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Recent years have seen a dramatic increase in the range of applications of virus-like nanoparticle (VNP)- and liposome-based antigen delivery systems for the treatment of allergies. These platforms rely on a growing number of inert virus-backbones or distinct lipid formulations and intend to engage the host’s innate and/or adaptive immune system by virtue of their co-delivered immunogens. Due to their particulate nature, VNP and liposomal preparations are also capable of breaking tolerance against endogenous cytokines, Igs, and their receptors, allowing for the facile induction of anti-cytokine, anti-IgE, or anti-FcεR antibodies in the host. We here discuss the "pros and cons" of inducing such neutralizing autoantibodies. Moreover, we cover another major theme of the last years, i.e., the engineering of non-anaphylactogenic particles and the elucidation of the parameters relevant for the specific trafficking and processing of such particles in vivo. Finally, we put the various technical advances in VNP- and liposome-research into (pre-)clinical context by referring and critically discussing the relevant studies performed to treat allergic diseases.
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Introduction

The human immune system is continuously exposed to foreign material, a large fraction of it encompassing microscopic to sub-microscopic particles in the form of fungi, bacteria, or viruses [1]. In fact, the formation of protocells and capsules, thus very simple particles (comparable to nowadays viruses and bacteria) enclosing genetic material and enabling simple biosynthetic reactions to take place with high efficiency within a spatially privileged environment, represents a process that is strongly connected with the beginnings of life [2]. Similarly connected to early life forms was the threat to suffer from parasitism or fusion with such particles, i.e., the danger that one (usually lower) organism either starts to live at the expense of another (usually higher) organism or even fuses its genetic material with the latter [1].

Thus, it is not entirely surprising that the human immune system is especially capable of recognizing and reacting against compartmentalized foreign material in the form of microscopic or sub-microscopic particles, because particle-borne antigens may, at any time, represent a potential danger for the respective higher organism and strong barriers against fusion of different organisms have developed early on [3].

It is therefore conceivable that any foreign material, which is organized as a particle, even if non-infectious, is seen by the human immune system with greater attention, worthwhile to be probed for its dignity, when compared to its soluble counterparts [4, 5]. In fact, the particulate nature of non-infectious particles containing potentially immunogenic proteins, such as tree and plant pollen, fungal spores, and house dust mite-derived (fecal) particles, has been regarded as an important factor contributing to their sensitizing (IgE-inducing) and allergic (disease-promoting) potential [6, 7]. Epidemiological studies further support the notion that also artificial nanoparticles, such as diesel exhaust particles, airborne carbon nanotubes (derived from uncontrolled wood
burning), and microplastic may, at least, promote allergic sensitization [6–15].

Given the large degree of attention the immune system is paying to the encounter with nanoparticles, a number of strategies based on nanoparticle technology and intended to induce desired or modulate undesired immune reactions for the benefit of patients have been developed [16–19]. Along those lines, virus-like nanoparticles (VNP) but also liposomal preparations have been established and characterized recently [20]. Paying tribute to the increasing incidence of allergies, which has reached 30% within our populations [21], this review will highlight the latest advances regarding nanoparticle-based treatment strategies of allergic diseases explaining how virus-like nanoparticles but also artificially synthesized liposomes may help to fight and/or protect from this widespread disease. VNP are derivatives of WT viruses, which are unable to fuse with target cells because they lack both Env (viral spike) proteins and an infectious viral genome or are derived of nonhuman pathogenic viruses. Until today, several anti-infectious vaccines based on VNP technology have been approved for patient application [22, 23], which display excellent safety characteristics and elicit strong humoral and/or cellular immune responses [18], proving the efficacy of VNP-based antigen delivery. In the following, we review particle-based approaches intended to protect from or to treat already established allergic diseases.

VNP-based strategies for allergy treatment

The different VNP-based approaches aimed at the treatment of allergic diseases (Table 1) can be subsumed as follows: (i) VNP inducing antigen-independent immunomodulation, e.g., by TLR ligands; VNP priming the production of neutralizing antibodies against (ii) effector cytokines of allergic immune reactions or (iii) allergen-specific IgE and (iv) VNP eliciting allergen-dependent immunomodulation (Fig. 1).

VNP inducing antigen-independent immunomodulation

Antigen-independent immunomodulation in the context of allergies comprises the ligation of pattern recognition receptors, such as TLRs, known to primarily induce Th1 immune responses [24]. The possible advantages of VNP-based, antigen-independent, immune-modifying treatment approaches may be severalfold. For instance, this therapy could be applied to allergic individuals without exactly knowing their sensitization profile [21]. Moreover, the therapy might be well suited for individuals sensitized against complex allergen sources, in which the identification of the primary sensitizer and thus the best target for AIT might be difficult. For example, patients suffering from HDM allergy may be sensitized against a whole collection of HDM-derived allergens, not all of them necessarily present in sufficient amounts in the different commercially available and currently approved extracts for AIT [25].

Along those lines, Qβ particles [26], consisting of the bacteriophage Qβ virus shell and containing the TLR9 A-type CpG motif G10, a strong IFN-α but not IL-12 inducer in humans, have been evaluated in clinical trials in patients suffering from rhinoconjunctivitis and asthma [27–30]. In fact, six weekly injections with Qβ-G10 VNP ameliorated symptoms of patients suffering from house dust mite-induced allergic rhinitis, resulting in significantly reduced medication use and a tenfold increase in the tolerized conjunctival provocation dose [30]. However, the authors pointed out in their study that HDM represent an ubiquitous allergen source and the possibility that the patients were exposed to (minimal) amounts of HDM-allergen while receiving the vaccine could not be ruled out completely. Thus, an antigen-dependent component contributing to vaccine efficacy could not be entirely excluded in that study [31]. In a subsequent study, patients suffering from mild-to-moderate persistent allergic asthma against one aeroallergen were treated with Qβ-G10 particles. Treated patients presented with lower asthma symptom scores and significant stabilization of their lung function (FEV₁) when compared to the placebo-treated control group upon steroid withdrawal [27]. However, in a DBPC phase 2b study performed with patients suffering from moderate-to-severe asthma and treated with inhaled steroids with or without LABA, vaccination with Qβ-G10 particles showed no additional benefit over placebo treatment, questioning the efficacy of the antigen-independent immune-modifying treatment with Qβ-G10 particles, at least in that patient group [32]. Since then, no further studies with Qβ-G10 particles have been performed.

