SEDIMENT FLOW CHARACTERISTICS AROUND CYLINDRICAL STRUCTURE REGARDING SEABED EFFECTIVE STRESS RESPONSE
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ABSTRACT: Stability of onshore and offshore structures subjected to stormy ocean waves is influenced by the soundness of seabed foundation ground, as well as the intensity of wave pressure acting directly on the structures. The seabed soundness would be affected by the change of the seabed surface elevation caused by erosion and/or deposition of sediment. The fluctuating effective stress in the seabed ground, which is induced by sea wave loading, would cause the reduction in seabed stiffness, and then the sediment flow and related scouring of seabed must be also affected by the effective stress fluctuation. The present study proposes a calculation method for sediment traction flow on seabed around cylindrical structures. The calculation method used comprises with linear wave theory, pore-linear-elasticity theory for seabed medium, and empirical sediment traction flow model regarding seawater flow velocity. Although the intensity of sediment traction flow is mainly a function of seawater flow velocity, the sediment traction flow must be also influenced by the soundness of seabed ground. The sediment flow and associated erosion-deposition around upright cylindrical structures of several types were calculated. The characteristic behavior of sediment flow was clarified.
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1. INTRODUCTION

When seabed surface is subjected to water pressure change induced by sea wave loading, the fluctuation of pore water pressure and related effective stress are propagated along depth through seabed ground, where the seabed behaves as multi-phase porous continuum. The fluctuation of effective stress causes the reduction of the seabed stiffness, and then liquefaction or fluidization of seabed material may occur under stormy wave conditions. Some damaged structures which were affected by the destabilization of seabed ground have been reported [1]. On the other hand the destabilization of seabed ground would have effects on the sediment flow, and related scouring.

The present study focuses on the sediment flow that is mobilized by traction force from seawater flow; suspended sediment and sheet flow are out of scope of the present study. Although the sediment traction flow is mainly a function of seawater flow velocity, the sediment traction flow would be also influenced by the soundness of seabed ground even under the same seawater velocity on seabed; the sediment flow in a particular direction would be enhanced by the reduction of effective stress near surface. Miura, Morimasa, Otsuka, Yamazaki and Konami [2] explained the combined effect of seawater velocity and effective stress induced by variation of water pressure on sediment flow, and qualitatively examined the direction of sediment flow average per cycle. Tran, Miura, Matsuda and Yoshino [3] proposed an analytical method regarding the effective stress response of seabed for sediment traction flow, and the method was applied to the seabed subjected to travelling wave and stationary wave near line structures. They clarified the characteristics of erosion-deposition behavior of sediment flow quantitatively; the sediment was deposited near nodes, on the other hand seabed was eroded near loops near line structures. The analytical method is modified and applied to the sediment traction flow behavior around cylindrical structures.

2. ANALYSIS METHOD

The analysis method used consists of three processes: a sea wave analysis, a response analysis of seabed ground to sea wave loading, and an evaluation of sediment flow on seabed.

2.1 Sea Wave Analysis

The behavior of sea wave was analyzed in the framework of linear theory for small amplitude waves.

2.1.1 Incident plane wave

The incident wave travelling along x-axis is expressed by seawater surface elevation \( \eta \) and velocity potential \( \phi \); flow velocity vector \( v \); and water pressure \( p \) can be calculated as the derivatives of velocity potential \( \phi \);
\[ \eta(x, y, t) = \frac{H}{2} e^{i(\omega - \omega t)} \]  
\[ \phi(x, y, z, t) = i \frac{gH}{2\omega} \cosh \lambda h e^{i(\omega - \omega t)} \]  
\[ v_r = -\phi_{,r}, \quad p = \rho_w (\hat{\phi}_r + gz) \]  

where \( H, \lambda, \) and \( \omega \) are wave height, wave number, and angular frequency, respectively. And \( \rho_w \) is density of seawater, and \( g \) is gravity acceleration.

