Structure of a *Thermobifida fusca* lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase and mutagenesis of key residues
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Auxiliary activity (AA) enzymes are produced by numerous bacterial and fungal species to assist in the degradation of biomass. These enzymes are abundant but have yet to be fully characterized. Here, we report the X-ray structure of *Thermobifida fusca* AA10A (TfAA10A), investigate mutational characterization of key surface residues near its active site, and explore the importance of the various domains of *Thermobifida fusca* AA10B (TfAA10B). The structure of TfAA10A is similar to other bacterial LPMOs (lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases), including signs of photo-reduction and a distorted active site, with mixed features showing both type I and II copper coordination. The point mutation experiments of TfAA10A show that Trp82 and Asn83 are needed for binding, but only Trp82 affects activity. The TfAA10B domain truncation mutants reveal that CBM2 is crucial for the binding of substrate, but that the X1 module does not affect binding or activity.

**Results:** In TfAA10A, Trp82 and Asn83 are needed for binding, but only Trp82 affects activity. The TfAA10B domain truncation mutants reveal that CBM2 is crucial for substrate binding, but that the X1 module does not affect binding or activity. The structure of TfAA10A is similar to other bacterial lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases with mixed features showing both type I and II copper coordination.

**Conclusions:** The role of LPMOs and the variability of abundance in genomes are not fully explored. LPMOs likely perform initial attacks into crystalline cellulose to allow larger processive cellulases to bind and attack, but the precise nature of their synergistic behavior remains to be definitively characterized.
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**Background**

Cellulosic biomass is a promising source of carbon for renewable fuels and chemicals. Biomass feedstocks can undergo enzymatic deconstruction to their component sugars, which can be used for a variety of bioprocesses. The economic feasibility of cellulosic biofuels is limited by substrate recalcitrance, the native physical properties of plant tissue and cell walls that limit the efficiency of sugar release. Cellulolytic bacteria and fungi overcome biomass recalcitrance by secreting complex enzyme mixtures, which can be optimized for industrial application. Novel components that catalyze biomass saccharification are being investigated to optimize commercial enzyme preparations and, therefore, enable economic feasibility of second generation fuels and renewable chemicals.

Cellulose is a semicrystalline matrix of anhydro-β-D-glucose linked by β-1,4-glycosidic bonds forming polysaccharide chains [1]. Cellulose resists depolymerization by hydrolytic cellulases because of its insolubility in water, highly crystalline structure, and surface complexity. Glycoside hydrolases (comprising 135 CAZy families [2]) perform most of the saccharification of biomass in microbial secretomes and commercial preparations.
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Lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases (LPMOs) are auxiliary activity enzymes that also attack cellulose, as well as other polysaccharides, using an oxidative mechanism [3]. LPMOs are synergistic with hydrolytic cellulases, and significantly improve digestion by industrial cellulase preparation [4]. LPMO genes are abundant in both fungal and bacterial genomes, and multiple genes are often present in fungal genomes. LPMOs are compact globular enzymes that lack a substrate binding cleft or tunnel, and instead have a copper atom containing active site located near a planar binding surface. In the presence of a reducing agent and oxygen, LPMOs attack the surface of crystalline cellulose [5]. In contrast to processive cellulases, such as those found in GH families 6, 7, and 48, this mechanism avoids the search for an exposed cellulose chain and the slow process of positioning a cellulose chain end into a tunnel active site.

Oxidative activity on crystalline cellulose by LPMOs was first demonstrated for the LPMOs of Serratia marcescens [6]. The LPMO oxidative cleavage mechanism involves the creation of an oxygen radical from molecular oxygen, likely a Cu(II)-oxyl species, which abstracts hydrogen and hydroxylates the substrate [7]. Cellulose and chitin active LPMOs can target either side of the β-glycosidic bond. LPMOs that create oxidized products at glucose C1 are classified as type I; those that attack the C4 position are classified as type II, and those that have both activities are classified as type III [8–10]. Thermobifida fusca, a model cellulolytic bacterium, secretes two LPMOs, the type I (TfAA10B) and type III (TfAA10A) [11–13]. The C1 oxidized products of type I and type III LPMOs are δ-1,5 lactone sugars of varying lengths (that form aldonic acids when hydrated), and the C4 oxidized products of type II and type III LPMOs are 4-ketoaldehydes (that are hydrated to form gemdiols) [14]. Oxidative cleavage results in an altered cellulose substrate that is more easily degraded by hydrolases. For example, TfAA10A stimulates the activity of the processive exocellulase, TfCel48A [15].

