Xenopus Egg Extract Treatment Reduced Global DNA Methylation of Donor Cells and Enhanced Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer Embryo Development in Pigs
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Abstract

The efficiency to produce offspring by somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) is low. It has been showed that treatment of donor cells with Xenopus oocyte extract increased live births in ovine and handmade cloned embryo development in pigs. Scriptaid treatment after oocyte activation is another approach to improve SCNT efficiency. The present study was carried out to investigate (a) the effects of treatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract on donor cell DNA methylation at days 0 and 4 with two digitonin permeabilization concentrations (10 and 15 μg/mL), (b) the effects of treatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract on early development of cloned embryos, and (c) the effects of combined treatments, treating donor cells with extract before nuclear transfer and treatment of cloned embryos with scriptaid after oocyte activation, on embryo development. Compared to the control, a decrease of DNA methylation in donor cells was observed at 2.5 h after extract treatment. However, this effect was not observed after the cells were cultured for four more days. More embryos developed into blastocysts in the Xenopus egg extract-treated group than in the control (13.4 ± 1.9% vs. 9.1 ± 1.9%, p = 0.01). Furthermore, scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos further increased the frequency of development to blastocyst, compared to the control reconstructed with the same extract-treated cells (22.5 ± 0.9% vs. 15.3 ± 0.9%, p < 0.01). In addition, egg extract treatments increased the cell number in the blastocysts. This study demonstrated that Xenopus egg extract treatment reduced donor cell DNA methylation and enhanced the SCNT embryo development. Moreover, the combined treatments of donor cells with egg extract before nuclear transfer and of cloned embryos with scriptaid could improve cloned embryo development additively.
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Introduction

Somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) has been a major practical approach to produce genetically modified pigs as biomedical models to study human diseases1–3 and as sources of organs in xenotransplantation research,4 since pig organ size, anatomy, and physiology are similar to humans. Genetically modified pigs could improve production agriculture as well.5,6 However, the efficiency to produce pigs by SCNT is low. In addition, various abnormalities have been reported in SCNT-derived animals.2 The low cloning efficiency and developmental problems associated with SCNT are thought to be caused by inadequate nuclear remodeling and reprogramming of the donor nucleus.7 In fact, it has been shown that although reprogramming in SCNT embryos is similar to the reprogramming that occurs after fertilization, these events are delayed and incomplete.8

The mature oocyte cytoplasm can remodel differentiated nuclei of somatic cells to produce offspring.2,9,10 Remodeling of the nuclei takes place immediately after nuclear transfer. This process has not been fully characterized, but does involve epigenetic changes such as histone modification and DNA methylation. After nuclear transfer into an enucleated metaphase II (MII) oocyte, chromosomal protein mobility is...
increased; the somatic type linker histone H1 is replaced with embryonic type linker histone B4 in Xenopus11,12 and H1FOO in the mouse. Another very critical characterization of remodeling is DNA de-methylation15 and transcriptional reprogramming to lead to pluripotent gene activation and expression.2,16 Thus, the somatic cell nucleus is remodeled and reprogrammed to a pluripotent status. Further study showed that uptake of an oocyte-specific linker histone is required for transcriptional reprogramming of pluripotent genes (Sox2 and Oct4).11,12 All these findings led to the use of cell-free systems from oocyte extracts to study nuclear programming. Extract from MI and MII oocytes,12 early embryo blastomeres,17 and embryonic stem cells18,19 can reprogram somatic cell nuclei to a pluripotent status. Extract from these types of cells have also been used to study SCNT embryo development capabilities. Treatment of donor cells with mammalian or Xenopus oocyte extract before SCNT resulted in a threefold increase in the production of cloned offspring in murine20 and a fourfold increase in porcine21 before SCNT resulted in a threefold increase in the production of cloned mice24 and an improved in vitro development of cloned embryos in bovine22 and in handmade pig-cloned embryos.23

Another new approach to improve cloning efficiency is treatment of cloned embryos with scriptaid immediately after the somatic cell is fused with the enucleated oocyte. Scriptaid is a histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) that belongs to an existing class of hydroxamic acid-containing HDACi. Increased acetylation results in a change in the chromatin structure such that proteins like RNA polymerases can gain access to the DNA and begin transcription.24 Scriptaid treatment improved the production of cloned mice24 and pigs,25,26 but it was not known if scriptaid treatment would improve the development of embryos generated from Xenopus egg extract-treated donor cells.

