Lifestyle behaviour in adolescence and musculoskeletal pain 11 years later: The Trøndelag Health Study
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Abstract

Background: There is limited knowledge on the association between lifestyle behaviour in adolescence and musculoskeletal pain in young adulthood. This study aimed to investigate whether an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents with and without musculoskeletal pain at baseline, was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain (pain duration ≥3 consecutive months the last year) 11 years later.

Methods: Longitudinal data from the Trøndelag Health Study in Norway including 1824 adolescents (13–19 years old) was analysed. The outcome was persistent musculoskeletal pain (≥3 months). The number of adverse lifestyle behaviours (low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit/vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication [drunkenness] and/or illicit drug use) were summed up to comprise an ordinal variable and analysed with 0 or 1 adverse behaviours as the reference. Multiple logistic regression analyses, stratified by individuals with and without baseline musculoskeletal pain, were conducted. The results were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Results: In adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline, reporting ≥ four adverse lifestyle behaviours increased the odds of persistent musculoskeletal pain (OR 2.23, 95% CI 1.36, 3.66) 11 years later. Two and three adverse behaviours were not associated with future persistent musculoskeletal pain. In adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline, an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours was not associated with future persistent musculoskeletal pain.

Conclusion: An accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later, but not in adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline.

Significance: An accumulation of four or more adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain in young adulthood. In future health care of adolescents with musculoskeletal pain, lifestyle behaviours should be assessed, with emphasis...
1 | INTRODUCTION

Persistent musculoskeletal pain (≥3 months duration) is prevalent already in adolescence and young adulthood (Hagen et al., 2011; Malmborg et al., 2019). In 15–19-year-olds and 20–24-year-olds, musculoskeletal pain is the fourth and second leading cause of years lived with disability, respectively, and from 20 years of age, it is the leading cause (Vos et al., 2016). Furthermore, experiencing musculoskeletal pain in young ages increases the risk of later episodes (Jeffries et al., 2007; Øiestad et al., 2020). Consequently, the knowledge of early modifiable risk and prognostic factors is important to design intervention studies and potentially prevent future persistent musculoskeletal pain.

Systematic reviews of longitudinal studies on children and adolescents have reported that smoking might increase the risk of musculoskeletal pain, while body mass index (BMI) probably does not (Huguet et al., 2016). Sleep problems might increase the risk of pain in some body regions and subgroups, but not for musculoskeletal pain in general (Andreucci et al., 2017). For physical activity level, results from a systematic review of longitudinal and cross-sectional studies indicated that both very high and very low activity levels were associated with low back pain in children and adolescents (Kędra et al., 2021). For alcohol consumption and future low back pain, the results from longitudinal studies are inconsistent (Hestbaek et al., 2006a; Smith et al., 2017), while there are few studies examining the association between other lifestyle behaviours in adolescence, such as diet and illicit drug use, and future musculoskeletal pain. For young adults (18–29 years of age), there is conflicting evidence on associations between physical activity, smoking, BMI and future back pain (Øiestad et al., 2020), and no associations have been reported for either physical activity or BMI with future neck pain (Jahre et al., 2020). In adolescents with musculoskeletal pain, a systematic review reported that smoking and sleep-related problems have been found as potential prognostic factors across two and five cohort studies, respectively (Pourbordbari et al., 2019).

Lifestyle behaviours often cluster (Uddin et al., 2020). As the existing literature on individual lifestyle behaviours and musculoskeletal pain is inconsistent, investigating the cluster effect, i.e. of multiple adverse lifestyle behaviours, might be beneficial. Longitudinal studies on adults have indicated that healthy levels of physical activity and fruit/vegetables, low alcohol intake and no smoking combined, protected against incident back pain (Pronk et al., 2010), long-duration troublesome low back pain in men, and long-duration troublesome neck pain in women (Skillgate et al., 2017). Furthermore, a combination of healthy behaviours influenced the prognosis of neck pain (Bohman et al., 2019) and low back pain in women (Bohman et al., 2014). In adolescents, cross-sectional analyses of the present study’s baseline data showed an association between an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours and persistent pain (≥3 months) (Hoftun et al., 2012). Evidence of whether multiple adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescence increases the long-term risk of musculoskeletal pain is, however, lacking.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours, including low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit/vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication (drunkenness) and/or illicit drug use, in adolescents with and without musculoskeletal pain at baseline, was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain (pain duration ≥3 consecutive months the last year) 11 years later.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and sample

Data for this cohort study was obtained from a large population-based study in Norway; the Trøndelag Health Study (HUNT) (Åsvold et al., 2022; Holmen et al., 2013). Data from the third adolescent survey (Young-HUNT3) collected from 2006 to 2008, and the fourth adult survey (HUNT4) collected 11 years later (2017–2019) were used. All inhabitants aged 13–19 years living in Nord-Trøndelag were invited to participate in Young-HUNT3. The participants were recruited through the local schools, and those who consented to participate completed a comprehensive questionnaire during school hours. Participants were invited to a subsequent clinical examination, including measurements of weight and height. Adolescents not attending school were contacted by mail and invited to attend the examination at the nearest field station. An invitation letter to participate in the next survey (HUNT4) was sent by mail, and the participants were asked to complete an electronic questionnaire and to undertake physical tests at a local field station.

Of 10,464 adolescents invited to participate in Young-HUNT3, 8199 (78.4%) responded to the questionnaire. Of
these, 277 participants were excluded in our study due to age ≥ 20 years, juvenile arthritis, or incomplete pain data at baseline, resulting in 7922 adolescents who met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). All participants who were alive and still lived in Norway during the next follow-up were invited to participate in HUNT4. A total of 1824 (23%) participants responded to the HUNT4 survey after 11 years and had complete outcome data for the present study. To investigate the exposure as both a potential “prognostic factor” and a potential “risk factor” in this study, the sample was divided in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain and adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline, respectively.

