On equations of motion in twist-four evolution
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Explicit diagrammatic calculation of evolution equations for high-twist correlation functions is a challenge already at one-loop order in QCD coupling. The main complication being quite involved mixing pattern of the so-called non-quasipartonic operators. Recently, this task was completed in the literature for twist-four nonsinglet sector. Presently, we elaborate on a particular component of renormalization corresponding to the mixing of gauge-invariant operators with QCD equations of motion. These provide an intrinsic contribution to evolution equations yielding total result in agreement with earlier computations that bypassed explicit analysis of Feynman graphs.
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1. Introduction

Construction of renormalization group evolution equations for twist-four operators represents quite a formidable task even at leading order of QCD perturbative series. The main obstacle being, that compared to, say, the twist-three sector where the entire operator basis can be built from the so-called quasipartonic operators, it is no longer the case for higher twists. The reason for this is that the QCD equations of motions and relations based on Lorentz symmetry are not sufficient to eliminate non-quasipartonic operators from the set. As it was established more than three decades ago, the quasipartonic operators are distinguished by their property that one can use for them on-shell elementary states in evaluation of corresponding evolution kernels and the resulting renormalization group operator takes a pair-wise form at one-loop, conserving the number of fields in correlation functions in question. This virtue ceases to be the case when one goes outside of the quasipartonic states. Here off-shell nature of the particles involved mixes states with different number of fields. However, since the quasipartonic operators possess the maximal number of fields per given twist, the mixing is unidirectional, only transition of non-quasipartonic operators with smaller number of fields is possible into operators with the same number of fields or larger. For instance, for twist-four operators a
generic form of the evolution equations admits the form

$$\frac{d}{d \ln \mu} \begin{pmatrix} O_2 \\ O_3 \\ O_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{2 \to 2} & K_{2 \to 3} & K_{2 \to 4} \\ 0 & K_{3 \to 3} & K_{3 \to 4} \\ 0 & 0 & K_{4 \to 4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O_2 \\ O_3 \\ O_4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Here $O_N$ is an operator with $N$ elementary QCD fields, with $N = 4$ standing for the quasipartonic operator in conventional classification. As we alluded to above, the mixing matrix takes a triangular form such that quasipartonic operators $O_4$ form an autonomous sector. The latter was studied in full detail decades ago. Thus the goal of other studies was to fill the gap by analyzing $K_{2 \to n}$ and $K_{3 \to n}$ transitions.

Over the years, only partial results were collected in the literature. To alleviate the complexity of brute-force perturbative calculations, a framework that heavily employs consequences of conformal boosts and Poincaré transformations has been designed and used to find coordinate-space evolution kernels for twist-four operator basis. Notice that a proper choice allowed one to eliminate $2 \to n$ transitions altogether thus further simplifying the computation. In a parallel development, a direct diagrammatic analysis was performed in the momentum-fraction space. The latter is a Fourier transform of the aforementioned coordinate representation and is used in implementation of evolution in data analyses.

The use of momentum representation and light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$ in the aforementioned calculation allowed us to reduce one-loop computations to a set of algebraic, though, quite involved manipulations. Staying away from kinematical boundaries not a single loop integral had to be performed. To confirm our calculation a Fourier transformation was performed and agreement was found with the light-ray calculation. Though, the analysis of Ref. was quite detailed, in the present note we will put an emphasis on a most subtle aspect of that calculation, namely, the use of QCD equations of motion for transitions preserving or increasing the number of fields in operators in question. In this note, we will limit ourselves to a few explicit examples pertinent to the use of quark and gluon equations of motion in construction of twist-four evolution equations.

### 2. Quark equation of motion

To start with, let us consider the twist-three sub-block $\psi_L^i(x_1)\psi_L^j(x_2)$ built from bad/good components $\psi_T^i$ of the quark field $\psi^i = \psi_T^i + \psi_L^i$ of a twist-four operator and unravel the contribution from the quark equation of motion in the transition channel involving the quasipartonic operator $\psi_L^i(x_1)\psi_L^j(x_2) \to \psi_T^i(y_1)\psi_T^j(y_2)F_{++}^T(y_t)$. This is shown at the diagrammatic level in Fig. 1 (a) and
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Fig. 1. Diagrams corresponding to the use of quark and gluon equations of motion in (a) and (b,c), respectively.

