Certain Subclass of $m$-Valent Functions Associated with a New Extended Ruscheweyh Operator Related to Conic Domains
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The main object of the present paper is to introduce certain subclass of $m$-valent functions associated with a new extended Ruscheweyh linear operator in the open unit disk. Also, we investigate a number of geometric properties including coefficient estimates and the Fekete–Szegö type inequalities for this subclass. Several known consequences of the main results are also pointed out.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(m)$ denote the class of functions of the next form:

$$f(\xi) = \xi^m + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n (m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}),$$

(1)

which are analytic and $m$-valent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{D} = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1 \}$, and let $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{A}$. Also, let $f, g$ be analytic in $\mathcal{D}$, and the function $f'(\xi)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(\xi)$ if there exists a function $\omega(\xi)$ analytic in $\mathcal{D}$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(\xi)| < 1, \xi \in \mathcal{D}$, such that $f(\xi) = g(\omega(\xi))$. In such a case, we write $f(\xi) \prec g(\xi)$. If $g$ is univalent function, then $f(\xi) \prec g(\xi)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathcal{D}) \subset g(\mathcal{D})$ (see [1, 2] and [3]).

For functions $f(\xi)$ given by (1) and $g(\xi)$ is defined by

$$g(\xi) = \xi^m + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} b_n \xi^n,$$

(2)

and the Hadamard product or convolution of $f(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ is defined by

$$(f \ast g)(\xi) = \xi^m + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n b_n \xi^n.$$

(3)

For $\nu \in \mathbb{C}, k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Pochhammer $k$-symbol $(\nu)_{n,k}$ is given by (see [4])

$$(\nu)_{n,k} = \nu(\nu + k)(\nu + 2k) \cdots (\nu + (n-1)k) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(\nu + (i-1)k).$$

(4)
We define the function \( \phi_m(\delta, k; \xi) \) by
\[
\phi_m(\delta, k; \xi) = \frac{\xi^m}{(1 - \xi)^{\frac{mk}{2}}} = \xi^m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta + mk)n!}{(k)^{n-m}a_n} \xi^n \quad (\delta > -mk; k > 0; \xi \in \mathbb{D}).
\]
(5)

Corresponding to the function \( \phi_m(\delta, k; \xi) \), we consider a linear operator \( \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} : \mathcal{A}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(m) (\delta > -mk, k > 0) \) which is defined by means of the following Hadamard product (or convolution):
\[
\mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) = \phi_m(\delta, k; \xi) \ast f(\xi) = \xi^m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta + mk)n!}{(k)^{n-m}a_n} \xi^n \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{D}).
\]
(6)

It is easily verified from (6) that
\[
k \xi \left( \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) \right)' = (\delta + mk) \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) - \delta \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) \quad (k > 0).
\]
(7)

We note that

1. For \( k = 1 \), the operator \( \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) \) reduced to the differential operator \( \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) \) introduced by Goel and Sohi [5] (see also [6, 7] and [8]).
2. For \( m = 1 \), we obtain the \( k \)-Ruscheweyh derivative operator \( \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \) ([9]), where
\[
\mathcal{D}_k^\delta f(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{(1 - \xi)^{\frac{mk}{2}}} \ast f(\xi) = \xi^m + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta + k)n!}{(k)^{n-1}a_n} \xi^n.
\]
(8)

3. For \( k = m = 1 \), the operator \( \mathcal{D}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) \) reduced to the well-familiar Ruscheweyh operator \( \mathcal{D}_k^\delta \) ([10]).
4. For \( \delta = k - mk \), we have \( \mathcal{G}^{\delta} f(\xi) = f(\xi) \), and for \( \delta = 2k - mk \), we get \( \mathcal{D}^{\delta} f(\xi) = \xi^m (\xi^m f(\xi))' \).

