s-process enrichment of ultrafaint dwarf galaxies
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ABSTRACT

We study the production of barium (Ba) and strontium (Sr) in ultrafaint dwarf (UFDs) galaxies. Both r- and s-processes produce these elements, and one can infer the contribution of the r-process from the characteristic r-process abundance pattern, whereas the s-process contribution remains largely unknown. We show that the current s-process yield from asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars is not sufficient to explain the Ba and Sr abundances observed in UFDs. Production of these elements would need to be efficient from the beginning of star formation in the galaxies. The discrepancy of nearly or more than 1 dex is not reconciled even if we consider s-process in super-AGB stars. We consider a possible resolution by assuming rotating massive stars (RMSs) and electron-capture supernovae (ECSNe) as additional contributors. We find that the RMSs could be the origin of Ba in UFDs if ~10 per cent of massive stars are rotating at 300 km s⁻¹. As for ECSNe, we argue that their fraction is less than 2 per cent of core-collapse supernova. It narrows the progenitor mass-range to ≲0.1 M☉ at −3 ≲ [Fe/H] ≲ −2. We also explore another resolution by modifying the stellar initial mass function (IMF) in UFDs and find a top-light IMF model that reproduces the observed level of Ba-enrichment. Future observations that determine or tightly constrain the europium and nitrogen abundances are crucial to identify the origin of Ba and Sr in UFDs.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Neutron-capture processes are important for the synthesis of the heaviest elements. There are two types, the r- and s-process, depending on whether neutron-capture reactions occur faster than β-decays (see e.g. Cowan et al. 2021). Neutron-rich environments are necessary for neutron-capture reactions to take place, but the origin or the astrophysical production site remains debated for the r-process (Nishimura et al. 2017; Cowan et al. 2021). On the contrary, it is generally accepted that the s-process occurs mainly in low and intermediate mass stars (2–8 M☉) during their asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase.

A major concern related to s-process nucleosynthesis is the exact site of neutron production. It is thought to occur above the helium core within thermally pulsing AGB stars during their interpulse phases. It is assumed that protons in the convective envelope are mixed down into the upper layer of the helium core to trigger 13C(α, n)16O reactions. These layers are called 13C pockets (see e.g. Busso, Gallino & Wasserburg 1999). The efficiency of the s-process nucleosynthesis by 13C pockets relies on free parameters, but it is chosen to reproduce consistent abundance trends for neutron-capture elements (Travaglio et al. 2004b; Käppeler et al. 2011). Another site of neutron production is in convective shells driven by helium shell flashes in intermediate-mass AGB stars where neutrons are produced via 22Ne(α, n)25Mg reactions (Iben 1975). Other potential sites of s-process nucleosynthesis have also been explored by studying the signature of neutron-capture elements observed in metal-poor stars in the Galactic halo. Suda et al. (2004) argue that neutrons are produced in the helium-flash convective zones when the convective shell reaches the bottom of the hydrogen-burning shell in low-mass AGB stars at extremely low metallicity. This mechanism could be the source of s-process elements in carbon-enhanced metal-poor stars. Fast-rotating massive stars (RMS) are also considered in order to explain the abundance of s-process elements in these stars (Choplin et al. 2017). Theoretical models that calculate s-process nucleosynthesis for different astrophysical sites can be tested with observed neutron-capture elements in metal-poor stars. In particular, barium (Ba) and strontium (Sr) are often used as characteristic tracers. However, since
became ionized and star formation was largely quenched. (Frebel 2021), and (2) observations of stars in surviving systems in that they (1) can be simulated in its entirety (e.g. Tarumi, Yoshida & Inoue 2020). In this paper, we refer to haloes 1, 2, and 3 as the large, medium, and small UFDs (hereafter ‘L-UFD’, ‘M-UFD’, and ‘S-UFD’). The stellar mass of the S-UFD is ~100 M⊙, and the number of the star particles is too small to make a robust comparison with the observations. Therefore, we mainly discuss the results from the L-UFD and M-UFD. In Fig. 1, we present the star formation histories of the three galaxies. Halo masses (Mh), stellar masses (M∗), and sizes (R200) of these samples are (Mh, M∗, R200) = (1.7 × 108 M⊙, 12, 000 M⊙, 1.7 kpc), and (1.0 × 108 M⊙, 4, 100 M⊙, 1.6 kpc) at redshift z = 8, which can be regarded as UFD progenitors (Safarzadeh et al. 2018). These haloes grow to (Mh, R200) = (2.1 × 108 M⊙, 12, 900 M⊙, 2.5 kpc), and (2.0 × 108 M⊙, 3, 800 M⊙, 2.2 kpc) by redshift z = 6.4 which is our final snapshot.

We do not adopt the ISM model typically used in AREPO simulations (Springel & Hernquist 2003). Instead, we follow gas cooling and heating self-consistently as in (Inoue & Yoshida 2019; see their ‘single-phase interstellar medium [ISM] model’). The smooth gas density field is represented with Voronoi cells. Each gas cell contains physical properties such as density or temperature. Spatial resolution is progressively increased to suppress artificial fragmentation owing to insufficient resolution (Truelove et al. 1997). Ceverino, Dekel & Bournaud (2010) suggest that the Jeans length λJ = πc/GRρ should always be resolved at least with seven cells to obtain converged result. In our simulations, we impose a non-thermal pressure floor to satisfy the condition proposed by Ceverino et al. (2010). We

In the absence of Eu line detections or only low or moderate Sr and Ba enhancements, it becomes challenging to differentiate which process may have produced the observed abundances. After all, both the s- and r-process can produce Sr and Ba (Cescutti et al. 2006; Hirai, Wanajo & Saitoh 2019). As a consequence, it is interesting to explore the chemical enrichment history of those UFDs that did not experience a rare and prolific r-process event to understand to what extent s-process contributions can explain the low observed neutron-capture element abundances.

