Although the prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus is generally higher in developed countries, it is increasing most rapidly in developing countries. The greatest relative increases in diabetes in the next 25 years are predicted to occur in the Middle Eastern crescent, sub-Saharan Africa and India. Approximately 250,000 individuals immigrate to Canada annually, the largest percentages from Asia, Africa and the Middle East.

Beyond conventional risk factors for diabetes such as age and obesity, risk does not appear to be evenly distributed across ethnic groups. Apart from evidence that prevalence of diabetes is higher among persons of non-European ethnicity (who comprise the vast majority of immigrants to Canada), little is known about the epidemiology of diabetes among immigrants to Western countries. In ethnically and culturally heterogeneous countries like Canada, programs for prevention and control of diabetes could benefit from a greater understanding of the distribution of risk among different ethnic groups and newcomers from various regions of the world.

The purpose of our study was to determine the prevalence of diabetes among over one million immigrants to Ontario from various world regions, make comparisons to the prevalence in the long-term population of Ontario and examine the association of prevalence with sex, age, country of birth, time since arrival and socio-economic characteristics.

**Methods**

Data sources and study population

The Registered Persons Database, an electronic registry of all people who are eligible for health coverage in Ontario in a given year, was probabilistically linked to the Canadian Landed Immigrant Database (LIDS) maintained by Citizenship and Immigration Canada (linkage rate of 84%). From this data set, all adults age 20 years or older in 2005, were included in the study if they had a valid health card number and their date of birth was available. People in the study population who had been

**Background:** The majority of immigrants to Canada originate from the developing world, where the most rapid increase in prevalence of diabetes mellitus is occurring. We undertook a population-based study involving immigrants to Ontario, Canada, to evaluate the distribution of risk for diabetes in this population.

**Methods:** We used linked administrative health and immigration records to calculate age-specific and age-adjusted prevalence rates among men and women aged 20 years or older in 2005. We compared rates among 1,122,771 immigrants to Ontario by country and region of birth to rates among long-term residents of the province. We used logistic regression to identify and quantify risk factors for diabetes in the immigrant population.

**Results:** After controlling for age, immigration category, level of education, level of income and time since arrival, we found that, as compared with immigrants from western Europe and North America, risk for diabetes was elevated among immigrants from South Asia (odds ratio [OR] for men 4.01, 95% CI 3.82–4.21; OR for women 3.22, 95% CI 3.07–3.37), Latin America and the Caribbean (OR for men 2.18, 95% CI 2.08–2.30; OR for women 2.40, 95% CI: 2.29–2.52), and sub-Saharan Africa (OR for men 2.31, 95% CI 2.17–2.45; OR for women 1.83, 95% CI 1.72–1.95). Increased risk became evident at an early age (35–49 years) and was equally high or higher among women as compared with men. Lower socio-economic status and greater time living in Canada were also associated with increased risk for diabetes.

**Interpretation:** Recent immigrants, particularly women and immigrants of South Asian and African origin, are at high risk for diabetes compared with long-term residents of Ontario. This risk becomes evident at an early age, suggesting that effective programs for prevention of diabetes should be developed and targeted to immigrants in all age groups.
Research

granted permanent residency status in Canada between 1985 and 2000 were defined as recent immigrants. The Canadian Landed Immigrant Database includes information collected at the time of application for immigrant status on education level, intended occupation, language ability, immigration category, sex and date of birth. The feasibility of linkage between this database and health-specific administrative data sets was tested in pilot projects,15 which showed that differ-

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the study populations of long-term residents of Ontario* and recent immigrants to Ontario† in 2005