By using the linear operator \( \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) \), we define the subclass \( \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, b) \) of \( \mathcal{A}(m) \) as follows:

**Definition 1.** Let \( \beta \geq 0, \delta > -mk, m \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0, b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \), and \( \xi \in \mathbb{D} \). A function \( f \in \mathcal{A}(m) \) is in the class \( \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, b) \), if it satisfies
\[
\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi (\mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi))'}{m \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi (\mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi))'}{m \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi)} - 1 \right).
\]
(9)

Geometrically, a function \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, b) \) if and only if
\[
1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi (\mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi))'}{m \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi)} - 1 \right) \]
(10)
takes all the values in the conic domain \( \Omega_{\beta} = \psi_{\beta}(\mathbb{D}) \), where
\[
\Omega_{\beta} = \left\{ u + iv : u > \beta \sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} \right\},
\]
or equivalently,
\[
1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi (\mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi))'}{m \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi)} - 1 \right) < \psi_{\beta}(\xi), \Omega_{\beta} = \psi_{\beta}(\mathbb{D}).
\]
(11)

The boundary \( \partial \Omega_{\beta} \) of the above set becomes the imaginary axis when \( \beta = 0 \), a hyperbola when \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), a parabola when \( \beta = 1 \), and an ellipse when \( 1 < \beta < \infty \). The functions \( \psi_{\beta}(\xi) \) are defined by
\[
\psi_{\beta}(\xi) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 + \frac{1}{1 - \xi} & (\beta = 0), \\
1 + \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \cos \left( \frac{2}{\pi} (\cos \beta) i \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{\xi}}{1 - \sqrt{\xi}} \right) & (0 < \beta < 1), \\
1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{\xi}}{1 - \sqrt{\xi}} & (\beta = 1), \\
1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2 - 1} \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2\pi} \right) \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2 \sin^2 s}} & (1 < \beta < \infty). 
\end{array} \right.
\]
(13)

with \( u(\xi) = \xi - \sqrt{t+1 - \sqrt{t}2(0 < t < 1, \xi \in \mathbb{D})} \), where \( t \) is chosen such that \( k = \cosh (\pi R'(t)/4R(t)) \), and \( R(t) \) is the Legendre’s complete elliptic integral of the first kind and \( R'(t) \) the complementary integral of \( R(t) \) (see [11, 12] and [13]).

By taking specific values to the parameters \( \beta, m, \delta, k, \) and \( b \) in the subclass \( \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, b) \), we obtain

1. \( \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, (1 - \alpha m) \cos \gamma e^{-\eta}) = \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, \alpha) (0 \leq \alpha < m; \gamma < \pi/2) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}(m) : e^{\eta} \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) (m - \alpha \cos \gamma \psi_{\beta}(\xi) + \alpha \sin \gamma) + \cos \gamma + im \sin \gamma \} \) and \( \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, 1 - \alpha m) = \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, k, \alpha) (0 \leq \alpha < m) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}(m) : 1/m - \alpha \xi \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) - \alpha \psi_{\beta}(\xi) \} \)

2. \( \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, 1, b) = \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, b) = \{ f \in \mathcal{A}(m) : 1 + 1/b(\xi (\mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi))' / m \mathcal{G}^{\delta+mk-k} f(\xi) - 1) \} \),
\[
\beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, 1, (1 - \alpha m) \cos \gamma e^{-\eta}) = \beta - \mathcal{D}^m(\delta, \alpha)
\]
In order to establish our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2 [21]. Let \( \psi_\delta(\xi)(0 \leq \beta < \infty) \) be defined by (13). If

\[
\psi_\delta(\xi) = 1 + L_1 \xi + L_2 \xi^2 + \cdots,
\]

then

\[
L_1 = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2A^2}{1 - \beta^2} & (0 \leq \beta < 1), \\
8 & (\beta = 1), \\
\frac{\pi^2}{4\sqrt{1(\beta^2 - 1)}R^2(t)(1 + t)} & (1 \leq \beta < \infty),
\end{cases}
\]

\[
L_2 = \begin{cases} 
\frac{A^2 + 2}{3} L_1 & (0 \leq \beta < 1), \\
\frac{2}{3} L_1 & (\beta = 1), \\
\frac{4R^2(t)(t^2 + 6t + 1) - \pi^2}{24\sqrt{R^2(t)(1 + t)}} L_1 & (1 \leq \beta < \infty),
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[
A = \frac{2 \cos^{-1} \beta}{\pi},
\]

and \( t \in (0, 1) \) is chosen such that \( \beta = \cosh (\pi R(t)/R(t)) \), and \( R(t) \) is the Legendre’s complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

Lemma 3 [22]. Let \( h(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \xi^n \in \mathcal{D}, \) i.e., let \( h \) be analytic in \( \mathbb{D} \) and satisfy \( \mathcal{R}(\{h(\xi)\}) > 0 \) for \( \xi \in \mathbb{D} \); then, the following sharp estimate holds

\[
|c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2\nu - 1|\} \text{ for all } \nu \in \mathbb{C}.
\]

