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Abstract: Nkx2.9 is a member of the NK homeobox family and resembles Nkx2.2 both in homology and expression pattern. However, while Nkx2.2 is required for development of serotonergic neurons, the role of Nkx2.9 in the mid-hindbrain region is still ill-defined. We have previously shown that Nkx2.9 expression is downregulated upon loss of En1 during development. Here, we determined whether mdDA neurons require Nkx2.9 during their development. We show that Nkx2.9 is strongly expressed in the IsO and in the VZ and SVZ of the embryonic midbrain, and the majority of mdDA neurons expressed Nkx2.9 during their development. Although the expression of Dat and Cck are slightly affected during development, the overall development and cytoarchitecture of TH-expressing neurons is not affected in the adult Nkx2.9-depleted midbrain. Transcriptome analysis at E14.5 indicated that genes involved in mid- and hindbrain development are affected by Nkx2.9-ablation, such as Wnt8b and Tph2. Although the expression of Tph2 extends more rostral into the isthmic area in the Nkx2.9 mutants, the establishment of the IsO is not affected. Taken together, these data point to a minor role for Nkx2.9 in mid-hindbrain patterning by repressing a hindbrain-specific cell-fate in the IsO and by subtle regulation of mdDA neuronal subset specification.
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1. Introduction

NK homeobox genes, first described in drosophila, program organogenesis during embryonic development [1]. The murine NK2 family consists of seven genes: Nkx2.1–Nkx2.6 and Nkx2.9, which is named Nkx2.8 in most other species [2–4]. These seven genes can be subdivided based on their homology to the drosophila NK genes and their dependence on sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling. Nkx2.1, Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 resemble NK2 drosophila genes and are dependent on SHH-signaling for their expression, whilst Nkx2.3, Nkx2.5 and Nkx2.6 resemble NK3 and are SHH-independent [4,5]. Solely, Nkx2.1, Nkx2.2 and Nkx2.9 are expressed in the central nervous system. While Nkx2.1 is involved in ventral programming of the basal ganglia [6], Nkx2.2 is pivotal for the development of serotonergic neurons in the hindbrain [7]. Nkx2.9 displays strong similarity both in homology (~88%) and in expression pattern to Nkx2.2. During early embryonic development (after E9.5) Nkx2.9 is present in the dorsal nervous system and is present in the zona limitans intrathalamica (ZLI), which is marked by expression of Shh [8]. Nonetheless, Nkx2.9 is unable to rescue the serotonin neurons in Nkx2.2-ablated animals,
suggesting it has a unique (though unknown) role during the development of the central nervous system [4,7,9–11].

Mesodiencephalic dopaminergic neurons (mdDA) are generated around E11.5 and develop into the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) [12]. The dopaminergic progenitor pool is dependent on the expression of early transcription factors (e.g., Nurr1, Lmx1a/b, En1, Otx2), morphogenetic factors Shh and Wnt/β-catenin, as well as the correct organization of molecular signaling centers such as the isthmic organizer (IsO) [13–15]. Previously, we investigated the role of homeobox transcription factor Engrailed-1 (En1) in the development of mdDA neurons, and genome-wide expression analysis on En1-ablated dissected midbrain material at E13.5 designated Nkx2.9 as a direct or indirect transcriptional target of En1 [16]. Here, we elaborate on this finding and determine whether mdDA neurons require Nkx2.9 during their developmental progression. We show for the first time that Nkx2.9 is expressed in the ventricular zone (VZ) and subventricular zone (SVZ) of the midbrain, harboring mdDA progenitor cells, and that the majority of mdDA neurons express Nkx2.9 during their development. Interestingly, the neuroanatomical architecture and number of TH-neurons is not clearly affected during development, and later, in the adult brain. However, expression of Dat and Cck appears to be affected in the Nkx2.9 mutant at E14.5, which recovers in the adult, suggesting a small role for Nkx2.9 in terminal differentiation. Transcriptome analysis of E14.5 dissected midbrain material of the Nkx2.9 mutant indicated that early factors in mid- and hindbrain development are alternatively expressed, such as Wnt8b in the midbrain and Tph2 in the hindbrain. Although the expression of Tph2 extends more rostral into the isthmic area in the Nkx2.9 mutants, the establishment of the IsO is not affected. Taken together, Nkx2.9 is an early transcription factor that is expressed by the majority of mdDA neurons during development and has a minor role in mid-hindbrain patterning by repressing a hindbrain-specific cell-fate in the IsO, and by subtle regulation of mdDA neuronal subset specification.

