HISTOPATHOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF CLINICALLY DIAGNOSED PROSTATIC LESIONS.
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ABSTRACT… Objectives: To correlate the clinically diagnosed prostatic lesion with histopathological evaluation, Gleason scoring and serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels in a tertiary care centre. Study Design: Observational Study. Setting: The current study was conducted in multiple centers of Sindh like Department of Surgery Unit-III, Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences, Nawabshah CMCH Larkana, Jinnah Sindh Medical University and Al-Tibri Medical College and Hospital Karachi. Period: January 2018 to December 2019. Material & Methods: on 112 consecutive cases of clinically diagnosed prostatic disease, all the relevant demographic and clinical details including digital rectal examination (DRE) findings and serum PSA levels were recorded on a proforma designed for the study. PSA values of all these cases were recorded before the surgical procedure. The tissue sample of prostate was collected after surgery and histologically analysed for the confirmation of diagnosis and the Gleason scoring was made. All the results obtained were statistically analysed and tabulated. Results: The age of patients ranged 34-81 years, with mean age of 58±3.4 years. The adenocarcinoma was detected in 05 cases, hyperplasia in 92 cases and hyperplasia with prostatitis in 07 cases. The carcinomas were histologically diagnosed in 17 cases but after histological evaluation, the carcinoma was confirmed in 03 cases and 02 cases of cancer were confirmed among clinically diagnosed cases of hyperplasia. Majority of cases of hyperplasia were having the PSA level <4ng/ml. No any case of adenocarcinoma have PSA level below 4ng/ml, and majority of the cases of adenocarcinoma were having PSA level above 20ng/ml. Majority of malignant lesions were having PSA level above 20ng/ml and the Gleason score above 6. No any case of malignancy was detected in those patients having PSA level below 4ng/ml. Conclusion: The histopathological evaluation with serum PSA levels is necessary in all cases of prostatic disease to rule out the possibility of malignant pathology.
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INTRODUCTION

There are three primary processes affecting the prostate gland, including inflammation, hyperplasia and tumors, of these the hyperplasia is the most common condition in men over 50 years of age. It occurs frequently with the advancement of age, being considered as a normal aging process.¹ Prostatic carcinoma is most common cancer in men, the incidence rises 20% in the age of 50 to 70% in men between 70-80 years of age. It is uncommon in Asians, as the diet is becoming more westernized with other lifestyle changes the incidence is increasing nowadays.¹³ The American Cancer Society (ACS) has stated that 1 in 9 men have chances of prostatic cancer in their lifetime, and during the year 2019, about 174,000 cases of prostatic cancer are estimated among which 31,620 will die due to the disease.⁴ The growth of cancer is slow in majority of cases having a low-grade with relatively low risk and limited aggressiveness.⁵ The digital rectal examination (DRE) and transrectal ultrasonography are considered as the primary practical diagnostic tools for the diagnosis of prostatic malignancy, but having a low specificity and sensitivity.¹⁶ The transrectal biopsy is considered as the final standard for the confirmation of diagnosis.
Digital rectal examination (DRE) and transrectal ultrasonography are a primary diagnostic tools having a low specificity and sensitivity, and the transrectal biopsy is an essential factor for the confirmation of the diagnosis. Histopathologic assessment can be further confirmed by the use of immunohistochemistry in select cases for the diagnosis and characterization of prostate cancer.

The prostate specific antigen (PSA) is a protein, which is produced by the cells of prostate gland and is nowadays widely used in the diagnosis and management of prostatic cancer. The PSA has a high predictive value for prostate cancer as compared to DRE or trans-rectal ultrasound sonography (TRUS).

The majority (95%) of prostatic cancers are adenocarcinomas that develop from the acini of the ducts, the remaining includes the rare histological subtypes like small cell carcinomas, signet ring carcinoma, adenoid cystic carcinoma, neuroendocrine tumor and transitional cell carcinoma. A possible precursor lesion of prostatic malignancy is prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, which is dysplasia of the epithelium lining the prostatic glands. Studies have shown that the appearance of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia may precede carcinoma by 10 or more years.

