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Abstract

Graft infection following aortic aneurysms repair is an uncommon but devastating complication; its incidence ranges from <1% to 6% (mean 4%), with an associated perioperative and overall mortality of 12% and 17.5-20%, respectively. The most common causative organisms are Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli; causative bacteria typically arise from the skin or gastrointestinal tract. The pathogenetic mechanisms of aortic graft infections are mainly breaks in sterile technique during its implantation, superinfection during bacteremia from a variety of sources, severe intraperitoneal or retroperitoneal inflammation, inoculation of bacteria during postoperative percutaneous interventions to manage various types of endoleaks, and external injury of the vascular graft. Mechanical forces in direct relation to the device were implicated in fistula formation in 35% of cases of graft infection. Partial rupture and graft migration leading to gradual erosion of the bowel wall and aortoenteric fistulas have been reported in 30.8% of cases. Rarely, infection via continuous tissues may affect the spine, resulting in spondylitis. Even though graft explantation and surgical debridement is usually the preferred course of action, comorbidities and increased perioperative risk may preclude patients from surgery and endorse a conservative approach as the treatment of choice. In contrast, conservative treatment is the treatment of choice for spondylitis; surgery may be indicated in approximately 8.5% of patients with neural compression or excessive spinal infection. To enhance the literature, we searched the related literature for published studies on continuous spondylitis from infected endovascular grafts aiming to summarize the pathogenesis and diagnosis, and to discuss the treatment and outcome of the patients with these rare and complex infections.
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Introduction

Conventional surgical repair of aortic aneurysms has been applied for many decades. However, despite the advances in operative techniques and perioperative management of patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms or occlusive aorto-iliac disease, there are many early and late complications related to aortic graft repair. One of the most devastating complication is infection of the aortic graft accounting for an incidence ranging from just under 1% to as high as 6%, with an overall incidence of approximately 4%, and an associated perioperative and overall mortality of 12% and 17.5-20%,
respectively [1].

In recent years, a modern minimally invasive procedure, the endovascular aortic repair (EVAR) has been introduced for aortic aneurysm repairs [2-12]. First described by Parodi in 1991, the latter technique consists of percutaneous insertion of a tubular graft within the aneurysmal sac, tethered with metallic stents with the use of special catheters and guidewires through arteriotomies [2]. Hence, this minimally invasive procedure has emerged as an appealing treatment option, as compared to conventional open surgery, with comparatively better early outcomes and lower perioperative mortality and morbidity rates [3]. However, there has been no reason to believe that endovascular aortic stent grafts would be immune from septic complications [1, 4]. The rate of EVAR infections is unclear [6]. Even though the reported incidence of EVAR infection is very low (mean, 0.4%; range, 0.2 -0.7%) [5, 6], it represents a devastating complication with an estimated mortality ranging from 27.4% to 36.4% [6]. Skin contamination during interventional procedures, which occur in up to 10–20%, and hematogenous graft seeding may occur [1-6].

Table 1. Summary of published studies on spondylitis transmitted from an infected aortic graft.