The result is sharp for the functions given by

\[
g(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi^2}{1 - \xi^2} \text{ or } g(\xi) = \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi}.
\]
Lemma 4 [22]. If \( h(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \xi^n \in \mathcal{S} \), then

\[
|c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} 
-4v + 2 & \text{if } v \leq 0, \\
2 & \text{if } 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\
4v - 2 & \text{if } v \geq 1,
\end{cases}
\]

(20)

and when \( v < 0 \) or \( v > 1 \), the equality holds if and only if \( h(\xi) = (1 + \xi)/(1 - \xi) \) or one of its rotations. If \( 0 < v < 1 \), then the equality holds if and only if \( h(\xi) = (1 + \xi^2)/(1 - \xi^2) \) or one of its rotations. If \( v = 0 \), the equality holds if and only if

\[
h(\xi) = \left( \frac{1 + \lambda}{2} \right) \frac{1 + \xi}{1 - \xi} + \left( \frac{1 - \lambda}{2} \right) \frac{1 - \xi}{1 + \xi} \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),
\]

(21)
or one of its rotations. If \( v = 1 \), the equality holds if and only if \( g \) is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of \( v = 0 \).

Also, the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when \( 0 < v < 1 \):

\[
|c_2 - v c_1^2| + v|c_1|^2 \leq 2 \left( 0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2} \right),
\]

\[
|c_2 - v c_1^2| + (1 - v)|c_1|^2 \leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1 \right).
\]

(22)

In this paper, we investigate a coefficient estimates and the familiar Fekete–Szegö type inequalities for the subclass \( \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_m(\delta, k, b) \).

2. Main Results

We will assume throughout our discussion, unless otherwise stated, that \( 0 \leq \beta < \infty, m \in \mathbb{N}, \delta = -mk, k > 0, b \in \mathcal{C}^*, L_1 \) is given by (15), \( L_2 \) is given by (16), and \( \xi \in \mathbb{D} \).

Theorem 5. Let \( f \in \mathcal{A}(m) \) be given by (1). If the inequality

\[
\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \{ (\beta + 1)(n - m) + m|b| \} \frac{(\delta + mk)_{n-m,k}}{(k)_{n,m,k}} |a_n| \leq m|b|,
\]

(23)

holds, then the function \( f \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_m(\delta, k, b) \).

Proof. Suppose the inequality (23) holds. Also, let us assume

\[
H(\xi) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi (\xi^{\alpha+mk-k} f(\xi))'}{m^{\alpha+mk-k} f(\xi)} - 1 \right).
\]

(24)

We have

\[
|H(\xi) - 1| = \left| \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi (\xi^{\alpha+mk-k} f(\xi))'}{m^{\alpha+mk-k} f(\xi)} - 1 \right) \right| = \frac{1}{m|b|} \left| \frac{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} (\delta + mk)_{n,m,k} |a_n|}{1 + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} (\delta + mk)_{n,m,k} |a_n|} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} (\delta + mk)_{n,m,k} |a_n|}{m|b|} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} (\delta + mk)_{n,m,k} |a_n| \right].
\]

(25)

Now consider

\[
\beta |H(\xi) - 1| - \Re \{ H(\xi) - 1 \} \leq \frac{(\beta + 1) |H(\xi) - 1|}{m|b|} \left[ \frac{(\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} (\delta + mk)_{n,m,k} |a_n|}{\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} (\delta + mk)_{n,m,k} |a_n|} \right]
\]

(26)

The last expression is bounded by 1 if (23) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Corollary 6. If \( f(\xi) \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_m(\delta, k, b) \), then

\[
|a_n| \leq \frac{m|b| (k)_{n-m,k}}{(\beta + 1)(n - m) + m|b|} \left( \frac{(\delta + mk)_{n-m,k}}{(k)_{n,m,k}} |a_n| \right) (n \geq m + 1).
\]

(27)

The result is sharp for the function

\[
f(\xi) = \xi^n + \frac{m|b| (k)_{n-m,k}}{(\beta + 1)(n - m) + m|b|} \left( \frac{(\delta + mk)_{n-m,k}}{(k)_{n,m,k}} \right) \xi^n (n \geq m + 1).
\]

(28)

Putting \( m = 1 \) in Theorem 5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) be given by (1) with \( m = 1 \). If the inequality

\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \{ (\beta + 1)(n - 1) + |b| \} \frac{(\delta + k)_{n-1,k}}{(k)_{n-1,k}} |a_n| \leq |b|,
\]

(29)

holds, then the function \( f \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}(\delta, k, b) \).

