Antimicrobial activity effects of electrolytically generated hypochlorous acid-treated pathogenic microorganisms by isothermal kinetic simulation
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Abstract
This study involves isothermal kinetic simulation to evaluate the parameters of inhibition conditions for Escherichia coli (E. coli) and Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) of high-risk pathogens. This is because the new type of the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) is continuously spreading and the importance of public health issues. Environmental disinfection and personal wearing of masks have become important epidemic prevention measures. Selection of concentration kinetics could be estimated best for E. coli and S. aureus of pathogens, 2.74 × 10^4 and 10^5 and 2.44 × 10^4 and 10^5 colony-forming units (CFU mL⁻¹), by isothermal micro-calorimeter (TAM Air) tests, respectively. Comparisons were made of different doses of 0–70 ppm (in 20 mL test ampoule) hypochlorous acid treatment for conducting nth-order and autocatalytic reaction simulation to evaluate the inhibition reaction parameters, which determined the autocatalytic kinetic model that was beneficially applied on the E. coli and S. aureus. We developed the inhibition reaction parameters of the pathogens, which included the activation energy (Eₐ), the natural logarithm of pre-exponential factor (lnk₀), the enthalpy of inhibition microbial growth reaction (ΔH), inhibition microbial growth, and the inhibition growth analysis. Overall, we conducted isothermal kinetic simulation to understand the antimicrobial activity effects of electrolytically generated hypochlorous acid-treated pathogenic microorganisms, which will provide reference for public health and medical-related fields for SDG3, and can contribute to ensuring human health and hygiene.
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List of symbols
CFU Colony-forming units/CFU mL⁻¹
E Activation energy of an endothermic reaction/kJ mol⁻¹
Eₐ Activation energy of an exothermic reaction/kJ mol⁻¹
n Reaction order, dimensionless
NB Nutrient broth culture medium
nᵢ Reaction order of the ith stage, dimensionless; i = 1, 2, 3, 4
R Gas constant/8.31415 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹
rᵢ Reaction rate of the ith stage/g sec⁻¹; i = 1, 2, 3, 4
T Absolute temperature/K
TCL Time to conversion limit under isothermal conditions/day
TMR Time to maximum rate under isothermal conditions/day
Tₜ Total time from start onset to back baseline of the exothermic reaction/min
z Autocatalytic constant/dimensionless

1 Department of Biotechnology, National Formosa University, 64, Wunhua Rd., Huwei Township, Yunlin County 632301, Taiwan
2 Department of Food Nutrition and Health Biotechnology, Asia University, 500, Lioufeng Rd., Wufeng, Taichung 41354, Taiwan
3 Office of Environmental Safety and Health, Asia University, 500, Lioufeng Rd., Wufeng, Taichung 41354, Taiwan
4 Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, 91, Hsueh-Shih Rd., Taichung 40402, Taiwan

* Chun-Ping Lin
  cp.lin@asia.edu.tw; chunping927@gmail.com

© Springer
Introduction

Public health involves dealing primarily with protecting people’s health, including promoting healthcare quality and accessibility and the limitation of healthcare disparities [1]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has reported that there are 31 known foodborne pathogens or unspecified agents that cause infections in humans. Common high-risk foodborne pathogens include *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Enterococcus faecalis* [2]. The 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) has not yet been fully controlled, and the number of infections and deaths is still increasing. To prevent the novel coronavirus, in addition to the basic skills of washing hands and wearing a mask, disinfecting water with alcohol, bleach, and hypochlorous acid has become a highly inquired product, in the hope of reducing the risk of infection [3]. Considering healthcare quality, fostering safe environments, and developing sound health policies remain public health systems key responsibilities.

Chlorine is a potent, rapidly acting, short-term disinfectant. However, because it is a gas, chlorine has little practical use. Instead, many compounds that release chlorine find wide application, including hypochlorite and organic and inorganic chloramines [4]. Hypochlorous acid (also referred to as electrolyzed water) is an oxoacid of chlorine (with formula HClO) containing monovalent chlorine that acts as an oxidizing or reducing agent [5]. It has been demonstrated to have bactericidal effects. In vitro, when introduced to a variety of microorganisms, hypochlorous acid causes oxidation of nucleotides, inactivation of cell enzymes, disruption of cell membranes, and rapid cell lysis [6–9]. Its advantages include a broad dismicrobial spectrum, low cost, low risk for sensitization, and lack of bacterial resistance against the agent.

HOCI is one of the only agents that is both nontoxic to the delicate cells that can heal wounds and lethal to almost all known dangerous bacteria and viruses that threaten health [10]. At an effective antimicrobial concentration range, HOCI is non-irritating and non-sensitizing because of its lower cytotoxicity to mammalian cells when compared with NaOCl and H$_2$O$_2$ [11].

