On the soft X-ray emission of M82
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We present a spatial analysis of the soft X-ray and Hα emissions from the outflow of the starburst galaxy M82. We find that the two emissions are tightly correlated on various scales. The O\textsc{vii} triplet of M82, as resolved by X-ray grating observations of XMM-\textit{Newton}, is dominated by the forbidden line, inconsistent with the thermal prediction. The O\textsc{vii} triplet also shows some spatial variations. We discuss three possible explanations for the observed O\textsc{vii} triplet, including the charge exchange at interfaces between the hot outflow and neutral cool gas, a collisional non-equilibrium-ionization recombing plasma, and resonance scattering.

1 Introduction

Galactic-scale outflows (superwinds) from active star-forming galaxies represent an important feedback process, which regulates the galaxy evolution and recycles the metals and energy produced by massive stars and supernovae (Veilleux et al. 2005). Indeed, O\textsuperscript{5+} ions have been detected out to 150 kpc around star-forming galaxies by the Cosmic Origins Spectrograph through their absorption (Tumlinson et al. 2011). The details of the feedback process, however, can only be studied effectively through the X-ray emitting outflows of nearby starburst galaxies. The prototype starburst galaxy M82 (located at 3.6 Mpc), with a powerful superwind detected on scales up to 10 kpc, is an ideal target to study the physical process of superwind.

The soft X-ray emission of the outflow of M82 is found to be spatially correlated with the Hα emission (Strickland et al. 2004), which indicates that the soft X-ray emission is due to the interaction between the hot outflow and the entrained disk/halo cool gas. While the shock-heated gas is expected to produce thermal soft X-ray emission, the O\textsc{vii} triplet, as detected with XMM-\textit{Newton}, is found to be dominated by the forbidden line (Liu et al. 2011; Ranalli et al. 2008), which is inconsistent with the thermal prediction.

The Kα triplet of He-like ions is a powerful diagnostic that can be used to test the origin of the X-ray line emission (for a recent review, see Porquet et al. 2010). The triplet consists of a resonance line, two inter-combination lines, and a forbidden line. For a thermal plasma in ionization equilibrium, the electron collisional excitation is efficient and favours the resonance line. The fact that the forbidden line is stronger than the resonance line suggests that an alternative emission mechanism may play a role in generating the soft X-ray emission.

One interesting possibility is the charge exchange (also called charge transfer), which occurs at the interface between the hot plasma and neutral cool gas. It has been shown that highly ionized ions in the solar wind can readily pick up electrons from neutral species around a comet. These electrons, captured in excited states of the ions, cascade down and lead to X-ray line emission (e.g., Cravens 1997; Lisse et al. 1996). The electron downward cascading favours the forbidden line (for a recent review, see Dennerl 2010).

Similar interfaces between the hot plasma and cool gas also exists in the case of galactic superwinds. Observations have shown that the superwinds contain cool neutral and warm ionized clouds/filaments, as well as highly ionized hot gas. In the southwest outflow of M82 (see Fig. 1), molecular H\textsubscript{2} and CO are observed to be well correlated with the Hα emission, thus with X-ray emission (Veilleux et al. 2003; Walter et al. 2002). The correlation between the molecular gas and the Hα emission is less prominent in the northeast outflow.

The relatively strong forbidden line of the O\textsc{vii} triplet of M82 may also be produced by the recombination of an over-cooled non-equilibrium-ionization plasma. Another process that may have effect on the line ratio is the scattering of photons of a resonance line, which has a larger optical depth due to the large oscillator strength compared to a forbidden line.

In this paper we study the spatial correlation between the soft X-ray and Hα emissions using the high-resolution \textit{Chandra} and \textit{HST} data. We show that besides the global correlation between the soft X-ray and Hα emissions, there are regions showing that the soft X-ray emission occurs behind the Hα emission in the outflowing direction. We also
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present a spatially resolved analysis of the O vii triplet of M82. The three mechanisms mentioned above that can explain a strong forbidden line are discussed.

2 Correlation between the soft X-ray and Hα emissions

The soft-X-ray emission (0.5-2 keV) of M82 observed by Chandra is plotted in Fig. 1 (left panel) together with the continuum subtracted HST Hα image (right panel; Mutchler et al. 2007). The Chandra ACIS-S3 data are extracted from the observations with IDs of 10542, 10543, 10544, 10545, 10925, 11800 (PI: D. Strickland). The HST Hα data, binned to 0.5″ to match the pixel size of the Chandra image, shows many filamentary structures, as well as loops and arcs, while the X-ray image shows limb-brightening features. We see that in general, the morphology of X-ray emission follows that of Hα emission very well, though the Hα image shows much more detailed structures.

To illustrate their relation on finer scales, in Fig. 2, we plot the X-ray and Hα intensity distributions along three lines marked in Fig. 1. On large scales the profiles of both emissions follow with each other, but on small scales, there are regions showing distinctive features. For example, in the region marked by the ellipse in Fig. 1, the X-ray emission occurs behind the Hα emission in the outflowing direction (X-ray peak at the position 705 of line 2), and the Hα emission seems arising from shell-like structures driven by the X-ray emitting outflow; at another region marked by the arrow, the peak of the X-ray filament coincides with faint Hα emission (X-ray peak at the position 640 of line 2).

