Introduction

Different specifications and attributes are owned by camels which are also very quintessential such as they can survive in very harsh environment and in dearth of food and water due their body structure and internal setup [1]. The people which live in such environment where there is lack of sources such as water and vegetation, in such environments camel plays very vital part by providing milk, meat and used for plowing [2]. It can transfer bad quality pasture in milk, flesh and milk which are used for various benefits. They are playing a gigantic role to maintain the economy of farmers which live in precincts of arid and semiarid range lands of Pakistan. It is observed that camels can tolerate high temperature, paltry vegetation and lack of water and camel milk is highly nutritious to fulfill the nutritional demands of new born [4]. The environmental situation and supervision of camels can increase production of milk in camels. Lactation period of camel is nine months to eighteen months on average it is twelve months. Arabian camels can produce on an average 2270 liters of milk per year and almost 3.4 to 6.4 liter of milk in a day with an average of 5.03 liter per day [5]. When reproduction ability of camels is enhanced, a great impact on the milk production from camels observed [6].

The camel milk in Saudi Arabia had 2.2 to 6.1% fat, 3.2 to 5.6% lactose and 85.5 to 89.5% moisture with specific gravity between 1.026 to 1.036 [7]. Cow, buffalo and sheep milk has higher specific gravity as compared to the camel milk. Different concentrations were observed 86.90% moisture, 5.75% fat, 5.77% lactose, 3.60% protein, 0.66% ash [8]. Turkman Sahara camel has 12.35% total solids, 2.8% protein, 4.15% fat, 4.50% lactose, 0.75% ash, 0.02% acidity and specific gravity of 1.032 [9]. It milk has queer characteristics which are much different from other mammals milk such as it has high level of insulin, vitamins and minerals i.e. Sodium, Potassium, Iron, Copper, Zinc and Magnesium.
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Abstract

Camel milk has unique characteristics as compare to the other mammal’s milks. It has anti-carcinogenic, anti-hepatic, anti-diabetic and anti-hypertension bioactive components. Polymerized whey protein is important for its functional and nutritional properties in various dairy food products. This study was conducted to check the effects of polymerized whey protein isolates as thickening agent on the camel milk stirred yoghurt. 10% w/v polymerized whey protein isolates (PWPI) were prepared by heating whey proteins at 85°C for 30 minutes at pH 7. Stirred yoghurt from camel milk was prepared by using PWPI as thickening agent in various concentrations of 2, 4, 6 and 8% and compared with the control (without PWPI). All the samples were studied for period of 21 days with an interval of 7 days for physico-chemical, compositional, rheological and microbial by using standard methods. Increased concentrations of PWPI have least effects on pH and acidity whereas pH decreased and acidity increased with the increase of storage days. Protein, ash and total solids increased with the increase of PWPI concentration whereas fat contents were very close to each other in all samples. There was no significant effect of storage days on all these constituents of all yoghurt samples. The viscosity of the camel milk stirred yoghurt increased with the increase of concentration of PWPI showing maximum at maximum concentration i.e. 8% and the lowest in the control. Reciprocally water holding capacity also increased and syneresis decreased with the increasing concentration of PWPI. PWPI had no effect on TPC of all samples. Results showed that PWPI can be a good source to have desired characteristics in camel milk stirred yoghurt like gelling, viscosity, less syneresis and better hydro-colloidal properties.
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Introduction

Among these products, stirred yoghurt has greater importance for the consumers who observe the appearance and texture as reception criteria. Wheying-off, also known as syneresis is the most common fault when it is stored. The producers attempt to put off syneresis and make sure texture by increasing total solids constituents of camel milk, by the addition of milk powder and stabilizers such as starch, pectin and gelatin. In addition to these typically adopted methods to be able to
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improve texture as well as the consistency, anionic polysaccharides from the particular tissues of many fruits [10] and whey protein polymers/isolates are also used as gelling agents in stirred yoghurt. The latter can be made by applying heat treatment. It is not only helpful to increase product safety simply by microbiologically point of view, but also useful to improve the organoleptic features regarding milk products simply by having an influence on the particular features regarding dairy protein [16]. Whey protein polymerization can result in gel creation by different necessary protein concentrations of whey. The particular inclusion regarding stabilizers for instance pectin and also improving total solids regarding dairy are usually the most frequent strategies which can be followed to boost uniformity and mouth feel of yoghurt. It is observed that yoghurt syneresis and viscosity may be better simply by addition of milk together with polymers of whey protein. Polymerized whey protein are defined as aggregates of whey protein which are soluble and developed when thermally treated at an ambient temperature and concentration of protein which usually develop a gel but do not owing to low concentration of salt [17].