Taken together, antigen-independent immune-modifying therapies may have clinical benefits in selected groups of individuals, however, careful elucidation of the immunological mechanisms and pathways triggered by them will be required to better understand the longevity of the induced changes [27–30] and their potential side effects [28].

VNP priming the production of neutralizing antibodies against effector cytokines of allergic immune reactions

This approach aims at the active induction of neutralizing autoantibodies against effector cytokines in allergic diseases. The active immunization approach follows a similar logic as passive immunization with biologics, which, in the recent years, has become an established treatment for severe cases of, e.g., allergic asthma by targeting effector molecules critically involved in the allergen-specific immune response like IL-4, IL-5, or IL-13 [33–38]. However, compared to the high treatment costs and efforts (repeated injections) associated with passive immunization, active immunization against immune effector molecules might be advantageous, because it could represent a more cost-effective therapy with the potential to induce a durable, long-term, and polyclonal response against the targeted molecules. Moreover, the polyclonality of the active immune responses will reduce the likelihood of
Table 1. Virus backbones used for the delivery of allergens or effector molecules important for allergic immune reactions

| VNP ID* | Immunogen | Particle size (nm) | Reference |
|---------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| (i) VNP inducing antigen-independent immunomodulation | Qβ-G10 CpG motif G10 | 30 | [27–30] |
| (ii) VNP priming the production of neutralizing antibodies against effector cytokines of allergic immune reactions | CuMV Equine IL-5 | 30–40 | [42, 51] |
| | CuMV Canine IL-31 | 30–40 | [48] |
| | HbcAg Mouse IL-23p40 | 25 | [47] |
| | HbcAg Mouse TGF-β | 25 | [50] |
| | HbcAg/HbcAg-33 Mouse IL-33 | 25 | [49] |
| | HbcAg/HbcAg-A13 Mouse IL-4-Peptide | 25 | [41] |
| | HbcAg/HbcAg-A13 Mouse IL-13 Peptide | 25 | [44–46] |
| | Qβ Mouse IL-5 and mouse eotaxin | 30 | [43] |
| (iii) VNP priming the production of neutralizing antibodies against allergen-specific IgE | HbcAg split core Human IgE peptide C3ε | 30–34 | [79] |
| | HbcAg Human CεmX peptide | 30 | [80] |
| | HbsAg Three hIgE peptides from the C3ε domain | 22 | [78] |
| | Qβ Two hIgE peptides of the C3ε domain | 30 | [87] |
| (iv) VNP eliciting allergen-dependent immunomodulation | CuMV Fel d 1 | 30–40 | [94] |
| | Mo-MLV Art v 1 | 100 | [91] |
| | Mo-MLV Art v 1 peptide on MHC class II molecules | 100 | [101] |
| | Qβ Fel d 1 | 30 | [89, 90] |
| | Qβ Der p 1 | 30 | [88] |
| | Qβ HDM extract | 30 | [92] |
| | Ty Der p1 | 60 | [96, 97] |
| | Ty Asp f 2 peptides | 60 | [100] |
| | Ty Asp f 2 and Asp f 3 peptides | 60 | [99, 100] |

CuMV, cucumber mosaic virus; HbcAg, hepatitis B core antigen; HbsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; Mo-MLV, Moloney murine leukemia virus; Qβ, Qβ bacterial phage; Ty, Ty-transposon from yeast S. cerevisiae.

the induction of neutralizing antidrug antibodies (ADA), which otherwise represent major impediments of therapies based on monoclonal biologics [39, 40]. In the following section, we contrast preclinical data of active immunization trials, which have exclusively been performed in experimental or companion animals, with results of human clinical trials obtained upon passive immunization with antibodies targeting the respective cytokines.

In the past, a number of type 2 effector cytokines, either full-length or peptides thereof, such as IL-4 [41], IL-5 alone [42], or in combination with eotaxin [43], IL-13 [44–46], IL-23p40 [47], IL-31 [48], IL-33 [49], and TGF-β [50], have been chemically conjugated to or expressed on the surface of VNP followed by evaluation of their immunogenicity and their impact on different facets of allergic diseases in experimental animals in vivo. In fact, most VNP versions actively induced anti-cytokine antibodies (except TGF-β VNP) [50], and reduced hallmarks of allergic diseases in preclinical models. Interestingly, none of these studies found signs of therapy-associated adverse reactions in the preclinical models conducted [41–49, 51]. However, the microbiologic burden of inbred mice housed in a clean or even specific pathogen free (SPF) environment is certainly not comparable to outbred populations living under unconstrained conditions. Vaccination studies undertaken, for instance, with horses, dogs, or cats are therefore certainly better suited to draw relevant conclusions as to the safety of actively inducing anti-cytokine antibodies, since they usually remain exposed to their natural habitat when undergoing VNP-based therapy for allergy. Moreover, similar to human individuals and unlike mice these animals naturally suffer from allergic diseases affecting target organs, which also have relevance for humans, such as the skin and the respiratory tract [52]. In one of the first studies intended to induce autoantibodies against an endogenous cytokine, Ma et al. induced neutralizing IL-4 antibodies in mice, which protected them from subsequent sensitization with OVA as reflected by reductions in OVA-specific serum IgE, eosinophil numbers in BALF, goblet cell hyperplasia, tissue inflammation, and methacholine-induced airway hyper-reactivity. Apart from its ability to induce Ig class switch recombination to IgE, IL-4 is also important for multiple other aspects of maintaining immune homeostasis [41]. In fact, IL-4 reduces Th1 inflammation [53], facilitates B cell-mediated antigen presentation by upregulating MHC class II molecules [54], and increases adhesion of T cells to endothelial cells [55]. Despite these important tasks, no side effects upon permanent neutralization of IL-4 were detectable in the mouse model of OVA allergy investigated. This is in contrast to passive immunotherapy performed with the anti-IL-4/IL-13 antibody dupilumab in human patients, which seems to be associated with an increased risk for the development of conjunctivitis [56]. Recently, vaccination with cucumber mosaic virus (CuMV)-based...
Figure 1. Different VNP-based approaches for the modulation of allergen-specific immune responses. Shown are VNP expressing (A) CpG DNA, (B) effector cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, IL-23p40, IL-31, IL-33, TGF-β, eotaxin), (C) human IgE or peptides thereof, (D) allergens as full-length protein or in peptide form either expressed on the surface or shielded inside of particles. Induced mechanisms comprise the induction of allergen-specific blocking antibodies, the modulation of T cell effector mechanisms, and the induction of regulatory T cells.

