Ecology of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Sinai: linking parasites, vectors and hosts
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Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is a neglected clinical form of public health importance that is quite prevalent in the northern and eastern parts of Egypt. A comprehensive study over seven years (January 2005-December 2011) was conducted to track CL transmission with respect to both sandfly vectors and animal reservoirs. The study identified six sandfly species collected from different districts in North Sinai: Phlebotomus papatasi, Phlebotomus kazeruni, Phlebotomus sergenti, Phlebotomus alexandri, Sergentomyia antennata and Sergentomyia cydiae. Leishmania (-)-like flagellates were identified in 15 P. papatasi individuals (0.5% of 3,008 dissected females). Rodent populations were sampled in the same districts where sandflies were collected and eight species were identified: Rattus norvegicus (n = 39), Rattus rattus frugivorous (n = 13), Rattus rattus alexandrinus (n = 4), Gerbillus pyramidium floweri (n = 38), Gerbillus andersoni (n = 28), Mus musculus (n = 5), Meriones sacramenti (n = 22) and Meriones crassus (n = 10). Thirty-two rodents were found to be positive for Leishmania infection (20.12% of 159 examined rodents). Only Leishmania major was isolated and identified in 100% of the parasite samples. The diversity of both the vector and rodent populations was examined using diversity indices and clustering approaches.
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Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is a neglected clinical form that is highly prevalent in the northern and eastern parts of Egypt. Leishmania major and Leishmania tropica circulate in Sinai (Shehata et al. 2009). L. major is known to be transmitted by the sandfly Phlebotomus papatasi; however, no vector information is available in the sole report documenting L. tropica from Egypt (Shehata et al. 2009). A new focus of CL was identified on the border between Egypt and neighbouring Palestine and Israel (Jacobson 2003, Nasereddin et al. 2008). The presence of CL caused by L. tropica remains unclear with regard to the two scenarios described by Shehata et al. (2009): that historical difficulties in distinguishing L. major from L. tropica (Jacobson 2003) have masked the presence of L. tropica in past studies vs. that recent incursion by L. tropica from adjacent endemic regions is responsible for this species’ recent detection.

Leishmaniasis diagnosis is a major challenge due to its diverse clinical manifestations, which make the concrete diagnosis of present and past cases difficult. However, differential diagnosis is important because other diseases with a similar clinical spectrum [e.g., leprosy, skin cancer and tuberculosis for CL, malaria and schistosomiasis for visceral leishmaniasis (VL)] often co-occur in areas of endemicity (Reithinger et al. 2007). The ability to distinguish between Leishmania species is, therefore, crucial for correct diagnosis, prognosis, treatment and control measures. In Egypt, however, little is known about parasite genotypes (Shehata et al. 1988). Recent studies have depended on internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-1 sequences to differentiate between imported and autochthonous infections (Jacobson et al. 2003); other methods can be used to complement ITS-1 sequences, such as microsatellites and kinetoplastid DNA (Schwenkenbecher et al. 2006). Epidemics of leishmaniasis are known to occur in many foci throughout the world (Seaman et al. 1996, Rodriguez-Barraquer et al. 2008, Wang et al. 2010). Nonetheless, molecular studies to date have not often taken advantage of phylogenetic or population genetic approaches for data analysis in Middle Eastern countries (Tibayrenc 2005, Nasereddin et al. 2008), even though successful examples are available from other locations (Katakura 2009, Miranda et al. 2009). Consequently, CL public health impacts have been underestimated, as a substantial number of cases go unrecorded: approximately 1.5-2 million new cases are estimated to occur annually, but only 600,000 are officially declared (WHO 2008).

