Research Article

Preparation of Hierarchical Structure Au/ZnO Composite for Enhanced Photocatalytic Performance: Characterization, Effects of Reaction Parameters, and Oxidizing Agent Investigations
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1. Introduction

With the development of science and technology techniques, nanomaterials are being widely studied for many potential applications in medicine, environment, and industrialization. Nanoparticles are the simplest form of structures with size in the range of (1 ÷ 100) nanometer that allows getting more multipurpose properties than block structures, especially for metal nanoparticles [1]. Recently, gold nanoparticles have attracted the attention of researchers for the reasons of nontoxic, high chemical and physical stability, easily perform surface functions with organic molecules, and countless optical properties associated with surface plasmon resonance [2]. The condition for resonance phenomenon is the frequency of the exciting light up to the oscillation frequency of the free electronic system on the metal surface. Au nanoparticles have resonance frequencies within the visible radiation area. Thus, they will strongly absorb visible light including solar light and causing the color effect [3]. Moreover, since the high interaction ability on the surface of other materials, the Au nanoparticles are commonly used as an agent to get the mutagenic properties within the catalysis, polymer, semiconductor, and medicine fields [4–7].
Environmental pollution is becoming a hot issue for over the world. The growing pollution in developing countries along with the development of industry and economy has caused serious pollution of water sources. It leads to significant impacts on human health and creates favorable conditions for the development of disease. The organic compounds in wastewater from industries like mining, oil refining, paper, paint, and textile plants are persistent and toxic. This is a challenging issue for the development of industry and economy. The conventional methods such as flocculation, adsorption, membrane process, and biological and chemical techniques [8–10] have been extensively used for the wastewater treatment, but their efficiencies have been not satisfactory to the practical technology for the treatment of wastewater containing multifarious contaminants, which are difficult to biodegrade [11, 12]. Meanwhile, semiconductor metal oxides emerge as a good candidate for the removal of persistent organic compounds in wastewater because of their efficiency in photocatalytic process. Under the irradiation of light, electrons from the valence band (VB) can jump into the conduction band (CB), leading to hole (h+) generation in the VB. The electrons and holes play an important role in the redox process of organic pollutants [13]. They interact with O2 and H2O adsorbed on the surface of nanoparticles to create •O2− and •OH free radicals, which have strong oxidizing properties and are capable of decomposing organic substances into intermediate and final products of CO2 and H2O [14, 15]. Besides, metal oxide photocatalysts have been widely used on an industrial scale due to their environmental friendliness and high durability.

ZnO, a semiconductor oxide of n-type, has been widely utilized in numerous catalytic processes due to its specific properties like low cost, stability, and nontoxicity to the environment [16–18]. Hence, it is believed that ZnO is one of the promising multifunctional semiconductors for photocatalysis application in waste water treatment [19]. Zinc oxide has various morphologies depending on the synthesis method such as nanorods [20], nanowires [21], nanospheres [22], nanosheets [23], flower-like [24], hexagonal pyramidal-like [25], and nanoparticles [26] which strongly affected the performance of catalyst [27]. However, the limitation of choosing ZnO as a photocatalyst is that it is most active in (c<385 nm), which accounts for about 5% of sunlight, because of its wide bandgap energy (3.37 eV) and exciton binding energy (60 meV) [18]. In addition, the recombination between electrons and holes in bare ZnO takes place rapidly. These are the huge restrictions in the practical applications of ZnO photocatalyst. Therefore, improving the photocatalytic efficiency in the visible light region is a goal of scientists.

To overcome these disadvantages of ZnO, many methods have been proposed such as doping/co-doping by metal oxides (Fe2O3, SnO2, MnO2, or CuO) [28, 29], precious metals (Au, Ag, Pt, or Pd) [30–32], metals (Fe, Mn, Ni, or Co) [33, 34], nonmetals (N, P, C, or S) [35, 36], or forming heterostructures with other semiconductors [37] to enhance the mobility of electrons and holes, prevent the recombination between them, and expand the light absorption region. Amongst them, doping ZnO nanomaterials by noble metals is the most suitable method because it could improve the photocatalytic activity of the semiconductor through these three pathways above at the same time. Several studies have demonstrated that the Schottky barrier will be formed when precious metal nanoparticles are directly anchored on the surface of ZnO [26, 38, 39]. Since the conduction band energy level of ZnO is larger than the Fermi energy of the precious metal, the separation of charge carriers is enhanced. Furthermore, due to the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) of the precious metal particles, ZnO can extend the absorption to the visible light region, leading to its enhanced catalytic activity under sunlight [14, 40, 41].

