Renal injury is a common complication in multiple myeloma (MM). In fact, as many as 10% of patients with MM develop dialysis-dependent acute kidney injury related to increased free light chain (FLC) production by a plasma cell clone. Myeloma cast nephropathy (MCN) is the most prevalent pathologic diagnosis associated with renal injury, followed by light chain deposition disease and light chain amyloidosis. Several FLC removal techniques have been explored to improve kidney disease in MM but their impact on renal clinical outcomes remains unclear. According to the evidence, high cut-off haemodialysis should be restricted to MM patients on chemotherapy with histological diagnosis of MCN and haemodialysis requirements. From our perspective, more efforts are needed to improve kidney outcomes in patients with MM and renal failure.
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INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is the result of a proliferation of a neoplastic clone of plasma cells in the bone marrow and encompasses 13% of all haematologic malignancies [1], showing an annual incidence of ~60 cases per million population [2]. Clinical manifestations are heterogeneous, ranging from skeletal disease (70%), anaemia (40%), impaired humoral immunity (80%) and, as we will focus on this review, renal impairment (20–40%) [3, 4].

Since late last century, when immunofluorescence and electronic microscopy clarified the physiopathology of myeloma kidney, several therapeutic strategies have been tested to reduce the impact of myeloma paraproteins on kidney function, especially with extracorporeal techniques to remove free light chains (FLCs) [e.g. plasmapheresis (PLEX) or high cut-off (HCO) dialysis]. There were also some efforts to characterize FLCs’ structure and physico-chemical properties in order to investigate potential inhibitors of FLCs’ intratubular aggregation.

Herein, our purpose is to review all the relatively new therapeutic strategies from a nephrologist’s perspective, focusing on the use of extracorporeal techniques to reduce the levels of FLCs in serum, especially with HCO dialysis membranes. We also summarize the knowledge acquired over the last two decades regarding the implication of FLCs in the renal pathology of MM.

PATHOGENESIS: THE ROLE OF FLCs

The first documentation of the existence of FLCs dates from 1845 [5], when a 38-year-old greengrocer from London developed bone pains and generalized swelling. His physician
noticed a unique protein in his urine and sent a sample to Henry Bence Jones, the chemical pathologist from whom these proteins would take their name. More than a century later, in 1963, Edelman would characterize these molecules as FLCs [6].

Under normal conditions, plasma cells synthesize 40% more light than heavy chains [7], and this excess of FLCs is thought to favour accurate assembly of intact immunoglobulins. As a result, ~500 mg of polyclonal FLCs are given to blood circulation every day [7, 8]. In humans, light chains are encoded by two different gene loci, resulting in the serologically distinguishable light chain types, lambda and kappa. While the former is a 22.5 kDa monomer, the latter tend to be a dimeric protein of 45 kDa. The small molecular weight confers FLCs a high distribution volume, so intravascular space contains only 15–20% of them.

FLCs filter through glomerular endothelium and basal membrane, reaching the proximal tubule, where they are endocytosed by the megalin–cubilin complex and then degraded into their constituent amino acids inside tubular cells. In the setting of a plasma cell dyscrasia, FLC production increases regardless of what type of immunoglobulin is produced. In fact, ~80% of all MM patients produce monoclonal intact immunoglobulins, with 95% of these also producing monoclonal serum FLCs [9]. Although heavy chains and intact immunoglobulins remain in blood circulation, FLCs go through the glomerular filtration barrier to proximal tubule lumen and exceed the capacity of absorption-catabolism of tubular epithelial cells. As a consequence, these molecules are easily detected in urine.

RENEAL LESIONS IN MM: SOME CONSIDERATIONS

When FLC production is increased, FLCs can be isolated from urine (Bence Jones protein) but they can also remain in distal tubular structures, forming crystalline aggregates with the Tamm–Horsfall glycoprotein (THP) or uromodulin, appearing as eosinophilic casts under light microscopy examination; the so-called myeloma cast nephropathy (MCN) (Figure 1A). In MCN, there is proteinuria without albuminuria, since the glomerular basement membrane remains undamaged.

On the contrary, FLCs can deposit on the glomerular basement membrane and mesangium as well, producing disruption of the filtration barrier (Figure 1B and C). If FLCs are disposed as beta-shaped arranged fibrils interacting with serum amyloid P, they will cause light chain amyloidosis (AL), whereas if FLCs are deposited themselves without any organization they will produce light chain deposit disease (LCDD). In both pathologic entities, there is albuminuria because there is glomerular filtration barrier damage. AL is usually presented as nephrotic syndrome, often without renal dysfunction, whereas LCDD displays renal dysfunction, microhaematuria and albuminuria [10].

