Economic potentiality of *Colocasia esculenta* L. under multipurpose tree-based agroforestry systems
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**Abstract**

Taro (*Colocasia esculenta* L. cv. Latiraj) is a vegetable for many people in tropical and sub-tropical countries in the world. Because of large quantities of vitamins and minerals, it has considerable value in the food market. As the Taro has raised market demand, it needs to increase production as much as possible. Agroforestry would be a possible production approach for Taro as it can be practised in a partially shaded conditions. The research aimed to evaluate the performance of Taro under woody perennials of *Melia azedarach*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Albizia lebbeck* and sole crop cultivation to get potential production and high financial return. This experiment was followed a single factor Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The treatments were (1) sole cropping of Latiraj, (2) Latiraj-*Melia azedarach*, (3) Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* and (4) Latiraj-*Leucaena leucocephala*. The results showed the maximum number of stolon per plant (29.50) found in Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* and noted the minimum number of stolon (19.17) was in Latiraj-*Leucaena leucocephala*. Also, the highest stolon length (60.85 cm) and girth (3.33 cm) were observed in Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* and Latiraj-*Melia azedarach*, respectively. Moreover, the highest stolon yield (10.08 ton/ha) was obtained from Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* compared to (9.85 ton/ha) Latiraj sole cropping. The maximum benefit-cost ratio (4.78) was recorded in the Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck*, 24% higher than (3.62) Latiraj sole cropping for economic performance. Finally, it was found that the Taro cv. Latiraj cultivation under *Albizia lebbeck* as an agroforestry practice would be a sustainable strategy to maximize the production, touching financial benefit and ensuring the proper utilization of vacant woodlots space.
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Introduction

Panikachu (Colocasia esculenta L.) is one of the most common edible aroids belonging to the monocotyledonous Araceae family and extensively planted low-lying and swampy areas of the subtropical and tropical countries (Talwana et al., 2010; Mabhaudhi et al., 2014). The cultivar Latiraj (BARI panikachu 1) is well-known due to its stolon is a plenty source of vitamins and minerals (Temesgen and Retta, 2015). The stolon continually emerges from the base of the growing sucker corms. It is highly attractive, nutritive and delicious for cooking dish in everyday diet as it has good taste and non-acridity (Sen et al., 1998). Research revealed that the 100 g fresh stolon covers with iron 1.12 g, calcium, 38 mg, vitamin A 500 IU, vitamin-C 38 mg and food energy 35 Kilocalorie (Bhuiyan et al., 2008). In Bangladesh, this vegetable is very popular and it occupies an area of around 6,886 ha with a total stolon output of 38,502 tons and an average yield of 5.6 tons per hectare in 2016-2017 (BBS, 2017). The important issue is that this vegetable is appeared in the summer season, in the meanwhile the other vegetables are not as available in the market (Haque et al., 2013). Hassan (2017) reported that the urban morphology of major cities of Bangladesh is steadily increasing about 468% over the 41-years by replacing agricultural arable land, resulting in a decrease in crop and vegetation covering 61.91% and 27.77% over the last decade. Around 65% of the population currently resides in rural areas and their livelihoods are mainly based on agricultural activities (World Bank, 2016). Besides, Hanif and Bari (2013) mentioned that the present scheme of land use with separate allocations for agriculture and forestry would not be adequate to meet the demands of the people living in rural communities. Agriculture without degrading agro-ecosystem resources while preserving or improving efficiency, resilience or, in other terms, sustainability, is a well-known reality (Bargali et al., 2004; Padalia et al., 2015). The multi-food production system agroforestry that combines the trees and crops in the eco-friendly environment enhance the food safety, environmental stability and feasibility (Yasmin et al., 2010).

