Influence of plastic fines content on the liquefaction susceptibility of sands: monotonic loading
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Abstract
The paper presents an experimental study on the effect of plastic fines content on the undrained behavior and liquefaction susceptibility of sand–fines mixtures under monotonic loading. The results of undrained monotonic triaxial compression tests conducted on mixtures of Hostun sand with varying amount (0–20%) and type (kaolin and calcigel bentonite) of plastic fines are presented. The specimens were prepared with different initial densities using the moist tamping method and consolidated at two different isotropic effective stresses. The results demonstrate that for both types of plastic fines, an increase in the fines content leads to a more contractive response and lower values of mobilized deviatoric stress. Despite similar relative density and fines content, the sand–kaolin mixtures showed a more contractive behavior than the sand–calcigel specimens. The steady-state lines (SSLs) in $e$–$p'$ space generally move downwards with increasing clay content. While the slopes of the SSLs for the clean Hostun sand and the mixtures with 10 and 20% kaolin are quite similar, the SSL lines for the specimens containing 10% or 20% calcigel run steeper or flatter, respectively. The inclination of the SSL in the $q$–$p'0$ plane was found independent of clay type and content. The sand–kaolin mixtures were observed to be more susceptible to instability and flow liquefaction than the sand–calcigel mixtures.
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1 Introduction

The effect of various parameters on the behavior of granular soils under undrained loading conditions is of particular interest for the design of foundations or other geotechnical structures in earthquake-prone regions and has thus been studied by numerous researchers. It is well known that water-saturated loose cohesionless soils are very susceptible to liquefaction under undrained static or cyclic loading. However, there is strong evidence suggesting that a fines content (defined as % finer than 0.063 mm) can even increase the susceptibility to liquefaction. A certain portion of the fines embedded in a host sand forms a part of the soil's structure and thus contributes directly or indirectly to the evolution of force chains within the skeleton of grains, influencing the soil behavior under various loading conditions. The majority of previous research was dedicated to the influence of non-plastic fines on various properties of granular soils under monotonic, cyclic or dynamic loading that means silty sands were tested in these studies (e.g., [8, 18, 26, 27, 29, 32–34, 38, 48, 49, 51–54, 61, 64, 66–70] and the references therein). The influence of plastic fines has attracted less attention, mainly due to the fact that for a long time, granular soils with plastic fines were considered to possess a lower liquefaction susceptibility.

Site inspections have shown that also clayey sands are prone to liquefaction during earthquakes. For instance, the
Chi-Chi earthquake \((M_w = 7.6)\) of 1999 triggered extensive soil liquefaction in Central Western Taiwan. The majority of the sand deposits in this region were characterized with significant amounts of low-to-medium plastic fines [12]. Similar observations for different sites and earthquakes were previously reported by Ishihara et al. [25], Holzer et al. [24] and Miura et al. [37]. The available literature on the effect of plastic fines on the behavior of granular soils under monotonic and cyclic loading is summarized in the following, discussing the influence of the content of fines first, followed by the effect of fines plasticity.

a) Effect of clay content

The effect of the clay content on the mechanical behavior of sand depends on several factors, including the grading of the sand, the content and type of the clay, the distribution of the clay within the soil and the chemistry of the pore water [23, 26, 28, 46]. Seed et al. [58] studied the influence of clay content of granular soils using data from several case histories in China. It was concluded that in the majority of the liquefaction events, the ground was composed of sands with a clay content lower than 15%, where the clay had a liquid limit \(w_L\) less than 35% and a natural water content higher than 0.9 times the liquid limit. Based on several liquefaction reports in the late 1980s and 1990s, Andrews and Martin [4] supported the applicability of the criterion of the proportion of Seed et al. [58]. A clay content larger than 15% was typically found to increase the liquefaction resistance. Georgiannou et al. [17] studied the behavior of clayey sand during undrained static shear in triaxial compression and extension. The specimens were prepared by sedimenting Ham river sand in a kaolin suspension. For a given granular void ratio, as the clay content of the mixture increased from 4.6 to 10%, the undrained softening got more pronounced and the strains up to phase transformation increased, when the specimens were sheared in compression. Furthermore, in the specimens with a clay content of 7.6%, the deviator stress at phase transformation was 1.7 times lower than for the specimens with a clay content of 4.6%. However, a reverse in this trend at clay fractions beyond 20% was noted. At a clay content of 30%, no dilative tendency was observed anymore and undrained softening was less pronounced than at lower clay content. Koester [28], Kuwano et al. [30] and Perlea [46] concluded an increase in the static liquefaction resistance of clayey soils in case of a clay content exceeding 20%. Ni et al. [41] investigated sand–clay and sand–silt mixtures in undrained static triaxial tests and analyzed their results in the context of published data. They concluded that for normally consolidated samples, the presence of a certain amount of clay \((f_c = 9\%)\) between the sand grains causes a reduction in strength. Through undrained static triaxial tests on sand–clay mixtures, Bouferra and Shahrour [10] observed a reduction in the liquefaction resistance with increasing clay content up to \(f_c = 15\%\). However, a reversal of this trend was recognized in the tests on the specimens with \(f_c = 20\%\), which showed a recovery of the soil dilatancy and consequently an increase in the soil resistance. A similar tendency was reported by Beroya et al. [9] for silt–clay mixtures although previously Guo and Prakash [23] concluded no definite criterion for evaluating the liquefaction potential of such clayey soils solely based on the amount of clay content.

