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Cascading predator control interacts with productivity to determine the trophic level of biomass accumulation in a benthic food web

Abstract Large-scale exploitation of higher trophic levels by humans, together with global-scale nutrient enrichment, highlights the need to explore interactions between predator loss and resource availability. The hypothesis of exploitation ecosystems suggests that top-down and bottom-up control alternate between trophic levels, resulting in a positive relationship between primary production and the abundance of every second trophic level. Specifically, in food webs with three effective trophic levels, primary producers and predators should increase with primary production, while in food webs with two trophic levels, only herbivores should increase. We provided short-term experimental support for these model predictions in a natural benthic community with three effective trophic levels, where the number of algal recruits, but not the biomass of gastropod grazers, increased with algal production. In contrast, when the food web was reduced to two trophic levels by removing larger predators, the number of algal recruits was unchanged while gastropod grazer biomass increased with algal production. Predator removal only affected the consumer-controlled early life-stages of algae, indicating that both the number of trophic levels and the life-stage development of the producer trophic level determine the propagation of trophic cascades in benthic systems. Our results support the hypothesis that predators interact with resource availability to determine food-web structure.

Keywords Interaction between top-down bottom-up control · Trophic cascade · Benthic food-web · Marine eutrophication · Baltic Sea

Introduction

Changes in ecosystem configuration following declines in higher trophic level predators are often explained by fitting a simple trophic cascade model to time-series data of a limited number of species (e.g., McLaren and Peterson 1994; Estes et al. 1998; Heithaus et al. 2008). However, the top-down control of food web dynamics depends on complex interactions between environmental conditions, resource supply, individual species traits, and food web complexity (Polis and Strong 1996; Polis 1999; Menge 2000; Oksanen and Oksanen 2000; Shurin et al. 2002; Hopcraft et al. 2010). These interactions indicate that predator effects on food web configuration are highly context dependent and that ecosystem-specific properties determine responses to exploitation of higher trophic levels (Strong 1992; Shurin et al. 2002; Hopcraft et al. 2010). Thus, to further understand the impact of predators on food-web structure and be able to predict effects of predator declines, we need to test predator effects in combination with both abiotic and biotic properties of natural food webs.

The hypothesis of exploitation ecosystems (EEH) predicts that community level trophic cascades interact strongly with the productivity of the ecosystem (Fretwell 1977; Oksanen et al. 1981; Oksanen and Oksanen 2000). According to the EEH (Oksanen et al. 1981), top-down control of herbivores and bottom-up control of primary producers should dominate in high-productivity areas, generating a positive relationship between productivity, primary producer biomass, and carnivores. In contrast, in low-productivity areas that cannot energetically support carnivores, the bottom-up control of herbivores and top-down control of primary producers should generate a positive relationship between productivity and herbivores (Oksanen and Oksanen 2000). However,
taking density-dependent interactions between consumers (ratio-dependent predation) into account suggests that the strength of trophic cascades rapidly diminishes down trophic levels when intraguild interactions increase (Herendeen 1995; Ives et al. 2005). At the same time, bottom–up effects from resource enrichment can propagate up the food web with an almost unchanged strength when prey dependence is high (Herendeen 1995). The dominance of bottom–up effects in food webs with strong intraguild interactions are supported by a number of enrichment experiments on different spatial and temporal scales in which the total biomass of all trophic levels increased when resources were added (e.g., Carpenter et al. 2001; Persson et al. 2001). Thus, mixed top–down and bottom–up effects according to the EEH may depend both on strong prey dependence of consumers and on weak intraguild interactions within consumer trophic levels (Herendeen 2004).

