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Abstract

Nano γAl2O3 is one of the nanometal oxides that has improved the characteristics of biodiesel. The effect of γAl2O3 nanoparticles on premixed flame combustion was investigated with an experiment on the laminar flame speed of Calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester 30% and 70% petrodiesel mixtures (CIME30), at atmospheric pressure and fixed preheating temperature T = 473K. The γAl2O3 nanoparticles added to CIME30 biodiesel were 0 ppm, 100 ppm, 200 ppm, and 300 ppm. Experiments were carried out on a bunsen burner. The equivalent ratio of the CIME30-air mixtures is between ϕ = 0.67 - 1.17. Experiments revealed that the addition of nanoparticles to CIME30 biodiesel expands the flammability limit and increases the laminar flame speed. CIME30 without nanoparticles, flame was stable between ϕ = 0.76 - 1.17. CIME30 with nanoparticles, flame was stable between ϕ = 0.67 - 1.17. The highest laminar flame speed occurred at the equivalent ratio ϕ = 0.83. The highest laminar flame speed of CIME30 0, 100, 200, and 300 ppm were 30.77, 34.50, 35.90, 38.45 cm/s respectively. The laminar flame speed was found to increase with the nano γAl2O3 concentration. This occurs due to the catalytic effect of γAl2O3 on biodiesel and its mixtures.
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1. Introduction

Alternative fuels need to reduce dependence on petroleum and it must be environmentally friendly, cheap, technically acceptable, and abundant [1]. Biodiesel is an alternative fuel to replace petroleum diesel (petrodiesel). Biodiesel
has produced from plant oil or animal fat. Biodiesel is better than petrodiesel because of its low toxicity, renewable, and environmentally friendly properties [2]–[4]. Biodiesel in a diesel engine (compression-ignition engine) has reduced exhaust gas density but increase fuel consumption because the combustion heating value of biodiesel lower than petrodiesel [5], [6]. Biodiesel exhaust gas has contained more nitrogen oxides NOx, but unburned hydrocarbons (HC), carbon monoxide (CO) are lower than petrodiesel [7]–[11]. Nano metal oxide additives have been used to improve the characteristics of biodiesel. Nano metal oxides are catalytic while a combustion reaction occurs in the combustion chamber and it increases combustion efficiency [12]–[14]. Nano metal oxides that have been researched as additives to biodiesel include γAl2O3 [15], CeO2 [16], TiO2 [17], ZnO [10], NiO [18], Rhodium [19], and SiO2 [20].

Aluminum oxide, alumina (Al2O3), is one of the most attractive ceramic materials for a wide variety of applications. Alumina (Al2O3) has a phase transition γ, δ, and θ before becoming the most stable (α- Al2O3) phase [21]. Nano γAl2O3 is one of the nanometal oxides that has improved the characteristics of biodiesel. The heating value of jatropha biodiesel have been increased with the addition of the γAl2O3 concentration. The thermal heating value of jatropha biodiesel without γAl2O3 has 38.88 mJ/kg. Jatropha biodiesel with γAl2O3 25 ppm has increased 1%, and 50 ppm has increased 2% from jatropha biodiesel without γAl2O3 [22]. The cetane number and the calorific heating value also have increased in palm oil methyl ester (PME) as the increasing concentration of γAl2O3. The cetane number at PME 0 ppm has CN = 51. PME 25, 50, 75, and 100 ppm have increased cetane number to 55, 59, 62, 63 respectively. The heating value of PME 0 ppm has 38490 J/kg. PME 25, 50, 75, and 100 ppm have increased heating value to 38520 J/kg, 38560 J/kg, 38582 J/kg, 38590 J/kg respectively [23].

Laminar flame speed is the main parameter used for the design and optimization of internal combustion engines, gas turbines, and other combustion devices operating on premixed flames. The nanoparticles fuel mixture affects the speed of the laminar flame. The combustion of aluminum particles methane-air mixture causes the release of additional heat, which will most likely increase the rate of combustion [24]. The laminar flame speed of butane-air has increased by 18.9% and 29.1% in terms of the addition of aluminum nanoparticles by 2 wt% and 5 wt%, respectively [25].