Donald F Gleason in 1966, developed a system of scoring for the histologic grading of prostatic carcinoma, and now with PSA it is important for diagnosis, management, and prognosis of carcinoma, and considered as the best predictor of disease progression and outcome.

The Gleason grading method is based entirely on architectural arrangements of prostatic carcinoma. The grading diagram depicting Gleason patterns has undergone modifications from the original diagram. This most current diagram is based on modifications according to a 2014 consensus meeting of the International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) published in 2016.

Keeping the all above facts in view, we designed this study to correlate the PSA levels with the histopathological findings and Gleason score in clinically diagnosed cases of prostatic disease.

MATERIAL & METHODS
The current study was conducted in multiple centers of sindh like department of surgery unit-III, Peoples University of Medical and Health Sciences, Nawabshah CMCH Larkana, Jinnah Sindh Medical University and Al-Tibri medical college and hospital Karachi, during January 2018 to December 2019. On 112 consecutive cases evaluated for prostatic disease.

Indications for biopsy were; patients with strong positive clinical history of prostatic illness, elevated PSA level, and or abnormal DRE. Patients already diagnosed and getting treatment for carcinoma of the prostate were excluded from the study.

The relevant demographic and clinical details were recorded on a proforma designed for the study. PSA values of all these cases were recorded before the surgical process and the clinical diagnosis was ascertained. The tissue sample of prostate was collected after surgery and histologically analysed for the confirmation of diagnosis and the Gleason scoring was made. All the results obtained were statistically analysed and tabulated.

RESULTS
In the current study, 112 clinically diagnosed cases of prostate diseases were evaluated. The age of patients ranged 34-81 years, with mean age of 58±3.4 years. Among these 112 cases the adenocarcinoma was detected in 05 cases, hyperplasia in 92 cases and hyperplasia with prostatitis in 07 cases (Table-I). The carcinoma was clinically diagnosed in 17 cases but after histological evaluation, the carcinoma was confirmed in 03 cases and 02 cases of cancer were confirmed among clinically diagnosed cases of hyperplasia (Table-II).

Majority of cases of hyperplasia were having the PSA level < 4ng/ml but one case having PSA level above 20ng/ml was histologically
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Diagnosed as benign hyperplasia. No any case of adenocarcinoma have PSA level below 4ng/ml, and majority of the cases of adenocarcinoma were having PSA level above 20ng/ml (Table-III).

When we compare the PSA levels with the Gleason score, majority (3/5) of cases were having PSA level above 20ng/ml and the Gleason score above 6. No any case of malignancy was detected in those patients having PSA level below 4ng/ml (Table-IV).

DISCUSSION

The incidence of prostatic lesions is directly proportional with the age of patient. The integrity of the prostatic tissue is lost by the undergoing various pathological processes, which are responsible for the release of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) into blood circulation, and there is an increase in the serum PSA levels. The increase in PSA values also depends on upon the differentiation of tumor cells.

| Age Group | Hyperplasia | Hyperplasia with Prostatitis | Adenocarcinoma | PIN (L) | PIN (H) | Total Number (%) |
|-----------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------------|
| 30-40     | 04          | 01                           | 00             | 00      | 00      | 05 (4.4%)        |
| 41-50     | 16          | 01                           | 01             | 01      | 00      | 19 (16.9%)       |
| 51-60     | 22          | 02                           | 01             | 01      | 01      | 27 (24.1%)       |
| 61-70     | 34          | 03                           | 02             | 01      | 02      | 42 (37.5%)       |
| > 70      | 16          | 00                           | 01             | 01      | 01      | 19 (16.9%)       |
| Total     | 92 (82.1%)  | 07 (6.3%)                    | 05 (4.4%)      | 04 (3.6%) | 04 (3.6%) | 112 (100%)       |

Table-I. Age distribution according to histological diagnosis.

| Clinical Diagnosis | Number of Cases | Hyperplasia | Adenocarcinoma | PIN (L) | PIN (H) | Table-III. PSA level in comparison with histopathological diagnosis.

