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ABSTRACT: In the modern scientific age, sportsmen are being trained using highly sophisticated means for better achievement in their concerned sport. They are being exposed to the exercise and training methods which have proved beneficial for achieving high standards. The training programmes for sports are to be designed that they may favorably affect the physical and physiological variables associated with high performance capacity in that sport. The study was formulated as a true random group design. The subjects (n=30) were randomly assigned to three equal group of ten women each. The college women students of Alagappa College of Physical Education Karaikudi. They were divided into three equal groups namely Control Group (Group I) Intensive interval training (Group II) Extensive interval training (Group III). The subjects were tested in order to find out speed, Explosive power, Pulse rate, Breath holding time. The experimental group participated in intensive and extensive interval training for the period of six weeks. Dependent variables are Motor Ability Components (Speed, Explosive Power), Physiological Variables (Pulse rate, Breath holding time). Independent variables are Intensive interval training and Extensive Interval training. The pre and post test design employing ANCOVA technique was adopted. Intensive and Extensive interval training equally improved for the following factors of motor ability components namely speed, explosive power.

The six weeks of Intensive and extensive interval training might be significant improvement in speed, and explosive power, among the college women students. Intensive and extensive interval training equally improve for the following factors of physiological variables. The intensive and extensive interval training might be significant improvement of physiological variable resting pulse rate breath holding time among college women student. Key word: Intensive Interval Training, Extensive Interval Training

INTRODUCTION
In these days of explosive population growth and advanced technology, considerable emphasis is being laid on educating a citizen to maintain optimum level of fitness for personal efficiency and national progress all over the world. The present days is an age of automation, sophistication and technological wonders which were beyond man’s wildest dreams of a few years age. In spite of all the powerful and ever increasing efforts on the part of medical science in recent years, to make man aware of the fact that preventive maintains of his body and especially of his heart is only way to assure a long and healthy life of modern man it has not been observed [1-2].

In the modern scientific age, sportsmen are being trained using highly sophisticated means for better achievement in their concerned sport. They are being exposed to the exercise and training methods which have proved beneficial for achieving high standards. The training programmes for sports are to be designed that they may favorably affect the physical and physiological variables associated with high performance capacity in that sport [3-4].

A variety of training procedures are adopted to develop the physical fitness with emphasis on developing on or other factors more intensively through any method which will have some effect on all qualities to be developed. The training methods can be identified as speed training. The endurance schedules of stress loads undertaken by trainer and athletes.

MATERIALS AND METHOD:

To execute this investigation, the research scholar employed random sample of thirty subjects drawn at random among the college of physical education women student, Karaikudi. Their age ranges from eighteen to twenty five years. They are divided in to three equal groups namely control group (Group I) Intensive Interval training (Group II) Extensive Interval training (Group III). The pre and post test design employing ANCOVA technique was adopted. The initial test on selected variable well recorded for three groups. The Group I control group did not involved any training. The Group II were given Intensive Interval training and the Group III were given Extensive interval training. The initial and final results were recorded. Dependent variables are Motor Ability Components (Speed, Explosive Power), Physiological Variables (Pulse rate, Breath holding time). Independent variables are Intensive interval training and Extensive Interval training for the period of six weeks. The training groups were classified as follows.

1. Experimental Group II (Intensive interval training 3 days)
2. Experimental Group III (Extensive Interval training 3 days)

Maximal heart rate method of detraining the intensity of interval training was employed for the experimentation. The extensive training was based on 60 to 80 percent of intensity. But the intensive interval training was based on 80 to 90 percent intensity. The running distance was classified as 100m, 200m, 300m, 400m, the running distance was varied every day first 100m training second day 200m training third day 300m training fourth day 400m training, fifth day 100m again training and so on. The training was based on the interval method. The training schedule employed for an individual is presented below. This method was followed for the entire subject to determine the intensity of intensive and extensive interval training.

