TET proteins regulate T cell and iNKT cell lineage specification in a TET2 catalytic dependent manner
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TET proteins mediate DNA demethylation by oxidizing 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) and other oxidative derivatives. We have previously demonstrated a dynamic enrichment of 5hmC during T and invariant natural killer T cell lineage specification. Here, we investigate shared signatures in gene expression of Tet2/3 DKO CD4 single positive (SP) and iNKT cells in the thymus. We discover that TET proteins exert a fundamental role in regulating the expression of the lineage specifying factor Th-POK, which is encoded by Zbtb7b. We demonstrate that TET proteins mediate DNA demethylation - surrounding a proximal enhancer, critical for the intensity of Th-POK expression. In addition, TET proteins drive the DNA demethylation of site A at the Zbtb7b locus to facilitate GATA3 binding. GATA3 induces Th-POK expression in CD4 SP cells. Finally, by introducing a novel mouse model that lacks TET3 and expresses full length, catalytically inactive TET2, we establish a causal link between TET2 catalytic activity and lineage specification of both conventional and unconventional T cells.
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Introduction

The TET family of proteins consists of three members: TET1, TET2 and TET3 that are Fe2+ and O2 dependent deoxygenases, with the enzymatic capacity to oxidize 5-methylcytosine (5mC) to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) (1) and downstream additional oxidized modified cytosines (oxi-mCs), 5-formylcytosine (5fC) and 5-
carboxylycytosine (5caC) (2). Notably, TET proteins mediate active DNA demethylation and promote gene expression (3). In addition, all the above oxo-mCs can also exist as stable epigenetic marks, that can affect the binding and the activity of transcription factors that preferentially recognize specific modified or unmodified states of cytosine (4–7). TET1 and TET3 share a CXXC DNA binding domain, whereas TET2 lacks a DNA binding domain, and thus cannot directly bind to the DNA (3). Interestingly, loss of TET proteins in various cell types did not reveal a global gain of DNA methylation (8–10). Instead, TET proteins regulate DNA demethylation in a focial manner, mainly at genomic loci that control cell specific genes (8, 11). The precise recruitment of TET proteins to these loci is mediated via interaction with transcription factors (11–15). We have previously demonstrated that 5hmC exhibits a dynamic intragenic distribution during T cell lineage specification (16) in the thymus as well as during T helper cell lineage choice (16, 17). Moreover, 5hmC enrichment correlates with high gene expression of T cell specific genes and is frequently detected in active enhancers (16). Paradoxically, concomitant deletion of both TET2 and TET3, which are most highly expressed in T cells, in the double positive (DP) cell stage demonstrated that TET proteins are dispensable for CD4 cell lineage specification (8). Similar findings were reported for simultaneous deletion of TET1 and TET3 (18).

T cell development in the thymus is a tightly regulated, highly complex process (19). DP cells that react strongly against antigens are eliminated by negative selection, whereas DP cells which react below an optimal threshold to antigens are eliminated by a process known as death by neglect (19, 20). Thus, 90% of DP cells are eliminated and only those that react below an optimal threshold to antigens are eliminated by negative selection, whereas DP cells that react strongly against antigens give rise to peptide through MHC class I molecules give rise to DP thymocytes that are positively selected through major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II antigen recognition. DP thymocytes that are positively selected through major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II antigen recognition give rise to single positive (SP) CD4 and CD8 cells (21). Specifically, DP thymocytes that are positively selected through major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II antigen presentation give rise to CD4 cells, whereas the DP cells that recognize peptides through MHC class I molecules give rise to CD8 SP cells (20). GATA3 is required for the CD4 lineage specification (22, 23), and induces Th-POK expression, which is indispensable for CD4 differentiation and maturation (24). Th-POK is the specifying factor of the CD4 lineage (25, 26), consists of four zinc fingers and a BTB domain (bric-a-brac, tram-track, broad complex) and belongs to the large Zinc finger and BTB domain containing (ZBTB) family of proteins (26). Deletion of Th-POK results in redirection of MHC class II restricted CD4 cells to CD8 cells, whereas overexpression of Th-POK impairs CD8 differentiation and redirects MHC class I restricted thymocytes to differentiate towards the CD4 lineage (25, 26). Recently, the precise binding sites of Th-POK have been revealed (27) which indicate that Th-POK can bind to the DNA of CD4 as well as CD8 specific genes, such as Cd4, Runx3, Cd40lg and Zbbt7b, which encodes Th-POK (27). Mature CD4 cells will migrate from the thymus to peripheral organs. Based on the cytokine milieu and environmental cues naïve CD4 cells will give rise to helper lineages that express distinct lineage specifying factors and secrete specific cytokines (28, 29).

DP thymocytes that are selected through the CD1d MHC class I like molecule give rise to invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells, a unique subset of innate-like T cells (30). iNKT precursor cells rapidly upregulate the ZBTB transcription factor promyelocytic lymphocyte zinc finger (PLZF), which endows them with effector properties (31, 32). They express an invariant Va14 chain and a limited number of Vß chains and they recognize lipids (30). Thymic iNKTs exhibit an antigen experienced phenotype that endows them with the capacity to potently secrete large quantities of cytokines, including those that are typically secreted by helper T cells (33, 34). Specifically, iNKT cells that upregulate GATA3 acquire phenotypical characteristics reminiscent of the T helper 2 (Th2) cells, secrete interleukin 4 (IL-4) and are characterized as NKT2 cells (35). Thymic iNKT cells that express RORα and secrete IL-17 are known as NKT17 cells (35). Finally, an additional iNKT subset in the thymus has been described that upregulates T-bet, potently secretes IFNγ and is known as NKT1 subset (35). Notably, NKT1 cells maintain the capacity to also secrete IL-4 (36).

The transcriptional circuitries that shape conventional and unconventional T cell identity and lineage specification as well as lineage integrity (37–42) have been extensively studied. The individual contribution of the transcription factors that regulate lineage commitment and maintenance has been dissected in detail, by generating mouse models that specifically lack the expression of the factor of interest at distinct stages of T cell development and differentiation. Recent studies have indicated a critical role for epigenetic regulators in the process of T cell and iNKT cell development (42–44). However, the precise epigenetic mechanisms that regulate the optimal expression and function of transcription factors to orchestrate the process of thymic lineage specification have not been elucidated.

Deciphering the roles of TET proteins and 5hmC in shaping T and iNKT cell lineage fate and maturation is of paramount importance. Proper maturation and lineage specification ensure optimal immune response against pathogens as well as tumor cells (36, 45). In this study, we seek to understand how TET proteins, and in particular TET2 and TET3, which are most highly expressed in T cells (46, 47), impact the gene expression program of CD4 SP cells. Then, we identify shared signatures among Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells and CD4 SP cells in the thymus. We reveal that concomitant loss of TET2 and TET3 reduces significantly Th-POK expression due to increased DNA methylation in the Zbbt7b locus in both iNKT cells and CD4 SP cells, revealing a conserved role of TET2 and TET3. Specifically, we identify gain of methylation at the proximal enhancer and at site A, where GATA3 binds to regulate Th-POK expression. Finally, we ask if the observed defects in iNKT and T cell lineage specification are exclusively regulated by TET2
catalytic dependent activity or if TET2 exerts additional, catalytic independent roles. We were inspired to pursue this question by our previous findings that TET3 deficiency is sufficient to increase NKT17 frequency, but did not result in the striking expansion that we observed for the Tet2/3 DKO mice (8). Recent studies suggested that TET2 can impact lymphoid cells in a catalytic independent manner (48). Moreover, TET2 has been reported to regulate inflammatory phenotypes in macrophages by mechanisms that are not related to its enzymatic activity (49). Here, we introduce a novel mouse model that lacks TET2 and expresses full length TET2, which is catalytically inactive due to a point mutation that compromises iron binding. Our data establish a fundamental role of the catalytic activity of TET2 that in cooperation with TET3 shapes conventional and unconventional lineage specification in the thymus.

