Triple synchronous primary neoplasms in the gastrointestinal tract

Fozan Mohammed Sauri1, Eman Adnan Zakarneh1, Mohammed Yousef Alessa1,2, Ahmad Hammad Sakr1,3, Yeon Seung Chung4, Ho Seung Kim5, Nam kyoo Kim1

1Department of Surgery, Colorectal Division, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, 120-752, South Korea; 2Department of General Surgery, King Faisal University, Alahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia; 3Colorectal Surgery Unit, Department of General Surgery, Mansoura Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University Hospital, Mansoura 35511, Egypt; 4Department of Pathology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 120-752, South Korea.

To the Editor: Most of the multiple primary malignancies are metachronous or involve more than one system, we instead describe a case with synchronous triple cancers involving one organ system.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Severance hospital, Yonsei University (IRB No. 4-2019-0889). A 61-year old man who had no chronic illness, underwent abdominal computerized tomography (CT) scan due to vague abdominal complaints and was found to have descending colon wall thickening [Figure 1A], colon cancer (cT3N+) was suspected. He is not smoker or alcohol drinker, and has no family history of malignancies. His chest CT scan results showed esophageal wall thickening [Figure 1B]. Upper gastrointestinal tract (GIT) endoscopy results showed mid esophageal mass [Figure 1C] and gastric mucosal lesion [Figure 1D] diagnosed by biopsy squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma respectively.

Likewise, colonoscopy results showed a mass in the descending colon [Figure 1E], which was histopathologically confirmed to be adenocarcinoma. The patient underwent upper GIT endoscopic ultrasound and results showed an esophageal mass [Figure 1F] with an enlarged lymph node [Figure 1G], indicating clinical-stage cT4N1, while the gastric lesion [Figure 1H] was staged as cT1aN0.

Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) was performed for stomach cancer. Histopathology findings showed well-differentiated adenocarcinoma without lymphovascular or perineural invasion and with negative resection margins [Figure 1I]. The patient underwent robotic esophagectomy and cervical esophagogastronomy (upfront surgery). Histopathology results [Figure 1J] showed squamous cell carcinoma (pT2N2), thus, adjuvant chemotherapy was initiated (5-fluorouracil and cisplatin). Laparoscopic left hemicolecction was done. Histopathology results [Figure1K] showed adenocarcinoma (pT1N0), the genetic study showed kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene (KRAS) gene and neuroblastoma ras viral oncogene homolog (NRAS) gene are wild types and Microsatellite stable.

The difference between the initial clinical stage (cT3N+) and the pathological stage (pT1N0) for the colon cancer is probably a response to the 5-fluorouracil based adjuvant chemotherapy post esophageal cancer. One year after surgery, the patient had no complications (both early and late), with no recurrence on last follow up and has a good quality of life.

In our case, the treatment of the stomach cancer by EMR started first and it was successful and so we were able to use it for the reconstruction of GIT continuity.

Although international guidelines for the management of clinical stages II and III mid-esophageal cancer indicate neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery,[1] in our patient we performed upfront surgery followed by adjuvant chemotherapy when indicated dependent on final histopathology to avoid delay in the treatment of other primary cancers. Matsuda et al[2] reported no significant difference in overall five years survival between the neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery and upfront surgery (P = 0.167). Chen et al[3] also found no survival difference between neoadjuvant chemoradiation followed by surgery and the upfront surgery with pathological stage-based adjuvant chemo-radiation (P = 0.147).

In conclusion, we report our case to highlight that healthcare professionals need special strategies for the management of multiple primary malignancies to provide the best oncological outcomes.
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