Theorem 8. If \( f \in \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{T}_m(\delta, k, b) \), then

\[
|a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{m|b| L_1 k}{\delta + mk},
\]

(30)

and for all \( n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots, \)

\[
|a_{n+m-1}| \leq \frac{m|b| L_1 (k)_{n-1,k}}{(n - 1) (\delta + mk)_{n-1,k}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left( 1 + \frac{m|b| L_1}{j} \right).
\]

(31)
Proof. Let
\[ g(\xi) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \xi \left( \frac{\xi^{\delta + mk - k} f(\xi)}{m\xi^{\delta + mk - k} f(\xi)} \right)^t \right), \]
(32)
where
\[ g(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \xi^n, \]
(33)
is analytic function in \( D \), and it can be written as
\[ \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta + mk)_{n-m,k} a_n \xi^n}{(k)_{n-m,k}} = m b \xi^{\delta + mk - k} f(\xi) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \xi^n \right). \]
(34)
Comparing the coefficients of \( \xi^{m+n-1} \) on both sides
\[ (n-1) \frac{(\delta + mk)_{n-1,k} a_{n+m-1}}{(k)_{n-1,k}} = m b \left[ c_{n-1} + \frac{(\delta + mk)_{1,k} c_{n-2} a_{m+1} + \cdots}{(k)_{n-2,k}} c_{1} a_{n+m-2} \right]. \]
(35)
Taking absolute on both sides and then applying the coefficient estimates \( |c_n| \leq L_1 \) (see [13]), we have
\[ |a_{n+m-1}| \leq \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{n-1,k}|}{(n-1)(\delta + mk)_{n-1,k}} \left[ 1 + \frac{(\delta + mk)_{1,k} a_{m+1} + \cdots}{(k)_{n-2,k}} a_{n+m-2} \right]. \]
(36)
We apply the mathematical induction on (36) so for \( n = 2 \),
\[ |a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{|m|b|L_2(k)_{1,k}|}{(\delta + mk)_{1,k}} = \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{1,k}|}{\delta + mk}, \]
(37)
and this shows that result is true for \( n = 2 \). Now for \( n = 3 \),
\[ |a_{m+2}| \leq \frac{|m|b|L_3(k)_{2,k}|}{2(\delta + mk)_{2,k}} \left[ 1 + \frac{(\delta + mk)_{1,k} a_{m+1}}{(k)_{1,k}} \right], \]
(38)
and using (37), we obtain
\[ |a_{m+2}| \leq \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{2,k}|}{2(\delta + mk)_{2,k}} (1 + m|b|L_1), \]
(39)
which is true for \( n = 3 \). Let us assume that (31) is true for \( n = t \), that is,
\[ |a_{t+m-1}| \leq \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{t-1,k}|}{(t-1)(\delta + mk)_{t-1,k}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left( 1 + \frac{m|b|L_1}{j} \right). \]
(40)
Consider
\[ |a_{tm+1}| \leq \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{t,m,k}|}{t(\delta + mk)_{t,m,k}} \left[ 1 + \frac{(\delta + mk)_{1,k} a_{m+1} + \cdots}{(k)_{t-1,k}} a_{t+m-1} \right] \]
\[ \leq \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{t,m,k}|}{t(\delta + mk)_{t,m,k}} \left[ 1 + \frac{|m|b|L_t + \cdots}{2} \left( \frac{1 + m|b|L_t + \cdots}{t-1} \right) \right] \]
\[ \leq \frac{|m|b|L_1(k)_{t,m,k}|}{t(\delta + mk)_{t,m,k}} \left[ 1 + \frac{m|b|L_t}{2} \right] \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left( 1 + \frac{m|b|L_t}{j} \right). \]
(41)
Therefore, the result is true for \( n = t + 1 \). Consequently, using mathematical induction, we proved that the result holds true for all \( n \geq 2 \). This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

Putting \( m = 1 \) in Theorem 8, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 9.** If \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{F} (\delta, k, b) \), then
\[ |a_2| \leq \frac{|b|L_1 k}{\delta + k}, \]
(42)
and for all \( n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots \),
\[ |a_n| \leq \frac{|b|L_1(k)_{n-1,k}|}{(n-1)(\delta + k)_{n-1,k}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left( 1 + \frac{|b|L_1}{j} \right). \]
(43)

