Melting Behavior of Phase Change Material in a Solar Vertical Thermal Energy Storage with Variable Length Fins added on the Heat Transfer Tube Surfaces
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ABSTRACT. This paper investigates the melting behaviour of phase change material (PCM) in a vertical thermal energy storage system with provision of thin rectangular fins of uniform and variable lengths on the heat transfer tube surfaces. The selected PCM and heat transfer fluid (HTF) are paraffin wax and water, respectively. The HTF is passed through the helically coiled copper tube of 10 mm diameter to melt the PCM. The time required to complete the melting of PCM in the system with fins is found to be five hours, whereas for the system without fins it is five hours and forty minutes, for the same conditions of constant water temperature of about 70°C and flow rate of 0.02 kg/s. HTF tube with fins is observed to be more effective with a 13.33% faster rate of melting when compared to that of the HTF tube without fins. Such a fast charging process will be helpful in storing maximum energy within a short period/duration of time shorter duration in for solar thermal and heat recovery applications during lean production times. ©2020. CBIORE-IJRED. All rights reserved
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1. Introduction

Solar energy utilization is one of the fastest-growing and cost-competitive renewable energy resources worldwide. As solar energy is not a continuous energy source and is not available at night time, there is a mismatch between the energy supply and demand. The thermal requirements during the night and early morning necessitated the development of thermal energy storage (TES) for solar energy systems. TES is a type of energy system that uses the concept of both sensible and latent heat to store the captured heat energy from compact solar thermal collectors and waste heat recovery systems, without loss. TES provides the stored heat use fort at a later time for applications like heating and drying. Thermal energy can be stored either as sensible or latent heat. Latent heat storage (LHS) has a higher capacity to store energy per unit volume compared to sensible heat storage due to latent heat of phase change. PCM’s have a low thermal conductivity between 0.1-0.7 Wm-K. The present review of literature focuses on the improvement of the thermal conductivity of PCMs using fins.

LHS depends upon the fast absorption and release of latent heat at the same temperature when a storage material goes through a change of phase from solid to liquid during the day and liquid to solid later when the heat is discharged to thermal applications. Phase change materials (PCMs) are used in LHS. The range of temperature for the transition of paraffin from solid to liquid phase is 50°C–110°C and the range of heat of fusion is found to vary between 180–210 kJ/kg. Paraffin wax is commercially available with different melting ranges and does not involve phase change segregation.

The enhancement of the heat transfer to and from the PCM using metallic fins on the heat transfer tube surfaces has been studied by several researchers over the past decades. The thermal performance of LHS was investigated with stainless-steel fins and aluminum fins of different thicknesses inside the tube to increase the heat transfer rate of the PCM (Wang et al. 2019). The use of wavy channels in the PCM was observed to result in a significant improvement of the charging and the discharging mechanism of PCM (Shahsavari et al. 2019). The thermal storage unit was studied by using a multichannel flat tube and rectangular fins; the convective heat transfer coefficient was observed to increase up to 515 W/m²K (Chen et al. 2019). The temperature distribution and energy storage in an annular PCM was enhanced by inclusion of fins in the longitudinal and traverse directions in a cylindrical thermal energy storage (Mostafavi et al. 2020).

The decreasing fin edge length ratio helped to significantly reduce the melting time, whereas, it had an
The effect of fin orientation of angular fins in horizontal due to improved convection due to the increased surfaces.

The bottom of the horizontal LHS unit annulus radius and tested for the angle from 15 to 72 at 2017 of up to solar air heater (Chen et al. 2019). Heat transfer by natural convection was observed to occur in fins due to friction (Vogel et al. 2019). There appears to be a considerable impact of the natural convection occurring in fins, which are designed with a big tube spacing for low fin friction. Big fin heights lead to less heat transfer enhancement by natural convection. The horizontal design of the LHS was found to be effective compared to the vertical design for part-load operation due to the less time required to melt half of the mass of the PCM (Mehta et al. 2019). The added fins increased the rate of melting by 50%; the melting rate was superior for the horizontal compared to the vertical orientation. However, for the finned tubes, minor variation was observed regarding the melting rate by changing the orientation (Mahdi et al. 2019). The inclined orientation of heat transfer fluid in the horizontal PCM storage was found to be capable of decreasing the melting duration (Senthil, 2019). Further, the effect of uniform and variable fin height in the horizontal PCM storage system was studied and the variable fin height was found more suitable to melt the PCM at the bottom of the energy storage (Senthil, 2019).

