The hatching larva of the priapulid worm Halicryptus spinulosus
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Abstract
Despite their increasing evolutionary importance, basic knowledge about the priapulid worms remains limited. In particular, priapulid development has only been partially documented. Following previous description of hatching and the earliest larval stages of Priapulus caudatus, we here describe the hatching larva of Halicryptus spinulosus. Comparison of the P. caudatus and the H. spinulosus hatching larvae allows us to attempt to reconstruct the ground pattern of priapulid development. These findings may further help unravelling the phylogenetic position of the Priapulida within the Scalidophora and hence contribute to the elucidation of the nature of the ecdysozoan ancestor.

Background
The Cycloneuralia is a small group of worms, poorly-known apart from the numerous and important nematodes. With the advent of the Ecdysozoa theory [1] they came to be considered as the sister group to the Arthropoda, the most diverse and abundant living animal clade. Within the Cycloneuralia, the Priapulida have been placed basally by some analyses; possibly even within the Ecdysozoa as a whole, leading to them being sometimes described as "living fossils" [1-4]. Despite their obviously important phylogenetic position, information on their biology is scarce – especially concerning their embryonic development and their earliest larval stages. Even data on the best-studied priapulid, Priapulus caudatus, the priapulid species whose genome was recently approved to be sequenced http://www.genome.gov/10002154, was until recently scarce, incomplete and contradictory [3-8]. Particular interest in scalidophoran and priapulid larvae has increased with the finding of fossilized putative stem group scalidophoran embryos from the Cambrian [9,10]. A proper comparison of such fossil embryos with recent embryos/larvae is obviously only possible if the corresponding embryonic stages and larvae can be described in detail. In our recent work we described for the first time the confirmed earliest larval stages of P. caudatus, which possessed some surprising features, such as the lack of a mouth. At that time it was unclear whether these features were apomorphic for P. caudatus or were plesiomorphic within Priapulida [4]. Here we describe the hatching larva of the distantly related priapulid worm Halicryptus spinulosus (Fig. 1A–C) and compare it with the hatching larva of P. caudatus [4].

Results
Although many thousands of eggs could be obtained and fertilized in this study, the success rate of development to hatching was extremely low, with the result that only 52 specimens of hatched larvae were available for this study. This is in contrast to a previous study of P. caudatus where many tens of thousands of hatching larvae and large numbers of subsequent larval stage specimens could be obtained under controlled laboratory conditions. This disparity is likely linked to sperm quality. As measured by sperm motility, the quality of H. spinulosus sperm was much lower than for P. caudatus: only very few spermatozoa swam actively and were separated from the gonads...
A/B Adults with fully protruded introvert of the priapulid worms *Halicryptus spinulosus* (A) and *Priapulus caudatus* (B). Anterior is to the left in both cases. The posterior structure in A (arrow) is excrement, not a caudal appendage as seen in B (asterisk).

C Simplified phylogeny of the Priapulida (after [19]).

D Embryo of *H. spinulosus* one day before hatching. View on inner organs like the developing gut is inhibited by large amounts of yolk. Anterior is to the top.
and/or sister-spermatozoa; possibly leading to delayed fertilization or polyspermy (if the eggs were fertilized by floating or swimming "groups" of attached spermatozoa). Because of this restriction we were not able to examine all documented features in great numbers. Nevertheless, the stability of the results obtained strongly suggests that the characters we describe are natural features of the H. spinulosus hatching larva.

The first H. spinulosus larva hatched 21 days after fertilization when kept at approximately 4°C. In the following three days the remaining larvae hatched implying that the development is largely synchronized. Most of the obtained larvae (40) were processed for Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), 12 were allowed to develop in order to reach subsequent larval stages. Although these latter hatching larvae were alive for several weeks they did not moult and eventually died.

