Near-infrared spectroscopy predicts events in men and women: Results from the Lipid Rich Plaque study
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ABSTRACT

Background: The Lipid Rich Plaque (LRP) study demonstrated that near-infrared spectroscopy imaging of non-obstructive lesions identified patients and segments at higher risk for subsequent non-culprit major adverse cardiac events (NC-MACE). Whether this is true for both men and women is not known. In this post hoc analysis of the LRP study, we sought to investigate whether the maximum 4-mm Lipid Core Burden Index (maxLCBI 4mm) was of similar predictive value in men and women for NC-MACE.

Methods: Patients with an evaluable maxLCBI 4mm were stratified on the basis of sex at birth. A Cox proportional-hazards model was used to assess the predictive value of maxLCBI 4mm on future NC-MACE at the patient and plaque levels. The primary endpoint was cumulative incidence of NC-MACE at 24 months.

Results: Among 1271 patients, 388 (30.5%) were women. Women were older and had a higher cardiovascular risk profile. Cumulative incidence of NC-MACE at 24 months was 10.3% for women and 7.6% for men (log-rank p = 0.11). When comparing maxLCBI 4mm > 400 to maxLCBI 4mm ≤ 400, the hazard ratio (HR) for future NC-MACE was not significantly different between sexes: 2.10 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.28–3.44; p = 0.003) for men and 2.24 (95% CI: 1.18–4.28; p = 0.014) for women (p = 0.87). At the plaque level, the HR comparing maxLCBI 4mm > 400 to maxLCBI 4mm ≤ 400 was 3.49 (95% CI: 1.60–7.60, p = 0.002) for men and 4.79 (95% CI: 2.02–11.38, p < 0.001) for women, which was not significantly different (p = 0.57).

Conclusions: The maxLCBI 4mm was of similar predictive value for NC-MACE within 24 months in men and women.

1. Introduction

Research on atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease has been historically focused on the male patient. However, it is now well-appreciated that there can be distinct differences between men and women in various aspects of this disease, including the pathophysiology, cardiovascular risk factors, prevalence, symptom presentation, diagnosis, and treatment [1,2]. Moreover, it has been reported that women with obstructive coronary artery disease (CAD) might have a worse prognosis than do men after myocardial infarction (MI) [3,4]. One reason for the disparity in adverse outcomes between men and women could be a difference in the phenotype of atherosclerotic plaques.
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Imaging studies have investigated whether plaque composition differs between men and women, but the evidence is conflicting [5-10]. Thus, prospective research on potential sex differences in plaque composition and its association with cardiovascular outcome is needed.

Recently, the Lipid Rich Plaque (LRP) study investigated the relationship between the presence of lipid-rich plaque detected by near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) imaging at unstented sites and the occurrence of subsequent major adverse cardiac events (MACE). The LRP study showed that the presence of a high maxLCBl4mm (maximum Lipid Core Burden Index within a 4-mm segment) was an independent predictor of future non-culprit (NC) MACE [11], similar to other earlier studies [12-15]. However, it is currently not fully elucidated whether men and women with CAD have comparable lipid content in their coronary plaques and whether the maxLCBl4mm predicts future NC-MACE equally for both women and men. In this post hoc analysis of the LRP study, we investigated the potential differences between sexes in lipid core content at baseline as measured by NIRS-IVUS and whether it had the same diagnostic capabilities in both men and women to detect vulnerable patients and plaques. We hypothesize that the maxLCBl4mm as measured by NIRS-IVUS can predict future NC-MACE similarly for women and for men.

2. Methods

The details of the LRP study design, methods, and endpoint analysis have been previously described [15]. For this sub-analysis, 1271 patients within whom patient-reported sex at birth and follow-up were available and for whom it was feasible to perform NIRS-IVUS of at least 50 mm of additional non-culprit territories analyzable by the core laboratory were included. All patients provided informed consent before catheterization, and the study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating centers. Investigators were blinded to the NIRS-IVUS images. Based on the NIRS-IVUS analysis, patients were considered eligible for follow-up if they had interpretable NC segment NIRS-IVUS data, excluding by randomization 50% of the patients having plaques with maxLCBl4mm < 250 as was pre-specified in the protocol. In concordance with previous studies, the LRP study used a maxLCBl4mm cutoff point of 400 for the prediction of subsequent events [14,16-18].

