Two interacting particles in a disordered chain II: Critical statistics and maximum mixing of the one body states
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Abstract. For two particles in a disordered chain of length $L$ with on-site interaction $U$, a duality transformation maps the behavior at weak interaction onto the behavior at strong interaction. Around the fixed point of this transformation, the interaction yields a maximum mixing of the one body states. When $L \approx L_1$ (the one particle localization length), this mixing results in weak chaos accompanied by multifractal wave functions and critical spectral statistics, as in the one particle problem at the mobility edge or in certain pseudo-integrable billiards. In one dimension, a local interaction can only yield this weak chaos but can never drive the two particle system to full chaos with Wigner-Dyson statistics.

PACS. 05.45.+b Theory and models of chaotic systems – 72.15.Rn Quantum localization – 71.30.+h Metal-insulator transitions and other electronic transitions

The competition between two body (electron-electron) interaction and one body kinetic energy in disordered systems is a fundamental problem of permanent interest. We denote by $U$, $t$ and $W$ the parameters characterizing the interaction, the one body kinetic energy and the fluctuations of the random potential for a $d$-dimensional system of size $L$. When $U$ is small, the $N$-body eigenstates are close to the symmetrized products of one body states (Slater determinants for spinless fermions) which contain the effects of $t$ and $W$ completely. The effect of $U$ can be treated as a perturbation, yielding a mixing of those symmetrized products. When $U$ increases, the consequence of this mixing is that an increasing number of one body states is needed to describe the exact $N$-body states. If the one body states are localized by the disorder, delocalization in real space results from this mixing. This is why the interaction can induce in certain cases metallic behavior in a system which would be an insulator otherwise. When $U$ is large and dominates, one can get on the contrary a correlated insulator which might be metallic at weaker interaction. A Wigner crystal pinned by disorder is a good example of such an interaction-induced insulator. In the large-$U$ limit, $t$ becomes the small parameter, and one expands in powers of $t^2/U$. The issue is to know the range of validity of these perturbative approaches, and to describe how the system goes from the first limit to the second when $U$ increases. For this purpose, we consider a one-dimensional disordered lattice with on-site interactions.

We discuss the simple case of two electrons with opposite spins (the orbital part of the wavefunction is symmetric as in the case of two bosons). For the main sub-band of states centered around $E = 0$, both in the limits where $U = 0$ (free bosons) and $U = \infty$ (hard-core bosons), the two body states can be described in terms of two one body states. We use a duality transformation $U \rightarrow at^2/U$ to map the small $U$-limit onto the large $U$-limit ($a \approx \sqrt{24})$. We first prove that the lifetimes of the free boson states and of the hard-core boson states are equal at the fixed point $U_c$ of the duality transformation. At $U_c$ one has the maximum mixing of the one body states by the interaction and the enhancement factor $[1]$ is maximum for the two particle localization length $L_2$. Far from $U_c$, $L_2$ is smaller and satisfies the duality relation. $L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ (the one particle localization length) both for $U \rightarrow 0$ and $U \rightarrow \infty$. The study of the signature of this duality transformation on the spectral fluctuations is very interesting. For $E = 0$, taking $L = L_1$ and increasing $U$, one gets two thresholds defining a range of interaction $U_F \leq U \leq U_H$. Outside this range, the levels are almost uncorrelated. Inside this range, the level repulsion is maximum, but does not reach the universal Wigner-Dyson (W-D) repulsion. The two particle system is not fully chaotic, but exhibits a weak chaos which is not arbitrarily situated between Poisson (integrable) and Wigner (chaos). The spacing distribution $p(s)$ between consecutive energy levels and the statistics $\Sigma_2(E)$ (variance of the number of energy levels inside an energy interval $E$) are characteristic of the third known universality class [2]. One finds $p(s) \approx 4s\exp(-2s)$ and $\Sigma_2(E) \approx 0.16 + 0.41E$ for periodic boundary con-
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dictions. This is very close, if not identical, to the distributions found in many "critical" one body systems, such as an electron in a 3D random potential at the mobility edge [2,3,4] or in certain pseudo-integrable quantum billiards (rational triangles [5], rough billiards [6] and Kepler problem [7]). Furthermore, \( p(s) \) saturates to \( 4s \exp(-2s) \) for \( U \approx U_c \) only when the ratio \( 1 \leq L_1/L_2 \leq 10 \). We conclude that a local interaction can never drive the two particle system to full quantum chaos with Wigner-Dyson statistics in one dimension, but can at most yield weak critical chaos in a certain domain of interaction and of the ratios \( L_1/L_2 \). We show in addition that this weak chaos is accompanied by multifractal wavefunctions, in agreement with Ref. [8].

