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Rapid, sensitive, accurate and portable diagnostics are a mainstay of modern medicine. Tuberculosis is a disease that has been with us since time immemorial and, despite the fact that it can be treated and cured, it still remains the world’s biggest infectious killer, taking the lives of millions annually. There have been important developments in the diagnostic devices for tuberculosis however, these are often prone to error, expensive, lack the necessary sensitivity or accuracy and, crucially, not sufficiently portable and thus not applicable in the remote, rural areas, where they are most needed. Modern solutions have been emerging in the past decade, seeking to overcome many of the inhibiting issues in this field by utilising recent advances in molecular biology, genetics and sequencing or even completely ‘reinventing the wheel’, by developing novel and unprecedented diagnostic techniques. In this mini review, the issues and challenges arising from the historical methods of diagnosing tuberculosis are discussed, followed by outlaying their particular lack of appropriateness for regions of the world where tuberculosis still remains endemic. Subsequently, more recent developments of new methods and technological advancements as ‘modern weapons’ in the battle to defeat this disease and associated challenges are reviewed, and finally an outlook is presented, highlighting the future of the modern solutions under development, which are envisioned to lay the platform for improvements in delivering timely intervention, reduce immense expense and burden on healthcare systems worldwide, while saving millions of lives and eventually, may enable the eradication of this ancient disease.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is an ancient bacterial disease, which is believed to have been infecting humans for over 40,000 years and understood to have originated from the domestication of animals [1]. Its primary causative agent, Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb), is thought to infect approximately 1 in 3 people worldwide, according to the World Health Organisation (WHO) [2]; accounting for ~90% of TB infections [3] and as such, this review shall primarily focus on Mtb. Other causative agents of TB in humans, include Mycobacterium bovis and Mycobacterium africanum amongst others and these form the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC) [3]. The WHO estimates that TB has claimed the lives of over 1.4 million people in 2019 alone.

Infection establishes itself following inhalation of an exhaled droplet from a patient with an active infection. Upon being inhaled, the bacilli travel to the lungs, where they are engulfed by macrophages, replicate and eventually form a granuloma [4]. At this time point, an infection has established itself within the lungs. For most people (circa. 90%) [5], this is where the infection stays; the replication of the bacteria is kept in equilibrium and the infection is latent (Latent Tuberculosis Infection (LTBI)), unable to infect others [6]. For the remaining 10%, due to a litany of factors, the resulting caseous
granuloma ruptures and the infection can proliferate and disseminate through the individual’s airways to infect other people. In some cases, the bacteria can infiltrate the lungs and establish an infection elsewhere in the body, causing extrapulmonary TB in ~15% of cases [2].

Treatment requires a lengthy course of a range of antibiotics. Generally consisting of rifampicin being taken in combination with isoniazid over the course of 6 months, as per recommendations from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (N.I.C.E.) [7]. Findings indicate that adverse reactions can be observed in over 75% of patients during rifampicin treatment [8]. Specifically, this can include gastrointestinal disturbances, arthralgia, peripheral neuropathy and drug-induced hepatitis [9]. Additionally, hepatotoxicity can present in up to 28% of patients [10]. Due to these side effects and the duration of the antibiotic course, it is vital to ensure the most appropriate antibiotics are used by correctly identifying drug-sensitive and drug-resistant Mtb. This will also bolster patients’ compliance and improve the overall prognosis [11].

Multi-drug resistant TB (MDR-TB)\(^1\) has been emerging as a major cause for concern. Whilst predominantly emerging from the former USSR [12], apprehensions around the emergence of drug resistance in Africa and Asia are also prevalent amongst the WHO and researchers [13]. It is estimated that MDR-TB was the cause of death in around 182,000 people in 2019 [2,14], with many countries reporting continued rises in the proportion of MDR-TB cases [12] and extensive drug resistant TB (XDR-TB)\(^2\) also being more frequently reported [15–18]. This is further exacerbated by the co-infection of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and TB, particularly in certain areas of South Africa, where up to 36% of the population is known to be infected with HIV [19]. HIV poses a key co-morbidity in TB; being a component in a third of all TB deaths in 2019 [20]. HIV also increases the risk of a latent infection becoming either an active infection or a pulmonary infection becoming extrapulmonary [21].

Diagnosis is typically underpinned by a combined use of molecular detection methods, immunological based assays and direct culture and observation [2]. These methods however, do have known limitations such as, being less effective in individuals infected with HIV (due to their reduced immune response [22]), those with a latent infection, and in children unable to produce significant sputum containing Mtb. Furthermore, these methods often require a steady supply of electricity, well-trained personnel and lab modules, which are seldom available in the often under-resourced laboratories in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) [23]. In terms of diagnosing extrapulmonary TB, diagnosis often remains the same as with pulmonary or latent TB, though it is often combined with the use of invasive biopsies taken from the suspected site of infection [24].

