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Abstract

We present a method to construct explicitly \( L_\infty \)-algebras governing simultaneous deformations of various kinds of algebraic structures and of their morphisms. It is an alternative to the heavy use of the operad machinery of the existing approaches. Our method relies on Voronov’s derived bracket construction.

Introduction

The deformation theory of various kinds of structures (e.g., \cite{7}, \cite{12} and \cite{14}) can be encapsulated in the language of graded Lie algebras (\cite{16} and \cite{17}) or more generally, for non quadratic structures, of \( L_\infty \)-algebras (\cite{15}).

It is convenient to have such a formulation since cohomology theory, analogues of Massey products and a natural equivalence relation on the space of deformations come along for free. However obtaining such formulation – that is, obtaining the \( L_\infty \)-algebra governing the given deformation problem – can be difficult.

There are known techniques (\cite{4}) to solve this problem in the case of simultaneous deformations of various kinds of algebras and their morphisms, but they are based on the formalism of operads, which provides an obstacle to mathematicians not acquainted with operad theory.

On the other hand, T. Voronov, building on Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach’s derived brackets (\cite{13}), developed techniques enabling to produce \( L_\infty \)-algebras out of some simple concepts of graded linear algebra (\cite{22} and \cite{23}). In our work \cite{6} we showed how to adapt Voronov’s results to the study of simultaneous deformations, and gave geometrical applications which could not be obtained otherwise.

In this paper we show that this approach also applies successfully to simultaneous deformations of algebras and morphisms and that this can be an alternative approach for
users not willing to use the operadic formalism.

Outline of the content of the paper.
In §1 we recall the formalism of graded Lie algebras and $L_\infty$-algebras together with the derived bracket constructions (see Thm. 1 and 2) and the tool we use to study simultaneous deformations (Thm. 3). In §2 we give algebraic applications to the study of simultaneous deformations of algebras and morphisms in the categories of Lie and $L_\infty$-algebras. Another application concerns Lie subalgebras of Lie algebras.

1 $L_\infty$-algebras via derived brackets and Maurer-Cartan elements

We recall the machinery we developed in [6, §1] (which first appeared as [5, §1]). The main result is Thm. 3 which produces the $L_\infty$-algebras appearing in the rest of the article. We first give some basic material about $L_\infty$-algebras in §1.1, then we recall in §1.2 Voronov’s constructions which will be the main tools used to establish in §1.3 our Theorem 3. We conclude justifying in §1.4 why no convergence issues arise in our machinery, and discussing equivalences in §1.5.

We refer the reader to [6, §1] for additional details and proofs (an exception being Lemma 1.13 which we prove here).

1.1 Background on $L_\infty$-algebras

The notion of $L_\infty$-algebra is due to Lada and Stasheff [15], and contains graded Lie algebras and differential graded Lie algebras (DGLAs) as special cases. We will need only a “shifted” version of this notion, in which all the multibrackets are graded symmetric have degree one. We refer to the latter as $L_\infty[1]$-algebras.

To introduce it, recall that given two elements $v_1, v_2$ in a graded vector space, the Koszul sign of the transposition $\tau_{1,2}$ of these two elements is $\epsilon(\tau_{1,2}, v_1, v_2) := (-1)^{|v_1||v_2|}$. This definition is extended to an arbitrary permutation using a its decomposition into transpositions.

Recall further that $\sigma \in S_n$ is called an $(i, n-i)$-shuffle if it satisfies $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(i)$ and $\sigma(i+1) < \cdots < \sigma(n)$. The set of $(i, n-i)$-shuffles is denoted by $S(i,n-i)$.

Definition 1.1. ([11, Def. 5]) An $L_\infty[1]$-algebra is a graded vector space $W = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} W_i$ equipped with a collection $(k \geq 1)$ of linear maps $m_k : \otimes^k W \to W$ of degree 1 satisfying, for every collection of homogeneous elements $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in W$:

1) graded symmetry: for every $\sigma \in S_n$

$$m_n(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = \epsilon(\sigma)m_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n),$$

2) relations: for all $n \geq 1$

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{\sigma \in S(i,n-i)} \epsilon(\sigma)m_j(m_i(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(i)}), v_{\sigma(i+1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma(n)}) = 0.$$
In a curved $L_\infty[1]$-algebra one additionally allows for an element $m_0 \in W_1$ (which can be understood as a bracket with zero arguments), one allows $i$ and $j$ to be zero in the relations 2), and one adds the relation corresponding to $n = 0$.

Remark 1.2. There is a bijection between $L_\infty$-algebra structures on a graded vector space $V$ and $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structures on $V[1]$, the graded vector space defined by $(V[1])_i := V_{i+1}$ [22 Rem. 2.1]. The multibrackets are related by applying the décalage isomorphisms

$$\bigotimes^n V[n] \cong \bigotimes^n (V[1]), \quad v_1 \ldots v_n \mapsto v_1 \ldots v_n \cdot (-1)^{(n-1)|v_1| + \ldots + 2|v_{n-2}| + |v_{n-1}|},$$

where $|v_i|$ denotes the degree of $v_i \in V$. The bijection extends to the curved case.

From now on, for any $v \in V$, we denote by $v[1]$ the corresponding element in $V[1]$ (which has degree $|v| - 1$). Also, we denote the multibrackets in $L_\infty[1]$-algebras by $\{\cdots\}$, we denote by $d := m_1$ the unary bracket, and in the curved case we denote $\{\emptyset\} := m_0$ (the bracket with zero arguments).

**Definition 1.3.** Given an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $W$, a **Maurer-Cartan element** is a degree 0 element $\Phi$ satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \{\Phi, \ldots, \Phi\}_{n \text{ times}} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

(We consider the convergence of this infinite sum in [14].) We denote by $MC(W)$ the set of Maurer-Cartan elements of $W$.

If $W$ is a curved $L_\infty[1]$-algebra, we define Maurer-Cartan elements by adding $m_0 \in W_1$ to the left hand side of eq. (2) (i.e. by letting the sum in (2) start at $n = 0$).

### 1.2 Th. Voronov’s constructions of $L_\infty$-algebras as derived brackets

We recall Th. Voronov’s derived bracket construction [22][23], which out of simple data constructs an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structure.

**Definition 1.4.** A **V-data** consists of a quadruple $(L, \mathfrak{a}, P, \Delta)$ where

- $L$ is a graded Lie algebra (we denote its bracket by $[\cdot, \cdot]$),
- $\mathfrak{a}$ an abelian Lie subalgebra,
- $P: L \to \mathfrak{a}$ a projection whose kernel is a Lie subalgebra of $L$,
- $\Delta \in \text{Ker}(P)_1$ an element such that $[\Delta, \Delta] = 0$.

When $\Delta$ is an arbitrary element of $L_1$ instead of $\text{Ker}(P)_1$, we refer to $(L, \mathfrak{a}, P, \Delta)$ as a **curved V-data**.

**Theorem 1 (22, Thm. 1, Cor. 1]).** Let $(L, \mathfrak{a}, P, \Delta)$ be a curved V-data. Then $\mathfrak{a}$ is a curved $L_\infty[1]$-algebra for the multibrackets $\{\emptyset\} := P\Delta$ and $(n \geq 1)$

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = P[\ldots[[\Delta, a_1], a_2], \ldots, a_n].$$ \hspace{1cm} (3) \hfill \text{We obtain a } L_\infty[1]\text{-algebra exactly when } \Delta \in \text{Ker}(P).
When $\Delta \in Ker(P)$ there is actually a larger $L_\infty[1]$-algebra, which contains $a$ as in Thm. 1 as a $L_\infty[1]$-subalgebra.

