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Abstract
Aim The economic burden caused by death and disease in the world is credited mainly to tobacco use—currently linked to approximately 8,000,000 deaths per year with approximately 80% of these fatalities reported in low and middle income economies. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that nearly 7,000,000 deaths are attributed to direct tobacco use, while approximately 1,200,000 non-smokers exposed to second hand cigarette smoke die every year. Accordingly, tobacco use is a major threat to the public health infrastructure; therefore, proper cessation interventions must be put in place to curb tobacco abuse and ease economic and social burdens caused by the tobacco epidemic.

Methods A systematic review was conducted to investigate how scientific efforts have been advanced towards harm reduction among smokers and non-smokers. Relevant articles published during the period 2010–2020 in PubMed, Crossref, Google scholar, and Web of Science were used in this study. The articles were selected based on health impacts of cigarette smoking, tobacco cessation and emerging diseases, including Covid-19. Various cessation strategies have been identified although their efficiency is yet to match the desired results.

Results A series of carcinogenic chemicals are generated during cigarette smoking resulting in serious health complications such as cancer and mutagenesis. The precursors for tobacco induced diseases are toxic and carcinogenic chemicals of the nitrosamine type, aldehydes, polonium-210 and benzo[a]pyrene, which bio-accumulate in the body system during cigarette smoking to cause disease. Rehabilitation facilities, use of drugs to diminish the desire to smoke, heavy taxation of tobacco products and warning labels on cigarettes are some of the cessation strategies employed towards curbing tobacco abuse.

Conclusion The need for further research to develop better methods and research based policies for safe cigarette smoking and workable cessation strategies must be a priority in order to deal with the tobacco epidemic. Campaigns to promote tobacco cessation and abstinence are recommended in this review as a sure measure to mitigate against the deleterious impacts caused by cigarette smoking and tobacco abuse.
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Introduction
Tobacco is one of the most notoriously abused drug substance among the rural and urban populations in the developing world—a pattern which may also be replicated across developed countries (Vellios et al. 2018). The National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) of the United States of America approximates the cost of tobacco abuse, alcohol and banned drugs to be a costly undertaking in terms of crime, lost productivity and health care which is estimated to cost
approximately 5% of the US gross domestic product (GDP) (NIDA 2020). Globally, a steady increase in the rate of consumption of tobacco products and the number of smokers in the past decade has been reported (Mishra et al. 2016; O'Connor et al. 2020). As a result, this trend has triggered serious concerns regarding cessation on the abuse of illegal drugs and cigarette smoking which is potentially harmful to human health. Cigarette smoking has been clearly established as a risk factor for various degenerative diseases such as lung cancer and cardiovascular diseases by various scientific and epidemiological surveys (Jha 2020; Omari et al. 2015). Nonetheless, tobacco cigarette smokers are believed to be highly susceptible to the novel respiratory disease, Covid-19, given that smoke from cigarettes is a precursor for alterations on the angiotensin converting enzyme-2 (ACE-2) receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Brake et al. 2020). Consequently, the World Health Organization (WHO) has been on the forefront to educate the public worldwide on the dangers of tobacco use, which forms the basis of its Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) guidelines (WHO 2019). In this review, scientific efforts directed towards the cessation of tobacco smoking and the perceived harm and impacts arising from the frequent use of this psychoactive drug are assessed by reviewing selected published articles from different journals and databases. The emerging chemicals from tobacco cigarette smoke and scientific efforts taken in order to reduce emitted toxins; use of catalysts, tobacco additives, temperature variations, heat not burn cigarettes, e-cigarettes, and other methods have been evaluated towards harm reduction. Findings from this review may direct further research in devising methods that can enhance cessation of cigarette smoking thereby promoting the healthy livelihood of cigarette smokers, and non-cigarette smokers who conventionally suffer from the effects of sidestream smoke. For the record, this work has considered literature that is published in the English language only. After the search on the multidisciplinary databases and google scholar, a number of published reports on the subject of interest, especially tobacco cigarettes smoking, toxicity and Covid-19, and carcinogenicity, were the primary focus of this review.

Methodology

A detailed literature search was conducted in PubMed, Google scholar, and scientific electronic libraries online from Jan 2020 to April 2020 in line with the procedures described in previous literature review study protocols (Palmatier et al. 2018). The literature search was self-sufficiently done in a selection of databases that comprised original published articles in peer-reviewed journals, patents, books, dissertations, and reports that addressed tobacco abuse. Accordingly, articles published between January 2012 and April 2020 were considered if they had information about tobacco ailments, such as respiratory diseases; asthma and emphysema. For search precision, information used in this work was picked from the google search engine by including general terms such as tobacco toxicity, cancer, and carcinogenicity, dangers, intake methods, throat cancer, smoking, cigarettes, SARS-CoV-2, Covid-19 vulnerability, and smoking cessation. The authors set the online databases to give notifications of search outputs that contained information relevant and matching the established search standards such as academia, science direct, Mendeley, and google scholar, which were saved on personal computers (PCs) for further reading and analysis.

Results and discussions

Tobacco use as a precursor for cancer

Cigarette smoking is a well-established leading cause for cancer and mutagenesis, and the determinant factors are the duration of smoking, and the number of cigarettes smoked, which precipitate the risk for histologic types of lung cancer: squamous cell carcinoma, small cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, and large cell carcinoma (Babalik et al. 2018). Therefore, cigarette smoking is a precursor for transitional cell carcinomas of the bladder, ureter, and renal pelvis (Arora et al. 2018; Soliman 2018; Wojtczyk-Miaskowska and Schlichthozl 2019). Furthermore, cigarette smoke is known to raise the risk of sinonasal and nasopharyngeal cancer (Miligi et al. 2020). In addition, oropharyngeal and hypopharyngeal cancer have also been reported to be initiated by cigarette smoking (Liao et al. 2018). Additionally, liver cancer can be induced by cigarette smoking (Petrick et al. 2018). Therefore, most cancers are initiated by the carcinogenic chemicals present in cigarette smoke; the most common type of cancer being adenocarcinoma (Coleman et al. 2018).