2. Results
2.1. Nkx2.9 Transcript Expression in Mesencephalon and Metencephalon Is Dependent on En1

The embryonic development of mdDA neuronal subsets depends on the interplay of different (homeobox) transcription factors such as Pitx3, Nurr1, Otx2 and En1 [13,15]. The latter has been shown to be pivotal for the correct development and survival for mdDA neurons [16–18] as well as the correct maintenance of the IsO [19]. In a previously published genome-wide expression analysis on E13.5 En1-ablated dissected midbrain material, Nkx2.9 was significantly down-regulated [16], suggesting that Nkx2.9 is a direct or indirect transcriptional target of En1. To substantiate this finding, we performed in situ hybridization of Nkx2.9 in control and En1KO animals (Figure 1). At E12.5 the Nkx2.9 transcript is present in the rostral hindbrain, the dorsal midbrain, and extends into the diencephalon through expression in the ZLI (Figure 1B). Furthermore, Nkx2.9 is strongly expressed in the region of the IsO. In the absence of En1 the expression in medial sections is lost in the IsO-region (asterisk, Figure 1B), but expression is retained in the ZLI. These data indicate that the correct expression pattern of Nkx2.9 is dependent on En1 activity, and these data also propose the possibility that Nkx2.9-deficiency might contribute to the changes observed in the mid- and hindbrain region as a consequence of En1-ablation [19].

2.2. Nkx2.9 Transcript Expression Is Present in the mdDA Precursor Region

In order to investigate if Nkx2.9 has a potential role in the generation and development of mdDA neurons, we elected to first describe the expression pattern at E12.5 relative to the expression pattern of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme in the production of dopamine (Figure 2A,B). Double-labeling of the Nkx2.9 transcript (blue) and TH protein (brown) at E12.5 revealed that Nkx2.9 is expressed in the VZ and SVZ of the midbrain. Furthermore, in (para-)medial sections the Nkx2.9 transcript is expressed in such proximity to the TH protein that possible colocalization cannot be excluded. In contrast, the Nkx2.9 transcript is clearly located dorsal-caudal to the TH expression pattern in lateral sections.
Moreover, in medial sections of the E12.5 developing brain Nkx2.9 expression is present in the IsO, as is indicated by overlapping expression with Fgf8 (arrowhead, Figure 2C).

**Figure 1.** Nkx2.9 is absent in the IsO of En1-ablated animals. (A) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain (M) and rhombomere 1 (R1) at developmental stage E12.5. The position of the IsO is indicated by a dotted line. (B) In situ hybridization for Nkx2.9 on different sections from lateral to medial in the developing midbrain region in wild type (WT) and En1-ablated (En1KO) animals at E12.5. Scale bar represents 100 µm. Asterisk indicates missing Nkx2.9 expression.

**Figure 2.** Nkx2.9 is expressed in the mdDA progenitor region at E12.5. (A) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain (M) and rhombomere 1 (R1) at developmental stage E12.5. The position of the IsO is indicated by a dotted line. (B) In situ hybridization experiment reveals that Nkx2.9 expression (blue) is present in the IsO, as is indicated by overlapping expression with Fgf8 (arrowhead, Figure 2C). (C) In situ hybridization experiment for Nkx2.9 mRNA at E14.5. This reveals that the area of Nkx2.9 transcription is strongly reduced compared to its expression in the IsO, as is indicated by overlapping expression with Fgf8 (green box) overlaps with Fgf8 transcript (red box) in medial midbrain at E12.5, as is indicated in a pseudo-overlay (arrowhead). (D) Nkx2.9 in situ hybridization experiment on sagittal brain sections from stage E14.5 shows that it is limited to a small area in the caudomedial midbrain, close to the IsO (arrowheads). Scale bar represents 100 µm.
To determine whether Nkx2.9 could also have a function during terminal differentiation of the mdDA neurons, we visualized Nkx2.9 mRNA at E14.5. This revealed that the area of Nkx2.9 expression is strongly reduced compared to its expression area at E12.5. At E14.5 the expression of Nkx2.9 is restricted to a small region in the mid-hindbrain area at this stage, as Nkx2.9 mRNA is solely present at the most caudal border of the midbrain (arrowhead, Figure 2D). Thus, based on its temporal and spatial expression pattern Nkx2.9 appears to be only scarcely expressed in the midbrain area at the time-point at which mdDA neurons terminally differentiate. The abundant expression of Nkx2.9 in the presumed mdDA precursor zone at E12.5 [20,21], and strong reduction in expression at later time-points, suggests that Nkx2.9 is most likely able to exert an effect on the dopamine system during the precursor state of mdDA neuronal development.

2.3. A Major Subset of mdDA Neurons Originates from a Nkx2.9-Positive Lineage

To further determine the possible role of Nkx2.9 in mdDA precursors we analyzed an Nkx2.9 knock-out/LacZ knock-in a mouse model [22]. In this model, the first exon of the Nkx2.9 transcript was replaced by a LacZ-NLS which was joined to the ATG start codon at the intact 5-UTR, and LacZ expression generally recapitulates endogenous Nkx2.9 expression as shown previously [22]. As such, we used this model to fate-map cells that at one point in time would have expressed Nkx2.9 during normal murine development.

