BeppoSAX and multiwavelength observations of BL Lacertae in 2000
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Abstract We present two BeppoSAX observations of BL Lac (2200+420) as part of a multiwavelength campaign performed in 2000. The source was in different states of activity: in June, the X–ray spectrum was faint and hard (α ~ 0.8), with positive residuals towards low energies. In October we detected the highest [2–10] keV flux ever measured for the source. During this observation, the spectrum was soft (α ~ 1.56) up to 10 keV, while above this energy a hard component was dominating. The BeppoSAX data are confirmed by simultaneous RXTE short observations. During the first observation the soft X–ray flux was variable on timescales of a few hours, while the hard X–ray flux was almost constant. During the second observation, instead, the soft spectrum displayed an erratic behaviour with large variations (up to factors 3–4) on timescales smaller than 2 hrs. The analysis of the multiwavelength SED of October evidenced an intriguing feature: the optical and X–ray sections of the SED are misaligned, while in the prevailing standard picture, they are both thought to be produced via synchrotron emission. We suggested four scenarios to account for this discrepancy: a higher than galactic dust–to–gas ratio towards the source, the first detection of bulk Compton emission in the X–ray band, the presence of two synchrotron emitting regions located at different distances from the nucleus, the detection of a Klein–Nishina effect on the synchrotron spectrum. We evidenced the favorable and critical points of each scenario, but, at present, we cannot discriminate between them.
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1. Introduction

Blazars are radio–loud Active Galactic Nuclei producing variable non–thermal radiation in relativistic jets oriented close to the line of sight: the emission is therefore beamed and Doppler boosted (Blandford & Rees, 1978). They are characterized by a Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) displaying two broad features: the first, extending from radio to UV/X–ray is usually ascribed to synchrotron emission; the second, ranging from X–ray to γ–ray, sometimes up to TeV energies, is attributed to inverse Compton scattering of seed photons by the same population of synchrotron emitting electrons. The seed radiation field could be constituted by the synchrotron photons themselves (SSC model, Maraschi, Ghisellini & Celotti, 1992) or by external photons, produced by the accretion disk (Dermer & Schlickeiser, 1993), by the Broad Line Region (Silkora, Beigelmann & Rees, 1994; Ghisellini & Madau, 1996) or by hot dust (Blazejowski et al., 2000; Arbeiter et al., 2002). Different contributions of these fields can explain the observed blazar spectra. The BL Lac subclass is characterized by the absence or weakness of broad emission features and is divided in HBL and LBL (High and Low energy peaked BL Lacs) according to the radio to X–ray flux ratio (Padovani & Giommi, 1995).

BL Lac itself (1ES 2200+420) has been classified as a LBL on the basis of its radio–to–X broad band spectral index α_r = 0.85 (Sambruna et al., 1996). It was first identified as the optical counterpart of the radio source VRO 42.22.01 by Schmitt (1968); the presence of weak narrow emission lines in its spectrum allowed an accurate measurement of the redshift z = 0.069 of the host elliptical galaxy (Miller & Hawley, 1977; Miller et al., 1978). It shows superluminal motions on m.a.s. scale (β_app ≈ 3 – 4 h⁻¹, Mutel et al., 1990; β_app ≈ 2.2 – 5.0 h⁻¹, Denn et al., 2000). In spite of the definition of BL Lac objects as having featureless continua, in 1995, a survey performed by Veermeulen et al. (1995) revealed the presence of an Hα emission line with equivalent width of 7 , confirmed by subsequent observations (Cobie et al., 1996). During the same year EGRET, aboard the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory, detected a 4.4 σ excess above 100 MeV from its direction (Canasen et al., 1997). In the summer of 1997, BL Lac entered an exceptional flaring state with the highest X–ray flux ever recorded (Sambruna et al., 1999; Madejski et al., 1999) and a γ–ray flux 4 times higher than in 1995 (Bloom et al., 1998).

In the X-ray band, BL Lac has been detected for the first time in 1980 by the IPC (0.1–4 keV) and the MPC (2–10 keV) aboard the Einstein Observatory (Bregman et al., 1990). Since then the source has been observed many times by different satellites...
such as EXOSAT (Bregman et al., 1990), GINGA (Kawai et al., 1991), ROSAT (Urry et al., 1996; Madejski et al., 1999), ASCA (Sambruna et al., 1999; Madejski et al., 1999), RXTE (Madejski et al., 1999) and finally BeppoSAX, which observed it in 1997 (Padovani et al., 2001) and twice in 1999 (Ravasio et al., 2002).

During the second half of 2000, from July to December, the source has been the object of an intensive multiwavelength campaign (Böttcher et al., in prep.) which included two X-ray observations performed by BeppoSAX and was supplemented by a continuous long–term monitoring program by the Rossi X–ray Timing Explorer (RXTE), with 3 short pointings per week (Marscher et al., in prep.).

During this campaign BL Lac has been observed in the radio band by the telescopes of the University of Michigan and of the Metsähovi Radio Observatory, while in the optical band it has been observed almost continuously by 24 telescopes in the context of an extensive WEBT campaign (Villata et al., 2002). Finally, HEGRA set an upper limit of 25% of the Crab flux above 0.7 TeV, after having accumulated a total of 10.5 h of on-source time during the autumn of 2000 (Mang et al., 2001).

In this paper we will analyze in detail the BeppoSAX data of this campaign, comparing them with RXTE simultaneous ones and discussing the spectral and temporal behaviour of BL Lac in the X–ray band and in the whole radio–to–TeV energy range.

2. BeppoSAX observations

Thanks to its uniquely wide energy range (0.1-200 keV), the Italian–Dutch satellite BeppoSAX represents an ideal experiment for looking at blazars and expecially objects such as BL Lac, since it can detect the transition between the synchrotron and inverse Compton components of the SED (Tagliaferri et al., 2000; Ravasio et al., 2002). Therefore it allows to compare the simultaneous behaviour of extremely different parts of the emitting electron distribution. Boella et al. (1997 and references therein) report an extensive summary of the mission.

