Voruciclib, a clinical stage oral CDK9 inhibitor, represses MCL-1 and sensitizes high-risk Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma to BCL2 inhibition
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Aberrant regulation of BCL-2 family members enables evasion of apoptosis and tumor resistance to chemotherapy. BCL-2 and functionally redundant counterpart, MCL-1, are frequently over-expressed in high-risk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). While clinical inhibition of BCL-2 has been achieved with the BH3 mimetic venetoclax, anti-tumor efficacy is limited by compensatory induction of MCL-1. Voruciclib, an orally bioavailable clinical stage CDK-selective inhibitor, potently blocks CDK9, the transcriptional regulator of MCL-1. Here, we demonstrate that voruciclib represses MCL-1 protein expression in preclinical models of DLBCL. When combined with venetoclax in vivo, voruciclib leads to model-dependent tumor cell apoptosis and tumor growth inhibition. Strongest responses were observed in two models representing high-risk activated B-cell (ABC) DLBCL, while no response was observed in a third ABC model, and intermediate responses were observed in two models of germinal center B-cell like (GCB) DLBCL. Given the range of responses, we show that CIVO, a multiplexed tumor micro-dosing technology, represents a viable functional precision medicine approach for differentiating responders from non-responders to BCL-2/MCL-1 targeted therapy. These findings suggest that the combination of voruciclib and venetoclax holds promise as a novel, exclusively oral combination therapy for a subset of high-risk DLBCL patients.

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), one of the most common forms of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, is generally responsive to the R-CHOP regimen (rituximab combined with cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone). However, approximately 40% of patients continue to exhibit chemo-refractory DLBCL or relapse and ultimately succumb to their disease. Differences in tumor cell-of-origin and underlying genetic drivers contribute to variabilities observed in patient responses to R-CHOP. The three most well characterized molecular subtypes of DLBCL include germinal center B-cell like (GCB), primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma (PMBL) and activated B-cell (ABC) subtypes. The ABC subtype, the most aggressive form of DLBCL, tends to be the least responsive to R-CHOP based therapy and represents a clear unmet need.

Lack of response in DLBCL is at least in part due to evasion of apoptosis, a hallmark of cancer, often resulting from aberrations in pro-survival BH3 proteins of the B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 (BCL-2) family. The BCL-2 family consists of structurally related regulators of programmed cell death, of which those with functionally redundant anti-apoptotic activity include BCL-2 itself, BCL-xL, and MCL-1. ABC subtype tumors have significantly higher BCL-2 expression compared to the GCB subtype and high BCL-2 expression is considered a poor prognostic indicator. Therapeutically, selective inhibition of BCL-2 has been achieved with the BH3 mimetic, venetoclax (ABT-199), resulting in high response rates in certain lymphoid malignancies including chronic lymphoid leukemia (CLL) and mantle cell lymphoma (MCL). However, exclusive targeting of BCL-2 with venetoclax in relapsed or refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) patients has yielded only a modest 18% overall response.
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rate with a 1-month median progression-free survival\textsuperscript{11}. One primary mechanism of resistance to venetoclax is overexpression of MCL-1 which, by virtue of functional redundancy, compensates for loss of BCL-2 activity\textsuperscript{13}. One of the most commonly amplified genes in human cancer, MCL-1, has been shown to be either amplified at the genomic level or over-expressed in a significant fraction of DLBCL patients, making it an important candidate for therapeutic targeting particularly in patients who fail on R-CHOP\textsuperscript{14,15}. Furthermore, chemotherapy or chronic BCL-2 inhibition in tumors initially sensitive to venetoclax may also lead to compensatory upregulation of MCL-1 and consequent emergence of drug-refractory cancer cells\textsuperscript{16}. Therefore, combined targeting of BCL-2 and MCL-1 is a potential treatment strategy especially in aggressive lymphomas that are refractory to standard chemotherapy\textsuperscript{16–18}.

