Abstract

The survey has been realized in the lowland relict forest Bosco delle Sorti della Partecipanza, a site situated in Trino (Piedmont, North-Western Italy), to assess the ecological conservation level of forest habitats using the Syrph the Net methodology. 67 species were recorded, using three Malaise traps, seven Emergence traps and several Net transect in the year 2020. Among these species, 6 are reported for the first time in Piedmont region, 19 are considered decreasing at European level and 2 are threatened in Europe. Forest habitats under scrutiny in the study area are oak-hornbeam mesophilic woodland and alluvial alder lowland. The data analysis allowed to compare observed with expected syrphids for each habitat. At the ecosystem level, the ecological integrity of the forest is moderately negative but the alluvial alder forest macrohabitat can be considered good and overall saproxylic and saprophagous species are particularly well-preserved. The presence of a high number of rare or decreasing species makes Trino wood an important source of biodiversity in Po Plain.

Introduction

Forest ecosystems represent an invaluable source of biodiversity and they are precious elements for the insect conservation (Ulyshen, 2018). In Po Plain (Northern Italy) urbanization, agriculture and human activities have greatly reduced the extension of lowland forests to very few remnants (Camerano et al., 2009). Some of these relict forests located in Piedmont region, such as ‘Merlino Wood’ in Caramagna Piemonte (Maritano, 2020) and ‘Bosco delle Sorti della Partecipanza’ in Trino (follow called ‘Trino Wood’) are completely isolated from any other area with arboreal vegetation. This is because they are set into an intensive agriculture landscape, especially corn and hay fields for the first area and rice plantations for the second one. Thanks to this distinctive feature, these natural reserves lend themselves well to study the existing insect assemblages such as hoverflies. Syrphidae can be used as bioindicators (Sommaggio, 1999; Larrieu et al., 2015; Maritano, 2020) of the ecological integrity thanks to the high heterogeneity of the larval ecological preferences in different hoverfly genera. Therefore, in order to standardise the use of Syrphidae as bioindicators the Syrph the Net (StN) database was developed (Speight et al., 2020). This work contributes to the series of investigations (Bertollo et al., 2012; Maritano & Sommaggio, 2020; Maritano, 2020) aimed at understanding which of the current remnants is closest to the original primary forest that covered Po Plain in the ancient past.

The purposes of this paper are 1) Provide a checklist of the Syrphidae fauna in Trino Wood; and 2) gain a better appreciation of the conservation status of the 2 main woodland habitats in the survey area.

Materials and methods

Survey area

Located in North-Western Italy Bosco delle Sorti della Partecipanza is a Regional Natural Park and Site of Community
Interest (code IT1120002) consisting in a woodland of 586 ha (Figure 1), at 160-200 m a.s.l.

The main portion is a mesophilic oak-hornbeam that occupies 86% of the entire wooded area, while the remaining portion is composed by an alder alluvial forest 5.5% and reforestation 7.1%. The current forest cover consists in Quercus 19%, Carpinus 35%, Robinia 19%. The average age of the oldest Quercus is 115 years with a peak at 145 years, but the regular cut usually takes place at 60-80 years. In the past, negligence or a misguided forest management have led to a wide dissemination of Robinia. In the study area, Robinia appeared for the first time in the XIX century and reached the maximum abundance (39% of the forest) in 1989. A simultaneously reduction of the wood volume was recorded in the same year with a value of 79 m³/ha. The latest management plans encourage a gradual maturity of trees and an improvement of biodiversity also through the mandatory selection of plants with an indefinite senescence in order to obtain a network of old trees. In 2020, the average forest density was 393 specimens of plants (diameter >7.5 cm) per hectare (thirty years ago it was 347) and the wood volume increased at 158 m³/ha. Forestry data come from Guido Blanchard personal comment. Trino Wood is an undivided private property inherited from a group of people that inhabited Trino town in the year 1275. The common interest and the collective administration were sufficient to protect it from agricultural speculation which caused the transformation of the vast wooded area of the southern Vercelli Province. The few surveys available on the insect fauna are mainly referred to beetles (Allegro et al., 2016), Carabidae coenoses reveal the presence of several regionally isolated species, and the data are supported also by some Orthoptera and Odonata surveys (database of regional managing authorities). No data is available for Diptera, especially Syrphidae.

