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ABSTRACT

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an ongoing pandemic caused by an RNA virus termed as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2 possesses an almost 30kbp long genome. The genome contains open-reading frame 1ab (ORF1ab) gene, the largest one of SARS-CoV-2, encoding polyprotein PP1ab and PP1a responsible for viral transcription and replication. Several vaccines have already been approved by the respective authorities over the world to develop herd immunity among the population. In consonance with this effort, RNA interference (RNAi) technology holds the possibility to strengthen the fight against this virus. Here, we have implemented a computational approach to predict potential short interfering RNAs including small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and microRNAs (miRNAs), which are presumed to be intrinsically active against SARS-CoV-2. In doing so, we have screened miRNA library and siRNA library targeting the ORF1ab gene. We predicted the potential miRNA and siRNA candidate molecules utilizing an array of bioinformatic tools. By extending the analysis, out of 24 potential pre-miRNA hairpins and 131 siRNAs, 12 human miRNA and 10 siRNA molecules were sorted as potential therapeutic agents against SARS-CoV-2 based on their GC content, melting temperature ($T_m$), heat capacity ($C_p$), hybridization and minimal free energy (MFE) of hybridization. This computational study is focused on lessening the extensive time and labor needed in conventional trial and error based wet lab methods and it has the potential to act as a decent base for future researchers to develop a successful RNAi therapeutic.

1. Introduction

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive single-stranded RNA virus that has been ailing millions of human beings around the world since December 2019. The disease COVID-19 caused by this virus, ultimately leads to respiratory failure and then progresses up to multiple organ failure [1,2]. Due to the higher transmission rate, the virus has spread out whole over the world resulting in massive break out of COVID-19 disease and subsequently, COVID-19 was declared as a global pandemic [3]. Since the first report of a cluster of patients in Wuhan city of China, this virus has already claimed the lives of more than 2 million people around the world and the number is still increasing day by day [4]. To terminate this global pandemic, researchers are now in the race against time to develop vaccines and antiviral drugs. Their efforts are being challenged by the frequent mutation of the virus that has resulted in variants with higher transmission rates [5]. There are also instances of and reported the ineffectiveness of some approved vaccines against new variants [6–8].

There are several variants of SARS-CoV-2 and all the variants share common fundamental features. The virus has been classified under the Coronaviridae family with almost 30 kb long (+) ssRNA genome and there are four major types of structural proteins that construct the capsid [9]. During the initiation stage of infection, SARS-CoV-2 launches its spike glycoprotein (S protein) onto the angiotensin converting enzyme 2
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(ACE-2) receptor, mostly abundant in the lungs and airways in the human body. Enzymes like furin or transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2), found on the exterior surface of the host cells, cleaves the S protein in several specific sites to expose the fusion peptides that help the SARS-CoV-2 membrane to fuse with the host cell membrane. This fusion allows the RNA genome of SARS-CoV-2 to gain entry into the host cell [10]. The replication-transcription complex (RTC) of SARS-CoV-2 transcribes the viral negative-stranded sub-genomic RNAs (sgRNAs) that translate the structural and nonstructural accessory proteins. In all coronavirus genome, including the one of SARS-CoV-2, the 3'-terminus

---

**Fig. 1.** Flow diagram of entire steps. Graphical representation of complete processes which has been taken in this study are summarized.
ORFs encode the structural proteins such as, S proteins, envelope proteins (E), membrane proteins (M), and nucleocapsid proteins (N) [11]. The 5′ terminus ORF1ab region (21,291 nucleotides long) contains overlapping open reading frames that encode polyproteins PP1ab and PP1a. Both of these polyproteins act as precursor for 16 non-structural proteins (nsp 1 to 16) [9] and in addition to that these two have major role in viral replication, transcription, immune response modulation [12,13]. Because of the vital functions of these proteins, the corresponding genes of pp1ab and pp1a silencing can downregulate the overall expression of all the genomic and replicator components of SARS-CoV-2. Thus, ORF1ab could be a target for RNAi-mediated gene silencing.

RNAi is the sequence specific post-transcriptional gene silencing mechanism mediated by double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs), either endogenous pre-miRNA precursors or exogenous longer siRNAs [14,15]. Thus, dsRNAs could be exploited as the degrader of homologous mRNA of a viral gene [16–18]. Consequently, viral activity can also be controlled by RNAi technology. RNAi mechanism initiates with the cleaving of dsRNAs by RNase-III like protein Dicer resulting in shorter 21–25 nucleotides interfering RNAs with 2–3 nucleotides overhangs [19]. The resulted shorter interfering RNAs are subsequently incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [20]. Unwinding of the shorter dsRNAs mediated by an RNAi-associated helicase causes the loss of one strand (passenger strand) [21]. The other strand (guide strand) of RNAi (miRNA or siRNA) directs RISC-mediated recognition and cleavage of the target mRNA (complementary to the viral antisense strand) [22–24].

