Quinoline-based clioquinol and nitroxoline exhibit anticancer activity inducing FoxM1 inhibition in cholangiocarcinoma cells

Purpose: Fork head box M1 (FoxM1) is an oncogenic transcription factor frequently elevated in numerous cancers, including cholangiocarcinoma (CCA). A growing body of evidence documents its diverse functions contributing to tumorigenesis and cancer progression. As such, discovery of agents that can target FoxM1 would be valuable for the treatment of CCA. The quinoline-based compounds, namely clioquinol (CQ) and nitroxoline (NQ), represent a new class of anticancer drug. However, their efficacy and underlying mechanisms have not been elucidated in CCA. In this study, anticancer activities and inhibitory effects of CQ and NQ on FoxM1 signaling were explored using CCA cells.

Methods: The effects of CQ and NQ on cell viability and proliferation were evaluated using the colorimetric 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium (MTS assay). Colony formation and cell migration affected by CQ and NQ were investigated using a clonogenic and a wound healing assay, respectively. To demonstrate the agents’ effects on FoxM1 signaling, expression levels of the target genes were quantitatively determined using real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Results: CQ and NQ significantly inhibited cell survival of HuCCT1 and Huh28 in a dose- and time-dependent fashion. Further investigations using the rapidly proliferating HuCCT1 cells revealed significant suppression of cell proliferation and colony formation induced by low doses of the compounds. Treatment of CQ and NQ repressed expression of cyclin D1 but enhanced expression of p21. Most importantly, upon CQ and NQ treatment, expression of oncogenic FoxM1 was markedly decreased concomitant with downregulation of various FoxM1’s downstream targets including cdc25b, CENP-B, and survivin. In addition, the compounds distinctly impaired HuCCT1 migration as well as inhibited expression of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and MMP-9.

Conclusion: Collectively, this study reports for the first time the anticancer effects of CQ and NQ against CCA cells, and highlights new insights into the mechanism of actions of the quinoline-based compounds to disrupt FoxM1 signaling.
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Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is an epithelial malignancy of the bile duct, representing the second most common hepatic malignancy. Incidence and mortality rates of CCA increase progressively with extremely poor prognosis. The majority of CCA cases have a short median survival time of less than 24 months, which could partially result from resistance of CCA to currently available treatment strategies. Presently, surgical resection remains the only curative option for CCA; however, a substantial
proportion of CCA patients are diagnosed with advanced tumor that is not suitable for operation. The first-line chemotherapeutic treatment for inoperable patients is a combination of gemcitabine and cisplatin. However, the efficacy of this standard regimen is still limited, pointing to the need to develop alternative therapeutic options for this devastating malignancy.

Fork head box M1 (FoxM1) is an oncoprogenic transcription factor belonging to the fork head/winged–helix family of transcription factors. Its expression is silenced in terminally differentiated cells, but highly elevated in proliferating and tumor cells. Uprogulation of FoxM1 has been observed in most human malignancies including CCA, cancer of prostate, lung, liver, and breast. In CCA, elevated expression of FoxM1 was ranked among the top genes differentially upregulated in tumor tissues. Besides its critical role regulating transcription of genes involved in G1/S and G2/M transitions of cell cycle, emerging evidence suggests that FoxM1 enhances cancer progression by increasing cancer cell invasion, metastasis, angiogenesis as well as drug resistance. A growing body of evidence suggests that FoxM1 represents a potential target for cancer treatment in which its downregulation results in inhibition of tumor growth, invasion, and angiogenesis as well as increase in chemosensitivity. It has been reported that expression and transcriptional activity of FoxM1 could be inhibited by several proteasome inhibitors including bortezomib, MG132, Siomycin A, and thiostrepton. Additionally, FoxM1 inhibition by Siomycin A resulted in downregulation of several FoxM1’s target genes such as cdc25B, CENP-B, and survivin. This information suggests that any other proteasome inhibitor may have FoxM1 inhibitory activity, and thus represents an option for the potential treatment of CCA that highly upregulates FoxM1.

