The variable X/γ-ray spectrum of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 7172
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Abstract. A broad band X-, gamma-ray spectral study of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 7172 is presented. We use our ASCA observations from May 1995 and combine these with the CGRO OSSE data from March 1995. The only Seyfert 2 galaxy previously to have been modelled over such a broad spectral range is NGC 4945.

The ASCA GIS data alone, can be acceptably described by a model consisting of a power law, with a photon index Γ = 1.58 ± 0.12, affected by absorption due to intervening neutral matter along the line of sight, with an equivalent hydrogen column density N_H = (8.2^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}. An Fe Kα emission line is not required by the fits. The observed flux in the 2 – 10 keV range is F_{2–10} = (4.75 ± 0.09) \times 10^{-11} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}, which corresponds to a small increase since the Ginga measurement in October 1989. The power law found is flatter than what is expected in the unified model of Seyfert galaxies.

Combining these ASCA data with the CGRO OSSE data from March 1995, the above conclusions do not change. In this case, we also find that the most probable model for the data is an absorbed power law, but being affected by a high energy exponential cut-off. The power law is still flat with Γ = 1.54 ± 0.13, while N_H = (8.1 ± 0.6) \times 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}. The OSSE data do not contradict the flat spectrum found from using the ASCA data alone, which could have been an artifact of the narrow spectral range covered by this single instrument.

Even though it is not possible to completely reject an alternative explanation to the observed flat spectrum in terms of a transmission or a reflection model, the spectral index of the underlying power law of NGC 7172 appears actually to have varied from 1.85 to 1.5 since the Ginga observations in 1989. The e-folding energy is relatively well constrained and lies at 140^{+310}_{-70} \text{keV}, using the CGRO OSSE viewing period with the highest quality data. We note, however, that the CGRO OSSE spectral shape appears to be variable on a time scale of weeks.
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1. Introduction

In the unified model of Seyfert galaxies (Antonucci 1993), the observed differences between Seyfert 1 and Seyfert 2 galaxies are explained as an orientation effect. All Seyfert galaxies are suggested to be intrinsically the same type of object, having a central, accreting, massive black hole, around which there is a luminous disk and hot gas. A molecular torus surrounds the central X-ray source, obscuring it for edge-on viewing, but not for face-on viewing within the opening angle of the torus. Seyfert galaxies viewed from an angle at which the central region is directly visible are classified as Seyfert 1, and when the central region is obscured, they are classified as Seyfert 2. The model is based on the observations of polarized broad lines in the optical spectra of several Seyfert 2 galaxies (see, e.g., Antonucci & Miller 1985) and the presence of strong absorption in the X-ray spectra of Seyfert 2 galaxies, indicating a molecular torus (e.g., Koyama et al. 1989).

Some Seyfert 2 galaxies have been observed to have an intrinsic spectrum which is flatter than has been observed for Seyfert 1 galaxies (Smith & Done 1996, Cappi et al. 1996). This contradicts the unified model, which predicts the same average intrinsic photon index for Seyfert 1 and Seyfert 2 galaxies. However, observations with a single satellite are usually limited to a fairly narrow spectral range, e.g., 0.5 – 10 keV for ASCA. If the radiation from the object undergoes extensive absorption, this range may be too narrow to make it possible to determine whether the intrinsic spectrum is really flat or whether it is intrinsically steep and maybe affected by a complex absorber and/or Compton reflection. By combining data from two or more satellites, one can broaden
the spectral coverage and reduce these uncertainties. In this paper we conduct such an analysis on the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 7172. The only Seyfert 2 galaxy previously studied over a broader band using non-simultaneous ASCA/Ginga/CGRO OSSE data is NGC 4945 (Done et al. 1996). The average, non-simultaneous Ginga/CGRO OSSE spectrum of three Seyfert 2 galaxies has also been studied (Zdziarski et al. 1997).

An X-ray source detected by the sky surveys of ARIEL V/SSI and HEAO-1/A2, was later identified as NGC 7172, which is an edge-on, Sa(pec) spiral galaxy (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991 (RC3)). The galaxy has a strong equatorial dust lane and an equivalent neutral hydrogen column density of about $4 \times 10^{21}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$, determined from the Balmer decrement, as compared to the galactic column density of about $4 \times 10^{18}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$.

