorm), while ACTIN and 28S rRNA became the least stable genes with slight change in the ranking order compared to geNorm. For different salt concentration, NormFinder algorithm revealed that β-TUB and GAPDH (for brown seed) or ACTIN and GAPDH (for black seed) were the most stable genes, while UBQ was the least stable gene. These results were consistent with those obtained by geNorm, except for β-TUB in black seed (the rank changed from 1st in geNorm to 5th in NormFinder). For different germination time points, NormFinder analysis indicated that ACTIN and GAPDH (for brown seed) or GAPDH and UBQ (for black seed) were the most stable genes, while 28S rRNA and β-TUB (ranked 1st and 3rd in black and brown seeds, respectively by geNorm) were the least stable genes in both types of seeds. For abiotic stress, β-TUB and ACTIN were the most stable genes in both types of seeds, while with a slight difference in ranking order compared to geNorm, such as β-TUB ranking from 2nd in geNorm to 1st in NormFinder in brown seed. Notably, both geNorm and NormFinder revealed that 18S rRNA and 28S rRNA were the least stable genes in both types of seeds. Taken together, results of these two algorithms suggest that GAPDH might be a quite stable reference gene in both types of seeds among different sample sets.
Table 2 Candidate genes ranked according to their expression stability value estimated by NormFinder.

| Seed type | Rank | Total | Developmental stage | Salt concentration | Tissue | Germination time point | Abiotic stress |
|-----------|------|-------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|---------------|
| Brown seed | 1    | UBQ   | 0.361               | β-TUB             | 0.232  | β-TUB                  | 0.302         | ACTIN       | 0.294       | β-TUB       | 0.204       |
|           | 2    | β-TUB | 0.377               | GAPDH             | 0.294  | GAPDH                  | 0.269         | GAPDH       | 0.344       | GAPDH       | 0.453       | ACTIN       | 0.380       |
|           | 3    | ACTIN | 0.467               | UBQ               | 0.379  | ACTIN                  | 0.381         | 18S         | 0.480       | 18S         | 0.546       | GAPDH       | 0.432       |
|           | 4    | 28S   | 0.521               | 18S               | 0.591  | 28S                    | 0.389         | UBQ         | 0.526       | UBQ         | 0.686       | UBQ         | 0.799       |
|           | 5    | 18S   | 0.598               | ACTIN             | 0.711  | 18S                    | 0.389         | 28S         | 0.690       | 28S         | 0.729       | 18S         | 0.820       |
|           | 6    | GAPDH | 0.607               | 28S               | 0.720  | UBQ                    | 0.540         | ACTIN       | 1.296       | β-TUB       | 1.097       | 28S         | 0.849       |
| Black seed| 1    | β-TUB | 0.348               | GAPDH             | 0.260  | ACTIN                  | 0.253         | GAPDH       | 0.258       | GAPDH       | 0.430       | β-TUB       | 0.325       |
|           | 2    | UBQ   | 0.407               | β-TUB             | 0.423  | GAPDH                  | 0.306         | β-TUB       | 0.295       | UBQ         | 0.533       | ACTIN       | 0.424       |
|           | 3    | 28S   | 0.416               | UBQ               | 0.477  | 28S                    | 0.323         | UBQ         | 0.327       | ACTIN       | 0.535       | GAPDH       | 0.432       |
|           | 4    | 18S   | 0.436               | 18S               | 0.518  | 18S                    | 0.341         | 18S         | 0.493       | 18S         | 0.611       | UBQ         | 0.552       |
|           | 5    | GAPDH | 0.446               | ACTIN             | 0.519  | β-TUB                  | 0.359         | 28S         | 0.640       | β-TUB       | 0.717       | 28S         | 0.813       |
|           | 6    | ACTIN | 0.450               | 28S               | 0.640  | UBQ                    | 0.571         | ACTIN       | 0.727       | 28S         | 0.856       | 18S         | 0.813       |