Agroforestry practices are being very popular, and time appropriate multi-production approach (Garrity, 2004) improves soil fertility and water holding capacity reduce soil erosion as well as conserve the biodiversity (Chakraborty et al., 2015). With the depletion of agricultural and forest resources, agroforestry can mitigate. It also relies sequentially or alongside seasonal trees on the planting of annual crops. It is a framework that can be ecological and environmentally responsive and assists people's socio-economic needs (Sharmin and Rabbi, 2016). It has compensated for the loss of agricultural and forestry land, increased public benefits, boosted ecosystem services, met the rural people's socio-economic requirements, and mitigated the climate change issue (Amin et al., 2017a; Reppin et al., 2020). The goods harvested from agroforestry activities (food crops and tree resources) serve the multidimensional needs of rural peoples (Rahman et al., 2012). Growing wages and the involvement of women in production activities further alleviate poverty (Rahman et al., 2017).

The fast-growing small crown deciduous nitrogen-fixing trees are chosen for agroforestry practices (Miah et al., 2002). Amin et al. (2017b) reported that Albizia lebbeck L. was found to have a significant role in achieving economic prosperity in an agroforestry-based production system. Instead, Leucaena leucocephala Lam. is commonly cultivated in subtropics, and tropics can provide an affordable source of plant biomass and help produce nutritious food. Melia azedarach L. is a deciduous tree widely spread in Asia, North America and Latin America renowned for its antioxidant use of leaf and fruit items that are environmentally friendly (Al-Rubae, 2009). Thus, there is a scope to use the vacant space of these woodlots to produce Taro cultivar Latiraj as agroforestry system by utilizing the natural resources for yield and economic benefits. According to the World Food Summit (1996), “Food security exists when all people, at all times, have physical, economic access to sufficient, safe, nutritious food that meets their dietary needs, food preferences for an active and healthy life”. The purpose of the study has been taken to evaluate the yield potentiality and the economic performance of Taro cv. Latiraj cultivated under Melia azedarach, Albizia lebbeck and Leucaena leucocephala based agroforestry with sole cropping.

Material and Methods

Study area

The experiment was conducted at Agroforestry and Environment Research Farm of Hajee Mohammad Danesh Science and Technology University, Dinajpur. The research area was situated between...
latitude (25º 13´) and longitude (88º 23´) with an average height of 37.5 m from the sea level. The soil of the experimental unit was a medium high land fitting to Agro-Ecological Zone (AEZ) No. 01 the old Himalayan Piedmont Plain Area (UNDP-FAO, 1988). The soil texture and the chemical composition of the studied area have shown in table 1.

Table-1: The physical and chemical properties of soil in experimental field

| Soil characters | Physical and chemical properties |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Sand (%)       | 67                              |
| Silt (%)       | 33                              |
| Clay (%)       | 5                               |
| Textural class | Sandy loam                      |
| CEC (meq/ 100g)| 8.0                             |
| pH             | 5.1                             |
| Organic matter (%) | 1.25                        |
| Total nitrogen (%) | 0.12                        |
| Sodium (meq/ 100g)| 0.05                        |
| Calcium (meq/ 100g)| 1.33                        |
| Magnesium (meq/ 100g)| 0.41                        |
| Potassium (meq/ 100g)| 0.25                        |
| Phosphorus (μg/g) | 25.0                          |
| Sulphur (μg/g)  | 3.1                             |
| Boron (μg/g)   | 0.28                            |
| Iron (μg/g)    | 5.30                            |
| Zinc (μg/g)    | 0.90                            |

Source: Soil Resources Development Institute, Dinajpur

In the research area, the maximum average air temperature (32.5 ºC) was in May, and the minimum average air temperature (21.37 ºC) was recorded in February. Additionally, the highest average rainfall was measured (22.8 mm) in May, and the lowest average rainfall (18.50 mm) was observed in March, but in February, no rain occurred. The relative humidity was found more or less the same during all the months of the study area (Figure 1).