To introduce the extract reprogramming factor(s) into donor cells, the cell membrane must be permeabilized to allow transport of substances from the extract into the cytoplasm and the nucleus. Treatment of cells with digitonin selectively permeabilizes the plasma membrane due to its relatively high cholesterol content, whereas most other intracellular membranes, including the nuclear envelope (which is low in cholesterol), remain intact, and the cytoskeleton is largely undisturbed. After permeabilization, soluble proteins diffuse through the digitonin-induced pores in the plasma membrane, which are sufficient for passive diffusion of proteins up to 100 kDa.27 In porcine fibroblast cells, the permeabilization rates, as shown by propidium iodide incorporation, gradually increased from 5 μg/mL digitonin, and almost half of the cells were permeabilized at 10 μg/mL.11 It has been also demonstrated that Xenopus oocyte extract decreased histone (H3K9) methylation in ovine,21 but it was not known if different concentrations of digitonin along with Xenopus egg extract treatment would affect DNA methylation of donor cells.

Thus, the objectives of current study were (1) to determine the effects of Xenopus egg extract treatment on the donor cell DNA methylation status and the effects of different digitonin concentrations (10 and 15 μg/mL) along with Xenopus egg extract treatment on DNA methylation; (2) to determine if treatment of somatic cells with Xenopus egg extract before nuclear transfer would improve subsequent development of SCNT embryos; and (3) to investigate if the combined two treatments, treating donor cells with Xenopus egg extract before nuclear transfer and scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos, would improve SCNT embryo development additively in pigs.

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

Experiment 1. Digitonin concentration and 5-methylcytosine DNA methylation status in donor cells. This experiment studied the effects of Xenopus egg extract treatment on the donor cell global DNA methylation status at days 0 and 4 after extract treatment. Along with extract treatment, two different concentrations of digitonin (10 and 15 μg/mL) for cell permeabilization were also compared. Three treatment groups were included for each day of study, 10D+ extract: 10 μg/mL digitonin permeabilization and Xenopus egg extract treatment; 15D+ extract: 15 μg/mL digitonin permeabilization and Xenopus egg extract treatment; and the control group: 15 μg/mL digitonin permeabilization and no extract treatment. The cells were collected at the end of 2.5-h resealing culture for day-0 samples and at day 4 for day-4 samples and processed for 5-methylcytosine (5MeC) staining as described below.

Experiment 2. Effects of donor cell treatment with Xenopus egg extract on fusion and cloned embryo development. To determine the effect of pretreatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract on SCNT embryo development, donor cells plated for 2 days were divided into two groups, the extract-treated and control group. The extract-treated cells were cultured with the Xenopus egg extract for 2 h after being permeabilized with 15 μg/mL digitonin, whereas the control was cultured in the Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). Then, both groups of cells were cultured in the DMEM supplemented with 2 mM CaCl2 and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 38.5°C for 2.5 h to re-seal the membranes. Individual cells were injected under the zona pellucida of enucleated oocytes and then electrically fused. The cloned embryos were cultured as described below. Cleavage and blastocyst formation were checked on days 2 and 7 with the SCNT day as day 0. The number of nuclei in the blastocysts was also determined at day 7.

Experiment 3. Effects of combined treatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract before nuclear transfer and scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos on embryo development. This experiment determined if the combined two treatments, treating donor cells with Xenopus egg extract before SCNT and scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos after activation, would additively improve embryo development. After culture for 2 days, donor cells were incubated with the Xenopus egg extract for 2 h at room temperature after permeabilization with 15 μg/mL digitonin and then cultured in the DMEM supplemented with 2 mM CaCl2 and 10% FBS at 38.5°C for 2.5 h. SCNT was carried out as described below. The cloned embryos were divided into two groups and treated with 0 (extract control) or 500 nM scriptaid (extract+scriptaid) for 14 h. Embryos were washed and further cultured in porcine zygote medium 3 (PZM3) for 7 days. Cleavage and blastocyst formation were checked on days 2 and 7. The number of nuclei in the blastocysts was determined at day 7.

