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Abstract
Aim: To profile the full range of visual disorders from a large prospective observation study of stroke survivors referred by stroke multidisciplinary teams to orthoptic services with suspected visual problems.

Methods: Multicenter prospective study undertaken in 20 acute Trust hospitals. Standardized screening/referral forms and investigation forms documented data on referral signs and symptoms plus type and extent of visual impairment.

Results: Of 1,345 patients referred with suspected visual impairment, 915 were recruited (59% men; mean age at stroke onset 69 years [SD 14]). Initial visual assessment was at median 22 days post stroke onset. Eight percent had normal visual assessment. Of 92% with confirmed visual impairment, 24% had reduced central visual acuity <0.3 logMAR and 13.5% <0.5 logMAR. Acquired strabismus was noted in 16% and acquired ocular motility disorders in 68%. Peripheral visual field loss was present in 52%, most commonly homonymous hemianopia. Fifteen percent had visual inattention and 4.6% had other visual perceptual disorders. Overall 84% were visually symptomatic with visual field loss the most common complaint followed by blurred vision, reading difficulty, and diplopia. Treatment options were provided to all with confirmed visual impairment. Targeted advice was most commonly provided along with refraction, prisms, and occlusion.

Conclusions: There are a wide range of visual disorders that occur following stroke and, frequently, with visual symptoms. There are equally a wide variety of treatment options available for these individuals. All stroke survivors require screening for visual impairment and warrant referral for specialist assessment and targeted treatment specific to the type of visual impairment.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Poststroke visual impairment occurs frequently with an estimated prevalence of 65% in an acute stroke population (Hepworth et al., 2015). Visual impairment in this population can be broadly categorized into four impaired visual functions of: reduced central vision, peripheral visual field loss, eye movement disorders, and visual perceptual disorders (Jones & Shinton, 2006). Each category also comprises a range of visual deficits specific to that visual function.

*The members of the VIS writing Group are listed in Appendix.
Many visual impairments cause visual symptoms with stroke survivors aware of blurred/altered vision or jumbled/double images. These visual symptoms cause impact to general function and to daily life (Hepworth & Rowe, 2016). However, a wide variety of interventions are available to aid and/or ameliorate these symptoms (Pollock et al., 2011a, 2011b, 2012).

The purpose of this study was to profile the full range of visual disorders from a large, prospective, observation cohort study of stroke survivors with suspected visual impairment, referred by stroke multidisciplinary teams to orthoptic services.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study design and population

This prospective multicenter observational case cohort study comprised local orthoptic principal investigators from 20 UK hospital trusts responsible for assessing stroke patients and collecting patient data. Orthoptic services for stroke units in the UK provide comprehensive visual assessment at the bedside and typically initiate management options at this acute stage. Review visits continue on the stroke unit as needed and, subsequently, in out-patient eye clinics.

The data were collated centrally at the University of Liverpool. The study had multicenter ethical approval via the National Research Ethics Service (O6/Q0904/5) and was undertaken in accordance with the Tenets of Helsinki.

The target population was stroke patients suspected of having a visual difficulty. Referrals could be made from in-patient wards, rehabilitation units, community services, or out-patient clinics. Patients were given an information sheet and recruited after informed, written consent. Patients were excluded if they were unable to consent due to cognitive impairment, unwilling to consent, if their diagnosis was that of transient ischemic attack or if they were discharged without vision assessment.

2.2 | Measures

Patients with suspected visual difficulty were identified using a screening form (Figure 1: SPSS: RRID: SCR_002865). Subsequently this was used as the referral form to the Orthoptic service. A standardized investigation sheet was used for the eye assessment consisting of identification of known preexistent ocular pathology, symptoms and signs, investigation of visual field, ocular motility, and perceptual aspects (Rowe, 2011). Visual fields were assessed qualitatively by traditional confrontation methods or quantitatively by Humphrey (Humphrey systems, Dublin, CA, USA) automated central and/or peripheral static perimetry or Goldmann/Octopus (Haag Streit Int, Switzerland) kinetic perimetry.

Visual acuity was assessed uniciarly at near and distance fixation with Snellen or logMAR acuity tests. Low visual acuity was considered in two categories. The first defined low visual acuity as less than best corrected 6/12 Snellen acuity or 0.3 logMAR in accordance with UK driving standards. The second defined low visual acuity as less than 6/18 Snellen acuity or 0.5 logMAR and equal or better than 3/60 Snellen acuity as per World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines.

Assessment of ocular alignment and motility consisted of cover test, evaluation of saccadic, smooth pursuit and vergence eye movements, retinal correspondence (Bagolini glasses), fusional vergence (2D or fusional range), stereopsis (Frisby near test), prism cover test, and lid and pupil function.

