Therefore, several studies were conducted to subdivide the genotypes on the basis of detailed phylogenetic analysis (7,8). We reported that a large epidemic in Japan in 2013 might have occurred due to the transport of multiple lineages of rubella virus from rubella-endemic countries (7). According to the National Epidemiological Surveillance of Infectious Diseases (NESID) of Japan, during 2015–2017, ≈100 cases of rubella, which is a notifiable disease in Japan, were reported annually (5), and genotype 1E strains, including a strain closely related to RVs/Osaka.JPN/41.17[1E], were detected. Although these strains might have been transported from countries with endemic rubella, their origin remains unclear because of insufficient genomic information.

Japan has a high risk for subsequent rubella epidemics because the proportion of persons susceptible to rubella virus (≈9.0%) has not changed since 2013. In addition, an epidemic can occur when rubella virus is transported from rubella-endemic countries and the infection occurs in susceptible populations, as happened in Japan in 2013. Of the 11 imported cases of rubella to Japan reported in 2017, 4 were from Indonesia, according to the NESID of Japan. In the case we describe, we identified the rubella-exporting country and clarified the genetic information of the strain, which may contribute to countermeasures for worldwide importation of rubella virus. Rubella control by 2020 is the flagship goal of the World Health Organization South-East Asia region. Indonesia is conducting rubella immunization campaigns targeting ≈70 million children in 2017–2018. Therefore, constructing effective surveillance systems, accumulating genetic information, and promoting immunization in rubella-endemic countries are steps toward the global elimination of rubella.
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Spondweni virus (SPONV) and Zika virus cause similar diseases in humans. We detected SPONV outside of Africa from a pool of Culex mosquitoes collected in Haiti in 2016. This finding raises questions about the role of SPONV as a human pathogen in Haiti and other Caribbean countries.

Spondweni virus (SPONV) and Zika virus are closely related flaviviruses that were first described in Africa in 1952 and 1947, respectively (1). Humans infected by these viruses have similar clinical manifestations; asymptomatic infections are common, and illness is generally self-limiting (1). In the 6 documented human SPONV infections, fever occurred in all. Other symptoms included headache, nausea, myalgia, conjunctivitis, and arthralgia; only 1 SPONV-infected person had maculopapular and pruritic rash (1). The similar clinical presentations for these virus infections and reportedly high serologic cross-reactivity have resulted in frequent misdiagnosis (1).

Because of the 2015–2016 epidemic of Zika fever in the Western Hemisphere and the link between microcephaly and Zika virus infection, Zika virus has been studied more comprehensively than SPONV (1). SPONV was first isolated from Mansonia uniformis mosquitoes during virus surveillance in 1955 in South Africa (2). No new reports of SPONV surfaced despite continued mosquito surveillance until 1958, when it was identified in 4 additional mosquito species, including Aedes circumluteolus, a tropical sylvatic mosquito found in Africa (2). Little is known about possible vertebrate hosts, although SPONV antibodies have been detected in birds, small mammals, and ruminants (2). In a recent study by Hadlow et al., strains of Ae. aegypti, Ae. albopictus, and Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes were not susceptible to SPONV infection (3).

We detected SPONV from a pool of 7 mixed-sex Cx. quinquefasciatus mosquitoes collected in July 2016 during ongoing arbovirus surveillance in Gressier, Haiti. During May–August 2016, we caught 1,756 mosquitoes using Biogents Sentinel traps (BioQuip Products, Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA) within a 10-mile radius in Gressier, a semirural setting. Trap locations were selected based on environmental considerations, low risk for traps being disturbed, and known human arbovirus-caused illnesses in the area (4). Trap bags were transported to a field laboratory in Haiti, where mosquitoes were frozen at –20°C, then identified by species and sexed by trained technicians using morphologic keys and identification guides (5,6). After identification, the mosquitoes were pooled by location, collection date, species (Ae. aegypti, Ae. albopictus, Cx. quinquefasciatus, and other), and sex. All pools were screened for chikungunya virus, dengue virus (DENV) serotypes 1–4, and Zika virus RNA by real-time reverse transcription PCR (rRT-PCR) (online Technical Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/24/9/17-1957-TechnicalAppendixTable1.pdf), as we previously have done with human specimens from Haiti (4). Mosquito homogenates positive by rRT-PCR were used for sequencing using primer walking and Sanger sequencing methods as previously reported (4; online Technical Appendix Table 2). In addition, we confirmed Aedes and Culex mosquito species by molecular methods (7,8). In initial screens of a pool of 7 mixed-sex Cx. quinquefasciatus mosquitoes (non–blood-fed) collected on July 4, 2016, rRT-PCR results suggested the presence of Zika virus RNA (cycle threshold value 39), but this same pool was negative for chikungunya virus and DENV RNA by rRT-PCR. After unsuccessful attempts to amplify Zika virus–specific amplicons using previously described Zika virus sequencing primers, we used an unbiased sequencing approach after treatment of virions in mosquito homogenate with cyanase (4). Because we suspected a closely related virus, we next

