Potentiation of the cytotoxicity of thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitors by dipyridamole analogues with reduced α1-acid glycoprotein binding

NJ Curtin1, KJ Bowman1, RN Turner1, B Huang2, PJ Loughlin2, AH Calvert1, BT Golding2, RJ Griffin2 and DR Newell1

1Cancer Research Unit and 2Department of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Medical School, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK

Summary Dipyridamole has been shown to enhance the in vitro activity of antimetabolite anticancer drugs through the inhibition of nucleoside transport. However, the clinical potential of dipyridamole has not been realized because of the avid binding of the drug to the plasma protein α1-acid glycoprotein (AGP). Dipyridamole analogues that retain potent nucleoside transport inhibitory activity in the presence of AGP are described and their ability to enhance the growth inhibitory and cytotoxic effects of thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitors has been evaluated. Three dipyridamole analogues (NU3026, NU3059 and NU3060) were shown to enhance the growth inhibitory activity of the TS inhibitor CB3717 and block thymidine rescue in L1210 cells. The extent of potentiation at a fixed analogue concentration (10 μM) was related to the potency of inhibition of thymidine uptake. A further analogue, NU3076, was identified, which was more potent than dipyridamole with a Km value for inhibition of thymidine uptake of 0.1 μM compared to 0.28 μM for dipyridamole. In marked contrast to dipyridamole, inhibition of thymidine uptake by NU3076 was not significantly affected by the presence of AGP (5 mg ml–1). NU3076 and dipyridamole produced equivalent potentiation of the cytotoxicity of the non-classical antifolate TS inhibitor, nolatrexed, in L1210 cells with both compounds significantly reducing the LC50 by > threefold in the absence of salvageable thymidine. Thymidine rescue of L1210 cells from nolatrexed cytotoxicity was partially blocked by both 1 μM NU3076 and 1 μM dipyridamole. NU3076 also caused a significant potentiation of FU cytotoxicity in L1210 cells. These studies demonstrate that nucleoside transport inhibition can be maintained in the absence of AGP binding with the dipyridamole pharmacophore and that such analogues can enhance the cytotoxicity of TS inhibitors.
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Antimetabolite inhibitors of de novo purine and pyrimidine synthesis are used extensively in cancer chemotherapeutic regimes (Schilsky, 1992). In particular, inhibition of thymidylate synthase (TS) is an attractive target for antimetabolite chemotherapy because thymine is the only nucleobase found exclusively in DNA and TS is a key enzyme, possibly the rate-limiting step, in DNA synthesis. One locus of action of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), which is widely used for the treatment of colorectal, breast and head-and-neck cancer, is TS inhibition following its intracellular conversion to 5-FdUMP. CB3717 (N10-propargyl-5,8-dideazafolate) was the first selective antifolate inhibitor of TS to be developed (Jones et al, 1981), and in early clinical trials with CB3717 promising therapeutic activity was reported (Calvert et al, 1986). More recently, a number of antifolate TS inhibitors have been developed, i.e. raltitrexed, nolatrexed, MTA, AG 331, BW 1843 U89 and ZD9331, and activity has been observed against a broad range of tumours in a number of clinical trials with these agents (Rustum et al, 1997).

Inhibition of TS results in the depletion of intracellular dTTP and, as a result of elevated dUMP levels, an accumulation of dUTP. This nucleotide pool imbalance contributes to DNA strand breakage and cell death (Curtin et al, 1991). Salvage of extracellular thymidine, mediated by nucleoside transport and subsequent phosphorylation by thymidine kinase, restores thymidylate pools and hence limits the activity of TS inhibitors (Jackman et al, 1984). A number of factors may promote utilization of the salvage pathway by tumours, for example, Weber (1983) has demonstrated that the activities of the enzymes involved in nucleoside salvage can exceed those of the de novo pathway for nucleotide synthesis in a variety of tumours. Furthermore, resistance to antimetabolites mediated by nucleoside salvage may increase with malignancy (Fox et al, 1991; Kinsella and Harran, 1991). In addition, release of nucleic acids from dead cells may result in high local concentrations of salvageable nucleosides and bases in tumours.

Salvage of pre-formed nucleosides is dependent on uptake across the plasma membrane into the cell. At physiological nucleoside concentrations the principal mode of nucleoside uptake is via the es and ei equilibrative carriers, described as such on the basis of their sensitivity (es) or insensitivity (ei) to the transport inhibitor nitrobenzylmercaptopurine riboside (NBMPR). The cardiovascular and antiplatelet drug, dipyridamole, inhibits both es and ei carriers, thereby blocking the uptake of nucleosides for salvage. Dipyridamole has been used successfully in vitro, and to a limited extent in animal studies, to increase the activity of a range of antimetabolites (reviewed in Goel and Howell, 1992). In addition to preventing thymidine uptake, dipyridamole also enhances
the cytotoxicity of TS inhibitors by preventing the efflux of deoxyuridine, leading to a greater accumulation of dUTP (Curtin et al., 1991). Similarly, potentiation of 5-FU cytotoxicity by dipyridamole may be mediated not only through inhibition of the uptake of thymidine for salvage, but also by the inhibition of deoxyuridine and fluorodeoxyuridine efflux, leading to higher intracellular dUMP, FdUMP and FdUTP concentrations (Grem and Fisher, 1985, 1989).