Inhibition of CDK9 constitutes a promising approach for inhibiting MCL-1 function. CDK9 is a key component of the positive transcriptional regulator complex pTEFb which activates transcription via phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II (RNA POL II)\textsuperscript{19}. Inhibition of CDK9 blocks transcription resulting in the repression of short-lived proteins such as MCL-1\textsuperscript{19}. While direct inhibition of MCL-1 is feasible, existing MCL-1 inhibitor programs are still in the early stages of development\textsuperscript{20–23}. Therefore, depletion of MCL-1 by CDK9 inhibitors may currently represent the most clinically advanced means to inactivate this pro-survival BH3 family member.

Here we demonstrate that the small molecule flavone derivative, voruciclib, a clinical stage CDK inhibitor, targets CDK9 with sub-nanomolar biochemical potency and represses expression of MCL-1 in multiple models of DLBCL. The safety profile and tolerability of voruciclib has been established by two independent Phase 1 clinical trials\textsuperscript{26,27}. Furthermore, voruciclib is distinguished from other CDK9 inhibitors such as flavopiridol or dinaciclib by its oral bioavailability, making it an exceptionally attractive candidate for clinical development. This positions voruciclib favorably for combination therapies in malignancies that are reliant on MCL-1. Consistent with this strategy, we demonstrate that voruciclib combines with venetoclax to induce synergistic tumor cell death and durable tumor remission in preclinical models of DLBCL, including the high-risk ABC subtype.

Results

Voruciclib exhibits a more selective target profile than flavopiridol with sub nanomolar potency against CDK9. Voruciclib is structurally similar to the flavonoid-based CDK inhibitor flavopiridol, but differences in the substitution (CF3) and structure (hydroxyxipiperidine vs. hydroxymethyl pyrrolidine) of the C and D rings, respectively, of the flavonoid scaffold may differentiate the target profiles of these two molecules (Fig. 1a). The largest potential for voruciclib and flavopiridol to exhibit differential inhibitory profiles with respect to other CDKs and kinases likely rests with the D ring. The D ring of flavopiridol has previously been shown to form highly defined interactions in the phosphate binding pocket, based on the X-ray structure of a flavopiridol analog bound to CDK2\textsuperscript{24}. Differences in ring size, substitution, stereochemistry, conformation and disposition of the hydroxyl (from C8) between voruciclib and flavopiridol are likely to alter binding to the active site of CDKs and other kinases. This raises the possibility that voruciclib may have attenuated inhibitory activity against certain kinases which are potently inhibited by flavopiridol and vice versa.

To characterize the target profile of voruciclib we first performed two independent kinase assay screens (DiscoverRx ScanMax and Thermofisher SelectScreen, 468 and 414 kinases respectively). Both screens were performed at high (10 \( \mu \)M) and low (50 nM) concentrations of voruciclib. To prioritize the kinases for follow-up in a functional IC\textsubscript{50} screen, IC\textsubscript{50} values were estimated for each of the two inhibitor concentrations using a Hill coefficient of 1 and the estimates from both screens were compared. This yielded 48 consensus hits for which IC\textsubscript{50} values for both voruciclib and flavopiridol were then established by performing 10-point dose-response curves (Reaction Biology). \( K_I \) values for each target were calculated and compared for the two drugs (Fig. 1b–d). As expected, both molecules demonstrate selectivity for the CDK subfamily of kinases with most potent activity against CDKs 9, 6, 4, and 1. However, consistent with the hypothesis that structural differences of the D ring may alter target selectivity, voruciclib exhibits significantly reduced activity against kinases inside of the CDK family compared to flavopiridol (Fig. 1b,c). Particularly notable is the substantially low activity of voruciclib against two highly related kinases, ICK and MAK, when compared to flavopiridol. While flavopiridol exhibits equipotent activity against MAK and favored target CDK9, voruciclib exhibits 100-fold greater selectivity for CDK9 than MAK (Fig. 1c). Thus, voruciclib is a CDK-selective inhibitor with potent activity against CDK9 and less non-CDK off-target liability than flavopiridol.