Chemicals and preparation of Xenopus egg extract

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., unless otherwise stated.
Surgically extracted *Xenopus* ovaries were purchased from Nasco. Ovaries were washed five times in the Ringer’s solution, dissected into small pieces using a pair of scissors, and placed into 100-mm Petri dishes containing 15 mL 0.4% collagenase (type II) in the Ringer’s solution on a rocking table at room temperature for about 2 h. After complete digestion, oocytes were washed six times with the ND-96 buffer (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6). Stage VI and V oocytes (the largest) were selected manually and induced to mature with 5 μg/mL progesterone for 8 h, as described previously.28 Mature oocytes were sorted out by the appearance of an external white spot at the animal pole, washed twice in the ND-96 buffer, and two times in an extract buffer [100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 300 μM phenylmthanesulfluoride (PMSF), 5 μg/mL cytochalasin B (CB), and a protease inhibitor cocktail (100×, Sigma P8340), pH 7.5]. Oocytes were transferred into centrifuge tubes with a round bottom and allowed to settle. Excess buffer was removed. Then, the oocytes were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C using a super-speed centrifuge (Du Pont Co.). The contents of each tube were stratified into three main layers. The top yellow lipid was removed with a cotton swab; the middle ooplasmic layer was transferred to a clean centrifuge tube, and centrifuged at 10,000 g for another 10 min. The supernatant was again collected into a clean tube and re-centrifuged at 20,000 g for 40 min. Supernatant (*Xenopus* egg extract) was collected and 5% (v/v) glycerol was added. Aliquots of extracts were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until use.

Extract from one frog was used for this study.

**Primary cells establishment, donor cell permeabilization, and Xenopus egg extract treatment**

Ear fibroblast cells were established from enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-positive piglets29 as previously described.30 Briefly, ear notches from 1-week-old EGFP piglets were recovered and rinsed three times with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS). The ear notches were cleaned, the hair removed, and minced into pieces. Minced tissue was digested with collagenase (200 U/mL) and DNase I (25 KU/mL) in the DMEM plus 15% FBS (Hyclone) for 4–5 h at 38.5°C for 5% CO$_2$ in humidified air. The oocyte–donor cell couplets and cloned embryo activation were accomplished with two direct current pulses of 1.2 kV/cm for 30 μsec with a 1-sec interval between provided by a BTX Electro-cell Manipulator 200 (BTX) in a fusion medium (0.3 M mannitol, 1.0 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1 mM MgCl$_2$, and 0.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.3). Oocytes were then incubated for 20 min in PZM3 and evaluated for fusion under a stereomicroscope. Only the fused embryos (maximum 50 per well) were cultured in 500 μL of PZM3 at 38.5°C in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$ air.

**Somatic cell nuclear transfer and embryo culture**

Somatic cell nuclear transfer was carried out as previously described.26 Briefly, denuded oocytes were enucleated by aspirating the first polar body and MII chromosomes and a small amount of surrounding cytoplasm using a beveled glass pipette with an inner diameter of 17–20 μm. The medium for micromanipulation consisted of HEPES-buffered TC199, 0.3% BSA, and 7.5 mg/mL of CB for enucleation and no CB for injection. A single intact donor cell was injected into the perivitelline space and placed adjacent to the recipient cytoplasm. Oocyte–donor cell couplets were placed into the embryo culture medium PZM3 until fusion and activation. The fusion of oocyte–donor cell couplets and cloned embryo activation were accomplished with two direct current pulses of 1.2 kV/cm for 30 μsec with a 1-sec interval between provided by a BTX Electro-cell Manipulator 200 (BTX) in a fusion medium (0.3 M mannitol, 1.0 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1 mM MgCl$_2$, and 0.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.3). Oocytes were then incubated for 20 min in PZM3 and evaluated for fusion under a stereomicroscope. Only the fused embryos (maximum 50 per well) were cultured in 500 μL of PZM3 at 38.5°C in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$ air.

**Determination of cell numbers**

All EGFP-expressing embryos represent true SCNT embryos (Fig. 1), and only green blastocysts found on day 7 from each
group were stained with 4 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 for 15 min and mounted on a slide in 5 μL glycerol. The total number of cells was counted by using a fluorescence microscope.

Quantification of global DNA methylation in donor cells

Differences in global methylation were determined by immune staining using antibodies directed against 5MeC (Eurogentec) as described by Kwon et al. with slight modification. Briefly, donor cells were plated on glass cover slips and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for 2.5 h to attach for day-0 samples and for 4 days for day-4 samples. Cell samples were washed with 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS at room temperature for 15 min. Samples were further treated with 2 M HCl at room temperature for 30 min and subsequently neutralized for 10 min with the HEPES-buffered Tyrode medium (pH 7.3). Cell samples were blocked overnight at 4°C in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.05% Tween 20. On day 2, cells were sequentially incubated with 20 mg/mL 5MeC primary antibodies diluted at 1:500 and a Texas Red-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:400 dilution) at 39°C for 1 h. DNA was stained with 10 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 for 20 min. Cover slips were mounted on glass slides in the Vecta shield antifade-mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Cell samples were visualized by using a Nikon Elipse (E600) fluorescent microscope with a Texas Red filter. Images were captured by a Nikon DS-Fi1-mounted camera by using identical settings and an 8-sec exposure for all acquired images. Intensity within individual cells was determined by Image-Pro Plus software (v6.3, Media Cybernetics). The experiment was repeated three times, and negative controls were acquired by using normal serum without a primary antibody.

