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Abstract

In this paper, we define fractional frequency Sumudu transform by inverse α−difference operator. Here we present certain new results on Sumudu transform of polynomial factorial, trigonometric and geometric functions using shift value. Finally, we provide the relation between convolution product and fractional Sumudu transform of polynomial and exponential function. Numerical results are verified and analysed the outcomes by graphs.
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1. Introduction

There are several integral transforms such as the Laplace, Millen, Hankel and Fourier transforms that are used to solve differential equations which appear in many fields of science and engineering. In the early 1990’s, Watugala [9, 10] introduced the Sumudu transform and applied it to solve ordinary differential equations. Watugala’s work was followed by Weerakoon who introduced the complex inversion formula for the Sumudu transform [11, 12]. The fundamental properties of this transform, which is thought to be an alternative to the Laplace transform were then established in many articles [13, 14].
The Sumudu transform is defined over the set of functions

\[ A = \left\{ f(t) \mid \exists M, \tau_1, \tau_2 > 0, |f(t)| < Me^{\tau_j}, \text{iff}\ t \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty) \right\}, \quad (1) \]

by

\[ F(u) = S\{f(t)\}(u) = \frac{1}{u} \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-\frac{t}{u}} dt, u \in (-\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (2) \]

Also, there is an bridge between Laplace transform and Sumudu transform which has many applications in applied sciences. Moreover, some properties of Sumudu transform makes it more advantageous than the Sumudu transform of a Heaviside step function is also a Heaviside step function in the transformed domain;

\[ -S t^n = n!u^n; \]
\[ -\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = \lim_{u \to 0} F(u); \]
\[ -\lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = \lim_{u \to 0} F(u); \]
\[ -ifc > 0, S f(ct) = F(cu) \]

Recently, it was proved that by using the Sumudu transform, one can transform the two dimensional transport equation into a Fredholm integral equation [18]. In [17], the authors applied the Sumudu transform to fractional differential equations which have many applications in the fields of science (see [19] and the references therein).

Begin with classical definition of Laplace transform an arbitrary time scales, the concept of the \( h - \)Laplace and consequently the discrete Laplace transformed were specified in [15]. It was initiated by Stefan Hilger [16]. This theory is a tool that unifies the theories of continuous and discrete time systems. It is the subject of recent studies on many different fields in which dynamic process can be described with discrete or continuous models. The recent applications of fractional Laplace transform using difference equation are found in [1, 2, 20, 21, 22].

In this research article, we proposed a new type of Sumudu transform with shift value and the properties are discussed. Several results are derived to validate the definition and also the relation between convolution product and sumudu transform are played a vital role using \( \alpha \)-difference operator.
2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present basic theory of the \( h \)-difference operator \( \Delta_h \). The polynomial factorial is defined \( t_h^{(m)} = t(t - h)(t - 2h) \cdots (t - (m - 1)h) \), \( h > 0 \) for non-negative integer \( m \) and using Stirling numbers of first kind \( s_r^m \) and second kind \( S_r^m \), the relation between polynomial and polynomial factorials are given by,

\[
(i) \ t_h^{(m)} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} s_r^m h^{m-r} t^r, \quad (ii) \ t^m = \sum_{r=1}^{m} S_r^m h^{m-r} t_r^r. \tag{3}
\]

**Definition 2.1** Let \( u(t), t \in [0, \infty) \), be a real or complex valued function and \( h > 0 \) be a fixed shift value. Then, the \( \alpha(h) \)-difference operator \( \Delta_{\alpha(h)} \) on \( u(t) \) is defined as

\[
\Delta_{\alpha(h)} u(t) = \frac{u(t + h) - \alpha u(t)}{h}, \tag{4}
\]

and its infinite \( h \)-difference sum is defined by

\[
\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} u(t) \bigg|_0^\infty = h \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(r+1)} u(t + rh), \tag{5}
\]