In the present paper, we thus model the s-process enrichment of UFDs and contrast our results with observations for r-process UFDs. We use highly resolved cosmological simulations to follow the star formation and chemical enrichment of several systems, and our technical details are outlined in Section 2. Given the characteristic star formation histories and the small stellar masses of UFDs, we can derive clues towards understanding the chemical enrichment history of neutron-capture elements. We present these simulation results in Section 3. In Section 4, we argue that the existence of an additional Ba source, such as RMS, is strongly favoured to explain the observed elemental abundances in UFD stars.

2 METHOD

2.1 Cosmological simulations

We use AREPO, a moving-mesh hydrodynamic simulation code (Springel 2010; Pakmor et al. 2016; Weinberger, Springel & Pakmor 2020). As the cosmological parameters, we use the Planck 2018 results (Planck Collaboration VI 2020): Ωm = 0.315, ΩΛ = 0.049, σ8 = 0.810, n0 = 0.965, H0 = 67.4 kms⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹. The initial conditions are generated using MUSIC (Hahn & Abel 2011). The box-size is 1 comoving h⁻¹ Mpc on a side. We use a hierarchical zoom-in technique to resolve the inner structures of the simulated galaxies. The mass of each dark matter particle is about 100 M⊙, and the typical mass of each gas cell and star particle is about 20 M⊙. Our sample galaxies are the same as the ones we used in our previous paper (Tarumi, Yoshida & Inoue 2020). In this paper, we refer to haloes 1, 2, and 3 as the large, medium, and small UFDs (hereafter ‘L-UFD’, ‘M-UFD’, and ‘S-UFD’). The stellar mass of the S-UFD is ~100 M⊙, and the number of the star particles is too small to make a robust comparison with the observations. Therefore, we mainly discuss the results from the L-UFD and M-UFD. In Fig. 1, we present the star formation histories of the three galaxies. Halo masses (Mh), stellar masses (M∗), and sizes (R200) of these samples are (Mh, M∗, R200) = (1.7 × 108 M⊙, 12, 000 M⊙, 1.7 kpc), and (1.0 × 108 M⊙, 4, 100 M⊙, 1.6 kpc) at redshift z = 8, which can be regarded as UFD progenitors (Safarzadeh et al. 2018). These haloes grow to (Mh, M∗, R200) = (2.1 × 108 M⊙, 12, 900 M⊙, 2.5 kpc), and (2.0 × 108 M⊙, 3, 800 M⊙, 2.2 kpc) by redshift z = 6.4 which is our final snapshot.

We do not adopt the ISM model typically used in AREPO simulations (Springel & Hernquist 2003). Instead, we follow gas cooling and heating self-consistently as in (Inoue & Yoshida 2019; see their ‘single-phase interstellar medium [ISM] model’). The smooth gas density field is represented with Voronoi cells. Each gas cell contains physical properties such as density or temperature. Spatial resolution is progressively increased to suppress artificial fragmentation owing to insufficient resolution (Truelove et al. 1997). Ceverino, Dekel & Bournaud (2010) suggest that the Jeans length λJ = πc/GRρ should always be resolved at least with seven cells to obtain converged result. In our simulations, we impose a non-thermal pressure floor to satisfy the condition proposed by Ceverino et al. (2010). We

Figure 1. Star formation histories of our simulated galaxies. Blue curve: L-UFD, which forms stars for ~500 Myr. The peak stellar mass is ~12 000 M⊙. Orange curve: M-UFD, which forms stars for ~160 Myr. The peak stellar mass is ~4000 M⊙. The L-UFD experienced a major merger at a cosmic age of ~500 Myr at redshift 10. The shaded area shows when the Universe became ionized and star formation was largely quenched.

by pronounced europium (Eu) lines that could be detected (along with Sr and Ba) in stellar spectra.
set the smallest scale for the pressure support at 0.2 comoving pc $h^{-1}$.

The star formation rate (SFR) of each gas cell is calculated as $(SFR) = 0.079 m_\odot t_{\text{SF}}$, where $m_\odot$ is the mass of the gas cell and $t_{\text{SF}} = (G\rho_{\odot}/\sigma_{\text{DM}})^{-1/2}$ is the star formation time-scale. We allow star formation only in gas cells with $\rho_\odot > \rho_{\text{th}} = 100$ atom cm$^{-3}$ and temperatures $T < 10,000$ K.

Stellar feedback is modelled by ejecting ‘wind particles’, which model the outflow driven by type II SNe. Gas cells satisfying the SF conditions stochastically form stars or wind particles. The ratio between the numbers of star particles and wind particles is determined from energy deposition by type II SNe, assuming $8-100 M_\odot$ of stars explode immediately. Each SN deposits $1.7 \times 10^{51}$ erg in the form of wind particles. The number of SNe that occur in each star particle is determined stochastically so that the number of events is always integer. These wind particles carry 40 per cent of the original metal contents (Vogelsberger et al. 2013). Each newly formed wind particle is ejected into a random direction with the initial velocity of 3.46 $\times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$.

The number of SNe that occur in each star particle is determined stochastically so that the number of events is always integer. These wind particles carry 40 per cent of the original metal contents (Vogelsberger et al. 2013). Each newly formed wind particle is ejected into a random direction with the initial velocity of 3.46 $\times 10^3$ km s$^{-1}$.

