Ion Channels at the Nucleus: Electrophysiology Meets the Genome
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ABSTRACT The nuclear envelope is increasingly viewed from an electrophysiological perspective by researchers interested in signal transduction pathways that influence gene transcription and other processes in the nucleus. Here, we describe evidence for ion channels and transporters in the nuclear membranes and for possible ion gating by the nuclear pores. We argue that a systems-level understanding of cellular regulation is likely to require the assimilation of nuclear electrophysiology into molecular and biochemical signaling pathways.
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INTRODUCTION

The defining feature of eukaryotic cells is the nucleus—an organelle that houses the nuclear genome and the molecular machineries required for DNA replication and transcription. The nucleus is bounded by a nuclear envelope (NE) comprising two functionally distinct membranes: the inner nuclear membrane (INM), which interacts with nucleoskeletal proteins and peripheral chromatin, and the outer nuclear membrane (ONM), which is continuous with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). The compartment between the INM and ONM, referred to as the perinuclear space, is contiguous with the lumen of the ER. The function and contents of the perinuclear space are unknown, but it likely serves as an intracellular store for calcium (Ca$^{2+}$) and other inorganic ions. Extensions of the INM can infiltrate into the nuclear interior to form a nucleoplasmic reticulum. The INM and ONM are fused at the nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), which provide the only direct passageway between the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm (Figure 1A).

Despite the obvious structural and functional importance of the NE, certain aspects—including the electrophysiological properties of the extensive double membrane system and the NPCs—remain incompletely understood. There are several reasons for this knowledge gap. First, interest in the nuclear membranes per se has been overshadowed by research on abundant and chromatin-associated proteins of the NE and on regulated transport of macromolecules through the NPCs, which are usually regarded as large aqueous openings that are unable to impede ion flow. Although new findings have revealed the participation of some NE proteins in molecular signaling pathways (Worman, 2005; Dauer and Worman, 2009), comparatively little attention has been given to investigating ion channels and transporters in the nuclear membranes and ion gating by the NPCs. However, all other membranes in the cell, including those in the double membrane systems of mitochondria and chloroplasts (Xiong et al., 2006), contain ion channels and pumps that are essential for their physiological functions. The membranes of the NE are unlikely to be exceptions in this respect and their ability to impart an electrophysiological dimension to regulation in the nucleus should not be overlooked.

Another reason for an incomplete understanding of ‘nuclear electrophysiology’ (Bustamante, 2006) is that nuclear membranes, which constitute only a small fraction of total cellular membranes, are difficult to access and to purify. For example, in intact cells and isolated nuclei, the INM is inaccessible to patch clamp pipets and the perinuclear space is too small to be impaled by microelectrodes. The continuity of the ONM with the ER complicates the isolation of nuclear membranes from other cellular membranes and routine methods for cleanly separating the INM from the ONM are not yet available (Batrakou et al., 2009). These problems have hampered efforts to obtain homogeneous membrane preparations that are suitable for electrophysiological analyses in vitro.
Several recent reviews have described the growing list of functions ascribed to the NE and NPCs. These functions include not only macromolecular transport, but also roles in signal transduction, development, and cell cycle regulation (Meier and Brkljacic, 2009a, 2009b; Hetzer and Wente, 2009; Dauer and Worman, 2009; Batrakou et al., 2009). Here, we focus on aspects that are not considered in detail in most other reviews, namely ion channels and transporters in the nuclear membranes and ion permeability of NPCs. Despite areas of controversy and uncertainty, accumulating evidence suggests a pivotal role for nuclear ion channels and transporters in signaling pathways that influence processes in the nucleus, which is increasingly regarded as a ‘cell within a cell’ (Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bkaily et al., 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2009). After discussing evidence for nuclear membrane ion channels and transporters and for ion gating by the NPCs, we propose that a systems-level understanding of cellular physiology is likely to require the incorporation of nuclear electrophysiology into biochemical and molecular signaling pathways. We end by suggesting promising experimental approaches and directions for future research.

**PROTEOMICS OF NEs AND NPCs**

Plant NEs and NPCs are not as well characterized as their counterparts in yeast and animal cells (Xu and Meier, 2007; Fiserova et al., 2009). Therefore, we discuss NE and NPC proteins, with an emphasis on ion channels and transporters, in the context of what is known from non-plant systems. Descriptions of additional proteins in the NE and NPC can be found in recent reviews (Batrakou et al., 2009; Dauer and Worman, 2009; Hetzer and Wente, 2009).