Clioquinol (5-chloro-7-iodo-8-hydroxyquinoline; CQ) is an antibiotic agent with the proteasome inhibiting property. CQ has been recognized as a novel anticancer drug that is able to disrupt proteasome activity. In recent years, several lines of evidence have revealed its cytotoxicity in various cancer models including leukemia, multiple myeloma, and cancer of prostate, bladder, and breast. CQ has been demonstrated to induce cancer cell death via several mechanisms including inhibition of lysosome, NF-kappa B, histone deacetylases, and mTOR signaling pathway. Investigation of CQ has also been extended to clinical trial of Alzheimer’s disease, suggesting its broad therapeutic efficacies and safe administration in humans. Nitroxoline (5-nitro-8-hydroxyquinoline; NQ), a CQ analog, is a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antibiotic used for the treatment of urinary tract infection. Like CQ, NQ was recently reported to exhibit anticancer activities in cancer models of breast and bladder. In addition to its inhibitory action on tumor growth, the same study demonstrated that NQ inhibited angiogenesis associated with modulation of type 2 methionine aminopeptidase.

In the present study, antitumor effects of CQ and NQ were explored in the human CCA model using in vitro assays. Molecular studies were also conducted to reveal their inhibitory effects on FoxM1 signaling. Herein, reduction of CCA cell survival, proliferation, colony formation, and migration exerted by CQ and NQ were demonstrated. This study also provides novel evidence that expression of FoxM1 and its downstream targets can be suppressed upon exposure of CQ and NQ to CCA cells, suggesting the potential application of CQ and NQ to the treatment of CCA.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell lines and reagents**

The human CCA cell lines, HuCCT1 and Huh28, were purchased from RIKEN (Saitama, Japan) and cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 mg/mL) (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂. CQ, NQ, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were procured from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Thiostrepton was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Stock solutions of CQ, NQ, and thiostrepton were dissolved in DMSO and stored at −20°C in aliquots.

**Cell viability and cell proliferation assay**

Cells were seeded in triplicate wells of 96-well plates at a density of 4×10³ cells per well in 10% FBS-supplemented medium. The next day, the medium was removed and replaced with 100 µL of 10% FBS-supplemented medium containing various concentrations of CQ (20, 25, and 30 µM), NQ (10, 20, and 40 µM) or DMSO (vehicle control). Controls were treated with DMSO at a concentration equal to that of agent-treated cells. Cells were then incubated at 37°C for 24, 48, and 72 hours. Viability of the cells was assessed using the colorimetric 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium (MTS assay) according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, USA). In brief, 20 µL of MTS solution was added to each well, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. The optical density was measured using
Infinite 200 PRO (Tecan Trading AG, Zurich, Switzerland) at 490 nm. The assay was performed in triplicate and repeated three times independently. The percentage of cell viability = (absorbance of compound-treated cells/absorbance of DMSO-treated control) × 100%.

To evaluate cell proliferation, cells were plated at a density of 2×10^3 cells per well in 96-well plates in 100 µL of medium. After treatment with CQ (5 and 10 µM), NQ (2 and 5 µM), or DMSO for 24, 48, and 72 hours, the number of viable cells was determined using MTS assay as described above. The assay was performed three times independently. The proliferation rate = (absorbance of cells at 24, 48, or 72 hours/absorbance of cells at 0 hour) × 100%.

Colony formation assay
Cells were seeded at 1×10^3 cells/well in 6-well plates in duplicate. After 24 hours, culture medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing CQ (5 and 10 µM), NQ (2 and 5 µM), or DMSO. Media containing compounds were changed every 3 days to maintain the compounds’ activity. After 2 weeks of culture, the colonies of cells were fixed with methanol and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. The colonies containing at least 50 cells were considered positive and were counted in each well. At least three independent experiments were performed. The percentage of colony formation = (colony number of compound-treated cells/colony number of DMSO-treated control) × 100%.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
Total RNA was isolated from cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. First-strand cDNAs were synthesized using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Expression of target genes was quantitatively examined using specific primers in combination with SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Expression of target genes was normalized against respective controls. The results were calculated by using the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method.

Western blot analysis
After treatment with CQ (20 and 30 µM), NQ (10 and 20 µM), thioestrepton (10 µM), or DMSO, cells were harvested and lysed in RIPA buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA) in the presence of protease inhibitor cocktail (Cell Signaling Technology). The protein concentration was determined using Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad). Equal amounts of proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk and incubated with FoxM1 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) at 4°C overnight. After washing, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) for 2 hours at room temperature. Signals were detected using the ECL Prime detection system (GE healthcare, Bangkok, Thailand), and β-actin detected with specific antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the loading control.

