Frequency of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage in healthy children
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background and Objective:** The prevalence of community acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) is increasing around the world. It involves healthy people and causes a variety of diseases.

**Material and Methods:** This cross sectional study was conducted from September 2010 - June 2011 on children less than 14 years of Ahvaz, southwest Iran. The participants were selected with two staged cluster sampling. A sterile cotton nasal swab was used to collect the samples from the 864 participants. MRSA isolates were identified by catalase and coagulase tests and 1 μg oxacillin disk method. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on all the MRSA colonies to detect the mecA gene. Data was put in SPSS 16 software and descriptive statistics and chi-square test were used for analysis.

**Results:** Out of 864 children, 471 (54.51%) were male and 393 (45.49%) were female. 235 children (27.1%) had *Staphylococcus aureus* and 11 (1.3%) of all children diagnosed with MRSA. PCR showed that 7 colonies (0.8%) had the mecA gene.

**Conclusion:** The results of this study indicate that MRSA exists in healthy children of Ahvaz. Although the prevalence of CA-MRSA is lower than many other regions, it still needs close attention to prevent its transmission. Further studies are needed to identify the risk factors of CA-MRSA.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The epidemiology of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is changing around the world. Since 1990, an increase in the prevalence of community acquired methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) has been reported worldwide (1-6).

CA-MRSA infections occur in healthy people who don’t have any risk factors for nosocomial infections. Its severity varies between a superficial skin infection to severe sepsis (7-9). CA-MRSA appears to be less frequently associated with resistance to non-beta-lactam antibiotics, such as clindamycin and trimetoprim-sulfamethoxazole when compared with hospital-acquired MRSA. A methicillin resistant species must contain the mecA gene which produces a protein that has low affinity for the binding of most beta-lactams to its target site (10-12).
Previous studies have well established that carriage of *S. aureus* including MRSA is a significant risk factor for subsequent nosocomial and community-acquired infections (13,14). Multiple studies have shown that more than 80% of these infections are originated from the *S. aureus* nasal colonization and it transmits from there to other parts of the body and to other individuals, directly or indirectly (14-16). These colonies are permanently present in 20% of the population and 60% of the population are involved with them alternately (17). The increasing prevalence of MRSA has not affected all communities equally and different studies from around the world have shown a diverse prevalence of CA-MRSA (3,4,18).

Published data about MRSA colonization in healthy children is limited in Iran (19,20). The purpose of this study was to determine the frequency of MRSA carriage in healthy children in Ahvaz. We also sought to characterize the isolates with regard to the presence of the *meca* gene.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross sectional study was conducted from September 2010 to June 2011 in Ahvaz city of Iran. The target population was healthy children younger than 14 years of age, who attend in schools and day-care centers. The participants were selected using two-stage cluster sampling and elementary schools and 8 middle schools and 4 day-care centers were chosen from each of 4 educational regions of Ahvaz. One class was selected from each school and all the students of each class and all the children of the selected day-care centers were enrolled.

The sample size was calculated by considering a 95% confidence level, α=0.05 and an expected prevalence of 10% (21). According to this, a sample size of 864 was needed for this study.

Participants who were hospitalized in the past 6 months, used antibiotics within the past month or had a history of a chronic disease such as asthma, dia- betes or cystic fibrosis were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Ethics research committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (AJUMS) and an informed, written consent was obtained from the parents.

The demographic data were collected from each participant. Also, a sterile cotton swab was inserted into each nostril to collect the specimen. The specimen was placed into Stuart’s transport medium (Sharlo, Spain) and delivered to the microbiology laboratory of Abuzar children's hospital. The specimens were cultured on mannitol salt agar media (Merck, Germany) and then were incubated at 35°C for 24 to 48 hours. Colonies with mannitol fermentation (colonies with yellow halo around them) underwent catalase, coagulase and DNase tests (22).

