Light-Activated Metal Oxide Gas Sensors: A Review
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Abstract: Conductometric gas sensors facilitated by photons have been investigated for decades. Light illumination may enhance device attributes including operational temperature, sensing sensitivity and selectivity. This paper aims to provide an overview on the progress of light-activated gas sensors, with a specific focus on sensors based on metal oxides. The material systems that have been studied include pure metal oxides, heterostructures of semiconductor-metal oxides and metal-metal oxides, and metal oxides with dopant. Other reported works on the use of different nanostructures such as one-dimensional and porous nanostructures, study of sensing mechanisms and the interplay between various factors are also summarized. Possible directions for further improvement of sensing properties, through optimizing the size of nanomaterials, film thickness, light intensity and wavelength are discussed. Finally, we point out that the main challenge faced by light-activated gas sensors is their low optical response, and we have analyzed the feasibility of using localized surface plasmon resonance to solve this drawback. This article should offer readers some key and instructive insights into the current and future development of light-activated gas sensors.
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1. Introduction

Gas sensors play an important role in various disciplines ranging from personal safety, medical diagnosis, environmental monitoring to industrial process control [1–6]. Compared to other types of gas detection techniques which typically make use shifts in optical and electrical capacitance properties [7,8], the conductometric gas sensor attracts much attention due to several advantages such as simplicity in measurement setup and the ease of miniaturization for portable instruments. The conductometric gas sensor typically consists of a sensor head and a resistance measurement system. The sensor head is composed of a sensing element, electrodes and a heater. The material for the sensing element is usually a metal oxide semiconductor. Resistance of the sensing element changes upon exposing it to one or a class of vapor species. For n-type sensing materials like ZnO and SnO2, the resistance decreases upon exposure to reductive gases such as CO, H2, ethanol vapor and increases upon exposure to oxidizing gas like O2, NO2, O3. The sensing results are opposite for p-type sensing materials. The relationship between resistance of the sensing material and the gas type is illustrated in Table 1. The most reported metal oxide semiconductors that are being used as sensing element materials include: SnO2, ZnO, TiO2, In2O3, WO3, CuO, Fe2O3, MoO3, while the most reported target gases are H2, CO, NH3, H2S, humidity, O2, NO2, NOX, and vapors of ethanol, formaldehyde, acetone, methanol.
Table 1. Resistance shift in semiconductor material in relation to redox type of target gas.

| Type of Semiconducting Material | Reductive Gas | Oxidizing Gas |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| \textit{n}-type                | Resistance decrease | Resistance increase |
| \textit{p}-type                | Resistance increase | Resistance decrease |

Five parameters are important for a conductometric gas sensor: response, response time, recovery time, selectivity and working temperature. There are several different definitions of the sensing response: change of conductance [9], change of current [10–14], and change of the resistance, which is mostly used in practical sensors. Based on the shift of resistance, the response of \textit{n}-type material when exposed to a reductive gas is defined as $R_a/R_g$ [15] or $(R_a - R_g)/R_g$ [16], where $R_a$ and $R_g$ are the resistances of the device in air and in the target gas atmosphere respectively. For the gas sensors based on \textit{p}-type materials, the response due to a reductive gas is defined as $R_g/R_a$ [17] or $(R_g - R_a)/R_a$.

For detecting oxidizing gases, the definitions of response are interchanged between \textit{n}-type materials and \textit{p}-type materials. Different definitions of response are illustrated in Table 2. Generally, the response time ($T_{\text{res}}$) and recovery time ($T_{\text{rec}}$) are defined as time spent by a sensor to achieve 90% of the total resistance change during the adsorption and desorption process respectively [15,17,18]. In some cases, the work defines the response time and recovery time as the time required for the sensor to achieve 90% of the total current change [19] or 90% of the total response change [20].

Table 2. Definition of sensor response.

| Type of Semiconductor Material | Reductive Gas | Oxidizing Gas |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| \textit{n}-type                | $R_a/R_g$ or $(R_a - R_g)/R_g$ | $R_g/R_a$ or $(R_g - R_a)/R_a$ |
| \textit{p}-type                | $R_g/R_a$ or $(R_g - R_a)/R_a$ | $R_a/R_g$ or $(R_a - R_g)/R_g$ |

An optimal conductometric gas sensor should meet the requirements of large sensing response, low working temperature and high selectivity to the target analytes. The mechanism for the resistance change of a conductometric gas sensor upon exposure to a gas is due to chemisorption and reaction of gas molecules on surface of the sensing element. Take ZnO, an \textit{n}-type semiconductor material, as an example, when the material is exposed to air, oxygen in air will be adsorbed on the surface of ZnO and capture electrons from the conduction band of ZnO to form oxygen ion species. Activities of different kinds of oxygen ions are dependent on the working temperature. It is reported that $O_2^-$ is formed at temperature lower than 150 °C and $O^-$, $O_2^-$ are formed at higher temperature [21–23]. A depletion layer is formed in ZnO, which causes the resistance to increase. Reactions between the oxygen ions and the target gas molecules ultimately lead to the sensor’s signal output. A reducing gas will be oxidized by oxygen ions and released electrons back to the conduction band of ZnO, thus decreases the resistance. An oxidizing gas will be reduced by capturing electrons, thus increasing the sensor’s resistance. After completing the sensing response process, the sensing element can be regenerated by exposing it to air again, as oxygen will be adsorbed on the surface to form oxygen ions again. In the light of this reaction step, the sensing response is highly dependent on several key parameters including working temperature, surface-to-volume ratio, specific surface area, surface active sites, size of the nanostructure and concentration of energetic carriers [24]. The reported schemes for improving the performance of conductometric gas sensors include the use of different nanostructures [25–27], controlling the size of nanoparticles [28], combining different materials by synthesizing heterostructures [29] and by doping.

Consequent to the generation of oxygen ions, which governs the operation of conventional conductometric gas sensors based on metal oxide semiconductors, one typically needs to heat the sensing element to a working temperature of at least 150 °C [2,3,6]. The thermal activation of gas sensor causes several disadvantages [24]. A heating element should be added to the gas sensor head, which increases device complexity. High working temperatures also sacrifices device lifetime and
long-term stability of sensing performance as this will result in regrowth of nanomaterials. It will also
limit the sensor’s application in the detection of flammable or explosive analytes because of safety
issues. Thus, an important research aim in the conductometric gas sensor is to lower the working
temperature [24,30–34]. Photon activation hence becomes an obvious choice.

This review aims to provide a summary on recent development of light-activated conductometric
gas sensors that are based on metal oxide semiconductors. Since the sensing response of photo-activated
gas sensors is generally quite low compared to that of conventional thermal energy activated sensors,
several approaches have been reported to improve the situation. Here, we have reviewed various
nanomaterials or nanostructures, including pure metal oxides, heterostructures made of different
materials and metal oxides with doping. For the case of pure metal oxides, sensors made of
1-dimensional and porous nanostructures are discussed. The sensing properties of these materials are
compared and the mechanisms of the performance improvement are discussed. Finally, an outlook on
the potential development of plasmon-assisted conductometric gas sensors is presented.

2. Pure Metal Oxide Materials for Gas Sensing

2.1. Pure Metal Oxide Nanoparticles

Metal oxides for gas detection was first found in 1960s by Tetsuro Seiyama [35]. The researcher
used ZnO thin films for the detection of several gases including toluene, benzene, CO$_2$ at elevated
temperature higher than 400 °C. After that, numerous research efforts have been done in the field of
metal oxides for conductometric gas sensors. In recent years, increasing attention has been given to
the development light-activated conductometric gas sensors for lowering the working temperature.
Here, we have summarized light-activated gas sensors based on pure metal oxides of different
kinds of materials in the light of their level of their popularity.

2.1.1. ZnO

Despite that SnO$_2$ is the most popular material for thermal energy activated gas sensors, which
has already been used for research, theoretical study and commercial device, ZnO drew attracted more
attention in the field of light-activated gas sensors.

Fan compared the H$_2$ sensing performance of ZnO at room temperature with and without
ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, and it was reported that UV light improved the sensing response and
recovery rate [36]. As shown in Figure 1, ZnO thin film showed no obvious response to H$_2$ in dark and
sensing was immediately activated under UV irradiation. They attributed the sensing improvement
to photo-induced oxygen ions: O$_2^-$ (hv) and the proposed sensing mechanism is shown in Figure 2.
O$_2$ molecules are chemisorbed on the surface of ZnO and they capture electrons from ZnO to form
oxygen ions (O$_2^-$) in dark (Figure 2a): O$_2$ + e$^-$ → O$_2^-$. With UV illumination, electron-hole pairs are
generated and the holes react with the pre-chemisorbed O$_2^-$ to form oxygen molecules which will be
desorbed from the surface of ZnO: h$^+$ + O$_2^-$ → O$_2$. At the same time, new oxygen molecules will be
adsorbed and capture the photoelectrons to form photo-induced oxygen ions (O$_2^-$ (hv)): O$_2$ + e$^-$ (hv)
→ O$_2^-$ (hv) (Figure 2b). After reaching equilibrium of oxygen adsorption and desorption, the resistance
of ZnO reaches a steady state (Figure 2c). O$_2^-$ (hv) ions are highly reactive and loosely bound to the
ZnO surface, which can be reduced by H$_2$ molecules to release electrons back to ZnO (Figure 2e):
2H$_2$ + O$_2^-$ (hv) → 2H$_2$O + e$^-$. Resistance of ZnO hence decreases under this reaction. After the injection
of air, oxygen molecules are adsorbed on the surface of ZnO and capture photo-induced electrons,
which will cause resistance to increase again. This is the recovery process of the conductometric gas
sensor. This operation mechanism is highly accepted to explain the photo-activated conductometric
gas sensor [36,37]. The sensing performance of ZnO nanolines is higher than that of ZnO thin film
in both dark and UV irradiation conditions as shown in Figure 1. The better performance is due
to its smaller grain size which provide larger surface-to-volume ratio. De Lacy Costello used ZnO
nanoparticles to detect various gases like hexane, propane, methane, ethanol, toluene, acetaldehyde,
acetone and pentane at room temperature under ultraviolet light-emitting diode (UV-LED) activation, and they reported that the sensing performance did not simply increase with light intensity. In fact, the sensor exhibited maximum response at different light intensity levels depending on the target gas [38]. This conclusion was also reported and analyzed by other groups [38–41]. In fact, gas-dependent optimal light intensity can be used to tune the sensors’ selectivity (Figure 3) [38]. However, de Lacy Costello did not provide any explanation for this phenomenon. Apart from light intensity, the sensing performance was also found to be wavelength dependent, as this also reported by other researchers [9]. Instead of using UV illumination, Geng reported sensing of acetone and ethylene at room temperature with visible light activation [37]. Their ZnO sensors exhibited no room temperature response to both acetone and ethylene vapors in the dark, even at very high concentration levels. Response to both ethylene and acetone was observed under irradiation of a white LED lamp and visible light with different wavelengths ranging from 420 nm to 520 nm (Figure 4). Since the energy of photons from visible light is smaller than the bandgap of ZnO, it was not possible to explain the visible light activation with intrinsic absorption. The photo response to visible light was therefore attributed to the non-intrinsic absorption due to native defects in ZnO. Electrons in valence band of ZnO could absorb energy from two or more electrons and be excited to the conduction band. These photo-electrons facilitated the gas sensing at room temperature.