Surfactants are uses to increase the stability of the dispersion of nanoparticles biodiesel mixture. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) is one of the examples of surfactant. CTAB has been used to stabilize Al2O3 in palm oil biodiesel. CTAB has been added at 0.1 mg per liter of palm oil biodiesel. The Al2O3 nanoparticles is stables for more than 96 hours [26]. Besides CTAB, span 80 and tween 80 with HLB 8 have been used to stabilize Al2O3 nano particles to J20 biodiesel mixture [15]. The Al2O3 nano particles are stables for 30 minutes to biodiesel in a long pipe. However, surfactants hurt the combustion. Surfactants reduces the droplet burning rate because a surfactant layer is formed around the primary droplets which inhibits air diffusion [27].

Bunsen burner is the most widely used tools for measuring laminar flame speed. Bunsen burner have a simple shape and good flame structure [28]. Several other studies on the speed of laminar flames using a bunsen burner have conducted by several researchers. By using a bunsen burner, kapok seed oil glycerol has been required a lot of air so that the highest laminar flame speed has occurred at an equivalent ratio of 0.36 [29]. Laminar flame speed for the diesel/air mixture and palm methyl ester (PME)/air at 470 K has around 86.7 and 86.5 cm/s at an equivalent ratio of 1.10 and 1.14 [30]. Also, by using C3H8/O2/CO2: the laminar flame speed has increased with the addition of O2 concentration. Concentrations of O2 were added (28, 33, 36, and 40%), and the highest laminar flame speed have occurred at the equivalent ratio of 1.0 and 1.1 [31]. In addition to the fuel mixture and its equivalent ratio, preheating also affects the laminar flame speed. By using CH4/H2/air-fuel and a preheating temperature of 20 to 100 C, the laminar flame speed has increased with the addition of a given preheating temperature [32].

Therefore, this study aims to the effect of adding nano γAl2O3 on the laminar flame speed of Nyamplung oil biodiesel (Calophyllum inophyllum). The combustion of biodiesel is carried out using a bunsen burner at an equivalent ratio of 0.67 to 1.17. The fuel used undergoes constant preheating and at atmospheric pressure.
2. Methods

2.1. Fuel Preparation

Diesel fuel obtained from PT Pertamina Tanjung Wangi BBM terminal, supply, and distribution region V, Ketapang Banyuwangi, Indonesia. Biodiesel made from Nyamplung seed oil obtained from Nyamplung seed in Bondowoso, East Java, Indonesia. Nyamplung extracted mechanically. Biodiesel produced by esterification and transesterification of Nyamplung oil triglycerides.

Table 1 shows the specification of nano γ\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3.

| Manufacturer                              | Jember university advanced materials laboratory |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Particle colour                           | White                                            |
| Average size (TEM)                        | 26.37 nm                                         |
| Shape                                     | Spherical                                        |
| Purity                                    | 82.5%                                            |
| Phase                                     | Γ                                                |

2.2. Mixing

Calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester and petrodiesel was mixed in the ratio of 30:70 (CIME30). γ\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 nanoparticles and CIME30 was mixed using 40 kHz ultrasonic bath in 30 minutes [22]. To increase the stability of the mixture, surfactant was added at a concentration of 1% v/v. The surfactant is span 80 and tween 80 with hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) = 8 [15]. The concentration of nanoparticles is 0, 100, 200, and 300 ppm. The ppm concentration calculated by mass. The nanoparticles biodiesel mixture observed for 24 hours to ensure stability. After ensuring that the mixture was stable, combustion and characterization tests are carried out.

2.3. Characterization

Figure 1 shows the result of the CIME chromatography test. A chromatography test used to estimate the air-fuel ratio. The chromatography test was carried out at the Jember Polytechnic Bioscience Laboratory. The peaks and areas of 1 to 8 is indicates the percentage of molecules from Methyl laurate to Methyl arachistate. Table 2 shows the percentage of molecules composition of CIME from the chromatography test.

| No  | Name of molecule          | Molecular formula | (%)   |
|-----|---------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 1   | Methyl laurate            | C_{13}H_{26}O_{20} | 0.62  |
| 2   | Methyl myristate          | C_{15}H_{30}O_{22} | 2.97  |
| 3   | Methyl arachidinate       | C_{21}H_{34}O_{21} | 1.44  |
| 4   | Methyl palmitate          | C_{17}H_{34}O_{25} | 43.77 |
| 5   | Methyl margarate          | C_{18}H_{36}O_{20} | 0.41  |
| 6   | Methyl oleate             | C_{19}H_{36}O_{24} | 45.82 |
| 7   | Methyl linoleate          | C_{20}H_{41}O_{22} | 2.25  |
| 8   | Methyl arachistate        | C_{21}H_{42}O_{22} | 2.71  |

