In vivo convergence of BMP and MAPK signaling pathways: impact of differential Smad1 phosphorylation on development and homeostasis
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Integration of diverse signaling pathways is essential in development and homeostasis for cells to interpret context-dependent cues. BMP and MAPK signaling converge on Smads, resulting in differential phosphorylation. To understand the physiological significance of this observation, we have generated Smad1 mutant mice carrying mutations that prevent phosphorylation of either the C-terminal motif required for BMP downstream transcriptional activation (Smad1C mutation) or of the MAPK motifs in the linker region (Smad1L mutation). Smad1C/C mutants recapitulate many Smad1−/− phenotypes, including defective allantois formation and the lack of primordial germ cells (PGC), but also show phenotypes that are both more severe (head and branchial arches) and less severe (allantois growth) than the null. Smad1L/L mutants survive embryogenesis but exhibit defects in gastric epithelial homeostasis correlated with changes in cell contacts, actin cytoskeleton remodeling, and nuclear catenin accumulation. In addition, formation of PGCs is impaired in Smad1L/L mutants, but restored by allelic complementation in Smad1C/L compound mutants. These results underscore the need to tightly balance BMP and MAPK signaling pathways through Smad1.
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Results

Generation of Smad1 mutant lines carrying point mutations

To generate the Smad1C mutation, a targeting construct was designed to change the C-terminal SSVS motif into AAV, in exon 7 [Fig. 1A; Hoodless et al. 1996, Kretzschmar et al. 1997b]. Two correctly targeted embryonic stem (ES) cell clones were used to generate chimeras from which one transmitted this mutation [Fig. 1B]. Western analysis of protein extracts from embryonic day 9.5 [E9.5] wild-type (wt) and Smad1C mutants showed that this mutation did not affect protein levels [Fig. 1C].

For the Smad1L mutation, the targeting construct incorporated serine-to-alanine substitutions in the MAPK-consensus phosphorylation sites and two conserved phosphoserines in exon 3 [Fig. 1D; see Materials and Methods for further discussion, Kretzschmar et al. 1997a]. Two Smad1L mutant mouse lines were established from correctly targeted ES cell clones [Fig. 1E], and both lines resulted in the same phenotype. Most of the analysis was done using Smad1L line one. The Smad1L mutant protein was expressed at similar levels when compared with the wild-type protein [Fig. 1F].

Phenotypic consequences of the Smad1C mutation

It has been shown that mutations in the Smad1 C-terminal end result in the absence of transcriptional activation upon BMP activation [Hoodless et al. 1996, Kretzschmar et al. 1997b]. If Smad1 was to function exclusively downstream of BMP signaling, one prediction might be that the C-terminal mutation might lead to a phenotype identical to the loss of function. Intercrosses between Smad1C/C animals failed to produce Smad1C/C mutants at weaning [Table 1]. Analysis of timed matings indicated that Smad1C/C embryos were recovered in the expected Mendelian ratio until E9.5 [Table 1]. Smad1C/C embryos displayed posterior truncation, abnormal turning, and allantois malformation compared with wild-type specimens that are reminiscent of the Smad1C/C mutants [Fig. 2A–D]. Smad1C/C mutants were similarly affected [data not shown]. The allantois normally forms from the outgrowth of the extraembryonic mesoderm into the coelomic cavity [Downs et al. 2003]. As for the Smad1C/C embryos, failure of the allantois to connect to the chorionic plate and initiate placenta formation was the most likely cause of embryonic lethality in Smad1C/C mutants [Fig. 2K–N; Lechleider et al. 2001, Tremblay et al. 2001, Hayashi et al. 2002]. Smad1C/C embryos are characterized by the presence of ectopic extraembryonic ectoderm as revealed by enhanced Bmp4 and Eomes expression [Fig. 2F, Tremblay et al. 2001]. The expression pattern of these markers in Smad1C/C embryos showed a similar expansion of extraembryonic tissues compared with control embryos [Fig. 2E–J]. These observations demonstrate that the development of extraembryonic structures relies on transcriptional activation of Smad1.

However, a closer examination of Smad1C/C and Smad1C/C embryos revealed disparities between both

sites on R-Smads in the linker region between their conserved Mad Homology 1 (MH1) and MH2 domains [Masague 2003]. In cell culture systems, linker phosphorylation prevents the nuclear localization of the R-Smads, thereby antagonizing TGFβ signaling [Kretzschmar et al. 1997a, 1999]. This mechanism has been invoked to explain how oncogenic Ras overrides TGFβ-mediated growth arrest in cancer cells [Kretzschmar et al. 1999]. In Xenopus, the rapid loss of competence of cells to respond to activin during early embryonic development correlates with phosphorylation of the linker and cytoplasmic retention of Smad2 [Grimm and Gurdon 2002]. Similarly, neural induction in Xenopus embryos also involves cross-talk between MAPK and TGFβ signaling [Pera et al. 2003]. In this case, Fibroblast Growth Factor 8 (FGF8) and Insulin Growth Factor 2 (IGF2) trigger phosphorylation of the Smad1 linker, and together with the sequestrating extracellular molecule Chordin, inhibit BMP-mediated neural induction.