First, at E12.5, β-galactosidase (β-gal) protein is present in the IsO (arrowhead, Figure 3B), in the ventricular zone (arrow, Figure 3B) and in the hindbrain (> Figure 3B). This pattern at this (early) stage largely overlaps with the native Nkx2.9 expression (compare Figures 2B and 3B). Second, at E14.5 the mid- and hindbrain area harbors cells over the entire lateral-medial axis that are positive for β-gal protein, particularly in the region of the mdDA neuronal pool (arrows, Figure 3D). This is even more apparent in more lateral sections, in which the β-gal protein can be found in the ventral midbrain abutting the mesencephalic flexure (arrows, Figure 3D). Thus, these fate-mapping experiments reveal that during development many cells located in the mid-hindbrain region encountered (transient) expression of Nkx2.9. Interestingly, the observed expression of the β-gal protein at E14.5 is much broader than the previously described expression of the Nkx2.9 transcript, indicating that the expression of the β-gal protein is highly stable and detectable long after expression of Nkx2.9 has stopped.

Since we observed scarce Nkx2.9 transcript expression in the region of the mdDA neuronal pool at E14.5 (Figure 2D), but an abundance of β-gal protein in the ventricular zone at E12.5, as well as in the region of the mdDA neuronal pool at E14.5, we hypothesized that a subset of mdDA neurons may have expressed Nkx2.9 during their development. Thus, we performed a double-labeling experiment to visualize both TH (green) protein and β-gal protein (red) at the stage of terminal differentiation (E14.5) of mdDA neurons. Double-positive mdDA neurons were found both in the rostral-lateral midbrain (Figure 3F, yellow arrowheads, box 1, 3) and in the caudomedial midbrain (Figure 3F, box 5–8). Indeed, this suggests that most of the mdDA neurons (transiently) express Nkx2.9 at one point in time, considering the expression of Nkx2.9 transcript these neurons most likely expressed Nkx2.9 during the precursor stage. Remarkably, the mdDA neurons that are negative for β-gal (Figure 3F, white arrowheads, box 1–8) are interspersed with double-positive mdDA neurons (Figure 3F, yellow arrowheads, box 1–8), indicating that the mdDA neuronal population contains at least two different neuronal populations: a population that expressed Nkx2.9 during development and a population that did not express this gene.

In order to substantiate this point, we analyzed adult Nkx2.9LacZ/+ brains for TH and β-gal presence (Figure 3H). This analysis confirmed that double-positive mdDA neurons were found in both the SNc and VTA region neurons (yellow arrowheads, Figure 3H), again in close proximity to mdDA neurons that did not originate from an Nkx2.9-positive lineage (white arrowheads, Figure 3H). In sum, we propose that a large subset of mdDA neurons in both the VTA and SNc originates from a Nkx2.9-positive precursor lineage population.
over the entire lateral-median axis that are positive for β-gal protein, particularly in the region of the mdDA neuronal pool (arrows, Figure 3D). This is even more apparent in more lateral sections, in which the β-gal protein can be found in the ventral midbrain abutting the mesencephalic flexure (arrows, Figure 3D). Thus, these fate-mapping experiments reveal that during development many cells located in the mid-hindbrain region encountered (transient) expression of Nkx2.9. Interestingly, the observed expression of the β-gal protein at E14.5 is much broader than the previously described expression of the Nkx2.9 transcript, indicating that the expression of the β-gal protein is highly stable and detectable long after expression of Nkx2.9 has stopped.

**Figure 3.** Nkx2.9LacZ-fate-mapping reveals that Nkx2.9 expression was encountered by a large portion of mdDA neurons. (A) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E12.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (B) Immunohistochemistry for β-gal (red) and nuclei (DAPI, blue) on sagittal E12.5 brain sections shows that the β-gal protein is present in the IsO (arrowhead), the mdDA area of the midbrain (arrow) and in the hindbrain (>). (C) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E14.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (D) Immunohistochemistry for β-gal (red) and nuclei (DAPI, blue) on sagittal E14.5 brain sections shows that the β-gal protein is present in the IsO region (arrowhead), the mdDA area of the midbrain (arrow) and in the hindbrain (>). (E) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E14.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (F) Immunohistochemistry for TH (green) and β-gal (red) at E14.5 in sagittal brain sections. Double-positive TH+/β-gal+ neurons (yellow arrowheads) and single TH+/β-gal-neurons (white arrowheads) are present in the lateral and medial mdDA region (G) Schematic, coronal section of the adult mouse brain; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (H) Immunohistochemistry for TH (green) and β-gal (red) in adult brain sagittal sections show that double-positive TH+/β-gal+ neurons (yellow arrowheads) and single TH+/β-gal-neurons (white arrowheads) are present in SNc and VTA in adult brains. White dotted line in B, D and F marks the borders of the tissue. White boxes indicate areas of magnification in each panel. Scale bar represents 100 µm.
2.4. Nkx2.9-Ablation Does Not Affect the mdDA Precursor Proliferation, but Decreases Dat Expression at E14.5

