Effectiveness of chitosan as a dietary supplement in lowering cholesterol in murine models: A meta-analysis
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Abstract

This study presents a meta-analysis of studies that investigate the effectiveness of chitosan administration on lifestyle-related disease in murine models. A total of 34 published studies were used to evaluate the effect of chitosan supplementation. The effect sizes for various items after chitosan administration were evaluated using the standardized mean difference. Using Cochran’s Q test, the heterogeneity of effect sizes was assessed, after which a meta-ANOVA and -regression test was conducted to explain the heterogeneity of effect sizes using the mixed-effect model. Publication bias was performed using Egger’s linear regression test. Among the items evaluated, blood triglyceride and HDL-cholesterol showed the highest Q statistics and I² values, respectively. Other than blood HDL-cholesterol, total cholesterol, and triglyceride in feces, most items evaluated showed a negative effect size with high significance in the fixed- and random-effect model (p<0.0001). In the meta-ANOVA and -regression test, administering chitosan and resistant starch was revealed to be most effective in lowering body weight. In
addition, chitosan supplementation proved to be an effective solution for TNF-α inhibition. In conclusion, chitosan has been shown to be somewhat useful in improving symptoms of lifestyle-related disease. Although there are some limitations in the results of this meta-analysis due to the limited number of animal experiments conducted, chitosan administration nevertheless shows promise in enhancing the quality of human life.
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1. Introduction

Lifestyle-related diseases, including obesity, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerosis, type II diabetes, and hypertension, are widespread in industrialized countries, and are major threats to cardiovascular health. The syndrome is related to a combination of metabolic disorders, including abdominal obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol decrease, hypertension, and high blood glucose, which lead to increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Grundy et al., 2005). Unnatural blood lipid levels such as high levels of total cholesterol (TC) or triglyceride (TG), high low-density lipoprotein (LDL) level, or low HDL-cholesterol level are correlated with heart disease and stroke. Hypertension is one of the harmful risk factors for stroke and is a key factor in heart attacks. Moreover, obesity acts as a significant risk factor for cardiovascular disease and susceptibility to diabetes (Thayer et al., 2010). Thus, there is an urgent need for food additives that are effective in controlling these health-related parameters.

Chitosan is one of the polymers containing acetyl glucosamine and glucosamine. It may be obtained by hydrolyzing and converting with alkali from crabs, shrimps, insects, mushrooms, and the cell walls of microorganisms. Chitosan manufacture by deacetylation of chitin has been
utilized in wastewater treatment or the agricultural sector. As the safety of chitin or chitosan become increasingly recognized, it has recently been used in a variety of fields, including medical supplies, food additives, and cosmetics (Austin et al., 1980; Jeon and Kim, 2001). Chitosan is also known among food additives whose effects include lowering blood or liver cholesterol and triglyceride by combining with lipids (Koide, 1998). It even shows an anti-inflammatory effect by TNF-α inhibition (Kim et al., 2004; Seo et al., 2003; Yermak et al., 2005; Knorr, 1991).

Studies of lifestyle diseases in murine models suggest that they may be improved by administering chitosan. However, few comprehensive studies have been conducted to date on the effect of chitosan supplementation on improving lifestyle diseases. Accordingly, the objective of the present study was to perform a meta-analysis of the effects of chitosan on factors in lifestyle-related diseases in adults.

2. Results

2.1. Data set

Table 1 shows the data sets and experimental conditions for the 34 published studies used in the meta-analysis. The publication years of the studies ranged between 1978 and 2020. The animals most frequently used in the data set were rat strains such as Sprague-Dawley and Wistar, experiment duration was distributed between 2.8 and 21 weeks, and experimental diet most used for inducing hyperlipidemia in the data set was a high fat/cholesterol diet. In the case of Liu et al. (2015), a high-fructose diet was used to induce hyperlipidemia. Other than the study of Gallaher et al. (2000), blood total cholesterol (TC) was observed in all studies. In addition
to total triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL)- and high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol in the blood, TC and TG in the liver, and fecal TC and TG were investigated. The levels of chitosan administered to hyperlipidemia-induced animals ranged from 0.045 to 7.5%. The chitosan administration period varied between 3 and 21 weeks.

2.2. Effect size and heterogeneity

The effect sizes of chitosan administration on hyperlipidemia in murine models using fixed and random effect models are listed in Table 2. Most items other than HDL-cholesterol in blood, total cholesterol, and triglyceride in feces showed negative effect size and high significance ($p < 0.0001$) in both effect models. These results mean that chitosan administration results in decreased levels of TC, TG, and LDL-C in blood, TC and TG in the liver, TNF-α and glucose in blood and body weight, and increased levels of blood HDL-C, fecal TC and TG.

2.3. Moderator analysis

Since heterogeneity analysis in this study revealed a high level of heterogeneity between the studies analyzed, moderator analysis was performed to account for this. For this, meta-ANOVA and meta-regression were conducted. To perform the meta-ANOVA test, Q statistics between the subgroups ($Q_b$) calculated under assessing that between subgroups ($
\tau^2$) was the same. First of all, a meta-ANOVA analysis was performed on most items except fecal TG, as shown in Table 3 and 4. CTS+RS showed the highest effect size in blood TC and TG, body weight, blood glucose and blood HDL-C, CTS showed the largest effect size in blood LDL-C and TNF-α, and the CSR and WSC showed the greatest effect size in liver TC and liver TG, respectively (Table 3). However, none of these items was statistically significant ($p<0.05$). Table 4 shows the results of meta-ANOVA in analyzing the effect of chitosan administration period on biological indices ($p<0.05$). Other than fecal TC, body weight, and blood glucose,
most items showed significant differences ($p<0.05$). In the case of TC, the Q statistics between the groups ($Q_b$) was 31.94 (df = 13, $p=0.0025$); the effect size between groups was assumed to be significantly different.

Next, meta-regression was performed to evaluate the effect size between the type of chitosan used and the administration period (Table 5). Only CTS+RS was significant ($p=0.0208$), and it was revealed to use to decrease blood TC. In the case of WSC, it was significantly effective in TNF-α and body weight ($p=0.0307$ and 0.0008, respectively). With regard to the administration period, this was significantly relevant to blood HDL-C and liver TC with $p=0.0004$ and 0.0358, respectively. However, their $R^2$ values were below 22.33%, which means that the regression models were of low explanatory power.

### 2.4. Publication bias

Publication bias was conducted using a funnel plot (Figure 1) and an Egger’s linear regression test (Table 6) on blood TC and TG, blood LDL-C and HDL-C, liver TG and TC, fecal TC, and body weight. As shown in Figure 1, publication bias was observed in all items. As the results from the Egger’s linear regression test show, significance was detected in all items ($p<0.05$) indicating that the relationship between effect size and standard error was statistically significant and confirming the presence of publication bias (Egger et al., 1997). Thus, the trim-and-fill technique was used to correct asymmetry due to publication bias in all items, with the resulting compensated effect sizes being shown in Table 7. Other than blood HDL-C, most of the effects showed significance ($p<0.05$).
3. Discussion

In the results of Table 2, most items showed negative effect size and high significance ($p < 0.0001$) in both effect models. These results mean that chitosan administration results in decreased levels of TC, TG, and LDL-C in blood, TC and TG in the liver, TNF-α and glucose in blood and body weight, and increased levels of blood HDL-C, fecal TC and TG.