Even though these experimental systems highlight the importance of integrating TGFβ and MAPK signaling, the relevance of this link still needs to be addressed in functional studies that take into account temporal and quantitative requirements. For instance, the extent of R-Smad nuclear exclusion in vitro has been found to be variable [Kretzschmar et al. 1999; Lehnmann et al. 2000]. Furthermore, the biological significance of modulating the ability of R-Smads to convey TGFβ signaling at physiological levels remains to be determined. To address this issue, we have generated Smad1 mouse lines that carry mutations at the C-terminal motif required for its transcriptional activity (Smad1C mutants), or in the MAPK consensus sites and two conserved phosphoserines of the linker region (Smad1L mutants). Because of its extensive biochemical characterization, Smad1 represents a good model system to decipher the in vivo role of BMP-MAPK regulatory mechanisms [Hoodless et al. 1996, Kretzschmar et al. 1997b, Pera et al. 2003]. We show here that, like Smad1−/− embryos, Smad1C/C mutants die in utero and display defects in allantois formation and in PGC specification [Lechleider et al. 2001, Tremblay et al. 2001, Hayashi et al. 2002]. These observations are consistent with a prominent role for Smad1 in mediating BMP responses. Some phenotypes of the C-terminal mutation are distinct, however, implicating regulation by different signaling pathways. We further show that although the linker mutation does not affect viability, it perturbs PGC formation and stomach homeostasis. At a cellular level, the linker mutation affects cell contacts, actin cytoskeleton, and nuclear β-catenin accumulation, which correlate with retention of Smad1 at the membrane. Smad1C and Smad1L alleles complement each other in PGC formation, demonstrating that both MAPK and BMP signaling pathways converge on Smad1 to regulate the formation of the germ line. Taken together, these results suggest that MAPK signaling through Smad1 may fulfill other regulatory functions in addition to its previously established inhibitory role, and underscore the importance of fine-tuning the balance of BMP and MAPK signaling during development and in the adult.
phenotypes (Fig. 2B–D). In particular, anterior truncation of the head occurred in 7/8 Smad1C/C embryos. Furthermore, only one branchial arch was present, sometimes unilaterally (Fig. 2B,C). Smad1C/C embryos also displayed an enlarged pericardium and impaired development of the posterior aspects. These more severe phenotypes are likely due to defects in extraembryonic or primitive streak patterning at earlier stages and have not been observed in our cohort of null mutants (Fig. 2D) or reported so far (Lechleider et al. 2001; Tremblay et al. 2001). In contrast, allantois growth of several Smad1C/C embryos did not seem as severely impaired as in null mutants (Fig. 2M,N; Tremblay et al. 2001). Moreover, the allantois frequently fused at ectopic locations rather than at the chorionic plate (data not shown) and bulging allantois were rarely observed in contrast to Smad1−/− mutants (Fig. 2D). These results indicate that the C-terminal mutation results in phenotypes both more severe (e.g., anterior development) and less severe (allantois growth) than those reported for null mutants.

Table 1. Genotypes of animals recovered from Smad1C and from Smad1L heterozygous intercrosses

| Age       | No. of litters | Genotype       | Smad1+/+ | Smad1+/C | Smad1C/C |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| E7.5      | 6              | Smad1+/+       | 11 (33.3%) | 13 (39.4%) | 9 (27.3%) |
| E9.5      | 4              | Smad1+/+       | 9 (23.7%)  | 19 (50%)  | 10 (26.3%) |
| Weaned animals | 8          | Smad1+/+       | 13 (30.2%) | 30 (69.8%) | 0 (0%)   |
|           |                | Genotype       |          |          |          |
|           |                | Smad1+/+       |          |          |          |
|           |                | Smad1+/C       |          |          |          |
|           |                | Smad1C/C       |          |          |          |

Figure 1. Targeting of the Smad1 locus. (A) Introduction of mutations in the C-terminal end of the Smad1 gene encoded by exon 7 using homologous recombination. The PGKneo selection cassette flanked by LoxP sites (arrowheads) and the diphtheria toxin (DTA) cassette in the targeting construct are indicated. (B) Southern blot analysis of DNA from ES cells using BamHI digestion hybridized with a 5'-flanking probe, to distinguish between the mutant (2.7 kb) and the wild-type (10 kb) alleles. (C) Western blot analysis of protein extracts from wild-type and Smad1C mutant embryos showed that similar levels of Smad1 protein were detected for each genotype. RasGAP served as a loading control. (D) Introduction of the linker mutation by homologous recombination substituting a mutated exon 3. (E) Southern analysis using XbaI digestion and a 3'-flanking probe, to distinguish between the mutant (10 kb) and the wild-type (12 kb) alleles. (F) Western blot analysis of nuclear extracts from wild-type and Smad1L mutant MEFs showed that similar level of Smad1 protein was detected for each genotype. \( \gamma \)-Tubulin was used as a loading control. (B) BamHI; (N) NheI; (X) XbaI; (Xh) XhoI.
Phenotypic consequence of the Smad1L mutation on gastric homeostasis

