COVID19-inhibitory activity of withanolides involves targeting of the host cell surface receptor ACE2: insights from computational and biochemical assays
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ABSTRACT
SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in China in December 2019 and its spread as worldwide pandemic has been a major global health crisis. Extremely high infection and mortality rate has severely affected all sectors of life and derailed the global economy. While drug and vaccine development have been prioritized and have made significant progression, use of phytochemicals and herbal constituents is deemed as a low-cost, safer and readily available alternative. We investigated therapeutic efficacy of eight withanolides (derived from Ashwagandha) against the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) proteins, a target cell surface receptor for SARS-CoV-2 and report results on the (i) computational analyses including binding affinity and stable interactions with ACE2, occupancy of ACE2 residues in making polar and nonpolar interactions with different withanolides/ligands and (2) \textit{in vitro} mRNA and protein analyses using human cancer (A549, MCF7 and HSC5) cells. We found that among all withanolides, Withaferin-A, Withanone, Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V significantly inhibited the ACE2 expression. Analysis of withanolides-rich aqueous extracts derived from Ashwagandha leaves and stem showed a higher ACE2 inhibitory potency of stem-derived extracts. Taken together, we demonstrated the inhibitory potency of Ashwagandha withanolides and its aqueous extracts against ACE2.

1. Introduction
The coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19), emerged in the Hubei province of China has become a worldwide pandemic affecting 219 countries with an estimate of more than 103 million infected cases and over 2.4 million deaths (WHO Coronavirus Disease [COVID-19] Dashboard, as on 16 February 2021; https://covid19.who.int/). The novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of COVID-19, belongs to the class of beta-coronaviruses that have a history of infecting humans through birds and mammals and has a trend similar to the past pandemics caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (Lu et al., 2020). Although enormous efforts are being taken worldwide, only a few treatment options available so far include the use of remdesivir, lopinavir + ritonavir, lopinavir + ritonavir + interferon beta-1\textalpha{} or hydroxychloroquine (WHO, 2020) (Kalra et al., 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive sense RNA virus made up of four structural proteins-envelope (E), membrane (M), nucleocapsid (N) and spike (S) protein (Rota et al., 2003). Spike glycoprotein is one of the key players directly involved in the infection cycle of this virus (Hulswit et al., 2016). The S proteins exist on the surface of the viral particle as homotrimers giving it a crown like appearance (Tortorici & Veesler, 2019). Each of the S protein consists of two subunits, S1 and S2. The S1 subunit directly interacts with the host cell surface receptors via its receptor-binding domain while the S2 subunit facilitates the fusion of the viral particle and the host cell membrane (Tai et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 uses angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) receptor as the main anchor point (Letko et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). However, the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into the host cells is possible only after the priming of this S protein at the S1/S2 and the S2’ site by another host cell protease receptor called transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) (Hoffmann et al., 2020). Another recent report has shown the involvement of heparan sulfates as well in the process of the ACE2 mediated SARS-CoV-2 entry into the host cells (Clausen et al., 2020; Kalra & Kandimalla, 2021; Zhang et al., 2020). ACE2, thus, forms the primary check point to stop the transmission and infection of SARS-CoV-2 and is a promising molecular target for investigating new SARS-CoV-2 infection prevention strategies.
ACE2 is a type I membrane protein expressed mainly in lung, kidney, intestine and heart (Donoghue et al., 2000; Li et al., 2020). A series of crystal structures of the receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV with ACE2 have been reported previously. It was found that the surface of ACE2 contains two virus-binding hotspots, which are crucial for interaction with the S protein of SARS-CoV. Mutations surrounding these hotspots have been demonstrated to dictate the infectivity, pathogenesis and cross-species and human-to-human transmissions of these viral particles (Li, 2008; Li et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2012).

On the basis of this information, differences between the binding of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2 have also been studied (Ali & Vijayan, 2020). The Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) region of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 shows a sequence similarity of 73–76% (Wan et al., 2020). Fourteen residues of the SARS-CoV (Tyr436, Tyr440, Tyr442, Leu443, Leu472, Asn473, Tyr475, Asn479, Gly482, Tyr484, Thr486, Thr487, Gly488 and Tyr4918) have been reported to be involved in binding with ACE2 (Li et al., 2005; Walls et al., 2020). Only eight (Tyr449, Tyr453, Asn487, Tyr489, Gly496, Thr500, Gly502 and Tyr505) of these 14 residues were found to be conserved in SARS-CoV-2 RBD domain. SARS-CoV-2 also possesses a higher binding affinity for ACE2 in comparison to SARS-CoV (Lan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020), and this has been attributed to substitutions observed at Leu455, Phe456, Phe486, Gln493, Gln498 and Asn501. Amongst these, Gln493 and Asn501 (Asn479 and Thr487 in SARS-CoV) are located near viral binding hotspot residues Lys31 and Lys353 on human ACE2 that favors the binding of SARS-CoV-2 to ACE2. This interaction is further strengthened by the binding of Leu455, Phe486 and Gln498 (Wan et al., 2020). Furthermore, the crystal structure of human ACE2 bound to S protein of SARS-CoV-2 showed a network of hydrophilic interactions including 13 hydrogen bonds and 2 salt bridges (Lan et al., 2020). These data have uncovered the interaction interface between SARS-CoV-2 and ACE2, thereby paving the way for structure-based rational drug design and discovery. Drug repositioning or drug repurposing has opened up more opportunities in this current pandemic situation. The use of known drug candidates does not only reduce the time required for chemical–structural optimization, but can also account for the toxicological testing.