In order to compare with earlier results, we also analyze the data with 

$$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 23.1/22$$

The model absorption $\times$ (power law + reflection), will be referred to as ‘model R’. The hardening may also be explained by a transmission model, in which the absorption is approximated with a dual absorber. Here, two column densities are used, $N_H$ having complete coverage, and $N_{H_p}$ covering only a fraction, $C_F$, of the source. The transmission $\times (1-C_F)\Phi E(N_{H_p}) + C_F\Phi E(N_H + N_{H_p})$, where the function $\Phi E$ is defined in a 1D, two-stream approximation, taking into account both photoelectric absorption and Thomson scattering, allowing the absorber to be marginally Thomson thick. Such a model can be a crude description of a non-uniform density distribution of absorbing matter. Using this ‘model T’ on the Ginga data one finds $\Gamma = 2.00^{+0.15}_{-0.00}$ and the column densities $N_{H} = (10.0^{+0.5}_{-4.4}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N_{H_{p}} = (70^{+380}_{-38}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ with $C_F = 0.32^{+0.17}_{-0.23}$. The fit had $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 25.7/22$ ($\chi^2 = 1.17$).

The Ginga flux of NGC 7172 in the 2 - 10 keV range, $F_{2-10} = 4.1 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

3. The ASCA spectrum of NGC 7172

We observed NGC 7172 with the ASCA satellite from 23:18 UTC on the 12 May until 16:00 UTC on the 13 May 1995, with its four telescopes, which focus the X-rays onto the two CCD detectors, SISO and SIS1 and onto the two gas scintillation proportional counters, GIS2 and GIS3.

3.1. The GIS data

In screening the GIS data, standard, conservative criteria are applied: Minimum angle to Earth limb = 10$^\circ$, angle from bright Earth (illuminated limb) = 20$^\circ$, minimum cut-off rigidity = 6 GeV/c. The spatial region filter, centred around the object, is chosen to have a radius of $\sim 6'$.

Background spectra are accumulated from regions of the observation itself, which are sufficiently far away to avoid contamination from the source. The final results do not differ significantly, if, instead the background is extracted from the event files for a blank sky background provided by the ASCA Guest Observer Facility. Furthermore, they are not very sensitive to the screening criteria adopted.

The extracted data are regrouped to contain a minimum of 20 counts per channel to allow the use of the $\chi^2$-test. The spectrum clearly shows a soft ($< 2$ keV) excess above...
the extrapolation of the higher energy continuum found below. These channels, however, do not have many counts after the background subtraction and they are ignored in the modelling. The net exposure time for both detectors is 27 ks.

3.1.1. GIS analysis and results

The GIS2 and GIS3 observations are presented in Table 1, where model P, i.e., a power law and a neutral absorber, has been fitted for a first comparison. The observations are in agreement with each other and we analyse the data by fitting the GIS2 and the GIS3 spectra simultaneously. We start off by studying model P, without an Fe Kα line. The fit is satisfactory with $\Gamma = 1.58 \pm 0.12$ and an equivalent hydrogen column density, $N_H = (8.2^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, with $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 316/360 ($\chi^2 = 0.88$). Even though the fit does not require the model to have any additional components, we try adding an Fe Kα line, described by three additional parameters, which reduces the $\chi^2$ by 5 units, $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 311/357 ($\chi^2 = 0.87$). By studying the confidence contour plot of the Fe line strength versus the line energy, it is obvious that the Fe line is not significantly detected and we do not add it in the following fits. The equivalent width is $\sim 60$ eV with a 90% upper limit of 120 eV.

The observed energy flux in the $2 - 10$ keV range is $F_{2-10} = 4.75 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which after absorption correction becomes $F_{2-10}^{\text{corr}} = 7.5 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The luminosity with $z = 0.0086$, $H_0 = 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $q_0 = 0$, becomes $L_{2-10} = 1.52 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

As a reflection component is suspected to exist in the Ginga data, we continue by trying model R with a free Compton reflection parameter. However, for the GIS data the form of the fitted model does not change appreciably, with the reflection parameter, $R$, remaining close to zero and having an upper limit of 3.5. In the next step of the analysis, we try fitting model T to the data. The covering fraction cannot be constrained by the data. The GIS data do not reach sufficiently high in energy to be able to constrain the parameters for these models. We therefore adopt the simple power law model as the best fit model for the ASCA GIS data.