Despite these promising in vitro data with antimetabolite/dipyridamole combinations, improved therapy in patients has not been achieved. For example, although some phase I studies suggested an enhancement of 5-FU and methotrexate activity by combination with dipyridamole (reviewed in Schmoll et al., 1990), in phase II and III trials the combination with dipyridamole did not improve the antitumour activity of methotrexate or 5-FU (Wadler et al., 1987; Köhne-Wömpner et al., 1989). The discrepancy between the clinical and preclinical results obtained with dipyridamole may be due to the avid binding of the drug to α1-acid glycoprotein (AGP), (Mahony et al., 1982), an acute phase protein that is elevated in the plasma of cancer patients. In two studies of patients receiving the maximum tolerated dose of dipyridamole peak total plasma concentrations of dipyridamole of 12 μM and 16 μM were achieved (Willson et al., 1988; Budd et al., 1990), concentrations which should have been sufficient to prevent nucleoside transport on the basis of in vitro data; however, the free plasma concentration of dipyridamole was only 27 nM and 38 nM in these studies, which is insufficient to enhance antimetabolite activity in vitro. In agreement with the clinical data, physiological levels of AGP have also been shown to prevent the potentiation of CB3717 growth inhibition by dipyridamole in vitro (Curtin et al., 1989).

On the basis of in vitro data it should be possible to use nucleoside transport inhibitors to enhance the clinical activity of TS inhibitors, provided the limitations of AGP binding can be overcome. The aim of the studies described here was to investigate the effect of novel dipyridamole analogues on the in vitro growth inhibitory activity of TS. Two antifolate TS inhibitors were selected for study: the classical antifolate, CB3717 (N10-propargyl-5,8-dideazafolic acid), and the non-classical antifolate TS inhibitor, nolatrexed (AG337, Thymitaq, 3,4-dihydro-2-amino-6-methyl-4-oxo-5-(4-pyridylthio)-quinazoline dihydrochloride) (Webber et al., 1996). In addition, potentiation of the cytotoxicity of 5-FU was investigated. Data for selected dipyridamole analogues that are potent inhibitors of thymidine transport, even in the presence of AGP, are presented. Studies with NU3026, NU3059 and NU3060 demonstrated that the degree of enhancement of growth inhibition by TS inhibitors was dependent on the potency of the compounds as inhibitors of thymidine transport. A further inhibitor, NU3076, was identified, which was more potent

| Compound | Structure | Inhibitor concentration |
|----------|-----------|------------------------|
| Dipyridamole | ![Structure](image) | 80 ± 8* (26), 99 ± 2 (84), 13 ± 12 (27) | 0.36, 0.37 |
| 2,6-bis[(diethanolamino)-4,8-di-piperidino-pyrimidopyrimidine | ![Structure](image) | 47 ± 5 (6), 87 ± 6 (15), 62 ± 12 (8) | 1.2, 1.1 |
| NU3026 (RR)c | ![Structure](image) | 38 ± 17 (15), 87 ± 7 (26), 67 ± 17 (5) | 1.9 ± 1 (5) |
| 2,6-di-(2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)-methoxy-4,8-di-piperidinopyrimidopyrimidine | ![Structure](image) | 29 ± 15 (12), 86 ± 11 (27), 43 ± 8 (9) | 2.1 ± 0.7 (3) |

Data are individual values or mean ± s.d. for the number of observations given in parenthesis. *Data are the % inhibition of thymidine uptake obtained in the absence or presence of 5 mg ml−1 AGP. **IC50 values for inhibition of thymidine uptake in the absence of AGP (calculated from a point-to-point curve using GraphPad Prism™ software). The (S,S)- and (R,S)-isomers showed similar potency. *Significantly different from value obtained in the absence of AGP (unpaired Student’s t-test). Not significantly different from zero.
than dipyridamole, active in the presence of AGP and able to potentiate both nolatrexed and 5-FU cytotoxicity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drugs

CB3717 (a gift from Zeneca Pharmaceuticals, Alderley Park, UK) was dissolved in 0.15 M sodium hydroxide carbonate and stored at 4°C. Nolatrexed (a gift from Agouron Pharmaceuticals Inc, San Diego, USA), 5-FU and dipyridamole (Sigma Chemical Co, Poole, UK), NU3026, NU3059, NU3060 and NU3076 (synthesized in the Department of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; for structures see Tables 1 and 2) were dissolved in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and stored at –20°C. For growth inhibition and cytotoxicity assays, cells were adapted to grow in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (Sigma), at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide in air. The cell doubling time was approximately 12 h. For growth inhibition and cytotoxicity assays, cells were maintained as exponentially growing cultures.