Ashwagandha (Withania somnifera) is a herb extensively used in the Indian traditional medicine system called Ayurveda. It has been well documented for its therapeutic activities and immunity boosting potential. We have previously reported the antioxidant activity of two of its major bioactive components: Withaferin-A and Withanolone. The antioxidant activity has been shown to be mediated through multiple mechanisms, mainly including activation of tumor suppressor proteins, p53 and pRB, inactivation of NF-kB, Aurora A, DNA damage repair and oxidative stress (Bhargava et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2014; Grover, Priyandoko, et al., 2012; Grover, Singh, et al., 2012; Sundar et al., 2019; Widodo et al., 2007, 2010; Yu et al., 2017). These withanolides have also been studied for their anti-viral effects. Withaferin-A has been previously reported to be effective against herpes simplex virus, HIV and H1N1 influenza virus (Cai et al., 2015; Grover et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2017). We have earlier demonstrated the effect of Withaferin-A and Withanolone on TMPRSS2 (required for SARS-CoV-2 entry into the host cells) (Kumar, Dhanjal, Bhargava, et al., 2020). Furthermore, the main protease (Mpro) of SARS-CoV-2 that is essential for its replication in host cells was also predicted to be a potential target for Withanolone (Kumar, Dhanjal, Kaul, et al., 2020). In view of this information, in this study, we attempted to investigate COVID-19 inhibitory potential of different withanolides (at sub-cytotoxic doses) found in Ashwagandha with respect to their interactions mainly with ACE2 host cell surface receptor. Using computational and experimental approaches, we examined the effect of eight withanolides (Withaferin-A, Withanolone, Withanolide-A, Withanolide-B, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V, methoxyWithaferin-A and 12-deoxywithanostraminolide). The binding affinity and molecular interaction of withanolides with ACE2 have also been compared to an already reported clinical trial candidate drug viz. Umifenovir against ACE2. We report that among all the tested withanolides, Withaferin-A, Withanolone, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V showed ACE2 inhibitory activity in vitro assays. Furthermore, water-based extracts from Ashwagandha stem showed remarkable activity, and hence, are suggested to offer a useful resource for COVID-19 treatment and future drug development.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of the ACE2 and ligands structures

The structure of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (Receptor Binding Domain) in complex with ACE2 receptor, resolved using X-ray diffraction (resolution of 2.5 Å), was downloaded from RCSB Protein Data Bank (PDB ID: 6LZG) (Wang et al., 2020). The crystal structure was visualized to investigate the critical residues of the ACE2 that were making hydrogen bonds with the Spike protein (Figure 1). It was found that in the native crystal structure, Ser19, Gln24, Lys34, Glu35, Asp38 and Gln42 of ACE2 were making contact with the Spike protein. Further, the crystal structure was prepared for docking using protein preparation wizard of the maestro (Madhavi Sastry et al., 2013; Schrödinger, 2020). The preparation steps involved addition of missing disulfide bonds, removal of water molecules, addition of missing hydrogen atoms, filling of missing amino acids side chains and optimization of hydrogen bonds. The OPLS3e forcefield was then used for restrained minimization until the average root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the non-hydrogen atoms converged to 0.30 Å (Harder et al., 2016). After protein preparation, the ligands Umifenovir (CID 1314111), Withanoside-V (CID 10700345), methoxyWithaferin-A (CID 10767792), Withanolide-A (CID 11294368), Withanolide-B (CID 14236711), 12-deoxywithanostraminolide (CID 44576309), Withaferin-A (CID 265237), Withanolone (CID 21679027) and Withanoside-IV (CID 71312551) were retrieved from PubChem database and were prepared using the LigPrep tool of the Schrödinger suite (Supporting Information Figure S1) (Madhavi Sastry et al., 2013; Schrödinger, 2020).

2.2. Molecular docking of the ligands with ACE2

The grid of 20 Å was generated by covering ACE2 residues that were making hydrogen bonds with Spike protein in the
native crystal structure (mainly involving Ser19, Gln24, Lys34, Glu35, Asp38 and Gln42). The Glide module of Schrodinger was used for the extra precision (XP) flexible docking of the ligands within the generated grid site on ACE2 (Friesner et al., 2006). AutoDock Vina was also used to re-assess the docked confirmations (Pagadala et al., 2017).

