Efficacy and Safety of Geochangmanryeung-dan and Acupuncture on Patient with Spinal Stenosis Treated by Epidural Steroid Injection: a Study Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Pilot Trial
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Objectives : This study was designed to obtain basic data for a further large-scale trial as evaluating the efficacy and safety of Geochangmanryeung-dan(GMD) and acupuncture on patient with spinal stenosis treated by epidural steroid injection. Methods: The study is a randomized controlled pilot clinical trial, conducted over 8 weeks. Twenty participants will be recruited and randomly allocated to 2 groups: an experimental(GMD and acupuncture with epidural steroid injection) group and a control(only epidural steroid injection) group. The epidural steroid injection will be administered once per 2 weeks for 6 weeks(3 times in total). GMD will be administered as a dose of 5 pills, 3 times per day, for 6 weeks. Acupuncture will be performed 2 times per week for 6 weeks(12 times in total). The primary outcome will be measured by visual analogue scale and self-rated walking distance. The secondary outcome will be measured by PainVision, short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire, and Oswestry Disability Index. Both primary and secondary outcomes will be measured at baseline, 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks. The last assessment(at 8 weeks) will be performed 2 weeks after treatment cessation. Conclusions : This clinical trial, as the pilot study for a future large-scale trial, will provide clinical information for evaluating the efficacy and safety of GMD and acupuncture treatment in combination with epidural steroid injection for the treatment of spinal stenosis.
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Introduction

Spinal stenosis (SS) is an anatomical reduction in the size of the vertebral canal, lateral recess, or intervertebral foramina of the lumbar spine. SS is considered to be the most common cause of lumbar spine surgery among elderly patients over 65 years. Despite a clinical definition that relies on anatomical findings, the clinical diagnosis and assessment of SS severity depend primarily on the patient's symptoms and physical examinations. The most common symptom associated with SS is neurogenic claudication characterized by bilateral or unilateral leg pain or discomfort precipitated by walking and prolonged standing. Pain and limited walking are the main characteristics of patients with SS; therefore, decreasing pain and improving walking ability are the primary goals for treatment. The choice of treatment approach is guided by the degree of suffering and impairment of quality of life. Functional deficits related to neurological symptoms (i.e., paresis, disturbance of bladder and/or bowel function) are a definite surgical indication.

Conservative treatment can be recommended prior to surgical intervention in a patient without any neurological

---

Fig. 1. Flow Chart of the Trial Process.
deficits. Conservative therapies, including exercises, activity modification, physical treatments, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, are appropriate for first-line management of symptomatic patients. If symptoms do not improve with conservative therapies, a steroid injection should be considered.

Herbal medicine and acupuncture are the major tools of Korean medicine therapy. Concurrent treatments of herbal medicine and acupuncture have been used for treating SS in Korean medicine clinics. The efficacy about SS has been reported in domestic Korean medicine research studies. Concurrent treatments of acupuncture, bee venom pharmacopuncture therapy, and herbal medicine have been mainly used in previous studies. It has been reported that Korean medicine treatment was effective in every domestic research, especially bee venom pharmacopuncture therapy was more effective compared with the other treatments. However, there are no published randomized controlled clinical trials to evaluate the efficacy and safety of herbal medicine and acupuncture for SS treatment. Therefore, we aim to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Geochangmanryeung-dan(GMD) and acupuncture, which have been frequently used in clinical practice. In this manuscript, we describe a protocol for a randomized, controlled, pilot clinical trial of GMD and acupuncture in SS patients.

Hypotheses

We hypothesize that GMD and acupuncture treatment in combination with epidural steroid injection will reduce the severity of SS, measured by visual analogue scale(VAS) and self-rated walking distance, significantly more than epidural steroid injection alone.

Materials and Methods

1. Design

The study is a randomized controlled pilot clinical trial. It is designed to obtain basic data for a further large-scale trial on the efficacy and safety of GMD and acupuncture on patient with SS treated by epidural steroid injection. This study protocol follows the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and Korean Good Clinical Practice, and has been approved by the Institutional Review Board of Daegu Catholic University Hospital(MDCR-14-004). The trial is registered with the Korean Clinical Research Information Service (CRIS) registry(KCT0001382). After sufficient explanation of the trial, written consent will be obtained from each eligible participant before any treatment is initiated. Outcome assessments and statistical analyses will be performed by professionals blinded to participant randomization. A flow chart of the trial process is shown in Fig. 1.

