Application methods of *Azospirillum brasilense* in first- and second-crop corn
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ABSTRACT: This study investigated whether inoculation of *Azospirillum brasilense* in the seeds or sowing furrow could reduce the need for nitrogen fertilizer application in the corn grown in the first and second crop harvest. The experiments were conducted, in the municipality of Chapadão do Sul, MS, Brazil, in the first crop harvest (2014/2015) and in the second crop harvest (2016). The early maturity hybrids CD 384 HX and P3132H were used in the first and the second harvest corn, respectively. In both experiments, the experimental design was the randomized blocks with eight treatments and three repetitions. At the silking (R1 stage), the leaf N concentration, relative chlorophyll index and nitrate reductase activity were measured, at the physiological maturity (R6 stage), the plant height, first ear insertion height, one hundred grain mass, grain yield and grain N concentration were measured. In the first crop harvest, the application of *Azospirillum brasilense* at the sowing furrow resulted in higher N concentration and relative chlorophyll index in corn leaves, while the inoculation of *A. brasilense* in the seeds provided higher N concentration in the grains. The nitrate reductase activity in corn leaves was lower when inoculation was performed. In the second crop harvest, the inoculation did not affect the N concentration in the leaves and in the grains, the relative chlorophyll index, and the growth of corn plants. Inoculation in the sowing furrow associated with the application of 20 kg N ha⁻¹ at sowing and 120 kg N ha⁻¹ at topdressing resulted in lower nitrate reductase activity.
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**Introduction**

High grain yield levels of cereal crops, such as corn, can be achieved when large amounts of fertilizers are applied, especially nitrogen fertilizer. Nitrogen is one of the nutrients most required by corn crop and is related to the leaf area index, biomass accumulation and grain yield (França et al., 2011). However, optimization of the nitrogen use efficiency is fundamental to obtain high crop grain yield, reduce the production cost and reduce the environmental and economic damages related to the inadequate use or low efficiency of the fertilization. In this sense, the association of cereal crops with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria may represent one of the most promising alternatives to promote plant growth, soil management and environmental quality.

Regardless of soil N availability, the absorption efficiency is the most important component of the N use efficiency (Vale et al., 2012), creating the possibility of using the bacterium Azospirillum brasilense. Martins et al. (2017) used corn seeds inoculated with *A. brasilense* and reported a higher N recovery provided by mineral fertilization, which increased the grain yield of the crop. Ferreira et al. (1987) and Pereira-Defilippi et al. (2017) verified changes in the nitrate reductase (NR) activity, an enzyme directly related to the N metabolism of the plant.

However, the response to inoculation of *A. brasilense* can vary with the plant genotype (Brusamarello-Santos et al., 2017), edaphoclimatic conditions (Martins et al., 2017), inoculation method and N availability in the growing medium (Pereira-Defilippi et al., 2017). Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate whether inoculation of *A. brasilense* in the seeds or sowing furrow can reduce the need for N fertilizer application in the corn grown in the first and second crop harvest.

**Material and Methods**

The experiments were carried out during the 2014/2015 growing season (1st harvest corn) and 2016 growing season (2nd harvest corn), in a deep Oxisol at the Universidade Federal do Mato Grosso do Sul, Campus of Chapadão do Sul, MS, Brazil. The regional climate, according to the Köppen classification is Aw, characterized as tropical climate with a mean annual temperature of 22.7 °C, and mean annual rainfall of 1,598 mm. The daily rainfall and temperature data gathered during the period of the experiments are shown in Figure 1.

Before starting the experiments, the soil was sampled from the 0-0.20 m layer for the chemical characterization. The main chemical properties were as follow: pH in CaCl₂ of 4.7, 29.5 g dm⁻³ of organic matter, 11.6 mg dm⁻³ of P (Resin), 5.3 cmol dm⁻³ of H + Al, 0.26 cmol dm⁻³ of K⁺, 2.3 cmol dm⁻³ of Ca²⁺, 0.5 cmol dm⁻³ of Mg²⁺, 8.4 cmol dm⁻³ of cation exchange capacity and 37% of soil base saturation.

The experiments were arranged in a complete randomized block design with eight treatments (Table 1) and three repetitions. The experimental units consisted of five 5.0-m long rows, with 0.45 m between rows. The observation area comprised the three central rows of each plot, disregarding 0.50 m of each edge, totaling 5.4 m².