Breeding of Smad1L/+ mice showed that the linker mutation did not affect viability, as Smad1L/L mutants were recovered at the expected frequency in heterozygous intercrosses at weaning (Table 1). TGFβ superfamily members are involved in the morphogenesis and homeostasis of several organ systems. To further investigate the potential role of Smad1 phosphorylation by MAPK-mediated signaling, adult animals were killed and various tissues were examined. With the exception of the reproductive tract of some Smad1L/L males (see below), no gross morphological anomalies were observed. Further attention was given to the digestive tract, as BMP signaling has been previously implicated in gut morphogenesis (Narita et al. 2000; Roberts 2000; Smith et al. 2000; Aubin et al. 2002). Histological analysis revealed that the cytology of the Smad1L/L gastric mucosa was perturbed (Fig. 3). The stomach of rodents consists of a proximal keratinized epithelium and a distal glandular mucosa (Gordon and Hermiston 1994). The glandular stomach is subdivided in three zones: a proximal zymogenic, a middle mucoparietal, and a distal pure mucus zone. In the zymogenic zone, the four main cell types show a stereotyped distribution: mucus-producing and zymogenic cells are found in the upper third and at the base of the unit, respectively, whereas parietal and enteroendocrine cells are distributed along the entire length. A common stem cell progenitor located in the isthmus repopulates each unit. In the Smad1L/L stomach, all the expected cell types were represented albeit with variations in their relative proportion (Fig. 3A–F). The zymogenic cells recognizable by their strong affinity for hematoxylin were severely depleted in linker mutants compared with wild-type samples (Fig. 3A–C). In addition, the parietal cells were more numerous in mutant compared with wild-type stomachs, as revealed by immunostaining with the H+K+ATPase proton pump antibody specific for this cell type (Fig. 3D,E). Mucous-producing and enteroendocrine cells were not substantially affected (data not shown). Stomach morphogenesis and primordial gastric unit formation was similar in wild-type and Smad1L/L mutants (tested at E13.5, E18.5, and postnatal day 0 [P0]; data not shown). The altered cellularity of Smad1L mutants [N] or fused ectopically [data not shown]. A) Allantois; (p) placenta; (t) tail.

Figure 2. Smad1C mutant phenotype. Comparison of E9.5 wild-type [A] and Smad1C/C [B,C] embryos showed that the C-terminal mutation caused heart defects, abnormal turning, and lack of ventral closure in the posterior region. Compared with the Smad1−/− [D], the Smad1C/C [B,C] mutants also displayed head [open white arrow] and branchial arch [open black arrow] anomalies, showed in insets. [E–I] Whole-mount in situ hybridization analyses showed stronger Eomes expression in extraembryonic tissues of Smad1−/− [F] and Smad1C/C [G] embryos at E7.5, compared with controls [E]. Bmp4 expression was also abnormal in Smad1−/− [I] and Smad1C/C [J] mutants compared with the controls [H]. The placental connection [K–N] was also defective in the Smad1−/− mutants [M,N]. In wild-type [K,L], the allantois fused to the placenta [K,L]. In contrast, growth of the allantois was often observed [M] but either not properly fused in the Smad1−/− mutants [N] or fused ectopically [data not shown]. A) Allantois; (p) placenta; (t) tail.
the cell membrane in the nucleus. In contrast, Smad1 exhibited strong nuclear localization when compared with wild-type [A]. [A–C, insets] Higher magnification of the zymogenic zone where parietal cells were abundant and zymogenic cells depleted in Smad1L/L and in Smad1+/−; Meox2Cre mice. The latter carry an Smad1+ allele in the context of the mosaic deletion of the null allele by Meox2Cre-driven recombination. The increase in the number of parietal cells was clearly visible using an anti-H′K′ proton pump antibody specific for this cell type when comparing wild-type [D] with Smad1+/− and Smad1+/−; Meox2Cre+ mutants [E,F]. The latter carry a Smad1+ allele in the context of the mosaic deletion of the null allele by Meox2Cre+ mice. Smad1L/L mutants showed signs of disorganization. [G–I] Immunostaining using a β-catenin antibody revealed that parietal cells, recognizable by their “fried egg” shape, showed increased proportion of nuclear staining [white arrowhead; H,I], compared with wild-type [black arrowhead; G]. [J–L] Changes in the localization of Smad1 protein were also observed in mutants. In wild-type [J], Smad1 was distributed throughout the majority of parietal cells [black arrow], whereas a strong cytoplasmic membrane and nuclear staining were found in mutants [white arrow; K,L]. Furthermore, a small proportion of Smad1L−/− mosaic mutants died at birth from a ruptured stomach [open arrowhead; M–O]. [N–O] Histology showed thinning of both the epithelial and muscular layers and the absence of cardia at the junction of the esophagus and the stomach. (d) Duodenum; (e) esophagus; (ep) epithelium; (m) muscular layer; (s) stomach; (sp) spleen.

To further investigate changes in components of cell–cell adhesion, immunostaining was performed with a β-catenin antibody. In the majority of parietal cells, β-catenin was localized at the membrane [Fig. 3G–I]. Although we could not unambiguously define if membrane-specific β-catenin labeling was modified in the Smad1L−/− mucosa, enhanced β-catenin nuclear staining was observed in positively stained parietal cells when compared with wild-type controls [85.6% ± 4.7% vs. 63.4% ± 7.3%; p < 0.01]. This observation suggests that Wnt signaling in the stomach might be influenced by the linker mutation.