Cell culture

Murine leukaemia L1210 cells were used because their nucleoside transport characteristics have been well defined (Crawford et al., 1990). Cells were maintained as exponentially growing cultures (< 8 x 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹) in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (Sigma), at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide in air. The cell doubling time was approximately 12 h. For growth inhibition and cytotoxicity assays, cells were passaged for at least 4 weeks containing dialysed serum (dialysed for 24 h at 4°C against two changes of 9 volumes of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1 g activated charcoal L⁻¹ and a further two changes of 9 volumes of PBS) to remove thymidine. Once transferred to medium containing dialysed serum cells were passaged for at least 4 weeks prior to cytotoxicity assays, when the cell doubling time was also approximately 12 h. Cells were routinely tested to exclude mycoplasma contamination (Chen, 1977).

Nucleoside transport inhibition assay

Thymidine uptake was assayed in L1210 cells using a modification of the rapid mixing technique of Woitheutre et al. (1978). The uptake of 100 µM thymidine by 10⁶ cells was followed at 2-second intervals over a 12-second time course in the presence or absence of inhibitor in 1% or 5% (v/v) DMSO. In experiments to determine the effect of AGP, the uptake of thymidine was also measured in the presence of 5 mg ml⁻¹ human AGP (Sigma), which is the upper limit of plasma AGP concentrations in cancer patients, i.e. approximately 125 µM (Kremser et al., 1988).

Exponentially growing L1210 cells were washed with and resuspended in ice-cold transport buffer (130 mM sodium chloride, 5 mM potassium chloride, 1 mM magnesium chloride, 5 mM Na₂HPO₄, 10 mM glucose and 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4) at 2–4 x 10⁷ cells ml⁻¹. Cells were left on ice for 30 min prior to starting the assay and then diluted further in transport buffer ± AGP (7.5 mg ml⁻¹ containing 1.5% or 7.5% (v/v) DMSO (± inhibitor at 1.5 x the final concentration) for 5 min at 21°C. The suspension was mixed and 100 µl was layered onto 150 µl silicone oil (9:11 Dow Corning 556 (Sp.Gr. 0.98); Dow Corning 550 (Sp. Gr. 1.068), final Sp. Gr. 1.028) overlaying 50 µl 3 M potassium hydroxide (KOH), in six replicate 0.5 ml microfuge tubes. Transport was initiated by adding 50 µl of 300 µM thymidine in transport buffer, containing 25 µCi ml⁻¹ [methyl-³H]thymidine (Sp. Act. 1.5–2.2 TBq mmol⁻¹; Amersham, UK) and 2 µCi ml⁻¹ [U-¹⁴C]sucrose (17–27 GBq mmol⁻¹; Amersham), to each tube in turn at 1-second intervals, giving final concentrations of: 10⁶ cells in transport buffer ± 5 mg ml⁻¹ AGP, 100 µM thymidine and 1% or 5% (v/v) DMSO: inhibitor. Transport was stopped by the addition of 50 µl of 400 µM dipyridamole at 1-second intervals to the tubes in reverse order. The tubes were immediately centrifuged at 12 000 g for 2 min at room temperature causing the cells to pass through the oil and into the KOH. [¹⁴C]Sucrose, which does not enter cells with intact plasma membranes, was included to allow calculation of the amount of extracellular fluid passing through the oil, and hence the amount of non-transported contaminating [¹H]thymidine in the extracellular space. Cell number and viability in each assay was determined by haemocytometer counting using trypan blue exclusion. After centrifugation the tubes were capped and cut in the oil layer such that the bottom portion (cells solubilized in KOH) fell into a 20 ml scintillation vial. One millilitre of 0.25 M acetic acid was injected into the tube to disperse and neutralize the KOH-solubilized cells and following the addition of 10 ml of Optiphase HiSafe scintillant (Fischer Chemicals, Loughborough, UK) the radioactivity in the cells was determined by a dual label assay using an LKB-Wallac S1410 β-counter (Wallac (UK), Milton Keynes, UK). The rate of thymidine uptake in the presence and absence of inhibitor was calculated by unweighted linear regression analysis of thymidine uptake (pmol 10⁶ cells) vs time (seconds) using GraphPad PRISM™ software (GraphPad Software Inc. San Diego, CA, USA).