The miRNAs are encoded in higher eukaryotes genome and regulate gene expression at post-transcriptional level. In general, RNA polymerase II transcribes the long hairpin structured primary miRNA (pri-miRNA). DGCGR8 (a dsRNA binding protein) and Drosha (RNase III protein) microprocessor complex excise the pri-miRNA to make precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA). Pre-miRNA is respectively exported to the cytoplasm by exportin protein and cleaved by RNase III type Dicer protein to become short double-stranded miRNA. The processed miRNA antisense guide strand is then recognized by RISC and binds the partial complementary target mRNA. The partial binding of miRNA guide strand with mRNA leads to the translational repression by inhibiting ribosome and sometimes leads to mRNA degradation with the help of RISC Argonaute protein [25]. Whereas, siRNAs are defenders of genomic integrity and response against exogenous invasive nucleic acids, such as viral genomes [26]. siRNAs are exogenous in nature or experimentally designed to regulate the gene expression at the post-transcriptional level by introducing long non-coding double-stranded RNA in the cytoplasm with the help of nanoparticle vectors [27]. After being introduced into the cell, siRNA is cleaved by RNase III type Dicer protein to become short double-stranded siRNA. And by the similar mechanism of miRNA, siRNA also binds to the complementary mRNA. Unlike miRNA, a perfect complementarity between siRNA guide strand and target mRNA is crucial to warrant degradation of the miRNA by the Argonaute protein of RISC [28].

In some recent studies, miRNAs and siRNAs have been explored as an antiviral defense against several viruses, including human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) [29–31], Dengue [32,33], Influenza [34–36], Hepatitis C (HCV) [37–39], and Zika [40]. In 2018, a siRNA drug (Patisiran) was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [41]. The use of miRNAs as an anti-HCV treatment demonstrates promising efficacy and safety results in an early-stage trial [57], and it shouldn’t be overlooked that siRNA has already been applied against hepatitis B infection [42]. Therefore, it is presumable that RNAi therapeutics will be able to effectively inactivate the mRNA of SARS-CoV-2 in a sequence-specific manner and hence can work as potential antiviral therapeutics [41].

Harnessing RNAi technology-based therapeutics against COVID-19 holds the potential to act target specifically and effectively [43,44]. In the present study, the SARS-CoV-2 viral genome was scanned to identify potential siRNA and cellular miRNA molecules for the silencing of the ORF1ab coding mRNAs using computational approaches. Computational approach undertaken in this study employed ORF1ab coding mRNAs of SARS-CoV-2 to predict miRNA and siRNA as antivirals against it. Candidate miRNA was screened by a prediction platform VMir which predicts pre-miRNA from any given viral genome completely by ab-initio method [45]. Alongside miRNA, siRNA from the viral genome sequence was identified by siDirect program (http://sidirect2.rna.i.jp/) that implements fast and sensitive homology search algorithm to ensure functional and off-target minimized siRNA design for mammalian RNAi [46,47]. Few best siRNA and miRNA molecules were sorted based on different parameters, such as GC content, free energy of folding, free energy of binding, melting temperature, efficacy prediction from the primarily found candidate RNAI molecules (Fig. 1).

The extent of the damage by COVID-19 is increasing day by day. The predicted siRNA and human miRNA from the analyses will be capable of contribute to the fight against SARS-CoV-2. Also, for the available insertion techniques, specificity, and cost-effectiveness [48], RNAi is going to be the next generation therapeutics, and hence, this study may provide a benchmark for the establishment of RNAI therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2 as an effective treatment for COVID-19.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sequence acquisition and analysis

The RNAi prediction against SARS-CoV-2 was carried out using the nucleotide sequence (GB: NC_045512.2) obtained from the database of National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). For the “ORF1ab” gene, NCBI graphics database was used to acquire the nucleotide sequence of 266-21,555 bp (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_045512.2?report=graph). After retrieving the ORF1ab gene sequence, BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) was used to observe sequence similarities among all the available SARS-CoV-2 strains around the world available in GenBank, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) genetic sequence database. An online tool, the ORF finder was utilized to screen the coding regions in the retrieved ORF1ab gene from our reference sequence.