### TABLE - II

**INTENSIVE EXTENSIVE INTERVAL TRAINING WEEK SCHEDULE FOR AN INDIVIDUAL:**

| Days | Distance Meter | Running time (Sec) | Pulse | Repetition | Recovery |
|------|----------------|--------------------|-------|------------|----------|
| 1 day | 100 M          | 15 – 14 In 17 - 15 Ex | 180-192 150-180 | 4 – 6 In 6 – 8 Ex | 3 min 5 min |
| II day | 200 M          | 32-29 Sec 35-32      | 180-192 150-180 | 4 – 6 6 – 8 | 3 min 5 min |
| III day | 300 M         | 52 – 49 55 – 52      | 180-192 150-180 | 4 – 6 6 – 8 | 3 min 5 min |
| IV day | 400 M          | 80 – 70 90 – 80      | 180-192 150-180 | 4 – 5 5 – 7 | 5 min 7 min |
| V day  | 100 M          | 15 – 14 17 - 15      | 180-192 150-180 | 4 – 6 6 – 8 | 3 min 5 min |

### RESULTS:

**TABLE – III : COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS OF CO-VARIANCE OF SPEED**

|                  | Control Group | Experimental group - I | Experimental group – II | SV | Source of squares | df | Mean of square | Obtained f- ratio |
|------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----|------------------|----|---------------|------------------|
| Pre test         | 7.93          | 8.05                   | 7.95                    | B  | W 0.083           | 2  | 0.041         | 0.78             |
|                  |               |                        |                         |    | 1.43             |    | 0.053         |                  |
| Post test        | 7.98          | 7.95                   | 7.65                    | B  | W 0.59            | 2  | 0.292         | 5.711            |
|                  |               |                        |                         |    | 1.38             |    | 0.051         |                  |
| Adjusted Post test mean | 8.021 | 7.886                  | 7.693                   | B  | W 0.542           | 2  | 0.271         | 25.189           |
|                  |               |                        |                         |    | 0.280            |    | 0.011         |                  |

Table F-ratio at 0.05 level of confidence for 2 and 27 (df) = 3.35 and 2 and 26 (df) = 3.37.
TABLE – III a : COMPUTATION OF SCHEFFIS POST HOC TEST ORDERED ADJUSTED FINAL MEAN DIFFERENCES OF SPEED

| MEAN VALUE | Mean Difference | C.I | L.S |
|------------|-----------------|-----|-----|
| Control Group | Experimental group I | Experimental Group II | | |
| 8.021 | 7.886 | 0.135 | 0.129 |
| 7.886 | 7.696 | 0.19 | 0.129 |
| 8.021 | 7.696 | 0.325 | 0.129 |

The analysis of covariance of speed indicate that Experimental group I intensive interval training and the experimental group II extensive interval training were significantly improved the speed.

Shaver (1982) stated that the speed is mainly determined by the characteristics of the muscles fibers arrangement of the bone and the attachments of bone by ligaments, tendons, and adequate muscles strength. It primarily depends on the show twitch fibers and fast twitch fibers. Fast twitch fibers are generally characterized by high anaerobic capacity rapid contraction. Short fatigue time and ability to generate relatively large force fatigue time and ability to generate low force fatigue time and ability to generate low force. Due to training the involvement of fast twitch fibers, enzymes, co-enzymes actins myosin. Hence there was significant improvement in speed.
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TABLE IV: COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS CO-VARIANCE EXPLOSIVE POWER

| Groups | Control Group | Experimental Group I | Experimental Group II | SV | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | Obtained F ratio |
|--------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----|---------------|----|-------------|-----------------|
| Pre test mean | 1.82 | 1.94 | 1.97 | B W | 0.14 | 0.34 | 2 27 | 0.070 0.013 | 5.634* |
| Post test mean | 1.81 | 1.98 | 2.15 | B W | 0.59 | 0.31 | 2 27 | 0.294 0.011 | 25.686* |
| Adjusted Post test mean | 1.88 | 1.95 | 2.10 | B W | 0.19 | 0.09 | 2 26 | 0.095 0.004 | 26.732* |
TABLE IV a: COMPUTATION OF SCHEFF’S POST HOC TEST ORDER

| Mean Value | Mean difference | CI | L.S |
|------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| Control Group | Experimental Group I | Experimental Group II |
| 1.881 | 1.954 | 0.073 | 0.07 |
| 1.954 | 2.098 | 0.114 | 0.07 |
| 1.881 | 2.098 | 0.217 | 0.07 |