Compared to reductive gases, we found more research efforts on studying light-activated sensing of oxidizing gas by ZnO [9,42–45]. Parthasarathy used ZnO nanospheres to fabricate thin films for detecting H2O2 at room temperature in conditions with and without light illumination. The white LED enhanced sensing response by increasing carrier concentrations (Figure 5) [42]. Fabbri fabricated a ZnO thin film for NO2 sensing at room temperature with light illumination at different wavelengths as shown in Figure 6a, and found that the sensing response was wavelength dependent. Maximum response was activated by photons at 365 nm, which matched the bandgap energy of ZnO. The sensing performance was also influenced by the gas type as shown in Figure 6b. They reported that the NO2 sensing response is higher in N2 than in the air but irreversible in N2, this result was in agreement with the widely accepted sensing mechanism which involves oxygen adsorption and desorption. The sensing response towards various gas samples were compared using thin and thick ZnO films. The authors claimed that the thin film case exhibited higher response because the thick film sample had a layer thickness well beyond the light penetration depth (Figure 7) [9]. This film-thickness dependence was systematically studied by Su et al. through both experiments and theoretical calculation [43]. They fabricated ordered porous ZnO arrays with four different film thicknesses for NO2 sensing with UV illumination (Figure 8). The sensing response increased at first and then decreased with increasing film...
thickness (Figure 9). They theoretically calculated the light penetration depth (h) through analyzing the light intensity decay in the material. The optimal film thickness (H) at which the materials exhibited maximum sensing response should be equal to the light penetration depth. Their result was consistent with the optical absorbance spectra which showed that the absorbance intensity did not increase at 365 nm when the film was thicker than 1500 nm, which was the calculated penetration depth for 365 nm radiation in their ordered porous ZnO samples (Figure 10). The optimal film thickness was dependent on the material, the nanostructure and the wavelength of the incident light.

Figure 2. Diagram of the UV-activated room-temperature gas sensing mechanism. (a) In dark; (b) Under UV; (c) Under UV (steady state); (d) Under UV with H2; (e) H2 reacts with O2^− (hv). Reproduced with permission from [36].

Figure 3. Sensing response (% change in the baseline current) vs. light intensity. Two different sensors based on ZnO were exposed to (a) hexane; (b) propane; (c) methane; (d) ethanol vapors of different concentrations. Reproduced with permission from [38].
Compared to reductive gases, we found more research efforts on studying light-activated sensing of oxidizing gas by ZnO [9,42–45]. Partha sarathy used ZnO nanospheres to fabricate thin films for detecting H2O2 at room temperature in conditions with and without light illumination. The white LED enhanced sensing response by increasing carrier concentrations (Figure 5) [42]. Fabbri fabricated a ZnO thin film for NO2 sensing at room temperature with light illumination at different wavelengths as shown in Figure 6a, and found that the sensing response was wavelength dependent. Maximum response was activated by photons at 365 nm, which matched the bandgap energy of ZnO. The sensing performance was also influenced by the gas type as shown in Figure 6b. They reported that the NO2 sensing response is higher in N2 than in the air but irreversible in N2, this result was in agreement with the widely accepted sensing mechanism which involves oxygen adsorption and desorption. The sensing response towards various gas samples were compared using thin and thick ZnO films. The authors claimed that the thin film case exhibited higher response because the thick film sample had a layer thickness well beyond the light penetration depth (Figure 7) [9]. This film-thickness dependence was systematically studied by Su et al. through both experiments and theoretical calculation [43]. They fabricated ordered porous ZnO arrays with four different film thicknesses for NO2 sensing with UV illumination (Figure 8). The sensing response increased at first and then decreased with increasing film thickness (Figure 9). They theoretically calculated the light penetration depth (h) through analyzing the light intensity decay in the material. The optimal film thickness (H) at which the materials exhibited maximum sensing response should be equal to the light penetration depth. Their result was consistent with the optical absorbance spectra which showed that the absorbance intensity did not increase at 365 nm when the film was thicker than 1500 nm, which was the calculated penetration depth for 365 nm radiation in their ordered porous ZnO samples (Figure 10). The optimal film thickness was dependent on the material, the nanostructure and the wavelength of the incident light.

Figure 4. Sensing response of ZnO sensor to different concentrations of (a) ethylene and (b) acetone in air atmosphere in dark or under visible-light irradiation of different wavelengths. Reproduced with permission from [37].

Figure 5. Response of ZnO with and without LED irradiation towards different concentration levels of hydrogen peroxide. Reproduced with permission from [42].

Figure 6. Cont.
Figure 5. Response of ZnO with and without LED irradiation towards different concentration levels of hydrogen peroxide. Reproduced with permission from [42].

Figure 6. 5 ppm NO₂ sensing plot of ZnO thin film at room temperature as a function of (a) light wavelength; (b) background gas. Reproduced with permission from [9].

Figure 7. Comparison of sensing response towards various gas samples for the cases of thin and thick ZnO film. Reproduced with permission from [9].
2.1.2. SnO2

Accelerated the desorption process, which was only activated by photons with wavelength shorter than 600 nm.

Illumination [46]. Saura studied gas sensing properties of SnO2 pyrolytic films towards acetone and selectivity through measuring the sensing response towards different gases with and without light illumination. As shown in Figure 12, there was no desorption of NO2 without light illumination and a fast desorption after light illumination. The photon induced NO2 sensing performance of SnO2 at room temperature with and without halogen lamp illumination was also reported by Anothainart and Comini [16,50]. Anothainart studied trichloroethylene vapors under UV irradiation at different wavelengths. They claimed a wavelength-dependence in the gas sensing performance of SnO2 towards H2 in both vacuum and in air [47]. Faglia found that monochromatic light improved sensor response, increase sensing rate as well as improve gas selectivity [46–48]. Jeng reported UV LED activated O3 sensing at room temperature and with permission from [43].

Figure 8. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images of ZnO porous thin film with different thicknesses on silicon wafer substrate, which was prepared by a layer-by-layer strategy based on solution-dipping of monolayer polystyrene (PS) template and transfer. (a) Monolayer; (b) Bilayer; (c) Trilayer; and (d) Tetralayer. The insets are the corresponding cross-sectional images. Reproduced with permission from [43].

Figure 9. Influence of film thickness on the response of porous ZnO thin film towards NO2 at room temperature under UV irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [43].

Figure 10. Optical absorbance spectra of porous ZnO porous film with different thicknesses. Reproduced with permission from [43].
2.1.2. SnO$_2$

SnO$_2$ is a popular metal oxide for conductometric gas sensor. Light irradiation on SnO$_2$ was found to enable room temperature sensing, enhance response, increase sensing rate as well as improve gas selectivity [46–48]. Jeng reported UV LED activated O$_3$ sensing at room temperature and attributed the sensing mechanism to photo-induced adsorption and desorption as mentioned earlier [48]. Ao reported the Hg lamp irradiation improved the sensing response and sensing speed of SnO$_2$ towards H$_2$ in both vacuum and in air [47]. Faglia found that monochromatic light improved sensor selectivity through measuring the sensing response towards different gases with and without light illumination [46]. Saura studied gas sensing properties of SnO$_2$ pyrolytic films towards acetone and trichloroethylene vapors under UV irradiation at different wavelengths. They claimed a wavelength-controlled selectivity by comparing the sensing response towards the two vapors between different wavelength (Figure 11) [49]. They also reported that the light-activated gas sensing was due to the photodesorption, which was also reported by Anothainart and Comini [16,50]. Anothainart studied NO$_2$ sensing performance of SnO$_2$ at room temperature with and without halogen lamp illumination by conductance and work function measurements. As shown in Figure 12, there was no desorption of NO$_2$ without light illumination and a fast desorption after light illumination. The photon induced desorption is dependent on light intensity and wavelength (Figures 13 and 14). Higher light intensity accelerated the desorption process, which was only activated by photons with wavelength shorter than 600 nm.

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Figure 11.** Response of SnO$_2$ towards vapors of acetone and trichloroethylene (a); trichloroethylene and acetone (b) under UV excitation. The short-wavelength cut-off of the excitation spectrum is at approximately 200 nm (a) and 230 nm (b). Reproduced with permission from [49].

![Figure 12](image2.png)

**Figure 12.** Gas response of SnO$_2$ towards 100 ppm NO$_2$ with and without illumination at 25 °C and 0% relative humidity. Conductance and contact potential difference (CPD) measurements are shown. Reproduced with permission from [50].
Figure 11. Response of SnO$_2$ towards vapors of acetone and trichloroethylene (a); trichloroethylene and acetone (b) under UV excitation. The short-wavelength cut-off of the excitation spectrum is at approximately 200 nm (a) and 230 nm (b). Reproduced with permission from [49].

Figure 12. Gas response of SnO$_2$ towards 100 ppm NO$_2$ with and without illumination at 25 °C and 0% relative humidity. Conductance and contact potential difference (CPD) measurements are shown. Reproduced with permission from [50].

Figure 13. Conductance response of SnO$_2$ towards 100 ppm NO$_2$ under different light intensities. Reproduced with permission from [50].

2.1.3. TiO$_2$

TiO$_2$ was studied in light-activated gas sensor. Zhang studied formaldehyde sensing property of TiO$_2$ with UV light activation at different temperatures. They found that there was no response without UV irradiation at operation temperature between 25 °C and 80 °C (Figure 15). UV-activated TiO$_2$ for sensing of formaldehyde at room temperature was found to be highly dependent on humidity (Figure 16). They studied the reason with thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) measurement of TiO$_2$ and found that the adsorbed water molecules easily physically desorbed from the surface of TiO$_2$ at temperatures lower than 45 °C (Figure 17). They modulated the operation temperature ranging from 5 °C to 80 °C and find that 60 °C was the temperature at which sensor performance exhibited lowest humidity dependence [51].

It is generally accepted that reductive gases lead to decreasing the resistance of a $n$-type sensing material because reductive gas molecules donate electrons to the sensing material during the reaction. However, Peng studied sensing of TiO$_2$ towards CO and H$_2$ and found that H$_2$ adsorption on TiO$_2$ caused the increase of resistance. By analyzing the energy levels of TiO$_2$ and the adsorbed gases, they pointed out that photocatalytic oxidation of a reactant was not only dependent on the photo-electrons, the analyte, the sensing materials but also the relationship between the energy of the adsorption state and the fermi energy level of the sensing material. Only when the adsorption energy state was higher than Fermi energy of the material, as the CO had done here, the gas would donate electrons to the sensing material. Here H$_2$ molecules showed a lower adsorption energy than the Fermi level of TiO$_2$. Thus, it acted as an electron acceptor which caused the resistance of TiO$_2$ to increase (Figure 18) [52].
A similar result was observed by Wang, who used vertically aligned ZnO nanowires for CO sensing with light illumination at low intensity. Different from other CO sensing results where CO acts as the reducing gas, here CO caused the resistance of ZnO to increase more after removing the LED source, which indicated that CO worked as an oxidizing gas that trapped electrons from ZnO [53]. In conclusion, it is believed that the reported results confirm that there is no absolute measure on whether an analyte is reductive or oxidative, it is a question of how the energy levels of the analyte are relative to the sensing material.

Figure 15. 100 ppm formaldehyde sensing results using TiO2 with humidity at 13.68 mg/L vs. working temperatures without UV irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [51].

Figure 16. 100 ppm formaldehyde UV-activated sensing results for TiO2 vs. humidity at room temperature. Reproduced with permission from [51].

Figure 17. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) results of TiO2. Reproduced with permission from [51].
In recent years, WO$_3$ has attracted increasingly attention for gas sensing applications [54–56]. Under visible light illumination, WO$_3$ exhibited enhanced sensing response and faster sensing ratio to NO$_2$ as compared to the case without photons, and the sensing response increased with the light intensity, as reported by Zhang [56] (Figure 19). It was also shown that the sensing was influenced by light wavelength and humidity. The blue light at $\lambda = 480$ nm resulted in fastest sensing speed.

