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Abstract  The Pamir plateau protrudes ∼300 km between the Tajik- and Tarim-basin lithosphere of Central Asia. Whether its salient location and shape are caused by forceful indentation of a promontory of Indian mantle lithosphere is debated. We present a new local-seismicity and focal-mechanism catalog, and a P-wave velocity model of the eastern part of the collision system. The data suggest a south-dipping Asian slab that overturns in its easternmost segment. The largest principal stress at depth acts normal on the slab and is orientated parallel to the plate convergence direction. In front (south) of the Asian slab, a volume of mantle with elevated velocities and lined by weak seismicity constitutes the postulated Indian mantle indenter. We propose that the indenter delaminates and overturns the Asian slab, underthrusts the Pamir lithosphere along a compressive transform boundary, and controls the location and shape of the Pamir plateau.

Plain Language Summary  The Pamir plateau stands out between the Tajik basin to the west and the Tarim basin to the east. Its location and shape are either caused by a part of the Indian continent that protrudes below Pamir’s crust, or thinned lithosphere of a former Asian basin existed in the place of the Pamir and subducted during the collision of India with Asia. Our new seismological data show that the Asian slab—a displaced part or slice of the Tarim-Tajik-basin lithosphere—is overturned beneath the eastern Pamir. A zone of high seismic velocities, indicative of a relatively cold and rigid mantle lithosphere, occurs in front (south) of the Asian slab. A seismically active zone with low seismic velocities is squeezed between this structure and the Tarim lithosphere. Together, these observations trace the northern and eastern margin of the Indian mantle indenter that predefines the shape of the Pamir plateau.

1. Introduction

The salient Pamir plateau is part of the India-Asia collision system. It is offset by ∼300 km to the north in relation to the adjacent Tibet plateau and protrudes between the Tajik basin in the west and the cratonic block of the Tarim basin in the east (e.g., Lu et al., 2008). The northern Pamir and the Kunlun of northwestern Tibet comprise subduction-accretion-arc complexes accreted to and built on Asian continental basement. The central and southern Pamir and the Karakorum and Hindu Kush represent Gondwana-derived microcontinents and subduction-accretion-arc complexes (Figure 1; Burtman & Molnar, 1993; Schwab et al., 2004).

Beneath the Pamir, a band of intermediate-depth (50–250 km) earthquakes, extending from the southwestern Pamir northeastward into the central Pamir, bends eastward, and shows diminished earthquake activity beneath the eastern Pamir (Figure 2; Pegler & Das, 1998; Sipp, Schurr, Yuan, et al., 2013). Receiver function images (Schneider et al., 2013) and the analysis of guided waves (Mechie et al., 2019) show that the earthquakes in the western and central Pamir reside in a 10–15 km thick, E- to S-dipping low velocity zone (LVZ) connected to the Asian lithosphere; seismic velocities indicate that the LVZ represents continental crust, which has—together with the underlying mantle lithosphere—been interpreted as the Asian slab (Mechie et al., 2019; Schneider et al., 2013; Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013). Beneath the northwestern Kunlun,
diffuse seismicity at 100–150 km depth was attributed to Tarim lithosphere underthrusting the Pamir (Fan et al., 1994; Pegler & Das, 1998).

To understand the oroclinal shape of the Pamir, the intermediate-depth seismicity beneath the Hindu-Kush, Pamir and Kunlun, and the along-strike changes of the deep structure from the Hindu-Kush through the Pamir to Tibet and the Himalaya, it is a key to know whether Asian lithosphere subducts as a narrow, back-rolling slab of thinned crust (Burtman & Molnar, 1993; Sobel et al., 2013) or if Asian lower crust and mantle lithosphere is forced to subduct/delaminate due to indentation by cratonic Indian mantle lithosphere (Kufner et al., 2016; Metzger et al., 2017). If an indenter governs the shape of the Pamir plateau, its properties can best be characterized at its margins, where it interacts with and has a detectable contrast to the bounding units. For the western margin, Kufner, Schurr, et al. (2018) argued that a sinistral-oblique transform margin separates indenting cratonic Indian mantle lithosphere beneath the Pamir from subducting Indian continental-margin lithosphere below the Hindu-Kush. The subduction model postulates rollback of a narrow Asian slab of thinned continental crust that involves mantle corner flow and a subduction-transform edge propagator fault, separating the subducting Asian slab and its hanging wall from the Tarim block to the east. Geophysical data indicate that the hinterland crust is not thinned (>50 km; Schneider et al., 2019), questioning the premise of the rollback model, because thick buoyant continental crust typically does not subduct beneath a continent as a whole (e.g., Kelly & Beaumont, 2021; Z.-H. Li et al., 2016). The indentation model involves forced Asian slab subduction and delamination due to flat-slab underthrusting of a mechanically strong Indian continental lithospheric mantle indenter, a process recently