The triple hybrid CD 384 HX was sown in the first corn crop (2014/2015 season), while in the second crop (2016...
application, the soil had optimum moisture conditions to provide adequate dissolution of the fertilizer and minimize the losses of N by ammonia volatilization.

In the first crop harvest, weed management was carried out with the post-emergence herbicide tembotrione (108.8 + 1000 g ha⁻¹ of the active ingredient). The application was performed in the V4 stage. Pest and disease control were not necessary. In the second crop harvest, weed management was performed with two herbicide applications, the first at sowing and the second at 42 days after emergence (DAE) using tembotrione (180 mL ha⁻¹ of commercial product) + atrazine (5.0 L ha⁻¹ of commercial product). Pest control was performed with two sprays, the first at seeding and the second at 46 DAE using methomyl (0.4 L ha⁻¹ of the active ingredient) + (imidacloprid + beta-cyfluthrin) (700 mL ha⁻¹ of commercial product). The applications of the phytosanitary products were carried out with tractor sprayer, using a spraying volume equivalent to 150 L ha⁻¹.

At the silking (R1 stage), 15 corn leaves (opposite and below the spike) per plot were sampled according to the methodology of Malavolta et al. (1997). In the laboratory, leaves were washed with deionized water, oven-dried at 65 °C for 72 h, and finely ground in a Willey mill. Total N concentration was determined by the Kjeldahl method with digestion in sulfuric acid solution and vapor distillation as described by Silva & Queiroz (2006). In the laboratory, leaves were washed, cleaned and weighed, and the grain yield was estimated after the correction of grain weights to 13% of moisture. The mass of 1,000 grains was determined by the average of three measurements of 100 grains taken at random. A sample of corn grains per plot was taken to determine the total N concentration. The samples were dried, ground and subjected to N determination, according to the methodology described by Malavolta et al. (1997).

Data were submitted to analysis of variance separately for each experiment and the means of the treatments grouped by the Scott-Knott test at the p ≤ 0.05.

Results and Discussion

The inoculation of *A. brasilense* modified the concentrations of N in the corn leaf tissue. The treatments that resulted in higher leaf N concentration in the 1st crop harvest was with *N* fertilizer application and without inoculation (US + N1 and US + N2) or with the application of *A. brasilense* in the sowing furrow (IF + N1 and IF + N2). In the 2nd crop harvest, the treatments did not influence the leaf N concentration in the corn plants (Table 2).

The leaf N concentration is highly related to the amount of nutrient absorbed by the plants (Gazola et al., 2014). These authors reported a positive correlation between the increase of N doses applied with leaf N concentration, plant height and grain yield of the second crop harvest. The highest concentration of N in the corn leaves reported by these authors was 24.2 g kg⁻¹ of N; this concentration is close to the average of nutrient absorbed by the plants (Gazola et al., 2014).

| Treatments          | Description (1st crop harvest)                                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| US + N1             | Uninoculated seeds + 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing               |
| US + N2             | Uninoculated seeds + 20 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing              |
| IS + N0             | Inoculant applied at seeds, not fertilized with nitrogen                                      |
| IS + N1             | Inoculant applied at seeds + 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing       |
| IS + N2             | Inoculant applied at seeds + 20 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing      |
| IF + N0             | 500 mL ha⁻¹ of inoculant applied at sowing furrow, not fertilized with nitrogen                |
| IF + N1             | 500 mL ha⁻¹ of inoculant applied at sowing furrow + 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing |
| IF + N2             | 500 mL ha⁻¹ of inoculant applied at sowing furrow + 20 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing |

| Treatments          | Description (2nd crop harvest)                                                               |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| US + N1             | Uninoculated seeds + 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing               |
| US + N2             | Uninoculated seeds + 20 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing              |
| IS + N0             | Inoculant applied at seeds, not fertilized with nitrogen                                      |
| IS + N1             | Inoculant applied at seeds + 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing       |
| IS + N2             | Inoculant applied at seeds + 20 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing      |
| IF + N0             | 500 mL ha⁻¹ of inoculant applied at sowing furrow, not fertilized with nitrogen                |
| IF + N1             | 500 mL ha⁻¹ of inoculant applied at sowing furrow + 10 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 50 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing |
| IF + N2             | 500 mL ha⁻¹ of inoculant applied at sowing furrow + 20 kg ha⁻¹ of N at sowing + 100 kg ha⁻¹ of N at topdressing |

Table 1. Treatments used and their description
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in this treatment was 32.1% higher than the average of the treatments without inoculation (9.87 g kg\(^{-1}\)) and 27.5% higher than the mean values obtained with the application of \textit{A. brasilense} in the sowing furrow (10.22 g kg\(^{-1}\)). In the 2\textsuperscript{nd} crop harvest, the treatments did not result in significant effect on the N concentration of the grains.

