A practical MRI-based reconstruction method for a new endocavitary and interstitial gynaecological template
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Abstract

**Purpose:** There are perineal templates for interstitial implants such as MUPIT and Syed applicators. Their limitations are the intracavitary component deficit and the necessity to use computed tomography (CT) for treatment planning since both applicators are non-magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) compatibles. To overcome these problems, a new template named Template Benidorm (TB) has been recently developed. Titanium needles are usually reconstructed based on their own artifacts, mainly in T1-weighted sequence, using the void on the tip as the needle tip position. Nevertheless, patient tissues surrounding the needles present heterogeneities that complicate the accurate identification of these artifact patterns. The purpose of this work is to improve the titanium needle reconstruction uncertainty for the TB case using a simple method based on the free needle lengths and typical MRI pellets markers.

**Material and methods:** The proposed procedure consists on the inclusion of three small A-vitamin pellets (hyperintense on MRI images) compressed by both applicator plates defining the central plane of the plate’s arrangement. The needles used are typically 20 cm in length. For each needle, two points are selected defining the straight line. From such line and the plane equations, the intersection can be obtained, and using the free length (knowing the offset distance), the coordinates of the needle tip can be obtained. The method is applied in both T1W and T2W acquisition sequences. To evaluate the inter-observer variation of the method, three implants of T1W and another three of T2W have been reconstructed by two different medical physicists with experience on these reconstructions.

**Results and conclusions:** The differences observed in the positioning were significantly smaller than 1 mm in all cases. The presented algorithm also allows the use of only T2W sequence either for contouring or reconstruction purposes. The proposed method is robust and independent of the visibility of the artifact at the tip of the needle.
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Purpose

In recent years, 3D image guided treatment planning has been introduced into clinical practice in gynecologic brachytherapy procedures with the goal of achieving dose conformation to the target volume and avoiding high dose areas in the organs at risk involved. Many authors have advocated the use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as the preferred image modality [1, 2]. Treatment planning based exclusively on MRI is preferred to other image modalities traditionally employed, like computed tomography (CT) or methods combining both MRI and CT. Many arguments support brachytherapy based exclusively on MRI: superior soft tissue contrast, lower in-accuracies derived from registration procedures, sparing of CT-imaging doses, the fact that dose calculation algorithms do not take into account CT numbers because all medium is assumed water, hence saving time and increasing patient comfort; etc. The American Brachytherapy Society (ABS) and the Groupe Européen de Curiethérapie of the European Society for Radiotherapy and Oncology (GEC-ESTRO) have published recommendations about the use of MRI as the preferred image modality for image-guided brachytherapy (IGBT) [3, 4, 5].

MRI-guided BT reveals the limitations of traditional intracavitary applicators. These limitations have encouraged the development of new applicators with interstitial components added to the basic intracavitary applicator,
using either metallic or plastic needles compatible with MRI. Some of the most widely used intracavitary applicators are based either on classic ring, named the Vienna applicator [6], or on ovoids, as the Utrecht applicator [7] (Vienna or Utrecht applicators, Nucletron, an Elekta company, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden). However, these applicators, even with an additional interstitial component, present limitations in covering the lesion when extension to parametrium exists. This is the case of patients with locally advanced cervical carcinomas with bulky parametrial extension (medial or distal), bulky primary disease or disease with poor response to external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), extensive paravaginal involvement extending to middle and lower third of the vagina, or disease that has invaded the bladder or rectum (stage IVA). In such cases, interstitial approaches based on templates such as the Martinez Universal Perineal Interstitial Template (MUPIT, Martinez Universal Perineal Interstitial Template) [8] or the Syed applicator (Nucletron, an Elekta company, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden) [9] have been recommended [10, 11].

