Effects of Hydrogeographical Origin on Zootechnical Parameters of Wild Populations of Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758)
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Abstract: The effect of geographical origin on the zootechnical parameters of tilapias is very poorly documented, unlike production systems and farming methods. In this study, the growth and survival characteristics of Oreochromis niloticus individuals were analyzed in relation with their waterway of origin, sex and age at experimental fishing. During the rearing, the duplicate groups were constituted keeping the 2 sexes (male vs female) separately. Fingerlings were fed using a granulated commercial fish feed (35% of proteins; 3 mm in diameter). Apart from chlorophyll «a», 3 phytoplankton and 5 zooplankton species were also available in the rearing tank. The body weight, total length, standard length, average weight gain, nutritive quotient and survival rate were similar (p>0.05) between lake Toho and Couffo river but weaker at these two waterways than Ouémé river (p<0.05). The ponderal and linear specific growth rates were significantly identical between lake Toho and Couffo river but more important (p<0.001) in these two waterways than Ouémé river. The majority of the individuals indicated an allometric growth (b<3), which is less desirable in fish farming. In short, 2 populations were identified: the group constituted by Ouémé river and the one including lake Toho and Couffo river. It appears important to lead actions (selective breeding, crossbreeding) to improve the zootechnical performances of these local populations in order to promote their aquaculture.
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Introduction

Fisheries products play an important role in the human nutrition worldwide. Fish represents 16.6% of the animal proteins supplies to the world population and 6.5% of all consumed proteins [1]. In addition, this sector provides substantial incomes to an important portion of the world population [1]. However, in many regions of sub-Saharan Africa, fish consumption remains too weak. Furthermore, populations of these regions do not fully benefit from the increasing contributions that fisheries and aquaculture bring elsewhere in terms of sustainable food security and incomes [1]–[3]. This can be explained by several factors such as overfishing and pollution of rivers, destruction of natural habitats of fish, introduction of new species and climate change that may cause the decline or disappearance of several fish species [4]–[6].

In this situation, fish-farming promotion becomes a necessity. For this purpose, tilapia species constitute, since decades, the main species for commercial fish
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In 2012, aquaculture production in Africa reached 1,485,367 tonnes, which represents 2.23% of the world total production [1], with an average 20% for tilapia farming [9]. In fact, tilapia farming becomes the type of aquaculture the most practiced in Africa [1], [10]. The subfamily of tilapias belongs to the Cichlidae family and includes about 100 species grouped into three genera (Oreochromis, Sarotherodon and Tilapia) which differentiate themselves by their reproductive behavior and diet [11], [12]. The main types used in aquaculture are Oreochromis and Sarotherodon including four species that are now farmed on a significant scale: Oreochromis niloticus, Sarotherodon melanotheron, Oreochromis aureus, Oreochromis mossambicus and their hybrids [7], [13]-[16]. In the developing world, Tilapia production is rising with a new offer that targets national and regional consumers rather than international markets [1]. It now represents the major source of income of African fish farmers [17], [18]. Their production is expected to be higher than 9.2 million tonnes in 2030 [1].

The Tilapiini have been divided into 10 genera based on breeding habit, food and trophic adaptations, structural characters and biogeography [19]. In Oreochromis niloticus, the effect of geographical origin is most often tested on levels of microbial infection and metal contamination but rarely on zootechnical parameters. A molecular technique using 16S rDNA profiles generated by PCR-DGGE was used in order to detect the variation in bacterial community structures of Oreochromis niloticus from three different lakes of the north of Cameroon and the effect of the season and fish species on these bacteria profiles [20]. It emerges that the fish species did not have an influence on microbial profiles of fish contrarily to the season. However, Streptococcus agalactiae, a major pathogen of Nile tilapia in Brazil, exhibited high virulence, regardless of the geographic origin of the isolated strains [21]. Furthermore, the international transport of fish contributes to the rapid movement of viral strains across regions [22]. Moreover, higher levels of chromium, nickel, silver, arsenic, zinc, copper and, at a lesser extent, cadmium and selenium were observed in selected fish and invertebrate species from Mugu Lagoon, Malibu Lagoon and Ballona Wetlands in southern California [23]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the biological, growth, survival and reproductive parameters of Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758) in relation with their waterways of origin, sex and ages at control harvesting for a better management of local resources to be used in selective breeding programs.

