Determination of Sensory and Quality Changes at Treated Sea Bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) During Cold-Storage
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Abstract

In this study, it was aimed to point out the quality changes of fish flesh that had been stored in refrigerator (4±1°C). Fish was separated in 4 treatments were whole-scaly, scales-gutted, and scales fillets. Samples were put in polystyrene boxes and covered with stretch film. The samples were sampled on days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12. Protein, Lipid, Sensory, pH, Total volatile base nitrogen (TVB-N), Trimethyl amine nitrogen (TMA-N) and Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) analysis were done during the storage. According to the chemical analysis results, experimental samples were under the upper limits of acceptability in terms of pH, TMA-N, TBA values at the end of the 12 days of storage in the refrigerator, while TVB-N values of whole-scaly sea bass exceeded. However, sensorially, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted treatments exceeded the acceptability limit values after 8 days storage and so did the whole group after 10 days storage.
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Introduction

Seafood are rapidly deteriorating food so several preservation techniques are used for maintaining their nutritional components and delivering fresh to the consumer. The most commonly used is cooling technique and it is used commonly at the facilities and especially at the households [1].

Sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax L. 1758) is found in our waters naturally and consumed well in the World as the Turkey due to flavor of its meat. Besides it is preferred because of its durability of different conditions and culturing successfully in controlled environment [2]. The meat of fish effects from physical and environmental factors rapidly from harvesting point, because of its sensitivity to degradation. In this situation after harvesting, it must be consumed in a short time or if it is not possible it should be conserved in various ways and be protected. The processing technologies which had developed for this aim show diversity and the aim of these technologies to maintain the existing quality as much as possible and to protect consuming of the fish for a long time [3].

Fish are affected by the quality loss rapidly when the unsuitable storage conditions, unconscious transportation rules, wrong processing methods and insufficient cold chain implementations are used. These quality losses occur more especially at the restaurants and the households. The fish that launched and bought are put up for sale as whole fish, gutted or filleted. The fish that bought in these ways, if they are not consumed immediately are kept in a refrigerator (+4°C) and forgotten. The wrong treatments and storage conditions which applied at the households cause spoilage in a short time. The aim of this study was to determine sensory and chemical quality changes which occur in the fresh sea bass (whole-scaly, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted) when stored at refrigerator conditions.

Material and Methods

Raw material

A total of 70 kg of farmed sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax, L. 1758) with individual, portion size fish weighing 300-350g was used as an investigation material in this study. (Kilic Seafood Product Holding, Milas/Mugla/TURKEY). The fish that were taken as scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted were brought to the laboratory in polystyrene boxes under cold conditions (0-2°C) in 2 hours. The three groups of fish were divided as scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted by wrapping with stretch films and putting strafor plates and stored in refrigerator (+4±1°C). Protein, Lipid, Sensory, pH, TVB-N, TMA-N, TBA analysis were applied for fish samples of each group at the 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12th days of the storage. The analyses were performed three times. The study was repeated two times. The overall average results were given.

Proximate analysis

The fish samples were analysed in triplicate for proximate composition: lipid content of sea bass by the Bligh and Dyer [4] method, total crude protein by Kjeldhal method [5].

Sensory analysis

Sensory analyses were conducted according to the Aubourg [6] by 6 panelists. According to the scale, points of 3–4 were evaluated as “best quality”, points between 2 to 3 were evaluated as “good quality”, points between 1 to 2 were evaluated as “moderate quality” and points lower than 1 were evaluated as “not acceptable”. The scores of the panellists were averaged.

Chemical analysis

The pH values were recorded by using a InoLab model digital pH meter (InoLab, WTW, Germany) after homogenization of each 10 g
fish muscle sample in 100 ml distilled water. The vapour distillation method was used to estimate Total Volatile Bases Nitrogen (TVB-N, mg N 100-1 g) and expressed as milligrams of TVB-N for 100 g fish muscle [7]. Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) reactive substances were determined according to Tarladgis et al. [8], to evaluate the oxidation stability during chilled storage and the results expressed as TBA index, milligrams of malonaldehyde per kg flesh. The trimethylamine nitrogen (TMA-N) content of sample was determined according to the method of Schormüller. [9] and expressed as mg TMA-N per 100 g fish muscle.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 14 for Windows was used and Statistical differences between the different sets of data (freshness results, proximate composition analysis between days, changes of biochemical measurements) should be determined by performing analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA), followed by a least significance difference test at 95% confidence level.

Results and Discussions

Proximate analysis results

Crude protein: Crude protein analysis results are shown in Figure 1. At the beginning of the storage period, the crude protein values for fresh sea bass were determined as 19.43±0.55. At the end of the 12 days of storage period, crude protein values decreased to 18.32±0.24%, 18.23±0.87% and 18.47±0.60%, for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass, respectively. As protein results, the decrease was determined at all fish groups depends on the storage duration. There were no statistically significant difference (p>0.05) among the fish groups in terms of the protein values. Dinçer et al. [10] determined % crude protein value of cultured fresh sea bass in Aegean Sea as 19.38±0.47%. These value show similarity with our values.

Crude lipid: Crude lipid analysis results of the fish groups are shown in Figure 2. At the beginning of the storage period, the percent crude fat value for fresh sea bass was determined as 8.36±0.49. At the end of the 12 days of storage period, percent crude fat values decreased to 7.88±0.14%, 7.52±0.16% and 7.48±0.42%, for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass, respectively. As a result of the lipid % analysis depending on the storage duration, a decrease was determined. The changes as a result of fragmentation of the lipids depend on a long storage time. At the end of the storage the lowest lipid content was seen at scale-less fillets and also the highest lipid content was seen at whole-scaly and scale-less-gutted samples. The difference between the untreated whole-scaly sea bass and scale-less-filleted samples was determined significant (p<0.05), the difference between the other groups was determined insignificant (p>0.05). Dinçer et al. [10] determined the % crude lipid value of the sea bass which is cultured in Aegean Sea as 7.84±0.20% in their study. Kyrana and Lougovis [11], also determined the lipid content of the sea bass meat as 3.90%. Although the values of the sea bass of our study show similarity with the values that Dinçer et al. [10], obtained in their study, they were different from the values that Kyrana and Lougovis [11] obtained. It was thought that this was because the sea bass which had been used in the study of Kyrana and Lougovis [11] was caught from the wild. The chemical composition of the same species of marine fish shows differences among each other depends on the nutrition, life conditions, catching season, seasonal and sexual

Sensory analysis results

Sensory analysis results of fish groups are shown in Figure 3. At the beginning of the storage period, the sensory analysis values by the panelist for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass were determined as 3.8, 3.7 and 3.6, respectively. According to sensory analysis results it was determined that at the end of the 12 days of storage period scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted fish groups exceeded the consumption limit after 8 days (0.6) and this period was 10 days (0.7) for whole fish group. Liquid loss in eyes, color changes in grills, drying on the skin, softness in meat and odour changes among the groups were effective for sensory values. It was indicated that there is a statistical difference (p<0.05) between the untreated whole-scaly fish group, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted group and this difference is insignificant (p>0.05) between the scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted groups. Cakli et al. [13] indicated that in the study of
using liquid ice on the cultured sea bass at +4°C, sensory, microbiologic and chemical assessments were done and as sensory analysis all of the groups didn’t exceed the consumption limit. According to Kilinc et al. [14] the cultured sea bass which had been stored at the +4°C quality effects were compared after liquid ice and flake ice pre-treatment at the end of the 15 days of storage it was determined that as sensory analysis the sea bass were in consumption limit until the 13th day. The observed organoleptic shelf-life of sea bass was found to be 16 days in ice, 4 days in boxes without ice, 8 days in aluminium foil and 8 days in cling film [15]. Poli et al. [16] reported that the limit of acceptability for ungutted sea bass was 10 days. The results which found in our study shows parallel.

Chemical analysis results

**pH analysis results:** pH analysis results of the fish groups are shown in Figure 4. At the beginning of the storage period, the pH values for fresh sea bass were determined as 6.43±0.07. At the end of the 12 days of storage period, pH values were determined as 6.72±0.01, 6.74±0.03 and 6.77±0.11, for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass, respectively. According to the results of study there is an increase at pH content because of nitrogenous substances that fish include depends on storage duration. At the beginning of the storage a decrease that occurs at each of the three group’s pH value is a result of lactic acid that releases during rigor mortis. The lowest pH value was seen at whole fish samples. The significant difference between whole-scally fish group and scaleless-filleted was determined (p<0.05), also the difference between scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted groups was determined insignificant (p>0.05). Erkan and Ozden [17] reported that pH value ranged from 6.46 to 6.64 for whole un gutted and from 6.55 to 6.67, for gutted sea bass respectively, during the 13 day storage period. Values of pH were not significantly different (p>0.05) during the entire period of storage. According to the literature, the pH is about 6.0–6.5 for fresh fish, and it increases during storage. The limit of acceptability is usually 6.8–7.0 [12]. However, post-mortem pH can vary considerably depending on the season, the species, and other factors [18].

**TVB-N analysis result:** TVB-N results of the fish groups are shown in Figure 5. TVB-N content of fish is indicator of the raw material freshness [19]. At the beginning of the storage period, the TVB-N values for fresh sea bass were determined as 18.87±1.62 mg/100 g. At the end of the 12 days of storage period, TVB-N values increased to 28.09±1.30, 32.85±2.92 and 39.49±2.75 mg/100 g for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass, respectively. According to TVB-N assessment release of nitrogenous substances including protein and as a result of the other quality losses and increase was seen at TVB-N value of three groups during storage. At the end of the storage the highest TVB-N value was seen at the scale-less fillets and it was determined that the TVB-N value of this group was exceed the consumption limit after the 10th day. The statistical difference among the groups was determined (p<0.05). Erkan et al. [17] indicated that in the study of the effects of the storage in ice (+4°C) to the whole and gutted sea bass’ quality and shelf life at the end of the 12 days of storage, TVB-N values were in the limiting value of consumable (25 mg/100g). Ozogul et al. [15] reported that effects of aluminium foil and cling film on microbiological, chemical and sensory changes in wild sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) stored at chill temperature (+4°C) were studied. A quality assessment of wild sea bass stored in ice, in boxes without ice, wrapped in aluminium foil and wrapped in cling film at 4°C was performed by monitoring sensory quality, nucleotide breakdown products, TVB-N, and total viable counts (TVCs). Taliadourou et al. [20] reported that TVB-N values showed a slight increase for whole un gutted sea bass during storage, reaching a value of 26.77 mg N per 100g muscle (day 13), whereas for filleted fish a corresponding value of 26.88 mg N per 100g muscle was recorded (day 9). Papadopoulos et al. [21] also found that content of TVB-N ranged from 25.7 to 27.7 mg N/100 g flesh for un gutted and from 27.2 to 36 mg N/100 g flesh for gutted sea bass during the 16 days of storage in ice. TVB-N values showed significant fluctuation for all fish samples as a function of storage period indicating that TVB-N is a poor indicator of fish freshness, as also proposed by Tejada and Huidobro [22]. A TVB-N level of about 25 mg/100 g flesh could be regarded as the limit of acceptability for iced European sea bass [11].

**TMA-N analysis results:** TMA-N results of the fish groups are shown in Figure 6. TMA is produced by the decomposition of TMA-O caused by bacterial spoilage and enzymatic activity [13]. At the beginning of the storage period, the TMA-N values for fresh sea bass were determined as 3.19±0.03 mg/100 g. At the end of the 12 days of storage period, TMA-N values increased to 4.41±0.07, 6.77±0.15 and 7.29±0.24 mg/100 g for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass, respectively. There was not a change until the day 6 at the TMA-N value, after the day 8 it was found that TMA-N value increased slowly. At the end of the storage the highest TMA-N content was found at the scale-less fillets, and the lowest value was at the scale-less-gutted samples. Statistical difference (p<0.05) was determined among the fish groups for TMA-N values. TMA values of whole un gutted sea bass increased very slowly, whereas significantly
higher values were obtained for filleted samples, with respective values of 0.253 and 1.515 mg N per 100 g muscle being reached at the end of their shelf-life (days 13 and 9, respectively) [20]. Erkan and Ozden [17] reported that trimethylamine (TMA-N) values of whole and gutted sea bass increased very slowly, reaching final values of 3.94 and 3.38 mg N/100g, respectively (day 13).

**TBA analysis results:** TBA analysis results of the fish groups are shown in Figure 7. The TBA values are a widely used indicator for the assessment of the degree of lipid oxidation [13]. At the beginning of the storage period, the TBA values for fresh sea bass were determined as 0.42±0.03 mg malonaldehyde/kg. At the end of the storage period of 12 days, TBA values were determined as 0.55±0.02 mg malonaldehyde/kg, for scaly-whole, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass, respectively. The obtained results were considerably lower than the accepted limit for human consumption of 5-8 mgMA/kg. Although fish has high content of lipids it was thought that because of the treatment of cold conditions and treating hygienic processes using the packaging material stretch film cuts the contact with oxygen. Therefore, the TBA values increased slowly for whole un gutted and filleted sea bass samples throughout the whole storage period, reaching final values of 4.48 (day 13) and 13.84 (day 9) mg malonaldehyde/kg, respectively [20]. Cakli et al. [20], Cakli et al. [20] reported that trimethylamine (TMA-N) values of whole and gutted sea bass increased very slowly, reaching final values of 3.94 and, 3.38 mg N/100g, respectively (day 13).

**Conclusions**

The aim of this study was to determine sensory and chemical quality changes which occur at sea bass (whole-scaly, scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted) that had been stored in refrigerator conditions. As a result of the study it was determined that all of the groups were in consumption limit in terms of pH, TBA, TMA-N values at 12 days of refrigerator storage (+4±1˚C), however TVB-N values exceeded the consuming limit. In terms of sensory analysis scale-less-gutted and scale-less-filleted sea bass after 8th day, scaly-whole sea bass after 10th day exceeded the acceptable consumption limit.
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