The effect of employing PCM in the annulus region and the inner tube was studied and the total solidification time of PCM for the annular region case was found to be lower by 43.4% compared to the inner tube (Mahdi et al. 2019). A conical shell and tube LHS system with fins, attached to the heat transfer fluid (HTF) tube was found to improve the thermal performance with a larger rate of heat transfer (Sodhi et al. 2019). Finned double tube configuration was found to be effective in enhancing the heat transfer to the PCM (Deng et al. 2019). In a horizontal PCM cylinder, the increase of the lateral surface of the cylinder improved the melting rate of the PCM. The natural convection played a major role in the top portion of the PCM and the solid PCM sank to the bottom due to gravity (Yadav et al. 2020). The non-melting region of the PCM in thermal energy storage was identified and the provision of special fins for that region was found to be effective in completely melting the PCM (Gürtürk and Kok, 2020). Employing PCM inside the tube was found to be more effective than the external PCM (Chen et al. 2020). The use of triangular geometries in solar air heaters was found to enhance the collector efficiency (Shukla et al. 2017). Productivity improvement of up to 17% was observed in a single-slope solar still with thermal energy storage (Bhalavi et al. 2019; Gupta et al. 2017).

The fin height was provided about three-fourth of the annulus radius and tested for the angle from 15 to 72 at the bottom of the horizontal LHS unit (Mahood et al., 2020). The bottom fin angle of 30° on the horizontal LHS was resulted in a reduction of melting time about by 16.7% due to improved convection due to the increased surfaces. The effect of fin orientation of angular fins in horizontal LHS unit using stearic acid as PCM was studied with Y-fins and λ-type fins and Y-fins were observed to be effective in improving the melting rate of PCM that that of λ-fin arrangements (Khan and Khan, 2020). Melting behavior of lauric acid as PCM in a horizontal shell and finned heat storage unit was investigated to study the effect eccentric HTF tube and found that the heat storage rate of eccentric annulus is 18.7% higher than that of the concentric annulus (Kumar and Verma, 2020). The use of eccentric fins resulted in more uniformity in temperature distribution and observed with a 21% higher melting rate than that of the concentric case due to natural convection effects.

From the previous studies, it is evident that the use of differently shaped fins in the PCM is useful in increasing the rate of heat transfer. Most of the studies are focused on the horizontal LHS unit. Literature on the vertical LHS unit with different fin geometries has been observed a very limited. Simultaneous use of differently shaped fins on the HTF tube of TES systems has not been reported much in the literature. Hence, the effect of using uniform and variable length fins near the bottom of the vertical cylindrical thermal energy storage system is investigated in this work and the significant aspects of the observed results are reported here.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental work

The experimental setup consists of a hot water supply tank and a reservoir to store the hot water. The water from the reservoir is pumped to the supply tank by using a hot water centrifugal pump. Three heating coils of 2 kW each are fixed on the hot water tank to heat the water to the required temperature of about 60-80°C. Thus, this hot water source imitates real-time sources like solar thermal or waste heat recovery systems. Table 1 indicates the specifications of the TES experimental setup. Thermostat control is used to maintain the temperature in the supply tank. The entire system is connected with the help of thermal resistant pipes and insulated with glass wool. The outlet from the tank is connected to the HTF tube which is made up of copper. Valves are provided in appropriate places to allow the passage of hot water where and when required. The HTF tube is kept inside the TES by keeping the inlet and outlet on the top side of the storage system to avoid the leakage of molten PCM. Polycarbonate tube is selected for thermal energy storage container due to its transparent nature and low thermal conductivity values.