Timely and effective diagnosis for combatting and eradicating TB is at the heart of WHO guidelines [2] with management of latent infections being considered a key component in eradication [25]. However, this goal is poorly supported by current technologies which fall short of the portable diagnostic needs, exhibiting poor-sensitivity, special-handling requirements and complicated, costly procedures. There is, therefore, an urgent need to develop diagnostic methods that can accurately, rapidly, and cheaply diagnose TB particularly, in the rural areas of the Global South.

In this article, current diagnostic methods and their limitations are overviewed. Contemporary technological developments and the future direction of these advancements is further discussed aiming to cover the more recent, novel methods that could be utilised to address the challenges associated with TB in rural areas, such as HIV-TB co-infection, MDR-TB and LTBI.

‘State-of-the-art’ diagnostic methods and current challenges

Direct culture and observation

The ‘gold-standard’ for positive diagnosis of TB is the use of traditional plate culture [26], typically conducted in a high containment laboratory, due to the inherent risk associated with working with Mtb. The culturing of Mtb raises several issues, mainly relating to the growth rate of Mtb, which is substantially slower than other pathogenic organisms, most probably due to a selective pressure at which faster growing Mycobacterium spp. induces a greater immune response [27]. It can often take more than four weeks for Mtb to produce colonies using traditional Lowenstien–Jensen medium (LJ) [28]. An advantage of plate culture is that drug sensitivity testing can take place concurrently, enabling clinicians to guide antimicrobial therapy more effectively [29].

---

\(^1\)Disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains with resistance to, at least, isoniazid and rifampicin [1].

\(^2\)MDR-TB plus resistance to at least one of the fluoroquinolones and one of the injectable agents used in MDR-TB treatment regimens [1].
Mycobacteria growth indicator tubes (MGIT) seek to overcome this using a fluorescent probe, which is quenched by dissolved oxygen within a selective medium. Upon successful cultivation of Mtb, the oxygen is depleted, causing the probe to be activated. This is subsequently detected using a light sensor. MGITs have been shown to reduce the mean detection time from 38.6 days to 21.4 days [28]. It is worth noting that to successfully culture the organism requires a high level of expertise and MGIT only offers a rather minor advantage over traditional culture methods [30].

Since, Mtb is a group 3 Hazard according to the Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens [31], defined as a biological agent, which ‘…can cause severe human disease and may be a serious hazard to employees…may spread to the community...’ [31], it requires the corresponding containment facilities to be safely handled. However, this is not always possible within LMICs and, even less so within the more rural settings. Any such facility would also require the relevant expertise and logistics to be run, which is not possible in many of these circumstances [32]. Furthermore, sampling methods of Mtb can be inconsistent, with the subsequent sensitivity varying between 16–60% [33]. Sampling methods can involve for instance, a patient producing sputum and ejecting it into a sterile container, or more invasive methods such as, bronchoalveolar lavage and bronchial washing [34]. Both exhibiting a significant difference in their sensitivity of 85.7% vs 50.0%, respectively [34]. This can consequently have an impact on the detection rate in local settings, and consideration must also be given to the impact the lavage or washing methods may have on the patients themselves [35].

The use of smear microscopy is often used in tandem with culture, especially in resource poor settings. The method requires the use of the Ziehl-Neelsen stain that dyes Mtb and can often result in low sensitivity (56%) in early active cases [36]. Whilst both culture and smear microscopy can be very useful when used together, their efficacy drops significantly in children [37] and HIV or immunocompromised patients due to the lower levels of Mtb in the sputum as well as a weakened ability to produce sputum [38,39].

Further methods have been combined with culture to successfully diagnose TB including, the chest X-ray and the Tuberculin skin test [40]. Nevertheless, their efficacy was found to be relatively poor when compared with other more modern methods, which utilise molecular or immunological techniques. The diagnostic flaws of these methods are often attributed to the effect of the human error in interpretation of the results [41]. To overcome this, several companies are developing computational algorithms and the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) [42] to better interpret chest X-ray results (discussed later).

Molecular and immunological detection

Historically, the above described direct detection methods have formed the basis of TB diagnostics. However recently, a shift has occurred towards, either molecular or immunological methods. These rely on the detection of molecules or compounds associated with Mtb (as opposed to the entire organism) or the detection of components of the immune system reactive to Mtb (e.g. antibodies). There is currently a growing number of products on the market utilising these approaches. The two most prominent widely used assays are the molecular/Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)-based, GeneXpert MTB/RIF® assay and the immunological-based, QuantiFERON-TB gold® assay. GeneXpert first pellets bacteria from sputum and then uses PCR to test for the presence of rpoB, a gene in Mtb responsible for producing RNA polymerase B. It also tests for resistance to rifampicin, a first-line antibiotic.

