Eco-Physiological Study of Marshy and Sandy Habitats of Kachchh
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Abstract—The Kachchh coast is an ecologically sensitive zone as it supports vast areas of Mangroves, Corals, mudflats and the various components of the ecology are interlinked into a fragile ecosystem. The mangroves help the ecosystem by contributing to the oxygen Budget and in Soil Conservation. Mangroves and Corals are the nursing grounds for a variety economically important fishes, exotic coral fishes and innumerable flora and fauna. Conservation plan for patchy corals of the Gulf of Kachchh is reported. Present a detailed discussion on mangroves of the Gulf, marine floral and faunal wealth and the environmental problems like exploitation of mangrove vegetation, salt pans etc. Case studies on feasibility and conceptual plan for oceanarium, dolphinarium, marine land complex are discussed for conservation of marine life. Study aspect on the restoration of mangrove forest in detail. Ecology and biodiversity of Indian mangroves have been reviewed. Coastal and marine environment of Gulf of Kachchh has been chosen for development of model coastal management plans. Proposed establishment of refineries along the southern shore of the Gulf of Kachchh and eventual increase in the movement of crude oil and petroleum products high lights the urgent need of an adequately evolved environment management plan to minimum adverse impacts on the rich and diverse bio-productive regime of the Gulf. The various physico-chemical parameters were analyzed and study of marshy and sandy habitat in Kachchh region Texture found Sand>Silt>Clay in all samples. parameter of soil like pH 7.5, Sodium 190 mg/l, Calcium 0.23375 mg/l, Magnesium 0.40075 mg/l. Total Hardness 0.635mg/l, Chloride 3.552 mg/l, Potassium 106 mg/l.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Physicochemical properties of the soil of the coastal plains adjacent to the Sea of Japan and the Sea of Okhotsk are described. Data are compared with data on similar soils in other parts of the world. a number of groups of soils of the coastal plains are distinguished.

As this study partly deals with 3 mangrove species occurring in the Gulf and marshy areas, a passing reference should be made on this group of plants. It is widely accepted that mangrove ecosystems are diverse communities growing in the inter-tidal zones of tropical to sub-tropical coastal rivers, estuaries and bays [52]. Moreover, they act as a barrier against cyclones, protect coastal erosion and provide good nursery ground for a number of commercially important aquatic organisms. The study was carried out as part of a programme, which aimed to conserve Kavvayi wetland because of its unique ecological and environmental characteristics [50].

Floristic composition as is a good floristic marker, because any kind of changing floristic compositions in different endogenous milieu show the existence of different ecological factors; thereby leading to inter-and intra-specific diversity. This has been established in various studies [44, 45]. However, there are few studies on using and emphasizing phyto-sociological parameters to elaborate diversity of coastal flora.

Gujarat coast is characterized by typical salt marshes spread in about 796-km, sand-dunes in approximately 440-km and rocky shores in ~ 319-km [38, 39].

Aquatic ecosystems are the most productive ecosystems and provide a resource of food and raw materials with a very high economical value to the national and regional economy. [29, 54] These fragile ecosystems include different biotopes like; sand-dune, marshes, lakes and rivers. Much work has been carried out on the floristic, phyto-sociology and pollution aspects of these ecosystems in different regions of the India and world notable among these being [1, 2, 18, 30, 32].
Processes of climatic change and habitation loss which alongside are an important example where synergistic effects may occur. Yet most studies reporting effects of climate change [33] or habitat loss and fragmentation on biodiversity [7] have examined each in isolation. The soil plays an important role in the productivity of crops as well as the improvement in environment [55].

A sensitive and useful evaluate of biodiversity loss needs to be based on changes in population abundance across a large range of species and must consider the entire landscape. At a global scale, habitat loss, including reductions in both quality and quantity of suitable environment, is the main factor responsible for declines in species abundance [15]. Other important cause, such as excessive harvest pressure or the effect of pollutants, can also be articulated on the basis of area affected and intensity of impact.

I. RELATED WORK

The study of Sea grass communities are the critical part of the marine ecosystem because they function as primary producers with high rate of production [10] and serve as shelter and swim-in-restaurants for a variety of marine animals [17]. Marine botanists have rigorously worked out eco-physiology of sea grasses, which abundantly grow in tropical and temperate seawaters [13] described the distribution of seagrasses along the Indian coast, whereas [42] studied eco-physiology of *Ruppia stelata* growing on Saurashtra coast in Gujarat.