Table. Comparison of nucleotide and amino acid identities of representative strains of SPONV and Zika virus, Haiti*

| Virus type and nucleotide GenBank accession no. (country of origin, year) | SPONV, GenBank accession no. | Zika virus, GenBank accession no. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                              | MG182017       | DQ859064   | KX227369   | KY989511 | KU501215 | MF384325 |
| SPONV MG182017 (Haiti, 2016)                               | 100            | 98.8       | 96.8       | 70.7     | 70.4     | 70.4     |
| SPONV DQ859064 (South Africa, 1954)                       | 100            | 100        | 97.8       | 70.9     | 70.6     | 70.7     |
| SPONV KX227369 (Nigeria, 1952)                             | 100            |            | 100        | 71.1     | 70.8     | 70.8     |
| Zika virus KY989511 (Uganda, 1947)                        |                |            |            | 100      | 89.0     | 89.0     |
| Zika virus KU501215 (Puerto Rico, 2015)                    |                |            |            | 100      | 99.6     |          |
| Zika virus MF384325 (Haiti, 2016)                          |                |            |            | 100      |          |          |

| Virus type and protein GenBank accession no. (country of origin, year) | SPONV, GenBank accession no. | Zika virus, GenBank accession no. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                                      | AVD68867 | ABI54480 | AOVZ57820 | ARM59240 | AMC13911 | ASF57880 |
| SPONV AVD68867 (Haiti, 2016)                                        | 100      | 98.8     | 98.3      | 74.1     | 74.0     | 74.1     |
| SPONV ABI54480 (South Africa, 1954)                                 | 100      | 100      | 99.1      | 74.9     | 74.7     | 74.8     |
| SPONV AOVZ57820 (Nigeria, 1952)                                     | 100      |          | 100       | 74.9     | 74.8     | 74.9     |
| Zika virus ARM59240 (Uganda, 1947)                                  | 100      |          | 100       | 96.9     | 96.9     |          |
| Zika virus AMC13911 (Puerto Rico, 2015)                             | 100      |          | 100       | 99.8     |          |          |
| Zika virus ASF57880 (Haiti, 2016)                                   | 100      |          | 100       |          |          |          |

*SPONV, Spondweni virus.
tested random hexamers and SPONV-specific primers (online Technical Appendix Table 3), which resulted in formation of virus-specific amplicons (online Technical Appendix). Thereafter, using SPONV primers, we determined a 10,290-nt nearly complete genome and deposited it in GenBank (accession no. MG182017).

The SPONV genome from Haiti shared 10,174 (98.8%) of 10,290 nt identity with a SPONV isolate from mosquitoes in South Africa in 1954 (GenBank accession no. DQ859064) and 9,958 (96.8%) of 10,287 nt identity with the SPONV Chuku strain from blood of a febrile human patient in Nigeria in 1952 (accession no. KX227369) (Table). When compared with the Zika virus reference strain from Uganda (accession no. KY989511), a strain from Puerto Rico (accession no. KU501215), and a strain from Haiti in 2016 (accession no. MF384325), Zika virus and SPONV clearly continue to diverge because the nucleotide and amino acid identities of SPONV are less similar to more recent strains of Zika virus (Table). Few SPONV sequences have been deposited into GenBank, resulting in insufficient information to predict how and when SPONV was introduced in Haiti.