**Theorem 10.** Let \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{F}_m (\delta, k, b) \). Then, \( f(D) \) contains an open disk of radius
\[ r = \frac{\delta + mk}{(m+1)(\delta + mk) + m|b|L_1 k}. \]
(44)

**Proof.** Let \( w_0 \neq 0 \) be a complex number such that \( f(\xi) \neq w_0 \) for \( \xi \in D \). Then, \( f_1(\xi) = w_0 f(\xi)/w_0 - f(\xi) = \xi^m + (a_{m+1} + 1)/w_0 \xi^m + \cdots \). Since \( f_1 \) is univalent, so
\[ \left| a_{m+1} + \frac{1}{w_0} \right| \leq m + 1. \]
(45)
Now using Theorem 8, we have
\[ \left| \frac{1}{w_0} \right| \leq m + 1 + \frac{|m|b|L_1 k}{\delta + mk}, \]
(46)
and hence
\[
|w_0| \geq \frac{\delta + mk}{(m + 1)(\delta + mk) + m|b|L_1 k}.
\] (47)

This completes the proof of Theorem 10. \qed

Putting \(m = 1\) in Theorem 10, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 11.** Let \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{F}(\delta, k, b) \). Then, \( f(\mathbb{D}) \) contains an open disk of radius
\[
r_1 = \frac{\delta + k}{2(\delta + k) + |b|L_1 k}.
\] (48)

**Theorem 12.** Let \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, k, b) \) with the form (1). Then, for a complex number \( \mu \), we have
\[
|a_{m-1} - \mu a_{m+1}| \leq \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{L_1 + mbL_1}{L_1} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta + mk + k}{\delta + mk} \right) \right\}. \tag{49}
\]

**Proof.** If \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, k, b) \), then there exists a Schwarz function \( w \), with \( w(0) = 0 \) and \( |w(\xi)| < 1 \) such that
\[
1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{\xi^{(\delta + mk)k}f(\xi)}{m^{(\delta + mk)k + k}} - 1 \right) = \psi_\beta(w(\xi)) (\xi \in \mathbb{D}).
\] (50)

Let \( h \in \mathcal{S} \) be a function defined by
\[
h(\xi) = \frac{1 + w(\xi)}{1 - w(\xi)} = 1 + c_1 \xi + c_2 \xi^2 + \cdots (\xi \in \mathbb{D}).
\] (51)

This gives
\[
w(\xi) = c_1 \xi + \frac{1}{2} \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1}{2} \right) \xi^2 + \cdots,
\] (52)

\[
\psi_\beta(w(\xi)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} c_1 L_1 \xi + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{c_1 L_1}{2} + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1}{2} \right) L_1 \right) \xi^2 + \cdots.
\] (53)

Using (53) in (50), we obtain
\[
a_{m+1} = \frac{mbcL_1 k}{2(\delta + mk)},
\]
\[
a_{m+2} = \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{2(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} \left\{ \frac{c_1 L_1}{2} + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1}{2} \right) L_1 + \frac{mbcL_1^2}{2} \right\}. \tag{54}
\]

For any complex number \( \mu \), we have
\[
a_{m+2} - \mu a_{m+1} = \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{2(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} \left\{ \frac{c_1 L_1}{2} + \left( c_2 - \frac{c_1}{2} \right) L_1 + \frac{mbcL_1^2}{2} \right\}.
\] (55)

Then (55) can be written as
\[
a_{m+2} - \mu a_{m+1} = \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{2(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} \left\{ c_2 - \frac{c_1}{2} \right\}, \tag{56}
\]

where
\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{L_2}{L_1} - mbL_1 \left( 1 - \frac{\delta + mk + k}{\delta + mk} \right) \right\}. \tag{57}
\]

Now, taking absolute value on both sides and using Lemma 3, we obtain the required result. \qed

Putting \(m = 1\) in Theorem 12, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 13.** Let \( f \in \beta - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, k, b) \) with the form (1). Then, for a complex number \( \mu \), we have
\[
|a_3 - \mu a_5| \leq \frac{2L_1 k^2}{(\delta + k)(\delta + 2k)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{L_1 + 2L_1}{L_1} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta + 2k}{\delta + k} \right) \right\}. \tag{58}
\]

**Theorem 14.** Let
\[
\sigma_1 = \frac{mbL_1^2 + L_2 - L_1}{mb(\delta + mk + k)L_1}, \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{mbL_1^2 + L_2}{mb(\delta + mk + k)L_1}, \quad \sigma_3 = \frac{mbL_1^2}{mb(\delta + mk + k)L_1}.
\]

