Counterflow superfluid of polaron pairs in Bose-Fermi mixtures in optical lattices
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We study the quantum phases of one-dimensional Bose-Fermi mixtures in optical lattices. Assuming repulsive interparticle interactions, equal mass, and unit total filling, we calculate the ground-state phase diagram by means of both Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid theory and time-evolving block decimation method. We demonstrate the existence of a counterflow superfluid (CFSF) phase of polaron pairs, which are composite particles consisting of two fermions and two bosonic holes, in a broad range of the parameter space. We find that this phase naturally emerges in $^{174}$Yb-$^{172}$Yb mixtures, realized in recent experiments, at low temperatures.

Previous studies of these systems in the strong-coupling limit have predicted phase separation (PS), Fermi liquid of polarons, spin-density wave (SDW), and CFSF with p-wave pairing of the polarons [17, 18]. This p-wave CFSF is fascinating also in the sense that it can be regarded as a topological superfluid [24], which has been extensively discussed in the contexts of liquid $^3$He [25] and the compound of Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ [26]. However, in direct simulations of the BF-Hubbard model [12, 27, 32], the presence of this exotic state has not been confirmed.

In this Letter, we show that the PP-CFSF phase is naturally realized in Yb mixtures, which constitutes the first numerical demonstration of this phase in the BF-Hubbard model. In Fig. we show the quantum phase diagram of the one-dimensional (1D) BF-Hubbard model, obtained with the quasi-exact time-evolving block decimation (TEBD) method [33], supported by TLL theory. The Hamiltonian of the system is [34]:

$$H = -\sum_{a,j} t_a (c_{a,i}^\dagger c_{a,j+1} + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{j} \epsilon_j n_{a,j} + U_{bb} \sum_{j} n_{b,j}(n_{b,j}-1) + U_{bf} \sum_{j} n_{b,j} n_{f,j},$$

where $t_a$ is the tunneling energy of particle type $a = b, f$. $\epsilon_j$ denotes the external potential, and $n_{a,j} = c_{a,j}^\dagger c_{a,j}$. $U_{bb}$ and $U_{bf}$ denote the on-site interactions. For a homogeneous system, we define the filling fractions $\nu_a \equiv \langle n_{a,j} \rangle$. Inspired by $^{174}$Yb-$^{172}$Yb mixtures reported in Ref. [9], we assume that $U_{aa'} > 0$, $t \equiv t_b = t_f$, and $\nu \equiv \nu_f + \nu_b = 1$. In the phase diagrams in Fig. we vary $t/U_{bb}$, $U_{bf}/U_{bb}$, and $\nu_b - \nu_f$. We find that the PP-CFSF phase occupies a broad regime between the SDW and PS regions, which is approximately $1 < U_{bb}/U_{bf} < 2$ and $t/U_{bb} < 0.3$ when $\nu_b = \nu_f = 0.5$. Since $U_{bf}/U_{bb} \simeq 1.32$ in $^{174}$Yb-$^{172}$Yb mixtures, the ground state of the system is expected to be the PP-CFSF state. In the following, we first map out the ground-state phase diagram of the homogeneous system ($\nu = 1/2$) varying $t \equiv t/U_{bb}$, $u \equiv U_{bf}/U_{bb}$, and $\Delta \nu \equiv \nu_b - \nu_f$ to find the PP-CFSF phase. To connect closely to experiments, we also confirm that the PP-
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The action describing the mixture is shown as an orange dashed-dotted line. (c) The phase diagram from TEBD is depicted in the (∆, i.e. polaron pairing (PP) and density wave (DW), in the two-component TLL region. The black dotted line in (b) represents the phase fields, and Θ in the presence of a parabolic trapping potential.

