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The traditional approximation of rotation, including the centrifugal acceleration for slightly deformed stars

S. Mathis and V. Prat

Astronomy & Astrophysics

1. Introduction

The traditional approximation of rotation (TAR) was first introduced to study the dynamics of the shallow Earth atmosphere and oceans (e.g. Eckart 1960; Gerkema et al. 2008; Zeitlin 2018). In particular, it is broadly used for the understanding of the propagation of waves in stably stratified fluids (in entropy and chemical composition) and rotating atmospheric and oceanic layers. There, inertia-gravity waves, which are often called gravito-inertial waves (GIWs) in stellar physics (e.g. Dintrans et al. 1999), propagate under the combined action of buoyancy force and Coriolis acceleration. Assuming that the buoyancy force is stronger than the Coriolis acceleration (i.e. $2\Omega \ll N$, where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity and $N$ the Brunt-Vaïsälä frequency) in the direction of stable entropy or chemical stratification, this leads to waves whose horizontal velocities are higher than their vertical velocities. This allows us to neglect the terms involving the latitudinal component of the rotation vector $\Omega_\theta = \Omega \sin \theta$, where $\theta$ is the colatitude, in the linearised hydrodynamical equations. In this framework, the (Poincaré) wave equation, which is 2D and non-separable in the general case, becomes separable (e.g. Gerkema & Shrira 2005). Therefore, scalar quantities (e.g. the fluctuations of density, pressure, temperature, and entropy of the waves) and the velocity components can be expressed as products of radial functions; special latitudinal functions, the so-called Hough functions that reduce to Legendre polynomials in the non-rotating case (Hough 1898; Longuet-Higgins 1968); and Fourier series in time and azimuth.

In stellar physics, TAR and its flexibility has been extensively used to study low-frequency GIWs, including Rossby waves, both in single and double stars (e.g. Berthomieu et al. 1978; Lee & Saio 1987, 1989, 1997; Townsend 2003; Mathis 2009). This treatment allows stellar physicists to derive powerful seismic diagnoses for the period spacing between consecutive high radial order gravito-inertial modes in uniformly and differentially rotating spherical stars (Bouabid et al. 2013; Ouazzani et al. 2017; Van Reeth et al. 2018). With the advent of space asteroseismology using high precision photometry (Aerts et al. 2010), the period spacing derived within the TAR framework gives access to properties of chemical stratification and to the rotation rate near the convective core of rapidly rotating intermediate-mass $\gamma$ Doradus stars for more than 60 stars (Van Reeth et al. 2015a,b, 2016, 2018; Aerts et al. 2017; Christophe et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019). These quantities constitute key...
ingredients to improve our knowledge of stellar structure, evolution, internal angular momentum transport, and to calibrate stellar models (e.g. Pedersen et al. 2018; Aerts et al. 2018, 2019; Ouazzani et al. 2019, and references therein). However, in addition to assuming that $2\Omega \ll N$, other hypotheses are carried out to apply TAR in stellar interiors (e.g. Lee & Saio 1997; Townsend 2003). First, the rotation is assumed to be uniform. Next, we assume that the centrifugal distortion of the star can be neglected, i.e. $\Omega \ll \Omega_K \equiv \sqrt{GM/R^3}$, where $G$, $M$, and $R$ are the universal constant of gravity, mass of the star, and stellar radius, respectively, and $\Omega_K$ is the Keplerian critical angular velocity. The fluctuation of the gravitational potential of the waves is neglected following Cowling (1941). Finally, the motions of the waves are assumed to be adiabatic.

Different approaches can be considered to go beyond these assumptions. We can choose to use 2D oscillation codes (Reese et al. 2006; Ballot et al. 2010; Ouazzani et al. 2012). However, they are not available yet to the whole community while their needed computation time and resources can prevent detailed seismic modelling at this stage. We can alternatively build a 2D model is built by deforming a 1D initial spherical model following the composition of stars and their internal rotation. In Sect. 6, we use the new formalism to determine how the solutions of the Laplace tidal equation are a pattern for each group of fixed azimuthal order are similar. This could be understood by invoking that studied low-frequency gravito-inertial modes are propagating in (and sounding) deep stellar layers, which are less influenced by the centrifugal acceleration than the surface (e.g. Ballot et al. 2010). In this framework, it becomes important to study if the TAR could be generalised to take into account the effects of the centrifugal acceleration in the case of slightly deformed moderately rotating stars (this work) before considering the more extreme cases of stars rotating close to their break-up velocity. This could have several key applications such as new seismic diagnosis, the study of the transport of angular momentum by GIWs (e.g. Lee & Saio 1993; Mathis et al. 2008; Mathis 2009; Lee et al. 2014), and the evaluation of tidal dissipation (Ogilvie & Lin 2004, 2007; Braviner & Ogilvie 2014) in deformed stars (and planets).

In this work, we focus on the first goal. First, we introduce in Sect. 2 the formalism already introduced in the literature to study oscillation modes in moderately deformed rotating stars (or planets; e.g. Smeyers & Denis 1971; Saio 1981; Lee 1993; Lee & Baraffe 1995). We consider the simplest case of a uniform rotation to disentangle the effects of the deformation from those of differential rotation. In Sect. 3, we show how to generalise TAR in this configuration and in Sect. 4 we study the dynamics of corresponding low-frequency GIWs. In Sect. 5, we derive the periods of GIWs, which can be used to probe the chemical composition of stars and their internal rotation. In Sect. 6, we use the new formalism to determine how the solutions of the Laplace tidal equation are affected by the centrifugal deformation. Finally, we give in Sect. 7 the conclusions of this theoretical work and we discuss its perspectives and future applications.