reads in terms of momentum integrals

\[ O_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d^4 p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{d^4 k_j}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(k_j^+ - x_j) \bar{\psi}(p_1)i\gamma^\mu\psi(p_1, -k_1, -k_3) \]

\[ \times i\mathcal{P}(k_1)\Gamma^- i\mathcal{P}(-k_2)i\mathcal{V}_3^b(p_2 + p_3, -k_2, k_3)i\mathcal{P}(-p_2 - p_3)i\mathcal{V}_3^c(-p_2 - p_3, p_2, p_3)\psi'(p_2) \]

\[ \times A^{\mu\nu}_{\perp}(p_3)(-i)\Delta_{\mu\nu}(k_3). \]

(2)

Here the operator \( \Gamma^- \), projecting out on appropriate components of four-dimensional Dirac spinors, and the quark-gluon interaction vertex are, respectively,

\[ \Gamma^- = \frac{1}{2\gamma_0(1 + \gamma_5)} \]

\[ \mathcal{V}_3^a(k_1, k_2, k_3) = g t^a \gamma^\mu(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \]

while the quark and light-cone-gauge gluon propagator read

\[ \mathcal{P}(k) = \frac{i}{k^2}, \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{d_{\mu\nu}(k)}{k^2}, \quad d_{\mu\nu}(k) = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu n_\nu + k_\nu n_\mu}{k_\perp}. \]

(3)

Denoting the integrand in Eq. (2) by \( \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{D} \), we can work out the denominator \( \mathcal{D} \) originated from the propagators as \( \mathcal{D} = k_1^2(p_1 - k_1)^2(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 - k_1)^2(p_2 + p_3)^2 \). Notice that since all field lines of the quasipartonic quark-gluon operator \( \psi^i(y_1)\psi^j(y_2)\mathcal{D}_{\perp}(y_3) \) are on-shell, one immediately encounters a problem. Namely, the external legs possess collinear momenta \( p_i = (p_i^+, 0, 0) \) with \( p_i^+ = y_i \) and thus the propagator \( (p_2 + p_3)^2 \) diverges. To alleviate the problem, one has to properly regularize this. One option is to give a non-vanishing minus component \( p_i^- \) to particles’ momenta. This was done in the past in Refs[1]. Presently, we follow a different route and use instead the transverse momentum as a regulator. Choosing the loop momentum as \( k = k_1 \), we define \( p \equiv p_1 + p_2 + p_3, q \equiv p_2 + p_3 \), giving the latter a non-vanishing transverse component while keeping \( q^- = p_2^- + p_3^- = 0 \). This yields a regularized intermediate propagator \( q^2 = 2q^+ q^- - q^2_\perp = -q^2_\perp \). Expanding the denominator \( \mathcal{D} \) in the inverse powers of the loop’s transverse momentum \( k_\perp \), we
find to the lowest few orders
\[
\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{k_\perp^b q_\perp^a k_\perp^b} \frac{1}{[(k^+ - p_1^+) \beta - 1][(k^+ - p_1^+) \beta - 1]}
\times \left[ 1 - \frac{2p_{1\perp} \cdot k_\perp}{k_\perp^2[(k^+ - p_1^+) \beta - 1]} - \frac{2p_{1\perp} \cdot k_\perp}{k_\perp^2[(k^+ - p_1^+) \beta - 1]} \right] + O(1/k_\perp^8). \tag{5}
\]

