Multiwavelength Observations of the Obscuring Wind in the Radio-quiet Quasar MR 2251-178
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Abstract

Obscuring winds driven away from active supermassive black holes are rarely seen due to their transient nature. They have been observed with multiwavelength observations in a few Seyfert 1 galaxies and one broad absorption line radio-quiet quasar so far. An X-ray obscuration event in MR 2251-178 was caught in late-2020, which triggered multiwavelength (near-IR (NIR) to X-ray) observations targeting this radio-quiet quasar. In the X-ray band, the obscurer leads to a flux drop in the soft X-ray band from late-2020 to early-2021. X-ray obscuration events might have a quasi-period of two decades considering earlier events in 1980 and 1996. In the UV band, a forest of weak blueshifted absorption features emerged in the blue wing of Ly$\alpha$ $\lambda$1216 in late-2020. Our XMM-Newton, NuSTAR, and Hubble Space Telescope/COS observations are obtained simultaneously; hence, the transient X-ray obscuration event is expected to account for the UV outflow, although they are not necessarily caused by the same part of the wind. Both blueshifted and redshifted absorption features were found for He I $\lambda$10830, but no previous NIR spectra are available for comparison. The X-ray observational features of MR 2251-178 shared similarities with some other type 1 active galactic nuclei (AGNs) with obscuring wind. However, observational features in the UV to NIR bands are distinctly different from those seen in other AGN with obscuring winds. A general understanding of the observational variety and the nature of obscuring wind is still lacking.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Astrophysical black holes (98); X-ray quasars (1821); Quasar absorption line spectroscopy (1317); High resolution spectroscopy (2096); Active galactic nuclei (16)

1. Introduction

At the center of most galaxies, there is a supermassive black hole (Netzer 2015). Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are the observed manifestation of the inflow of matter into supermassive black holes. The textbook anatomy of an AGN not only includes a supermassive black hole fed by an accretion disk but also clouds in the broad- and narrow-line regions, as well as the dusty torus (Antonucci 1993). Clouds in the broad- and narrow-line regions account for the broad (with a typical velocity width $\gtrsim 1000$ km s$^{-1}$) and narrow (less than 1000 km s$^{-1}$) emission lines observed in the optical spectra (Padovani et al. 2017).

Ionized winds driven away from black holes have also been observed (Crenshaw et al. 2003; Tombesi et al. 2013; Kaastra et al. 2014), which might be another key element to be included in the textbook anatomy of AGN (Antonucci 1993). These ionized winds are thought to play an important role in the evolution of black holes and their host galaxies (Laha et al. 2021). In the X-ray band, three types of ionized winds have been observed so far: warm absorbers, ultrafast outflows, and obscurers. The classical warm absorbers are identified with multiple narrow absorption lines with a typical outflow velocity of $\lesssim 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ (Crenshaw et al. 2003). Ultrafast outflows are mainly inferred from the absorption features of highly ionized Fe XXVI and/or Fe XXV in the hard X-ray band (e.g., Reeves et al. 2003; Tombesi et al. 2013; Parker et al. 2017). The outflow velocity of ultrafast outflows can reach up to about a third of the speed of light ($\sim 10^4$ km s$^{-1}$), Obscuring winds have outflow velocities up to $\sim 6000$ km s$^{-1}$ (Kaastra et al. 2014; Mehdipour et al. 2017), larger than that of the warm absorber but smaller than that of ultrafast outflows. The outflow velocities are currently measured through discrete blueshifted absorption features in the UV band during the soft X-ray obscuration period, where the soft X-ray flux are significantly lowered with no discrete absorption features observable with current instruments (Mao et al. 2022). Furthermore, ultrafast outflows occupy the high column density and ionization parameter ($N_H - \xi$) part of the parameter space, while warm absorbers occupy the other side of the parameter space. Obscuring winds are in between, overlapping more with the warm absorber.

In the past few years, transient obscuring winds have been reported with multiwavelength observations in a few nearby ($\lesssim 0.16$) Seyfert galaxies: NGC 5548 (Kaastra et al. 2014), NGC 985 (Ebro et al. 2016), NGC 3783 (Mehdipour et al. 2017;
Kastra et al. 2018a), Mrk 335 (Longinotti et al. 2013, 2019), Mrk 817 (Kara et al. 2021; Miller et al. 2021), and NGC 3227 (Mehdipour et al. 2021; Mao et al. 2022). In addition, obscuring winds have also been inferred from archival joint X-ray and UV observations of the broad absorption line quasar PG 2112.0+059 at z ∼ 0.46 (Saez et al. 2021). The duration of the obscuration varies from hours (e.g., NGC 3227, Wang et al. 2022) to years (e.g., NGC 5548, Mehdipour et al. 2016b). During the obscuration period, the wind properties (e.g., the line-of-sight hydrogen column density, ionization parameter, and covering factor) can also change over time (De Rosa et al. 2015; Cappi et al. 2016; Mehdipour et al. 2016b). In UV grazing spectra, the obscurers might leave their fingerprints as blueshifted broad absorption troughs in the blue wing of prominent broad emission lines like Lyα λ1216 and C IV λλ1548, 1550 (e.g., Kriss et al. 2019b). For the long-lasting obscurer in NGC 5548, a blueshifted broad absorption trough of He II λ10830 was observed in the near-IR (NIR; Landt et al. 2019; Wildy et al. 2021). The obscurer are also expected to screen photons from the central engine to the distant narrow-line region (Kaasta et al. 2014; Mehdipour et al. 2017). This shielding effect (Proga & Kallman 2004) can give rise to the emergence of low-ionization narrow absorption lines in the UV and NIR bands (Arav et al. 2015; Kriss et al. 2019b; Wildy et al. 2021).

Obscurers might contribute to the weakening and disappearance of broad emission lines if the obstruction effect is not limited to our line of sight. For NGC 5548, a broad-line holiday (from 2014 April to July) was discovered (Goad et al. 2016), where broad emission lines of highly ionized species like C IV λλ1548, 1550 decorrelate from the variations of the far-UV (FUV) continuum. From a theoretical perspective, Dehghanian et al. (2019a, 2019b) suggest that a dense wind with its base extended down to the accretion disk might provide the physical explanation of the broad-line holiday, as well as the aforementioned UV and X-ray spectral features observed in NGC 5548. Moreover, if the wind is adequately dense, even the changing-look behavior of some AGN might be explained (Dehghanian et al. 2019b). For Mrk 817, during a period of 55 days in late-2020, when the target was heavily obscured, the UV continuum and the UV broad emission line variability decoupled (Kara et al. 2021).

MR 2251-178 is the X-ray brightest radio-quiet quasar (Cooke et al. 1978; Ricker et al. 1978). It has a black hole mass of (2.0 ± 0.5) × 10^8/M_S (Lira et al. 2011) and is at z = 0.06398 (Beckmann et al. 2006). By comparing two X-ray spectra observed with the Einstein Observatory in 1979 and 1980, Halpern (1984) noticed an increase in the absorbing column density by a large factor over the ~1 yr interval. Using Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) data from 1996–2012, Markowitz et al. (2014) also identified a clear obscuration event in 1996. Here, we present another obscuration event in MR 2251-178 in late-2020 caught with multiwavelength observations, including a Swift monitoring campaign, coordinated observations with Hubble Space Telescope (HST), XMM-Newton, and NuSTAR on 2020 December 16, and NIR and optical spectroscopy using NASA’s Infrared Telescope Facility, Gemini, Keck, and Palomar from 2020 September to December.