The lack of a proper lorica is the most obvious feature of the H. spinulosus hatching larva (Figs 2A–C, F and 3G, I, J); a feature also lacking in P. caudatus [4]. Since a lorica is present in all hitherto described larvae of H. spinulosus it is clear that the hatching larva has never been described before [11-21]. The assumption of Purasjoki [13] that he must have described the first larval stage because of its similar size (290 μm and 340 μm) as the “mature egg” (280 μm) is clearly wrong, not only because the larva described here is much smaller, but also because the size of the egg is obviously less than 280 μm. In figure 1D we show an egg with an almost fully developed embryo. The eggs are completely round and an anterior extension as described by Purasjoki [13] was never observed. The size of the eggs (ca. 50–60 μm) and the hatching larva with fully protruded introvert (ca. 120 μm) is approximately the same as for P. caudatus [cf. [3,4]]. The H. spinulosus hatching larva also superficially resembles the hatching larva of P. caudatus in its light-bulb shaped appearance (cf. [4]). However, an obvious difference concerns the motility of the larvae. Whereas the P. caudatus hatching larva is only capable of moving its introvert in and out, that of H. spinulosus is also able to bend its complete body – possibly because of an extra set of muscles and/or (at least) two horizontal lines of weaknesses in the cuticle covering the trunk (Fig. 2A, B). The hatching larvae of P. caudatus and H. spinulosus both lack a mouth and pharyngeal teeth (Fig. 2A, D); and share the arrangement of 7+1 scalids (Fig. 2D, E), the subdivision of the body into introvert, neck and trunk (Fig. 2C, H, I) and the presence of four weakly developed posterior tubuli of which maximal three are seen on the photographs presented here (Figs 2A–C, F and 3G, I, J) [cf. [4]]. In some cases a few micro-spines (<1 μm) are sparsely distributed on their surface (Fig. 3L and not shown). The tubuli are arranged in pairs of two with the pairs of closer together tubuli being situated at the putative lateral sides of the larva, as also shown for later larval stages and larvae of other priapulids (Figs 2C and 3I) (e.g. [4,14]). In later larval stages four tubuli are also present, but they are located only slightly below the midregion of the lorica (trunk) on the lateral plates [14]. The arrangement of the scalids in an anterior ring armed with seven first-ring scalids and one to three somewhat more posteriorly-located smaller second-ring scalids is less clear than for P. caudatus (cf. [4]). In fact we only found larvae with one secondary scalid (Fig. 2D, E). With exception of the size first-ring (12–14 μm) and second-ring scalids (6–10 μm) were identical. The scalids are thus considerably shorter than in P. caudatus (cf. [4]). They have a triangular basis emanating from the introvert, a prominent dorsal ridge, are pointed backwards (when the introvert is protruded) and bend towards the body (Fig. 3K). The tip is branched or with a single tip (Fig. 3K). Occasional micro-spines (<1 μm) are present on some of the primary scalids (Fig. 3K). The surface of the introvert is characterized by a number of vertical ridges (Fig. 2H, I), similar as in adults. The surfaces of the neck and trunk are smooth. The surface of the cuticle spanning the anterior of the larva that will in later stages be armed with pharyngeal teeth is covered with small pores (Fig. 2G). These small irregularly allocated pores, approximately 60 nm in diameter, may represent openings of sensory organs.

The Halicryptus hatching larva possesses two outgrowths, the neck-flosculi, on opposite sides of the body, at the border between introvert and neck (Fig. 3A–F). They are 1–2 μm long and composed of a short base and circllets of cuticular papillae at the tip that surround a single pore (Fig. 3B, E, F). Interestingly all the neck-flosculi in the H. spinulosus hatching larva are associated with a filiform structure (ca. 3 μm long) emanating from the centre of each flosculus (Fig. 3A–F). The nature and function of these structures is unclear, although the flosculi themselves are clearly sensory organs [18]. In older larval stages of H. spinulosus the number of neck-flosculi increases to at least 15 [18]. For the P. caudatus hatching larva we described two outgrowths in a similar position [4]. These represent tubular structures (neck-tubuli) rather than flosculi. It is unlikely that the ca. 6.5 μm long neck-tubuli in P. caudatus are homologous to the flosculi of H. spinulosus since flosculi in general were never reported for any described P. caudatus larva. One exception is the documentation of a single flosculus-like structure on a putative early larva of P. caudatus [22], but if this larva actually represents a larva of P. caudatus is unclear (discussed in [4]).

