The effect of olfactory stimuli on the balance ability of stroke patients
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Abstract. [Purpose] The present study attempted to identify the effect of olfactory stimulation on the balance ability of stroke patients. [Subjects] Thirty-three (33 males) stroke patients participated in the study. The stroke patients were divided into three groups: a black pepper oil (BPO) group (n=11), lavender oil (LVO) group (n=11), and distilled water (DW) group (n=11). [Methods] Two sessions (control trial/stimulus trial) of Romberg’s test (eyes open 1 min/eyes closed 1 min) were conducted on a force platform to measure the data for the COP (center of pressure). Olfactory stimulation was provided as a stimulus. [Results] With the eyes open, a statistically significant difference was found in average anterior posterior displacement (Ymean) and average medial lateral displacement (Xmean) among the three groups when comparing the groups before and after stimulation. The comparison between the eyes open and eyes closed conditions in each group showed a significant difference in the area of the 95% confidence ellipse (area) and Xmean of the BPO group and in the area of the LVO group (area, Xmean). [Conclusion] The findings indicate that the interaction of brain areas activated by the olfactory stimulation exerts an influence on the balance ability of stroke patients.
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INTRODUCTION

A stroke is an acute onset of neurological dysfunction resulting from an abnormality of cerebral circulation1 and is one of the major causes of long-term disability2. Stroke patients’ sensory, motor, cognitive, and emotional disabilities impose restrictions on their basic activities of daily living3. Disabilities in the form of reduced postural balance, postural control, and functional movement are general problems of stroke patients4. Impairment of balance control is a main problem in these patients, since it may greatly affect their mobility and independence and often increases the risk of falls5, 6.

Balance deficits can be identified from observing increased postural sway in stroke patients during quiet standing7, 8. Many studies on quiet standing in stroke patients have utilized force platform technology to evaluate weight bearing and body sway; based on the location and movement of the COP of the ground reaction force9. Analysis of the movement of the COP obtained from data collected using a force platform is a general method to measure postural sway with quiet standing10.

Most of the research on quiet standing makes use of a kinetic measurement method in which the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral displacements of the COP are calculated on a force platform11. In general, evaluation of balance ability of stroke patients depends on the following four indices: mean COP velocity (velocity), area of the 95% confidence ellipse (area) and Xmean of the BPO group and in the area of the LVO group (area, Xmean). [Conclusion] The findings indicate that the interaction of brain areas activated by the olfactory stimulation exerts an influence on the balance ability of stroke patients.
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sume that olfactory stimulation is closely related to various regions of the brain.

Some recent research has suggested that olfactory stimulation may increase the postural stability of the elderly\textsuperscript{25}. It has also been reported that olfactory stimulation increased the gait ability of the elderly\textsuperscript{26} and that it decreased their risk of falls\textsuperscript{27}. Many studies have been carried out to identify the relationship between olfactory stimulation and postural control, but not much has been reported on stroke patients. This study attempted to identify the effect of olfactory stimulation on the balance ability of stroke patients having balance problems.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Table 1 shows the general physical characteristics of the group of chronic stroke patients used as subjects, who met the following criteria: they were chronic stroke patients who had hemiplegia from strokes for at least 6 months; had normal olfactory function diagnosed with an olfactory identification test; scored greater than 24 points on the mini-mental state examination (MMSE) test and showed no problem in communication, who did not take any medicine that a could influence posture and balance passed the third stage of the Brunnstrom recovery scale; and agreed to voluntarily participate in the present research. Written informed consent has been obtained from each subject or patient. The Ethics Committee of Namseoul University, South Korea, also approved the study. The IRB approval number is Research-140421-3.