Figure 1. Tectonic units of the Pamir in map view and as a schematic cross section along ~74°E. Deep structure mostly from (Schneider et al., 2013). KES: Kongur Extensional System; KF: Karakorum Fault; KYTS: Kashgar-Yecheng Transfer System; MPTS: Main Pamir Thrust System; SKFS: Sarez-Karakul Fault System.
modeled for the Pamir (Kelly & Beaumont, 2021). The indenter is imaged by refraction seismology and local body wave tomography as a high velocity zone (HVZ) south of the Asian slab (Mechie et al., 2012; Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013). Teleseismic body and surface wave tomography shows that it connects with the exposed Indian craton (e.g., Agius & Lebedev, 2013; C. Li et al., 2008; Liang et al., 2020; van Hinsbergen et al., 2019); its northern extent remained unresolved due to the smearing of the indenter HVZ with the HVZ that represents cratonic Asia.

Herein, intermediate-depth earthquakes, focal-mechanism based stress data, and a P-wave velocity ($V_p$) model derived from new and published local seismological data in companionship with new receiver functions (Xu et al., 2021) illuminate the lithospheric configuration of the central and eastern Pamir and the boundary zone with the Tarim craton. Our data characterize the northern tip of an indenter—interpreted as a promontory of Indian mantle lithosphere—and its eastern edge, where it underthrusts on the lithosphere of the Tarim block.
2. Data and Methods

We used seismograms recorded with two new local seismic networks that were in operation between August 2015 and July 2017 in the eastern Pamir, northwestern Kunlun, and northwestern Tarim basin (Text S1 in Supporting Information S1; Yuan, Schurr, Bloch, et al., 2018; Yuan, Schurr, Kufner, & Bloch, 2018) and additional regional stations (PMP International (Tajikistan), 2005; SEISDMC, 2021). We detected seismic events using a waveform-envelope-coherence-based approach (Comino et al., 2017) and picked P- and S-wave arrival times using calibrated automatic picking algorithms (Text S2 in Supporting Information S1; Aldersons, 2004; Diehl et al., 2009).

Using additional data of an existing earthquake catalog from the western and central Pamir (Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013), we inverted for the 3-D subsurface $V_p$ structure (Thurber, 1983). We masked out poorly resolved volumes of the tomogram based on a checkerboard resolution test and performed synthetic recovery tests for the anomalies that are most important to our interpretation (Text S3 and Figures S1–S11 in Supporting Information S1).

We jointly located the newly and previously (Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013) detected seismicity at intermediate depth in the 3-D $V_p$ model, assessed location uncertainties (Lomax et al., 2000) and performed a relative event relocation for events that were <10 km apart (Waldhauser & Ellsworth, 2000) (Text S4 and Figures S12–S15 in Supporting Information S1), yielding a unified catalog of 1,493 events at intermediate depth.

We determined focal mechanisms of the strongest of the newly located events and inverted for the deviatoric unit stress tensor from which we report the orientation of the three principal axes ($\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3$), orientation uncertainties, and relative stress magnitudes (Text S5 and Figure S16 in Supporting Information S1). The seismicity catalog (Data Set S1), focal mechanism catalog (Data Set S2), and the $V_p$ structure (Data Set S3) are published in the Supporting Information S1 and Bloch, Schurr et al. (2021).

