Diminished Non-Classical Monocytes in the Blood Associate with Disease Severity in Alcoholic Hepatitis
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Objective: Alcoholic hepatitis (AH) holds a high mortality, and vast macrophage infiltration of the liver is involved in the progressive liver injury. No efficient medical treatment exists, and macrophages may be a future treatment target. Here, we examine associations between non-classical monocyte subsets and cell surface markers of migration with disease activity in patients with severe AH.

Methods: We analyzed samples from two cohorts of patients with AH. Cohort 1 included 15 AH patients, followed for 30 days, and 8 healthy controls (HCs). Cohort 2 included 23 AH patients, followed for 90 days, and 9 HCs. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from both cohorts were analyzed by flow cytometry. Liver biopsies from cohort 2 were analyzed by RNA sequencing.

Results: Circulating non-classical monocytes in all but absent in patients with AH compared to HC in both cohorts (both p<0.0001). The frequency of non-classical monocytes was significantly associated with Maddrey’s discriminant function (mDF) (r=−0.79, p=0.0008, cohort 1), Child–Pugh score (CP) (r=−0.56, p=0.03, cohort 1), Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) (r=−0.54, p=0.02, cohort 2) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (r=−0.51, p=0.027, cohort 2). The surface expression of CD11b was increased on non-classical monocytes in patients with AH compared to HC (p<0.0001) (cohort 1). The mRNA expression of CD11b was increased in liver biopsies in patients with AH compared to HC (cohort 2) (p<0.0001).

Conclusion: In this study, we describe an almost complete depletion of circulating non-classical monocytes in the blood in two independent cohorts of patients with AH, which may be associated with a possible harmful recruitment of these cells to the liver. These results contribute to a better understanding of the disease, which hopefully can lead to therapies that target the acute inflammatory response leading to severe AH.

Keywords: monocytes, non-classical, alcoholic hepatitis, CD11b, CCR2, CX3CR1

Introduction
Alcoholic hepatitis (AH) is an acute and severe hepatic inflammation associated with high mortality, and no efficient medical treatment exists. 1 In order to develop a more efficient treatment of AH, a better understanding of the pathogenesis and inflammatory processes is needed.

Circulating monocytes can be divided into three functionally distinct monocyte subsets based on the surface expression of CD14 and CD16. The non-classical monocyte subset (CD14^{low}CD16^{pos}) is the numerically smallest subset. However,...
non-classical monocytes can greatly increase in number during inflammatory conditions such as sepsis, arthritis or multiple sclerosis. They are believed to act as patrolling cells surveilling the vessel walls and removing debris from the vasculature. In addition, they produce high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-1. Intermediate monocytes (CD14<sup>hi</sup>CD16<sup>pos</sup>) are poorly described, but also seem to produce pro-inflammatory cytokines and be highly phagocytic. Classical monocytes (CD14<sup>hi</sup>CD16<sup>neg</sup>) are the most abundant subset in peripheral blood and may be considered as pro-inflammatory cells expressing high levels of C-C motif chemokine receptor (CCR)-2 and producing pro-inflammatory cytokines upon stimulation.

During liver injury, hepatic macrophages become activated, which leads to inflammatory cytokine and chemokine release. This facilitates monocytes from the blood to migrate into the liver and supplement the Kupffer cells with monocyte-derived macrophages, increasing the total number of hepatic macrophages. In this process, the monocytes undergo phenotypic changes leading to a different expression of adhesion molecules on their cell surface. These adhesion molecules facilitate recruitment from the circulation to the liver tissue during AH. The integrin CD11b (Mac-1, CR3) is part of an integrin receptor, which activates downstream effects by allowing monocytes to adhere to endothelial cells and migrate through the vascular wall towards an inflamed area. This chemotaxis is also mediated by receptors such as C-C motif chemokine receptor (CCR)-2 and C-X3-C motif chemokine receptor 1 (CX3CR1) found on the surface of several immune cells including monocytes. They regulate cell trafficking by binding to chemokines such as monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, MCP-3, MCP-4, and Fractalkine (CX3RL1). CCR2 is highly expressed on classical monocytes whereas CX3CR1 is predominantly expressed by CD16<sup>pos</sup> monocytes, both intermediate and non-classical.

