Evaluation of the ability of natural and synthetic scaffolds in providing an appropriate environment for growth and chondrogenic differentiation of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells
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ABSTRACT
Background: Although progenitor cells have been observed in articular cartilage, this part has a limited ability to repair due to a lack of blood supply. Formerly, tissue engineering was mainly based on collecting chondrocytes from the joint surface, culturing them on resorbable scaffolds such as poly D, L-lactic glycolic acid (PLGA) and then autologous transplantation. In recent times, due to difficulties in collecting chondrocytes, most of the researchers are focused on stem cells for producing these cells. Among the important factors in this approach, is using appropriate scaffolds with good mechanical and biological properties to provide optimal environment for growth and development of stem cells. In this study, we evaluated the potential of fibrin glue, PLGA and alginate scaffolds in providing a suitable environment for growth and chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in the presence of transforming growth factor-

Materials and Methods: Fibrin glue, PLGA and alginate scaffolds were prepared and MSCs were isolated from human adipose tissue. Cells were cultured separately on the scaffolds and 2 weeks after differentiation, chondrogenic genes, cell proliferation ability and morphology in each scaffold were evaluated using real time-polymerase chain reaction, MTT chondrogenic assay and histological examination, respectively.

Results: Proliferation of differentiated adipose tissue derived mesenchymal stem cells (AD-MSCs) to chondrogenic cells in Fibrin glue were significantly higher than in other scaffolds. Also, Fibrin glue caused the highest expression of chondrogenic genes compared to the other scaffolds. Histological examination revealed that the pores of the fibrin glue scaffolds were filled with cells uniformly distributed.

Conclusion: According to the results of the study, it can be concluded that natural scaffolds such as fibrin can be used as an appropriate environment for cartilage differentiation.
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INTRODUCTION
Repairing the injuries and damage to the cartilage is still one of the most important problems in the orthopedics. Recently, tissue engineering techniques have shown acceptable potential to solve this problem. Tissue engineering is the science of design and production of new tissues to repair the damaged organs and replace missing parts due to various causes.²

Polymers used in preparation of cell scaffolds are classified into two groups: Natural and synthetic polymers.³,⁴ Each scaffold should actually be able to show certain biological and mechanical properties to improve and alter cell behavior so that each scaffold can be designed on the basis of the characteristics of its target tissue.⁵ Furthermore, Some of the other characteristics of cellular scaffolds are nontoxicity, biocompatibility, biodegradability, nonimmunogenicity, easy fabrication, appropriate physical and mechanical properties and good stability.⁶

One of the most important parts of tissue engineering is to select an appropriate scaffold to replace the damaged
tissue.\textsuperscript{7} Scaffolds allow cell migration and transferring of biochemical factors.

Also, the great success in tissue engineering application can be achieved through coordinated application of suitable scaffolds with proper cell types.\textsuperscript{8,9} Recently, there is a growing interest in using hydrogels as scaffolds.\textsuperscript{10,11} One of the most widely used hydrogels in medicine is fibrin.\textsuperscript{11,12} Fibrin glue is commonly used in abdominal surgery, orthopedics, neurosurgery and oral surgery. Combination of fibrinogen clot and thrombin can induce binding, migration and growth of various types of cells such as chondrocytes, fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells and keratinocytes.\textsuperscript{13}

During the past two decades, poly D, L-lactic glycolic acid (PLGA) biopolymer has been used as one of the most interesting candidates for fabrication of scaffolds needed in tissue engineering research.\textsuperscript{14} PLGA is a biodegradable and biocompatible polymer with optimal mechanical properties and is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.\textsuperscript{15} However, it has inferior hydrophilic properties and low water absorbency and is slowly degraded.\textsuperscript{16}

Alginate is a polysaccharide derived from brown algae and has unique properties that enable it to switch to gel form in the presence of bivalent cations. Stable cellular growth of some types of cells such as chondrocytes has been observed in alginate.\textsuperscript{17} Alginate can be used as a scaffold due to its favorable properties.\textsuperscript{18-20}

Chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) is affected by different intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Growth factors play a key role in this process. Growth factors are biologically active polypeptides synthesized by the body, which can induce cell proliferation and differentiation. One of the most known growth factor from the transforming growth factor-\(\beta\) (TGF-\(\beta\)) superfamily with respect to cartilage tissue engineering is TGF-\(\beta\).\textsuperscript{21,22} In presence of TGF-\(\beta\) in medium culture, cartilaginous extracellular matrix (ECM) synthesis can be increased. This event is importantly ameliorated by supplementation of bone morphogenetic protein Type II.\textsuperscript{21}

Mesenchymal stem cells are of great attention in medical and scientific communities due to their special capabilities.\textsuperscript{23} They are multipotent progenitor cells that are capable of growth, reproduction and regeneration in media.\textsuperscript{24,25} They are applied in tissue engineering much more than embryonic stem cells, the use of which is restricted due to ethical issues.\textsuperscript{26} They can differentiate to several cell lines such as chondrocytes, osteoblasts, adipocytes, endothelial cells, neurocytes, cardiac myocytes, hepatocytes and pancreatic cells.\textsuperscript{27,28} Due to their special capabilities in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, these cells are used in the repair of damaged tissue such as joints lesions, immunological diseases, bone and cartilage defect.\textsuperscript{30-45} Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (AD-MSCs) have shown great capability in chondrogenic differentiation in the field of tissue engineering.\textsuperscript{26,46-50} In this study, we evaluated the capability of three different scaffolds in growth and differentiation of AD-MSCs to chondrocytes in the presence of TGF-\(\beta\). Also, we hypothesized that AD-MSCs could be suitable for chondrogenic differentiation using natural scaffolds specially Fibrin glue.

**Materials and Methods**

In this study, poly D, L-lactic glycolic acid 50/50 (PLGA) (sigma) and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) (sigma) and penicillin-streptomycin (sigma) and fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco) were used.

**Isolation and passage of adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells**

Human liposuction aspirates were obtained from three patients undergoing nephrectomy after informed consent was obtained from each patient. Adipose tissue was transferred to the laboratory in saline containing 1\% antibiotic (penicillin-streptomycin) at 4°C and was divided into small pieces after being washed several times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and saline. Next it was digested with Type I collagenase (1.5 mg/1 g adipose tissue) for 45-60 min at 37°C and centrifuged at 1800 rpm for 10 min. Cell sediment in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Medium containing penicillin-streptomycin and 10\% FBS, was transferred to cell culture flasks and incubated at 37°C with 5\% CO\textsubscript{2} and 95\% humidity. After 24 h, cells which were not attached to the bottom of the flask were removed by changing the medium. Cell culture medium was changed every 3 days. After trypsinization, cells were transferred to passage 3 and were ready to use.\textsuperscript{51}

**Preparation of fibrin glue**

**Preparation of fibrinogen and thrombin**

Fresh-frozen plasma and fibrinogen were obtained from Qom blood transfusion organization. Fresh-frozen plasma was added to calcium gluconate at 5:3 ratio, incubated for 1 h at 37°C and centrifuged at 220 rpm for 10 min. After centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and thrombin was separated. Fibrinogen and thrombin were then prepared for cell culture. For this purpose, AD-MSCs were converted to a soluble form at a concentration of 5 × 10\textsuperscript{6} cells in 1 cc of thrombin and fibrinogen was added to the solution. The solution was incubated at 37°C/5% CO\textsubscript{2} and 99\% humidity in chondrogenic medium (CM; DMEM-high glucose [HG] supplemented with 50 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 \(\mu\)g/ml ascorbate-2-phosphate, 1\% insulin-transferrin-selenium, 1 nM dexamethasone, 5 \(\mu\)g/ml linoleic acid, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10 ng/ml TGF-\(\beta\)) for 14 days.\textsuperscript{12,51}
Preparation of poly D, L-lactic glycolic acid scaffold
Initially, 5% PLGA particles with dimensions of 5 mm × 3 mm were dissolved in methylene chloride and then NaCl was added. The solution was poured into a plastic container with 3 mm height and 6 mm diameter and was frozen at −20°C. Frozen polymer scaffolds were washed in distilled water to remove the salt particles and then samples were washed with 70% alcohol and were sterilized using ultraviolet. Human MSCs were trypsinized at passage 3 and centrifuged and after transmission to differentiation medium, they were distributed on PLGA scaffold by the density of 1 × 10^6 cell/ml. Finally, the scaffold was incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂/99% humidity in differentiation environment.\(^{15,32}\)