Inhibition kinetics

Dipyridamole and NU3076 inhibition kinetics were investigated by modifying the transport assay to measure the uptake of thymidine at concentrations ranging from 100 to 1500 µM in the presence or absence of 0.3 µM dipyridamole or NU3076. Kᵢ and Tₘᵢₐₓ values were calculated using the equation describing a one-site binding hyperbola, fitted using GraphPad PRISM software. The Kᵢ values for dipyridamole and NU3076 were determined by measuring the uptake of 800 µM and 400 µM thymidine in the presence of increasing concentrations of inhibitor.

Table 2 Comparison of the potentiation of CB3717-induced growth inhibition by NU3026, NU3059, NU3060 and dipyridamole in L1210 cells in the presence or absence of thymidine

| ± Nucleoside transport inhibitor | % Control cell growth + 3 µM CB3717 | % Control cell growth + 10 µM CB3717 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| No inhibitor                    | 40 104                               | 5 107                                |
| 10 µM Dipyridamole              | 4 4                                  | 0 1                                  |
| 10 µM NU3059                    | 5 10                                 | 0 7                                  |
| 10 µM NU3026                    | 6 26                                 | 1 20                                 |
| 10 µM NU3060                    | 29 59                                | 3 37                                 |

Cell growth was determined after 48 hour exposure to 3 µM or 10 µM CB3717 with or without 1 µM thymidine supplementation in the presence or absence of 10 µM dipyridamole, NU3026, NU3059 or NU3060. Data, normalized by comparison with cell growth in the presence of 10 µM dipyridamole, NU3026, NU3059 or NU3060 alone, are the mean of three independent observations. Standard deviations (not shown) were < 15% of the mean in each case.
**Growth inhibition assays**

L1210 cells in exponential growth were dispensed into each well of a 24-well plate at 10^5 cells ml⁻¹, in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with (10% v/v) dialysed serum containing CB3717, with or without thymidine and/or transport inhibitor (4–6 replicate wells for each drug combination). The DMSO concentration was controlled so as to be present at 1% (v/v) in the final incubation. Replicate aliquots of the remaining cell suspension were counted to obtain a time zero cell count. After incubation at 37°C for 48 h (to allow for a minimum of three control cell doublings) cells were counted using a Coulter Counter Model Z1 (Coulter Electronics, Luton, UK).

**Table 3** Inhibition of thymidine uptake by dipyridamole and NU3076 in the presence or absence of AGP

| Structure | Dipyridamole | NU3076 |
|-----------|--------------|--------|
| IC₅₀ for thymidine uptake (µM) | 0.36, 0.37 | 0.25 ± 0.08 (3) |
| Inhibitor concentration | 1 µM | 1 µM + AGP⁺ | 10 µM | 10 µM + AGP⁺ |
| 80 ± 8 (26)⁺ | 4 ± 11 (9)⁺ | 99 ± 2 (84)⁺ | 13 ± 12 (27)⁺ |
| 80 ± 8 (26)⁺ | 46 ± 7 (7)⁰⁺ | 96 ± 8 (18)⁺ | 89 ± 14 (9)⁰⁺ |

Figures are individual values or mean ± standard deviation with the number of observations given in parentheses. *Data are the % inhibition of thymidine uptake. **AGP concentration was 5 mg ml⁻¹. *Significantly different from value obtained in absence of AGP, P < 0.01 (unpaired Student’s t-test). NS, not significantly different from value obtained in absence of AGP.

**Table 4** Kinetic constants for thymidine transport and inhibition by NU3076 and dipyridamole in L1210 cells

| | No inhibitor | 0.3 µM dipyridamole | 0.3 µM NU3076 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kᵣ (µM) | 202 ± 35 (5) | 268 ± 66 (5)⁺ | 271 ± 61 (4)⁺ |
| T_max (pmol/10⁶ cells/s) | 11 ± 1.1 (5) | 8.4 ± 0.7 (5)⁺⁺ | 8.5 ± 0.8 (4)⁺⁺ |
| T_max/Kᵣ (pmol/10⁶ cells/s/µM) | 0.05 ± 0.01 (5) | 0.03 ± 0.01 (5)⁺⁺ | 0.03 ± 0.004 (4)⁺⁺ |

Figures are mean ± s.d. for the number of independent experiments given in parenthesis. Significant difference from control (unpaired, two-tailed, Student’s t-test) are given by: *P < 0.1; **P < 0.05.

---
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**Figure 1** Potentiation of CB3717 growth inhibition by dipyridamole and selected analogues. Cell growth was determined after 48-h exposure to 3 µM CB3717 with or without 1 µM thymidine supplementation in the presence or absence of 10 µM dipyridamole, NU3026, NU3059 or NU3060. Data, normalized by comparison with cell growth in the presence of 10 µM dipyridamole, NU3026, NU3059 or NU3060 alone, are the mean of three independent observations. Standard deviations (not shown) were < 15% in every case.