VNP expressing equine IL-5 showed promising results in Icelandic horses suffering from chronic allergic dermatitis caused by insect bites and consisting of a mixed type I/type IV immune reaction [57] with prominent eosinophil infiltration [42]. Similarly effective were combined immunizations with Qβ particles chemically conjugated with either eotaxin or IL-5, aiming at the reduction of eosinophil recruitment and expansion in a mouse model of OVA allergy [43]. Despite the important biological roles of IL-5 for the defense against (reinfections with) helminths [58], no impact of anti-IL-5 antibodies on the natural worm burden in horses vaccinated against IL-5 was evident [42, 51]. Moreover, tolerance against IL-13 has been successfully broken in vivo upon vaccination of mice with VNP, formed by genetic fusion of an IL-13 peptide to the hepatitis B core antigen (HBcAg) [44–46]. IL-13-HBcAg VNP attenuated airway inflammation and remodeling and proved to be effective even when applied via the mucosal route [45]. Similar to the active immunization against IL-13 in mice, passive administration of anti-IL-13 mAbs (lebrikizumab) in human clinical trials reduced asthma exacerbations and improved lung function (FEV₁) especially in those patients presenting with high pretreatment periostrin levels [59, 60]. The IL-23/IL-17 signaling pathway has been identified as another important signaling axis, with relevance for allergic diseases such as atopic dermatitis and asthma in which Th17 cells play a central role [61, 62]. Accordingly, Guan and colleagues fused a nonapeptide of the IL-23p40 subunit (which is identical to IL-12p40) to the HBcAg. The recombinantly produced IL-23p40-HBcAg fusion protein spontaneously assembled into VNP and was used to subcutaneously immunize experimental animals followed by their sensitization with OVA. Notably, vaccination with IL-23p40-HBcAg VNP significantly reduced total and OVA-specific IgE titers, numbers of neutrophils and eosinophils in BALF as well as goblet cell hyperplasia and inflammation of lungs in mice [47]. However, as the authors have shown, the approach of targeting IL-23p40 intrinsically generated also anti-IL-12 autoantibodies, with the potential to weaken IL-12-dependent Th1 pathways, although no such activity was detectable during the observational period of their study [47]. Moreover, targeting of IL-31 in atopic dogs by active immunization with IL-31 conjugated to CuMV containing a universal T cell epitope from Tetanus toxin TT830-845 [63] resulted in the induction of neutralizing IL-31 antibodies, which significantly reduced the scratching behavior of animals in more than 80% of dogs immunized [48]. For the same indication, an IL-31 blocking antibody was licensed for human use recently, which, upon passive administration, caused no side effects [64–66]. Another important factor, mostly produced by and released from epithelial cells is the alarmin IL-33, which is supposed to be one of the most important initiators of type 2 immune reactions [67]. Targeting of IL-33 by active immunization with IL-33-HBcAg-based VNP has been shown to reduce the severity of allergic asthma in a mouse model and might be an interesting therapy option to neutralize the very early effects of epithelial damage on both innate and adaptive immunity such as priming of Th2 development and activation of ILC2 [49]. However, the diverse and important roles of IL-33 in other organ systems (e.g., gut, etc.) and during several important defense mechanisms (e.g., against worms) need to be taken into account before a final conclusion as to the safety and applicability of inducing anti-IL-33 immunity can be made.
General considerations for the induction of auto antibodies

Active induction of anti-cytokine antibodies represents a powerful tool and a possible alternative to the passive application of mAbs neutralizing the very same cytokines, because the method of breaking self-tolerance against cytokines seems to be effective, cost saving, and requiring only a limited number of vaccine doses [48]. As appealing as the possibility of inducing blocking autoantibodies against pathognomonic effector cytokines may be, it is also apparent that the breakage of tolerance might potentially lead to adverse events, including undesired autoimmune phenomena or specific immunodeficiency, which, once induced, may be hard to reverse. Such risks may include the re-activation of latent infections, similar to the ones observed upon passive immunization with TNF-\(\alpha\) inhibitors leading to the activation of latent tuberculosis [68], or have a permanent impact on wound healing and tissue remodeling, e.g., aggravating episodes of myocardial infarction as observed in IL-13 KO mice [69]. Vaccine-induced B cell memory cells directed against bodily constituents might be hard to remove, the same accounts for long-lived plasma cells once established. This risk is in clear contrast to the passively administered mAbs, which administration can be stopped at any time and then become catabolized and disappear from the organism after three to six half-lives [70]. Another potential issue of the in vivo-induced autoantibody responses against cytokines is the possibility that such autoantibodies instead of mitigating might rather potentiate the function of the targeted cytokines, especially when they start to react with restricted epitopes of the respective cytokines [71]. For instance, monoclonal anti-IL-2 antibodies, upon binding to their target, not only prolong the half-life and thus the overall activity of IL-2, but also preferentially target the complexed IL-2 to either the low or high-affinity IL-2R, expanding either CD4+ regulatory or CD8+ cytotoxic T cells [71]. Thus, it is essential to make sure that active immunization with cytokine-VNPs induces a polyclonal, neutralizing antibody response from the beginning.