For enhancing photocatalysis and studying the effects of oxidizing agents on photocatalytic efficiency, the flower-like Au/ZnO structures were synthesized by the hydrothermal method and reduction method, which used the reducing agent of sodium citrate. The structure of the materials and the surface interactions that affect photocatalytic efficiency were investigated. The effects of reaction conditions like solution pH, catalyst dosage, concentration of TA, and light source and oxidizing agents (H2O2 and O2) were evaluated by decomposition of dyes under visible light. Furthermore, the kinetics of the photocatalytic process was simulated according to the first-order kinetics equation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO3)2.6H2O, 99.5%), urea ((NH2)2CO, 99.5%), gold (III) chloride tetrahydrate (HAuCl4.4H2O, 99%), and sodium citrate (Na3C6H5O7, 99%) were purchased from China; tartrazine (99%), Janus Green B (99%), Congo red (99%), and methylene blue (99%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Preparation of Hierarchical Flower-Like ZnO. The flower-like ZnO was prepared by the facile hydrothermal method [24]. Normally, 4.4623 g of Zn(NO3)2.6H2O and 1.8018 g of urea were poured into 100 mL of distilled water under stirring for 30 min to form a transparent mixed solution. Then, it was transferred into an autoclave at 90°C. After 24 h, the precipitate was filtered, washed with distilled water and ethanol several times, and dried at 90°C for 24 h. The ZnO powders were obtained after calcining the precipitate at 400°C for 2 h with a heating rate of 2°C/min. The formation of ZnO was described by the following equations.

$$\text{(NH}_2\text{)}_2\text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{NH}_3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2$$

$$\text{NH}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_3^+ + \text{OH}^-$$

$$\text{CO}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$4\text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 6\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Zn}_4(\text{CO}_3)(\text{OH})_6\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$\text{Zn}_4(\text{CO}_3)(\text{OH})_6\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4\text{ZnO} + \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

(1)

2.3. Synthesis of Au/ZnO Composite. Au/ZnO composites were facially fabricated by the reduction of acid chloroauroic by sodium citrate; the synthesis procedure is shown in...
Figure 1(a). First, the certain volumes of HAuCl₄ 0.005 M and Na₃C₆H₅O₇ 1% solutions were stirred together for 5 min. 0.1 g of ZnO sample was added to the solution and sonicated for 5 min and then stirred for 1 h to obtain a purple precipitate. It was filtered and washed with distilled water and ethanol to remove impurity ions and then dried at 80 °C in the air for 12 h to obtain Au/ZnO-x composite where \( x \) was the Au content (1, 3, 5, and 7%) adjusted by varying the volumes of HAuCl₄ 0.005 M and Na₃C₆H₅O₇ 1%. The color of the Au/ZnO samples became darker when the Au content increased as seen in Figure 1(b).

2.4. Characterization. The XRD pattern was analyzed by a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (Germany) with Cu Kα irradiation (40 kV, 40 mA) to investigate the crystalline phase of samples. The morphology and size of the samples were observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2010), emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JEOL-7600F), and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, JEOL-7600F). UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DR/UV-vis) of the as-synthesized samples were measured on the UV-Vis spectrometer (Avantes). The FT-IR spectra were measured by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, Madison, WI, USA). The paramagnetic defect was analyzed by EPR spectroscopy (Bruker EMX Micro X).

2.5. Photocatalytic Test. The experiments were conducted by the batch reactor. A 250 mL glass beaker containing a specific concentration of dye was adjusted in pH by HCl and NaOH solutions; a specific amount of catalyst was added into the beaker and sonicated for 5 min. The mixture was left within the dark for 30 min to achieve the adsorption/desorption equilibrium and then placed under the Hg lamp 250 W with the light source intensity of 13450 Lux. At intervals, a little of the mixture from the beaker was filtered by a syringe filter (0.45 μm PTFE membrane) to extrude the catalyst. The concentration of dye was determined by UV-Vis method (Agilent 8453 instrument). The rate constant, degradation efficiency (DE), and degradation capacity (DC) of dyes were calculated by the subsequent equations:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{C_0}{C_t} \right) = kt,
\]

\[
DE \, (\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100,
\]

\[
DC = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times V}{m}.
\]