The objective of study was to develop stirred yoghurt from camel milk because camel milk protein do aggregate and coagulate so curd is not developed polymerized whey protein isolates are used as thickening agent to develop curd. Texture of yoghurt is the major attribute for the consumers. Polymerized whey protein isolates interacted with casein and developed curd of camel milk.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Milk was bought from the nomads who were in the vicinity of Faisalabad, Pakistan. The starter culture which was used in the formation of stirred yoghurt from camel milk was mixed culture NESTLE YOGHURT bought from local market in Faisalabad, Pakistan which was consist of different strains of bacteria.

Whey protein isolate was bought from local market in Faisalabad, Pakistan then it was polymerized to use in camel milk stirred yoghurt.

Preparation of polymerized whey proteins

First of all solution (10%) of whey protein isolate was prepared after that 0.1 M NaOH was added to adjust the pH of solution at 7 when required pH was obtained then solution was heated at 85°C for 30 minutes after that polymerized whey protein was obtained which was gel like then was stored at room temperature. Then it was used as a thickening agent in camel milk stirred yoghurt drink with different concentrations.

Preparation of yoghurt

First of all fresh camel milk was taken in aseptic apparatus. Then it was heated at 65°C for 42 minutes after that it was homogenized then again heated at 85°C for 30 minutes it is done to eliminate any contamination. It is cooled in ice bath to reduce the temperature for inoculation then it was incubated for four and half hour at 42°C. At the end it was stored at 6-7°C for analysis and storage study.

Preliminary trials with various concentrations of PWP

Various concentrations of polymerized whey protein were used to demonstrate the quality of stirred camel milk yoghurt which is given in Table 1. Five samples were prepared with different concentrations of polymerized whey protein. Control sample has no polymerized whey protein while other four has various concentrations of polymerized whey protein. Sample 1,2,3,4 has 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% polymerized whey protein respectively. Different treatments were analyzed for impact on viscosity, syneresis and other parameters

Syneresis of camel milk stirred yoghurts with PWP content of 0, 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, (% w/w) was determined. Ten (10) gram of each yoghurt sample was put on a filter paper placing on the upper of a funnel. After 10 minute of drainage in vacuum condition, the amount of rest stirred yoghurt made from camel milk was measured and syneresis was calculated as follow:

Free whey (g/100 g) = Weight of initial sample - weight of sample after filtration /Weight of initial sample *100

Chemical analysis

The stirred camel milk yoghurt was analyzed for total solids, acidity, fat, protein, ash and moisture by using standard method [18]. Acidity was determined by titrating it with 0.1 NaOH, fat was determined by Gerber method, protein was determined by Kjeldhal method by using 6.38 factor and ash was determined by muffle furnace [18].

Statistical analysis

Results were analyzed by using 2 way repeated Complete Randomized Block and by ANOVA.

Results and Discussion

Preliminary trials results

Effect on viscosity: The viscosity of stirred yoghurt made from the camel milk with different concentrations of polymerized whey proteins was analyzed. Viscosity of stirred yoghurt was measured by Food Texture Puff Device (FPD) Rotational rheometry experiments were performed with an AR1000-N rheometer supplied with a 545006.901 DIN conical concentric cylinder (TA Instruments, USA). The inner and outer cylinders have a radius of 14 and 15 mm, respectively, and the gap at the bottom has a height of 5920 μm. The sample was loaded by means of a 30 ml syringe (BD Plastipak, Ireland). To minimize the damage of the sample's structure, the narrow part of the syringe was removed and this modified syringe was calibrated for a sample volume of 20 ml. The sample was subject to a constant shear rate (64 s⁻¹) for 10 seconds. The temperature during these measurements was kept constant at 10°C. The apparent viscosity at 10 seconds was the reference value used in this study. Results showed that the stirred yoghurt sample with 0 percent polymerized whey protein has least viscosity while the sample with 8% polymerized whey protein has maximum viscosity as compared to 2%, 4% and 6% polymerized whey protein and with storage time it was increased in every treatment. Viscosity of yoghurt has a direct relation with the thickness of yogurt (Figure 1).

