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Abstract

Output characteristics of the European XFEL have been previously studied assuming an operation point at 5 kA peak current. Here we explore the possibility to go well beyond such nominal peak current level. We consider a bunch with 0.25 nC charge, compressed up to a peak current of 45 kA. An advantage of operating at such high peak current is the increase of the x-ray output peak power without any modification to the baseline design. Based on start-to-end simulations, we demonstrate that such high peak current, combined with undulator tapering, allows one to achieve up to a 100-fold increase in a peak power in the conventional SASE regime, compared to the nominal mode of operation. In particular, we find that 10 TW-power level, femtosecond x-ray pulses can be generated in the photon energy range between 3 keV and 5 keV, which is optimal for single biomolecule imaging. Our simulations are based on the exploitation of all the 21 cells foreseen for the SASE3 undulator beamline, and indicate that one can achieve diffraction to the desired resolution with 15 mJ (corresponding to about $3 \cdot 10^{13}$ photons) in pulses of about 3 fs, in the case of a 100 nm focus at the photon energy of 3.5 keV.

INTRODUCTION

Imaging of single molecules at atomic resolution using radiation from the European XFEL facility would enable a significant advance in structural biology, because it would provide means to obtain structural information of large macromolecular assemblies that cannot crystalize, for example membrane proteins. The imaging method “diffraction before destruction” [1]- [5] requires pulses containing enough photons to produce measurable diffraction patterns, and short enough to outrun radiation damage. The highest signals are achieved at the longest wavelength that supports a given resolution, which should be better than 0.3 nm. These considerations suggest that the ideal energy range for single biomolecule imaging spans between 3 keV and 5 keV [6]. The key metric for optimizing a photon source for single biomolecule imaging is the peak power. Ideally, the peak power should be of the order of 10 TW [7].

The baseline SASE undulator sources at the European XFEL will saturate at about 50 GW [8]. While this limit is very far from the 10 TW-level required for imaging single biomolecules, a proposal exists to improve the output power at the European XFEL by combining self-seeding [9]- [28], emittance spoiler foil [29]- [31], and undulator tapering techniques [32]- [42]. However, the realization of such proposal requires installing additional hardware in the undulator system and in the bunch compressor [7]. Here we explore a simpler method to reach practically the same result without additional hardware. This solution is based on the advantages of the European XFEL accelerator complex, which allows one to go well beyond the nominal 5 kA peak current.

The generation of x-ray SASE pulses at the European XFEL using strongly compressed electron bunches has many advantages, primarily because of the very high peak power, and very short pulse duration that can be achieved in this way [43]. Considering the baseline configuration of the European XFEL [8], and based on start-to-end simulations, we demonstrate here that it is possible to achieve a 100-fold increase in peak power by strongly compressing electron bunches with nominal charge. In this way we show that 10 TW power level, 3 fs-long pulses at photon energies around 4 keV can be achieved in the SASE regime. This example illustrates the potential for improving the performance of the European XFEL without additional hardware.

The solution to generate 10 TW power level proposed in this article is not without complexities. The price for using a very high peak-current is a large energy chirp within the electron bunch, yielding in its turn a large (about 1%) SASE radiation bandwidth. However, there are very important applications like bio-imaging, where such extra-pink x-ray beam has a sufficiently narrow bandwidth to be used as a source for experiments without further monochromatization.

In order to enable high focus efficiency with commercially available mirrors (80 cm-long) at photon energies around 4 keV, the undulator source needs to be located as close as possible to the bio-imaging instrument. With this in mind we performed simulations for the baseline SASE3 undulator of the European XFEL at a nominal electron beam energy of 17.5 GeV. We optimized our setup based on start-to-end simulations for an electron beam with 0.25 nC charge, compressed up to 45 kA peak current [44]. In this way, the SASE saturation power could be increased to about 0.5 TW.

In order to generate high-power x-ray pulses we exploit undulator tapering. Tapering consists in a slow reduction of the field strength of the undulator in order to preserve the resonance wavelength, while the kinetic energy of the electrons decreases due to the FEL process. The undulator taper can be simply implemented as discrete steps from one undulator segment to the next, by changing the undulator
Table 1: European XFEL Parameters Used in this Paper

| Units                |                    |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Undulator period mm  | 68                 |
| Periods per cell     | 73                 |
| Total number of cells| 21                 |
| Intersection length  | m 1.1              |
| Energy GeV           | 17.5               |
| Charge nC            | 0.25               |

gap. In this way, the output power of the SASE3 undulator could be increased from the value of 0.5 TW in the SASE saturation regime to about 5 TW. The SASE3 undulator with 21 cells consists of two parts. The first is composed by an uniform undulator, the second consists of a tapered undulator. The SASE signal is exponentially amplified passing through the first uniform part. This is long enough, 9 cells, in order to reach saturation, which yields about 0.5 TW power. Finally, in the second part of the undulator the SASE output is enhanced up to 5 TW by taking advantage of magnetic field tapering over the last 12 cells.