Plant material

The fifteen (15) years old woodlots of Melia azedarach, Leucaena leucocephala and Albizia lebbeck were used for the present research work. The plant to plant and row to row distance of the woodlots were 3 meters with east-west plant geometry. The average Melia azedarach tree height, clean bole height, base girth, bole girth and diameter at breast height were 18.8 m, 13.5 m, 105 cm, 84.6 cm and 32 cm, respectively. Besides, the average Leucaena leucocephala tree height, clean bole height, base girth, bole girth and diameter at breast height were 20.20 m, 15.5 m, 95 cm, 80.0 cm and 30 cm, respectively. Likewise, the average Albizia lebbeck tree height, clean bole height, base girth, bole girth and diameter at breast height were 15.6 m, 10.5 m, 93 cm, 54.6 cm and 25 cm, respectively. The Latiraj (BARI panikachu 1) modern popular variety of Taro was the model crop.

Experimental layout and treatments

The experiment was laid out a single factor Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three (3) replications. The treatments were T1 = sole cropping of Latiraj (control treatment), T2 = Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry, T3 = Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry and T4 = Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry. The size of the individual experimental plot was 2.5m x 2.5m = 6.25 m². The total numbers of experimental plots were twelve (12).

Crop establishment

The land in the experimental plots was ploughed and the corners of the land not reached by the tillage machine were dug with a spade, and visibly larger clods were hammered to break them into small pieces. All weeds and stubbles were removed from the field. After ploughed the land, the organic manure cow dung (8 ton/ha) was applied (Rashid, 1993). Urea, triple superphosphate (TSP), muriate of potash (MP) and gypsum were applied as the source of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur (N-P-K-
S 110-45-105-24 kg/ha), respectively as recommended by Noor et al., 2015 for the Taro production. The mentioned doses of urea, TSP, MP and gypsum was applied at the time of final land preparation in the experimental plots. The 30 days aged seedlings of Latiraj were planted in the experimental plots randomly maintaining the distance line to line 50 cm and plant to plant distance 50 cm. Manual weeding was done as and when necessary to keep the plots completely free from all weeds. Provided Irrigations were throughout the growing period. The first one did at 15 days after planting. Subsequently, irrigations were given at 15 days intervals. After irrigation, the soil top surface was loosened to ensure good soil moisture.

Data collection
Data were recorded on the following parameters plant height, the number of leaves per plant, leaf length and leaf breadth at 30, 60 and 90 days after planting from the sample Latiraj plants during experimentation. Further, recorded yield and yield contributing characters (number of stolon per plant, stolon length, stolon girth, stolon yield per plot and stolon yield ton/ha). The price of stolon of Latiraj and the wood value of Melia azedarach, Leucaena leucocephala and Albizia lebbeck was estimated for economic analysis. The cost of cultivation, gross and net returns per hectare and benefit-cost ratio were calculated for the evaluation the of Taro economic benefit under Melia azedarach, Leucaena leucocephala and Albizia lebbeck along with sole cropping. Gross return is the monetary value of the total product and by-product and is measured by multiplying the total amount of production per hectare and market price. Net return = Gross return (US$ per ha) – Total cost of production (US$ per ha). Benefit-cost ratio is the ratio of gross return with total cost of production. It was calculated by using the following formula (Islam et al., 2004). Benefit-cost ratio = Gross return (US$ per ha) / Total cost of production (US$ per ha).