Therefore, FLCs cause different types of kidney injury in MM depending on which histological compartment is affected. The presence and type of proteinuria (Bence Jones proteinuria or albuminuria) remains the cornerstone for the clinical approach to characterize the kidney injury in MM (Figure 2).

Nasr et al. [10] retrospectively reported renal pathology findings of 190 MM patients. Kidney biopsies were obtained as part of the standard clinical practice, and main indications were acute kidney injury (AKI) (55%), progressive renal failure with proteinuria (27%), proteinuria without decrease in glomerular filtration rate (9%) and nephrotic syndrome (14%). To be included in the study, the diagnosis of MM must have been made before kidney biopsy or shortly thereafter. They found that the most common renal lesion (33%) was MCN, followed by LCDD (22%) and AL (21%). Remarkably, 23% of the pathologic diagnostics were not clearly caused by the paraprotein.

They reported a 9% incidence of acute tubular necrosis, identifying at least one precipitating factor in 60% of cases: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs use (40%), dehydration (30%), hypercalcaemia (30%), zoledronate (30%) and intravenous iodinated contrast (10%). Nephroangiosclerosis (6%) or diabetic nephropathy (5%) followed as the most common non-paraprotein-associated lesions.

This observation highlights the importance of the renal biopsy to perform a correct diagnosis and makes clear that kidney dysfunction in MM is not always related to it. This concept is crucial to the interpretation of the results raised by interventional studies, as theoretically the extracorporeal clearance of FLCs is only effective when there is paraprotein-mediated kidney injury. In the Nasr et al. study [10], MCN was the lesion with the highest dialysis requirements (37%). Moreover, in other studies focusing on patients with AKI, the prevalence of MCN rose to 66–87% [11, 12].

KIDNEY INJURY AS A PROGNOSTIC FACTOR IN MM

Focusing on AKI and dialysis needs, Haynes et al. [12] studied the clinical outcomes of 107 MM patients with AKI. They found three
main protective factors: use of chemotherapy, serum albumin >35 g/L, and dialysis independence. There were 88 patients requiring hemodialysis (HD), and only 15 of them (17%) reached dialysis independence during the follow-up, although the so-called ‘novel therapies’ (bortezomib and lenalidomide) were not used in this study. Dialysis independence is higher in more recent studies using these new chemotherapy agents, ranging from 21% to 50% [13–16]. Although these studies are small, it seems that renal injury reversibility could be increased by using novel therapies. However, even with these new therapies, kidney function recovery rates still remain low (<50%).

**EXTRACORPOREAL TECHNIQUES: PLEX**

The half-life of circulating FLCs is 3–6 h in normal renal function [17] but it shows an inverse correlation with glomerular filtration rate, as the kidney is the main FLC catabolism site. Thus, FLC half-life increases to 10-fold in patients with CKD stage 5 [18]. As such, in AKI secondary to MCN, the functioning nephrons would be overexposed to FLCs for a significant period of time, even after successful chemotherapy. Removing FLCs in this situation will cease the ‘vicious circle’, accelerating the FLC decrement by an artificial ‘catabolism’, and therefore reducing the FLC burden in the non-injured nephrons that would otherwise collapse (Figure 2).

Plasma exchange or PLEX was the only extracorporeal technique to remove circulating FLCs until 2005, when the HCO-HD membranes appeared. Since then, several studies have been conducted to evaluate its efficacy. Nevertheless, there are only three published randomized and controlled clinical trials [19–21] (Table 1), and all of them failed to demonstrate a therapeutic effect of PLEX on MM renal dysfunction. However, all studies show limitations in terms of drawing robust conclusions. Although there is a lack of statistical power in the first two studies [19, 20], the most recent, conducted by Clark et al. [21], does not report the renal histology and FLC monitoring, making it very difficult to ascertain whether the study groups were comparable regarding the type and severity of renal disease. The inconclusive results led to PLEX being downgraded from Category II to a Category III indication in MM with AKI by the American Society for Apheresis [22] in 2007.