Conventionally, tobacco can be used as either smoked tobacco or smokeless tobacco. When smoked, tobacco cigarettes emit smoke that has been linked to lung related deaths as evidenced by a number of scientific studies that emphasize that burning cigarettes release numerous chemicals that are biologically detrimental (Coleman et al. 2018; Shihadeh et al. 2015). Smoked tobacco products include but not limited to cigarettes, water pipes (Shihadeh et al. 2015), electronic cigarettes (Smith 2019), bidis, and krekets (Mishra et al. 2016). Alternatively, when tobacco is consumed in other forms apart from smoking, it consequently constitutes smokeless tobacco products such as loosely chewed tobacco leaves, snus, naswar, gutka snuff, and tobacco paste (Hajek et al. 2019; Khan et al. 2019; Kindvall et al. 2019; Mohapatra 2019). Scientific analysis on these smokeless tobacco products have unraveled more than 20 chemical compounds.
known to be cancer causing agents, which include tobacco-specific nitrosamines, N-nitroso acids, volatile N-nitrosamines, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and aldehydes (McAdam et al. 2013; Warnakulasuriya and Straif 2018). The methods of tobacco intake and popularity trends are illustrated in Table 1.

Loose leaf tobacco chewing is prepared from tobacco leaves that are air cured, crushed, and a flavoring agent added to improve its taste (Stepanov et al. 2014). On the other hand, moist snuff, snus, consist of fire and air-cured dark tobacco, while dry snuff comprises fermented and fire cured powder (Kindvall et al. 2019; Pillitteri et al. 2020). Apparently, the main motivating factor for an individual to use tobacco products is nicotine—a tobacco alkaloid constituting nearly 95% of tobacco chemicals (Ji et al. 2017; McKinney and Vansickle 2016). When tobacco enters the human biological system in the form of smoke, numerous compounds constituting tobacco smoke are taken in by the smoker (Tsai et al. 2018), which are initiators for generative and degenerative diseases including cancer and grave respiratory diseases, such as emphysema, asthma, cardio obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD) (Ferrante and Conti 2017).

Tobacco smoke chemistry has been the subject of extensive investigation by various scientific research authorities and individual researchers for over a century (McAdam et al. 2016) and to date, over 7000 compounds have been established to be present in tobacco smoke, with more than 50 of these chemicals identified as carcinogenic by IARC (Warnakulasuriya and Straif 2018; Wenge et al. 2018). During tobacco burning, compounds including carbon monoxide, benzene, formaldehyde, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), hydrogen cyanide, and nitrosamines are produced (Morgan et al. 2017; Nlemedim 2017). In the process, incomplete combustion reactions occur at the core of the burning cigarette which consequently results in the production of PAHs (Nlemedim 2017; Wenge et al. 2018).

Tobacco effluents possesses toxic, mutagenic, and carcinogenic properties because they are extremely lipid soluble (Barnes et al. 2018), and therefore spontaneously adsorbed in the gastrointestinal tract in humans (Warnakulasuriya and Straif 2018). In addition, PAHs are rapidly distributed in the human biological tissues and deposited in fats where they bind to the DNA consequently initiating a series of disruptive effects that often end up as tumor progenitors (Warnakulasuriya and Straif 2018; Weng et al. 2018). For this reason, PAHs are listed among the highly human health threatening chemicals with a high potency that usually leads to cancer among the cigarette smoking community (Hecht 2012). Besides, PAHs have been reported to cause several other toxicological expressions in humans that include but are not limited to engorged liver with cell oedema and congestion of the liver connective tissues and blood vessels, loss in body weight, intoxication of male and female genital system, uterus development retardation, learning and lowered intelligent quotient (IQ), oocyte damage, and kidney cell infection (McAdam et al. 2016; O’Brien et al. 2016).

Some compounds, including tobacco specific nitrosamines (TSNAs) and nicotine, occur naturally in tobacco and are released during tobacco burning (Edwards et al. 2017; Konstantinou et al. 2018). Nicotine predominantly present in tobacco mainly exists in two forms—protonated nicotine and non-protonated nicotine—that are pH dependent (El-Hellani et al. 2015). Non-protonated nicotine is a free base and the more addictive form of nicotine, which is extremely bioavailable and freely absorbed into the blood system and is responsible for the pleasurable psychoactive effects (O’Connor et al. 2020). Scientifically, TSNAs have been recognized as the chief cancer causing agents in both smoked and smokeless tobacco (O’Connor et al. 2020; Xue et al. 2014). Tobacco is known to consist of four principle TSNA chemicals: N-nitrosonornicotine (NNN), 4-methyl-N-nitrosamino-1-(3-pyr-dyl)-1-butane (NNK), N-nitrosanatabine (NAT), and N-nitrosoanabasine (NAB) (Xue et al. 2014). Of these four, IARC marks NNN and NNK as the key cancer initiating agents in tobacco (Singhavi et al. 2018; Zamora and Hidalgo 2020). Tobacco is known to consist of four principle TSNA chemicals: N-nitrosonornicotine (NNN), 4-methyl-N-nitrosamino-1-(3-pyr-dyl)-1-butane (NNK), N-nitrosanatabine (NAT), and N-nitrosoanabasine (NAB) (Xue et al. 2014). Of these four, IARC marks NNN and NNK as the key cancer initiating agents in tobacco (Singhavi et al. 2018; Zamora and Hidalgo 2020). Nitrosamines form when secondary and tertiary amines

