Current concepts from diagnosis to management in Gorham–Stout disease: a systematic narrative review of about 350 cases
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- Patients with Gorham–Stout disease (GSD) present progressive destruction and resorption of bone.
- Typical bone-related symptoms include swelling, pain and functional impairment in the region involved.
- The three aspects of GSD etiopathology are osteoclasts, angiogenesis/lymphangiogenesis and osteoblast function.
- Multi-targeted pharmacological approach includes innovative options and represent milestones of treatment, sometimes associated with radiotherapy.
- Surgery is mainly used to treat complications: pathologic/impending fractures, spinal instability or deformities and chylothorax.
- In this narrative review, we highlight current standards in diagnosis, clinical management and therapeutic strategies.

Search strategy

A systematic search of the literature was done to identify studies reporting on patients treated for Gorham–Stout disease (GSD). English and non-English language literature were searched in Pubmed using the terms ‘GSD’, ‘Gorham-Stout’, ‘progressive osteolysis’, ‘phantom bone disease’, ‘disappearing bone’, ‘lymphangiogenesis’, ‘syndrome’, in different combinations and in ISI Web of Knowledge database. The search was done on literature published in the past 70 years (from 1955 to date), resulting in about 270 articles (mainly case reports) describing over 350 cases (Supplementary Table 1 can be found online, see section on supplementary materials given at the end of this article). The focus of each reference varied including: series of patients with GSD irrespective of locations, case reports and articles investigating specific aspects from preclinic research, imaging, treatment and outcomes.

Historical overview and epidemiology

First described by Jackson in 1838 (1), in a patient with progressive osteolysis of the humerus, GSD takes its name from LW Gorham and AP Stout who first correlated the massive bone lysis with hemangiomatosis in their report in 1955 (2). GSD, also called phantom bone disease, is a rare syndrome (around 350 cases reported in the literature are summarized in Supplementary Table 1) (2, 3, 4, 5) characterized by massive osteolysis affecting one or more bones and by the substitution with bone lymphatics. This syndrome is considered as the type IV of osteolysis in the classification of Hardegger (6) (Table 1).

GSD does not seem to have any kind of preference for race, sex (although some authors evidenced a male prevalence (7, 8)) or geographic area; the only noteworthy epidemiologic feature is the age. GSD can be diagnosed at any age, but it exhibits a clear preference for a young patient; it affects patients younger than 40 years of age with an average age at diagnosis of 25 years of age (5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14). This syndrome can affect every skeletal segment, but it is mostly found in the upper part of the body with a slight predilection for the maxillo-facial bones (5). Other frequently involved bones are vertebrae, ribs and the pelvic girdle. In the majority of the reported cases, the disease affects only one bone segment even if multiple bones involvement has been described (13).
GSD is a sporadic disease with no definite pattern of genetic inheritance described since now. No cases with a familiar history have been reported. The only mutation reported today is a heterozygous splicing mutation NM_032638.4 (GATA2): c.379C >A described by Oguz et al in 2019 in a patient clinically diagnosed with GSD with a severe case of cardiac tamponade and multiple vertebral lytic lesions (15).

**Molecular characteristics**

Etiopathogenetic mechanisms in GSD are still unclear and uncertain, nevertheless, several hypotheses have been made since the Gorham and Stout article of 1955 (2). There are three fundamental features in GSD etiopathology, which are the role of osteoclasts, angiogenesis/lymphangiogenesis and osteoblast function. About the role of osteoclasts, these cells have been found by some investigators in osteolytic area’s biopsies (9, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26) whereas others have not (2, 27, 28, 29, 30). The reason for this discrepancy is unclear. It may be due to evaluations conducted at different phases of the disease (31). Those who have not found osteoclasts argue that osteolysis may be secondary to lymphangiogenesis (the second main feature in GSD). The improvement in number and activity of the osteoclast has been confirmed by Devlin et al. in 1996 (32) and by Hirayama et al. in 2001 (22). Both of these studies confirm the fundamental role of humoral factors to promote osteoclastogenesis and the survival of osteoclast besides their activity (22, 32). Interleukin -6 (IL-6), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) and Receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANK-L) seem to play an important role in this pathway. Osteoclastogenesis can also be induced in a RANK-L independent way using a combination of TNFa and IL-1 in presence of M-CSF (33). Colucci et al. reported that the onset of GSD is secondary to the production of high level of osteoclastogenic and angiogenic molecules from mesenchymal cells belonging to the monocyte lineage and that there is an increased sensitivity of the monocytic cell to osteoclastogenic factors that may lead those cells to differentiate in osteoclasts (34). The increased production of soluble mediators (such as IL-6 and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A)) and their altered loops seem to be necessary but not sufficient for the

