The PDZ-domain protein whirlin facilitates mechanosensory signaling in mammalian proprioceptors.
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Mechanoreception is an essential feature of many sensory modalities. Nevertheless, the mechanisms that govern the conversion of a mechanical force to distinct patterns of action potentials remain poorly understood. Proprioceptive mechanoreceptors reside in skeletal muscle and inform the nervous system of the position of body and limbs in space. We show here that Whirlin/Deafness autosomal recessive 31 (DFNB31), a PDZ-scaffold protein involved in vestibular and auditory hair cell transduction, is also expressed by proprioceptive sensory neurons (pSNs) in dorsal root ganglia in mice. Whirlin localizes to the peripheral sensory endings of pSNs and facilitates pSN afferent firing in response to muscle stretch. The requirement of Whirlin in both proprioceptors and hair cells suggests that accessory mechanosensory signaling molecules define common features of mechanoreceptive processing across sensory systems.

Key words: mechanoreception; muscle spindle; PDZ proteins; proprioceptors; sensory-motor control
localization of the transduction channels (Xiong et al., 2012; Poole et al., 2014). Yet, the relevance of these accessory mecha
notransduction molecules across sensory systems remains largely unexplored.

To investigate mechanoreceptive signaling in proprioceptors, we performed a molecular screen to identify genes expressed in MS and GTO proprioceptor subclasses. This DRG sensory neu
ron screen identified Whirlin/Deafness autosomal recessive 31 (DFNB31) as a proprioceptor-selective gene. Whirlin encodes a PDZ-scaffold protein implicated in sensory transduction in ves
tibular and auditory hair cells (Gillespie and Müller, 2009). Whir
lin protein localizes to pSN mechanoreceptive sensory endings, and whirlin mutant mice exhibit a reduction in stretch-evoked firing frequency as well as a decreased fidelity in response to repeated stretch. These findings reveal that Whirlin facilitates pSN stretch-evoked activity, ensuring high sensitivity to muscle stretch. They also support a view that proprioceptors and hair cells rely on similar accessory scaffold molecules for aspects of mechanosensory processing.

Materials and Methods

Animal husbandry. Animal experiments were conducted according to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Columbia University, the Welcome Trust Sanger Institute’s Ethical Review Committee, and the University of Aberdeen Code of Practice of the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body, in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 Amendment Regulations, 2012 (ASPRA) under the authority of UK Home Office licenses. Mouse strains used for experi
ments were Whlter (Holme et al., 2002), Pr.Cre and Tauoxop-STOP-loxP:mGFP-νLZ (Hippemeyer et al., 2005), and Thyllox-P-STOP-loxP:YFP (Buffelli et al., 2003). The hEGF3-WGA-mCherry transgene was generated by a fusion of the WGA and mCherry coding sequences, which was cloned into the hEGF3 promoter construct (de Nooij et al., 2013). Transgenic mice were generated by pronuclear injection. Mice heterozygous or homozygous mutant for the Whrn allele, or carrying reporter transgenes, were identified by DNA genotyping (details available upon request).

Isolation of DRG neuronal subsets through FACS. DRGs were dissected in ice-cold Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS) and dissociated by enz
zymatic digestion (Papain, Collagenase 2, Disase type II; Worthington Biochemical), followed by trituration, essentially as described previously (Malin et al., 2007). Cell suspensions were passed through 35 μm gauze filters to clear suspension from remaining cellular aggregates. Fluores-
cently labeled neuronal subsets were isolated through FACS using a FACSaria II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences; Irving Cancer Center Flow Cytometry Core) or Beckman Coulter Alpha Hypersort (BD Biosciences). Neurons were sorted at 12–13 psi using a 100 μm nozzle and collected in HBSS/1% FBS. Neuronal samples were pelleted and stored at −80°C until processed.

Affymetrix gene chip analysis. MS and GTO pSNs were obtained from dissociated DRGs of p7-p10 hEGF3-WGA-mCherry; Pr-Cre; Thyllox-P-
STOP-loxP:YFP mice of either sex using FACS (see Fig. 1). To obtain at least three cRNA samples (3–5 μg each) for both MS and GTO neo
ral subsets, neurons were pooled from multiple FACS experiments. RNA was extracted from MS and GTO neuronal subsets (Absolutely RNA mini/nanoprep kits; Agilent Technologies) and prepared for GeneChip analysis using Ovation Pico RNA amplification, and cDNA Biotin Module V2 kits (NuGen). Hybridization of fragmented biotin-labeled cRNA was per
formed by the Columbia Genome Core Facility using GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Arrays (Affymetrix). Raw data from CEL files (deposited in NCBI’s GEO database (Edgar et al., 2002); accession GSE69491) were analyzed with Partek Genome Suite 6.6 software (Partek), using GCRMA background correction. Principle component analysis of all samples indicated good correlation between MS neuronal samples but very poor correlation between GTO neuronal samples, for reasons un
known. Because of the high variability between GTO samples, we arbi
trarily set the stringency levels of the statistical threshold for all our analyses to p < 0.08. In addition, we limited the analysis to genes that were enriched in the MS neuronal subset compared with the GTO neo
rual subset, and used a cutoff of fivefold or higher. Using these param
eters, our analysis resulted in the identification of 626 differentially expressed Affymetrix probe sets. All differentially expressed probe sets were validated, to a first degree, using the Allen Spinal Cord Atlas (Allen Institute for Brain Science) to assess their abundance of expression in DRG. Transcripts that were expressed in relatively small subsets of DRG neurons in the Allen Spinal Cord Atlas (i.e., showed expression patterns that were either similar to the pan-pSN marker Runx3, or showed expres
sion in fewer numbers of neurons than Runx3; 46 in total) were selected for further analysis.