The study protocol for this study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2019/517/REK Midt) in Norway, and the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (543422). All participants, and the parents or guardians of adolescents under the age of 16 years, signed a written informed consent form. The study protocol was published at ClinicalTrials.gov website (NCT04575974). The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines have been followed (von Elm et al., 2008) (Table S1).

2.2 | Primary outcome

The primary outcome, persistent musculoskeletal pain at follow-up, was defined as musculoskeletal pain lasting for at least three consecutive months (Treede et al., 2015), during the last year. It was measured by the question “In the last year, have you had pain in muscles and joints that has lasted at least 3 consecutive months?” presented together with a body chart. The participants who answered “yes” on this question were categorized as having persistent musculoskeletal pain at follow-up.

FIGURE 1 Flow chart of the study sample
2.3 | Musculoskeletal pain assessment at baseline

Musculoskeletal pain at baseline was assessed by a questionnaire developed by Mikkelsen et al. (1996), which included a question of how often the participants had experienced pain unrelated to any known disease or acute injury during the last 3 months, accompanied by a body chart and a list of pain sites. The response alternatives were “seldom/never”, “about once a month”, “about once a week”, “several times a week” and “almost every day”. The participants who reported pain in the neck/shoulder, upper back, lower back, chest, left arm, right arm, left leg and/or right leg, once a month or more often during the last 3 months, were categorized as having musculoskeletal pain at baseline. The participants who reported musculoskeletal pain seldom/never the last 3 months were categorized as free of musculoskeletal pain at baseline. The participants who reported musculoskeletal pain seldom/never the last 3 months were categorized as having musculoskeletal pain at baseline. The participants who reported musculoskeletal pain seldom/never the last 3 months were categorized as free of musculoskeletal pain at baseline.

2.4 | Potential risk and prognostic factor

The number of adverse lifestyle behaviours was computed as a sum of six dichotomised individual behaviours, including low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit and vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication (drunkenness) and illicit drug use, as described below. The variable was arranged as an ordinal variable and expressed as 0–1 (reference), 2, 3 and ≥4 adverse lifestyle behaviours in the model of individuals with musculoskeletal pain at baseline, and 0–1 (reference), 2 and ≥3 adverse lifestyle behaviours in the model of individuals without musculoskeletal pain at baseline, due to a low number of individuals with 4 or more adverse behaviours in the latter group.

The physical activity level was assessed with a question asking how many days a week the adolescents on average played sports or exercised outside school hours, to the point where they breathed heavily and/or got sweat. The question was adopted from the World Health Organization (WHO), Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study (Currie et al., 2014). The questionnaire has been evaluated as reliable and correlates with cardiorespiratory fitness, especially for girls (Rangul et al., 2008). A cut-off point at ≤1 day per week was used to classify low physical activity level, in accordance with previous research (Guddal et al., 2017; Rangul et al., 2008).

Sleep problems were assessed with the two questions “do you have trouble falling asleep at night?” and “do you wake up early and do not fall asleep again?” The response alternatives were “almost every night”, “often”, “sometimes” and “never”. Answering “often” or “almost every night” on one or both questions were classified as sleep problems. The questions were developed by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health for the Young-HUNT study and have not been formally validated in adolescents.

Fruit and vegetables consumption was measured by questions of consumption frequency inspired by the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) used in the WHO HBSC study (Currie et al., 2014), which has been evaluated as reliable and has shown good agreement with a 24-hour food behaviour checklist in adolescents (Vereecken & Maes, 2003). Answering once a day or less frequent on either the question of fruits consumption or the question of vegetables was classified as insufficient consumption, while reporting “several times a day” on both questions was considered a sufficient consumption, as this corresponds better to the recommendation of five servings of fruits and vegetables a day (World Health Organization, 2020).

Smoking was measured by the question “Do you smoke?” with the response alternatives “Yes, I smoke about ... cigarettes daily”, “Yes, I smoke occasionally”, “No, I do not currently smoke, but earlier I smoked occasionally”, “No, I do not currently smoke, but earlier I smoked about ... cigarettes daily” and “No, I do not smoke”. In the analyses, current daily and occasional smokers were defined as smokers.

Frequent alcohol intoxication (drunkenness) was measured by the questions “have you ever tried drinking alcohol?” and “have you ever been drinking so much alcohol that you felt intoxicated (drunk)?” The questions are adopted from the WHO HBSC study (Currie et al., 2014). Reporting to have been intoxicated >10 times were classified as frequent alcohol intoxication, in accordance with previous Young-HUNT studies (Junker et al., 2017; Strandheim et al., 2009; Strandheim et al., 2010), while intoxicated ≤10 times or never been drinking alcohol were defined as infrequent episodes. As lifetime alcohol intoxication is higher in the older adolescent age groups, a lower threshold (2–3 episodes) of intoxications for the younger age group (13–15 years) was explored in sensitivity analyses.

Illicit drug use was measured by the question “have you ever tried hash, marijuana or other drugs?” Answering “yes” on this question was classified as illicit drug use. The question was developed by the Norwegian Institute of Public Health for the Young-HUNT study and has not been formally validated in adolescents.

2.5 | Background factors and potential confounders

Potential confounders were selected based on available literature and assumed theoretical associations. Age, sex, perceived family affluence (socioeconomic
status) (Hanson & Chen, 2007; Huguet et al., 2016), psychological distress (Audrain-McGovern et al., 2015; Crum et al., 2008; Huguet et al., 2016; Pourbordbari et al., 2019) and chronic diseases (Hestbaek et al., 2006b; Jones et al., 2006; Suris & Parera, 2005) were included as potential confounders in the analyses of both individuals with and without musculoskeletal pain at baseline. Additionally, number of pain sites (Pourbordbari et al., 2019) and pain impact on daily activities (Pate et al., 2020) were included in the analysis of individuals with musculoskeletal pain at baseline.

Perceived family affluence was used as a measure of socioeconomic status. It was measured by the question “how well off do you think your family is compared to most others?” with the response options “below others”, “average” and “above others”. The question is inspired by a measure in the WHO HBSC study (Currie et al., 2014). In the analyses, the response alternatives “average” and “above average” were merged.