To obtain further insights into the effect of the linker mutation, Smad1 protein localization was assessed in the gastric mucosa of wild-type [A,D,G,I], Smad1L−/− [B,E,H,K], and Smad1L−/−; Meox2Cre+ mice [C,F,J,L]. We also crossed the linker mutants with Smad5−/− mice to test for potential functional redundancy by the closely and functionally related Smad5 gene. Smad5−/− mutants die at midgestation of a complex set of phenotypes including vascular, gut, and ventral lateral morphogenesis defects, whereas Smad5−/− ani-
Cellular consequences of the Smad1<sup>L</sup> mutation

The relocalization of Smad1 protein in the parietal cells of the gastric epithelium in Smad<sup>1<sup>L</sup></sup>/L mutants prompted further investigations in mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) lines. Accumulation of Smad1 at the membrane was observed in Smad<sup>1</sup>/L but not in wild-type MEFs, similar to that observed in Smad<sup>1</sup>/L parietal cells (Figs. 4A,B, 3J–L). Thus, preventing MAPK-mediated phosphorylation of the linker region resulted in abnormal localization of the Smad1 protein in at least two distinct cell types. To obtain independent verification that inhibiting MAPK signaling leads to Smad1 protein retention at the membrane, wild-type MEFs were treated with the MEK inhibitor U0126 and stimulated or not with platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF). Inhibiting MAPK signaling resulted in Smad1 retention at the membrane (Fig. 4I). Smad1 localization was not affected by the presence or absence of PDGF in control assays [Fig. 4I,J; data not shown]. Smad<sup>1</sup>/L MEFs were also characterized by tight cell–cell adhesion, as revealed by staining with a β-catenin antibody. In contrast to wild-type MEFs, in which cell–cell contacts were made through adhesion zippers [Fig. 4C], Smad<sup>1</sup>/L cells displayed stronger intercellular contacts as evidenced by the flat staining pattern between cells [Fig. 4D]. Staining with a cadherin-specific antibody correlated with that observed with β-catenin (Fig. 4E,F). The actin cytoskeleton of Smad<sup>1</sup>/L MEFs was characterized by accumulation of cortical actin and a decrease in stress fibers compared with wild-type cells [Fig. 4G,H]. Furthermore, the morphological change in cell shape of Smad<sup>1</sup>/L MEFs was reflected by the accumulation of focal adhesions at the periphery of the cell [data not shown]. Similar results were obtained for at least two different MEF lines from each genotypes. Thus, at physiological levels, MAPK signaling influences Smad1 cellular localization in MEFs, as observed in stomach parietal cells, and preventing Smad1 linker phosphorylation affects several cellular characteristics.

Effect of Smad1<sup>+</sup> and Smad1<sup>−/−</sup> mutations on germ cells

TGFβ superfamily members regulate development of PGCs and the morphogenesis of the reproductive tract (Behringer et al. 1994; Mishina et al. 1996; Allard et al. 2000; Jamin et al. 2000; Tremblay et al. 2001; Chang and Matuzk 2001; Hayashi et al. 2002; Pellegrini et al. 2003). In the mixed [129S4/C57BL/6] genetic background, intercrosses between Smad<sup>1<sup>L</sup></sup>/L mutants tended to be less productive than those between Smad<sup>1<sup>+/+</sup></sup> animals (3.75 ± 2.36 vs. 8.00 ± 2.03 pups/litter, respectively; p < 0.001). Adult wild-type and Smad<sup>1<sup>L</sup></sup>/L animals were killed, and gross morphological examination revealed that 2/7 Smad<sup>1<sup>L</sup></sup>/L males had smaller testes and abnormal reproductive tracts (Fig. 5A–D). Histological analyses showed that affected Smad<sup>1<sup>L</sup></sup>/L testis cords were disorganized and depleted of primordial germ cells (PGCs; Fig. 5D). We next examined the presence of PGCs in E13.5 embryos by alkaline phosphatase (AP) activity. AP staining showed that whereas PGCs were present in Smad<sup>1<sup>L</sup></sup>/L mutants, others lacked them entirely [Fig. 5G–J]. This phenotype was not sex-specific as both males [Fig. 5C,D] and females [Fig. 5I,J] were affected. To test if the lack of PGCs in E13.5 gonads could...
be due to defects in the emergence of this cell population at gastrulation, whole-mount AP staining was performed on E7.5 embryos, and PGCs were counted. At this time, PGCs are normally readily detectable at the base of the allantois. Of the Smad1L/L mutants, 60% (n = 15) had a low PGC count (<15 PGCs per embryo; Fig. 6B,E). Thus, the decreased fertility of Smad1L/L animals is most likely caused by a defect in the emergence of PGCs early in embryonic development, indicating a further role for phosphorylation of the Smad1 linker region.

In Smad1−/− embryos, PGCs are not formed, whereas Smad1+/− animals are hypofertile because of a decrease in PGCs, most likely reflecting a dosage effect (Tremblay et al. 2001; Hayashi et al. 2002). In contrast, Smad1C/− mutants displayed normal fertility. Taking into account the fact that homozygous mutants derived from Smad1C/− heterozygous matings are lethal, the number of pups recovered in this type of cross (5.4 ± 2.7 pups/litter) was similar to that expected (6 pups/litter on average). We also observed that all E7.5 Smad1C/C embryos (n = 9) tested were almost completely devoid of PGCs [Fig. 6C,E], confirming that the emergence of the germ-cell lineage was dependent on the transcriptional activity of Smad1, most probably in response to extraembryonic BMP4, BMP8b, and embryonic BMP2 signals (Lawson et al. 1999; Ying et al. 2000; Fujiwara et al. 2001; Tremblay et al. 2001; Ying and Zhao 2001; Hayashi et al. 2002). Because Smad1C/− mutants are not as severely...