The structures of several bacterial AA10 LPMOs have been solved, revealing common structural attributes that affect activity [16]. The first LPMO structure to be solved was the chitinolytic LPMO SmCBP21 and more recently several bacterial LPMOs acting on cellulose have been investigated [8, 17, 18]. These structures share a common immunoglobulin-like β-sandwich core fold, a flat binding surface, and a conserved N-terminal histidine. These conserved structural features are similar for LPMOs active on a range of insoluble substrates including chitin, indicating a common strategy for binding and active site positioning. The planar binding surface contains conserved polar residues, which function in binding to planar carbohydrate substrates. The structurally conserved copper coordination site positions the copper atom in proximity to the scissile carbohydrate bond and preserves the correct copper redox state [13]. The domain architecture of LPMOs is also shared across species, often with the LPMO catalytic domain (always at the N terminus due to the absolutely conserved N-terminal histidine involved in copper chelation) alone or attached to a binding domain.

In this work, we report an additional bacterial AA10 LPMO structure, AA10A from Thermobifida fusca, obtained using X-ray diffraction. The activity of TfAA10A (formerly E7) has received considerable attention recently [12, 13]. To explore the mechanism used by LPMOs to bind and perform oxidative cleavage of crystalline substrates, we characterized TfAA10A surface residue mutants and TfAA10B domain truncations. The results obtained indicate that both polar and aromatic residues on the surface play critical roles for binding and activity; and that the CBM2 domain contributes significantly to TfAA10B binding and activity.

Methods
Mutagenesis
TfAA10A and TfAA10B (formerly E7 and E8) were cloned in pET26b+ to replace the endogenous signal peptide with the PelB leader sequence. Mutants of TfAA10A and TfAA10B were created using the Quick-Change II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent), following established protocols. The TfAA10B domain deletion construct was made by introducing a HindIII cut site to replace the X1 domain with a two residue (LE) linker sequence. All construct sequences were validated, expressed, and purified using established protocols [11]. Concentration of purified proteins was determined at OD280 using a calculated extinction coefficients [AA10A: 3.2461 (mg/mL)-1 cm-1; AA10B: 2.2488 (mg/mL)-1 cm-1] and samples were stored at — 80 °C.

Crystallization
TfAA10A crystals were initially obtained using sitting drop vapor diffusion and a 96-well plate with Crystal Screen HT from Hampton Research (Aliso Viejo, CA). Reservoirs contained 50 µL of well solution and drops had 0.2 µL of well solution and 0.2 µL of protein solution. A Phoenix crystallization robot (Art Robbins Instruments, Sunnyvale, CA) was used for setting up the screens. The best crystals were grown at 20 °C with 0.1 M Sodium acetate trihydrate pH 4.6, 20% v/v 2-Propanol and 0.2 M Calcium chloride dihydrate as the well solution. The protein solution that was used for crystallization contained 8.5 mg/mL of protein in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and 5% ethylene glycol.
Data collection and processing

Both the native and potassium iodide (KI) soaked TfAA10A crystals were flash frozen in a nitrogen gas stream at 100 K before data collection. Crystallization solution with 12.5% (v/v) ethylene glycol and glycerol each was used for freezing the crystal. Potassium iodide was introduced to the crystal by adding 0.5 M KI into the well solution and soaking the crystal in a 5 µL drop for 5 s before flash freezing. Data collection was performed using an in-house Bruker X8 MicroStar X-ray generator with Helios mirrors and a Bruker Platinum 135 CCD detector. Data were indexed and processed with the Bruker Suite of programs version 2011.2-0 (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI).