**Figure 2** Nucleoside transport inhibition by dipyridamole and NU3076. Thymidine uptake was measured in the absence of inhibitor (●, solid line), and in the presence of 0.3 µM dipyridamole, (○, dashed line) or 0.3 µM NU3076 (▲, dotted line). Lines were fitted as described in Materials and Methods.
Cytotoxicity assay

L1210 cells adapted to grow in dialysed serum were exposed to varying concentrations of nolatrexed or 5-FU in the presence or absence of 1 μM dipyridamole or 1 μM NU3076 for 16 h in medium containing (10% v/v) dialysed serum. The cells were resuspended in fresh medium to remove the drug, counted and seeded for colony formation in 0.125% (w/v) agarose (SeaKem, Flowgen, Sittingbourne, UK) in medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) undialysed serum. To investigate prevention of thymidine rescue, L1210 cells were incubated for 16 h with a fixed concentration of nolatrexed (0, 10 or 100 μM), with or without 1 μM thymidine, in the presence or absence of 1 μM dipyridamole or NU3076, and then counted and seeded for colony formation in 0.125% (w/v) agarose as above. The enhancement factor EF₉₀ was calculated using the following equation:

\[ EF_{90} = \frac{LC_{90} \text{ nolatrexed alone}}{LC_{90} \text{ nolatrexed + inhibitor}} \]

(where LC₉₀ is the concentration of drug required to decrease cell survival by 90%). The enhancement factor at 50% survival, EF₅₀, was calculated by substituting LC₅₀ values in the above equation.

RESULTS

Dipyriramole analogues with modifications of the diethanolamine side chains

Inhibition of thymidine uptake

The inhibition of thymidine uptake by three novel compounds (NU3026, NU3059 and NU3060), with different substituents at the 2,6-position of the pyrimidopyrimidine ring was determined, and inhibition at concentrations of 1 and 10 μM of these inhibitors, as
Table 5 Potentiation of nolatrexed and 5-FU cytotoxicity by dipyridamole and NU3076

| Cytotoxic agent   | Treatment   | LC_{50} \(\mu M\) | LC_{90} \(\mu M\) | \(\text{EF}_{50}\) | \(\text{EF}_{90}\) |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Nolatrexed       | Control     | 3.1 ± 1.9          | 22.65              |                |                |
| Nolatrexed       | 1 \(\mu M\) DP | 2.5 ± 1.7          | 10 ± 6             | 1.3 ± 0.1\*   | 7.5 ± 6.1     |
| Nolatrexed       | 1 \(\mu M\) NU3076 | 2.1 ± 1.7          | 11 ± 3             | 1.6 ± 0.4\*   | 2.7 ± 5.9     |
| 5-FU             | Control     | 3.7 ± 1.5          | 20 ± 10            |                |                |
| 5-FU             | 1 \(\mu M\) DP | 3.4 ± 1.0          | 19 ± 6             | 1.3 ± 0.3      | 1.2 ± 0.1     |
| 5-FU             | 1 \(\mu M\) NU3076 | 4.1 ± 1.6          | 17 ± 9             | 1.1 ± 0.1      | 1.3 ± 0.2\*   |

The Enhancement Factors (EF) are the ratio of the LC_{50} or LC_{90} values for nolatrexed or 5-FU in the presence and absence of dipyridamole or NU3076. Results are expressed as individual values or mean ± s.d. of three experiments. \*Indicates a significant difference from control by paired, two-tailed, Student’s \(t\)-test.

well as the IC_{50} values for inhibition of thymidine uptake, are given in Table 1. All three compounds were less potent than dipyridamole in the absence of AGP. However, in the presence of 5 mg ml\(^{-1}\) AGP, dipyridamole was inactive as an inhibitor of thymidine uptake, whereas all of the novel compounds retained significant activity.

**Potentiation of the growth inhibition produced by the TS inhibitor, CB3717**

The inhibition of the growth of L1210 cells by CB3717, with or without 1 \(\mu M\) thymidine, determined in the presence or absence of 10 \(\mu M\) nucleoside transport inhibitor is shown in Figure 1. All the inhibitors potentiated growth inhibition produced by 3 \(\mu M\) CB3717 in the absence of salvageable thymidine, and also prevented thymidine rescue from CB3717-induced growth inhibition. Comparable results were obtained by combining the transport inhibitors with 10 \(\mu M\) CB3717 (Table 2). Similarly, there was a modest potentiation of CB3717 growth inhibition by 1 \(\mu M\) dipyridamole, NU3059 and NU3026 and partial reversal of thymidine rescue by 1 \(\mu M\) dipyridamole and NU3059 (data not shown). For both potentiation of CB3717-induced growth inhibition and prevention of thymidine rescue the rank order of potency of the compounds was: dipyridamole > NU3059 > NU3026 > NU3060. Comparison of the inhibitory effect of 10 \(\mu M\) inhibitor on nucleoside transport (Table 1) with the effect on cell growth (in the presence of CB3717 + TdR) demonstrated a significant positive rank correlation (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient = 0.9, \(P = 0.083\)).

