5-aminolevulinic acid-incorporated poly(vinyl alcohol) nanofiber-coated metal stent for application in photodynamic therapy
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Background: The study investigated the use of combined photodynamic therapy (PDT) and stent placement for the treatment of cholangiocarcinoma (CC). For this purpose, 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) was incorporated into poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) nanofiber, and coated onto metal stents. Their efficacy was assessed in PDT towards HuCC-T1 CC cells.

Methods: Fabrication of ALA-PVA nanofiber, and simultaneous coating onto metal stents, was performed through electrospinning. The dark-toxicity, generation of protoporphyrin IX (PpIX), and PDT effect of ALA and ALA-PVA nanofiber were studied in vitro, using HuCC-T1 CC cells.

Results: The ALA-PVA nanofibers were coated onto metal stents less than 1000 nm in diameter. ALA-only displayed marginal cytotoxicity; ALA-PVA nanofiber showed less cytotoxicity. PpIX generation was not significantly different between ALA and ALA-PVA nanofiber treatments. PVA itself did not generate PpIX in tumor cells. ALA and ALA-PVA nanofiber displayed a similar PDT effect on tumor cells. Cell viability was decreased, dose-dependently, until ALA concentration reached 100 µg/mL. Necrosis and apoptosis of tumor cells occurred similarly for ALA and ALA-PVA nanofiber treatments.

Conclusion: The ALA-PVA nanofiber-coated stent is a promising candidate for therapeutic use with cholangiocarcinoma.
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Introduction
Cholangiocarcinoma (CC) is a malignant tumor that arises from epithelial cells of the biliary tree.1 Prognosis for CC is generally poor, with a 5-year survival rate of less than 5%.2 In the absence of curative treatment, the median survival of advanced CC patients is less than 24 months.2–4 Surgical removal of advanced stage CC is practically impossible. Palliative therapies, such as endoscopic stent placement, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and photodynamic therapy (PDT) have been assessed as methods for prolonging survival.5–7

PDT incorporates a photosensitizer, light source, and oxygen. Individually, the components are nontoxic. The activated (irradiated) form of the photosensitizer is toxic at the site of action.6,9 Among various photosensitizers, 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA), is a precursor of a strong photosensitizing agent, protoporphyrin IX (PpIX). ALA has been used extensively in clinical applications, due to its safety, feasibility as an effective endogenous photosensitizer for fluorescence diagnosis, and its efficacy in PDT.6–12 Photosensitizing agents, administered systemically or locally, accumulate mainly in tumor cells. The agent is activated, using light of a specific wavelength, to
induce a photochemical inhibitory effect upon cancer cells that contain the activated compound.

Nanoscale materials and devices, such as nanoparticles, nanofibers, and nanodevices represent a new class of biomedical materials, which have unique biochemical and physiological properties.\textsuperscript{11–16} Polymer nanofibers, especially, have been investigated extensively for biomedical applications in recent decades.\textsuperscript{15,17,18} Because they are of similar scale to biological structures and systems, nanofibers are able to mimic the biological microenvironment and stimulate the therapeutic potential of medical agents.

In this study, we explored the novel concept of combining metal stent placement and ALA-based PDT as a therapy for CC. ALA was incorporated into poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) nanofibers (ALA-PVA) and coated onto metal stents. In this preliminary study, the PDT effect of this nanofiber was investigated in vitro using CC cells.

**Methods and materials**

**Materials**

PVA (13,000–23,000 g/M; 87%–89% hydrolyzed), ALA, 2′′,3′′-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Cell culture materials were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Propidium iodide (PI) and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-annexin V were obtained from BD Biosciences (Sparks, MD).

**Metal stent coated with PVA nanofiber**

To prepare the nanofiber-coated stents, 1350 mg of PVA and 150 mg of ALA were completely dissolved in 10 mL of water. This solution was transferred into a spin pack containing spinnerets. Electrospinning was carried out using typical apparatus (Amotech, Kimpo, Korea). A voltage of 25 kV was applied to the polymer solution via the spinneret tip (Figure 1A).\textsuperscript{19} PVA solutions containing ALA were sprayed onto a rolling collector (diameter: 1 cm; length: 10 cm; rolling speed: 800 rpm) at a rate of 50 µL per minute. PVA nanofiber-coated stents were dried for 3 hours in darkness, with reduced pressure. The nanofiber-coated stents were then carefully isolated from the collector and weighed. For studying PDT, ALA- PVA nanofiber was suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (0.01 M, pH 7.4), then sterilized using a 0.2 µm syringe filter.

