Genomic epidemiology and global diversity of the emerging bacterial pathogen *Elizabethkingia anophelis*
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*Elizabethkingia anophelis* is an emerging pathogen involved in human infections and outbreaks in distinct world regions. We investigated the phylogenetic relationships and pathogenesis-associated genomic features of two neonatal meningitis isolates isolated 5 years apart from one hospital in Central African Republic and compared them with *Elizabethkingia* from other regions and sources. Average nucleotide identity firmly confirmed that *E. anophelis*, *E. meningoseptica* and *E. miricola* represent demarcated genomic species. A core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme, broadly applicable to *Elizabethkingia* species, was developed and made publicly available (http://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/elizabethkingia). Phylogenetic analysis revealed distinct *E. anophelis* sublineages and demonstrated high genetic relatedness between the African isolates, compatible with persistence of the strain in the hospital environment. CRISPR spacer variation between the African isolates was mirrored by the presence of a large mobile genetic element. The pan-genome of *E. anophelis* comprised 6,880 gene families, underlining genomic heterogeneity of this species. African isolates carried unique resistance genes acquired by horizontal transfer. We demonstrated the presence of extensive variation of the capsular polysaccharide synthesis gene cluster in *E. anophelis*. Our results demonstrate the dynamic evolution of this emerging pathogen and the power of genomic approaches for *Elizabethkingia* identification, population biology and epidemiology.

*Elizabethkingia* is a genus of aerobic, non-motile bacteria belonging to the family *Flavobacteriaceae* in the phylum *Bacteroidetes*. *Elizabethkingia* strains are considered ubiquitous in nature and highly prevalent in the gut of *Anopheles* mosquitoes\(^1–3\). The genus currently comprises four species. *E. meningoseptica* is a common cause of neonatal meningitis, especially in premature infants during the first weeks of life, and also causes a wide range of human infections as an opportunistic pathogen\(^4–6\). *E. miricola* is rarely associated with sepsis\(^7\). *E. anophelis* was recently described from the midgut of *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes\(^8\), while *E. endophytica* was recently described based on a strain from corn\(^9\).

In 2013, some of us described the two first human cases of neonatal meningitis caused by *E. anophelis* in Bangui, Central African Republic\(^10\). A nosocomial origin was suspected for these strains given that patients had a history of intubation and mechanical ventilation at birth. The two meningitis cases occurred in the same hospital five years apart and an epidemiological link between them was not established. *E. anophelis* was also implicated in an outbreak in an intensive care unit in Singapore\(^11\) and in a transmission from mother to infant in Hong Kong\(^12\), resulting in late-onset meningitis in the baby. At the time of writing, an unprecedented large outbreak of *E. anophelis* from a yet unknown source is ongoing in Wisconsin, USA. *E. anophelis* is therefore considered...
as an emerging opportunistic pathogen, and its clinical significance was underlined recently, with a majority of Elizabethkingia isolates being in fact identified as *E. anophelis* rather than *E. meningoseptica*13. In all previously reported clinical cases10–13, *E. anophelis* isolates were resistant to most antibiotics recommended for empirical therapy, including third-generation cephalosporins, aminoglycosides and carbapenems14, probably accounting for the poor infection outcomes. Consistently, most *E. anophelis* genomes harbor multiple antimicrobial resistance genes, including the two metallo-beta-lactamase genes *bla*GOB and *bla*B, associated with resistance to carbapenems15. Currently, the clinical significance and biological distribution of *E. anophelis* is restricted, as this novel species is not well distinguished from other *Elizabethkingia* species in routine microbiological identification procedures, and as reference identification of *E. anophelis* relies mostly on the low-resolution 16S rRNA gene.

Genomic studies of *E. anophelis* strains from clinical, environmental and mosquito origins have revealed interesting features that may contribute to the adaptation of this bacterium to mosquito and that may be implicated in its pathogenesis1,12,16. Genomic sequencing has also been used to derive epidemiological insights during hospital outbreaks12,16. However, knowledge on the genomic diversity and population structure of *E. anophelis* is still scant, and there is currently no standard way to compare isolates at local, regional or global scales, which limits our understanding of the epidemiology and global emergence of *E. anophelis*.

