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We propose a method of reconstruction after pancreaticoduodenectomy consisting of a double Roux en Y on the same jejunal loop without interruption of the mesentery and a third anatomical Roux en Y to reconstitute the alimentary tract. The construction of the double Roux en Y draining pancreas and bile ducts separately, requires a linear stapler 3-4 centimeters from the biliary anastomosis. In this way, by employing the same loop without mesenteric interruption, two functional excluded loops will be obtained. The rationale of the suggested model is based on the separation of biliary and pancreatic secretions. This makes it possible to avoid a stagnant cul-de-sac coinciding with the pancreaticojejunal anastomosis and to obtain in the case of leakage, a pure biliary and/or pancreatic fistula as far as is possible.

99mTc HIDA scans demonstrated the efficiency, of the bilio-pancreatic limbs of the reconstruction, showing normal emptying time for the gastric remnant and the absence of radionuclide stagnation or any alkaline enterogastric reflux.
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INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic fistulae due to leakage of the pancreateojjunostomy after pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) may cause severe postoperative complications and death. In the 1960-70's the mortality rate was between 20 and 75-100% 1-5 in the 1980's although the mortality rate was dramatically reduced to < 5% 6-9 and to zero 10 with improved periooperative care, the pancreatic anastomosis continued to be a major cause of morbidity 11,12 and remains around 20% (15%-30%) 10-12.

The introduction of new techniques such as the obliteration of the pancreatic duct with prolamine or fibrin glue 13-21 with or without pancreateojjunostomy, 22,23 improved techniques of anastomosis 24-25 and the revival of the pancreaticogastrostomy 26-28 prove that the fistulae 29 still constitutes a serious problem. When leakage at either biliary or pancreatic anastomoses occurs there is a high risk of mortality.

There are various methods which use separate intestinal loops for the pancreatic and biliary anastomoses 30-32 or two separate jejunal segments, one for the biliary and pancreatic anastomosis and another for the gastrojejunalstomy to avoid bile reflux into the stomach 33,34.
In this paper, we propose our reconstructive method which uses three intestinal limbs, with a view to separating the three anastomoses (pancreatic, biliary and gastric) to obtain, in the case of a leak, as pure as possible pancreatic and/or biliary fistula and to avoid alkaline reflux into the stomach.

For the best placing of the sites of the anastomoses, it may be convenient to carry out the pancreatic anastomosis first, the biliary one as second and finally the jejunojunal anastomosis. All the anastomoses were made without internal stenting \(^{15-22}\) and without ligature or obliteration of the pancreatic duct.

**B) Patients**

We have performed this operation in three cases (carcinoma, chronic pancreatitis): in one of them operated for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas head, without pancreatic duct dilatation and without jaundice, we saw two fistulas, biliary and pancreatic, both of high volume for 10 days.

They were pure, with rock watery liquid from the drainage of the pancreaticojejunal anastomosis and bile from the subhepatic tube. The patient was discharged on the 30th day after recovery from the survey and enjoyed good health for 8 months with slow but constant recuperation; he died after 18 months.

(C) **Follow-up studies**

At six and twelve months after discharge, all patients underwent a follow-up by scintiscan to evaluate the morphological and functional aspects of the reconstruction and by metabolic studies to evaluate steatorrhea, malabsorption and malnutrition.

- blood screening included: hematocrit, hemoglobin, bilirubin, gamma GT, serum transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, serum amylase, lipase, glucose, BUN, total serum proteins. Data did not show either megaloblastic anemia or dysproteinaemia.
- determination of fecal fat loss (standard 100 grams fat diet/3 days: loss of more than 7 grams/day is considered abnormal. This was normal in the neoplastic patients, but there was a mean value of 10 grams in the patient with chronic pancreatitis.
- to evaluate intestinal global absorption: D-xylose test, after oral administration of 25 grams and evaluation of the concentration in blood after one and two hours and in the urine after five hours; the normal values in blood are 17% to 12% Normal excretion of D-xylose in the urine is 4.5-7.5 grams. The test was normal in all our patients.
- morphofunctional radionuclide study:

a) 99 mTc HIDA cholecintigraphy \(^{35,36}\) There was no evidence of bile reflux into the gastric remnant. Scintiscan showed (fig.2,3) the morphology of the reconstruction referring in particular to the linear

---

**MATERIALS AND METHODS-RESULTS**

**A) Technique**

The arrangement consists (fig. 1) of a double Roux en Y on the same jejunal loop, without further mesenteric interruption, draining separately the pancreas and hepatic ducts; for this reason we thought to call it a "functional Roux". The gastrojejunal anastomosis is made by way of a Roux en Y on a second distal loop to restore the alimentary continuity.

The first and proximal jejunal loop has the form of the letter "P", obtained by an end to side jejunal anastomosis on the same loop; the pancreaticojunal and the biliojejunal anastomosis, are both end to side.

This isolated loop is transposed to the supramesocolic space through the right transverse mesocolon.

**B) Patients**

We have performed this operation in three cases (carcinoma, chronic pancreatitis): in one of them operated for adenocarcinoma of the pancreas head, without pancreatic duct dilatation and without jaundice, we saw two fistulas, biliary and pancreatic, both of high volume for 10 days.