BeppoSAX observed BL Lac (1ES 2200+420) twice during 2000, since the July 26–27 measurements were soon interrupted (on–source time = 1.69 × 10^4 s). Therefore we were given a second chance and a new observation started in October 31 lasting until November 2, with a duration of 2.49 × 10^4 s. In Table 1 we report the exposures and the mean count rates for each BeppoSAX instrument.

We performed our analysis on linearized and cleaned event files available at the BeppoSAX Science Data Center (SDC) online archive (Giommi & Fiore, 1998) using the software contained in the FTOOLS Package (XIMAGE 2.63c, XSELECT 1.4b, XSPEC 10.00) and XRONOS 4.02. Data from MECS2 & MECS3 were merged by the SDC team in a single event file. Using XSELECT we extracted spectra and light curves from circular regions around the source of 8 and 4 arcmin radius for LECS and MECS, respectively. We extracted event files also from off–source circular regions, in order to monitor the background behaviour during our measurements. Since the LECS and MECS backgrounds are not uniformly distributed across the detectors, after having checked the constancy of the extracted background light curves, we choose to use the background files obtained from long blank field exposures, available at the SDC public ftp site (Fiore et al., 1999; Parmar et al., 1999).

2.1. Spectral analysis

The spectral analysis was performed with XSPEC 10.0, using the updated response matrices and blank-sky background files (01/2000) available at the SDC public ftp site. The LECS/MECS normalization factor was fixed at 0.72, while the PDS/MECS was fixed at 0.9 to be consistent with our previous works (Ravasio et al., 2002); these values are within the acceptable range indicated by SDC (LECS: 0.67-1, PDS: 0.77-0.93, Fiore et al., 1999).

2.1.1. July 26-27

During this observation, the source was not detected by PDS because of the short on–source time and because of the intrinsic weakness of the source itself. For the same reasons and because of the high galactic absorption, the detection was uncertain also at LECS low energies: therefore we proceeded to the analysis only in the [0.6-10] keV range, fitting the extracted spectrum with a single power law model.

We repeated the procedure three times, letting the interstellar absorption parameter either free to vary, or fixed at the value N_H = 3.6 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}. The latter is obtained adding the galactic value from 21 cm measurements N_H = (2.0 ± 1.0) × 10^{21} cm^{-2} (Elvis et al., 1989) and the absorption due to the molecular clouds observed along the line of sight (Lucas & Liszt, 1993). Finally, we used the fixed value N_H = 2.5 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, to be consistent with previous works (Ravasio et al., 2002; Sambruna et al., 1999; Madejski et al., 1999).

Letting the absorption parameter N_H free, the 0.6-10 keV spectrum is well fitted by a single power law with spectral index α = 0.75 ± 0.15 (χ^2/d.o.f. = 0.96/50). We obtained N_H = 2.0 ± 1 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}; the large uncertainties are due to the low count rate in the soft X-ray band.

A single power law model with N_H fixed at 3.6 × 10^{21} cm^{-2} leaves large positive residuals below ~ 1 keV. This suggests that we are using an exceedingly high absorption column or that the near low boundary of our energy range we are detecting the transition between a soft and a hard spectral component. These residuals become less relevant adopting the intermediate value N_H = 2.5 × 10^{21} cm^{-2} (fig. 1): in this case a single power law model with α = 0.8 ± 0.1 fits the data well (χ^2/d.o.f. = 0.96/51).

According to an F-test, a broken power law model does not improve significantly the quality of the fit. The best fit parameters for each model are shown in Table 2 which reports also the flux at 1 keV and the integrated flux in the 2-10 keV energy range.

2.1.2. October 30- November 2

The October observation is more interesting because of the longer duration and the higher state of the source. This allows...
work (Ravasio et al., 2002; Madejski et al., 1999; Sambruna et al., 1999) spectrum is modeled with a power law and a fixed $N_H$.

Figure 1. LECS+MECS 26-27 July spectrum of BL Lac. The spectrum is modeled with a power law and a fixed $N_H = 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. We choose this value to be consistent with previous work (Ravasio et al., 2002; Madejski et al., 1999; Sambruna et al., 1999).

Table 1. Journal of BeppoSAX observations. $^a$: 0.1-10 keV; $^b$: 1.5-10 keV; $^c$: 12-100 keV.

| Date                  | Exposure (s) | Count Rate $^a$ (cts s$^{-1}$) | Exposure (s) | Count Rate $^a$ (cts s$^{-1}$) | Exposure (s) | Count Rate $^a$ (cts s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| 26-27 July 2000       | 16917        | 4.99 $\pm$ 0.20                 | 23309        | 8.23 $\pm$ 0.21                 | 1.04 $\times 10^3$ | $-1.20 \pm 5.73$ |
| 31 October-2 November 2000 | 24899   | 28.5 $\pm$ 0.38                 | 33661        | 33.5 $\pm$ 0.33                 | 1.88 $\times 10^3$ | 12.92 $\pm 4.27$ |

Table 2. LECS + MECS spectrum best–fit parameters.

| Date                  | $N_H$ (10$^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $\alpha_1$ | $E_0$ (keV) | $\alpha_2$ | $F_{1-10}$ (µJy) | $F_{2-100}$ (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $\chi^2$/d.o.f. |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 26-27 July 2000       | free                         | 2.0 $\pm$ 1 | 0.75 $\pm$ 0.15 | 1.1         | $5.8 \times 10^{-12}$ | 0.96/50                              |
| 31 October-2 November 2000 | fixed                   | 3.6         | 0.9 $\pm$ 0.1 | 1.3         | $5.8 \times 10^{-12}$ | 1.07/51                              |
|                       | fixed                         | 2.5         | 0.8 $\pm$ 0.1 | 1.1         | $5.8 \times 10^{-12}$ | 0.96/51                              |

Table 2. LECS + MECS spectrum best–fit parameters.

Figure 1. LECS+MECS 26-27 July spectrum of BL Lac. The spectrum is modeled with a power law and a fixed $N_H = 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. We choose this value to be consistent with previous work (Ravasio et al., 2002; Madejski et al., 1999; Sambruna et al., 1999).

us to analyze a wider spectral range, from 0.3 keV up to 50 keV, thanks to the detection by the PDS.