If \( f \) given by (1) belongs to \( \beta - \mathcal{S} \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, k, b) \) with \( b > 0 \), then
\[
|a_{m+2} - \mu a_{m+1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} \left\{ \frac{L_2}{L_1} + mbL_1 \left( 1 - \frac{\delta + mk + k}{\delta + mk} \right) \right\} & (\mu \leq \sigma_1), \\ \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} & (\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2), \\ \frac{mbL_1 k^2}{(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)} \left\{ \frac{L_2}{L_1} + mbL_1 \left( 1 - \frac{\delta + mk + k}{\delta + mk} \right) \right\} & (\mu \geq \sigma_2). \end{cases} \tag{59}
\]
Further, if \( \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3 \), then
\[
\left| a_{m+2} - \mu a_{m+1}^2 \right| + \frac{\delta + mk}{mb(\delta + mk + k)L_1} \left\{ l - \frac{L_2}{L_1} - mbL_1 \left( l - \frac{\delta + mk + k}{\delta + mk} \mu \right) \right\} |a_{m+1}|^2 \\
\leq \frac{mbL_1k^2}{(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)}. \tag{61}
\]

If \( \sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \), then
\[
\left| a_{m+2} - \mu a_{m+1}^2 \right| + \frac{\delta + mk}{mb(\delta + mk + k)L_1} \left\{ l + \frac{L_2}{L_1} + mbL_1 \left( l - \frac{\delta + mk + k}{\delta + mk} \mu \right) \right\} |a_{m+1}|^2 \\
\leq \frac{mbL_1k^2}{(\delta + mk)(\delta + mk + k)}. \tag{62}
\]

Proof. Applying Lemma 4 to (56) and (57), respectively, we can obtain our results asserted by Theorem 14.

Putting \( m = 1 \) in Theorem 14, we obtain
\[
\sigma_4 = \left\{ \frac{bl_1^2 + L_2 - L_1}{bL_1} \right\} (\delta + k), \quad \sigma_5 = \left\{ \frac{bl_1^2 + L_2 + L_1}{bL_1} \right\} (\delta + k), \quad \sigma_6 = \left\{ \frac{bl_1^2 + L_2}{bL_1} \right\} (\delta + k). \tag{63}
\]

If \( f \) given by (1) belongs to \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, k, \beta) \) with \( b > 0 \), then
\[
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{bl_1k^2}{(\delta + k)(\delta + 2k)L_1} \left\{ l + \frac{L_2}{L_1} \left( l - \frac{\delta + 2k}{\delta + k} \mu \right) \right\} \quad (\mu \leq \sigma_4),
\]
\[
- \frac{bl_1k^2}{(\delta + k)(\delta + 2k)} \left\{ l + \frac{L_2}{L_1} + bl_1 \left( l - \frac{\delta + 2k}{\delta + k} \mu \right) \right\} \quad (\mu \leq \sigma_5),
\]
\[
\frac{bl_1k^2}{(\delta + k)(\delta + 2k)} \left\{ l + \frac{L_2}{L_1} - bl_1 \left( l - \frac{\delta + 2k}{\delta + k} \mu \right) \right\} \quad (\mu \geq \sigma_6). \tag{64}
\]
Further, if \( \sigma_4 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_6 \), then
\[
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{\delta + k}{b(\delta + 2k)L_1} \left\{ l - \frac{L_2}{L_1} - bl_1 \left( l - \frac{\delta + 2k}{\delta + k} \mu \right) \right\} |a_2|^2 \\
\leq \frac{bl_1k^2}{(\delta + k)(\delta + 2k)}. \tag{65}
\]

If \( \sigma_5 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_6 \), then
\[
|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{\delta + k}{bL_1} \left\{ l - \frac{L_2}{L_1} - bL_1 \left( l - \frac{\delta + 2k}{\delta + k} \mu \right) \right\} |a_2|^2 \\
\leq \frac{bl_1k^2}{(\delta + k)(\delta + 2k)}. \tag{66}
\]

Remark 16. For different choices of the parameters \( \beta, m, \delta, k \), and \( b \) in the above theorems, we can obtain the corresponding results for each of the following subclasses \( \beta - \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, k, \beta) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, k, \beta) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, b) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, b) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}_m(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \), and \( \beta - \mathcal{F}(\delta, \alpha) \) which are defined in Section 1.
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