**Methods**

**Mice**

All mice were bred and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions at University of North Carolina (UNC) Genetic Medicine building, in a facility managed by the Division of Comparative Medicine at UNC Chapel Hill. The experimental procedures were approved by the UNC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. C57BL/6 (B6) (stock number: 000664) and B6.SJL-CD45.1 (stock number: 002014) were initially purchased from Jackson laboratory (stock number: 023359). Tet3fl/fl (50) mice were generated in the Rao lab (and are currently available from Jackson laboratory, stock number: 031015). Tet2fl/fl CD4Cre (mentioned Tet3 KO hereafter) (8) mice and Tet2−/−Tet3fl/fl CD4Cre (mentioned Tet2/3 DKO hereafter) (8) mice have been previously described. Tet2H1795R mice (referred to as Tet2CD hereafter) were designed and generated by MC, MS, YX and ASB at the UNC Animal Models Core (51). For the immune-phenotyping experiments both male and female mice were used. For genome-wide sequencing experiments, we used sex and age-matched mice. When feasible, both male and female mice were used as indicated in the specific methods sections. The control and the TET mutant mice were analyzed between 21-30 days old, during this time the mice were healthy (8). The genotypes of the mutant mice were validated by PCR genotyping. Genomic DNA was isolated using Phire Animal Tissue Direct PCR kit (Thermo scientific, cat no F-140WH), based on the manufacturer’s guidelines. PCR amplification of DNA fragments was performed using the Phire DNA polymerase (Thermo scientific) and specific primers using Biorad T100 or Biorad C1000 Touch thermocyclers. PCR products were run in a 3% agarose gel and were visualized using SYBR safe (Invitrogen, Thermo Scientific) staining using an Axygen Gel documentation system. For the Tet2CD mice, DNA was isolated as described above and amplified using Phusion High Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB). The sequence of the primers used for the amplification is:

- Tet2-H1795R-F: GTGCTTCCAGGGTTTAATCATG
- Tet2-H1795R-R: GTCTGATCCATTCTTTCCGCA

PCR products were submitted for purification and sequencing at Eton Bioscience. The sequence of the primer used for sequencing was Tet2-H1795R-Seq: GCAGCAGTCAGGAGAAGCAG. Sequencing results were analyzed to distinguish heterozygotes from homozygotes.

**Flow cytometry**

Staining of thymocytes, was performed directly **ex vivo.** Briefly, mice were euthanized and thymi were harvested. Single-cell suspensions were prepared by dissociating the organs using a 70 um cell strainer (Falcon). For surface stainings, cells were stained in FACS buffer (PBS containing 2% FBS). Dead cells were excluded by using fixable viability dye (ebiScience). To perform multiparameter Flow cytometry, antibodies were used conjugated with fluorophores: Anti-mouse CD4APC (clone: RM4-5), anti-mouse CD8BV650 (clone: 53-6.7), anti-mouse TCR-βPERCP/Cy5.5 (clone: H57-597), CD44PE/PERCP/Cy5.5 (clone: IM7) were all from Biolegend. The antibodies were used in a dilution 1:200. αGalactosyl-ceramide loaded tetramer conjugated with PE or BV421 was obtained from NIH tetramer Core and was used for stainings in a dilution 1:400. For intracellular staining, cells were washed three times with FACS buffer and were fixed and permeabilized using the Foxp3 transcription factor staining kit (ebiScience) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The fixation step was performed overnight. Antibody for transcription factor TbxPOX (clone: T43-94) was purchased from BD Pharmingen and was diluted in 1X Permeabilization buffer (diluting the 10X permeabilization buffer available in the Foxp3 transcription factor staining kit) in a dilution 1:20 according to the instructions. PLZFPE (clone: R17-809), RORγt (clone: Q31-378) conjugated either with CFP594 or BV421 and GATA3 (clone: L50-823) conjugated with PE were all from BD Pharmingen. PLZF and RORγt were diluted in 1X Permeabilization buffer in a dilution 1:100. GATA3PE was diluted in 1X Permeabilization buffer in a dilution 1:5 (as recommended by the manufacturer). EOMESPE (clone: Dan11mag) was purchased from eBioscience and was used in a dilution 1:100.

Samples were analyzed by Flow Cytometry using a BD LSRFortessa analyzer (BD Biosciences) or a Novocyte 3005 (ACEA, Agilent). Data were acquired using BD FACS DIVA.
software or NovoExpress (Agilent) software respectively. The data were analyzed and FACS plots were generated using FlowJo (Treestar).

Cell enrichment & flow cytometry activated sorting

Total thymocytes were depleted of CD24hi cells by staining with biotinylated mouse anti-CD24 (clone:M1/69) purchased from Biolegend. Unwanted cells were depleted by binding to mouse streptavidin magnetic beads (anti-mouse RapidSpheres, cat no 19860, Stemcell Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Enriched cells were stained with viability dye (eBioscience) to distinguish live from dead cells. Cells were stained with aGalactosyl-Ceramide loaded tetramer (conjugated with PE, obtained from NIH tetramer core), TCRβ (clone:H57-597) conjugated with PERCP/Cy5.5 (Biolegend), anti-mouse CD4+ (clone:RM4-5), CD8 (clone:53-6.7) conjugated with Brilliant Violet 650 or APC. For the thymic differentiation related studies, live CD4+, CD8+, TCRβ+, tetramer− cells were sorted and used in downstream applications. The purity of the samples after sorting was >98%. The cells were sorted using an Aria Sorter (Becton Dickinson).

RNA sequencing

Live, CD24low enriched cells CD4+, TCRβ+, aGalCerCd1d tetramer−, CD8− cells were sorted in a purity higher than 98%. RNA was isolated using the micro RNeasy plus kit (Qiagen). RNA was quantified using HS RNA kit (Invitrogen) in a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). RNA integrity was assessed in a Bionalyzer (Agilent) using RNA 6000 pico kit (Agilent, cat no: 5067-1513). The RNA that was used for downstream steps had a RIN value of 9 or higher. Libraries were prepared using the SMARTseq kit v4 Ultra Low Input RNA kit for sequencing (Clontech, cat no: 634888) and Nextera XT (Illumina). Sequencing was performed using Hiseq 4000 (Illumina), at the Nextera XT (Illumina). SMARTseq kit v4 Ultra Low Input RNA kit for sequencing RIN value of 9 or higher. Libraries were prepared using the SMARTseq kit v4 Ultra Low Input RNA kit for sequencing (Clontech, cat no: 634888) and Nextera XT (Illumina). Sequencing was performed using Hiseq 4000 (Illumina), at the UNC High Throughput Sequencing Facility. 3 male control (wild type) and 2 male Tet2/3 DKO mice were used.

Template capture hybridization sequencing (CATCH-seq)

In order to analyze cytotoxic methyltransfer status of Zbtb7b locus in control (wild type) and Tet2/3 mutant CD4 SP cells, DNA was isolated from FACS sorted CD4 SP cells using PureLink genomic DNA mini kit (Invitrogen). DNA was isolated following the manufacturer’s instructions and was eluted in 50 ul molecular biology grade water. A minimum of 1.1 ug of DNA was provided to Biodynam (Huntsville, Alabama) for bisulfite conversion, capture, library preparation and next generation sequencing. Biodynami NGS DNA Library Prepkit (cat no 30023) was used and methylated adapters were utilized. Bisulfite conversion was performed with the Epitect Bisulfite kit (Qiagen, catalogue number 59104). For the capture of the mm10 chromosome 3: 89,373,714-89,397,292 a Biodynami Custom Capture kit was developed and used. Sequencing of the generated, barcode libraries was performed using HiSeq X platform and PE 2x150 reads.

CUT&RUN

For the CUT&RUN experiments, we used the CUTANA™ ChIP/CUT&RUN Kit (Epicypher, cat no: 14-1048) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with some modifications. Specifically, cells were collected in a V-bottom 96 well plate for handling as previously described (32). We omitted the use of Concanavalin-A (ConA) – coated beads, since ConA is a T cell mitogen. The starting material for each experiment was 500,000 CD4 SP sorted cells. Additionally, the incubation with the antibodies (0.5 ug) was performed at 4°C for 1h. The antibodies used for these experiments are: GATA3 (clone: D13C9) XP from Cell Signaling Technology (CST cat no: 5852) and IgG (Epicypher, cat no: 13-0042).

