Abstract. Spinal nitric oxide is involved in the mechanisms of pain generation and transmission during inflammatory and neuropathic pain. The aim of the present study was to explore the role of spinal nitric oxide in the development of bone cancer pain. $2 \times 10^5$ osteosarcoma cells were implanted into the intramedullary space of right femurs of C3H/HeJ mice to induce a model of ongoing bone cancer. Polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemical analyses were performed to assess the expression of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and inducible (i)NOS in the spinal cord following inoculation. The results showed that inoculation of osteosarcoma cells induced progressive bone cancer, accompanied with pain-associated behavior. The levels of nNOS mRNA in the spinal cord of tumor mice began to increase at day 10 and then decreased to the level in sham mice at day 14, while iNOS mRNA markedly increased in the tumor group at days 10 and 14. Immunohistochemical analysis showed that nNOS- and iNOS-positive neurons were mainly located in the superficial dorsal horn and around the central canal of the L3-L5 spinal cord. Intrathecal injection of 50 µg NOS inhibitor N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) attenuated cancer-evoked pain behaviors at day 14. These findings indicated that an upregulation of nNOS and iNOS in the spinal cord is associated with bone cancer pain and suggests that exogenously administered L-NMMA may have beneficial effects to alleviate bone cancer pain.

Introduction

Bone cancer pain is a type of severe and chronic pain that affects the quality of life in cancer patients; however, no breakthrough regarding the elucidation of underlying mechanisms and the development of therapeutics for bone cancer pain has been achieved (1). Studies have indicated that nitric oxide (NO) is an important neurotransmitter contributing to the development and maintenance of central and peripheral sensitization in inflammatory (2) and neuropathic pain (3).

NO is synthesized from L-arginine via a family of nitric oxide synthases (NOS), which are key enzymes in NO biosynthesis and comprise neuronal NOS (nNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS) and inducible NOS (iNOS). The pathophysiological functions of NO are regulated by the expression and activity of these isoforms (4,5). nNOS, expressed in the nervous system, produces NO in neurons and has been shown to contribute to spinal nociceptive processing in several pain models (6-12). iNOS, which is not constitutively expressed and must be induced to be synthesized, is abundant in a variety of cell types, including glial cells, macrophages, chondrocytes and neutrophils (13). Previous studies demonstrated that iNOS is also involved in the mechanisms of inflammatory, neuropathic pain (5,14) as well as herpetic allodynia (15).

Bone cancer pain is thought to have inflammatory, neuropathic and tumorigenic components. A previous study by our group has shown that NR2B-containing N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor has a critical role in spinal nociceptive processing during bone cancer pain (16). Activation of NMDA receptors allows $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ to enter neurons. Increased intracellular $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ triggers a cascade of events that includes activation of nNOS, leading to the production of NO in the spinal cord (17). Another previous study by our group also demonstrated that upregulation of NR2B and nNOS expression in the spinal dorsal horn contributes to hyperalgesia induced by chronic compression of dorsal root ganglia (18). However, the role of NOS in the development of bone cancer pain has remained elusive.

The present study used a murine model of osteosarcoma-associated bone cancer pain, to explore whether NOS in the spinal L3-L5 segments is responsible for the development of bone cancer pain and to determine the efficacy of N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) administration in alleviating bone cancer pain.
Materials and methods

Animals. Experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Medical School of Nanjing University (Nanjing, China) and were in accordance with the guidelines for the use of laboratory animals (19). The numbers of animals and their suffering were minimized in all cases. Male C3H/HeJ mice (n=100; weight, 20-22 g; 4-6 weeks old), purchased from the Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University (Nanjing, China), were housed in a temperature-controlled (21±1°C) room with a 12-h light/dark cycle with access to food and water ad libitum. Each group used to analyze behavior contained 8 mice, and each group in PCR or immunohistochemistry study contained 5 mice. The mice subjected to the behavioral studies were not processed for PCR/immunohistochemistry, and were euthanized after the last behavioral test.

