Relationship between Microstructure Evolution and Tensile Properties of AlSi10Mg Alloys with Varying Mg Content and Solidification Cooling Rates
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Abstract: This work explored and contrasted the effect of microstructure on the tensile properties of AlSi10Mg alloys generated by transient directional solidification depending on variations in cooling rate and magnesium (Mg) content (i.e., 0.45 and 1 wt. % Mg), with a focus on understanding the dendritic growth and phases constitution. Optical and scanning electron (SEM) microscopies, CALPHAD, and thermal analysis were used to describe the microstructure, forming phases, and resulting tensile properties. The findings showed that the experimental evolution of the primary dendritic spacing is very similar when both directionally solidified (DS) Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg and Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys samples are compared. The secondary dendritic spacing was lower for the alloy with more Mg, especially considering the range of high growth velocities. Moreover, a greater fraction of (Al + Si + Mg2Si) ternary eutectic islands surrounding the α-Al dendritic matrix was noted for the alloy with 1 wt.% Mg. As a result of primary dendritic spacings greater than 180 μm related to lower cooling rates, slightly higher tensile properties were attained for the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloy. In contrast, combining dendritic refining (<150 μm) and a larger Mg2Si fraction, fast-solidified DS Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg samples exhibited higher tensile strength and elongation. The control of cooling rate and fineness of the dendritic array provided a new insight related to the addition of Mg in slightly higher levels than conventional ones, capable of achieving a better balance of tensile properties in AlSi10Mg alloys.
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1. Introduction

Even though the AlSi10Mg (Al-10 wt.% Si-Mg) alloys may be processed through a variety of techniques (i.e., additive manufacturing (AM), high pressure die casting (HPD), and permanent mold casting (PM), among others), a better understanding of the solidification cooling rate effects on the microstructure and mechanical properties remains a task to be achieved. In addition to more precise control of solidification process variables and resulting microstructures, a challenge that arises is the increase in the Mg content of these alloys (usually not higher than 0.5 wt.%) to reduce or even eliminate heat treatment steps, which would be an appreciable gain in the processing routes. The main studies and their findings will be reported next, demonstrating processing and microstructure aspects regarding the as-cast AlSi10Mg alloys.

PM casting processes—unlike HPD, which is carried out by injecting the molten metal into a mold at high speed, high cooling rates (10¹–10² °C/s), and high pressure—are
performed under the effect of gravity. In this case, the molten metal enters the mold at low speeds and solidifies at lower cooling rates ($<10^1{^\circ}\text{C/s}$). Regarding the microstructural analysis carried out by Zhang et al. [1] for Al-10Si-0.6Mg samples solidified by HPD, the formation of an outermost layer containing $\alpha$-Al dendrites enveloped by the (Al + Si) eutectic was observed, a sub-layer rich in eutectic and another sub-layer rich in $\alpha$-Al dendrites [2,3]. Dendrite length-scale size also changed, becoming coarser towards the center of the sample. This was attributed to changes in the cooling rate, which are significantly higher for positions closer to the mold walls. After the formation of these surface layers (~180–200 $\mu$m thick), the microstructure becomes more uniform and coarser.

As expected, the average secondary dendritic spacing, $\lambda_2$, of the PM samples was greater than that observed in the HPD samples due to the lower cooling rates [1]. Moreover, the eutectic Si and the $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$ phase in the PM sample were larger in size than in the HPD sample.

Yan and coauthors [4] investigated the influence of microstructure features on the mechanical properties of the AlSi10Mg alloy manufactured by conventional casting ($10^0$–$10^1{^\circ}\text{C/s}$) compared with those of the same alloy manufactured by selective laser fusion (SLM: $10^3$–$10^6{^\circ}\text{C/s}$), with an emphasis on understanding the mechanisms of microstructure formation. Large differences in performance were observed between the as-cast sample and the SLM sample. The SLM sample was shown to have anisotropy in relation to the tensile properties, but it also had more refined grains and different precipitated phases, which also led to increased tensile strength for loading conditions perpendicular to the grain alignment direction. In contrast, loads in the parallel direction in relation to that of the grain alignment provided lower values. Overall, as a result of grain refinement and a tortuous crack course, the SLM AlSi10Mg sample showed higher tensile strength but lower elongation than the as-cast sample.

