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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Caring for stroke survivors may be burdensome with adverse consequences on caregivers’ physical health. This study examined the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms and associated factors among family caregivers of stroke survivors in Nigeria.

Methods: A hospital-based cross-sectional study involving 90 stroke caregiver and stroke survivor dyads was conducted. Data on the participants’ demographics and post-stroke duration were respectively assessed using the Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire and Modified Rankin Scale. Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was presented as percentages while participants’ characteristics associated with prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms were examined using inferential statistics.

Results: Mean (SD) age of caregivers and stroke survivors was 33.2 (10.7) years and 58.9 (9.7) years respectively. Majority of the caregivers were females (61.1%), and children of the stroke survivors (58.9%). Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was 82.2%. The low back was the most affected body region (72.2%) followed by the upper back (40%) while musculoskeletal symptoms in the wrist was least prevalent (3.3%). Female caregivers, caregivers of female stroke survivors and spousal caregivers had significantly higher prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms compared to other categories of caregivers. Only 5 (5.6%) caregivers had however received any training on safe care giving methods while only 21 (28.4%) caregivers with musculoskeletal symptoms had received treatment.

Conclusion: With the high prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among family caregivers of stroke survivors, effective preventive strategies including training and education as well as timely access to treatment would be required.

© 2018 The Author(s). This work is published by Journal of Caring Sciences as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.
preventive and therapeutic interventions. Furthermore, information on the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among family caregivers of stroke survivors may further lend credence to the call for ‘family-centered approach’ in stroke rehabilitation.29

In order to address the observed dearth in information, this study was conducted to provide what, to our knowledge, can be considered the first of its kind data on musculoskeletal symptoms among caregivers of stroke survivors in Nigeria. It is important to mention that in Nigeria, the most populous country in Africa, care giving support services and facilities such as adult day care, respite care facilities, stroke support groups and care volunteers are not readily available.30 Hence, family caregivers in Nigeria are usually solely responsible for informal care giving and this may increase their susceptibility to developing musculoskeletal symptoms. This study therefore examined the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms and associated factors among family caregivers of stroke survivors in Nigeria.

Materials and methods

This study was a cross-sectional survey which was conducted at physiotherapy outpatient facilities of three government hospitals in Maiduguri. Maiduguri is the capital of Borno State, one of the 19 states in Northern Nigeria.

At the time of the study, a total of one hundred and sixty stroke survivors were registered for physiotherapy appointments at the three hospitals. Family caregivers that accompanied the stroke survivors and the respective stroke survivors for physiotherapy appointments were considered for recruitment into the study. Ninety stroke caregiver and stroke survivor dyads however met the study’s eligibility criteria and were recruited using consecutive sampling technique. Participants (caregivers and stroke survivors) were eligible if they were at least 18 years of old, and expressed willingness to participate in the study. Additionally, caregivers that were not older than 60 years, and stroke survivors who had suffered stroke for at least 1 month and lived at home were eligible to participate in the study. The 60 years age limit for caregivers was utilized because of the adverse effect of aging on the musculoskeletal system.41

Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (NMQ)32 questionnaire were used to assess the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms and pattern of prevalence by body parts. The NMQ is a widely used tool for assessing musculoskeletal symptoms among different populations including healthcare professionals involved in stroke rehabilitation, such as nurses and physiotherapists22,23 who carry out tasks similar to those of family caregivers of stroke survivors. Sensitivity and specificity of the NMQ has been reported to range between 66 and 92%, and 71 and 88%, respectively,33 while a comparison of its validity with clinical history yielded an acceptable disagreement rate that ranged from 0 to 20%.34 Due to the wide variation in duration of care giving based on the highly variable post-stroke duration of the stroke survivors in the study, the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was limited to 7 days prior to the caregivers’ participation in the study. Caregivers were thus requested to provide information on their musculoskeletal symptoms which was operationalized as ‘experience of ache, pain, discomfort, or any injury in any part of their body in the last 7 days’. The caregivers were further informed that the musculoskeletal symptoms to be reported were those with onset during the course of care giving and the caregivers were requested to provide information on the time of onset of musculoskeletal symptoms. The caregivers were also requested to provide responses to other items in the NMQ such as whether they experienced musculoskeletal symptoms 7 days prior to the interview (7-day prevalence) in different body regions namely the neck, shoulder, elbow, wrist/hand, upper back, lower back, hips/thighs/buttocks, knees, and ankle/feet. There were also questions on the caregivers’ receipt of training on prevention of musculoskeletal symptoms while caring for stroke survivors; treatment received for musculoskeletal symptoms by caregivers experiencing the disorders and the specific type of treatment received. A last question enquired if musculoskeletal symptoms had affected their care giving activities with ‘yes’ or ‘no’ response options. Copies of the questionnaire were distributed to the caregivers and completed questionnaires were returned the same day at the study sites throughout the study period.

The Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) was a researcher-administered tool to evaluate the level of disability among the stroke survivors. The mRS assesses global disability on a scale of 0 to 5, with ‘0’ being ‘no disability’ while ‘5’ represents ‘severe disability’.34 The mRS is a valid, reliable, and widely used tool in stroke studies.34

Concurrent validity of the mRS with other disability measures such as the Barthel Index and Motor-Functional Independence Measure is excellent with r = -0.89.35 Interrater reliability of the scale is kappa = 0.56 while a test-retest reliability as high as kappa = 0.95 has been reported.36 Due to the limited number of participants that scored ‘0 - no symptoms’ (2 participants) and ‘1 - no significant disability’ (10 participants), the mRS scores were collapsed into two category for the purpose of statistical analyses. Scores of 0 to 2 were categorised as ‘no disability’ while the score of 3 to 5 was classified as ‘disability’ in line with the categorization utilized by Hankey et al.36

Researcher-designed data forms were used to record information on each caregiver’s age, gender, educational level, employment status, and relationship with stroke survivor. Respective stroke survivors’ data namely gender, age, and post-stroke duration were also recorded on the data forms. These data were provided via self-report by the caregivers and the care recipients as appropriate. The study was approved by the Research and Ethical Committee of the University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Nigeria. Written informed consent was obtained from the caregivers and their care recipients prior to their participation in the study.

Family caregivers and the care recipients (stroke survivors), were recruited during the care recipient’s
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physiotherapy appointments at the study sites. Data collection was carried out by the second author from March to June 2015.

Descriptive statistics of mean and standard deviation, frequencies and percentages were used to summarize the demographic and clinical data. Data on global 7-day prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms, and 7-day prevalence based on specific body regions, previous training on safe care giving, health-seeking behavior, and activity limitations arising from the musculoskeletal symptoms were presented as frequencies and percentages.

Chi square statistics was used to assess the associations between prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among the caregivers and demographic characteristics of the caregivers and the stroke survivors. Associations between the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms, and post-stroke duration and disability among the stroke survivors were also examined. SPSS version 15 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analyses and level of statistical significance was set at 0.05.

Results

Ninety stroke caregiver and stroke survivor dyads participated in the study. There were 35 male and 55 female caregivers whose ages ranged from 19 to 60 years with a mean (SD) age of 33.2 (10.7) years. Most of the caregivers (58.9%) were children of the stroke survivors while 22 (24.4%) were spouses (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of stroke caregiver and stroke survivor dyads [N = 90]

| Characteristic           | N (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Caregiver               |       |
| Sex                     |       |
| Male                    | 35 (38.9) |
| Female                  | 55 (61.1) |
| Relationship            |       |
| Offspring               | 53 (58.9) |
| Spouse                  | 22 (24.4) |
| Sibling                 | 15 (16.7) |
| Educational level       |       |
| None                    | 20 (22.2) |
| Primary                 | 10 (11.1) |
| Secondary               | 32 (35.6) |
| Tertiary                | 28 (31.1) |
| Employment status       |       |
| Employed                | 30 (33.3) |
| Unemployed              | 60 (66.7) |
| Stroke survivor         |       |
| Sex                     |       |
| Male                    | 56 (62.2) |
| Female                  | 34 (37.8) |
| Disability (mRS)        |       |
| Yes                     | 76 (84.4) |
| No                      | 14 (15.6) |

mRS – Modified Rankin scale

Mean (SD) age of the stroke survivors was 58.9 (9.7) years (range = 39 to 80 years), and majority were males (62.2%) and had functional disability (84%) depicted by a mRS score that ranged from 3 to 5. Mean (SD) post stroke duration was 15.1 (25.4) months (range = 1-180 months).