2. Dynamical equations in moderately deformed stars

We follow the formalism presented by Lee & Baraffe (1995) (see also Smeyers & Denis 1971; Saio 1981; Lee 1993) to describe the dynamics of stellar oscillation modes in slightly deformed stars. We work in a spheroidal system of coordinates $(a, \theta, \varphi)$ to take into account the centrifugal deformation of the star. The origin of this coordinate system $(a = 0)$ is the centre of the deformed star, $\theta$ is the colatitude with $\theta = 0$ on the rotation axis, and $\varphi$ is the azimuth. The spheroidal coordinates system is related to the spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ by a mapping

$$r = a [1 + \varepsilon (a, \theta)],$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a function describing the centrifugal perturbation of the hydrostatic balance. Appendix A provides its derivation. This perturbation scales as $\Omega^2$, where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the star, which is assumed to be uniform. We define the unit-vector basis attached to the spheroidal coordinates system as follows:

$$\vec{e}_\varphi = (1 + \varepsilon + a\partial_a \varepsilon) \hat{e}_\varphi,$$
$$\vec{e}_\theta = \partial_{\theta} \varepsilon \hat{e}_\varphi + (1 + \varepsilon) \hat{e}_\theta,$$
$$\vec{e}_a = (1 + \varepsilon) \hat{e}_a.$$

The dynamical equations for waves are derived in these spheroidal coordinates. As in studies of TAR in spherical stars, we focus in this work on adiabatic oscillations.
First, the linearised Navier-Stokes equation is written as

$$-\omega^2 \left[ (1 + 2\varepsilon) \xi + a \xi \nabla \theta + a (\xi \cdot \nabla \theta) \tau_\theta \right] + C = -\nabla \Phi - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \tau + \frac{\rho}{\rho} \Omega \times \nabla \Phi,$$

where

$$\nabla_0 X \equiv \partial_\theta X \tau_\theta + \frac{1}{a} \partial_\phi X \tau_\phi + \frac{\xi_\phi}{a \sin \theta} \partial_\phi X.$$

Next, the linearised continuity equation is obtained

$$\nabla_0 X = \xi_\theta \partial_\theta X + \frac{\xi_\phi}{a \sin \theta} \partial_\phi X.$$

We introduce the Lagrangian displacement $\xi$ of the oscillation, gravicific potential $\Phi$, pressure $P$, and density $\rho$. The scalar quantities ($\Phi, P, \rho$) are expanded as the sum of their hydrostatic components ($\Phi_0, P_0, \rho_0$) and their wave fluctuations ($\Phi, P, \rho$). Finally, the Coriolis acceleration operator is given by

$$C = C_\sigma \tau_\sigma + C_\theta \tau_\theta + C_\phi \tau_\phi,$$

where

$$C_\sigma = -i \omega \Omega \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\sigma \xi \right) \sin \theta \xi_\phi,$$

$$C_\theta = -i \omega \Omega \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\theta \xi \right) \cos \theta \xi_\phi,$$

$$C_\phi = i \omega \Omega \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\phi \xi \right) \sin \theta \xi_\phi + i \omega \Omega \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\phi \xi \right) \cos \theta \xi_\phi.$$

The linearised energy equation in the adiabatic limit is derived

$$\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\Gamma_1} \frac{\dot{P}}{P} - \frac{\xi_\phi}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\theta} \left( \sin \theta \xi_\phi \right) + \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} \left( \xi_\phi \right) = 0.$$

Finally, the wave’s displacement and fluctuations ($X \equiv \frac{\rho}{\rho}$) are expanded on Fourier series both in time and in azimuth

$$\xi (a, \theta, \varphi, t) \equiv \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left[ \xi (a, \theta) \exp \left[ i (m \varphi + \omega t) \right] \right],$$

$$X (a, \theta, \varphi, t) \equiv \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left[ X (a, \theta) \exp \left[ i (m \varphi + \omega t) \right] \right],$$

where $\omega$ is the frequency in the co-rotating frame and $m$ the azimuthal degree.

### 3. The TAR with centrifugal acceleration

We consider each component of the momentum equation to identify the hierarchy of the different terms and the corresponding simplifications when using the TAR in deformed stars.

First, the spheroidal radial component can be written as

$$-N^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{N} \right)^2 \left[ (1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\sigma \xi) \xi_\sigma + \xi_\nu \partial_\nu \xi \right]$$

$$= -i N^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{N} \right) \left( 2 \Omega \right) \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\sigma \xi \right) \sin \theta \xi_\phi = -\partial_\phi \tilde{W} - N^2 \xi_\phi - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\phi \tilde{P},$$

where $\tilde{W} = \frac{P - \rho \bar{P}}{\rho}$ and we explain the frequency ratios $\omega/N$ and $2\Omega/N$. When using TAR, we focus on low-frequency waves for which $\omega \ll N$. Their propagation can be studied within the anelastic approximation in which acoustic waves are filtered out. Equations (13) and (9) can be simplified accordingly by neglecting the terms $1/\rho^2 \partial_\phi \tilde{P}$ and $1/\Omega \partial_\phi \tilde{P}$, respectively (more details are provided in Sects. 2 and 3 in Mathis 2009).

In addition, TAR can be applied only in the case of “strong” stratification for which $2\Omega \ll N$. In this case, the buoyancy force dominates the radial components of the Coriolis acceleration and of the acceleration of the wave. Therefore, the radial momentum equation can be simplified to

$$-\partial_\phi \tilde{W} - N^2 \xi_\phi = 0,$$

as in the case of spherical stars and for the same reasons.