Psychological distress, including symptoms of anxiety and depression experienced the last 2 weeks, was measured by a 5-item version of the Hopkins Symptom Checklist (SCL-5) (Derogatis et al., 1974; Strand et al., 2003). The five items were rated on a four-point scale ranging from 1 (not at all bothered) to 4 (extremely bothered), and a mean score was calculated. This shortened version has been evaluated as reliable and is highly correlated with the longer versions, SCL-25 and SCL-10 (Strand et al., 2003), in which the latter one has been validated as a suitable instrument for detecting depressive symptoms in Norwegian adolescents (Haavet et al., 2011).

Other chronic diseases included reported asthma, diabetes, migraine and/or epilepsy. The diseases were measured with the question “has a doctor diagnosed you with:” followed by each of the diseases. The questions have not been formally validated in adolescents.

Pain impact on daily activities at baseline was measured by the question “Have the pain made it difficult for you to maintain daily activities?” with the subcategories “at school” and “in leisure time”. Adolescents with musculoskeletal pain who answered “yes” or “yes, sometimes” on either of the categories, combined with confirming pain in muscle or joints as the reason, were categorized as having musculoskeletal pain with impact on daily activities at baseline. The questions have not been formally validated in adolescents.

Number of pain sites at baseline included the number of musculoskeletal pain sites, headache, abdominal pain, and other pain, reported at least monthly the last 3 months at baseline. The variable was used as a dichotomised variable and categorised into ≤2 pain sites and ≥3 pain sites. A cut-off of ≥3 pain sites was also included in the definitions of chronic multisite pain (Skrove et al., 2015) and diffuse idiopathic pain (Hoftun et al., 2011) in previous Young-HUNT studies.

Sex (girl/boy) and age were measured. BMI (kg/m²) was calculated based on objective measurements of weight and height.

2.6 Statistical analyses

Variables were presented as counts and percentages if categorical, with mean and standard deviation (SD) if continuous and normally distributed, and with median and range if skewed. To assess possible selection bias, characteristics (e.g., sex, age, perceived family affluence) of respondents in the follow-up survey were compared to characteristics of non-respondents and respondents with incomplete outcome data, using independent T-test for normally distributed continuous data, Mann–Whitney U test for skewed data and Chi-square tests for categorical data.

Logistic regression analyses were used to estimate the association between the number of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescence and persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later. Individuals with musculoskeletal pain at baseline and individuals without musculoskeletal pain at baseline were analysed separately. In all models, persistent musculoskeletal pain was considered a binary outcome (presence of persistent musculoskeletal pain yes/no). The associations were investigated in crude and adjusted analyses and reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Adjustments were conducted in two blocks; firstly for sociodemographic factors only (age, sex, perceived family affluence), secondly for sociodemographic factors and health-related factors (psychological distress, chronic diseases, pain impact on daily activities and number of pain sites [the latter two in the analyses of adolescents with musculoskeletal pain only]).

Sensitivity analyses were performed with lower threshold of alcohol intoxications (intoxicated 2–3 times) for the younger age group (13–15 years old), as lifetime alcohol intoxication is higher in the older adolescent age groups. To get an estimate of the individual contribution of each lifestyle behaviour, we conducted additional logistic regression analyses examining the association between individual lifestyle behaviours in adolescence and persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors.

For the regression analyses, missing data on exposure and confounders were replaced using a chained equation multiple imputation method, aiming to reduce potential selection bias due to missing data. All variables used in the regression analyses were used in the imputation model (White et al., 2011). To make the missing at
random (MAR) assumption of the multiple imputation model more plausible, we also included some auxiliary variables (weekly musculoskeletal pain at baseline, BMI and current alcohol use [yes/no]) that were associated with variables to be imputed and/or with missingness in the variables (White et al., 2011). The outcome variable was included in the imputation model, but not imputed. Forty datasets were created. Complete case analyses were conducted for comparison.

P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All the analyses were conducted with STATA statistical software system, version 16 (StataCorp., 2019).

3 | RESULTS

Characteristics of the study sample are presented in Table 1. At baseline, 65% of the adolescents reported musculoskeletal pain at least monthly the last 3 months. In the subsample of adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline, there was a predominance of girls (69.7%), and the mean age at baseline was 16.1 (SD 1.8). In the subsample of adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline there was 54.6% girls, and the mean age at baseline was 15.8 (SD 1.7) years.

Those who were lost to follow-up or with incomplete outcome data were more likely to be males, younger, to have an additional chronic disease, to have less psychological distress, to have a lower number of pain sites and to smoke compared to those with complete data at follow-up (Table 2).

3.1 | Lifestyle behaviour in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline and persistent musculoskeletal pain at follow-up

In adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline, a total of 36.6%, 39.7% and 45.3% of those reporting at least monthly, at least weekly and musculoskeletal pain several times a week or daily the last 3 months at baseline, respectively, reported persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up. Adolescents with ≥4 adverse lifestyle behaviours at baseline had more than doubled odds of persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up compared to those with 0 or 1 adverse lifestyle behaviour, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors (OR 2.23, 95% CI 1.36, 3.66) (Table 3). Having 2 (OR 1.03, 95% CI 0.76, 1.38) and 3 adverse lifestyle behaviours (OR 1.06, 95% CI 0.71, 1.58) in adolescence were not statistically significantly associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors (Table 3).

Sensitivity analyses with lower thresholds of frequent alcohol intoxications of the younger age group revealed similar results as the main analyses (Table S2). Analyses of individual lifestyle behaviours, in the group of adolescents with baseline musculoskeletal pain, showed a statistically significant association between smoking in adolescence and persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors (OR 1.74, 95% CI 1.24, 2.43). We found no statistically significant associations between low physical activity level (OR 1.20, 95% CI 0.91, 1.58), sleep problems (OR 1.10, 95% CI 0.80, 1.51), frequent alcohol intoxication (OR 1.0, 95% CI 0.72, 1.39), illicit drug use (OR 1.59, 95% CI 0.85, 2.95) or low intake of fruit/vegetables (OR 1.13, 95% CI 0.75, 1.70) in adolescence and persistent musculoskeletal pain after 11 years, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors.