| Characteristic                                           | Long-term residents n = 7 503 085 | Recent immigrants n = 1 122 771 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Median age, yr‡                                         | 47                               | 43                             |
| Age ≥ 65 yr, %‡                                         | 18.2                             | 10.2                           |
| Men, %                                                   | 49.3                             | 49.5                           |
| Income quintile of neighbourhood of settlement, %§       |                                  |                                |
| Q1 (lowest income)                                      | 18.2                             | 26.4                           |
| Q2                                                       | 19.6                             | 21.7                           |
| Q3                                                       | 19.7                             | 19.7                           |
| Q4                                                       | 20.4                             | 18.8                           |
| Q5                                                       | 21.2                             | 13.0                           |
| World region of birth, no. (%)                          |                                  |                                |
| East Asia and Pacific                                   | 309 043 (27.5)                   |                                |
| South Asia                                              | 217 367 (19.4)                   |                                |
| Latin America and Caribbean                             | 177 191 (15.8)                   |                                |
| Eastern Europe and Central Asia                         | 167 456 (14.9)                   |                                |
| Western Europe and North America                        | 98 931 (8.8)                     |                                |
| North Africa and Middle East                            | 87 610 (7.8)                     |                                |
| Sub-Saharan Africa                                       | 64 367 (5.7)                     |                                |
| Unknown or stateless                                     | 795 (0.07)                       |                                |
| None specified                                           | 11 (0.001)                       |                                |
| Immigration visa category, no. (%)                      |                                  |                                |
| Family                                                   | 448 142 (39.9)                   |                                |
| Economic: skilled, independent                          | 285 322 (25.4)                   |                                |
| Refugee                                                  | 184 588 (16.4)                   |                                |
| Economic: skilled, family                               | 124 465 (11.1)                   |                                |
| Economic: business                                       | 54 056 (4.8)                     |                                |
| Other                                                    | 26 185 (2.3)                     |                                |
| None specified                                           | 13 (0.001)                       |                                |
| Educational level at landing, no. (%)                   |                                  |                                |
| No education                                             | 47 604 (4.2)                     |                                |
| Secondary level or less                                  | 608 925 (54.2)                   |                                |
| Non-university qualifications                            | 171 106 (15.2)                   |                                |
| Some university                                          | 56 021 (5.0)                     |                                |
| University degree or higher                              | 239 031 (21.3)                   |                                |
| None specified                                           | 84 (0.007)                       |                                |
| Years since arrival, no. (%)                            |                                  |                                |
| 5–9 years                                                | 322 047 (28.7)                   |                                |
| 10–14 years                                              | 384 608 (34.2)                   |                                |
| ≥ 15 years                                               | 416 116 (37.1)                   |                                |

*Limited to residents of urban areas, based on the first three characters of postal codes of residence (i.e., forward sortation areas).
†Comprises those eligible for provincial health care based on administrative databases. The recent-immigrant population includes those who obtained legal landed status between 1985 and 2000.
‡Based on age as of Mar. 31, 2005.
§2001 census income information was applied based on the individual’s postal code of residence in 2005.
ences in linkage by immigration class, date of immigration, education and country of birth were not likely sufficient to produce significant bias in any study results. The data set has been previously used in Ontario to look at immunizations in children of immigrant mothers and at perinatal outcomes.

We identified people who had been diagnosed with diabetes on or before Mar. 31, 2005, using the Ontario Diabetes Database, which is a validated administrative data registry created from hospital records and physician services claims. The database uses an algorithm of two primary care visits or one admission to hospital for diabetes within a two-year period to identify diagnosed cases of diabetes (excluding gestational diabetes). This algorithm has a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of over 97% in identifying patients with confirmed diabetes.

Given the absence of individual-level income-related information in both the immigration- and health-based data sets, we linked residential postal codes of 2005 to area-level income from the 2006 Canadian Census using the postal-code conversion file. The conversion file assigns relative income quintiles based on the smallest geographical unit for which census data are available, and is adjusted for household and community size. Because 98% of all immigrants to Canada between 1985 and 2000 settled in urban areas according to our data, our study excluded rural populations, which were defined as those with rural residential postal codes. Cities are home to the majority (85%) of the general Ontario population, which totalled 12 160 282 in the 2006 Census.

**Statistical analyses**

We calculated age-adjusted point prevalence rates of diabetes by sex for recent immigrants and long-term residents on Mar. 31, 2005. For each population, sex-specific rates were also generated by age group (20–34 years, 35–49 years, 50–64 years, 65–74 years, and 75 years or older) and, for the immigrant population, by country of birth and world region (based on the World Bank schema, available at http://go.worldbank.org/FFZ0CTE2V0). The 15 countries that experienced the highest prevalence of diabetes were also identified. We used direct age-standardization to the 1991 Canada Census population to adjust for differences in population distribution across different world regions.