2.1. Endpoints

During the 2-year follow-up, all MACE were adjudicated by the independent clinical events committee (CEC). MACE was defined as a composite of cardiac death, cardiac arrest, non-fatal MI, acute coronary syndrome (ACS), revascularization by coronary artery bypass grafting or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and rehospitalization for angina with > 20% diameter stenosis progression related and unrelated to the treatment at index procedure. If the follow-up culprit event location was identifiable by imaging or autopsy reports and this location was scanned at index with NIRS-IVUS, then the event was adjudicated for the plaque-level endpoint by the CEC masked to the baseline NIRS-IVUS data.

2.2. Core laboratory analysis

All NIRS-IVUS analyses were done offline by an independent core laboratory (MedStar Cardiovascular Research Network, Washington, DC, USA) using validated NIRS-IVUS analysis software (QIVUS version 3.0.16.0, Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, Netherlands). Each coronary artery was divided into 30-mm segments (referred to as Ware segments), beginning from the proximal region or ostium of the artery for plaque-level analysis, and each segment was analyzed every 1 mm. Each patient, thus, had multiple Ware segments, and the maxLCBl4mm in each separate Ware segment was included for the plaque-level analysis. At the site of maxLCBl4mm within each Ware segment, minimum lumen area (MLA), plaque area, plaque volume, and plaque burden (PB) were also measured.

2.3. Statistical analysis

In this post hoc analysis of the LRP study results, we stratified patients with an evaluable maxLCBl4mm at baseline and complete follow-up data (24 months) by sex at birth. Descriptive statistics were used to provide the baseline characteristics with p-values for the difference. Baseline plaque characteristics were corrected for body surface area (BSA). The cumulative event rate of the primary endpoint (NC-MACE) was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method for both sexes, and a log-rank test was used to compare the Kaplan-Meier curves. Cox proportional-hazards models were used to analyze the association of maxLCBl4mm with future NC-MACE at the patient and plaque levels. At the patient level, the hazard ratio (HR) for the maxLCBl4mm as a binary (operationalized as > 400 vs. ≤ 400) variable was estimated with sex as a covariate; and the interaction between sexes and maxLCBl4mm was assessed. The HR for NC-MACE for a change of 100 in maxLCBl4mm was estimated using the same method, and the interaction between sex and maxLCBl4mm as a continuous variable was also assessed. The models were adjusted for other covariates pre-specified in the statistical analysis plan of the parent study: age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic renal insufficiency, prior smoking history, prior PCI, and presentation with an ACS.

The same analyses were used for the calculation of the association of maxLCBl4mm as binary (operationalized as > 400 vs. ≤ 400) and as continuous variable at the plaque level and time to NC-MACE. For the plaque-level endpoint, the association between maxLCBl4mm at a Ware segment (30 mm of coronary artery) and the occurrence of NC-MACE at the same segment during 24 months was tested. The interaction between sex and maxLCBl4mm was assessed. To assess whether NIRS-IVUS can independently identify lipid-rich plaques at risk for future events, we used a frailty Cox model adjusting for high PB (>70%) and small MLA (< 4 mm²) as measured by IVUS. Analyses were done in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline measurements

Of the 1271 patients who had an evaluable maxLCBl4mm and were followed for events, 388 (30.5%) were female, and 883 (69.5%) were male. The mean follow-up time was 692 (standard deviation [SD] 129) days. The baseline characteristics of the study cohort are shown in Table 1. Women tended to be older and have more cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities. Overall, 87.5% of patients underwent PCI at the time of enrollment, with no difference between sexes. At the patient level, there was no significant difference in maxLCBl4mm between men and women (maxLCBl4mm 357.33 [SD 173.42] vs. 363.41 [SD 179.13], respectively; p = 0.57). In comparison to men, there was a trend toward a higher percentage of women having a maxLCBl4mm > 400 (42.5% vs. 37.1%, respectively; p = 0.07). At the plaque level, maxLCBl4mm was greater in women than in men (maxLCBl4mm was 174.01 [SD 181.67] vs. 161.37 [SD 175.18], respectively; p = 0.015) with a higher percentage of women having a plaque-level maxLCBl4mm > 400 (14% vs. 10.6%, respectively; p < 0.001).