Each one particle Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H_0 = t \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} (|n\rangle \langle n+1| + |n+1\rangle \langle n|) + \sum_{n=1}^{L} V_n|n\rangle \langle n|
\]  

where \( V_n \) is uniformly distributed inside \([-W,+W]\) and the interaction is described by

\[
H_{\text{int}} = U \sum_{n=1}^{L} |nn\rangle \langle nn|
\]  

where \( |\alpha\rangle \) is one body state of energy \( \epsilon_{\alpha} \) and the amplitude \( (n|\alpha) = \psi_{\alpha}(n) \), only two one body states \( |\alpha\rangle \) and \( |\beta\rangle \) are necessary to describe a free boson state \( |fb\rangle = |\alpha\beta\rangle \) with components \( \langle n_1,n_2|fb\rangle \) given by

\[
(\psi_{\alpha}(n_2)\psi_{\beta}(n_1) + \psi_{\alpha}(n_1)\psi_{\beta}(n_2))/\sqrt{2}
\]  

This free boson basis, the interaction matrix elements \( \langle \alpha\beta|H_{\text{int}}|\gamma\delta\rangle = 2UQ_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} \) where

\[
Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \psi_{\alpha}(n)\psi_{\gamma}(n)\psi_{\delta}(n)
\]  

\[
H_{\text{int}} = U \sum_{n=1}^{L} |nn\rangle \langle nn|
\]  

Denoting \( |\alpha\rangle \) the one body state of energy \( \epsilon_{\alpha} \) and the amplitude \( (n|\alpha) = \psi_{\alpha}(n) \), only two one body states \( |\alpha\rangle \) and \( |\beta\rangle \) are necessary to describe a free boson state \( |fb\rangle = |\alpha\beta\rangle \) with components \( \langle n_1,n_2|fb\rangle \) given by

\[
(\psi_{\alpha}(n_2)\psi_{\beta}(n_1) + \psi_{\alpha}(n_1)\psi_{\beta}(n_2))/\sqrt{2}
\]  

This \( Q \)-matrix has been studied [8] in one dimension for \( L \geq L_1 \). It was found that its lines, where are the terms \( Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} \) coupling a given \( |\alpha\beta\rangle \) to all the other \( |\gamma\delta\rangle \), is a multifractal measure in the \( |\gamma\delta\rangle \) space. Therefore the effective density \( \rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{beta}} \) of \( |fb\rangle \) states coupled by the square of the interaction matrix elements is reduced. For \( L \approx L_1 \), one has \( \rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{beta}}(L_1) \propto L_1^{1.75} \), instead of the total two body density \( \rho_{\text{eff}} \propto L_1^2 \). This was confirmed by a study of their Fermi golden rule decay.

As noticed in Ref. [9], there is a useful representation for an on-site interaction \( U \to \pm \infty \), composed by a small set of \( M_L = L \) “molecular” states \( |nn\rangle \) and by a large set of \( M_H = L(L-1)/2 \) hard core boson states \( |hc\rangle \) built from re-symmetrized Slater determinants. Their components \( \langle n_1,n_2|hc\rangle \) are given by

\[
(\psi_{\alpha}(n_2)\psi_{\beta}(n_1) - \psi_{\alpha}(n_1)\psi_{\beta}(n_2))\text{sgn}(n_2-n_1)/\sqrt{2}
\]  

The re-symmetrization is insured by the function \( \text{sgn}(x) := x/|x| \). For hard wall boundaries (which we assume in our discussion), one has to use the same one body states \( |\alpha\rangle \) and \( |\beta\rangle \) both for \( |fb\rangle \) and \( |hc\rangle \). However, if the system is closed on itself and forms a ring threaded by a flux \( \Phi \), the re-symmetrization on a torus reveals interesting topological aspects (see ref. [10]). One has to use different one body states in the two limits which differ in \( \Phi \) by half a flux quantum (to periodic boundary conditions for \( U = 0 \) correspond anti-periodic boundary conditions for \( U \to \pm \infty \).