As before, we performed the fits letting $N_H$ free, then fixing it to its maximum value and finally to $N_H = 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. In the first case, the LECS + MECS spectrum was well fitted by a soft single power law model, with energy index $\alpha_X = 1.63 \pm 0.06$ ($N_H = 3.0 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$). A similar result is obtained also keeping $N_H$ fixed to $2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, while the model with the highest absorption value leaves positive residuals increasing towards low energies.

We repeated the procedure using a broken power law model and fixing $N_H = 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, for consistence with previous works (e.g. Ravasio et al., 2002). The LECS+MECS spectrum in the latter case is well fitted by a convex curve steepening beyond $\sim 2$ keV. The best–fit parameters of each LECS + MECS spectral model are listed in Table 2. An F-test suggests that the addition of two parameters gives a 99.9% probability of improving the quality of the fit.

Subsequently we included also PDS data in the analysis. A single power law model leaves large positive residuals towards high energies (fig. 2). the [0.1–50] keV spectrum seems to be
In addition to 2.1.3. Simultaneous RXTE observations the uncertainties. prep.). We analyzed the two RXTE pointings temporally close to a week, covering the whole duration (Marscher et al, in press). The LECS+MECS spectrum is well fitted by a convex broken power law.

concave. PDS data lie largely above the extrapolation of the LECS and MECS spectrum: this can be explained as the rising of a second, hard spectral component. However, the error bars are too large and we cannot constrain a second component in the model. Thus, in order to have an idea of this hard component spectral shape, we fitted the MECS + PDS spectra with a broken power law model, keeping the low energy spectral index fixed to the value obtained from the fit of the LECS + MECS spectrum (~ 1.65, independently from the absorption parameter chosen). The best fit of the two extra parameters are \( E_b \sim 9.9 \) keV and \( \alpha_{\text{PDS}} \sim 0.56 \), but we are not able to estimate the uncertainties.

**2.1.3. Simultaneous RXTE observations**

In addition to BeppoSAX, the 2000 campaign was covered in the X-ray band also by Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (Bradt, Rotschild & Swank, 1993), which provided three short exposures a week, covering the whole duration (Marscher et al, in prep.). We analyzed the two RXTE pointings temporally closest to the BeppoSAX ones. In July the two observations were exactly simultaneous while in November RXTE was lagging BeppoSAX only by 1 hour. Therefore we are given the opportunity to test our results with a totally independent set of data. We restrict our analysis to PCA data (Jahoda et al., 1996), an instrument composed by 5 passively collimated independent X-ray detectors sensitive to the 2-60 keV range.

We choose to compare the [3-15] keV RXTE spectrum to the [1-10] keV MECS using a power law model with fixed absorption parameter \( N_{\text{H}} = 2.5 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\). The model parameters are reported in Table 3 together with the log of the observations.

Both RXTE spectra are well fitted by power law models: during the observation of July, RXTE detected a hard spectrum \( \alpha = 0.9 \), while in October, it detected a soft component \( \alpha = 1.45 \). The slope and the normalization of the best-fit models of RXTE spectra are consistent with those of the MECS, confirming the results obtained from BeppoSAX spectral analysis.

**2.2. Temporal analysis**

We performed this analysis with the XRONOS 5.16 package, grouping data in different time bins to reduce uncertainties. During the observation of July 2000 the source was in a faint state of activity so we choose bins of 3600 s. During the second observation, the source emission was stronger so we could rebins our data in smaller bins of 600 s. In our analysis we excluded the bins with less than 20% of effective exposure time, to reduce weighting errors.

**2.2.1. 26-27 July**

In order to have information on the behaviour of the source at different X-ray wavelengths we extracted a soft ([0.7–2] keV, LECS) and a hard ([2–10] keV, MECS) X-ray light curve. The source displays large variability in the low energy band: the 0.7–2 keV flux increases by a factor > 2 on time scales of ~ 4 hours, then fades to previous values in ~ 5 – 6 hours (Fig. 3). In the harder energy band, variability events are less evident but the source is still active, with flux variations of 25 – 30% (\( \chi^2 \)-test constancy probability ~ 10%). The two light curves are only marginally correlated: the null correlation probability is quite high (~ 25%).

This behaviour was noticed also in the June 1999 BeppoSAX observation of BL Lac (Ravasio et al., 2002): in the high energy MECS band (4-10 keV) the source was not variable, while the [0.3-2] keV LECS and the [2-4] keV MECS light curves were displaying a flare of a factor ~ 4.

**2.2.2. 31 October-2 November**

During the October BeppoSAX observation, BL Lac displayed very fast and remarkable flux variations in the whole energy range covered by the LECS and the MECS (Fig. 4). The light curves are characterized by a similar, erratic behaviour, with flux variations of factors ~ 3 – 4, even on timescales of ~ 1 hour. The three light curves are highly correlated (P > 99.9%) even with a short temporal binning of 10 min.

We analyzed also the PDS light curve, which turned out to be constant: a test gives a ~ 96% constancy probability. Because of the large errorbars, however, we would not be able to detect variations smaller than a factor of 3. For BL Lac, this X-ray behaviour is not unprecedented: as already mentioned, during the June 1999 BeppoSAX observation, the [0.3–2] keV flux doubled in 20 min and faded to previous values in a similar time. In less than 2 hours a complete flare with a flux variation of a factor ~ 4 was observed. The amplitude of this event was highly frequency-dependent: while the flare was extremely prominent in the [0.3–2] keV and in the [2–4] keV curves, at higher frequencies the flux remained constant.

The best way to characterize the temporal behaviour of the source would be the calculation of the power density spectrum:
Table 3. *: erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. RXTE and BeppoSAX simultaneous observations log and spectral fit parameters. We adopted a power law model with fixed absorption parameter: $N_{H} = 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Figure 3. LECS 0.7-2 keV (top panel), MECS 2-10 keV (bottom panel) light curves of BL Lac during the July 2000 BeppoSAX observation. Note that in the LECS range the source displays larger variability than at higher energies.