Statistical analysis

Data for the dependent variables, percent fusion, percent cleavage at day 2, percent blastocyst formation at day 7, number of nuclei within the blastocyst-stage embryos, and intensity of 5MeC immune staining of donor cells were analyzed using the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS® software, with treatment and day in class as the main effects. The number of nuclei in blastocyst-stage embryos was square root transformed to approach a normal distribution. The experimental unit was the culture well in which cells were treated for the 5MeC-staining analysis and droplets in which a group of embryos were cultured. In the results, the least-square means and the standard errors of means are presented. A probability of $p < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Effects of Xenopus oocyte extract treatment on DNA methylation in donor cells

The DNA methylation status was determined using an antibody to 5MeC; a decrease in 5MeC is indicative of demethylation at cytosine guanine (CpG) dinucleotides, the most common areas on the DNA of mammals that methyl groups bind. A total of 1583 and 1175 cells from three treatment groups and three replicates were sampled, assayed, and analyzed at days 0 and 4, respectively. For the day-0 cells, the intensity of 5MeC staining in the extract-treated cells was reduced in both the 10D+ extract and 15D+ extract groups compared to the 15 μg/mL digitonin-treated, but without extract-treated control group ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, when the digitonin level increased from 10 to 15 μg/mL, the intensity of 5MeC staining in the 15D+ extract group was lower than the 10D+ extract group ($p < 0.01$). However, after the cells were cultured for four more days, the intensity of 5MeC staining in the cells increased from day 0 to day 4, and there was no difference between the extract-treated and nonextract-treated control groups (Fig. 2B). Based on these results, the day-0 cells treated with 15 μg/mL digitonin extract and the Xenopus egg extract were used for the nuclear transfer and embryo culture experiments.

Effects of donor cell treatment with Xenopus egg extract on fusion and cloned embryo development

A total of 718 couplets were produced from seven replicates, and 573 fused couplets were cultured in the PZM3 medium for 7 days. There was no difference in the percentage of fused couplets out of total embryos reconstructed by SCNT or the percentage of cleaved embryos out of fused couplets between the extract-treated and control groups. However, there was an increase in the percentage of fused couplets that developed to the blastocyst stage in the Xenopus egg extract-treated group compared to the nontreated control ($p = 0.01$). Furthermore, the cell number in day-7 blastocyst embryos in the extract-treated group was higher than the control ($p = 0.05$) (Table 1).

Effects of combined treatments of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract before SCNT and scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos on embryo development

Using the same Xenopus egg extract-treated cells, a total of 920 couplets were reconstructed from seven replicates, and 787 fused couplets were divided into two groups. They were treated with 0 (extract control without scriptaid) or

FIG. 1. Representative somatic cell nuclear transfer embryos at different stages of development reconstructed by using enhanced green fluorescent protein fibroblast cells. Only green embryos were counted and used for data analysis.
FIG. 2. Quantitative analysis of the DNA methylation status detected by 5MeC immunostaining in the control and *Xenopus* egg extract-treated cells (10D+extract: 10 μg/mL digitonin permeabilization of cells and *Xenopus* egg extract treatment; 15D+extract: 15 μg/mL digitonin permeabilization and *Xenopus* egg extract treatment) at days 0 (A) and 4 (B). The level of methylation is reported in arbitrary units and was detected using Texas Red-labeled antibodies (second) bound to primary antibodies specific to 5MeC. a, b, and c: Intensity of 5MeC staining in columns with different letters differ (a, b: $p < 0.05$; a, c and b, c: $p < 0.01$). 5MeC, 5-methylcytosine.
500 nM scriptaid (extract + scriptaid group) for 14 h after activation. Embryos were then washed and cultured in the PZM3 medium for 7 days. There was no difference in the percentage of fused couplets out of total embryos reconstructed by SCNT or the percentage of cleaved embryos out of fused couplets between the scriptaid-treated and control groups. Scriptaid treatment (extract + scriptaid) increased the percentage of fused couplets that developed to the blastocyst stage compared to the nontreated-extract control ($p < 0.01$).

