Manganese oxide promoter effects in the copper-catalyzed hydrogenation of ethyl acetate
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A B S T R A C T

Supported metal catalysts are widely used in the chemical industry, commonly with added metal oxide promoters to enhance the catalytic performance. Here, we discuss manganese oxide as an efficient promoter for the Cu-based hydrogenation of ethyl acetate: a model hydrogenation reaction. A series of carbon-supported MnOx-Cu catalysts was prepared with 6 nm MnOx-Cu particles, while varying the Mn loading between 0 and 33 mol% Mn/(Cu + Mn), without changing the Cu loading or support structure. At temperatures of 180–210 °C and 30 bar pressure, the addition of 11 mol% Mn to Cu gave a 7-fold enhancement in activity, and better catalyst stability. Furthermore, the apparent activation energy decreased from ~100 to 50 kJ mol⁻¹. State-of-the-art characterization allowed to establish a correlation between catalyst structure and performance.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Supported metal catalysts are widely applied for industrial hydrogenation reactions, with added metal oxide promoters to boost the performance [1–4]. Cu is the metal of choice for the industrial hydrogenation of esters, as it is relatively inexpensive and has a high selectivity towards unsaturated C=O ester bonds while leaving C=C bonds intact [1,5,6]. Promoters are defined as species that exhibit little or no activity alone, but that improve the catalytic performance when added to a catalytically active phase [7]. Already in 1931, chromium oxide was added to promote the Cu-catalyzed hydrogenation of various alkyl esters [8]. The copper chromite catalyst was extensively studied in the following decades and is still used today [1,9,10]. However, carcinogenic Cr³⁺ and Cr⁶⁺ species may form during catalyst manufacturing and regeneration [11], hence alternative catalysts are desired.

Early studies by Brands et al. suggested manganese oxide (MnOₓ) as a promising alternative promoter for the Cu-catalyzed hydrogenation of alkyl esters, yet MnOₓ promoter effects are little studied [1,12,13]. Metal oxide promoters are generally believed to either change the electronic nature of the active phase, assist in activating reactants and/or stabilizing reaction intermediates [14–16]. Reports exist on MnOₓ promotion in other high-pressure hydrogenation reactions, such as Co-catalyzed Fischer–Tropsch synthesis [15,17,18] and Rh [19,20] and Co [21] catalyzed higher alcohols synthesis. However, also for these reactions, the MnOₓ promoter effects were mainly studied using metal oxide supports, which typically leads to the formation of stable compounds such as Mn-silicates [15,16,22–24].

In this study we use a chemically inert support, high surface area graphitic carbon, to investigate the intrinsic MnOₓ promoter effects. Ethyl acetate (EtOAc) can be produced from synthesis gas [2,12,25–32], and is potentially a key intermediate to produce renewable ethanol [1,26,33,34]. Hence, we chose the hydrogenation of EtOAc [13,35–37] under industrially relevant temperatures and pressure (180–210 °C and 30 bar) as a model reaction. As 6 nm Cu particles give the highest conversion [38], we targeted a MnOₓ-Cu particle size of 6 nm, while systematically varying the Mn loading. A combination of STEM-HAADF-EDX and XAS analysis gave insight into the nature of the MnOₓ promoter, which allowed us to correlate structural and electronic properties of the promoter to the catalytic performance.
2. Experimental methods

2.1. Catalyst preparation

A series of manganese oxide-promoted copper (MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C) catalysts was prepared using a high surface area graphitic carbon catalyst support (Graphene nanoplatelets (GNP-500 from XG Sciences), with ~500 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1} BET surface area and 0.84 mL g\textsuperscript{-1} total pore volume). The carbon support was impregnated until incipient wetness using aqueous solutions of Cu(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} and/or Mn(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}. The impregnated samples were heated to 400 °C, which completely removed the nitrate residues (Fig. S1). The Cu loading was kept constant around 8 wt\%, while the Mn loading varied between 0 and 3.4 wt\%, resulting in a mol/mol ratio of Mn/(Cu + Mn) between 0 and 33%. A Cu-free MnO\textsubscript{x}/C sample with 7.3 wt\% Mn, was prepared using the same synthesis method. Full methods for catalyst preparation are provided in Section S1 of the Supporting Information section.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on an FEI Tecnai 20 microscope, operated at 200 kV. The catalyst sample was dispersed as a dry catalyst powder, onto a Cu sample grid coated with holey carbon (Agar 300 mesh Cu). The particle size was measured for at least 250 individual particles and on 10 different sample areas. The number-averaged Cu particle sizes \(d_N\) and surface-averaged Cu particle sizes \(d_s\), including the standard deviations in the widths of the particle size distributions \(\sigma_{dN}\) and \(\sigma_{ds}\), were calculated using the formulas:

\[
d_N \pm \sigma_{dN} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (d_i - d)^2};
\]

\[
d_s \pm \sigma_{ds} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} N_i (d_i - d_s)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} N_i},
\]

in which \(d_i\) indicates the diameter of the \(i\)th particle and \(N\) stands for the total count of measured particles. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) was carried out at an FEI Talos F200X operated at 200 kV. The catalysts sample was dispersed as a dry catalyst powder, onto a Ni sample grid coated with holey carbon (Agar 300 mesh Ni). The images were acquired in high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) mode. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping was performed to analyse the distribution and amount of the Mn, Cu and C content in the catalyst before and after catalysis.

Powder X-ray diffractometry was performed on a Bruker D8 powder X-ray diffractometer equipped with a Cu-K\textsubscript{a1,2} radiation source (\(\lambda = 1.79026\) Å) and a LynxEye detector. Diffractograms were taken directly after the final step in the synthesis under reductive atmosphere, without exposure to air. The Cu\textsuperscript{0} crystallite size was determined by applying the Scherrer equation to the main Cu\textsuperscript{0} (200) diffraction peak at (59.3°20), with a shape factor \(k\) of 0.1 [39].

Thermogravimetric analysis was performed on a PerkinElmer Pyris 1 balance, coupled to a Pfeiffer mass spectrometer. The sample (5–10 mg) was measured under Ar flow (20 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}). First, the sample was purged for 30 min at 50 °C, and subsequently heated to 600 °C (5 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}).

Temperature-programmed reduction profiles were measured on a Micromeritics Autochem II ASAP 2920 apparatus, with H\textsubscript{2} consumption quantified using a thermal conductivity detector. Prior to the measurement, the catalysts were dried for 30 min at 120 °C under Ar flow (~1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and afterwards the temperature was lowered to 50 °C. Next, the reduction profiles were determined by heating the catalyst to 400 °C (2 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}), in a flow of 5 vol\% H\textsubscript{2}/Ar (~1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} cm\textsuperscript{-1}). The reduction profiles were base-line corrected and normalized to the amount of Cu per measurement.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) data was acquired in transmission mode at the XAFS (BM26A) Dubble beamline at ESRF. The XAS data were recorded near the Mn K-edge (6,340–7,890 eV) or Cu K-edge (8,779–9,629 eV) during separate experiments, using fresh pellets for each measurement. Reference spectra were recorded using commercial Cu foil, Cu\textsubscript{2}O, CuO, MnO, MnO\textsubscript{2} and MnO\textsubscript{2} standards. The XAS data was analyzed using Athena data processing software [40].

Further details on STEM-HAADF-EDX analysis, Powder X-ray diffractometry, and XAS analysis and spectra fitting are provided in Section S1.

2.3. Catalytic performance

Catalytic experiments were performed using a gas-phase fixed-bed reactor system, equipped with 16 parallel channels (Flowrence\textsuperscript{®}, Avantium N. V.). The MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C catalysts were tested in parallel using the same reactant feed stream. The GHSV for evaporated EtOAc was varied between 2,000 and 17,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}. The effluent gas composition was analysed by on-line gas chromatography at 19 min intervals. To validate that the reaction was not mass transfer limited, we performed measurements with MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C catalysts of different granulate size ranges, i.e. 75–150 μm, 150–425 μm and 425–630 μm. No substantial differences in conversions were observed, indicating that the reaction was not hindered by internal or external mass transfer limitations. Two reference measurements using either the bare carbon supports or SiC, showed no EtOAc conversion at 210 °C. Further details on the catalytic experiments, and calculations of TOF, standard deviations, apparent activation energies and kinetic studies are provided in the Supporting Information.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural properties of MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu catalysts

