Spontaneous Regression of a Metastasis from Melanoma: A Case Report
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Introduction

Spontaneous regression (SR) of cancer was initially defined by Stewart, [1] and revised by Cole [2]. According to them “it is the partial or complete disappearance of a malignant tumor in the absence of all treatment or in the presence of therapy which is considered inadequate to exert a significant influence on neoplastic disease”. The authors also point out that SR does not mean a complete disappearance of the tumor, nor is it synonymous with cure [1,2]. Furthermore, they emphasize SR is not an adequate term, “because there is obviously a cause of the regression”, although it is unknown. The likelihood of SR in melanoma is one of the biggest among all subtypes of cancer. In a collection of 176 cases reported by Cole during the 1960’s, there were predominately hypernephroma, neuroblastoma and melanoma, with 31, 29 and 19 cases, respectively [2]. Primary lesions regress more frequently than the secondary ones. Some degree of histological regression can be identified in up to 50% of cases [3,4], but a complete disappearance of the tumor happens in a lesser proportion. Some patients present with a metastatic melanoma, but the methods routinely used for staging may be unable to identify the primary site. Several reports from medical literature estimates 4%-6% of those cases [5,6], however, that kind of phenomenon is rarer when the disease present with metastases.

Case Report

We describe a case of a 69-year-old male patient, diagnosed with malignant melanoma when he was 64. His co morbidities were systemic hypertension and dyslipidemia, and there was no family history of cancer. The primary lesion was located in his left arm and it was respected in February 2015. The histological analysis showed the lesion was completely removed with the following characteristics: Below 2.1 mm, vertical growth phase, Clark IV, mitotic index 9/10, no vascular invasion, ulceration present and margins free of malignancy - pT3b; immunophenotype BRAF wild-type. The initial procedure required a wider excision; this was performed two months later and there was no residual...
melanoma. At the same time the patient underwent a left axillary lymphadenectomy in which there was malignant involvement of one lymph node, of the sixteen removed. In December 2015 a chest computerized tomography (CT) scan showed a small nodule (18 mm) in his pulmonary ligulae (Figure 1). A positrons emission tomography (PET) scan confirmed this finding with a mild hyper metabolic activity (SUV 2.8); no other lesions were seen.

In March 2016 a transthoracic biopsy of this pulmonary nodule was performed and the microscope confirmed a metastatic melanoma. Although, in April 2016 (ten months since diagnosis) a new CT scan showed the nodule was smaller ~10 mm in the greatest diameter. Based on this unusual finding the patient was closely monitored and was clinically reviewed every 3 months by the surgical and oncological teams. The nodule continued regressing for the next months and in November 2016 a new chest CT showed no longer the lesion. In its place remained just a tissue resembling fibrosis. A new chest CT scan performed in October 2017 (22 months since the nodule was first seen) was totally normal (Figure 2). The patient persisted in follow-up and his last evaluation was in January 2020; he was healthy and without neoplastic symptoms.

**Discussion**

In this case the metastatic melanoma with SR occurred in a male patient; it is known there is a lesser prevalence of this kind of cancer in females. Lungs are the main visceral sites from which metastases can regress. Both findings are in accordance to medical literature. The characteristics of the patients whose metastases from melanoma reach SR can be mainly withdrawn from an article review published by Kalialis, et al. in 2009 in which they reported 75 cases, since 1866 [10]. Below, these characteristics are described in a summarized form (Table 1). The patient’s age were divided into two groups: more or less 65 years, just to show the proportion of elderly ones. The number between parentheses, whenever present, indicates the real age of the patients. The average age in women is 43.3 years, and in men 56.9. SR occurs more commonly in males than in females; particularly in lesions of trunk in comparison to lesions of other human body areas [7]. Kalialis, et al. still accentuate the most common sites from which metastases can spontaneously regress are cutaneous or subcutaneous tissues, followed by lymph nodes. Other less common sites are, in order of probability, lungs, liver, brain and bowels [7,10]. Remarkably, the main factor associated with SR in virtually all the patients was some kind of operative trauma, followed by infection and radiotherapy.

