1. Introduction

Although blood stream infection (BSI) due to Candida species (spp.) in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) is less frequent than that due to Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria, it has higher morbidity and mortality rates. In particular, among newborns with a birth weight < 1000 g, 4–8% will develop candidemia, which has a 30% mortality in this group of patients [1]. Newborns who survive frequently have long-term neurological impairment, including cerebral palsy, blindness, hearing impairment, cognitive deficits, and periventricular leukomalacia [2]. Risk factors for neonatal candidemia include prematurity, use of central venous lines, endotracheal tubes, parenteral nutrition, broad-spectrum antibiotic administration (especially third-generation cephalosporins), prolonged hospitalization, abdominal surgery, exposure to H2 blockers, and Candida colonization. Although Candida albicans is the most prevalent yeast pathogen, BSIs caused by Candida non-albicans, particularly Candida parapsilosis complex and Candida glabrata complex, have increased in recent years [2, 3].

This study aimed (i) to determine the epidemiology of Candida BSIs in the NICU of an Italian university hospital during 9 years of observation; (ii) to analyze the trend in species distribution; and (iii) to examine in vitro susceptibility to common antifungal drugs. Furthermore, for comparative purposes, a systematic review of studies concerning the distribution of Candida spp. causing candidemia in NICU patients is presented.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design. A retrospective, observational survey of all consecutive cases of candidemia was conducted at the NICU (capacity of 8 beds; level III) of a university hospital in Southern Italy, from January 1, 2007, to December 31, 2015. The number of annual admissions ranged from 135 to 169, with no significant variation during the period of study. All of the neonates who had at least one positive blood culture for 

Candida spp. and signs or symptoms of infection were considered in this study. Only the first episode of candidemia was reported for patients with recurrent or subsequent episodes. Clinical data were searched for in the microbiological laboratory database and included sex, gestational age, birth weight, and predisposing risk factors for Candida BSI (i.e., intravascular devices, prolonged antibiotics, administration of total parental nutrition, and prolonged hospitalization).

2.2. Definitions. Extremely low birth weight (ELBW) infants were defined as those with a birth weight ≤ 1000 g, very low birth weight (VLBW) infants were those with a birth weight <1500 g, and low birth weight infants were those with a birth weight < 2500 g. Prolonged antibiotic use was defined as >14 days of continuous administration. Late-onset sepsis (LOS) was defined as infection occurring for >48 h of life. Candidemia was considered as probably catheter-related when semiquantitative culture of the catheter tip yielded >15 colony-forming units of Candida.

2.3. Laboratory Procedures. Blood cultures were performed using a lysis-centrifugation system (Isolator; DuPont Co., Wilmington, DE, USA). The samples were cultured on two plates of Sabouraud dextrose agar with 0.05% chloramphenicol (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France) and then incubated at 36°C ± 1) and 28°C ± 1). The samples were examined daily for 10 days. The isolates were identified using standard procedures (morphology on cornmeal agar plates, germ-tube production in serum, and ability to grow at 37°C and 42°C) and biochemical analysis using two methods, the Vitek 2 system and ID 32C panels (Bio-Merieux, Rome, Italy), to obtain accurate results. All strains were frozen at −70°C until further investigations [4]. Candida parapsilosis complex genotyping was performed by PCR amplification as reported previously [5, 6].

Antifungal susceptibility tests to five antifungal drugs (anidulafungin, fluconazole, caspofungin, micafungin, and amphotericin B) were performed for all Candida spp., using the Sensititre YeastOne technique (SYO-09 panel; Trek Diagnostic Systems, Ltd., East Grinstead, England).

The susceptibility values were interpreted taking into account the species-specific clinical breakpoints (CBPs) suggested by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) Subcommittee for the most common species of Candida [7]. The epidemiological cut-off values were used to define wild-type and non-wild-type isolates if no CBPs were available from the CLSI [8, 9]. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) data are presented as MIC50 (MIC causing inhibition of 50% of isolates) and MIC90 (MIC causing inhibition of 90% of isolates).