Figure 4. LECS 0.5-2 keV (top panel), MECS 2-4 keV (middle panel) and MECS 4-10 keV (bottom panel) light curves of BL Lac during the October-November 2000 BeppoSAX observation.

However this tool is not appropriate for unevenly sampled light curves like BeppoSAX ones. The large observational gaps, the short duration of the run and the limited statistics caused by the faintness of the source also makes the use of alternative techniques very difficult, such as the discrete correlation function (Edelson & Krolik, 1988) or the structure function calculation (Simonetti, Cordes & Heeschen, 1985).

We can still characterize the variability degree of the source using two common estimators: the normalized excess variance parameter $\sigma^2_{rms}$ and the minimum doubling timescale $T_{short}$ (Zhang et al., 1999; Fossati et al., 2000). The first parameter is defined as the normalized difference between the variance of the light curve and the variance due to measurement errors: it quantifies the mean variability of the source. The second parameter, instead, represents a measure of the fastest significant timescale of the source (Edelson, 1992). Assuming that each point of the light curve is described as $(t_i, f_i)$ we define the “doubling time” as

$$T_{ij} = \frac{|f_i \Delta T_{ij}|}{\Delta f_j}$$

(1)

where $\Delta T_{ij} = t_i - t_j$, $\Delta f_j = f_i - f_j$ and $f_{ij} = (f_i + f_j)/2$. For each of the discussed energy ranges ([0.5–2] keV–LECS; [2–4] keV–MECS; [4–10] keV–MECS) we produced 4 different light curves with time bin of 500, 1000, 1500 and 2000 sec, respectively. For each curve we computed the $\sigma^2_{rms}$ and the average of the 5 smallest $T_{ij}$ (calculated for each data pair (i,j) of the light curve) with fractional error lower than 25%. We define $T_{short}$ as their weighted average. In Table 4 we summarize our results.
be noticed also by looking at Fig. 4: probably this can be ex-
plained partially as an effect of the larger measurement error
caused by the relatively large errors, no significant differences
are found between the \( T_{\text{short}} \) values of the three light curves:
the source seems to have a characteristic minimum variability
timescale of \( \sim 1.5 - 2 \) hrs.

### 3. Spectral Energy Distributions

After having performed the spectral analysis we are now able
to build the SEDs of BL Lac relative to the BeppoSAX 2000
observations and to compare them with other historical multi-
waveband SEDs.

As we have discussed above, during 2000 BeppoSAX detected
the source in two completely different states of activity: in July
the source was detected only in the [0.6–10] keV range, dis-
playing a faint (\( F_{2-10} = 5.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \)) hard
spectrum. In October–November, instead, BL Lac was displaying a
very intense (\( F_{2-10} = 2.1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \)) soft spectrum
up to \( \sim 10 \) keV, while at higher energies a hard component,
detected by the PDS up to 45 keV, was dominant.

Also in the optical band the source was in different states: the
increase of the optical flux, in fact, was the reason for prolong-
ing the multiwavelength campaign beyond August 2000. The optical
fluxes measured simultaneously to the BeppoSAX observations
differed by 40% between the two pointings: in the core of the
campaign (26-27 July) the source average R–band magnitude was
\( m_R = 14.08 \), while during the second X–ray run it was \( m_R = 13.74 \) (Villata et al., 2002). After having dered-
dered the data using \( A_V = 1.42 \) (Schlegel et al., 1998), we
analyzed the optical spectral indices using weight-averaged
UBVRI fluxes (see values in fig. 3 caption): when fainter, the
spectrum is softer (\( \alpha_{\text{opt}} \sim 1.84 \pm 0.01 \)), while during the autumn
it is harder (\( \alpha_{\text{opt}} \sim 1.58 \pm 0.04 \)).

In Fig. 3 we plot the two simultaneous multiwavelength SEDs
of BL Lac. We report also the upper limit by HEGRA, \( 7.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ photons cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \) above 0.7 TeV (Mang et al., 2001),
which is 25% of the Crab level: this means \( F_{0.7 \text{ TeV}} = 5.13 \times 10^{-15} \times (\sigma_{\text{TeV}}) \text{ Jy} \), where \( \sigma_{\text{TeV}} \) is the energy index of the TeV
spectrum (assumed to be \( = 1.5 \) in the plot).

#### 3.1. Historical SEDs

As mentioned in the introduction, blazar SEDs are character-
ized by two broad humps extending, the first, from radio to
UV/X–rays and the second from X–rays to \( \gamma \)-ray or even TeV
energies. The first component is usually interpreted as syn-
chronotron emission from high–energy electrons in relativistic
motion, while the second is explained as inverse Compton scat-
tering of seed photons of still debated origin.

The multiwavelength history of BL Lac follows that of its X-
ray observations which can be traced back to 1980, the year
of the first X–ray detection, carried out by Einstein (Bregman et al., 1990). BL Lac was then observed also by EXOSAT
(Bregman et al., 1990), GINGA (Kawai et al., 1991), ROSAT
(Urry et al., 1996; Madejski et al., 1999), ASCA (Sambruna et al.,
1999; Madejski et al., 1999), RXTE (Madejski et al., 1999)
and by BeppoSAX (Padovani et al., 2001; Ravasio et al., 2002).

In table 5 we report the published spectral parameters for each
X–ray observation, together with simultaneous optical ones.
The X–ray spectra of BL Lac exhibit a variety of different
shapes: sometimes they are concave, hardening towards high
energies, and sometimes they are hard in the whole X–ray
band. In 1980, IPC ([0.1–4]keV) and MRC ([2–10] keV) aboard
Einstein detected quite different spectral indices (\( \alpha_1 \sim 2.2; \alpha_2 \sim 0.8 \); Bregman et al., 1990) and a similar shape was de-
tected also by ASCA in November 1995 (Sambruna et al.,
1999) and by BeppoSAX in June 1999 (Ravasio et al., 2002),
as well as during this campaign. In other epochs the X–ray
spectrum of BL Lac was displaying only a hard component.