Leishmaniasis dynamics have changed in response to environmental, demographic and human behavioural factors (Campbell-Lendrum et al. 2001). The response of leishmaniasis to environmental changes may result from a change in the geographic distribution of the potential vectors/animal reservoir, either by the identification of new habitats or by range shifts for the same species (Parmesan 2006). In Egypt, however, CL cases are still underestimated due to the Bedouin traditions of preventing females from visiting clinics and their dependence on routine heat therapy for the treatment of CL (Samy 2009).
Here, we report our findings from a comprehensive study conducted over seven years to track the CL transmission cycle. Our findings suggest the continuous circulation of *L. major* in Sinai and identify both the vector(s) and animal reservoir(s), providing new insight into the ecology of CL and disease transmission in Egypt.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study sites** - North Sinai is located in the northeastern part of Egypt (30.5°N 33.6°E), marking the point of connection between Asia and Africa. North Sinai is bordered by the Gulf of Suez, the Red Sea and the Mediterranean Sea and is inhabited mainly by Bedouins. The regions comprise the following districts: El-Hassana, Beer El Abd, Nekhel, Sheikh Zuweid, Beer Lehefn and Rafah (Fig. 1). The study sites were selected based on the distribution of CL cases in Sinai to understand the potential role of both the sandfly and rodent in the dynamics of *Leishmania* transmission (Samy 2009, Ministry of Health of Egypt, unpublished observations). These districts have diverse geographic and demographic characteristics and their “crossroads” nature and environmental changes may create new potential risks for disease transmission. The weather in North Sinai is characterised as hot and dry, with marked differences in temperature between day and night. Dramatic weather-related changes, as presented by the annual averages of environmental factors during the study period from January 2005-December 2011, are listed in Supplementary data and some habitats are illustrated in Fig. 2.

**Sandfly collection and processing** - Sandfly collection was carried out using sticky paper traps and CDC light traps (LT) (John W Hock, Gainesville, FL, USA) for eight nights/year. Five collection sites were selected randomly to represent each district in the study; 10 CDC LT and 50 sticky traps (ST) were used for each study district (2 CDC and 10 ST/collection site). The collection sites were chosen to represent the most productive ones for fly capture based on our preliminary studies conducted in different sites of Sinai. The traps were set before sunset and recovered the next morning. The recovered ST were placed in labelled plastic bags, transported to a temporary field laboratory and then sent to the Research and Training Centre Laboratory (RTC) of Ain Shams University, Cairo for processing. Dead flies were stored in 70% alcohol for species identification. The live flies captured by the CDC traps were collected with a mechanical aspirator and dissected in saline with 50 U/mL of amikacin sulphate on a glass slide. The digestive tract was examined under an optical microscope with 400X magnification to identify flies harbouring parasites in their gut and for species identification via morphological keys (Lane 1986). The identification of *Phlebotomus sergenti* followed Depaquit et al. (1998). Female digestive tracts that had flagellates were transferred to an Eppendorf tube with saline containing 50 U/mL of amikacin sulphate and then inoculated into Novy Mac Neal Nicolle (NNN) culture medium.

**Rodent trapping and processing** - Rodents were trapped using wire-box rodent traps (Morsy et al. 1992, Hamado et al. 2007) (Fig. 2A) placed adjacent to outdoor and indoor rodent burrows. Each district was represented by five-eight collection sites where 10-18 traps each were used; the traps were set before sunset and recovered the next morning. The rodents were identified using regional taxonomic keys (Osborn & Helmy 1980) and then transported to the Ain Shams animal facility where they were maintained for at least six months to observe the development of any characteristic *Leishmania* lesions. Full-thickness punch-biopsies were removed from the border of suspected lesions and processed for parasite isolation in NNN medium. Giemsa-stained impression smears were also performed for the lesions and
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**Fig. 1:** regional and local map of the study sites in North Sinai. The six districts of North Sinai are denoted by black dots and Egypt with the black solid line. The dotted circular represents the neighbouring Palestine territories.

