Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum*, and *Leishmania* spp. in hunting dogs from Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil
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ABSTRACT: Toxoplasmosis, neosporosis, and leishmaniasis are important diseases of worldwide distribution and can affect both pets and humans. Hunting dogs have been trained to hunt domestic and wild animals, which makes them more exposed to parasitic infections. The present study aimed to evaluate the seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum*, and *Leishmania* spp. in hunting dogs from a rural area in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Serum samples were collected from 39 American Foxhound dogs, and the sex and age variables were recorded. Serum samples were subjected to an indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) to detect antibodies. Seroprevalence was 35.9%, 15.4%, and 2.6% for *Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum* and *Leishmania* spp., respectively. There was no statistical difference between genders for these diseases (P>0.05). Results demonstrated a circulation of these protozoa in hunting dogs in a rural area of the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, which can contribute to the epidemiology of these diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

*Toxoplasma gondii*, *Neospora caninum*, and *Leishmania* spp. are important infectious agents caused by obligate intracellular protozoa (JOOB & WIWANITKIT, 2019), which lead to the manifestation of several clinical symptoms (ANVARI et al., 2020) and can affect several species of mammals, including humans. In a recent study, *N. caninum* DNA was detected in umbilical cord blood samples from pregnant women, indicating human exposure to the parasite; however, the zoonotic potential remains unclear (DUARTE et al., 2020). Seroprevalence studies in dogs are essential indicators of disease in regions, since, in addition to participating in the chain of disease transmission to humans and other animals, they can act as carriers and sentinels of these diseases.

The presence of dogs on the farms is common since they assist in handling livestock or are pets for the workers from the farm. As *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* frequently affect wild and farm animals, dogs on farms are much more susceptible to these diseases.
agents than those that live in urban environments (SÁNCHEZ et al., 2003; SORTE et al., 2015). In addition, dogs may be responsible for transmitting diseases to humans that primarily affect wild animals due to close contact with their owners and possible mechanical transmission of oocysts (LINDSAY et al., 1997; ORR et al., 2019).

In leishmaniasis, the dog acts in the transmission cycle as the main reservoir, transmitting the parasite to humans by the *Lutzomyia* and *Phlebotomus* sandflies (MATTOS JR et al., 2004). Moreover, the transmission of *Leishmania* between dogs can occur by the vertical (transplacental) or horizontal (veneral and blood transfusion) routes (DE FREITAS et al., 2006; NAUCKE & LORENTZ, 2012). The disease is originally from the wild and rural areas and has become endemic in the urban area, mainly due to socio-environmental changes, deforestation, and urban development (BARATA et al., 2005).

Currently, these three diseases are present in dogs in the urban area of Campo Grande, in Brazil; however, there are no published studies regarding their prevalence in animals from rural areas in this region. Thus, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of antibodies against *T. gondii*, *N. caninum* and, *Leishmania* spp., and investigate whether there is a difference in seroprevalence between genders and age in hunting dogs from Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling and animals**

American foxhound dogs that performed illegal hunting of jaguars and lived on a farm, located at 40km from the urban area of the municipality of Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul state, Brazil, were used in this study. All dogs were rescued from illegal center training for hunting. Blood samples were collected by puncture of the jugular vein using siliconized vacuum tubes without anticoagulant. The samples were subjected to centrifugation at 3000 g for 5 minutes to retract the clot, and the obtained serum was stored at −20 ºC until further analysis. All animals were subjected to physical examination to evaluate clinical changes as temperature, mucous color, hydration, and cardiorespiratory parameters.

**Indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT)**

Serum samples from dogs were evaluated by IFAT according to the methodology previously described (CAMARGO, 1973). The antigens for IFAT slides were the tachyzoites of RH strain for *T. gondii*, NC1-strain for *N. caninum*, and the promastigote of *L. infantum*. The fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled anti-dog IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used as a secondary antibody. Serum samples from dogs known to be positive and negative obtained from our serum bank were included in all slides. Only samples that present fluorescence over the total contour of the parasite were considered positive. The cut-off for *T. gondii*, *N. caninum*, and *Leishmania* spp. were 64, 50, and 40, respectively. Positive samples were titrated until the maximum dilution titer was reached.

**Statistical analysis**

The variables age (young: <1 year; adult: 1-7 years; older: >7 years) and gender were analyzed by Fisher’s exact test using the BioEstat 5.0 program. P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Of the 39 dogs analyzed, 14 (35.9%) were positive for *T. gondii*, with titers of 64 (64.3%), 256 (21.5%), 1024 (7.1%) and 4096 (7.1%). Females showed higher titers compared to males; however, no statistical differences were observed according to gender and age (P>0.05)(Table 1). Only one dog was seropositive for *Leishmania* spp. (2.6%), and this dog was co-infected with *T. gondii*. No clinical signs of infection were observed in any of the animals, including the absence of lymph node enlargement and dermatopathies.

