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Abstract

Early diagnosis of HIV infection in infants and children remains a challenge in resource-limited settings, with approximately half of all HIV-exposed infants receiving virological testing for HIV by the recommended age of 2 months in 2015. To reduce morbidity and mortality among HIV-infected children and close the treatment gap for HIV-infected children, there is an urgent need to evaluate existing programmatic and laboratory practices for early infant diagnosis and introduce strategies to improve identification of HIV-exposed infants and ensure access to systematic, early HIV testing, with early linkage to treatment for HIV-infected infants. This article describes progress made in follow-up of HIV-exposed infants since 2006, including remaining unmet laboratory and programmatic needs, and recommends strategies for improvement, especially those related to the implementation of point-of-care technology for early infant diagnosis.

Keywords: HIV, point-of-care, early infant diagnosis, near point-of-care

Introduction

In 2015, 1.4 million children were estimated to be living with HIV, with the vast majority living in sub-Saharan Africa.1 As prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) programs have expanded, the number of children infected with HIV annually has declined by 50% since 2010; however, 150,000 children were still newly infected with HIV in 20151 and 150,000 children died due to HIV-related causes in 2014.2 Without antiretroviral treatment (ART), more than half of HIV-infected children die by the age of two.3–8 Despite the clear need for early treatment, only 49% of children living with HIV received ART in 2015, which falls short of global treatment targets.1

The global public health community has called for rapid action through policy and program initiatives designed to increase treatment access for children living with HIV, including the U.S. President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) partnership with the Children’s Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF) for the Accelerating Children’s HIV/AIDS Treatment (ACT) Initiative and World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines recommending universal ART eligibility for all children. These initiatives highlight the need to refocus attention on improving identification of children living with HIV, including a special focus on improving earlier identification of HIV-infected infants who are at the highest risk of mortality. This article reviews programmatic gaps in follow-up of HIV-exposed infants along with progress with conventional systems for early infant diagnosis and provides recommendations for the introduction of point-of-care (POC) technologies to facilitate early diagnosis of HIV among infants.

Identification and Follow-Up of HIV-Exposed Infants: Progress and Challenges

Access to timely HIV diagnosis for HIV-exposed infants is a critical step to close the treatment coverage gap for children and reduce HIV-associated mortality for children. Because maternal HIV antibodies persist in HIV-exposed infants up to the age of 18 months, early HIV diagnosis in infants requires the use of virological testing. Although virological testing is offered at or near the time of birth to high-risk infants in the United States, WHO has previously recommended testing HIV-exposed infants in resource-limited settings using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technology at age 4–6 weeks.
weeks.\textsuperscript{9,10} This time point has been recommended to allow alignment with routine healthcare visits for immunization services and optimize detection of intrauterine, intrapartum, and early postnatal transmissions. However, despite substantial investments in building capacity for early infant diagnosis, only 42\% of HIV-exposed infants received a virological test for HIV within the first 2 months of life in 2014.\textsuperscript{4,5} In 2015, only 4 of the 21 countries in sub-Saharan Africa prioritized for PMTCT as part of the Global Plan toward the elimination of new HIV infections among children by 2015 and keeping their mothers alive (the Global Plan) and provided virological testing to more than half of HIV-exposed infants in the first 2 months of life; three countries provided testing to less than 10\% of HIV-exposed infants.\textsuperscript{1} Multiple factors contribute to the low rates of early testing of HIV-exposed infants, including the lack of diversified testing and/or sample collection sites (i.e., HIV testing or sample collection is mainly offered at PMTCT sites), stock outs of HIV testing commodities at the facility and central laboratory levels, poorly functioning sample transport networks, and delays and gaps in returning results to caregivers.\textsuperscript{4,11–14} Nuwagaba-Biribonwoha et al. showed how the lapses in return of results to caregivers strongly limited children’s access to early infant diagnosis care and treatment in Tanzania.\textsuperscript{15} Delays, at times up to several months for a caregiver to receive test results, is a critical issue given the high mortality for HIV-infected infants that are not detected and treated early.\textsuperscript{16} For those who survive, delays in treatment initiation due to delays in early HIV diagnosis contribute to poor clinical outcomes.\textsuperscript{17–19}