Apart from the potential danger that cytokine-VNP vaccine preparations might actually potentiate the function of the targeted cytokine, it has also been clearly demonstrated that particle borne cytokines, such as GM-CSF in combination with IL-4 [72], but also IL-2 [73], perfectly retain their biological activity when tethered to the surface of VNP, which again, due to the very function of the respective cytokine, might lead to adverse effects upon in vivo application (e.g., cytokine storm, vascular leakage syndrome, etc.) [74-77].

VNP priming the production of neutralizing/blocking antibodies against IgE or Fc\(\varepsilon\)Rs

Some of the most powerful approaches to interfere with IgE-associated allergies are those that target IgE, their specific receptors (Fc\(\varepsilon\)Rs), and/or IgE-producing B cells/plasma cells directly [78–80]. These treatment modalities intend to remove IgE-producing cells themselves or to neutralize the effector function of IgE. The anti-IgE mAb omalizumab (Xolair\(^\text{R}\)) is in clinical use for the treatment of asthma [36] since 2005 and for the treatment of chronic idiopathic or spontaneous urticaria [81] since 2014. Omalizumab specifically binds to the C3\(\varepsilon\) region of IgE and thus neutralizes its binding to Fc\(\varepsilon\)Rs [82] and dampens Th2 inflammation [83]; however, similar to other biologics, omalizumab has to be applied systemically (i.e., subcutaneously) in intervals of 2–4 weeks. Accordingly, several studies have investigated the possibility to break tolerance against IgE or Fc\(\varepsilon\)Rs by inducing respective autoantibodies/blocking antibodies against them. All experimental systems described in the following took advantage of human IgE/Fc\(\varepsilon\)R to induce blocking antibodies/break tolerance in the murine system. The first approach has been developed by Peng et al., who conjugated three IgE-derived peptides corresponding to the human IgE receptor-binding site of IgE to the HBcAg as the carrier [78]. Notably, the antibodies induced in rats blocked the binding of soluble rat IgE to rat Fc\(\varepsilon\)R and also downregulated rat serum IgE-antibody levels; however, they did not react with Fc\(\varepsilon\)R-bound rat IgE, demonstrating the safety (non-anaphylactogenicity) of the induced autoantibodies. The split core technology [84], which allows for the expression of structural epitopes on the surface of hepatitis B particles (HBcAg), was used to generate blocking antibodies, which specifically target the receptor-contacting site of the human C3\(\varepsilon\) domain of IgE [79]. Immunization of mice with such IgE-epitope-HBcAg particles induced high-titer (>1:36 000) anti-human IgE antibodies [79]. Another study showed that human IgE can even be targeted in its transmembrane form, as expressed on human IgE-producing B cells/plasma cells, and without simultaneously targeting receptor-bound IgE. An elegant demonstration of such selective targeting of surface-expressed IgE on B cells/plasma cells has come from Lin et al., who selected an epitope of IgE (CemX), which is exclusively expressed on the long isoform of cell-surface-expressed human IgE. It consists of 52 amino acids and is located between the C4\(\varepsilon\) domain of IgE and its C-terminal membrane-anchor peptide [85, 86]. Notably, the induced blocking anti-IgE antibodies were able to elicit antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) against IgE-expressing mouse myeloma cells as well as the Burkitt’s lymphoma-derived Ramos cell line [80]. Recently, Akache et al. used Qb\(\varepsilon\) VNP, conjugated with two different peptides from the human C3\(\varepsilon\) domain of IgE to activate the innate immune system (in humans and mice) and induce blocking anti-IgE antibodies in mice. Within their study, they elegantly demonstrated that the resulting immune response of human PBMCs in vitro is similar to the action of TLR7 (reacting with bacterial RNA) leading to the induction of IFN-\(\alpha\), which can be blocked with chloroquine. In vivo, in mice (upon 3 x i.m. injection with 4 weeks interval), such particles induced high titers of blocking anti-IgE antibodies, who’s production was strongly reduced in TLR7 KO mice. For anti-IgE vaccines mixed with alun or CpG/alum, no reduction in TLR7 KO mice was observed. This study demonstrated the importance of innate danger signals harbored within VNP-derived vaccines, which either can be derived from the producer organism itself (e.g., bacterial RNA) or become artificially admixed to the VNP-based vaccine (alum, CpG DNA, etc.) [87].
Although seemingly attractive, no clinical studies targeting IgE or FceR by active (VNP-based) immunization have been registered as of today.