**Fig. 2:** sampling localities showing different habitat types. A: wire-box rodent traps used during the study with an individual rodent collected during the study; B: rodent burrows; C: habitat of low hygiene support rodent and sandfly populations; D: a sample of the outdoor habitats sampled in the study.
examined for the presence of *Leishmania* amastigotes (Soliman 2006). All care and use of animals was conducted in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act and in accordance with the principles set forth in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Institute of Laboratory Guiding Principles for Biomedical Research Involving Animals (CIOMS 1985).

**Molecular characterisation of Leishmania cultures**

Isolates from rodents and sandflies with suspected *Leishmania* parasites were initially inoculated from tissue samples and maintained in culture medium through subculture passages in NNN culture medium with 500 IU penicillin G/mL of blood. Promastigotes from positive cultures were transferred to glass vials containing Schneider’s Drosophila cell culture medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma, Saint Louis, MO, USA and Gibco-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) for mass rearing.

One millilitre of each high-density (~1 x 10^5 cells mL^-1) *Leishmania* culture was concentrated by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min. DNA was extracted from the pellet using the Qiagen DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Approximately 25 µL of the culture pellet was transferred to a sterile 1.5 mL tube, extracted as per the protocol instructions and eluted in 100 µL elution buffer. Proteinase K digestion was performed overnight at 56ºC. The ribosomal ITS-1 was ampliﬁed using the primer pair L5.8S and LITSR (El Tai et al. 2000). Amplicons were analysed on 1.5% agarose gels by electrophoresis and visualised by ultraviolet light. A reaction was considered positive when a band of the correct size (300-350 bp) was observed. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product was digested with the restriction endonuclease HaeIII. The restriction fragment length polymorphism-PCR approach was applied for the detection and identiﬁcation of *Leishmania* parasites in the rodent and sandﬁly isolates. Fragments were separated by electrophoresis on 2.5% agarose gels and compared with those of reference strains of *L. major* (MHOM/EG/06/RTC-63) and *L. tropica* (MGER/EG/06/RTC-74) using distilled water as a negative control.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using SAS JMP Statistical Discovery v.8.0.2. The BioDiversity Professional statistics analysis software (McAleece et al. 1997) was used to estimate the biodiversity index for both the sandfly and rodent populations. The Bray-Curtis similarity was used in cluster analysis to estimate the similarity between the sandfly or rodent populations across different districts of North Sinai, Egypt. Chi-squared analysis was used to test the deviation of the resulting fly sex ratios (female:male) from the expected 1:1 ratio.

**Ethics**

Verbal informed consent was obtained from the heads of the households from which sandflies were collected. We provided detailed information about the vector-borne diseases with a special focus on leishmaniasis risk, vectors and reservoirs in language understandable to the local Bedouins communities. We also provided information for community-based control measures to help the communities to protect themselves against disease risk.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Egyptian or the United States of America Government. The experiments reported herein were conducted in compliance with the Animal Welfare Act and in accordance with the principles set forth in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Institute of Laboratory Animals Resources, National Research Council, National Academy Press and Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences.

**RESULTS**

**Sandfly species composition**

A total of 9,849 sandflies were collected from different districts during the study (Table I) on 56 nights using 480 CDC and 2,800 ST. Males comprised 62.8% (n = 6,184) of the catch (female:male ratio of 0.6). A total of 23.3% (404 males, 1,892 females) of the collected flies were caught indoors and the rest of the flies were caught outdoors and from around the rodent burrows. These flies represented six species of two genera: *P. (Phlebotomus) papatasi* (Scopoli), *P. (Phlebotomus) kazeruni* (Theodor & Mesghali), *P. (Paraphlebotomus) sergenti* (Parrot), *P. (Paraphlebotomus) alexandri* (Sinton), *Sergentomyia* (Sergentomyia) antennata (Newst.) and *Sergentomyia* (Sintonius) clydei (Sinton). The predominant species was *P. papatasi* (83.5 %, 8,221 flies), whereas *P. sergenti* and *P. kazeruni* represented 9% and 3.3% of the total, respectively. All the remaining species represented only 4.2 %, *P. alexandri*, the VL vector, represented only 1% and was found in limited distribution in Nehkel.

