MORPHOLOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES OF PURPLE CHRYSANTHEMUM (ASTER SPATHULIFOLIUS) UNDER LONG-TERM STRESS OF CALCIUM CHLORIDE AS DEICING SALT
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Abstract. Long-term research on the effect of deicers on groundcover plants can open up usage of groundcover plants for ornamental purposes on the roadside affected by deicer salt. The objective of this study was to investigate the long-term effect of CaCl₂ on morphological and physical responses of purple chrysanthemum (Aster sathulifolius) grown in a greenhouse. Five different concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 15 g/L) of road deicer (CaCl₂ 74%) solutions (100 ml) were applied twice a week for a 5 month period. Survival rate, growth parameter, biomass, and physiological indices were measured. Increased CaCl₂ concentration resulted in decreased survival rate, especially at concentrations higher than 10 g/L. Exposure to increasing CaCl₂ concentrations resulted in dramatic decreases in growth index, number of leaves, leaf width, and leaf length at concentration higher than 2 g/L. Biomass was also negatively affected by increasing deicer salt stress, with shoot mass being reduced more than root fresh weight. Chlorophyll b content was decreased, while chlorophyll a and proline contents in leaves had a gradual increase when plants were exposed to increasing salt stress. Although a clear roadside negative effect did exist, there was no significant difference between plants under 1 g/L of CaCl₂ and control treatment for 5 months. Our results suggest that Aster sathulifolius planting could be highly beneficial to the roadside or urban areas with mildly salt-affected soils. Keywords: calcium chloride; ornamental groundcovers, road deicer; soil-plant continuum; tolerance of salt stress

Introduction

Deicing salt has been used for decades to melt snow and ice from the road to improve traffic safety in winter (Viskari and Kärenlampi, 2000). However, these salts are eventually displaced to roadside areas where they can negatively impact soils, vegetation, and water resources (ground or surface) (Devitt et al., 2014). A high amount of deicer has been used in South Korea due to its geographically distinctive winter season characterized by snowfall. On roads, CaCl₂ has been used as one of the most popular road deicer in South Korea (Shin et al., 2010), because it is more effective at low temperatures. Thus, at roadside areas that use CaCl₂, the Cl⁻ concentration is higher than the other sites. The use of deicing salts on roads has resulted in high concentrations of chloride (577 ~ 2,353 mg/kg) in urban roadside soil (Zhang et al., 2012). This is probably due to heavy volume of traffic at these sites (Baek et al., 2014). With
increasing of salts content, a large amount of ions enter the cells, thereby morphology and physiology of plants growing under salt stress are adversely affected (Cao et al., 2012). Even though CaCl₂ has on plant physiology include membrane permeability and reduction in Na⁺ concentration within a certain limit (Amuthavalli et al., 2012). Therefore, ways to reduce salt damage should be presented including a planting guide to match species to a site. The harmful effects of deicing salt on roadside herbs and grasses depends on the sensitivity of plant species (Ali et al., 2012). Planting salt tolerant ornamental groundcover plants can be a very effective strategy to utilize deicer salt affected soils. Salt tolerance varies widely among plant species and genotype. Plants can adapt to salinity by tolerating or avoiding salt uptake. Some plants can achieve salt tolerance by osmotic adjustment (Mao et al., 2008). Many researchers have studied salt tolerance of herbaceous plants after they are exposed to high salts. Eom et al. (2007) have shown that salt tolerance of six groundcover species is different in response to NaCl treatment (0 ~ 400 mM). It can be grouped into three categories: highly sensitive to salt treatment (Sedum acre), intermediate sensitive (Achemilla mollis, Nepeta × faassenii, Thymus praecox, and Phlox subulata), and tolerant (Solidago cutleri). Ali et al. (2012) have reported that the ornamental plant Antennanthera bettzickiana can be characterized as a salt-tolerant glyphosate. Other studies have also reported salt tolerance of other ornamental herbaceous plants, including Suaeda salsa (Guan et al., 2011), Foeniculum vulgare (Semiz et al., 2012), Eugenia myrtifolia (Acosta-Motos et al., 2015), Aster perennial (Wu et al., 2016), Salvia splendens and Ageratum houstonianum (Jędrzejuk et al., 2016), and Sedum species, Allium species, and a mixture of turf grasses (Whittinghill and Rowe, 2011). Salt tolerance has also been demonstrated for woody plants such as Ardisia japonica (Lee et al., 2008), ornamental shrubs (Cassaniti et al., 2009), Prospis glandulosa (Moore et al., 2010), Atriplex nummularia (Alharby et al., 2014), and Rosa rubigionsa (Hura et al., 2017). However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has reported the salt tolerance of Aster spathulifolius for a long-term. Also, the effect of calcium chloride deicer on ornamental herbaceous plants compared to NaCl remains to be investigated.

