Stationary spacetime from intersecting M-branes
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Abstract
We study a stationary ‘black’ brane in M/superstring theory. Assuming BPS-type relations between the first-order derivatives of metric functions, we present general stationary black brane solutions with a time-like Killing vector for the Einstein equations in D-dimensions. The solutions are given by a few independent harmonic equations (and plus the Poisson equation). General solutions are constructed by superposition of a complete set of those harmonic functions. Using the hyperspherical coordinate system, we explicitly give the solutions in 11-dimensional M theory for the case with M2坓 M2坓 M2 intersecting branes. Compactifying these solutions into five dimensions, we show that these solutions include the supersymmetric black ring solution. We prove that the solutions preserve the 1/8 supersymmetry if the gravitoelectromagnetic field F_{ij}, which is a rotational part of gravity, is self-dual, or to add the additional constraint for the integral constants.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Yb, 98.80.Cq

1. Introduction
Black holes are now one of the most important subjects in string theory. The Beckenstein-Hawking black hole entropy of an extreme black hole is obtained in string theory by statistical counting of the corresponding microscopic states [1]. While, we have found several interesting black hole solutions in supergravity theories [2–7], which are obtained as an effective theory of a superstring model in a low energy limit. We also know black hole solutions in a higher-dimensional spacetime [8, 9], which play a key role in a unified theory such as string theory. In higher dimensions, because there is no uniqueness theorem of black holes [10–12], we have a variety of ‘black’ objects such as a black brane [13–16]. One of the most remarkable solutions is a black ring, whose horizon has a topology of S^1 × S^2 [17].
Among such ‘black’ objects, supersymmetric ones are very important. The black hole solutions in a supergravity include the higher-order effects of a string coupling constant, although these are solutions in a low energy limit. On the other hand, the counting of states of corresponding branes is performed at the lowest order of a string coupling. The results of these two calculations need not coincide each other. However, if there is supersymmetry, these should be the same because the numbers of dynamical freedom cannot be different in these BPS representations. Therefore, supersymmetric black hole (or black ring) solutions are often discussed in many literatures [18–22].

The classification of supersymmetric solutions in minimal \( N = 2 \) supergravity in \( D = 4 \) was first performed by a time-like or null Killing spinor [23]. Recently, solutions in minimal \( N = 1 \) supergravity in \( D = 5 \) have been classified into two classes by use of G-structure analysis [24]. The six-dimensional minimal supergravity has also been discussed [25].

However, the fundamental theory is constructed in either ten or eleven dimensions. When we discuss the entropy of black holes, we have to show the relation between those supersymmetric black holes and more fundamental ‘black’ branes in either \( D = 10 \) or \( 11 \), from which we obtain ‘black’ holes (or rings) via compactification. The entropy is microscopically described by the charges of branes [26]. A supersymmetric rotating solution is obtained by compactification from M or type II supergravity [27]. The supersymmetric rotating black ring solution is found [28, 29]. Such solutions are obtained also in lower dimensions. These solutions are in fact new classes of rotating solutions in four- or five-dimensional supergravity. The existence of such solutions suggests that the uniqueness theorem of black holes is no longer valid even in supersymmetric spacetime if the dimension is five or higher [30]. Thus we may need to construct more generic ‘black’brane solutions in the fundamental theory and the black holes by some compactification. M-theory is the best candidate for such a unified theory. Since its low energy limit coincides with the 11-dimensional supergravity, it provides a natural framework to study ‘black’ brane or BPS brane solutions.

In this paper we study a class of intersecting brane solutions in \( D \)-dimensions with a \((d−1)\)-dimensional transverse conformally flat space. We start with a generic form of the metric and solve the field equations of the supergravity (the Einstein equations and the equations for form fields). Assuming the intersection rule for the intersecting branes, which is the same as that derived in a spherically symmetric case [31], we derive the equations for each metric.

