Partially coherent conical refraction promises new counter-intuitive phenomena
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In this paper, we extend the paraxial conical refraction model to the case of the partially coherent light using the unified optical coherence theory. We demonstrate the decomposition of conical refraction correlation functions into well-known conical refraction coherent modes for a Gaussian Schell-model source. Assuming randomness of the electrical field phase of the input beam, we reformulated and significantly simplified the rigorous conical refraction theory. This approach allows us to consider the propagation of light through a conical refraction crystal in exactly the same way as in the classical case of coherent radiation. Having this in hand, we derive analytically the conical refraction intensity both in the focal plane and in the far field, which allows us to explain and rigorously justify earlier experimental findings and predict new phenomena. The last include the counterintuitive effect of narrowing of the conical refraction ring width, disappearance of the dark Poggendorff’s ring in the Lloyd’s plane, and shift of Raman spots for the low-coherent conical refraction light. We also demonstrate a universal power-law dependence of conical refraction cones coherence degree on the input correlation length and diffraction-free propagation of the low-coherent conical refraction light in the far field.

In 1832, Hamilton introduced concept of the internal conical refraction (CR)1, that can be observed after the propagation of the collimated light beam along one of the optical axis of the biaxial crystal. After the exit crystal facet the radiation emerges as a light ring2 with radius $R_0$ defined by the CR crystal length and a product of its refractive indexes (so-called ‘conicity’, see Fig. 1a). A detailed study of the CR intensity distribution after the exit facet of a biaxial crystal reveals that the CR ring has a fine structure and consists of two bright concentric rings3. The outer ring is of greater intensity with a dark (Poggendorff) ring separating it from the inner dim one. Further spatial evolution of the CR beam (Fig. 1b) leads to the formation of a bright axial spike, known as the Raman spot4-5, which are found at each end of the axial CR distribution.

In general, there are three main characteristics in CR. First of all, CR has nonconventional beam evolution pattern5, which is used for all-optical image processing7, high-resolution microscopy8-10, ultra-efficient CR lasing11-14, and, moreover, for optical manipulation and actuation of physical objects and particle trapping/tweezing by means of CR ring distribution15-18, Raman spots16,18 and CR bottle beam structure19-21. Secondly, this is a spatially nonuniform polarization structure22, which can be beneficial for optical polarization converters23, multiplexed systems24, formation of mono- or polychromatic structured light25-27, polarimetric measurements28-30 and optical sensors31. And thirdly, CR wavefront possess the nontrivial helical properties associated with fractional orbital angular momentum (OAM)32. This CR feature provides important practical applications such as generation of singular beams and annihilation of optical vortices33-36, generation of sum-frequency37 and high harmonics38-40 (see also the recent review41). It is noteworthy that, despite the two-century history of this optical phenomenon, CR still attracts a lot of attention and continues to impress the optical community with many new findings42-46.

The utilization of nontrivial optical beams for CR is now one of the most novel and intriguing areas of research. Recent publications report on the CR with a top-hat49, Dark/Antidark50, Bessel51 and Bessel-Gauss52 beams, elegant Laguerre–Gaussian modes53, multimode radiation54, and vector beams with spatially varying state of polarization55. In this regard, the study of CR with partially coherent light becomes an important and promising direction for further research. From a practical point of view, this is very beneficial due to the properties of low-coherent light sources such as laser diodes and LEDs, which are simple, compact and very cost-effective. Furthermore, spatial coherence provides an additional opportunity to control the properties of light, aside from
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the unique polarization, propagation and phase characteristics of the CR. In this way, one can generate so-called structured light which is currently of great interest for theoretical and experimental studies\(^5^6\). Examples of coherence control-enabled structured light include partially coherent vector beams\(^5^7\), which combine unconventional features of polarization and coherence, and coherence vortices\(^5^8\), which are found when coherence is taken into account for OAM beams. As these beams combine unique features of vector/vortex and low-coherent beams, their generation by means of partially coherent CR can have an immediate impact for many application of coherence control-enabled structured light including optical coherence encryption\(^5^9\), free space optical communications\(^6^0\) and beam shaping\(^6^1\). The first steps in the study of partially coherent CR were taken in a recent paper\(^6^2\), which demonstrates dramatic dependence of the characteristic features of CR intensity pattern on the spatial coherence of the input light beam. However, a rigorous theory of this phenomenon has not yet been developed.

In this paper, we extend the paraxial CR model to the case of the partially coherent light using the unified optical coherence theory. We demonstrate the decomposition of CR correlation functions into well-known CR coherent modes for a Gaussian Schell-model source. Assuming randomness of the electrical field phase of the input beam, we reformulated and significantly simplified the rigorous CR theory. This approach allows us to consider the propagation of light through a CR crystal in exactly the same way as in the classical case of coherent radiation. Having this in hand, we derive analytically the CR intensity both in the focal plane and in the far field, which allows us to explain and rigorously justify earlier experimental findings and predict new phenomena. The last include the counterintuitive effect of narrowing of the CR ring width, disappearance of the dark Poggendorff’s ring in the Lloyd’s plane, and shift of Raman spots for the low-coherent CR light. We also demonstrate a universal power-law dependence of CR cones coherence degree on the input correlation length and diffraction-free propagation of the low-coherent CR light in the far field.

**Conical refraction with partially coherent radiation.** Let us first consider the conical refraction (CR) formed by light, with the electric field vector in the form: \( E^{(0)}(\rho) = [E_L^{(0)}(\rho), E_R^{(0)}(\rho)] = \mathcal{E}(\rho) \mathcal{e}_c \), where \( \rho = r/w_0 \) is the transverse radius vector normalized to the beam waist \( w_0 \); \( \mathcal{e}_c = [d_L, d_R] \) is the polarization vector, in which we choose left and right circular polarizations as basis functions; and \( \mathcal{E}(\rho) \) is a scalar function that determines the transverse distribution of the electric field. According to Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry paraxial theory\(^6^3,6^4\), after passing through the CR crystal, the electric field vector is transformed, as follows:

\[
E(\rho, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & B_{-1} \\ B_1 & B_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_L \\ d_R \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B_\mu(\rho, \xi) = \int \frac{d\kappa}{2\pi} \exp(i \kappa \cdot \rho) \tilde{G}_\mu(\kappa, \xi) \tilde{E}(\kappa),
\]

*Figure 1.* Spatial evolution of a collimated light beam through a conically refracting crystal of length \( L \). Inside the crystal, light transforms into a cone with a conicity \( A \), and outside the crystal, the cone refracts into a cylinder of radius \( R_0 = AL \) (a). The axial evolution of the conical refraction (CR) beam and associated transverse intensity distributions are shown in (b); (c,d) illustrate theoretical axial distributions of the individual CR cone \( |C^+|^2 \) and \( |C^-|^2 \), respectively.
\[ \tilde{G}_{ij}(\kappa, \xi) = (-i)^i \cos(\kappa \rho_0 - \mu \xi) \exp \left[ i \mu \theta_\kappa - ik^2 \xi / 2 \right], \]  

where \( \xi = z/k_0 w_0^2 \) is the longitudinal coordinate normalized to the Rayleigh range of the incident beam; \( k_0 \) is a vacuum wavenumber; \( \kappa \) is the normalized transverse wave vector in cylindrical coordinates \( (\kappa, \theta) \); \( \tilde{G}_{ij}(\kappa, \xi) \) is a Green function describing both the transformation of the CR crystal and the spatial evolution along the \( \xi \) axis; \( \rho_0 = R_0/w_0 \) is a normalized radius of the CR ring beyond the crystal, which plays a central role in the determination of the resulting CR intensity distribution; and \( \tilde{E}(\kappa) \) is the Fourier transform of the scalar function \( E(\rho) \), defined as:

\[ \tilde{E}(\kappa) = \int \frac{d\rho}{2\pi} \exp(-i\kappa \rho) E(\rho). \]

Furthermore, we use the sign “\( \sim \)” to distinguish the Fourier transform of a function from the function itself. From Eq. (3), it follows that the CR field components \( B_i(\rho, \xi) \) have an extra OAM \( \mu \), where \( \mu \) is the reduced Plank constant and \( \mu = 0, \pm 1 \), since the Green function \( \tilde{G}_{ij}(\kappa, \xi) \) in (2) depends on the angle variable as \( \exp(\mu i \theta_\kappa) \).