In June and July 1988 GINGA detected faint X–ray spectra
which can be attributed to inverse Compton emission in a lep-
tonic jet model (Kawai et al., 1991), similarly to the observa-
tion of ROSAT (1982), to those performed by BeppoSAX in
November 1997 (Padovani et al., 2001), December 1999
(Ravasio et al., 2002) and to our observation of July 2000. All
the hard X–ray spectra are similar to each other except for one
case: during July 1997 BL Lac was in a high state, consistent
with Compton emission, with a 2-10 keV flux \( \sim 3 - 4 \) times
higher than that observed in 1995 by ASCA or in June 1999
by BeppoSAX; the high state was clearly detected also in the
\( \gamma \)-ray by EGRET (Bloom et al., 1997). The complexity of the
behaviour of the source, suggested by the data reported in table
5 is further highlighted by the comparison of the multiwave-

### Table 4. Variability parameters.

| Energy band (keV) | \( <\text{Count rate}> \) (10\(^{-2}\) cts/s) | \( \sigma^2_{\text{rms}} \) (10\(^{-5}\)) | \( \sigma^2_{\text{max}} \) (10\(^{-5}\)) | \( T_{\text{short}} \) \( (\text{ks}) \) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| LECS              | 17.6                                       | 15.2                                   | 12.8                                   | 6.3                 |
| 0.5-2 keV         | \( \pm 7.2 \)                              | \( \pm 3.3 \)                           | \( \pm 1.6 \)                           | \( \pm 0.6 \)        |
| MECS              | 14.7                                       | 11.4                                   | 10.1                                   | 5.5                 |
| 2-4 keV           | \( \pm 5.3 \)                              | \( \pm 2.5 \)                           | \( \pm 1.5 \)                           | \( \pm 0.5 \)        |
| MECS              | 7.4                                        | 7.9                                    | 7.5                                    | 7.1                 |
| 4-10 keV          | \( \pm 2.5 \)                              | \( \pm 2.1 \)                           | \( \pm 1.1 \)                           | \( \pm 1.3 \)        |
Figure 5. Left panel: 26–27 July SED. Right panel: 31 October–2 November SED. The black butterflies represent RXTE simultaneous data. The HEGRA upper limit is calculated assuming a spectral energy index $\alpha_{\text{TeV}} = 1.5$ above 0.7 TeV. The optical slopes are calculated on the weighted average UBVRI fluxes ($A_B = 1.42$). July fluxes (mJy): $<F_U> = 5.17 \pm 0.07$; $<F_R> = 8.12 \pm 0.01$; $<F_V> = 12.08 \pm 0.04$; $<F_B> = 16.54 \pm 0.02$; $<F_I> = 22.28 \pm 0.06$. October fluxes (mJy): $<F_U> = 8.26 \pm 0.09$; $<F_R> = 11.96 \pm 0.05$; $<F_V> = 17.56 \pm 0.06$; $<F_B> = 22.43 \pm 0.08$; $<F_I> = 27.97 \pm 0.18$.

| Date       | $\alpha_{\text{TeV}}$ | $F_{\text{1KeV}}$ (mJy) | $F_{2-10\text{keV}}$ (10$^{-12}$ c.g.s.) | $\alpha_{\text{opt}}$ | $F_V$ (mJy) |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| June 1980  | 2.2 ± 1.0              | 1.6                       | 7.1$^a$                         | 2.2 ± 0.2             | 5.75         |
| December 1983 | (2.2)                  | 0.8                       |                                 | 2.1 ± 0.4             | 9.55         |
| June 1988  | 0.71 ± 0.07            | 1.27                      | 7.7$^b$                         | 2.2 ± 0.1             | 4.2          |
| July 1988  | 1.16 ± 0.24            | 1.35                      | 4.1$^b$                         | 3.21 ± 0.02           | 6.46         |
| December 1992 | 0.94 ± 0.46            | 0.88                      | 3.7$^b$                         |                       |              |
| November 1995 | 0.96 ± 0.04            | 2.22                      | 8.9                             | 0.8 ± 0.2             | 5.7          |
| July 1997  | 0.59 ± 0.03            | 3.55                      | 26                              | 1.85 ± 0.4             | 27.9         |
| November 1997 | 0.89 ± 0.13            | 2.4                       | 11.2                            |                       |              |
| June 1999  | 1.57 ± 0.25            | 0.77                      | 6.5                             | 1.2 ± 0.2             | 26.4         |
| December 1999 | 0.63 ± 0.06            | 0.96                      | 12.3                            | 1.8 ± 0.3             | 18.6         |
| July 2000  | 0.8 ± 0.1              | 1.1                       | 5.8                             | 1.84 ± 0.01$^a$       | 12.08$^a$    |
| October    | 1.45$^{+0.1}_{-0.05}$  | 11.4                      | 21                              | 1.58 ± 0.04$^a$       | 17.56$^a$    |
| November 2000 | 1.45$^{+0.1}_{-0.05}$  | 11.4                      | 21                              | 0.64 ± 0.02$^b$       | 37.23$^b$    |

Table 5. June 1980 Einstein data: Bregman et al., 1980. December 1983 EXOSAT data: Bregman et al., 1990. June 1988–July 1988 GINGA data: Kawai et al., 1991. December 1992 ROSAT data: Urry et al., 1992. November 1995 ASCA data: Sambruna et al., 1999. July 1997 RXTE data: Madejski et al., 1999. November 1997 BeppoSAX data: Padovani et al., 2001. June and December 1999 BeppoSAX data: Ravasio et al., 2002. *: from our calculations. $^a$: using $A_B = 1.42$. $^b$: using $A_B = 2.5$: see discussion.

In fig. 6 we plot the results of all the multiwavelength campaigns performed on BL Lac.

As evidenced in fig. 5 & 6 and in table 5, while during July 2000 we observed the source in a normal state, in the end of October BL Lac was very active. During this run, in fact, BeppoSAX detected the highest soft X-ray flux and an integrated [2–10] keV flux which is only slightly smaller than that of the flare of July 1997, when BL Lac was displaying a hard X-ray spectrum.

