Flexural tests of masonry beam with and without reinforced bar
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Abstract. Behaviour of reinforced masonry has been studied experimentally to determine its strength potential. The increase in either compressive or tensile strength of masonry is possible due to the existence of rebar or wire mesh. The research is carried out to determine the effect of steel rebar on flexural strength of reinforced masonry beam using local brick. The square hollow masonry beams of 330x330mm with and without reinforced bar were tested in the laboratory to determine the load and deflection curves and bending strength. The rebar was located at the centre of beam’s cross section and left unbounded. Mechanical properties of masonry's constitute were also determined. It was found that the flexural strength of beams with rebar of 22 mm diameter was greater 11 times than that of beam without rebar. However, that strength was only 1.6 times due to the weaker end connections of the beam to the rebar. Flexural strength of reinforced masonry beam with 22 mm rebar was greater 2.7 times compared to the beam using a rebar diameter of 16 mm.

1 Introduction

Masonry is an element of a building structure consisting of clay brick or concrete block and mortar which are arranged in a specific pattern. The behavior of the masonry is similar to concrete that has a compressive strength far greater than its tensile strength. The masonry can similarly be reinforced with reinforcing steel to withstand or reduce the tensile load. Masonry with rebar is known as reinforced masonry while with prestressed high strength bar known as pre-tensioned or post-tensioned masonry. Both reinforced and pre-tensioned masonry are widely seen as building construction as well as arch bridges in the European region.

The use of reinforcing steel on the masonry’s wall is enabled to withstand the tensile forces that occur on the walls and increase shear capacities of the wall [1]. The addition of reinforcing steel using steel wire or wire mesh can increase the compressive strength and flexural strength. Test results by Pascanawaty et al. [2] on brick walls with wire and wire mesh showed an increase in compressive strength of 95% and 65% respectively. Increased stiffness (EI) was also generated due to the addition of wire and wire mesh of 4 and 4.7 times respectively for masonry tested perpendicular to the bed joint and 6.5 and 9.2 times tested parallel to the bed joint. The pattern of failure occurring is seen to be caused by the
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shear failure of the brick wall. The material properties of the masonry are affected by its constituent materials namely brick or block unit and mortar. Research by Budiwati [3] and Rahayu [4] has shown that the compressive stress of masonry prism is quite high once the better quality of brick unit and mortar are used.

The use of prestressed steel on columns made of brick walls can increase the natural frequency of columns. The 40 mm diameter prestressed steel was placed in the center of the hollow square column and was post-tensioned up to a maximum of 300 kN. Test results performed by Budiwati [5] in a short column of 1.325 m height showed an increase in the natural frequency from 55 rad/s to 75 rad/s (35%). The rise in frequency value is due to the presence of prestressed steel, as can be seen from the dominance of the resulting steel mode shape.

From the above description, it is generally seen that the portion of reinforcing steel or prestressing steel can improve the stiffness of structural elements. However, the resulting failure pattern of masonry is due to compressive strength. In order to study the effect of rebar on the flexural strength of masonry, the experimental research was conducted. Laboratory tests were carried out on clay brick masonry beams with rebar applied in the center of the hollow beam’s cross-section and left unbonded. The result of bending beam test was in the form of load and deflection curve and crack pattern of the beam. From this research, the information related to the quality of clay brick walls and its component, bending strength of reinforced masonry beam, and cracked pattern of brick beam walls has been studied.

2 Experimental works

The research was conducted on four points bending test experimentally of four clay brick beams, three beams with rebar and one beam without rebar. Along with the beam testing, the component of masonry was also tested. They were the compressive test of brick, mortar, concrete, and masonry wallets.

2.1 Testing of masonry constituents’

The testing of clay brick was conducted on ten brick units. The brick was measured its length, width, and thickness in accordance to SNI 15-0686-1989 [6]. The compressive test was conducted on those ten full-size bricks and also cube bricks of 70 mm. Water absorption of the brick was also determined. The bricks were immersed in the water until saturated and left dry in the oven for one day. The brick mass was weight and used for calculation of water absorption.

Clay brick masonry wallets were made to test the compressive and flexural strength of masonry. The compressive strength of the masonry wallets was tested in accordance to SNI 03-4164-1996 [7] while for bending strength refers to the SNI 03-4165-1996 [8]. The tested specimens were prepared in the laboratory condition and tested at the age of 28 days.