**A dipyridamole analogue modified at the 4,8-position (NU3076)**

**Inhibition of thymidine uptake in the presence and absence of AGP**

In an attempt to identify a potent dipyridamole analogue completely devoid of AGP binding, synthetic efforts were directed at replacing the 4,8-piperidino group of dipyridamole with other moieties. These modifications led to the identification of NU3076. As shown in Table 3, NU3076 was at least as potent as dipyridamole and AGP (5 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) did not significantly reduce the inhibition of thymidine uptake at either 10 \(\mu M\) or 1 \(\mu M\) NU3076 (equivalent to a 12- and 120-fold molar excess of AGP respectively). In contrast, AGP at this concentration abolished the inhibition of thymidine uptake by both 1 \(\mu M\) and 10 \(\mu M\) dipyridamole. NU3076 was therefore selected for further investigation and comparison with dipyridamole.
Inhibition kinetics

Kinetic measurements were performed to determine the mechanism responsible for the inhibition of thymidine uptake by diprydamole and NU3076. The apparent $K_i$ and $T_{\text{max}}$ of nucleoside transport in L1210 cells was calculated in the absence and in the presence of 0.3 $\mu$M diprydamole or 0.3 $\mu$M NU3076, Figure 2 and Table 4. There was a significant decrease in the apparent $T_{\text{max}}$ for thymidine transport in the presence of 0.3 $\mu$M diprydamole and NU3076 ($P = 0.006$ and $P = 0.015$ respectively). The apparent $K_i$ values were only marginally different from the control value ($P = 0.083$ and 0.069 for 0.3 $\mu$M diprydamole and NU3076 respectively). When compared to control values, a significant decrease in the $T_{\text{max}}/K_i$ ratio was observed with both diprydamole and NU3076, indicative (together with a decrease in $T_{\text{max}}$) of mixed inhibition. The $K_i$ values for diprydamole and NU3076 were determined, using Dixon plots at thymidine concentrations of 400 and 800 $\mu$M, the $K_i$ for diprydamole and NU3076 were estimated to be 0.28 $\mu$M and 0.1 $\mu$M respectively (Figure 3).

Potentiation of TS inhibitor cytotoxicity

Neither 1 $\mu$M NU3076 nor 1 $\mu$M diprydamole were cytotoxic per se against L1210 cells (cell survival ± standard error of 100 ± 24% and 100 ± 19% respectively). The clonogenic survival of L1210 cells exposed to varying concentrations of nolatrexed in the absence of thymidine, and in the presence or absence of 1 $\mu$M of diprydamole or NU3076 is shown in Figure 4, corresponding $L_{C90}$ and $L_{C99}$ values are given in Table 5. NU3076 and diprydamole at 1 $\mu$M produced equivalent potentiation of nolatrexed cytotoxicity (Figure 4 and Table 5) and, as cells were exposed to nolatrexed in medium supplemented with dialysed serum, potentiation by diprydamole and NU3076 was not due to the prevention of thymidine rescue. Thymidine rescue from nolatrexed cytotoxicity in L1210 cells confirms that TS is the locus of action of the drug in the absence of AGP, retain significant activity in the presence of this plasma protein. The three analogues were compared with diprydamole as modulators of CB3717-induced growth inhibition and, in the absence of salvageable thymidine, all of the analogues increased the growth inhibitory effect of CB3717. In the absence of thymidine the potentiation of CB3717 activity was probably due to the inhibition of deoxouridine efflux, which has been shown in the case of diprydamole to result in greater dUTP accumulation and DNA strand breakage (Curtin et al, 1991). All three diprydamole analogues were also able to block thymidine rescue from CB3717-induced growth inhibition to a degree that was related to their potencies as inhibitors of nucleoside transport.