The renormalization group (RG) flow equations for this system were derived in Ref. [11]: its qualitative behavior is that of a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition [37]. S_{uk} can either be irrelevant, g_{uk} → 0, or relevant, g_{uk} → ∞. In Fig. 1(a), the boundary between these regimes is depicted by the purple thick-solid curve. The relevant regime has two subregimes, namely the strong-coupling regime, in which g_{uk} diverges rapidly, and the cross-over regime, in which g_{uk} initially decreases. We estimate the boundary between these by the initial sign of the prefactor of the g_{uk} flow equation (see Ref. [11]). Using the above estimates we find U_{bf,c} = 16t^2/U_{bb}(\sin(\pi v_b))^2(1 - 4(\nu_b/U_{bb})\cos(\pi v_b)), depicted by the blue dashed line in Fig. 1(a). The orders indicated in this figure are the dominant quasi-long-range order (QLRO), i.e. the order parameter O(x) whose correlation function exhibits the slowest algebraic decay as \langle O(x)O(0)\rangle \sim |x|^{-\alpha}, with α < 2, see e.g. Ref. [39]. In the regime where S_{uk} is irrelevant, we find that the most dominant QLRO is always the superfluid of bosons dressed with fermions whose order parameter is O^{DB} = \exp(-i\Phi_f)\psi_b with a real number η [22, 23]. For the fermionic sector we find a competition of the 2k_f component of the density operator of the fermions, O^{DW} = ρ_f, describing a spontaneous density modulation, and a polaron pairing operator O^{PP} = ψ_{f,l}^\dagger ψ_f, with λ a continuously varying parameter.

For relevant S_{uk}, the total density is frozen out, which suggests a strong-coupling expansion leading to the following spinless Fermi-Hubbard model [17, 18],

\[ H_t = -J \sum \langle f_j^\dagger f_{j+1} + H.c. \rangle + V \sum_m m_j m_{j+1} \]

where \( f_j \sim c_{j,f} c_{j,b}^\dagger \) is the polaron annihilation operator, consisting of an original fermion and a bosonic hole: \( m_j \equiv f_j^\dagger f_j, \quad V = 2(\nu_b^2 + \nu_f^2)/U_{bf} - (4\nu_b^2)/U_{bb} \), and...
\[ J = (2t_{hJ})/U_{bf}, \quad f_J \text{ experiences no external field. The model of Eq. (3) is solvable by Bethe ansatz, see e.g. Ref. [39]. For } V/J > 2 \text{ and } \nu_p \equiv \langle m_j \rangle = 0.5, \text{ i.e., } (0 <) u < \frac{1}{2}(1 - g)^2 \text{ and } \nu_f = \nu_f, \text{ where } g \equiv f_J/t_{bf}, \text{ Néel order with wave number } k = \pi/d \text{ emerges. This phase is not present when } t_{bf} = t_J. \text{ For } V/J < -2, \text{ i.e. } u > \frac{1}{2}(1 + g)^2, \text{ strong attraction leads to the collapse of the polaron gas, i.e. phase separation of the original mixture. The remaining region is a TLL of polarons. For positive } V, \text{ i.e., } u < \frac{1}{2}(1 + g)^2, \text{ the dominant QLRO is density wave ordering, corresponding to a SDW phase of the original mixture. For negative } V, \text{ i.e. } u > \frac{1}{2}(1 + g)^2, \text{ the dominant QLRO is triplet polaron-pairing, i.e. the PP-CFSF phase, as indicated in Fig. 1(a).}

Having established this phase analytically, we now corroborate its existence numerically, and demonstrate its stability in a trapped system. We calculate the ground-state phase diagram using the TEBD method for open boundaries \([53] \) via imaginary-time propagation. We fix the number of lattice sites to \( L = 80 \).

The TEBD method is a variant of the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG), which allows to accurately calculate ground states of much larger systems than those tractable with exact diagonalization used in Ref. [27]. In addition, it has the advantage that any correlation function can be calculated efficiently, in contrast to quantum Monte Carlo methods used in Refs. [28] [30], for example. Although DMRG has been applied to the BF-Hubbard model in Refs. [31] [32], the PP-CFSF phase has not been identified and explored, as we do here.