We used logistic regression to estimate the association of risk factors with prevalence of diabetes. These risk factors included age, sex, world region of birth, pre-migration level of education, post-migration area level of income, immigration visa category and time since arrival in Canada. Categories used to define level of education at time of immigration were no education, secondary (high school) level or lower, non-university qualifications (including diplomas or certificates from institutions not qualifying as universities, apprenticeships and other non-university post-secondary education), some university, and university education or higher. To examine risk by region of birth, immigrants from North America and Western Europe were used as a comparator. Given that point prevalence was measured in 2005 and immigration records were only available up until 2000, we were unable to evaluate risk among those living in Canada for less than five years.
Results

Characteristics of the study population
We included 1,122,771 recent immigrants and 7,503,085 long-term residents who met eligibility criteria. The characteristics of both the recently immigrated and long-term resident populations are listed in Table 1. Compared with long-term residents, immigrants tended to be younger and were more likely to live in lower-income neighbourhoods. Recent immigrants originated predominantly from East-Asia (27.5%), South Asia (19.4%), and Latin America and the Caribbean (15.8%).

Trends in diabetes prevalence
Immigrants from South Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, sub-Saharan Africa, and North Africa and the Middle East all experienced significantly higher rates of diabetes than long-term residents of Ontario (Figure 1). The 15 countries of birth (out of 239 countries and geopolitical regions) that were associated with the highest rates of diabetes among both sexes were found in South Asia, the Pacific Islands, Latin America, the Caribbean and Africa (Figure 2). Among long-term residents, men displayed higher rates of diabetes than women (6.5% versus 6.2%), but women who were recent immigrants had rates equal to or higher than immigrant men from the same regions, with the exception of women from sub-Saharan Africa.

Overall, immigrants of both sexes had statistically higher rates of diabetes than long-term residents at all ages (except men aged 75 or older), with a large disparity between women who were recent immigrants and women who were long-term residents (Figure 3).

The prevalence of diabetes increased sharply with age until age 75 in both sexes and among both recent immigrants and long-term residents (Figure 4). Increased prevalence of diabetes became evident at a young age and was evident across all age groups among immigrants from the regions of highest risk. Across all age groups, men from South Asia had the highest prevalence rates, followed by men from Latin America and the Caribbean and from sub-Saharan Africa (Figure 4a). Women from Latin America and the Caribbean and from South Asia had the highest prevalence rates by age (Figure 4b). The lowest rates were found among men and women from Europe, North America and Central Asia.

After controlling for age, immigration category, education, income level and time since arrival, we found significantly higher rates of diabetes among men (OR 4.01, 95% CI 3.82–4.21) and women (OR 3.22, 95% CI 3.07–3.37) from South Asia compared with immigrants from Western Europe and North America (Figure 5). The next highest risk was evident among men (OR 2.18, 95% CI 2.08–2.30) and women (OR 2.40, 95% CI 2.29–2.52) from Latin America and the Caribbean..

Figure 2: Age-adjusted, sex-specific prevalence of diabetes (with 95% confidence intervals) in 2005 among recent immigrants to Ontario from the 15 countries of origin with the highest prevalence and among long-term residents of Ontario.
and among men (OR 2.31, 95% CI 2.17–2.45) and women (OR 1.83, 95% CI 1.72–1.95) from sub-Saharan Africa.

An income gradient was observed, whereby lower income was associated with higher risk (OR 1.31, 95% CI 1.26–1.36 for men and OR 1.38, 95% CI 1.33–1.44 for women in the lowest, relative to the highest, income quintile). Women with secondary-level education or lower had higher risk compared to those with a university degree or higher (OR 1.32, 95% CI 1.28–1.37). Men with no education had the lowest risk of diabetes (OR 0.56, 95% CI 0.53–0.60).

The multiple logistic regression model also showed a gradient for time since arrival, with the highest prevalence of diabetes among men (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.48–1.56) and women (OR 1.40, 95% CI 1.36–1.44) living in Canada for 15 years or more compared to those living in Canada for 5–9 years.

