Epithelial delamination and migration
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Metastasis is the most deadly phase of cancer progression, during which cells detach from their original niche to invade distant tissues, yet the biological processes underlying the spread of cancer are still poorly understood. The fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.

The first description of cellular motility dates back to 1863 when Virchow reported his observation of individual leukocytes movement from canulated lymphatic ducts.¹

During embryonic development movements of cell sheets shape the future body axes: cells are specified in one region of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation before they reach their final location. In adults, reactivation of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.

Metastasis is the most deadly phase of cancer progression, during which cells detach from their original niche to invade distant tissues, yet the biological processes underlying the spread of cancer are still poorly understood. The fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.

The first description of cellular motility dates back to 1863 when Virchow reported his observation of individual leukocytes movement from canulated lymphatic ducts.¹

During embryonic development movements of cell sheets shape the future body axes: cells are specified in one region of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation before they reach their final location. In adults, reactivation of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.

The first description of cellular motility dates back to 1863 when Virchow reported his observation of individual leukocytes movement from canulated lymphatic ducts.¹

During embryonic development movements of cell sheets shape the future body axes: cells are specified in one region of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation before they reach their final location. In adults, reactivation of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.

The first description of cellular motility dates back to 1863 when Virchow reported his observation of individual leukocytes movement from canulated lymphatic ducts.¹

During embryonic development movements of cell sheets shape the future body axes: cells are specified in one region of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation before they reach their final location. In adults, reactivation of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.

The first description of cellular motility dates back to 1863 when Virchow reported his observation of individual leukocytes movement from canulated lymphatic ducts.¹

During embryonic development movements of cell sheets shape the future body axes: cells are specified in one region of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation before they reach their final location. In adults, reactivation of the embryo and then migrate extensively during gastrulation provides important insights in our understanding of how epithelial cells migrate from their original location and find their way into surrounding and distant tissues in the metastatic process. Here we review recent studies on the mechanisms of migration of embryonic hemocytes, the macrophage-like immuno-surveillance cells, during normal development and wound healing. We highlight the interesting finding that hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) has been identified as the driving force for hemocyte chemotaxis. We also give a special emphasis to studies suggesting the concept that hemocytes, together with the tumor microenvironment, act as potential inducers of the epithelial delamination required for tumor invasion. We propose that cell delamination and migration could be uncoupled from loss of cell polarity via a tumor-related inflammatory response.
few examples. All these processes have been extensively reviewed in references 8–10.

Here we will focus on the most recent findings on cell migration coming from the in vivo studies of Drosophila macrophages and epithelial cells which in many aspects recapitulate the most intriguing features of vertebrate cell migration during development, inflammation and tumorigenesis.

**Hemocyte Dispersal and Chemotaxis during Development and Tissue Repair**

Embryonic hemocytes are the cellular arm of the innate immune system in flies.11,12 They share many characteristics with the mammalian blood cells development and function and it was hypothesized that have evolved from a common ancestor.13,14

Drosophila hemocytes originate in the procephalic mesoderm and can be categorized into three main classes. Plasmatocytes, small rounded cells with phagocytic capacity, represent the most abundant subpopulation of hemocytes. This particularly motile population migrates as single cells, following precise and invariant routes that allow them to distribute evenly within the organism by the end of embryogenesis. A second class, the crystal cells, distinguished by pronounced crystal-like inclusions in the cytoplasm, are involved in melanin deposition at wounds and around foreign objects. Finally, a class of flat cells, the lamellocytes, appears when parasitoid wasps infect the larvae and participate in the encapsulation of the parasite.

Embryonic hemocytes can be specifically labeled with fluorescent proteins and visualized by live confocal microscopy and their movements can be followed over the time through time-lapse imaging. By these means they appear as highly polarized, large cells with dynamic filopodial and lamellipodial protrusions continuously extending and retracting while they explore their environment.

Plasmatocytes strongly express the PDGF/VEGF receptor (PVR).15 The three PVR ligands, Pvf1, 2 and 3, are expressed along the embryonic ventral midline by the developing nerve cord16 and represent the guidance cue for the hemocyte developmental migration.