A more recent understanding of the Pv-expression pattern in DRG (de Nooij et al., 2013) suggests that the (Pv)YFP-only population includes a population of cutaneous mechanosensory neuronal sensors. Some of these may innervate sensory receptors in which the hEGF3 promoter may also be active. Therefore, both MS and GTO neuronal subsets are likely “contaminated” by subsets of cutaneous neurons, limiting the effec
tiveness of our screen. This, together with the poor chip hybridization results of the GTO neuronal samples, resulted in a relatively high pro
portion of pan-pSN markers (including Whrn) because transcripts from GTO-innervating neurons were represented at much reduced levels.

In situ hybridization, immunohistochemistry, and semi
quantitative RT-PCR. In situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry were per
formed as described previously (de Nooij et al., 2013). Primary antibod
ies used in immunohistochemistry experiments were as follows: Rb and Gp anti-Runx3 (Chen et al., 2006; Kramer et al., 2006), Gt anti-Pv (Demireva et al., 2011), Gt anti-β-galactosidase (Abcam), Shp anti-GFP (Biogenes), Gp and Rb anti-vDvl1T1 and Gt anti-Chat (Demireva et al., 2011), Rb anti-Shank1a (Betley et al., 2009), and Gp anti-Whrn (van Wijk et al., 2006). A Rb anti-Whrn antibody was generated against the same GST fusion protein as the Gp antibody, using an expression construct ob
tained from H. Kremer (Radboud University Nijmegen, The Nether
lands). The Rb anti-Whrn antibody was affinity-purified using a glutathione-agarose column coupled to the GST-Whrn fusion protein and assessed for specificity using wi/wi mutant tissue. Fluorophore-conju
 gated secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson Immu
noResearch Laboratories and Invitrogen. Images were acquired on a Zeiss Axioskop2 or Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal microscope.

For semi quantitative PCR, RNA was isolated from whole DRG or purified (FACS) fluorescently labeled Pv+ sensory neurons (Absolutely RNA mini/nanoprep kits; Agilent Technologies). Following first stand synthesis (Superscript III; Invitrogen), semi quantitative PCR analysis was performed using primers specific for Whrn-L and Whrn-S isoforms. Primer sequences were as follows: Whrn-Lf, 5′-ATTCTGGAGGTGTAATG GGC-3′; Whrn-Lr, 5′-TCAAAAGGCTTCAGGTCCTTG-3′; Whrn-Sf, 5′-GGACACTCATGCA-3′; Whrn-Sr, 5′-GATGGCCAGACCTTTGACC-3′; and Whrn-S2r, 5′-ACAGGAGTAGGAAACCTTGAGGGC-3′. Input cDNA was 2 ng, and PCRs were run for 30 cycles with an annealing temperature of 58°C.

Neuronal and muscle sensory ending counts. Neuronal cell counts were performed on serial cryostat sections (30 μm) of individual DRG, includ
ing all sections in counts. Neurons or nuclei with <40% of normal cell
ular/nuclear surface area were excluded from counts to avoid double counting of neurons at the plane of sectioning. Counts of vGlut1+ senso
ry endings were performed on whole-mount soleus muscles.

Conduction velocity and sensory-motor connectivity assays. Conduction velocity, H-reflex, and ventral root compound action potentials were recorded from p12-p13 (the latest time points at which these recordings are feasible in vitro) wild-type, and wi/wi mutant litter mates of either sex, as described previously (Shneider et al., 2009; Mentis et al., 2011). In brief, animals were anesthetized by hypothermia, decapitated, and the spinal cords were dissected and removed under cold (9°C) acSF contain
ing the following (in mM): 128.35 NaCl, 4 KCl, 0.58 NaH2PO4-H2O, 21 NaHCO3, 30 d-glucose, 1.5 CaCl2-H2O, and 1 MgSO4-7H2O. The sciatic nerve was dissected in continuity with its parent ventral and dorsal roots (L4-L5) to the hindlimb. The spinal cord-hindlimb preparation was then transferred to a customized recording chamber and perfused contin-
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ously with oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) aCSF (flow rate: ~10 ml/min, at ~22°C). The L3 dorsal and ventral roots were placed into suction electrodes for stimulation and recording, respectively, of the sensory-motor spinal reflex (see Fig. 5). A bipolar “hook” electrode was placed on the sciatic nerve for “en passant” stimulation. A bipolar concentric needle was inserted into the tibialis anterior (TA) muscle to record EMG activity. Finally, a suction electrode was placed on the L4 DRG to record extracellularly the incoming volley from activated sensory neurons following sciatic nerve stimulation. The conduction velocity of the fastest sensory afferents (i.e., proprioceptive) was calculated from the distance between the TA EMG electrode to the electrode placed in the L4-DRG divided by the latency of the first spike (peak) recorded from the L4-DRG suction electrode following stimulation of the TA muscle. The maximum amplitude of the dorsal-to-ventral root compound action potential was achieved by dorsal root stimulation at ~5× threshold. The H-reflex recorded in the TA muscle was elicited at different stimulation intensities in the sciatic nerve. H-reflex latency was measured from the onset of the stimulation artifact to the onset of the H-reflex.