Here we kept only terms that induce logarithmic dependence on the ultraviolet cut-off \( \mu \) in transverse momentum integrals. These are the renormalization-group logs that we are resumming. In the above equation, we introduced the \( \beta \)-variable as a rescaled minus component of the loop momentum \( \beta = 2k^-/k_\perp^2 \). The Dirac algebra in the numerator
\[
\mathcal{N} = -ig^3(t^a)_{ij}^r(t^a t^d)_{jj'}^r \bar{\psi}_{ij'}(p_1) [\gamma^\mu k \Gamma^{-\mu} (k - p) \gamma^\nu \slashed{d} A_\perp^d] \psi_{jj'}(p_2) d_{\mu \nu}(k - p_1), \tag{6}
\]
can be easily performed by means of Sudakov decomposition of all momenta, loop and external. Working this out and performing momentum integrations, with \( k^+ \) momentum simply eliminated by means of the delta-function constraints, \( k^- \) momentum (a.k.a. \( \beta \)) generating generalized step-functions
\[
\vartheta^k_{\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\beta}{2\pi i} \beta^k \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x_i \beta - 1 + i0)^{-\alpha_i}, \tag{7}
\]
and, finally, the \( k_\perp \) one yielding \( \ln \mu \) dependence, one immediately arrives at the result
\[
\mathcal{O}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{g^3 \ln \mu}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2 q_\perp^a} m_{ij}^a (t^a t^d)_{jj'}
\times \int dM_3 \bar{\psi}_{ij'}(p_1) \left[ a^d_{\alpha} A_\perp^d(p_3) a_{\alpha}^0( x_1, x_1 - y_1, -x_2) + \ldots \right] \psi_{jj'}(p_2), \tag{8}
\]
where here and below we used the three-particle measure
\[
dM_3 \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{dp_i d^2 p_{i\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{2} x_j \right). \tag{9}
\]
Since Fig. 1 (a) corresponds to the quark equation of motion \( p \bar{\psi}(p) = -g \int d^4p' A(p') \bar{\psi}(p - p') \) and \( q \bar{q} = q^2 = -q^2_\perp \), we only need to keep terms proportional to \( q^2_\parallel \), thus neglecting everything else denoted by ellipses in the right-hand side of the above equation. Effectively, the use of the quark equation of motion can be understood as a contraction of the fermion propagator \( iP(-q) \) into a point. Thus, we get the final addendum to the rest of the evolution kernel
\[
\mathcal{O}_{ij}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}g^3}{32\pi^2} m_{ij}^a (t^a t^d)_{jj'} \ln \mu
\times \int dM_3 \bar{\psi}_{ij'}(p_1) \gamma^+ A_\perp^d(p_3) \psi_{jj'}(p_2), \tag{10}
\]
where $\gamma^+ A_\perp$ provides the correct Dirac structure for the channel. Only after this contribution is accounted for, we total results coincides with the one available in the literature.

3. Gluon equation of motion

Moving on to the gluon equations of motion, $D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = gj^\nu$, the same story applies without significant modifications. It becomes more involved though due to Lorentz structures of contributing diagrams. Since the focus of our study was a non-singlet sector, we are not concerned about gluon-quark transition scenarios. Therefore, we can safely set the QCD quark current to zero, $j^\nu = 0$ and simplify the gluon equation of motion to just pure gluodynamics $D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$. Decomposing it in terms of Sudakov components, we get

\[
(\partial^+)^2 A^a - \partial_\perp A^a \perp - \partial_\perp A^a \perp - g f^{abc}(A^b_\perp A^c_\perp + A^a_\perp A^c_\perp) = 0 ,
\]

\[
\partial^+(F_\perp \pm F_\perp^\top) + D_\perp(F_\perp^\perp \pm F_\perp^\top) + \frac{1}{2}(D_\perp^\top \mp D_\perp^\top)[(\pm(F_\perp^\perp + F_\perp^\top) \mp (F_\perp^\perp + F_\perp^\top)] = 0 ,
\]

in the light cone gauge $A^+ = 0$. Here, we introduced conventional notations for helicity plus/minus gluon fields

\[
A_\perp = A^1 + iA^2 , \quad A_\perp = A^1 - iA^2 .
\]

The same notation will be used below for holomorphic and antiholomorphic components of any four-vector.

In practice, the use of gluonic equations of motion in Feynman graphs is employed by keeping contributions in numerators that cancel denominators of on-shell propagators, in a fashion identical to the one for quarks. Namely, giving these lines a small transverse momentum does the trick. Let us illustrate this methods with a few examples.

We start with a simple example of a two-to-two particle transition $f_{a+}^a(x_1)f_{b+}^b(x_2) \rightarrow f_{a+}^a(y_1)f_{b+}^b(y_2)$ that, due to helicity-conservation, possesses an nontrivial annihilation channel as shown in Fig. (b). This graph yields

\[
\mathcal{O}\abb(x_1, x_2) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{d^4 p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{d^4 k_j}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(k_j^+ - x_j) \frac{k_1^+ k_2^+}{p_1^+ p_2^+} \times \Gamma^{\mu\nu\sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda\alpha}^{b_2 a_1} (-k_2, p_1 + p_2, -k_1) \mathcal{V}_{\rho\sigma}^{a_1 b_1} (p_1, p_2, -p_1 - p_2)
\]