### 2. Observations and Data Reduction

Apart from the archival Swift observations from 2014 January 9 to 2021 May 10, NIR to X-ray observations used in the present work are provided in Table 1. In the same table, some archival observations are also included for comparison purposes. Note that MR 2251-178 was put in the spotlight by Chandra (in coordination with HST/COS) and XMM-Newton (about 1 month after the Chandra observations) in 2011. The high-quality X-ray data were analyzed extensively in Reeves et al. (2013, for Chandra) and Nardini et al. (2014, for XMM-Newton). We do not include these observations in this work because our main focus is the transient obscuring wind observed in late-2020 with multiwavelength observing facilities. We do not find obscuring winds in the 2011 X-ray and UV data, where the broadband continuum level was much higher than those in 2002 and 2020.

#### 2.1. Swift

The Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (Gehrels et al. 2004) provides the most efficient way to track the long-term variation of the X-ray and UV flux of AGN. The X-ray flux was provided by the X-ray telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) operating in imaging photon counting mode. The soft (0.3–1.5 keV) and hard (1.5–10 keV) band flux were obtained with the online XRT pipeline (Evans et al. 2009). The UV flux was covered by the UltraViolet and Optical Telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005). The UVW2 (centered around 1928 Å) flux, which historically has shown the strongest UV variability, was used here. The *uvotsource* tool was used to perform aperture photometry.

The obscurer can absorb a large fraction of the soft X-ray flux, thus, leading to an elevated X-ray hardness ratio. Simultaneous UV and X-ray data enable us to distinguish variability caused by an obscurer from that of the AGN continuum (e.g., Mehdipour et al. 2016b, 2017, 2022a).

For MR 2251-178, archival Swift observations date back to 2014 January 9 (Figure 1). Since the summer of 2020, the Swift X-ray hardness ratio has been gradually increasing (Figure 2). Therefore, we requested multiwavelength spectroscopic observations of MR 2251-178 with facilities on the ground and in space from 2020 September to December, including a coordinated campaign with XMM-Newton, HST/COS, and NuSTAR on 2020 December 16, to confirm the obscuration event and to study the nature of the obscuring material. The Swift X-ray hardness ratio of MR 2251-178 remained at a relatively high level up to 2021 January 5. The target was out of visibility between 2021 January and April. In 2021 May, the hardness ratios returned to the historical average value.

#### 2.2. XMM-Newton

XMM-Newton (Jansen et al. 2001) observations provide high-quality X-ray spectra in the energy band of 0.3–10 keV. The Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS; den Herder et al. 2001) provides high-resolution soft X-ray spectra below ~2 keV, which can reveal discrete emission and absorption features. The positive-negative junction (pn) CCD camera of the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) instrument can cover hard X-ray spectra in the 2–10 keV energy band. Adding EPIC/MOS data does not significantly increase the statistics and will complicate the analysis due to cross calibration among different instruments. We used the XMM-Newton Science Analysis Software v19.0 for data reduction following standard procedures. We also include an XMM-Newton observation of MR 2251-178 obtained on 2002 May 18 (observation ID: 0012940101) for comparison purposes. Both 7–38 Å RGS data and 1.55–10 keV EPIC/pn data were used for spectral analysis.
(Section 3). To correct for the cross calibration between RGS and EPIC-pn spectra, the latter were rescaled by a factor of 0.950 (2002) and 0.966 (2020) to match the flux level in the 7–8 Å overlapping wavelength range.

2.3. NuSTAR

NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) complements X-ray spectra obtained with XMM-Newton in the energy band up to 79 keV. Our NuSTAR data were reduced with the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software and CALDB version 20201101. The FPMA and FPMB source spectra were extracted from a circular region centered on the point source with a radius of 80′. The background spectra of equivalent extraction radius were extracted from a nearby source-free region. The 5–78 keV NuSTAR data were used for spectral analysis (Section 3). To correct for the cross calibration among spectra collected by XMM-Newton and NuSTAR, the latter were rescaled (with respect to RGS) by a factor of 0.962 (FPMA) and 1.045 (FPMB) to match the flux level in the 5–10 keV overlapping energy range.

2.4. HST/COS

The Cosmic Origin Spectrograph (COS) on board the Hubble Space Telescope (HST; Green et al. 2012) provides high-quality FUV spectra in the wavelength range of 1070–1800 Å at a resolving power of $R \sim 15,000$. We use both the archival observations in 2011 and the new single-orbit observation in 2020. The archival observations used grating G130M with central wavelength settings of 1291, 1300, 1309, and 1318 Å, and G160M with central wavelengths settings of 1589, 1600, 1611, and 1623 Å. In 2020, we used G130M at the 1222 Å central wavelength setting and all four FPPOS locations along with G160M at central wavelengths settings of 1533 and 1589 Å. The multiple central wavelengths and FPPOS settings permit our spectra to span the gaps between detector segments and enable us to eliminate detector artifacts and improve the flat-field properties by sampling each wavelength at different detector locations. We retrieved calibrated data from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes and reprocessed with COS pipeline version 3.3.10, which incorporates all improvements related to wavelength scales, error propagation, and time-dependent sensitivity. Measurement of the centroids of interstellar absorption features revealed no need for any zero-point corrections to the wavelength scale, which is accurate to 5 km s$^{-1}$ (Dashtamirova & Fischer 2020).

To extend the archival 2011 spectrum to shorter wavelengths, we used FUSE observations from 2001 with optimal reprocessing as described by Kriss (2006), and scaled the flux of the FUSE spectrum to match that of COS in the overlapping wavelength range of 1135–1180 Å. An archival HST Faint Object Spectrograph (FOS) observation from 1996 was also used for comparison purposes.

2.5. NIR and Optical Spectroscopy

We obtained NIR spectroscopy on three occasions. To our knowledge, these data are the first NIR spectra of MR 2251−178. On 2020 September 6, we used the SpeX instrument (Rayner et al. 2003) on NASA’s Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) equipped with the short cross-dispersed mode (0.7–2.55 μm) and a 0.3 × 15″ slit, which we oriented at the parallactic angle. This setup provides an average spectral resolving power of $R = 2000$. The on-source exposure time was 60 × 120 s and the weather was photometric with a seeing of 0″46, resulting in a high-quality spectrum with an average continuum signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) ∼ 60. Before the science target, we observed the nearby (in position and airmass) A0 V star HD 218639 and used this standard star to correct our science spectrum for telluric absorption and for flux calibration.

We reduced the data using Speftool (version 4.1), an IDL-based software package developed for SpeX (Cushing et al. 2004), which carries out all the procedures necessary to produce fully reduced spectra.

On 2020 September 30, we obtained a similar cross-dispersed NIR spectrum with the Gemini Near-Infrared Spectrograph (GNIRS; Elias et al. 2006) at Gemini North in queue mode (Program ID: GN-2020B-FT-111). We chose a slit of 0″3 × 7″, which we oriented at the parallactic angle, and obtained an on-source exposure time of 16 × 120 s. This setup resulted in a spectrum with an average resolution of $R = 1400$ and a continuum S/N ∼ 100. We reduced the data using the Gemini/IRAF package (version 1.13) with GNIRS-specific tools (Cooke & Rodgers 2005). We again selected HD 218639 as our standard star for telluric correction and flux calibration.