Having demonstrated that a large part of the mdDA neurons finds its origin in an Nkx2.9-positive precursor lineage, we aimed to determine the specific role of Nkx2.9 in these neurons. To start, we analyzed whether the loss of Nkx2.9 affected the cytoarchitecture of the mid-hindbrain region, and thus we traced LacZ-expressing cells by visualizing β-gal activity in Nkx2.9LacZ/+ and Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ hemispheres at E14.5 (Figure 4A–D). In the absence of Nkx2.9, the mid- and hindbrain area displayed remarkably increased levels of β-gal activity, compared to the loss of just one allele (arrowheads, Figure 4B, and compare Figure 3F to Figure 4D). This could be indicative of increased proliferation in the isthmic area in the absence of Nkx2.9, which may affect the initial pool size of neurons in the mid-hindbrain region. Thus, in order to determine whether proliferation is affected in the Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryonic midbrain, we performed an immunohistochemistry experiment for phosphorylated Histone-H3 (pHH3), a marker for mitotic cells [23]. We observed no clear difference in proliferation in the absence of Nkx2.9 at the stages E12.5 (Figure 4E,F) and E14.5 (Figure 4G,H). The increase in β-gal protein in the mutant would, therefore, most likely be caused by the presence of two functional alleles of LacZ, which would double the levels of β-gal protein in each Nkx2.9-fate-mapped cell, rather than by a change in proliferation.

Interestingly, the β-gal level within the mdDA region of Nkx2.9-ablated mice displayed diminished expression (arrows, compare Figure 3F to Figure 4D), relative to the levels of β-gal protein expression in the ventricular zone (arrowheads, Figure 4D). This change might suggest that the ablation of Nkx2.9 affects the developing mdDA neurons without altering proliferation in the mid-hindbrain region. To determine whether the decrease in LacZ expression is reflected in a cell-intrinsic decrease or regional-specific loss of mdDA neuronal markers, we performed an independent set of ISH experiments (Figure 5A–C). This showed that expression of Dat was affected in absence of Nkx2.9 and revealed that the loss of Dat was highly specific to the most caudomedial region of the midbrain (arrowhead, Figure 5B). In situ hybridization experiments on an adjacent set for Th transcript, clarified that the absence of Dat was not caused by the selective absence of mdDA neurons in that region (Figure 5C). Since we previously determined that the expression of Dat is enriched in a rostral subset of the mdDA neuronal pool [24], we expected that more subset markers might be affected as a consequence of Nkx2.9 removal. Thus, we investigated the expression patterns of two known markers that define the rostral and caudal subsets Ahd2 and Cck, respectively [15,16]. We observed no clear alterations in the transcript pattern of Ahd2 on adjacent sections in wild type and Nkx2.9- ablated animals (Figure 5D), though Cck expression appeared to be slightly affected (Figure 5E, arrowheads). Taken together, these data point to a possible role for Nkx2.9 in fate determination of mdDA neurons early during development.
Nkx2.9 is strongly expressed in the IsO at E14.5 but does not affect proliferation in this area. (A) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E14.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (B) Medial view of dissected hemitube at E14.5. β-gal activity (visualized by X-gal staining) is present in the IsO-area in control hemitube (arrowhead). β-gal activity is markedly increased in Nkx2.9-ablated animals in the IsO area and in midbrain (arrowheads). Black dotted line delineates the mesencephalic flexure. (C) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E14.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (D) Staining of β-gal protein (red) and nuclei (DAPI, blue) shows increased levels of β-gal protein are present in the (sub)ventricular zone in the midbrain at E14.5 in Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ animals (arrowheads). Note that β-gal staining demonstrated decreased β-gal staining in the mdDA neuronal region in Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ animals (arrows). White dotted line marks the borders of the tissue. (E) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E12.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (F) Examination of medial to lateral sections of immunohistochemistry experiment for phosphorylated Histone H3 (pHH3, red) and a nuclear staining (DAPI, blue) demonstrates no clear alteration in proliferation in the midbrain region at E12.5 in Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ animals compared to litter mate Nkx2.9LacZ/+ controls. (G) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E14.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (H) Examination of medial to lateral sections of immunohistochemistry experiment for phosphorylated Histone H3 (pHH3, red) and a nuclear staining (DAPI, blue) demonstrates no obvious alteration in proliferation in the midbrain region at E14.5 in Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ animals compared to litter mate Nkx2.9LacZ/+ controls. Scale bar represents 100 µm.
Since we determined that the caudal neuronal pool we examined the adult (2.5 months old) midbrain and striatum of Nkx2.9-ablated animals at E14.5. (A) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E14.5; the mdDA area is indicated in black. (B) Lateral to medial sections of Dat in situ hybridization experiments reveals that Dat expression is down-regulated in caudal-medial mdDA neurons (arrowheads) in Nkx2.9-ablated midbrains at E14.5. (C) Th expression analysis on adjacent sections reveals no aberrations in Nkx2.9-ablated midbrains at E14.5. (D) In situ hybridization for the rostral marker Ahd2 indicates that the expression pattern is unaffected as a consequence of Nkx2.9-ablation. (E) Expression analysis for the caudal marker Cck on adjacent sections indicates that Cck expression is slightly affected in the caudo-medial area of Nkx2.9-ablated midbrains (arrowheads).

