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Abstract
Exosomes are common membrane-bound nanovesicles that contain diverse biomolecules, such as lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids. Exosomes are derived from cells through exocytosis, are ingested by target cells, and can transfer biological signals between local or distant cells. Exosome secretion is a constitutive phenomenon that is involved in both physiological and pathological processes and determines both the exosomal surface molecules and the contents. Hence, we can exploit exosomes as biomarkers, vaccines and drug carriers and modify them rationally for therapeutic interventions. However, it is still a challenge to identify, isolate and quantify exosomes accurately, efficiently and selectively. Further studies on exosomes will explore their potential in translational medicine and provide new avenues for the creation of effective clinical diagnostics and therapeutic strategies; the use of exosomes in these applications can be called exosome theranostics. This review describes the fundamental processes of exosome formation and uptake. In addition, the physiological and pathological roles of exosomes in biology are also illustrated with a focus on how exosomes can be exploited or engineered as powerful tools in translational medicine.
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Introduction
Exosomes are nanoscale extracellular lipid bilayer vesicles of endocytic origin, and they are secreted by nearly all cell types in physiological and pathological conditions. Initial studies regarded exosomes as a simple means for the disposal of unwanted cellular components [1]. They have now been shown to play a crucial role in intercellular communication through the intercellular transfer of nucleic acids and specific repertoires of proteins and lipids, which is important for protein and lipid homeostasis [2]. During these processes, exosomes can regulate the properties of target cells, which can be beneficial or detrimental [3]. Exosomes contribute to fundamental physiological processes, such as neuronal communication [4], antigen presentation [5], immune responses [6], organ development [7], and reproductive performances [8]. They also participate in some pathological disorders, including cancer progression [9], cardiovascular disease [10], and inflammation [11], and they even favor viral infection [12] and prion dissemination [13]. Given that exosomes can carry toxic damaged forms of aggregated proteins that are fated for destruction, they are also relevant to the progression of neurodegenerative diseases [14].

Exosome secretion occurs naturally, and cellular stress and activation signals can modulate the involved processes [15]. They can be found in multiple types of extracellular fluids, such as blood, urine, amniotic fluid, saliva, cerebrospinal fluid, and
even breast milk [16-19]. The heterogeneity of exosome size and cargo reflect the state and types of the cells of origin. Thus, exosomes can be used as biomarkers for disease diagnostics and even fetal sex determination [20]. Since surface-bound proteins on exosomes stem from the plasma membranes of the cells from which they originated, exosomes released by antigen-presenting cells (APCs), dendritic cells (DCs) and tumor cells are promising for use in vaccines development. Moreover, exosomes can protect their cargoes from clearance or damage by the complement fixation or macrophages due to their double-layered membrane and nanoscale size, thus prolonging their circulation half-life and improving their biological activity. Hence, exosomes could potentially be used as drug delivery vesicles for treating disease. Furthermore, exosome engineering, i.e., the chemical or biological modification of these nanoscale extracellular lipid bilayer vesicles, may provide opportunities to enhance or broaden the innate therapeutic capability of exosomes.

**Definition, biogenesis, uptake, and isolation of exosomes**

**Definition**

Cells are known to secrete various types of extracellular vesicles (EVs), which are differentiated based on their size, content, and formation mechanism [21, 22]. The primary EV class includes apoptotic bodies, microvesicles and exosomes (Figure 1). However, no precise methods are available to distinguish and isolate exosomes from other EVs. Many studies have convincingly shown that both exosomes and microvesicles contained specific sets of proteins and nucleic acids that act as agents of intercellular communication agents [1, 23]. Apoptotic bodies and microvesicles are generated from the plasma membrane by direct budding and exist in a broad range of dimensions (apoptotic bodies, 800–5000 nm; microvesicles, 200–1000 nm [24, 25]), whereas exosomes are vesicles of endocytic origin with a diameter of 30–150 nm [26-28]. Some vesicles that have a diameter of >150 nm can be generated by an endosomal pathway [29], and other vesicles of <150 nm in diameter can bleb directly from the plasma membrane [30, 31]. Therefore, the size-based differentiation of exosomes must be undertaken carefully.

One of the standard methods for characterizing exosomes is negative staining and visualization by electron microscopy. Exosomes usually appear as cup-shaped entities by transmission electron microscopy (Figure 2A), but as round-shaped vesicles by cryoelectron microscopy (Figure 2B). A high level of morphological diversity among exosomes isolated from different body fluids has been described [33, 34], suggesting the existence of exosome subpopulations of exosomes with different functions and biochemical contents. Even when purified from a single cell type, exosome morphology remains diverse. Using multiple cryoelectron microscopy techniques, Zabeo et al. found nine different morphological categories of morphology of exosomes (Figure 2C, D, and E) derived from the human mast cell line (HMC-1) [35].

**Biogenesis**

Some mechanisms have been recognized with respect to the progression of exosomes formation, but much remains to be understood. First, endocytic vesicles arise in lipid raft domains of the plasma membrane through endocytosis, leading to the intracellular formation of early endosomes. With the assistance of the Golgi complex, these early endosomes become late endosomes [6, 38], and intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) accumulated in their lumen during this process. The molecules that exist in early endosomes can be either recycled back to the plasma membrane or incorporated into ILVs [39]. Cargo sorting into the ILVs is mediated by endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT)-dependent [40] and ESCRT-independent
mechanisms [41, 42]. These vesicles accumulate in late endosomes by the inward budding of the early endosomal membrane and cytosol sequestration, thus transforming endosomes into multivesicular bodies (MVBs) (Figure 2F) [37]. Subsequently, these MVBs fuse with either lysosomes, in which the ILVs are degraded, or the plasma membrane, which results in the release of their internal vesicles (Figure 3), i.e., exosomes, into the extracellular space and the incorporation of the peripheral MVB membrane into the plasma membrane [23, 43]. Importantly, the mechanisms of MVB trafficking and fusion with the cell membrane are regulated by several Rab guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) proteins and are coordinated with cytoskeletal and molecular motor activities [44, 45]. Although the mechanism that directs MVB traffic to the lysosomes instead of the plasma membrane for fusion remains elusive [46], some studies have indicated the possible simultaneous presence of different MVB subpopulations in cells, some of which are fated for degradation or exocytosis [47]. However, the mechanisms that are involved in the regulation of exosome secretion are poorly understood. A recent study showed that the actin cytoskeletal regulatory protein cortactin plays an important role in regulating exosome secretion. They found that cortactin, Rab27a, and coronin 1b coordinate to control the stability of cortical actin docking sites in multivesicular late endosomes, thus contributing to exosome secretion [48].