Then, 1 week after the coordinated XMM-Newton, HST/COS, and NuSTAR observations, we obtained on 2020 December 23 a final cross-dispersed NIR spectrum, with

| Observatory   | Date       | Note (Obs IDs and Duration) |
|---------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Palomar/TriSpec | 2020-12-22 | ~9700–24600 Å (0.3 ks)      |
| NuSTAR        | 2020-12-26 | 90601637002 (25 ks)         |
| XMM-Newton    | 2020-12-16 | 0872390801 (50 ks)          |
| HST/COS       | 2020-12-16 | LEHV010 (1.2 ks)            |
| Palomar/DBSP  | 2020-12-11 | ~3000–7000 Å (0.3 ks)       |
| Keck/LRIS     | 2020-10-18 | ~3200–5600 Å + 5542–10347 Å (0.3 ks) |
| Gemini/GNIRS  | 2020-9-30  | GN-2020B-FT-111, 8200–25148 Å (3.6 ks) |
| IRTF/SpeX     | 2020-9-6   | ~6900–25680 Å (7.2 ks)      |
| HST/COS       | 2011-9-29  | LBG030 (10.0 ks)            |
| XMM-Newton    | 2002-5-18  | 0012940101 (65 ks)          |
| FUSE          | 2001-6-20  | P1111010000 (52 ks)         |
| HST/FOS       | 1996-8-2   | Y3A1200 (6.8 ks)            |

Note. All archival Swift observations were also used, but are not listed here. The Swift target IDs are 00049534, 00092238, 00093126, 00093159, 00094001, 00095001, 00095654, 00081592, and 00089190.
TripleSpec (Wilson et al. 2004) at the Palomar 5 m. The entrance slit for this instrument is fixed at $1'' \times 30''$, which gives a spectral resolving power of $R = 2700$. The weather was good and the on-source exposure time of $32 \times 120$ s resulted in a spectrum with a continuum $S/N \sim 15$. The somewhat reduced quality of this spectrum relative to those from the IRTF and Gemini is due to a high airmass ($\sec z = 1.782$) and a higher spectral resolution.

We obtained optical spectroscopy on two occasions. On 2020 October 18, we used the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995) mounted on the Keck 10 m telescope equipped with 600/4000 and 400/8500 gratings for the blue and red arms, respectively, and the 1.5 slit. This setup provides a relatively large spectral coverage of $\sim 3100$–10300 Å, with a very small spectral gap of $\sim 40$ Å between the two arms. The average spectral resolving power is $R = 750$. The slit was rotated to the parallactic angle, but note that LRIS has an atmospheric dispersion corrector. The on-source exposure time was 300 s at an average airmass of $\sec z = 1.349$, and resulted in an average continuum $S/N \sim 70$. The data were reduced using standard long-slit routines from the IRAF software package.

Five days before the coordinated multiwavelength observations, we obtained on 2020 December 11 an optical spectrum at the Palomar 5 m with the Double Spectrograph (DBSP) instrument (Oke & Gunn 1982). Similar to LRIS, a dichroic splits the light into separate blue and red channels and we chose for these 600/4000 and 316/7500 gratings, respectively. We observed through a 2'' slit oriented at a parallactic angle for a total exposure time of 300 s. This setup resulted in a spectrum with an average resolving power of $R = 750$ and a continuum $S/N \sim 25$. The night was not photometric; hence, the entire DBSP spectrum needed to be increased by a factor of 1.7 to match the O [\textsc{iii}] 5007 line peak of Keck/LRIS.

3. Spectral Analysis

We use SPEX v3.05.00 (Kaastra et al. 1996, 2018b) and C-statistics for the X-ray spectral analysis (Kaastra 2017). Statistical uncertainties are quoted at the 68% confidence level. The protosolar abundances of Lodders & Palme (2009) were used for all the plasma models.

We include model components described as the following to account for continuum, emission, and absorption features simultaneously. For the intrinsic continuum, the NIR to UV
data collected in 2020 provide constraints above the Lyman limit (λ > 912 Å), while the X-ray data provide constraints below the Lyman limit.

3.1. Spectral Model Components above the Lyman Limit

The AGN continuum above the Lyman limit can be described as a disk blackbody model. We use the \( dbb \) in SPEX, which is a geometrically thin but optically thick Shakura–Sunyaev accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). Its normalization and temperature are left free during the fitting. The Milky Way reddening along our line of sight is taken into account with frozen parameters \( E(B − V) = 0.039 \) (Schlegel et al. 1998) and \( R_V = 3.1 \), as used by Nardini et al. (2014).

We use line-free zones in both the HST/COS spectrum (\( \sim 1135–1205, 1356–1364, \) and 1428–1442 Å) obtained on 2020 December 16 and the Keck/LRIS spectrum (\( \sim 6000–6050, 6530–6580, 7350–7400, \) and 7950–8050 Å) obtained on 2020 October 18 to constrain \( dbb \) parameters. To account for the host galaxy emission in the Keck spectrum, a template starlight emission from the bulge (Kinney et al. 1996) was included as a file model with its normalization free to vary (Mehdipour et al. 2015). The modeled luminosity of the host galaxy emission is \( 1.47 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) in the 1000–10000 Å wavelength range. The dusty torus (NIR excess in Figure 4), Balmer continuum, and blended Fe II emission (the blue bump NUV) are not included in our modeling.

3.2. Spectral Model Components below the Lyman Limit

To model the AGN continuum below the Lyman limit, we use a Comptonized disk component (\( cont \); Titarchuk 1994), a power-law component, and a neutral reflection component (\( refl \); Magdziarz & Zdziarski 1995; Zycki et al. 1999). The warm Comptonization (\( cont \)) is one of the possible interpretations of the soft X-ray excess in AGN (Crummy et al. 2006; Dauser et al. 2010; Done et al. 2012; Petrucci et al. 2013). As shown in our previous works (e.g., Mehdipour et al. 2015, 2017, 2021), it suits our purpose of building a broadband spectral energy distribution (SED) for photoionization modeling. The power-law component was cut off exponentially below the Lyman limit and above 100 keV (Orr et al. 2001). We refer readers to A. Gonzalez et al. (2022, in preparation) for a detailed study on the X-ray continuum and its variability over the past two decades. The Galactic absorption in the X-ray band was modeled with a hot model in SPEX. The line-of-sight hydrogen (H I and H2) column density was fixed to \( 2.71 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2} \) (Willingale et al. 2013).

The photoionization model \( pion \) (Miller et al. 2015; Mehdipour et al. 2016a; Mao et al. 2017) in SPEX was used for both the warm absorber and emitter (e.g., Mao et al. 2018, 2019). Similar to Reeves et al. (2013), three \( pion \) absorption components are required with distinct parameters (hydrogen column density, ionization parameter, and kinematics) for the warm absorber. For the warm emitter, two \( pion \) emission components are required.

As shown in Figure 3, while the flux in the optical, UV, and hard X-ray bands in 2020 are comparable to those in 2002, the soft X-ray flux was significantly lower due to the presence of the obscurer. The obscurer was modeled as an additional \( pion \) absorption component for the 2020 data set.