BestKeeper analysis

The BestKeeper index is based on the average CT value of each duplicated reaction. The variation in gene expression is calculated based on the standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variance (CV). Genes with the lowest SD and the lowest CV values are the most stable. Any proposed reference gene with an SD > 1 is considered to be inconsistent and should be excluded. In the present study, the ranking of the candidate genes are shown in Table 3. For samples from the total and different developmental stages, β-TUB and GAPDH (for brown seed) or ACTIN and β-TUB (for black seed) were the most stable genes; for salt treatment, β-TUB and GAPDH (for brown seed) or ACTIN and GAPDH (for black seed) were the most stable genes; for different tissues, β-TUB and GAPDH (for brown seed) or UBQ and GAPDH (for black seed) were the most stable genes; for different germination time points, GAPDH and ACTIN (for brown seed) or UBQ and GAPDH (for black seed) showed the most stable expression; for stress treatment samples, GAPDH and ACTIN (for brown seed) or ACTIN and GAPDH (for black seed) were the most stable genes. These results were largely consistent with those obtained from geNorm and NormFinder. However, due to the different statistical algorithms of three methods, there were some differences in the reference ranking order. It is notable that 28S rRNA was the least stable gene in all three algorithms, except for the salt treatment sample (summarized in Table S3).

Validation of the best performance reference genes

To demonstrate the reliability of our analysis with candidate reference genes, the relative expression level of a photosynthesis-related gene-pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK) of C4 pathway and a salt tolerance-related gene-salt-induced AAA-Type ATPase (SAT) of S. aralocaspica were examined during germination under 300 mM salt treatment, using the most stable reference genes GAPDH (at germination time
Table 3  Candidate genes ranking according to the expression stability value calculated by BestKeeper.

| Seed type | Total | Developmental stage | Salt concentration | Tissue | Germination time point | Abiotic stress |
|-----------|-------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|------------------------|----------------|
|           | Rank  | SD      | CV    | Rank  | SD      | CV    | Rank  | SD      | CV    | Rank  | SD      | CV    | Rank  | SD      | CV    |
| Brown seed | β-TUB | 0.70    | 3.67  | β-TUB | 0.47    | 2.38  | β-TUB | 0.28    | 1.47  | β-TUB | 0.54    | 2.73  | GAPDH | 0.55    | 2.91  |
|           | GAPDH | 0.73    | 3.79  | GAPDH | 0.60    | 3.00  | GAPDH | 0.34    | 1.94  | GAPDH | 0.60    | 3.05  | ACTIN | 0.80    | 3.39  |
|           | ACTIN | 0.94    | 4.19  | ACTIN | 0.66    | 3.33  | 28S   | 0.50    | 2.32  | UBQ   | 0.92    | 4.38  | UBQ   | 1.06    | 4.24  |
|           | UBQ   | 1.38    | 6.46  | UBQ   | 0.79    | 3.84  | ACTIN | 0.51    | 2.68  | 18S   | 1.05    | 5.53  | β-TUB | 1.28    | 5.15  |
|           | 18S   | 1.96    | 10.05 | 18S   | 0.99    | 5.60  | 18S   | 0.58    | 3.61  | 28S   | 1.05    | 6.16  | 18S   | 2.05    | 9.96  |
|           | 28S   | 2.01    | 11.04 | 28S   | 1.04    | 6.45  | UBQ   | 0.76    | 3.87  | ACTIN | 1.64    | 7.87  | 28S   | 2.61    | 11.89 |
| Black seed | ACTIN | 0.87    | 3.80  | β-TUB | 0.36    | 1.77  | ACTIN | 0.36    | 1.63  | UBQ   | 0.39    | 1.87  | UBQ   | 0.41    | 1.91  |
|           | β-TUB | 0.88    | 4.70  | ACTIN | 0.41    | 1.83  | GAPDH | 0.46    | 2.45  | GAPDH | 0.56    | 2.88  | GAPDH | 0.79    | 4.10  |
|           | GAPDH | 0.95    | 4.73  | GAPDH | 0.45    | 2.52  | β-TUB | 0.65    | 3.28  | β-TUB | 0.58    | 3.04  | ACTIN | 0.98    | 4.12  |
|           | UBQ   | 1.04    | 4.85  | UBQ   | 0.87    | 4.20  | 28S   | 0.67    | 3.70  | 18S   | 0.85    | 4.81  | β-TUB | 1.24    | 5.90  |
|           | 28S   | 1.65    | 9.35  | 18S   | 1.04    | 6.26  | 18S   | 0.69    | 3.95  | 28S   | 0.91    | 5.06  | 18S   | 1.75    | 9.40  |
|           | 18S   | 1.85    | 9.46  | 28S   | 1.16    | 6.57  | UBQ   | 0.71    | 4.19  | ACTIN | 1.20    | 5.65  | 28S   | 2.46    | 11.58 |
|           | 28S   | 2.22    | 10.83 |
points), ACTIN (under abiotic stress) and their combination (GAPDH + ACTIN) for normalization (Figs. 5 and 6). The stability of these two reference genes were further confirmed by three algorithms when combined germination time point with abiotic stress together (Table S4). The analysis revealed that the transcript abundance of these two target genes increased significantly during germination in both types of seeds. The relative expression profile of each gene was much similar across the experimental sets when normalized using GAPDH or ACTIN, or GAPDH + ACTIN, although they showed a slight difference. However, as Figs. 5 and 6 showed, the relative transcript abundance for each target gene was dependent on the reference gene(s) used for normalization. When the expression of these two genes was normalized using a combination of GAPDH and ACTIN, which was identified as the most stable references by geNorm, the fold change was between those obtained by using either GAPDH or ACTIN as the single reference gene. This result clearly indicated that the utilization of more than one reference gene in normalization provided a more accurate representation of target gene expression when tested across variable experimental conditions and reinforced the importance of reference gene validation prior to experimental application.