Accounts of salt marsh ecology with particular emphasis on vegetation and topography appear [3, 4, 9, 24, 39, 49] while other aspects are included.

It may be mentioned here that the IUCN in its regional marine program for 15 countries in Asia (2002) further stressed the need to save from harm coastal and marine diversity covering a varied range of ecosystems, such as coastal lagoons, mangroves, coral reefs, marshlands and deep sea trenches.

Base data of mangrove diversity in the Sunder bans (India) have been collected [30] whereas massive investigations on coastal flora occurring on 5700-km-long Indian coast have been cited by Banerjee [2]. However, these studies, though many in numbers, include only details of either occurrence or distribution of halophytes in different parts of the country. The Significant contribution on eco-physiology, phytosociology and biodiversity of halophytes has been carried out at Physiology laboratory of Life Science Department since 27 years [19,34,46,48,50] Nevertheless, much remains to be done on diversity of coastal flora occurring on Indian coast.

In a broader sense, coastal systems include rocky and sandy shores, beaches, estuaries, deltas, backwaters, lagoons etc. [6] and are usually covered with dry coastal beach vegetation, beach forest of sandy or rocky habitat types, wet coastal mangroves, salt marshes, seaweeds, sea-grasses, coral reefs and associated sub-tidal benthos [11].

Kirtikar and Basu, studies on mangrove and identified to tolerate extreme and any environmental condition. [20]

Halophyte is a plant who grows in saline area and also affected by salinity in root area. Mangrove and halophytic plants have been used in folklore medicine for the treatment of human diseases for centuries.

Distribution of various plant species in coastal environment depends upon their capacity of enduring salt tolerance and the latter characteristic is often manifested by the mineral composition of vegetative organs. Khot [19] reported 2.94 to 12.3 meq. g⁻¹ Na⁺ and 2.6 to 11.6 meq.g⁻¹ Cl⁻ and Shukla [46] found 3.64 to 5.86 meq.g⁻¹ Na⁺ and 4.55 to 6.97 meq.g⁻¹ Cl⁻ in different succulent halophytes. Most of salt tolerant grasses are usually salt excretes and therefore, accumulate less amounts of Na⁺ and Cl⁻. For example, Joshi [16] reported 0.15 to 0.78 meq.g⁻¹ Na⁺ and 0.15 to 0.53 meq.g⁻¹ Cl⁻ in vegetative organs is salt tolerant grass *Helenchloa setulosa* collected from Ghogha area, Gujarat. Misra [28] observed that accumulation of Na⁺ varied from 0.32 to 0.66 meq.g⁻¹ and that of Cl⁻ from 0.37 to 0.77 meq.g⁻¹ in *Sporobolus adraspatanus*. Recently, Vyas and Pawar [34, 50] recorded 1.02 to 1.37 meq.g⁻¹ Na⁺ and 1.53 to 1.59 meq.g⁻¹ Cl⁻ in *Sporobolus coromandelianus* and *Aeluropus lagopoides* growing in Bhal and lower part of Gujarat region.

II. METHODOLOGY

Description of the study area

![Fig. I](image)

Jakhau: It is situated Latitude 23.13’48.59”N & Longitude 68.36’56.54”E. The area is famous and one of the oldest ports on Kachchh coast. Creeks of Jakhau are also well known for very productive salt pans, dense patches of natural mangroves and also identified as one of the important sites for mangrove plantation by various agencies. A part from port
and salt pan activities, the area is known for seasonal fishing activity, especially for prawns.

Bhadreshwar: It is situated at Latitude 22°58′30.25″ N & Longitude 69°54′20.98″ E. It is about 27 km from Taluka headquarters Mundra and barely a kilometer away from seashore. The Bhadreshwar has been included in special economic zone of Mundra.

Mandvi: one an important port city of the region and dates back to 16th Century AD. It is situated Latitude 22°49′24.15″ N & Longitude 69°20′26.64″ E. The city had more than four hundred year old ship building industry that still manufactures small ships. In 18th century, the Mandvi merchants collectively owned a fleet of 400 vessels trading with East Africa, Malabar Coast and the Persian Gulf.

Gandhidham (Tuna): It is situated Latitude 22°58′30.25″ N & Longitude 70°05′54.50″ E. Tuna port was developing during mid-eighteenth century by Roa.