2.4. Statistical Analysis. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to test the normal distribution of data. Non-normally distributed data are expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR) and were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical data are expressed as number and percentage and were compared using χ² or Fisher’s exact test. All p values are two-tailed, and statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05 (Social Sciences (SPSS) software 10 for Mac OS X; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

2.5. Literature Review. A review of full-text articles that were published in English from January 2000 to February 2015 was performed. The MEDLINE database was used for the bibliographic research, using the following key words: “neonatal candidemia”, “candidemia neonatal intensive care unit”, “Candida neonatal intensive care unit,” and “NICU candidemia”. Additionally, the bibliographies of the selected articles were reviewed for relevant publications.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: articles that reported a period of study prior to 2000; letters, randomized, controlled trials; and studies that reported a total number of Candida BSIs less than five. The following data were collected from each selected study: geographic location, year of publication, study period, type of study, incidence, influencing factors candidemia, total number of isolated Candida spp., and relative proportion of each of the Candida spp.

3. Results

3.1. Analysis of Cases in the NICU. A total of 41 infants with Candida infection were reviewed. The overall incidence of candidemia was 3.0 per 100 NICU admissions (range, 2.2–3.0). The male: female ratio was 1.6:1. The cohort had a median gestational age of 30 weeks (29–31 weeks) and a median birth weight of 1110 g (900–1345 g). The majority of candidemia episodes occurred in VLBW infants (56.1%). The median duration of the total hospital stay was 11 days (8–14 days). Candidemia was catheter-related in 23 cases (56.1%). All Candida infections were classified as LOS. At the moment of candidemia, only ELBW infants were receiving antifungal prophylaxis with fluconazole (3 mg/kg/day).

Candida parapsilosis sensu stricto was isolated with the highest frequency (58.5%), followed by C. albicans (34.1%), C. glabrata complex, C. guilliermondii, and C. orthopsilosis (2.4% for each). Therefore, 65.9% of candidemia episodes were caused by Candida non-albicans. With regard to the temporal trend of C. albicans and Candida non-albicans, a variable drift from 2007–2015 was observed, with a considerable percentage (75%) increase in non-albicans species in 2015 (Figure 1). Predisposing factors associated with C. albicans and non-albicans are listed in Table 1. The duration of NICU hospitalization of patients with C. non-albicans was significantly longer than that in those with C. albicans (median days, 10 [7.5–12] versus 12 [10–15], p = 0.045). Patients with C. non-albicans were more likely to have parenteral nutrition than those with C. albicans (96.3% versus 71.4%, p = 0.039).

Results of antifungal susceptibility are shown in Table 2. All of the strains were sensitive to tested drugs. Overall, the
Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the patients with candidemia by species.

| Characteristics                        | Candida albicans (n = 14) | Candida non-albicans (n = 27) | p value |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Low gestational age ≤ 32 wk, n (%)     | 11 (78.6)                 | 25 (92.6)                     | 0.317   |
| Gestational age‡                      | 31 (29.5–31.5)            | 30 (29–31)                    | 0.193   |
| Birth weight ≤ 1500 g, n (%)          | 11 (78.6)                 | 25 (92.6)                     | 0.317   |
| Birth weight (g)‡                     | 1200 (1013–1625)          | 1200 (900–1380)               | 0.573   |
| Stay in NICU ≤ 7 days, n (%)          | 12 (85.7)                 | 27 (100)                      | 0.111   |
| Length of stay before candidemia (days)‡ | 10 (7.5–12)              | 12 (10–15)                    | 0.045   |
| Presence of CVC, n (%)                | 13 (92.8)                 | 27 (100)                      | 0.342   |
| TPN, n (%)                            | 10 (71.4)                 | 26 (96.3)                     | 0.039   |
| Mechanical ventilation, n (%)         | 11 (78.6)                 | 26 (96.3)                     | 0.107   |
| Prolonged antibiotic therapy, n (%)   | 12 (85.7)                 | 24 (92.3)                     | 1.000   |

‡Median (interquartile range). CVC: central venous catheter; TPN: total parenteral nutrition. Bold values are significant.