Figure 18. Schematic energy level characterizing the surface and bulk electronic states of TiO$_2$, as well as the oxidation potential value of CO and H$_2$. The adsorption of H$_2$ causes the elevation of Fermi level (from EF to EF* (a quasi-Fermi level)) under UV irradiation, resulting in H$_2$ accepting electrons from TiO$_2$, while CO still donate electrons to TiO$_2$. Reproduced with permission from [52].

2.1.5. In$_2$O$_3$

In$_2$O$_3$ was investigated for light-activated gas sensors and the analyte was ozone. Wang fabricated sensors with ultrathin layers of In$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles integrated with GaInN/GaN based LED and investigated the ozone response as a function of wavelength and optical power. The response of the sensor increased with increasing both photon energy and light intensity (Figure 20) [57]. They later reported that light-activated In$_2$O$_3$ sensor exhibited a sensing property dependent on the size of the nanoparticle. They compared the sensing characteristics of 7 nm and 12 nm In$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles towards O$_3$ at room temperature. 7 nm nanoparticles showed a lower limit of detection at 10 ppb, compared to 1 ppm for 12 nm ones. Moreover, to obtain the same response value, a sensor based on 12 nm In$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles required a concentration ~100 times larger than that of 7 nm nanoparticles.
(Figure 21). This size dependent sensing property was explained by the larger surface area of 7 nm nanoparticles and higher porosity of the sensing layer, thus resulting in more O₃ molecules being adsorbed on the surface [58].

![Figure 20](image-url) **Figure 20.** O₃ sensing response vs. (a) photon energy; (b) LED light power. Reproduced with permission from [57].

![Figure 21](image-url) **Figure 21.** O₃ response vs. size of In₂O₃ nanoparticles. (blue line) 7 nm and (red line) 12 nm. Reproduced with permission from [58].

2.1.6. Summary

In the above section, we have summarized the progress of light-activated gas sensor based on metal oxide nanoparticles, namely ZnO, SnO₂, TiO₂, WO₃ and In₂O₃. A detailed summary of these reported work is shown in Table 3. Light illumination may activate gas sensing at room temperature, enhance sensing response, sensing speed and selectivity. Several factors affecting the sensing characteristics were discussed. They include light intensity, wavelength, size of nanoparticles and film thickness. The photoadsorption-desorption model has been widely accepted as the mechanism of light-activated gas sensor.

2.2. Metal Oxides with One-Dimensional Nanostructures

One dimensional nanostructures are favored in many applications including conductometric gas sensing. One-dimensional (1D) nanostructures may enhance sensing response, speed of response and speed of recovery and lower limit of detection. Such improvements are associated with high surface-to-volume ratio, high specific surface area, comparable scale between each unit of sensing material and the depletion layer, fast electron transfer path and excellent crystallinity [25]. Many published works reported the use of 1D nanostructured metal oxides in both thermal and light-activated conductometric gas sensors. Here we discussed the 1D nanostructured metal oxides in...
the development of light-activated gas sensors in the sequence of their relative popularity: nanowires, nanorods, nanofiber, nanotube and nanoribbon.

### Table 3. Summary of key reported work on light-activated gas sensor based on metal oxides nanoparticles.

| Material                  | Light Illumination                  | Temperature | Vapor                        | Ref  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|------|
| ZnO thin film             | ultraviolet (UV)                    | room-temperature (RT) | H₂             | [36] |
| ZnO nanoline              | UV                                  | RT          | H₂                          | [36] |
| ZnO nanoparticle          | UV                                  | RT          | hexane, propane, methane, ethanol, toluene, acetadyle, acetone and pentane | [38] |
| ZnO thin film             | white LED lamp and visible light with different wavelength | RT          | acetone and ethylene        | [37] |
| ZnO nanospheres           | white LED                           | RT          | NO₂, methanol, ethanol butanol, ammonia, sulfur dioxide, methane, propane, etc. | [42] |
| ordered porous ZnO arrays | UV                                  | RT          | NO₂                         | [43] |
| SnO₂                      | UV LED                              | RT          | O₃                          | [48] |
| SnO₂ film                 | UV of Hg lamp                       | RT          | H₂                          | [47] |
| SnO₂                      | monochromatic light                 | RT          | CO, Ethyl Alcohol, NO₂      | [46] |
| SnO₂ pyrolytic films      | UV irradiation with different wavelength | RT          | acetone and trichloroethylene | [49] |
| SnO₂                      | halogen lamp illumination            | RT          | NO₂                         | [16] |
| TiO₂                      | UV                                  | various temperatures | formaldehyde | [51] |
| TiO₂                      | UV lamps                            | not available | CO and H₂ | [52] |
| WO₃                       | visible light                       | RT          | NO₂                         | [56] |
| ultrathin layers of InₓOᵧ nanoparticles | GaInN/GaN based LED               | RT          | O₃                          | [57] |

#### 2.2.1. Nanowires

Several publications reported the use of ZnO nanowires for gas detection with light activation at room temperature. Procek fabricated ZnO nanostructures containing nanoparticles and nanowires (Figure 22) and used the material for detecting both oxidizing NO₂ and reducing H₂, NH₃. UV illumination enhanced the NO₂ sensing response at room temperature as high as the effect of thermal activation through heating the sensor to 200 °C. However, light activation was preferred as the sensing response was less sensitive to humidity at lower temperature [44]. The advantage of low temperature operation was also reported by Zhang as mentioned above [51]. Moreover, light activation enhanced selectivity towards NO₂ while thermal activation enhanced the response towards NH₃ and H₂ (Figure 23). By comparing the sensing performance of their devices with those reported in the literature, they concluded that the sensing characteristics were morphology dependent [44]. Similarly, Kiasari reported that both elevated temperature and UV activation would enhance the sensing response of vertically aligned ZnO nanowires towards reducing CO and oxidizing O₂, but UV illumination was favored because it allowed the sensor to work at room temperature which was less
influenced by humidity. They also explained the observed light-activated sensing at room temperature by the popular photon adsorption and desorption model [59].

Figure 22. NO₂ sensing of ZnO nanostructures in different atmospheres of synthetic air and nitrogen at: (a) room-temperature (RT); (b) elevated temperature of 200 °C; (c) RT and UV irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [44].

Figure 23. H₂ sensing of ZnO nanostructures in different atmospheres of synthetic air and nitrogen: (a) RT; (b) evaluated temperature of 200 °C; (c) RT and UV irradiation. NH₃ sensing of ZnO nanostructures in air and nitrogen: (d) RT; (e) 200 °C; (f) RT and UV irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [44].

The sensing characteristics are dependent on the size of the nanostructures. For 1D nanostructures, the diameter became the most important. Wang fabricated TiO₂ nanowires with two different methods: ethylene glycol-mediated hydrolysis and high-voltage electrospinning. They compared their sensing response towards NH₃, acetone and ethanol at room temperature with UV irradiation. It was found that the TiO₂ synthesized with electrospun exhibited higher response than the one synthesized with solution method (Figure 24). They thought this might be due to the fact that colloidal TiO₂ nanowires could still contain Ti(OH)₃ on the surface which would decrease the sensing property because the solution-made TiO₂ nanowires were fabricated in NaOH solution. However, it was also very possible that the effect was attributed to the smaller diameter of the electrospun-made TiO₂ nanowires, i.e., 80 nm, and the diameter of the one made from a hydrolysis approach was 550 nm (Figure 25) [60].
Figure 23. H₂ sensing of ZnO nanostructures in different atmospheres of synthetic air and nitrogen: (a) RT; (b) evaluated temperature of 200 °C; (c) RT and UV irradiation. NH₃ sensing of ZnO nanostructures in air and nitrogen: (d) RT; (e) 200 °C; (f) RT and UV irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [44].

Figure 24. Dynamic response and recovery of sensors based on TiO₂ nanowires towards vapors with a concentration of 100 ppm: (a) sensor based on 2 nanowires synthesized by hydrolysis approach and (b) sensor based on 3 nanowires synthesized by electrospinning. Reproduced with permission from [60].

Figure 25. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of TiO₂ nanowires made from hydrolysis (a, b) and from electrospinning (c, d). Reproduced with permission from [60].

Figure 26. Dynamic NO₂ sensing curve of an individual SnO₂ nanowire, operating in dark and UV illumination at room temperature (T = 25 °C). Dashed lines indicate the NO₂ concentration. Reproduced with permission from [39].
Prades used individual SnO$_2$ nanowires for NO$_2$ sensing at room temperature. The device showed no response in dark and good response under UV light (Figure 26). The observed light-activated NO$_2$ sensing at room temperature had strong correlation to photon energy and photon flux. The response and recovery time were at their best levels when the energy of the impinging photons was larger than the bandgap of SnO$_2$. The photon flux had also been optimized so that it was large enough to desorb the oxygen species and small enough to prevent massive desorption of NO$_2$. They found that the optimal photon flux increased with the increasing of gas concentration (Figure 27) [39,40].

![Figure 26. Dynamic NO$_2$ sensing curve of an individual SnO$_2$ nanowire, operating in dark and UV illumination at room temperature (T = 25 °C). Dashed lines indicate the NO$_2$ concentration. Reproduced with permission from [39].](image)

![Figure 27. (a) Sensor response and (b) recovery time of the nanowire device towards 0.5 ppm NO$_2$ in air under illumination with different photon energies and constant photon flux; (c) Sensor response of three different concentrations of NO$_2$ under illumination with different photon flux levels (Eph = 3.67 ± 0.05 eV). Reproduced with permission from [39].](image)

While most of the efforts have been focused on $n$-type materials, Hansen investigated the light-activated gas sensing of $p$-type CuO for oxidizing NO$_2$ and reducing NH$_3$. The conductivity...
of CuO increased upon exposure to NO₂ at room temperature and increased further with light illumination. However, the conductivity remains steady after removing the illumination, which indicates that the photons increased gas sensing performance and the photon generated electrons participated in the gas molecule adsorption process (Figure 28) [61].

![Figure 28](image)

**Figure 28.** (a) The effect of light irradiation on the dynamic response of CuO nanowire (NW) sensor to 100 ppm NO₂ at room temperature; (b) Proposed mechanism. Reproduced with permission from [61].

### 2.2.2. Nanorods

Şahin fabricated vertically aligned ZnO nanorods on indium tin oxide coated glass (ITO) and used this material for NO₂ sensing at 200 °C (Figure 29). White light illumination accelerate the response and recovery process during the NO₂ sensing. This proposed mechanism behind is the popular photon-induced adsorption-desorption model [12]. Peng studied the size dependence property of ZnO nanorods for sensing formaldehyde in both dark and bright illumination conditions. 6 nm ZnO nanoparticles and nanorods with different diameters (300 nm, 100 nm, 40 nm) were fabricated (Figure 30). Under dark condition, the sensing response increased as the size decrease: 300 nm nanorods < 100 nm nanorods < 40 nm nanorods < 6 nm nanoparticles, as the sensing response was dominated by the surface-to-volume ratio (Figure 31). However, under bright illumination, the 40 nm nanorods exhibited the best sensing response. This was due to photocatalytic reactions, and the sensing response was controlled by both surface-to-volume ratio and photo-generated charge efficiency. Since the photo-generated charge efficiency decreased when the surface-to-volume of the material was increased, which is confirmed by their transient photovoltage (TPV) measurement results (Figure 32), it was important to work out the optimized size to reach a balance for high photo-generated charge efficiency and large surface-to-volume ratio, which was essential for achieving good light-activated gas sensors [13].