Transmission electron micrographs (TEM) and particle size distributions for two representative MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C catalysts are shown in Fig. 1a and 1b. The characterization for the full series of catalysts is provided in Section S2. In all cases, bright-field TEM analysis showed highly dispersed nanoparticles, mainly situated on the edge positions of the graphitic carbon sheets. Broad Cu\textsuperscript{0} diffraction peaks were observed in the powder X-ray diffractograms (XRD) for all reduced MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C catalysts (Fig. 1c). A Cu-free sample with 7.3 wt\% Mn (100\_MnO\textsubscript{x}/C) had MnO\textsubscript{x} particles of approximately 2.3 nm in size (Fig. S2g). None of the samples showed crystalline manganese oxide phases by XRD analysis. Table 1 shows that the MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu particle size and Cu\textsuperscript{0} crystallite size consistently remained around 5 to 6 nm, even upon increasing the Mn loading from 0 to 3.4 wt\%, while keeping the Cu loading around 8 wt\%. While heating the impregnated catalyst precursors to 230 °C was sufficiently high to achieve precursor decomposition, heating to 400 °C was essential to obtain CuMnO\textsubscript{x} particles with a particle size of around 6 nm [38]. The catalysts were denoted here as X\_MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C, in which X represents the Mn loading as mol\% Mn/(Cu + Mn).

The size and location of the MnO\textsubscript{x} promoter were investigated by energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis. All fresh catalyst showed distinct Cu nanoparticles of around 5 nm (Figs. S2 and S3). With increasing Mn loadings, the MnO\textsubscript{x} promoter appeared to accumulate as small MnO\textsubscript{x} islands in close proximity to the Cu nanoparticles, but additionally some highly-dispersed MnO\textsubscript{x} was detected. The local elemental composition was in good agreement with the bulk loadings: 32 mol\% Mn for 33\_MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C and 10 mol\% Mn for 11\_MnO\textsubscript{x}–Cu/C (Figs. S4 and S5). The local compositions hence corroborated the bulk loadings, and showed homogeneous
Structural properties for the carbon-supported MnOx and/or Cu samples.

The MnOx-Cu/C catalysts in our study were significantly more active than (promoted) Cu catalysts in literature. For example, Santiago et al. reported a TOF of 2.7*10^5 s^-1 at 250 °C for Cu/SiO2 [28], and Lu et al. reported TOF values around 1.1*10^3 s^-1 at 280 °C for Zn-promoted Cu catalysts supported on SiO2, Al2O3 and ZrO2 [45], while we measured TOF between 1.2 and 7.7*10^3 s^-1 at a lower temperature of 180 °C. An explanation for our higher TOF may be the use of a high purity EtOAc reactant, with approximately 30 ppm of water, as determined by Karl Fischer titration. To investigate the influence of water in the EtOAc feed, we incrementally increased it. By comparison, Wang et al. reported a maximum TOF for MeOAc hydrogenation was obtained using around 10 mol% Zn/Cu [37] and 74 kJ mol^-1 for ZrO2-promoted Cu [36]. The reduced E_a here indicate that the MnOx promoter alters the nature of the active catalytic site for the rate-determining step. The relationship between Mn loading and turnover frequency (TOF) at 180 °C is shown in Fig. 2d. The initial TOF increased approximately 7-fold from 1.2*10^3 s^-1 for 0.0_MnOx-Cu/C to 7.7*10^3 s^-1 for 11_MnOx-Cu/C. However, increasing the Mn loading above 11 mol% Mn did not enhance the initial TOF but even slightly lowered it. By comparison, Wang et al. reported that a maximum TOF for MeOAc hydrogenation was obtained using around 10 mol% Zn/ (Cu + Zn) for SiO2-supported Cu catalysts [44].