Wound healing assay
Cells were seeded to 6-well plates and treated with CQ (20 µM), NQ (10 µM), thioestrepton (2.5 µM), or DMSO. At 24 hours after treatment, cells were scratched using a sterile 200 µL pipette tip. The cells were washed with PBS to remove the detached cells, and incubated in fresh media without

Table 1 List of primers used for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

| Gene      | Forward (5′→3′)          | Reverse (5′→3′)          |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| FoxM1     | GCAAGAGATGGAGAGAAAAAGAGA | GGGTCTTCAATCCACGTATAGATG |
| p21       | GACAGCAGAGGAAGACCATGT    | GCTTCCTTGGAGAAGATCAGCC   |
| Cyclin D1 | TGGATGTTGGGCGCTCTAA      | AGTGGTCATGAAATCTGGGCGG   |
| CENP-B    | ATTTGAGATGAGGAAAGGAGAC   | CATCAATGGAAGGAGGTCAG     |
| Cdc25b    | TGGATGGATGGGCTCTTCTTCCC  | ATAGACTGAAAGCGGCTGATT    |
| Survivin  | CACCGCATCTTACATTTCAAGA   | AGGTCTTTGAGCAAGAAGAACA   |
| MMP-2     | CAAGTGAGGAGAAACAGACATCA  | ATACATGAGTGTGGCTCTCCAT   |
| MMP-9     | CGACATCATGCTATCCAGGTGG   | CACAACTCGCTATCATGGAAAT   |
| GAPDH     | GTCGATGGGCGCTCCGTCT      | GGAGGAGTGGGGTGCCTGT     |
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compound at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Wound closure was monitored at various time points under a microscope. The open area at each time point was quantified by ImageJ, and the ratio of open area at 18 hours to that at 0 hour was analyzed. Data were collected from at least three independent experiments.

**Molecular modeling**

Three-dimensional structures of the zinc-coordinated catalytic domain of human MMP-2 and MMP-9 were constructed based on the protein data bank (PDB) codes 3AYU (resolution of 2.00 Å) and 4H3X (resolution of 1.76 Å), respectively. The catalytic domains without the three fibronectin-like modules include the residues Y110–G218 connected with Q393–P449 for MMP-2 and residues F107–G215 connected with Q391–G444 for of MMP-9. Missing side chains were modeled, and hydrogen atoms were added for both protein structures on WHAT–IF web server.

The atomic coordinates of CQ and NQ were taken from the PDB codes 3KCX and 3AI8, respectively, and then were docked into the active site of MMPs using AutoDock4.2.6, in which the rotational bonds of the inhibitors were treated as flexible, whereas those of the protein models were regarded as rigid. Grid boxes were created to cover the substrate-binding site of the protein, where the grid spacing was 0.375 Å, and the coordinating zinc atom in the active site was set as the box center. The Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA) with 100 runs was used as the search parameter, in which the number of population size was set at 150 and the maximum number of energy evaluations was set to the high level. Three-dimensional models of MMP-inhibitor complexes with the lowest binding energy were visualized on PyMOL.

**Statistical analysis**

Data obtained from three independent experiments were expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical analyses were carried out using SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Statistical differences were calculated using student’s t-test or ANOVA, and P-value of <0.05 was defined as statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**CQ and NQ reduce viability of human CCA cells**

To determine the effects of CQ and NQ (Figure 1) on the viability of the human CCA cells, HuCCT1 and Huh28 cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of CQ (0–30 µM) or NQ (0–40 µM), and cell viability was then measured by MTS assay at 24, 48, and 72 hours after incubation. Significantly, CQ treatment exhibited a dose- and time-dependent decrease in the viability of HuCCT1 and Huh28 cells (Figure 2A). Likewise, NQ significantly reduced the viability of the cell lines tested in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Figure 2B). IC₅₀ values of CQ and NQ determined after treatment for 48 hours are shown in Table 2. Notably, the rapidly dividing HuCCT1 cells (Supplementary Figure S1) were more sensitive to both compounds than the quiescent Huh28 cells (IC₅₀ of 2.84 vs 4.69 µM and IC₅₀ of 3.69 vs 4.49 µM for CQ and NQ, respectively). These results indicate that CQ and NQ exert cytotoxic activity toward CCA cells.