MRSA was determined if inhibitory zone formed around 1 μg oxacillin disk (Mast, UK) on Mueller-Hinton agar (Merck, Germany) (23). The potential MRSA isolates were maintained in trypticase soy broth medium (Merck, Germany) which contained 15% glycerol and stored at -70°C freezer until used for PCR assay.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was performed using agar disk diffusion according to the method explained by CLSI method (24).

The plates were transferred to the Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center of AJUMS and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to detect *meca* gene. DNA was extracted using the boiling method. In this method, bacterial colonies were inserted in micro tubes that contained 1ml distilled water. Then they were boiled for 15 minutes at 100°C and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm. The supernatant containing DNA was used as template for PCR amplification. The purity of the DNA was determined by Eppendorf biophotometer (ratio 260nm /280nm).

The forward *meca*1 (5’–GTAGAAATGACT-GAACGTCGATAA–3’) and reverse *meca*2 (5’–CCAATTCCACATTGTTTCGGTCTAA–3’) primers were used for the detection of *meca* gene.

PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel and visualized with gel Documentation (24). Positive control (*S. aureus* ATCC 33591) and negative control (*S. aureus* ATCC 29213) was used to ensure the accuracy of the results.

Data was saved in SPSS software (version 16 ) and descriptive statistics and chi-squared test were used for analysis.

**RESULTS**

Among the 864 participants, 471 (54.51%) were male and 393 (45.49%) were female. Also, 268 children (31.03%) were in the 1 to 6 years old age group,
285 (32.98%) were in the 7 to 10 years old age group and 311 (35.99%) were in the 11 to 14 years old age group. Out of all the cultures, 235 (27.1%) were colonized with S. aureus. As shown in Fig. 1, most of the children involved with S. aureus were in the 7 to 10 years old age group.

Among the 235 children who were colonized with S. aureus, 104 (44%) were female and 131 (56%) were male and 11 cases (4.6%) were carrier for MRSA. Out of them, 7 (63.6%) were female and 4 (36.4%) were male. The difference of the frequency of CA-MRSA among genders was significant (P =0.005). The mean age of the participants with MRSA was 8.09 ± 2.87 years old. All isolates of MRSA were susceptible to vancomycin, clindamycin, gentamicin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

The PCR results showed that out of 11 MRSA cases, 7 (63.6% of the MRSA cases and 0.8% of all the participants) were positive for mecA gene.

DISCUSSION

This study was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of MRSA nasal colonization in unselected healthy children of Ahvaz city who were aged below 14 years.

In this study, 27.1% of the healthy children were nasal carriers of S. aureus. This was consistent with the results of Nakamura (29%) (3), Masuda (28.2%) (25), Sedighi (29.6%) (19). However, the results Sharifi et al. (5.2%) (20), and Oguzkaya et al. (18%) (26).

We found that most of the children who were involved with nasal S. aureus were male (56%). In previous studies it has been demonstrated that rate of colonization with S. aureus is higher in male patients than females (25,27,28).

The prevalence of CA-MRSA colonization was 0.8% in healthy children in the present study. Nakamura et al. also found 0.8% prevalence for CA-MRSA in the United States (3), Sharifi et al. showed 0.5% prevalence in Iran (20), and Suggs et al. reported a prevalence of 0.6% for colonization with MRSA (29). On the other hand, other reports show different prevalence. Fritz et al. reported 2.4% (30), Sedighi reported 1.2% (19), and Huang et al. reported 1.7% prevalence for CA-MRSA (4). It seems that some of the differences of these results are due to the difference of CA-MRSA definition. Salgado et al. reported that at least 8 different definitions were used to classify MRSA infections as community acquired, possibly contributing to the heterogeneity among the studies (31).

It should be noted that an increase of proportions of MRSA has been observed over time in some locations. For example in one US center carriage of MRSA increased from 0.8% in 2001 to 9.2% in 2004 (21).

In our study, PCR showed that out of 11 detected MRSA, only 7 had the mecA gene. Many studies have recognized PCR as the gold standard of MRSA diagnosis (18, 32).