Figure 1 shows the ASCA GIS2 data, together with the folded, best-fit model.

3.2. The SIS data

The SIS data are sensitive to the screening criteria and give different results depending on the criteria adopted. We therefore apply the standard conservative data filters: Minimum angle to Earth limb = $10^\circ$, angle from bright Earth (illuminated limb) = $20^\circ$, minimum cutoff rigidity = 6 GeV/c. Only the SIS events that are graded as 0, 2, 3, 4 are used and the spatial region filter, centred around the object, is chosen to have a radius of $\sim 4'$.

The background is extracted from the event files for a blank sky background provided by the ASCA Guest Observer Facility (November 1994). These files contain events from 3 deep field observations, without any obvious sources and with a total exposure time of about 120 ks. If, instead, the background is extracted from the observation itself, the results do not change more than a few per cent and the conclusions of the subsequent modelling are in principle the same. The SIS detectors operated in the 2 CCD bright mode and had a net exposure time of 26 ks. The extracted data are regrouped to contain a minimum of 20 counts per channel to allow the use of the $\chi^2$-test. The low energy channels up to $\sim 1.5$ keV are ignored.
The four ASCA detectors fitted with ‘model P’ (power law and a neutral absorber). All errors given are 90% confidence intervals for one interesting parameter, i.e., $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.7$.

| Detector | $\Gamma$ | $N_H$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $F_{2-10}$ (10$^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. ($\chi^2$) |
|----------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| SIS0     | 1.43±0.16| 8.1±0.7                     | 5.1                             | 185 / 152 (1.21) |
| SIS1     | 1.11±0.16| 7.0±0.8                     | 5.5                             | 121 / 134 (0.90) |
| GIS2     | 1.51±0.18| 7.6±0.9                     | 4.8                             | 147 / 166 (0.89) |
| GIS3     | 1.63±0.16| 8.5±0.7                     | 4.7                             | 167 / 191 (0.87) |

### 3.2.1. SIS analysis and results

The SIS0 data, together with the folded model P fit, are shown in Fig. 2. The results of this fit and the corresponding ones for SIS1, are given in Table 1. As shown in the table, the two SIS detectors give noticeably different results. For instance, the photon indices are not consistent with each other at the confidence level used. From the SIS results themselves, one can not judge which observation is the one mainly causing the discrepancy. We continue by studying the SIS data by fitting the SIS0 and the SIS1 spectra individually.

Using model R, with a free reflection parameter, the resulting fits give a steeper photon index and a large reflection parameter, which is an indication for a hard tail; for SIS0, $\Gamma = 2.08 \pm 0.17$ and $R = 7^{+12}_{-6}$, and for SIS1, $\Gamma = 1.53^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$ and $R = 5^{+6}_{-6}$, only having an upper limit. The column densities are $N_H = (8.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N_H = (7.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively, and the fits have $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 180/151 ($\chi^2 = 1.19$) and $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 118/132 ($\chi^2 = 0.897$). Here too the iron line is weak, which could pose a problem for the scenario with reflection off cold, neutral material, where one would expect a strong iron line. This supports the interpretation of the spectral hardening in terms of the transmission model.

We now apply the transmission model T. The best fit for the SIS0 detector, gives $\Gamma = 1.9^{+1.0}_{-0.4}$ and column densities of the fully and partially covering components, $N_H = (7.7^{+1.0}_{-1.2}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $N_{Hp} = (30^{+25}_{-23}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, with a covering fraction, $C_F = 0.48^{+0.20}_{-0.33}$. The fit has $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 179/150 ($\chi^2 = 1.19$). The corresponding fit for the SIS1 detector gives $\Gamma = 1.54^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$, $N_H = (6.9^{+0.9}_{-1.0}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, $N_{Hp} = (75^{+110}_{-15}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, $C_F = 0.68^{+0.25}_{-0.45}$ and $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 116/132 ($\chi^2 = 0.88$). The large uncertainty in the partial absorber is due to the fact that it is effective only for a narrow energy interval at high energies and therefore only a few data points can constrain the values. This model is of the same quality as the reflection model, and one cannot tell from the data which is the better one.

A more complicated model, using a power law and a dual absorber as well as Compton reflection, does not increase the quality of the fit.