Collection of soil sample
Sample of soil were collected around 5kg of 10cm deep depth. Samples were collect from the Mandvi, Mundra (Bhadreswar), Abdasa (Jakhau), and Gandhidham (Tuna) area. Soil samples were collected in zip-locked polythene bags.

IV. RESULTS
The highest percentage of silt content recorded 32.44% in Jakhau and low percentage of soil silt content showed 15.60% in Mandvi. The minimum and maximum clay in Bhadreswar and Tuna port were observed 14.9% and 21.29%, respectively. The maximum sand content showed 65.30% in Mandvi. The minimum sand content showed 54.26 % in Tuna port (fig.2).

TOC of soil average range recorded is 0.01729%. Mandvi soil recorded the highest range of TOC 0.01473% during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at tuna port, Bhadreswar and Jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 0.01218%, 0.004043%, 0.001823%. Jakhau soil recorded the minimum range of TOC 0.001823% (fig.3).

pH of soil average range recorded is 7.5 pH. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of pH 8.1, where other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi , tuna port and jakhau soil pH found to be 7.1 , 7.5 , 7.5. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of pH 7.0 (fig.4).
EC of soil average range recorded is 16.33 µs/cm. Jakhau soil recorded the highest range of EC 20.432 µs/cm, where other locations in coastal area soil of at Bhadreswar tuna port and Jakhau soil EC found to be 15.484 µs/cm, 17.302 µs/cm, 20.326 µs/cm. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of EC 12.115 µs/cm (fig.5).

Sodium of soil average range recorded is 190 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of sodium 200 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, tuna port and jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 184mg/l, 197mg/l, 179mg/l. Jakhau soil recorded the minimum range of sodium 179mg/l (fig.6).

Calcium of soil average range recorded is 0.23375 mg/l. Tuna port soil recorded the highest range of calcium 0.483 mg/l during the study where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, Bhadreswar and Jakhau soil recorded found to be 0.033 mg/l, 0.323 mg/l, 0.096 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of Calcium 0.033 mg/l (fig.7).

Magnesium of soil average range recorded is 0.40075 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of Magnesium 0.69 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, tuna port and jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 0.313 mg/l, 0.18 mg/l, 0.42 mg/l. Tuna soil recorded the minimum range of Magnesium 0.18 mg/l (fig. 8).

Total Hardness of soil average range recorded is 0.635 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of Hardness 1.01 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, tuna port and jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 0.35 mg/l, 0.66 mg/l, 0.52 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of Total Hardness 0.35 mg/l (fig. 9).

Chloride of soil average range recorded is 3.552 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of chloride 5.7 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, tuna port and jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 0.71 mg/l, 3.6 mg/l, 4.2 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of chloride 0.71 mg/l (fig. 10).
Potassium of soil average range recorded is 106 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of potassium 179 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, tuna port and Jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 46 mg/l, 141 mg/l, 60 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of potassium 46 mg/l (fig.11).

Total Alkalinity of soil average range recorded is 10.84 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of Total Alkalinity 26.96 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of at Mandvi, Tuna port, and Jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 2 mg/l, 3.2 mg/l, 11.2 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of Total Alkalinity 2 mg/l (fig. 12).

V. DISCUSSION

Soil may be defined as the naturally deposited unconsolidated material which covers the earth’s surface, whose chemical, physical, and biological properties are capable of supporting plant growth. Sediments, marsh plants reduce turbidity and filter excess nutrients, chemicals, heavy metals, and other pollutants from the water. Emergent marsh plants are also able to absorb raw or treated sewage, seepage from septic systems, animal wastes, and fertilizers. When bacteria decompose these organic materials, marsh plants take up the nutrients into their roots, stems, and leaves. Physico-chemical characteristics are helpful to knowing the value surrounding the coastal area in this study.

pH

Soil pH is an important parameter of the soil. Acidity or alkalinity of the soil is always dependent on the presence of hydrogen ion concentration in the soil. The pH values of the soil from different plant zone of Tarkarli estuary range from 3.45 to 6.78 which is an acidic range. The soil collected, from Jakhau is in an acidic range. The soil collected, from Mandvi, tuna port and Jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 3.49 to 3.78 which is an acidic range. The soil collected, from Mandvi is in an acidic range. The soil collected, from Mandvi, tuna port and Jakhau’s soil recorded found to be 3.49 to 3.78 which is an acidic range. However, the soil pH in mangroves is about 5.5 and goes up to neutrality[5, 21] Sometimes it goes beyond 7.0 as has been reported by Rao.[40, 41] The range indicates acidic to alkaline nature of the soil for different areas.[26] reported 4.3 to 7.8 pH for soil at some places of Andaman’s Island. Near salt marshes mix pH is reported by Heisler [12] pH of coastal soil Average pH recorded is 7.5. Soil recorded the highest range of pH 8.1 in Bhadreswar and minimum range of pH 7.0 in Mandvi.

