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Abstract

We present ARAP-Tweet 2.0, a corpus of 5 million dialectal Arabic tweets and 50 million words of about 3000 Twitter users from 17 Arab countries. Compared to the first version, the new corpus has significant improvements in terms of the data volume and the annotation quality. It is fully balanced with respect to dialect, gender, and three age groups: under 25 years, between 25 and 34, and 35 years and above. This paper describes the process of creating the corpus starting from gathering the dialectal phrases to find the users, to annotating their accounts and retrieving their tweets. We also report on the evaluation of the annotation quality using the inter-annotator agreement measures which were applied to the whole corpus and not just a subset. The obtained results were substantial with average Cohens Kappa values of 0.99, 0.92, and 0.88 for the annotation of gender, dialect, and age respectively. We also discuss some challenges encountered when developing this corpus.

1 Introduction

As the popularity of natural language processing (NLP) based tools increases, there is a rising need for language resources such as annotated corpora to develop NLP tools. In this regard, Arabic lags behind other languages due to several reasons. First, Arabic is complex at various levels of linguistic representation (phonology, orthography, morphology, and syntax). Even though native Arabic speakers prefer using Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) for official communications, we are witnessing with the popularity of social media a rise in the use of dialectal Arabic for informal online interactions such as those found in blogs, forums, chats, tweets, posts, etc. (Mubarak and Darwish, 2014; Bouamor et al., 2018).

The content written by the users in social media sites can reveal some characteristics and attributes about them, which is the main focus of author profiling research. However, the lack of Arabic language resources limits that research on author profiling for the Arabic language in particular dialectal Arabic.

We present in this paper ARAP-Tweet 2.0, which is a large-scale manually-annotated multi-dialectal Arabic corpus. A first version of this corpus was presented in (Zaghouani and Charfi, 2018a,b) and it was extended significantly in terms of data volume, number of users, and annotation quality. ARAP-Tweet 2.0 covers dialects from 17 Arab countries and 15 regions. The number of users per region is 198, including a total of about 3000 users and approximately 5 million tweets. All users’ accounts were manually annotated with respect to the dialect, gender, and age. Thereby, we distinguished three age groups: under 25 years, between 25 years and 34 years, and 35 years and above. Moreover, significant effort was put in checking and improving the annotation quality.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents related work and Section 3 describes the methodology used in creating and annotating our corpus. Section 4 reports on the verification of the annotation as well as the evaluation. Section 5 discusses some challenges that we encountered when developing this corpus. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper and outlines possible directions for future work.
2 Related Work

Most research on Arabic NLP has focused on Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) (Habash, 2010). There are many parallel and monolingual annotated data collections with syntactic and semantic information such as the different iterations of Penn Arabic Probanks (Palmer et al., 2008; Zaghouani et al., 2010, 2012) and treebanks (Maamouri et al., 2010). Based on such resources, various tools were developed for syntactic parsing and morphological analysis (Habash, 2010).

Even though there are relatively many resources for MSA, Dialectal Arabic (DA) lags behind in terms of available resources. There have been some limited efforts toward creating resources for the most popular dialects such as the Egyptian and Levantine dialects which were presented in (Habash et al., 2013; Diab and Habash, 2007; Pasha et al., 2014). For example, Habash et al. created resources for morphological analysis of Egyptian dialect (Habash et al., 2013). For their work on machine translation, Zbib et al. (2012) created Levantine-English and Egyptian-English parallel corpora using crowd sourcing. Khalifa et al. (2018) created a morphologically annotated data corpus of Emirati Dialect.

Khalifa et al. (2016) created a corpus of 100M words covering various Arabic dialects. Other related projects worth to be mentioned are: the Egyptian Arabic Treebank (Maamouri et al., 2014); the Levantine Arabic Treebank (Maamouri et al., 2006), The Curras Palestinian Arabic annotated corpus with more than 70,000 words of various genres (Jarrar et al., 2014).

Furthermore, AlShargi et al. (2016) created a Yemeni (Sanaa Dialect) dataset and also a Moroccan Arabic corpus, while Al-Twairesh et al. (2018) built SUAR, a Najdi Arabic corpus annotated with the morphological analyzer MADAMIRA (Pasha et al., 2014). Finally, Voss et al. (2014) presented a Moroccan Arabic corpus annotated for code-switching (French, Berber and Moroccan Arabic).