2.1.2 Travelling and stationary waves

The geometry of upright cylindrical structures is presented in Fig. 1 with an incident plane wave. Two extreme cases were considered for comparison. One is zero-radius (no-structure) case in Fig. 2(b): travelling wave simply appears without reflection or diffraction. The other is infinite radius (line structure) case in Fig. 2(c), where stationary wave appears without diffraction. The travelling wave is expressed by Eq. (1b), and the stationary wave is expressed by the following Eq. (3).

\[ \phi = \phi_0 + \phi_0 = i \frac{gH}{2\omega} \cosh \lambda h \left( e^{i(\omega - \omega t)} + e^{-i(\omega - \omega t)} \right) \]  

where \( J_k \) is Bessel function of first order, and \( H_k^{(1)} \) is Hankel function of first order.

\[ \phi_{,r} = -\frac{gH}{2\omega} \cosh \lambda h \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \lambda \left( \frac{r}{h} \right) - e^{-i\omega t} \right) \]  

For the upright cylinder of \( R_o \) in radius, MacCamy and Fuchs [4] succeeded in deriving velocity potential according to no-flow condition in radial direction on the side surface as follows

\[ v_r = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\phi_0 + \phi_0) = 0; \quad (r = R_o) \]  

For the cylindrical structure, the reflected-diffracted wave was generated as a response to the incident plane wave as shown in Fig. 2(a).

2.2 Seabed Response Analysis

The effective stress response of seabed ground to sea wave loading on surface is analyzed with poroelastic continuum model within the framework of linear elastic theory [6]. In the model, seabed material is modeled as a binary phase material consisting with solid phase and fluid phase (mixture of pore water and pore air), and the interaction between the two phases is combined as permeability.
Miura, Asahara, Otsuka and Ueno [7] examined the applicability of the poroelastic continuum model and associated formulations under extensive conditions, according to that the present study employed the u-p formulation under quasi-dynamic, one-dimensional condition in the present study. For a uniform seabed layer with infinite thickness, the fluctuating pore water pressure $\Delta p$ and associated vertical effective stress $\Delta \sigma_v$ can be calculated as follows.

\[
\Delta p(z,t) = \Delta p_0 \frac{1}{B_f + E_u} (B_f + E_u e^{-iz}) e^{-\omega t} \\
\sigma_v(z,t) = \Delta p_u \frac{E_u}{B_f + E_u} (1 - e^{-iz}) e^{-\omega t} + (\rho_i - \rho_f) z \tag{6}
\]

\[
Q \Delta p e^{-\omega t} = \rho_f g \frac{h}{z} = -h \quad \text{(on seabed surface)}
\]

In the present study, it was assumed that for typical uniform loose sand, uniaxial stiffness of solid phase $E_u$ is $1.40 \times 10^5$ kN/m$^2$, average stiffness of fluid phase $B_f$ is $0.933 \times 10^5$ kN/m$^2$, and hydraulic consolidation coefficient $H_v$ is $1.75 \times 10^{-3}$ s/m$^2$ (see [5,7] for detailed explanation).

### 2.3 Evaluation of Sediment Flow on Seabed

The sediment flow and related variation of seabed surface elevation, that is erosion and/or deposition, was calculated in an empirical manner based on the concept of Shields Number, regarding the effective stress response in seabed ground (see Fig. 3).

#### 2.3.1 Amount of sediment flow

The surface shear stress $\tau_b$, that is traction force per specific seabed surface area, was assumed to be proportional to the seawater velocity $v_b$ squared as expressed in Eq. (7), where dimensionless coefficient $C_b$ of 1/40 was introduced.

\[
\tau_b = C_b \rho_f v_b^2 \tag{7}
\]

The sediment would be fluidized within the layer of $d_f$ in thickness, where shear stress $\tau_b$ exceeds shear resistance $\tau_f$ which is calculated as a function of effective stress $\sigma_z$ and internal friction angle $\phi_e$ as shown in Eq. (8). Finally the fluidization depth $d_f$ can be determined.