Soleus muscle stretch assays. Muscle afferent stretch assays were performed essentially as described previously (Bewick et al., 2005). Wild-type and wi/wi mutant adult animals of either sex were killed by cervical dislocation, and soleus (Sol) muscles and associated tendons and muscle nerve were dissected free in oxygenated Liley’s containing the following (in mM): 138.8 NaCl, 4 KCl, 12 NaHCO₃, 1 KH₂PO₄, 1 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, and 11 glucose (Liley, 1956). Sol muscles were chosen for experiments because of their size and long distal tendon, which renders them well suited for ex vivo recordings. Muscles were mounted with the fibular insertion pinned securely to the bottom of a Sylgard (Dow Corning)-coated dish and the calcaneal tendon attached to a micromanipulator with a vernier scale (Prior Scientific). Whole-muscle nerve recordings were performed extracellularly, using glass fine-polished suction electrodes. Signals were amplified (Neurolog NL104 preamplifier) and bandpass filtered (200–2000 Hz, Neurolog NL125, both Digitimer) and recorded through a PCI-6212 interface (National Instruments) using WinWCP software (Strathclyde Electrophysiological Software, Strathclyde University).

Before recordings, resting/baseline muscle length (to measure spontaneous activity) was set with extreme care. Resting length was defined as the muscle length at which firing did not change when the muscle was allowed to shorten from that length, whereas, conversely, firing increased following the smallest detectable increase in muscle length (stretch). Typically, multiple “set lengths” were tested until reproducibility was achieved. Once set, resting length approximated the muscle length at which firing did not change when the muscle was returned to resting length (see Fig. 4A). Individual trials were spaced ~15 min apart; and in between trials, muscles were unloaded. Muscles were totally unloaded for 30 min before experiments and during drug incubations (30–40 min).

Recordings were analyzed using Clampfit10.3 data analysis software (Molecular Devices). Per trial, data for evoked-stretch responses were obtained from stretch episodes s2-s4 (see Fig. 4); data from the first stretch were omitted to exclude putative effects from the extended rest during the intertrial interval (s1 data were used for variability analysis; see Fig. 7). Data for spontaneous activity were obtained from the episodes preceding stretch episodes 2, 3, and 4. Spike counts were performed on 1 s intervals centered within stretch episodes to restrict spike counts to the static phase of the muscle stretch. Spike thresholds were set manually, generally within the 1–2× SD threshold range. The net-evoked activity of stretch episodes was calculated by subtracting the spontaneous activity spike count (of the preceding episode at resting length) from the activity spike count recorded during stretch. This net-evoked activity was averaged per trial, and the overall mean net evoked activity per muscle was averaged from the number of trials included in the analysis (generally 3).

Among stretch-responsive muscles, we distinguished between two categories: those Sol muscles that exhibited clear spontaneous activity when the muscle was held at baseline length (Category 1) and those that had no or negligible levels (~<10 imp/s) of spontaneous activity (Category 2). We find that the percentages of Category 1 (C1) and Category 2 (C2) muscle are similar for wild-type and wi/wi mutants (C1 in wt 39.1% and 34.6% in wi/wi). By comparing the net-stretch-evoked activity levels of C1 and C2 muscles for both wild-type and wi/wi Sol afferents, we find that a lack of spontaneous activity does not correlate with a reduced level of net-evoked activity (wt C1 vs C2 p = 0.312, t test; wi/wi C1 vs C2; p = 0.977, t test) (data not shown). This justifies the inclusion of both C1 and C2 Sol muscles in our analysis described in Figures 4, 6, and 7. In addition, we found that for wild-type Sol pSNs, stretch-evoked discharge activity did not correlate with age, sex, or weight of the animals (data not shown).

Statistical analysis. All statistical analysis was performed using Sigma-Plot 11.0 (Systat Software) with significance levels set at α ≤ 0.05. For calculation of the coefficient of variation (CV), spike counts per 100 ms bins of each stretch episode (∆s2-4 of all trials) were determined using MATLAB (MathWorks). The CV of an individual stretch episode was calculated by dividing the SD by the mean spike frequency of all 100 ms bins. The CV per Sol muscle was calculated as the mean CV of all stretch episodes.

Results

Identification of Whirlin as a pSN-specific molecule in DRG sensory neurons

To identify genes involved in sensory transduction in pSNs, we isolated transcripts expressed in proprioceptor subtypes. Our screen relied on a Egr3:WGA-mCherry transgenic line in which a human EGR3 promoter element directs expression of a wheat germ aglutinin-mCherry fusion protein (WGA-mCherry) in intrafusal muscle fibers and, through uptake by their sensory endings, labels Group Ia/II muscle spindle afferent cell bodies in DRG (Fig. 1A) (de Nooij et al., 2013). In the presence of an additional fluorescent reporter (YFP or GFP) driven by the Parvalbumin locus (thus labeling all pSNs), WGA-mCherry uptake by MS afferent terminals provides a way of distinguishing MS- and GTO-innervating pSNs through FACS (Fig. 1A, B). Isolated pSN populations were processed for Affymetrix-based microarray analysis. This approach yielded several candidate molecules that appeared enriched in subsets, or in all pSNs, as assessed using the Allen Spinal Cord Atlas (Allen Institute for Brain Science) (Fig. 1C). Many of these genes encode signaling molecules, only a few of which (Sema3d, Cdh13) have previously been described in association with a pSN identity (Fig. 1C) (Takahashi et al., 2009; Poliak et al., in preparation). Here we report on one of the pan-pSN transcripts, Whirlin (Whrn)/Deafness autosomal recessive 31 (DFNB31). Whrn encodes a PDZ-scaffold protein required for the function of retinal photoreceptors, as well as vestibular and cochlear hair cells (Mburu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2010) and which, by virtue of its localization at stereocilia tip links, has been implicated in mechanotransduction (Gillespie and Muller, 2009).