Material and methods

Origin of sample

In Benin, four major sets of basin were identified: the Niger river basin, the Volta river basin, the coastal watershed which includes Mono-Couffo on the Western side and Ouémé-Yéwa set on the Eastern side. The whole coastal complex flows into a lagoon system that connects the two West and East complexes. All fishes used in this study were from hydrographic areas of southern Benin (Table 1) under two agro-ecological context (subequatorial climate for Mono and Couffo basins and equatorial climate for Ouémé basin). The aquatic vegetation was scarce in Agonlinlowé (Ouémé river), Logbo and Lanta (lake Toho) while it was abundant in the six other sampling sites.

| Sub-river basin | Zone             | Site  | GPS Co-ordinates |
|-----------------|------------------|-------|------------------|
|                 |                  |       | Latitude         | Longitude       |
| Couffo          | Couffo river     | Lanta | 07°06'43.6"N    | 001°52'44.3"E  |
|                 |                  | Sahouamè | 07°07'09.0"N    | 001°52'14.6"E  |
|                 |                  | Tohou  | 07°05'54.4"N    | 001°52'46.6"E  |
|                 |                  | Logbo  | 06°37'02.6"N    | 001°46'03.5"E  |
| Mono            | Lake Toho        | Douimè | 06°37'33.1"N    | 001°46'59.0"E  |
|                 |                  | Kpimou | 06°36'36.3"N    | 001°46'21.8"E  |
|                 |                  | Agonlinlowè | 06°39'54.0"N   | 002°28'57.0"E  |
| Ouémé           | Ouémé river      | Hétin-Sota | 06°35'41.6"N   | 002°30'16.9"E  |
|                 |                  | Avagbondji | 06°31'30.3"N   | 002°31'55.7"E  |

Experimental procedure

The experiment was carried out at the fish farming station of the Department of Animal Health and Production of the University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin. It was conducted in 4 identical tanks of immersed volume 4 m$^3$ (2 m x 2 m x 1 m), each containing manual-sexed individuals. Fishing net of 10 mm mesh size was used as hapas and allowed to keep individuals from the three waterways (Ouémé river, lake Toho and Couffo river) separately. So there were three compartments in each tank (block). Each block was duplicated such as the blocks 1 and 2.
were for males and blocks 3 and 4 for females. The stocking density of each batch was 20 individuals m$^{-3}$. The fish used in the experiment were Oreochromis niloticus fry with an average weight equal to 21.27 ± 11.57 g and descended from the first mating generation between wild broodfish. Fry were on average aged of 3 months 15 days and tagged individually.

For feeding and controls, during fry rearing and fingersling breeding, fish were fed with commercial feed (Skreting®): diameter 1 mm (containing 57% of proteins) and diameter 3 mm (containing 35% of proteins) respectively. Fish of every block were fed manually three times per day: at 8 a.m., 12 p.m. and 5 p.m. The ratio of 5% of the biomass was applied during the experiment for feeding as in [24]. Controls of weight gain and survival were done every 15 days on 10% and 100% of the population in breeding respectively during the nursery and during the post nursery period.

**Data calculation**

The feed intake, growth and survival parameters were calculated using Microsoft Excel 2010. The Weight gain (WG) was determined by subtracting initial weight from final weight. Average weight gain was determined using the formula: $\text{WG} = \text{final weight/final number of fish}$; daily weight gain as $\text{DWG (g day$^{-1}$)} = \text{WG*breeding period}$; ponderal specific growth rate as $\text{PSGR (\% day$^{-1}$)} = 1000*\ln(\text{final mean fish weight})/\ln(\text{initial mean fish weight})/\text{breeding period}$; linear specific growth rate as $\text{LSGR (\% day$^{-1}$)} = 1000*\ln(\text{final mean fish total length})/\ln(\text{initial mean fish total length})/\text{breeding period}$; nutritive quotient as $\text{NQ} = \text{quantity of dry food distributed*weight gain}$; and survival rate as $\text{SR} = 100*\text{(final number of fish/initial number of fish)}$. Net biomass (NB) was determined by subtracting initial biomass from final biomass. Biomass per square meter was determined using the formula: $\text{BPM (g m}^{-2}) = \text{net biomass*surface area}$. The Total length-Weight relationship was described by the power type equation $\text{BW}=a*\text{TL}^b$. Where: $\text{BW}$=body weight (g); $\text{TL}$=total length (TL cm); $a$=fish’s environment coefficient and $b$=logarithmic slope or relative growth coefficient. The condition factor was calculated using the equation: $K=100\text{BW}*\text{SL}^{-3}$. Where: $K$=relative condition factor; $\text{BW}$=body weight (g); $\text{TL}$=total length (TL cm); $\text{SL}$=standard length (SL cm).