Once plasmatocytes have completed their migration along the anterior-posterior axis, a group of them starts engaging in lateral migration, in such a way that by the end of embryogenesis, they are distributed along three main parallel axes along the ventral midline and flanking the nerve cord.17

Besides being an amenable model for the study of developmental migration, hemocytes closely resemble leukocytes in their ability to become active and migrate toward wounds in a process similar to vertebrate inflammation. It is indeed a well-established notion that tissue-derived alarm signals (“damaged self”) can as well as pathogen-associated molecules, initiate immune responses. It has been postulated that the ability of blood cells to adhere to damaged-self tissues represents an ancient function of the immune system.18

The active recognition of damaged-self tissue by the blood cell began to be addressed in vivo only very recently, and particularly in organisms that benefit from only an innate immune response and possess an open circulatory systems in which blood directly bathes the organs (i.e., the Drosophila larvae).

Using a combination of live imaging and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), Stramer and coworkers were able to capture hemocytes actively migrating toward epithelial wounds and in the act of engulfment of cell debris, as observed by the appearance of large “vacuoles” within their cytoplasm and by the extension of processes to wrap around and draw a cell corpse into them.19 Moreover, they were able to demonstrate using both embryos mutant in Rho, Rac or Cdc42 and embryos expressing dominant-negative forms of these proteins—specifically in their hemocytes—that these small GTPases play different roles in plasmatocyte migration. In particular, Rac seems to be required for lamellipodial formation, while Rho signaling is necessary for hemocytes retraction from sites of cell-cell or cell-matrix adhesion and CDC42 is required to maintain cell polarity during wound chemotaxis.19

Interestingly, hemocytes do not seem to utilize a unique mode of migration. In fact, CDC42 and Rho are mostly dispensable during developmental migration,20 and wound chemotaxis does not require Pvr expression in the immune cells, since it appears to be driven by PI3K signaling instead.16

While the guidance cues required during developmental migration of hemocytes are well established, the chemotactic stimuli driving migration toward wounds had remained mysterious for a long time. The Drosophila genome does not encode any chemokines (chemotactic cytokines), which are the main known drivers of leukocyte chemotaxis in mammals.

A recent study in *zebrafish* larvae reported that H$_2$O$_2$ originating from an epithelial wound is responsible for attracting neutrophils to the wound. Knockdown of this gradient with the drug diphenyleuiodionium (DPI), which inactivates the NADPH oxidases responsible for generating H$_2$O$_2$, blocks the wound inflammatory response.21

Similarly, using a combination of genetic and pharmacological approaches Moreira and collaborators identified H$_2$O$_2$ as the chemoattractant that guides Drosophila hemocytes toward a wound22 (Fig. 1).
Embryonic hemocytes fail to migrate efficiently toward the wounded site in animals with reduced Duox—the enzyme responsible for H$_2$O$_2$ production$^{25}$—specifically within the embryonic epidermis.$^{22}$ H$_2$O$_2$ production in vivo was monitored in control and Duox mutant embryos through the injection of a fluorochrome (acetyl-pentafluorobenzene sulphonyl fluorescein) that is normally converted into its fluorescent form when exposed to H$_2$O$_2$. In the same study, Moreira and collaborators illustrated that, similar to vertebrate embryos,$^{24}$ there is a refractive period where macrophages cannot be deviated from their developmental migratory routes to a site of tissue damage. This suggests a hierarchy for the interpretation of different chemotactic cues by the macrophages in vivo.$^{22}$ In this period of non-responsiveness to wound signals embryonic tissues can still repair and they do so generally without producing scarring or fibrosis, suggesting the intriguing idea that scars are the result of the inflammatory process that takes place at the adults wounds.$^{25}$ Beyond their roles in the embryo, Drosophila hemocytes play an important role in efficiently fighting infections$^{36}$ and repairing tissue damage$^{27-29}$ during the larval and adult stages of the fly.

Just before hatching into larva, the heart (dorsal vessel) begins to beat, and the hemolymph (fly blood) circulation is established. Drosophila has an open circulatory system in which the dorsal vessel (heart), with its rhythmic contraction, pumps around immune cells together with nutrients and eventually wastes. Larval hemocytes can either be found at the lymph gland, the major hematopoietic organs in the larva, in the circulation or attached to epithelial tissues (sessile population). A majority of the sessile cells are found in a banded pattern under the larval epidermis, but many are also found attached to the imaginal discs.