---

**Table 1** Tobacco consumption methods and popularity trends

| Market product          | Method of intake          | Popularity percentage | Trend in the past two decades | References          |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| smoked tobacco          | cigarettes                | 83%                   | increase                      | Mishra et al. 2016  |
|                         | bidis                     | 13%                   | decrease                      | Mishra et al. 2016  |
|                         | krekets                   | 10%                   | decrease                      | Mishra et al. 2016  |
|                         | water pipes               | 38%                   | increase                      | Shihadeh et al. 2015|
| smokeless tobacco       | mouth chewing             | 35%                   | decrease                      | Stepanov et al. 2014|
| loose leaf chewing      | pinch put between gingival and buccal mucosa | 77% | increase | Kindvall et al. 2019 |
| moist snuf (snus)       | nasal inhalation          | 60%                   | decrease                      | Kindvall et al. 2019|
| dry snuf                | nasal inhalation          | 80%                   | increase                      | Hajek et al. 2019   |
react with nitriles to yield nitrosamine out of which over 70 nitrosamines have been confirmed scientifically as carcinogenic (Gunduz et al. 2016). Nitrosation of secondary amines is a very fast reaction process in which the hydrogen attached to the nitrogen is replaced by the −NO group in significantly high yields, although on the other hand, nitrosation of the tertiary amines is a slow process (Spahr et al. 2017). Therefore, nitrosation of secondary amines (nornicotine, anabasine, and anatabine) leads to the formation of tobacco explicit nitrosamines NNN, NAB, and NAT, while nornicotine, anabasine, and anatabine form part of the important alkaloids in tobacco (Cai et al. 2016). Nitrosation of tertiary amines nicotine yields NNN, which together with nicotine-derived NNK, have been identified to be strong carcinogens that have the potential to induce malignant and non-malignant tumors in humans depending on the route of administration or ingestion (De Flora et al. 2016). Fresh tobacco leaves contain low levels of TSNAs but the levels of these chemicals increase during tobacco curing (Wang et al. 2017). Accordingly, during cigarette smoking N-nitrosamines enters the smokers’ body system through inhalation of mainstream cigarette smoke and/or side stream cigarette smoke (Gunduz et al. 2016; Hang et al. 2018). As a result, both active smokers and passive smokers become vulnerable to nitrosamines that endogenously form from uptake of alkaloids and nitrogen oxides or nitriles (Barnes et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2017). Other cancer causing agents in tobacco smoke include benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), polonium−210, and cadmium (Omari et al. 2015; Shafik et al. 2019; IARC 2019). BaP has been classified by IARC as a group 2A cancer causing chemical and is therefore a risk chemical (IARC 2019; Vu et al. 2015). The introduction of electronic cigarettes was supposed to promise safer cigarettes suggested to deliver low nicotine levels (Carlsen and Skjerven 2018; Hajek et al. 2019). Contrary to this proposition, scientific research on the dangers of e-cigarettes has revealed that they are more toxic and yield potentially carcinogenic chemicals formed during the use of e-cigarettes (Armendáriz-Castillo et al. 2019), Table 2. A number of compounds released from e-cigarettes have been reported to cause genetic mutation that subsequently leads to the growth of malignant cells and ultimately cancer (Barnes et al. 2018). Some of the molecular compounds suspected to be cancerous are presented in Fig. 1.

Accordingly, Drazen et al. (2019) asserted that there is a potential likelihood of an e-cigarette user getting addicted to nicotine because of its increased concentration as a result of more frequent vaping than regular smoking, thereby subjecting the user to grave health risks. Based on this observation, it has been pointed out that the increasing rates of e-cigarette use among the youth, who are considered the major consumers of e-cigarette, can be credited to the highly addictive nature of nicotine, which has the capacity to cause a public health crisis, at least, according to scientific surveys (Kurgat et al. 2016; Singh et al. 2020). Aromatic amines, aldehydes, phenolic compounds, volatile hydrocarbons, nitro hydrocarbons, and various organic compounds present in tobacco smoke have also been proven carcinogenic through experimental studies on animals (CDC 2010; Zamora and Hidalgo 2020). IARC has classified these chemicals as group 2B, which are possibly carcinogenic to human beings, group 2A, which are probably carcinogenic to humans or group 1, which are carcinogenic to humans (IARC 2019; CDC 2010). Additionally, it has long been reported that benzene, toluene, furan, 2-methylfuran, and isobutylene are some of the components of gas-phase cigarette smoke considered detrimental to cigarette smokers (Hang et al. 2018; Sleiman et al. 2014). Nevertheless, these compounds are sighted as potential leads in initiating lung cancer (Warden et al. 2018). However, there is limited information documented in literature regarding their cancer causing mechanisms (Hang et al. 2018).

**Emerging potent tobacco chemicals**

Numerous scientific researchers have identified the chemical composition of tobacco smoke with significant efforts invested on establishing the suspected carcinogens and their connection between cigarette smoking and the associated adverse health effects (Armendáriz-Castillo et al. 2019; McAdam et al. 2018). Additionally, active chemical species predominantly present in cigarette smoke include hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and superoxide anion radicals, which are mostly generated during the tobacco burning (Assaf et al. 2016). Therefore, environmentally persistent free radicals are a subject of great interest in research with more focus being emphasized on the formation mechanisms and their related effects on human health. Free radicals have a major contribution to impairing the respiratory landscape by majorly causing chronic cardiopulmonary dysfunction owing to the fact that they can trigger the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and are well-established precursors for oxidative stress and cardiac arrest (Panth et al. 2016).