2.2. Potential miRNA and siRNA designing

The nucleotide sequence of the ORF1ab gene of the SARS-CoV-2 viral genome was scrutinized for hairpin-structured miRNA precursors using a VMir Analyzer program [49,50]. VMir is an analyzing program that is specifically designed to identify hairpin-structured pre-miRNA in the viral genome. By VMir viewer, potential hairpin-like structures as candidate miRNA precursors were visualized. To get bona fide pre-miRNA from VMir, custom setting cut-off value of 60 nucleotides minimum, 220 nucleotides maximum, and 115 minimum hairpin score [51] was used. The candidate hairpin miRNA sequences extracted from VMir were screened for sequence similarity with all human microRNAs by applying the SEARCH method of miBase [52,53], a searchable database of published miRNAs. Sequence homology between viral pre-miRNA hairpin with human miRNA was retrieved by using BLASTN search algorithm in miBase database with E-value 10.

SiDirect version 2.0 [54], an online web server to design siRNA, was used to predict possible siRNAs for target gene ORF1ab. This updated web-server uses a fast, sensitive homology search algorithm to ensure functional and off-target minimized siRNA design for mammalian RNAi. Several parameters were marked in the SiDirect site to get potential siRNAs, including melting temperature below 21.5 °C, GC content 31.6%–57.9% [55,56] and Ui-Tei [57,58], Renold [59], Amarzguioui
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combined rules (algorithms have given in Supplementary Table S1). And the seed-target duplex stability (Tm) was calculated, which is for the formation of the RNA duplex.

2.3. Off-target minimization and GC content calculation

Nucleotide BLAST (BLASTn) program was operated for siRNA against the human genomic plus transcript database using default parameters, to check off-target sequences in the genome of any non-targeted sites. Consequently, a web-based server, GC Content Calculator (http://www.endmemo.com/bio/gc.php), was utilized to calculate the exact percentages of GC content in predicted siRNA and miRNA.

2.4. Calculation of heat capacity and concentration plot of RNA-duplex

The collective heat capacity of RNA-duplex is denoted as Cp, and after it is plotted as a function of temperature, the melting temperature, TmCp is the local maximum of heat capacity curve. Using the inclusive heat capacity plot where melting temperature Tm(Conc), indicates the temperature at which the concentration of double-stranded molecule becomes one-half of its maximum value. For both miRNA and siRNA, the TmCp and Tm(Conc) were measured using the DNAmelt Server [60,61], including Hybridization of two different strands option (http://unafold.rna.albany.edu/?q=DINAMelt/Hybrid2), with default values and in simple form marking RNA option. The heat capacity graph was plotted by the server based on the numerical differentiation calculation of the ensembled free energy profiles with respect to temperature.

2.5. Hybridization and secondary structure prediction of miRNA

An online free accessible RNA hybrid web server [62,63] was used to predict the hybridization and calculated the minimum free energy (MFE) of hybridization between the viral precursor miRNAs and complementary template of the potential human miRNAs. For the selection of potential miRNA, the energy threshold value at –10 kcal/mol was utilized as a cut-off score. The result of RNA hybrid was categorized in terms of pairing energy (MFE). RNAfold is another webserver (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAfold.cgi) that predicts the secondary structures of single-stranded RNA or DNA sequences, and it was operated to predict the most stable secondary structure of selected miRNA hairpin sequences. Two types of hybridization patterns were obtained from RNA fold – MFE and Centroid. This web server has validated results.

Fig. 2. Graphical view of VMir analysis of the SARS-CoV-2 genome. A. Default B. Predicted pre-miRNA after filtering (minimum hairpin size: 60 nt, maximum hairpin size: 120 nt, minimum hairpin score: 115, and minimum window count:25). The blue triangle shape refers to forward direction and green diamond shape are reverse direction precursor hairpins. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
based on generated ensemble diversity and similarity between MFE and Centroid model.

2.6. Validation of predicted siRNA molecules

To check the validation and the actual efficacy of the siRNA molecules, an online free accessible server siRNAPred (http://crdd.osdd.net/raghava/sirnapred/) was employed. In this method, the siRNA molecules were screened against the Main21 dataset using the support vector machine algorithm and the binary pattern prediction approach. To assess the extent of validity, along with the siRNAPred, the i-Score Designer tool [64] was also used to check the predicted siRNA molecules based on second generation algorithm that calculates i-score and s-Biopredsi scores.