Preparation of alginate scaffold
Initially, 1.2% alginate powder was suspended in 0.15 M/L sodium chloride and sterilized using a filter. AD-MSCs of passage 3 were trypsinized and centrifuged at 1400 rpm for 8 min and were suspended in alginate solution. The samples were added to 105 mM calcium chloride solution and kept in room temperature for 15 min. After washing by calcium chloride and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagles Medium, alginate beads were incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ in chondrogenic differentiation medium for 14 days.\(^{18,51}\)

Chondrogenic differentiation of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells
Mesenchymal stem cells cultured in passage 3 were separated from the bottom of the culture plate by trypsinization and after resuspension, were incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂/99% humidity in chondrogenic medium (DMEM-HG supplemented with 50 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 μg/ml ascorbate-2-phosphate, 1% insulin-transferrin-selenium, 1 mM dexamethasone, 5 μg/ml linoleic acid, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10 mg/ml TGF-β3) for 14 days.\(^{18,51}\) The culture medium was changed every 2-3 days.\(^{51}\)

Cell proliferation MTT assay
The human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hAD-MSCs) proliferates with the existence of scaffolds, AD-MSCs cultured in chondrogenic medium were seeded on the scaffold into 24-well plate and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 4 days. In order to prepare the cells and the scaffold for MTT assay, first we removed the medium culture from the scaffolds on which the cells had been cultured after than the medium washed with sterile PBS. Later, 40 μL of the solution MTT (0.05 mg/ml) and 400 μL of fresh DMEM medium were added and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 400 μL dimethyl sulfoxide. Finally, the absorbance of the optic density was read at a 570 nm wavelength using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay plate reader (Biokit, EL × 800 Reader, Spain).

Real time-polymerase chain reaction for evaluating the expression of chondrogenic genes
Fourteen days after chondrogenic differentiation, RNA samples were obtained from each scaffold, separately. The scaffolds were digested in fluid nitrogen. Extraction of RNA and reverse transcription of RNA to cDNA was performed using AccuZol™ Total RNA Extraction Solution (bioNEER, Daedeok-gu, Daejeon, Korea) and AccuPower® CycleScript RT Premix (bioNEER, Daedeok-gu, Daejeon, Korea), respectively, as per manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, samples were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline. Then, 1 mL of AccuZol™ was added and samples were harvested into 1.5 mL centrifuge tube using a cell scraper. After adding 200 μL of chloroform and shaking vigorously for 15 seconds, the mixture was left in ice for five minutes. The mixture was next centrifuged for 15 minutes at 12,000 rpm at 4°C. After the supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 mL tube, an equal amount of isopropyl alcohol was added and the mixture was left at −20°C for 10 minutes. After the mixture was centrifuged again for 15 minutes at 12,000 rpm. The supernatant was removed and washed with 1 mL of 80% ethanol. The solution was centrifuged once again for 10 minutes in 12,000 rpm at 4°C and the supernatant was removed. The ribonucleic acid (RNA) pellet was dried at room temperature for 10 to 20 minutes. It was dissolved in DEPC water and left for 5 minutes at 55°C. The total RNA that had been separated was treated with DNase. RNA 1 μg was added to AccuPower® CycleScript RT Premix (dT20, bioNEER, Daedeok-gu, Daejeon, Korea) and cDNA synthesis carried out [Table 1].

Real time-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) performance using AccuPower® 2X Greenstar qPCR Master Mix (bioNEER, Korea) and primers of each gene were designed as follows utilizing primer 3 program [Table 2].

The reaction was initiated by heating to 95°C for 15 min., followed by 40 cycles of elongation at 59°C for 30 s and denaturation at 95°C for 15 s.

The mRNA expression level of Target gene was normalized based on GAPDH reference gene. The level of expression of each target gene was calculated using 2−ΔΔCt.\(^{51}\)

### Table 1: The temperature, time and cycle required for the synthesis of cDNA

| Steps                          | Temperature | Time | Cycles |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|--------|
| Primer annealing              | 35°C        | 30 sec | 12     |
| cDNA synthesis                | 48°C        | 4 min | 1      |
| Melting secondary structure   | 55°C        | 30 sec | 1      |
| and cDNA synthesis            |             |      |        |
| Heat inactivation             | 95°C        | 5 min | 1      |

C=Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid

---
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Histological evaluation
28 days after chondrogenic differentiation, scaffolds and cartilage tissue as control, were analyzed histologically: After fixation with 10% neutral-buffered formalin for at least 24 h, specimens (N = 4) were embedded within paraffin and sectioned at 5 μm thickness. For histological evaluation, samples were stained with hematoxylin/eosin counterstaining.