Figure 6. PGC formation at E7.5 in wild-type, Smad1L, and Smad1C/− mutants. E7.5 embryos were stained for AP (A–D) to detect PGC at the posterior side of the wild-type (A), Smad1L/L (B), Smad1C/C (C), and Smad1C/L (D) embryos. Compared with the number of PGCs observed in wild-type embryos (black arrow, A), some Smad1L/L mutants (B) and almost all Smad1C/C embryos (C) were depleted of PGCs (open arrow). PGC formation was restored in Smad1C/L mutants (black arrow, D). [E] The modal distribution of embryos according to their genotype and the PGC count is shown. Embryos were classified in three categories depending on the number of PGCs.
affected as Smad1<sup>+/-</sup> animals, this may indicate that the mutant protein contributes to restoring the fertility in a BMP-independent manner.

Because both the linker and the C-terminal mutation led to a reduction in PGC numbers, although much more severe for the Smad1<sup>C/C</sup> mutants, we next asked if the formation of PGCs was also affected in Smad1<sup>C/L</sup> compound mutants, as signaling from both MAPK and BMPs could still occur. Intercrosses of Smad1<sup>C/L</sup> heterozygous mice with either Smad1<sup>C/C</sup> or Smad1<sup>C/+</sup> animals indeed produced as many pups (8.25 ± 2.49 pups/litter, 12 litters) as Smad1<sup>L/+</sup> or wild-type breeding (8.00 ± 2.03 pups/litter, 27 litters), despite the lethality of Smad1<sup>C/C</sup> mutants. Accordingly, the number of PGCs formed in E7.5 Smad1<sup>C/L</sup> embryos (n = 11) was normal in the majority of the embryos examined (Fig. 6D,E). Furthermore, the recovery of Smad1<sup>C/L</sup> embryos in the expected proportion did not support the notion of a bias in allelic transmission (data not shown). Therefore, the rescue observed in PGC formation by the linker and C-terminal mutations suggests complementation between Smad1<sup>L</sup> and Smad1<sup>C</sup> alleles, and that MAPK as well as BMP signaling through Smad1 are required for the emergence of the germ line.

Discussion

Smad1 as a mediator of BMP signaling

The introduction of the C-terminal mutation in the Smad1<sup>C</sup> mouse line provides a means to evaluate if Smad1 function depends entirely on its transcriptional activation by BMP signaling, by comparing the resultant mutant phenotype to that of the null mutation. Preventing phosphorylation of the C-terminal residues led to several phenotypes similar to the loss-of-function mutation, with defects in the posterior structures of the embryo, in PGC development, and in development of extraembryonic mesoderm (Figs. 2, 6; Tremblay et al. 2001). However some of the defects in extraembryonic structures—for example, allantois growth—appeared less severe than those observed with the null allele. Such a result might be expected if not all Smad1 activities are dependent on transcriptional activation by BMP signaling.

Smad1<sup>C/L</sup> embryos have additional head and branchial arch anomalies not observed in Smad1<sup>+/-</sup> mutants (Fig. 2). A role for BMP in anterior development is illustrated by the analysis of Bmp4<sup>−/−</sup> mouse mutants also carrying a mutation in Twisted gastrulation, thought to act as a pro-BMP factor in the head region (Zaklin and De Robertis 2004). One possible explanation for the enhanced severity of our phenotype is that the Smad1<sup>C</sup> exerts a dominant-negative effect on BMP signaling by interfering with Smad5-mediated signaling. Consistent with this interpretation, the anterior truncation we observe appears similar to that seen in Smad5<sup>−/−</sup> mutant embryos (Chang et al. 1999). A putative dominant effect could be due to the competition between wild-type and the Smad1C proteins for a putative receptor-associated recruiting protein. Alternatively, the Smad1C protein may interfere with kinetics of activation at the receptor level, hampering the recruitment of Smad5 and Smad8 to the receptor complex. Consistent with this hypothesis, the interaction between the receptor kinase complex and R-Smads has been shown to be stronger when the R-Smad C-terminal phosphorylation sites are mutated (Qin et al. 2001).

On the other hand, some experimental data do not support the notion of a dominant-negative effect. For instance, the presence of the Smad1C protein does not have a deleterious effect on the fertility of heterozygous animals, as might be expected if it acted as a dominant negative. Moreover, in Xenopus embryos, a C-terminal mutant Smad1 protein has only a weak, if any, ventralizing effect (Pera et al. 2003). Consequently, embryonic structures in Smad1<sup>C/C</sup> mutants showing enhanced severity of the C-terminal mutation compared with the nulls may represent sites that require a strict equilibrium between BMP and MAPK inputs (e.g., anterior formation). Less severe phenotypes (e.g., allantois growth) would rather reflect sites of BMP-independent functions for Smad1.

Smad1 as a mediator of MAPK signaling

The analysis of the Smad1 linker phenotype provides the first evidence for a role of integrating BMP and MAPK signaling in epithelial homeostasis. Rendering the Smad1 protein resistant to MAPK-mediated phosphorylation alters homeostasis of the gastric epithelium as reflected by the increased parietal cells and decreased zymogenic cell populations (Fig. 3). Our characterization provides some hints on how MAPK signals affect homeostasis via Smad1. As we and others (Huang et al. 2000) have shown, Smad1 is expressed at high levels in parietal cells, a cell population that is expanded in Smad1<sup>L/L</sup> mutants (Fig. 3H,I). Parietal cell depletion experiments in transgenic mice have demonstrated that this cell type influences decision-making among gastric epithelial cell precursors and modulates the migration-associated terminal differentiation programs of the pit [mucous-producing] and zymogenic lineages [Li et al. 1996]. Changes in the parietal cell population in Smad1<sup>L/L</sup> mutants are therefore most likely to impede this migration-associated differentiation and underlie the perturbed homeostasis. However, we cannot exclude a cell-autonomous role in the differentiation of zymogenic cells because Smad1 is also expressed at low levels in these cells (Huang et al. 2000; J. Aubin and P. Soriano, unpubl.).

