Change of access to emergency care in a repopulated village after the 2011 Fukushima nuclear disaster: a retrospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Sustaining emergency care access is of great concern. The aim of this study is to evaluate access to emergency care in a repopulated village following the 2011 Fukushima disaster.

Design This research was a retrospective observational study. The primary outcome measure was total emergency medical services (EMS) time. A Bayesian time series analysis was performed to consider local time series trend and seasonality.

Setting The residents in Kawauchi Village, Fukushima, Japan were forced to evacuate after the 2011 Fukushima disaster. As the radiation dose was an acceptable level, the residents began the process of repopulation in April 2012.

Participants This study included patients transported by EMS from January 2009 to October 2015. Patients transported during the evacuation period (from March 2011 to March 2012) were excluded.

Results A total of 781 patients were transferred by EMS (281 patients before the disaster, 416 after repopulation and 84 during the evacuation period). A Bayesian time series analysis revealed an increase in total EMS time, from the first request call to arrival at a hospital of 21.85 min (95% credible interval 14.2–29.0, Bayesian one-sided tail-area probability p=0.001). After the disaster, 42.3% of patients were transported to a partner hospital.

Conclusions Total EMS time increased after repopulation of the area affected because of a massive number of hospital closures. Proactive partnerships would be a possible countermeasure in the affected areas after a major disaster.

INTRODUCTION

Equitable access should be pursued in healthcare services, as the disparity of access to the healthcare affects patients’ outcomes. Access to healthcare is reportedly susceptible to patients’ factors such as symptoms, severity, patients’ values and preferences, financial burdens and geographical distance. Furthermore, there exist additional extrinsic factors, for example, sudden hospital closures, weather conditions and natural disasters.

In limited health resource areas, healthcare access is vulnerable to extrinsic factors. For example, it has been reported that access to haemodialysis care in rural areas was likely to be poorer than in urban areas due to fewer alternative facilities. It is crucial but challenging to sustain equity of rural healthcare access in the face of these factors.

Sustaining emergency care access in rural areas is of great concern. It has been reported that most rural trauma deaths occur before arrival at hospital. Japan’s government reported that the nationwide mean total emergency medical services (EMS) time from the first request call to hospital arrival was 39.4 min in 2015, yet there were patients taking more than 60 min, especially in remote areas. In rural areas, health resources are limited and access is susceptible to extrinsic factors; it is not known how such extrinsic factors affect access, nor are there specific strategies to maintain access when extrinsic factors deteriorate healthcare facilities.
In March 2011, an earthquake and tsunami struck the north-eastern area of Japan, and were followed by an accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (FDNPP). After the Fukushima disaster, residents of 12 municipalities, local government by each municipality unit (city, town and village) in Japan, near the FDNPP were forced to evacuate. A variety of health issues were highlighted after the disaster. Kawauchi Village, Fukushima, is located 12–30 km south-west of the FDNPP. Even before the Fukushima disaster, there was only a clinic for primary care; most emergency cases were transferred to outside hospitals belonging to the same medical zone (see online supplementary figure 1). As the postdisaster radiation dose of Kawauchi Village was at an acceptable level to live in, former residents started to return from April 2012. Kawauchi Village had originally limited health resources, and this was strongly affected by the disaster because most of the hospitals in Futaba District were forced to close.

EMS time is one of the useful measures by which to assess emergency care access by local residents. In Japan, Fire and Disaster Management Agency undertakes EMS including ambulance services. A local EMS governed by the Regional Fire Department in each medical zone is thus the sole public system to provide emergency transport for patients. When there are a limited number of nearby emergency hospitals available, particularly in remote areas, municipalities largely depend on EMS to transport emergency patients, as reported in the previous study. Thus, examining the EMS time of Kawauchi Village across the disaster is useful to assess the impact of the abrupt closure of emergency hospitals nearby on emergency care access in rural areas and consider countermeasures for such extrinsic factors.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the access to emergency medicine in rural areas affected by the Fukushima disaster. We hypothesised that there were changes in transport destination and EMS time between the periods before and after the disaster. We compared the EMS time using the transportation records of Kawauchi Village before the disaster to after repopulation.

