Screen time and early childhood development in Ceará, Brazil: a population-based study
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Abstract

Background: Globally, children’s exposure to digital screens continues to increase and is associated with adverse effects on child health. We aimed to evaluate the association of screen exposure with child communication, gross-motor, fine-motor, problem-solving, and personal-social development scores.

Methods: We conducted a population-based, cross-sectional study with cluster sampling among children 0–60 months of age living in the state of Ceará, Brazil. Child screen time was assessed by maternal report and the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations were used to define excessive screen time exposure. Child development was assessed with the Brazilian Ages and Stages Questionnaire. Generalized linear regression was used to determine the association of screen exposure with developmental outcomes. We also examined the potential non-linear relationship of screen time with development scores using spline analyses.

Results: A total of 3155 children 0–60 months of age had screen time exposure evaluated and 69% percent were identified as exposed to excessive screen time. This percentage of excess screen time increased with child age from 41.7% for children 0–12 months to 85.2% for children 49–60 months. Each additional hour of screen time was associated with lower child communication (standardized mean difference (SMD): -0.03; 95% CI: -0.04, -0.02), problem solving (SMD: -0.03; 95% CI: -0.05, -0.02) and personal-social (SMD: -0.04; 95% CI: -0.06, -0.03) domain scores.

Conclusions: Excess screen time exposure was highly prevalent and independently associated with poorer development outcomes among children under 5 years of age in Ceará, Brazil.
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**Background**

Globally, children’s exposure to digital screens continues to increase [1]. International pediatric societies, including the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Brazilian Society of Pediatrics, have stated that parents should limit child time of screen exposure. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), screen time is not recommended for children under two years of age, and sedentary screen time should be no more than one hour per day for children aged two to four years [2].

Multiple studies have found that increased screen time for children is associated with an increased risk of obesity, attention problems and hyperactivity, sleep problems, unsatisfactory academic performance, and unhappiness [3, 4]. In addition, studies have found that excessive screen time is associated with poor early cognitive and motor development outcomes in children [5, 6]. Greater screen time for children may reduce engagement in interactive activities with other children or adults and may lead to fewer learning opportunities [6, 7]. Therefore, global increases in screen time for children are of major concern given child development may have long-term effects across the life course including on adult productivity [8], and income generation [9].

Nevertheless, most of the evidence on the relationship of screen time with child development association comes from high-income countries in North America and Europe. To the best of our knowledge, there are no prior studies that have evaluated the association of screen time with development among children in the context of Latin America. To fill this knowledge gap, we conducted a cross-sectional, population-based study in Ceará, Brazil among children aged 0–60 months, to assess the association of screen time exposure with communication, gross-motor, fine-motor, problem-solving, and personal-social development.

**Methods**

**Study design and sample**

We analyzed data from the Pesquisa de Saúde Materno Infantil no Ceará (PESMIC, Maternal and Child Health Research in Ceará) study. Full details of the methods for the PESMIC can be found elsewhere [10]. The PESMIC is a population-based cross-sectional study focused on maternal and child health of preschool children up to 72 months of age living in the state of Ceará, in northeastern Brazil. Ceará is one of the poorest states in Brazil, with a population of 9 million inhabitants living in a semiarid climate. Fortaleza (2.3 million inhabitants) is the capital city and urban commercial center of Ceará. The PESMIC study area also included the rural regions of Ceará, where subsistence farming is predominant.

For this analysis, we used data from the 2017 PESMIC survey which was conducted from August to November 2017. The PESMICs used cluster sampling, based on the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) census tracts and stratification between urban Fortaleza and the rural areas. Census tracts were constructed based on the division of each municipality into geographic regions of variable extension with a stable population of 300 families, and 160 randomly selected census tracts that included a total of 3200 households were sampled. To ensure that the study sample was representative, cities, census tracts, and households were randomly selected. Once a census tract was defined and its corresponding map obtained, the location of a 20 house cluster to be surveyed was determined. The starting point of the cluster (the first home to be visited) was randomly selected utilizing ArcGIS® software, GIS Inc. Households were then visited consecutively in a counter-clockwise fashion. Shops and abandoned buildings were excluded and replaced and in the case of absent families, up to three return visits were conducted to complete the survey. All mothers aged 10–49 years old and children aged 0–72 months old were included in the PESMIC study and this analysis is limited to children 0–60 months [10].