Previously, an expansion of non-classical and intermediate monocytes has been shown in patients with chronic liver failure, both in circulation and even more in the liver. In contrast, a reduction in non-classical monocytes has been shown in patients with acute liver failure and in patients with AH. A study including patients with alcoholic liver disease showed that the expression of MCP-1 was significantly increased both in serum and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from AH patients compared with healthy controls (HCs). Also, the study showed an increased expression of CCR2 in liver biopsies from patients with alcoholic cirrhosis.

Here, we confirm previous findings of diminished circulating non-classical monocytes in patients with severe AH in two independent cohorts. The reduction in non-classical monocytes was associated with more severe disease. We also demonstrate that CD11b surface expression is increased on all monocytes in AH and that CD11b mRNA expression is increased in the liver in AH. These new findings may lead to a better understanding of the pathogenesis of AH.

Materials and Methods

Study Subjects

Two cohorts were included in this prospective cohort study. Both cohort 1 and cohort 2 have previously been thoroughly described. Briefly, cohort 1 consisted of 15 AH patients and 8 age-matched HCs. Patients were recruited from four Danish hospitals in the time period 2008–2011 and followed for 30 days. Blood samples were obtained on the day of their diagnosis. Five patients died between time of diagnosis and day 30, and 13 patients were lost to follow-up or denied ongoing participation (Table 1).

Briefly, cohort 2 consisted of 23 AH patients and 9 age-matched HCs. Patients were recruited from a single center (the Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Aarhus University Hospital) in the time period 2013–2018. These patients were followed for 90 days and blood samples were obtained on the day of their diagnosis, and at day 7, and 90 after diagnosis. Further, a diagnostical liver biopsy was performed. Five patients died between their diagnosis and day 90 (Table 1). All patients were stratified using the Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score (GAHS) and were treated with nutritional support. Patients with GAHS ≥9 were additionally treated with 400 mg × 3/day pentoxifylline or 40 mg/day prednisolone in accordance with the Danish national guidelines at time of recruitment. On day 0, this was 10 patients in cohort 1 and 12 patients in cohort 2. In cohort 2, this was 8 patients on day 7 and 0 patients on day 90.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

In both cohorts, inclusion criteria followed international guidelines for inclusion of patients with AH in clinical
The diagnosis was based on acute jaundice developed within the previous 2 weeks with serum bilirubin >80 µmol/L, clinical and biochemical variables as well as a history of excessive alcohol consumption with less than 3 weeks of abstinence leading up to the hospital admission. Patients were excluded if they had biliary stones, other liver diseases, gastrointestinal bleeding, signs of uncontrolled infection, any malignancy or if they had received immune-modulating therapy within 8 weeks before admission.

**Blood Sampling**

Venous blood samples were obtained from all patients and controls in EDTA vacuum tubes. Ficoll-Hypaque centrifugation was used to isolate PBMCs. PBMCs from both patients with AH and HCs were cryopreserved at −140°C.

**Flow Cytometric Analysis**

Flow cytometry was used to analyze the PBMCs and to divide them into three monocyte subsets based on their expression of CD14 and CD16; classical

**Table 1 Patient Characteristics from Cohort 1 and Cohort 2**

|                      | Cohort 1 | Cohort 2 | Cohort 2 | Cohort 2 |
|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | Day 0    | Day 0    | Day 7    | Day 90   |
| Total patients (n)   | 15       | 23       | 18       | 10       |
| Male (n)             | 12       | 17       | 13       | 6        |
| Female (n)           | 3        | 6        | 5        | 4        |
| Age (years)          | 52±7     | 55±11    | 56±10    | 57±11    |
| Weight (kg)          | 73±17    | –        | –        | –        |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 24±4     | –        | –        | –        |
| ALT (10–45 U/l)      | 69±94    | 55±39    | 79±40    | 36±19    |
| Bilirubin (5–25 µmol/L) | 303±176 | 255±154  | 226±166  | 28±20    |
| ALP (10–75 U/l)      | 225±97   | 230±119  | 255±172  | 151±70   |
| Sodium (137–145 mmol/L) | 131±5   | –        | –        | –        |
| Creatinine (45–90 µmol/L) | 75±28   | 114±39   | 102±96   | 77±38    |
| Albumin (36–45 g/l)  | 28±6     | 23±5     | 25±6     | 33±6     |
| Hemoglobin (7.3–9.5 mmol/L) | 6.8±0.9 | 6.5±1.3  | 6.6±1.1  | 7.5±1.1  |
| Thrombocytes (165–400 × 10⁹/L) | 141±68 | 158±118  | 211±203  | 147±74   |
| INR (<1.2)           | 2±0.6    | 1.8±0.4  | 1.7±0.7  | 1.3±0.2  |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L) | 39±14   | 31±23    | 24±20    | 4±3      |
| Leukocytes (3.5–10.0 × 10⁹/L) | 14.2±7.5 | 11.4±7   | 13±7.9   | 4.2±3.7  |
| Monocytes (0.20–0.70 × 10⁹/L) | 1.7±0.6 | 1±0.6    | 0.9±0.6  | 0.9±0.3  |
| MELD                  | 22±6.8   | 22±9.2   | 20.6±11.8| 9±6.9    |
| Child–Pugh Score     | 11.1±1.1 | 10.6±1.3 | 9.9±1.8  | 6.4±0.7  |
| GAHS                  | 9.1±1.7  | 8.4±1.6  | 8.3±1.7  | 6.5±0.9  |
| MDF                   | 76±34    | –        | –        | –        |
| Fatal outcome (n)     | 5        | –        | –        | 5        |