3.2 | Lifestyle behaviour in adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline and persistent musculoskeletal pain at follow-up

In adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline, 21.2% reported persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up. In this group, having 2 (OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.64, 1.61) and ≥3 adverse lifestyle behaviours (OR 1.14, 95% CI 0.57, 2.30) at baseline, were not statistically significantly associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors (Table 4).

Sensitivity analyses with lower thresholds of frequent alcohol intoxications of the younger age group revealed similar results as the main analyses (Table S3). The analyses of individual lifestyle behaviours, in the group of adolescents without baseline musculoskeletal pain, showed no statistically significant associations between low physical activity level (OR 1.39, 95% CI 0.88, 2.20), sleep problems (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.38, 1.51), frequent alcohol intoxication (OR 1.22, 95% CI 0.66, 2.28) or low intake of fruit/vegetables (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.44, 1.32) in adolescence and persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up, adjusted for sociodemographic and health factors. The associations between smoking and illicit drug use in adolescence and persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up were not estimated due to few exposed cases.

Contrasted with analyses of the imputed data, the results from the complete case analyses (Tables S4 and S5) indicated a slightly higher odds for persistent musculoskeletal pain at the 11-year follow-up for adolescents with baseline musculoskeletal pain ≥4 adverse lifestyle behaviours compared to the reference group (0/1) (OR 2.77, 95% CI 1.60, 4.82 in complete cases [N = 811] vs. OR 2.23,
The aim of this study was to investigate whether an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescence was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain (pain duration ≥3 consecutive months the last year) 11 years later. The results showed, after adjustment for sociodemographic and health factors, that an accumulation of four or more adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline, including any combination of low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit/vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication and illicit drug use, was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later. An accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline was in this study not associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later.

### DISCUSSION

95% CI 1.36, 3.66 in imputed data [N = 1186]), and for adolescents without baseline musculoskeletal pain and ≥3 adverse lifestyle behaviours compared to the reference group (0/1) (OR 1.27, 95% CI 0.57, 2.82 in complete cases [N = 452] vs. OR 1.14, 95% CI 0.57, 2.30 in imputed data [N = 638]).
| Characteristics                                      | Respondents (n = 1824) | Non-respondents (n = 6098) | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age, y, mean (SD)                                    | 16.0 (1.8)             | 15.8 (1.7)                | <0.001  |
| Sex, girls, n (%)                                    | 1175 (64.4)            | 2836 (46.5)               | <0.001  |
| Perceived family affluence, n (%)                   |                        |                           |         |
| Average / above average                              | 1583 (91.7)            | 5153 (90.5)               | 0.13    |
| Below average                                        | 143 (8.3)              | 541 (9.5)                 |         |
| Missing, n                                           | 98                     | 404                       |         |
| Body mass index (BMI), median (min-max)              | 21.4 (14.1–40.1)       | 21.5 (13.7–48.8)          | 0.38    |
| Physical activity level, n (%)                       |                        |                           |         |
| Moderate / high level                                | 1349 (74.8)            | 4589 (76.1)               | 0.26    |
| Low level                                            | 455 (25.2)             | 1443 (23.9)               |         |
| Missing, n                                           | 104                    | 468                       |         |
| Servings of fruits and vegetables, n (%)             |                        |                           |         |
| Several times a day                                  | 207 (11.6)             | 730 (12.3)                | 0.39    |
| Fruits and/or vegetables ≤ once a day                | 1585 (88.4)            | 5201 (87.7)               |         |
| Missing, n                                           | 32                     | 167                       |         |
| Sleep problems, n (%)                                |                        |                           |         |
| Sometimes / never                                    | 1459 (83.0)            | 4746 (81.1)               | 0.06    |
| Often / almost every night                           | 298 (17.0)             | 1107 (18.9)               |         |
| Missing, n                                           | 67                     | 245                       |         |
| Smoking, yes, n (%)                                  | 219 (12.2)             | 924 (15.4)                | 0.001   |
| Missing, n                                           | 33                     | 96                        |         |
| Alcohol intoxication, n (%)                          |                        |                           |         |
| 0–10 times                                           | 1079 (71.9)            | 3513 (72.1)               | 0.88    |
| > 10 times                                           | 422 (28.1)             | 1360 (27.9)               |         |
| Missing, n                                           | 323                    | 1225                      |         |
| Illicit drug use, yes, n (%)                         | 53 (2.9)               | 222 (3.7)                 | 0.12    |
| Missing, n                                           | 12                     | 78                        |         |
| Psychological distress*, median (min-max)            | 1.4 (1–4)              | 1.4 (1–4)                 | 0.01 f  |
| mean (SD)                                            | 1.52 (0.54)            | 1.49 (0.55)               |         |
| Missing, n                                           | 41                     | 185                       |         |
| Chronic diseases b, yes, n (%)                       | 383 (21.6)             | 1407 (23.9)               | 0.047   |
| Missing, n                                           | 54                     | 215                       |         |

aPsychological distress, Hopkins Symptom Checklist – 5 (SCL-5), 1–4.
bChronic diseases, include asthma, diabetes, migraine and epilepsy.
cNumber of adverse lifestyle behaviours, include low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit/vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication and illicit drug use.
dNon-respondents include both those who did not attend the follow-up study at all, and those who did not answer the pain outcome of interest.
eCategorical data analysed with Chi-square test, normally distributed continuous data (age) analysed with T-test, skewed data (BMI and psychological distress) analysed with Mann–Whitney U test.
fThe two distributions were both highly skewed and statistically significantly different despite the median being similar.
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate an accumulation of lifestyle behaviours in adolescence in relation to persistent musculoskeletal pain in adulthood, in a large population-based study. Our findings of an association between an accumulation of lifestyle behaviours and future persistent musculoskeletal pain in individuals with baseline pain, show similarities with findings from two previous studies on pain prognosis in adults. The studies reported that a combination of healthy lifestyle behaviours reduced the risk of long-duration troublesome low back pain in women with occasional low back pain (Bohman et al., 2014), and long-duration troublesome neck pain in men and women with occasional neck pain (Bohman et al., 2019). In our study, an accumulation of four or more adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescence, irrespective of type, was associated with future persistent musculoskeletal pain, despite non-significant associations for all individual lifestyle behaviours, except smoking. The results indicate the importance of examining a combination of lifestyle behaviours rather than only assessing individual behaviours in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain. Importantly, the mechanisms behind the association are not known. To increase this knowledge, we need further research on potential biological, psychological and social mechanisms.