The circulatory dynamics of blood cells and their response to tissue injury have been only recently investigated in vivo at the larval stage.$^{30}$ Free circulating cells slowly flow, in a posterior direction within an open larval body cavity while are actively pumped through the heart and run much faster in the anterior direction.

Time-lapse and real-time imaging studies have shown that, during an inflammatory response a large number of blood cells rapidly accumulate at the site of the wound. These cells belong mostly to the free circulating population, as revealed by live imaging studies using fluorescently labeled hemocytes.$^{30}$ Indeed, tissue-bound cells even when in a close range to the wound remain sessile and completely unresponsive to the injury. Once recruited at the wound site, hemocytes spread across the damaged surface and assume an adhesive morphology. The appearance of ample vacuoles in their cytoplasm clearly indicates they become phagocytically active to clear the wound site before being released back into circulation.$^{30}$ This process resembles the early response of blood cells to damaged tissue in vertebrates.

The small GTPases Rac or Rho, which are thought to be universally required for cell migration$^{31}$ and which block blood cell recruitment to wound sites when mutated in embryonic hemocytes,$^{31}$ are not necessary for migration during the larval stages. Plasmacytoid-specific expression of dominant-negative forms of these proteins has little or no effect on their accumulation at larval wound sites.$^{30}$ These results suggest that the migration to wounds at the larval stage may be a rather passive event and hemocytes accumulation at the site of tissue damage is caused by preferential adhesion of the circulating cells (Fig. 1).

Given that Drosophila hemocytes arise from the head mesoderm at early stages of embryogenesis and persist throughout development until the adult, these discrepancies in their behavior are intriguing and suggest there must be a developmental change at hatching that probably occurs to meet the new needs of the organism.

### Hemocytes and Tumorigenesis

Burnet Macfarlane originally formulated the idea that the immune system can recognize transformed cells as non-self tissue and react against them.$^{32}$ This concept is known as “the cancer immuno-surveillance hypothesis” and it was further developed when it was postulated that the immune system may take part in a more general process of immunoeediting. In the attempt to eliminate the transformed cells, the immune system selects variants of them better suited to survive in the immunologically activated...
The movement of tumor cells from their primary site and their crossing of the basal lamina constitute initial key events in invasion and metastasis. Epithelial outgrowths that do not cross such a boundary (i.e., “in situ” tumors) are in most cases benign. The biology of invasion and metastasis has only recently started to be revealed. The current dogma—supported by a large body of evidence—suggests that tumor cells must rely on epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) to achieve loss of cell adhesion and the acquisition of a motile phenotype.

EMT is crucial phenomenon not only for tumorogenesis but also for many developmental processes. Tumor cells, in particular those isolated from the circulation, ectopically express transcription factors (initially identified in Drosophila) capable of orchestrating the EMTs during embryo development. These factors include members of the twist and snail/slug families. Their expression in tumor cells correlates with E-cadherin transcriptional downregulation and induction of N-cadherin, as well as Vimentin and Smooth muscles Actin, all typical markers of cells of mesenchymal origin.

The acquisition of mesenchymal characteristics is thought to allow the former epithelial cells to migrate to distant sites of metastasis. Interestingly, the pathological analysis of the metastases usually indicates that these secondary tumors display epithelial characteristics, morphological and molecular differentiation markers characteristic of the primary tumors. This suggests that once in their new niche, the tumors cells could undergo the opposite process of mesenchymal to epithelial transition (MET) to regain the ability to proliferate and therefore colonize this new site.

These observations are also consistent with recent studies in support of the cancer stem cell (CSC) hypothesis, in which the CSCs invade and migrate to distant sites, eventually expand and differentiate into their epithelial progeny. Remarkably, recent studies link “stemness” with EMT, as both seem connected.

