Effects of COVID-19 Lockdown on Movement Disorders Patients With Deep Brain Stimulation: A Multicenter Survey
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Methods: This cross-sectional multicenter study was carried out during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and was based on a structured survey administered during a telephone call. The questionnaire was designed to gather motor and/or psychiatric effects of the lockdown and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemiologic information in PD and dystonic patients with deep brain stimulation (DBS), may have an increased risk of chronic stress related to social restriction measures and may show a potential worsening of motor and psychiatric symptoms.

Results: One hundred four patients were included in the study, 90 affected by PD and 14 by dystonia. Forty-nine patients reported a subjective perception of worsening of global neurological symptoms (motor and/or psychiatric) related to the containment measures. In the multivariate analysis, having problems with the DBS device was the only independent predictor of motor worsening [odds ratio (OR) = 3.10 (1.22–7.91), p = 0.018]. Independent predictors of psychiatric worsening were instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) score [OR = 0.78 (0.64–0.95), p = 0.012] and problems with DBS [OR = 5.69 (1.95–16.62), p = 0.001]. Only one patient underwent nasopharyngeal swabs, both negative, and no patient received a diagnosis of COVID-19.
INTRODUCTION

In early 2020, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection has spread worldwide, becoming a pandemic. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) commonly presents with fever, cough, and dyspnea; the most severe complication of the infection is the acute respiratory distress syndrome (1). Many government authorities took emergency containment measures to reduce viral transmission (2). In Italy, according to Prime Minister Decree of March 11, 2020, the containment measures recommended a reduction of routine hospital activities: activities of outpatient clinics were stopped, and admittance of patients affected by neurological disorders was allowed only for emergency conditions. Elderly individuals with preexisting comorbidities, including movement disorders, are fragile patients due to their higher risk of infections and poor outcome of disease management (3). Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a well-established second-level treatment for patients with severe Parkinson’s disease (PD) and dystonia who show poor response to pharmacological treatment (4). These patients are routinely monitored with close follow-up, given the need for periodic adjustments of stimulation parameters to optimize motor control and for periodic checks of implantable pulse generator (IPG) functionality and battery status in order to reduce the risk of device-related complications (5, 6). In addition, PD and dystonic patients treated with DBS often need a close follow-up of possible psychiatric symptoms (depression, anxiety, apathy, impulse control disorders, psychosis, disorders of sleep, and wakefulness) (7). For the aforementioned reasons, patients with movement disorders are exposed to an increased risk of chronic stress related to social restriction measures and may show a potential worsening of motor and psychiatric symptoms (8–10). Aim of the present study was to analyze the effects of the lockdown on this vulnerable category of patients affected by PD and dystonia treated with DBS and, secondarily, to investigate the prevalence of COVID-19 suggestive symptoms in this population.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional multicenter study was carried out during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and was promoted by the Neurology Clinic of the Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS in Rome (Italy), in collaboration with other neurology clinics based in Lazio region with good expertise on the management of DBS for movement disorders.

Conclusions: Lockdown restriction measures were associated with subjective worsening of motor and psychiatric symptoms in PD and dystonic patients treated with DBS, and they may have exacerbated the burden of neurological disease and increased the chronic stress related to the DBS management.
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Study Population

Inclusion Criteria
PD and dystonic patients who received a DBS implant, which was functioning during the COVID-19 lockdown, and followed in the DBS centers of Lazio region were asked to participate in a telephone survey. Patients were included regardless of the DBS target, the hospital, and the year in which implantation of the neurostimulator was carried out.

Exclusion Criteria
Patients were excluded if they were unable to provide informed and valid consent at the time of the interview, if they show cognitive decline, and if they did not speak Italian fluently.

