Combination treatment with metronomic temozolomide, bevacizumab and long-acting octreotide for malignant neuroendocrine tumours

Dear Editor

Neuroendocrine tumours (NETs) are highly vascularised tumours that express high levels of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) ligand together with its receptor VEGFR (Modlin et al. 2008). Although advanced NETs may exhibit a 30–40% response rate to combination chemotherapeutic approaches, the response to single-agent chemotherapy is only 10% (Modlin et al. 2008). Bevacizumab (BVZ; Avastin, Roche, Basle, Switzerland), an anti-VEGF humanised monoclonal antibody, has been shown to exert objective tumour responses and improvement in median time to progression (TTP) in advanced carcinoid tumours (Yao et al. 2008). Additionally, a recently published study reported that temozolomide (TMZ), an oral chemotherapy derivative of dacarbazine, at a dose of 200 mg/m² on first 5 days of each 28-day cycle may exert a significant effect on NETs (Ekeblad et al. 2007). A previous report that examined a variety of NETs suggested that the combination of BVZ and TMZ can be safely administered and shows promising activity in patients who had failed to prior treatments (Kulke et al. 2006a). A growing interest on regimens that introduce continuous low-dose TMZ administration as protracted low-dose TMZ regimens may deplete O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), an important factor in cases of TMZ resistance and/or inhibit endothelial cell proliferation and formation of tumour vasculature via the so-called metronomic effect (Tolcher et al. 2003, Lam et al. 2007). Although the exact mechanism of action of somatostatin analogues is not well understood, a long-standing hypothesis based on preclinical experiments suggests that they exert an antiangiogenic effect (Grozinsky-Glasberg et al. 2008).

Given the high degree of endothelial proliferation, high vascular permeability and high expression of pro-angiogenic growth factors such as VEGF in NETs, angiogenesis inhibition by multiple pathways may be a rational treatment strategy for these tumours. We conducted a pilot study to assess the toxicity and efficacy of a combination antiangiogenic regimen including a metronomic TMZ schedule, BVZ and octreotide in a cohort of patients with a variety of advanced NETs that had progressed on previous therapies.

From January 2007 until January 2009, 15 patients with advanced NETs, mainly grade II tumours with Ki-67 labelling index (LI) 3–19%, were treated with combination treatment including oral TMZ (Temodal, MSD, Geneva, Switzerland) at a continuous standard daily dose of 100 mg before bedtime, BVZ 7.5 mg/m² every 3 weeks i.v. and 30 mg of the somatostatin analogue, octreotide long-acting release (Sandostatin 30 mg LAR; Novartis, Basle, Switzerland) i.m. every 4 weeks. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee at each participating centre and was conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice principles and applicable local regulations. All patients provided written informed consent. The cycle duration was considered to be 3 weeks based on the BVZ infusion. After a median of 18 months of follow-up, data were analysed for toxicity, chromogranin A (CgA) percentage variations, best radiological response and TTP. Adverse events were evaluated according to the Common Toxicity Criteria, version 3.0 of the National Cancer Institute. CgA (normal value 12–98 ng/ml) levels were evaluated before the first cycle and every two cycles, whereas imaging with triple-phase computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging was performed every four cycles. Radiological response was classified according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours version 1.1 (Eisenhauer et al. 2009). TTP was defined as the time from treatment initiation until radiological tumour progression. The extent of disease, based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer Staging Manual, 7th edition, and the grading of the tumours based on the recent WHO classification (Bosman et al. 2010) were recorded for each patient and assessed centrally by the same radiologist and pathologist with a special interest in NETs.
Fifteen patients, with advanced NETs, who progressed after at least one regimen of chemotherapy, were enrolled over a 2-year period in two participating centres in this study of a combined treatment schedule including TMZ, BVZ and a somatostatin analogue (temozolomide, bevacizumab & somatostatin analogue; TeBeSa). Patients had a median age of 62.5 (range 47–78) years and received a median number of 12 (range 4–20) cycles. Patient characteristics including demographics and previous treatments are shown in Table 1.

Toxicity was observed in six out of 15 (40%) patients and included one patient with Grade III vomiting 2 h following ingestion of the first TMZ capsule regardless of the co-administration of metoclopramide 20mg orally. Two patients developed grade I neutropenia that required discontinuation of TMZ capsules for 1 week. One patient developed Grade II thrombocytopenia, and TMZ treatment was discontinued and restarted 3 weeks after the platelet count recovered. Two patients developed mild hypertension, most probably related to BVZ that was treated with oral antihypertensives. There were no side effects related to the use of the somatostatin analogue.

All patients had elevated CgA levels before initiation of treatment. Of the 14 evaluable patients, 57% (8/14) had a 50–100% drop, 35% (5/14) had a 25–50% drop or <25% increase and 7% (1/14) had increase in CgA levels.