Such a study may provide further insights into the basic understanding of microbial ecotoxicology to allow the development of this field to better understand and protect the physical environment from pollutants. Sterility tests are limited in their ability to detect microbial contamination in large production batches due to small sampling size. Microbial metabolic activity is an effective microbial index to assess the toxicity of chemicals. Different rapid microbial methods, such as isothermal microcalorimetry, are capable of detecting heat produced by biochemically active cells such as growing bacteria or fungi. Isothermal microcalorimetry is a technique which measures the heat flow of chemical, physical, and biological processes [12–14]. It has been used for the detection of infection and contamination of clinical products and samples, determination of effects of antimicrobial compounds, monitoring and quantification of soil microbial activity and contamination, viral infections and activities of antiviral compounds, and spoilage of food [15–30].

Microcalorimetry is a quantifiable and accurate measurement method for biological growth energy. It detects the effect of drugs on cell metabolism by continuously measuring the energy changes produced by biological growth [31, 32]. We have developed an approach using isothermal microcalorimetry to evaluate liquid-state microbial growth exothermic properties that include the enthalpy of growth [33, 34], biological growth curve differences (including lag, logarithmic, stationary, and decline phases), and isothermal liquid-state growth properties. The approach has been applied to the growth, storage, and culture conditions of *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus casei*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that include increasing the exothermic properties of fermentation microorganisms, such as the kinetics of reaction, pre-exponential factor, reaction order, activation energy, enthalpy of endothermic reaction, time to maximum rate, and time to the conversion limit. We also used time to maximum rate to evaluate the bacterial isothermal condition to reach the maximum growth rate time and time to the conversion limit to determine the reliable growth and storage conditions of bacteria. Thus, we also used isothermal microcalorimetry to assess the effect of hypochlorous acid with different doses on the growth and metabolism of widespread pathogenic microorganisms *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) by applying reaction kinetic simulation. The approach can measure hypochlorous acid antibacterial activity, which can be used as a continuous measurement of non-destructive and interrupted methods to provide a method for evaluating antibacterial activity as a model for clinical application.
Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

The two species of pathogenic bacteria, including Gram-positive species *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) (BCRC 15,211) and Gram-negative species *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) (BCRC 10,675), were obtained from the Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC), Food Industry Research and Development Institute, Hsinchu, Taiwan. Two species were inoculated in a 250-mL sterilized flask contacting 100 mL nutrient broth culture medium (NB, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated in the shaker for 24 h at 37 °C. The rotation speed of the incubator shaker was 100 rpm prior to experimentation. The number of bacteria was determined by plating the bacteria on nutrient agar and incubating for 24 h at 37 °C; the average number of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* was $2.44 \times 10^6$ and $2.74 \times 10^8$ colony-forming units (CFU) mL$^{-1}$, respectively. The inoculum needed for the experiments was prepared by diluting the bacteria in sterile physiological saline (0.85% NaCl) solution. These cells were prepared for microcalorimetry measurements.

Preparation of hypochlorous acid solutions

Electrolyzed water was generated using an ezbetter HW-900 generator (New Taipei, Taiwan) in our laboratory with normal tap water on the day of use. Hypochlorous acid solutions contained free chlorine at the rate of 800–1000 ppm. The quickest and simplest method for testing for chlorine residual is the OTD (o-tolidine) indicator test, using a comparator. A tablet of OTD is added to the water sample, colouring it yellow. The strength of colour is measured against standard colour on a chart to determine the chlorine concentration. On the day of the experiment, the hypochlorous acid solutions were dissolved in distilled water to prepare hypochlorous acid at the 10–70 ppm concentration for isothermal microcalorimetry measurements.

Isothermal microcalorimetry measurement

The thermal active monitor TAM Air isothermal calorimeter (TA Instruments, Newcastle, DE, USA) was used to monitor the metabolic activity of the living cells of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. This microcalorimeter can record the heat flow $dQ/dt$ in microwatt range directly, also called heat-flow power, $P$, which is different from classical calorimeters. The heat output $Q$ value was obtained by integrating the metabolic power–time ($P$–$t$) curves using the TAM Assistant software (v2.0.175, date published 8 October 2020) (Newcastle, DE, USA) [35]. We used the TAM Air software to control the thermostat [33, 34]. All 20-mL glass ampoules were cleaned and sterilized in high-pressure steam (0.1 MPa) at 121 °C prior to use. The 20 mL ampoules contained 4 mL of NB culture medium added to these ampoules, 0.5 mL of various concentration of the hypochlorous acid (100–700 ppm), and 0.5 mL of test bacterial culture ($10^3$, $10^4$, $10^5$, and $10^6$ colony-forming units mL$^{-1}$). The TAM Air isothermal microcalorimeter results for all samples

---

**Fig. 1** Influence of electrolytically generated hypochlorous acid on the prevention of oxidation in camellia oil flow diagram
under various concentration are illustrated in Fig. 1. The positive control contained test microorganisms and NB without hypochlorous acid. TAM Air was used to investigate isothermal growth of bacteria at 35 °C. The loaded ampoules were allowed to equilibrate at this intermediated position for 30 min before being lowered into the measurement position. Data were analysed with origin 2019 (Microcal Software Inc.).