Uniform fins of 10 mm height are arranged at regular intervals on the copper tube inside the polycarbonate casing. Three fins are attached to the copper tube from the top to the midpoint of the vertical HTF tube. The wall thickness of HTF tube is 1.1 mm copper tube selection is based on the brazing of copper fins on the outer surface of the HTF tube. The fins are of 0.5 mm thickness and made up of copper. The fins are longitudinally fitted in such a way that the height of the fins at the bottom is more, the same fin but of lesser height is provided at the midpoint of the central HTF tube. The height of the fin at the bottom is 20 mm and the height is reduced gradually up to the HTF tube height of 150 mm. The top half of the central HTF tube is provided with uniform length fins, whereas the bottom half is provided with four gradually decreasing length fins of the same material and thickness.
Table 1
Specifications of the thermal energy storage experimental setup

| Item                           | Values                  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Heating coil capacity (kW)     | 6 kW                    |
| Power of the pump (kW)         | 0.186 kW                |
| Diameter of the PCM container (mm) | 110 mm              |
| Height of the PCM container (mm) | 310 mm              |
| Material of PCM container       | Polycarbonate           |
| Wall thickness of polycarbonate | 10 mm                  |
| Thermal conductivity of polycarbonate | 0.22 W/m K          |
| Light transmissibility          | 90%                    |
| Deflection temperature of the PCM container material | 138°C             |
| Thermal conductivity of HTF tube | 399 W/m K           |
| Outer diameter of the HTF tube  | 12.70 mm               |
| Inner diameter of the HTF tube  | 11.5 mm                |
| Thickness of the HTF tube       | 1.01 mm                |
| Outer diameter of HTF coil      | 90 mm                  |
| Height of the HTF coil          | 300 mm                 |
| Length of the HTF tube with fins | 295 mm                |
| Number of turns in the HTF coil | 8                     |
| Thickness of fins               | 0.5 mm                 |
| Length of uniform fins          | 10 mm                  |
| Range of length of variable fins | 2-20 mm               |
| Insulation material             | Glass wool             |
| Thickness of insulation         | 30 mm                  |

The HTF tube with uniform and variable length fins is illustrated in Fig. 1. The schematic layout of the thermal energy storage experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. The fin length to thickness ratio for uniform fins is 20. The fin length to thickness ratio of variable length fins varies gradually from 40 to 4 from the bottom to top.

2.1 Experimental procedure

Water is filled in both the supply tank and the reservoir to the required level. The mass of water used in the experiments is 50 kg. It is usually filled up to the bottom level of the pipe outlet of the tank. PT 100 thermocouples are connected to the data logging system to record the temperature of the HTF and different heights of PCM over the stipulated time intervals. The temperature in the tank is allowed to reach 70°C with the help of the heaters and thermostat controls. The hot water from the tank is allowed to bypass to the reservoir through a pipe connecting the tank directly to the reservoir. The pump is used to circulate water through the storage. The flow rate is regulated using flow meter and valves at a fixed flow rate of 0.02 kg/s.

The hot water at a nearly constant temperature of 70°C is allowed to flow through the HTF tubes immersed in paraffin wax of melting temperature of 60°C. Table 2 shows the properties of the PCM. The temperature of the PCM is recorded at intervals of 5 min. The height of the molten paraffin wax increases over time. The charging process is continued until the entire paraffin wax changes from solid to liquid.

2.2 Performance calculations

The performance is studied by using heat input and storage capacity of the PCM. The heat input to the PCM is calculated using fluid temperatures by Eq. (1).

\[ Q_i = \dot{m} C_{pv} (T_{wo} - T_w) t \]  

Where, \( C_{pv} \) - specific heat (kJ/kgK), \( \dot{m} \) – flow rate (kg/s), \( t \) - time (s), and \( T_{wo}, T_w \) - HTF inlet and exit temperatures (°C), respectively. The heat stored by the PCM is calculated by the heat stored by sensible and latent heat using Eq. (2).

Fig. 1. Uniform and variable length fins in the concentric HTF tube.

The total time of PCM charging is noted for the HTF tube with and without fins. The tests are repeated four times for both the HTF configurations of with and without fins to check the repeatability. In this analysis, the charging of PCM alone is considered for the HTF with and without fins, as the primary objective is to store maximum heat energy with a short duration.

