Measurement of the hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography for the structural diagnosis of glaucoma
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Purpose: To evaluate the hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup (HVOC), measured using the length and depth of the cup obtained with enhanced depth imaging spectral-domain optic coherence tomography (SD-OCT), as a biomarker for glaucoma diagnosis.

Methods: This was a prospective cross-sectional study of patients with glaucoma and controls. SD-OCT was performed in all participants to assess average circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness. A vertical B-scan of the optic nerve head (ONH) was obtained for HVOC measurement. The length and depth of the optic nerve cup formed the sides of a right triangle that were used to calculate the HVOC. Participants also underwent standard automated perimetry.

Results: One hundred and fifty-six eyes were divided into three groups: mean deviation (MD) $\leq -7$ dB (60 eyes); MD $\geq -7$ dB (74 eyes); and healthy subjects (22 eyes). The mean (SD) HVOC in these groups was 1,419.8 (347.2) µm, 1,234.6 (258.8) µm, and 685.79 (315.4) µm ($P < 0.01$), respectively. In the secondary structure–function analysis, only discs with a vertical diameter of 1.51–2.00 mm were included (120 eyes). The HVOCs were divided into four percentile groups, with the following means: 940, 1,128, 1,390, and 1,662 µm. There was a significant difference in MD between percentile groups 1 and 3 ($P = 0.03$), 1 and 4 ($P < 0.001$), 2 and 3 ($P = 0.02$), and 2 and 4 ($P < 0.001$). RNFL thickness differed among all percentile groups ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusion: HVOC may provide an additional morphometric biomarker for the structural evaluation of ONH remodeling in glaucoma.
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Introduction
The relationship between structural and functional damage is an important component of glaucoma diagnosis and management.1-3 The structural evaluation of the optic nerve head (ONH), retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), and macula using optical coherence tomography (OCT) provides important clinical information and is a useful tool for the diagnosis of glaucoma and assessment of its progression.4-11

The development of spectral-domain OCT (SD-OCT) has improved test speed and resolution compared to time-domain OCT.12 Scan speeds between 29,000 and 70,000 Hz and an axial resolution of up to 2 µm can be demonstrated.13,14 Other features used to improve image quality in some commercially available SD-OCT devices include the automated real time (ART) mode for image averaging, noise reduction,
and an eye-tracking system that follows the saccades. Enhanced depth imaging (EDI) OCT described by Spaide et al was originally developed to visualize the full thickness of the choroid, but it has also been used to evaluate the lamina cribrosa (LC) and deep ONH structures. Swept source OCT (SS-OCT) is a novel technology that can reach even higher scan speed rates (up to 400 KHz) with increased penetration, emerging as a promising tool for the assessment of the choroid and LC. 

Notwithstanding the improvements in technology, the structural evaluation of glaucoma still includes important evidence-based clinical parameters, such as optic disc appearance and size, neuroretinal rim tissue, presence of hemorrhage, peripapillary atrophy, and cup-to-disc ratio (CDR). OCT may enable further evaluation of morphometric and topographic parameters of the ONH, such as CDR, cup volume, rim area, and rim volume. The minimum rim area assessed by SD-OCT, based on the shortest distance from the Bruch’s membrane opening (BMO) to the internal limiting membrane (ILM), has been correlated with RNFL thickness and visual field mean deviation (MD). 

Deep ONH structures, such as LC, as well as LC defects and posterior LC displacement can be evaluated using EDI SD-OCT. Posterior LC displacement has been demonstrated as an important histopathological hallmark of deformation of glaucomatous ONH in experimental glaucoma and in studies using postmortem glaucomatous eyes.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup (HVOC) as a novel morphometric biomarker for the assessment of ONH using EDI SD-OCT. The vertical B-scan with the largest cup seen on infrared is used for HVOC measurement. The depth of the posterior LC displacement, measured from the BMO level, and the length of the vertical cup form the sides of a right triangle that are used to calculate the hypotenuse. The authors hypothesize that HVOC is a useful parameter for the quantitative evaluation of ONH remodeling in glaucoma.