The requirement of Whrn in hair cells and photoreceptors raised the question of its role in sensory signaling in proprioceptors. We analyzed the developmental pattern of Whrn expression in pSNs, as well as its subcellular localization. Expression of Whrn transcript in DRG was first observed at embryonic day (e) 15.5 (data not shown), and by postnatal day (p) 0, we found that 77 ± 2% of Whrn+ neurons coexpressed the runt-transcription factor Runx3 (Rx3) and Parvalbumin (Pv), markers that define proprioceptive neuronal identity (Fig. 2A, C) (de Nooij et al., 2013). Conversely, 94 ± 2% of pSNs expressed Whrn protein (Fig. 2E), indicating that Whrn expression at early developmental stages is largely confined to proprioceptive sensory neurons. During the first postnatal week, the level of Whrn expression in sensory neurons increased and remained at high levels throughout adult life.
(Figs. 2B and 3A). Although Whrn was originally selected on the basis of its enriched expression in MS-innervating pSNs, at these later developmental stages (≥p8), Whrn was expressed in 95 ± 1% of pSNs, indicating that it is expressed in both Group Ia/II MS afferents and Group Ib GTO afferents (Fig. 2E). More strikingly, Whrn protein appeared to be confined to pSNs (Fig. 2G,D). Thus, in contrast to other documented markers for pSNs, Rx3, Pv, TrkC, and Etv1, which are shared with subsets of cutaneous sensory neurons (TrkC, and Etv1, which are shared with subsets of cutaneous sensory neurons (resulting in YFP expression of some classes of cutaneous sensory neurons), Whrn appears selectively to label MS-innervating afferents by virtue of their accumulation of WGA-mCherry. The hEGR3 promoter also activates expression of WGA-mCherry in the end organs of some classes of cutaneous sensory neurons (resulting in YFP expression of MS-innervating pSNs, which show expression patterns in DRG that are similar to the pattern of the pan-pSN marker Runx3 or that are expressed in smaller neuronal subsets.

The restricted expression of Whrn in pSNs and its localization to the intrafusal muscle fibers selectively labels MS-innervating afferents by virtue of their accumulation of WGA-mCherry. The hEGR3 promoter also activates expression of WGA-mCherry in the end organs of some classes of cutaneous sensory neurons (resulting in YFP expression of MS-innervating pSNs, which show expression patterns in DRG that are similar to the pattern of the pan-pSN marker Runx3 or that are expressed in smaller neuronal subsets.

The selected transcripts listed exhibited the more abundant expression levels when assessed through the Allen Spinal Cord Atlas (Allen Institute for Brain Science) or through in situ hybridization analysis. Expression of Runx3 and Whrn in p0 DRG. Whrn, one of the candidate pSN markers identified, resembles the Runx3 expression pattern in wild-type DRG (indicative of expression in all or subsets of pSNs) but is absent in Runx3−/− mutant DRG.

To determine which Whrn isoforms are expressed by pSNs, we performed a semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis using primer sequences specific for either the Whrn-L or Whrn-S isoform. Whrn-L, but not Whrn-S, was detected in whole DRG or purified Py− DRG sensory neurons (Fig. 2F). Thus, in contrast to hair cells, but as with photoreceptors, pSNs appear to express exclusively the long Whrn isoform.

We also examined the subcellular distribution of Whrn in pSNs. We detected Whrn protein in MS and GTO peripheral sensory endings (Fig. 2G; and data not shown) but did not detect expression of Whrn transcript in MS intrafusal muscle fibers or associated support cells (data not shown). This suggests that, in the MS, Whrn expression is concentrated in pSN terminals. Within the spinal cord, we failed to detect Whrn protein in proprioceptor vGluT1− synaptic terminals on spinal motor neurons (Fig. 2H), although low levels of Whrn were detected in spinal neurons. The apparent absence of Whrn in pSN central terminals may reflect a difference in the subcellular stabilization and/or translocation of Whrn protein between peripheral and central pSN axonal branches. The restricted expression of Whrn in pSNs and its localization to the peripheral sensory terminals prompted us to analyze the functional role of Whrn in proprioceptor mechanosensory signaling.

Whirlin is not required for the development or maintenance of proprioceptors

To determine whether Whrn is required for the development or maintenance of proprioceptors, we assessed pSN morphology in homozygous whirlers (Whrn−/−, abbreviated to wi) mutant mice. wi/wi mutants harbor a deletion of amino acids 434–631 of the Whrn protein between peripheral and central pSN axonal branches. The restricted expression of Whrn in pSNs and its localization to the peripheral sensory terminals prompted us to analyze the functional role of Whrn in proprioceptor mechanosensory signaling.
Whrn-L isoform, which introduces a premature stop codon that truncates Whrn-L after the two N-terminal PDZ-domains and disrupts expression of Whrn-S (Fig. 2F) (Mburu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2010). In these wi/wi mutant mice, both cochlear and vestibular hair cells eventually degenerate, associated with profound vestibular-motor dysfunction (Holme et al., 2002).

