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Abstract

In this paper, we introduce generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebras and generalized Hom-dendriform algebras as well as the corresponding modules. We investigate the connection between these categories of generalized Hom-algebras and modules. We give various constructions of these generalized Hom-algebra structures from either a given one or an arbitrary one. We prove that any generalized Hom-dialgebras give rise to generalized Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebras and generalized Hom-Poisson dialgebras.
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Introduction

Hom-algebraic structures were appeared for the first time in the works of Aizawa and Sato [1] as a generalization of Lie algebras. Other interesting Hom-type algebraic structures of many classical structures were studied as Hom-associative algebras, Hom-Lie admissible algebras and more general G-Hom-associative algebras [2], n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras [3], Hom-Lie admissible coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras [4], Hom-alternative algebras, Hom-Malcev algebras and Hom-Jordan algebras [5], L-modules, L-comodules and Hom-Lie quasi-bialgebras [6], Laplacian of Hom-Lie quasi-bialgebras [7]. Hom-algebraic structures were extended to the case of G-graded Lie algebras by studying Hom-Lie superalgebras, Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras [8], color Hom-Lie algebras [9], color Hom-Lie bialgebras and color Hom-Poisson bialgebras [10] and color Hom-Poisson algebras. Color Hom-Poisson algebras were introduced [11] as a generalization of Hom-Poisson algebras [2]. It is shown that every color Hom-associative algebra has a non-commutative Hom-Poisson algebra structure in which the Hom-Poisson bracket is the commutator bracket. It is also proved that twisting a color Hom-Poisson module structure map by a color Hom-Poisson Poisson endomorphism, we get another one. Color Hom-Poisson algebras generalize color Hom-associative algebras [9,12] color Hom-Lie algebras [9,12] and Hom-Lie superalgebras [13]. The author [12] presents some constructions of quadratigue color Hom-Lie algebras, and this is used to provide several examples. T'-extensions and central extensions of color Hom-Lie algebras and some cohomological characterizations are established [14]. These structures are well-known to physicists and to mathematicians studying differential geometry and homotopy theory. The cohomology theory of Lie superalgebras [15] has been generalized to the cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras [16].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce some generalized (color or graded) Hom-algebras and the corresponding modules. The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic notions related to color Hom-associative algebras and color Hom-Lie algebras. In section 3, we define generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebras (resp. generalized Hom-dendriform algebras) and prove that to any generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra one may associate a generalized Hom-Lie algebra (resp. a generalized Hom-dendriform algebra and a generalized Hom-associative algebra). Next, we prove that any generalized left-symmetric algebra can be deformed into a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra along with any even linear self-map. In section 4, we point out that generalized Hom-dialgebras give rise to generalized non-commutative Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebras and generalized Hom-Poisson dialgebras. A construction theorem of generalized Hom-Poisson dialgebras from generalized Poisson dialgebras and an endomorphism is given. In section 5, we point out that bimodules over a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra S (resp. generalized Hom-dendriform algebra D) extend to modules over the generalized Hom-Lie algebra (resp. bimodules over the generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra, generalized Hom-associative algebra) associated to S (resp. D).

Throughout this paper, all graded vector spaces are assumed to be over a field K of characteristic different from 2.

Preliminaries

Let G be an abelian group. A vector space V is said to be a G-graded if, there exists a family \((V_a)_{a \in G}\) of vector subspaces of V such that \(V = \bigoplus_{a \in G} V_a\).

An element \(x \in V\) is said to be homogenous of degree \(a \in G\) if \(x \in V_a\). We denote H(V) the set of all homogenous elements in V.

Let \(V = \oplus_{a \in G} V_a\) and \(V' = \oplus_{a \in G} V'_a\) be two G-graded vector spaces. A linear mapping \(f : V \rightarrow V'\) is said to be homogenous of degree \(b\) if \(f(V_a) \subseteq V'_a\) for any \(a \in G\), then \(f\) is said to be even.

An algebra \((A, \mu)\) is said to be G-graded if its underlying vector space is G-graded i.e. \(A = \bigoplus_{a \in G} A_a\), and if furthermore \(\mu(A_a, A_b) \subseteq A_{a+b}\), for all \(a, b \in G\). Let be another G-graded algebra. A morphism \(f : A \rightarrow A'\) of G-graded algebras is by definition an algebra morphism from A to \(A'\) which is, in addition an even mapping.