VNP eliciting allergen-dependent immunomodulation

The third VNP-based approach targets the culprit allergens directly and aims at the induction of allergen-specific T cell tolerance and/or the induction of blocking antibodies [21]. Surface attachment of full-length allergen or B cell epitopes thereof to VNPs opens the opportunity for the induction of blocking antibodies. However, this strategy also increases the risk for adverse reactions in already sensitized individuals, since allergen-VNP might lead to IgE cross-linking on effector cells resulting in mediator release and anaphylaxis. Until today, only a small collection of full-length allergens have been attached/targeted to the surface of VNP and subsequently evaluated, which include the major house dust mite allergen Der p 1 [88], the major cat dander allergen Fel d 1 [89, 90], and the major mugwort pollen allergen Art v 1 [91]. Interestingly, both Engeroff et al. [89] and Kratzer et al. [91] could demonstrate that allergens displayed on the surface of VNP induced much weaker degranulation of IgE-sensitized effector cells when compared to equimolar concentrations of the respective soluble allergens (Fel d 1 and Art v 1) [89, 91]. From the above it can be argued that the mere cell surface association of an otherwise soluble allergen might render it hypoallergenic. So far, no clinical trials with VNP expressing allergen on their surface have been conducted in allergic individuals to prove these in vitro observations. However, Qβ-G10 particles have been used as an adjuvant together with HDM allergens and clinically evaluated. These studies revealed that Qβ-G10 particles were well-tolerated and induced almost complete tolerance to the co-administered allergens [92]. Moreover, Qβ-Der p 1 VNP were evaluated in a safety phase I clinical trial by Kündig et al., which revealed strong induction of both, Der p 1- and Qβ (backbone)-specific antibodies in healthy volunteers [88]. Moreover, Qβ-VNP decorated with the cat allergen Fel d 1 efficiently induced blocking antibodies that prevented sensitized mice, in an IL-10 independent manner from active systemic anaphylaxis upon i.v. challenge with soluble rFel d 1 [90]. Recently, CuMV expressing Fel d 1 and containing the tetanus toxin–derived universal T cell helper epitope TT830-843 have been devised and applied in cats to induce autoantibodies against their major cat allergen Fel d 1 [93, 94]. The induced blocking autoantibodies displayed high affinity for Fel d 1 and reduced Fel d 1-levels systemically, i.e., also in tear fluid of animals, which, apart from saliva, is a rich source of that secretoglobulin [93, 94].

Since full-length allergens introduced systemically might induce anaphylaxis in sensitized individuals, alternative strategies restricted to T or B cell epitopes have been developed. Along those lines, the p1 protein of the yeast retrotransposon Ty, which has been shown to spontaneously assemble into VNP previously [95], has been conjugated with T cell epitopes of the mite allergen Der p 1 to devise Der p 1 Ty-VNP, which were used to immunize mice intraperitoneally. Using that strategy, Harris and Hirschberg [96, 97] were able to induce allergen-specific CD4+ T cell responses, which were Der p 1111–139 peptide specific [97] and abrogated allergen-specific IL-5 secretion [96] upon restimulation with Der p 1 peptide. In a similar vein, conjugation of the major T cell epitope of the Aspergillus fumigatus allergen Asp f to yeast p1 protein, known for its spontaneous assembly into VNP [98, 99], downregulated both, allergen-specific T cell responses and allergen-specific serum IgE, IgG2a, and IgG3, but not IgG1 and IgG2b levels [100]. A slightly different approach was taken by Leb et al., who decorated MoMLV-based VNP with HLA class II molecules already displaying the immunodominant epitope of the major mugwort pollen allergen Art v 1 in the presence or absence of distinct co-stimulatory molecules [101]. Allergen-specific T cells incubated with such HLA/peptide-VNP in the absence of co-stimulators induced T cell anergy [102, 103], while HLA/peptide-VNP co-expressing CD85 prompted the differentiation of a unique T cell phenotype characterized by the production of IFN-γ and IL-10 [101], commonly referred to as Tr1 cells [104]. For these reasons, it appeared likely that, in principle, modulation with the help of VNP the allergen-specific immune responses at the level of T cell activation could be successful. To circumvent the necessity for the display of a collection of HLA/peptide combinations on VNP in a patient-tailored way for the treatment of allergic individuals, Kratzer et al. explored a clever alternative strategy by expressing full-length allergens in a non-anaphylactic and non-IgE inducing form, by encapsulating them within MoMLV VNP [105]. For that purpose, they relied on the full-length major mugwort pollen allergen Art v 1 fused to the MoMLV matrix protein p15, which targets any fusion partner, so also the allergen, to the inside of the MoMLV VNP envelope. In a new mouse model of mugwort allergy [105], these authors could show that such VNP preparations are non-anaphylactogenic and non-sensitizing (IgE inducing) but instead able to induce tolerance if applied intranasally [91]. To corroborate these finding, the authors plan to screen more patient sera and also plan to perform basophil activation tests with whole blood of mugwort allergic individuals.

The major attraction of allergen-specific approaches for the prevention and treatment of allergies is their precise targeting of the molecular cause of the disease, i.e., the aberrant immune responses against the culprit allergen(s) without further affecting unrelated immune responses. Possible disadvantages of such technology lie, e.g., in the potentially imprecise targeting of the VNP delivered systemically to the “wrong,” immunooactivating APC and/or the instability of the enveloped viral particle, which, upon undesired disassembly would release full-length allergens that may lead to effector cell activation and anaphylaxis. [89, 91]. However, these potential caveats can be omitted by using strict T cell epitopes of major allergens, which are otherwise unable to crosslink IgE on allergen-specific B cells or on sensitized effector cells [100].

Taken together, VNP-based strategies for allergen-dependent immunomodulation represent a powerful method for the efficient engagement of both the innate and adaptive immune system.
Importantly, already early studies have shown that, in principle, vaccination with VNP represents a safe procedure [106]. Thus, one of the challenges of the future will be to select the virus back-bones most suitable for the different applications, i.e., induction of blocking antibodies and/or T cell tolerance, breaking of tolerance against endogenous effector molecules, activation of innate immune cells, etc.

### Liposomes for the modulation of allergic immune response

Recently, substantial progress has also been made toward the development of synthetic, lipid enveloped nanoparticles, also referred to as liposomes [107, 108]. Liposomes are mostly based on phospholipid preparations, which spontaneously form mono- or multilayers of spherically shaped particles of rather heterogeneous size ranging from 50 to 450 nm [109, 110]. In practice, protein antigens (and allergens) can either be attached to the outer surface of liposomes by chemical conjugation or may be encapsulated within or embedded in-between the lipid bilayer [111].

Several examples have emerged of the use of liposomes to modulate allergic immune responses (Table 2). They encompass allergen-specific and -nonspecific treatment modalities with differently sized and charged lipid compositions [110, 111]. For example, Inoh et al. investigated the influence of liposomes consisting of cationic 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphatidylethanolamine (DOPE) and cholesteryl-3b-carboxyamidoethylene-N-hydroxyethylamine (OH-Chol) lacking allergens on mast cell activation in vitro and in vivo by monitoring allergic reactions with a focus on vascular permeability. Notably, even after antigen-induced crosslinking of FcεRI, both the degranulation reactions of mast cells and vascular protein leakage were significantly reduced after pretreatment with such cationic liposomes [112].