2.2 Chemical evolution of ultrafaint dwarf galaxies

Each star particle in our simulations represents a stellar cluster with a population expressed by the Chabrier’s initial mass function (IMF; Chabrier 2001). It enriches the surrounding gas cells with metals. For type II SNe, the amount of elements distributed to nearby cells in one time-step are determined as follows. First, we specify the mass range of stars that contribute to the chemical enrichment within the next time-step using the age and metallicity of the star particle. Next, we integrate the elemental yields from the stars that die within the time-step. We use metallicity-dependent type II SNe yields and lifetimes obtained from Portinari, Chiosi & Bressan (1998). Finally, we distribute the calculated amounts of elements to nearby gas cells in the smoothed-particle-hydrodynamics manner. The kernel size is determined so that effectively 64 $\pm 1$ gas cells are involved in the metal enrichment from each star particle. The procedure is performed for each star particle at each time-step. Type Ia SNe only occur with a delay after their star formation. We use the empirical power-law delay-time distribution by Maoz, Mannucci & Brandt (2012). The minimum delay-time is set at 40 Myr, the power is $-1.12$, and the highest bin ends at 6.5 $M_\odot$. Finally, we take bins separated at the mid-point of each grid point, we take bins separated at the mid-point of each grid point, we take bins separated at the mid-point of each grid point, we take bins separated at the mid-point of each grid point, we take bins separated at the mid-point of each grid point.

In the fiducial model, Sr is produced only in AGB stars although various channels for Sr production have been proposed. One is electron-capture supernovae (ECSNe; see e.g. Wanajo, Janka & Müller 2011). Unfortunately, the mass range of ECSNe is not well constrained, and the choice of range greatly affects the results. We thus treat the Sr production channel as an additional source, and discuss its effects separately. We describe how we treat the additional sources in the next subsection.

2.3 Additional sources of s-process elements

We take two non-standard origins into account: RMSs and ECSNe. We include these sources separately and discuss each effect individually. We call these models as ‘RMS model’ and ‘ECSN model’. In our RMS model, s-process element synthesis can occur if rotation mixes the various layers in a star. Slowly rotating stars preferentially produce lighter elements, such as Sr, while fast rotating stars produce copious amounts of heavy elements such as Ba. We adopt yields from Limongi & Chieffi (2018). The initial distribution of rotation velocities (IDROV) is adopted from Prantzos et al. (2018).

Stars with masses of the lowest end of CCSN mass range explode as ECSNe (Nomoto 1987; Janka et al. 2008; Wanajo et al. 2018). In ECSNe, weak r-process can occur in the centre region of the star and produce a copious amounts of Sr. SNe 2018zd and the origin of Crab pulsars might be the result of such an ECSNe (Hiramatsu et al. 2020), but until now there has been no observational confirmation on an ECSN explosion. As we cannot determine the fraction of ECSNe out of all CCSNe, the mass range remains uncertain, and typically 0–1 $M_\odot$ is assumed. We assume $7.9 \times 10^{-4} M_\odot$ of Sr is produced, following the e8.8 model of Wanajo et al. (2018). Hirai et al. (2019) constrained the mass-range of ECSNe to be 8.2–8.4 $M_\odot$ by modelling stellar Sr abundances in dwarf galaxies. In this work, we assume that ECSNe occur every 5000 $M_\odot$ of stars formed. This fraction corresponds to assuming that 1/50 of SNe are ECSNe, equivalent to assuming that 8.0–8.1 $M_\odot$ stars explode as ECSNe.

3 RESULTS

3.1 AGB s-process enrichment model

In the fiducial model, s-process element production occurs only in AGB stars. The yields table is obtained from the FRUITy database (Cristollo et al. 2015, 2016). We do not consider r-process enrichment. Here, we compare our simulated results to UFD stars with Ba or Sr detections. There are some observations only with upper limits. We do not consider these stars for now since most upper limits are meaninglessly high due to poor data quality, but discuss implications further below.

The abundances of s-process elements show large variation even among our simulated UFDs, which are uncontaminated by r-process enrichment. Ba and Sr abundances are higher in the L-UFD than in M-
Figure 2. [Ba/Fe] (top panels) and [Sr/Fe] (bottom panels) as a function of [Fe/H] for our model stars in our two L- and M-UFD models (filled circles and squares, respectively; left-hand and right-hand panels, respectively) obtained at $z = 6.4$, and compared with observational data of stars in UFDs. Colour coded is the age of stars. The stars that show the characteristic abundance patterns of the $r$-process (seven stars in Ret II, five stars in Tuc III, and one star in Grus II) are plotted with orange star symbols. Other UFD stars are plotted with red star symbols. For reference, stars in the MW halo are plotted with grey dots. UFDs represented here are Bootes I, Bootes II, Canes Venatici II, Coma Berenices, Carina II, Carina III, Grus I, Hercules, Horologium I, Leo IV, Pisces II, Ret II, Segue 1, Segue 2, Tucana II, Tucana III, Ursa Major, Ursa Major II, and Willman I. The solid red lines depict the medians of [Ba/Fe] and [Sr/Fe] for each [Fe/H] 0.25 dex bin for our model stars. Observational abundances are obtained from the SAGA database (Suda et al. 2008, 2017), together with the latest data added manually, based on the following studies (Martin et al. 2007; Koch et al. 2008; Adén et al. 2009; Feltzing et al. 2009; Koch et al. 2009; Frebel et al. 2010; Norris et al. 2010a, b; Adén et al. 2011; Lai et al. 2011; Simon et al. 2011; Gilmore et al. 2013; Koch et al. 2013; Vargas et al. 2013; Frebel et al. 2014; Ishigaki et al. 2014; Koch & Rich 2014; François et al. 2016; Ji et al. 2016b, c; Martin et al. 2016; Roederer et al. 2016; Hansen et al. 2017; Kirby et al. 2017; Venn et al. 2017; Chiti et al. 2018; Ji & Frebel 2018; Nagasawa et al. 2018; Ji et al. 2019b; Hansen et al. 2020; Ji et al. 2020).