**Uptake**

Exosome selection and uptake by recipient cells is highly intriguing. According to the results of past studies, signals are transferred from exosomes to recipient cells by three methods: receptor-ligand interactions, direct membrane fusion, and endocytosis/phagocytosis (Figure 3). Some studies have also described the pathways of transmembrane signal transduction between exosomes and recipient cells [49]. For example, a particular study showed that extracellular EVs, including exosomes, contributed to communication between neural stem/precursor cells and the microenvironment through receptor-ligand interactions [50]. First, free interferon (IFN)-γ binds to EV-associated interferon gamma receptor 1 (IFNGR-1) to form IFN-γ/IFNGR-1 complexes; then, the EV-associated complex activates signal transduction via the Stat1 pathway in target cells. In addition, some studies have suggested that exosomes transfer their contents into cells by direct fusion with the recipient cell membrane [51]. However, these observations do not clarify the molecular mechanism associated with the fusion of exosomes with target cells. An increasing number of studies suggest that internalization is the primary method for exosome uptake. A well-summarized review described several endocytic pathways, such as macropinocytosis, clathrin-mediated endocytosis, caveolin-mediated endocytosis, lipid raft-mediated endocytosis, and phagocytosis, used by cells for exosome uptake [52]. Remarkably, a different exosome internalization route was identified recently. Heusermann et al. showed that exosomes are recruited to the cell body by surfing on filopodia and are then endocytosed via a process that resembles virus entry [53], suggesting the existence of unanticipated routes for subcellular cargo delivery.

Under some conditions, such as low temperature, the proteolytic cleavage of exosomal surface proteins can inhibit exosome uptake [32]. Notably, these findings indicate that the internalization of exosomes depends on cell type and exosomal surface proteins [54]. Many proteins that facilitate exosome uptake have been found, such as the tetraspanin membrane proteins CD9 and CD81 and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 [55, 56]. In addition, some studies have shown that heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) are used as internalizing receptors by cancer cell-derived exosomes [3]. The size distribution of exosomes can also profoundly affect their internalization; cells preferentially uptake smaller exosomes [57].

Exosome uptake by recipient cells is cell-specific. However, the mechanism of recipient cell selection is not yet clear. The interaction of surface molecules between specific cells and exosomes is critical for recipient cell targeting and adhesion. Proteins such as annexins and integrins are crucial for cell adhesion, similar to tetraspanins, which can directly target specific cells, e.g., endothelial cells, and subsequently promote angiogenesis and vasculogenesis [58]. Several studies have indicated that the tropism of exosomes might be determined by adhesion-associated molecules on the surface of exosomes, such as integrins, tetraspanins, and other glycoproteins [59]. For instance, some researchers have demonstrated that EVs containing the rabies viral glycoprotein show a tropism to the brain [60, 61]. Notably, one study showed that the distinct integrin expression patterns of tumor-derived exosomes were important contributors to organotropic metastasis. For example, exosomes that have αvβ3 and αvβ5 integrins can be taken up by lung-resident fibroblasts and epithelial cells to promote lung metastasis, while exosomes with the αvβ3 integrin are specifically taken up by Kupffer cells in the liver, thereby mediating liver tropism [62].
Figure 2. Characterization of exosome-like vesicles. (A) Transmission electron micrograph of exosomes isolated from urine; scale bar, 400 nm. (B) Cryoelectron microscopy image showing extracellular vesicles secreted by MLP-29 cells; scale bar, 100 nm. (Reproduced with permission from reference [36]. Copyright © 2008 American Chemical Society.) (C) Example of triple or higher-multiple vesicles; scale bar, 150 nm. (D) Percentage of each morphological category among the total number of vesicles. (E) Size distribution for each vesicle category. (C, D, E reproduced with permission from reference [35]. Copyright © 2017 Taylor & Francis Group.) (F) Electron micrograph of double membrane-bound exosomes in multivesicular bodies (MVBs); inward invagination (arrows) in the MVB membrane indicates the beginning of exosome biogenesis, scale bar, 100 nm. (Reproduced from reference [37]. Copyright © 2011 American Heart Association, Inc.)

Isolation

Exosome purification is essentially based on immunoaffinity capture, size exclusion, polymeric precipitation, ultracentrifugation, and microfluidics techniques [63]. These techniques are not always mutually exclusive, and their combinations may be valuable [64]. In terms of these isolation methods, a standardization procedure proposed by the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) could provide detailed guidance [63]. To date, the most widely adopted and reliable method is ultracentrifugation, which involves a series of centrifugation steps that could be followed by flotation-sedimentation density gradient centrifugation [65]. However, this method is tedious, time consuming, and requires large biological sample volumes. Therefore, commercially available polymeric precipitation mixtures have been widely applied to isolate exosomes for various purposes [66, 67]. These methods use such reagents as ExoQuick and Total Exosome Isolation reagent are technically facile and less time consuming, but the purity of the product is inferior to that of ultracentrifugation. However, neither method allows the experimental separation or even the discrimination of different EVs similar in size, suggesting that these exosome preparations may also contain small extracellular vesicles or other components instead of only "pure" exosomes. Immunoaffinity isolation may have the potential to enrich a single type of exosome populations [68], but there are concerns about the yield of this method. Recently, Heller et al. demonstrated the rapid isolation and recovery of exosomes from human plasma with an alternating current electrokinetic microarray chip device [69]. This device requires only 30–50 μL of sample, and the entire isolation process can be completed within 15 minutes, which is suitable for point-of-care diagnostic applications. Furthermore, a microfluidic separator
was used to purify and separate exosomes from larger EVs with a high efficiency via fractionation caused by the size-dependent elastic lifting forces in a viscoelastic medium [70]. Such microfluidics techniques are gradually advancing the exosome analysis for clinical applications.