Structure solution and refinement

The CCP4 package of programs [19], specifically SCALPACK2MTZ, c truncate, MTZDUMP, Unique, CAD, FREERFLAG and MTZUTILS, were used to convert intensities into structure factors and 5% of the reflections was flagged for Rfree calculations. The structure of TfAA10A was solved using SIRAS with Crank2 [20, 21]. Buccaneer [22] was used to auto build the resulting partial model. Refinement and manual correction were performed using REFMAC5 [23] version 5.7.0029 and Coot [24] version 0.6.2. Phenix.refine version 1.10-2155 [25] was used for occupancy refinement followed by REFMAC5. The MOLPROBITY method [26] was used to analyze the Ramachandran plot and root mean square deviations (rmsd) of bond lengths and angles were calculated from ideal values of Engh and Huber stereochemical parameters [27]. Wilson B-factor was calculated using CTRUNCATE version 1.17.7. The data collection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 1.

Structure analysis

Programs Coot and PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org) were used for comparing and analyzing structures. This structure has been deposited to the protein data bank (PDB; www.rcsb.org) with entry code 5UIZ.

Substrates and reducing agent

Bacterial cellulose (BC), a gift from Monsanto, was washed and prepared as described previously [28]. The concentration was determined by dry weight using a vacuum oven, and it was stored at 4 °C in MilliQ water with 0.02% sodium azide to prevent microbial contamination. All activity assays contained reduced glutathione (Sigma) as a reducing agent to enable LPMO activity. Glutathione was stored dry at −20 °C away from light, and a concentrated stock was prepared fresh for each assay by adjusting to pH 6.0 with sodium acetate.

Table 1 X-ray data collection and refinement statistics.

Statistics for the highest resolution bin are in parenthesis

| Data collection       |                                   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Space group           | P 3 2 1                           |
| Unit cell, Å, a       | a = 77.23, b = 77.23, c = 66.753  |
|                       | β = 122.35                        |
| Wavelength, Å         | 1.54178                           |
| Temperature (K)       | 100                               |
| Resolution, Å         | 25.0–1.99 (2.09–1.99)             |
| Unique reflections    | 28,968 (3560)                     |
| Rfree                 | 0.155 (0.622)                     |
| Average redundancy    | 11.9 (3.4)                        |
| completeness, %       | 10.6 (1.4)                        |
| completeness, %       | 97.9 (90.1)                       |

| Refinement             |                                   |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Resolution, Å          | 25–2.0 (2.05–2.0)                 |
| R/Rfree                | 0.164 (0.302)/0.229 (0.306)       |
| Protein atoms          | 3126                              |
| Water molecules        | 367                               |
| Other atoms            | 42                                |
| RMSD from ideal bond length, Åb | 0.016                          |
| RMSD from ideal bond angles, Åb | 1.648                          |
| Wilson B-factor        | 4.8                               |
| Average B-factor for protein atoms, Å2 | 21.8                          |
| Average B-factor for water molecules, Å2 | 29.2                          |

| Ramachandran plot statistics, %c |
|----------------------------------|
| Allowed                          | 100%                             |
| Favored                          | 97.1%                            |
| Outliers                         | 0                                |

* | Rint = Σ |I – <I>|/Σ|I| where I is the intensity of an individual reflection and <I> is the mean intensity of a group of equivalents and the sums are calculated over all reflections with more than one equivalent measured |
|----------------------------------|
| b [27]                            |
| c [26]                            |
microplate (Costar). Samples and standards were measured using a Synergy 4 plate reader (Biotek Instruments), and collected as the $A_{395}/A_{450}$ ratio to extend the sensitivity of the Bradford assay [29]. Unbound protein was quantified using a BSA standard curve, and extent of binding determined by comparison with enzyme only negative controls.