Statistical analysis
The statistical data analysis includes Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), which was accomplished using the computer package MSTAT-C software. The mean differences were arranged by (DMRT) the Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and Discussion
Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry system on the growth of Taro
Taro cv. Latiraj plant height was found statistically insignificant at 30 days after planting (DAP) (Table 2). But, at 60 DAP the plant height was found significantly different among the treatments. The highest plant height (60.54 cm) was recorded in (T₃) treatment Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system followed by (56.13 cm) Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system (T₄). The lowest plant height (50.33 cm) was noted in (T₁) Latiraj sole cropping that was similar to (50.93 cm) in (T₄) treatment. The trend of growing Taro plant height was changed dramatically in 90 DAP. The highest plant height (87.88 cm) was recorded in (T₂) treatment that was identical to (T₃) (87.20 cm) and (T₄) (85.57 cm) treatments. Conversely, the lowest plant height (81.84 cm) was noted in (T₁) sole cropping of Latiraj (Table 2). Hillman (1984) reported that plants cultivated in low light levels are more apical dominant than those grown in high light conditions resulting in taller plants under the shade. Growth features are typically used to imply species tolerance to light availability (Teixeira et al., 2015). From the findings, plant height was more prominent in Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system. Because of Latiraj plant received a good portion of the light that assists the photosynthesis activity. Cavatte et al. (2009) reported that sunlight affected the germination and growth of the plants.

Table-2: Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry systems on plant height of Taro

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) |
|------------|-------------------|
|            | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP |
| T₁         | 25.50 a | 50.33 c | 81.84 b |
| T₂         | 20.02 a | 60.54 a | 87.88 a |
| T₃         | 24.36 a | 56.13 b | 87.20 a |
| T₄         | 25.15 a | 50.93 c | 85.57 ab|
| CV%        | 27.04  | 1.81  | 1.97   |

Columns having the similar letters means that treatments do not differ significantly, and dissimilar letters mean that treatments differ significantly by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P ≤ 5%.

Number of leaves per plant of Latiraj was observed statistically significant at 60 and 90 DAP (Table 3). At 60 DAP, the maximum number of leaves per plant (5.80) was recorded in (T₃) Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck...
agroforestry system that was statistically identical to 5.67 and 5.60 was taken in both T₁ and T₂ treatments, respectively. Besides, the minimum number of leaves per plant (4.50) was observed in (T₄) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry. Finally, the maximum number of leaves per plant (6.17) was observed in (T₁) sole cropping of Latiraj, and the minimum number of leaves per plant (5.27) was observed in (T₄) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry at 90 DAP (Table 3). The results also supported by Gondim et al. (2007) mention that vegetative growth of Latiraj is to begin with moderately slow, afterwards, it progresses smoothly between two and three months with a subsequent leaf numbers, leaf area, petiole length and plant height. Pereira et al. (2006) was also found a significant leaves growth and development of Taro when it cultivated in a glasshouse. According to Onwueme and Johnston (2000), Taro grown in shade showed increased stomatal density in both the top and lower epidermis. This tatro reaction was proposed as a potential indication of the species more impressive shade adaptation, since shadowing happens often under normal growth circumstances in different cropping systems (for example, intercropping, alley cropping).

Table-3. Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry systems on leaves per plant of Taro

| Treatments | Number of leaves per plant |
|------------|---------------------------|
|            | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP |
| T₁         | 1.67 a  | 5.67 a  | 6.17 a  |
| T₂         | 1.53 a  | 5.60 a  | 5.80 b  |
| T₃         | 1.53 a  | 5.80 a  | 5.87 b  |
| T₄         | 1.53 a  | 4.50 b  | 5.27 c  |
| CV%        | 8.44    | 4.32    | 1.66    |

Columns having the similar letters means that treatments do not differ significantly, and dissimilar letters mean that treatments differ significantly by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P ≤ 5%.