These templates present two main issues. The first, the lack of an intracavitary component, and the second – the need to use CT-based treatment planning since both templates are non-MRI compatible. To overcome these disadvantages, and based on the experience at our institution with the MUPIT, a new template named Template Benidorm (TB) has been developed. This new template implements both titanium needles and intracavitary component. Therefore, it is fully MR compatible [12].

Catheter reconstruction based only on MRI is a challenge. Some markers may be used in order to visualize the source path in some endocavitary applicators. Many authors report the use of catheters filled with CuSO4 [13], saline water [14], or glycerine [15] for this purpose. However, the use of markers for the interstitial component with titanium needles is troublesome due to its small diameter and because they do induce susceptibility artefacts, particularly at the needle tip. GEC-ESTRO provides recommendations for commissioning and applicator reconstruction in 3D image-based treatment planning of cervix cancer brachytherapy [16].

Even though applicator reconstruction can be made in T2W sequences (especially if the reconstruction is based on a library of applicators implemented in the treatment planning system), a second set of images with better visualization of source channels may be used with T1W sequences, particularly if titanium applicators or needles are present [16, 17]. Many authors have reported the use of these kind of sequences [13, 18] and the use of the susceptibility artefact produced by the titanium for reconstruction purposes. However, in clinical practice, recognition of the void at the end of the needle is not always straightforward, since heterogeneities are present in tissues. That makes difficult to accurate determine the needle tip end in clinical conditions.

Hence, the purpose of this work is to present a new method to reconstruct brachytherapy interstitial implants where a large number of titanium needles are present (typical number of needles ranges between 16 and 20). Although we have used the perineal Template Benidorm [12], the method is easily extensible to other kind of templates. In addition, the tip location of the needles obtained with this method is performed when the reconstruction is based on 3D T1W and T2W sequences.

Furthermore, an inter-observer study has been performed. Two medical physicists did the reconstruction independently and then mean differences were measured.

### Material and methods

#### Description of the applicator

Rodriguez et al. have described the template Benidorm elsewhere in the literature [12]. Briefly, the template consists in two perineal plates with two central holes allowing placement of a vaginal cylinder available in different sizes to accommodate different vaginal lengths. These cylinders can also accommodate different intrauterine tubes with varying angles and lengths from Nucletron (Nucletron, an Elekta company, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Additionally, the plates are drilled with 12 rows of holes 1.1 cm apart to introduce straight and angled "Trocar Point" titanium needles 1.9 mm in diameter and 200 mm length provided by Nucletron.

The plates have three dimples where three A-vitamin pellets are placed. These pellets produce a high signal in both, T1W and T2W sequences, and are used in the reconstruction process (Figure 1). The number, location, and depth of the needles are decided by the physician and customized for each patient.

#### Image acquisition

The MRI scans of the patients were acquired with a 1.5 T MR imager (Optima MR 450w, software version D24, GE Medical Systems Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA). The patients were positioned with their arms in vertical position and free breathing. An eight-channel phased array receiver coil was used according to standard clinical MR protocols.

Two sets of cases were analyzed in this study. In the first set, the acquisition consisted of two types of sequences. The first sequence used for contouring approaches GEC-ESTRO recommendations. It consists in an axial T2 weighted fast recovery fast spin echo (FRFSE) sequence. A second type of sequence is acquired to enhance contrast between titanium needles and surrounding soft tissue. This sequence is used in the reconstruction process. It was a 3D T1-weighted fast radio frequency spoiled gradient recalled echo sequence, with frequency-selective fat-suppression for catheter reconstruction. In the second set of patients, the acquisition consists of only one type of sequence axial T2W FRFSE like the one previously mentioned but with a slice thickness reduced to 2 mm. This sequence used for both delineating and reconstruction. Table 1 summarizes some parameters of these MR sequences.