3.2. Literature Review. A total of 45 articles were selected (Tables 3 and 4). Thirty-two studies reported data from a single hospital and 27 were retrospective studies. Seventeen studies were conducted in Asia, 13 in Europe, 11 in North and South America, and 2 in South Africa. Finally, one cohort was carried out in Australia.

The distribution of Candida spp. varied according to the different geographical areas. Candida albicans was the dominant species in Europe with proportions ranging from 47 to 100% [10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 22, 23, 53] and in North and South America with proportions ranging from 40 to 69.2% [24–31, 33, 34]. Candida non-albicans species were predominant in Asia [36–40, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48], with proportions ranging from 25 to 92%, with a median of 75% (Figure 2). In Australia, C. albicans and C. non-albicans were equally distributed (42% and 43%, resp.) [52].

For C. non-albicans, the three most prevalent species were C. parapsilosis complex, C. glabrata complex, and C. tropicalis. Generally, C. parapsilosis complex was the second most common pathogen (range, 6.2–77.8%). C. parapsilosis complex was the predominant species in some studies from Europe [12, 15, 17, 20, 21] and Asia [37, 40, 42, 45, 48]. The highest proportions of C. glabrata complex were reported in studies that were conducted in the central part of India (range, 22.2–44.4%), while the lowest proportions were observed in European countries (range, 2.5–5.9%). No cases due to C. glabrata complex were reported in South America. The highest frequency of C. tropicalis was found in South India (36.7–92%), followed by studies from South America (II.2–13.3%) and South Africa (8.8%). The lowest frequencies were observed in Europe (3.7–5%) and Australia (2%). There were no reports of C. tropicalis in North America.
Table 2: Cumulative distribution of the MICs of 41 clinical Candida isolates.

| Isolates (number) | Antifungal drugs | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1  | 2  | 4  | 8  |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|----|----|----|----|
| **Candida parapsilosis complex (25)** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fluconazole       | 8                | 38    | 77    | 92   | 100  |
| Amphotericin B    | 5                | 75    | 83    | 100  |
| Anidulafungin     | 12               | 100   |
| Caspofungin       | 12               | 100   |
| Micafungin        | 17               | 100   |
| **Candida albicans (14)** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fluconazole       | 5                | 25    | 83    | 93   | 98   | 100 |
| Amphotericin B    | 10               | 20    | 33    | 40   | 75   | 100 |
| Anidulafungin     | 5                | 25    | 33    | 70   | 98   | 100 |
| Caspofungin       | 13               | 30    | 33    | 45   | 93   | 100 |
| Micafungin        | 42               | 100   |

All species (41)
Table 3: Distribution of *Candida* spp. from bloodstream infections in NICU patients from 2000–2015 in various studies.