The present study revealed no association between an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline and future development of persistent musculoskeletal pain. The highest exposure of accumulated behaviours investigated was three or more, due to few cases with more adverse behaviours in this group. Whether investigating a higher number of behaviours through a larger sample would affect the results is uncertain. Previous studies on adults have indicated that three

### Table 3

| Number of adverse lifestyle behaviours | Exposed cases in the imputed data | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted 1[^a] OR (95% CI) | Adjusted 2[^b] OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| None or one                           | 174–181                           | 1 (Reference)     | 1 (Reference)            | 1 (Reference)            |
| Two                                   | 133–140                           | 1.07 (0.81, 1.41) | 1.11 (0.83, 1.48)        | 1.03 (0.76, 1.38)        |
| Three                                 | 62–68                             | 1.20 (0.84, 1.73) | 1.26 (0.86, 1.85)        | 1.06 (0.71, 1.58)        |
| Four or more                          | 53–57                             | 2.48 (1.60, 3.85) | 2.70 (1.68, 4.35)        | 2.23 (1.36, 3.66)        |

Note: Imputed data. Associations with a p-value <0.05 in bold.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

[^a]: Number of adverse lifestyle behaviours include low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit and vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication and illicit drug use. None or one adverse lifestyle behaviour, n = 520–534; two, n = 520–534; three, n = 520–534; four or more, n = 520–534.
[^b]: Analyses adjusted for sociodemographic factors (age, sex and perceived family affluence).
[^c]: Analyses adjusted for sociodemographic factors (age, sex and perceived family affluence) and health factors (psychological distress [SCL-5], chronic diseases, number of pain sites and pain impact on daily activities).
[^d]: Analyses adjusted for sociodemographic factors (age, sex and perceived family affluence) and health factors (psychological distress [SCL-5] and chronic diseases).

### Table 4

| Number of adverse lifestyle behaviours | Exposed cases in the imputed data | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted 1[^a] OR (95% CI) | Adjusted 2[^b] OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| None or one                           | 80–83                             | 1 (Reference)     | 1 (Reference)            | 1 (Reference)            |
| Two                                   | 36–40                             | 0.99 (0.63, 1.53) | 1.04 (0.66, 1.65)        | 1.02 (0.64, 1.61)        |
| Three or more                         | 15–17                             | 1.13 (0.61, 2.10) | 1.32 (0.67, 2.61)        | 1.14 (0.57, 2.30)        |

Note: Imputed data.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

[^a]: Number of adverse lifestyle behaviours include low physical activity level, sleep problems, insufficient fruit and vegetables consumption, smoking, frequent alcohol intoxication and illicit drug use. None or one adverse lifestyle behaviour, n = 385–390; two, n = 179–184; three or more, n = 67–70.
[^b]: Analyses adjusted for sociodemographic factors (age, sex and perceived family affluence).
[^c]: Analyses adjusted for sociodemographic factors (age, sex and perceived family affluence) and health factors (psychological distress [SCL-5] and chronic diseases).
or four healthy behaviours combined seems to be protective for future back pain (Pronk et al., 2010), long-duration troublesome low back pain in men, and long-duration troublesome neck pain in women (Skillgate et al., 2017). One potential reason for different results between these studies and our study might relate to the follow-up periods, which in the previous studies were 2 and 4 years, respectively. Our 11 years follow-up might involve changes in behaviours causing lack of association. Furthermore, the outcome in the previous studies captured spinal pain, while our study captured musculoskeletal pain in general. As the previous studies captured adults only, the difference in age groups might as well explain the different findings and indicates the importance of investigating risk factors in younger populations separately.

4.1 Strengths and limitations

The strengths of our study were the prospective design and the investigation of lifestyle behaviour and pain associations across important years of life for development of persistent musculoskeletal pain. A limitation of the study was the high proportion of participants lost to follow-up or with missing outcome data (77%). The non-respondents were more likely to be males, younger, to have an additional chronic disease, to have less psychological distress, to have a lower number of pain sites and to smoke compared to those with complete data at follow-up. These differences are a potential source to selection bias. The non-respondents also had slightly more adverse lifestyle behaviours compared to the respondents, but the difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.052). It is unknown whether the non-respondents had a different occurrence of the outcome at follow-up. Another limitation was low statistical power in the analyses of adolescents without musculoskeletal pain due to few exposed cases. The time interval between baseline and follow-up was 11 years. During this period lifestyle behaviour might have changed, which encourages us to be careful with the interpretation of the associations. More frequent follow-ups could have given a better insight into trajectories of pain and lifestyle behaviours during the period.

All the lifestyle behaviours were self-reported, which may have caused misclassifications. Misclassifications and loss of in-depth information may also have occurred through dichotomisation of the variables. For example, both high and low physical activity levels have been associated with low back pain in previous research (Kędra et al., 2021). Through dichotomisation, a potential u-shaped relationship was not taken into account (Heneweer et al., 2009). Furthermore, we classified ≥2–3 sessions of physical activities outside school (with moderate to vigorous intensity) as a “healthy” level, in accordance with the operationalisation of moderate to high level of physical activity used in previous research (Guddal et al., 2017; Rangul et al., 2008). However, as physical activity during school hours was not registered, it is uncertain whether all adolescents in this group reached the recommended level of 60 minutes daily (Bull et al., 2020). Sleep problems were included in the combined behaviour variable, although sleep problems might be a consequence rather than a choice of behaviour. We chose, however, to include it due to a potentially close relationship with the other lifestyle behaviours (Kwon et al., 2019; Lang et al., 2016), and its potential influence on health in youth (Shochat et al., 2014). To capturing an overall lifestyle behaviour, we included fruit/vegetables consumption, in accordance with previous research (Bohman et al., 2014; Bohman et al., 2019; Pronk et al., 2010; Skillgate et al., 2017). It should be noted that by including a solitary question covering fruit/vegetables consumption, only a limited part of diet behaviour was explored.