From all applications of XFELs for life sciences, the main expectation and the main challenge is the determination of 3D structures of biomolecules and their complexes from diffraction images of single particles. Parameters of the accelerator complex and availability of long baseline undulators at the European XFEL offer the opportunity to build a beamline suitable for single molecular imaging experiments from the very beginning of the operation phase. In the next decade, no other infrastructure will offer such high peak current (up to about 50 kA) and high electron beam energy (up to about 17.5 GeV) enabling 10 TW mode of operation in the simplest SASE regime.

**FEL STUDIES**

We present a feasibility study of the setup described above with the help of the FEL code Genesis 1.3 [45] running on a parallel machine. Results are presented for the SASE3 FEL line of the European XFEL, based on a statistical analysis consisting of 100 runs. The overall beam parameters used in the simulations are presented in Table 1.

The beam parameters at the entrance of the SASE3 undulator, and the resistive wake inside the undulator are shown in Fig. 1, see also [44]. Full tracking calculations were used to find a new set of electron bunch parameters at the entrance of baseline undulators. The main effects influencing the electron beam acceleration and transport, such as space charge force, rf wakefields and coherent synchrotron radiation (CSR) effects inside magnetic compressors have been included. Our calculations account for both wakes and quantum fluctuations in the SASE1 undulator.

Using a bunch with larger slice emittance and energy spread, but also higher peak current, does not necessarily complicates reaching SASE saturation, because the increased peak current eases the effects of the increased longitudinal velocity spread. For example, the final normalized slice emittance in the 45 kA case studied here is about 4 µm, but the SASE saturation length is in the very safe range of 9 undulator cells at photon energies around 4 keV. The extreme working point at 45 kA peak current is very interesting, because the radiation peak power at saturation is ten-fold increased up to about 0.5 TW. The problem with operation at higher peak current is that wake fields become larger and, therefore, the energy chirp within the electron bunch becomes in its turn more and more important. In our case of interest, the variation in the electron energy (up to about 17.5 GeV) enabling 10 TW mode of operation in the simplest SASE regime.

![Figure 1: Results from electron beam start-to-end simulations at the entrance of SASE3. (First Row, Left) Current profile. (First Row, Right) Normalized emittance as a function of the position inside the electron beam. (Second Row, Left) Energy profile along the beam. (Second Row, Right) Electron beam energy spread profile. (Bottom row) Resistive wakefields in the SASE3 undulator.](image1)

![Figure 2: Evolution of the horizontal and vertical dimensions of the electron bunch as a function of the distance inside the SASE3 undulator. The plots refer to the longitudinal position inside the bunch corresponding to the maximum current value.](image2)
energy comparable or larger than the Pierce parameter ρ
within a cooperation length). Specifically, simulations show
that the large energy chirp along the electron bunch only
yields a large (about 1%) output radiation bandwidth.

Due to collective effects in the bunch compression sys-
tem, emittances in the horizontal and vertical directions are
significantly different. As a result, the electron beam looks
highly asymmetric in the transverse plane: in the horizon-
tal direction σx ∼ 20μm, while in the vertical direction
σy ∼ 50μm. The evolution of the transverse electron bunch
dimensions are plotted in Fig. 2. The evolution of the trans-
verse electron bunch dimensions is plotted in Fig. 2, and
the correspondent quadrupole strength is shown in Fig. 3.
The undulator is tapered according to the law in Fig. 4. The
quadrupole strength and the tapering have been optimized
to maximize the final output power.

The output characteristics, in terms of power and spec-
trum, are plotted in Fig. 5. Inspection of the plots shows that
one can reach 5 TW pulses with a bandwidth of about 1%. Fig.
6 shows the distribution of the radiation pulse energy
per unit surface and angular distribution of the exit of the
setup. Finally, in Fig. 7 we plot the evolution of the output
energy in the photon pulse and of the variance of the energy fluctuation as a function of the distance inside the output undulator, with tapering. Grey lines refer to single shot realizations, the black line refers to the average over a hundred realizations.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The nominal design parameters for the European XFEL
for a 0.25 nC electron bunch, which allow for SASE satu-
ration with 0.4 μm normalized slice emittance and 5 kA peak
current are described in [46]. In this article we note that the
European XFEL accelerator complex is flexible enough to be reconfigured for much higher bunch peak-current. In this
case, the new beam parameters are simply set in the con-
trol room, and do not require hardware modifications in the
tunnel. This flexibility is demonstrated by studying the new
acceleration and compression parameters required over a
wide range of a peak current values well beyond the nominal
5 kA [44]. For each case, full tracking calculations were
used to find a new set of electron bunch parameters at the
entrance of baseline undulators. In this paper we considered
the extreme working point at 45 kA peak current, where the
radiation peak power at saturation is ten-fold increased up
to about 0.5 TW. This approach allows one to increase the
peak power to 5 TW by taking advantage of an undulator mag-
netic-field taper over the baseline SASE3 undulator.
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