**Results and Discussion**

**Genome sequences of African isolates E27017 and E18064.** The two African isolates were sequenced using paired-end Illumina technology. The genome sequence of isolate E18064 was assembled into 213 contigs of total length 4,080,763 bp. It is 35.7% G + C rich and contains 3,648 CDSs. The E27107 genome sequence is 4,059,474 bp long, 35.5% G + C rich and contains 3,674 CDSs distributed over 89 contigs. The genome characteristics of the two African isolates thus appeared similar to those of previously sequenced *Elizabethkingia* genomes (Table S1).

**Genome-based identification of members of the Elizabethkingia genus.** To determine with confidence the species-level identification of the two African and the 18 other *Elizabethkingia* isolates, we calculated average nucleotide identity (ANI) based on their genomic sequences (Table S2)17,18. The distribution of ANI values among the 20 genomes classified them into three main groups (Fig. 1a) and showed a clear separation of *E. anophelis* strains from *E. meningoseptica* and *E. miricola* strains. These results fully demonstrate that these three taxa represent distinct genomic groups. The two African isolates clearly belong to *E. anophelis*, based on their high ANI with R26, the type strain of this species, fully confirming their initial identification10. Even though they were initially identified as *E. meningoseptica*, the three strains 502, Endophthalmitis and B2D also appeared closely related to *E. anophelis* isolates based on ANI values. Therefore, these three strains may be re-assigned to *E. anophelis*. Based on their ANI, the *E. miricola* reference strain ATCC 33958 and the unclassified *Elizabethkingia* sp. strain BM10 isolated from a termite, clearly belong to a single species. Urea degradation is a differential
phenotypic characteristic of *E. miricola*, and we detected the presence in both ATCC 33958 and BM10 genomes of the urease cluster, whereas it was absent from all other genomes. The ANI values among *E. anophelis* genomes ranged from 96.97% to 100% (Fig. 1b), thus clearly higher than the classical 95% threshold used for species delineation. This result confirms that *E. anophelis* isolates belong to a single genomic species. Of note, the highest ANI value computed between *E. anophelis* and *E. meningoseptica* genomes was only 79.7%, showing that these species are separated by an evolutionary distance similar to the one observed between *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. (80.4%), two model species with very distinct ecological lifestyles and virulence features.

The two African *E. anophelis* isolates were initially identified based on 16S rRNA sequencing. Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA sequences (Figure S1a) was consistent with the clustering into three species. However, four *E. anophelis* isolates (502, B2D, Endophthalmitis and PW2810) were linked to the other *E. anophelis* strains in a clade with an atypically long branch. Inspection of the alignment revealed that this long branch was caused by 10 nucleotide differences clustered within a single region of 35 nucleotides (Figure S1b). These results indicate that careful interpretation may be required when classifying *Elizabethkingia* isolates based on the divergence values estimated from the classical 16S rRNA marker.

*Elizabethkingia* species are difficult to distinguish based on biochemical tests. Although 16S rDNA sequencing is currently used in practice for species-level identification, MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry may be a method of choice in the future, after appropriate reference spectrum databases have been constructed. We recommend that molecular approaches such as genome sequence-based ANI or protein-coding gene sequencing should be used for reference taxonomic work. Future use of appropriate identification methods will help defining better the clinical importance of *E. anophelis*, which has been underreported until now due to frequent misidentification as *E. meningoseptica*.

**Gene repertoire of *Elizabethkingia***. To compare the gene repertoire of the two African isolates with other *Elizabethkingia* isolates, we first quantified the diversity of *Elizabethkingia* genes by computing the set of ubiquitous genes (core genome) and the set of different homologous genes families (pan-genome) among the 20 genomes. At the level of the genus *Elizabethkingia*, the core genome contained 2,221 orthologous protein families, corresponding to 65% of the size of the smallest proteome (strain *E. meningoseptica* NBRC 12535). The core-genome of the species *E. anophelis* had 2,512 orthologous protein families, corresponding to 72% of the size of the smallest proteome (strain B2D). Gene rarefaction analyses showed that the *E. anophelis* core genome varies little with the addition of the last genomes (Fig. 2a), suggesting that this core genome estimate is robust. Both the pan-genomes of the *Elizabethkingia* genus and of *E. anophelis* were large with, respectively, 7,801 and 6,880 gene families. Gene rarefaction analyses showed that the addition of future *E. anophelis* genomes to the analysis will still significantly increase the size of the pan-genome. The spectrum of gene frequencies for the *E. anophelis* pan-genome (Fig. 2b) showed that the vast majority of gene families were either encoded in a few genomes (39% in three or less) or in most of them (42% in more than 14 genomes), confirming that the genome sequencing of additional *Elizabethkingia* strains will uncover multiple novel gene families.