They were pure, with rock watery liquid from the drainage of the pancreaticojejunal anastomosis and bile from the subhepatic tube. The patient was discharged on the 30th day after recovery from the survey and enjoyed good health for 8 months with slow but constant recuperation; he died after 18 months.

**C) Follow-up studies**

At six and twelve months after discharge, all patients underwent a follow-up by scintiscan to evaluate the morphological and functional aspects of the reconstruction and by metabolic studies to evaluate steatorrhea, malabsorption and malnutrition.

- blood screening included: hematocrit, hemoglobin, bilirubin, gamma GT, serum transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, serum amylase, lipase, glucose, BUN, total serum proteins. Data did not show either megaloblastic anemia or dysproteinaemia.
- determination of fecal fat loss (standard 100 grams fat diet/3 days: loss of more than 7 grams/day is considered abnormal. This was normal in the neoplastic patients, but there was a mean value of 10 grams in the patient with chronic pancreatitis.
- to evaluate intestinal global absorption: D-xylose test, after oral administration of 25 grams and evaluation of the concentration in blood after one and two hours and in the urine after five hours; the normal values in blood are 17% to 12% Normal excretion of D-xylose in the urine is 4.5-7.5 grams. The test was normal in all our patients.
- morphofunctional radionuclide study:

a) 99 mTc HIDA cholecintigraphy \(^{35,36}\) There was no evidence of bile reflux into the gastric remnant. Scintiscan showed (fig.2,3) the morphology of the reconstruction referring in particular to the linear

---

**Figure 1** Reconstruction after pancreaticoduodenectomy using the triple Roux on a "P" loop. The two functional Roux limbs (loop 1 and 2 obtained by a linear stapler TA55) and the true anatomical Roux en Y (loop 3) are shown
Stapler (TA55); we observed a delayed transit time through the "P" loop where the tracer was present for 120 minutes after the test. Moreover HIDA scan showed no bile reflux into the gastric remnant in either patient.

b) gastric emptying study after a semisolid radionuclide labelled meal (two eggs, bread and milk 99 mTc mCi; N.V.: T 1/2 = 20'-40'): showed a normal gastric emptying time. Because of our experience over many years of subtotal gastrectomy with Roux en Y reconstruction without truncal vagotomy, we extend the antrectomy to a subtotal gastrectomy to avoid the Roux en Y syndrome.

DISCUSSION

An important point about a reconstruction technique after pancreaticoduodenectomy is the chemical potentiation that can occur with mixing of bile and pancreatic secretions. It is well known that both primary bile acids and secondary ones are damaging. They are identified as "detergent-damaging" agents, because of their chemical structure and their presence in bile as conjugated (damaging in acidic pH) and non-conjugated (damaging in neutral pH).

The non-conjugated fraction is not very important in the patient after PD, because the absence of the duodenum in which bacterial de-conjugation and hydroxylation would take place; but the conjugated fraction remains an effective damaging agent. Biliary lecithin that is hydrolyzed by pancreatic phospholipase A to lysolecithin is a very powerful detergent and caustic agent.

Trypsin has also been the object of studies concerning pancreatic and biliary chemical interaction. The enzyme which is inactive in acid pH and active in neutral pH, may represent another damaging agent among chemical components of alkaline reflux. Other work has shown a relationship between exposure time to damaging agent and the gastric mucosa reaction; this may be important in the chemical-enzymatic digestion of the pancreatic stump by biliary and pancreatic secretions after pancreatic jejunal anastomosis.

The ideal reconstruction after PD, is the triple Roux en Y, pancreatic, biliary and alimentary; but the preparation of three loops has the following disadvantages.

---

**Figure 2** HIDA 99mTc Cholescintigraphy after 14 minutes: demonstration of the enteric tract between bilio-digestive anastomosis and linear stapler.

**Figure 3** HIDA 99mTc Cholescintigraphy after 70, 90, 100 minutes: at 70' the linear stapler stop is well demonstrated, at 90' the gastric stump is seen, at 100' the marked liquid bolus shows the alimentary sector of the reconstruction.
Prolongation of operating time
increased risk of mesenteric vascular damage;
more damage to mesenteric innervation with disturbance of peristalsis, with pooling of biliary and pancreatic secretions. The model that we propose is based on these considerations
no mesenteric and therefore no vascular or nervous interruption to the two loops;
no cul de sac and no stagnation with dilatation in the proximity of pancreatico-jejunal anastomosis.
separation between pancreatic and biliary secretions preventing their reciprocal potentiation. A pure pancreatic fistula (with a better prognosis than a mixed one) in the case of a leakage of the pancreatic anastomosis; similarly for the biliodigestive anastomosis;
The possibility for completion pancreatectomy, when a serious complication requires it
the “functional double Roux” may be used with or without pyloric preservation.

The morphofunctional radionuclide evaluation proved the efficiency of the biliopancreatic tract, proving the efficiency of the biliopancreatic tract, remnant and excluding any enterogastric reflux lows a pure fistula without intraluminal pooling; reduce the possibility for completion pancreatectomy, when a serious complication requires it
the “functional double Roux” may be used with or without pyloric preservation.
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