The analysis of co-variance of explosive power indicated that Experimental group I (Intensive interval training) were insignificant and experimental group II (extensive interval training) were significantly improved the explosive power. Intensive and extensive training enhance both elastic and contractile components of the muscles. Hence it has been successfully used to enhance power. It may also be noted that interval training increasing the height of jumps that are proceeded by counter movements but no have important effects on jumps initiated from a static crouch position. The test employed to assess the elastic power (Bunny hops) involves dynamic nature of movements and this may be the reason for intensive extensive training having produced better results.
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TABEL – V : COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS OF CO-VARIANCE OF PULSE RATE

| Groups | Control | Experimental Group I | Experimental Group II | SV | SS | df | Mean square | Obtained f ratio |
|--------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------|----|----|----|-------------|-----------------|
| Pre test mean | 74.400 | 73.800 | 72.200 | B W | 25.867 229.600 | 2 27 | 12.933 8.504 | 1.521* |
| Post test mean | 74.200 | 71.400 | 68.600 | B W | 156.80 228.400 | 2 27 | 78.400 8.459 | 9.268* |
| Adjusted post test mean | 73.363 | 71.101 | 69.735 | B W | 61.376 43.933 | 2 26 | 30.688 1.690 | 18.162* |
TABLE – V a: COMPUTATION OF SCHEFF"S POST HOC TEST ORDERED ADJUSTED FINAL MEAN DIFFERENCE OF RESTING PULSERATE

| Mean Value | Experimental Group I | Experimental Group II | Mean difference | CI | L.S |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| Control Group | 73.363 | 71.101 | 2.262 | 1.50 | |
| | 71.101 | 69.735 | 1.366 | 1.50 | |
| | 73.363 | 69.735 | 3.628 | 1.50 | |

The analysis of co-variance of resting pulse rate indicated that experimental groups I (Intensive training) were insignificant and Experimental group II (extensive training) were significantly improved the resting pulse rate.
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TABLE – VI : COMPUTATION OF ANALYSIS OF CO-VARIANCE OF BREATH HOLDING TIME

| Group           | Control group | Experimental group I | Experimental Group II | SV | SS   | df | Mean of square | Obtained f - ratio |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----|------|----|----------------|-------------------|
| Pre test        | 44.1          | 45.2                 | 44.5                  | B  | 66.2 | 2  | 3.1           | 0.73*             |
|                 |               |                      |                       | W  | 71.15| 27 | 4.26          |                   |
| Post test       | 45.4          | 51.2                 | 47.8                  | B  | 169.87| 2  | 84.94         | 24.48*            |
|                 |               |                      |                       | W  | 93.6 | 27 | 0.57          |                   |
| Adjusted post test | 43.65 | 47.3              | 44.1                  | B  | 87.04| 2  | 43.52         | 11.02*            |
|                 |               |                      |                       | W  | 102.6| 26 | 3.95          |                   |

* Significant

TABLE VI a : COMPUTATION OF SCHEFF"S POST HOC TEST ORDERED ADJUSTED FINAL MEAN DIFFERENCE OF BREATH HOLDING TIME

| Mean Value | Experimental Group I | Experimental Group II | Mean difference | CI | L.S |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----|-----|
| Control Group | 47.3               | 44.17                | 3.13            | 2.31 | |
| | 47.3               | 43.65                | 3.65             | 2.31 | |
| | 44.17              | 43.65                | 0.52             | 2.31 * |
The findings of two training method intensive interval training and Extensive interval training showed that there was significant improvement in breath holding capacity. Both training methods improve the lung capacity. Hence the comparison showed the significant difference in breath holding time.
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In this research the first hypothesis stated that the motor ability may not be better in Intensive interval training than the Extensive interval training.

**DISCUSSIONS:**

The findings of the study showed that there were significant effect due to influence of intensive interval training on motor ability components are better than the Extensive interval training on motor ability components. Hence the first hypothesis was accepted on the above said variable.

In this research the second hypothesis stated that the physiological variables (pulse rate, Breath holding time) may not be better in Intensive interval training than the Extensive interval training.

The findings of the study showed that there were significant effects due to the influence of Intensive interval training on physiological variables are better than the Extensive interval training on physiological variable. The intensive and extensive interval training made significant improvement in speed, explosive power physiological variable pulse rate, and breathe holding time. The training also made significant improvement in motor ability components and physiological variables.

**CONCLUSION:**

The following conclusions were drawn: Intensive and Extensive interval training equally improved for the following factors of motor ability components namely speed, explosive power. The six weeks of Intensive and extensive interval training might be significant improvement in speed, and explosive power, among the college women students.
Intensive and extensive interval training equally improve for the following factors of physiological variables. The six weeks of intensive and extensive interval training might be significant improvement of physiological variable resting pulse rate breath holding time among college women student.
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