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Abstract: Fibre Reinforced concrete is a well-known composite material used in civil engineering and other applications. Conventional concrete has poor tensile strength so its capacity to absorb Energy is limited. The weakness in tension zone can be overcome by strengthening their matrix with reinforcing fibrous materials. Presence of fibres in concrete mix improves tensile strength, flexural strength and structural quality of the material as well. At the same time it is well known that the concrete is porous and the porosity is due to water-voids, air voids or due to inherent porosity of gel structures itself and the strength of the concrete is naturally reduced. It is investigated and conceived by many research workers that dropping of porosity results in improvement in the strength of concrete. The addition of monomer (Styrene Butadiene) and consequent polymerization is the modern practice adopted to decrease the present porosity of the concrete and to improve the strength.

The present study is done on the mechanical behaviour of hybrid fibre reinforced polymer concrete. Experimentation includes compression strength test for M30 grade concrete mix, where concrete was reinforced with 2% fibres with combinations of Crimped fibres + Hooked End steel fibres, To this combination SBR polymer was added in 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 percentages by the volume of water and strengths were found out. The results indicated that as the polymer content increased to up to 15% showed that strength and workability also increased and decreases beyond.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fiber reinforced concrete can be well-defined as a composite material comprising of mixture of concrete or cement mortar with discontinuous, discrete, uniformly dispersed appropriate fibers. Fiber addition to concrete makes its components ductile and tough. Incorporation of fibers into cement matrix not only boosts the tensile and flexure strength of concrete but also minimizes the crack propagation. Researchers have shown that the impact resistances and toughness characteristics of concrete can be enhanced by adding fiber to concrete.

A. Various Types Of Steel Fibers Are

a) Straight Slit Steel fiber

b) Hooked End Steel fiber
B. Hybrid Fiber Reinforced Concrete (HFRC)
When two or more types of fibers are combined reasonably to yield a compound which gets the benefits of each individual fiber and shows a synergistic response is called hybrid fiber reinforced concrete.

1) Application of Hybrid Fiber Reinforced Concrete: Hybrid fiber reinforced concrete related composites, particularly the one having a great fracture stiffness and are useful in slabs and thin pre-cast products like tiles, curtain walls, roof sheets, permanent forms, cladding panels, I and L shaped beams, etc. To get high strength, good workability, increased durability and adequate ductility, fibre reinforced concrete needs to be designed for the areas of new application. Applying and developing the idea of hybridization, superior properties of concrete should be developed. At lower fiber content ductility and strength of concrete can be enhanced, rather than reinforcing fiber with single type of fiber. fibers can be used in combination. Problems dealing with workability needs to be eliminated by limiting the high aspect ratio fiber content without negotiating the properties such as ductility and strength in concrete. Durability related problems can be balanced by adding one fiber to the other type of fiber.

C. Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR) Emulsion
Styrene Butadiene is a monomer which is capable of combining chemically with similar or different molecules to form a higher molecular weight compound. Styrene butadiene rubber (SBR), is formed from a copolymer of styrene and butadiene. SBR is a mixture of about 75% butadiene and 25% styrene.

1) Objectives: Experimental investigation is carried out to understand strength characteristics. If both fibers and polymer are used together in concrete, it may yield a highly effective construction material in terms of strength and durability. Hence this study is to determine the Compressive strength of Hybrid Fiber Reinforced Polymer Concrete.

II. METHODOLOGY
Following table shows design mix proportion for M30 grade concrete

| Cement      | Fine aggregate (M sand) | Coarse aggregates | Water    |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 375 Kg/m³   | 762 Kg/m³               | 1165 Kg/m³        | 150 Kg/m³|
| Ratio       | 1                       | 2                 | 3.1      | 0.4      |

In the present work the following materials have been used to cast the specimens.

1) Ordinary Portland Cement (53 Grade) confirming to IS: 12269-1987
2) M sand
3) Coarse aggregate with maximum particle size 20mm, confirming to IS: 383-1970
4) Potable water for mixing and curing of concrete
5) The fibers used are Crimped Steel Fibers of effective length 50mm and equivalent diameter 1mm having the aspect ratio of 50.
6) Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR) was used as a polymer
7) Chemical Admixture: Super Plasticizer Conplast-SP430.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Workability Test Results

Workability of concrete is tested by conducting slump test. The results of workability tests on different combination of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete with different percentages of polymer are presented in Table 1 and their variations are plotted in Figure 1.

| Percentage of polymer added | Slump (mm) Crimped + Hooked End |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0                           | 75                               |
| 5                           | 77                               |
| 10                          | 79                               |
| 15                          | 80                               |
| 20                          | 79                               |
| 25                          | 78                               |

![Figure 1: Variation of Slump of fiber reinforced concrete with varying percentage of polymer](image)

From Table 1, it is seen that workability of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete of Crimped + Hooked End fiber reinforced concrete had increased with increase in polymer quantity up to 15%, after which it has declined as measured from slump. Therefore at polymer content of 15% maximum workability is achieved.