Gamma interferon release assays (e.g. the QuantiFERON-TB gold®) have been developed to test for TB infection by analysing the levels of gamma interferon released by immune cells (taken from a blood sample) in the presence of TB antigens. If the level of release is found to be above a specified threshold, a patient is considered positive for TB.

There are several additional diagnostic methods for diagnosing TB, though their uptake is not as prevalent [43]. Notably, this includes loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), which uses an isothermal amplification procedure, in contrast with PCR’s multiple, cycling reactions, to produce a result that can be visualised by the naked eye. Although, the sensitivity of LAMP is questionable in smear-negative samples [44] and the reagents required to carry out these tests can often be prohibitively expensive [45], this method is recognised by the WHO [2]. Another example is the line probe assay (LPA), which uses PCR to amplify DNA from Mtb and subsequently, applies the output to immobilised oligonucleotides on a strip. These oligonucleotides in turn, emit a colourimetric signal, which signifies the presence of gene mutations conferring drug resistance to isoniazid or rifampicin as well as drug sensitive strains within the sample. LPAs are useful in detecting drug resistance in addition to Mtb in smear-positive samples, but are contraindicated in smear negative samples due to their poor detection rate [2,46] as the result of low numbers of individual Mtb cells being below the limit of...
Detection. Another WHO approved method is the urine-based lipoarabinomannan assay, which seeks to detect the presence of this *Mtb* compound in the urine of TB patients. These assays have only been indicated in HIV patients and the severely sick due to overall poor sensitivity in other patient groups [47].

Molecular or immunological approaches can produce results in considerably shorter times than conventional culture. These tests are known to be prone to false positives, and thus a combined use with culture methods enables a more accurate diagnosis. Though limitations become prominent when used in HIV, immunocompromised, paediatric or latent patients [48,49]. Additionally, molecular or immunological assays are costly to deploy and require a good level of expertise and suitable infrastructure to be implemented as evident by their high uptake in high-income countries, such as the United Kingdom yet, almost negligible uptake in countries such as India [50], which often lack such infrastructure.

Overall, the inherent weaknesses of the current diagnostic methods as well as the reasons for their failings are broad and various. Table 1 summarises the methods and their principle with the corresponding benefits as well as drawbacks. Briefly summarising the limitations of the current repertoire of TB tests include being highly time-consuming (in either acquisition or generation of results), requiring specialist laboratory equipment and well-trained personnel, being open to wide interpretation, exhibiting low specificity, which is further exacerbated in HIV and immunocompromised patients as well as children, or infrastructure issues within LMICs. Therefore, recent developments have been sought to overcome many of these limitations by both reducing the equipment and infrastructural requirements and by utilising different sample types.

### Recent developments

#### ddPCR

More recent developments have started utilising some of the newly emerging technologies such as, digital droplet PCR (ddPCR). ddPCR is a more recent innovation which partitions the amplification reaction seen in PCR; this way, it provides an absolute quantification of gene expression rather than a relative one. Due to this, its sensitivity is higher than qPCR, and this method is capable of detecting single copies of DNA [51]. This technique has already been used to monitor mixed populations of cells to better understand drug-resistance [52]. Whilst ddPCR offers a greater amount of sensitivity than quantitative or qPCR methods and can be used to test for *Mtb* infection in both sputum and blood samples [53,54], and therefore useful in cases of pulmonary, extrapulmonary, LTBI and active TB, though its main drawback is that it can be prohibitively expensive [55].

### CRISPR

Clustered Regularly InterSPaced Repeats or CRISPR and its combined use with CRISPR ASsociated nuclease 9, Cas9 (Figure 1) is a technology that is often thought of as a gene-editing technique. Essentially, it has acted as a programmable enzyme that can ‘cut’ DNA in specific places, though recent discoveries have identified more enzymes which possess collateral activity as well as an ability to target single-stranded DNA, as is the case with Cas12a [56]. Contemporary research has demonstrated that this technique could be used to detect *Mtb* DNA [57]. This is particularly noteworthy due to its potential to be lyophilised onto a lateral flow stick with attomolar sensitivity, enabling it to be readily deployed in areas where electricity is seldom available [58]. Ai et al. have utilised a recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) prior to placing onto the lateral flow stick. RPA is a DNA/RNA amplification technique that can be run at a relatively low temperature (ca. 37°C). The speed of the amplification of RPA is proportional to the temperature (e.g. the higher the temperature, the faster the reaction) as it amplifies IS6110. One of the key advantages of CRISPR based diagnostics is that it can be rapidly developed and tailored to quickly identify novel mechanisms of drug-resistance [59], allowing it to supersede PCR-based methods. Adding to this, is the ability to be used in tandem with PCR to significantly bolster the limit of detection in more resource-rich settings [60]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the technique has a better overall diagnostic performance when compared with the GeneXpert MTB/RIF® assay as well as a faster turnaround [57]. The overall principle of this technique is summarised in Figure 2.

