Bereavement outcomes in family members of those who died in acute care hospitals before and during the first wave of COVID-19: A cohort study
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Abstract
Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has caused millions of deaths worldwide, leading to symptoms of grief among the bereaved. Neither the burden of severe grief nor its predictors are fully known within the context of the pandemic.
Aim: To determine the prevalence and predictors of severe grief in family members who were bereaved early in the COVID-19 pandemic.
Design: Prospective, matched cohort study.
Setting/Participants: Family members of people who died in an acute hospital in Ottawa, Canada between November 1, 2019 and August 31, 2020. We matched relatives of patients who died of COVID (COVID+ve) with those who died of non-COVID illness either during wave 1 of the pandemic (COVID −ve) or immediately prior to its onset (pre-COVID). We abstracted decedents’ medical records, contacted family members >6 months post loss, and assessed grief symptoms using the Inventory of Complicated Grief-revised.
Results: We abstracted data for 425 decedents (85 COVID+ve, 170 COVID −ve, and 170 pre-COVID), and 110 of 165 contacted family members (67%) consented to participate. Pre-COVID family members were physically present more in the last 48 h of life; the COVID+ve cohort were more present virtually. Overall, 35 family members (28.9%) had severe grief symptoms, and the prevalence was similar among the cohorts (p = 0.91). Grief severity was not correlated with demographic factors, physical presence in the final 48 h of life, intubation, or relationship with the deceased.
Conclusion: Severe grief is common among family members bereaved during the COVID-19 pandemic, regardless of the cause or circumstances of death, and even if their loss took place before the onset of the pandemic. This suggests that aspects of the pandemic itself contribute to severe grief, and factors that normally mitigate grief may not be as effective.
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Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused millions of deaths worldwide and affected end-of-life care through family separation due to visitor restrictions and public health measures, disruption of funeral rites, and restriction of social engagement during bereavement.1–13 Normally, death produces a grief response in family members and friends; severe grief, marked by profound emotional response and social dysfunction, is generally uncommon following a loss (2%–3%).3 However, the combination of increased mortality (both COVID and non-COVID related) and disrupted end of life care during the pandemic could lead to a surge in severe grief. Early studies have suggested a high prevalence (29%–70%) of severe grief among those bereaved in the COVID-19 pandemic,4–8 but many of these studies have used convenience sampling, and focused on early bereavement (<6 months), before pathological grief can be diagnosed.3,9,10 Most also included only those bereaved due to COVID-19, precluding comparative analyses of how grief symptoms were influenced by the cause of death and the effect of restrictions.

To address these gaps in our knowledge, we sought to determine the prevalence and predictors of severe grief in a multicenter, prospectively-defined cohort of family members bereaved due to COVID-19 during the first wave of the pandemic in Ottawa, Canada, and compare the severity of their grief to family members of non-COVID and pre-pandemic decedent cohorts.

Methods

Design and setting. Prospective multicenter matched cohort study of family members of inpatient, acute care decedents in Ottawa (population 1.4 million) between November 1, 2019 and August 31, 2020. Ottawa’s three acute care hospitals and early pandemic details are described in the Appendix. The first reported case of COVID in Ottawa was diagnosed March 11, 2020.

Population

The first cohort (COVID +ve) included all 85 patients who died of COVID-19 infection at an acute hospital between March 1 and August 31, 2020. The second cohort (COVID –ve) included patients who died of a cause other than COVID-19, between March 1 and August 31, 2020. The third cohort (Pre-COVID) included patients who died of any cause between November 1, 2019 and February 29, 2020. The COVID –ve and pre-COVID cohort was matched 2:1 with the COVID +ve cohort patients for sex, age (within 5 years), and medical service at death (ICU or ward) for statistical comparison.