The bioavailability of dietary fat in the intestine decreased after chitosan administration. After this, reverse cholesterol transport, which is delivered from peripheral tissues to the liver, is accelerated by catabolism and excretion of surplus dietary fat, resulting in an increase in the ratio of HDL-cholesterol (Razdan and Petterson, 1994). In similar vein, Lee et al. (1998) have reported that the addition of chitosan to an animal diet caused a decrease in LDL-cholesterol content. Generally, HDL-cholesterol may decrease cardiovascular disease by converting cholesterol condensed on peripheral tissues or blood vessel walls into an ester compound. The ester compound is then transferred to the liver, excreted by bile-salt, and cholesterol content in blood is lowered. By contrast, LDL-cholesterol, which is the most general delivery type of blood cholesterol, accumulates quickly on artery walls, causing arteriosclerosis. For this reason, it is known as the leading risk factor for arteriosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (Gordon et al., 1981). In this result, increased HDL-cholesterol, fecal total cholesterol, and triglyceride after chitosan administration are related to the factors mentioned above. According to Jeon and Kim (2001), when chitosan is cationized ($-\text{NH}_3^+$), its viscosity is increased by the formation of polyanions and gels. In high viscosity of the intestine, dietary fiber lower blood cholesterol by delaying cholesterol diffusion from micelle to mucosa, inhibiting bile acid metabolism, delaying micelle forming, and reducing cholesterol absorption rate in the intestine (Vahouny et al., 1983; Furda, 1990; Johnson and Gee, 1981). Based on this result, chitosan exhibits an
excellent anti-hypercholesterolemic effect and is thought to be effective in mitigating cardiovascular disease caused by excessive fat intake.

Nauss et al. (1983) assume that chitosan binds lipid micelle in the small intestine after the ingestion of a fatty meal, while Kanauchi et al. (1995) propose a more specific mechanism by which chitosan inhibits fat digestion in the gastrointestinal tract. In the stomach, chitosan is dissolved in acidic gastric juice. In this aqueous phase, it acts as an emulsifier on fat globules, and may have strong emulsifying properties. It also mixes with fat to form an emulsion. Once transferred into the intestine, the chitosan in the emulsion turns into an insoluble gel-like form trapped in fat, which cannot be decomposed by enzymes such as pancreatin or other intestinal enzymes. As a result, fat excretion in feces is increased (Figure 2). In this connection, Gallaher et al. (2000) have confirmed that in one animal study chitosan administration led to fecal fat excretion approximately 7.5 times higher compared to that of a cellulose-fed group.

Cytokines are secreted by activated lymphocytes and macrophages, and regulate the function of the cells related to immune response. They are also recognized as playing an essential role in the inflammatory response (Zhang and An, 2007). Yemak et al. (2005) report that TNF-α generation was lower in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and chitosan-injected mice than in LPS-injected mice. Similarly, Seo et al. (2003) observe that TNF-α was increased by the application of special stimulants in a human mast cell line (HMC-1), but decreased by the use of chitosan. TNF-α is one of the pro-inflammatory cytokines synthesized by adipose tissue (Freid et al., 1998; Mohammed-Ali et al., 1997), and high TNF-α levels are one of the critical risk factors for diabetes (Ziamajidi et al., 2017). In similar vein, Yoon et al. (2007) state that chitosan is associated with an anti-inflammatory response to TNF-α gene expression. According to Zhu et al., (2015), chitosan has an anti-inflammatory effect on active molecules,
for example TNF-α and IL-1β via the NF-κB pathway. Activated macrophages secrete numerous pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β and TNF-α, to intermediate the inflammatory response (Lin and Karin, 2007). However, overproduction of these pro-inflammatory mediators causes excessive inflammation (Lawrence et al., 2002); thus, regulation of the release of pro-inflammatory mediators may be important in mitigating the inflammatory response.

According to Prabu and Naturajan (2017), blood glucose levels decreased in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats that were fed chitosan for 30 days. Other researchers suggest that the effectiveness of chitosan in lowering blood glucose may be due in part to the effect of total glyceride in lowering free fatty acids. Jo et al. (2013) report that in an in vitro animal study, chitosan that was enzymatically treated and of low molecular weight (< 1,000 Da) was more effective in managing prandial glucose. Kim et al. (2014) also report that chitosan that is low in molecular weight acted similarly to acarbose, a known anti-diabetic medication, in a murine model. They also note that chitosan administration inhibited sucrase and glucoamylase activities. It is recognized that chitosan binds with glucosidase in the intestinal brush border in a manner similar to acarbose (Hanefeld, 2007; Puls et al., 2007; Krentz and Bailey, 2004). The inference of these reports is that body weight may be decreased by chitosan administration.

Meta-analysis is a method of statistical analysis that combines results from various scientific studies to obtain a quantified synthesis (Greenland, 2008). Meta-analysis increases the power of statistical analysis by pooling the results from multiple available studies. In the course of this process, heterogeneity is introduced as a result of methodological differences between studies. In general, a heterogeneity test is used to decide on methods for combining
studies and to evaluate the consistency or inconsistency of findings (Petitti, 2001; Higgins et al., 2002). To evaluate heterogeneity in relation to effect size in the present study, Q statistics and $I^2$ values were computed. The highest among Q statistics was TG in blood, with high significance ($p<0.0001$). The significance of the Q statistic implies that the studies used to calculate overall effect (the effect size of fixed and random effect models) do not share the same effect size with one another (Cho et al., 2012). In this study, the Q statistics for all items were found to be significant ($p<0.0001$). However, one limitation of this method is its dependence on the number of studies (Fleiss, 1986). $I^2$ and $\tau^2$ values are commonly used to overcome this limitation of Q statistics by providing a concrete indication of heterogeneity. The $I^2$ value is used most frequently in meta-analysis to compare different numbers of studies and data types. Consequently, it offers a solution to the issue of the Q statistic when analyzing heterogeneity (Higgins et al., 2003). All items of $I^2$ value in the present study were above 70%, which means that they all showed significant levels of heterogeneity (Ahn et al., 2020). The $\tau^2$ value indicates the absolute value of heterogeneity, representing variance in true effect sizes (Tufanaru et al., 2019). In addition, liver TG showed the highest $\tau^2$ value, which means that variance in the effectiveness of chitosan administration is great (Cho et al., 2012).