**Theorem 2 (22, Thm. 2).** Let $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ be a $V$-data, and denote $D := [\Delta, \cdot]: L \to L$. Then the space $L[1] \oplus a$ is a $L_\infty[1]$-algebra for the differential
\[
d(x[1], a) := (-(Dx)[1], P(x + Da)),
\]the binary bracket
\[
\{x[1], y[1]\} = [x, y][1](-1)^{|x|} \in L[1],
\]and for $n \geq 1$:
\[
\{x[1], a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = P[\ldots[x, a_1], \ldots, a_n] \in a,
\]
\[
\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = P[\ldots[Da_1, a_2], \ldots, a_n] \in a.
\]

Here $x, y \in L$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in a$. Up to permutation of the entries, all the remaining multibrackets vanish.

**Notation 1.5.** We will denote by
\[
a_\Delta^P
\]
and by
\[
(L[1] \oplus a)_\Delta^P
\]
the $L_\infty[1]$-algebras produced by Thm. 1 and 2. We will also often consider the projection
\[
P_\Phi := P \circ e^{[\cdot, \Phi]} : L \to a.
\]

**Remark 1.6.** Let $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ be a curved $V$-data and $\Phi \in a_0$ as above. Then $\Phi$ is a Maurer-Cartan element of $a_\Delta^P$ iff
\[
P_\Phi \Delta = 0,
\]
or equivalently $\Delta \in ker(P_\Phi)$. This follows immediately from eq. (3).

**Remark 1.7.** Let $L'$ be a graded Lie subalgebra of $L$ preserved by $D$ (for example $L' = Ker(P)$). Then $L'[1] \oplus a$ is stable under the multibrackets of Thm. 2. We denote by $(L'[1] \oplus a)_\Delta^P$ the induced $L_\infty[1]$-structure. One stresses that it is essential to consider this restriction, since the natural inclusion $Ker(P)[1] \rightarrow L[1] \oplus A$ is a $L_\infty$-map and a quasi isomorphism. In particular, if we do not consider this restriction, every solution of the Maurer-Cartan equation is gauge equivalent to an element of $Ker(P)$.

### 1.3 The main tool

The following statement is the main tool we develop. See 6, §1.3 for its proof. It is a statement about Maurer-Cartan elements of $L_\infty[1]$-algebras that arise as in Thm. 1. In the applications, these Maurer-Cartan elements will be the objects of interest, since they will correspond to morphisms, subalgebras, etc.
Theorem 3. Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a filtered V-data and let \(\Phi \in MC(a_P^0)\). Then for all \(\tilde{\Delta} \in L_1\) and \(\tilde{\Phi} \in a_0\):

\[
\begin{cases}
[\Delta + \tilde{\Delta}, \Delta + \tilde{\Delta}] = 0 \\
\Phi + \tilde{\Phi} \in MC(a_{\Delta + \tilde{\Delta}}^0)
\end{cases} \iff (\tilde{\Delta}[1], \tilde{\Phi}) \in MC(L[1] \oplus a_P^0).
\]

In this case, \(a_{\Delta + \tilde{\Delta}}^P\) is a curved \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra. It is a \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra exactly when \(\tilde{\Delta} \in \text{Ker}(P)\).

Remark 1.8. For any \(\tilde{\Phi} \in a_0\) we have

\(\Phi + \tilde{\Phi}\) is a MC element of \(a_P^0\) \iff \(\tilde{\Phi}\) is a MC element of \(a_P^0\).

This is a well-known statement, saying that perturbations of a Maurer-Cartan element of \(a_P^0\) satisfy themselves a Maurer-Cartan equation, and is a particular case of the equivalence appearing in Thm. 3 (obtained setting \(\tilde{\Delta} = 0\)).

In the special case in which \(\Delta = 0\) and \(\Phi = 0\), we obtain the following corollary about the space of curved \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra structures arising as in Thm. 3 and Maurer-Cartan elements in there:

Corollary 1.9. Let \(L, a, P\) such that \((L, a, P, 0)\) is a filtered V-data. The only non-vanishing multibrackets of \((L[1] \oplus a_0)_P^0\), up to permutations of the entries, are

\[
d(x[1]) = P x, \quad \{x[1], y[1]\} = [x, y][1](-1)^{|x|}, \quad \{x[1], a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = P[\ldots[x, a_1], \ldots, a_n] \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1
\]

where \(x, y \in L\) and \(a_1, \ldots, a_n \in a\).

Its Maurer-Cartan elements are characterized by: for all \(\tilde{\Delta} \in L_1\) and \(\tilde{\Phi} \in a_0\)

\[
\begin{cases}
[\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\Delta}] = 0 \\
\tilde{\Phi} \text{ is a MC element of } a_{\tilde{\Delta}}^P
\end{cases} \iff (\tilde{\Delta}[1], \tilde{\Phi}) \text{ is a MC element of } (L[1] \oplus a)_0^P.
\]

1.4 Convergence issues

The left hand side of the Maurer-Cartan equation \((2)\) is generally an infinite sum. In this subsection we review Getzler’s notion of filtered \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra \([8]\), which guarantees that the above infinite sum converges. We show that simple assumptions on V-data ensure that the Maurer-Cartan equations of the (curved) \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebras we construct in Thm. 3 do converge.

Definition 1.10. Let \(V\) be a graded vector space. A complete filtration is a descending filtration by graded subspaces

\[V = \mathcal{F}^{-1} V \supset \mathcal{F}^0 V \supset \mathcal{F}^1 V \supset \ldots\]

such that the canonical projection \(V \to \lim \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^n V\) is an isomorphism. Here

\[
\lim \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^n V := \{ x \in \Pi_{n \geq -1} \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}^n V : P_{i,j}(x_j) = x_i \text{ when } i < j \},
\]

where \(P_{i,j} : \mathcal{F}^j V \to V/\mathcal{F}^i V\) is the canonical projection induced by the inclusion \(\mathcal{F}^j V \subset \mathcal{F}^i V\).
We define *Maurer-Cartan elements* to be \( \Phi \in W_0 \cap F^1W \) for which the left hand side of eq. (2) vanishes.

**Definition 1.11.** Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a curved V-data (Def. 1.4). We say that this curved V-data is *filtered* if there exists a complete filtration on \( L \) such that

1. The Lie bracket has filtration degree zero, i.e. \( [F^iL, F^jL] \subset F^{i+j}L \) for all \( i, j \geq -1 \),
2. \( a_0 \subset F^1L \),
3. the projection \( P \) has filtration degree zero, i.e. \( P(F^iL) \subset F^iL \) for all \( i \geq -1 \).

See [4, §1.3] for the proof of the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.12.** Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a filtered, curved V-data. Then for every \( \Phi \in MC(a^F_\Delta) \subset a_0 \):

1) the projection \( P_\Phi := P \circ e^{\cdot \Phi} : L \to a \) is well-defined and has filtration degree zero.
2) the curved \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( a^P_\Delta \) given by Thm. 2 is filtered by \( F^n a := F^n L \cap a \). Further, the sum on the left hand side of eq. (2) converges for any degree zero element \( a \) of \( a \).
3) if \( \Delta \in \ker(P) \) : the \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( (L[1] \oplus a)^P_\Delta \) given by Thm. 2 is filtered by \( F^n (L[1] \oplus a) := (F^n L)[1] \oplus F^n a \). Further, the sum on the left hand side of eq. (2) converges for any degree zero element \( (x[1], a) \) of \( (L[1] \oplus a) \).

A common way to deal with convergence issues is to work formally (i.e. in terms of power series in a formal variable \( \varepsilon \)). The following is the analogue of Prop. 1.12 in the formal setting:

**Lemma 1.13.** Let \((L, a, P, \Delta)\) be a curved V-data (not necessarily filtered). Let \( \Phi \in a_0 \otimes \varepsilon \cdot R[[\varepsilon]] \).

