1. Introduction

Attachment of biological macromolecules on diverse surfaces has direct implications on development of detection and analytical methods with application in bio-sensors and medical diagnosis [1,2]. Location-specific immobilization of analytes by chemical bond formation [3,4], or physical methods such as adsorption [5,6], is typically carried out as the first step in many analytical techniques. In this paper, we discuss a permeation drag based technique as an alternative approach for carrying out location-specific immobilization of macromolecular analytes. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) labeled macromolecules and their complexes were enriched near the surface of ultrafiltration membranes and detected by direct visual observation and fluorescence imaging. The level of macromolecule enrichment at the immobilization sites could be controlled by manipulating the filtration rate and thereby the magnitude of permeation drag. Higher enrichment as indicated by higher fluorescence intensity was observed at higher filtration rates. Also, larger macromolecules were more easily enriched. The feasibility of this technique for detecting immunocomplexes was demonstrated by carrying out experiments with FITC labeled bovine serum albumin (FITC-BSA) and its corresponding antibody. This permeation drag based enrichment technique could potentially be developed further to suit a range of analytical applications involving more sophisticated detection methods.
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In a typical ultrafiltration experiment, the concentration of the solute in the retentate ($C_w$) could be larger by more than two orders of magnitude [13, 18]. Clearly, concentration polarization caused by permeation drag could be utilized for quite significant enrichment of macromolecules on the surface of a membrane. Such enrichment would also be dynamic in nature, i.e., the accumulated layer of macromolecules would largely disappear if the filtration process is stopped. Equation (1) suggests that the extent of such enrichment could be manipulated by adjusting the values of $Q$, $\delta$, and $D$. While $Q$ could be controlled by adjusting the transmembrane pressure, the value of $\delta$ depends on the flow behavior adjacent to the membrane and $D$ depends on the size of the macromolecule.

Fig. 1 shows a dilute solution of an FITC-labeled macromolecule flowing through a channel having an ultrafiltration membrane on one side. In the absence of permeation drag, i.e., when filtration rate is zero, concentration polarization does not occur (Fig. 1A). If filtrate was drawn through the membrane either using positive pressure or suction, the enriched layer of macromolecules adjacent to the membrane would be evident from the enhanced fluorescence intensity (Fig. 1B). Further, if a part of the membrane was blocked, concentration polarization would occur in a localized manner only in the non-blocked part (Fig. 1C). The enhanced fluorescence due to enrichment of macromolecules would now be easier to observe due to the contrast between regions with and without polarization. Based on Eq. (1), it may be predicted that higher enrichment would occur at higher filtration rates, and larger macromolecules and macromolecular complexes (which have lower diffusivity) would be easier to enrich. Accordingly, specific regions of rectangular flat sheet ultrafiltration membranes were blocked by applying polyurethane glue. Fluorescent patterns and features were generated on these membranes by localized concentration polarization of FITC-labeled dextran. The effect of filtration rate and molecular weight of macromolecules on intensity of fluorescence was examined.

The interactions between antigens and corresponding antibody molecules lead to the formation of macromolecular complexes called immunocomplexes, the ability to recognize which is widely exploited to carry out immunoassays [19, 20]. Such immunocomplexes would be fairly easy to enrich as they are larger in size. The working principle of the localized concentration polarization based immunocomplex detection method is outlined in Fig. 2, which shows the polarization of a fluorescence-labeled antigen (Fig. 2A), the polarization of a mixture of the antigen and non-specific antibody (Fig. 2B), and the polarization of the immunocomplex (Fig. 2C). As indicated in the figure, the highest intensity could be expected in (Fig. 2C). The difference in intensity could therefore be utilized for immunocomplex detection. Proof-of-concept of such immunocomplex detection was obtained by using FITC-labeled bovine serum albumin (FITC-BSA) as model antigen and rabbit anti-BSA as corresponding antibody. The effect of filtration rate, antigen concentration and antibody concentration on intensity was examined.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

FITC-dextran of different molecular weights (40 kDa, FD40S; 70 kDa, FD70S; 500 kDa, 46947; and 2000 kDa, FD2000S), FITC-BSA (A9771), anti-BSA (B1520), and whole antiserum polyclonal
antibody raised in rabbit were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Canada Ltd, Oakville, ON, Canada. Normal rabbit serum (PLN 5001) was purchased from Invitrogen (Life Technologies Inc.), Burlington, ON, Canada. Polyethersulfone ultrafiltration membranes (OMEGA 10 K, 10 kDa MWCO; OMEGA 30 K, 30 kDa MWCO) were purchased from Pall Life Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. Elmer’s Ultimate Glue (polyurethane glue) was purchased from Elmer’s Products Canada Ltd, Oakville, ON, Canada. Normal rabbit serum (PLN 5001) and a transparent acrylic top plate. The rectangular slot within the membrane module was placed inside a cardboard box, painted black on the inside to minimize refection of light, and having dimensions of 20 cm × 15 cm × 5 cm. A Model ENF-260 °C UV lamp (Spectronic Corporation, Westbury, NY, USA) was attached with its window directly above the membrane module to illuminate the membrane surface with short wavelength ultraviolet light (254 nm). A digital camera (Sony Cyber-shot, Model DSC-WX7, Japan) was fixed within a slot in the box and was used to obtain photographs and video clips of the membrane surface during the experiments.

In the FITC-dextran experiments, the feed solutions were prepared by dissolving the appropriate FITC-dextran in water. All solutions used in the immunocomplex detection experiments were prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4). The PBS buffer contained sodium chloride, potassium chloride, disodium hydrogen phosphate, and potassium dihydrogen phosphate with the concentrations of 8.0, 0.2, 1.42, and 0.2 g/L, respectively. Water or buffer was pumped from a reservoir to the membrane module using an MCP model C.P. 78002-00 peristaltic pump (Ismatec, Switzerland) while the filtrate was generated by suction using a HiLoad P-50 pump (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Sample loops having different volumes were used to inject the feed solutions into the membrane module.

Video clips were recorded in the MTS format and the extent of zooming was kept the same in all experiments. Snapshots were obtained from the video files using Windows Live Movie Maker and processed for fluorescent intensity analysis using Image J. The polarized membrane area within the spacer was selected and its average intensity was measured using Image J as “mean gray scale intensity” value. To avoid any experiment-to-experiment variation, the intensity was normalized by subtracting the base line intensity in each case, this being the intensity of the membrane before any fluorescent sample entered the module.

Fig. 3. Membrane module used for carrying out localized permeation drag induced immobilization experiments (A: top plate; B: spacer; C: bottom plate).

Fig. 4. Experimental set-up used for carrying out localized permeation drag induced immobilization experiments.

3. Results and discussion

Permeation drag induced enrichment and immobilization experiments were first carried out using an ultrafiltration membrane strip (10 kDa MWCO) with three parallel rectangular (3.5 mm × 1 mm) non-blocked areas (shaded in light grey in Fig. 5). The feed solution which consisted of 0.2 mg/mL 40 kDa FITC-dextran in water was injected into the membrane module at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min using a 5 mL sample loop. In the absence of permeation drag, the intensity of green fluorescence on the membrane was more or less uniformly faint (Fig. 5A). The filtrate pump was switched on and the combined filtration rate through the three unblocked areas was maintained at 0.15 mL/min. The enhanced green fluorescence at the three unblocked locations was clearly distinguishable from the rest of the membrane (Fig. 5B). The filtration rate was increased to 0.25 mL/min and this resulted in a significant increase in the fluorescence intensity at these regions (Fig. 5C). These results are consistent with Eq. (1), which predicts that more macromolecules would accumulate near the membrane surface at higher filtration rates. The filtrate pump was then switched off and in less than 30 s, the fluorescent patterns completely disappeared and the non-blocked regions were no longer distinguishable from the rest of the membrane, clearly indicating that fouling was negligible. Similar experiments were carried out at cross-flow rates of 0.4 and 0.5 mL/min (data not shown). The fluorescence obtained at 0.4 mL/min was significantly fainter than that obtained at 0.3 mL/min while at 0.5 mL/min, the enrichment could not be observed.

The kinetics of the accumulation and dissipation of fluorescent-labeled analytes was studied using an ultrafiltration membrane strip similar to that used in the experiments discussed above. FITC-dextran solution (40 kDa, 0.2 mg/mL) was injected into the membrane module at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min using a 5 mL sample loop. The filtrate pump was switched on and maintained at a rate of 0.2 mL/min. Fig. 6 shows the images obtained at different times during the accumulation of the dextran molecules through the
formation of localized concentration polarization layer (frames 1–7). After about 8 s from start of filtration (frame 3), the three parallel bars corresponding to the non-blocked regions of the membrane could just about be distinguished. With time, the intensity increased until in about a minute (frame 7) and a steady state was reached. When the localized enrichment had fully developed, the filtrate pump was switched off to observe its dissipation. Frames 8–14 were obtained at different time during this phase of the experiment. The rate of dissipation of the immobilized analytes was significantly faster than its rate of accumulation. In a matter of 10 s or so (frame 14), the fluorescent features almost completely disappeared, from right to left, i.e. in the direction of cross-flow. During the dissipation phase, FITC-dextran released was clearly visible in the form of a fluorescent streak close to the outlet.

Fig. 7 shows the immobilization obtained with three different types of FITC-dextran (70 kDa, 500 kDa and 2000 kDa). The ultrafiltration membrane used in these experiments was of 30 kDa MWCO and the non-blocked area of the membrane had a dimension of 3 mm × 3 mm (shaded in light grey).

These experiments were carried out at a cross-flow rate of 0.21 mL/min and a filtration rate of 0.12 mL/min. The FITC-dextran feed solution (0.2 mg/mL) was injected into the module using a 5 mL sample loop. The lowest and highest intensities were observed with 70 kDa and 2000 kDa FITC-dextran, respectively. The intensity observed with the 500 kDa FITC-dextran was only slightly higher than that with 70 kDa dextran. These results are consistent with Eq. (1), which predicts that permeation drag would be more effective for larger macromolecules.

The immunocomplex detection experiments were carried out using 30 kDa MWCO ultrafiltration membranes having a non-blocked area of 40 mm × 1 mm (as shown in light grey shading in Fig. 8). Preliminary experiments showed that an elongated non-blocked area along the length of the cross-flow channel gave better immunocomplex immobilization than the smaller non-blocked areas used in the dextran experiments. Fig. 8 shows the results obtained with FITC-BSA (A), FITC-BSA–non-specific antibody mixture (B), FITC-BSA–anti-BSA mixture (C), and FITC-BSA–anti-BSA mixture with no filtration (D). These experiments were carried out at a cross-flow rate of 0.21 mL/min and a filtration rate of 0.14 mL/min. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 75 min, equilibrated to room temperature, and a 100 microliter loop was used for injecting these into the membrane module. The FITC-BSA concentration used in these experiments was 0.2 mg/mL while the anti-BSA or non-specific antibody concentration was 0.5 mg/mL.

Although the intensity observed with FITC-BSA (Fig. 8A) and the mixture of FITC-BSA and non-specific antibody (Fig. 8B) were almost similar, a significantly higher fluorescent intensity was observed with the immunocomplex (Fig. 8C). However, in the absence of filtration, virtually no coloration was observed even with the immunocomplex (Fig. 8D), clearly highlighting the role of permeation drag in the technique. These results unambiguously demonstrate that the permeation drag induced immobilization could be used for immunocomplex detection. This technique could be developed further into immunoassay methods for detecting specific antigens or antibodies in samples.

Further experiments were carried out to examine the effects of variables such as filtration rate, antibody concentration and antigen concentration on immunocomplex detection. Fig. 9 shows the
fluorescent signal intensity (expressed in mean grey value) as function of time observed by injecting 100 μL of FITC-BSA (0.2 mg/mL) alone, and FITC-BSA–antibody mixture (0.2 mg/mL and 0.5 mg/mL) respectively at a cross-flow rate of 0.21 mL/min and a filtration rate of 0.18 mL/min. The fluorescence was significantly and consistently higher with the antigen–antibody complex than with this antigen alone. These results quite clearly validate the working hypothesis of our proposed immunocomplex detection method. In each of these experiments, the maximum fluorescence was observed around 2 min, after which there was a gradual decay in the fluorescence adjacent to the membrane. The variations and the overall decay observed between 2 min and 5 min are regarded to the effect of the cross-flow and the pump induced pulsations on the polarized layer. All subsequently reported fluorescence data from immunocomplex experiment are based on the readings obtained 2 min after injection as peak signal intensity was observed at this time in all these experiments. When the filtration pump was stopped (data not shown in the figure), the fluorescence totally disappeared, indicating that fouling was negligible.

Fig. 10 compares the fluorescence data obtained from experiments carried out at a cross-flow rate of 0.21 mL/min and two different filtration rates of 0.14 and 0.18 mL/min, respectively. The amounts of FITC-BSA and FITC-BSA–antibody injected in these experiments were the same as that used in the experiment corresponding to Fig. 9. The intensity was found to be significantly higher at the higher filtration rate. This is consistent with the expectations based on Eq. (1) and the experimental results obtained with FITC-dextran immobilization by permeation drag.

Fig. 11 shows the results obtained from immunocomplex detection experiments carried out using different antibody concentration. The antigen, i.e. FITC-BSA concentration in these experiments, was kept fixed at 0.2 mg/mL while three different antibody concentrations (0.5, 0.75 and 5.0 mg/mL) were examined. The cross-flow and filtration rates used in these experiments were 0.21 mL/min and 0.14 mL/min, respectively. The figure also shows representative snapshots for each experimental condition as well as control intensity data obtained using FITC-BSA alone. Intensity
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Fig. 7. Effect of molecular weight on intensity of permeation drag induced immobilization (cross-flow rate: 0.21 mL/min; filtration rate: 0.12 mL/min; FITC-dextran feed concentration: 0.2 mg/mL; loop size: 5 mL; A: 70 kDa; B: 500 kDa; 2000 kDa).

Fig. 8. Fluorescence intensity due to permeation drag induced immobilization of immunocomplex (A: FITC-BSA; B: FITC-BSA–non-specific antibody mixture; C: FITC-BSA–anti-BSA mixture; D: FITC-BSA–anti-BSA mixture without filtration; cross-flow rate: 0.21 mL/min; filtration rate: 0.14 mL/min; sample loop: 100 μL; FITC-BSA concentration: 0.2 mg/mL; non-specific antibody concentration: 0.5 mg/mL; anti-BSA concentration: 0.5 mg/mL).

Fig. 9. Fluorescence intensity as function of time observed due to permeation drag induced immobilization of immunocomplex (cross-flow rate: 0.21 mL/min; filtration rate: 0.18 mL/min; sample loop: 100 μL; FITC-BSA concentration: 0.2 mg/mL; anti-BSA concentration: 0.5 mg/mL).

Fig. 10. Effect of filtration rate on fluorescence intensity due to permeation drag induced immobilization of immunocomplex (grey: immunocomplex experiment; white: control experiment; cross-flow rate: 0.21 mL/min; sample loop: 100 μL; FITC-BSA concentration: 0.2 mg/mL; anti-BSA concentration: 0.5 mg/mL).
increased quite significantly when the antibody concentration was increased from 0.5 to 0.75 mg/mL. However, the increase in intensity was relatively modest when the antibody concentration was further increased quite significantly to 5 mg/mL.

While these results do suggest that this technique could be utilized for quantitative analysis of antibodies, the non-linear relationship between antibody concentration and intensity clearly indicates the complex nature of the interaction between antigens and antibodies. One IgG antibody molecule can in theory bind up to two antigen molecules. Moreover, large antigens like FITC-BSA have been shown to possess two or more antigenic determinants of the same or different types. Therefore, different types of immunocomplexes consisting of different permutations and combinations of antigen and antibody, and indeed larger network-like structures could be produced when antigens and antibodies are mixed. Moreover, the proportion of the different types of immunocomplexes would also depend on the antigen-antibody ratio [21].

Fig. 12 shows the effect of FITC-BSA concentration on the intensity obtained by immunocomplex polarization. In these experiments, the antibody concentration was kept fixed at 0.75 mg/mL while two antigen concentrations (0.2 and 0.5 mg/mL) were examined. The remaining experimental conditions were the same as described in the previous paragraph. Increase in antigen concentration resulted in significant increase in intensity, once again pointing towards the possibility of using such techniques for quantitative measurements.

The current study is primarily intended to show that dynamic and reversible, localized immobilization of large macromolecules and their complexes could be carried out adjacent to an ultrafiltration membrane by inducing permeation drag. In this study, direct visual observation along with fluorescent imaging was utilized to detect FITC-labeled species. Such localized enrichment by ultrafiltration would seem to be a viable alternative to chemical and physical binding methods typically employed in analytical techniques such as immunoassays, surface plasmon resonance and Raman spectroscopy to develop different analytical methods. Unlike chemical and physical binding which require additional steps, reagents and specific solution conditions, localized concentration could be easily carried out at any solution condition. The fact that such localized permeation drag induced enrichment is reversible and can be rapidly dissipated simply by stopping filtration implies that sequential analysis of multiple samples within the same device would be possible. Very simple membrane blocking methods such as applying glue with the paint brush were employed in the current study. Using more sophisticated techniques such as applying glue with the paint brush, better defined patterns and features could be generated on the surface of a membrane, and thereby the reliability, precision and detection capabilities of the technique could be enhanced so that much lower concentrations could be tested. Furthermore, the speed and economy of the techniques could be improved by scaling it down to microfluidic level. Arrays of macromolecule-immobilized regions with varying degrees of enrichment could therefore be created adjacent to a membrane by independently manipulating the local filtration rates. Such capability would be useful for high throughput screening of drugs and other chemical substances, and for studying macromolecule-macromolecule interactions. As shown in Eq. (1), the diffusivity, which in turn is dependent on other properties such as hydrodynamic radius and molecular weight, would affect the extent of enrichment. Moreover, the rate of immobilization as well as the rate of dissipation would depend on these properties as well as on physicochemical parameters such as pH and salt concentration. The technique could therefore potentially be modified to study physical properties of macromolecules and fine particles.

4. Conclusions

The feasibility of carrying out dynamic enrichment of macromolecules and macromolecular complexes near the surface of a membrane was demonstrated by inducing permeation drag followed by direct visual observation and fluorescent imaging of immobilized FITC labeled macromolecules. The fluorescent intensity which is indicative of the extent of enrichment could be manipulated by changing the filtration rate. At the different test conditions examined in this study, macromolecule immobilization was found to be reversible. The rate of macromolecule accumulation when filtration was started was slower than the rate of dissipation when filtration was stopped. Larger macromolecules and macromolecular complexes showed greater fluorescent intensity, indicating that they were easy to enrich. Using this principle, an immunocomplex could be detected and distinguished from its constituent antigen and antibody molecules. Such capability for immunocomplex detection could be developed further into immunoassays for detecting specific antigens and antibodies. Intensity data obtained with different antigen and antibody concentrations clearly suggest that the technique could be suitable for quantitative analysis. Simulation of the permeation drag based enrichment can be carried out using COMSOL Multiphysics for any particular system, leading to enhanced adjustment of the parameters. Overall, localized permeation drag induced immobilization could be a viable alternative to localized immobilization of macromolecules by chemical bonding or physical adsorption, typically carried out as the first step in many commonly used analytical techniques.

Fig. 11. Effect of anti-BSA concentration on fluorescence intensity due to permeation drag induced immobilization of immunocomplex (grey: immunocomplex experiment; white: control experiment; cross-flow rate: 0.23 mL/min; filtration rate: 0.14 mL/min; sample loop: 100 μL; FITC-BSA concentration: 0.2 mg/mL).

Fig. 12. Effect of FITC-BSA concentration on fluorescence intensity due to permeation drag induced immobilization of immunocomplex (grey: immunocomplex experiment; white: control experiment; cross-flow rate: 0.21 mL/min; filtration rate: 0.14 mL/min; sample loop: 100 μL; anti-BSA concentration: 0.75 mg/mL).
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