The MnOx-Cu/C catalysts in our study were significantly more active than (promoted) Cu catalysts in literature. For example, Santiago et al. reported a TOF of 2.7*10^5 s^-1 at 250 °C for Cu/SiO2 [28], and Lu et al. reported TOF values around 1.1*10^3 s^-1 at 280 °C for Zn-promoted Cu catalysts supported on SiO2, Al2O3 and ZrO2 [45], while we measured TOF between 1.2 and 7.7*10^3 s^-1 at a lower temperature of 180 °C. An explanation for our higher TOF may be the use of a high purity EtOAc reactant, with approximately 30 ppm of water, as determined by Karl Fischer titration. To investigate the influence of water in the EtOAc feed, we incrementally increased the concentration during an experiment at 200 °C and 30 bar (Fig. S8). Increasing the water concentration in the liquid EtOAc feed from 50 to 400 ppm led to a 3-fold decrease in conversion for the 11_MnOx-Cu/C catalyst, while for the unpromoted Cu/C.
catalyst nearly all activity was lost (Fig. 3). The almost immediate loss of conversion was ascribed to the strong adsorption of water on the catalyst surface, inhibiting the hydrogenation reaction. For the promoted Cu/C catalysts the conversion of the promoted Cu/C catalysts remained much higher than for the unpromoted Cu/C catalyst when returning to the initial water concentration of 50 ppm, indicating that the surface inhibition was largely reversible in the presence of MnOx. The particle size of the unpromoted Cu/C catalyst increased from 5.0 ± 1.2 to 16.4 ± 5.7 nm, hence causing irreversible loss of activity. In contrast, the 33_MnOx-Cu/C catalyst showed only particle growth from 5.5 ± 1.2 to 6.2 ± 1.5 nm (Fig. S9). The MnOx promoter hence mitigated the irreversible catalyst deactivation through water-induced particle growth.

Remarkably, the selectivity towards ethanol was >99.5% for all MnOx-Cu/C catalysts between 180 and 210 °C (up to 40% conversion, see Fig. 4). The selectivity decreased with increasing EtOAc conversion (and increasing reaction temperature). However, the presence of Mn improved the ethanol selectivity when comparing at similar EtOAc conversions, with >99.9% for the 11_MnOx-Cu/C catalyst at 25% EtOAc conversion. Ethane was observed as the main by-product (Fig. S10), with only traces of acetic acid and acetaldehyde. The selectivity for MnOx-Cu/C was significantly higher than reported in literature for supported Cu-based catalysts, which were typically <95% under similar reaction conditions [3,26,28,36,45]. The lower literature values were probably caused by acidic or basic surface groups on the metal oxide supports [36], which were absent on our carbon support.

3.3. Nature of the MnOx promoter

The observed phenomena raise the question which MnOx species are responsible for the enhanced activity, and how the nature of the Cu particles is affected under reaction conditions (H2 flow, 180–210 °C). To address these questions, we studied the Cu and Mn oxidation states upon reduction. The MnOx-Cu/C catalysts
showed H₂ consumption between 100 and 250 °C, corresponding to the reduction of CuO to Cu₂O and subsequently to Cu₀ (Fig. S11) [46–50]. For the Cu-free MnOₓ/C sample, the H₂ consumption showed a broad peak between 300 and 600 °C, indicating MnOₓ reduction [51]. For all MnOₓ-promoted Cu/C catalysts, the H₂ consumption was larger than H₂/Cu = 1:1 mol/mol (Table S3). Moreover, the peak between 300 and 600 °C was less pronounced for MnOₓ/Cu than for MnOₓ alone, which likely results from a lower average Mn oxidation state. These results suggest that MnOₓ and the Cu are in close proximity, and that Cu facilitated the partial reduction of MnOₓ below 250 °C [1,12,24,45,52].

In situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) was applied to investigate the Cu and Mn oxidation states before and after reduction. The Cu K-edge absorption energies for the catalysts after synthesis (passivated) were consistently located between the Cu²⁺ and Cu³⁺ references within 8.970 to 9.010 eV (Fig. S12), corresponding to an average Cu oxidation state of 1.3–1.6. The fitted and measured spectra, indicates complete reduction to Cu²⁺ after reduction and closely associated with the Cu. At Mn loadings above 11 mol%, the additional MnOₓ with a Mn oxidation state closer to 3+ after reduction did not significantly contribute to further promotion.