**Interpretation**

Our study used a unique population-based data set in a setting with high rates of immigration to describe the epidemiology of diabetes among a heterogeneous immigrant population. The increased relative rates of diabetes we observed among immigrants from South Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, and North Africa and the Middle East are particularly striking given that the population of Ontario is itself highly diverse ethnically. We also found that the risk among immigrants from South Asia was at least triple that of immigrants from Western Europe and North America, and the risk among immigrants from Latin America and the Caribbean and from sub-Saharan Africa was roughly double, even after controlling for age, sex, time since arrival, income level and immigration-related variables.

Our findings support those of three previous Canadian studies that found immigrants from South Asia had two to three times the rate of diabetes as compared with the overall Ontario population, with the white population in Ontario or with a sample of Canadians of European heritage. All of these studies were based either on data from health surveys or on a relatively small population sample. Further, all three were focused on ethnic differences in diabetes in the population overall and did not look at immigration status. Research conducted in the United Kingdom found that people of South Asian ethnicity had prevalence rates of diabetes that were three to six times the rates in the white British population, which is consistent with our findings. Our prevalence estimates are higher than those generated in 2004 by the World Health Organization, which used data derived from a small number of studies (some of which were outdated) and were based on extrapolations and assumptions likely to lead to underestimations of risk.

A detailed description of risk for diabetes by age and sex among immigrants to Western countries has previously been lacking. Although prevalence of diabetes is generally higher among men than women, recently immigrated women in our study had prevalence rates that were roughly equivalent to or higher than men from the same countries. Particularly high rates were evident among women from Latin America and the Caribbean relative to men. This pattern of elevated risk among women relative to men has been previously described only in areas with high proportions of Aboriginal populations. We also found that the largest disparity in risk existed between women who were recent immigrants and women who were long-term residents. These findings suggest that recently immigrated women may be at particularly high risk for diabetes. Combined
Figure 4: Age-specific prevalence of diabetes among men (A) and among women (B) in 2005, by world region of birth.
with the social isolation and barriers to access of services experienced by many recently immigrated women, this higher risk may raise important health-related issues for immigrant women and should be of concern to health providers and planners.

We also found an age-related disparity in prevalence of diabetes between the highest- and lowest-risk immigrant groups. This disparity became apparent in young adulthood (by age 35 years among South Asians) and increased with age. By age 65 years, more than a third of men and women from these regions had diabetes. Above age 75 years, a plateau or decrease in risk was observed among people from all regions, which has also been described previously in the general Canadian population.

| Characteristic                  | OR (95% CI)     |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| **World region of birth**      |                 |
| Western Europe and North America | 1.00 (ref)      |
| Sub-Saharan Africa             | Male 2.31 (2.17–2.45) |
|                                | Female 1.83 (1.72–1.95) |
| North Africa and Middle East    | Male 1.82 (1.72–1.93) |
|                                | Female 1.60 (1.50–1.70) |
| Eastern Europe and Central Asia | Male 0.92 (0.86–0.97) |
|                                | Female 0.90 (0.85–0.95) |
| Latin America and Caribbean    | Male 2.18 (2.08–2.30) |
|                                | Female 2.40 (2.29–2.52) |
| South Asia                     | Male 4.01 (3.82–4.21) |
|                                | Female 3.22 (3.07–3.37) |
| East Asia and Pacific          | Male 1.58 (1.50–1.66) |
|                                | Female 1.50 (1.43–1.57) |
| **Time since arrival, yr**     |                 |
| 5–9                            | 1.00 (ref)      |
| 10–14                          | Male 1.22 (1.18–1.25) |
|                                | Female 1.22 (1.19–1.26) |
| ≥ 15                           | Male 1.52 (1.48–1.56) |
|                                | Female 1.40 (1.36–1.44) |
| **Income quintile**            |                 |
| Quintile 5 (highest)           | 1.00 (ref)      |
| Quintile 4                     | Male 1.17 (1.12–1.22) |
|                                | Female 1.19 (1.14–1.24) |
| Quintile 3                     | Male 1.22 (1.18–1.27) |
|                                | Female 1.22 (1.18–1.27) |
| Quintile 2                     | Male 1.24 (1.19–1.28) |
|                                | Female 1.29 (1.24–1.34) |
| Quintile 1 (lowest)            | Male 1.31 (1.26–1.36) |
|                                | Female 1.38 (1.34–1.44) |
| **Educational level at landing** |             |
| University degree or higher    | 1.00 (ref)      |
| Some university                | Male 1.01 (0.96–1.06) |
|                                | Female 0.98 (0.92–1.04) |
| Non-university qualifications  | Male 1.14 (1.11–1.18) |
|                                | Female 1.10 (1.06–1.15) |
| Secondary level or less        | Male 0.97 (0.94–0.99) |
|                                | Female 1.32 (1.28–1.37) |
| No education                   | Male 0.56 (0.53–0.60) |
|                                | Female 1.14 (1.09–1.20) |
| **Immigration visa category**  |                 |
| Economic: business             | 1.00 (ref)      |
| Economic: skilled, family      | Male 1.25 (1.18–1.32) |
|                                | Female 1.47 (1.37–1.58) |
| Economic: skilled, independent | Male 1.15 (1.09–1.22) |
|                                | Female 1.47 (1.38–1.57) |
| Family                         | Male 1.25 (1.19–1.32) |
|                                | Female 1.63 (1.53–1.74) |
| Refugee                        | Male 1.32 (1.25–1.40) |
|                                | Female 1.67 (1.56–1.78) |
| Other                          | Male 1.33 (1.22–1.45) |
|                                | Female 1.61 (1.48–1.75) |