| Study | Patients (Age/Gender) | Vascular graft (Type) | Time to and Site of spondylitis; Bacterial isolate | Treatment | Follow-up; Outcome |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Lowe et al. [7] | 82/M | EVAR | 2 months; L4-L5; not reported | Long-term antibiotics (gentamicin, rifampicin, clindamycin) | 6 months; low back pain and neurological status did not improve |
| Mavrogenis et al. [8] | 64/M | EVAR | 7 years; L3; methicillin-resistant | Long-term antibiotics (moxifloxacin and linezolid) | 12 months; mild low back pain |
| Faccenna et al. [9] | 51/M | EVAR | 9 days; L2-L4; methicillin-susceptible | Antibiotics (cefotaxim, amoxicillin) | 4 years; low back pain, neurological impairment |
| de Koning et al. [10] | 74/M | EVAR | 7 months; L3-L4; Escherichia coli and multiple anaerobic bacteria | Surgical (endograft removal and debridement), antibiotics (clindamycin, piperacillin, tazobactam, amoxicillin-clavulanate) | 7 months; mild right thigh pain |
| Blanch et al. [11] | 76/M | EVAR | 6 months; L3-L4; Streptococcus haemolyticus and Propionibacterium | Surgical (debridement, endograft preservation), antibiotics (sulfamethoxazole-trimetoprim, rifampicin, cefuroxime, linezolid) | 6 months; not reported |
| Laser et al. [12] | 73/M | EVAR | 6 months; lumbar spine and sacrum; Staphylococcus | Surgical (endograft removal, axillary bifemoral bypass), antibiotics (doxycycline, ofloxacin) | 3 months; alive |
| 54/M | EVAR | 45 months; not reported; negative | Surgical (endograft removal, repair with rifampin-soaked endograft), antibiotics | 7 months; alive |
| d’ Ettore et al. [32] | 61/M | EVAR | Not reported; T7-T9; coagulase-negative | Antibiotics (vancomycin, rifampicin and cefazidime, switched to levofloxacin, minocycline and teicoplanin) | Not reported; good clinical and radiological features |
| Bopaert et al. [50] | 72/M | Aortobiiliac graft | 11 years; L1-L2; Torulopsis glabrata | Bed rest, antibiotics (fluconazole, amphotericin B) | 11 weeks; deceased |
| Dreyfus et al. [51] | 71/M | Aortobiiliac graft | Not reported; L4-L5 spondylodiscitis; Staphylococcus aureus | Surgical (graft removal and extra-anatomic axillo-bifemoral arterial bypass), antibiotics (ciprofloxacin, oxacillin) | 3 months; asymptomatic |
| Piquet et al. [52] | 67/M | Aortobiifemoral graft | 7 years; L2; Coxiella burnetii | Surgical (two-stage; removal of graft and bilateral aortofemoral bypass; L2 vertebroplasty), antibiotics (doxycycline, ofloxacin) | 3 years; asymptomatic |
| Brandt et al. [54] | 73/M | Aortobiifemoral graft | 3 years; L2-L3; Aspergillus fumigatus | Surgical (graft removal and axillo-bifemoral arterial bypass), antibiotics (amphotericin B) | 6 months; ambulatory and relatively pain-free |
| Anderson et al. [55] | 73/M | Aortobiifemoral graft | 3 years; L2-L3; Aspergillus species | Surgical (graft removal and axillo-bifemoral arterial bypass), antibiotics (amphotericin B) | 6 months; alive and well |
| Glotzbach et al. [56] | 71/M | Aortobiifemoral graft | 2 years; L2-L3; Aspergillus terreus | Surgical (graft removal and aortic repair with a new aortobiifemoral graft), antibiotics (amphotericin B) | 37 days; deceased |
| Solomon et al. [57] | 78/M | Aortic graft and EVAR | 7 years; L2; mixed enteric flora (Streptococcus viridans, Streptococcus anginosus, and Escherichia coli). | Antibiotics, drainage followed by debridement (excision of a portion of infected Dacron graft, aneurysm sac and abscess cavity; endograft was left in situ) | 6 months; deceased from causes not related to aortic graft infection |

EVAR: endovascular aneurysm repair.
Pathogenesis

Causative organisms for endovascular infections are usually derived from skin flora or the gastrointestinal tract and may include Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Escherichia coli, Enterococci, or Streptococci. Staphylococcus aureus is reported to be the most common causative pathogen both in open aortic repairs and EVAR procedures [17, 18]. Rare cases of aortic graft infections from Pasteurella multocida [13, 14], Propionibacterium acnes [15], Aspergillus fumigatus [16], Gemella haemolyans [49] and Torulopsis glabrata [50] have also been described. For all organisms, bacterial adherence to the vascular graft is the initial event in the process of graft infection [1]. Adherence depends on the physical characteristics of the graft such as pore size and surface area, and on the chemical properties of the graft such as hydrophobicity [58].