Asteraceae is one of the largest plant families with many important ornamental species (Wu et al., 2016). Seashore spatulate aster, specifically, Aster spathulifolius Maxim, is halophyte, which typically found on the coast areas of Korea. Because the demand for road deicers continues to increase, long-term research on the effect of deicers on groundcover plants is needed. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of CaCl₂ on morphological and physiological responses of Aster spathulifolius.

Material and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Seedlings of Aster spathulifolius were purchased from a commercial nursery (Sannea Botanical Garden, Chenonan, Chungnam, Korea). All plants with similar sizes used in experiments were 5-6 cm in height with 10-15 fully grown leaves. These seedlings were transferred to 12 cm-diameter pots filled with 0.5kg of artificial substrates (Wonjo-mix, NongKyung Inc., Korea) in March 2016. Pots were kept in the laboratory and watered every 2 days in the four weeks following transplanting. The experiment was conducted from April 2016 to October 2016 at KonKuk University, Chungju (latitude, 35°49’N; longitude, 127°08’E). Plants were grown in the greenhouse under...
natural light. During the study period, air temperature and relative humidity were monitored with a thermo recorder (SK-1260, SATO, Japan). Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured with a digital light meter (Extech 401025, EXTECH, USA). Average air temperature, relative humidity, PAR were kept at 26.2°C, 62.7%, and 1,500 µmol·m²/s, respectively.

Treatments

The substrate used for this study was a commercially produced ridging (Nongkyung Floricultural materials, Co., Chungbuk, Korea) with pH 6.5. Prior to transplanting, each metal was thoroughly mixed with 0.5 kg of air-dried substrate. The mixture was then used to fill the pots. To evaluate the effect of CaCl₂ concentrations on Aster sphathulifolius, a completely randomized block design with five treatments was adopted (3 replications, 3 seedlings per replication, total of 45 seedlings). Based on the results of earlier studies (Zhang et al., 2012; Thouvenot et al., 2012) on roadside soil concentration of chloride ions, CaCl₂ powder (74% of calcium chloride, Oriental Chemical Industries, Korea) was diluted in distilled water to obtain concentrations at 0 (Control), 1 (C1), 2 (C2), 5 (C5), 10 (C10), and 15 g/L (C15) corresponding to 0.5, 7, 14, 35, 70, and 105 mM, respectively. Aster sphathulifolius seedlings were watered with 100 ml of CaCl₂ solution twice a week until the end of the experiment (for 5 months).

Measurements

The number of plants that were survived was recorded. This number was then used to estimate the survival rate (percentage). Plants that maintained 3 to 6 green or greenish and elongating leaves were scored as being alive (survived). Those with all leaves dried out were scored as dead. Survival rates were calculated with the following formula (Kanawapee et al., 2012): Survival rate = (survived plants/ total plants) × 100 (%).

The following growth parameters were observed: growth index, leaf number, leaf length, and leaf width. Growth index was measured once a month for each group of seedlings in May and September 2016 during peak growth period. Plant height (H) at the tallest point and width at the widest point in two directions (left to right and front to back: W1, W2) were also measured (Whittinghill and Rowe, 2011). Data of height and width were used to calculate growth index [(W1 + W2)/2 + H]/2 which is commonly used as an indicator of plant size (Hammond et al., 2007). Length of leaf, width of leaf, and leaf number on the stem were also measured for Aster sphathulifolius. These growth parameters were partially based on the relative growth rates (RGR) of plants (Thouvenot et al., 2012): RGR = (In L2- In L1)/(T2-T1), where L1 and L2 were total length at time 1 and time 2, respectively.

Each plant harvested was then divided into shoots (leaves and stem) and roots after 5 months of treatments. Fresh weights (FW) were measured after different organs were washed with distilled water. Shoots and roots were then dried in a drying oven (C-DF, Changshin Scientific Co., Korea) at 70 ºC until they reached a constant weight in order to measure their respective dry weights (DW). To evaluate salinity tolerance, relative dry weight (RDW) was calculated as a ratio of average values for each accession of seedlings (Chen et al., 2013): DW (salt treatment)/DW (control) × 100 (%), a trait commonly used to measure salinity tolerance.