Figure 5: Risk of diabetes among recent immigrants to Ontario in 2005, by sex. An odds ratios (OR) greater than 1.0 indicates an increased risk of diabetes. CI = confidence interval.
We found that risk for diabetes increased with time since arrival. An increase in the prevalence of chronic disease and declining health status over time since immigration has been previously described in the Canadian literature based on health-survey data. Many possible causes of this observed deterioration in health status over time have been suggested, including uptake of unhealthy behaviours, acculturation-related stress, decreased social, economic and political status, barriers to access of preventive services, and competing priorities resulting in reduced self-care.

Socio-economic status is known to have an inverse relationship with risk for diabetes, and low education has been identified as a correlate of higher diabetes rates in Canada. In our study, we found an interaction between sex and education, whereby low educational attainment (i.e., less than a high school diploma) was a significant independent risk factor for diabetes among immigrant women, but little or no formal education was protective for immigrant men. The latter could reflect more physically demanding employment (e.g., manual labour) among men in this group. We also observed an inverse relationship between income and risk for diabetes among women, as has been described before in Canada. One explanation for this may be that higher rates of obesity are reported among women with low socio-economic status than among men.

Limitations

High rates of diabetes in specific ethnic migrant groups are likely attributable to a complex interplay of genetic and environmental factors, including acculturation, stress, social isolation, and employment and economic challenges. Despite the limitations of our data in addressing social and experiential exposures, it must be noted that strong ethnic differences continued to be apparent even after controlling for certain pre-migration (i.e., country of birth, immigrant category and education) and post-migration (i.e., neighbourhood income level, time since arrival) factors.

Additional limitations of this study are related to the use of administrative data. Although we were unable to differentiate type 1 from type 2 diabetes in the administrative data, the former represents a very small proportion of all diabetes (5%–10%) and is therefore unlikely to have biased our results. Administrative data may also underestimate the prevalence of diabetes. Studies in other jurisdictions suggest that up to 30% of diabetes in the population may be undiagnosed, and it is possible that the probability of diagnosis may differ by immigration status and country of birth. Finally, a small proportion (less than 5%) of health services that are not billed on a fee-for-service basis would not have been captured in our data.

Conclusions

We found that recent immigrants from South Asia, the Caribbean, South America and Africa are at much higher risk for diabetes than both long-term residents of Ontario and recent immigrants from Europe, North America and Central Asia. This risk becomes evident in young adulthood and continues throughout the life course. The largest disparity in risk between immigrants and the general population was observed among women, the etiology of which should be further explored. Risk increased with time since immigration, but ethnic differences persisted even after controlling for this variable, suggesting that acculturation and transition to a “westernized” diet and lifestyle contributes to and may exacerbate, but does not explain, these differences. Although a few studies have shown promising results, lifestyle-related interventions targeted to recent immigrants should be explored further. Our findings could aid policy-makers and planners in the development of specific guidelines for screening and targeted, community-level educational programs on diabetes. Finally, our study highlights the critical importance for population-health research using data routinely collected on immigration status and ethnicity.
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