**Methods**

The study was approved by the Ethics Committees of Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre and Universidade Federal de São Paulo and was registered at Plataforma Brasil, the Brazilian national research directory (registration number: 53521916.2.3001.5505). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

This was a prospective non-interventional cross-sectional study of patients evaluated for open-angle glaucoma at Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre. All patients were evaluated according to an established clinical protocol that includes a broad review of medical history and a comprehensive ophthalmic examination.

**Participants**

Eligible participants were all patients with a diagnosis of glaucoma defined as the presence of characteristic optic disc and retinal changes, such as neuroretinal rim thinning, RNFL defect, localized notching, and splinter hemorrhage, and at least one intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement >21 mmHg. Participants with ocular or systemic conditions that could affect the optic nerve or examination results were excluded. Exclusion criteria for glaucoma cases were cognitive impairment, eye diseases (including diabetic retinopathy and retinal vein occlusion), trauma, dense cataract, and previous ocular surgery that could influence the results of standard automated perimetry (SAP) or the measurements made with OCT. Poor-quality images with a Q score <20 were disqualified.

Patients with glaucoma were arbitrarily divided into two groups based on visual field MD: patients with an MD worse than −7 dB and patients with an MD ≥−7 dB, including those with optic disc findings characteristic of glaucoma and no SAP changes (preperimetric glaucoma).

Controls had an IOP between 10 and 21 mmHg, normal SAP, optic disc within normal limits, and normal appearing iridocorneal angles. Inclusion criteria for controls were age between 18 and 60 years, clear media, and good cooperation during examination. Exclusion criteria were an IOP >21 mmHg, optic discs suspected of having glaucoma (with one of the following conditions: CDR >0.5, hemorrhage, or RNFL defect evaluated clinically), visual field defects meeting the Anderson criteria for glaucoma, and any previous ocular disease or ocular surgery.

**Study protocol**

Participants provided a detailed medical and ophthalmic history and underwent ophthalmic examination including slit-lamp biomicroscopy, IOP measurements using applanation tonometry, gonioscopy, and dilated fundus examination. All participants underwent SD-OCT (Spectralis OCT; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Dossenheim, Germany). The SD-OCT protocol included a 3.45-mm circular scan to determine circumpapillary RNFL thickness. The 3.45-mm scan circle was then positioned manually at the center of the optic disc, and, using the image of the ONH, a vertical B-scan was obtained with the EDI mode for HVOC measurement. The EDI mode places the zero delay at the bottom of the screen without inverting the images, thus enhancing
visualization of deeper ONH layers. All participants also underwent SAP (Humphrey VF Analyzer, 24-2 SITA-Standard strategy; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). A SAP defect was defined as the presence of at least three contiguous points within the same hemifield on the pattern deviation plot at a P<5% level and one of which is depressed at a P<1% level, and a glaucoma hemifield test outside normal limits. Unreliable SAP measurements were disqualified. All included participants with glaucoma had previous SAP measurements. Perimetry and OCT were performed within a 3-month period.

HVOC measurement

HVOC was measured by an experienced examiner. For each patient, the vertical B-scan with the largest identifiable vertical cup on the infrared imaging mode of the SD-OCT device was selected for HVOC measurement. The eye-tracking system was used to place the vertical line on the exact location selected by the examiner, and the ART mode was used for noise reduction. The 1:1 ratio was used to obtain B-scans. B-scans with a Q score <20 were disqualified. Measurements were made using the caliper provided by the software. The length and depth of the cup were measured and formed the sides of a right triangle that were used to calculate the HVOC. The first line, which was measured at the BMO level starting at the innermost portion of the descending RNFL tissue (ILM level) and connecting to the opposite side, represented the length of the cup. A second line starting at the former line and ending at the deepest portion of the anterior prelaminar tissue at the middle third of the cup represented the depth of the cup. The Pythagorean theorem was then used to calculate the hypotenuse of the triangle: \( c^2 = a^2 + b^2 \), where \( c \) is the HVOC and \( a \) and \( b \) are the length and depth of the cup that represent the lengths of the two sides of the right triangle (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were compared using generalized estimating equations (GEE), which take into account the correlation between both eyes of the same individual. A generalized linear model, with coefficients obtained by GEE, was used to correlate and compare variables. A P-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. SPSS, version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), was used for statistical analysis.