In the assessment of slightly higher Si levels, Kakitani and coauthors [5] investigated solidification features of the Al-15 wt.% Si-1.5 wt.% Mg alloy. It was demonstrated that dendrite interphase spacing measurements agreed with Hall–Petch calculations for ultimate tensile strength and elongation to fracture considering a broad range of solidification cooling rates. It was demonstrated that controlling the distance between the ductile $\alpha$-Al phase as well as the eutectic strengthening constituent is essential, since it affects the alloy’s tensile properties. As the dendrite interphase spacing was shortened, remarkable increases in strength and ductility were attained. Studies evaluating dendritic growth in aluminum (Al) alloys and factors influencing this growth, as well as consequences on the application properties, are prominent in the specialized literature [6–13].

Marola et al. [14] found a connection between the microstructure, phase constitution, and thermal behavior of AlSi10Mg samples generated using different rapid and intermediate solidification techniques. Wider and lower reflections as the cooling rate increases indicate increased supersaturation and smaller grain size. However, neither the values of the cooling rates nor their direct interrelationships with microstructural parameters such as $\lambda_2$, for instance, have been determined.

Pereira and collaborators [15] performed a comparison of microstructure features and mechanical properties of the Al-7% Si-0.6% Mg alloy obtained through SLM and lost wax (LW) casting. Regarding the microstructure of the generated samples, it was noted that the SLM sample was composed of a thin cellular structure having $\alpha$-Al cells smaller than 1 $\mu$m in size and with eutectic Si and $\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}$ grouped in the intercellular regions. In the LW sample, the microstructure was composed of the $\alpha$-Al dendritic matrix, eutectic Si, and $\beta$ Fe-bearing intermetallic particles.

Recent studies by Arici et al. [16,17] have evaluated both the microstructure and the mechanical properties of Al alloys containing high silicon (Si) and low Mg in their compositions. These studies focused on the impact of minor additions of transition elements such as zirconium (Zr), vanadium (V), and titanium (Ti) on the properties of these Al alloys. One of the chosen alloys was the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.3 wt.% Mg for melting in PM casting. Manganese (Mn) was added to reduce the tendency of the alloy to react with the mold. The resulting
microstructures showed dendritic growth and formation of (Al + Si) eutectic. Long needles of intermetallic phases and the presence of the Mg\textsubscript{2}Si phase were also observed. There was no control of the thermal solidification parameters such as cooling rate and growth velocity, which makes the understanding of the microstructural characteristics less evident.

The AlSi10Mg alloys have demonstrated great potential and versatility in several processes involving melting and solidification. Therefore, the studies listed so far show the need to establish reliable interrelationships between microstructural and solidification thermal parameters and between microstructure aspects and properties, counting on cooling rate measurement and control. Although there is a reasonable amount of research carried out on AlSi10Mg alloys, no study was found with a focus on determining the solidification rates in an expressive range in order to comprehend the influence of this thermal parameter. This type of approach can increase the prospect of microstructural and properties control for processes such as conventional casting, LW, PM, and HPD. It is worth mentioning that in the case of rapid solidification processes such as AM-related techniques, direct cooling rate measurements are more difficult due to the nature of the process, that is, extremely high cooling rates and reduced times [18].

Because of their high strength-to-weight ratio, heat-treatable capability, and excellent castability, Al-Si-Mg alloys are commonly used to manufacture components for the automotive and aerospace sectors of the industry. According to precedent findings, Copper (Cu) and Mg are used in Al-Si foundry alloys to produce typical precipitation-hardening phases such as Al\textsubscript{2}Cu, Mg\textsubscript{2}Si, and Al\textsubscript{2}CuMg, which can provide improved mechanical properties to the alloy [19,20]. Dunn and Dickert [21] demonstrated that adding Mg up to 0.55 percent might improve the tensile properties and hardness of the A380 and 383 alloys. Those alloys for which this Mg limit has been established have high Si, but also high Cu and zinc (Zn). In the case of the ternary Al-10 wt.% Si(-Mg) alloys, the Mg limit has not been verified so far, to the best of the present authors’ knowledge. High-silicon Al-Si-Mg alloys are specifically engineered for casting high-integrity structural components. These alloys have a broad variety of property levels to satisfy the criteria for hardness, high mechanical properties, and crash efficiency. Moreover, Al-Si-Mg alloys, in addition to their excellent corrosion resistance, can be used to cast thin and massive structural parts due to their extremely high fluidity [22].