To ensure proper processing of their contents, liposomes can also be efficiently targeted to immune cells of choice, e.g., to professional APCs, which can be accomplished by mannosylation [113–115]. In one study, mannosylated liposomes containing the model allergen OVA induced suppression of specific serum IgE levels while OVA-specific IgG1, IgG2a, and IgA production was increased after intranasal application of OVA in sensitized BALB/c mice [113].

Liposomes can also be used as platforms for the attachment of immunogenic proteins, against which a protective B cellular immune response should be induced [116, 117]. Nakano et al. applied liposomes consisting of four different lipid components and surface-linked OVA. All four variants induced IgE-selective unresponsiveness, and, at the same time, IgG blocking antibodies against OVA [118]. The highest anti-OVA IgG antibody levels were obtained after immunization of mice with OVA-liposomes made of unsaturated lipids, which likely increased the fluidity of the liposomal bilayer membrane and constituents located within that membrane [118]. Notably, the omission of cholesterol decreased membrane fluidity and, in parallel, increased protective OVA-specific IgG1 titers [119], indicating that the adjuvanticity of liposomes can be easily regulated by changing their membrane-fluidity.

Liposome-based delivery of allergens can also be used to induce allergen-specific tolerance. For that purpose, charged liposomes

---

### Table 2. Liposomal preparations used for the delivery of allergens

| DOPE: OH-Chol | Molar ratio of lipids | Immunogen | Particle size (µm) | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| dipalmitoyl-PC: Chol: mannotriose-dipalmitoyl-PE coated with oligomannose | 2:3 | None | 0.479 | [112] |
| myristoyl DMPC: DMPE:DMPG:Chol | 4:3:2:7 | OVA surface linked | 0.2412 | [118] |
| palmitoyl DMPC: DMPE:DMPG:Chol | 4:3:2:7 | OVA surface linked | 0.2495 | [118] |
| stearoyl DMPC: DMPE:DMPG:Chol | 4:3:2:7 | OVA surface linked | 0.2373 | [118] |
| oleoyl DMPC: DMPE:DMPG:Chol | 4:3:2:7 | OVA surface linked | 0.2313 | [118] |
| PC:PS:Chol | 1:1:2 | OVA | not tested | [121] |
| PC:PA:Chol | 1:1:2 | OVA | not tested | [121] |
| DDAB: PC: Chol | Fel d 1 | 3.5–5.4 | [125] |
| Lipoid-S-100 PC: Chol: DDAB | 2:1:1 | Der p1, Der p2 | 1-4.5 | [126] |
| PC:Chol:DDAB | 1:1:2 | T289-Per a 9, T167-Per a 9, Per a 9 | 2-5.7 | [128] |
| OH-Chol: DOPE | 2:3 | Alpha-galactosylceramide with OVA | 275 | [129] |
| egg PC: L-a-dimyristyl phosphatidic acid: Chol | 5:1:4 | OVA DNA | nt | [132] |
| PC: 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane chloride salt: DOPE | 9:1:1 | OVA and CpG ODN 1826 | 130-260 | [130] |

DOPE, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phospho-tidylethanolamine; OH-Chol, cholesteryl-3b-carboxyamidoethylene-N-hydroxyethylamine; DMPC, dimiristoyl phosphatidyl choline; DMPE, dimiristoyl phosphatidyl ethanolamine; DMPG, dimiristoyl phosphatidyl glycerol; Chol, cholesterol; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PS, phosphatidylserine; PA, phosphatidic acid; DDAB, didecyldioctadecylammonium bromide.
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that encapsulate allergens have been successfully explored as platform [116, 117, 120, 121]. Introduced allergens either consisted of recombinant allergens or crude allergen extracts. The results were compared with adjuvants embedded into the liposomes. Along those lines, Yotsumoto et al. demonstrated that liposomes containing OVA may induce the differentiation of IFN-γ expressing, allergen-specific Th1 cells in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, a higher liposomal content of phosphatidylserine (PS) induced both the secretion of IFN-γ and IL-12 while OVA-specific IgE levels decreased. Notably, the PS-dependent elaboration of IFN-γ could be specifically blocked by the addition of soluble annexin V, which is a Ca2+-dependent phospholipid binding protein with high affinity for PS [122, 123] initially described as a protein with strong anti-coagulant activity [124]. Liposomes containing increased levels of PS in their membranes may mimic apoptotic cells/cellular bodies that are well-known “eat-me-signals” for phagocytes [121]. Similar results were obtained with liposomes that either contained native Fel d 1 allergen or crude cat hair extract [125]. Sensitized mice were treated with eight doses of allergen-containing liposomes or extract alone and subsequently re-challenged with allergen. Treatment with allergen-containing liposomes but not extract alone decreased IgE levels, mucus production, and Th2 responses and increased Th1 and Treg levels [125] upon allergen re-challenge, demonstrating the immunomodulating capability of liposomes.

Similar studies were performed with house dust mite sensitized mice, which were repeatedly treated with multilamellar liposomes applied intranasally. Liposomes consisting of lipid-S-100 phosphatidylcholine (PC), didecyldioctadecylammonium bromide and cholesterol (C) either contained allergen extract, purified Der p 1, or Der p 2 (purified from extract) alone or in combination. Liposomes containing a single purified allergen induced expression of IL-10, IL-35, and TGF-β in lung Th cells, while reduction of airway remodeling and Th2 responses as well as increases in Th1 cytokine expression were also induced by liposomes containing crude extract. However, the use of crude extracts is far from ideal due to potential impurities and poorly controllable allergen composition both affecting the degree of lung inflammation [126].

