Oblique Perforated Impact Strength of Woven Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Composites
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Abstract. This present work investigated the perforated impact strength of woven kenaf fiber reinforced composites subjected to different projectile velocities. Three layers of woven kenaf mats were stacked with four different fiber orientations 0, 15, 30 and 45°. The composites are fabricated using hand-layout where the woven mats were placed into the mould with a polymeric resin. The wetted composites were compressed to squeeze out the excessive resin and to eliminate the void contents. The hardened samples were shaped into a standard geometry specified by ASTM D3763. Then, the composites were perforated impact using different speeds 1, 2 and 3 m/s. According to the present results, it was found that the perforated impact strength reduced when the impact velocity was increased. However, the impact strength of 15° oriented composite was higher when compared with other types of composites.

Introduction

Synthetic fiber is widely used to fabricate the composite materials especially in automotive industries. Due to environmental awareness, researchers and industrial communities are working to reduce the utilization of synthetic fibers and therefore replacing such fibers with sustainable materials such as natural fiber. On the other hand, natural fibers also offer low density and low cost in comparison with the conventional fibers.

Tremendous amount of works can be found in open literature [1-6] discussing on the applications of natural fibers reinforced composites in engineering structures. More specifically, such composites are also used to withstand under perforated impact loading [1, 4, 5]. However, lack of works found on investigating the perforated impact especially when loaded obliquely [4]. It is also found that the natural fiber reinforced composites are paramount sensitive under dynamic loading especially when the fibers are randomly oriented [5]. It is uninvestigated for the case when the fibers are properly oriented or weaved [6].

Therefore, the present work investigates the effect of woven kenaf fiber reinforced composites under oblique perforated impact loading using different inclined angles such as 0, 8, 10 and 13°. Three layers of woven mats are stacked and reoriented before it is hardened with polymeric resin. The composites are then shaped into standard geometry and dimensions. A rigid projectile is used to impact the composites at different velocities (1, 2 and 3 m/s). Then, the impact performances are discussed and related with the composite conditions and the fragmentation mechanisms.

Methodology

The as-received kenaf yarn as shown in Fig. 1(a). It is then weaved into a woven mat as in Fig. 1(b) using in-house designed machine. Fig. 1(c) shows the final form of plain woven kenaf mats and finally, the three woven mats are stacked using different fiber orientations such as [0°/0°/0°], [0°/15°/0°], [0°/30°/0°] and [0°/45°/0°] into a rectangular steel mould as in Fig. 2(a). Polyester resin is then poured into the mould and it is compressed in order to squeeze out the
excessive resin and to minimize the void contents. The hardened composite plates are shaped into a standard geometry specified by ASTM D3763.

Shimadzu Hydroshut machine shown in Fig. 2(b) is used to perforate the composite plates where the samples are placed normal to a rigid projectile using different initial impact velocities 1, 2 and 3 m/s. In order to perforate the plate obliquely, all samples are inclined using specialized jigs as in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). Four different oblique angles are used namely 0, 8, 10 and 13°. All results corresponding with the impact tests are recorded automatically and summarized into Table 1.

Table 1 Average energy absorption performance of woven kenaf fiber reinforced composites.

| Sample | Orientation of woven | Velocity (m/s) | Maximum force (kN) | Impact energy (J) | Absorb energy (J) |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1      | [0°/45°/0°]          | 1              | 0.888              | 12.83             | 11.89             |
| 2      | [0°/45°/0°]          | 1              | 0.681              | 9.78              | 9.74              |
| 3      |                     | 1              | 0.483              | 6.57              | 3.92              |
| 4      | [0°/45°/0°]          | 1              | 0.611              | 3.32              | 1.26              |
| 5      | [0°/45°/0°]          | 2              | 0.92               | 7.26              | 3.98              |
| 6      |                     | 2              | 0.808              | 6.63              | 2.94              |
| 7      |                     | 2              | 0.809              | 6.91              | 2.61              |
| 8      |                     | 2              | 0.687              | 4.53              | 2.31              |
| 9      | [0°/45°/0°]          | 3              | 0.582              | 9.11              | 7.82              |
| 10     | [0°/45°/0°]          | 3              | 0.712              | 6.67              | 4.74              |
| 11     |                     | 3              | 0.671              | 6.97              | 3.57              |
| 12     |                     | 3              | 0.762              | 6.79              | 1.66              |

Results and Discussion

Fig. 3 shows the curves of force versus time for the composite fiber oriented at different angles and perforated impact at different speeds. It is also showed that the maximum forces for all types of composites are almost similar to each other. However, the composite stiffness increased when the projectile speed is increased. In general, the curves can be divided into three regions, elastic deformation, contact between projectile and composite surface and penetration stages. It is revealed that the composites resistance during the perforated process decreased when higher projectile speed is used.

However, the composite fibers oriented with 15° capable to resist the projectile displacement where the contact time between two surfaces increased when compared with other composites.
Fig. 3(a) shows the composite responses when impacted with a 1 m/s rigid projectile. It is obvious that the contact time for 15° fiber oriented composite is higher than other type of orientations. Due to the sensitivity effect of natural fiber on the dynamic loading, the contact time decreased significantly when higher projectile speed is used (2 and 3 m/s) as shown in Fig. 3(b) and 3(c).

![Fig. 3](image)

Fig. 2 (a) Kenaf mat arrangement in the mould, (b) Shimadzu Hydroshut impact machine, (c) Specialized jig for oblique perforation and (d) Assemble jig and composite plate.

Fig. 4 shows the perforated holes of the woven composites when subjected to the rigid projectiles at speed 1 m/s. It is showed that the damages around the holes are strongly depend on the fiber orientations. Composites with 0 and 150 fiber orientations have an identical damage pattern where it is dispersed around the holes. However for the 15 and 450 oriented fiber reinforced composites, the damaged region is concentrated around the edges of perforated holes. These damage mechanisms can be related with the energy absorption performances as listed in Table 1. It is showed that the energy absorbed by the 150 fiber oriented composites are among the highest consequently produced higher peak force. When the projectile speed is increased up to 3 m/s, all impact parameter for all types of composites have identical results where the impact performance is not significantly affected by the fiber orientations.
Fig. 3 Force versus time curves of perforated impact on the kenaf fiber reinforced composites subjected to oblique loading, (a) 0°, (b) 8°, (c) 10° and (d) 13° using different projectile speeds.

Fig. 4 Damaged zones around the perforated holes impacted using 1 ms⁻¹ for different oblique angles (a) 0°, (b) 8°, (c) 10° and (d) 13° (Left: Front face and Right: Back face).
Conclusion

This paper presents the perforated impact performances on the woven kenaf fiber reinforced composites. Woven kenaf mats are orientated using different angles and impacted using different speeds of rigid projectiles. Several important conclusions can be drawn as follows:

i. Higher impact performances can be obtained if lower impact velocity is used (1 m/s).

ii. At lower impact velocity (1 m/s), all types of composites produced longer impact contact time compared with higher impact velocity (> 2 m/s).

iii. When impact speed is greater than 3 m/s, all impact parameters or performances have an identical results. This means that if different fiber orientations and impact speeds are used, the results are almost similar to each other.
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