**Remark 2.2** When \( \alpha = h = 1 \) in \( (4) \) we get \( \Delta u(t) = u(t + 1) - u(t) \).

**Lemma 2.3** Let \( u(t) \) and \( v(t) \) are the two real valued functions defined on \( (-\infty, \infty) \) and if \( \Delta_{\alpha(h)} v(t) = u(t) \), then the finite inverse principle law is given by

\[
v(t) - \alpha^m v(t - mh) = h \sum_{r=1}^{m} \alpha^{r-1} u(t - rh), m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \tag{6}
\]

Proof. Let \( \Delta_{\alpha(h)} v(t) = u(t) \), which gives

\[
\frac{v(t + h) - \alpha v(t)}{h} = u(t) \Rightarrow v(t + h) - \alpha v(t) = hu(t)
\]

Replace \( t \) by \( t - h \), we get

\[
v(t) - \alpha v(t - h) = hu(t - h).
\]

Replace \( t \) by \( t - 2h, t - 3h, \ldots \) and proceeding like this in general, we get

\[
v(t) - \alpha^m v(t - mh) = h \sum_{r=1}^{m} \alpha^{r-1} u(t - rh).
\]
Lemma 2.4 [22] Let $h > 0$ and $u(t), w(t)$ are real valued bounded functions. Then

$$\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)}(u(t)w(t)) = u(t)\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} w(t) - \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)}(\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} w(t + h)\Delta_h u(t)). \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.5 Let $t \in (-\infty, \infty), h > 0, \tau \in R$ and $\nu > 0$, then we have

$$\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} = \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} \left( e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha} \right). \quad (8)$$

Proof. Taking $u(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}$ in Definition 2.1, we have

$$\Delta_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} = \frac{1}{h} \left[ \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} \right] e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha} = \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha}.$$  

Apply $\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)}$ on both sides, we get $\text{(8)}$.

Corollary 2.6 Let $t \in (-\infty, \infty), h > 0, \tau \in R$ and $\nu > 0$, then we have

$$\frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \alpha \frac{he^{-\frac{t-mh}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} = h \sum_{r=1}^{m} \alpha^{r-1} e^{-\frac{(t-rh)}{\tau^\nu}}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. The proof follows by equating $\text{(8)}$ and using $\text{(6)}$.

Example 2.7 For the particular values $h = 4, t = 3, \nu = 0.2, \tau = 2, \alpha = 2$ and $m = 3,$ $\text{(9)}$ is verified by MATLAB. The coding is given by

$$(4 \times exp(-3./2 \wedge (0.2)))./(exp(-4./2 \wedge (0.2)) - 2) - (32 \times exp(9./2 \wedge (0.2)))./(exp(-4./2 \wedge (0.2)) - 2) = 4 \times symsum(2 \wedge (r-1) \times exp((-3+r.*4))./(2 \wedge (0.2))), r, 1, 3).$$

Theorem 2.8 Let $t \in (-\infty, \infty), h > 0, \tau \in R, \nu > 0$ be shift value and
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\[
\frac{h}{e^{-\tau\nu}} - 2\alpha \cos p\tau + \alpha^2 e^{-\tau\nu} \neq 0. \text{ Then, we have}
\]
\[
\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(e^{-\tau\nu \cos pt}) = \frac{h}{e^{-\tau\nu}} \frac{t}{e^{-\tau\nu}(e^{-\tau\nu \cos p(t-h) - \alpha \cos pt})},
\]
(10)
\[
\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(e^{-\tau\nu \sin pt}) = \frac{h}{e^{-\tau\nu}} \frac{t}{e^{-\tau\nu}(e^{-\tau\nu \sin p(t-h) - \alpha \sin pt})}.
\]
(11)

Proof. Taking \( u(t) = e^{-\tau\nu} v(t) = \cos pt \) in (7), we get
\[
\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(e^{-\tau\nu \cos pt}) = \text{Re part } \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(e^{-\tau\nu e^{ipt}}) = \text{Re part } \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)t}).
\]
\[
\text{Now } \Delta_{\alpha(h)} e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)t} = \frac{h}{e^{-\tau\nu}} \frac{e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)(t+h)}}{e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)h} - \alpha} = \frac{h}{e^{-\tau\nu}} \frac{1}{e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)h} - \alpha}.
\]

Taking \( \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} \) on both sides, we arrives
\[
\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)t} = \frac{h}{e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)h} - \alpha} \frac{1}{e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)t}}.
\]
\[
\text{Re part } \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)t} = \text{Re part } \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} e^{(-\tau\nu + ip)h} - \alpha \text{ e}^{(-\tau\nu + ip)t}.
\]

On simplifying the above expression we get (10). Similarly we get (11).
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3. Alpha Fractional Frequency Sumudu Transform

In this section, we derive several results and identities on fractional frequency Sumudu transform of polynomial factorial, trigonometric and geometric functions.