![Figure 1. Chromatograph of CIME](image-url)
Table 3 shows the physiochemical results test of biodiesel and mixtures. Physiochemical testing of CIME30 and mixture was carried out to determine the effect of adding nanoparticles on density, kinematic viscosity, flash point, and heating calorific value. The characteristics of CIME30 and mixture was tested in Sepuluh Nopember Institute of Technology, Surabaya. γAl₂O₃ nanoparticles affect the physiochemical of fuels. The addition of γAl₂O₃ nanoparticles decreased the viscosity of CIME [18], [23], [33] and low viscosity enhanced atomization of fuel [15]. The addition of nanoparticles also increased the heating calorific value of the combustion and flashpoint [34], [35]. The flashpoint decreased with the addition of the nanoparticles. This is also in line with Gad 2020 [15].

### 2.4. Experimental setup and procedure

Bunsen burner that made from stainless steel used to determine the premixed flame characteristics of the CIME30 and its mixtures. Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental setup. Fuel flowed at a constant speed using a syringe pump. The fuel and air heated at 473 K at inner wall temperature of stainlesssteel pipe. The dimension of bunsen burner is 10 mm of inner diameter and 12 mm of outer diameter. The air flowed by a pressurized compressor and regulated using 0.5 LPM of airflow meter. The air varied from an equivalent ratio of 0.67 to 1.17. The Canon EOS m100 used to take videos. The video settings are 60 fps, shutter speed 1/1250, F6.3, and ISO 1250. Then, videos was converted into image using Image-J.

### Table 3. Properties of fuel (CIME30)

| Fuel       | Density 15 °C (g/cm³) | Kinematic viscosity 40 °C (CST) | Flash point (°C) | Calorific value (Kcal/Kg) | Ref.  |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| CIME 0 ppm | 0.8558                | 5.5                             | 101              | 10,295.00                 | Present study |
| CIME 100 ppm | 0.8641               | 5                               | 100              | 10,498.40                 |       |
| CIME 200 ppm | 0.8535               | 4.4                             | 104              | 10,445.40                 |       |
| CIME 300 ppm | 0.8553               | 3.6                             | 97               | 10,571.00                 |       |
| PMEA 10 ppm | -                    | 4.8                             | 130              | -                         | Krupakaran [18] |
| PMEA 125 ppm | -                    | 4.76                            | 160              | -                         |       |
| PMEA 50 ppm | -                    | 4.74                            | 168              | -                         |       |
| PMEA 175 ppm | -                    | 4.73                            | 172              | -                         |       |
| PMEA1 100 ppm | -                    | 4.73                            | 180              | -                         |       |
| Diesel     | 0.84                  | 2.84                            | 68               | 10,189.00                 |       |
| BDE        | 0.8402                | 2.86                            | 20               | 9,549.54                  | Venu [23] |
| BDE+AL     | 0.8372                | 2.57                            | 22               | 9,356.31                  |       |
| PBD        | -                     | 3.84                            | 54 (D-976)       | 9,406.71                  | Venu [33] |
| PBD+25 Al₂O₃ | -                    | 3.92                            | 55 (D-976)       | 9,492.93                  |       |
| PBD+50 Al₂O₃ | -                    | 3.98                            | 58 (D-976)       | 9,557.66                  |       |

**Figure 2.** Schematic diagram of the test setup
Flame height and angle are primary data. Primary data converted into laminar flame speed data using Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). Then, Figure 3 shows the illustration of the cone bunsen flame angle.

![Figure 3. Illustration of cone bunsen flame angle](image)

The laminar flame speed is determined by Eq.(1):

$$SI = U_o \sin \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

With:

- $SI$: laminar flame speed (cm/s)
- $U_o$: Speed of reactants (cm/s)
- $\alpha$: half of the bunsen burner flame angle ($^\circ$)

The speed of the reactants is determined by Eq.(2):

$$U_o = \frac{Q_{\text{fuel}} + Q_{\text{air}}}{A_b}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

With:

- $Q_{\text{fuel}}$: fuel flow rate (cm$^3$/s)
- $Q_{\text{air}}$: air flow rate (cm$^3$/s)
- $A_b$: burner cross-sectional area (cm$^2$)

The experimental test was carried out from the equivalent ratio $\phi = 0.67 - 1.17$, where the equality ratio is defined in the Eq.(3).

$$\phi = \frac{(Q_{\text{fuel}}/Q_{\text{air}})_{\text{actual}}}{(Q_{\text{fuel}}/Q_{\text{air}})_{\text{stoic}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Finally, Figure 4 shows the image processing using the Image-J program. Figure 4a shows the image from the camera (digital picture), Figure 4b shows the edges of flame from Image-J, and Figure 4c shows the measurement of angle from Image-J.