| Clinical Diagnosis | Number of Cases | Hyperplasia | Adenocarcinoma | PIN (L) | PIN (H) |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Hyperplasia        | 95              | 91          | 02             | 02      | 00      |
| Carcinoma          | 17              | 08          | 03             | 02      | 04      |
| Total              | 112             | 99 (88.4%)  | 05 (4.4%)      | 04 (3.6%) | 04 (3.6%)       |

Table-II. Clinical diagnosis with histological diagnosis.

| S. No | PSA Level (ng/ml) | Histopathological Diagnosis |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|
|       |                  | Hyperplasia | Hyperplasia with Prostatitis | Adenocarcinoma |
| 01    | < 4              | 78 (84.8%) | 01 (14.3%) | 00 |
| 02    | ≥ 4 – 10         | 11 (11.9%) | 05 (71.4%) | 01 (20%) |
| 03    | 11 – 20          | 02 (2.2%) | 01 (14.3%) | 01 (20%) |
| 04    | > 20             | 01 (1.1%) | 00 | 03 (60%) |
| Total |                  | 92 (100%) | 07 (100%) | 05 (100%) |

Table-III. PSA level in comparison with histopathological diagnosis.

| S. No | PSA Level (ng/ml) | Gleason Score | Total Number (%) |
|-------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|       |                  | Up to 6 | Up to 7 | > 8 |       |
| 01    | > 4              | 00     | 00     | 00  | 00    |
| 02    | 4-10             | 01     | 00     | 00  | 01    |
| 03    | 11-20            | 01     | 00     | 00  | 01    |
| 04    | > 21             | 00     | 02     | 01  | 03    |
| Total |                  | 02     | 02     | 01  | 05    |

Table-IV. PSA level in comparison with gleason score (n = 5)
About 80% of histologically proven adenocarcinoma have the serum PSA level > 4ng/ml, and it is evident in 25 – 30% with nodular hyperplasia, prostatitis, infarcts, prostatic massage or cystoscopy. It is also documented that the serum PSA level may be normal in prostatic duct carcinomas. The American College of Preventive Medicine does not recommend routine population screening with digital rectal exam or PSA. In high risk patients when the PSA level is more than 4 ng/ml, a histological evidences necessary for confirmation of malignancy, since the sensitivity is 100 % rather than PSA more than 10 ng/ml. In the light of no PSA levels being normal and recent recommendation to lower PSA cut-off value to 1.0 ng/ml to rule out carcinoma.

In current study we detect prostate pathology in 112 cases aged between 34 – 81 years with mean age of 58±3.4 years, these findings were also observed by other workers. Confirming the documented data we observed hyperplasia in 99 (82.1%) cases and among them 6.3% cases were with prostatitis, the hyperplasia was common in 61-70 years age group. We histologically diagnose 4.4% cases of adenocarcinoma from a total of 112 cases, the reported data incidence is 3.1%, 4.8% and 6.9% in different studies.

The incidence of prostatic cancer varies widely throughout the world, the Americans have the highest and the Asian has the lowest, which may due to dietary habits or racial factors. In western World, the screening facilities are much more better as compare to Asian and specially south Asian countries where lake of awareness, education and poverty play an important role in the low detection rates.

PSA is tissue specific and is not tumor specific, as it was noted in normal, benign, and malignant prostates. We detect no any cancer case with PSA level < 4ng/ml. It was observed that with the increase in serum PSA levels the detection rate of prostate cancer also increases. There was no any difference in number of cancer patients with PSA levels between 4 to 10 and 10 to 20ng/ml. Majority of cancer patients have PSA level above 20ng/ml, our findings were in consistence with the other studies who were also observed the maximum number of cases with PSA level > 20ng/ml.

The Gleason scoring was done in all cases, and when we compare with the PSA levels, higher grades were observed in tumors having PSA levels above 20ng/ml, same pattern was also observed by other studies revealing that the high PSA levels are strong indicator of high grade tumors.

CONCLUSION
The current study indicates that histopathological confirmation of malignancy is necessary in all patients having symptoms of prostatic pathology with serum PSA level above 4ng/ml. it is also evident that the chances of high grade malignancy are more with the advancement of age and PSA level above 20ng/ml.
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