Moreover, there have been some efforts towards creating Dialectal Arabic corpora by either translating existing corpora to dialects or by crowd sourcing annotation for data collected through various sources such as microblogs (e.g., Twitter). Along these lines, DART was developed as a Twitter based data set of dialectal Arabic covering five Arabic dialects: Egyptian, Levantine, Gulf, and Iraqi (Alsarsour et al., 2018). The annotation of this corpus was done through crowd sourcing. Bouamor et al. (2014) presented a multi-dialectal parallel corpus with 2,000 sentences translated to MSA, Tunisian, Jordanian, Palestinian and Syrian Arabic. Later on, MADAR was developed as a Multi-dialectal large scale corpus that provides parallel translation for 25 Arabic city dialects (Bouamor et al., 2018). All these efforts on creating Dialectal Arabic corpora either targeted some specific dialects only or did not provide the necessary annotation for author profiling such as annotation about age and gender.

3 Methodology

In the following, we report on the methodology and process followed for the creation, annotation, and validation of our corpus.

3.1 Corpus Overview

Twitter is an increasingly popular social media platform among the Arabic speaking people who tend to frequently use their Arabic dialects when sharing their stories and opinions. Therefore, we focused on Twitter to collect the data for our corpus. ARAP-Tweet 2.0 was developed in the context of the ARAP research project\(^1\) and it includes about 5.3 million Arabic tweets of approximately 3000 users from the following 15 Arabic speaking regions: Qatar, Kuwait, United Arab Emirate (UAE), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), Oman, Yemen, Iraq, Tunisia, Algeria, Libya, Morocco, Lebanon-Syria, Palestine-Jordan, Egypt, and Sudan. To the best of our knowledge, there is no other corpus that covers as many Arab regions as ours. For every region, we collected the tweets of 198 users that were equally-balanced over gender and three age groups: under 25, 25 until 34, and 35 and up. Compared to the first version of our corpus (Zaghouani and Charfi, 2018a) the number of users per region doubled in this second version.

3.2 Users

We used Twitter as our source for finding the users. In the beginning, we got a number of accounts by the geographical location of tweets and by searching on Twitter using specific words. We collected the users who posted tweets in a specific geographical location as defined in the tweet’s object. As this information was not available for all

\(^{1}\)arap.qatar.cmu.edu
tweets, we searched for users who had tweets that include some unique words, which are specific to a certain Arab region. Table 1 shows some of these unique words from every region covered by our corpus along with a tweet example for each word.

After that, we found further accounts using the followers of the initially identified users. Once the users were found, we hired experienced annotators to manually annotate their age, gender, and dialect. The annotators followed well-defined annotation guidelines, which are based on an extended version of the guidelines published in (Zaghouani and Charfi, 2018b). We continuously monitored the annotators’ work and updated the guidelines when needed. For each region, we retrieved the tweets of the users from their Twitter profiles. The selected users had to have at least 100 Arabic tweets and at most 3200 tweets. Moreover, the tweets had to be the original tweets, which means that we did not include retweets in our corpus. The average number of words per tweet is 10.

3.3 Annotation

In the following, we report on the annotation of the users with respect to gender, age, and dialect.

3.3.1 Gender Annotation

The gender was manually annotated for users and the number of users is balanced with respect to the gender across all regions, which means that we have 99 male users and 99 female users for each region. The annotation was done based on guidelines and criteria that were used to distinguish male and female users. For every user, the annotator determined the gender by analyzing the username, profile picture, and indicative words. The annotator checked the username if it is denoting a male or female name. Some users put their real photos in their Twitter profile, which also helped in determining their gender. In some cases, the username and the profile picture did not give sufficient information to identify their gender. Therefore, the annotators were looking for some indicative words in the user’s tweets. For example, the adjectives that describe feminine in Arabic usually end with تاء مربوطة (Taa’ Marbootah). Therefore, finding words that end with the Arabic letter Taa’ Marbootah such as بردانية (Feeling cold), نعمة (Feeling sleepy), or جمانة (Feeling hungry) in the tweets of a user indicates that the writer is a female. However, if the writer is a male, the adjectives used in his tweets would not have that letter. The annotators used this Arabic rule to determine the user’s gender when it was not possible to do so using the username or the profile photo. It is noteworthy that users for which the gender could not determined were not included in the corpus and were replaced by other users for which the gender could be determined as explained above.