The results presented here reported differences in the responses to N accumulation in the corn grains when inoculation was performed in the sowing furrow or seeds. While the application of \textit{A. brasilense} in the seeds increases the concentration of N in the foliar tissues, in the sowing furrow resulted in lower N concentrations in the corn grains. The establishment or colonization of the bacterium is a point to be considered since the availability of N in the soil can limit its relationship with the host plant (Pereira-Defilippi et al., 2017).

In some studies, positive responses in grain yield or nitrate reductase activity (NR) with inoculation of \textit{A. brasilense} in seeds were obtained using a higher concentration of viable cells in their treatments (5 × 10\(^{10}\) viable cells mL\(^{-1}\)) (Martins et al., 2017; Pereira-Defilippi et al., 2017). Thus, the effects of the bacteria when inoculated in the sowing furrow can be seen in the higher N concentration and lower NR activity in the leaves.

The NR activity was influenced by the two maize growing seasons (Table 2). In the 1\textsuperscript{st} crop harvest, the highest value was obtained in the treatment with inoculation of \textit{A. brasilense} in the seeds associated with the highest dose of N fertilizer application (IS + N2). In the 2\textsuperscript{nd} crop harvest, the highest NR activity was verified when \textit{A. brasilense} was applied to the sowing furrow associated with the lowest N fertilizer dose (IF + N1).

About the N concentration in the corn grains, some studies have shown that N fertilization can increase the protein content of the grains (Goron et al., 2017; Martins et al., 2017). These authors also reported that N fertilization had more impact on the nutritional quality of the grains, while the inoculation of \textit{A. brasilense} had a more pronounced effect on the increase of grain yield.

However, Duete et al. (2009) argued that the nutrient availability induces the accumulation of N in corn grains at certain plant growth stages, which reported in their study the greater use of N by the grains when applied in the V8 stage. However, there is evidence that the efficiency of N uptake is reduced only from the crop flowering. Thus, the influence of the bacteria on the grain N concentration observed in this study may be a result of later supply of N. Another study that evidenced this fact was carried out by Silva et al. (2005), which obtained an increase in yield and protein concentration of corn grains with N fertilizer application after the silking (R1 stage). Thus, they suggest that hybrids more responsive to N fertilization retain these characteristics, maintaining the nitrogen uptake by the roots and their remobilization to the seeds.

Among the metabolic responses resulting from the action of \textit{A. brasilense}, it is possible to highlight the greater development of the roots, which allows for a greater recovery of N applied (Martins et al., 2017). This increase in roots is due to higher hormonal activity, mainly auxins (Puente et al., 2017) and the symbiotic fixation of N\(_2\) (Brusamarello-Santos et al., 2017). It should be noted that the colonization and metabolic production capacity of the bacteria are dependent on the genotypes (Brusamarello-Santos et al., 2017), edaphoclimatic conditions (Martins et al., 2017) and soil N availability (Pereira-Defilippi et al., 2017). In other cereal crops, the gain in N accumulation in the grains in inoculated plants, such as wheat and barley, has been reported (Souza et al., 2014; Barzotto et al., 2018).

Ferreira et al. (1987) evaluated the inoculation of wheat seeds with \textit{A. brasilense} (strain Sp7 = ATCC29.145, Sp 107st, Sp 245 and 5NR, mutants obtained from SP245) and its effect on NR activity in roots and shoots. The results showed that the NR activity was always higher in the presence of the bacteria, suggesting a bacterial nitrate reductase activity, and when this evaluation occurred in high nitrate availability in the growth medium, it was verified that the nitrate translocation to the reduction in the plant shoots decreased, but the NR activity remained high in the roots, indicating that the nutrient was translocated in its reduced and assimilable form, which led to the greater dry matter accumulation of the plants. The N concentration of the plant tissues was not influenced by inoculation of \textit{A. brasilense}, but less soluble N was obtained in the inoculated plants, indicating its greater assimilation.

Pereira-Defilippi et al. (2017) reported that \textit{A. brasilense} is capable of stimulating the transcription of genes encoding nitrate reductase enzyme in corn plants. The size of this stimulus is affected by the inoculation method, by the availability of N, and especially by the genetic material used.