**Screen time assessment**

In order to assess child screen time exposure, the caregiver was asked to enumerate the total amount of time the children spent watching television, using cellphones or tablets, or playing videogames during a habitual day. The study questions can be found in supplementary Chart 1. Excessive screen time was defined based on the WHO guidelines [2]; excessive screen time for children aged 0–23 months was defined as any screen time while excessive screen time for children aged 24–60 months was defined as more than one hour per day. In addition, we also assessed time of exposure to TV and interactive media (time spent on a touch screen or playing devices, e.g., smartphones, tablets, video games).

**Assessment of child development**

Child development was assessed using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire, version 3 (ASQ-3), a screening instrument to detect developmental delays in young children, originally developed by Squires, Bricker and Twombly [11]. The PESMIC study used the Brazilian version of the ASQ-3 that was adapted by Santana, Filgueiras and Landeira-Fernandez (ASQ-BR) [12]. The ASQ-BR is composed of 21 age-based questionnaires, with 30 questions in each questionnaire. The ASQ-BR assessed the following five domains:

1. global motor coordination, which includes movement and use of arms, body, and legs (rolling, crawling, crawling, sitting, walking, running);
(2) fine motor coordination, which includes movements that require control of the use of hands and fingers;
(3) communication, which includes questions related to the child’s speech, listening, and comprehension;
(4) problem solving, which includes questions related to how children play with toys and their ability to solve problems;
(5) personal-social, which includes skills presented in the child’s interactions with other people and the ability to play alone and with others.

In the ASQ-BR questionnaire, there are three possible responses for each time and the response corresponds to a standard point score. “Yes” is scored 10 points, “Sometimes” is scored 5 points and “Not yet” is scored 0 points [11]. The study interviewers were trained to apply the ASQ-BR for 20 h by medical professionals. In terms of scoring, a child’s domain score was excluded if more than two items were skipped. If one or two items in a domain were skipped, we provided an adjusted score by calculating the average score for the completed items in that area and assigned the average score to the skipped item [11]. We also corrected child for prematurity for children aged less than 24 months by subtracting the number of weeks premature from the child’s chronological age.

Sociodemographic variables
We also assessed child age, sex, maternal education (years of schooling), and family monthly income through questionnaires that were administered to the mother or head of the household. We also assessed household purchasing power using the Associação Brasileira de Empresas de Pesquisa (ABEP) questionnaire. The ABEP assesses the ownership of assets by the family, including cars, washing machines, refrigerators, and other possessions. Based on the ABEP score, household are standardly classified into household purchasing power classes [13].

Statistical analysis
We analyzed the age- and sex-standardized ASQ-BR scores [14] for children aged five to 60 months of age. For children less than five months of age, we used the US ASQ standards [15]. Children with scores < 2 standard deviations (SDs) below the domain mean were considered to screen positive for developmental delay. We then used sample-adjusted generalized linear models to assess the association of screen exposure time with ASQ-BR domain scores. We analyzed total screen time as the primary exposure of interest, and we also assessed TV screen time and interactive media time as secondary exposures of interest. Multivariable models were constructed based on the WHO nurturing care framework and included the covariates for child gender, household purchasing power class, maternal employment, maternal schooling, and interviewer [16]. We assessed the potential non-linear relationship of screen time with development with restricted cubic splines. We used pairwise deletion for missing data. We also assessed the potential for effect modification of the relationship of screen time with development by child age using interaction terms. All study data were double entered twice using EpiInfo 2000 and analyzed using SPSS Version 23 (SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. IBM Inc).

Results
A total of 3155 children 0–60 months of age were included in the analysis. A summary of the sample characteristics is shown in Table 1. The mean maternal age was 28.2 ± 7.1 years, 72.6% were married or had a common-law marriage partner, and 78.7% were unemployed. The mean household income was 1087.7 ± 1004.7 reais (~US$ 250.00), and 54.2% participated in Bolsa Família, a national conditional cash transfer program. The children’s mean age was 27.1 ± 17.4 months. The reported mean total time of child screen exposure was 2.6 ± 0.6 h per day, of which 1.5 ± 1.7 h were dedicated to watching television and 0.6 ± 1.2 h to the use of tablets and smartphones. Overall, 69% of the children were identified as having excess exposure to screens based on their age. The proportion of children with excessive screen time increased with child age from 41.7% among children aged 0–12 months to 85.2% among children aged 49–60 months (p-value < 0.001).