2.3. Molecular dynamics simulation in water

To investigate the binding stability and interactions between the protein and ligands, the docked complexes were subjected to classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. The MD simulations were performed using the Desmond tool integrated with the maestro of Schrodinger suite (Schrödinger, 2020). Each protein–ligand system was solvated in the TIP3P water model. Further, the solvated systems were neutralized by adding appropriate counter ions and then the energy of the systems was minimized for 5000 steps using the steepest descent method. The minimized systems were then subjected to 100 ns of the MD production run in NPT ensemble at 300 K temperature using Nose–Hoover thermostat, 1 atm pressure using the Martyna–Tobias–Kelin barostat, 2 fs integration time step and recording interval of 20 ps.

The simulated systems were analysed for the Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD), Root Mean Square Fluctuation (RMSF), Hydrogen bond counts and interaction occupancies using simulation event analysis and simulation interaction diagram tool of Desmond module of Schrodinger. Finally, the MM/GBSA free energy was calculated for each protein–ligand system by extracting 100 structures from the simulation trajectory for the duration of 40 ns to 100 ns at an interval of 30 frames. Following equations were used for the calculation of MM/GBSA-

\[
\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta G_{\text{complex}} - (\Delta G_{\text{receptor}} + \Delta G_{\text{ligand}})
\]

\[
\Delta G = \Delta E_{\text{gas}} + \Delta G_{\text{sol}} - T\Delta S_{\text{gas}}
\]

Here, \(\Delta G\) is free energy, \(\Delta E_{\text{gas}}\) is gas-phase interaction energy, \(\Delta G_{\text{sol}}\) is solvation free energy, \(T\) is temperature and \(\Delta S_{\text{gas}}\) is entropy.
The prime module of Schrodinger software was used to compute all the energy components using the coordinates of the complexes, receptor and ligands using the OPL3e forcefield and VSGB solvation model.

### 2.4. Cell culture and treatments

Human cancer cells, A549 (non-small cell lung cancer), MCF7 (human breast carcinoma) and HSC3 (oral squamous cell carcinoma) were procured from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (JCRB), Japan. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen)-supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin in a humidified incubator (37°C and 5% CO₂). Details of chemical library compounds used for drug screening are provided in Table 1. The subtoxic concentrations of withanolides was determined for in vitro viability studies and as reported earlier (Antony et al., 2018; Chaudhary et al., 2017, 2019; Gao et al., 2014; Mishra et al., 2000; Sundar et al., 2019; Widodo et al., 2010).

### 2.5. Cell viability assay

Cytotoxicity of the various compounds was tested in A549, MCF7 and HSC3 cells by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. Five thousand cells per well were plated in a 96-well plate, allowed to settle overnight and treated with each compound. The control (DMSO) or treated cells were incubated for 48 h followed by addition of 10 μL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 5 mg/mL MTT (M6494, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and further incubated for 4 h. Culture medium containing MTT was aspirated and replaced with DMSO. The plates were placed on a shaker for 5 min followed by measurement of optical density at 570 nm using Tecan infinite M200 Pro microplate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Mannedorf, Switzerland). Cell viability was calculated as a percentage against the control to identify their Inhibitory Concentration (IC) value using Microsoft™ Office 2016. Statistical significance was calculated by an unpaired t-test of Microsoft Excel software (2016).

### 2.6. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction

Cells (2 x 10⁵/well) were plated in a 6-well plate, allowed to settle overnight, followed by treatment with each of chemical library compounds. The control or treated cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After 48 h, the cells were harvested from the Petri dishes and lysed with Trizol (Ambion, Foster City, CA, USA, 15596018) at room temperature for 5 min, incubated in chloroform (Wako, Tokyo, Japan, 038-02606) at room temperature for 5 min, centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min. Supernatant was separated, washed in isopropanol (Wako, 166-04836) at room temperature for 10 min and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min. The pellet was washed in 70% ice-cold ethanol and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min twice, followed by air-drying and resuspension in nuclease-free water to extract pure RNA. The concentration and quality of RNA were evaluated by a spectrophotometer (ND-1000, Nanodrops, Wilmington, NC, USA). cDNA was prepared using a reverse transcription kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany, 205313) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The master mix for amplification was prepared by mixing 1 μL cDNA with 0.1 μL Ex Taq (Takara, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan, RR001), 2 μL 10X TAQ buffer, 2 μL dNTP, 1 μL each of forward and reverse primers (indicated earlier) in 12.9 μL nuclease free water and amplified using denaturation (95°C,10 min → amplification = 95°C,45 s → 60°C,1 min → 72°C,45 s (35–37 cycles) → annealing = 72°C, 10 min → 4°C) protocol. Primers used are listed in Table 2. The amplified products were resolved on a 1% agarose gel containing 0.06 μg/mL EtBr (Ethidium Bromide; Invitrogen, 15585-011), and acquired using a Lumino Image Analyzer (LAS3000-mini; Fuji Film, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a CCD (Charge-coupled device) camera. Band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software (NIH) and plotted as a percentage using Microsoft Office 2016. Statistical significance was calculated by an unpaired t-test of Microsoft Excel software (2016).

