Greenness surrounding schools is associated with lower risk of asthma in schoolchildren
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1. Introduction

Asthma is the most common chronic airway disease in childhood and environmental factors are thought to play a key role in its development (Gaffin et al., 2014). While air pollution is associated with exacerbation of asthma (Khreis et al., 2017), there is increasing interest in the potential health effects of urban greenness on childhood asthma (Ferrante et al., 2020). It has been reported that greenness may provide beneficial effects by reducing ambient air pollution levels (Dadvand et al., 2015; Franchini and Mannucci, 2018; Ozdemir 2019), and enriching microbial flora (Rook 2013). The available evidence, however, for an association between greenness and childhood asthma remains inconsistent and showed strong regional heterogeneity (Ferrante et al., 2020; Lambert et al., 2017).

Home and school are the two dominant places where children spend most of their time. While effects of residential greenness exposure on children’s health have been investigated in a number of epidemiological studies, evidence for associations with green space around schools
remains limited particularly in association with children’s behavior and mental health (McCormick 2017). Children spend 6–10 h at school during daytime which probably exposes them to higher levels of air pollution and traffic noise due to the timing of peak vehicle traffic (Esty et al., 2019; McCormick 2017). A recent review suggests that the school environment, particularly the schoolyard is one of the greatest sources for moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity in children (Jiang et al., 2019). Therefore, school represents a unique and important environment which may have a substantial health effect in children (Esty et al., 2019). Dadvand and his colleagues (2015) found that higher levels of green space around school were associated with lower levels of air pollution in both school playgrounds and classrooms. However, much less is known about the effect of green space around schools in children aside from the residential greenness exposure.

To address this knowledge gap, we assessed the associations between greenness surrounding schools and childhood asthma using the data from the Seven Northeastern City Study (SNEC), a large population-based cross-sectional study in China.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study population

We identified our study population and obtained data on outcomes and covariates from the Seven Northeast Cities Study (SNEC). The SNEC was conducted to investigate air pollution exposure and health outcomes in schoolchildren and has been described previously (Dong et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018). Briefly, all 27 urban districts in seven cities (Shenyang, Dalian, Fushun, Anshan, Benxi, Liaoyang, and Dandong) from Liaoning province, northeastern China were selected based on having air quality monitoring data available from 2009 to 2012. One or two kindergartens, elementary schools, and middle schools were randomly chosen in the location within a one-two km radius from local air monitoring station in each district. More detailed study design is described in the Supplementary Materials (eMethod 1).

From April 2012 to January 2013, a total of 68,647 children in 94 public schools were recruited. Guardians of participating children provided written informed consent and completed the study questionnaires with the response rate of 93.1% (63,910 children). The final sample included 59,754 eligible children after excluding 4156 children who had lived at their current address for less than two years. The study protocol was approved by the Human Studies Committee of Sun Yat-sen University.

2.2. Assessment of school surrounding greenness and air pollution

Our assessment of greenness exposure was based on the outdoor vegetation index (NDVI) and the soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI) derived from Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper satellite images (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov) at 30 m × 30 m resolution. To achieve the maximum exposure contract of greenness, we acquired available cloud-free Landsat TM images and generated the NDVI and SAVI maps by using two cloud-free images obtained during August 2010. The reason we looked for cloud-free images taken during the summer months was because of the high amount of green vegetation during summer. Therefore, using this season, we could discern maximum exposure contract of greenness, we acquired available cloud-free images obtained during August 2014. Exposure assessment of greenness was performed using ArcGIS 10.4 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, USA).

We applied a random forest model to estimate concentrations of air pollutants at a spatial resolution of 10 × 10 km (Yang et al., 2018), including particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter < 1 µm (PM1), 2.5 µm (PM2.5) or 10 µm (PM10) and nitrogen dioxide (NO2) from 2009 to 2012 matched to address of 94 schools. Detailed information regarding the modeling is presented in Supplementary Materials (eMethods 2). In addition, the collection of PM10 and NO2 concentration from local air monitoring station in each district were also described (eMethods 3). Annual daily averages air pollutants were used to compute the school-specific mean levels for the period from 2009 to 2012 and considered as surrogates for long-term air pollution exposures (Zhang et al., 2019).

