Pediatric penetrating keratoplasty and graft rejection: experience at the Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez
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Abstract

Background: Penetrating keratoplasty (PK) is a challenging surgical ocular procedure indicated for some pediatric patients with vision-threatening corneal disease damage. Pediatric PK is reserved for cases with advanced pathology or dysfunction as rejection and failure rates greatly supersede the rates of adult PK. The objective was to identify factors associated with graft rejection and failure amongst Mexican children undergoing primary PK for different indications. Methods: A retrospective review of consecutive pediatric PK medical records was conducted between 2001 and 2015 at the Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez. Graft survival was calculated using the Kaplan–Meier survival method. Results: During the 15 years of study, 67 eyes of 57 patients underwent PK. The mean age at the time of surgery was 11 years. The mean follow-up duration was 44 months. Keratoconus was the most common indication for PK (61%) followed by herpetic keratitis (15%) and other corneal dystrophies (10%). Mean graft survival time was 45.6 months (95% confidence interval 31.8-58.4 months, standard deviation = 0.069), with a survival rate of 70% at 1 year. Univariate Cox proportional hazard showed that being < 9 years of age at the time of surgery (p = 0.023) and corneal dystrophies (p = 0.04) were prognostic factors for corneal rejection. Five eyes of five patients developed graft failure. Conclusions: Pediatric PK patients commonly experience graft rejection, which need to be promptly diagnosed and treated to minimize permanent damage. The age at the time of surgery and congenital corneal opacities is factors associated with a higher risk of rejection and failure.
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Queratoplastia penetrante pediátrica y rechazo corneal: experiencia en el Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez

Resumen

Introducción: La queratoplastia penetrante (QP) es un procedimiento quirúrgico indicado en algunos pacientes pediátricos con daño corneal con riesgo de fracaso que amenaza la visión. El objetivo del presente trabajo fue identificar los factores asociados a rechazo y fallo del injerto corneal en niños sometidos a QP. Métodos: Serie de casos de pacientes sometidos a QP en el Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez entre 2001 y 2015. La sobrevida del injerto fue calculada por el
Introduction

Penetrating keratoplasty (PK) refers to the surgical procedure in which full-thickness cornea is replaced by donated cadaveric corneal tissue. Although many modifications have been added to the PK procedure since the first successful corneal graft performed by Zirm in 1905 in Moravia1, PK continues to pose technical and viability/survival challenges in children compared with the same procedure performed in adults. Several factors account for the poorer PK prognosis in children, which are classified as follows:

1. Pre-operative: Irreversible eye malformations, which will end with guarded visual prognosis and morbidity2, leading to deep amblyopia
2. Intraoperative: Technically more difficult surgery due to low scleral rigidity, shallow anterior chamber, and positive vitreous pressure3
3. Post-operative: Difficult post-operative communication of reduced visual acuity, suture-related complaints, higher rates of graft rejection, and other post-operative problems such as glaucoma4-7.

Hence, a better comprehension of rejection and failure-associated factors can improve futures outcomes.

The objective of this study was to analyze PK graft rejection and graft failure prognostic factors as age at surgery, corneal graft indication (host pathology), and the presence of glaucoma in a tertiary referral pediatric center Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez (HIMFG) in Mexico City.

Methods

The same researcher retrospectively analyzed every pediatric patient who underwent PK between 2001 and 2015 at the HIMFG. The Institutional Review Board and the Ethics Committee approved the study, which was performed following the tenets of the declaration of Helsinki. All donor corneas were obtained through the National Transplant Center coordination (CENATRA: www.gob.mx/cenatra) from different public hospitals. The same researcher evaluated the cases. PK for congenital corneal opacities was indicated when opacity was central over 5 mm and full corneal thickness; for acquired non-traumatic, when they had best-corrected visual acuity worse than 20/80 or contact lens intolerance, at least 6 months without infectious associated inflammation; for traumatic corneal opacities, after 6 months without inflammatory signs.