We found that pSNs are present in normal numbers in adult wi/wi mutants (Fig. 3A, B). Moreover, in wi/wi mutants, pSNs give rise to intraspinal axonal projections and synaptic terminals that are anatomically indistinguishable from their wild-type littersmates (Fig. 3C, D). Likewise, we observed no overt differences in the morphology or in the number of MS or GTO peripheral sensory endings in wi/wi mutants (Fig. 3E–G). The absence of overt developmental abnormalities in wi/wi mutant pSNs argues against a major role for Whrn in pSN maintenance.

Muscle spindle stretch-evoked impulse activity is reduced in wi/wi mutant mice

To assess whether Whrn functions in pSN mechanosensory signaling, we examined spontaneous and stretch-evoked discharge activity...
We measured pSN afferent firing frequencies using extracellular recordings from soleus (Sol) muscle nerve, during both muscle stretch and at rest (Bewick et al., 2005). Individual Sol muscles were subjected to three stretch trials (t1-t3), which were spaced ~15 min apart. Each trial consisted of four consecutive stretch episodes (s1-s4; 1 mm, ~5 s each) separated by ~5 s intervals (r2-r4, with r1 preceding the first stretch) during which muscles were kept at resting length (Fig. 4A) (for details, see Materials and Methods). In all trials, Sol muscle nerve firing frequencies were recorded during stretch episodes s1-s4 and during the preceding rest intervals (r1-r4). For the following analysis, we omitted the data obtained from stretch episode s1 because of the extended rest-period preceding each trial.

We observed no differences in spontaneous discharge activity (SA) between wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant Sol pSNs (mean $\text{SA}_{r2-r4}$ for wt 12 ± 3 imp/s, for \textit{wi/wi} 9 ± 3 imp/s; $p = 0.319$, Mann–Whitney rank sum test) (Fig. 4B). In contrast, stretch-evoked responses recorded from Sol pSNs differed markedly between wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant animals. Net-evoked discharge activity (NEA; evoked activity minus the SA of the preceding interval at resting length) in \textit{wi/wi} mutant Sol pSNs was reduced by 50% compared with wild-type (mean $\text{NEA}_{s2-s4}$ for wt 103 ± 9 imp/s, for \textit{wi/wi} 52 ± 7 imp/s; $p < 0.001$, Mann–Whitney rank sum test) (Fig. 4C). Heterozygote +/\textit{wi} animals showed a reduction of 25% in NEA compared with wild-type (mean $\text{NEA}_{s2-s4}$ for +/\textit{wi} 77 ± 13 imp/s; $p = 0.019; \text{Mann–Whitney rank sum test}$) (Fig. 4C), suggesting that the impairment in the afferent stretch response correlates with the level of Whrn protein. Thus, Whrn expression facilitates stretch-evoked discharge activity in Sol muscle nerve.

The reduction in stretch-evoked pSN firing in \textit{wi/wi} mutants could result from a specific perturbation at the MS sensory ending or a general reduction in pSN excitability.

Figure 3. Proprioceptors are preserved in \textit{wi/wi} mutant mice. \textbf{A}, Expression of Rx3 and Whrn in DRG of adult (>6 months) wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant mice. \textbf{B}, Numbers of Rx3$^+$ neurons in adult L2 DRG in wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutants are similar ($p = 0.389$, t test). \textbf{C}, pSN axon collaterals as visualized by expression of Pv in p15 wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant mice. \textbf{D}, vGluT1$^+$ synaptic terminals oppose Shank1a postsynaptic densities in p15 wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant muscle. \textbf{E}, vGluT1$^+$ synaptic terminals oppose Shank1a postsynaptic densities in adult wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant pSNs. We measured pSN afferent firing frequencies using extracellular recordings from soleus (Sol) muscle nerve. Wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} pSNs were subjected to three stretch trials (t1-t3), which were spaced ~15 min apart. Each trial consisted of four consecutive stretch episodes (s1-s4; 1 mm, ~5 s each) separated by ~5 s intervals (r2-r4, with r1 preceding the first stretch) during which muscles were kept at resting length (Fig. 4A) (for details, see Materials and Methods). In all trials, Sol muscle nerve firing frequencies were recorded during stretch episodes s1-s4 and during the preceding rest intervals (r1-r4). For the following analysis, we omitted the data obtained from stretch episode s1 because of the extended rest-period preceding each trial.

We observed no differences in spontaneous discharge activity (SA) between wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant Sol pSNs (mean $\text{SA}_{r2-r4}$ ± SEM for wt 12 ± 3 imp/s, for \textit{wi/wi} 9 ± 3 imp/s; $p = 0.319$, Mann–Whitney rank sum test) (Fig. 4B). In contrast, stretch-evoked responses recorded from Sol pSNs differed markedly between wild-type and \textit{wi/wi} mutant animals. Net-evoked discharge activity (NEA; evoked activity minus the SA of the preceding interval at resting length) in \textit{wi/wi} mutant Sol pSNs was reduced by 50% compared with wild-type (mean $\text{NEA}_{s2-s4}$ ± SEM for wt 103 ± 9 imp/s, for \textit{wi/wi} 52 ± 7 imp/s; $p < 0.001$, Mann–Whitney rank sum test) (Fig. 4C). Heterozygote +/\textit{wi} animals showed a reduction of 25% in NEA compared with wild-type (mean $\text{NEA}_{s2-s4}$ ± SEM for +/\textit{wi} 77 ± 13 imp/s; $p = 0.019; \text{Mann–Whitney rank sum test}$) (Fig. 4C), suggesting that the impairment in the afferent stretch response correlates with the level of Whrn protein. Thus, Whrn expression facilitates stretch-evoked discharge activity in Sol muscle nerve.