Exosome composition

According to high-throughput exosome studies, exosomes contain numerous molecules, including proteins, lipids, metabolites, mRNA [71], mitochondrial DNA [72], microRNA (miRNA) [71] and many other non-coding RNAs [73]. In addition, there are three exosome databases that provide detailed information about the molecules inside exosomes: ExoCarta, EVpedia and Vesiclepedia. Exosomes are heterogeneous in their size and cargo, even when they are derived from the same cell; however, some partially common cargoes are found among exosomes of diverse origins [26].

Figure 3. Exosomal biogenesis and internalization mechanisms and their roles in physiological and pathological processes. Exosomes are formed by inward budding from the endosomal membrane, which leads to the formation of multivesicular bodies (MVBs). MVBs can be fated for lysosomal degradation or fusion with the plasma membrane, which is associated with the release of exosomes. In addition, MVBs also participate in autophagosome maturation as endocytic fusion partners that meet with autophagosomes. Target cells internalize exosomes by three methods, which can facilitate the signaling and content delivery from source to target cells, thus mediating the progression of many physiological and pathological processes.
Proteins

According to proteomic studies, exosomes have not only specific proteins that depend on the secreting cell type but also a specific subset of cellular proteins that are found in exosomes irrespective of the cell type. These proteins are involved in some basic cellular processes, such as cell adhesion, structural dynamics, membrane fusion, metabolism, and signal transduction [74]. Tetraspanin and integrin proteins (e.g., CD63, CD9, CD81, and CD82) are crucial for cell targeting and adhesion, while Rab GTPases, annexins, and flotillin are important for membrane fusion. Furthermore, heat shock proteins (HSP)70 and HSP90 are molecular chaperones, and tumor susceptibility gene 101 protein (TSG101) is involved in MVB biogenesis [23]. Exosomes also contain cytokines, transcription factor receptors, growth factor receptors, and other bioactive molecules [75].

The mechanism of sorting proteins into exosomes has not been extensively studied. There are two major pathways for this process: one is ESCRT dependent, and the other is ESCRT independent. ESCRT is composed of four multimeric complexes, including ESCRT-0 to ESCRT-III. Most transmembrane proteins in the ILVs of MVBs are complexes that are marked by a single ubiquitin or the subunits of two or three ubiquitins. The ESCRT machinery is important for recognizing the ubiquitination of transmembrane proteins and sorting them into the ILVs of MVBs [76, 77]. However, recent studies have indicated that proteins can also be packaged into the MVBs without the participation of ubiquitin or ESCRT [78, 79]. Lin et al. found that many ribosomal proteins were secreted by exosomes that were derived from embryonic fibroblasts in mammalian sirtuin 6 (SIRT6)-knockout mice, indicating that SIRT6 is involved in sorting proteins into exosomes [80].

Nucleic acids

In 2007, Valadi and colleagues first reported that exosomes derived from mast cells contained mRNA and miRNA [81]; after this report, many groups confirmed the presence of certain mRNAs and small non-coding RNAs, including microRNAs, in exosomes. In addition, exosomes contain many other types of RNA, a finding that was summarized in a previous review [32]. Exosomes contain specific subsets of cellular RNA. Some sets are distinct or tissue specific; in other cases, sets of RNA are present in exosomes regardless of cellular origin [82]. These findings indicate that specific RNAs are actively, not passively, sorted into exosomes. Interestingly, researchers have found that mRNA with a 3’-UTR could be packaged into exosomes via the specific RNA fragments [83]. Similarly, there is a tetranucleotide sequence that can induce the packaging of microRNA into exosomes and is recognized by heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins [84]. Another similar RNA-binding protein, synaptotagmin-binding cytoplasmic RNA-interacting protein (SYNCRIP), which can control mRNA sorting into exosomes, has recently been demonstrated [85]. This protein binds specific miRNA repertoires through the recognition of their specific motif and loads them into exosomes, which may provide a potential way for the selective modulation of exosomal cargoes. Furthermore, these observations suggest that there may be different mechanisms related to the selection of RNA cargoes.

In the present context, microRNAs have received much attention as active EV cargoes, especially with respect to cancer. It is a widely-accepted hypothesis that microRNA can be directly delivered to target cells via exosomes, leading to the functional modulation of their mRNA targets. However, because of the lack of direct demonstrations of the functions of EV-mediated miRNA transfer, there are uncertainties that must be included in the hypothesis [86]. Central to this hypothesis is the processing of primary transcripts into active miRNAs that could directly function in a recipient cell, which occurs exclusively within mammalian cells. However, this dogma faces some challenges. Some studies have found that Dicer and Ago2, the key components of miRNA processing, are functionally present in exosomes [87]. Although it has yet to be confirmed, these findings suggest that exosomes are not only passive vehicles but also miRNA factories.

Physiological roles of exosomes

Exosomes are nearly ubiquitous and are secreted by almost all mammalian cells. They act as the medium for cell communication and the transmission of information to a multitude of cells and locations. The behavior of adjacent or distant cells can be modified by exosomes that are released from cells in a paracrine or an endocrine manner. In addition, donor cells can also re-uptake their own secreted exosomes. For example, in vitro experiments have shown that exosomes produced by pancreatic tumor cells exerted an autocrine effect on themselves [88]. Thus, exosomes play important roles in both physiological and pathological processes (Figure 3).