4. Discussion

X-ray observations are crucial for blazar study because at these energies we can often observe the transition between the two...
emission mechanisms. The two X-ray observations that we presented here clearly show this:

- 26–27 July: during this observation BeppoSAX detected a hard spectrum in the LECS–MECS range, with large positive residuals below 1 keV. The hard component can be attributed to inverse Compton emission while the residuals can be explained as the very soft tail of the synchrotron component (the data however does not allow us to set limits on the spectral index of this component neither to assess its consistency with the optical data). This picture is supported by the temporal behaviour of the source: the [2-10] keV light curve represents the inverse Compton spectrum produced by the less energetic particles and is therefore almost constant on the timescale of a single observation. The [0.7-2] keV curve, instead, is influenced also by the soft synchrotron emission produced by highly energetic electrons which can account for the observed variability.

- 31 October – 2 November: also in this observation BeppoSAX detected the transition between two emission mechanisms. The LECS+MECS spectrum is soft, while the PDS observed a hard component. In the usual scenario, the hard component is attributed to inverse Compton emission, while the soft spectrum is explained as synchrotron emission by very energetic electrons ($\gamma \sim 2.5 \times 10^3 - 10^5$ ($B$/1G)$^{-1/2}$($\delta$/10)$^{-1/2}$) which have a very short cooling time ($t_{\text{cool}} \sim 3 \times 10^3 - 10^4$($B$/1G)$^{-3/2}$($\delta$/10)$^{1/2}$ s). This could also explain the very fast variability detected in the whole LECS–MECS energy range.

This scenario, however, could be inadequate. Looking at fig. one can notice immediately a strange feature in the SED of October–November: the X-ray data lie above the extrapolation of the optical spectrum, while both of them should be produced by the same emission mechanism, the synchrotron. Even correcting for the host galaxy contribution (which is almost negligible for the
level of activity of BL Lac during our observations) we are not able to reconcile X-ray and optical data. However, we can conceive at least four scenarios which under certain conditions can explain the observed optical “glitch”. They are: I) a variable local absorbing column along the line of sight; II) bulk Compton radiation; III) two different synchrotron emitting regions; IV) Klein-Nishina effect on the synchrotron spectrum.

4.1. Differential absorption

From the BeppoSAX X-ray analysis we had $N_H = 2.5 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, consistent with previous observations: using the dust-to-gas ratio suggested by Ryter (1996)

$$A_V = 4.5 \times 10^{-22} N_H \text{ cm}^{-2}$$ (2)

and using E(B-V)=0.329 as indicated by the NED, the corresponding optical absorption is $A_B = 1.45$, very similar to the value reported by Schlegel et al. (1998), $A_B = 1.42$. However, using these values, the X-ray spectrum lies largely above the optical spectrum extrapolation, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Even correcting the optical data for the host galaxy contribution the two sections do not connect continuously. In Fig. 7 we plotted the R and B band BL Lac fluxes corrected using $R_{\text{host}} = 15.55$ and $B_{\text{host}} = 17.15$ (Villata et al., 2002): the misalignment is even worsened.

If we try to account for this discrepancy using different absorption values, e.g. $A_B = 2.5$, and consequently $N_H = 4.8 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, we still obtain two spectral sections which cannot be smoothly connected (Fig. 4). Therefore we fixed the X-ray absorption to $N_H = 2.5 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ and varied the optical absorption.

Using $A_B \sim 4.2$, U-filter data lie on the X-ray extrapolation, but the optical spectrum would be very hard and the connection discontinuous. Using an intermediate value, $A_B = 2.5$, the optical and X-ray spectra connect continuously on a parabolic curve, evidenced in Fig. 7. With this absorption value, we obtain a hard optical spectrum ($\alpha_{\text{opt}} = 0.94 \pm 0.01$ and $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = 0.64 \pm 0.02$, for the summer and the autumn observations respectively) and consequently higher synchrotron peak frequencies. A dust-to-gas ratio higher than that reported by Ryter (1996) could therefore account for the observed optical to X-ray misalignment.

We can compare this behaviour with other multiwavelength campaigns: in Fig. 5 we plot all the published SEDs of BL Lacertae. Only in the SED of 1980 and in that of June 1999 we observe synchrotron emission both in the optical and in the X-ray band. In all the other cases, only the Compton component was detected, thus one cannot see any misalignment between the optical and X-ray synchrotron components. While the BeppoSAX synchrotron spectrum of June 1999 connect continuously with the simultaneous optical data (using the galactic dust-to-gas ratio; Ravasio et al., 2002), in the 1980 SED the optical–UV data seem to lie below the extrapolation of the Einstein IPC spectrum (Bregman et al., 1990), recreating the misalignment observed in October–November 2000.

During the 1980 IPC observation, in fact, BL Lac was displaying a very steep synchrotron spectrum in the [0.1–4] keV range ($\alpha = 2.2 \pm 1.0$, using however a very high absorption parameter $N_H = 1.25 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$; Bregman et al., 1990), hardly connectable with the very soft simultaneous optical–UV spectrum. The Einstein data, however, are affected by very large uncertainties, which make difficult to determine the exact shape of the SED: this is evidenced by the results published by Worrall & Wilkes (1990), which reported an IPC spectral index $\alpha = 1.34^{+0.23}_{-0.13}$. The uncertainties on the IPC spectral index are such that no firm conclusion about the reality of the optical–to–X-ray misalignment in the 1980 SED can be drawn.

The strange optical/X-ray misalignment observed in 2000 (and maybe in 1980) is not detected in the only other multiwavelength campaign that shows synchrotron emission in the optical and X-ray bands (June 1999, BeppoSAX). Nevertheless, we can rely on the goodness of our data: the BeppoSAX spectrum is confirmed by simultaneous RXTE data, as described in the previous sections, while the optical data are confirmed by different observatories (Villata et al.,...
2002). The optical/X-ray misalignment is therefore real. The absorption along the line of sight can not account for it, unless we assume a sudden, large increase of the dust–to–gas ratio in the interstellar material.