### 3.3. Conclusions

The SIS data can constrain models R and T better than the GIS data. However, due to the uncertainties in the reduction, where the SIS data are sensitive to the screening criteria and give different results depending on the criteria adopted, we rely more on the GIS results. The SIS0 and the SIS1 detectors also give results that differ from each other, while the GIS data are more consistent with each other and are usually less sensitive to details in the reduction. In the subsequent investigation, we therefore use only the GIS2 and the GIS3 data for the ASCA observation.

The errors in the GIS flux can be found by varying the model parameters to map out the confidence region. The errors then turn out to be of the same order as those due to counting statistics. The estimated energy flux becomes $F_{2-10} = (4.75 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which after absorption correction becomes $F_{2-10}^{corr} = (7.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and finally the luminosity becomes $L_{2-10} = (1.47 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

The fitted GIS spectrum is rather flat, $\Gamma = 1.58 \pm 0.12$, which is in the lower end of the range found in Seyfert galaxies, having a mean value of $\Gamma = 1.9 - 2.0$ (e.g., Nandra & Pounds 1994, Nandra et al. 1997). It has also varied since the Ginga observations in October 1989, when $\Gamma = 1.85 \pm 0.04$, and $F_{2-10} = 4.1 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The X-ray spectrum of NGC 7172 has thus become flatter between 1989 and 1995, and the 2 – 10 keV flux level has increased somewhat. The ASCA observation of NGC 7172 from May 1996 also shows a flat spectrum, $\Gamma \sim 1.5$ (Matt et al. 1997).

NGC 7172 did not show any significant variability during the observations, with the variations being less than 10% of the mean count rate.
4. The CGRO OSSE spectrum of NGC 7172

NGC 7172 was observed by CGRO OSSE during viewing periods (VP) 411.5, 412.0 and 413.0 from 21 February to 21 March 1995. The data could be affected by the proximity of PKS 2155-304, as both objects had to be observed together, due to the field of view of the OSSE instrument being a few times larger than the angular separation between the two objects. During VP 411.5 and 413.0 a two-pointing strategy was adopted, where one pointing was centred on NGC 7172 and the second was pointed off source, closer to PKS 2155-304. This strategy makes it possible to study the contamination of the PKS object, by fitting for the two sources, using both viewing positions, with the analysis package IGORE (Johnson et al. [1993]). Such a study was conducted by the CGRO Science Support Center (SSC) and resulted in the conclusion that there is no evidence for emission from PKS 2155-304 in the OSSE band (Niel Johnson, 1997, private communication), so therefore we attribute all the flux observed to NGC 7172.

The OSSE data from all pointings were appropriately prepared for XSPEC and made available to us by the SSC. The results from fitting the data with a power law are shown in Table 2. Viewing periods 412.0 and 413.0 are consistent with each other, while the observations from viewing period 411.5 differs significantly, having a much flatter spectrum. This indicates that NGC 7172 is variable in the OSSE range over a time scale of a few weeks. VP 413 is the viewing period with the best signal-to-noise ratio, having the longest effective observation time. Fitting all the viewing periods together gives a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.5 \pm 0.3$ and $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 142.7/150 (\(\chi^2 = 0.95\)). The fits should be compared to those of other Seyfert galaxies observed in the OSSE range (Johnson et al. [1993]), for which the mean index is 2.4 ± 0.25.

Table 2. The CGRO OSSE observations of NGC 7172, sorted by viewing periods. The data are fitted with a power law. All errors given are 90% confidence intervals for one interesting parameter, i.e., $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.7$

| Viewing Period | Detector Seconds | $\Gamma$ | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. ($\chi^2$) |
|----------------|------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| 411.5          | 1.37 x 10^5      | 1.20\^{+0.41}_{-0.36} | 60.3 / 51 (1.18)   |
| 412.0          | 1.42 x 10^5      | 3.11\^{+1.34}_{-0.33} | 27.3 / 44 (0.62)   |
| 413.0          | 2.20 x 10^5      | 2.43\^{+0.50}_{-0.47} | 34.1 / 51 (0.67)   |
| All            | 4.99 x 10^5      | 1.94\^{+0.38}_{-0.33} | 142.7 / 150 (0.95) |

5. The combined X/gamma-ray spectrum of NGC 7172

We now analyse the combined spectrum using the Ginga, ASCA GIS, and CGRO OSSE data discussed above. As the spectrum of NGC 7172, observed with ASCA in 1995, is flatter than that from Ginga in 1989, it is of interest to combine the GIS data with the OSSE data to form a broader spectral range. The aim is to be able to determine the photon index unambiguously and thus prove that the slope really has changed and is not only an artifact of the narrowness of the spectral range studied. The observations were not simultaneous, but were made only two months apart.