When the input radiation is the simplest optical vortex, with the OAM equal to \( \hbar m, \hbar(m+1) \), the CR beam consists of several optical vortices, with an OAM equal to \( \hbar m, \hbar(m + 1), \) and \( \hbar(m - 1) \). Moreover, if the input beam is unpolarized, or circularly polarized, the CR radiation intensity can then be represented as the sum of the intensities with a different extra OAM:

\[ I(\rho, \xi) = |B_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |B_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |B_{-1}|^2. \]

Let us now introduce the coherence of the input beam in CR theory. Here, we will consider the input electric field vector \( E^{(0)}(\rho) \) as a random function. In this case, the set of correlation functions contains all of the information on the statistical properties of the field. Furthermore, we focus on the second-order correlation functions that can be used to construct a cross-spectral density matrix. The elements of this matrix are:

\[ \langle E_i^{(0)}(\rho_1) E_j^{(0)}(\rho_2) \rangle_{\text{All}} = W^{(0)}(\rho_1, \rho_2). \]

where \( \langle \ldots \rangle_{\text{All}} \) denotes the ensemble average over all possible realizations of the stochastic electric field vector, including averaging over both polarization and spatial degrees of freedom; \( W^{(0)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \langle E^{(0)}(\rho_1) E^{(0)}(\rho_2) \rangle \) is an input cross-spectral density, which contains averaging only over the random scalar function \( E(\rho) \); and \( J_{ij} = \langle \delta d^i d^j \rangle_{\text{polarization}} \) is a polarization matrix.

Equation (6) assumes that the light polarization is independent of the spatial degrees of freedom. A cross-spectral density matrix, after the CR crystal is introduced in analogy to (6), is:

\[ K_{ij}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \xi) = \langle E_i(\rho_1, \xi) E_j(\rho_2, \xi) \rangle_{\text{All}}, \]

where it is convenient to separate the polarization and spatial degrees of freedom, by analogy with Eq. (6). Clearly, from Eq. (1), any quadratic combination of electric field vector components of the form \( E_i E_j \) can always be expressed in terms of different combinations of \( B_i^* B_j \). As a result, for polarization-spatial separation in Eq. (6), we need to introduce an additional matrix, the elements of which are as follows:

\[ W_{\rho \mu}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \xi) = \langle B_\rho(\rho_1, \xi) B_\mu(\rho_2, \xi) \rangle, \]

and are similar to the input cross-spectral density \( W^{(0)}(\rho, \rho) \) in Eq. (6). Such a matrix was introduced in a recent paper and called the coherence-OAM (COAM) matrix, since it determines the correlation between the field components with the OAM equal to \( \hbar \nu \) and \( \hbar(n \pm 1) \). The relationship between the introduced COAM matrix (Eq. 8) and the components of the cross-spectral density matrix (Eq. 7) has the following form (“Methods”):

\[ K_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{00} & W_{0-1} & W_{01} & W_{02} \\ W_{11} & W_{00} & W_{10} & W_{01} \\ W_{01} & W_{10} & W_{00} & W_{11} \\ W_{10} & W_{01} & W_{11} & W_{00} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{11} \\ J_{12} \\ J_{21} \\ J_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \]

Thus, from formula (9), the CR intensity for an unpolarized input beam can be written as (“Methods”):

\[ I(\rho, \xi) = W_{00}(\rho, \rho, \xi) + \frac{1}{2} W_{11}(\rho, \rho, \xi) + \frac{1}{2} W_{-1-1}(\rho, \rho, \xi), \]

similar to formula (5), for coherent radiation.

It may also be useful to introduce partial spatial coherence into the dual-cone model of the CR. Within its framework, the electric field vector behind the biaxial crystal can be represented as a sum of two CR cones, \( \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{C}^{(+)} + \mathbf{C}^{(-)} \), where \( \mathbf{C}^{(+)} \) is a positive or diverging cone (Fig. 1c), while \( \mathbf{C}^{(-)} \) is a negative or converging cone (Fig. 1d), correspondingly.

\[ \mathbf{C}^{(\pm)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{0}^{(\pm)} & C_{-1}^{(\pm)} \\ C_{1}^{(\pm)} & C_{0}^{(\pm)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{L} \\ d_{R} \end{pmatrix}. \]

\[ C_{\mu}^{(\pm)}(\rho, \xi) = \int \frac{d\kappa}{2\pi} \exp(i\kappa \rho) \tilde{G}_{\mu}^{(\pm)}(\kappa, \xi) \tilde{E}(\kappa), \]
\[ \mathcal{G}_\mu^{(\pm)}(\kappa, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}(\pm1)^\mu \exp \left[ \mp i\kappa\rho_0 + i\mu\theta_\kappa - i\kappa^2\xi^2/2 \right]. \] (13)

The COAM matrix for the dual-cone model are introduced, by analogy with (Eq. 8):
\[ V_{\nu,\mu}^{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}(p_1, p_2, \xi) = \left( C_{\nu,\sigma_1}(p_1, \xi) C_{\mu,\sigma_2}^{*}(p_2, \xi) \right), \] (14)
with \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 = \pm \). As a result, the introduced functions (Eq. 14) determine correlations between the CR cone component with index \( \sigma \) and an extra OAM \( h\nu \), with respect to the component with index \( \sigma \) and an extra OAM \( h\mu \). The relationship between correlation functions for Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry theory (Eq. 8) and the dual-cone model (Eq. 14) is as follows:
\[ W_{\nu,\mu}(p_1, p_2, \xi) = \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 = \pm} V_{\nu,\mu}^{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}(p_1, p_2, \xi), \] (15)
where it is necessary to sum over all indices associated with the CR cones.

Let us now express the COAM matrix in terms of the input cross-spectral density. Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (8), for Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry theory, we obtain the following relations:
\[ W_{\nu,\mu}(p_1, p_2, \xi) = \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} \exp[-i(\kappa_1 p_1 - \kappa_2 p_2)] \tilde{G}_\nu(\kappa_1, \xi) \tilde{G}_\mu(\kappa_2, \xi) \tilde{W}^{(0)}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2), \] (16)
where \( \tilde{W}^{(0)}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) \) is a Fourier transform of the input cross-spectral density:
\[ \tilde{W}^{(0)}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = \int \frac{dp_1}{2\pi} \frac{dp_2}{2\pi} \exp[i(\kappa_1 p_1 - \kappa_2 p_2)] W^{(0)}(p_1, p_2). \] (17)

A very similar formula can be derived by substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (14) for the dual-cone model:
\[ V_{\nu,\mu}^{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}(p_1, p_2, \xi) = \int \frac{dk_1}{2\pi} \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} e^{-i(\kappa_1 p_1 - \kappa_2 p_2)} \tilde{G}_{\nu,\sigma_1}(\kappa_1, \xi) \tilde{G}_{\mu,\sigma_2}(\kappa_2, \xi) \tilde{W}^{(0)}(\kappa_1, \kappa_2). \] (18)

As a result, after numeric calculation of the integral Eq. (16) or Eq. (18), one can obtain full information on the second-order coherence effects\(^{65}\) for the CR field. However, numeric evaluation of multidimensional integrals Eq. (16) or Eq. (18) requires large computational resources. Furthermore, we greatly simplify partially coherent CR theory by expressing the correlation functions in terms of the Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry\(^{63,64}\) and dual-cone model integrals\(^{67,68}\).

**The coherent-mode representation of the conical refraction with partially coherent radiation.** In this section, we consider the Schell-model source\(^{65}\). Its cross-spectral density has the following form:
\[ W^{(0)}(p_1, p_2) = \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(p_1) \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(p_2) g(p_2 - p_1), \] (19)
where \( \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(p) \) is the deterministic electric field amplitude in the focal plane, at a point with transverse coordinate \( p \); and \( g(p_2 - p_1) \) is a degree of spatial coherence. For a Gaussian Schell-model source, which we will consider, the field amplitude and the degree of coherence are of the form\(^{65}\):
\[ \mathcal{E}^{(0)}(p) = \exp \left[ -p^2/2 \right], \quad g(p_2 - p_1) = \exp \left[ -\Delta^2(p_2 - p_1)^2/2 \right], \] (20)
where the coherence parameter \( \Delta = w_0/w_c \) is defined as the ratio of the focal beam waist \( w_0 \) to the characteristic scale of the degree of coherence or correlation length \( w_c \). The coherence parameter serves as a measure of the “degree of global coherence” of the light source\(^{66}\). In the case of a small coherence parameter \( \Delta < 1 \), the degree of coherence is approximately equal to unity, and remains almost unchanged, on the characteristic scale of the deterministic electric field amplitude. This means that the source may be said to be relatively coherent, in the global sense. Contrastingly, when a coherence parameter is large \( \Delta > 1 \), the degree of coherence decreases on scales much smaller than the focal beam waist, \( w_0 \), i.e., the radiation source can be said to be relatively incoherent, in the global sense. It is well known that Mercer’s theorem can be applied to the Gaussian Schell-model source, and the cross-spectral density (19) can be expressed in the form\(^{69}\):
\[ W^{(0)}(p_1, p_2) = \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_{n,t} F_{n,t}(p_1/\sigma_{\text{eff}}) F_{n,t}(p_2/\sigma_{\text{eff}}) \exp \left[ -i t (\psi_1 - \psi_2) \right], \] (21)
\[ \Lambda_{n,t} = n! (2t)^{2n+|l|} (1 + 4t^2)^{(n + |l|)/2}; \quad t = \Delta^2/2(1 + \Delta^2 + \sqrt{1 + 2\Delta^2}), \] (22)
\[ F_{n,t}(u) = u^{|l|} L_n^{(2t)}(u^2) \exp \left[ -u^2/2 \right], \] (23)
where \( L_n^{(2t)}(x) \) is a generalized Laguerre polynomial, \( \sigma_{\text{eff}} = 1/(1 + 2\Delta^2)^{1/4} \) is a dimensionless effective mode width; and \( F_{n,t}(u) \exp(i\ell \phi) \) and \( \Lambda_{n,t} \) are the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the integral equation, the kernel of which is the cross-spectral density\(^{65}\). Representation (Eq. 21) can be interpreted as: Each term in the sum is the cross-spectral...
Let us express the cross-spectral density for a fully coherent Laguerre–Gaussian mode. The eigenvalues, $\Lambda_{n,\mu}$, determine the “strength” that different modes contribute to the total energy of the entire beam\(^{55}\). At the same time, modes with different indices are mutually uncorrelated with each other.