### 2.7. Immunoblotting

Cells (2.5 x 10⁵ cells/well) were plated in a 6-well plate, allowed to settle overnight, followed by treatment with each compound. The control or treated cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After 48 h, control and treated cells were harvested and washed with PBS (X2), followed by lysis in RIPA buffer (89900, Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail (4693159001, Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Bavaria, Germany) on ice for 45 min. Lysates were separated on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel using Mini-Protean Tetra cell equipment (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), and subjected to immunoblotting using protein-specific antibodies as in Table 3, and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary HRP antibody (31430 or 31460, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Blots were developed using chemiluminescence solution (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) and visualized using a Lumino Image Analyzer (LAS 3000-mini; Fuji Film, Tokyo, Japan). Band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software (NIH) and plotted as a percentage using Microsoft™ Office 2016. Statistical significance was calculated by an unpaired t-test of Microsoft Excel software (2016).

### Table 1. Details of Ashwagandha withanolides and Ashwagandha extracts used in the study.

| Chemical library compounds | Code | Source/reference |
|----------------------------|------|-----------------|
| DMSO                      | Con  | 0.01%           |
| Withanerin-A              | 1    | 0.01 μM         |
| MethoxyWithaferin-A        | 2    | 3 μM            |
| Withanolide-A              | 3    | 5 μM            |
| Withanolide-B              | 5    | 5 μM            |
| Withanoside-IV             | 6    | 5 μM            |
| Withanoside-V              | 7    | 5 μM            |
| Withanosanolide-12-deoxy   | 8    | 3 μM            |
| Ash M2H1 - BDM 24 (leaf)   | D76  |                 |
| Ash M2H1 - BDM 24 (leaf)   | D77  |                 |
| Ash M3 - BDM 27 (stem)     | D78  |                 |
| Ash M3 - BDM 28 (stem)     | D79  |                 |
2.8. Statistical analyses

The data represents mean ± SD from three independent experiments. Statistical significance was calculated by unpaired t-test (GraphPad Prism, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA; p values ***<.001 represent highly significant change).

3. Results

3.1. Computational analysis predicted withanoside IV and withanoside V as potential inhibitors of ACE2: SARS-CoV-2 spike protein interactions

All the ligands were docked at the ACE2 binding site to investigate their best binding pose and binding energy. As many studies have reported an anti-influenza drug, Umifenovir, to be effective against ACE2-Spike protein interactions and is currently being tested in clinical trial against SARS-CoV-2 (Choudhary & Silakari, 2020; Padhi et al., 2021), so we chose this drug as a positive control for the computational study. When we docked Umifenovir at ACE2 binding site, the docking score in the best binding pose was −4.31 kcal/mol and it was participating in the interaction by making hydrogen bond contacts with Lys31 and Glu35 (Figure 2(A)). In comparison to Umifenovir, Withanoside-V had the highest docking score (−6.29 Kcal/mol) in the best binding pose. It was making hydrogen bonds with Lys31 and other two crucial (Glu35 and Asp38) residues that essentially play an important role in establishing contact with the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S) protein (Figure 2(B)). Withanoside-IV had the docking score of −5.89 Kcal/mol and in the best binding pose it was making hydrogen bond with the two residues Gln42 and Lys68 (Figure 2(C)). Further, 12-deoxywithastramonolide (−4.68 Kcal/mol), Withaferin-A (−4.38 Kcal/mol), Withanolide-A (−3.46 Kcal/mol), Withanone (−3.27 Kcal/mol), Withanolide-B (−3.18 Kcal/mol) and methoxyWithaferin-A (−2.68 Kcal/mol) also had a good binding score and were making at least one hydrogen bond in the best docking pose (Figure 2(D-H)).