The maturity stages of gonads were determined by macroscopic analysis based on the 5 scales of gonadal maturation [26]. Gonado-Somatic Ratio (GSR) and Gonado-Somatic Index (GSI) correspond respectively to the gonad weight (GW) in percentage of the body weight (BW) ($\text{GSR} = \text{GW/BW}*100$) and the eviscerated fish weight (EW) ($\text{GSI} = \text{GW/EW}*100$). The formula used to calculate the gonad weight-fish size ratio was $R = \text{GW/TL}^3*10^3$. Where $\text{GW}$=gonad weight (g); $\text{BW}$=body weight; $\text{EW}$=eviscerated fish weight (g), $\text{TL}$=fish’s total length (cm).

**Statistical analysis**

The linear model procedure of R software (http://cran.r-project.org) was used for data analysis. The dual effect of the waterway of origin and age at experimental fishing and, the simultaneous effect of the sex and age at experimental fishing were tested. Interactions were not significant and thus, the three factors (waterway, sex and age at experimental fishing) are not influenced by their interactions. The mathematical expression of the model without interaction obtained was:

$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + W_i + S_j + \text{Age}_k + e_{ijkl}$$

Where:

- $Y_{ijkl}$: zootechnical performance of individual $l$, from $i^{th}$ waterway, of the $j^{th}$ sex and of the $k^{th}$ age at experimental fishing;
- $\mu$: grand mean effect;
- $W_i$: differential effect of the $i^{th}$ waterway (Ouémé river, lake Toho and Couffo river);
- $S_j$: differential effect of the $j^{th}$ sex (male and female);
- $\text{Age}_k$: differential effect of the $k^{th}$ age at experimental fishing (30, 60 and 90 DPN);
- $e_{ijkl}$: residual effect of the zootechnical performance of the $l$ individual, from the $i^{th}$ waterway, of the $j^{th}$ sex, and of the $k^{th}$ age at experimental fishing.

The F test was used to determine the significance of each effect and means were compared pairwise using the t test of Student. The Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was computed by the PCA procedure of R. The hclust method of R was used to achieve the dendrogram.

**Results**

**Biological quality of the rearing water**

The strongest production of chlorophyll «a» was observed in tank number 3 while its lowest value was recorded in tank 4 (Figure 1). Similarly, the production of chlorophyll «a» was significantly more important (p<0.05) in tanks 2 and 3 than in the...
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breeding tanks 1 and 4. A total of 3 phytoplankton species (*Scenedesmus quadricauda, Euglena oxyuris, Aulacoseira granulata*) against 5 zooplankton species (*Lecane bulla, Lepadella ovalis, Trichocerca sp, Polyarthra vulgaris, Elaphoidella grandidi*eri) were numbered. *Elaphoidella grandidi*eri was seen both as nauplii of copepod and harpacticoid copepod. The tank 3 was the richest in phytoplankton species (60,240 individuals L⁻¹), while the less rich one was the number 1 (17,866 individuals L⁻¹). With regard to zooplankton species, tanks number 2 and 3 were respectively the most (643 individuals L⁻¹) and the less (87 individuals L⁻¹) abounded (Figure 2).

**Figure 1:** Concentration in chlorophyll «a» in each rearing tank. From a tank to another, the means with different alphabetic superscripts are different at the threshold of 5%.

**Figure 2:** Diversity and abundance of planktons. Each color corresponds to a plankton species.
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**Physicochemical quality of the rearing water**
Whether in morning or evening, the physicochemical parameters such as pH, dissolved oxygen, salinity and TDS did not vary significantly according to a rearing tank (Figure 3). The water conductivity followed the same tendency except for mornings of the first 30 DPN. Indeed, in this period, it was significantly lower (p<0.05) in tanks 2 and 3 than in tanks 1 and 4. Similarly, it was, not only, similar between tanks 2 and 3 but also, between tanks 1 and 4. In the evening, the water temperature was identical (p>0.05) from a breeding tank to another whatever the rearing period. In morning, the same tendency was observed during the first 60 DPN. During the last 30 days of rearing, in the tank 3, the temperature was intermediate (p<0.01) between tanks 1, 2 and 4. It was higher in tanks 1 and 2 than in tank number 4 (p<0.05).