**NE Proteome in Mammalian Cells**

Previous biochemical and immunological analyses identified a number of abundant and/or human disease-related proteins of the NE (Dauer and Worman, 2009), but recent high-throughput approaches have greatly expanded the NE proteome by revealing hundreds of proteins in the NE of mammalian cells (Schirmer et al., 2003; Schirmer and Gerace, 2005; Batrakou et al., 2009). A ‘subtractive’ proteomics approach that distinguished NE proteins from microsomal membrane proteins detected many different ion channels and transporters, including channels associated with Ca$^{2+}$ signaling (described in more detail below) as well as Zn$^{2+}$ transporters, Na$^{+}$/H$^{+}$ exchangers, and other ion transporters (Schirmer et al., 2003; Batrakou et al., 2009). The NE proteome thus suggests an unanticipated diversity of ion channels and transporters that co-exist with the well known NPC-associated transport proteins (Batrakou et al., 2009). Whether these ion channels and transporters reside exclusively in the NE is not yet certain in most cases. Many are likely to be present in other cellular membranes given that ~40% of organelar proteins have been found in multiple locations (Foster et al., 2006). Hence, in addition to nucleus-specific functions, the nuclear membranes may to some extent ‘recapitulate’ functions of other organelles (Batrakou et al., 2009). Whether the ion channels and transporters identified in the NE proteome are in the INM or ONM also remains to be determined. However, as described below, the proteomics data are supported by findings of ion channels in the INM and ONM by nuclear patch clamping and other techniques.

**NPC Proteome in Yeast and Mammals**

Early estimates from electron microscopic images suggested that NPCs, which are the most conspicuous structural feature...
of the NE, contain up to 100 distinct proteins (Batrakou et al., 2009). Recent proteome analyses of yeast and mammalian NPCs, however, have reduced this number to approximately 30 different core proteins. The NPC proteins, termed nucleoporins (NUPs), can be divided into three classes (Lim et al., 2008; Hetzer and Wente, 2009; Batrakou et al., 2009). Transmembrane NUPs anchor the NPC to the double membrane system; structural NUPs comprise the inner framework of the NPC; and FG-NUPs, so-called because they contain repeats of phenylalanine (F) and glycine (G), contribute to the permeability properties of the NPC (Terry and Wente, 2009). At least eight copies of each NUP protein are assembled into a doughnut-shaped complex with eight-fold symmetry and an outer diameter of around 120 nm (Lim et al., 2008; Hetzer and Wente, 2009). The channel activities of NPCs are considered below.

Plant NEs and NPCs

Proteomics analyses of plant NEs and NPCs have not yet been carried out but current information is consistent with overall similarity to their counterparts in yeast and mammalian cells (Meier and Brkljacic, 2009a). Most of the NUPs identified in mammals are also present in plants (Xu and Meier, 2007; Meier and Brkljacic, 2009b) and plant NPCs display in electron micrographs the conserved three-dimensional architecture found in other eukaryotes (Fiserova et al., 2009; Meier and Brkljacic, 2009a). Although comprehensive information on the protein composition of the INM and ONM in plants is not yet available, several nuclear membrane ion channels have been identified in plants by nuclear patch clamping and through genetic screens (discussed further below).

**ROUTES OF ION FLUXES AND TRANSPORT AT THE NUCLEAR PERIPHERY**

Because of the unique structure of the NE, there are three possible routes for ion fluxes and transport at the perimeter of the nucleus (Figure 1B): (1) through the NPCs between the cytoplasm and the nucleoplasm; (2) across the ONM between the cytoplasm and perinuclear space; and (3) across the INM between the nucleoplasm and the perinuclear space. The presence of ion channels and transporters in the INM and ONM is generally accepted by researchers in the field whereas the ion permeability of the NPCs remains an area of controversy.