| Reference          | Country/observation time                          | Study design                                                                 | Number of isolates<sup>a</sup> | Distribution of *Candida* spp. (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                    |                                                   |                                                                              | CA   | CP   | CG  | CT  | CGU | CF  | CK  | CL  | CD  | CLI | CST | CKE | Candida spp.<sup>b</sup> |
| Presterl et al., 2007 [10] | Austria/January 2001 to December 2006           | Retrospective/single hospital                                                | 16   | 93.8 | 6.2 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 11.1                      |
| Lagrou et al., 2007 [11] | Belgium/January 2001 to December 2005           | Retrospective, data from the hospital information system/single hospital     | 9    | 88.9 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Savvikivi et al., 2005 [12] | Finland/January 2000 to December 2002 (original period: 1991–2002) | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/single hospital                   | 25   | 32   | 68  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Spiliopoulou et al., 2012 [13] | Greece/January 2005 to December 2009           | Retrospective/single hospital                                                | 40   | 67.5 | 25  | 2.5 | 5   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Lovero et al., 2016 [14] | Italy/January 2000 to December 2014             | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/hospital (Aurora), data were web database-based/6 neonatal units | 57   | 47   | 44  | 4   | 5   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Montagna et al., 2010 [15] | Italy/February 2007 to August 2008              | Prospective (Aurora), data were web database-based/6 neonatal units          | 21   | 35   | 60  | 5   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Tortorano et al., 2013 [16] | Italy/January 2009 to December 2009             | Prospective, data were laboratory-based/5 hospitals                         | 17   | 58.8 | 35.3| 5.9 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Rodriguez et al., 2006 [17] | Spain/January 2002 to December 2003             | Prospective, data were laboratory-based/5 hospitals                         | 24   | 29.2 | 66.7| 4.1 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Pemán et al., 2011 [18] | Spain/January 2009 to February 2010             | Prospective (FUNGEMYCA)/30 hospitals                                        | 27   | 51.9 | 33.3| 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Yalaz et al., 2006 [19] | Turkey/January 2000 to December 2002            | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital                     | 14   | 100  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Celebi et al., 2012 [20] | Turkey/January 2000 to December 2007            | Prospective/single hospital                                                   | 28   | 42.9 | 57.1|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Ozkan et al., 2014 [21] | Turkey/January 2003 to December 2010            | Prospective/single hospital                                                   | 24   | 33.3 | 66.7|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          |
| Clerihew et al., 2006 [22] | United Kingdom/February 2003 to February 2004   | Prospective (British Paediatric Surveillance Unit)/56 neonatal units          | 67   | 55.2 | 32.8|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 12                         |
| Vergnano et al., 2011 [23] | United Kingdom/January 2006 to December 2008    | Prospective (NeonIN), data were web database-based/12 neonatal units         | 37   | 73   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 27                         |
| Aziz et al., 2010 [24] | USA/January 2000 to December 2006               | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital                     | 10   | 40   | 40  | 10  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |                          ||