![Figure 29](image)

**Figure 29.** Cont.
was dominated by the surface-to-volume ratio (Figure 31). However, under bright illumination, the 40 nm nanorods exhibited the best sensing response. This was due to photocatalytic reactions, and the sensing response was controlled by both surface-to-volume ratio and photo-generated charge efficiency. Since the photo-generated charge efficiency decreased when the surface-to-volume of the material was increased, which is confirmed by their transient photovoltage (TPV) measurement results (Figure 32), it was important to work out the optimized size to reach a balance for high photo-generated charge efficiency and large surface-to-volume ratio, which was essential for achieving good light-activated gas sensors [13].

Figure 29. SEM images of ZnO nanorods (a) top view and (b) cross sectional view. Reproduced with permission from [12].

Figure 30. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) of ZnO (a) 6 nm nanoparticles (NP-6); (b) 40 nm nanorods (NR-40); (c) 100 nm nanorods (NR-100); (d) SEM of 300 nm ZnO nanorods (NR-300). Reproduced with permission from [13].

Figure 31. Responses of the NP-6, NR-40, NR-100, and NR-300 samples towards formaldehyde without UV light irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [13].
with light activation has received much less attention. It is obvious that this direction should be investigated more.

2.3. Metal Oxides with Porous Nanostructures

A large number of efforts have been conducted to study porous metal oxides. Su used porous array film of ZnO for NO₂ sensing at room temperature with UV illumination and exhibited very high sensing response. They clarified that the sensing property was highly correlated to the materials morphology by fabricating two nanostructures: close-network micro/nanoporous array film (CNPAF) and bowl-like micro/nanoporous array film (BLPAF) and compared their sensing performance (Figure 33). The CNPAF exhibited much higher sensing response, lower detection limit and faster speed than the BLPAF. The reason was attributed to the presence of closed network which provided higher amount of sensing spots and stronger localization of the flowing gas. Porous structures can enhance gas sensing performance by greatly increasing surface area. If also depend on materials. Chen compared the UV light-activated sensing property between porous ZnO and TiO₂ towards ethanol and formaldehyde at room temperature. Porous TiO₂ displayed a much higher response to both 100 ppm ethanol (224) and formaldehyde (1700) vapors than ZnO does (0.14 for ethanol and 1.5 for formaldehyde). By comparing their photo response results, they concluded that the material that was less photo-sensitive would be more sensitive to gas (Figure 34). The reason for this conclusion is illustrated in Figure 35. Without light illumination, oxygen molecules were adsorbed on material surface to catch electrons (Figure 35a). After light illumination, electron-hole pairs were generated and the holes would react with the oxygen ions O₂⁻ to desorb the oxygen molecule (Figure 35b). As shown in Figure 34, compared to TiO₂, the conductivity of ZnO increased.
more for a given level of photon flux, which also resulted in more electron-hole pairs being generated, and hence high concentration of \( \text{O}_2^- \) were oxidized to oxygen molecules and desorbed. As a result, fewer \( \text{O}_2^- \) remained on the \( \text{ZnO} \) surface, which led to less interaction with the target gas molecules (Figure 35c) [64].

![Figure 33](image)

**Figure 33.** SEM images of (a,b) bowl-like \( \text{ZnO} \) micro/nanoporous arrays and (c,d) close-network \( \text{ZnO} \) micro/nanoporous arrays. Dynamic response and recovery curve of (e) close-network \( \text{ZnO} \) micro/nanoporous arrays and (f) bowl-like \( \text{ZnO} \) micro/nanoporous arrays towards \( \text{NO}_2 \) gas at room temperature under UV light irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [63].

![Figure 34](image)

**Figure 34.** Current transients of \( \text{TiO}_2 \) and \( \text{ZnO} \) after exposure to 75 ppm of formaldehyde gas. Reproduced with permission from [64].

Liu studied the room temperature formaldehyde sensing of microporous \( \text{TiO}_2 \) (Figure 36). Instead of the commonly used D.C. resistance measurement, they used an A.C. measurement approach to obtain the impedance which was deemed more stable and with higher response. The sensing response has been defined as the normalized impedance change. They found that UV irradiation together with a certain humidity could improve the formaldehyde sensing response. However, the response decreased with further humidity increasing (Figure 37). This was due to the fact that UV irradiation led to the formation of hydroxyl group from the water adsorbed on the surface of \( \text{TiO}_2 \) and hydroxyl would react with interacted formaldehyde molecules which caused the sensing signal. However, if the concentration of water molecules was too high, sensing performance would decrease because they
occupied the active adsorption sites and hindered the oxygen species adsorption [65]. Li also fabricated TiO$_2$ mesoporous microspheres and demonstrated room temperature sensing towards several organic volatile compounds (VOCs) under UV irradiation in humid air (Figure 38) [66].

Figure 34. Current transients of TiO$_2$ and ZnO after exposure to 75 ppm of formaldehyde gas. Reproduced with permission from [64].

Figure 35. Schematic of surface reactions and corresponding energy barriers ($qV_T$ and $qV_Z$, in which $q$ is the electron charge and $V_T$, $V_Z$ are potential barriers of TiO$_2$ and ZnO, respectively) under different conditions: (a) in dry air and in the dark; (b) in dry air and with UV light illumination; (c) in test gas and with UV light illumination. Reproduced with permission from [64].

Figure 36. (a) SEM images of microporous TiO$_2$ and TEM images of (b) the larger particles and (c) the smaller aggregates shown in (a). Reproduced with permission from [65].
The sensing response was the resistance change associated with the change of deletion layer thickness in the material upon exposure to a gas of low concentration, the resistance change (i.e., sensing response) would be negligible.

Wagner fabricated mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles for NO$_2$ detection and compared its sensing property to non-porous In$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 39) [67,68]. UV irradiation improved the sensing response towards NO$_2$ from mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ at temperatures lower than 50 °C and accelerated the response and recovery speed by photo-desorption (Figure 40). Under UV irradiation, the mesoporous structure exhibited higher response to NO$_2$ than the non-porous In$_2$O$_3$ did at all temperatures ranging from room temperature to 200 °C (Figure 41). This is attributed to the higher surface-to-volume ratio of mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ than the non-porous. Apart from morphology, nanoparticle size also influenced the sensing characteristics. Klaus synthesized ordered mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ particles of two different sizes (diameter approx. 170 nm and 870 nm) [69]. The smaller one showed faster sensing speed and lower detection limit to oxidizing ozone with light activation by 460 nm blue LED at room temperature (Figure 42). The faster sensing speed was due to shorter intra-particle distance and thus shorter intra-particle diffusion time in smaller particles. Smaller size resulted in lower detection limit. The sensing response was the resistance change associated with the change of deletion layer thickness in the material, which was caused by the interaction between the sensing material and adsorbed gas molecules. Thus, if the change of the diffusion layer thickness was too small compared to the size of the material upon exposure to a gas of low concentration, the resistance change (i.e., sensing response) would be negligible.
Deng fabricated mesoporous WO$_3$ and compared its sensing property to reducing formaldehyde with commercial WO$_3$ [70]. The gas sensing measurement was conducted at room temperature with commercial WO$_3$ [70]. The gas sensing measurement was conducted at room temperature with commercial WO$_3$ [70].

Figure 39. SEM of mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$. Inset is higher magnification. Reproduced with permission from [68].

Figure 40. Dynamic response and recovery curve of mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ to 5 ppm NO$_2$ in dry synthetic air at 50 $^\circ$C with and without UV illumination. Reproduced with permission from [68].

Figure 41. Response towards 5 ppm NO$_2$ in dry synthetic air with UV light irradiation at different temperatures of the non-structured (black) and the mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ (gray). Reproduced with permission from [68].

The sensing response was the resistance change associated with the change of deletion layer thickness in the material, which was caused by the interaction between the sensing material and adsorbed gas. The sensing response is a function of the change in electrical resistance, which can be measured as a change in voltage or current. The sensing response is influenced by the morphology and nanoparticle size of the sensing material. Smaller particles result in faster sensing speed due to shorter intra-particle diffusion time. Smaller size also led to lower detection limit. The gas sensing measurement was conducted at room temperature with commercial WO$_3$ [70]. The gas sensing measurement was conducted at room temperature with commercial WO$_3$ [70].
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with white light and blue light illumination. Under both white light and blue light irradiation, their mesoporous WO$_3$ exhibited higher response than the commercial material did (Figure 43). The enhanced sensing response was attributed to the increased surface area, mesopores and improved light absorption of the mesoporous structure. The increased surface area and mesopores provided more adsorption positions for gas molecules and more reaction sites for the adsorbed oxygen ions and gas molecules. The enhanced light absorption generated more electron-hole pairs which facilitated the production of photon-induced oxygen ions. The response increased linearly with light intensity and gas concentration but decreased significantly in high levels of humidity. They explained the light-activated gas sensing mechanism by the widely accepted photo-adsorption-desorption model. Furthermore, they demonstrated the validity of this photoreaction-induced gas sensing mechanism by measured the concentration of the reactants (i.e., formaldehyde here) and products (i.e., CO$_2$ and H$_2$O here) (Figure 44).

Figure 42. SEM images of ordered mesoporous In$_2$O$_3$ with large particles (a) and small particles (b); Dynamic response and recovery to O$_3$ at room temperature with blue LED illumination of the large particles (c) and small particle layer (d); Schemes (e,f) explaining the difference in the base line resistance for the two sensing layers. Reproduced with permission from [69].

Figure 43. SEM images of the mesoporous (a,b) and commercial WO$_3$ (c,d); Responses of WO$_3$ sensors towards formaldehyde (100 ppm) activated by different light intensity levels; (e) Activated by blue light and (f) activated by white light. Reproduced with permission from [70].
In this section, the metal oxide materials commonly used in conductometric gas sensors (i.e., ZnO, SnO$_2$, TiO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$ and WO$_3$) with porous nanostructure for the light-activated gas sensor are summarized. The advantages of using porous nanostructures are higher surface-to-volume ratio, larger specific surface area and increased light absorption. The effects of varying the structure and size of the material are discussed. Intensity and wavelength of the activation photons and humidity of the atmosphere also play a role in sensing performance. Sensing characteristics of different materials are compared. Generally, smaller size resulted in faster sensing speed and lower detection limit. Porous nanostructures exhibited better sensing performance than non-porous ones. Sensing response may be enhanced by applying a certain level of humidity, but too much humidity can drastically decrease sensing performance.

3. Metal Oxide Materials Composites and Metal Oxide with Doping for Gas Sensing

3.1. Heterostructures

Heterostructures were reported to offer better gas sensing characteristics in terms of sensing response, speed of response, operation temperature and selectivity as compared to their pure metal oxide counterparts. The enhancement originates from wider light absorption spectrum, increasing carrier concentrations and the benefit of combining the merits of constituent materials [29,32]. Different combinations of materials for the formation of heterostructures have been reported. They include inorganic semiconductors-metal oxides semiconductors, organic semiconductors-metal oxides semiconductors, carbon based materials-metal oxides semiconductors and meta-metal oxides semiconductors. Here, we are focused on combinations between inorganic semiconductors-metal oxide semiconductors and metal-metal oxide semiconductors. Different nanostructures including those synthesized by simple deposition techniques and core-shell are presented.