**METHODS**

**Design, participants and setting**

This research is a retrospective observational study using data extracted from EMS transportation records collected by the EMS workers at Futaba Regional Fire Department. The inclusion criteria of this study were EMS calls requesting assistance from Kawauchi Village from January 2009 to October 2015. To evaluate the EMS time for residents in Kawauchi Village, we excluded patients during the mandatory evacuation period (from 11 March 2011 to 31 March 2012), because the backgrounds of these patients, who included reconstruction workers and public officials, did not live in the village and would introduce bias. Period 1 from 1 January 2009 to 10 March 2011 was defined as ‘before disaster’, and period 2 from 1 April 2012 to 31 October 2015 was defined as ‘after repopulation’.

Kawauchi Village is located in a mountainous area 12–30 km south-west of FDNPP and was offered to evacuate by the Japanese government after the Fukushima disaster (see online supplementary figure 1). The former residents started returning to the village in April 2012, and 1684 of a total of 2746 residents before the disaster had returned to the village as of 1 October 2015 (see online supplementary figure 2). However, evacuation remains in force for areas with EMS hospitals in Futaba District, so access to healthcare remains suboptimal. Many of the facilities (including four hospitals acceptable for EMS before the disaster) in Futaba District were forced to close (see online supplementary figure 2). Moreover, Kawauchi Village is designated as a remote area by the Japanese government. Remote areas are defined as regions with difficulty in securing medical care, such as mountainous regions, remote islands and depopulated areas. The plan for health and medical services in remote areas provided prefectural offices to support medical services in remote areas. In 2015, the population density of Kawauchi Village (10.20 people per km²) was lower than that of the national average (340.80 people per km²) and surrounding areas such as Koriyama City (443.00 people per km²), Iwaki City (284.30 people per km²) and Hirata Village (69.60 people per km²) (see online supplementary figure 1). Kawauchi National Health Insurance Clinic was the sole institution providing primary care in the village. Before the disaster, one full-time physician managed all the patients who visited the clinic. After the disaster, the clinic closed for 1 year and resumed operations from April 2012 at the time of repopulation. A full-time physician and four part-time specialised doctors (a gastroenterologist, an orthopaedist, an ophthalmologist and a psychiatrist) work in the clinic. As previously reported, chronic diseases (eg, hypertension, dyslipidaemia and back pain) were the main causes of patients’ visit. There are some walk-in patients with acute symptoms and transported emergency patients who have very mild symptoms.

In Futaba District, there were four emergency hospitals before the disaster. The hospitals did not have medical doctors serving exclusively at an emergency department. Each hospital operated a rota system for emergency care by medical doctors from other departments. The numbers of medical doctors in the four hospitals were 11, 11, 5 and 4. The numbers of general beds for these four hospitals were 146, 120, 42 and 36. In Futaba District, there was a total of 75 medical doctors per 72822 residents before the disaster. After the disaster, these four hospitals were closed. In April 2018, Futaba Medical Center opened for emergency care in Futaba District. During this research period after repopulation, there were no hospitals in Futaba District accepting emergency patients from Kawauchi Village. In Hirata Village, there was one hospital that also used a rota system for emergency care before and after the disaster. Until 2014, one medical
Variables
The primary outcome measure was total time from the initial EMS call to arrival at a hospital. Each time segment of total EMS time was divided as follows (see online supplementary figure 3): response time is time from the first EMS request to contact with the patient at the scene; on-scene time is time from contact to departure from the scene; and transport time is time from departure from the scene to arrival at a hospital. In cases of transport by emergency medical helicopter, the time when patients were delivered to emergency doctors in the helicopter is considered to be the time of arrival.

The following variables were collected in this study: sex; age; need for hospitalisation; severity (mild (no need for admission), moderate (need for admission for less than 3 weeks), severe (need for admission for periods more than or equal to 3 weeks) and death); number of hospitals called until accepted by a hospital; travel distance to the hospital from the scene (km); locations of hospitals to which patients are transferred; distance to the hospital, time of day (daytime (08:00–15:59), evening (16:00–23:59) and morning (00:00–07:59)); whether the incident occurred on a weekend or Japanese national holiday; season (spring (March–May), summer (June–August), autumn (September–November) and winter (December–February)); and snow accumulation on the road (defined by Kawauchi Village). Need for hospitalisation was decided by the attending emergency room doctor based on the patient’s severity. This study considered a total of 781 EMS calls from Kawauchi Village.

Analytical methods
Comparison of EMS time and patient characteristics
To compare EMS time and patient characteristics between periods 1 and 2, t-test was used for continuous variables and \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical variables.

Bayesian time series analysis of total EMS time
To evaluate the increase in total EMS time between periods 1 and 2 while considering local time series trend and seasonality, a Bayesian time series analysis was performed. Median total EMS time for each month from January 2009 to October 2015 was used as a time series data set. Using R V.3.3.2 (http://www.r-project.org) and CausalImpact package (Google LLC, California, USA), we created a state space model for the counterfactual prediction that no disaster or evacuation would occur using data from period 1, and causal impact was calculated by subtracting this from the observed data in period 2.\(^{25}\) Using a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm, we obtained point estimates and 95% credible intervals for the whole period.\(^{25}\) To test whether total EMS time increased after repopulation compared with that before the disaster, we calculated one-sided tail-area probability. To adjust for seasonal variations, 12-month periods were divided into four seasons.

Comparison of facilities for transportation between period 1 and period 2
We further compared the number and percentage of patients transported to each hospital between periods 1 and 2 in geographical terms. Facilities to which fewer than five patients were transported were omitted to protect the confidentiality of personal information.

Multivariable analysis to assess the factors affecting total EMS time after the disaster
A multivariable regression model was used to identify factors affecting total EMS time in period 1 and period 2. The objective variable was total EMS time, and the following variables that could affect total EMS time were included as explanatory variables: sex, age, need for hospitalisation, number of hospitals called, travel distance, time of day, whether the incident occurred on a weekend or national holiday and snow accumulation on the road. As the duration of hospitalisation (mild or moderate severity) was simply assumed by the attending emergency room doctor and was not necessarily the actual length of hospitalisation, we used need for hospitalisation. Season was excluded for multivariable analysis because snow accumulation, a major seasonal factor, was included. We assumed a negative binomial distribution, which could be used for count data with outliers.\(^{26}\) All complete cases were used for multivariable analyses.

Two-tailed p values of \( <0.05 \) were considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using R V.3.3.2 (http://www.r-project.org). Geospatial data were converted into longitude and latitude using Google Maps (Google LLC, California, USA), and plotted using ArcGIS V.10.2 (Esri Japan, Tokyo, Japan).

Patient and public involvement
The authors worked with Kawauchi Village government officers and EMS workers in the conceptualisation of this study and interpretation of the results. Beyond this, there was no patient or public involvement in this study.

Ethics statement
This study was approved.

RESULTS
EMS time and patient characteristics
There were 881 EMS calls in total from Kawauchi Village between January 2009 and October 2015. One hundred calls without a transport destination were excluded because patients were not delivered to a hospital (16 in 2009, 12 in 2010, 14 in 2011, 10 in 2012,
13 in 2013, 15 in 2014 and 20 in 2015). There were a total of 781 transported patients from Kawauchi Village (118 in 2009, 133 in 2010, 105 in 2011, 81 in 2012, 124 in 2013, 104 in 2014 and 116 in 2015). Eighty-four calls made during the evacuation period were excluded. There were 281 eligible EMS calls in period 1 and 416 in period 2.

Table 1 describes the characteristics of EMS transport in periods 1 and 2. There was a difference in mean total EMS time (69.7 vs 90.4 min, p<0.001). For the time segments, response time showed no significant difference (11.0 vs 10.2, p=0.145); on-scene time (17.0 vs 21.6, p<0.001) and transport time was different (41.7 vs 58.6, p<0.001). Figure 1 shows a time series plot of total EMS time. Comparison of patient characteristics shows that distance was the only significantly different variable between periods 1 and 2 (33.6 vs 40.3 km, p<0.001).

Table 1  Emergency medical services time and patient characteristics of emergency medical service transport before the disaster and after repopulation

| Emergency medical services time | Before disaster (n=281)† | After repopulation (n=416)‡ | P value§ |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Total time (minutes)           | Mean 69.7 SD 25.9         | Mean 90.4 SD 33.7           | <0.001*** |
| Response time (minutes)        | Mean 11.0 SD 7.0          | Mean 10.2 SD 7.3            | 0.145   |
| On-scene time (minutes)        | Mean 17.0 SD 10.0         | Mean 21.6 SD 12.3           | <0.001*** |
| Transport time (minutes)       | Mean 41.7 SD 21.5         | Mean 58.6 SD 27.2           | <0.001*** |

| Patient characteristics         | Continuous variable      | Categorical variable | n       | %       | n       | %       |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 70.4 SD 19.7        | Sex                  | 140     | 49.8%   | 238     | 57.5%   |
| Number of called hospitals      | Mean 1.9 SD 1.8          | Male                 | 141     | 50.2%   | 176     | 42.3%   |
| Distance                        | Mean 33.6 SD 16.0        | Female               | 141     | 50.2%   | 176     | 42.3%   |
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 68.6 SD 20.5        | Need for hospitalisation (+) | 180     | 64.1%   | 241     | 57.9%   |
| Number of called hospitals      | Mean 1.8 SD 1.5          | Mild (no need for hospitalisation) | 101     | 35.9%   | 175     | 42.1%   |
| Distance                        | Mean 40.3 SD 18.4        | Moderate (hospitalisation <3weeks) | 133     | 47.3%   | 185     | 44.5%   |
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 41.7 SD 21.5        | Severe (hospitalisation ≥3weeks) | 38      | 13.5%   | 41      | 9.9%    |
| Number of called hospitals      | Mean 58.6 SD 27.2        | Death                | 9       | 3.2%    | 15      | 3.6%    |
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 61.9 SD 20.5        | Time of day           | Daytime (08:00–15:59) | 141     | 50.2%   | 202     | 48.6%   |
| Number of called hospitals      | Mean 1.8 SD 1.5          | Evening (16:00 pm–23:59) | 92      | 32.7%   | 133     | 32.0%   |
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 41.7 SD 21.5        | Morning (00:00–07:59)  | 48      | 17.1%   | 81      | 19.5%   |
| Number of called hospitals      | Mean 58.6 SD 27.2        | Season               | Spring  | 66      | 23.5%   | 93      | 22.4%   |
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 61.9 SD 20.5        | Winter               | Winter  | 92      | 32.7%   | 111     | 26.7%   |
| Number of called hospitals      | Mean 1.8 SD 1.5          | Weekends or holidays (+) | 107     | 38.1%   | 134     | 32.2%   |
| Age (years old)                 | Mean 61.9 SD 20.5        | Snow accumulation (+) | 6       | 2.1%    | 6       | 1.4%    |

Data were missing for distance (two patients in the before-disaster group and 16 in the after-repopulation group) and sex (0 and 2).
†30 patients before disaster.
‡56 patients after repopulation, and 14 patients during the evacuation period were excluded.
§Significance codes: ***<0.001.
Figure 1 Time series plot of total emergency medical services time.

Bayesian time series inference of causal impact of the disaster and evacuation on total EMS time

Figure 2 shows the results of a time series analysis of the impact of the disaster and repopulation using monthly median of total EMS time. After repopulation, total EMS time had an average monthly median value of 88.6 min. Using a Bayesian time series analysis, we would have expected a total EMS time in period 2 of 66.8 min (95% credible interval: 59.6–74.5 min), in the counterfactual prediction that there was no disaster. Subtracting this prediction from the observed total EMS time yields an estimate of the significant increase of 21.9 min (95% credible interval: 14.2–29.0 min) (Bayesian one-sided tail-area probability p=0.001).

Changes in hospitals where the patients were transported

Figure 3 describes the transport destinations in periods 1 and 2. Before the disaster, 80.4% of patients were transported to facilities in Futaba District (including 1.8% to Kawauchi Village clinic). By contrast, with several closures of EMS hospitals following the disaster, 42.3% of patients were delivered to the hospital in Hirata Village and 29.6% to Koriyama City.

Multivariable analysis

Table 2 shows the results of a multivariable analysis. A negative binomial generalised regression model revealed that the following factors were associated with the total EMS time. Before the disaster, the effects of weekends and holidays (estimate 5.9 min, p=0.004) compared with weekdays, number of hospitals called (5.1 min per call, p<0.001) and distance (10.1 min per 10 km increase, p<0.001) were significant. Although age also showed statistical significance, the coefficient was small (an age increase of 10 years corresponds to a 1 min increase). After repopulation, the effects of evening (7.8 min, p<0.001), morning (12.9 min, p<0.001), snow accumulation on the road (132.1 min, p<0.001), number of called hospitals (6.7 min per call, p<0.001) and distance (8.0 min. per 10 km, p<0.001) were significant.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we revealed that the total EMS time increased after the repopulation period compared with that before the disaster in Kawauchi Village. Yet, the destination of the EMS was secured through the shift of the main emergency care site from Futaba District to Hirata Village and Koriyama City following repopulation.

With a drastic change of access to emergency care, total EMS time was increased after repopulation. Among the three segments of total EMS time, transport time was the longest segment. There was an increase in transport time after the disaster with greater distances. The increased distance was associated with changes in transport destinations. A geographical comparison between two periods showed a drastic change of hospitals for patient transport before and after the disaster. First, there was an increase in the number of patients transported to Koriyama City, possibly because the residents tended to receive medical care in Koriyama City as Kawauchi Village’s temporary evacuation shelter was located there. More importantly, 42.3% of all EMS patients transported were delivered to a hospital in Hirata Village after the disaster, while previously, no EMS patients had been accepted there. In the present case, Kawauchi Village developed a partnership with a hospital in Hirata Village before repopulation. It has been reported that there was a surge in the number of patients after disasters. Moreover, the distance to the hospital was associated with emergency patient distribution after the disaster. Although there was no sharp rise in the total number of ambulance calls after the disaster in the whole Fukushima, there was a delay...
in EMS transportation in the surrounding areas owing to the hospital closure. In rural areas, transport time would account for an important segment of total EMS time owing to the scarcity of hospitals. Proactive partnerships with a nearby hospital would thus be crucial for EMS transport in rural areas when the nearby facilities close abruptly.

Deciding the transport destination would be an important issue in limited-resource areas. As for the other two EMS time segments, on-scene time increased after the disaster while response time did not. Response time did not significantly change between the two periods, which was consistent with previous research immediately after the disaster. These results indicated that the initial performance of EMS

| Table 2 | Multivariable analysis for total emergency medical services time before the disaster and after repopulation |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
|          | **Before disaster**                                                                                     | **After repopulation**                  |          | **Estimates**‡ (95% CI) | **P value**‡* |
|          | **P value**‡*                                                                                           | **Estimates** (95% CI)                | **P value** |
| Constant§ |                                                                                                           | 13.3 (4.2 to 22.8)                 | 0.003**  | 38.1 (30.0 to 46.6)  | <0.001***     |
| Continuous variable |                                                                                                           |                                      |          |                          |               |
| Age      | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.2)                                                                                         | 0.045*                               | 0.1 (0.0 to 0.1) | 0.21                     |
| Number of hospitals called | 5.1 (3.5 to 6.8)                                                                                         | <0.001***                            | 6.7 (5.1 to 8.5) | <0.001***               |
| Distance (per 10km) | 10.1 (8.7 to 11.5)                                                                                      | <0.001***                            | 8 (7.0 to 9.0)   | <0.001***               |
| Categorical variable | Reference                                                                                                 |                                      |          |                          |               |
| Sex (Male) | Female                                                                                                   | 3.3 (−0.3 to 7.0)                  | 0.073     | −3.4 (−7.3 to 0.5) | 0.087           |
| Need for hospitalisation (+) | (-)                                                                                                       | 1.3 (−2.7 to 5.2)                  | 0.52      | −1.9 (−5.8 to 2.0) | 0.34            |
| Daytime (8:00 am–3:59 pm) | (Reference)                                                                                               | 2.2 (−2.0 to 6.5)                  | 0.31      | 7.8 (3.4 to 12.3) | <0.001***     |
| Evening (4:00 pm–23:59 pm) | Daytime                                                                                                   | 3.8 (−1.3 to 9.1)                  | 0.16      | 12.9 (7.8 to 18.2) | <0.001***     |
| Morning (0:00 pm–7:59 am) | Daytime                                                                                                   | 5.9 (2.0 to 9.8)                   | 0.004**   | −0.5 (−4.5 to 3.6)  | 0.81           |
| Weekends or holidays | Weekdays                                                                                                   | −1.4 (−11.6 to 11.9)                | 0.8       | 132.1 (98.5 to 173.4)| <0.001***     |