![Figure 2. Core- and pan-genome sizes of *Elizabethkingia anophelis* (a) and spectrum of frequencies for *E. anophelis* gene repertoires (b). The pan- and core-genomes were used to perform gene accumulation curves. These curves describe the number of new genes (pan-genome) and genes in common (core-genome) obtained by adding a new genome to a previous set. The procedure was repeated 1,000 times by randomly modifying the order of integration of genomes in the analysis. The spectrum of frequencies represents the number of genomes where the families of the pan-genome can be found, from 1 for strain-specific genes to 16 for core genes. Blue indicates accessory genes and green the genes that are highly persistent in *E. anophelis*. Numbers in circles above the bars indicate the number of antimicrobial resistance (AR) gene families.](image-url)
Core genome-based phylogenetic structure of *E. anophelis*. To determine the phylogenetic origin of the African strains with respect to strains from other world regions, we selected a subset of 1,546 gene families with very reliable alignments (few indels) to infer robust phylogenies. Phylogenetic analysis based on concatenated alignments of the 1,546 gene sequences showed that all *E. anophelis* isolates were clearly separated from closely related species *E. miricola* (its sister group) and *E. meningoseptica* (Fig. 3a). These results fully corroborated the classification into three genomic groups based on ANI and 16S rRNA analyses.

The phylogenetic structure of *E. anophelis* revealed two main lineages (A and B, Fig. 3b), each containing clearly demarcated sublineages. Mosquito strains Ag1 and R26 formed a single sublineage (sublineage 1) within lineage A, which comprised four additional sublineages: sublineage 2, which includes three patient isolates and two hospital environment isolates from a Singapore outbreak; sublineage 3, which includes two unrelated hospital environment isolates from the above outbreak investigation; sublineage 4, which includes strains PW2806 and PW2809 from Hong Kong; and sublineage 5, made of the two African isolates from Bangui. Within each sublineage, the strains were highly related. These results show that the African strains formed a distinct sublineage, therefore having a clearly distinct evolutionary origin from Asian nosocomial strains and from mosquito strains. The nearly simultaneous recognition of the emergence of *E. anophelis* infections in Africa and Asia thus reflects independent epidemiological events.

Antimicrobial resistance-associated genomic features of African *E. anophelis* isolates. All *Elizabethkingia* genomes contained at least 17 antimicrobial resistance genes (Table S3). Most prominent among these were genes coding for beta-lactamases, including the metallo-beta-lactamase genes *bla* _blaB_ and *bla* _GOB_, and for efflux systems, which may contribute largely to the phenotypic resistance of *Elizabethkingia* isolates to most antibiotics. These findings are consistent with previous descriptions of multiple resistance genes in *Elizabethkingia* genomes. Most resistance genes belonged to persistent families, i.e., they were part of the core genome of *Elizabethkingia*. This was the case for genes *bla*_ _blaB_ and *bla*_ _GOB_, which were previously described as intrinsic and chromosome-borne in *E. meningoseptica*. We observed that both *bla*_ _blaB_ and *bla*_ _GOB_ were located in highly syntenic regions in all members of the *Elizabethkingia* genus, but were absent from the closely related genera *Flavobacterium*, *Riemerella* and *Chryseobacterium* (not shown). These results show that multiple potential resistance genes are ancestral in the genus *Elizabethkingia* and suggest acquisition by horizontal gene transfer into this genus before the evolutionary separation of the three *Elizabethkingia* species.

Interestingly, several additional resistance genes were detected in the African isolates (24 genes in total) as compared to other *Elizabethkingia* genomes (range: 17–20). Whereas all *Elizabethkingia* genomes contained one conserved chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene, the two African isolates contained an additional CAT...
gene that had 80.7% amino-acid identity with the conserved copy. The phylogenetic relationships of the conserved copy (Figure S2) were concordant with the classification of the strains into *E. meningoseptica, E. miricola* and *E. anophelis*, indicating vertical evolution of the conserved CAT copy from an ancestral *Elizabethkingia* gene.

In contrast, the additional CAT copy from African isolates was closely related to *Riemerella* sequences (Figure S2), suggesting acquisition by horizontal gene transfer from this genus.

