The use of Digital Image Correlation in determining the mechanical properties of materials

C Cerbu*, D Xu², H Wang² and I C Roșca¹

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Transilvania University of Brașov, B-dul Eroilor No. 29, 500036, Romania
²Tianjin Key Laboratory of Refrigeration Technology, Tianjin University of Commerce, Guangrong Road No. 409, Beichen District, Tianjin 300134, PR China

*E-mail: cerbu@unitbv.ro

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to study the use of Digital Image Correlation in order to determine the Poisson’s ratio in the case of aluminium alloy and composite materials under tensile tests. The satisfactory results obtained in the case of specimens made of aluminium alloy prove that Digital Image Correlation is able to satisfy the requirements in order to determine the Poisson’s ratio. The testing procedure was carried out for two kinds of composite materials: (1) jute / epoxy composite material; (2) jute / glass / epoxy composite material. During the tests, a digital camera was used to capture the images of the tensile specimen during the tensile test. In order to measure both the longitudinal strain in direction of the tensile force and the transverse strain, the Digital Image Correlation method is used in the post-processing of all images recorded for each specimen. The data of transverse strain related to the longitudinal strain were graphically shown. The initial portion of curve corresponding to the linear portion of the stress-strain curve, was approximated by a linear regression function for each specimen tested. The slope of the fitting line represents Poisson’s ratio. The Poisson’s ratio, corresponding to the reinforcing plane with fabric for Jute / epoxy composite, is 35.5% greater than the corresponding to Jute / glass / epoxy composite.

1. Introduction

Due to several distinct advantages such as low weight, high ratio between strength and weight, and high stiffness-weight ratio, composite materials have been widely used in industry in recent years, even in the aerospace field [1-3]. Thus it is essential to propose a reliable and efficient method to characterize the mechanical properties of the composite materials. The Poisson’s ratio of composite materials is an indispensable parameter in the finite element analysis, but the studies concerning the determination of the Poisson’s ratio are rarely reported [4].

During tests, extensometers and strain gauges are generally used in order to measure the displacements or strains of specimens and then, in order to determine the mechanical properties [5]. However, what they can provide is just an average value of the displacement or strain over the gauge length at every moment in the experiment [6, 7]. The experimental information regarding the deformation field of composite materials is too limited because the mechanical behaviour of composite materials is more complicated in comparison with that of isotropic materials [7].

The Digital Image Correlation (DIC), a powerful optical testing technique which emerged in recent years, has been widely used to observe the stress-strain behaviour [8, 9], residual stress [10] and crack
propagation [11]. Compared with traditional methods, its prominent advantage lies in the non-contact and full-field measurement [12, 13]. By means of the DIC, some researchers have successfully investigated the strain fields of composite materials both in macro- and micro-scales [14]. Among these studies, the reinforcements involve carbon fibre [15], glass fibre [16], woven synthetic [17] and natural fibers [18]. It is worth noting that most of the existing studies focus on the description of strain distribution or failure evolution.

On the other hand, over the past years, there has been a great interest in the use of natural fibres (jute, flax, hemp fibres) to reinforce the composite materials used as building materials for ecological buildings.

Taking into account the above-mentioned aspects, this paper aims to determine and compare Poisson’s ratio of two kinds of composite materials reinforced with vegetable fibers by means of the DIC technique. The composite materials tested are the following: Jute / epoxy composite material; Jute / glass / epoxy composite material whose core layers are reinforced with jute fabric, while the outer layers are reinforced with glass fabric. For this purpose, the strain fields of specimens involving longitudinal strain $\varepsilon_l$ and transverse strain $\varepsilon_t$ were calculated by Vic-2D software. The values of Poisson’s ratio can be finally achieved by fitting the data of the transverse strain $\varepsilon_t$ related to the longitudinal strain $\varepsilon_l$ corresponding to the elastic portion of the stress-strain ($\sigma$ – $\varepsilon$) curve.