Heterocyclic hydrocarbons have been reported to be predominantly present in cigarette smoke (Barnes et al. 2018). During the thermal degradation of tobacco biomass, dibenzo-furan and their associated dioxins in their polychlorinated notoriously very toxic forms are produced (Rehman et al. 2019). On the other hand, furan can be classified as an endocrine disrupting chemical given that it has a higher ability to alter animal physiology by disrupting hormonal levels (Ferreira et al. 2019). During organ development, if organisms are exposed to endocrine disrupting chemicals, such as furan (C4H4O), they cause irreversible damage to the hormonal profile (Grill et al. 2015; Rehman et al. 2019). Therefore, furans can have highly devastating biological organizational effects on the developing fetus if exposed to cigarette smoke during pregnancy (Horinouchi et al. 2016; Rehman et al. 2019).
Research conducted on heterocyclic aromatic compounds has revealed that they contribute to bacterial mutagenicity (Roemer et al. 2016). These compounds form through the conventional milliard reactions involving creatinine, free amino acids, and sugars (Barzegar et al. 2019; et al., 2020). For instance, heterocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are activated metabolically by N-hydroxylation that forms the intermediate ion arylnitrenium, and have been reported to influence DNA damage and toxicity (Barzegar et al. 2019; Chen 2020). Similarly, aldehydes, phenolic compounds, volatile hydrocarbons, and nitro hydrocarbons are reported to be predominantly present in cigarette smoke in various concentration levels (CDC et al. 2010).

In one survey, it was reported that aldehydes can potentially undergo chemical reactions involving nucleophilic targets in body cells, lipids, and proteins and consequently form stable and unstable adducts (Sapkota and Wyatt 2015). Accordingly, pathological injuries in human beings are initiated in the lungs due to alterations of cellular functions in addition to damaged proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids thereby

| Tobacco chemicals | Carcinogenicity level | Reference |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| carbon monoxide   | group 1              | IARC 2013 |
| benzene           | group 1              | Loomis et al. 2017 |
| formaldehyde      | group 1              | d’Ettorre et al. 2017 |
| polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) | group 1, group 2A, and group 2B | ARC 2010 |
| hydrogen cyanide  | not listed           |           |
| lead              | group 2B             | Bjurlin et al. 2020 |
| tobacco specific nitrosamines (TSNAs) | group 1 | Bjurlin et al. 2020 |
| nicotine          | not listed           |           |
| benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) | group 1     | Santonicola et al. 2017 |
| polonium–210      | group 1              | Stanfill 2020 |
| cadmium           | group 1              | Stanfill 2020 |
| heterocyclic aromatic amines | group 2A | Bjurlin et al. 2020 |
| aldehydes         | group 2B             |           |
| phenolic compounds | not listed          |           |
| volatile hydrocarbons | group 1 | IARC 2019 |
| nitro hydrocarbons | not listed           |           |
| toluene           | group 2A             | Warden et al. 2018 |
| furan             | group 2A             | IARC 2019 |
| 2-methylfuran     | group 2B             | IARC 2019 |

Fig. 1 Tobacco specific nitrosamines identified from e-cigarettes (IARC 2019)
propagating vascular diseases (Phaniendra et al. 2015). Lately, there have been attempts to explore methods in which tobacco toxins can be reduced or destroyed during cigarette burning because of the observed reluctance in the smoking population to quit smoking, and the opposition of tobacco processing companies to adopt technologies that would lower harm as a result of cigarette use (Peeters and Gilmore 2015). Table 2 presents selected carcinogenic chemicals classified as carcinogenic by IARC. On the other hand, Table 3 reports the health effects of a variety of chemicals released from tobacco burning.

Designing methods that can successfully reduce toxins in tobacco will offer an effective strategy in minimizing mortality and morbidity among the cigarette smoking community (Abrams et al. 2018). With respect to this proposal, heat not burn methods have been preferably considered for use in consuming tobacco products (Abrams et al. 2018; Lachenmeier et al. 2018). These techniques involve inserting tobacco products into a tobacco heating system where they are heated at temperatures below the cigarette combustion temperatures as opposed to directly burning the cigarette (Lachenmeier et al. 2018). Such techniques when employed can significantly reduce the heat created toxins in the aerosol inhaled by the cigarette smoker (Smith et al. 2016). The desire for such techniques is aimed at lowering toxicants in cigarettes hence the manufacture of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarette), which are presumed to aid tobacco cessation (Pokhrel et al. 2015).

An e-cigarette is an electric device that allows an active smoker to inhale nicotine with supposedly fewer compounds responsible for most harmful smoking effects although the possibility of forming reactive free radical species that can cause oxidative stress and cardiac arrest cannot be ruled out (Smith 2019). Ideally, e-cigarettes serve as a nicotine replacement therapy that enhances the reduction towards the desire to smoke and eventually making it easier for smokers to quit smoking but on the contrary, research survey has found this to be untrue (Kindvall et al. 2019). In operational e-cigarettes, an e-liquid is heated to produce e-vapor in the form of aerosols and oxidative stress in smokers (Dasgupta and Klein 2014), as listed in Table 3. During the use of e-cigarettes, factors leading to the synthesis of TSNAs are believed to be put under control (Farsalinos et al. 2015; Konstantinou et al. 2018). For instance, some farmers and manufacturers have employed strategies that lower the alkaloid content in tobacco in order to suppress NNN and nornicotine development given that NNN and NNK are the two TSNAs that have been classified by the IARC as carcinogenic to humans (Kumar et al. 2018). This has been achieved by removing the nitrate-reducing microbial activity, controlling the conditions of the temperature and humidity during curing, and employing heat exchange methods that lower nitrosamine concentration levels (Law et al. 2016; Riddick et al. 2017). Similarly, low yield “light” cigarettes and “ultra-light” cigarettes have been designed by different tobacco processing companies, and they are suggested to contain fewer toxins compared to the normal “heavy” cigarettes (Popova et al. 2018). Elsewhere, a study on nicotine reduction and its associated impacts has pointed out two required lines of action; preventing addiction and reduction or stoppage of tobacco consumption altogether, which never has an easy strategy towards tobacco cessation (Schmidt et al. 2018). Whereas the use of e-cigarettes and light cigarettes as alternative ways to reduce tobacco toxins may be a successful strategy, controlling thousands of other tobacco toxins released during tobacco burning cannot be achieved by these techniques, which are focused only in lowering nicotine concentration levels in a cigarette (Auer et al. 2017).