**Isothermal kinetic parameter evaluation**

In this study, isothermal microbial growth is a specific biological property that depends on the time, colony-forming units, and hypochlorous acid solutions concentration. This study was processed with the kinetics evaluated by applying software, including ARKS-TA (version 1.1.10, released 15 September 2020) and ARKS-FK (version 1.1.0, released 13 January, 2020) software developed by ChemInform Saint-Petersburg (CISP) Ltd [36]. The ARKS-TA is used for preliminary analysis of thermal analysis data. It can more precisely analyse the results of TAM Air to obtain the onset time, the total reaction time from the onset time to return the baseline, and the enthalpy of exothermic reaction. The ARKS-FK approach for the creation of a kinetic model and the algorithms that are employed is clearly described on the

| HCIO conc. ppm | Colony/CFU mL⁻¹ | Start time/h | End time/h | Total time/h | Reaction heat/kJ kg⁻¹ |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 0             | 10³ 0.75       | 66.74       | 65.99      | 11.15        |
| 10            | 10³ 0.75       | 69.37       | 68.62      | 12.18        |
| 20            | 10³ 0.75       | 67.49       | 66.74      | 11.89        |
| 30            | 10³ 0.75       | 46.30       | 45.55      | 11.72        |
| 40            | 10³ 0.75       | 66.74       | 65.99      | 12.02        |
| 50            | 10³ 0.75       | 69.37       | 68.62      | 11.93        |
| 60            | 10³ 0.75       | 67.49       | 66.73      | 11.40        |
| 70            | 10³ 0.75       | 46.30       | 45.55      | 12.41        |
| 10             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 66.74       | 65.99      | 12.02        |
| 20             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 69.37       | 68.62      | 12.37        |
| 30             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 67.49       | 66.73      | 11.84        |
| 40             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 46.31       | 45.56      | 12.22        |
| 50             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 66.74       | 65.99      | 12.23        |
| 60             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 69.37       | 68.62      | N/A          |
| 70             | 10⁴ 0.75       | 67.49       | 66.73      | N/A          |
| 100            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 66.73       | 65.98      | N/A          |
| 200            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 69.37       | 68.62      | N/A          |
| 300            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 67.48       | 66.73      | N/A          |
| 400            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 46.31       | 45.55      | N/A          |
| 500            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 66.73       | 65.98      | N/A          |
| 600            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 69.37       | 68.62      | N/A          |
| 700            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 67.48       | 66.73      | N/A          |
| 800            | 10⁵ 0.75       | 46.31       | 45.55      | N/A          |

**Table 1** Results of the TAM Air calorimeter tests for all samples of *E. coli* treated with 0–70 ppm hypochlorous acid inhibition under consistent isothermal temperature with various CFU counts, individually.

![Fig. 2](image-url)
Here, we compared the \( n \)-th order and the general autocatalytic kinetic equation and evaluated inhibition growth for traditional microbial growth of exothermic reaction under isothermal evaluations by ARKS-FK software. Simulations of isothermal kinetic models can be a single-stage reaction or complex multi-stage reactions, such as the generalized autocatalytic reaction, that may consist of several independent, parallel, and consecutive stages [34]:

\[
\frac{da}{dt} = k_0 e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} (1 - \alpha)^n
\]

(1)

This model is the generalized autocatalytic reaction (multi-stage reaction):

\[
\frac{da}{dt} = k_0 e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} (1 - \alpha)^n \left( z_0 e^{\frac{E_z}{RT}} + \alpha^{n_2} \right)
\]

(2)

where \( E_z \) is the difference between the activation energies of the initiation and autocatalytic stages, natural logarithm of the pre-exponential factor (\( \ln k_0 \)), inhibition growth order \( (n_i) \), \( i = 0, 1, \) and 2), \( z_0 \) stands for the ratio of pre-exponents \( k_{01}/k_{02} \) of considered \( E. coli \) and \( S. aureus \) inhibition growth under the autocatalytic model.

Table 2 Results of the TAM Air calorimeter tests for all samples of \( S. aureus \) treated with 0–70 ppm hypochlorous acid inhibition under consistent isothermal temperature with various CFU counts, individually

| HCIO conc./ppm | Colony/CFU/mL \( ^{-1} \) | Start time/h | End time/h | Total time/h | Reaction heat/kJ kg\(^{-1} \) |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|
| 0            | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.51     | 91.76       | 12.95           |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.31     | 68.56       | 11.61           |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | 8.95            |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | 11.38           |
| 10           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.51     | 91.76       | 8.72            |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.31     | 68.56       | 12.14           |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | 6.14            |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | 7.45            |
| 20           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.52     | 91.77       | 2.38            |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.31     | 68.56       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | 6.90            |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | 6.62            |
| 30           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.52     | 91.77       | 0.70            |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.32     | 68.56       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | N/A             |
| 40           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.52     | 91.77       | 0.53            |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.30     | 68.55       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | N/A             |
| 50           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.52     | 91.77       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.29     | 68.54       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | N/A             |
| 60           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.52     | 91.77       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.29     | 68.54       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | N/A             |
| 70           | \( 10^3 \)       | 0.75        | 92.52     | 91.77       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^4 \)       | 0.75        | 69.29     | 68.54       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^5 \)       | 0.75        | 70.05     | 69.30       | N/A             |
|              | \( 10^6 \)       | 0.75        | 68.72     | 67.97       | N/A             |