Fig. 2. Schematic layout of the thermal energy storage setup.
Table 2
Properties of paraffin wax (RT60)

| Property                  | Values |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Range of melting temperature (°C) | 58-60  |
| Phase change enthalpy (kJ/kg)    | 195    |
| Density (kg/m³)            | 874 (solid), 786 (liquid) |
| Thermal conductivity (W/mK) | 0.24 (solid), 0.21 (liquid) |
| Specific heat (kJ/kg K)    | 2.4 (solid), 2.2 (liquid) |
| Volumetric expansion (%)   | 10     |
| Mass of PCM used (kg)      | 3      |
| Maximum operating temperature (°C) | 130    |
| Flash point (°C)           | 210    |
| Fire point (°C)            | 230    |

\[ Q = m \cdot [C_p_{\text{sol}} \cdot (T_m - T_i) + H + C_p_{\text{liq}} \cdot (T_f - T_m)] \] (2)

Where, \( T_i, T_m \) and \( T_f \) - initial, melting and final temperatures of PCM (°C), respectively, \( m \) - mass of PCM (kg), \( H \) - Latent heat of PCM (kJ/kg) and \( C_p_{\text{sol}}, C_p_{\text{liq}} \) - specific heat of solid and liquid PCM (kJ/kgK), respectively. The thermal energy storage effectiveness is calculated based on the PCM and HTFs temperature using Eq. (3).

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{(T_{\text{ml}} - T_{\text{mf}})}{(T_{\text{mf}} - T_{\text{mi}})} \] (3)

2.3 Uncertainty analysis

To validate the experimental measurements and the obtained results, an uncertainty analysis is performed (Kline and McClintock, 1953; Moffat, 1988). Table 3 shows the uncertainties in the measurement of various parameters, namely, mass, temperature, and flow rate.

The overall uncertainty of the experiment is found from Eq. (4),

\[ \Delta Y = \sqrt{\sum_i \left( \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X_i} \Delta X_i \right)^2} \] (4)

Where \( \Delta Y \) is the overall uncertainty of the experiment, \( \Delta X_i \) and \( \Delta Y_i \) are the uncertainties in the measured and derived quantities, respectively and \( X_i \) the measured quantity. The experimental uncertainty for storage effectiveness is determined as 3.7% at the selected mass flow rate. The overall uncertainty decreases as the flow rate increases. A similar effect is also observed in literature (Mehta et al., 2019). Thus, the results of the present work are on par with the research findings in literature.

Table 3
Uncertainties in the measurement of parameters

| Property                          | Uncertainty     |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Temperature (PT100 sensors)       | ± 0.1 °C        |
| Mass of PCM (Digital balance)     | ± 0.01 g        |
| Mass flow rate (Digital flow meter) | ± 0.02 kg/min  |
| Time (Digital stopwatch)          | ± 0.1 s         |

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Melting durations

The paraffin wax around the central copper tube and the helical coil starts melting due to the flow of hot water at 70°C through the copper tube. The inlet temperature and flow rate of HTF are maintained the same for both the HTF tube configurations of with and without fins. The temperature trend of the PCM at three equal positions in the vertical storage is shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Variation of PCM temperature (top, middle and bottom) over the test duration for with and without fins in the center HTF tube](image)

![Fig. 4. Variation of average temperature of PCM temperature over the test duration for with and without fins in the center HTF tube for a fixed flow rate and initial HTF temperature](image)
The fins are found to be beneficial due to the additional heat transfer area and the contact with the PCM, and the temperature trend is at a faster rate when compared to the HTF tube without fins. Sensible heating of PCM with fins occurs at a faster rate than the HTF tube without fins. The temperature profile along the axis from bottom to top shows an increasing trend due to the solid PCM at the bottom and the liquid PCM reaches the top of the storage tank. The average temperature of PCM with fins is observed to be around 5.5% more temperature that that of the PCM storage without fins as per Fig. 4. The HTF leaving the PCM storage is observed to have a temperature drop of around 3-7°C due to the temperature difference between the PCM and water over time. The addition of an outer HTF coil in the system helps in increasing the residence time of HTF inside the copper tubes and in exchanging the heat at a faster rate. The temperature drop of the HTF with fins is to be observed about 10% more than that of the HTF tube without fins on the central HTF tube surface. The trend of temperature drop in HTF across the PCM storage is illustrated in Fig. 5.