Voruciclib inhibits CDK9 target, MCL-1 in DLBCL. Since target profiling studies revealed CDK9 as a main target of voruciclib, we next assessed the impact of voruciclib on MCL-1 expression in cell-based and xenograft models of DLBCL. To understand whether clinically achievable and tolerable levels of voruciclib repress MCL-1, we used existing pharmacokinetic data from the two completed Phase 1 trials to model expected plasma drug levels achieved upon dosing with a conservative regimen of 250 mg/day voruciclib\textsuperscript{26,27}. (Fig. 2a). Predicted mean plasma levels, once steady state is achieved after about 5 oral doses, fluctuate between 2 and 3.5 \( \mu \)M with an average near 3 \( \mu \)M for our model parameters. The concentrations of voruciclib used for cell-based investigations was set to encompass this range of plasma drug levels achieved following systemic exposure in humans. Consistent with its function as a CDK9 inhibitor, treatment with voruciclib led to inhibition of phosphorylation of RNA POL II, a well-characterized direct substrate of CDK9, and repression of MCL-1 expression in SU-DHL-4 cells (Figs 2b and S1). Moreover, Western blot analyses of lysates derived from 6 distinct DLBCL models representing both ABC and GCB subtypes treated with voruciclib showed targeted downregulation of MCL-1 in comparison to the respective vehicle controls (Figs 2c, S2 and S5). This was accompanied by apoptosis as represented by cleaved PARP induction starting at 1–2 \( \mu \)M in all models (Figs 2c, S2 and S5). Complete MCL-1 repression was typically observed at 3 \( \mu \)M exposures. Furthermore, since voruciclib has been shown to be orally bioavailable, we investigated whether MCL-1 repression could be detected in tumors of xenografted mice following administration of voruciclib by oral gavage. Consistent with effective \textit{in vivo} CDK9 inhibition following oral delivery, MCL-1...
protein expression was decreased in a dose-dependent manner, as observed upon comparing both tumor lysates and immunohistochemically stained sections from mice receiving either vehicle, 100 mpk or 200 mpk voruciclib daily for 5 days (Figs 2d,e and S1). Thus, voruciclib displays effective CDK9 activity with repression of MCL-1 both in vitro and in vivo following oral delivery in models of DLBCL.
Combination of voruciclib and venetoclax leads to enhanced tumor growth inhibition compared to either drug alone, in specific models of DLBCL. Given that upregulation of MCL-1 has been documented as a mechanism of resistance to the BCL-2 specific inhibitor venetoclax, and that other CDK
inhibitors with activity against CDK9 have been shown preclinically to enhance the anti-tumor effects of BCL-2 inhibition, we next assessed whether systemic administration of the combination of voruciclib and venetoclax causes significantly enhanced tumor growth inhibition versus exposure to either single agent alone. Both agents were administered by oral gavage. Efficacy, as measured by tumor growth inhibition (TGI) relative to vehicle was assessed in all arms via tumor volume measurements which are averaged across all subjects in the respective cohorts and plotted over time in days. Error bars represent SEM. (b) Kaplan Meier survival plots for each treatment cohort in the U2932 study are shown where xenografted mice were followed for up to 62 days after cessation of treatment. Asterisk (*) denotes p value < 0.05 calculated using the Log-rank test for comparison of combination versus single agent survival curves. (c) Percent tumor growth inhibition (TGI) relative to respective vehicle controls, were estimated using tumor volume measurements at Day 18 (U2932), Day 21 (RIVA), Day 22 (OCI-LY10), Day 18 (NU-DHL-1) and Day 25 (SU-DHL-4) (see methods). p values for TGI comparisons were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test.

Figure 3. Voruciclib combined with venetoclax leads to model-dependent tumor growth inhibition and long term systemic benefits. (a) Tumor growth plots from U2932, RIVA, OCI-LY10, NU-DHL-1 and SU-DHL-4 xenografted mice (n = 5–6 per treatment condition for each model) respectively, orally treated with vehicles corresponding to both drugs (control arm), venetoclax, voruciclib or a combination of both drugs at the same dose and frequency as the corresponding single agents (see materials and methods for dosing regimens). Arrows indicate start and end day of treatment (tx). Drug efficacy with respect to vehicle was assessed in all arms via tumor volume measurements which are averaged across all subjects in the respective cohorts and plotted over time in days. Error bars represent SEM. (b) Kaplan Meier survival plots for each treatment cohort in the U2932 study are shown where xenografted mice were followed for up to 62 days after cessation of treatment. Asterisk (*) denotes p value < 0.05 calculated using the Log-rank test for comparison of combination versus single agent survival curves. (c) Percent tumor growth inhibition (TGI) relative to respective vehicle controls, were estimated using tumor volume measurements at Day 18 (U2932), Day 21 (RIVA), Day 22 (OCI-LY10), Day 18 (NU-DHL-1) and Day 25 (SU-DHL-4) (see methods). p values for TGI comparisons were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test.
combination compared to vehicle controls (Fig. 3). These results suggest that the combination of voruciclib and venetoclax holds promise for treating a subset of patients with high risk ABC DLBCL and given the low response rates in this subtype to currently available treatment options, this merits consideration for clinical investigation.