The docking conformations were re-assessed using AutoDock Vina, and it was found that most of the complexes had similar binding poses as generated by Glide. The docking score of all the complexes and the details of polar and nonpolar interactions are shown in Table 4. All the docked complexes were further subjected to classical MD of 100 ns to investigate the binding stability and crucial interactions between the ligands and protein. It was found in the MD trajectories that all the ligands were interacting well with the ACE2 protein while Withanolide-A was deficient of a stable binding during the simulation. Since all the other ligands were found to establish a stable contact with the protein, they were studied and analysed further. First, the RMSD calculation was done for all the simulated protein–ligand complexes and it was found that most of the complexes got stabilized within 20 ns of the simulation time without any significant fluctuations throughout the simulations thereafter (Figure 3(A)). However, a minor deviation peak was observed in Withanone between 40 and 60 ns of simulation, while after 60 ns all the complexes got converged. Among all the complexes, Withanolide-B (2.32 ± 0.19 Å) had the minimum deviation followed by Umifenovir (2.39 ± 0.19 Å), Withanoside-V (2.45 ± 0.21 Å), methoxyWithaferin-A (2.68 ± 0.28 Å), Withanoside-IV (2.74 ± 0.39 Å), 12-deoxywithastramonolide (2.85 ± 0.38 Å), Withanone (2.95 ± 0.46 Å) and Withaferin-A (3.05 ± 0.38 Å), respectively. Further, the complexes were analysed for the RMSF and it was found that all the complexes had the RMSF in the range of 1.45 ± 0.64 Å, while only the loop region Phe320 to Lys340 had significant fluctuation of more than >3 Å (Figure 3(B)). As the role of hydrogen bonding in providing affinity to drugs towards a receptor is well known, the hydrogen bond counts for the simulated trajectories were calculated. It was found that Withanoside-V (3.01 ± 1.02) had the highest number of average hydrogen bonds throughout the simulations, followed by Withanoside-IV (1.04 ± 0.85), Withanolide-B (0.98 ± 0.44), Withaferin-A (0.71 ± 0.51), methoxyWithaferin-A (0.66 ± 0.58), 12-deoxywithastramonolide (0.57 ± 0.66), Umifenovir (0.4 ± 0.5) and Withanone (0.08 ± 0.30), respectively (Figure 3(C)).

In order to explore the critical residues of ACE2 and their fraction of contacts with the ligands, the polar and nonpolar occupancy between the ligands and ACE2 were calculated. It was found that all the simulated ligands had numerous polar and nonpolar interactions at the binding site of ACE2. However, in terms of significant interactions (>30% of the time of simulations) with critical residues (Ser19, Gln24, Lys34, Glu35, Asp38 and Gln42) of ACE2, which were involved in interaction with RBD of Spike protein, we found that Withanoside-V and Withanoside-IV were prominent among all the ligands, and even better than Umifenovir. Withanoside-V showed significant hydrogen bond interaction with Glu35, while Withanoside-IV with Glu35, Asp38 and Gln42 of ACE2, which were involved in interaction with RBD of Spike protein, we found that Withanoside-V and Withanoside-IV were prominent among all the ligands, and even better than Umifenovir. Withanoside-V showed significant hydrogen bond interaction with Glu35, while Withanoside-IV with Glu35, Asp38 and Gln42 of ACE2 (Figure 4). Also, in order to investigate the binding affinity of the withanolides against ACE2, the MM/GBSA free binding energy calculations were performed. The results of MM/GBSA free binding energy showed that Withanoside-IV (−34.52 ± 6.41 Kcal/mol) had the highest affinity, followed by Withanone (−27.98 ± 6.10 Kcal/mol), 12-deoxywithastramonolide (−25.85 ± 3.94 Kcal/mol), methoxyWithaferin-A (−24.98 ± 3.10 Kcal/mol), Umifenovir (−22.12 ± 3.54 Kcal/mol), Withanoside-V (−21.22 ± 4.67 Kcal/mol), Withaferin-A (−20.69 ± 7.64 Kcal/mol) and Withanolide-B (−25.54 ± 20.58 Kcal/mol), respectively as shown in the plot (Figure 3(D)).

The overall computational study suggested that Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V were the best among all the withanolides, as

Table 2. Details of primers used for RT-PCR assays.

| Gene   | Primer sequence          | Molecular weight | Cycles | Temperature (°C) |
|--------|--------------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| ACE2   | F’– CATTGGACAAAGTTGGATCTT | 108 bp           | 38     | 62              |
|        | R’– GAGCTAATGCGTACCATCCTA |                  |        |                 |
| GAPDH  | F’– TTCACACCCATGAGCAACAT  | 417 bp           | 34     | 60              |

Table 3. Details of antibodies used in the study to analyze the protein expression levels.

| Protein targets | Molecular weight | Source                      |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| ACE2            | 90-KDa           | abcam (ab15348)             |
| β-actin         | 42-KDa           | abcam (ab49900)             |
Figure 2. The best docked pose interactions between the ACE2 and the ligands, residues which are represented as stick showed the hydrogen bonding whereas residues shown as line are the crucial residues of ACE2 which were involved in hydrogen bonding with Spike protein but now making nonpolar interaction with the ligands (A) ACE2-Umifenovir (B) ACE2-Withanoside-V (C) ACE2- Withanoside-IV (D) ACE2- Withanolide-B (E) ACE2- Withanolide (F) ACE2- Withanolide-A (G) ACE2- methoxyWithaferin-A (H) ACE2-12-deoxywithastraemonolide.
shown that the cyclic oligosaccharides leaf (L) and stem (S) of Ashwagandha plant. We had earlier withanolides-rich aqueous extract from the well-segregated carcinoma (A549) cells and also investigated the effect of Withanoside-V treated cells (Figure 5(B)). In contrast to the decrease that was most prominent in Withanoside-IV and els in the withanolides treated cells also revealed significant decrease. Analysis of ACE2 protein level of expression in Withaferin-A, Withanoside-IV, and Withanoside-V in both the cell types; expression in Withaferin-A, Withanone, Withanolide-A, Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V in both the cell types; expression in Withaferin-A, Withanone, Withanolide-A, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V and Withanoside-rich aqueous extracts from Ashwagandha stem (Ash M3-βCD 27-S and Ash M3-βDM 28-S) showed (10 to 20%) reduction in ACE2 expression both at the protein (as determined by immunoblotting) and mRNA (as determined by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)) levels. Conversely, immunostaining revealed reduction (~30 to 60%) in ACE2 protein in A549 cells treated with different withanolides (Withaferin-A, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V) and withanolides-rich aqueous extracts from Ashwagandha stem (Ash M3-βCD 27-S and Ash M3-βDM 28-S) showed (10 to 20%) reduction in ACE2 expression both at the protein (as determined by immunoblotting) and mRNA (as determined by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)) levels. Conversely, immunostaining revealed reduction (~30 to 60%) in ACE2 protein in A549 cells treated with different withanolides (Withaferin-A, Withanone, Withanolide-A, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V) and in withanolides-rich extracts (Figure 6(C)). These data revealed that the withanolides/extracts may inhibit the virus infection by multiple ways including (i) inhibition of virus entry to the cells by blocking its interaction with ACE2-host cell surface receptor and (ii) reduction in the level of expression of ACE2 mRNA and protein.

3.2. Cells treated with withanolides showed downregulation of ACE2 expression

We also prompted to evaluate the activities of these withanolides at subtoxic concentrations in cultured cells. We, firstly, screened the protein expression of ACE2 in various cancer cell lines (Supporting Information Figure S2) that led us to select three cell lines- HSC3 (oral squamous carcinoma cell line), A549 (non-small lung cancer cell line) and MCF-7 (human breast carcinoma cell line), given their moderate to low level of ACE2 expression. Cells treated with withanolides were subjected to mRNA and protein analysis. As shown in Figure 5(A), withanolides treated cells showed decrease in ACE2 mRNA and protein expression. Of note, Withanone caused maximum decrease in ACE2 mRNA both in HSC3 and MCF7 cells. Withanolide-A, Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V also caused moderate decrease. Analysis of ACE2 protein levels in the withanolides treated cells also revealed significant decrease that was most prominent in Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V treated cells (Figure 5(B)). In contrast to the decrease in ACE2 mRNA, ACE2 protein did not show decrease in Withanolide A-treated HSC3 cells. Conversely, MCF7 cells showed decrease in both in ACE2 mRNA and protein in withanolide-treated cells. Validation of protein levels by immunostaining (ICC) also showed an inhibitory activity of withanolides. Similar to the immunoblotting data, ICC showed decrease that was more prominent in MCF-7 cells (Figure 5(C,D)). Taken together, we found decrease in ACE2 expression in Withaferin-A, Withanone, Withanolide-A, Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V in both the cell types; changes in mRNA were more prominent than the changes in protein (Figure 5).

We next validated the above results on malignant lung carcinoma (A549) and also investigated the effect of withanolides-rich aqueous extract from the well-segregated leaf (L) and stem (S) of Ashwagandha plant. We had earlier shown that the cyclic oligosaccharides viz. cyclodextrin could augment extraction and stability of withanolides (Kaul et al., 2016) and were, therefore, used for withanolides enrichment in extraction. Given the fact that the content of withanolides is greater in leaves, while plant stem has more Withanone (Kaul et al., 2016; Kaur et al., 2018; Kumar, Dhanjal, Bhargava, et al., 2020), we enrolled subtoxic concentrations of both leaf and stem-based extracts prepared with cyclodextrins (soluble fraction-βCD and insoluble fraction-βDM). As shown in Figure 6(A,B), cells treated with three withanolides (Withaferin-A, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V) and withanolides-rich aqueous extracts from Ashwagandha stem (Ash M3-βCD 27-S and Ash M3-βDM 28-S) showed (10 to 20%) reduction in ACE2 expression both at the protein (as determined by immunoblotting) and mRNA (as determined by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)) levels. Conversely, immunostaining revealed reduction (~30 to 60%) in ACE2 protein in A549 cells treated with different withanolides (Withaferin-A, Withanolide, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V) and in withanolides-rich extracts (Figure 6(C)). These data revealed that the withanolides/extracts may inhibit the virus infection by multiple ways including (i) inhibition of virus entry to the cells by blocking its interaction with ACE2-host cell surface receptor and (ii) reduction in the level of expression of ACE2 mRNA and protein.