**CQ and NQ attenuate proliferation and colony formation of CCA cells**

To further explore antitumor activity of CQ and NQ, the highly proliferative HuCCT1 cells were utilized for the evaluation of cell proliferation and colony formation after exposure to the agents. HuCCT1 cells were initially treated with low concentrations of CQ (5 and 10 µM) or NQ (2 and 5 µM), and cell proliferation rates were then monitored for 3 consecutive days by MTS assay. It was found that noncytotoxic doses of both CQ and NQ significantly suppressed the proliferation rates of HuCCT1 cells compared with controls treated with DMSO (Figure 3A). Subsequently, clonogenic assay revealed that the presence of CQ (5 and 10 µM) or NQ (2 and 5 µM) effectively reduced colony numbers of HuCCT1 cells in a dose-dependent fashion when compared with DMSO-treated controls (Figure 3B). These results consistently support the inhibition of CCA cell growth by the low doses of CQ and NQ.

To explain inhibition of CCA cell proliferation after treatment with CQ and NQ, we sought to analyze expression of the cell cycle-associated molecules including cyclin D1 and p21. Quantitative analysis using real-time polymerase chain reaction demonstrated that cyclin D1 mRNA expression was significantly repressed by CQ and NQ in HuCCT1 and Huh28 cells (Figure 4A). In contrast, expression levels...
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Table 2: IC_{50} values of CQ and NQ for the viability of CCA cells

| Compound | CCA cell line | IC_{50} value (µM) |
|----------|---------------|-------------------|
| CQ       | HuCCT1        | 2.84              |
|          | Huh28         | 4.69              |
| NQ       | HuCCT1        | 3.69              |
|          | Huh28         | 4.49              |

Notes: Cell viability was determined using MTS assay following treatment of CQ and NQ for 48 hours.

Abbreviations: CQ, clioquinol; NQ, nitroxoline; CCA, cholangiocarcinoma; MTS, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2H-tetrazolium; IC_{50}, half maximal inhibitory concentration.

Figure 2: Cytotoxic effects of CQ and NQ on CCA cells.

Notes: HuCCT1 and Huh28 cells were treated with (A) CQ (20, 25, and 30 µM) or (B) NQ (10, 20, and 40 µM) for 24, 48, and 72 hours (h). Viability of the compound-treated cells was compared with that of control treated with DMSO and expressed as a percentage of control. Results represent mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: CQ, clioquinol; NQ, nitroxoline; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; SD, standard deviation; CCA, cholangiocarcinoma.

...of p21 mRNA were enhanced by both compounds in the cell lines tested (Figure 4B). These results suggest that treatment of CQ and NQ can modulate expression of the cell cycle regulatory molecules.

CQ and NQ downregulate FoxM1 expression

Attenuation of FoxM1 results in inhibition of tumor progression.\(^1\text{8,21–23}\) It has been previously shown that its expression can be targeted by several classes of proteasome inhibitors.\(^2\text{4}\) It was therefore hypothesized whether CQ, which exhibits antitumor actions and acts as proteasome inhibitor, can target FoxM1. Initially, FoxM1 expression in HuCCT1 and Huh28 was determined, and its high expression was clearly observed in HuCCT1 (Supplementary Figure S2A and B). For this reason, HuCCT1 was utilized for subsequent experiments. To explore the suppressive effects of CQ on FoxM1 expression, FoxM1 mRNA in HuCCT1 cells exposed to various concentrations of CQ (20, 25, and 30 µM) for 8 hours was quantitatively assessed using real-time polymerase chain reaction. Interestingly, FoxM1 mRNA was significantly downregulated by CQ in a dose-dependent manner when compared with the control treated with DMSO (Figure 5A). A time-dependent effect of CQ (25 µM) on FoxM1 expression was also observed at varying incubation times (4, 6, and 8 hours) (Figure 5B). These findings prompted us to suspect that NQ, which is CQ analog sharing the common core structure of 8-hydroxyquinoline, may also carry an inhibitory effect on FoxM1. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction...
Figure 3 CQ and NQ inhibit proliferation and colony formation of CCA cells.

Notes: (A) Proliferation rate of HuCCT1 was retarded by 5 and 10 µM CQ (top), or 2 and 5 µM NQ (bottom) compared with control treated with DMSO. Proliferation rate was monitored at 24, 48, and 72 hours (h) and normalized with time 0. (B) HuCCT1 cells were grown in 6-well plates (1×10^4 cells/well) and cultured in the presence of CQ (5 and 10 µM), NQ (2 and 5 µM), or DMSO (top panel). Number of colony is expressed as a percentage of control (bottom panel). Bars represent mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: CQ, clioquinol; NQ, nitroxoline; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; SD, standard deviation; CCA, cholangiocarcinoma.

