Phytohormones Ameliorated the Physiological Changes During Post Germination Drought in Maize
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Habib et al., Novelty statement: Maize is essential cereal crop in Pakistan of rain-fed as well as irrigated lands. During its growing stages it may faces various stresses such as drought that reduced its yield and affects its production. Our experiment revealed that pre-treatment of seeds with gibberellic acid, salicylic acid and sodium nitroprusside are effective to tolerate the effect of drought stress in maize at post germination stage.

Abstract
A pot experiment was conducted to find out effect of gibberellic acid (GA), salicylic acid (SA) and sodium nitroprusside as nitric oxide donor (NO) separately or in combination to mitigate the deviations in physiological parameters evaluated in maize while drought imposed post germination stage. Maize seeds after soaking in \(10^{-4}\) M of above mention chemicals were sown in pots filled with soil. The leaves were sampled at post germination stage. Results indicated that under drought stress, carotenoid and anthocyanin contents increased significantly while chlorophyll \(a\) and chlorophyll \(b\) remained unchanged at 5% level of significance. Pre-treatment of solution comprising SA, GA and interaction of GA+SA enhanced the content of these pigments under drought stress. While sodium nitroprusside slightly increased chlorophyll \(a\) and \(b\) content under drought. Sugar and protein decreased due to drought stress and sugar enhanced due to effect of NO only but protein content did not increase by any one of applying hormones under drought stress. Likewise GA and SA enhanced accumulation of proteins under drought stress. Pre-treatment of seeds with GA, SA, GA+SA and NO are effective to tolerate the effect of drought stress in maize at post germination stage.
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1. Introduction
Maize is third most essential cereal crop after wheat and rice. Pakistan maize is main kharif crop of rain-fed as well as irrigated lands. During maize growth period with high possibility of drought more than 35% of crop is planted under rain fed conditions. Abiotic stress affects yield of maize crop and may cause yield reduction more than 50%. Drought affects every feature of plants growth and responsible to restrictive production of crop. By accumulating cyto-compatible osmolytes including proline, betaines and polyols, plants tend to adapt drought (Rao et al., 2013). Drought affects quickly the processes associated to cell turgidity mainly the growth of the meristems. Under drought condition, increase in abscisic acid (ABA) contents and decrease in gibberellic acid (GA) and cytokinin (CK) suggested that due to alteration in hormonal equilibrium, the reduction of growth might be resulted (Akter et al., 2014). To cope with drought stress, therefore, exogenous application of hormones might be a suitable approach (Akter et al., 2014). The yield of several crops may increase due to decrease in stress made inhibition of growth, leaf area, improved photosynthetic rates and plant dry matter production by treatment of seed and foliar applications of chemicals such as glycinebetaine, kinetin and salicylic acid. Salicylic acid naturally occurring phytohormone, play a role as a significant signaling molecule enhances tolerance under abiotic stresses. Salicylic acid plays a vigorous role in ion transport and uptake and plant growth. It also involved to endogenous signaling of plant for activate defence mechanism against pathogens. Photosynthesis, plants water relation, stomatal regulation and growth under abiotic stress conditions can also significantly manage by salicylic acid (Rao et al., 2012). Gibberellins are a family of compounds generally have growth-promoting function. Drought stress resistance in maize increased by exogenous application of GA3 that improved chlorophyll content, maintained membrane permeability, leaf relative water content and macro nutrient content in leaves (Kaya et al.,...
The nitric oxide in plant cells is suggested to be one of the important second messengers. The nitric oxide synthase (NOS) synthesized most of NO in animal systems. Though, the NOS has not until now remote in plants but NOS activity for NO production can be noticed. The proteins like NOS probably localized in maize cytoplasm suggested by immunological analysis. Several physiological processes to abiotic stresses can also mediate by exogenous application of NO. The sodium nitroprusside NO donor application confers resistance in drought (Sang et al., 2008) stress. Exogenous application of SNP under drought stress prevented drought induced decreased relative water content membrane stability and growth performance, by improving proline accumulation and stimulation of oxidative enzymes cause a decreased lipid peroxidation and $H_2O_2$ content (Nasibi and Kalantari, 2009). Phytohormones have challenging and continues one of the greatest active areas of plant biology research because of their pleiotropic effect, unraveling the functions. The objective of this project was to study the role of phytohormones like gibberellic acid, salicylic acid and sodium nitroprusside as nitric-oxide donor separately or in combination to mitigate the changes in physiological parameters developed by controlling the application of irrigating water during post germination stage.

2. Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted in triplicates. The seeds were soaked in $10^{-4}$ molar solution of gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, salicylic acid + gibberellic acid, sodium nitroprusside as nitric oxide donor and distilled water as control for four hours. After treatment seeds were grown in pots having soil, making two sets of each. Two group of seedlings control and drought stress were examined. Seedlings were harvested when attained six to seven leaf stage according to plain and stored in polythene bags, placed in refrigerators at 4°C.

Plant Pigments

The amount of photosynthetic pigments were recorded by method of Lichtenthaler (1987). The chlorophyll $a$, chlorophyll $b$, carotenoid and anthocyanin were calculated using the equation (Sims and Gamon 2002).

Protein

Soluble protein content in fresh leaves was measured by the process described by Bradford (Bradford, 1976).

Sugar

Sugar content of samples were assessed according to Dubois et al. (1956) method.

Proline

Proline determined by method of Bates et al. (1973).

Catalase

Sample was homogenized in 10 ml of 0.2 mole phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) in pre cooled mortar and pestle at 4°C and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 minutes. The catalase activity assayed according to method of Aebi (1984).

Peroxidase

One gram leaf sample was homogenized in 10 ml of 0.2 mole phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) in pre cooled mortar and pestle at 4°C and for 20 mint centrifuged at 8000 rpm. Supernatant was used for peroxidase analyze according to the procedure used by Tutschek (1979).

Ascorbate-peroxidase

The supernatant obtained for peroxidase assay was used to measure ascorbate peroxidase enzyme activity (Bartoli et al., 1999).

Polyphenol Oxidase

The polyphenol oxidase activity was measured according to Shinshi and Noguchi (1975) by using supernatant obtained for peroxidase activity.

Statistical analysis

Collected data subjected to ANOVA and mean value at 5% level of significance was verified by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test utilizing computer program MSTAT-C.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Results:

Pigment Content

Chlorophyll contents are the indicators of photosynthetic activity of the plants. Many factors naturally affect the contents and ultimately influenced the photosynthesis. Plant growth regulators play role in mitigating the changed behavior of the physiological parameters. In present study, drought induced changes in chlorophyll contents were evaluated and found differential affects. Chlorophyll $a$ contents remained unchanged under drought stress imposed at post germination stage. Research work in two lines of okra show same result where chlorophyll $a$ remain unaffected under drought stress (Jaleel et al., 2009). Due to the effect of gibberellic acid, salicylic acid, salicylic acid+ gibberellic acid and nitric oxide chlorophyll contents increased, although non-significant. The effect of gibberellic acid and salicylic acid+ gibberellic acid on chlorophyll $a$ contents was observed unchanged under drought (Figure 1). Salicylic acid decreased the content under drought stress. Only the nitric oxide
responded well and an increased chlorophyll $a$ contents under drought stress were noticed. At post germination gibberellic acid and salicylic acid did not responded in maintaining the drought stress due to their activities in other physiological involvement showing no role at the stage of post germination.

The main function of chlorophyll $b$ is to collect light energy, functioning together with carotenoids and chlorophyll $a$. In present study chlorophyll $b$ content behave differentially as compared to chlorophyll $a$ under drought induced stress. Content of chlorophyll $b$ increased under drought stress from 3.95 to 4.05 non-significantly. Increased in chlorophyll $b$ contents, a drought stress adaptation in order to rise photosynthetic capability (Figure 2). Same result of research in two lines of okra indicate that increase in chlorophyll $b$ content caused by drought stress (Jaleel et al., 2009). Gibberellic acid decreased the content to some extent without drought but in drought cause still increased the content. Salicylic acid cause to decrease the content under drought stress which increased due to stress. While combined effect of gibberellic acid + salicylic acid increased the content without drought and to some extent decreased under drought. Similarly nitric oxide cause to decrease the content without drought and under drought content slightly decreased which increased due to stress. Our findings indicated that combined effect of salicylic acid + gibberellic acid and nitric oxide mitigate the drought stress effects.