2.7. Secondary structure prediction and RNA-RNA interaction of siRNA

The secondary structure of siRNAs was predicted along with the respective free energy of folding using the MaxExpect [65] program in the RNA structure web server [66]. Here, guide strands of predicted siRNAs were subjected to RNA structure web. In MaxExpect program, nucleic acid sequence must not be longer than 4000 nucleotides whereas other parameters remained with default value. This server uses SHAPE mapping data for folding. Afterwards, to calculate thermodynamics interaction between the viral siRNAs and the target sequences, the RNA structure web server, containing the bifold section (http://rna.urmc.rochester.edu/RNAstructureWeb/Servers/bifold/bifold.html) was utilized. Both sequences were no longer than 250 nucleotide which was one of the prerequisites of bifold section of this server. Other parameters such maximum percent of energy difference and temperature kept in its default values.

3. Result

3.1. Potential precursor miRNA predicted by VMir

ORF1ab gene covers the two-thirds length of the whole genome of SARS-CoV-2, and it is the first line open reading frame gene that contains

| Hairpin | Score | Alignments between SARS-CoV-2 and human miRNA | E-value | TmCp °C | Tm(conc) °C |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| MD7     | 180.1 | hair-siRNA                               | 92      | 9.6     | 66.8        |
| MD18    | 135   | hair-siRNA                               | 44      | 7.3     | 63          |
| MD23    | 136.3 | hair-siRNA                               | 39      | 9.5     | 56.8        |
| MD42    | 211.4 | hair-siRNA                               | 54      | 2.3     | 55.8        |
| MD55    | 119.8 | hair-siRNA                               | 72      | 5.2     | 60.7        |
| MD64    | 164.8 | hair-siRNA                               | 51      | 7.6     | 68.3        |
| MD66    | 159.3 | hair-siRNA                               | 29      | 9.2     | 87.8        |
| MD70    | 204.7 | hair-siRNA                               | 10      | 4.7     | 72.4        |
| MR11    | 185.1 | hair-siRNA                               | 69      | 8.6     | 67.5        |
| MR33    | 183.9 | hair-siRNA                               | 60      | 8.2     | 64.7        |
| MR38    | 150.4 | hair-siRNA                               | 46      | 3.1     | 44.3        |
| MR43    | 152.7 | hair-siRNA                               | 46      | 3.9     | 55.6        |
| MR45    | 152.7 | hair-siRNA                               | 66      | 8.9     | 57.8        |
| MR46    | 152.7 | hair-siRNA                               | 66      | 7.4     | 57.5        |

Table 1
Alignments of precursor miRNAs hairpin sequences with human miRNAs, corresponding VMir score, E-value, TmCp and Tm (conc).
all the important coding regions. The analysis showed 99.99% similarities of ORF1ab gene sequences among all SARS-CoV-2 strains available in GenBank after using BLAST. Therefore, designing the miRNA and siRNA using the conserved sequence will be of broader efficacy.

ORF1ab gene was scrutinized for hairpin-structured miRNA precursors using a VMir Analyzer program and candidate miRNA precursors were visualized graphically with score length and score by VMir Viewer. In the default setting, 61 candidate hairpins were found that are shown in Fig. 2 A. By using custom settings, 24 potential pre-miRNA hairpins were found for further analyses, which is illustrated in Fig. 2 B.

3.2. Identical human miRNAs predicted from precursor pre-miRNA by miRBase

The sequences of candidate precursors of pre-miRNAs were searched for nucleotide similarity with all human miRNAs by miRBase online server. Based on significant sequence similarity, 12 sequences were identified as candidate miRNA precursors [SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S2], which showed a minimum of 16 bp similarity with human miRNAs. Precursors of pre-miRNAs were differentiated by forward direction (MD) and reverse direction (MR). All the 12 pre-miRNA precursors were MD7, MD18, MD23, MD42, MD55, MD64, MD66, MD70, MR11, MR33, MR38, and MR 43 had shown approximate identity with 16 human miRNAs (Table 1).

3.3. siRNA prediction with siDirect

Several parameters were marked in the siDirect site to get potential siRNAs. The parameter includes Ui-Tei, Renold, Amarzguioui combined rules, GC content from 31.6% to 57.9%, melting temperature below 21.5 °C to reduce the seed-dependent off-target effect. Based on these mentioned parameters from the siDirect site, 131 potential siRNAs were found (Supplementary Table S2). Using the nearest-neighbor model and the thermodynamic parameters for the formation of siRNA duplex, the seed-target duplex was also predicted, and all the siRNA duplexes were observed to have the $T_{m}$ value below 21.5 °C (Supplementary Table S3).