Next, we examine the latitudinal component of the momentum equation, which we write

$$-\omega^2 \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon \right) \frac{\xi_\theta}{\xi_\theta} \partial_\theta \xi_\theta - i \omega \Omega \left( 1 + 2 \varepsilon + a \partial_\theta \xi \right) \sin \theta \xi_\phi = -\frac{1}{\theta} \partial_\theta \tilde{W}.$$

In the case of low-frequency GWs, the wave displacement is mostly horizontal, i.e., $\xi_\phi \ll \{\xi_\theta, \xi_\sigma\}$, because of the strong stable stratification. This allows us to neglect the term $(\xi_\phi/\xi_\theta) \partial_\theta \xi_\phi$ that couples horizontal and vertical directions. The previous equation simplifies onto

$$-\omega^2 A (a, \theta) \xi_\theta - i \omega \Omega B (a, \theta) \cos \theta \xi_\phi = -\frac{1}{\theta} \partial_\theta \tilde{W},$$

where

$$A = 1 + 2 \varepsilon,$$

$$B = A + \tan \theta \partial_\theta \xi_\phi.$$
As in the spherical case, we thus obtain decoupled equations for the vertical and horizontal components of the displacement. We can thus solve the system formed by Eqs. (16) and (20) and express $\zeta_\theta$ and $\zeta_\phi$ as a function of the normalised pressure $W'$ as follows:

$$\zeta_\theta = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{D(a, \theta)}{|\Omega|^2} \left[ \partial_\theta W' + \frac{m v \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} C(a, \theta) W' \right],$$

$$\zeta_\phi = i \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \frac{D(a, \theta)}{|\Omega|^2} \left[ v \frac{C(a, \theta) \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \hat{\partial}_\theta W' + \frac{m}{\sin \theta} W' \right],$$

where

$$E = 3\kappa + a\partial_\phi \kappa.$$

Low-frequency GIWs are rapidly oscillating with short wavelengths along the (vertical) $\kappa$, direction, which are very small compared to the characteristic lengths of variation of the background quantities. This allows us, following Mathis (2009), to use the Jeffrey-Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (JWKB) approximation (Fröman & Fröman 2005) along the vertical and to expand the pressure fluctuation and the components of the displacement as

$$W' = \sum_k \left[ W_{\kappa km}(a, \theta) \frac{A_{\kappa km}}{k_{V, \kappa km}} \exp \left( i \int k_{V, \kappa km} da \right) \right],$$

$\nu$ is the index of a latitudinal eigenmode (see Eq. (35)), and $A_{\kappa km}$ is the amplitude of the wave. Using Eqs. (14), (27), and (28), we can obtain the following polarisation relations:

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km}(a, \theta) = -i \frac{k_{V, \kappa km}}{N^2} W_{\kappa km}(a, \theta),$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km}(a, \theta) = L_{\nu km}(a, \theta),$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km}(a, \theta) = L_{\nu km}^{\nu}(a, \theta).$$

Using the vertical momentum equation Eqs. (14) and (29) becomes

$$\frac{k^2}{N^2} W_{\kappa km} + \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \partial_\theta \left[ \frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \partial_\theta \left( \sin \theta \tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km}}{a} \partial_\mu \xi + \frac{im \tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km}}{a \sin \theta} \right] = 0,$$

where the JWKB approximation allows us to neglect $\tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km}$ in front of the dominant term $\frac{1}{a \sin \theta} \partial_\theta \left( \sin \theta \tilde{\xi}_{\kappa \nu km} \right)$. Using Eqs. (27) and (28) allows us to obtain the equation for $W_{\kappa km}$

$$L_{\nu km}[W_{\kappa km}] = \partial_\lambda \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{|\Omega|^2} \right) \partial_\lambda W_{\kappa km} + \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{|\Omega|^2} \right) \frac{\partial_\lambda \xi}{\partial_\lambda W_{\kappa km}} \right]$$

$$= \Lambda_{\kappa km}(a) W_{\kappa km},$$

where we identify the dispersion relation for low-frequency GIWs within TAR

$$k_{V, \kappa km}^2 = \frac{N^2(a)}{\omega_{\kappa km}^2} \frac{\Lambda_{\kappa km}(a)}{a^2}.$$

This equation is in fact the Poincaré partial differential equation for GIWs. The combined use of the TAR and JWKB approximations, allows us to transform it in a linear second-order ordinary differential equation on $x$ with only a parametric dependence on $a$. This result is very similar to those obtained in the case of differential rotation in Ogilvie & Lin (2004), Mathis (2009), and Van Reeth et al. (2018). Therefore, Eq. (35) can be seen as a generalised Laplace tidal equation (and operator) for generalised Hough functions when taking the centrifugal acceleration into account. In Table 1, we recall the expressions of all the
involved coefficients. Their first-order linearisation in $\varepsilon$ is derived in Appendix B.1. Since the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the generalised Laplace tidal equation vary with the pseudo-radius, we choose to define our latitudinal ordering number $k$ as in Lee & Saio (1997) by considering the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions at the centre where they are not affected by the centrifugal acceleration since the mapping we choose in this work (see Eq. (A.26)) is such that $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for $a \rightarrow 0$. We recall that Lee & Saio (1997) ordered the eigenvalues of the non-deformed Hough functions as follows: for the eigenvalues that exist for any value of $\nu$, they attached positive $k$ including zero with $\Lambda_{\nu000}(a = 0) = (|m| + k)(|m| + k + 1)$ which corresponds to the $l(l+1)$ eigenvalues of the spherical harmonics (with $l = |m| + k$), which are the horizontal eigenfunctions for non-radial pulsations in the non-rotating case (i.e. $\nu = 0$); for the eigenvalues that only exist when $|\nu| > 1$, they used negative $k$ in such a way that $\Lambda_{\nu_{-1}00}(a = 0) > \Lambda_{\nu_{-2}00}(a = 0) > \cdots$. As we see in the next section, avoided crossings can appear when looking at the variation of the eigenvalues along the pseudo-radius (see Fig. 9). The corresponding $k$ as defined by Lee & Saio (1997) then changes.