In the Americas and the Caribbean, SPONV is a potential emergent arbovirus and public health threat that manifests clinically with symptoms and signs similar to those of Zika virus infection (2,9). Misdiagnosis has been documented, and it is possible that SPONV has caused human infection in Haiti but has been misidentified as infection from DENV or other arboviruses (9). Little is known about SPONV pathogenesis, host range, and vector competency, especially with vectors present in the Western Hemisphere. Our detection of SPONV in Cx. quinquefasciatus mosquitoes raises questions about the role of this species as a vector for this virus and highlights the need for ongoing surveillance for SPONV infection among humans in the Caribbean, combined with studies of potential vector populations.
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Technical Appendix

Methods

Identification of Spondweni Virus Genomic RNA in Mosquito Homogenate

Virus identity was first attained using an unbiased sequencing approach following treatment of virions in mosquito homogenate with cyanase as outlined (1). Briefly, 50 μL of mosquito homogenate was diluted with phosphate buffered saline to 500 μL and centrifuged twice at 3,000 × g to pellet debris. The cleared sample was concentrated using an Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter unit with an Ultracel-100 membrane with a molecular mass cutoff of 100 kDa (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA) at 4,000 × g for 20 min to a volume of ≈80 μL. The volume of the centrifuged fluid was then adjusted to 100 μL by addition of phosphate buffered saline and treated with cyanase nuclease (RiboSolutions, Inc., Cedar Creek, TX, USA) to degrade nucleic acids external to that packaged (and thus protected) in virions (1), and as a secondary step, rRNA depletion was performed using a GeneRead rRNA Depletion Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) to remove residual rRNA. Viral genomic RNA (and/or DNA) were subsequently extracted from virions using a QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Because it was not known if there was a DNA or RNA virus, both PCR and reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) were performed. For RT-PCR, first-strand synthesis was performed using random 6-mers and an Accuscript High Fidelity 1st strand cDNA kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Similarly, PCR was performed using random hexamers and One Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). PCR amplicons were purified (QIAGEN QIAquick PCR purification kit), and A-tailed with Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) as a precaution since One Taq, which had been used for PCR, is a mixture of a high-fidelity polymerase and Taq, and therefore, not all amplicons may contain 3’ A-tails. The A-tailed PCR amplicons were then TA-cloned using a commercial kit (pCR2.1 vector with 1 shot TOP10 chemically competent...
E. coli cells, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; K204001), and the inserts sequenced using Sanger sequencing. Out of a total of 50 plasmids with TA-cloned inserts, 1 (TA clone 39) contained a 286-bp insert with 98% identity (280/286 nt) with Spondweni virus (GenBank accession no. NC_029055.1): 286-bp Insert Derived from Spondweni virus in TA Clone 39:

5'-GCATGAAAGGTGTGTTCCAAAAAATGTGGCAGTCACAAAAAGGTTCGCGCCTTCGAGTG

GGACGCCTAGATCCATACTGCGGAAACGTGAACAGGATTTGATCTCTTTACTGC

GGACGCCTAGATCCATACTGCGGAAACGTGAACAGGATTTGATCTCTTTACTGC

CGCGACCATGGAA

CTGGAGGGAAATGGGACGGCGTGTGGGAAGTCACAAAAACGTAGCGGCCGCTG

CAGGTGAGCGCGCAGCAGAGACAAAAACCAGGTGTTCAAGACCACGTGCCAGGAAATCGGGCGCTG

CTGGACTTCCCAGGGCGGAAGTTCAGGC

Sequencing Methods

Sequencing of the complete Spondweni virus genome designated Culex quinquefasciatus/Haiti-1/2016 was accomplished using a genome walking strategy based on an approach previously outlined (1) using the PCR primers described in Technical Appendix Table 3. Briefly, due to the limited amount of sample material, our preferred strategy of amplifying amplicons of size range 750–800 bp for direct sequencing (1) was not possible for the entire sequencing effort. Instead, 3 amplicons of that size range were generated using primer pairs F1 and R1, F2 and R2, and F3 and R3, and 1 that was smaller (289 bp) using primer pairs F7 and R7, and these were directly sequenced to attain consensus sequences. The remaining sequences required amplification of larger amplicons (≈3,000 bp) using primer pairs F4 and R4, F5 and R5, and F6 and R6. Amplicons for sequencing were amplified using Accuscript High Fidelity reverse transcription in the presence of SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), followed by PCR with Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) with denaturation steps performed at 98°C. Smaller amplicons (up to ≈800 bp) were thereafter sequenced directly. The longer amplicons were purified, then smaller amplicons within the size range 750–800 prepared from them using the previously mentioned high-fidelity enzymes, and also directly sequenced using a gene walking approach (primers available upon request). To obtain the 5' and 3' ends of the viral genome, we used a 5' and 3' system for the Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocols (Life
Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and with primers identified in Technical Appendix Table 3. Variability at the 5’ and 3’ UTRs made sequence determination impossible using a direct sequencing approach. Sequences were assembled with the aid of Sequencher DNA sequence analysis software v2.1 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The GenBank accession number of Spondweni virus Culex quinquefasciatus/Haiti-1/2016 is MG182017.2.