The EEH is also a stable equilibrium theory that assumes long-term population dynamics, a closed system, and spatially homogenous habitats, all of which may critically limit predictions for short-term experiments testing the effects of removing predators. Accordingly, field studies support a positive relationship between primary production and trophic cascades in some systems (e.g., Sinclair et al. 2000; Elmhagen and Rushton 2007; Moksnes et al. 2008; Eriksson et al. 2009; Sieben et al. 2011), whereas a recent set of meta-analyses suggests that, in general, it is the traits of consumer species, and not productivity, that determine the prevalence of trophic cascades in predator removal experiments (Borger et al. 2005, 2006). However, models that incorporate short-term population dynamics and allow for dispersal suggest that the an alternating positive relationship between trophic levels and primary productivity should also appear in environments where consumers immigrate and emigrate actively between patches depending on resource availability (Wootton and Power 1993; Oksanen et al. 1995; Nisbet et al. 1997). A key condition for trophic cascades in these models is that top-predators must employ active dispersal and distribute freely, while passive dispersal generates a positive relationship between primary productivity and all trophic levels (Nisbet et al. 1997).

In the study reported here, we tested whether predators change the relationship between primary production and the abundance of algae and grazers by excluding all larger predators and manipulating resource availability in a benthic food web. Our study system was dominated by highly mobile invertebrate grazers and predators. There is also a strong relationship between vulnerability to grazing and life-stage, such that grazers negatively affect macroalgal recruitment by consuming smaller life-stages, while already established and larger macroalgae are mainly limited by nutrient and light availability (Lotze and Worm 2000; Lotze et al. 2000; Worm et al. 2001; Eriksson et al. 2006). Thus, we assumed that resource availability control the biomass production of established macroalgae and that consumers control new macroalgal recruits.

We tested the relationship between algal production and the abundance of algal recruits and grazers as a function of the number of trophic levels. We hypothesized that: (1) the number of algal recruits (as a relative measure of standing biomass) would increase with net production in the presence of predators, but not in the absence of predators, and (2) the biomass of grazers would increase with net production in the absence of predators, but not in the presence of predators.

### Materials and methods

#### Study site

The experiment was conducted from April to August 2006 at Maasholm in the brackish Schlei Fjord, southern Baltic Sea, Germany (54°41′N, 10°0′E). The Schlei Fjord is characterized by insignificant tides, and in the summer, the salinity ranges between 12 and 18 PSU (practical salinity units), nutrient concentrations fluctuate highly, and water temperatures range between 16 and 25°C (Worm and Lotze 2006). The sandy benthos scattered with rocks support a submerged macroalgal community dominated by the canopy-forming macroalgae Fucus vesiculosus (hereafter *Fucus*), which covers approximately 80% of the stone surface along with several crust-forming species and a number of fast-growing green ephemerals (Eriksson et al. 2006). The grazer community consists of small peracarid crustacean mesograzers (isopods and amphipods) and 1- to 2-cm-sized snails (mainly periwinkles, Littorina littorea, and *L. saxatilis*). The local predator community in the experimental area is dominated by crabs (Carcinus maenas), but also includes small benthic fish (mainly Gobius niger and Pomatoschistus minutus). *Carcinus maenas* is an omnivore that consumes animals, plant material, and algae. However, the diet of adult individuals mainly comprises molluscs, especially the common mussel (Mytilus edulis), dogwhelk (Nucella lapillus), and periwinkle (Rangeley and Thomas 1987; Little and Kitching 1996). Therefore, in the experiment area is *C. maenas* a potential predator on gastropod grazers, while the benthic fish mainly eat the small crustacean mesograzers. Thus, the local community has three effective trophic levels, while stationary higher top-predators are scarce (personal observation). All of the common consumers are highly mobile and easily moved between experimental plots (indicating an active rather than passive distribution).

