VH-VL interdomain dynamics observed by computer simulations and NMR
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Abstract

The relative orientation of the two variable domains, VH and VL, influences the shape of the antigen binding site, that is, the paratope, and is essential to understand antigen specificity. ABangle characterizes the VH-VL orientation by using five angles and a distance and compares it to other known structures. Molecular dynamics simulations of antibody variable domains (Fvs) reveal fluctuations in the relative domain orientations. The observed dynamics between these domains are confirmed by NMR experiments on a single-chain variable fragment antibody (scFv) in complex with IL-1β and an antigen-binding fragment (Fab). The variability of these relative domain orientations can be interpreted as a structural feature of antibodies, which increases the antibody repertoire significantly and can enlarge the number of possible binding partners substantially. The movements of the VH and VL domains are well sampled with molecular dynamics simulations and are in agreement with the NMR ensemble. Fast Fourier transformation of the ABangle metrics allows to assign timescales of 0.1-10 GHz to the fastest collective interdomain movements. The results clearly show the necessity of dynamics to understand and characterize the favorable orientations of the VH and VL domains implying a considerable binding interface flexibility and reveal in all antibody fragments (Fab, scFv, and Fv) very similar VH-VL interdomain variations comparable to the distributions observed for known X-ray structures of antibodies.

Significance Statement

Antibodies have become key players as therapeutic agents. The binding ability of antibodies is determined by the antigen-binding fragment (Fab), in particular the variable fragment region (Fv). Antigen-binding is mediated by the complementarity-determining regions consisting of six loops, each three of the heavy and light chain variable domain VH and VL. The relative orientation of the VH and VL domains influences the shape of the antigen-binding site and is a major objective in antibody design. In agreement with NMR experiments and molecular dynamics simulations, we...
show a considerable binding site flexibility in the low nanosecond timescale. Thus we suggest that this flexibility and its implications for binding and specificity should be considered when designing and optimizing therapeutic antibodies.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Antibodies have become an important tool in therapeutics and clinical diagnostics.\(^1,2\) This increasing relevance has motivated the development of computational techniques to study antibody structure and function.\(^3,4\) The ability of antibodies to specifically recognize a broad variety of pathogenic molecules is determined by the antigen-binding fragment (Fab), in particular the variable fragment region (Fv). The Fab consists of a heavy and a light chain that can both be subdivided into a variable (Fv) and a constant region. Fab systems are relatively large and remain a challenge in molecular dynamics simulations. Therefore, various studies only consider the Fv fragment to describe and investigate antigen-binding. This reduces the system size and thereby decreases the computational time and costs.\(^5\) The Fv fragment is the focal point of recombination and hypermutation events.\(^6-11\) Antigen-binding is mediated by six loops of variable sequence and length denoted as the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) which are distributed evenly over the heavy and light chain variable domains, \(V_H\) and \(V_L\). Besides lengths and sequence of the CDRs, the relative orientation of \(V_H\) and \(V_L\) is a third very important factor that determines the shape of the antigen-binding site.\(^12\) The variability in orientation of the \(V_H\) and \(V_L\) domains to one another is an additional structural feature of antibodies, which directly increases the repertoire of antibody specificity.\(^13\) Modifications of the \(V_H-V_L\) domain orientation directly change the binding site geometry and have an effect on the specificity of the paratope, the antigen-binding site, for target antigens.\(^14\) It has been shown that reducing the system to the variable regions might not always be sufficient to characterize the antigen-binding process with molecular dynamics simulations, because of possible stabilization in the Fab by \(C_{\gamma4}-C_{\epsilon}\).\(^5\) Still, the characterization of the \(V_H-V_L\) domain orientation is crucial in understanding the antigen-binding process. Antibody-antigen binding can be understood in terms of the conformational selection mechanism.\(^15,16\) This paradigm follows the idea of an ensemble of preexisting conformations with different probabilities from which the binding competent state is selected. Transitions between different states in this preexisting conformational space can occur on different timescales, and therefore characterization of the thermodynamics and kinetics is vital to understand their conformational diversity.\(^17\)