Results

ONH was evaluated using the EDI mode of the Spectralis OCT device in 156 eyes of 95 participants. Demographic data are summarized in Table 1. In the glaucoma group, mean (SD) age was 69.7 (9.8) years for patients with MD ≤−7 dB and 68.2 (10.1) years for patients with MD ≥−7 dB. Mean (SD) age was 40.1 (14.2) years in the control group.

Table 1

| Demographic characteristics | Group 1: glaucoma MD <−7 dB (n=38) | Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥−7 dB (n=44) | Group 3: healthy subjects (n=13) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sex, n (%)                  | Male 13 (34.2)                   | 14 (31.8)                         | 5 (38.5)                         |
|                            | Female 25 (65.8)                 | 30 (68.2)                         | 8 (61.5)                         |
| Ethnicity, n (%)            | African-Brazilians 6 (15.8)      | 6 (13.6)                          | 0 (0)                            |
|                            | White 30 (79.0)                  | 38 (86.6)                         | 12 (92.3)                        |
|                            | Mixed 2 (5.2)                    | 0 (0)                             | 1 (7.7)                          |

Abbreviation: MD, mean deviation.

Table 2

| Groups (number of eyes) | Global average RNFL ± PD*, μm | Median (IQR), μm |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Group 1: glaucoma MD <−7 dB (60) | 62.0±3.3 | 54.0 (49.0, 75.8) |
| Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥−7 dB (74) | 84.4±1.8 | 85.0 (74.0, 94.0) |
| Group 3: control (22) | 96.6±2.3 | 97.0 (90.5, 102.0) |

Note: *Significantly different between the three groups (P<0.001).

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; MD, mean deviation; PD, pattern deviation; RNFL, retinal nerve fiber layer; SD-OCT, spectral-domain optical coherence tomography.
significantly different between the three groups \((P<0.01)\), while the depth values were significantly different only between groups 1 and 3 and between groups 2 and 3.

HVOC was also analyzed within the different vertical diameters of the optic disc: <1.5 mm (Table 4); between 1.5 and 2.0 mm (Table 5); and >2.0 mm (Table 6). A significant difference was found between the three groups in different optic disc diameters, except for groups 1 and 2 with an optic disc diameter <1.5 mm, which showed only a borderline significance (Figure 3).

### Structure–function correlation of HVOC with SAP MD and structure–structure correlation of HVOC with RNFL thickness

The structure–function and structure–structure correlations of HVOC with SAP MD and RNFL thickness were analyzed using 120 eyes with an optic disc diameter between 1.5 and 2.0 mm. The correlation of HVOC with MD was \(r=-0.47\) \((P<0.001)\) (length of the cup: \(r=-0.539\); depth of the cup: \(r=-0.131\)) (Figure 4). The correlation of HVOC with RNFL thickness was \(r=-0.64\) \((P<0.001)\) (Figure 5).

---

**Table 3** Mean extension and mean depth of the vertical optic nerve head cup and the hypotenuse between these values

| Groups (number of eyes) | Extension (mean ± PD), μm | Depth (mean ± PD), μm | Hypotenuse (mean ± PD), μm |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (60) | 1,323.7±222.9 | 476.7±230.9 | 1,419.8±347.2 |
| Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (74) | 1,141.7±260.9 | 451.3±157.9 | 1,234.6±258.8 |
| Group 3: control (21) | 667.3±256.2 | 225.4±150.8 | 685.79±315.4 |

*Note:* Eyes with zero depth of optic nerve head cup \((n=1)\) were excluded from this analysis.