Cell culture and tumor-cell inoculation. The NCTC 2472 osteosarcoma cell line (no. 2087787; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) was used in the present study. The cells were incubated in NCTC 135 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) containing 10% horse serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

The mouse model of bone cancer was established according to the method of previous studies by our and another group (16,20). Briefly, 1% pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich) in normal saline [Baxter Healthcare (Tianjin) Co. Ltd., Tianjin, China] was administered to the mice by intraperitoneal injection and the right femur condyle was perforated with a 30-gauge needle (Jiangsu Zhengkang Medical Equipment Co., Ltd., Changzhou, China). Subsequently, 2x10⁵ NCTC 2472 cells in 20 µl α-minimum essential medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were injected into the intramedullary space of the femur. In the sham group, the medium contained no cells. Subsequently, the injection hole was sealed with dental amalgam (AT&M Biomatials Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), followed by copious irrigation with normal saline and closing of the wound.

In the interaction studies, mice were intrathecally administered 50 µg L-NMMA (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) dissolved in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (10 µg/µl; 5 µl per mouse; Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA) at post-operative day 14, at which pain-associated behavior was observed. Mice in the sham group received vehicle treatment. Intrathecal injections were performed free-hand between the L5 and L6 lumbar space in unanesthetized male mice according to the method of Hylden and Wilcox (21). Pain-associated behavior was assessed prior to, as well as at 2, 12 and 24 h after L-NMMA administration.

The mice assigned for behavioral tests were sacrificed after the last measurement. The mice were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, intraperitoneally) and then sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The mice assigned for Reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) were individually sacrificed at post-operative days 7, 10 or 14, and the spinal cord segments were immediately removed. The mice assigned for immunohistochemical staining were also deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital individually at post-operative days 7, 10 or 14, and then transcardially perfused with saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Beijing Dingguo Changsheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

Assessment of pain-associated behavior. Withdrawal thresholds as well as latency to mechanical and thermal stimulation of mice were examined prior to surgery as well as at post-operative days 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14. Mice were allowed to acclimatize for at least 30 min prior to each test.

Mechanical allodynia. The paw withdrawal mechanical threshold (PWMT) was assessed according to the method by Chapman et al (22), which was also used in a previous study by our group (16). Briefly, a set of von Frey filaments (0.16, 0.4, 0.6, 1.0, 1.4 and 2.0 g; Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL, USA) was used to vertically push against the plantar surface of the right hind paw. Each mouse was tested five times per stimulus force. The lightest von Frey filament that evoked three or more brisk withdrawal or paw flinching reactions was regarded as the PWMT.

Thermal hyperalgesia. The paw withdrawal thermal latency (PWTL) was measured according to a method of a previous study by our group (23) using a radiant thermal stimulator (BME410A; Institute of Biological Medicine, Academy of Medical Science, Tianjin, China) that focused onto the plantar surface of the hind paw. The PWTL was defined as the latency of the mice to lift or lick their hind paw. Each mouse was tested five times with a 5-min interval. A cut-off time of 20 sec was used to avoid tissue damage. The mean PWTL was obtained from the latter three stimuli.

RT-qPCR. Following the sacrifice of the mice, the L3-L5 lumbar spinal cord segments were immediately harvested, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. The spinal tissues were homogenized prior to storage by grinding in liquid nitrogen with a small mortar. Total RNA was isolated and purified using TRIzol (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) following the manufacturer's instructions. RT was performed using a Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase kit (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA). The generated cDNA was then used as a template for PCR amplification with Taq DNA polymerase and ROX reference dye provided by the SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (DRR041A; Takara, Dalian, China) using StepOnePlus RT-PCR system (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). β-actin, nNOS and iNOS were amplified using specific oligonucleotide primers: forward, 5'-AGGAGCGAAGGAGCCATATT-3' and reverse, 5'-AACACACAGATCTCCTC-3' for nNOS; forward, 5'-TGA TGTCGTGGCTCTGGT-3' and reverse, 5'-ACTTCTCAGGATGTGTGTA-3' for iNOS; and forward, 5'-GAGACC TTCAACACCCCGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CACAGATGTCT GCCCTCACG-3' for β-actin (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ, USA). β-actin was used as internal standard. PCR amplification was performed using the following thermocycling conditions: 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 66°C (or 62°C for iNOS and β-actin) for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. The relative expression was calculated using the ΔΔCq method (24) and optimized with a standard curve to confirm specificity. Each sample (5 µl) was electrophoresed on 2% agarose gel (Biowest, Nuaille, France) with ethidium bromide (Biomatik Corporation, Cambridge,
rabbit primary polyclonal antibodies against nNOS (1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich; SAB4502010) or rabbit primary polyclonal anti-iNOS (1:800; Sigma-Aldrich; SAB4502011) for 30 min at 37˚C, followed by washing with PBS 3 times for 10 mins. They were then incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (heavy and light chain; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology; A0208) secondary antibody at 4˚C overnight. The sections were then washed with PBS 3 times for 10 mins and visualized with diaminobenzidine (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) and observed under a light microscope (Olympus DP11; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Optical density of the images was analyzed using Image-Pro Plus 6.0 analysis software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA). The mean optical density was obtained by averaging the values from five sections.

### Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Animals were assigned to various treatment groups in a randomized way. Repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine overall differences at each time-point for PWMT and PWTL. One-way ANOVA followed by a least-significant differences post-hoc test was used to determine differences in the mRNA levels of nNOS and iNOS among all experimental groups. All analyses were performed using SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

## Results

### Pain-associated behavior in tumor-bearing mice

The PWMT and PWTL of mice in the tumor group prior to the operation were not significantly different when compared with those of the mice in the sham group. The right hind limb of the mice in the tumor group displayed a significant decrease in the PWMT at post-operative day 3 (P<0.05), and this was also observed in the mice in the sham group. At post-operative day 5, the PWMT of the two groups declined to the basal level (Fig. 1A). Subsequently, the PWMT of mice in the tumor group showed a further decline again at day 7, which continuously decreased until the end of the experiment on post-operative day 14 (0.48±0.25 g).

The mice in the tumor group showed a marked decrease in PWTL at post-operative day 3, which recovered to basal levels at days 5 and 7. Until day 7, similar trends were observed in the sham group mice. However, the PWMT of mice in the tumor group decreased again at post-operative day 10 and further declined to 10.5±3.2 sec at day 14, while that in the sham group remained constant (Fig. 1B). Of note, significant decreases in the PWTL at post-operative days 7-10 and in the PWMT at days 10 and 14 were observed in the tumor group when compared with those in the sham group or the time-point prior to surgery, indicating the development of marked bone cancer-associated pain.

### nNOS and iNOS mRNA levels are increased in the spinal cords of tumor-bearing mice

The mRNA levels of nNOS were significantly increased in the spinal cord of mice in the tumor group at post-operative day 10 when compared with those in the same mice at day 7 (P<0.05; Fig. 2A) as well as compared with
that in the sham group at day 7, while no significant differences were present within the sham group at these time-points. Furthermore, the mRNA levels of iNOS were significantly increased in the spinal cord of mice in the tumor group at post-operative days 10 and 14 when compared with those in the sham group at the same time-points (P<0.05), or compared...
L-NMMA alleviates bone cancer pain in mice. At post-operative day 14, mice in the tumor group showed a marked decline in PWMT and PWTL. Of note, this decrease was attenuated by intrathecal administration of 50 µg L-NMMA (Fig. 4). The PWMT was significantly increased at 2 h (0.90±0.28 vs. 0.40±0.27; P<0.05) and 12 h (0.63±0.25 vs. 0.40±0.27; P<0.05) following administration of L-NMMA (Fig. 4A). Similarly, the PWTL was also markedly increased at 2 h (16.2±0.9 vs. 10.1±2.3; P<0.05) and 12 h (12.7±1.0 vs. 10.1±2.3; P<0.05) following administration of L-NMMA (Fig. 4B).

Discussion

The present study using a murine model of bone cancer pain following a method of a previous study by our group (16) to demonstrate that bone cancer-induced mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia are accompanied with an upregulation of nNOS and iNOS in the lumbar spinal cord. In addition, the present study found that NOS inhibitor L-NMMA alleviated cancer-induced mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia, suggesting that spinal nNOS and iNOS may participate in the development of bone cancer pain.