5.1. The combined ASCA/OSSE spectrum

We start by studying the combined ASCA GIS and CGRO OSSE data. As shown above, the OSSE data alone indicated some variability during the couple of weeks it was gathered. We therefore study different combinations of the GIS and the sets of OSSE data. We use the model P, with a free e-folding energy, $E_{\text{fold}}$; $E^{-\Gamma E^{-E/E_{\text{fold}}}}$, and a single neutral absorber. We let the GIS and the OSSE data have free normalizations compared to each other. The results are presented in Table 3.

Using the OSSE data from viewing period 411.5, the normalizations for the GIS respectively the OSSE data differ by a factor of 2, with the GIS data being stronger and there is no evidence for a cut-off. Renormalizing the GIS data to the normalization of the OSSE data, gives a flux $F_{2-10} = 2.2 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and a subsequent fit, keeping the GIS band fixed, still does not need a cut-off. This could also have been suspected in the OSSE data themselves, which shows a flat spectrum during that viewing period. Figure 3 shows the combined GIS and OSSE data from VP 411.5, and the best-fit, unfolded model, plotted as $EF_E$ versus $E$. The difference in normalization is clearly seen.

The difference in the normalization between the GIS and the OSSE is also obvious, when the VP 412.0 data are used, with the GIS data being ~30% lower. The data now clearly show a sharp cut-off at $E_{\text{fold}} = 45^{+510}_{-25}$ keV, which already is indicated in the OSSE data alone, exhibiting a steep power law. The photon index of the total fit is also affected, as it gets somewhat flatter; $\Gamma = 1.44^{+0.19}_{-0.26}$. Figure 4 shows the combined GIS and OSSE data from VP 412.0, and the best-fit, unfolded model, plotted as $EF_E$ versus $E$.

In the case of using the VP 413.0 data, there is no difference in normalization (< 5%) between the GIS and the OSSE ranges and there is also no statistically significant change of the fit, when the normalizations are locked to each other ($\Delta \chi^2 < 0.5$). The data also show a sharp cut-off at $E_{\text{fold}} = 140^{+310}_{-70}$ keV.
The combinations of data studied above, unequivocally indicate that the e-folding energy has changed during the observation period. The photon index does not change significantly, as it probably, more or less, is determined by the ASCA data. The OSSE data does, however, have an impact on the fit.

Of the data studied, we find the GIS data combined with the OSSE data from VP 413 as the most interesting combination. Firstly, the normalization are consistent with the OSSE data from VP 413 as the most interesting combination. Secondly, the VP 413 data set has the longest effective observation time, leading to the best qual-

Table 3. Parameters for the best fits of the models discussed in the text. Unless otherwise stated, all errors given are 90 % confidence intervals for one interesting parameter, i.e., $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.7$. Numbers within brackets are 90 % lower limits. *OSSE data from VP 411.5 are used. †OSSE data from VP 412.0 are used. ‡OSSE data from VP 413.0 are used. §OSSE data from VP 411.5, VP 412.0 and VP 413.0 are used. ¶Errors are 68 % confidence intervals for one interesting parameter, i.e., $\Delta \chi^2 = 1.0$