Some studies have also investigated the influence of plastic fines content on the cyclic behavior of sand–fines mixtures. Through undrained cyclic triaxial tests, Perlea et al. [45] found that a low amount of clayey fines \((< 15\%)\) decreased the liquefaction resistance, while an addition of more than 20% fines resulted in an enhancement. In contrast, Polito [47] reported the cyclic resistance of sands with plastic fines to be relatively independent of fines content, clay type, water content and liquidity index, based on a series of cyclic triaxial tests on mixtures of Yatesville and Monterey sands with kaolinite and bentonite. Nabeshima et al. [39] tested Toyoura sand mixed with kaolin at constant relative densities in cyclic torsional shear tests under undrained conditions. It was found that the addition of kaolin clay to the sand caused a weakening of the soil skeleton, promoting a flow liquefaction and leading to a reduction in the cyclic resistance. Gahremani et al. [19] conducted two series of undrained cyclic triaxial tests on sand containing a certain amount of either kaolin or bentonite. For both types of clay, they found a trend being opposite to that observed in previous studies: The liquefaction potential increased with increasing clay content for fines up to 30%, beyond which the addition of more fines caused a reduction in the liquefaction potential. Tsai et al. [63] investigated the effect of plastic fines \((f_c = 17–61\%)\) on the liquefaction resistance of dry-deposited mixtures of clean sand and clay with plasticity index \(I_p = 22\%). They also found an increase in the liquefaction resistance due to the presence of plastic fines.

b) Effect of plasticity index of clay fraction

Some studies have also investigated the effect of the plasticity of the clayey fines, described by the plasticity index, \(I_p\), on the liquefaction susceptibility
of the granular host soil. Very few studies dealt with the shear strength and liquefaction resistance under static loading conditions, while the majority concentrates on the cyclic liquefaction behavior. A relatively recent study was conducted by Papadopoulou and Tika [43] using a clean well-rounded sand as the base material. To obtain fines with different plasticity a non-plastic silt \( (I_p = 0\%) \) and speswhite kaolin \( (I_p = 35\%) \) were mixed and the specimens were prepared using moist tamping. At a given void ratio and fines content, an increase in contractiveness with increasing \( I_p \) was noted till a certain threshold value, after which specimens with higher \( I_p \) values exhibited dilatancy. For their tested materials, the threshold \( I_p \) for 5% and 15% fines content was found to be 6% and 22%, respectively.

Based on results from a series of undrained cyclic triaxial tests on different mixtures of sand with plastic fines, Ishihara [26] concluded that an increase in the plasticity index of the fine material will increase the cyclic strength of the mixture. On the contrary, using cyclic triaxial tests on moist-tamped specimens of sand–fines mixtures \( (f_c = 5–60\%) \), Koester [28] showed that the undrained cyclic strength was not uniquely related to the plasticity index of the fines fraction for \( f_c < 45\% \). However, the undrained cyclic strength increased with increasing plasticity of fines in specimens containing 60% fines. Generally, the effect of the plasticity index of the fines on the undrained cyclic strength was found to be significantly less pronounced than the effect of the gradation of the host material. From cyclic ring shear tests, Gratchev et al. [21] reported a significant increase in the liquefaction resistance of sand when mixed with bentonite. The effect of adding kaolin or illite was smaller, which was attributed to their lower plasticity. Through cyclic tests on Firouzkooh sand mixed with kaolin and bentonite fines, Ghahremani et al. [19] also found that the liquefaction resistance increased with increasing plasticity of the fines, similar to the observations reported by Ajmera et al. [3]. Park and Kim [44] studied the liquefaction resistance of moist-tamped specimens of a sand mixed with 10% plastic fines with varying plasticity in the range \( I_p = 8–377\% \). They found that the liquefaction resistance of loose specimens was marginally influenced by the plasticity of the fines. However, in the case of dense specimens, liquefaction resistance decreased up to 40% as \( I_p \) of the clayey fines increased which is in contrast to the studies mentioned previously.

It may be concluded that the literature is contradictory regarding both the influence of the content and the plasticity of clayey fines on the undrained monotonic and cyclic behavior of sand–clay mixtures. The conflicting results between the various studies may be due to differences in the characteristics of the used host sands and fines, the basis of comparison (e.g., similar relative density or void ratio), the sample preparation method (moist tamping vs. pluviation techniques) or the type of test. In particular, only a limited number of studies have investigated the combined effect of clay content and plasticity on the liquefaction susceptibility and steady/critical state characteristics of sand–clay mixtures under monotonic loading. Therefore, in the current paper, undrained static triaxial tests on mixtures of a host sand with two different clays having different mineralogical composition are analyzed to gain a deeper understanding into the engineering properties of sand–clay mixtures under monotonic loading. The results of cyclic tests on the same mixtures will be presented later in a companion paper.

2 Experimental program

2.1 Tested materials

In the present study, three different base materials were used, from which the four different sand–clay mixtures were prepared: Hostun sand as host sand and kaolin and calcigel bentonite as plastic fines.

Hostun sand is a poorly graded medium quartz sand with grain sizes ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 mm (mean grain size \( d_{50} = 0.33 \) mm, uniformity coefficient \( C_u = d_{60}/d_{10} \)).

| Table 1 | Physical characteristics of the adopted fine materials |
| --- | --- |
| Main mineral | Amberg kaolin | Calcigel bentonite |
| Liquid limit \( w_L \) % | 56.1 | 103.1 |
| Plastic limit \( w_P \) % | 38.0 | 43.3 |
| Plasticity index \( I_p \) % | 19.1 | 58.8 |
| Specific gravity | 2.64 | 2.79 |
| Cation exchange capacity (CEC) $\text{meq/g}$ | 5 | 64 |
| Specific surface area \( \text{(SSA)} \) $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ | 32 | 525 |

$^a$Cu-Triethylenetetramine [36] $^b$Ethylene glycol monoethyl ether (EGME) [11]
Amberg kaolin is a natural kaolinite clay with a plasticity index of 58.8%. Since it has been considered as a possible component of engineered barriers for underground nuclear waste repositories, several studies have been devoted to this clay (e.g., [2, 5]). The physical characteristics of the adopted fines are shown in Table 1. According to DIN 18196 [16], since both clays have a liquid limit \( w_l > 50\% \), they can be classified as highly plastic. The grain size distribution curves of the three materials are shown in Fig. 1.