As in the uniformly and differentially rotating spherical cases, two classes of waves are identified: those for which $D > 0$ in the whole spheroidal shell that propagate at all colatitudes and those for which $D$ vanishes in the spheroidal cavity at a critical colatitude $\theta_c$ such that $D(a, \theta_c) = 0$, which depends on $a$. For this second class, waves propagate only within an equatorial belt where $\theta > \theta_c(a)$ (some examples of these waves can be found in Ballot et al. 2010; Prat et al. 2016, 2018, while an approximate value for $\theta_c(a)$ is derived in Eq. (B.35)). These first and second classes of waves correspond to the super-inertial ($\omega > 2\Omega$) and sub-inertial waves ($\omega < 2\Omega$), respectively, in the case of the uniformly rotating spherical case.

This description within the TAR of low-frequency GIWs propagating in deformed bodies can be applied to the study of the transport of angular momentum they induce (Mathis 2009), tidal dissipation in stably stratified stellar and planetary layers (Ogilvie & Lin 2004, 2007; Braviner & Ogilvie 2014; Fuller et al. 2016), and the seismology of rapidly rotating stars (e.g. Van Reeth et al. 2018).

In this framework, it is finally interesting to derive the asymptotic frequencies of low-frequency GIWs and the corresponding periods as in Van Reeth et al. (2018). Indeed, the latter allow asteroseismologist to probe the internal rotation of regions where these waves propagate, for instance the radiative layers close to the convective core of intermediate-mass stars (Van Reeth et al. 2016, 2018; Ouazzani et al. 2017).

Table 1. Centrifugal terms involved in the generalised Laplace tidal equation.

| $\mathcal{A}$ | $1 + 2\varepsilon$ |
| $\mathcal{B}$ | $1 + 2\varepsilon + \tan \theta \partial_{\theta} \varepsilon = 1 + 2\varepsilon - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^2}{x} \partial_x \varepsilon$ |
| $\mathcal{C}$ | $1 + \frac{\tan \theta \partial_{\theta} \varepsilon}{1 + 2\varepsilon} = 1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^2}{x} \partial_x \varepsilon$ |
| $D$ | $(1 + 2\varepsilon) \left[ 1 - \nu^2 \cos^2 \theta \left( 1 + \frac{\tan \theta \partial_{\theta} \varepsilon}{1 + 2\varepsilon} \right)^2 \right] = (1 + 2\varepsilon) \left[ 1 - \nu^2 \sin^2 \theta \left( 1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^2}{x} \partial_x \varepsilon \right)^2 \right]$ |
| $\varepsilon$ | $3\varepsilon + a \partial_x \varepsilon$ |

5. Asymptotic seismic diagnosis

Following the same method that is used in the case of spherically uniform and differentially rotating stars, we can derive the eigenfrequencies of low-frequency GIWs by doing a vertical (i.e. in this equation along $a$) quantisation as follows:

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_2} k_{\nu\rho m n} da = \frac{1}{\omega_{\nu\rho m n}} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \Lambda_{\nu\rho m}^{1/2}(a) N(a) da = (n + 1/2)\pi,$$

where we introduce $n$ the vertical order, while $a_1$ and $a_2$ are the inner and outer turning point for which the Brunt-Väisälä frequency ($N$) vanishes (Berthomieu et al. 1978; Tassoul 1980; Bouabid et al. 2013). Using the previously derived dispersion relation (Eq. (36)), we get the asymptotic expression for the frequencies of low-frequency GIWs

$$\omega_{\nu\rho m n} = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \Lambda_{\nu\rho m}^{1/2}(a) N(a) da$$

and the corresponding period

$$P_{\nu\rho m n} = \frac{2\pi^2 (n + 1/2)}{\int_{a_1}^{a_2} \Lambda_{\nu\rho m}^{1/2}(a) N(a) da}$$

As in the spherical case, we can thus compute the period spacing $\Delta P = P_{\nu\rho m n} - P_{\nu-1\rho m n}$, which allows us to probe the internal rotation of stars but taking into account the flattening of stars by the centrifugal acceleration. The slight differences are the use of spheroidal coordinates and the variation with $a$ of the eigenvalues $\Lambda_{\nu\rho m}$ of the generalised Laplace tidal operator.

The interest of the equations derived for the deformation of the star (Eq. (A.26)), the generalised Hough functions (Eq. (35)), and the eigen-frequencies and periods (Eqs. (38) and (39)) is that they can be easily integrated for a large number of stars. This is a great asset when it is necessary to compute complete grids of stellar models to perform detailed seismic modellings (e.g. Pedersen et al. 2018, and Fig. 1).

6. Application

In this section, we numerically solve the linearised and generalised Laplace tidal equation at fixed frequency, as presented in Appendix B.1. We consider a ZAMS 1.5 $M_\odot$ stellar model with a solar metallicity computed with the MESA 1D stellar evolution code (Paxton et al. 2018). First, we compute $\varepsilon$ from the perturbation of the gravitational potential $\phi'$, following the procedure described in Appendix A. Equation (A.17) implies that $\phi'$ is proportional to $\Omega^2$ and can thus be normalised by $R^2\Omega^2$, as represented in Fig. 2. The deformation function $\varepsilon(\alpha, \theta)$ is illustrated in Fig. 3 for $\Omega/\Omega_K = 0.2$. For this rotation rate, its maximum
absolute value is of the order of 2%. In addition, we observe that $\varepsilon < 0$. This can be easily understood since by definition (see Eq. (1)) $r = a (1 + \varepsilon)$, where $r$ is the usual spherical radius and $a$ the pseudo-radius with $a > r$ because of the action of the centrifugal acceleration. Since $\varepsilon$ scales with the square of the rotation rate, this value is slightly lower than 10% for $\Omega/\Omega_K = 0.2$. A priori, the perturbative approach is thus valid for these rotation rates.

We then solve the generalised Laplace tidal equation for different pseudo-radii, spin factors, and rotation rates using an implementation based on Chebyshev polynomials similar to that by Wang et al. (2016). At the centre ($a = 0$), this is equivalent to solving the unperturbed classical Laplace tidal equation. The corresponding spectrum as a function of the spin factor is shown for $m = -2$ in Fig. 4. As expected, this is consistent with Fig. 1 of Lee & Saio (1997). This spectrum features Rossby-like solutions which have mostly negative eigenvalues and are non-existent for $|\nu| < 1$, and gravity-like solutions which have positive eigenvalues. A notable property of the Rossby-like part of the spectrum for $\nu > 1$ is that every odd solution can be associated with an even solution that has a very close eigenvalue.

Spectra at different pseudo-radii (illustrated in Fig. 5) show significant effects of the centrifugal deformation. First, Rossby-like solutions for $\nu < -1$ are now also grouped by two (one odd solution and one even solution) with very close eigenvalues. Second, two previously grouped Rossby-like solutions for $\nu > 1$ now have very different behaviours and probably cause avoided crossings with other Rossby-like solutions. Finally, the behaviour of gravity-like solutions near $\nu = 1$ becomes less regular. As the rotation rate increases, the last two effects become stronger. This can be seen in Fig. 6 for $\Omega/\Omega_K = 0.4$. In particular, the two previously mentioned Rossby-like solutions almost behave as gravity-like solutions for $\nu > 1$.

We focus now on how the modified Hough function $w_{\nu km}$ varies with the pseudo-radius $a$ and horizontal coordinate $x$. This dependence is illustrated for $m = -2$ and $\nu = 2$ in Fig. 7 at two different rotation rates. Again, the solution at $a = 0$ is not perturbed by the centrifugal acceleration, and is thus the same for
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all rotation rates. As the pseudo-radius increases, Rossby-like solutions are slightly modified, whereas gravity-like solutions drastically change. However, both types of solutions seem to experience an avoided crossing, which is visible through the change in the number of nodes. This behaviour is qualitatively the same for the two rotation rates studied in this work. Quantitatively, the dispersion of the eigenfunctions as a function of the pseudo-radius is larger for larger rotation rates. The same eigenfunctions are plotted in Fig. 8 for $m = -2$ and $\nu = 10$. Again, gravity-like solutions clearly experience an avoided crossing, but this is no longer visible for Rossby-like solutions.

The avoided crossings can be highlighted by plotting the eigenvalues as a function of the pseudo-radius (see Fig. 9). For $m = -2$ and $\nu = 2$, the avoided crossing of Rossby-like solutions is clearly visible. In contrast, that of gravity-like solutions is not because it occurs at very small pseudo-radii. For $m = -2$ and $\nu = 10$, it occurs at larger pseudo-radii and is thus visible.

We finally compute the integral

$$I = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{A_{k}^{1/2}(a) N(a)}{a} da$$

at a fixed spin factor for different rotation rates to investigate how mode frequencies could be affected by the centrifugal acceleration. The obtained values are shown in Table 2. The value of the integral slightly increases with the rotation rate, which has an effect on the quantisation condition Eq. (37) and thus on the mode frequencies.

In addition to these frequency shifts, the changes in the wave structure induced by the centrifugal deformation observed in this section may also have an impact on the transport of angular momentum and on the tidal dissipation they induce (e.g. Mathis 2009; Braviner & Ogilvie 2015).

7. Conclusions

In this theoretical article, we generalise the TAR to the case in which the deformation of a star (or planet) by the centrifugal acceleration is taken into account. We identify that the mathematical complexity introduced by the centrifugal acceleration is very similar to that appearing when applying the TAR to differentially rotating spherical stars (Ogilvie & Lin 2004; Mathis 2009; Van Reeth et al. 2018). Combining the TAR with the anelastic and JWKB approximations, we derive a generalised tidal Laplace equation, which is a second-order linear ordinary differential equation in $x = \cos \theta$ ($\theta$ being the colatitude) only with a parametric dependence on $a$ of its coefficients. The problem thus reduces to a classical Sturm-Liouville problem in the case of uniformly rotating spherical stars. It allows us to derive
the asymptotic frequencies of low-frequency GIWs and the corresponding periods and period spacings. These can be used as a seismic probe of stellar interiors and rotation in moderately rapidly rotating deformed stars. In addition, the derived formalism can be used to study the angular momentum transport and tidal dissipation induced by low-frequency GIWs in stars and
Table 2. Values of the integral $I$ (Eq. (40)) as a function of the rotation rate for the gravity-like eigenfunctions plotted in Fig. 8 ($m = -2, k = 0, \nu = 10$).