3.1.1. Heterostructures Consisted of Inorganic Semiconductors and Metal Oxide Semiconductors

Simple Coalesced Nanostructure

Wu prepared ZnO nanorods on the surface of CdSe and formed a three-dimensional (3D) ZnO/CdSe heterostructure (Figure 45). Visible light illumination increased the sensing response towards ethanol vapor (Figure 45). This heterostructure lowered the optimal working temperature and exhibited higher response towards ethanol vapors at different concentrations than pure ZnO in both dark and visible light-illuminated conditions (Figure 46). The sensing mechanism was explained with a chemisorption of gas molecules model. The reasons for the improved sensing response for the dark and illuminated cases are different. In dark, for the heterostructure, a depletion layers are formed between the two materials because of their different Fermi levels. In this depletion region,
electrons are easily released and oxygen molecules are more ready to be adsorbed, resulting in better sensing outcome. With visible light illumination, electron-hole pairs are generated in CdSe because of its narrow bandgap (1.7 eV). These photoelectrons were injected into the conduction band of ZnO due to the Fermi level difference between CdSe and ZnO, thus suppressing the recombination of photon generated electron-hole pairs in CdSe and hence leading to higher concentration of energetic electrons [71].

![SEM images of (a) ZnO nanorods/CdSe nanoribbons heterostructure (HS) and (b) an individual ZnO/CdSe HS in high magnification; (c) TEM image and (d) Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum of ZnO/CdSe HS; (e) Response of ZnO/CdSe HS-based sensors towards ethanol (25–300 ppm) in the dark and under visible light illumination. Reproduced with permission from [71].](image)

**Figure 45.** SEM images of (a) ZnO nanorods/CdSe nanoribbons heterostructure (HS) and (b) an individual ZnO/CdSe HS in high magnification; (c) TEM image and (d) Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum of ZnO/CdSe HS; (e) Response of ZnO/CdSe HS-based sensors towards ethanol (25–300 ppm) in the dark and under visible light illumination. Reproduced with permission from [71].

Han fabricated In$_2$O$_3$ sensitized ZnO flowers and studied their formaldehyde gas sensing property under visible light irradiation at room temperature. This heterostructure exhibited photoresponse to visible light due to the In$_2$O$_3$ sensitization. As shown in Figure 47, adding In$_2$O$_3$ benefited the HCHO sensing at room temperature under 460 nm light illumination because of the increased carrier concentration. Heterostructures improved the utilization of photon generated electron-hole pairs by suppressing their recombination. Apart from carrier concentration, the sensing performance was also dependent on surface area. Thus, too much In$_2$O$_3$ might be seriously agglomerated on the ZnO surface and the density of active sites might be decreased [72].
Figure 45. SEM images of (a) ZnO nanorods/CdSe nanoribbons heterostructure (HS) and (b) an individual ZnO/CdSe HS in high magnification; (c) TEM image and (d) Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum of ZnO/CdSe HS; (e) Response of ZnO/CdSe HS-based sensors towards ethanol (25–300 ppm) in the dark and under visible light illumination. Reproduced with permission from [71].

Figure 46. Responses of ZnO nanorod and ZnO/CdSe HS-based sensors to ethanol vapor of different concentrations (a) in the dark and (b) under visible light illumination. Reproduced with permission from [71].

Han fabricated In$_2$O$_3$ sensitized ZnO flowers and studied their formaldehyde gas sensing property under visible light irradiation at room temperature. This heterostructure exhibited photoresponse to visible light due to the In$_2$O$_3$ sensitization. As shown in Figure 47, adding In$_2$O$_3$ benefited the HCHO sensing at room temperature under 460 nm light illumination because of the increased carrier concentration. Heterostructures improved the utilization of photon generated electron-hole pairs by suppressing their recombination. Apart from carrier concentration, the sensing performance was also dependent on surface area. Thus, too much In$_2$O$_3$ might be seriously agglomerated on the ZnO surface and the density of active sites might be decreased [72].

Figure 47. SEM images of ZnO and In$_2$O$_3$-sensitized ZnO nanoflowers: (a,b) pure ZnO nanoflowers; (c,d) ZNFI-1; (e,f) ZNFI-2; (g,h) ZNFI-3; (i) HCHO responses of the ZnO and In$_2$O$_3$-sensitized ZnO nanoflowers under 460 nm photon irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [72].

Zhai sensitized commercial ZnO nanocrystals with CdS nanoparticles for formaldehyde sensing at room temperature under visible light illumination. Heterostructures of different mass ratios (CdS: ZnO) were prepared and their sensing performance were compared. As shown in Figure 48a, ZnO decorated
with CdS expanded the absorption spectrum to the visible range after 400 nm, while pristine ZnO only absorbed light at wavelengths shorter than 400 nm. This CdS sensitization effect was confirmed by the photocurrent results (Figure 48b). The CdS decoration significantly enhanced room temperature HCHO sensing response of ZnO activated by light as shown in Figure 48c. The improvement of the heterostructure was dependent on the mass of ratio of CdS and ZnO. The CdS/ZnO with 0.1:1 exhibited the highest sensing response to both HCHO with both high and low concentration levels. Further increasing the concentration of CdS decreased the sensing response. This was because increasing the CdS content on ZnO surface could both increase the photocarrier concentration and decrease the effective surface area of ZnO. This phenomenon and explanation is highly accepted [10].

![Figure 48.](image)

**Figure 48.** (a) UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra; (b) photocurrent of ZnO, CdS NPs and the CdS NPs/ZnO A, B, C and D (A, B, C and D: mass ratios of CdS/ZnO were 0.063:1, 0.079:1, 0.100:1 and 0.330:1, respectively); (c) Formaldehyde sensing response under visible light illumination of CdS and CdS NPs/ZnO C. Reproduced with permission from [10].

There are also reports on the use of heterostructures for sensing oxidizing gases [73,74]. Geng fabricated porous CdS-ZnO for sensing NO₂ at room temperature with light illumination at different wavelengths. They showed that the CdS worked as a sensitizer which enabled visible light activation. They also studied the relationship between sensing response and light intensity, light wavelength and material structure (Figure 49). The sensing response of CdS-ZnO to NO₂ was enhanced with green light illumination and further increase by increasing the light intensity (Figure 49a). The sensing speed was also accelerated by increasing light intensity. The NO₂ sensing properties were also compared under light with different wavelengths while maintaining power constant and green light produced the highest sensing response, which was consistent with the light absorption spectrum of the sample (Figure 49b). The CdS-ZnO exhibited higher response to NO₂ than pure ZnO under green light illumination and further increase in sensing response was achieved by introducing more pores in the CdS-ZnO composite. The mechanism of gas sensing in dark and with light illumination was attributed to the widely accepted surface depletion model. Three factors were influencing the gas sensing properties: carrier concentration, depletion region width and grain boundary barrier height. The improved sensing performance from CdS-ZnO heterostructures were associated with both the CdS sensitization and the electron injection from CdS to ZnO, which suppressed the recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs and lengthened electron lifetime (Figure 50) [73].

Hoffmann also fabricated CdS-ZnO on Si substrate for sensing of various gases including both oxidative and reducing gases at room temperature with solar light adsorption. Though this gas sensor was based on measurement of voltage change, which was different from conductometric case, its sensing mechanism was the same surface depletion model. The CdS-ZnO only exhibited response to both O₂ and ethanol with light illumination which was due to the presence of photon-electrons. The CdS-ZnO exhibited higher response than pure ZnO to methane with light illumination and the improvement by incorporating a heterostructure was due to the increase of electron concentration, as mentioned above [75].
which in turn blocked a large portion of light adsorption sites and finally decreased the concentration (Figure 51). The photo-generated electrons-hole pairs showed short lifetime because of their pure ZnO nanorods (ZS0) and pure SnO$_2$. Generally, increasing the ratio of SnO$_2$ would benefit sensing response because electrons were accumulated in SnO$_2$. They also investigated how ZnO:SnO$_2$ ratio would affect the sensing performance and found that a ratio of 1:1 was optimal for achieving the highest response towards NO$_2$ under UV illumination. Compared to both pure ZnO nanoparticles (ZS100), the ZnO:SnO$_2$ heterostructures all exhibited higher sensing response to NO$_2$ in both dark conditions and UV irradiation conditions (Figure 51). The photo-generated electrons-hole pairs showed short lifetime because of their recombination in pure semiconductors, whereas the heterostructure increased electron concentration and lifetime by suppressing the recombination through injected UV generated electrons from ZnO to SnO$_2$ due to their different Fermi levels (Figure 52). This model was confirmed by photoluminescence (PL) which indicated the increased electron concentration in ZnO/SnO$_2$ heterostructures (Figure 53). They also investigated how ZnO:SnO$_2$ ratio would affect the sensing performance and found that a ratio of 1:1 was optimal for achieving the highest response towards NO$_2$ under UV illumination. Generally, increasing the ratio of SnO$_2$ would benefit sensing response because electrons were accumulated in SnO$_2$. However, too much SnO$_2$ would lead to too much coverage of the ZnO surface, which in turn blocked a large portion of light adsorption sites and finally decreased the concentration.

![Figure 49](image)

**Figure 49.** Dynamic sensing plots of Cds-ZnO to 1 ppm NO$_2$ at room temperature vs. (a) green light intensity (0, 0.05 and 0.15 W/cm$^2$); (b) different light wavelength. Reproduced with permission from [73].

![Figure 50](image)

**Figure 50.** Schematic of carrier transportation in CdS-ZnO under visible light. Reproduced with permission from [73].

Different from Geng’s work, which used ZnO as the electron acceptor under visible light illumination, Lu used ZnO as an electron donor under UV illumination by fabricating ZnO/SnO$_2$ heterostructures. The ZnO/SnO$_2$ heterostructure was used for detecting several oxidizing and reducing gases at room temperature with and without UV illumination. UV illumination improved sensing response for all of the gas types because photo-generated electrons facilitated gas adsorption and desorption. Selectivity and sensing speed were also improved by UV illumination. Compared to both pure ZnO nanorods (ZS0) and pure SnO$_2$ nanoparticles (ZS100), the ZnO:SnO$_2$ heterostructures all exhibited higher sensing response to NO$_2$ in both dark conditions and UV irradiation conditions (Figure 51). The photo-generated electrons-hole pairs showed short lifetime because of their recombination in pure semiconductors, whereas the heterostructure increased electron concentration and lifetime by suppressing the recombination through injected UV generated electrons from ZnO to SnO$_2$ due to their different Fermi levels (Figure 52). This model was confirmed by photoluminescence (PL) which indicated the increased electron concentration in ZnO/SnO$_2$ heterostructures (Figure 53). They also investigated how ZnO:SnO$_2$ ratio would affect the sensing performance and found that a ratio of 1:1 was optimal for achieving the highest response towards NO$_2$ under UV illumination. Generally, increasing the ratio of SnO$_2$ would benefit sensing response because electrons were accumulated in SnO$_2$. However, too much SnO$_2$ would lead to too much coverage of the ZnO surface, which in turn blocked a large portion of light adsorption sites and finally decreased the concentration...
of photo-generated electrons. Thus, too much SnO$_2$ would degrade sensing performance. Nonetheless, the 1:1 ZnO/SnO$_2$ molar ratio also matched the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) Surface Area Analysis which indicates that the 1:1 ZnO/SnO$_2$ heterostructures contained the largest surface area. Increased humidity decreased the response both in dark and under UV illumination [74].

The visible sensitization effect of heterostructures was observed by several other teams. For example, Yang sensitized ZnO nanoparticles with Ru(dcbpy)$_2$(NCS)$_2$ for CO and O$_2$ sensing under visible light illumination at room temperature. The light source was of 545 nm wavelength, which matched with the absorption peak of Ru(dcbpy)$_2$(NCS)$_2$-sensitized ZnO [76].