Pain intensity, which has been reported as a potential prognostic factor in clinical settings (Pate et al., 2020) was not adjusted for as we were limited to variables available in the HUNT study. Our results are based on a sample derived from community and may not be applicable to adolescents with musculoskeletal pain who are seeking health care.

4.2 Implications

Our findings of an association between an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours and future persistent musculoskeletal pain, in individuals with baseline pain, might have implications both on public health strategies and clinical approach. We suggest that lifestyle behaviours should be assessed in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain, and that accumulation of multiple adverse lifestyle behaviours should be considered in future health care and secondary prevention interventions. This is of particular interest given the additional influence of lifestyle behaviour on several other health conditions (Loewen et al., 2019; Tsai et al., 2020; Zhang, Pan, Chen, Cao, et al., 2020; Zhang, Pan, Chen, Xia, et al., 2020). Exploration of underlying and mediating mechanisms of the association, as well as development of interventions targeting multiple lifestyle behaviours in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain is needed. Our analyses of a possible association between an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours and later persistent musculoskeletal pain in individuals without...
musculoskeletal pain at baseline, should be repeated in a large sample with more frequent follow-ups.

5 | CONCLUSION

In this study, an accumulation of adverse lifestyle behaviours in adolescents with musculoskeletal pain at baseline was associated with persistent musculoskeletal pain 11 years later, but not in adolescents without musculoskeletal pain at baseline. Accumulation of multiple adverse lifestyle behaviours should be considered in health care and future research of adolescents and young adults with musculoskeletal pain.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

KS designed the study, conducted the analyses, interpreted the results, and drafted and critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content. MG, HJ, KRR, MCS, ES and BEØ designed the study, provided substantially contributed to the interpretation of results, and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the participants in the Trøndelag Health Study (the HUNT Study) and the HUNT research centre for their cooperation. The HUNT Study is a collaboration between HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU), Trøndelag County Council, Central Norway Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was supported by the Norwegian Fund for Post-Graduate Training in Physiotherapy (grant number 105597). The funder organization played no role in designing the study, in the collection, analysing or interpretation of the data, or in writing the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We declare no conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Kaja Smedbråten  https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9036-0709
Margreth Grotle  https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8243-1143
Henriette Jahre  https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0473-002X
Kåre Rønn Richardson  https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5911-4876
Milada Cvancarova Småstuen  https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8947-8649
Eva Skillgate  https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2096-1530

Britt Elin Øiestad  https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0547-9781

REFERENCES

Andreucci, M. A., Campbell, P., & Dunn, K. M. (2017). Are sleep problems a risk factor for the onset of musculoskeletal pain in children and adolescents? A systematic review. Sleep, 40(7). https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsx093
Ásvoold, B. O., Langhammer, A., Rehn, T. A., Kjelvik, G., Grøntvedt, T. V., Sørgjerd, E. P., Fenstad, J. S., Heggland, J., Holmen, O., Stuibergen, M. C., Vikjord, S. A. A., Brumpton, B. M., Skjellegrend, H. K., Thingstad, P., Sund, E. R., Selbæk, G., Mork, P. J., Rangul, V., Hveem, K., ... Krostad, S. (2022). Cohort profile update: The HUNT study, Norway. International Journal of Epidemiology. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dya095
Audrain-McGovern, J., Leventhal, A. M., & Strong, D. R. (2015). The role of depression in the uptake and maintenance of cigarette smoking. International Review of Neurobiology, 124, 209–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.irn.2015.07.004
Bohman, T., Alfredsson, L., Jensen, I., Hallqvist, J., Vingård, E., & Skillgate, E. (2014). Does a healthy lifestyle behaviour influence the prognosis of low back pain among men and women in a general population? A population-based cohort study. BMJ Open, 4(12), e005713. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005713
Bohman, T., Holm, L. W., Hallqvist, J., Pico-Espinosa, O. J., & Skillgate, E. (2019). Healthy lifestyle behaviour and risk of long-duration troublesome neck pain among men and women with occasional neck pain: Results from the Stockholm public health cohort. BMJ Open, 9(11), e031078. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031078
Bull, F. C., Al-Ansari, S. S., Biddle, S., Borodulin, K., Buman, M. P., Cardon, G., Carty, C., Chaput, J. P., Chastin, S., Chou, R., Dempsey, P. C., DiPietro, L., Ekelund, U., Firth, J., Friedenreich, C. M., Garcia, L., Gichu, M., Jago, R., Katzmarzyk, P. T., ... Willumsen, J. F. (2020). World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 54(24), 1451–1462. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955
Crum, R. M., Green, K. M., Storr, C. L., Chan, Y.-F., Ialongo, N., Stuart, E. A., & Anthony, J. C. (2008). Depressed mood in childhood and subsequent alcohol use through adolescence and young adulthood. Archives of General Psychiatry, 65(6), 702–712. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.65.6.702
Currie, C., Inchley, J., Molcho, M., Lenzi, M., Veselska, Z., & Wild, F. (eds.) (2014). Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) Study Protocol: Background, Methodology and Mandatory items for the 2013/14 Survey. St Andrews: CAHRU. Derogatis, L. R., Lipman, R. S., Rickels, K., Uhlenhuth, E. H., & Covi, L. (1974). The Hopkins symptom checklist (HSCL): A self-report symptom inventory. Behavioral Science, 19(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/bs.3830190102
Guddal, M. H., Stensland, S. O., Smastuen, M. C., Johnsen, M. B., Zwart, J. A., & Storheim, K. (2017). Physical activity level and sport participation in relation to musculoskeletal pain in a population-based study of adolescents: The young-HUNT study. Orthopaedic The Journal of Sports Medicine, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967116685543
Haavet, O. R., Sipral, M. K., Haugen, W., & Christensen, K. S. (2011). Diagnosis of depressed young people in primary health care—a validation of HSCL-10. Family Practice, 28(2), 233–237. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmq078
Hagen, K., Linde, M., Heuch, I., Stovner, L. J., & Zwart, J. A. (2011). Increasing prevalence of chronic musculoskeletal complaints. A large 11-year follow-up in the general population (HUNT 2 and 3). *Pain Medicine, 12*(11), 1657–1666. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01240.x