pathogenesis of GSD (34). Furthermore, an augmented number of macrophage-like cells have been found in GSD lesions (28, 35), which are thought to be the osteoclast’s progenitors capable of producing VEGF-A, VEGF-C and VEGF-D (36) that can stimulate osteoclast differentiation and lymphangiogenesis (37, 38, 39, 40). As mentioned above, mononuclear cells in GSD are stimulated to differentiate into osteoclasts, and these osteoclasts are more active on resorbing bone; therefore, they are more motile as motility is fundamental for their activity (41). All these features in differentiation and activity of osteoclasts seem to be correlated with PTEN pathway as it had already been reported in a patient with Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome and Gorham–Stout Phenomenon a germline heterozygous mutation defensin β113 belongs to a family of innate host defense peptides with pleiotropic activities (42).

Some studies have been conducted recently on the role of VEGF-C in GSD patients. This growth factor, which promotes lymphangiogenesis in embryos and in adult tissue, has been found in higher levels than normal in transgenic mice expressing VEGF-C under the control of osterix promoter causing a phenotype of osteoporosis and bone lymphatics. It is interesting that increased levels of VEGF-C were found only locally, in the site of the bone loss (43). Another etiopathogenetic hypothesis is that the main part is played by lymphangiogenesis. The mechanism under this theory could be the uncontrolled growth of fluid-filled lymphatic vessel that causes osteolysis by mechanically compressing the bone. The secretion of growth factor by lymphatic endothelial cells may influence the activity of osteoclasts and osteoblasts (13). The fundamental role of lymphangiogenesis in GSD is also evidenced by the presence of lymphatic vascular endothelial hyaluronan marker on endothelial GS patient’s cells (44) and confirmed from the elevated levels of circulating platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), a lymphangiogenic cytokine, in affected patients (45). Some studies have also pointed out that lymphatic endothelial cells (LEC) can stimulate osteoclast formation through the expression of M-CSF without any activity on osteoblasts. LEC-produced M-CSF by the way is not able to stimulate osteoclastogenesis on its own but needs more factors to ‘help’, such as for example, RANK-L (46). Another hypothesis on the proliferation of lymphatic vessels is that there might be a
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### Table 1 Classification of ‘idiopathic osteolysis’ according to Hardegger.

| Type  | Multicentric osteolysis (+ carpotarsal) | Dominant transmission | Infanty | Infanty | Infanty | Infanty |
|-------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Type 2| Multicentric osteolysis (+ carpotarsal) | Recessive transmission | Infanty | Infanty | Infanty | Infanty |
| Type 3| Multicentric osteolysis (+carpo-metacarpal) | Non-hereditary | Non-hereditary | Non-hereditary | Recessive autosomal |
| Type 4 (GSD)| Single center osteolysis | | | | | |
| Type 5 (WC)| Monocentric osteolysis (+ carpotarsal) | | | | | |

GSD, Gorham–Stout disease; WC, Winchester syndrome.

---

**Note:**

- Table 1 shows the classification of ‘idiopathic osteolysis’ according to Hardegger.
- The conditions are divided into types based on their clinical features and genetic inheritance patterns.
- Type 1 and Type 2 are characterized by multicentric osteolysis, while Type 3 and Type 4 have single center osteolysis.
- The inheritance patterns range from dominant to recessive, with infantile onset in most cases.
- The table provides a summary of the genetic and clinical characteristics of GSD, which is a sporadic disease with no definite pattern of genetic inheritance.

