Successful Treatment of stage IIIb intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma After Neoadjuvant Therapy With PD-1 blockade Camrelizumab: A Case Report and Review of Literature
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Abstract

Prognosis of ICC with lymph node metastasis is poor. And the feasibility of operation is uncertain, which is a contraindication in NCCN guidelines. In addition, in the neoadjuvant therapy of ICC, the role of immunotherapy is not clear. We describe a case of ICC with lymph node metastasis was successfully treated with neoadjuvant therapy.

Background

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) is an adenocarcinoma originated from the secondary bile duct and its branch epithelium. ICC accounts for about 10% – 15% of primary liver cancer. ICC is the primary malignant tumor of liver after incidence rate of HCC. The incidence rate is increasing in recent years.

The exact cause of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is still unclear. The well-known risk factors of ICC include congenital choledochal cyst, chronic cholangitis, chronic inflammatory bowel disease, primary sclerosing cholangitis, parasitic infection, chemical carcinogens (such as thorium dioxide and nitrosamine), genetic factors, biliary cirrhosis, cholelithiasis, alcoholic liver disease and non-specific cirrhosis. Studies have found that hepatitis virus is also closely related to ICC.

The general morphology of ICC can be divided into three types: mass type, peritubular infiltration type and intratubular growth type. The most common type is mass type, accounting for 60% ~ 80% of ICC; periductal infiltration type accounts for 15% ~ 35%, which can diffuse infiltration along the biliary system and portal vein system, resulting in bile duct stenosis and peripheral bile duct dilatation; intraductal growth type accounts for 8% ~ 29%, which mostly shows papillary, polypoid or granular growth, spreading along the superficial bile duct. Here, we report the case of a patient with advanced ICC, which was successfully treated with neoadjuvant therapy combined with surgical resection.

Case Presentation

A 60-year-old man was referred because of “Right upper abdominal pain for 1 week”. He lost weight about 2.5KG in the last 2 months. Abdominal physical examination was normal except for liver palmar and spider nevus because of hepatitis B about 30 years. Mass in right lobe of liver were found by enhanced CT in other hospitals, which may be considered as ICC, and the right branch of portal vein is suspected to be involved (Fig. 1); and Hilar and retroperitoneal lymph node metastasis was found. No bone metastasis was found on ECT. Laboratory tests showed abnormality, CA19-9 is 1844 U/mL and HBV DNA is 6.0e2 IU/ml; other laboratory tests were normal, Including: AFP, CEA, CA125, ca15-3. It was initially diagnosed ICC (Stage IIIb) with hilar and retroperitoneal lymph node metastasis. Chemotherapy is recommended according to NCCN guidelines. So we did liver biopsy and pathological results showed poorly differentiated cholangiocarcinoma (Fig. 2).

According to AJCC disease stage / classification, intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with hilar lymph node metastasis (stage IIIb) and para aortic lymph node metastasis were suspected. The latest literature
reports showed that two patients with stage II B ICC received 13 m and 16 m CR after chemotherapy with PD-1 monoclonal antibody. After multi-disciplinary consultation, we believe that patients should be given neoadjuvant therapy and surgical resection and lymph node dissection plus postoperative adjuvant therapy. After three times of PD-1 immunotherapy and two times of GP regimen chemotherapy, the tumor size of the patients was reduced, reaching the PR of oncology (Fig.3).

Furthermore, preoperative evaluation found that the patient has reached the condition of surgical resection (The Child Pugh grade of this patient is A, ICG R15 is 4.7%, left liver volume is 494ml, standard liver volume is 1034ml, left half liver / standard liver volume: 47.8%, ECoG score is 0).

Because radical operation (plus lymph node dissection) is the only cure method for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, it can be surgically removed after multidisciplinary evaluation. Group 16 lymph nodes were removed by exploratory laparotomy, and rapid frozen pathological found it was negative. It was evaluated that it could be surgically removed, so two months after the discovery of the tumor, right hemihepatectomy and cholecystectomy and porta hepatis, retroperitoneal lymph node dissection and abdominal tumor resection were performed. Pathological examination further confirmed cholangiocarcinoma, and immunohistochemical results showed: Hep(-), PCEA(-), CK7(+), CK19(+), Ki-67 (50%), CEA(-), CD10(-), CD10(-)(Fig. 4). Fifteen lymph nodes were removed from hepatoduodenal ligament and posterior edge of pancreas during the operation, 2 were found to be metastatic by pathological examination. The patient recovered well and was discharged from the hospital. Immunotherapy and GP chemotherapy were carried out one month after discharge.

After one year follow-up, no recurrence was found by CT imaging (Fig. 5) and CA199 decreased from 1844u / ml to 4.76u/ml. As a result, oncology assessment reached PR status.

**Discussion**

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma has high malignancy, poor prognosis and few long-term survivors. The prognosis of patients without operation is very poor, and the 3-year survival rate was only 40% – 50% after the operation (5). Compared with other liver malignancies, ICC means shorter survival time, lower resection rate and cure rate (6). In addition, the gross classification of ICC is related to the prognosis of tumor. The prognosis of peritubular infiltration type is the worst, followed by mass type, and intratubular growth type is the best. At present, tumor markers lack sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of ICC. CA19-9 has a certain significance in the diagnosis of tumor, evaluation of tumor resection and prognosis, while CEA, AFP and CA-125 have little significance in the diagnosis of ICC(7). The definite diagnosis depends on the combination of imaging and pathological examination (8).