Multiplexed tumor microdosing studies indicate tumor-specific responses to combined exposure to voruciclib and venetoclax in vivo. The model-specific responses displayed by the ABC subtype tumors following exposure to voruciclib and venetoclax, while only observed in a subset of models, is in line with several recent studies indicating that prediction of tumor response based solely on genetic characterization is challenging, and that functional precision medicine methods are needed. We have previously shown that CIVO arrayed microinjection technology (Presage Biosciences, Seattle WA) can be used to assess multiple drugs and drug combinations simultaneously in a single tumor to efficiently determine anti-tumor efficacy and potential synergy between drugs of interest. We therefore assessed whether CIVO represents a viable functional precision medicine approach for identifying potential responders versus non-responders to voruciclib/venetoclax combination therapy. Towards this goal, the CIVO platform was used to simultaneously microinject either voruciclib, venetoclax, a combination of the two agents or vehicle control directly into spatially defined regions of xenografted tumors representing the three ABC models described above. Consistent with the potential to predict tumor responsiveness to the combination of voruciclib and venetoclax, both U2932 and RIVA models exhibited regions of robust enhancement of cleaved caspase 3 positive (CC3+) apoptotic cells that significantly surpassed responses induced by either single agent alone (Fig. 4). The corresponding in vivo combination indices in the U2932 and RIVA models were less than 1 (0.13 and 0.47 respectively) thereby denoting synergy. Further suggesting that the combined effect of voruciclib and venetoclax is due to dual inhibition of MCL-1 and BCL-2, co-microinjection of selective MCL-1 inhibitor, A-1210477 with venetoclax, induced similar enhancement of apoptosis (Figure S7). In contrast, localized apoptotic responses to voruciclib + venetoclax observed in OCI-LY10 tumors, were confined to the immediate region surrounding the site of microinjection and were not significantly different from single agent or control injections, consistent with observed systemic outcomes (Fig. 4). While an expanded analysis on a greater number of representative tumor models is required to ultimately assess the utility of CIVO as a bona fide predictive assay, these preliminary data are encouraging with regard to the capability of the CIVO platform to detect responsiveness to agents that interfere with the function of pro-survival BH3 targets.
OCI-LY10 resistance correlates with drug-induced upregulation of BCL-xL. The well-established functional redundancy between pro-survival BH3 members raises the possibility that BCL-xL mediates resistance to agents that specifically inhibit BCL-2 and MCL-1. We therefore investigated whether BCL-xL may play a role in the resistance to the combination of voruciclib and venetoclax as observed in the OCI-LY10 tumors. Consistent with this possibility, OCI-LY10 tumor lysates from mice subjected to oral gavage with voruciclib (as described previously in Fig. 2d), exhibited elevated levels of BCL-xL compared to vehicle controls as determined by Western blot analysis (Figs 5a and S8). Furthermore, lysates from OCI-LY10 cells showed elevated levels of BCL-xL in response to in vitro exposure to either voruciclib or venetoclax, with further enhancement when exposed to the combination of both drugs (Fig. 5b and S9). Of the three ABC models evaluated in this study, the observed drug-induced elevation of BCL-xL was unique to OCI-LY10 and not observed in U2932 or RIVA models. Interestingly, a modest downregulation of BCL-xL was observed in response to the combination in U2932 cells, the model most sensitive to combination treatment in vivo. While still correlative at this point, our data suggest that inhibition of BCL-xL along with inhibition of BCL-2 and MCL-1 may be required for inducing response in a subset of patients with high-risk lymphomas.