Survey Design and Testing

The study was based on a structured survey administered during a telephone call carried out as part of the close follow-up scheduled for the included patients. Surveys started on April 28, 2020, and ended on May 12, 2020. A questionnaire was employed, aimed at gathering the following data:

- demographic and clinical data related to PD and dystonia (age, sex, age at disease onset, disease duration, presence of psychiatric symptoms prior to the lockdown, preexisting hyposmia, PD phenotype, current treatment of the neurological disorder)
- information about DBS (target, years from the DBS surgery)
- assumption of medications potentially interfering with SARS-CoV-2 infection
- concomitant diseases and disability measures [activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) scales (11, 12), Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y) scale for PD patients (13), Clinical Global Impression (CGI) Severity scale (14)]
- COVID-19-related questions [including a history of COVID-19-suggestive symptoms in the last 4 months (fever, cough, dyspnea, diarrhea, abdominal pain, pharyngodynia, worsening of hyposmia, hearing loss) and additional information related to SARS-CoV-2 pandemic (testing by nasopharyngeal swabs, COVID-19 diagnosis, contact with COVID-19+ subjects, presence in endemic areas for COVID-19, presence in residential care home, respect of social restriction measures according to Prime Minister Decree of March 11, 2020, flu vaccination)]
- effects of the lockdown (all of the following were yes/no answer questions) [discontinuation of outpatient neurological visits or physiotherapy (motor physical therapy), difficulties in finding medications, need of urgent outpatient neurological visit, difficulties in the management of the DBS device (rechargeable...
systems and/or patients with handheld controller to turn the DBS system on and off), feeling of insecurity about the DBS device, worsening of the relationship with own body, subjective perception of worsening of global neurological symptoms (motor and/or psychiatric), sleep disorders, depression, panic attacks, persecutory delusions, visual hallucinations, suicidal ideation, impulsive–compulsive behaviors, increased consumption of coffee and tea]
- changes on CGI Improvement scale (14) related to the lockdown period

For patients who complained one or both “difficulties in the management of the DBS device” and “feeling of insecurity about the DBS device,” the cumulative variable “problems with the DBS device” was considered.

The variables related to the effects of the lockdown were assessed to detect emergent symptoms and/or changes of preexisting symptoms after March 11, 2020.

RESULTS

One hundred four patients treated with DBS were asked to participate in the survey. Since all agreed to participate, met the inclusion criteria, and no one had exclusion criteria, all 104 patients were included in the study. Ninety patients were affected by PD and 14 patients by dystonia (including 10 patients with idiopathic dystonia and four patients with secondary dystonia). In the total sample, the male/female ratio was 64/40, the mean age was 61 years (SD ±12), and the mean disease duration was 19 years (SD ±8). All dystonic patients underwent globus pallidus internus (GPI) DBS, while the DBS target was different among PD patients: 85 patients were implanted in the subthalamic nucleus (STN), three patients in the GPI, and two patients in the thalamic ventral intermediate nucleus (Vim).

For each diagnostic group, demographic and disease clinical data, disability scales, concomitant medical conditions, and neurological medications are reported in Table 1. When the subgroups of PD and dystonic patients were compared for demographic and disease clinical features, significant differences were found for age (62 ± 10 vs. 53 ± 16 years, \( p = 0.038 \), effect size \( = 0.82–large \)), age at disease onset (44 ± 9 vs. 29 ± 19 years, \( p = 0.007 \), effect size \( = 1.39–large \)), disease duration (18 ± 7 vs. 24 ± 10 years, \( p = 0.020 \), effect size \( = 0.93–large \)), scores on ADL (4.8 ± 0.8 vs. 5.9 ± 0.5, \( p = 0.012 \), effect size \( = 0.65–medium \)), IADL (4.4 ± 3.0 vs. 7.1 ± 2.1, \( p = 0.001 \), effect size \( = 0.93–large \)), and CGI Severity scale (4.0 ± 1.3 vs. 2.9 ± 1.6, \( p = 0.016 \), effect size \( = 0.82–large \)).