Figure 4 Changes in expression levels of cyclin D1 and p21 induced by CQ and NQ.

Notes: CQ (25 and 50 µM for 8 hours) and NQ (10 and 20 µM for 8 hours) downregulated cyclin D1 mRNA (A), whereas upregulated p21 mRNA (B) in HuCCT1 and Huh28 cells. The mRNA levels were normalized to GAPDH reference gene. Results are presented as fold-change relative to control (cells treated with DMSO). Data are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Abbreviations: CQ, clioquinol; NQ, nitroxoline; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; SD, standard deviation.
Figure 5 Downregulation of FoxM1 expression mediated by CQ and NQ treatment in HuCCT1.

Notes: (A) A dose-dependence inhibition of FoxM1 mRNA after exposure to 20, 25, and 30 µM CQ for 8 hours and (B) a time-dependence reduction in FoxM1 mRNA induced by 25 µM CQ for 4, 6, and 8 hours. Decrease in expression of FoxM1 mRNA induced by (C) 20, 30, and 40 µM NQ for 8 hours or (D) 30 µM NQ for 4, 6, and 8 hours. Results are presented as fold-change relative to control cells treated with DMSO. Data are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (E) Inhibition of FoxM1 protein in HuCCT1 cells exposed to CQ (20, 30 µM) and NQ (10, 20 µM) for 24 hours. β-actin was used as a sample loading control.

Abbreviations: CQ, clioquinol; NQ, nitroxoline; SD, standard deviation; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.

revealed that treatment of HuCCT1 with either increasing doses of NQ (20, 30, and 40 µM for 8 hours) or varying incubation times (30 µM for 4, 6, and 8 hours) resulted in a significant decrease in FoxM1 mRNA levels in a dose- and time-dependent fashion (Figure 5C and D). In addition, western blot analysis demonstrated reduction of FoxM1 protein induced by CQ and NQ, further confirming suppressive activity of the agents on FoxM1 expression (Figure 5E). These results provide evidence that CQ and NQ can reduce both transcriptional and translational expressions of FoxM1.

CQ and NQ suppress expression of CENP-B, cdc25B, and survivin

To further verify the inhibition of FoxM1 signaling following the treatment of CQ and NQ, the downstream target genes regulated by FoxM1 including CENP-B, cdc25B, and survivin, were quantitatively examined. Remarkably, mRNA levels of CENP-B, cdc25B, and survivin were significantly decreased in HuCCT1 cells after exposure to either CQ (20, 25, and 30 µM) or NQ (20, 30, and 40 µM) (Figure 6A and B, respectively). These inhibitory effects of
CQ and NQ were similar to that of known FoxM1 inhibitor thiostrepton,\(^4\) which dramatically reduced the expression levels of FoxM1 (Figure 7A and C) and its downstream targets (Figure 7B). Collectively, these results indicate that CQ, NQ, and thiostrepton can inhibit the expression of FoxM1's targets concomitant with the downregulation of FoxM1 in CCA cells.

**CQ and NQ inhibit CCA cell migration**

FoxM1 has been implicated in diverse cellular processes, including cell migration. FoxM1 inhibition mediated by CQ and NQ prompted us to further explore the effects of both compounds on migration of CCA cells. The wound healing assay was conducted in HuCCT1 cells that were scratched after treatment with CQ (20 \(\mu\)M), NQ (10 \(\mu\)M), or DMSO (control) for 24 hours. Significant delay of wound closure by CQ and NQ was observed after 18 hours (Figure 8A and B). Measurement of the wounded area showed that open area in the controls was dramatically reduced from 100% to 28%, whereas CQ-treated cells only reduced to 78% (Figure 8D). Likewise, open area in NQ-treated cells was reduced from 100% to 44%, whereas that of the control was reduced from 100% to 29% (Figure 8E). Inhibition of wound healing was also observed in HuCCT1 exposed to 2.5 \(\mu\)M thiostrepton, in which its open area was significantly larger than that of control treated with DMSO (84% vs 27%) after 18 hours (Figure 8C and F). These data indicate that CQ, NQ, and thiostrepton attenuate the motility of CCA cells, presumably relating to suppression of FoxM1 expression by the compounds.