This work uses experimental Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Nuclear Overhauser Effect (NOE) data in combination with molecular dynamics simulations to understand the \(V_H-V_L\) domain movements. We compare the \(V_H-V_L\) domain orientation observed in our simulations to the NMR ensemble of a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) and the corresponding antigen-binding fragment (Fab). The scFv is the smallest fragment to retain full binding activity and can bind a target protein the same way a Fab does.\(^19\) The systems studied by simulations with and without NOE time-averaged restraints are shown in Figure 1. We analyzed this potential therapeutic antibody targeted at the cytokine IL-1\(\beta\).\(^20\) Human IL-1\(\beta\) is an active pro-inflammatory cytokine and is a key orchestrator in autoinflammatory and immune responses.\(^21\) IL-1\(\beta\) signaling requires the assembly of a heterotrimeric complex consisting of the IL-1\(\beta\), the interleukin-1 receptor type I (IL-1RI), and the interleukin-1 receptor accessory protein (IL-1RACP). Neutralization of IL-1\(\beta\) can be achieved with a therapeutic antibody by interfering either with the binding to the IL-1RI or the interaction between IL-1\(\beta\) and IL-1RACP.\(^22\)

2 | METHODS

The NOEs and suggested structures for the scFv complex and the Fab were provided by the group of Mark Carr at University Leicester.\(^19,23\) The first structure of the NMR ensemble of the scFv-IL-1\(\beta\) complex with the Protein Data Bank (PDB) Code (2KH2) was used as a starting structure for further simulations. The published NMR ensemble of the complex scFv will be referred to as "minimized NMR ensemble." In contrast to this, simulations performed with NOE restraints will be referred to as "simulated NMR ensemble." In addition, we removed IL-1\(\beta\) and the glycine-serine linker (G4S) and simulated the scFv and the Fab to understand the influence of complexation and the linker on the flexibility in these angles.

All structures were prepared in MOE (Molecular Operating Environment, Montreal, QC, Canada: 2018)\(^23\) using the Protonate 3D\(^24\) tool. The C-termini of the Fv structures were capped with N-methylamine. With the tleap tool of the AmberTools16\(^25\) package, the two systems were placed into cubic water boxes of TIP3P\(^26\) water molecules with a minimum wall distance to the protein of 10 Å. Parameters for all antibody simulations were derived from the AMBER force field 14SB.\(^27\) To neutralize the charges, we used uniform background charges. Each system was carefully equilibrated using a multistep equilibration protocol.\(^28\)

2.1 | Molecular dynamics simulations

The scFv with and without IL-1\(\beta\), Fv, and the Fab structures were simulated for 1 μs using molecular dynamics as implemented in the AMBER 18 simulation package.\(^29\) Molecular dynamics simulations...
were performed in an NpT ensemble using pmemd.cuda.30 Bonds involving hydrogen atoms were restrained by applying the SHAKE algorithm,31 allowing a time step of 2.0 fs. Atmospheric pressure of the system was preserved by weak coupling to an external bath using the Berendsen algorithm.32 The Langevin thermostat was used to maintain the temperature at 300K during simulations.33

2.2 | NOE restraints simulations—NMR ensemble

The NOE distances are intramolecular NOEs and define the distances between amide protons.34 The NOE values were converted on the basis of peak intensities into distances with upper limits of 5.0 Å (strong), 6.5 Å (medium), and 8.0 Å (weak). The structures were minimized, equilibrated, and then simulated for 1 μs using the NOE distance restraints (1141 for the complex scFv and 556 for the Fab) including time-averaged constraints34,35 in an NpT ensemble using pmemd.cuda,30 following the same parameters as described in Section 2.1. A time constant for the memory function for the distance restraints of 100 ns was chosen.

2.3 | ABangle

ABangle36 is a computational tool to characterize the relative orientations between the antibody variable domains (VH and VL) using six measurements (five angles in degree and one distance in Å of the two domains, Figure S10). A plane is projected on each of the two variable domains. To define these planes, the first two components of a principal component analysis of 240 reference coordinates were used for VH and VL each. The reference coordinate set consists of CA coordinates of eight conserved residues (as identified by Abhinandan17) for 30 cluster representatives from a sequence clustering of the nonredundant ABangle antibody data set. The planes were then fit through those 240 coordinates, and consensus structures consisting of 35 structurally conserved CA positions were created for the VH and VL domain.36 Between those two planes, a distance vector C is defined. The six measures are then two tilt angles between each plane (HC1, HC2, LC1, LC2) and a torsion angle (HL) between the two planes along the distance vector (dc). These angles are visualized on the following link of the Oxford Protein Informatics Group website (http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~dunbar/abangle/wobble.html). The ABangle script can calculate these measures for an arbitrary Fv region by aligning the consensus structures to the found core set positions and fitting the planes and distance vector from this alignment. This tool available online was combined with an inhouse python script to reduce computational time and to visualize our simulation data over time. The in-house script makes use of ANARCI37 for fast local annotation of the Fv region and pytraj38 for rapid trajectory processing. The resulting time-dependent ABangle36 plots were color-coded according to the
size of the antibody fragment used in the simulation and the inclusion of experimental data. In the background, relative domain orientations observed in a representative data set of the PDB are displayed. The resulting fluctuations in these six measures were further analyzed with a Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT)\textsuperscript{39} in python\textsuperscript{40,41} to characterize the frequency and timescale of these movements. We applied a frequency filter to assign timescales to movements.