**Definition 3.1** Let \( u(t) \) be the real valued function, \( h > 0 \) and \( \nu \in R^+ \). If
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \Delta_{\alpha}^{-1} u(t) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} = 0,
\]
then the fractional frequency Sumudu transform with tuning factor \( \alpha \) is defined as
\[
\alpha S_{\alpha, \nu}(u(t)) = \frac{1}{\tau} \Delta_{\alpha}^{-1} u(t) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \bigg|_0^\infty = -\frac{h}{\tau} \sum_{r=0}^\infty \alpha^{-(r+1)} u(rh) e^{-\frac{rh}{\tau^\nu}}
\]

**Theorem 3.2** If \( e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - 2\alpha \cos ph + \alpha^2 e^{\tau^\nu} \neq 0 \), then we have
\[
\alpha S_{\alpha, \nu}[\sin pt] = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{h}{h} \frac{h \sin ph}{e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - 2\alpha \cos ph + \alpha^2 e^{\tau^\nu}},
\]
\[
\alpha S_{\alpha, \nu}[\cos pt] = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{h}{h} \frac{h(\alpha e^{\tau^\nu} - \cos ph)}{e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - 2\alpha \cos ph + \alpha^2 e^{\tau^\nu}}.
\]

Proof. The proof of (13) follows by multiplying \( \frac{1}{\tau} \) and applying limits from 0 to \( \infty \) in (10) and (11). The following example is the numerical verification of Theorem (3.2)

**Example 3.3** For the particular values \( \nu = 0.5, \tau = 2, p = 3, \alpha = 3 \) and \( h = 3 \), (13) is verified by MATLAB. The coding is given by
\[
(3. \ast (exp((3) ./ (2. \wedge (0.5))) - cos(3. \ast 3)))./(2. \ast exp(-3./2. \wedge (0.5)) - 6. \ast cos(9) + 9. \ast exp(3./2. \wedge (0.5))) = (3./2).\ast \text{symsum}(3. \wedge (-r+1)).\ast \cos(3. \ast 3. \ast r).\ast \exp((-r \ast 3)./(2. \wedge (0.5)), r, 0, inf)
\]

**Remark 3.4** When \( h \to 0 \) and \( \nu = 1 \), in (3.2) we arrive \( S(\sin pt) = \frac{p}{\tau^2 + p^2} \) and \( S(\cos pt) = \frac{\tau}{\tau^2 + p^2} \).
Theorem 3.5 If \( e \left( \frac{t}{\tau^\nu + p} \right)^h \neq \alpha \) and \( \tau > 0 \), then

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha S_{h,\nu} (\sinh pt) &= \frac{h}{2\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{e \left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h - \alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - e \left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} \right], \\
\alpha S_{h,\nu} (\cosh pt) &= \frac{h}{2\tau} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha - e \left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} + \frac{1}{\alpha - e \left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. From the definition of Sumudu transform, we have

\[
\alpha S_{h,\nu} (\cosh pt) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \left( e^{pt} + e^{-pt} \right) e^{-\left( \frac{t}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} \left[ e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t} \right]_0^\infty
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t} + \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t} \right].
\]

Now, \( \Delta_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t} = \frac{e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h (t+h)} - e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t}}{\alpha e} = \frac{e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t}}{h} \left[ e^{\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} - \alpha \right].
\]