Assay method
Cellulose digestion assays were assembled using 0.5 μM of WT or mutant LPMO, 5.0 mg/mL bacterial cellulose, 1 μM CuSO$_4$, and 2 mM reduced glutathione to facilitate activity. To limit free radical reactions, each reaction contained 10 μg/ml catalase from Aspergillus niger (70 U, Sigma) that had been buffer exchanged thoroughly. Samples contained 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 6.0) in a final volume of 160 μL. All assays were run in triplicate, with representative controls and standards adjacent to sample wells. Plates containing kinetic time course samples were automatically removed at the designated intervals, transferred to a preheated PCR machine (MJResearch Inc.), heated to 100 °C for 5 min, and stored at room temperature until sample processing. Assay supernatant was separated from the insoluble substrate via centrifugation at 4000 RPM (3313xRCF) for 5 min before careful removal of supernatant for secondary hydrolysis. Secondary hydrolysis was performed to simplify quantification using Cel5A catalytic domain (50 μM) and Novo188 β-d-glucosidase (0.02 CBU, Novozymes) that had been buffer exchanged to remove most background signal.

HPLC quantification
Samples from secondary hydrolysis were filtered through a 96 well 0.45 μm Supor® filter (Pall) and were quantified using a Shimadzu Ultramate HPLC equipped with RID and UV detectors. An Aminex HPX-87H column (Bio-Rad) with a standard guard column was operated with isocratic flow at 0.6 mL/min with 0.005 M H$_2$SO$_4$ as eluent. 50 μL of each sample for HPLC detection was injected using a refrigerated autoinjector. Both neutral and oxidized sugars were detected with the RI detector, while oxidized sugars were detected at 200 nm in the UV channel [30]. Monosaccharide standards, glucose and gluconolactone (Sigma), were quantified during each run.

Data processing
OriginPro 2016 (OriginLab Corp.) was used to process the raw data. A Gaussian fit was applied to chromatogram peaks after removal of buffer background to yield values of area under the curve. These values were compared to a linear standard curve to determine concentration. The fraction of oxidized product was determined by a standard curve of varying ratios, yielding the concentration of each product. The extent of digestion was determined by comparison of the sum of monosaccharides released as initial anhydrous G1 based on dry weight determination. The kinetic time course data were plotted as % digestion vs time, and nonlinear kinetic parameters were determined based on the two-parameter model using existing protocols [15].

Results and discussion

The crystal structure of T. fusca AA10A
The structure of TfAA10A was refined to a resolution of 2.0 Å with $R$ and $R_{free}$ of 0.167 and 0.233, respectively. There are two molecules in the asymmetric unit with two copper atoms and multiple iodides (Fig. 1a). It has an Ig-like β-sandwich fold with a copper ion bound on the active site. In both molecules, the copper ion is only partially present with incomplete coordination likely due to low occupancy partial conformations at the active site that cannot be modeled properly. This is common with AA10 LPMOs [16]. The His37 forming the histidine brace and residues discussed in this manuscript, His144, Tyr213, Trp82 and Asn83, can be seen in electron density (Fig. 1b). The His37 residues of both chain A and B have somewhat lowered occupancy and the electron density for the His37 in chain A is weaker for part of the imidazole ring indicating conformational variability. The Asn83 residue adjacent to the copper active site is highly conserved, with two alternating conformations in chain A and atomic thermal displacement parameters indicating high mobility of the side chains. The adjacent aromatic residue present on the TfAA10A binding surface, Trp82, does not have high conservation. It has been suggested that this aromatic residue performs a substrate targeting role rather than increasing total binding affinity, based on models of the Phanerochaete chrysosporium GH61D AA9 LPMO [31]. The structure of TfAA10A has been deposited to the protein data bank (PDB; www.rcsb.org) with entry code 5U1Z.

Structural similarity of T. fusca AA10A
The structure of TfAA10A shares many features with other recently crystallized AA10 LPMOs [16]. Eight similar clusters with 40% sequence identity clustering were obtained from the protein data bank (PDB; www.rcsb.org) using the jFATCAT-rigid algorithm [32, 33]. From these proteins, the most similar was clearly the Streptomyces coelicolor lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase (PDB code 4OY6) [13], which has a sequence identity of 70% and Ca root mean square deviations of 0.66 Å, showing that the overall backbone is the same. The other seven structures had sequence identities below 30%.