Coronavirus Disease 2019-Related Questions

Distribution of symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 in the last 4 months, epidemiologic data, and medications potentially interfering with the SARS-CoV-2 infection are reported in Table 2. Twenty-six patients reported one or more symptoms suggestive of COVID-19, while only five of them referred three or more of these symptoms. The most frequent symptom was cough, reported by 17 patients. Only one patient underwent (twice) nasopharyngeal swabs, both negative, and no patient received a diagnosis of COVID-19. In our sample, there was no correlation between symptoms suggestive of SARS-CoV-2 infection and assumption of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), or immunosuppressant therapy.

No significant differences were found between the two diagnostic subgroups (PD and dystonia) as to COVID-19 suggestive symptoms or additional information related to SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

Effects of the Lockdown on Disease Burden

Information related to the impact of the lockdown on disease burden is reported in Table 3. Forty-nine patients out of 104 (47%) reported a subjective perception of worsening of global neurological symptoms related to the containment measures: 20 patients (19%) reported a worsening of motor symptoms; five patients (5%), a worsening of psychiatric symptoms; 24 patients...
### TABLE 1 | Demographic and disease clinical data, disability scales, comitant medical conditions, and specific neurological medications for each diagnostic group.

| Demographic features | PD (n = 90) | Dystonia (n = 14) | Total (n = 104) |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)          | 62 ± 10     | 53 ± 16          | 61 ± 12         |
| Male, n (%)          | 58 (64%)    | 6 (43%)          | 64 (62%)        |
| Age at disease onset (years) | 44 ± 9      | 29 ± 19          | 42 ± 12         |
| Disease duration (years) | 18 ± 7      | 24 ± 10          | 19 ± 8          |
| Information about DBS |             |                  |                 |
| DBS target (STN/GPi/Vim), n (%) | 85/2/2       | 0/14/0           | 85/17/2         |
| Years from DBS surgery | 7 ± 6       | 9 ± 7            | 7 ± 6           |
| Disease clinical features |           |                  |                 |
| Psychiatric symptoms, n (%) | 58 (64%)    | 6 (43%)          | 64 (62%)        |
| Hyposmia, n (%)       | 66 (73%)    | 1 (7%)           | 67 (64%)        |
| Rigid-akinetic phenotype*, n (%) | 46 (52%)    | –               | –              |
| Tremor-dominant phenotype*, n (%) | 23 (26%)    | –               | –              |
| n (%)                 | 20 (22%)    | –               | –              |
| Disability scales     |             |                  |                 |
| ADL                   | 4.8 ± 1.8   | 5.9 ± 0.5        | 4.9 ± 1.7       |
| IADL                  | 4.4 ± 3.0   | 7.1 ± 2.1        | 4.8 ± 2.1       |
| H&Y stage             | 3.1 ± 0.7   | –               | –              |
| CGL Severity scale    | 4.0 ± 1.3   | 2.9 ± 1.6        | 3.9 ± 1.4       |
| Concomitant medical conditions |         |                  |                 |
| Hypertension, n (%)   | 18 (20%)    | 2 (14%)          | 20 (19%)        |
| Heart diseases, n (%) | 8 (9%)      | 0               | 8 (8%)          |
| COPD, n (%)           | 5 (6%)      | 0               | 5 (6%)          |
| Diabetes, n (%)       | 2 (2%)      | 1 (7%)           | 3 (3%)          |
| Cancer, n (%)         | 6 (7%)      | 0               | 6 (6%)          |
| Chronic kidney disease, n (%) | 2 (2%)     | 0               | 2 (2%)          |
| Obesity, n (%)        | 13 (14%)    | 1 (7%)           | 14 (13%)        |
| Smoke, n (%)          | 30 (35%)    | 5 (38%)          | 35 (34%)        |
| Neurological medications |           |                  |                 |
| Levodopa, n (%)       | 84 (93%)    | 0               | 84 (78%)        |
| MAOIs, n (%)          | 64 (71%)    | 0               | 64 (59%)        |
| COMTIs, n (%)         | 36 (40%)    | 0               | 36 (35%)        |
| Dopamine agonists, n (%) | 42 (47%)    | 0               | 42 (40%)        |
| Amantadine, n (%)     | 18 (20%)    | 0               | 18 (17%)        |
| Anticholinergics, n (%) | 4 (4%)     | 3 (21%)          | 7 (7%)          |
| BoNT n (%)            | 1 (1%)      | 2 (14%)          | 3 (3%)          |
| Benzodiazepines, n (%) | 27 (30%)    | 5 (36%)          | 32 (31%)        |
| Antidepressants, n (%) | 33 (37%)    | 5 (36%)          | 38 (37%)        |
| Antipsychotics, n (%) | 30 (33%)    | 2 (14%)          | 32 (31%)        |
| Mood stabilizers, n (%) | 15 (17%)    | 3 (21%)          | 18 (17%)        |