In this basis, the two body Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \) has the structure

\[
\mathcal{H} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} H_M & H_C \\ H_C^T & H_H \end{array} \right]
\]  

\( H_M \) and \( H_H \) are \( L_M \times L_M \) and \( L_H \times L_H \) diagonal matrices with entries \( U + 2V_n \) and \( \epsilon_{hc} = \epsilon_{\alpha} + \epsilon_{\beta} \), respectively. \( H_M \) and \( H_H \) are coupled by a rectangular matrix \( H_C \) resulting from the kinetic terms \( \sqrt{2}t \sum_{n=1}^{L} |(n+1\rangle \langle n| + |n\rangle \langle n+1| + |n,n\rangle \langle n,n+1| + h.c. \) of \( \mathcal{H} \). The matrix elements of \( H_C \) are equal to \( \sqrt{2}t \left| \psi_{\alpha}(n)\psi_{\beta}(n) \right| \) where \( \psi_{\alpha}(n) := \psi_{\alpha}(n+1) - \psi_{\alpha}(n-1) \). The states \( |hc\rangle \) of energy \( \epsilon_{hc} \approx 0 \) are coupled by a term of order \( t \) to the few states \( |nn\rangle \) of energy \( \approx U \). Their lifetime becomes infinite when \( U \to \pm \infty \).

Projecting an eigenstate \( |A\rangle \) of energy \( E_A \) onto the states \( |nn\rangle \) and \( |hc\rangle \)

\[
|A\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L_M} c^n_A |nn\rangle + \sum_{hc=1}^{L_H} c_{hc}^A |hc\rangle
\]  

one finds the relation

\[
\begin{bmatrix} H_M + J_M(E_A) & 0 \\ 0 & H_H + J_H(E_A) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C^M_A \\ C^H_A \end{bmatrix} = E_A \begin{bmatrix} C^M_A \\ C^H_A \end{bmatrix}
\]  

which holds for arbitrary \( U \). \( C^M_A \) and \( C^H_A \) are vectors of \( L_M \) components \( c^n_A \) and \( L_H \) components \( c_{hc}^A \) respectively. The matrix \( J_M(E_A) \) has \( L^2_M \) elements given by

\[
J_M(E_A,nn,mm) = \sum_{hc=1}^{L_H} \frac{(|nn\rangle HC|mm\rangle)(|mm\rangle HC|nn\rangle)}{E_A - \epsilon_{hc}}
\]  

and the matrix \( J_H(E_A) \) has \( L^2_M \) elements of the form

\[
J_H(E_A,hc,hc) = \sum_{n=1}^{L_M} \frac{(|hc\rangle HC|nn\rangle)(|nn\rangle HC|hc\rangle)}{U + 2V_n - E_A}
\]

We consider the main sub-band of states with energy \( E_A \approx 0 \), resulting from the mixing by a perturbation of order \( t^2/U \) of a few states \( |hc\rangle \) for which \( J_M(E_A) \) can be simplified. Assuming \( W,t \ll U \) and \( U + 2V_n - E_A \approx U \), one has to evaluate \( \sum_{n=1}^{L_H} (hc|HC|nn\rangle)(|nn\rangle HC|hc\rangle) \). This expression is formed of 12 sums over \( n \), each of them having the form

\[
\tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \psi_{\alpha}(n)\psi_{\beta}(n')\psi_{\gamma}(n)\psi_{\delta}(n'')
\]  

with various combinations of \( n',n'' = n \pm 1 \). Therefore \( \tilde{Q}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} \) is not exactly equal to the \( Q_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} \) occurring around the
free boson limit. However, this difference should not be statistically relevant and if one neglects it, one finds

\[ J_H(E_A, \hbar c, \tilde{\hbar} c) \approx \pm 2(24)^{1/4} \frac{\hbar^2}{t} Q^{\gamma_{\delta}}. \]  

(11)

This establishes the duality transformation \( U \rightarrow \sqrt{24(t^2/U)} \) for \( E \approx 0 \) which maps the distribution of the coupling terms between the \( |fb\rangle \) when \( U \) is small onto the distribution of the coupling terms between the \( |hc\rangle \) when \( U \) is large. To illustrate this duality around the fixed point \( U_c = (24)^{1/4} t \), we have numerically calculated the average over the disorder of the local density of states

\[ \rho_A(E) = \sum_{\alpha \beta} |c_{A \alpha \beta}|^2 \delta(E + E_A - \epsilon_\alpha - \epsilon_\beta) \]  

(12)

for \( L = L_1 \) and \( E_A \approx 0 \). We have observed that \( \langle \rho_A(E) \rangle \) can be fitted by a Lorentzian curve of width \( \Gamma_0 \) (if \( \alpha \beta \) denotes the states \( |fb\rangle \)) or \( \Gamma_\infty \) (if \( \alpha \beta \) denotes the states \( |hc\rangle \)). Fermi’s golden rule gives for the widths \( \gamma \) at \( L \approx L_1 \)

\[ \gamma_0 \approx 2\pi U^2 \frac{1}{L_1^2} \chi_{\text{eff}}^2(L_1) \]  

(13)

\[ \gamma_\infty \approx 2\pi U^2 \frac{24t^4}{L_1^2} \chi_{\text{eff}}^2(L_1) \]  

(14)

where \( \chi_{\text{eff}} \approx L_1^{1.75}/t \neq \rho_2(L_1) \approx L_1^2/t \) because of the multifractality of the \( Q \)-matrix. As shown in figure 1, the widths \( \gamma \) obey the duality property

\[ \Gamma_0(U) = \Gamma_\infty(\sqrt{24t^2/U}), \]  

and are described by the above golden rule approximations. When \( U \approx U_c \), the lifetime of the free boson states is equal to the one of the hard core boson states.

When \( U \) increases, the statistical ensemble associated to the two particle system exhibits a crossover from one preferential basis (the free boson basis) to another preferential basis (the hard core boson basis). At \( U_c \), the statistical ensemble is in the middle between the two preferential bases, the mixing of the one body states is maximum, and the localization length \( L_2 \) therefore is maximum. When \( E = 0 \) and \( U \) varies, a transition occurs in the two body spectrum in 1d which is somewhat reminiscent of the one body case in 3d when \( E = 0 \) and \( W \) varies. In the two cases, one can expand in powers of \( U/t \) or \( t/U \), of \( W/t \) or \( t/W \) when the system is under or above the critical threshold, respectively. The analogy is of course not complete, since the two body spectrum in 1d has essentially Poisson statistics in the two perturbative limits, while the one body spectrum in 3d exhibits W-D statistics for \( W \ll t \) and Poisson statistics when \( W \gg t \). Nevertheless, the question whether or not the spectral fluctuations are of the same kind in the vicinity of the threshold deserves to be investigated.

Before showing the results, two arguments can be mentioned: (i) A multifractal \( Q \)-matrix is incompatible with Wigner-Dyson level repulsion in 1d. The states \( |fb\rangle \) or \( |hc\rangle \) are directly coupled by \( U \) or \( t^2/U \) to an effective density \( \rho^{\text{eff}}_2 < \rho_2 \). Therefore, nearest neighbors in energy are very likely uncorrelated, enhancing the probability to find level spacings small compared to their average. (ii) Looking at \( \gamma_1 \), one may assume that a broad distribution of the matrix elements of \( H_M \) may yield a single dominant coupling term. This allows us to consider that the states \( |hc\rangle \) are mainly coupled via a few states \( \{m\} \). This is not far from the case discussed by Bohr and Mottelson [11] (coupling via a single state) where the consecutive levels \( E_A \) of \( H \) satisfy the conditions \( \epsilon_{hc} < E_A < \epsilon_{hc+1} < E_A+1 \), \( \epsilon_{hc} \) being the consecutive levels of \( H_B \). Since the statistics of \( H_B \) is essentially Poissonian, this forbids to have the Wigner-Dyson rigidity for the \( E_A \). The most rigid spectrum would be achieved by putting the \( E_A \) exactly in the middle of two consecutive \( \epsilon_{hc} \). It is straightforward to find that \( p(s) \) would then be equal to \( p_0(s) = 4s \exp(-2s) \). This “semi-Poisson” distribution, where \( p_0(s) \propto s \) for \( s \ll 1 \) (as the Wigner surmise \( p_W(s) = (\pi/2)s \exp(-\pi s^2/4) \)), and which decays as \( \exp(-s) \) for \( s \gg 1 \) (as the Poisson distribution \( p_P(s) \)), is attracting increasing interest since it characterizes [5] weak chaos in systems which are “critical” (i.e. which can be mapped [7] in a certain “integrable” basis onto an Anderson model at its critical point). It was shown in Ref. [12] that a plasma model for the energy levels can reproduce the level statistics of a disordered conductor if the logarithmic Wigner-Dyson level repulsion was screened at an energy scale given by the Thouless energy \( E_T \). Since \( E_T \) is of order of the mean level spacing \( \Delta_1 \) at the mobility edge, one can expect that a short range plasma model should also give this “semi-Poisson” distribution. This was recently confirmed [5] for a logarithmic level repulsion truncated to the first consecutive level, where one finds \( p(s) = 4s \exp(-2s) \) and \( \Sigma_2(E) = 1/16 + E/2 \). After averaging over certain boundary conditions, \( p_c(s) \) was also found to give [4] a good fit.
that \( p(s) \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) have been investigated. For \( U = 1 \) and \( L = L_1 = 150 \), the spacing distribution \( p(s) \) is shown in Fig. 2, in good agreement with the “semi-Poisson” distribution. In the inset, one can see that this is specific to the two particle problem, and does not characterize the single particle spectrum for the same chain of size \( L_1 \).