Definition 2.1: Let G be an abelian group. A map \(\varepsilon : G \times G \rightarrow K^*\) is
called a skew-symmetric bicharacter on G if the following identities hold,

(i) \( \varepsilon(a, b) = \varepsilon(b, a) = 1 \),
(ii) \( \varepsilon(a, b + c) = \varepsilon(a, b)\varepsilon(a, c) \),
(iii) \( \varepsilon(a + b, c) = \varepsilon(a, c)\varepsilon(b, c) \),

where \( a, b, c \in G \).

Remark that

\[ \varepsilon(a, 0) = \varepsilon(0, a) = 1, \varepsilon(a, a) = \pm 1 \text{ for all } a \in G , \]

where 0 is the identity of G.

If \( x \) and \( y \) are two homogeneous elements of degree \( a \) and \( b \) respectively and \( \varepsilon \) is a skew-symmetric bicharacter, then we shorten the notation by writing \( \varepsilon(x, y) \) instead of \( \varepsilon(a, b) \).

**Definition 2.2:** A generalized Hom-associative algebra is a quadruple \((A, \mu, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) consisting of a \( G \)-graded vector space \( A \), an even bilinear map \( \mu : A \times A \to A \), a bicharacter \( \varepsilon \) and an even linear map \( \alpha : A \to A \) such that

\[
\mu(a(x), \mu(y, z)) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)).
\]

(1)

**Lemma 3.1:** Let \( (A, \mu, \varepsilon, \alpha) \) be a generalized Hom-associative algebra [12].

Then \((A,\mu,\varepsilon,\alpha)\) is a generalized Hom-Lie algebra, denoted by \( L(A) \).

**Definition 3.1:** Let \( G \) be an abelian group and \( \varepsilon \) a bicharacter on \( G \).

A graded non-associative algebra \( S = \bigoplus_{a \in G} S_a \) with the even linear map \( \alpha : S \to S \) and the multiplication \((x, y) \mapsto x' y \) satisfying

\[
S_a \circ S_b \subseteq S_{a+b}
\]

is called a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra if the following generalized left Hom-symmetric identity (or \( \varepsilon \)-left-Hom-symmetric identity) is satisfied

\[
\varepsilon(xy)a o (z) - \varepsilon(x) o (z) a y - \varepsilon(y) o (z) a x = \varepsilon(x) \left( (y o x) a (z) - \varepsilon(y) o (z) \right) .
\]

(6)

for all \( x, y, z \in H(S) \).

In the terms of the (generalized) Hom-associator, the \( \varepsilon \)-left-Hom-symmetric identity may written as

\[
\alpha o a(x, y, z) = \varepsilon(x, y) a o s A a o y, x, z
\]

(7)

**Definition 2.3:** A generalised Hom-Lie algebra is a quadruple \((A, \varepsilon, \alpha)\) consisting of a \( G \)-graded vector space \( A \), an even linear map \( \varepsilon : A \times A \to A \), a bicharacter \( \varepsilon \), and an even linear map \( \alpha : A \to A \) such that for any \( x, y \in A \) [12], we have

\[
[x, y] = -\varepsilon(x, y)[y, x] \quad (\text{\varepsilon-symmetric}) ,
\]

(2)

\[
\varepsilon(-, -) = -\varepsilon(a o, x, y) \quad (\text{\varepsilon-contravariant}) ,
\]

(3)

\[
\varepsilon(x, y) a o s A (x, y, z) = 0 \quad (\text{\varepsilon-Hom-Jacobi identity}) ,
\]

(4)

Where \( \varepsilon \) means cyclic summation.

**Remark 2.2:** A generalised Lie algebra \((A, [-, -], \varepsilon)\) is a generalised Hom-Lie algebra with \( \varepsilon = Id. \)

Examples of generalised Hom-Lie algebras are provided [9,12].

**Generalized Left-Hom-symmetric Algebras and Generalized Hom-dendriform Algebras**

This section is devoted to generalised left-Hom-symmetric algebras and generalised Hom-dendriform algebras which are the twisted analogue of generalised left-symmetric algebras [17] and Hom-dendriform algebras [18,19] respectively.