Hanson, M. D., & Chen, E. (2007). Socioeconomic status and health behaviors in adolescence: A review of the literature. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 30*(3), 263–285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-007-9098-3

Heneweer, H., Vanhees, L., & Picavet, H. S. (2009). Physical activity and low back pain: A U-shaped relation? *Pain, 143*(1), 21–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2008.12.033

Hestbaek, L., Leboeuf-Yde, C., & Kyvik, K. O. (2006a). Are lifestyle-factors in adolescent predictors for adult low back pain? A cross-sectional and prospective study of young twins. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 7*(27). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-7-27

Hestbaek, L., Leboeuf-Yde, C., & Kyvik, K. O. (2006b). Is comorbidity in adolescence a predictor for adult low back pain? A prospective study of a young population. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 7*(29). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-7-29

Hoftun, G. B., Romundstad, P. R., & Rygg, M. (2012). Factors associated with adolescent chronic non-specific pain, chronic multisite pain, and chronic pain with high disability: The Young-HUNT Study 2008. *The Journal of Pain, 13*(9), 874–883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2012.06.001

Hoftun, G. B., Romundstad, P. R., Zwart, J.-A., & Rygg, M. (2011). Chronic idiopathic pain in adolescence – high prevalence and disability: The young HUNT study 2008. *Pain, 152*(10), 2259–2266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2011.05.007

Holmen, T., Bratberg, G., Krokstad, S., Langhammer, A., Hveem, K., Midthjell, K., Heggland, J., & Holmen, J. (2013). Cohort profile of the young-HUNT study. Norway: A population-based study of adolescents. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 43*(2), 536–544. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys232

Huguet, A., Tougas, M. E., Hayden, J., McGrath, P. J., Stinson, J. N., & Chambers, C. T. (2016). Systematic review with meta-analysis of childhood and adolescent risk and prognostic factors for musculoskeletal pain. *Pain, 157*(12), 2640–2656. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000685

Jahre, H., Grotle, M., Smidbråten, K., Dunn, K. M., & Øiestad, B. E. (2020). Risk factors for non-specific neck pain in young adults. A systematic review. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 21*(1), 366. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03379-y

Jeffries, L. J., Milanesi, S. F., & Grimmer-Somers, K. A. (2007). Epidemiology of adolescent spinal pain: A systematic overview of the research literature. *Spine, 32*(23), 2630–2637. https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e318185d70b

Jones, S. E., Merkle, S., Wheeler, L., Mannino, D. M., & Crossett, L. (2006). Tobacco and other drug use among high school students with asthma. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 39*(2), 291–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2005.12.003

Junker, A., Björngaard, J. H., & Bjerknes, O. (2017). Adolescent health and subsequent risk of self-harm hospitalisation: A 15-year follow-up of the young-HUNT cohort. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health, 11*, 25. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-017-0161-8

Kędra, A., Plandowska, M., Kędra, P., & Czaprowski, D. (2021). Physical activity and low back pain in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *European Spine Journal, 30*(4), 946–956. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-020-06575-5

Kwon, M., Park, E., & Dickerson, S. S. (2019). Adolescent substance use and its association to sleep disturbances: A systematic review. *Sleep Health, 5*(4), 382–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleh.2019.06.001

Lang, C., Kalak, N., Brand, S., Holsboer-Trachsler, E., Pühse, U., & Gerber, M. (2016). The relationship between physical activity and sleep from mid adolescence to early adulthood. A systematic review of methodological approaches and meta-analysis. *Sleep Reviews, 28*, 32–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsmrv.2015.07.004

Loewen, O. K., Maximova, K., Ekwaru, J. P., Faught, E. L., Asbridge, M., Ohinmaa, A., & Vugelers, P. J. (2019). Lifestyle behavior and mental health in early adolescence. *Pediatrics, 143*(5), e20183307. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-3307

Malmberg, J. S., Bremander, A., Olsson, M. C., Bergman, A.-C., Brorsson, A. S., & Bergman, S. (2019). Worse health status, sleeping problems, and anxiety in 16-year-old students are associated with chronic musculoskeletal pain at three-year follow-up. *BMC Public Health, 19*(1), 1565. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7955-y

Mikkelsen, M., Salminen, J. J., & Kautiainen, H. (1996). Joint hypermobility is not a contributing factor to musculoskeletal pain in pre-adolescents. *The Journal of Rheumatology, 23*(11), 1963–1967.