**CQ and NQ downregulate MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression**

To investigate underlying mechanisms that could be involved in the inhibition of CCA cell motility observed, we next examined expression of MMP-2 and MMP-9, members of the zinc-dependent endopeptidase family, which are critical
for cell invasion and migration and regulated by FoxM1.\textsuperscript{42,43} Compared with the DMSO-treated control, CQ and NQ treatment resulted in a reduction in MMP-2 and MMP-9 expression in HuCCT1 (Figure 9A and B). Similarly, suppression of these two enzymes was also found in thiostrepton-treated HuCCT1 cells (Figure 9C). These suggest that downregulation of MMP-2 and MMP-9 by the compounds may be associated with the inhibition of CCA cell migration, and that could also be partially mediated through FoxM1 inhibition.

**CQ and NQ directly bind to the Zn-coordinated active site of MMP-2 and MMP-9**

There is evidence that CQ and NQ were found to interact with metal-binding enzymes such as histone deacetylases and methionine aminopeptidases.\textsuperscript{33,37} Since MMP-2 and MMP-9 contain the catalytic zinc that is coordinated by three histidines at the active site, it would be interesting to investigate whether CQ and NQ can directly interact with the active sites of MMP-2 and MMP-9. We employed a molecular docking method to predict the interaction of the two compounds with the enzymes. Binding mode analyses revealed that the nitro group of NQ obviously interacted with the catalytic zinc as well as three coordinated histidines H403/H407/H413 of MMP-2 and H401/H405/H411 of MMP-9 (Figure 9D and E). In addition, the $\pi$–anion interaction between the quinoline ring of NQ and the carboxylic side chain of catalytic aspartates (E404 and E402 of MMP-2 and MMP-9, respectively) was observed. The hydroxyl group of NQ also formed several hydrogen bonds with nearby active site residues. In contrast, CQ bound to the active site of the enzymes with different orientations in which the position of the quinoline ring of CQ was nearly perpendicular to that observed in the NQ docking, and thus the $\pi$–cation interaction of the CQ quinoline ring with zinc and $\pi$–$\pi$ interactions of the ring with coordinated histidines seemed to dominate such interaction (Figure 9F and G). Also, many hydrogen bonds via the hydroxyl group and van der Waals contacts via chloride and iodide moieties

---

**Figure 7** Thiostrepton inhibits expression of FoxM1, CENP-B, cdc25b, and survivin in HuCCT1.

**Notes:** The mRNA levels of (A) FoxM1 and (B) FoxM1’s targets including CENP-B, cdc25b, and survivin were determined in HuCCT1 treated with 10 $\mu$M thiostrepton for 12 hours. Results are presented as fold-change relative to DMSO-treated control. Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments. **P $<$ 0.01.

**C** Western blot analysis of FoxM1 protein after treatment of HuCCT1 cells with 10 $\mu$M thiostrepton for 24 hours. $\beta$-actin was used as a sample loading control.

**Abbreviations:** SD, standard deviation; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.
of CQ were observed. These results suggest that CQ and NQ could interact with MMP-2 and MMP-9, and such interactions may contribute to the inhibition of both enzymes.

**Discussion**

In recent years, repositioning of the quinoline-based compounds CQ and NQ as an effective agent for treatment of cancer has gained much attention. Although a number of studies have highlighted their promising efficacy in various cancer models, their antitumor activity in CCA has not been explored so far. The present study demonstrates the first evidence suggesting that CQ and NQ could act as anticancer drug against CCA. Herein, CQ and NQ were found to effectively reduce viability, proliferation, and colony formation.

Figure 8 CQ, NQ, and thiostrepton inhibit HuCCT1 cell migration in a wound healing assay.

**Notes:** HuCCT1 cells treated with (A) 20 µM CQ, (B) 10 µM NQ, (C) 2.5 µM thiostrepton or DMSO were wounded. Phase-contrast pictures of the wounds were taken at 0 and 18 hours (h), and the open areas of the wound were quantified by ImageJ. Significant decrease in the wound closure rate of HuCCT1 cells treated with (D) CQ, (E) NQ, and (F) thiostrepton was observed at 18 hours compared with DMSO-treated control. *P<0.05; **P<0.01 vs DMSO-treated control.

**Abbreviations:** CQ, clioquinol; NQ, nitroxoline; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide.
of CCA cells in a dose-dependent manner. Several factors are involved in controlling cell proliferation. Cyclin D1 has a central role in cell cycle progression, linking to continuing cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{44} The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 (p21\textsuperscript{WAF1/Cip1}) promotes cell cycle arrest, halting cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{45} Therefore, changes in expression levels of cyclin D1 and p21 in response to treatment of CQ and NQ could be associated with inhibition of CCA cell proliferation observed.

