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**A B S T R A C T**

The present investigation was carried out for Correlation studies and Path Coefficient Analysis in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Correlation studies showed that the traits viz. harvest index, number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight, number of seeds per pod and plant height exhibited positive and highly significant genotypic correlation with seed yield. This indicates that the simultaneous improvement of these characters through selection. Path coefficient analysis indicated that the characters viz number of primary branches per plant, plant height and days to 50 % flowering exhibited negative direct effect on seed yield per plant. Hence, the selection of genotypes based on these characters as selection criterion would be helpful in improving the seed yield potential of chickpea.
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**Introduction**

Among the pulse, the chickpea is a important *Rabi* pulse crop of the region. Among all pulses chickpea contributes 36% area and 46% production in year 2017-18. During 2017-18 estimated area and production of chickpea in Maharashtra was 18.92 lakh ha and 17.61 lakh ton respectively. In Maharashtra, highest chickpea was grown on 19.29 lakh ha with the highest production of 19.41 lakh tones during 2016-17. The productivity is also highest during 2016-17 (1006kg/ha). In India percentage of area is increased upto 10.81% during year 2017-18 as compared to previous year while percentage of area decreased by 4.38% in Maharashtra. Maharashtra is having 14.69% contribution in the area with 13.74% production share in the nation (average of last ten years). Madhya Pradesh is having highest area of 35.90 lakh ha, production 45.95 lakh tons and productivity 1280 kg/ha during the year 2017-18. During 2017-18, the area in Maharashtra was 20 lakh ha with production of 17.61 lakh tons and productivity is 881 kg/ha [Anonymous, (2017)].
In year 2018-19, Maharashtra was having 13.14 lakh ha area with production of 9.87 lakh tons and productivity is 751 kg/ha while Marathwada is having 4.78 (36%) lakh ha area under chickpea, 2.99 (35%) tons production and 630 kg/ha productivity. In India chickpea is exported to countries like Pakistan, Arab EMTS, Algeria, Saudi Arab and Sri Lanka and however it is imported from Australia, Russia, Tanzania, USA and Canada (Annonymous, 2018-19).

In plant breeding, correlation coefficient analysis measures the mutual relationship between various variables and determines the component characters on which selection can be based for genetic improvement in yield. Correlation coefficient is a statistical measure which is used to find out the degree (strength) and direction of relationship between two or more variables.

The phenotypic and genotypic paths are commonly estimated to determine yield contributing characters which are useful for plant breeders and geneticists in selection of elite genotypes from diverse genetic population.

The association of one or more characters influenced by a large number of genes is elaborated statistically by correlation coefficients. Genotypic correlation coefficient provides a measure of genotypes conjugation between characters. The method of partitioning the correlation into direct and indirect effects by path coefficients analysis was suggested by Wright (1921). It provides useful information on the relative merits of the traits in the selection criteria. Breeder selects the parents on the basis of phenotypic divergence, but for effective breeding, the knowledge of genetic diversity amongst the parents with respect to the characters which are to be improved is essential.

In applied plant breeding, the correlation and path analysis provide information on genetic association of yield and different yield contributing characters, which in turn are useful in developing breeding strategies.

**Materials and Methods**

The present investigation on chickpea for correlation and path analysis was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Badnapur, during *Rabi* season of 2017-18. The experimental materials used for study consisted of forty three genotypes of chickpea, out of which 25 genotypes were obtained from International Crop Research Institute for Semi Arid Tropics, Hyderabad, 15 genotypes from the A.R.S. Badnapur and three standard checks.

Forty genotypes of chickpea along with three standard checks *viz.* Akash (BDNG-797), Digvijay, JAKI 9218 were evaluated in a randomized block design with two replications during *Rabi* season of 2017-18.

Each genotype was sown in two rows of 4 m length with spacing of 45 cm between rows and 10 cm within rows. The data were recorded on five randomly selected plants of each replication for all characters such as days to 50% of flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, harvest index, 100 seed weight and seed yield.

The genotypic co-variance was calculated as per *Johnson et al.,* (1955). To establish a cause and effect relationship the partitioning of genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient was done into direct and indirect effects by path analysis as suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959) and developed by Wright (1921).
Results and Discussion

Correlation coefficients

The characters viz. harvest index, number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight, number of seeds per pod and plant height, days to maturity recorded highly positive significant correlation with seed yield. Seed yield per plant had positive significant correlation with harvest index (p=0.6868; g=0.7787). Gohil et al., (2010) found that seed yield per plant exhibited significant and positive correlation with harvest index, number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight and number of seeds per pod at genotypic as well as phenotypic levels. Seed yield per plant had positive significant correlation with number of pods per plant (p=0.5504; g=0.6338).