†Minutes.  
‡Significance codes: ***<0.001, **<0.01, *<0.05.  
§Constant: The value at which the regression line crosses the y-axis.
did not change even after the disaster. However, an increase in on-scene time was observed after the disaster. A crucial element of increased on-scene time was deciding on a destination hospital prior to departure.\textsuperscript{18} Before the earthquake, EMS workers could depart for the coastal areas while calling hospitals, unless the patient required specialised treatment, as was the case in life-threatening medical emergencies or minor emergencies.\textsuperscript{30–32} It was suggested that the number of hospitals called before deciding on a destination hospital was associated with the increase in on-scene time (6 min per call).\textsuperscript{18} While there was no increase in the number of hospitals called after the disaster, it took longer to depart after repopulation than before the disaster. Regional collaborative efforts done before the disaster would be beneficial to limit the increase of on-scene time in limited-resource areas.

The impact of the demographic composition of Kawauchi Village on EMS time would be slight. It should be noted that only a few women with children returned to the village at first. In this study, the proportion of men tended to increase after repopulation compared with the population before the disaster, although the difference was not statistically significant. This male population would include local government officers and reconstruction workers. As repopulation progressed, 44.8% of the original population of elementary school children had returned to the village by May 2017. While the number of residents has gradually increased since 2012, the numbers of patients transported each year have remained similar throughout the research period. Moreover, although there have been changes in the demographic composition of Kawauchi Village, the effect of age or sex on EMS time was not found to be significant after repopulation. Thus, the impact of the age and sex of patients transported from Kawauchi Village on EMS capacity is likely to be marginal.

We further investigated the factors associated with total EMS time in each period. Before the disaster, weekends or holidays, number of hospitals called and distance were significant. After repopulation, time of day (evening and morning), snow accumulation on the road, number of hospitals called and distance were significant. Although comparison of different regression results provides limited information, it was important to improve access to emergency care in the affected areas, as shown by a survey.\textsuperscript{33} First, snow accumulation and geographical distance were factors associated with increased EMS time after the disaster. A previous report suggested that heavy snow deteriorated access to timely care around evacuation zones after the Fukushima disaster.\textsuperscript{34} The impact of snow accumulation may depend on travel distance in mountainous areas, as it showed significance only after the disaster with a transition of destination hospitals from coastal to mountainous areas. Road maintenance would be important to secure access to emergency care. Second, it should be noted that time of day (evening and morning) was associated with increased EMS time after repopulation but not before the disaster. As there were few alternative hospitals in the repopulation period, this point may become obvious at night-time. It is crucial to strengthen the EMS acceptance by hospitals at night-time to secure access to emergency care. In such areas, personnel and financial support should be considered to secure healthcare access. The government provided monetary support for hospitals in affected areas only during the period 2011–2016. However, in this case, there was no official personnel support for the hospital in Hirata Village after the repopulation of Kawauchi Village, while one physician helped in the inpatient wards of the hospital immediately after the disaster as part of the Disaster Medical Assistance Team. To secure access to emergency care, increasing medical staffing, particularly at night, should be considered in the long term after the disaster.

Several limitations of this study should be noted. First, the findings may not be directly applicable to other settings. Essentially, this study only covered an evacuated municipality in Fukushima Prefecture. Furthermore, the local geography and available health resources (eg, health facilities, health workers and medical equipment) would differ in other areas. For example, the available health resources could be more limited in low/middle-income countries, and it may be more challenging to find alternate facilities. However, to our knowledge, as this is the first municipality-level report regarding the repopulated areas after the Fukushima disaster, it would be valuable to understand changes in EMS time, changes in transport destinations and the factors that affect EMS time to enhance knowledge and preparedness for future disasters. Second, to ensure patient confidentiality, the final outcomes for individual patients cannot be ascertained by the regional fire department. Moreover, our data set did not include vital signs, as EMS workers only need to register vital signs for a limited number of severe symptoms. Finally, because the social or occupational backgrounds of patients were not collected, we could not know exactly which patients lived in the village. However, to avoid the inclusion of non-villagers as long as possible, we excluded the patients during the mandatory evacuation period.

In conclusion, total EMS time increased after repopulation compared with that before the disaster, and there was a drastic change in transport destinations because of extensive hospital closures. A partnership hospital located in Hirata Village accepted 42.3% of patients and was closer than the nearest urban areas. Proactive partnerships would be possible countermeasures in the affected areas after a major disaster.
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