CUT&RUN libraries were prepared as previously described (53) with modifications (54). Briefly, 3 ng DNA (corresponding to small fractions of DNA) were used for library preparation utilizing NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (NEB, cat no: E7645). The DNA was end-repaired at 20°C for 30 minutes, followed by an incubation at 50°C for 60 minutes. The adaptor was diluted to 1:12.5 for adaptor ligation and added to the end-repaired products, followed by an incubation at 20°C for 15 minutes. Then, the USER enzyme was added to the ligation mixture and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes. To clean up the adaptor-ligated DNA, 1.75 volume of AMPure XP beads (Beckman) was added to the ligation reaction. The adaptor-ligated fragments were amplified using the following PCR conditions: 98°C for 45 sec, 12 cycles of 98°C for 15 seconds, 60°C for 10 seconds and a final extension step: 65°C for 5 minutes. For the PCR amplification, we used the NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (Dual Index Primers Set2, NEB, cat no: E7780S). After amplification, libraries were purified with 1.0x volume of AMPure XP beads (Beckman). The DNA concentration was measured using the double stranded (ds) DNA High Sensitivity (HS) assay in a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). The quality control and the size of the library was determined using High Sensitivity (HS) assay in a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen).
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**Purification of proteins**

Total thymocytes were isolated from WT, Tet2/3 DKO, Tet2CD Tet3 KO, Tet2 KO, Tet2CD and Tet3 KO mice. We used both male and female mice. To separate nuclear extracts from cytoplasmic extracts, we used the NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction kit (Thermo Scientific, cat no:78833) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the purification of protein extracts from sorted CD4 SP cells, isolated from WT and Tet2CD Tet3 KO mice, we utilized the radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer. Briefly, FACS sorted CD4 SP cells were washed with ice-cold 1X PBS and then lysed to RIPA buffer (1.0% Igepal CA-630, 0.5% Sodium Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 25mM Tris-HCL pH 7.4) supplemented with protease inhibitors. After a 30 minutes rotation at 4°C, the cell lysates were centrifuged at 10.000g for 10min (at 4°C) and subsequently the supernatant containing the protein extracts was collected. To determine the protein concentration, we used the qubit protein assay kit (Q33211, Invitrogen) and the micro BCA protein assay kit (Pierce, cat no: 23235). Samples were measured in a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Invitrogen) or a Synergy 5 microplate reader (BioTek) respectively. Protein extracts were stored at -80°C.

**Immunoblotting**

Prior to immunoblotting, the extracts were diluted in 4xLaemli buffer (Biorad, Cat No: 1610747), containing 10% β-mercaptoethanol, and boiled for 10 minutes. Then, the protein samples were loaded onto 4-20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels (Biorad, cat no: 4568094), subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, and transferred to PVDF membranes by utilizing the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Biorad) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk (Biorad) in PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween (Sigma) and then incubated with primary antibodies against TET2 (dilution 1:1000, CST, cat no: 36449), TET3 (dilution 1:1000, CST, cat no: 99980), Histone H3 (for nuclear extracts, dilution 1:5.000, Sigma, cat no: H0164) and GAPDH (for whole cell extracts, dilution 1:20.000, Sigma, clone: G8795). The HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit (dilution 1:2000, Biorad, cat no: 172-1019) and anti-mouse (dilution 1:3000, Biorad, cat no: 172-1011) secondary antibodies were used. Signals were detected by Pierce ECL Western blotting substrate (Thermo Scientific, cat no: 32209) and proteins were visualized using a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Biorad). To quantify the Western Blot bands, we used the Image Lab Software (BioRad), while the protein levels of H3 (for nuclear extracts of total thymocytes) or GAPDH (for whole cell extracts of sorted CD4 SP cells) were used for normalization.

**RNA isolation and quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from thymocytes from WT, Tet2 KO, Tet2CD and Tet2CD Tet3 KO mice using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, cat no:74134) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Both male and female mice were used. cdNA was synthesized using the iScript Reverse Transcription SuperMix (Biorad, cat no:1708840). qPCR was performed using the iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Biorad, cat no: 172-5121) on a CFX96 Real-Time System (Biorad). The expression of the target genes was normalized to the expression of Gapdh. The relative gene expression levels were determined by the comparative Ct method (ΔΔCt method) according to the CFX Maestro software (Biorad).

**Data statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using Prism software (Graphpad). Unpaired student’s t test was applied as indicated. In each figure legend, the indicated p-values are described. Data are mean ± s.e.m. In the graphs, each dot represents a mouse. Unless otherwise indicated the p-value was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05 (“); < 0.01 (“’); < 0.001 (“’’’); < 0.0001 (“’’’’’’’). For each experiment, sufficient number of mice was used, to ensure adequate power for our findings. For the phenotyping experiments, mice from different litters and of different sex were evaluated, with reproducible results.

**Graph design**

The graph in Supplementary Figure 1 was designed using Biorender.

**RNA-seq data analysis**

Adapter trimming and quality filtering of the sequencing libraries was done using fastp (0.21.0) (55) with the default parameters. The sequencing libraries were mapped against mm10 and the GRCh38.100 transcriptome using STAR
The differential expression analysis was done using DESeq2 (57) based on the read counts per gene produced by STAR. The used threshold for adjusted p-value was 0.01.

ChIP-seq data analysis

The sequencing libraries were mapped against mm10 using Bowtie 2 (2.4.1) (58) using the default parameters. Reads with identical sequences were filtered and only one was retained for subsequent analysis. The coverage tracks were generated from the samples obtained by pooling the biological replicates using HOMER (4.10) (-norm 1e6) (59).

CMS-seq data analysis

The CMS-IP and input reads were mapped against mm10 using Bismark (0.22.3) (60). The mapping was done using the Bowtie 2 (2.4.1) (58) backend in the paired-end mode with the following parameter values: -I 0 -X 600 -N 0. The coverage tracks were generated using HOMER (4.10) (makeBigWig.pl -norm 1e6) (59).

ATAC-seq data analysis

Adapter trimming and quality filtering of the sequencing libraries was done using fastp (0.21.0) (55) with the default parameters. The sequencing libraries were mapped against mm10 using Bowtie 2 (2.4.1) (58) backend in the paired-end mode with the following parameter values: -I 0 -X 2000. Mitochondrial reads were removed after alignment. Additional filtering was done using samtools (1.12) (61) using the following parameter values: -q 30 -h -b -F 1804 -f 2. Reads with identical sequences were filtered and only one was retained for subsequent analysis. The coverage tracks were generated from the samples obtained by pooling the biological replicates using HOMER (4.10) (annotatePeaks.pl peaks.txt mm10 -size 1000 -hist 5 -ghist) (59).

CATCH-seq (bisulfite) data analysis

Adapter trimming and quality filtering of the sequencing libraries was done using trim galore (0.6.2) (-paired) [DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.5127899]. The sequencing libraries were mapped against mm10 using Bismark (0.22.3) (60). The mapping was done using the Bowtie 2 (2.4.1) (58) backend in the paired-end mode with the following parameter values: -non_directional. The counts of converted and unconverted cytosines in CpG context were extracted using bismark_methylation_extractor (-bedGraph -counts) (60).

CUT&RUN data analysis

Adapter trimming and quality filtering of the sequencing libraries was done using fastp (0.21.0) (55) with the default parameters. The sequencing libraries were mapped against mm10 using Bowtie 2 (2.4.1) (58) backend in the paired-end mode with the following parameter values: -I 0 -X 600 -N 0. Mitochondrial reads were removed after alignment. Additional filtering was done using samtools (1.12) (61) using the following parameter values: -q 30 -h -b -F 1804 -f 2. Reads with identical sequences were filtered and only one was retained for subsequent analysis. The coverage tracks were generated from the samples obtained by pooling the biological replicates using HOMER (4.10) (annotatePeaks.pl peaks.txt mm10 -size 1000 -hist 5 -ghist) (59).