When corrected for BSA, men had a larger plaque area and plaque volume than women, as well as a larger PB (p < 0.001). The IVUS-derived plaque measurements are presented in Table 2.

3.2. Endpoints at the patient level

The overall cumulative incidence of all MACE and NC-MACE at 24 months as estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method tended to be higher in women than in men (18.0% vs. 17.1% for all MACE and 10.3% vs. 7.6% for NC-MACE); however, this difference did not reach statistical significance (log-rank test p = 0.62 for all MACE and p = 0.11 for NC-MACE).
The cumulative incidence functions of NC-MACE for both groups are presented in Supplemental Fig. 1A (All MACE) and 1B (NC-MACE). The estimated cumulative incidence of NC-MACE was 11.4% in men with a maxLCBI > 400 vs. 5.4% in men with a maxLCBI ≤ 400 (log-rank test p = 0.003). The estimated cumulative incidence of NC-MACE in women with a maxLCBI > 400 was 15.1% vs. 11.4% in women with a maxLCBI ≤ 400 (log-rank test p = 0.012). The Kaplan-Meier curves of the estimated cumulative incidence of NC-MACE in women and men with the maxLCBI as a predictor are presented in Fig. 1. No difference was found in the cumulative incidences between men and women in both LCBI > 400 (log-rank test p = 0.24, Supplemental Fig. 2A) and LCBI ≤ 400 groups (log-rank test p = 0.43, Supplemental Fig. 2B). At the patient level, maxLCBI as operationalized as > 400 vs ≤ 400, significantly predicted future NC-MACE at 24 months for both men and women. The unadjusted HR of a maxLCBI > 400 was 2.10 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.28–3.44; p = 0.003) for men and 2.24 for women (95% CI: 1.18–4.30; p = 0.014). There was no significant interaction between maxLCBI > 400 and sex (p = 0.87). The maxLCBI as a continuous variable was also found to be predictive of the occurrence of NC-MACE in both sexes. When the covariates of age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, chronic renal insufficiency, prior PCI, and ACS were added to the Cox proportional-hazards model, there was no interaction between sex, either with maxLCBI as a binary variable or as a continuous variable. For men, the HR was 1.43 (95% CI: 1.22–1.67, p < 0.001), and for women, it was 2.40 (95% CI: 1.40–4.05, p < 0.001).
women, the HR was 1.48 (95% CI: 1.21–1.80, p < 0.001) per 100-unit increase of LCBI.

Of note, the predictive value of maxLCBI4mm as a binary or continuous variable remained high for both men and women, even when adjusted for a PB > 70% and for an MLA ≤ 4 mm². The correlation of the maxLCBI4mm at the plaque level and PB was 0.54 using the Pearson correlation coefficient.

Regarding vulnerable patient-level and vulnerable plaque-level endpoints, the independent correlates of NC-MACE during follow-up are shown in Table 3.

4. Discussion

In this sub-analysis of the LRP study, we investigated whether there was a difference in the ability of maxLCBI4mm detected by NIRS-IVUS to predict future cardiovascular events for both men and women. First, overall cumulative incidence of NC-MACE was not different between men and women, with women having a slightly, non-significantly higher frequency of NC-MACE. Second, the maxLCBI4mm predicted these future NC-MACE for both sexes. There was no interaction between the maxLCBI4mm and sex, indicating that the maxLCBI4mm was a valid predictor for future NC-MACE irrespective of sex. The absence of interaction between the maxLCBI4mm and sex persisted when the models were further adjusted for other covariates that were significantly different at baseline (Table 3).