---

3Defined by the WHO as ‘respiratory rate >30 breaths per min, body temperature >39°C, heart rate >120 beats per min, or unable to walk unaided’
Table 1 Currently used diagnostic techniques, recognised by the WHO, with the associated strengths and weaknesses

| Diagnostic method | Sensitivity (95% CI) | Specificity (95% CI) | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Bacterial culture | 100%                 | 100%                 | + Gold standard of sensitivity and specificity; + Drug sensitivity testing can take place in tandem (through use of drug diffusion disks); + Cheaper than molecular/immunological methods | – Requires high level biosafety containment laboratory; – Generation of results is time-consuming (>22 days) |
| MGIT (Becton Dickinson and Company, U.S.A.) | 86–93%               | 99.99%               | + Faster than conventional culture; + High degree of specificity and sensitivity | – More expensive than conventional culture; – Requires specialist training; – Method is labour intensive; – Requires high-containment lab |
| Smear microscopy | 60–69%               | 97–98%               | + Rapid, on-site delivery; + Cheap; + Few reagents are required | – Requires training; – Reagents are toxic (e.g. phenol) |
| Chest X-ray | 73–79%               | 60–63%               | + Readily available in healthcare settings; + Non-invasive | – Low specificity & sensitivity; – High initial costs, although has multiple applications |
| Tuberculin skin test (Sanofi, France) | 48–78%               | 57–81%               | + Cheap; + Can be used for LTBI; + Can be rapidly deployed; + Requires no handling of Mtb | – Results take ~5 days to appear; – Requires repeated visits to healthcare professional; – Highly variable sensitivity and specificity |
| GeneXpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, U.S.A.) | 82–88%               | 96–98%               | + Can test for Mtb and rifampicin resistance; + Rapid turnaround (<2 h); + Cartridges can be stored at room temperature | – High initial start-up cost (> $10,000); – Variable sensitivity in HIV/Immunocompromised patients (73–87%); – Low sensitivity in smear-negative patients (64–75%) |
| QuantIFERON-TB Gold (Qiagen, U.S.A.) | 61–86%               | 57–81%               | + Can be used for LTBI; + Blood sample (which is easier to acquire than a sputum sample); + Rapid detection | – Less sensitive in HIV/Immunocompromised individuals (43–49%); – Less sensitive in children (70–76%); – Requires handling of blood samples; – Requires specialist training; – Relatively expensive |
| TB LAMP (Eiken, Japan) | 85.6–92.6%           | 91.0–96.1%           | + Sensitivity and specificity comparable to PCR testing; + Cheaper to run than PCR; + Visual readout; + Rapid detection | – Infrastructure required can be prohibitively expensive; – Cannot be used for LTBI; – Presents a significant contamination risk if run in a molecular biology laboratory |
| Line probe assays for Mtb (Hain Lifescience, Germany & Nipro, Japan) | 95.6–97.5%           | 98.7–99.5%           | + Can detect resistance to isoniazid and/or rifampicin; + Rapid detection (<6 h) | – Less sensitive and specific in smear-negative samples (62.5–74%) and (84.9–92.8%); – Requires electricity; – Reagents require refrigeration/freezing; – Cannot be used for LTBI |
| Determine TB LAM Ag test (Abbott, U.S.A.) | 13–93%               | 87–99%               | – Non-invasive; – Rapid detection; – Useful in immunocompromised/HIV patients who are seriously ill | – Large variability in sensitivity; – Not recommended in immunocompetent individuals |

*Defined as ‘respiratory rate >30 breaths per min, body temperature >39°C, heart rate >120 beats per min, or unable to walk unaided’

†Nambiar R, Bereksi N, Gonzalez R, de Cozar A, Loubet M, Shetty A, et al. Performance of bioMérieux Lowenstein–Jensen slopes in plastic tube packaging, compared to existing phenotypic methods, for efficient recovery of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex. Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2019;68(3):398–401;

‡Cruciani M, Scarparo C, Malena M, Bosco O, Serpelloni G, Mengoli C. Meta-analysis of BACTEC MGIT 960 and BACTEC 460 TB, with or without solid media, for detection of mycobacteria. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(5):2321-5;