In addition to the enhancement in sensing response and sensing speed due to the expanded light absorption and increased electron concentration, selectivity could be improved by combining the sensing merits of different materials. Li wrapped NiO on mesoporous TiO$_2$ and compared its sensing characteristics with those of pure mesoporous TiO$_2$. The materials were used for sensing various gases at room temperature under UV illumination. Pure TiO$_2$ shows response to all the gas samples while
NiO-TiO₂ only exhibited high response to NH₃ (Figure 54). The enhanced selectivity was attributed to the cancelling sensing characteristics between p-type NiO and n-type TiO₂ [66].

Figure 53. Photoluminescence (PL) emission spectra of ZnO/SnO₂ heterostructure with different ZnO:SnO₂ molar ratio. Reproduced with permission from [74].

Figure 54. Sensing response of VOCs (a) pure meso-TiO₂ and (b) 10 wt % NiO-wrapped TiO₂ microspheres based sensors in humid air (RH ~40%) under UV illumination at room temperature. Reproduced with permission from [66].
Apart from nanoparticles decoration, some publications reported the use of quantum dots (QDs) to enhance the sensing performance of metal oxides. Chizhov loaded CdSe quantum dots on several kinds of metal oxides including ZnO, SnO₂ and In₂O₃ [77,78]. Figure 55a shows the scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images of the CdSe QDs sensitized ZnO. The CdSe QDs sensitized these metal oxides to absorb visible light, (Figure 55b) thus enabled visible light-activated NO₂ sensing at room temperature [77]. The improved sensing response under visible light illumination was due to the increased carrier concentration in metal oxide, which was injected from the CdSe QDs. They also found that the most effective sensitization was achieved for In₂O₃ because of the maximum band offset between In₂O₃ and CdSe QDs [78].

![Figure 55.](image)

**Figure 55.** (a) High angular annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) image of CdSe QD1/ZnO sample. CdSe nanoparticles are marked with arrows; (b) Absorption spectra of ZnO powder (1); CdSe QDs suspended in solution (2); QD3/ZnO powder (3); and dependence of photoconductivity of QD3/ZnO sample on the excitation wavelength (4). Reproduced with permission from [78].

Core-Shell Nanostructure

In addition to the coalesced structure, a special core-shell heterostructure has also been studied [41,79,80]. Park synthesized Bi₂O₃-core/ZnO-shell nanobelt for NO₂ sensing at room temperature (Figure 56). The ZnO coating enhanced response to NO₂ of pristine Bi₂O₃ without light illumination was due to the suppressed recombination and facilitated electrons transportation. The sensing response was further improved with UV illumination due to the photo-generated electrons (Figure 56) [80]. They also used ZnO as the core and synthesized ZnO-ZnS core/shell nanowires to detect reducing ethanol vapors at room temperature with UV illumination. The ethanol sensing performance was compared between the ZnO core/ZnS shell and pure ZnO nanowires, and their results showed improved sensing performance. The improvement was caused by the formation of an energy barrier at the ZnO-ZnS interface [79].

Yang fabricated CdS/ZnO core/shell nanowires and assembled them to form a photodetector and a NO₂ optoelectronic sensor. The sensing of NO₂ was activated by visible light due to the sensitization effect of CdS as mentioned before. They compared the photocurrent under 468 nm light illumination between CdS core/ZnO shell and pristine CdS, the heterostructure showed a remarkable enhancement in photosresponse. This improvement indicated that heterostructure increased carrier concentration by inhibiting recombination. They also investigated how light intensity influenced the NO₂ sensing response. The sensing response does not keep increasing as the light intensity increases. Instead, there was an optimal light intensity at which the sensing exhibited maximized response (Figure 57). The optimal light intensity balanced the increased photo-carriers, which improved the gas sensing property, and the photo-induced gas desorption [41].
improved sensing response to both ethanol vapors and acetone vapors due to the photocatalysis and Cu/ZnO heterostructures in conditions with and without 355 nm UV illumination. The illumination that the combination of temperature and light modulation offered the best gas selectivity of Pt modified modulation could improve the selectivity by modify the adsorption and desorption kinetics and different operation modes. The sensor working at a constant temperature exhibited a broad-spectrum Pt-modified ZnO to improve sensing selectivity. They compared the gas sensing selectivity among from 253\textdegree C to 82\textdegree C and accelerated sensing speed [82]. Deng fabricated a gas sensor based on Pt-modified ZnO to improve sensing selectivity. They compared the gas sensing selectivity among different operation modes. The sensor working at a constant temperature exhibited a broad-spectrum response to multiple analytes with poor selectivity. Different methods including simple temperature modulation and simple light modulation to enhance the gas sensing selectivity. Temperature modulation could improve the selectivity by modify the adsorption and desorption kinetics and the light modulation could generate photocarriers for the sensing process. In their work, they claimed that the combination of temperature and light modulation offered the best gas selectivity of Pt modified ZnO sensor [83]. Peng synthesized copper decorated ZnO (1 mol %) nanocrystals by sol-gel method and used it for ethanol and acetone sensing at room temperature. They compared the response of Cu/ZnO heterostructures in conditions with and without 355 nm UV illumination. The illumination improved sensing response to both ethanol vapor and acetone vapor due to the photocatalysis and photodesorption effect [11]. Elisabetta Comini synthesized SnO$_2$ with different materials decoration including Au, In and Si for CO sensing at different temperatures, UV illumination improved the
sensing response more at lower working temperature than at high temperature [84]. Chen synthesized Pt/TiO$_2$-WO$_3$ heterostructures for ozone monitoring under visible light irradiation and found that Pt decoration promoted the ozone sensing response and recovery under 460 nm light irradiation. This improvement was attributed to the increased separation of electron-hole pair and decreased recombination of electron-hole pairs caused by charge transferring among materials [85]. Fahimeh Hooriabad Saboor demonstrated that a small amount of Pd loading could enhance NO$_2$ sensing response and decrease the recovery time of SnO$_2$ under UV light irradiation at room temperature. Different annealing temperatures and nanostructures influenced the materials sensing performance. The UV light intensity also affected the NO$_2$ response and different materials exhibited different optimal UV light intensity [21]. Trawka decorated WO$_3$ nanowires with Au nanoparticles to detect oxidizing NO$_2$ and reducing ethanol, and found that UV irradiation could enhance sensing response and lower working temperature [54]. They used the same heterostructure to study its sensing property to ethanol, methane and formaldehyde and found the UV irradiation could improve the sensing response and the sensing selectivity could be controlled by tuning the light wavelength [55].

There are a few papers in the literatures on studying the gas sensing improvement by incorporating metal decoration. Cui synthesized Ag-ZnO nanorods with enhancement under UV light-activated formaldehyde sensing at room temperature (Figure 58) [86]. Different amounts of Ag decorating were studied. The formaldehyde sensing response increased initially with the increasing of Ag amount but decreased then when too much Ag was decorated (Figure 59). The improved effect of Ag NPs was attributed to two mechanisms: (1) Ag nanoparticles facilitated the oxygen adsorption and dissociation; (2) The interaction between Ag nanoparticles and ZnO facilitated the photoelectron separation and transportation, thus suppressed the recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs. This improvement mechanism was confirmed by their surface photovoltage and phase spectra study which indicated that photoelectrons transported to the ZnO surface and captured by Ag nanoparticles. Too much Ag nanoparticle decoration would result in over-covering the ZnO surface, which then led to reduction in the density of active reaction sites, thus decreasing the sensing performance.

![Figure 58. SEM images of (a) pure ZnO nanorods and (b) Ag-ZnO nanorods-3; (c) TEM image and (d) High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image of Ag-ZnO nanorods-3. Reproduced with permission from [86].](image-url)
The sensing performance was assessed by operating the device in dark and under UV light illumination at different temperatures. While UV illumination enhanced the sensing response and accelerate the recovery process at all temperatures, Al loading exhibited higher CO sensing response than pure ZnO did at different working temperatures with UV illumination. The sensing response was dependent on the amount of Al, though they did not analyze this in their paper [87].

More systematic results and analysis of the decoration amount were produced by the work of Wongrat, who used Au nanoparticle decorated ZnO nanostructures for ethanol sensing [88]. They came to several conclusions by conducting the ethanol sensing experiments under different conditions. Sensing results are shown in Table 4. UV illumination activated the room temperature gas sensing property by generating photo-induced oxygen ions that fueled the gas sensing reactions. Au nanoparticles could enhance ZnO sensing response with UV illumination or at elevated temperatures (Figure 60). The sensing mechanisms are illustrated for different sensor designs under different sensing conditions: ZnO based sensor work in dark and with UV illumination. Without light illumination, the adsorbed oxygen molecule catches the thermal electrons to form a stable adsorbed oxygen ion which are difficult to desorb and participate in the reaction with gas molecules, thus resulting in no sensing response. UV illumination generated photoelectrons which were caught by surface adsorbed oxygen molecules and formed the photo-oxygen ions. These photo-oxygen ions were loosely bounded to the surface of ZnO. They were energetic and easy to desorb. These photo-oxygen ions reacted with the interacted gas molecules, thus activated and enhanced the sensing response. The effect of light intensity was studied by conducting the measurement under light intensity ranging from 0 mW/cm² to 4.1 mW/cm². The increased light intensity improved sensing response both at room temperature and elevated temperature (125 °C) (Figure 61). Increasing the amount of Au decoration could improve the sensing response initially but too much Au decoration would lead to an opposite outcome (Figure 62). The Au nanoparticles would induce more oxygen ions adsorption by acting as a catalyst. A spill-over region was formed around the AuNPs due to the spill-over effect, where the electrons in ZnO conduction band were easier to be captured by oxygen molecules and enlarged the depletion layer width, thus improve the gas sensing response (Figure 63). As shown in Figure 63c,d, too many Au nanoparticles would coagulate to larger islands and even form a film which would decrease or cover the surface entirely. Consequently, the surface would have fewer sensing reactive sites, hence lower sensing response.

Figure 59. HCHO sensing response of ZnO and Ag-ZnO nanorods under 370 nm irradiation. Reproduced with permission from [86].
Table 4. Ethanol sensing results of pure ZnO and Au NPs decorated ZnO under different measurement conditions.

| Material Condition | ZnO            | ZnO: Au NPs     |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Dark               | No response    | No response     |
| UV                 | Low response   | High response   |

| High Temperature (125 °C) |
|---------------------------|
| Material Condition        | ZnO          | ZnO: Au NPs     |
| dark                      | No response  | Have response   |
| UV                        | Have response| High response   |

Figure 60. 1000 ppm ethanol sensing response of bare ZnO (S00) and Au NPs decorated ZnO at the sputtering time of 6 s (S06) sensors under dark condition and UV illumination with intensity at 4.1 mW/cm². Reproduced with permission from [88].

Figure 61. Dynamic 1000 ppm ethanol vapor sensing plots of S06 sensor with different UV illumination intensities operated at operating temperatures of (a) 25 °C and (b) 125 °C. Reproduced with permission from [88].
Figure 62. 1000 ppm ethanol sensing response of pure ZnO (S00) and ZnO decorated with Au NPs of different amount (S03–S15) under UV illumination intensity of 4.1 mW/cm². Reproduced with permission from [88].

Figure 63. Schematic diagrams of depletion layer width resulting in sensor response of ZnO nanostructures sensor divided in case of (a) without Au (b) with Au (c) with large amount of Au and (d) with exceedingly coated Au amount. Reproduced with permission from [88].

