Las Quínoas oncoids: a new deposit of microbialites in the Salar de Antofalla (Catamarca, Argentina)
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ABSTRACT. The Salar de Antofalla (salt flat) is located in the Puna region of Catamarca, in northern Argentina. In this paper we report and provide the first descriptive data of Las Quínoas, a modern system of oncoids located in the western margin of the salt flat. Oncoids were studied by insitu logging, polished and thin sections analysis. In addition, the 16s rRNA genes of microbial mats associated with these oncoids were amplified and sequenced to characterize the microbial biodiversity. Oncoids present discoidal to subrounded morphologies and sizes up to 15 cm in diameter. They are scattered along channels, which originate from the groundwater springs of a wetland and enter the salt flat. Its macrostructure is concentric and composed by three zones: 1. A nucleus zone built by clastic material in a carbonate matrix. 2. A well-laminated zone around the nucleus that shows two types of mesostructures: concentrically stacked spheroids (SS-C) and randomly stacked hemispheroids (SS-R), both showing an alternation of dense and dark micritic laminae with light micritic to microsparitic laminae. 3. A poorly-laminated zone, in the outermost sector of oncoids, with two types of mesostructures too: a laminated mesostructure composed also of an alternation of dense and dark micritic laminae with light micritic to microsparitic laminae, and a non-laminated mesostructure composed of agglomerated and cemented clastic material within a calcareous matrix (wackestones-packstones). Regarding the microbial diversity, the analyzed oncoids in this work are mainly inhabited by Proteobacteria (ca. 37.5%), Bacteroidetes (ca. 25.0%), and in less proportion Planctomycetes, Actinobacteria and Cyanobacteria.
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RESUMEN. Los oncoides de Las Quínoas: un nuevo depósito de microbialitos en el salar de Antofalla (Catamarca, Argentina). El salar de Antofalla se encuentra en la región de la Puna de Catamarca, en el norte de Argentina. En este artículo informamos y proporcionamos los primeros datos descriptivos de Las Quínoas, un sistema moderno de oncoides ubicado en el margen occidental de este salar. Ellos se estudiaron mediante registro in situ, análisis de secciones pulidas y delgadas. Además, los genes de ARNr 16s de tapices microbianos asociados con estos oncoides se amplificaron y secuenciaron para caracterizar la biodiversidad microbiana. Los oncoides presentan morfologías discoidales a subredondeadas y tamaños de hasta 15 cm de diámetro. Se encuentran dispersos a lo largo de canales, que se originan de...
1. Introduction

Microbialites have been defined as organo-sedimentary deposits formed by the interaction between benthic microbial communities and detrital and/or chemical sediments present in marine, coastal or lacustrine environments (Burne and Moore, 1987; Riding, 2008). The communities of microorganisms that have given rise to these deposits, not only were supposed to be the first life forms 3,600 million years ago, but they also have been dominant during eighty percent of the history of the Earth (Schopf, 1996).

The formation of microbialites is controlled by the interaction of physical, chemical and biological processes that take place in the same environment where they are found (Grotzinger and Knoll, 1999; Riding, 2008; Gómez et al., 2014). Different macro, meso and microstructures result of the interaction of microbial (intrinsic) and environmental (extrinsic) processes (Dupraz et al., 2011). Logan et al. (1964) emphasizes the importance of distinguishing between different types of microbialitic structures, since these respond to environmental factors and, therefore, are important for paleoenvironmental interpretation.

The organo-sedimentary structures that have laminated fabrics and grow adhered to the substrate are called “stromatolites”, while the non-adherent bodies with concentric lamination are called “oncolites or oncoïds” (Burne and Moore, 1987). The correct interpretation of these structures is potentially useful in the reconstruction of environmental events (Dupraz et al., 2006; Mercedes-Martín et al., 2014).

However, the distinction of primary biotic signatures in ancient geological deposits is generally hampered by taphonomic and geochemical changes that occurred during taphocenosis and post-depositional diagenesis. In the absence of direct biological evidence, the study of the microstructure can provide valuable information for the identification of microbial processes (Reid et al., 2000; Suárez-González et al., 2014). Additionally, the microstructure can be interpreted based on the study of modern analogues, where processes can be directly observed and secondary alteration is largely absent, facilitating the identification and differentiation of biological and abiotic controlled signatures.

Nowadays, modern analogs of microbialitic systems have been recognized in the Bolivia and Argentina Altiplano-Puna region and in the Atacama Desert in Chile: the stromatolites of Salar de Socompa and Laguna Negra (Argentina) (Farias et al., 2011; Gómez et al., 2014), microbialites from Laguna Tebenquiche and Laguna Brava (Chile) (Farias et al., 2014), or the microbialites described for the Holocene of the Altiplano-Puna in the region of Chile and Bolivia (Risacher and Eugster, 1979; Jones and Renault, 1994; Rouchy et al., 1996; Valero-Garcés et al., 2001). In the Puna region of Argentina, 22 microbial systems have been recognized to date. Of which, four have been surveyed in Salar de Antofalla, a salt flat in Catamarca province. One of them is registered in the sector known as Las Quinoas (Farias, 2017a).