Carotenoids have crucial functions in photo protection and photosynthesis. They have important role in stabilizing membranes and inhibit lipid peroxidation by antioxidant activity. In present study, carotenoids content increased significantly under drought stress imposed at post germination stage. Pretreatment of gibberellic acid + salicylic acid and gibberellic acid maximum enhance the carotenoids content under drought induce as well as without drought stage (Figure 3). Salicylic acid also show to increase the content under drought induce state. Nitric oxide show to increase the content without but not to cause increased much under drought induce state. It showed that combination of gibberellic acid + salicylic acid and separately both helpful for plant to tolerate drought stress. Carotenoids antioxidant mechanisms are distributed into four categories, they are singlet oxygen quenching, sun screening, radical scavenging and excessive light energy releasing through xanthophyll cycle. They can also prevent photosensitized oxidation, thus they can act as competent quencher of singlet oxygen (Praveena and Murthy, 2014).

Anthocyanins are coloured pigments that provide flowers their distinctive purple, red and blue shades. Anthocyanin accumulation in leaves is connected with ontogenetic, abiotic and biotic effects. Anthocyanin can be responsible a photoprotective role, in the perspective of evidence for its role as an antioxidant, its distribution in leaves and its synthesis in seedlings. In current study, anthocyanins content increased significantly under drought stress show that plant resist the effect of drought which further enhanced by pre-treatments of gibberellic acid, salicylic acid and combined effect of both gibberellic acid + salicylic acid. Accumulation of anthocyanin under drought stress suggest that the play a photoprotective role because of their capability to absorb light between 400 to 600 nm, they protect chloroplast from excess irradiance. In our study accumulation of anthocyanin and carotenoid increase under drought stress suggest that under drought stress they are intricate in photoprotection (Figure 4), which is an agreement with earlier investigation (Merzlyak et al. 2008; Gould et al., 200; Close and Beadle 2003; Hoch et al., 2015).

Important role of sugars in plant metabolism as a product of hydrolytic process and energy production. Sugar provide resistance against drought stress help the cell to maintained structural integrity of membrane and their dehydrated state. Our results indicate that sugar content decreased significantly in maize plant at post germination stage due to drought stress (Figure 5). Pre-soaking treatment with NO is effective to increase sugar content in drought state as compared to non-treated plant to increase cell osmotic adjustment. Which suggest that NO donor sodium nitro-prusside effect the gene expression of somatically functional molecule as stated by Shehab et al. (2015) observed increase in concentration of sucrose by NO under drought stress rice plants. Therefore sucrose appears to show a critical function in the assimilation of plant development and in osmotic adjustment and NO contribute in this regard to increase sugar content in our investigated results.

Proline accumulates in many plants in response to drought stress. It can act as signalling molecule to moderate mitochondrial function and activate specific gene expression necessary for plant rescue from stress. In present study interaction between hormones and drought observed that maximum proline was found in maize plant at post germination stage treated with gibberellic acid followed by salicylic acid under drought. This showed that proline content increase by effect of gibberellic acid and salicylic acid in drought as compared to non-treated plant (Figure 6). Pre-treatment with salicylic acid adds to accumulation of proline under stress by maintaining a higher level of ABA in wheat seedlings (Sakhabutdinova et al., 2003).

Abiotic stresses usually cause protein dysfunction. In present study drought stress result in significantly decreased in concentration of protein in maize plant as compared to control as revealed in (Figure 7). Our result showed that protein content increase in maize plant due to soaking treatment of different hormones as compared to non-treated plant, without drought condition. Maximum content was observed due to effect of salicylic acid and after that nitric oxide in non-drought state. But soaking treatment did not effective to increase the protein content in drought. Water stress cause to decrease in the protein content of tissue by inhibit the incorporation of
photosynthetic pigments show both significant and non-significant results. Our data indicate that drought stress did not affect the content of chlorophyll in maize, sorghum, and wheat. Pre-soaking treatment with sodium nitroprusside increased this content as revealed by Lum et al. (2014) and Shinde and Laware (2015). Our results indicate that pre-soaking treatment of seeds with sodium nitroprusside as nitric oxide donor was effective to increase chlorophyll content under drought stress as revealed by Lum et al. (2014) and Shinde and Laware (2015).

4. Discussion
Drought is most severe problem effecting plant growth and development. Hence in our results seed soaking treatment with different hormones was most effective to reduce the damage caused by drought. Drought stress not only lead to damage photosynthetic pigments but also cause thylakoid membranes deterioration. Therefore, decrease in photosynthetic capability expected when plants exposed to drought stress (Mafakheri et al., 2010). The reduction in chlorophyll contents commonly observed under drought stress. Effect of drought on photosynthetic pigments show both significant and non-significant results. Our data indicate that drought stress did not affect the content of chlorophyll in maize. Kulshrethta et al. (1987) also found that the content of chlorophyll in maize is significantly statically under drought stress. While chlorophyll in wheat no significant effect of water stress on chlorophyll content. Will chlorophyll in wheat no significant effect of water stress on chlorophyll content. Present study show to increase total chlorophyll content under drought stress as revealed by Lum et al. (2014) and Shinde and Laware (2015).
by graph-11 and enhanced by NO. There are also some reports, show greater accumulation of chlorophyll under water stress condition (Estill et al., 1991; Pirzad et al., 2011). Increase chlorophyll content in some cultivars of blackgram (Vigna mungo) and reduction in others under water drought stress also reported by Ashraf and Karim (1991) and proposed that it might be due to deviation in chlorophyll synthesis amongst cultivars mediated by the variations in activities of definite enzymes that intricate in biosynthesis of chlorophyll.

Total chlorophyll under drought stress increased as compared to control in present study.

Present study show that chlorophyll a/b ratio decreased under drought stress significantly in maize at post germination stage (Figure 13). Increase the content of chlorophyll b, where chlorophyll a remain unaffected cause to decrease in chlorophyll a/b ratio under drought stress also reported in two lines of okra (Jaleel et al., 2009). Soaking treatment of seeds by growth regulator show different pattern under drought and non-drought conditions. Gibberellic acid cause to increase significantly chlorophyll a/b ratio without drought but did not increased the depressed ratio in drought. Salicylic acid and combined effect of salicylic acid+ gibberellic acid increased the chlorophyll a/b ratio under drought and non- drought condition. Rao et al. (2012) also show that foliar application of salicylic acid cause to increase the chlorophyll content. Maximum increase of ratio due to effect of nitric oxide both in drought and normal condition Which indicated that nitric oxide best hormone to increase the chlorophyll content and enhance the photosynthesis process. Alvi et al. (2014) also reported that SA and NO pre-treatment decreased the harmful effects of water stress by increasing antioxidant activities that cause to increase shoot fresh weight, chlorophyll content and membrane stability index and decreased the level of MDA and LOX activities.

While in present study content of anthocyanin and carotenoid were significantly increased under drought stress and by soaking treatment of hormones. Best hormones to increase the carotenoid content were GA+SA, GA, SA and NO according to our investigated data. Carotenoids have crucial functions in photo protection and photosynthesis. They have important role in stabilizing membranes and inhibit lipid peroxidation by antioxidant activity as stated Demmig and Adams (1992), Frank and Cogdell (1996) and Niyogi (1999). The carotenoids also have essential role in the radiation less dissipation of excess energy and assembly of light harvesting complex as reported by Strebs et al., (1998); Munne-Bosch and Alegre (2000). Increase in concentration of anthocyanin was reported in our result under drought stress as compared to non-drought maize plant. Also treatment of different hormones enhance anthocyanin content such as SA, GA and GA+SA suggest that these hormones are effective to adapt the plant to fight against deleterious effect of drought stress. Accumulation of anthocyanin under drought stress suggest that the play a photoprotective role because of their capability to absorb light amid 400 to 600 nm, they protect chloroplast from excess irradiance. In our data accumulation of anthocyanin and carotenoid increase under drought stress suggest that under drought stress they are intricate in photoprotection, which is an agreement with earlier investigation (Merzlyak et al. 2008; Gould et al., 200; Close and Beadle 2003; Hoch et al., 2001).

Another important compound proline may be affected by drought stress. In usual cases concentration of these molecular compound increase in response to water deficient stress by stress resistance verities. Proline and sugar act as osmoprotectant during drought stress. Our data revealed that concentration of sugar decreased under drought stress for the reason that anaerobic respiration start enlarged under great stress. Plants for their survival preferred to convert other product to activate anaerobic respiration. Besides in drought stress, stomata have not fully closed and uptake of carbon dioxide to aerobic respiration. Our results were in agreement with Haoe et al., 2015. While soaking treatment of grain with NO was effective to increase the concentration of sugar (1.670) in stressed plant as compared to non-treated plant (1.393) as indicated in our investigated data. Which suggest that NO donor sodium nitro-prusside effect the gene expression of somatically functional molecule as stated by Shehab et al. (2015) observed increase in concentration of sucrose by NO under drought stress rice plants. Therefore sucrose appears to show a critical function in the assimilation of plant development and in osmotic adjustment and NO contribute in this regard to increase sugar content in our investigated results. Sugar as osmoprotectors stabilize membranes and proteins by substituting water in making hydrogen bonding with phospholipid phosphate groups and polypeptide polar residues, reviewed by Shehab et al. (2010). In our investigated data mean values of proline contents in drought and non- drought state were non-significant. But effect of different hormones on proline content show significant difference. Interaction between hormones in drought and non-drought stress also indicate maximum content was due to effect of GA (0.306) and SA (0.273). Which show that GA and SA enhance proline accumulation for drought tolerance propose. Proline play vital role in cellular osmotic adjustment and scavenge detoxify oxidants also reported by Ashraf and Foolad (2007); Seki et al. (2007); Ali et al. (2008). Rao et al. (2012) recommend that foliar application of SA in maize can help to decrease the drought stress effects. Drought usually cause protein dysfunction. According to our investigated data protein content decrease significantly under drought stress. Maximum protein content (3.700) without drought decrease to (1.842) under drought. Which proved that drought adversely affect protein content of maize plant. While hormonal treatment increase the protein content in normal irrigated plant but no one hormone increase the content under drought stress. Water stress inhabit the incorporation of amino acid into protein.
Drought stress cause change in protein synthesizing apparatus and cause a considerable decreased in protein synthesizing capacity. Decrease in protein contents under drought stress were also recorded by other scientist (Sobhkhizi et al 2014; Kala and Godra 2001; Moran et al., 1994; Genkel et al., 1967; Hsiao et al., 1970).

Drought cause oxidative stress which responsible for increased production of ROS comprising singlet oxygen, superoxide, hydroxyl radical and hydrogen peroxide. These ROS can attack proteins, lipids, nucleic acid and carbohydrates. Several enzymes detoxify ROS including Catalase, peroxidase and ascorbate peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase. Previous study data have proved that higher activities of antioxidant enzymes might be involve to improved tolerance of drought by enhancing protection capability against oxidative harm. Our investigated data indicate that oxidative enzymes such as peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase activity increased under drought stress and enhanced by hormonal treatment for better stress tolerance. In our investigated data peroxidase activity increased under drought stress (5.507) as compared to non-drought (4.435). By application of GA peroxidase activity increased (5.844) in drought and by NO (6.400). Rise in POX activity serving to overcome harm to tissue metabolism against oxidative stress by decreasing hydrogen peroxide toxic levels formed in cell metabolism. Our results were in agreement with previous studies (Sudhakar et al., 2001 and Hojati et al., 2011). Catalase activity decreased significantly under drought stress. Soaking treatment with NO show maximum catalase activity (9.300) followed by GA (8.400) and SA+GA (8.200) as compared to non-treated plant (6.300). Which indicate that NO, GA and interaction of GA+SA take part to detoxify the effect of ROS by stimulating the catalase activity. By photorespiration in peroxisomes H$_2$O$_2$ produce which is degrade by catalase. (Noctor et al., 2000). Catalase is one of the chief antioxidant enzyme that degrades H$_2$O$_2$ into oxygen and water (Scandalios et al., 1997). In present study polyphenol oxidase activity increased under drought induced stress at post germination stage in maize and enhance by effect of salicylic acid and nitric oxide. These results indicate that by synthesizing more amount of PPO, tolerates drought stress, in agreement with Shinde and Laware (2015). While gibberellic acid and combined effect of gibberellic acid + salicylic acid decrease the PPO activity which increased under drought stress show that they mitigate the drought stress and release the plant stress effects. There were numerous studies that supported under stress condition NO induced stimulations of main oxidative enzymes like CAT, APX and SOD reported by Sang et al. (2008); Tian and Lei (2007).

Conclusion
It’s well known that drought adversely affect plant growth and yield. Plants adopt different defence mechanisms for their survival. Our study revealed that under drought stress defensive pigments carotenoids and anthocyanins increased to defend photosynthetic machinery from oxidative damage by acting as antioxidants. And pre-treatment of hormones comprising SA, GA and interaction of GA+SA enhance the content of these pigments under drought stressed. While content of chlorophyll $a$ remained unchanged but slightly increased due to effect of sodium nitroprusside as NO donor under drought stress. Stress markers sugar and proline are osmoprotectant. Sugar content decreased under drought stress but pre- treatment of sodium nitroprusside increase the content to maintain cell turgidity. Similarly GA and SA enhance accumulation of proline which acts as osmolyte and scavenger to defend cell against stress. While protein content decreased due to drought stress and the treatment of any hormones could not be effective to increase the content under stress. Drought stress caused to production of ROS, which attack lipids proteins and carbohydrates. Oxidative enzymes activities detoxify the damage of ROS as a result peroxidase and polyphenol activities increased under drought stress and behave deferentially by the pre-treatment of GA, SA and NO. But catalase and ascorbate-peroxidase activity decrease under drought stress which have been increased due to effect of NO. Hence it is suggested that soaking treatment of seeds by SA, GA and NO might be effective to reduce the damaging effect of drought at post germination stage of maize plant in different agro-ecological zones.
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Figure-1. Effect of growth hormones on chlorophyll contents (mg/g) of drought stressed maize plants.
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Figure-2. Effect of different growth hormones on chlorophyll b content (mg/g fw) of post germination drought stress maize.
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Figure-3 Effect of different hormones on carotenoid pigment (mg/g fw) of post germination drought stressed maize plant.
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Figure-4 Effect of different hormones on anthocyanin (mg/g fw) of maize under drought stress during post germination stage.
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Figure-5 Effect of different hormones on sugar content mg/g fresh weight of drought stressed maize plant.

Figure-6 Effect of different hormones on proline content (mg/g fresh weight) under drought stressed maize plant.
Figure-7 Effect of different hormones on protein content (mg/g) of drought stress maize plant at post germination stage.

Figure-8 Effect of different hormones on catalase activity (µmol hydrogen peroxide reduced/min/mg protein) of drought stress maize plant.
Figure-9 Effect of different hormones on peroxidase activity (EU/min/ mg) of maize plant under drought stress.
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Figure-10: Effects of different hormones on ascorbate-peroxidase activity (µmol ascorbate oxidize/min/mg protein) of drought stressed maize plant.
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Figure-11: Effect of different hormones on polyphenol oxidase activity (EU/min/mg protein) under drought stressed maize plant at post germination stage.
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Figure-12: Effect of different growth hormones on total chlorophyll content (mg/g fw) of post germination drought stress maize.
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Figure-13: Effect of different growth hormones on chlorophyll $a/b$ (mg/g fw) of post germination drought stress maize.