2.1 Testing of masonry beams

Dimension and cross section of masonry beams is shown in Fig. 1. The total length of the beams was 1360 mm with the two ends connected to a concrete block of 50 mm thick. Beam cross section was 330x330mm and dimension of the hollow was 130x130mm (Fig 2b). The rebar was applied in the center of cross section (Fig 2a). Four beams (Fig. 2c) were constructed namely beam with no rebar (BR0), beam with rebar diameter of 22mm (BR22), beam with rebar diameter of 16 mm (BR16), and beam with rebar diameter of 22
with the slack connection (BR22S). The two point’s load of 600 mm apart was applied in the middle length of the beams. The beams were loaded until failure and deflections at the center length were measured using dial gauges.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Fig. 1.** Dimension of masonry beam (a) length of the beam, (b) cross section A-A.

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Fig. 2.** Preparation of masonry wallette and beams (a) concrete casting dan rebar, (b) hollow beam cross section, (c) masonry wallette and beams.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Characteristic of masonry’s components

The red bricks being tested were from Darmasaba Village, Badung Regency. The average dimension of the brick was of 218 mm length, 102 mm width, and 63 mm thick. The average compressive strength value of the brick was 17.23 N/mm², and water absorption was 24%. Standard deviation and coefficient of variation was 1.57 and 9%. According to SNI 15-0686-1989 [6], the brick was characterized as class 50 (22%), maximum standard water absorption requirements for bricks. The unit weight of the brick was 2.001 kg/m³.

The compressive strength of the brick determined using 70 mm cube was 8.71 MPa, lower than the brick tested in full size. However, referring to SNI 15-0686-1989 [6] the value was above the lowest average compressive of 5 N/mm², according to Eurocode 6 [9] it meets the minimum average compressive strength of standard brick used as a structural wall of 2.5 N/mm², and it fits the minimum compressive strength brick that may be used according to the recommendation of Indonesia Earthquake Study [10] of 3 MPa.

The average compressive strength of the whole red brick (219x102x63) mm was 17.2 N/mm². The value is twice higher than the compressive strength of a 70 mm cube brick.
The strength of the cube brick was tested in reference to the ASTM standard [11] while the whole brick refers to the SNI standard that is almost the same as the BS Standard [12]. Based on the two test results, it can be understood that the compressive strength of the brick is 8.71 N/mm² and is classified as Class K50.

The ratio of cement to the sand of the mortar used was 1:4. The average compressive strength of 10 mortars tested with a size of 40x40x40 mm was 22.30 N/mm². Regarding the compressive strength of the mortar, it is classified as class (i) according to BS 5628-1-1992 and M type mortar according to ASTM C 270 [11]. The concrete cap used in tested beam had an average compressive strength of 21.8 N/mm² tested using ten cylinders of 150 mm diameter and 300 mm height.

3.2 Compressive and flexural strength of masonry

The compressive test of masonry wallettes conducted in this study refers to the standard specified in SNI 03-4164-1996 [7]. The average maximum load applied was 92.5 kN so that the average compression strength value of the masonry was 1.36 N/mm². The modulus of elasticity the masonry was of 327.3 N/mm², calculated as the modulus secant.

The compressive strength obtained was very small compared to the minimum strength in BS 5628-1-1992 [13] namely 3 N/mm² determined based on the brick and the mortar characteristic.

Flexural test conducted on the wallettes has a similar size to those used in the compressive test. Calculation of the results is referred to BS EN [14]. The average flexural strength of the masonry wallettes loaded parallel and perpendicular to the bed joint was 0.07 N/mm² and 0.62 N/mm² respectively. The strength of masonry tested perpendicular was higher than those tested parallel in which the flexural strength of the masonry tested parallel was only 12% of those tested perpendicular. The crack occurred in the area between the brick and the mortar.