Substitution of the 4,8-piperidino groups of diprydamole with a p-methoxybenzylamino group, as in the case of NU3076, resulted in both improved potency as a nucleoside transport inhibitor and reduced susceptibility to AGP binding. Notably, the activity of NU3076 was not significantly affected by a > 100-fold molar excess of AGP whereas the activity of diprydamole was abolished under equivalent conditions. The kinetic parameters calculated in this study for thymidine uptake by L1210 cells, and the $K_i$ for diprydamole are comparable to reported values (Plagemann and Wohlueter, 1984). Both diprydamole and NU3076 were found to exhibit mixed-type inhibition, indicating that the interaction with the nucleoside transporter is more complex than simple competition between diprydamole and nucleosides for the substrate binding site, again in agreement with previous observations (Woffendin and Plagemann, 1987). Diprydamole-sensitive nucleoside transport is mediated by equilibrative transporters, of which there are two subtypes ($e$ and $e_i$) which exhibit different kinetic properties (Jarvis, 1986; Plagemann et al, 1988; Hammond, 1991) and are the product of distinct genes (Griffiths et al, 1997; Crawford et al, 1998). The proportion of carriers expressed in the L1210 cells used in these studies was found to be about 70% $e$ and 30% $e_i$ (K Bowman, unpublished data), in agreement with published data (Belt, 1983). The affinity of diprydamole for the $e$ transporter is probably 2.5 × higher than for the $e_i$ transporter (Hammond, 1991), producing different inhibition constants for each transporter subtype. Thus, the mixed-type inhibition of thymidine uptake observed with diprydamole and NU3076 in L1210 cells may reflect the presence of the two different transporter proteins.

Both nolatrexed and 5-FU were cytotoxic to L1210 cells in a concentration-dependent manner. The observation that 1 $\mu$M thymidine completely reverses the cytotoxic effects of nolatrexed in L1210 cells confirms that TS is the locus of action of the drug in these cells, as has been demonstrated in other cell lines (Webber et al, 1996). Cell survival decreased in response to increasing concentrations of nolatrexed, with only 10–20% of cells surviving exposure to 10 $\mu$M nolatrexed. At concentrations above 10 $\mu$M nolatrexed there was very little further increase in cytotoxicity, suggesting a fraction of the cells are resistant to inhibition of TS, probably because they failed to enter a nolatrexed-sensitive phase of the cell cycle during the period of drug exposure. Cell killing also increased in response to increasing 5-FU concentrations; however, in contrast to the pattern of cytotoxicity of nolatrexed, there was no apparent plateau in cell survival. The lack of a resistant fraction of cells may reflect the fact that 5-FU has a number of loci of action in addition to TS inhibition, e.g. FUTP and FdUTP incorporation into RNA and DNA respectively.

Both diprydamole and the novel analogue NU3076 were approximately equipotent as enhancers of the cytotoxicity of nolatrexed and 5-FU and, since the clonogenic cell survival studies

**DISCUSSION**

Three diprydamole analogues with altered 2,6-substituents (NU3026, NU3059 and NU3060) have been identified which, although less potent than diprydamole in the absence of AGP, retain significant activity in the presence of this plasma protein. The three analogues were compared with diprydamole as modulators of CB3717-induced growth inhibition and, in the absence of salvageable thymidine, all of the analogues increased the growth inhibitory effect of CB3717. In the absence of thymidine the potentiation of CB3717 activity was probably due to the inhibition of deoxouridine efflux, which has been shown in the case of diprydamole to result in greater dUTP accumulation and DNA strand breakage (Curtin et al, 1991). All three diprydamole analogues were also able to block thymidine rescue from CB3717-induced growth inhibition to a degree that was related to their potencies as inhibitors of nucleoside transport.

Substitution of the 4,8-piperidino groups of diprydamole with a p-methoxybenzylamino group, as in the case of NU3076, resulted in both improved potency as a nucleoside transport inhibitor and reduced susceptibility to AGP binding. Notably, the activity of NU3076 was not significantly affected by a > 100-fold molar excess of AGP whereas the activity of diprydamole was abolished under equivalent conditions. The kinetic parameters calculated in this study for thymidine uptake by L1210 cells, and the $K_i$ for diprydamole are comparable to reported values (Plagemann and Wohlueter, 1984). Both diprydamole and NU3076 were found to exhibit mixed-type inhibition, indicating that the interaction with the nucleoside transporter is more complex than simple competition between diprydamole and nucleosides for the substrate binding site, again in agreement with previous observations (Woffendin and Plagemann, 1987). Diprydamole-sensitive nucleoside transport is mediated by equilibrative transporters, of which there are two subtypes ($e$ and $e_i$) which exhibit different kinetic properties (Jarvis, 1986; Plagemann et al, 1988; Hammond, 1991) and are the product of distinct genes (Griffiths et al, 1997; Crawford et al, 1998). The proportion of carriers expressed in the L1210 cells used in these studies was found to be about 70% $e$ and 30% $e_i$ (K Bowman, unpublished data), in agreement with published data (Belt, 1983). The affinity of diprydamole for the $e$ transporter is probably 2.5 × higher than for the $e_i$ transporter (Hammond, 1991), producing different inhibition constants for each transporter subtype. Thus, the mixed-type inhibition of thymidine uptake observed with diprydamole and NU3076 in L1210 cells may reflect the presence of the two different transporter proteins.