| Reference                  | Country/observation time          | Study design                                                                 | Number of isolates<sup>a</sup> | Distribution of <i>Candida</i> spp. (%) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Feja et al., 2005<sup>[25]</sup> | USA/March 2001 to January 2003   | Prospective/2 neonatal units                                                  | 45                              | CA 62 CP 31 CG 2 CT 2 CGU 2 CK 2 CLI 2 CST 3 KHE 3.8 |
| Horn et al., 2009<sup>[26]</sup> | USA/July 2004 to March 2008      | Prospective (PATH Alliance), data were web database-based/23 hospitals         | 26                              | CA 69.2 CP 26.9                        |
| Pfäffer et al., 2012<sup>[27]</sup> | USA-Canada/July 2004 to December 2008 | Prospective (PATH Alliance), data were web database-based/23 medical centers in the USA and two in Canada | 62                              | CA 54.8 CP 30.6 CG 1.6 CT 6.5 CGU 6.5 CK 6.5 CL 6.5 CLI 6.5 CST 6.5 KHE 6.5 |
| Bizzarro et al., 2015<sup>[28]</sup> | USA/January 2004 to December 2013 | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital                      | 20                              | CA 50 CP 35 CG 5 CT 5 CGU 5 CK 5 CLI 5 CST 5 KHE 5.4 |
| Natarajan et al., 2009<sup>[29]</sup> | USA/January 2006 to December 2007 | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital                      | 29                              | CA 58.6 CP 27.6 CG 6.9 CT 3.4 CGU 3.4 CK 3.4 CLI 3.4 CST 3.4 KHE 3.4 |
| Robinson et al., 2012<sup>[30]</sup> | USA/January 2000 to December 2010 | Retrospective, data from the hospital information system/single hospital      | 37                              | CA 59.5 CP 24.3 CG 8.1 CT 5.4 CGU 5.4 CK 5.4 CLI 5.4 CST 5.4 KHE 5.4 |
| Batista et al., 2014<sup>[31]</sup> | Brazil/October 2006 to March 2007 | Prospective/single hospital                                                    | 10                              | CA 60 CP 40                        |
| Hoffmann-Santos et al., 2013<sup>[32]</sup> | Brazil/January 2006 to December 2011 | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/2 hospitals                        | 45                              | CA 33.3 CP 48.9 CG 11.2 CT 6.7 CGU 6.7 CK 6.7 CLI 6.7 CST 6.7 KHE 6.7 |
| Cortés et al., 2011<sup>[33]</sup> | Colombia/January 2001 to December 2007 | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/27 hospitals                       | 143                             | CA 61 CP 15 CG 5 CT 9 KHE 19 |
| Cortés et al., 2014<sup>[34]</sup> | Colombia/March 2008 to March 2009 | Prospective/7 hospitals                                                        | 15                              | CA 60 CP 13.3 CG 13.3 CT 13.3 CGU 13.3 CK 13.3 CLI 13.3 CST 13.3 KHE 13.3 |
| Hua et al., 2012<sup>[35]</sup> | China/February 2008 to February 2010 | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital                      | 34                              | CA 38.2 CP 32.4 CG 2.9 CT 5.9 CGU 5.9 CK 11.8 CT 2.9 |
| Wu et al., 2014<sup>[36]</sup> | China/January 2009 to December 2011 | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital                      | 37                              | CA 16.2 CP 54.1                          |
| Chen et al., 2015<sup>[37]</sup> | China/January 2010 to December 2013 | Retrospective, data from the hospital information system/single hospital      | 43                              | CA 14 CP 39.5 CG 32.6 CT 14 |
| Rani et al., 2002<sup>[38]</sup> | India/January 2000 to June 2000 | Prospective/single hospital                                                    | 50                              | CA 4 CP 92 CT 4                          |
| Agarwal et al., 2004<sup>[39]</sup> | India/August 2002 to April 2003 | Prospective/single hospital                                                    | 90                              | CA 15.6 CP 84.4                           |
| Reference                                      | Country/observation time                      | Study design                          | Number of isolates<sup>a</sup> | CA | CP | CG | CT | CGU | CF | CK | CL | CD | CLI | CST | CKE | Candida spp.<sup>b</sup> |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|----|----|----|-----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----------------------------|
| Femitha et al., 2013 [40]                      | India/October 2009 and July 2011              | Prospective/single hospital           | 36                               | 25 | 44.4 |  |    |     |   |     |    |     |    | 30.6                       |