DISCUSSION

Suaeda aralocaspica has evolved different mechanisms to cope with the harsh environments, e.g., single-cellular C4 photosynthesis pathway, seed heteromorphism, requirement of salinity for optimal growth, etc. (Voznesenskaya et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2008; Cao et al., 2015). However, the molecular mechanisms underpinning salt tolerance are not well understood. Transcriptional analysis with qRT-PCR is meaningful to reveal the function of stress tolerance-related genes, and which can highly improve the quantification of gene expression profiles (Fan et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2014). Previous studies have demonstrated that appropriate internal reference genes are the prerequisite to ensure accurate qRT-PCR analysis (Li et al., 2015), and different references should be employed for different species or in different experimental treatments (Løvdal & Lillo, 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2013). However, the direct transfer of traditional and proposed novel candidate reference genes to non-model plants are hampered by the limited availability of genomic sequences, e.g., the desert plant species in Amaranthaceae family. Therefore, in the present study, we analyzed a set of commonly used housekeeping genes in C4 halophyte S. aralocaspica for the normalization of gene expression analysis using qRT-PCR for the first time. Results indicate that β-TUB, GAPDH and ACTIN appeared to be the top three reference genes in most of the tested sample sets, while 18S and 28S rRNA were always ranked poorly and seemed to be unsuitable for using as internal control in S. aralocaspica.