2.5. Loss of Nkx2.9 Does Not Affect the mdDA Neuronal Population in the Adult Midbrain

As was shown previously, the En1 mutant shows a progressive loss of mdDA neurons when animals age [17]. Nkx2.9 is lost in the En1 mutant (Figure 1) and the affected fate determination at E14.5 (Figure 5) could similarly result in a loss of mdDA neurons in the adult midbrain. Thus, to determine the consequence of Nkx2.9 ablation on the size of the mdDA neuronal pool we examined the adult (2.5 months old) midbrain and striatum of Nkx2.9-ablated mice and age-matched wild type controls by means of immunohistochemistry for TH (Figure 6). We observed no change in the cytoarchitecture or number of the mdDA neurons at this stage, both in the VTA and in the SNc (Figure 5A,B), which was confirmed by quantification of the TH-expressing cells (Figure 6C, n = 3 both WT and Mt). Moreover, no overt changes were detected in the innervation of the striatum (Figure 6D,E). Similar results were found for the presence of Dat and Th transcripts in the adult midbrain (Figure S1), although Dat expression was found to be affected at E14.5. In sum, the loss of Dat expression at embryonic stages was restored in the adult and the ablation of Nkx2.9 did not significantly affect the number of mdDA neurons present in the adult midbrain.
Figure 6. Nkx2.9-ablation does not affect the cytoarchitecture and number of mdDA neurons in the adult midbrain. (A) Schematic, coronal section of the adult mouse brain; the mdDA area is indicated in brown. (B) TH immunohistochemistry on adult coronal sections of the midbrain, at several views from rostral to caudal. The cytoarchitecture of the TH-expressing mdDA neurons is not altered in the adult midbrain of Nkx2.9-ablated mice. (C) Quantification of TH-expressing mdDA neurons in Nkx2.9-ablated adult brains and age-matched controls revealed no significant (ns) changes in the number mdDA neurons (n = 3). (D) Schematic, coronal section of the adult mouse brain; the striatum is indicated in brown. (E) Coronal section of the striatum stained for TH protein (brown), reveals that the TH-density is similar in WT and Nkx2.9 mutant animals.

2.6. Loss of Nkx2.9 Results in a Minor Loss of Specification Factors in the Mid- and Hindbrain, Suggesting a Role in Mid- and Hindbrain Fate Determination

In order to analyze the molecular changes upon Nkx2.9-ablation in terms of developmental programming of the midbrain area, we performed next-generation RNA sequencing (RNA seq) on micro-dissected midbrains at E14.5 of Nkx2.9+/+ and Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos (Figure 7A). Two dissected midbrains per genotype were pooled to create one indexed library, and in total six libraries were produced (n = 3 per genotype), which yielded after sequencing between 2–8 million reads per library. The RNA-sequencing data were analyzed with a Dseq2 analysis for determining differential expressed genes. From this analysis we found 287 differential regulated genes with a (wald stats) p-value of <0.05. No genes were significantly regulated when using adjusted p-values.
Figure 7. Next-generation RNA sequencing of Nkx2.9 mutant midbrain material compared to wild-type controls (A) Schematic representation of the RNA-sequencing setup. RNA from dissected midbrains at E14.5 of Nkx2.9-ablated animals and controls were isolated to produce RNA-seq libraries. Relative expression levels of differentially regulated transcripts of interest, related to mid- or hindbrain development, En1, Pax7, En2, Tph2, and Wnt8b. (B) Expression area of En1 is not altered in the midbrain of E14.5 Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos, compared to WT littermates. (C) Expression area of Pax7 is not altered in the midbrain of E14.5 Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos, compared to WT littermates. (D) Expression area of En2 is not altered in the midbrain of E14.5 Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos, compared to WT littermates. (E) The expression area of Tph2 extends more rostrally to the caudal part of the midbrain of E14.5 Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos, compared to WT littermates. (black arrowheads) (E') Pseudo-overlay of Th (green) and Thp2 (red) expression clearly shows that the strong separation of the two expression areas is lost in the isthmic area of the Nkx2.9 ablated animals, resulting in a more rostral expression of Thp2 bordering, and even intruding, the caudal Th expression area (white arrowheads). (F) Expression area of Wnt8b appears to be slightly altered in the midbrain of E14.5 Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos, compared to WT littermates, suggesting a possible effect on regulation of WNT-signaling of Nkx2.9. (G) Schematic, sagittal section of the mouse midbrain at E12.5; the mdDA area is indicated in green. (H) Wnt1 transcript expression revealed no altered expression patterns in Nkx2.9-ablated embryos at E12.5. (I) Fgf8 transcript expression revealed no altered expression patterns in Nkx2.9-ablated embryos at E12.5.
We decided to continue with genes that showed a significant change with \( p < 0.01 \) before post hoc analysis (Supplementary Table S1). A panther overrepresentation test to determine whether specific biological processes were enriched in this dataset did not show an overrepresentation of any specific processes. However, from this dataset we did find five interesting target genes based on function during mid- and hindbrain development and expression pattern as shown in the Allen Brain Atlas, namely En1, En2, Pax7, Tph2, and Wnt8b. Expression of all genes was found to be upregulated, besides Wnt8b, which showed a downregulation in the RNA-sequencing analysis (Figure 7A). En1, En2, and Wnt8b have previously been linked to the development of mdDA neurons and cytoarchitecture of the midbrain [17,19,25]. Besides their function in development of the DA system, En1 and Wnt8b have also been implicated in the establishment of the IsO and development of the serotonin system [19,26,27], whereas Pax7, and Tph2 have both been implicated in the development of the noradrenergic and serotonin system of the hindbrain [28–30]. The differential regulation of genes involved in the development of mid- and hindbrain-specific neuronal systems and genes important for the establishment of the IsO, points to a possible function of Nkx2.9 in development of these brain areas, similar to the previously described function of Nkx2.2 [7,31]. In order to determine whether the differential expression of these genes is due to cell intrinsic changes in expression or a change in spatial expression, we performed in situ hybridization studies on Nkx2.9 mutants at E14.5.