Enrollment and data collection

Data about clinical care, demographics, and physical or virtual visits were abstracted from decedents’ medical records; 154 (35%) underwent duplicate abstraction to confirm accuracy. Decedents’ clinical data will be reported separately. Phone contact was attempted with the next-of-kin >6 monthsafter...
death to offer enrollment; additional close family members were offered enrollment if they expressed a strong, spontaneous desire to participate (e.g. if the deceased had multiple children, each with a strong desire to participate). We collected demographic data from the next-of-kin; we assessed closeness to the deceased using the 16-item Brief Family Relationship Scale (BFRS; higher scores indicate a closer relationship); and used the Inventory for Complicated Grief-revised (ICG-r) to determine the presence of severe grief (ICG score >25). We also collected data about spirituality, previous mental illness, and the involvement of social supports or other interventions to reduce grief symptoms; these will be reported separately.

Analysis
Clinical and other characteristics were compared according to COVID group, as well as between participating and non-participating family members, using a chi-square test for categorical variables, and an ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables, as appropriate. We evaluated associations between severe grief and COVID status and other known factors associated with grief severity, including family relationship, physical presence in the last 48 h of life, and intubation status using bivariate logistic regression, reporting unadjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). There was no missing data for the parameters included in the analyses. We hypothesized that grief symptoms would be worse among those bereaved during the pandemic than those bereaved pre-pandemic, but that symptoms would be similar between COVID and non-COVID deaths in the pandemic.

Ethics
This study was approved by the Research Ethics Boards at all participating hospitals: Ottawa Health Science Network-REB (20200653-01H, December 18th 2020); Hôpital Montfort REB (20-21-10-032, December 2nd 2020); and Queensway Carleton Hospital REB (20-06, December 1st 2020).

Results
Data were abstracted for 425 decedents (85 COVID +ve, 170 COVID –ve, and 170 pre-COVID). Of the 425 next-of-kin approached, 165 (38.8%) were contacted and 110/165 (67%) consented to enrollment (Figure 1). A second and third family member subsequently enrolled (at their request) in 10 and 1 cases, respectively, for a total of 121 bereaved family members (30 (24.8%) COVID +ve, 46 (38.0%) COVID –ve, and 45 (37.2%) pre-COVID). There was no significant difference in measured patient characteristics (death location, intubation rate, age, sex, referral source, duration of stay, or number of interactions with the patient or family) between participating and non-participating family members (data not shown).

The mean age of the family members was 58.4 years, and the majority were Caucasian (76%), female (66%), married (44%) or widowed (21%), and the child of a decedent (62%) (Table 1). The mean BFRS score was 14/16, suggesting a close relationship to the deceased, and 79% were physically present in the final 48 h of life. Family members in the pre-COVID cohort were physically present in the last 48 h of life more frequently than those in the COVID +ve and COVID –ve cohorts (97.8% vs 56.7% and 73.9%, respectively; p < 0.01), whereas virtual presence occurred more frequently in the COVID +ve cohort than in the COVID –ve and pre-COVID cohorts (40% vs 13% and 0%, respectively; p < 0.01). Cohorts did not differ in relation to ICU admission, endotracheal intubation, age, sex, service, or length of stay.

Overall, complicated grief was observed at >6 months post-death in 9/30 (30%) relatives of COVID +ve decedents, compared to 14/46 (30.4%) and 12/45 (26.7%) of
Table 1. Demographics of participants and care details of decedents.