In this large dataset of patients with obstructive CAD, women were older and had a higher baseline cardiovascular risk profile than men. This was in line with expectations, as the concept that women have a cardiovascular risk profile distinct from that of men is well-appreciated today [19]. Worse cardiovascular outcomes in women might be anticipated because of this higher risk profile. Some smaller studies reported worse cardiovascular outcomes for women after myocardial infarction [3,4]. In our study, there was no significant difference in cardiovascular outcomes between men and women at the patient level (defined as the estimated cumulative incidence of both all MACE and NC-MACE at 24 months). At the plaque level, women did have a slightly higher estimated cumulative NC-MACE rate (p < 0.001). The actual NC-MACE rate was very low in both groups, however. The paradox of higher cardiovascular risk but more or less equal cardiovascular outcomes could be explained by several factors, such as a variability in relative risk weighting [19]. Conversely, the sex-related difference of non-obstructive plaque composition, measured by NIRS-IVUS, could be an explanation. A large, prospective imaging study, PROSPECT, demonstrated that vulnerable-plaque characteristics were highly predictive of future non-culprit cardiovascular events [20]. Previous studies demonstrated that despite a higher cardiovascular risk profile, women often have similar, or even more favorable, plaque characteristics in comparison with men as measured by IVUS. In a sex-based sub-analysis of PROSPECT, women had less angiographic stenosis, similar plaque burden, less necrotic core, and less plaque rupture [10]. A sub-analysis of the combined results of the IBIS-3 [21] and AtheroRemo-IVUS [22] studies found a smaller plaque burden in women. In both studies, NIRS was also used to detect lipid-rich plaque. There was no baseline difference in the maxLCBI4mm between men and women [5]. Bharadwaj et al. retrospectively analyzed data from 383 patients with stable CAD who underwent clinically indicated angiography and optical coherence tomography (OCT) with NIRS-IVUS in 128 patients. There were no differences in OCT-derived plaque morphology between men and women (maximum lipid arc, lipid length, lipid volume index, minimum cap thickness, incidence of thin-cap fibroatheroma (TCFA), micro-vessels, macrophages, and calcification). The NIRS-derived maxLCBI4mm was also similar [6]. Kataoka et al. imaged non-culprit plaques with OCT in patients with stable angina pectoris (SAP) and ACS. Both in SAP and ACS patients, women had a smaller lipid arc and less calcification and
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cholesterol crystals, but a similar frequency of TCFA. It was observed that TCFAs in men were clustered more proximally in the arterial segments. Plaque erosion was more prevalent in women with SAP or ACS than in men [9]. In our larger study group, men had more conventional high-risk plaque characteristics as assessed by IVUS. Men had a greater PB than women. Men had more plaque volume and plaque area, even when corrected for BSA. Conversely, the maxLCBI was higher in women. This might suggest that men have more high-risk plaque characteristics as assessed by IVUS but that women have more lipid core as assessed by NIRS. Paradoxically, the maxLCBI was similar between sexes at the patient level. We hypothesize that the group size at the patient level was not sufficient to detect the small differences in maxLCBI between sexes. At the plaque level, there were multiple Ware segments per patient with a corresponding maxLCBI value, thereby creating a larger group size. It is challenging to compare our study results with the aforementioned studies, which were limited by either their retrospective design or small sample size. Thus, the clinical and prognostic relevance of these small differences in plaque composition remain to be assessed.

The high predictive value of NIRS for both sexes in this LRP sub-analysis is further supported by the PROSPECT II study results. PROSPECT II demonstrated that a maxLCBI ≥ 32.47 was independently related to NC-MACE at the patient and plaque levels. Patient-level odds ratio (OR) was 2.27 (95% CI: 1.25–4.13; p = 0.0071) and plaque level OR was 3.80 (95% CI: 1.87–7.70; p = 0.0002) [23]. Likewise, in our study, the predictive value of maxLCBI remained high, even when adjusted for high plaque burden and small lumen area. This indicates that the LCBI can be a good independent predictor of NC-MACE in the same Ware segment, even when corrected for these variables. Theoretically, lipid-rich plaque could be located at a site where there is a small plaque volume or PB but where the lipid core still increases risk. Taken together with our results, the current and previously reported findings suggest that high-risk plaque identification and treatment could be even more important in women, who generally have less severe angiographic stenosis but equal risk for adverse cardiovascular outcomes [10].

4.1. Study limitations

The original LRP study was not powered to assess sex differences. Follow-up time was limited to 24 months, which restricted the possible number of non-culprit-related events. A longer follow-up time could have led to more events and a stronger association between the maxLCBI and NC-MACE in both men and women. Non-traditional risk factors, including inflammatory markers or reproductive sex hormones, which are thought to influence cardiovascular risk in women especially, were not collected.

5. Conclusion

This sub-analysis of the LRP study showed that the maxLCBI was of similar predictive value for NC-MACE within 24 months in both men and women. NIRS as an addition to IVUS is a valuable diagnostic tool in both men and women to identify NC plaques that are at high risk of causing a subsequent event, either through high PB or high lipid content.
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