In situ hybridization of Nkx2.9+/+ and the Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ at E14.5 showed no overt changes in the expression of En1 (Figure 7B), Pax7 (Figure 7C), and En2 (Figure 7D), indicating that the changes found in the transcriptome analysis were not reflected in an altered expression area, and could either be cell intrinsic, or too small to visualize by means of in situ hybridization. However, the expression area of Tph2 (Figure 7E,E’) and Wnt8b (Figure 7F) appeared to be altered in the Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ animals at E14.5. The strong separation of Tph2 and Th as seen in the Nkx2.9+/+ was no longer clearly visible in the Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ at E14.5 (Figure 7E’ pseudo-overlay Th (green) and Tph2 (red) white arrowheads). The expression of Tph2 appeared to be entering the isthmic area and directly borders, or even overlapped with, the most caudal part of Th expression. Furthermore, the expression area of Wnt8b appeared to be decreased in the midbrain of Nkx2.9LacZ/LacZ embryos compared to Nkx2.9+/+ at E14.5, consistent with the decrease in expression of Wnt8b as seen in the RNA-sequencing.

Based on the transcriptome analysis and expression data of Tph2 and Wnt8b, Nkx2.9 could have a function in establishment of the IsO and repression of hindbrain-specific cell-fate. As the IsO is already long established after E14.5, we set out to determine whether early patterning of the IsO is affected upon loss of Nkx2.9. To this end, we examined the expression of Wnt1, an important regulator of the IsO and midbrain area [32–34], and the expression of Fgf8, the quintessential marker of the IsO [35,36] in the Nkx2.9-ablated midbrain at E12.5. We observed no apparent changes in the expression of either Wnt1 (Figure 7H) or Fgf8 (Figure 7I) in the absence of Nkx2.9. Taken together, these data suggest that Nkx2.9 does not function in the early establishment of the IsO, but rather has a later role in repression of hindbrain fate in the isthmic area.

3. Discussion

We showed that Nkx2.9 is lost in En1 mutant embryos and that expression of Nkx2.9 overlaps with the expression of known IsO markers, such as Fgf8. Furthermore, we established that a majority of mdDA neurons express Nkx2.9 at some point during development. Although Nkx2.9 is expressed in a large subpopulation of mdDA neurons, and loss of this gene leads to a temporary loss of Dat in mdDA neurons, it does not affect the amount or identity of mature mdDA neurons. However, Nkx2.9 may have a role in repression of a hindbrain fate and activation of a midbrain fate, as the expression of Tph2, a gene involved in establishment of the serotonin system, is upregulated in the isthmic area, and expression of Wnt8b is downregulated in the VZ of the midbrain floor plate in these mutants.
Taken together, the results suggest a minor role for **Nkx2.9** in midbrain development, by suppression of a hindbrain cell fate and an initial decrease in the expression of specific **mdDA (subset)** markers in the midbrain, which is normalized in the adult. This work could benefit research on dopamine progenitor formation and maturation of pluripotent stem cells in vitro, as it sheds more light on the underlying molecular cascade of mdDA neuronal maturation.

This study provides additional information on the intricate network of transcriptional regulation that is required for a progenitor neuron to develop into a bona fide mdDA neuron. Interestingly, although **Nkx2.9** showed restricted expression in the isthmic area of the mid- and hindbrain, many mdDA neurons are derived from this lineage, as shown by the expression of **LacZ**. However, the fact that not all mdDA neurons showed LacZ expression suggests that within the mdDA neuronal population there are neurons belonging to a subset that expressed **Nkx2.9** and neurons that did not. Recently, more attention has been given to the identification of different molecular subsets within the mdDA neuronal pool in both the murine and human brain. Studies performed by Poulin et al. (2014) and La Manno et al. (2016) made use of single-cell RNA-sequencing to obtain more insight into the different molecular subsets within the mdDA neuronal population [37,38]. It would be interesting to determine to which subset these neurons belong, or whether they are equally divided over the different subsets.