*Abbreviations:* MD, mean deviation; PD, pattern deviation.

---

**Table 4** Mean (SE) of the hypotenuse of the vertical optic disc in nerves with vertical diameter <1.5 mm and the significance between groups \((n=20)^a\)

| Groups (number of eyes) | Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (8) | Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (8) | Group 3: control (4) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (8): | – | 0.069 | <0.001 |
| Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (8): | 0.069 | – | 0.014 |
| Group 3: control (4): | 0.001 | 0.014 | – |

*Note:* Significance was calculated using generalized estimating equations.

*Abbreviations:* MD, mean deviation; SE, standard error.

---

**Table 5** Mean (SE) of the hypotenuse of the vertical optic disc in nerves with vertical diameter between 1.50 and 2.00 mm and the significance between groups \((n=120)^a\)

| Groups (number of eyes) | Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (49) | Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (57) | Group 3: control (14) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (49): | – | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (57): | <0.001 | – | <0.001 |
| Group 3: control (14): | <0.001 | <0.001 | – |

*Note:* Significance was calculated using generalized estimating equations.

*Abbreviations:* MD, mean deviation; SE, standard error.

---

**Table 6** Mean (SE) of the hypotenuse of the vertical optic disc in nerves with vertical diameter >2.0 mm and the significance between groups \((n=16)^a\)

| Groups (number of eyes) | Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (3) | Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (9) | Group 3: control (4) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Group 1: glaucoma MD < -7 dB (3): | – | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Group 2: glaucoma MD ≥ -7 dB (9): | <0.001 | – | <0.001 |
| Group 3: control (4): | <0.001 | <0.001 | – |

*Note:* Significance was calculated using generalized estimating equations.

*Abbreviations:* MD, mean deviation; SE, standard error.

---

[Figure 2] Box plot of average circumpapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness.

**Notes:** Group 1: glaucoma mean deviation < -7 dB (60); Group 2: glaucoma mean deviation ≥ -7 dB (74); Group 3: control (22).

---

**Figure 3** Structure–function correlation of HVOC with SAP MD and RNFL thickness.
The 120 eyes were further divided into four percentile groups according to their HVOC value. The mean HVOC values in each percentile group are as follows: group 1: 940 µm; group 2: 1,128 µm; group 3: 1,390 µm; and group 4: 1,662 µm (Figure 6).

The mean (standard error [SE]) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of SAP MD in each HVOC percentile group are as follows: group 1: −4.70 (1.2) dB (95% CI −7.1 to −2.2); group 2: −5.10 (1.0) dB (95% CI −7.2 to −2.9); group 3: −10.40 (1.4) dB (95% CI −13.2 to −7.6); and group 4: −12.90 (1.4) dB (95% CI −15.7 to −10.0).

There was a statistically significant difference in SAP MD between groups 1 and 3 (P<0.03), groups 1 and 4 (P<0.001), groups 2 and 3 (P<0.02), and groups 2 and 4 (P<0.001). A borderline significance was observed between groups 3 and 4 (P<0.08) (Figure 7).

The mean (SE) and 95% CI of RNFL thickness in each HVOC percentile group are as follows: group 1: 91.7 (2.5) µm (95% CI 87.3–96.2); group 2: 83.2 (2.5) µm (95% CI 78.1–88.2); group 3: 71.1 (3.3) µm (95% CI 64.6–72.6); and group 4: 62.6 (2.97) µm (95% CI 56.2–69.3). There were statistically significant differences between all groups: 1 versus 2 (P<0.04); 1 versus 3 (P<0.001); 1 versus 4 (P<0.001); 2 versus 3 (P<0.03); 2 versus 4 (P<0.001); and 3 versus 4 (P<0.036) (Figure 8).
Table 7 shows the distribution of eyes with glaucoma (MD $\leq -7$ dB) and without glaucoma (controls) among HVOC percentile groups. The presence of controls was significantly higher in HVOC percentile group 1, while the presence of glaucoma with an MD worse than $-7$ dB was significantly higher in percentile groups 3 and 4.