In this work, we characterize and describe the area of deposit, external and internal morphologies and the main biological signatures of Las Quinoas oncoïds. This study constitutes the first scientific report on these oncoïds in the Salar de Antofalla, and along with other studies of modern oncoïds from different parts of the world, such as those located in the Canary Islands (Spain) (McMaster and Conover, 1966), Florida and the Bahamas (United States) (Gebelein, 1976), Bermuda and Grand Cayman Island (United Kingdom) (Gebelein, 1976;
Jones and Goodbody, 1985), Pastos Grandes (Bolivia) (Risacher and Eugster, 1979), Burgundy (France) (Verrecchia et al., 1997), Rios Mesquite (Mexico) (García-Pichel et al., 2004), Laguna Negra (Argentina) (Gómez et al., 2014), among others; it aims to provide information for the interpretation of ancient analogue ecosystems.

2. Geographical location and geological context

Las Quinoas oncoids deposit is located at 3,334 m a.s.l. (25°52’9.33” S, 67°54’24.68” W), in the western margin of Salar de Antofalla salt flat (Catamarca), at 2 km to the southeast of the village with the same name (Farias, 2017b) (Fig. 1A and B). The Salar de Antofalla basin has an area of approximately 500 km$^2$ (Fig. 1B) and was described for the first time by Alonso et al. (1984). It belongs to the geological province of Puna, which corresponds to the southern tip of the Altiplano Andino Central (Jordan and Mpodozis, 2006).

This region present an arid to semiarid climate, characterized by a solar irradiance at the salt flat with impinging UV-B flux reaching 10 W m$^{-2}$.

FIG. 1. Geographical location of the study area. A. Location of the study area (red circle) in the province of Catamarca, Argentina. B. Location of the study area (red circle) in the salt flat (Salar de Antofalla). C. Study area (dotted line) between the wetland to the west and the salt flat (Salar de Antofalla) to the east. Images created based on the Google Earth and Google Maps softwares.
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(Albarracín et al., 2016), average annual temperatures of 4.7 °C-5.3 °C (Conhidro, 2016) and great daily and seasonally temperature ranges (more than 30 ºC) producing daily water freezing and thawing. Annual rainfall reaches a total of 400-500 mm/year in the west and 100-200 mm/year in the east (Cajal, 1998), associated with the southern transport of moisture to the East of the Andes (Alonso et al., 2006). Seasonal changes can be abrupt. Although 80% of rainfall occurs in summer (January-February), but on inter-annual time scales this can be affected by the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon (Cajal, 1998).

A steep local relief caused by contractional tectonism, volcanic activity, and sluggish erosion due to an arid climate (Kraemer et al., 1999) characterized the Puna region. The Western Cordillera to the west and the Sierra de Calalaste to the east surround the Cenozoic endorheic salt flat basin. These ranges are mostly composed of deformed metamorphic rocks intruded by several generations of granitoids and aplites (Paleozoic). The crystalline basement is nonconformably overlain by unmetamorphosed siliciclastic sediments (Permian age) followed by a thick alternation of mudstones, sandstones and volcanic rocks, interbedded with coquina beds and oolitic limestones (Early Jurassic) (Alonso et al., 2006). From the Late Eocene to the Early Pliocene sedimentation was characterized by different succession of nonmarine clastic sediments intercalated with pyroclastic rocks and lavas.

The Salar de Antofalla basin is internally drained and contains thick sequences of Miocene to recent continental evaporites unconformably overlying Late Eocene to Miocene clastic deposits (Alonso et al., 2006; Kraemer et al., 1999). The evaporitic deposits that make up the salt flat consist essentially of chlorides and sulfates of sodium, calcium, potassium, magnesium and lithium. The brines contained in the salt flat are generally thought to result from thermal springs and the leaching of surrounding rocks by the infiltration and passage of meteoric water through them, leading to the formation of dilute inflow waters that subsequently concentrate by evaporation. The brines hosted in salt flat aquifers show a typical increase in concentration from their margins to the nucleus (Hongn and Seggiaro, 2001).

Around the margins of the salt flat, the drainage water is either close to the surface or in the form of open water lagoons (Houston et al., 2011). Fresh water inlets, from precipitation and/or melting ice, into the salt flat give rise to a complex mixed-fluid interaction area at the margins. Such is the case of Las Quinoas wetland, where groundwater discharges and forms channels which extend perpendicularly from the alluvial deposits into the salt flat. Oncoids are broadly distributed lengthwise the channels, although they are concentrated primarily at the end of the channels where the flows open up forming fan-shaped sand and salt deposits. Oncoids are subaerially exposed and are affected by seasonal wet-dry cycles and daily ice melting processes (Farías, 2017a, b).

In salt flat water bodies, carbonate precipitation and also dissolution, could be linked with the mixed-fluid interactions between hypersaline waters and more dilute fresh waters inputs. Several researches suggest that environmental factors like groundwater seepage, mixed-fluid interaction, strong evaporation and CO₂ degassing influenced the formation of microbialites (Gómez et al., 2014). Furthermore, it is also reported that, groundwater discharge supplies nutrients and dissolved ions as well as a lower salinity environment, which have an important effect on the living conditions of microorganisms (Moore, 1993; Houston et al., 2011; Gómez et al., 2014; Suosaari et al., 2016; Warden et al., 2019).

3. Methodology

During the year 2017, a seasonal survey of Las Quinoas oncoids was carried out. It initiated with the delimitation of the study area, through the analysis of satellite images with the free software Google Earth and digital images obtained with a drone.