![Figure 4. Method of image processing](image)

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Flame stability

Figure 5 shows the corresponding flame images of CIME30 0 ppm, 100 ppm, 200 ppm, and 300 ppm. The result show that the brighter the flame color was found to increase with the $\gamma\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ concentration.

![Figure 5. Photo of the experimental bunsen flame](image)
The oxygen molecule broken down from $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ reacts with the fuel causing excess oxygen. Oxygen molecules turn the flame brighter. This also occurs in the combustion of C$_3$H$_6$/O$_2$/CO$_2$. The higher the oxygen concentration had been the brighter the flame contour and the stronger the combustion reaction rate [31].

Figure 6 shows the flame stability map. The red solid triangle symbol is to indicate that there was a stable flame. The black solid triangle symbol is to indicate that there was a diffusion flame. The redline triangle symbol is to indicate that there was blow-off the flame.

![Figure 6. Flame stability map](image)

Diffusion flame occurs due to excess fuel. Excess fuel reacts with the ambient air and produces a diffuse flame. The combustion of CIME30 biodiesel without the addition of nano $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ shows that the stable flame was occurred at an equivalent ratio of 0.76 to 1.17. CIME30 with the addition of nano $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$: 100, 200, and 300 ppm stable flame was occurred at an equivalence ratio of 0.67 to 1.17. This happened because of the catalytic effect from nano $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$. Catalysts have taken place in combustion under fuel-lean conditions [37].

3.2. Effect of nanoparticles on laminar flame speed

Figure 7 shows experimental of laminar flame speed biodiesel and mixture. Then, Figure 8 shows a comparison of present study’s laminar flame speed with previous studies. Experiments indicate that laminar flame speed increased and decreased with increasing equivalence ratio. The trend is the same with other hydrocarbon combustion research as petrodiesel, palm oil methyl ester, CH$_4$, and ethyl-benzene [30]. The highest laminar flame speed occurred at the equivalence ratio of 0.83. The highest laminar flame speed of CIME30 0, 100, 200, and 300 ppm were 30.77 ± 2%, 34.50 ± 3%, 35.90 ± 3%, 38.45 ± 2% cm/s respectively. This research is in line with the Combustion of Kapok (Ceiba pentandra) seed oil research on Perforated Burner. Combustion of Ceiba pentandra seed oil has required a lot of air so that the highest flame speed occurs at an equivalence ratio of < 1 (fuel-lean) conditions [29].

![Figure 7. Laminar flame speed of biodiesel and mixture](image)

![Figure 8. Comparison of laminar flame speeds](image)
heating temperature of ethyl-benzene/air is greater than in our study. The higher the preheating temperature, the higher the laminar flame speed [38]. Ethyl-benzenes is one of the alkylbenzenes molecules aromatic class found in diesel fuel [39]. Ethyl-benzene/air has a peak laminar flame speed which tends to be rich in fuel. CH₄/air mixture laminar flame speed 300 K has a laminar flame speed peak that is almost the same as our research CIME30 300 ppm, but a different equivalent ratio.

γAl₂O₃ has catalytic properties. This statement is also reinforced by the results of fuel characterization. The higher the concentration of nano alumina has added to biodiesel the higher the calorific heating value of the combustion. γAl₂O₃ are nanoparticles that have high purity and excellent dispersion and high specific surface, with resistance to high temperatures and inert, and high activity [40]. Figure 9 shows a graph of laminar flame speed vs the concentration of γAl₂O₃ in part per million (ppm). γAl₂O₃ has affected the laminar flame speed of biodiesel. Experiments show that laminar flame speed has increased with the increase of nano γAl₂O₃ concentration.