1) for the Maurer-Cartan equation of the curved \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( (a \otimes R[[\varepsilon]])^P_\Delta \) the following holds: the sum on the left hand side of eq. (2) converges for any element \( a \) of \( a_0 \otimes \varepsilon \cdot R[[\varepsilon]] \).
2) if \( \Delta \in \ker(P) \), for the Maurer-Cartan equation of the \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( ((L[1] \oplus a) \otimes R[[\varepsilon]])^P_\Delta \) the following holds: the sum on the left hand side of eq. (2) converges for any element \( (x[1], a) \in (L[1] \oplus a)_0 \otimes \varepsilon \cdot R[[\varepsilon]] \).

**Proof.** One checks easily that the following is a curved V-data:

- the graded Lie algebra \( L \otimes R[[\varepsilon]] \)
- its abelian subalgebra \( a \otimes R[[\varepsilon]] \)
- the natural projection \( P : L \otimes R[[\varepsilon]] \to a \otimes R[[\varepsilon]] \)
- \( \Delta \),

where the the first three structures are defined by \( R[[\varepsilon]] \)-linear extension. The natural complete filtration \( \{F^n\} \) on the vector space \( L \otimes R[[\varepsilon]] \) by \( F^n := L \otimes \varepsilon^n R[[\varepsilon]] \) satisfies conditions a), c) of Def. 1.11. It does not satisfy condition b), however the proof of Prop. 1.12 applied to the above curved V-data, goes through whenever \( \Phi \) and \( a \) lie in \( a_0 \otimes \varepsilon \cdot R[[\varepsilon]] \).

**Remark 1.14.** Notice that the curved \( L_\infty[1] \)-algebra \( (a \otimes R[[\varepsilon]])^P_\Delta \) is canonically isomorphic to \( (a^F_\Delta) \otimes R[[\varepsilon]] \).
1.5 Equivalences of Maurer-Cartan elements

Let $W$ be an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra. On $MC(W)$, the set of Maurer-Cartan elements, there is a canonical involutive (singular) distribution $\mathcal{D}$ which induces an equivalence relation on $MC(W)$ known as gauge equivalence. More precisely, each $z \in W_{-1}$ defines a vector field $\mathcal{Y}^z$ on $W_0$, whose value at $m \in W_0$ is

$$\mathcal{Y}^z|_m := dz + \{z, m\} + \frac{1}{2!}\{z, m, m\} + \frac{1}{3!}\{z, m, m, m\} + \ldots.$$  \hfill (9)

This vector field is tangent to $MC(W)$. The distribution at the point $m \in MC(W)$ is defined as $\mathcal{D}|_m = \{\mathcal{Y}^z|_m : z \in W_{-1}\}$.

Remark 1.15. When the differential $d$ vanishes, the Jacobiator of the binary bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is zero. Hence $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ makes the vector space $W_{-1}$ into an ordinary Lie algebra, and the assignment $W_{-1} \to \chi_0(W_0), z \mapsto (\mathcal{Y}^z)_{\text{lin}} := \{z, \cdot\} \in \chi_0(W_0)$ to the linear part of $\mathcal{Y}^z$ is a Lie algebra morphism.

Consider in particular the $L_\infty[1]$-subalgebra $\ker(P)[1] \oplus a$ of the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra of Cor. 1.9. Notice that the differential vanishes, so Remark 1.15 applies. The vector field associated to a degree $-1$ element $z = (z_L[1], z_a) \in \ker(P)[1] \oplus a$, evaluated at $m = (m_L[1], m_a) \in MC(\ker(P)[1] \oplus a)$, reads

$$\mathcal{Y}^z|_m = [z_L, m_L][1] + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} P[[z_L, m_a], \ldots, m_a] + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} P[[m_L, z_a], m_a], \ldots, m_a]$$

where the square bracket is the graded Lie algebra structure on $L$. \hfill (10)

We will display explicitly the equivalence relation induced on morphisms between Lie algebras in §2.1. It turns out that the equivalence classes coincide with the orbits of a group action.

2 Applications to Lie theory

We apply now the machinery developed above to instances in Lie theory. For the examples we treat here, procedures to recover the $L_\infty[1]$-algebras governing simultaneous deformations are known \cite{4}, but often are not exhibited in explicit form in the literature. Using our machinery, we make the $L_\infty[1]$-algebras structures quite explicit. The results of §2.1 recover a theorem in \cite{4}. We mention further that the results we obtained in §2.2 have been recently extended by Ji from the setting of Lie algebras to that of Lie algebroids \cite{10}.

2.1 Lie algebra morphisms.

Let $(U, [\cdot, \cdot]_U)$ and $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V)$ be finite dimensional Lie algebras. We show that the deformations of Lie algebra morphisms $U \to V$ are ruled by a DGLA, recovering classical results of Nijenhuis and Richardson \cite{17}, and that more generally the simultaneous deformations of

\footnote{The infinite sum (9) is guaranteed to converge if $W$ is filtered and $W_{-1} \subset F^1W$, see \cite{14}. In the example we consider in this paper, this sum is actually finite, see eq. (20).}
the Lie algebra structures and Lie algebra morphisms are ruled by a $L_\infty$-algebra, recovering a theorem in [4] by the first author, Markl and Yau. The set-up of this subsection is a special case of the one of [2,4]. We consider the simple instance of Lie algebras separately for the sake of concreteness and clarity of exposition. Further, we discuss equivalences.

We consider the graded manifold $(U \times V)[1]$, and encode the above data as vector fields on this graded manifold. See [19, §1.4] or [2] for some basic notions on graded manifolds and the notation; in particular $\chi(U[1])$ denotes the space of vector fields on $U[1]$, and $\iota: U \to \chi_{-1}(U[1])$ identifies elements of $U$ with constant vector fields. We adopt the following conventions:

- The Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_U$ is encoded by the vector field $Q_U \in \chi_1(U[1])$ defined by $[[Q_U, \iota X], \iota Y] = \iota [X,Y]_U$ for all $X,Y \in U$. The Jacobi identity for $[\cdot, \cdot]_U$ is equivalent to this vector field being homological (i.e., $[Q_U, Q_U] = 0$)

- A linear map $\phi: U \to V$ is encoded by $\Phi \in \chi_0((U \times V)[1])$ defined by $[\Phi, \iota X] = \iota \phi(X)$ for all $X \in U$.

**Remark 2.1.** We give coordinate expressions for the vector fields $Q_U, Q_V, \Phi$. Choose a basis of $U$, giving rise to coordinates $\{u_i\}$ on $U[1]$, and similarly choosing a basis of $V$ get coordinates $\{v_\alpha\}$ on $V[1]$. Then

$$Q_U = -\frac{1}{2} c^k_{ij} u_i u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, \quad Q_V = \frac{1}{2} d^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} v_\alpha v_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\gamma}, \quad \Phi = -A_{\eta\gamma} u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_\eta} \tag{11}$$

where $c^k_{ij}$ and $d^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the structural constants of the Lie algebras $U$ and $V$ respectively and $A_{\eta\gamma}$ is the matrix representing $\phi$ in the chosen basis.

The map $\phi: U \to V$ is a Lie algebra morphism exactly when

$$[Q_U, \Phi] + \frac{1}{2} [[Q_V, \Phi], \Phi] = 0, \tag{12}$$

see for example [17, p. 176].

