Introducing a Bariatric Surgery Program at a Large Urban Safety Net Medical Center Serving a Primarily Hispanic Patient Population
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Abstract

Background Few bariatric surgery programs exist at safety net hospitals which often serve patients of diverse racial and socioeconomic backgrounds. A bariatric surgery program was developed at a large urban safety net medical center serving a primarily Hispanic population. The purpose of this study was to evaluate safety, feasibility, and first-year outcomes to pave the way for other safety net bariatric programs.

Methods The bariatric surgery program was started at a safety net hospital located in a neighborhood with over twice the national poverty rate. A retrospective review was performed for patient demographics, comorbidities, preoperative diet and exercise habits, perioperative outcomes, and 1-year outcomes including percent total weight lost (%TWL) and comorbidity reduction.

Results A total of 153 patients underwent laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy from May 2017 through December 2019. The average preoperative BMI was 47.9 kg/m², and 54% of patients had diabetes. The 1-year follow-up rate was 94%. There were no mortalities and low complication rates. The average 1-year %TWL was 22.8%. Hypertension and diabetes medications decreased in 52% and 55% of patients, respectively. The proportion of diabetic patients with postoperative HbA1c ≤6.0% was 49%.

Conclusion This is one of the first reports on the outcomes of a bariatric surgery program at a safety net hospital. This analysis demonstrates feasibility and safety, with no mortalities, low complication rates, and acceptable %TWL and comorbidity improvement. More work is needed to investigate the impacts of race, culture, and socioeconomic factors on bariatric outcomes in this population.
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Introduction

Bariatric surgery is the most effective treatment for severe obesity. It results in significant weight loss, reduction of obesity-related comorbidities and mortality, and improvement in quality of life compared to non-surgical treatment [1–6]. Bariatric surgery has become increasingly popular over recent years. In 2018, over 252,000 bariatric procedures were performed in the USA, which is a 10.8% increase from 2017 and a 60% increase from 2011 [7]. Despite its growing popularity, only approximately 1% of patients who qualify for bariatric surgery ever receive it [7].

Most bariatric surgery programs exist at community or university-based hospitals [8, 9]. Few are at safety net hospitals, which by legal mandate or mission provide care for all patients regardless of insurance status or ability to pay [10]. Safety net hospitals often serve patients of diverse ethnic and
socioeconomic backgrounds. Hispanic and black populations have a higher prevalence of severe obesity than white populations but are less likely to undergo bariatric surgery [11–13].

With the implementation of the Affordable Care Act in 2014, patients of ethnic minority and low-income backgrounds now undergo bariatric surgery more frequently than before, but still at lower proportions than their white and more affluent counterparts [14, 15]. In 2017, we developed a bariatric surgery program at our large urban safety net medical center serving a primarily Hispanic patient population. The purpose of this study was to evaluate program safety, feasibility, and first-year outcomes to pave the way for other safety net bariatric programs and to describe outcomes in this population with unknown bariatric success.

Methods

Our safety net medical center is one of the largest in the country, with over 150,000 emergency department visits each year. The surrounding neighborhood has a poverty rate of 28.6%, which is more than double the poverty rate in the USA [16]. In this neighborhood, 63% of people are Hispanic, and 71.5% of people speak a language other than English at home [16]. The bariatric program was modeled after the program at our university hospital affiliate. Bariatric-specific personnel were hired including bariatric surgeons, a bariatric nurse practitioner, a dietician, and a psychiatrist. A standardized preoperative pathway was developed including a mandatory group education seminar, psychiatric evaluation, and 6-month supervised diet and nutrition program. The seminar content and educational materials were taken from our university hospital’s program and adapted by a third-party service to meet the language and reading level of the majority of our population. The seminar was administered in both English and Spanish, and all materials were printed in both languages. The 6-month preoperative program involved monthly meetings with the dietician to discuss healthy eating and lifestyle strategies such as limiting fat and sugar, decreasing portion size, and increasing exercise. A uniform postoperative care protocol was created which included a post-operative diet advancement plan, affordable protein and vitamin supplements, and dietician follow-up visits at 2 weeks, 2 months, 6 months, and annually. Given the lower income status of our population, if our patients had difficulty obtaining the recommended supplements, we offered alternative supplement options. It was decided to only perform laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy at the initiation of the program due to its ease of operative standardization and the lower rates of postoperative complications and malnutrition compared to laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass [17, 18]. Only first-time bariatric operations were performed.