| #  | Model          | $\Gamma$ | $E_{\text{fold}}$ (keV) | $N_H$ ($10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$) | $N_{\text{Hp}}$ ($10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$) | $C_F$ | $R$  | $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f. (}\chi^2\text{)}$ |
|----|----------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|------|---------------------------------|
| 1  | Ginga P        | 1.85±0.04| -                        | 10.5±0.5                        | -                               | -     | -    | 30.3 /23 (1.32)                 |
| 2  | Ginga R        | 1.98±0.10| -                        | 11.0±0.5                        | -                               | -     | 0.8±0.7 | 23.1/22 (1.05)                 |
| 3  | Ginga T        | 2.00±0.15| -                        | 10.0±0.5                        | 70^{+330}_{-35}                 | 0.32±0.17 | -    | 25.6/22 (1.17)                 |
| 4  | ASCA P         | 1.58±0.12| -                        | 8.2±0.3                         | -                               | -     | 316/360 (0.88)                 |
| 5  | Ginga+OSSE P   | 1.84±0.04| (780)                   | 10.5±0.4                        | -                               | -     | -    | 96.6/74 (1.31)                 |
| 6  | Ginga+OSSE P   | 1.84±0.04| (95)                    | 10.5±0.4                        | -                               | -     | 60.6/66 (0.92)                 |
| 7  | Ginga+OSSE P   | 1.82±0.05| (120)                   | 10.5±0.4                        | -                               | -     | -    | 66.1/74 (0.90)                 |
| 8  | Ginga+OSSE P   | 1.84±0.04| (350)                   | 10.5±0.4                        | -                               | -     | -    | 173.2/173 (1.00)               |
| 9  | ASCA+OSSE P    | 1.55±0.11| (550)                   | 8.9±0.4                         | -                               | -     | -    | 378.9/411 (0.92)               |
| 10 | ASCA+OSSE P    | 1.44±0.26| (45±5)                  | 8.0±0.6                         | -                               | -     | -    | 344.9/404 (0.85)               |
| 11 | ASCA+OSSE P    | 1.54±0.13| (140±330)               | 8.1±0.6                         | -                               | -     | -    | 351.1/411 (0.85)               |
| 12 | ASCA+OSSE P    | 1.58±0.12| (500±315)               | 8.2±0.5                         | -                               | -     | -    | 459.6/510 (0.90)               |
| 13 | ASCA+OSSE R    | 1.74±0.33| (353±282)               | 8.4±0.7                         | -                               | -     | 1.5±1.9 | 350.0/410 (0.85)               |
| 14 | ASCA+OSSE T    | 1.70±0.28| (165±90)                | 7.5±0.7                         | 75^{+95}_{-6}                   | 0.43±0.35 | -    | 349.7/409 (0.86)               |
ity of the data sets and thirdly, it can be mentioned that VP 413 is the data set that is closest to the ASCA observations. The resulting fit gives $\Gamma = 1.54 \pm 0.13$, and $N_H = (8.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, with $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 351.1/411 ($\chi^2 = 0.85$). The fitted spectrum is as flat as the one obtained with the GIS data alone. Figure 5 shows the combined GIS and OSSE data from VP 413.0, and the best-fit, unfolded model, plotted as $E F_E$ versus $E$.

With the available data, and bearing in mind the problems connected with non-simultaneous studies, we present the results from model P, using GIS data from the ASCA observation and the VP 413.0 data from the CGRO OSSE observation, GIS/OSSE(VP 413), as the finally most interesting ones (Fig. 5.). Figure 6 shows a contour plot of the column density versus the photon index of the power law. The contours are 90 % and 68 % confidence levels. The figure manifests the flatness of the spectrum. Another contour plot is shown in Fig. 7. Here the photon index is plotted against the e-folding energy. The contours are again 90 % and 68 % confidence levels. The figure shows that the e-folding energy is not as tightly constrained to low energies, as the single parameter interval suggests, but it is obviously bounded upwards.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Fig. 5.** Same as Fig. 3., but for viewing period 413.0. For best fit values, see text, or row 11 in table 3.

![Figure 6](image.png)

**Fig. 6.** Confidence contours for the column density, $N_H$, versus the photon index, $\Gamma$, for the ASCA+OSSE(VP413) P fit. The parameter values corresponding to the best fit is marked by a plus sign (+).

We continue studying the GIS/OSSE(VP 413) data by applying more advanced models. We begin by trying to add a Compton reflection component. By adding the reflection parameter $R$, the $\chi^2$ of the resulting fit is reduced by only 1.1 units. Furthermore, the data can not properly constrain the $R$ parameter. At a 68 % confidence level $R$ lies between $5 \times 10^{-2}$ and 3.4, so even at this level the resulting fit is consistent with no reflection.