3.3.2 Age Annotation

We annotated the age of the selected Twitter users using three age groups: under 25, 25 until 34, and 35 and above. In many cases, we were able to find the exact ages whereas in some other cases we were able to determine the correct age group without the exact age. The annotators went through the following steps to determine the age of a user:

Getting the exact age: Several Twitter users include their birth year as a part of their usernames. For example, in the username Omda1981m the birth year is most likely 1981, which indicates that this user is 38 years old. Some other users put their date of birth in their Twitter biography or in their other social media accounts such as Facebook, Instagram, or LinkedIn. Other users put their exact age in some of their tweets and we were able to search for those tweets using some relevant keywords in different languages as shown in Table 2.

Getting the estimated age: There were several cases in which we were not able to determine the exact age even after following the above-mentioned steps. In these cases, we opted to determine the approximate ages, so that we could annotate the user with the correct age group. This was done by searching for the user on other social media networks such as LinkedIn and Facebook. We often found either their exact ages or other age related hints such as the year of their graduation from university, which helped us in making an educated guess towards the user’s age. Furthermore, as a last resort for some few users, two annotators guessed the age separately using the Twitter photo. Then, we used Microsoft’s online tool\(^2\) that predicts the age by analyzing the facial features of a user through machine learning. Only users were included for which the age group guessed by Microsoft’s age determination tool.

3.3.3 Dialect Annotation

As mentioned above, we selected the users for our corpus by searching for tweets with dialect spe-
specific words and then retrieving the users of those tweets and their followers. In addition, once we retrieved the user’s tweets, the annotator(s) manually went through them and checked that at least half of the tweets are in the user’s respective dialect. When the users’ tweets were not mostly in their originally identified dialect, the annotators were instructed to replace them with other users from the same dialect, the same gender, and the same age group.

3.4 Tweets Retrieval

Twitter offers an Application Programming Interface (API) that allows developers to interact with Twitter’s web services. We used the Python programming language with the tweepy.py\(^3\) library to interact with the Twitter API and retrieve each user’s timeline (tweets). Due to restrictions by Twitter, we were only allowed to retrieve at most 3,200 of the users most recent Tweets. The number of tweets of every user in our corpus ranges from a required minimum of 100 tweets to a maximum of 3,200 tweets. After collecting the maximum possible number of tweets for each user, we filtered them. Specifically, we removed the non-Arabic tweets, retweets, and short tweets that contain less than 3 words. Eventually, we kept only the Twitter accounts who had at least 100 original users tweets that are in Arabic and that have

| Region            | Unique Word | User’s Tweet |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Lebanon-Syria     | هيدا        | عملت غوغلو و هيدا بلي طلع معي |
| Tunisia           | برهمة       | ذقت أنواع كسمكي منتاع بشرا دول يفكي الأند، والأضل التونسي |
| Iraq              | اهوايه      | ايا والله صحيح اهوايه اكو هيجي ماجح مع الأسف |
| KSA               | جملله       | صدولوي الموضوع فيه اني وفيه جملله |
| Palestine-Jordan  | هاط         | طبيعا هاط اني مفووع منه |
| Qatar             | احاتي       | انا لنتي احاتي الدراسة بين ما ادرس |
| Kuwait            | طنطل        | عاد اني دلو مفروض تكونين طنطل شفيكم يا جامعه |
| Sudan             | زول         | زول ما هعمل رتوبت لي اي زول |
| UAE               | مايوب       | تسليمن بالوافية يا راعي الذوق يبارافيق دوع راعي مايوب |
| Yemen             | معاديش     | يعني معقوله معاديش ولا غير كلهوها السر |
| Morocco           | دايا        | كنت دنا كنتملأت اني كان فالكتاب داية لقيت الحوار الشافي |
| Algeria           | لوت عمري   | كنتا انا وحويا وولد عمى نصيدو راوش ونسخوه وندروه مشوي |
| Libya             | شبه،        | علشب شبه، امي في ربيلي |
| Egypt             | دولوتي     | سبيلو دولوتي انا كوبس |
| Oman              | شقه        | انين عادي م خسأنا بطلمي شقه صدفين |

Table 1: Examples of unique words from each dialectal region with tweets that include these words.

| Indicative word | Language | Tweet Example |
|-----------------|----------|---------------|
| (My birthday)   | Arabic   | أكتوبر 1990 عيد ميلادي |
| (My age)        | Arabic   | الليلة اخر يوم لي وأنا عمري 22 |
| (Year)          | Arabic   | يكون 23 سنة أنة بنوه في مونديال 2022 |
| Turn            | English  | Hey Sosoo I will turn 20 in few days |
| (Years) Ans     | French   | 16 ans?? J’ai 35 ans ma chérie |

Table 2: Examples of keywords from different languages used to determine the users age.

