WHY NEUROSCIENCE NEEDS GIRLS: GENDER DIVERSITY DRIVES SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY

Emily G. Jacobs¹,²*

¹Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, United States
²Neuroscience Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA, United States

Women make up half of the world’s population. Yet for half a century, neuroscience has largely overlooked women’s health. Scientists who conduct research in animals primarily study males and exclude females, a research habit that greatly reduces the potential impact of our scientific discoveries. Animal research helps scientists develop drugs and treatments for brain disorders, so the sex bias in animal research risks the lives of women worldwide. Thanks to the advocacy work of female scientists and doctors, this research practice is starting to change. This article talks about hurdles girls face in science and why achieving diversity in science is more than just the “right thing to do.” Diversity in science makes science better and helps make sure our research benefits men and women.

THE MOONSHOT OF OUR GENERATION

At first glance, the human brain looks pretty unremarkable, like a three-pound squishy walnut (Figure 1). But look closer, at the...
The human brain contains \( >85 \) billion neurons that, together, give rise to nearly everything we do as humans—thinking, seeing, smelling, moving, sleeping, even breathing. It is no wonder there are more cells in a single human brain than there are stars in the entire Milky Way Galaxy.

At the microscopic level, and you will find over \( 85 \) billion neurons wired together into precise circuits. These neural circuits are responsible for an incredible range of complex behaviors. That three-pound squishy walnut is capable of writing an opera, building civilizations, and inventing tools that allow us to see into the deepest corners of space. Even more amazing, the human brain can invent tools to see and study itself.

Fifty years ago, in 1969, scientists landed a human on the moon. This was the most amazing accomplishment of that generation. In 2013, President Obama said that understanding how the brain works is one of the greatest scientific challenges of our time. It is the moonshot of our generation. Fifty years from now, in 2069, what discoveries will scientists make about the brain? Around the world, neuroscientists are trying to understand how the healthy brain gives rise to the mind and what goes wrong in brain disorders like Alzheimer’s, depression, and schizophrenia. If we have any hope of meeting these challenges, we need the best minds at the table and in the laboratory, inventing radically new ways of seeing, probing, and understanding the brain.

**WHO GETS TO BE A SCIENTIST?**

A century ago, professional scientists were mostly men. Women were working hard just to gain equal access to education. A famous photograph taken in 1927 shows a meeting of the world’s top scientists of that era (Figure 2). The picture shows scientific super-stars like Albert Einstein and Erwin Schrodinger, whose research shaped the world we live in. Of the 29 attendees, 28 were men of European origin. Marie Curie, a pioneering scientist who worked in physics and chemistry and won two Nobel prizes, was the only woman in attendance. Although these scientists compiled an incredible list of scientific achievements, one can only imagine how much more
Attendees of the 1927 Solvay Conference in Belgium, a prestigious meeting of scientists that included Albert Einstein, Erwin Schrödinger, and Marie Curie (front row, second from left). Of the 29 attendees, all but one were men of European origin. Would have been accomplished had women and ethnic minorities been allowed greater access to their ranks. As the historian of science Steven Jay Gould said, “I am, somehow, less interested in the weight and convolutions of Einstein’s brain than in the near certainty that people of equal talent have lived and died in cotton fields and sweatshops.”

Today, most science departments are more diverse than they were in 1927, but not by much. There is still considerable progress left to make. For example, at Harvard University, ~4 out of every 5 STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math) faculty members are men. This pattern is reflected in most colleges and universities. Although the explicit discrimination that kept women and minorities out of science in 1927 does not happen as much today, implicit biases, or unconscious stereotypes, still remain and harm a girl’s chances of becoming a scientist.

**WHAT DOES A SCIENTIST LOOK LIKE?**

In the 1970’s, only 1 out of 100 children who were asked to draw a scientist drew a woman [1]. Today, about 30 do1. The “Draw a Scientist” test reflects our assumptions of who a scientist is and what a scientist looks like. In another study, children were shown a picture of a man and a woman and then told to “point to the most intelligent one.” Four- and 5-years-old tend to choose their own sex, but by age six and beyond girls are more likely to choose the man [2]. Researchers found that girls who believe the stereotype that “boys are smarter than girls” are more likely to avoid games described as “for really smart kids” (Figure 3). Gender stereotypes about intelligence influence children’s choices at a heartbreakingly young age. When girls absorb
Children as young as 6 years old are influenced by the stereotype that men are smarter than women. False stereotypes about intelligence can derail girls’ interest in math and science by first grade. These stereotypes, they are more likely to avoid math and science, while boys’ interests in these subjects are encouraged and supported. Over time, these are the kinds of subtle biases that give rise to the under-representation of women in science.

Gender biases in science continue through high-school and college. For example, in one study, researchers mailed fake applications to science teachers who were hiring a lab manager to help with daily activities in the laboratory—a coveted position for young scientists [3]. Lab manager positions often help students get into competitive graduate and medical school programs. In the study, the fake applications were identical except for a single word at the top of the page—the first name of the student was randomly chosen to be male or female. What do you think happened? Science teachers judged male applicants to be more competent and more hirable than identical female applicants. When asked to offer the applicant a starting salary, females were offered $4,000 less. These gender biases are not the result of a few teachers with outdated gender attitudes, they are the result of widely held stereotypes about intelligence and reflect who we have in mind when we imagine a scientist.