To prepare the sand–clay mixtures, the dry sand and dry clay powder were mixed in the corresponding proportions (10\% and 20\% clay content by weight). The mixing was done by hand until a homogeneous distribution of both components was achieved. Afterward, water was added to the mixture. The water contents used for the preparation of the triaxial samples of the different mixtures are given in Table 2. After the addition of water, a second mixing process was carried out by hand in order to achieve a homogeneous distribution of the water content in the mixture. The mixtures were then left in a sealed container for at least 12 h before the samples were prepared in the corresponding test device.

To calculate the relative density \( I_D \) according to Eq. (1), the void ratios in the loosest and densest possible states, \( e_{\text{max}} \) and \( e_{\text{min}} \), of the material are required.

\[
I_D = \frac{e_{\text{max}} - e}{e_{\text{max}} - e_{\text{min}}}
\]  

For non-cohesive soils, the determination of the loosest and densest states is described in DIN 18126 (1996) [14]. However, for an application of the specified procedures, the maximum allowable content of the fine fraction (grain sizes \( d < 0.063 \text{ mm} \)) is limited. The method for the loosest state (funnel deposition of dry sand) should not be applied to sands with fines contents larger than 15\%. The applicability of the procedure for the densest state by means of the impact test (layerwise compaction of the water-saturated soil by strokes applied against the mold using a special tool) is restricted to clean sands only, while a maximum content of 15\% fines is allowed in case of the vibrating table test. However, several studies in the literature have applied the same methods also for higher fines contents (e.g., [20, 59]), mainly due to the lack of alternative methods applicable for these fines contents. Likewise, also in the present study the loosest state was determined according to DIN 18126 (1996) for all mixtures, although the maximum fines content of 20\% in the mixtures exceeds the limiting fines content of 15\%. For the densest state, two approaches were followed: (1) Proctor tests following DIN 18127 [15], and (2) impact tests according to DIN 18126. While the original procedure defined in DIN 18126 is carried out on water-saturated sand, the impact tests of the present study were performed on dry materials, as the addition of water leads to sticking or segregation of the sand and clay particles in the tested mixtures and therefore would not have been appropriate. The results of the tests on \( e_{\text{max}} \) and \( e_{\text{min}} \) are shown in Fig. 2. In these tests, beside \( f_c = 0\% \), 10\% and 20\% the fines contents \( f_c = 5\% \) and 25\% were tested additionally. Evidently, the differences between the kaolin–sand mixtures and the calcigel–sand mixtures in terms of \( e_{\text{max}} \) and \( e_{\text{min}} \) increase with increasing clay content, with higher values for the mixtures containing kaolin. In addition, differences between the two types of tests for the determination of the minimum void ratio can be seen. For clay contents exceeding 10\%, denser packings can be reached by the Proctor test than by the impact test. Therefore, the minimum void ratio corresponding to the densest state has been adopted from the results of the Proctor tests rather than the standard impact tests. Additionally, the fabric obtained via the compaction method in the Proctor test is assumed to be similar to the fabric of the triaxial specimens prepared by moist tamping, which may be regarded as another argument for selecting the \( e_{\text{min}} \) values from the Proctor tests. For the clean Hostun sand, the determination of \( e_{\text{max}} \) and \( e_{\text{min}} \) was carried out in accordance with DIN 18126 (1996).

Fig. 1 Grain size distribution of the adopted materials
2.2 Test device

The monotonic triaxial tests were carried out on a Wykeham Farrance Eng. Ltd. (England) loading machine as adopted in previous studies, e.g., by Sarkar et al. [56]. The loading was applied at a constant displacement rate of 0.225 mm/min. The vertical force was measured by a load cell mounted externally on the crosshead. The vertical deformation was recorded by a displacement transducer, LVDT, mounted externally on the load piston. The cell and back pressures were measured through two sensors connected to the cell volume or the drainage lines of the sample, respectively. For the measurement of volume changes during the consolidation phase, a burette system was used. One burette is connected to the pore space of the sample, while the water level in the second burette used as reference is kept constant throughout the test. Both burettes are connected to a differential pressure transducer, which measures changes in the difference of the pressures and thus water levels in both burettes. Changes in the water level in the burette correspond to a volume change of the sample: An increase in the water level inside the burette
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**Fig. 2** Maximum and minimum void ratios of the sand–clay mixtures
would imply compaction, while a decrease would signify dilatancy.

A latex membrane with thickness of 0.7 mm was used for the actual tests. Besides, the usage of large specimens and a granular host material with relatively small mean grain size minimized any effects of membrane penetration [6, 40] in the current experiments. A calculation according to Omar and Sadrekarimi [42] confirmed that the effects of membrane penetration and membrane resistance are negligible. Thus, they were not taken into consideration in the analysis of the tests. The end-bearing friction was further minimized using rigid stainless steel platens covered by a thin layer of grease and a rubber membrane (smear end plates) and was therefore deemed to have a negligible influence on the experimental results [42, 60].