Chlorophyll was extracted following the method outlined by Baruah et al. (2014). Briefly, collected leaves of experimental plants were washed properly and 100 mg of
fresh leaves from those plants was weighed and cut into small pieces with a razor. Chlorophyll pigment was then extracted by grinding these cut leaves with a mortar and pestle for 5 min in about 8 ml of 95 % (v/v) acetone. The extract was filtered with Whatman number 1 filter paper. The filtrate was transferred to a 100-ml volumetric flask. The volume of the filtrate was increased to 10 ml by addition of 95 % acetone. After that, optical density (OD) of the extract was measured using a spectrophotometer (Biochrom Libra S22, Biochrom, England) at wavelength of 645 nm and 663 nm using 95% acetone as a blank. The wavelengths chosen the maximum absorption wavelengths for total chlorophyll and chlorophyll a/b, respectively. The amount of chlorophyll a and b and total chlorophyll content of leaf tissues (in mg/g) were calculated using the following equations:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll a} = [12.7(\text{OD663 nm}) - 2.69(\text{OD645 nm})] \times (V/1000W) \quad (\text{Eq.1})
\]

\[
\text{Chlorophyll b} = [22.9(\text{OD645 nm}) - 4.68(\text{OD663 nm})] \times (V/1000W) \quad (\text{Eq.2})
\]

\[
\text{Total chlorophyll} = [20.2(\text{OD645nm}) + 8.02(\text{OD663 nm})] \times (V/1000W) \quad (\text{Eq.3})
\]

Proline content was analyzed with the modified procedure of Kanawatee et al. (2012). Briefly, the third and fourth leaves from the apical shoot of three plants per treatment were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen at harvest. Approximately 0.1 g of leaf was homogenized with 5 ml of 3% aqueous sulfosalicylic acid. Two ml of the extract was then reacted with 2 ml of acid nihydrin and 2 ml of glacial acetic acid followed by boiling in a water bath at 100°C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by placing the tubes on ice. The solution was then extracted with 4 ml of toluene and the absorbance of the toluene fraction was measured by spectrophotometry (Biochrom Libra S22, Biochrom, England) at wavelength of 520 nm. The amount of free proline was evaluated using a standard curve and expressed as μg/g tissue fresh weight.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation of nine replicates (N = 9). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Treatment means were separated with Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (P ≤ 0.05).

**Results**

The survival rate of *Aster sphathulifolius* showed no significant difference between treatments in the initial stage. However, at the end of 5 months under salt stress conditions, survival rates of plants were reduced sharply in the following order: CaCl$_2$ 0 (Control; 100%) > CaCl$_2$ 1 (C1; 100%) > CaCl$_2$ 2 (C2; 80%) > CaCl$_2$ 5 (C5; 70%) > CaCl$_2$ 10 (C10; 0%) > CaCl$_2$ 15 g/L (C15; 0%). The survival rate of *Aster sphathulifolius* were significantly decreased compared to those of control as well as 1 g/L of CaCl$_2$ treated plants. Increasing CaCl$_2$ concentration in the substrates resulted in decreasing survival rate, especially when its concentration was higher than 10 g/L (Figure 1).
The growth index of *Aster sphaulifolius* showed various degrees of growth retardation, although some differences existed between treatments with different CaCl$_2$ concentrations in June. However, the average growth indices of Control, C1, C2, C5, C10, and C15 were significantly decreased ($P \leq 0.05$) by 17.3, 15.2, 15.2, 11.8, 8.2, 6.7 cm, respectively in July, and plants grown in C10 and C15 treatment groups did not survive in September. The average growth indices of C1, C2, and C5 treatment groups were 20, 22, and 47%, respectively, compared to the control. For all treatments, the number of leaves showed an increase resulting in a range from 14.4 (C15) to 66.5% (Control) deicing salt stress in June, but then gradually decreased by 3.1 (Control) and 82.6% (C15) in response to salinity stress. The number of leaves of plants in C1, C2, and C5 treatment groups was significantly lower ($P \leq 0.05$) by 9, 18, and 57%, respectively, compared to that in the control at 5 months after treatment. However, there was no significant difference in the number of leaves between the Control and C1 treatment. Exposure to increasing CaCl$_2$ concentrations resulted in dramatic decreases in leaf width and leaf length with CaCl$_2$ at 1, 2, 5, and 10 g·L$^{-1}$, leaf widths were 32, 33, 22, and 19 mm, respectively, while leaf lengths were 89, 92, 84, and 62 mm, respectively. There was no significant difference in leaf width or length between plants under Control and those with C1 treatment (*Figure 2*).
As shown in Figure 3, exposure to increasing concentrations of calcium chloride resulted in dramatic decrease \((P \leq 0.05)\) in biomass of *Aster sphathulifolius* compared to non-salt stress. Shoot showed more reduction than root fresh weight of *Aster*...
Aster sphathulifolius. Average shoot fresh weights of plants in C1, C2, C5, C10, and C15 treatment groups were reduced by 3, 36, 56, 89, and 92%, respectively, while root fresh weights were reduced by 41, 50, 66, 83, and 88%, respectively in comparison with those in the Control (CaCl$_2$ 0 g/L). Shoot dry weights of salt stressed plants in C1, C2, C5, C10, and C15 treatment groups were also reduced by 24, 31, 52, 75, and 81%, respectively, while dry weights were reduced by 39, 46, 59, 67 and 74%, respectively, compared to those of Control (Figure 4). Relative dry weight (RDW), a trait commonly used to measure salinity tolerance, was also substantially reduced by 76.4, 69.5, 48.5, 25.4, 19.4% relative to Control (data not referred).