**FIGURE 2** ScFv without the presence of IL-1β. ABangle measures of 1-μs molecular dynamics simulation with the published ABangle PDB distribution in the background. IL, interleukin; scFv, single-chain variable fragment antibody [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
RESULTS

Figure S1 illustrates the analysis of the minimized NMR ensemble of the scFv with ABangle and reveals high variations of the VH-VL domain orientation in these six metrics. These high variations are obvious in comparison to the distributions of angles and the distance of the originally published 352 crystal structures found in the PDB displayed in the background. Comparison of 1-μs molecular dynamics simulations of the scFv without the presence of the IL-1β (Figure 2) with the observed relative domain orientations in the PDB reveals

**FIGURE 3** Complex scFv ABangle measure of 1-μs NOE time-averaged restraints molecular dynamics simulation (NMR constraints are taken from the complex; the simulation was performed in complex with the antigen). scFv, single-chain variable fragment antibody [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
time fluctuations of these six measures in a similar range as observed for the 352 crystal structures. The fluctuations indicate that majority of the $V_{H}$-$V_{L}$ movements occur on the nanosecond timescale. This timescale is orders of magnitude faster than that of the loop dynamics, especially of the CDR-H3 loop, which occur on the microsecond to millisecond timescales. To characterize the role of the peptide linker on the relative $V_{H}$-$V_{L}$ orientation, we show the analysis of 1-$\mu$s molecular dynamics simulations without the presence of the linker in Figure S2. We observe in this example that the calculated distributions do not change in the absence of the linker. To analyze the influence of the antigen on the relative $V_{H}$-$V_{L}$ orientation, the complex scFv was simulated with molecular dynamics simulations and the results are illustrated in Figure S3. To obtain a simulated NMR ensemble, the provided NOEs of the scFv complex were used and the results are shown in Figure 3. Figure 3 shows in all metrics a very similar distribution as observed without linker, without NOE distance restraints, without the presence of antigen and confirms the high variability in the relative domain orientations. The overlay of the HL distribution of the scFv with the Fv is illustrated in Figure S7 and reveals a very similar distribution. To underline very similar distributions, Figure 4 compares the simulations with and without the presence of IL-1β and with and without NOE distance restraints. It clearly shows that the relative interdomain dynamics captured without antigen follow the conformational selection paradigm, because we seem to find the dynamics involved in antigen binding. Figure S6 compares the experimental NOE upper limits with the calculated NOEs of the scFv NOE restraint simulation and the molecular dynamics simulations with and without antigen bound. The results show with and without restraints and with and without the presence of antigens similar NOEs compared to the experiments. The Fab was analyzed to identify the influence of the $C_{H}1$ and $C_{L}$ domains on the relative domain orientations and compared it with the other analyzed fragments. One-microsecond molecular dynamics simulations of the Fab model were performed, and the resulting relative interdomain movement distributions are shown in Figure S4. Figure 5 illustrates the time-averaged NOE restraints simulation of the Fab. The resulting simulated NMR Fab ensemble strengthens the assumption that the ABangle measures describe the same interdomain movements in all considered antibody fragments. Figure 6 directly shows the comparison of the HL angle distributions of the NOE time-averaged restraints simulations of the complex scFv and the Fab. The same domain orientations can be observed in this example with and without the presence of the constant domains. The excellent statistical sampling of these domain movements allows us to characterize frequencies and timescales of these dynamics by applying FFT. Figure 7, Figure S5, and Figure S9 show the fast Fourier transformed HL angle distributions of the NOE time-averaged Fab simulations. The spectrum in Figure S5 shows significant peaks in the frequency range of 0.1 to 10 GHz, colored in orange. The spectrum was filtered for the frequency range and back-transformed to see which domain movements occur (Figure 7C) between 0.1 and 10 ns. The histogram in Figure S10 describes an overlay of the ABangle HL distribution and the filtered movements of the FFT spectrum. The relative domain dynamics captured in 0.1 to 10 ns represent the main interdomain movements and describe about 90% of the observed variance. The remaining 10% can be characterized by movements occurring faster than 0.1 ns or slower than 10 ns. The movements faster than 0.1 ns are shown in Figure 7D, while the dynamics slower than 10 ns are displayed in Figure 7B. Figure 8 compares timescales of movements and amplitudes of motions for the scFv, the complex, and the simulated NMR ensemble for the complex. However, no significant differences neither in the amplitude nor in the movements could be identified.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present work, we characterize the relative $V_{H}$-$V_{L}$ domain orientations in Fab, Fv, and scFv of an antibody with and without the presence of the antigen, IL-1β, with molecular dynamics simulations and observe in agreement with NMR results the same dynamics in orientations independent of the presence of the linker and the constant region.