As a result, one can substitute coherent-mode representation of the Gaussian Schell-model source (Eq. (21)) into the expression for the COAM matrix (Eq. (16)), and, after simple algebra, the following expression can be obtained:

$$W_{\nu,\mu}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \xi) = e^{-i\nu \phi_1 + i\mu \phi_2} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda_{n,\ell} B_{\nu,\mu,\ell}(\rho_1, \xi) B_{\mu,\nu,\ell}(\rho_2, \xi) e^{-i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where $B_{\mu,\nu,\ell}(\rho, \xi)$ is the Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry integral, which has already become classic\(^{63,64}\):

$$B_{\mu,\nu,\ell}(\rho, \xi) = \sigma_{\text{eff}}^2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk \, F_{\nu,\ell}(k, \sigma_{\text{eff}}) \cos(k \rho_0 - \mu \xi) e^{-i k \xi^2 / 2} J_{\ell+\mu}(k \xi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where $J_{\ell}$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order $\ell$. For a coinciding OAM ($\nu = \mu$), the COAM matrix elements (Eq. (24)) is proportional to $\exp[\mu(k_0 - k_1)]$, meaning that the CR with partially coherent light can be expressed in terms of the coherence vortices\(^{56}\) that have a similar vortex singularity in the cross-spectral density.

For the dual-cone model of the CR, the coherent-mode representation’s form is similar to that of Eq. (24):

$$V_{\nu,\mu}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \xi) = e^{-i\nu \phi_1 + i\mu \phi_2} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda_{n,\ell} C_{\nu,\mu,\ell}^{(n)}(\rho_1, \xi) C_{\mu,\nu,\ell}^{(n)}(\rho_2, \xi) e^{-i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

where $C_{\mu,\nu,\ell}^{(n)}(\rho, \xi)$ is an integral of a dual-cone model\(^{67,68}\):

$$C_{\mu,\nu,\ell}^{(n)}(\rho, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} (\pm i)^\mu \sigma_{\text{eff}}^2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} dk \, F_{\nu,\ell}(k, \sigma_{\text{eff}}) \exp(\mp ik \rho_0) e^{-i k \xi^2 / 2} J_{\ell+\mu}(k \xi).$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

As a result, using the coherent-mode representation of the Gaussian Schell-model source, we demonstrate that the CR with partially coherent radiation can be expressed in terms of Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry and dual-cone model integrals, as is clearly depicted in Fig. 2. However, the coherent-mode representation itself does not provide an intuitive physical interpretation for a partially coherent CR. Furthermore, we develop an analytical approach that illuminates understanding of the physical properties associated with the aforementioned optical phenomenon.

**Conical refraction from light with a fluctuating phase.** Let us express the cross-spectral density (Eq. (19)) in terms of the coherent beams in which spatial evolution would be determined by a simple and under-
standable law, by analogy with the Laguerre–Gaussian representation (Eq. 21). For this purpose, we use the
pseudo-mode representation\(^{31}\) of the Schell-model source and rewrite (Eq. 19) as:

\[
W^{(0)}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \int p(q) E^*(q, \rho_1) E(q, \rho_2) \frac{dq}{2\pi},
\]

(28)

where \(p(q)\) is a non-negative function and \(E(q, \rho)\) is an arbitrary kernel. For the Gaussian Schell-model source,
determined by the Gaussian intensity profile and degree of coherence (Eq. 20), we have the following relations
for \(p(q)\) and \(E(q, \rho)\):

\[
p(q) = \tilde{g}(q) = \exp[-q^2/2\Delta^2]/\Delta^2, \quad E(q, \rho) = E^{(0)}(\rho) \exp[iq\rho] = \exp[-\rho^2/2 + iq\rho],
\]

(29)

where \(\tilde{g}(q)\) is a Fourier transform of the degree of spatial coherence \(g(\rho)\). Equations (28) and (29) can be
physically interpreted this way: Before the CR crystal, the electric field \(E(q, \rho)\) has a deterministic amplitude of \(E^{(0)}(\rho)\)
but a fluctuating phase\(^ {32}\) of \(\Phi = q\rho\). Afterward, the ensemble averaging over the realizations of the electric field
can then be understood as an integration over all possible random wave vectors \(q\) with a weight function of \(\tilde{g}(q)\). The weight function directly contains all of the information on the coherence of the initial radiation.
Recently, similarities have also been discussed between different approaches\(^ {33}\), such as pseudo-mode (Eq. 28)
and coherent-mode (Eq. 21) representations, and it has been found that both presented methods are equivalent
for considering the second-order statistics including intensity distribution and degree of coherence. Thus, the
pseudo-mode representation (Eq. 28) and original cross-spectral density (Eq. 19) describe exactly the same
partially coherent source.

As a result, representation (Eq. 28) allows us to significantly simplify the calculation of the CR correlation
functions. First, it is necessary to calculate the CR with an electric field with an amplitude \(E(q, \rho)\), depending on
the random wave vector \(q\):

\[
C^{(\pm)}(q, \rho, \xi) = \int \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} \exp(i\xi\rho) \tilde{G}^{(\pm)}(\xi, q) \tilde{E}^{(0)}(\xi - q).
\]

(30)

Then, from the calculated CR field amplitude (Eq. 30), the COAM matrix (Eq. 14) can be obtained, after
averaging over a random wave vector by analogy with Eq. (28).

\[
V_{\nu \mu}^{(\sigma)\sigma}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \xi) = \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \tilde{g}(q) C^{(\sigma)}(q, \rho_1, \xi) C^{(\sigma)}(q, \rho_2, \xi).
\]

(31)

Let us consider the limit of high spatial coherence, with the weight function \(\tilde{g}(q)\) localized near small
wave vectors. Assuming that the longitudinal coordinate is \(\xi = 0\) since the case of small wave vectors is further
used only in the focal plane, one can conveniently represent the components of the positive CR cone as:

\[
C^{(+)}(q, \rho) = C^{(+)}(0, \rho) \exp[A(q, \rho) + iB(q, \rho)],
\]

where \(A\) and \(B\) are the amplitude and phase modulations emerging from the randomness of the transverse wave vector of the input beam. Both introduced modulations are given by the expressions:

\[
A = \text{Re} \{\ln[C^{(+)}(q, \rho)/C^{(+)}(0, \rho)]\},
\]

(32)

\[
B = \text{Im} \{\ln[C^{(+)}(q, \rho)/C^{(+)}(0, \rho)]\}.
\]

(33)

The introduced representation is highly convenient since the difference between the exact cone component
\(C^{(+)}(q, \rho)\) and the unperturbed solution \(C^{(+)}(0, \rho)\) is quite small. Therefore, amplitude and phase modulation can be
considered as very small quantities. Worthy of mention is that both modulations are independent of the extra
OAM \(\mu\) because, in the limit of a well-distinguished CR ring (\(\rho_0 > 1\)), it becomes insignificant. This property
allows us to move from the components of the CR cone directly to the cone vector \(C^{(\pm)}\), given by formula (11):

\[
C^{(\pm)}(q, \rho) = C^{(\pm)}(0, \rho) \exp[A(\pm q, \rho) \pm iB(\pm q, \rho)],
\]

(34)

where only two modulations, \(A\) and \(B\), are introduced for both cones, instead of four functions, \(A^{(\pm)}\) and \(B^{(\pm)}\),
because we have the connection between the negative components of the CR cone and the positive one \(C^{(\pm)}(q, \rho, \xi) = C^{(\pm)}(-q, \rho, -\xi)\). To derive this relation, we assume that the Fourier transform of the input field amplitude \(\tilde{E}^{(0)}(\xi)\) is symmetric when \(\xi\) is replaced by \(-\xi\). As a result, now, only the amplitude and phase modulations depend on the random wave vector in Eq. (34). Furthermore, it is demonstrated that the amplitude modulation shown in Fig. 3a,b plays a crucial role compared to a phase modulation. Clearly, the amplitude modulation of the positive cone \(C^{(\pm)}\) is positive for \(\rho_+ > 0\) and negative for \(\rho_- < 0\) (Fig. 3a). Conversely, the amplitude modulation corresponding to the negative cone \(C^{(\pm)}\) behaves in the opposite way (Fig. 3b). This is explained by the fact that the presence of a transverse wave vector leads to a tilt of the light beam direction by an angle proportional to the modulus of the wave vector. In this case, the increase in the wave vector transforms the CR into birefringence, as clearly demonstrated in Fig. 3c–h\(^ {6,46,74}\). Note that the positive cone corresponds to an extraordinary ray, and
the negative cone corresponds to an ordinary ray.