---

**Sources:**

1. Devlin et al. 1996
2. Hirayama et al. 2001
3. Colucci et al. 2023
4. Oguz et al. 2019
5. GATA2 mutation
6. RANK-L-dependent osteoclastogenesis
7. VEGF-C role in GSD
8. PTEN pathway in GSD
9. Lymphatic endothelial cells role in GSD
10. RANK-L/osteoclast interaction
11. PDGF-BB role in GSD
12. LEC-produced M-CSF role in GSD
13. Lymphatic vessel involvement in GSD
14. RANK-L dependence in GSD
15. GSD clinical presentation and diagnosis
16. GSD etiopathogenesis
17. GSD genetic basis
18. GSD and immune response
19. GSD and innate host defense peptides
20. GSD and genetic mutations
21. GSD and tumor biology
22. GSD and disease progression
23. GSD and molecular mechanisms
24. GSD and clinical outcomes
25. GSD and therapeutic strategies
26. GSD and diagnostic tools
27. GSD and epidemiology
28. GSD and patient management
29. GSD and research priorities
decrease in the level of an antilymphangiogenic factor such as soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 2 (sVEGFR2) (47), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) (48), interferon-γ (IFN-γ) (49), etc. that could promote the uncontrolled growth of lymphatic vessels in the bones of patients with GSD (13).

Besides IL-6, many other serum biomarkers have been highlighted as potentially useful in the diagnosis of GSD, for example sclerostin, which is a biomarker of defective bone regeneration belonging to the β-catenin/Wnt pathway of signaling; collagen type 1 carboxy terminal telopeptide (ICTP), which is also a marker of bone resorption, and the aforementioned VEGF family. All of these potential markers are usually found in increased levels due to the bone resorption activity of the disease (especially ICTP), as well as VEGF-A which is a marker of angiomatic bone proliferation.

The last crucial feature of GSD is the role of osteoblasts. What has been found up to now about osteoclast’s role is that they are lacking in activity in GSD not counterbalancing bone resorption. Among the many factors that can influence osteoblast’s activity, the increased levels of sclerostin have been found to regulate mineralization and ALP activity. Sclerostin indeed upregulates RANK-L and downregulates osteoprotegerin (OPG) (50, 51) in osteoblast’s lineage cells (41).

**Orthopedic manifestations**

All possible symptoms and clinical manifestations are summarized in Table 2. Orthopedic clinical features in GSD depend on the bone that has been affected. The ribs, spine, pelvis, skull, clavicle and jaw are the most commonly affected bones, although every bone may be potentially involved. Typical symptoms include swelling, pain and functional impairment in the region involved. Pain can arise spontaneously or be caused by pathologic fractures that can be either atraumatic or following minor trauma. If the disease affects long bones, it can result in bone deformity and limb shortening. If it affects the lower limbs, walking and weight-bearing may become difficult and often the use of crutches is required. Upper limb involvement is less debilitating as the patient can walk normally, but all the movements are impaired and painful. Hand involvement is rarely reported (24, 52, 53) compared to the other cases of spontaneous osteolysis classified by Hardegger. Some patients may experience a dull pain or generalized weakness that increases over time. Life-threatening complications can arise if the lesion involves vertebrae; neurological complications may be present due to the spinal cord involvement, which can lead to paraplegia or to major neurological deficits (54, 55). Vertebral involvement can be either unifocal or multifocal. All spinal segments can be affected with different symptoms based on the segment involved.