Closer comparison between the Streptomyces coelicolor
lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase and TfAA10A reveals almost identical backbone and His144, Tyr213, Trp82 and Asn83 at the same locations and conformations (Fig. 1c).

The sequence of TfAA10A is similar to the catalytic domain of TfAA10B, sharing 33% residue identity, with the exception of an additional stretch of seven amino acids present in TfAA10B. TfAA10A does not have any auxiliary domains unlike TfAA10B which also includes a CBM2 and an X1 (Fn3-like) domain (Fig. 1d). An interesting feature of TfAA10A is the axial position of the copper coordination sphere, which is tyrosine. In most AA10 LPMOs and in TfAA10B, a phenylalanine occupies this position.

Copper coordination

The presence of copper at the TfAA10A active site is in agreement with similar structures and EPR results supporting copper as the essential metal [13]. The coordination of the TfAA10A copper is similar to other structures, supporting a common mechanism of copper coordination (Fig. 2 a, b). A histidine brace, a conserved feature of LPMOs coordination with copper [8, 9, 34–36], facilitated by the N-terminal histidine 37 can be clearly observed in TfAA10A. The two copper atoms in the asymmetric unit are both partially occupied after occupancy refinement. The copper atom at the active site of chain A is 50% occupied and the one with chain B has occupancy of 31%. The active site of chain A has type I copper coordination in agreement with previous studies [16] while the more distorted active site of chain B includes some features similar to type 2 with an equatorial water (wat550) in contact.
with the copper (Fig. 2a, b). Clearly, both active sites have been photo-reduced by X-ray radiation during data collection supporting the assumption that copper(II) is the catalytically competent state. However, the active site of chain B cannot be used for more detailed analysis of the active site coordination due to distortion. Specifically, the occupancy of the copper atom at this site is low (31%) after occupancy refinement, the distance to His144 is too long and in its main conformation His144 is hydrogen bonded to water 409 instead of coordinating with the copper atom (Fig. 2b).

On measuring LPMO kinetics: reducing agents

LPMO reaction kinetics includes all the complexity of cellulase kinetics acting on changing recalcitrant substrates, but with additional challenges for assay design and product detection. Multiple small molecule reducing agents have been found to enable LPMO activity [37–40]. Ascorbate has several disadvantages that complicate activity quantification. Its major oxidized form, dehydroascorbate, degrades into a complex mixture of products when boiled, which co-elute with oxidized monosaccharides. Ascorbate is also spontaneously oxidized by copper, making it a less suitable reducing agent [38]. As a source of reducing power, glutathione (GSH) is capable of single and double electron transfer [39]. GSH appears to have the same protective role in kinetics assays, as it does in cells, helping to maintain enzyme activity in the presence of oxygen radicals. The majority of glutathione forms a stable structure (GSSG) after oxidation that does not produce degradation products after boiling.

On measuring LPMO kinetics: unreliable kinetics

The wide product distribution created by pseudo-random cleavage makes the kinetics of LPMOs difficult to measure accurately [9]. To alleviate this, an excess of β-D-glucosidase (0.02 CBU) was used in conjunction with the catalytic domain of TfCel5A (50 nM), an endocellulase capable of degrading longer oligosaccharides. Secondary hydrolysis was complete after overnight incubation based on the absence of neutral or oxidized oligosaccharide products. The β-D-glucosidase used had no effect on glucose, as all standards were incubated under similar conditions of secondary hydrolysis to confirm the absence of any background lactone signal. Lactonase, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of gluconolactone to gluconic acid, is present in Novozymes 188 [41]. With the presence of lactonase, it was assumed that all soluble lactones were hydrolyzed despite the slower rate of spontaneous lactone hydrolysis at lower pH. However, if C1 oxidation products remained unhydrolyzed, quantification would remain unchanged, as gluconolactone and gluconic acid produced identical standard curves (data not shown).