The trial will be conducted for 8 weeks. Participants will be randomly allocated to 2 groups. The control group will only receive epidural steroid injection 3 times (once per 2 weeks), and the experimental group will receive herbal medications (GMD) and acupuncture(2 times per week, total 12 times) for 6 weeks in addition to epidural steroid injection. Assessments will be performed at baseline and again at 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks. The last assessment(at 8 weeks) will be performed 2 weeks after treatment cessation.

2. Recruitment

Participants will be recruited through advertisements on hospital websites and bulletin boards at Daegu Catholic hospital. If they intend to participate, they will visit the hospital for a screening meeting. Their eligibility will be determined by an anesthesiologist through physical and radiological examinations. If eligible, we will obtain their written informed consent. Afterwards, a study researcher will randomly allocate the participants to one of the two groups. Participants will be randomized by an independent statistician blinded to participant assignment using a computerized random number generator. The treatment will be initiated after randomization.

3. Participants

As a pilot study, we plan to recruit 20 participants with
SS. Each group will include 10 participants with drop rate as 20%. Inclusion criteria are as follows: SS, low back pain of at least 1-year duration, aged 50~80 years, ability to comprehend or express him/herself in the Korean language, follow-up possible during the clinical trial, and voluntary written informed consent. Exclusion criteria are as follows: hypersensitive reaction to acupuncture treatment, cauda equina syndrome, persistently exacerbated symptoms, progressive neurologic signs (i.e., sensory or motor changes), previous spine surgery, neuromuscular scoliosis, neurodegenerative disease, any contraindication to corticosteroid injection (i.e., insulin-dependent diabetes), alcohol/drug abuse, significant renal or hepatic disease, senile dementia, impaired cognitive function or other cerebral disease, severe psychiatric or psychological disorders, pregnancy, lactation, and refusal to participate in the trial or provide informed consent.

4. Interventions

The epidural steroid injection will be administered once per 2 weeks for 6 weeks (3 times in total). GMD will be administered as a dose of 5 pills, 30 min after meals, 3 times a day, for 6 weeks. Acupuncture will be performed 2 times per week for 6 weeks (12 times in total).

1) Epidural steroid injection: Epidural steroid injection will be performed by an anesthesiologist under fluoroscopic guidance in a sterile procedure room in an outpatient pain management department. The participants will be positioned prone on a fluoroscopy table. After the end plate adjustment to the targeted lumbar vertebra, an oblique view will be obtained to the superior articular process adjusted to half the body width. The skin will be prepped with povidone-iodide and draped in a sterile fashion. The 22-gauge, 10-cm disposable spinal needle will be inserted towards the safe triangle below the pedicle under fluoroscopic guidance. The exact needle position will be confirmed using approximately 2 mL of contrast medium (Omnipaque 300, GE Healthcare, UK) injection under oblique, anteroposterior, and lateral fluoroscopic view. After confirmation of needle placement, 10 mg of mepivacaine hydrochloride (preservative free), 5 mg of non-particulate dexamethasone, and 1500 IU of hyaluronidase will be injected. A total volume of 3 mL will be injected into participants.

2) GMD: GMD is adjusting prescription from Boumannyeong-dan recorded in Bang Yak Hap Pyun. Though there is no previous study about GMD, it has been used generally in the treatment of neuralgia. GMD is manufactured by the Kihwa bio life pharmaceutical Co. (Jinju, Korea). GMD is a pill of a dried mixture of 15 herbs in fixed proportions. The components of GMD are presented in Table 1. The participants in the experimental group will be prescribed GMD. GMD is administered as a dose of 5 pills, 30 min after meals, 3 times a day, for 6 weeks.

3) Acupuncture: The acupoints were selected as proximal bilateral, BL23, BL24, and BL25; unilateral, GV3 and distal bilateral GB30, GB31, BL40, and BL60 acupoints. In total, 15 acupoints will be used for the treatment. Sterilized disposable acupuncture needles (Dongbang Acupuncture Inc., Korea), 0.25×40 mm in size, will be inserted into the acupoints. After needle insertion, the De Qi sensation will be induced by vibration.
Table 2. Schedule of Treatments and Outcome Measures throughout the Trial

|          | Treatment period | Follow-up period |
|----------|------------------|------------------|
|          | Week | Baseline | Week 1 | Week 2 | Week 3 | Week 4 | Week 5 | Week 6 | Week 8 |
| Visit    |       | Screening | Visit 1 | Visit 2 | Visit 3 | Visit 4 | Visit 5 | Visit 6 | Visit 7 | Visit 8 | Visit 9 | Visit 10 | Visit 11 | Visit 12 | Visit 13 |
| Measure  |       | VAS       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
|          |       | SRWD      | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
|          |       | PainVision| V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
|          |       | SF-MPQ    | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
|          |       | ODI       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
| Treatment|       | ESI       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
|          |       | GMD       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |
|          |       | Acupuncture| V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       | V       |

ESI: epidural steroid injection, GMD: Geochangmanryeung-dan, ODI: Oswestry Disability Index, SF-MPQ: short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire, SRWD: Self-rated walking distance, VAS: visual analogue scale.