Immune response

Some studies have shown that exosomes can be involved in activating the immune system through different pathways. Such pathways include the induction of macrophages to release certain
proinflammatory cytokines [89] and increase the release of tumor necrosis factors (TNFs) [90], the enhancement of natural killer (NK) cell activity [91], the promotion of dendritic cells (DC) maturation [92], the delivery of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) peptide molecules [93], and the presentation of antigens [94]. However, free EVs seem to be less efficient than the parent APCs in the stimulation of T cells [95].

**Neural communication**

Exosomes are also involved in the reciprocal signal transfer among sensory and motor neurons, interneurons, and glial cells, which means that exosomes play an important role in the communication of the nervous system [96]. In addition, the nervous system itself plays an important role in ageing, and the hypothalamus plays an especially critical role [97]; however, the relevant underlying cellular mechanisms are unclear. Recently, a remarkable study demonstrated that the endocrine functions of hypothalamic neural stem/progenitor cells are responsible for the progression of ageing. Moreover, miRNA delivery via exosomes derived from these cells partially participates in this process as a new type of endocrine function. Treatment with these exosomes was found to slow the progression of ageing, suggesting that exosomes play an important role in ageing [98].

**Reproduction and development**

Exosomes have also been shown to exert effects on reproduction and development. Exosomes are involved in multiple stages of reproduction, such as gamete maturation, fertilization and embryo implantation [8]. Even the processes of a successful pregnancy rely on the crucial function of exosomes in immunological communication between the mother and the fetus [99]. Exosomes have been shown to participate in fetal protection through regulating local and/or systemic immune responses [100]. In addition to communication between the mother and fetus, exosomes also mediate the crosstalk between the epithelium and mesenchyme, thus playing a crucial role in organ development. Epithelial and mesenchymal exosomes were preferentially adsorbed by reciprocal cell types in a developing tooth and reciprocally induced cell differentiation and matrix synthesis [101]. Moreover, a recent study has shown that keratinocyte-secreted exosomes are capable of enhancing melanin synthesis, revealing an unforeseen function of exosomes in human pigmentation [102].

**Cell proliferation, homeostasis and maturation**

The functions of exosomes relating to enhanced cell proliferation are widely known. According to previous studies, exosomes with these functions are mostly derived from stem cells [99]. As exosomes obtain their cargo of contents from their parental cells, it follows that exosomes could act as the alternative mediators of stem cells. In addition, hepatocytes-derived exosomes could transfer sphingosine kinase 2 to form sphingosine-1-phosphate in target hepatocytes, thus leading to cell proliferation and liver regeneration [103]. It is also worth mentioning that a type of CrkI-containing microvesicles (different from exosomes and apoptotic bodies) derived from apoptotic cells has been demonstrated to be capable of inducing proliferation in neighboring cells [104]. This compensatory proliferation is very important for restoring homeostasis.

Exosomes also participate in reticulocyte maturation. Originally, reticulocytes-derived exosomes were described as part of an excretory pathway for the disposal of unwanted cellular cargo [105]. When reticulocytes begin to mature into erythrocytes, transferrin receptors, membrane-associated enzymes and proteins are selectively removed through exosomes [106]. In addition, morphological evidence indicates that MVBs are major endocytic fusion partners that meet with autophagosomes, meaning that autophagosome interactions with endosomes are critical for its maturation [77].

**Pathological roles of exosomes**

**Immune disturbance**

Depending on the activation state of the original cells and the cellular cargo packaged into the vesicles, exosomes can be tolerogenic. Such immunosuppressive effects of exosomes can be diminished by reducing NK cell activity or DC differentiation, inducing Fas ligand (FasL)-mediated T cell apoptosis, inhibiting T cell proliferation, and inhibiting inflammation through the delivery of exosomal interleukin (IL)-10 [107]. In addition, a recent study has indicated that tumor-derived exosomes expressing HSP72 at the surface can promote antigen-nonspecific immune suppression via myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), a group of immature myeloid cells with the ability to suppress T cell activation [108]. Moreover, it has been shown that more exosomes are generated by breast cancer cells under hypoxic than normoxic conditions, and these exosomes act to suppress T cell proliferation via TGF-β pathways [109]. Many studies have shown that cancer exosomes can deliver multiple signals to immune cells that subsequently overcome immune system surveillance and induce the immune system to
specifically ignore or tolerate cancer cells [39]. In addition, this tolerogenic function of cancer cell-derived exosomes contributes to immune evasion.

**Tumor pathogenesis**

Many studies have discussed the interplay of tumor cells and the microenvironment. Tumor cell-derived exosomes can be taken up by stromal cells, thus converting the microenvironment into one that is prone to tumors [110]. An interesting study used fluorescent protein imaging to provide direct evidence of exosomes derived from highly metastatic breast cancer cells being transferred to adjacent cancer cells and lung tissue cells both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These findings confirmed the involvement of exosomes that are derived from metastatic cancer cells in the education of stromal cells [111]. The Warburg effect of cancer cells results in a high-energy demand and a low ATP-generating efficiency; therefore, the sufficient glucose uptake of cancer cells is indispensable. Interestingly, an amazing piece of work showed that cancer cell-derived exosomes containing miR-122 could suppress glucose uptake in non-tumor cells in the premetastatic niche, suggesting that the systemic energy metabolism can be reprogrammed by exosomes and that disease progression can be facilitated accordingly [112].

Reciprocally, in addition to the fact that cancer-cell derived exosomes can modify premetastatic niches, it is worth emphasizing that stromal cells can also generate exosomes that are not only taken up by tumor cells but also facilitate tumor growth. Zhang *et al.* showed that astrocyte-derived exosomes could transfer miR-19a intracellularly to inhibit the expression of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) in metastatic tumor cells in breast cancer and melanoma brain metastasis models. Furthermore, this adaptive PTEN loss was found to increase secretion of the cytokine chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2) and recruits Iba1-expressing myeloid cells, further reciprocally enhancing the proliferation and reducing the apoptosis of tumor cells, thereby triggering the outgrowth of brain metastasis [113]. This mechanism might also be involved in PTEN loss in other neurological diseases. However, whether other PTEN-targeting miRNAs that are derived from astrocytes share a similar function with miR-19a remains to be confirmed. Some researchers have recently shown that exosomes that were derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts could strikingly reprogram the metabolic machinery of cancer cells to inhibit mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and increase both glycolysis and glutamine-dependent reductive carboxylation. Exosomes also contribute to the survival of nutrient-deprived cancer cells by supplying them with amino acids via a mechanism similar to that of micropinocytosis [114].