At the same time, it is illogical to describe the intermediate intensity distributions in the form of a crescent
(Fig. 3e,f) and bright spots (Fig. 3g,h) using amplitude and phase modulations (Eqs. 32, 33), due to the large
values of the random wave vectors. Let us directly calculate the components of the CR cone (Eq. 30) for these
Figure 3. (a,b) Transverse distributions of amplitude modulation of the CR cones in the focal plane. For the numerical calculation, we use Eq. (32) and the parameters $\rho_0 = 5$ and $q_\xi = 0.4$. (c–h) Transverse intensity distributions of the CR cones in the focal plane are calculated with Eqs. (11) and (30) for the wave vectors $q_\xi = 0.4$ (c,d), $q_\xi = 1.5$ (e,f), and $q_\xi = 8$ (g,h). The first row corresponds to the positive cone $C^+$, and the second to the negative cone $C^-$. For clarity, associated central cross-sections along the x-axis are shown at the bottom of each figure.

cases. Because the deterministic amplitude of the electric field $E^{(0)}(\rho)$ has a Gaussian form (Eq. 20), we use the Jacobi–Anger expansion75 and integrate Eq. (30) over the angle variable, obtaining:

$$C_\mu(q, \rho, \xi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu^m e^{i(m+\mu)\varphi - im\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} \kappa d\kappa \ e^{-\frac{1+\kappa^2}{2}} e^{-i\rho_\kappa} J_m(iq\kappa) J_{m+\mu}(\kappa\rho).$$

Equation (35) clearly demonstrates that, in the limit of large wave vectors, the CR beam can be represented as a superposition of Bessel-Gaussian modes with a different OAM passing through the CR crystal76. Thus, if the transverse wave vector is small, the term with a zero OAM then makes the main contribution to the sum, and we can apply the condition of large wave vectors ($|\kappa| > > 1$), as well as the Bessel–Gaussian model of the CR53, transforming expression (35) into the following form (“Methods”):

$$C_\mu(q, \rho, \xi) = \sqrt{-2\pi i \tau^2 e^{i\mu\varphi}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu^m e^{i(m+\mu)\varphi - im\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} \kappa d\kappa \ e^{-\frac{1+\kappa^2}{2}} e^{-i\rho_\kappa} I_m(iq\kappa) J_{m+\mu}(\kappa\rho).$$

where $I_m(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order $m$, and $\tau = \rho_0 + i\xi$ is a complex propagation parameter introduced to describe generalized Bessel-Gaussian beams79 (the real part of propagation parameter $\tau$ specifies the beam radius in the focal plane $\rho_0$ and the imaginary part determines the tilt angle $\xi$ with respect to the beam symmetry axis). In addition, $p_\mu = \rho_0 n_\mu$ is a vector, wherein its modulus determines a ring radius for an arbitrary $\xi$ value and is equal to $p_\mu = \rho_0 + q_\xi$, and its direction is determined by the normalized transverse wave vector $n_\xi = \frac{q}{\rho_0};\, \sigma_0 = 1 + i\xi$ is a spatial propagation function; and $\epsilon = q/\rho_0$ is a beam asymmetry parameter that determines the transition from the crescent-shaped beam ($\epsilon < < 1$), shown in Fig. 3e,f, to the bright spots ($\epsilon > > 1$), shown in Fig. 3g,h. Thus, if the asymmetry parameter is very large ($\epsilon > > 1$), then, Eq. (36) is greatly simplified to a Gaussian beam:

$$C_\mu(q, \rho, \xi) = \frac{e^{i\mu\theta}}{2\sigma_0} \frac{1}{\pi} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\rho - \rho_0)^2}{2\rho_0^2} + i\epsilon(\rho - \rho_0) - i\xi^2 \frac{\theta^2}{2} \right].$$


Worthy of note is that the introduced beams (Eq. 36) are very similar to the asymmetric Bessel\textsuperscript{80,81} and Bessel-Gaussian\textsuperscript{82,83} beams previously described in the literature. The main difference is that the propagation parameter $\tau$ is now a complex variable, just as for generalized Bessel–Gaussian beams\textsuperscript{79}. Hence, the introduced beams should be called generalized asymmetric Bessel–Gaussian (GABG) beams.

In this section, we demonstrate the formalism for describing the partially coherent CR based on a representation in which the input electric field has a deterministic amplitude but a fluctuating phase. Thus, we can still calculate the propagation through the CR crystal, and average fluctuations, only at the last stage. For the Schell-model source, the fluctuating phase is expressed in terms of a random wave vector. When the random wave vector is small, it is convenient to describe the CR beam in terms of amplitude and phase modulations (34). In the intermediate case, when the modulus of the wave vector $q$ is much greater than unity but much less than the normalized CR ring radius $\rho_0$, the intensity distribution is transformed into the crescent-shaped GABG beam (Eq. 36). In the limit of quite large wave vectors ($q \gg \rho_0$), the CR completely transforms into birefringence and is expressed in terms of the usual Gaussian beams (37). Furthermore, we will use the exact solutions obtained in this section to calculate the CR intensity for the near and far field regions.

**CR rings in the focal plane.** In this section, we consider a partially coherent CR in the focal plane. Previously, it was demonstrated\textsuperscript{62} that a decrease in the spatial coherence of light leads to a disappearance of the classical double-ring Lloyd’s intensity distribution, as shown in Fig. 4a. To explain this effect, we derive a phenomenological theory\textsuperscript{62} based on the dual-cone model of the CR\textsuperscript{67,68}. Within its framework, the CR intensity for coherent radiation is expressed in terms of the CR cones as $I=[|C^{(+)}(\rho)|^2+|C^{(-)}(\rho)|^2+2|C^{(+)}(\rho)||C^{(-)}(\rho)||\alpha_{CR}\cos(\arg(C^{(-)}(\rho)*C^{(+)}(\rho)))]$, \hspace{1cm} (38)

where $\alpha_{CR}$ is a phenomenological coherence degree of the CR cones. When the coherence degree is $\alpha_{CR}=1$, the radiation is completely coherent, and the CR cones interfere with each other, producing a double-ring intensity distribution. When the radiation is incoherent, and the coherence degree is $\alpha_{CR}=0$, the interference term in Eq. (38) vanishes, and we observe complete disappearance of the dark Poggendorff’s ring in the focal plane. If the radiation is partially coherent, then, the degree of coherence $\alpha_{CR}$ takes values between zero and unity. At the same time, the relationship between the phenomenological coherence degree of the CR cones and the input spatial
degree of coherence has the following simple form: \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} = g(\rho_c) \), where \( \rho_c \) is a characteristic distance, depending on the intensity distribution of the input radiation\(^a\).

Furthermore, we will show that the phenomenological model of partially coherent CR can be rigorously justified for the case of high spatial coherence, when the coherence parameter is \( \Delta < 1 \). We prove the relation \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} = g(\rho_c) \) and connect the characteristic distance \( \rho_c \) with the properties of the input intensity distribution.

Furthermore, we obtain a physical explanation for the coherence degree of the CR cones, \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} \). In the low-coherence regime (\( \Delta > 1 \)), the coherence degree \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} \) decreases according to a power law, which, up to a constant, does not depend on the detailed form of the input spatial degree of coherence. In addition, we predict a counterintuitive effect, or narrowing of the CR ring, with a reduction of the light coherence.

First, we introduce a strict definition for the phenomenological coherence degree of the CR cones, using unified optical coherence theory. This can be done if the radiation intensity is expressed in terms of the CR cones by analogy with the phenomenological Eq. (38):

\[
I(\rho) = I^{(+)}(\rho) + I^{(-)}(\rho) + 2I^{(+)}(\rho) \sqrt{1 + I^{-}(\rho)} |\gamma(\rho, \rho)| \cos \gamma(\rho, \rho),
\]

where \( f^{(\pm)}(\rho) = g(C^{(-)}(\rho))^{\nu} \) is an average intensity of each of the cones and \( g(\rho, \rho) = \langle C^{(-)}(\rho) C^{(+)}(\rho) \rangle > |f^{(+)}(\rho)|^{2} \sqrt{1 + I^{-}(\rho)}^{1/2} \) is a normalized cross-cone correlation function, introduced by analogy with the classical problem of interference of light passing through a screen with two parallel slits\(^b\). Therefore, from formulas (38) and (39), the modulus of the normalized cross-cone correlation function in the exact theory acts as the coherence degree of the CR cones:

\[
\alpha_{\text{CR}} = \gamma(\rho, \rho) = \sqrt{|\langle C^{(-)}(\rho)^{*} C^{(+)}(\rho) \rangle|/I^{(+)}(\rho)^{1/2} I^{(-)}(\rho)^{1/2}}.
\]

Generally, the coherence degree of the CR cones, \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} \), depends on the transverse radius vector \( \rho \). However, we will consider the area near the maximum intensity of the CR ring, and, therefore, \( \rho = \rho_c \). Formula (39) demonstrates that, in order to obtain the degree of coherence \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} \), one must first calculate the intensity of the cones and the cross-cone correlation function. To this end, we use the representation of the fluctuating phase (Eq. 31).