§Davis JL, Cattamanchi A, Cuevas LE, Hopewell PC, Steingart KR. Diagnostic accuracy of same-day microscopy versus standard microscopy for pulmonary tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2013;13(2):147-54;

¶Piccazzo R, Paparo F, Garlaschi G. Diagnostic Accuracy of Chest Radiography for the Diagnosis of Tuberculosis (TB) and Its Role in the Detection of Latent TB Infection: a Systematic Review. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2014;91:32-40;

‖De Keyser E, De Keyser F, De Baets F. Tuberculin skin test versus interferon-gamma release assays for the diagnosis of tuberculosis infection. Acta Clinica Belgica. 2011;66(3):358-66;

¶¶Li S, Liu B, Peng M, Chen M, Yin W, Tang H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of Xpert MTB/RIF for tuberculosis detection in different regions with different endemic burden: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE. 2017;12(7):e0180725;

‖‖Nagai K, Horita N, Yamamoto M, Tsukahara T, Nagakura H, Tashiro K, et al. Diagnostic test accuracy of loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for Mycobacterium tuberculosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):39090;

§§Nathavitharana RR, Cudahy PGT, Shumacher SG, Steingart KR, Pia M, Denkinger CM. Accuracy of line probe assays for the diagnosis of pulmonary and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European Respiratory Journal. 2017;49(1):1601075;

¶¶¶Mirioni J, Leung E, Talbot E, Oehda K, Pia M, Zenes D. Diagnosing tuberculosis with urine lipoarabinomannan: systematic review and meta-analysis. European Respiratory Journal. 2011;38(6):1398-405.
Another approach to the diagnosis and surveillance of \( Mtb \) is to utilise next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology to sequence \( Mtb \) genomes allowing diagnosis, screening of these genomes for resistance conferring mutations, and determination of their relatedness for public health purposes. Drug sensitivity testing (DST) can be achieved either via targeted or by whole-genome sequencing (WGS) approaches. Targeted NGS approaches, such as, the Deeplex™-MycTB assay [61], are focussed on known resistance conferring loci, whereas WGS is focused on sequencing the entire genome [62]. Currently, targeted approaches are the most attractive...
for rapid DST in a clinical context due to the greater reliability and availability of commercial assays [61]. The major problem with this approach is its inherent lack of flexibility, considering it is reliant on characterised resistance-conferring mutations when the understanding of the genetic basis of antibiotic resistance phenotypes is far from complete and there is significant evidence that genome-wide changes contribute to resistance phenotypes [63]. WGS offers several advantages the foremost being its inherent flexibility and the accuracy of predictions can be improved iteratively due to better models being developed. A genomic epidemiological approach, where *Mtb* transmission clusters are identified phylogenetically allowing evidence-based interventions to be utilised to control *Mtb* transmission more effectively on a population-wide scale can only effectively be achieved with WGS. The epidemiological applications of NGS are particularly intriguing for public health. The ability to track clusters and larger population-wide trends in real-time could revolutionise our approach to *Mtb* as a public health problem. Machine learning models of antibiotic resistance prediction utilising WGS data have been shown to outperform a targeted approach significantly for first- and second-line drugs and will only improve further as more complete phenotypically characterised *Mtb* genomes are becoming available. Previously, the major barriers for the utilisation of WGS in rapid *Mtb* DST were the difficulties associated with directly sequencing whole *Mtb* genomes from clinical samples without a culture step. The small quantity of *Mtb* genetic material within sputum compared with DNA from the patient has been a significant hurdle and even successful protocols capable of producing high quality genomes directly from sputum [64] have not been widely adopted due to the high reagent costs. However, a recent protocol seems to present a viable strategy to selectively enrich clinical samples for *Mtb* without the use of costly reagents. By utilising a thermo-protection buffer, which mimics the conditions within hyperthermophiles, patient’s DNA was selectively degraded during heat inactivation of *Mtb*, a necessary step due to *Mtb* being a category III Hazard, requiring a lab, which conforms to Level III containment. Without further studies however, it is not possible to categorically state the impact of this work yet, although the demonstrated results appear very promising. Further consideration regarding the viability of WGS approaches must be given to which sequencing platform should be utilised. Illumina-based platforms are widely used for healthcare sequencing applications due to their low cost per sample and high accuracy. However, in low- and middle-income countries, where *Mtb* carriage is most common, the initial costs and infrastructural requirements of Illumina-based platforms are a significant barrier to adoption [64]. Oxford Nanopore Technologies have introduced the possible alternative of nanopore sequencers, which have low initial costs and significantly more achievable infrastructural requirements. However, the cost per-sample of these sequencers is significantly higher, and their marginally lower per-base accuracy may reduce their utility for determination of resistance mutations. This device has been previously employed in Liberia, Guinea and Sierra Leone during the 2014-16 Ebola outbreaks [65]. Whilst NGS and molecular methods such as ddPCR and CRISPR are useful in analysing sputum samples and can enable healthcare workers to rapidly diagnose individuals, as well as identify patients with antibiotic resistant infections, the above described limitations exist with immunocompromised and paediatric patients due to the lack of a viable sputum production.