|                              | Overall n = 121 | Pre-COVID n = 45 | COVID −ve n = 46 | COVID + ve n = 30 | p-Value |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| Participant age              | Mean (SD)       | 58.4 (14.7)      | 56.1 (14.4)      | 63.0 (13.8)      | 55.1 (15.2) | 0.03    |
| Participant sex              | Male            | 41               | 13 (28.9)        | 18 (39.1)        | 10 (33.3) | 0.59    |
|                              | Female          | 80               | 32 (71.1)        | 28 (60.9)        | 20 (66.7) |         |
| Participant ethnicity        | Caucasian       | 92               | 33 (73.3)        | 40 (87.0)        | 19 (63.3) | 0.8     |
|                              | Asian           | 13               | 6 (13.3)         | 2 (4.3)          | 5 (16.7)  |         |
|                              | African, Caribbean, or non-specified Black | 7 | 2 (4.4) | 3 (6.5) | 2 (6.7) |         |
|                              | Latin, Central, and South American | 4 | 0 (0.0) | 1 (2.2) | 3 (10.0) |         |
|                              | Indigenous (First Nations, Métis, non-status) | 3 | 3 (6.7) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) |         |
|                              | Mixed (Jamaican and French, German and Jamaican) | 2 | 1 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (3.3) |         |
| Participant education        | Did not complete high school | 1 | 1 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0.72 |
|                              | High school (some or all) | 26 | 8 (17.8) | 12 (26.1) | 6 (20.0) |         |
|                              | Post-secondary education | 94 | 36 (80.0) | 34 (73.9) | 24 (80.0) |         |
| Participant marital status   | Single          | 20               | 9 (20.0)         | 5 (10.9)         | 6 (20.0) | 0.1     |
|                              | Common-law      | 11               | 4 (8.9)          | 6 (13.0)         | 1 (3.3)  |         |
|                              | Married         | 53               | 18 (40.0)        | 20 (43.5)        | 15 (50.0) |         |
|                              | Separated       | 4                | 0 (0.0)          | 1 (2.2)          | 3 (10.0) |         |
|                              | Divorced        | 8                | 6 (13.3)         | 2 (4.3)          | 0 (0.0)  |         |
|                              | Widowed         | 25               | 8 (17.8)         | 12 (26.1)        | 5 (16.7) |         |
| Relationship to deceased     | Spouse/partner  | 24               | 8 (17.8)         | 12 (26.1)        | 4 (13.3) | 0.91    |
|                              | Child           | 75               | 28 (62.2)        | 27 (58.7)        | 20 (66.7) |         |
|                              | Sibling         | 9                | 4 (8.9)          | 2 (4.3)          | 3 (10.0) |         |
|                              | Friend          | 2                | 1 (2.2)          | 1 (2.2)          | 0 (0.0)  |         |
|                              | Other           | 11               | 4 (8.9)          | 4 (8.7)          | 3 (10.0) |         |
| BFRS total                   | Mean (SD)       | 14.0 (2.2)       | 14.0 (1.8)       | 14.0 (2.1)       | 13.9 (2.9) | 0.98    |
| Physical presence of family in the last 48 h of life | Yes | 95 | 44 (97.8) | 34 (73.9) | 17 (56.7) | <0.0001 |
|                              | No             | 26               | 1 (2.2)          | 12 (26.1)        | 13 (43.3) |         |
| Virtual presence of family in the last 48 h of life | Yes | 18 | 0 (0.0) | 6 (13.0) | 12 (40.0) | <0.0001 |
|                              | No             | 103              | 45 (100.0)       | 40 (87.0)        | 18 (60.0) |         |
| Physical/in person interaction between the team and family in the last 5 days of life | Mean (SD) | 3.0 (3.3) | 4.0 (3.6) | 2.6 (2.9) | 2.0 (3.2) | 0.02 |
|                              | Median (IQR)    | 2.0 (1–4)        | 3 (2–5)          | 2 (0–3)          | 1 (0–2) | <0.0001 |