![Figure 3: Variation of physicochemical parameters according to the time of the day and period post nursery. DPN: Days Post Nursery.](image)

**Effects of the waterway, sex and age at experimental harvesting**
The weight gain, daily weight gain, condition factor, net biomass and biomass per square meter did not vary significantly from a waterway to another (p>0.05). However, the body weight, total length, standard length, average weight gain, nutritive quotient and survival rate were similar (p>0.05) between lake Toho and Couffo river but weaker at these two waterways than Ouémé river (p<0.05). The ponderal and linear specific growth rates were significantly identical between lake Toho and Couffo river but more important in these two waterways than in Ouémé river (p<0.001). Apart from the body weight which was significantly higher in males than females (51.54 ± 25.16 vs 40.32 ± 20.41, p<0.05), all the others zootechnical parameters did not vary significantly according to the sex (p>0.05). The average weight gain and nutritive quotient did not vary significantly (p>0.05) according to the DPN. However, the body weight, weight gain, daily weight gain, net biomass and biomass per square meter were significantly higher during the last 30 days of rearing than during the first 60 DPN (p<0.001). The total length, standard length, ponderal specific growth rate and linear specific growth rate were not only, weaker during the first 30 DPN than during the last 60 days of rearing and but also, weaker during 60 DPN than during the last 30 DPN (p<0.01). As for the condition factor, it followed the opposite tendency. The survival rate was more important during the first 30 days of rearing than during the last 30 days of rearing (p<0.05). During the 60 DPN, this parameter was intermediate (p>0.05) between the first 30 days and the last 30 DPN (Table 2).

**Multivariate analysis**
Principal Components Analysis (PCA) and dendrogram applied on zootechnical characteristics of *Oreochromis niloticus* stood out 2 groups: the group that is only constituted of Ouémé river and the one including lake Toho with Couffo river (Figures 4 & 5). *Oreochromis niloticus* individuals from Couffo river and Lake Toho were characterized by weaker ponderal and linear specific growth rates while Ouémé river’ individuals were characterized by higher body weight, total length, standard length, weight gain, average weight gain, daily weight gain, nutritive quotient, survival rate, net biomass and biomass per square meter (Figure 4).
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**Figure 4:** PCA analysis based on the zootechnical parameters. BW: Body Weight; TL: Total Length; SL: Standard Length; WG: Weight Gain; AWG: Average Weight Gain; DWG: Daily Weight Gain; PSGR: Ponderal Specific Growth Rate; LSGR: Linear Specific growth rate; NQ: Nutritive Quotient; K: Condition factor; SR: Survival Rate; NB: Net Biomass; BPM: Biomass per square meter.

**Figure 5:** Geographical location and proximity of *Oreochromis niloticus*‘s populations. Each color in the map corresponds to a sampling site.
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Relative growth and reproductive characteristics

Equations of Total length-Weight relationships showed that, overall, the body weight was strongly correlated with the total length ($0.74 \leq R^2 \leq 0.99$). The relative growth coefficient ($b$) varied from 2.38 to 3.22. Globally, females had their $b$ values superior to those of males (Table 3). After rearing, the gonado-somatic parameters were globally more elevated among females than males. Indeed, in males, the gonads weight, gonado-somatic ratio, gonado-somatic index and gonad weight-fish size ratio varied respectively from $0.21 \pm 0.2$ g to $0.23 \pm 0.2$ g, $0.20 \pm 0.15$ to $0.27 \pm 0.19$, $0.23 \pm 0.17$ to $0.31 \pm 0.23$ and $0.07 \pm 0.06$ to $0.10 \pm 0.07$. Moreover, among females, these parameters remained respectively within the ranges of $1.41 \pm 0.78$ g to $2.03 \pm 1.93$ g, $1.86 \pm 1.51$ to $2.59 \pm 1.17$, $0.67 \pm 0.55$ to $2.93 \pm 1.33$ and $0.67 \pm 0.55$ to $1.01 \pm 0.46$ (Figure 6). Furthermore, the majority of individuals were at maturity stage IV with percentages ranging from 42.86% to 93.33% (Figure 7).

Figure 6: Gonado-somatic ratio, gonado-somatic index and gonad weight-fish size ratio of *Oreochromis niloticus*’ individuals at the end of the experiment (GW: gonad weight; RGS: gonado-somatic ratio; GSI: gonado-somatic index; R: gonad weight-fish size ratio). For each sex, the means of the same reproductive parameter with different alphabetic superscripts are different at the threshold of 5%.