2. Materials. Testing method

2.1. Materials

The materials tested were: the EN AW-6060-T6 aluminium alloy; jute / epoxy composite material; jute / glass / epoxy composite material. The aluminium alloy was tested to determine the Poisson’s ratio in order to validate the experimental technique that consists in combining of the tensile test with the Digital Image Correlation method.

In accordance with the European Standard EN 573 – 3 / 2010 [19], the EN AW 6060 aluminium alloy belongs to the series of 6000 of the aluminium alloys AlMgSi (aluminium – magnesium – silicon). The chemical composition of the aluminium alloy EN AW 6060 is: 0.3–0.6% Si; 0.1–0.3% Fe; 0.10% Cu; 0.35–0.60 % Mg; 0.05 % Cr; 0.15% Zn; 0.10 % Ti; 0.05 % other metallic components so as the sum does not exceed 0.15 %; the difference is covered by the aluminium [19]. According to [20], the EN AW 6060 aluminium alloy being in T6 heat treatment condition is encoded EN AW-6060-T6.

Aluminium tensile specimens are manufactured according to EN ISO 6892-1: 2002 [21]. Some dimensions of the tensile specimen are: total length $l = 150 \text{ mm}$; active length $l_0 = 60 \text{ mm}$; width $b = 10 \text{ mm}$ of the active length; width $B = 10 \text{ mm}$ of end part that is clamped in the tensile machine.

The composite materials involved in this paper are made of Epolam epoxy resin. One kind of composite material contains four layers reinforced only with jute woven fabric. The other composite material contains also four layers: two core layers reinforced with flax woven fabric; one bottom outer layer (shell) reinforced with E-glass woven fabric; one top outer layer (shell) reinforced also with E-glass fabric. E-glass and jute woven fabrics have the same kind of yarn on both warp and weft directions. The densities corresponding to jute and glass woven fabrics are $400 \text{ g/m}^2$ and $200 \text{ g/m}^2$, respectively.

The Epolam epoxy resin whose physical and chemical characteristics are shown in table 1, is used to manufacture the composite materials [22]. To initiate and to accelerate the polymerisation process, the hardener agent was mixed with the epoxy resin before the impregnation of the fabrics. The mechanical characteristics of the epoxy resin with hardener are shown in table 2 [22].

One panel was manufactured for each kind of composite material, whose dimensions were 500x500 mm². The jute and glass fabrics used to reinforce the layers have the same orientation in all layers. A lower forming pressure was used to manufacture each panel by using hand lay-up technology. The
composite panels were kept for one week at room temperature ($\approx 20^\circ C$) before cutting of the tensile specimens.

For both composite materials, the tensile specimens were cut so as their length should be parallel with the same direction of the reinforcing fabrics. It was not necessary to cut two specimen sets (one set corresponding to warp direction of jute fabric and another set corresponding to weft direction) because both jute and glass fabrics used as reinforcement are made of the same yarn in warp direction like in weft direction. The shape and dimensions of the tensile specimens made of composite materials were in accordance with standard [23].

Five tensile specimens were tested in case of each material involved in this paper.

**Table 1.** Physical and chemical characteristics of the Epolam epoxy resin, in liquid state [22].

| Characteristic                              | Value  | Unit of measure | Method          |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Density, 25 °C                             | 1.15   | g/cm³           | ISO 1675: 1985  |
| Viscosity, 25 °C                           | 1550   | mPa·s           | Brookfield LVT  |
| Mixture ratio with hardener agent          | 32 (weight ratio) | %            | -               |
|                                           | 38 (volume ratio) |              |                 |
| Gel-time, at 23 °C (100 g resin + 32 g hardener) | 2.5    | Hours           | -               |
| Manipulation time (100 g resin + 32 g hardener) | 60     | Minutes         | -               |
| Glass transition temperature               | 80     | °C              | ISO 11359: 2002°C9188 |

**Table 2.** Mechanical characteristics of the Epolam epoxy resin (with hardener) without reinforcing [22].

| Characteristic                              | Value  | Unit of measure | Method          |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Tensile stress in tension                  | 70     | MPa             | ISO 527: 1993   |
| Flexural stress                            | 120    | MPa             | ISO 178: 2001   |
| Modulus of elasticity E (unnotch specimen) | 3100   | MPa             | ISO 178: 2001   |
| Impact strength - Charpy                    | 40     | kJ/m²           | ISO 179         |
| Elongation in tensile test                 | 5      | %               | ISO 527: 1993   |
| Toughness                                  | 83     | Shore D15       | ISO 868: 2003   |