where \( k \) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant; the \( k \) value was calculated from the slope of the \( \ln \left( \frac{C_0}{C_t} \right) - t \) plots; \( C_0 \) and \( C_t \) are the concentrations of dye at initial \( (t = 0) \) and time \( t \) (min), respectively; \( V \) is the volume of dye solution (L); and \( m \) is the mass of the adsorbent (g).
2.6. Determination of \(pH_{PZC}\)  The \(pH_{PZC}\) of the Au/ZnO sample was measured by the pH drift method. Initially, a 250 mL beaker containing 100 mL of 10 mg/L TA solution was adjusted to the pH solution values from 2 to 11 by HCl 0.1 M or NaOH 0.1 M solutions. Next, 0.05 g of Au/ZnO sample was poured into the beaker and stirred for 24 h at room temperature, then the final pH (\(pH_{\text{final}}\)) value was measured. The plot of \(pH_{\text{final}}\) versus \(pH_{\text{initial}}\) will intersect the \(pH_{\text{initial}}\) line at a point where it was defined as the \(pH_{PZC}\) of the catalyst.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physicochemical Characterization. Figure 2 shows the DR/UV-vis and Tauc’s plots of the ZnO and Au/ZnO samples. The presence of Au led to an increase in the absorbance of Au/ZnO composites. The adsorptions of composites at Au content of 1, 3, and 7 wt.% were higher than that of the bare ZnO at the wavelength above 400 nm, although their adsorptions were like that of bare ZnO at lower than 380 nm, showing a similar adsorption edge, whereas the Au/ZnO-5 sample showed the highest adsorption among as-prepared composites at 250-500 nm in Figure 2(a). The bandgap energies \(E_g\) of the materials were determined by Tauc’s method [17]:

\[
(\alpha h\nu)^2 = A (h\nu - E_g)
\]

where \(\alpha\), \(h\), \(\nu\), \(A\), and \(E_g\) are absorption coefficient, Planck constant, light frequency, proportionality constant, and bandgap energy, respectively; the plots are shown in Figure 2(b). The \(E_g\) of bare ZnO (3.15 eV) was lower than that of Au/ZnO-1 (3.17 eV) but larger than those of other composites, which were 3.14, 3.12, and 3.13 eV for Au/ZnO-3, Au/ZnO-5, and Au/ZnO-7, respectively. It can be predicted that the uniform distribution and small content of Au in flower-like ZnO structure are the causes of the reduction in bandgap energy of the composite. As a result, the deposition of Au at a higher 3 wt.% in the composite led to reducing the bandgap energy and enhancing photocatalytic activity of composite under visible light irradiation. Also, it was expected that Au/ZnO-5 has the fastest decomposition rate for organic compounds.

Morphology and elemental map of samples are presented in Figure 3. The ZnO looked like a uniform flower with a hierarchical structure at size of approximately 10-15 μm (Figure 3(a)). The ZnO microstructure was composed of many thin petals made of oxide nanoparticles of about 30-40 nm, and there were many holes formed on the petals (Figures 3(b) and 3(c)). The size and shape of the Au/ZnO composite are similar to bare ZnO but the petals became denser due to the deposition of Au content in composite, in Figures 3(d)–3(f). Also, the good distribution of Zn, Au, and O elements was observed in Figures 3(g)–3(i), where there was no concentration of any elements. These were further evidenced by the color of the SEM/EDS image (Figure 3(j)) and the Zn, O, and Au contents of 51.3, 48.6, and 0.1%, respectively (Figure 3(k)).

The TEM results of samples are presented in Figure 4. The particle size of ZnO was about 20-50 nm (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)). Additionally, the Au nanoparticles of about 3-5 nm were well loaded and dispersed on microstructure ZnO as seen in (Figures 4(c) and 4(d)). This observation was in accordance with the SEM results above. It was expected to increase the catalytic performance of the composite.

The analytical results of the crystalline phase of as-prepared samples are shown in Figure 5. The intense peaks of bare ZnO at 2θ = 31.7°, 34.4°, 36.2°, 47.5°, 56.6°, 62.8°, and 67.9° were associated with (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (112), and (201) plane, respectively, which...
proved the diffraction of hexagonal wurtzite structure of ZnO with lattice parameters in accordance with the reported data JCPDS file No. 36-1451 [42, 43]. The peaks of Au were not detected in Au/ZnO-5 composite although it was observed by SEM, EDS, and TEM images (Figures 3 and 4). This was possibly assigned to the low content and small particle size of Au [44]. However, the peak intensity of ZnO was decreased with adding Au into the composite.