4. Results

4.1. Broadband AGN SED

After taking into account all the obscuration, absorption, emission, and extinction effects, we derive the best-fit parameters of the broadband SED parameters for both 2002 and 2020 (Table 2). We used relatively simple spectral model components to construct the SED, which is sufficient for the purpose of photoionization modeling. In Figure 4, we illustrate the derived broadband 2020 SED model from the NIR to X-ray.

The \( dbb \) parameters in Table 2 are obtained with NIR to UV data as described in Section 3.1. They are kept frozen for the X-ray analysis (Section 3.2). The \( dbb \) normalization equals \( \frac{R_{in}}{\cos i} \), where \( R_{in} \) is the radius at the inner edge of the disk, and \( i \) is the inclination angle of the disk. Here, we assume \( i \) equals the reflection angle 24° measured by Nardini et al. (2014). Following Mehdipour et al. (2021), with our best-fit \( dbb \) parameters (Table 2), we obtain \( R_{in} = 0.10 \text{ lt-day} \) (or \( \sim 4.4 R_g \)) and a mass accretion rate of \( \sim 2.5 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \) for MR 2251-178.

From our broadband SED modeling, the bolometric luminosity of MR 2251-178 is \( \sim 1.71 \times 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) in 2002 and \( \sim 1.51 \times 10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1} \) in 2020. With the black hole mass of \( (2.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8 M_\odot \) (Lira et al. 2011), which is consistent with \( 2.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) (Dunn et al. 2008), the Eddington ratio was \( \sim 6.8% \) in 2002 and \( \sim 6.0% \) in 2020. In 2011, using the XMM-Newton data (OM, RGS, and EPIC pn), Nardini et al. (2014) derived a bolometric luminosity of \( (5–7) \times 10^{45} \text{erg s}^{-1} \), which corresponds to an Eddington ratio of \( \sim 20%–28% \).

In Figure 5, we show the best fit to the observed X-ray data, as well as the transmission of the Galactic absorption, warm absorber (X-ray), and obscurer (2020 only). The best-fit C-statistics (Kaastra 2017) are given in Table 2, which includes the total C-statistics and statistics of individual instruments.

4.2. X-Ray Warm Absorber and Emitter

The presence of the obscurer can significantly lower the soft X-ray flux so that the warm absorber features are less

\footnote{Nardini et al. (2014) adopted a black hole mass of \( 2.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot \) (Dunn et al. 2008), which led them to report an Eddington ratio of \( \sim 15%–25% \).}
prominent. Therefore, the warm absorber parameters are better constrained with the X-ray spectrum obtained in 2002, where no obscurer was present along our line of sight. The best-fit parameters of the warm absorber are given in Table 3. These parameters are consistent within the 1σ uncertainty of those reported by Reeves et al. (2013).

The hydrogen column density ($N_{\text{H}}$), microscopic turbulence velocity ($v_{\text{mic}}$), and outflow velocity ($v_{\text{out}}$) of the warm absorber derived from the 2002 X-ray spectrum are kept frozen when analyzing the 2020 X-ray spectrum. The warm absorber is expected to be less ionized in 2020 because photons from the central engine are screened by the obscurer before reaching the warm absorber. Since the warm absorber features are not detectable with the diminished soft X-ray flux, following our previous analysis on NGC 5548 (Kaasra et al. 2014) and NGC 3783 (Meidipour et al. 2017; Mao et al. 2019), we simply assume that the product $n_e r^2$ of the warm absorber is constant, where $n_e$ is the number density and $r$ the distance of the warm absorber to the central engine. For the warm absorber in 2020, the ionization parameter (Tarter et al. 1969; Krolik et al. 1981)

$$\xi = \frac{L}{n_e r^2}$$

is then calculated with the 1–1000 Rydberg ionizing luminosity. In 2020 (Table 2), $\log \xi = 1.96, 0.79$, and $-1.49$ for components #1–3, respectively. Throughout this paper, the ionization parameter ($\xi$) is given in units of erg per second per centimeter.

Two X-ray emission components with distinct velocity broadening are required for the soft X-ray emission lines in the 2002 and 2020 spectra (Figure 6 and Table 4). The warm emitter features are not prominent though, partly due to the relatively short exposure time. To reduce the number of free parameters, based on experience (e.g., Mao et al. 2018, 2019; Grafton-Waters et al. 2021), we fix the emission covering factor $C_{\text{em}} = \Omega/4\pi$, where $\Omega$ is the solid angle subtended by the warm emitter with respect to the central engine. The emission covering factors of the two warm emitter components are 0.005 and 0.10, respectively. Both X-ray emission components are slightly (within 1σ–2σ) broader and stronger in 2020. Nonetheless, the relatively short exposure for both X-ray spectra (Table 1) does not provide sufficient statistics to draw a firm conclusion.

### 4.3. Obscuer

The rising trend of the Swift X-ray hardness ratio since the summer of 2020 suggests an obscuration event, similar to those found in, e.g., NGC 5548 (Kaasra et al. 2014) and NGC 3783 (Meidipour et al. 2017). The apparent lowering of the soft X-ray flux in 2020, in comparison with that in 2002, supports the presence of an obscurer. We aim to constrain the physical properties of the obscurer with X-ray, UV, and NIR data.

#### 4.3.1. X-Ray Spectra

The best-fit parameters of the obscurer are given in Table 5. The hydrogen column density is ($8.2 \pm 1.6 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is comparable (within 1σ) to the hydrogen column density $6.6^{+0.8}_{-1.4} \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ reported by Markowitz et al. (2014). The line-of-sight covering factor is $\sim 0.35$. Hence, only a small fraction of the SED is obscured (Figure 7). That is to say, a large fraction of the X-ray photons leaks through the obscurer.

With the incident ionizing SED (Figure 4), and the hydrogen column density of the obscurer (Table 5), the ionic column densities can be calculated (Figure 8). For $\xi$ spanning several orders of magnitude, the corresponding ionic column densities of H I, C IV, O VI, and so forth are all well above $\sim 10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$. If the X-ray obscurer intercepts our line of sight to a (significant portion of) the UV-emitting region, we expect to find absorption features in the HST/COS spectrum.

#### 4.3.2. UV Line Profiles

In comparison with the archival HST spectra from 1996–2011, new Ly$\alpha$ absorption features emerged in the 2020 HST/COS spectrum. These new Ly$\alpha$ absorption features are blueshifted by more than 2000 km s$^{-1}$, well separated from the relatively slow warm absorber.