*The classification in the three different phenotypes of PD (rigid-akinetic, tremor-dominant, and mixed) was made according the tremor/akinetic-rigid (T/AR) ratio (15). PD, Parkinson’s disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation; STN, subthalamic nucleus; GPi, globus pallidus internus; Vim, ventral intermediate nucleus; ADL, activities of daily living; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; MAOIs, monoamine oxidase inhibitors; COMTIs, catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibitors; BoNT, botulinum neurotoxin.

### TABLE 2 | Symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 in the last 4 months, epidemiologic data, and medications potentially interfering with SARS-CoV-2 infection for each diagnostic group.

| Symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 | PD (n = 90) | Dystonia (n = 14) | Total (n = 104) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Fever, n (%)                   | 5 (6%)      | 1 (7%)           | 6 (6%)          |
| Cough n (%)                    | 15 (17%)    | 2 (14%)          | 17 (16%)        |
| Dyspnea, n (%)                 | 3 (3%)      | 0               | 3 (3%)          |
| Diarrhea, n (%)                | 5 (6%)      | 0               | 5 (5%)          |
| Abdominal pain, n (%)          | 5 (6%)      | 0               | 5 (5%)          |
| Pharyngodynia, n (%)           | 5 (6%)      | 1 (7%)           | 6 (6%)          |
| Hyposmia worsening, n (%)      | 2 (2%)      | 0               | 2 (2%)          |
| Hearing loss, n (%)            | 2 (2%)      | 0               | 2 (2%)          |

### Additional information related to SARS-CoV-2 pandemic

- Testing by nasopharyngeal swabs:
  - COVID-19 diagnosis: 1 (1%) 0 (1%)
  - Contact with COVID-19+ subjects: 0 0
  - Presence in endemic areas for COVID-19, n (%): 3 (3%) 0 3 (3%)
  - Presence in residential care home, n (%): 1 (1%) 0 1 (1%)
  - Respect of social restriction measures, n (%): 84 (93%) 13 (93%) 97 (93%)
  - Flu vaccination, n (%): 26 (29%) 2 (14%) 28 (27%)

### Medications potentially interfering with SARS-CoV-2 infection

- ACE inhibitors, n (%): 2 (2%) 2 (14%) 4 (4%)
- NSAIDs, n (%): 3 (4%) 0 3 (3%)
- Immunosuppressants, n (%): 3 (4%) 0 3 (3%)

(23%), a worsening of both motor and psychiatric symptoms. The overall worsening of the health status was also confirmed by the mean score on the CGI Improvement scale (4.6 ± 1.3). Ninety-two patients (88%) lost the scheduled follow-up visit, 60 (58%) discontinued physiotherapy, and 18 (17%) needed urgent outpatient neurological visit. Eighteen patients (17%) reported difficulties in the management of the DBS device, 26 (25%) reported feeling of insecurity about the DBS device, while 28 (27%) reported a worsening in the relationship with their own body as compared to the period immediately preceding the lockdown. Thirty-four patients (33%) complained of sleep disorders, 39 (37%) depression, 13 (12%) panic attacks, nine (9%) persecutory delusions, 13 (12%) visual hallucinations, and eight (8%) suicidal ideation. Moreover, 21 patients (20%) reported impulsive-compulsive behaviors as increase of shopping online, video game playing, and punding. Thirty-five patients (34%) reported an increased coffee and tea consumption. Among the 21 patients who complained worsening of impulsive-compulsive behaviors, 10 were on treatment with dopamine agonists. The
proportion of patients on treatment with dopamine agonists was not significantly higher in the subgroup with worsening of impulsive–compulsive behaviors than in the other subgroup of patients (48 vs. 39%, respectively, \( p = 0.6 \)).