| Mehara et al., 2013 [41]                       | India/January 2012 to September 2012          | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 9                                | 44.4 | 22.2 | 33.3 |    |     |    |    |     |    |    |    |                          |
| Juyal et al., 2013 [42]                        | India/January 2012 to December 2012          | Prospective, data were laboratory-based/single hospital | 132                             | 19.7 | 25 | 14.4 | 24 | 10.6 |    |     |    |    |    |    |    | 8.3                        |
| Chaurasia et al., 2015 [43]                    | India/January 2013 to June 2013              | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 30                               | 20 | 23.3 | 10 | 36.7 | 10 |    |    |    |    |    |    | 10 |                          |
| Wadile and Bhat, 2015 [44]                     | India/January 2014 to December 2014          | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 20                               | 65 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 5 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          |
| Al-Sweih et al., 2009 [45]                     | Kuwait/January 2000 to December 2006 (original period: 1995–2006) | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 108                             | 41.7 | 45.4 |  |    |     |   |     |    |     |    | 12.9                       |
| Hammoud et al., 2013 [46]                      | Kuwait/January 2007 to December 2010          | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 89                               | 47.2 | 38.2 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 2.2 |    |    |    |    |    |    | 74                         |
| Khan et al., 2015 [47]                         | Pakistan/January 2009 to January 2014        | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/single hospital | 41                              | 26 |    |  |    |     |   |     |    |     |    |    |    | 77                         |
| Wu et al., 2009 [48]                           | Taiwan/January 2001 to December 2006         | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 13                               | 23.1 | 69.2 |  |    |     |   |     |    |     |    |    |    |                          |
| Tsai et al., 2014 [49]                         | Taiwan/January 2004 to December 2011         | Retrospective, review of medical records and administrative databases/single hospital | 52                               | 61.5 | 30.8 | 7.7 |    |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          |
| Lim et al., 2012 [50]                          | Taiwan/January 2005 to December 2009         | Retrospective, review of medical records and administrative database/single hospital | 6                                | 66.7 | 33.3 |  |    |     |   |     |    |     |    |    |    |                          |
| Chen et al., 2015 [37]                         | Taiwan/January 2008 to December 2013 Africa | Retrospective, review of medical records/single hospital | 9                                | 22.2 | 77.8 |  |    |     |   |     |    |     |    |    |    |                          |
| Motara et al., 2005 [51]                       | South Africa/July 2002 to July 2003           | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/single hospital | 10                              | 80 | 20 |    |    |     |   |     |    |     |    |    |    |                          |
| Ballot et al., 2013 [51]                       | South Africa/January 2007 to December 2011   | Retrospective/single hospital         | 57                               | 28.1 | 56.1 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          |
| Oceania                                        |                                               |                                       |                                  |    |    |    |    |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                          |
| Chen et al., 2006 [52]                         | Australia/August 2001 to July 2004            | Retrospective, data were laboratory-based/50 microbiology laboratories | 35                               | 42 | 43 | 9 | 2 |    |   | 2 |    |    |    |    | 2 |                          |