In accordance with these observations, tumor-released exosomes play significant roles in multiple stages of tumor pathogenesis. In particular, exosomes derived from breast cancer cells contain Dicer, AGO2, and TRBP, whereby they are capable of processing precursor microRNAs into mature miRNAs for gene silencing in target cells and inducing non-tumorigenic epithelial cells to form tumors [115]. Moreover, exosomes are involved in the horizontal transfer of oncogenic activity via the delivery of oncogenic receptors, such as a truncated and oncogenic form of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFRvIII) [116]. Exosomes also play a role in the dissemination of cancer cells; they can contribute to extracellular matrix degradation, and stromal reprogramming, thus promoting cell motility [117]. Meanwhile, exosomes can promote adhesion assembly and control directional cell motility through the delivery of extracellular matrix components, such as fibronectin, via autocrine secretion [118]. Exosomes also facilitate immune surveillance escape. Exosomes derived from B-cell lymphoma cells carry some antigens in common with cancer cells, such as CD20, thus consuming anti-CD20 antibodies, and protecting cancer cells from antibody attack [119]. In addition, exosomes can mediate the transfer of miRNA or long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) to neighboring cells to alter biological phenotypes, such as drug resistance [120, 121].

**Neurodegenerative pathogenesis**

In addition to the transmission of pathogenic proteins, EV secretion is a primary method of internal communication between neurons in neurodegenerative diseases [122]. Recently, an interesting study recapitulated the pathology of Alzheimer’s disease in a three-dimensional human neural cell culture model. This study suggested that the excessive accumulation of amyloid-β peptide can result in Alzheimer’s disease [123]. Apparently, the cargo of EVs, including but not limited to toxic proteins, can be spread by exosomes and transferred to other neuronal cells. Accordingly, some investigations have shown that amyloid-β peptide can be delivered by exosomes, thereby exacerbating and extending neuronal injury [124, 125]. For instance, exosomes derived from primary phagocytes, namely, microglia, contribute to tau propagation, which is associated with Alzheimer’s disease [126]. A similar finding suggests that the EVs are involved in the transfer of the α-synuclein protein, which contributes to the spread of Parkinson’s disease from enteric...
neurons to the brainstem and centers of higher cortical function [127].

Pathogenic infections

Similarly, beyond toxic proteins, exosomes have the potential to spread numerous pathogens. Many pathogenic factors, including viral proteins and fragments of viral genomes, can be incorporated into exosomes derived from virus-infected cells. The exosome-mediated delivery of these factors has been shown to affect the immune responses to infection and modulate recipient cells responses [128, 129]. For example, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) represses the expression of EBV target genes in non-infected cells by the transfer of viral miRNAs to subcellular sites of gene repression in recipient cells via exosomes [130]; the vehicles are also involved in the N-terminal modification of prion proteins (PrP) and selectively deliver distinct PrP glycoforms into neuronal cells [13]. In addition, HIV-1 achieves cell entry by exosome-mediated transfer of chemokine receptor 5 to recipient cells [131]. These findings suggest that viruses (retroviruses, in particular) and exosomes generated in virus-infected cells might be closely related. First, the size of a typical RNA virus is comparable to that of exosomes (Figure 1). Second, similar to exosomes, many viruses utilize the ESCRT machinery for their release [132]. Finally, exosomes can carry viral proteins and genetic materials, which can in turn modulate the responses of recipient cells. Despite these similarities, there is a fundamental difference: exosomes do not replicate. Therefore, exosomes could be considered defective retroviruses that have lost the ability to replicate [133]; as such, exosomes and viruses cannot be separated from each other based on size alone.

Certainly, several types of cells have developed sophisticated self-defense mechanisms [134]. The primary mechanism used by cells to sequester and clear microbial pathogens is engulfing the invaders in an autophagosome, which is then degraded by a lysosome. However, microbes have developed a counter-attack strategy by targeting autophagy proteins or regulators [135]. In such cases, exosomes become defenders. When bladder epithelial cells are infected by uropathogenic Escherichia coli, the host cells can sense lysosome malfunction through mucolipin TRP channel 3 and remove the invading bacteria via exosomes [136].

Exosomes in translational medicine

Many studies have demonstrated that exosomes can play significant roles in the treatment of many diseases, including cancer [137], cardiovascular diseases [138, 139], neurodegenerative diseases [61], tissue injury [140], and pathogenic infections [141]. Indeed, increasing evidence shows that the therapeutic activity of adult stem cells occurs partially through paracrine effects, which gives rise to the novel notion of “cell-free” stem cell therapy [142]. It is worth emphasizing that stem cells-derived exosomes are a good substitute for therapeutic stem cells as they are not associated with the clinical concern of tumorigenicity [143]. In this section, we will discuss exosomal versatility in translational medicine, such as applications of exosomes in the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of disease (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Schematic representation of the primary strategies of exosome modification and therapeutic intervention in translational medicine. Exosomes have the potential to serve as biomarkers and vaccine and drug carriers engineered by different methods. Such methods include the transfer of target peptide-expressing plasmids into cells to generate exosomes with a target ligand, the direct loading of drugs into exosomes by electroporation, the extrusion of drug-loaded cells through a series of filters with diminishing pore sizes to generate exosome-mimetic vesicles, and the fusion of exosomes with modified liposomes via the freeze-thaw method to create hybrid exosomes.
Biomarkers

One potential clinical application of exosomes is their use as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. As mentioned above, exosomes exist in various body fluids and likely reflect the status of their parental cells. Therefore, exosomes are ideal non-invasive biomarkers for disease diagnosis. For example, exosomes that express CD63 and caveolin-1 in plasma can be considered non-invasive markers of melanoma and a novel tool for the clinical management of cancer patients [144]. Additionally, mRNA that encodes the zinc finger protein ZFY and is contained in exosomes of amniotic fluid can be used to determine the sex of the fetus [20]. Although no specific markers for differentiating tumor exosomes from normal exosomes are known, one important study showed that exosomes derived from pancreatic cancer cells were enriched with a proteoglycan, glypican 1 (GPC1), from the surface of the parental cells and that GPC1 could be used as a highly specific biomarker for pancreatic cancer. GPC1 is an overexpressed, membrane-anchored protein in both breast and pancreatic cancer.