Fig. 3 Calorimetric heat flow–time curves at \( 10^5 \) CFU mL\(^{-1} \) inoculum density at 10–70 ppm of hypochlorous acid solution treatment with \( E. coli \) (A) and \( S. aureus \) (B) under the isothermal temperature of 35 °C.
The above-mentioned method was used to obtain suitable kinetics and parameters and then treating with different doses of hypochlorous acid solutions. The best parameters were provided by better fitting of all the TAM Air tests \[35\]; these include the kinetics of inhibition, \( \ln k_0 \), reaction order \( (n) \), activation energy \( (E_a) \), and enthalpy of exothermic reaction \( (\Delta H) \), among others, along with the heat effect parameters that determine the antibacterial response of growth and the inhibition lifetime assessment. In addition, we used the calculation of the time to maximum rate (TMR) and time to the conversion limit (TCL) in the ARKS-FK software to evaluate the growth rate under the inhibition from low temperature \( -10 \) to overheated \( 120 \) °C and isothermal lifetime assessment.

| HClO conc./ppm | Colony/CFU mL\(^{-1}\) | Onset time/h | Total reaction time/h | Reaction heat/kJ kg\(^{-1}\) |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0 10\(^3\)     | 7.83                   | 38.75        | 12.45                 |
| 10\(^3\)       | 2.08                   | 45.92        | 17.14                 |
| 10\(^4\)       | 0.83                   | 34.50        | 13.48                 |
| 10\(^5\)       | 2.42                   | 30.17        | 12.22                 |
| 10\(^6\)       | 8.75                   | 37.08        | 12.52                 |
| 10\(^7\)       | 2.17                   | 47.33        | 16.62                 |
| 10\(^8\)       | 3.42                   | 33.50        | 12.56                 |
| 10\(^9\)       | 3.25                   | 30.42        | 11.82                 |
| 20 10\(^3\)    | 10.08                  | 42.75        | 12.91                 |
| 10\(^4\)       | 8.33                   | 55.33        | 13.90                 |
| 10\(^5\)       | 5.25                   | 37.50        | 13.03                 |
| 10\(^6\)       | 1.67                   | 36.50        | 13.63                 |
| 30 10\(^3\)    | 23.58                  | 55.25        | 12.720                |
| 10\(^4\)       | 10                    | 29.42        | 0.233                 |
| 10\(^5\)       | 18.67                  | 54.33        | 12.80                 |
| 10\(^6\)       | 11.58                  | 25.92        | 0.056                 |
| 40 10\(^3\)    | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^4\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^5\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^6\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 50 10\(^3\)    | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^4\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^5\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^6\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 60 10\(^3\)    | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^4\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^5\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^6\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 70 10\(^3\)    | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^4\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^5\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
| 10\(^6\)       | N/A                    | N/A          | N/A                   |
Results and discussion

Measurement and evaluation of energy released by inhibition reaction

Results of TAM Air analysis, from Fig. 2A to observe the growth energy changes of *E. coli*, belongs to a broad peak curve, and then, the growth reaction returns to the baseline. There was no subsequent exothermic reaction. Moreover, Fig. 2B *S. aureus* belongs to the steep peak curve; the growth curve returns to the baseline earlier, but there was a slight exothermic curve in the follow-up. From Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 3, the results are consistent. When the hypochlorous acid concentration of *E. coli* is increased to 40 ppm and the hypochlorous acid concentration of *S. aureus* is up to 50 ppm, the results are shown under different colony count conditions, which are completely suppressed.

However, from Tables 1 and 2, the original inhibition effect of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* thermal analysis, raw data cannot distinguish the different colony count conditions and various hypochlorous acid concentrations for obtaining the start time, end time, and total growth time of the different results. Additionally, from Tables 3 and 4, applying ARKSTA software to analyse again, we can more accurately evaluate the onset time, total growth time, and growth reaction enthalpy of different hypochlorous acid concentrations on the inhibition effects for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. Therefore, it can be clearly known that *E. coli* and *S. aureus* are completely inhibited when the hypochlorous acid concentration is exceeding 40 and 50 ppm, respectively.
Evaluation of growth inhibition isothermal kinetic models

Confirming the original growth condition of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, we used hypochlorous acid without any inhibitor added as a blank experiment. In addition, to ensure the accuracy of the isothermal kinetic evaluation, all blank experiments were also completed for the evaluation of colony counts of $10^3$−$10^6$ to avoid errors caused by different colony counts. Comparisons of the results in Figs. 4 and 5 and Tables 5 and 6 clearly show the growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* simulated by isothermal autocatalytic kinetics; they could be more in line with actual growth conditions, along with the isothermal growth kinetic parameters of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* which were obtained with a good consistency.