Figure 1. Map of “Bosco delle Sorti della Partecipanza” in Trino (Italy) with setting of traps and transects.
Monitoring methods

Field research began on 19th February with the activation of 2 Malaise and 2 Emergence traps and ended on 27th of October with the removal of all traps. Overall, 3 Malaise traps (M1 and M2 in alluvial forest and M3 in oak-hornbeam) and 7 Emergence traps were activated (Figure 1). The locations of the Malaise traps were: M1 45°14’04”N, 8°15’30”E; M2 45°14’05”N, 8°15’33”E and M3 45°13’26”N, 8°15’41”E. The distribution of the traps is not proportional to the extent of the two-habitat surveyed due to an unexpected lack of supply. Therefore, it was decided to reduce traps in oak-hornbeam because easier accessible for net transects and simultaneously intensify sight hunts in order to balance monitoring scheme. Malaise traps were set out along small ditches with slow-flowing fresh water and overmature trees. Using an entomological net can be fundamental to increase the performance of samplings (Maritano, 2020; Maritano & Sommaggio, 2020), for this reason nine 200 m long transects were defined (Figure 1). Netting was conducted primarily during clear, sunny days with no wind. All netting was carried out between 8:30 and 16:30 with a minimum of 6 hours in each day of sampling activities. Hand harvesting took place every 7 days in April and May and every 10 days during the other months, for a total of more than 120 hours of hand net transects. The specimens collected were partly pinned (reference collection) and partly preserved in 80% ethyl alcohol. All samples are kept in the author’s entomological collection with future deposition in a publicly accessible collection. The keys proposed in Van Veen (2004) and Speight and Sarthou (2017) have been used to identify the species, while the taxonomy follows Burgio et al., (2015).

Syrphids’ assets as bioindicators and Syrph the Net analysis

In Europe Syrphidae fauna count approximately 979 species (Speight et al., 2020), in Italy 536 species (Burgio et al., 2015) and in Piedmont 290 (Maritano unpublished data). At the larval stage, different hoverflies genera, use well separated ecological niches with strict environmental requirements. For this reason, they are considered excellent bioindicators (Speight, 1986; Sommaggio, 1999) and probably the best exhaustive taxon among all insect taxa tested. A central premise of the Syrph the Net (StN) database is that for each macrohabitat, used in the sense of the CORINE European Habitats Classification System, to have its own characteristic assemblage of syrphids, making it possible to predict the potential syrphid fauna of a site from the habitats occurring there (Speight, 2012). The StN database is predictive fuzzy logic expert tool (Keddy, 1992) which can also be used as model that aid in the evaluation of the biodiversity maintenance potential of sites and in the biodiversity management (Speight, 2017). Forest ecosystems are particularly eligible to be assessed by this methodology due to their complexity. The Biodiversity Maintenance Function (BDMF) has been devised as the relationship between species observed in the field and expected species. BDMF is a numeric parameter expressed as a percentage (Table 1). This ratio can be derived for each macrohabitat or microhabitat (e.g trunk cavity, herb layer, rotten wood, cow dung etc.) existing in the field, based on the StN database. High BDMF value indicates a well-preserved ecological integrity, by contrast poor ratio denote a scarce conservation condition of one or more microhabitats. The unpredicted species collected, if non migrant, can represent an added value for the site or be indicator of the high complexity in the surrounding landscape (Burgio et al., 2015).

Table 1. Ranges of BDMF values for each class of assessment according to StN.

| Range % | Assessment         |
|---------|--------------------|
| 0-20    | Very insufficient  |
| 21-40   | Insufficient       |
| 41-50   | Moderate           |
| 51-75   | Good               |
| 76-85   | Very good          |
| 86-100  | Excellent          |

Results

These sampling have provided a total of 67 species (Table 2). Six species are recorded for the first time in Piedmont. Two species are threatened in Europe and 19 have a decreasing number of population and/or range in Europe (this type of information is in the Excel file: Speight et al., 2020). Furthermore, seven species are threatened in the Continental Biogeographical Region (as defined in Speight & Castella, 2020) and twenty species are decreasing in the same area (Table 3).