Clinical examples of glaucomatous eyes in each HVOC percentile group are shown in Figures 9–12.

Discussion

The present study evaluated HVOC, a novel structural parameter for the multimodal assessment of glaucoma, using the EDI mode of the SD-OCT device. This mode has proven useful for in vivo morphological and morphometric analysis of deep ONH structures, including LC and adjacent structures. In the present sample, HVOC was significantly increased in glaucomatous eyes compared to normal eyes and in patients with more severe compared to less severe glaucoma. HVOC correlated well with structure (RNFL) and function (MD).

HVOC is a simple and easily reproducible parameter. The use of the eye-tracking system of SD-OCT ensures that the parameter will be obtained at the same location in multiple examinations. HVOC uses two important aspects of the excavation and remodeling of the glaucomatous ONH: depth of the cup, which may represent LC displacement due to increased IOP in susceptible eyes; and length of the cup, which corresponds to the neuroretinal rim thinning due to axonal loss that is also evaluated clinically.

Posterior LC displacement is a parameter that has been demonstrated histologically in experimental studies of glaucoma and in studies using postmortem glaucomatous eyes. Furlanetto et al showed that LC depth correlated functionally and was significantly greater in patients with glaucoma than in normal controls. Park et al evaluated the depth of posterior LC displacement by dividing the sample into different stages of glaucoma and found that LC depth was greater in patients with preperimetric glaucoma than in normal controls, and in mild-to-moderate glaucoma than in mild glaucoma. However, it was the difference between severe and mild-to-moderate glaucoma that was statistically significant. Jung et al showed that LC depth was associated with CDR in eyes with increased optic disc cupping and CDR asymmetry. In the present study, when the depth of the cup, as measured according to the method described here, was evaluated separately, significant differences were observed between normal eyes and glaucomatous eyes, but these differences were not significant between patients with milder glaucoma (MD $\leq -7$ dB) and more severe glaucoma (MD $< -7$ dB).
Figure 9 Structural and functional findings in a glaucomatous eye in percentile group 1 of the HVOC (mean = 940 µm, mean MD = -4.70 (1.2) dB). (A) Circumpapillary RNFLT; (B) standard automated perimetry grayscale map; (C) B-scan of the largest vertical optic disc cup and length and depth used to calculate the HVOC.

Abbreviations: HVOC, hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup; MD, mean deviation; RNFLT, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness.

Figure 10 Structural and functional findings in a glaucomatous eye in percentile group 2 of the HVOC (mean = 1,128 µm, mean MD = -5.10 (1.0) dB). (A) Circumpapillary RNFLT; (B) standard automated perimetry grayscale map; (C) B-scan of the largest vertical optic disc cup and length and depth used to calculate the HVOC.

Abbreviations: HVOC, hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup; MD, mean deviation; RNFLT, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness.
Figure 11: Structural and functional findings in a glaucomatous eye in percentile group 3 of the HVOC (mean =1,390 µm, mean MD =−10.40 (1.4) dB). (A) Circumpapillary RNFLT; (B) standard automated perimetry grayscale map; (C) B-scan of the largest vertical optic disc cup and length and depth used to calculate the HVOC.

Abbreviations: HVOC, hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup; MD, mean deviation; RNFLT, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness.

Figure 12: Structural and functional findings in a glaucomatous eye in percentile group 4 of the HVOC (mean =1,662 µm, mean MD =−12.90 (1.4) dB). (A) Circumpapillary RNFLT; (B) standard automated perimetry grayscale map; (C) B-scan of the largest vertical optic disc cup and length and depth used to calculate the HVOC.