The different stages of the melting of PCM are visually observed by opening the insulation blanket at intervals of 15 min and the final stage is observed at after an interval of 5 min. The major stages of melting are presented in Fig. 6. Thus, the molten PCM reaches the top of the storage tank due to natural convection and density difference, and an increase in temperature is also observed in the liquid region. The gradual decrease in fin height is to assist the liquid convection from the bottom to the top of the storage unit. After about 4 h, the height of the liquid paraffin wax is observed to be at a maximum and the remaining duration of heating is required to melt the solid PCM settled at the bottom of the storage. The PCM remaining at the bottom part of the storage unit requires more heating time to melt due to the constant heat loss taking place from the thermal storage system. The gradually increasing length of fins helps in increasing the rate of charging of the paraffin wax.

The time taken to reach complete melting is about 340 min and 300 min, for HTF tube without and with fins, respectively. Thus, the enhancement of melting duration of HTF tube with uniform and variable length fins is about 13.33% more than that of the HTF tube without fins. Fig. 7 shows the amount of heat stored in the PCM for thermal energy storage for the central HTF tube with and without fins. Thermal energy storage with fins is observed to have about 3.2% more heat storage capacity than that of thermal energy storage without fins.

### 3.2 Storage effectiveness

The effectiveness of the PCM storage is determined based on the melting temperature of PCM and the HTF inlet and outlet temperatures. The variation of storage effectiveness over the test duration is shown in Fig. 8. The storage effectiveness gradually increases over the test duration when the PCM reaches the melting point. The average storage effectiveness of TES without and with fins is 0.51 and 0.57, respectively. The increase of storage effectiveness of TES with fins is about 11.77% more than that of the TES without fins.

**Fig. 5.** Temperature drop of HTF across the PCM storage over the test duration for with and without fins in the center HTF tube for a fixed flow rate and initial HTF temperature

**Fig. 6.** Melting stages of paraffin wax in vertical PCM storage with helical coil heat transfer fluid tube with uniform and variable length fins; (a) 90 min, (b) 180 min, (c) 270 min, (d) 290 min.

**Fig. 7.** The amount of heat stored in PCM in both the thermal energy storage for the central HTF tube with and without fins.
Thus, the proposed method is helpful in the effective charging of PCM without increasing the complexity of the storage. When compared to other costlier methods like nano-based enhancements, fins are more cost-effective. The construction of fins in the present cylindrical TES system is observed to be effective due to the variable fin length.

4. Conclusions

Intermittent solar energy can be effectively utilized using thermal energy storage. Uniform and variable length fins are tested for the melting time using water at a specific temperature of about 70°C and a flow rate of 0.02 kg/s.

- The HTF tube with fins is observed to be effective to completely melt the settled solid PCM in the bottom part of the vertical TES storage container.
- HTF tube with fins is observed to have a 13.33% faster rate of melting as compared to that of the HTF tube without fins.
- The time required for complete melting of PCM in the HTF tube with fins is observed to be 40 min less than that of the HTF tube without fins.
- The storage effectiveness increases by 11.77% with the fins when compared to that of the HTF tube without fins.
- The temperature drop of the HTF across the cylindrical thermal energy storage with fins on the central HTF tube surface is about 10% more than that of the HTF tube without fins.
- The average temperature of PCM with fins is observed to be around 5.5% more temperature that that of the PCM storage without fins.
- Heat storage capacity of the selected vertical LHS with fins on the central vertical HTF tube is observed to have about 3.2% more heat storage capacity than that of the thermal energy storage without fins.

The fast charging process helps to increase the rate of heat transfer and to achieve the maximum heat storage in a short period of time. The relationship between the curved profile of fins, storage material, heat transfer mechanisms and the size of the storage container is to be studied further to optimize the design of thermal energy storage.
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