**MicroRNA detection**

Concurrently, Cui et al. have been exploring the use of a PCR based assay, which seeks to identify microRNAs (miRNA) present within the bloodstream of patients [66]. Although the complete capabilities of such an assay are yet to be established, there is a growing interest in identifying relevant miRNAs, which can be used as a diagnostic marker for the previously ‘hard-to-diagnose’ groups such as, paediatric [67] and HIV patients [68]. A dynamic assay would further enable identification of both latent and active TB patients, in addition to suspected extrapulmonary patients. miRNA as a biomarker holds a great potential, however substantial lab equipment and a centrifuge would be required to carry out this assay, representing a key-drawback for its exploitation for this purpose. Togun et al. have highlighted the need for the correct miRNA sequences to be identified in order to ensure the efficacy of such a test; they have also shown that an extensive number of micro-RNAs are found in childhood TB cases of which, only 7% are considered feasible in the proposed assay [69].

**eNose**

Alternative developments include a portable, handheld device, which can provide a diagnosis by analysing the breath of suspected TB patients via volatile organic compounds (VOC), indicative of an infection. The Aeonose (eNose BV, Zutphen, Netherlands) constitutes an example of such device and it has been tested in the field [70]. Preliminary results have so far fared relatively poorly [71], however the portability and the rapid
generation time of results (<5 min) makes the prospect of this technology highly appealing particularly, in rural areas.

**Raman spectroscopy**

Raman spectroscopy (RS) is another portable analytical tool, which could be readily deployed into rural areas. RS has been utilised in many other applications, such as the diagnosis of cancer and to identify bacterial infections [72]. The technique utilises the phenomenon of Raman scattering to detect the unique molecular fingerprints of various bacteria when subjected to an excitation with a certain wavelength of light. Preliminary research has demonstrated its ability to detect TB alone (both drug-sensitive and drug-resistant serotypes) [73–75], however no research exists as to its efficacy outside of a monoculture sample (a critical objective if such a device were to make it to market) or alternative samples to blood. The successful development of an RS based method would enable clinicians to rapidly screen through a vast number of patients due to Raman’s ability to scan a sample within a matter of minutes, as opposed to many hours other molecular methods use.

**AI processing**

As described above, AI processing is becoming increasingly relevant for the analysis of historical methods of detection of TB such as, the chest X-rays [42] and, it is also being used to process micrographs from smear microscopy [76]. By utilising AI, human error in the interpretation of results can be significantly diminished, with previous research demonstrating that AI is superior to humans within this context [42]. Limitations persist in that the technology is still within its initial stages and it has yet to be tested using a large sample size. Furthermore, the technology itself has been shown to vary wildly pending upon the population it is being used in e.g. variance between HIV co-infected patients, paediatric populations, etc. [77]. Regardless, technique is currently being developed by several companies in the world and is under consideration by the WHO as a technique that can help combat TB [2]. Another benefit of the technique is that images could be sent from rural areas to a server site for analysis with the AI via the mobile phone technology [78].

**Graphene-based biosensors**

Lastly, Eloi et al. describes the use of a biosensor containing an arginine film which, when combined with *Mtb* based probes is able to gauge the variance in electrochemical measurements following hybridisation [79]. A similar method using a graphene-based sensor has also been identified, with nearly 100% accuracy [80]. These biosensors require DNA extraction prior to their use however, both the extraction and hybridisation steps can take place at room temperature; thus allowing deployment in rural areas with the use of a portable power supply. Whilst biosensors are promising, numerous issues persist; specifically, in relation to their sensitivity. Limits of detection are described as 10³ copies of DNA [80], which is in stark contrast with the techniques identified by Ai et al. and ddPCR, where only a single copy is necessary. With these, there are also issues in identifying latent, immunocompromised, HIV and paediatric patients due to their inability to produce sputum as readily. Nevertheless, it represents yet another PCR-free alternative for TB diagnostics.

Overall, there are several promising techniques for TB diagnostics currently being researched and developed around the world, many of which have the potential to supersede the existing repertoire of diagnostic tests, in the near future. Whilst the majority of these are not likely to resolve all of the previously identified challenges, their inherent improvements and advantages lay the platform to overcome many of the current issues and, a combined use of these tests could help considerably to overcome the burden of TB, enable diagnosing patients earlier and, provide a better treatment, management and improved prognosis in the longer term. The discussed techniques are summarised in Table 2.