S. aureus isolates without mecA gene and with methicillin MICs in the 4-16 mg/L range have been reported. These clinical strains termed borderline methicillin resistant S. aureus (BRSA) (21).

The major mechanisms believed to BRSA are hyper
production of beta-lactamase. However, these cases were sensitive to amoxicillin/clavulanate. Hussain et al. showed that about 1.6% of the S. aureus cases with borderline resistant toward methicillin lacked the mecA gene (18).

One of the limitations of this study was that we were unable to determine the antibiotic sensitivity of the MRSA cases with the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) method.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicate that MRSA exists in healthy children of Ahvaz. Although the prevalence of MRSA is lower than many other regions, it still needs close attention to prevent its transmission. Further studies are needed to identify the risk factors of CA-MRSA. Also, according to the likelihood of borderline resistance, determination of the antibiotic sensitivity with the MIC method is recommended.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was part of the Pediatrics speciality thesis of Mohammad Kamalipanah, and approved in Infectious and Tropical Diseases Research Center (No. 90130). We would like to thank the research committee of Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences and all the people who helped us during this study for their support.

REFERENCE

1. Lee BY, Singh A, David MZ, Bartsch SM, Slayton RB, Huang SS, et al. The economic burden of community-associated meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (CA-MRSA). Clin Microbiol Infect 2012; 19:528-536.
2. Mithoe D, Rijnders MI, Roede BM, Stobberingh E, Moller AV. Prevalence of community-associated meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Pantoon-Valentine leucocidin-positive S. aureus in general practice patients with skin and soft tissue infections in the northern and southern regions of The Netherlands. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 31:349-356.
3. Nakamura MM, Rohling KL, Shashaty M, Lu H, Tang YW, Edwards KM. Prevalence of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus nasal carriage in the community pediatric population. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2002; 21:917-922.
4. Huang YC, Huang KP, Chen PY, Chen CJ, Lin TY. Prevalence of meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus nasal colonization among Taiwanese children in 2005 and 2006. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45: 3992-3995.
5. Gonzalez BE, Hulten KG, Dishop MK, Lamberth LB, Hammerman WA, Mason EO Jr, et al. Pulmonary manifestations in children with invasive community-acquired Staphylococcus aureus infection. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41:583-590.
6. Gonzalez BE, Martinez-Aguilar G, Hulten KG, Hammerman WA, Coss-Bu J, Avalos-Mishaan, et al. Severe Staphylococcal sepsis in adolescents in the era of community-acquired meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Pediatrics 2005; 115:642-648.
7. Zhao C, Liu Y, Zhao M, Yu Y, Chen H, Sun Q, et al. Characterization of community acquired Staphylococcus aureus associated with skin and soft tissue infection in Beijing: High prevalence of PVL(+) ST398. PLoS One 2012; 7:e38577.
8. Walraven CJ, Lingenfelter E, Rollo J, Madsen T, Alexander DP. Diagnostic and therapeutic evaluation of community-acquired meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) skin and soft tissue infections in the emergency department. J Emerg Med 2012; 42:392-399.
9. Damasco PV, Chamon RC, Barbosa AT, da Cunha S, Aquino JH, Cavalcante FS, et al. Involvement of meticillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus related to sequence type 25 and harboring pvl genes in a case of carotid cavernous fistula after community-associated sepsis. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50: 196-198.
10. Milstone AM, Carroll KC, Ross T, Shangraw KA, Perl TM. Community-associated meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains in pediatric intensive care unit. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16:647-655.
11. Daum RS. Community-acquired meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998; 17:745-746.
12. Shahraz F, Daddkhah H, Khaksar R, Mahmoudzadeh M, Hosseini H, Kamran M, et al. Analysis of antibiotic resistance patterns and detection of mecA gene in Staphylococcus aureus isolated from packaged hamburger. Meat Sci 2012; 90:759-763.
13. Von Eiff C, Becker K, Machka K, Stammer H, Peters G. Nasal carriage as a source of Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia. Study Group. N Engl J Med 2001; 344:11-16.
14. Wertheim HFL, Vos MC, Ott A, van Belkum A, Voss A, Kluytmans JA, et al. Risk and outcome of nosocomial Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. The Lancet 2004; 364:703-705.
15. Wang CY, Wu VC, Wang WI, Lin YF, Lin YH, Chen YM, et al. Risk factors for nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus among patients with end-stage renal disease in Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc 2012; 111:14-18.