![Graph of Laminar Flame Speed vs. γAl₂O₃ Concentration](image)

**Figure 9.** SI vs γAl₂O₃: nano particles concentration

The CIME30 laminar flame speed increased by 3%, 6%, and 14% on average with the addition of γAl₂O₃ of 100, 200, 300 ppm, respectively across all equivalent ratios. Equivalent ratio 0.83, the laminar flame speed increased by 3%, 7%, 16% with addition of a2o3 100, 200, 300ppm respectively. This is in line with Huang’s study [41] which states that the increase in the percentage of nanoparticles within the mixture enhanced its flame speed. Flame speed of laminar butane-air increases by 18.9% and 29.1% in terms of the addition of aluminum nanoparticles by 2 wt% and 5 wt%, respectively [25]. The flame speed will likely increase due to the release of heat energy from the aluminum nanoparticles [42]. Moreover, low viscosity enhanced atomization of fuel. higher atomization rate resulted in a better air-fuel mixture for complete combustion [12],[15].

γAl₂O₃ also shows catalytic properties in diesel engine combustion. characteristics of fuel and combustion were increase indicated by adding nanoparticles [15]. The nano-sized particles have a reactive surface that aids reactivity as a potential catalyst. The nanoparticles in the biodiesel blends increase the surface area to volume ratio for better catalytic effect and better combustion. The combustion of nanoparticles occurs in two stages. In the first stage (primary stage), nano additives tend to mix with biodiesel as a binding mechanism and their chemical structures tend to break so that they accelerate the reaction.

\[
\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O} + 2\text{O} \quad (4) \\
\text{Al}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Al} + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \quad (5)
\]

In the second stage (secondary stage) the oxygen molecules present in the γAl₂O₃ structure are liberated. This liberated oxygen together with higher heat reacts with unburned hydrocarbons and thereby increases the rate of combustion [26].

4. Conclusion

The main objective of this study was to determine the effect of γAl₂O₃ concentration and its equivalent ratio on the laminar flame speed of calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester 30% (CIME30). Laminar flame speeds were investigated using a bunsen burner at a fixed temperature and atmospheric pressure. γAl₂O₃ was chosen because it can improve the characteristics of biodiesel according to previous studies. It also happens in our research that γAl₂O₃ increased the heating calorific value of combustion up to 10,571.0 kcal/kg, and reduced the viscosity up to 3.6 cSt. The equivalent ratio (ϕ) was 0.67 until 1.7. The concentrations of γAl₂O₃ added were 100, 200, and 300 ppm. The highest
The laminar flame speed of CIME30 and its mixture has occurred at $\phi = 0.83$. The laminar flame speed increased by 3%, 7%, 16% at CIME30 100, 200, and 300 ppm, respectively. In addition to the laminar flame speed, the flame stability of CIME30 with $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ was increased. CIME30 with nano $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ 100, 200, and 300 ppm, flame was stable at an equivalent ratio $\phi = 0.67$. However, the CIME30 without the nano $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ the flame was left off at an equivalent ratio $\phi = 0.67$. This occurs due to the catalytic effect of $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ on biodiesel and its mixtures.
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Nomenclature

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $\Phi$ | Mixture equivalence ratio |
| BDE | Mixture of biodiesel (20%), diesel (70%), and ethanol (10%) |
| BDE+AL | Mixture of biodiesel (20%), diesel (70%), and ethanol (10%), Al$_2$O$_3$ 25ppm |
| CIME | Calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester |
| CIME30 | Mixture of calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester 30% and petrodiesel 70% |
| CIME 0 ppm | Mixture of calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester 30% and petrodiesel 70% $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ 100ppm |
| CIME 100 ppm | Mixture of calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester 30% and petrodiesel 70% $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ 200ppm |
| CIME 200 ppm | Mixture of calophyllum inophyllum methyl ester 30% and petrodiesel 70% $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ 300ppm |
| CTAB | Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide |
| PMEAl | Palm oil methyl ester biodiesel + $\gamma$Al$_2$O$_3$ |
| J20 | Jatropha biodiesel 20% + petrodiesel 80% |
| HLB | Hydrophilic-lipophilic balance |
| PBD | Polanga biodiesel |
| PBD+25 Al$_2$O$_3$ | Mixture of polanga biodiesel + 25 ppm Al$_2$O$_3$ |
| PBD+50 Al$_2$O$_3$ | Mixture of polanga biodiesel + 50ppm Al$_2$O$_3$ |
| PME | Palm oil methyl ester |
| Sl | laminar flame speed (cm/s) |
| TEM | Scanning electron microscope |
| XRD | X-ray diffraction |
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