Abbreviations: HVOC, hypotenuse of the vertical optic nerve head cup; MD, mean deviation; RNFLT, retinal nerve fiber layer thickness.
Prelaminar thinning is a component of plastic changes leading to the shallow form of excavation that results from the compression and/or loss of retinal ganglion cell axons. Prelaminar components may also be an important biomarker for the structural diagnosis of glaucoma. Chauhan and Burgoyne demonstrated that a clinically and stereophotographically visible rim margin disagrees with actual anatomical measurements using SD-OCT and BMO as an anatomical parameter to determine rim margin. Gardiner et al., in a study describing rim measurements using BMO as a reference for the minimum rim width and area, reported that this parameter correlated functionally with MD, but had a stronger correlation with RNFL thickness. In the present study HVOC also had a stronger correlation with RNFL thickness than with MD ($r=-0.47; P<0.001$) in ONHs with a vertical diameter between 1.5–2.0 mm. When eyes were divided according to percentile groups, based on HVOC values, these groups differed significantly from each other regarding RNFL thickness. As for MD, there were significant differences between percentile groups, except for group 1 versus 2 and a borderline correlation between groups 3 and 4.

The usefulness of ONH parameters obtained with the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph and with OCT for the diagnosis of glaucoma and its progression has already been extensively studied. Lisboa et al. reported that ONH parameters had receiver operating characteristic curves with smaller areas under the curve (AUC) than did RNFL thickness. However, among the ONH parameters, vertical CDR had the best AUC. Vertical CDR is a well-established clinical hallmark of glaucoma and a predictive factor for conversion from ocular hypertension to glaucoma. In the present study, the cup was measured vertically and used as one of the parameters to calculate the hypotenuse, and the length of the vertical cup was significantly different between glaucoma groups and controls.

Automated ONH parameters obtained with different devices must be checked for proper segmentation. There are many potential artifacts that may mislead the interpretation. In our study, HVOC was measured manually using the infrared image to determine the correct placement of the EDI B-scan over the largest portion of the vertical cup using the ART mode and eye-tracking system. SS-OCT, a current iteration of the OCT technology, permits deeper image penetration, obviating the need for EDI mode, and has a faster scanning speed, which, in prototype models, can reach over 400,000 scans/second. The principles for obtaining the HVOC and its components remain the same with SS-OCT.

The present study was conducted as a preliminary study to support the hypothesis that HVOC is a potential biomarker for the structural diagnosis of glaucoma. Further studies evaluating 360° B-scans of the ONH and using not only the middle third of the cup, as in our method, but also the peripheral portions of the cup to measure depth should be performed. Average RNFL thickness was used as a structural parameter in our study. However, studying RNFL thickness in different regions (quadrants and clock-hour positions) in comparison with HVOC obtained in the corresponding portion of the ONH might increase the usefulness of information.

This study has some limitations. Different optic disc diameters and shapes might influence HVOC measurement. However, when discs were divided into different diameter ranges, significant differences were also observed between these groups, except for discs with a vertical diameter <1.5 mm, which showed no significant difference between glaucomatous eyes with MD worse and better than –7 dB. Future studies using HVOC, as well as other ONH parameters, should also consider disc size and phenotype as covariates. Optic discs with high myopic changes, chorioretinal scars, and large areas of peripapillary atrophy may be unsuitable for HVOC measurement. In our sample, there were no eyes with these findings. MD $=−7$ dB as the cutoff value to divide the glaucoma groups was arbitrary; however, between-group differences in MD and RNFL thickness were significant. This cutoff value was also significant when each HVOC percentile group was analyzed separately. The highest HVOC percentile had no normal eyes, while the lowest percentile (percentile groups 1 and 2) had only a few eyes (20.4%) with an MD worse than –7 dB ($P<0.01$).

HVOC may provide an additional morphometric parameter to detect ONH damage and remodeling, as it incorporates two important hallmarks: vertical length of the cup and depth of displacement. Moreover, HVOC measurement is simple and reproducible with the current OCT technology. Additional cross-sectional studies, as mentioned earlier, are required to further evaluate this parameter and its incorporation into the multimodal diagnosis of glaucoma using novel OCT technologies. Longitudinal studies are also required to assess the success of HVOC in detecting glaucoma progression and to compare HVOC with other structural and functional parameters.
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