### Table 2

Best-fit Parameters of the Broadband Spectra of MR 2251-178 on 2002 May 18 and 2020 December 16

| Obs. Date       | 2002-5-18 | 2020-12-16 |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|
| Disk blackbody  | $6.2 \times 10^{23}$ | $6.2 \times 10^{23}$ |
| $T$ (eV)        | 6.27 (c)  | 6.27 (c)   |
| Comptonization  |           |            |
| $N_{\text{w}}$ (ph s$^{-1}$ keV$^{-1}$) | $5.4^{+0.8}_{-0.5} \times 10^{54}$ | $(1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{54}$ |
| $T_{\text{w}}$ (eV) | 6.27 (c)  | 6.27 (c)   |
| $\Gamma$        |           |            |
| $\log \xi$ (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 0.0 (f) | 0.0 (f) |
| scale           | 0.23$^{+0.01}_{-0.04}$ | $< 0.05$ |
| Luminosity      |           |            |
| $L_{0.1-2}$ keV | $1.6 \times 10^{44}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{44}$ |
| $L_{2-10}$ keV | $2.2 \times 10^{44}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{44}$ |
| $L_{0.001-10}$ keV | $8.9 \times 10^{44}$ | $8.2 \times 10^{44}$ |
| $L_{1-1000}$ R$_{\odot}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{44}$ | $5.3 \times 10^{44}$ |

**Statistics**

| $C_{\text{stat}}$ (total) | 1806.0 |
| $C_{\text{exp}}$ (total) | 1727 ± 59 |
| d.o.f. (total) | 1676 |
| $C_{\text{stat}}/C_{\text{exp}}$ (RGS) | 1614.2/1537 |
| $C_{\text{stat}}/C_{\text{exp}}$ (pn) | 191.8/189 |
| $C_{\text{stat}}/C_{\text{exp}}$ (FPMA) | ... |
| $C_{\text{stat}}/C_{\text{exp}}$ (FPMB) | ... |

*Note. The $C$-statistics refer to the final best fit, where all obscuration, absorption, and emission components are taken into account. All quoted errors (including the upper limits) refer to the statistical uncertainties at the 68.3% confidence level. Frozen and coupled parameters are indicated by (f) and (c), respectively.*
A new narrow absorption line was found in the blue wing of Ly$\alpha$ with an outflow velocity of $\sim 2530$ km s$^{-1}$ and an FWHM of $\sim 180$ km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 9). This new absorption feature has an equivalent width of $3.1 \pm 0.3$ Å. There might be a counterpart in the blue wing of O VI, though the spectrum is noisy. No counterparts were found in lower-ionization species (e.g., N V and C IV), which indicates that the ionization parameter of this UV absorber is relatively high.

Assuming log $\xi = 1.8$ and $f_{\text{ion}}^{\text{UV}} = f_{\text{ion}}^{\text{X}} = 0.35$ (Table 5), a good fit to the new narrow absorption line of Ly$\alpha$ can be achieved with $N_H \sim 3.2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Figure 10). In this case, turbulent broadening with $v_{\text{turb}} \sim 70$ km s$^{-1}$ is required, in addition to thermal broadening, to account for the observed broadening. The hydrogen column density measured in the UV band is more than two orders of magnitude lower than the X-ray measurement in Table 5.

Moreover, a forest of weak absorption features appeared in the blue wing of Ly$\alpha$ in 2020, extending all the way down to $\sim -10,400$ km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 11). The apparent broad absorption feature between $\sim -8000$ and $\sim -9000$ km s$^{-1}$ might consist of multiple blended narrow absorption lines, if it is not intrinsically broad. No convincing counterparts are found in the blue wing of C IV.

If the Ly$\alpha$ absorption features are all optically thin, then they cannot be the same gas responsible for the X-ray obscuration. Our fit to the strongest feature at $-2530$ km s$^{-1}$ shows that it is optically thin, which cannot be a counterpart to the X-ray obscuring gas. However, we have insufficient information to characterize the trough and forest extending to $-10,400$ km s$^{-1}$. If these more extended features are optically thick and their weakness reflects low covering fractions, it is possible that this is the same gas as in the X-ray, but simply covering a much larger emitting area in the UV than for the compact X-ray source (as discussed in Section 5.4 below). Additional possibilities for linking the UV and X-ray absorbing gas include a UV absorber with the same ionization and covering factor but a significantly lower column density, or UV absorbing gas with no parameters shared with the X-ray, and lying along a completely different line of sight.

In addition, a narrow absorption line in the red wing ($\sim +75$ km s$^{-1}$) of Ly$\alpha$ was present in the 2011 November 29 HST/COS spectrum but disappeared on 2020 December 16 (Figure 9). The relatively low-velocity shift makes it less likely to be associated with the X-ray obscurer.

Variations of UV warm absorber features ($v_{\text{out}} \lesssim 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$) are found (e.g., between $-600$ and $-800$ km s$^{-1}$) as shown in the upper panel of Figure 9. These variations are mainly due to an ionization response of the lower (a factor of $\sim 3$) flux of the UV and X-ray continuum in 2020 compared to 2011. The contribution from the lower ionizing luminosity caused by the obscurer is minor due to its relatively low covering factor (see also the upper panel of Figure 7). This might be explained if our line of sights toward the X-ray and UV continuum intercept different parts of the obscuring wind.

4.3.3. NIR and Optical Line Profiles

Three NIR spectra of MR 2251-178 were collected in late-2020. While the Pa$\alpha$ line shows no absorption, clear, strong absorbers are evident in He I $\lambda$10830. In Figure 12, we show the isolated blueshifted He I$^+$ absorption in the IRTF and Gemini NIR spectra and find them to be consistent with each other.

Since no previous NIR spectroscopy exists of MR 2251-178 from which we could extract an unabsorbed line profile, we reconstructed it using the strong Pa$\alpha$ broad-line and [S III] $\lambda$9531 narrow-line profiles from the same spectra. As Landt et al. (2008) showed, the Pa$\alpha$ and He I$^+$ broad-line profiles are very similar. Furthermore, in MR 2251-178 we find that the Pa$\alpha$ line lacks a narrow component, making it ideal to model the broad component. Following the approach in Landt et al. (2008), we also used the scaled Pa$\alpha$ line to remove the Pa$\gamma$ 10938 emission line from the blend with He I$^+$.

We note that, in contrast to the broad-line AGN studied by Landt et al. (2008), MR 2251-178 shows a deficit of broad-line flux in the red wing of He I$^+$ line relative to the Pa$\alpha$ profile. This
finding is confirmed when comparing the isolated He I λ10830 line with the He I λ3889 line observed in the Keck optical spectrum, which is not blended with other ionic species on its red wing. The redshifted broad (∼2200 km s⁻¹) absorption trough is observed at a velocity of +2235 km s⁻¹. One of the possible interpretations is that this might be related to inflows fueling the accretion disk, as reported by Zhou et al. (2019) for eight other quasars. Another peculiarity that we find in MR 2251-178 is that all broad-line profiles are consistently blueshifted (by ∼300 km s⁻¹) and that narrow emission lines from very low-ionization gas, such as, e.g., [O I], [N II] and [S II], are very

weak and those from high-ionization gas, such as, e.g., [O III], [Ne III] and [Ne V], are relatively strong.

The new narrow absorption line seen in Lyα with v_out = −2530 km s⁻¹ was not found in He I*, as expected given the high ionization parameter and low column density of this absorber. We have deblended the IRTF spectrum, which has the best combination of spectral resolution and S/N, with four Gaussian components in the HeI* absorption; a broad feature at high velocity (width of 1443 km s⁻¹, v_out = −2268 km s⁻¹), a deep feature at low velocity (width of 417 km s⁻¹, v_out = −252 km s⁻¹) and two barely resolved features (v_out = −1099 km s⁻¹ and −823 km s⁻¹). We note that given the intermediate spectral resolution of the NIR spectrum, all these features could be composed of several narrower features. It is

Figure 5. Best fit to the observed X-ray data of MR 2251-178 in 2002 and 2020. Transmission of the Galactic absorption (MW), warm absorber (WA), and X-ray obscurer (XO, 2020 only) are shown in the top panels. In the bottom panels, data (colored curved with 1σ uncertainties in gray) and model (black curves) of each instrument are rebinned for clarity. The flux differences in the hard X-ray band are due to the cross-calibration issue between XMM-Newton and NuSTAR.