A transient directional solidification casting was used in this study to allow two Al-10 wt.% Si (−0.45 and −1 wt.% Mg) alloys with substantially different microstructures and tensile properties to be generated. The differences in cooling rates, growth velocities, dendritic growth (either primary or secondary arms), phase constitution, and tensile properties between both directionally solidified Al-Si-Mg alloys have been investigated. A number of techniques including CALPHAD, optical and scanning electronic microscopies, thermal analysis, and mechanical tests were used to clarify how Mg content and cooling rate might affect output disparities in either dendritic growth or tensile properties.

2. Experimental Procedure

In an induction furnace with an Si carbide crucible covered with zircon, two alloys were prepared using commercially pure Al (>99.9 percent purity), Si (>99.7 percent purity), and Mg (>99.5 percent purity). To generate the desired ternary Al-10 wt.% Si (−0.45 and 1 wt.% Mg) alloys, appropriate quantities of Mg and Si were added to the melt. They were applied to the molten bath in small pieces so that they could melt easily. After, the molten alloys were poured into a split AISI 304 stainless steel mold with an internal diameter of 60 mm, a height of 157 mm, and a wall thickness of 5 mm. To minimize radial heat losses, a coating of insulating alumina was applied to the vertical inner mold wall. A thin AISI 1020 carbon steel plate was used to seal the bottom of the mold (3 mm thick). The inner plate’s surface was ground with #1200 finishing sandpaper.

The molten alloy was degassed with argon gas for 2 min using a perforated quartz tube before being scorified with the appropriate flux and cast as 60 mm × 157 mm cylindrical ingots. A directional solidification method, as described in previous articles [23,24],
was used to achieve non-stationary heat flow conditions during the production of the castings. To allow continuous temperature measurements during solidification, eight fine K-type thermocouples were mounted along the length of the casting. The thermocouple tips were set in distinct longitudinal points until 74 mm, with the cooled bottom of the mold serving as a reference. As a result, temperature shifts may be used to track the directional growth of the alloy casting. With thermocouples in place and activated, the alloy was poured into the mold and the electric heaters were turned off; additionally, when the programmed melt overheating was reached, the regulated water flow was turned on.

After the Al-Si-Mg alloys castings were produced, longitudinal and transverse section samples were extracted from them using a precision saw. Metallography was used to examine eight sections at different distances from the cooled surface of the casting. For revealing the microstructures, the sections of interest of each casting were sanded following the sequence #80, #120, #150, #180, #240, #320, #400, #600 and #1200. Primary ($\lambda_1$) and secondary ($\lambda_2$) dendritic arm spacings were measured using an Olympus Metallurgical Microscope (model GX51, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), while the dendritic arrays were clearly visualized. The intercept procedure was used on longitudinal samples to detect $\lambda_2$, whereas the triangle method distinguished $\lambda_1$. Both spacing values were defined by the average counting of 50 measurements per position ($P$) along the casting length [25]. This is essential because each position relative to the base of the casting experiences a different solidification condition, the closest being, for example, related to faster solidification.

To supplement the optical microstructural characterization, a scanning electron microscope (SEM-EDS) FEI (Inspect S50L, FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) was used. This instrument was used to examine transverse section samples of the DS Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys castings, and EDS elemental mapping was performed to assess the relative distribution of the formed phases in each alloy.

Tensile experiments were carried out on transverse specimens machined from various locations along the length of the DS castings. Three specimens per position of interest were prepared in accordance with the ASTM Standard E 8M [26] specifications and tested at a strain rate of $3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$.

3. Results and Discussion

The thermal profiles registered for the two Al-Si-Mg alloys are shown in Figure 1. Each line shows the time evolution of the temperature from the liquid metal at a certain point across the length of the casting. Smaller values of positions ($P$), such as 5 mm and 10 mm, mean smaller distances in relation to the cooled base of the casting, whereas higher “$P$” values mean more distant positions, with softer cooling profiles. These profiles will be very useful in order to determine cooling rates and growth velocities, as will be seen next. The liquidus temperatures ($T_L$) are illustrated with horizontal dashed lines in the graphs. These temperatures were obtained by examining the results observed in cooling curves registered in very slow cooling-down periods.

The profiles of the associated positions in each alloy casting are very similar, which indicates to a certain extent little influence of the increase in Mg on the drop in temperature during directional solidification. By observing the Scheil solidification diagrams in Figure 2, it can be seen that the freezing solidification intervals of the two alloys are very similar. This corroborates the limited comparative variations in the thermal profiles of the same positions between both alloys around the liquidus temperature. Even though Timelli and Bonollo [27] affirmed that the Mg content has some impact on the fluidity of Al-Si alloys, decreasing it with increasing Mg content, it appears that contents that are as low as those applied to the alloys evaluated here may not be enough to significantly alter the alloy fluidity.