**Cell culture**

The HuCC-T1 human intrahepatic CC cell line was obtained from Health Science Research Resources Bank (Osaka, Japan). The cells were cultured in RPMI1640, containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin, buffered with 25 mM HEPES in an incubator operated at at 37°C with 5% CO\textsubscript{2} concentration.

**PpIX accumulation assay**

HuCC-T1 cells (1 × 10\textsuperscript{6}) were seeded in 6-well plates and treated with ALA for 24 hours in serum-free medium, washed with PBS, then lysed with cell lysis buffer (GenDEPOT, Barker, TX). PpIX accumulation in cells was measured using an Infinite\textsuperscript{*} M200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland), at excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 nm and 635 nm, respectively.

**Dark toxicity**

HuCC-T1 cells were seeded in wells of a 96-well plate (1 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells/well) with 100 µL of culture medium. Cells were incubated in a CO\textsubscript{2} incubator at 37°C for 24 hours. Cells were then treated with various concentrations of ALA, or ALA-PVA nanofiber, in serum-free medium for 24 hours. The medium was changed to a growth medium, containing 10% FBS, and incubated for 24 hours. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay, as reported previously.\textsuperscript{20} 25 µL of MTT (3 mg/mL in PBS) was added to each well, and cells were then incubated for an additional 4 hours. Subsequently, 100 µL of sodium dodecyl sulfate (10% w/v)- hydrochloric acid (0.01 M) solution was added to each well, and cells were incubated for an additional 12 hours at 37°C with 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Next, absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 570 nm using the Infinite\textsuperscript{*} M200 PRO reader. All experiments were conducted in triplicate. Cell viability was expressed in percentages against controls. Results were calculated as means with standard deviations for three different experiments.

**Light sources for PDT**

Cultured cell plates were exposed to light-emitting diode irradiation lamps (SH System, Gwangju, Korea), at 635 nm wavelength. Light intensity was 0.25 J/cm\textsuperscript{2}, as measured by a photo radiometer (Delta Ohm, Padua, Italy).

**Cell viability assay**

HuCC-T1 cells were treated with various concentrations of ALA or ALA-PVA nanofiber in serum-free medium for 24 hours. Cells were then irradiated with 0.25 J/cm\textsuperscript{2} at 635 nm wavelength. The medium was changed, and cell viability was assessed by MTT assay.
Flow cytometry
Apoptosis and necrosis of HuCC-T1 cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. FITC annexin V and PI were employed to analyze apoptosis and necrosis of HuCC-T1 cells, respectively. Cells were exposed to ALA for 24 hours, then irradiated with 0.25 J/cm² at 635 nm wavelength. Cells were harvested and washed with PBS. Cell pellets were then resuspended in a binding buffer (pH 7.4; 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1.8 mM CaCl₂), containing FITC annexin V (1 µg/mL), then further incubated for 30 minutes. Ten minutes prior to the termination of incubation, PI (10 µg/mL) was added, to stain necrotic cells under dark conditions. Cells were analyzed immediately, using a FACScan™ flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

Fluorescence microscopy
Accumulation of PpIX was observed using an Eclipse 80i fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). PpIX was detected using a 460–480 nm excitation filter and 610 nm
emission filter. Cells were seeded onto cover glasses, positioned in a 6-well plate (1 × 10⁶ HuCC-T1 cells/well), then treated with ALA or ALA-PVA nanofiber for 24 hours in serum-free medium. The medium was discarded, and the cover glasses were washed with PBS. The cells were fixed for 10 minutes in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, and mounted onto glass slides. PpIX generation in tumor cells was observed with fluorescence microscopy.

**Results**

**Characterization of ALA-incorporated PVA nanofibers**

The porous and fibrous nanomaterial, PVA-ALA, was coated onto metal stents (Figure 1). PVA-ALA nanofibers were properly coated onto the stents (Figure 1B). The diameter of the coated fibrous matter varied, but was always less than 1000 nm. To test its biological activity and phototoxicity, ALA-PVA nanofiber was suspended in PBS.

**Dark toxicity**

Before investigating the effect of ALA-PVA nanofiber with PDT, we studied the intrinsic toxicities of ALA and ALA-PVA, using HuCC-T1 cells. As shown in Figure 2, various concentrations of ALA and ALA-PVA (drug/polymer ratio: 1/9) were used to treat HuCC-T1 cells for 24 hours, in the absence of irradiation. ALA itself was only marginally toxic; survival exceeded 70%, even at ALA concentrations less than 100 µg/mL; ALA-PVA was even less cytotoxic. Interestingly, PVA appeared to reduce the intrinsic toxicity of ALA. 90% survival of tumor cells was noted in ALA concentrations that exceeded 200 µg/mL. These results indicate that PVA, and PVA nanofibers, did not appreciably affect the viability of HuCC-T1 cells.