CA: *Candida albicans*; CP: *C. parapsilosis*; CG: *C. glabrata*; CT: *C. tropicalis*; CGU: *C. guilliermondii*; CF: *C. fumata*; CK: *C. krusei*; CL: *C. lusitaniae*; CD: *C. dubliniensis*; CLI: *C. lipolytica*; CST: *C. stellatoidea*; CKE: *C. kefyr*. <sup>a</sup> Total number of Candida isolates from blood (or the total number of candidemia episodes when the number of isolates was not available from the original study). <sup>b</sup> Including Candida spp. not depicted in the table and Candida spp. not identified at the species level.
Table 4: Main candidemia finding in the NICU as reported in various studies.

| Reference               | Main candidemia finding in the NICU |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Lagrou et al., 2007 [11]| Annual incidence: 0.30 episodes per 10,000 patient-days. |
| Sarviki et al., 2005 [12]| Fluconazole prophylaxis contributed to the emergence of *C. parapsilosis* with decreased susceptibility to fluconazole. |
| Spiliopoulou et al., 2012 [13]| Candidemia incidence decreased. *C. albicans* was most frequently isolated from ELBW infants. Mortality (35.7%) was associated with low gestational age and low birth weight. |
| Lovero et al., 2016 [14]| Incidence rate of *Candida non-albicans* increased from 46% in 2000–2004 to 71% in 2010–2014. |
| Montagna et al., 2010 [15]| Overall incidence: 1.3 per 100 NICU discharges. The incidence in ELBW infants was 4.3% versus 0.2% in LBW infants. |
| Rodriguez et al., 2006 [16]| Annual incidence: 1.1 per 100 NICU discharges and 1.08 per 1000 patient-days. Low mortality (21%) rate may have been caused by a high prevalence of *C. parapsilosis* fungemia. |
| Pemán et al., 2011 [18]| *C. albicans* was more common in the NICU setting than in the pediatric ICU. |
| Yalaz et al., 2006 [19]| Candidemia markedly increased in 2002 compared with previous years. A significant association was found between *Candida* infection and the duration of antibiotic therapy. |
| Celebi et al., 2012 [20]| Overall incidence: 11.5 per 1000 NICU admissions. The mortality rate was 42.8%. |
| Ozkan et al., 2014 [21]| Gram-positive sepsis (67.6%) was more common than Gram-negative bacteremia (16.6%) and candidemia (15.8%). *Candida* spp. caused LOS (38.3%), VLOS (41.7%), and no EOS sepsis. |
| Clerihew et al., 2006 [22]| *C. parapsilosis* was associated with fewer deep-seated infections than *C. albicans*, but mortality was similar. |
| Vergnano et al., 2011 [23]| A decrease in candidemia was observed: 1.8% in 2006, 1.2% in 2007, and 1.3% in 2008. *Candida* spp. were more common in LOS (97%) than in EOS (3%) sepsis. |
| Aziz et al., 2010 [24]| Fluconazole prophylactic administration to ELBW infants was associated with a decreased rate of candidemia. |
| Peja et al., 2005 [25]| Overall incidence: 1.6 per 100 NICU discharges. Catheter use, previous bacterial sepsis, and GI pathology were significantly associated with candidemia. |
| Bizzarro et al., 2015 [28]| *Candida* spp. were more common in LOS than in EOS sepsis. |
| Natarajan et al., 2009 [29]| Candidemia refractory to conventional antifungals was associated with prolonged antibiotic use and *Candida non-albicans* infection. |
| Robinson et al., 2012 [30]| Overall incidence: 0.45 per 100 NICU discharges. An increased time between blood culture draw and initial antifungal therapy was associated with an increased incidence of persistent candidemia. |
| Batista et al., 2014 [31]| Oral colonization should be considered as a risk factor for candidemia. |
| Hua et al., 2012 [35]| Patients with *C. parapsilosis* had a significantly longer hospital stay than those with *C. albicans* sepsis. |
| Wu et al., 2014 [36]| *C. guilliermondii* was associated with preterm infants and with low birth weight. |
| Chen et al., 2015 [37]| Fluconazole prophylaxis alone was not efficacious; it had to be combined with reinforcement of management and supervision of hand hygiene to effectively prevent invasive candidiasis. |
| Rani et al., 2002 [38]| *Candida non-albicans* accounted for 96% of the cases of neonatal candidemia. |
| Agarwal et al., 2004 [39]| Overall incidence: 77 per 1000 NICU discharges. *Candida non-albicans* is gaining importance as a cause of neonatal septicemia. |
| Femitha et al., 2013 [40]| Overall incidence: 0.82 cases per 100 NICU discharges. Mortality was 44.4%. Presence of candiduria was a significant risk factor for death. |
| Mehara et al., 2013 [41]| *Candida* spp. were more common in LOS than in EOS sepsis. |
| Juyal et al., 2013 [42]| *Candida non-albicans* accounted for 80.30% of the cases of neonatal candidemia. The crude mortality was 34.85%. |
| Chaurasia et al., 2015 [43]| Clinical features in neonates with candida sepsis were nonspecific. A common laboratory feature was thrombocytopenia. |
| Al-Sweih et al., 2009 [45]| Overall incidence: 4 per 100 NICU discharges. |
| Hammoud et al., 2013 [46]| *C. albicans* was the most prevalent species in nonpersistent candidemia. *C. parapsilosis* was more common among infants with persistent candidemia. Persistent candidemia was associated with an increased risk of mortality. |
| Wu et al., 2009 [48]| The most common causative microorganisms of LOS sepsis were CONS and *Candida* spp. *C. parapsilosis* was associated with a high mortality rate. |
4. Discussion