3. Seismicity

Crustal seismicity of the upper 30 km is dominated by the aftershock sequences of strong earthquakes that struck the Pamir in 2015/16 (Bloch, Metzer, et al., 2021) and is omitted from the main figures. The middle and lower crust (30–50 km depth) is essentially aseismic (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). Intermediate-depth earthquakes in the central and eastern Pamir could be localized with a median (5%–95% quantile) uncertainty of 2.3 (1.1–6.4) km in longitudinal direction, 2.0 (1.0–5.0) km in latitude and 3.2 (1.8–9.4) km in depth (Figure S15 in Supporting Information S1). They outline three steeply dipping, planar to curviplanar segments separated by regions of sparse seismicity (Figures 2 and 3).

Segment 1 begins at 72.8°E, 38°N, in continuation of the NE-striking, planar, seismically active structure farther to the southwest (Figure 2; Schneider et al., 2013; Sippl, Schurr, Yuan, et al., 2013). It forms an S- to SE-dipping band between 73.0°E and 74.3°E, and shows vigorous seismicity between 70–180 km depth in its easternmost part (Figures 3a and S12 in Supporting Information S1); farther east, seismic activity decreases.

Segment 2 in the eastern Pamir—in the direct continuation of segment 1—contains a few earthquakes at 50–80 km depth in a S-dipping structure. Below, at 80–170 km depth, the earthquake-defined band dips N (Figure 2, dotted lines in Figures 3b and S14g–S14i in Supporting Information S1). Seismicity in segment 2 is less intense compared to segment 1 (Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1).

Seismicity in segment 3 forms a continuous, NNW-striking structure at 80–120 km depth between 37°N and 38°N; it follows the northwestern Kunlun (Figures 2 and 3c). Seismic activity is comparably weak (Figure S12 in Supporting Information S1).

In all segments, focal mechanisms show dominantly thrust and subordinately strike-slipfaulting. Accordingly, the regional stress tensor at intermediate depth indicates a thrust regime with a near-horizontal $\sigma_1$, trending N13°W ±60° (95% confidence interval) and near vertical $\sigma_3$ (Figure 2). Inverting for the stress of the three segments separately yields similar directions, despite strongly variable uncertainties due to the disparate amounts of data (Figure S16 in Supporting Information S1). The azimuth of $\sigma_1$ is about parallel to
Figure 3. Sections through the tomogram. (a–c) Profiles shown on overview map; swath width ±25 km; no vertical exaggeration in the depth profiles. Dark/light magenta: Receiver function Moho at individual stations and interpolated depth (Schneider et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2021). (d–g) Horizontal sections. TH, PH, H1, H2, H3: high $V_p$ zones. TL, PL, L1, L2, L3, AL: low $V_p$ zones. Poorly resolved areas were masked based on a resolution test (Text S3 in Supporting Information S1). Relative $V_p$ anomalies with respect to the background model are shown in Figure S11 in Supporting Information S1.
the azimuth of the GNSS vectors in the southern and central Pamir (south of 38.8°N, N12°W ±4° (Figure 2; Ischuk et al., 2013; Zubovich et al., 2010).

4. Velocity Structure

In the shallow crust, the sedimentary rock section of the Tarim basin is characterized by $V_p < 5 \text{ km/s}$ ($TL$ in Figures 3b–3d). In the middle-lower crust, the Tarim basin appears discontinuously with $V_p = 6.5$–7.5 km/s ($TH$ in Figures 3c and 3e) close to the poor-resolution rim of the tomographic volume. A LVZ is located in the mantle of northwestern Tarim ($AL$ in Figure 3g). An arcuate crustal LVZ with $V_p = 5$–6 km/s—lower than the overburden and the background velocity at this depth (Figure S1a in Supporting Information S1)—extends below the northern Pamir, the Kongur Extensional System, and the northwestern Kunlun ($PL$ in Figures 3a–3c and 3e). It is sandwiched between the Tarim basement $TH$ and a zone of higher $V_p = 6$–7 km/s in the central Pamir ($PH$ in Figures 3a and 3e). Recovery tests indicate that $PH$ and $PL$ can be resolved under the given ray geometry and are not smearing artifacts form the anomalies below (Figures S10a and S10b in Supporting Information S1).