The following lemma connects generalised Hom-associative algebras to generalised Hom-Lie algebras.
This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.2:** Let \((S, ⊕, ε, α)\) be a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra. Then, for any \(x, y \in H(S)\), the commutator
\[
[x, y] = x^3y - ε(x, y)y^3x,
\]
makes \(S\) to become a generalized Hom-Lie algebra, denoted \(L(S)\).

**Proof:** For any \(x, y, z \in H(S)\), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2}εεεαεεε α− °°− °° + °° = εεα ε εα− °° + °° + °°,
\]
and the twisting map \(α\): \(S \to S\) such that
\[
αεαεαε°°− °° − °°∫ = 0.
\]

Using the left-Hom-symmetric identity, observe that the following sums are 0:
\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon &\epsilon α ε α ε α ε α°°− °° − °° − °° + °°
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon &\epsilon α ε α ε α ε α°°− °° − °° − °° + °°
\end{align*}
\]
This proves (9). The other two axioms are proved similarly. The second part is shown likewise the proof of the second part of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.3:** Let \((D, ⊕, ε, α)\) be a generalized Hom-dendriform algebra. Then, \(D\) is a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra with the structure map
\[
x^3y = x^1 y + y + x^1 y.
\]

**Proof:** For any \(x, y, z \in D\), we have
\[
( x^3y )αεαεαε− °° − °° − °° + °°\]
and
\[
( x^3y )αεαεαε− °° − °° − °° + °°\]
The term \(a_1 + a_2 + a_4\) vanish (11), \(b_1 + b_2 + b_3\) vanish (11), \(c_1 + c_2 + c_3\) vanish (10), \(d_1 + d_2 + d_3\) vanishes (10), \(e_1 + e_2\) vanishes (9), \(f_1\) + \(f_2\) vanishes (9). Thus, \((D, α, ε, a)\) is a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra.

**Lemma 3.4:** Let \((D, ⊕, ε, α)\) be a generalized Hom-dendriform algebra. Then, \((D, α, ε)\) is a generalized Hom-associative algebra with the multiplication given by
\[
x ^n y = x ^{n-1} y + \ldots + (x ) y + x^1 y.
\]

**Proof:** For any \(x, y, z \in H(D)\),
\[
α ^n ( x ) y = ( x ) y + x^1 y + \ldots + (x ) y + x^1 y.
\]

\[\text{This completes the proof.}\]
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the conclusion holds.

Let us denote by GHDA: the category of generalized Hom-dendriform algebras, GLHSA: the category of generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebras, GHAA: the category of generalized Hom-associative algebras and

GHLA: the category of generalized Hom-Lie algebras. Then, the above discussion may be summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3:** The following diagram is commutative

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{GHDA} & \rightarrow & \text{GLHSA} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{GHAA} & \rightarrow & \text{GLHA}
\end{array} \]

*Proof:* The top horizontal arrow and the bottom horizontal arrow follow from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.1 respectively. The left vertical arrow is established in Lemma 3.4 and right vertical arrow is the functor constructed in Lemma 3.2.

### Generalized Hom-Leibniz-Poisson Algebras and Generalized Hom-Poisson Dialgebras

In this section we will show that generalized Hom-dialgebras give rise to generalized non-commutative Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebras and generalized Hom-Poisson dialgebras.

**Definition 4.1:** A generalized Hom-dialgebra is a quintuple \((D,\cdot,-,\varepsilon,\alpha)\), where \(D\) is a G-graded vector space, \(-:D\otimes D\rightarrow D\) are even bilinear maps, \(\varepsilon:G\otimes G\rightarrow K^*\) is a bicharacter and \(\alpha:D\rightarrow D\) is an endomorphism with respect to \(-\) and \([-,\cdot]\). We say that \((D,\cdot,-,\varepsilon,\alpha)\) is a commutative generalized Hom-dialgebra.

**Theorem 4.1:** Let \((D,\cdot,-,\varepsilon,\alpha)\) be a generalized Hom-dialgebra. Define the even bilinear map \([-,-]:D\otimes D\rightarrow D\) by setting \([x,y]=x\cdot y-\varepsilon(x,y)y+\varepsilon(x,y)x\). Then \((D,\cdot,-,\varepsilon,\alpha)\) is a generalized Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebra.