Seventy-four caregivers reported that they experienced musculoskeletal symptoms within seven days prior to the study giving a prevalence of 82.2%. Among those who reported musculoskeletal symptoms, majority [68 (91.9%)] experienced onset within 3 months of caregiving, one (1.4%) caregiver reported onset in the 6th month of caregiving while five (6.8%) could not recall the exact time of onset.

For 7-day prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms by body region, the lower back was the most affected (72.2%) followed by the upper back (40%) and the shoulder (24.4%) while the least affected body region was the wrist (3.3%) (Figure 1).

Five (5.6%) caregivers reported receiving training on how to prevent the occurrence of musculoskeletal symptoms while 85 (94.4%) caregivers did not receive any training. Out of those whom reported musculoskeletal symptoms, 21 (28.4%) had sought care. None of the caregivers however reported any adverse effect of the musculoskeletal symptoms on carrying out their care giving roles.

Among the caregivers’ demographic characteristics studied, only caregivers’ gender and relationship with stroke survivors were significantly associated with prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms. Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was significantly higher among female caregivers (96.4%) compared to their male counterparts (60%) while among the three relationship categories observed, all (100%) the caregivers who were spouses of the stroke survivors reported musculoskeletal symptoms, compared to the prevalence rate observed in children (80%) and siblings (75.5%) of the stroke survivors (Table 2).

In terms of associations between prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among the caregivers and the demographic and clinical characteristics of the stroke survivors, only sex of the stroke survivors had statistically significant association with prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms and caregivers of female stroke survivors reported higher prevalence (94.1%) compared to caregivers of male stroke survivors (75%) (Table 2). No other characteristic of the stroke survivors was significantly associated with the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms.

Discussion

Musculoskeletal symptoms often arise from abnormal and awkward postures, repetitive movements and manual patient handling.26 Due to the likely exposure of family caregivers of stroke survivors to these risk factors of musculoskeletal symptoms particularly because of the dependence of their care recipients on them for activities of daily living, transfers and other types of care, it is important to examine the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among stroke caregivers.

A high 7-day prevalence (82.2%) of musculoskeletal symptoms was observed in this study, higher than the 78%, 12-month prevalence reported among nurses23 but lower than the 91.3%, 12-month prevalence reported among physiotherapists in Nigeria.21 While nurses
Figure 1. Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms by body region among caregivers of stroke survivors

Table 2. Associations between prevalence of MSDs among family caregivers and characteristics of family caregivers and stroke survivors

| Characteristic                  | No MSD N (%) | MSD N (%) | $\chi^2$ | P   |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|----------|-----|
| Caregiver                      |              |           |          |     |
| Sex                            |              |           |          |     |
| Male                           | 14 (40)      | 21 (60)   | 19.35    | <0.001 |
| Female                         | 2 (3.6)      | 53 (96.4) | 4.12     | 0.13  |
| Age                            |              |           |          |     |
| 19-35                          | 13 (23.2)    | 43 (76.8) |          |      |
| 36-45                          | 3 (14.3)     | 18 (85.7) |          |      |
| 46-60                          | 0 (0)        | 13 (100)  |          |      |
| Relationship                   |              |           |          |     |
| Offspring                      | 13 (24.5)    | 40 (75.5) | 6.46     | 0.04  |
| Spouse                         | 0 (0)        | 22 (100)  |          |      |
| Sibling                        | 3 (20)       | 12 (80)   |          |      |
| Educational level              |              |           |          |     |
| None                           | 1 (5)        | 19 (95)   | 3.29     | 0.85  |
| Primary                        | 2 (20)       | 8 (80)    |          |      |
| Secondary                      | 6 (18.8)     | 26 (81.3) |          |      |
| Tertiary                       | 7 (25)       | 21 (75)   |          |      |
| Employment status              |              |           |          |     |
| Employed                       | 5 (16.7)     | 25 (83.3) | 0.38     | 0.85  |
| Unemployed                     | 11 (18.3)    | 49 (81.7) |          |      |
| Stroke survivor                |              |           |          |     |
| Sex                            |              |           |          |     |
| Male                           | 14 (25)      | 42 (75)   | 5.29     | 0.02  |
| Female                         | 2 (5.9)      | 32 (94.1) |          |      |
| Age                            |              |           |          |     |
| <50                            | 2 (14.3)     | 12 (85.7) | 4.40     | 0.11  |
| 50-64                          | 12 (25.5)    | 35 (74.5) |          |      |
| >65                            | 2 (6.9)      | 27 (93.1) |          |      |
| Post-stroke duration (month)   |              |           |          |     |
| 1-6                            | 11 (22.4)    | 38 (77.6) | 2.39     | 0.50  |
| 7-12                           | 3 (18.8)     | 13 (81.3) |          |      |
| 13-24                          | 1 (7.1)      | 13 (92.9) |          |      |
| >25                            | 1 (9.1)      | 10 (90.9) |          |      |
| Disability (mRS)               |              |           |          |     |
| Yes                            | 12 (15.8)    | 64 (84.2) | 1.32     | 0.25  |
| No                             | 4 (28.6)     | 10 (71.4) |          |      |