UFDs can be classified into two groups by whether the $r$-process has had a dominant, measurable effect on their chemical evolution. Reticulum II (Ret II), Tucana II (Tuc II), and Grus II (Gru II) are the ones with clear signatures of the $r$-process. Stars in these UFDs (plotted with orange star symbols) show systematically higher Ba and Sr abundances than those in other UFDs (plotted with red star symbols), commensurate with expectations of a prolific $r$-process enrichment event. The large amounts of Ba and Sr produced by the $r$-process event would overpower signatures left over from any neutron-capture processes that may occur before or after.

But to make matters more complicated, at least two stars in Ret II display rather low Sr and Ba values (not shown in the figure but see fig. 3 in Ji et al. 2016c), and the UFDs without clear $r$-process enrichment do contain a lot of stars with significant amount of $s$-process material. Nevertheless, our results clearly show that for stars with measurable neutron-capture element abundances, AGB stars

$^1$There is one star with a significant Eu and Ba enhancement in Segue 1. It is a CH star, and the high abundance of the neutron-capture elements is thought to originate from mass transfer from the former AGB star in a binary system and not by previous neutron-capture processes (Frebel et al. 2014).
alone are not sufficient source to explain the origin of Ba and Sr for these stars. However, we also note that likely more stars exist in these systems that have lower Sr and Ba measurements than what is represented with the current observed sample.\(^2\) Those stars (1) might not yet have such measurements due to being faint and corresponding poor data quality especially in the blue spectral regime preventing even meaningful upper limits, (2) or these stars simply have not even been observed yet at all. Such a putative low Sr-Ba-population may well be explained solely with an AGB origin scenario. Future observations can hopefully shed further light on this issue so that our predictions could be further put to the test. In fact, there are hints of this being the case. Metal-poor halo stars have [Ba/Fe] going down to about \(\text{[Ba/Fe]} \sim -2.0\) which is roughly at the level of the simulated stars formed last in our simulation (light green circles in the figure). Furthermore, all stars with red symbols with [Ba/Fe] \(\geq -2.0\) form a branch not unlike that of MW stars albeit offset to lower [Ba/Fe] values. The lower of these stars overlap with the top end of the simulated later-time stars – clearly more overlap would be achieved with improved spectral line detections should additional data become available (e.g. with the Giant Magellan Telescope).

The scatter of [Ba/Fe] and [Sr/Fe] in each galaxy is also an interesting piece of information. Observationally, Boote I (Boo I), Carina II (Car II), and Coma Berenices (ComBer) show significant scatter while most other UFDs do not. We also note that the number of observations is limited due to observational difficulties such as stars being too faint to obtain higher signal-to-noise observations while also requiring high spectral resolution. Therefore, even for UFDs with little apparent scatter, significant scatter in [Ba/Fe] and [Sr/Fe] may still be present within. In our simulation, large scatter is observed both in the L- and M-UFDs. The Ba content of S-UFD is too little, and therefore we cannot discuss the scatter.

There are two kinds of inhomogeneities to diversify the abundances of the neutron-capture elements within one system, spatial and temporal inhomogeneities. The colours of the symbols in Fig. 2 show that the L-UFD is more affected by temporal ones, while the M-UFD is more affected by spatial inhomogeneities. This behaviour is consistent with the star formation histories of these galaxies. The M-UFD forms stars within 160 Myr which is too short to mix the ISM efficiently (Tarumi et al. 2020). The L-UFD forms stars for \(\sim 500\) Myr, and therefore, stars are typically formed from well-mixed gas. However, instead the temporal-induced scatter becomes important which results large scatter in the neutron-capture element abundances.

### 3.2 Adding yields of rotating massive stars

We test how our fiducial model results change when including an additional Sr source originating from ECSNe. Yields are taken from Wanajo et al. (2018). In our L- and M-UFDs, ECSNe occur five times and once, respectively. In Fig. 5, we show the resulting Sr abundances. The amount of Sr produced in one ECSN is quite large, therefore it triggers a strong enhancement in [Sr/Fe] in stars formed subsequently. For the L-UFD, this leads to a significant gap at [Sr/Fe] \(\sim -2\) in the simulated abundance distribution. Many of the observed abundances are roughly in that gap. The median of the simulated abundances steeply increases between \(3 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -2\). However, this is a merely a results of the fraction of high [Sr/Fe] stars gradually increasing with [Fe/H]. In the M-UFD, the ECSN occurred only at the very end of star formation, and thus did not affect the simulated Sr abundance. Later time stars would form from Sr enriched gas, though.

### 4 DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 No \(\tau\)-process contribution to Ba and Sr

The \(\tau\)-process enrichment in the MW has been studied for decades (e.g. with chemical evolution models Cescutti et al. 2006). In our fiducial model, the majority of \(\tau\)-process elements is produced in low-mass stars with \(M < 3\,M_\odot\). Because of the long delay-time and the strong metallicity dependence of Ba yields from AGB stars, other production paths such as that of the \(\tau\)-process are preferred as the origin of Ba in low-metallicity halo stars with \(3 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -2\) (e.g. Cescutti et al. 2006). Indeed, the large scatter of Eu abundances among low-metallicity halo stars can be explained by rare \(\tau\)-process enrichment (Cowan et al. 2021). A similar conclusion is obtained by Hirai et al. (2019), who study the production of Sr in classical dwarf galaxies.

Among the stars found in UFDs, there are no stars present with [Fe/H] \(\gtrsim -1.5\), and many have [Fe/H] \(\sim -3.0\). Considering the small stellar masses of the UFDs, we infer that the number of \(\tau\)-process
events in a UFD is likely one at most. This implies that only a few systems actually experience an r-process event. The stochasticity of events has been used to explain e.g. the very high Eu abundance of stars in Ret II, and an apparent ‘jump’ in the Eu abundance observed in Gru II. The moderate Eu abundances of Tuc III stars could also be explained similarly by assuming that an r-process event occurred in the outskirt (Tarumi et al. 2020), or that the r-process element abundances were somewhat diluted by a larger mass of hydrogen than considered in the case of Ret II (Marshall et al. 2019).

All those stars are identified as r-process stars due to their strong Eu line (and consequently large [Eu/Fe] abundance), but they also have significant [Ba/Fe] because the [Ba/Eu] ratio of $-0.71$ is governed by the universal, nuclear physics based main r-process pattern (Sneden et al. 2009). The origin of strontium is less clear because a number of processes are thought to produce Sr, but a similar conclusion can be drawn.

This leaves two implications, (1) faint low-metallicity stars in UFDs with moderate S/N spectra may not result in a Eu detection. If
Figure 5. Observed abundances in UFDs overlaid with our ECSN model results for [Sr/Fe]. Symbols and colours are the same as in Fig. 2. The black line shows [Sr/H] = −4. Sr production is quite efficient resulting in clearly separated abundance levels before and after the first ECSN explodes.

Figure 6. [Eu/H] distribution of various UFD stars as estimated from their [Ba/H]. Stars with actual Eu detections found in Ret II, Tuc III, and Gru II are plotted with blue symbols. For other UFD stars, each star produces two data points coloured green and red. The green and red symbols are plotted assuming [Eu/Ba] = 0.7 (r-process) and −0.8 (s-process), respectively.

the intrinsically stronger Ba line can be detected and a high [Ba/Fe] is obtained, then the r-process is likely the dominant source of Ba; (2) If such stars do not have a high [Ba/Fe] or if only an upper limit is placed, then we can exclude a likely contribution from a rare and prolific r-process event that should cause both high [Eu/Fe] and [Ba/Fe].

In Fig. 6, we show the expected [Eu/H] distribution of UFD stars. The blue symbols are stars with Eu detections. The green symbols are stars for which we assume that all their Ba originates with the main r-process. Their Eu abundances have been derived by assuming the r-process [Ba/Eu] = −0.71 ratio (Sneden, Cowan & Gallino 2008). The red symbols depict the same stars but we now assume that they have formed from gas enriched by the s-process. Here, we assume [Ba/Eu] = 0.8, which is calculated by the IMF-averaging of the yield of metallicity log (Z/Z⊙) = −2.3. We note that the values of some of the green symbols have higher [Eu/H] values than those of blue symbols. This suggests that if the origin of Ba in these stars were with the r-process, the Eu lines would have been detected and abundance measured. But this has not been the case. Indeed, for some stars, the possibility of pure r-process has already been eliminated (e.g. Car II, Ji et al. 2020). We thus make a working assumption and place an empirical detection limit for [Eu/H] ∼ −3.5. The caveat is that this only roughly works for stars on the upper portion of the red giant branch, i.e. those stars sufficiently cool, which is satisfied here by these dwarf galaxy stars. For warmer stars with higher temperatures, detections of any intrinsically weak lines such as the Eu line at 4129 Å will be essentially impossible. This is also driven by stellar brightness as dwarf galaxy stars are generally very faint which precludes obtaining stellar high-resolution spectra of sufficient quality. Nevertheless, in this sample considered here, and the fact that the Eu abundances obtained for stars from putative s-process material (red symbols) and those with actual Eu detection (blue symbols) are about 1 dex apart at least, clearly showcases why only few UFD stars have Eu measurements (owing to the significant Eu enrichment) when most do not.

4.2 Production of s-process elements in the fiducial model

To assess if there is any s-process contribution among low-metallicity stars in UFDs with low [Ba/Fe], we compare the stellar Ba abundances of the observed and simulated UFDs. For our simulated UFDs, we find that medians of [Ba/Fe] ∼ −2.5 (L-UFD) and [Ba/Fe] ∼ −4 (M-UFD) for stars with −3 < [Fe/H] < −2, as can be seen in Fig. 2. The values of [Ba/Fe] are the same as the yields from an SSP integrated from birth to an age of 100 Myr (L-UFD) and 25 Myr (M-UFD). This highlights that Ba can get contributed on short timescales. We obtain similar results if we use [Sr/Fe] instead. Note that these estimated ages are insensitive to the exact choice of the yields, as it does not affect the star formation in the galaxy. If we were to change the Ba yields of AGB stars, we would expect that the typical [Ba/Fe] of stars formed in these galaxies are again represented by the [Ba/Fe] level produced by an SSP at 100 (25) Myr in the L- (M-) UFDs as chemical enrichment does not have much of an effect on the star formation history. Therefore, we argue that an additional Ba source contributes to the chemical enrichment with an approximate, average delay of ∼ 100 Myr.

The duration of star formation in UFDs can be constrained to up to a few hundred Myr because gas heating by cosmic re-ionization can effectively quench star formation in these small galaxies by z ∼ 6. In addition, considering their low halo masses, we do not expect the onset of star formation in UFDs to be very early. In our simulations, star formation typically begins when the cosmic age is about 300 Myr. With these conditions, the duration of star formation in UFDs is shorter than 700 Myr. In our simulations, the L-UFD has a comparable length of star formation. Therefore the L-UFD can be considered as one of the most s-process enhanced UFDs. However, the predicted Ba abundance in the fiducial model is still lower than the observed values (red and orange stars; see Fig. 2). This suggests that Ba needs to be produced more efficiently in the low-metallicity environments present in UFDs.

A simple resolution to enhance Ba production might be to adopt different AGB yield models. It may solve the inconsistency if the yields were 10-100 times higher. However, such large amounts are unlikely because the yield of s-process elements in AGB stars is roughly proportional to the abundance of seed nuclei at low metallicity. Such enhanced AGB yields would also lead to an overproduction of Sr, and also of Ba at higher metallicities (−2 < [Fe/H]).

An alternative candidate for a Ba source might be super-AGB stars (Doherty et al. 2017). The typical progenitor mass is around 6–8 M⊙. Thus, super-AGB stars produce s-process elements within
besides the r-process there may well exist an additional production source of Sr that is rare and prolific.

4.3 Exploring additional Ba and Sr sources – RMS

RMSs can be the origin of both Ba and Sr, produced through the weak and main s-process. The rotation speed determines the ratio between Ba and Sr. In the L-UFD, we can see an increasing trend in the [Sr/Ba] ratio. This is a consequence of the contribution from the component with log (Z/Z⊙) = −2 playing a role. The smaller M-UFD seem to show an inverse trend, and it is a stochastic effect due to the incomplete sampling of IMF and IDROV. Stars formed later show [Sr/Ba] ratio converged to the IMF-average value at log (Z/Z⊙) = −3.

At [Fe/H] ≥ −2.5, [Sr/Ba] increases to −0.2. This trend reflects the fact that at log (Z/Z⊙) = −2, the fraction of stars rotating with 300 km s$^{-1}$ has greatly decreased compared to log (Z/Z⊙) = −3. On the contrary, the fraction of stars rotating with 150 km s$^{-1}$ does not change significantly, rendering the overall contribution of slower rotation stars dominant.

We can infer the fraction of fast rotators at −3 ≤ [Fe/H] ≤ −2 from simulated Ba abundances, as it is synthesized primarily in fast rotators. The fraction should be ∼10 per cent to explain the observed Ba abundances in UFDs. If the fraction is decreased or increased by 1 dex (1 or 100 per cent), Ba is under- or over-produced and thus incompatible with the observations. Since this constraint comes from the IMF-averaged amount of produced Ba, it is degenerate with the yields of RMS. The Ba abundances in UFD stars favour ∼1 × 10$^{-6}$ M⊙ of Ba production per 1 M⊙ of stars formed. We obtain a similar constraint on Sr, and the IMF-averaged Sr production should be ∼1 × 10$^{-8}$ M⊙ per 1 M⊙ of stars formed.

Although RMS roughly explain the [Ba/Fe] and [Sr/Fe] trends of UFDs as a whole, there remains some tension between the simulated and observed [Sr/Ba] distribution. The observed [Sr/Ba] values appear to be independent of [Fe/H]. However, if RMS are the dominant s-process source, we expect an increase of [Sr/Ba] as [Fe/H] following the slow-down of the rotation. Although the stellar masses of UFDs are too small to well-sample the IMF, all UFDs as a whole have sufficient masses, and we could detect the metallicity trend if it exists.

Another important test can be a comparison with observed nitrogen abundances. There are a recent observations by Ji et al. (2020) who use a CN molecular feature to obtain N abundances for three stars in Car II. They find no correlation of N with Ba or Sr. If RMS were the dominant producers of s-process elements, then stars enhanced in s-process elements should be also enhanced in N because N is readily produced in copious amounts due to fast rotation (Meynet, Ekström & Maeder 2006). The stellar mass of Car II is ∼10$^{-5}$ M⊙ (similar to our L-UFD), and therefore it is massive enough to average-out the effect of RMS to its IMF-averaged value. Although the N measurement uncertainties are quite large (∼0.5 dex) and the number of current observations remains limited, it is quite interesting to determine abundances of N in UFD stars, as an ongoing test for the RMS scenario. UFDs are particularly important because if RMSs exist, they would dominate the production of slow neutron-capture elements and N.

4.4 Exploring additional Sr sources – ECSNe

ECSNe produce a copious amount of Sr. As we have shown in Fig. 5, the amount is so large that even an ECSN imprints a simple and conspicuous signature. The ECSN progenitor mass-range is
Assuming that the stellar mass to luminosity ratio is roughly unity, the progenitor mass range of a single ECSN would enrich a galaxy to \([\text{Sr/H}] \gtrsim 0.15\) dex), and bottom-heavy (Salpeter 1955). In Fig. 9, we show the results of these tests. The \([\text{Ba/Fe}]\) values for the model with the top-heavy IMF are lower than those for our fiducial model (see Fig. 2), because the Fe production is enhanced, whereas the Ba production remains similar to that with the Chabrier IMF. Note that the top-heavy IMF model results in a similar distribution of \([\text{Fe/H}]\) compared with the fiducial model in spite of its more efficient iron production. This is because the stellar mass formed in the UFD decreases by a factor of 2–3 compared with the fiducial model as a result of the enhanced stellar feedback, but the total amount of Fe remains similar. In the case of the bottom-heavy IMF, the effective mass distribution is almost the same at around the initial mass \(M \gtrsim 1\,\text{M}_\odot\), which is the mass range that contributes most to Ba enrichment. Therefore, the result is almost the same as that of our fiducial model. Using a Komiya-like IMF increases the number ratio of intermediate-mass stars to massive stars, and accordingly, supplies more barium and less iron. This reproduces the observed trend of \([\text{Ba/Fe}]\) better as can be seen in Fig. 2. We note that, in addition to the stars represented by this IMF, low-mass companions in binary systems are assumed to be formed and to survive until today, although the companions are not explicitly represented in our simulations.

Integrated-galaxy IMF theory provides us with a systematic way to discuss IMF variations from SFR (Kroupa et al. 2013). In vigorously star-forming clouds, high-mass stars preferentially form, whereas slowly star-forming clouds produce a large number of low-mass stars. The star formation duration in our UFD simulations is \(\sim 2400\,\text{yr}\) for all models. Although UFDs generally could have mass-to-luminosity ratios larger than unity, we here derive a rough constraint. Assuming that 40 per cent of stars formed have survived until the present day (assuming a Chabrier IMF), the original stellar masses should have been \(5000\,\text{M}_\odot\). Therefore, the ECSN rate can be constrained to be less than 1 per 50000 \(\text{M}_\odot\) of stars formed. It roughly corresponds to the progenitor mass range of \(\Delta M = 0.1\,\text{M}_\odot\). For example, if only stars with 8.0–8.1 \(\text{M}_\odot\) explode as ECSNe, the rate would be 1/5000 \(\text{M}_\odot\).

Interestingly, some UFDs are highly Sr-enhanced: Pisces II, Hercules, and Canes Venatici II. ECSNe could be the origin of such prominent Sr enhancement. In our simulations, we find several stars with \([\text{Sr/Fe}] \sim 0\) are formed in the L-UFD. Because of the lower energy of ECSN (\(\sim 10^{50}\,\text{erg}\)), the minimum dilution mass is likely small, e.g. \(\sim 10^5\,\text{M}_\odot\). In this case, stars could be formed from gas enriched to \([\text{Sr/H}] \sim -1\) with a single prior ECSN, explaining the high \([\text{Sr/Fe}]\) reported by François et al. (2016).

### 4.5 IMF variation

The IMF of stars in metal-poor environments such as UFDs could be different from the one governing metal-rich environments (Kroupa et al. 2013; Gennaro et al. 2018). Komiya, Suda & Fujimoto (2009) propose a lognormal IMF centred at 3–20 \(\text{M}_\odot\) to explain the fraction of \(s\)-process enhanced stars among carbon-enhanced metal-poor stars in the MW. Geha et al. (2013) argue that a shallower IMF slope can explain the colour-magnitude diagrams of UFDs. Theoretically, the IMF of metal-free stars is expected to be top-heavy (Hirano et al. 2014). The stellar IMF might have been top-heavy in low-metallicity galaxies like UFDs. In contrast, Conroy & van Dokkum (2012) suggest that a bottom-heavy IMF would explain the Na\(_2\) (0.82 \(\mu\) m), Ca\(_{II}\) (0.86 \(\mu\) m), and Fe\(_{II}\) (0.99 \(\mu\) m) spectral features observed in early-type galaxies.

As a simple test for possible IMF variations, we run three additional simulations with different IMFs: top-heavy (log-flat), Komiya-like (lognormal, centred at 4 \(\text{M}_\odot\) and the standard deviation of 0.15 dex), and bottom-heavy (Salpeter 1955). In our fiducial model, the very low Sr abundances in the faintest UFDs are the consequence of no ECSNe having occurred in these systems. More massive UFDs could have experienced one or more ECSNe as indicated in Fig. 8.

We can place an upper limit to the ECSN rate based on the Sr abundances of UFD stars. From Fig. 8, we infer that galaxies with \(\lesssim 2400\,\text{L}_\odot\) should not have hosted any ECSNe. Then we can constrain the ECSN rate to be roughly less than one per 2000 \(\text{L}_\odot\) of stars. Assuming that the stellar mass to luminosity ratio is roughly unity, the current surviving stellar masses of UFDs are \(\sim 2000\,\text{M}_\odot\). Although UFDs generally could have mass-to-luminosity ratios larger than unity, we here derive a rough constraint. Assuming that 40 per cent of stars formed have survived until the present day (assuming a Chabrier IMF), the original stellar masses should have been \(5000\,\text{M}_\odot\). Therefore, the ECSN rate can be constrained to be less than 1 per 5000 \(\text{M}_\odot\) of stars formed. It roughly corresponds to the progenitor mass range of \(\Delta M = 0.1\,\text{M}_\odot\). For example, if only stars with 8.0–8.1 \(\text{M}_\odot\) explode as ECSNe, the rate would be 1/5000 \(\text{M}_\odot\).

Interestingly, some UFDs are highly Sr-enhanced: Pisces II, Hercules, and Canes Venatici II. ECSNe could be the origin of such prominent Sr enhancement. In our simulations, we find several stars with \([\text{Sr/Fe}] \sim 0\) are formed in the L-UFD. Because of the lower energy of ECSN (\(\sim 10^{50}\,\text{erg}\)), the minimum dilution mass is likely small, e.g. \(\sim 10^5\,\text{M}_\odot\). In this case, stars could be formed from gas enriched to \([\text{Sr/H}] \sim -1\) with a single prior ECSN, explaining the high \([\text{Sr/Fe}]\) reported by François et al. (2016).
Nevertheless, it is interesting which is outside of the range for which this IMF has been developed that enhancing the fraction of super-AGB stars while decreasing the IMF is only among the low-mass ($\lesssim 1 M_\odot$) stars that do not contribute to chemical evolution for stars formed with $[\text{Fe/H}] < -1.5$ (Matsuno et al. 2020). However, the $[\text{Ba/Eu}]$ of these sample stars is higher than the value predicted by the main $r$-process nucleosynthesis. This may suggest some $s$-process events which produce Ba with a delay-time similar to the time-scale of the metal enrichment leading to $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.5$. An interesting test of this possibility would be to measure or place strong constraints on Eu abundances for stars with measurements of Ba abundances in UFDs, so that we can identify the main contributors of Ba as originating from either the $r$- or $s$-process(es).