In 2008, Leung et al. [23] published a retrospective study on PLEX considering renal biopsy findings and FLC reduction. Forty patients were treated with PLEX (an average of six sessions) and 85% received chemotherapy as well. In MCN, the renal response greatly depended on the attained FLC reduction, being significantly higher in those patients achieving circulating FLC reduction >50%. Remarkably, these results were not observed in patients without MCN. This study clearly illustrates the importance of the histological diagnosis and the relationship between FLC reduction and clinical outcomes in MCN, endorsing therapeutic schemes based on FLC levels instead of pre-fixed or arbitrary protocols. Further and well-designed clinical trials are needed to definitively refuse or accept the utility of PLEX as an adjuvant therapy.

**FIGURE 2:** Mechanisms of FLC-induced nephropathy and its clinical correlation. In the upper right corner, we show the theoretical advantage of using extracorporeal techniques for FLC removal.
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In 2005, a new generation of HD membranes known as 'protein leaking membranes' were designed as an alternative way to provide greater clearances of high molecular weight substances involved in uraemia, which are not removed by high flux membranes [24]. The so-called 'high cut-off membranes' owed their name to their wide pore size, which enhanced the molecular weight cut-off to ~50–60 kDa [25]. By using these HCO membranes, the extracorporeal treatments could be more intensive and longer-lasting than those by PLEX, thus reducing the FLC rebound and preventing PLEX complications such as clotting or severe hypoproteinaemia. However, it has to be noted that albumin (68 kDa) leaks across those HCO membranes, which is their main limitation.

The most relevant studies on FLC removal by using HCO filters were published in 2007 [26] and 2008 [27], both led by Dr Hutchison. These articles established some core concepts in terms of dialysis characteristics (clearance, time, convection use, albumin leakage and membrane surface) to establish rational therapeutic schemes to evaluate the effects of FLC reduction on clinical outcomes. One of the most relevant conclusions reached in these studies [26, 27] is that HCO-HD could be useful in accelerating the decrease of serum FLCs provided by effective chemotherapy. Therefore, without a concomitant decrease in the FLC production rate, extracorporeal depuration will only generate a transient decrease of FLCs followed by a 'rebound' thereafter.

Accordingly, 19 patients with MM, AKI HD-dependent and diagnosis of MCN by renal histology were initially included in a study to receive standard chemotherapy regimen and HCO-HD [28]. Six patients had their chemotherapy interrupted because of complications and they displayed a moderate FLC reduction, <40%. In contrast, patients combining chemotherapy and HCO-HD showed an 85% decline in the FLC levels and, more importantly, all achieved the renal recovery endpoint, defined as dialysis independence 2 weeks after last dialysis session. Although cautious, the observed 100% recovery rate (13/13) is promising, particularly when compared with recovery rates (~20%) reported in previous studies [12, 13]. Nonetheless, it seems reasonable to conclude that, independently of whether HCO-HD is useful or not, its use should be limited to patients eligible for receiving an effective chemotherapeutic treatment.

Two successive studies [29, 30] examined if early reductions in serum FLCs were associated with better outcomes and if there was a specific FLC reduction threshold to achieve the renal improvement. In both series of patients (39 and 67, respectively) renal recovery rate was 20%. Reported in previous studies [26, 27] is that HCO-HD could be useful in accelerating the decrease of serum FLCs provided by effective chemotherapy. Therefore, without a concomitant decrease in the FLC production rate, extracorporeal depuration will only generate a transient decrease of FLCs followed by a ‘rebound’ thereafter.

EXTRACORPOREAL TECHNIQUES: HCO MEMBRANES

In 2005, a new generation of HD membranes known as ‘protein leaking membranes’ were designed as an alternative way to provide greater clearances of high molecular weight substances involved in uraemia, which are not removed by high flux membranes [24]. The so-called ‘high cut-off membranes’ owed their name to their wide pore size, which enhanced the molecular weight cut-off to ~50–60 kDa [25]. By using these HCO membranes, the extracorporeal treatments could be more intensive and longer-lasting than those by PLEX, thus reducing the FLC rebound and preventing PLEX complications such as clotting or severe hypoproteinaemia. However, it has to be noted that albumin (68 kDa) leaks across those HCO membranes, which is their main limitation.

The most relevant studies on FLC removal by using HCO filters were published in 2007 [26] and 2008 [27], both led by Dr Hutchison. These articles established some core concepts in terms of dialysis characteristics (clearance, time, convection use, albumin leakage and membrane surface) to establish rational therapeutic schemes to evaluate the effects of FLC reduction on clinical outcomes. One of the most relevant conclusions reached in these studies [26, 27] is that HCO-HD could be useful in accelerating the decrease of serum FLCs provided by effective chemotherapy. Therefore, without a concomitant decrease in the FLC production rate, extracorporeal depuration will only generate a transient decrease of FLCs followed by a ‘rebound’ thereafter.