Statistical analysis
For the real time-PCR analysis, significant differences in expression levels of four genes in 3 scaffolds were identified by the least significant difference test. Real time-PCR for every sample was repeated 4 times. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) software (version 17) and data were considered significant with a P < 0.05.

RESULTS
In primary cultures, growth of fibroblast-like or spindle-shaped AD-MSCs with distinct nuclei was observed by phase-contrast microscope [Figure 1]. In MTT assay, optical density values of Fibrin Glue were significantly higher than those of PLGA, Alginate Scaffolds and control after 2 weeks [Figure 2].

Table 2: Real time PCR primer sequences

| Primer name          | Sequences (5’->3’)         |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Collagen type 1 forward | TTGTACAGACATGACACGAGAGC     |
| Collagen type 1 reverse | CTCCTACCTGGTTATACCCCA      |
| Aggrecan forward     | CAGAGTGAAATCCACCAAGT        |
| Aggrecan reverse     | GTTGCCGATGGACAAACGTGA       |
| Sox9 forward         | TACGACTACACGCACCACCA        |
| Sox9 reverse         | TAGGATACTAGGGCACCACCA       |
| Collagen 2 forward   | ACAACACCGCAAGAGAAAGAG       |
| Collagen 2 reverse   | TCTCCCTCCCGAGAAGAAG         |

PCR=Polymerase chain reaction

Differentiation of stem cells to chondrocytes was confirmed by real time-PCR. Expression of mRNA of collagen Types I and II, sox9 and aggrecan in differentiated cells was evaluated after 14 days [Figure 3]. The highest expression of differentiation genes was observed in the fibrin glue scaffold. There was a significant difference in expression of aggrecan and collagen Type II genes on fibrin glue and PLGA scaffolds and their expression in AD-MSCs without scaffold (P < 0.05). Collagen Type II and aggrecan genes showed the highest expression in fibrin glue compared with other scaffolds and the lowest expression was observed in AD-MSCs without scaffold (P < 0.05). Expression level of aggrecan gene in fibrin glue and AD-MSCs was 0.515 ± 0.45 and 0.02 ± 0.003, respectively. Expression level of aggrecan gene in fibrin glue and AD-MSCs was 0.515 ± 0.45 and 0.02 ± 0.003, respectively. The highest and lowest level of expression of sox9 gene was observed in fibrin glue and PLGA, respectively (396.25 ± 27.39 vs. 3.834 ± 28.815).

The accumulation and presence of chondrocytes were examined by hematoxylin/eosin staining at 4th week [Figure 4]. Histological examination revealed that pores were filled with chondrocytes and the cells were uniformly distributed in the cell Fibrin glue constructs at 4 weeks [Figure 4a]. The histological evaluations for PLGA and Alginate scaffolds showed week results.

DISCUSSION
As the cartilage does not have blood vessels and has limited ability for self-healing, repairing a damaged cartilage requires efficient methods.1,52-54 Tissue engineering techniques based on the utilization of stem cells are useful techniques with great potential in treatment of such injuries. One of the most important factors in successful tissue engineering is selecting an appropriate scaffold to facilitate cell growth and
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Figure 1: The image produced by phase-contrast microscope of living mesenchymal stem cells isolated from human adipose tissue which spindle cells in the third passage are visible
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Figure 2: The MTT assay of chondrogenic differentiated adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells in poly D, L-lactic glycolic acid, Fibrin glue and alginate in comparison with control group
differentiation. As a result, in cartilage tissue engineering, an ideal scaffold seems necessary to maintain the chondrocyte phenotype in the differentiation process.54-57 Most of the tissue engineering studies that are based on using stem cells utilize chemical factors (such as growth factors) or signals for chondrogenic differentiation.59,60 Thus, less attention has been paid to the importance of scaffolds as influential factors in regulation of tissue growth and differentiation.18 In this study, it was shown that differentiation capacity of MSCs varies in different biological scaffolds and scaffold selection is an important factor in the stem cell differentiation process. This study confirmed the results of previous studies in the field of differentiation ability of AD-MSCs under certain conditions.42,59,60