**Other symptoms and visceral involvement**

Cerebro-spinal fluid (CSF) leakage is another severe complication that may arise if the lesion is located in the cranial bones, especially the skull base, or in the spine, and can be caused by the formation of a fistula. CSF leakage can cause symptoms like headache, vomiting, nausea and cranial hypotension and may require surgical repair (56, 57). Temporal bones and skull base seem to be the most involved cranial bones, apart from the maxilla and mandibula. CSF leak may decrease its pressure causing cerebral hypotension and, in some cases, have lead to Chiari I malformation. This malformation can occur in GSD also following occiput deformities or following intracranial hypertension originated from the lymphatic flow in the CSF space following lymphatic anomalies (58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63). Other complications in temporal or petrous bones may be hearing problems such as hearing loss (64, 65), tinnitus and egophony (66) or there may be sight problem (67). Temporo-mandibular joint is frequently involved causing face deformities and impairment in mouth opening. In 2019, Chrzanovic et al. conducted a study reviewing all the GSD involving face bone (in particular jaws) and he found 89 cases in 86 studies (68).

Chest involvement may lead to pleural effusion and chylothorax (64) if the lymphangiogenic effusion involves the pleural cavity or the thoracic duct. Chylothorax is an abnormal accumulation of chyle in the space between the lungs and chest cavity. Chylothorax has been reported to be the consequence of a duro-pleural fistula in a patient, and obviously, the symptoms were related to pleural fluid and the acuity of the fistula formation (69). Cytological and biochemical examinations are mandatory and have always revealed the presence of exudate with a moderate

### Table 2

| Clinical manifestations and symptoms of Gorham–Stout disease. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Orthopedic** | Pain |
| | Swelling |
| | Pathologic fractures |
| | Abence of bone/soft tissue consistency |
| | Bone deformities, kyphosis |
| **Neurologic** | Paraplegia |
| | Cerebrospinal fluid leakage (rhinorrhea, otorrhea, headache, migraine, nausea, vomiting) |
| | Hearing problems |
| **Temporo-mandibular joint** | Tinnitus |
| | Egophony |
| | Loose teeth |
| | Facial deformity |
| **Thorax** | Pleural or pericardial effusion |
| | Mediastinal mass |
| | Chylothorax |
| | Dyspnea |
| | Respiratory failure |
| **Cutaneous** | Lymphangiogenic malformations |
predominance of lymphocytes (70). Chylothorax is a quite common severe complication of this disease (25%) (13) and it can cause respiratory failure and consequently, death. Patients affected by chylothorax have been seen to have, generally, a worse outcome since this effusion may be relapsing and it may require frequent thoracentesis. Fatal outcome has been reported around 43.6% in patients with chylothorax, which is significantly more than in patients without it.

Lymphatic malformation affecting the mediastinum may lead to pericardial effusion or to the formation of a mediastinal mass. These findings are often associated with other lymphatic diffuse anomalies such as chylothorax (since the establishment mechanism is the same), lymphatic flow into the pericardial area or involvement of thoracic duct. However, these are rare findings in GSD (less than 10 cases reported in the literature), but they can be life-threatening (56, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78).

Cutaneous malformations are other clinical features observed in GSD. Several authors have mentioned these lesions in their patients, but no one specifically focuses attention on this aspect. Bruch Geharz et al in 2007 reported that in only five cases (16, 65, 79, 80, 81) out of the many described at that time were expressly reported cutaneous malformations, hypothesizing a possible role in anticipating diagnostic process. Since then, to the best of our knowledge, eight more cases of skin involvement have been reported in the literature with different manifestation going from verrucous lesions (82) to lymphangiogenic malformation with endothelial proliferation in dermis causing dark plaque, sometimes with cutaneous fistula formation (23).

Another organ often involved in lymphatic anomalies related to GSD is the spleen. In fact, many cases of asymptomatic splenic cyst have been reported in the literature. We did not consider this as a true complication of GSD since it is usually asymptomatic, but it can be taken into account in the diagnostic process (78).