References

1. Lednicky J, Beau De Rochars VM, El Badry M, Loeb J, Telisma T, Chavannes S, et al. Zika virus outbreak in Haiti in 2014: molecular and clinical data. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10:e0004687. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004687](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004687)

2. Lanciotti RS, Kosoy OL, Laven JJ, Panella AJ, Velez JO, Lambert AJ, et al. Chikungunya virus in US travelers returning from India, 2006. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:764–7. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1305.070015](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1305.070015)

3. Santiago GA, Vergne E, Quiles Y, Cosme J, Vazquez J, Medina JF, et al. Analytical and clinical performance of the CDC real time RT-PCR assay for detection and typing of dengue virus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7:e2311. Erratum in: PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(7). PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002311](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002311)

4. Lanciotti RS, Kosoy OL, Laven JJ, Velez JO, Lambert AJ, Johnson AJ, et al. Genetic and serologic properties of Zika virus associated with an epidemic, Yap State, Micronesia, 2007. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:1232–9. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1408.080287](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1408.080287)
## Technical Appendix Table 1. Primers and probes used for real-time reverse transcription PCR detection of chikungunya, dengue, and Zika viruses

| Protocol reference | Name (fluor) | Sequence |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|
| (2)                | CHIKV_3855F  | GAGCATACGGTTACGCAGATAG |
|                    | CHIKV3957c1  | TACTGGTGATACATGGTGTTTC |
|                    | CHIKV_3957c2 | TGCTGGTGACCATGGTGTTTC |
|                    | CHIKV_3886p1 | ACGAGTAATCTCGTGACTGGAGCTAGA |
|                    | CHIKV_3886p2 | ACGAGTCATCTCGGATTTTGGACGCA |
|                    | DENV-1F      | CAAAGGAAGTGGCGYCAATA |
|                    | DENV-1R      | CTTGGAACCTCCTCGCTCTAAG |
|                    | DENV-1Pr (FAM) | CATTGTGGTGAGGAGCCG |
|                    | DENV-2F      | CAGGCTATGGCATCGTACGAT |
|                    | DENV-2R      | CATYTGAGCARCACCATCTC |
|                    | DENV-2Pr (HEX) | CTCGCACCGATCGACCTCCA |
|                    | DENV-3F      | GAGACTRGACACGAGGGC |
|                    | DENV-3R      | GAGACTRGACACGAGGGC |
|                    | DENV-3Pr (TX Red) | ACCTGGATGTCGAGAGTCG |
|                    | DENV-4F      | TGGTCTATGAGCAGTACTG |
|                    | DENV-4R      | TCCACCCAGACTCCTCCA |
|                    | DENV-4Pr (Cy5) | TYCCTACCGATCGATCGC |
|                    | ZIKV_1086F   | CGGCTGCCCACACAAAG |
|                    | ZIKV_1162R   | CCACACGTCACACACACT |
|                    | ZIKV_1107FAM (FAM) | AGCTCACCGATCGACACTC |