4. Discussion

ACE2, a host receptor, plays an important role in the first step of the viral infection. The attachment of RBD of SARS-CoV-2 virus to the host ACE2 is the crucial step for the virus entry into the host cell (Cascales et al., 2020; Hoffmann et al., 2020). It has been shown in previous studies that SARS-CoV-2 could not infect the cells that were not expressing the ACE2 protein (Lan et al., 2020). The previously reported coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV and MERS also had the similar mechanism of entry through ACE2. However, the binding affinity, hence the higher infectivity rate, of SARS-CoV-2 is found to be 10 to 20-fold higher (Nguyen et al., 2020). Targeting ACE2 could thus lead to inhibition or reduction of viral infection. Given the severity of the COVID-19

| Complex                  | Molecular docking (Kcal/mol) | H-bonds                  | Hydrophobic, polar and pi-pi stacking |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ACE2–Umifenovir          | -4.31                         | Lys31, Glu35             | Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Gln76, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanoside-V       | -6.29                         | Lys31, Glu35, Asp38      | Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79, Met82    |
| ACE2–Withanoside-IV      | -5.89                         | Gln42, Lys68             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe45, Ala46, Asn61, Ala65, Phe72, Glu75, Gln76, Leu79 |
| ACE2–methoxyWithaferin-A | -2.68                         | Gln76                    | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Phe72, Glu75, Gln76, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanolide-B       | -3.18                         | Glu76                    | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Leu39, Lys68, Ala71, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanolide-A       | -3.46                         | Glu76                    | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Lys68, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–12-deoxywithastramonolide | -4.68                     | Lys68, Glu76             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withaferin-A        | -4.38                         | Lys68, Glu76             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanolone         | -3.27                         | Glu75, Glu76             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |

Table 4. The molecular docking score and polar and nonpolar interactions in the best docking pose of ACE2-withanolides.

| Complex                  | Molecular docking (Kcal/mol) | H-bonds                  | Hydrophobic, polar and pi-pi stacking |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| ACE2–Umifenovir          | -4.31                         | Lys31, Glu35             | Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Gln76, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanoside-V       | -6.29                         | Lys31, Glu35, Asp38      | Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79, Met82    |
| ACE2–Withanoside-IV      | -5.89                         | Gln42, Lys68             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe45, Ala46, Asn61, Ala65, Phe72, Glu75, Gln76, Leu79 |
| ACE2–methoxyWithaferin-A | -2.68                         | Gln76                    | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Phe72, Glu75, Gln76, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanolide-B       | -3.18                         | Glu76                    | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Leu39, Lys68, Ala71, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanolide-A       | -3.46                         | Glu76                    | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Lys68, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–12-deoxywithastramonolide | -4.68                     | Lys68, Glu76             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withaferin-A        | -4.38                         | Lys68, Glu76             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
| ACE2–Withanolone         | -3.27                         | Glu75, Glu76             | Phe28, Lys31, Glu35, Asp38, Leu39, Phe72, Glu75, Leu79 |
pandemic, the quicker and safer way of drug discovery is drug repurposing against ACE2 for anti-COVID-19 activity. There are various FDA approved drugs which are in advanced stages of clinical trials against SARS-CoV-2 such as lopinavir, remdesivir, ribavirin, arbidol (umifenovir), camostat mesylate, hydroxychloroquine and many more (Drożdżal et al., 2020; McKee et al., 2020). Specifically, arbidol an influenza A virus fusion inhibitor was found to be effective in the preliminary studies against ACE2-S protein interaction inhibition (Jin et al., 2020; Kadam & Wilson, 2017). In another
study using modeled surface and living cells, it has been demonstrated that N-terminal ACE2 mimicking peptide could be the potent inhibitor of S protein–ACE2 interactions (Clausen et al., 2020). There are various approved antimicrobial agents such as ciclopirox, dolutegravir, trotrazuril and enalapril maleate having AC50 less than 8 micromoles that are found to be effective in interfering with the interaction of ACE2–S protein using cell-based assays (Hanson et al., 2020). Other affordable and safer alternatives to the synthetic drugs are natural metabolites from the plant sources. Many studies on plant-based compound screening against SARS-CoV-2 and many alkaloids, flavonoids and terpenoids molecules have been recently reported as potential drug candidates against ACE2 (Muhseen et al., 2020; Russo et al., 2020). Among all the natural compounds, withanolides from Ashwagandha has been shown to be very promising in preliminary studies against SARS-CoV-2 (Chikhale et al., 2020; Shree et al., 2020; Straughn & Kakar, 2020). Ashwagandha is being used in traditional home medicine in India and has been shown to possess a variety of bioactivities mainly against oxidative stress, brain disorders, cancers, microbial infections and immune response (Mishra et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2011). Recent COVID-19 studies have suggested that the viral infection can enhance the immune response and thus can lead to cytokine storm that could be fatal to the patients. In various studies, it has been shown that Withaferin-A can reduce the secretion of various cytokines such as TNFs and interleukins (Dubey et al., 2018; Straughn & Kakar, 2019). It has also been
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**Figure 4.** The best four ACE2-ligand complexes having the significant interaction occupancies. (A) ACE2–Withanoside-V, (B) ACE2–Withanoside-IV, (C) Withanolide-B, (D) Withaferin-A, (E) Umifenovir.
recently reported that Withanolone could be an effective inhibitor of ACE2 (Balkrishna et al., 2020). Our group has also recently reported the potential inhibitory action of Withanone and Withaferin-A against Main protease of SARS-CoV-2 and host cell receptor TMPRSS2 through computational and experimental assays (Kumar, Dhanjal, Bhargava, et al., 2020; Kumar, Dhanjal, Kaul, et al., 2020). The Ashwagandha-derived withanolides have also been shown to possess anti-viral activities against influenza and herpes simplex viruses (Cai et al., 2015; Grover et al., 2011). Computational analysis involved molecular docking, classical MD simulations, binding free energy calculations and experimental assays to investigate the activity of withanolides against ACE2 receptor. This was carried out to understand the potential of withanolides to inhibit the interaction between host ACE2 and S protein of SARS-CoV-2. In the original crystal structure of ACE2, Ser19, Gln24, Lys34, Glu35, Asp38 and Gln42 of ACE2 were making contact with RBD of S protein. Hence, we probed the potential of the withanolides to bind at the ACE2-S protein receptor surface and inhibit the crucial interactions between them. Through the molecular docking and MD simulations, it was found that Withanoside-V was able to interact with Glu35, while Withanoside-IV interacted with Glu35, Asp38 and Gln 42 of ACE2. Out of eight withanolides studied, the MM/GBSA binding free energy calculations were the best for Withanoside-IV and -V predicting their high potential as novel inhibitor candidates. Effect of these withanolides on ACE2 expression level was investigated using human oral (HSC3), lung (A549) and breast (MCF-7) cancer cells. Oral and lung cavity epithelium is the primary site of SARS-CoV-2 infection, therefore enrolment of HSC3 and A549 cells provided a comprehensive in vitro system to test ACE2-inhibitory activity of withanolides and withanolides-rich Ashwagandha leaf and stem extracts. The cells treated with withanolides as well as extracts showed reduction in ACE2 expression, although to a variable
Figure 6. Biochemical analysis of activities of withanolides and Ashwagandha extracts on ACE2. (A) Immunoblots showing ACE2 expression in withanolides- and Ashwagandha extracts-treated A549 cells; quantitation is shown below. (B) Semi-quantitative RT-PCR showing ACE2 transcript levels in withanolides- and Ashwagandha extracts-treated A549 cells. Quantitation is shown below. (C) Immunostaining showing ACE2 expression in withanolides- and Ashwagandha extracts-treated A549 cells. The quantitation is shown at the right.
extent in different cell types, both at the protein and mRNA level.