\[
\tau_f = \sigma_z(z,t) \tan \phi_e, \quad \tau_b = \tau_f \Rightarrow \sigma_z(d_f,t) = \frac{\tau_f}{\tan \phi_e} \tag{8}
\]

Then it was assumed that the distribution of sediment flow velocity $v_f$ within the fluidization layer was triangular along depth as shown in Fig. 3. Thus the amount of sediment flow vector per unit width $q$ (m$^2$/s) can be calculated with Eq. (9), where the dimensionless coefficient $C_q$ was introduced for the difference between seawater velocity $v_b$ and sediment flow velocity $v_f$. The value of $C_q$ was assumed to be 1/2.5.

\[
q = \frac{1}{2} d_f v_f = \frac{1}{2} d_f C_q v_b \tag{9}
\]

As explained schematically in Fig. 3, the amount of sediment flow vector $q$ would be rather dependent on effective stress distribution. Figure 3(a) shows the case of hydrostatic effective stress. If the effective stress decreases, the fluidized shear zone becomes thicker and thus $q$ becomes larger as in Fig. 3(b). On the other hand, if the effective stress increases, the fluidized shear zone becomes thinner and thus $q$ becomes smaller as in Fig. 3(c). It should be noted that even under the same seawater velocity $v_b$, sediment flow is dependent on effective stress.

#### 2.3.2 Sediment storage rate: erosion-deposition

As shown in Fig. 4, the rate of sediment storage designated as $Q$ (m/s) can be calculated from the
total balance of inflow and outflow in both x- and y-directions to/from an infinitesimal rectangular area.

\[
Q = -\frac{q_{s(x+\Delta x/2,y)} - q_{s(x-\Delta x/2,y)}}{\Delta x} - \frac{q_{s(x,y+\Delta y/2)} - q_{s(x,y-\Delta y/2)}}{\Delta y}
\]

\[
\rightarrow -\left( \frac{\partial q_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q_{y}}{\partial y} \right) \Delta x \Delta y \rightarrow 0, \Delta y \rightarrow 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Fig. 4 Calculation of sediment storage from the balance of inflow and outflow to/from infinitesimal rectangular area.

The positive and negative values of sediment storage rate \( Q \) correspond to deposition and erosion, respectively. The sediment flow velocity vector \( q \) and storage rate \( Q \) both can be integrated during a sea wave period \( T \) for evaluating the accumulated sediment flow behavior; the accumulated integrated values were designated as \( q_T \) (m²) and \( Q_T \) (m), respectively.

3. EXAMINATION OF CALCULATED BEHAVIOR AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Sea Wave Behavior around Cylindrical Structure

Figure 5 shows sea wave behaviors along radial directions both in front and back of the cylindrical structure of \( R_o/L = 1/4 \); the variation of seawater surface elevation along radial direction are drawn in 8 sections of wave period \( T \). For comparative examinations, the travelling wave and the stationary wave are shown in front of the structure (Fig. 5(a): \( \theta = 180\deg \)), and the travelling wave is shown in back of the structure (Fig. 5(b): \( \theta = 0\deg \)). In the front of cylindrical structure, loop appears on the structure side surface, and node and loop are repeated along the radial direction. Though the loop and node are not clear compared with the stationary wave near line structure, characteristic behavior of stationary wave can be recognized in Fig. 5(a). In back of the structure, sea wave behavior is similar to that of travelling wave with somewhat smaller wave height and a phase lag of about \( T/4 \) compared with the travelling wave in Fig. 5(b).