No significant differences were found between the two diagnostic subgroups (PD vs. dystonic patients) as to the effects of the lockdown.

Considering the subgroup of 26 patients reporting one or more symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 and comparing this one with the subgroup of patients without symptoms suggestive of COVID-19, no significant differences were found in worsening of motor or psychiatric symptoms.

Significant correlations were found between motor worsening and discontinuation of physiotherapy (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.22, \( p = 0.024 \), effect size = 0.45–small) and between worsening of psychiatric symptoms and chronic benzodiazepine intake (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.28, \( p = 0.004 \), effect size = 0.59–medium). Significant correlations were also observed between both motor and psychiatric worsening and need for urgent outpatient neurological visit (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.38, \( p < 0.001 \), effect size = 1.06–large and phi coefficient = 0.40, \( p < 0.001 \), effect size = 0.99–large, respectively), difficulties in the management of the DBS device (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.23, \( p = 0.021 \), effect size = 0.60–medium and phi coefficient = 0.23, \( p = 0.021 \), effect size = 0.60–medium, respectively), feeling of insecurity about the DBS device (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.27, \( p = 0.006 \), effect size = 0.63–medium and phi coefficient = 0.28, \( p = 0.004 \), effect size = 0.63–medium, respectively), worsening of the relationship with own body (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.45, \( p < 0.001 \), effect size = 1.04–large and phi coefficient = 0.40, \( p < 0.001 \), effect size = 0.86–large, respectively), sleep disorders (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.27, \( p = 0.005 \), effect size = 0.59–medium and phi coefficient = 0.44, \( p < 0.001 \), effect size = 0.91–large, respectively), depression (\( \phi \) coefficient = 0.22, \( p = 0.024 \), effect size = 0.46–small and phi coefficient = 0.45, \( p < 0.001 \), effect size = 0.99–large, respectively).

No difference was found on disability scales between the subgroups of patients with and without worsening of motor symptoms. By contrast, the subgroup with worsening of psychiatric symptoms, as compared to the subgroup without psychiatric worsening, presented significantly lower scores on IADL (3.7 ± 3.0 vs. 5.2 ± 2.9, \( p = 0.0436 \), effect size = 0.50–small) and higher scores on CGI Severity scale (4.5 ± 1.0 vs. 3.7 ± 1.4, \( p = 0.0081 \), effect size = 0.71–medium).

In the reduced models of multivariate logistic regression analysis, having problems with the DBS device (difficulties in the management of the DBS device or feeling of insecurity about the DBS device) was the only independent predictor of motor worsening [OR = 3.10 (1.22–7.91), \( p = 0.018 \)]. Independent