2.3 Sample preparation

Triaxial samples were prepared using the moist tamping (MT) method. The main advantage of MT over air pluviation (AP) is that particle segregation during sample preparation is minimized ensuring a homogenous gradation throughout. Furthermore, obtaining both loose and dense specimens of mixtures with predefined target densities is comparatively easier through MT. The triaxial samples measured 10 cm in diameter and 20 cm in height. For sample preparation, a mold lined with the latex membrane was mounted on the pedestal of the triaxial cell. The prepared material of a certain mixture was placed in the mold in eight layers of 2.5 cm thickness each. After weighting the material for each layer, it was filled into the mold, distributed evenly over the cross-sectional area and compacted to the desired density using a plastic tamper. To check the layer thickness, the distance from the upper edge of the mold to the layer surface was measured. This procedure was repeated for each layer until all eight layers were placed.

2.4 Test procedure

After sample preparation, the triaxial cell was assembled and filled with water. The vacuum used for sample stabilization during the preparation process was replaced by a small cell pressure. CO₂ was slowly circulated through the sample from bottom to top. After that, saturation with deaired water followed until no more air bubbles emerged at the outlet of the drainage lines. After water saturation, the drainage lines were connected to the burette system used for volume change measurements. The cell and back pressures were raised simultaneously to 240 and 200 kPa, respectively. The sample was kept overnight under these pressures to improve saturation. A sufficient degree of saturation was checked by means of the B-value test. B-values larger than 0.95 were achieved for all tested specimens (see Table 2). The cell pressure and the external vertical force were then increased to the desired isotropic effective stress level (p′₀ = 100 or 200 kPa, a single test was performed under 250 kPa) keeping the back pressure constant at 200 kPa.

While the volume changes could be directly measured during the consolidation phase, only the vertical deformation was recorded during the phases of saturation and back pressure application, which is inevitable when measuring volume changes via the pore water. The volume change measurements can only start when the pore space is fully water-saturated. Furthermore, a compression of the pore water during back pressure application should not be mixed up with a volume change of the samples. It was assumed that the sample deformations during the saturation and back pressure application phases, which were rather small in the current study, occur only in the vertical direction. Thus, volume changes during these phases were calculated as the change of the height of the sample times its initial cross-sectional area. The sum of these volume changes and the much larger ones during consolidation were then used to calculate the initial (post-consolidation) dimensions, void ratios e₀ and relative densities I₀ of the specimens given in Table 2. All tests were carried out in a consolidated and undrained configuration, and shearing was terminated at a vertical strain of at most 25% due to limitations of the displacement transducer (Fig. 3). The details of all performed static triaxial tests are provided in Table 2.

3 Experimental results

3.1 Clean Hostun sand

Figure 4 shows the undrained behavior of clean Hostun sand prepared with four different densities and consolidated at two different initial effective mean stresses of p′₀ = 100 kPa and 200 kPa. From the curves of deviatoric stress q versus vertical strain e₁ in Fig. 4a, it can be seen that for the same p′₀ = 100 kPa, an increase in density I₀ (or a decrease in void ratio, e₀) leads to larger deviatoric stresses q at the same axial strain e₁. The loosest specimen (I₀ = 0.133/e₀ = 1.009, p′₀ = 100 kPa) shows a pronounced softening (decrease of q) after a comparably small strain (e₁ < 1%). The two denser samples tested at the same pressure show a strain hardening response, which becomes more distinct with increasing density. For the densest specimen (I₀ = 0.612/e₀ = 0.819) tested at the higher pressure p′₀ = 200 kPa, a steep increase in deviatoric stress till e₁ = 6.5% followed by almost constant q values and a softening after e₁ = 15% is observed. This
softening is caused by the formation of a shear band in the specimen which was not visible in the other three tests shown in Fig. 4.

The corresponding evolution of excess pore water pressure $\Delta u$ with increasing axial strain is shown in Fig. 4b. The densest specimen shows a dilative tendency, i.e., a drop of pore water pressure, already after a relatively small strain of $\varepsilon_1 = 0.5\%$. At axial strains larger than about 6%, the pore water pressure does not change anymore in this sample. In contrast, the loosest specimen reveals a contractive response (increase in pore water pressure) throughout the test, corresponding to an increase in pore water pressure, which finally stays constant from about $\varepsilon_1 = 15\%$. The other two specimens with densities in between show a gradual transition between the two extreme cases.

The effective stress paths in a $q$–$p'$ diagram are shown in Fig. 4c. A unique slope of the curves in the final phase of the four tests is evident. The small kink at the end of the $q$–$p'$ curve in the test on the densest sample is due to the shear band formation mentioned previously.

The effective mean stress $p'$ at the largest vertical strain applied in the test, which is approximately corresponding to the steady or critical state ($p'_{SS}$), is shown as a function of the initial (post-consolidation) void ratio in Fig. 4d.
parameters. Equations (2) and (3) with the best-fit parameters obtained from curve fitting are added in Fig. 4d.