Each of the African isolates also carried two additional metallo-beta-lactamases of the B1 (NDM-CcrA) subclass. In addition, an aminoglycoside acetyltransferase (AAC3-I) was found only in the two African isolates and in one isolate (NUH6) from Singapore. Finally, a *tet(X)* gene coding for a tetracycline inactivating enzyme 99.7% identical to that of *Bacteroides fragilis* was found in the African isolates and in the Singapore isolate NUH4. Interestingly, the additional CAT gene, *tet(X)* and one subclass B1 beta-lactamase gene were clustered in a genomic region that also contained a putative class D beta-lactamase gene, a mercuric reductase gene and a lincosamide nucleotidyltransferase (LinF) gene associated with resistance to lincosamides. This region had high similarity to plasmid pRA0511 of *Riemella anatipestifer*. Furthermore, the other subclass B1 beta-lactamase gene and the AAC3-I gene were adjacent to each other and to a transposase gene. These observations indicate that the additional resistance genes of the African *E. anophelis* isolates were acquired through the transfer of mobile genetic elements.

**Identification and diversity of capsular polysaccharide synthesis clusters.** Currently, the pathophysiology of *Elizabethkingia* infections is poorly understood. We searched for virulence-associated features in the African *E. anophelis* and other *Elizabethkingia* genomes by using the VFDB database (Table S4). A number of coding sequences showed homologs to iron and heme acquisition, to hemolysins and to oxidative stress resistance proteins, consistent with previous reports. Among the hits found in the VFDB database, several corresponded to proteins putatively involved in capsule synthesis (Table S4). Further inspection of genome sequences revealed a Wzy-dependent *cps* gene cluster comprising 27 co-oriented coding sequences in the two African isolates and in most other *Elizabethkingia* strains (Fig. 4). The upstream (3′) part of the gene cluster was highly conserved (Fig. 4) and included the well-characterized genes, *wzc* and *wza*. The former codes for an inner membrane protein necessary for capsule polymerization and translocation across the inner membrane. The latter encodes a channel that allows translocation of the polysaccharide across the outer membrane. Interestingly,
these two genes were found in two copies in most strains separated by three other conserved genes (a RecX family transcription factor, and two other genes of unknown function).

The downstream region of the cps gene cluster was highly variable and may be responsible for the Way-dependent synthesis of polysaccharides with distinctive chemical compositions and which would possibly correspond to distinct capsular serotypes as observed in other capsulated species. This region comprised the flippase (wexX) and the polymerase (wzy) and other genes coding for glycosyl transferases, acetyl transferases and other sugar-modifying enzymes. In some strains, the essential genes involved in polymerization—wexX and wzy—could not be confidently identified. These genes are known to be poorly conserved even among phylogenetically closely related isolates, rendering their detection difficult.

Interestingly, the genetic organization was strongly conserved among strains belonging to the same phylogenetic sublineage (as defined above; Fig. 4). These results suggest that capsular types are conserved within E. anophelis sublineages, but not between them. For example, the groups of African isolates, and mosquito isolates (R26a and Ag1) showed few intra-group and many inter-group differences in the downstream regions of the capsular cluster locus. More specifically, the two African genomes seem to code for a unique and distinctive capsule, relative to other Elizabethkingia strains. Capsular type variation in Elizabethkingia may restrict immune cross-reactions and could be associated with distinct pathogenic properties, as observed in other capsulated bacterial groups. Using the India ink method, we did not observe capsular material in the two African strains, as tested during stationary phase in BH and in LB medium. A capsule was observed previously for some Elizabethkingia strains, but capsule production was variable across in-vitro and in-vivo conditions. Given that bacterial capsules represent one of the main bacterial virulence factors, particularly in pathogens that may be responsible for meningitis, these results warrant future studies into the pathophysiological and epidemiological implications of capsular variation in Elizabethkingia.

**Secretion systems.** Bacterial secretion systems are associated with important functions such as detoxification, antibiotic resistance and scavenging. We used MacSyFinder, together with TXSScan profiles, to identify the secretion systems encoded in the Elizabethkingia genomes (Table S5). While T9SS (PorSS) is present in many members of the phylum Bacteroidetes, we found none in the Elizabethkingia genomes. We also found no evidence for the presence of Type 2, 3, 5 protein secretion systems, which fits previous suggestions that these systems are absent from Bacteroidetes. In contrast, we found two families of TISS in the genomes of Elizabethkingia, one of which was present in both African strains.