A good agreement with the receiver function Moho can be accomplished when defining the tomographic Moho at $V_p = 8 \text{ km/s}$. At mantle depths (>70 km), dipping LVZs with respect to the background model are located above the seismicity in segments 1–3 (7–8 km/s, $L1$, $L2$, $L3$ in Figures 3a–3c and 3f). The LVZs $L2$ and $L3$ of segments 2 and 3 appear continuous in map view (Figure 3f), but are separated by the seismicity of segment 2 (Figure 3b). The seismically active structures are underlain by HVZs (8.5–9.5 km/s, $H1$, $H2$, $H3$ in Figures 3a–3c and 3g) and have the same dip as the LVZs above. The contrast between the LVZs and the underlying HVZs is well resolved (Figures S10a, S10b and S10d in Supporting Information S1). The location and dip of $L2$ and $L3$ coincide with Moho troughs identified in receiver functions (Figure S17 in Supporting Information S1; Xu et al., 2021), substantiating our observations. The HVZs are resolved to a depth of 105–120 km (Figures S10b and S10d in Supporting Information S1), $H1$ and $H2$ are continuous along strike below ~105 km depth (Figure 3g), $H2$ and $H3$ touch, but are separated by seismicity in the same way as $L2$ and $L3$ (Figures 3b and 3g). $L1$ and $H1$ as well as $L3$ and $H3$ dip in the same direction as the seismicity (Figures 3a and 3c).

5. Interpretation and Discussion

We visualize our interpretation of the lithospheric architecture of the central and eastern Pamir in the block diagram of Figure 4. The occurrence of earthquakes at intermediate depth requires a process that facilitates seismic failure despite high temperatures, because ductile deformation dominates below 20–30 km depth for quartz- and feldspar-, and below 50 km for olivine-dominated lithologies (Brace & Kohlstedt, 1980; Tullis & Yund, 1992). Eclogite-facies metamorphism has been found to excite intermediate-depth seismicity in oceanic subduction regimes (Incel et al., 2017; Kita et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2000), as well as in continental lower crustal rocks (Incel et al., 2019; Jamtveit et al., 2018; John et al., 2009). Receiver function images show that upon eclogitization (in the broadest sense), the crust may become indistinguishable from the surrounding mantle in terms of seismic velocities (Rondenay et al., 2008); it may therefore yield the pattern of a LVZ shaping a local Moho trough that disappears at larger depths where the seismicity that we observe in the three segments starts. It may additionally cause densification of the slab that would promote subduction under its own weight (Ringwood & Green, 1966). The imaged velocities of $L1$, $L2$ and $L3$ (7–8 km/s) that are too high for non-eclogitized crust may either indicate already partial eclogitization at the onset of subduction, or result from smearing of a possibly only 10–15 km thick anomaly onto the arbitrarily but generally wider positioned inversion nodes (Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013); the large thickness of $L1$ may result from additional pooling of more buoyant middle crust on top of the down-going plate (Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013). Correspondingly, Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al. (2013) and Mechie et al. (2019) inferred eclogitization of the lower crust of segment 1 and that this lower crust hosts the band of intermediate-depth earthquakes. In our tomogram, we interpret $L1$ as the lower crust and $H1$ as the mantle lithosphere of the Asian slab (Figure 3a).

The aseismic mid-crustal LVZ $PL$ (Figures 3a–3c and 3e) may represent a heated rock volume, for example developed by excess radiogenic heat production in the thickened crust, viscous dissipation due to ongoing
continental collision (e.g., Bird et al., 1975; Burg & Gerya, 2005) or accumulation of slab-derived fluids (Mechie et al., 2019). We can exclude anisotropy effects for PL, as seismic ray directions are well distributed (Figures S6–S9 in Supporting Information S1) and local shear-wave splitting measurements show only short delay times for the crust (Kufner, Eken, et al., 2018). Synthetic tests (Figures S10a and S10b in Supporting Information S1) and the detection of PL with surface wave tomography preclude vertical smearing from the anomalies below (W. Li et al., 2018). Most importantly, we consider heating due to asthenospheric inflow, as would be expected in the hanging wall of a S-dipping subduction zone, as unlikely, because the tomogram does not show a LVZ—characteristic of an asthenospheric wedge—south of the seismic zone; in contrast, subcrustal P-wave velocities are >8km/s with large HVZs (>8.5 km/s) embedded (e.g., H3), indicating relatively cold and rigid lithospheric mantle there.