In the present study, only few discrepancies were revealed among three analytical programs (Table S3), which might be caused by distinct algorithms and statistical procedures. geNorm selects the least variable genes with a low intra-group variation and approximately the same non-vanishing inter-group variation (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Bestkeeper selects the least variable gene using the geometric mean of the raw data (Pfaffl, Przgomet & Neuvians, 2004) and results of the whole six group samples were generally
Figure 5 Relative quantification of PPDK expression using the selected reference gene(s). Relative expression of PPDK was normalized using the single most stable reference gene GAPDH (A), ACTIN (B) and their combination GAPDH + ACTIN (C) in sample sets under 300 mM NaCl treatment. Bl, black seed; Br, brown seed.

consistent with that of geNorm. In comparison, NormFinder does this with minimal combined inter- and intra-group expression variation (Andersen, Jensen & Orntoft, 2004), which can have a notable effect on the ranking of the subsequent gene stability (Exposito-Rodriguez et al., 2008). In the present study, the stability of GAPDH (for brown seed) or ACTIN (for black seed) was significantly dropped from the first place to the lowest position whereas β-TUB and UBQ ranked the top position by NormFinder algorithm compared to that of geNorm in the whole sample pools. Combining the brown and black seeds as a whole, the expression stability was further analyzed using above three methods (Table S5). The results were consistent with what have shown in Table S3 and revealed that, β-TUB can serve as the most reliable internal control for accurate normalization in all sample pools, especially by geNorm, which was recently suggested as one of the best methods to determine the reference gene in qRT-PCR analysis (Gutierrez et al., 2008). Despite of this, different experimental treatments in the present study revealed their own best reference genes. β-TUB and GAPDH ranked the best under different developmental stages and tissues, GAPDH was the one under different germination time points and salt
stress conditions, and ACTIN was suitable for various stress treatments. Previous studies showed that GAPDH was unstable in different tissues or experimental conditions (Jain et al., 2006; Marino et al., 2008; Løvdal & Lillo, 2009), while other study in Arabidopsis revealed that GAPDH was ranked among the 100 most stably expressed genes, whereas TUB6 and ACT2 has never represented in the top 100 (Czechowski et al., 2005). These results suggest that there is no universal reference gene for all plant species. However, no matter how the rank of gene stability changed, 18S rRNA and 28S rRNA in the present study were always the least reliable genes based on three algorithms. It was found that the most unstable genes would almost remain the same in all sample sets (Exposito-Rodriguez et al., 2008; Artico et al., 2010; Lin & Lai, 2010; Wan et al., 2010).

Multi-factor should be considered in decision of the most stable gene number, such as time, resources and accuracy requirements (Die et al., 2010). In the present study, the pairwise variations (Vn/n + 1) were assessed by geNorm algorithm to determine...
the optimal control gene number in different experiment series (cut-off value around 0.15), which indicated that only salt-stressed samples need a third gene to normalize gene expression, i.e., adding 18S rRNA (for brown seed) or ACTIN (for black seed) in combination with \( \beta\)-TUB and GAPDH to calculate a normalization factor. However, it has also been suggested that this cut-off value is too strict (Vandesompele et al., 2002). So, in our work, although the values of \( V_{n/n+1} \) were slightly more than 0.15 in different developmental stages (\( V_{2/3} = 0.159 \) for black seed), tissues (\( V_{2/3} = 0.153 \) for brown seed) and abiotic stresses (\( V_{2/3} = 0.163 \) for brown seed), they were still staying within an acceptable range for heterogeneous sample sets (Hellemans et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2015). In addition, the inclusion of a third gene is not in correspondence with a decrease of the \( V \) value (Fig. 4). Thus, two best-scored genes should be sufficient for the normalization of gene expression in this case.