It was found significantly different on leaf length at 30, 60 and 90 DAP (Table 4). Firstly, the highest leaf length (30.50 cm) was recorder in (T₄) treatment similar to (28.97 cm) in (T₂) treatment. The lowest leaf length (21.17 cm) was recorded in (T₁) sole cropping of Latiraj at 30 DAP. In the middle stage, at 60 DAP, the highest leaf length (45.43 cm) was observed in (T₄) treatment followed by (41.50 cm) in (T₂) Treatment. In contrast, the lowest leaf length (30.38 cm) was recorded in T₁ sole cropping of Latiraj. Lastly, at 90 DAP, the highest leaf length (65.80 cm) was found in (T₄) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry followed by (61.83 cm) in (T₂) Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system. The lowest leaf length (50.45 cm) was recorded in (T₁) sole cropping of Latiraj (Table 4). In agroforestry practice, the tree canopy shade can reduce the direct sun light to the plants, resulting the plants can receive the effective sun light that proceed the photosynthesis activities. In horticultural crops, it has been commonly assumed that long-term exposure to far-red (FR) initiates events resulting in higher carbohydrate accumulation in the leaves and roots than that of red (R) light received a plant, affecting fruit setting (Kasperbahuer, 2000; Glenn and Puterka, 2007). For this reason the leaf growth almost more in all the treatments compare to control sole cropping. Gondim et al. (2007) said that shade influenced the microclimate, reducing the air temperature brings better leaf growth.

Table-4: Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry systems on leaf length of Taro

| Treatments | Leaf length (cm) |
|------------|-----------------|
|            | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP |
| T₁         | 21.17 c  | 30.83 d  | 50.45 d  |
| T₂         | 28.97 a  | 41.50 b  | 61.83 b  |
| T₃         | 24.60 b  | 35.60 c  | 55.93 c  |
| T₄         | 30.50 a  | 45.43 a  | 65.80 a  |
| CV%        | 2.80    | 0.81    | 0.95    |

Columns having the similar letters means that treatments do not differ significantly, and dissimilar letters mean that treatments differ significantly by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P ≤ 5%.

Significantly varied leaf breadth was observed through the growing period of Taro (Table 5). At the early stage, 30 DAP the highest leaf breadth (11.60 cm) was recorded in (T₃) treatment which was identical to (11.33 cm) found in T₁ treatment. The lowest leaf breadth (8.23 cm) was recorded in T₁ Latiraj sole cropping which was similar to 8.83 cm in (T₂) treatment. At 60 DAP, the highest leaf breadth (26.83 cm) was observed in (T₄) treatment followed by 25.83 cm in (T₂) treatment. The lowest leaf breadth (18.67 cm) was recorded in sole cropping of Latiraj (T₁). Finally, at 90 DAP, the highest leaf breadth (35.83 cm) was found in (T₄) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry followed by (32.83 cm) in (T₂) Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system but the lowest leaf breadth...
(25.50 cm) was recorded in (T1) sole cropping of Latiraj (Table 5). Oliveira et al. (2011) noted that reducing light assists the leaf and root growth and development of Chinese Taro plants.

Table 5: Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry systems on leaf breadth of Taro

| Treatments | Leaf breadth (cm) |
|------------|------------------|
|            | 30 DAP | 60 DAP | 90 DAP |
| T1         | 8.23 b  | 18.67 d | 25.50 d |
| T2         | 11.60 a | 25.83 b | 32.83 b |
| T3         | 8.83 b  | 24.63 c | 30.50 c |
| T4         | 11.33 a | 26.83 a | 35.83 a |
| CV%        | 6.36    | 0.86    | 1.93    |

Columns having the similar letters means that treatments do not differ significantly, and dissimilar letters mean that treatments differ significantly by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P ≤ 5%.

Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry system on the yield of Taro