3.4. Significant GC content of miRNAs and siRNAs with off-target minimization of siRNAs

The exact percentages of GC content in predicted miRNA molecules and siRNA molecules were calculated through GC Content Calculator.

| Hairpin | Hairpin Sequence | GC % | Most favorable hybridization sites of a miRNA in a large hairpin of viral RNA | MFE (kcal/mol) |
|---------|-----------------|------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| MD7     | TACACCTCTGACACCTCTTTAAGAAAACCTCAACAGCTCTCTTATGTTGCTTGAAAGGAGTTTGACTACAGGTTGAAACAGGTTGAAATTTTGTTT | 38.32 | target 5' A U A 3' | -11.3 |
|         |                 |      | GGUCCU AG GAC TAGAGA UC UUG | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' C C AG 5' | |
| MD18    | ACAAATAAGTGAGGATATTCTACCATCCTCTCAAGAGTGTATCTTACAGAGAGCGGCTTGAGCCTT | 40.96 | target 5' A U A 3' | -13.0 |
|         |                 |      | CTTGAC CGCAGUC | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' UUGCAGACCA UCCU 5' | |
| MD55    | AGCTGGTAGAGAAAGATATCTACACCTGACGGACACCTTGATGAGTACGTTGCTTCTG | 45.21 | target 5' C U G 3' | -12.0 |
|         |                 |      | AAGGCGU AGC UGAAUCUGU UAAUGA | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' COG UU AAA 5' | |
| MD64    | TATAGAATTTTGATACAAAGAGCCTCAGCTCTATGATATACAGCCTGTTG | 38.24 | target 5' G ACC ACC C 3' | -12.7 |
|         |                 |      | UUGGGC GC AC GUG | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' UAAGCU GG UAU GGC 5' | |
| MD66    | CATGCTACAGTAGAATTTAGAAAAAGCGCTAAAGTGCTTTAATCAAGAGGCTATGAAAGTATCATCCTAC | 36.25 | target 5' A UGGAC A 3' | -11.0 |
|         |                 |      | UG AGCC GC ACGCU | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' A UGCCU AAAGU U 5' | |
| MD70    | AACAAAGTGACTGCTCTGGAGGGTGCTCTGAGAAAATTACACAGAACATCTGGCTAAGATGCCATCTTGATGCGACCTGACCTGCC | 42.73 | target 5' G A G 3' | -12.3 |
|         |                 |      | UUGG GUU CAU UCUCU AUCGU | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' UU G UUU 5' | |
| MR33    | GGCTACATCTAAGACCTTGAGTGATACAGGGGCTTGGTTTCTATGGGGAAAGAGCCTAC TTTGATATGCGCTTATACATTCTATGCTGTTTCTATGACCA | 33.94 | target 5' A U 3' | -10.2 |
|         |                 |      | UUGGCU AUCUU C | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' AUTCUCG UC CAA 5' | |
| MR43    | GGTGATCTCTTGGTATGCGCCAGTGATGCTACACATACAAACTCTTAGTTTCAATATGAGTACGAGTTTGCTGAGCCCTGTTTCTAGGATGAC | 43.56 | target 5' A UG 3' | -12.0 |
|         |                 |      | UUGG AAUUA AUCUCU | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' GUUGU U 5' | |
|         |                 |      | target 5' C U G UU A 3' | -13.1 |
|         |                 |      | AG CA CAG GU UG UG GA U A GA | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' UUGA U G UU C 5' | |
|         |                 |      | target 5' AUAU UG C 3' | -10.3 |
|         |                 |      | UUGA UUAGA CAAC GAAC AUCU | |
|         |                 |      | miRNA 3' C UUU GG 5' | |
Fig. 3. Predicated secondary structure of potential hairpins candidate of SARS-CoV-2. Only centroid structures are depicted.
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3.5. Higher effectiveness of miRNAs and siRNAs according to heat capacity and RNA-duplex concentration

Using the DNA melt Server, $T_m^{CP}$ and $T_m^{(Conc)}$ for both miRNAs and siRNAs were measured. The higher values of these two melting temperatures indicate the higher effectiveness of the RNA species. $T_m^{CP}$ and $T_m^{(Conc)}$ values ranged from 43 °C to 87.8 °C and from 40.4 °C to 79.6 °C respectively for miRNAs (Table 1). Similarly, $T_m^{CP}$ and $T_m^{(Conc)}$ values of siRNAs ranged from 79.6 °C to 87.6 °C and from 78.2 °C to 86.3 °C respectively (Supplementary Table S2).

