Developmental remodelling of non-CG methylation at satellite DNA repeats
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ABSTRACT
In vertebrates, DNA methylation predominantly occurs at CG dinucleotides however, widespread non-CG methylation (mCH) has been reported in mammalian embryonic stem cells and in the brain. In mammals, mCH is found at CAC trinucleotides in the nervous system, where it is associated with transcriptional repression, and at CAG trinucleotides in embryonic stem cells, where it positively correlates with transcription. Moreover, CAC methylation appears to be a conserved feature of adult vertebrate brains. Unlike any of those methylation signatures, here we describe a novel form of mCH that occurs in the TGCT context within zebrafish mosaic satellite repeats. TGCT methylation is inherited from both male and female gametes, remodelled during midblastula transition, and re-established during gastrulation in all embryonic layers. Moreover, we identify DNA methyltransferase 3ba (Dnmt3ba) as the primary enzyme responsible for the deposition of this mCH mark. Finally, we observe that TGCT-methylated repeats are specifically associated with H3K9me3-marked heterochromatin suggestive of a functional interplay between these two gene-regulatory marks. Altogether, this work provides insight into a novel form of vertebrate mCH and highlights the substrate diversity of vertebrate DNA methyltransferases.

INTRODUCTION
Methylation of cytosines within the CG dinucleotide context is the most abundant DNA modification in vertebrate genomes (1). CG methylation (mCG) is found in all vertebrate cell types and is known to participate in long-term gene silencing processes (2). In vertebrates, ~80% of all genomic CG dinucleotides are methylated (3). Nevertheless, methylation of other cytosine dinucleotides (mCH, H = T, G, A), albeit at much lower levels (1–3%), has also been described (4). mCH is most commonly found in mammalian embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and in the brain, however, mCH has been identified at residual levels in many other human tissues (5–7). Unlike mCG, mCH methylation is not maintained after cell division by DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), and therefore requires constant activity of de novo DNMT enzymes. mCH deposition is carried out by both DNMT3A and DNMT3B, mostly at CAC or CAG trinucleotides respectively, suggestive of significant sequence specificity during DNMT3 recruitment (4,8). Low levels of mCT within the CTG motif have also been previously detected in mammalian tissues (6,9–10), in line with the enzymatic properties of mammalian de novo DNMTs (11,12).

In mammalian brains, mCH levels are inversely correlated with transcription of the associated gene, whereas this pattern appears to be the opposite in embryonic stem cells (5,6). In mammals, mCH at very low levels (~1%) is inherited from oocytes but is rapidly diluted during cleavage stages (13,14). mCH re-emerges during nervous system development, specifically in neurons, to become the dominant form of DNA methylation in the mammalian neuronal genome (5). In agreement with these findings, mCH displays considerable remodelling during iPSC reprogramming and direct conversion of fibroblasts to neurons (15–17). Despite the unresolved roles of mCH in gene regulation, a clearer picture of mCH readout is starting to emerge. A number of recent studies have demonstrated that mCH can be bound by canonical methylation readers, such as MeCP2, which is mutated in Rett Syndrome (18,19). MeCP2 can repress transcription by binding to mCH, specifically within long genes (20–22). Interestingly, the altered readout of mCH but not mCG deposited by DNMT3A appears to play a central role in Rett Syndrome pathogenesis (23). Preferen-
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tial methylation at CAC trinucleotides has also been recently reported as a conserved feature of adult vertebrate brains (24). The same work revealed that the mCH reading (MeCP2) and writing (DNMT3A) system can be traced back to the root of vertebrates and that it could have participated in the evolution of vertebrate brain complexity. Given that DNMT3A and DNMT3B are vertebrate-specific paralogues that are well conserved throughout the lineage, the expectation would be that mCH in vertebrate genomes follows either CAC or CAG contexts. However, currently very little is known about the developmental dynamics, function, and sequence specificity of mCH in non-mammalian vertebrates.