The metal decoration could not only improve the sensing response and lowering working temperature. In fact, Fraters reported that it can also enhance selectivity. They investigated the gas-phase photocatalytic oxidation property of TiO₂ to propane and ethanol with UV illumination. Pt decoration decreased photocatalytic oxidation of propane while it would do the opposite to ethanol (Figure 64). The results were obtained by measuring the production rates of the products of the photocatalytic oxidation process. Though their work was not primarily about gas sensing, but the photocatalytic mechanism was the same. Therefore, their work confirmed that Pt decoration on metal oxides improved sensing selectivity [89].
was also dependent on the amount of Ga doping. Increasing Ga amount led to an increase in sensing properties have been reported. They require another dedicated review to cover the extensive amount of work published so far, and are therefore not included in this review.

Two kinds of heterostructures have been reviewed: inorganic semiconductor-metal oxides and metal-metal oxides. Heterostructures generally bring improvement in sensing response, sensing speed, reduction of working temperature. Activation of sensing response using visible light, one can even improve selectivity. The effect has been attributed to the facilitation of electron transportation and suppression of recombination, thus resulting in an increase in electron concentration. Heterostructures also improve sensor performance by combining the merits of different materials, for example, some materials might act as a sensitizer to enable visible light activation. Metal has also been found to offer catalytic and spill-over effects. This mechanism was confirmed by resistance, photovoltage, phase measurement and light adsorption spectra. The ratio of the constituent components often required optimization in order to achieve a balance between effective surface area and carrier concentration. Light intensity should also be optimized to reach the balance between photoelectron generation and gas desorption. Heterostructures that combined metal-oxides with carbon-based nanostructures like graphene, carbon nanotubes [90–93], and organic polymers [94,95] to improve the gas sensing properties have been reported. They require another dedicated review to cover the extensive amount of work published so far, and are therefore not included in this review.

3.1.3. Summary

Two kinds of heterostructures have been reviewed: inorganic semiconductor-metal oxides and metal-metal oxides. Heterostructures generally bring improvement in sensing response, sensing speed, reduction of working temperature. Activation of sensing response using visible light, one can even improve selectivity. The effect has been attributed to the facilitation of electron transportation and suppression of recombination, thus resulting in an increase in electron concentration. Heterostructures also improve sensor performance by combining the merits of different materials, for example, some materials might act as a sensitizer to enable visible light activation. Metal has also been found to offer catalytic and spill-over effects. This mechanism was confirmed by resistance, photovoltage, phase measurement and light adsorption spectra. The ratio of the constituent components often required optimization in order to achieve a balance between effective surface area and carrier concentration. Light intensity should also be optimized to reach the balance between photoelectron generation and gas desorption. Heterostructures that combined metal-oxides with carbon-based nanostructures like graphene, carbon nanotubes [90–93], and organic polymers [94,95] to improve the gas sensing properties have been reported. They require another dedicated review to cover the extensive amount of work published so far, and are therefore not included in this review.

3.2. Metal Oxides with Doping

By adding dopant to the metal oxide, it is possible to reduce the resistance baseline of the device and improve sensing performance. Hara reported that both donor (Nb$^{5+}$) and acceptor (Fe$^{3+}$) could decrease the resistance of SrTiO$_3$ which is highly sensitive towards O$_2$ at room temperature, but resulted in significant loss in sensing performance. Only acceptor (Cr$^{3+}$) was good for both decreasing the resistance for measurement and maintaining the gas sensing property of the material [96].

Dhahri doped ZnO nanoflowers with Ga of different amount and used the material to detect CO at different temperatures. UV irradiation would decrease the resistance baseline, lower the optimal sensors working temperature, increase CO sensing response and accelerate the sensing speed due to the photon generated electrons and photon induced gas desorption (Figure 65). The decrease of green light emission and increase of UV emission in the photoluminescence (PL) spectra indicated that Ga atoms occupied Zn vacancy defects. Ga doped ZnO exhibited lower resistance baseline and higher CO sensing response than pristine ZnO both in dark and under UV light illumination. The response was also dependent on the amount of Ga doping. Increasing Ga amount led to an increase in sensing response at first but afterwards decreased the response (Figure 66). This was explained by the fact that Ga doping caused Ga$^{3+}$ to occupy Zn$^{2+}$ vacancies and released electrons and provide more oxygen adsorption active sites [97].
would inhibit carrier transporting. Besides, ZnFe was shown in Figure 69, increasing Fe doping resulted in increasing sensing response. However, ZnO thus reduced the chemical absorption of oxygen ions [99].

The formation of a second phase, i.e., ZnFe, too much Fe doping would then decrease HCHO sensing because too much Fe dopant would result in light and the sensing response among materials with different amount of Fe doping was compared.

Extended to the visible range (Figure 68). Room temperature HCHO sensing was activated by 532 nm absorption spectra and photocurrent of Fe-doped ZnO revealed that the absorption spectra were and UV-vis spectra confirmed the successful doping of Fe ions into ZnO crystal lattice. The UV-vis Ga doping was attributed to the released electrons and increased oxygen active adsorption sites [98].

Figure 67, Ga doping improved the sensing response to NO at temperatures ranging from 25 °C to 100 °C in both dark and with purple-blue light irradiation. The improvement in sensing response by Ga doping was attributed to the released electrons and increased oxygen active adsorption sites [97].

Sturaro used the same materials: gallium doped ZnO nanocrystals for sensing of reducing H₂ and oxidizing NO₂. They compared the sensing property of ZnO doped with different amount of Ga at different operation temperatures in dark and with 460 nm visible light irradiation. As shown in Figure 67, Ga doping improved the sensing response to NO₂ at temperatures ranging from 25 °C to 100 °C in both dark and with purple-blue light irradiation. The improvement in sensing response by Ga doping was attributed to the released electrons and increased oxygen active adsorption sites [98].

Han synthesized Fe-doped ZnO flowers for HCHO sensing at room temperature. XRD results and UV-vis spectra confirmed the successful doping of Fe ions into ZnO crystal lattice. The UV-vis absorption spectra and photocurrent of Fe-doped ZnO revealed that the absorption spectra were extended to the visible range (Figure 68). Room temperature HCHO sensing was activated by 532 nm light and the sensing response among materials with different amount of Fe doping was compared. As shown in Figure 69, increasing Fe doping resulted in increasing sensing response. However, too much Fe doping would then decrease HCHO sensing because too much Fe dopant would result in the formation of a second phase, i.e., ZnFe₂O₄ which resulted in high density of grain boundaries that would inhibit carrier transporting. Besides, ZnFe₂O₄ would occupy the active sites on the surface of ZnO thus reduced the chemical absorption of oxygen ions [99].
Fe formed ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ which occupied the active sites and increased grain boundaries that inhibited carrier transporting. Besides, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ would occupy the active sites on the surface of ZnO thus reduced the chemical absorption of oxygen ions [99].

The improvement is attributed to improved crystal quality and increased active sites on the surface. This part summarizes the reported results of light-activated gas sensors with the incorporation of Fe/ZnO from 0.1 mol % to 5 mol %. Reproduced with permission from [99].

As shown in Figure 69, increasing Fe doping resulted in increasing sensing response. However, too much Fe doping would decrease HCHO sensing because too much Fe dopant would result in much Fe doping would then decrease HCHO sensing because too much Fe dopant would result in increased grain boundaries that inhibited carrier transporting. Besides, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ would occupy the active sites on the surface of ZnO under visible light illumination. Besides the doping concentration, annealing temperature influenced the sensing property as well. Annealing the Fe-doped ZnO at high temperatures induced the photocurrent and HCHO sensing response increase and exhibited the highest response at 600 °C. This was because the annealing temperature influenced the position of Fe atoms in ZnO. Between 400 °C and 600 °C, Fe existed in ZnO lattice as ions while after 700 °C, Fe formed ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ which occupied the active sites and increased grain boundaries that inhibited carrier transporting. Besides, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ would occupy the active sites on the surface of ZnO thus reduced the chemical absorption of oxygen ions [99].

After two years, they published another paper about the HCHO sensing property of Fe-doped ZnO. XRD results extended to the visible range (Figure 68). Room temperature HCHO sensing was activated by 532 nm light. Absorption spectra and photocurrent results were shown by the absorbance spectra and photocurrent results. The HCHO sensing is activated by 532 nm light. By increasing the annealing temperature, the photocurrent and HCHO sensing response increase and exhibited the highest response at 600 °C. This was because the annealing temperature influenced the position of Fe atoms in ZnO. Between 400 °C and 600 °C, Fe existed in ZnO lattice as ions while after 700 °C, Fe formed ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ which occupied the active sites and increased grain boundaries that inhibited carrier transporting. Besides, ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ would occupy the active sites on the surface of ZnO thus reduced the chemical absorption of oxygen ions [99].

Figure 67. Normalized resistance changes to 400 ppb NO$_2$ (green shaded box) for ZnO and ZnO with various amount Ga doping (GZO10, GZO20) in dark (a–d) and with 460 nm visible light illumination (e–h) at temperatures ranging from 25 °C to 100 °C. Reproduced with permission from [98].

Figure 68. (a) Absorption spectrum and (b) Photocurrent of Fe-doped flowerlike ZnO with the ratio of Fe/ZnO from 0.1 mol % to 5 mol %. Reproduced with permission from [99].

Figure 69. HCHO sensing response of Fe-doped ZnO nanoflowers (with the ratio from 0.5 mol % to 5 mol %) under 532 nm light illumination. Reproduced with permission from [99].

After two years, they published another paper about the HCHO sensing property of Fe-doped ZnO under visible light illumination. Besides the doping concentration, annealing temperature influenced the sensing property as well. Annealing the Fe-doped ZnO at high temperatures induced visible light absorption which is shown by the absorbance spectra and photocurrent results. The HCHO sensing is activated by 532 nm light. By increasing the annealing temperature, the photocurrent and
HCHO sensing response increased and exhibited the highest response at 600 °C. The improvement is attributed to improved crystal quality and increased active sites on the surface of ZnO. However, the response to light and HCHO decreased when the sensor was annealed at a temperature higher than 700 °C. This was because the annealing temperature influenced the position of Fe atoms in ZnO. Between 400 °C and 600 °C, Fe existed in ZnO lattice as ions while after 700 °C, Fe formed ZnFe2O4 which occupied the active sites and increased grain boundaries that inhibited carriers transport [100].

This annealing temperature dependence was also observed by Zhai who synthesized carbon-doped ZnO microspheres to detect ethanol vapors at room temperature with UV illumination. The carbon doped ZnO microspheres were annealed at 500 °C and 700 °C. The 500 °C annealed sensor exhibited the highest ethanol sensing response compared to 700 °C annealed samples and non-annealed samples (Figure 70). The transient photovoltage (TPV) measurements and Raman spectroscopy confirmed that Sp2 carbon-type structures were formed after the 500 °C annealing and these structures improved carrier separation and restrained the recombination of photon generated carriers [101].