**Note:** Data expressed as mean ± SD.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; INR, international normalized ratio; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; GAHS, Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score; MDF, Maddrey's discriminant function.
(CD14<sup>hi</sup>CD16<sup>lo</sup>), non-classical (CD14<sup>lo</sup>CD16<sup>hi</sup>), and intermediate (CD14<sup>hi</sup>CD16<sup>hi</sup>). In cohort 1, the PBMCs were blocked with a buffer of PBS + 1% BSA + 10 µg/mL mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, PA, USA) and incubated on ice for 10 minutes. PBMCs were first stained with LiveDead near-IR (Near-IR, Invitrogen) and washed. Then, the cells were stained with primary antibodies. The following primary antibodies were used: anti-CD14 V500 (BD Horizon, clone MφP9), anti-CD16 PE/Cy7 (BioLegend, clone B73.1), anti-CD11b APC (BD Pharmingen, ICRF44), anti-CCR2 PerCP/Cy5.5 (BioLegend, clone K036C2), and anti-CD56 APC-eFlour780 (eBioscience, clone CMSSB). Anti-CD56 and LiveDead staining were combined in a dump channel to exclude dead cells and natural killer (NK) cells. In cohort 2, the PBMCs were blocked with 0.1 mg human immunoglobulin (Privigen, CSL Behring, Denmark) pr. mL cell suspension and incubated for 15 min at 4 °C surface staining was performed using the following antibodies: anti-CD14-FITC (Miltenyi, cat. number 130–110-518), anti-CD16-APC-Vio770 (Miltenyi, cat. number 130–0966-655), anti-Gal9-BV421 (BioLegend cat. number 348,920), anti-4-1BBL-APC (Miltenyi, cat. number 130–103-656), and anti-CD16-APC-Vio770 (Miltenyi, cat. number 130–110-518) and viability-viogreen (Miltenyi, cat. number 130–110-206). Gating strategy is shown in Figure S1.

Data were analyzed in FlowJo (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA). For cohort 1, the analysis was done on BD Fortezza and for cohort 2, the analysis was done on Macs Quant Analyzer 10. The migration markers CD11b and CCR2, were only measured in samples from patients in cohort 1.

Liver RNA Sequencing
RNA sequencing was used to measure liver mRNA expression on liver biopsies as previously described<sup>16</sup> and compared with biopsies from the healthy rim of liver resectates during surgery for metastatic colon cancer.

Statistics
GraphPad Prism 8.4.0 was used to perform statistical analyses. Non-parametric tests were used depending on whether data were normally distributed. All results are presented as median and interquartile range. Spearman’s ρ was used for correlation analyses. The results were considered statistically significant with a two-tailed p-value below 0.05.