*Statistically significant
and physiotherapists are healthcare professionals that are trained and prepared for direct patient care and rehabilitation, family caregivers of stroke survivors are often unprepared for caregiving especially given the suddenness of stroke ones. Therefore, a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms may be expected among family caregivers of stroke survivors.

Conversely, nurses and physiotherapists are involved in the care of many patients with diverse conditions and this may result in a higher prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among them. It is however important to note that the difference between the time period of the prevalence studies involving the aforementioned healthcare professionals in Nigeria and the 7-day period reported in this study makes comparison of findings somewhat difficult. Similarly, the unavailability of data on the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among informal caregivers of other categories of persons with disabilities or even the general population in Nigeria precluded more relevant comparisons. This dearth emphasizes the need for future studies that will address the dearth in caregiver, and musculoskeletal symptom literature in the country. A study involving informal caregivers of elderly people with physical disabilities in the United States however reported a 95% 1-month prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms which exceeds the prevalence rate recorded in the present study. 27

For prevalence by body regions, the low back predominated in line with studies involving nurses, 25,27 and physiotherapists 21,24 and informal caregivers of adults with disabilities. 27 However the 72.2% 7-day prevalence of low back musculoskeletal symptoms in this present study supersedes the 12-month prevalence rates of 44% 23 and 69.8%, 21 respectively reported in studies conducted among nurses and physiotherapists in Nigeria. The rate of affection of the low back in this study is also higher than rates reported among nurses and physiotherapists 22,39 in Western and Asian countries. While there are no existing data on low back pain among caregivers in Nigeria, a study conducted in Turkey showed that 82.8% of stroke caregivers experienced low back pain 28 while another study reported a 76% one month prevalence of low back pain among informal caregivers of elderly individuals in the United States. 27 The findings of these caregiver studies and the present study, although reporting prevalence at different time points, indicate a higher prevalence of low back pain among caregivers compared to nurses and physiotherapists. In general, care giving activities associated with manual patient handling such as lifting, transfers, and assistance with activities of daily living often places strain on the low back, especially when these activities are carried out using awkward postures. 37,38,40

The higher prevalence rate of musculoskeletal symptoms among caregivers in this study compared to rates reported in individual studies of healthcare professionals such as nurses and physiotherapists could be attributable to several factors. First, family caregivers of stroke survivors often engage in activities such as accompanying the stroke survivors for medical and rehabilitation appointments, running errands for the stroke survivors, manual handling, and assisting with prescribed home exercise programs for the incapacitated stroke survivors. 24,41 For instance, all the caregivers in this study accompanied their care recipients for physiotherapy outpatient appointments. It is therefore plausible to aver that stroke caregivers perform a combination of roles by carrying out the activities of multiple professionals or workers such as the nurse, physiotherapist, house keeper and chaperon all in one. Second, a lack of training and education on safe care giving practices may increase the risk of musculoskeletal symptoms among stroke caregivers. The findings of this study for instance showed that 94.4% of the caregivers never received any form of training or education on how to safely care for stroke survivors. A previous study conducted in China similarly reported a lack of professional training and education for stroke caregivers. 42 A third and important explanation could be the emotional angle from which caregivers view their roles. A spouse, child or sibling of a stroke survivor will likely do the utmost to care for their loved ones at the expense of any health risk associated with caregiving activities. Hence, caregivers tend to place the needs of their care recipients above their own seemingly less serious health issues. The poor health care seeking behavior observed among the caregivers in this study could also be regarded as a reflection of self-neglect and insufficient appreciation of the potentially grave and often long lasting consequences of musculoskeletal symptoms.