**Lemma 2.2.** The following quadruple forms a $V$-data:

- the graded Lie algebra $L := \chi((U \times V)[1])$
- its abelian subalgebra $a := C(U[1]) \otimes V[1]$
- the natural projection $P: L \to a$ with kernel $\ker(P) = \left( C(U[1]) \otimes C_{\geq 1}(V[1]) \otimes V[1] \right) \oplus \left( C(U[1] \times V[1]) \otimes U[1] \right)$
- $\Delta := Q_U + Q_V$,

hence by Thm. 1 we obtain a $L_\infty[1]$-structure $a^P_\Delta$. For every linear map $\phi: U \to V$ we have: $\Phi \in a_0$ is a Maurer-Cartan element in $a^P_\Delta$ iff $\phi$ is a Lie algebra morphism.
Proof. $\text{Ker}(P)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $L$. This can be seen in coordinates, or noticing that the kernel consists exactly of vector fields on $(U \times V)[1]$ which are tangent to $(U \times \{0\})[1]$. Further we have $[\Delta, \Delta] = [Q_U, Q_U] + [Q_V, Q_V] = 0$. Hence the above quadruple forms a $V$-data.

The $L_\infty[1]$-structure induced on $a$ by Thm. 11 is given by the multibrackets $P([[Q_U + Q_V, \cdot], \cdot], \cdot)$. One computes easily in coordinates using (11) that $P(Q_V, \cdot)$, $[[Q_U, \cdot], \cdot]$ and $[[[Q_V, \cdot], \cdot], \cdot]$ vanish when applied to elements of $a$. Hence only the unary and binary brackets are non-zero, and they are given by

$$[Q_U, \cdot]$$
$$[[Q_V, \cdot], \cdot]$$

respectively. Therefore the Maurer-Cartan equation of $a_\Delta^P$ is given by (12). $\square$

Lemma 2.2 allows us to apply Thm. 3 (and Rem. 1.8). Hence we deduce:

**Corollary 2.3.** Let $U, V$ finite dimensional Lie algebras and $\phi: U \to V$ a morphism. Let $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ as in Lemma 2.2.

1) Let $\tilde{\phi}: U \to V$ be a linear map. Then

$$\phi + \tilde{\phi} \text{ is a Lie algebra morphism } \iff \tilde{\Phi} \text{ is a MC element of } a_\Delta^P.$$  

2) For all quadratic vector fields $\tilde{Q}_U$ on $U[1]$ and $\tilde{Q}_V$ on $V[1]$ and for all linear maps $\tilde{\phi}: U \to V$:

$$\begin{cases} 
Q_U + \tilde{Q}_U \text{ and } Q_V + \tilde{Q}_V \text{ define Lie algebra structures on } U \text{ and } V \\
\phi + \tilde{\phi} \text{ is a Lie algebra morphism between these new Lie algebra structures} \\
\iff ((\tilde{Q}_U + \tilde{Q}_V)[1], \tilde{\Phi}) \text{ is a MC element of } (L[1] \oplus a)_\Delta^P.
\end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.4.** We check that $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ is filtered $V$-data (Def. 1.11), as this is a hypothesis in Thm. 3. We have a direct sum decomposition $L = \oplus_{k \geq 0} L^k$ where $L^k := C_k(U[1]) \otimes C(V[1]) \otimes U[1] \oplus C_k(U[1]) \otimes C(V[1]) \otimes V[1]$. In other words, $L^k$ is spanned by monomials in $L$ whose total number of $u$’s and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$’s, in coordinates, is exactly $k+1$. Then $F_a L := \oplus_{k \geq 0} L^k$ is a complete filtration of the vector space $L$. One checks easily that $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ is filtered $V$-data.

An alternative way to check that there are no convergence issues for $e^{[\cdot, \Phi]}$ and the Maurer-Cartan equations appearing in Cor. 2.3 is to recall that $U \times V$ is finite dimensional and use a variant of Lemma 2.6 below.

### 2.1.1 Explicit expressions for the multibrackets

In this subsection we make more explicit the structures of $a_\Delta^P$ and $(L'[1] \oplus a)_\Delta^P$, where $L' \subset L$ is specified just after Lemma 2.5.

Given a morphism of Lie algebras $\phi: U \to V$, the associated **Richardson-Nijenhuis DGLA** is given by $\oplus_i \wedge^i U^* \otimes V$, the differential being the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of $U$ with values in the module $V$ (the module structure is given by $e \in U \mapsto [\phi(e), \cdot]_V$) and the bracket being the Lie bracket on $V$ combined with the wedge product on $\wedge U^*$ (see [17, p. 175-6] or [8, §2.3]).
Lemma 2.5. $a^P_\Delta$ is the suspension of the Richardson-Nijenhuis DGLA.

Proof. The $n$-ary bracket of $a^P_\Delta$, evaluated on $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in a$ is

$$P_\Delta([[Q_U + Q_V, a_1], \ldots, a_n]]$$

One computes easily in coordinates that only unary and binary brackets are non-zero, and they are given by

$$P[Q_U + [Q_V, \Phi], \cdot] = [Q_U + [Q_V, \Phi], \cdot]$$

(13)

$$P[[Q_V, \cdot], \cdot] = [[Q_V, \cdot], \cdot].$$

(14)

respectively. The r.h.s. of (13) is exactly the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential of the Lie algebra $U$ with values in the module $V$. The r.h.s. of (14) is given by the Lie bracket on $V$ combined with the wedge product on $\wedge U^*$. Hence we obtain the suspension of the Nijenhuis-Richardson DGLA.

Up to this point we only looked at deformations of the morphism $\phi: U \to V$. Now we also deform the Lie algebra structures on the vector spaces $U$ and $V$.

Define $L' := \chi(U[1]) \oplus \chi(V[1]) \subset L$. By Thm. 3 and Rem. 1.7 we obtain an $L^\infty[1]$-algebra $(L'[1] \oplus a)^P_\Delta$, governing the simultaneous deformations of the Lie algebra structures on $U, V$ and of the morphisms.

Lemma 2.6. $(L'[1] \oplus a)^P_\Delta$ has multibrackets of order up to $\dim(V) + 1$. Its Maurer-Cartan equation is cubic, given by eq. (17), (18) and (19) below.

Proof. We write down explicitly the multibrackets of $(L'[1] \oplus a)^P_\Delta$, as given in Thm. 2. We denote by $\hat{Q}_U, \hat{Q}_V$ and $\hat{\Phi}$ general (homogeneous) elements of $\chi(U[1]), \chi(V[1])$ and $a$ respectively ($i = 1, 2, \ldots$). The multibrackets involving only $\hat{\Phi}$ are given exactly by (13) and (14) since $a^P_\Delta$ is a $L^\infty$-subalgebra of $(L'[1] \oplus a)^P_\Delta$. Explicitly, they are

$$d(\hat{\Phi}) = [Q_U + [Q_V, \Phi], \hat{\Phi}] \in a$$

and

$$\{\hat{\Phi}^1, \hat{\Phi}^2\} = [[Q_V, \hat{\Phi}^1], \hat{\Phi}^2] \in a.$$

Now we compute the multibrackets involving at least one of $\hat{Q}_U[1]$ or $\hat{Q}_V[1]$. For the differential we have in $L[1] \oplus a$:

$$d(\hat{Q}_U[1]) = -[Q_U + Q_V, \hat{Q}_U][1] + P_\Phi(\hat{Q}_U) = -[Q_U, \hat{Q}_U][1] + [\hat{Q}_U, \Phi]$$

$$d(\hat{Q}_V[1]) = -[Q_U + Q_V, \hat{Q}_V][1] + P_\Phi(\hat{Q}_V) = -[Q_V, \hat{Q}_V][1] + \frac{1}{k!}[[\underbrace{\ldots}^k, [Q_V, [Q_V, \Phi], \ldots]], \Phi]$$

where $k = |\hat{Q}_V| + 1$. For the binary bracket we have

$$\{([\hat{Q}^1_U + \hat{Q}^2_U][1], ([\hat{Q}^1_V + \hat{Q}^2_V][1] = (-1)^{|\hat{Q}^1_U + \hat{Q}^1_V|}([\hat{Q}^1_U, \hat{Q}^2_U] + [\hat{Q}^2_U, \hat{Q}^1_U]) \in L[1]$$

$$\{\hat{Q}_U[1], \hat{\Phi}\} = P_\Phi[\hat{Q}_U, \hat{\Phi}] = [\hat{Q}_U, \hat{\Phi}] \in a$$