Because of the projection effect, it is uncertain as to whether the large-scale similarity of the X-ray and Hα emissions is due to the superposition of small-scale adjacent features as shown along line 2 or due to the intrinsic association of two emission components, but the correlation indicates that the soft X-ray emission and Hα emission are closely connected.

3 OVIIR triplet of M82

As stated in the introduction, the line ratios of the O vii triplet can be used to test the origin of the X-ray emission. To apply the test, the O vii triplet should be resolved. With its large dispersion power, XMM-Newton Reflection Grating Spectrometers (RGSs) (den Herder et al. 2001) have the unique capability to provide high resolution spectra for extended sources, such as the superwind of M82. We use two exposures with similar observational configurations (ID 0206080101 and 0560181301) and with a total effective exposure of 90 ks. To study the spatial behavior of the triplet, we divide the cross-dispersion range into three regions: A (-30″ – 30″), B (-90″ – -30″), and C (30″ – 90″). Fig. 3 shows the dispersion direction and extraction regions plotted on the XMM-Newton EPIC-pn image of M82.

The O vii triplets extracted from the three regions are plotted in Fig. 4. It can be seen clearly that the triplet of the A and B regions is dominated by the forbidden line. In contrast, the triplet is dominated by the resonance line in the C region. To study the line ratios of the O vii triplet quantitatively, we fit a model consisting of three Gaussians and a constant continuum to the data, which is expressed as

\[
 f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_\lambda} \sum_{j=r,i,f} f_j \exp \left[ -\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_j - \Delta\lambda)^2}{2\sigma_\lambda^2} \right] + f_{\text{con}},
\]

where \(\lambda_{r,i,f}\) (21.6, 21.8 and 22.1 Å) are the wavelengths of the resonance, inter-Combination, and forbidden lines, re-
respectively, $f_{r,i,f}$ are the corresponding fluxes, $f_{\text{cont}}$ is the continuum flux, $\sigma_\lambda$ the dispersion, and $\Delta \lambda$ the wavelength shift. The best-fitting results are plotted in Fig. 4 (for details, please see Liu et al. 2012).

For the emission from a thermal plasma in ionization equilibrium and at a temperature greater than 0.1 keV, the ratio $G = \frac{f_{r,i}}{f_{\text{cont}}}$ is smaller than 1 (e.g., Porquet et al. 2001). The $G$ ratios of A and B regions are around 3, inconsistent with the thermal prediction. The $G$ ratio in the C region is consistent with that of a thermal plasma around $5 \times 10^6$ K.

4 Discussions

4.1 Resonance scattering

One possible explanation of the large $G$ ratio is resonance scattering. If the optical depth is large enough, the intensity of the resonance line is re-distributed from the central optically thick region to the outer optically thin region (Gilfanov et al. 1987), and the ratio $G$ is increased in the central region and reduced in outer region. For the A and B regions, a diminishing factor of at least 3 is needed for the observed resonance line. If the relatively weak resonance line is indeed due to the resonance scattering, such a diminishment is also expected for other resonance lines, such as the Fe xvi $2p-3d$ line at 15Å. Assuming the ratio of Fe/O is...
4.2 Non-equilibrium-ionization plasma

A collisional non-equilibrium-ionization (NEI) recombin-
scattering effect.ing and cooling sufficiently fast, can become overly ionized.

similar to the solar value and a temperature around $3 \times 10^6$ K (estimated from the flux ratio of the O VI Lyα to O VI triplet), the optical depth of the Fe XVII 2p–3d line is similar to that of the O VI resonance line. Because both the resonance Fe XVII 2p–3d line and the optically thin Fe XVII 2p–3s line at 17Å are relatively isolated, their ratio is a good test of the resonance scattering effect (Xu et al. 2002). In Fig. 5, we plot the cross-dispersion ratio of the Fe XVII 2p–3d line to the optically thin Fe XVII 2p–3s line. It shows little evidence for the diminishment of the 2p–3d line compared to the optically thin 2p–3s line, which argues against the resonance scattering effect.

4.3 Charge exchange X-ray emission

The charge exchange X-ray emission is the mechanism that can naturally explain the dominance of the forbidden line of the O VI triplet. It is supported by the tight correlation between the X-ray emission and the cool gas in the southwest outflow. The thermal-like $G$ ratio of the C region seems to arise from the northeast region with faint molecular gas (Veilleux et al. 2009). One spectral feature that can be used to further test the charge exchange X-ray emission is the enhanced line flux from the levels ($n = 3 - 6$) of charge-exchange captured electrons, such as O VI Lyβ and Lyγ lines (Beiersdorfer et al. 2003). Another feature to test between the charge exchange and NEI model is the radiative recombination continuum (RRC), which is expected by the NEI model and not by the charge exchange. Unfortunately, current instruments do not have sufficiently large collecting area and high resolution to measure such spectral lines. With the calorimeter spectrometer of Astro-H planned to launch in 2014, one may be able to obtain a spatially resolved map of the O VI triplet, which could then be used to constrain the details of the charge exchange process.
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