### 4.6 On UFDs with internal Ba spreads

Boo I, Car II, and ComBer show clear internal spreads in $[\text{Ba/Fe}]$. The reason for the Ba spreads could be either temporal- or spatial inhomogeneities. If it is temporal (see Fig. 2), AGB stars might be the source, and then star formation in these systems is likely a prolonged one ($\gtrsim$300 Myr). Fig. 2 shows that AGB stars can indeed cause an internal spread in a galaxy. There is a clear difference in the resulting $[\text{Ba/Fe}]$. In our simulations, even the stars formed later have $[\text{Ba/Fe}] \sim -1.5$, but some stars in Car II or Boo I have $[\text{Ba/Fe}] \sim -0.5$. The large difference may indicate that the star formation in these systems is even more prolonged ($\gtrsim$1 Gyr) than what is realized in our simulations.

In the case of spatial inhomogeneities (see Fig. 3), fast-RMSs could be the origin of Ba in these systems. They produce sufficient amounts of Ba and produce some stars with $[\text{Ba/Fe}] \sim -0.5$. The star formation duration should then be relatively short ($\lesssim$200 Myr). However, in this model, $[\text{Ba/Fe}]$ does not show an increasing trend with $[\text{Fe/H}]$, and therefore, cannot reproduce what is observed for Boo I. A possible resolution might be to assume a different distribution of stellar rotation speeds. A higher fraction of fast-rotating stars at log ($Z/Z_\odot$) = $-2$ could reproduce the increasing trend of $[\text{Ba/Fe}]$ in Boo I. An important test of this non-standard scenario would be an quantifiable correlation of nitrogen abundance with Sr or Ba, as it is necessary to observationally confirm the co-production of these elements. Accurate measurements of nitrogen abundances would allow us to answer the question.

### 4.7 Effects of tidal stripping

Signatures of tidal stripping are observed in some UFDs. If tidal stripping is common among UFDs, their stellar masses could potentially be significantly higher than what we assume ($\sim 10^5 M_\odot$) before the stripping. In an extreme case, they could have been so massive that the stochasticity of $r$-process would no longer apply, and the enrichment events would have become averaged out. In addition, their gravitational potential wells could have been significantly deeper, allowing them to keep gas and forming stars after cosmic re-ionization. Therefore, our conclusions regarding short star formation time-scales and the '0 or 1'-type behaviour of $r$-process enrichment would not hold. Boo I and Ursa Major II, which have stars with $[\text{Ba/Fe}] \sim -1$, may indeed have lost stellar...
mass due to tidal forces by the MW (Belokurov et al. 2006; Zucker et al. 2006).

In the scenario of initially more massive UFDs, they must have been able to form stars even after cosmic re-ionization. Such galaxies accumulate s-process elements. Also they would have had enough mass to average out the stochastic nature of r-process events. Accordingly, the shortcoming of our models to reproduce stars with [Ba/Fe] $\sim -0.5$ might possibly imply that Ba-rich UFDs are actually such tidally stripped systems. However, most UFDs are too far away from the Galactic centre to lose their stars in this way (Simon 2018). Also, there are UFDs with [Ba/Fe] $\sim -1$ that do not show signatures of tidal disruption or past stripping events. Hence, in the present paper, we assume that the UFDs have retained most of their mass over time, including their early stellar populations.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have modelled the evolution and chemical enrichment process of small, early galaxies using moving-mesh hydrodynamic simulations. We have focused on the production of Ba in UFDs, but we have also considered origins of Sr in order to compare our results with observed abundance patterns of stars in UFDs. Understanding the enrichment history or Ba and Sr is important because even the most up-to-date sets of elemental yields that can reproduce the s-process material in the MW, they cannot explain observed trends of Ba and Sr abundances in UFDs, likely owing to a very different star-formation and chemical enrichment history.

Our main findings are summarized as follows:

(i) Ba production rate should be efficient with $\sim 1 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot$ per 1 M$_\odot$ of stars in the first 100 Myr, even in low-metallicity environments such as in UFDs. The required short delay-time suggests that the origin of Ba must have a short evolutionary timescale, which is fulfilled by short-lived, massive stars. Super-AGB stars alone cannot resolve the inconsistency between our simulation results and observations.

(ii) RMSs can explain the Ba abundances of observed UFDs if $\sim 10$ per cent of stars are rotating at $\sim 300$ km s$^{-1}$. An interesting test of such an RMS model would be provided by nitrogen abundance. If RMSs are the dominant factory of s-process elements, we would expect correlations between the abundances of nitrogen and s-process elements.

(iii) ECSNe are an efficient Sr factory. ECSNe leave a clear signature in the abundance patterns once occurred in small systems like UFDs. From the fact that the Sr abundances in low-luminosity UFDs are particularly low, we argue that the UFDs were not affected by ECSNe. Considering the UFDs’ luminosities and stellar masses, the rate of ECSNe would be less than 2 per cent of CCSNe, narrowing the mass range of ECSNe progenitors to $\leq 0.1 M_\odot$ at $-3 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -2$.

(iv) It is possible to explain the observed Ba abundances by introducing an IMF that produces predominantly super-AGB and AGB stars while suppressing the Fe production by massive stars. Such a top-light IMF might be realized in small galaxies with low SFRs (Kroupa et al. 2013).

UFDs serve as an important laboratory for studies on nucleosynthesis in the early Universe. Future spectroscopic observations of additional stars in many UFDs, as well as measurements of other elemental abundances such as Eu and N, would allow us to identify the origin of Ba and other neutron-capture elements.
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