Medicinal value of Ashwagandha has been attributed to have anti-stress and health benefitting effects (Chaudhary et al., 2017). Multiple reports previously have underlined potential of Ashwagandha for inducing tumor-suppressor activity and anti-inflammatory activities (Bhargava et al., 2019; Chaudhary et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2014; Grover, Priyandoko, et al., 2012; Grover, Singh, et al., 2012; Sundar et al., 2019; Widodo et al., 2007, 2010; Yu et al., 2017). Ashwagandha withanolides were also studied for their antiviral effects, wherein Withaferin-A was shown to be effective against herpes simplex virus, HIV and H1N1 influenza virus (Cai et al., 2015; Grover et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2017). Aqueous extracts of Ashwagandha leaf and stem provide affordable and readily accessible withanolides-rich alternative of purified withanolides, which made it a promising resource for usage for a variety of health benefits facilitated by its multimodal action. ACE2 inhibitory activity of stem-based cyclodextrins (jCD and jDM) extracts may offer a holistic remedial approach for management of COVID-19. Applications of these withanolides-rich extracts could be examined further to ensure their clinical outcome and a possible accumulative health-benefits. We have recently reported the antagonizing potential of Withanone on TMPRSS2 (Kumar, Dhanjal, Bhargava, et al., 2020). Moreover, it was also predicted to target the main protease (Mpro) of SARS-CoV-2 that is essential for its replication in host cells (Kumar, Dhanjal, Kaul, et al., 2020). Here, we further identified ACE2-inhibiting activities of Ashwagandha withanolides and its withanolides-rich extracts using computational and in vitro assays comprising oral, lung and breast cancer cells. In a recent study, purified Ashwagandha extract having 35% of glycowithanolides (Withanoside-IV and Withanoside-V) was used to study acute and sub chronic toxicity in rats. The repeated administration of the extract for 90 days in rats at the maximum dose level of 1000 mg/kg body weight did not induce any observable toxic effects when compared to its corresponding control animals. No mortality or clinical signs of toxicity were observed in any of the animals at maximum recommended dose level of 2000 mg/kg (Antony et al., 2018). In this study, we demonstrated that among the 8 tested withanolides, Withaferin-A, Withanone, Withanoside-IV, Withanoside-V possessed an ACE2 inhibitory activity. Besides these, withanolides as well as the water-based extracts from Ashwagandha caused inhibition of ACE2 expression and hence are suggested to be tested further to offer useful resource for COVID-19 therapeutics and drug development.
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