Figure 6. The soft X-ray spectra of MR 2251-178 in 2002 (top) and 2020 (bottom). The RGS spectra are rebinned for clarity. The black curves are the total flux, which consists of two warm emitter components (green and pink) and the continuum (blue).

| Date       | 2002-5-18 |
|------------|-----------|
| N_H (10²¹ cm⁻²) | 2.6±0.4 \+0.7 \-0.3 |
| log ξ (erg s⁻¹ cm) | 2.09±0.04 |
| v_mic (km s⁻¹) | 46±20 |
| v_out (km s⁻¹) | −404\+370 \-320 |

| Date       | 2002-5-18 |
|------------|-----------|
| N_H (10²¹ cm⁻²) | 2.4±0.05 \+0.04 \-0.04 |
| log ξ (erg s⁻¹ cm) | 0.9\+0.1 \-0.1 |
| v_mic (km s⁻¹) | < 30 |
| v_out (km s⁻¹) | −10\+20 \-20 |

| Date       | 2002-5-18 |
|------------|-----------|
| N_H (10²¹ cm⁻²) | 1.4\+0.03 \-0.03 |
| log ξ (erg s⁻¹ cm) | −1.36\+0.14 \-0.14 |
| v_mic (km s⁻¹) | < 5 |
| v_out (km s⁻¹) | −370\+150 \-180 |
5. Discussion

While there are more X-ray obscuration events in type 1 AGN in the literature (e.g., Rivers et al. 2015; Gallo et al. 2021; Serafinelli et al. 2021; Armijos-Abendaño et al. 2022), we limit our discussions on the physical properties of the obscurer in quasar MR 2251-178 in comparison with those observed with coordinated multiwavelength observations. They are one radio-quiet quasar (PG 2112+059, Saez et al. 2021) and six Seyfert galaxies: NGC 5548 (Kaasta et al. 2014; Kriss et al. 2019a), NGC 985 (Ebrero et al. 2016), NGC 3783 (Mehdipour et al. 2017; Kaasta et al. 2018a; Kriss et al. 2019b), Mrk 335 (Longinotti et al. 2013, 2019; Parker et al. 2019), Mrk 817 (Kara et al. 2021; Miller et al. 2021), and NGC 3227 (Mehdipour et al. 2017; Mao et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2022).

5.1. Obscuration Duration

The obscuration events in NGC 5548 (Kaasta et al. 2014; Mehdipour et al. 2016b, 2022b) and Mrk 335 (Longinotti et al. 2019; Parker et al. 2019) lasted for years, while relatively shorter and recurrent obscuration events were found in

---

### Table 4
Best-fit Parameters of the Warm Emitter (Two Components) in MR 2251-178 Observed in 2002 and 2020

| Date  | 2002-5-18 | 2020-12-16 |
|-------|----------|-----------|
| Warm emitter #1 | | |
| $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 10.7$^{+2.6}_{-1.6}$ | 15.7$^{+3.4}_{-3.1}$ |
| $\log \xi$ (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) | 0.73$^{+0.14}_{-0.21}$ | 0.97$^{+0.12}_{-0.14}$ |
| $C_{em}$ | 0.005 (f) | 0.005 (f) |
| $v_{\text{max}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 1330$^{+380}_{-240}$ | 2480$^{+540}_{-350}$ |
| Warm emitter #2 | | |
| $N_H$ (10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 9.5$^{+3.7}_{-1.4}$ | 20.1$^{+12.1}_{-8.3}$ |
| $\log \xi$ (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) | 1.36$^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$ | 1.36 (f) |
| $C_{em}$ | 0.10 (f) | 0.10 (f) |
| $v_{\text{max}}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 410$^{+230}_{-180}$ | 630$^{+260}_{-210}$ |

**Note.** Frozen parameters are indicated with (f).

### Table 5
Best-fit Parameters of the Obscurer in MR 2251-178 Observed on 2020 December 16

| Date  | 2020-12-16 |
|-------|-----------|
| X-ray obscurer | | |
| $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 8.2 $\pm$ 1.6 |
| $\log \xi$ (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) | 1.83 $\pm$ 0.13 |
| $f_{\text{X}}$ | 0.35 $\pm$ 0.02 |

noteworthy the similarity in velocity (and width) between the redshifted absorption trough and the fastest velocity outflow.

It is tempting to associate the fastest velocity He I$'$ outflow with the X-ray obscurer itself, similar to what was observed in NGC 5548 (Wildy et al. 2021). Then, if this is indeed a wind off the accretion disk, as suggested by Dehghanian et al. (2019a, 2019b), the similarity in velocity (and width) between this feature and the redshifted absorption trough indicates that the geometry and viewing angle are such that we see also the far side, the receding part of this structure. This NIR absorber is likely colocated with the warm absorber and it must have only recently arisen due to a lower-ionization parameter caused by the obscuration event. This situation is incompatible with the inflow scenario but would then be similar to what was recently observed in NGC 5548 (Wildy et al. 2021) and previously in NGC 4151 (Hutchings et al. 2002; Wildy et al. 2016). This interpretation is supported by the observed hydrogen Balmer line profiles (Figure 13). The four strongest lines in this series show in both optical spectra an absorption profile similar to that of He I$'$ $\lambda 10830$. Notable is also the decreased absorption of the narrow-line component as one goes up in the Balmer series (from H$\alpha$ to H$\delta$), indicating that the WA is colocated with that part of the narrow emission line region giving rise to permitted transitions and so of a higher density than the one further out and giving rise to forbidden transitions only.

---

**Figure 7.** The broadband SED of MR 2251-178 with and without obscuration and absorption effects of ionized absorbers (Galactic absorption and extinction effects are excluded here). The upper panel shows the obscuration effect due to the X-ray absorber in 2020. The pink curve in the lower panel includes the absorption effect by the X-ray warm absorber (WA) in 2002, while the purple curve includes both the obscuration effect by the obscurer and the absorption effect by the de-ionized warm absorber in 2020.

**Figure 8.** Ionic column densities of the obscurer. This calculation is performed with the hydrogen column density and covering factor of the observer given in Table 5 and the incident ionizing SED shown in Figure 4. The pink shaded area marks the X-ray constraints (1$\sigma$ uncertainty) of the ionization parameter.
NGC 985 (Ebrero et al. 2016), NGC 3783 (Kaastra et al. 2018a), Mrk 817 (Kara et al. 2021), and NGC 3227 (e.g., Mehdipour et al. 2017; Mao et al. 2022; Wang et al. 2022). For short-lived obscuration events, the duration of the obscuration can be as short as 20 ks (for NGC 3227, Wang et al. 2022).

For MR 2251-178, if we consider the obscurer is present when the Swift X-ray hardness ratio is $>0.20$ (Figure 2), the obscuration duration is $\sim 75$–260 days. If the threshold is set to $>0.25$, the obscuration duration would be $\sim 75$ days.

### 5.2. Obscuration Recurrence

Short-lived obscuration events are found to be recurrent for NGC 3783, NGC 985, and NGC 3227. For NGC 3783, the Swift hardness ratios between 2008 and 2017 suggest that there were obscuration events in early-2009 (Kaastra et al. 2018a) and 2016 December (Mehdipour et al. 2017, with joint UV and X-ray observations). Furthermore, archival X-ray spectra obtained with the Advanced Satellite for Cosmology and Astrophysics in 1993 and 1996 also show evidence of obscuration events (Kaastra et al. 2018a). For NGC 985, an obscurer was present with joint X-ray and UV observations in 2013 August and 2015 January (Ebrero et al. 2016). Archival X-ray data suggest that there might have been another obscuration event in 2003 July (Ebrero et al. 2016). For Mrk 817, obscuration has been persistent and variable from 2020 November through 2021 March (Kara et al. 2021), including a 55 days period similar to the broad-line holiday observed in NGC 5548. Moreover, an archival NuSTAR spectrum taken on 2015 July 25 suggests an earlier obscuration event, but less prominent than the late-2020 event (Kara et al. 2021). For NGC 3227, X-ray obscuration events were reported in 2000–2001 (Lamer et al. 2003; Markowitz et al. 2014), 2002 (Markowitz et al. 2014), 2006 (Wang et al. 2022), 2008 (Beuchert et al. 2015), 2016 (Turner et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2022), and 2019 (Mao et al. 2022).

For PG 2112$+$059, obscuration events were observed on 2014 December 20 and 2015 August 29, but not on 2002 September 1 (Saez et al. 2021). With no observations between 2014 December 20 and 2015 August 29, it is hard to tell whether it was a continuous obscuration event lasting for eight months or two short-lived events.

For MR 2251-178, X-ray obscuration events might have occurred in 1980 (Halpern 1984), 1996 (Markowitz et al. 2014), and late-2020 (present work). Compared to the Einstein X-ray spectrum of MR 2215-178 taken on 1979 July 1, the one on 1980 May 19 was significantly absorbed in the soft X-ray band below $\sim 3$ keV (Halpern 1984). Markowitz et al. (2014) identified a clear obscuration event in the RXTE observation on 1996 December 9. In the two BeppoSAX observations in 1998 June and November, X-ray obscuration had disappeared (Dadina 2007). No other obscuration events were identified with the RXTE data up to 2012 January (Markowitz et al. 2014) and Swift data between 2014 and mid-2020 (Figure 1). With these X-ray observations and identified obscuration events, if there is a duty cycle, it would range from 16 to 24 yr. Some periodic events might trigger the launch of the obscuring wind, or a warped accretion disk might cross our line of sight.

### 5.3. Distance of the Obscurer to the Black Hole

It is not trivial to estimate the distance of the obscurer to the black hole since we cannot directly resolve it via imaging. Lamer et al. (2003) estimated the distance of the obscurer in NGC 3227 assuming it is a spherical cloud orbiting the black hole in a Keplerian orbit. To be more specific, the distance is...
Figure 10. Photoinization modeling of the new narrow absorption feature of Lyα using Cloudy (Ferland et al. 2017). With log $\xi = 1.8$ and $f_{\text{Ly}^\alpha} = 0.35$, a good fit can be achieved with a hydrogen column density of $3.2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ and a turbulence velocity of 70 km s$^{-1}$. The lower panel shows the transmission.

derived by (1) assuming the radius of the obscurer is $N_{H}/n_{H}$: (2) the obscurer crosses our line of sight with a constant velocity $v_{\text{cross}} = \sqrt{GM_{\text{BH}}/r}$ in a Keplerian orbit; (3) the crossing time $t_{\text{cross}} = N_{H}/(0.16 v_{\text{cross}})$ is constrained from the observed duration of the event. We estimate the distance of the 2020 obscurer in MR 2251-178 following the above approach but also discuss alternative scenarios below.

5.3.1. Spherical Clouds in Keplerian Orbits

For the 1996 obscuration event (with a duration of 3–1641 days) of MR 2251-178, Markowitz et al. (2014) estimated its distance to the black hole to be $\sim$460–5700 lt-day, implying a spherical obscurer with a size scale of 0.009–3.4 lt-day. They also estimated the Hβ distance ($\sim$27 lt-day) and the boundary of the dust sublimation zone (Nenkova et al. 2008) $r_d$ $\sim$ 910 lt-day. For $r \lesssim r_d$ dust likely does not sublimate, while regions with $r \gtrsim 0.3$–0.5$r_d$ are dust-free. Thus, they expect the obscurer in 1996 to be dusty.

For the 2020 event, following the same approach, we would obtain $r \sim$ 155–255 lt-day or 7000–11,000 $r_d$ and a size scale of (0.7–1.8) lt-day. According to Nenkova et al. (2008), with $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Section 4.1) and a dust temperature $T_d = 1500$ K, the boundary of the dust sublimation zone is $\sim$583 lt-day. We can also derive the luminosity-based dust radii following Landt et al. (2019), which yields $r_d \sim$ 166 lt-day for MR 2251-178. These estimations suggest that the obscurer in 2020 is also likely dusty. With Equation (1), the number density of the obscurer is $n_{H} \sim (1.8–4.8) \times 10^{9}$ cm$^{-3}$, which is significantly smaller than the typical number density ($\sim 10^{10–11}$ cm$^{-3}$) of BLR clouds (Peterson 2006).

5.3.2. Nonspherical Clouds in Keplerian Orbits

It is possible that the obscurer is not spherical in shape. As discussed in Mao et al. (2022), based on the distance estimate for spherical clouds in Keplerian orbits, we can correct for the nonspherical geometry effect by introducing the azimuthal to radial size ratio $f$. With $f = 1$, we obtain the distance estimation equations used by Lamer et al. (2003) and Markowitz et al. (2014). For larger $f$ values, the estimated distance would be closer to the black hole (scaled by $f^{-2.5}$). Since the geometrical shape of the obscurer is unknown, the distance estimates assuming $f = 1$ might be under- or overestimated by more than an order of magnitude if $f \lesssim 0.004$ or $f \gtrsim 300$.

5.3.3. Outflows Launched from Keplerian Orbits

Here, we estimate the distance that does not rely on the unknown geometrical shape of the obscurer. In the above scenarios (Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2), the orbiting clouds are expected to have zero velocity in the radial direction. The observed Lyα absorption features are blueshifted with outflow velocities ranging from $\sim$2530 to $\sim$10,400 km s$^{-1}$ (Figure 11), indicating that the obscurer is outflowing in the radial direction.

Given the relatively low outflow velocity in the radial direction (Figure 11) and short traveling time (Section 5.1), the obscurer is expected to be close to its launching radius. Assuming the obscurer was launched from a Keplerian orbit with a period of $P_{\text{orb}} \sim$ 16–24 yr around the black hole (Section 5.2), the distance of the obscurer is then $r = (GM_{\text{BH}}P_{\text{orb}}^2/4\pi^2)^{1/3} \sim$ 21.4–28.1 lt-day (or $\sim$940–1200 $r_d$). This is comparable to the Hβ distance ($\sim$27 lt-day) given in Markowitz et al. (2014). This is also in accordance with the line-driven disk winds launched at a few $\times 10^3$ $r_d$ radii in numerical simulations (e.g., Nomura et al. 2013; Mizumoto et al. 2021). We can further estimate the number density of the obscurer via Equation (1), which yields $n_{H} \sim (0.5–7.4) \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$. This value is within the range ($\sim 10^{10–11}$ cm$^{-3}$) of the typical number density of BLR clouds (Peterson 2006).