The statistics \( \Sigma_2(E) \) displays also the behavior that one expects at a critical point or for a short range plasma model. \( \Sigma_2(E) \approx \chi_0 + \chi_1 E \) is shown in fig. 3. The values of the compressibility \( \chi_1 = \lim_{E \to \infty} \Sigma_2(E)/E \) seem to depend on the boundary conditions for the first consecutive levels, as for the Anderson transition in 3d. The values for the two particle system 0.41 (periodic) and 0.45 (hard wall) coincide with the values given by Braun et al. for certain combinations of boundary conditions in the different directions. This sensitivity of \( \chi_1 \) to the boundary conditions may disappear for larger \( E \) (smaller time scales), as it is the case for the one particle spectrum at the mobility edge [13].

We now study the domain of validity for weak chaos and universal critical statistics. To measure the deviation of \( p(s) \) from the \( P_W(s) \) or \( P_P(s) \), we use the functional

\[
\eta(U) = \frac{\int_0^b ds(p(s) - p_W(s))}{\int_0^b ds(p_W(s) - p_W(s))}
\]

with \( b = 0.4729 \). A Poisson spectrum gives \( \eta = 1 \), a Wigner-Dyson spectrum gives \( \eta = 0 \) and “semi-Poisson” corresponds to \( \eta = 0.386 \).

First, we vary \( U \), imposing the relation \( L = L_1 \). We assume \( L_1 \) to be given by the weak disorder formula \( L_1 \approx 25/W^2 \). In the limits \( U \to 0 \) and \( U \to \infty \), the TIP-levels are given by \( \epsilon_{bf} = \epsilon_{hc} = \epsilon_a + \epsilon_b \) which are uncorrelated (at least on energy scales smaller than the one particle level spacing \( \Delta_1 \)). This gives \( p_P(s) \) for the TIP-spectrum. One can see in fig. 4 that \( p(s) \) deviates for small \( U \) and \( t^2/U \) from \( p_P(s) \) observed at \( U = 0 \) and \( U = \infty \). \( \eta(U) \) exhibits a plateau \( (U_F < U < U_H) \) at the value \( \eta_U \approx 0.386 \) which characterizes \( p_P(s) \). We suggest that \( U_F \) and \( U_H \) are given by the conditions which hold [14] for systems in which there is no coupling term between consecutive energy levels. In agreement with the general picture developed in Ref. [14] (see also Ref. [15]), the threshold appears when the strength of the coupling terms becomes of the order of the spacing \( 1/\rho_2^H \) of the directly coupled levels. This gives \( 2U_F/L_1^{3/2} \approx 4t/L_1^{1.75} \) and \( 2\sqrt{2}t^2/(U_HL_1^{3/2}) \approx 4t/L_1^{1.75} \), respectively, and seems to account for the size of the plateau of the curve \( \eta(U) \). This favours a line of critical points rather than an isolated point when the condition \( L = L_1 \) is imposed. The \( U \)-dependence of \( \chi_1 \) exhibits the same plateau as \( \eta(U) \). The results shown in fig. 3 for \( U = 1.25 \) do not vary inside the plateau.