For *N. caninum*, 6 (15.4%) dogs were positive, with titers ranging from 50 (16%), 100 (16%), 200 (16%), and 400 (48%). There were also no differences between sex or age (P> 0.05)(Table 1). Although the seroprevalence between *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* was different in absolute numbers, there was no statistical difference between the positive cases for both parasites (P=0.069).

Out of all animals in this study, 17 (43.6%) were seropositive for at least one of the parasites, and co-infection was observed in three animals (7.7%), two with *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* and the other with *T. gondii* and *Leishmania* spp., as reported before. Due to the low seroprevalence of leishmaniasis in the dogs from our study, it was impossible to establish whether there is a correlation with the other parasites. However, ANDREOTTI et al. (2006) founded no correlation between *N. caninum* and *Leishmania infantum* seroprevalence in dogs from Campo Grande. It showed that leishmaniasis does not cause higher susceptibility to *N. caninum* infection in dogs from this region.
According to results, a higher seroprevalence of *T. gondii* was observed in hunting dogs from Mato Grosso do Sul compared to *N. caninum* and *Leishmania* spp. The dogs were trained to practice illegal hunting of jaguars in the Cerrado and Pantanal region, which could explain this high seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis, since a previous study showed 100% of seropositivity for *T. gondii* in jaguars from these regions, evidencing the importance of this species in maintaining the parasite in nature (FURTADO et al., 2015). Different studies have shown a higher seroprevalence of *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* in hunting dogs than domiciliated dogs (AYINMODE et al., 2016; MACHADO et al., 2019; ORR et al., 2019). It occurs probably because hunting dogs have free access to water and food, which could be contaminated with oocysts and tissue cysts (AYINMODE et al., 2016). The prevalence of anti-*T. gondii* antibodies observed in this study was lower than studies with hunting dogs from southern Spain and northern Africa (CANOTERRIZA et al., 2016; MACHACOVA et al., 2016; MACHADO et al., 2019; ORR et al., 2019). It occurs probably because hunting dogs have free access to water and food, which could be contaminated with oocysts and tissue cysts (AYINMODE et al., 2016).

The prevalence of anti-*T. gondii* antibodies observed in this study was lower than studies with hunting dogs from southern Spain and northern Africa (CANOTERRIZA et al., 2016); however, it was higher from hunting dogs from Nigeria (AYINMODE et al., 2016) and Italy (MACHACOVA et al., 2016). Differences in geographical regions, serological tests, and cut-off can explain the variability of these results (ANVARI et al., 2020).

These factors could also explain the different results of the seroprevalence of *N. caninum* in hunting dogs (AYINMODE et al., 2016; COLLANTES-FERNANDEZ et al., 2008; MACHACOVA et al., 2016). However, the seroprevalence observed in this study is similar to the average seroprevalence in domestic dogs of the American continent between 1990-2018 (15.2%) (ANVARI et al., 2020). The studied dogs lived in the rural region, and may play an important role in the transmission of *N. caninum* between wild animals and cattle, since different studies showed that dogs living in rural properties have a high prevalence of neosporosis, maybe due to close contact with wild animals, which are intermediate hosts for this protozoan (CANO-TERRIZA et al., 2016; AYINMODE et al., 2016; MACHACOVA et al., 2016; COLLANTES-FERNANDEZ et al., 2008).

A higher seroprevalence of *T. gondii* than *N. caninum*, as observed in this study, was also reported by AYINMODE et al. (2016) and MACHACOVA et al. (2016), demonstrating a greater environmental spread of these parasites in rural areas. Besides, there was no difference between *T. gondii* and *N. caninum* regarding gender, as same reported in previous studies in hunting (AYINMODE et al., 2016, CANOTERRIZA et al., 2016, COLLANTES-FERNANDEZ et al., 2008, MACHACOVA et al., 2016, MACHADO et al., 2019) and pet dogs (CABRAL et al., 1998, DA PAZ et al., 2019, GARCIA et al., 1999) showing that there is no higher predisposition to seroconversion.

### Table 1 - Results of indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) for *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in hunting dogs from Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.

| Variables | Antibodies IgG | P-value |
|-----------|----------------|---------|
|           | Positive | Negative |         |
| *Toxoplasma gondii* |         |         |         |
| Gender    |          |          |         |
| Male      | 7        | 17       | 0.44    |
| Female    | 7        | 8        |         |
| Age       |          |          |         |
| Young (< 1 year) | 0      | 4        |         |
| Adult (1-7 years) | 13     | 21       | 0.27    |
| Older (> 7 years)  | 1         | 0        | 0.2     |
| *Neospora caninum* |         |         |         |
| Gender    |          |          |         |
| Male      | 5        | 19       | 0.37    |
| Female    | 1        | 14       |         |
| Age       |          |          |         |
| Young (< 1 year) | 0     | 4        |         |
| Adult (1-7 years) | 5      | 29       | 1.0     |
| Older (> 7 years)  | 1         | 0        | 0.2     |
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