Apply \( \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} \) on both sides we get,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t} &= \frac{he^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t}}{\left( e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} - \alpha \right)}, \\
\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t} &= \frac{he^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h t}}{\left( e^{-\left( \frac{1}{\tau^\nu} \right)^h} - \alpha \right)}.
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \alpha S_{h,\nu} (\cosh pt) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \frac{he - \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^t}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) - \alpha} + \frac{he - \left( \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right)^t}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right) - \alpha} \right], \]

\[ = \frac{h}{2\tau} \left[ -\frac{1}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} - p \right) - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right) - \alpha} \right], \]

\[ \alpha S_{h,\nu} (\sinh pt) = \frac{h}{2\tau} \left[ -\frac{1}{\left( \alpha e - \frac{1}{\tau} - p \right) - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\left( \alpha e - \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right) - \alpha} \right]. \]

In the similar manner, we arrive

\[ \alpha S_{h,\nu} (\sin pt) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \left( e^{pt} - e^{-pt} \right) \left( e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}} \right)^\infty_0 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} - p} - \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau} + p} \right] \]

\[ \alpha S_{h,\nu} (\sinh pt) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \left[ \frac{he - \left( \frac{1}{\tau} - p \right)^t}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} - p \right) - \alpha} - \frac{he - \left( \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right)^t}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right) - \alpha} \right], \]

\[ = \frac{h}{2\tau} \left[ -\frac{1}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} - p \right) - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\left( e - \frac{1}{\tau} + p \right) - \alpha} \right]. \]
Proof. Taking and

\[ \frac{\alpha S_{\nu}(\sinh pt)}{2\tau} = \frac{h}{e^{-\frac{1}{\tau\nu}}} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha - e^{-\frac{1}{\tau\nu}}} \right], \]

which completes the proof of (15).

Remark 3.6 When \( h \to 0 \) and \( \nu = 1 \), we get \( S(\sinh pt) = \frac{p}{\tau^2 - p^2} \) and \( S(\cosh pt) = \frac{\tau}{\tau^2 - p^2} \).

Theorem 3.7 Let \( t \in (0, \infty) \), \( h > 0 \) and \( \tau > 0 \), then

\[ \alpha S_{\nu}(t_h^{(n)}) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{h^{n+1} n! e^{-\alpha h}}{\tau (e^{\alpha \tau} - \alpha)^{n+1}}. \] (17)

Proof. Taking \( u(t) = t_h^{(1)} \), \( w(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}} \) in (6), we get

\[ \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}} = t_h^{(1)} \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}} - \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau \nu} \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu}(t+h)} \right] \]

\[ = t_h^{(1)} \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}}}{h} - \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau \nu} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu}(t+h)} \right] \]

\[ = t_h^{(1)} \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}}}{h} - \frac{h}{h} \left[ \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu}(t+h)}}{e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu} - \alpha} - \alpha} \right] \]

\[ \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}} = t_h^{(1)} \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}}}{h} - \frac{h^2 e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu}(t+h)}}{h} \]

\[ = t_h^{(1)} \frac{he^{-\frac{t}{\tau\nu}}}{h} - \frac{h^2 e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu}(t+h)}}{h} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{\tau \nu} - \alpha} \right)^2 \]
\[
\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \bigg|_{t=0} = t_h^{(1)} \frac{h e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \frac{1}{h} \frac{1}{(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)^2} |_{t=0}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\tau} \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{h^2 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{\tau (e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)^2}
\]

\[
\alpha S_{h,\nu}(t_h^{(1)}) = \frac{h^2 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{\tau (e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)^2}.
\]

(18)

Again taking, \( u(t) = t_h^{(2)} \), \( w(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \) in (6), which gives

\[
\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \left[ t_h^{(2)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \right] = t_h^{(2)} \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \left[ \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^\nu}(t+h)} \Delta(h) t_h^{(2)} \right]
\]

\[
= t_h^{(2)} \frac{h e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \left[ \frac{h e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^\nu}(t+h)}}{h} 2t_h^{(1)} \right] \frac{2h}{(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)}
\]

\[
= t_h^{(2)} \frac{h e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \frac{2h}{(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)} \left[ t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^\nu}(t+h)} \right]
\]