The N-dip of the seismically active segment 2 can be traced ~100 km along strike in narrowly adjoining profiles between 75.1 to 75.9°E (Figures S14g–S14j in Supporting Information S1) and is robust with respect to the choice of the $V_p$ model (Figures S13g–S13j in Supporting Information S1). We interpret segment 2 as the eastern continuation of the S-dipping segment 1 of the Asian slab, because of the similar depth extent.
of the seismic zone and the continuity of the underlying HVZ (Figures 2, 3a, 3b and 3g). The dip reversal suggests that the slab overturns below ~80 km depth (Figures 2 and 3b). Overturning in turn indicates that a force acts normal to the slab, which we expect in the presence of a pushing indenter. We attribute the seismicity gap between segments 1 and 2 to a slab tear that may explain how the slab dip changes over a relatively short distance (~40 km). In our interpretation, the Asian slab terminates in a seismicity cluster below the Kashgar-Yecheng Transfer System at 76.2°E (Figure 2), where, in a delamination scenario, it would need to be torn off Tarim’s lithosphere to the east, where it would have originally been attached to. If instead segment 2 is separated from segment 1 and forms a continuous unit with segment 3, the Asian slab would terminate at ~74.5°E and the along-strike correlation of seismicity and H1 and H2 between segments 1 and 2 would be a coincidence.

In the northwestern Kunlun, L3 and H3 dip ~ENE and descend from the base of the Pamir crust in front of segment 2, a geometry that is also imaged by receiver functions (Figure S17 in Supporting Information S1; Xu et al., 2021). Together with the location of the seismicity band of segment 3 in front of segment 2, this geometry is inconsistent with a semicircular, amphitheater-like continuation of the Asian slab below Kunlun, but requires association of seismicity and L3 with another tectonic unit (see below).

The orientation of $\sigma_1$ at depth indicates that a N13°W compressive stress field acts on the deep structure of the Pamir. The stress orientation is stable across the three segments (Figure S16 in Supporting Information S1), although uncertainty for the individual segments may become significant, due to the varying data availability. In contrast to the observed compression, N-S extension should occur south of the slab (in segment 3), if deformation was governed by a narrow Asian slab rolling back northward (Z.-H. Li et al., 2016). We note that compressive stresses are sub-parallel to the N12°W (±8°)-oriented surface velocity of the southern and central Pamir crust (e.g., Ischuk et al., 2013; Metzger et al., 2020; Zubovich et al., 2010). Both are deflected about 15° counterclockwise from the N4°E-oriented convergence direction between India and Asia (DeMets et al., 1994). Parallelism of the orientation of the southern and central Pamir’s surface displacement between the Sarez-Karakul Fault System and the Kongur Extensional System with $\sigma_1$ at depth suggests that crustal movement is prescribed by the mantle stresses, with the mantle lithosphere dragging the overlying Pamir crust south of the Asian slab northward. For segments 1 and 2, parallelism of $\sigma_1$ and surface displacement vectors arises naturally if collision occurs at an indenter tip. In summary, the repeated detection of HVZ H3 south of the Asian slab (this study; Mechie et al., 2012; Sippl, Schurr, Tympel, et al., 2013) that excludes asthenospheric inflow above a back-rolling subduction zone, the overturned geometry of segment 2 indicated by a change in the dip of the seismic zone, and the NNW-SSE compressive stress field across the central and eastern Pamir at mantle depth (50–100 km) that is parallel to surface displacement, support the presence of an indenter below the Pamir.

The indenter is most likely cratonic Indian lithosphere, because the Gondwana-terrane lithosphere of the central and southern Pamir and Karakorum terranes would be too weak to transmit enough force to delaminate and overturn the Asian slab (Kelly & Beaumont, 2021). We locate the delamination front at the base of the rheologically weak mid-crustal LVZ PL (red line in Figure 4), just north of the Asian slab. The present location and form of the Pamir and the Asian slab is in this interpretation governed by the shape of the indenter. Additional structural complexity, such as the location of slab tears or turn-overs, may be due to lateral changes in the strength of the indented Asian lithosphere or the along-strike variability of the indenter tip (Kelly & Beaumont, 2021; Z.-H. Li et al., 2016). For example PH, which overlies a distinctive Moho bulge in segment 1 (Figure 3a; Schneider et al., 2019), may represent a lithosphere-scale anticline; in segment 1, the top of the indenter appears to rise higher than in segment 2 and in particular in segment 3 (Figure 4).