### TABLE 3 | Effects of the lockdown on disease burden for each diagnostic group.

|                      | PD (n = 90) | Dystonia (n = 14) | Total (n = 104) |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| **Effects of the lockdown** |             |                  |                 |
| Perception of global neurological worsening, n (%) | 44 (49%) | 5 (36%) | 49 (47%) |
| Perception of motor worsening, n (%) | 39 (43%) | 5 (36%) | 44 (42%) |
| Perception of psychiatric worsening, n (%) | 25 (28%) | 4 (29%) | 29 (28%) |
| Discontinuation of outpatient neurological visits, n (%) | 78 (87%) | 14 (100%) | 92 (88%) |
| Discontinuation of physiotherapy, n (%) | 55 (61%) | 5 (36%) | 60 (58%) |
| Need for urgent outpatient neurological visit, n (%) | 16 (18%) | 2 (14%) | 18 (17%) |
| Difficulties in finding medications, n (%) | 3 (3%) | 0 | 3 (3%) |
| Difficulties in the management of the DBS device, n (%) | 13 (14%) | 5 (36%) | 18 (17%) |
| Feeling of insecurity about the DBS device, n (%) | 21 (23%) | 5 (36%) | 26 (25%) |
| Problems with the DBS device, n (%) | 27 (30%) | 7 (50%) | 34 (33%) |
| Worsening of the relationship with own body, n (%) | 24 (27%) | 4 (29%) | 28 (27%) |
| Sleep disorders, n (%) | 29 (32%) | 5 (36%) | 34 (33%) |
| Mood impairment, n (%) | 38 (42%) | 7 (50%) | 45 (43%) |
| Depression, n (%) | 37 (41%) | 2 (14%) | 39 (37%) |
| Panic attacks, n (%) | 11 (12%) | 2 (14%) | 13 (12%) |
| Persecutory delusions, n (%) | 7 (8%) | 2 (14%) | 9 (9%) |
| Visual hallucinations, n (%) | 12 (13%) | 1 (7%) | 13 (12%) |
| Suicidal ideation, n (%) | 7 (8%) | 1 (7%) | 8 (8%) |
| Impulsive–compulsive behaviors, n (%) | 18 (20%) | 3 (21%) | 21 (20%) |
| Shopping online, n (%) | 4 (4%) | 1 (7%) | 5 (5%) |
| Video game playing, n (%) | 8 (9%) | 3 (21%) | 11 (11%) |
| Punding, n (%) | 8 (9%) | 0 | 8 (8%) |
| Increased consumption of coffee and tea, n (%) | 31 (34%) | 4 (29%) | 35 (34%) |
| CGI Improvement scale | 4.6 ± 1.1 | 4.2 ± 1.1 | 4.6 ± 1.3 |

PD, Parkinson’s disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation; CGI, Clinical Global Impression.
predictors of psychiatric worsening were IADL score [OR = 0.78 (0.64–0.95), p = 0.012] and problems with DBS [OR = 5.69 (1.95–16.62), p = 0.001]. Other variables included in the models were age, sex, diagnosis (PD or dystonia), and disease duration (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The study, based on a telephone survey administered by neurologists of the DBS movement disorders network in Lazio region, attempted to investigate the consequences of the COVID-19 lockdown on the perception of neurological status (motor and psychiatric symptoms) in patients affected by PD and dystonia treated with DBS.

The demographic and clinical characteristics between the two diagnostic subgroups differed significantly: as compared to PD patients, dystonic patients showed an earlier age at onset, a longer disease duration, and a milder disability.

Although patients with neurological disorders, especially in late disease stages, might be particularly at risk for COVID-19 complications (16–18), in our sample, only ¼ of patients reported symptoms suggestive of SARS-CoV-2 infection. One patient underwent nasopharyngeal swabs, and none received a diagnosis of COVID-19. No patient with symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 needed specific treatments, hospitalization, or respiratory assistance.

Half of the patients in our sample reported a subjective perception of global neurological worsening, which required urgent outpatient neurological visit in 18 cases. A mean CGI Improvement score >4 after the lockdown period confirmed these data. In most patients, worsening of health status seemed to result from discontinuation of neurological visits and physiotherapy, as reported for patients with chronic neurological diseases (19, 20). A significant number of patients presented a worsening of sleep quality, mood, and behavioral disturbances, including onset or worsening of impulsive–compulsive behaviors. As well as worsening of impulsive–compulsive behaviors was not related to treatment with dopamine agonists, it may be a consequence of restriction measures more than an adverse effect induced by dopamine agonists. Indeed, 13 patients reported visual hallucinations during the weeks of social isolation probably induced by a home “hospitalization” phenomenon (21) and exacerbated by sleep disturbances (22).

No significant differences were found between the two diagnostic subgroups (PD vs. dystonic patients) as to the effects of the lockdown. Furthermore, in the multivariate analysis, the specific neurological disease was not an independent predictor of motor or psychiatric worsening during the lockdown.