The simulation of isothermal $n$th-order kinetics showed inconsistency and confusion in the calculation results of kinetic parameters. In addition, we can further know that the kinetic parameters obtained from the four groups of isothermal autocatalytic kinetic simulation calculation and analysis results are almost the same for different colony counts from $10^3$ to $10^6$. To ensure the reliability of the research results, the *E. coli* and *S. aureus* inhibition reaction kinetics were estimated with different concentrations of hypochlorous acid solutions, and the isothermal $n$th-order and autocatalytic reaction kinetics were still evaluated and analysed to create more certainty for the research.

From the results in Table 5 and 6, the hypochlorous acid solution of different concentrations was used to estimate the kinetics of the inhibition reaction of *E. coli*...
and *S. aureus* with various colony counts of $10^3$–$10^6$, and the results are still consistent with the original two pathogens’ isothermal autocatalytic kinetic simulation results. Table 5 lists the calculation results of the inhibition kinetics of *E. coli* with different colony counts of $10^3$–$10^6$ with different concentrations of hypochlorous acid solution, which exhibited the original zero-dose hypochlorous acid (0 ppm) of the pre-exponential factor of growth; the activation energy of inhibition was about 37 and 122 which reduced to 17 and 69 (at 30 ppm), respectively. Particularly, it is obvious that when the hypochlorous acid solution is added up to 30 ppm, the pre-exponential factor of growth is only close to half of the original 0 ppm value, which is very interesting. Because of the pre-exponential factor, which originally refers to the collision between molecules during a chemical reaction, we boldly estimated that this is related to the vitality and mobility of the bacteria. This is one of the interesting findings of this study.

Table 6 presents the simulation analysis results of the inhibition reaction kinetics of different concentrations of hypochlorous acid solutions on *S. aureus* that were treated with different colony counts of $10^3$–$10^6$. From the results, without adding any hypochlorous acid to inhibit the growth reaction for determining the pre-exponential factor and activation energy, the original zero-dose hypochlorous acid (0 ppm) was ca. 42 and 131 which was reduced to 24 and 85 (at 40 ppm). Furthermore, when the concentration of *S. aureus* in the hypochlorous acid solution treatment reached 20 ppm, the pre-exponential factor and activation energy jumped up, even higher than the hypochlorous acid solution treatment concentration of 10 ppm. However, the energy release of the inhibition

| HClO conc./ppm | Kinetic parameter | $10^3$ | $10^4$ | $10^5$ | $10^6$ |
|---------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|               | $\ln(k_0)$      | 28.88  | 37.84  | 7.66   | 25.07  |
|               | $E_a$            | 104.1385 | 122.70 | 49.45  | 123.41 |
|               | $n/n_1$         | 0.02   | 0.67   | 1.00E-02 | 0.70 |
|               | $n_2$           | N/A*  | 0.75   | N/A*   | N/A   |
|               | $\ln(z_0)$      | N/A   | -11.24 | N/A   | -15.00 |
|               | $E_z$           | N/A   | 10.36  | N/A   | -0.35  |
|               | $\Delta H$      | 12.50 | 12.47 | 16.99 | 17.25 |
|               | $\ln(k_0)$      | 31.58 | 32.01 | 31.69 | 30.16 |
|               | $E_a$            | 110.79 | 106.80 | 106.39 | 109.22 |
|               | $n/n_1$         | 0.03   | 1.01   | 0.85   | 1.32   |
|               | $n_2$           | N/A*  | 0.83   | N/A*   | N/A   |
|               | $\ln(z_0)$      | N/A   | -5.02  | N/A   | -5.29  |
|               | $E_z$           | N/A   | 10.46  | N/A   | 18.68  |
|               | $\Delta H$      | 13.63 | 14.06 | 16.45 | 16.85 |
|               | $\ln(k_0)$      | 34.29 | 31.22 | 30.77 | 31.17 |
|               | $E_a$            | 118.07 | 104.60 | 106.32 | 104.24 |
|               | $n/n_1$         | 0.10   | 0.97   | 0.82   | 1.24   |
|               | $n_2$           | N/A*  | 0.87   | N/A*   | N/A   |
|               | $\ln(z_0)$      | N/A   | -8.94  | N/A   | -10.35 |
|               | $E_z$           | N/A   | 10.08  | N/A   | 6.09   |
|               | $\Delta H$      | 12.65 | 12.96 | 14.06 | 13.94 |
|               | $\ln(k_0)$      | 3.00  | 17.50  | 32.40 | 17.52 |
|               | $E_a$            | 42.84 | 68.12  | 112.44 | 69.37 |
|               | $n/n_1$         | 0.30   | 1.70   | 6.37E-03 | 0.78 |
|               | $n_2$           | N/A*  | 0.96   | N/A*   | N/A   |
|               | $\ln(z_0)$      | N/A   | -16.73 | N/A   | -9.94  |
|               | $E_z$           | N/A   | 15.41  | N/A   | 1.22   |
|               | $\Delta H$      | 50.00 | 14.05 | 0.2345 | 0.24 |