The 33 subjects were randomly assigned to one of three subgroups: the black pepper, lavender, and distilled water. Black pepper, lavender oil (Absoute aromas Ltd. Alton, England), and distilled water were used as olfactory stimuli for the subjects. The experiment was conducted for all three groups between 10 to 11 in the morning. Measurement of COP values with quiet standing was carried out with a BT4 force platform (Hur Labs Oy, Tampere, Finland). The participants did performed two sessions (control trial/stimulus trial) of Romberg's test (eyes open 1 min/eyes closed 1 min) on the force platform (BT4, Hur Labs Oy, Tampere, Finland).

First, a Romberg’s test (for COP measurement) was conducted before olfactory stimuli for one minute with the eyes open, and this was followed by two minutes of rest and then performance of the test again for one minute with the eyes closed. After a four minute of rest, the olfactory stimulus was given, and the COP was measured for one minute with the eyes open; this was followed by two minutes of rest and then measurement of the COP again for one minute with the eyes closed. The olfactory stimulus was given to the patients via an aroma necklace that they did not wear during rest periods. The subjects sat in a chair comfortably while resting\textsuperscript{25}.

COP excursion was tested using a four-channel portable force platform (Hur Labs BT4) that was calibrated prior to testing; the channels were checked before every test. The

Patients were instructed to look straight ahead and stand as still as possible with their arms hanging down. The foot position was standardized: patients stood with a 2 cm heel-to-heel distance and an angle of 30° between the feet. The test was carried out with the eyes open, focusing on a point 2 m ahead, and with the eyes closed. The participants stood still for at least 5 s (pre-phase) before measurement. After the pre-phase, the COP was measured for the next 60 seconds. Signals were sampled at 200 Hz and filtered with a digital low-pass filter at a 7.8 Hz cutoff frequency prior to sampling; signals were filtered with two low-pass filters, with the first-stage filter being a sinc3 type and the second-stage filter being a 22-tap filter.

In the current research, the area of the 95% confidence ellipse (area), average anterior-posterior displacement (Ymean), and average medial-lateral displacement (Xmean) were selected as the COP values. SPSS Statistics 18.0 program for Windows was used in this research to carry out all statistical analyses. The general characteristics of the subjects were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Multivariate analysis of variance was to analyze variable effects based on the three balance ability factors, area, Xmean, and Ymean, of the three groups. A paired t-test was also conducted to compare presence and absence of sight: the eyes open and eyes closed conditions. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

| Table 1. Subject characteristics | BPO mean±SD | LVO mean±SD | DW mean±SD |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Gender (male/female)            | 11/0       | 11/0        | 11/0       |
| Age (years)                     | 58.7±15.1  | 62.6±10.7   | 57.5±10.1  |
| Height (cm)                     | 170.4±4.4  | 169.8±5.3   | 167.3±7.2  |
| Weight (kg)                     | 67.4±8.0   | 63.9±6.8    | 64.7±6.8   |
| Stroke type (number)            |            |             |            |
| Ischemic/hemorrhagic            | 6/5        | 5/6         | 7/4        |
| Affected side (number)          | 8/3        | 10/1        | 8/3        |
| Time since stroke (month)       | 25.4±12.4  | 22.4±16.1   | 30.4±16.5  |
| MMSE (score)                    | 28.2±2.2   | 27.5±2.7    | 25.7±2.2   |
| Brunnstrom (stage)              | 4.36±0.8   | 4.00±0.8    | 4.18±0.8   |

BPO, black pepper oil; LVO, lavender oil; DW, distilled water; MMSE, mini-mental.

The three groups showed changes in area, Xmean, and Ymean before and after olfactory stimuli with the eyes open and eyes closed, as shown in Table 2. With the eyes open, a statistically significant difference was not found (p>0.05) among the three groups in area, but significant differences were found in Xmean and Ymean in comparisons of the values before and after olfactory stimulus. With the eyes closed, on the other hand, no significant difference was found in area, Xmean, and Ymean among the three groups (p>0.05).

There were also changes in area, Xmean, and Ymean
within each group with the eyes open and eyes closed (Table 3). In the comparison of the eyes open and eyes open conditions for each group, it was found that the area and Xmean of the BPO group and the area of the LVO group showed significant differences (p<0.05). However, no significant difference was found in comparison of the eyes open and eyes closed conditions in terms of the comparison in Ymean of the BPO group, Xmean and Ymean of the LVO group and all three indices in the DW group.