Accordingly, 19 patients with MM, AKI HD-dependent and diagnosis of MCN by renal histology were initially included in a study to receive standard chemotherapy regimen and HCO-HD [28]. Six patients had their chemotherapy interrupted because of complications and they displayed a moderate FLC reduction, <40%. In contrast, patients combining chemotherapy and HCO-HD showed an 85% decline in the FLC levels and, more importantly, all achieved the renal recovery endpoint, defined as dialysis independence 2 weeks after last dialysis session. Although cautious, the observed 100% recovery rate (13/13) is promising, particularly when compared with recovery rates (~20%) reported in previous studies [12, 13]. Nonetheless, it seems reasonable to conclude that, independently of whether HCO-HD is useful or not, its use should be limited to patients eligible for receiving an effective chemotherapeutic treatment.

Two successive studies [29, 30] examined if early reductions in serum FLCs were associated with better outcomes and if there was a specific FLC reduction threshold to achieve the renal improvement. In both series of patients (39 and 67, respectively) renal recovery rate was 60%. The authors found that FLC reduction on Day 21 was associated with renal recovery. Also,

Table 1. Randomized and controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of plasma exchange in MM-associated AKI

| Year       | Number of patients | Study population | Intervention | Outcomes | Biopsies | Serum FLC determination | Commentaries |
|------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 1988       | 29                 | AKI (Cr >5 mg/dL with previous normal determination) | Corticosteroids + cyclophosphamide in both groups: PLEX (n=15): plasma exchange 5 sessions × 5 consecutive days (3–4 L plasma each session). | Dialysis independence: 24/29 (13 HD; 11 peritoneal dialysis) | 17 biopsies performed (16 MCN; 1 proximal tubular affection) | Not available | No PLEX Group showed high mortality rates, making questioned its results (small cohort, high type 1 error) |
| 1990       | 21                 | AKI (Cr >270 μmol/L with previous normal determination) | Prednisone + melphalan in both groups: PLEX (n=11): plasma exchange thrice a week for 1–4 weeks (7.9 ± 3.3 sessions of average volume exchanged of 3495 mL) | Dialysis independence No PLEX : 2/11 | 16 biopsies (13 MCN; 3 tubulointerstitial injury without casts) | Not available | No PLEX Group showed high mortality rates, making questioned its results (small cohort, high type 1 error) |
| 2005       | 97                 | AKI (Cr >200 μmol/L with an increase >50 μmol/L in preceding 2 weeks) | Melphalan + prednisone or vincristine-adriamycin-dexamethasone: PLEX (n=58): 5–7 plasma exchange of 50 mL/kg within the first 10 days of study entry | Dialysis independence PLEX: 11/13 (P<0.01) | Not available | Not performed | No PLEX Group showed high mortality rates, making questioned its results (small cohort, high type 1 error) |

GFR, glomerular filtration rate; NS, non-significant.
although they did not find a clear threshold, there was a linear relationship between the FLC reduction and the probability of renal recovery, as 60% FLC reduction was associated with 80% dialysis independence. This correlation was presumed in previous works [23], suggesting that there could be some biochemical targets in order to improve renal outcomes and, consequently, survival rates in MM patients.

Finally, two randomized clinical trials were conducted to prove the efficacy of HCO-HD as an adjuvant therapy for HD-dependent AKI in MM patients receiving concomitant chemotherapy (Table 2). One of them, the European trial of free light chain removal by extended haemodialysis in cast nephropathy (EuLITE) trial [31], has not yet been published, but preliminary results were given in abstract form. Meanwhile, the MYRE trial [32], has recently been published. There are some considerations to be taken into account when interpreting the results reported in said trials. In the EuLITE trial, the proportion of patients in which chemotherapy was discontinued was not reported. On the other hand, there was a higher proportion of patients having severe infectious complications in the experimental group, raising some concerns on group differences regarding immunoparesis, MM severity or antibiotic-dose adjustment. Finally, the use of two filters in series could provide higher FLC clearance, but implied higher risk of hypoalbuminaemia and its related complications. When published, the definitive results may enable us to undertake a thorough analysis of this work. In the MYRE trial [32] the primary end point consisting of HD independence at 3 months was not achieved, although renal recovery at 6 and 12 months was higher in the HCO-HD arm.

