A simple technique to obtain postprocedural antegrade angiographic control in single-access Impella-protected PCI
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1 | BACKGROUND

Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with Impella (Abiomed) support can be done using the same device’s sheath to insert both the Impella pump and the PCI guiding catheter. This “single-access” technique for protected PCI allows avoidance of multiple access sites and thereby has the potential to reduce the risk for access-related complications.1 Nevertheless, with the “classic” adoption of different access for PCI, postprocedural vessel integrity and hemostasis achievement can be checked at the time of Impella removal,2 and this may prompt timely management of vascular complications to prevent major clinical consequences.2 As a consequence, a possible drawback for single-access protected PCI is that postprocedural hemostasis and arterial integrity cannot be angiographically checked. To overcome such possible limitations, we propose the following technical solution.

2 | TECHNIQUE DESCRIPTION

We report a simple technique to be applied on top of the double preclosure technique that enables final angiographic examination of the access vessel following Impella pump removal in single-access, Impella-supported PCI.

A step-by-step description of this technique is as follows:

- Step 1: at the procedure start, two suture devices (ProGlide or ProStyle, Abbott Vascular) are implanted before the Impella sheath insertion according to the double preclosure technique.
- Step 2: at the procedure end, after Impella pump removal, a 0.35’ guidewire is inserted in the Impella sheath (Figure 1A).
- Step 3: the Impella sheath is removed and the two ProGlide’s or ProStyle’s node pushers are used to gently tighten the sutures to avoid bleeding (Figure 1B).
- Step 4: a diagnostic Judkins right (or pigtail) 4F catheter is advanced over the 0.35’ guidewire into the femoral artery, reaching the distal descending aorta (Figure 1C).
- Step 5: the 0.35’ guidewire is removed from the JR4 4F catheter and the catheter is connected to the injector (Figure 1D).
- Step 6: digital subtraction is performed to assess the integrity of the arterial axis and the absence of important blood leakage around the entry site (Figure 1E). At this stage, the recognition of vessel damages might be fixed using various endovascular techniques. In particular, iliac artery dissections might be fixed by reinserting a sheath (of appropriate size), allowing to deliver the selected devices (balloons/stents); significant residual entry site leaks might be fixed by reinserting the 0.35’ guidewire and adding an additional hemostatic device (either suture-based or plug-based). Of note, not all possible complications are expected to be fixed using the same single access: additional endovascular techniques may require other bail-out arterial accesses (see Section 3).
- Step 7: if angiographic control confirms the achievement of acceptable hemostasis and the absence of arterial damages deserving intervention, the 0.35” guidewire is inserted into the 4F catheter (to avoid its kinking), the 0.35” guidewire/4F catheter system is removed, and the ProGlide’s node pushers are used to tighten the sutures to complete hemostasis (Figure 1F).

3 | DISCUSSION

Impella-protected PCI has an emerging role in the management of patients with complex coronary artery disease not amenable for surgical myocardial revascularization. Such procedures require large-bore sheath insertion, with which vascular damage risk is not negligible. Since vascular complication risk is increased in the presence of multiple arterial accesses, the single-access technique for protected PCI is gaining popularity. When dealing with all percutaneous procedures needing large-bore devices, prompt recognition of vascular complications at the end of the procedure might help implement a series of endovascular techniques that may prevent the occurrence of catastrophic clinical consequences. Thus, angiographic confirmation of the entire iliac-femoral axis integrity is advisable in the context of any Impella-protected PCI. In the specific context of single-access Impella-protected PCI, angiography (either retrograde or antegrade with the help of a diagnostic catheter) can easily be done by the sheath before its removal, allowing prompt recognition and treatment of complications at the level of the iliac arteries. However, this technique is limited in that it is not feasible in the presence of impaired flow at the level of the sheath (in the case of small femoral artery size), or if the Impella sheath has been removed. Operators practicing Impella-protected PCI are often using femoral hemostatic devices, and among these, suture-based devices were adopted early. Thus, we refined the herein reported simple technique allowing systematic antegrade angiography of the entire iliac-femoral arterial axis to be applied on top of suture-based hemostasis. The use of diagnostic 4F catheters as compared with other selections (like 4F sheaths) allows reduction of the residual hole at the femoral entry site, and the ability to perform, with single contrast injection, an antegrade check of the entire vessel. A different technique has been recently described by Summers et al. to achieve the same goal when a plug-based hemostatic device is selected. The recognition of vascular damage should prompt tailored management using various endovascular techniques that may be practiced via either the same or alternative access. In particular, other access approaches like the contralateral femoral, the radial, or a different, recently described ipsilateral approach might be considered according to the complication type, location, and endovascular device availability.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this technique allows single-access Impella-protected PCI the potential to obtain postprocedural antegrade angiographic control. Furthermore, this technique can also be considered to check access-site integrity anytime suture-device-based hemostasis is
attempted in the presence of high-risk features (imperfect entry site, adverse vascular anatomy, etc.).
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