Surgical procedures were performed under general anesthesia. Briefly, a Flieringa ring was placed, and a 0.5 mm oversize graft was used, as well as 10/0 nylon 16 interrupted sutures. Subsequently, careful removal of viscoelastic and a post-operative steroid treatment every 2 h for 2 weeks was administered, with a slow tapering off regime. Topical prednisolone acetate drops were changed to fluorometholone 6 months postoperatively.

All patients had the same post-operative follow-up. Post-operative visits were scheduled as follows: day 1, after surgery; day 3, once a week for the 1st month, once a month for the 1st year, every 3 months for the 2nd year, and every 4-6 months for the subsequent years. After each post-operative visit, visual acuity, graft clarity, intraocular pressure, signs of infection or rejection, and suture status were evaluated and reported. Examination under anesthesia was performed when necessary or in uncooperative patients. The suture that loosened before the 4-week post-operative period was replaced. Selective suture removal was done for suture-related problems or visual rehabilitation.

The following variables were retrospectively analyzed: corneal opacity of various etiologies, underlying pathologies, age at the first or second PK, associated ocular surgeries, presence of glaucoma, and coexistence of other eye pathology diseases. PK indications...
in the pediatric population were divided as follows: congenital corneal opacities (corneal dystrophies and anterior segment dysgenesis), acquired non-traumatic (keratoconus, corneal scars from infectious keratitis), and traumatic corneal opacities.

Corneal graft rejection may occur in any corneal layer, and even several during the life-span of the patient, although it is known to be more common during the 1st years after surgery. Clinical findings include epithelial or endothelial rejection line, stromal inflammation band, anterior chamber reaction, keratic precipitates, and increased corneal thickness. If it is diagnosed in early stages and treated appropriately, it could be free of sequels and graft transparency could be achieved, even though the patient has had more than one episode. Graft failure is common after an episode of rejection, mostly when it was not diagnosed in the early stages or was defined as the irreversible loss of central graft clarity, despite systemic and topical treatment of at least 3 months.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were evaluated by descriptive statistics using percentages. For continuous variables, mean and range were used. A risk assessment was performed with Mantel–Cox tests for corneal opacity requiring PK and age groups. p < 0.05 value was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with Stata 14 software (Stata Corp, College Station, Texas, USA).

**Results**

During the 14 years analyzed, sixty penetrating PKs were performed. Three patients were excluded from the study due to lack of follow-up and only 57 patients were analyzed; two-thirds were male (63%). From these patients, 47 underwent unilateral PK and 10 had bilateral PK, with an average of 1.6 years between each surgical procedure. The mean age at the time of surgery was 11 years (range 9 months to 18 years). The mean follow-up was 3.8 years. The most common indication for PK was keratoconus in 42 patients (63%) followed by herpetic interstitial keratitis in 10 patients (15%) and corneal dystrophies in seven patients (9%) (Fig. 1).

The most frequent complication found was corneal rejection; 31 patients (54%) had at least one rejection episode, of which 80% was satisfactorily resolved with topical steroid drop management. Patients younger than 9 years old presented earlier rejection compared with the other two groups of age (p = 0.023, confidence interval [CI] 95% 0.17-0.87). Half of graft rejections occurred during the first 3 years after PK (Fig. 2). Patients with congenital opacities present more frequent and earlier rejection compared with keratoconus and herpetic keratitis (p = 0.04, CI 95% 1.06-17.14). Graft rejection was frequent during the first 3 years after surgery (Fig. 3). The survival rate at 5 years for PK was 45.6% (95% CI 31.8-58.4 months, standard deviation = 0.069) with a 1-year survival rate of 70% (Fig. 4). Half of graft rejections occurred during the first 3 years after surgery; only in one patient had the rejection occurred 6 years after the PK. Five patients developed graft failure, which showed poor prognostic factors. No association was observed between gender, corneal rejections rate, and underlying systemic diseases with corneal failure. However, it should be noticed that most of these patients were younger than 9 years and poor
prognoses such as delayed psychomotor development and secondary glaucoma (Table 1). One of these patients (patient 3) underwent a secondary PK.