Hydrogels show characteristics similar to soft tissue and thus are able to provide a supportive matrix for chondrocyte activity and secretion of cartilage ECM. High efficiency of encapsulation and uniform distribution of cells within the hydrogels are some of their benefits and can be effective in the quality of the formed tissue.19,58,61 Hydrogel scaffolds perform the uniform proliferation of the cells and easy integration of growth factors, while synthetic polymers provide the required load-bearing capacity.45,62 Hydrogels are easily prepared and are surrounded by chondrocytes, thus they protect chondrocyte phenotype and morphology.63,65 They also contain natural biomaterial based on carbohydrates (such as alginate) and protein (such as fibrin glue).58,66 Results of several studies suggested that

**Figure 3:** The mRNA expression of collagen Type I, collagen Type II, Sox 9 and Aggrecan in the differentiated adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells on different scaffolds (a-d respectively) *statistically significant* (*P* < 0.05)

**Figure 4:** Histological evaluation of cells cultured on Fibrin glue, poly D, L-lactic glycolic acid (PLGA) and Alginate scaffolds at 4th week. (a) Fibrin glue scaffold, (b) PLGA scaffold and (c) alginate scaffold with x10 magnification. Staining of native cartilage used as control with hematoxylin/eosin is demonstrated in (d) (x10 magnification)
different hydrogels are suitable in order to be used in tissue engineering in vitro.\textsuperscript{18,56,63,67} Fibrin, as a scaffold, is utilized in cartilage tissue engineering accompanied with MSCs.\textsuperscript{54,58,68} Based on previous reports, not only fibrin is not less efficient than other hydrogels in cartilage tissue engineering, but fibrin can also support chondrogenesis of different tissues derived- MSCs.\textsuperscript{54,58}

It has been shown that softer biomaterials can support chondrocyte phenotype in a better way.\textsuperscript{19,20} Therefore, based on the results of our study, it seems that less stiffness of fibrin can lead to a better chondrogenesis. Different studies showed contradictory results. Results of a previous study have shown that fibrin gel scaffold provides an opportunity for the growth of AD-MSCs.\textsuperscript{69} Unlike synthetic hydrogels, fibrin is not only a cell supplier matrix, but its biological activity makes it suitable for differentiation of stem cells and tissue engineering.\textsuperscript{70} Integrin binding sequence of arginine-glycine-aspartic acid existing in fibrin is a stimulating factor for cell binding and growing. Some studies reported that in cartilage tissue engineering, fibrin stimulates collagen Type II, while it prevents digestion of collagen Type I by stem cell derived chondrocytes.\textsuperscript{70} Some of the researches have shown that purified fibrin scaffolds cause less expression of collagen Type II and aggrecan compared with alginate.\textsuperscript{12,13,17,54} In another study, alginate showed better stimulation of chondrogenesis in bone marrow derived MSCs when compared to fibrin.\textsuperscript{58} Evidence show that chondrogenesis is recognized by increase in expression and accumulation of collagen Type II and aggrecan genes compared to collagen Type I gene in fibrin in comparison to MSCs is 2.9, respectively, while relative expression amount of collagen Type II gene in fibrin is 25:1 in comparison with MSCs. These results indicate that the ratio of chondrogenic related genes expression is increased several times. Consequently, fibrin glue scaffold has higher expression of collagen Type I and higher expression of collagen Type II and aggrecan, when compared to MSCs. Results of our study showed that fibrin glue scaffold can be considered as an appropriate scaffold for differentiation of AD-MSCs due to higher expression of the genes involved in chondrocyte differentiation compared to PLGA and alginate scaffolds.

Furthermore, according to our results it is suggested that the proliferation of differentiated AD-MSCs to chondrogenic cells could be enhanced by the application of fibrin glue scaffold as a suitable environment.

**Conclusion**

It seems that natural scaffolds such as fibrin glue can be used as appropriate scaffold for chondrogenic differentiation of human MSCs and to develop new efficient strategies in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.
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