This hypothesis, however, is not unlikely, since the line of sight towards BL Lac is partially covered by a low surface brightness interstellar nebulosity which is very variable (Penston & Penston, 1973; Sillanpää, priv. comm.). If these clouds are dusty, they could account for the dust–to–gas ratio excess needed to reconcile the optical and X–ray data in the SED of October–November 2000 (and possibly also in the 1980 SED; Sillanpää et al., 1993). Their proper motion could indeed explain the misalignment in the SED of autumn 2000 and its absence in that of June 1999.

4.2. Bulk Compton radiation

Alternatively, the observed misalignment could be claimed as the first detection of the so called “bulk Compton” emission. This possibility was predicted by Sikora et al. (1997): he postulated the existence of a population of “cold” electrons in addition to the relativistic ones producing synchrotron and inverse Compton emission. These cold electrons, however, have bulk relativistic motion with respect to the radiation fields produced by the accretion disk and by the broad line region and can inverse Compton scatter these photons up to frequencies

\[ n_e(r_{\text{min}}) = \frac{6 \times 10^9}{\Gamma_{10}^2 \gamma_{0,1} r_{\text{min},15}} \text{ cm}^{-3} \] (5)

where \( \Gamma_{10} = \Gamma/10 \), and \( r_{\text{min}} = r_{\text{min}}/10^{15}\text{ cm} \). This number particle density, necessary to produce the observed X–ray spectrum, puts constraints on the jet composition. In fact, if we suppose a one–to–one proton–electron plasma, we can easily evaluate the total kinetic power of the jet (Celotti & Fabian, 1993):

\[ L_{\text{KIN}} \sim \pi r_0^2 m_p^2 c^2 \ell_{\text{obs}} \sim 10^{47} \frac{r_{\text{min},15}^2 \ell_{0,1}}{\Gamma_{10} \zeta_{0,1}} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \] (6)

where \( n_p = n_p/\Gamma \) is the proton number density in the jet comoving frame. This value exceeds the \( L_{\text{KIN}} \) estimated by Celotti, Padovani & Ghisellini (1997) by a factor \( \sim 30 \). To produce the observed X–ray spectrum via bulk Compton mechanism and to be consistent with the result of Celotti, Padovani & Ghisellini (1997) the proton–electron ratio must be smaller: the jet must be pair loaded.

This is not forbidden since the optical thickness for Thomson scattering \( \tau(r) = n_e \sigma_T \ell_{\text{obs}} r \) at \( r_{\text{min}} \) is

\[ \tau(r_{\text{min}}) = \frac{2 \ell_{0,1}}{5 \Gamma_{10}^2 \zeta_{0,1}} \] (7)

Since from our calculations \( \tau(r_{\text{min}}) < 1 \) (and decreasing further out) and the expansion timescale at \( r_{\text{min}} \left( t_{\text{exp}} \sim 8 \times 10^4 r_{\text{min},15} \Gamma_{10}^{-1} \text{ s} \right) \) is smaller than the annihilation timescale \( (t_{\text{ann}} \sim 2 \times 10^5 \Gamma_{10}^{-1} \zeta_{0,1} r_{\text{min},15} \text{ s}) \) the pairs will survive along the jet.

After having ruled out the hypothesis of a proton–electron jet and having requested the presence of pairs in the jet, it is now fundamental to understand if the particle number necessary to produce the bulk Compton emission is sufficient to produce the observed SEDs. The particle number conservation we have just demonstrated implies that \( n_e \sim 1/\ell^2 \). Therefore, at the distance where the radiation is emitted, \( r_{\text{SED}} \sim 10^{16} - 10^{17} \text{ cm} \), the particle number density would be

\[ n(r_{\text{SED}}) = n(r_{\text{min}}) \left( \frac{r_{\text{min}}}{r_{\text{SED}}^{-1}} \right)^2 \sim 6 \times 10^7 \frac{r_{\text{min},15}^{-2}}{\Gamma_{10} \zeta_{0,1} r_{\text{SED},17}^{-1}} \text{ cm}^{-3} \] (8)

This value is greater than what we needed to model old BL Lac seds: \( n_e \sim 10^{9} \gamma_{\text{rms}} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). Only a fraction of the electrons carried by the jet need to be accelerated in order to produce the observed radio to \( \gamma\)-ray emission.

What we have proved to this point is that the bulk Compton can account for the X–ray data as long as the jet is pair rich. Further constraints about this emission mechanism come from the investigation of the pair loading processes occurring in the protojet, e.g. photon–photon interaction (Svensson, 1987) or the interaction with the X–ray corona field (Sikora & Madejski, 2002), but this is beyond the goal of this paper.

However a simple viability test of the bulk Compton scenario
4.3. Two synchrotron components

We considered then the hypothesis that the optical and the X-ray spectra we observed are created by two populations of emitting electrons possibly located in two regions at different distances from the nucleus. A produces a synchrotron component peaking in the IR section while the second accounts for the WEBT band.

In order not to overproduce the optical flux, the X-ray component must peak above the UV band. This implies that the emitting particle population must have a break ($\gamma_{\text{break}}$). If $N(\gamma) \propto \gamma^{-2}$ below $\gamma_{\text{break}}$, we require $\gamma_{\text{break}} > 1.6 \times 10^4 \delta_{10}^{-1/2} B_{-1}^{-1/2}$ to not overproduce the optical flux.

The observed fast X-ray variability implies that the presence of a break in the particle distribution could not be an effect of the cooling. Since $t_{\text{var}} \sim 6 \times 10^7$ s (see table 3), the X-ray emitting region must be located at a distance from the nucleus $R \lesssim \delta c t_{\text{var}}/\phi \sim 2 \times 10^{16} \Gamma_{16}^2$ cm, where $\phi \sim 1/\Gamma$ is the jet opening angle and $\delta = 1/((1 - \beta \cos \theta_{obs})$ is the Doppler factor. The dimension of the BLR of BL Lac is $R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 8.3 \times 10^{16}$ M$_{BH}$ cm (Böttcher & Bloom, 2000), where $M_{BH}$ is the black hole mass in units of 10$^8$ solar masses. Since $M_{BH} \sim 1.7$ (Woo & Urry, 2002), $R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{17}$ cm: the X-ray emitting region should be inside the Broad Line Region, where the cooling rate is high.

