Hardware Implementation of FUZZY-SMC based Speed Control of BLDC Motor
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Abstract—In recent times, BLDC motors are most popular motors in industry due to their vast speed range, efficiency and low maintenance. There are many control methods invented to control speed of BLDC motors. Some of these are PI, SMC, PID, FUZZY, FUZZY-PID, &FUZZY-SMC etc. FUZZY SMC control system is a control system where the speed control is obtained by sliding mode control system and its chattering and slow response is controlled by using fuzzy logic by adjusting gain of control term. This paper presents hardware implementation for the speed control of BLDC motor using FUZZY-SMC controller and the efficiency of the proposed system is observed with simulated and hardware results in terms of settling time, overshoot, undershoot etc.
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I  INTRODUCTION

BLDC motor is as superior as dc motor but its commutation is done electronically. Losses in the BLDC motor are less due to electronic commutation and hence this motor is gaining rapid attention in industry. Commutation is nothing but linkage between drive state and respective sensor output. BLDC motor consists of three phases connected with star or delta connection. This motor operates by energization of two phases with one phase floating. So basically main action of commutation is to sense position of rotor and accordingly energies phases which will leads to maximum torque. Maximum torque is obtained when the permanent magnet rotor is 90 degrees away from the stator magnetic field. Generally Hall Effect sensors are used and they give high and low signal for each 180 degree and they are placed 120 degree apart from each other. During running condition of motor, the permanent magnet rotor moving behind the stator coils induces an EMF in the coils called Back Electromotive Force and is directly proportional to the motor speed. If back EMF and applied voltage are equal i.e. ideal condition, then motor will draw excess current which will blow out switches in the drive circuitry Practically back EMF is always less than applied voltage. Speed of motor is directly proportional to applied voltage hence by varying PWM linearly will result in linear speed control. In this paper, section II presents drive circuitry for BLDC motor, section III presents various speed control techniques for BLDC motor. Section IV presents control algorithms used in the proposed system. Section V presents hardware setup and components used. Section VI and VII presents simulated and hardware results. Section VIII and IX presents performance comparison between control systems and conclusion respectively.

II VSI FED BLDC MOTOR

Fig 1 shows VSI fed BLDC motor, both high side and low side drivers should not conduct at same time because off time is more than on time so until and unless complete commutation of high side driver is done, low side driver should not conduct .No drivers in the same leg should conduct at a time to avoid short circuit which is called dead time.

III SPEED CONTROL TECHNIQUE

For speed control of BLDC motor there are many control systems developed like PI, fuzzy-PID, SMC, FUZZY etc. The suitability of any control system is depends on its response time, dynamic load conditions, robustness and cost. The system which fulfils all these conditions is said to be a good control system.

A. PI controller:
PI controller is a most commonly used controller. It increases order as well as type of the system. Steady state error decreases with increase in type and order of the system [3].The tuning of gain is the most important task in PI controller it minimizes error. PI has slower response because of integrator and there should be proper tuning of gains so as to maintain stability of system.

B. Sliding Mode Controller:
Sliding mode control system is one of the type of variable structure control which makes continuous switching of control based on present state of the system. Sliding mode control is gaining popularity due to its robustness. Sliding mode is invariant to any variations in system parameters [9]. Sliding mode reduces order of the system. In case of ideal
sliding mode, robustness property is maintained by control which brings the system close to $S = 0$. But practically the system has limit cycle behavior and therefore chattering is always there. [9] Chattering is high frequency oscillation which makes system oscillate continuously. This is the biggest disadvantage of sliding mode controller. The sliding surface is designed with error term, its derivative and integration with appropriate gains.

$$S = \dot{e} + \beta_1 e + \beta_2 \int e \, dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The control input is decided such that it will make error trajectories to converge to the sliding surface. It is given by,

$$u = -K\text{sign}(S)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This control law is used to reach the sliding surface.

C. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROLLER:-

Fuzzy logic is a system in which there is no need to define system parameters exactly. It has predefined boundaries up to which the output lies. Fuzzy logic system operates on three processes, fuzzification, inference and defuzzification [10].

1 Fuzzification: Fuzzy logic control is based on linguistic variable, so conversion of physical input to linguistic variables is called fuzzification.

2 Inference: Inference consists of a set of IF-Then rules which are established for decision making. Fuzzy rules are nothing but a process for control action. Control action is based on how rules are defined. By proper adjustment of membership function and fuzzy rules, controller’s performances is improved.