Salt stress for 5 months affected chlorophyll contents of Aster sphathulifolius. Interestingly, salt stress resulted in significant increase of chlorophyll $a$ content, whereas decrease of chlorophyll $b$ content. The mean chlorophyll $a$ content was increased from 8.2 to 14.97 mg/g FW. For chlorophyll $b$, the mean was decreased from 21.18 to 11.17 mg/g FW respectively. Total chlorophyll contents in C1, C2, C5, and
C10 treatments were decreased significantly by 98.6, 95.3, 92.9, and 89.5%, respectively, compared to those of Control. When *Aster sphathulifolius* plants were exposed to salt stress, the mean proline contents in the leaves were increased significantly from 23.0 in the control to 37.3 μg/g FW in C10 treatment (an increase of 62.2%). Salinity stress caused a significant increase in proline contents in C2, C5, and C10 treatment groups when compared with the control and C1 treatment group (Table 1).

**Table 1. Differences of chlorophyll and proline contents of Aster sphathulifolius leaves grown under CaCl$_2$ stress at various concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, and 15 g/L as Control, C1, C2, C5, C10, and C15, respectively) measured after harvest.**

| Treatments | Chlorophyll contents a (mg/g FW) | Chlorophyll contents b (mg/g FW) | Total chlorophyll contents (mg/g FW) | Proline contents (μg/g FW) |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Control    | 8.29 d$^*$                       | 21.18 a                          | 29.46 a                              | 23.00 c                   |
| C1         | 12.92 b                          | 16.18 b                          | 29.09 ab                             | 23.33 c                   |
| C2         | 12.64 c                          | 15.49 b                          | 28.12 abc                            | 26.00 bc                  |
| C5         | 12.88 b                          | 14.56 b                          | 27.43 bc                             | 29.67 b                   |
| C10        | 14.96 a                          | 11.47 c                          | 26.44 c                              | 37.33 a                   |
| C15        | -                                | -                                | -                                    | -                         |

$^*$ Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference according to Duncan’s multiple range test at $P \leq 0.05$ (n=9).

**Discussion**

Plant survival and productivity is crucial for seedling establishment under saline conditions (Ponte et al., 2014). In this study, no significant correlation between plant survival and CaCl$_2$ concentrations were found initially, however, after treatment for 5 months, a significant negative correlation was observed between the survival rate and the dose of CaCl$_2$. Higher CaCl$_2$ concentrations were associated with lower survival rate for a long term exposure, although *Aster sphathulifolius* is a halophyte that has grown and evolved under saline conditions. Soil salinity can inhibit plant growth by a number of mechanisms including low external water potential, toxicity of absorbed Cl$^-$ ions, inhibition of various enzymatic activities and different cellular processes, and interference with the uptake of essential nutrients (Taffoue et al., 2014). The fundamental mechanisms of salt tolerance in salt tolerant plants seem to be mostly dependent on their capacities to sequestrate toxic ions in vacuoles and accumulate compatible osmotic pressure in the cytoplasm as previously suggested (Munns, 2002). Salt tolerance of herbaceous perennial species including *Achemilla mollis*, *Nepeta × faassenii*, *Sedum acre*, *Tymus praecox*, *Phlox subulata*, and *Solidago cutleri*, to aqueous solution of sodium chloride at 0~400 mM over a 21 day period has been evaluated by measuring their growth. These plants were grouped into three tolerance categories: highly sensitive to salt treatment (*Sedum acre*), those with intermediate sensitivity (*A. mollis, N. × faassenii, T. praecox, and P. subulata*), and those with salt tolerance (*S.
cutleri) (Eom et al., 2007). It has been reported that Alternanthera bettzickiana can grow even at a salinity level of 40 dS/m (Ali et al., 2012). In addition, it has been found that the critical level of species sensitive to chloride ions is 4 ~ 7 mg/g and that of species tolerant to chloride ions is 15 mg/g (Xu et al., 2000; White and Broadley, 2001). Considering this, it is generally accepted that Aster spathulifolius could be able to grow at salt levels less than 1 g/L (Cl− agent 0.094 g/L day−1) for 5 months.