Moreover, smokers may end up consuming more of these cigarettes in order to get the desired effect of smoking, leading to ingesting high levels of toxins, which may be injurious to the human biological environment (Benowitz et al. 2017; Morean et al. 2016).

Efforts have been advanced towards devising methods that can aid in lowering the concentrations of TSNAs in cigarette smoke which form as a result of microbes in tobacco decay during tobacco curing and thus produce nitrates and NOx precursors for TSNAs production when tobacco is burned (Warek et al. 2019). Further, it has been pointed out that the use of nitrite scavengers as additives can eliminate active microbes (Stanfill 2020). Accordingly, Shi and Yang (2017) have described a novel alternative technique that focuses on reducing bacteria and bacterial activities in tobacco extracts, which eventually reduce TSNAs in cigarette smoke. Furthermore, the method described by d’Ettorre et al. (2017) for the reduction of TSNAs and improving the leaf quality in tobacco during curing has been found to be effective in minimizing TSNAs health effects arising from cigarette smoking. It is important to note that tobacco soil treatment and spraying of tobacco plants prior to harvesting stimulates antioxidant production that interferes with the formation of TSNAs during curing (Li et al. 2008). In addition, the use of filters that can selectively remove TSNAs from cigarettes smoke has been suggested (Li et al. 2018) but still found to be ineffective especially in removing carbon monoxide to any acceptable degree. Because TSNAs are produced during curing and fermentation processes, an alternative method such as pasteurization of snus can be employed to produce tobacco products of minimal harm (Stanfill 2020).

Furthermore, a review on the interventions that promote harm reduction due to continued tobacco use is important in quitting cigarette smoking (Lindson-Hawley et al. 2016). The associated dangers of smoking can also be minimized by
| Name                        | Health effects                                      | Carcinogenic potential |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| (+)-aromadendrene           | cytotoxic/skin irritation                           | unknown                |
| (z)-3-hexen-1-ol            | eye irritation                                      | unknown                |
| 1-methyl phenanthrene       | cytotoxic/eye irritation/skin irritation             | group 3                |
| 1,3-butanediol              | low concern based on experimental and modeled data   | unknown                |
| 1,3-propanediol             | not a significant hazard via inhalation of either the gas phase or a gas/aerosol mixture | unknown                |
| 2-acetylpyrrole             | skin irritation                                     | unknown                |
| 2,3-dimethylpyrazine        | cytotoxic                                           | unknown                |
| 2,3-pentanediene            | skin irritation/eye irritation/systemic organ irritation | unknown                |
| 2,3,5-trimethylpyrazine     | cytotoxic                                           | unknown                |
| 3-methyl-1-butanol          | cytotoxic/skin irritation/eye irritation/respiratory tract irritation | unknown                |
| acetic acid                 | respiratory tract irritation                        | unknown                |
| benzyl acetate              | cytotoxic/eye irritation/respiratory tract irritation | group 3                |
| benzyl alcohol              | cytotoxic                                           | unknown                |
| butyl butyrate              | eye irritation/mild effects/behavioral Effects      | unknown                |
| camphor                     | cytotoxic/neurotoxic/systemic organ irritation/mild effects/behavioral effects | unknown                |
| cinnamaldehyde              | eye irritation/respiratory tract irritation/systemic organ irritation | unknown                |
| cinnamyl alcohol            | unknown effects in human health                     | group 2B               |
| coumarin                    | behavioral effects/systemic organ irritation         | group 3                |
| methyl cyclopentenolone     | unknown effects in human health                     | group 3                |
| diacetyl                    | eye irritation/skin irritation                       | group 3                |
| diethylene glycol           | systemic organ irritation/ skin irritation           | unknown                |
| ethylbutyrate               | mild effects/behavioral effects                     | unknown                |
| ethylmaltool                | cytotoxic                                           | unknown                |
| ethylvanillin               | unknown effects in human health                     | unknown                |
| ethylene glycol             | harmful effects in animal models                    | unknown                |
| glycerin                    | eye irritation/skin irritation/respiratory tract irritation | unknown                |
| hydroxyacetone              | cytotoxic                                           | group 2B               |
| i-butyric acid              | respiratory tract irritation                        | unknown                |
| isobutyric acid             | eye irritation/respiratory tract irritation/mild effects | group 2B               |
| isoamyl acetate             | eye irritation/skin irritation/respiratory tract irritation | unknown                |
| isopentyl isovalerate       | harmful effects in animal models                    | unknown                |
| l-methyl acetate            | respiratory tract irritation                        | unknown                |
| limonene                    | no evidence of carcinogenic activity in rats or human | unknown                |
| maltol                      | cytotoxic                                           | unknown                |
| menthone                    | harmful effects in animal models                    | unknown                |
| methylantranilate           | unknown effects in human health                     | group 2B               |
| methylicinnamate            | unknown effects in human health                     | unknown                |
| methylsalicylate            | neurotoxin/cardiovascular effects                   | unknown                |
| myosmine                    | carcinogenic                                        | group 2B               |
| n-hexanol                   | harmful effects in animal models                    | group 2B               |
| nicotyrine                  | unknown effects in human health                     | unknown                |
| o-tolualdehyde              | harmful effects in animal models/unknown effects in human health | unknown                |
| p-cymene                    | skin irritation/mild effects                        | group 2B               |
| propylene glycol            | respiratory tract irritation                        | unknown                |
| safrole                      | harmful effects in animal models                    | group 2B               |
| thujone (sum of α- and β-diastereomers) | harmful effects in animal models                    | unknown                |
| trans-2-hexen-1-ol          | unknown effects in human health                     | unknown                |
| vanillin                    | cytotoxic                                           | unknown                |
lowering the number of cigarettes smoked daily or consuming alternative tobacco products such as chewing tobacco or snus which constitute the necessary tobacco replacement therapy (Lindson-Hawley et al. 2016). The use of pharmaceuticals, including bupropion and varenicline, has also been evaluated to be helpful in reducing tobacco toxins (Jiloha 2014; Prochaska and Benowitz 2019), although there is a lack of precise evidence on their workability. For this reason, the use of smokeless tobacco products has been highly recommended as an alternative route to smoking on the argument that they are low tar yielding and presumably safer (Drazen et al. 2019). On the contrary, a number of chemicals have been identified (IARC 2019; Kumar et al. 2018), as listed in Table 4, and IARC has classified these chemicals as carcinogenic.