**Figure 3:** (a, b) SEM images of flower-like ZnO with the different scale bars, (d–f) SEM images of Au/ZnO-5 composite different scale bars, (g–i) Zn, Au, and O elemental map images, (j) SEM/EDS image, and (k) EDS spectrum Au/ZnO-5 composite.
The average crystallite size \( D \) of the nanoparticles was calculated from X-ray line broadening of the diffraction peaks by using Scherrer’s equation:

\[
D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]

where \( K \) is a dimension shape factor, a typical value of about 0.9, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of X-ray used (1.5405 Å), \( \beta \) is the angular peak width at half maximum in radians, and \( \theta \) is Bragg’s diffraction angle. As the result, the average crystal sizes of ZnO and Au/ZnO-5 were 8 and 15 nm, respectively. The slight reduction in the crystallinity and crystal size could be attributed to either breakdown from stirring during synthesis of Au/ZnO or the distortion by the foreign impurity of Au in the host lattice ZnO.

The FT-IR spectra of samples are shown in Figure 6. The strong bands at 3152 and 3156 cm\(^{-1}\) in both samples might be specified to O-H stretching vibration of absorbed water (H-O-H) [10]. The bands at 1574 of ZnO and 1568 cm\(^{-1}\) of Au/ZnO-5 might be attributed to the C=O bond [45]. The small band at 1713, 1383, and 910 cm\(^{-1}\) were associated with the vibration of O-H bond of Zn-O-H [24]. When Au was
added into the composite, the intensity of bands was lowered whereas the band at 1574 cm\(^{-1}\) was more intense. In addition, the vibration bands in 1713 and 910 cm\(^{-1}\) were moved to a smaller wavenumber but the band at 1383 cm\(^{-1}\) was moved to a larger wavenumber. The strong bands at 567 and 565 cm\(^{-1}\) of ZnO and Au/ZnO-5 composite, respectively, were attributed to the Zn-O vibration in both samples [32].

Electron magnetic resonance (EPR) is a highly sensitive analytical technique for the detection of unpaired electrons and oxygen vacancies of V\(_o\) (+2 charge state), V\(_o\)• (+1 charge state), and V\(_{o\cdot\cdot}\) (0 charge state). V\(_o\)• was rarely detected in n-type ZnO, while V\(_{o\cdot\cdot}\) was easy to generate because of its low formation energy [39]. As shown in Figure 7, the signal at \(g = 1.965\) on both ZnO and Au/ZnO-5 samples was attributed to oxygen vacancy V\(_o\)• [46], but the presence of Au led to a decrease in signal strength of Au/ZnO composite. This could be explained that the electrons of Au nanoparticles were transferred to ZnO and then paired with the lone electrons in V\(_{o\cdot\cdot}\), leading to the formation of paramagnetic V\(_{o\cdot\cdot}\). Which was the reason for the decrease in the recombination of electrons and holes. It was expected an increase in the photocatalytic ability of Au/ZnO composite.

3.2. Degradation of Dyes

3.2.1. Effect of Au Content on the Degradation of TA. Figure 8 shows the photocatalytic reaction of TA in Au/ZnO composites at the difference of Au contents. The reaction rate of TA in bare ZnO in the initial 10 min was faster but its DC in 30 min was lower than those of Au/ZnO-1, exhibiting the DEs of 59.3 and 79.1\% for bare ZnO and Au/ZnO-1, respectively. Meanwhile, the DCs and reaction rates of TA in other composites were larger than those of bare ZnO. The DE and rate constant raised to 99.2\% and 0.109 min\(^{-1}\), respectively, when Au content increased to 5 wt.\%. However, at Au content higher than 5 wt.\%, the DE and reaction rate were lowered, showing 94.7\% and 0.086 min\(^{-1}\), respectively, for Ag/ZnO-7 composite. These results were in complete agreement with the results of the DR/UV-Vis spectrum, bandgap energy, and EPR analysis above, where the composite loaded Au at 5 wt.% was found to be the most efficient catalyst among as-prepared composites. As seen from previous research results [26], it can be realized that Au can enhance the catalytic ability of the composite at lower content than Ag.

3.2.2. Effect of pH Value of the Solution on the Degradation of TA. In each process of treating the organic matter in wastewater, the pH of the solution will play an important role because it affects not only the surface charge of the catalyst but also the ionization and structure of the pollutants. A wide pH range of 2.0–11.0 was selected for the study, while other conditions remained (catalyst dosage of 0.5 g/L, TA concentration of 10 mg/L, and light irradiation of Hg lamp 250 W). The results are shown in Figure 9.

The reaction of TA in Au/ZnO-5 composite at the pH value of 2.0 was relatively low; the DE in 30 min and the reaction rate were about 3\% and 0.002 min\(^{-1}\), respectively. The DE and reaction rate sharply increased to 99.2\% and 0.109 min\(^{-1}\), respectively, when solution pH increased to 6.0. These were lowered to 94.5\% and 0.066 min\(^{-1}\) at the solution pH of 9.0. However, at solution pH of 11.0, the DE and reaction rate increase; these achieved 100\% and 0.155 min\(^{-1}\), respectively, as seen in Figures 9(a) and 9(b).