*Proof:* We write down all the twelve terms involved in the Hom-Leibniz identity:

\[
\begin{align*}

([x,y],\varepsilon(z)) &= (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + \varepsilon(x,y+z)\varepsilon(z) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) \\
([x,[y,z]],\varepsilon(y)) &= (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) \\

[\alpha(x)[y,z],\varepsilon(y)] &= (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x)
\end{align*}
\]

Using the five axioms in Definition 4.1, it is immediate to see that (12) holds. And,

\[
\begin{align*}

[x\cdot y,\varepsilon(z)] &= -\varepsilon([x,y],z) - \varepsilon([x,y],[x,z]) + \varepsilon([x,y],[x,z]) - \varepsilon([x,y],z) \\


\end{align*}
\]

The left hand side vanishes by axioms in Definition 4.1. In the sequel, we define generalized Hom-Poisson dialgebras, and we give a construction theorem.

**Definition 4.2:** A generalized non-commutative Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebra is a G-graded vector space \(P\) together with two even bilinear maps, \(\varepsilon:G\otimes G\rightarrow K^*\) is a bicharacter and \(\alpha: P\rightarrow P\) is an even linear map such that the following five axioms are satisfied for \(x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(D):\)

\[
\begin{align*}

(a) \quad (x\cdot y)\cdot \varepsilon(z) &= \varepsilon(x)\cdot y + \varepsilon(x)\cdot (y\cdot z) \\

(b) \quad \varepsilon(x)\cdot (y\cdot z) &= (x\cdot y)\cdot \varepsilon(z) + \varepsilon(x)\cdot (y\cdot z) \\

(c) \quad (x\cdot y)\cdot \varepsilon(z) &= (x\cdot y)\cdot \varepsilon(z) + \varepsilon(x)\cdot (y\cdot z)
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 4.3:** A generalized non-commutative Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebra is a G-graded vector space \(P\) together with two even bilinear maps \([-,-]:P\otimes P\rightarrow P\) and \(\varepsilon:G\otimes G\rightarrow K^*\) is a bicharacter and \(\alpha: P\rightarrow P\) is an even linear map such that (a), (b), (c) are satisfied for \(x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(P)\).

We write down all the twelve terms involved in the Hom-Leibniz identity:

\[
\begin{align*}

([x,y],[y,z],\varepsilon(z)) &= (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (y\cdot z - \varepsilon(y,z)z + \varepsilon(y,z)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (y\cdot z - \varepsilon(y,z)z + \varepsilon(y,z)x) + (x\cdot y - \varepsilon(x,y)y + \varepsilon(x,y)x) + (y\cdot z - \varepsilon(y,z)z + \varepsilon(y,z)x)
\end{align*}
\]

**Example 4.1:** Any Hom-Poisson dialgebra \([20]\) is a generalized Hom-Poisson dialgebra with trivial grading.

**Theorem 4.2:** Let \((D,\cdot,-,\varepsilon,\alpha)\) be a generalized Hom-dialgebra. Define the even bilinear map \([-,-]:D\otimes D\rightarrow D\) by setting \([x,y]=x\cdot y-\varepsilon(x,y)y+\varepsilon(x,y)x\). Then \((D,\cdot,-,\varepsilon,\alpha)\) is a generalized Hom-Leibniz-Poisson algebra.

*Proof:* According to the second part of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we only need to prove (15) and (16). For any \(x,y,z\in\mathcal{H}(D)\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}

\alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] &= \alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] \\

\alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] &= \alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] \\

\alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] &= \alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] \\

\alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z] &= \alpha(x)[y,z] + \varepsilon(y,z)[x,z]
\end{align*}
\]
endowed with an even linear map \( \beta : M \to M \), two even bilinear maps \( S \otimes M \to M, x \otimes m \mapsto x \cdot m \) and \( M \otimes S \to M, m \otimes x \mapsto x \cdot m \), such that
\[
S_x \cdot M_{ab} \subseteq M_{a+b}, \quad M_{ab} \supseteq M_{a+b}, \quad \beta (x \cdot m - \beta (m) - \beta (m) \cdot \beta (x)) = 0.
\]
and
\[
\alpha (x) \cdot (y \cdot m) - \alpha (y \cdot m) \cdot \alpha (x) = 0.
\]