\(^3\)http://docs.tweepy.org/en/v3.5.0/index.html
three words at least. At the end of this process, we were able to have a well-balanced multi-dialect corpus of 198 users for 15 regions spanning 17 Arab countries. The corpus is equally-balanced with respect to gender and age.

4 Verification and Evaluation

Our main objective was to produce a multi-dialectal corpus of tweets with a very good quality of annotation so that it can be used to promote Arabic NLP research including research on author profiling. This is a major improvement compared to the previous version of the corpus. To ensure a good quality annotation, we performed two rounds of annotation for each Arab region, which means that each region was annotated by two different annotators. Next, we report on the verification and evaluation process for the annotation of dialect, age, and gender. Moreover, we present the evaluation results for each annotation category.

4.1 Verification of Dialect Annotation

The annotation was done by experienced Arab annotators who were asked to go through each file of retrieved users tweets and check the dialect used in these tweets. This step was necessary to verify the overall dialect of all tweets for every user, and not only the last tweets in a users timeline. Based on this dialect verification, we did the following:

Removing the users who post tweets in multiple dialects: many Arab users do not live in their original countries, and consequently, their dialects are affected by the dialects of the countries they live in. We opted to drop such users from our corpus and we replaced them by users from the same gender and age group and which used one dialect only.

Removing the users who have many tweets in MSA: some Arab users post few tweets in their own dialects, and they write their tweets mostly in MSA. After checking all retrieved tweets of a given user, we included in our corpus only those who have more than half of their tweets written in their dialect.

4.2 Verification of Age and Gender Annotation

Although the age was determined manually, the corpus included initially some users for which neither the exact age nor the age group could be determined with high confidence. These cases occurred for example when the age of the user was determined mainly by using their Twitter profile photo.

Moreover, the annotators found initially some difficulties with determining the gender for some users for instance when the profile did not include a real name nor a profile photo. For the latter cases, the accounts were replaced by accounts from the same gender and age group for which the age could be determined with higher confidence. For all accounts, a second round of annotation was performed by a different annotator. At the end of this phase, we were able to have two annotations from different contributors for each user account. Eventually, variations were checked and resolved by a member of the research team together with the annotators by reviewing and discussing the provided justifications for a certain annotation of age, gender, or dialect.

4.3 Annotation Evaluation

In order to evaluate the quality of the annotation, we used the inter-annotator agreement measures. We were able to have two rounds of annotation by different annotators for each user and this applies for the gender, dialect and age group for the whole corpus unlike in the previous version (Zaghouani and Charfi, 2018a) in which the inter-annotator agreement was based on a 10 % subset of the corpus data.

We measured the inter-annotator agreement using Cohens Kappa, which is a statistical measure of the degree of agreement for a data point (gender, dialect, and age in our case), that was labeled by two annotators, over what would be expected by chance. We obtained substantial results for the agreement with average Kappa values of 0.99, 0.92, and 0.88 respectively for the annotation of gender, dialect, and age. The exact Kappa values per region are shown in Table 3.

5 Challenges

In the following, we report on some challenges that we faced when developing this corpus:

First, we encountered some difficulties in finding user accounts for some age groups for certain regions. This was the case for Sudani, Iraqi, and Gulf females whose age is 35 or above. For some Arab regions, female Twitter users tend to hide their real name and also avoid putting their photos on social media because of the local cul-
As a result, when we retrieved again the users or their tweets we noticed that some profiles were either made private or even closed. In such cases, we were no longer able to access the users profile and tweets. We addressed this problem by periodically checking the users’ accounts and replacing any accounts that were deactivated or made private.

6 Conclusion

We presented in this paper a significantly improved version of a large-scale manually-annotated and fine-grained multi-dialectal corpus of Arabic tweets, which is composed of 5 millions dialectal Arabic tweets of about 3000 Twitter users from 17 Arab countries. To the best of our knowledge, our corpus is the most comprehensive dialectal corpus in terms of coverage for so many Arab dialects and the data volume. Moreover, our corpus is balanced with respect to dialect, gender, and age. The corpus was annotated manually based on well-defined annotation guidelines and it was fully evaluated using the inter-annotation agreement measures.

The corpus was already and it can further be used to promote research on Arabic NLP including author profiling (Rosso et al., 2018), authorship attribution, dialect identification, sentiment analysis in dialectal Arabic, and bots detection in dialectal Arabic.
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