Figure 7: Stage of sexual maturity of *Oreochromis niloticus*’ individuals at the end of the experiment. I = stage 1; II = stage 2; III = stage 3; IV = stage 4; V = stage 5.
Table 2: Variation of zootechnical parameters per waterway, sex and age at experimental fishing.

| Variable | Ouémé river | Lake Toho | Couffo river | Significance |
|----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| BW (g)   | 42.4 ± 4.2  | 32.7 ± 3.1| 28.8 ± 2.3  | M            |
| TL (cm)  | 14.1 ± 0.6  | 12.0 ± 0.3| 12.7 ± 0.2  | NS           |
| SL (cm)  | 3.5 ± 0.2   | 3.2 ± 0.2| 3.5 ± 0.2   | M            |
| WG (g)   | 32.7 ± 4.3  | 28.8 ± 3.4| 25.5 ± 2.1  | M            |
| AVG (g)  | 0.9 ± 0.1   | 0.9 ± 0.1| 0.8 ± 0.1   | M            |
| DNF (g/day) | 0.09 ± 0.01| 0.12 ± 0.01| 0.14 ± 0.01| M            |
| PSGR (%/day) | 0.8 ± 0.1  | 0.9 ± 0.1| 1.0 ± 0.1   | M            |
| NQ       | 3.4 ± 0.2   | 3.2 ± 0.2| 3.3 ± 0.2   | M            |
| K        | 3.3 ± 0.2   | 3.2 ± 0.2| 3.3 ± 0.2   | M            |
| BW/TL    | M+F         | M+F       | M+F         | M            |
| BW/SL    | M+F         | M+F       | M+F         | M            |
| EW/TL    | M+F         | M+F       | M+F         | M            |
| EW/SL    | M+F         | M+F       | M+F         | M            |
| BFS (g/m²) | 8.1 ± 0.3  | 6.3 ± 0.3| 6.0 ± 0.3   | M            |

Table 3: Parameters of Oreochromis niloticus' weight-length relationships.

| Waterway | Ratio | Sex   | a    | b    | R²   | Number | LogBW = 2.8LogTL - 1.52 |
|----------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--------|-------------------------|
| Ouémé river | BW/TL | M+F   | 2.8  | 0.03 | 0.97 | 26     | LogBW = 2.8LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | M     | 2.74 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 15     | LogBW = 2.74LogTL - 1.40 |
|           |       | F     | 2.9  | 0.02 | 0.98 | 11     | LogBW = 2.9LogTL - 1.70  |
|           |       | EW/TL | M+F  | 2.8  | 0.03 | 0.97 | 26     | LogBW = 2.8LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | M     | 2.77 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 15     | LogBW = 2.77LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | F     | 2.87 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 11     | LogBW = 2.87LogTL - 1.70 |
|           |       | BW/SL | M+F  | 2.71 | 0.08 | 0.97 | 26     | LogBW = 2.71LogTL - 1.10 |
|           |       | M     | 2.65 | 0.09 | 0.98 | 15     | LogBW = 2.65LogTL - 1.05 |
|           |       | F     | 2.83 | 0.06 | 0.97 | 11     | LogBW = 2.83LogTL - 1.22 |
|           |       | EW/SL | M    | 2.71 | 0.07 | 0.97 | 26     | LogBW = 2.71LogTL - 1.15 |
|           |       |       | 2.69 | 0.07 | 0.98 | 15     | LogBW = 2.69LogTL - 1.15 |
|           |       |       | 2.79 | 0.06 | 0.96 | 11     | LogBW = 2.79LogTL - 1.22 |
| Lake Toho | BW/TL | M     | 2.82 | 0.03 | 0.98 | 30     | LogBW = 2.82LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | M     | 2.77 | 0.04 | 0.99 | 15     | LogBW = 2.77LogTL - 1.40 |
|           |       | F     | 2.84 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 15     | LogBW = 2.84LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | EW/TL | M+F  | 2.83 | 0.03 | 0.98 | 30     | LogBW = 2.83LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | M     | 2.76 | 0.03 | 0.99 | 15     | LogBW = 2.76LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | F     | 2.84 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 15     | LogBW = 2.84LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | BW/SL | M+F  | 2.58 | 0.11 | 0.98 | 30     | LogBW = 2.58LogTL - 0.96 |
|           |       | M     | 2.62 | 0.1  | 0.98 | 15     | LogBW = 2.62LogTL - 1  |
|           |       | F     | 2.52 | 0.13 | 0.98 | 15     | LogBW = 2.52LogTL - 0.89 |
|           |       | EW/SL | M+F  | 2.59 | 0.1  | 0.98 | 30     | LogBW = 2.59LogTL - 1  |
|           |       | M     | 2.6  | 0.1  | 0.98 | 15     | LogBW = 2.6LogTL - 1  |
|           |       | F     | 2.52 | 0.11 | 0.98 | 15     | LogBW = 2.52LogTL + 0.96 |
| Couffo river | BW/TL | M+F   | 2.8  | 0.03 | 0.96 | 29     | LogBW = 2.8LogTL - 1.52 |
|           |       | M     | 2.61 | 0.06 | 0.91 | 14     | LogBW = 2.61LogTL - 1.22 |
|           |       | F     | 3.22 | 0.01 | 0.97 | 15     | LogBW = 3.22LogTL - 2 |
|           |       | EW/TL | M+F  | 2.89 | 0.02 | 0.93 | 29     | LogBW = 2.89LogTL - 1.7 |
|           |       | M     | 2.38 | 0.1  | 0.86 | 14     | LogBW = 2.38LogTL - 1 |
|           |       | F     | 3.17 | 0.01 | 0.9 | 15     | LogBW = 3.17LogTL - 2 |
|           |       | BW/SL | M+F  | 2.81 | 0.06 | 0.91 | 29     | LogBW = 2.81LogTL - 1.22 |
|           |       | M     | 2.6  | 0.11 | 0.77 | 14     | LogBW = 2.6LogTL - 0.96 |
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| M: male; F: female; b: logarithmic slope; R²: regression coefficient; BW: body weight; EW: eviscerated fish weight; TL: total length; SL: standard length. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| F | 2.98 | 0.04 | 0.92 | 15 | LogBW=2.98LogTL-1.4 |
| M+F | 2.92 | 0.04 | 0.9 | 29 | LogBW=2.92LogTL-1.4 |
| EW/SL | 2.39 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 14 | LogBW=2.39LogTL-0.8 |
| M | 2.99 | 0.03 | 0.89 | 15 | LogBW=2.99LogTL-1.52 |
| F | 2.99 | 0.03 | 0.89 | 15 | LogBW=2.99LogTL-1.52 |