Discussion
The frequent observance of resistance and relapse to first line R-CHOP therapy by ABC DLBCL underscores the need for novel approaches to treat this disease. Here we present a potential all-oral combination regimen consisting of a novel CDK9 inhibitor, voruciclib and the BCL-2 specific inhibitor, venetoclax, with promise for treating this high-risk subtype of DLBCL. While the combinations of venetoclax with other CDK9 inhibitors such as flavopiridol or dinaciclib have previously been proposed, neither of these two agents are orally bioavailable. Voruciclib addresses a well-characterized key-mediator of resistance to venetoclax by repressing MCL-1. Consistent with the necessity for dual inhibition of functionally redundant pro-survival BH3 family members...
BCL-2 and MCL-1, combined administration of voruciclib and venetoclax resulted in significantly enhanced tumor growth inhibition compared to either single agent alone, in two distinct xenograft models of ABC DLBCL. Given that voruciclib has completed two Phase 1 clinical trials, it may represent the most clinically advanced orally available therapy to inhibit MCL-1 for use in combination with venetoclax to treat high-risk hematological malignancies.

The greater CDK selectivity of voruciclib compared to flavopiridol may have clinical implication and deserves further study. It is well-documented that the clinical utility of flavopiridol is hampered by dose-limiting toxicities including severe diarrhea (82% all grade, 18% grade 3 and above)35. While both compounds show comparable CDK9 activity, flavopiridol shows significantly higher affinity towards other non-CDK targets, notably ICK and MAK. ICK, originally cloned from the intestinal crypt, is ubiquitously expressed in adult human tissue but prominently throughout the intestinal tract and plays a role in cilia formation and signaling36,37. The importance of this kinase is underscored by the fact that a single loss-of-function mutation in this gene leads to a lethal, multi-organ disorder38. Similarly, MAK, also expressed in the intestine, has been shown to be important for regulation of ciliary length39,40. Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that inhibition of such developmentally important, high-affinity non-CDK targets may contribute to the challenging toxicity profile of flavopiridol in the clinic. On the other hand, the lack of potent inhibition of these same targets by voruciclib may result in improved tolerability. Consistent with this, only one patient out of 24 (4%) treated at 350 mg/day voruciclib experienced dose-limiting diarrhea35. Since clinical investigation of voruciclib has thus far been limited to the solid tumor clinic, an expanded investigation into hematopoietic malignancies is warranted to assess whether an improved therapeutic window versus flavopiridol is observed in this context.

The therapeutic window for the voruciclib/venetoclax combination may also be improved by a functional precision oncology approach to identify patients who would benefit most from this treatment40. For inhibitors of more classic kinase targets such as B-Raf or the EGF receptor, the presence of activating mutations as identified by gene sequencing is used to define responders to the respective inhibitors. However, for agents targeting BH3 protein regulation of apoptosis, clear genomic predictors of responses are unlikely to be identified. The regulation of apoptosis is mediated by a complex interplay between multiple types of BH3-containing proteins at the mitochondrial membrane. These include effector molecules (BAX and BAK), pro-survival/anti-apoptotic proteins (BCL-2, MCL-1, BCL-xL, BCL-W, and BFL-1), pro-apoptotic sensitizer proteins (BAD, NOXA, PUMA, HRK, BMF, and BIK), and activator proteins (BIM and BID)41–43. The threshold for a tumor cell to undergo apoptosis is therefore not solely regulated by the expression level or activity state of any single BCL3 protein, but dependent on the ratio of the entire family. Given that the two strongest responders in our in vivo study belong to the same genetic subtype as the model showing no response, this is clearly applicable for sensitivity to agents that directly or indirectly target BH3 family members. Several functional precision approaches are in development that will be useful for stratifying patients for BH3 targeting therapies. The most advanced to date is the method of dynamic BH3 profiling, in which patient cells are primed for apoptosis by introduction of different BH3 sensitizer proteins, thus revealing specific sensitivities to BCL-2 and MCL-1 targeting agents41. Here we demonstrate that arrayed intra-tumoral microinjection of drug micro-doses leads to localized responses to the combination of voruciclib and venetoclax that correlate with systemic responses to the same drug combination. Implementation of CIVO as a bona fide method of functional precision medicine will ultimately require prospective evaluation in the human cancer clinic. However, given that CIVO has already been investigated in lymphoma patients with cancerous, palpable lymph nodes, with no serious adverse effects41, application of an arrayed microinjection approach toward the identification and enrichment of responders to voruciclib/venetoclax combination therapy seems feasible for future clinical trials.