Grazer control of the studied macroalgae community is strongest for the early life-stages of algae, while herbivores have only minor effects on the adult community under natural conditions (Lotze and Worm 2000; Worm et al. 2001). *Fucus* reproduce by simultaneously releasing gametes on a few occasions in late spring–early summer, while the dominating ephemeral green algae reproduce by releasing propagules continuously during the entire
spring and summer. A number of grazer exclusion experiments performed previously in the study area revealed that these early life-stages are highly susceptible to grazing, especially during the first 14 days after settlement (Lotze and Worm 2000; Worm et al. 2001; Eriksson et al. 2007). However, many of the algae produce a variety of microscopic resting stages that overwinter on the surfaces of rocks (Chapman 1986; Eriksson and Johansson 2005). These microscopic life-stages act as an overwintering propagule bank from which the algae can regenerate; they thereby enable a significantly earlier onset of growth in spring and provide a seasonal escape from high levels of grazing later in the year (Kiirikki and Ruuskanen 1996; Lotze et al. 2000; Worm et al. 2001; Eriksson et al. 2006). Once the algal propagules start to accumulate visible biomass, they are much less susceptible to grazers (Lotze and Worm 2000; Worm et al. 2001). Accordingly, earlier experiments at the study site demonstrated that the production of algal biomass is controlled by light availability in a quadratic relation (Pearson moment correlation of bottom light availability and the square root of the macroalgal biomass accumulated over summer on propagule-seeded bricks in 2004 and 2005: \(n = 42, t, p, R^2 = 0.58\); Fig. 1) and that nutrients increase biomass production only at high light (Eriksson et al. 2006, 2007). Thus, the square root of biomass development of already established macroalgal propagules should therefore provide a good relative measure of resource availability and primary production at our field site (Eriksson et al. 2006), while the abundance of recruits should represent the fraction of the algal community sensitive to consumer control. It is also reasonable to assume that resource availability estimated from biomass development of the already established macroalgal propagules is also a good estimation of the resource availability for the newly established propagules.

Field methods

How the number of trophic levels and resource availability influence the abundance of algae and grazers, respectively, was studied by experimentally manipulating predator presence, light availability, and nutrients in the field. Predator presence was manipulated using exclusion cages (40 \( \times \) 40 \( \times \) 40 cm) covered with a green plastic garden net. The 2.0 \( \times \) 2.0-cm mesh size allowed amphipod and isopod mesograzers, periwinkles, and small crabs to enter the cages, but excluded adult crabs and fish predators. To separate cage effects from true predator effects, we placed closed cages that excluded predators but allowed grazers to enter ('closed cage' treatment) on one-third of the plots, cages with 15 \( \times \) 15-cm holes ('open cage' treatment) that allowed predators to enter on another one-third of the plots, and no cages ('no cage' treatment) on the last one-third of the plots. Cages were cleaned from fouling organisms once every 2 weeks, when we also inspected the closed cages for invading fish and crabs. Throughout the experimental period, only occasional juvenile crabs were found inside the closed cages, but we did not remove them since they were too small to be excluded by the mesh.

Light availability was manipulated by placing a canopy cover of Fucus individuals in half of the plots ('canopy' vs. 'no canopy' treatment). The Fucus was attached naturally to a small rock that was placed approximately 15 cm next to the sampling substrates (inside the cage when cages were present). The stones were placed in the water approximately 2.5 years earlier to allow a thick Fucus cover of similar height to accumulate. We selected canopies that were not reproductive at the experimental start and found no developing reproductive parts on algae in the canopy treatment throughout the year. Canopies also provide a habitat for invertebrate grazers, but earlier experiments showed that canopy presence has no significant effect on the abundances of associated invertebrates or grazing intensity on neighboring understory substrates, such as the sampling substrates used to sample grazers and algae in this experiment (see experiment design below) (Eriksson et al. 2007). Instead, canopies control the accumulation of macroalgal biomass by limiting light (Eriksson et al. 2006, 2007).