Exosomes derived from pancreatic cancer cells are also involved in the progression of metastasis to the liver (Figure 5A, B, and C) [110]. The levels of GPC1+ circulating exosomes in the serum of patients correlate with pancreatic cancer with absolute specificity and sensitivity (Figure 5D and E) [145]. Moreover, the levels of circulating exosomes correlate with tumor burden and the survival of pre- and post-surgical patients. Therefore, these levels can be utilized to determine the stage of pancreatic cancer. Although GPC1 needs to be validated as a biomarker of pancreatic cancer in larger patient cohorts, this finding exemplifies the power of utilizing exosomes for cancer diagnosis. Notably, a recent study found that in contrast with individuals without pancreatic disease and chronic pancreatitis (CP) patients, exosomal GPC1 levels from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients tended to be slightly high, but not significantly so. Moreover, they found that a miRNA signature in circulating exosomes is superior to exosomal GPC1 or plasma CA 19-9 levels in diagnosing pancreatic cancer and differentiating between PDAC and CP (Figure 5F) [146]. However, the true sensitivity and specificity of this signature requires further assessment using a larger number of PDAC and control samples. Interestingly, a new finding indicates that exosomal miRNAs present in saliva could be a novel and potential biomarker for ageing [147]. Furthermore, the concentration of exosomal miR-92a represents a potential serum biomarker for brown fat activity in mice and humans [148]. The use of exosomes as biomarkers has broad implications for personalized disease diagnosis and monitoring. Notably, the first blood-based cancer diagnostic method based on free-floating exosomes has recently become commercially available [149]. Significantly, by integrating microfluidic technology, exosomes can be detected rapidly and specifically for advanced interventions, accordingly based on precise clinical diagnoses [150].

Exosomes can also contain IncRNAs, serving as natural non-coding RNAs carriers. These functional RNAs are more than 200 nucleotides long and do not have the potential to code proteins. In addition, they are currently partially characterized as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. In particular, exosomal IncRNA and miR-217 are differentially expressed in the serum of colorectal carcinoma patients and are correlated with tumor classification (T3/T4), clinical stage (III/IV), and lymph node or distant metastasis [151]. Similarly, exosomal IncRNAs are differentially expressed in the cervicovaginal lavage samples of cervical cancer patients and cancer-free volunteers, indicating the potential of exosomal IncRNAs to serve as biomarkers for the early diagnosis of cervical cancer [152]. The combined detection of exosomal miR-21 and IncRNA Hox transcript antisense intergenic RNA (HOTAIR) as diagnostic biomarkers for laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma achieved a sensitivity and specificity of 94.2% and 73.5%, respectively [153]. Recently, exosomes were found to be enriched with another high-profile class of functional non-coding RNAs, circular RNAs (circRNAs), much more than they were with linear RNA compared with the parental cells [154]. circRNAs have a covalent loop structure that confers resistance to RNA exoribonuclease [155]. Moreover, circRNAs can serve as sponges for microRNAs and proteins with stable, conservative, and tissue/developmental-stage-specific expression patterns [156]. Hence, they have potential to regulate gene expression and are related to tumorigenesis. One study demonstrated that the serum exosomal circRNAs profiles of cancer patients were significantly different from those of individuals without cancer, suggesting that exosomal circRNAs are potential diagnostic biomarkers for cancer [154].

The concentration of exosomes is another important parameter that can indicate pathological circumstances. Recently, a study showed that the concentration and origin of circulating vesicles
prolonged survival rates [164]. DCs are also involved in murine heart transplant model [12]. The contribution of donor DC-derived exosomes, limited to migrate to recipient lymphoid tissues in the immune response against transplanted allografts by expressing donor MHC molecules. Astoundingly, with the presence of peptide-MHC complexes and their ability to activate responses from T cells and NK cells in experimental animals and in cancer patients [160], DC-derived exosomes can induce anti-tumor immunity and have been used in clinical trials [161, 162]. Furthermore, the anti-tumor immunity can be improved by maturing these DCs with Toll-like receptor agonists [163]. The potency of DC-derived exosomes is also promising regarding malignancies that respond poorly to immunotherapy. For instance, in three hepatocellular carcinoma mouse models with antigenic and pathological heterogeneity, exosomes that were derived from antigen-presenting DCs triggered strong antigen-specific immune responses, revealing a significant anti-tumor function and leading to prolonged survival rates [164]. DCs are also involved in the immune response against transplanted allografts by migrating to recipient lymphoid tissues and directly activating alloreactive T cells to produce donor MHC molecules. Astoundingly, with the contribution of donor DC-derived exosomes, limited populations of donor DCs caused acute rejection in a murine heart transplant model [12].