Biomass of seedlings is a trait commonly used to measure salinity tolerance (Chen et al., 2013). Eupatorium greggii, Viguiera stenoloba, and Santolina chamaecyparissus were the most salt-tolerance species with less reductions in shoot dry weight (Wu et al., 2016). It has been reported that Alternanthera bettzickiana plants at salinity level of 20 dS/m will produce 30.3% less biomass than controls. Further increasing salinity will lead to lower biomass in response to higher salt stress (Ali et al., 2012). Similarly, Semiz et al. (2012) have also reported that the biomass of Foeniculum vulgare is also affected negatively by chloride ions. Meanwhile, chloride ions (Cl−) in soil is recognized as one key contributor to the decrease in the production of plants. The critical concentration of chloride ions is found to be 0.49 g/L. At this concentration, the biomass of plants is decreased by 10% (Dang et al., 2008). Considering the actual concentrations of chloride ions (Cl−) in C1, C2, C5, C10, and C15 treatments are 0.047, 0.094, 0.236, 0.472, and 0.708 g/L day−1, respectively, Aster spathulifolius should be able to grow in roadside or urban soils contaminated by deicing salt because relatively low Cl− concentrations are present in these field conditions.

The chlorophyll meter is a simple tool used to measure relative chlorophyll content or greenness. It is an efficient indicator of stress in plants (Netto et al., 2005). Changes in chlorophyll content of plants under salt stress dependeds on stress rate and plant species (Eom et al., 2007; Younes et al., 2016). Nadeem et al. (2006) have reported that salt stress can decrease chlorophyll pigments (a, b, and carotenoids contents) of plant. However, another study has shown that chlorophyll content cannot be used as an indicator of salt tolerance ability (Kamawapee et al., 2012). Although plant species can differ considerably in total amount of chlorophyll content under salt stress, results from this study suggest that chlorophyll content might be considered as an additional trait useful for screening salt tolerance.

Relative abundance of compatible solutes including proline is an important protective factor for plants under salt stress (Norastehnia et al., 2014; Abbas et al., 2014). Salinity stress has caused a significant increase in proline concentration in shoots of plants compared to that in the control (non-stressed seedlings) (Kamawapee et al., 2012). In response to salt stress, proline accumulation in plants has been implicated to play adaptive roles in osmoregulation and salt stress signaling (Szabados and Savouré, 2009; García-Caparrós et al., 2016). Our results revealed that proline content in plants under salt stress were apparently higher than those in plants under non- salt stress condition. Plants showed significantly higher levels of proline content compared to plants without CaCl2 stress. These results suggest that proline accumulation might be considered as an additional trait useful for screening plants for salt tolerance ability.

Results of this study showed that increasing CaCl2 concentrations dramatically reduced survival rate and plant growth of Aster spathulifolius. Biomass was also affected negatively by increasing salt stress of CaCl2, with shoots having more reduction in mass than root fresh weight. Calcium chloride salt stress significantly increased chlorophyll a and proline contents in leaves, but decreased chlorophyll b.
Conclusion

Salt tolerant ornamental groundcover plants can be a very effective strategy to utilizing deicer salt affected soils. The morphological and physiological responses of *Aster sphathulifolius* indicated that the one gram dosage of CaCl$_2$ for 5 months had no significant difference in survival rates and growth parameters as compared to those of control. However there was a significant negative effect exist by the cumulative dose of deicing CaCl$_2$ salt (>1 g/L). These results suggest that planting *Aster sphathulifolius* could be highly beneficial for sites with salinity soils such as roadside or urban areas since relatively low Cl$^-$ concentrations are present in these fields. In the future, soil-chloride-plant continuum study warrants to determine the effect of deicers on diverse ecological characteristics of roadside groundcover plants.
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