**Conclusions**

Despite the considerable scientific advances, tuberculosis remains inadequately diagnosed and monitored. Issues persist relating to insufficient diagnosis of latent cases as well as the worrying emergence of drug resistance. This is exacerbated by the obstacles of correctly identifying various (sub-)patient groups and co-morbidities whilst ensuring testing can be performed in the more rural areas of low- and middle-income countries. In the past decade, developments have been sought to overcome these challenges by for instance, reducing the reliance on electricity or by simplifying the testing procedures. In the chase of ‘new weapons’ to battle this old disease, many approaches are continually being developed, which are likely to change the landscape of tuberculosis diagnostics. However, none of these have yet reached their full potential and further
| Diagnostic method | Reported sensitivity | Reported specificity | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| ddPCR¹ | NA¹ | NA | + Reported sensitivity down to 1 copy of DNA per sample  
+ Provides absolute quantification of sample  
+ Flexibility to analyse sputum and blood | – Prohibitively expensive ($10,000+)  
– Requires uninterruptible power supply |
| CRISPR/Cas12a | 90%² | 98%² | + Rapid turnaround (<1.5 h)  
+ High sensitivity and specificity  
+ Reaction can take place at room temperature  
+ Reaction can be readily visualised  
+ Reaction can be readily adapted to cover other serovars of Mtb and drug-resistant strains  
+ Culture-free  
+ Can use multiple sample sites | – Non-specific targeting is feasible  
– Efficacy not established for paediatric or HIV/Immunocompromised patients |
| Deeplex-MycTB (Genoscreen, France) | NA | NA | + Detection of Rifampicin resistance supersedes GeneXpert MTB/RIF³  
+ Provides resistance profiles to 15 drugs⁴  
+ Can be multiplexed to analyse up to 384 samples⁴  
+ Identifies more than 100 different mycobacterial species⁵  
+ Culture-free | – Longer turnaround compared with other methods (48 h)  
– Requires storage at –20°C  
– Requires PCR to be performed before sequencing  
– Requires access to web application  
– Requires molecular biology lab  
– Requires expensive sequencing equipment  
– May miss novel sequences conferring resistance  
– Requires sputum |
| Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) | >95%⁵ | >95%⁵ | + Detects drug resistance  
+ Whilst price is high, it is continuously decreasing  
+ Enables global surveillance of strains | – Longer turnaround compared with other methods (up to 72 h)  
– Some techniques are prohibitively expensive (>$95 per sample)  
– Requires uninterrupted power supply  
– Requires sputum  
– May miss novel sequences conferring resistance |
| MinION Nanopore sequencing (Oxford Nanopore technologies, U. K.) | 94.8%⁶ | 98%⁶ | + Portable  
+ Can detect drug resistance  
+ Cheaper than WGS (<$71 per sample)  
+ Has been deployed in the field⁷  
+ Quick turnaround (<6 h) | – Requires uninterrupted power supply  
– High initial start-up costs  
– Full characterisation to take place  
– Requires sputum |
| miRNA | 24.7–39.9%⁸ (95% CI) | >90%⁸ | + Culture-free  
+ Quick turnaround (<24 h)  
+ Blood sample | – High variability in results  
– Effective miRNA yet to be uncovered  
– Must be utilised regularly |

Continued
## Table 2 Summary of the discussed TB diagnostic methods and their corresponding benefits and drawbacks

| Diagnostic method            | Reported sensitivity | Reported specificity | Strengths                                                                 | Weaknesses                                                                 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aeonose (eNose BV, Netherlands) | 75–92% (95%) CI      | 44–65% (95%) CI      | + Non-invasive                                                            | – Lower sensitivity and specificity in men, smokers and people with a high Body Mass Index |
|                              |                      |                      | + Highly portable                                                         | – Requires internet access                                                |
|                              |                      |                      | + Rapid turnaround (≤10 min)                                              | – Cannot determine drug sensitivity                                        |
|                              |                      |                      | + Battery powered                                                         | – Efficacy in LTBI and immunocompromised yet to be established            |
| Raman spectroscopy            | Active TB: 84.62%    | Active TB: 89.47%    | + Portable                                                                | – No efficacy established for HIV/Immunocompromised and paediatric patients |
|                              | LTBI: 86.84%         | LTBI: 65%           | + Battery powered                                                         | – Small sample size in cited paper (n = 118)                              |
|                              |                      |                      | + Low cost per sample (<$7)                                               | – No analysis of drug-resistance                                          |
|                              |                      |                      | + Quick turnaround (<2 h)                                                 | – Requires mobile phone data access                                       |
| AI Processing                 | 68–96% (95% CI)      | 72–85% (95% CI)      | + Can be analysed on remote servers                                       | – Preliminary results vary between populations                            |
| Arginine film                 | NA                   | NA                   | + Quick turnaround (<2 h)                                                 | – Requires sputum sample                                                  |
|                              |                      |                      | + Culture-free                                                            | – Requires uninterruptible electricity supply                              |
|                              |                      |                      | + PCR free                                                                |                                                                          |
|                              |                      |                      | + Reported sensitivity down to 4.4 nM of DNA                               |                                                                          |