A regulatory role for MAPK signaling in epithelial homeostasis is further supported by the consequence on gastric homeostasis of overexpressing activated K-ras in the stem cell region [isthmus] of the gastric epithelium (Brembeck et al. 2003). Overactive K-Ras leads to a decrease in parietal cells, a phenotype opposite to that seen in Smad1<sup>L/L</sup> mutants. It would be worth testing if the Smad1L protein could rescue some aspects of the activated K-ras phenotype. Rescue of the effect of activated Ras has indeed been reported in cultured colon cancer cells by a linker mutant (Ras-resistant) form of Smad3 (Calonge and Massagué 1999).
An additional factor that may contribute to altered functional specification of the stomach is the enhanced β-catenin nuclear staining, suggesting activated Wnt signaling. How both BMP and Wnt signaling are intertwined in this organ system remains to be addressed, but one interesting possibility is that Smad1 and β-catenin might directly interact in parietal cells. Such a direct association has been observed in kidneys of ALK3 transgenic mice and in wild-type MEFs [Hu et al. 2003; J. Aubin and P. Soriano, unpubl.].

The severity of the stomach alteration in some of the Smad1+/− mutants unveils the importance of fine-tuning BMP signaling during organogenesis. Stomach morphogenesis is known to rely on several signaling cascades, and requires integration of TGFβ and FGF signals [Aubin et al. 2002]. The source of MAPK signaling that is involved in this process remains to be identified, but FGFR10 and its cognate receptor FGFR2b constitute potential candidates. The glandular stomach is particularly sensitive to the action of BMP and FGF signaling as they are both essential for its formation [Fig. 3M–O; Narita et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2000]. This potential scenario is reminiscent of the role of FGF/IGF signaling in antagonizing BMP action in neural development in Xenopus [Pera et al. 2003]. The extent of the defect on both the epithelium and the muscular layer in Smad1+/− stomach, however, may indicate that cell autonomous as well as non-cell-autonomous factors are involved in this phenotype.

We expected the mutation of the linker phosphorylation sites to lead to nuclear accumulation, based on work in cell lines that pointed to the nuclear exclusion of Smad1 upon MAPK activation. This event, however, depends on the cell type and may be more perceivable in an oncogenic context [e.g., hyperactive Ras; Kretzschmar et al. 1997a, 1999; Massagué 2003]. Instead at physiological levels, the Smad1L protein was found to clear the cytoplasm and is retained at the plasma membrane in MEFs and parietal cells. An important function of MAPK-mediated linker phosphorylation might thus be to prevent undesired Smad1 retention at the membrane, which could lead to altered cell adhesion and cytoskeletal remodeling.

Changes observed in the cytoskeletal organization raise the question of a putative direct involvement of Smad1/BMP signaling in actin reorganization. Recently, BMP signaling has been shown to influence actin dynamics by regulating the activity of LIMK1, a kinase that phosphorylates the actin cytoskeleton regulator cofilin [Foletta et al. 2003]. Further experiments are needed to address the effect of membrane-localized Smad1L protein on LIMK1 regulation.

Smad1 as a convergence point for multiple signaling pathways

A developmental process greatly affected by the differential phosphorylation of Smad1 is the formation of the germ-cell lineage. The requirement of Smad1 transcriptional activity for PGC formation was expected based on the null mutant phenotype, but the decreased PGC population in the linker mutant was more surprising. In MEFs, the linker mutation was found to stabilize β-catenin and cadherin at the membrane and enhance homophilic interactions [data not shown]. E-Cadherin-mediated interactions in PGC precursors are required for their fate and commitment to the germ-cell lineage [Okamura et al. 2003]. How E-cadherin regulates PGC determination remains to be determined, but one hypothesis is that E-cadherin could function as an anchor that settles precursor cells within niches for PGC differentiation. This scenario would be similar to the role of DE-cadherin in the Drosophila ovary, where the BMP homolog decapentaplegic [dpp] is responsible for the lobal expansion of the germ-cell lineage [Zhu and Xie 2003].

The integration of Smad and MAPK pathways has been recently examined in Xenopus embryos (Grimm and Gurdon 2002; Pera et al. 2003). IGF, FGF, and anti-BMP signals were found to mediate differential Smad1 phosphorylation and synergize in neural induction, and introduction of a linker mutant Smad1 resulted in embryo ventralization [Pera et al. 2003]. In contrast, the Smad1 linker mutation in the mouse leads to a less dramatic phenotype. One factor that may account for the mild outcome of the Smad1+− mutation is that its effect when expressed at physiological levels may be masked by the compensatory action of Smad5 and Smad8, whereas this may not be the case in the Xenopus overexpression studies. Our attempt to test this by generating Smad1−/−; Smad5−/−; Smad8−/− mutants did not reveal any additional obvious defects. Smad1 and Smad5 are highly identical at the amino acid level (>90%), and all MAPK-consensus sites in the linker region are conserved between both proteins. It is thus possible that the total amount of Smad1, Smad5, and possibly Smad8 proteins phosphorylated as a result of MAPK signaling defines the final balance between BMP and RTK signaling in the mouse. Consequently, developmental processes in which the genes are differentially expressed, such as in PGC formation [Hayashi et al. 2002], would be more sensitive to the linker mutation. Further reducing the threshold of the MAPK-phosphorylated Smad pool, as in Smad1−/−; Smad5−/− compound mutants, or generating Smad1−/−; Smad5−/−; Smad8−/− triple linker mutants might be required to further define the cellular outcome of BMP/RTK cross-talk.