Nutrient resources were manipulated by enriching half of the plots with 120 g of slow release NPK-fertilizer pellets (Plantacote Depot 6 M; Urania Agrochem, Hamburg, Germany) ('nutrient enriched' vs. 'ambient' conditions). Fertilizer pellets were supplied in plastic nets that were changed every 5–6 weeks. At our

**Fig. 1** The relation between light availability and the net production of macroalgal biomass during the summer at the field site. Measurements were made on substrates cleaned of adult vegetation at the beginning of the experimental period. Data are from earlier experiments in 2004 (circles) and 2005 (crosses) (Eriksson et al. 2006, 2007). \( \sqrt{\text{biomass}} \text{ g dw m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \)
The stationary predator community was completely dominated by crabs; no fish were ever found in any of the plots. The biomass of the invertebrate grazer community comprised 99.9% gastropod grazers (periwinkle, *Littorina* spp.). Macroalgal production as measured by algal biomass on the propagule-seeded bricks was dominated by *Fucus vesiculosus* (95.8%), while the number of recruits counted on the sterile substrates was evenly distributed between *F. vesiculosus* and the green algae *Cladophora glomerata*. Since the periwinkle grazes unselectively by scraping the substrate in its path clean,
we used the total number of macroalgal recruits in the analyses.

Nutrient availability clearly controlled macroalgal production, while the predator treatments did not influence algae or gastropod grazers. Nutrient enrichment increased the biomass production of macroalgae on the propagule bricks by 50%, but neither the predator nor canopy treatments had any significant effect on macroalgal biomass (Table 1, ‘all fixed effects’). Removal of the predator and resource availability treatments (light regulation and nutrient enrichment) did not affect the number of macroalgal recruits or the biomass of gastropod grazers (Table 1, ‘all fixed effects’). Crab abundances decreased from an average of one crab in 91.3% of the ‘open cage’ or ‘no cage’ plots, to one in 17% of the ‘closed cage’ plots. However, the crabs that invaded the closed cages were only two smaller juveniles, while the others were all larger adults. Thus, the closed cages included larger fauna (the bulk of predators in the system), and there was no significant cage effect on any of the response variables (Table 1, ‘all fixed effects’; cage effects for crabs, mixed GLM: ‘open cage’ vs. ‘no cage’ $F_{1,19} = 0.22, p = 0.647$; block $F_{2,19} = 1.96, p = 0.168$).

The number of trophic levels (presence or not of predator) had significant effects on both the total number of macroalgal recruits and the total biomass of gastropod grazers by changing the relation between the number of algal recruits, gastropod biomass, and macroalgal production. For the number of macroalgal recruits, the interaction effect between predator presence and macroalgal production in the GLM model showed a marginally significant trend ($p = 0.068$; Table 1, ‘biomass production as covariate’), but there was a strong positive linear correlation between the density of recruits and macroalgal production with three trophic levels present (Fig. 2a; Pearson moment correlation, $n = 23$, $r = 0.62$, $p = 0.001$) that disappeared when the predators were removed (two trophic levels present) (Fig. 2b; $n = 11$, $r = 0.00$, $p = 0.994$). For the biomass of gastropod grazers, there was a strong interaction between predator presence and macroalgal production in the GLM model (Table 1, ‘biomass production as covariate’). Here, seemingly unrelated distributions of grazer biomass and macroalgal production (Fig. 2c; $n = 23$, $r = 0.01$, $p = 0.961$) turned into a positive linear relation when the predators were removed (Fig. 2d; $n = 11$, $r = 0.62$, $p = 0.042$).

## Discussion

The results of this study demonstrate that the biomass of organisms occupying lower trophic levels depends both on the number of trophic levels and the production of the system, which are in line with the predictions from prey-dependent models of both long-term (EEH) (Oksanen et al. 1981; Oksanen and Oksanen 2000) and short-term population dynamics (Nisbet et al. 1997). Consumer-controlled compartments of the algal community, i.e., the number of algal recruits, increased with algal production when three trophic levels were present in the food web, while gastropod grazer biomass increased with algal production only when the food web was reduced to two trophic levels with the removal of the larger predators. Such trophic cascades develop in models which assume that feeding rates are dependent on the number of prey only (prey-dependent models), while models that also take into account consumer densities and intra-guild interactions (ratio dependence) do not predict an alternating accumulation of biomass over trophic levels (Abrams and Ginzburg 2008; Hendeen 2004). Our results suggest that the consumption rates at natural densities of consumers and prey were mainly determined by prey availability during our