As a whole, the study area has a moderately negative ecological integrity as stated by the StN value of 37,50% (Table 4) with alluvial forest well-preserved while the oak-hornbeam section has a poorer preservation. Syrphidae fauna associated with old trees and dead wood seems to be in good conservation conditions. The most under-represented components are the species guilds associated with herb layers.

The use of emergence traps gathers data on the relation between saproxylic species and different substrates (Table 5). This type of relationship is understudied in Italy but can provide interesting suggestions for conservation management actions. In Trino Wood many saproxylophagous species emerged from stump, even if the species of high conservation interest are related to hollows tree.

Hoverflies are fundamental pollinators in several habitats and only recent studies (Chisakuy et al., 2020) or projects (LIFE 4 pollinators https://www.life4pollinators.eu/ or Proposal for an EU Monitoring Scheme https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC122225) are investigating their valuable ecosystem service. In Trino Wood several species were observed pollinating flowers (Figure 2). In March Mallota fuciformis can be observed on Prusa. Pyrus supports the quite rare saproxylic species Brachyopa bicolor and Criorhina floccosa. In the study area the greatest hoverflies diversity is seen on Crateagus flowers visited by several genus: Caliprobola, Chalcosyrphus, Chrysotoxum (C. cautum), Ceriana, Dasysyrphus, Didea, Erisalitis, Eupodes, Ferdinandia, Helophilus, Melanogaster, Myatropa, Orthonevra, Parhelophilus, Volucella (V. inflata), Xylota (X. segnis) and scarcely Brachyopa (B. bicolor), Callicera, Myolepta, and Themnostoma (T. bomblyans). On Corus it is possible to see Cheilosia, Meliscaeva, Volucella (V. inflata and V. pellucens). The only specimen of Pipiza noctiluca has been reported on Ligustrum. In September, Hedera blooms provide food for various species including Mileisia crabroniformis. The many hours spent in the field for the transects, have made possible to draw notes in species consistency. Around half of the recorded species have proved to be scarce (~5 specimens observed) or very scarce (only 1 specimen found) in the survey area (Table 2), understood as number of detected specimens during the entire monitoring period. The number of specimens collected by hand net (Table 2) and the number of observed specimens in the field do not always overlap, especially for those species easy to identify in field.
Table 2. Checklist of Syrphidae sampling in “Bosco della Partecipanza” in Trino. *These species have a scarce consistency in field detected (<5 specimens).