Solidification interval and melt fluidity are considered key factors in altering thermal conductance at the metal/mold interface [28,29]. These factors influence the thermal history during solidification. In the present case, it seems not to be sufficient to cause significant changes, as can be seen in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Temperature x time profiles registered at different points along the length of the casting during solidification of the (a) Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg and (b) Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys. The distances marked within the graphics represent the positions from the metal/mold interface.

Figure 2. Scheil diagrams showing the solidification sequences related to the (a) Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg and (b) Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys.

The series of phases forming and their proportions are critical for controlling multicomponent alloy solidification. Given that the addition of Mg might change the precipitation
series from easy to complicated in Al-Si alloys, the CALPHAD approach becomes an important tool for modeling multicomponent alloys [30].

Figure 2 depicts the Scheil evolutions of the fractions of the phases produced for each alloy as a function of temperature. It should be noted that the primary $\alpha$-Al phase may correspond to 22% of mass fraction, growing for higher temperatures. For lower temperatures, the Si phase precipitates until the temperature attains the eutectic plateau. The proportions of ternary eutectic are 6% and 17% for the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg and Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys, respectively. This means that the Scheil calculations predict a fraction of ternary eutectic 3 times higher for the alloy with more Mg.

The data in Figure 1 allow monitoring of the displacement of the liquidus isotherm along the length of the casting during the cooling process. As such, plots of the position (P) in the castings versus time (t) of passage of the isotherm corresponding to each alloy could be generated. The liquidus growth velocities ($V_L$) were obtained by taking the time derivative of these $P \times t$ functions, and the time derivative of each cooling curve ($dT/dt$) was computed right after the liquidus isotherms passed by each thermocouple, thus permitting the liquidus cooling rate, $T_c$, to be determined as a function of P. Cooling rate and growth velocity color maps for both alloys are plotted as a way of exemplifying the changes in these solidification thermal parameters along the casting length, as observed in Figure 3. The parameter graduation is shown by the color scales on the right side of each map. Confirming what was observed by the previous analysis of the thermal profiles in Figure 1, it can be noted that the evolutions in the color graphs of both the growth velocity and the cooling rate were very similar when the results of the alloys under evaluation were compared.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** Representations in color maps of the evolution of growth velocities and cooling rates from top to bottom along the length of the solidified Al-Si-Mg bodies: (a,b) $V_L$ and (c,d) $T_c$ for both examined alloys.

Most DS castings may have two main macrostructural zones that correspond to either columnar or equiaxed grains. These castings may present one of the two zones or both, depending mainly on the solidification conditions and alloy composition. Columnar grains often grow from the bottom mold surface, where thermal gradients are strong, and the growth is preferentially oriented in the direction of the heat flux. As soon as the gradients towards the top of the casting are reduced, equiaxed grains may nucleate in the remaining liquid [31,32]. In the case of the macrostructures in Figure 4, high starting cooling rates provided a predominance of columnar macromorphology at approximately 85% of the length of the castings, regardless of alloy Mg content. Many of the columnar grains are guided by very elongated vertical primary dendritic trunks containing lateral branches.
Most DS castings may have two main macrostructural zones that correspond to either columnar or equiaxed grains. These castings may present one of the two zones or both, depending mainly on the solidification conditions and alloy composition. Columnar grains often grow from the bottom mold surface, where thermal gradients are strong, and the growth is preferentially oriented in the direction of the heat flux. As soon as the gradients towards the top of the casting are reduced, equiaxed grains may nucleate in the remaining liquid [31,32]. In the case of the macrostructures in Figure 4, high starting cooling rates provided a predominance of columnar macromorphology at approximately 85% of the length of the castings, regardless of alloy Mg content. Many of the columnar grains are guided by very elongated vertical primary dendritic trunks containing lateral branches.

Figure 4. Columnar-type grain morphology revealed along the longitudinal section of the evaluated (a) Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg and (b) Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys.

Light microstructures, as seen in Figures 5 and 6, were revealed to verify the characteristics of the dendritic arrangements along the solidified castings. It is also possible to compare the length-scale of the dendrites in both cross and longitudinal sections as a function of the thermal solidification parameters. These images were used to measure the primary (cross section) and secondary (longitudinal) dendritic spacings. For all levels of cooling rates, in addition to the $\alpha$-Al dendrites, the interdendritic regions (dark areas) are composed of Si and Mg$_2$Si, part of the eutectic constituent. It is also possible to perceive an effective microstructural thickening with the reduction in cooling rate and growth velocity.