Accordingly, even though the use of smokeless tobacco products is regarded as an alternative to reducing nicotine toxicology and their related risks in human health, the identification of these carcinogens in smokeless tobacco products renders them more dangerous to the cigarette smoking population (Lee et al. 2016). Meanwhile, a lack of policies for regulation and monitoring of the concentrations of chemicals in commercially available smokeless tobacco products may be considered as the major reason for quality control failures (Kumar et al. 2018). In order to address these challenges, efforts have been invested by relevant drug regulating authorities in many countries worldwide to evaluate the contents of these chemicals in tobacco and cigarettes before releasing a tobacco product into the market (Wright 2015).

### The consequences of tobacco abuse

According to Mallock et al. (2018), cigarette smoke is highly toxic due to the generation of poisonous pyrolysis products during burning. For instance, a study carried out by Ivashynka et al. (2019) explored the effects of cigarette smoking and determined that it led to increased heart beat and a corresponding increase in blood pressure. Moreover, maternal smoking has been associated with decrease in intelligence test performance, poor cognitive achievements, memory impairment, hyperactivity and weak attention span (Kristjansson et al. 2018). Evidently from previous studies, ageing in humans has been linked to repeated inhalation of smoke from cigarettes during passive and active smoking (MacNee et al. 2014). Accordingly, smoking decreases biological ageing to approximately 55 years, especially among women (Skjodt et al. 2018). Subsequently, substance smoking speeds up the normal ageing process of the cigarette smoker’s skin, contributing to wrinkles and loss of skin’s aesthetic value and beauty (Clatici et al. 2017). These effects are prone to occur to a smoker after a period of about 10 years or less depending on the number of cigarettes an individual smokes, and the longer the smoking period (Fatani et al. 2020; Osman et al. 2017). Notably, earlier skin damage as a result of smoking can be manifested on the smoker, but it is difficult for one to observe them immediately (Clatici et al. 2017). Moreover, facial wrinkles in human beings can be strongly projected from cigarette smoking and these can be attributed essentially to nicotine uptake, which causes thinning of blood vessels on the outermost skin layer, thereby impairing blood flow to the skin (Benowitz and Burbank 2016). As a result, the skin is starved of oxygen and nutrients, including vitamin A (Clatici et al. 2017). Moreover, fibers such as collagen and elastin, which are responsible for skin strength and elasticity, are destroyed by more than 7000 chemicals in tobacco smoke leading to skin sagging and premature wrinkles and haggard looks (Skjodt et al. 2018).

Additionally, scientific studies have reported the occurrence of chronic coughs and tuberculosis cases ignited by cigarette smoking, implying that increased tobacco consumption rates and exposure to second hand tobacco smoke promotes the risk of tuberculosis and death (Bisallah et al. 2018). Furthermore, coughs can serve as the first signature in cigarette smokers of a respiratory concern (Ashok et al. 2017). The harmful effects of cigarette smoking are greatly magnified by HIV infected individuals who continue to smoke even when the disease is under control as a result of medication (Popova et al. 2018). More disturbing is that these groups of people lose more years of life to cigarette smoking than the disease itself since, other than the adverse health effects of smoking, cigarette smoking exposes HIV-positive individuals to threats for a host of grave HIV associated comorbidities and untimely deaths (Giles et al. 2018).

Nicotine addiction among the cigarette smoking community is a severe public health concern worldwide due to the genetic factors that contribute to disease vulnerability, and which also result in brain disorders and other harmful effects.
Chromosomal complications arise as a result of harboring risk genes for addiction to various toxic compounds in cigarette smoke (Li and Burmeister 2009). As per studies performed for numerous addiction phenotypes, a prediction for linkage regions on chromosome 11 contributions towards addictive phenotypes has been undertaken previously (Bevilacqua and Goldman 2009). Habitual smoking therefore has been linked to chromosome 11q14 and the smoking behavior associated with chromosome 11q12 (Li 2018). A study by Pineles et al. (2014) determined that tobacco constituents can greatly damage the chromosomes in sperm or alter the morphological changes of the sperm, reduce the sperm density, sperm mobility and semen volume, thus affecting the male fertility capability.