GSD in childhood and adolescence

Gorham–Stout disease, as aforementioned, mainly affects children and young adults, with an average age at the diagnosis of 25 years old. More than half of the cases reported in the Supplementary Table 1 have been diagnosed under the age of 18. In particular, as far as we know from our systematic review, 80 cases have been diagnosed under the age of 10 and 79 more cases have been diagnosed between 11 and 18 years old. Clinical manifestations do not differ from the classical clinical picture. In children, the involvement of growing bones may appear serious, even if young children have more possibility to have a good outcome due to their plasticity (75, 83). Complication rates are comparable to the older population. In particular, we observed 40 cases of major complications in the first group (0–10 years old) and 38 major complications in the second one (10–18 years old). The most frequent are pleural effusion and chylothorax with 25 cases in the younger group and 29 cases in the older one. Unfortunately, 20 patients had fatal outcome; all of them had developed chylothorax during the course of the disease except for 3 patients. Of those 3 patients, one died of cervical spine compression, one died aged 65 years of severe depression and food refusal (84) and one died of septic shock (85).

Imaging

Radiological findings are essential for diagnosis, even if there are no specific imaging that definitively diagnose GSD, which is partly a diagnosis of exclusion.

Radiographs

Plain X-ray features depend on the stage of the lesion: initially X-rays show subcortical and intramedullary radiolucent foci (Fig. 1), but in a later stage of the disease, the classic pattern of osteolysis without osteosclerosis or periosteal reaction becomes evident and may appear as pathological fractures. Additionally, tubular bones undergo concentric shrinkage with a so-called ‘sucked candy’ appearance. These aspects usually lead to second-level exams such as CT scan or MRI (Fig. 2).

Computed tomography

The findings may be variable, but bone loss and its dissolution are usually observed (Fig. 3). CT scans can also be useful in showing the extent of soft tissue involvement. Vessel-shaped defects at the edge of osteolysis are sometimes observed.

Magnetic resonance images

The lesion appears hypointense in T1-weighted and hyperintense at T2-weighted MRI (86, 87). The osteolytic pattern is also confirmed on second-level examination and, especially if contrast is used, a soft mass substituting the reabsorbed bone with a reticular pattern may be observed. MRI can clearly show the vascular and/or lymphatic vessels within the bone, with contrast enhancement at the region of active ostolysis.

Bone scintigraphy

Bone scintigraphy mostly shows increased uptake in the areas with increased lymphatic and vascular proliferation and a decreased uptake at the osteolytic region of vanished bone. In 2009, Kobayashi et al evaluated for the first time the extension and the activity of the GSD using
99 mTc(V)-DMSA scintigraphy (88). Some years later, in 2015, Alves et al confirmed the usefulness of total body 99 mTc(V)-DMSA SPECT-CT in evaluating the activity, extension and multifocality of the disease (89).

Differential diagnosis

A diagnosis of GSD may be quite difficult and requires the exclusion of all the other potential causes of osteolysis such as cancer, infection, inflammation and hereditary diseases. The main disorders that have been reported in the differential diagnosis are lymphangiomatosis, multiple myeloma, lytic metastasis from an unknown primary tumor, Hajdu–Cheney syndrome, Paget’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, fibrous dysplasia, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, Winchester syndrome (type V of Hardegger classification), carpal tarsal osteolysis (type I–III of Hardegger classification), idiopathic multicentric osteolysis, multicentric osteolysis with nephropathy and eosinophilic granulomatosis. Blood tests are almost completely negative and are neither helpful for diagnosis nor for follow-up of the disease as there are no specific markers except for alkaline phosphatase (which may be slightly elevated) (14). Heffez et al proposed eight criteria that can be used for the diagnosis of GSD: (i) positive biopsy (angiomatous tissue with abnormal lymphatic channels and numerous osteoclasts – Fig. 4); (ii) absence of cellular atypia; (iii) minimal or no osteoblastic response and absence of dystrophic calcifications; (iv) evidence of local progressive bone resorption; (v) non-expansive, non-ulcerative lesion; (vi) absence of visceral involvement; (vii) osteolytic radiographic pattern and (viii) negative hereditary, metabolic, neoplastic, immunologic and infectious etiology (31).
Radiotherapy and medical treatments

Many treatments have been proposed since the first description of this disease. The results of the accurate systematic review of the literature were summarized in a diagnostic and treatment algorithm (Fig. 5).