Both nolatrexed and 5-FU were cytotoxic to L1210 cells in a concentration-dependent manner. The observation that 1 $\mu$M thymidine completely reverses the cytotoxic effects of nolatrexed in L1210 cells confirms that TS is the locus of action of the drug in these cells, as has been demonstrated in other cell lines (Webber et al, 1996). Cell survival decreased in response to increasing concentrations of nolatrexed, with only 10–20% of cells surviving exposure to 10 $\mu$M nolatrexed. At concentrations above 10 $\mu$M nolatrexed there was very little further increase in cytotoxicity, suggesting a fraction of the cells are resistant to inhibition of TS, probably because they failed to enter a nolatrexed-sensitive phase of the cell cycle during the period of drug exposure. Cell killing also increased in response to increasing 5-FU concentrations; however, in contrast to the pattern of cytotoxicity of nolatrexed, there was no apparent plateau in cell survival. The lack of a resistant fraction of cells may reflect the fact that 5-FU has a number of loci of action in addition to TS inhibition, e.g. FUTP and FdUTP incorporation into RNA and DNA respectively.

Both diprydamole and the novel analogue NU3076 were approximately equipotent as enhancers of the cytotoxicity of nolatrexed and 5-FU and, since the clonogenic cell survival studies
were carried out in medium supplemented with dialysed serum, potentiation by dipyridamole and NU3076 was not due to inhibition of thymidine rescue. By analogy with previous studies (Grem and Fischer 1985, 1989; Curtin et al., 1988, 1991) potentiation in the absence of thymidine is most likely to be due to inhibition of nucleoside efflux (deoxuridine, fluoresceodeoxuridine, fluorouridine) leading to greater accumulation of cytotoxic nucleosides. Modulation of thymidine rescue from nolatrexed cytotoxicity by dipyridamole and NU3076 was also investigated, and the complete reversal of nolatrexed cytotoxicity by 1 \mu M thymidine was partially inhibited by both 1 \mu M dipyridamole and NU3076, and completely blocked by 10 \mu M dipyridamole. In nucleoside transport assays thymidine uptake was inhibited by 80\% at 1 \mu M dipyridamole and by 56\% at 1 \mu M NU3076, consistent with the partial rescue from nolatrexed cytotoxicity at this concentration. In the presence of 10 \mu M dipyridamole, which produced 99\% inhibition of thymidine uptake in transport studies, thymidine rescue was completely blocked such that the survival of cells exposed to nolatrexed, thymidine and 10 \mu M dipyridamole was not significantly different from that of cells exposed to nolatrexed alone. It was not possible to investigate the prevention of thymidine rescue by 10 \mu M NU3076 due to the limited solubility of this compound. Recent data from this laboratory (P Smith, manuscript in preparation) indicate that NU3076 can block thymidine rescue from TS inhibitor cytotoxicity in human cancer cell lines. Both dipyridamole and NU3076 potentiated 5-FU cytotoxicity to a similar extent. However, potentiation was only apparent at high concentrations of 5-FU, and the degree of potentiation of 5-FU was less than that observed for nolatrexed. In other studies dipyridamole has been shown to potentiate 5-FU cytotoxicity by different mechanisms in different cell lines. In WiDr human colon adenocarcinoma cells, dipyridamole potentiated 5-FU cytotoxicity by depletion of cellular dTTP pools (Lonn et al., 1989). In HCT 116 cells, the potentiation of 5-FU by dipyridamole was considered to be a consequence of both elevated dUMP levels and increased intracellular accumulation ofFUUMP, the latter formed from FUDP. These nucleotide pool changes were thought to reflect the combined effects of de-repression ofdCMP deaminase and thymidine kinase, following loss of feedback inhibition by dTTP, and inhibition of deoxyuridine and fluoro-deoxyuridine efflux (Grem and Fisher, 1985, 1989). An investigation into which of these mechanisms is critical to 5-FU cytotoxicity in L1210 cells has not been undertaken, but clearly TS inhibition is not the only important locus of action of the drug.

From the data reported here it is apparent that AGP binding, which has limited the clinical use of dipyridamole as an enhancer of antimetabolite chemotherapy, can be overcome. Potentiation of the growth inhibition and cytotoxicity of TS inhibitors by novel dipyridamole analogues was related to nucleoside transport inhibitory potency. All of the compounds described here were more potent than dipyridamole in the presence of physiological concentrations of AGP, and the potency of one compound, NU3076, was not significantly affected by AGP binding.
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