Earlier studies too have indicated such positive significant correlation for number of pods per plant by Guler et al., (2001). 100 seed weight (p=0.3875; g=0.4564), number of seeds per pod (p=0.3234; g=0.4726) and plant height cm (p=0.2467; g=0.3429) also showed significant positive correlation with seed yield per plant. Akhtar et al., (2011) found that seed yield had positive and significant correlation with 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant and plant height. In other words, an increase in the magnitude of these characters would lead to an increase in the magnitude of grain yield (Fig. 1 and Table 1–3).

Path analysis

In path coefficient analysis the characters, number of pods per plant, harvest index, 100 seed weight, number of seeds per pod, plant height and number of secondary branches per plant had positive direct effect on seed yield in decreasing order of magnitude.

Among all the components number of pods per plant exhibited the highest direct effect (p=0.4750) on seed yield followed by harvest index (p=0.3958), 100 seed weight (p=3251), days to maturity (p=0.2735), number of seeds per pod (p=0.2304), number of secondary branches per plant (p=0.0592), while number of primary branches per plant (p=0.0259), plant height (p=0.0361) and days to 50 % flowering (p=-0.2496), recorded negative direct effect at phenotypic level. Similar results were reported by Talebi et al., (2007) for number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod and harvest index.

At genotypic level pods per plant exhibited the highest positive direct effect (g=0.5912) on seed yield followed by number of seeds per pod (g=0.5861), 100 seed weight (g=0.5623), days to maturity (g=0.3536), harvest index (g=0.2746), number of secondary branches per plant (g=0.2212). Parhe et al., (2014) recorded 100 seed weight, number of pods per plant and number of secondary branches per plant, plant had positive direct effect on seed yield and negative direct effect by number of primary branches per plant (g=0.0532), plant height (g=-0.1552) and days to 50 % flowering (g=-0.1642).

Gaikwad and Monpara (2011) reported highest positive direct effect of number of pods per plant and 100 seed weight on grain yield. These findings revealed that these were major yield contributing traits in chickpea. Path coefficient analysis indicated that the characters viz., number of primary branches per plant, plant height and days to 50 % flowering exhibited negative direct effect on seed yield per plant. But these characters had positive indirect effect via. another character on seed yield. Hence, the selection of genotypes based on these characters as selection criterion would be helpful in improving the seed yield potential of chickpea.
**Table 1** Estimation of phenotypic (above diagonal) correlation coefficients in chickpea

| Characters                        | Days to 50 % flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height | Number of primary branches / plant | Number of secondary branches / plant | Number of pods / plant | Number of seeds / pod | 100 seed weight | Harvest Index | Seed yield / plant |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                                  | 1.000                  | 0.9061**         | -0.5131**    | 0.2971**                          | 0.3220**                           | -0.0347                | -0.3010**           | -0.3465**         | -0.2082         | -0.2527**         |
| Days to 50 % flowering           |                        |                  |              |                                   |                                     |                        |                      |                   |                 |                  |
| Days to maturity                 | 0.9061**               | 1.000            | -0.3930**    | 0.2989**                          | 0.3471**                           | 0.0169                  | -0.2859**           | -0.2684*          | -0.1336         | -0.1236          |
| Plant height                     | -0.5131**              | -0.3930**        | 1.000        | -0.1911                           | -0.2247*                           | 0.1681                  | 0.1245               | 0.2300*           | 0.2208*         | 0.2467*          |
| Number of primary branches per plant | 0.2971**              | 0.2989**         | -0.1911      | 1.000                             | 0.4786**                           | 0.0570                  | -0.1174              | -0.2560*          | -0.1261         | -0.1161          |
| Number of secondary branches per plant | 0.3220**              | 0.3471**         | -0.2247*     | 0.4786**                          | 1.000                              | 0.2916**                | -0.2623*             | -0.2905**         | -0.0701         | 0.0254           |
| Number of pods per plant         | -0.0347                | 0.0169           | 0.1681       | 0.0570                            | 0.2916**                           | 1.000                   | -0.0151              | -0.1715           | 0.2821**        | 0.5504**         |
| Number of seeds per pod          | -0.3010**              | -0.2859**        | 0.1245       | -0.1174                           | -0.2623*                           | -0.0151                 | 1.000                | 0.1121            | 0.2115          | 0.3234**         |
| 100 seed weight                  | -0.3465**              | -0.2684*         | 0.2300*      | -0.2560*                          | -0.2905**                          | -0.1715                 | 0.1121               | 1.000             | 0.3129**        | 0.3875**         |
| Harvest index                    | -0.2082                | -0.1336          | 0.2208*      | -0.1261                           | -0.0701                            | 0.2821**                | 0.2115               | 0.3129**          | 1.000           | 0.6868**         |
| Seed yield per plant             | -0.2527*               | -0.1236          | 0.2467*      | -0.1161                           | 0.0254                            | 0.5504**                | 0.3234**             | 0.3875**          | 0.6868**        | 1.000            |