Salinity alters a wide range of metabolic processes in growing plant, and halophyte can acclimate to high salinity by modulating the levels of a number of polypeptides and mRNAs via profound changes in gene expression (Hurkman, 1992; Kosová et al., 2011). In the present study, two genes screened from our previous transcriptomic data of S. aralocaspica were used in qRT-PCR validation of the reliability of candidate reference genes under salt treatment: pyruvate orthophosphate dikinase (PPDK), which is the key rate-limiting enzyme of the photosynthesis in C4 pathway in converting pyruvate to PEP (Edwards et al., 1985; Hýsková et al., 2014); salt-induced AAA-Type ATPase (SAT), which acts as molecular motors involved in diverse cellular functions including vesicle trafficking, proteasome-mediated protein degradation and chaperone-like activity (Hanson & Whiteheart, 2005). Results showed that the transcripts of PPDK were up-regulated under salt treatment after germination for 7 days with the potential stable reference gene GAPDH or ACTIN, or their combination to normalize the expression. Notably, the expression level of PPDK in brown seed increased more than 150-fold after germination for 1d (germinated seeds) compared to that of the dry seed, while that of black seed did not significantly changed at the first day (non-germinated), which was consistent with the germination performance of heteromorphic seeds (Wang et al., 2008). Previous studies indicated that the transcript of PPDK increased during salt stress in a facultative halophyte Mesembryanthemum crystallinum (Michalowski et al., 1989), and the activity of PPDK was increased under salinity treatment in maize (Omoto, Taniguchi & Miyake, 2012). In the present study, the expression of salt-induced gene-SAT was up-regulated under 300 mM NaCl treatment after germination for 7 days but was lower than that in distilled water, which means that during seed germination a large amount of genes’ expression might change profoundly to prepare for seedling growth, including above two target genes. However, salt stress would affect the seed germination process, although SAT should be induced by salt treatment normally, e.g., the expression level of mcSKD1 gene (a homolog of the AAA-type ATPase family found in M. crystallinum) was increased under 200 mM or more NaCl treatment in cultured plant cells (Jou et al., 2004) and reduced via RNA interference which significantly decreased salt tolerance of Arabidopsis (Ho et al., 2010), the effect of salt stimulus may not be stronger than the trigger primed by seed germination. This tendency was in agreement with the germination
behavior of *S. aralocaspica*, in which as salt concentration increasing from 0 to 600 mM NaCl, the germination rate of heteromorphic seeds decreased significantly (*Wang et al.*, 2008). Nevertheless, when the least stable reference gene 18S or 28S RNA was used for normalization (Fig. S3), the transcript level of *SaPPDK* and *SaSAT* (at 7th day of germination) in both types of seeds was 50-fold or 30-fold higher, respectively than that of using the most stable reference genes, which may lead to misinterpretation of target gene expression level. Taken all together, our results confirmed that the most stable reference genes (*GAPDH* and *ACTIN*) identified in current study could be used for the normalization of gene expression under salt stress at different germination time points for both types of seeds of *S. aralocaspica*.

Seed heteromorphism is thought to be a bet-hedging strategy for plants to adapt to environmental stress (*Venable, 1985; Weber, 2009*). *S. aralocaspica* produces dimorphic seeds with disparate forms and different germination characteristics: after imbibition for 8 h (our observation), the brown seed can reach approximately 100% germination percentage (*Song et al.*, 2012); while the black seed germinates after 24–72 h of imbibition (our observation) and only to a very low percentage (*Wang et al.*, 2008). In our previous study, the difference of transcriptional profiles between dimorphic seeds in germination process was revealed (J Cao et al., 2016, unpublished data). The results indicated that the expression of a large proportion of genes changed significantly at 3 h in brown seed whereas 8 h in black seed after imbibition, which means that a series of physiological and biochemical events in germination may take place earlier in brown seed than that in black seed, and the transcriptional change was much greater in brown seed than that of black seed. Among the differential expressed transcript-derived fragments (TDF) identified with known sequences in the database, two commonly used reference genes, *GAPDH* and translation initiation factor (*eIF-4α*) were also detected in both types of seeds of *S. aralocaspica* (J Cao et al., 2016, unpublished data), which suggests that not only the germination-related genes but also the housekeeping genes may display different transcriptional profiles between the brown and black seeds. Consistently, in current study, the three most suitable reference genes showed different performance in two types of seeds under various conditions (Table S3). However, the different characteristics shown in germination between dimorphic seeds of *S. aralocaspica* will not be transferred to the descendants, and which will soon disappear in later seedling stage and present no significant difference in growth and physiological responses in the descendants with or without salinity (*Cao et al.*, 2015).
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