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is more likely to recur than hepatocellular carcinoma(9). The survival of patients with ICC is affected by the number of tumors, the extent of liver resection, the degree of tumor differentiation and the type of tumor cells. According to NCCN guidelines, preoperative biopsy is not necessary, especially in surgical resectable cases. Imaging suspicious nodules should be treated as
malignant, and diagnostic laparoscopic exploration is recommended to exclude unresectable disseminated lesions (10).

Exploration and evaluation should include: multiple intrahepatic cancer, lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis. Lymph node metastasis and distant metastasis outside the porta hepatis should be considered as surgical contraindications. It is recommended that hilar lymph node dissection should be performed routinely to provide staging and prognosis information. According to NCCN guidelines, radical surgery (plus lymph node dissection) is the only cure treatment (11). Surgical indications and neoadjuvant therapy are still the focus of clinical justice (12). Literature reports showed that two patients with stage B ICC received 13 m and 16 m CR after chemotherapy with PD-1 monoclonal antibody(13). This case of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with hilar lymph node metastasis, abdominal aortic lymph node metastasis is suspected, belongs to AJCC stage IIIb. After multidisciplinary discussion, it is suggested that patients should implement neoadjuvant therapy and surgical resection and lymph node dissection and postoperative adjuvant therapy, in addition, neoadjuvant therapy includes chemotherapy and immunotherapy.

After immunotherapy with PD-1 and chemotherapy with GP regimen, re evaluation showed that the tumor was shrunk and necrotic, and the oncology evaluation reached PR. According to the NCCN guidelines, the patients continued to receive immunotherapy and chemotherapy after tumor resection. So far, no recurrence has been found. Lymph node dissection is another focus of clinical debate. The existing research data show that extended dissection does not improve the prognosis, however, both NCCN and ESMO guidelines recommend routine hilar lymph node dissection. NCCN guidelines consider that positive extrahepatic lymph node metastasis is a surgical contraindication, and neoadjuvant therapy is recommended, followed by surgery at a lower stage. So the independent risk factors for the prognosis of the patients included: the distance between the cutting edge and the tumor was less than 1cm; the diameter of the tumor was more than 5cm; the number of tumors was multiple; microvascular invasion and positive pathological lymph node metastasis (12, 14).

A literature confirmed that lymph node dissection cannot improve the prognosis of patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma(15). Postoperative pathology confirmed that positive lymph node metastasis is an independent risk factor affecting the prognosis of patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, which confirmed the clinical value of lymph node dissection, and also showed that the current lymph node dissection are still many problems(15). Lymph node dissection is still routine (lymph node metastasis rate is 25–50%), CSCO biliary system tumor diagnosis and treatment expert consensus 2019 recommend active surgical resection and lymph node dissection, so there is no consensus on the scope of regional lymph node dissection (14). We should carefully choose extended hepatectomy, the improvement of chemotherapy, the emergence of immunotherapy and radiotherapy. With the development of surgery, adjuvant therapy has become an important means to improve the prognosis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (16, 17).
Another point of contention about ICC is there is no RCT study on preoperative neoadjuvant therapy for ICC. A multicenter study contain 62 cases of ICC with neoadjuvant chemotherapy had a median OS of 47m after resection, 74 cases of unresectable ICC had a median OS of 24m after 6 cycles of chemotherapy([18]). At present, preoperative neoadjuvant therapy was regarded as the “TEST”, and there is no report about immunotherapy as neoadjuvant therapy or transformation therapy.

Immunotherapy as a research hotspot at present. PD1 inhibitors are effective for solid tumors, but currently only melanoma and lung cancer are the clinical indications for immunotherapy. At present, the objective remission rate of ICC in the treatment of advanced HCC patients is about 20%, showing a certain efficacy, and more phase III clinical trials are needed to verify. The development of more sensitive and efficient predictive methods will help ICC therapy more accurately benefit potential patients with advanced HCC and benefit patients who are suitable for ICC therapy. This will hopefully open up a new prospect of immunotherapy for liver tumors ([19]). Immunotherapy may provide an attemptable treatment option for hepatic ICC, but it needs to be confirmed by a larger sample of cases ([20]); and as part of preoperative neoadjuvant therapy for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is still a hot topic in clinical trials ([21]). Overall, the value of neoadjuvant therapy, the time of operation after neoadjuvant therapy, the necessity of lymph node dissection, the means of adjuvant therapy, and the treatment plan after recurrence are still the hot topics of current research.

**Conclusion**

Neoadjuvant therapy combined with surgical resection are useful for advanced stage intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. This is the first successful treatment case of stage IIIb intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after neoadjuvant therapy with PD-1 blockade.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

Computed tomography and Magnetic resonance imaging showed that the tumors were located in the right lobe, the longest diameter is about 20 cm; hilar lymph node metastasis was found.

Figure 2

specimen from the biopsy

specimen 200x
The tumors showed fish-like changes on the whole, and atypical cells and lymphocyte infiltration could be seen under the microscope.

Figure 3

After neoadjuvant therapy, Magnetic resonance imaging showed the tumor size of the patients was reduced obviously and the hilar lymph node metastasis disappeared.

Figure 4

Samples after the operation and microscopically the same as the biopsy.
Figure 5

Eight months after the operation, no recurrence was found by CT scan.