\[
\times (-i)\Delta_{aa_1}^{\mu\nu}(k_1)(-i)\Delta_{bb_1}^{\rho\sigma}(k_2)(-i)\Delta_{bb_2}^{\rho\sigma}(p_1 + p_2)A_\perp^{\nu}(p_1)A_\perp^{\sigma}(p_2) ,
\]

where we use for convenience a projector in terms of the Dirac matrices $\Gamma^{\mu\nu\sigma} = \gamma^\perp \gamma^\perp \gamma^\perp \gamma^\perp$, on the transverse holomorphic and antiholomorphic components of the gluon field, and the three-gluon vertex being

\[
\mathcal{V}_a^{a\nu\rho}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(k_1 + k_2 + k_3)
\]

\[
\times g f^{abc}[(k_1 - k_2)_\mu g_{\mu\nu} + (k_2 - k_3)_\mu g_{\nu\rho} + (k_3 - k_1)_\nu g_{\rho\mu}] .
\]
We also defined the transverse four vectors of Dirac matrices $\gamma_\perp^\mu$, $\gamma_T^\mu$ and their (anti)holomorphic combinations $\gamma_\perp$ and $\gamma_T$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_\perp^\mu &= (0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, 0), \\
\gamma_T^\mu &= (0, \gamma_1, -\gamma_2, 0), \\
\gamma_\perp &= \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2, \\
\gamma_T &= \gamma_1 - i\gamma_2
\end{align*}
$$

After some algebra and integration, we arrive at the expression

$$
\mathcal{O}^{ab}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{g^2 \ln \mu}{\pi^2} \int \prod \frac{dp_i^+ dp_i^- dp_{i\perp}^2}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod \delta(k_j^+ - x_j - \psi) \lambda_{i\mu}(p_1, -k_1, -k_3)
$$

\begin{align*}
&\times i\bar{\psi}^a(p_1) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} k_{1\perp} A_{\gamma}^\mu(p_2) V^{\beta\delta}_{\nu\sigma\lambda}(k_3, p_2 + p_3, -k_2) V^{\rho\lambda}_{\nu\sigma\delta}(p_3, p_2, -p_2 - p_3) \\
&\times (-i) \Delta_{b_d b_4}^{\rho\lambda}(k_1)(-i) \Delta_{b_d b_4}^{\rho\lambda}(k_2)(-i) \Delta_{b_d b_4}^{\rho\lambda}(p_2 + p_3) A_{\perp}^\mu(p_3),
\end{align*}

(19)

where as before $k_{1\perp} = k_1 - ik^2$ and $k_{1\perp} = k_1 + ik^2$. After some algebraic manipulations that cancel the on-shell propagator and straightforward integrations over the loop momentum, we end up with the following expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^{ia}(x_1, x_2) &= -\frac{\sqrt{2} g^3}{8\pi^2} \int \prod \frac{dp_i^+ dp_i^- dp_{i\perp}^2}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod \delta(k_j^+ - x_j - \psi) \lambda_{i\mu}(p_1, -k_1, -k_3)
\end{align*}
$$

\begin{align*}
&\times i\bar{\psi}^a(p_1) \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} k_{1\perp} A_{\gamma}^\mu(p_2) V^{\beta\delta}_{\nu\sigma\lambda}(k_3, p_2 + p_3, -k_2) V^{\rho\lambda}_{\nu\sigma\delta}(p_3, p_2, -p_2 - p_3) \\
&\times (-i) \Delta_{b_d b_4}^{\rho\lambda}(k_1)(-i) \Delta_{b_d b_4}^{\rho\lambda}(k_2)(-i) \Delta_{b_d b_4}^{\rho\lambda}(p_2 + p_3) A_{\perp}^\mu(p_3),
\end{align*}