This rigorous calculation for the high spatial coherence regime and the Schell-model source is performed in “Methods”, resulting in the following expressions:

\[
\left| \langle C^{(-)}(\rho)^{*} C^{(+)}(\rho) \rangle \right| \propto |C^{(-)}(0, \rho) | |C^{(+)}(0, \rho)|,
\]

\[
I^{(\pm)}(\rho) \propto |C^{(\pm)}(0, \rho)|^{2} / g(\rho_c),
\]

where \( C^{(\pm)}(0, \rho) \) is the unperturbed cone, and \( \rho_c \) is a characteristic spatial distance, expressed in terms of the Fourier transform of the deterministic field amplitude \( \hat{E}(\hat{\kappa}) \), as:

\[
\rho_c = e_r \int_0^{+\infty} d\kappa \kappa^{-1/2} \hat{E}^{(0)}(\kappa) / \int_0^{+\infty} d\kappa \kappa^{1/2} \hat{E}^{(0)}(\kappa),
\]

where \( e_r = \{ \cos(\phi), \sin(\phi) \} \) is a radial unit vector in a polar coordinate system. As a result, using expressions (40)–(42), it is possible to express the coherence degree of the CR cones \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} \), in terms of the input spatial degree of coherence, in the following simple, elegant way:

\[
\alpha_{\text{CR}} = g(\rho_c).
\]

Thus, we confirm the relationship between the input and output degrees of coherence, phenomenologically obtained earlier\(^c\), for the high spatial coherence limit.

From Eq. (44), it is clear that a decrease in the input beam coherence causes the disappearance of the correlation between two CR cones, leading to the disappearance of the interference pattern, shown in Fig. 4a. In the search for physical explanations, it may be tempting to explain the behavior of coherence degree of the CR cones by reducing the numerator in Eq. (40) with a fixed denominator. Such a statement is typical in the theory of optical coherence and is associated with phase fluctuation that appears in the cross-correlation function but disappears in the intensity. However, analysis of Eqs. (41) and (42) leads to the opposite conclusion. Thus, the cross-cone correlation function does not change, in contrast to the intensity, wherein the input spatial degree of coherence appears in the denominator, because of the critical role of amplitude, rather than phase fluctuations. This observation is underscored by the transverse distributions for the amplitude modulation of the positive and negative cones, shown in Fig. 3a,b. From this figure, it is clear that the product of two different cones, determining the cross-cone correlation function (Eq. 41), does not depend on the random wave vector and, hence, on the input light coherence because the amplitude modulations cancel each other out. However, in the case of two identical cones, determining the cone intensities (Eq. 42), the modulations are doubled; therefore, the intensity acquires a strong dependence on the input light coherence. Thus, the amplitude fluctuations of the CR field are the physical reason for the appearance of the coherence degree, \( \alpha_{\text{CR}} \). Comparison of the degree of coherence of the CR cones, obtained from Eq. (44), and the numerical simulations using the coherent-mode representation, is shown in Fig. 4b. Here, good agreement is demonstrated between the analytical and numerical results for the case of high spatial coherence (\( \Delta < 1 \)).
Now, we consider the case of low spatial coherence. The main difference here, from the case of high coherence is that the CR beam, before averaging, is now expressed in terms of GABG beams (Eq. 36). It turns out that a simpler expression with Gaussian beams (Eq. 37) can be used to calculate the intensity of the cones, resulting in:

\[
I^{(\pm)}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \exp \left\{ -\left(\rho - \rho_0 \cdot n_\theta\right)^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ -\rho^2 - \rho_0^2 \right\} J_0(2\rho\rho_0),
\]

(45)

where the cone intensity is clearly independent of the input spatial degree of coherence. Using the approximation of a well-defined CR ring (\(\rho_0 > 1\)), we replace the modified Bessel function by its asymptotics, obtaining the intensity of the cones described by the Gaussian function \(I^{(\pm)}(\rho) \propto \exp \left\{ -\left(\rho - \rho_0\right)^2 \right\}\), a fact directly understood from analysis of formula (45). The resulting intensity is formed by an incoherent superposition of Gaussian beams, with its centers located on a ring of radius \(\rho_0\). Averaging over the Gaussian beam centers results in the annular intensity distribution having a Gaussian profile. It is surprising, however, that the waist of the ring for incoherent radiation, defined by expression (45), will be smaller than that for the completely coherent CR ring, as demonstrated in Fig. 4c. This counterintuitive effect is explained by the behavior of the CR ring width for fully coherent light. Since the angular spectrum of the coherent CR ring is stronger localized than the spectrum of the initial Gaussian beam, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the ring will be greater than the FWHM of the input Gaussian beam. However, for incoherent radiation, the FWHM of the CR ring coincides with the FWHM of the original beam, as previously shown. Accordingly, a decrease in coherence leads to an effective decrease in the FWHM of the ring, as clearly demonstrated in Fig. 4d.

To obtain the coherence degree of the CR cones, \(\alpha_{CR}\), for the low-coherent regime, it is necessary to calculate the cross–cone correlation function using Eqs. (31) and (36). We show that the coherence degree of the CR cones depends on the input spatial degree of coherence, according to the following law ("Methods"):

\[
\alpha_{CR} = \int_0^{+\infty} d\rho \rho \, g(\rho)f(\rho),
\]

(46)

where \(f(\rho) = \pi \exp[-\rho^2/16]M_0(\rho^2/8)/2\), and \(M_0(x)\) is a Whittaker function. In the limit of low spatial coherence \((\Delta > 1)\), the function \(g(\rho)\) is localized on much smaller scales of the order of \(1/\Delta\) than the function \(f(\rho)\). Therefore, in the expression under the integral sign, the function \(f(\rho)\) can be replaced by \(f(0) = \pi/4\sqrt{2}\). As a result, the coherence degree of the CR cones is transformed into:

\[
\alpha_{CR} \approx \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} d\rho \rho \, g(\rho) = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} \tilde{g}(0).
\]

(47)

The dependence of coherence degree \(\alpha_{CR}\) on the coherence parameter \(\Delta\) is easily obtained from Eq. (46). As aforementioned, \(g(\rho)\) is localized on scales \(1/\Delta\); therefore, one can change the integration variable to \(\nu = \Delta \rho\). Here, the integral \(\int_{\Delta(\nu)} \rho \, d\rho\) no longer depends on the coherence parameter \(\Delta\), and we obtain the universal power law behavior \(\alpha_{CR} \propto 1/\Delta^2 \propto (w_0/w_0)^2\). In the case of a Gaussian-Schell–model source, the coherence degree \(\alpha_{GR}\) is easily calculated using the exact formula (46):

\[
\alpha_{CR} = \frac{\pi \sqrt{2}}{4 + 1/\Delta^2} \frac{1}{\Delta^2},
\]

(48)

confirming that, for the low coherence limit \((\Delta > 1)\), the coherence degree of the CR cones decreases, according to the power law.

This behavior of the coherence degree can be explained in a following way: As aforementioned, the cone intensity (Eq. 45) does not depend on the coherence parameter \(\Delta\). Therefore, the main contribution to the coherence degree is demonstrated by the cross–cone correlation function obtained via the averaging over random wave vectors (Eq. 31). Resultingly, the largest contribution to the correlation function is made by random wave vectors near the ring with radius \(\rho = 1\). The area of this region in \(\mathbf{q}\)-space is \(S_0 \propto 1\). However, the weight function \(\tilde{g}(\mathbf{q})\) is not equal to zero in the region \(S_0 \propto \Delta^2\). Thus, the smaller the degree of coherence, and the larger coherence parameter \(\Delta\), the smaller the ratio of wave vectors that contribute to the coherence degree \(\alpha_{CR} \propto S_0/S_0 \propto 1/\Delta^2 \propto (w_0/w_0)^2\). In conclusion, we find a universal power law dependence of the degree of coherence of the CR cones \(\alpha_{CR}\) versus the correlation length \(w_0\). Figure 4 illustrates the resulting power law dependence in agreement with the numerical calculation of the coherence degree \(\alpha_{CR}\) for the Gaussian–Schell model source. The figure also clearly shows how the transition occurs from the high-coherent regime (Eq. 44) to low-coherent one (Eq. 48).