![Figure 70. Ethanol sensing response of C doped ZnO microspheres with different calcination temperatures. Reproduced with permission from [101].](image)

Summary

This part summarizes the reported results of light-activated gas sensors with the incorporation of dopant, which decreased the resistance baseline, improved sensing response and enabled visible light activation. Detailed summary of these reported work is illuminated in Table 5. Choice of dopant material, concentration and sensors calcination temperature significantly influenced the sensing outcome. It was possible to find an optimal doping amount and annealing temperature for achieving best performance through obtaining the correct crystal property and increasing the density of active sites. However, by now research on the light-activated sensors based on metal oxides with doping is far from sufficient. More research effort could be conducted.

| Material                        | Light Illumination | Temperature | Vapor  | Ref |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|-----|
| Nb5+-doped SrTiO3               | UV                 | RT          | O2     | [96]|
| Fe3+-doped SrTiO3               | UV                 | RT          | O2     | [96]|
| Cr3+-doped SrTiO3               | UV                 | RT          | O2     | [96]|
| Ga-doped ZnO nanopowder         | UV                 | various temperatures | CO     | [97]|
| Ga-doped ZnO nanocrystal        | 460 nm visible light | temperatures ranging from 25 °C to 100 °C | H2, NO2 | [98]|
| Fe-doped ZnO flowers            | 532 nm light       | RT          | HCHO   | [99]|
| Fe-doped ZnO flowers            | 532 nm light       | RT          | HCHO   | [100]|
| carbon-doped ZnO microspheres   | UV                 | RT          | ethanol| [101]|

Table 5. Summary of typical advances on light-activated gas sensor based on metal oxides with doping.
4. Challenges and Outlook

Using photons to activate conductometric gas sensor enables room temperature gas detection. Compared to their conventional thermal energy activated counterparts, the main disadvantage of light-activated sensors is that the sensing response might be much lower, which in turn translates into weak sensitivity limit. Hien demonstrated that SnO$_2$ exhibited sensing performance towards H$_2$S with high stability at room temperature under violet illumination, the response was only 1.25 to 20 ppm of H$_2$S [102]. Zheng used ZnO nanoparticles for the sensing material, and their device exhibited a response of 1.3 to 200 ppm of ethanol vapor under 5 mW of 370 nm illumination at room temperature, whereas no response was observed after removing the light illumination [103]. In fact, previous light-activated room-temperature chemi-resistive gas sensors usually also exhibited low response [10,37,102–104]. Lin reported the use of ZnO nanowires for sensing ethanol and their device showed a response of 1.25, i.e., the ratio between initial resistance ($R_a$) and resistance with the presence of target gas ($R_g$), with 150 ppm of ethanol under the illumination of AM 1.5 G (Standard solar spectral irradiance at air mass 1.5: Direct normal and hemispherical for a 37 degree tilted surface) at 53 $^\circ$C [104]. Geng used a 4 W white light LED to activate ZnO for detecting acetone at room temperature and the response was only 1.2 for 900 ppm acetone [37]. More published data on gas sensing response based on the light-activated conductometric gas sensor are summarized in Table 6. The data clearly reveals the low response towards different gas types with concentrations as high as 1000 ppm. Generally, the sensing responses are below 2.

Table 6. Summary of response of light-activated sensors operated at room temperature. (The response is defined as the ratio between $R_a$ and $R_g$: $R_a/R_g$ if there is no special definition in the table. $\Delta R$, $\Delta G$, $\Delta I$ is the absolute value of change of resistance, conductance and current respectively).

| Material | Light Illumination | Temperature | Vapor | Concentration (ppm) | Response | Ref |
|----------|--------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|----------|-----|
| ZnO      | UV 3.6 mW/cm$^2$ (365 nm) | RT | Ethanol | 100 | 0.14 | [64] |
| SnO$_2$-GaN nanowires | UV 3.75 mW/m$^2$ (365 nm) | RT | Ethanol | 500 | 1.01 | [105] |
| ZnO nanodisk | UV 1.6 mW/cm$^2$ (365 nm) | RT | Ethanol | 100 | 1.17 | [106] |
| ZnO thin film | UV | RT | H$_2$ | 100 | 0.1 $\Delta R/R_{air}$ | [36] |
| ZnO nanoline | UV | RT | H$_2$ | 100 | 0.2 | [36] |
| ZnO | White light LED | RT | Ethylene | 5200 | 1.06 | [37] |
| ZnO | White light LED | RT | H$_2$O$_2$ | 100 | 0.5 $\Delta R/R_g$ | [42] |
| SnO$_2$ | UV | RT | CO | 200 | 0.84 $\Delta G/G_{air}$ | [16] |
| TiO$_2$ NWs-80 nm | UV | RT | NH$_3$ | 100 | 0.5 $\Delta Z/Z_{air}$ | [60] |
| TiO$_2$ NWs-350 nm | UV | RT | NH$_3$ | 100 | 0.1 | [60] |
| ZnO | UV | RT | Ethanol | 60 | 0.8 $\Delta I/I_{air}$ | [14] |
| ZnO | Visible light | RT | HCHO | 110 | 1.35% $\Delta I/I_{air}$ | [10] |
| Cu/ZnO | 355 nm | RT | ethanol | 1120 | 64% $\Delta I/I_{air}$ | [11] |
| Cu/ZnO | 355 nm | RT | acetone | 1120 | 63% $\Delta I/I_{air}$ | [11] |

Since the sensing response is highly dependent on electron concentration, light absorption efficiency plays a crucial role. Likewise, the operation of solar cells, photocatalysis, light emission devices and photodetectors are dependent on the concentration of photo-generated electrons. A large number of research efforts have been undertaken for these systems to take advantage of the concept of localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) to improve device performance [107–117]. LSPR is associated with the oscillation of electrons in noble metal nanoparticles stimulated by an incident light with matched wavelength. LSPR holds a strong capacity for enhancing charge generation and separation through resonant energy transfer across the metal-semiconductor. LSPR improve optoelectronic properties by expanding the light absorption spectrum range and increasing photo-electrons concentration [118–121]. Four main effects induced by LSPR are usually used to explain the improved properties:

1. LSPR absorption of noble metal nanoparticles extends the absorption spectrum to the visible range;
(2) Hot electrons emerged in noble metal nanoparticles may result in carrier injection into the conduction band of the semiconductor, hence leading to an increase in the concentration of energetic electrons;

(3) Schottky barrier formed at the interface between the noble metal and metal oxides can suppress recombination of electron-hole pairs;

(4) Localized electric filed enhancement can increase the separation of electron-hole pairs on the semiconductor side.

Linic reviewed the progress in plasmon-assisted photocatalysis, which is based on semiconductor nanostructures decorated with noble metal. They analyzed the improvement induced by LSPR for water splitting. They used finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulations to study the electric field distribution in and around Ag nanocubes under 420 nm light irradiation. Due to the strong concentration of photon flux within small volumes, the field intensity increased by nearly 1000 times around a single Ag nanocube. The localized field enhancement even reached $10^6$ times in the region sandwiched between two Ag nanocubes (Figure 71). The enhanced electric field can facilitate separation of electron-hole pairs in semiconductors significantly, and thus leading to increase in carrier generation [122]. Moreover, the metal nanoparticles exhibited visible light absorption due to their surface plasmon resonance, which provided the merit of visible light activation. The adsorption spectrum could be tuned by controlling their shape and size (Figure 72). Similar expansion of the light absorption spectrum was also observed by Cui, who studied the HCHO sensing of Ag-ZnO nanorod (Figure 73) [86]. Again, the hot electron injection mechanism was used for explaining the LSPR enhancement of optoelectronic properties. Here, they used photocatalytic water splitting as an example to illustrate the hot electron injection model (Figure 74). Upon photons injection, the electrons around the Fermi level of the metal nanoparticles are excited to the surface plasmon resonance state. These energetic electrons are transferred to the conduction band of nearby semiconductor reactions.

![Figure 71](image-url).

**Figure 71.** Finite difference time domain (FDTD) simulation of electric field intensity spatial distribution under 420 nm irradiation of (a) single 75 nm Ag nanocube; (c) two 75 nm Ag nanocubes separated by a distance of 1 nm (one cube is rotated 45°); (b,d) Electric field intensity enhancement as a function of distance along the dashed line in left figure respectively. Reproduced with permission from [122].
As mentioned above, the formation of Schottky barriers could help to separate electron-hole pairs and suppress their recombination. Zhang used a schematic to compare the photocatalysis property of pure TiO$_2$ and Au nanoparticles decorated TiO$_2$. At the interface between the Au nanoparticle and the

Figure 72. Normalized extinction spectra of (a) spherical Ag, Au, and Cu; (b) Ag nanoparticles with different nanostructure: wires, spheres, cubes; (c) Ag nanocubes as a function of size. The inset in (c) is an image of the Ag nanocubes of different sizes suspended in ethanol respectively. Reproduced with permission from [122].

Figure 73. Absorption spectrum of pure ZnO nanorods and ZnO nanorods decorated with Ag nanoparticles of different amount. Reproduced with permission from [86].

Figure 74. LSPR induced charge transfer with approximate energy level on the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) scale. Dashed red lines refer to the water-splitting redox potentials. Reproduced with permission from [122].
TiO$_2$ nanoparticle, a space charge region is formed, which induces an internal electric field. This space charge region is the Schottky barriers and the internal electric field forced electron separation and transportation, thus suppressed recombination of electron-hole pairs which is very severe in pure TiO$_2$ nanostructures [123].

Consequently, using resonant plasmonic effects to improve the sensing property of light-activated gas sensors should be a promising approach. Noble metal decoration is applied to improve the sensing performance because of the increased carrier concentration due to the heterostructure and combination of advantages of different materials, as discussed in the “Heterostructures containing metal and metal oxide semiconductors” section. However, there is nearly no research investigated the LSPR improvement of gas sensing property. Therefore, more efforts can be made to fabricate light-activated gas sensors with metal decoration and to study the roles of LSPR for the improved sensing properties.

The use of composite nanostructures can be used to improve the sensing response. Researchers can further incorporate more new materials to form heterostructures within the gas sensor. Since the availability of results from gas sensors based on metal oxides with doping has been very limited so far, much more efforts should be taken.

Apart from sensing response, gas selectivity is also a significant issue to be improved. By using heterostructures, doping, tuning of light wavelength and temperature, one can control or improve the gas selectivity to some extent. However, the actual signal output is far from enough. An optimal gas sensor should only be sensitive to a certain gas. It would be very important to find materials that are only response to a certain gas.

Although light activation has already improved the device long-term stability as compared to thermal-activated device, the situation can be further improved.

Numerous publications have suggested that the sensing mechanism in gas sensor is the surface depletion model. However, there are only a handful of experimental demonstrations on this sensing mechanism. More experimental efforts, including those based on electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), are needed to study the adsorption species on the surface of the materials. To demonstrate the reactions taking place during the sensing process, the concentrations levels of reactants and products can be monitored by techniques such as Fourier Transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), etc.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have reviewed the progress of light-activated conductometric gas sensors based on metal oxides. We start from the simplest structure, i.e., pure metal oxides. 1D nanostructures and porous nanostructures are discussed specifically due to their high surface-to-volume ratio and large surface area. The size of the nanostructures should also be optimized to make it comparable to the width of the depletion layer. Combining different materials may result in combining their individual merits, which will ultimately benefit over sensing performance. Generally, heterostructures result in higher response and better selectivity due to the increased carrier concentration. Heterostructures can also extend light absorption into the visible range due to the sensitization effect of certain materials. The ratio between different materials in the heterostructure should be optimized for achieving a balance between surface active adsorption sites and carrier concentration. There is only limited reported results to provide a comprehensive investigation on how dopants may enhance the sensing properties of metal oxides. Nonetheless, an appropriate amount of doping has been shown to improve sensing response by increasing carrier generation and enabling visible light activation. Light intensity at specific wavelength can change sensing response and even tune the device’s selectivity. Surprisingly, experimental results suggest that a simple increase of light intensity may not necessarily bring better response. In fact, one has to choose the correct intensity level at some wavelength range for achieving the best performance. There exists a competition between photon generated electrons and photon induced desorption. Film thickness also affects sensing performance because of limited penetration depth of the photons. All these findings are highly instructive in the design and implementation.
of light-activated gas sensors for optimized performance. In the last part, we point out the main drawbacks of light-activated gas sensors, particularly in relation to the issue of low response. We have also analyzed the feasibility of using LSPR to resolve this problem. This speculative comment may open up a new window for developing room temperature operated gas sensors with sufficiently high response for practical devices.
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