Cholestyramine and cholestipol as an anion-exchanger are these days used mainly for reducing cholesterol. These medications contain amino groups, are water-insoluble, and unlike chitosan are not absorbed in the intestine. Consequently, they are known to inhibit cholesterol absorption in the gut and to promote bile salt excretion. However, they are also known to involve a number of issues, including gastrointestinal disturbance, constipation, and colon cancer (Clementi and Weber-Schondorfer, 2015; Jennings et al., 1988). Valhouny et al. (1983) reports that chitosan supplementation showed a similar inhibition effect to cholestyramine in
cholesterol absorption. Similarly, an animal study by Jennings et al. (1988) showed that chitosan was similar to cholestyramine in lowering lipids without other harmful changes in intestinal mucosa. It can thus be concluded that chitosan supplementation may be useful in lowering cholesterol and offers a promising alternative treatment for lifestyle-related diseases.

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Data set

To perform a meta-analysis of published studies regarding the effect of chitosan administration on lowering cholesterol in murine models between 1978 to 2020, a literature search was conducted on Pubmed (US National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD) and Science Direct (Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, the Netherlands) using the method of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis Protocols (Liberati et al., 2009; Moher et al., 2009). The keywords used for searching studies for meta-analysis were “chitosan, cholesterol” in all databases. The results obtained included 450 citations from Science Direct and 303 from Pubmed. These results were then filtered by title, abstract, and full text. Among them, review articles and studies of clinical tests in human models were removed. Following this, studies regarding changes in cholesterol levels after chitosan administration were collected. Ultimately, a total of 34 studies with 11 items were selected to perform a meta-analysis of the effectiveness of chitosan in reducing cholesterol in murine models.

4.2. Data analysis

Corrected standardized mean difference (Hedges’ g), and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were computed between control groups and treatment. The weight of the effect size was
calculated using inverse-variance (Borenstein et al., 2009; Deek et al., 2011). Effect-size analysis of fixed and random effect models was used to calculate overall effect due to differences in administration period, animal strain, and the type and dosage of chitosan used in each study. Cochran’s Q test was performed to assess the statistical heterogeneity of the effect size, and the ratio of true heterogeneity to total variation in observed effects was expressed by $I^2$ value. To confirm the heterogeneity of effect size using a mixed-effect model for the items in question, meta-ANOVA and regression analyses were also used. Finally, publication bias analysis was conducted to ensure the validity of the meta-analysis results. Statistical analysis and visualization of the results were performed using the ‘meta’, and ‘metafor’ packages in the R statistics software application (R Development Core Team, 2019 ver. 3.5.3).

**5. Conclusion**

The present study confirmed the effectiveness of chitosan administration on lifestyle-related diseases through meta-analysis. Based on our results, chitosan was demonstrated to be somewhat useful in improving the symptoms of lifestyle-related disease. Although the present study has some limitations, notably the limited number of animal experiments on which it is based, it may nevertheless be useful to future studies of functional foods.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1. Funnel plot to evaluate publication bias.** Blood TC (A); blood TG (B); LDL-C (C); HDL-C (D); liver TC; liver TG (F); fecal TC (G); body weight (H)

**Figure 2. Schematic representation of cholesterol adsorption of chitosan in gastrointestinal tracts**
Table 1. Studies used in data set and their information for meta-analysis

| Authors           | Animal (strain) | n  | Week | Experimental diet | Analytical items[^1] |
|-------------------|-----------------|----|------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Abozaid et al. (2015) | Rat (white Albino) | 10 | 6    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TNF-α* |
| Bahijri et al. (2017)   | Rat (Wistar)    | 10 | 12   | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*   |
| Chiu et al. (2015)      | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 7    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, TC[^1], TG[^2] |
| Liu et al. (2018)       | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 8    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TNF-α* |
| Park et al. (2010)      | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 8    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC[^1], TG[^1], TC[^2] |
| Sivakumar et al. (2007) | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 6  | 8.5  | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*   |
| Sugano et al. (1978)    | Rat (Wistar)    | 6  | 2.8  | High fat          | TC*, TG*, TC[^1], TG[^1], TC[^1] |
| Tao et al. (2001)       | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 4    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*   |
| Zacour et al. (1992)    | Rat (Wistar)    | 6  | 6    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, TC[^1], TG[^1], TC[^1], TG[^1] |
| Yao and Chiang (2006)   | Hamster         | 9  | 8    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC[^1], TG[^1], TC[^1] |
| Moon et al. (2007)      | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 4    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC[^1] |
| Chiu et al. (2017)      | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 5    | High fat          | TC*, TG*, HDL-C*, TC[^1], TG[^1] |
| Liu et al. (2015)       | Rat (Sprague–Dawley) | 8  | 21   | High fructose     | TC*, TG*, HDL-C*, TC[^1], TG[^1], TC[^1], TG[^1] |
| Study                  | Species         | Number | Group          | Parameters                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ardakani et al. (2009)| Rat (Wistar)    | 5      | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*                                                   |
| Jung et al. (2016)    | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 8      | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*                                                   |
| Hsieh et al. (2012)   | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 9.5    | High fat       | TC¹, TG¹, TNF-α*                                                            |
| Han et al. (1999)     | Mouse (ICR)     | 13     | High fat       | TC*, TG, TC†, TG†, body weight                                            |
| Chiang et al. (2000)  | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 6      | Normal diet + cellulose 5% | TC*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC¹, TG¹, TC¹, TG¹                                  |
| Shang et al. (2007)   | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 8      | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, body weight                                     |
| Zhang et al. (2010)   | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 8      | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*                                                   |
| van Bennekum et al. (2005) | Mouse (C57BL/6) | 6      | High fat       | TC*, TC†                                                                    |
| Zhou et al. (2008)    | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 12     | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TNF-α*, glucose*                                 |
| Kumar et al. (2009)   | Mouse (C57BL/6) | 6      | High fat       | TC*, TG*                                                                   |
| Kim et al. (2009)     | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 5      | High fat       | TC*, body weight                                                           |
| Zong et al. (2012)    | Mouse (C57BL/6) | 6      | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, body weight,                                     |
| Liu et al. (2012)     | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 9      | High sucrose   | TC*, TG*, HDL-C*, TNF-α*, glucose*                                         |
| Zhang et al. (2012a)  | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 8      | High fat       | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC¹, TG†                                        |
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| Study                  | Species            | N  | Treatment | Parameters |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----|-----------|------------|
| Zhang et al. (2012b)  | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 10 | High fat  | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C* |
| Zhang and Xia (2015)  | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 8  | High fat  | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC†, TG†, TC‡, body weight |
| Si et al. (2017)      | Rat (Wistar)       | 8  | High fat  | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, body weight, glucose* |
| Do et al. (2018)      | Mouse (C57BL/6)    | 10 | High fat  | TC*, TG*, HDL-C*, TC†, TG†, TC‡, body weight |
| Wang et al. (2019)    | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 8  | High fat  | TC*, TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C*, TC†, TG†, TC‡, body weight |
| Chiu et al. (2020)    | Rat (Sprague−Dawley) | 6  | High fat  | TC*, TC†, TC‡, TNF-α* |
| Wang et al. (2011)    | Rat (Wistar)       | 8  | High fat  | TG*, LDL-C*, HDL-C* |

1TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-α; *, blood; †, liver; ‡, feces
Table 2. Effect size of chitosan administration on hyperlipidemia in murine model

| Items                      | df  | Fixed effect model | Random effect model | Heterogeneity |
|----------------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|
|                            |     | ES$^{1}$          | ES                  | Q (p-value)  |
|                            |     | p-value            | p-value             | I$^2$ (%)    | \( \tau^2 \) |
| Total cholesterol (blood)  | 65  | -1.5457           | -2.2248             | 376.43       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 82.7        | 2.1388 |
| Triglyceride (blood)       | 63  | -0.5852           | -1.2366             | 525.93       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 88.0        | 2.6610 |
| LDL-cholesterol (blood)    | 46  | -1.6121           | -2.5212             | 294.88       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 84.4        | 2.5182 |
| HDL-cholesterol (blood)    | 49  | 0.1318            | 0.1532              | 431.89       |
|                            |     | 0.1363            | 0.5704              | ( < 0.0001)  | 88.7        | 3.0718 |
| Total cholesterol (liver)  | 30  | -2.3101           | -3.3734             | 187.28       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 84.0        | 3.2403 |
| Triglyceride (liver)       | 22  | -2.1172           | -3.2648             | 172.75       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 87.3        | 3.8731 |
| Total cholesterol (feces)  | 22  | 1.8491            | 2.6038              | 113.25       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 80.6        | 2.2198 |
| Triglyceride (feces)       | 9   | 2.0168            | 2.4130              | 35.30        |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 74.5        | 1.5050 |
| TNF-α                      | 12  | -1.4885           | -1.8355             | 66.72        |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 82.0        | 1.8174 |
| Body weight                | 21  | -1.5974           | -2.4442             | 162.18       |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | < 0.0001            | ( < 0.0001)  | 87.1        | 3.1836 |
| Glucose (blood)            | 12  | -0.7512           | -0.8958             | 61.64        |
|                            |     | < 0.0001          | 0.0096              | ( < 0.0001)  | 80.5        | 1.2356 |

$^1$ES: effect size
Table 3. Meta-ANOVA analysis of effect of chitosan type on biological indices

| Biological index | Analysis item | k | Fixed effect model | Random effect model | Q | I² | Qb | df | p |
|------------------|---------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|----|-----|----|----|---|
|                  |               |   | SMD | 95% -CI | SMD | 95% -CI |       |     |    |
|                  |               |   | lower | upper | lower | upper |       |     |    |
|                  |               |   |      |       |      |       |       |     |    |
|                  |               | 42 | -1.572 | -1.763 | -1.380 | -2.064 | -2.564 | -1.563 | 194.29 | 2.2266 | 78.9 |
|                  |               | 17 | -1.5434 | -1.9066 | -1.1801 | -2.7620 | -3.6088 | -1.9153 | 145.47 | 2.2266 | 89.0 |
| CTS (blood)      |               | 2 | -1.7624 | -2.6836 | -0.8412 | -2.3197 | -4.6315 | -0.0080 | 6.88 | 2.2266 | 85.5 |
|                  |               | 1 | -2.1859 | -3.7413 | -0.6305 | -2.1859 | -5.4984 | 1.1266 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | CTS+RS        | 1 | -8.9998 | -12.7228 | -5.2769 | -8.9998 | -13.7341 | -4.2655 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | WSC+RS        | 1 | -1.4835 | -4.6243 | 1.6572 | -1.4835 | -4.6243 | 1.6572 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | CTS+VitC      | 1 | 0.2823 | -0.7041 | 1.2688 | 0.2823 | -2.8042 | 3.3688 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | CSR           | 1 | -1.9182 | -3.3870 | -0.4494 | -1.9182 | -5.1910 | 1.3545 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  |               | 39 | -0.4142 | -0.6035 | -0.2249 | -1.0874 | -1.6614 | -0.5135 | 378.70 | 2.8583 | 90.0 |
| TG (blood)       |               | 17 | -1.1778 | -1.4773 | -0.8782 | -1.9030 | -2.7835 | -1.0224 | 86.48 | 2.8583 | 81.5 |
|                  |               | 2 | -0.8491 | -1.6483 | -0.0499 | -1.1971 | -3.6866 | 1.2924 | 7.64 | 2.8583 | 86.9 |
|                  | CTS+RS        | 1 | 1.1106 | 0.0996 | 2.1216 | 1.1106 | -2.3538 | 4.5750 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | WSC+RS        | 1 | -3.4066 | -5.0825 | -1.7307 | -3.4066 | -7.1199 | 0.3067 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | CTS+VitC      | 1 | 0.2823 | -0.7041 | 1.2688 | 0.2823 | -2.8042 | 3.3688 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  | CSR           | 1 | -1.9182 | -3.3870 | -0.4494 | -1.9182 | -5.1910 | 1.3545 | 0.00 | - | - |
|                  |               | 28 | -2.1800 | -2.4471 | -1.9129 | -2.8041 | -3.4238 | -2.1843 | 100.39 | 1.9848 | 73.1 |
| LDL-C (blood)    | WSC           | 13 | -1.6760 | -2.0564 | -1.2956 | -2.8831 | -3.8113 | -1.9550 | 100.21 | 1.9848 | 88.0 |
|                  | RS            | 2 | -0.2492 | -0.9457 | 0.4474 | -0.2492 | -2.3222 | 1.8238 | 0.00 | 1.9848 | 0.0 |
|                  | CTS+RS        | 2 | -1.6799 | -2.5327 | -0.8270 | -1.7721 | -3.9089 | 0.3647 | 1.49 | 1.9848 | 32.9 |
|                  | CTS | WSC | RS  | CTS+RS | WSC+RS |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|
| **HDL-C** (blood)|     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (feces)   | 36  | -0.0158 | -1.120 | 1.9999 | 0.7293 |
| blood (liver)    | 0.1332 | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |
| TC (liver)       | 10 | -0.0158 | -1.120 | 1.9999 | 0.7293 |
| DL (liver)       | 0.3368 | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |
| TC (blood)       | 0.3816 | -0.0158 | -1.120 | 1.9999 | 0.7293 |
| (liver)          | 0.3816 | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |
| G T (liver)      | 1.0329 | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |
| C (liver)        | 315.19 | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |
| C (liver)        | 3.3880 | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |
| C (liver)        | 88.9  | 0.4132 | 0.5842 | 0.7360 | 1.2135 |

|                  |     |     |     |        |        |
| **TG** (liver)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (feces)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS              |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC              |     |     |     |        |        |
| RS               |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| **TC** (feeces)  |     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (feces)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS              |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC              |     |     |     |        |        |
| RS               |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| **Body weight**  |     |     |     |        |        |
| TNF-α            |     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (blood)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS              |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC              |     |     |     |        |        |
| RS               |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| **Glucose** (blood) |     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (blood)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS              |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC              |     |     |     |        |        |
| RS               |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC+VitC         |     |     |     |        |        |

|                  |     |     |     |        |        |
| **Blood**        |     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (blood)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS              |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC              |     |     |     |        |        |
| RS               |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC+VitC         |     |     |     |        |        |

|                  |     |     |     |        |        |
| **Glucose** (blood) |     |     |     |        |        |
| weight (blood)   |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS              |     |     |     |        |        |
| WSC              |     |     |     |        |        |
| RS               |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+RS           |     |     |     |        |        |
| CTS+VitC         |     |     |     |        |        |
1TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride, LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein, TNF-α; Tumor necrosis factor alpha