As a result, in this section, we have described the behavior of the coherence degree of CR cones in the limit of high and low spatial coherence, as well as demonstrated the counterintuitive effect of narrowing of the CR ring with reduction of the light coherence. In the next section, we will consider how the far field of a CR beam depends on the input spatial coherence.

**Axial spike.** Let us consider the influence of the light coherence on the formation of the axial spike near the propagation axis \((\rho = 0)\). For that purpose, one can study the diagonal COAM matrix elements \(W_{\mu\mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi)\). Equation (10) demonstrates that \(W_{\mu\mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi)\) can be interpreted as the light intensity with a given additional OAM equal to \(\hbar \mu\). The total CR intensity is obtained after summing all diagonal COAM matrix elements \(W_{\mu\mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi)\).
over the extra OAM $\mu \hbar$. To calculate the COAM matrix elements in the far zone ($\xi > 1$), one can directly use the multidimensional integral (16). Using the stationary phase method, we integrate Eq. (16) over all radial variables, obtaining:

$$W_{\mu \mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi) = \frac{\pi}{2(1 + 2\Delta^2)\xi^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\mu(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} \exp\left[-\frac{(n_2 - n_1)^2}{2\rho_0^2} + i\rho_0 \frac{(n_2 - n_1)\rho}{\xi}\right]. \quad (49)$$

where $n_{1,2} = [\cos(\theta_{1,2}), \sin(\theta_{1,2})]$ are the normal vectors, and $\theta_0 = (2 + 1/\Delta)\rho_0^2/2\hbar$ is a correlation angle. For the physical meaning of Eq. (49), one can consider the product of two amplitudes of plane waves $\exp[-i\mathbf{k}_0 \rho]$ and $\exp[i\mathbf{\rho}_0 \rho]$ with wave vectors $\mathbf{k}_{1,2} = \rho_0 n_{1,2}/\xi$ under the integral sign. After averaging this product over all possible directions of the normal vectors with the weight function $\exp[-(n_2 - n_1)^2/2\hbar^2]$, we obtain the resulting COAM matrix element. When the input radiation is coherent ($\Delta < 1$), the correlation angle is large, i.e., $\theta_0 \propto \Delta^{-1/2} > 1$. As a result, the weight function $\exp[-(n_2 - n_1)^2/2\hbar^2] = 1$ and the plane waves in expression (49) correlate with each other. In this case, the integrals over angles can be easily calculated, and we obtain the well-known expression

$$W_{\mu \mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi) \propto f_\rho(\rho_0/\xi)^2,$$

i.e., for coherent light near the axis in the far zone, the Raman spot is formed from several Bessel beams with an extra OAM $\hbar \mu$, where $\mu = 0, \pm 1$. A similar result is also valid for low-coherent light ($\Delta > 1$) in the spatial region, where axial distance is greater than the normalized CR radius ($\xi > \rho_0$). This is due to the fact that the correlation angle in this region is also much larger than unity ($\theta_0 \propto \xi/\rho_0 > 1$), as it is for coherent light. This statement is consistent with the Van Cittert–Zernike theorem, which stipulates that the transverse radius of light coherence increases with distance from the source. However, if the axial distance is less than the normalized CR radius ($\xi < \rho_0$), then, given low-coherent light, the correlation angle $\theta_0$ is much less than unity ($\theta_0 \propto \xi/\rho_0 < 1$). In this case, the COAM matrix element (49) is easily calculated, and we can conclude:

$$W_{\mu \mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \rho_0}{4\Delta^2 \xi^2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\Delta^2 \xi^2}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{\rho_0^2}{2}\right] j_0\left(\rho_0^2/\xi^2\right). \quad (50)$$

In expression (50), the function $\exp[-\rho_0^2/(2\Delta^2 \xi^2)]\xi^2$ is responsible for the axial evolution, wherein the maximum is located at the point $\xi_{max} = \rho_0/(2\Delta V)$, i.e., an increase in the coherence parameter $\Delta$, corresponding to a decrease in beam coherence, leads to a shift of the Raman spot closer to the focal plane, as shown in Fig. 5b. The physical meaning of this effect can be found in the representation of partially coherent light via a fluctuating phase (Eq. 31). Obviously, a decrease in coherence, due to an increase in the coherence parameter $\Delta$, leads to an increase in the characteristic random wave vector of the beam. In addition, the larger the transverse wave vector, the larger the angle at which the CR field forms an axial spike near the propagation axis. As a result, the Raman spots will move increasingly closer to the focal plane, with a decreasing input beam coherence. However, the most surprising phenomenon in expression (50) is a radial intensity distribution, given by the function $\exp[-\rho_0^2/2]j_0(\rho_0^2/2)$.

Since this transverse distribution does not depend on the axial distance $\xi$, we can conclude that the CR beam propagates without a diffractive spread, a counterintuitive result because the opposite effect can be observed for the Gaussian Schell-model source. It is well known that the divergence of such a beam increases with decreasing spatial coherence. The occurrence of a diffraction-free beam can be explained by decomposition of Eq. (50), through the Bessel beams, with simple spatial evolution:

$$W_{\mu \mu}(\rho, \rho, \xi) \propto \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} J_{m-\mu}(\rho \rho_0/\xi)^2 \exp\left[-m^2\xi^2 / \rho_0^2\right]. \quad (51)$$

where each Bessel beam undergoes diffractive spreading. The weight with which each Bessel beam enters the final expression is given by an exponential function, $\exp[-m^2\xi^2/\rho_0^2]$. Moreover, the weight function also depends on the axial distance $\xi$. Using formula (51), an estimate for the half-width of the COAM matrix element is easily obtained. Thus, the half-width of the mode with index $m$, at a distance $\xi$ from the focal plane, is proportional to

Figure 5. The axial distributions of the CR beam intensity are computed numerically from the mode decomposition (Eq. 26), for (a) high- and (b) low-coherent light, with $\Delta = 0$ and $\Delta = 2$, correspondingly. For clarity, associated axial intensity distributions are shown at the bottom of each figure, as white lines.
Discussion

Figure 4 represents three main effects of partially coherent CR that can be observed in the focal plane. First, this is the disappearance of the dark Poggendorff’s ring in the Lloyd’s plane while reducing the input beam coherence as shown in Fig. 4a. In this figure we demonstrate the calculated intensity for different values of input spatial coherence using developed cross-spectral density formalism discussed in the first and second sections. Figure 4a shows transformation of a double-ring intensity distribution into one bright annular ring with decrease of coherence. The explanation of this effect lies in the interference nature of two rings of conical refraction in the focal plane. These rings are shaped by two intersecting and interfering CR cones, as shown in Fig. 1b. This situation changes dramatically for partially coherent light with vanishing interference. We reported on this phenomenon earlier with the coherence degree utilized for phenomenological description of the interference contribution into CR intensity distribution (Eq. 38). This simple phenomenological concept proved to describe all essential properties of the discussed effect in good agreement with experiment. However, a deep understanding of the underlying connections between the CR light transformation and coherence of the incident radiation could not be considered phenomenologically.

To fill the gap in understanding of the internal mechanism of this effect, we calculated the dependence of the coherence degree of CR cones on the input light coherence, which is depicted in Fig. 4b. This calculation was done both numerically and analytically, using a rigorous definition of coherence degree (Eq. 39). In Fig. 4b, two characteristic regions of high and low spatial coherence can be distinguished. These are characterized by low and large coherence parameter Δ correspondingly. The approximation of high coherence is described by (Eq. 44), which is a simple and elegant relationship between output and input spatial degrees of coherence. This was introduced phenomenologically and confirmed here from the first principles. To obtain Eq. (44) and corresponding physical explanation for the CR coherence degree we use phase fluctuation representation of the initial cross-spectral density as discussed in the third section. The applied formalism exploits the idea that the electric field vector has a deterministic amplitude and fluctuating phase, which is expressed in terms of random transverse wave vector. It turns out that the phase fluctuations of the input field generate amplitude modulation of the CR field as shown in Fig. 3a–d. It is important to note that this modulation has an opposite sign for different CR cones. Hence, it significantly affects the intensity of both CR cones, but does not disturb the cross-cone correlation function. As a result, the coherence degree of CR cones emerges in front of the interference contribution to the CR intensity in Eq. (39).

In the approximation of low coherence, one can observe the second new effect, which is a universal power-law dependence of the coherence degree of CR cones vs the input correlation length (Eqs. 46–48) that can be clearly seen in Fig. 4b. In the low-coherence regime, the CR coherence degree is independent of the detailed form of the input spatial coherence degree (up to a constant before the power-law). For analytical calculations of this result we again use the phase fluctuation representation, but in the region of medium–high random transverse wave vectors. This is described by the introduced generalized asymmetric Bessel-Gaussian beams. Here, main contribution to the coherence degree of CR cones is made by a small fraction of wave vectors, which area in q-space is fixed and features very weak dependence on the input coherence. We note that the total q-space area of wave vectors present in a partially coherent beam increases with decreasing coherence. Thus, the weaker the input coherence is, the smaller is the ratio of wave vectors contributing to the CR coherence degree to all available wave vectors in a partially coherent beam, which completely determines the universal power-law dependence of the coherence degree of CR cones vs the input correlation length.