| Faunistic list                  | New record for Piedmont | Malaise trap (N of specimens) | Net (N) | Emergence trap (N) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------|
|                                 |                         | M1   | M2   | M3   | TOT.             |         |
| **Baccha elongata** (Fabricius, 1775) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Brachyopa bicolor** (Fällén, 1817) |                         |      |      |      | 3*               |         |
| **Brachyopa scutellaris** Robineau-Desvoidy, 1844 |           |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Brachypalpis tensus** (Meigen, 1822) |                         | 1    | 1    | 2    | 1*               |         |
| **Brachypalpus valga** (Panzer, 1798) |                         |      |      |      | 2*               |         |
| **Calipropis speciosa** (Rossi, 1790) |                         | 1    |      |      | 1                |         |
| **Callicera fagesii** Guérin-Méneville, 1844 |             | x    |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Cerana conosoides** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Chalcosyrphus nemorum** (Fabricius, 1805) |               | 6    | 4    | 10   | 1                | 2       |
| **Chelisola scutellata** (Fällén, 1817) |                         |      |      |      | 3*               |         |
| **Chrysogaster solstitialis** (Fällén, 1817) |                       |      |      |      | 2                |         |
| **Chrysotoxum cautum** (Harris, 1776) |                         |      |      |      | 2*               |         |
| **Chrysotoxum festivum** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                      |      |      |      | 1                |         |
| **Criorhina berberina** (Fabricius, 1805) |                         | 3    | 3    | 3    | 2                |         |
| **Criorhina roscosa** (Meigen, 1822) |                         | 3    | 3    | 1    | 1                |         |
| **Dasysyrphus venustus** (Meigen, 1822) |                         | 1    | 5    | 6    | 1                |         |
| **Didea fasciata** Macquart, 1834 |                         |      |      |      | 2*               |         |
| **Epistrophus elegans** (Harris, 1780) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Epistrophus nitidicollis** (Meigen, 1822) |                      | 17   | 17   | 3    |                  |         |
| **Episyris balticus** (De Geer, 1776) |                         | 3    | 2    | 1    | 6                | 2       |
| **Eristalina sepulchralis** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                       |      |      |      | 2                |         |
| **Eristalina taeniops** (Wiedemann, 1818) |                      |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Eristalis arbustorum** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                       |      |      |      | 2*               |         |
| **Eristalis interrupta** (Podá, 1761) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Eristalis pertinax** (Scopoli, 1763) |                         | 3    | 3    | 2    |                  |         |
| **Eristalis similis** (Fällén, 1817) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Eristalis tenax** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Eumerus flavitarsis** Zetterstedt, 1843 |                       |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Eumerus ornatus** Meigen, 1822 |                         |      |      |      | 4                |         |
| **Eupeodes corollae** (Fabricius, 1794) |                       |      |      |      | 1                |         |
| **Eupeodes latissimatus** (Macquart, 1829) |                       | 1    | 1    | 1    |                  |         |
| **Ferdinandea cuprea** (Scopoli, 1763) |                       |      | 1    | 1    | 1                |         |
| **Ferdinandea ruficornis** (Fabricius, 1775) |                       | 3    | 1    | 4    | 1                |         |
| **Helophilus pendulus** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                       | 14   | 12   | 1    | 27               | 1       |
| **Helophilus trivittatus** (Fabricius, 1805) |                       |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Mallota fusciformis** (Fabricius, 1794) |                       |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Melanogaster aerosa** (Loew, 1843) |                         | x    | 1    | 1    | 2                | 3       |
| **Melanostoma mellinum** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                       | 1    | 1    | 2    | 3                |         |
| **Mesoea auricollis** (Meigen, 1822) |                         |      |      |      | 5                |         |
| **Mesembrius peregrinus** (Loew, 1846) |                         | x    |      |      | 3*               |         |
| **Milesia crameriiformis** (Fabricius, 1775) |                       |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Myathropa florea** (Linnaeus, 1758) |                         | 1    | 1    | 1    | 4                |         |
| **Myolepta obscura** (Becher, 1882) |                         | x    |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Neoascia meticola** (Scopoli, 1763) |                         | x    |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Neoascia podagra** (Fabricius, 1775) |                         |      |      |      | 5                |         |
| **Neoascia tenax** (Harris, 1780) |                         |      |      |      | 1*               |         |
| **Orthoneura brevicornis** (Loew, 1843) |                       | 6    | 7    | 13   | 2                |         |
| **Paragus haemonous** Meigen, 1822 |                         |      |      |      | 2*               |         |

To be continued on next page
Table 1. Continued from previous page.

| Faunistic list                                      | New record for Piedmont | Malaise trap (N of specimens) | Net (N) | Emergence trap (N) |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------|
|                                                    |                          | M1 | M2 | M3 | TOT. |                          |         |
| Paragus pecchiolii Rondani, 1857                    |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Parhelophilus frutetorum (Fabricius, 1775)          |                          | 3  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Pipiza noctiluca (Linnaeus, 1758)                   |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Scaeva pyrastr (Linnaeus, 1758)                     |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Sphaerophoria rueppelli (Wiedemann, 1830)           |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Sphaerophoria scripta (Linnaeus, 1758)              |                          | 1  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Syrta pipiens (Linnaeus, 1758)                      |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Syphus ribesii (Linnaeus, 1758)                     |                          | 2  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Syphus vitripennis Meigen, 1822                     |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Temnostoma bombylans (Fabricius, 1885)              |                          | 2  | 1  | 3  | 1*  |                          |         |
| Temnostoma vespiiforme (Linnaeus, 1758)             |                          | x  | 3  | 1  | 4  | 1*                          |         |
| Volucella inanis (Linnaeus, 1758)                   |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Volucella inflata (Fabricius, 1794)                 |                          | 1  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Volucella pellucens (Linnaeus, 1758)                |                          | 1  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Volucella zonaria (Poda, 1761)                      |                          | 1  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Xanthandrus comatus (Harris, 1780)                  |                          | 2  |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Xanthogramma stackelbergii Violovitsh, 1975         |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Xylota segnis (Linnaeus, 1758)                      |                          | 1* |    |    |      |                          |         |
| Xylota sylvanum (Linnaeus, 1758)                    |                          | 1* |    |    | 1   |                          |         |

