Bilateral episcleral brachytherapy in simultaneous choroidal melanoma and circumscribed hemangioma
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Abstract

Purpose: To describe the efficacy of episcleral brachytherapy in a choroidal melanoma and circumscribed hemangioma arising in both eyes of the same patient.

Case report: We present the case of a 47 year old man who presented decreased visual acuity a few months preceding initial consult. On fundoscopy, he presented a melanotic lesion in the right eye, and a red-orange choroidal mass in the macular area of the left eye.

Material and methods: B scan-ultrasound, fluorescein, and indocyanine green angiography, confirmed the diagnosis of choroidal melanoma in the right eye, and circumscribed choroidal hemangioma in the left eye. Episcleral brachytherapy with 125I was performed in both eyes consecutively.

Results and Conclusions: Bilateral episcleral brachytherapy successfully treated both tumors, preserving the eyes and useful visual function.
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Fig. 1. Before episcleral brachytherapy with $^{125}$I treatment. Fundus photography of right eye (OD) reveals a melanotic lesion in superior temporal arcade (A), showed as a nodular choroidal mass in B scan-ultrasound (C). Nuclear Magnetic Resonance studies (NMR) of the orbit shows a well-defined mass, hiperintense on T1 (E), and hipointense on T2 (G), without contrast enhancement. Left eye (OS) fundus shows a red-orange choroidal mass in macular area (B), with inferior exudative retinal detachment (D), iso-intense on NMR-T1 (F), and hiperintense on T2 (H), with high contrast enhancement.
Nine green angiography supported the diagnosis of choroidal melanoma in OD, and circumscribed choroidal hemangioma with exudative retinal detachment in OS. Adjuvant studies (orbital computed tomography scan, nuclear magnetic resonance, liver function test, hepatic scan-ultrasound) proved that there were not extraocular or systemic extensions. Episcleral brachytherapy with $^{125}\text{I}$ was performed with ROPES plaque of 11 mm consecutively in both eyes (Fig. 2). Dose-rate distributions were obtained by the Plaque Simulator BEBIG v 3.56® (GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Treatment duration was calculated using an excel spreadsheet assuming dosimetric constants and functions of the AAPM TG-43 (American Association of Physicists in Medicine Task Group) protocol. The source model used was the 6711, supplied by Amersham Healthcare. The characteristics of the two treatments are detailed in Table 1. After surgical exposure of the scleral surface over the tumor base, transpupillary illumination was used drawing the tumor base. A dummy plaque was sutured, to assure the correct plaque location and margin. Then the definitive radioactive plaque was positioned and the sutures tied. Brachytherapy was performed first on the left eye (choroidal hemangioma) delivering 45 Gy, and 2 weeks later on the right (melanoma),

| Table 1. Treatment characteristics     | Circumscribed hemangioma | Choroidal melanoma |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Prescription point on the central axis (mm) | 4.40                     | 4.22               |
| Treatment length (hours)                | 71.25                    | 190.08             |
| Individual source strength at implant (U) | 4.468                    | 3.617              |
| Individual source strength at implant (mCi) | 3.51                     | 2.848              |
| Total source strength at implant (U)    | 22.34                    | 18.08              |
| Total source strength at implant (mCi)  | 17.59                    | 14.24              |
| Sources number                         | 5                        | 5                  |
| Eye                                    | OS                       | OD                 |

Fig. 2. Retinal diagram with dose distribution of episcleral brachytherapy with $^{125}\text{I}$ (ROPES plaque of 11 mm) in both eyes
delivering 95 Gy. Between the two treatments cleaning and sterilization of the applicator and sources were performed according to the procedure in the hospital. Both tumors regressed, and retinal detachment disappeared.

After twelve years of follow-up, there has not been a tumor recurrence (Fig. 3). Nine years after treatment, a post irradiation cataract appeared in the left eye, and macular edema and hemorrhages in posterior pole of the right eye. The cataract was extracted on the left eye, and visual acuity was recovered up to 20/200. An Anti Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor agent (Avastin®) was injected three times in right eye (every three months), with resolution of the hemorrhages, but still with persistence of slight macular edema on Optical Coherence Tomography examination with recovery and stabilization of the visual acuity up to 20/60 up to last examination.

Discussion

Choroidal melanoma is the most common primary intraocular tumor in adults, and circumscribed choroidal hemangioma is a rare clinical entity. Is it not known if there is any association between either tumors, and it is a rare coincidence to find them in the same subject, resulting in bilateral reduced visual function in the patient. Our case involves a young patient with simultaneous tumors in both eyes, and because of this, it was considered a priority to apply local conservative treatment to preserve his eyes, and to retain visual function. In choroidal melanomas, conservative treatment with plaque radiotherapy has proved to be efficient to control local tumors with overall survival comparable to enucleation [5,6]. These patients may have visual loss secondary to radiation retinopathy, a condition that may result from the exposure to radiation [12], and may be considered a bad prognostic factor, due to high radiation doses to the foveola [13]. Although our patient received a high radiation dose on the posterior pole, he had a well-preserved visual function, after twelve years of following-up. Treatment of choroidal hemangioma is based upon tumor location, the presence of subretinal fluid, symptoms and potential for visual recovery. Because of the large size of the

Fig. 3. Twelve years after episcleral brachytherapy with 125I. Choroidal melanoma regressed in fundus examination (A), and in B scan-ultrasound (C) of right eye (OD). Circumscribed hemangioma (B) and retinal detachment also disappeared (D) in left eye (OS)
choroidal hemangioma in our patient, we could not use a less aggressive treatment. Episcleral brachytherapy was an option for treatment, which has been reported to offer excellent results in large tumors [9,10,14]. Because of the subfoveal location of the tumor, the patient had some loss of vision in the eye with choroidal hemangioma, upon diagnosis. We used a low dose of radiation, which had lesser risk of complications, and after cataract extraction, the visual acuity of the patient was preserved. Choroidal melanoma has been associated with different systemic or ocular findings, but an association between choroidal melanoma and choroidal hemangioma has never been published.

Conclusions
To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of simultaneous choroidal melanoma and circumscribed choroidal hemangioma in the same patient, treated successfully with $^{125}$I brachytherapy, achieving tumor control and preservation of useful visual function in both eyes, for 12 years.
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