Furthermore, it is interesting to study transformation of intensities of the CR cones from high to low coherence, as shown in Fig. 4c. Surprisingly, the width of the CR ring becomes narrower with reduction of the spatial coherence degree. This phenomenon is the third new effect that can be found in the CR focal plane. It can be easily explained by considering the coherent and incoherent limits for the CR ring FWHM, the dependence of which on the input coherence is shown in Fig. 4d. In the approximation of high coherence, CR ring FWHM is greater than that of the incident beam due to the strong angular localization (and low numerical aperture) of the beam behind the crystal. For the incoherent radiation, the FWHM of the resulting ring is equal to that of the incident one (since the CR ring consists of superposition of the Gaussian beams shown in Fig. 3g,h with their centers randomly distributed along the CR ring). Thus, clear decrease of the CR ring FWHM can be observed with decreasing coherence as shown in Fig. 4d.

After this study of the focal plane, we focused our attention on the CR far field. The calculated CR axial evolution for coherent and incoherent radiation is depicted in Fig. 5. Here, one can see two effects simultaneously: (i) formation of the diffraction-free beam and (ii) the Raman spot shift, which is observed as the ‘shortening’ of the axial CR evolution. The first effect is due to the multimode structure of low-coherent radiation that suppresses diffractive broadening and can be derived analytically from the calculated COAM matrix element (Eq. 50). The second effect of the ‘shortening’ of the axial CR evolution with decreasing coherence can be easily explained as follows. According the phase fluctuation representation and Bessel-Gaussian model, a partially coherent CR beam consists of Bessel-Gaussian beams. Each of these Bessel-Gaussian beams forms an individual Raman spot positioned on the axis of symmetry in inverse proportionality to the transverse wave vector magnitude.
of this beam. The overall intensity distribution of the CR beam results from averaging of the Bessel–Gaussian beam intensities over transverse wave vectors of these beams. However, the largest contribution to the resulting distribution comes from the wave vectors with mean magnitude, which is inverse proportional to the coherence length $w_c$. Thus, the axial position of the observed Raman spot would be proportional to coherence length $w_c$ and will shift towards the focal (Lloyd’s) plane with decreasing coherence.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we derive a theory of partially coherent conical refraction, which is in good agreement with the available experiments. Also, we demonstrate that the CR with partially coherent radiation can be expressed in terms of Belsky–Khapalyuk–Berry and dual-cone model integrals. To this end, we reformulate and significantly simplify the rigorous CR theory, assuming randomness of the electrical field phase of the input beam. This allows us to calculate the beam propagation through the CR crystal rigorously, and average the fluctuations only at the last stage. These exact solutions are used to calculate the CR intensity in the near- and far-field. As a result, we explain disappearance of the dark Poggendorff’s ring in the Lloyd’s plane and predict counterintuitive effects of narrowing of the CR ring width and shift of Raman spots towards each other for the low-coherent CR light. We also demonstrate a universal power-law dependence of the coherence degree of CR cones on the input beam correlation length and diffraction-free propagation of the low-coherent CR light in the far field.

Now we outline some implications of the above-mentioned results. As we demonstrated, partially coherent CR have both vector and vortex properties. Combined with unconventional low coherence features, these can be extremely beneficial for coherence control-enabled structured light generation with further practical applications in long-distance optical communications, optical encryption, and non-line-of-sight imaging as well as ghost imaging. New predicted effects in the far-field such as shift of Raman spots and diffraction-free propagation may enable generation of highly controllable and dynamically adjustable bottle beams. Narrowing of the focal CR (Lloyd’s) ring may be applied for generation of partially coherent perfect vortex beams. Further studies without immediate practical applications may include research of the correlation functions of a partially coherent CR beams. These are of non-conventional shape opposite to the Gaussian Schell-model source and such research may lead to very non-trivial results. Finally, we believe that generalized asymmetric Bessel-Gaussian beams introduced in this paper worth extensive study as well.

**Methods**

**Polarization structure of the CR.** Let us find the relationship between the cross-spectral density matrix (Eq. 7) and COAM matrix (Eq. 8). Here, using Eq. (1) for the CR field, we transform the expression for the cross-spectral density matrix (Eq. 7) into the following form:

$$K_{ij}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \xi) = \sum_{k,s=R,L} \langle \hat{\beta}_{ik}^* (\mathbf{p}_1, \xi) \hat{\beta}_{js}(\mathbf{p}_2, \xi) \rangle J_{ks},$$  

(52)

where we introduce the matrix $\hat{\beta}$:

$$\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{p}, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} B_0(\mathbf{p}, \xi) & B_{-1}(\mathbf{p}, \xi) \\ B_1(\mathbf{p}, \xi) & B_0(\mathbf{p}, \xi) \end{pmatrix},$$  

(53)

with its elements being the components of the CR field (Eq. 2). From Eq. (53), it is clear that each $\langle \hat{\beta}_{ik}^* \hat{\beta}_{js} \rangle$ component is associated with the corresponding COAM matrix, $\langle B_\nu B_\mu \rangle$. Therefore, using formulas (52) and (53), we obtain relation (9), connecting the cross-spectral density matrix (7), with the introduced COAM matrix (Eq. 8) and the polarization matrix $J_{ij}$.

Knowing relation (9), one can easily find the relationship between the CR intensity and the COAM matrix, $W_{\mu\nu}$. To obtain this relationship, one can rewrite cross-spectral density and polarization matrices in terms of the Stokes parameters. Substituting Eq. (54) and Eq. (55) into Eq. (9), we obtain an expression for the CR Stokes vector:

$$\hat{K}(\mathbf{p}, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} S_0(\mathbf{p}, \xi) + S_1(\mathbf{p}, \xi) & S_1(\mathbf{p}, \xi) + iS_2(\mathbf{p}, \xi) \\ S_1(\mathbf{p}, \xi) - iS_2(\mathbf{p}, \xi) & S_0(\mathbf{p}, \xi) - S_1(\mathbf{p}, \xi) \end{pmatrix},$$  

(54)

$$\tilde{j} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} s_0 + s_3 & s_1 + is_2 \\ s_1 - is_2 & s_0 - s_3 \end{pmatrix},$$  

(55)

Substituting Eq. (54) and Eq. (55) into Eq. (9), we obtain an expression for the CR Stokes vector:

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{00} + \frac{1}{2}(W_{11} + W_{-11}) & Re[W_{01} + W_{0-1}] & Im[W_{01} - W_{0-1}] & \frac{1}{2}(W_{11} - W_{-11}) \\ Re[W_{01} + W_{0-1}] & W_{00} + Re[W_{11}] & Im[W_{11}] & Re[W_{01} - W_{0-1}] \\ Im[W_{01} + W_{0-1}] & W_{00} - Re[W_{11}] & Im[W_{11}] & Im[W_{01} + W_{0-1}] \\ -\frac{1}{2}(W_{11} - W_{-11}) & -Re[W_{01} - W_{0-1}] & -Im[W_{01} + W_{0-1}] & W_{00} - \frac{1}{2}(W_{11} + W_{-11}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ s_2 \\ s_3 \end{pmatrix},$$  

(56)

where we use the property of the COAM matrix, $W_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{p}, \xi) = W_{\nu\mu}(\mathbf{p}, \xi)^*$, which is valid for $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{p}$. As a result, the CR intensity will be equal to:

$$I(\mathbf{p}, \xi) = |W_{00} + \frac{1}{2}(W_{11} + W_{-11}), Re[W_{01} + W_{0-1}], Im[W_{01} - W_{0-1}], \frac{1}{2}(W_{11} - W_{-11})|_{\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{p}}^2.$$  

(57)
where \( s = (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3) \) is the Stokes vector of the input radiation. For unpolarized light, the input Stokes vector \( s = (1, 0, 0, 0) \), and Eq. (57) becomes Eq. (10).