To circumvent such problems, Prangtaworn et al. used liposomes containing recombinant allergens derived from major cockroach allergens that they linked to T cell epitopes of IgG Fc, known to specifically expand regulatory T cells (Tregitopes) [127] for the induction of tolerance and the reduction of airway inflammation. Upon intranasal [seven times every other day] treatment of sensitized mice (intranasally to crude cockroach extract) the immune responses in the lungs were analyzed on day 63, together with blood and serum samples. Suppressor cytokines indicative of Treg activation, such as IL-10, TGF-β, and IL-35 were expressed in the lungs after Tregitope289-Per a 9 liposome treatment, whereas liposomes encapsulating Tregitope167-Per a 9 liposomes revealed IL-10 and TGF-β expression only. Reduced type 2 inflammation was also observed upon treatment with all Per a 9 containing liposomal preparations (recombinant Per a 9 alone and Tregitope Per a 9 liposomes); however, induction of Treg cells was exclusively observed when Tregitopes were present. Liposomes exclusively containing allergen were unable to induce a Treg signature but, instead, induced high levels of IFN-γ production [128].

In another elegant study, liposomes containing the NKT cell stimulator α-galactosylceramide as adjuvant were sublingually co-administrated with soluble OVA to sensitized C57BL/6 mice. After re-challenge with OVA, cervical LN CD4+ T cells elaborated decreased IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, and IL-17 on both the protein and mRNA level. In contrast, Th1 cytokine production was upregulated and paralleled by the production of increased levels of allergen-specific IgG and reduced levels of IgE [129]. Bal et al. further increased the immunomodulatory capacity of liposomes by combining the model allergen OVA with the TLR ligands PAM or CpG and encapsulated them into cationic liposomes [130]. The forced TLR9 signaling led to the production of significantly higher levels of IgG antibodies against OVA and a Th1-biased cellular response [130]. Fusogenic liposomes were also used as vehicle [131] to deliver expressible cDNA of model allergens (OVA) and UV-inactivated Sendai virus to achieve genetic immunization and thus influence the balance of Th cell subsets [132]. By delivering OVA-specific DNA within liposomes, Yoshikazu et al. were able to induce highly specific Th1 responses as well as OVA-restricted CTL activity by engagement of the MHC class I antigen presentation pathway [132].

In summary, liposomes have the clear-cut advantage that they can be assembled from individual, highly pure, chemical-grade components, making the establishment of GMP for their standardized production simple and straightforward. In contrast, for liposomes to function properly in vivo, optimal formulations have to be found, guaranteeing their in vivo stability and their uptake and processing by APCs without eliciting undesired reactions against one of their principle components. For obvious reasons, such optimization steps are not needed for viruses that co-evolved with mammals.

How are nanoparticles recognized and taken-up by immune cells?

Particulate material circulating in bodily fluids is considered potentially dangerous by the immune system for several reasons because particles might (i) represent infectious material, (ii) release toxins, (iii) seek to fuse its genetic material with bodily cells, and finally (iv) cause unintended (auto-)immune reactions, e.g., against cellular debris such as apoptotic bodies displaying nucleic and ribonucleic acids, if not recognized and removed quickly and efficiently [133]. In fact, if clearance of such endogenous particulate material is inefficient [134] or, alternatively, if such material is injected under experimental conditions [135], it will trigger autoimmunity. To prevent such potentially dangerous overload of the body with endogenous particulate material but also to protect from infectious agents, dendritic cells, monocytes and macrophages, all belonging to the mononuclear phagocyte system, very efficiently take up particulate material for degradation and/or presentation of its contents by different pathways [136].
Critical parameters for the efficient uptake of particles are particle size [5, 137, 138] and/or the particles’ ability to specifically interact with scavenging surface receptors on phagocytes/APC (Fig. 2 and Table 3). No matter which receptor pathway takes the lead during VNP uptake and initiates an adaptive immune response, the mode of degradation and the quality of activation of the respective mononuclear phagocyte will decide whether antigen presentation will either be governed by immune activation or rather by tolerance induction [139]. Specific analyses of APC upon interaction with particulate material may predict the induction of an active immune response. Such monitoring includes the determination of the APC activation marker molecules CD40, CD80, CD83, CD86 [140, 141], and of the antigen-presenting molecules itself, i.e., MHC class II [142], along with the release of inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 or IL-12 [140, 143]. The expression on VNP of preformed TCR ligands in the form of pMHC complexes along with classical co-stimulatory molecules [144] or TLR-ligands such as CpG oligonucleotides [90, 145] might lead to canonical activation of APCs and thus active (Th1) immunity against the co-delivered allergen or peptides thereof. Moreover, biologically active activation and/or maturation factors of APCs attached to the VNP-surface, such as GM-CSF and IL-4 might further shape the ensuing allergen-specific immune response [17, 72, 146]. However, for tolerance induction to occur, the activation of dendritic cells should rather be avoided and peptide-presentation in a non-inflammatory environment would be the preferred way [91].

### Table 3. Receptors and pathways for the uptake of VNP and liposomes by APC

| Uptake mechanism                  | Cell type        | Involved receptors | Inhibitor                   | Evaluated VNP/viruses* | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| CD16/CD32 Fc-receptors            | DCs              | CD16/CD32          | CD16/CD32 double KO         | HPV                    | [159]    |
| Clathrin-dependent endocytosis    | HeLa cells       |                    | Heparin and chlorpromazine  | AAV                    | [158]    |
| Macropinocytosis                  | Mo-DCs, BM-DCs   |                    | Chlorpromazine Amiloride    | RHD                    | [157]    |
| Mannose recognition               | DCs, macrophages | DC SIGN, SIGNR1, CD206, Dectin 1, MR1 | Mannose                | RHD, Liposomes         | [117, 156] |
| Phagocytosis and Macropinocytin   | Mo-DCs, BM-DCs   |                    | Cytochalasin D             | RHD                    | [157]    |
| Phosphatidylserine mediated uptake| DCs, macrophages | TIM1, TIM3, TIM4, AXL | Annexin A5                | Liposomes, different viruses | [162, 165] |

RHD, rabbit haemorrhagic disease; HPV, human papilloma virus; AAV, adeno associated virus.

