The correlation between demographic factors and upper urinary tract stone composition in the Thai population
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To identify the correlation between demographic factors and upper urinary tract stone composition in the Thai population.

Method: A retrospective observational study of first-time upper urinary tract stone former patients aged over 18 years who underwent stone surgery was performed in a tertiary referral university hospital from January 2013 to May 2018. Collected data included demographic information and stone composition information, which were analysed by the Fourier Transform-Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) method. The correlation between the demographic factors and major upper urinary tract stone composition was analysed using Fisher's exact test.

Results: A total of 480 patients were included in this study. The stones were 319 (66.5%) renal calculi and 161 (33.5%) ureteric calculi. There were 248 (51.7%) single composition stones and 232 (48.3%) mixed composition stones. The major stone compositions were 288 (60.0%) calcium oxalate (CaOx), 125 (26.0%) calcium phosphate (CaP), 40 (8.3%) uric acid (UA), 19 (4.0%) magnesium ammonium phosphate (MAP), five (1.0%) cystine, and three (0.6%) ammonium hydrogen urate (AHU). Gender was correlated with the major stone composition. In females, a correlation was found between the major stone composition and age, diabetes mellitus (DM), and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). The study showed no significant correlation between the major stone composition and dyslipidemia (DLP), hypertension (HT), gout, and body mass index (BMI) in both genders.

Conclusion: Gender, age, DM, and GFR were the factors affecting the stone composition.

1. Introduction

Urolithiasis is a common disease with a prevalence that ranges between 7-13% in North America, 5–9% in Europe, and 1–5% in Asia [1]. The differences among countries including age, gender, geographic variation, climate, dietary habits, fluid intake, occupation, education, socio-economic status, and genetic and metabolic diseases are factors for lithogenesis [1, 2]. Compared to the past, the prevalence of urolithiasis has increased in most countries over recent decades because of changes in the living standards and dietary habits, as well as improvements in clinical diagnosis procedures [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Furthermore, the composition of stones has varied across gender, age, and country due to different risk factors [6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The most frequently found minerals have been calcium oxalate (CaOx), calcium phosphate (CaP), and uric acid (UA), but these also vary among countries [5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Moreover, in recent decades, the composition of the stone types has changed with an increase in CaOx and decrease in the infected stones [8].

Understanding the correlation between stone composition and patients’ status is important to provide the etiology of lithogenesis, and the management and prevention of urinary stones [9, 11]. In Thailand, there have also been changes in dietary habits and living standards due to urbanisation and industrialisation over recent decades, which has resulted in changes in patients’ status and increases in the metabolic syndrome, which could affect the composition of urinary tract stones. Therefore, the aim of this study was to identify the correlation between stone composition and patients’ status that could aid in the prevention and treatment planning in urological services and provide a better understanding of the lithogenesis process.

2. Objective

The objective of this study was to identify the correlation between stone composition and patients’ status including age, gender, body habits, and underlying medical conditions; such as, hypertension (HT), diabetes...
mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia (DLP), gout, and glomerular filtration rate (GFR), which affect the upper urinary tract stones in the Thai population.

3. Methods

This was a retrospective observational study, which was performed in a tertiary referral university hospital from January 2013 to May 2018 and approved by the Ethics Committee of the institute.

The sample size was estimated based on the comparison of the proportion of CaOx between males and females. A previous study [8] of the Norwegian population in 2018 revealed that CaOx was more common in males (75.7%) than females (63.1%). With the expected similar difference of 13%, two-sided type I error of 0.05 and 85% power, the required number of males and females would be 240:240. Therefore, a sample of 480 participants was needed.

All charts of the upper urinary tract stone patients aged over 18 years who underwent stone surgery during the study period were collected by a systematic randomised approach by computer. Only the first time stone formers were included in the study. Demographic information (age, gender, body mass index (BMI), HT, DM, DLP, gout, and GFR) and stone composition information, which were analysed by the Fourier Transform-Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) method, were collected. The GFR status was determined as the baseline of the patients; therefore, less GFR represented the existing CKD. All stone compositions were analysed in terms of frequency and percentage. The major stone composition was described by the composition amounting to more than 50% in each stone. The correlation between the demographic factors and major upper urinary tract stone composition was analysed using Fisher's exact test.