Ikaolin. Therefore, at comparable observed at a similar density in the mixtures with 10% are provided in Fig. 5d–f. The stress strain curves in Fig. 5d show a pronounced strain softening for the samples with 10% kaolin content. From the curves of excess pore water pressure versus axial strain in Fig. 5e, which show an initial increase and almost constant values after 1. The test results for the mixtures with 10% kaolin content are provided in Fig. 5a–c shows the test results for the mixtures of Hostun sand with 10% kaolin content. From the curves of deviatoric stress $q$ versus axial strain $e_1$ in Fig. 5a, it is evident that an increase in the relative density causes an increase in the deviatoric stress $q$ at similar $e_1$. The loosest tested specimen ($I_{D0} = 0.65$) shows significant strain softening, while strain hardening is observed for the two higher densities ($I_{D0} = 0.72$ and 0.80). In Fig. 5b, the corresponding variation of the excess pore water pressure with axial strain is shown. The response of the loosest specimen is purely contractive, with an almost constant pore pressure after 10% axial strain, while the densest specimen shows a phase transformation toward a dilative tendency at relatively small strains. The response of the specimen with intermediate density is in between. The effective stress paths of the mixtures of sand with 10% kaolin for the different void ratios $e_0$ and initial mean effective stresses $p_0' = 100$ and 200 kPa are shown in Fig. 5c. The final effective stress states approximately lie on a unique line.

The test data for the mixtures with 20% kaolin content are provided in Fig. 5d–f. The stress strain curves in Fig. 5d show a pronounced strain softening for the samples with $I_{D0} = 0.80$ and 0.81, while strain hardening was observed at a similar density in the mixtures with 10% kaolin. Therefore, at comparable $I_D$ values, an increase in the kaolin content from 10 to 20% results in a change from a strain hardening to a strain softening behavior, and consequently also to a reduction of deviatoric stress $q$ at a certain $e_1$. The material response of the samples with $I_{D0} = 0.80$ and 0.81 is purely contractive, which can be concluded from the curves of excess pore water pressure versus axial strain in Fig. 5e, which show an initial increase and almost constant values after $e_1 = 7\%$. In contrast, the sample with the highest tested $I_{D0}$ shows a strain hardening response and a dilative tendency at $e_1 > 1\%$. The effective stress paths in Fig. 5f show again a quite unique failure line. The steady-state data for the two mixtures are shown in Fig. 6a and b along with the equations describing the steady-state line and its best-fit parameters.

3.2 Sand–kaolin mixtures

Figure 5a–c shows the test results for the mixtures of Hostun sand with 10% kaolin content. From the curves of deviatoric stress $q$ versus axial strain $e_1$ in Fig. 5a, it is evident that an increase in the relative density causes an increase in the deviatoric stress $q$ at similar $e_1$. The loosest tested specimen ($I_{D0} = 0.65$) shows significant strain softening, while strain hardening is observed for the two higher densities ($I_{D0} = 0.72$ and 0.80). In Fig. 5b, the corresponding variation of the excess pore water pressure with axial strain is shown. The response of the loosest specimen is purely contractive, with an almost constant pore pressure after 10% axial strain, while the densest specimen shows a phase transformation toward a dilative tendency at relatively small strains. The response of the specimen with intermediate density is in between. The effective stress paths of the mixtures of sand with 10% kaolin for the different void ratios $e_0$ and initial mean effective stresses $p_0' = 100$ and 200 kPa are shown in Fig. 5c. The final effective stress states approximately lie on a unique line.

The test data for the mixtures with 20% kaolin content are provided in Fig. 5d–f. The stress strain curves in Fig. 5d show a pronounced strain softening for the samples with $I_{D0} = 0.80$ and 0.81, while strain hardening was observed at a similar density in the mixtures with 10% kaolin. Therefore, at comparable $I_D$ values, an increase in the kaolin content from 10 to 20% results in a change from a strain hardening to a strain softening behavior, and consequently also to a reduction of deviatoric stress $q$ at a certain $e_1$. The material response of the samples with $I_{D0} = 0.80$ and 0.81 is purely contractive, which can be concluded from the curves of excess pore water pressure versus axial strain in Fig. 5e, which show an initial increase and almost constant values after $e_1 = 7\%$. In contrast, the sample with the highest tested $I_{D0}$ shows a strain hardening response and a dilative tendency at $e_1 > 1\%$. The effective stress paths in Fig. 5f show again a quite unique failure line. The steady-state data for the two mixtures are shown in Fig. 6a and b along with the equations describing the steady-state line and its best-fit parameters.

The curves of deviatoric stress and excess pore water pressure versus vertical strain for pure Hostun sand ($I_{D0} = 0.61$) and sand specimens with 10% kaolin ($I_{D0} = 0.72$) and 20% kaolin ($I_{D0} = 0.81$) are compared in Fig. 7a and b. All samples have been consolidated at $p_0' = 200$ kPa. Despite different densities, a clear trend can be deduced: With increasing clay content, an increasingly contractive behavior is observed, and consequently, lower shear stresses are mobilized at the same vertical strain. The specimen with a kaolin content of 20% and $I_{D0} = 0.81$ behaves similar to a very loose sample of clean sand. The $q$–$e_1$ curve of this sample shows a peak at a low strain value, followed by a pronounced softening until the steady-state value is reached at large strains. Simultaneously, the excess pore pressure, $\Delta u$, increases gradually until the constant steady-state value is reached. The loosest sample in terms of $I_{D0}$ in Fig. 7 is the Hostun sand sample with $I_{D0} = 0.61$. For that sample, the deviatoric stress $q$ rises steeply up to a value of 1000 kPa at a strain of about 7%, remains almost constant afterward and finally drops slightly. The change in excess pore water pressure reveals a pronounced dilative tendency after a small phase of contractive behavior. The mixture with 10% kaolin content shows an intermediate response, lying between the two cases discussed previously. The influence of the kaolin content on the volume change tendencies becomes also evident in the effective stress paths shown in Fig. 7c. While the dilative response of the clean Hostun sand leads to high $p'$ and $q$ values in the steady state, very low effective stresses are reached by the specimen with 20% kaolin showing a contractive response. The slope ($M$) of the failure and steady-state lines in the $q$–$p'$ diagram does not change significantly by the addition of the clayey fines. The described trends would be even clearer if samples with equal density were compared.