B. Compressive Strength Test Results

The test results obtained for compressive strength of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete are presented in Table 2 to 4 and their variations are plotted in Figure 2 to 4 for 7, 14 and 28 days.

| Percentage of polymer added | Load (kN) | Average load (kN) | 7 days compressive strength (MPa) |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Trial 1                    | Trial 2   | Trial 3           |                                  |
| 0                          | 573.1     | 560               | 551.3                            | 561.47 | 24.95 |
| 5                          | 612.4     | 641.9             | 621.1                            | 625.13 | 27.78 |
| 10                         | 654.9     | 678.9             | 690.1                            | 674.63 | 29.98 |
| 15                         | 718.9     | 720.4             | 708.11                           | 715.80 | 31.81 |
| 20                         | 689.6     | 702.42            | 691.1                            | 694.37 | 30.86 |
| 25                         | 652.9     | 661.7             | 675                              | 663.20 | 29.48 |

(Quantity of Fibers: Crimped Fibers = 37.8 g, Hooked End Fibers = 37.8 g)
Figure 2: Variation of Compressive strength of (Crimped fiber + Hooked End Fiber) reinforced concrete for 7 days with varying percentage of polymer

The compressive strength of HFRC for (Crimped fiber + Hooked End Fiber) combination enhances with increase in polymer quantity up to 15% and decreases beyond that. From table 2, it is observed that maximum strength of HFRC is 31.18 MPa. Change in strength with respect to polymer quantity is graphically denoted in the figure 2.

Table 3: Compressive strength test results of (Crimped fiber + Hooked End) Fiber Reinforced Concrete for 14 days

| Percentage of polymer added | Load (kN)      | Average load (kN) | 14 days compressive strength (MPa) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                             | Trial 1 | Trial 2 | Trial 3 |                              |                              |
| 0                           | 677.0  | 664.0   | 656.2   | 665.73                          | 29.59                         |
| 5                           | 337.31 | 493.06  | 587.67  | 472.68                          | 32.26                         |
| 10                          | 617.43 | 641.08  | 660.66  | 639.72                          | 32.76                         |
| 15                          | 681.59 | 698.75  | 711.24  | 697.19                          | 34.32                         |
| 20                          | 718.25 | 725.01  | 730.36  | 724.54                          | 34.63                         |
| 25                          | 732.86 | 735.06  | 737.38  | 735.1                           | 34.55                         |

Figure 3: Variation of Compressive strength of (Crimped fiber + Hooked End Fiber) reinforced concrete for 14 days with varying percentage of polymer
The compressive strength of HFRC for (Crimped fiber + Hooked End Fiber) combination enhances with increase in polymer quantity up to 15% and beyond that. From table 3, it is observed that maximum strength of HFRC is 34.63 MPa. Change in strength with respect to polymer quantity is graphically denoted in the figure 3.

| Percentage of polymer added | Load (kN) | Average load (kN) | 28 days compressive strength (MPa) |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Trial 1                     | Trial 2   | Trial 3           | 28 days compressive strength (MPa) |
| 0                           | 884.9     | 872.2             | 866.1                             |
| 5                           | 926.3     | 913.4             | 942.9                             |
| 10                          | 988.6     | 991.1             | 1013                              |
| 15                          | 1109.4    | 1118.7            | 1048.5                            |
| 20                          | 1007.1    | 1013.3            | 1017.7                            |
| 25                          | 997.4     | 981.4             | 972.8                             |

Figure 4: Variation of Compressive strength of (Crimped fiber + Hooked End Fiber) reinforced concrete for 28 days with varying percentage of polymer

The compressive strength of HFRC for (Crimped fiber + Hooked End Fiber) combination enhances with increase in polymer quantity up to 15% and decreases beyond that. From table 4, it is observed that maximum strength of HFRC is 48.54 MPa. Change in strength with respect to polymer quantity is graphically denoted in the figure 4.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The following conclusions can be drawn.

A. The workability of hybrid fiber reinforced concrete of unique combination such as Crimped + Hooked End had enhanced with increase in polymer quantity up to 15%, after which it has declined as measured from slump. Therefore at 15% of polymer content maximum workability is achieved for Crimped + Hooked End fiber combination.

B. The compressive strength of HFRC increases with increase in the amount of polymer quantity up to 15% and further decreases beyond that. The compressive strength of Crimped + Hooked End fiber combination was found to be 31.81 Mpa, 34.63 Mpa and 48.54 Mpa for 7, 14 and 28 days respectively.
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