Perceptual deficits were recorded after questioning of the patient and/or carers and relatives. Inattention was assessed by means of a combination of assessments including line bisection, Albert’s test, cancellation tests, and memory tests using verbal description and drawing. Alexia was diagnosed where patients described an inability to read (despite being able to see the text) because of being unable to decipher the words or their meaning or being unable to make sense of the text.

Quality of life was undertaken using the Activities of Daily Living Dependent on Vision (ADLDV) questionnaire. This consists of 22 questions related to vision including visual recognition, personal care and hygiene, mobility, and reading. It uses a Likert scale of 1–4 indicating the individual cannot see to do through to having no difficulty. A full “normal” score is 88.

Stroke details were recorded from patient notes accounting for stroke laterality, type, and area involved. Ocular treatment details were recorded along with outcome. Reasons for nonattendance at review appointments included death, a move out of area, lost to follow-up, follow-up unwanted, or unknown.

2.3 | Data analysis

Results were inputted to the statistical package SPSS version 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics, USA). Pearson chi squared test ($x^2$) was undertaken to analyze cross tabulations of results for visual field loss and outcome of follow-up versus factors such as age, presence of other visual impairment, laterality, and area of stroke and recovery. A t test was used to analyze differences between similar measurements with normal distributions, for example, strabismus.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | General demographics

One thousand three hundred and forty-five patients were referred for visual assessment for this study. All were suspected of having visual problems. Nine hundred and fifteen patients were recruited and 430 patients were excluded. Reasons for exclusion included inability/unwilling to provide informed, written consent as required of the ethical approval for this study ($n = 259$), patients were discharged prior to receiving visual assessment ($n = 52$), diagnosis was changed to transient ischemic attack or other pathology ($n = 54$), patients died prior to visual assessment ($n = 26$), or patients failed to attend for visual assessment ($n = 4$). It was not possible to obtain full visual information on these excluded patients.
Of 915 patients recruited, 59% (n = 540) were men and 41% (n = 375) women. Mean age at onset of stroke was 69 years (range 1–94: SD 14 years). One patient was aged 1 year and the range thereafter was 19–94 years. The median age at onset of stroke was 71 years.

Median duration from onset of stroke to initial baseline eye examination was 22 days (0–2543 days), the mean of 40.84 (SD 141.28) days being skewed by three outliers who were referred a number of years after the stroke onset. Stroke lesion was right sided in 448 patients (49%, i.e., right sided brain), left sided in 348 (38%), and bilateral in 119 (13%). Infarcts accounted for 773 cases (84.5%) with the remainder due to hemorrhage.

Overall, 8% (n = 72) had normal visual assessment. Of those formally diagnosed with visual impairment, 45.5% (n = 415) had solely one form of visual impairment: 17% (n = 155) had visual field loss, 20% (n = 181) had eye movement abnormalities, 2% (n = 17) had visual perceptual difficulties, and 7% (n = 62) had low central vision—whereas 46.5% (n = 423) had multiple visual impairments.

3.2 | Central vision

Median visual acuity for each eye was 0.2 logMAR with a mean of 0.26 (SD 0.39, range –0.2–2.5). About 32% (n = 296) had visual acuity of 0.0 logMAR (6/6 Snellen equivalent) or better, 76% had visual acuity of 0.3 logMAR (6/12 Snellen) or better (n = 698), and 86.5% had visual acuity of 0.5 logMAR (6/18 Snellen) or better (n = 792). Three quarters of the cohort required glasses (75.6%, n = 692), 5% had pre-existent strabismus and/or amblyopia and 27.4% had coexistent ocular pathology; typically glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration, cataract, and diabetic retinopathy (Table 1).
3.3 | Ocular alignment and movement

Manifest strabismus was noted in 18.5% (n = 169) patients of which 3% was long-standing prior to the stroke onset (Table 2A). Acquired constant exotropia occurred most frequently, \( p = .001 \).

Ocular motility abnormalities were documented in 68% (n = 622) patients (Table 2B); most frequently saccadic dysmetria (30.4%, \( n = 278 \)), gaze defects (22.6%, \( n = 207 \)), and cranial nerve palsies (9.7%, \( n = 89 \)). A variety of nystagmus types were recorded in 12.2% (n = 112) with the most common type being pathological end-point nystagmus (Table 2C).

Normal convergence near point of 6 cm was reported in nearly 39% of patients (n = 354). Reduced near point of convergence less than 8 cm was recorded in nearly 38% (n = 345) and for less than 10 cm in 26.7% (n = 235).