4.4. Klein-Nishina effect on the synchrotron spectrum

Since the Compton cooling rate of electrons with energies $\gamma > \gamma_1$ is given by

$$\frac{m_e c^2}{\hbar \nu_{\text{REL}}} \propto \frac{10^4}{\Gamma_{10} \delta_{10}}$$

is reduced because of the decline of the Compton scattering cross section in the Klein–Nishina regime, for a given electron injection rate, the energy distribution of electrons hardens beyond $\gamma_1$. The effect is particularly strong for $f = U_{\text{BLR}}'/U_B' \gg 1$ and causes flattening of the synchrotron spectrum at

$$\nu > \nu_1 \approx 3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ Hz} \quad B_{\gamma_1}^2 \delta \approx$$

$$\approx 5 \times 10^{15} \frac{(U_{\text{BLR}}/0.005 \text{ erg cm}^{-3})^{1/2} (\delta/\Gamma)}{(f/10)^{3/2}} \text{ Hz}$$

The hardpart of the electron energy distribution extends up to $\gamma_2 \sim f^{1/2}/\gamma_1$. At $\gamma > \gamma_2$ the cooling of electrons becomes to be dominated by synchrotron radiation and the slope of the electron energy distribution becomes the same as at $\gamma \ll \gamma_1$. Consequently, at $\nu > \nu_2 \sim f \nu_1$, the synchrotron spectrum steepens, regaining the slope from the optical band but vertically shifted up by a factor $f$ above the extrapolation of the optical spectral portion. Such a scenario can reproduce the observed spectral “glitch”, provided $f \sim 10$. Details of the model will be presented elsewhere; here we would like to mention only that a similar scenario has been proposed by Dermer and Atoyan (2002) to explain spectral glitches between the optical and X-ray spectra of kiloparsec scale jets.
5. Conclusions

BL Lac has been the target of a multiwavelength campaign during 2000, extending from June to November. BeppoSAX observed the source twice, in July and at the end of October, while in different optical state of activity. As evidenced also from previous observations, BL Lac displays a very complex behaviour. We summarize the BeppoSAX results as follows:

- In July, while optically weak, the source displayed a faint, hard X–ray spectrum with positive residuals towards low energies. The soft X–ray flux varied in a timescale of a few hours, while the hard X–ray flux was almost constant.
- In October, while BL Lac was bright in the optical band, we observed in the X–ray the transition from an extremely strong, soft component to a hard component dominating above 10–20 keV. The soft spectrum displayed an erratic temporal behaviour with large and fast variations on timescales down to $6 \times 10^3$ s, while the hard component remained almost constant.
- BeppoSAX spectral results are confirmed by simultaneous RXTE data: PCA and MECS spectra are consistent within the uncertainties.

The frequency dependent temporal variability is consistent with the spectral analysis results: we observed fast and large flux variations when the soft component, interpreted as synchrotron emission, was dominating, while we observed constant light curves in the hard section of the spectra which can be reproduced as inverse Compton radiation in a lepton jet model. This can be explained since synchrotron X–ray emitting electrons are more energetic than those that are producing inverse Compton emission at X–ray energies, so they cool faster. This behaviour was already observed in BL Lac (Ravasio et al., 2002) as well as in other similar objects, such as ON 231 (Tagliaferri et al., 2000) or S5 0716+714 (Tagliaferri et al., 2003).

The analysis of the multiwavelength Spectral Energy Distributions and the comparison with other historical SEDs, evidences the exceptionality of the X–ray spectrum of October 2000: during this observation BL Lac was displaying the highest soft X–ray flux ever recorded and an integrated [2-10] keV flux which was only slightly smaller than that detected in July 1997, while BL Lac was in an exceptional flaring state and was displaying a hard X–ray spectrum (Madejski et al., 1999).

Moreover, the SED of October 2000 displayed another very interesting feature: the soft X–ray data laid above the extrapolation of the optical spectrum, while they should be both produced via the same synchrotron emission. To account for this inconsistency we have investigated 4 possibilities, among which, however, we cannot at present discriminate:

1. The dust–to–gas ratio towards BL Lac is higher than the interstellar one. However, since this misalignment is not seen in the other multiwavelength SED of BL Lac with a well defined synchrotron component (except that of 1980, where data have large uncertainties), a sudden large increase of the dust–to–gas ratio is needed. This hypothesis is not unlikely since dusty galactic nebulosities are indeed observed towards the source.
2. This is the first detection of the so called bulk Compton emission. In the hypothesis that the jet is pair loaded, we can reproduce the observed soft X–ray spectrum via the bulk Compton mechanism while the optical and the hard X–ray spectra can be explained via the usual synchrotron and inverse Compton models. However, a blueshifted Shakura–Sunyaev disk spectrum cannot model BeppoSAX data. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude this scenario since the effective spectral shape of accretion disks in the far UV is still uncertain and could have a power law rather than an exponential slope (see e.g. Laor et al., 1996).
3. Two synchrotron components present at different distances from the nucleus account for the optical and the X–ray spectra, respectively. In order to not overproduce the optical flux and to account for the X–ray fast variability, the X–ray emitting particle population must have a break at energies greater than $\gamma \sim 1.6 \times 10^4 L_{10}^{3/2} B_{-1/2}$. The break can be accounted by the particle cooling or must be intrinsic if the line luminosity level is lower or higher than the calculated average value, respectively.
4. Through the synchrotron emitting population, we observe the transition from inverse Compton cooling dominated to synchrotron cooling dominated particles. Between these two conditions, there is a range in which the electrons are dominated by an inefficient inverse Compton cooling in the Klein–Nishina regime: this produces a hardening in the particle population and therefore in the synchrotron spectrum which accounts for the observed optical to X–ray misalignment.

In the SED of October 2000 of BL Lac, above the synchrotron peak, we have detected a well determined misalignment between two sections of the synchrotron spectrum. To explain this feature, we suggested four scenarios presenting different favorable and critical points: at present, however, the theoretical models and the data do not allow us to discriminate between them, although the hypothesis of a variable dust–to–gas ratio is the most plausible one.
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