2.2. **Testing method**

Herein, the testing method consists in combining the tensile test with the digital image correlation method in order to determine the Poisson’s ratio (transverse contraction coefficient), in addition to the other tensile properties corresponding to the composite materials described above. Firstly, the technique is used in the case of the aluminum alloy, just to validate the experimental procedure.

The Poisson ratio $\nu$ is another elastic characteristic of a material and it is computed by using the following relationship (1):

$$
\nu = \frac{\varepsilon_t}{\varepsilon_f},
$$

(1)
where: $\varepsilon_l$ represents the strain in the longitudinal direction of the tensile specimen that coincides with the direction of the tensile force; $\varepsilon_t$ is the strain of the tensile specimen in the transverse direction that is the direction perpendicular to the tensile force.

The composite material reinforced with bidirectional woven fabrics is an orthotropic material with respect to the material coordinate system denoted with 123, whose 1 and 2 axes coincide with the directions of the fabrics. In this context, in the case of the composite materials which are the focus of this paper, one determines the Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ corresponding to the reinforcing plane with fibers because the plane of the tensile specimens is parallel to the reinforcing fabrics and all tensile specimens were cut so as their length be parallel to the same direction of the reinforcement fabrics.

A photo of the set-up of the experimental test is shown in the figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** Set-up of the tensile test combined with DIC method: 1 – Tensile specimen; 2 - Fixing device of the tensile machine; 3 – Photo camera; 4 – Light source

Tensile tests were conducted on the universal digitally-controlled testing machine type LFV 50-HM, 980 (Walter & Bai, Switzerland). The universal machine whose maximum force is 200 kN is equipped with devices for tensile, compressive and bending tests. The software of the machine permits the recording, with a high acquisition frequency, of the following data: tensile force $F$, elongation $\Delta l$ and the time $t$. The speed of loading was 1 mm/min. in tensile tests. The data of the elastic portion of the tensile stress-strain $(\sigma - \varepsilon)$ curve were approximated by using a linear function whose slope represents the modulus of elasticity $E$ (Young’s modulus). The curve of the longitudinal strain $\varepsilon_l$ related to the time $t$ is also plotted in case of each specimen in order to correlate it with the data obtained by using the digital image correlation method.

In order to measure both the longitudinal strain $\varepsilon_l$ and the transverse strain $\varepsilon_t$, the VIC-2D system is used in the digital image correlation technique. This system consists of the computer software VIC-2D and the digital camera denoted by 3 in figure 1. In fact, the VIC-2D system may be used to provide two dimensional maps of any planar specimen mechanically loaded in the plane of the specimen.

The digital image correlation technique consists in capturing a set of photos of the specimen during the deformation by using the digital camera. Then, the digital photos recorded are analysed in order to create a contour plot of the strains. Before the test, it is necessary to prepare the specimen by spraying
a random dot pattern (speckled pattern) on the surface of the specimen that is photographed. Figure 2 shows the speckled pattern on the tensile specimen clamped in the tensile machine. Before the test begins, a reference photo is taken. During the mechanical test, the camera takes other photos. The VIC-2D software analyses the displacements expressed in pixels by comparing the photos captured during deformation with the reference photo and, finally, correlate them to show the plot contour of the strains. The displacement plots may also be obtained after calibration by using a calibration plate.

A DSLR Nikon D5100 camera was used whose maximum resolution is 4928x3264 pixels and whose lens 18-55 mm f/3.5-5.6 permits the sequential shooting. The photo camera was set to capture one photo per second for 200 photos in case of each tensile test. Then, all photos were post-processed by using the VIC-2D software in order to obtain the graph of the transverse strain \( \varepsilon_t \) related to the longitudinal strain \( \varepsilon_l \) in the case of each tested specimen (figure 3).
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**Figure 3.** Contour plot of the strains by using VIC 2009 software: a. Transverse strain \( \varepsilon_t (\varepsilon_{xx}) \); b. Longitudinal strain \( \varepsilon_l (\varepsilon_{yy}) \).