**DISCUSSION**

The present research attempted to identify the impact of olfactory stimuli on the balance ability of stroke patients. It was found that olfactory stimuli decreased the Xmean (BPO, LVO) and Ymean (BPO) values with the eyes open.

Similar results were reported in previous research. Freeman S et al.\(^{23}\), for instance, found in their test of balance ability with black pepper oil and lavender oil as olfactory stimuli that the COP values (RMS velocity and trajectory length in the medial-lateral and anterior-posterior directions) decreased significantly. Ebihara et al.\(^{20}\) also reported that the TUG (Timed Up and Go) test score of the elderly significantly decreased after being given olfactory stimuli of lavender oil and grapefruit oil. Sakamoto et al.\(^{27}\) also found an interesting result: seniors showed significantly lowered risk of falls after being given olfactory stimuli consisting of a lavender scent for 12 months. Thus, it can be concluded that olfactory stimuli enhance balance ability, which supports previous research.

Miyanari et al.\(^{21}\) have reported that many areas of the brain can be activated by olfactory stimuli: the subthalamic nucleus in the left hemisphere and precentral gyrus, insula right hemisphere, and right superior frontal gyrus, as well as the orbitofrontal cortex. Also, cerebellar activity was consistently observed in functional imaging studies of olfaction\(^{22, 23}\). Thus, it was found that olfactory stimuli can activate other areas of the brain as well as olfactory function.

Previous research has found that performance of balance tasks may activate many regions of the brain: the parietal lobe, prefrontal cortex, sensorimotor regions, precuneus, cingulate cortex, thalamus, frontal gyr, temporal gyr, cerebellum, vermis, supplementary motor area, insula, supramarginal gyrus, precentral gyrus, corpus callosum, and caudate nucleus\(^{28–30}\). Thus, it might be possible to conclude in general that performing balance tasks would lead to activation of various regions of the brain.

Of the abovementioned activated regions, the insula is considered one of the most activated regions in functional neuroimaging research\(^{31, 32}\). It is known that it is involved in the input of visceral motor/sensory, gustatory, olfactory, vestibular/auditory, visual, verbal, pain, and sensory/motor information; auditory processing with respect to music; eating; and processing of attention and emotion\(^{33–36}\). Craig\(^{37}\) argued that the insula integrates and processes various types of stimuli. Ng\(^{38}\) suggested that maintenance of balance must involve simultaneous and continuous data processing of multiple systems including sensory information; visual, vestibular, and proprioceptive senses; cognitive integration; attention and executive function; cerebellar function; and sensory and motor feedback. The insula contains the vestibular system\(^{39}\). It has been reported that vestibular stimulation leads to activation of the brain\(^{40}\), specifically, the right posterior insula\(^{41}\). Also, many functional neuroimaging

**Table 2. Differences among the three groups in area, Xmean and Ymean before and after olfactory stimuli with eyes open and closed**

| Condition | Variable | Group       | Pre mean±SD | Post mean±SD |
|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| EO        | Area (mm\(^2\)) | BPO         | 521.3±397.6 | 394.6±249.1  |
|           |          | LVO         | 602.5±594.1 | 500.2±401.2  |
|           |          | DW          | 570.0±346.5 | 458.8±290.1  |
|           | Xmean (mm) | BPO         | 22.8±16.3   | 16.2±11.9    |
|           |          | LVO         | 21.0±14.8   | 14.3±11.5    |
|           |          | DW          | 20.8±20.8   | 16.9±11.7    |
|           | Ymean (mm) | BPO         | −15.7±12.8  | −25.5±12.7   |
|           |          | LVO         | −17.7±15.9  | −21.1±15.9   |
|           |          | DW          | −14.4±14.7  | −17.3±17.8   |
| EC        | Area (mm\(^2\)) | BPO         | 528.1±497.4 | 563.3±364.7  |
|           |          | LVO         | 580.4±510.3 | 602.7±487.1  |
|           |          | DW          | 758.1±635.9 | 632.8±489.4  |
|           | Xmean (mm) | BPO         | 21.5±13.9   | 18.2±11.8    |
|           |          | LVO         | 22.6±13.9   | 15.0±12.5    |
|           |          | DW          | 21.3±21.8   | 17.8±15.0    |
|           | Ymean (mm) | BPO         | −14.0±12.6  | −23.9±13.3   |
|           |          | LVO         | −18.3±14.8  | −19.1±15.9   |
|           |          | DW          | −9.3±25.7   | −15.0±19.7   |