The stolon number per plant of Taro cv. Latiraj was found highly significant due to the effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry system. Table 6 showed that the highest stolon number per plant (29.50) was noted in Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system (T3) followed by 25.67 in T1 treatment. The lowest number of stolon per plant (19.17) was recorded in Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry (T4). The stolon number per plant was more as the Albizia lebbeck woodlots gave effective light penetration to the growing period of Taro. Under low light conditions, the distribution patterns of photoassimilates can shift but the moderate light condition may be lead the better photosynthesis of Taro. Usually, photoassimilates directed to the reserve organs are decreased and meristematic regions are expanded (Monim et al., 2010). In stolon length, we found an effective variation among the treatments. The results indicated that the tallest stolon length (60.85 cm) was recorded in ((T3) Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system followed by (60.75 cm) in (T1) sole cropping of Latiraj and (56.64 cm) in Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system (T2). But, the shortest stolon length (50.08 cm) was observed in (T4) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry. The stolon length is highly depending on the soil organic nitrogen condition and also the movement and exchange of the nutrients of the soil to the plants. Moklyachuk et al. (2019) mentioned that organic fertilizers and biological nitrogen fixation need to be utilized to preserve the productivity of the land. Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system plays a substantial role to restore the soil fertility. Behera et al. (2015) reported that multipurpose woodlot agroforestry a choice for restoring soil quality and upgrading salt-affected soils to further increase yields. The stolon girth of Latiraj was coined statistically significant by the effects of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry system. Significantly the maximum stolon girth (3.33 cm) was recorded in (T2) Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system which was followed by (3.29 cm) from Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system. On the other hand, the minimum stolon girth (1.30 cm) was observed in (T4) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry, respectively. The stolon yield kg/plot of Latiraj was found variedly significant among the treatments. We found the highest stolon yield per plot (6.30 kg) in Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system (T3) which was statistically identical to (6.16 kg) observed in sole cropping of Latiraj (T1) followed by (3.93 kg) in (T2) Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system. Besides, the lowest stolon yield per plot (2.18 kg) was measured in (T4) Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry (Table 6). Moreover, the highest stolon yield (10.08 ton/ha) was weighed in Latiraj-Albizia lebbeck agroforestry system (T3) and the second highest stolon yield (9.85 ton/ha) was found in (T1) sole cropping of Latiraj. Conversely, the lowest stolon yield (3.49 ton/ha) was measured in Latiraj-Leucaena leucocephala agroforestry (T4) followed by (7.42 ton/ha) in Latiraj-Melia azedarach agroforestry system (T2), respectively (Figure 2). According to van der Werf et al. (2007) tree-based agroforestry subsidize to modern diversified land usage, such as increased biodiversity and sustainability, decreased nitrogen leaching, protection against flooding and erosion, and landscape attractiveness. In the present research, Albizia lebbeck agroforestry practice creates an important collaboration with Taro production. Oyebamiji et al. (2017) express that Albizia lebbeck tree had high potentiality to accelerate the growth and yield of crops. As Lenhard et al. (2013) reported that plants below 70 % of shading had higher total rates of chlorophyll, leaf area and weight ratios. Albizia lebbeck is a deciduous tree it creates a moderate shade for the crops. An analysis on artificial shade on Taro (Colocasia esculenta) was performed by Gondim et al. (2018) and the results were the shading strength of 18 percent, over the entire cycle or in
some of the cycles tested, provides a high expansion of the development and growth of the crops. Furthermore, Gondim et al. (2018) also recommended to cultivate Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) in an intercropping system. The present research results state the performance of Taro *cv.* Latiraj is more potential and productive under *Albizia lebbeck* agroforestry practice.

Table 6. Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry systems on stolon number, length, girth and yield (kg/plot) of Taro

| Treatments | Stolon number per plant | Stolon length (cm) | Stolon girth (cm) | Yield (kg/plot) |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|
| T<sub>1</sub> | 23.67 b | 60.75 a | 3.11 c | 6.16 a |
| T<sub>2</sub> | 22.50 c | 56.64 b | 3.33 a | 3.39 b |
| T<sub>3</sub> | 29.50 a | 60.85 a | 3.29 b | 6.30 a |
| T<sub>4</sub> | 19.17 d | 50.08 c | 1.30 d | 2.18 c |
| CV% | 2.82 | 1.72 | 10.28 | 7.67 |

Columns having the similar letters means that treatments do not differ significantly, and dissimilar letters mean that treatments differ significantly by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P ≤ 5%.

Figure 2. Effect of multipurpose tree-based agroforestry system on stolon yield (ton/ha) of Taro

In the figure, bars having similar letters mean treatments do not differ significantly and dissimilar letters mean treatments significantly differ by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at P ≤ 5%.