5.4. X-Ray and UV (Dis)connection

During the X-ray obscuration period of NGC 5548 (Kaasta et al. 2014; Mehdiipour et al. 2016b), NGC 985 (Ebrero et al. 2016), NGC 3783 (Mehdiipour et al. 2017; Kaasta et al. 2018a), Mrk 335 (Longinotti et al. 2019; Parker et al. 2019), and Mrk 817 (Kara et al. 2021), prominent blueshifted broad absorption troughs were found in the simultaneous HST/COS spectra. For the best-studied obscurer in NGC 5548, both its
column density and covering fraction are variable on timescales of a few kiloseconds to a few months (Di Gesu et al. 2015; Cappi et al. 2016; Mehdipour et al. 2016b). The rapid variability and large velocity broadening support the scenario that the obscurer in NGC 5548 originates from the accretion disk (Kaasstra et al. 2014). For NGC 3783, an additional high-ionization absorption component was also present in late-2016, leading to Fe XXV and Fe XXVI absorption lines in the Fe K band (Mehdipour et al. 2017). Through a detailed UV analysis, Kriss et al. (2019b) suggest that a collapse of the BLR clouds triggered the launch of the obscurer. For Mrk 817, the obscurer is located at the inner BLR and partially covers the central source (Kara et al. 2021).

For NGC 3227, we do not find prominent blueshifted broad absorption troughs in the simultaneous HST/COS spectra when the target is obscured in the X-ray band (Mao et al. 2022). The lack of X-ray and UV association might be explained if the X-ray obscurer does not intercept our line of sight to (a significant portion of) the UV-emitting region. If a compact X-ray obscuring cloud intercepts our line of sight to the UV-emitting region, it might cover $\lesssim 1\%$ of the UV-emitting region. It is also possible that the X-ray obscuring cloud does not intercept our line of sight to the UV-emitting region at all, although we cannot well constrain the distance of the obscurer.

For MR 2251-178, following Burke et al. (2021, Equation (S7)), the effective UV-emitting region radius ($R_{2500}$) can be estimated via

$$R_{2500} = 10^{14.95 \pm 0.05} \text{ cm} \left( \frac{L_{5100}}{10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}} \right)^{0.53 \pm 0.04} ,$$

(2)

where $L_{5100}$ is the optical continuum luminosity. With $L_{5100} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, we obtain $R_{2500} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}$ or $\sim 0.41$ lt-day. This is equivalent to $\sim 18 R_S$, where the Schwarzschild radius $R_S = 2GM_{BH}/c^2 = 5.9 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}$ or 0.023 lt-day.

Assuming a fiducial X-ray emitting central engine with a size scale of $\lesssim 5 R_S$ (e.g., Reis & Miller 2013; Fabian et al. 2015), the UV-emitting region would be a factor of $\gtrsim 13$ larger. With the X-ray obscurer covering $\sim 35\%$ of the X-ray emitting central engine (Table 5), it would then cover merely $\lesssim 2.7\%$ of the UV-emitting region.

The X-ray covering factor ($f_{\text{cov}}^X$) of MR 2251-178 is comparable to that reported by Ebrero et al. (2016) for the observation episode in NGC 985 observed with XMM-Newton in 2015 January. In that case, only one narrow (FWHM $\sim 350 \pm 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) blueshifted (−5300 ± 10 km s$^{-1}$) absorption line of Ly$\alpha$ was present in the simultaneous HST/COS spectrum. The UV covering factors for the lower-ionization ions C III*, C IV, and Si IV were $\lesssim 0.15$ and not visible at the S/N of the UV spectra.

On the one hand, if the obscuring wind barely intercepts the UV continuum region (e.g., the wind projected size is too small compared to the UV-emitting region), we might not observe prominent blueshifted absorption lines in the UV band. On the other hand, when a transient obscuring wind is fading, we might not observe prominent blueshifted absorption lines in the UV band. Both might contribute to the lack of prominent UV absorption lines in MR 2251-178.

6. Summary

We present the recent multiwavelength observations of MR 2251-178, including a set of Swift snapshots in 2020–2021, a coordinated observation with HST/COS, XMM-Newton, and NuSTAR on 2020 December 16, and NIR to optical spectroscopic observations using NASA’s Infrared Telescope Facility, Gemini, Keck, and Palomar from 2020 September to December.
We construct a broadband SED of the AGN continuum with the multiwavelength data. We perform a spectral analysis of the X-ray data, including the photoionization modeling of the newly discovered obscurer and the classical warm absorber in the X-ray band. The observational features in the X-ray band shared similarities with some other type 1 AGNs with obscuring winds. The observational characteristics of the spectral features associated with the obscuring wind in the UV to NIR bands are distinctly different from those seen in other AGN with obscuring outflows. A general understanding of the observational variety of obscuring winds in type 1 AGNs is still lacking. The main results are summarized as follows.

1. In the X-ray band, an obscuration event was present in late-2020, which led to a flux drop in the soft X-ray band without any detectable absorption line features. The obscurer has a hydrogen column density of \( \sim 8.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), a relatively high ionization parameter (\( \log \xi \sim 1.8 \)), and a relatively low line-of-sight covering factor (\( f_{\text{cov}} \sim 0.35 \)).

2. In the UV band, a new blueshifted narrow absorption line of \( \text{Ly}\alpha \) with an outflow velocity of \( \sim -2530 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) was found in 2020. This new feature is accompanied by a forest of weak absorption features extending to \( \sim -10,400 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) in the blue wing of \( \text{Ly}\alpha \). No such absorption features are found in the blue wing of \( \text{C IV} \). The new weak UV absorption features can only be produced by the same gas obscuring the X-ray if it has a much lower covering fraction relative to the size of the UV-emitting regions.

3. In the NIR band, weak narrow absorption lines are present in the blue wing of \( \text{He I} \) \( \lambda 1.08 \text{ \mu m} \) down to \( \sim -2268 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Although no previous NIR spectroscopy exists for MR 2251-178, we expect the de-ionized warm absorber gives rise to the narrow absorption lines. A redshifted broad absorption trough peaking around \( +2235 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) with a width of \( \sim 2200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) was found for \( \text{He I} \lambda 10830 \). This might be due to an inflow fueling the accretion disk. Alternatively, considering absorption features on both sides of \( \text{He I} \), it is possible that we are observing the far (receding) side of the obscurer.

4. According to Swift hardness ratios in 2020–2021, the transient obscurer in MR 2251-178 might last for 75–260 days. X-ray obscuration events might have a quasi-period of two decades considering earlier events in 1980 and 1996.

5. It is hard to conclude the exact location of the 2020 X-ray obscurer. The observed distance ranges from the BLR to the dusty torus. However, it is expected to be far beyond the UV-emitting region.
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