Channels of the NPC

Two types of channel have been associated with the NPC. A large central channel provides the major conduit for bidirectional transport between the nucleoplasm and the cytoplasm. The central channel has an average diameter of ~9–10 nm (Bootman et al., 2009) but can expand to accommodate particles up to ~39 nm in diameter (Panté and Kann, 2002). The conventional view is that the central channel permits passive diffusion of ions, small molecules and proteins (<30–40 kD) and facilitates selective transport of larger proteins and nucleoprotein complexes that carry a signal sequence (Hetzer and Wente, 2009; Meier and Brkljacic, 2009b). FG-NUPs contribute to the size-selectivity of the central channel, perhaps by forming a hydrogel-like meshwork (Frey et al., 2006; Mohr et al., 2009), although other models have also been proposed (Terry and Wente, 2009). Another factor reported to affect the size-selectivity of the central channel is Ca²⁺ depletion from the perinuclear space, which triggers conformational changes in the NPC that reduce the passive diffusion of intermediate-sized molecules (~10–40 kD) (Stehno-Bittel et al., 1995; Wang and Clapham, 1999). Surrounding the central channel are eight smaller peripheral channels (with approximate diameters of 8 nm; Lim et al., 2008), which have been observed in three-dimensional reconstructions of electron microscopic data (Hinshaw et al., 1992). Although the functions of the peripheral channels are still unclear, they are proposed to provide routes for passive diffusion of ions and small molecules (Hinshaw et al., 1992; Shanin et al., 2001; Mazzanti et al., 2001; Kramer et al., 2007).

**The NPC as an Ion Channel**

The ion conductivity of the NPCs has been a contentious issue for decades, primarily because discrepant results are obtained depending on the experimental method and cell type used (Gerasimenko and Gerasimenko, 2004; Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bustamante, 2006). The notion of the NPC as a gated ion channel is difficult to reconcile with the large diameter of the central channel, which has a predicted single channel conductance of ~1 nanosiemens (nS) (Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bootman et al., 2009). A channel of this size should allow free diffusion of small molecules and inorganic ions, which, in their hydrated form, are only several Å in diameter. Moreover, even if the central channel is partially blocked during macromolecular trafficking, it is assumed that the smaller peripheral channels of the NPC would still allow constitutive ion flow (Hinshaw et al., 1992; Kramer et al., 2007; Bootman et al., 2009).

This conventional view of NPC ion permeability, which derives largely from molecular flux data and ultrastructural studies, is challenged by results obtained using electrophysiological methods (Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bustamante, 2006). Early work with microelectrodes measured a high electrical resistance of NEs in certain cell types (e.g. Dipteran salivary glands) but not in others (most notably, oocytes of amphibians and marine invertebrates) (Loewenstein et al., 1966). These findings were later supported by patch clamp studies on isolated animal and plant nuclei, which demonstrated the existence of cation-selective channels with multiple conductances ranging from 50 pS to 1 nS (Mazzanti et al., 1990; Matzke et al., 1990, 1992; Mazzanti, 1998). The wide range of conductance values could reflect substates of a large conductance channel (Matzke et al., 1990) or multiple channels of the same type (Mazzanti et al., 2001). Patch clamp detection of ion channels in nuclei isolated from cells deficient in
internal membranes ((mature avian erythrocytes (Matzke et al., 1990) and immature coconut endosperm (Matzke et al., 1992)) minimized the possibility that the nucleus-associated ion channel activity was due to contamination with other cellular membranes. The ability to obtain high-resistance gigaohm seals during patch clamping in the nucleus-attached mode, when dozens of NPCs may be encompassed by the patch pipette (Mazzanti et al., 2001; Taylor et al., 2009), suggested that the NPCs can exist in a closed state that restricts ion flow (Mazzanti, 1998; Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bustamante, 2006). Further nuclear patch clamping experiments supported the idea that NPCs possess ion channel activity and that the diameter of the channel can be modified by Ca²⁺, ATP, and other factors (Mazzanti, 1998; Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bustamante, 2006).

The possibility of ion impermeable states of the NPC has gained further support from findings on independent regulation of Ca²⁺ in the nuclear compartment. Although the permeability of the NPCs to Ca²⁺ remains controversial (Gerasimenko and Gerasimenko, 2004; Alonso et al., 2006; Mellström et al., 2008; Bootman et al., 2009; Laude and Simpson, 2009), experiments in plant and animal cells have indicated that Ca²⁺ in the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm exhibits distinct and independent signatures in response to a number of stimuli (Brière et al., 2006; Walter et al., 2007; Mazars et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009; Bootman et al., 2009). One interpretation is that the NPCs can be gated for Ca²⁺ (and perhaps other inorganic ions) such that ion flow is blocked under certain circumstances or in specific cell types (Mazzanti, 1998; Mellström et al., 2008; Meier and Brkljacic, 2009b; Taylor et al., 2009). An alternative view holds that the NPCs are, at most, a diffusion barrier for Ca²⁺ and create a kinetic delay in equilibration of Ca²⁺ in the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments (Bootman et al., 2009). This alternative interpretation, however, relies in part on results from experiments performed on amphibian oocytes (Danker et al., 1999), which were shown in early work to have NEs with an exceptionally low electrical resistance (Loewenstein et al., 1966).