2. Basic equations for a stationary spacetime with branes

We first present the basic equations for a stationary spacetime with intersecting branes and describe how to construct generic solutions. We consider the following bosonic sector of a low energy effective action of superstring theory or M-theory in \( D \) dimensions \( (D \leq 11) \):

\[
S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int d^D X \sqrt{-g} \left[ \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \psi)^2 - \sum_A \frac{1}{2} \alpha_A \phi F_{nA}^2 \right] - (C.S),
\]

where \( \mathcal{R} \) is the Ricci scalar of a spacetime metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \), \( F_{nA} \) is the field strength of an arbitrary \( n \)-form with a degree \( n_A (\leq D/2) \) and \( \alpha_A \) is its coupling constant with a dilaton field \( \phi \). Each index \( A \) describes a different type of brane. The term of \((C.S)\) is the Chern–Simon term, thus we find the Chern–Simon term in the M-theory as

\[
(CS)_M = \frac{1}{4! \cdot 4\pi G_{11}} \int C_4 \wedge F_4 \wedge F_4,
\]

where \( C_4 \) is the Chern–Simons 4-form.
where $C_3$ is a 3-form gauge field and $F_4$ is a field strength of the 3-form gauge field. Although we leave the spacetime dimension $D$ free, the present action is most suitable for describing the bosonic part of $D = 10$ or $D = 11$ supergravity.

As for a metric form for a spacetime with intersecting branes, we assume the following metric form:

$$ ds^2 = -e^{2\xi} (dt + A)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} e^{2\psi_i} dx_i^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{p} e^{2\omega_a} dy_a^2, $$

(3)

where $D = d + p$ and the dual basis $\theta^A$ is given by

$$ \theta^i = e^i (dt + A), \quad \theta^j = e^j dx^j, \quad \theta^a = e^a dy^a. $$

(4)

This metric form includes rotation of spacetime. Since we are interested in a stationary solution, we assume that the metric components $f, A = A_i dx^i, \xi, \eta$ and $\zeta_a$ depend only on the spatial coordinates $x^i$ in $d$-dimensions, which are given by $\{t, x^i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, d - 1)\}$. In this setting, we set each brane $A$ in a submanifold of $p$-spatial dimensions, whose coordinates are given by $\{y_a (a = 1, 2, \ldots, p)\}$. Note that the solution in this metric form is invariant under the gauge transformation, $A \rightarrow A + d\Lambda, t \rightarrow t - \Lambda$.

As for the $n_A$-form field with a $q_A$-brane, we assume that the source brane exists in the coordinates $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{q_A}\}$. The form field generated by an ‘electric’ charge is given by the following form:

$$ F_{n_A} = \partial_j E_A dx^j \wedge dt \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{q_A} + \partial_i B_A^A dx^i \wedge dt \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{q_A}, $$

(5)

where $n_A = q_A + 2$ and $E_A$ and $B_A^A$ are scalar and vector potentials. This setting automatically guarantees the Bianchi identity.

We can also discuss the form field generated by a ‘magnetic’ charge by use of a dual $n_A$-field with a $q_A$-brane, which is obtained by a dual transformation of the $n_A$-field with a $q_A$-brane ($n_A \equiv D - q_A, q_A \equiv n_A - 2$). In other words, the field components of $F_{n_A}$ generated by a ‘magnetic’ charge are described by the same form of (5) of the dual field $*F_{n_A} = F_{n_A}$. We then treat $F_{n_A}$, which is generated by a ‘magnetic’ charge, as another independent form field with a different brane from $F_{n_A}$, which is generated by an ‘electric’ charge, when we sum up by the types of branes $A$.

We obtain our metric in $D$-dimensions as

$$ ds_D^2 = \prod_A^{n_A} H_A^{-\frac{q_A + 1}{2}} \left[ -\prod_B^{D-2} H_B^{-\frac{D-2}{2}} (dt + A)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} dx_j^2 + \sum_{a=1}^{p} \prod_A^{n_A} H_A^{-\frac{q_A}{2}} dy_a^2 \right]. $$

(6)

where

$$ \Delta_A = (q_A + 1)(D - q_A - 3) + \frac{D - 2}{2} a_A^2, $$

$$ \gamma_{aA} = \delta_{aA} + q_A + 1 = \begin{cases} D - 2 & \alpha = \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{q_A} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. $$

(7)

3. ‘Black’ brane solutions with M2–M2–M2 branes: the case of $d = 5$

We consider solutions in five dimensions via torus compactification. There are three M2-branes. The metric in five dimensions is written by

$$ ds_5^2 = -\Sigma^2 \left( dt + \frac{A}{2} \right)^2 + \Sigma^{-1} ds_{\Sigma^2}, $$

(8)
where \( \Xi = [H_2H_5(1 + f)]^{-1/3} \). The unknown functions \( H_A(A = 2, 5), A_i \) and \( f \) satisfy the following equations:

\[
\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(A)} \equiv 2H_A \left( A_i \partial_j E_A + \partial_i B_j^A \right) = \mathcal{F}_{ij} + H_Aq_{ij}^{(A)}
\]

(9)

\[
\partial_j q_{ij}^{(A)} = 0
\]

(10)

\[
\partial^2 H_A = \frac{1}{2}q_{ij}^{(B)} q_{ij}^{(C)}
\]

(11)

where \( \mathcal{F}_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i \), and we get a constraint about \( H_A \) as

\[
Q_{ij} = \sum A H_Aq_{ij}^{(A)} = 0.
\]

(15)

In what follows, adopting the hyperspherical coordinates as a curvilinear coordinate system, we show explicitly how to construct the exact solutions.