**DIVERSITY DRIVES INNOVATION**

Why does diversity in science matter? Advocating for diversity in science is not just the right thing to do. Diversity in science makes science better. It drives innovation and it can change the very questions that scientists ask. Here are two examples to illustrate this point:
1. *Until recently, female animals were excluded from scientific studies, affecting the health of women worldwide. Female scientists discovered the problem and came up with a solution.*

Biomedical research relies on animal studies to understand diseases and to test treatments that can save human lives. However, for the last 50 years, these animal studies have been conducted primarily in male animals [4]. That discovery was made by Dr. Annaliese Beery in 2011. She showed that, in neuroscience and other areas of science, researchers tend to exclude female animals from their research. Surprisingly, female animals are even excluded from studies about brain diseases that are more common in women, like Alzheimer’s, depression, and anxiety. This bias has compromised the health and safety of women in ways that scientists are just beginning to understand. For example, medicines are more likely to have unintended side effects or lead to serious complications in girls and women, likely because the animal testing did not include females.

The good news is that Dr. Beery’s discovery started a national movement. In 2016, our government—in an effort led by a woman named Dr. Janine Clayton—created a new rule to make sure scientific studies include female animals [5]. This is a giant step toward making sure men and women benefit equally from research efforts. Importantly, female scientists played a critical role in this paradigm shift. Dr. Beery noticed something that nobody else noticed. She challenged a research habit that had been passed on for decades and, in doing so, she made a lasting mark on science. Similarly, Dr. Clayton’s pioneering efforts to change science policy at the national level made sure that women’s health was taken seriously by those performing animal research.

2. *Until recently, brain imaging studies often overlooked topics that matter for women. Female scientists discovered the problem and came up with a solution.*

Gender diversity is also important in **cognitive** neuroscience. One of the biggest challenges in cognitive neuroscience is understanding how the brain changes as we get older. Neuroscientists want to understand who is on the path to healthy brain aging and who is at risk for dementia. Until recently, most studies of brain aging focused on adults over the age of 65 [6]. This research practice overlooks a critical period in a woman’s life—**menopause**. Menopause is a period of time, usually between ages 45 and 55, when a woman’s body stops producing certain hormones and her menstrual cycle stops. Hormones are substances produced by your body that travel through your bloodstream to guide growth, development, behavior, mood, brain function, and more. Many hormones play important roles in brain health, yet until recently few neuroscientists thought about whether men’s and women’s brains age differently or how menopause
shapes the brain. This oversight is concerning, especially since some age-related diseases, including Alzheimer’s, are more common in women. For years, scientists did not realize that the changes in the brain that occur during menopause could hold the secret to why women are at an increased risk for these devastating diseases. As more women enter neuroscience, these questions are starting to be tackled [7].

**NEUROSCIENCE NEEDS GIRLS**

Scientists cannot answer questions they do not see. For male neuroscientists studying the aging brain, it is likely that menopause was never “visible.” Studying only male animals in the lab did not seem weird until female scientists came along and challenged those old, ingrained assumptions. What are the other questions scientists cannot see because they lack the experience to even imagine them? Science should represent society. We need a diverse pool of neuroscientists who bring radically new perspectives to the table. If history is any evidence, when girls gain a voice, and when they step into positions of power, it transforms science.

I can only hope that when people of future generations look back at a photograph of the most important and influential neuroscientists from the present day, they will see a group of faces that more faithfully represent our social makeup as a whole. If they do, our moonshot may be in reach (Figure 4).
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YOUNG REVIEWERS

CASSIDY, AGE: 13
Cassidy’s dream is to be an actress. She has taken musical theater for 2 years and plays the flute. She has a 2 years old cat named Violet who enjoys cuddling and pouncing on toys. Cassidy dreams of attending Harvard University.

EMILY, AGE: 13
Emily is an artistic soul who is into anime, cooking, and musical theater. They use they/them pronouns and are very proud to be pansexual. They aspire to be an artist when they grow up and hope to 1 day to live up to that dream.
LEE, AGE: 18
Lee is a senior at Santa Barbara High School and uses they/them pronouns. They are a passionate advocate for the LGBTQ+ Community. Lee enjoys reading, writing, drawing, and also loves science. They dream of getting a doctorate in linguistics or Psychology.

SARAH, AGE: 16
Sarai is a junior in High School. Her pronouns are she/her/hers/ella. She loves art and being able creates new things. She is a proud Chicana (Mexican-American) and plans to contribute back to her community by encouraging younger Chicana youth to continue their education.

SAWYER, AGE: 13
Sawyer is an artist who carries her drawings everywhere she goes. She is a Santa Barbara local who is taking French and plans to major in English, writing, and/or social sciences. She is part of the Pride community and is very open about her identity.

ZAHRA, AGE: 13
Zahra is an eighth grader who uses she/her/hers pronouns. She is passionate about musical theater and is currently a lead in her school play. She loves shopping and spending time with her friends. She aspires to be in more musical plays in the future.
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