3.6. Hybridization and secondary structure prediction of miRNAs

RNA hybrid web server [63] was utilized to display significant hybridization between potential viral precursor miRNAs and complementary templates of the potential human miRNAs. Their corresponding templates of the potential human miRNAs. Their corresponding miRNA, free energy, and folding, 10 of them were selected to be the candidate at 37 °C.

3.7. Validation of predicted siRNAs & selection of the best probable siRNAs

siRNAPred was used to check the validity and effectivity of the predicted siRNA molecules and values greater than 1 are considered highly effective. Among 131 siRNA molecules, 29 siRNAs for orf1ab were found to be highly effective (value > 1). These 29 siRNA molecules were primarily selected for further analysis. Additionally, to substantiate predicted siRNAs, the i-Score Designer was used to check the concordance of the siRNAs from siRNAPred. The results were mostly similar in both cases (Table 3).

3.8. Probable siRNAs against ORF1ab of SARS-CoV-2 predicted by RNA-RNA interaction

RNA structure webserver was used to visualize the possible folding and corresponding MFE of folding (Fig. 4). The positive values (value > 0) indicate better applicants as those molecules are less prone to folding. The values of the predicted siRNAs were in the range of 1.5–1.8. According to the guide strands’ folding structure pattern, 10 siRNAs were selected, which showed unpaired terminal ends and less degree of folding. Furthermore, by using bifold section of RNA structure web server, the hybrid RNA structure of selected siRNAs and target sequences were predicted (Fig. 5) along with their corresponding MFE of hybridization (Table 3). Finally, based on GC percentage, $T_m$ value, validity of siRNA, free energy, and folding, 10 of them were selected to be the highest probable siRNAs against the ORF1ab gene of SARS-CoV-2.

4. Discussion

Coronaviruses possess one of the biggest known genomes among the RNA viruses [67,68] that causes respiratory diseases in a wide variety of species including bats, birds, cats, dogs, pigs, mice, horses, whales, and humans. Till date, seven types of coronaviruses are found to be transmitted to the human population [69], and among them, SARS-CoV-2 has been proven to be the deadliest. The genome of SARS-CoV-2 contains ORF1ab gene that encodes orf1ab polyprotein which is responsible for the replication and transcription of viral RNAs [70]. This gene also encodes 16 non-structural proteins [9] that play a crucial role in deceiving the host immune system. The RNA sequence of ORF1ab is used in this study to predict the interfering RNA molecules against SARS-CoV-2.

Interfering RNAs are small non-coding RNAs that normally function in the negative regulation of a target mRNA expression at the post-transcriptional stage. In case of miRNA, a partial complementarity (2–7 bp long) is enough for blocking translation. On the other hand, a full complementarity of the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of an mRNA and the seed region of siRNAs results in effective silencing of gene expression [71]. Both miRNAs and siRNAs play significant roles in many key biological processes such as cell growth, tissue differentiation, cell proliferation, embryonic development, and apoptosis [72]. Aberrations