Figure 2. Examples of Syrphidae fauna in Trino Wood. (A) Caliprobola speciosa on Crataegus monogyna, 23 April; (B) Criorhina berberina on Allium ursinum, 23 April; (C) Temnostoma vespiiforme, 06 May; (D) Chrysogaster solstitialis on Agopodium podagraria, 20 May; (E) Mesembria peregrina on Rubus 17 May; (F) Milesia crabroniformis on a fresh fallen Quercus, 08 October. Images (A), (B), (C), (D) and (E) by Umberto Maritano; (F) by Elio Cazzuli.
Discussion

The total number of 67 species found in the study area can be considered similar to the other faunistic studies available (Birtele et al. 2002; Maritano & Sommaggio, 2020) for the same type of habitat. This monitoring can be considered as exhaustive because the use of both Malaise traps and Entomological Net transects are expected to be complementary in the collection of adult hoverflies (Burgio & Sommaggio, 2002), although it may need intensification of Malaise traps for oak-hornbeam portion. In forest ecosystems, well-organised netting samplings can greatly increase the number of species captured compared to using only passive methods (Maritano & Sommaggio, 2020; Maritano, 2020). The use of emergence traps has been designed for the purpose of collecting exceedingly rare saproxylic species, and even if the results obtained (Table 5) are not fully satisfactory, the data may suggest that the partially alive trees and/or the distance from fresh water might be fundamental factors that affect larvae development.

According to the StN analysis, at the forest ecosystem level, the ecological integrity is moderately negative, but alluvial alder forest has a better conservation status compared to the oak one. Alluvial forest is particularly rich in species as stated by the StN value and many of them are sampled only a few times in Po Plain such as Chrysogaster solstitialis, Melanogaster aerosa, Mesembria peregrinus and Neoascia meticulosa. The last three species are recorded for the first time in Piedmont region. Overall, in Trino wood saproxylic species are well represented with a StN value of 60 % and many of them are of remarkable conservation interest. Only recently, Temnostoma genus has been recorded for the first time in Piedmont region (Maritano & Sommaggio., 2020). The simultaneous presence of both Italian species in the same locality is very infrequent South of the Alps (Whitmore et al., 2008; this work) and can suggest a well-preserved alluvial forest remnant. Callicera fagesii has a wide distribution in Italy (Burgio

Table 3. Species of hoverflies collected in Trino Wood or expected but not observed, with the threat level degree according to Speight & Castella (2020) in the last StN version. C.B.R.: Continental Biogeographical Region. Unpredicted: species observed but not predicted for the two main habitats. Value: from 1 moderate threat to 3 high threat.