| $\Omega/\Omega_\text{K}$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $I$ (s$^{-1}$)          | 0.0864 | 0.1024 | 0.1083 | 0.1133 |

planets. We carried out a first numerical exploration of the eigenvalues and horizontal eigenfunctions of the generalised Laplace tidal equation following the methodology presented in Fig. 1. We find that both gravity- and Rossby-like wave eigenfunctions are affected by the centrifugal acceleration and vary with the pseudo-radii with a stronger deformation of the gravity-like solutions when compared to the spherical case. In this context, we see that both types of solutions are affected by avoided crossing phenomena. The next step will be to implement our equations in stellar evolution and oscillation codes (as we did in the differentially rotating case in Van Reeth et al. 2018) by comparing the obtained results with direct computations with 2D oscillation codes (Reese et al. 2006; Ballot et al. 2010; Ouazzani et al. 2012, 2017) and to examine if the TAR can be generalised to the case of strongly deformed stars.
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Appendix A: Deformation of a moderately rotating star

The objective of this appendix is to determine the deformation of an isobar in the case of a moderately and uniformly rotating star in which the centrifugal acceleration is a linear perturbation of the order of $(\Omega/\Omega_\text{K})^2 \equiv (\Omega/\sqrt{GM/R^3})^2$; $\Omega_\text{K}$ is the Keplerian critical angular velocity, $M$ and $R$ are the stellar mass and radius, respectively, and $G$ is the universal constant of gravity.

The first step is to calculate the perturbation of the gravitational potential $\phi$ on the sphere of radius $r$. We expand $\phi$ on the orthogonal basis formed by the Legendre polynomials

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \phi_0(r) + \phi_1(r, \theta) = \phi_0(r) + \sum_l \phi_l(r) P_l(\cos \theta),$$  
(A.1)

where $\phi_0 = -GM_*(r)/r$ is the gravitational potential of the non-rotating star ($M_*$ being the mass inside the sphere of radius $r$) and $\phi_1$ its perturbation induced by the centrifugal acceleration. We follow the method of linearisation of the hydrostatic balance developed in Sweet (1950), Zahn (1966, 1992), and Mathis & Zahn (2004), and expand the pressure and the density around the sphere in the same way that $\phi$

$$P(r, \theta) = P_0(r) + P_1(r, \theta) = P_0(r) + \sum_l P_{1l}(r) P_l(\cos \theta),$$  
(A.2)

$$\rho(r, \theta) = \rho_0(r) + \rho_1(r, \theta) = \rho_0(r) + \sum_l \rho_{1l}(r) P_l(\cos \theta),$$  
(A.3)

Then, we take the hydrostatic equation

$$\nabla^2 P = -\nabla \phi + FC, \quad \text{where} \quad F_C = \frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta$$  
(A.4)

is the centrifugal acceleration, which derives from a potential

$$FC = -U, \quad \text{where} \quad U = -\frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta$$  
(A.5)

in the case considered of a uniform rotation. The hydrostatic balance thus becomes

$$\nabla P = -\rho \nabla (\phi + U),$$  
(A.6)

which we expand to the first order as

$$\nabla P_1 = -\rho_0 \nabla (\phi_1 + U) - \nabla \rho_0 \phi_0.$$  
(A.7)

Taking the curl of Eq. (A.6), we also have

$$\nabla \rho \times \nabla (\phi + U) = 0.$$  
(A.8)

The equipotential for $(\phi + U)$, the isodensity and isobar thus coincide. As a consequence $P$ can be written as a function of $(\phi + U)$ as

$$P = F(\phi + U).$$  
(A.9)

When linearised to the first-order, we get

$$P_1 = \frac{dF}{d\phi_0} (\phi_1 + U) = -\rho_0 (\phi_1 + U)$$  
(A.10)

which provides us the perturbation of density

$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{g_0(r)} \frac{dP_0}{dr} (\phi_1 + U),$$  
(A.12)

where $g_0 = GM_*(r)/r^2$. Next, we insert the modal expansion of $\rho_1$ and those of the centrifugal potential, i.e.

$$U = \sum_l U_l(r) P_l(\cos \theta), \quad \text{where} \quad l = [0, 2]$$  
(A.13)

and

$$U_0 = -\frac{1}{3} \Omega^2 r^2$$  
(A.14)

$$U_2 = \frac{1}{3} \Omega^2 r^2.$$  
(A.15)

This yields the modal amplitude of the density fluctuation over the sphere

$$\rho_l(r) = \frac{1}{g_0} \frac{dP_0}{dr} (\phi_l + U_l).$$  
(A.16)

We insert this expression in the perturbed Poisson equation $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$ and we retrieve the Sweet (1950) and Zahn (1966) result

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r \frac{d\phi_l}{dr} \right) - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \phi_l - 4\pi G \frac{d\rho_0}{dr} \phi_l = \frac{4\pi G}{g_0} \frac{dP_0}{dr} U_l.$$  
(A.17)

where $l = [0, 2]$. The applied boundary conditions are written as

$$\phi_l = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dr} \phi_l = \frac{l(l+1)}{r} \phi_l = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = R,$$  
(A.18)

$R$ being the surface radius of the star (or the planet). Taking the latitudinal component of the hydrostatic balance (Eq. (A.10)) finally provides us the radial functions of the pressure fluctuation expansion

$$P_l = -\rho_0 (\phi_l + U_l).$$  
(A.19)

We introduce the radial coordinate of the isobar

$$P(r, \theta) = r + \sum_l \xi_l(r) P_l(\cos \theta).$$  
(A.20)