Annotation of regulatory sites across Zbtb7b locus

To annotate the distal enhancer and proximal enhancer of Zbtb7b locus we utilized sequences of primers described in (62) and we mapped these to the mm10 reference genome (proximal enhancer is chr3:89,385,456-89,385,868 and distal enhancer is chr3:89,395,980-89,396,394). To annotate site A, where GATA3 has been previously reported to bind, we utilized the sequences described in (24) and mapped them to the mm10 reference genome (chr3:89,382,182-89,382,343).

Data availability

RNA-seq, CUT&RUN and CATCH-seq datasets have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) public repository under the following accession numbers: SuperSeries: GSE206450, RNA-seq data: GSE190230, CUT&RUN: GSE190228, CATCH-seq: GSE190227.

We have not generated unique or novel codes for data analysis for this manuscript. We have provided a detailed data analysis section.

Most of the biological tools (mouse strains) are available at Jackson laboratories and we have provided the relevant stock numbers. The Tet2CD mice are a new strain (51). Requests regarding the Tet2CD mice should be addressed to Dr. Baldwin (albert_baldwin@med.unc.edu). Any other requests should be addressed to Dr. Ageliki Tsagaratou (ageliki_tsagaratou@med.unc.edu).
Overview of data sets

| Sample                  | Type       | GEO accession | Reference   |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| WT CD4 SP               | RNA-seq    | GSE190230     | Present study|
| Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP       | RNA-seq    | GSE190230     | (8)         |
| WT CD4 SP               | CATCH-seq  | GSE190227     | Present study|
| Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP       | CATCH-seq  | GSE190227     | Present study|
| WT CD4 SP               | GATA3 CUT&RUN | GSE190228    | Present study|
| Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP       | GATA3 CUT&RUN | GSE190228    | Present study|
| WT iNKT cells           | WGBS       | GSM1855585    | (8)         |
| Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells   | WGBS       | GSM1855587    | (8)         |
| WT iNKT cells           | RNA-seq    | GSM2281118    | (8)         |
| Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells   | RNA-seq    | GSM2281122    | (8)         |
| WT immature CD4SP       | ATAC-seq   | GSM44406874   | (27)        |
| Th-POK KO immature CD4SP| ATAC-seq   | GSM44406877   | (27)        |
| WT mature CD4 SP        | RNA-seq    | GSM44406850   | (27)        |
| WT immature CD4 SP      | RNA-seq    | GSM44406863   | (27)        |
| Th-POK Ctrl ChIP        | ChIP-seq   | GSM44406883   | (27)        |
| Th-POK ChIP             | ChIP-seq   | GSM44406880   | (27)        |

Main

Altered gene expression program in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells

We and others have previously reported that 5hmC is highly enriched in thymic CD4 SP cells (8, 46). In addition, Tet2 and Tet3 expression is increased as DP cells are positively selected to give rise to CD4 SP and CD8 SP cells (8) (source: Immunological Genome Project, ImmGen, Figure 1A). In addition, we utilized publicly available datasets (27) to investigate the expression of Tet genes during the process of CD4 cell lineage maturation. We discovered that Tet1, Tet2 and Tet3 become upregulated as CD4 immature SP cells become mature CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figure 1A). In particular, Tet3 shows the biggest increase (Supplementary Figure 1A). Interestingly, the lineage specifying transcription factor Th-POK binds to all three genes Tet1, Tet2 and Tet3 as revealed by chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChiP-seq) for ThPOK in CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figures 1B–D). ChiP-seq data were used from the study by (27). Specifically, Th-PK binds in the gene body of Tet1, the promoter of Tet2 and is recruited in the promoter and intragenically in Tet3 (Supplementary Figures 1B–D). Collectively, these findings suggest that TET proteins play a role in regulating gene expression during CD4 SP cell maturation.

We set out to discover the impact of loss of TET2 and TET3 on the gene expression program of CD4 SP cells (16). To this end, we performed low input gene expression analysis (SMART-seq) (63) as previously described (8) in high purity, sorted CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figure 2). Our comparative analysis confirmed that indeed the control, wild type CD4 SP cells were more similar to each other compared to Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figure 3). We discovered 816 differentially expressed genes (DEG) when comparing Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells to their wild type counterparts (Figure 1B). Among these 816 DEG, 255 were downregulated in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells, while the majority (561) where upregulated in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (Figure 1B). This unbiased analysis identified that genes encoding lineage specifying factors were differentially expressed in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells. For instance, Rorc and Tbx21, that encode for RAR-related orphan nuclear receptor RORγt and T-bet, respectively, were among the upregulated genes in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells. Zbtb7b, the gene that encodes for the lineage specifying transcription factor Th-POK, was among the downregulated genes (Figure 1B). It has been previously reported that deletion of Th-POK can result in redirection of CD4 SP cells to CD8 SP cells (24). However, we did not observe an increase of Runx3 gene expression in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells in our bulk RNA-seq data. Moreover, we did not detect a decrease of Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (8), that would suggest that CD4 cells are redirected to CD8 SP cells. Instead, the increase of Tet2/3 DKO CD8 SP cells that we have previously demonstrated (8) is attributed to the increase of iNKT cells that results in the emergence of innate-like CD8 cells (8). Interestingly, among the upregulated genes in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells was Eomes (Figure 1B), as well as Gzma which encodes granzyme a. Notably, we also observed upregulation of genes that are normally expressed in precursor stages such as Myb, Lmo2 and Lmo4 (Figure 1B). These findings suggest that TET2 and TET3 regulate gene expression in the CD4 SP cells.
Tet2 and Tet3 are upregulated during T cell lineage specification and are required for optimal Th-POK expression. (A) Gene expression of Tet2 (left) and Tet3 (right) in DP cells, CD4 SP cells and CD8 cells. (Source: Immunological Genome project, Immgen). (B) MA plot depicting log₂ fold-change of gene expression in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells compared to control (wild type) CD4 SP cells. 561 were identified to be upregulated (padj < 0.01, in green) in Tet2/3 DKO SP cells, whereas 255 were downregulated (padj < 0.01, in red). Genes of interest are indicated. (C) Venn diagram indicating the intersecting genes that are differentially expressed in Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells compared to wild type iNKT cells (B) and Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells compared to wild type CD4 SP cells. (D) Heatmap indicating the log₂ fold-change of the overlapping genes identified in (C). The scale for evaluating differential gene expression is depicted. (E) Representative histogram comparing the protein expression levels of Th-POK in wild type (gray) and Tet2/3 DKO (purple) CD4 SP cells evaluated by flow cytometry. (F) Median Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of Th-POK expression in CD4 SP cells as evaluated in (E). Each dot represents a mouse. WT n=10 (in black), Tet2/3 DKO n=6 (in purple). For each genotype male and female mice were analyzed with comparable findings. (G) Representative histogram comparing the protein expression levels of Th-POK in wild type (gray) and Tet2/3 DKO (purple) iNKT cells evaluated by flow cytometry. (H) Median Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of Th-POK expression in iNKT cells as evaluated in (G). Each dot represents a mouse. WT n=7 (in black), Tet2/3 DKO n=7 (in purple). For each genotype male and female mice were analyzed with comparable findings. 5 independent experiments were performed. **** (p < 0.001), unpaired t test. Horizontal lines indicate the mean (s.e.m.).
Identifying conserved roles for TET proteins in regulating gene expression among conventional and unconventional T cells

Thymic iNKT cells express key lineage specifying factors which are also expressed by CD4 cells. We have previously identified that TET proteins regulate iNKT cell lineage specification (8). Thus, we interrogated whether there is a shared signature among iNKT cells and CD4 SP cells that could reveal common target genes regulated by TET proteins in conventional and unconventional T cells. To this end, we compared 1195 DEG in Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells (from our previous study (8)) and 889 DEG in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (identified in the present study) and we discovered 254 commonly DEG among the compared groups (Figure 1D). We also found that among the shared upregulated genes was Rorc, which encodes the lineage specifying factor RORγt. In addition, we identified genes that encode proteins involved in T cell receptor (TCR) signaling such as Lag3, Nr4a2, Themis and the gene Jag1, that encodes the Notch ligand JAG1.