3.4 | Lid and pupil function

Normal lid function was evident in 85.9% (n = 786). The remainder had unilateral or bilateral ptosis or lid retraction, with unilateral ptosis being most common (Table 3A). Normal pupil function was evident in
Relative afferent pupillary defect and anisocoria were the most common forms.

3.5 | Visual field loss and visual perception

Over half (52.3%, n = 479) of patients had visual field loss (Table 4). The most common type of visual field loss was found to be complete (n = 259) and partial (n = 79) homonymous hemianopia and occurring significantly more frequently to the left side than to the right side or bilaterally, \( p = .001 \) (t test). Other types included superior or inferior quadrantanopia (n = 73), constricted visual fields (n = 44), scotomas (n = 5), temporal crescent defect (n = 1), and bilateral hemianopia (cortical blindness: n = 1).

3.6 | Symptoms

Visual symptoms (Table 5) were reported by 84% of patients (n = 766) either as a sole symptom (56%) or combined as two or more visual symptoms (28%). Visual field loss was the most common symptom (45.6%) followed by blurred vision (31.2%), reading difficulty (19.6%), and diplopia (17.3%). Visual field loss was typically reported in the presence of visual field loss. Diplopia was typical for ocular alignment and/or motility disorders, whereas blurred vision and reading difficulty were nonspecific symptoms of various ocular diagnoses. Fifty patients had a normal visual examination despite having reported visual symptoms.

3.7 | Management

Treatment options were offered to all patients with visual impairment (92%; Table 6). Referral for new or updated refraction was most commonly provided (29.3%, n = 247) followed by prisms (12%, n = 101), occlusion (7.8%, n = 66), typoscopes (8.9%, n = 75), and low vision aids (3.8%, n = 32). Advice was offered to almost all patients (99%) and consisted of information about eye and head scanning training, reading strategies, appropriate lighting, visual field awareness, visual inattention awareness, and use of compensatory head posture.

### Table 3: Lid and pupil disorders

| A Lid function disorders | Bilateral ptosis | Unilateral lid retraction | Bilateral lid retraction | Senile ptosis |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Unilateral ptosis        | 8                | 5                         | 5                        | 5            |
| Bilateral ptosis         | 8                | 5                         | 5                        | 5            |

B Pupil disorders

- Relative afferent pupillary defect
  - Light-near dissociation: 14
  - Anisocoria: 3
  - Middilated pupils: 15
  - Miosed pupils: 6
- Sluggish pupils
  - Horner’s syndrome: 4
  - Adie’s pupil: 5
  - Coloboma: 1

Numbers of patients.

90.8% (n = 831) with the remaining having varied forms of dilated or miosed pupils (Table 3B). Relative afferent pupillary defect and anisocoria were the most common forms.

### Table 4: Types of visual field loss

| Complete homonymous hemianopia | Partial homonymous hemianopia | Macular sparing homonymous hemianopia | Superior quadrantanopia | Inferior quadrantanopia | Chequerboard quadrantanopia | Constricted visual fields |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 259                           | 79                            | 5                                    | 30                      | 40                      | 3                           | 44                        |
| Scotoma                       | Altitudinal                   | Bilateral homonymous hemianopia       | Spared temporal crescent | Homonymous hemianopia and contralateral quadrantanopia | Binasal hemianopia | Unilateral blind eye |
| 5                             | 3                             | 1                                    | 1                       | 6                       | 1                           | 2                         |

Numbers of patients.

### Table 5: Visual symptoms

| Visual field loss | Blurred vision | Reading difficulty | Diplopia | Visual hallucination | Oscillopsia | Perceptual difficulties |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Patients reporting one primary symptom N = 511/766 (56%) | 227 (24.8%) | 134 (14.6%) | 39 (4.3%) | 84 (9.2%) | 13 (1.4%) | 1 (0.1%) | 13 (1.4%) |
| Patients reporting multiple symptoms N = 255/766 (28%) | 190 (20.8%) | 152 (16.6%) | 140 (15.3%) | 74 (8.1%) | 20 (2.2%) | 6 (0.7%) | 44 (4.8%) |
| Total reporting symptoms N = 766/915 (84%) | 45.6% | 31.2% | 19.6% | 17.3% | 3.6% | 0.8% | 6.2% |

Perceptual difficulties inclusive of: depth perception difficulty, alexia, agraphia, photophobia, color perception difficulties.
Impact to activities of daily living

Activities of daily living dependent on vision were assessed for patients with and without visual symptoms (Figure 2). There was no significant difference between groups, $p = .447$ (Pearson $\chi^2$ test).