Table 4 Chemicals in smokeless tobacco and their IARC classification (IARC 2019)

| Tobacco chemical                          | IARC classification | Tobacco chemical                          | IARC classification |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| NNN                                       | 1                   | chlordane                                 | 2B                  |
| NNK                                       | 1                   | heptachlor                                 | 2B                  |
| benzo(a)pyrene                            | 1                   | 3-(methyl nitrosamino)-propionitrile       | 2B                  |
| formaldehyde                              | 1                   | cobalt                                     | 2B                  |
| beryllium                                 | 1                   | ochratoxin A                               | 2B                  |
| arsenic                                   | 1                   | aflatoxin M1                               | 2B                  |
| cadmium                                   | 1                   | lead                                       | 2B                  |
| N-nitrosodimethylamine                    | 2A                  | NAT                                        | 3                   |
| nitrosoacids (NA)                         | 2A                  | NAB                                        | 3                   |
| dibenz[a,h]anthracene                     | 2A                  | N-nitrosopine                              | 3                   |
| dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane           | 2A                  | N-hydroxyproline                           | 3                   |
| nitrate                                   | 2A                  | 3-(n-nitrosomethylamino)-propionaldehyde   | 3                   |
| nitrite                                   | 2A                  | benzo[e]pyrene                             | 3                   |
| hydrazine                                 | 2A                  | triphenylene                               | 3                   |
| ethyl carbamate                           | 2A                  | pyrene                                     | 3                   |
| n-nitrosodiethanolamine                   | 2B                  | fluoranthene                               | 3                   |
| N-nitrososarcosine (NSAR)                 | 2B                  | acenaphthene                               | 3                   |
| N-nitrosoethylmethylamine                 | 2B                  | fluorene                                   | 3                   |
| N-nitrosopyrrolidine                      | 2B                  | phenanthrene                               | 3                   |
| N-nitrosopiperidine                       | 2B                  | anthracene                                 | 3                   |
| n-nitrosomorpholine                       | 2B                  | benzo[g,h,i]perylene                       | 3                   |
| N-nitrosodiethanolamine                   | 2B                  | crotonaldehyde                             | 3                   |
| benz[a]anthracene                         | 2B                  | acrolein                                   | 3                   |
| chrysene                                  | 2B                  | endrin                                     | 3                   |
| benzo[a]anthracene (j)                    | 2B                  | maleic hydrazine                           | 3                   |
| indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene                    | 2B                  | Natural gas liquids                        | 3                   |
| 5-methylcytosine                          | 2B                  | n-nitrosoguvacine                          | 3                   |
| naphthalene                               | 2B                  | euginol                                    | 3                   |
| benzo[b]fluoranthene                      | 2B                  | chromium                                   | 3                   |
| benzo[k]fluoranthene                      | 2B                  | mercury                                    | 3                   |
| dibenzo[a,j]pyrene                        | 2B                  | quercetin                                  | 3                   |
| acetaldehyde                              | 2B                  | morpholine                                 | 3                   |

The epidemiological impacts of Covid-19 on cigarette smokers

The worldwide outbreak of SARS-CoV-2, the precursor for the disease Covid-19, has been associated with more deaths among cigarette smokers compared to individuals who have never smoked (Vardavas and Nikitara 2020). Nicotine in cigarette smoke induces and causes alterations and responses in the human immune system, especially in the lungs, and thus initiates infections, allergy, tumor necrosis factor expression, mucosal inflammation, and other respiratory illnesses (Brake et al. 2020; Lippi and Henry 2020). However, contrary to this, there is no association between active smoking and the severity of Covid-19 (Lippi and Henry 2020) but a disputation of these sentiments is registered in a review study conducted...
by Vardavas and Nikitara (2020) who determined that individuals that were current smokers or former smokers exhibited severe symptoms of Covid-19 with higher chances of intensive care unit (ICU) admission in contrast with nonsmokers. Furthermore, a susceptibility analysis of Covid-19 on smokers based on ACE-2 receptors determined that the receptor expression in intrapulmonary airways and epithelial cells was higher in current smokers than former smokers, but significantly much higher than in people who have never smoked (Wang et al. 2020), therefore indicating a presumably higher probability for Covid-19 infection in smokers than individuals who have never smoked. Evidently, these observations are in agreement with findings from scientific experiments on ACE-2 expression in the small airways of Covid-19 patients, for both smokers and none smokers (Leung et al. 2020). The spread of Covid-19 is greatly through contact with infected surfaces even though it is also suspected to be airborne and highly contagious. Accordingly, it can be supposed that, smokers have a possibility of contracting the SARS-Cov-2 as a result of their fingers touching the cigarette stick and successfully pass it to their mouths through the lips biting the cigar stick. More so, water pipe smoked tobacco products are commonly communal and involve mouth piece sharing and the chances of spreading SARS-Cov-2 virus cannot be ignored. Therefore, these observations among cigarette smokers can result in high cases of Covid-19 infections.

**Tobacco cessation strategies**

Individual smokers are likely to quit smoking by shifting to lower tar and low nicotine cigarettes that are apparently less harmful or elect to use cigarettes that have reduced toxicity levels such as light cigarettes (Borrelli and O’Connor 2019). In the past few years, there have been significant innovative and effective behavioral and pharmacological smoking cessation methods such as use of heat not burn cigarettes including e-cigarettes and vaping (Singh et al. 2020). A systematic review on smokers and non-smokers perceptions of visually unappealing cigarette sticks concludes that an individual’s smoking behavior is influenced by the appearance of the cigarette (Drovandi et al. 2018), and therefore, normally from a marketing perspective, cigarettes may have physical attributes that are either appealing or non-appealing to consumers in terms of size, color, and health warnings written on the cigarette packs. Accordingly, a cigarette is appealing to the consumer based on visual, olfactory or other perceptual or cognitive signals that influence the user’s apparent taste, smell, and chemesthetic flavor (Da Ré et al. 2018; O’Connor et al. 2020).