The association of screen exposure time with child development outcomes is presented in Table 2. Each additional hour of total screen time was associated with lower child communication (standardized mean difference (SMD): -0.03; 95% CI: -0.04, -0.02), problem solving (SMD: -0.03; 95% CI: -0.04, -0.01) and personal-social domain scores (SMD: -0.04; 95% CI: -0.06, -0.03). There was no association between total screen time and fine and gross motor scores. We also found that increased television time and interactive media time were similarly associated with decreased communication, problem-solving and personal-social scores (Table 2).

We also examined the potential non-linear relationship of screen time with development outcomes. We found significantly non-linear relationships of total screen time with communication and fine-motor domains (p-values for non-linearity < 0.01). Each additional hour of total screen time was associated with lower communication scores up to 6 h per day, after which the relationship appeared to plateau. In contrast, total screen time was associated with increases in fine motor scores to about 4 h, but above 4 h there was a negative association. We found linear relationships for total screen time with problem-solving and personal social domains where
each additional hour was associated with lower scores across the observed range of time of screen exposure ($p$-values for linear relationship < 0.01) (Fig. 1). We did not find evidence of effect modification of the relationship of screen time exposure with child development by child age.

**Discussion**

In this population-based cross-sectional study in Ceará, Brazil, we found that 69% of children 0–60 months of age had a total screen time in excess of WHO recommendations and the proportion of children with elevated screen time increased with child age. We also determined that increased total screen time was associated with lower child communication, problem solving, and personal-social domains scores.

The prevalence of excessive screen time in our study that used the WHO recommendations is comparable with other studies. For example, in North America, it is estimated that about 50% of children two years of age spend more than one hour/day watching television [17]. In addition, in 2011, 41% of American children up to eight years old had a smartphone at home, while in 2017, this prevalence increased to 95% [18, 19]. Similarly, in Asia, the prevalence of TV exposure greater than one hour/day was 76.7% among two year old children, and in Thailand 90% of two year of children had greater than one hour per day of screen time exposure [20, 21]. Population data on the prevalence of screen time in young children in Latin America are rare. In Brazil, a small study with 180 daycare children in Diamantina, Minas Gerais, found that 48.2% of children aged 2 years old had greater than two hours of screen exposure [22], which is slightly lower than our population-based data.

We also found that increased screen time was negatively associated with child communication, problem-solving, and personal social domain scores after adjustment for potential confounders. The relationship of increased screen exposure with poorer communication and language development, has been well documented in the literature [23]. Excessive exposure to screen time can reduce the time during which the child engages in conversations with adults and older children, which may lead to impaired language development [24]. In addition, the accumulation of visual stimuli and brain exposure to screen images can be harmful and can lead to damage to the frontopolar region of the brain, responsible for language development [25].

We also found that increased screen time was associated with poorer personal-social and problem-solving development in our study. There is some evidence that television watching can influence children’s behavior, which may lead to suboptimal development of personal-social skills. A study carried out in Bangkok, Thailand, found that children that were exposed to adult television programs starting from six months of age were at greater risk for pervasive developmental problems, oppositional defiant behaviors, emotionally reactive problems, aggression, and externalizing behaviors [26]. In addition, a study that evaluated more than 3000 3-year of children in the US reported that children who had greater exposure to television were more likely to exhibit violent behavior [27]. Nevertheless, it is important to note that greater screen time may be a consequence of limited time and ability of mothers and caregivers to engage with their children and therefore the associations