(20)
where the transition kernel stemming from the graph (c) reads

\[
V(x_1, x_2 | y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{x_2[(y_2 + y_3)(y_1 + 2(y_2 + y_3)) - 2y_1x_2]}{y_2y_3(x_1 - y_1)(y_2 + y_3)} \delta^0_{12}(x_1 - y_1, -x_2) + \frac{2y_1y_2(y_2 + y_3) - x_2(y_2 - y_3)(y_1 + 2(y_2 + y_3)) + 2x_2^2(y_2 - y_3)}{y_2y_3(x_1 - y_1)(y_2 + y_3)} \delta^0_{12}(x_1 - y_1, -x_2) + \frac{x_1x_2(y_3 - y_2)\delta^0_{11}(x_1, x_1 - y_1, -x_2)}{y_2y_3(x_1 - y_1)(y_2 + y_3)} - \frac{x_1y_1x_2\delta^0_{12}(x_1, x_1 - y_1, -x_2)}{x_1y_2y_3 - y_1y_2y_3}.
\]

Let us conclude this section by discussing the use of gluon equations of motion when the field is emitted from an internal off-shell line. The corresponding diagram is shown in Fig. 2(a) and reads

\[
\mathcal{O}^{ia}(x_1, x_2) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{d^4k_j}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(k_j^+ - x_j) \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(p_1) i\gamma^\mu(p_1, -k_2, k_2 - p_1) \times i\mathcal{P}(k_1 - p_2 - p_3) i\gamma^\rho(p_1 - k_2, p_2 + p_3, -k_1)i\mathcal{P}(k_1) \Gamma^{\rho\sigma} k_1,\tau \gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} (p_3, p_2, -p_2 - p_3) \times (-i) \Delta_{ab_1}^\alpha(k_2)(-i) \Delta_{b_2}^{\alpha\beta}(p_2 + p_3) A_1^{\alpha}(p_2) A_2^{\beta}(p_3),
\]

where \( \Gamma^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \gamma^+ \gamma^\tau \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\tau. \) After dropping all terms that leave the divergent \((p_2 + p_3)^2\) factor in the denominator intact, we find

\[
\mathcal{O}^{ia}(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{\sqrt{2} g^3 \ln \mu}{4\pi^2} (d^a c)_{i\nu} f^{dc} c \times \int dM_3 V(x_1, x_2 | y_1, y_2, y_3) \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(p_1) \gamma^+ \gamma^\tau A_1^{\alpha}(p_2) A_2^{\beta}(p_3),
\]

Fig. 2. In (a), the Feynman graph due to gluon equation of motion in the transition \( \frac{1}{2} D_{--} \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(x_1) f^a_{++}(x_2) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(y_1) f^a_{++}(y_2) f^d_{++}(y_3). \) In (b) and (c), diagrams corresponding to the double use of equations of motion for mixing \( \frac{1}{4} D_{--} \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(x_1) f^a_{++}(x_2) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(y_1) f^a_{++}(y_2) f^d_{++}(y_3) \) and \( \frac{1}{4} D_{--} \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(x_1) f^a_{++}(x_2) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^a_{\nu}(y_1) f^a_{++}(y_2) f^d_{++}(y_3), \) respectively.
with the following nontrivial evolution kernel

\[
\begin{align*}
V(x_1, x_2|y_1, y_2, y_3) &= \frac{(y_1^2 - 3y_1x_2 + 2x_2^2) \partial^0_{112}(x_1, y_1 - x_2, -x_2)}{y_2y_3(y_2 + y_3)} + x_1(y_1 - 2x_2)\partial^0_{22}(y_1 - x_2, -x_2) + \frac{(2x_2 - y_1)(y_2 - y_3)\partial^1_{22}(x_1, y_1 - x_2, -x_2)}{y_2y_3} \\
&+ \frac{(y_1(y_3 - 3y_2) + 2x_2(y_2 - y_3))\partial^0_{22}(x_1, y_1 - x_2, -x_2)}{y_2y_3(y_2 + y_3)} + \frac{4x_2(y_2 - y_1)(-y_1 - y_2 - y_3 + x_2)\partial^2_{122}(x_1, y_1 - x_2, -x_2)}{y_2(y_2 + y_3)}.
\end{align*}
\] (24)

4. Double equations of motion

In certain mixing channels, one also has to account for graphs that involve double use of quark and/or gluon equations of motion. In these cases, the method advocated above works as well. Below, we give a couple of examples to illustrate the point.