**PpIX generation in HuCC-T1 cells and phototoxicity**

PpIX generation in HuCC-T1 CC cells treated with ALA or ALA-PVA nanofiber is summarized in Figure 3. The extent of PpIX generation was not significantly different between ALA-only and ALA-PVA nanofiber treatment. PVA itself did not generate PpIX in tumor cells. Interestingly, at ALA concentrations greater than 50 µg/mL, PpIX generation, under treatment with ALA-PVA nanofiber, was slightly greater than for ALA only. PpIX generation in HuCC-T1 cells was confirmed by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 4), which indicated that ALA-PVA nanofiber produced PpIX in tumor cells as avidly as did ALA alone. Figure 5 summarizes data concerning the phototoxicity of ALA and ALA-PVA nanofiber towards HuCC-T1 cells. Tumor cells were irradiated 24 hours after treatment with ALA or ALA-PVA nanofiber.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2** Dark toxicity of ALA-only and ALA-PVA nanofiber against HuCC-T1 cholangiocarcinoma cells.

**Notes:** ALA, dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide, was diluted with serum-free media at least 100 times, then used in treating HuCC-T1 cells. For ALA-PVA, a calculated amount of nanofiber (ALA/PVA ratio: 1/9, w/w), from metal stents suspended in serum-free medium, was sterilized using a syringe filter (pore size: 0.2 µm). The preparation was used to treat tumor cells.

**Abbreviations:** ALA, 5-aminolevulinic acid; PVA, poly(vinyl alcohol).
The decrease in cell viability caused by ALA-PVA nanofiber treatment was very similar to that caused by ALA alone, whereas PVA alone did not induce death in tumor cells (Figure 5). Tumor cell viability decreased dose-dependently until ALA concentration reached 100 µg/mL, and remained constant with increased concentration. These results indicate that PpIX was generated in a dose-dependent manner by treatment with ALA or ALA-PVA nanofiber, which induced PDT-mediated cell death.

**Apoptosis and necrosis of tumor cells**

To investigate the mechanism of tumor cell death, HuCC-T1 cells, treated with ALA or ALA-PVA nanofiber, were stained with FITC annexin V to detect apoptotic cells, and with PI, to detect necrotic cells. As shown in Figure 6, the number of necrotic and apoptotic cells gradually increased until an ALA concentration of 25 µg/mL was reached. At 100 µg/mL ALA, the number of necrotic cells significantly increased, while that of apoptotic cells slightly decreased, indicating that these results are a reflection of cell death. Necrosis of tumor cells was dominant at ALA concentrations exceeding 100 µg/mL.

**Discussion**

CC is an incurable adenocarcinoma, arising from cholangiocytes. Even though operative removal would offer the greatest hope for a cure, this option is impossible. Palliative therapeutic...
modalities are generally used, such as radiotherapy, chemotherapy, stent placement, and PDT. Among these modalities, no beneficial effect can be expected from radiotherapy or chemotherapy. PDT and metal stent placement are the most promising approaches for prolonging patient life. When a tumor blocks the bile duct, insertion of a metal stent enables bile drainage. An uncoated metal stent offers simple mechanical palliation, without anti-tumor efficacy. In a recent review, Chahal and Baron suggested that PDT with stenting could hold promise for managing locally unresectable cholangiocarcinoma, rather than solely as a means for prolongation of life. The advantages of PDT include its safety; minimal side-effects compared to radiotherapy or chemotherapy; and the feasibility of local treatment for gastrointestinal cancer, because nontoxic components – light and a photosensitizing agent – are used for treatment.

Nanofiber technology, for drug delivery or biotechnological applications, has been investigated extensively over recent decades. Nanofibers form an ultraporous matrix with superior mechanical properties and high surface area for weight. Various types of polymeric materials can be used to make them. Nanofibers fabricated with PVA and poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) are known to be effective vehicles for enhanced topical delivery of drugs. The nanofibrous membrane of PVA has previously been used to maintain the stability and biological activity of (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG). The cellular response of PVA nanofibers containing silver nanoparticles has also been investigated.