The microstructures in both Figures 5 and 6 changed significantly with a reduction in the cooling rate. Lower cooling rates mean longer local solidification times. Under such conditions, secondary dendrite arms may grow slowly, permitting diffusion mechanisms to operate for longer times. The secondary dendritic spacings are coarser the longer the contact time of the dendritic branch with the interdendritic liquid. This effect can be clearly seen in Figures 5 and 6.
Figure 5. Light microstructures showing dendritic arrangements and their dependencies with cooling rates and growth velocities for the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloy.

Figure 6. Cont.
Figure 6. Light microstructures showing dendritic arrangements and their dependencies with cooling rates and growth velocities for the Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloy.

Figure 7 depicts the secondary phase’s morphologies at cooling rates around 10 K/s for both examined alloys. The morphology of the Mg2Si phase (dark phase) in the ternary eutectic area is Chinese-script-like [33]. Si particles (light phase) show a lamellar morphology typically found in these alloys for a wide range of cooling rates [34,35]. SEM/EDS analyses with EDS elemental mappings in Figure 7 show the element composition and distribution in each phase. According to Liu and Kang [33], the addition of Mg to Al-Mg-Si alloys has a significant impact on the development of solidification microstructures. Moreover, increased Mg content promotes an increase in the fraction of Mg2Si particles. This was also observed in the present results.
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Figure 7. SEM images showing the formed phases, details with greater magnification and color mapping of the elements Al, Si, and Mg: (a) Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg and (b) Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg.

The experimental evolutions of measured $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are shown in Figure 8. It shows expressive variations of values representative of the dendritic scale in the two alloys of interest. As expected, the length-scale related to $\lambda_1$ is much higher compared with the $\lambda_2$ scale size.

Figure 8. Experimental variations of (a) $\lambda_1$ and (b) $\lambda_2$ against position from the metal–mold interface along the length of the Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg and Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloys castings.

Figure 9 shows a comparison of further results for the Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg and Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloys. In the matter of $\lambda_1$ growth versus $T$, a $-0.4$ exponent was matched with a single relation being able to represent the whole set of experimental points of both alloys. While the exponent $-0.55$ is more commonly used in this type of scaling relation for binary Al alloys [36,37], the solidification of ternary alloys with more complex phase formation may have induced a slightly lower exponent. Another assessment involved plotting $\lambda_2$ against the growth velocity, as also seen in Figure 9. The alloy containing more Mg showed lower $\lambda_2$ values if the same growth velocity is considered.
These correlations were proposed in the following format: $\lambda_1 \times$ cooling rate and $\lambda_2 \times$ growth velocity. This is based on previous relationships presented in many literature models that linked these dendritic spacing values with the solidification thermal parameters in this manner [38–40].

Figure 10 shows how the ultimate tensile strength, yield tensile strength, and elongation values of the DS Al-Si-Mg alloys castings differ over their microstructural range. The plots were proposed on a Hall–Petch basis. The Hall–Petch coefficients were determined based on the trend lines obtained by linear regression of the experimental points for each case of interest. Thus, the relationships between two variables were determined, that is, $\sigma_u \times \lambda_1$ and $\delta \times \lambda_1$. In the case of the $\sigma_u \times \lambda_1$, the variations were considered insignificant, and it was chosen to draw a constant horizontal line as representative of these results. Due to the orientation of the extracted tensile specimens in the normal direction in relation to the primary dendritic trunks, it is understood here that a more representative correlation of the tensile properties should be established with $\lambda_1$. 

Figure 10. Tensile properties of the Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg and Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloys as function of $\lambda_1$: (a) $\sigma_u$, (b) $\sigma_y$, (c) $\delta$. 

Figure 9. Scaling relations of microstructural spacings with thermal solidification parameters, such as (a) cooling rate and (b) growth velocity for the Al-Si(-Mg) alloys.
As previously shown in Figure 9, the quantified dendrite interphase spacing of the Al-rich matrix, $\lambda_1$, is that related to the different cooling rates measured for the solidified samples. While the properties of the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloy are superior for coarser $\lambda_1$ spacing, the properties of Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloy are superior and stand out in relation to the entire data set for more refined microstructure arrangements. In terms of $\lambda_1$, such reversal behavior means values less than 150 $\mu$m, and $\lambda_2$ values less than 20 $\mu$m. The best balance of properties provided a yield tensile strength, $\sigma_y$, of 142 MPa, an ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_u$, of 220 MPa, and an elongation, $\delta$, of 5%.