**Table 1: Characteristics of the patients whose metastases from melanoma reach SR.**

| Autor                | Kalialis, et al [10] | Bramhall, et al [13] | D’Andrea, Reddy [11] | Behnia, et al [12] | David Filho, et al | Total | %  |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|----|
| Number of cases      | 75                   | 6                    | 1                    | 1                 | 1                 | 84    | 100|
| Age (years)          | <65                  | 64                   | -                    | 1 (42)*           | (55)*             | 0     | 66 | 78,5|
|                      | >65                  | 09                   | -                    | 0                 | 1 (69)*           | 10    | 11,9|
| Indeterminate        | 02                   | 6                    | 0                    | 0                 | 0                 | 08    | 9,5|
| Gender               |                      |                      |                      |                   |                   |       |     |
| Female               | 34                   | -                    | 1                    | 1                 | 1                 | 36    | 42,8|
| Male                 | 41                   | -                    | 0                    | 0                 | 1                 | 42    | 50 |
| Indeterminate        | 0                    | 6                    | 0                    | 0                 | 0                 | 06    | 7,1|
Why can a lesion spontaneously regress? As it has been mentioned, the most important factors that can contribute to such an occurrence are surgical trauma and infection, in addition to immunological factors. Interestingly, SR of metastases can occur following irradiation of other metastasis at distant locations – it is known as episcopal effect; systemic antitumor response induced by cerebral irradiation can also be noticed [11]. The patient we have described underwent a biopsy to clarify the nature of the pulmonary lesion. We believe such procedure ended up releasing some antigens to his immune system. Consequently, there could have been a mobilization of effect or cells to the tumor. Or maybe it was just a coincidence, because the regression was about to occur when the biopsy was performed. In both situations, there should have been a major effectiveness of the immune system. Unequivocally, the lesion regressed completely, anyway. A similar SR of a metastatic melanoma pulmonary following biopsy has been reported as well [12]. The importance of a surgical procedure in promoting regression in metastatic melanoma can also be illustrated by Bram hall, et al. in a paper published in 2014 [13]. They did a retrospective review over a 15 year period and reported 6 cases of SR of metastatic melanoma. According to their own words, those cases are “clinical evidence of the abs copal effect” - surgically induced, we would add.

Many other less relevant factors can be implied. Among them, we can cite BCG (Bacillus Calmette Guérin) and rabies vaccinations, blood transfusions, endocrine factors such as pregnancy or terminations, several alternative therapies, in addition to conditions such as xeroderma pigmentosum, diabetes mellitus, nephrolithias is, prostatic hyperplasia and peptic ulcer [10]. In all the above situations there seems to be a chronic stimulation of the immune system. Anyways, such occurrences are rare. Some factors appear to be involved with the start of cancer regression and others with its maintenance or continuation. The operative trauma is probably related to the beginning of regression. It is hard to make such a statement unequivocally; perhaps it is just a “circumstantial evidence” [13]. The infection rate in patients demonstrating regression of metastatic melanoma can be as high as 28% [14]. There can be a correlation between infection and cancer regression. Infection, as well as other ways of immune system stimulation, can play some role in increasing the natural defenses against neoplasia. In the past, some breast cancer patients had erysipelas-induced in order to provoke some tumor regression.

Nevertheless, it seems melanoma survival rates are worse in immune suppressed patients than in normal ones [15]. There may also be a regional reduction in immune activity within lymph nodes prior to the development of lymph node metastases[16]. Supposedly, there is a balance between host defenses and proliferation of cells evading the immunological surveillance – the so called malignant cells. The correct mechanisms favoring one or another direction are not totally understood. Within the same tumor progressing regions can coexist with foci of regression; this is a reflection of the struggle before the final outcome [17-19]. A rigorous description of the complex mechanisms which comprehend the immune system, as a whole, is beyond this paper. In summary, the role played by the cytotoxic T lymphocyte is critical for the attempt of suppressing the tumor growth. In general, the efficacy of such cells is down-regulated when the tumor proliferates. The modern immunotherapy tries to up-regulate their effectiveness as well as releasing them to attack.
the neoplasia. Today, several drugs are available to accomplish this mission through different paths. The main class of those drugs is the immune-checkpoint inhibitors; they are capable of favoring the host to recognize tumor tissue as non-self [20,21].

A somewhat different view of melanoma SR has been recently proposed by Motofei [22]. According to him, that is an excellent model of a “possible autoimmune rejection”. In order to support his theory, there is the observation that melanoma SR occurs more frequently in men than women, with a ratio of 2.7:1 [23]. A possible explanation for this observation is that men are not projected “as a host for gestational immune-tolerance”. Motofei adds that cancer should no longer be considered a cellular problem as it has been so far; “it is a supra-cellular problem represented by inadequate evolution of complex process such as embryogenesis, development, regeneration, etc. [22].

Conclusion

SR of metastatic disease in melanoma remains poorly understood. There have been some known factors involved in such a process, but we have not been able to select patients for this kind of occurrence. Aught, it seems to be a favorable prognostic factor, even though subsequent relapse may happen. Ultimately, tumor growth regressions are the outcome of a fight between host defenses and promotional factors produced by the tumor itself or in response to its presence. Nowadays, the melanoma treatment goals are to stimulate the immune system, in order to eliminate the malignant cells. Actually, the achievements of immunotherapy in the treatment of melanoma are among the most remarkable developments in cancer therapy over the last decades.
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