* Significant at 5 % level of probability or level of significance,
** Significant at 1 % level of probability or level of significance
Table 2: Direct and indirect effect of yield and its component characters on grain yield at phenotypic level

| Characters | Days to 50 % flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height | Number of primary branches per plant | Number of secondary branches per plant | Number of pods per plant | Number of seeds per pod | 100 seed weight | Harvest index | Total phenotypic correlation with seed yield / plant |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering | -0.2496 | -0.2261 | 0.1280 | -0.0741 | -0.0803 | 0.0086 | 0.0751 | 0.0865 | 0.0520 | -0.2527 |
| Days to maturity | 0.2478 | **0.2735** | -0.1075 | 0.0817 | 0.0949 | 0.0046 | -0.0782 | -0.0734 | -0.0365 | -0.1236 |
| Plant height | 0.0185 | 0.0142 | **-0.0361** | 0.0069 | 0.0081 | -0.0061 | -0.0045 | -0.0083 | -0.0080 | 0.2467 |
| No. of primary branches per plant | -0.0077 | -0.0077 | 0.0049 | **-0.0259** | -0.0124 | -0.0015 | 0.0030 | 0.0066 | 0.0033 | -0.1161 |
| No. of secondary branches per plant | 0.0191 | 0.0206 | -0.0133 | 0.0283 | **0.0592** | 0.0173 | -0.0155 | -0.0172 | -0.0042 | 0.0254 |
| Number of pods per plant | -0.0165 | 0.0080 | 0.0798 | 0.0271 | 0.1385 | **0.4750** | -0.0072 | -0.0814 | 0.1340 | 0.5504 |
| Number of seeds per pod | -0.0693 | -0.0659 | 0.0287 | -0.0270 | -0.0604 | -0.0035 | **0.2304** | 0.0258 | 0.0487 | 0.3234 |
| 100 seed weight | -0.1126 | -0.0873 | 0.0748 | -0.0832 | -0.0944 | -0.0557 | 0.0364 | **0.3251** | 0.1017 | 0.3875 |
| Harvest index | -0.0824 | -0.0529 | **0.0874** | -0.0499 | -0.0277 | 0.1116 | **0.0837** | **0.1239** | **0.3958** | **0.6868** |

Residual effect = 0.4913. Underlined figures indicate direct effect.

*, ** indicates significant at 5 and 1 % level of significant respective.
Table 3 Direct and indirect effect of yield and its component characters on grain yield at genotypic level

| Characters                        | Days to 50 % flowering | Days to maturity | Plant height | Number of primary branches per plant | Number of secondary branches per plant | Number of pods per plant | Number of seeds per pod | 100 seed weight | Harvest index | Total genotypic correlation with seed yield / plant |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Days to 50 % flowering           | -0.1642                 | -0.1524          | 0.1072       | -0.0565                             | -0.0586                               | 0.0068                  | 0.0790                 | 0.0671            | 0.0351        | -0.2691                                            |
| Days to maturity                 | 0.3281                  | 0.3536           | -0.1789      | 0.1088                              | 0.1273                                | 0.0098                  | -0.1741                | -0.1257           | -0.0485       | -0.1667                                            |
| Plant height                     | 0.1013                  | 0.0785           | -0.1552      | 0.0642                              | 0.0437                                | -0.0346                 | -0.0680                | -0.0392           | -0.0448       | 0.3429                                             |
| No. of primary branches per plant| -0.0183                 | -0.0164          | 0.0220       | -0.0532                             | -0.0295                               | -0.0056                 | 0.0135                 | 0.0240            | 0.0086        | -0.1984                                            |
| No. of secondary branches per plant| 0.0789                 | 0.0797           | -0.0220      | 0.1227                              | 0.2212                                | 0.0827                  | -0.0984                | -0.0844           | -0.0194       | 0.0259                                             |
| Number of pods per plant         | -0.0245                 | 0.0164           | -0.0623      | 0.0624                              | 0.2211                                | 0.5912                  | -0.0099                | -0.1022           | 0.1950        | 0.6338                                             |
| Number of seeds per pod          | -0.2821                 | -0.2886          | 0.1318       | -0.1490                             | -0.2607                               | -0.0098                 | 0.5861                  | 0.0601            | 0.1850        | 0.4726                                             |
| 100 seed weight                  | -0.2297                 | -0.1999          | 0.2569       | -0.2533                             | -0.2145                               | -0.0972                 | 0.5623                  | 0.1932            | 0.4564        |                                                  |
| Harvest index                    | -0.0586                 | -0.0377          | 0.0793       | -0.0445                             | -0.0241                               | 0.0905                  | 0.0867                  | 0.0944            | 0.2746        | 0.7787                                             |
**Fig.1** Diagram showing the genotypic correlation in yield and its component characters of Chickpea
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