| Species observed                | Expected habitat (StN database) | Threat level degree |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                 | Oak-hornbeam | Alnus | Unpredicted | Europe | D | C. B. R. | T | D |
| Brachyopa bicolor               | x              | x     |            | 1       | 2 |           |   |    |
| Brachyopa scutellaris           | x              | x     |            | 1       |    |           |   |    |
| Brachypalpus valgus             | x              | x     |            | 2       | 1 | 2         |   |    |
| Callipyga speciosa              | x              |       |            | 3       |    | 3         |   |    |
| Callicera fagesii               | x              |       |            | 3       | 1 | 2         |   |    |
| Ceriana conosoides              | x              |       |            | 3       |    | 3         |   |    |
| Chrysotoxum caustum             | x              |       |            | 1       | 1 |           |   |    |
| Criorhina floccosa              | x              | x     |            | 1       |    | 3         |   |    |
| Ferdinandea ruficornis          | x              |       |            | 1       |    | 2         | 1 |    |
| Mallota luciformis              | x              |       |            | 2       | 2 | 2         | 1 |    |
| Melanogaster aerosa             | x              |       |            | 2       | 1 | 2         |   |    |
| Mesembria peregrinus            | x              |       |            | 2       | 2 | 1         |   |    |
| Milesia crabroniformis          | x              |       |            | 2       | 2 |           |   |    |
| Myolepta obscura                | x              |       |            | 2       |    | 3         |   |    |
| Neoascia meticulosa            | x              |       |            | 2       |    |           |   |    |
| Orthoneura brevicornis          | x              |       |            | 2       | 2 |           |   |    |
| Parhelophilus fratetonus        | x              |       |            | 1       |    | 2         |   |    |
| Temnostoma bombylans             | x              | x     |            | 1       |    | 3         |   |    |
| Temnostoma cespiforme           | x              | x     |            | 1       |    | 3         |   |    |
| Volucella inflata               | x              | x     |            | 1       |    | 2         |   |    |
| Xanthogramma stackelbergi       | x              |       |            | 2       | 2 |           |   |    |

| Expected species not observed   |                                |                     |
| Chalcosphyrus valgus             | x                              | 2                   |
| Chrysotoxum octomaculatum       | x                              | 1                   |
| Chrysotoxum verrilli            | x                              | 1                   |
| Microdon mutabilis              | x                              | 2                   |
| Merodon constans                | x                              | 3                   |
| Pocota personata                | x                              | 2                   |
| Psarus abdominalis               | x                              | 3                   |
| Psilota anthracina              | x                              | 2                   |
| Rhingia rostrata                | x                              | 1                   |
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et al., 2015) although it has been recorded in very few localities (Birtele et al., 2002), this species is strongly associated with overmature forests and it is rare due to strict environmental requirements. Another remarkable species found in Trino wood is *Myolepta obscura*. This is an extremely rare saproxylic hoverfly in Europe (Speight, 1989; Ricarte et al., 2007) and appears scarce all over its distribution (Reemer et al., 2005; Sommaggio, 2017; Delsinne, 2020; Van Steenis, 2020). It has been reported for the first time in Italy by Reemer et al. (2005) near the border with Slovenia and it has been recently collected in North-Eastern Po Plain (Sommaggio, 2017). *M. obscura* occurs in well-developed deciduous woodlands, including alluvial forests (Reemer et al., 2005), larvae develop in wet holes of tree trunks of *Fraxinus angustifolia* (Ricarte et al., 2007) or senescent *Populus* or *Quercus/Carpinus/Ulmus* forests (Speight, 2020). Since no adults were collected by the emergence traps and the only specimen found in this survey comes from a Net transect located in an eco-tome between alluvial forest and *Quercus* mesophytic vegetation, it is impossible to state which type of arboreal vegetation supports this species in Trino wood. Many saproxylophagous species, usually of high conservation interest, were observed only in the eco-tome between alluvial forest and *Quercus* mesophilus vegetation, such as: *Mallota fuciformis, Ceriana conopsoides, Myolepta obscura, Brachyopa spp.* and *Callicera fagesii*. Since many of them have larvae linked to overmature *Quercus* (Speight, 2020) this element may suggest a better habitat quality near the humid area in opposite to the main managed forest. However, some saprophytic species seem to have a more widely distribution in the study area, because they are seen or collected in different Net transects or traps, namely: *Ferdinandea ruficornis, Milesia crabroniformis, Calprobolus speciosus* and *Brachypalpus valgus*.