2CTS, chitosan; WSC, water-soluble chitosan; RS, resistant starch; CE, cellulose; CTS+RS, chitosan and resistant starch; WSC+RS, water-soluble chitosan and resistant starch; CTS+VitC, chitosan and vitamin C; CSR, cholestyramine

3\(k\): number of treatments

4SMD: standardized mean difference

5CI: confidence interval

6Q: chi-squared statistic

7\(\tau^2\): true heterogeneity

8\(I^2\): Higgin’s \(I^2\) statistic

9\(Q_b\): Q statistics between groups

10df: degrees of freedom of Q statistic
| Item$^{1)}$ | Administration period (week) | $K^{2)}$ | Fixed effect model | Random effect model | $Q^{3)}$ | $\tau^{2.6)}$ | $I^2 \%)$ | $Q_{0.8)}$ | $Df^{9)}$ | $p$ |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|--------|----------|--------|-----|
| TC (£JEl) | 2 | 1 | -2.4519 | -4.3072 | -0.5966 | -2.4519 | -5.6070 | 0.7668 | 0.00 | - | - |
| | 2.8 | 1 | -5.4530 | -8.3456 | -2.5605 | -5.4530 | -9.3626 | -1.5435 | 0.00 | - | - |
| | 3 | 3 | -1.7388 | -2.5626 | -0.9149 | -1.7849 | 3.5161 | -0.0536 | 0.84 | 1.8009 | 0.0 |
| | 4 | 15 | -2.4145 | -2.7809 | -2.0482 | 3.0386 | -3.8429 | -2.2343 | 58.25 | 1.8009 | 76.0 |
| | 4.2 | 4 | -1.4345 | -2.0713 | -0.7978 | -2.1531 | -3.6612 | -0.6451 | 19.86 | 1.8009 | 84.9 |
| | 5 | 1 | -2.1630 | -3.4689 | -0.8570 | -2.1630 | -5.0966 | 0.7736 | 0.00 | - | - |
| | 6 | 13 | -0.8035 | -1.1424 | -0.4645 | -1.3554 | -2.1863 | -0.5246 | 73.20 | 1.8009 | 83.6 |
| | 7 | 3 | 0.1396 | -0.4290 | 0.7082 | 0.1408 | -1.4807 | 1.7624 | 0.48 | 1.8009 | 0.0 |
| | 8 | 13 | -1.9919 | -2.3823 | -1.6016 | -2.4673 | -3.3262 | -1.6083 | 45.48 | 1.8009 | 73.6 |
| | 8.5 | 1 | -2.6715 | -4.3982 | -0.9449 | -2.6715 | -5.8178 | 0.4748 | 0.00 | - | - |
| | 9 | 3 | -3.9353 | -4.8325 | -3.0381 | -5.3277 | -7.2274 | -3.4280 | 26.07 | 1.8009 | 92.3 |
| | 12 | 4 | -1.1933 | -1.8028 | -0.5839 | -1.8238 | -3.3133 | -0.3343 | 31.08 | 1.8009 | 90.3 |
| | 16 | 3 | -1.1877 | -1.7836 | -0.5919 | -1.2240 | -2.8565 | 0.4085 | 1.48 | 1.8009 | 0.0 |
| | 21 | 1 | -1.2794 | -2.3845 | -0.1744 | -1.2794 | -4.1324 | 1.5735 | 0.00 | - | - |
| TG (£JEl) | 2 | 1 | -1.7652 | -3.3540 | -0.1764 | -1.7652 | -4.6864 | 1.1560 | 0.00 | - | - |
| | 2.8 | 1 | 1.5689 | 0.2025 | 2.9353 | 1.5689 | -1.2375 | 4.3754 | 0.00 | - | - |
| | 3 | 2 | -1.1789 | -1.9477 | -0.4101 | -1.1794 | -3.0756 | 0.7168 | 0.01 | 1.5643 | 0.0 |
| | 4 | 15 | -0.6150 | -0.9114 | -0.3186 | -1.1423 | -1.8601 | -0.4246 | 115.66 | 1.5643 | 87.9 |
| | 4.2 | 4 | -3.0564 | -3.8949 | -2.2179 | -3.6529 | -5.1879 | -2.1179 | 12.50 | 1.5643 | 76.0 |
| LDL-C (blood) | HDL-C (blood) |
|---------------|---------------|
| 5 1 -0.5418 -1.5454 0.4617 -0.5418 -3.1906 2.1070 0.00 - - |
| 6 13 -0.9085 -1.2282 -0.5889 -1.0837 -1.8397 -0.3276 38.28 1.5643 68.6 |
| 7 3 1.1330 0.5098 1.7563 1.1336 -0.4129 2.6800 0.02 1.5643 0.0 |
| 8 10 -0.8028 -1.1722 -0.4333 -1.3193 -2.1969 -0.4417 68.52 1.5643 86.9 |
| 8.5 1 -2.5453 -4.2258 -0.8647 -2.5453 -5.5173 0.4268 0.00 - - |
| 9 3 -9.3202 -10.9958 -7.6445 -9.5824 -11.8082 -7.3566 4.23 1.5643 52.7 |
| 12 3 -1.6964 -2.3414 -1.0515 -2.0228 -3.5934 -0.4521 10.45 1.5643 80.9 |
| 16 4 1.3087 0.7842 1.8333 1.3374 0.0031 2.6716 1.33 1.5643 0.0 |
| 21 1 1.3630 0.2421 2.4838 1.3630 -1.3324 4.0584 0.00 - - |
| 2 1 -1.7162 -3.2878 -0.1445 -1.7162 -4.3664 0.9341 0.00 - - |
| 3 2 -0.2574 -0.9554 0.4407 -0.2590 -1.9216 1.4036 0.18 1.1854 0.0 |
| 4 15 -2.2993 -2.6446 -1.9539 -2.5700 -3.2315 -1.9085 33.05 1.1854 57.6 |
| 4.2 4 -11.5502 -13.9253 -9.1751 -11.6010 -14.2147 -8.9872 1.53 1.1854 0.0 |
| 6 11 -1.4658 -1.8446 -1.0870 -1.7983 -2.5618 -1.0348 32.11 1.1854 68.9 |
| 8 10 -1.7590 -2.1810 -1.3371 -2.5968 -3.4802 -1.7134 60.61 1.1854 85.2 |
| 8.5 1 -5.2140 -7.9995 -2.4285 -5.2140 -8.7229 -1.7050 0.00 - - |
| 12 1 -2.6102 -3.8684 -1.3520 -2.6102 -5.0875 -0.1329 0.00 - - |
| 2 1 1.0239 -0.3431 2.3910 1.0239 -2.2340 4.2819 0.00 - - |
| 3 2 0.5630 -0.6456 1.7715 0.5824 -1.8350 2.9998 0.18 2.2766 0.0 |
| 4 13 -2.0684 -2.4694 -1.6673 -2.9457 -3.8837 -2.0077 106.17 2.2766 88.7 |
| 4.2 4 0.0038 -0.4905 0.4981 0.0015 -1.5576 1.5606 1.69 2.2766 0.0 |
| 5 1 0.4126 -0.5811 1.4064 0.4126 -2.7071 3.5324 0.00 - - |
| 6 13 0.5061 0.1888 0.8233 0.9245 0.0276 1.8213 63.04 2.2766 81.0 |