Catalase was included in LPMO reactions to prevent damaging free radical reactions and preserve activity [42]. The creation of peroxide side products in solution is a predicted mechanism through which LPMOs are inactivated over time [35]. Peroxides may reduce measured kinetic activity by destroying enzyme structure directly or through consuming the soluble reducing agent [42]. The inhibition of LPMO activity by catalase observed by others [43] was not observed, possibly due to differences between bovine and fungal catalase. Furthermore, in our hands catalase does not stimulate activity of LPMOs or hydrolytic cellulases directly. In the kinetic time course reactions presented here, both molecular oxygen and reducing agents are present in excess, necessitating the addition of catalase to protect LPMO activity.

On measuring LPMO kinetics: product determination

HPLC determination of oxidized sugars using the Aminex HPX-87H was able to produce the ratio of C1 oxidized aldonic acids in samples (Additional file 1: Fig. 2A, B). The active site of T. fusca AA10A with coordination distances. a Chain A. b Chain B. Residues are shown as sticks with gray carbons, blue nitrogens and red oxygens. The copper atom is shown as an orange ball.
Figure S1) based on previous work using commercial mixtures [30]. This detection approach enables quantification using standard saccharide HPLC equipment, but suffers from lower resolution and product detection limits compared to other methods. The gluconolactone standard curve is very linear ($R^2 = 0.99$) and serves as an effective standard for quantification of oxidized glucose products. This detection approach is only useful in cases where obtaining the product distribution is not necessary, as it requires complete secondary hydrolysis.

This determination of oxidized products from secondary hydrolysis of reactions containing LPMOs relies on detection of the carbonyl group. UV detection cannot directly measure the conversion to gemdiol forms, as alcohol groups do not absorb at 200 nm. A different approach is required to accurately quantify the net oxidized products of type III LPMOs like AA10A that produce both gluconic acids (type I) and 4-ketoaldoses (type II). Some 4-ketoaldose products become hydrolyzed to the gemdiol form and cannot be quantified using this method. The proportion of 4-keto AA10A products compared to gluconic acids is not known. Due to this characteristic, the number of C4 oxidative cleavage events may be underestimated for TfAA10A and its mutants.

**TfAA10A surface mutants: binding and activity on crystalline cellulose**

Binding is essential for LPMO activity and thus to understand the mechanistic basis of LPMO activity, we must compare mutants with altered binding properties. Mutants of LPMO surface residues and domain architecture constructs help to reveal the mechanism of binding. Multiple residues on the TfAA10A surface were mutated (H37A, W82A, N83A and Y213F; Fig. 1a), and constructs removing domains from TfAA10B were compared in binding to crystalline cellulose (BC).

Mutation of conserved residues on the substrate binding surface had a significant effect on binding (Fig. 3). Compared to WT TfAA10A, binding surface mutants showed decreased binding affinity when measured at 16 h after equilibrium had been established. The significant decrease in binding due to removal of the binding surface tryptophan, Trp82, and asparagine, Asn83, indicates that both residues play a critical role in binding to crystalline cellulose. This observation is in agreement with previous results that showed that mutating the aromatic tyrosine of SmCBP21 (in the same position as TfAA10A Trp82) significantly decreases binding to chitin [17]. Removal of the N-terminal histidine residue (His37) eliminates the copper-histidine brace and consequently the binding of copper to the LPMO active site. This change greatly diminishes binding, supporting the significant role of the copper-histidine brace in mediating LPMO–substrate interaction. The change of TfAA10A Tyr213 to phenylalanine increased binding and probably changes the coordination sphere of the copper to resemble TfAA10B and other AA10 LPMOs [13, 44].

Furthermore, TfAA10A surface mutants have significantly altered activity compared to WT TfAA10A (Fig. 3). The H37A mutation eliminates essentially all oxidative activity relative to WT. This result is expected based on the important role of the His37 residue in providing the correct coordinating shell for the Cu atom within the active site. Also, the activity of W82A on PASC was significantly decreased relative to WT, to a similar degree to the loss of binding. The activity of the N83A mutant on PASC was much decreased relative to WT TfAA10A, but significantly less than the W82 mutant. This result indicates the importance of this conserved polar residue for binding, but once bound, the substrate may need to be positioned correctly by W82 to enable activity. The Y213F mutation to match the residue in the axial position of the copper coordination sphere in TfAA10B showed less change relative to WT TfAA10A. The mutation to Y213F had 28% less activity compared to WT and 26% more binding. Lower but not eliminated activity from the Y213F mutation is in line with previous studies where this tyrosine was mutated to alanine [45]. The presence of an additional hydroxyl group from tyrosine affects the hydrogen bonding network and consequently positioning of the copper in TfAA10A, but does not completely inhibit the activation of the copper to generate super-oxo species for catalytic attack. This observation is in agreement with previous electron spin resonance results exploring LPMO axial position occupancy [13].