| Table 1 | General linear model results on the biomass production of macroalgae, density of algal recruits, and the dry weight of gastropod grazers from experimental manipulations of predators, canopies, and nutrients |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Factor                      | df | Macroalgae: production | Macroalgae: recruits | Gastropod grazers |
| General linear models including all fixed treatment effects | | | |
| Canopy presence (C) | 1 | 0.04 | 0.845 | 0.89 | 0.356 | 0.01 | 0.917 |
| Nutrient enrichment (N) | 1 | 6.03 | 0.023 | 2.14 | 0.159 | 0.28 | 0.600 |
| Predator exclusion (P) | 2 | 1.29 | 0.297 | 1.25 | 0.307 | 0.32 | 0.726 |
| C × N | 1 | 0.98 | 0.334 | 0.15 | 0.703 | 0.40 | 0.533 |
| P × C | 2 | 2.36 | 0.120 | 0.94 | 0.409 | 0.09 | 0.910 |
| P × N | 2 | 0.64 | 0.538 | 0.63 | 0.545 | 0.34 | 0.718 |
| P × N × C | 2 | 0.04 | 0.957 | 0.01 | 0.989 | 0.80 | 0.462 |
| Block factor | 2 | 5.86 | 0.010 | 3.02 | 0.071 | 2.32 | 0.124 |
| Error | 20 | | | | | |
| General linear models with biomass production as covariate | | | |
| Number of trophic levels | 1 | – | – | 4.55 | 0.042 | 11.15 | 0.002 |
| Macroalgae production | 1 | – | – | 1.87 | 0.182 | 2.05 | 0.163 |
| Trophic levels × production | 1 | – | – | 3.62 | 0.068 | 11.59 | 0.002 |
| Block factor | 2 | – | – | 2.66 | 0.088 | 5.10 | 0.013 |
| Error | 28 | | | | | |
experiment, while the effect on consumption rates by intraspecific interference was negligible. To evaluate if the prey-dependent functional response is general to the marine benthos, we need to explore the consumption behavior of other groups of mesograzers and include the natural background densities of both grazers and predators from other areas into the experimental design.

The positive effect of the combination of production and predator presence on the density of macroalgal recruits follows robust mathematical theory incorporating short-term population dynamics. This theory predicts that for a variety of assumptions and models, producer abundances increase due to both increased resource availability and predator immigration rates and decrease from increased grazer immigration rates (Nisbet et al. 1997). Prey-dependent models of short-term population dynamics also predict a general increase in grazer abundances with increased producer production (Nisbet et al. 1997), but this relationship only degenerates in the presence of predators in models where predators actively disperse depending on resource availability (e.g., Wootton and Power 1993). At the scale of our experiment, all main predators moved freely between the treatments and showed aggregation in habitat patches with vegetation, clearly demonstrating that predators did not distribute passively. Interestingly, a survey of manipulative experiments revealed that the marine benthos is the only ecosystem in which grazers generally show an increase with nutrient enrichment and where cascading effects on lower trophic levels from predator removal are the strongest (Borer et al. 2005, 2006; Gruner et al. 2008). Thus, the marine benthos seems to be vulnerable to cascading behavior between trophic levels, which may be promoted by the common conditions of highly mobile predators and a dominance of continuously reproducing and well-mixed distributions of producers (Nisbet et al. 1997).

Another observation on the spatial dynamics aspect of our experiment, in which consumers could move between patches of algal resources, is that the algal response was a population level response, while the consumer response was mainly an individual level response. This means that algal recruits were controlled by consumer-induced mortality or resource-limited growth, while the response of the gastropods may also have been behaviorally mediated since they were able to escape predators or low-resource conditions by migrating. Behaviorally mediated indirect effects of both resource
availability and predation risk often contribute strongly to numerical effects on prey density (Heithaus et al. 2008), likely strengthening the effects on the gastropod grazers in our experiment since there were numerous alternative predator-free resource patches for them to escape to. This is a significant difference compared to the original EEH by Oksanen et al. (1981) which is an equilibrium theory with all trophic levels at their population capacity. However, Nisbet et al. (1997) showed that for temporal and spatial scales typically employed in field experiments, population- and individual-based models produce similar results as long as they allow for active dispersal of the consumers.