*Expressed as p<0.05. BPO, black pepper oil; LVO, lavender oil; DW, distilled water; area, area of the 95% confidence ellipse; Xmean, average anterior-posterior displacement; Ymean, average medial-lateral displacement; EO, eyes open; EC, eyes closed

**Table 3. Eyes open vs. eyes closed: differences in area, Xmean, and Ymean of each group before and after olfactory stimulation**

| Group     | Variable | EO mean±SD | EC mean±SD |
|-----------|----------|------------|------------|
| BPO       | Area* (mm\(^2\)) | 126.7±213.9 | −35.2±290.1 |
| LVO       | Xmean* (mm)   | 6.6±12.2   | 3.2±10.9   |
|           | Ymean       | 9.8±11.0   | 9.9±8.3    |
|           | Area* (mm\(^2\)) | 602.5±594.1 | −102.5±170.0 |
| LVO       | Xmean       | −1.6±13.3  | −0.6±5.4   |
|           | Ymean       | 0.6±6.1    | −1.9±8.1   |
|           | Area (mm\(^2\)) | −188.1±463.8 | −173.9±270.2 |
| DW        | Xmean       | −0.5±18.4  | −0.9±6.6   |
|           | Ymean       | −5.0±15.1  | −2.2±8.0   |

*Expressed as p<0.05. BPO, black pepper oil; LVO, lavender oil; DW, distilled water; area, area of the 95% confidence ellipse; Xmean, average anterior-posterior displacement; Ymean, average medial-lateral displacement; EO, eyes open; EC, eyes closed
studies of olfaction have continuously observed cerebellar activities. Together, the abovementioned studies suggest that olfactory stimuli can activate the insula and cerebellum and that performing balance tasks can activate the insula, cerebellum, and vestibular system. Thus, the combination and interaction of olfactory stimuli and performing balance tasks can activate various regions of the brain, and help enhance the balance ability of stroke patients. The COP values showed significant differences when black pepper and lavender scents were used as olfactory stimuli, but no difference was found with distilled water. The COP values increased with the eyes closed. Such a result can be explained by a previous study, which found that balance control needs visual, vestibular, and proprioceptive senses, and another study, which found that postural control was dependent on visual information in stroke patients when standing. Different COP values depending on the presence/absence of sight might be a natural result, since blockage of sight results in increased body sway, as sight is one of the important elements for postural control. Visual dependence of stroke patients also contributed to the difference in COP values in the present study. The finding of significant differences in COP values in the black pepper and lavender scent groups might lead us to conclude that olfactory stimuli affect balance ability.

Freeman S et al. found that the COP values of the elderly decreased with the eyes closed, but it was found in this research that the COP values of stroke patients decreased with the eyes open. This finding explains why we could not greatly lower the COP values of stroke patients by providing olfactory stimulus with the eyes closed, since they show visual dependence behavior.

It was found that an olfactory stimulus led to improvement of balance ability in the stroke patients. This result might be attributable to strong activation and interaction of various areas of the brain as result of the olfactory stimulus and their performance of balance tasks. Further research should be carried before making any generalizations, since not much research has been carried out on the relationship between an olfactory stimulus and balance.
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