A third variant of the type VI secretion system (T6SSiii) was recently uncovered in Bacteroidetes. T6SS can be involved in bacterial competition or pathogenicity. Interestingly, one locus encoding a T6SS was found in every strain of our dataset. This system was highly conserved, except for the gene family tss that showed extensive copy number variability. This gene encodes the cell-puncturing device and it can be fused with a variety of toxic domains, thus encoding a toxin. These systems might provide Elizabethkingia strains a way to antagonize competing bacteria in the complex environments such as the mosquito gut.

Type 4 secretion systems (T4SS) implicated in conjugative DNA transfer were previously described in E. anophelis. We identified such systems in nearly all the genomes. Some strains encoded up to six conjugative systems (e.g., strain NUHFP3), which is among the highest values observed among bacteria. Expectedly, all these systems were of the MFPB type, the one specific to Bacteroidetes. All the genomes encoding a T4SS also encoded one or several relaxases, suggesting that these systems are involved in conjugation of mobile genetic elements and not in protein secretion. The abundance of conjugative mobile genetic elements suggests that they might drive much of the genetic diversification observed in Elizabethkingia.

**Standardized strain typing method based on genome sequencing for Elizabethkingia epidemiology.** In order to explore the epidemiological links between E. anophelis isolates, we translated whole genome sequences into high-resolution genotyping data. For this purpose, we followed the cgMLST strategy, which allows creating universal genotype nomenclatures and comparing isolates globally. A cgMLST scheme was developed based on 1,546 genes that could be aligned with high confidence among Elizabethkingia genomes. We then tested this scheme by scanning the 20 isolates for allelic variation at these loci. The identity of the 1,546 alleles was recorded for each isolate. The resulting allelic profiles were then clustered to obtain groups of isolates. These groups coincided with the sublineages from the phylogenetic analysis of the core gene sequences (Figure S4).

To validate our cgMLST approach, we took advantage of the availability within the dataset of public genomes, of groups of isolates that were epidemiologically related, i.e., which were isolated during single outbreaks or were associated with direct mother-to-child transmission. First, the five E. anophelis strains NUHP1, NUHP2, NUHP3, NUHP1 and NUHP4 from a single outbreak in Singapore were compared. We found that four of these strains were almost identical (2 to 6 cgMLST allelic mismatches), whereas NUHP4 was slightly more distant (32 or more allelic mismatches with the others). This is highly consistent with the whole genome single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis by Teo and colleagues, who found 24 to 38 SNPs among the four closely related isolates, whereas at least 176 SNPs separated these from isolate NUH4. In a second study, two isolates (HKU37 and HKU38) from a mother and her neonate were considered to be directly related based on their genomic sequences, which showed no difference out of 2,000 genes. Based on our cgMLST approach, these two isolates were also identical, as none of the 1,546 loci was variable between them. In contrast, 1,433 cgMLST loci were distinct between this pair of isolates and a third independent isolate (HKU36). These results demonstrate the extremely high efficiency of the cgMLST approach to distinguish epidemiologically related isolates from unrelated ones. The core-genome MLST approach and attached genomic sequences were made publicly available through the Institut Pasteur server (http://bigdbs.pasteur.fr).

To our surprise, the two African E. anophelis isolates, which were isolated 5 years apart in the same hospital in Bangui, differed at only 4 alleles. This level of variation is similar to the variation observed among related
isolates of the Singapore outbreak and thus strongly suggests to us that the two African hospital isolates may also be epidemiologically related. We therefore hypothesize that a single E. anophelis strain caused the two infections five years apart, due to its persistence in a hospital environmental source. Alternately, it is conceivable that an epidemiological reservoir, such as the mosquito gut, comprises an E. anophelis population with an extremely reduced genetic diversity. Although we favor the hospital persistence hypothesis, more data on the diversity of E. anophelis in various environments is needed to interpret genotyping data for epidemiological questions.

**Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR).** CRISPR loci exhibit spacer diversity that reflects a history of prior invasions by different phages and plasmids43. In addition, the high variability of CRISPR loci in some bacterial species implies that they represent useful markers for epidemiological investigations44,45. To extend our understanding of genomic variation between the African isolates and among the other Elizabethkingia isolates, we investigated CRISPR-Cas systems and analyzed spacer content in the 20 strains. All three species contained subtype II-C CRISPR-Cas systems comprising of Cas1, Cas2, and Cas9 proteins, while no homolog of Cas4 and Cas2 were identified46. The loci were characterized by unusually long repeats (that is, 47 bp in size, Fig. 5a), as previously observed in bacteria belonging to the Bacteroidetes phylum46. However, the CRISPR locus was only present in a few Elizabethkingia strains (Figure S3). These results demonstrate the occurrence of CRISPR systems in Elizabethkingia and the variable presence of these systems among phylogenetic sublineages of E. anophelis.