Predator declines often initiate species cascades (changes in a few species of dominating prey), while examples of true trophic cascades with changes in total trophic level abundances are more rare (Strong 1992; Polis 1999; Polis et al. 2000). The prevalence of trophic cascades depends on strong consumer control of the prey community, with a cascading indirect positive effect being generated on the next lower trophic level. There are a number of distinct species traits that determine if species are subjected to consumer control (Duffy and Hay 1990; Coley and Barone 1996; Polis 1999; Hopcroft et al. 2010), and the response of the community to changes in predators should ultimately depend on the community composition of each trophic level (Gruner et al. 2008). Our results support the concept that the life-stage development of the producer trophic level can be essential for responses to predator declines in the marine benthos, by demonstrating that established larger macroalgae were resource controlled regardless of the composition of the higher trophic levels. In addition, the significant effects on food-web structure by predation were apparent only when biomass production was included as an underlying variable in the analyses. This finding emphasizes the need to explore the interdependence between complex ecological interactions, such as joint effects of top-down and bottom-up control, by incorporating environmental conditions and resource availability in food-web analyses (Olff et al. 2009; Hopcroft et al. 2010). Thus, we cannot understand ecosystem effects of predator exploitation and resource loading by only monitoring standing stocks.

Life-stage dependence of vulnerability to consumers is common in marine systems, where many animals actually grow through the trophic levels. For example, benthic fish may start out by eating zooplankton as larvae and then herbivorous crustaceans as larger juveniles, and then move to include other predatory fish as they grow in size (Werner and Gilliam 1984; Winemiller 1989). For primary producers, the vulnerability of small life-stages may be emphasized on rocky shores because once germlings on rocky substratum are removed, they cannot regenerate from any belowground parts. Many of the dominating grazers, such as urchins or gastropods, also feed by scraping the rocky surface clean with specialized teeth, leaving little organic material on which algae can regenerate. Thus, grazing on an early life-stage of an algae most likely leads to this individual being removed from the population. At the same time, macroalgae usually outgrow their grazers by several magnitudes in size, and once the algae reach a certain size they are therefore unlikely to be killed by their consumers, although this did happen occasionally in our study system during mass outbreaks of grazers. In addition, the highly undifferentiated thallus of most algae means that grazed parts of adult tissue can quickly regenerate, probably contributing to the weakened consumer effects on adult algae. Thus, the importance of life-stages on food-web dynamics may be emphasized in marine systems. However, body size is also a fundamental food-web trait in terrestrial habitats. For example, in savanna ecosystems, herbivore body size together with plant nutritional quality, determine the relative strength of bottom-up and top-down control, which in turn have strong feedbacks on vegetation type (Hopcroft et al. 2010).

Increasing globalization and intensification of human impacts highlight the need to understand which properties of food webs contribute to the resilience of ecosystem functions. Here, we provide evidence that predator communities and the number of trophic levels significantly affect community responses to resource loading. Our results demonstrate the importance of higher trophic levels on the behavior of lower trophic levels following exposure to human disturbances. Furthermore, the fact that we were able to demonstrate a significant trophic cascade on the recruitment stages of algae indicates that a lack of a clearly visible community-wide trophic cascade following declines in predator abundance in nature does not exclude large, community-wide effects on a longer time scale. Such delayed effects have been demonstrated for a rocky shore mesocosm community, where nutrient enrichment and changes in grazer abundances generated a total restructuring of the macroalgal community after 4 years of limited effects (Kraufvelin et al. 2006). Thus, while short-term community-wide trophic cascades due to predator removal may not be common in nature, effects of predator declines may cascade down the food web through changes in community composition and thereby interact with nutrient loading over the long term (Eriksson et al. 2009; Sieben et al. 2011).
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