The production of an extracellular glycocalyx (biofilm) by bacteria promotes adherence to biomaterials and provides protection against host defenses. Biofilm decreases antibiotic penetration, impairs phagocyte and antibody functions, and stimulates a chronic inflammatory process around an infected prosthesis. In this setting, bacteria are more difficult to culture from an infected graft [1, 58, 59]. Proteases produced by gram-negative organisms contribute to the higher rates of vessel wall necrosis, anastomotic disruption, and pseudoaneurysm formation with infection from these bacteria [58, 59]. In the canine model, it has been shown that in the presence of bacteremia, endografts become more resistant to infection after the first week due to a pseudointima formation over the endograft material, which propagates inwards from the edges of the graft [60]. In humans, the ability of depth of stent graft incorporation has not been well documented. Since pseudointima formation in newly implanted vascular grafts starts from the edges of the graft and proceeds inwards, the first weeks after implantation are critical for possible infectious graft contamination by hematogenous seeding, as reported by Murphy et al. [61], that found most of the infections occurring within 3 months. However, it is probable that very long grafts may possibly never be fully incorporated, as indirectly proven by late detection of infection, up to 72 months in the series of Capoccia et al. [62].

Reported risk factors for aortic graft infections include cardiac, pulmonary and hepatic disease, diabetes mellitus, renal insufficiency, intestinal ischemia, immunosuppression especially that due to corticosteroid therapy or chemotherapy in cancer patients, coincident malignancy, postoperative wound infections, and urgent/emergency procedures [17, 19, 61]. Possible routes for graft infection include perioperative contamination, hematogenous spread from a distant site, and mechanical erosion of the endograft or aneurysmal sac coils [1-51]; reported sources of endograft infection include infection (groin, urinary or other) at index operation, contaminated index operation, and endoleak at index operation [33]. Aortic graft infections may also be related with a non-evacuated thrombus, atherosclerotic plaques, persistent endoleak, presence of an aortoenteric fistula [8, 11, 20, 21], and suboptimal sterility conditions in the interventional radiology suites [18]. Nonetheless, the majority of infections occur after bacterial contamination of the perigraft space at the time of the index graft implantation or during subsequent endovascular procedures, such as catheter manipulation inside the stent graft [8, 17]. In a study [18], a 62.5% of stent graft infections were reported when the procedure was performed in interventional radiology suites, compared to 37.5% when the procedure was performed in conventional operating theaters; mechanical forces in direct relation to the device were implicated in fistula formation in 35% of infected endografts and in 30.8% of aortoenteric fistulas; partial rupture, excessive angulation at the level of the proximal neck, and graft migration led to gradual erosion of the bowel wall and secondarily to contamination of the stent graft [18].

Traditionally, prosthetic joint infections (PJIs) are classified as early (<3 months after surgery), delayed (3-24 months after surgery), and late infections (>2 years after surgery) [63]. Early and delayed infections are mainly exogenously acquired in the perioperative period, whereas most late PJIs are hematogenously acquired. Acute hematogenous prosthetic joint infections of less than 3 weeks’ duration and early post-interventional prosthetic joint infections (<1 month after surgery) can generally be treated with implant retention. In contrast, in patients with chronic prosthetic joint infections, the biofilm on implant material can generally not be eliminated by antimicrobial agents; therefore, all foreign material has to be removed [63].

Early or late onset aortic graft infections are possible. It has been postulated that an infection may manifest within 4 months or >12 months after initial surgery [5, 33, 62-68]. Smeds et al. [33] reported a mean interval of 18 months (range, 0.6-70 months) for the diagnosis of infection for thoracic stent grafts compared to a mean interval of 24 months (range, 0.2-158 months) for abdominal stent graft infections, without any difference between the two infected stent types. Capoccia et al. [62] reported a mean time from index endograft procedure to infection diagnosis of 20.5 ± 20.3 months (range, 1-72 months). Bacterial
contamination during surgery commonly results in early infections, while in rare cases bacteremia or mechanical graft erosion into the surrounding tissues may result in late infections [5]. Graft or arterial interface disruption may lead to hematoma formation [22]. Bacteria may seed an adjacent hematoma, transforming it into an abscess [9]. In the context of further disease progression, spondylitis secondary to aortic graft infection may occur either by bacteremia or by continuous tissues spread [7-12, 32, 49-51].