4. Results

A total of 480 patients were included in this study. The demographic data included 252 males and 228 females (52.5%/47.5%); 227 (47.3%) HT; 105 (21.9%) DM; 131 (27.3%) DLP, and 16 (3.3%) gout. The average age was 56.5 years (males = 55.9 years; females = 57.1 years), while the BMI was 26.1 kg/m², and GFR was 75.2 mL/min/1.73 m² (Table 1). There was 59/252 (23.4%) of men with low GFR, and 53/228 (23.2%) of females with GFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² had more UA than the group of GFR ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² with a p-value = 0.002 (Figure 5).

The study showed no significant correlation between the major stone composition and DLP, HT, gout, and BMI in both genders.

5. Discussion

The stone analysis and major stone composition provided valuable information into how the stones were formed. The American Urological Association (AUA) guidelines on the medical management of kidney stones recommend obtaining a stone analysis at least once when one is available to help classify the patients and guide prevention [13]. The two most widely recommended and used methods of stone analysis are x-ray diffraction or FTIR [14].

This study presented that the major composition of the upper urinary tract stones in the Thai population was CaOx followed by CaP and UA, respectively. In the single stone compositions, CaOx was found to comprise the majority (59.7%). In the mixed stone compositions, CaOx + CaP was the majority (85.3%). Liu et al. reported the incidence of CaOx (75–90%), CaP (6–13%), and UA (5–20%) among various Asian countries [2]. Likewise, Kravdal et al. stated that CaOx (71.3%) was the most common stone composition in Norway [8], while the incidence of CaP equalled 10.8%, and UA equalled 8.9%.

The number of upper urinary tract stones in this study was found most frequently in the age groups of 50–59 years and 60–69 years, respectively. This could be compared to another study of upper urinary tract stone composition in Thailand in 2008, in which the frequency was found mostly in the age group of 50–59 years and 40–49 years, respectively.

Table 1. Demographic data.

| Age (year) | Min | Max | Mean | SD |
|------------|-----|-----|------|----|
| Male: Female | 20 | 88 | 56.5 | 12.5 |
| HT | 105 | 183 | 160.6 | 8.8 |
| DM | 33 | 120 | 67.4 | 13.9 |
| DLP | 14.5 | 48.1 | 26.1 | 1.6 |
| GFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 11 | 140 | 75.2 | 23.9 |
| % | 252:228 | 52.5:47.5 |
| HT | 227 | 47.3 |
| DM | 105 | 21.9 |
| DLP | 131 | 27.3 |
| Gout | 16 | 3.3 |
| GFR <60 | 112 | 22.3 |
This study reported that the stones occurred in older patients after a 10-year interval. Gender was significantly correlated with the major stone composition; the study showed the proportion of CaP, MAP, and AHU in females was greater than that found in males. All three types of stones were influenced by urinary tract infection, which occurred more in females than males. The percentage of CaP in females (35.5%) was double that of males (17.5%), which correlated with the high urine pH of females, which exceeded that of males. Additionally, Wang et al. demonstrated the prevalence of infected stones among women was two times of that in men (17.22% vs. 8.27%).

Age, DM, and GFR also correlated with the major stone composition but significantly only in females. Females older than 60 years had significantly more UA than females younger than 60 years. The older subjects were prone to have a metabolic syndrome and different lifestyle than the younger subjects. Low urine pH, which was the predisposing factor to have UA, was also found more commonly in the older age groups.

In females with DM, the major stone composition was UA (21.2%), which was 2.9 times greater when compared with females of the non-DM group (7.4%). This was a result from the low urine pH in DM patients caused by insulin resistance, which decreased renal ammonia genesis, ammonium excretion, and the activity of the Na⁺/H⁺ exchange channel.