In conclusion, we currently know that HCO membranes enhance FLC clearance in HD-dependent AKI secondary to MCN. The advantage of HCO-HD use upon standard of care is still controversial; definitive results of some studies and more

---

Table 2. Randomized and controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of HCO-HD in MCN

| EuLITE [31] (2008–15; not published) | MYRE [32] (2011–16) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Patient number**                 | 90                  |
| **Study population**               | Newly diagnosed myeloma (MCN confirmed by biopsy) |
| **Calculation sample size**        | Assuming 25% recovery rates in controls |
| **Analysis**                       | Intention to treat |
| **Treatment**                      | Bortezomib (1 mg/m² Days 1, 4, 8, 11) |
| **HD protocol**                    | Two 1.1 m² filter in series (Gambro HCO1100) |
| **End points; results**            | Primary endpoint: |
| **Number infections treatment group:** 13 | HD independence at 3 months: 55.8% in HCO group versus 51.6% in control; P = NS |
| **Number infections control group:** 2 (P = 0.014) | |

*Haematologic response overall: it includes partial (decrease of >50% FLC), very good partial (>90%) or complete response (normal level or ratio).
Table 3. Main studies evaluating histological prognosis predictors in MCN biopsies

| Rota et al. [4] | Ecotièrre et al. [34] | Basnayake et al. [35] |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Number of patients | 34 (26 MCN) | 70 | 4 |
| Population | AKI (Cr > 300 μmol/L) | AKIN Stage 3 | AKI HD dependent |
| | MM diagnosis | MM diagnosis (IMWG) | MM diagnosis |
| Clinical outcomes | Group 1: recovered renal function | Renal response: eGFR > 30 mL/min or HD independence at 3 months | Treatment with chemotherapy + HCO-HD for 6 weeks without renal response |
| | Group 2: partial recovered renal function | Haematological response according to IMWG criteria | Time to independence from dialysis after 6 weeks control biopsy |
| | Group 3: non-recovered renal function | | |
| Follow-up | No specified | Median 17.5 months (1–146) | No specified |
| Biopsy time | <3 weeks | Not specified | At 6 weeks after full treatment and no response |
| Biopsy items evaluated | Number of casts, Tubular atrophy, Interstitial fibrosis and infiltrates | Tubular atrophy, Fibrous endarteritis, Interstitial fibrosis, Interstitial infiltration | Index of chronic damage (%) |
| Results | Tubular atrophy (P = 0.05) between recovered and non-recovered renal function | Whole study population: | Number of tubules with cast (%) |
| | | Median number of cast between renal responders and non-responders (14 versus 25; P = 0.004) | Interstitial infiltrate (heavy, moderate or light) |
| | | Haematological responders subgroup: | Reduction in percentage of cast burden of 31.8%; 27.3%; and 13.6% were showed in three of the patients who recovered. Reduction of –6.6% was noted in non-recovered patient |
| | | Median number of cast between renal responders and non-responders (13 versus 30; P = 0.004) | Not statistically significant |
| | | Extent tubular atrophy between renal responders and non-responders (54 versus 92%; P = 0.031) | |
| | | FLC reduction at Day 21 was higher in renal responders (92% versus 24,4%; P = 0.005) | |
| | | Cast number per 10 fields did not correlate with FLC level (Spearman = 0.30) | |
| Commentaries/other information | Number of casts was not significant (but 15 samples were exclusively cortical); it could be a limitation | | |
| | | Recovery times were median 77 days in Group 1 and 145 days in Group 2 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Randomized Controlled trial are needed. However, it must be noted that the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG), in their 2016 recommendations on myeloma-related renal impairment, has already stated that the current data supports the use of HCO-HD in AKI HD-dependent secondary to MCN with an evidence grade B [33].

**LOOKING FOR PROGNOSIS FACTORS: TIME, BIOPSY OR BOTH?**

As the pathogenic role of FLCs on intratubular cast formation is accepted, we can hypothesize that there is some relationship between the duration of FLC exposure and the irreversibility of renal injury. Hutchinson et al. [30], found a more favourable prognosis in patients who initiated the HCO-HD technique within 7 days of presentation compared with those who initiated it later. This is the only available information we already have in terms of HCO-HD therapy delay, but it seems reasonable considering that immediate decrease of FLCs could entail less renal injury.