In general, tumor cells can release exosomes that interfere with the immune system, indicating that tumor-derived exosomes could also serve as tumor-tailored vaccines for cancer immunotherapy. In the immune disturbance section, tumor-derived exosomes were described as immunosuppressive and capable of promoting tumor growth in various ways. In contrast, exosomes derived from cancer cells can be engineered to exert immunostimulatory effects because they share tumor rejection antigens with their parental cells. These exosomes not only induce a potent anti-tumor effect mediated by CD8+ T cells but also suppress other associated tumors that are equipped with the same tumor rejection antigens [94]. Tumor-derived exosomes also stimulate NK cell activity; exosomes derived from pancreatic carcinoma cell sublines expressing HSP70 stimulate migration and lytic activity in NK cells against HSP70 surface-positive tumors (Figure 5G) [91]. However, these immune responses are relatively weak and prone to inducing tolerance. Therefore, researchers have worked toward artificially modifying exosomes, which could improve their immunogenicity. Common strategies include genetic modification, the external stimulation of original cells, and the direct fusion of exosomes with antigens [150].

**Drug delivery**

Liposomes or lipid-based nanoparticles have been effectively used as conventional drug delivery platforms to encapsulate various macromolecular drugs. However, the widespread use of artificial drug carriers has been prevented by their potential toxicity, immunogenicity and inability to penetrate and target specific organs. These disadvantages may be largely absent when these drug carriers are properly functionalized with biological entities. Thus, cell-membrane-camouflaged nanoparticles might offer a promising delivery strategy for clinical use. Proteins derived from the plasma membrane of leukocytes were successfully incorporated into lipid nanoparticles by Tasciotti et al. to formulate biomimetic vesicles to target inflamed vasculature [165]. Hu et al. developed a top-down approach to disguise poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) nanoparticles with natural erythrocyte membranes [166]. These membrane-camouflaged nanoparticles exhibited an excellent ability to reside in blood; modification with membrane lipids and associated membrane proteins prevented particle clearance by macrophages. Furthermore, these researchers cloaked PLGA nanoparticles with the plasma membranes of human platelets, thereby endowing these nanoparticles with the immunomodulatory and adhesive antigens that are associated with platelets. These nanoparticles were used to repair damaged blood vessels and to treat systemic infections caused by opportunistic pathogens in vivo [167].
The possibility of using exosomes as nucleic acid or drug delivery carriers has attracted wide attention due to their excellent biodistribution and biocompatibility. They are membrane-permeable and can also cross the blood-brain barrier [168]. Most importantly, normal cell-derived exosomes have low immunogenicity and are well tolerated [114]. Therefore, exosomes are favorable candidate carriers of treatment for cancer and other diseases. Exosome can carry proteins, miRNAs, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and other therapeutic compounds because these cargoes are more stable inside than outside
exosomes [169]. In addition, sonic hedgehog (Shh), a factor with angiogenic properties, has been shown to be enriched in exosomes generated by modified CD34+ cells; exosomes that transported Shh to other cells reversed ventricular dilation and cardiac dysfunction post-myocardial infarction in a mouse model. Interestingly, no protective effect is induced by the intramyocardial injection of recombinant Shh protein. The results show that in this context, hitchhiking in exosomes, as a delivery system is key for conferring therapeutic efficacy [170]. TNF-related, apoptosis-inducing, ligand-armed exosomes can also be exploited to transfer pro-apoptotic signals to different tumor cell types with the aim of inducing cancer cell apoptosis and thus suppressing tumor progression in vivo [171]. In addition, other therapeutic compounds, such as paclitaxel [172] and doxorubicin [173], can be packaged into exosomes for crossing the blood-brain barrier and achieving targeted delivery. However, drug resistance restricts on the therapeutic applications of exosomes. To overcome imatinib resistance in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) patients, Bellavia D. et al. utilized modified exosomes to deliver functional siRNA for BCR-ABL to imatinib-resistant CML cells. These modified exosomes were harvested from engineered HEK293T cells expressing human Lamp2b and were then fused to a fragment of IL-3. As CML cells overexpress the IL-3 receptor, they could effectively be targeted by the exosomes modified with IL-3 [174]. Additionally, Ma et al. developed a novel approach for eliminating drug resistance characteristics in tumor-repopulating cells (TRCs) using tumor cell-derived vesicles loaded with anti-tumor drugs. To promote nuclear entry of the drugs, chemotherapeutic drugs were packaged into tumor cell-derived vesicles. These vesicles were preferentially internalized by TRCs and subsequently released the anti-tumor drugs, thereby contributing to the reversal of drug resistance in TRCs in vitro [175].

RNA delivery via exosomes could be developed further in different ways. For example, monocytes/macrophages were transfected by lipofection with the desired RNA, miR-143BP [176]. Then, miR-143BP was detected in EVs that were derived from the monocytes/macrophages. Lamp2b is a protein that is enriched in exosomal membranes and can fuse with neuron-specific rabies viral glycoprotein (RVG) peptide, which can specifically bind to the acetylcholine receptor. Some researchers have used electroporation to load exogenous siRNA into exosomes derived from DCs engineered to overexpress Lamp2b [61]. siRNAs can be specifically delivered via RVG-targeting exosomes by intravenous injection, resulting in gene knockdown in the brain and especially in neurons, microglia, and oligodendrocytes. The efficacy of RNA delivery also depends on the origin of the exosomes. Exosomes derived from normal fibroblast-like mesenchymal cells are positive for CD47, a widely expressed transmembrane protein that is well known to protect cells from phagocytosis [177], and have excellent retention in the circulation; in contrast, liposomes are rapidly eliminated from the circulation. Such properties of exosomes with an enhanced potency for delivering miRNA to pancreatic tumors, along with the use of these types of exosomes as RNA interference (RNAi) mediators specifically targeting oncogenic KRAS in PDAC, have significantly improved overall survival in many mouse models [Figure 5H, I, and J] [178].