The ion permeability of NPCs thus remains an open question. Hypothetical gating mechanisms by which the NPCs might regulate ion conductance invoke a double iris mechanism (Akey, 1990) that can adopt a spectrum of ion conductance states including completely closed (Bustamante, 2006). (Note that the iris-like structures proposed for the central channel by Akey (1990) differ from those suggested for the 'nuclear basket', a filamentous structure projecting into the nucleoplasm from the NPC (Stoffler et al., 1999; Bustamante, 2006).) Variations on the iris-diaphragm theme consider separate contributions by the central channel and peripheral channels, which may have distinct gating mechanisms, in regulating ion flow through the NPC (Mazzanti, 1998). Clearly, the elaborate architecture of the NPC endows it with complex transport properties that remain incompletely understood (Lim et al., 2008). To ultimately judge the full ion conductance characteristics of NPCs, further investigations using advanced electrophysiological tools and optical probes (Scanziani and Häusser, 2009) need to be carried out on nuclei from multiple cell types grown under various conditions.

### Ion Channels in the INM and ONM

Irrespective of the ion permeability properties of NPCs, ion gradients can be generated and maintained across either the INM or ONM, with ions stored in and released from the perinuclear space (Figure 1A and 1B). In addition to their identification in proteomics analyses (see above), ion channels and transporters in the INM and ONM have been discovered using genetic, immunological, pharmacological, and electrophysiological approaches. The most comprehensive results concern Ca²⁺ ions and there is now convincing evidence, particularly from animal cells, for the presence of Ca²⁺ channels and transporters in the nuclear membranes.

### Calcium

As a second messenger in signal transduction pathways, Ca²⁺ regulates a variety of processes in animal and plant cells. At rest, free Ca²⁺ is normally kept at a low concentration (~100 nM) in the cytoplasm and nucleoplasm but can be released in response to various stimuli from intracellular stores, including the ER and perinuclear space, through intracellular Ca²⁺ release channels (Laude and Simpson, 2009). The concentration of Ca²⁺ in the perinuclear space is likely to be similar to that in the lumen of the ER, which is estimated to be 100–300 μM (Grygorczyk and Grygorczyk, 1998). Several recent reviews have focused on nuclear Ca²⁺ signaling in animal (Bootman et al., 2009) and plant cells (Mazars et al., 2008; McAinsh and Pittman, 2009). Nuclear Ca²⁺ can directly or indirectly regulate gene transcription (Kim et al., 2009; Mellström et al., 2008) and influence cell-cycle regulation and other nuclear processes (Bootman et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2009). Although there are still unresolved questions about the initiation of Ca²⁺ signals within the nuclear compartment (Gerasimenko and Gerasimenko, 2004; Bootman et al., 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2009), the presence of the required channel and transport machinery in NEs argues for an autonomous Ca²⁺ signaling system in the nucleus (Mazars et al., 2008; Bootman et al., 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2009).

Major intracellular Ca²⁺ release channels in animal cells are inositol (1,4,5)-triphosphate receptors (IP₃Rs) and the related ryanodine receptors (RyRs) (Gerasimenko and Gerasimenko, 2004; Bootman et al., 2009). IP₃Rs have been detected by biochemical and immunological methods in both the INM and ONM of animal cells (Humbert et al., 1996; Bootman et al., 2009) (Figure 1C). Those in the INM enable the release of Ca²⁺ from perinuclear stores directly into the nucleoplasm (Humbert et al., 1996; Bootman et al., 2009; Taylor et al., 2009). Functional RyRs have been localized to the INM by immunological techniques (Gerasimenko and Gerasimenko, 2004). Ca²⁺-ATPases and inositol 1,3,4,5-tetrakisphosphate-operated Ca²⁺ channels, which replenish the Ca²⁺ store in the perinuclear space, have been detected in the ONM but not yet in the INM of animal cells (Humbert et al., 1996; Mazars...
species and the roles of IP3Rs in initiating the presence of various Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in yeast (Echevarria et al., 2003; Marius et al., 2006; Bootman et al., 2009) (Figure 1C).

Nuclear and cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) can also be regulated independently in plant cells, but the channels involved have not yet been defined (Mazars et al., 2008; McAinsh and Pittman, 2009). There is considerable evidence that IP\(_3\) signaling influences many processes in plants, including stomatal guard cell closure, pollen tube elongation, and responses to various abiotic stresses (Krinke et al., 2007; Wheeler and Brownlee, 2008). Surprisingly, however, genes encoding recognizable homologs to animal IP\(_3\)Rs and RyR channels have not been found in sequenced land plant genomes (Wheeler and Brownlee, 2008; McAinsh and Pittman, 2009; Ward et al., 2009). Identifying the genes involved in IP\(_3\) signaling in land plants is thus an important goal for the future (Krinke et al., 2007).

Interestingly, the genome of the unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* encodes an IP\(_3\)R and several other Ca\(^{2+}\) channels found only in animals (Wheeler and Brownlee, 2008; Ward et al., 2009). Although their functions and sub-cellular locations are unknown, the presence of these animal-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in *C. reinhardtii*, which has been referred to as a ‘green animal’ (Ward et al., 2009), suggests that the channels were present in ancestral eukaryotes and eliminated during the evolution of land plants (Wheeler and Brownlee, 2008). Assessing the presence of various Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in other green algal species and the roles of IP\(_3\)Rs in *C. reinhardtii* should lead to an improved understanding of the functions and evolution of Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in plants (Wheeler and Brownlee, 2008).

**Nuclear Membrane Channels for Other Ions**

Nuclear patch clamping has detected different types of K\(^{+}\) channels of varying conductance in the NE of animal cells (Guihard et al., 2000; Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bkaily et al., 2009) (Figure 1C). In principle, patch clamping of intact nuclei should primarily detect channels in the ONM (Bkaily et al., 2009), although channels in the INM may also be accessible to the patch pipette when isolated nuclear membranes are incorporated into proteoliposomes (Matzke et al., 1990; Guihard et al., 2000). Membrane potentials created by K\(^{+}\) channels across the INM and ONM could regulate other nuclear membrane voltage-dependent ion channels, such as certain Ca\(^{2+}\) and chloride channels (Bkaily et al., 2009). Several chloride channels, which may participate in osmotic volume regulation of the nucleus, have been detected in the NE of rat liver nuclei by patch clamp analysis (Guihard et al., 2000; Mazzanti et al., 2001; Bkaily et al., 2009) (Figure 1C). Although the nuclear patch clamp results are basically compatible with the NE proteome reported for mammalian cells (Schirmer et al., 2003; Batrakou et al., 2009), additional work is required to match the ion channel activities detected by nuclear patch clamping with specific ion channels identified in the proteomics analysis.

Because many plant ion channel families have homologs in animals (Ward et al., 2009), some of the NE channels detected by nuclear patch clamping in animals may eventually be shown to have counterparts in plant cells. Nuclear patch clamping identified a cation-selective, Ca\(^{2+}\)- and voltage-dependent channel in the NE of red beet (Grygorczyk and Grygorczyk, 1998). The NE channel was affected only by changes in nucleoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\), not cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\), and was therefore suggested to be specific for Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent processes in the nucleus. Further work is required to clarify the function and location of this channel in the NE. A somewhat similar channel was detected by patch clamping of ER membranes from red beet taproots (Brito-Argáez et al., 2008). Given the continuity between the ER and ONM, this channel is likely to also be in the ONM, but additional experiments are needed to verify this supposition.

**NUCLEAR MEMBRANE ION CHANNELS AND PERINUCLEAR Ca\(^{2+}\) OSCILLATIONS IN ROOT NODULE DEVELOPMENT**

The Rhizobium–legume endosymbiotic association illustrates beautifully the key role of nuclear membrane ion channels in a signal transduction pathway that reprograms gene expression and cell identity (Oldroyd and Downie, 2008; Crespi and Frugler, 2008). Nodulation (Nod) factors produced by rhizobial bacteria in the soil interact with the surface of root hair cells to initiate a cascade of signaling events, including perinuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations, which culminate in the expression of early nodulin genes through a Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated transcriptional pathway. The outcome is the development of a specialized organ, the root nodule, in which the bacteria fix nitrogen and utilize nutrients synthesized by the plant. Forward genetic screens for nodulation-defective mutants identified several related cation channels that are essential for perinuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations: DM11 (DOESN’T MAKE INFECTIONS1) in *Medicago truncatula*, CASTOR and PULLOUX in *Lotus japonicus*, and SYM8 (SYMBIO-SIS8) in *Pisum sativum* (Oldroyd and Downie, 2008). Deposition in the NE has been demonstrated for DM11 (Riely et al., 2007) and for CASTOR and PULLOUX (Charpentier et al., 2008) but whether these nuclear ion channels are concentrated in the INM or ONM remains to be determined.

It is still not known how DM11, CASTOR, PULLOUX, and related proteins contribute to perinuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations. DM11 does not seem to be a Ca\(^{2+}\) channel but experiments in yeast suggest that it may help to regulate Ca\(^{2+}\) release (Peiter et al., 2007). CASTOR and PULLOUX are cation channels that appear to have a preference for K\(^{+}\) over Ca\(^{2+}\) (Charpentier et al., 2008). Perhaps CASTOR and PULLOUX generate a K\(^{+}\) gradient across the INM and/or ONM, which triggers the opening of unidentified voltage-sensitive Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in the NE (Oldroyd and Downie, 2008; Charpentier et al., 2008) (Figure 1D). A recent study used a nuclear-localized cameleon, which is a genome-encoded, fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor (Allan et al., 1999; Nagai et al., 2004; Palmer and Tsien, 2006), to study the spatial distribution of perinuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in root hair cells of...
Intriguingly, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations were observed to occur on both sides of the NE (Sieberer et al., 2009) (Figure 1D). Further work is needed to unravel the individual contributions of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations in the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments to Nod factor signaling, and the identities and locations of the ion channels involved.

In addition to nuclear membrane ion channels, two NPC proteins, NUP85 and NUP133, have also been identified in forward genetic screens for nodulation-defective mutants (Kanamori et al., 2006; Saito et al., 2007). The roles of NUP85 and NUP133 in Nod factor signaling are uncertain, but both proteins are necessary for perinuclear Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations. One hypothesis is that they modulate the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} permeability of the NPCs (Figure 1D) but other possibilities, such as facilitating import of ion channels into the nucleus, have also been suggested (Kanamori et al., 2006; Saito et al., 2007; Oldroyd and Downie, 2008).

SYSTEMS BIOLOGY: INTEGRATING ELECTRICAL, MOLECULAR, AND BIOCHEMICAL SIGNALLING

The ultimate aim of systems biology is to understand the dynamic networks of regulation and interactions that allows cells and organisms to live in a highly interactive environment (Latterich, 2005). Achieving this goal is likely to require knowledge of bioelectrical controls and how they interface with molecular and biochemical signaling pathways (Elson, 2007; McCaig et al., 2009; Blackiston et al., 2009). Examples are emerging in which nuclear electrophysiology is embedded within the broader context of cellular signaling. One of the most compelling cases is Nod factor signaling in legumes, which represents a paradigm for nuclear electrophysiological changes occurring within a signal transduction pathway that initiates at the plasma membrane and ends in the nucleus with changes in gene transcription.

The most important contributions of nuclear membrane ion channel and transporters to signaling and gene expression are likely to involve regulating free ion concentrations in the nucleoplasm and generating ion gradients across the INM and ONM. In addition to the well known ability of nuclear free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to directly or indirectly regulate soluble transcription factors (Mellström et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009), recent studies have forged a more direct functional link between ion channels and gene transcription by showing that some transcription factors act in molecular complexes containing voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (Kaczmarek, 2006; Barbado et al., 2009). Ion gradients across cellular membranes create electric fields that can regulate the activities of voltage-gated channels and transporters as well as membrane-bound enzymes and other proteins that possess voltage-sensing domains (Murata et al., 2005; Bezanilla, 2008). Regulation by membrane electric fields has been considered for plasma membrane proteins but the same principles can also be applied to nuclear membrane proteins (Olivotto et al., 1996; Matzke and Matzke, 1991, 1996). Further insight into how membrane potentials regulate cell homeostasis is likely to emerge from discoveries of new types of voltage sensor in membrane proteins (Bezanilla, 2008) and novel ways to couple the voltage sensors of ion channels to biochemical reactions (Kaczmarek, 2006).

Membrane electric fields can directly affect the genome by altering the charge transfer properties of DNA (Elson, 2007; Jakobsson and Stafström, 2009), which are important for signaling in repair of oxidative damage and transcription factor binding (Merino et al., 2008). Electrical continuity between the plasma membrane and nuclear membranes may be established by intracellular membranes and cytoskeletal elements, allowing signals at the cell surface to be rapidly conveyed to the nucleus (Matzke and Matzke, 1991; Shemer et al., 2008). Cellular-wide electric fields that can connect remote parts of the cell are being mapped using newly developed nanotechnological devices (Tyner et al., 2007). Electrical stimulation of plant cells can trigger important biological responses, including cell division, polarization, and morphogenesis, which implies an electrical influence on nuclear activities (Montané and Teissié, 1992; Peng and Jaffe, 1976; Carmen, 2006). These concepts and novel experimental approaches are likely to increase awareness of the electrical dimension of the NE and how it may be incorporated into the broader context of systems biology.

FUTURE EXPERIMENTAL DIRECTIONS

Nuclear electrophysiology in plants is a young field that offers many challenging problems for future research. One priority is determining the plant NE proteome to identify the full ion channel and transporter inventory of the INM and ONM. For these experiments, it will be important to obtain pure nuclear membrane preparations. A promising source for initial studies is the liquid endosperm of immature coconuts, which contains free-floating nuclei that have proven useful for patch clamp experiments (Matzke et al., 1992; Bustamante, 2006) as well as enzymatic and respiratory studies (Cutter et al., 1952a, 1952b, 1955; Wilson and Cutter, 1952). The sequence of the coconut genome, which will be needed for protein identification, may soon be available given the rapid pace of genome sequencing efforts (e.g. the oil palm genome has recently been completed (Schill, 2009)). The proteomics analysis should eventually be extended to other cell types, since findings in mammalian cells indicate that the NE proteome displays cell-type specificity (Batrakou et al., 2009). In addition, the protein composition of the INM and ONM may also vary because of intracellular protein trafficking that occurs in the physiological context of the cell. Transient association of channels, transporters, and regulators with the nuclear membranes may dramatically affect the permeability of the nucleus to ions and other molecules.

To study ion channel activity in nuclear membranes, it will be essential to develop methods for cleanly separating the INM from ONM, which can then be used individually for patch clamping and other electrophysiological analyses in vitro.
Determining the ionic compositions and concentrations of distinct nuclear compartments will allow estimates of the direction of ion flow. Additional measurements of the nuclear electrical potential compared to the cytoplasm in different cell types may reveal cell-type-specific values (Loewenstein et al., 1966) similar to those found for plasma membrane potential (Levin, 2007).

In silico studies have identified a number of ion channels and transporters in Arabidopsis with a predicted nuclear localization signal (NLS), which suggests deposition in the INM (Matzke et al., 2001). Although the mechanisms by which proteins are localized to the INM are still under investigation (Lusk et al., 2007), around two-thirds of INM proteins in mammals have a predicted NLS (Zuleger et al., 2008) indicating the general importance of these sequences for INM targeting. However, proteins that lack a canonical NLS can also be localized to the INM. Nurim, for example, is tightly associated with the INM in mammalian cells despite the absence of either a recognizable NLS or a hydrophilic N-terminal domain found in many mammalian INM proteins (Hofmeister and O’Hare, 2005). The predicted NLSs of DND1 (DEFENSE NO DEATH1), a cyclic nucleotide-gated cation channel important for pathogen responses in Arabidopsis, has been shown to target soluble green fluorescent protein (GFP) to the nucleus (Matzke et al., 2009). Further work is required to determine whether DND1 is indeed a nuclear ion channel. Signals specific for ONM proteins have not been identified, but ER localization signals (Cutler et al., 2000) should suffice for ONM targeting.

closer so that FRET can occur. The VSFP is an integral membrane protein containing four transmembrane domains (linked bars in INM and ONM). The voltage-sensitive fourth transmembrane helix (S4; red bar) is fused to CFP and YFP. When the membrane potential changes (ΔV), S4 rotates, aligning CFP and YFP so that FRET occurs. The concentric rings of the INM and ONM, with the intervening perinuclear space and continuity of the ONM to the ER, are shown. For simplicity, the NPCs are omitted.

(B) Roots of Arabidopsis plants transformed with cameleon lacking an NLS display diffuse fluorescence throughout the root (top). When joined to an NLS, cameleon is concentrated in nuclei (bottom). Nuclear localization was achieved by inserting three copies of the SV40 NLS between a 35S promoter and the cameleon coding sequence (A.J.M. Matzke, unpublished work). A nucleoplasmintagged cameleon has been localized to the nucleus in M. truncatula root hair cells (Sieberer et al., 2009). Bar indicates 100 μm.