\[
\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} t_h^{(2)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} = t_h^{(2)} \frac{h e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \frac{2h}{h} \frac{1}{(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)} \left[ t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^\nu}(t+h)} \right]
\]

\[
= t_h^{(2)} \frac{h e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \frac{2h^2 t_h^{(1)} e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^\nu}(t+h)}}{h} + \frac{2h^3}{h} \frac{1}{(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} - \alpha)^3}
\]
\[ \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} t^{(2)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \bigg|_{t=0}^{\infty} = t^{(2)} \left( \frac{h e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} - \frac{2h^2 t_1^{(1)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu} (t+h)}}{h} + \frac{2h^3 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu} (t+2h)}}{h} \right)_{t=0}^{\infty} \]

\[ \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} t^{(2)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \bigg|_{t=0}^{\infty} = -\frac{2h^3 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} \left( e^{-\frac{h}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha} \right)^3 \]

\[ aS_{h,\nu}(t^{(2)}) = -\frac{2h^3 e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{\tau(e^{-\frac{h}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha})^3} \]

In general, on induction \(^{\prime}n\) we get (17). 

**Corollary 3.8** Let \( t \in (0, \infty), h > 0 \) and \( \tau > 0 \), then 

\[ aS_{h,\nu}(t^n) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} S^n_r h^n e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} \frac{\tau}{\tau(e^{-\frac{h}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha})^{n+1}} \]

Proof. The proof follows from (ii) of (3), (ii) of (7) and Theorem (3.7) 

\[ \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} t^n e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} = \Delta^{-1}_{\alpha(h)} \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{n} S^n_r h^{n-r} t^{(r)} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}} \right] = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} S^n_r h^n e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} \left( e^{-\frac{h}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha} \right)^{n+1} \]

\[ aS_{h,\nu}(t^n) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} S^n_r h^n e^{-\frac{t}{\tau^\nu}}}{h} \frac{\tau}{\tau(e^{-\frac{h}{\tau^\nu} - \alpha})^{n+1}} \]

The following example is the numerical verification of Theorem (3.7).
Example 3.9 Taking $n = 2$ in Theorem (17), we obtain

$$\alpha_{S_h,\nu}(t^{(2)}_h) = -\frac{2h^3 e^{-\tau^\nu}}{-h^3} = -\frac{h}{\tau \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-(r+1)}(r h)^2 e^{-\tau^\nu}},$$

which verified for the values $h = 2, \tau = 3, \alpha = 4$ and $\nu = 0.5$ by MATLAB coding given below:

$$(16. * exp(-2. * 2./3. \wedge (0.5)))./(3. * (exp(-2./3. \wedge (0.5)) - 4). \wedge 3) =
(2./3.)*symsum(4. \wedge(-(r+1)).*4.*r.*(r-1).*exp((-r.*2.)/(3. \wedge (0.5))),r,0,inf)$$

The following Figure 1 is the input function (signal) as polynomial factorial for the time factor $t$ and Figure 2 is the fractional generalized Sumudu transform in the frequency domain $\tau$ and also here in the frequency domain the fraction $\nu$ varies as $0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1$ which are generated by MATLAB are shown below.

4. Convolution Product and Fractional Sumudu Transforms

In this section, we defined convolution product with Fractional Sumudu transforms. The following definitions are motivated using $\alpha(h)$ – difference operator.

**Definition 4.1** Let $u(t)$ be the real valued function, then the incomplete generalized Sumudu transform is defined by

$$\alpha_{S_h}[u(t), b] = \frac{1}{\tau} \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} u(t) e^{-t/\tau^\nu} \bigg|_0^b$$

**Definition 4.2** Let $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are the two real valued functions, then the
convolution product is defined by

\[(u \circ v)(t) = \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} u(\xi - t)v(\xi)\big|_{\xi=t}^\infty, \quad t > 0\]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The following lemma shows that the relation between convolution product and fractional Sumudu transform with shift value.