Now we look out the supersymmetric condition, which can be shown by the Killing spinor equation for the Majorana spinor \( \epsilon \) as

\[
\delta \psi_a = \left[ \epsilon^{\mu} a \partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} \omega^{bc} a \gamma_{bc} + \frac{1}{288} (\gamma_{a}^{bcd} - 8 \delta_{a}^{bc} \gamma^{cde}) F_{bcd} \right] \epsilon = 0.
\]

(12)

Then we must choose the chiral condition

\[
\gamma^{ia} a = \gamma^{ia} a = \gamma^{ia} a = 1,
\]

(13)

and the anti-self-dual for the deference between the \( \mathcal{F}_{ij} \) and \( \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(A)} \), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(A)} = \mathcal{F}_{ij} + H_A q_{ij}^{(A)},
\]

(14)

where \( \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(A)} \) is a self-dual and \( q_{ij}^{(A)} \) is an anti-self-dual. Also \( q_{ij}^{(A)} \) must satisfy the

\[
Q_{ij} = \sum A H_Aq_{ij}^{(A)} = 0,
\]

(15)

or we must choose \( \mathcal{F}_{ij} = \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(A)} \) where \( \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(A)} \) is self-dual.

4. Hyperpolorical coordinates

Our next example is the hyperpolorical coordinates \( (\xi, \eta, \phi, \psi) \), which are defined by the transformation

\[
x_1 + ix_2 = \frac{R}{\sinh \xi} \cos \eta e^{i\psi}, \quad x_3 + ix_4 = \frac{R}{\sin \xi} \cos \eta e^{i\phi},
\]

(16)

where \( \xi \geq 0, \eta \leq \pi \), and \( 0 \leq \phi, \psi \leq 2\pi \). This coordinates could be used to describe a ring topology. In this case, the infinity corresponds to \( \xi = 0 \), which also describes one of the symmetric axes.

The line element is given by

\[
ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{(\cosh \xi - \cos \eta)^2} (d\xi^2 + \sinh^2 \xi d\psi^2 + d\eta^2 + \sin^2 \eta d\phi^2).
\]

(17)

We can find the general solution by using the hypergeometric function, but it is too complicated. Thus we show the typical case with the BPS sate \( q_{\phi}^{A} = q_{\psi}^{A} = q^{A} \). The case is already given by [29], named supersymmetric black ring solution, as

\[
H_A = 1 + \frac{Q_A - q^{B} q^{C}}{2R^2} + \frac{q^{B} q^{C}}{4R^2} (\cosh \xi + \cos \eta),
\]

(18)

where we assume the regularity on the symmetric axis. \( Q_A \) is an arbitrary constant.
The spacial solutions for the rotating terms of the metric are

\[ A_\phi = -\frac{\sin^2 \eta}{8R^2} \left[ \sum_X q_X q_X^* - q_A q_A^* q_A^* (3 - \cosh \xi - \cos \eta) \right] \] (19)

\[ A_\psi = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_A q_A (\cosh \xi - 1) - \frac{\sinh^2 \xi}{8R^2} \left[ \sum_X q_X q_X^* - q_A q_A^* q_A^* (3 - \cosh \xi - \cos \eta) \right]. \] (20)

This is a solution of supersymmetric black ring solutions which was introduced by Elvang et al. ([29]).

However we consider the condition of \( Q_{ij} = 0, A_\phi \) and \( A_\psi \) are vanishing. Non-rotating black ring solution has a deficit angle, thus this solution is not a black hole solution.

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have studied a stationary ‘black’ brane solution in M/superstring theory. Assuming a BPS-type relation between the first-order derivatives of metric functions, we have shown how to construct a stationary ‘black’ brane solution with a time-like Killing vector.

Using the hyperbipolor coordinate system, we present exact solutions in 11-dimensional M theory for the case with \( M_2 \perp M_2 \perp M_2 \) intersecting branes with Chern–Simons terms. Compactiflying these solutions into five dimensions, we show that these solutions include the supersymmetric black ring solutions, but there is another constraint for the supersymmetry the angular momentum of black ring is banishing.