Taken together, our study underscores the importance of fine-tuning the balance of BMP and MAPK signaling through Smad1 in a physiological context. The unforeseen germ-cell and gastric epithelial phenotype, as well as the cellular consequences of the linker mutation, raise interesting questions about the underlying mechanisms.
of BMP-MAPK cross-talk. They also support the notion that MAPK-dependent Smad1 phosphorylation may not only serve to inhibit BMP signaling but may serve other important cellular functions as well. An outstanding issue remains to identify the source of MAPK signaling in affected tissues. Another interesting aspect is how Smad1 linker phosphorylation impinges on Wnt signaling through β-catenin. The Smad1C and Smad1L mutant lines provide useful tools to tackle these questions and to further dissect the functional significance of integrating diverse signaling pathways.

**Materials and methods**

**Mutagenesis of the Smad1 gene**

Two Smad1-specific clones, p12.7 and p25.2 encompassing exon 3 and exon 7, respectively, were isolated from a λ phage 12954 genomic library and used to generate the point mutant targeting vectors. To introduce the appropriate mutations, exon 3 and exon 7 subclones derived from the genomic library were subjected to 18 PCR cycles using PfuI polymerase and mutated oligonucleotides (Table 2), followed by DpnI digestion to eliminate the wild-type parental vector. Properly mutagenized clones were identified based on diagnostic restriction sites introduced by the mutagenesis and verified by sequencing. A diphtheria toxin-A cassette was included for negative selection (Fig. 1). For the C terminus mutation (Smad1C), serine-to-alanine substitutions were introduced in exon 7 of the Smad1 gene (S455A, S456A, and S458A; Kretzschmar et al. 1997b). Regarding the linker mutation, at the time this work was initiated, Kretzschmar et al. [1997a] had identified several residues in the linker region of the Smad1 protein that were potential phosphorylation targets, in addition to the four Erk-consensus motifs (S187, S195, S206, and S214). These additional residues included S209 and S210, as well as a stretch of four serines followed by a threonine (S198, S199, S200, S201, and T202). Examination of the closely related Smad5 homolog showed that all four Erk-consensus sites as well as S209 and S210 were conserved in both proteins, whereas this was not the case for S198, S199, S200, S201, and T202. This observation, along with the fact that residual basal Smad1 phosphorylation still occurred when the PXSP motifs were mutated [Kretzschmar et al. 1997a], prompted us to introduce serine-to-alanine substitutions in the MAPK consensus motifs (S187A, S195A, S206A, and S214A) as well as S209 and S210. The innocuous character of S209 and S210 mutations on BMP-responsiveness was confirmed by comparing Smad1L and wild-type MEFs using the three following criteria: (1) transcriptional profiling of downstream targets by microarray analysis, (2) induction of known transcriptional targets by Northern analyses (e.g., Smad7, Id1, and Id3), and (3) kinetics of activation of Smad1 wt and linker mutant proteins. Similar results were obtained for both genotypes in all of the above experiments (data not shown).

AK7 ES cells were electroporated with the linearized vectors and selected for 10 μg of G418 (total powder) per milliliter. PCR was used to identify ES colonies with targeted alleles. Positive colonies were analyzed by Southern blot to confirm the correct recombination events for each gene targeting prior to injection into blastocysts. The PGKneo selection cassette flanked by LoxP sites was removed from Smad1C and Smad1L lines by crossing the mutants with the Moeox2Cre deleter line (Tallquist and Soriano 2000). This deleter line was also used for the total ablation of the Smad1 allele in the Smad1 conditional null mouse line [provided by Liz Roberston, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA; Tremblay et al. 2001]. The Smad5 mutant line was obtained from Marty Matzuk [Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; Chang et al. 1999]. The presence of the PGKneo selection cassette did not affect either the Smad1C or Smad1L phenotypes (data not shown). The Smad1C and Smad1L mutations were analyzed in mixed (C57BL/
MEF lines were established from E13.5. Cell culture and immunofluorescence analysis

Université Laval, Quebec, Canada). Eomesodermin (provided by Brigid Hogan, Duke University, Durham, NC); a /H9252 ing 5

rine fragments were used as templates for synthesizing digoxi-

eas described in Wilkinson and Nieto (1993). The following mu-

clonal antibodies (Vector Laboratories).

Smad-1 overexpressing as well as Smad-1 null cells. Secondary

identity of the Smad1 band detected by the MADR1 antibody

(Santa-Cruz); and an anti-RasGAP (70.3) Rab that was a crude

naling Technology); a goat anti-mouse

Seattle, WA); an anti-phospho-specific Smad1/5/8 Rab (Cell Sig-

by V. Vasioukhin, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center,

Antibodies
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IHC) according to standard procedures.