**Figure 2.** How DCs take up VNP. Shown are pathways dependent on (A) mannose receptors (DC SIGN, SIGNR 1, CD206, Dectin 1, MR 1), (B) clathrin-dependent endocytosis (inhibited by heparin, chlorpromazine or amiloride), (C) phagocytosis (inhibited by cytochalasin D), (D) Fc-receptors (CD16, CD32), (E) phosphatidylserine-specific receptors (TIM1, TIM3, TIM4, and AXL) and (F) macropinocytosis (dependent on actin).

**Size and shape as predictors of VNP and liposome uptake**

Experimentally, different labeling strategies contributed to the understanding of particle transport within the body and their uptake by cells of the mononuclear phagocyte system [147]. However, each technique applied, might also influence the experimental outcome to a certain degree [147]. For instance, fixation...
techniques required for EM-based particle tracing, mutation-based alterations of primary viral sequences to introduce cysteine residues for the attachment of specific labels [148], but also chemical alteration of the particle surface for the covalent attachment of fluorochromes, just to name a few, might all alter the way how particles are being transported, bound, and taken-up by mononuclear phagocytes, thus respective results obtained with either method should always be confirmed by a second independent method.

Apart from the biophysical limitations of accurately tracing individual particles, it has been clearly shown that particle size represents a decisive factor for free particle transport. In fact, within interstitial fluids only particles (including VNP) with a diameter of <200 nm are freely transported via the lymphatics toward the draining LNs [149]. In contrast, for larger particles (diameter of 500–2000 nm) to reach local LNs, uptake and cellular transport by cells belonging to the mononuclear phagocyte system at the site of application of the vaccine depot is required [149, 150]. According to one theory, the blind-ended entrance into lymphatic capillaries controlled by junctions between overlapping endothelial cells and functioning as a molecular sieve, might account for the size-dependent differences in transport behavior [149]. Another theory claims that larger particles might be more firmly trapped within the interstitial space, reducing the likelihood of their entrance into lymphatic vesicles while at the same time increasing the chances for getting phagocytosed by APCs followed by their cell-based shuttling to the respective sentinel LN [149]. Notably, also the shape of nanoparticles may have an influence on uptake kinetics. Studies using artificial nanoparticles showed that larger, disciform particles (nanodiscs) are more efficiently taken up by cells as compared to rod-like particles, spherical particles, or small nanodiscs [151, 152]. This behavior was explained by the increased contact area and thus the stronger adhesive forces between nanodiscs and the respective phagocyte [153]. Moreover, nanoparticles with sharp shapes, irrespective of their size, composition, or surface chemistry, may pierce the membranes of endosomes, which contributed to their uptake, much more easily, facilitating, e.g., drug and gene delivery [154].

Receptors for the uptake of VNP and artificial particles

The main uptake mechanisms of professional APC are macrophagocytosis, micropinocytosis, phagocytosis, and receptor-mediated endocytosis [155]. The latter can be strongly enhanced by mannosylation, as shown for the uptake by dendritic cells and macrophages of rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus-derived VNP [156]. Mannosylation of the VNP surface creates ligands for mannose receptors, such as DC-SIGN, SIGNR1, or CD206, Dectin 1, MR1 expressed on the APC surface [156], contributing to the facilitated engulfment of the respective particles. In the absence of mannosylation, clathrin-dependent macrophagocytosis and phagocytosis represent the most prominent uptake mechanisms for VNP [157]. Very similar to rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus particles, also the uptake of adenovirus-associated particles mainly relies on the clathrin-dependent mechanisms [158]. It is important to emphasize that most of the above cited studies were based on the use of specific inhibitors rather than loss-of-function mutations, thus there is still room for refinement of these analyses.

Most interestingly, evidence for direct FcR-mediated uptake of HPV particles has been shown previously, which involves both low affinity receptors for IgG, i.e., CD16 and CD32 [159]. Whether this mechanism, which would precede Ig-mediated VNP uptake is of critical relevance for HPV clearance remains unclear at the moment.

Recently, it was also hypothesized that viruses and consequently VNP might exploit uptake routes, which are usually exploited by exosomes [160, 161]. Most mechanistic studies performed in that respect relied on enveloped VNP based on HIV core proteins [161] and revealed that the composition of the lipid envelope itself but not the presence of “bona fide” envelope (viral spike) proteins, determined the mode of up-take. For both liposomes and VNP alike, the expression of PS on the particle surface might represent an “eat-me-signal” for APC. Specifically, PS has been shown to bind to several cell surface receptors on APC, such as TIM1, TIM3, TIM4, or AXL, all capable of initiating VNP uptake, however, depending on the viral backbone via multiple pathways [162]. In contrast, GP120-expressing HIV particles were predominantly taken up by macrophagocytosis in an actin dependent manner [163], underlining the importance of the viral envelope proteins in such processes. Similarly, critical contributions to binding and uptake of envelope proteins were also described for hepatitis C-derived VNPs [164]. For liposomes, enhanced PS-mediated uptake has been proven in human and mouse models of tolerance induction in type I diabetes [165, 166].

Apart from PS-mediated particle uptake, particle incorporated tetraspanins have been reported to be involved in receptor-mediated uptake of exosomes [167]. A similar mechanism might be used by VNP, which were reported to express tetraspanins such as CD9 CD63 and CD81 in the past [168].

In summary, particle-based (VNP, liposomes) induction of protective immunity against allergens and/or important effector molecules governing allergic inflammation (Th2 cytokines, IgE or FcεR) represents a versatile method, which might help to complement existing treatment modalities. To extend the full reach of this technology, further refinements as to optimal backbone (virus or liposome) used and co-signals applied need to be undertaken, which should also include studies precisely defining the particles’ uptake and transport in vivo.
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