We prepared ALA-PVA nanofiber-coated metal stents using the electrospinning method (Figure 1). PVA, which is a water-soluble surfactant, can be used as a vehicle for ALA, because ALA is a small, water-soluble molecule, insoluble in organic solvents. Since ALA is labile and light sensitive, PVA nanofiber could help to maintain its stability and biological activity.

ALA-PVA nanofiber was coated onto the metal stent – not uniformly. PVA nanofiber itself did not affect the viability of tumor cells (Figure 2). Since PpIX generation was slightly increased at high ALA concentration (Figures 3 and 4), ALA-PVA nanofiber coating of metal stents is a promising method for delivery of ALA to tumor cells. We previously reported that nonionic surfactants, such as Pluronic® F68 or Tween® 80 (Sigma-Aldrich), effectively enhance ALA uptake by tumor cells. We suggest that PVA nanofibers are also effective vehicles for delivery of ALA.

The present study combined PDT with stent placement, for palliative therapy for CC (Figure 7). Cheon et al reported that PDT with stenting resulted in longer survival than stenting alone. They observed longer survivability in patients after PDT treatment and subsequent placement of a metal stent. Local administration of a photosensitizer, combined with a metal stent, can be considered a more feasible treatment option than that of systemic administration, in the case of bile duct cancer, because local treatment provides better accumulation and more favorable biodistribution of photosensitizer in tumor tissue, leading to selective tumor cell death. We prepared ALA-PVA nanofiber to coat metal stents (Figure 1). As far as we know, this is the first use of this suggestion for palliative therapy for unresectable CC; it was suggested that PDT and stent placement offer hope for such treatment. As shown in Figure 7, combined treatment, with PDT and ALA-PVA nanofiber-coated metal stent, involved only two steps. In the first step, the nanofiber-coated stent is placed in the bile duct compromised by CC. At this stage, PpIX is generated after uptake of ALA by tumor cells. In the second step, irradiation is performed. PDT inhibits the growth or spread of the tumor. For this purpose, we selected ALA as a photosensitizing agent, because ALA is less cytotoxic towards normal cells in the absence of irradiation, compared with other photosensitizing agents. Furthermore, PpIX accumulation in ALA-treated tumor cells is greater than in normal cells. In general, the method for PDT treatment is a two-step process, involving (1) accumulation of the photosensitizing agent in tumor cells, and (2) subsequent lethal activation of the agent by irradiation at a specific wavelength. Irradiation induces an injurious photochemical reaction, in which the activated agent transfers energy to molecular oxygen, generating reactive oxygen species, which oxidize lipids, amino acids,
Figure 6 Apoptosis and necrosis analysis of HuCC-T1 cholangiocarcinoma cells, after treatment with ALA-only or ALA-PVA nanofiber for 24 hours. 

Notes: Cells were stained with PI for necrosis and FITC annexin V for apoptosis: (A and B) ALA only; (C and D) ALA-PVA nanofiber. The percentage of M1 area of total cells is displayed in graph form for (E) necrosis and (F) apoptosis.

Abbreviations: ALA, 5-aminolevulinic acid; PVA, poly(vinyl alcohol); PI, propidium iodide; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate.

Figure 7 Schematic illustration of combination of stent placement and PDT for cholangiocarcinoma.

Abbreviations: PDT, photodynamic therapy; ALA, 5-aminolevulinic acid; PVA, poly(vinyl alcohol).
and proteins, inducing necrosis and apoptosis. As shown in Figure 5, ALA-PVA nanofiber maintained a PDT effect similar to that of ALA alone. In the absence of irradiation, reduced cytotoxicity was observed with ALA-PVA nanofiber (Figure 2). PpIX generation increased with greater ALA concentration. ALA-PVA nanofiber treatment showed a similar cell death mechanism to ALA alone, in the apoptosis and necrosis analyses (Figure 6). Necrosis predominated at ALA concentrations greater than 100 µg/mL. Apoptosis resulting from treatment with ALA-PVA nanofiber was slightly greater than that resulting from ALA alone. These results indicate that ALA-PVA nanofiber has anti-tumor activity, and can generate a PDT effect on HuCC-T1 CC cells.

**Conclusion**

ALA-PVA nanofiber was coated onto metal stents for combined PDT and stent placement for palliative therapy of unresectable CC. ALA-PVA nanofiber maintained activity similar to ALA alone in generation of PpIX, contributing to cell death, while it was less cytotoxic in the absence of irradiation. ALA-PVA nanofiber-coated stents offer promise in therapy for CC.
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