---

**Table 2.** Leaf nitrogen concentration, grain nitrogen concentration and nitrate reductase activity as affected by the application methods of \textit{Azospirillum brasilense} associated to the doses of nitrogen fertilizer application in the corn grown in the first (2014/2015) and in the second crop harvest (2016).

| Treatments | Leaf N concentration (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | Grain N concentration (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | Nitrate reductase activity (μmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|            | 1\textsuperscript{st} harvest\(^2\) | 2\textsuperscript{nd} harvest\(^2\) | 1\textsuperscript{st} harvest\(^2\) | 2\textsuperscript{nd} harvest\(^2\) |
| US + N1    | 25.30 a                             | 26.84 a                             | 10.03 d                                      | 12.81 a                                      |
| US + N2    | 26.83 a                             | 25.31 a                             | 9.71 d                                       | 14.87 a                                      |
| IS + N0    | 20.09 c                             | 23.67 a                             | 10.92 c                                      | 14.03 a                                      |
| IS + N1    | 21.53 b                             | 24.69 a                             | 13.04 a                                      | 14.53 a                                      |
| IS + N2    | 21.07 c                             | 26.31 a                             | 11.13 c                                      | 14.44 a                                      |
| IF + N0    | 20.97 c                             | 23.69 a                             | 11.94 b                                      | 13.31 a                                      |
| IF + N1    | 25.73 a                             | 24.76 a                             | 8.33 e                                       | 13.58 a                                      |
| IF + N2    | 27.45 a                             | 24.42 a                             | 10.40 d                                      | 14.13 a                                      |
| F value    | 23.50\(^{ab}\)                      | 1.28\(^{ab}\)                       | 43.20\(^{ab}\)                               | 2.39\(^{ab}\)                               |
| CV (%)     | 1.82                                | 7.48                                | 3.53                                         | 8.97                                         |

\(^{1}\) Details of treatments are given in Table 1
\(^{2}\) Mean followed by the same letter in the columns, do not differ by the Scott-Knott test at p ≤ 0.05
Pereira-Defilippi et al. (2017) showed that while corn hybrids obtained distinct increases in gene expression, the varieties did not respond to inoculation.

Reis-Júnior et al. (2008) reported that the variability of the results of *A. brasilense* inoculation research had been commonly observed, mainly due to inconsistency in root colonization, inoculum survival problems or environmental conditions unfavorable to the bacterium. Thus, the treatments used affected the capacity of bacterial nitrate reductase production in different modes. The treatments with inoculation in the sowing furrow and N fertilization in the 1<sup>st</sup> crop corn (IF + N1 and IF + N2), followed by inoculation in the seeds in association with the lower N application dose (IS + N1) (Table 2) resulted in low NR activity and high N concentration in corn leaves, indicating a lower amount of N absorbed by the roots and consequently lower NR enzyme activity in the plant shoots, as proposed by Ferreira et al. (1987).

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> crop harvest, the mean value of treatments for NR activity was 2.61 μmol g<sup>–1</sup> h<sup>–1</sup>, while in the 1<sup>st</sup> crop harvest it was 2.05 μmol g<sup>–1</sup> h<sup>–1</sup>. Only the treatment with inoculation in the sowing furrow associated with high N dose (IF + N2) had lower NR activity in the leaves. The lower availability of water may have caused a lower supply of N applied, resulting in less activity of the enzyme in the leaves.

The relative chlorophyll index (RCI) was significantly influenced by treatments only in the 1<sup>st</sup> crop corn (Table 3). The treatments with inoculation of *A. brasilense* in the sowing furrow had higher RCI when compared to treatments with inoculation in the seeds.

As previously reported, Ferreira et al. (1987) found that the presence of *A. brasilense* in the roots and consequent reduction of N by the bacterial nitrate reductase provided greater growth of the wheat plants, but did not alter the leaf N concentration. The authors verified reduction in soluble N in the leaves, indicating greater assimilation of this nutrient. This is interesting, since the excess of N in the leaves, if not metabolized, cannot be incorporated into the chlorophyll molecule, and is not detected by the chlorophyll meter (Blackmer & Schepers, 1994).