Viscosities of different samples is given in the chart it is vividly seen

| Sample | %PWP |
|--------|------|
| T₀     | -    |
| T₁     | 2    |
| T₂     | 4    |
| T₃     | 6    |
| T₄     | 8    |

Table 1: Concentrations of PWP.
that the sample with no polymerized whey protein has lowest viscosity while the sample with 8% polymerized whey protein has highest viscosity the viscosity is in centipoises.

**Syneresis**

Syneresis or wheying-off is expulsion of whey from yoghurt which comes on the surface. Syneresis is the situation in which the yogurt gel is shrunk and the whey is expelled and released whey water was separated from the yoghurt. The syneresis was expressed as volume per ml of the whey water separated from the yoghurt. Syneresis is the main problem during the storage of yoghurt and negatively effects the consumer perception (Figure 2).

The sample with no polymerized whey protein has shown little greater syneresis as compare to samples with polymerized whey protein and sample with 8% polymerized whey protein showed most low syneresis as compared to 2%, 4% and 6%.

In chart it is shown that control has highest syneresis as compare to others which have polymerized whey proteins. While in other samples which have polymerized whey proteins the sample with 8% polymerized whey protein has lowest syneresis.

**Effect on water holding capacity**

The water holding capacity for the yoghurt is its ability to retain water into the curd. Polymerized whey protein showed significant difference on water holding capacity. As the concentration of polymerized whey protein was T_0 had minimum water holding capacity and T_4 had maximum water holding capacity. Storage had negative impact on water holding capacity of yoghurt, T_2 showed maximum decrease with storage and T_4 had minimum decline (Figure 3).

\[
WHC = \frac{(Y - WE) \times 100}{Y}
\]

**Effect on acidity**

The acidity was determined by direct titration (AOAC 2000) by the following formula.

Increased water holding capacity was increased of yoghurt.

\[
Acidity_{\%} = \frac{0.009 \times \text{vol of } N/10\text{ NaOH used(ml)}}{\text{wt of Sample(g)}} \times 100
\]

Acidity of stirred yoghurt was increased as the time passed but there was no significant difference among the acidity of treatments so polymerized whey protein had no effect on the acidity of stirred yoghurt. But T_0 had maximum acidity and T_3 had minimum with respect to other treatments (Figure 4).

**Effect on pH**

The pH of yoghurt samples were measured with a pH meter (Wellian model-Inolab pH 720, WTW 82362) by following the method of AOAC (2000). There was no significant difference of polymerized whey protein on the pH of stirred yoghurt. T_0 had maximum decline in
pH whereas T₁ had minimum decrease in pH. T₂ and T₄ has smooth decline in pH. T₂ had smooth decline in pH up to 14 days then rapid decline observed on 21 day (Figure 5).

**Effect on fat**

During storage time fat content was decreased and no significant effect was observed among the treatments all have same decline in fat content (Figure 6).

**Effect on ash**

There was no significant difference of storage time on ash content but it was increased as the concentration of polymerized whey protein was increased T₄ has maximum ash content while T₀ has minimum among the treatments (Figure 7).

**Effect on total plate count**

It was observed that polymerized whey protein had no significant effect on total plate count. But it was decreased with storage time as the acidity decreases and pH increases so conditions become unfavorable for the microbes.

**Conclusions**

Polymerized whey protein proved to be a suitable thickening agent for camel milk stirred yoghurt to enhance viscosity and syneresis. The optimal concentration of PWP was 8% which showed good sensory attributes. The total plate count was not affected by the polymerized whey protein it was about 10¹⁰ cfu per ml over the 3 weeks of storage and bio coliforms were observed. Results from this study revealed that polymerized whey protein as a stabilizer may be useful to improve the syneresis, water holding capacity and viscosity. It was also observed that it had no significant effect on the pH and acidity while protein, ash and total solids were increased.
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