Taking the Taylor expansion of $P$ to first order, we have

$$P \left( r + \sum_l \xi_l(r) P_l(\cos \theta), \theta \right) = P_0(r) + \sum_l P_l(r) P_l(\cos \theta) + \left( \frac{dP_0}{dr} \right) \sum_l \xi_l(r) P_l(\cos \theta).$$  
(A.21)

By definition the pressure is constant on the isobar. We conclude that

$$\xi_l(r) = -\frac{P_l}{P_0(r)} \frac{dP_0}{dr} = -\frac{(\phi_l + U_l)}{g_0},$$  
(A.22)

where we used Eq. (A.19) and the zeroth-order hydrostatic balance $dP_0/dr = -\rho_0 g_0$. 
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We finally introduce the pseudo-radial coordinate $a$ defined in Eq. (1), i.e.
\[ r = a[1 + \varepsilon(a, \theta)], \]  
(A.23)
where $\varepsilon$ is also expanded on Legendre polynomials as
\[ \varepsilon(a, \theta) = \sum_l \varepsilon_l(a) P_l(\cos \theta). \]  
(A.24)

In contrast to Lee (1993), we do not use the Chandrasekhar-Milne expansion (e.g. Chandrasekhar 1933; Tassoul 1978) to compute $a$ because it leads to infinite $\varepsilon$ at the centre. Instead, we use a simple mapping such that $r$ is equal to the deformed stellar radius $r_s(\theta)$ when $a = R$ and that $r \approx a$ near the centre. The simplest mapping verifying these conditions is
\[ r = a + \left( \frac{r_s}{R} - 1 \right) a^2. \]  
(A.25)

At first order, this leads to
\[ \varepsilon_l = \frac{\xi_l(R)a}{R^2} = -\frac{\phi_l(R) + U_l(R)}{g_0(R)} \frac{a}{R^2}, \quad \text{where} \quad l = \{0, 2\}. \]  
(A.26)

**Appendix B: Perturbative analytical solutions**

**B.1. Propagative waves with fixed frequencies**

We consider any propagative waves with non-quantised frequencies ($\omega$) and thus spin parameter ($\nu = 2\Omega/\omega$). This is for instance the case of tidally excited waves (e.g. Ogilvie & Lin 2004, 2007; Braviner & Ogilvie 2014), where the tidal frequency is fixed by the difference between the angular velocities of the primary and the orbit of the companion, and progressive waves (e.g. Alvan et al. 2015).

As described above in Appendix A, the structure of a moderately rotating body where the centrifugal acceleration can be treated as a linear perturbation is the linear combination of the non-rotating structure and a perturbation ($\varepsilon$) of the order of ($\Omega/\sqrt{GM/R^3}$). Therefore, we can make a corresponding linear expansion in $\varepsilon$ of the generalised Laplace tidal operator ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}$) derived in Eq. (35) and of its eigenvalue ($\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}$) using the linear perturbation theory as in quantum mechanics (Cohen-Tannoudji et al. 1986). We obtain
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}, \]  
(B.1)
where
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}(x) = -\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}(x), \]  
(B.3)
is the usual Laplace tidal operator in the spherical case with its Hough eigenfunctions ($\Theta_{\text{vkm}}$) and eigenvalues ($\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)}$), and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}$ is its first-order centrifugal correction
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)} = \partial_x \left[ C_1(a, x, \partial_x) + C_2(a, x) \partial_x + C_3(a, x) \right] \]  
(B.4)
with
\[ C_1(a, x) = \frac{-2(1 - x^2)}{(1 - \nu^2 x^2)} \left[ (1 - \nu^2 x^2) \varepsilon + x (1 - x^2) \nu^2 \varepsilon \right], \]  
(B.5)
\[ C_2(a, x) = \frac{(1 - x^2)}{(1 - \nu^2 x^2)} \left( 3 \partial_x \varepsilon + a \partial_a \varepsilon \right), \]  
(B.6)
and
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}(x) = -\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}(x), \]  
(B.8)
while $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, and $\mathcal{E}$ are unchanged.

The corresponding eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are derived
\[ \Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a) = \Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)} + \Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a), \]  
(B.9)
\[ w_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a, x) = \Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a, x), \]  
(B.10)
with their respective centrifugal perturbations
\[ \Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a) = \int_{-1}^1 \Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(x) \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}[\Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(x)]}{\int_{-1}^1 \Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(x)} \]  
(B.11)
\[ w_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a, x) = \sum_k \int_{-1}^1 \Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(x) \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}[\Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(x)]}{\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)} - \Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)}} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}(x), \]  
(B.12)

Using the polarisation relations (Eq. (33)), the same expansion is done for the JWKB amplitudes of the Lagrangian displacement as follows:
\[ \widehat{\xi}_{\text{JWKB}}(a, x, \nu) = \widehat{\xi}_{\text{JWKB}}^{(0)}(a, x) + \widehat{\xi}_{\text{JWKB}}^{(1)}(a, x), \]  
(B.13)

where $\xi \equiv \{r, \theta, \varphi\}$.