Discussion

The tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* can adapt to a large variation of ecological factors and colonizes extremely varied surrounding aquatic environments. Globally, in this study, whatever is the time of the day, the physicochemical parameters of the rearing water remained relatively stable (p>0.05) throughout the experimental period. Variations in the water conductivity data reflect changes in the different ionic concentrations of water. The pH’s values are within the limits recommended for this species (6.2 to 9) [27], [28], [29] points out that *Oreochromis niloticus* can survive at pH values ranged between 8 and 11. [24] obtain an average pH equal to 6.93 for this tilapia species. The oxygen content influences the growth of *Oreochromis niloticus*. Indeed, a decrease in oxygen level involves an insufficient oxygen availability for the high energy needs of the fish, a slowing or interruption of food intake and therefore a reduction of the growth [30], [31]. Furthermore, compared to fish ponds, rearing tanks are less producers of algae capable to provide oxygen sufficiently with the help of sunlight [32]. With regard to the water’s temperature, the extremes recommended for *Oreochromis niloticus* breeding are between 16°C and 38°C with an optimum ranged from 28°C to 32°C [7]. Similarly, the favorable thermal interval for a better growth of *Oreochromis niloticus* is ranged from 24°C to 28°C [29], [33]. In the present study, the water temperature fluctuated between 27.38 ± 0.37°C and 31.66 ± 0.97°C while remaining within the limits recommended for the growth of this tilapia species. The water salinity varied from 0.29 ± 0.04 mg L⁻¹ to 0.39 ± 0.6 mg L⁻¹. According to [29], *Oreochromis niloticus* can tolerate salinities varying from 0.015 to 30%. Moreover, *Oreochromis niloticus* is a stenohaline species whose best growth rates were observed in salinities ranged between 0 and 1 g L⁻¹, although this species is able to tolerate salinities ranging from 0 to 7 g L⁻¹ [34]. The values observed in this study do not constitute a limiting factor for its survival and growth because it is naturally adapted to freshwater. In the present study, the water was much more concentrated in suspended matters because the TDS was between 205 ± 76.33 ppm and 280.63 ± 9.29 ppm. However, following the assessment guide of the water TDS level [35], an appreciation “water of excellent quality” could be assigned to the water used in the experiment. The concentrations in chlorophyll « a » varied from 306.82 µg L⁻¹ to 8591.07 µg L⁻¹. This reflects the availability of a large quantity of microalgae for *Oreochromis niloticus* individuals used during the experiment. In Ghana, [36] reported concentrations of chlorophyll « a » ranged between 150 µg L⁻¹ and 500 µg L⁻¹ in intensive fish farming. These differences could be due to the fertilization and food residues encouraging an increase in the nutrients content in the tanks [37]. The species of zooplankton and phytoplankton counted in this study are those generally reported in the literature for freshwater tilapia farming [2], [38]-[41].