The reduction in stretch-evoked pSN firing in \textit{wi/wi} mutants could result from a specific perturbation at the MS sensory ending or a general reduction in pSN excitabil-
To address this issue, we asked whether Whrn regulates pSN action potential conductance or sensory–motor synaptic connectivity in an isolated spinal cord–hindlimb preparation (Fig. 5A) (Shneider et al., 2009; Mentis et al., 2011). We found that, at p12–13, pSNs in wild-type and wi/wi mutant mice had similar conduction velocities (mean ± SEM 4.7 ± 0.5 ms for wt and 4.0 ± 0.5 ms for wi/wi, p = 0.2, Mann–Whitney rank sum test) (Fig. 5B, C). Likewise, when we examined motor neuron compound action potentials following stimulation of L3 dorsal roots, we observed no differences in either the latency or amplitude of the evoked motor neuron responses between wild-type and wi/wi mutants (Fig. 5D–F). Last, we measured the pSN-induced H-reflex, which assesses the entire MS-afferent monosynaptic stretch–reflex circuit (Fig. 5G) but again detected no difference in the H–reflex latency between wild-type and wi/wi mutants (Fig. 5H, I).

Thus, the absence of Whrn protein does not impair pSN action potential propagation, or sensory–motor synaptic transmission. These findings support the idea that Whrn is required for mechanosensitive signaling in peripheral pSN endings.

**Whrn is not required for the glutamate-mediated sensitization of MS sensory endings**

We also explored how Whrn might contribute to proprioceptor firing frequency, examining whether Whrn serves a role in the glutamate-mediated sensitization of pSN sensory endings. Glutamate released from sensory terminals is thought to act through atypical phospholipase-D-coupled glutamate receptors to augment MS-afferent sensitivity to stretch (Fig. 6A, B) (Bewick et al., 2005). We therefore determined whether the reduced stretch-evoked activity in wi/wi mutants is a consequence of impairments in this glutamate-mediated sensitization of pSN sensory endings. To test this, we assessed the ability of exogenously provided glutamate to augment afferent discharge frequency in wild-type and wi/wi mutant mice. For wild-type Sol pSNs, we found that glutamate (10 nM, 100 nM, or 1 μM) did not increase spontaneous discharge activity (Fig. 6C). However, glutamate resulted in significant increases in stretch-evoked activity, on average reaching an increase of 53 ± 18% of baseline levels at 1 μM glutamate in wild-type pSNs (Fig. 6B, D, E), consistent with previous findings (Bewick et al., 2005).

The ability of glutamate to increase afferent excitability was preserved in wi/wi mutant pSNs (Fig. 6B, D, E). In the presence of glutamate, mutant Sol pSNs exhibited a dose-dependent increase in afferent firing (Fig. 6D). At each concentration, the glutamate-mediated increase in stretch-evoked firing in wi/wi Sol afferents was proportionally similar to that observed for wild-type Sol afferents (Fig. 6E). Although glutamate could offset the reduced stretch-evoked firing in wi/wi mutant Sol afferents, sensory discharge frequencies remained below those observed in wild-type (Fig. 6D). Based on these results, we infer that wi/wi mutant pSNs remain equally responsive to the activity of exogenously provided glutamate. This finding suggests that glutamate and Whrn operate through independent pathways to regulate afferent discharge levels in response to muscle stretch.

**Whrn is required for the fidelity of pSN firing in response to repeated stretch**

To test whether Whrn controls the fidelity of pSN firing, we assessed the variability and constancy of Sol afferent firing rates in wild-type and wi/wi mutant mice. We compared the variability of wild-type and wi/wi mutant Sol pSN firing rates during stretch and calculated the coefficient of variation (CV) across 100 ms bins of each stretch episode (Fig. 7A). For wild-type mice, the CV was 0.16 ± 0.001 (Fig. 7B), but for wi/wi mutants the variability in firing rates was much larger, with a CV of 0.24 ± 0.02 (p < 0.001, Mann–Whitney rank sum test) (Fig. 7B). Thus, during stretch, the responses of wi/wi mutant Sol pSNs show considerably more variability in their sustained firing level.

We next examined the constancy in afferent firing across the trials (separated by ~15 min of rest) to which wild-type and wi/wi mutant Sol muscles were subjected (Fig. 7A). However, when we compared the mean afferent firing rates of trial 1 and trial 3, we observed no intertrial difference for either wild-type or wi/wi mutants (wt t1 mean NEA 52 ± 44 vs t3 mean NEA 52 ± 44 p = 0.81, wi/wi t1 mean NEA 42 ± 44 vs t3 mean NEA 42 ± 44 p = 0.83; Wilcoxon signed rank test) (Fig. 7C). We also assessed the intratrial afferent firing rates, comparing stretch episodes 2 and 4 of each trial and found that wild-type and wi/wi mutant pSNs showed a similar
However, when we included the first stretch episode of each trial in our analysis (s1; which follows after an extended rest period), we find a much larger intratrial difference in *wi/wi* mutants, but not in wild type. For wild-type Sol pSNs, the rate of afferent firing in s1 was similar to the mean firing rate of s2-s4 (*p* = 0.77, paired *t* test) (Fig. 7E, F). Instead, for *wi/wi* mutant Sol pSNs, we measured a 12 ± 3% decline in afferent firing when comparing s1 and s2-s4 (*p* = 0.003, Wilcoxon signed rank test) (Fig. 7E, F). We observed a similar trend when comparing s1 to stretch episodes s2, s3, and s4 individually (for wt: Friedman repeated measures ANOVA on ranks, *p* = 0.013; post hoc Tukey test with *p* < 0.05 only for s2 vs s4; for *wi/wi*: Friedman repeated measures ANOVA on ranks, *p* <
Mechanical stretch to achieve appropriate levels of afferent firing. In mice, and support the idea that Whrn facilitates the coding of evoked responses are diminished and irregular in a reduced fidelity in their response to repeated stimulation.