We get in the vertical direction
\[ \widehat{\xi}_{z, \text{vkm}}^{(0)}(a) = -\frac{i}{N^2} k_{z, \text{vkm}}^{(0)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}, \]  
(B.14)
\[ \widehat{\xi}_{z, \text{vkm}}^{(1)}(a) = -\frac{i}{N^2} k_{z, \text{vkm}}^{(1)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}, \]  
(B.15)
where
\[ k_{z, \text{vkm}}^{(0)} = \frac{N(a) \sqrt{\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)}}}{\omega_{\text{vkm}}} \frac{\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)}}{a}, \]  
(B.16)
\[ k_{z, \text{vkm}}^{(1)} = \frac{N(a) \sqrt{\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}}}{\omega_{\text{vkm}}} \frac{\Lambda_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)}}{a}, \]  
(B.17)

We obtain for the latitudinal and azimuthal directions
\[ \widehat{\xi}_{\theta, \text{vkm}}^{(0)} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(0)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}, \]  
(B.18)
\[ \widehat{\xi}_{\theta, \text{vkm}}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)} w_{\text{vkm}}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{vkm}}^{(2)} \Theta_{\text{vkm}}, \]  
(B.19)
and

\[
\dot{c}^{(0)}_{\nu km} = L_{\nu km}^{(0)} \Theta_{\nu km}, \quad \dot{c}^{(1)}_{\nu km} = L_{\nu km}^{(1)} \Theta_{\nu km},
\]

where

\[
L_{\nu km}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{a} \omega^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[ -\left(1 - x^2\right) C^0_3(0) \partial_x + m \nu x C^0_5 \right],
\]

\[
L_{\nu km}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a} \omega^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[ -\left(1 - x^2\right) C^1_3(0) \partial_x + m C^1_4 \right],
\]

\[
L_{\nu km}^{(0)} = \frac{i}{a} \omega^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[ -\nu x \left(1 - x^2\right) C^0_3(0) \partial_x + m C^0_5 \right],
\]

\[
L_{\nu km}^{(1)} = \frac{i}{a} \omega^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[ -\nu x \left(1 - x^2\right) C^1_3(0) \partial_x + m C^1_4 \right],
\]

with

\[
C^0_3(0) = \frac{1}{1 - \nu^2 x^2},
\]

\[
C^1_3(0) = -2 \left(\left(1 - \nu^2 x^2\right) \nu x \left(1 - x^2\right) \partial_x \right) \left(1 - \nu^2 x^2\right)^2,
\]

\[
C^1_4(0) = -2 \left(\nu x \left(1 - x^2\right) \partial_x + \left(1 - x^2\right) \left(1 + \nu^2 x^2\right) \partial_x \right) \left(1 - \nu^2 x^2\right)^2.
\]

This analytical solution using first-order perturbative method can be of great interest to study propagative low-frequency GIWs in moderately rotating stars (their excitation, their propagation, their damping, and the potential angular momentum transport they induce; e.g. Mathis 2009). It can also be used to compute tidal dissipation in slightly deformed stably stratified stellar and planetary layers (Braviner & Ogilvie 2014) such as in the case of Saturn (Fuller et al. 2016).

Finally, the first-order linear perturbation theory at fixed given frequency can be used to compute the modification of the critical colatitude \(\theta_c\) for which

\[
D(a, \theta_c) = 0.
\]

Using the linearisation of \(C\) given in Eq. (B.8), this becomes

\[
1 - \nu^2 \cos^2 \theta_c \left[1 + \tan \theta_c \partial_\nu \epsilon(a, \theta_c)\right]^2 = 0.
\]

Posing \(\theta_c = \theta^0_c + \theta^1_c\), where \(\theta^0_c = \arccos(1/\nu^2)\) is the classical critical colatitude (e.g. Lee & Saio 1997) and \(\theta^1_c\) scales with \(\epsilon\), we obtain at the first order in \(\epsilon\)

\[
1 - \nu^2 \cos^2 \theta^0_c \left[1 + 2 \tan \theta^0_c \left(\nu \partial_\nu \epsilon(a, \theta^0_c) - \theta^1_c\right)\right] = 0,
\]

which leads to

\[
\theta^1_c = \partial_\nu \epsilon(a, \theta^0_c).
\]

In the case of uniform rotation

\[
e\omega(a, \theta) = \epsilon_0(a) + \epsilon_2(a) P_2(\cos \theta),
\]

and thus

\[
\partial_\nu \epsilon(a, \theta^0_c) \approx -3 \epsilon_2(a) \sin \theta^0_c \cos \theta^0_c.
\]

Using the expression for \(\theta^0_c\) as a function of \(\nu\), the critical colatitude finally reads

\[
\theta_c \approx \arccos(1/\nu) - \frac{3 \epsilon_2}{\nu} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\nu^2}}.
\]

If we make the rough assumption that \(\epsilon_2 \approx U_2/\omega_{km} \approx \Omega^2 a^3/(GM_a/a)\) (using Eq. (A.26) with neglecting \(\phi_2\)), it leads to a slight broadening of the equatorial belt where sub-inertial GIWs are propagating towards the surface.

### B.2. Oscillation eigenmodes

Studying oscillation eigenmodes induces the use of the quantisation as derived in Sect. 5. As discussed in the previous section, it would be relevant to expand the eigenfrequencies and the corresponding spin parameters as a combination of their values in the spherical case and a centrifugal correction as follows:

\[
\omega_{vkm} = \omega_{vkm}^{(0)} + \omega_{vkm}^{(1)},
\]

\[
\nu_{km} = \nu_{km}^{(0)} + \nu_{km}^{(1)},
\]

where \(\nu_{km}^{(0)} = 2\Omega/\omega_{vkm}^{(0)}\) and \(\nu_{km}^{(1)} = 2\Omega/\omega_{vkm}^{(1)}\). Such an expansion should then be introduced in the expression of the vertical wave number \((k_{V,\nu km};\) Eq. (36)) and of \(L_{\nu km}^{(1)}\) (Eq. (B.4)), which would lead to complex implicit equations to solve. Therefore, we advocate to solve directly the generalised Laplace tidal equation (Eqs. (35) and (38)) when studying the case of oscillation eigenmodes.