An in situ mapping of Las Quinoas sedimentary and oncoids deposits was carried out, where the disposition, abundance and variations in the distribution of these deposits were determined. With the mapping, a systematic sampling of the oncoids was carried out.

In the channels where the oncoids are present, it was measured: air and water temperature, pH, conductivity, water velocity and dissolved oxygen. Eighteen water samples were obtained along the channels, in distal (wetland), middle and proximal (fan/dischage) location with respect the salt flat edge. Calcium, Magnesium, Chloride, Potassium and Sodium contents were determined by ion chromatography. For this, the methods SM 2340 B Ed. 22 (Total Hardness), SM 4110 B Ed. 22 (Chloride), and ISO...
14911: 1998 (Magnesium, Potassium, Sodium and Calcium) were followed. The chemical analyses were carried out by the company Grupo Induser SRL.

The oncoids samples collected were sent to the Centro de Investigaciones Geológicas de la Universidad de la Plata (CIG-UNLP-CONICET) for the preparation of thin and polished sections. The analysis of the biodiversity associated with oncoids, and the digital documentation of the hand samples and the polished sections, was carried out in the Laboratorio de Investigaciones Microbiológicas de Lagunas Andinas, which belongs to the Planta Piloto de Procesos Industriales Microbiológicos (LIMLA-PROIMI-CONICET). The thin sections were analyzed with a petrographic microscope at the Instituto Superior de Correlación Geológica (INSUGEO-UNT-CONICET), which has a built-in Leica DFC 420 camera for taking microphotographs.

The study of the oncoids was performed in three stages. In the first stage, spatial distribution and external morphology were analyzed based on field and laboratory data, including aspects such as distribution and area covered by the deposit, location and geomorphological relationships, general external appearance, color, contact types and diameters. The spatial distribution of the oncoids was delimited following the descriptive criteria proposed by McMaster and Conover (1966), Gebelein (1976) and Gómez et al. (2014).

For the characterization of the external morphology the classification proposed by Verrecchia et al. (1997) was used and complemented by concepts raised by Pettijohn et al. (1973), Jones and Goodbody (1985) and Tucker (2009). While the colors were described using the Munsell Soil Color Charts 2014 edition.

In a second stage, the internal morphology was studied in the laboratory following the traditional multiscale approach (e.g., Shapiro and Awramik, 2000; Vennin et al., 2015; Suárez-González et al., 2019), separately describing the macrostructure (i.e., outcrop), mesostructure (i.e., hand specimen) and microstructure (i.e., thin section) features.

For the description of macrostructure and mesostructure aspects such as types of lamination, textures, presence of clastic material, among others, were considered. This was done based on the classifications proposed by Logan et al. (1964), Aitken (1967), Riding (1977) and Mingxiang (2007); complemented by the works of McMaster and Conover (1966), Gebelein (1976), Cohen et al. (1997), Dexit (1984), Dahanayake et al. (1985), Lanes and Palma (1998) and Zanin et al. (2001).

Microstructure was analyzed studying parameters like types of laminae, microtexture, stacking, lateral and vertical continuity of the lamination, presence of hiatuses, porosity, among others. Description of the laminae was mainly based on the proposals of Ziai (1982), complementing the information with the concepts proposed by Sarjeant (1975), Monty (1976), Scholle (1978), Reid et al. (2003), Gómez et al. (2014) and Suárez-González et al. (2014); in order to characterize the lamination and interpret processes such as precipitation and accretion of carbonates. The description of the carbonates was carried out using the Dunham (1962) classification. While the percentage of porosity was estimated by point counting and its analysis was based on the classification proposed by Choquette and Pray (1970), the descriptive concepts of Alonso et al. (1987) and the genetic classifications proposed by Ahr et al. (2005, 2011).

The third stage consisted in the study of the biodiversity present in the superficial layers of microbialites. This study was done in the three zones that can be differentiated based on the textural and lithological characteristics of the oncoids (nucleus zone, well-laminated zone and poorly-laminated zone) (see results section). Nevertheless, in the nucleus zone, no organic useful remains for biodiversity studies were found. So the study focused on the superficial layers of the well-laminated zone and poorly-laminated zone because they are biologically active. A total of four samples were analyzed for each zone.

For the DNA extraction, the oncoids were submerged in PBS Buffer pH 7.5 supplemented with 15% NaCl and subsequently subjected to sonication through an ultrasonic bath for 30 min in the Ultrasonic Cleaner (testlab) equipment. This procedure was the most efficient to remove the cells from the surface of the oncoids. Then, the supernatant was collected and centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 g. Finally, the sediment obtained was used as a starting sample for the DNA extraction.

Total genomic DNA was isolated from the samples using the FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil™ (MP-Biomedicals) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. For the Preparation of DNA libraries, in the High-throughput DNA Sequencing, 2 μg of purified DNA was used in 50 μl of TE Buffer. The V3 and V4 hypervariable region of
the 16S rDNA gene was amplified using primers suggested by Klindworth et al. (2013): Bakt-341F and Bakt-805R. These primers work for amplifying both Bacteria and Archaea. The amplicons originated were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq instrument using a MiSeq reagent kit v2 (500 cycles).