3.3 Sand–calcigel bentonite mixtures

The test results for the mixtures with 10% calcigel bentonite are presented in Fig. 8a–c. The curves of deviatoric stress versus vertical strain for $p' = 200$ kPa in Fig. 8a show again, as expected, higher deviatoric stresses at a certain vertical strain with increasing density. The specimen with the largest tested density $I_{D0} = 0.61$ shows a continuous strain hardening tendency, while the other two specimens with lower $I_{D0}$ ($I_{D0} = 0.43$ and 0.55) undergo
strain softening. The response of the sample with the lowest tested $I_D^0$ is purely contractive, while either a very small ($I_D^0 = 0.55$) or a pronounced dilatancy ($I_D^0 = 0.61$) after phase transformation is observed for the two higher densities (Fig. 8b). The effective stress paths for the various densities and initial stresses are shown in Fig. 8c, again with a good agreement of the inclinations in the final test phase.
Figure 8e–f provides the measured data for the mixtures with 20% calcigel bentonite. For the densest specimen ($I_D = 0.83$), a local peak of the $q$ versus $e_1$ relationship in Fig. 8e is observed around 0.5% vertical strain, followed by a slight decrease till $e_1 = 2\%$ and a subsequent continuous strain hardening. For the specimen with $I_D = 0.69$, the course of $q$ versus $e_1$ is similar, with a strain hardening tendency noted after $e_1 = 7\%$, although not as prominent as in the previous case. The third specimen with the lowest tested density ($I_D = 0.57$) exhibits continuous strain softening with a constant value of deviatoric stress reached at $e_1$ of around 7\%. The variation of the excess pore pressure with $e_1$ in Fig. 8e reveals that the response is predominantly contractive for all three tested samples. A slight dilative tendency is observed for the samples with $I_D = 0.69$ and 0.83 which becomes somewhat more pronounced with increasing density. The corresponding effective stress paths are shown in Fig. 8f. The considerable reduction of $p'$ up to the steady or phase transformation states reflects the predominant contractive response of the samples.

Figure 9 shows the steady-state data for the two sand–calcigel mixtures, together with the equations describing the steady-state line. It is interesting to note that the first term in the steady-state equations (value of SSL at $p' = p_a$) is smaller for 20\% than for 10\% calcigel content, while the
The inclination of the SSL (pre-factor of the logarithm) is considerably larger. This may indicate that the threshold calcigel content lies between 10 and 20%.

The diagrams in Fig. 10a and b show the variation of deviatoric stress and the change in pore water pressure against $e_1$ for the clean sand sample as well as the mixtures with 10% and 20% calcigel content. The three specimens compared in that figure have approximately the same relative density ($0.57 < I_D < 0.61$). Evidently, the $q-e_1$ and $\Delta u-e_1$ curves differ significantly, and a similar trend as in...
case of the sand–kaolin mixtures can be observed, where with increasing clay content, an increasingly contractive behavior accompanied by a tendency to strain softening is noted. For the specimen with 20% calcigel, the maximum $q$ (around 80 kPa) is already mobilized at low strains ($\sim 1\%$), and with increasing strain, $q$ drops slightly until it remains constant at about 7% vertical strain. Simultaneously, the pore water pressure increases by about 180 kPa within a strain of 7% after which it remains constant, signifying a purely contractive behavior up to the steady state. For the 10% calcigel sample, a higher $q$ (nearly 200 kPa) is mobilized compared to the 20% calcigel sample at low $e_1$ ($\sim 1\%$), followed by a continuous increase of $q$ over the entire strain range. The pore water pressure increases up to about 200 kPa within a strain of 7% after which it remains constant, signifying a purely contractive behavior up to the steady state. For the 10% calcigel sample, a higher $q$ (nearly 200 kPa) is mobilized compared to the 20% calcigel sample at low $e_1$ ($\sim 1\%$), followed by a continuous increase of $q$ over the entire strain range. The pore water pressure increases up to about 2% vertical strain followed by a decreasing trend up to the end of the test. The highest deviatoric stress is mobilized in the test on the pure Hostun sand where $q$ increases to 1000 kPa, accompanied by a significant decrease in the pore water pressure after a short contractive initial phase. Besides, also in the case of the sand–calcigel mixtures, the addition of clay does not have a significant effect on the slope of the SSL in the $q$–$p'$ diagram (Fig. 10c), similar to the case of the sand–kaolin mixtures.
3.4 Influence of clay type

As described above, the two clays used in this study differ substantially in terms of their plasticity. To assess the effect of clay type, samples containing different clays but with the same clay content and similar relative density are compared. Figure 11a, b and c compiles the $q$ versus $e_1$, $\Delta u$ versus $e_1$ and $q$ versus $p'$ curves measured for specimens containing either 10% kaolin ($I_{D0} = 0.65$) or 10% calcigel ($I_{D0} = 0.61$). Evidently, the specimen containing 10% kaolin shows a more contractive response than that with 10% calcigel (Fig. 11b), and the stress–strain response (strain softening vs. hardening) also differs strongly (Fig. 11a). In the test on the specimen containing 10% kaolin, the maximum deviatoric stress of about 100 kPa is reached at a vertical strain of approx. 1%, followed by a softening with a decrease of $q$ to 20 kPa during further shearing. In the same test, the excess pore water pressure $\Delta u$ increases by about 200 kPa within the first 10% of vertical strain and remains almost constant with further straining. The specimen containing 10% calcigel shows a significantly stiffer behavior. Within the first 1% strain, $q$ increases to 200 kPa and the pore water pressure grows by only 100 kPa, which is much less than in the case of 10% kaolin content. After phase transformation, a dilative response is observed, while $q$ continues to rise with a constant gradient.