This study aimed to describe the epidemiology and drug susceptibility of Candida isolates causing candidemia in a NICU of an Italian university hospital over 9 years. Our survey showed that candidemia is a common problem among critically ill neonates, with an overall incidence of 3%. This finding is higher than data reported in a literature review from Europe (1.1–1.3%) [15, 17] and the North and South America (0.5–1.6%) [25, 30], but lower than that reported in Asia (4–7.7%) [39, 45]. This variability may reflect differences in health care practices among countries, as well as the study design adopted, including differences in the examined population.

VLBW infants are known to be at a high risk of candidemia because of more aggressive and invasive therapies, such as indwelling central lines, mechanical ventilation, parenteral hyperalimentation, and longer hospital stay [1–3]. The majority of infected neonates have a gestational age at birth of 30 weeks or earlier and birth weight is ≤1500 g (87.8%, each one). Intravenous catheters are risk factors for Candida BSI in critically ill infants. We found that all patients had intravenous catheter placement and that candidemia was catheter-related in 56.1% of cases. This finding is not surprising because Candida spp. can adhere to platelets and fibrinogen on the surface of catheters and form biofilms that may become a reservoir for systemic spread [1–3].

In our systematic review, we found that only four species (C. albicans, C. parapsilosis complex, C. tropicalis, and C. glabrata complex) accounted for 95.4% of cases of candidemia. However, the ranking of these four species was variable. Generally, C. albicans was the predominant isolated spp. in Europe [10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 22, 23, 53] and North and South America [24–31, 33, 34]. However, non-albicans species were predominant in Asia [36–40, 42, 43, 45, 47, 48].

Moreover, data regarding changes in the relative frequencies of isolated Candida spp. showed a shift toward Candida non-albicans, with a frequency higher than 50% in some NICUs. This, in part, is attributed to the increased use of azole prophylaxis and therapy [12]. However, in a recent study, where fluconazole was rarely used for prophylaxis and therapy, a high incidence of non-albicans (60.8% of all candidemia episodes) was found [20]. Similarly, our study showed a higher percentage of C. non-albicans (66%) than C. albicans and a variable drift through 9 years. In 2015, 75% of the cases were caused by non-albicans species.

In our study, appearance of C. parapsilosis complex as the predominant fungal pathogen (61% of all isolates) was consistent with the pattern seen in some hospitals in Europe, Asia, and Africa [12, 15, 17, 20, 37, 42, 45, 48, 51].

Main risk factors for C. parapsilosis complex infection were the presence of indwelling vascular catheters and parental nutrition, both of which predispose to formation of biofilms. Morphogenesis from yeast cells to pseudohyphae is essential for biofilm formation and virulence in C. parapsilosis complex. Amino acids mediate cell differentiation, and this could explain the high incidence of this yeast in catheterized neonates who receive amino acid-rich parenteral nutrition solutions [54]. Our data highlights an association between parenteral nutrition and non-albicans spp. The high proportion of C. parapsilosis complex may explain this finding. Notably, we observed that NICU patients were more likely to develop C. parapsilosis sensu stricto (58.5%) than C. orthopsilosis (2.4%) candidemia. This finding may be explained by the greater capacity of C. parapsilosis sensu stricto to adhere to central lines compared with closely related species [55].

In agreement with other studies [13–15, 17, 18], none of the isolated strains showed resistance to fluconazole and amphotericin B. These are the antifungal drugs of choice that are used in prophylaxis and treatment of Candida BSI in neonates [56]. No fluconazole resistance may be related to the treatment policy in use at our hospital, where systemic antifungal prophylaxis with fluconazole was used only in ELBW infants. In neonates, fluconazole prophylaxis has been linked to the emergence of azole resistance [12, 57].

5. Conclusions

Limitations of the present study are mainly related to its retrospective nature with limited follow-up data. Although all of the data were prospectively collected, some variables could not be examined because of missing data. Furthermore, we did not have data on specific characteristics of noninfected patients in our NICU. Therefore, we were not able to risk-adjust our rates to compare with incidences from other reports.

Nevertheless, this study shows that C. non-albicans candidemia is increasing, despite limited use of fluconazole for prophylaxis/empiric therapy in our unit. Our results also confirm that candidemia plays an important pathogenic role in NICU patients. There is a significant variation in cases of candidemia in different geographic regions, even within the
same continent. Therefore, monitoring epidemiological data to facilitate the choice of treatment is important.
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