## Technical Appendix Table 2. Zika virus sequencing primers

| Primer name | Sequence 5′–3′ |
|-------------|---------------|
| ZIKV-F1     | ATG AAA AAC CCA AAA AAG AAA TCC |
| ZIKV-R1     | CAA GGC ATG GCA GCT GCT GCT AAC |
| ZIKV-F2     | GAA TAC ACA AAG CAC TGG ATT AGA GTC |
| ZIKV-R2     | GAA CCA CTC CTT GTG AAC CAA CCA GTG |
| ZIKV-F3     | GCT TAT GGG TGG AGC ACA GCC GGG |
| ZIKV-R3     | TCC AAA CAA TGA TTT GAA AGC TGC TAC |
| ZIKV-F3A    | TGG AAG CCT AGG ACT TGA TGG TGA AC |
| ZIKV-R4     | CCA GTA GCC TAG ATC ACT GTG TAC |
| ZIKV-F5     | GGA ACA GCT GTT AAG GGA AAG GAG |
| ZIKV-R5     | CCA ATT AGC TCT GAA GAT AGA AAG TAC |
| ZIKV-F6     | CAT TCA AAG TCA GAC GAG CAG TGC |
| ZIKV-R6     | GCA CCA CTC CTT TTT CCA GTC TTG A |
| ZIKV-F7     | GCA GCT GGA GCG TGG TAC GTA TAC G |
| ZIKV-R7     | GAT TGG GTG ACA TTG ACT GCT G TT G |
| ZIKV-F8     | GCC CTT AGA GGG CTT CCA GTG CTG TAT ATG |
| ZIKV-R8     | GAG GCC ATC TTG GAG GTA AAT TGT G |
| ZIKV-F9     | CAC ACT GGC TTG AAG CAA GAA TCG T |
| ZIKV-R9     | GCC ATT TGG TTG TCG TGG GGA GAT TTT G |
| ZIKV-F10    | GGT GGT CTG CAT ACC TGA GCC AG |
| ZIKV-R10    | CCA AGT AAT TCC CCC TAA AAA TGT TAC AC |
| ZIKV-F11    | CTG GAA CTC CTC TAC AGC CAC TTC AC |
| ZIKV-R11    | GTG GTG GAC ACA CTT TTT ATG GTG TTG |
| ZIKV-F12    | CCC GCA ACT CTA CAA AGA TGC ATG |
| ZIKV-R12    | CTA GCC ACA TAT ACC AAG TGC CGC |
| ZIKV-F13    | GAA TTT GGA AAG GCG AGC AGG AG |
| ZIKV-R13    | GGT GGC GGC AGG GAA CCA CAA TG |
| ZIKV-F14    | CTC CAT CAC AGC GAG GGC AAAC |
| ZIKV-R14    | GCG CGT GGG GTT TTT TGA CTC AGT G |
| ZIKV-F15    | CAT GCT GCC TGT GAG GCC CCC TCG AGA GGA C |
| ZIKV-R15    | CCA CTA GTC CCT CTT CTT GAG ATC C |
| 3 UTR F1    | CTA CCT ATC CAC CCA AGT TGG CTA C |
| 3 UTR F2    | GTG GGC ACC TTC CCC ACC CTT CAA T |
| ZIKA 5 UTR R1 | CAT ATT GAC AAT CGG GAA TCC |

*Data from (1).*
### Technical Appendix Table 3. Spondweni virus sequencing primers*

| Primer name | Sequence, 5′-3′ | Nt position in SPONV reference strain NC_029055.1 |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **5′ RACE roligo** | rArGrCrUrGrG rGrCrGrUrGrG rUrGrG rUrGrG rUrGrG- rGrGrCrC rUrGrC | N/A |
| **5′ RACE-F** | TCGTAGCTACGCGGAAACCGGATGACC | N/A |
| **5′ RACE-R** | TCGCTACGCGGAAACCGGATGACC | N/A |
| **SPONV-F1** | ATGAAGAACCCAAAGAAGGCCGGTA | 1–25 |
| **SPONV-F2** | CCACCAACCCATACCTCGGGATTGC | 778–755 |
| **SPONV-R1** | CATGCCTACAAAGGTTGGAGCC | 661–683 |
| **SPONV-R2** | CCAAGGAGGCAAGTCCACCTCAAC | 1421–1396 |
| **SPONV-F3** | CCACCAACGCAAGGAAGAC | 1339–1359 |
| **SPONV-R3** | CTCCTCTCAAGGATTACCTTC | 2122–2104 |
| **SPONV-F4** | CCAACTTTTTTATTACCACC | 2046–2065 |
| **SPONV-R4** | CGGATGACCTGGGATCACCGTACG | 5007–4981 |
| **SPONV-F5** | GGGGAAATCGGGGCCTTGG | 4885–4867 |
| **SPONV-R5** | CCTCTCAGCAGCAGGACTTGC | 7883–7863 |
| **SPONV-F6** | GCAGAGAACCYYCCAGAAAGAG | 7685–7705 |
| **SPONV-R6** | GACAGGGTGCTTGTCCTCCATG | 10074–10053 |
| **SPONV-F7** | GGAACAGGTGGAGGATCAGA | 10022–10042 |
| **SPONV-R7** | TTACACACACACAGCCTGGC | 10290–10267 |
| **3′ RACE-F** | GCCGAAATGGAATATAGAG | 10207–10228 |
| **3′ RACE-F (T25)** | TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT | N/A |

*N/A, Not applicable; RACE, Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends.*