#### Applicator reconstruction

Clinical MR examinations were reconstructed for 16 patients of group 1 (3D T1W) and for 10 patients corresponding to group 2 (T2W). The reconstruction process
and planning was performed in the Oncentra Master Plan Treatment Planning System v4.3 from Nucletron (Nucletron, an Elekta company, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden). In the cases where an intrauterine tandem was present, it was reconstructed with the help of the MR marker [14]. For the needles, the proposed reconstruction method is based on the free length measured outside of the external template plate (Figure 1B) and the position of the A-vitamin pellets as follows.

The first step consists in the location of the centers of the three pellets on MR images. Since A-vitamin appears as a hyper-intense signal on a MRI, these pellets are easily identified on the acquisition study allowing us to obtain the coordinates of their centers, B1, B2, and B3. Figure 2 shows paraxial views where the A-vitamin pellets are clearly visible both in T1W and T2W.

The coordinates of these three points B1, B2, and B3, define the reference plane shown in Figure 1B. Additionally, two points describe the direction for each needle, which is a straight line. These points can be anywhere on the needle, so they are chosen by selecting two separate slices that present good image contrast. Figure 3A shows an example of a case reconstructed based on 3D T1W sequence. On the left-hand side, two para-axial images show the positions of points P1 and P2. The location of these two paraxial images is represented with a green line on the upper right (sagittal) and on the lower right (coronal) frames of Figure 3A. In the same way, an example of a case reconstructed based on T2W sequence is shown in Figure 3B.

The intersection of the line defined by P1 and P2 with the aforementioned reference plane, defines a point and, together with the free length of the needle, offset distance (7 mm), total length of the needle (200 mm), and some simple algebra, the coordinates of the needle tip are obtained (see Figure 4).

**Table 1.** Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) acquisition parameters

| MR parameter                  | MR sequence set 1 |         |         |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|
|                               | 3D T1W            | Axial T2W| Axial T2W|
| Direction                     | 3D                | Axial   | Axial   |
| Echo time (ms)                | Minimum           | 105     | 68      |
| Repetition time (ms)          | 3.4               | 4553    | 6100    |
| Echo train length             | –                 | 15      | 19      |
| Number of excitations         | 3                 | 4       | 4       |
| Matrix size                   | 256 × 224         | 360 × 256| 256 × 192|
| Section thickness (mm)        | 1.6               | 4       | 2       |
| Increment (mm)                | 0                 | 0       | 0       |
| Field-of-view (cm)            | 24                | 24      | 27      |
| Bandwidth (Hz)                | 62.5              | 31      | 25      |
Inter-observer analysis

To evaluate the reconstruction method, an inter-observer study was performed. Two different medical physicists independently reconstructed 3 implants for the first set of patients (3D T1W), with 16, 18, and 16 needles, and 3 implants for the second set of patients (T2W) with 15, 17, and 16 needles, which result in a total of 50 and 48 needles, respectively. The main source of variability comes from the selection of the points B1, B2, B3 as the centers of the A-vitamin pellets, and the choice of the P1 and P2 points for each needle on the MR images. Differences in the coordinates of the tip of the needles obtained by both observers were measured. Both mean values and standard deviations for each set of patients were reported.

Results

Applicator reconstruction

The algorithm have been implemented in a homemade spreadsheet and requires as input data the coordinates of the centers of the A-vitamin pellets (B1, B2, and B3), offset distance (7 mm), needle length (200 mm), the free length (measured by the physician during the insertion procedure), and two points (P1, P2) to define the direction of each needle. The output generated for each needle is its tip coordinates and the coordinate of the point defined by the intersection of the straight line defining the needle with the reference plane. At present, these data are introduced in the TPS manually.

During MR acquisition, the procedure is monitored to ensure that there is no patient movement in between the different sequences of images acquired. Figure 3 shows a typical example of needle coordinates determination on two different planes. The selection of the two points required for each needle is done according to the quality of the image. The planes chosen can be different depending on the particular needle analyzed. The points P1 and P2 can be selected anywhere on the needle, as mentioned above. However, in some slices, the small black signal produced by some needle may be difficult to distinguish due to heterogeneities in the surrounding tissue. This is not an issue, since one can easily select any other slice where the visibility is better. Figure 4 shows an example of a reconstructed needle in both T1W (left) and T2W (right). Visual inspection of the position of the tip relative to the void at the end of the needle is also used as an extra check for detecting errors during the reconstruction process.