Prior research from our group revealed that **Dat** expression is driven by the activity of **Nurr1**, **Pitx3**, and **En1** [16,39]. In the current work, we showed that **Nkx2.9** is also involved in correct programming, leading to the correct expression and timing of **Dat** expression in mdDA neurons. It is possible that the delay in **Dat** expression is a result of a cell-intrinsic alteration of **En1** expression, as this marker was found to be upregulated in the transcriptome analysis of **Nkx2.9**-ablated animals. Expression of **Nurr1** (Figure S2B) and **Pitx3** (Figure S2C) was found to be unaltered in **Nkx2.9** mutants, suggesting that the role of **Nkx2.9** on **Dat** expression may not be caused by altered expression of either **Nurr1** or **Pitx3**. **Dat** expression is also negatively regulated by **Otx2** [40]; however, the area of expression or **Otx2** transcript levels are not altered (Figure S2D,E), suggesting that the **Nkx2.9** pathway to **Dat** expression is also independent of **Otx2**. In addition to the evident change in **Dat** expression, we observed a slight deficiency in **Cck** expression in **Nkx2.9**-ablated animals. **Cck** is a well-known marker of caudal (primordial VTA) mdDA neurons [16]. However, although the changes in expression of **Dat** and **Cck** were apparent in in situ experiments, these changes were not detected in the RNA-sequencing on E14.5 embryonic midbrains. This could be because the RNA-sequencing does not have enough sequencing-depth to actually pick up on these changes, or there is a timing difference between the analyzed embryos. If expression of both **Dat** and **Cck** has just started at E14.5, small differences in timing could result in a large inter-embryonic variation. Taken together, these data further suggest that initial subset programming might be influenced by **Nkx2.9**. However, as expression of **Wnt8b** is affected in the **Nkx2.9** mutant, we cannot rule out the possibility that the effects on **Dat** and **Cck** expression at E14.5 may be caused by affected WNT-signaling in this area, considering that a subset of **Dat**-expressing cells is responsive for canonical Wnt-signaling [32]. Interestingly, in zebrafish, it has been shown that **Wnt8b** is involved in establishment of the IsO, and loss of **Wnt8b** results in an aberrant number of dopamine neurons in the zebrafish diencephalon [25,27]. The fact that loss of **Nkx2.9** leads to a downregulation of **Wnt8b** and loss of repression of a hindbrain-specific cell fate in the isthmic area, could point to a role in IsO maintenance by regulation of **Wnt8b** expression in the murine midbrain.

The transient nature of the change in **Dat** expression, and no obvious effect on **TH** or other mdDA neuronal markers, may suggest that **Nkx2.9** interacts in a (partly) redundant molecular network, in which the loss of **Nkx2.9** can be compensated, albeit with a possible delay. One factor that could be involved in this compensation is **Nkx2.2**. As described previously, the expression patterns of **Nkx2.9** and **Nkx2.2** are highly similar, and function together in floor plate development of the spinal cord [8,9]. The fact that both **Nkx2.9** and
Nkx2.2 function in development of the serotonin system in the hindbrain could point to a similar function of both genes \[7,31\]. Similar transcriptional redundancies have been previously described for transcription factors that code early dopaminergic precursors, such as \(Mash1\) and \(Ngn2\) \[41\]. Recently it was shown that during lung cancer development \(Nkx2.9\) interacts with \(Nkx2.1\) and \(Pax9\) \[42\], and while these two factors are not expressed in mdDA (precursor) neurons, it is possible that \(Nkx2.9\) maintains a similar molecular relationship in mdDA neurons with other (yet unknown) transcription factors.

Taken together, the current work clearly establishes that many but not all mdDA neurons originate from an \(Nkx2.9\)-positive precursor lineage. Moreover, \(Nkx2.9\) appears to contribute to the network of transcription factors that promote terminal differentiation of mdDA neurons and is involved in repressing a hindbrain-fate in the isthmic area.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Animals

\(En1^{tm1Alj/+}\) animals were back-crossed to the C57BL6/J line generating \(En1^{+/+}\) (WT), \(En1^{tm1Alj/+}\) (Het) and viable \(En1^{tm1Alj/tm1Alj}\) (KO) offspring, and genotyped using specific primers \[16,19,43\]. \(Nkx2.9^{LacZ/LacZ}\) and \(Nkx2.9^{LacZ/+}\) animals were back-crossed in C57BL/6 mice and genotyped using specific primers, as described previously \[22\].

Embryos were isolated at embryonic day (E)12.5 and E14.5 considering the morning of detection of the vaginal plug as E0.5. Adult brains were isolated from animals at 2.5 or 6 months old (including age-matched controls).

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Dutch Ethical Committee of the UvA (AVD111002016742, 20 January 2017) and the University of Pittsburgh Animal Care and Use Committees.

All experiments were performed on two to three embryos/brains from one to two different litters. Quantification experiments were performed on at least three brains per genotype from at least two litters (numbers are stated per quantification).