Metal oxide promoters are generally believed to either change the electronic nature of the active metal phase, or assist in activating reactants and/or stabilizing reaction intermediates at the interface [14,15,17,20,44]. Our XAS analysis did not provide any evidence for an Cu oxidation state other than Cu⁰ for both promoted and unpromoted catalysts. Further mechanistic insight into the promoter effect was obtained from a series of measurements of the EtOAc hydrogenation reaction rate as a function of the partial pressures of H₂, EtOAc and H₂O, to determine the reaction orders (Fig. S14). Cu catalysts with and without MnOₓ were tested, under steady state operation and at similar conversion levels (~12%). The observed reaction orders in H₂ were 0.97 ± 0.04 for unpromoted Cu/C and 0.78 ± 0.11 for 33_MnOₓ-Cu/C. The near first order dependency in H₂ concentration suggests a low hydrogen surface coverage, for both promoted and unpromoted catalysts, indicating that the dissociative adsorption of hydrogen is the limiting factor for this reaction. Our findings hence corroborate studies in literature which report that the surface hydrogenation of the acyl reaction intermediates is the rate-determining step in alkyl ester hydrogenation [13,28,36]. The MnOₓ promoter appeared to slightly increase the surface coverage by H₂, improving the reaction rate. The reaction orders in EtOAc were 0.05 ± 0.01 for unpromoted Cu/C and 0.14 ± 0.03 for 33_MnOₓ-Cu/C. The near zeroth orders in EtOAc concentration for both catalysts are in good agreement with kinetic studies in literature, and suggests a Cu surface saturation by strongly adsorbed (dissociated) EtOAc species [13,35–37]. The reaction orders in H₂O were −2.24 ± 0.24 for unpromoted Cu/C and −0.52 ± 0.11 for 33_MnOₓ-Cu/C. The H₂O orders for both catalysts were negative, clearly showing the inhibiting effect of increased H₂O concentrations. The presence of 4.8 mol% or above of MnOₓ gave a reaction order closer to zero, hence mitigating the reversible catalyst deactivation.

3.4. Evolution of catalyst structure and activity

Particle growth generally is a major deactivation mechanism for nanoparticulate Cu catalyzed hydrogenation reactions, as the active surface area per gram of Cu decreases with increasing particle size [56–59]. However for Cu particles smaller than 10 nm, the loss of surface area is compensated by a gain in specific activity [38]. Hence, particle growth only strongly decreases the overall conversion for particles of 10 nm and larger, when the Cu particle size effects become negligible.

The MnOₓ-Cu particle sizes by TEM were compared for the fresh catalysts after synthesis and used catalyst after 150 h on stream at 180–210 °C (Fig. 7a, S15 and S16). The Cu particle size of the unpromoted 0.0_MnOₓ-Cu/C catalyst increased from 5.0 nm to 9.7 nm. The presence of MnOₓ limited the particle growth, with 5–20 mol% Mn being sufficient to achieve this effect.

The evolution of activity was evaluated by comparing initial and final TOF values (Fig. 7b). In absence of Mn, the TOF for the unpromoted 0.0_MnOₓ-Cu/C catalyst increased 2-fold from 1.2e-3 s⁻¹ to 2.1e-3 s⁻¹, while the Cu particle size increased from 5.0 to 9.7 nm. The increase in TOF was ascribed to a higher intrinsic activity for increasing particle size up to 10 nm [38]. In the presence of 2.4–11 mol% Mn, the TOF slightly increased during the reaction, while it slightly decreased for catalysts with ≥ 20 mol% Mn. Under isothermal reaction conditions (during 225 h at 200 °C, see Fig. S17), a slower activation was observed for the catalysts with 2.4 and 4.8 mol% Mn, while deactivation dominated for
loadings > 11 mol% Mn. These changes were not caused by changes in particle size, which were small in the presence of MnOx (Fig. 7a), hence we ascribed them to changes in the oxidation state and/or distribution of the MnOx promoter during catalysis[4,12,15,16,22].