Like most carcinomas, gene expression analyses showed upregulation of FoxM1 in CCA tissues.\textsuperscript{8,11} FoxM1 has a well-defined function in cell proliferation.\textsuperscript{12–14} Our finding showed that FoxM1 expression was intensely detected in the rapidly dividing HuCCT1 cells and almost absent in the extremely slowly proliferating Huh28 cells, suggesting that FoxM1 might be one of the factors influencing proliferation of CCA cells. Since FoxM1 not only confers growth advantages on tumor cells but also promotes tumor progression,\textsuperscript{15–20} compounds that hold suppressive property on FoxM1 signaling would be of great interest as they may offer an alternative choice for treatment of such cancers. In accordance with the previous study that FoxM1 is a common target of proteasome
inhibitors, our results indicated that CQ, which exhibits the proteasome inhibitory function, potently inhibited the expression of FoxM1 as well as its target genes including cdc25b, CENP-B, and survivin in CCA cells. Moreover, NQ, which is the structurally related analog of CQ, elicited its function on FoxM1 in the same manner. Cdc25b was found to be overexpressed in cancers and associated with a poor prognosis. Survivin is an antiapoptotic protein highly detected in numerous cancers, including CCA. Their suppression induced by CQ and NQ likely supports the inhibition of CCA cell survival and proliferation observed.

Cell migration is one of the initial steps in tumor metastasis and is a critical process that can be inhibited by FoxM1 depletion. Our results showed that CQ and NQ significantly delayed the migration rates of CCA cells and reduced the expression levels of MMP-2 and MMP-9. It has been known that MMP-2 and MMP-9 can promote the migration of cancer cells through surrounding extracellular matrix via their enzymatic degradation and that their expression can be regulated by FoxM1. The known FoxM1 inhibitor thiostrepton was also tested, and it was observed that thiostrepton not only inhibited FoxM1 expression, but also suppressed MMP-2 and MMP-9 expressions, supporting the link between FoxM1 and the two MMPs. Besides, thiostrepton could significantly attenuate CCA cell migration that might be attributed to deregulation of MMP-2 and MMP-9. Notably, the activity of CQ and NQ resembles that of thiostrepton; we therefore suggest the potentials of CQ and NQ as anticancer drug that can target FoxM1 signaling.

In addition to in vitro experimental investigations, our molecular modeling revealed that CQ and NQ could be well docked to the catalytic domain of MMP-2 and MMP-9, and this binding evidently interfered with the zinc-coordinated active site of the enzymes, suggesting the possibility of the compounds to directly inhibit of MMP catalytic function. Nevertheless, this computational prediction needs to be experimentally validated.

**Conclusion**

In summary, our findings indicated that the antibiotics CQ and NQ possess anticancer activities that can inhibit CCA cell viability, proliferation, colony formation, and migration. In addition, we reported, for the first time, inhibitory effects of CQ and NQ on FoxM1 signaling. The safe administration of CQ in the clinical trial of Alzheimer’s disease and the safe clinical use of NQ as an antimicrobial drug suggest their promising therapeutic applications for CCA treatment. Further in-depth studies in animal models of CCA are warranted to elucidate the therapeutic efficacies of CQ and NQ. Moreover, further investigations of CQ and NQ effects that directly inhibit FoxM1 transcriptional activity are required to demonstrate their potential function as FoxM1 inhibitor, which would be beneficial for the management of numerous carcinomas highly expressing FoxM1.
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Supplementary materials

Figure S1 Proliferation rates of HuCCT1 and Huh28.

Notes: Proliferation of the CCA cells was monitored using MTS assay. Cell proliferation rates at 24, 48, and 72 hours (h) were normalized against time 0.

Abbreviations: CCA, cholangiocarcinoma; MTS, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-y1)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium.

Figure S2 (Continued)
Figure S2 Expression of FoxM1 in HuCCT1 and Huh28.

Notes: (A) Immunocytochemistry of HuCCT-1 and Huh28 cells grown on chamber slide. The green signal of FITC indicates FoxM1 localized in nuclei. The photographs were overlaid with the red signal of PI showing the nuclear counterstain. (B) Western blot against FoxM1 in whole cell lysate of HuCCT-1 and Huh28. β-actin was used as a sample loading control.

Abbreviations: PI, propidium iodide; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate.