Computed Diffusion-Weighted Imaging in Prostate Cancer: Basics, Advantages, Cautions, and Future Prospects
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Computed diffusion-weighted MRI is a recently proposed post-processing technique that produces b-value images from diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), acquired using at least two different b-values. This article presents an argument for computed DWI for prostate cancer by viewing four aspects of DWI: fundamentals, image quality and diagnostic performance, computing procedures, and future uses.
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INTRODUCTION

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is now being widely used for tumor detection and localization, evaluation of tumor aggressiveness, local staging, and detection of local recurrence following various therapies in patients with prostate cancer (1-5). With DWI, good contrast in signal intensity between the cancerous tissue for better cancer detection. It has recently been reported that DWIs obtained with high b-values over 1500 s/mm\(^2\) provide good contrast between the cancerous and background tissue for prostate cancer detection (6-9). However, ultra-high b-value DWI has a limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in association with possible eddy current distortions by large diffusion sensitizing gradients (10). Computed DWI is a mathematical computation technique that generates DWIs of any b-value by using DWI data with at least two different b-values, which benefits the SNR of the image (11). Therefore, computed DWI can be described as calculated DWI or synthesized DWI. This review aimed to present an overview of computed DWI and discuss its current and future role as well as the cautions required in the diagnosis of prostate cancer.

Theory of Computed DWI

The computed DWI signal at \(b = b_c\) can be obtained with the equation \(S_c = S_a \exp (- [b_c - b_a] \text{ADC})\), in which \(S_c\) is the signal of the computed image with a b-value of c and \(S_a\) is the signal of the acquired image with a b-value of a (11). The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) is calculated with the equation \(\text{ADC} = \ln (S_a / S_b) / (b_a - b_b)\), using
two measured DWI signals, $S_a$ and $S_b$, based on a mono-
exponential model. The schema is shown in Figure 1. The
strength of this technique is that DW images with higher
b-value can be obtained from images with lower b-values
(i.e., the additional image acquisition with higher b-values
is not required to generate computed DWI).

Certain recent magnetic resonance (MR) scanners are
equipped with this application. Computed DW images
can also be generated by certain types of software. The
computation time range depends on the situation, but is
usually 3–5 minutes for 20–25 slices.

**Image Quality of Computed DWI**

Images with high b-values sometimes show distortion
because of the heterogeneity of the gradient field,
especially for the 3T MR system. Such distortion can be
reduced with computed DWI because images with lower
b-values can be used for creating images with higher
b-values (12). Rosenkrantz et al. (13) support the ideology
that computed DW images at b-value of 1500 s/mm²,
generated from DW images at b-values of 50 s/mm² and
1000 s/mm² at 3T, showed lesser distortion and ghosting
than acquired DW images at b-value of 1500 s/mm². For
minimizing eddy current distortion, several techniques
including parallel imaging and multi-transmit system are
now being used (14, 15), and it may also be possible to use
computed DWI as one such technique.

A CuSO₄ phantom study performed by Blackledge et al.
(11) showed that noise remains constant across b-values
for acquired images, whereas it decreases for computed
images with increasing b-value (more than 840 s/mm²).

Another phantom study demonstrated that contrast-to-
noise ratio exhibited a continued rise with increasing
b-value at a rate dependent on the difference between
the diffusion coefficients in lesions and normal tissue
(16). Thus, the computed DWI technique is more likely to
highlight differences in signal intensity between cancerous
and non-cancerous tissue on images with high b-values,
than on acquired images. In fact, another study proved
that a better contrast ratio between cancerous and non-
cancerous lesions was obtained on computed DW images
than on acquired DW images with high b-values (2000 s/
mm²) (17). They generated computed DW images from DW
images at b-values of 0 s/mm² and 1000 s/mm² at 3T MR
setting. The study supported the theory that computed DWI
can suppress background noise, maintain lesion signals, and
lead to a better contrast between the cancerous lesions and
normal tissue (Figs. 2, 3), which is an important benefit of
computed DWI.