**Bessel–Gaussian model of the CR.** Following Reference\(^5^3\), to calculate the integrals (Eq. (35)), it is necessary to transform the exponent \( \exp[-i\rho_0k] \) under the integral sign. Let us transform it into the Bessel function of a complex argument using the asymptotic expansion of the Bessel function\(^5^3\):

\[
i^{\mu} \exp[-i\rho_0k]J_m(\kappa q) \approx i^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \left( \frac{m+\mu+\frac{1}{2}}{2\pi i q} \right)^{\kappa} \exp \left[ -i(\rho_0 + iq)k - \frac{m^2}{2q^2} \right],
\]

and, since the Bessel function of the complex argument has the following asymptotic form:

\[
J_{m+\mu}[i(\rho_0 + iq)k] \approx \frac{i^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \left( \frac{m+\mu+\frac{1}{2}}{2\pi i q} \right)^{\kappa} \exp \left[ -i(\rho_0 + iq)k - i \frac{m^2}{2(\rho_0 + iq)k} \right],
\]

we can express Eq. (58) through Eq. (59) as:

\[
i^{\mu} \exp[-i\rho_0k]J_m(\kappa q) \approx \left( \frac{\rho_0 + iq}{q} \right)^{\kappa} \exp \left[ -\frac{m^2}{2q^2(\rho_0 + iq)} \right] J_{m+\mu}[i(\rho_0 + iq)k],
\]

where we use the property that the wave vectors near \( k = q \) make the main contribution to the integrand. As a result, substituting Eq. (60) into the expression for CR amplitude (Eq. (35)), the integrals over variable \( \kappa \) are easily calculated, and we obtain:

\[
C_{(\mu)}^{(+)}(q, \rho, \xi) = \frac{e^{i\mu\theta_q}}{2(1 + i\xi)} \sqrt{-\frac{i\tau}{q}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\rho^2 + (\rho_0 + q\xi)^2}{2(1 + i\xi)} - i\rho\rho_0 - iq\xi \right] \frac{m^2}{\pi}\int \exp \left[ -\frac{m^2}{2q^2(\rho_0 + iq)} \right] J_{m+\mu}[i(\rho_0 + iq)k],
\]

where \( I_m(x) \) is the modified Bessel function of order \( m \), \( \tau = \rho_0 + iq \) is a complex propagation parameter, and \( \epsilon = q/\rho_0 \) is an asymmetry parameter (see the main text for details). To sum expression (61) over the OAM, we replace the exponential term with the Bessel functions of the complex argument:

\[
\exp \left[ -\frac{m^2}{2q^2\rho_0} \right] \approx \sqrt{2\pi\epsilon q^2} \exp \left[ -\tau q^2 \right] J_0(2\pi\epsilon q^2),
\]

and then we use the Graf’s addition theorem\(^7^5\), obtaining the resulting formula (36).

**Coherence degree of the CR cones.** To calculate the coherence degree of the CR cones in the limit of high spatial coherence, it is necessary to determine the explicit form of the amplitude and phase modulation (Eq. 32). Since the random wave vector is small in this limit, we expand the amplitude and phase modulations in a Taylor series up to the second order in the random wave vector \( q \). Then, we substitute the resulting expansions into products of identical and different \( C \) functions, obtaining the expressions:

\[
\left| C^{(\pm)}(q, \rho) \right|^2 = \left| C^{(\pm)}(0, \rho) \right|^2 \exp \left[ \pm 2 \sum_i \frac{\partial A}{\partial q_i} q_i + \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} q_i q_j \right],
\]

\[
C^{(-)}(q, \rho)^* C^{(+)}(q, \rho) = C^{(-)}(0, \rho)^* C^{(+)}(0, \rho) \exp \left[ \pm \sum_{ij} \left( \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} q_i q_j \right) q_i q_j \right].
\]

The intensity of the cones and the cross-cone correlation function from (63) and (64) are obtained by averaging over a random wave vector:

\[
I^{(\pm)}(\rho) = \left\langle \left| C^{(\pm)}(\rho) \right|^2 \right\rangle = \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \tilde{g}(q) \left| C^{(\pm)}(q, \rho) \right|^2,
\]

\[
\left\langle C^{(-)}(\rho)^* C^{(+)}(\rho) \right\rangle = \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \tilde{g}(q) C^{(-)}(q, \rho)^* C^{(+)}(q, \rho),
\]

as well as using the property:
which is valid in the limit of small random wave vectors, as well as when the mean $<q_i> = 0$ and variance $<q_i^2> = <q^2> δ_i/2$, where $δ_i$ is the Kronecker symbol. These conditions are satisfied if the weight function $g(q)$ does not depend on the angular variable $θ_i$. As a result, we obtain the following:

$$I^{(±)}(ρ) = \left| C^{(±)}(0, ρ) \right|^2 \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} (\nabla A)^2 + ΔA \right) \langle q^2 \rangle / 2, \quad (68)$$

$$\left\{ C^{(+)}(ρ) C^{(+)}(ρ) \right\} = C^{(+)}(0) C^{(+)}(0) \exp \left( iμA ρ - iμΔA \right) \langle q^2 \rangle / 2, \quad (69)$$

where $V$ and $Δ$ are the Nabla and Laplace operators acting on the variable $q$, respectively. From Eq. (68), it follows that the intensity of the cones will contain only contributions from the amplitude modulation $A$. Moreover, the contribution depending on $ΔA$ enters into both expressions, while the phase modulation enters only into the cross-cone correlation function (Eq. 69). We substitute Eqs. (68) and (69) into the formula for coherence degree of the CR cones (Eq. 40), obtaining the final formula (44):

$$α_{CR} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial ρ^2} ρ^2 \right] ≈ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial ρ^2} ρ^2 = g(ρ_c), \quad (70)$$

where we introduce the characteristic distance $ρ_c = 2VA$ and use the relationship between the average value of the square of the wave vector and the derivative of the input coherence degree $<q^2> = -2δ g(ρ)/∂ρ^2$.

The next step is to calculate the characteristic distance $ρ_c$. To this end, we use formula (32), which includes the expression for the CR cone (Eq. 30) in the focal plane $(ξ = 0)$:

$$C_{μ(+)}(q, ρ_0, ψ) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2πρ_0}} e^{iμq - iμq_ρ + iμθ_ρ} E^{(0)}(κ - q). \quad (71)$$

It greatly simplifies if we consider the limit of a well-defined CR ring $ρ_0 > 1$, as well as set the radial coordinate equal to the radius of the ring $ρ = ρ_0$. In this case, it is possible, using the stationary phase method, to integrate over the angle expression (71):

$$C_{μ(+)}(q, ρ_0, ψ) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2πρ_0}} e^{iμq - iμq_ρ + iμθ_ρ} E^{(0)}(κ - q). \quad (72)$$

where we use the property of the Fourier transform $E^{(0)}(κ)$ to depend only on the radial component of the wave vector $κ$, which corresponds to the presence of radial symmetry in the field amplitude $E^{(0)}(ρ)$. Therefore, because the modulus of the random wave vector is quite small $(q < κ)$ in the limit of high spatial coherence, we use the expansion of the integrand (Eq. 72) in a Taylor series:

$$C_{μ(+)}(q, ρ_0, ψ) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2πρ_0}} e^{iμq - iμq_ρ + iμθ_ρ} \frac{κ}{2} E^{(0)}(κ), \quad (73)$$

where we also integrate by parts to express the answer in terms of the Fourier transform of the deterministic field amplitude $E^{(0)}(κ)$. Knowing the expression for the CR cone (Eq. 73), we can find the amplitude modulation $A$ using formula (32). Afterward, we easily obtain expression (43) for the characteristic distance $ρ_c = 2VA$, on which the input coherence degree in expression (70) depends.

Now, consider the case of low spatial coherence. The expression for the intensity of the cones (45) in this limit was obtained earlier in the text. Therefore, to find the coherence degree of the CR cones with formula (40), we only need to calculate the cross-cone correlation function. To this end, we use formula (36), assuming that $ρ = ρ_0 > 1$, and we can replace the modified Bessel function with its asymptotics, expressing the product of the different CR cones, in the following form:

$$C^{(+)}(q, ρ) * C^{(+)}(q, ρ) = \frac{-iθ τ}{2 \sqrt{|ρ - ρ_0^2|}} e^{-ρ^2 - ρ_0^2 - 2iqq_ρ - 2τρ_0^2 + τ|ρ + ρ_0^2| + τ|ρ - ρ_0^2|}, \quad (74)$$

where we assume that the radiation is unpolarized. From formula (74), it is clear that the product of the different cones has a maximum in the region $q = 1 - 2$, wherein the asymmetry parameter is $ε = q/ρ_0 < 1$. As a result, we can significantly simplify (Eq. 74), obtaining:

$$C^{(+)}(q, ρ_0, ψ) * C^{(+)}(q, ρ_0, ψ) = -i q / 2ρ_0 \exp \left[ -2q^2 \right]. \quad (75)$$

In addition, since the intensity of the cones (45) for $ρ = ρ_0$ will be equal to:
\[ f^{(\pm)}(\rho_0, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[ -2\rho_0^2 \right] I_0(2\rho_0^2) \approx 1/4\rho_0\sqrt{\pi}, \]  
(76)

the degree of coherence (Eq. 40) is transformed into the form:

\[ \alpha_{CR} = 2\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^\infty dq \, \tilde{g}(q) \, q^2 \exp \left[ -2q^2 \right]. \]  
(77)

To express the coherence degree of the CR cones in terms of input coherence degree \( g(\rho) \), we use the Hankel transform:

\[ \tilde{g}(q) = \int_0^\infty d\rho \, \rho I_0(q\rho) g(\rho), \]  
(78)

and we then substitute Eq. (78) into Eq. (77), thus obtaining Eq. (46).
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