(15)

$$\{\hat{Q}_V[1], \hat{\Phi}\} = P_\Phi[\hat{Q}_V, \hat{\Phi}] \in a.$$
From (15) it follows that the only non-zero $n$-brackets with $n \geq 3$ are
\[
\{\tilde{Q}_V[1], \tilde{\Phi}^1, \ldots, \tilde{\Phi}^n\} = P_\Phi[[\tilde{Q}_V, \tilde{\Phi}^1], \ldots, \tilde{\Phi}^n] \in \mathfrak{a}.
\] (16)

In coordinates it is clear that the operation $[\cdot, \tilde{\Phi}]$ sends $C(U[1]) \otimes C_i(V[1]) \otimes V[1]$ to $C(U[1]) \otimes C_{i-1}(V[1]) \otimes V[1]$. As $\tilde{Q}_V \in \chi(V[1]) \cong \sum_{i=1}^{\text{dim}(V)} C_i(V[1]) \otimes V[1]$, it is clear from eq. (16) that all $n$-brackets vanish for $n > \text{dim}(V) + 1$.

To write down the Maurer-Cartan elements, we can use eq. (2) and the formulae for the multibrackets derived above. Alternatively, by virtue of Cor. 2.3, we know that Maurer-Cartan elements $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_U[1] + \tilde{Q}_V[1] + \tilde{\Phi}$ are characterized by the equations $[Q_U + \tilde{Q}_U, Q_V + \tilde{Q}_V] = 0$, $[Q_V + \tilde{Q}_V, Q_U + \tilde{Q}_U] = 0$ and by the equation obtained replacing $Q_U$ by $Q_U + \tilde{Q}_U$ (and similarly for $Q_V, \Phi$) in eq. (12). The first two equations are equivalent to
\[
[Q_U, \tilde{Q}_U] + \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{Q}_U, \tilde{Q}_U] = 0
\]
(17)
\[
[Q_V, \tilde{Q}_V] + \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{Q}_V, \tilde{Q}_V] = 0
\]
(18)
while the third equation reads
\[
0 = [\tilde{Q}_U, \Phi] + \frac{1}{2}[[\tilde{Q}_V, \Phi], \tilde{\Phi}] + [Q_U + [Q_V, \Phi], \tilde{\Phi}]
\]
(19)
\[
+ [\tilde{Q}_U, \tilde{\Phi}] + [[\tilde{Q}_V, \tilde{\Phi}], \tilde{\Phi}] + \frac{1}{2}[[Q_V, \tilde{\Phi}], \tilde{\Phi}]
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2}[[Q_V, \tilde{\Phi}], \tilde{\Phi}].
\]

2.1.2 Equivalences of Lie algebras morphisms

Consider the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are pairs of Lie algebra structures and morphisms between them, that is, the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $L := (L'[1] \oplus a)^P_{\Delta=0}$ as in Cor. 1.9. Here we discuss the natural equivalence on the set of Maurer-Cartan elements, see §1.5.

Elements of $L_{-1}$ are of the form
\[
z = (z_U[1], z_V[1], z_a) \in \chi_0(U[1])[1] \oplus \chi_0(V[1])[1] \oplus V[1].
\]

Restricting the binary bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ to $L_{-1}$ and using the identifications at the beginning of §2.1 we obtain the ordinary Lie algebra
\[
\text{End}(U) \times (\text{End}(V) \ltimes V)
\]
where $\text{End}(U)$ and $\text{End}(V)$ are endowed with the commutator bracket, $V$ is abelian and $[A, f] = Af \in V$ for $A \in \text{End}(V)$ and $f \in V$.

Maurer-Cartan elements lie in $L_0$, so they are of the form
\[
m = (m_U[1], m_V[1], m_a) \in \chi_1(U[1])[1] \oplus \chi_1(V[1])[1] \oplus (U[1]^* \otimes V[1]),
\]
and as described at the beginning of [24, §3] their components correspond respectively to a Lie bracket \([·,·]_{m_U}\) on \(U\), a Lie bracket \([·,·]_{m_V}\) on \(V\), and a Lie algebra morphism \(\phi: U \to V\). By degree reasons eq. [11] reads simply

\[
\mathcal{Y}^z|_m = [z_U, m_U][1] \oplus [z_V, m_V][1] \oplus [z_U + z_V, m_a] + [[m_V, z_a], m_a]
\]

(20)

\(\in T_z\left(\chi_1(U[1])[1] \oplus \chi_1(V[1])[1] \oplus (U[1])^* \otimes V[1]\right)\).

The assignment \(z \mapsto \mathcal{Y}^z\) vector field is not a Lie algebra action: \(z^1 = (0, 0, z_a^1)\) and \(z^2 = (0, 0, z_a^2)\) commute, but the vector fields \(\mathcal{Y}^{z^1}\) and \(\mathcal{Y}^{z^2}\) do not commute. However restricting suitably we obtain an infinitesimal action, which integrates to the group action of symmetries given in [3, §3]:

**Proposition 2.7.** The assignment \(\text{End}(U) \times \text{End}(V) \to \chi(MC(\mathcal{L})), z \mapsto \mathcal{Y}^z\) is a Lie algebra morphism. It integrates to the group action

\[
(GL(U) \times GL(V)) \times MC(\mathcal{L}) \to MC(\mathcal{L})
\]

\((g, h), (\mathcal{Y}^z)_{m_U}, \mathcal{Y}^z)_{m_V}, \phi) \mapsto (g^*([·,·]_{m_U}), h^*([·,·]_{m_V}), h \circ \phi \circ g^{-1}).
\]

Here the Lie bracket \(g^*([·,·]_{m_U})\) is defined as \(g_! g^{-1}_! g_{m_U}\), and similarly for \(h^*([·,·]_{m_V})\).

The equivalence classes induced by the singular distribution \(\mathcal{D} := \{\mathcal{Y}^z : z \in \mathcal{L}_1\}\) on \(MC\) agree with the orbits of the this action.

**Proof.** Notice that for \(z \in \text{End}(U) \times \text{End}(V)\) the vector field \(\mathcal{Y}^z\) is linear, hence \(z \mapsto \mathcal{Y}^z\) is a Lie algebra morphism by Remark 1.15. We compute the integral curve of \(\mathcal{Y}^z\) starting at \(m = (m_U[1], m_V[1], m_a) \in MC(\mathcal{L})\).

The first component of \(\mathcal{Y}^z\) is \([z_U, ·][1]\). Its integral curve starting at \(m_U[1]\) is \(t \mapsto e^{t[z_U, ·]}m_U[1]\), since the latter forms a 1-parameter group and differentiates to \([z_U, ·]\) at time zero. The Lie bracket on \(U\) induced by \(e^{t[z_U, ·]}m_U[1]\) is \((\exp(z_U))^*([·,·]_{m_U})\) where \(\exp(z_U)\) is the usual matrix exponential of \(z_U \in \mathfrak{gl}(U)\) (this follows from the fact that \(e^{t[z_U, ·]}\) is an automorphism of \([·,·]\)). The same argument applies to the second component of \(\mathcal{Y}^z\).

For the third component, the integral curve of \([z_U + z_V, ·]\) starting at \(m_a\) is \(t \mapsto e^{t[z_U + z_V, ·]}m_a\). The element \(e^{t[z_U + z_V, ·]}m_a \in (U[1])^* \otimes V[1]\) corresponds to \(\exp(z_V) \circ \phi \circ \exp(-z_U) : U \to V\). This shows that the group action in the statement of this proposition integrates the given Lie algebra action.