Sequencing data were analyzed through QIIME 2 software (2018-2), following the tutorials provided by the QIIME developers (Caporaso et al., 2010). QIIME 2 use the DADA2 algorithm and generate a procedure with higher resolution in comparison to methodologies grouping steps to generate OTUs by similarity. The taxonomic analysis was performed with a customized classifier using the Silva database (No. 132, April 2018) trained with 16S rRNA genes grouped in OTUs with 97% similarity.

4. Results

4.1. Distribution of oncoids and external morphology

The oncoids of Las Quínoas are distributed in approximately 18 channels, which include a narrow belt that extends about 1,200 m in a north-south direction, in the transition area between a groundwater-fed wetland to the west and the salt flat (Salar de Antofalla) to the east (Figs. 1C, 2A and B). The wetland provides water flow into the salt flat and forms the channels (each of which are between 0.5 and 1.5 m wide) that extend perpendicularly an average distance of 10 m to 20 m (Fig. 2B and C). Las Quínoas present important daily thermal amplitude, which can reach 20 ºC in winter and 27 ºC in summer. Our measurements indicate that water in the channels can reach a maximum temperature of 18 ºC degrees and a minimum of -8 ºC (Table 1), generating daily freezing-thawing processes (Fig. 2D).

The oncoids are distributed along the channels, a greater concentration was observed towards the interior of the salt flat, where the flows open up forming fan-shaped sand and salt deposits. In relation to the position of the oncoids in the channels, a gradation was recognized regarding their sizes and shapes.

Based on their sizes and morphologies, two groups can be distinguished taking the descriptive criteria of Verrecchia et al. (1997) and Tucker (2009): One group represented by small sizes (diameters between 1 cm and 7 cm) with sub-rounded to rounded morphologies, and another group represented by larger oncoids (diameters of between 10 and 18 cm) with discoidal morphologies. The gradation regarding the size and shape of the oncoids was controlled by their position in the channels. Upstream and in the middle parts of the channels, sub-rounded oncoids of sizes smaller than 8 cm predominate (Fig. 2E). In these areas of the channels, water depth is approximately 15 cm.

Near the discharge area of the channels, in the salt flat -where the channels open in the form of fans- the size of the oncoids increases (they exceed 10 cm), as well as the presence of the discoidal shapes (Fig. 2F). In these areas the water depth is reduced to approximately 7 cm.

On the other hand, distinctive features of its external surface were observed (Fig. 3A), such as surfaces with a clastic texture given by the agglomeration of particles up to 0.2 cm in diameter (Fig. 3B) that are characterized by their high sphericity and by angular to subangular roundnesses (Pettijohn et al., 1973), with contacts of the tangent to full type (sensu Tucker, 2009). While other oncoids present sectors with relatively smooth or botroidal external surfaces (Fig. 3C). In general, the oncoids are hardly internally cemented, with an average density of 2.364 g/cm$^3$ and an external yellowish coloration (5 Y 7/6, Munsell Color System).

4.2. Internal morphology

4.2.1. Macrostructure

Las Quínoas oncoids have an internal concentric macrostructure, with lamination around a nucleus. This macrostructure is not homogeneous, because presents textural and lithological variations. Within the oncoids three zones can be differentiated based on their textural and lithological characteristics. A nucleus zone made up of various lithologies in the central part of the sample, a well-laminated zone where the lamination is continuous around the nucleus, and a poorly-laminated zone in the external part of the sample where the lamination
is interrupted by the presence of clastic material (Fig. 4A).

4.2.2. Mesostructure

The Nucleus zone of the oncoids is well defined and has irregular morphologies, with diameters not exceeding 8 cm (Fig. 4B). Two types of nuclei are distinguished:

Type 1 nuclei: They have diameters between 0.5 cm and 3 cm. They are composed of sub-rounded, less than 0.1 mm-sized, clastic particles (including rock fragments, carbonate crusts and micritic intraclasts), immersed in a homogeneous micritic matrix (Fig. 4C).

Type 2 nuclei: They have diameters between 3 cm and 8 cm, and are composed by rounded to
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sub-rounded mini-oncoids (named for their millimeter-scale size), immersed in a calcareous matrix, and accompanied by rock fragments, carbonate crusts and even reworked micritic intraclasts like nuclei type 1 (Fig. 4D).

The well-laminated zone can reach a thickness of up to 5 cm and is characterized by an alternation of calcareous laminae (Fig. 5A). The lamination is laterally continuous and is densely packed (Fig. 5B) with occasional presence of clastic material (Fig. 5C). This zone shows two types of mesostructures: Lamination is continuous around the nucleus and represented by stacked concentric laminae that gives rise to what Logan et al. (1964) define as concentrically stacked spheroids (SS-C) (Fig. 5D). Outwards, lamination show different orientation,

### TABLE 1. WATER CHEMICAL COMPOSITION ALONG THE CHANNELS.

| Parameter     | Distal     | Center    | Proximal   |
|---------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| pH            | 7.01       | 7.56      | 7.99       |
| Temperature   | -8 °C to 18 °C | -8 °C to 18 °C | -8 °C to 18 °C |
| Calcium       | 7.9 mg/l   | 493 mg/l  | 32,100 mg/l|
| Magnesium     | <0.3 mg/l  | 62.3 mg/l | 6,790 mg/l |
| Total Hardness| 20.7 mg/l  | 1,490 mg/l| 108,000 mg/l|
| Chloride      | 12.9 mg/l  | 2,800 mg/l| 219,000 mg/l|
| Potassium     | 1.1 mg/l   | 35 mg/l   | 5,130 mg/l |
| Sodium        | 45.9 mg/l  | 1,190 mg/l| 83,600 mg/l|

Chemical composition, pH and temperature of water in the distal (wetland), middle and proximal (fan/discharge area) areas of the channels. The calcium, magnesium, chloride, potassium and sodium concentration and total hardness of the water are show in the different segments of the channels. From the wetlands area to the channels fan, a significant increase in the content of the elements is observed.