**Diagnostic Performance of Computed DWI**

Detection of prostate cancer can sometimes be difficult
because of the high signal of the background parenchyma,
which results from bleeding and presence of hypertrophy
and inflammation. Previously, several studies demonstrated
the advantages of DWIs using high b-value (2000 s/mm²)
for the detection of prostate cancer in both 1.5T and 3T
MR systems (7-9). These studies have reported that, on
DWIs obtained with high b-values, the background tissue
signal is more suppressed and the contrast between the
signals of cancerous and non-cancerous lesions is more
enhanced as compared with DWIs obtained with 1000 s/
mm². However, even though images obtained with large
b-values are clinically preferable, they are more difficult

---

Fig. 1. Schema of computed DWI. For example, DW images at
b-values of 0 s/mm² and 1000 s/mm² can be used for computation
of DW images at b-value of 2000 s/mm² using estimated apparent
diffusion coefficient values based on mono-exponential model. DWI =
diffusion weighted imaging

Fig. 2. Theory of advantage of computed DWI. Computed DWI
potentially suppresses background noise, maintaining lesion signal and
leading to better contrast of cancerous lesion.
to obtain practically and consistently because of certain disadvantages such as poor SNR and possible eddy current distortions due to the large diffusion sensitizing gradients used (10). Computed DWI can create high b-value images from lower b-value data, which is potentially less prone to artifacts, because it avoids the longer echo time required to accommodate the strong gradient pulses needed for higher b-value acquisitions.

The above stated studies proved that better image quality of computed DW images translated into improved diagnostic performance (13, 17). A previous study demonstrated specificities and accuracies for tumor detection of computed and acquired DWI at a b-value of 2000 s/mm² which were significantly higher than those of acquired DWI at a b-value of 1000 s/mm². The specificity and accuracy, respectively, were as follows: 70.0% and 76.6% for computed b = 2000 DWI, 66.5% and 75.5% for acquired b = 2000 DWI, and 62.0% and 72.5% for acquired b = 1000 DWI (17). Rosenkrantz et al. (13) also showed that the sensitivity and positive predictive value, for the tumor detection of computed DWI at b-value of 1500 s/mm² (67.4% and 78.6%, respectively), were significantly better than those of acquired DWI at b-value of 1000 s/mm² (46.9% and 60.5%, respectively). In both studies using 3T scanner, the diagnostic capability for prostate cancer of computed DWIs with high b-value over 1500 s/mm² was comparable to that of directly acquired DWIs with the same b-value. Yoshida et al. (18) demonstrated similar results with 1.5T scanner: their computed b = 2000 s/mm² DWI showed diagnostic capability equivalent to that of acquired b = 2000 s/mm² DWI for prostate cancer detection (accuracy: 86% for computed b = 2000 s/mm² DWI, and 86% for acquired b = 2000 s/mm² DWI). Thus, computed DWI could be considered as a substitute of the acquired DWI in clinical practice.

The above mentioned studies evaluated computed DWI without an ADC map for prostate cancer detection, because the main purpose of these studies was to compare the diagnostic ability of acquired DWIs with that of computed DWIs. In contrast, past studies on the role of DWI for prostate cancer localization have largely focused on the ADC map as the primary diagnostic sequence when interpreting the DWI of the prostate (19-23). Compared with ADC maps alone, conspicuity of clinically significant cancers is sometimes improved on high b-value images, especially of those in a subcapsular location, those at the apex and base of the gland, and those adjacent to or invading the anterior fibromuscular stroma (24, 25). Therefore, computed DWI examinations can be considered to be a useful approach for tumor localization in prostate cancer diagnosis. Further studies using the combination of computed DWI and ADC map for prostate cancer detection might be desired in confirming the utility in clinical practice.

### Appropriate Conditions for Computed DWI

Care should be taken when computing DWI by using low b-values. A previously performed study evaluated the influence of the different combinations of b-values (0, 100, 500, and 1000) on computed DWI for prostate cancer detection at b = 2000 s/mm² (26). They concluded that the combination of b-values of 0 s/mm² and 500 s/mm² were not suitable to generate computed DWI at b-value of 2000 s/mm² for prostate cancer detection because that combination had poor image quality and inter-reader
agreement. The combinations of \( b \geq 100 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) and \( b \geq 500 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) as well as \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) and \( b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) were optimal in their study. This could be explained by the observation that signals of both cancerous lesions and normal prostate parenchyma were weakened and embedded in the background signal at such low \( b \)-values. It is known that the initial decrease in signal intensities at low \( b \)-values is steeper than the more gradual attenuation of signals at higher \( b \)-values (27). ADCs calculated from low \( b \)-values are prone to be larger, thus, computed signal based on a mono-exponential model would be lower. Ogura et al. (28) investigated the optimal \( b \)-values required for the generation of computed high \( b \)-value images of the brain; they found that computed DW images with a high \( b \)-value, derived from two low \( b \)-value DW images in the fast component, would not be identical to the acquired images with the same high \( b \)-value in the slow component. In addition, they reported that an improved SNR should not be expected from computed images generated from \( b \)-value images in the slow component because the calculated ADC is prone to be smaller. Hence, to compute useful DWI for prostate cancer detection, radiologists should consider the combination of \( b \)-values. For calculation of DWI at \( b \)-value of 1500–2000 \text{ s/mm}^2, the combination of \( b \)-value of 0–100 \text{ s/mm}^2 and 500–1000 \text{ s/mm}^2 is considered to be optimal.

Rosenkrantz et al. (29) assessed prostate cancer detectability using a broad range of computed \( b \)-values from 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2 to 5000 \text{ s/mm}^2 generated from 50 \text{ s/mm}^2 and 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2. They concluded that computed \( b \)-values in the range of 1500–2500 \text{ s/mm}^2 (but not higher) were optimal for prostate cancer detection; \( b \)-values of 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2 or 3000–5000 \text{ s/mm}^2 exhibited an overall lower performance. This could be because the high \( b \)-values over 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2 had excessive loss of signal within the prostate and surrounding tissues, leading to diminished anatomic clarity and diminished visualization of normal landmarks such as the prostate capsule. This may have caused difficulty in tumor localization and led to the low detectability of prostate cancer.

The computed DWI method used in the previously mentioned studies was based on calculating ADC with a mono-exponential model. The mono-exponential model is simpler to perform, and evidence indicates that it performs as well or almost as well as the bi-exponential model for computed DWI (30). The study performed by Verma et al. (31) showed that high \( b \)-value (2000 \text{ s/mm}^2) computed DWI using mono-exponential model, intra-voxel incoherent motion model, and stretched exponential diffusion model provided better image quality and lesion conspicuity, and increased lesion-to-background contrast ratios, as compared with acquired DWI. However, there were no significant differences in the detectability of prostate cancer among the computed DWIs and acquired DWI.

**Further Perspectives of Computed DWI**

Computed DWI technique may be applied to various clinical settings and may play a more important part in the assessment of prostate cancer. Recently, high-spatial-resolution DWI in cancer imaging has been reported as a potential improvement for better detection of small or sparse cancers (32-34). However, higher spatial-resolution can cause loss of signal intensities and may need a longer examination time to achieve a satisfactory image quality. We applied the computed DWI technique to high-spatial-resolution DWI to cover the signal diminishment.
and suggested that computed DWI was a useful addition to routine MR examination to improve the diagnostic capability of prostate cancer (35) (Fig. 4).

Tumor ADC has been considered to be useful for the assessment of tumor aggressiveness (36). Since computed DWI is generated from ADC values of different b-values, it cannot provide the additional ADC values/ADC maps. Recently, Waseda et al. (37) defined the b-value at which the signal intensities of the prostate cancer and non-malignant prostatic tissue were equal as the “iso-b-value”. They reported that computed DWI with iso-b-value-based semi-quantitative analysis was found to be useful in predicting the aggressiveness of prostate cancer and may potentially outperform tumor ADC measurements. In future, computed DWI technique may be used to develop a new biomarker of prostate cancer.

CONCLUSION

Computed DWI technique could offer high b-value DW images with good image quality regardless of the MR system’s configuration. This technique may play a more important role in evaluating prostate cancer in the future. However, radiologists should also be aware that the computed DW images are highly influenced by the original ADC.
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