To evaluate the changes in MnOx structure over time, we analyzed with STEM-HAADF-EDX the Mn distribution for the fresh 33_MnOx-Cu/C catalyst after synthesis and for the used catalyst after 150 h catalysis at 180–210 °C. For the fresh catalyst, distinct MnOx nanoparticles were observed in close proximity to the Cu nanoparticles. For the used catalyst, the MnOx promoter was spread more evenly over the carbon support, suggesting that re-dispersion of surface-mobile MnOx species occurred during catalysis. Quantification of the Mn concentration showed approximately 33 mol% Mn/(Cu + Mn) before and after catalysis, indicating that no leaching occurred. The spreading of MnOx was even more clearly seen for the Cu-free 100_MnOx/C sample after exposure to reaction conditions (Fig. S18). The EDX analysis showed that the used sample contained significantly smaller MnOx particles (1.2 ± 0.3 nm) than the fresh sample (2.3 ± 1.0 nm). Our results show that, irrespective of Cu being present or not, the MnOx promoter readily spreads over the support under reaction conditions. The presence of EtOAc and traces of water (~50 ppm) likely played a role in forming surface-mobile Mn species at elevated temperatures. The presence of 20 mol% Mn corresponds to 1.4 theoretical monolayers on the Cu particles (Table S2). As also some MnOx does not stay in contact with the Cu but instead spreads over the carbon support, it is evident only a fraction of the Cu surface is covered by MnOx for < 11 mol% Mn. These findings demonstrate the importance of a balanced Mn loading for optimum catalytic performance of the Cu nanoparticles.

4. Conclusions

A series of well-defined MnOx-Cu catalysts was prepared via co-impregnation, using high surface area graphitic carbon as the catalyst support. Tuning the synthesis parameters allowed us to keep the MnOx-Cu particle size constant around 6 nm, while varying the
Mn loading between 0 and 33 mol% Mn/(Cu + Mn). The methodology for catalyst preparation may be relevant for a wide range of metals and promoters. The addition of 11 mol% Mn to Cu, induced a 7-fold increase in TOF and a concomitant decrease in the apparent activation energy from 104 to 54 kJ mol$^{-1}$. Water in the EtOAc feed strongly decreased the catalytic activity, while the MnO$_x$ promoter enhanced the resistance against water-induced decrease in conversion. The selectivity towards ethanol was > 99.5%, much higher than reported in literature. During catalysis the MnO$_x$ re-dispersed and especially for MnO$_x$ loadings >11 mol%, a fraction with a somewhat higher Mn oxidation state was not in contact with the Cu, and did not contribute to a further increase in activity. In our study 11 mol % Mn provided an optimum combination of high activity, selectivity and stability.
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Fig. 7. (a) Particle sizes by TEM for the fresh MnO$_x$-Cu/C catalysts after synthesis (initial, orange squares) and used catalysts after 150 h catalysis at 180–210 °C (final, cyan circles) as a function of MnO$_x$ loading; (b) Turn-over frequencies (TOF, at 180 °C) are given both after 30 h and correlated to the initial particle size (orange squares) and after 150 h and correlated to the final particle size (cyan circles). Error bars indicate the relative standard deviation. Reaction conditions: H$_2$:He:EtOAc = 10:1:1 vol%, 50 ppm water in EtOAc feed, 180–210 °C, 30 bar and GHSV between 6,200–7,200 h$^{-1}$.

Fig. 8. STEM-HAADF-EDX analysis for the fresh 33% MnO$_x$-Cu/C catalyst after synthesis and used catalyst after 150 h catalysis at 180–210 °C. (a) 2-dimensional EDX maps showing the elemental distributions for carbon (blue), Cu (green) and Mn (red), including regions A–D for line scan analysis (dashed white boxes); (b) Cu and Mn line scan analysis, displaying EDX intensity over the length of the indicated regions (A–D); (c and d) 3-dimensional intensity plots in Cu and Mn EDX signals, acquired over the x,y-planes as indicated in the EDX maps.
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