Electroporation and chemical treatment are the primary methods used to load exosomes with cargo, although the corresponding loading efficiencies are not desirable [179] (Figure 4). It is worth emphasizing that transfecting exosome-producing cells rather than therapeutic vesicles might offer increased efficiency [32]. However, clinical applications of exosomes remain challenging due to inadequate targeting. Rational elevation of target ligand expression on the surfaces of exosomes can further improve their cell- or tissue-targeting specificity. Cells with special receptor expression can be targeted precisely by plasma membrane-extracted vesicles from engineered donor cells that express transmembrane-targeting ligands [180]. Several studies have successfully modified exosomes by anchoring targeting ligands on their membrane and then introduced the exosomes to diseased cells [181, 182]. For instance, exosomes were engineered to express targeting ligands, such as iRGD-Lamp2b, to enhance tumor-specific uptake; the intravenous injection of these exosomes obviously suppressed tumor growth [183]. Moreover, Yim et al. developed a strategy to intracellularly deliver target proteins to newly generated exosomes by integrating a reversible protein-protein interaction module during the endogenous process of exosome biogenesis [184]. In addition, a recent study has reported a strategy for producing exosomes with robust targeting ability for circulation in the blood by anchoring multiple superparamagnetic nanoparticles onto them such that they form a cluster [185].

**Rational design of biomimetic exosomes**

Recently, a group of researchers developed a method to produce exosome-mimetic vesicles, which can overcome exosomal limitations, such as low loading efficiency and low exosome production yields. These chemotherapeutic-loaded nanovesicles, which are 100–200 nm in diameter, were generated by
a breaking down cells via serial extrusion through filters with diminishing pore sizes (Figure 4) [186]. It was further suggested that these nanoscale vesicles with exosome-mimetic characteristics can be used as a platform for RNAi transportation to the cell cytoplasm [187]. However, the high level of cholesterol, ganglioside, and sphingomyelin in exosomal membranes leads to a more rigid bilayer structure than that of their parent cells [188], which suggests that their fusion with lipid-based particles requires harsher conditions [189]. For example, a novel study that developed hybrid exosomes by fusing exosomes with liposomes required aggressive freeze-thaw processes (Figure 4) [190]. To avoid such conditions, Yang et al. designed a virus-mimetic fusogenic exosome platform to deliver membrane proteins to target cell membranes. They integrated vascular stomatitis virus G protein, a viral fusogen, into an exosomal membrane to enhance its fusion efficiency [191]. Inspiringly, these methods allow easy exosome modification by fusing exosomes derived from modified cells with liposomes embedded with peptides, antibodies or poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG).

**Exosome elimination and settlement in new therapeutics**

Given the growing evidence regarding the contribution of exosomes to pathological processes, three promising strategies for treating disease can be investigated thoroughly: inhibiting exosome assembly, release, and uptake; altering harmful compositions; and blocking exosome dissemination and elimination of exosomes from the circulatory system.

The first strategy has been summarized well in a previous review [107]. Here, we explore the latter two strategies. Regarding alterations in the harmful compositions of exosomes, promising methods include the viral manipulation of a host’s exosomal content that occurs by either directly altering the host’s exosomal composition or incorporating viral proteins or RNAs into secreted exosomes [28]. In addition, owing to the impairment of the ubiquitin-proteasome system, which could alter the process of sorting cargo into ILVs, the immunosuppressive activities of exosomes against NK cell tumors in breast cancer can be reduced by the dietary polyphenol curcumin [192].

Recently, an interesting study showed that subcapsular sinus (SCS) CD169⁺ macrophages can bind tumor-derived EVs, including exosomes, thus restricting their interactions with B cells. Because B cells may contribute to tumor progression, SCS macrophages can suppress cancer progression under specific circumstances by blocking exosomal dissemination [193], indicating that blockading the dissemination and elimination of exosomes is beneficial in some cases. Another possible option that can be used to eliminate exosomes from the circulatory system via extracorporeal purification is the utilization of microfluidic techniques. For example, a recent study discussed a new method based on microscale acoustic standing wave technology for the rapid noncontact capture of microvesicles from samples despite their limited flux needs to be resolved in the future [194]. Another study has suggested that the clearance of exosomes *in vivo* is likely controlled by the innate immune system and assisted by the opsonization effects of complement proteins [195].

In some ways, the introduction of exosomes favors disease treatment. As we have mentioned above, exosomes play a crucial role in premetastatic niche formation of tumors (Figure 6A). Fuente et al. studied the effect of exosomes on redirecting tumor cell dissemination and recruiting tumor cells to non-deleterious locations, which now represents a strategy for suppressing tumor metastasis (Figure 6B, C, D, and E). First, the tumor EVs were embedded in a scaffold to form a premetastatic niche. Then, this niche was implanted in the mouse peritoneum to trap metastatic cells that were disseminating in the peritoneal cavity, thus preventing them from metastasizing to the organ they normally target and leading to a statistically significant improvement in survival [196].

**Conclusions and perspectives**

Exosomes are widely disseminated, heterogeneous entities. However, the complexity of exosomes is not thoroughly understood, especially the mechanisms responsible for sorting cargo into exosomes and releasing cargo into cells after exosome internalization. Various recent studies have focused on protein sorting; nevertheless, the predominant functions of exosomes might be associated with RNA delivery. Therefore, determining the mechanism that underlies RNA sorting holds great potential for the development of various applications. Exosomes are emerging as important mediators of intercellular communication and playing significant roles in both physiological and pathological processes. However, the current understanding of exosomes is incomplete.

Because of the costs, technical challenges, and lack of suitable biomarkers for particular exosomes, it is difficult to isolate large quantities of pure and specific exosomes from mixtures of different vesicle types in a large volume of solution. Thus, numerous studies have provided insights into the functions of EVs but without much information on exosomes
alone. If only a small subtype of exosomes has a desirable efficiency, but this subtype is obscured by an abundance of non-functional EVs, how can the specific or prominent functions of a specific category of exosomes be determined? Toward this end, the next important step is to comprehensively define the many subtypes of EVs.

Although there are currently many challenges in the treatment of cancer and other refractory diseases, exosomes are considered valid diagnostic biomarkers and potential therapeutic tools. Moreover, along with chemical, cellular and genetic engineering techniques, many existing exosomal modification strategies are promising for the development of improved clinical therapies.
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