Previous studies focused on understanding and quantifying the interdomain $V_{H}$-$V_{L}$ orientations in antibodies. Predictions of the relative $V_{H}$-$V_{L}$ domain orientation in antibody design are challenging due to the variations observed. Pauling and Landsteiner in the 1930s and Milstein and Foote in 1994 suggested the ability of the same antibody to adopt various conformations on their binding properties and on the increasing size of the antibody repertoire.

Understanding the role of the $C_{H}1$ and $C_{L}$ domains is crucial in characterizing the antigen-binding process and has therefore been targeted by various experimental and computational studies. The presence of the constant domains $C_{H}1$ and $C_{L}$ in the Fab has been discussed to have stability benefits in terms of interdomain orientation; however, this also might be a consequence of too short timescales considered. NMR experiments directly compared the complexed scFv with a Fab.
and provide evidence that scFvs bind target proteins identically to Fabs. Combining experimental NOE data with molecular dynamics simulations reveals in this example the same fast interdomain dynamics in the low nanosecond timescale for the different fragments analyzed. The timescale of interdomain reorientation is orders of magnitudes faster than the loop dynamics reflecting a hydrophobic interface with rather unspecific interactions that can be easily broken and result in low kinetic barriers, whereas loop dynamics is dominated by reorganization of hydrogen bond networks and electrostatic interactions characterized by strong forces and high barriers leading to

**FIGURE 5** Fab ABangle measures of 1-μs NOE time-averaged restraints molecular dynamics simulation. Fab, antigen-binding fragment [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
much longer transition time scales. The distributions of inter-domain orientations resulting from the time evolutions are very similar to distributions observed for antibody fragments in the PDB.

Table S1 shows the time averages and standard deviations of all the considered antibody fragments and supports the observations that in all simulations we characterize a similar domain movement. Figures 3 and 5 present the results for 1-μs NOE time-averaged restraints molecular dynamics simulations and display very similar angle and distance distributions over the 1-μs simulation time (Figure 6). Comparison of the Fv with the scFv distributions in Figure S2 and Figure 2 describes independent of the presence of the linker the same domain dynamics and show very similar angle and distance variances (Table S1 and Figure S6). The main differences between all antibody fragments with and without NOE time-averaged restraints is in the HC2 angle, where the introduced NOEs lead to a more right-shifted distribution. However, HC2 angles observed in the molecular dynamics simulations overlap considerably with the

FIGURE 6 Overlay of the HL angle distributions of the complex (dark red) and the Fab (blue) simulated with NOE time-averaged restraints. Fab, antigen-binding fragment [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
simulated NMR distributions (Figure S8). The shape of the overall angle fluctuations (Figure 7, Figure S5 and Figure S9) is dominated by dynamics occurring on frequencies <0.1 GHz. Thus this could be the functionally relevant dynamics, whereas faster motions are harmonic fluctuations that can or cannot be coupled to slower dynamics. Figure S9 clearly points out that in terms of frequencies of motions, the HL angle distribution is again dominated by movements slower than 10 ns, although no substantial differences in amplitude with and without experimental restraints and upon binding could be identified.

5 | CONCLUSION

In this study, the combination of experimental NOE data with molecular dynamics simulations reveals fast interdomain dynamics with very similar angle and distance distributions independent of the presence of the linker, C_\text{H1}-C_L domain, and the binding partner IL-1\text{\textbeta}. The relative domain orientations can vary about ±5 degrees in the angles and about ±1 Å in the distance in less than 1 ns. These results are in agreement with the experimental NMR data and confirm the high interdomain dynamics of Fvs, scFvs, and Fabs. These findings are important for antibody structure prediction, as short molecular dynamics simulations are already sufficient to capture the majority of possible interdomain orientations. The fast conformational transitions of these relative domain orientations occur in the low nanosecond timescale. Therefore, these measurements should not be considered as static metrics. Current tools for predicting the VH-VL domain orientation provide an important starting point for antibody design. However, already short simulations allow accessing an ensemble of relevant domain orientations potentially involved in antigen binding.
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