A retrospective review was performed of a prospectively maintained database of patients who underwent bariatric surgery since the program start in 2017. Preoperative variables were extracted from the medical records including patient age, body mass index (BMI), gender, race, comorbidities, referral source, and insurance status. Information on obesity history and preoperative diet and exercise habits was obtained from dietician visit documentation. Patients on at least one medication for hypertension or diabetes were considered to have hypertension or diabetes, respectively. Perioperative outcomes included operative time, hospital length of stay, 30-day complications, reoperations, and readmissions. One-year follow-up was obtained through in-person clinic visits before the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and telephone visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. Only weights measured in clinic were used to determine weight loss. Weight loss outcomes included postoperative and programmatic average percent total weight lost (%TWL) at 1 year. Postoperative %TWL, defined as the percent total weight lost from surgery to 1 year, was calculated using the formula: (weight at surgery – weight at one year / weight at surgery) × 100. Programmatic %TWL, defined as the percent total weight lost from the first bariatric clinic visit to 1 year, was calculated using the formula: (weight at first bariatric visit – weight at one year / weight at first bariatric visit) × 100. Comorbidity improvement was quantified by the decrease in number or cessation of medications after surgery. Pre- and post-operative glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels were collected for all patients with diabetes.

Data analyses were conducted using SPSS (SPSS Statistics 26.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Comparisons were performed using paired T-tests. Frequencies are reported as n (%). Parametric and non-parametric data are reported as mean ± standard deviation and median (interquartile range), respectively.

Results

A total of 153 consecutive patients underwent laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy from May 2017 through December 2019. Preoperative characteristics are described in Table 1. The mean preoperative BMI was 47.9 ± 5.7 kg/m². There were 99 (65%) patients who were Hispanic, followed by 25 (16%) who were black and 21 (14%) who were white. Hypertension was present in 108 patients (71%), and diabetes was present in 83 patients (54%). There were 133 patients (87%) who were referred from their primary care provider, while the others were referred from specialty clinics such as general surgery and gynecology most commonly from within the hospital. The majority of patients were insured by Medicaid, California’s Medicaid program. Self-directed diet and exercise were attempted by 131 patients (86%) for weight loss prior to evaluation for bariatric surgery, while 32 patients (21%) participated in a formal weight loss program such as...
Junk food, frequent snacking, overeating, and emotional eating were commonly reported habits that likely contributed to obesity. The most common reason for desired weight loss was to improve health or longevity, reported by 108 patients (71%). Other reasons included to improve pain or mobility (n=46.30%), to improve self-esteem (n=14.9%), and to qualify for another surgery such as hernia repair or knee replacement (n=12.8%).

Perioperative outcomes are outlined in Table 2. Average operative time was 125 (104–153) min. Operative complications included two conversions to open, one for bleeding and one for incorporation of the esophageal temperature probe into the gastric staple line. Thirty-day postoperative complications included two unplanned admissions to the intensive care unit for hypotension (1.3%) and two venous thromboembolic events (VTE, 1.3%). Reoperation was required in six patients: four for staple line bleeding (2.6%), one for portal vein thrombosis and ischemic bowel (0.7%), and one for stent placement for per oral intolerance (0.7%). The most common reasons for readmission were abdominal pain and nausea/vomiting managed conservatively.

One-year follow-up was available for 144 patients (94%). Measured 1-year weights were available in 98 patients (64%). Average 1-year postoperative %TWL from surgery to 1 year was 22.8 ± 8.8%. Average programmatic %TWL, which included weight lost during the 6-month preoperative interval, was 27.1 ± 8.8% (p<0.01) (Table 3). One-year comorbidity outcomes are described in Table 4. Of patients with preoperative hypertension, 56 (52%) required fewer antihypertensive medications at 1 year, and 35 (32%) were off all antihypertensives. The change in number of hypertension medications is presented in Figure 1. Of patients with preoperative diabetes, 46 (55%) decreased their diabetes medication, and 31 (37%) completely stopped. Fifteen of the 30 patients (50%) with insulin-dependent diabetes were completely off insulin 1 year after surgery. The change in number of diabetes medications is presented in Figure 2. Fifty-eight (70%) patients had a HbA1c of 6.5 or less 1 year after surgery, and 41 (49%) had a HbA1c of 6.0 or less. The average HbA1c in patients with diabetes dropped from 8.3% ± 1.0 preoperatively to 6.7% ± 1.2 after surgery (p<0.01) (Figure 3).

### Table 1: Patient characteristics and preoperative diet history (n=153)

| Variable | N (%) |
|----------|-------|
| Age (yrs, mean ± SD) | 47.5 ± 9.5 |
| BMI (kg/m², mean ± SD) | 47.9 ± 5.7 |
| Gender, female | 124 (81) |
| Race | |
| Hispanic | 99 (65) |
| Black | 25 (16) |
| White | 21 (14) |
| Other | 8 (5) |
| Comorbidities | |
| Hypertension | 108 (71) |
| Diabetes | 83 (54) |
| Insulin-dependent diabetes | 30 (20) |
| Obstructive sleep apnea | 23 (15) |
| Gastroesophageal reflux disease | 43 (28) |
| Referral source | |
| Primary care clinic | 133 (87) |
| Specialty care clinic | 20 (13) |
| Insurance | |
| Medi-Cal | 131 (86) |
| Medicare | 11 (7) |
| Uninsured | 9 (6) |
| Other publicly funded program | 2 (1) |
| Private | 0 (0) |
| Onset of obesity | |
| Adulthood | 50 (32) |
| Adolescence | 27 (18) |
| Childhood | 76 (50) |
| Prior diet attemptsa | |
| Self-directed diet/exercise | 131 (86) |
| Formal weight loss program | 32 (21) |
| Medication | 21 (14) |
| None | 4 (2) |
| Habits contributing to obesitya | |
| Junk food | 133 (87) |
| Frequent snacking | 89 (58) |
| Overeating | 81 (53) |
| Emotional eating | 74 (48) |
| Sedentary lifestyle | 5 (3) |
| Reasons for desired weight lossa | |
| Improve health/longevity | 108 (71) |
| Improve pain/mobility | 46 (30) |
| Improve self-esteem | 14 (9) |
| Qualify for other surgery | 12 (8) |