In conclusion, in this study we demonstrate that inhibition of two master regulators of cell survival, MCL-1 and BCL-2 with clinical stage drugs, voruciclib and venetoclax respectively, can achieve synergistic anti-tumor efficacy in a subset of ABC DLBCL models. High-risk ABC subtype of DLBCL remains an unmet clinical need despite improvements in DLBCL treatment outcomes in the rituximab era3,44. Potential new therapies for high-risk DLBCL, such as the one described here would potentially benefit from the development of functional precision oncology methods like CIVO that complement existing genomic methods to guide personalized cancer treatment. Together, future clinical investigations of this combination along with validated precision oncology approaches may pave the way for a novel treatment strategy for high-risk lymphoma patients.

Materials and Methods
DiscoveRx and ThermoFisher screens.

Percent inhibition of kinase activity for 468 kinase assays of the DiscoveRx ScanMax kinase panel and 414 kinase assays of the ThermoFisher SelectScreen kinase panel at 10 µM and 50 nM were carried out with voruciclib and flavopiridol.

Reaction Biology IC50 profiling.

Rank order of sensitivity of 48 kinases to voruciclib hydrochloride was determined at Reaction Biology Corp. Kinase activity was measured using a filter binding assay with radioactive γ-33P-ATP as phosphate donor. The ATP concentration was near the Kₘ values for the respective kinases. For each kinase, an IC50 value was calculated from a 10-point concentration curve of the test article and converted to Kₘ values. The 48 kinases studied here had been identified in previous screening experiments as the most promising target candidates.

Cell culture.

RI-1, also known as RIVA, U2932, NU-DHL-1 cell lines were purchased from DSMZ. SU-DHL-4, SU-DHL-6 were purchased from ATCC. All lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 with L-Glutamine (ThermoFisher), 10% fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher), at 37 degrees Celsius, 5% CO₂, OCI-LY10 cells, obtained from University Health Network, Ontario, Canada, were cultured in Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s medium (ThermoFisher) with 20% human serum (Valley Biomedical). IMPACT III testing (IDEXX Bioresearch) was
carried out on cell lines to confirm mycoplasma- and pathogen-free status. Cell lines were expanded and cryopreserved following 2–3 passages after obtaining from vendor. After thawing, cells were maintained (for experiments, xenografting) for a maximum of 8 weeks by sub-culturing approximately 3 times a week.

**Western Blot Analysis.** 20 micrograms of protein lysate from each condition were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to 0.45 micron PVDF membranes (ThermoFisher) using manufacturer’s protocol, blocked for one hour in 5% milk at room temperature, probed with primary antibodies for cPARP (rabbit CST 5625), MCL-1 (rabbit CST 39224) or BCL-xL (rabbit CST 2764), followed by corresponding HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch). β-actin (mouse CST 12626) was used as loading control. ECL chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce) and autoradiographic film (ThermoFisher) were used for detection of signals. MCL-1 and BCL-xL signals were quantified using Image Studio Lite (LICOR Biosciences) using β-actin for normalization. Student’s t-test was used to compare mean signal intensities across different conditions. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