In other words, the combined treatment of donor cells with the *Xenopus* egg extract before nuclear transfer and scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos enhanced embryo development to blastocyst stage compared to the *Xenopus* egg extract treatment alone. Also, there was a tendency of higher cell number in day-7 blastocyst-stage embryos in the extract- and scriptaid-treated groups compared to the extract-only control ($p = 0.06$) (Table 2).

### Discussion

The metaphase II (MII)– and germinal vesicle (GV)–stage oocyte cytoplasm from both amphibians and mammals is different in their reprogramming capabilities and in their effects on embryo development. Studies by Tang et al. showed that bovine donor cells treated with the bovine MII-stage oocyte extract increased blastocyst development, whereas an increase was not observed in GV-stage oocyte extract-treated cells, compared to the nontreated controls. Furthermore, MII oocytes have been used as recipient cells for nuclear transfer of somatic cells in mammals, which also suggests that they would be a reasonable source of extracts for inducing nuclear remodeling and reprogramming before nuclear transfer. In contrast, GV oocytes are considered to be inadequate for use as recipients, though GV oocytes also have a reprogramming potential. Very recently, Miyamoto et al. showed that porcine MII oocyte extract was able to displace transcription factors from donor nuclei and deacetylate the histone tails of somatic nuclei. These reprogramming events related to the erasure of gene-expression memories are also observed in somatic nuclei transferred to MII oocytes, suggesting that some reprogramming events can be reproduced in the oocyte extracts. In the current study, *Xenopus* egg extract was prepared from MII oocytes. *Xenopus* egg extract treatment decreased global DNA methylation in donor cells. Furthermore, *Xenopus* egg extract treatment increased the cloned embryo development to blastocyst and increased cell numbers in the embryos. These results further demonstrated that *Xenopus* egg extract from mature MII oocytes has beneficial effects on donor cell reprogramming before nuclear transfer and on development of cloned embryos that are reconstructed from treated donor cells. It also supports the observations that *Xenopus* oocyte extract treatment improved blastocyst formation in handmade pig clone embryos and the birth rate from SCNT embryos in the ovine. A previous study in ovine demonstrated that *Xenopus* extract treatment reduced methylation of histone H3K9, but not DNA methylation detected by 5MeC staining. In the current study, in the pig, the DNA methylation was reduced after extract treatment as reflected by decreased 5MeC staining. The discrepancy could be explained by the species and a different source of extract (GV vs. MII stage of *Xenopus* oocytes). Reprogramming of the somatic nuclei takes place right after nuclear transfer and continues until later embryo development. It was hypothesized that the donor cell nuclei may not have enough time to remodel and reprogram to a pluripotent status, thus may not remodel sufficiently, and results in a low cloning efficiency. It was further hypothesized that pretreatment of donor cells with *Xenopus* egg extract would provide initial remodeling to the donor cell nucleus before SCNT and would enhance the cloned embryo development. *Xenopus* extract contains many factors that may

| Xenopus egg extract treatment | No. of oocytes | SCNT embryo development |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
|                               | Total          | Cleaved (%) | Blastocyst (%) | No. of nuclei in blastocysts |
| Control                       | 305           | 250 (82.3±3.0) | 185 (72.2±3.7) | 36.0±3.6** |
| Treated                       | 413           | 323 (78.6±3.0) | 236 (72.4±3.7) | 39.7±2.5** |

*p = 0.01.
**p = 0.05.
SCNT, somatic cell nuclear transfer.

### Table 1. Fusion Rate and Cloned Embryo Development Reconstructed from *Xenopus* Egg Extract-Treated and Nontreated Donor Cells

| No. of oocytes | SCNT embryo development |
|----------------|-------------------------|
|                | Total | Fused (% enucleated) | Cleaved (% fused) | Blastocyst (% fused) | No. of nuclei in blastocysts |
| Control        | 413   | 344 (81.2±3.8)       | 252 (75.2±4.0)    | 50 (15.3±0.9)*      | 36.0±2.6** |
| Scriptaid-treated | 507   | 443 (88.8±3.8)       | 352 (77.9±4.0)    | 101 (22.5±0.9)*     | 40.9±1.8** |