**Sandfly sex ratios**

Sex ratios (females:males) showed that males were overall approximately twice as common, with an overall sex ratio of 1:1.7. There was a difference between the sex ratios of different sandfly species using both trapping methods; the CDC traps collected more females than males for both the *P. papatasi* and *P. kazeruni* collections, but more males were collected from both species when the sticky paper traps were used (Table I). Both trapping methods collected more males of *P. sergenti*, *P. alexandri*, *S. antennata* and *S. clydei*. The chi-squared analysis revealed a significant difference (p = 0.00) between the overall sex ratio (female:male) and the equilibrium 1:1 sex ratio for all species, except with regard to the CDC collection of *P. kazeruni* (p = 0.08), *S. antennata* (p = 0.32) and *S. clydei* (p = 0.25).

**Sandfly natural infection**

The results of sandfly dissections revealed the presence of *Leishmania*-like flagellates in 15 *P. papatasi* specimens (0.5% of 3,008 dissected females). None of the *P. kazeruni*, *P. sergenti* and *P. alexandri* individuals were infected (Table II). There was a significant difference in the infection rate between the different districts (p < 0.05); the highest infection rates were in Beer Lehen (0.73%) and Rafah (0.72%), whereas the infection rates in Nehkel and El-Hassana were low (0.37% and 0.26%, respectively). All the females collected from Beer El Abd and Sheikh Zuweid were negative for infection. The *Leishmania*-like flagellates were inoculated into NNN medium and the parasites survived in only six out of 15 culture passages.
Rodent species composition and natural infections
- A total of 159 individual rodents were collected from different districts (Table III). These rodents represented eight species: *Rattus norvegicus* (n = 39), *Rattus rattus frugivorus* (n = 13), *Rattus rattus alexandrinus* (n = 4), *Gerbillus pyramidium floweri* (n = 38), *Gerbillus andersoni* (n = 28), *Mus musculus* (n = 5), *Meriones sacramenti* (n = 22) and *Meriones crassus* (n = 10). Thirty-two rodents were found to be positive for infection by amastigote impression smear testing: *G. p. floweri* (n = 16), *G. andersoni* (n = 5), *R. norvegicus* (n = 7), *R. r. frugivorus* (n = 3) and *R. r. alexandrinus* (n = 1) (Table III). None of the *M. musculus*, *M. sacramenti* and *M. crassus* specimens were positive for *Leishmania* infection. The infected rodents included rodents collected from El-Hassana (1 *R. r. alexandrinus*), Rafah (7 *R. norvegicus*, 14 *G. p. floweri* and 5 *G. andersoni*) and Beer Lehen (3 *R. r. frugivorus* and 2 *G. p. floweri*). No positive infections were found in the rodents collected from the Nehkel, Beer El-Abd and Sheikh Zuweid. All rodent samples were inoculated into NNN medium and the parasites survived in 29 out of 32 culture passages.

Sandfly, rodent diversity and habitat clustering: sandfly species diversity - Both Simpson diversity and Berger-Parker dominance indices revealed different diversity between the different districts, with the highest overall diversity in Nehkel and Beer El Abd; the least diverse site was Sheikh Zuweid, where *P. papatasi* was the only species occurring at this site (Fig. 3A).