Table 3

| Alias | Location of target within mRNA | siRNA target within mRNA | Predicted siRNA duplex siRNA candidate at 37 °C | GC% (Conc) | MFE (kcal/mol) | $T_m^{CP}$ (Conc) °C | $T_m^{(Conc)}$ °C | Validity (binary) | s-Biopredsi score | i-Score |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------|
| s1    | 3051–3073                     | ACCAGTTGGTTCAGACTTGTAGGA | UCAAUAGUCAGAAACAACAGGGU                    | 38.1       | -34.80         | 81.4                | 80.7           | 1.008            | 0.830           | 66.9   |
| s2    | 3136–3158                     | GTGAAGAAGCTAAAGGGTAA     | CAGUUGUGUCAUGACUUAGGAG                     | 38.1       | -33.90         | 83                  | 81.6            | 1.062            | 0.863           | 84.4   |
| s3    | 3318–3340                     | CGGACACACATGCTGAAACT    | GGGAAGGCAAAAAACGGGUA                       | 42.86      | -35.00         | 83.1                | 81.9            | 1.072            | 0.807           | 67.3   |
| s4    | 3869–3891                     | TTGGTCCAAGAGGGTGTTTAACT | UUAAACACACUCCUACUCAAA                      | 33.33      | -31.50         | 81.3                | 81.1            | 1.031            | 0.781           | 66.8   |
| s5    | 8981–9003                     | CTGGTGTTGGTGTACCTACTAGT | UUGGAAUGACACAAACACAGG                      | 38.1       | -34.40         | 82.6                | 81.2            | 1.003            | 0.873           | 78.8   |
| s6    | 9002–9024                     | GTGGTGAAGTGGTACCTAACTAT | UUGUAAAGACUCCUACUCAAA                      | 42.86      | -36.80         | 84.7                | 83.4            | 1.024            | 0.762           | 69.7   |
| s7    | 11664–11686                   | GGCTCAATGTGTCCAGTACAACA | CCAUUGUGUGGCAUGGAGCC                      | 47.62      | -38.40         | 84.9                | 83.8            | 1.003            | 0.642           | 62.7   |
| s8    | 13404–13426                   | TTCTCTAATCTACCAACATGGA  | UGAUUGUGUGUGAUGAGGAA                      | 33.33      | -32.70         | 81.2                | 79.9            | 1.018            | 0.832           | 71.3   |
| s9    | 17690–17712                   | TTGCATAATGTGTGATGAGACCC | UUGUAAACACUCCUACUCAAA                      | 33.33      | -33.00         | 80.2                | 79.3            | 1.049            | 0.838           | 72.1   |
| s10   | 18338–18360                   | TGCCCTTTGGTTGACTATCTAGA | GCAUUGUGUGAGGAGGACCC                      | 38.1       | -34.80         | 83.3                | 82.1            | 1.037            | 0.849           | 75.9   |

The resultant GC content for miRNAs and siRNAs were respectively from 33.94% to 45.21% (Table 2) and 33.33%–47.62% (Supplementary Table S2). Also, the off-target for predicted siRNA was less than the other siRNAs, and there was no significant sequence similarity with the human genomic and transcript sequence database that made them much effective for only the targeted sites.
The siRNAs are in symmetry with the information provided in Table 3.
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Partial alignment of miRNAs seed region (2–7 bp) located at the 3' UTR of the candidate miRNA precursor has been found to be significant during gene silencing [51]. Based on the sequence similarity of sorted miRNA precursor molecules with the host miRNA sequences, these can be utilized to predict the antiviral therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2 infection. Theoretically, the human miRNAs should have the capability of potentially silencing corresponding viral genes by binding specific sequences of miRNA. As the human (host) miRNAs can endogenously silence corresponding genes by post-transcriptional mRNA attenuation, their agomiR (selected similar sequenced miRNA precursors) will also be able to silence the gene expression in an exogenous pathway.

In this study, all the selected pre-miRNA hairpins contain GC content within 33.94%–45.21% determined by the GC content calculator. GC-rich target sites were avoided while selecting miRNAs to reduce the potential chance of self-secondary structure formation. Alongside the minimal free energy of hybridization, hybridization between the potential viral precursor miRNAs and the complementary template of the potential human miRNAs was determined where the most significant binding was observed (Table 2). Finally, after three steps of filtration (minimal free energy, GC content and significant hybridization), only 8 miRNA precursors from the 12 persisted. The qualified eight miRNAs were exposed by the Vmiir analyzer, where 24 pre-miRNA hairpins, 12 were found to meet the standard relying on significant sequence similarity with all human miRNA, as shown in Table 1.

Fig. 4. Representation of the predicted siRNA guide strand’s secondary structures with possible folding and minimum free energy values against ORF1ab sequence of SARS-CoV-2 respectively, s1. UAAGAUGUCAACUCAAAGCCA, s2. UACCUCUUUUAGCUCUCUCCAC, s3. UGUUAAGCAAGAUUGUCC, s4. UUAAACACCCUCUUGAACA, s5. UAGUAGAUACCAAAACCCAG, s6. UGUUAAGUAACCAUCUCCAC, s7. UGUUAACUGACACAGUGGCC, s8. UUCAGUUGGGUAGUAGAGAA, s9. UCUCUAUCAGACAUUAUGCAA, and s10. AUAGAUGUCAACUCAAAGCCA. The siRNAs are in symmetry with the information provided in Table 3.
between the siRNA guide strand and the extremely specific target mRNA. Transcripts possess complementarity to the 7-nucleotides seed region where siRNA could down-regulate unintended genes in a growing body. Therefore, off-target gene silencing may often provide incongruous binding that leads to misinterpretation. Thus, siDirect 2.0 software utilizes an efficient algorithm for designing functional siRNAs with a minimal off-target effect based on the mechanistic features that are considered for the value of mammalian RNAi. On the other hand, the seed-dependent off-target effect is highly correlated to the thermodynamic stability of the duplex formation [57]. The \( T_m \) of the seed-target duplex exhibits a strong positive correlation with the induction of seed-dependent off-target effects, which suggested that the \( T_m \) of 21.5 °C (Table 4) may serve as the benchmark to discriminate the almost off-target-free seed sequences from the off-target-positive ones [54]. Still, the BLASTn similarity searches from NCBI were used to verify the off-target where all predicted siRNAs were subjected to BLASTn. Here, a total of 131 siRNA molecules for the ORF1ab gene of SARS CoV-2 were used for further analysis with different parameters to determine their perfection.