Electrical Conductivity

Soil EC describes the ability of a soil to transmit an electrical current. Conductivity is measured by a probe, which applies voltage between two electrodes. The drop in voltage is used to measure the resistance of the water, which is then converted to conductivity. Conductivity is reciprocal to resistance and is measured in the amount of conductance over a certain distance. [35] reported the higher electric conductivity value in natural freshwater after discharge of sugar factory effluents.

The maximum and minimum value of electrical conductivity is due to fresh water influx and mix up with ebb and flow. Similar results were reported by Rita [43]

Soil Texture

Soil texture showed 53.17% sand, 27.8% clay and silt 18.98% reported by Nole al., (2011) in mangrove soil. The highest percentage of silt content recorded 32.44% in Jakhau and low percentage of soil silt content showed 15.60% in Mandvi. The minimum and maximum clay in Bhadreswar, Tuna port 14.9% and 21.29% respectively. The maximum sand content showed 65.30% in Mandvi. The minimum sand content showed 54.26% in Tuna port. Zafar [53] reported that the percentage composition of soil in the chakaria coastal areas were always found to be sand>clay>silt. Here, the soil samples recorded sand>silt>clay.

Calcium, Magnesium and Total Hardness

The concentration of Magnesium (Mg) and Calcium (Ca) are responsible for the soil hardness. In general soil hardness has been increased due to lack of water flow which cannot be washed out the Mg from soils. The soil of oigohaline zone of Sundarban mangrove forest is rich in calcium followed by magnesium and potassium [27].

Chloride

The value of chloride was higher during study period might be due to high salinity, tidal flow and less fresh water mixing.
It has been also reported that, Low value was during monsoon season due to rain and more mixing of fresh water from river and maximum value of chloride reaches in summer reported by Swaranlatha and Rao [47]

**Sodium and Potassium**

The higher concentration of sodium might be increased due to high salinity [25] Sodium of soil average range recorded is190mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of sodium 200 mg/l during the study, while at other locations in coastal area soil of and at Mandvi, tuna port and jakahu’s soil recorded found to be 184 mg/l, 197 mg/l, 179 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of sodium 184 mg/l. In the present study, the potassium values in all stations were slightly high. Similar results were reported Rahman [37] Potassium of soil average range recorded is 106 mg/l. Bhadreswar soil recorded the highest range of potassium 179 mg/l during the study, where at other locations in coastal area soil of and at Mandvi, tuna port and jakahu’s soil recorded found to be 46 mg/l, 141 mg/l, 60 mg/l. Mandvi soil recorded the minimum range of potassium 46 mg/l.

**Total organic carbon**

Kumar and Rajkumar [23] reported Total organic carbon varied from 0.29% to 2.56%, maximum 1.00% and minimum 0.15% red soil organic carbon and black soil 0.54% and minimum 0.49% organic carbon reported by Physicochemical Characterization of Red and Black Soils of Wardha Region.

In the present study the total organic carbon concentration of soils was found in the Average range is 0.001823 % to 0.01473% from studied soil sample, whereas, the soils were recorded a minimum range in Tuna port 0.001823% and maximum range in Mandvi 0.01473%.

**VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE**

The soil samples collected from Mandvi, Tuna port, jakhua and Bhadreswar costal area during February to march 2016 and analysis of physico-chemical characteristics. The various physico-chemical parameters such as pH, Electrical Conductivity moisture, Calcium, Magnesium, Total Hardness, Potassium, Sodium, chloride, Texture, Total organic carbon were analyzed. Texture was found Sand>Silt>Clay in all samples. Total organic carbon recorded maximum in soil at Mandvi and minimum at Jakhua, pH, chloride, potassium, magnesium and sodium was found maximum at Bhadreswar while it was minimum at Mandvi. Electric conductivity was found maximum at Jakhua, while it was recorded minimum at Mandvi. Calcium was found maximum at Tuna port while it was observed minimum at Mandvi. Total Hardness was found maximum at Bhadreswar and while it was minimum at Mandvi.
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