For each tensile specimen tested, the \( \varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_t \) curve was correlated with the stress-strain \( (\sigma - \varepsilon) \) curve and longitudinal strain – time \( (\varepsilon_l - t) \) curve in order to determine the Poisson’s ratio. In other words, the data of the \( \varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_l \) curve corresponding to the elastic portion of the \( \sigma - \varepsilon \) curve, were approximated with a linear function whose slope represents the Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \) in accordance with the relationship (1).

3. **Results**

Firstly, the medium stress-strain curve recorded in the case of the EN AW-6060-T6 aluminium alloy is shown in the figure 4, and the average values of the tensile properties are shown in the table 3.
Figure 4. Stress-strain (\( \sigma - \varepsilon \)) curve recorded in tensile test for EN AW-6060-T6 aluminium alloy.

Table 3. Tensile properties and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \) determined for EN AW-6060-T6 aluminium.

|                         | Young's modulus \( E \) (MPa) | Stress \( \sigma_{e} \) at the elastic limit | Max. stress \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) (MPa) | Strain \( \varepsilon_{e} \) at the elastic limit | Strain \( \varepsilon_{l} \) limit | Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Average value           | 52780                         | 144                                         | 182                                       | 0.002784                            | 0.047019                        | 0.353                        |
| Stdev                   | 2393                          | 28                                          | 12                                        | 0.0004                              | 0.0052                           | 0.010                        |

The Poisson’s ratio \( \nu = 0.353 \) of the aluminium alloy is the average value and it is approximately equal to the value given in specialty literature [24]. Two \( \varepsilon_{t} - \varepsilon_{l} \) recorded in the case of two tensile aluminium specimens are plotted in figure 5. In table 3, one may also remarks a good result concerning the standard deviation value (Stdev) corresponding to Poisson’s ratio \( \nu \) in the case of the EN AW-6060-T6 aluminium alloy.

Figure 5. Transverse strain related \( \varepsilon_{t} \) to longitudinal strain \( \varepsilon_{l} \) in case of EN AW-6060-T6 aluminium alloy: a. Specimen 1; b. Specimen 3.
Figure 6 shows the tensile stress-strain \((\sigma - \varepsilon)\) curves recorded for the two kinds of composite materials tested. The tensile properties corresponding to each tested specimen made of composite material are shown in Table 4, including the average values and Stdev values of these properties.

Table 4. Tensile properties of the composite materials tested.

| Specimen | Jute / epoxy | Jute / glass / epoxy |
|----------|--------------|----------------------|
|          | Young's modulus \(E\) (MPa) | Max. stress \(\sigma_{\text{max}}\) (MPa) | Strain \(\varepsilon_{\text{la}}\) | Young's modulus \(E\) (MPa) | Max. stress \(\sigma_{\text{max}}\) (MPa) | Strain \(\varepsilon_{\text{la}}\) |
| 1        | 5250.3       | 48.36                | 0.0123  | 6913.2       | 67.86                | 0.0137  |
| 2        | 5122.4       | 46.25                | 0.0117  | 6634.0       | 63.19                | 0.0126  |
| 3        | 4583.0       | 45.23                | 0.0131  | 6831.0       | 70.30                | 0.0139  |
| 4        | 4707.5       | 48.96                | 0.0145  | 6555.6       | 68.68                | 0.0135  |
| 5        | 5086.3       | 46.48                | 0.0137  | 7146.6       | 81.17                | 0.0146  |
| Average value | 4950 | 47 | 0.0131 | 6816 | 70 | 0.0137 |
| Stdev    | 288 | 1.6 | 0.0011 | 234 | 6.7 | 0.0007 |

Figure 7. Transverse strain \(\varepsilon_t\) to longitudinal strain \(\varepsilon_l\) in case of the Jute / epoxy composite: a. Specimen 2; b. Specimen 3.
Regarding the curves of the transverse strain $\varepsilon_t$ related to the longitudinal strain $\varepsilon_l$, this paper shows only two curves corresponding to two kinds of composite material tested: figure 7 for Jute / epoxy composite material; figure 8 for Jute / glass / epoxy composite material. The Poisson's ratio $\nu_{12}$ (figure 7 and 8) was computed by taking into account the data of $\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_l$ curve corresponding to $\varepsilon_l = 0 + 0.03$ in accordance to the linear portion of the stress-strain ($\sigma - \varepsilon$) curve (figure 6).