Analysis of the spacer diversity among Elizabethkingia CRISPR arrays revealed no shared spacer between or within species, with the exception of the two hospital acquired African isolates, which showed a strong CRISPR conservation (Fig. 5b). This finding suggests a high rate of gain and loss of complete CRISPR-Cas system during E. anophelis evolution. CRISPR arrays of the two African isolates were almost identical, with the exception that E18064, which was isolated 5 years later (in 2011), contained two additional spacers at the 5′ end of the array (called S22 and S23, Fig. 5b). Importantly, according to the current spacer acquisition model, these two spacers are the most recent acquired spacers (see Methods). This clear genetic difference between CRISPR arrays of the African isolates was confirmed by PCR. CRISPR-based typing may thus offer the high level of discrimination needed for strain subtyping in a local epidemiological context.

Surprisingly, while the S22 and S23 spacers were clearly absent in the CRISPR array of the earlier African isolate E27107 (isolated in 2006), we found perfect matches (i.e. protosparers) in one E27107 specific genomic region of 43 kb (not including the CRISPR array) (Fig. 5c). This particular genomic island was totally absent in other strains and was characterized by the presence of a putative integrase gene located near a tRNA-Arg gene, three genes encoding putative phage tail and lysis proteins, with the remaining genes having unknown functions. Further, this region contained only co-oriented genes. Thus, we hypothesize that this putative mobile genetic element (MGEx) encodes a prophage. In sum, whereas isolate E27107 contained a shorter CRISPR array devoid of S22 and S23 and a putative prophage harboring S22 and S23 protospacers, isolate E18064 harbored the two spacers in its CRISPR array and lacked the corresponding prophage. Based on these observations, we speculate that the presence of these two spacers provides resistance against this particular MGE. The CRISPR and phage dynamics uncovered here emphasize the rapid diversification of MGE-related genomic features in Elizabethkingia genomes, even at the short evolutionary timescale of 5 years that separates the two African hospital infections.

**Conclusions** Elizabethkingia anophelis was recently recognized as a cause of nosocomial infections, and more recently, of community-acquired infections. In this work, the genome sequences of two hospital meningitis African isolates were established and compared with available genomes from other regions and sources. Our comparative phylogenetic analyses led us to refine the identification of Elizabethkingia strains and to demonstrate firmly the phylogenetic distinctness of the three established species of the genus. Comparative genomics analysis revealed specific features of the African isolates including additional resistance genes, a unique CRISPR locus and a specific putative capsular synthesis (cps) cluster. Demonstration of the capsulated nature of the African E. anophelis isolates, and the presence of variable cps clusters suggests an important determinant of the pathogenicity mechanisms and virulence heterogeneity among Elizabethkingia strains. Analysis of the phylogenetic structure of E. anophelis revealed several well-demarcated sublineages and demonstrated a distinct evolutionary origin of African clinical isolates, excluding an epidemiological link between recent African and Asian hospital infections. The core-genome MLST approach defined here and made publicly available through the Institut Pasteur bacterial genome database and analysis platform represents a standardized high-resolution genotyping tool. It will enable to share a common language on E. anophelis isolates sublineages, which will facilitate collaborative work on the population biology, epidemiology and pathophysiology of this newly recognized bacterial species. Here, the analysis of nosocomial infection isolates using this genotyping approach suggested that the hospital environment, rather than mosquitoes, acts as a reservoir for E. anophelis, and that the high persistence of the pathogen in this environment, if uncontrolled, allows for long-term transmissions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolates for genome sequencing.** The two clinical strains E18064 (alias: V0378064) and E27107 (alias: Po0527107) isolated in Central African Republic were sequenced with a 100 base pair (bp) paired-end protocol in Illumina HiSeq-2000. Libraries were constructed with the Nextera DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina). Genome assembly was performed with the CLC Assembly Cell analysis package v.3.2.2. The average number of contigs and the N50 statistic values (i.e., the length for which half of the bases of a draft genome are situated in contigs of that length or longer) observed from the two assembled genomes were similar to those of the publicly available draft genomes (Table S1). The draft genome sequences of strains E18064 and E27107 were annotated using the
MicroScope/MaGe platform and were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under accession numbers CCAB00000000 and CCAC00000000, respectively.

