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Abstract. In this paper we present SOA based CLAS12 event Reconstruction and Analyses (CLARA) framework. CLARA design focus is on two main traits: real-time data stream processing, and service-oriented architecture (SOA) in a flow based programming (FBP) paradigm. Data driven and data centric architecture of CLARA presents an environment for developing agile, elastic, multilingual data processing applications. The CLARA framework presents solutions capable of processing large volumes of data interactively and substantially faster than batch systems.

1. Introduction
Software systems, including physics data processing (PDP) applications have a distinct component based structure. Most data processing applications can be described as a combination of, more or less, independent software units that are cooperating to achieve data processing goals. These components in most cases require different optimization criteria to increase performance of the overall data processing application. It is arguable difficult to optimize functionally different components of an application within the same process. The resulting code becomes bloated, less readable, difficult to test, debug and maintain. We think that by designing a PDP application, based on independent (or loosely coupled), specialized software components as processes much needed agility and efficiency can be achieved. Under “loose coupling” we assume that components do not have interdependences other than a data interface. Thus data processing application components can be considered as service providers that offer their services through a defined data interface.

2. Basic Concepts
The CLARA framework uses SOA[1] to enhance the efficiency, agility, and productivity of data processing applications. Services are the primary means through which data processing logic is implemented. CLARA application services are running in a context that is agnostic to the global data processing application logic. Services are loosely coupled and can participate in multiple algorithmic compositions. Legacy processes or applications can also be presented as services and integrated into a data processing application. Services can be linked together and presented as one, complex, composite service. This framework provides a federation of services, so that service-based data processing applications can be united while maintaining their
individual autonomy and self-governance. CLARA is a multilingual framework that supports services written in Java, Python and C++ languages.

2.1. Design architecture
CLARA architecture consists of three layers, illustrated in Figure 1. The first layer is the service-bus that provides an abstraction of the xMsg publish-subscribe messaging system[2]. xMsg is using the ZeroMQ[3] socket library and implements messaging patterns like topic pub-sub, workload distribution, and request-response. Every service from the service layer or component from the orchestration-layer communicates via the service-bus, which acts as a messaging tunnel between CLARA components. The service-layer houses the inventory of services used to build data processing applications. An administrative registration service stores information about every registered service in the service layer, including address, description and operational details.

Figure 1. CLARA architecture: Front-End DPE (FE), Data Processing Environment (DPE), Service Container (SC), Service (S).

The orchestration of data analyses applications is accomplished by the application controller (orchestrator), which resides in the orchestration-layer. Front-End (FE) is a specialized data processing environment (DPE) that houses a master registration service on top of the global registration database. The growing need to fuse, correlate and process widely distributed data in the CLARA environment will undoubtedly demand data security measures. CLARA provides normative data-proxy and security services that can be deployed in the FE. CLARA security services implement user authentication, data encryption and transient data envelope integrity.

2.2. Data envelope
The CLARA framework provides developers ability to interact with services using the publish-subscribe message exchanges. In a distributed communication model, success largely depends on the design of the message structure: a communication envelope that describes not only transferred data, but also communication and service operational details. In order for a service communication to be truly useful, every service has to share/use the same vocabulary for expressing the communication details (i.e. common message-interface). CLARA transient data envelope is the main message interface between services. The mutual understanding and acceptance of this object couples the framework services. The CLARA data envelope is illustrated in the Figure 2.
Figure 2. CLARA data envelope: Envelope can have two or 4-part configuration based on the data transferred modes between local or remote services. Local: 1) topic, 2) shared-memory key. Remote: 1) topic, 2) “envelope”, 3) xMsg metadata, 4) serialized object.

2.3. Basic components

The CLARA platform is composed of multiple distributed data processing environments (DPE). DPE provides a run-time environment for services and service-containers and allows several services to concurrently execute on the same environment. The use of shared memory prevents unnecessary copying of the data during service communications. The DPE runs one or many service-containers that are designed for logical groupings of services. Service-containers and services may also be distributed across multiple computing nodes for the purposes of scaling horizontally to handle increased data volume. CLARA application orchestrator can start service-containers in a specified DPE, and monitor and track functionality of services by subscribing to specific events from a service-container, reporting the number of requests to a specific service, as well as notifying when a successful execution of a particular service (or its failure) has occurred. Each service-container deploys one or many services, presenting their computing algorithms encapsulated in service-engines. CLARA service implements SOA SaaS as a way of delivering on-demand, ready-made data processing solutions (service-engines) as CLARA services.

Figure 3. CLARA basic components: services (SE1, SEn) and data streams (arrows).
The CLARA data processing application user uses a service, but does not control the operating system, hardware or network infrastructure on which they are running. The quality of the data-processing application (including syntactic, semantic qualities, and performance) depends highly on the quality of constituent service, delegating a request to its engine. It is, therefore, critical to test and validate a service-engine before deploying it as a CLARA service. Service-engines must be validated with respect to workflow, thread-safety, integrity, reliability, scalability, availability, accuracy, testability and portability. The DPE also contains a proxy for service connections, eliminating direct connections between services. The use of the proxy solves service dynamic discovery problems. The DPE proxy acts like a simple stateless message switch (much like an HTTP proxy). Each service-container running in a DPE contains the map of deployed service objects. Every service object subscribes to service requests and processes the request by getting the service-engine object from the object pool, and executing the user provided engine in a separate thread.

2.4. Resource Discovery
The core of the CLARA registration and discovery mechanism is the normative registration service that the services and service containers are registering with. The registration service, which is started within the DPE, functions as a naming and directory facility to uncover services. Services and service-containers in the CLARA registry are described using unique names, types and descriptions of their functionalities. The service is advertised by its service description in the registry. Querying the name and a service functional description defines the service discovery process. Registration database is duplicated in the front-end DPE (FE), controlled by the master registration service. Each registration service periodically pushes its entire database to the FE.

Figure 4. CLAS12 data processing application. Services: stream builder (R), stream writer (W), electromagnetic-calorimeter reconstruction (EC), hit based tracking (HT), time of flight reconstruction (TOF), time based tracking (TT), particle identification (PID), staging (SS), application orchestrator (OR).
3. CLAS12 data processing application
The CLAS12 data processing cover all stages of the physics data processing, including physics and detector simulations, high-level software triggers, reconstruction, analysis and visualization. CLARA based CLAS12 data processing application is a composite application (see Figure 4), that requires assembly and orchestration. Assembling is the process of combining different detector component reconstruction services into a workable unit. The orchestration consists of insuring that all the assembled services work together collaboratively to solve a given problem within a specific scenario. CLAS12 application is designed to scale vertically and horizontally. Vertical scaling assumes multithreading within a single node (desktop operation mode), having stream builder (R) and stream writer (W) services as the only serial components in the system.

3.1. CLAS12 application elasticity
CLAS12 reconstruction application was first deployed in a single 32-core node. The simulated data set was selected to contain events having at least one charged track in the CLAS12 detector. The measurements were conducted reconstructing a single file one event at a time through 32 events at a time in the single CLARA container. The result of this measurement is illustrated in the Figure 5, showing a linear dependence between the average processing time and the number of cores. Figure 5 also shows the performance of the CLAS12 reconstruction on a 16 node Intel Xeon 2x6 2.67GHz CPU cluster, with multiple DPEs deployed to process a set of 64 files using all cores in the nodes. Here we also obtained a linear scaling of the tracking performance.

![Figure 5. CLAS application scalability.](image)
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