The values of the Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ computed for all specimens made of composite materials including the average values and Stdev are shown in table 5.
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**Figure 8.** Transverse strain related $\varepsilon_t$ to longitudinal strain $\varepsilon_l$ in case of the Jute / glass / epoxy composite material: a. Specimen 1; b. Specimen 5.

| Table 5. Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ computed for the composite materials tested. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| No. of tensile specimen         | Jute / epoxy    | Jute / glass / epoxy |
| Specimen 1                      | 0.3149          | 0.2007          |
| Specimen 2                      | 0.3378          | 0.2639          |
| Specimen 3                      | 0.3172          | 0.2011          |
| Specimen 4                      | 0.3816          | 0.2593          |
| Specimen 5                      | 0.2579          | 0.2623          |
| **Average value**               | **0.3219**      | **0.2375**      |
| **Stdev**                       | **0.0447**      | **0.0334**      |

One is to note the higher degree of scattering of the results for the Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ in the case of the Jute/glass composite material in comparison with the Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ corresponding to Jute / epoxy composite material at microscopic level in comparison with the homogeneity corresponding to the Jute / glass / epoxy composite material. The reason is the poorer homogeneity corresponding to the Jute / epoxy composite material at microscopic level in comparison with the homogeneity corresponding to the Jute / glass / epoxy composite material. The outer layers of the Jute / glass / epoxy hybrid composite material are reinforced with glass fabric whose density is 200 g/m$^2$ that is equal to half of the density of 400 g/m$^2$ corresponding to the jute fabrics. In other words, the yarns of the glass fabric are thinner than the yarns of the jute fabric, which ensures a better homogeneity at the surface of the tensile specimens made of Jute / glass / epoxy hybrid composite material.

The Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ corresponding to the reinforcing plane of the composite is in fact an equivalent Poisson’s ratio of the laminated composite material because it corresponds to a fictitious orthotropic material whose mechanical behaviour is similar to the behaviour of the laminated composite material.
4. Conclusions
The experimental technique presented in this paper is very useful to determine the Poisson’s ratio of the materials taking into account that this elastic property is required to characterize the material of any mechanical structure analysed by using the finite element analysis. This work also extends the application of the DIC method especially in testing composite materials, and can provide valuable data for finite element simulation.

The Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ corresponding to Jute / epoxy composite material is 35.5% greater in comparison with Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ corresponding to Jute / glass / epoxy composite material. Moreover, Poisson’s ratio of the Jute / glass / epoxy composite material is greater than Poisson’s ratio corresponding to the composite material reinforced only with glass fabric that is $\nu_{12} = 0.15$ in accordance with the results reported in previous work by the authors [4].

The above remarks lead to the conclusion that the reinforcing with jute fabric of all layers of the polymeric composite materials involves the increase of the Poisson’s ratio comparatively to the Poisson’s ratio of the hybrid composite material whose outer layers are reinforced with glass fibers.

As the yarns of the glass fabric are thinner than the yarns of the jute fabric, the above findings could be caused by this aspect. The density of the fabrics used to reinforce the outer layers of the polymeric composite materials could have an effect on the Poisson’s ratio $\nu_{12}$ corresponding to the reinforcing plane.

The article reports elastic properties of composite materials reinforced with natural fibers (jute fabric) which has been a large area of interest in recent years, taking into account their ecological advantages. These kinds of composite materials are used in the field of the automotive industry (the interior of the doors) and in the construction of the ecological buildings (thermal or sound insulation panels, interior design panels etc.).
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