The significantly different behavior despite the same clay content and comparable $I_{D0}$ becomes even clearer when looking at the effective stress paths in Fig. 11c. Starting from the same $p_0' = 200$ kPa, the mean effective stress drops to almost zero in case of the 10% kaolin sample, corresponding to the purely contractive response mentioned above. In contrast, in the case of the 10% calcigel sample, after a slight decrease of $p'$ during the contractive initial phase, the mean effective stress increases during the following phase with dilative tendency, reaching $p' = 350$ kPa at the end of the test.

Also for 20% clay content, clear differences can be observed in the measured data for the two different types of clay (Fig. 11d–f). For similar relative density, the sand–kaolin samples have a greater tendency to soften than the sand–calcigel mixtures. Figure 11d shows that at low strain ($e_1 = 1\%$), the specimen containing 20% calcigel reaches a significantly higher local maximum of the deviatoric stress ($q_{\text{max}} = 145$ kPa) than the specimen containing 20% kaolin ($q_{\text{max}} = 75$ kPa). Furthermore, $q$ drops only slightly after the peak in the specimen containing 20% calcigel and then rises again continuously. In contrast, $q$ drops to almost half of its maximum value in the test on the specimen containing 20% kaolin, before it increases slightly again at high strain levels ($e_1 > 15\%$).

A less contractive behavior of the specimen containing 20% calcigel before the phase transformation and a more pronounced dilative tendency afterward can be concluded from the development of pore water pressure in Fig. 11e. The increase in pore water pressure is higher in the specimen containing 20% kaolin (by about 185 kPa) than in the specimen containing 20% calcigel (150 kPa in maximum). For 20% calcigel, the pore water pressure decreases slowly at strains above 5%, while the specimen containing 20% kaolin shows almost constant values of pore water pressure at large strains.

The more contractive response of the sand–kaolin mixture is confirmed by the effective stress paths in Fig. 11f, where the mean effective stress temporarily drops to a value below 20 kPa in the specimen containing 20% calcigel, before it slightly re-increases at larger strains. The path for 20% calcigel shows a typical phase-transformation response, reaching a local minimum of $p'$ slightly below 100 kPa and a final value of about 145 kPa at large strains.

4 Discussion of results

4.1 Steady-state line

This section analyzes the effect of clay content and clay type on the steady-state line, SSL, in the $e$–$p'$ and $q$–$p'$ planes in more detail. As evident from the curves of deviatoric stress or excess pore water pressure versus vertical strain discussed in the previous sections, for the specimens with higher density, which showed a dilative behavior at large strain, a steady state was not fully achieved even at a strain of 25%. In these cases, the last measured data before the end of the test were used as an approximate of the steady state. The combinations of void ratio and mean effective stress at steady state obtained for the pure sand and the different sand–clay mixtures, already shown in Figs. 4d, 6 and 9, are compiled in the $e$–$p'$ plane in Fig. 12. Equation (3) was adopted to describe the SSL. A curve fitting leads to the linear curves shown in Fig. 12. The best-fit parameters $\Gamma$ and $\lambda$ determined using the Excel function “Excel-Solver” are summarized in Table 3.

The SSLs for the clean Hostun sand and the sand–kaolin mixtures with 10% and 20% clay content shown in Fig. 12a are parallel to each other at approximately equal distances. Figure 12b shows the SSLs determined for the calcigel mixtures. As can be seen, the SSL for the specimens containing 10% calcigel has a slightly lower inclination than that for clean sand, while the SSL for 20% calcigel content is steeper. This inconsistent trend might be explained with a possible exceedance of the threshold fines content, $f_{\text{thre}}$, so that the clay controls the behavior of the mixture with 20% calcigel content. It is well known that
the inclination of the SSL in the $e-p'$ diagram at $f_c > f_{thre}$ differs from that at $f_c < f_{thre}$ [62, 70].

Figure 13 shows the effective stress state at the end of the undrained triaxial tests, which is identical to the steady state in most tests and used as an approximate for the
steady state in the remaining tests, obtained for Hostun sand and the different sand–clay mixtures in a $q$–$p^0$ diagram. Evidently, the stress ratios $q/p^0$ at steady state observed for all mixtures are similar to the values of the host sand.

### 4.2 Instability lines

Samples of lower density subjected to undrained monotonic loading pass a local maximum in the deviatoric stress during the contractive phase, followed by a reduction of $q$ (strain softening) and (depending on density) a phase transformation, after which the mean effective stress and deviatoric stress increase again. The phase with a reduction of $q$ after the local maximum is also termed flow or partial flow liquefaction. The point in the $q$–$p'$ plane at which the deviatoric stress takes its local maximum is denoted as the undrained instability point (UIS) (after [67]). The corresponding $q$ and $p'$ values are denoted as $q_{UIS}$ and $p'_{UIS}$ in the following. The line passing through the origin of the $q$–$p'$ plane and the UIS is defined as the flow liquefaction or instability line (after [7, 65, 67]), abbreviated as IL in the following. The instability line separates potentially unstable (above the IL) from stable (below the IL) stress states. It has been established by Lade and Pradel [31] that instability occurs when the stress ratio ($q/p'$) exceeds the instability line.