4.2. qPCR

Relative expression levels were determined by qPCR real-time PCR (Lightcycler 480, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using the Quant iTect SYBR Green PCR LightCycler Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For each reaction, 10 ng (dissected midbrain) of total RNA was used as input. Primer sequences:

- \(18S\) FP 5′-AAACGGCTACCACATCCAAG-3′
- \(18S\) RP 5′-CCTCCAATGGATCCTCGTTA-3′
- \(Otx2\) FP 5′-CCAGGGTGCAGGTATGGTTTA-3′
- \(Otx2\) RP 5′-CTTCTTGGCAGGCCTCACTT-3′.

4.3. RNA-seq

RNA-seq libraries were produced as described previously \[44\]. Briefly, RNA was extracted from dissected E14.5 midbrain material from \(Nkx2.9^{+/+}\), \(Nkx2.9^{LacZ/+}\) and \(Nkx2.9^{LacZ/LacZ}\) animals using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), followed by ribosomal RNA depletion using the RiboMinus Eukaryotic System V2 (Life Technology, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Upon synthesis of cDNA for each individual midbrain, two midbrains per genotype were pooled to create one indexed library using a TruSeq Nano DNA Sample Preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). In total nine libraries were produced (\(n = 3\) per genotype). 100-bp paired-end sequencing was carried out using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument (San Diego, CA, USA), and the sequence reads were aligned (Hisat2) against the mouse genome GRCh38/mm10 \[45\]. Reads were counted and normalized (featurecount, Deseq2) and ranked by statistical value (Deseq2). The RNA sequencing dataset generated and analyzed during the current study are available in the GEO repository (GSE185584).
4.4. In Situ Hybridization (ISH)

In situ hybridization was performed as described previously [46]. Digoxigenin-labeled probes for Th, Fgf8, Dat, Pitx3, Nurr1, Wnt1, Ahd2, Cck, En1, En2, and Otx2 were used as previously described [16,19,47–51]. Additional probes were as follows: Nkx2.9 was designed at bp 642–1070 (428 bp length) of the mouse cDNA sequence (NM_008701.2), Pax7 was designed at 866–1263 (398 bp length) of the mouse cDNA sequence (NM_011039.2), Tph2 was designed at 1021–1460 (440 bp length) of the mouse cDNA sequence (NM_173391.3), and Wnt8b was designed at 35–470 (436 bp length) of the mouse cDNA sequence (NM_011720.3).

4.5. Histology and Fluorescent Immunohistochemistry

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS and subsequently stored at −80 °C. Sagittal sections (16 µm) were cut on a cryostat, after which they were washed with TBS and blocked in 4% Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) in THZT (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.5% Triton). After another wash treatment with TBS, sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibody in THZT. Sections were washed three times (TBS) the following morning and incubated for a minimum of 2 h at room temperature (RT) with secondary antibody in TBS, followed by a wash treatment with PBS. DAPI staining was performed (1 mg/mL 1:5000) for 5 min, after which sections were washed with PBS. Finally, sections were embedded with Fluorsave (Calbiochem, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Primary antibodies used were Rabbit α-TH (Pel-freez, Rogers, AR, USA 1:1000), Rabbit α-β-galactosidase (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA MP 559761, 1:1000), Rabbit α-phosphorylated Histone H3 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK, 1:1000). Secondary antibodies that were used were Goat α-Rabbit Alexa 555 (1:1000), Goat α-Rabbit Alexa 488 (1:1000), Goat α-Chicken Alexa 488 (1:1000), all from Invitrogen (Waltham, MA, USA).

Adult brains (6 months) were fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin, dehydrated, and embedded in paraffin. Coronal sections (5 µm) were cut on a microtome. Sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated (xylene and graded ethanol series from 100% to 50% and finally saline) and were subjected to an antigen retrieval step as follows. Slides were incubated with 0.1 M citrate buffer pH6 for 3 min at 800 W and 9 min at 400 W, cooled down to RT in a water bath.

4.6. DAB Immunohistochemistry

Sections (16 µm) were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 30 min then DAB (3,3J-diaminobenzidine) staining was performed as previously described [52]. Antibodies were used as followed: Rabbit α-TH (Pel-freez, Rogers, AR, USA 1:1000), Goat α-Rabbit-biotinylated (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA 1:1000).

4.7. X-galactosidase Staining Protocol

Fresh frozen sections, whole brains or hemitubes were post-fixed with 4% PFA for 45 min. The tissue was washed with PBS three times, and once with staining solution (5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 2 mM MgCl₂ in PBS). Tissue was incubated at 4 °C with staining solution complemented with 1 mg/mL X-galactosidase (X-gal) overnight, protected from light, until staining was optimal. Sections were then rinsed in PBS, dehydrated and embedded in Entellan (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Whole brains and hemitubes were rinsed in PBS, and cleared in 25%, 50% and 80% glycerol in PBS (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA).

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary Materials can be found at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms222312663/s1.
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