FIG. 3. External morphologies and sizes. A. Collected oncoids with different sizes and morphologies. B. Oncoid with subrounded to discoidal morphology. External surface with clastic texture formed by the agglomeration of particles up to 0.2 mm in diameter. C. Oncoid with discoidal morphology. External surface shows smooth texture.
partially overlapping previous laminae (Fig. 5E), which gives rise to what Logan et al. (1964) denominated randomly stacked hemispheroids (SS-R).

The lamination can be exposed and truncated on the surface of the oncoid, due to effects of erosion or lack of sedimentary accretion. In these cases, the oncoid acquire an almost smooth external morphology (Fig. 5F). Well-laminated zone can be recognized in all the oncoïds of this deposit, with more or less development, regardless of its position in the channel (Fig. 6).

The poorly-laminated zone, developed in the external sectors of the largest oncoïds, can reach a thickness of up to 9 cm. This zone shows two types of mesostructures, which alternate with each other: On the one hand, a mesostructure composed of poorly-developed irregular laminae, describing concave and convex structures, often interrupted laterally by the presence of clastic material (Fig. 7A). When these sectors of discontinuous lamination are superficially exposed, the oncoïds acquire external botroidal morphology (Fig. 7B). On the other hand a non-laminated mesostructure composed by the agglomeration and cementation of clastic material together with mini-oncoïds immersed in a calcareous matrix that could be classified as wackestones-packstones (Dunham, 1962) (Fig. 7C).
The clasts vary in their composition (volcanic rock fragments, carbonate crusts and micritic intraclasts) and do not exceed one millimeter in diameter, while the mini-oncoids reach diameters greater than 1.25 mm and have rounded to sub-rounded morphologies. When this mesostructure is exposed superficially, the oncoid displays an irregular external morphology (Fig. 7D). Poorman-laminated zone can be recognized only in the oncoids of the channels proximal (fan/discharge) area (Fig. 6).

4.2.3. Microstructure

Nuclei of type 1 and type 2 are of sedimentary origin, composed of poorly selected clastic material...
and mini-oncoids immersed in a micritic matrix (Fig. 8A). In type 1 the clasts are smaller than 0.1 mm and can be composed of rock fragments, carbonate crusts and even reworked micritic intraclasts (Fig. 8B).

In the type 2 nuclei, in addition to clastic material, mini-oncoids are also present (Fig. 8A and C). These mini-oncoids present sizes up to 0.25 mm and spherical to discoidal morphologies. Its internal structure is concentric, characterized by the alternation of dark micritic laminae with clear microsparitic laminae around a detrital nucleus (Fig. 8C). Some mini-oncoids only present micritic lamination (Fig. 8C).

The porosity observed in the nucleus zone is mainly non-selective, mostly of the intragranular and intraclastic type, and to a lesser extent moldic type. It is also observed to a lesser extent by selective fabric porosity of the intergranular type (Choquette and Pray, 1970) (Fig. 8D). In type 1 nuclei porosity is approximately 10% to 15%, while in type 2 nuclei increases to about 25% to 35%.

The edges of the nucleus zone show evidence of transport and erosion, since the structures that make up the nucleus (e.g., mini-oncoids) are cut and the lamination of the well-laminated zone grows over a previously eroded and transported surface (Fig. 8D).

In the well-laminated zone, both the SS-C and the SS-R mesostructure have an “alternating lamination” microstructure (sensu Monty, 1976) characterized by the interbedding of dense and dark micritic laminae with light micritic to microsparitic laminae (Fig. 9A). However, in some sectors, isolated clotted-micritic or sparitic laminae are observed (Fig. 9B). The laminae are continuous and wavy, and their thicknesses are less than one millimeter. Laminae boundaries are sharp, and conform what is described as a tight texture (sensu Sarjeant, 1975) (Fig. 9A and B).

In the SS-R mesostructure, the laminae can be grouped in packages of different orientation separated by discontinuities. In several cases it is observed how the packages are interrupted, and a new lamination package grows overlapping with a new direction (Fig. 9C).

The porosity in the well-laminated zone does not exceed 10%. It is represented mainly by fenestral
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pores type with irregular and elongated morphology (Choquette and Pray, 1970) (Fig. 9D). In addition, non-selective vug and moldic porosity (Choquette and Pray, 1970) are observed.

The microstructure of the poorly-laminated zone is composed by the alternation of dense and dark micritic laminae, with lighter micritic to microsparitic laminae, similar to the well laminated zone. The laminae have high sinuosity and are interrupted laterally (Fig. 10A and B). As we move away from the nucleus of the oncoids, the lamination becomes more irregular and discontinuous.

Non-laminated areas are composed of poorly sorted clastic material, immersed in a micritic matrix. They can be considered as wackestones-packstones based on Dunham’s classification (1962). The clasts size is smaller than 0.1 mm and may be composed of mono-mineral particles, rock fragments, reworked micritic intraclasts and mini-oncoids (Fig. 10C).