4 | DISCUSSION

This study comprised a subpopulation of stroke survivors; those referred with suspected visual impairment. A high percentage of stroke survivors were subsequently confirmed as having visual impairment (92%). Types of visual impairment included visual field loss, ocular motility disorders, reduced central visual acuity, and visual perceptual disorders. Visual impairment most likely to be new and due to the stroke included visual field loss, ocular motility disorders, and visual perceptual disorders. Complete homonymous hemianopia was the most common form of visual field loss with partial hemianopia and quadrantanopia visual field defects also occurring frequently. Saccadic dysmetria was the most common form of ocular motility disorder with other frequently occurring disorders including cranial nerve palsy, gaze palsy, strabismus, reduced near point of convergence, and nystagmus. As expected, visual inattention was the most commonly occurring visual perceptual disorders but, in addition, patients were noted to report cortical impairment of color perception or depth, alexia, and visual agnosia. Fifty stroke survivors had normal visual assessment and were visually asymptomatic but, potentially, these may previously have been visually symptomatic but had

### TABLE 6  Treatment options across visual function categories

| Treatment (numbers of patients) | Visual field loss | Eye movement abnormalities | Visual perceptual difficulty | Low central vision |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
|                                | Sole defect       | Multiple defect           | Sole defect                  | Multiple defect   | Sole defect   | Multiple defect |
| Refraction                     | 4                 | 18                        | 22                            | 32                | 2             | 6              | 48                | 32                |
|                               | 17                | 63                        | 8                             | 49                | 1             | 35             | 2                 | 52                |
|                               | **102**           | **111**                   | **44**                        | **134**           |               |                |                   |                   |
| Prisms for diplopia           | Sole defect       | 0                         | 3                             | 32                | 8             | 0              | 0                 | 6                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 0                         | 10                            | 9                 | 14            | 0              | 3                 | 8                 |
|                               | **13**            | **63**                    | **3**                         | **14**            |               |                |                   |                   |
| Occlusion                      | Sole defect       | 0                         | 5                             | 37                | 14            | 0              | 0                 | 11                |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 0                         | 10                            | 9                 | 14            | 0              | 0                 | 3                 |
|                               | **15**            | **74**                    | **4**                         | **14**            |               |                |                   |                   |
| Orthoptic exercises           | Sole defect       | 0                         | 0                             | 3                 | 1             | 0              | 1                 | 0                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 0                         | 5                             | 2                 | 6             | 0              | 2                 | 0                 |
|                               | **5**             | **12**                    | **3**                         | **3**             |               |                |                   |                   |
| Prisms for field loss         | Sole defect       | 1                         | 4                             | 0                 | 4             | 1              | 0                 | 1                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 5                         | 24                            | 0                 | 25            | 0              | 1                 | 7                 |
|                               | **34**            | **29**                    | **2**                         | **8**             |               |                |                   |                   |
| Low vision aids               | Sole defect       | 0                         | 2                             | 0                 | 2             | 0              | 0                 | 1                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 5                         | 18                            | 0                 | 13            | 0              | 4                 | 1                 |
|                               | **25**            | **15**                    | **4**                         | **19**            |               |                |                   |                   |
| VII lubrication               | Sole defect       | 0                         | 0                             | 1                 | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 0                         | 0                             | 0                 | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 |
|                               | **0**             | **1**                     | **0**                         | **0**             |               |                |                   |                   |
| Tyroscope                     | Sole defect       | 0                         | 0                             | 0                 | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 0                         | 0                             | 0                 | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 |
|                               | **0**             | **1**                     | **0**                         | **0**             |               |                |                   |                   |
| Visual search training        | Sole defect       | 0                         | 0                             | 0                 | 0             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 |
|                               | Multiple defect   | 1                         | 3                             | 0                 | 2             | 0              | 0                 | 0                 |
|                               | **4**             | **2**                     | **2**                         | **0**             |               |                |                   |                   |
| Advice                        | Sole defect       | 102                        | 148                           | 48                | 133           | 8              | 80                | 6                 |
|                               | **250**           | **181**                   | **88**                        | **75**            |               |                |                   |                   |
The Vision In Stroke study is, to our knowledge, the first large-scale observation study of poststroke visual impairment. In this population of stroke survivors referred with suspected visual impairment, prevalence of visual impairment was 92%. There are a wide range of visual disorders that occur following stroke and, frequently, give rise to visual symptoms. There are equally a wide variety of treatment options available for these individuals. We recommend that all stroke survivors require screening for visual impairment in the early days poststroke onset and warrant referral for specialist assessment and targeted treatment specific to the type of visual impairment.
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