Reported risk factors for spondylitis include preexisting or synchronous non spinal infection including skin and soft tissue infection, infective endocarditis, catheter related infection, post-operative wound infection (non-spinal operation), pneumonia, arthritis, arteriovenous fistula infection, meningitis, genitourinary tract infection, intra-abdominal infection, previous bacteremia within 1 year, endovascular stent infection, and endophthalmitis [69-75]. Risk factors associated with spondylitis in multivariate analysis include female gender (for gram negative infections), epidural block within 6 months, spinal prosthesis, previous bacteremia within 1 year, fever, sepsis, preexisting or synchronous genitourinary tract infection, and preexisting or synchronous intra-abdominal infection [75].

Spondylitis secondary to aortic graft infection probably should be considered a sign of low-virulent bacteria late infection. In this setting, infection extends into the adjacent tissue, and affects the spine and potentially the spinal cord or nerve roots [7-12]. A specific time interval between the index vascular procedure and continuous spondylitis has not been reported to draw important conclusions.

**Diagnostic Approach**

Diagnosis of aortic graft infections is challenging. Clinical features may be ambiguous and nonspecific. A high index of suspicion is essential and diagnosis should be established based on clinical symptoms, imaging and laboratory findings. Infection may lead to graft/arterial interface disruption, hemorrhage, or sepsis [17]. Infection can be low- or high-grade [5, 62]. Low-grade infections (approximately 30%) are caused by low-virulent bacteria, and occur generally late after the index graft implantation; infection route is exogenous with only a few exceptions [63]. Usually, these patients have been suffering from chronic, nonspecific symptoms and signs such as weakness, weight loss, and malaise [17, 33]. In contrast, the type of symptoms is prominent at the local site of infection if virulent pathogens such as *Staphylococcus aureus* or Gram-negative bacteria are involved [63]. High-grade infections are usually caused by high virulent bacteria; in this setting, symptoms appear acutely and include sepsis, fever, abdominal pain, lumbar pain, graft thrombosis, septic embolism, hematomesis, rectal blood loss, and hemorrhagic shock in the case of aorto-enteric fistula formation [6, 17, 18, 33, 41, 62]. Although most of the infections originate from perioperative contamination, it has been reported that 50% of cases may present after the second year of follow-up [5, 17, 19]. Constant, progressive back pain, malaise or weight loss is suggestive of infection spread to the spine [11, 23]. Neurological impairment may also occur in some cases when the spinal cord or nerve roots are compressed [7].

White blood cell (WBC) count and C-reactive protein (CRP) should be included in the basic work-up. CRP has been suggested as a sensitive index for evaluating infection and treatment response in the follow-up period, also providing guidance for antibiotic therapy discontinuation [24].

CT is the imaging modality of choice when aortic graft infection is suspected [26]. Signs such as air in the perigraft space, tissue infiltration, intrasac collection, fluid accumulation and soft-tissue attenuation, pseudoaneurysm or discontinuity of the vascular wall, or communication between the aortic wall and the bowel should be evaluated with caution, as they are indicative for infection [17]. Nonetheless, in the early postoperative period, it is difficult to distinguish graft infection from the expected postoperative changes [8, 26]. The presence of perigraft air is not pathognomonic of graft infection until 4-7 weeks after surgery, while the presence of perigraft fluid may be normal up to 4 months after surgery [8]. In acute graft infections, sensitivity and specificity of CT are very high; however, accuracy decreases dramatically in chronic disease [27]. In the presence of secondary spondylitis, diagnosis by means of CT may be difficult. Imaging findings may be nonspecific, as noninfectious vertebral destruction may also occur after endograft procedures or in the presence of a pseudoaneurysm [11].

When CT is inconclusive, positron emission tomography with 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-d-glucose (FDG-PET) may be considered. This promising imaging modality is advocated as superior to CT when aortic graft infection is suspected [28]. Accordingly, the combination of FDG-PET with CT (PET-CT) is reported to increase sensitivity and specificity [17]. FDG-PET may also provide evidence of spondylitis with high sensitivity, specificity and accuracy [29]. Increased uptake not only at the location of the graft but also at the adjacent spinal region is suggestive of spinal spread of the disease. Indium¹¹¹ labeled leukocyte scan may be helpful in evaluating vascular graft infection and assessing its
extent in the perigraft region. It may also detect other sites of infection that need to be managed accordingly [30].