The ENE-dipping Moho trough (Figure S17 in Supporting Information S1; Xu et al., 2021) and $V_P$ anomalies (L3 and H3) can, in this scenario, be interpreted as Pamir crust and indenter mantle lithosphere that underthrust the Asian (Tarim) mantle lithosphere (Figure 3c). The earthquakes may, as in the Asian slab, occur in thickened crust undergoing eclogitization (Incel et al., 2019; John et al., 2009). This crust is likely dragged to depth between the bulldozing indenter and the margin of the Tarim block. The stress field of the earthquakes inside the underthrusting crust L3 indicates that it moves with the NNW-ward moving indenter and underthrusts the Tarim hanging wall at a highly oblique angle. As the receiver function and interpreted tomographic Moho both dip ~WSW beneath the northwestern Kunlun east of L3 (Figure 3c; Xu et al., 2021), we infer that Tarim underthrusts the northwestern Kunlun as well, building a stack of (from top to bottom)
Kunlun-Tarim-Pamir crust (Figure 4c). This excess crust may be responsible for a positive anomaly in the isostatic gravity residual (20-mGal-contour in Figure 2; Balmino et al., 2012) that flanks the northern edge of the Tibet plateau (Figure 2, inset), and was interpreted to represent thrusting of Tarim crust under the western and central Kunlun (Wittlinger et al., 2004).

In concert with the lack of thinned hinterland crust (Schneider et al., 2019) the herein deduced configuration of the tectonic units and the transpressive stress field in the intermediate-depth seismic zone of segment 3 preclude subduction of Asia at its (almost) entire thickness (Burtman & Molnar, 1993; Sobel et al., 2013). The detection of H3 that is likely linked to a HVZ at ~200 km depth that has been imaged with teleseismic body and surface wave tomography and connects with the exposed Indian craton (Agius & Lebedev, 2013; C. Li et al., 2008; van Hinsbergen et al., 2019), yields a coherent picture of a promontory of Indian mantle lithosphere that underthrusts the Karakorum and the southern and central Pamir plateau between the Sarez-Karakul Fault System and the Kongur Extensional System, more than 300 km beyond the Indus suture (Figure 1). The narrow but far north reaching extent of the indenter in the Pamir suggests a strong along-strike segmentation of the northern rim of the Indian plate; it subducts under the Hindu-Kush (Kufner et al., 2021), indents in the Pamir (this study; Kufner et al., 2016) and has variable dip angles and locations beneath the rest of Tibet (e.g., Zhao et al., 2010).

6. Conclusion

The presence of an Indian mantle indenter can be inferred beneath the Pamir plateau through its high seismic velocities ($V_P > 8.5$ km/s) and the compressional stress it exerts on the overturned Asian slab. It is the farthest underthrusting part of India and the only one that refuses to subduct along the entire India-Asia plate boundary. Its plateau-defining shape needs to be accurately represented in tectonic models and gives rise to questions about the characteristics of the continental margin before collision. The likely cratonic nature of the indenter demonstrates the behavior of such lithosphere in a collision setting and can be used as a benchmark for geodynamic models.

Data Availability Statement

Seismic data was handled using obspy (Krischer et al., 2015) and pyrocko (Heimann et al., 2017). Figures were created with the help of the Generic Mapping Tools (Wessel et al., 2013) and maplotlib (Hunter, 2007), using scientific color-maps (Crameri et al., 2020). Seismic data are archived by GEOFON data center (https://doi.org/10.14470/3U7560589977, https://doi.org/10.14470/4U7561589984). The seismic event catalog, focal mechanism catalog and P-wave velocity model are available through GFZ data services (https://doi.org/10.5880/fidgeo.2021.035; Bloch, Schurr et al., 2021).
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