59.48 7 < 0.0001

69.79 10 < 0.0001
|   |   | TC (liver) |   | TG (liver) |   |
|---|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| 8 | 8 | 1.3101 0.9108 1.7093 | 1.5747 0.4469 2.7025 | 21.96 2.2766 68.1 |
| 8.5 | 1 | 5.6757 2.6829 8.6686 | 5.6757 1.4683 9.8832 | 0.00 - - |
| 12 | 3 | 0.2234 -0.6859 1.1328 | 4.0812 1.8229 6.3396 | 66.29 2.2766 97.0 |
| 16 | 3 | 0.4824 -0.1859 1.1506 | 0.4850 -1.3486 2.3185 | 0.14 2.2766 0.0 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.6661 -0.3493 1.6815 | 0.6661 -2.4607 3.7928 | 0.00 - - |
|   |   | -9.3712 -14.0837 -4.6586 | -9.3712 -14.5605 -4.1818 | 0.00 - - |
| 2.8 | 1 | -2.2552 -3.2123 -1.2980 | -2.5488 -4.1731 -0.9244 | 4.50 1.2291 55.6 |
| 3 | 4 | -1.1782 -1.8149 -0.5415 | -1.6315 -2.9484 -0.3146 | 11.98 1.2291 75.0 |
| 4 | 4 | -1.4115 -2.0064 -0.8165 | -1.6940 -2.9526 -0.4353 | 9.42 1.2291 68.1 |
| 6 | 1 | -1.6407 -3.0270 -0.2543 | -1.6407 -4.2182 0.9368 | 0.00 - - |
| 8 | 10 | 10 -2.4940 -2.9903 -1.9978 | -2.9111 -3.7901 -2.0320 | 32.45 1.2291 72.3 |
| 9 | 3 | -9.3634 -11.0438 -7.6830 | -9.5728 -11.6968 -7.4488 | 3.86 1.2291 48.2 |
| 10 | 2 | -2.7055 -3.6429 -1.7681 | -2.7400 -4.5432 -0.9368 | 0.52 1.2291 0.0 |
| 12 | 2 | -6.7401 -8.5025 -4.9776 | -6.7503 -9.0902 -4.4104 | 0.11 1.2291 0.0 |
| 21 | 1 | -3.0412 -4.6012 -1.4813 | -3.0412 -5.7161 -0.3664 | 0.00 - - |
|   |   | -5.1384 -7.8902 -2.3866 | -5.1384 -9.5841 -0.6927 | 0.00 - - |
| 4 | 2 | 0.6597 -0.1879 1.5072 | 0.7060 -1.9059 3.3180 | 1.18 3.1738 15.0 |
| 4.2 | 4 | -2.8029 -3.5742 -2.0316 | -3.1371 -5.0651 -1.2092 | 6.82 3.1738 56.0 |
| 6 | 1 | -3.2107 -5.1438 -1.2775 | -3.2107 -7.2018 0.7804 | 0.00 - - |
| 8 | 7 | -2.5754 -3.2000 -1.9508 | -4.0563 -5.5980 -2.5146 | 47.21 3.1738 87.3 |
| 9 | 3 | -3.3928 -4.2013 -2.5842 | -4.7613 -7.0104 -2.5123 | 24.84 3.1738 91.9 |
| 10 | 2 | -0.9960 -1.6800 -0.3120 | -0.9970 -3.5590 1.5650 | 0.03 3.1738 0.0 |
| 12 | 2 | -5.0743 -6.5083 -3.6403 | -5.6019 -8.5208 -2.6830 | 4.14 3.1738 75.8 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.8937 -3.1313 -0.6562 | -1.8937 -5.5982 1.8108 | 0.00 - - |

---

62.17 9 < 0.0001
|        | 2     | 4     | 4.2   | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 12    | 21    |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| TC (feces) |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2.8    | 5.3232| 2.4889| 8.1575| 5.3232| 9.3079| 0.00  | -     | -     | -     |
| 4      | 0.5976| -0.2454| 1.4405| 0.6403| -1.5136| 2.7943| 1.26  | 2.0420| 20.6  |
| 4.2    | 1.6887| 0.9671| 2.4103| 3.0580| 1.3721| 4.7439| 30.95 | 2.0420| 90.3  |
| 5      | 1.0557| -0.0110| 2.1224| 1.0557| -1.9414| 4.0527| 0.00  | -     | -     |
| 6      | 1.7250| 0.3145| 3.1356| 1.7250| -1.4109| 4.8609| 0.00  | -     | -     |
| 7      | 3.0554| 2.1511| 3.9596| 3.0669| 1.2132| 4.9206| 0.18  | 2.0420| 0.0   |
| 8      | 1.5481| 1.0714| 2.0247| 2.2101| 1.0727| 3.3475| 33.38 | 2.0420| 79.0  |
| 12     | 4.1314| 2.9406| 5.3221| 4.1879| 1.8709| 6.5048| 0.59  | 2.0420| 0.0   |
| 21     | 5.0436| 2.8049| 7.2823| 5.0436| 1.4581| 8.6292| 0.00  | -     | -     |
|        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| TG (feces) |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 4      | 2.0809| 1.0028| 3.1590| 2.0809| 3.1590| 0.17  | 0.0000| 0.0   | -     |
| 5      | 0.1343| -0.8472| 1.1157| 0.1343| -0.8472| 1.1157| 0.00  | -     | -     |
| 6      | 2.3328| 0.7274| 3.9382| 2.3328| 0.7274| 3.9382| 0.00  | -     | -     |
| 7      | 1.9419| 1.2198| 2.6640| 1.9419| 1.2198| 2.6640| 0.16  | 0.0000| 0.0   |
| 12     | 5.2475| 3.8224| 6.6726| 5.2475| 3.8224| 6.6726| 0.00  | 0.0000| 0.0   |
| 21     | 2.7213| 1.2585| 4.1841| 2.7213| 1.2585| 4.1841| 0.00  | -     | -     |
|        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Body weight |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 4.2    | -1.7969| -2.4141| -1.1796| -1.8690| -3.7561| -0.0360| 3.13  | 3.1917| 4.1   |
| 6      | -0.9479| -1.3802| -0.5155| -1.8184| -3.1069| -0.5299| 56.45 | 3.1917| 85.8  |
| 8      | -1.4852| -2.2513| -0.7191| -1.8393| -4.0223| 0.3436| 9.29  | 3.1917| 78.5  |
| 12     | -3.9353| -4.8325| -3.0381| -5.6255| -7.9722| -3.2789| 26.07 | 3.1917| 92.3  |
| 21     | -1.7793| -2.6526| -0.9059| -2.8871| -5.1625| -0.6117| 31.84 | 3.1917| 93.7  |
|        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| TNF-α |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 6      | -8.0454| -10.9625| -5.1282| -8.0454| -11.4788| -4.6119| 0.00  | -     | -     |
| 8      | -1.0126| -1.4568| -0.5683| -1.0557| -1.8732| -0.2382| 27.60 | 0.8535| 78.3  |
| 10     | -3.4666| -4.5486| -2.3845| -3.4672| -5.1437| -1.7908| 0.01  | 0.8535| 0.0   |
| 16     | -1.4696| -2.0976| -0.8416| -1.5301| -2.7528| -0.3075| 2.46  | 0.8535| 18.6  |