The growth of tilapia varies greatly from a species to another and from a population to another. This variation is also related to the strain used [42], food availability, populations demographic structure, catch selectivity and/or predation and the surface area of the waterway [7], [43]. The effect of geographical origin on the zootechnical parameters of tilapias is very poorly documented, contrary to production systems and rearing methods. [44] assessed the reproductive and growth characteristics of a highly domesticated stock of *Oreochromis niloticus* (from Côte d’Ivoire), two non-domesticated stocks (from Egypt and Sagana) and wild individuals (from lake Victoria). The combined effects of relative fertility, percentage of spawning females and successful incubation showed that Côte d’Ivoire’s strain yielded eight times more fry per kg of female than the lake Victoria strain. Moreover, based on different hybrids *Oreochromis niloticus* X *Oreochromis aureus*, [45] showed that their geographical origin had a significant effect on the production traits including average initial weight, daily weight gain, survival rate, yield and sex ratio. Similarly, based on *Menidia menidia*, [46] demonstrated that the sex ratio sensitivity of this species is related to the geographical origin of the strains used. Furthermore, significant differences in total lipid content were observed in Perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) from the Rhine river and lake Geneva (1.21 and 1.48%, respectively) [47]. The zootechnical closeness (obtained in the dendogram) between lake Toho and Couffo river could be explained by the geographical proximity of these waterways and the similarity of some ecological factors. In this study, the weight gain did not differ significantly from a waterway to another (24.65 ± 12.86 g to 32.74 ± 17.71 g; p>0.05). Depending on the stocking density of *Oreochromis*.
niloticus, [48] obtain the weight gains ranging from 40.24 ± 7.64 g to 54.03 ± 7.76 g (for 10 individuals m⁻²) and 36.65 ± 5.73 g to 46.11 ± 5.87 g (for 13 individuals m⁻²) respectively. In Burkina Faso, [3] record the weight gains of 25.79 ± 1.54 g in fish hole and 33.43 ± 2.47 g in a cage for this tilapia species. The value of the weight gain depends on the physiological state, the stocking density effect, the strain and the origin of the fish [49]. The average weight gain didn’t vary statistically according to the sex (p>0.05). However, it was higher in males than females (5.22 ± 3.79 g vs 4.57 ± 3.28 g). In Oreochromis niloticus rearing ponds, [50] observed that the highest average weight gains are obtained in the batches that containing 95% of males and more. In “Côte d’Ivoire”, [2] found an average weight gain of 11.04 ± 0.05 g in fish farming. This parameter is influenced by the stocking effect and the initial weight of the fish used during experiments. Depending on the strain used, the feeding and rearing conditions, tilapia may deliver an individual daily growth of 2 to 4 g day⁻¹ [7]. In this study, the daily weight gain did not vary significantly from a population to another. The values gotten are similar to those reported in the literature. Indeed, [51] observed an individual average growth of 2.81 ± 0.07 g day⁻¹ for Bouaké strain, 2.50 ± 0.05 g day⁻¹ for Daloa strain and 2.50 ± 0.10 g day⁻¹ for Burkina Faso strain. In brackish water fish farming of Oreochromis niloticus, [24] reported an average daily gain of 0.75 g day⁻¹. In Burkina Faso, [3] recorded the daily weight gains of 0.67 ± 0.04 g day⁻¹ and 0.88 ± 0.07 g day⁻¹ respectively in cage and fish hole. Male individuals showed the ponderal and linear specific growth rates higher than those of females. These results are in agreement with those of [49], [52], [53] who show that the male tilapias have a better growth performance compared to females. After 91 rearing days in fertilized tanks, [53] record specific growth rates equal to 2.77 ± 0.09 % day⁻¹ and 2.38 ± 0.05 % day⁻¹ respectively for Oreochromis niloticus’ males and females. In cage and hapa aquaculture, [49] found the respective specific growth rates of 1.22 ± 0.03 % day⁻¹ and 0.80 ± 0.04 % day⁻¹. In brackish water fish farming of Oreochromis niloticus, [24] reported a specific growth rate equal to 1.49 % day⁻¹. By enhancing the production of natural food in fish ponds, the fish production can increase and its costs can reduce. [54] showed that periphyton plays a central role in the growth of Oreochromis niloticus. Indeed, Nile Tilapia juveniles fed by the lower protein diets with periphyton performed better (p<0.05) than those fed by the 25% crude protein control diet with no periphyton (3.36 ± 0.04 and 3.39 ± 0.05 % day⁻¹ vs 3.06 ± 0.05 % day⁻¹). In the present study, the availability of several plankton species encouraged the best growth rates recorded. The linear specific growth rates recorded in the present study are similar to those reported for