ZnO can be protonated in acidic media (ZnO\(_{\cdot\cdot}\) + 2H\(^+\)(aq) \(\rightarrow\) Zn\(^{2+\cdot\cdot}\)(aq) + H\(_2\)O). Therefore, the catalytic performance of ZnO could be neglected, at the pH of 2.0. However, in an alkaline medium, the catalytic performance was significantly enhanced because of the enhanced formation of •OH radicals from OH\(^-\) ions on the surface of the catalyst as well as in the reaction solution. As the result, at the pH of 11.0, the DC at 10 min and 30 min achieved 12.1 and 20.1 mg/g, respectively, showing greater magnitude than other pH values as seen in Figure 9(c). However, adjustment of an aqueous solution to a high pH value will have a limitation in treating the secondary wastewater because it must be neutralized before being discharged into the environment. Moreover, at high pH value, the ZnO can be dissolved (ZnO(s) + 2OH\(^-\)(aq) \(\rightarrow\) ZnO\(_2\)(aq) + H\(_2\)O).

The pH of the solution influences the surface charge of the nanoparticles and changes the adsorption of organic substances on its surface. In the previous report, the pH point of the zero charges (pH\(_{PZC}\)) of ZnO was 9.0 [47]; the pH\(_{PZC}\) of the Au/ZnO-5 composite was reduced to 7.4 (Figure 9(d)) due to the deposition of Au. At pH 6.0, lower than the pH\(_{PZC}\) of the composite, the surface of the catalyst was positively charged due to the adsorption of H\(^+\) ions; the TA dyes anions could be efficiently adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst, increasing its catalytic efficiency. In addition, the pH\(_{final}\) at solution pH of 6.0 was higher than those of other solution pH values (Figure 9(d)). As the result, the anion TA dye could be efficiently adsorbed on the catalytic surface. Therefore, the dye degradation was significantly enhanced, showing the DCs of 10 and 30 min were 10.2 and 19.6 mg/g, respectively. When the pH of the solution
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**Figure 7:** EPR spectra of bare ZnO and Au/ZnO-5 composite.
was higher than pHzc, where the surface of the ZnO particles could be negatively charged by absorbing OH\(^-\) ions, it interfered with the adsorption of TA; however, the enhancement of the formation process of •OH radicals remained dominant, which resulted in increasing the catalytic efficiency at high pH values.

3.2.3. Effect of Initial TA Concentration. The concentration of TA from 5 to 20 mg/L was selected to demonstrate the effect of dye concentration on catalytic performance. The results were shown in Figure 10. The reaction rate at the initial TA concentration of 5 mg/L was faster than that of 10 mg/L, showing 0.159 and 0.109 min\(^{-1}\) for 5 and 10 mg/L, respectively. The DE in 30 min at both concentrations approached 100\%, but the DC in 10 and 30 min at 10 mg/L was larger than those of 5 mg/L. When the initial TA concentration increased, the DE and reaction rate were relatively decreased. At the initial concentration of 20 mg/L, the degradation was completed in a remarkable time (80 min), and the reaction rate was 0.023 min\(^{-1}\).

The decrease of DE and the reaction rate can be explained by the following reasons: (1) as the concentration of TA increased, the TA molecule shielded the photons before they reached the surface of the catalyst, and the generation of •OH and •O\(_2\) radicals was reduced leading to reduced catalyst efficiency. (2) Alternatively, the number of TA molecules adsorbed on the catalyst surface increased with increasing dye concentration. The number of active sites of the catalyst surface was decreased, leading to a decrease in the reaction rate. (3) Otherwise, when the concentration of TA increased, the intermediate products would increase due to the catalytic degradation of the TA molecule creating competition between TA molecules and the intermediate products, while the total number of active sites remained constant for a fixed catalyst dosage. These results adversely affected the DE and reaction rate of TA on the catalyst. Although the high initial concentration of TA reduced the degradation yield and reaction rate, the DC values at 10 mg/L for 10 and 30 min were 10.1 mg/g and 19.6 mg/g, respectively. These exhibited a larger magnitude than the other concentrations (as shown in Figure 10(c)).