Second, for \( U = 1.25 \) and \( L = 100 \), we vary the disorder parameter \( W \) in order to study the role of the ratio \( L_1/L \). When \( L > L_1 \), the small fraction of TIP-states re-organized by \( U \) disappears behind the set of TIP-states which are not re-organized by \( U \) and which remain uncorrelated. \( p(s) \) does not differ from \( p_P(s) \). On the contrary,
one can easily show that the diagonal form in the free boson eigenbasis. Denoting by $K$ is conserved and the Hamiltonian matrix has a block
momentum $k$

$E_k = 2 \cos k \gamma$

Assuming periodic boundary conditions, the eigenenergy of a single particle with mo-

$\gamma = 0$, the total TIP-momentum $A$ can only

The summation is restricted to the momenta $k + k' = K$

modulo $(2\pi)$. This sequence of $(L + 1)/2$ levels exhibits the same property than in the case discussed by Bohr and Mottelson: For a given $K$, the levels for $U \neq 0$ alternate with the levels for $U = 0$. This sequence of $E_A(K)$ can only exhibit level repulsion between next nearest neighbors, but not the long-range repulsion necessary for Wigner-Dyson

statistics. Since the total spectrum is the uncorrelated superposition of the $L$ different sequences associated to different total momenta $K$, one gets for the TIP spectrum uncorrelated levels for any value of $U$ when $U = 0$.

Between the two limits $L \ll L_1$ and $L \gg L_1$, one can see in fig. 4 a plateau where the spectrum is more rigid, $\eta(L_1/L)$ saturating to the “semi-Poisson” value.

This plateau for $U = 1.25$ is observed for $1 \leq L_1/L \leq 10$.

A critical statistics for the spectrum is usually related to multifractal wave functions. We have studied the projection $C_A^{\alpha \beta}$ of an eigenstate $|A\rangle$ onto the states $|fb\rangle$ and onto the states $|hc\rangle$. Since the perturbation matrix elements (divided by $U$ or $l^2(U)$) are multifractal, one expects that this will eventually yield a multifractal structure for $|A\rangle$ in the two bases for large and small enough $U$, respectively. We have done the same analysis as in Ref. [8] to which we refer for technical details and references. We divide the plane $(\alpha, \beta)$ into $(L/D)^2$ boxes of size $D$ and compute for each box $i$ the probability $p_i = \sum_{\alpha \beta \in box_i} |C_A^{\alpha \beta}|^2$. After ensemble averaging, the moments $I_q(D) = \sum_i p_i^q$ have a power-law behaviour if $U$ is large enough for the $|fb\rangle$ basis (inset of fig. 3), and if $U$ is small enough for the $|hc\rangle$ basis. So one finds $I_q(D) \propto D^{(q-1)d(\alpha)}$, $I_q(D)$ defining the corresponding generalized Renyi dimensions. The $I_q(D)$ are roughly equal in the two bases (fig. 3) and do not depend on $U$ as soon as the power-law behaviour is established for $I_q(D)$, i. e. inside the line of fixed points.

when $L \ll L_1$, we approach the clean limit which can be easily understood: For $W = 0$, the total TIP-momentum $K$ is conserved and the Hamiltonian matrix has a block diagonal form in the free boson eigenbasis. Denoting by $\epsilon_{\gamma} = 2 \cos k \gamma$ the eigenenergy of a single particle with momentum $k$, and assuming periodic boundary conditions, one can easily show that the $(L + 1)/2$ TIP eigenenergies $E_A(K)$ of total momentum $K$ modulo $(2\pi)$ satisfy

$$\frac{2U}{L} \sum_{k, k'} \frac{1}{E_A(K) - \epsilon_{\gamma} - \epsilon_{k'}} = 1.$$  

(16)
In summary, we have shown that this simple two-particle system in 1d is characterized by two perturbative regimes (in $U$ or $t^2/U$). These regimes are dominated by the existence of a single preferential basis and are related to each other by a duality transformation. In the middle, the mixing of the one body states by the interaction is maximum, but the system is not chaotic (no Wigner-Dyson statistics). It exhibits the weak chaos of the critical systems, as confirmed by the spectral fluctuations. The curves $\eta(U,W)$, $\chi_1(U,W)$ and $d_q(U,W)$ in the $|fb\rangle$ and $|hc\rangle$ bases are consistent with the existence of a weak critical chaos for a given size in $(U, W)$ domain located around $U_c$ and $L_1/L \approx 1$. In one dimension, a local interaction can never drive a two particle system towards full chaos with Wigner-Dyson statistics.

We acknowledge useful discussions with E. Bogomolny, G. Montambaux and P. Silvestrov.
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