For the last statement we fix \(m \in MC(\mathcal{L})\) and show that

\[
\mathcal{D}_m = \{\mathcal{Y}^z|_m : z = (z_U[1], z_V[1], 0)\}.
\]

To this aim, just notice that \(\mathcal{Y}^{(0,0,z_a)}|_m = \mathcal{Y}^{(0,[m_V,z_a],0)}|_m\) for all \(z_a \in V[1]\), as a consequence of \([m_V,m_V] = 0\).

### 2.2 Subalgebras of Lie algebras

Let \(\mathfrak{g}\) be a finite dimensional Lie algebra, \(U \subset \mathfrak{g}\) a Lie subalgebra. We study deformations of the Lie algebra structure on \(\mathfrak{g}\) and of the subspace \(U\) as a Lie subalgebra, similarly to Richardson [18].
At first, let \( U \subset g \) be simply a subspace. We denote by \( Q_g \in \chi(g[1]) \) the homological vector field encoding the Lie algebra structure on \( g \). Choose a subspace \( V \) in \( g \) complementary to \( U \). Given a linear map \( \phi: U \to V \), we view it as an element \( \Phi \in C_1(U[1]) \otimes \chi_{-1}(V[1]) \subset \chi_0(g[1]) \) defined by \([\Phi, \iota_X] = \iota_{\phi(X)}\) for all \( X \in U \).

**Lemma 2.8.** The following quadruple forms a curved \( V \)-data:

- the graded Lie algebra \( L := \chi(g[1]) \)
- its abelian subalgebra \( a := C(U[1]) \otimes V[1] \)
- the natural projection \( P: L \to a \) with kernel
  \[
  \ker(P) = \left( C(U[1]) \otimes C_{\geq 1}(V[1]) \otimes V[1] \right) \oplus \left( C(g[1]) \otimes U[1] \right)
  \]
- \( \Delta := Q_g \), hence by Thm. 1 we obtain a curved \( L_\infty[1] \)-structure \( a^P_\Delta \).

\( \Phi \in a_0 \) is a Maurer-Cartan element in \( a^P_\Delta \) iff \( \text{graph}(\phi) \) is a Lie subalgebra of \( g \).

Further, the above quadruple forms a \( V \)-data iff \( U \) is a Lie subalgebra of \( g \).

**Proof.** To show that the above quadruple forms a curved \( V \)-data proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Rem. 1.6 says that \( \Phi \) is a Maurer-Cartan element in \( a^P_\Delta \) iff \( e^{-[\Phi, \cdot]}Q_g \in \ker(P) \). This condition is equivalent to asking that for all \( X, Y \in U \):

\[
\left[ [e^{-[\Phi, \cdot]}Q_g, \iota_X], \iota_Y \right] \in U[1]
\]

Using the fact that \( e^{-[\Phi, \cdot]} \) is a Lie algebra automorphism of \( L \) (to pull it out of the brackets) and that \( e^{[\Phi, \cdot]} \iota_X = \iota_X + [\Phi, \iota_X] = \iota_{X + \phi(X)} \), we see that the above is equivalent to

\[
[X + \phi(X), Y + \phi(Y)] \in \{ Z + \phi(Z) : Z \in U \} = \text{graph}(\phi),
\]
i.e. \( \text{graph}(\phi) \) being a Lie subalgebra of \( g \).

The last statement can be proven as follows: \( Q_g \in \ker(P) \) is equivalent to \([Q_g, \iota_X], \iota_Y \in U[1] \) for all \( X, Y \in U \), which in turn means that \( U \) is a Lie subalgebra of \( g \). (Alternatively, it follows from the above noticing that \( 0 \) is a Maurer-Cartan element of \( a^P_\Delta \) iff \( PQ_g = 0 \).)

Lemma 2.8 allow us to apply Thm. 3 with \( \Phi = 0 \). We deduce:

**Corollary 2.9.** Let \( g \) be a Lie algebra, \( U \subset g \) a Lie subalgebra. Choose a subspace \( V \subset g \) complementary to \( U \), and let \( (L, a, P, \Delta) \) be the \( V \)-data as in Lemma 2.8.

For all \( \tilde{Q}_g \in L_1 \) and for all linear maps \( \tilde{\phi}: U \to V \):

\[
\begin{cases}
Q_g + \tilde{Q}_g \text{ defines a Lie algebra structure on } g \\
\text{graph}(\tilde{\phi}) \text{ is a Lie subalgebra of it }
\end{cases}
\]

\( \iff (\tilde{Q}_g[1], \tilde{\Phi}) \) is a Maurer-Cartan element of \( (L[1] \oplus a)^P_\Delta \).

**Remark 2.10.** The proof that \( (L, a, P, \Delta) \) is a filtered \( V \)-data is given in Remark 2.4.
Remark 2.11. By Cor. 2.9, the Maurer-Cartan elements of \((L[1] \oplus a)^P\) are in bijection with deformations of the Lie algebra structure on \(g\) and deformations of the subspace \(U\) as a Lie subalgebra.

Applying Cor. 2.3 to the Lie algebra \(U\), to the Lie algebra \(g\) and to the inclusion \(i: U \hookrightarrow g\), we obtain an \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra whose Maurer-Cartan elements are deformations of the Lie algebra structure on \(g\) and deformations of \(i\) to linear maps \(i + \tilde{i}: U \rightarrow g\) whose image is a Lie subalgebra of the new Lie algebra structure on \(g\). Notice that the two Maurer-Cartan sets are quite different, as different maps \(i + \tilde{i}\) can have the same image.

2.3 Maurer-Cartan elements of \(L_\infty\)-algebra structures

Fix a (possibly infinite dimensional) graded vector space \(W\). We show that the space of pairs (\(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra structures on \(W\), Maurer-Cartan elements for this structure) is governed by a Maurer-Cartan equation. We will ignore all convergence issues in this subsection; they are automatically dealt with if one works formally, see Lemma 1.13.

We refer to [1] for the background material on coderivations. Recall that \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra structures on \(W\) are in bijection with degree 1 self-commuting coderivations \(\Theta\) on \(\text{Coder}(W) := \oplus_{k=1}^\infty S^k W\). The canonical embedding \(\alpha: W \hookrightarrow \text{Coder}(SW)\), induces a canonical bracket-preserving embedding \(J: \text{Coder}(SW) \hookrightarrow \text{Coder}(SW)\) whose image annihilates \(1 \in SW\). One can prove that all \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra structures are obtained by the derived bracket construction:

Proposition 2.12. Let \(W\) be an \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra, and \(\Theta\) the corresponding coderivation of \(SW\). The following quadruple forms a V-data:

- the graded Lie algebra \(L := \text{Coder}(SW)\)
- its abelian subalgebra \(a := \{\alpha_w : w \in W\}\)
- the projection \(P: L \rightarrow a\), \(\tau \mapsto \alpha_{\tau(1)}\)
- \(\Delta := J\Theta\).

The induced \(L_\infty[1]\)-structure on \(a\) given by Thm. 7 is exactly the original \(L_\infty[1]\)-structure on \(W\), under the canonical identification \(W \cong a, w \mapsto \alpha_w\).