3.2 Sediment Flow and Storage: Erosion and Deposition around Cylindrical Structure

3.2.1 Behavior in radial direction

Figure 6 shows sediment flow behaviors along radial direction both in front and back of a cylindrical structure of \( R_o/L = 1/4 \); the variations of accumulated sediment flow vector \( q_T \) and sediment storage \( Q_T \) are shown in 8 sections of wave period \( T \). For comparative examinations travelling wave and stationary wave are also shown in the figure. In structure front (\( \theta = 180\deg \)) radial component of sediment flow vector \( q_{tr} \) is fluctuating but consistently positive. This feature means that the sediment flows away from the structure, and the flow direction is in the opposite of the incident wave travelling direction. The behavior of sediment storage \( Q_T \) shows that the seabed is eroded on the side surface, and however, the sediment deposits around the node apart from the structure surface by \( L/4 \). The erosion and deposition are seen clearly in the case of stationary wave, and the erosion and deposition are repeated along the radial line in front.
negligibly small, the erosion or deposition wouldn’t occur in structure back.

3.2.2 Behavior in circumferential direction

Figure 7(a) shows the behavior of sediment flow and associated storage of sediment on the side wall of the cylindrical structures with different diameters. On the side wall ($r=R_s$) sediment flows only in circumferential direction. Although the sediment flow is somewhat influenced by the structure size, $q_T$ is anti-symmetry and positive on the right hand side but negative on the left hand side in the top of Fig. 7(a). It is suggested that the sediment flows accumulatively from back to front on the structure side surface. The behavior of $Q_T$ tells that notable erosion ($Q_T<0$) occurs near structure front ($\theta=180^\circ$), its intensity is equivalent to that in the case of stationary wave.

Figure 7(b) shows the sediment flow behavior on the concentric circle apart from the structure side surface by a quarter of wavelength ($r=R_s+L/4$). The behavior of $q_T$ shows the circumferential flow from structure back to front as similar to the case of on the side surface ($r=R_s$). The radial flow of sediment $q_{Tr}$ is positive near structure front but negative near the perpendicular directions ($\theta=90$ or $-90^\circ$). On the concentric circle ($r=R_s+L/4$), notable deposition of sediment ($Q_T>0$) is recognized around structure front ($\theta=180^\circ$).

3.2.3 Plane view of the sediment flow

Figure 8 shows the plane view of sediment flow and associated storage of sediment near the cylindrical structure of $R_s = L/4$. The red vector indicates the direction and intensity of accumulated sediment flow $q_T$, and color gradation and contour lines indicate the intensity of sediment storage $Q_T$. Without structures, the incident wave and travelling wave generate uniform sediment flow with no storage, in the opposite direction to the incident wave as shown in Figs. 7(a, b) (see [3]). As a result of the disturbances by the cylindrical structure, sediment storage occurs, and erosion and/or
deposition are caused.

Figure 9 explains the sediment flow behavior schematically, where arrows are for accumulated sediment flow vector $q_T$; the thickness of the arrows roughly corresponds to the intensity of $q_T$. The curved lines indicate the storage of sediment $Q_T$; the thickness of the lines corresponds to the intensity of $Q_T$; and light red and light yellow are for erosion ($Q_T<0$) and deposition ($Q_T>0$), respectively. Overall behavior of the sediment flow can be explained as follows. Sediment flows toward the structure in the wide range including structure back ($-90^\circ < \theta < +90^\circ$). The sediment flows from back to front along structure side surface: the flow is followed by the radial, flows away from the structure in the range including the structure front ($120^\circ < \theta < 240^\circ$). It should be noted that the erosion and deposition on the parabolic lines are repeated in the wide range of the structure front, which occurs under the influence of sea wave behavior similar to stationary wave with loops and nodes (see Figs. 5, 6). It would be important that the notable erosion on the structure front side surface possibly destabilizes the structure.

Fig. 9  Schematic explanation of sediment flow behavior around cylindrical structure: $R_o=L/4$

4. CONCLUSION

The present study explained the analysis method comprised with "the linear wave theory analysis", "effective stress analysis by poroelastic model" and "empirical evaluation method for traction sediment flow", and the sediment flow characteristics when the plane wave meets upright cylindrical structure was examined.
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