**Genomes from sequence database.** The eighteen *Elizabethkingia* genomic sequences available on April 1st, 2015 were downloaded from the NCBI genome sequence repository (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome). The sequences comprised one complete genome and 17 whole-genome shotgun sequences available as scaffolds or contigs. The strains were initially identified as *E. anophelis* (12 isolates), *E. meningoseptica* (n = 4), *E. miricola* (n = 1), and *Elizabethkingia* sp. (n = 1) (Table S1).

**Average nucleotide identity and 16S sequence analysis.** Average nucleotide identity values were estimated from the genomic assemblies using JSpecies v.1.2.1 with the BLASTN option. 16S rRNA sequences...
were gathered from genomic sequences by BLASTN similarity search using the 16S sequence of the type strain R26\(^T\) (GenBank accession number EF426425) as a query.

**Core genome and pan-genome.** Core genomes (the set of genes present in all isolates) were built either for the genus or for *E. anophelis* species. Orthologs were identified as bidirectional best hits, using end-gap free global alignment, between the proteome of *E. anophelis* NUHP1 as a pivot and each of the other proteomes (18 for the genus and 15 for the species). Strain Endophthalmitis contained a large fraction of pseudogenes (likely due to low quality of the sequence) and was therefore excluded from this analysis. Hits with less than 60% (genus) or 80% (species) amino acid sequence similarity or more than 20% difference in sequence length were discarded. Genomes from the same species typically show low levels of genome rearrangements and this information can be used to identify orthologs more accurately\(^{48,49}\). Therefore, the core-genome of the species was defined as the intersection of pairwise lists of strict positional orthologs (as in ref. 50). The core-genomes consist in the genes present in all genomes of the two sets. They were defined as the intersection of the lists of orthologs between pairs of genomes.

Pan-genomes were built by clustering homologous protein-coding sequences (CDS) into families. We determined the lists of putative homologs between pairs of genomes with BLASTP using the E-values (<10\(^{-5}\)) to perform single-linkage clustering with SiLiX v.1.2\(^+\). A CDS is thus included in a family if it shares a relation of homology to at least one CDS already belonging to the family. SiLiX parameters were set to consider two CDS as homologs if the aligned part showed at least 60% (*Elizabethkingia* genus) or 80% (*E. anophelis*) identity and represented more than 80% of the smallest CDS length. The pan-genomes thus represent the full complements of genes in the genus and in the species, respectively. The pan-genomes of the genus *Elizabethkingia* and of the species *E. anophelis* were determined independently.

**Core genome multilocus sequence typing (MLST).** To obtain a subset of CDSs that are highly reliable as genotypic markers, a subset of the core genome was selected based on length conservation criterion leading to the selection of 1,546 CDSs. These loci together constitute a cgMLST scheme useful for genotyping of *E. meningoseptica*, *E. anophelis*, and *E. miricola* isolates. The scheme comprises fewer loci than the core genome as expected from our more stringent selection criterion. The genome sequences were then scanned for allelic variation using the BIGSdb tool\(^{41}\) using the allele sequences from reference strain R26\(^T\) as the initial query sequences.

**Characterization of gene associated with drug resistance and horizontal gene transfer mechanisms.** Acquired antimicrobial resistance (AR) genes were detected using HMMER3 (v.3.1b1)\(^{52}\) against the ResFams (Core v.1.2), a curated database of AR protein families and associated profile hidden Markov models, with the tblout and cut_ga options\(^{53}\) (Table S3). Virulence-associated genes were searched on the VFDB 2016\(^{54}\) with evolutionary models GTR and I respectively)\(^{57}\) from the multiple sequence alignments generated by MAFFT v.7.205 (default options). Tree inference from 16S rRNA and CAT sequences were performed by PhyML v.2013\(^{10}\) (SPR-based ML tree search (www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/help/cons) to obtain a codon-level alignment. The concatenation of these 1,546 multiple sequence alignments was used to estimate the p-distance of each pair of isolates. These estimated evolutionary distances were used to infer a genome-level phylogenetic tree with FastME v.2.0.7 (Balanced Minimum Evolution criterion, SPR-based BME tree search)\(^{56}\). Phylogenetic tree analyses from single markers (i.e. 16S rRNA and CAT) were performed from well-suited characters selected by BMGE v.1.12 (up to 50% allowed gap proportion with models PAM1 and BLOSUM62, respectively)\(^{57}\) from the multiple sequence alignments generated by MAFFT v.7.205 (default options). Tree inferences from 16S rRNA and CAT sequences were performed by PhyML v.20131016\(^{58}\) (SPR-based ML tree search with evolutionary models GTR + I and LG + F84 + I, respectively).