**TABLE I**

Phlebotomine sandflies collected by CDC light traps (LT) and sticky paper traps (ST) from six districts of North Sinai, Egypt, from January 2005-December 2011

| Species                  | Collection method | El Hassana | Nehkel | Rafah  | Beer El Abd | Beer Lehen | Sheikh Zuweid | Total | Ratio (F:M) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------|-------------|
| **Phlebotomus papatasi**| LT                | 383/142   | 813/156| 966/231| 17/44       | 546/358    | 31/17         | 2,756/948| 2.9:1       |
|                         | ST                | 141/1,069 | 121/855| 85/1,336| 25/69       | 88/663     | 4/61          | 464/4,053| 1:8.7       |
| **Phlebotomus kazeruni**| LT                | NA/NA     | 29/17  | NA/NA  | NA/NA       | NA/NA      | NA/NA         | 29/17  | 1:7:1       |
|                         | ST                | NA/NA     | 52/225 | NA/NA  | NA/NA       | NA/NA      | NA/NA         | 52/225 | 1:4.3       |
| **Phlebotomus sergenti**| LT                | 24/23     | 45/126 | 14/73  | NA/NA       | 5/17       | NA/NA         | 88/239 | 1:2.7       |
|                         | ST                | 2/98      | 81/221 | 77/43  | NA/NA       | 2/37       | NA/NA         | 162/399| 1:2.4       |
| **Phlebotomus alexandri**| LT                | NA/NA     | 26/43  | NA/NA  | NA/NA       | NA/NA      | NA/NA         | 26/43  | 1:6:1       |
|                         | ST                | NA/NA     | 0/33   | NA/NA  | NA/NA       | NA/NA      | NA/NA         | 0/33   | 0           |
| **Sergentomyia antennata**| LT                | 3/1       | 4/4    | 0/2    | 3/7         | 0/1        | NA/NA         | 10/15  | 1:1.5       |
|                         | ST                | 1/20      | 27/33  | 1/4    | 4/19        | 0/12       | NA/NA         | 33/88  | 1:2.7       |
| **Sergentomyia clydei**  | LT                | 1/2       | NA/NA  | NA/NA  | 3/6         | NA/NA      | NA/NA         | 4/8    | 1:2         |
|                         | ST                | 10/21     | 18/29  | 2/15   | 10/47       | 1/4        | NA/NA         | 41/116 | 1:2.8       |
| **Total**               | LT                | 411/168   | 917/346| 980/306| 23/57       | 551/376    | 31/17         | 2,913/1,270| 2.3:1      |
|                         | ST                | 154/1,208 | 299/1,398| 165/1,398| 39/135       | 91/716    | 4/61          | 752/4,914| 1:6.5       |

F: female; M: male; NA: not available.
were tested using standard ITS-1-PCR. These samples were found to be positive for *L. major* DNA only (Supplementary data). *L. major* was recovered from six *P. papatasi* individuals collected from Rafah. Twenty-nine rodent samples found to be positive for *L. major* were *R. norvegicus* (*n* = 7), *R. r. frugivorous* (*n* = 3), *R. r. alexandrinus* (*n* = 1), *G. p. floweri* (*n* = 13) and *G. andersoni* (*n* = 5). All *L. major*-infected rodents were collected from the Rafah (*n* = 23), Beer Lehfen (*n* = 5) and El-Hassana (*n* = 1), with no evidence for the presence of infections in the Beer El Abd, Nekhel and Sheikh Zuweid.

**DISCUSSION**

This study represents a comprehensive report of seven years in North Sinai and provides evidence for the circulation of only one species of the *Leishmania* parasite. Previous studies in Egypt reported the presence of only *L. major* circulating in Sinai (Wahba et al. 1990, Fryauff et al. 1993, Kamal et al. 2003), though the most recent study in Sinai reported the incursion of CL caused by *L. tropica* in a remote border area of North Sinai on the Egyptian-Palestinian border. The possibility of the incursion of *L. tropica* from neighbouring countries could not be excluded according to a recent study (Shehata et al. 2009). Therefore, we carried out our study to reveal insight into the ecological system for CL transmission with regard to sandflies and rodents by examining of several criteria used by the WHO to implicate either the vector(s) or reservoir(s).

There are several criteria adopted by the World Health Organization (WHO) to implicate *P. papatasi* as the potential vector for circulation of leishmaniasis in Sinai, including anthropophilic behaviour, the ability to feed on the reservoir host(s), the presence of natural infections, the ability to support the growth of the parasite and the ability to transmit the parasite by bites (WHO 2010). The current study identified six species of sandfly. However, the results revealed no evidence for the presence of infection in most of the sandflies collected; for instance, the only species found infected with *Leishmania* promastigotes was *P. papatasi*, with an infection rate close to 0.5%. The sandfly *P. papatasi*, the potential

### TABLE II

Number and species of *Phlebotomus* (♀) dissected and naturally infected with *Leishmania major* in different districts of North Sinai, Egypt, from 2005-2011

| Species                  | El Hassana n (%) | Nekhel n (%) | Rafah n (%) | Beer El Abd n (%) | Beer Lehfen n (%) | Sheikh Zuweid n (%) |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| *Phlebotomus papatasi*   | 383 (0.26)       | 813 (0.37)   | 966 (0.72)  | 17 (0)            | 546 (0.73)        | 31 (0)              |
| *Phlebotomus kazeruni*   | 0 (0)            | 29 (0)       | 0 (0)       | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)               |
| *Phlebotomus sergenti*   | 24 (0)           | 126 (0)      | 73 (0)      | 0 (0)             | 5 (0)             | 0 (0)               |
| *Phlebotomus alexandri*  | 0 (0)            | 26 (0)       | 0 (0)       | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)               |
| **Total**                | 407 (0.24)       | 994 (0.30)   | 1,039 (0.67)| 17 (0)            | 551 (0.72)        | 31 (0)              |

### TABLE III

Number of rodents trapped in different districts of North Sinai, Egypt, from 2005-2011 and infection percentages with *Leishmania*

| Species               | El Hassana n (%) | Nekhel n (%) | Rafah n (%) | Beer El Abd n (%) | Beer Lehfen n (%) | Sheikh Zuweid n (%) | Total n (%) |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| *Rattus norvegicus*   | 1 (0)            | 0 (0)        | 34 (20.58)  | 1 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 3 (0)               | 39 (18)     |
| *Rattus rattus frugivorus* | 2 (0)      | 1 (0)        | 0 (0)       | 1 (0)             | 9 (33.33)         | 0 (0)               | 13 (23.07) |
| *Rattus r. alexandrinus* | 3 (33.33) | 1 (0)        | 0 (0)       | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)               | 4 (25)      |
| *Gerbillus pyramidum floweri* | 0 (0) | 0 (0)        | 31 (45.16)  | 0 (0)             | 7 (28.57)         | 0 (0)               | 38 (42.10) |
| *Gerbillus andersoni*  | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)        | 23 (21.74)  | 0 (0)             | 1 (0)             | 4 (0)               | 28 (17.85) |
| *Mus musculus*        | 2 (0)            | 1 (0)        | 1 (0)       | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)             | 1 (0)               | 5 (0)       |
| *Meriones sacramenti* | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)        | 19 (0)      | 0 (0)             | 3 (0)             | 0 (0)               | 22 (0)      |
| *Meriones crassus*    | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)        | 8 (0)       | 0 (0)             | 1 (0)             | 1 (0)               | 10 (0)      |
| **Total**             | 8 (12.5)         | 3 (0)        | 116 (22.41)| 2 (0)             | 21 (21.73)        | 9 (0)               | 159 (20.12) |
vector of *L. major* in the Sinai Peninsula (Wahba et al. 1990, Shehata et al. 2009), was the most prevalent sandfly in our catches. In the current study, most *P. papatasi* females were caught indoors and in large numbers, whereas, more males were collected outdoors. The difference in the indoor and outdoor catches reported here might suggest that female *P. papatasi* is more endophagic compared to *P. sergenti, P. kazeruni* and *P. alexandri*. There was a significant difference in the infection rates of the sandflies between different study districts, which might be attributed to the host preference of *P. papatasi* for different vertebrates. The host preference is influenced by the availability of hosts, for example, *P. papatasi* in Beer Lehfen and Rafah had infection rates approximately 0.73% and 0.72%, respectively, correlating with the high density of gerbils at both sites.