Continuing with model T one finds a fit with a power law, $\Gamma = 1.70^{+0.28}_{-0.28}$ with $E_{\text{fold}} = 165^{+820}_{-90}$ keV and $N_H = (7.5^{+10.0}_{-9.1}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. Here too, the data have difficulty in constraining the model. At a 68 % confidence level the best fit has $N_H = (73^{+95}_{-68}) \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $C_F = 0.43 \pm 0.35$. The fit is not better than the fit of model P, $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 350/409 ($\chi^2 = 0.86$). Neither the reflection model nor the transmission model is therefore preferred by the data over the power law model, and thus the OSSE data do not alter, or at least do not contradict, the conclusion from using the ASCA data alone, i.e., that the X-ray spectrum of NGC 7172 has become flatter between 1989 and 1995. The conclusion of the tests to prove the flatness of the spectrum found by the GIS data alone, can, however, only be tentative, due to the spectral and normalization variations in the OSSE band in the short time scales, observed.
Fig. 7. Confidence contours for the photon index, $\Gamma$, versus the e-folding energy, $E_{\text{fold}}$, for the ASCA+OSSE(VP413) P fit. The contours have delta-fit statistic of 2.30 and 4.61, i.e. 68 % and 90 % confidence levels for two interesting parameters. The parameter values corresponding to the best fit is marked by a plus sign (+).

observations as compared to the GIS. The resulting fit confirms the low e-folding energy, $E_{\text{fold}} = 115^{+190}_{-55}$ and the flat power law $\Gamma = 1.39^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$.

5.2. The combined Ginga/OSSE spectrum

We now turn over to the Ginga data and combine it with the OSSE data, noting that several years separate these two observations, which demands even more caution to be taken, in interpreting the non-simultaneous fits. In the first fit, using the OSSE data from viewing period 411.5 the normalization of the OSSE data are only 10 % higher than the Ginga data. There is no cut-off at high energies. The best fit has $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 96.6/74 ($\chi^2 = 1.31$) with $\Gamma = 1.84 \pm 0.04$.

The next two fits include the OSSE data from viewing period 412.0 and 413.0. The photon index of the power law is dictated by the high quality Ginga data, $\Gamma = 1.8$. The free normalization shows that the Ginga data is 50 % higher and 60 % lower, respectively. For these two periods, the e-folding energies are low, even with the steeper spectrum given by the Ginga data. They are not constrained upwards, with 90 % lower limits of 95 and 120 keV, respectively.

5.3. The combined GIS/Ginga/OSSE spectrum

Finally, we analyse all three data sets together. It is evident from an inspection of the data that there is a difference in the normalization between the 1995 ASCA and the 1989 Ginga data, and we allow the Ginga data to have variable normalization relative to the ASCA and OSSE data sets. From inspection of the residuals of the different instruments, it is clear that the high quality Ginga data that defines the fit, and leaving the ASCA data poorly fit. This supports earlier findings, that NGC 7172 had a different spectral shape and absorber in 1989 than in 1995 and we do not make any further analysis using data from all three satellites at the same time.

6. Discussion

Using the ASCA GIS observations of NGC 7172, the best fit for a power law and a single absorber (model P) gives a flat spectrum with $\Gamma = 1.6$. Adding the CGRO OSSE data, a broader band spectrum is achieved, which could constrain the spectral parameters better. The GIS/OSSE observations of NGC 7172 were, however, not simultaneous. The time interval between the observations was only two months and the source did not show any evidence of variability during the ASCA observation. Furthermore, the flux variation over the period 1985–1995 was quite modest. On the other hand, the OSSE observations showed considerable spectral variations during the month it was observed. In addition, the ASCA data from the May 1996 observation (Matt et al. 1997) show a decrease in $2–10$ keV flux, down to $F_{2–10} = (1.15 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This emphasises the difficulty of combining non-simultaneous observations, which should be studied using great caution. Very few broad-band studies have been done and as they are of great physical interest, it is useful to study even non-simultaneous data sets, taking into account the uncertainties that infallibly accompanies the study.

The combined data from March and May 1995 are consistent with the spectrum of NGC 7172 being as flat as observed with the ASCA satellite alone. The OSSE data show a rather sharp cut-off at $E_{\text{fold}} \sim 150$ keV, and it is relatively well constrained. The fits do not improve when more complicated models are used, such as adding an Fe line, a reflection component, or using a dual absorber model.