The UIS points for the various specimens of the mixtures of sand with 20% kaolin undergoing flow/partial flow are shown in Fig. 14a. For the Hostun sand and the different sand–clay mixtures, the instability points determined from the undrained tests on samples showing a strain softening response are collected in Fig. 14b, in a diagram giving the stress ratio $q_{UIS}/p'_{UIS}$ at UIS as a function of the initial void ratio $e_0$. Figure 14b shows that the data of $q_{UIS}/p'_{UIS}$ versus $e_0$ are shifted to the left-hand side with increasing fines content. Furthermore, it can be concluded that for the same void ratio, the ratio $q_{UIS}/p'_{UIS}$ for sand containing 20% kaolin is lower than for the mixtures with 20% calcigel. This means that the specimens containing kaolin, i.e., a clay with lower $I_P$, are more prone to instability and a flow / partial flow response than the mixtures with calcigel having a higher $I_P$.

### 4.3 Effect of clay content and clay type

The bonding between successive layers in kaolinite is by both van der Waals forces and hydrogen bonds. The bonding is sufficiently strong ensuring that there is no interlayer swelling in the presence of water. In contrast, the bonding between successive layers in calcigel bentonite is by van der Waals forces and by cations that balance charge deficiencies in the structure. These bonds are weak and easily separated by cleavage or adsorption of water or other polar liquids.

Microscopic observations on sand–clay mixtures indicated that the clay particles tend to gather at the sand particle contact points, which can generate various forming

---

**Table 3** Fitting parameters of Eq. (3) for the adopted mixtures

| Parameter | HS          | HS + 10% Kaolin | HS + 20% Kaolin | HS + 10% Calcigel | HS + 20% Calcigel |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| $\Gamma$  | 0.96        | 0.79           | 0.67           | 0.74              | 0.57             |
| $\delta$  | 0.1097      | 0.0589         | 0.1739         | 0.0590            | 0.0658           |
Gratchev et al. [21] reported that soils containing plastic fines are susceptible to liquefaction due to the forming of an open micro-fabric, in which clay aggregations gathered at the sand particle contacts serve as low strength connectors. Adding more clay will increase the number of such low strength connections, which promotes the liquefaction susceptibility of the mixture. This is in agreement with the observed results in Figs. 6 and 10, where the mobilized deviatoric stresses decrease with increasing clay content, while simultaneously the volume change behavior is altered from dilative to contractive.

The different response observed for the two types of clay (Fig. 11) could be explained with differences in the bonding between the sand particles caused by the fines. Pusch and Yong [50] noted that kaolinite behaves as very fine silt soils consisting of quartz grains, and thereby, kaolinite has a lower specific surface area. As a result, the coating is more irregular and contains a greater amount of large particles [22]. The kaolinite particles gathered at the contact points between sand particles generate weak connections between particles, leading to an open micro-fabric that can easily collapse under loading. Therefore, the structure of sand–kaolin mixtures is susceptible to large volume changes (compaction) under drained conditions or a corresponding build-up of pore water pressure under undrained conditions, similar as observed for sand–silt mixtures (e.g., [32, 49, 52, 66–68], etc.).

In contrast, clay with a higher specific surface area and high plasticity index, as the calcigel bentonite in the current study, will produce a more smooth and regular coating around the sand grains [21, 50], probably leading to bondings with higher strengths compared to the kaolin (see also [9]). These adhesive bonds between the sand and clay particles are deemed to cause the observed less contractive behavior of the sand–calcigel mixtures accompanied by higher mobilized deviatoric stresses.

Another possible mechanism to describe the differences in Fig. 11 can be explained on the basis of the amount of...
free pore water. Because of strong bonding, kaolinite lamellae do not disperse into smaller units when water is added to the kaolinite soil, as during the saturation process. In contrast, when the calcigel–sand mixture is wetted, the weak bonding of the layers in calcigel permits further separation of the particles into extremely small flakes [22]. The adsorbed water has different physical properties and is more viscous in comparison with the free pore water [1, 22, 50]. The adsorbed water will be more strongly held and less mobile [9]. Under fully saturated conditions, larger quantities of free pore water are expected to be present in soils with kaolinite as the clay mineral than in soils with fines composed of calcigel bentonite, in which a significant amount of the pore water will be strongly bounded to the surface of the clay particles. Since there is more free pore water in the sand–kaolin mixtures, and generally a larger void space at same relative density, the soil fabric is more collapsible and excess pore water pressures can thus more easily develop. This agrees well with the tendencies in Fig. 11.

5 Conclusions

A series of undrained monotonic triaxial tests was conducted on clean Hostun sand and Hostun sand mixed with different amounts of two different clays, kaolin and calcigel bentonite. The plasticity index and the specific surface of calcigel are much larger than for kaolin. The results show that for both types of clay, the response becomes more contractive and thus the mobilized deviatoric stresses decrease with increasing clay content. Furthermore, for the same clay content and at a similar relative density, the specimens containing kaolin show a more contractive behavior and lower mobilized deviatoric stresses compared to the specimens containing calcigel bentonite. The steady/critical state lines (SSLs) in the $e$–$p'$ space are shifted downwards with increasing clay content. The SSLs of the mixtures with 10% and 20% kaolin showed a similar slope as that of the clean Hostun sand. The SSLs for the mixtures with 10% and 20% calcigel showed flatter or steeper inclinations compared to the host sand, respectively, with the latter possibly caused by an exceedance of the threshold fines content. The inclination of the steady/critical state in the $q$–$p'$ diagram was found independent of clay type and clay content. An analysis of the instability points showed that sand containing kaolin is more susceptible to flow liquefaction than sand containing calcigel.
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