Results
The Percentage of Non-Classical Monocytes in Peripheral Blood is Decreased in AH
In cohort 1, the median percentage of non-classical monocytes was 0.55% (interquartile range 0.38–1.4%) for AH patients and 3.6% (interquartile range 2.2–11.0%) for HC (p<0.0001) (Figures 1A and B). The percentage of classical and intermediate monocytes did not differ between patients and HC (Figures 1A and B). In cohort 2, the median percentage of non-classical monocytes was 0.64% (interquartile range 0.4–1.6%) for AH patients and 3.2% (interquartile range 1.6–5.0%) for HC at diagnosis (p<0.0001). At day 7, the median percentage was 0.35% (interquartile range 0.12–0.94%) for AH patients and 3.2% (interquartile range 1.6–5.0%) for HC (p<0.0001) (Figure 1C). The percentage of classical and intermediate monocytes did not differ at any study day (Figure 1C).

The Percentage of Non-Classical Monocytes Associates with Disease Severity in AH
In cohort 1, the percentage of non-classical monocytes was inversely associated with Maddrey’s discriminant function (mDF) (r<sub>−</sub>=−0.79, p=0.0008) and Child–Pugh score (CP) (r<sub>−</sub>=−0.56, p=0.03) (Table 2). No associations were observed between the percentage of classical or intermediate monocytes and any disease activity score. In cohort 2, the percentage of non-classical monocytes was inversely associated with Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) (r<sub>−</sub>=−0.54, p=0.02) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (r<sub>−</sub>=−0.51, p=0.027) (Table 2). The frequency of intermediate monocytes in cohort 2 correlated inversely with GAHS (r<sub>−</sub>=−0.46, p=0.05) and CP (r<sub>−</sub>=−0.49, p=0.04). The percentage of classical monocytes from cohort 2 did not correlate with any disease score (Table 2).

The Surface Expression of CD11b is Increased on Non-Classical Monocytes in AH
The surface expression of the integrin receptor CD11b was increased on non-classical monocytes in AH patients compared to HC (p<0.0001) (Figure 2). The surface expression of CCR2 was not differently expressed on non-classical monocytes. On intermediate monocytes, the surface expression of both CD11b (p<0.0001) and CCR2...
were upregulated. On classical monocytes, neither CD11b nor CCR2 were upregulated in AH patients compared with HC (Figure 2). No associations were observed between the surface expression of CD11b or CCR2 on non-classical, intermediate and classical monocytes and any disease activity score (Table S1).
The Expression of CD11b and CX3CR1 mRNA is Increased in the Liver in AH

The expression of CD11b was significantly increased in AH patients (p<0.0001) while the increase in CX3CR1 was not significant (p<0.054) (Figure 3). The expression of CD14, CD16a, CD16b or CCR2 did not differ between AH patients and controls. The expression of CD16b correlated positively with CP (r=0.62, p=0.03) (Table S2). No

|                  | Cohort 1 |          | Cohort 2 |          |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                  | Non-Classical | Intermediate | Classical | Non-Classical | Intermediate | Classical |
| mDF              | −0.79 (0.0008)* | 0.13 (0.65) | −0.01 (0.96) | − | − | − |
| MELD             | −0.46 (0.08) | 0.50 (0.06) | −0.36 (0.19) | −0.54 (0.02)* | −0.25 (0.32) | 0.26 (0.30) |
| GAHS             | −0.46 (0.10) | 0.26 (0.36) | −0.18 (0.53) | −0.42 (0.08) | −0.46 (0.05)* | 0.24 (0.33) |
| CP               | −0.56 (0.03)* | 0.06 (0.84) | −0.06 (0.84) | −0.22 (0.39) | −0.49 (0.04)* | 0.30 (0.22) |
| CRP              | −0.09 (0.76) | −0.32 (0.26) | 0.20 (0.50) | −0.51 (0.03)* | −0.16 (0.51) | −0.01 (0.96) |

Notes: Data assessed by Spearman correlation and presented as correlation coefficient, r and f (p). Asterix (*) marks a significant correlation.

Abbreviations: mDF, Maddrey’s discriminant function; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; GAHS, Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score; CP, Child–Pugh Score.

Figure 2 Cohort 1: Surface expression of CD11b and CCR2 on monocyte subsets in AH patients and HC, measured by flow cytometry. Monocyte subsets are defined as (classical CD14++CD16−, intermediate CD14++CD16+ and non-classical CD14+CD16++). Data are presented as median with range, n=15 (cohort 1). Triple asterisk (****) marks a significant correlation and p value p<0.001, (*****) p<0.0001.

Abbreviations: AH, alcoholic hepatitis patients; HC, healthy controls; ns, non-significant.
other associations were observed between disease activity scores and the mRNAs (Table S2).