34
| Glucose (blood) | k | -4.5622 | -6.1849 | -2.9395 | -4.5622 | -7.2560 | -1.8684 | 0.00 | - | - |
|-----------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---|---|
| 6               | 5 | -0.4178 | -0.9320 | 0.0963  | -0.4410 | -1.5333 | 0.6513  | 30.43| 1.2036| 86.9 |
| 8               | 1 | -1.2618 | -1.9991 | -0.5246 | -1.2927 | -2.9841 | 0.3987  | 0.83 | 1.2036| 0.0  |
| 10              | 2 | -0.6143 | -1.1747 | -0.0522 | -0.6727 | -2.0368 | 0.6914  | 3.57 | 1.2036| 43.9 |
| 12              | 1 | -0.8705 | -1.9103 | 0.1692  | -0.8705 | -3.2590 | 1.5179  | 0.00 | - | - |
| 16              | 3 | -0.1355 | -1.0133 | 0.7423  | -0.1355 | -2.4580 | 2.1870  | 0.00 | - | - |
| 21              | 1 | -0.8705 | -1.9103 | 0.1692  | -0.8705 | -3.2590 | 1.5179  | 0.00 | - | - |

1. TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride, LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein, TNF-α; Tumor necrosis factor alpha
2. k: number of treatments
3. SMD: standardized mean difference
4. CI: confidence interval
5. Q: chi-squared statistic
6. τ²: true heterogeneity
7. I²: Higgin’s I² statistic
8. Qb: Q statistics between groups
9. df: degrees of freedom of Q statistic
Table 5. Meta-regression analysis of effect of probiotic strains on inflammatory bowel disease

| Item                  | Intercept$^1$ | Estimate | SE    | p-value$^2$ | ci. lb   | ci. ub   | $R^2$ (%) |
|-----------------------|---------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| TC (blood) Type       |               |          |       |             |          |          |           |
| Interception          | -2.1859       | 1.6901   | 0.1959| -5.4884     | 1.1266   |          |           |
| CTS                   | 0.1219        | 1.7093   | 0.9431| -3.2282     | 3.4720   |          |           |
| WSC                   | -0.5761       | 1.7444   | 0.7412| -3.9952     | 2.8429   |          |           |
| RS                    | -0.1338       | 2.0610   | 0.9482| -4.1733     | 3.9056   | 0.00     | 0.00      |
| CTS+RS                | -6.8139       | 2.9481   | 0.0208*| -12.5920    | -1.0358  |          |           |
| WSC+RS                | 0.7024        | 2.3290   | 0.7630| -3.8624     | 5.2671   |          |           |
| CSR                   | 0.2677        | 2.3758   | 0.9103| -4.3889     | 4.9242   |          |           |
| Administration period | -2.7155       | 0.4412   | < 0.0001***| -3.5802    | -1.8509  |          |           |
| TG (blood) Type       |               |          |       |             |          |          |           |
| Interception          | 1.1106        | 1.7676   | 0.5298| -2.3538     | 4.5750   |          |           |
| CTS                   | -2.1980       | 1.7917   | 0.2199| -5.7097     | 1.3136   |          |           |
| WSC                   | -3.0136       | 1.8238   | 0.0985| -6.5881     | 0.5610   |          |           |
| RS                    | -2.3077       | 2.1766   | 0.2890| -6.5738     | 1.9584   | 0.00     | 0.00      |
| CTS+RS                | -4.5172       | 2.5911   | 0.0813| -9.5957     | 0.5613   |          |           |
| WSC+RS                | -2.5444       | 2.5135   | 0.3114| -7.4708     | 2.3821   |          |           |
| CTS+VitC              | -1.9712       | 2.5027   | 0.4309| -6.8764     | 2.9340   |          |           |
| Administration period | -2.0619       | 0.4644   | < 0.0001***| -2.9721    | -1.1516  |          |           |
| LDL-C (blood) Type    |               |          |       |             |          |          |           |
| Interception          | -1.7721       | 1.0902   | 0.1041| -3.9089     | 0.3647   | 2.77     | 5.07      |
| CTS                   | -1.0320       | 1.1352   | 0.1041| -3.9089     | 0.3647   |          |           |
|       | WSC      | RS       | Administration period |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 0.00  |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
|       | -1.1110  | 1.1886   | 0.3499                | -3.4407 | 1.2186 |
|       | 1.5229   | 1.5190   | 0.3161                | -1.4542 | 4.5000 |
|       | -2.3459  | 0.7447   | 0.0016**              | -3.8056 | -0.8863 |
|       | -0.0554  | 0.1258   | 0.6595                | -0.3021 | 0.1912 |
| HDL-C | WSC      | RS       | Administration period |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 3.56  |
| (blood)| -1.1785  | 0.7190   | 0.1012                | -2.5877 | 0.2307 |
|       | -0.4951  | 1.3894   | 0.7216                | -3.2183 | 2.2282 |
|       | 1.6183   | 1.9784   | 0.4134                | -2.2594 | 5.4959 |
|       | -0.1523  | 1.9366   | 0.9373                | -3.9481 | 3.6434 |
|       | -1.4886  | 0.5323   | 0.0052**              | -2.5319 | -0.4453 |
|       | 0.2432   | 0.0684   | 0.0004***             | 0.1091  | 0.3773 |
| TC    | WSC      | RS       | Administration period |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 12.10 |
| (liver)| -1.5873  | 1.9416   | 0.4136                | -5.9327 | 2.2181 |
|       | 0.0805   | 2.2402   | 0.9713                | -4.3102 | 4.4713 |
|       | -2.0698  | 1.9835   | 0.2967                | -5.9575 | 1.8179 |
|       | -3.1597  | 2.9633   | 0.2869                | -8.9676 | 2.6481 |
|       | -1.9173  | 0.7594   | 0.0116                | -3.4057 | -0.4289 |
|       | -0.1982  | 0.0944   | 0.0358                | -0.3872 | -0.0132 |
|       | -3.0600  | 0.4819   | < 0.0001***           | -4.0045 | -2.1154 |
|       | -1.1192  | 1.1754   | 0.3410                | -3.4229 | 1.1845 |
|       | -2.7837  | 1.0596   | 0.0086**              | -4.8606 | -0.7068 |
|       | -0.0620  | 0.1197   | 0.6045                | -0.2967 | 0.1727 |
|       | 2.6479   | 0.3939   | < 0.0001***           | 1.8759  | 3.4200 |
|       | -0.2285  | 1.0908   | 0.8341                | -2.3664 | 1.9094 |
|       | 1.3488   | 0.7729   | 0.0810                | -0.1661 | 2.8637 |