Discussion

PK remains one of the most challenging corneal surgeries for the technical difficulties involved and the worse prognosis, at least in adult population\(^1\). Although the indications of PK in children are similar to those of adults\(^1\), the visual prognosis depends on multiple factors such as etiology and age at the time of surgery\(^2\).

The pediatric graft survival rate in children is lower than in adults. Reports by Dana et al. showed a series of 164 pediatric PK with a survival rate of 80% per year and 67% at 2 years. In adults, the survival rate is 91% in the 1\(^{st}\) year and 72% at 5 years. Grafts performed for keratoconus were the most successful in terms of survival. McClellan et al. reported a success rate of 71% in a series of 19 pediatric PK\(^3\), consistent with the Australian Corneal Graft Registry results\(^4\), in which a 5-year survival rate for 4060 grafts performed for keratoconus was 89% at 10 years. The overall survival rate for this group of patients was 53% at 5 years of follow-up, possibly due to advanced atopic disease.

Congenital corneal opacities represent a high-risk factor for failure. Although some authors have found success rates higher than 50%\(^5\), in the present study, a lower graft success was found in patients with corneal dystrophies (25% at 80 months of follow-up) compared to Schauberg’s series, which was 71% graft survival rate\(^6\). Two out of six of the patients with corneal dystrophies developed corneal failure in this study. Javadi et al. reported a 74% graft survival rate at 5 years for endothelial corneal dystrophy\(^7\). As reported elsewhere, a case of a corneal graft redo due to associated graft rejection and subsequent failure was observed in a patient with corneal dystrophy\(^8\).

This case series did not include any case of Peter’s anomaly, although other authors have reported good functional results in those patients without glaucoma\(^9\).

The limitations of the study are associated with the retrospective nature of the analysis and the lack of detailed standardized parameters such as type of donor, detailed pre-operative phenotyping, standardized follow-up, as well as suture removal registry. Furthermore, factors associated with a poor prognosis, such as treatment adherence and socioeconomically difficulties reflected as missing hospital appointments and trouble with following indications or getting medication, were not evaluated. Another limitation was the sample size: it was not possible to perform a multivariate analysis to determine association between age and corneal diseases with graft rejection because the stratified analysis of the three age groups showed that corneal dystrophies were only present in children younger than 9 years old, whereas herpetic keratitis and keratoconus were present in every analyzed age category (data not shown).

Although 50% of the studied population developed at least one episode of corneal graft rejection during the first 3 years of the follow-up, it resolved with topical steroid treatment in 80% of the cases, and patients maintained useful day to day vision. Only a sixth of the patients with corneal graft rejection ended up with graft failure that required a keratoplasty redo and systemic immunosuppression.
To summarize, a close follow-up of a pediatric PK patient is of significant importance, mostly during the first 3 years after surgery. Early identification of symptoms and signs of corneal graft rejection and immediate treatment could prevent corneal failure and subsequent corneal opacification. A larger patient cohort with a longer follow-up period would help for a better understanding of graft rejection and failure associated factors in pediatric keratoplasty patients.

Corneal transplantation can be successfully performed in many pediatric patients with keratoconus and herpetic keratitis. Although allograft rejection is widespread, it can be resolved successfully in almost all cases. Early identification of symptoms and signs of corneal graft rejection and prompt treatment could prevent corneal failure.
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**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients who developed corneal failure**

| Gender | Associated eye disease | Age at surgery (years) | Corneal rejections | Systemic diseases |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1 F    | Corneal ulcer          | 6.8                    | 2                  | Severe dry eye   |
| 2 M    | Keratoconus            | 16.9                   | 1                  | Developmental delay |
| 3 F    | Corneal dystrophy      | 2.8                    | 2                  | Secondary glaucoma |
| 4 F    | Corneal ulcer          | 2.9                    | 1                  | Developmental delay |
| 5 M    | Corneal dystrophy      | 9                      | 3                  | Secondary glaucoma |
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