Table 5 Results of TAM Air tests of the various CFU counts of *E. coli* versus incomplete inhibition doses of 0–30 ppm hypochlorous acid for conducting nth and autocatalytic inhibition kinetics simulation.
reaction is lower than 10 ppm, which is a very strange phenomenon. It is very significant that when the concentration of the hypochlorous acid solution is higher and higher, the energy release of the inhibition reaction is inversely proportional and has a tendency of lower and lower. However, whether the treatment of S. aureus concentration with this 20 ppm hypochlorous acid solution is a so-called biological adversity phenomenon is the second novel finding of this study.

### Growth rate under inhibition reaction

To determine the growth characteristics of E. coli and S. aureus at low-temperature conditions, we used general household refrigerator freezer (–10 °C) or cold storage and overheating temperature (120 °C) conditions, which could be ascertained as the temperature and hypochlorous acid solution treatment for E. coli and S. aureus to evaluate the growth rate and lifetime of the inhibition reaction. This can also be used as a consideration for food hygiene and safety control factors. In addition, to

### Table 6 Results of TAM Air tests of the various CFU counts of S. aureus versus incomplete inhibition doses of 0–40 ppm hypochlorous acid for conducting nth and autocatalytic inhibition kinetics simulations

| HClO conc./ppm | Kinetic Parameter | 10^3 | 10^4 | 10^5 | 10^6 |
|----------------|------------------|------|------|------|------|
|                | ln(k0)           |      |      |      |      |
| 0              |                  |      |      |      |      |
| E_a            | 108.47           | 130.68 | 120.11 | 132.90 | 77.97 | 131.62 | 115.99 | 130.52 |
| n/n_1          | 0.18             | 0.93 | 1.00E–05 | 1.34 | 0.06 | 0.96 | 0.09 | 2.18 |
| n_2            | N/Aa             | 1.53 | N/Aa | 0.98 | N/A | 1.40 | N/A | 0.80 |
| ln(z_0)        | N/A             | -7.06 | N/A | -10.81 | N/A | -7.87 | N/A | -19.21 |
| E_z            | N/A             | -5.21 | N/A | -0.30 | N/A | -9.50 | N/A | -6.01 |
| ΔH             | 13.08            | 13.25 | 40.00 | 13.59 | 7.83 | 7.89 | 6.37 | 7.02 |
| 10             | ln(k0)           | 44.21 | 27.51 | 10.92 | 28.69 | 31.62 | 28.35 | 33.09 | 28.66 |
| E_a            | 145.17           | 96.54 | 59.01 | 95.07 | 111.55 | 96.3084 | 114.68 | 97.55 |
| n/n_1          | 0.0309           | 1.53 | 1.23E–06 | 2.65 | 0.24 | 2.38 | 0.30 | 2.42 |
| n_2            | N/Aa             | 0.94 | N/A | 1.49 | N/A | 1.23 | N/A | 0.79 |
| ln(z_0)        | N/A             | -5.57 | N/A | -3.91 | N/A | -9.58 | N/A | -14.81 |
| E_z            | N/A             | 4.14 | N/A | 7.36 | N/A | -11.61 | N/A | 9.82 |
| ΔH             | 7.35             | 7.70 | 14.08 | 16.21 | 5.85 | 6.91 | 4.33 | 4.84 |
| 20             | ln(k0)           | 10.70 | 34.51 | 10.75 | 32.82 | 44.20 | 33.92 | 44.20 | 35.17 |
| E_a            | 59.08            | 114.60 | 57.65 | 111.08 | 148.64 | 114.66 | 144.08 | 115.66 |
| n/n_1          | 0.15             | 1.55 | 1.00E–10 | 0.38 | 3.00E–06 | 0.37 | 0.12 | 1.52 |
| n_2            | N/Aa             | 0.88 | N/Aa | 0.63 | N/A | 0.71 | N/A | 0.81 |
| ln(z_0)        | N/A             | -4.00 | N/A | -17.31 | N/A | -8.71 | N/A | -4.09 |
| E_z            | N/A             | 6.93 | N/A | -10.25 | N/A | 18.31 | N/A | 12.19 |
| ΔH             | 10.38            | 2.11 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 17.00 | 4.32 | 4.86 | 5.26 |
| 30             | ln(k0)           | 44.20 | 29.92 | 30.49 | 30.11 | 44.21 | 29.95 | 34.16 | 28.88 |
| E_a            | 146.55           | 99.65 | 108.21 | 100.06 | 144.15 | 100.59 | 119.05 | 101.22 |
| n/n_1          | 1.00E–04         | 2.99 | 0.06 | 1.28 | 0.09 | 3.01 | 8.16E–03 | 0.70 |
| n_2            | N/Aa             | 1.14 | N/A | 0.93 | N/A | 0.90 | N/A | 0.72 |
| ln(z_0)        | N/A             | -11.09 | N/A | -10.63 | N/A | -19.82 | N/A | -10.00 |
| E_z            | N/A             | -7.46E–03 | N/A | 15.80 | N/A | 12.85 | N/A | 5.57 |
| ΔH             | 2.00             | 1.81 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.58 | 2.12 | 2.68 | 2.70 |
| 40             | ln(k0)           | 29.07 | 24.15 | 28.62 | 25.65 | 25.65 | 24.09 | 44.11 | 25.94 |
| E_a            | 104.42           | 85.71 | 103.34 | 88.30 | 95.84 | 85.00 | 142.35 | 90.32 |
| n/n_1          | 0.05             | 0.72 | 0.18 | 1.41 | 0.04 | 0.91 | 4.35E–03 | 0.81 |
| n_2            | N/Aa             | 1.02 | N/A | 1.02 | N/A | 1.10 | N/A | 0.93 |
| ln(z_0)        | N/A             | -4.33 | N/A | -6.12 | N/A | -3.94 | N/A | -5.32 |
| E_z            | N/A             | 7.12 | N/A | 10.08 | N/A | 7.94 | N/A | 5.88 |
| ΔH             | 0.27             | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.83 | 0.85 |
Table 7  Results of time to maximum growth rate simulation under inhibition conditions of TMR (day) of E. coli and S. aureus of selected suitable CFU counts