First, we will discuss the diagram, shown in Fig. 2 (b), that possesses two quark on-shell propagators. Its integral representation reads

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^{ia}(x_1, x_2) &= \int \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{d^4k_j}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(k_j^+ - x_j) \bar{\psi}^{i'}(p_1) i\gamma^\mu(p_1, p_2, -p_1 - p_2) \\
&\times i\slashed{p} (p_1 + p_2) i\slashed{v} (p_1 + p_2 + 3, -p_1 - p_2 - 3) i\slashed{p} (p_1 + p_2 + p_3) \\
&\times i\slashed{v} (p_1 + p_2 + 3, -k_1 - k_2) \Gamma^{\alpha\gamma\gamma'} (i\Delta^{\gamma\gamma'}_{\alpha\beta}(k_2) k_1 \gamma A^\mu (p_2) A^\nu (p_3)),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\Gamma^{\alpha\gamma\gamma'} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \gamma^\tau \gamma^\gamma \gamma^\alpha \) projects the quark-gluon operator in question. Separating the terms with cancelled denominators \((p_1 + p_2)^2(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2\) from the rest, we have the following contribution to the transition from double quark equation of motion,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^{ia}(x_1, x_2) &= \frac{ig^3 \ln \mu}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} (i^d\psi^{i'}^d)_{i'i'} \\
&\times \int d\mathcal{M}_3 \frac{x_1 x_2 \delta^3(x_1, x_2)}{y_2y_3(x_1 + x_2)} \bar{\psi}^{i'}(p_1) \gamma^\tau A^\mu (p_2) A^\nu (p_3).
\end{align*}
\] (26)

Last but not least, let us address the case involving both quark and gluon equations of motion. A representative graph in demonstrated in Fig. 2 (c). It provided an additive contribution to the following two-to-three transition

\[
\frac{1}{2} D_{-\gamma} \bar{\psi}^{i'}_+(x_1)f^a_+(x_2) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^{i'}_+(y_1)f^a_+(y_2)f^d_+(y_3)
\]

and gives

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^{ia}(x_1, x_2) &= \int \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d^4p_i}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(p_i^+ - y_i) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{d^4k_j}{(2\pi)^4} \delta(k_j^+ - x_j) k_1 \gamma A^\mu (p_2) A^\nu (p_3) \\
&\times \bar{\psi} (p_1) i\slashed{v} (p_1 + p_2 + 3, -p_1 - p_2 + 3) i\slashed{p} (p_1 + p_2 + p_3) i\slashed{v} (-k_1 - k_2, p_1 + p_2 + p_3) \\
&\times i\slashed{p} (k_1) \Gamma^{\alpha\gamma\gamma'} \gamma^\tau \gamma^\gamma (p_2 - p_3, p_3, p_2)(-i) \Delta^{\gamma\gamma'}_{\alpha\beta} (k_2)(-i) \Delta^{\gamma\gamma'}_{\alpha\beta} (p_2 + p_3),
\end{align*}
\] (27)
with \( \Gamma^\top = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \gamma^+ \gamma^\top \). Projecting on the channel in question, taking care of the symmetrization by swapping \( A_1^{a'\rho} \leftrightarrow A_1^{\delta\chi} \) and finally dropping terms with uncancelled denominator \((p_2 + p_3)^2(p_1 + p_2 + p_3)^2\), we get

\[
O^{ia}(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{g^3 \ln \mu}{8\sqrt{2\pi^2}} (t^b t^a)_{ii'} f^{b a'd} \times \int dM_3 \frac{x_1 x_2 (y_2 - y_3) \delta^0_{12} (x_1, -x_3)}{(x_1 + x_2) y_2 y_3 (y_2 + y_3)} \bar{\psi} (p_1) \gamma^+ \gamma^\top A_1^{a' \rho} (p_2) A_1^{\delta \chi} (p_3). \tag{28}
\]

5. Conclusions

In this note, we provided a detailed discussion of a formalism that properly incorporates graphs induced by quark and gluon equations of motion in the renormalization group evolution of twist-four operators. Neglecting these would yield an incomplete answer for corresponding evolution equations. The method uses regularization of external off-shell lines by giving them a nonvanishing transverse momentum. This technique is a bit more advantageous compared to endowing the lines with minus momentum since the former does not admix momentum fractions in the game. When added to the rest of contributions from Feynman graphs, the complete results was found in agreement with recent analysis of twist-four evolution kernels by means of a different technique. Our formalism is not tailored particularly to the twist-four case and thus can be easily generalized to even higher twists once a complete basis of operators is properly chosen.
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