Using whole genome bisulphite sequencing (WGBS) and enzymatic methylation sequencing (EM-seq) here we uncover a novel form of mCH, which occurs within the TGCT tetranucleotide at zebrafish satellite repeats and which is present at significantly higher levels than any other mCH type described to date. TGCT methylation is inherited from maternal and paternal gametes, gradually diluted to reach its lowest point at zygotic genome activation (ZGA), and re-established coinciding with gastrulation in all embryonic layers. Moreover, we uncover that this form of mCH is deposited by an actinopterygian-specific DNMT enzyme - Dnmt3ba and is specifically associated with the repressive histone mark H3K9me3, suggestive of a link between mCH and heterochromatin. On the whole, this work describes a novel substrate of vertebrate DNMTs and demonstrates for the first time how mCH is remodelled during the amniote life cycle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zebrafish usage and ethics

Zebrafish work was approved by the Garvan Institute of Medical Research Animal Ethics Committee under AEC approval 17/22. All procedures performed complied with the Australian code of practice for care and use of animals for scientific purposes. Adult wild type (AB/Tübingen) Danio rerio (zebrafish) were bred in a 1:1 male/female ratio. Embryos were collected 0 h post-fertilisation (hpf) and incubated in 1 × E3 medium (0.03% NaCl, 0.005% CaCl2, 0.0013% KCl, 99.9557% H2O, 0.008% H14MgO11S) for 24–72 h at 28.5°C.

CRISPR/Cas9 zebrafish knockouts

Guide RNAs (gRNA) were designed with CRISPRscan (25). DNA templates for gRNA synthesis were prepared by annealing a universal oligo with a target specific oligo (Supplementary Table S1), followed by 20 cycles of PCR amplification. gRNA was produced by in vitro transcription with the AMPLIScribe™ T7-FLASH™ TRANSCRIPTION KIT (Lucigen, WIS, USA). CRISPR/Cas9 microinjection mixtures were prepared by combining a total of 1000 ng gRNA with 1 μl EnGene CAS9-NLS, S. pyogenes (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and 1.5 μl KCl (1M). 500 pl of microinjection mixture was injected into one-cell stage embryos. To calculate knockout efficiencies, targeted genomic loci were amplified by PCR followed by ligation to NEXTFLEX Bisulfite-Seq barcodes (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The products were spiked into RRBS libraries, sequenced on the Illumina HiSeqX platform, and analysed using CRISPResso (26). RNA for qPCR analysis was extracted using TRIzol (Bioline) and cDNA was produced using SensiFAST™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bioline), following the manufacturer’s protocol. Relative expression levels were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCT method with bactin serving as the control transcript. Two sample t-tests were performed using CT values by combining the biological and technical replicates into WT and cKO groups. qPCR primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

Genomic DNA extraction

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from 24 hpf embryos, 48 hpf embryos and 72 hpf larvae in pools (n = 10) using the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Chadstone, VIC, Australia) according to manufacturer’s instructions. All experiments were performed in two biological replicates. 24 hpf embryos were dechorionated using 1 mg/ml Pronase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) diluted in 1 × E3 medium, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C prior to DNA extraction.

Enzymatic methylation sequencing (EM-seq)

EM-seq library construction was performed using the NEBNext Enzymatic Methyl-seq Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications. 0.02 ng of unmethylated lambda phage DNA (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and 0.0001 ng of pUC19 plasmid methylated at 100% of CpG sites (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) were used as spike-in controls to determine the efficiency of APOBEC deamination and TET2 oxidation, respectively. Briefly, 200 ng of zebrafish gDNA was sonicated to an average insert size of 300 bp. Input DNA concentration was selected according to the optimal input amount as recommended by the manufacturer. Sonicated DNA was end-repaired followed by ligation of adapters to DNA overnight using NEXTFLEX Bisulfite-Seq barcodes (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). DNA was treated with TET2 for 1 h. Following TET2 oxidation, DNA was de-natured with 0.1 M NaOH then treated with APOBEC for three hours. DNA was then PCR-amplified (8 cycles). Library concentration was quantified by qPCR using KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). 150 pmol of the combined libraries with 15% PhiX spike-in was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeqX platform (150 bp paired-end sequencing, high output mode).