3.2.4. Effect of Catalyst Dosage. The experiments were carried out with selected catalyst dosages (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 g/L) to demonstrate their effect on catalyst performance; the results are presented in Figure 11. At the catalyst dosage of 0.25 g/L, the DE in 30 min and the reaction rate were 71.2\% and 0.041 min\(^{-1}\), respectively; these increased with the catalyst dosage. The degradation completed in 30 min at the catalyst dosages above 0.5 g/L and the reaction rates of 0.109, 0.126, and 0.159 min\(^{-1}\) corresponding to 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 g/L. However, the DC was decreased with increasing catalyst (Figure 11(c)).

Figure 11(d) describes the effect of catalyst dosage on degradation of TA. The increase in the dose of the catalyst increased in the active sites on the catalyst surface; the density of interaction between the irradiation and the catalytic surface increased, accelerating the generation of free radicals (•OH and •O\(_2\))\). Thus, the catalytic ability of Au/ZnO was to be enhanced, whereas, as the dose of catalyst increased, the density of particles suspended on the solution increased, which will increase the effect of light scattering and interfere
with the transmission of light deep into the solution. In addition, each catalyst site has less chance of encountering TA molecules when more catalysts were added to the reactor. As a result, DE and degradation rate could be significantly improved. However, the DC was decreased with increasing catalyst dosage.

3.2.5. Effect of Different Light Sources. The experiments were conducted under different light sources (UV lamp 15 W, Hg lamp 250 W, and sunlight). As shown in Figure 12, the reaction was strongly affected by light irradiation. The Au/ZnO-5 catalyst exhibited the best catalytic reaction with sunlight irradiation; the DE within 20 min approached 99.1% with the reaction rate of 0.176 min$^{-1}$. The reaction was slightly decreased with the Hg lamp but it showing significantly decreased with UV light, the DE and reaction rate were lowered to 23.2% and 0.008 min$^{-1}$, respectively. The low intensity of UV light, the improvement of adsorption in visible light (Figure 2(a)) with the good deposition of Au in composite, and the surface plasmonic resonance interaction of Au and ZnO particles have enhanced the catalytic performance of Au/ZnO-5 composite.
3.2.6. Effects of Oxidizing Agents. Hydroperoxide is an oxidizing agent that can directly decompose persistent organic matter, but at a small rate, its presence can be expected to change the catalytic ability of Au/ZnO composite. The experiments were carried out in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ at concentrations from 5 mM to 100 mM; the results are shown in Figure 13. The DE, reaction rate constant, and DC in 30 min at the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration of 5 mM were 90.4%, 0.072 min$^{-1}$, and 18.2 mg/g, respectively. These were slightly decreased at the concentration of 10 mM. When the concentration increased to 50 mM, the reaction rate constant increased to 0.144 min$^{-1}$, exhibiting the DE within 30 min of 100%. Since, the increase of the number of H$_2$O$_2$ molecules in the reaction medium could accelerate the generation of \( \cdot $OH radicals from either scavenging $e_{(CB)}$ on surface Au/ZnO-5 catalyst (Equation (5)) or absorbing the photon energy (Equation (6)), leading to an enhanced degradation process of organic matter.

\begin{align}
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{h}^+ (\text{VB}) & \rightarrow \text{2OH} \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{e}^- _{(CB)} & \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH}^-.
\end{align}

Further, the increase in H$_2$O$_2$ concentration to 100 mM remarkably lowered reaction. At the concentration of 100 mM, the reaction rate constant of 0.036 min$^{-1}$ and the DE still archived 97.2% after 50 min. As the obtained results, the DCs at 50 mM were 10.4 and 20.0 mg/g in 10 and 30 min, respectively (Figure 13(c)), showing larger than other concentrations. Since, at high H$_2$O$_2$ content, the excess H$_2$O$_2$ molecules could act as an inhibitor in the generation of hydroxyl radicals, they can react with \( \cdot $OH and h$^+ _{(VB)}$ on
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the catalyst surface to form weaker oxidizing radicals $O_2H^\bullet$, as expressed in Equations (7) and (8) [48]:

$$H_2O_2 + \bullet OH \rightarrow H_2O + O_2H^\bullet$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$H_2O_2 + h^+_{(VB)} \rightarrow H^+ + O_2H^\bullet$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The alternative experiment was conducted in the presence of $O_2$ bubbles at a flow rate of 5 mL/min. The presence of $O_2$ in the reaction medium can enhance the photocatalytic degradation of dyes since surface absorbed $O_2$ can react with electrons to produce $\bullet O_2$ radicals (Equation (9)); the strong oxidizing agents can degrade dyes into $CO_2$ and $H_2O$.  