In the study area several unpredicted non-migrant species

---

**Table 4. Derivation of BDMF for each Macrohabitat and Microhabitat, based on Syrph the Net.**

| Macrohabitat                | STN Code | Expected species (N) | Observed species (N) | BDMF (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| *Quercus/Carpinus/Ulmus*    | 11221    | 116                  | 42                   | 36,20    |
| *Alnus glutinosa/ Fraxinus excelsior* | 113241 | 49                    | 29                   | 59,18    |
| *Both macrohabitat*         | -        | 128                  | 48                   | 37,50    |

| Observed species (N) |
|----------------------|
| Total observed species | 67     |
| Unpredicted species observed (with migrant) | 19     |
| Unpredicted species observed (without migrant) | 10     |

| Macrohabitat | Food type (larvae) | Expected species (N) | BDMF (%) |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|
| *Quercus/Carpinus/Ulmus* | Wood | 35 | 60,00 |
| | Decomposing organic matter | 45 | 53,33 |
| | Living plants | 23 | 13,04 |
| | Living animals | 52 | 34,62 |
| *Alnus glutinosa/ Fraxinus excelsior* | Wood | 16 | 81,25 |
| | Decomposing organic matter | 25 | 76,00 |
| | Living plants | 4 | 25,00 |
| | Living animals | 18 | 38,89 |
| *Both macrohabitat* | Wood | 35 | 60,00 |
| | Decomposing organic matter | 57 | 54,38 |
| | Living plants | 24 | 12,50 |
| | Living animals | 55 | 32,73 |

| Macrohabitat | Microhabitat | Expected species (N) | BDMF (%) |
|--------------|--------------|----------------------|----------|
| *Quercus/Carpinus/Ulmus* | Trunk cavity | 15 | 66,66 |
| | Rot-holes | 19 | 57,89 |
| | Sap run/lesions | 19 | 47,37 |
| | Timber standing | 5 | 80,00 |
| | Timber falling | 8 | 50,00 |
| | Stump | 10 | 80,00 |
| | Herb layer | 40 | 25,00 |
| | Grass root | 14 | 28,57 |
| | Bulbs/tubers | 9 | 33,33 |
| *Alnus glutinosa/ Fraxinus excelsior* | Trunk cavity | 8 | 87,50 |
| | Rot-holes | 8 | 87,50 |
| | Sap run/lesions | 9 | 77,77 |
| | Timber standing | 4 | 100,00 |
| | Timber falling | 5 | 80,00 |
| | Stump | 7 | 100,00 |
| | Herb layer | 13 | 38,46 |
| | Grass root | 3 | 33,33 |
| | Bulbs/tubers | 2 | 100,00 |
have been collected, namely: *Caliprobola speciosa*, *Callicera fagesi*, *Eristalinus sephulcralis*, *Eumerus flavitarsis*, *Melanogaster aerosa*, *Mesembrinus peregrinus*, *Neoascia meticulosa*, *Neoascia tenur*, *Paragus haemorrhous* and *Xanthogramma stackelbergi*. According to the STN database *C. speciosa*, *E. flavitarsis* and *X. stackelbergi* can be associated with thermophilous *Quercus*. In Trino Wood there is a little hill (located in South-Western portion) that is sunny and dry in summer with shrubbery of *Ruscus aculeatus*, but it cannot be considered a fully thermophilous *Quercus* Habitat. According to STN (Speight, 2012) the unpredicted non-migrant species may be a factor of uniqueness of the area and therefore they may represent an added value to the site biodiversity.

**Conclusions**

The ecological integrity of Bosco delle Sorti della Partecipanza is moderately negative, probably because of the past massive wood harvests that must have affected biodiversity in the main oak-hornbeam forest. Nevertheless, saproxylic species are well-represented and count several rare species and aquatic saprophagous species make the alluvial forest of conservation interest. A dramatically low value of BDMF, referred to phytophagous species (with similar rates in root and herb layers), has been known also in Merlino Wood (Maritano, 2020). Since the forest has never been pastured, it is difficult to explain the causes of this deficiency, perhaps soil compaction by logging or the paucity of natural clearings can be a contributing factor. However, the presence of a high number of threatened or decreasing species at European level makes the study area an important source of biodiversity at least at the regional scale. Further studies of this diverse group of pollinators may be required to better understand the species distribution through the forest and the amount of substrate suitable for their larval development, especially for high conservation interest genera such as *Callicera*, *Malloca* and *Myolepta*. This potential research would have to consider the implementation of measures of retention ecology or restoration ecology, applied especially to tree hollows, as a tool to promote the conservation of target species.
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