The mini-oncoids are up to 0.25 mm in diameter, and show subspherical to discoidal morphologies. In the case of mini-oncoids, their internal structure is concentric, characterized by the alternation of dark dense micritic laminae with translucent micritic to microsparitic laminae around a detrital nucleus (Fig. 10D). Porosity in the poorly-laminated zone not exceeds 20% of the surface of the thin section. Non-selective fabric porosity of vug and moldic type, and selective fabric of intergranular type are observed (Choquette and Pray, 1970) (Fig. 10D).

4.3. Biodiversity studies

The results presented below correspond to the superficial laminae of the well-laminated zone and

FIG. 7. Mesostructure of poorly-laminated zone. A. Area with sinuous lamination, describing concave and convex structures. The lamination present lateral interruptions. B. External morphology of botroidal type (S) product of the surface exposure of the sinuous lamination. C. Sectors without lamination (wackestones-packstones), conformed by detrital material (pointed by blue arrows), and mini-oncoids (Mo) with concentric internal lamination, immersed in a calcareous matrix. D. External morphology with clastic texture product of the surface exposure of this area.
poorly-laminated zone. However, there are not differences in the DNA composition of these two zones. In the nucleus zone no organic useful remains for biodiversity studies were found.

Studies show a high microbiological diversity in Las Quínoas oncoids. The taxonomic classification reveals that they are mainly inhabited by Proteobacteria (ca. 37.5%), Bacteroidetes (ca. 25.0%) and in less proportion Planctomycetes, Actinobacteria and Cyanobacteria (Fig. 11). In regard of majority Class the most abundant corresponds to Alphaproteobacteria (ca. 25.0%), Bacteroidia (ca. 18.0%) and Gammaproteobacteria (ca. 13.0%). Despite using primers that amplify sequences of both bacteria and archaea (Klindworth et al., 2013), the sequences obtained belonging to archaea are less than 1% abundance.

5. Discussion

5.1. Distribution of oncoids and external morphology

In general, oncoids usually limit their spatial distributions to restricted local areas, within a sedimentary environment (Dahanayake et al., 1985; Jones and Goodbody, 1985; Gómez et al., 2014). These spatial limitations are conditioned in most cases by extrinsic parameters such as the energy of the environment, water chemistry and influx of sediments, among other (Grotzinger and Knoll, 1999). The case of Las Quínoas is not an exception, since its oncoids are formed along channels and small fans, product of the hydrochemistry, sediment input and the microbial activity. The location of groundwater springs, the climatic influence (wide daily thermic
Las Quínoas oncoids: a new deposit of microbialites in the Salar de Antofalla (Catamarca, Argentina)

Amplitudes and summer rainfall, hail and snow (from December to April) in the local hydrology and sedimentology, and the salt flat position, along with the location of water mixing areas, control the mineral saturation for the development of oncoids. This suggests a clear association between these microbialites and the groundwater supply areas, as indicated for analogous deposits (Risacher and Eugster, 1979; Jones and Renault, 1994; Gómez et al., 2014).

The channels exert control over the growth of oncoids. First, the chemical conditions of the water in the channels, with a progressive enrichment in Ca and Mg towards the salt flat (Table 1), together with relatively neutral pH, generate an optimal system for carbonate precipitation and the growth of oncoids (Gómez et al., 2014). On the other hand, the water level in the channels will also play an important role in controlling the growth of oncoids, since the air/water interface will limit their growth space.

Based on this, we can suggest that oncoids with sub-rounded morphologies have formed in the distal and central part of the channels, below the water/air interface, favored by their chemical conditions (Fig. 6). As the oncoids are transported along the channels in the direction of the salt flat, and remain below the water/air interface, their size increases as a result of the mineral precipitation and/or trapping and binding of clastic material. This transport is episodic due to seasonal changes in water streams and processes like daily water freezing and thawing (Fig. 6).

When the size of the oncoids reaches the point where the hydrodynamic energy of the system is insufficient to continue with its transport, and the water level (depth) limits the vertical growth, the oncoids grow laterally and suffer asymmetric thickening (Shi and Chen, 2006) product of contributions and/or preferential precipitation below the air-water interface (Gómez et al., 2014). This gives rise to overgrowth and development of discoidal shapes.
and larger sizes, mainly in the discharge area of the channels in the salt flat (channels proximal area) where the availability of Ca in the water is also higher that in the central a distal areas (Fig. 6 and Table 1).

5.2. Internal morphology

The internal structure of Las Quínoas oncoids is variable, and it is the product of a combination of environmental (physical-chemical) and biological agents during their growth as it has been interpreted by Gómez et al. (2014) in other present-day oncoids from Laguna Negra. Because of its internal macrostructure we can classify these oncoids as simple C-type (concentric), or simply referred to as concentric, according to the works of Aitken (1967) and Mingxiang (2007).

Concentric morphology could be favored by episodic rotations or suspensions by water freezing-thawing. However, Jones and Renault (1994) suggest that none of these factors is necessary for concentric growth. The accumulation in the lower parts can occur through a porous substrate, literally raising the oncoids on the substrate (Jones and Renault, 1994). This is a possible mechanism for Las Quínoas oncoids due to the low-energy hydrodynamic conditions in the channels.