The role of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging in aortic graft infections is not clarified; however, it may be more accurate in cases of intra-abdominal graft infections, considering its superiority to discriminate tissue planes, particularly in the retroperitoneum [8, 26] (Figure 1). MR imaging may differentiate perigraft fluid and inflammatory changes of the adjacent tissues from acute and chronic hematoma [8, 26]. Isolation of the causative bacteria or fungus from blood- or perigraft-drained fluid material is confirmative of the diagnosis of infection [17]. Tissue sampling or drainage should be performed under CT guidance [25, 26]. However, blood or tissue cultures are not always positive in patients with active aortic graft infection, even in cases of frank purulence [5, 12].

A high clinical suspicion is important in patients with nonmechanical pain for early diagnosis of spondylitis. Serologic tests such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein are quite sensitive, but specificity is relatively low. Changes on radiographs appear at least 3 to 4 weeks after the onset of disease. Bone scan is a sensitive but not a specific test. CT provides structural details in the bone and intervertebral disc [76]. MR imaging is the imaging modality of choice for the detection and evaluation of spondylitis, with superior imaging quality, 96% sensitivity, 92% specificity, and 94% accuracy [31, 76]. Isolation of the causative bacteria from blood or bone tissue is confirmative of the diagnosis of infection. In many patients, percutaneous or open biopsy is required to make the definitive diagnosis of spondylitis and the responsible organism for the infection [76].

**Surgical Treatment**

The management of vascular graft infections should aim at eradication of the infectious source, without compromising perfusion to the corresponding end organ or extremity [1, 4-6, 13-22]. However, the literature lacks information from large series on the management of patients with continuous spondylitis from infected aortic vascular grafts [7-12, 32]. In the existing reports, the types of grafts, neurological symptoms of the patients, type of treatment and bacterial isolates varied, therefore, important conclusions cannot be drawn [7-12, 32, 33]. By direct comparison of the respective results, it seems more likely for an infection recurrence or persistence after antibiotics administration and conservative treatment [7, 9]. Therefore, since there are no specific guidelines for the treatment of aortic graft infections, probably, surgical treatment should be recommended for these patients [1, 11, 33-39]. In this setting, the patients should be informed that the mortality and morbidity of this approach may be up to 20% [1, 39]; reinfection of the aortic grafts may also occur, and usually proves fatal [1, 40].

---

**Figure 1:** A 93-year-old man presented with low back and right leg pain, malaise and low-grade fever of 4 month duration; he had an abdominal aortic aneurysm treated with vascular graft 18 years before. Axial T1-weighted MR image of the lumbar spine shows pathological signal intensity of the L3 vertebral body, and continuous abnormal soft tissue of similar signal intensity extending from the posterior aneurysmal sac to L3 vertebral body (arrows). The aortic lumen is irregular, with flame-shaped areas of pointing contrast suggesting inflammatory infiltration into the aortic wall. With the presumptive diagnosis of infection, he was treated by his local physicians with antibiotics (ciprofloxacin and rifampicin) and analgesics, in addition to lumbar spine immobilization with a brace. CT-guided biopsy was done at his admission; cultures were negative, probably because of antibiotics administration. Because of deteriorated general health status, a joint decision was obtained for conservative treatment with long term suppression with antibiotics. Four months later, the patient is afebrile with improved but constant low back pain.
Before the decision for surgical treatment, patient’s comorbidities, abdomen hostility and overall clinical status should be evaluated [17]. If the patient’s general condition allows, conventional open surgery for complete removal of the infected graft is preferable [19]. After graft explantation, necrotic tissue should be thoroughly debrided, and revascularization either via in situ or extra-anatomical aortic reconstruction should be performed [33]. Aortic repair after explantation and subsequent coverage using omentum or fascia lata is often conducted [33]. Biological vascular grafts (auto- or allografts) or a prosthesis with or without antimicrobial coating may be used [27]. Extra-anatomical bypass (EAB) has theoretically a lower risk of infection than in situ repair, as it diverges from the site of infection; however, secondary infection has been reported in 10% of cases [34]. There are also concerns about long term graft patency, lower flow rates and aortic stump blow-out after EAB. The risk of stump blow-out is avoided when in situ repair is performed, while the superiority of the latter in terms of mortality, amputation rate and patency is latterly advocated [35].