*p < 0.01.
**p = 0.06.
contribute to the decreased global DNA methylation and enhanced embryo development. Such factors have been identified, and they are involved in nuclear remodeling and reprogramming, such as nucleosomal adenine triphosphatase (ATPase) ISWI,43 FRG2а/b,44 ATPase BRG1,31 acidic nuclear protein nucleoplasmin (a decondensation factor),45 and linker Histone B4.45 Byrne et al.40 showed that somatic nuclei could express pluripotent marker genes, such as Oct4, after nuclei of mouse thymocytes and human blood lymphocytes were injected into GV-stage Xenopus oocytes.46 These studies suggest that nuclear reprogramming processes are highly conserved among species. Reprogramming factors have been mainly identified in Xenopus. This is because Xenopus eggs and oocytes are extremely large (1.2 mm in diameter) and easily accessible, compared to mammalian oocytes (100 μm in diameter). While the roles of some reprogramming factors in nuclear remodeling and transcriptional reprogramming have been reported, it has not been demonstrated that these factors are crucial for nuclear reprogramming in cloned embryos during embryonic development. In the current study, it was demonstrated that pretreatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract enhanced cloned embryo development, which supports the hypothesis tested. DNA demethylation is necessary for epigenetic reprogramming of somatic cell nuclei,46 because epigenetic mechanisms play an important role in developmental competence of reconstructed embryos.7 A reduction of DNA methylation after egg extract treatment confirmed its role in nuclear reprogramming and may be one of the major mechanisms for the beneficial effects on cloned embryo development in the current study. In addition, Xenopus egg extract may have changed the chromatin structure of donor nuclei so that it was easier for the recipient cytoplasm to remodel and reprogram the donor cell nucleus.

One critical limitation for the reprogramming factors to penetrate cell membrane and act on the nucleus was the size of pores in the membrane. As reported by Liu et al.,23<10% of cells would stain positive with Texas Red (conjugated molecular weight: 70,000) when they were treated with 7.5 μg/mL digitonin. The permeabilization rate increased rapidly when digitonin concentration was increased from 10 to 30 μg/mL.23 However, high-digitonin treatment would reduce the recovery and survival rate of treated cells.11,23 In the current study, medium concentrations of 10 and 15 μg/mL digitonin were chosen, and their effects on global DNA methylation status were evaluated. It was found that permeabilization with 15 μg/mL digitonin decreased the DNA methylation status further after Xenopus egg extract treatment, compared to the 10 μg/mL digitonin group and the control. Thus, the concentration of 15 μg/mL was an optimal concentration for permeabilizing donor cells and was used for nuclear transfer experiments in the current study.

Scriptaid is an HDAC inhibitor that belongs to an existing class of hydroxamic acid-containing HDAC inhibitors.47 It is thought that HDAC inhibitors can induce hyperacetylation of the core histones, resulting in structural changes in chromatin that permit transcription, as well as reduction of DNA methylation of the somatic cell-derived genome after nuclear transfer,48 which is necessary for genome reprogramming.46,49 Increased acetylation of histones leads to relaxed binding of the nucleosome to DNA and/or linker histones, relaxation of chromatin structures, and formation of a transcriptionally permissive state.50,51 Histone deacetylation, frequently followed by histone methylation, establishes a base for highly repressive chromatin structures such as heterochromatin.52 Scriptaid treatment induces hyperacetylation, decreases DNA methylation, and thus activates genes that are key for development.53 It also corrects gene expression in the SCNT blastocyst embryos.54 Scriptaid treatment alone increased the percentage of blastocyst and cloning efficiency in pigs.55,56 The higher blastocyst formation in scriptaid-treated SCNT embryos from the current study also supports these observations. Compared to the Xenopus extract treatment control in the current study, the combined treatment of Xenopus extract of donor cells and scriptaid treatment of reconstructed embryos additively increased blastocyst formation, which indicates that both treatments could be used together to improve the embryo development in pigs.

Summary

Treatment of donor cells with extract prepared from mature Xenopus oocytes reduced global DNA methylation. A digitonin concentration at 15 μg/mL for cell permeabilization before Xenopus egg extract treatment further decreased DNA methylation compared to the 10 μg/mL digitonin treatment group. More embryos reconstructed with Xenopus egg extract-treated donor cells developed to the blastocyst stage than the nontreated control. Furthermore, the current study was the first to report that combined treatment of donor cells with Xenopus egg extract before somatic cell nuclear transfer and scriptaid treatment of cloned embryos increased SCNT embryo development in pigs.
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