| Temperature | Escherichia coli          | Staphylococcus aureus          |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
|             | 0 ppm         | 10 ppm        | 20 ppm        | 30 ppm        | 0 ppm         | 10 ppm        | 20 ppm        | 30 ppm        | 40 ppm        |
|             | 10^3          | 10^4          | 10^5          | 10^6          | 10^3          | 10^4          | 10^5          | 10^6          | 10^7          |
| -10         | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| -3.81       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 2.38        | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 8.57        | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 14.76       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 20.95       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 27.14       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 32.76       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 33.33       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 39.52       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 45.71       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 51.9        | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 58.1        | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 64.29       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 70.48       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 76.67       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 82.86       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 89.05       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 95.24       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 101.43      | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 107.62      | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 113.81      | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
| 120         | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       | >100.00       |
Table 8 Results of isothermal lifetime assessment under inhibition conditions of TCL (day) of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* of selected suitable CFU counts

| Temperature (°C) | *Escherichia coli* | 0 ppm | 10 ppm | 20 ppm | 30 ppm | *Staphylococcus aureus* | 0 ppm | 10 ppm | 20 ppm | 30 ppm | 40 ppm |
|------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| −10              | >365.25 >365.25 >365.25 >365.25 >365.25 | >365.25 >365.25 >365.25 >365.25 >365.25 | <110.00 <100.00 <100.00 <100.00 <100.00 |<110.00 <100.00 <100.00 <100.00 <100.00 |<110.00 <100.00 <100.00 <100.00 <100.00 |
| −3.81            | >365.25 >365.25 171.64 | 174.53 | 314.70 | 205.91 | 25.75 | >365.25 >365.25 234.85 | 98.01 | 262.39 | >365.25 >365.25 227.75 | 352.7 108.53 | 92.03 |
| 2.38             | 118.21 112.09 57.99 | 58.34 | 107.79 | 71.51 | 12.83 | 33.19 | 341.56 148.11 | 87.54 | 39.34 | 86.07 211.67 | 82.96 130.38 | 44.19 38.63 |
| 8.57             | 36.40 34.31 20.53 | 20.47 | 39.02 | 25.87 | 6.59 | 16.86 | 95.49 43.94 | 34.27 | 16.51 | 29.45 70.08 | 31.55 49.5 18.71 | 16.78 |
| 14.76            | 11.79 11.05 7.6 | 7.51 | 14.63 | 9.83 | 3.49 | 8.83 | 28.2 13.99 | 13.88 | 7.21 | 10.71 24.57 | 12.51 19.63 | 8.22 7.53 |
| 20.95            | 4.00 3.7 | 2.94 | 2.87 | 5.76 | 3.9 | 1.89 | 7.77 4.66 | 5.84 | 3.27 | 4.03 8.95 | 5.16 8.08 | 3.72 3.5 |
| 27.14            | 1.42 1.31 1.18 | 1.14 | 2.35 | 1.6 | 1.05 | 2.61 | 2.86 1.62 | 2.56 | 1.51 | 1.58 3.4 | 2.2 3.45 | 1.75 1.69 |
| 33.33            | 0.53 0.48 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.99 | 0.68 | 0.6 | 1.47 | 0.98 0.59 | 1.15 | 0.72 | 0.64 1.35 | 0.97 1.52 | 0.85 0.83 |
| 39.52            | 0.20 0.18 0.21 | 0.2 | 0.43 | 0.3 | 0.35 | 0.85 | 0.35 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.27 0.55 | 0.45 0.7 | 0.42 0.42 |
| 45.71            | 0.08 0.07 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.5 | 0.13 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.12 0.23 | 0.21 0.33 | 0.22 0.22 |
| 51.9             | 0.03 0.03 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.3 | 0.05 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.05 0.1 | 0.1 0.16 | 0.11 0.12 |
| 58.1             | 0.01 0.01 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.02 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.02 0.05 | 0.05 0.08 | 0.06 0.06 |
| 64.29            | 0.01 0.01 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.01 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 0.02 | 0.03 0.04 | 0.03 0.04 |
| 70.48            | 0.00 0.00 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 0.01 | 0.01 0.02 | 0.02 0.02 |
| 76.67            | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 82.86            | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 89.05            | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 95.24            | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 101.43           | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 107.62           | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 113.81           | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
| 120              | 0.00 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 | 0.00 0.00 |
avoid the errors of low- and high-concentration colony counts for further analysis, we used $10^4$ and $10^5$ colony counts and adopted a moderate balance to evaluate the results of this research. Table 7 shows that the original blank analysis of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* does not seem to cause the death of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* under low-temperature conditions, but slow growth occurs when the temperature is higher than 60 °C. However, at higher temperatures, these two pathogens even died.