This superior set of properties represents DS samples of the unmodified Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloy with $\lambda_2$ of about 16 $\mu$m. These values are higher than those reported by Zhang et al. [1] in samples of the Sr-modified Al-10Si-0.6Mg-0.6Mn alloy solidified via PM casting with $\lambda_2$ of 12 $\mu$m. The tensile properties under such conditions were $\sigma_u$ of 180 MPa, $\sigma_y$ of 120 MPa, and $\delta$ of 1.8%. For the present DS samples, a 22% increase in $\sigma_u$, 18% increase in $\sigma_y$, and a 177% increase in $\delta$ are shown to occur as compared with those of the PM casting condition attained by Zhang et al. [1]. This is an interesting comparison because the results under PM casting involve an alloy with eutectic Si modification and insertion of Mn, in addition to greater dendritic fineness. This shows that a slight increase in Mg up to 1 wt.% can result in significant improvements. Moreover, these properties tend to improve even more with T6 treatment, as shown in other studies in the literature [1,16,17].

Li et al. [41] demonstrated that the ideal Mg content for the as-cast Al-10Si-series alloys remains around 2 wt.% Mg, even observing good balance of tensile properties in the alloy containing 0.8 wt.% Mg, which are $\sigma_y$ of 96 MPa, $\sigma_u$ of 212 MPa, and $\delta$ of 12%. In this study, additions of 0.4 wt., 0.8 wt., 1.2 wt., 2 wt., and 3 wt.% Mg to the Al-10 wt.% Si alloy solidified in a permanent steel mold were investigated. However, variations in cooling rates and dendritic spacing were not analyzed. The observations of this study are especially useful to explain the simultaneous increase in ductility and strength for the refined samples of the Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloy as compared with the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloy. At the 1.0 wt.% Mg addition level, crack initiation and dissemination were minimized as compared with the Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg alloy, because the high Mg addition level caused even more tortuous dendritic borders, which is directly conducive to increased strength and ductility. Moreover, because of the substantial reduction in growing space induced by the refinement of $\lambda_2$, the increased Mg content indirectly facilitated the size reduction of the Mg$_2$Si intermetallic particles, improving both strength and ductility.

4. Conclusions

The macrostructure of both DS Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg and Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloys castings is characterized by a columnar macromorphology at approximately 85% of the length of the castings from the cooled bottom. The microstructure of both alloys is formed by an $\alpha$-Al matrix with a dendritic arrangement and well-defined primary ($\lambda_1$) and secondary ($\lambda_2$) arms, with interdendritic regions composed of the eutectic mixture: $\alpha$-Al; Si particles of lamellar morphology; and Chinese-script-like Mg$_2$Si intermetallics.

Dendritic growth laws were experimentally determined relating $\lambda_2$ to the growth velocity ($v_L$), and to the solidification cooling rate ($T$), that is:

$$\text{Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg: } \lambda_2 = 22 (v_L)^{-2/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\text{Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg: } \lambda_2 = 14 (v_L)^{-1.0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

And $\lambda_1 = 165 (T)^{-0.4}$ for both alloys, where $\lambda$ [\(\mu\)m]; $T$ [°C/s] and $v_L$ [mm/s].

According to Hall–Petch-type relationships, the ultimate tensile strength ($\sigma_u$) and elongation ($\delta$) of both alloys studied varied with $\lambda_1$:

$$\text{Al-10 wt.% Si-0.45 wt.% Mg: } \sigma_u = 527 \left(1/\lambda_1\right)^{1/2} + 152.5, \text{ and } \delta = 57.4 \left(1/\lambda_1\right)^{1/2} + 0.9$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\text{Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg: } \sigma_u = 1057.4 \left(1/\lambda_1\right)^{1/2} + 105.8, \text{ and } \delta = 206 \left(1/\lambda_1\right)^{1/2} - 11.5$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $\sigma_u$ is in MPa and $\delta$ in %. The tensile properties of the Al-10 wt.% Si-1 wt.% Mg alloy were shown to be superior and stand out in relation to the entire data set for more refined microstructure arrangements ($\lambda_1 < 150 \mu m$ and $\lambda_2 < 20 \mu m$). The best balance of properties provided a yield tensile strength, $\sigma_y$, of 142 MPa; ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_u$, of 220 MPa; and elongation, $\delta$, of 5%.
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