To understand CL eco-epidemiology, the ecology of the animal reservoir populations and their roles in disease transmission were also considered in our study. The most predominant species sampled in all the districts was *R. norvegicus*, previously identified in similar habitats in Sinai as a potential leishmaniasis reservoir host (Morsy et al. 1992). However, the current study revealed the presence of infections in *G. p. floweri, R. rattus* and *G. andersoni*; infections were also recovered from *R. norvegicus*. Similar observations were reported in different sites in Egypt (Morsy et al. 1992, Fryauff et al. 1993), but, interestingly, these observations also refer to the difference in the eco-epidemiology of CL in Egypt and other countries; for instance, Jordan and Morocco, where *Psammomys obesus* was identified as the potential animal reservoir (Saliba et al. 1994, WHO 2010). The burrows of *P. obesus* are identified by halophytic vegetation and by the remnants of plant material at the entrances (WHO 2010). The structure of habitats in the six districts of the current study was relatively similar to the *P. obesus* habitat, but with no record for the species during the seven years of collection. Several criteria were also proposed by the WHO for the implication of animal reservoirs (WHO 2010) with CL transmission; one such criterion was fulfilled by isolating the parasite from wild rodents with positive infections collected from different sites in the study. Secondly, the parasite was found to be identical to that isolated from patients attending clinics in North Sinai communities (AM Samy, unpublished observations). Some criteria depend on the availability of the parasite in the skin in sufficient numbers for the sandfly to transmit. Other criteria must be investigated through experimental infection trials (Svobodova et al. 2006) to infer the potential role of these animals in the dynamics of this disease.

Throughout the late phases of our study, we used cluster analysis to infer similarity between the habitats using the data from the collection of sandflies, rodents or the combination of both. Interestingly, the areas

![Fig. 3: biodiversity index of both the sandfly and rodent populations collected from six districts of North Sinai. A: the Simpson (1-D) and Berger-Parker dominance biodiversity index for the sandfly species (1/d); B: the Shannon (H’) and Berger-Parker dominance biodiversity index for the rodent species.](image)

![Fig. 4: dendrogram from Bray-Curtis cluster analysis based on the sandfly populations data (A), rodents populations data in six districts of Sinai Peninsula (B) and both the sandfly and rodents populations data (C).](image)
identified to have disease risk formed a distinct cluster, for instance, the distinct cluster that included Rafah, Nekhel, Beer Lehen and El Hassana (Fig. 4C). These observations revealed the importance of multivariate methods in the study of disease ecology and in inferring the area with a favourable habitat for CL circulation. One of the interesting findings of this study was the presence of infections in different animal reservoirs that were not necessarily previously known as potential reservoirs (Morsy et al. 1992, Shehata et al. 2009). These changes in the animal hosts may be a response to climate change, especially in the presence of continuous heavy rains and floods in Sinai. These environmental changes coincided with the increase in CL incidence in Sinai due to a change in the vector and rodent populations and consequently a change in the disease dynamics in the area.

Our report identified the presence of only L. major, whereas no L. tropica was detected during seven years of observations in the study areas. Previous detections of L. tropica (Shehata et al. 2009) may be allochthonous, i.e., the parasite may have originated from other sites where L. tropica is established (Jacobson et al. 2003, Vinitsky et al. 2010). P. sergenti, the presumed vector of L. tropica, was found in this study, though we found no rock hyraxes, the main L. tropica reservoir in countries including Israel and Kenya (Mebratu et al. 1992, Svobodova et al. 2006). Other factors that could mask the dynamics of L. tropica transmission might include differences in the seasonality of vectors or distinct reservoir populations for different transmission cycles (Faulde et al. 2008).