Proposition 5.1: Let \((S, \circ, e, \alpha)\) be a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra and \((M, \langle, \beta, \rangle)\) a bimodule over \(S\). Then, \(M\) is a module over \(L(S)\) with the structure map \(\preceq S \otimes M \to M\) defined by
\[
x \cdot m := x \cdot m - \beta (x)m \cdot m > x,
\]
for all \(x \in \mathcal{H}(S), m \in \mathcal{H}(M)\).

Proof: For any \(x, y \in \mathcal{H}(S), m \in \mathcal{H}(M)\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
(x \cdot y) \cdot m &= x \cdot (y \cdot m) - \beta (x \cdot m) - \beta (y \cdot m) - \beta (x \cdot y \cdot m) \cdot m > (x \cdot y)m \cdot m > m.
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha (x \cdot y) \cdot (m \otimes x) &= x \cdot (y \cdot m) - \beta (x \cdot y \cdot m) - \beta (x \cdot y \cdot m) \cdot m > (x \cdot y)m \cdot m > m.
\end{align*}
\]
Using (21) observe that
\[
\begin{align*}
(\alpha (x \cdot y)) \cdot (m \otimes x) &= x \cdot (y \cdot m) - \beta (x \cdot y \cdot m) - \beta (x \cdot y \cdot m) \cdot m > (x \cdot y)m \cdot m > m.
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\beta (m) \cdot x \cdot y &= (m \otimes x) \cdot (y \cdot m) - \beta (m \otimes x) \cdot (y \cdot m) - \beta (m \otimes x) \cdot (y \cdot m) \cdot m > (m \otimes x)(y \cdot m) \cdot m > m.
\end{align*}
\]

Proposition 5.2: Let \((S, \circ, e, \alpha)\) be a generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra and \((M, \langle, \beta, \rangle)\) a bimodule over \(S\). Then, \(M\) is a module over \(L(S)\) with the structure map \(\preceq S \otimes M \to M\) defined by
\[
x \cdot m := x \cdot m - \beta (x)m \cdot m > x,
\]
for all \(x \in \mathcal{H}(S), m \in \mathcal{H}(M)\).

Proof: The proof is analogue to the one of Theorem 3.2.
\((x \cdot y) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (y < m)\), \quad (29)
\alpha(x) \succ (y < m) = e(x,y)(x \cdot y) \succ (y \cdot m) + (x \cdot y) \succ (y < m), \quad (30)
\alpha(x) \succ (m < y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \succ (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \succ (m < y), \quad (31)
\alpha(x) \prec (m < y) = e(x, y)(x \cdot m) \prec (m \cdot y) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y), \quad (32)
\beta(m) \succ (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \succ (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \succ (m < y), \quad (33)
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y), \quad (34)
\beta(m) \succ (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \succ (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y), \quad (35)
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y). \quad (36)

**Proposition 5.2:** Let \((M, \prec, \succ, \beta)\) be a bimodule over the generalized Hom-dendriform algebra \((D, \cdot, \prec, \succ, \alpha)\). Then, \(M\) is a bimodule over the generalized left-Hom-symmetric algebra,

\[ x \prec m : x \succ m \succ x. \quad (37) \]

**Proof:** We only need to prove (21) and (22). The other relations being obvious. So, for any \(x, y \in \mathbb{H}(D), m \in \mathbb{H}(M)\), we have

\[
\text{Proof:} \quad \text{by (34),}\quad \text{by (29)}.
\]

\[
\beta(x, y) \circ \beta(m,y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \succ (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

Next, \(\alpha(x) \prec (m < y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (m \cdot y) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y),\)

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\text{Proof:} \quad \text{by (29)}.
\]

\[
\beta(x, y) \circ \beta(m,y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \succ (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\alpha(x) \prec (m < y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (m \cdot y) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y),\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

Observe that \(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0\) by (33), \(b_1 + b_2 = 0\) by (28), \(c_1 + c_2 = 0\) by (34), \(d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 0\) by (32), \(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0\) by (36) and \(f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 0\) by (29).