1*Yang J, Han X, Liu A, Bai X, Xu C, Bao F, et al. Use of Digital Droplet PCR to Detect Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in Whole Blood-Derived DNA Samples from Patients with Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;7:369;*

2*Ai J-W, Zhou X, Xu T, Yang M, Chen Y, He G-Q, et al. CRISPR-based rapid and ultra-sensitive diagnostic test for Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Emerging Microbes & Infections. 2019;8(1):1361-9;*

3*Ngabonziza JCS, Decroo T, Migambi P, Habimana YM, Van Deun A, Meehan CJ, et al. Prevalence and drivers of false-positive rifampicin-resistant Xpert M TB/RIF results: a prospective observational study in Rwanda. The Lancet Microbe. 2020;12(2):e74-e83;*

4*Genoscreen Deeplex Myc-TB. Deeplex Myc-TB: From clinical samples to drug resistance profiles Lille, France; Genoscreen; 2020 [cited 2020 14/08]. Available from: https://www.genoscreen.fr/images/genoscreen-services/deeplex/technical_note_20200706_CE.pdf;*

5*Mahakdo NA, Matabane E, Faccon M, Pinçon C, Jouet A, Bouthachtourt F, et al. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in South Africa undetected by WHO-endorsed commercial tests: an observational study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2018;18(12):1350-9;*

6*Tafess K, Ng TTL, Lao HY, Leung KSS, Tam KKG, Rajwani R, et al. Targeted-Sequencing Workflows for Comprehensive Drug Resistance Profiling of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Cultures Using Two Commercial Sequencing Platforms: Comparison of Analytical and Diagnostic Performance, Turnaround Time, and Cost. Clinical Chemistry. 2020;66(9):809-20;*

7*Hoegen T, Grosset A, Rosenke K, Fischer RJ, Hoegen A, Judson SD, et al. Nanopore Sequencing as a Rapidly Deployable Ebola Outbreak Tool. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(2):331-4;*

8*Gupta RK, Turner CT, Venturini C, Esmail H, Rangaka MX, Copas A, et al. Concise whole blood transcriptional signatures for incipient tuberculosis: a systematic review and patient-level pooled meta-analysis. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. 2020;8(4):395-406;*

9*Saktiawati AMI, Stienstra Y, Subronto YW, Rintiswati N, Sumardi, Gerritsen J-W, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of an electronic nose in diagnosing pulmonary tuberculosis among patients with suspected tuberculosis. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(6):e0217963;*

10*Hoenen T, Groseth A, Habimana YM, van Deun A, Meehan CJ, et al. Prevalence and drivers of false-positive rifampicin-resistant Xpert M TB/RIF results: a prospective observational study in Rwanda. The Lancet Microbe. 2020;12(2):e74-e83;*

11*Raman spectroscopy: Active TB: 68–96% (95% CI) vs LTBI: 72–85% (95% CI);*

12*Yang J, Han X, Liu A, Bai X, Xu C, Bao F, et al. Use of Digital Droplet PCR to Detect Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in Whole Blood-Derived DNA Samples from Patients with Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;7:369;*

13*Arginine film NA: No analysis of drug-resistance;*

14* AI Processing: 68–96% (95% CI) vs Raman spectroscopy: Active TB: 84.62% vs LTBI: 86.84%;*

15*Arginine film NA: No analysis of drug-resistance;*
Summary
• Despite significant advances, tuberculosis continues to affect millions of people worldwide annually.
• Early and effective rapid diagnosis plays a significant role in combatting TB.
• Many obstacles exist in identifying patients in rural areas, especially in low- and middle-income countries, exacerbated by limitations in current diagnostic methods.
• Ongoing research and advancements are being sought to overcome these obstacles and limitations using innovative emerging technologies.
• While these modern developments show promise in resolving many of the issues in TB diagnostics, they require investments and time to reach their full potential and enter the global markets. Whilst the scientific progress is making great strides forward, it is critical to maintain vigilance and continue utilising TB monitoring programs to continue the battle to defeat this ancient disease.
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