Radiotherapy and medical treatments play a very important role in the management of the disease, and many pharmacological approaches have been tried during the past years. Moderate doses of radiotherapy have been often administered to treat this disease. Commonly, the total dose of radiation ranges between 30.6 and 45 Gy in 1.8/2 Gy fractions (8, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103). Radiotherapy can be used in association with surgery, pre- or post-operatively, to reduce the size of the lesion, stopping the angiogenesis and consequently the progression of osteolysis. Results are quite convincing: in 1993, Dunbar et al reviewed the literature confirming the successful effect of radiation therapy in achieving good clinical results if administered with aforementioned posology (30–40 Gy in 2 Gy fractions) (91). In 2011, Heyd et al published another review (up to 2009) with ten additional cases, confirming local control of the disease in 77.2% of the cases in the literature and in eight out of ten patients in their series (92). To the best of our knowledge, since the last literature review, nearly 22 further patients have been treated with radiation therapy, and in most of the cases, a stop of the angiogenesis has been achieved (8, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103). Concerns about the use of radiotherapy are later adverse effects including secondary malignancy and all the other complications related to its use (104), although only one case of radiation-induced sarcoma has been reported (105).

Another option to treat GSD is the pharmacological approach which can either be monotherapeutic or multi-targeted. Monotherapeutic approach has initially been tried with usually poor results. Therefore, the use of different drugs is preferred with more encouraging results. Many pharmacological approaches have been tried during the past years. Some of them have been used since the first case and are still used nowadays like bisphosphonates, vitamin D, calcium, corticosteroids and interferon α-2b. Other drugs such as octreotide, bevacizumab, propranolol, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, sunitinib, taxol, hydroxychloroquine, acetazolamide, calcitonin and bevacizumab have been used in patients unresponsive to the conventional ‘first line treatments’, but they did not lead to great results. Recently (2016), sirolimus (also known by the name of rapamicine) has been used with encouraging results and has never been abandoned since then. Sirolimus is an antibiotic belonging to the class of macrolides, which works as an immunosuppressant by inhibiting both cell proliferation and angiogenesis. Its action is to block IL-2 signaling pathway by inhibiting mTOR (mammalian target of rapamicine), a serine/threonine protein kinase that stimulates cell growth and angiogenesis. In 2016, Triana et al. reported a large study on the use of sirolimus on various vascular anomalies: Authors observed an overall successful response in 33 out of 41 patients (80.4%) and specifically 6/7 of the patients affected by GSD responded well (106). Since its first use, sirolimus has been used many other times with good results; in some cases, a decrease of more than a half of the size of the lesion has been observed (107, 108, 109, 110, 111).

Since the first report by Lagberg in 1997, another widely used pharmaceuticals are bisphosphonates. These drugs have anti-osteolytic activity inhibiting osteoclast-mediated bone resorption but does not promote the stop of angiogenesis or bone formation. This supports their association with RT or with interferon-alpha (Ifn-α) to stop angiogenesis, or with calcium or cholecalciferol to promote bone formation. Many different types of bisphosphonates have been used in the treatment of GSD. Zoledronic acid has been widely used by many authors and has been

---

Figure 4
Gorham–Stout disease. (A) Enlarged abnormal lymphatic channels vary in size with numerous osteoclasts (white arrow in higher magnification), (B) dilated vessels with thin walls, loss of cortex and bone trabeculae surrounded by fibrous tissue. Active osteoclasts (white arrow) are present.
administered intravenously with a dose of 4 mg/month. Clodronate, pamidronate and etidronate have been used as a monotherapy (7, 8, 21, 97, 109, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116), but more often, they have been administered in combination with other therapies such as RT (3, 23, 92, 97, 99, 100), Ifn-α (65, 93, 117, 118, 190, 120, 121, 122, 123), calcium and/or Vit D (19, 124, 125, 126, 127). A triple approach using a combination of biphosphonates with RT and Ifn-α has also been reported (95, 98).