**Corollary 5.2:** Let \((M, \prec, \succ, \beta)\) be a bimodule over the Hom-dendriform \((D, \cdot, \prec, \succ, \alpha)\). Then, \(M\) is a bimodule over the left-Hom-symmetric algebra \(\mathbb{S}(D)\) associated to \(D\).

**Definition 5.6:** Let \((A, \alpha)\) be a Hom-associative algebra and \((M, \beta)\) be a Hom-module. A bimodule structure on \(M\) consists of:

\[
i) \text{a left } A\text{-action } \cdot : A \otimes M \to M, m \cdot a = m \alpha(a),
\]

\[
ii) \text{a right } A\text{-action } \cdot : M \otimes A \to M, m \cdot a = m \alpha(a),
\]

\[
\text{such that the following conditions hold for } x, y \in A \text{ and } m \in M:
\]

\[
\beta(x \cdot m) = \alpha(x) \cdot \beta(m), \quad (38)
\]

\[
\beta(m \cdot x) = \beta(m) \cdot \alpha(x), \quad (39)
\]

\[
\alpha(x \cdot y) = \alpha(y \cdot x) \cdot \beta(m), \quad (40)
\]

\[
\alpha(m \cdot y) = \alpha(m) \cdot \beta(y), \quad (41)
\]

\[
\alpha(x \cdot y) = \alpha(x) \cdot \beta(m) \cdot \alpha(y), \quad (42)
\]

**Proposition 5.3:** Let \((M, \prec, \succ, \beta)\) be a bimodule over the Hom-dendriform \((D, \cdot, \prec, \succ, \alpha)\). Then, \(M\) is a bimodule over the Hom-associative algebra associated to \(D\), \(\mathbb{S}(D)\), with the structure map

\[
\beta(x \prec m) \circ \beta(y \prec m) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \succ (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\beta(m \prec x) \circ \beta(y \prec m) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\alpha(x) \prec (m < y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (m \cdot y) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y),\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\text{Then,}
\]

\[
\alpha(x) \prec (m < y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (m \cdot y) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y),\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\text{The left hand side vanishes by axioms (28)-(30), with trivial grading.}
\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]

\[
\text{Next,}
\]

\[
\beta(m) \prec (x + y) = e(x,y)(x \cdot m) \prec (y \cdot m) + \alpha(x) \prec (m < y).
\]
\[(m > x + m < x) < \alpha(y) + (m > x + m < x) > \alpha(y)\]

\[-\beta(m) < (x^+ y + x) - \beta(m) > (x^+ y + x)\]

\[(m < x) < \alpha(y) + (m < x) > \alpha(y) + (m < x) > \alpha(y)\]

\[-\beta(m) < (x+y) - \beta(m) < (x+y) - \beta(m) < (x+y)\]

The left hand side vanishes by axioms (34)-(36), with trivial grading.

Finally,

\[\alpha(x) < (m > y) - (x < m) > \alpha(y) =\]

\[\alpha(x) < (m > y + m < y) + \alpha(x) > (m > y + m < y)\]

\[-(x > m + x < m) < \alpha(y) - (x > m + x < m) > \alpha(y)\]

\[\alpha(x) < (m < y) + \alpha(x) > (m < y) + \alpha(x) > (m < y)\]

\[-(x < m) < \alpha(y) - (x < m) > \alpha(y) - (x < m) > \alpha(y)\]

The left hand side vanishes by axioms (31)-(33), with trivial grading.

Now, let us denote by HDbiM: the category of bimodules over Hom-dendriform algebra, LHShbiM: the category of bimodules over left-Hom-symmetric algebra, HAbiM: the category of bimodules over Hom-associative algebra and HLM: the category of modules over Hom-Lie algebra. Then, we have:

**Theorem 5.1:** The following diagram of categories and functors is commutative

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HDbiM} & \rightarrow \ L\text{HShbiM} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow \\
\text{HAbiM} & \rightarrow \ HLM
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof:** The top horizontal arrow and the bottom horizontal arrow follow from Corollary 5.2 and Lemma 5.1 respectively. The left vertical arrow is established in Proposition 5.3 and right vertical arrow is the functor constructed in Corollary 5.1.
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