TET proteins regulate Th-POK expression in CD4 SP and iNKT cells

5hmC is highly enriched in the gene body of Zbtb7b, which encodes the lineage specifying transcription factor Th-POK, specifically in the CD4 SP cells when the expression of this factor is initiated (16). To evaluate if loss of TET proteins and 5hmC could impact Th-POK protein expression, we assessed Th-POK protein levels by flow cytometry in CD4 SP cells isolated from control or Tet2/3 DKO mice. Our analysis demonstrated that Th-POK expression, evaluated by median fluorescence intensity, was reproducibly and significantly decreased in CD4 SP cells that lack concomitantly TET2 and TET3 (Figure 1E, F). Collectively, our data suggest that both TET2 and TET3 exert an instrumental role in regulating the magnitude of Th-POK expression in thymic CD4 SP cells. We have previously identified that Zbtb7b expression is significantly reduced in thymic iNKTs (8). Thus, we evaluated by flow cytometry Th-POK protein levels in total iNKT cells (Figures 1G, H). Our analysis reveals that Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells also show reduced expression of Th-POK (Figures 1G, H). Thus, TET2 and TET3 regulate Th-POK expression in both CD4 SP and iNKT cells.

TET proteins control DNA demethylation across Zbtb7b locus in CD4 SP and iNKT cells

We then asked if loss of TET proteins would impact the cytosine methylation status across the Zbtb7b locus in CD4 SP cells. To address this, we performed clone adapted template capture hybridization sequencing (CATCH-seq) (64) coupled with bisulfite sequencing to interrogate cytosine methylation status across the Zbtb7b locus in CD4 SP cells. We extended our analysis to include a region approximately 3.0 kb upstream of exon 1a that contains the Zbtb7b silencer (65) and the upstream enhancer (66), located adjacent to and upstream of the silencer. This distal enhancer regulates the initiation of Zbtb7b expression. Deletion of this distal enhancer results in redirection of MHC class II positively selected cells towards the CD8 lineage (62, 65). In addition, there is a proximal enhancer, located around 3.6 kb downstream of exon 1a of Zbtb7b (66), that is not required for initiating the expression of Th-POK after positive selection, but is instrumental in the maintenance of expression of Th-POK (65, 67).

Comparison of CpG methylation in control and Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells revealed a gain of intragenic methylation across the Zbtb7b locus upon impaired TET expression (Figures 2A, B). A summary of the data is depicted in Figure 2A. All 4 biological replicates from the control (wild type) mice and the 3 biological replicates from Tet2/3 DKO mice are indicated (Figure 2B). We did not observe increased cytosine methylation upstream of exon 1a, in the silencer and the upstream enhancer (Figures 2A, B), consistent with the fact that Th-POK is expressed in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells. Strikingly, we observed a gain of methylation in various CpGs downstream of exon 1b (Figures 2A, B), surrounding the proximal enhancer, that may compromise the optimal activation of the proximal enhancer. Suboptimal activation of the proximal enhancer may impact expression of Th-POK and result in CD4 SP cells that cannot sustain high levels of Th-POK, consistent with the reduced protein expression of Th-POK in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (depicted in Figures 1E, F).

In addition, we evaluated the methylation status at site A, which is located in the gene body of Zbtb7b gene and which has been previously reported to be a target for GATA3 (24). Our analysis revealed increased methylation of cytosines in the CpG context at this site in the Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells compared to the wild type CD4 SP cells that were devoid of methylation in this site (Figures 2A).

To evaluate whether TET2 and TET3 exert a conserved role in regulating Th-POK expression by regulating DNA demethylation across other lineages, we used our published whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) datasets (8), that assessed DNA methylation at single nucleotide resolution across the genome of wild type and Tet2/3 DKO sorted iNKT cells (Figures 2C, D). Our analysis demonstrated a similar gain of cytosine methylation in Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells (Figures 2C, D) compared to Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (Figures 2A, B). Specifically, we identified gain of methylation surrounding the proximal enhancer and site A.

Gain of intragenic methylation and decreased binding of GATA3 at the Zbtb7b locus

5hmC enrichment, which was assessed by cytosine-5-methylenesulfonate immunoprecipitation followed by
FIGURE 2
Conserved role of TET2 and TET3 in regulating DNA demethylation across the Zbtb7b locus in CD4 SP and iNKT cells in the thymus. (A) Evaluating the methylation status of cytosines in CpG context as determined by bisulfite conversion and CATCH-seq at the Zbtb7b locus (mouse reference genome mm10, chromosome 3: 89,373,714-89,397,292) in CD4 SP cells isolated from control (wild type) cells (in black) and Tet2/3 DKO mice (in magenta). The points show means and the lines show the minimums and maximums. Site A where GATA3 is binding is highlighted in gray. Note the gain of cytosine methylation in Tet2/3 DKO across Zbtb7b locus, including at site (A). DNA isolated from n=4 control (shown in black) and n=3 Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP (depicted in magenta) biological replicates was evaluated. (B) Heatmap depicting the methylation status of cytosines in CpG context in the Zbtb7b locus and the upstream genomic region including Zbtb7b silencer and distal enhancer (reference genome mm10, chromosome 3: 89,373,714-89,397,292) evaluated by CATCH-seq of bisulfite treated DNA from control (wild type) (n=4 biological replicates) and Tet2/3 DKO (n=3) CD4 SP cells. Each individual biological replicate is depicted as BR. The scale for evaluating methylation enrichment is shown. (C) Methylation status of cytosines in CpG context evaluated by bisulfite conversion followed by whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS, data obtained from (8)) at the Zbtb7b locus (mouse reference genome mm10, chromosome 3: 89,373,714-89,397,292) in iNKT cells isolated from control (wild type) cells (in black) and Tet2/3 DKO mice (in magenta). (D) Heatmap depicting the methylation status of cytosines in CpG context in the Zbtb7b locus and the upstream genomic region including Zbtb7b silencer and distal enhancer (reference genome mm10, chromosome 3: 89,373,714-89,397,292) evaluated by WGBS of bisulfite treated DNA from control (wild type, shown in black) (n=2 biological replicates) and Tet2/3 DKO (shown in magenta) (n=2 biological replicates) iNKT cells. Each individual biological replicate is depicted as BR. The data for this analysis were obtained from (8). The scale for evaluating methylation enrichment is shown. The distal enhancer, the proximal enhancer and the site A are indicated.
sequencing (CMS-seq) (16), partially overlaps with chromatin accessible regions, identified by mining publicly available datasets of assay for transposase accessible chromatin with high throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq) (68) datasets (27), across the Zbtb7b gene body (Figure 3). Expression of Zbtb7b is regulated by binding of Th-POK across the Zbtb7b gene body and the Zbtb7b silencer, as assessed by publicly available ChIP-seq datasets (27) in a feedback, autoregulatory loop as previously described (69). Th-POK binding did not appear to overlap with 5hmC enrichment, (Figure 3). An additional regulatory mechanism of Th-POK expression by TET proteins is to impact the binding of transcription factors that determine Th-POK expression by modulating the CpG methylation status (70). It has been reported that cytosine modification status can affect the binding of transcription factors across the DNA (70). For instance, the vast majority of transcription factors cannot bind to methylated cytosines, however pioneer transcription factors have been reported to recognize and bind to 5mC. In addition, oxi-mCs can be recognized by transcription factors (4) and thus their absence can inhibit transcription factor binding. GATA3 is known to induce Th-POK expression in CD4 SP cells (24) and is necessary for CD4 lineage commitment. GATA3 is known to bind to the Zbtb7b locus upstream of exon 2, in chromatin accessible region, at site A (24) (Figure 3). Interestingly, in this region we discover a gain of CpG methylation upon Tet2/3 deletion. Thus, we tested GATA3 binding in control and Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells using Cleavage Under Targets and Release Using Nuclease (CUT&RUN) (71). Our data revealed that GATA3 binding is strongly to the Zbtb7b locus in wild type CD4 SP cells (24) and is necessary for CD4 lineage commitment. GATA3 is known to bind to the Zbtb7b locus upstream of exon 2, in chromatin accessible region, at site A (24) (Figure 3). Gain of methylation upon Tet2/3 loss presumably compromise the efficiency of GATA3 binding in the Zbtb7b locus and might contribute to the subsequent reduced expression of Th-POK in CD4 SP cells (Figure 3, Figures 1E, F).