Oreochromis niloticus by [24] in Ivorian lagoons (0.48 % day⁻¹). The nutritive quotients recorded in this study are higher than those observed by [48], [55] in fish tanks and ponds to “Côte d’Ivoire”. Indeed, depending on the alimentary treatment, fry of Oreochromis niloticus subjected to a diet without fish meal have shown the nutritive quotients of 0.98 and 1.3 in the rearing tanks [55]. Oreochromis niloticus fingerlings reared under the stocking densities of 10 individuals m⁻² and 13 individuals m⁻² showed the nutritive quotients ranging from 1.13 to 1.87[48]. In Congo, [56] relate the respective nutritive quotients of 10.2 ± 0.2 and 12.2 ± 6.3 in Oreochromis niloticus ponds and floating cage. In addition, [3] observe the values of 2.72, 0.97 and 7.37 for this parameter in cage and fish hole to Burkina Faso. Otherwise, in an isolated strain of the tilapia Sarotherodon melanotheron, [57] recorded the high nutritive quotients equal to 17.66 ± 0.54, 6.13 ± 2.23 and 8.28 ± 1.75 in tank, ponds and cage respectively. These differences in nutritive quotients could be due to the quality of the food used during these various experiments. Moreover, the smaller the nutritive quotient is, the better the food is and the better the fish grow ([25]). The condition factor is an important parameter of the fish relative stoutness expression. It is useful for understanding the impacts of variations in environmental conditions on species and is also used as a measure of the physiological development stage of fish. Globally, the condition factor computed for Oreochromis niloticus were ranged from 3.67 ± 0.94 to 5.25 ± 1.51, which indicated a good health condition during the experiment. The similar condition factors have been obtained by [49] in floating cage (3.83 ± 0.09) and hapa (3.80 ± 0.05). Similarly, [58] reports the conditions factor equal to 3.54 ± 0.02 and 3.66 ± 0.02 in Ghana for Oreochromis niloticus’ individuals under selective breeding. At lake Toho, [59] recorded the monthly variation of condition factor ranged from 1 to 2 in Oreochromis niloticus. With Oreochromis niloticus’ fingerlings fed on different levels of maltose, the condition factors were 1.64, 1.77, 1.74, 1.72 and 1.79 [60]. The survival rates recorded in the present experiment are satisfactory and similar to those reported for Oreochromis niloticus in the literature: 65.02 ± 4.71% [2], 79.34 ± 6.55% to 94.2 ± 2.48% [48], 52.85% [24], 80% and 95-100% [61], 97.5 and 98.2 [53]. [51] indicate the survival rate equal to 90.20(0)% for Bouaké strain, 94.24(3.09)% for Daloa strain and 89.69(1.55)% for Burkina Faso strain. As for the relative growth coefficient b, when it is equal to 3, isometric pattern of growth occurs, but when b is not equal to 3, allometric pattern of growth occurs, which may be positive if b>3 or negative if b<3 [62]. In our study, b ranged from 2.38
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to 3.22. The majority of the individuals has the value of b < 3, so they grow more in length than weight. [2] got the allometric coefficients equal to 2.84 ± 0.14 and 2.79 ± 0.23 respectively in fish farming and fish-rice integrated system of Oreochromis niloticus. Furthermore, according to [60], the values of the regression coefficient for fish fed on different maltose levels (0.0%, 20%, 25%, 30% and 35%) was respectively 0.82, 0.87, 0.93, 0.82 and 0.90. For this species, [63] recorded the values of b ranged from 2.169 and 3.441 in the hydrographic basin of Comoé (Burkina Faso). At the end of the experiment, most of individuals were at the IV<sup>th</sup> sexual maturity stage (42.86% - 93.33%). This results from the fact that the two sexes were separated during the experiment. This is in accordance with the finding of [52] who concluded that sexual maturation could be involved in the expression of sexual growth dimorphism. Moreover, the gonado-somatic ratio (GSR) and the gonado-somatic index (GSI) permit to characterize the sexual maturation of Oreochromis niloticus individuals. Variations in the GRS and GSI show that the oocytes weight is higher than the one of testicles so that the reproductive parameters were higher in females than males. This finding corroborates with the observations of [52]. However, [64] observed that the final GSI of females and males (2.57% to 3.22% vs 0.64% to 0.81%) were not affected by the feed type (p>0.05).

Overall, Oreochromis niloticus individuals from Ouémé river performed more than those of lake Toho and Couffo river. The majority of individuals indicated an allometric growth, which is less desirable for fish farming. Two populations are distinguished: the group that is only constituted of Ouémé river and the one including lake Toho with Couffo river. The implementation of a selective breeding program of these local populations of Oreochromis niloticus will improve their zootechnical performances.
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