**Detection of CRISPR-Cas systems.** Clusters of *cas* genes were identified and classified using MacSyFinder\(^{33}\) with Cas-Finder profiles\(^{55}\). To identify *cas* pseudogenes, all *Cas* protein sequences previously detected were searched in all the *Elizabethkingia* draft genomes using TBLASTN v.2.0 (E-value <10\(^{-5}\)). CRISPR arrays were identified following a previously published methodology\(^{59}\). In short, they were identified using CRT (CRISPR Recognition Tool) with the option -maxRL = 60\(^0\), in all the *Elizabethkingia* draft genomes. For each array, the repeats were extracted and were aligned using MAFFT v.7.205 (default options). Then, we used cons (www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/help/cons) to obtain consensus sequences from these multiple sequence alignments of each array. In all cases, the consensus sequence corresponds to the most frequent sequence within a particular array. We used the consensus sequence of the repeats as patterns to identify additional, smaller and/or degenerate repeat clusters in all draft genomes with fuznuc (www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/help/fuznuc). This step has also confirmed the lack of any repeat in some draft genomes devoid of detectable CRISPR array and *cas* genes.

**Spacer diversity.** To identify additional spacers (i.e., located at contig extremities) or to verify the lack of a particular spacer in a given strain, all spacer sequences previously detected (i.e., flanked by repeats at both sides) were searched in all the *Elizabethkingia* draft genomes using BLASTN. Only hits showing at least 90% identity with the query, less than 20% difference in sequence length and flanked by sequences similar to repeats were considered as additional spacers. We then compared the spacer content within and between strains and species.
Therefore, two spacers were considered as similar (within strain) or common (between strains) if they had less than 20% difference in sequence length and at least 90% identity.

**CRISPR locus orientation for polarized spacer acquisition.** During the adaptation phase, spacer acquisition occurs in a polarized fashion: new spacers are typically integrated at the 5′ (leader) end of the CRISPR array, which involves the duplication of the first repeat of the array, and older spacers and repeats decay at the 3′ end. Consistent with this spacer acquisition model, we found that the most degenerated repeat was located at one end of each CRISPR arrays. Hence, such particular repeat was considered as the signature of the 3′ end of the array, which corresponds to the oldest part of the locus. Such positional information represents a timeline of spacer acquisition events.

**CRISPR locus PCR.** To confirm the genomic differences observed between CRISPR arrays of the two African isolates based on Illumina sequence data, three primer pairs were designed for PCR amplification and sequencing of the CRISPR locus in three overlapping fragments: (i) L1F-CTGTTACTCGGTGATGAG and sp15R-AAACCATTCTACGGAGAAC; (ii) sp17F-GATGTAATAAGTGTGGCGC and sp5R-TCCGATTTATGAGGATGCC; and (iii) sp7F-CATGATCACAATACAAGGGGC and L1R-TGAGCGCCCATTTGTCGCCG.

PCR conditions for all amplification reactions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were purified by ultrafiltration (Millipore), and nucleotide sequences were obtained using the PCR primers and BigDye Terminator v1.1 chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) on an ABI 3730XL apparatus (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequence traces were edited and assembled using BioNumerics.

**Detection of capsular gene clusters.** To identify capsular gene clusters, we performed a keyword search of the Pfam database (pfam.xfam.org) for protein profiles involved in capsular polysaccharide production such as glycosyl transferases, ABC transporters, wzy flippase and wzy polymerase (Table S6). We then performed a search of these profiles in *Elizabethkingia* genomes using HMMER3 (v3.1b1)38, with the tbl_out option. This allowed us to clearly identify two capsule clusters in each of the strains 502 and NBRC 12535. These clusters were both located in the same genomic region, which included a highly conserved RecX family transcriptional regulator. We then searched for recX in the other genomes and reconstructed the putative capsular polysaccharide synthesis (cps) cluster in the recX neighborhood using the aforementioned protein profiles.
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