Going by the aforementioned issues regarding the emotional considerations that are often associated with family care giving, it is not surprising that all the caregivers reported that the musculoskeletal symptoms they experienced did not affect their care giving activities. The implication of this finding is that the caregivers continued with their care giving activities in spite of their symptoms which could further aggravate the symptoms. Culturally in Nigeria, care giving activities are carried out sacrificially and especially by family caregivers, and engaging in such activities is deemed to be altruistic and would be accompanied by blessings as rewards. 43,44 Hence, the tendency is for caregivers to persist in carrying out care giving activities despite the effect of such activities on their own health and well-being except perhaps when the effect is debilitating. The prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms was found to be significantly higher among female caregivers, spousal caregivers and caregivers of female stroke survivors. The case of spousal caregivers was however quite remarkable as the entire group experienced musculoskeletal symptoms. It can be expected that spousal caregivers would engage in care giving activities at a higher
intensity than other groups of caregivers due to the deep emotional attachment associated with conjugal relationships, and thus may experience more profound negative consequences than other categories of caregivers. While spousal caregivers appear to be in the majority in stroke studies conducted in Western countries, children of stroke survivors predominated in previous stroke caregiver studies conducted in Nigeria as they did in this study. The high prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among female caregivers compared to their male counterparts in this study could suggest that females were more involved and bore more caregiving burden and this is consistent with the traditional caregiving role that is often linked with the female gender. Reasons for the observed significantly higher prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among family caregivers of female stroke survivors are open to conjectures including the likelihood of higher levels of disability among female stroke survivors. However, there was no statistically significant association between level of stroke survivors’ disability and the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among their family caregivers in the study. This lack of significant association can be regarded as an unexpected finding especially as a number of studies have shown that various stroke outcomes, including those outcomes that directly affect informal stroke caregivers, are significantly associated with post-stroke disability.

The high prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms among the caregivers in this study coupled with the almost complete lack of training and information on how to safely care for stroke survivors indicate the urgent need for necessary interventions. In line with stroke clinical guidelines, training and education of stroke caregivers should be routinely incorporated into stroke rehabilitation. In Nigeria, rehabilitation professionals like physiotherapists are qualified and well positioned to provide stroke caregivers with educational and training programs that will aid in preventing musculoskeletal symptoms. Future experimental studies on effective preventive strategies for musculoskeletal symptoms would however be required especially because the efficacy of interventions available in the literature either appear to lack sufficient evidence or require further confirmation. Prospective cohort studies on the time course of occurrence of musculoskeletal symptoms among stroke caregivers would also be required in order to understand the incidence of the symptoms in relation to time after stroke while the relationship between prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms and time spent by caregivers on care giving activities should also be explored. The contribution of the stroke survivors’ body weight to the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms in their caregivers was not assessed because the appropriate instrumentation to assess body weight for non-ambulatory stroke survivors was not available. This is an important limitation of the study because family caregivers of stroke survivors are often exposed to risk factors of musculoskeletal symptoms including manual patient handling tasks such as supporting, lifting and transferring of their care recipients. This study also did not obtain information on specific caregiving activities and time spent on such activities which may contribute to musculoskeletal symptoms among caregivers. Therefore, future longitudinal studies on the impact of specific caregiving activities on musculoskeletal symptoms among family caregivers of stroke survivors would be required for identifying effective means of preventing musculoskeletal symptoms in this category of persons. Finally, the cross-sectional and hospital-based design of the study and the small size of its sample limit the external validity of findings.

Conclusion

The results of this study showed that musculoskeletal symptoms are highly prevalent among family caregivers of stroke survivors in Nigeria, with the low back being the most affected body region. The findings of the study also indicate that musculoskeletal symptoms are important but seldom addressed health challenges among the caregivers. Strategies for proper training and education of caregivers, especially spousal caregivers, female caregivers, and caregivers of female stroke survivors, on means of preventing musculoskeletal symptoms in the course of caring for stroke survivors are urgently required and should be part of routine stroke rehabilitation services.
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