We apply Cor. 1.9 choosing \(\Theta = 0\) above and restrict to \(\{\tau \in \text{Coder}(SW) : \tau(1) = 0\}\) = Ker\((P) \subset L\) (see Rem. 1.7). We obtain:

Corollary 2.13. \(\{\tau \in \text{Coder}(SW) : \tau(1) = 0\}[1] \oplus W\), endowed with the \(L_\infty[1]\)-algebra structure specified in Cor. 1.9, has the following property: for all \(\tilde{\Theta} \in \text{Coder}(SW)[1]\) and \(\tilde{\Phi} \in W_0\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\Theta} \text{ defines an } L_\infty[1]\text{-algebra structure on } W \\
\tilde{\Phi} \text{ is a MC element of this } L_\infty[1]\text{-algebra structure on } W \\
\iff (\tilde{J}\tilde{\Theta}[1], \tilde{\Phi}) \text{ is a MC element of } \{\tau \in \text{Coder}(SW) : \tau(1) = 0\}[1] \oplus W
\end{align*}
\]

One can show that the image of the embedding \(J\) is exactly \(\{\tau \in \text{Coder}(SW) : \tau(1) = 0\}\), so Cor. 2.13 is a statement about all Maurer-Cartan elements of \(\{\tau \in \text{Coder}(SW) : \tau(1) = 0\}[1] \oplus W\).
2.4 $L_\infty$-algebra morphisms

We consider deformations of a pair of arbitrary $L_\infty[1]$-algebras and of a $L_\infty[1]$-morphism between them. We show that deformations of the morphism with fixed $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structures are ruled by a $L_\infty[1]$-algebra (this follows also from Shoikhet’s work, see [20, §3[9]], and then show that there is an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra governing arbitrary deformations.

We will use the following notation. When $E$ and $F$ are two vector spaces, we will denote by $L(E,F)$ the set of linear maps from $E$ to $F$ and use $L(E) := L(E,E)$ when $E = F$.

Let $U$ and $V$ be two graded vector spaces. Denote $S(U \oplus V) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} S^k(U \oplus V)$.

Consider the subspace $a := \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} L^q,0 \cong L(S U, V)$. Thanks to the decomposition (21) one has a projection $P: L \to a$. Notice that the vector space $L$ has a natural $\mathbb{Z}$-grading: $L = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n$, where a map $l: S(U \oplus V) \to U \oplus V$ lies in $L_n$ if it raises the degree by $n$.

As remarked by Stasheff [21], $L$ is a graded Lie algebra: the isomorphism of graded vector spaces $L \cong \text{Coder}(S(U \oplus V))$ allows to define the Lie bracket on $L$, the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket, as the pullback of the graded commutator of coderivations.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let $U$ and $V$ be two graded vector spaces equipped with $L_\infty[1]$-algebra structures $\mu = (\mu_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $\nu = (\nu_j)_{j \geq 1}$, where $\mu_i \in L^i,0_U$ and $\nu_j \in L^0,j_V$. The following quadruple (with the previous notations) forms a $V$-data:

- the graded Lie algebra $L$,
- its abelian subalgebra $a$,
- the projection $P: L \to a$,
- $\Delta := \mu + \nu$.

**Proof.** To see that $a$ is an abelian graded Lie subalgebra of $L$, remark that elements of $a$ are maps which produce vectors in $V$ and accept only terms in $U$. Therefore their composition is zero.

Next we show that $KerP$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of $L$. To this aim use the decomposition $KerP = A \oplus B$ where

$$A_n = \bigoplus_{s+r=n, r>0} L^s,r_{U[1]};$$

$$B_n = \bigoplus_{s+r=n} L^s,r_{U[1]};$$
Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in A, \beta \in B$ and $\gamma \in \text{Ker} P$. One has $\alpha \circ \beta, \alpha \circ \alpha' \in A$ and $\beta \circ \gamma \in B$, showing that $\text{Ker} P = A \oplus B$ is closed under the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket. Further since $\nu \in A$ and $\mu \in B$, one has $\Delta \in \text{Ker} P$.

Last we show that $[\Delta, \Delta] = 0$. Indeed,

$$[\Delta, \Delta] = [\mu, \mu] + [\nu, \nu] + 2[\mu, \nu].$$

Since $\mu$ and $\nu$ are $L_\infty[1]$-algebras, they can be characterized by the vanishing of $[\mu, \mu]$ and $[\nu, \nu]$ (see [1] section IV.1). Now, by definition of the bracket,

$$[\mu, \nu]_n(x_1 \ldots x_n) = \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} \pm \mu_{|J|+1}(\nu_{|I|}(x_I) \cdot x_J) \pm \nu_{|J|+1}(\mu_{|I|}(x_I) \cdot x_J)$$

but $\mu$ accepts only terms in $V$, whereas $\nu$ produces elements in $U$, hence the first summand of the right hand side vanishes. Similarly for the second summand. This concludes the proof that $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ forms a $V$-data. \qed

**Proposition 2.15.** $\Phi \in MC(a_\Delta^P) \iff \Phi$ is a morphism of $L_\infty[1]$-algebras.

**Proof.** Fix $\Phi \in a_0$. Our aim is to show that the condition for $\Phi$ to be a Maurer-Cartan element for the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $a_\Delta^P$ (see Remark 1.6),

$$Pe^{-[\cdot, \Phi]}(\mu + \nu) = 0,$$

is equivalent to the condition for $\Phi$ to be a morphism of $L_\infty[1]$-algebras, i.e., for all $s \geq 1$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in U$:

$$\sum_{IIJ = [s]} \Phi_{|J|+1}(\mu_{|I|}(U_I) \cdot U_J) = \sum_{n=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_n = [s]} \nu_n(\Phi_{|I_1|}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{|I_n|}(U_{I_n})), \quad (23)$$

where $[s] := \{1, \ldots, s\}$, $\sqcup$ means disjoint union and $U_I = u_{\alpha_1} \cdots u_{\alpha_j}$ when $I = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j\}$. Some of the $I_j$’s in the expression $I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_n = [s]$ can be empty. One will use the convention that $\Phi_{|[\emptyset]}(U_{\emptyset}) = 0$ and $U_I \cdot U_{\emptyset} = U_I$. Here we decompose $\Phi$ as a sum of its homogeneous elements with respect to the polynomial degree, i.e. $\Phi = \sum \Phi_n$ where $\Phi_n \in L^n_{\nu, 0}$.

It will be convenient to use the isomorphism [22] to view the elements of $L$ as coderivations, because in this case the Lie bracket is the graded commutator. The coderivation corresponding to $\Phi$ (resp. to $\mu, \nu$) will be denoted by $\bar{\Phi}$ (resp. $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}$). An explicit expression is given by (cf prop III.2.1 [3])

$$\bar{Q}(x_1 \ldots x_n) := \sum_{IIIJ = [n]} \epsilon_x(I, J)Q_{|I|}(x_I) \cdot x_J \quad (24)$$

where $IIIJ$ denotes a disjoint union of non-empty sets and $\epsilon_x(I, J)$ denotes the sign obtained by applying the koszul sign rule to the action of the permutation $[n] \to IIIJ$ on the graded elements $x_i$. In the sequel we will omit this sign, but it is understood to be there unless otherwise stated via a $\pm$ sign.

$\Phi$ is a Maurer-Cartan element of the $L_\infty[1]$-algebra $a_\Delta^P$ iff

$$Pe^{-[\cdot, \bar{\Phi}]}(\bar{\mu} + \bar{\nu}) = 0.$$
But, with the notation $ad_\Phi := [\cdot, \Phi]$, one has

$$e^{[\cdot, \Phi]} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} ad_\Phi^n,$$

and one can compute $ad_\Phi^n(\bar{\mu})$ and $ad_\Phi^n(\bar{\nu})$ with the expansion

$$ad_\Phi^n(\tau) = \sum_{k+l=n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \bar{\Phi}^k \tau \bar{\Phi}^l.$$

Therefore everything boils down to compute terms of the form

$$\bar{\Phi}^k \tau \bar{\Phi}^l(u_1 \ldots u_s).$$

The results of these computations for $\tau = \bar{\nu}$ and $\tau = \bar{\mu}$ with $n = k + l$ are claims 1 and 2 respectively, and give the two sides of the equation (23).