Notably, we have previously reported that 5hmC is significantly enriched across the gene body of GATA3 in thymocytes as well as in Th2 cells (16). Similar detailed findings have been recently reported regarding 5hmC enrichment across Gata3 during in vitro Th2 polarization (72). Gata3 mRNA expression was not significantly reduced in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells, however there was a clear trend for reduced expression (Supplementary Figure 4A). We then examined protein expression levels of GATA3 in CD4 SP cells from control and Tet2/3 DKO mice by flow cytometry. This analysis confirmed a reproducible and significant trend of reduced expression of GATA3 in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figures 4B, C). Thus, the significant reduction of GATA3 binding at site A that we identify in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells could be the cumulative effect of increased methylation at the site and reduced expression of GATA3.

TET2 that lacks enzymatic activity fails to restore iNKT cell expansion in the thymus in the concomitant absence of TET3

Notably, TET2 has been suggested to exert additional, non-catalytic roles in regulating gene expression in hematopoietic populations (48, 49, 73) and potentially in controlling the proliferation of iNKTs (8). To assess if TET2 might play a catalytic independent role in regulating conventional and unconventional T cell lineage specification, we used Tet2<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Figure 4A) that express full length TET2 protein with
point mutations, which compromise iron binding and thus render TET2 catalytically inactive (51). We confirmed the point mutations by Sanger sequencing (Figure 4B). In addition, we confirmed that thymocytes isolated from the $\text{Tet}^{2\text{CD}}$ express TET2 both at the RNA level by quantitative PCR (Figure 4C) and at the protein level (Figures 4D, E, original western blots available in Supplementary Figure 5A). Thymic development was not affected in Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ mice (Supplementary Figure 6), suggesting that TET2 full length protein that is catalytically inactive does not exert a dominant negative effect by preventing recruitment of wild type TET3 to its target genes.

To investigate whether TET2 catalytically inactive protein has shared redundant functions with TET3 in regulating thymic T cell and iNKT cell development, we generated Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3$^{\text{flx/flx}}$ CD4Cre ($\text{Tet}^{2\text{CD}}$Tet3 $^{\text{KO}}$) mice. The goal was to dissect whether TET2 could exert non-catalytic roles in regulating Th-POK expression in collaboration with TET3. Our analysis showed that Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$Tet3 KO mice developed similar, aggressive phenotype with Tet2/3 DKO mice and exhibited splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy. Thus, we focused our analysis in young mice (21-28 days old) that appeared normal. First, we evaluated the gene expression of Tet1, Tet2 and Tet3 by real time PCR using RNA isolated from wild type, Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$, Tet2 KO and Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$Tet3 KO total thymocytes. While Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$Tet3 KO thymocytes do not express Tet3, they do express Tet1 and Tet2 (Figure 4C).

Next, we assessed the protein expression levels of TET2 and TET3. Our data confirm that thymocytes isolated from Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$Tet3 KO mice express TET2 but do not express TET3. In addition, we included as controls thymocytes isolated from wild type, Tet2/3 DKO mice, Tet2 KO, Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ and Tet3 KO mice (Figures 4D–G, original, unprocessed western blots available in Supplementary Figure 5A). Moreover, as CD4 SP cells express higher levels of TET2 compared to DP cells [Figure 1A and (8, 46)], we evaluated TET2 protein expression levels specifically at CD4 SP cells that were isolated by FACs sorting from control and Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO mice. Our analysis confirmed that CD4 SP cells isolated from Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO mice express TET2 protein at comparable levels to wild type CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figure 7, original western blots available in Supplementary Figure 5B).

Enzymatic activity of TET2 is required for iNKT cell lineage expansion and specification

We have previously established a fundamental role for both TET2 and TET3, working in a cooperative fashion, in regulating iNKT cell expansion and lineage specification (8). However, when we investigated DNA methylation in Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells we discovered a limited number of differentially methylated regions revealing a focal role of TET proteins in regulating DNA demethylation (8). Tet3 KO mice showed iNKT cell demethylation but the frequency and numbers of iNKT cells were normal in these mice (8). However, abundancy and lineage specification of iNKT cells was normal in Tet2 KO mice (8). Interestingly, it has been reported that TET2 exerts catalytic independent roles to regulate lymphoid proliferation (48). Thus, an open question was if TET2 could exert additional, catalytic independent roles to regulate iNKT cell proliferation. We isolated thymocytes from control, Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO mice and performed staining with a tetramer of the MHC class I CD1d that is loaded with a-α-Galactosylceramide (aGal-Cer), a lipid that iNKT cells can recognize and bind, and TCRβ. Cells that were positive for aGalCer–CD1d and expressed intermediate levels of TCRβ were identified as iNKT cells (Figure 5A). Our analysis revealed that iNKT cells exhibit a dramatic expansion in Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO iNKT mice, similar to the Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cell expansion that we have previously reported (8) (Figure 5A). This increase of the percentage of iNKT cells in the thymus was reproducible and significant (Figure 5B) and it was also observed at the level of numbers of cells (Figure 5C).

Next, we asked whether iNKT cell lineage specification was affected in the thymus of Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO mice in comparison to wild type and Tet2/3 DKO mice. To this end we performed a flow cytometry experiment to investigate the expression of key lineage specifying transcription factors in iNKT cells (Figure 5D). Specifically, we focused on the protein expression levels of the iNKT cell lineage specifying factor PLZF and the NKT17 cell lineage specifying factor RORγt. Our analysis confirmed that in thymic iNKT cells isolated from wild type mice the vast majority of the cells expressed low levels of PLZF and they did not express RORγt (Figure 5D), consistent with the prevalence of NKT1 subset in the thymus of C57/Bl6 mice. However, in sharp contrast to the control iNKTs, the Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO iNKT cells exhibit a striking upregulation of the NKT17 subset that is characterized by RORγt expression and intermediate levels of PLZF expression (Figure 5D). The NKT17 subset in the thymus isolated from control mice is the least frequent (Figure 5D). We also investigated the representation of the PLZF high, RORγt negative subset that represents NKT2 cells (Figure 5D). Overall, our analysis revealed that Tet2$^{\text{CD}}$ Tet3 KO iNKT subsets were comparable in percentage and numbers to the Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells (Figures 5D–M). The experimental results showed a significant increase in frequency of NKT17 cells and a decrease in the frequency of NKT1 cells compared to control mice (Figures 5D–G). Notably, the frequency of iNKT cells in the thymus was strikingly higher in the mutant mice compared to the control. Thus, we proceeded to analyze the frequency of iNKT subsets among total thymocytes (Figures 5H–J). This approach reveals that the NKT2 population is significantly
upregulated in both Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2CD Tet3 KO mice compared to control mice (Figures 5H–J). In addition, the mutant mice exhibited a significant increase in absolute numbers of all the three iNKT subsets (NKT1, NKT2 and NKT17) (Figures 5K–M). Collectively, our results establish an instrumental role of the enzymatic activity of TET2 that, in cooperation with TET3, regulates iNKT cell expansion and differentiation.
FIGURE 5