The clinical continuum of care for HIV-exposed infants begins with identification and treatment of HIV-infected pregnant and/or breastfeeding women and requires systematic follow-up and determination of final HIV status through the end of the breastfeeding period, with linkage to treatment for HIV-infected infants. In 2015, 77\% of pregnant women living with HIV worldwide had access to antiretroviral medicines to prevent transmission of HIV to their infants.\textsuperscript{1} While this represents substantial success in PMTCT programs, this falls short of the coverage recommended as part of virtual elimination of mother-to-child HIV transmission goals and represents gaps along the cascade of HIV-related services for pregnant and breastfeeding women. In many resource-limited settings, pregnant women choose to deliver at home or present for antenatal care late in pregnancy, thus missing opportunities for HIV testing and treatment initiation in early pregnancy and increasing the risk of mother-to-child transmission. Systematic programs for repeat HIV testing of pregnant women who test negative at their first antenatal visit need to be strengthened through delivery and breastfeeding to ensure identification of women with incident HIV infections and identification of all HIV-exposed infants.

As countries have expanded Option B+ (or “Treat All”) programs for PMTCT, increasing numbers of women are receiving ART for life. This offers the promise of protection against HIV transmission for future pregnancies; however, multiple countries have reported high rates of loss to follow-up for pregnant and breastfeeding women in Option B+ programs.\textsuperscript{20,21} Innovative strategies are being implemented to improve retention of mothers and their infants, including the use of mobile technology for patient appointment reminders and return of results from reference laboratories to clinical facilities, and enhanced engagement of communities through targeted interventions and peer-supported groups.\textsuperscript{22–24} PMTCT programs in multiple countries including Kenya, Mozambique, and Malawi have implemented monitoring and evaluation tools, including birth cohort registers and linked identifiers for mothers and infants, to facilitate longitudinal tracking of mother–infant pairs through the end of breastfeeding period.\textsuperscript{25}

Although most efforts to improve identification and follow-up of HIV-exposed infants have been located within antenatal clinic and PMTCT settings, targeted efforts are needed to reach women and their infants at other venues. For example, integrating systematic HIV testing services for women and infants into immunization clinics and other high-yield settings for pediatric HIV case finding, such as TB clinics, malnutrition clinics, and inpatient wards, offers an opportunity for identifying HIV-infected women and HIV-exposed and HIV-infected infants who are missed within the PMTCT program. To expand and integrate services outside of PMTCT settings, programs must evaluate and plan to meet human resource, training, and commodity needs.

**Access to Early Infant Diagnosis Testing: Progress and Challenges**

An important step in the expansion of early infant HIV testing services in resource-limited settings occurred at the WHO-PEPFAR meeting in Uganda in 2006,\textsuperscript{26} where dried blood spots (DBS) were designated as the preferred specimen type and DNA PCR as the recommended testing method for early infant diagnosis.\textsuperscript{27} From 2006 to 2009, PEPFAR supported early infant diagnosis expansion in >25 resource-limited countries by developing laboratory capacity for DNA PCR testing, providing proficiency testing panel free of charge for laboratory quality testing assessment, and training of laboratorians for early infant diagnosis PCR testing.\textsuperscript{28} With combined support from Ministries of Health, PEPFAR, and numerous other partners and donors, the use of DBS for early infant diagnosis has expanded and the number of laboratories performing early infant diagnosis testing has increased from 17 laboratories in 11 countries in 2006 to more than 136 laboratories in 41 countries by the end of 2012.\textsuperscript{19,29–36}

Although use of DBS specimens has facilitated sample collection and transportation, early infant diagnosis requires sophisticated nucleic acid testing, typically through high-throughput technology that is expensive,\textsuperscript{1,13,37} and also highly trained staff and highly functional laboratory infrastructure,\textsuperscript{26} which are generally present only in large, centralized laboratories (Fig. 1). Requirements for highly qualified laboratory personnel are a major barrier in resource-limited settings, where there is less than one laboratory professional for 10,000 people.\textsuperscript{38} Laboratory systems in sub-Saharan Africa also face a high turnover rate as there are often unsatisfactory working conditions and requirements for public service rotations within rural regions for laboratorians, negatively affecting both the quality and access to early infant HIV testing.\textsuperscript{39,40}

In many countries, delays in processing and communicating results, particularly in returning results from the central laboratory to the clinic and from the clinic to caregivers, limit access to early infant diagnosis.\textsuperscript{41–43} However, there have historically been limited alternatives to conventional
centralized reference laboratory systems for virological testing in resource-limited settings. Manual PCR assays, both laboratory developed and commercial, can be performed at smaller sites, but these methods are quite time-consuming and require highly skilled personnel to ensure quality testing. Most district-, regional-, and primary-level clinics were not suited for these early infant diagnosis PCR assays and had no alternative but to send specimens to the central laboratory for testing (Fig. 1).

Role of POC Technologies

POC technology may have the potential for expanding infant HIV virological testing to lower tiers throughout the laboratory network. Given the persistent challenges in sample transport and results return systems for early infant diagnosis, POC technologies that would allow for provision of results to caregivers on the same day as specimen collection offer new hope for reaching the United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS) 90-90-90 targets (by 2020, 90% of all people living with HIV will know their HIV status; 90% of all people with diagnosed HIV infection will receive sustained antiretroviral therapy; and 90% of all people receiving antiretroviral therapy will have viral suppression) for HIV-exposed infants. In June 2016, WHO prequalified the first two POC qualitative assays to be used for early infant diagnosis of HIV: the Xpert® HIV-1 Qual Assay for use on the GeneXpert Systems and the Alere™ q HIV-1/2 Detect. Both assays are easy to use, require minimal operator intervention, and produce a result rapidly (50 min on Alere q HIV-1/2 Detect; 90 min on Xpert HIV-1 Qual), although the Xpert HIV-1 Qual Assay is a near POC technology (i.e., intended for use in laboratories where electricity is accessible, cannot be operated at primary healthcare settings with no electricity). For optimal usage and the greatest impact on patient care, these new instruments will require proper placement within the existing efficient laboratory network as well as coordinated healthcare systems to ensure effective treatment and true linkage to pediatric care for HIV-positive infants. In the next section, we describe the laboratory and program considerations that will be needed and recommend some key strategies to achieve the full promise of this technology.

Recommended evaluations before introduction of POC technologies for early infant diagnosis

POC technology offers an appealing solution for scaling up early infant diagnosis testing as they tend to be small, user-friendly instruments that can be operated with minimal training by nonlaboratory staff and in clinical service delivery settings with the potential to provide accurate results within a few hours. While POC technology offers the opportunity for countries to diversify early infant diagnosis networks and scale-up early infant diagnosis both quickly and efficiently, a clearly defined roadmap to determine POC utility and suitability should be developed and implemented before implementation of POC use in routine diagnosis of HIV.

We propose a roadmap that includes the following phased-approach in evaluating and introducing POC for early infant diagnosis: phase 1 laboratory-based evaluation, phase 2 field-based evaluation, and phase 3 implementation evaluation. This roadmap involves a series of thorough evaluations completed independent of the manufacturers, on products that are considered past the experimental/research-only phase of development. Independent laboratory and field evaluations cannot be replaced by Conformitée Européenne (CE) marking or Expert Review Panel for Diagnostics (EPRD), which serve as indications for procurement for products sold in the European Economic Area44 and Global Fund purchasing,45 respectively.

Phase 1 laboratory-based evaluations are designed to confirm the manufacturer’s claim of sensitivity and specificity, as well as limit of detection, reproducibility, and operational requirements. This phase of evaluation is completed in a laboratory with experience in technology and assay evaluations. The laboratories used for the laboratory evaluation are preferably certified to International Standard Organization (ISO) or an equivalent standard. The results of this phase can be utilized for WHO prequalification, as has been done for Alere q HIV-1/2 Detect and Xpert HIV-1 Qual.
Ideally, the laboratory-based evaluation phase should be preferably completed before phase 2, the field implementation evaluations. Field evaluations are generally completed in locations of intended use. During the field evaluation phase, the results obtained from the POC device may still need to be confirmed using standard-of-care virological testing procedures in use in the country performing the evaluation. However, because of the high mortality associated with early HIV infection, countries may choose to start ART on the same day as receipt of POC diagnoses, while obtaining a DBS sample for testing using the conventional early infant diagnosis platform. However, each country may need to make this decision based on local context and turnaround times (TAT) for conventional testing. The field evaluation (phase 2 evaluation) provides valuable information about how the POC device stands up under routine use in adverse conditions as well as how the intended users utilize the testing platform. Training needs for intended users can be thoroughly vetted at this stage. Error rates are likely to be different when intended users perform the assays, and this information is tracked routinely to determine if the error rates are due to use by a specific user, inadequate training, or device issues. Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) are implemented allowing for requirements to be adjusted to fit the needs and standards of quality necessary for use in diagnosis.

Phase 3 involves implementation evaluation, which should occur after the field evaluation has been completed or as the field evaluation is concluding. This final phase of evaluation is key for a country to conduct itself to ensure that the POC device will function as described in their particular testing environment and cascade. At this point, the POC device is ready to be utilized for diagnosis and this phase of evaluation will help guide the country in developing a plan on when, where, and how to implement POC early infant diagnosis within their specific infant HIV testing algorithm. Implementation studies will help determine if the test alleviates some of the issues that are inherent with high-throughput early infant diagnosis testing and specimen transport issues and will also help determine best placement within the system for the POC devices. At a minimum, data could be gathered on number of patients tested and started on ART, TAT, cost of testing, acceptance, and feasibility versus the conventional testing method.

When all evaluations have been completed, before countrywide rollout and purchasing of POC technology to improve early infant diagnosis testing, it will be important to address issues such as the availability of POC devices and reduction of time for prequalification and regulatory approval. Special consideration would also be needed for determination of appropriate placement and integration within the healthcare system. Data gathered from the laboratory, field, and implementation evaluations will assist with these decisions. These considerations will be described in the following section.

**Determining when and where to implement POC technologies for early infant diagnosis**

To maximize the potential benefits of POC infant HIV testing, it is important to understand the lessons learned from implementation of other POC technologies, including HIV serology, CD4 POC (e.g., Pima), malaria rapid testing, on-site testing for maternal syphilis, and Xpert MTB/Rif testing for tuberculosis. Analysis of Pima placement and utilization data highlighted inefficiencies related to poor placement of machines; 34% of the sites with a Pima device used it ≤1 time per day, while 30% of the sites where Pima was implemented had easy access to a referral laboratory and did not need a POC device. Also, despite reducing time to tuberculosis diagnosis, Xpert/Rif implementation did not reduce mortality at 6 months compared with sputum microscopy, leading to the observation that improving outcomes in drug-sensitive tuberculosis programs might require not only more rapid diagnostic tests but also better linkage to care. Other studies have demonstrated the benefit of POC technology, including showing that Pima enabled clinics to stage patients rapidly onsite after enrolment, improving assessment for ART eligibility and reducing opportunities for pretreatment loss to follow-up. As a result, more patients were identified as eligible for and were initiated on ART, supporting the fact that CD4 POC could be an effective intervention to reduce pretreatment loss to follow-up.

When planning for the introduction of POC testing for infant HIV testing, it is important that countries view this technology as an extension of the conventional laboratory network for early infant diagnosis rather than a substitute for or competition with a fully functional laboratory system. Even with the introduction of POC devices, systems for monitoring and evaluation, proficiency testing, and external QA of early infant diagnosis testing will rely on linkages with conventional laboratory networks. Most POC testing can be performed by all cadres of healthcare staff with appropriate training, but task sharing to reduce the additional work must be acceptable and adequately planned for within the country, including ensuring that all cadres of workers who will utilize POC technology will receive in-depth training. They will also need to be encouraged to have functional and ongoing collaborations with the laboratories at the district and central levels where QA and QC for POC testing should be implemented and coordinated. Collaboration across laboratory levels for training, as well as monitoring of results for QA purposes, is essential to ensure quality early infant diagnosis testing.

Addition of POC testing into any early infant diagnosis cascade will also require determining how to incorporate this technology into the country’s current testing algorithm. Countries will need to determine whether the POC test result will function as a stand-alone result or whether confirmation will be required from another blood sample, including whether samples should be tested with the same POC device or with another type of test. While WHO recommends performing a confirmatory HIV test by another tester with another sample before a patient is given ART, a POC virological test result might be very well suited as a first test to initiate treatment for an infant or child to minimize the risk of loss-to-follow up and HIV-related mortality while waiting for a confirmatory test either from another blood draw test on the same POC device or tested by other means such as conventional PCR.