Øiestad, B. E., Hilde, G., Tveten, A. T., Peat, G. G., Thomas, M. J., Dunn, K. M., & Grotle, M. (2020). Risk factors for episodes of back pain in emerging adults. A systematic review. *European Journal of Pain, 24*(1), 19–38. https://doi.org/10.10102/ejp.1474

Pate, J. W., Hancock, M. J., Hush, J. M., Gray, K., Pounder, M., & Pacey, V. (2020). Prognostic factors for pain and functional disability in children and adolescents with persisting pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Pain, 24*(4), 722–741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejp.1539

Pourbordbari, N., Riis, A., Jensen, M. B., Olesen, J. L., & Rathleff, M. S. (2019). Poor prognosis of child and adolescent musculoskeletal pain: A systematic literature review. *BMJ Open, 9*(7), e024921. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024921

Pronk, N. P., Lowry, M., Kottke, T. E., Austin, E., Gallagher, J., & Katz, A. (2010). The association between optimal lifestyle adherence and short-term incidence of chronic conditions among employees. *Population Health Management, 13*(6), 289–295. https://doi.org/10.1089/por.2009.0075

Rangul, V., Holmen, T. L., Kurtze, N., Cuypers, K., & Midthjell, K. (2008). Reliability and validity of two frequently used self-administered physical activity questionnaires in adolescents. *BMC Medical Research Methodology, 8*, 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-47

Shochat, T., Cohen-Zion, M., & Tzischinsky, O. (2014). Functional consequences of inadequate sleep in adolescents: A systematic review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews, 18*(1), 75–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2013.03.005

Skillgate, E., Pico-Espinosa, O. J., Hallqvist, J., Bohman, T., & Holm, L. W. (2017). Healthy lifestyle behavior and risk of long duration troublesome neck pain or low back pain among men and women: Results from the Stockholm public health cohort. *Clinical Epidemiology, 9*, 491–500. https://doi.org/10.2147/cepl.s145264

Skrove, M., Romundstad, P., & Indredavik, M. S. (2015). Chronic multisite pain in adolescent girls and boys with emotional and behavioral problems: The young-HUNT study. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 24*(5), 503–515. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-014-0601-4

Smith, A., Beales, D., O’Sullivan, P., Bear, N., & Straker, L. (2017). Low Back pain with impact at 17 years of age is predicted by...
early adolescent risk factors from multiple domains: Analysis of the Western Australian pregnancy cohort (Raine) study. *The Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy, 47*(10), 752–762. https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2017.7464

StataCorp. (2019). *Stata statistical software: Release 16.* StataCorp LLC.

Strand, B. H., Dalgard, O. S., Tambs, K., & Rognerud, M. (2003). Measuring the mental health status of the Norwegian population: A comparison of the instruments SCL-25, SCL-10, SCL-5 and MHI-5 (SF-36). *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry, 57*(2), 113–118. https://doi.org/10.1080/08039480310000932

Strandheim, A., Holmen, T. L., Coombes, L., & Bentzen, N. (2009). Alcohol intoxication and mental health among adolescents: a population-reveiw of 8983 young people, 13–19 years in north-Trøndelag, Norway: The young-HUNT study. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health, 3*(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/1753-2000-3-18

Strandheim, A., Holmen, T. L., Coombes, L., & Bentzen, N. (2010). Alcohol use and physical health in adolescence: A general population survey of 8,983 young people in north-Trøndelag, Norway (the young-HUNT study). *Substance Use & Misuse, 45*(1–2), 253–265. https://doi.org/10.3109/10826080903080680

Suris, J. C., & Parera, N. (2005). Sex, drugs and chronic illness: Health behaviours among chronically ill youth. *European Journal of Public Health, 15*(5), 484–488. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cki001

Treede, R.-D., Rief, W., Barke, A., Aziz, Q., Bennett, M. I., Benoliel, R., Cohen, M., Evers, S., Finnerup, N. B., First, M. B., Giambardino, M. A., Kaasa, S., Kosek, E., Lavand’homme, P., Nicholas, M., Perrot, S., Scholz, J., Schug, S., Smith, B. H., ... Wang, S.-J. (2015). A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. *Pain, 156*(6), 1003–1007. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000000166

Tsai, M.-C., Lee, C.-C., Liu, S.-C., Tseng, P.-J., & Chien, K.-L. (2020). Combined healthy lifestyle factors are more beneficial in reducing cardiovascular disease in younger adults: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Scientific Reports, 10*(1), 18165. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75314-z

Uddin, R., Lee, E. Y., Khan, S. R., Tremblay, M. S., & Khan, A. (2020). Clustering of lifestyle risk factors for non-communicable diseases in 304,779 adolescents from 89 countries: A global perspective. *Preventive Medicine, 131*, 105955. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2019.105955

Vereecken, C. A., & Maes, L. (2003). A Belgian study on the reliability and relative validity of the health behaviour in school-aged children food-frequency questionnaire. *Public Health Nutrition, 6*(6), 581–588. https://doi.org/10.1079/PHN2003466

von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Egger, M., Pocock, S. J., Gotzsche, P. C., & Vandebroucke, J. P. (2008). The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 61*(4), 344–349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008

Vos, T., Allen, C., Arora, M., Barber, R. M., Bhutta, Z. A., Brown, A., Carter, A., Casey, D. C., Charlson, F. J., Chen, A. Z., Coggeshall, M., Cornaby, L., Dandona, L., Dicker, D. J., Dilegge, T., Erskine, H. E., Ferrari, A. J., Fitzmaurice, C., Fleming, T., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2016). Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. *The Lancet, 388*(10053), 1545–1602. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31678-6

White, I. R., Royston, P., & Wood, A. M. (2011). Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. *Statistics in Medicine, 30*(4), 377–399. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4067

World Health Organization. (2020). Healthy diet. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/healthy-diet

Zhang, Y., Pan, X.-F., Chen, J., Xia, L., Cao, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Li, H., Yang, K., Guo, K., He, M., & Pan, A. (2020). Combined lifestyle factors and risk of incident type 2 diabetes and prognosis among individuals with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Diabetologia, 63*(1), 21–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-019-04985-9

Zhang, Y.-B., Pan, X.-F., Chen, J., Cao, A., Zhang, Y.-G., Xia, L., Wang, J., Li, H., Liu, G., & Pan, A. (2020). Combined lifestyle factors, incident cancer, and cancer mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *British Journal of Cancer, 122*(7), 1085–1092. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-020-0741-x

**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Smedbråten, K., Grotle, M., Jahre, H., Richardsen, K. R., Småstuen, M. C., Skillgate, E., & Oiestad, B. E. (2022). Lifestyle behaviour in adolescence and musculoskeletal pain 11 years later: The Trøndelag Health Study. *European Journal of Pain, 26*, 1910–1922. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejp.2012