aPercent totals may add up to greater than 100 because patients could report more than one answer.

### Table 2: Perioperative outcomes (n=153)

| Outcome | N (%) |
|---------|-------|
| Operative time (minutes, median [IQR]) | 125 [104–153] |
| Hospital length of stay (hours, median [IQR]) | 52 [34–59] |
| Operative complications | 2 (1.3) |
| 30-day postoperative complications | 4 (2.6) |
| Reoperations | 6 (4) |
| Readmissions | 11 (7) |
| Mortality | 0 (0) |
Discussion

To our knowledge, this is one of the first reports on the development and outcomes of a bariatric surgery program at a large urban safety net medical center. This analysis demonstrates feasibility and safety, with no mortalities and low overall complication rates similar to the literature [15, 19, 20]. The VTE rate of 1.3% is at the higher end of the 0.3–2.2% range reported in the literature [15, 21, 22]. All patients were placed on perioperative chemical VTE prophylaxis. The relatively high VTE rate could be due in part to the high risk of our population, as our average starting BMI and diabetes prevalence was higher than those described in many other bariatric studies, including specifically Hispanic populations [19, 20, 23–28].

Our bleed rate of 2.6% is also slightly higher than other studies of sleeve gastrectomy which report rates up to 2.5% [20, 29]. This could potentially be due to the learning curve at the start of the program and/or the teaching nature of our hospital which involves a high level of trainee autonomy. At the end of 2019, we began routinely using bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement for all cases, and since then we have had no staple line bleeds.

The 1-year follow-up rate of 94% was higher than expected in this population that likely faces barriers to obtaining consistent healthcare. The low 1-year weight measurement rate of 64% can be attributed to restrictions in in-person visits due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The average operative time of 64% can be attributed to restrictions in in-person visits due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The average operative time of staple line bleeds.
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lipoprotein when compared to white and black patients [24]. Income has also been identified as a significant predictor of weight loss after bariatric surgery, with lower-income patients exhibiting lower weight loss [13]. Although we did not collect income data on our patients, we anticipate many of our patients come from low-income neighborhoods given the location of our hospital. In our study, 1-year weight loss and diabetes remission were similar to other reports in the literature. This is notable given our population’s average starting BMI and diabetes prevalence was higher than those described in many bariatric studies [19, 20, 23–28]. Also notable, the programmatic %TWL, which includes the weight lost during the 6-month preoperative period, was 4.3% higher than the %TWL after surgery (p<0.01). This suggests the 6-month program of supervised diet, exercise, and nutrition counseling contributes to overall weight loss in our program, which may be especially important in this population with limited resources. More work is needed to identify the specific impacts of race and socioeconomic status on bariatric outcomes in our patient population.

This study has limitations. First, sleeve gastrectomy was the only bariatric procedure performed. The true differences in long-term weight loss and comorbidity reduction after sleeve gastrectomy compared to gastric bypass are currently
unknown due to the recent gain in popularity of the sleeve; however, it has been shown that gastric bypass provides better comorbidity remission in certain patients [20, 23]. Now that safety and effectiveness have been demonstrated, gastric bypass will be implemented on a case-by-case basis in our program. Second, this analysis includes 1-year weight loss in only 64% of the patient population due to in-person clinic cancellations during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, we were able to contact 94% of patients via telephone to assess comorbidity improvements at 1 year. Lastly, this experience represents only that of a single safety net hospital. The population in this study consists of a unique mix of racial and socioeconomic backgrounds and may not be generalizable to other safety net populations.

Conclusion

Safety net hospitals provide care to all patients, regardless of insurance status or ability to pay. Patients who are treated at safety net hospitals are often from low socioeconomic backgrounds and unable to pay for healthcare at other facilities. Therefore, the treatments available to these patients are limited to what is offered by the safety net center in their community. With the implementation of this program at our safety net medical center, bariatric surgery is now available for the large population in our community for whom this life-altering treatment was previously not an option. This treatment option is particularly important for patients of minority backgrounds and low socioeconomic status as these populations are shown to have high rates of obesity and obesity-related comorbidities [11, 45, 46]. More work is needed to investigate the impacts of race and socioeconomic factors on bariatric outcomes in our safety net population.
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