The trend of TfAA10A surface mutant activity largely matches the trend of substrate binding, with the exception of the N83A mutant where digestion is less affected compared to binding. Moreover, the differences between binding and activity may be relevant to understanding the structure–function relationship for this LPMO AA family. Binding and activity are not always directly coupled, based on results of the N83A mutant, where binding was greatly weakened but significant activity remained. The weak binding at equilibrium indicates that while the binding was not as stable, it existed long enough to position the LPMO active site over the substrate bond. The W82A mutant had a larger effect on activity than the N83A mutant, which supports a role for LPMO surface aromatics performing a critical role in substrate positioning; as well as lowering the binding energy [31].

**TfAA10B domain mutants: binding and activity on crystalline cellulose**

The most significant difference appears to be the removal of the CBM2 domain, as in both mutants, binding to BC
is significantly reduced relative to WT (Fig. 4a). Though similar in size, the mutant with just the CD of TfAA10B has much less binding affinity than WT TfAA10B. This is due to the presence of the additional CBM2 domain of TfAA10B, which provides additional binding to regions of highly crystalline cellulose at the pH optimum for activity. Based on the results presented in Figs. 4a and 5, domain removal has a significant effect on TfAA10B activity [15]. The activity decreases over time similar to the nonlinear kinetics of hydrolytic cellulases [15]. Compared to the hydrolytic endocellulase, TfCel5A, TfAA10B releases fewer soluble products (Fig. 5).

The activity of LPMO domain constructs shows that whereas the two LPMO catalytic domains vary in binding ability, the activity of the T. fusca type I LPMO benefits significantly from the CBM2 domain. The AA10B truncation mutant lacking the intermediate X1 domain shows activity on BC that is unchanged relative to WT TfAA10B, but that activity was reduced for both mutants lacking the CBM2 domain. This is in agreement with some observations that have reported non-catalytic or binding related roles for X1 domains [46, 47]. This outcome suggests that similar to hydrolytic cellulases, the CBM2 is important for increasing the local concentration of the catalytic domain on the substrate surface in order to perform the catalytic attack. Due to the slower turnover rate reported previously (~ 1 min⁻¹), this enrichment on the substrate surface appears essential for generating sufficient oxidative cleavages for meaningful product release. This result is in agreement with previous work integrating T. fusca LPMO domains into cellulosome scaffolds [12]. The removal of the X1 domain had little effect, and the presence of the CBM2 domain was key to providing LPMO activity.

**Role of the X1 domain**

The domain mutants of TfAA10B do not indicate a clear role for the X1 (formerly FN3-like) domain of TfAA10B present between the CBM and the catalytic domain (Fig. 4a, b). The removal of the X1 domain, comparing WT to ΔX1, shows no effect. Similarly, the addition of the X1 domain to the CD does not enhance binding or activity (Figs. 4a, 5). Our results clearly show that the binding of TfAA10B is mediated mainly by the CBM2 domain and to some extent by the CD. The effect of X1 deletion is mirrored in activity results, where removal has no effect. Similarly, the removal of the X1 domain had little effect on TfAA10B incorporated into scaffolds [12]. Although X1 domains are abundant in both LPMO and cellulase genes, the X1 domain is currently a domain of unknown
function [48]. In some hydrolytic cellulases, such as TfCel48A and in chitinases, found in subfamily A and B of Family 18, they can be present in multiple copies [49]. X1 domains are especially abundant in extremophile amylolpullulanase [50]. Whereas X1 domains have little effect in LPMOs under tested conditions, their removal from a processive endocellulase significantly reduced activity on multiple substrates [51]. Also, X1 domain removal from CbhA caused activity reduction to 50% [52]. The structure of the CtCbhA X1 domains do not indicate a clear role and were shown to not destabilize cellulose structure [47]. Only two T. fusca cellulases, Cel5A and Cel6B, lack an X1 domain between their CD and CBM.