In the 1<sup>st</sup> crop harvest, the plant height was higher in the treatments with *A. brasilense* application or in the treatments that were only applied to the higher N fertilizer dose (US + N2), except when the bacterium was applied in the sowing furrow and associated with a higher dose of N fertilizer (IF + N2) (Table 3). In the 2<sup>nd</sup> crop harvest, there was no difference in plant height. This also occurred for the first ear insertion height, with difference only in the 1<sup>st</sup> crop harvest, but the treatment with *A. brasilense* application in the sowing furrow associated with the higher N dose (IF + N2) resulted in higher ear insertion height, followed by treatment with inoculation in the seeds and higher N fertilizer dose (IS + N2), while the other treatments had the lowest values.

Some authors have related the higher plant height of corn to plant-bacterial interaction through the production of plant hormones (Hungria et al., 2011; Kappes et al., 2013), but also to the greater use of N, which may influence growth of plants (Repke et al., 2013). This was verified in this study, in the first crop harvest, when the treatments without inoculation (US + N1 and US + N2) were compared. Even plants not fertilized with N, but inoculated with *A. brasilense*, presented higher height, except when *A. brasilense* was applied in the sowing furrow in association with the highest N dose (IF + N2). In the 2<sup>nd</sup> crop harvest, the climatic conditions may have reduced the response to N, since there was no rainfall in approximately three weeks after the application of the N fertilizer in topdressing (Figure 1). According to Martins et al. (2017), climatic conditions may affect plant response to inoculation.

As reported by Sangoi et al. (2002), plant height and ear insertion height are strongly influenced by the balance of hormones in plant growth zones, especially the auxin concentration. When the plants have greater accumulation in the stem growth regions and male inflorescence, changes in the tissues that lead to greater plant height and greater ear insertion height can occur, due to the delay in the female floral differentiation about the male inflorescence. As *A. brasilense* is known for the production of auxins, plant morphological changes may be due to this plant-bacterial interaction.

The mass of 1,000 grains was not influenced by treatments in the 1<sup>st</sup> crop harvest (Table 4). In the 2<sup>nd</sup> crop harvest, the mass of 1,000 grains was higher with the application of the higher N fertilizer dose and in the absence of inoculation (US + N2) or when the *A. brasilense* was applied in the sowing furrow (IF + N2).

For the corn grain mass, a great variation has been observed in the studies with plants inoculated with *A. brasilense* or

---

**Table 3.** Relative chlorophyll index, plant height and first ear insertion height as affected by the application methods of *Azospirillum brasilense* associated to the doses of nitrogen fertilizer application in the corn grown in the first (2014/2015) and in the second crop harvest (2016)

| Treatments<sup>1</sup> | Relative chlorophyll index | Plant height | First ear insertion height |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
|                         | 1<sup>st</sup> harvest<sup>2</sup> | 2<sup>nd</sup> harvest<sup>2</sup> | 1<sup>st</sup> harvest<sup>2</sup> | 2<sup>nd</sup> harvest<sup>2</sup> | 1<sup>st</sup> harvest<sup>2</sup> | 2<sup>nd</sup> harvest<sup>2</sup> |
| US + N1                | 50.46 b                     | 47.20 a       | 1.54 b                    | 1.70 a                     | 0.60 c                  | 0.57 a                  |
| US + N2                | 57.10 b                     | 48.68 a       | 1.93 a                    | 1.85 a                     | 0.61 c                  | 0.56 a                  |
| IS + N0                | 57.68 b                     | 48.68 a       | 1.94 a                    | 1.73 a                     | 0.64 c                  | 0.60 a                  |
| IS + N1                | 52.12 c                     | 47.61 a       | 1.89 a                    | 1.75 a                     | 0.62 c                  | 0.59 a                  |
| IS + N2                | 52.93 c                     | 49.02 a       | 1.91 a                    | 1.74 a                     | 0.71 b                  | 0.61 a                  |
| IF + N0                | 59.61 a                     | 48.83 a       | 1.94 a                    | 1.65 a                     | 0.61 c                  | 0.56 a                  |
| IF + N1                | 61.98 a                     | 48.33 a       | 1.88 a                    | 1.71 a                     | 0.56 c                  | 0.62 a                  |
| IF + N2                | 61.72 a                     | 47.28 a       | 1.83 b                    | 1.64 a                     | 0.76 b                  | 0.62 a                  |
| F value                | 15.53<sup>**</sup>          | 0.169<sup>*</sup> | 4.61<sup>**</sup>          | 0.64<sup>*</sup>          | 13.80<sup>**</sup>       | 0.92<sup>*</sup>        |
| CV (%)                 | 2.79                        | 6.48          | 1.82                      | 5.41                       | 4.83                    | 7.58                    |