Interferon α-2b (Ifn-a2b) is another pharmacological approach used in GSD for its anti-angiogenic effect aimed at reducing angiogenesis-based osteolysis. This drug has been commonly used for different types of leukemia and other tumors such as Kaposi sarcoma or as an adjuvant in melanoma. The first results date back to 1997 (117) when interferon α-2b was used for the first time to treat GSD in a patient who had previously undergone radiotherapy and simultaneously clodronate therapy. However, it was not clear whether the regression in the symptoms was due to the action of clodronate or Ifn-a2b. The first satisfying results attributable to the Ifn-a2b therapy are reported in a study in 2005 (128) on a 2 year old patient with multicentric osteolysis previously treated with local injection of ok-432 (lyophilized incubation mixture of group A Streptococcus pyogenes of human origin) and simultaneously treated with steroids pulse therapy, which was reported to be only partially effective. After the administration of Ifn-a2b (1.5 MU daily then reduced to 1.5 MU weekly), she had complete remission of the symptoms and almost total disappearance of the lesions. Ifn-a2b has been used many other times since then, usually combined with other treatments such as RT (94, 98, 129) or biphosphonates (65, 93, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123). Rarely, has it been tried as a monotherapeutic approach with Ifn-a2b but with variable results. In one case (65), the therapy was stopped and switched with sirolimus due to adverse reactions (fever, mild depression, fatigue); whilst, in another case, it was administered in monotherapy with good results (reduction in the size of the lesion and symptoms relief) (130).

Beta-blocking agent propranolol has been used only in few cases of GSD, with the rationale of downregulating the Raf mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathway lowering the expression of VEGF-A (81, 102, 111, 131, 132). However, more evidence has been found on the role of propranolol in lymphangiomatosis and in hemangiomatosis especially in young patients showing good effectiveness in reducing the size of the tumor (19, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136).
Surgical treatment

In GSD, surgery is mainly used to treat complications. The orthopedic surgeon is involved in case of pathological fracture, impending fracture or when the patient complains about impaired function, reduced mobility or hard pain. Surgical treatments have been used to reduce the size of the osseous lesion, to fill the affected area (137) or to completely remove the bone segment (91, 138) with consequent reconstruction with prostheses or bone grafts (3, 26, 93, 124, 139, 140, 141) (Fig. 6). Bone grafts are usually resorbed and vanish in phases of activity of the GSD (142). The longest surviving rate in bone grafts has been achieved using cortical homologous bone graft, which has lasted more than 20 years, according to a case–control study conducted by Turra et al. (143). Spinal lesions can also be managed surgically if the lesion leads to spinal instability, deformity or pain. Posterior spinal stabilization with screws and rods associated with decompression, or anterior stabilization with plate and screws to induce vertebral fusion, can be used in the most severe cases of cervical and thoracic deformities (101, 115, 117, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149). The use of vertebroplasty has to be considered when the spine is involved, but the osteolytic lesion does not lead to severe deformity or to major instability (98, 137). In spinal surgery, bone grafts are often used (114, 144, 150).

Surgery also plays a key role in thoracic complications, such as chylothorax or even minor pleural fluid leakage, which have been treated with pleural drainage (119, 149, 151, 152), pleurectomy, pleurodesis (64, 153, 154) and/or thoracic duct ligation or embolization (14, 27, 65, 155). In most of the cases, the best outcome has been obtained by combining these surgical techniques rather than using them in isolation (154, 156).

Conclusions

Gorham–Stout disease is an extremely rare bone disease characterized by progressive osteolysis with lymphatic and vascular proliferation. Diagnosis is challenging; once the GSD is suspected, the patient should be referred to a specialized center in musculoskeletal oncology or rare bone disease. Treatments and care are usually directed toward the specific symptoms and should require a multidisciplinary team approach with a long-term follow-up. Multimodal medical therapy with/without radiotherapy might be helpful in arresting bone lesion progression of GSD. Surgery has a role in stabilizing the disease or in the presence of complications (such as pathologic fracture, chylothorax or spinal complications). Chylothorax during the course of the disease represents the worst prognostic factor for survival.
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