### Table 2. Single and Mixed Composition

| Stone composition | n   | % of single or mixed | % of total stone |
|-------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------|
| Single            |     |                      |                 |
| 1. CaOx           | 148 | 59.7                 | 30.8            |
| 2. CaP             | 44  | 17.7                 | 9.2             |
| 3. UA              | 33  | 13.3                 | 6.9             |
| 4. MAP             | 18  | 7.3                  | 3.8             |
| 5. Cystine         | 4   | 1.6                  | 0.8             |
| 6. AHU             | 1   | 0.4                  | 0.2             |
| Mixed             | 232 | 100                  | 48.3            |
| 7. CaOx + CaP      | 198 | 85.3                 | 41.3            |
| 8. CaOx + UA       | 9   | 3.9                  | 1.9             |
| 9. CaOx + MAP      | 3   | 1.3                  | 0.6             |
| 10. CaOx + Cystine | 1   | 0.4                  | 0.2             |
| 11. CaOx + AHU     | 4   | 1.7                  | 0.8             |
| 12. CaP + MAP      | 3   | 1.3                  | 0.6             |
| 13. CaP + Cystine  | 1   | 0.4                  | 0.2             |
| 14. CaP + AHU      | 4   | 1.7                  | 0.8             |
| 15. CaOx + CaP + UA| 3   | 1.3                  | 0.6             |
| 16. CaOx + CaP + MAP| 3  | 1.3                  | 0.6             |
| 17. CaOx + CaP + AHU| 1  | 0.4                  | 0.2             |
| 18. CaP + UA + AHU | 1   | 0.4                  | 0.2             |
| 19. CaP + MAP + AHU| 1   | 0.4                  | 0.2             |
| Total             | 480 | 100                  | 100             |

### Table 3. Major stone composition

| Stone composition | Number (%) |
|-------------------|------------|
|                   | Single stone composition | Mixed stone composition | Total |
| 1. CaOx           | 148 (59.7) | 140 (60.3) | 288 (60.0) |
| 2. CaP             | 44 (17.7)  | 81 (34.9)  | 125 (26.0) |
| 3. Uric            | 33 (13.3)  | 7 (3.0)    | 40 (8.3)   |
| 4. Struvite        | 18 (7.3)   | 1 (0.4)    | 19 (4.0)   |
| 5. Cystine         | 4 (1.6)    | 1 (0.4)    | 5 (1)      |
| 6. AHU             | 1 (0.4)    | 2 (0.9)    | 3 (0.6)    |
| Total             | 248 (100)  | 232 (100)  | 480 (100)  |

Figure 1. Percentage distribution of major stone composition according to gender (p-value < 0.001).
leading to an increase in free H\(^+\) ions within the renal tubule [20]. On the other hand, non-DM females significantly exhibited CaP and MAP, which was associated with infection and a high urine pH to a greater extent than in females with DM. In spite of the fact that the incidence of urinary tract infection in DM patients was higher than in non-DM patients, 46.9 per 1000 persons-years (95% CI; 45.8–48.1) vs. 29.9 (95% CI; 28.9–30.8) for patients without diabetes [21], the result of the low urine pH caused by insulin resistance had more effect on the composition of the stones than the infection. Furthermore, the DM subjects had significantly higher 24-hour urine volumes, lower urine calcium, and lower magnesium excretions than non-DM subjects [22]. Thus, DM patients might have some protective factors that could decrease calcium stone formation.

In patients with decreasing GFR, the incidence of stones was less than those patients with normal GFR in both genders. Females with GFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\) had significantly higher UA than those with GFR \(\geq 60\) mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\) (5.4%). This finding could be from the decreasing ammonium excretion in renal insufficiency, which occurred in patients regarding the decrease in GFR and metabolic disease [20, 23, 24].

In addition, the study showed that demographic factors affected the stone composition. Metabolic disorders caused by DM and chronic kidney disease were able to alter the composition compared with healthy individuals of a similar gender and age. Understanding the factors that affect the stone composition could support the prevention of stone formation and recurrence.

6. Conclusion

In concluding, it was found that gender, age, DM, and GFR were the factors affecting the stone composition.
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