| Table 1 | Sample characteristics including sociodemographic data, screen exposure and assessment of child development by the ASQ-BR; Ceará, Brazil (n = 3155) |
|---|---|
| **Sample characteristics** | **Mean ± SD** | **N (%)** |
| **Maternal and household characteristics** | | |
| Age (years) (min-max) | 28.2 ± 7.1 (10–49) | |
| Education (years of schooling) | 4.5 ± 2.8 | |
| Having a husband or partner | 2233 (72.6) | |
| Maternal unemployment | 2219 (78.7) | |
| Monthly household income (Brazilian Reais)$^a$ | 1087.7 ± 1004.7 | |
| Participation in conditional cash transfer program$^b$ | 1709 (54.2) | |
| **Child Characteristics** | | |
| Male gender | 1582 (50.1) | |
| Age in months | 27.1 ± 17.4 | |
| **Screen time (hours per day)** | | |
| Television | 1.5 ± 1.7 | |
| Touch devices | 0.6 ± 1.2 | |
| Video game | 0.3 ± 0.9 | |
| Total screen time exposure | 2.6 ± 0.6 | |
| **Excessive screen exposure by age group** | | |
| 0–12 months | 327 (41.7) | |
| 13–24 months | 525 (73.6) | |
| 25–36 months | 441 (68.3) | |
| 37–48 months | 381 (76.8) | |
| 49–60 months | 431 (85.2) | |
| Total sample | 2454 (69.0) | |
| **ASQ-BR age-standardized scores by domain** | | |
| Communication | 52.2 ± 11.5 | |
| Gross motor | 55.4 ± 9.3 | |
| Fine motor | 49.7 ± 13.7 | |
| Problem solving | 50.7 ± 12.5 | |
| Personal-Social | 50.1 ± 11.7 | |

Note: ASQ-3 = Ages and Stages Questionnaire version 3
$^a$US$1.00 = 3.17 Brazilian Reais at the time of assessment; $^b$ Receiving conditional cash transfer is a marker for low socioeconomic status.
Table 2 Results of sample-adjusted generalized linear models* to determine the association between screen time and child development domains based on ASQ-BR

| Screen time (per additional hour) | Child development domains (ASQ-BR) |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|                                  | Communication                     | Gross motor | Fine motor | Problem solving | Personal-social |
|                                  | SMD (95% CI)                      | SMD (95% CI) | SMD (95% CI) | SMD (95% CI) | SMD (95% CI) |
| Total                            | -0.03 (< 0.001)                   | -0.004 (0.48) | -0.010 (0.20) | -0.03 (< 0.001) | -0.04 (< 0.001) |
| TV                               | -0.06 (< 0.001)                   | -0.02 (0.15)  | 0.009 (0.54)  | -0.06 (0.01)  | -0.09 (< 0.001) |
| Interactive media                | -0.04 (0.001)                     | 0.005 (0.71)  | 0.03 (0.14)   | -0.03 (0.04)  | -0.05 (0.007)  |

Note: SMD = standardized mean difference; CI = confidence interval
*Multivariable models with SMD for screen time adjusted for child gender, maternal years of schooling, maternal unemployment, purchase power class, family monthly income and interviewer
may not be biologically related to screen time but rather the result of more limited engagement of parents in play and learning activities [28].

Nevertheless, it is important to note that not all screen time may negatively affect child development. A recent study found screen-mediated activities, such as storytelling, had positive effects on child development during the COVID-19 pandemic [29]. A study carried out in Brazil identified that exposure to high-quality screen time with mediation and parental participation was associated with better child development outcomes [30]. Further, there is evidence that type of screen exposure may differentially be associated with children’s development. For example, a cohort conducted in Australia among 10–11 year old children found that passive screen time (such as watching television) was associated with worse development while the use of interactive screens (such as cell phones and video games) was positively related to some educational outcomes [31]. However, it is important to note, the relationship of type of screen time with development may not apply to younger children. In our study, we did not have data on the programming or type of media that children were exposed to. Brazil has a low cable television coverage, and the open-access channels have few educational programs for children which may be related to Brazilian laws that prohibit advertising in child-directed content. Therefore, it is important for future research to provide a more detailed assessment of screen exposure and the types of media children are engaged in.

Our study has a few limitations. The cross-sectional design of the study does not allow for the analysis of child development trajectories over time or direct determination of causal associations. In addition, we adjusted for socioeconomic status, maternal education, and other factors, but there may still be residual and unmeasured confounding. Furthermore, while the study was designed to be representative of the child population in the State of Ceará, it might not be generalizable to all children in Brazil or other settings in Latin America.
Conclusions
Overall, our population-based survey found that 7 out of 10 children 0–60 months of age in Ceará, Brazil had excessive screen time exposure. Increased total time of screen exposure was associated with poorer child communication, problem solving and personal-social development. As a result, research on interventions that aim to reduce child screen exposure and promote child development is needed.
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