GC content of the siRNA duplex is one of the crucial parameters that may affect siRNA functionality and the strongest correlation between siRNA functionality and GC content was observed [88]. For putative
helicase that is tentatively associated with the RISC [89] complex [21] and a probative secondary structure of the target, mRNA may slow down siRNA duplex unwinding because of too high GC content. However, too low GC content may reduce the efficiency of target mRNA recognition and hybridization. In our study, all the selected siRNA molecules held GC content within 33.33%–47.62% determined by the GC content calculator, which is within a suitable range that supports the feasibility of those siRNAs [55]. Thus, our predicted siRNA duplex has been optimized by testing through various parameters to be stable structurally.

In the heat capacity plot, the \( C_p \) is plotted as a function of temperature and when the \( C_p \) is a function of \( T_m \), it is indicated as \( T_m = C_p \). The local maximum of the heat capacity curve defines the \( T_m = C_p \). Similarly, when the mole fractions are plotted as a function of temperature, it resembles a concentration plot, and with \( T_m \) it is indicated as \( T_m = C_m \). \( T_m = C_m \) is the point at which the concentration of the double-stranded molecule is one-half of its maximum value [61]. The entire equilibrium melting profiles were estimated by the DNAMelt web server as a function of temperature. Here, the higher the \( T_m = C_m \) and \( T_m = C_m \), the better the RNAi molecules are, and our predicted miRNA and siRNAs showed high melting profiles, as shown in Tables 1 and 3. In addition, the validations of primarily selected siRNA molecules were analyzed by s-Biopredsi score and i-Score using the GC content calculator, which is within a suitable range that supports the feasibility of those siRNAs [55]. Thus, our predicted siRNA duplex has been optimized by testing through various parameters to be stable structurally.

In our current study, hybridization and minimal free energy of binding were predicted using the RNAhybrid webserver for miRNAs and bifold section of RNA structure webserver for siRNAs. Free energy of binding with target of predicted potential miRNA molecules are ranged from −10 kcal/mol to −17.2 kcal/mol (Table 2) and siRNA molecules are −34.80, −33.90, −35.00, −31.50, −34.40, −36.80, −38.40, −32.70, −33.00, and −34.80 kcal/mol (Table 3) and their respective RNA-RNA secondary structures are shown in Fig. 5.

In RNAi, guide RNAs direct RISC to their mRNA targets, thus enabling the cleavage that leads to gene silencing. The least the degree of guide-RNA secondary structure formation, the strongest the gene silencing by siRNA. Because an unstructured guide strand can mediate the efficient silencing of mRNA by binding with it, whereas base-paired terminals of the guide strand make the siRNA inactive and least available to bind with the complementary mRNA [94]. In this analysis, this method’s results predicted the secondary structure of siRNAs, as shown in Fig. 4. These predicted siRNAs of this analysis also showed terminal nucleotides’ availability in guide RNA structures and less degree of folding of guide RNAs. These criteria determine a strong silencing of targeted mRNAs by the selected siRNA guide strands. It is now well-documented that siRNA is comparatively better than miRNA due to its maximum sequence similarity that exert high affinity towards target mRNA and favorable delivery techniques (via lipid-based transfection, nanoparticle, etc.) into the cell [95]. Thus, it can be concluded that they are the best candidates as potential antiviral therapeutics for SARS-CoV-2.

5. Conclusion

In this study, several siRNA and miRNA molecules for silencing specific genes in SARS-CoV-2. Out of an array of potential candidates, 10 siRNA and 12 human miRNA molecules were predicted against SARS-CoV-2 as effective using all maximum parameters in optimum conditions. This study can be a starting point for the development of a novel antiviral therapy against SARS-CoV-2.
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