In the growth of this oncoids, different stages can be recognized. These start with a nucleus (described as nucleus zone), with later growth characterized by the development of well- and poorly-laminated zones. Initially, the nucleus is formed by rock fragments, carbonate crusts and micritic intraclasts, together with mini-oncoids (for example in the nuclei type 2). These elements were previously reworked and then cemented into a carbonate matrix, forming the aggregates that characterize the nucleus of most oncoids. On the other hand, the edges of the

FIG. 10. Microphotograph (polarized light) of the poorly-laminated zone. A-B. The microstructure of the poorly-laminated zone composed by the alternation of dense and dark micritic laminae, with lighter micritic to microsparitic laminae. The laminae have high sinuosity and are interrupted laterally. C. The non-laminated sectors are composed of elasic material with a poor degree of selection, immersed in a matrix of micritic composition. The clasts are less than 0.1 mm and may be composed of minerals, rock fragments and reworked micritic intraclasts. D. Presence of mini-oncoids (Mo), vug porosity (Pv), intragranular porosity (Pi) and moldic porosity (Pm).
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The nucleus zone suggest that the nuclei could have been occasionally transported prior to the development of the well-laminated zone. These can be show at its edges, where the structures that make up the nucleus (e.g., mini-oncoids) are cut and the lamination of the well-laminated zone grows over a previously eroded and transported surface (Fig. 8D).

Around the nucleus a well-laminated zone is generated. Its growth begins in the most distal part of the channels and continue inside, due to the progressive increasing in Ca and Mg as well as carbonate saturation in the water of the channels, product of the mixing with the brine of the salt flat and/or the dissolution of carbonates and sulfates as the water course advances into de the salt flat (Fig. 6 and Table 1). As the oncoids grows, the well laminated zone is developed, where carbonate precipitation is triggered due to progressive evaporation and CO₂ degassing along the flow path, as commonly observed in similar systems (Gómez et al., 2014; Beeler et al., 2020).

The mesostructure in the well-laminated zone varies from concentrically stacked spheroids (SS-C) (closer to the nucleus) to randomly stacked hemispheroids (SS-R) (further from the nucleus). As has been interpreted by Boidi et al. (2020) in other present-day oncoids from Laguna Negra, the internal laminae microstructure and textural variations are probably triggered by local environmental changes (e.g., summer rainfall) as well as changes in microbial influence during lamina precipitation and accretion. The SS-C and the SS-R have the same microstructure (alternation of dark micritic and light micritic to microsparitic laminae), so a possible explanation for the overlapping overgrowths that generate the SS-R mesostructure is lamina accretion during episodic in situ oncoid rotation by cryoturbation-related processes (Gómez et al., 2014; Boidi et al., 2020). However, other environmental factors originated by seasonal and daily variations, such as episodic changes in the water energy producing rotation together with variations in the local hydrochemical conditions (fluctuating carbonate saturation levels), cannot be ruled out.

The microtexture of the laminae (dark micritic and light micritic to microsparitic) suggests that the growth of the oncoids is controlled by the insitu precipitation of carbonate (Reid et al., 2000), influenced by the microbial activity (well-developed biofilms) as has been interpreted by Gómez et al. (2014) in other
present-day oncoids from Laguna Negra. Nevertheless, episodic changes in the nucleation and crystal growth stages during carbonate crystallization processes may generate the alternation between micritic and microporamic laminae (Riding, 2000; Dupraz et al., 2009). This may occur due to different processes that can influence crystal nucleation and growth, such as changes in the precipitation rate, changes in oversaturation, fluctuation of carbonate and calcium concentration and level of organic activity (Hu et al., 2012; Giuffre et al., 2013; Dobberschütz et al., 2018; Li and Jun, 2019). On the other hand, isolated clotted-micritic laminae may be due localized microcrystalline carbonate nucleation associated to organic matrix (within biofilms) as well as related with the clastic contribution of sporadic events like winds or storms, while sparite-associated laminae may be due to desiccation episodes triggering oversaturation and higher precipitation rates.

Occasionally were the oncoids are partially subaerially exposed, preferential growth below the air-water or at sediment-water interface produce lateral protrusions developing discoidal morphologies. This preferential lateral growth is represented by a poorly-laminated zone, which cover the well-laminated zone (Fig. 6). The poorly-laminated zone developed under a greater detrital sediments contribution when compared with the well-laminated zone. Locally, its mesostructure shows the growth of sinuous, irregular and laterally discontinuous laminae (with the same microtexture as in well-laminated zone), developed over preexisting irregularities, product of the incorporation of clastic material during laminae accretion. Elsewhere, the content of clastic material increases and prevents the formation of well-developed or continuous laminae, giving rise to a wackestones-packstones microstructure. The sedimentary contribution is regulated mainly by two factors: i) the carbonate supersaturation in the water, which influences the precipitation of micrite and controls the generation of calcareous particles (mini-oncoids, carbonate scabs, etc.), and ii) the contribution of clastic material, possibly regulated by external climatic agents, such as increases in the hydrodynamic energy, sporadic storms or wind.

The types of pores present in the oncoids are diverse. However, applying the genetic classification proposed by Ahr et al. (2005, 2011), a depositional origin is suggested, possibly favored by the circulation of fluids. The exception to this would be fenestral porosity, that may be due by two processes acting individually or together: i) a parallel shrinkage to the lamination product of the desiccation thereof (Alonso et al., 1987), or ii) the release and calcification of gas bubbles (due to the putrefaction of the organic matter that composes the oncoids) as well as the voids left after organic matter degradation (Sanz-Montero et al., 2005).