![Figure 11: (a) Effect of catalyst dosage on degradation of TA, (b) the kinetic curves, (c) the DC versus dosage catalyst, and (d) schematic illustration of the effect of catalyst dosage on degradation of TA. The reaction conditions: catalyst dosage of 0.5 g/L, TA concentration of 10 mg/L, and pH solution of 6.0.](image1)
With adding \( \text{O}_2 \), the DE and reaction rate in the initial 10 min had no change from those without adding \( \text{O}_2 \). However, from 10 min onwards, the DC and reaction rate constant became larger than those without adding \( \text{O}_2 \), these reaching to 91.7% and 0.057 min\(^{-1} \), respectively. However, the catalytic activity of the Au/ZnO-5 composite with the addition \( \text{O}_2 \) was still lower than that of adding H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Figure 14).

3.2.7. Degradation of the Different Organic Dyes Using Au/ZnO. Besides being affected by the catalytic properties (morphology, particle size, and Au/ZnO ratio) and the parameters of the catalysis process, the catalytic performance also strongly depends on the structure and bonding of the dyes. Although each catalytic process has its optimal reaction condition for degradation of a certain dye, we compared the catalytic performance of Au/ZnO-5 composite for different dyes such as methylene blue (MB), Janus Green B (JGB), and Congo red (CR) at the optimal conditions of TA. The results are presented in Figure 15. The photocatalytic degradation of CR in the Au/ZnO catalyst was very fast, showing the DE of 100% in 5 min. The degradation processes were almost complete in 30 and 40 min for TA and MB, respectively. While JGB was found to be the most difficult to degrade among the selected dyes, it showed the lowest reaction rate and DE at 30 min, which were 0.021 min\(^{-1} \) and 45.7%, respectively.

Table 1 shows a brief comparison of organic dye removal efficiency by different precious metal-doped ZnO catalysts through photocatalytic activity. The DE and reaction rate of organic dyes using the Au/ZnO-5 composite in this study under Hg lamp 250 W light irradiation were almost much higher than ZnO-based catalysts in some of the recent reports. It demonstrates that Au/ZnO is a potential catalyst in wastewater treatment.

3.3. Reaction Mechanism. Figure 16 shows the photocatalytic mechanism of dye on Au/ZnO-5 composite under visible light irradiation. When ZnO receives the energy of photons from radiation, electrons in the valence band (VB) will move to the conduction band (CB) and form pairs of electrons and holes (e\(^{-} \text{ and } h^{+} \)). These excited electrons react with the adsorbed O\(_2\) molecules on the surface of the material to generate \( \bullet\text{O}_2^- \) since the bottom of the CB of ZnO (\( -0.5 \text{ V vs. normal hydrogen electrode, NHE} \)) is lower than the redox potential of O\(_2/\bullet\text{O}_2^- \) (\( -0.33 \text{ V vs. NHE} \)). Subsequently, the formed \( \bullet\text{O}_2^- \) radicals can continue to interact with H\(_2\)O to produce H\(_2\)O\(_2\). On the other hand, the top of the VB of ZnO (\( +2.7 \text{ V vs. NHE} \)) is higher than the redox potential of \( \bullet\text{OH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \), resulting in the oxidation of water molecules by these holes forming \( \bullet\text{OH} \) radicals [49]. These powerful oxidizing agents such as H\(_2\)O\(_2\), \( \bullet\text{O}_2^- \), and \( \bullet\text{OH} \) will attack the pollutants adsorbed on the surface of ZnO simultaneously to rapidly produce intermediate compounds and finally convert to CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O (Figure 16(a)). However, the limitations of bare ZnO are the rapid decrease of catalytic activity and the low activity in the visible light region due to its extremely fast recombination of electrons and holes and poor absorption of the visible light.

When Au nanoparticles are presented in the composite, the Schottky barrier, which facilitates electron capture, is formed at the interface between Au and ZnO by virtue of the fact that the work function of Au (\( \sim 5.3 \text{ eV} \)) is higher than that of ZnO (\( \sim 4.2 \text{ eV} \)) [30]. Electrons are easily...
transferred from the conduction band of ZnO across the Schottky barrier to the Au nanoparticles depicted by the red arrow (Figure 16(b)). This prolongs the lifetime of charge carriers, leading to decrease the recombination of photoinduced $e^-$ and $h^+$ and enhance the photocatalytic activity of ZnO. In this case, it could be seen that Au acting as an electron scavenger can trap the photogenerated electrons from the semiconductor. As a result, the accumulated electrons on Au can interact with $O_2$ to generate $\cdot O_2^-$ radicals, while the holes in ZnO can interact with $H_2O_2/H_2O_2/ \cdot OH$ to generate $\cdot OH$ radicals to degrade the organic pollutants. This also explains the enhancement of catalytic performance in the presence of $O_2$ and $H_2O_2$ in the reaction medium. These processes are described by the following equations:

$$ZnO + h\nu \rightarrow ZnO(e^-) + h^+$$

$$ZnO (e^-) + Au \rightarrow ZnO + Ag (e^-)$$

$$Au(e^-) + O_2 \rightarrow \cdot O_2 + Au$$

$$ZnO(h^+) + H_2O \rightarrow ZnO + H^+ + \cdot OH$$

$$\cdot O_2^- + \cdot OH + \text{organic dyes} \rightarrow \text{Intermediate products}$$

$$\cdot O_2^- + \cdot OH + \text{Intermediate products} \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$$

\[ (10) \]
Alternatively, several studies have demonstrated that Au and Ag nanoscales (size below 10 nm) in composites could induce surface plasmon resonance (SPR) [50–52]. It is the strong oscillation of the free electrons in the metal in phase with the electric field of the shining light [26]. Besides metal-semiconductor junction, the localized surface plasmon resonance can provide semiconductor materials with favorable properties for photocatalysis such as visible light response, which enhanced UV/vis absorption, reduced e−/h+ diffusion length, and enhanced local electric field. Also,
they have been investigated in XRD, DR/UV-Vis, and EPR analyses. The significant enhancement of wavelength absorption with low-bandgap photocatalyst and ultraviolet absorption of wide-bandgap photocatalyst, the inhibition of electron transfer from nano Au to ZnO (green arrow in Figure 16(b)), and the enhancement of electron transport capacity due to strong absorption of incident radiation in the thin layer (≈10 nm) below the catalyst surface lead to the enhanced photocatalytic performance of the Au/ZnO-5 composite.

In this study, tartrazine was selected as a typical pollutant model for investigating the photocatalytic efficiency of Au/ZnO nanocomposite. Tartrazine is a synthetic lemon yellow azo dye; it exists in three conformational forms depending on the solution pH (azo, keto, and hydrazone form as shown in Figure 17(a)). At pH = 6, tartrazine has two forms as azo and keto form; meanwhile, all three forms above are in being at pH = 11 [53]. A suitable photocatalytic degradation pathway of TA with Au/ZnO catalyst was proposed in Figure 17(b), which describes the breaking of functional groups, bonds, and azo groups by oxidizing agents and giving rise to intermediate products, ultimately CO₂, SO₂, Na₂CO₃, etc.

4. Conclusion

Hierarchical structure Au/ZnO composite was successfully synthesized by the hydrothermal method and chemical reduction by sodium citrate. Au/ZnO-5 composite was a hierarchical structure like flowers. The ZnO particles were approximately 10-15 μm in size, which were composed of many petals. The Au particles of 3-5 nm in size were well dispersed on the ZnO structure, which was observed in EDS, TEM, and EPR results. The presence of Au at a higher 3 wt.% led to reducing the bandgap energy to 3.12 eV but slightly increasing the crystal size to 15 nm for Au/ZnO-5 composite. In addition, the presence of Au led to a decrease in the strength of an EPR signal for Au/ZnO-5 composite; it promoted the transfer of electrons from Au to ZnO and subsequently paired with the single electron in Vo, resulting in the formation of paramagnetically silent Vo•. These were the reasons for the reduction of the recombination of electrons and holes. Therefore, the photocatalytic ability of Au/ZnO composite was improved. Moreover, the enhanced photocatalytic performance of composite under sunlight irradiation with doping of Au could be attributed to the surface plasmonic resonance interaction of Au and ZnO.
Figure 17: (a) The tautomeric forms of tartrazine and (b) possible route to the destruction of tartrazine in as-synthesized Au/ZnO nanocomposite.
particles and the intensity of sunlight. As the results, the Au/ZnO-5 composite exhibited a high reaction activity. Its DE, reaction rate, and DC were 99.2%, 0.109 min⁻¹, and 19.6 mg/g, respectively.

The pH of 6.0, dye concentration of 10 mg/L, and catalyst dosage of 0.25 g/L were potential conditions for the degradation of dye on Au/ZnO-5 composite. The presence of O₂ and H₂O₂ could accelerate the generation of •OH and •O₂⁻ radicals leading to improve degradation of dyes into CO₂ and H₂O. However, at a high concentration of H₂O₂ (above 100 mM), the reaction was drastically reduced because that H₂O₂ acted as a scavenger to •OH radicals. In addition, the Au/ZnO-5 composite also exhibited an excellent catalyst for other dyes showing degradation of 100% in 5 min for Congo red.
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