Economic performance of Taro under multipurpose tree based agroforestry system

From table 7, we found a significant variation in cost of production, gross return, net return, and benefit-cost ratio in different treatments. The highest cost of production (US$ 1924.95 per ha) was recorded in (T<sub>4</sub>) Latiraj-*Leucaena leucocephala* followed by (US$ 1592.06 per ha) in (T<sub>2</sub>) treatment whereas, the lowest cost of production (US$ 960.35 per ha) was recorded in sole cropping of Latiraj (T<sub>1</sub>). The highest gross return (US$ 6145.89 per ha) was obtained from Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* (T<sub>3</sub>) and the lowest gross return (US$ 3349.41 per ha) was found in (T<sub>4</sub>) treatment. The maximum net return (US$ 4860.14 per ha) was recorded in (T<sub>3</sub>) treatment Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* agroforestry and the minimum net return (US$ 1424.46 per ha) was found in (T<sub>4</sub>) treatment Latiraj-*Leucaena leucocephala* agroforestry. The benefit-cost ratio (4.78) was recorded maximum from Latiraj-*Albizia lebbeck* agroforestry (T<sub>3</sub>) followed by (3.62) found in Latiraj sole cropping production (T<sub>1</sub>) and the minimum benefit-cost ratio (1.74) was observed in Latiraj-*Leucaena leucocephala* agroforestry (T<sub>4</sub>), respectively. In the research findings, we found the benefit-cost ratio of Taro *cv.* Latiraj under *Albizia lebbeck* agroforestry higher than *Melia azedarach* and *Leucaena leucocephala* agroforestry and also sole cropping. Thus, we can say commercially Taro *cv.* Latiraj production under *Albizia lebbeck* agroforestry will be more profitable and sustainable crop production approach for higher return of money. Amin et al. (2017a) noted that onion production under *Albizia lebbeck* tree-based agroforestry practises has the highest benefit-cost ratio when compared to current farming strategies; agroforestry practises would provide numerous sales opportunities without increasing risk and have a greater potential for addressing environmental issues than current farming methods for acceptance (AFTA, 2006).

Table 7. The gross return, the net return, total cost of production and benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of Taro cultivated under *Albizia lebbeck*, *Melia azedarach*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and sole cropping

| Treatments | Taro | *Melia azedarach* | *Albizia lebbeck* | *Leucaena leucocephala* | Gross return ($/ha) | Total cost of production ($/ha) | Net return ($/ha) | BCR |
|------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| T<sub>1</sub> | 3476.47 | ……… | ……… | ……… | 3476.47 | 960.35 | 2516.12 | 3.62 |
| T<sub>2</sub> | 1912.94 | 1764.71 | ……… | ……… | 3677.65 | 1592.06 | 2085.59 | 2.31 |
| T<sub>3</sub> | 3557.65 | ……… | 2588.24 | ……… | 6145.89 | 1285.75 | 4860.14 | 4.78 |
| T<sub>4</sub> | 1231.76 | ……… | ……… | 2171.65 | 3349.41 | 1924.95 | 1424.46 | 1.74 |

Note: Taro *cv.* Latiraj stolon market price (US$ 0.35/kg), *Melia azedarach* (US$ 3.53/Tree/Year), *Albizia lebbeck* (US$ 5.88/Tree/Year) and *Leucaena leucocephala* (US$ 4.12/Tree/Year).
Conclusion

The research finding has explored that Taro cv. Latiraj can significantly grow under Albizia lebbeck multipurpose woodlot-based agroforestry with effectively and economically. These combined production techniques potentially are occupied a dynamic part for bringing more than four times higher economic return on investment. Finally, the research results may be an effective outline for the government forest department as they can use their forest space to produce Taro. Furthermore, these research findings would broaden the opportunity to extend the Taro production under the other woody perennials in the agroforestry system.
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