**in vivo studies.** All experiments in mice were approved by IACUC Board of Presage Biosciences, Seattle, WA (Protocol number PR-001) and were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All relevant procedures were performed under anesthesia and all efforts were made to minimize pain and suffering. None of the mice contributing to this study became ill or died prior to experimental endpoints and all mice receiving drug treatment as described below, underwent routine health monitoring and were humanely euthanized. Female NOD.CB17-Prkdcscid/NCrHsd mice (Envigo) with an average weight of 22 gms were used for experiments between 5–15 weeks of age. Subcutaneous flank xenografts were generated with U2932, RIVA, OCI-LY10, NU-DHL-1 and SU-DHL-4 cell lines. Tumors were generated by right flank injection of 5 × 10⁶ cells in 200 μl cell suspension of Matrigel (Corning) and serum free media in 1:1 ratio per mouse. Mice were enrolled into arrayed microinjection studies when the xenografted tumor volume reached approximately 1000 mm³ as previously described 31. Briefly, the CIVO device was configured with 6 injection needles set for a 6 mm injection length and a total volume delivery of 3 μl per needle. Animals were monitored twice a week using digital calipers along with recording of body weight. Tumor volume was calculated using formula: \( V = \frac{1}{6} \pi \sqrt{d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3} \) in mm³ 46. Animals were removed from the study when either any one of the three measured dimensions of the tumor exceeded 2 cm, volume exceeded 2000 mm³, ulceration was observed or if body weight loss greater than 20% was recorded. Drug formulations: vorucilb was formulated in 0.1% methylcellulose (Sigma) and venetoclax in 60% phosal 50, 30% PEG 400, 10% ethanol 47, both administered orally. Control cohorts were administered vehicles of both drugs, and each single agent cohort was administered the vehicle of the other drug. Depending on the assigned treatment, venetoclax (or its vehicle) was followed by vorucilb (or its vehicle) with 30 mins in between administrations to allow for gastric clearance as per veterinary recommendation. Model specific dosing regimens: U2932: venetoclax at 10 mpk twice a week, vorucilb 200 mpk six days per week for a total duration of 4 weeks; RIVA: venetoclax at 1 mpk twice a week, vorucilb 200 mpk six days per week for a total duration of four weeks; OCI-LY10: venetoclax at 25 mpk twice a week, vorucilb 200 mpk six days per week for a total duration of two weeks; NU-DHL-1: venetoclax at 50 mpk once a week, vorucilb at 200 mpk five days per week for a total duration of three weeks; SU-DHL-4: venetoclax at 25 mpk and vorucilb 200 mpk both six days per week for a total duration of four weeks. The venetoclax + vorucilb combination treatment cohort for each model received both drugs at the same doses and frequencies as the corresponding single agents.

Tumor Growth Inhibition (TGI) % with respect to vehicle was calculated using following formula at the last time point before any mouse had to be removed from study for reasons stated above:

\[
\frac{V_{\text{final(vehicle)}} - V_{\text{initial(vehicle)}} - (V_{\text{final(treatment)}} - V_{\text{initial(treatment)}})}{(V_{\text{final(vehicle)}} - V_{\text{initial(vehicle)}})} \times 100
\]

Volume measurements were averaged across tumors in respective treatment arms. Mann-Whitney test was used to determine statistical significance of TGI differences. Log-rank test was used to determine statistical significance of differences between Kaplan-Meier survival curves (GraphPad Prism). The level of significance was set at 0.05

**Tissue processing, gross tissue imaging and immunohistochemistry.** Following resections of CIVO microinjected tumors, tissue was fixed, processed, stained and scanned as previously described 31. Rabbit anti-CC3 antibody (Cell Signaling #9661, 1:150 dilution) and rabbit anti-MCL-1 antibody (Cell Signaling #5453, 1:500 dilution) were used for IHC analysis. For immunofluorescent detection, secondary antibody conjugated to AlexaFluor647 (Jackson Immuno research, 1:600 dilution) was applied as per manufacturer’s instructions and tissues were counterstained with DAPI.

**Statistical approach for in vivo drug synergy analysis.** Quantitative analyses of drug responses were performed using custom software (CIVOanalyzer, Presage Biosciences, Seattle) as previously described 31,32. This
software allows the automatic identification of injection sites with the help of the fluorescent tracking markers. Then, within circular regions of interest (ROIs) centered on the injection sites, cells are automatically identified and assigned to different classes distinguished by their staining patterns. The fraction of cells belonging to each class (e.g. CC3 positive) are mapped as a function of radial distance (a surrogate for local concentration) to obtain the radial effect curve (fr).

For two drugs administered in combination using the CIVO device, synergy evaluation was carried out as previously described. Briefly, the radial effect curves for each agent alone, their combination and a vehicle control are used in conjunction with a linear mixed effects model to estimate whether the combination effect is greater than a linear combination of individual agent effects and hence synergistic. In addition, the model is used to determine the in vivo combination index based on Chou-Talalay analysis. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Data availability statement. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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