Tet2CD KO iNKT cells show expansion and NKT17 cell lineage skewing. (A) Representative flow cytometry plots evaluating aGalactosylseramide (aGalCer) loaded CD1d tetramer binding and TCRβ expression in thymocytes isolated from control, Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2CD KO mice. The aGalCer-CD1d tetramer+ TCRβ+ cells are iNKT cells. (B) Percentage of iNKT cells (identified as described in (A)) in the thymus isolated from control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD KO (in cyan) mice. (C) Number of iNKT cells in the thymus of control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD KO (in cyan) mice. (D) Representative flow cytometry plots evaluating the expression of transcription factors PLZF and RORγt in thymus isolated from control, Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2CD KO mice. PLZF− RORγt− negative cells are NKT2 and PLZF− RORγt high intermediate cells are NKT1 cells. (E) Percentage of NKT1 cells, (F) NKT2 and (G) NKT17 cells among total iNKT cells in the thymus isolated from control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD KO (in cyan) mice. (H) Percentage of NKT1 cells, (I) NKT2 cells and (J) NKT17 cells among total thymocytes isolated from control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD KO (in cyan) mice. (K) Number of NKT1, (L) NKT2 and (M) NKT17 cells in the thymus isolated from control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD KO (in cyan) mice. For B, C, E-M: Each dot represents a mouse. 5 independent experiments were performed. Male and female mice for each genotype were analyzed. Control n=10, Tet2/3 DKO n=6, Tet2CD KO n=7 **** (p < 0.0001), *** (p < 0.001), ** (p < 0.01), * (p < 0.05) unpaired t test. Unless otherwise indicated the difference is not statistically significant. Horizontal lines indicate the mean (s.e.m.).
Development of innate like CD8 cells in the thymus of Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO mice

We further evaluated thymic development in Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO mice. Increased numbers of iNKT cells and secretion of cytokine IL-4 results in the emergence of a thymic population of unconventional CD8 SP cells with memory characteristics (74). These innate like CD8 SP cells upregulate CD44 and express the T-box transcription factor Eomesodermin (EOMES) (75, 76). We observed an increase in the percentage of CD8 SP cells in the thymus of Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO mice, that was reminiscent of the increased CD8 SP representation in the thymus of Tet2/3 DKO mice (Figures 6A, B). This increase was reproducible and significant both in terms of frequency (evaluated as percentage of cells) and in absolute numbers (Figures 6A–C). To assess if the expanded Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO CD8 SP cells had features of activated, innate like cells (8) we evaluated by flow cytometry the expression of the transcription factor EOMES. Our data confirmed that Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO CD8 SP cells upregulate EOMES at comparable levels to Tet2/3 DKO CD8 SP cells (Figures 6D, E). On the other hand, control CD8 SP cells did not express EOMES (Figures 6D, E). Similarly, Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO CD8 SP cells showed increased levels of CD44 expression, an additional hallmark of activated, memory like CD8 cells (Figures 6F, G). Overall, as a result of iNKT cell expansion in the thymus of Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO mice, we identify the emergence of memory CD8 SP cells consistent with the observed phenotype in Tet2/3 DKO mice.

TET2 catalytic activity is required for optimal Th-POK expression in CD4 SP and iNKT cells

Next, we asked if expression of full length TET2 protein with compromised enzymatic activity could restore the expression of Th-POK in CD4 SP cells that lacked TET3. To this end, we performed a flow cytometry experiment to interrogate the expression levels of this transcription factor (Figure 7A). Our analysis revealed that Th-POK expression could not be restored in the presence of TET2\textsuperscript{CD} upon simultaneous deletion of TET3. Instead, expression levels of Th-POK were consistently reduced in both Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO CD4 SP cells compared to CD4 SP cells isolated from thymus of wild type mice (Figures 7A, B). Furthermore, we confirm that Th-POK expression is significantly reduced in Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2\textsuperscript{CD} Tet3 KO iNKT cells (Figures 7C, D). Thus, we establish a critical role for TET2 enzymatic activity that, in cooperation with TET3, regulate the magnitude of Th-POK expression in CD4 SP and iNKT cells.

Discussion

Our data suggest a multifaceted role of TET proteins and 5hmC in thymic T cell lineage specification. In this study, we establish a critical role of TET proteins in regulating gene expression in the CD4 lineage. First, we demonstrate that TET2 and TET3 shape gene expression in CD4 SP and iNKT cells (Figure 1). TET proteins act to regulate DNA demethylation at the Zbtb7b locus (Figure 2) affecting the intensity of expression of the lineage specifying transcription factor Th-POK in both CD4 and iNKT cells (Figure 1). Our findings suggest that TET2 and TET3 are not required for the initial expression of Th-POK that is regulated through the distal enhancer. Instead, TET proteins and their enzymatic activity are instrumental for maintaining the optimal expression of Th-POK. These findings enforce the discoveries that TET proteins through their enzymatic activity set the stage for maintenance of stable gene expression of the transcription factor FOXP3, that shapes the identity of regulatory T cells (77–81). In addition, it has been reported that TET proteins can demethylate an enhancer that is required for the stable expression of CD4 during in vitro culture and expansion (18), as well as in vivo (82), providing an additional example where TET mediated DNA demethylation of a locus is critical for stable gene expression and maintenance of cell identity. Thus, an emerging concept is that TET mediated DNA demethylation might be critical for the stability and the regulation of the magnitude of gene expression along the life-span of the cells.

Notably, how TET protein expression is regulated in CD4 cells has not been explored. In the present study, we also report that Tet genes are targets of Th-POK in thymic CD4 SP cells (Supplementary Figure 1). Thus, Th-POK might play regulatory roles to control TET protein expression during the process of CD4 SP cell lineage maturation. This could be at least partially due to TET protein mediated de-methylation of Zbtb7b locus and the maintenance of gene expression. In addition, oxi-mCs might play a role in the recruitment of Th-POK across the genome (4). Further studies are required to elucidate the precise role of Th-POK in regulating expression of TET proteins.

Moreover, our data establish an additional layer by which TET proteins regulate gene expression in the CD4 lineage by affecting both transcription factor expression and binding across the genome. Specifically, we demonstrate that GATA3 is less expressed in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 cells compared to control (wild type) cells. There is reduced binding of GATA3 at site A of Zbtb7b locus, that might contribute to the overall reduced Th-POK expression in Tet2/3 DKO cells. Our findings suggest redundant regulatory roles for TET2 and TET3 in fine tuning Th-POK expression and GATA3 expression and potentially binding in CD4 SP cells. Notably, TET2 has been suggested to exert additional, non-catalytic roles in regulating gene expression in hematopoietic populations (48) and potentially in controlling the proliferation of iNKTs (8). Our data demonstrate that both TET2 and TET3 exert an instrumental role to regulate DNA demethylation of Zbtb7b to regulate the magnitude of Th-POK expression and to permit the optimal binding of GATA3 in the Zbtb7b locus and across the genome of CD4 SP cells.
FIGURE 6

Tet2CD Tet3 KO CD8 SP cells exhibit innate like characteristics. (A) Representative flow cytometry plots evaluating CD4 and CD8 expression in the surface of thymocytes (excluding iNKT cells) isolated from control (wild type), Tet2/3 DKO and Tet2CD Tet3 KO mice. (B) Percentage of CD8 SP cells (determined as described in A) in the thymus isolated from control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD Tet3 KO (in cyan) mice. (C) Number of CD8 SP cells in the thymus of control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple), Tet2CD Tet3 KO (in cyan) mice. (D) Representative histograms evaluating the expression of the transcription factor EOMES in CD8 SP cells. Gray is indicating control cells, purple Tet2/3 DKO and cyan Tet2CD Tet3 KO. (E) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of EOMES expression in control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple) and Tet2CD Tet3 KO (in cyan) CD8 SP cells. (F) Representative histograms evaluating the expression of CD44 in CD8 SP cells. Gray is indicating control cells, purple Tet2/3 DKO and cyan Tet2CD Tet3 KO. (G) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD44 expression in control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple) and Tet2CD Tet3 KO (in cyan) CD8 SP cells. For (B, C, E, G): Each dot represents a mouse. 4 independent experiments were performed. Male and female mice for each genotype were analyzed. Control n=8, Tet2/3 DKO n = 4, Tet2CD Tet3 KO n = 6. **** (p < 0.0001), *** (p < 0.001), ns, non-significant, unpaired t test. Horizontal lines indicate the mean (s.e.m.).
A critical question is to understand how TET proteins are recruited at the DNA to regulate DNA demethylation of their target genes in distinct T cell subsets (11). It is well established that TET2 cannot bind to the DNA as it lacks a CXXC DNA binding domain (3). In addition, while TET3 maintains a CXXC DNA binding domain, the focal DNA demethylation that has been observed for instance in Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells (11) as well as in TET deficient hematopoietic stem cells (9) demonstrates a cell specific, targeted action of TET proteins. The cell specificity of TET mediated DNA demethylation can be attributed to transcription factors that interact with TET proteins and direct them to specific loci across the genome.