2.3. Asthma outcomes

We collected information on asthma using the validated Chinese version of Epidemiologic Standardization Project Questionnaire of the American Thoracic Society (ATS-DLD-78-A) (Dong et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018). The definitions of current asthma and current wheeze are described in the Supplementary Materials (eMethods 4).

2.4. Covariates and mediators

Potential confounding variables for the association between greenness and childhood asthma were identified a priori based on direct acyclic graph (DAG, Fig. S1), with reference to previous literature. These included information from children, parents, and the household environment (Dadvand et al., 2014; Sbihi et al., 2017). A detailed description of these covariates is described in the Supplementary Materials (eMethods 5). Minimal sufficient adjustment set of variables for estimating the direct effect of greenness exposure on childhood asthma in the DAG includes: family socioeconomic status, adverse birth outcome of child, breastfeeding status in child, home coal usage, mould in home, household secondhand smoke (SHS), residential area, and family history of asthma. Furthermore, we identified that body mass index (BMI), physical activity, and allergy were potential mediators on the pathway between greenness exposure and asthma in the DAG. Therefore, we did not adjust for mediators in main models.

From the DAG, we considered the role of air pollution on the association between greenness exposure and childhood asthma under two situations: (1) air pollution was a confounder due to potential common cause (e.g, land use) influencing both air pollution and greenness; and (2) air pollution was a mediator due to the potential for greenness on less air pollution.

2.5. Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using the SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The GLIMMIX procedure in SAS was used to fit the logistic mixed-effects regression models estimating children at the first-level units and study districts at the second-level units (Dong et al., 2013). The Spearman correlation coefficient was used to analyze the relationship between greenness indices and air pollution levels. Statistical significance was tested using two-tailed tests, and P values < 0.05 or 0.1 were considered statistically significant for main effects or interactions, respectively. We did not adjust P values for multiple comparisons because our analyses were hypothesis driven.

2.5.1. Main analyses

Greenness indices were treated as independent continuous or categorical (in tertiles) predictors and asthmatic symptoms were treated as dependent variables. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) are reported per 0.1 unit increase in NDVI or SAVI.
A recent systematic review has suggested that larger buffer sizes may predict physical health better than smaller ones (Browning and Lee, 2017). Therefore, we used 1000-m buffer in the main analysis. Results using other buffers are also reported as well in the Supplementary Materials. In addition, because the associations of NDVI with asthma was similar to that with SAVI (see Results), therefore, we chose NDVI1000m (NDVI in 1000 m buffer) as the primary predictor in the main analyses.

We applied four logistic regression models to estimate the odds of asthmaic symptoms predicted by NDVI1000m: (1) crude model without adjustment (model 1); (2) model 1 adjusted for age, gender, parental education, family income, breastfeeding, adverse birth outcomes, residential area, SHS, mould in house, home coal usage, and family history of asthma (model 2, main model); and (3) model 2 additionally adjusted for PM10 concentration collected from the air monitoring station (model 3); (4) model 2 additionally adjusted for NO2 concentration collected from air monitoring station (model 4).

2.5.2. Mediation analyses

Because we identified air pollution, physical activity, BMI, and allergy as mediators from the DAG (Fig. S1), we used mediation analysis to explore whether these mediators could be mechanisms underlying the associations between greenness exposure and asthma. The CAUSALMED procedure in SAS was used to calculate the proportions of the mediated effect based on the theoretical foundation provided by Valeri and VanderWeele (2013). We used bias-corrected confidence intervals at 1000 bootstraps. The covariates adjusted in the mediation models were as same as in the main models.

2.5.3. Sensitivity analyses

We conducted a number of sensitivity analyses to evaluate the robustness of our findings. (1) Previous studies showed that the association between greenness exposure and respiratory outcomes were regional heterogeneity (Fuertes et al., 2016). Therefore, we analyzed the associations between greenness and childhood asthma in each city to investigate if the association varied by geographical locations. (2) Previous study showed that neighborhood (urban area vs rural area) may modify the association between greenness exposure and asthma (Donovan et al., 2018). Therefore, we used the cutoff of the lowest quartile of air pollutant level to define lower (air pollutant level ≤1st quartile) or higher air pollution area (air pollutant level > 1st quartile), and stratified the associations between greenness and childhood asthma by air pollution levels. (3) We tested the robustness of the associations between greenness exposure and childhood asthma by using NDVI and SAVI data obtained from October 2010. (4) We examined the robustness of the associations between greenness exposure and asthma by adjusting for the concentrations of air pollutants (PM1, PM2.5, PM10, and NO2) estimated from the air pollutant prediction model. (5) The greenness around school may have overlaps with residential greenness in current study because most children lived closed to school. Therefore, we restricted participants who reported living in the area with walking distance > 15 min from school (presumably > 1000 m) to minimize the overlap of greenness exposure between school and home (n = 18,864). (6) Because previous study showed that younger children were more vulnerable to environmental exposure (Yang et al., 2018), therefore, we further evaluated the effect modification of age on the main associations. (7) Considering the genetic predisposition of asthma, we excluded participants with family history of asthma (n = 4113).

3. Results

3.1. Characterization of population and greenness exposure

In total, 59,754 children participated in this study. The average was 10.3 years old and 50.6% were boys (Table 1). Among all participants, 68.4% lived in areas with walking distance to school (≤15 min). The prevalence of asthma symptoms ranged from 2.8% for current asthma and 4.0% for current wheezing (Table 1). The median (quartile 1, quartile 3) level of NDVI and SAVI surrounding schools in buffer of 1000 m was 0.28 (0.23, 0.36) and 0.16 (0.13, 0.20), respectively (Table S2). The levels of NDVI and SAVI were higher in August than in October (P < 0.001, Table S1). The greenness indices in August 2010 were similar and highly correlated to those from August 2014, and those from October 2010 as well (P < 0.05, Table S1).

3.2. Main analyses

Greenness surrounding schools in all buffer sizes were consistently associated with lower prevalence of childhood asthma symptoms (Table 2). We also observed stronger associations for school greenness in larger buffers (Table S2). The observed association was consistent in both crude models and multi-adjusted models (Table 3). A 0.1-unit increase in NDVI1000m was associated with 19% (95% CI: 14, 25%) lower odds for current asthma and 11% (95% CI: 6, 16%) lower odds for current wheezing in the main model (model 2). And the effect

| Table 1 | Prevalence of outcomes and characteristics among study participants (n = 59,754).a |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| Variables | Values |
| Outcomes | Current asthma |
|          | 1,643 (2.8%) |
|          | Current wheeze |
|          | 2,369 (4.0%) |
| Covariates | Age (year) |
|           | 10.3 ± 3.6 |
|          | BMI (kg/m²) |
|           | 18.6 ± 4.5 |
|          | Physical activity (hour/week) |
|           | 6.6 ± 8.0 |
|          | Residential area (m²/person) |
|           | 23.6 ± 12.4 |
|          | Gender |
|          | Boys |
|          | 30,260 (50.6%) |
|          | Girls |
|          | 29,494 (49.4%) |
|          | Annual family income (Chinese Yuan) |
| ≤ 9999 CNY | 12,459 (20.9%) |
| 10,000–29,999 CNY | 22,170 (37.1%) |
| 30,000–100,000 CNY | 20,998 (35.1%) |
| > 100,000 CNY | 4,127 (6.9%) |
|          | Parental education (≥ high school) |
|          | 43,786 (73.3%) |
|          | Breastfeeding (≥ 3 months) |
|          | 39,756 (66.5%) |
|          | Low birthweight (< 2.500 g) |
|          | 2,187 (3.7%) |
|          | Preterm (gestation age < 37 week) |
|          | 3,217 (5.4%) |
|          | Doctor-diagnosed allergy symptom |
|          | 6,859 (11.5%) |
|          | Walking distance from home to school ≤ 15 min |
|          | 40,890 (68.4%) |
|          | Household second-hand smoke (SHS) |
|          | 27,822 (46.6%) |
|          | Mould in home |
|          | 10,041 (16.8%) |
|          | Home coal usage |
|          | 3,459 (5.8%) |
|          | Family asthma history |
|          | 4,113 (6.9%) |

a Values are represented as mean ± SD or as n (%).

3.2. Main analyses

Greenness surrounding schools in all buffer sizes were consistently associated with lower prevalence of childhood asthma symptoms (Table 2). We also observed stronger associations for school greenness in larger buffers (Table S2). The observed association was consistent in both crude models and multi-adjusted models (Table 3). A 0.1-unit increase in NDVI1000m was associated with 19% (95% CI: 14, 25%) lower odds for current asthma and 11% (95% CI: 6, 16%) lower odds for current wheezing in the main model (model 2). And the effect

| Table 2 | Greenness indexes around schools with different buffers in 2010. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Greenness index | Mean ± S.D | Medium (Q1, Q3) | Minimum | Maximum |
| NDVI100m | 0.30 ± 0.18 | 0.24 (0.17, 0.37) | −0.07 | 0.79 |
| NDVI500m | 0.32 ± 0.15 | 0.27 (0.21, 0.38) | 0.02 | 0.81 |
| NDVI1000m | 0.32 ± 0.15 | 0.29 (0.21, 0.38) | 0.11 | 0.81 |
| NDVI30m | 0.32 ± 0.14 | 0.29 (0.23, 0.38) | 0.06 | 0.77 |
| NDVI50m | 0.31 ± 0.12 | 0.28 (0.23, 0.36) | 0.06 | 0.68 |
| SAVI100m | 0.17 ± 0.11 | 0.13 (0.09, 0.20) | −0.03 | 0.53 |
| SAVI50m | 0.18 ± 0.10 | 0.15 (0.11, 0.22) | 0.02 | 0.50 |
| SAVI30m | 0.18 ± 0.09 | 0.17 (0.12, 0.21) | 0.06 | 0.49 |
| SAVI1000m | 0.18 ± 0.08 | 0.16 (0.13, 0.20) | 0.05 | 0.42 |

S.D: standard deviation; Q1: quartile 1; Q3: quartile 3; IQR: interquartile range. NDVI: normalized difference vegetation index; SAVI: soil adjusted vegetation index; 30 m-1000 m: the green buffer ranged from 30 m to 1000 m.
Doctor-diagnosed allergy symptoms may mediate the association between greenness exposure and asthma, particularly for air pollution, while physical activity, and BMI did not play a mediation role after being adjusted for the same covariates as in the main models (Table S5).

3.4. Sensitivity analyses

We analyzed the heterogeneity in the observed associations across different cities. We found statistical significant negative associations in three cities (Dalian, Fushun, and Benxi) which accounted for 54.5% of the whole population ($P < 0.05$, Fig. S2).

We found that air pollution levels modified the association between greenness with asthma symptoms (Table 4). For example, the adjusted ORs for current asthma in association with a 0.1-unit increase in NDVI$_{1000}$ ranged from 0.72 to 0.76 in children exposed to higher air pollution, while ranged from 1.00 to 1.08 in children living in lower polluted areas (all interaction for $P$ values $< 0.05$, Table 4). Similar results were also observed for current wheeze (Table 4).

We analyzed the associations between greenness exposure and asthma using NDVI and SAVI estimated in October 2010 to test if the associations varied seasonally (Table S6). Result shows that the effect estimates remained stable across seasons (Table S6).

In addition, the observed beneficial associations between greenness and asthma were more marked in younger children $\leq$ 12 years old compared to the older ones ($P_{out} < 0.05$, Table S7).

To minimize the overlap of greenness exposure between school and residence, we restricted the participants living in areas with walking distance $> 15$ min to school ($n = 18,864$), the result was similar to the main results for current asthma (Table S8).

Table 4

| ORs (95% CIs) | $P_{out}$ |
|---------------|-----------|
| **Current asthma** |           |
| PM$_{1}$ | 1.01 (0.84, 1.21) | 0.74 (0.67, 0.82) | 0.003 |
| PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.08 (0.91, 1.29) | 0.76 (0.69, 0.84) | 0.001 |
| PM$_{10}$ | 1.08 (0.91, 1.29) | 0.76 (0.69, 0.84) | < 0.001 |
| NO$_{2}$ | 1.00 (0.88, 1.14) | 0.72 (0.65, 0.80) | < 0.001 |
| **Current wheeze** |           |
| PM$_{1}$ | 1.07 (0.92, 1.25) | 0.86 (0.79, 0.94) | 0.011 |
| PM$_{2.5}$ | 1.06 (0.92, 1.23) | 0.87 (0.80, 0.94) | 0.017 |
| PM$_{10}$ | 1.06 (0.92, 1.23) | 0.87 (0.80, 0.94) | 0.017 |
| NO$_{2}$ | 1.02 (0.92, 1.14) | 0.84 (0.77, 0.91) | 0.003 |

NDVI: normalized difference vegetation index; PM$_{1}$, particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq$ 1 µm; PM$_{2.5}$, particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq$ 2.5 µm; PM$_{10}$, particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq$ 10 µm; NO$_{2}$, nitrogen dioxide.

a Models adjusted for age, gender, parental education, family income, breastfeeding, low birthweight, preterm, residential area, SHS, mould in home, home coal usage, and family history of asthma.

b Lower exposure indicated the air pollution level $\leq$ 1st quartile, higher exposure indicated the air pollution level $> 1$st quartile; the 1st quartile in PM$_{1}$: 41.1 µg/m$^3$; in PM$_{2.5}$: 48.8 µg/m$^3$; in PM$_{10}$: 89.3 µg/m$^3$; and in NO$_{2}$: 31.1 µg/m$^3$.

3.3. Mediation analyses

Mediation analysis models showed that air pollution exposure and doctor-diagnosed allergy symptoms may mediate the association estimates were not influenced by additional adjustments for PM$_{10}$ and NO$_{2}$ concentrations collected from air monitoring station (model 3 and model 4, Table 3). Similar results were also observed in models additionally adjusting for PM$_{1}$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and NO$_{2}$ concentrations derived from prediction models (model 2- model 5, Table S3).

When we used greenness levels in the lower tertile (1st tertile) as reference, we found that higher greenness surrounding schools was related to lower prevalence of asthma and wheeze in schoolchildren in a dose-response manner ($P$ for trend $< 0.001$, Fig. 1). A similar trend was also observed for the SAVI index in both 300 m and 1000 m buffers (Table S4).

![Figure 1](image-url)
We further evaluated the robustness of our results by excluding children with family history of asthma who may have a genetic predisposition for asthma (Table S9). The results were consistent with the main findings.

4. Discussion

Our findings suggest a beneficial association of greenness surrounding schools with childhood asthma, particularly in higher air pollution areas. To our knowledge, our study is the first to link the greenness surrounding schools with asthma symptoms in schoolchildren and adds evidence to the limited literatures on the associations of children health with green spaces.

In recent years, the association between urban green spaces and respiratory conditions in children has been investigated with inconsistent findings (Ferrante et al., 2020; Hartley et al., 2020; Lambert et al., 2017; Lovasi et al., 2013). These inconsistencies are likely due to differences in types of study design (e.g., birth cohort vs. cross-sectional study), greenness assessment, exposure timing (e.g., exposure in early life vs. exposure in later life), and the region-specific confounding factors (Davdand et al., 2014; Ferrante et al., 2020; Fuertes et al., 2016; Tischer et al., 2017). Our findings, however, were in line with several previous observations which reported beneficial associations of residential greenness exposure and respiratory outcomes. A 10-year follow-up of a Canadian birth cohort suggested that residential greenness exposure was associated with reduced incidence of asthma in children living in metropolitan areas (Sibhi et al., 2015). A similar result was reported by Tischer et al. (2017) who found a protective association between residential green spaces and childhood wheezing in Spanish children. A large New Zealand birth cohort indicated that higher residential greenness and proximity to green spaces were inversely related to wheezing in children at 4 years old (Donovan et al., 2018). In addition, these authors reported that greenness may provide greater protection for asthma in children living in urban areas (exposed to higher air pollution levels) compared with those living in rural areas (Donovan et al., 2018). Alcock et al (2017) also reported that higher tree density was associated with lower asthma hospitalisation in highly polluted areas while no significant association was observed in low polluted areas. Consistent with these findings, we observed stronger beneficial associations of greenness surrounding schools and asthma in children living in areas that had higher levels of air pollution compared to low air pollution.