There have been multiple hypotheses describing the role of X1 domains, including linker protection and extension. The trend of location of X1 domains between the catalytic domain and CBMs in many LPMO genes suggests a role related to mediating binding, possibly replacing glycosylated fungal linkers or protecting long unstructured regions from proteolysis. The position of X1 domains between the CD and CBM2 domains suggest that it may play a role similar to the CBM to assist in direct binding to cellulose, which would replace glycosylated linkers as found in TrCel7A [53]. The most likely role of X1 domains in bacterial systems is to provide resistance to proteolysis, a structural feature protecting the otherwise unstructured linker between the CD and CBM domains.

Alternatively, unfolding of the X1 domain, similar to the role of the domain in mammalian titin, may give the CD more access to substrate further from the bound CBM. Forced unfolding of X1 domain via SMD has been explored [54]. The absence of disulfide bonds, which are present in the adjacent CBM2, results in less stability. This role is likely shared with the X1 domains found in other T. fusca hydrolyses, suggesting similar optimization of
domain arrangements of bacterial LPMOs and cellulases. The X1 domain may play a more significant role in pro-
cessive enzymes or in cases where substrate access for the 
CD is impaired. This supports the concept that the search 
and engagement to a free chain end is a limiting step for 
highly active exocellulases. The effect of X1 domain dele-
tion in LPMOs may not be evident under current experi-
mental conditions, due to the abundance of substrate.

Conclusions
In this work, the two LPMOs of Thermobifida fusca were 
explored through deletion of the multiple domains of 
TfAA10B and mutagenesis of surface residues of TfAA10A 
(H37A, W82A, N83A and Y213F). The crystal structure of 
TfAA10A was solved, showing significant similarities with 
other type III bacterial LPMOs. The activity of the LPMO 
mutants was measured on crystalline cellulose and charac-
terized using short time course kinetics [15, 55].

The structural features of the TfAA10A were in agree-
ment with other known bacterial LPMOs [16]. Electron 
density at the active sites of the two molecules in the 
asymmetric unit was weak and copper coordination was 
not perfect but the positions of the copper atoms and the 
residues of interest around the flat substrate binding area 
could be assigned without doubt. The coppers of both 
active sites were photo-reduced with the active site of 
chain A showing type I copper coordination while chain 
B active site retained some features of type II.

The point mutation experiments support existing mod-
els of LPMO binding and activity [31], showing that polar 
surface residues were likely selected for enhanced binding 
and the aromatic residues are important for positioning 
the substrate near the active site copper ion. Specifically, 
our results show that both Trp82 and Asn83 are impor-
tant for binding but only Trp82 has a clear effect on 
activity. The Y213F mutation that mirrors TfAA10B and 
fungal LPMOs had a very small effect on both binding 
and activity. This suggests that the additional hydroxyl 
group from the tyrosine affects the hydrogen bonding 
network near the active site copper but does not com-
pletely inhibit the activation of the copper.

The TfAA10B domain truncation mutants showed that 
CBM2 is important for the function of the enzyme but 
the X1 module does not affect binding or activity. This
indicates that the role of CBM2 is to increase the local concentration of the catalytic domain on the substrate surface. The importance of the CBM2 module is emphasized by the very low binding shown for the CD-only construct. The role of the X1 domain has not been conclusively established, but its presence between the CD and CBM domains suggests that it gives increased substrate access on crowded surface [47] and also acts as a proteolysis-resistant linker [53].

The role of LPMOs and the variability of abundance in genomes is not fully explored. LPMOs likely perform initial attacks into crystalline cellulose to allow larger processive cellulases to bind and attack, but the precise nature of their synergistic behavior remains to be definitively characterized.
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