FIGURE 7
TET2 catalytic activity is required to fine tune Th-POK expression in cooperation with TET3 in CD4 SP cells and iNKT cells. (A) Representative histogram depicting Th-POK expression, assessed by flow cytometry, in control (black), Tet2/3 DKO (purple), Tet2-CD Tet3 KO (cyan) in CD4 SP cells. Each dot represents a mouse. 5 independent experiments were performed. Male and female mice for each genotype were analyzed. Control n=10, Tet2/3 DKO n=6, Tet2-CD Tet3 KO n=7 **** (p < 0.0001), ns: non-significant, unpaired t test. Horizontal lines indicate the mean (s.e.m.). (B) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of Th-POK expression in control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple) and Tet2-CD Tet3 KO (in cyan) CD4 SP cells. Each dot represents a mouse. 5 independent experiments were performed. Male and female mice for each genotype were analyzed. Control n=10, Tet2/3 DKO n=6, Tet2-CD Tet3 KO n=7 **** (p < 0.0001), ns, non-significant, unpaired t test. Horizontal lines indicate the mean (s.e.m.).

(A) Representative histogram depicting Th-POK expression, assessed by flow cytometry, in control (black), Tet2/3 DKO (purple), Tet2-CD Tet3 KO (cyan) in iNKT cells. (D) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of Th-POK expression in control (in black), Tet2/3 DKO (in purple) and Tet2-CD Tet3 KO (in cyan) iNKT cells. Each dot represents a mouse. 5 independent experiments were performed. Male and female mice for each genotype were analyzed. Control n=7, Tet2/3 DKO n = 7, Tet2-CD Tet3 KO n = 7 **** (p < 0.0001), ns, non-significant, unpaired t test. Horizontal lines indicate the mean (s.e.m.).
Indeed, various interactions among TET proteins and transcription factors have been described (83), for instance during the process of B cell differentiation (15) and during reprogramming (13). In addition, inhibition of interaction of TET2 with Wilm's tumor gene (WT1) has been reported to promote tumorigenesis (12). However, our knowledge regarding factors that can mediate recruitment of TET proteins to their target genes during thymic development remains limited. Intriguingly, GATA3 has been shown to interact with TET2 in the estrogen receptor (ER) complex through use of rapid immunoprecipitation mass spectrometry of endogenous proteins and this interaction was critical for 5hmC deposition at ER target genes (84). Thus, an additional layer of regulating GATA3-mediated transcriptional activation in CD4 cells might be through loss of TET2 (and potentially TET3) mediated DNA demethylation. In other words, GATA3 might still bind at a locus but the optimal demethylation and subsequent activation of the gene might be compromised. A similar hypothesis has been postulated for GATA3 and TET2 cooperation in Th2 cells for inducing IL-4 expression (72). Further studies are required to elucidate which are the transcription factors that mediate recruitment of TET proteins in the DNA at distinct lineages during the process of T cell lineage specification and commitment.

Notably, loss of TET proteins and their capacity to confer epigenetic regulation by DNA demethylation reduces expression or impairs the binding and subsequent function of various transcription factors. This is distinct than what has been observed upon deletion of a single, specific transcription factor that results in shutting down the gene expression network that this given factor orchestrates. For instance, loss of Th-POK blocks CD4 maturation and redirects cells to become CD8 cells. Instead, our findings showcase how epigenetic regulators, like TET proteins, fine tune gene expression, and thus impact simultaneously various fundamental transcription factors affecting broadly gene expression. Moreover, in thymic Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells we report upregulation of cytotoxic genes such as Eomes and Gzma that might be due to downregulation of Th-POK (69). A gene that was found to be downregulated both in Tet2/3 DKO CD4 SP cells and Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells was Cd4 (Figure 1). Importantly, we have also established an instrumental role of Th-POK downregulation in the observed lineage skewing of Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells (8). Specifically, we demonstrated that expressing Th-POK in Tet2/3 DKO iNKT cells is sufficient to suppress aberrant RORγt upregulation in these cells (8). In addition, we identify upregulation of genes such as Myb and Lmo2, which characterize earlier developmental stages (Figure 1). Aberrant expression of precursor genes could result in hyperproliferation and ultimately malignant transformation of blood cells (11).

Indeed, TET proteins act as tumor suppressors and safeguard cells from aberrant proliferation. Specifically, TET2 has been frequently reported to be mutated in hematological malignancies (85), including angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma (AITL) (86–88) and peripheral T cell lymphoma, non-otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS) (89). It has been suggested that TET2 mutations are an early event during the process of malignant transformation and confer proliferative advantage to the mutant cells (85, 89). Importantly, loss of TET proteins in various innate and adaptive immune populations compromises the process of maturation and establishment of cell fate, resulting in uncontrolled proliferation (11, 90). However, the mechanisms by which TET proteins safeguard controlled proliferation and protect from the rise of hematological malignancies and inflammatory diseases remain poorly understood. While loss of catalytic activity of TET proteins is strongly associated with hematopoietic stem cell hyperproliferation and myeloid malignancies (12, 46, 91), it has been recently suggested that the enzymatic activity of TET2 might be dispensable for its tumor suppressive role at least in some lymphoid malignancies (48) as well as in the context of inflammation (49).

In our previous study regarding the in vivo role of TET proteins in regulating iNKT cell lineage specification and expansion we established that TET3 catalytic activity was important for the NKT17 lineage skewing and we hypothesized that TET2 might exert additional, enzymatic-independent roles to control iNKT expansion (8). In the present study, to follow up on this important question, we utilized mice that express full length TET2 that has impaired capacity to bind iron, a critical cofactor, required for enzymatic activity and cytosine oxidization (Figure 4). The thymic differentiation in the Tet2\textsuperscript{fl/fl} Tet3 KO mice was comparable to the Tet2/3 DKO mice. We identified iNKT cell lineage skewing and expansion (Figure 5) as well as emergence of innate like CD8 SP cells (Figure 6). We also confirmed that Th-PK expression is affected by TET2 and TET3 in a TET2 dependent catalytic manner (Figure 7). Collectively, our data provide a causative link between the catalytic dependent role of TET proteins and the establishment of thymic T cell lineage specification.

An emerging question is to understand what is the physiological impact of the observed changes in the lineage specification that occurs in the thymus upon concomitant deletion of TET2 and TET3. For instance, mouse models that lack specifically TET2 in T cells exhibit defects in immune response against viral infection (92). Similarly, loss of TET2 in innate lymphoid cells results in less efficient immune response against bacterial infection (93). Moreover, a critical role for TET mediated DNA demethylation has been established for the stability and the optimal suppressive function of regulatory T cells (77–81, 94, 95). Thus, to pursue further functional analysis in our system, the challenge is to overcome the multiple immunological issues related to TET2/3 loss in T cells; such as aberrant iNKT cell development and expansion (8), emergence of CD8 innate like T cells (8) and the well-established instability of the regulatory T cell lineage (77) that collectively result in an
aggressive disease that causes accelerated death of the Tet2/3 DKO mice between 7 and 8 weeks old (8, 77). Establishing new mouse models, to delete Tet genes at various developmental stages and at specific T cell subsets will shed light on the precise biological roles of TET2 and TET3 in immune response and blood cancer development.
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Acute loss of TET function results in aggressive myeloid cancer in mice.
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