**Claim 1.** The term

$$pr_V(\bar{\Phi}^k \circ \bar{\nu} \circ \bar{\Phi}^l(U_{[s]}))$$

always vanishes except for $l = n$ for which one has

$$pr_V(\bar{\Phi}^0 \circ \bar{\nu} \circ \bar{\Phi}^n(U_{[s]})) = \sum_{I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_n = [s]} \bar{\nu}_n(\Phi|_{I_1}|(U_{I_1}) \ldots \Phi|_{I_n}|(U_{I_n})).$$

**Claim 2.** The term

$$pr_V(\bar{\Phi}^k \circ \bar{\mu} \circ \bar{\Phi}^l(U_{[s]}))$$

always vanishes, except for $k = n = 1$ for which one has

$$pr_V(\bar{\Phi}^1 \circ \bar{\mu}(U_{[s]})) = \sum_{I \cup J = [s]} \Phi|_{I+1}(\mu|_I(U_I) \cdot U_J).$$

Combining the results of claims 1 and 2 finishes the proof of Proposition 2.15.

We now state a lemma and use it to prove claims 1 and 2. All along we fix $s \geq 1$ and $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in U$.

**Lemma 2.16.** For all $t \geq 0$

$$\bar{\Phi}^t(U_{[s]}) = \sum_{I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{t+1} = [s]} \Phi|_{I_1}|(U_{I_1}) \ldots \Phi|_{I_t}|(U_{I_t}) \cdot U_{I_{t+1}}. \tag{25}$$

**Proof.** Apply formula (24) $t$ times and remark that since $\Phi$ admits only elements in $U$, terms of the form $\Phi(\Phi(U_I) \cdot U_{I'})$ can not appear in the obtained expression. The case $t = 0$ is a convention.

**Proof of claim 1.** We first compute $\bar{\nu} \circ \bar{\Phi}^l(U_{[s]})$. We therefore apply the formula (24) to $\bar{\nu}$ evaluated on the right hand side of the equation (25), with $t = l$ to get

$$\sum_{I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_{l+1} = [s]} \nu_{I_{l+1}+1} \left( \Phi|_{I_{a_1}}(U_{I_{a_1}}) \ldots \Phi|_{I_{a_j}}(U_{I_{a_j}}) \cdot U_{I_{j+1}} \right) \cdot \Phi|_{I_{b_1}}(U_{I_{b_1}}) \ldots \Phi|_{I_{b_k}}(U_{I_{b_k}}) \cdot U_{I_{l+2}}.$$
where \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_j\} = J \) and \( \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k\} = K \), and the sum is over \( I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s] \) and \( J \Pi K = [l] \).

Now, since \( \nu \) admits only elements in \( U \), the term \( U_{t+1} \) must be absent in the previous expression, i.e. one has

\[
\vartheta \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]}) = \sum_{I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s]} \nu_{I_1}(\Phi_{I_1}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}})) \cdot \Phi_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}}) \cdot U_{I_{t+1}}
\]

(sum over \( I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s] \); \( J \Pi K = [l] \)).

We are interested in evaluating the expression \( \Phi^k \circ \vartheta \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]}) \), with \( k + l = n \). By applying Lemma \( 2.16 \) with \( t = k \) to the last expression, and by the fact that \( \Phi \) admits only elements in \( U \), one gets

\[
\Phi^k \circ \vartheta \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]}) = \sum_{I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s]} \nu_{I_1}(\Phi_{I_1}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}})) \cdot \Phi_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}}) \cdot U_{I_{t+1}}
\]

(sum over \( I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s] \); \( J \Pi K = [n] \)).

Finally, if one considers the terms in the above formula which belong to \( V \), one has

\[
\begin{align*}
pr_V(\Phi^k \circ \vartheta \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]})) &= \sum_{I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s]} \nu_{I_1}(\Phi_{I_1}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}})) \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\square
\]

Proof of claim \( 2 \). We start with evaluating \( \bar{\mu} \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]}) \). We apply the formula \( 24 \) to \( \bar{\mu} \) evaluated on the right hand side of the equation \( 24 \), with \( t = l \) and remark that since \( \mu \) admits only elements in \( U \), terms of the form \( \mu(\Phi(U_{I_1}) \cdot U_{I_2}) \) can not appear in the obtained expression. Therefore one has

\[
\bar{\mu} \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]}) = \sum_{I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{t+2} = [s]} \Phi_{I_1}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}}) \cdot \mu_{I_{t+1}}(U_{I_{t+1}}) \cdot U_{I_{t+2}}
\]

We now evaluate \( \Phi^k \circ \bar{\mu} \circ \Phi^t(U_{[s]}) \) by applying Lemma \( 2.16 \) to the previous expression, with \( t = k \). Since \( \Phi \) admits only elements in \( U \), terms of the form \( \Phi(\Phi(U_{I_1}) \cdot U_{I_2}) \) can not appear in the obtained expression. Hence one gets (remember that \( n = k + l \))

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{n+2} = [s]} \pm \Phi_{I_1}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{I_n}(U_{I_n}) \cdot \mu_{I_{n+1}}(U_{I_{n+1}}) \cdot U_{I_{n+2}} \\
+ \sum_{I_1 \Pi \cdots \Pi I_{n+2} = [s]} \pm \Phi_{I_1}(U_{I_1}) \cdots \Phi_{I_{n+1}}(U_{I_{n+1}}) \cdot \mu_{I_{n+1}}(U_{I_{n+1}}) \cdot U_{I_{n+2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

In the previous expression, there are terms which belong to \( V \) only if \( n = k = 1 \). In this case one has

\[
pr_V(\Phi \circ \bar{\mu}(U_{[s]})) = \sum_{I \Pi J = [s]} \Phi_{I_{J+1}}(\mu_I(U_I) \cdot U_J).
\]

\[
\square
\]

Prop. \( 2.14 \) and Prop. \( 2.15 \) allow us to apply Thm. \( 3 \) (and Rem. \( 1.8 \)) and deduce:
Corollary 2.17. Let $U, V$ be $L_\infty[1]$-algebras and $\Phi \in L(SU, V)$ a $L_\infty[1]$-morphism from $U$ to $V$ and let $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ as in Prop. 2.14.

1) Let $\tilde{\Phi} \in L_0(SU, V) = a_0$. Then

$$\Phi + \tilde{\Phi} \text{ is an } L_\infty[1]\text{-morphism } \iff \tilde{\Phi} \in MC((a_P^\Phi)_{\Delta})$$

2) For all degree one coderivations $\tilde{Q}_U$ on $SU$ and $\tilde{Q}_V$ on $SV$ and for all $\tilde{\Phi} \in L_0(SU, V)$:

$$\begin{cases} Q_U + \tilde{Q}_U \text{ and } Q_V + \tilde{Q}_V \text{ define } L_\infty[1]\text{-algebra structures on } U, V \\ \Phi + \tilde{\Phi} \text{ is a } L_\infty[1]\text{-morphism between these } L_\infty[1]\text{-algebra structures} \end{cases} \iff ((\tilde{Q}_U + \tilde{Q}_V)[1], \tilde{\Phi}) \in MC(([1] \oplus a)^{P_\Phi}_{\Delta})$$

Remark 2.18. We have a direct product decomposition $L = \prod_{k \geq -1} L^k$ where $L^k := L^{k+1}_{UV} \oplus L^k_{V^*}$. Here we use the short-hand notation $L^k_{V^*} := \prod_{r \geq 0} L^k_{V^*}$. Then $F^n L := \prod_{k \geq n} L^k$ is a complete filtration of the vector space $L$. One checks easily that $(L, a, P, \Delta)$ is filtered V-data (Def. 1.11).
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