3 Defuzzification: Defuzzification converts the fuzzy quantity into crisp one. After decision making, the output of fuzzy logic controller should be a real physical output so that we can use it in our control system.

D FUZZY SMC:

Sliding mode control is robust technique and it has faster response than other control systems but it has chattering problem which cannot be overcome easily therefore by combining sliding mode control system and fuzzy logic control system one can achieve better results [3]. Sliding surface and its derivative are given as the input to the fuzzy logic controller. Output of fuzzy controller is used to adjust gain $K$ in control law of sliding mode control system so that chattering of the system is reduced [2].

IV. CONTROL METHOD

Fig 2 represents block diagram of the FUZZY SMC based speed controller. 12 v power supply is given to motor through SMPS. Current sensor senses any change in current and gives equivalent voltage at its output which is then given to the controller. Drive circuit contains inverter drive circuitry which is used to change voltage across the motor based on application. BLDC motor is fed from inverter and the PWM pulses are given to inverter through controller and hence voltage across BLDC motor changes according to control action taken by the controller. Speed of motor is sensed by using IR sensor.
In the proposed system, 40 watt, 12 v 1500 rpm BLDC motor is used. ACS712 current sensor is used. This sensor provides economical and precise current sensing. It has linear hall sensor circuit with copper conduction band the current to be sensed flows through copper band and generates magnetic field accordingly. This magnetic field is sensed by Hall Effect sensor and equivalent voltage is produced at the output. This analog output is then given to the microcontroller. HW IR201 sensor is used to sense speed and for observing speed variations. It is nothing but infrared obstacle detection. It transmits IR signal in a direction and signal is received at the IR receiver when radiation bounce back from surface of the object. It operates on 3-5v power supply and can detect signal from 2 to 30 cm distance. Controller is the heart of the hardware system. In the proposed system, PIC18F4520 microcontroller from pic18Ffamily is chosen. It is a 40 pin IC. PWM pulses are generated from controller and given to the drive circuitry of inverter. The Pic kit 3 programmer is used in the proposed system which will program the controller.

VI SIMULATION RESULTS:
1KW, 500V BLDC motor is tested in MATLAB Simulink. Figure 5 presents simulated results for FUZZYSMC controller for 900 rpm for 50% and 100% loading at 0.1.
From figure 4, when sudden loading is applied at 0.1 sec, speed response goes through undershoot for 50% it is 180 rpm while for 100% it is 350 rpm but it is settling to 900 rpm in less than 0.02 sec.

VII. HARDWARE RESULTS:

I. On NO load:
The proposed system is tested for 40 watt BLDC motor for various speed and load variation accordingly observation is made.

II. On load with sliding mode controller:
For 900 rpm:

Figure 7 and 8 shows no load speed responses for 900 rpm.
Figure 7 and 8 shows speed responses for 50 and 100% loading respectively for SMC controller.

III. ON load with FUZZYSMC controller:
For 900 rpm

Figure 9 and 10 shows speed responses for 50% and 100% loading for FUZZYSMC controller. It is observed that in FUZZYSMC controller is better than sliding mode controller in terms of settling time, overshoot, undershoot etc.

VIII. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF SMC AND FUZZYSMC CONTROLLER

SMC and FUZZYSMC both systems are tested for sudden load variations and comparison is done in terms of settling time, overshoot, undershoot etc. Table I represents performance comparison of SMC and FUZZYSMC controller.

| Speed | Loading condition | With SMC controller | With FUZZYSMC controller |
|-------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
|       | Setting Time (msec) | Overshoot (mvolt) | Undershoot (mvolt) | Setting Time (msec) | Overshoot (mvolt) | Undershoot (mvolt) |
| 900   | 1200               | 200                 | 100                      | 450              | 130               | 30                |
|       | 1700               | 320                 | 100                      | 1500             | 290               | 100               |
| 1500  | 2000               | 340                 | 100                      | 1200             | 200               | 90                |
|       | 2100               | 400                 | 400                      | 1540             | 200               | 100               |

IX CONCLUSION:

Simulation model and hardware implementation of FUZZYSMC controller is developed. Performance of both the systems are observed for different loading conditions. Conclusion is made that FUZZYSMC controller required less settling time than SMC controller. Overshoot and Undershoot values are less in FUZZYSMC controller. In both cases value of K plays important role in control. It is preferred to change K dynamically itself to have better control and reduce chattering.
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