**Lemma 4.3** Let \(\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+\), \(u(t)\) and \(v(t)\) are the real valued functions, then

(i) \(u \circ e^{-\mu^\nu} = \alpha S_{h,\nu}[u] \cdot e^{-\mu^\nu}\)

(ii) \(\alpha S_{h,\nu}[u \circ v] = \alpha S_{h,\nu}[S_h(u(t_1), \xi)]\)

Proof. (i) From (20), we get

\[\frac{1}{1} (u \circ e^{-\mu^\nu}(t)) = \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} u(\xi - t)e^{-\mu^\nu} |_{\xi=t}^\infty\]

Taking \(t_1 = \xi - t\), \((u \circ e^{-\mu^\nu})(t) = \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} u(t_1)e^{-\mu^\nu} |_{t_1=0}^\infty\)

Then, we have

\[(u \circ e^{-\mu^\nu})(t) = e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} u(t_1)e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} |_{t_1=0}^\infty,\]

\[(u \circ e^{-\mu^\nu}) = e^{-\mu^\nu(\xi)} \alpha S_{h,\nu}[u],\]

\[u \circ e^{-\mu^\nu} = \alpha S_{h,\nu}[u] \cdot e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} .\]

(ii) Now,

\[\alpha S_{h,\nu}[u \circ v] = \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(u \circ v)(t)e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} |_{t=0}^\infty = \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(u(\xi - t)v(\xi)) |_{\xi=t}^\infty e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} |_{t=0}^\infty\]

Now applying Fubini’s Theorem, we get

\[\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1} e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} [\Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(u(\xi - t)v(\xi)) |_{\xi=t}^\infty] |_{t=0}^\infty = \Delta_{\alpha(h)}^{-1}(u(\xi - t)v(\xi)) |_{\xi=t}^\infty e^{-\mu^\nu(t)} |_{t=0}^\infty .\]

\[\alpha S_{h,\nu}[u \circ v] = \alpha S_{h,\nu}[\alpha S_h(u(t_1), \xi)].\]

The following example is the analysis of the convolution product both numerically and diagrams are generated by MATLAB.

**Example 4.4** Consider the following functions

\[u(t) = \begin{cases} \large -\frac{t}{e^{\tau^\nu}}, & t \in (0, \infty) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad v(t) = \begin{cases} \large t, & t \in (0, \infty) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}\]
Now we have from (20), we get
\[(u \circ v)(t) = \Delta^{-1}_{e(h)} \frac{1}{\tau^\nu(t)} \mid_{t=0}^\infty\]. Then using (18),
which gives
\[(u \circ v)(t) = \frac{h^2 e^{-\tau^\nu(h-t)}}{h\tau(e^{-\tau^\nu} - \alpha)^2}\]. Then the relation as follows
\[(u \circ v)(t) = \frac{h}{\tau} \sum_{r=0}^\infty \alpha^{-(r+1)}(rh)e^{-\tau^\nu(rh-t)} = \frac{h^2 e^{-\tau^\nu(h-t)}}{h\tau(e^{-\tau^\nu} - \alpha)^2}\]
which verified for the values \(t = 2, h = 3, \tau = 2, \alpha = 2\) and \(\nu = 0.4\) by (5)
MATLAB coding given bellow:
\[
(9.*exp(-1./2.\wedge(0.4)))./(2.*(exp(-3./2.\wedge(0.4)) - 2).\wedge(2)) =
(3./2).*symsum(2.\wedge-(r+1).*r.*3.*exp(-(3.*r-2)./(2.\wedge(0.4))).,r,0,inf).
\]

The following Figure 3 explains the input time(t) function \(u(t)\) and \(v(t)\) and Figure 4 tells that the convolution product of the functions in the frequency(\(\tau\)) domain as varying \(\nu\) as 0.4, 0.5, 0.3 which are generated by MATLAB are shown below.

Figure 3: Time(t)  
Figure 4: Frequency(\(\tau\))

5. Conclusion
In this research article, we introduced and derived results on fractional frequency Sumudu transform with shift values and using \(\alpha\)—difference operator. We believe that this transform is an alternative in the field of difference equations. The more
advantage of this research is when $\nu = 1, \alpha = 1$ and $h \to 0$, we get the same results on classical Sumudu transform which is existing in the literature.
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