Radiological responses included one (7%) complete response (CR), eight (57%) partial responses (PRs), three (21%) stable diseases (SDs) and two (14%) progressive diseases (PDs). Fifty-seven per cent (8/14) of patients achieved a PR after a median of 12.5 (range 8–20) cycles. Two of these patients completed 12 and 16 cycles, respectively, and their best radiological responses are shown in Fig. 1. Three (21.5%) patients had prolonged periods of SD; no radiological change was detected over a median of 12.6 (range 11–15) cycles. Two (14%) patients had PD after the fourth and sixth cycles and discontinued their treatment. In evaluable patients, the median TTP was 36 weeks (range 10–60 weeks, mean 33.50, s.d. 14.37, 95% confidence interval=25.2–41.8). In our cohort of patients, only five had tumour with Ki-67 >6%. Of these, one had PD (lung), three had SD and only one had a PR as described in the first case above.

During the past 4 years, there have been six reports investigating the combination of TMZ with capcitabine (Strosberg et al. 2011, Welin et al. 2011). However, previous protocols differ from that used in this study in the TMZ administration schedule, as in all of these studies, TMZ was administered at a higher dose for fewer days (Kulke et al. 2006a, b, 2010, Ekeblad et al. 2007, Strosberg et al. 2011, Welin et al. 2011). Our study, although sample size is small, supports the use of a continuous daily dose of 100 mg TMZ as the overall response rate (PR and CR rate) was 64%, compared with previously reported that ranged between 16 and 45% (Kulke et al. 2006a, b, 2010).

### Table 1 Patient characteristics and previous treatments

| Patient characteristics | n (%) |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Median age (range)      | 62.5 (47–78) years |
| Male                    | 10 (67) |
| Female                  | 5 (33)  |
| Ki-67: 6–19%            | 5 (33)  |
| Ki-67: 2–5%             | 10 (67) |
| Functioning NETs        | 4 (27)  |
| Non-functioning NETs    | 11 (73) |
| Site of origin          |        |
| Pancreas                | 7 (47)  |
| Small intestine         | 3 (20)  |
| Duodenum                | 1 (7)   |
| Stomach                 | 1 (7)   |
| Rectum                  | 1 (7)   |
| Lung                    | 1 (7)   |
| Unknown                 | 1 (7)   |
| Stage (AJCC 7th edn)    |        |
| IIIA and B              | 1 (7)   |
| IV (Liver)              | 12 (80) |
| IV (other distant organs)| 2 (13) |
| Treatments before initiation of TeBeSa |
| Surgery                 | 6 (40)  |
| Debulking               | 5 (33)  |
| Whipple                 | 1 (7)   |
| Radiolabelled peptides  | 2 (13)  |
| Chemotherapy            | 12 (80) |
| Platinum based          | 8 (53)  |
| Streptozotocin/5-fluorouracil | 6 (40) |
| Anthracycline           | 1 (7)   |
| Docetaxel               | 1 (7)   |
| Other medical therapies |        |
| Interferon              | 1 (7)   |
| Octreotide long-acting release | 100 (15) |
| Everolimus              | 7 (1)   |
| Lines of medical therapies |
| 1                       | 10 (67) |
| 2                       | 4 (28)  |
| 3                       | 1 (7)   |
histological groups of patients included in the two studies as well as to the fact that in the first study patients were chemotherapy naive. In our study, 80% of patients were previously treated with chemotherapy. Although we did not examine MGMT expression, the high percentage of responses observed is in accordance with an earlier observation, suggesting that protracted administration of TMZ even leads to significant and prolonged depletion of MGMT activity (Tolcher et al. 2003). However, a recent retrospective study on patients with advanced NETs receiving TMZ suggested that MGMT methylation status did not correlate with clinical responses (Welin et al. 2011). In our small cohort of patients, therapeutic responses were accompanied by a grade III vomiting and mild myelosuppression that were manageable compared to previous studies, which reported grade III–IV myelosuppression (Kulke et al. 2006b, Ekeblad et al. 2007).

In studies thus far, the majority of responses to chemotherapy, including TMZ, have been observed in pancreatic NETs (Kulke et al. 2006a,b, Ekeblad et al. 2007, Modlin et al. 2008, Strosberg et al. 2011, Welin et al. 2011). Although our study has limitations such as the heterogeneity of tumour subtypes and the small number of patients included (Kulke et al. 2011), it supports the investigation of metronomic TMZ chemotherapy in pancreatic and non-pancreatic NETs. It has previously been suggested that NETs with Ki-67 LI more than 10% maybe responsive to chemotherapy at an earlier line compared with NETs with Ki-67 LI <5% that are preferably treated with biological therapies (Oberg 2002). Although our study is small, it showed that tumours with Ki-67 LI 2–5 and 6–19% have similar response rates to TeBeSa therapy, and overall, this is one of the highest reported rates of single-agent cytotoxics in the literature.

In conclusion, our study suggests that a protracted daily low dose of TMZ together with BVZ and octreotide LAR has activity in advanced, grade II NETs. It is clinically important to explore this combination in the context of large phase II–III studies, including translational studies, investigating the molecular basis of the observed responses and possible biomarkers.
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