Table 7 indicates that the treatment of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* by hypochlorous acid has an inhibitory effect on both pathogens. Among them, *S. aureus* was treated with 20 ppm hypochlorous acid solution; there was a growth rate jump, and the growth rate could approach the state without hypochlorous acid solution treatment. To determine this phenomenon, we reviewed the results in Table 5. *E. coli* was treated with 20 ppm hypochlorous acid solution, and the pre-exponential factor and activation energy of the inhibition reaction did not seem to be much different from the 10 ppm concentration. From Table 7, we found that the growth rate and isothermal lifetime performance of *E. coli* treated with 20 ppm hypochlorous acid solution are even better than those of 10 ppm inhibition treatment.

This seems to reveal that if the concentration of hypochlorous acid solution is insufficient, although it will affect the growth exothermic reaction, it will not have much effect on the growth of the bacteria. However, from Table 7, if the temperature is higher than 70 °C, *E. coli* and *S. aureus* almost do not grow regardless of whether it is the original or the hypochlorous acid inhibition treatment of hypochlorous acid solution. Therefore, if hypochlorous acid solution is used as the growth inhibition treatment of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* of pathogenic bacteria, as the concentration of the complete inhibitory treatment is not reached, the complete inhibition effect cannot be achieved, which may even be stimulated to live longer.

**Evaluation of the lifetime of pathogenic bacteria under isothermal conditions**

Consider the situation of low-temperature refrigeration, from Table 8, in the temperature range from 2.38 to 20.95 °C, the lifetime of the original and hypochlorous acid solution for the bacteriostatic growth treatment of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, when at 20.95 °C, the 20 ppm hypochlorous acid solution treatment concentration, it seems to have no effect on the lifetime of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (compared with 0 ppm). Interestingly, if the inhibition concentration of the hypochlorous acid solution is insufficient for treating *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, it will not have much impact on its lifetime. Table 8 also lists under low temperature for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, which prolongs the lifetime, even after the hypochlorous acid solution has been used to inhibit growth. However, high-temperature treatment above 70 °C can be sterilizing for the immediate death of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*.

From the evaluation results of the isothermal lifetime in Table 8, it could be determined that without antibacterial treatment and after hypochlorous acid solution antibacterial treatment in summer (isothermal interval of 27.14 – 33.33 °C), it is almost the same lifetime under all conditions. Thus, the use of high-temperature sterilization is safe and reliable. According to food safety and eating habits, for some food ingredients, the risk is still very high for some ingredients to be eaten raw after being frozen. Furthermore, compared to the results of Tables 7 and 8, it could be confirmed that the concentration of 20 ppm hypochlorous acid solution for antibacterial treatment, for *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, not only stimulates growth, but also causes a special phenomenon of shelf life delay (only from the point of view of bacterial growth energy). This point is also a major discovery of this research.

**Conclusions**

Overall, we have established two types of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* pathogenic bacteria and evaluated the growth inhibition reaction by treating with hypochlorous acid solution and applying autocatalytic kinetic reaction simulations, which can obtain better and consistent results. We have also determined that when the concentration of hypochlorous acid solution reaches 20 ppm, it has a stimulating effect on the growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, which is verified by inhibiting reaction pre-exponential factor and activation energy of growth rate and lifetime results. We have also confirmed that when the inhibition concentration of the hypochlorous acid solution does not reach the full inhibitory effect, the pathogen not only be completely killed but also live longer. Low temperature also does not inhibit pathogenic bacteria, but only prolongs the lifetime. The future research direction will continue to explore the application to food safety and hygiene, the efficacy of drug treatment and drug development.
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