5. Data collection

In this study, the primary outcome will be measured by VAS and self-rated walking distance. The secondary outcome will be measured by PainVision, the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire (SF-MPQ), and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI). Both primary and secondary outcomes will be measured at baseline, 2, 4, 6, and 8 weeks. The schedule of treatment and outcome assessments are presented in Table 2.

1) Primary outcome measurements

(1) VAS: Pain intensity will be measured using a 10-cm VAS, a measurement tool for subjective pain characteristics that cannot be measured objectively. The participants will mark the point that represents their current pain intensity. The VAS score will be measured by length from the left side of the line to the point that the participants have marked (0, absence of pain; 10, the worst pain imaginable) 12,13).

(2) Self-rated walking distance: Self-rated walking distance will be measured using the 4th item of ODI. The ODI has been used in many studies that involve the investigation of the overall function in SS patients. The 4th item of ODI has also been used as a measurement of individual walking capacity, which is reported to be the most valid and responsive to changes in measured walking capacity that is scored from 0 to 5; a higher score on this item indicates lower walking capacity 14,15). The validated Korean version of the ODI 16) will be used in this study.

2) Secondary outcome measurements

(1) PainVision: PainVision (PainVision PS-2100; Nipro Co, Osaka, Japan) is a device, which has been developed to determine the degree of pain quantitatively. An electrode transmitting electric current will be attached to the right medial forearm. The perception threshold current of each participant will be measured 3 times and the mean values will be used for analysis. The electrical current compatible with pain intensity will be measured by gradually increasing the current. The pain-compatible electrical current will be measured 3 times, and the mean values will be used for analysis. The pain due to SS and magnitude of electric stimulation are considered equal. The pain intensity will be automatically calculated [pain intensity=100×(pain-compatible electrical current-perception threshold current)/perception threshold current] based on these measurements 17-19).

(2) SF-MPQ: The SF-MPQ is a shorter version of the MPQ, a widely used test for the measurement of sensory, affective, and evaluative dimensions 20,21). The SF-MPQ consists of 15 words in the pain-rating index, present pain intensity, and...
VAS. The pain-rating index contains 11 sensory words and 4 affective words from the original MPQ. Each word in the pain-rating index is rated on a 4-point scale (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, or 3 = severe). The present pain intensity scale is a numeric-verbal combination that measures overall pain intensity on a 6-point scale (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = discomforting, 3 = distressing, 4 = horrible, or 5 = excruciating). The score for the SF-MPQ can range 0 ~ 45, 0 ~ 5, and 0 ~ 10 for the pain rating index, present pain intensity, and VAS, respectively. Higher scores indicate more pain.

ODI: The ODI has been extensively validated for evaluating function in patients with low back pain and shown to be reproducible in a group of SS patients with neurogenic claudication. The ODI consists of 10 items about daily activities, including inventories of pain intensity, personal care, lifting, walking, sitting, standing, sleeping, sexual life, social life, and traveling. Each item is measured on a scale of 0 ~ 5 points. The scores for each answered item are summed and the level of disability is calculated. A high score indicates a high disability level. The validated Korean version of the ODI will be used in this study.

6. Safety

We will evaluate the safety of treatments by conducting hematologic examinations (i.e., red blood cell count, hemoglobin level, hematocrit, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular hemoglobin, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, total white blood cell count, differential count, platelet count, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate) and blood chemical tests (i.e., aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, serum urea nitrogen, creatinine, serum sodium, serum potassium, serum chloride). All participants will be evaluated 2 times, during the screening visit and after termination of treatments (6 weeks).

Adverse reactions will be evaluated during every visit after the first intervention. The participants should report adverse events to researchers or investigator immediately when it occurs. Any reported events will be recorded throughout the study and vital signs will be monitored at each visit. The participants will be requested to voluntarily report information about adverse events, and the researcher will confirm the occurrence of adverse events through methods, such as a medical interview. The date of occurrence, degree of adverse events, causal relationship with the treatment, other treatments or medications that are suspected to cause the adverse event, and treatment for the adverse event will be recorded in detail.