16. Verwer PE, Robinson JO, Coombs GW, Wijesuriya T, Murray RJ, Verbrugh HA, et al. Prevalence of nasal methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus colonization in healthcare workers in a Western Australian acute care hospital. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012; 31:1067-1072.

17. Ferrara AM. Treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2007; 30:19-24.

18. Hussain FM, Boyle-Vavra S, Daum RS. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus colonization in healthy children attending an outpatient pediatric clinic. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2012; 20:763-767.

19. Sedighi I, Moez HJ, Alikhani MY. Nasal carriage of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus and their antibiotic susceptibility patterns in children attending day-care centers. Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica 2011; 58:227-234.

20. Sharifi M, Karimzadeh T, Mohammadi-Chelkasari F, Bijani B, Alipoor-Heydari M. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: prevalence and risk factors. JQUMS 2009; 12:75-82.

21. Creech CB 2nd, Kernodle DS, Alsentzer A, Wilson C, Edwards KM. Increasing rates of nasal carriage of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in healthy children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2005; 24:617-621.

22. Brown DF, Edwards DJ, Hawkey PM, Morrison D, Ridgway GL, Towner KJ, et al. Guidelines for the laboratory diagnosis and susceptibility testing of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). J Antimicrob Chemother 2005; 56:1000-1018.

23. Wallet F, Roussel-Delvallez M, Courcol RJ. Choice of a routine method for detecting methicillin-resistance in staphylococci. J Antimicrob Chemother 1998; 37:901-909.

24. Tremblay C, Gaudreau C. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of 59 strains of Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 42:1847-1849.

25. Masuda K, Masuda R, Nishi J, Tokuda K, Yoshinaga M, Miyata K. Incidences of nasopharyngeal colonization of respiratory bacterial pathogens in Japanese children attending day-care centers. Pediatr Int 2000; 44:376-380.

26. Oguzkaya-Artan M, Baykan Z, Artan C. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus in healthy preschool children. Jpn J Infect Dis 2008; 61:70-72.

27. Schuchat A, Hilger T, Zell E, Farley MM, Reingold A, Harrison L, et al. Active Bacterial Core Surveillance Team of the Emerging Infections Program Network. Active bacterial core surveillance of the emerging infections program network.Emerg Infect Dis 2001; 7:92-99.

28. Sakoulas G, Gold HS, Venkataraman L, DeGirolami PC, Eliopoulos GM, Qian Q. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: comparison of susceptibility testing methods and analysis of mecA-positive susceptible strains. J Clin Microbiol 2001; 39:3946-3951.

29. Suggs AH, Maranan MC, Boyle-Vavra S, Daum RS. Methicillin-resistant and borderline methicillin-resistant asymptomatic Staphylococcus aureus colonization in children without identifiable risk factors. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1999; 18:410-414.

30. Fritz SA, Garbutt J, Eward A, Shannon W, Storch GA. Prevalence of and risk factors for community-acquired methicillin-resistant and methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus colonization in children seen in a practice-based research network. Pediatrics 2008; 121:1090-1098.

31. Salgado CD, Farr BM, Calfee DP. Community-acquired methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: a meta-analysis of prevalence and risk factors. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:131-139.

32. Bignardi GE, Woodford N, Chapman N, Johnson AP, Speller DC. Detection of the mec-A gene and phenotypic detection of resistance in Staphylococcus aureus isolates with borderline or low-level methicillin-resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 1996; 37:53-63.