Inter-observer analysis

Inter-observer differences on needles tips coordinates were small. For the patients of group 1, the mean difference was 0.20 mm with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.02 mm and a range of 0.03-0.75 mm. In the case of patients of group 2, the mean difference was 0.8 mm with a SD of 0.1 mm, a range of 0.2-1.1 mm.

Inter-observer variation was larger in the case of reconstruction based on T2 WI. This can be attributed to the slightly larger voxel size in the longitudinal direction. Since differences between observers in the reconstruction process are small (below 1 mm), we think that the main source of inaccuracy in the overall reconstruction process is the precise measurement of the free length of the needles during their insertion in the operating room. This is done with a ruler of 1 mm sensibility.

Discussion

It may be a matter of discussion if needles are really a straight line. On our experience, we have not reported any case where the needle had been bended during the insertion procedure, so the straight line obtained with two points fits well the void seen on MR images.

During commissioning and using phantom measurements, one can well correlate the actual tip of the needle with the black signal produced at its end on MR images.
Fig. 3. **A)** 3D T1W MRI images showing two different paraxial slices (left) and the choice of two points to determine the direction of one needle. Upper right and lower right show the position of points P1 and P2 in parasagittal and para-coronal views, respectively. **B)** T2 MRI images showing two different paraxial slices (left) and the choice of two points to determine the direction of one needle. Upper right and lower right show the position of points P1 and P2 in para-sagittal and para-coronal views, respectively.
Fig. 4. The needle tip obtained with our method and its position relative to the artifact in T1W (A) and T2W (B)

This is done by registering CT and MR scans and finding the position of the needle tip according to its position found in the CT scan. The procedure has been previously described in the work by Haack et al. [13]. Titanium needles produce a clear and reproducible artefact pattern. They generate bright regions in both sides of the needles in a coronal view that can be used to locate the tip position. Nevertheless, unlike phantoms, patient tissues surrounding the needles present heterogeneities that complicate the accurate identification of these artifact patterns, see Figure 5. By using the method presented in this paper, this is not an issue, since it does not depend on the visibility of the artefact at the end of every needle.

Applicator reconstruction for MRI based BT can be performed in the same sequence used for contouring and planning (mainly T2 WI) but some considerations have to be taken into account. The first one is whether reconstruction is based on library plans, where the geometry of the applicator is previously implemented in the TPS, or done directly from MR images. The former method applies well in the case of rigid applicators. In the second option, a spacing of 4 mm may be too large for reconstruction purposes since the sagittal or coronal reconstructed views presents sub-optimal resolution. To overcome this problem, a sagittal acquisition with small spacing may be performed. Nevertheless, in cases we focused in this manuscript, that is patients with large diseases, implants are large and a great number of images may be required to cover the extension of the whole implant. This may increase significantly the acquisition time and therefore make small spacing sagittal acquisition unpractical. In an
A practical MRI-based reconstruction method for a new gynecological template

A practical MRI-based reconstruction method for a new gynecological template

Conclusions

We have developed a new method for titanium needle direct reconstruction in interstitial implants based exclusively on MR images. It can be applied either to T1- or T2-weighted sequences allowing the use of only one sequence (T2W) either for contouring or reconstruction purposes. The proposed algorithm is robust, since it is independent of the visibility of the artefact at the end of the needle, and not prone to inter-observer variation. Furthermore, it can be implemented easily on a spreadsheet. The A-vitamin pellets can be used as anchor points when implementing the applicator into the library plan of the TPS. Therefore, the algorithm presented is simple and easy to implement into clinical practice.
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