L. major infections circulate in the country through different seasons of the year, with three incidence peaks in November, March and August and few sporadic cases were reported during the rest of the year. These peaks correspond to the time after the peaks of sandfly abundance (Samy 2009, Fahmy et al. 2010). The continuous circulation of L. major is maintained by the highest densities of both the P. papatasi and gerbil populations after the heavy rains that are considered as favourable habitats for the survival of the vector and reservoir populations. The number of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis (ZCL) cases is still underestimated due to the Bedouin traditions of preventing females to visit clinics and their dependence on leishmaniasis treatment using routine heat therapy. The mean annual cases reported to the official public health centres in North Sinai during 2006-2011 were 296.67 cases (Ministry of Health of Egypt, unpublished observations), with the highest infection rate reported during 2008-2010 due to a change in environmental conditions. Toward the end of this period, the incidence of ZCL caused by L. major decreased after control efforts for both vectors and rodent reservoirs by the Egyptian Ministry of Health. Due to such continuous disease dynamics in response to environmental changes, we plan in our future research to investigate the potential role of rodent populations in the circulation of the parasite in the Sinai, to study in detail the coarse-resolution ecology and to study the biogeography of disease through mapping exercises and site suitability analysis using efficient quantitative techniques.
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Annual means of climatological factors prevailed in North Sinai, Egypt (based on data of El Arish weather station)

| Year | Temperature (°C) | Relative humidity (%) | Precipitation amount (mm) | Wind speed (Km/h) |
|------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 2005 | 20.22            | 66.47                 | 2.75                      | 8.78             |
| 2006 | 20.01            | 67.79                 | 12.57                     | 7.66             |
| 2007 | 20.38            | 68.00                 | 10.10                     | 6.83             |
| 2008 | 20.55            | 66.18                 | 11.89                     | 6.99             |
| 2009 | 20.675           | 64.43                 | 2.79                      | 9.41             |
| 2010 | 21.67            | 66.08                 | 4.09                      | 8.84             |
| 2011 | 20.36            | 66.50                 | 5.63                      | 8.68             |
| Mean ± SD | 20.62 ± 0.54 | 66.49 ± 1.19 | 7.12 ± 4.29               | 8.17 ± 1.01      |

SD: standard deviation.

The samples collected from the sandfly *Phlebotomus papatasi* and the rodent species collected from different districts of North Sinai, their sources, locations and the restriction fragment length polymorphism-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) results

| Species                  | District   | Decimal longitude (DD) | Decimal latitude (DD) | PCR results     |
|--------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| *P. papatasi*            | Rafah      | 34.39778               | 30.96333              | *Leishmania major* |
| *P. papatasi*            | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *P. papatasi*            | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *P. papatasi*            | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *P. papatasi*            | Beer Lehfen| 33.61758               | 30.60847              | *L. major*      |
| *P. papatasi*            | Beer Lehfen| 33.61758               | 30.60847              | *L. major*      |
| *Rattus norvegicus*      | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | Rafah      | 34.24019               | 31.28027              | *L. major*      |
| *R. norvegicus*          | El-Hassana | 33.78393               | 30.46854              | *L. major*      |
| *Gerbillus pyramidum floweri* | Beer Lehfen| 33.61758               | 30.60847              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Beer Lehfen| 33.61758               | 30.60847              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.28333               | 30.13333              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.28333               | 30.13333              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. p. floweri*          | Rafah      | 34.28333               | 30.13333              | *L. major*      |
| *Gerbillus andersoni*    | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. andersoni*           | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. andersoni*           | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. andersoni*           | Rafah      | 34.20000               | 31.01667              | *L. major*      |
| *G. andersoni*           | Rafah      | 34.28333               | 30.13333              | *L. major*      |
| *G. andersoni*           | Rafah      | 34.28333               | 30.13333              | *L. major*      |

DD: decimal degrees.