(Continued)
|                          | Overall $n = 121$ | Pre-COVID $n = 45$ | COVID $-$ve $n = 46$ | COVID $+$ve $n = 30$ | $p$-Value |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Phone call interaction between the team and family in the last 5 days of life | Mean (SD) | 2.3 (2.4) | 0.8 (0.9) | 2.3 (2.0) | 4.5 (2.8) | $<0.0001$ |
|                          | Median (IQR)     | 1 (0–3)           | 1 (0–1)             | 2 (1–3)             | 4.5 (2–6) | $<0.0001$ |
| Virtual interaction between the team and family in the last 5 days of life | Mean (SD) | 0.2 (0.7) | 0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.5) | 0.5 (1.2) | 0.003     |
|                          | Median (IQR)     | 0 (0–0)           | 0 (0–0)             | 0 (0–0)             | 0 (0–0)   | 0.004     |
| ICU admission            | Yes              | 39                | 17 (37.8)           | 15 (32.6)           | 7 (23.3)  | 0.42      |
|                          | No               | 82                | 28 (62.2)           | 31 (67.4)           | 23 (76.7) |           |
| Intubation               | Yes              | 25                | 13 (28.9)           | 10 (21.7)           | 2 (6.7)   | 0.06      |
|                          | No               | 96                | 32 (71.1)           | 36 (78.3)           | 28 (93.3) |           |
| Decedent age             | Mean (SD)        | 80.1 (13.1)       | 78.9 (13.2)         | 80.6 (14.9)         | 81.2 (9.7) | 0.73      |
|                          | Decedent sex     | Male              | 67                  | 25 (55.6)           | 29 (63.0) | 13 (43.3) | 0.24      |
|                          | Female           | 54                | 20 (44.4)           | 17 (37.0)           | 17 (56.8) |           |
| Death disposition        | Medicine         | 88                | 29 (64.4)           | 34 (73.9)           | 25 (83.3) | 0.19      |
|                          | ICU              | 33                | 16 (35.6)           | 12 (26.1)           | 5 (16.7)  |           |
| Days in hospital         | Mean (SD)        | 12.0 (18.0)       | 8.7 (7.8)           | 15.1 (24.7)         | 12.3 (16.6) | 0.25     |
|                          | Median (IQR)     | 6 (2–16)          | 7 (2–13)            | 6 (2–17)            | 6 (4–13)  | 0.76      |

BFRS: Brief Family Relationship Scale.
The COVID cohort of family members was likely affected by symptoms mediated this effect. Unlike these studies, we examined a model that the unexpectedness of the COVID-19 deaths due to other causes of death, and they found in a multivariable analysis that bereaved due to COVID-19 were more severe than for relatives of ICU decedents (another high risk group) had multicenter Canadian study in which only 19% of family members who experienced bereavement during the early COVID-19 pandemic had symptoms of severe grief. Most were physically present around the time of death, and symptom severity was not associated with any measured factor related to family members or patient care, nor did it vary according to whether the decedent died of COVID-19 or a non-COVID illness. Grief symptoms were equally high even among those who lost family prior to the pandemic, but went through their grief experience during the pandemic. Grief severity was also not affected by the pandemic’s social restrictions during their bereavement. Murata et al.7 found that loneliness during the pandemic was a substantial driver of psychiatric morbidity, particularly among adolescents and young adults. If so, our findings suggest that ongoing social restrictions during the pandemic may have interrupted the normal process of grieving such that even low-risk family members who were present and well-supported at the time of an expected death, or those who lost family prior to the pandemic, are at elevated risk of developing severe grief.

This study’s strengths include the prospective design, and enrollment of family members of acute care decedents representative of an urban population of >1 million people. Our findings may therefore be less biased than those from convenience samples, which reported higher prevalence of severe symptoms.4–8 We also waited >6 months from the death; diagnoses of Complicated Grief and either Persistent Complex Bereavement Disorder or Prolonged Grief Disorder can only be made after 63 and 12 months,9 respectively. Earlier assessments may overestimate the burden of severe grief. Finally, we compared symptoms among family members of matched cohorts of patients to better understand how grief related to the cause of death, the care provided, the ability of the family to be present at the time of death, and the availability of normal social activities during the grieving process.

Limitations include an enrollment restricted to family members of acute care decedents, most early COVID-19-related deaths in Canada occurred among long-term care residents.18 Moreover, our contact and response rate was low, which is unavoidable in bereavement studies; we cannot exclude a participation bias. Our definition of severe grief may differ from that used in other studies; for example, Eisma et al.17 reported that grief symptoms among those bereaved due to COVID-19 were more severe than for other causes of death, and they found in a multivariable model that the unexpectedness of the COVID-19 deaths mediated this effect. Unlike these studies, we examined symptoms >6 months post-loss and so even our pre-COVID cohort of family members was likely affected by the pandemic’s social restrictions during their bereavement.