In order to reduce the use of tobacco products, a control technique may possibly require modifications in size, color, and written health warnings on the cigarette sticks in order to render them unappealing and hence promote negative perception in cigarette smoking (Drovandi et al. 2018). This is very important given that in terms of size; smaller diameter cigarettes have been found to be attractive to the cigarette smoking population unlike those with large diameters (Drovandi et al. 2018; Moodie et al. 2017). On the same point, brighter colored cigarettes have been reported by Drovandi et al. 2018 to be more attractive to smokers. Therefore, irrespective of health warnings being labeled on cigarettes, there are reports that they are ineffective in successfully curbing cigarette smoking because smokers tend to ignore them or find them to be irrelevant when compared to their perceived cigarette smoking “benefits” (Moodie et al. 2017). Accordingly, in order to promote and achieve further reduction in tobacco use, new and deterrent warnings that include the financial consequences and impact on individual appearance can be effective in discouraging smokers and/or otherwise increase taxation on cigarettes beyond the reach of a majority of cigarette smoking community (Langley 2019; Tynan et al. 2016). Lazard et al. (2018) and colleagues have emphasized that health warnings written on cigarette packages do not discourage smoking and are therefore ineffective in promoting tobacco cessation behavior, although previous research has found this argument to be obsolete and not authoritative. Nonetheless, this is mainly important given that it provides information and reminds the smokers of the health risks associated with tobacco abuse.

The introduction of e-cigarettes has become common among the youth and it is employed as a cessation strategy aimed at assisting adult smokers to quit cigarette smoking (Singh et al. 2020). On the contrary, a statement made by Food and Drug Administration (FDA), commissioner Scott Gottlieb on the use of e-cigarettes (UFDA 2018) asserts that e-cigarettes have been assessed as the leading cause of pulmonary diseases, and projects these types of cigarettes as potentials for dire health consequences. As a rejoinder, Schier et al. (2019) and colleagues recommend that all persons should refrain from using e-cigarettes until such a time when more research-based information will be available to address the dangers e-cigarettes and their associated lung injury among other ailments.

Legislative policies that have been passed by different countries with respect to tobacco use if strictly applied can help promote tobacco cessation (Hatoun et al. 2018). These measures include the implementation of policies such as the application of huge tobacco excise taxes which may have a significant potential to reduce tobacco abuse (Tynan et al. 2016). By enforcing huge excise taxes on tobacco products, the purchase prices are raised thereby decreasing the number of consumers that can afford the commodity and hence leading to a possible reduction in cigarette smoking (Tynan et al. 2016). Cessation on cigarette smoking has also been enhanced by implementing smoke free air laws, comprehensive marketing bans, and media campaigns that have impacted greatly in the reduction of cigarette smoking (Hatoun et al. 2018). Smokers’ advice to quit on medical grounds has been cited
as a move in the right direction towards long term abstinence and opportunistic smoking cessation (Aveyard et al. 2012). Consequently, smoking cessation can be achieved through interaction with smokers by offering social and therapeutic assistance to quit smoking.

There is evidence that the use of drugs such as the antidepressant bupropion (zyban) can be helpful in cases of cigarette smoking (Reddy et al. 2020; Ng 2017). Nonetheless, research has revealed that the side effect of this drug on HIV patients because it interacts with some anti-HIV drugs such as ritonavir and efavirenz (Sustiva) to cause more health complications (Cirrincione and Scarsi 2018), and therefore this option is strongly advised against. Additionally, bupropion has been found to cause dry mouth, restlessness, insomnia, and headaches among certain users (Bhatia et al. 2017).

**Conclusion**

This review has highlighted the current trends in tobacco abuse and its potency as a cancer agent, emerging chemicals from tobacco smoke, efforts taken to reduce tobacco toxins, the health consequences, and epidemiological impacts of smoking on the prevalence of the novel Covid-19 pandemic. The increasing number of smokers and tobacco abuse worldwide has caused a grave concern on the associated deleterious health impacts given that there is a lack of control policies regulating and monitoring the concentrations of chemicals in commercially available smokeless tobacco products sold on the market. Accordingly, thousands of chemicals have been identified in both cigarette smoke and smokeless tobacco products and classified as carcinogenic by the International agency for research on cancer (IARC). As a result of this challenge, various methods have been suggested in order to promote “safe” smoking and minimize tobacco harm. These measures include but not limited to, the use of heat not burn cigarettes, lowering TSNAs in tobacco, use of pharmaceutical additives such as bupropion and varenicline, oleoresins and other chemical additives such as menthol, humectants and essential oils (chocolate, ginger, lavender, peppermint, vanilla, cinnamon) with the sole aim of reducing toxins and improving taste in cigarette smoking. Nevertheless, these techniques have not been effective in achieving the intended goal, and therefore, quitting cigarette smoking is suggested as the only sure option to reduce tobacco mortality and morbidity. Lately, the Covid-19 (SARS-Cov-2) pandemic has posed a serious health concern worldwide, especially for cigarette smokers whose immune system is compromised. This is likely to persist owing to the fact that there is no known definitive medication regimen designed towards countering the pandemic, at least as of the time of this review; however, several vaccines are currently being developed and tested, with distribution being planned for the near future. Various sanitation instructions have been suggested in order to help in mitigating the spread of the SARS-Cov-2 virus among the general public. On the other hand, smoking has been linked to severity of Covid-19 effects in smokers and eventually earlier stage deaths. Consequently, smoking cessation campaigns have been emphasized and include inscription of health warnings on cigarettes, laying down stringent laws prohibiting the use of tobacco products, media campaigns, use of antidepressants, and assisting smokers to quit smoking through advice and rehabilitation. Although smoking cessation has not been achieved to a significant degree, the current methods employed have proven to be quite effective.
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