**Discussion**

Monocytes and macrophages play a pivotal role in the hepatic inflammatory response during AH. However, the pathogenesis is still to be fully understood, and a targeted medical treatment is lacking. In this study, we report a significant and constant reduction in non-classical monocytes in AH patients, and the percentage of non-classical monocytes correlated inversely with disease activity scores.

There are several limitations to this study. First, we did not include patients with other liver diseases for comparison. Therefore, it is not known if our observations are specific to alcoholic hepatitis. Second, FMOs and Slan staining could have improved the precision of the monocyte subset gating. However, gates were drawn similarly in samples from patients with AH and HCs. Therefore, differences between patients with AH and HCs were likely not caused by differences in gating strategy. Third, the cells were not measured together with counting beads. Therefore, changes in absolute cell numbers cannot be assessed. Finally, the primary antibodies used for the flow cytometric staining were different in the two cohorts. It is a strength that the findings despite the different use of antibodies are similar in the two cohorts. However, absolute cell numbers or cell subset proportions cannot be compared between the two cohorts.
The overall concentration of monocytes in the liver increases during severe AH. We have now confirmed previous findings of decreased percentages of non-classical monocytes in the blood. The frequency of non-classical monocytes correlated inversely with disease activity scores (mDF and CP for cohort 1; MELD for cohort 2). This further strengthens the association between diminished percentage of non-classical monocytes and acute AH. In cohort 2, the patients who survive to day 90 improve their biochemistry and also increase their non-classical monocytes frequencies. However, non-classical monocyte numbers do not reach the level of the healthy controls. This probably reflects that some patients resume drinking excess alcohol and have underlying cirrhosis. This is accompanied by low-grade systemic inflammation and a susceptibility to infections. These are all factors that may hinder complete normalization of non-classical monocytes.

However, it is still not clear whether this association is causal and the potential direction of causality. The lack of non-classical monocytes in peripheral blood may be explained by the migration of these cells into the liver, or it may reflect a deficiency due to increased apoptosis or differentiation caused by inflammation. Further, the association could be explained by a simple increase in the classical monocyte subset changing the monocyte distribution. Here we studied co-expression of CD11b and CCR2 on the surface of monocyte subsets to examine whether changes in these surface membrane receptors is correlated to disease activity and the decrease in non-classical monocytes. The surface expression of CD11b was increased in both non-classical and intermediate monocyte subsets suggesting a priming for migration of especially these monocytes during inflammation in AH. CCR2-expression was increased on intermediate monocytes but not on non-classical monocytes from AH patients compared to HC. There were no associations between the expression of CD11b or CCR2 on any monocyte subset and disease activity scores in AH. We further analyzed the mRNA expression of selected genes in liver biopsies to determine if the non-classical monocytes migrated into the liver. The mRNA expression of CD11b was increased in the liver of AH patients. This is in line with previous studies showing increased migration of CD11b expressing cells to the liver in AH. In this study, CX3CR1 was also numerically increased in the liver of AH patients. Increased CX3CR1 has been shown on CD16+ monocytes. This substantiates the migration of non-classical monocytes to the liver in AH. These results are in line with previous studies on end stage liver disease, showing accumulation of CD16+ monocytes in the liver. However, CD11b is also expressed by other myeloid cells and a contribution of local differentiation of classical to non-classical monocytes cannot be ruled out.

Alcoholic hepatitis is a serious disease caused by excessive, heavy drinking leading to inflammation of the liver causing high morbidity and mortality for the patients. To this day, no targeted medical treatment is available to cure this disease; thus, a better understanding of the pathogenesis is needed to bring us closer to developing a medical treatment. With this study, we have contributed with new knowledge about the pathogenesis of AH by showing a substantial decrease in non-classical monocytes correlating with disease activity scores. These data collectively suggest monocytes recruitment to the liver to promote disease progression in AH and may thus be a future treatment target.

Abbreviations
AH, alcoholic hepatitis; HC, healthy controls; mDF, Maddrey’s discriminant function; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; CCR, C-C motif chemokine receptor; CX3CR1, CX3C chemokine receptor 1; CRP, C-reactive protein; GAHS, Glasgow Alcoholic Hepatitis Score; CP, Child–Pugh Score; PP, performance points; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; IL, Interleukin; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; MCP, monocyte chemoattractant protein.
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