In a few fixed larvae the rear end formed a tapered structure possibly representing the anal region (Fig. 3G, H). Whether or not a proper anal opening is present is unclear. However its presence is unlikely because of the lack of a mouth and the reported lack of an anus in the P.
Figure 2
A Hatching larvae with everted introvert. Anterior up. Arrows point to folds caused by movement of the larvae. Dot denotes posterior tubulus. B Same larva as in A rotated by 90°. Anterior up. Dots and arrows as in A. C Another hatching larva. Anterior up. Three tubuli are visible (dots). Bracket indicates the introvert. Arrow as in A D Anterior view of larva shown in A and B. Seven first-ring scalids and one smaller second-ring scalid (asterisk). E Anterior view of another larva. F Larva with fully retracted introvert. Anterior up. Dot as in A. G Magnification of the cuticle surface of the mouth field (mf). Arrows point at small pores. H Anterior part of a hatching larva with protruded introvert. Anterior up. Introvert (I), neck (N) and trunk (T) are clearly distinguishable. I Magnification of the larva shown in H. Abbreviations: 1–7, first-ring scalids one to seven; dor/ven, dorsal or ventral; I, introvert; lat, lateral; mf, mouth field; N, neck; T, trunk.
Figure 3 (see legend on next page)
caudatus hatching larva (cf. [4]). The hatching larvae of both P. caudatus and H. spinulosus are still yolk-rich and as discussed for the P. caudatus larva, it seems likely that the H. spinulosus hatching larva simply digs down into the mud in order to escape from the dangerous surface of the seafloor.

The systematics of the priapulids are not well-characterised. However, Lemburg [19] places both Priapulus and Halicryptus within the Priapulidae, although distant from each other, a position supported by both Dong et al. [9] and Wills [23]. Their shared developmental data thus allows a partial reconstruction of the ground plan of the Priapulidae hatching morphology (Fig. 1C): It includes the following features: 1) of light-bulb shape, 2) subdivided into introvert, neck and trunk, 3) lacking a true lirica, 4) without a mouth, 5) without pharyngeal teeth, 6) armed with 7+X scalids and 8) with four posterior tubuli. Further investigation of more basal taxa such as Tubiluchus will be required to extend the investigation of ground plan development to the Priapulida as a whole, an essential prelude to phylogenetic reconstruction of their morphological and developmental evolution [24].

Methods

Halicryptus spinulosus breeding conditions

We fertilized apparently mature eggs of Halicryptus spinulosus during several field seasons from winter 2007 to early spring 2009. Although in all cases a number of eggs were successfully fertilized as recognized by the formation of a fertilization-membrane and the commencement of cleaving, hatched larvae were only been observed once (early winter 2008). In all other cases fertilized eggs underwent irregular cleavage (not shown) and eventually died before reaching the larval stage. Priapulid collection, preparation, fertilisation and breeding were performed in the same way during all of the field seasons. Sexually mature female and male specimens of H. spinulosus were collected from Trosa archipelago close to the Askö marine station on the east coast of Sweden south of Stockholm. Gonads were stripped off and eggs were artificially fertilised as described for Priapulus caudatus [3,4]. The developing eggs were rinsed every day with fresh filtered sea water collected from the same collection site as the adults. Decayng eggs were removed. To maintain water quality throughout the developing phase water was frozen after filtration and thawed the day before use. Embryos and hatching larvae were kept at approximately 4°C in a conventional fridge.

Larvae fixation and preparation

To ensure that the introvert was protruded a needle was used to mechanically push it out from the rear. The hatching larvae were fixed either in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for four hours at room temperature or over night at 4°C in the fridge. Storage and preparation for Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was performed as described in [4].

Documentation techniques

For SEM pictures, a Zeiss Supra 35VP scanning electron microscope with field emission gun was used. For light microscopic pictures, a Nikon D70 camera was attached to a Nikon Eclipse E400 light microscope. Further processing of SEM and light microscopy images was carried out in Photoshop CS.
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