7. Statistical analysis

Summary for characteristics variables will be performed using descriptive analysis, the values of mean (standard deviation, SD) and median (interquartile range, IQR) presented for quantitative variables and the values of frequency (percent) for qualitative variables. Comparison of characteristics between experimental and control groups will be analysed using two-sample t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test according to data normality for quantitative variables, and chi-square test for qualitative variables. Analysis of effects for the primary outcome measurements (VAS and self-rated walking distance) and secondary outcome measurements (PainVision, SF-MPQ, and ODI) by time (baseline, 2, 4, 6, and 8 week), group, and interaction (time difference by group) will be performed using repeated measure two-factor analysis. Multiple comparisons will also be performed. All tests will be two-sided and p-values of < 0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

Data analysis will be performed by a medical statistician using the SPSS software package for Windows (version 18.0, Chicago, IL, USA). But it will not be appropriate to place undue significance on result in data analysis, as no formal power calculations have been carried out.

Discussion

Walking limitation due to neurogenic claudication of SS is thought to be the hallmark of disability. In many patients, the history, symptoms, and physical examinations can provide sufficient evidence to make a presumptive diagnosis of symptomatic SS. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) can confirm the presence of SS: up to 20%
of subjects who have imaging findings consistent with SS are asymptomatic\(^2\)\(^6\). Therefore, it is necessary to correlate patient symptoms and physical examinations with imaging results. Conservative treatment is recommended for patients who have mild to moderate neurogenic claudication. The initial trial of nonsurgical therapy should be attempted because typically, the symptoms and neurologic functions of SS do not deteriorate rapidly\(^5\)\(^7\). The use of epidural steroid injection for conservative treatments is increasing rapidly. SS account for 30% of all epidural steroid injections\(^2\)\(^7\),\(^2\)\(^8\). Epidural steroid injections in SS patients can relieve pain and improve walking ability in short-term but long-term follow-ups is unclear\(^2\)\(^9\). Therefore, many patients with SS have sought alternative treatments of Korean medicine, including herbal medicine and acupuncture. However, there is no sufficient evidence supporting alternative treatments of Korean medicine, including herbal medicine and acupuncture. Acupuncture has been reported to be effective in relieving low back pain, which is a major symptom of SS\(^3\)\(^0\)\(^-\)\(^3\)\(^2\). However, evidence regarding the role of acupuncture in managing patients with SS is inconclusive\(^3\)\(^3\), and evidence of herbal medicines related to SS and low back pain is also limited. This result is influenced by a lack of reliable studies and poor study quality. Therefore, we have designed this pilot study to guide a large-scale trial for evaluating the efficacy and safety of herbal medicine and acupuncture in patients with SS. We expect this pilot study can be used to provide clinical information and basic data for a future large-scale trial.

**Conclusion**

This clinical trial, as the pilot study for a future large-scale trial, will provide clinical information for evaluating the efficacy and safety of GM and acupuncture treatment in combination with epidural steroid injection for the treatment of spinal stenosis.
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국문초록

목적: 본 연구는 요추 척추관 협착증으로 인한 통증으로 경막외강 신경차단술을 받은 환자를 대상으로 거창만령단과 침 치료의 유효성과 안전성을 평가함으로 향후 대규모 임상 연구를 위한 기초적인 자료를 마련하기 위한 것이다. 방법: 본 연구는 8주간 진행되는 무작위배정 대조군 예비임상연구이다. 20명의 피험자는 시험군(거창만령단+침치료)과 대조군(경막외강 신경차단술)으로 무작위 배정된다. 경막외강 신경차단술은 2주마다 1회 시술하며 6주간 총 3회 시행된다. 거창만령단은 1일 3회, 1회 1포(5환/포)로 투여되며 6주간 시행된다. 침 치료는 주 2회로 6주간 총 12회 시행된다. 1차 유효성 평가는 VAS와 Self-rated walking distance를 평가하고, 2차 유효성 평가는 PainVision, SF-MPQ, ODI를 통해 평가한다. 결과: 본 연구는 추후 더 큰 규모의 무작위배정 대조군 임상시험을 위한 예비 연구로서 본 연구를 통해 요추 척추관 협착증의 치료에 있어 점 치료와 한약이 경막외강 신경차단술과 같이 수행되었을 때의 유효성과 안전성에 대한 임상적인 정보들을 제공해 줄 것이라 사료된다.