3.3 Flexural tests

Testing set up of the beams is shown in Fig. 4. Table 1 shows the loads and the corresponding flexural strength of the four masonry beams tested. The ratio of the flexural strength of each beam to BR0 is also given.

| Spécimens | P (N) | f'lt (MPa) | Ratio to BR0 |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| BR0       | 5,500 | 0.29      | 1.0         |
| BR16      | 23,750| 1.27      | 4.2         |
| BR22      | 63,750| 3.41      | 11.6        |
| BR22S     | 8,750 | 0.47      | 1.6         |

The flexural strength obtained from the masonry beams with no bar (BR0) was of 0.29 N/mm². The presence of 22 mm diameter rebar at the cross section of the beam (BR22) resulted in a significant increase in the flexural strength of the beam to 3.41 N/mm² (11.6 times). The significant increase is due to the rebar were cast to the concrete at both ends of the beam. From the table, it can be seen that by applying rebar diameter of 16 mm at the
center of the beam’s cross-section (BR16) bending strength obtained was 1.27 N/mm², increased 4.2 times compared to the beam without rebar (BR0). Bending strength of BR22 was 2.7 times bigger than BR16.

(a)                                                                     (b)

Fig. 3. Masonry beam (a) BR22S and (b) BR22) ready for testing.

The flexural strength of 0.47 N/mm² was found for the BR22S, the beams with slack rebar connection. The rebar was slotted into the hole of the center’s beam cross section before testing and was washer-nut tightened. The value is 0.14 times smaller than the beam with the same rebar diameter, but it was cast in the concrete. However, the addition of the rebar increased the beam bending strength by 1.6 times compared to the non-rebar beam.

Load-deflection curves for all beams are shown in Fig. 4. Beam BR22 was the strongest compared to other three beams while BR0 was the weakest.

Fig. 4. Load deflections curves of the four testing beams.

The deflection occurring at the bottom side of the beam was recorded for every 1 kN increment of the load applied. The load-deflection curve produced by the BR0 test specimen was the smallest of the four tested beams. The deflection occurring at the mid-span of the BR0 beam was 0.7 mm with the maximum load applied was 5.5 kN. For BR16, maximum deflection was 10 mm, and the corresponding load was 23.75 kN. There is an increase in the load capacity that can be withstood by the BR16 compared with the beam without a reinforced bar (BR0). From Fig. 2 the stiffness of the beam can be calculated, the average was 7,800 N/mm. Maximum loads and deflections of BR22 was 63.75 kN and 9.6
mm respectively, while for BR22S beam the maximum load was 8.75 kN with the corresponding deflection of 4.0 mm. It can be concluded that the effect of additional rebar in masonry beams is to increase the stiffness of the beam. The bigger the rebar diameter, the higher the strength of the beam is.

The pattern of bending collapse of masonry beam during loading is shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the position of masonry beam crack was on the pure bending area. A typical collapse pattern was a vertical crack parallel to the applied loading direction and formed a diagonal crack on the brick near the loading area. Due to the bed joint of masonry beam was parallel to the applied load, it was obvious to have the crack occurs at the area between brick and mortar that was indicated by the separation between the brick and the mortar. No bricks were broken, but it was only hair-crack in some area.

Crack patterns that occur in the beams did not change due to the difference in diameter of the reinforced bar used, including for beams with no rebar. The different bar diameter effect the maximum loads that caused the beams to fail.

![Crack pattern of the masonry beam.](image)

**Fig. 5.** Crack pattern of the masonry beam.

### 4 Conclusions

Based on results from the experimental study it can be concluded that the flexural strength of masonry beams is increased due to the existence of the rebar. The value of bending strength is 0.29 MPa, 1.27 MPa, and 3.41 MPa for a beam with no rebar (BR0), beam with rebar of 16 mm diameter (BR16), and beam with rebar of 22 mm diameter (BR22), respectively. The addition of reinforced bar on the beam resulted in a resilient strength increase of up to 11 times. However, if the reinforced bar is not installed well (BR22s), the growth is only 1.6 times compared to BR0. An increase in the diameter of reinforcing bars from 16 mm to 22 mm increases the flexural strength of 2.7 times.

Test results obtained for masonry beam material is the compressive strength of 8.71 N/mm² for brick, and 22.30 N/mm² obtained for mortar. Using those materials result in the compressive strength of masonry of 1.36 N/mm². The flexural strength obtained is 0.07 N/mm² and 0.62 N/mm² for masonry prism loaded parallel and perpendicular to the bed joint respectively.
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