Whole genome bisulphite sequencing (WGBS)

WGBS libraries were prepared from 500 ng of zebrafish gDNA spiked with 0.025 ng of unmethylated lambda phage DNA (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The DNA was sonicated to an average insert size of 300 bp followed by end repair and overnight ligation of adapters using NEXTFLEX
Bisulfite-Seq barcodes (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). DNA was bisulfite-converted using EZ DNA Methylation Gold Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Library amplification was performed with KAPA HiFi HotStart Uracil+ DNA polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, Woburn, MA), using 5 cycles of amplification. Library concentration was quantified through qPCR using KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The combined libraries with 15% PhiX spike-in were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeqX platform (150 bp paired-end sequencing, high output mode).

Reduced representation bisulphite sequencing (RRBS)

RRBS libraries were prepared from 500 ng of zebrafish gDNA spiked with 0.025 ng of unmethylated lambda phage DNA (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The DNA was digested for 2 h with 10 U BclI and 10U SspI (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), with the exception of ubrf1 cKO libraries which were digested with 20 U MspI. 5’ overhangs of the digested DNA were filled-in and A-tailed using Klenow fragment exonuclease (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), followed by an overnight ligation of NEXTFLEX Bisulftite-Seq barcodes (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). DNA was bisulfite-converted using EZ DNA Methylation Gold Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Library amplification was performed with KAPA HiFi HotStart Uracil+ DNA polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, Woburn, MA, USA), using 13 cycles of amplification. Library concentration was quantified by qPCR using KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The combined libraries with 15% PhiX spike-in were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeqX platform (150 bp paired-end sequencing, high output mode).

WGBS, EM-seq, and RRBS data analyses

Bisulfite-converted (WGBS) and APOBEC-converted (EM-seq) (27) sequence reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic (ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-SE.fa:2:30:10 SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 MINLEN:20) (28) and mapped using WALT (+m -t 20 -N 10000000) (29) onto the bisulfite-converted GRCz11 reference (UCSC) containing λ (WGBS and EM-seq) and pUC19 sequences (EM-seq) added as separate chromosomes. The resulting alignments in BAM format were deduplicated (30) and processed with CGMapTools (31) (convert bam2cgsmap) to obtain methylation calls. ATCGmap files were parsed to discard CH positions that showed evidence of a CG position in the reads discordant with the reference genome CH annotation (24). RRBS data were analysed in the same way as WGBS, however, the additional options of: HEADCROP:5 CROP:140 at the trimming step were added and no deduplication was performed. Genomic data were visualized in UCSC (32) and IGV (33) browsers.

DNA sequence motif analyses

Genotype-corrected CGmap files were filtered for CH positions covered by at least 10 reads and sorted by methylation level (mC/C). Top positions (n = 10 000) were then extracted from the reference genome using BEDTools (34) taking the flanking upstream and downstream base pairs (n = 5) and preserving the strand information. The resulting FASTA file was used as input for HOMER ‘findMotifs.pl’ function (35) to search for de novo (-S 5) motifs of length 8 (-len 8) with the default scrambled background option. Motifs were visualised using the ‘gseqlogo’ package in R (36).