Although we assume the BPS-type relations for the metric, we have to solve the elliptic-type differential equations if we want to find most general solutions, especially non-BPS spacetimes. For this purpose, we need a completely different approach such as a soliton technique to generate new solutions [34].

We have found the BPS and non-BPS rotating asymptotically flat stringy black holes, from which we may learn more about connections between microscopic and macroscopic states of gravitating objects. In our framework, we consider a toroidally compactified string theory, but one may embed the BMPV type geometry in M-theory compactified on generic Calabi–Yau spaces, which would be more interesting.

References

[1] Strominger A and Vafa C 1996 Phys. Lett. B 379 99 (Preprint hep-th/9601029)
[2] Gibbons G W 1982 Nucl. Phys. B 207 337
[3] Myers R C 1987 Nucl. Phys. B 289 701
[4] Gibbons G W and Maeda K 1988 Nucl. Phys. B 298 741
[5] Callan C G, Myers R C and Perry M J 1988 Nucl. Phys. B 311 673
[6] Garfinkle D, Horowitz G T and Strominger A 1991 Phys. Rev. D 43 3140
[7] Garfinkle D, Horowitz G T and Strominger A 1992 Phys. Rev. D 45 3888 (erratum)
[8] Horowitz G T and Strominger A 1991 Nucl. Phys. B 360 197
[9] Tangherlini F R 1963 Nuovo Cimento 27 636
[10] Myers R C and Perry M J 1986 Ann. Phys. 172 304
[11] Gibbons G W, Ida D and Shromizu T 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 041101 (Preprint hep-th/0206136)
[12] Reall H S 2003 Phys. Rev. D 68 024024 (Preprint hep-th/0211290)
[13] Elvang H and Emparan R 2003 J. High Energy Phys. JHEP11(2003)035 (Preprint hep-th/0310008)
[14] Duff M J and Lu J X and Pope C N 1996 Phys. Rev. D 54 393 (Preprint hep-th/9512137)
[15] Duff M J, Lu J X and Pope C N 1996 Phys. Lett. B 382 73 (Preprint hep-th/9604052)
[16] Callan C G and Maldacena J M 1996 Nucl. Phys. B 472 591 (Preprint hep-th/9602043)
[17] Emparan R and Reall H R 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 101101 (Preprint hep-th/0110260)
[18] Kallosh R 1992 Phys. Lett. B 282 80 (Preprint hep-th/9201029)
[19] Ferrara S, Kallosh R and Strominger A 1995 Phys. Rev. D 52 5412 (Preprint hep-th/9508072)
[20] Cvetic M and Youm D 1995 Nucl. Phys. B 453 259 (Preprint hep-th/9505045)
[21] Cvetic M and Tseytlin A A 1996 Phys. Lett. B 366 95 (Preprint hep-th/9510097)
[22] Behrndt K, Cardoso G L, de Wit B, Kallosh R, Lüst D and Mohaupt T 1997 Nucl. Phys. B 488 236 (Preprint hep-th/9601015)
[23] Tod K P 1983 Phys. Lett. B 121 241
[24] Sabra W A 1997 Mod. Phys. Lett. A 13 239 (Preprint hep-th/9708103)
[25] Gutowski J B, Martelli D and Reall H S 2003 Class. Quantum Grav. 20 5049 (Preprint hep-th/0306235)
[26] Das S R 1996 Preprint hep-th/9602172
[27] Breckenridge J C, Myers R C, Peet A W and Vafa C 1993 Phys. Lett. B 391 93 (Preprint hep-th/9602065)
[28] Elvang H, Emparan R, Mateos D and Reall H S 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 211302 (Preprint hep-th/0407065)
[29] Elvang H, Emparan R, Mateos D and Reall H S 2005 Phys. Rev. D 71 024033 (Preprint hep-th/0408120)
[30] Gutowski J B and Reall H S 2004 J. High Energy Phys. JHEP02(2004)006 (Preprint hep-th/0401042)
[31] Ohta N 1997 Phys. Lett. B 403 218 (Preprint hep-th/9702164)
[32] Brinkmann H W 1923 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 9 1
[33] Lunin O, Maldacena J and Maoz L 2002 Preprint hep-th/0212210
[34] Belinski V A and Zakharov V E 1978 Sov. Phys.–JETP 48 985
[35] Maeda K and Tanabe M 2006 Nucl. Phys. B 738 184