Table 2. Association between severe grief and participant characteristics and care details.

|                                 | ICG-R total >25 | ICG-R total ≤25 | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | p-Value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------|
| COVID status                    |                 |                 |                        |         |
| Pre-covid                       | 12 (26.7)       | 33 (73.3)       | 1.00 (Ref)             | 0.91    |
| COVID −ve                       | 14 (30.4)       | 32 (69.6)       | 1.20 (0.48, 2.99)      |         |
| COVID + ve                      | 9 (30.0)        | 21 (70.0)       | 1.18 (0.42, 3.28)      |         |
| BFRS Mean (SD)                  |                 |                 |                        |         |
| Physical presence of family in the last 48 h of life |                 |                 |                        |         |
| Yes                             | 13.8 (2.4)      | 14.0 (2.1)      | 0.96 (0.81, 1.14)      | 0.62    |
| No                              | 5 (19.2)        | 21 (80.8)       | 0.52 (0.18, 1.50)      | 0.22    |
| Intubation                      |                 |                 |                        |         |
| Yes                             | 8 (32.0)        | 17 (68.0)       | 1.20 (0.46, 3.11)      | 0.7     |
| No                              | 27 (28.1)       | 69 (71.9)       | 1.00 (Ref)             |         |

BFRS: Brief Family Relationship Scale; ICG-R: Inventory of Complicated Grief-Revised.
years. A brief, 5-item Pandemic Grief Scale has shown promise for identifying dysfunctional grief in the pandemic.\textsuperscript{20} We did not report associations of grief symptoms with spirituality or previous mental illness, or the involvement of social supports or other interventions to reduce grief symptoms; these will be reported separately. Finally, in some cases we enrolled a second or third family member of the same deceased individual, which could raise concerns about a “nesting” effect. However, since the number of second or third family members was small and the family relationship score did not correlate with grief, it is unlikely that nesting would explain our findings.

In conclusion, our study found that almost one third of family members who experienced loss or whose bereavement took place during the COVID-19 pandemic had severe grief symptoms, regardless of the cause of death. This suggests that aspects of the pandemic itself contribute to severe grief, and factors that normally mitigate grief may not be as effective. Longitudinal follow up of these participants using mixed methods will evaluate their long-term outcomes and explore their experience of bereavement support. Our study highlights a compelling need for effective means of preventing and treating the ongoing pandemic of grief that is following the pandemic of COVID.
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Appendix

Participating sites and details of Wave 1 in Ottawa, Canada

1. The Ottawa Hospital is a quaternary acute care hospital, which includes two inpatient campuses (the Civic and the General) and one outpatient campus (Riverside). Combined, these include 1271 inpatient beds and have 56,000 admissions and ~150,000 emergency visits annually. Source: https://www.ottawahospital.on.ca/en/about-us/statistics/

2. The Queensway-Carleton Hospital is a tertiary acute care hospital with 264 inpatient beds, accounting for more than 20,000 admissions and 80,000 emergency visits annually. Source: https://www.qch.on.ca/QuickFacts

3. The Hôpital Montfort is a tertiary acute care francophone academic hospital with 289 inpatient beds and 57,000 emergency room visits annually. Source: https://hopitalmontfort.com

The first case of COVID-19 in Ottawa was officially reported on March 11, 2020 (https://ottawa.ca/en/news/first-confirmed-case-covid-19-ottawa), the same day that COVID-19 was declared a pandemic. Public health measures and restrictions were applied throughout Ontario, including in acute hospitals, in the immediate aftermath, and remained largely in place until the end of the study period. Approximately 2487 people were diagnosed with COVID in Ottawa between March 1 and August 31, 2020, of whom 266 died (https://covid-19.ontario.ca/data/case-numbers-and-spread).