|       | WSC      | RS       | Administration period |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       | 16.16 |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
|       | -1.1192  | 1.1754   | 0.3410                | -3.4229 | 1.1845 |
|       | -2.7837  | 1.0596   | 0.0086**              | -4.8606 | -0.7068 |
|       | -0.0620  | 0.1197   | 0.6045                | -0.2967 | 0.1727 |
|       | 2.6479   | 0.3939   | < 0.0001***           | 1.8759  | 3.4200 |
|       | -0.2285  | 1.0908   | 0.8341                | -2.3664 | 1.9094 |
|       | 1.3488   | 0.7729   | 0.0810                | -0.1661 | 2.8637 |
|                          | Administration period | 0.1637 | 0.0958 | 0.0808 | -0.0205 | 0.3552 |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| **TG** (feces) Administration period |                       | 1.2205 | 0.8155 | 0.1345 | -0.3778 | 2.8189 |
| **TNF-α** Type WSC      |                        | 3.0273 | 1.4005 | 0.0307* | 0.2823  | 5.7723 |
|                          | Administration period | 0.1409 | 0.0847 | 0.0961 | -0.2510 | 0.3069 |
|                          | **Body weight** Type WSC |        |        |        |         |        |
|                          | Administration period | 0.0599 | 0.1285 | 0.6413 | -0.1920 | 0.3117 |
|                          | **Glucose (blood)** Type RS |        |        |        |         |        |
|                          | Administration period | 0.0103 | 0.0736 | 0.8887 | -0.1339 | 0.1545 |

1 CTS, chitosan; WSC, water-soluble chitosan; RS, resistant starch; CTS+RS, chitosan and resistant starch; WSC+RS, water-soluble chitosan and resistant starch; CTS+VitC, chitosan and vitamin C; CSR, cholestyramine

2 Means marked with *, **, and *** differ significantly (p<0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively)
Table 6. Egger’s linear regression test for publication bias

| Items                      | Bias       | Se\(^1\) | Slope      | t          | df\(^2\) | p-value      |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|--------------|
| Total cholesterol (blood)  | -6.9521793 | 0.5168551 | 2.8826324  | -13.451    | 64       | < 2.2e-16    |
| Triglyceride (blood)       | -7.4780606 | 0.9998057 | 3.7716108  | -7.4795    | 67       | 2.087e-10    |
| LDL-cholesterol (blood)    | -6.1250126 | 0.4715822 | 2.2442145  | -12.988    | 46       | < 2.2e-16    |
| HDL-cholesterol (blood)    | 0.5154358  | 1.43323094| -0.07605097| 0.35963    | 52       | < 0.0001     |
| Total cholesterol (liver)  | -6.5468325 | 0.5461543 | 2.4287577  | -11.987    | 30       | 5.732e-13    |
| Triglyceride (liver)       | -6.7370699 | 0.9014982 | 2.5785977  | -7.4732    | 21       | 2.411e-07    |
| Total cholesterol (feces)  | 6.5339622  | 0.4235035 | -2.6905774 | 15.428     | 24       | 5.871e-14    |
| Triglyceride (feces)       | 8.411555   | 1.070048  | -3.8220945 | 7.8609     | 8        | 4.953e-05    |
| TNF-α (blood)              | -8.347186  | 2.266406  | 3.647681   | -3.683     | 11       | 0.003607     |
| Body weight                | -7.798456  | 1.192187  | 3.513530   | -6.5413    | 20       | 2.249e-06    |

\(^1\)Se: standard error

\(^2\)df: degrees of freedom of Q statistic
Table 7. Trimmed effect size of probiotics on inflammatory bowel disease in murine model

| Items                          | df  | Fixed effect model | Random effect model | Heterogeneity |
|-------------------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|
|                               |     | ES                 | p-value             | ES            | p-value | Q (p-value) | I² (%) | τ²   |
| Total cholesterol (blood)     | 86  | -1.1096            | <0.0001             | -1.2079       | <0.0001 | 686.36      | 87.5   | 3.6291 |
| Triglyceride (blood)          | 78  | -0.2142            | 0.0029              | -0.2935       | 0.2360  | 878.84      | 91.1   | 4.2254 |
| LDL-cholesterol (blood)       | 64  | -1.1291            | <0.0001             | -1.2373       | <0.0001 | 551.97      | 88.4   | 4.2350 |
| HDL-cholesterol (blood)       | 52  | 0.0607             | 0.4912              | -0.1870       | 0.5174  | 521.81      | 90.0   | 3.7407 |
| Total cholesterol (liver)     | 42  | -1.7190            | <0.0001             | -1.8509       | <0.0001 | 367.01      | 88.6   | 5.8208 |
| Triglyceride (liver)          | 31  | -1.4703            | <0.0001             | -1.6805       | 0.0004  | 314.64      | 90.1   | 6.2275 |
| Total cholesterol (feces)     | 31  | 1.2437             | <0.0001             | 1.3796        | 0.0004  | 226.78      | 86.3   | 3.9640 |
| Triglyceride (feces)          | 13  | 1.4815             | <0.0001             | 1.5692        | 0.0011  | 71.66       | 81.9   | 2.5666 |
| TNF-α (blood)                 | 14  | -1.2869            | <0.0001             | -1.3743       | 0.0026  | 96.71       | 85.5   | 2.5645 |
| Body weight                   | 27  | -1.1740            | <0.0001             | -1.2547       | 0.0079  | 284.88      | 90.5   | 5.3068 |
| Glucose (blood)               | 12  | -0.7512            | <0.0001             | -0.8958       | 0.0096  | 61.64       | 80.5   | 1.2356 |
Figure 1.
Figure 2.