Mechanical force activates sensory nerve terminals by opening mechanosensitive transduction channels, eliciting receptor-generated potentials, and initiating action potentials. Efforts to elucidate the basis of these sensory processes have identified several candidate transduction channel molecules but have yielded little evidence that the glutamate-dependent sensitization pathway does not depend on Whrn, and suggests that the two pathways operate in parallel to regulate pSN firing. Thus, essential components of the transduction machinery appear to be active in wild-type (blue) and wi/wi mutant (red) Sol muscles in the presence of increasing amounts of glutamate. In both wild-type and wi/wi mutants, glutamate results in an increased afferent firing rate (mean NEA ± SEM without glut, 10 nM glut, 100 nM glut, 1 μM glut) for wt: 106.0 ± 12.4 imp/s, 123.5 ± 20 imp/s, 130.6 ± 15.3 imp/s, 147.7 ± 17.9 imp/s; for wt/wi: 64.4 ± 13.1 imp/s, 83.6 ± 14.5 imp/s, 99.0 ± 17.3 imp/s, 102.6 ± 21.1 imp/s; wt without glut vs 100 nM, p = 0.026; wt without glut vs 1 μM, p = 0.034; wt/wi without glut vs 100 nM, p = 0.009; wt/wi without glut vs 1 μM, p = 0.045, paired t test). E, Percentage increase in pSN firing in the presence of glutamate, for wild-type (blue) and wi/wi mutant (red) Sol pSNs. Increases in pSN firing are proportionally similar between wild-type and wi/wi mutants (wt vs wi/wi in 10 nM glut, p = 0.39; in 100 nM glut, p = 0.69; in 1 μM glut, p = 0.91; Mann–Whitney rank sum test). C–E, Error bars indicate SEM. Number of Sol muscles analyzed is indicated in parentheses. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, n.s., Not significant.

Whirlin function in mechanosensory coding
Proprioceptors exhibit a marked reduction in stretch-evoked firing in wi/wi mutants and show a reduced fidelity in response to repeated stretch stimuli. Nevertheless, stretch-evoked discharge activity in wi/wi mutant pSNs is not completely lost. We also find that wi/wi mutant pSNs retained their sensitivity to glutamate to an extent similar to that observed for wild-type pSNs. This implies that the glutamate-dependent sensitization pathway does not depend on Whrn, and suggests that the two pathways operate in parallel to regulate pSN firing. Thus, essential components of the transduction machinery appear to be active in wi/wi mutants, but mechanical stimuli are inefficiently translated into action potentials. The reduction in stretch-evoked impulse activity in wi/wi mutant mice appears not to be the consequence of a loss or overt morphological abnormalities in the sensory terminals of these afferents. Nor did we observe a decrease in their axonal conduction velocity. This suggests that Whrn functions in the sensory coding process: between the moment of mechanical stimulation and the initiation of action potentials within the stretch-sensitive afferent terminal. pSN afferent spike frequency is directly pro-
proportional to the receptor generator potential (Hunt and Ottoson, 1975), which in turn is influenced by the number and type of transduction channels expressed, by their resting potential, and by their adaptive properties. It is unclear whether Whrn contributes to the regulation of one or more of these functional features. PDZ-scaffold proteins recruit macromolecular complexes to specific subcellular localizations (Kim and Sheng, 2004). As such, Whrn could localize the mechanosensitive transduction channel to sensory terminals or could be necessary for the recruitment or clustering of auxiliary transduction channel proteins that regulate channel gating or conductance (Goodman et al., 2002; Jepson et al., 2012; Lapatina et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2012). Alternatively, Whrn could facilitate the stretch-induced response by organizing cytoskeletal components that regulate the membrane tension of proprioceptor sensory endings (Delprat et al., 2005; Prost et al., 2007).

What are the implications of reduced pSN activity for sensory-motor control? MS afferents signal muscle length through their firing rate (Houk et al., 1966; Hunt and Ottoson, 1975). This implies that the reduced pSN firing in response to an increase in muscle length in wi/wi mutant mice may skew the relationship between mechanical input and sensory output. However, it is possible that in mice raised with reduced afferent spike activity, coding of muscle length will adjust centrally according to this lower pSN spike frequency. The lack in constancy in pSN output in response to repetitive stimulation in Whrn mutants could cause a more debilitating effect on motor control, however. In particular, during corrective reflex responses that bypass central control, mismatches between the level of spindle activation and their sensory output could result in a reduced ability to generate the appropriate corrective motor response. The overt vestibular dysfunction in wi/wi mutant mice precluded an assessment of any sensory-motor behavioral phenotypes (Holme et al., 2002; Mburu et al., 2003). Assessing how the loss of Whrn affects sensory-motor behavior will likely require selective and acute elimination of Whrn protein from pSNs.