While the mRNA levels of nNOS and iNOS in the lumbar spinal cord of mice in the tumor group were increased at day 10 after tumor inoculation, the up-regulation of iNOS was sustained until day 14 following surgery, at which the pain-associated behavior of the mice in the tumor group were prominent and stable. The cells with immunoreactivity for nNOS and iNOS were mainly located in neurons present at the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (laminae I-II), which is an area involved in the elaboration of nociceptive stimuli, and in a few cells in the ventral parts and around the central canal (17).

It has been reported that the mRNA levels of nNOS in the lumbar spinal cord were increased at day one following surgical inflammation (25). Another study by Tang et al (5) observed a low but constitutive expression of iNOS at the mRNA and protein level in the rat spinal cord under non-stimulated conditions. They also reported that constitutively expressed spinal iNOS mediates tissue injury and inflammation-induced hyperalgesia, and that intrathecal administration of a highly selective iNOS inhibitor produced a dose-dependent inhibition of hyperalgesia. The iNOS gene is induced by bacterial lipopolysaccharide or classical pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor α. iNOS produces a larger amount of NO over a longer time period compared with the other two constitutive enzymes, nNOS and eNOS (26). Substantial evidence has been provided for the link between the upregulation of spinal iNOS and inflammatory and neuropathic pain, suggesting a potential therapeutic value of iNOS inhibitors for such conditions (15,27). In addition, Pedersen et al (28) reported that an increased expression of iNOS in the dorsal horn was associated with long-term potentiation that contributed to central hyperalgesia. Consistent with a previous study (29), the results of the present study suggested that nNOS contributed to the initial NO release to facilitate nociceptive processing, while iNOS possibly participated in the persistent nociceptive responses. This observed difference in the time-course of the expression of nNOS and iNOS suggests a distinct

with those in the tumor group at day 7 (Fig. 2B). However, no significant differences in the mRNA levels of iNOS were observed within the sham group at days 7-10.

Immunocytochemical localization and expression of nNOS and iNOS in the spinal cord of tumor-bearing mice. Next, an immunohistochemical study was performed to assess the localization and expression levels of nNOS and iNOS in the spinal cord during bone cancer development. The results showed that neurons positive for nNOS (Fig. 3A and B) and iNOS (Fig. 3C and D) were mainly located in the superficial dorsal horn (laminae I-II), which is involved in the elaboration of nociceptive stimuli, and around the central canal (lamina X) of the L3-L5 spinal cord (17). A small number of iNOS-positive neurons were observed in the ventral horn and central canal of the spinal cord.

At post-operative day 7, no significant differences in nNOS or iNOS expression were present between the sham and tumor groups (Fig. 3E and F). Compared with those in the sham group, the expression of nNOS and iNOS in the tumor group was significantly increased at post-operative day 10 and further increased at day 14. In the mice of the sham group, the expression of iNOS at day 14 was significantly decreased as compared with that at day 7, while no significant differences in nNOS expression were observed within the sham group at different time-points.
contribution of NOSs to the mechanisms of bone cancer pain development.

L-NMMA, which is a non-selective inhibitor of NOS that can act on all three isofoms of NOS, has been proved to exert anti-nociceptive effects in several models of peripheral inflammation and nerve injury. Intradermal administration (30) or intrathecal injection (31) of L-NMMA has been shown to reduce, or in certain cases, to completely reverse inflammatory hyperalgesia. In addition, intrathecal injection of L-NMMA is able to reduce the mechanical allodynia evoked by nerve injury (32). Furthermore, a randomized crossover trial demonstrated the analgesic effects of L-NMMA in patients with chronic tension-type headache (33). Consistent with these studies, the present study found that the mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia at post-operative day 14 induced by bone cancer was alleviated at 2 and 12 h after intrathecal injection of L-NMMA, while this effect disappeared at 24 h after L-NMMA administration.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that spinal NOS may contribute to nociceptive signal processing during central sensitization in the development of bone cancer pain. As a NOS inhibitor, L-NMMA alleviated the cancer-induced mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia, suggesting its prospective application in bone cancer-associated pain. Further study is required to determine the underlying mechanism of NOS-associated bone cancer pain.
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