5.3. Biodiversity studies

The growth and development of microbialites is controlled in part by intrinsic factors (microbial mat), which may be represented by a wide variety of microbial organisms such as bacteria, archaea, cyanobacteria and algae among others (Dupraz et al., 2006; Riding, 2008). These kinds of ecosystems constitute a natural laboratory for exploring and monitoring in situ interactions between the physical background and the dynamics of biodiversity; knowing the microbial biodiversity and its possible metabolic pathways, better interpretations about global morphogenesis of the microbialites and the lithification process can be done (Dupraz et al., 2006; Suosaari et al., 2016).

In terms of bacterial composition, the oncoids of Las Quinoas have a greater abundance of Bacteroidetes, and Proteobacteria (Fig. 11), these results agree with our previous studies where these bacterial phyla appear to be dominant in ecosystems such as: microbialites, microbial mats and sediments from high-altitude Andean lakes (Albarracin et al., 2015; Farias et al., 2014, 2017; Solon et al., 2018; Toneatti et al., 2017; Rasuk et al., 2016).

Bacteroidetes could have a key role in macromolecules degradation (particularly extracellular polymeric substances or EPS) (Rudivindy et al., 2016; Marvasi et al., 2012). The EPS degradation is assumed to be a critical step in carbonate precipitation. On the other hand, Anoxygenic phototrophs like Alphaproteobacteria, Gammaphroteobacteria, and Chloroflexi are widely abundant in the surface of oncoids (Fig. 11). This anoxygenic phototrophic metabolism could favor precipitating carbonate minerals (Vissher and Stolz, 2005). Sulfur oxidizers like Gammaphroteobacteria were well represented, with close to 12% relative abundance (Fig. 11). Their role in carbonate dissolution has been studied in this kind of system where its metabolism could help in the balance of mineral precipitating and
mineral dissolution processes, potentially resulting in lithification (Vissher and Stolz, 2005; Vissher et al., 1998; Farias et al., 2017). Others phylum present are Planctomycetes, little known and relatively uncharacterized phylogenetic group, are able to perform anaerobic ammonium oxidation, perhaps is fulfilling a significant role that might have implications in the sediment and atmosphere nitrogen cycle (van Niftrik and Jetten, 2012).

On the other hand, there was low abundance of Cyanobacteria (ca. 4%) habiting oncoits of Las Quinoas, while the oncoits of Rio Mesquites in Mexico have 76% of Cyanobacteria, being the oncoits with greater presence of Cyanobacteria (44% of them belonging to order Nostocales) (Garcia-Pichel et al., 2004). The low abundance of Cyanobacteria can be understood due to their high sensitivity to environmental stresses such as UV radiation and high salt concentration (Cabrol et al., 2009; Albarracin et al., 2015), suggesting that they might not be playing an essential role related to carbon fixation and as producers of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS).

6. Conclusions

Las Quinoas is characterized by rounded to sub-rounded and discoidal oncoits, distributed along channels and small fans, in a transition area between Las Quinoas wetland and the salt flat (Salar de Antofalla). The development of the oncoits is controlled mainly by the degree of carbonate supersaturation in the water and if this supersaturation is sustained over time. However, the water depth and flow energy along the channels play an important role limiting oncoits growth, together with an episodic transport.

The internal mesostructure of Las Quinoas oncoits it’s concentric and three zones can be defined: nucleus zone, well-laminated zone and a poorly-laminated zone.

The growth begins from a nucleus zone, formed by lithic fragments, carbonate crusts and micritic intraclasts, sometimes together with mini-oncoits. These elements were reworked and cemented into a carbonate matrix, forming aggregates to give origin to the nucleus, that later can be transported.

Around the nuclei, the well-laminated zone is develops composed by an alternation of dense and dark micritic laminae with light micritic to microsparitic laminae. As the oncoits are transported along the channels, well-laminated zone increases as a result of the mineral precipitation and/or trapping and binding. This transport is episode due to seasonal changes in water streams and processes like daily water freezing and thawing and throughout this the mesostructure of this well-laminated zone varies from concentrically stacked spheroids (SS-C) to randomly stacked semispheroids (SS-R).

In channels proximal area (fan/discharge), the hydrodynamic energy is insufficient to continue with the oncoits transport, and the water level limits the vertical growth. In this area, favored by the sedimentary contribution, the poorly-laminated zone of the oncoits grows laterally suffering asymmetric thickening. Locally, the mesostructure of the poorly-laminated zone shows sinuous, irregular and discontinuous laminae (with a comparable microtexture as in well-laminated zone). Occasionally, the content of clastic material episodically increases and prevents the formation of continuous or regular laminae as shown by zones with abundant trapped detrital material.

Biodiversity analysis reveals that the oncoits are habited mostly by Proteobacteria (ca. 37.5%), Bacteroidetes (ca. 25.0%), and in less proportion Planctomycetes, Actinobacteria and Cyanobacteria. The microbial taxonomy of the oncoits present in Las Quinoas is dominated almost entirely by bacteria. The abundance of some microorganism not easily assigned to known groups highlights the potential of these systems regarding the presence of the poly-extremophiles organisms in terms of new metabolisms controlling mineralization processes and their biotechnological applications.
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