The selectivity of Whirlin expression in proprioceptive muscle afferents

The selectivity of Whrn expression in proprioceptive sensory neurons suggests that the protein confers a specific feature of the mechanosensory signaling mechanism. DRG contain numerous classes of large-caliber low-threshold cutaneous mechanoreceptive neurons, each with distinct activation and adaptation properties and specialized to convey a different aspect of discriminative touch (Johnson, 2001; Abraira and Ginty, 2013). Pacinian and Meissner afferents are both rapidly adapting but differ in their frequency selectivity (Talbot et al., 1968; Johnson, 2001). In contrast, Merkel-cell afferents, like proprioceptors, are slowly adapting (Hunt and Ottoson, 1975; Wellnitz et al., 2010). Whirlin is not expressed in these other classes of somatic mechanoreceptors, suggesting that distinct mechanoreceptor subclasses engage dif-
Whrn expression marks all three classes of pSNs: Group Ia and Group II MS, as well as Group Ib GTO, afferents. This observation suggests that these three proprioceptive subclasses rely on mechanosensory-encoding mechanisms that are similar, despite the distinct morphological features of their sensory receptive endings (MS and GTO) and their individual physiological properties (Hunt and Ottoson, 1975; Fukami and Wilkinson, 1977; Jami, 1992; Banks et al., 2009). Consistent with this idea, we find that Whrn is required to regulate pSN discharge activity in response to static muscle stretch, indicating a role for Whrn in both classes of MS afferents. It is unclear whether GTO afferents also depend on Whrn to enhance their responses to muscle contraction.

**Common and divergent effectors in mechanosensory processing**

The loss of Whrn does not result in developmental abnormalities or in the loss of proprioceptors but selectively alters their mechanosensory response to stretch. This contrasts with Whrn mutant hair cells and photoreceptors, which exhibit morphological abnormalities and degenerate (Holme et al., 2002; Maerker et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010). The discrepancy in Whrn dependency could have its basis in the various different Whrn protein-interaction domains (Fig. 2F), which appear to support different cellular activities in different tissues (Mburu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2010). Photoreceptors critically depend on the N-terminal PDZ-domains of the full-length Whrn protein, whereas the C-terminal proline-rich and PDZ domain appears dispensable for retinal function. In contrast, whereas the C-terminal domains are essential for cochlear and vestibular hair cell development and function, mutations in the N-terminal PDZ-domains only affect the morphology of outer hair cells in the cochlea (Yang et al., 2010). Consequently, different Whrn N- or C-terminal isoforms containing only one or two of the functional domains can, depending on the tissue, partially compensate for the loss of the full-length Whrn protein (Mburu et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2010).

Thus, although we were not able to detect the expression of the dominant short C-terminal Whrn isoform, the maintenance of proprioceptors in Whrn<sup>+/−</sup> mutants could reflect the residual activity of the truncated N-terminal region or the existence of a short N-terminal isoform (Mburu et al., 2003). However, no apparent behavioral defects were observed in Whrn mutant mice lacking the N-terminal PDZ domains of the Whrn protein (Whrn<sup>−/−</sup>; Yang et al., 2010). Thus, although the pSN response to stretch in these mutants may be similarly compromised as in Whrn<sup>+/−</sup> mutants, the absence of overt motor defects suggests that proprioceptors are most likely preserved in these mutants.

The difference in the requirement for the Whrn-protein in hair cells, photoreceptors, and proprioceptors alternatively could reflect that the various biological functions of Whrn are only partially shared across these tissues. In support of this idea, in preliminary expression studies in proprioceptors, we failed to detect expression of many key Whrn-associated molecules that previously were identified in hair cells and photoreceptors, including Usherin, Very Large G-protein-coupled Receptor 1 (VLRG1), SANS, and Myosin 15a (Krement et al., 2006) (J.C.d.N. and T.M.I., unpublished results). Known Whrn partners that we did observe in our proprioceptor-enriched population were Myosin 7a, NGL1 (independently identified in our pSN screen; Fig 1C), Cask, and Mpp1/p55 (J.C.d.N. and T.M.I., unpublished results). These data begin to suggest that the Whrn macro-molecular complex involved in mechanosensory signaling in pSNs, and possibly hair cells, may constitute a different molecular complex serving a separate biological activity.

The activity of Whrn in MS afferents and hair cells raises the issue of the parallels between proprioceptor and hair cell mechanoreceptive signaling. MS afferents lack a dedicated transduction cell, but the response properties of the two sensory systems share several features. Both sensory receptor classes exhibit dynamic (rapidly adapting) responses at the onset of stimulus activation (muscle stretch/hair cell stereocilia deflection) (Hunt and Ottoson, 1975; Kennedy et al., 2003). MS afferents also exhibit slow adaptation kinetics during static activity, maintaining firing in the presence of a continued stimulus, a feature that is observed in hair cells (Hunt and Ottoson, 1975; Gillespie and Corey, 1997; Fettiplace et al., 2001). And both sensory systems exhibit tonic activity, implying that the resting membrane potential is partly depolarized in the absence of mechanical stimulation and so contributes to their extremely rapid activation kinetics (Matthews, 1972; Safieddine et al., 2012). Whether these response properties rely on a common, and perhaps, a Whrn-directed, mechanism awaits a more thorough understanding of the molecular characteristics that define proprioceptor sensory processing.
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