We first determine the transition from the two-component TLL to the Mott insulator, at which the correlation function for the bosonic superfluid \( C_B(r) = \langle c_{h,r}^\dagger c_{h,r} \rangle \) switches from algebraic to exponential decay. We choose \( h \equiv L/2 \). In Fig. 2 we plot \( C_B(r) \), the polaronic correlation function \( C_P(r) \equiv \langle c_{h,r}^\dagger c_{h,r} \rangle \) and the polaron-pair correlation function \( C_{PP}(r) \equiv \langle (O_{r}^{PP})^{\dagger} O_{r}^{PP} \rangle \), where \( O_{r}^{PP} = c_{f,j+1}^\dagger c_{b,j}^\dagger c_{f,j} c_{b,j+1} \). \( C_P(r) \) decays algebraically and oscillates with the Fermi wave-number \( k_f = \pi \nu_f / d \) as \( C_P(r) \sim \sin(k_f r d) |r|^{-\alpha_P} \) at long distances. \( C_{PP}(r) = \langle (O_{r}^{PP})^{\dagger} O_{r}^{PP} \rangle \) decays algebraically as \( C_{PP}(r) \sim |r|^{-\alpha_{PP}}. \) As shown in Fig. 2(a) (Fig. 2(b), \( C_B(r) \) (\( C_P(r) \)) decays more slowly in the two-component TLL (Mott insulating) regime. By fitting the correlation functions with \( f(r) = \gamma |r|^{-\alpha}, \) where \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are fitting parameters, we extract the exponents \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) as functions of \( t \) in Fig. 3(a). For small \( t \), the exponent for the bosonic correlation \( \alpha_B \) exceeds that of the polaronic correlation \( \alpha_P \) at what we define as the transition point. By this, we locate the Mott transition as shown by the purple thick-solid lines in Figs. 3(b) and (c). The numerical phase boundary, for \( L = 80 \), is closer to the strong-coupling regime determined by the RG analyses than the actual phase boundary, which is expected to emerge for larger systems. Note that the phase boundary agrees well with the results in Ref. [27], depicted by the orange dashed-dotted line in Fig. 3(b).

As discussed above, there are three phases in the Mott insulating regime, namely PS, PP-CFSF, and SDW, see [40]. PS is signaled by an emerging peak in the bosonic structure factor at low wave-number [28]. The PP-CFSF phase is characterized by the correlation func-
Fig. 5(a), we show the density profiles $\rho$ for several $t/U_{bb}$ are shown. (b) The correlation functions $C_B(r)$ and $C_{PP}(r)$ are plotted on a log-log scale, where $t/U_{bb} = 0.05$.

Finally, we estimate the temperature regime required for PP-CFSF to emerge. At finite temperatures ($T > 0$) in 1D, the polaron-pair correlation function $C_{PP}(r)$ exhibits algebraic decay at $r \ll \xi$ while decaying exponentially for $r \gg \xi$, where the crossover length is $\xi = v_p/(\pi k_B T)$ and the sound velocity of the polarons is $v_p = 2 J d \sin(\pi \nu_f)/(1 + \sqrt{\pi J} )$ for small $V/J$. Hence, when $\xi \gg d$, PP-CFSF QLRO is considered to be sufficiently developed so that signatures of the PP-CFSF state can be observed. Since the condition $\xi \gg d$ corresponds to $J \gg k_B T$, we estimate $J$, as an example, for $t/U_{bb} = 0.18$, which is a modest value for a complete Mott plateau to be formed as shown in Fig. 4(a). In the experiments of $^{174}$Yb-$^{173}$Yb mixtures, the lattice spacing is $d = 266 \text{ nm}$ and the s-wave scattering lengths are $a_{bb} = 5.55 \text{ nm}$ and $a_{bf} = 7.34 \text{ nm}$. Moreover, we assume the lattice depth in the transverse direction to be $V_L = 50 E_R$, where $E_R$ is the recoil energy. Using these parameters and the Wannier function obtained by numerically solving the Schrödinger equation with a sinusoidal potential, we estimate that $t/U_{bb} = 0.18$ is reached when the lattice depth in the axial direction is $V_0 \approx 2.33 E_R$. At this lattice depth, $J \approx E_B \times 7.1 \text{ nK}$. Since the lowest temperature realized in Ref. [9] is $\sim 5 \text{ nK}$, the condition $k_B T < J$ is already possible with current experimental techniques. Further experimental advancement is necessary to achieve $k_B T \ll J$.

In summary, we have used the time-evolving block decimation method and Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid theory to reveal the quantum phases of polarons inside the dual Mott insulator of one-dimensional Bose-Fermi mixtures at unit total filling. Interestingly, we found a large phase diagram regime with a counterflow superfluid phase of polaron pairs (PP-CFSF), in contrast to previous studies. We have shown that this state is expected to be formed in the dual Mott insulator regime of $^{174}$Yb-$^{173}$Yb mixtures in optical lattices at sufficiently low temperatures.
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