<sup>1</sup> Details of treatments are given in Table 1

<sup>2</sup> Mean followed by the same letter in the columns, do not differ by the Scott-Knott test at p ≤ 0.05

---
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fertilized with N. Some results show that N availability may potentiate mass gain in grains, and consequently increase in grain weight with the application of high doses of N fertilizer (Repke et al., 2013). Other studies reported variations only among genetic materials (Cadore et al., 2016), while Kappes et al. (2017) did not respond with the inoculation or application of N doses. These authors, however, have argued that the adequate N availability in the period between flowering and the beginning of the grain filling is fundamental so that its translocation to the grains is effective, without losses in the specific mass.

Portugal et al. (2017) obtained a lower mass of 1,000 grains when the seeds were inoculated with *A. brasilense*, similar to the result obtained for the majority of treatments inoculated in the present study. The authors’ explanation for this negative effect is that the bacterium, being heterotrophic, requires N for its survival, being able to compete with the plant in case of low nutrient availability in the soil. Due to the lower availability of water after the application of N fertilizer in the 2nd crop harvest (Figure 1), it is possible that its absorption has been reduced, resulting in a lower translocation in seed formation.

The highest grain yield in the first corn crop harvest was obtained with the application of the highest N fertilizer dose and in the absence of inoculation (US + N2) or when the inoculation was performed in the sowing furrow (IF + N2) and also in the lowest N dose with *A. brasilense* applied in the sowing furrow (IF + N1), that is, it was possible to reduce the N fertilizer dose obtaining the same corn grain yield. The other treatments did not differ from each other. In the 2nd crop harvest, the highest grain yield was obtained only with the highest N fertilizer dose and without inoculation (US + N2), while the lower N dose without inoculation (US + N1) or when the inoculation was performed in the sowing furrow (IF + N1) resulted in lower grain yield.

The importance of N to obtain high productivity in corn crop is indisputable. It should be emphasized the interference of *A. brasilense* in the N metabolism for the plant, with a higher recovery of N applied (Martins et al., 2017) or its biological fixation (Brusamarello-Santos et al., 2017), as well as the activity of bacterial nitrate reductase (Ferreira et al., 1987), which resulted in high leaf N concentration and higher relative chlorophyll index.

This can be seen in the 1st crop harvest when the inoculation of *A. brasilense* in the sowing furrow with the application of the lower N dose resulted in grain yield similar to the treatment that was fertilized only with the higher N fertilizer dose, or in treatments inoculated with *A. brasilense* which were not fertilized with N, but obtained grain yield similar to the others that were fertilized with N. In the 2nd crop harvest, this effect can also be highlighted, since the treatments inoculated with *A. brasilense* that were not fertilized with N obtained grain yield superior to the non-inoculated treatment and fertilized with the lower N dose, although the highest grain yield was obtained only with the application of the higher N fertilizer dose.

### Conclusions

1. In the first crop harvest, the use of 500 mL of the inoculant containing *A. brasilense* in the sowing furrow in association with application of 10 or 20 kg N ha⁻¹ at sowing and 50 or 100 kg N ha⁻¹ at topdressing provided higher N concentration and relative chlorophyll index in the corn leaves, while the application of 100 mL of the inoculant per 20 kg of seeds in association with the use of 10 kg N ha⁻¹ at sowing and 50 kg N ha⁻¹ at topdressing provided a higher N concentration in the corn grains.

2. Nitrate reductase activity in the corn leaves was lower when inoculation of *A. brasilense* was carried out in the seeds.

3. In the 1st crop harvest, inoculation of *A. brasilense* provided higher plant height, and did not increase grain yield of corn crop; however, with inoculation in the sowing furrow, it was possible to reduce the amount of N fertilizer applied by 50% without causing loss of grain yield.

4. In the second crop harvest, the inoculation did not promote effects on the N concentration in the leaves and in the grains and did not modify the chlorophyll index and height of the corn plants. The inoculation at the sowing furrow associated with the application of the higher N fertilizer dose resulted in lower nitrate reductase activity in the leaves.

5. In the second crop harvest, a greater mass of 1,000 grains was obtained with the application of the higher N fertilizer dose alone or in combination with inoculation in the sowing furrow. The highest grain yield was obtained only with the application of the highest N fertilizer dose.
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