School is an important location for moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity which is associated with higher inhalation rates than during rest or sleep at home (Ma et al., 2019). Therefore, a favorable environment, such as lower air pollution and less traffic noise within and around schools may be beneficial to the well-being in children. In this study, we found higher school greenness exposure was associated with lower current asthma among schoolchildren with a clear dose-response pattern. However, the observed associations were heterogeneous across seven cities, in which significant associations were observed in three cities accounting for 54.5% of the study population. A similar finding was observed by Fuertes et al. (2016), who reported that the direction of associations between residential greenness and childhood allergic rhinitis in seven birth cohorts varied by region. In a birth cohort located in Euro-Siberian and Mediterranean, Tischer et al. (2017) also reported that associations between residential greenness and respiratory outcomes differed by region. These authors suggested that the observed effects may be driven by chance or unknown region-specific confounding factors. Further research considering region-specific characteristics and a range of relevant pathways will help to explore the remaining uncertainties.

The mechanism for the effects of greenness exposure remains unclear. However, it has been reported that the beneficial effects linked with childhood asthma symptoms may be partially explained by the reduced air pollution (Franchini and Mannucci, 2018). There is evidence that planting roadside trees decreases ambient particulate matter concentrations, including ultrafine particles (Hagler et al., 2012) and reduces the heavy metal concentrations in PM2.5 (Ozdemir, 2019). In a study investigating the association between greenness within and surrounding school boundaries and levels of TRAPs, Davdand et al. (2015) found that higher NDVI around schools was associated with reduced TRAP levels both in school playgrounds and in the classrooms. In this study, mediation analysis suggested that mitigation in air pollutants by vegetation may partly explain our observed beneficial associations with greenness. However, we need to interpret this result with caution because we cannot provide the temporal patterns of the negative associations between greenness exposure and air pollution.

Another potential reason for the associations between greenness and health effects is that greenness protects against inflammatory responses through more diverse microbial environment (Rook, 2013). Increasing evidence indicates that exposure to a more diverse microbial environment might lead to an enhanced immune tolerance and protect against asthma development (von Mutius, 2016). By using an Australian national-wide dataset, Liddicoat et al. (2018) suggested the possibility of a protective immunomodulatory effect from microbial diversity associated with natural green environments. Specifically, schoolchildren living in a more environmentally biodiverse areas were found to have higher genetic diversity in the bacteria on their skins, which was inversely related to atopic sensitization (Hanski et al., 2012). A similar phenomenon was found for children who lived on farms, exposed to a wider range of environmental microbes, and explained a protective effect on the development of asthma, which also supported the hypothesis of “environmental microbiome” (Ege et al., 2011). However, other unknown biological mechanisms related to greenness exposure may also contribute to the observed beneficial associations.

This is the first study to investigate the association between greenness surrounding schools and asthma symptoms in a general paediatric population. The major strength of our study includes the large number of study subjects from 94 schools in seven cities which allowed sufficient power to detect these associations. Second, a wide range of covariates ensured comprehensive adjustment for potential confounders and modifiers and the ability to test for the robustness of our findings in various sensitivity analyses. Third, we used two greenness indices at different buffers as objective greenness exposure measurements and they both found similar results.

Our study also has some limitations. First, the cross-sectional design limited the causal inference of the observed associations. One-point-in-time greenness measure may also result in systematic measurement error (Donovan et al., 2018). However, the NDVI in 2014 remained highly correlated with that in 2010 which suggested the relatively high stability of greenness exposure over years in this study region. Second, outcome information and covariates were based on the self-reported questionnaires, which may induce recall error. In addition, the questionnaires did not consider all aspects of real situation in life. For example, we did not measure other important covariates, such as regional socioeconomic levels, traffic noise, and walkability to school which may induce residual confounding as well. Third, although NDVI is able to capture greenness cover, it does not allow the identification of particular types of vegetation or qualities of greenness that may influence human-environment interactions (Gernes et al., 2019). Fourth, we did not have the greenness data at residential level which make it impossible to distinguish the greenness boundaries around schools, commuting routes to school and around residential address. Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that the observed associations may also be related to residential greenness exposure or the joint effects. Fifth, we only had 94 exposure data of greenness indices and air pollution levels in this study which may introduce exposure misclassification in individuals among this large population.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, our findings suggest beneficial associations between greening surrounding schools and asthma in schoolchildren, providing new evidence to the limited investigations on health effect of green space around schools. However, we need to interpret the findings with caution due to the study limitations. Further studies are needed to confirm our results in different regions and underlay the mechanism. This finding is relevant for school decision-makers and local governments to set up a more favorable environment around schools and build asthma-friendly schools.
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