The flat spectrum found means that the spectral index of NGC 7172 is variable, changing from $\Gamma = 1.85$ in 1989 to $\Gamma = 1.5$ in 1995. It still lies within the range that Seyfert 1 galaxies are observed to have. We note that some other Seyfert galaxies (see, e.g., Yaqoob 1992 and references therein), most notably NGC 4151 (Yaqoob & Warwick 1991, Zdziarski et al. 1996), also have variable $\Gamma$. Furthermore, both Ginga (Smith & Done 1996) as well as ASCA (e.g. Cappi et al. 1996, Weaver et al. 1994) observations show that some Seyfert 2 galaxies have flat spectra similar to that sometimes shown by NGC4151. In a large fraction of these sources with flat spectra, reflection is not needed in the fits, and thus the intrinsic spectrum could be flat.

Zdziarski et al. 1996 discussed in detail the theoretical implications of the flat intrinsic spectrum and weak
reflection of NGC 4151. Similar arguments also apply to NGC 7172. A flat intrinsic spectrum implies that the X/γ source is soft-photon starved. This, in turn, requires that any cold matter reprocessing the X/γ radiation into soft UV-photons must subtend a small solid angle as viewed from the X/γ source, and/or that the X/γ source subtends a small solid angle as viewed from the cold matter. Weak reflection, furthermore, requires similarly that the cold matter subtends a small angle as viewed from the X/γ source, or, if the cold matter has a disk geometry, that the disk is viewed nearly edge-on. Several geometries are consistent with these requirements, for instance, a hot inner disk and a cold outer disk (Shapiro et al. 1976), or edge-on view of a cold disk with hot sources elevated above the disk (e.g., Svensson 1996). The varying spectral slope can then be due to a change in geometry, such as changing the interface radius between the inner hot and the outer cold disk, or changing the elevations of the hot sources above the cold disk. As we are likely to view the central source in Seyfert 2 galaxies more or less edge-on, anisotropic spectral effects are a less likely source of spectral variability (Stern et al. 1995, Poutanen et al. 1997).

On the other hand, a reflection model or a transmission model with a photon index, Γ = 1.7, cannot be ruled out completely. The fits are, however, not significantly better. In the case of the dual absorber, the geometry of the absorbing gas components with covering fraction ∼ 0.5 over scales of 10^{13} – 10^{14} cm (i.e., the scale of the central X-ray source) is not obvious.

It is of interest to compare our results with previous efforts to fit broad band spectra of Seyfert 2 galaxies. NGC 4945 (Done et al. 1996) shows a steep intrinsic spectrum with Γ = 1.82 and a very large absorber, N_H = 4 \times 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}. The e-folding energy is not well constrained at E_{\text{fold}} = 1000^{+\infty}_{-230} \text{keV}. Our results for NGC 7172 are more consistent with the average broad band spectrum of the three Seyfert 2 galaxies, NGC 4507, NGC 7582, and MCG-523-16 (Zdziarski et al. 1995) which displays a flatter spectrum with Γ = 1.67 and an e-folding energy at E_{\text{fold}} = 250^{+\infty}_{-180} \text{keV}.

7. Conclusions

According to the ASCA observations from 1995 and 1996, the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 7172 exhibits a flat spectrum, Γ ≈ 1.5, unlike the typical Seyfert 1 galaxies, thus posing a problem for the current theory of the Seyfert unification scheme, which predicts the same average intrinsic photon index for Seyfert 2 galaxies and Seyfert 1 galaxies, i.e., a mean value of Γ = 1.9 – 2.0. Moreover, the 1989 observation by Ginga, with its broader spectral range, showed a spectral slope, Γ = 1.85, similar to that of Seyfert 1 galaxies. By combining the ASCA and the CGRO OSSE data, both from 1995, a broad band spectral fit is possible, which better determines the effects of a possible complex absorber and of Compton reflection, which may be undetectable using the ASCA data alone. The data are, however, non-simultaneous and the final results should therefore be treated with appropriate caution.

We find that the simplest model, consisting of an absorbed power law with an exponential high energy cut-off, gives an acceptable description of the data. The fit does not change significantly as compared to the results obtained by analysing only the ASCA data. The spectral index of the power law has thus become flatter since the Ginga observations in 1989 and the change in spectral slope, ΔΓ ≈ 0.3, could be an intrinsic change. The value obtained for the photon index still lies at the lower end of the range observed for Seyfert 1 galaxies. The spectrum is observed to cut-off at about 150 keV, using the CGRO OSSE viewing period with the highest quality data. We also find that the CGRO OSSE spectral shape appears to vary on a time scale of weeks.
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