Considerable planning of existing early infant diagnosis and laboratory infrastructure is also needed to define where POC devices will be best utilized. Mapping the needs of each country may be performed to ensure that each POC device is placed appropriately as these devices may fill several different niches depending on the country and existing
laboratory networks. Figure 2A shows how peripheral sites close to a hub can send their specimens to the hub, which then sends them to the level III high-throughput laboratory. Figure 2B shows how a testing site serving nearby collection sites with a substantial number of specimens (a district or regional hospital/health center) might need more than one POC device for an efficient testing TAT, which might not be an efficient usage of a POC instrument. Placement of a near-POC device, such as Xpert, in a district or regional laboratory will allow nearby collection sites to use that instrument efficiently. The placement of multiple POC instruments in a testing site to meet the site’s testing needs should be avoided as much as possible for a more efficient usage of each device. Nearby specimen referral hub systems have been shown to efficiently receive specimens from several sites with low daily or weekly specimens’ number and transport them to regional, provincial, or reference laboratories. The reverse process (reference to regional or provincial laboratories to hub to peripheral sites) can then be used for results return. Other strategies for results return include the use of short message service (SMS) printers and other cell phone technologies, which can make the result return process even more efficient.

For example, use of the volunteer quality corps (Q-corps) officers (a concept modeled on the U.S. Peace Corps program used to support and improve community projects in various departments, including health, agriculture, commerce, and education) has significantly reduced the TAT from 30 to 5 days for Cameroon HIV diagnosis QA testing program.

Overall, POC testing may be particularly important for early identification of HIV-infected infants and children in remote settings, at immunization clinics, and other targeted intervention sites (Fig. 2C), and may facilitate early linkage to ART, which may ultimately be life-saving for severely ill infants and children. Providing virological testing at or near the sick child, including in the inpatient pediatric wards, tuberculosis clinics, programs for malnourished children, immunization services, maternity wards, adult HIV clinics, and/or any others departments within the health facility where HIV-exposed and/or sick infants may be found, may significantly increase access to early infant HIV diagnosis. Testing at sites where sick children receive services may allow for more rapid results return to the physician or nurse in charge of the child’s health management and may facilitate more rapid caregiver notification and prompt treatment initiation. However, performing early infant diagnosis testing outside of the laboratory will require additional planning, training, coordination, and monitoring to be successful. There may not be one perfect setting for POC testing, and for high-volume sites, POC devices will most likely not meet testing demands.

Even with POC testing, effective systems for communication between all the individuals involved in the continuum of care for HIV-exposed and HIV-infected infants will still be required. Expanding access to early infant HIV testing supports multiple global health goals, including efforts to achieve the UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets for children and the call for universal health coverage.

**Conclusion**

POC infant HIV testing offers many opportunities, especially in a context of universal health coverage; however, to maximize their full potential for facilitating early linkage to HIV care and treatment, early infant diagnosis POC must not be seen as a stand-alone solution and must instead be properly integrated into laboratory and clinical networks. Today, two early infant diagnosis POC that are CE-marked have been thoroughly and independently evaluated and approved by WHO prequalification program. As countries gear up for their usage and the introduction of additional technologies, the following components of planning will be critical: (1) planning, communication, and coordination between all entities involved in the care and treatment cascade of children; (2) training of healthcare workers and laboratorians on all aspects of early infant HIV diagnosis, including new POC technologies; (3) integration of POC devices within the existing laboratory network; (4) implementation of a strong QA and QC program for POC devices; and (5) monitoring and evaluation to allow implementation of improvement processes.
Following the steps outlined above will help ensure that each level of the clinical and laboratory system is covered (either by specimen transport, POC infant HIV testing, or conventional virological testing) and will facilitate the greatest access, coverage, and impact of this critical service. POC testing may close the gaps within the early infant diagnosis testing and treatment cascade, and link additional infants to care and treatment, however, improving existing laboratory network infrastructure will be important as well. For early infant diagnosis POC to positively impact pediatric care and treatment, these technologies cannot be implemented without careful assessment and strengthening of the entire clinical and laboratory cascade.
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