A key open question is what induces EMT in tumors. A classical view is that the accumulation of genetic lesions eventually triggers EMT in a cell-autonomous fashion. Support for this concept comes from recent work implicating mutant isoforms of the key tumor suppressor gene p53 as inducers of EMT via microRNA deregulation. However, other studies point to the tumor microenvironment as the cause of EMT: In fact, numerous cell-extrinsic factors, including hypoxia and inflammatory cytokines such as TGFβ are capable of inducing an EMT. This environmentally induced EMT would be reversible and allow for future METs at the metastatic niches.

Nevertheless, it is possible that EMT/MET are not the universal mechanisms for invasion and metastasis. First, lineage-tracing experiments required to formally demonstrate EMT and MET in metastasis are still missing from the supporting evidence. Second, tumor cells often invade as cohesive groups that retain epithelial characteristics. This is a particular feature of squamous cell carcinomas. And so, at least in SCCs the mechanisms that direct basal membrane crossing and migration may differ from EMTs.

Work in Drosophila suggests that the normal epithelial neighbors might recognize transformed cells and actively extrude them from the epithelium. For example, cells deficient for the tumor suppressor gene Csk only delaminate and invade when in close proximity with normal epithelial cells. Remarkably, these observations have been reproduced in a mammalian tissue culture system using co-cultures of normal and either Src- or Ras-transformed MDCK epithelial cells.

In the last decade a wealth of evidence has indicated that tumor-related inflammation can stimulate invasion and metastasis. This concept is paradoxical since the inflammatory response was previously thought only to target tumors for destruction. In fact, the key pro-inflammatory TNFα was named after its ability to induce rapid necrotic death of tumor cells.

As has been recently shown in Drosophila, the results of the inflammatory response can be context-dependent; nevertheless
factors influencing such contrasting outputs remain largely unknown. Importantly, the activation of the Ras oncogene may itself be a key switch for the induction of tumor-promoting inflammation. Previous work in flies indicated that Ras/RAF activation could prevent JNK-dependent death of cells mutant for polarity tumor suppressor genes. In this context, JNK signaling directs growth and invasion instead of promoting cell death. Importantly, Ras can cooperate with other oncogenic pathways that result in JNK activation without directly regulating cell polarity, such as Src and Rho-family GTPases. Remarkably, because JNK activation propagates across imaginal disc epithelia, the cooperation between JNK and Ras does not need to be cell autonomous.

In the case of scribble-deficient ‘pre-malignant lesions,’ Eiger-expressing hemocytes associate in large numbers with the tumor lesion, specifically in presence of the Ras oncoprotein and promote invasion. Interestingly, recent studies demonstrate that Eiger/TNF is also produced within the epithelium by the mutant cells themselves and by the surrounding normal epithelial cells. On the other hand, in the absence of Eiger/TNF and regardless of Ras activation, scribble-deficient cells develop into ‘benign’ tumors that grow in situ without crossing the basal lamina and rarely affecting organismal viability. Therefore, in this case loss of polarity and adhesion are uncoupled from delamination and migration.

This model provides a paradigm where EMT upon the loss of polarity and adhesion, is uncoupled from delamination and migration as a result of the inflammatory response (Fig. 2).

**Concluding Remarks**

Cancer is a complex multistep pathology that requires the accumulation of several mutations conferring to cells an aberrant proliferative advantage, increased resistance to pro-apoptotic stimuli and loss of differentiation markers.

Tumors of epithelial origins are characterized by a loss of cellular architecture (i.e., apical-basal polarity), while the most invasive front becomes less adhesive and more prone to migration. Understanding how cell polarity is established and maintained and how it is linked to cell proliferation is extremely relevant to cancer biology.
An increasing body of evidence underlines the importance of the tumor microenvironment in the outcome of cancer cells growth. The role of the immune system in fighting cancer progression has been paradoxical since it has been shown to exert both pro- and anti-tumoral effects. Remarkably, live imaging studies in murine models for breast cancer illustrate how tumor cells can migrate guided by—and closely associated with—macrophages. 61-63 This intimate connection between epithelial tumor cells and immunosurveillance cells seems highly conserved in metazoa. Therefore, due to the ease of genetic manipulations, Drosophila research can bring meaningful insights to our understanding of the mechanisms of communication between cancerous and normal cells, as well as between the tumor tissue and the immune system.
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