Tissue collection, immuno- and histochemical analyses

Tissues from wild-type and Smad1 mutant animals killed at
different times after birth were collected in ice-cold phosphate-

buffered saline [PBS]. For the gut, different portions were sub-

divided prior to fixation in cold 4% paraformaldehyde [PFA] in

PBS, followed by dehydration and paraffin embedding. Embryos
during different stages of development were also harvested and pro-

essed for histology. Sections (6 µm) were stained with hema-
toxylin and eosin [H/E] or processed for immunohistochemistry

[HIC] according to standard procedures.

PGC detection was performed by incubating rehydrated sec-
tions (for E13.5) or E7.5 embryos with NBT [Nitroblue Tetra-
zolium Chloride]/BCIP [5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolylphosphate

p-Toluidine; Life Sciences) substrate to detect AP activity.

Tissues from wild-type and Smad1 mutant animals killed at
different times after birth were collected in ice-cold phosphate-

buffered saline [PBS]. For the gut, different portions were sub-

divided prior to fixation in cold 4% paraformaldehyde [PFA] in

PBS, followed by dehydration and paraffin embedding. Embryos
during different stages of development were also harvested and pro-

essed for histology. Sections (6 µm) were stained with hema-
toxylin and eosin [H/E] or processed for immunohistochemistry

[HIC] according to standard procedures.

The antibodies used in IHC, Western, and immunofluorescence

[IF] experiments include an anti-MADR1 rabbit polyclonal antibo-
ty [Rab, Chemicon] to detect Smad1, an H’/K’ATPase mouse monoclonal antibody [Mab, Sigma] specific for parietal

cells; a β-catenin Rab [Sigma], anti-E-N-cadherin Rab [provided

by V. Vasioukhin, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center,

Seattle, WA]; an anti-phospho-specific Smad1/5/8 Rab [Cell Sig-

naling Technology]; a goat anti-mouse γ-tubulin antibody

(Santa-Cruz); and an anti-RasGAP [70.3] Rab that was a crude

polyclonal antiserum raised against a GST fusion protein.
The identity of the Smad1 band detected by the MADR1 antibody

was confirmed in control experiments using extracts from

Smad-1 overexpressing as well as Smad-1 null cells. Secondary

antibodies were horsedrash peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-

rabbit IgG or biotinylated goat anti-rabbit/anti-mouse IgG poly-

clonal antibodies [Vector Laboratories].

In situ hybridization analyses

The whole-mount in situ hybridization protocol was performed

as described in Wilkinson and Nieto (1993). The following mu-

rine fragments were used as templates for synthesizing digoxi-
genin-labeled riboprobes: a 1-kb Smad–EcoRI fragment containing

5’ noncoding and coding sequences from the Bmp4 gene

provided by Brigid Hogan, Duke University, Durham, NC); a

Eomesodermin cDNA probe [Eomes; provided by Jean Charron,

Université Laval, Quebec, Canada].

Cell culture and immunofluorescence analysis

MEF lines were established from E13.5 Smad1+/+ and Smad1L/L

embryos. Embryos were trypsinized and plated on gelatin-

coated plates. Cell lines were established by splitting them ap-

proximately once every 3 d. For Smad1+/− MEFS, cells were de-

erved from E9.5 embryos [provided by Liz Roberston] and were

transformed by infection using supernatant from an SV40 ret-

roviral producing line (Berghella et al. 1999). Control wild-type

MEFs were also established in parallel.

For immunofluorescence analysis, trypsinized cells were

plated on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips and cultured over-

ight. Cells were fixed in 2% PFA in PBS for 10 min, followed

by three washes in PBS 0.1% Triton. Cells were incubated for 1

h with primary antibodies. After three washes, cells were incu-

bated with the appropriate secondary antibodies, washed again,

and mounted in aqueous medium.

For MAPK inhibitor treatment, MEFs were plated on cover-

slips and put to rest the next day by culturing them in low

serum medium condition for 24 h. They were then treated ei-

ther with DMSO [control] or 10 µM U0126 [Calbiochem] for 1 h.

Following stimulation with 10 ng/mL Platelet-derived Growth

Factor for 30 min., cells were fixed and immunofluorescence

was performed as described above.

Western blot analyses

E9.5 embryos and plated cultured cells were lysed in RIPA lysis

buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40,

0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate] con-

taining 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 10 µg/

mL proteinase inhibitor cocktail [Roche]. Total cell lysates were

incubated on ice for at least 15 min and centrifuged, and the

supernatant was transferred to a clean tube. Protein content was

quantified using a protein bioassay reagent [Bio-Rad], and 50 µg

was loaded for analysis by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were electro-

transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane [Amersham]. Incuba-

tion with recommended antibody dilutions was done over-

ight at 4°C.

Nuclear extracts were prepared as follow. Trypsinized cells

[10-cm plates] were washed with cold PBS and transferred to a

microfuge tube. After a brief centrifugation, cells were washed

in 1 mL of Buffer A [10 mM HEPES at pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl2,

10 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 2 mM PMSF, 2 mM sodium ortho-

vanadate], resuspended in 1 mL of Buffer A, and set on ice for 10

min to swell and lyse cells. Lysis was verified under microscope

examination. After vigorous mixing, the lysate was spun down

briefly. The pellet was resuspended in equal volume of Buffer C

[10 mM HEPES at pH 7.9, 420 mM NaCl, 15 mM MgCl2, 0.2

mM EDTA, 0.5% DTT, 5 mM PMSF, 2 mM sodium orthovana-

date, 25% glycerol] and set on ice for 15 min. Nuclear extracts

were spun at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Aliquots were frozen

at −70°C until used for protein quantitation and Western blot

analysis.
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