No significant differences were found in worsening of motor or psychiatric symptoms comparing the subgroup of patients with and without symptoms suggestive of COVID-19.

Significant correlations were found between the subjective perception of motor worsening and discontinuation of physiotherapy treatment, although with a small effect size, and between worsening of psychiatric symptoms and chronic intake of benzodiazepines, with a medium effect size. These findings point out the beneficial effects of physiotherapy in patients with movement disorders and the importance of strategies focused on remote rehabilitation treatment (23). Indeed, a closer psychiatric follow-up would be recommended for patients with chronic use of benzodiazepines, who have an increased risk of developing depressive symptoms (24).

In our study, problems with the DBS device (difficulties in the management of the DBS device and feeling of insecurity about the DBS device) were independent predictors of motor and psychiatric worsening. Such difficulties may be chronic stressful factors that induce depression, anxiety, and insomnia (25). Therefore, DBS played an important role in impairing motor and psychiatric symptoms during the lockdown, independently from the underlying neurological disease. Greater disability (lower IADL score) due to the chronic neurological disease was another independent predictor of psychiatric worsening. On the other hand, demographic factors, disease duration, and neurological diagnosis (PD or dystonia) did not contribute to the global worsening during the lockdown.

These findings suggest that the effects of social restriction measures seriously impact on patients with chronic neurological disease and, in particular, in carriers of a DBS stimulator with greater disability. They also highlight the importance of management programs in the post-epidemic phase with the implementation of telemedicine, remote rehabilitation treatment, and technologies for remote DBS monitoring and programming (9, 26, 27).

**Limitations**

This study has several limits. First, since data collection was carried out by means of telephone contacts and not by a

### Table 4 | Multivariate logistic regression models of factors predicting motor and psychiatric worsening during the lockdown.

| Variable                  | OR    | 95% CI (lower–upper) | p value |
|---------------------------|-------|----------------------|---------|
| **Motor worsening**       |       |                      |         |
| Age                       | 0.99  | 0.96–1.03            | 0.6     |
| Male sex                  | 1.51  | 0.60–3.77            | 0.4     |
| PD diagnosis              | 1.40  | 0.31–6.23            | 0.7     |
| Disease duration          | 0.98  | 0.92–1.04            | 0.5     |
| IADL                      | 0.95  | 0.81–1.11            | 0.5     |
| Problems with DBS         | 3.10  | 1.22–7.91            | 0.018*  |
| **Psychiatric worsening** |       |                      |         |
| Age                       | 0.98  | 0.94–1.03            | 0.4     |
| Male sex                  | 0.71  | 0.26–1.95            | 0.5     |
| PD diagnosis              | 0.86  | 0.15–4.97            | 0.9     |
| Disease duration          | 1.00  | 0.93–1.07            | 0.9     |
| IADL                      | 0.78  | 0.64–0.95            | 0.012*  |
| Problems with DBS         | 5.69  | 1.95–16.62           | 0.001*  |

*p < 0.05.
OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PD, Parkinson’s disease; DBS, deep brain stimulation; IADL, instrumental activities daily living.
face-to-face assessment, the results of the survey might have been influenced by uncontrolled biases. Second, the effects of the lockdown were only assessed by subjective perception and not by validated scales for motor and psychiatric symptoms because of the lack of specific evaluation just before the lockdown. Furthermore, subjective perception of motor and/or psychiatric worsening may be influenced by depressive mood, which had a high prevalence in our sample and is typical of the pandemic situation. In fact, there is a positive correlation between depression and subjective perception of motor and/or psychiatric worsening, thus the patients might have overestimated the real worsening during the pandemic. Another limitation of the study is the small sample size for dystonic patients. Finally, although the multivariate analysis showed that DBS played an independent role in motor and psychiatric worsening, future comparative studies between carriers and noncarriers of DBS should be made.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest that lockdown restriction measures were associated with subjective worsening of motor and psychiatric symptoms in PD and dystonic patients treated with DBS, and that such measures may have exacerbated the burden of neurological disease and increased the chronic stress related to the DBS management.
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