However, in real life, usually it is not possible to determine for how long the kidneys have been injured by circulating FLCs. There is no data about the natural history of MCN or subclinical effects of FLCs on renal structures, because histological diagnosis is only considered when there is AKI, so the outcomes might be different depending on the acute/chronic lesion burden in the kidney (as it is described in other glomerular or tubular entities). Therefore, the renal biopsy could help to clarify which patients are more likely to respond when chronicologic diagnosis is unknown.

Although the information regarding the prognostic value of kidney biopsy is scarce, some studies attempted to relate histological lesions with clinical prognosis. In Johnson’s study [20], for example, low cast burden in biopsy (<5/specimen) was significantly related to better renal prognosis. Summarized in Table 3 are the most relevant studies in terms of clinical and pathologic relationships [4, 34, 35]. Overall, cast burden and tubular atrophy seem the best predictors for worse renal outcomes in MCN. However, as previously pointed out, renal injury in MM could be caused by other entities (MM-related and non-related), so the histological confirmation of MCN is recommended before initiating HCO-HD. Beyond identifying MCN, the kidney biopsy could become a tool to predict which patients are
more likely to respond with intensive HCO-HD treatment. Even a ‘control’ biopsy could guide us in maintaining the therapy in patients likely to achieve delayed recovery of renal function. All of these concepts must be proven and properly explored in further studies, with the aim of stratifying which patients are more likely to respond. Perhaps the question is not whether HCO-HD works or not, but in which kind of patients it could have the most positive impact.

FIGURE 3: Proposed algorithm for AKI management and use of HCO-HD in MM patients. Clinical criteria for using HCO in bold, according to available evidence; all of them should be met simultaneously before initiating HCO-HD. FLC monitoring is recommended when using HCO-HD to assess the technique efficacy and be aware of biochemical outcomes related with favourable prognosis. IFE, immunofixation electrophoresis; SPE, serum protein electrophoresis.
MOLECULAR THERAPIES: COMPLEMENT DETERMINING REGION INHIBITION

While kappa chains tend to be more common in MCN and LCDD, lambda chains are more amyloidogenic [10]. However, as previously anticipated, each light chain type shows a different ‘nephritogenicity’, beyond kappa or lambda classification. In fact, the severity of renal injury is not always directly related to FLC levels in plasma or urine [36, 37]. One of the major reasons for such inconsistency, beyond some well-known precipitating factors such as dehydration, is the affinity of the FLC variable domain with the THP, which is a constitutive protein synthesized and secreted into the tubular lumen by tubular cells. This protein was isolated from the cast of MCN kidneys for the first time in 1990 [38] by Paul Sanders and represented a milestone in terms of knowledge on this illness. Over the following two decades, Sanders studied the interaction between FLC and THP and introduced the basis for new therapy strategies [39, 40, 41].

Each light chain contains two globular subunits, termed variable (VL) and constant (CL) domains. Three hypervariable segments of VL [complement determining regions (CDRs): CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3] produce loop structures conforming to a hydrophobic interior that interact with antigens [42]. In 2001, Ying and Sanders [39] identified the CDR3 region as a single binding site of light chains for THP, and the different affinity of several FLCs for THP was also proved. In 2012 [40] they published a relevant study that was focused on synthesizing a competitive inhibitor peptide. Firstly, they used information from previous affinity data of different CDR3 domains and synthesized a peptide based on CDR3 peptide sequences known to interact strongly with THP. The final inhibitor peptide was examined by a competitive Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay and was proved to show intensive inhibitory activity of human FLC-THP binding. In the second part of the study, this inhibitor was tested in vivo in a rodent model of AKI MCN, induced by intraperitoneal administration of FLCs. The authors compared the efficacy of injecting the competitor peptide intraperitoneally or vehicle alone 2 h before FLC injection. Interestingly, there was less cast burden and lower serum creatinine (Cr) in the treated rats. Despite these promising results, further studies are warranted.

CONCLUSIONS

Efficacy on FLC reduction by HCO-HD filters has been demonstrated, but its impact on renal clinical outcomes remains unclear. According to the evidence, HCO-HD use should be restricted to MM patients on chemotherapy with histological diagnosis of MCN and HD requirements (Figure 3). The next step should be to identify which patients could benefit the most. It seems that some factors could influence the outcomes of these therapies (time of initiation, type and chronicity of renal lesions, lambda or kappa FLCs, drug adjustments and duration of treatment). Certainly, the information provided by the kidney biopsy is relevant for guiding treatment in cases with severe renal involvement. From our perspective, more efforts are needed to improve kidney outcomes in patients with MM and renal injury.
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