(C) VSFP (blue–yellow fluorescence) accumulating at the NE in Arabidopsis root cells. VSFP1, the first prototype of VSFP (Sakai et al., 2001), has been expressed in transgenic Arabidopsis plants under the control of the 35S promoter and a plant transcriptional terminator. The VSFP1 localizes to the plasma membrane and most internal membranes, including (sporadically) the NE, as shown here. Targeting of VSFP to the INM and ONM is still under development, as is the adaptation for plants of second and third-generation VSFPs that have enhanced voltage sensitivity (Perron et al., 2009) (A.J.M. Matzke, unpublished results). The red dots represent transgene loci that are tagged with red fluorescent protein (Matzke et al., 2010), which will allow correlations between changes in nuclear Ca**2+** and/or INM potential and transgene position in interphase nuclei. Bar indicates 5 μm.
Nuclear localization of ion channels and transporters can be confirmed by targeting GFP-fusion proteins to the NE in transformed cells or by immuno-localization (Schirmer and Gerace, 2005). These approaches, however, are not perfect. For example, overexpression of fusion proteins can lead to accumulation in other membranes (Schirmer and Gerace, 2005). Overexpression of GFP-fusion proteins from the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter may explain initial findings that CASTOR and POLLUX are present in plastids of root cells (Imaizumi-Anraku et al., 2005) whereas subsequent immuno-gold labeling and electron microscopy established their location in the NE (Charpentier et al., 2008). The latter is the method of choice for determining sub-nuclear locations of ion channels and transporter proteins if antibodies to the native protein are available or if GFP-fusion proteins are expressed from the endogenous promoter. However, immuno-gold labeling is not trivial, as fixation methods for electron microscopy do not always preserve the structure of nuclear membranes (Charpentier et al., 2008). Ion channels and transporters that have confirmed INM or ONM locations can be functionally analyzed in Arabidopsis using the extensive T-DNA insertion collections that are available, and requirements for NE localization investigated using site-directed mutagenesis to disrupt putative NLSs or other targeting signals.

Advances in nuclear electrophysiology can be expected from increased use of nuclear-targeted, genome-encoded sensors that can monitor changes in nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) and INM or ONM electrical potential in real time in living plants (Figure 2A). As mentioned previously, nuclear-localized camelon has been used to demonstrate that Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations occur on both sides of the NE during Nod factor signaling in M. truncatula root hair cells (Sieberer et al., 2009). A nuclear-localized cameleon line has been established in Arabidopsis (Figure 2B) and can be used, for example, to study nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) changes in roots exposed to the beneficial fungus Piriformospora indica (Vadassery and Oelmüller, 2009). Given the success in identifying the nuclear ion channels DMI1, CASTOR, POLLUX, and SYMB in forward genetic screens in legumes, it may be possible to design forward genetic screens using the Arabidopsis nuclear cameleon line to identify factors important for nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in development and stress responses.

It should eventually be possible to investigate changes in electrical potential across the INM and ONM using genome-encoded, voltage-sensitive fluorescent proteins (VSFP) (Sakai et al., 2001; Perron et al., 2009) provided the VSFP can be targeted preferentially to these membranes (Figure 2A and 2C). Both the nuclear-localized cameleon and VSFP lines can be used in conjunction with fluorescent-tagged transgenes (Matzke et al., 2010) to study correlations between changes in nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\), INM potential, and chromatin dynamics (Figure 2C).

CONCLUSIONS

The NE is arguably the most complex organellar membrane in the cell (Batrakou et al., 2009) and the one positioned to directly influence the nuclear genome in a variety of ways. Nuclear electrophysiology offers enormous opportunities for understanding signal transduction pathways that traverse the NE to modulate gene expression and other nuclear processes. By now, there is ample evidence for independent nuclear Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling; however, knowledge of the ion channel and transport capabilities of the NE is likely to grow as researchers focus on the nuclear membrane system and consider ions other than Ca\(^{2+}\) as second messengers in cellular signaling (Orlov and Hamet, 2006). Reassessing the ion permeability of NPCs seems appropriate given the enhanced regulatory capability inherent in establishing distinct ion environments in the nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments. In the future, we can expect that continued technological improvements, conceptual innovations, and the development of suitable experimental systems will accelerate research and expand our knowledge of the elusive electrophysiological aspects of the nucleus.
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