Conservative treatment is the standard of care for spondylitis and is expected to be effective in most of cases [36]. Surgical treatment is indicated for patients with spinal cord or cauda equina compression with progressive neurological deficits, and for those with spinal instability due to extensive bone destruction, significant deformity or when conservative treatment fails [37].

**Conservative Treatment**

To avoid submitting high-risk patients to a complex procedure with high morbidity and mortality, a conservative treatment approach with aggressive local wound care with preservation of most or all of the involved graft, percutaneous abscess drainage, and long-term antimicrobial suppression with systematic antimicrobial regimens and local antibiotic administration through the drains is often recommended in patients with aortic graft infection [1, 12, 30, 40, 41]. However, conservative treatment is questionable for patients presenting with endograft infection after EVAR [18, 38, 39]. Sharif et al. [38] reported an 100% mortality after conservative treatment, and Setacci et al. [39] reported a 38% mortality after conservative treatment, as opposed to 14.6% after graft explantation and EAB and 7.4% after explantation and in situ reconstruction. Other authors report no statistically significant differences in mortality rates between surgical and conservative treatment [41].

There have been few trials to study antimicrobial therapy as the main treatment of aortic graft infection. Most have been performed by surgeons, and, as such, antibiotic therapy was only mentioned as adjunct to surgical treatment. Therefore, there is no evidence on which to decide the optimal duration of antibiotic administration [1, 45]. Oral antibiotics are not preferred as initial therapy for aortic graft infections. However, they are often used for long-term suppression, after intravenous agents have controlled sepsis and constitutional symptoms. These agents should be tailored based on cultures and susceptibilities, considering long-term side effects and potential development of microbial resistance [30]. Accordingly, appropriate oral agents, with high bioavailability may allow a switch to per os administration [43].

There are no standardized guidelines regarding the optimal duration of antibiotic treatment against endograft infection; this may vary from 2 weeks to one year, although a minimum of 4-6 weeks of intravenous therapy, followed by up to 6 months of oral therapy is commonly recommended [42, 46]. Most often, physicians rely on experts’ opinion, individualized to each patient. There are a small number of cases reported in the literature, in which long-term suppressive antibiotic treatment was used when surgery was not possible or complete [47, 48, 64]; in some occasions, lifelong antibiotics were administered [1, 47, 48]. However, such therapy puts the patients at risk of adverse drug reactions and the acquisition of resistant organisms. Additionally, because of biofilm formation by bacteria and retention of the infected material, there is a possible risk for bacterial spreading or sepsis in patients with persistent percutaneous drainage and suppressive antibiotics administration. Successful antibiotic treatment with implant retention has been described in the setting of other prosthetic infections, including cochlear implants [65], spinal instrumentation [66, 67], and prosthetic joints. However, only in the last group has a randomized controlled trial confirmed the efficacy of this approach [67]; in the other groups, as in aortic graft infections, details of this conservative approach come from case reports and small series only [7-12, 26, 32, 49-57]. Therefore, in most cases, decision making is not clear and it is usually made by the input of the clinicians involved including vascular surgeons, microbiologists, infectious disease specialists and interventional radiologists, taking into consideration the individual patient’s condition and health status. In contrast, the treatment of spondylitis includes antibiotic administration for at least 6 to 12 weeks, until CRP and ESR fall within normal ranges [44], and spinal immobilization. Bed rest may be recommended in the early stages, until resolution of acute symptoms, and ambulation with a brace may be
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recommended thereafter [42].

Conclusion

The rare incidence of aortic graft infection-related spondylitis and the nonspecific clinical manifestations may lead to confusing diagnosis. Physicians should be aware of this complication when treating patients with vascular grafts, especially in the case of persistent back pain, fever of unknown origin and abdominal pain. Management requires a multidisciplinary approach involving vascular surgeons, radiologists, infectious disease specialists and spine surgeons. Thus, the treatment of this clinical condition remains problematic. The patients’ general health status and comorbidities may not allow an open vascular surgery, and spinal infection is generally treated successfully by conservative means. Therefore, often, long-term antibiotic therapy and close follow-up is the only treatment option for these patients.
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