mCH level calculation and plotting

DNA methylation (mCH) levels at CATGCTAA motifs were calculated using BEDtools (map function, -o sum) by dividing the sum of reads supporting a methylated CH cytosine with the sum of all reads mapping to that position. mCH levels were plotted using the boxplot function in R (outline = FALSE, notch = TRUE), for positions that had an mCH value > 0. Bedgraphs were generated from the corrected CGmap tools output and converted to bigWig using bedGraphToBigwig script from Kent util. Heatmaps were generated using deepTools (37) computeM-matrix and plotHeatmap functions. For WGBS and EM-seq data comparisons the heatmaps were generated with the following parameters: ‘computeMatrix reference-point -b 1500 -a 1500 -p 4 -bs 25, –missingDataAsZero’ whereas for plotting of mCH levels over MOSAT_DR repeats, we used: ‘computeMatrix scale-regions -m 650 -b 500 -a 500 -p 4 -bs 25' with replacement of NAN values with 0 after the matrix file was generated. For profiles (represented as central heatmaps) the matrices were generated with ‘computeM-matrix reference-point –referencePoint center -b 1500 -a 1500 -p 4 -bs 50’ and ‘plotProfile -plotType heatmap –yMin 0 –yMax 0.15 –perGroup’.

Assessment of mCH in gene bodies

Zebrafish gene models (ENSEMBL Genes 99, GRCz11) were obtained from www.ensembl.org using the BioMart tool. DNA methylation (mCH) levels in gene bodies were calculated using BEDtools (map function, -o sum) and the number of CATGCTAA motifs in genes was obtained with coverageBed function. Scatterplots of mCH levels and CATGCTAA motif numbers were generated using the geom_bin2d function in ggplot2 ((bins = 50) + geom_smooth(method = ‘lm’)).

Repeatmasker track analyses

Repeatmasker track file corresponding to GRCz11 genome reference was downloaded from UCSC. The percentage of repeat subfamilies overlapping CATGCTAA motifs was determined with BEDtools (intersectBed). The genomic
annotation of MOSAT\_DR motifs was carried out with HOMER (annotatePeaks.pl).

ChIP-seq analyses

ChIP-seq data sequence reads were trimmed with Trimomatic (ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-SE.fa:2:30:10 SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 MINLEN:20) and mapped to the GRCz11 genome using bowtie2 with default settings (38), allowing multi-mapping reads to align to a single (best) genomic location. The resulting alignments in BAM format were deduplicated using samtools markdup with default settings (30). RPKM bigWigs were generated using deepTools bamCoverage and reads were centred and extended by 300 base pairs (-e 300 -p 20 –normalizeUsing RPKM –centerReads). For H3K9me3 datasets, where input data was available, subtraction of input signal was performed using deepTools bigWigCompare (–operation subtract) before heatmaps were plotted. H3K9me3 peaks were called using MACS2 and reads were centred and extended by 300 base pairs. bigWigs were generated using bedtools map, to determine average mCH datasets (plotHeatmap –sortUsingSamples) while all other heatmaps (computeMatrix reference-point) were sorted based on all samples. Data for scatterplots were generated using bedtools map, to determine average mCH levels, and bedtools intersect (-abam), bedtools intersect (-c) and samtools flagstat, to calculate H3K9me3 RPKM (39). Peaks common to both replicates were selected for further analyses. H3K9me3 peaks were then filtered based on coverage in WGBS data. Heatmaps of histone RPKM levels over MOSAT\_DR elements were generated using deepTools ‘computeMatrix scale-regions -m 650 -b 500 -a 500 -p 4 -bs 25’ or ‘computeMatrix reference-point -b 2500 -a 2500 -p 4 -bs 25’ with NaN values replaced with 0 after completion. Heatmaps of scaled regions (computeMatrix scale-regions) were sorted (highest to lowest) based on mCH datasets (plotHeatmap –sortUsingSamples) while all other heatmaps (computeMatrix reference-point) were sorted based on all samples. Data for scatterplots were generated using bedtools map, to determine average mCH levels, and bedtools intersect (-abam), bedtools intersect (-c) and samtools flagstat, to calculate H3K9me3 RPKM (40). Scatterplots were generated by the geom_bin2d function in ggplot2 (bins = 75) + geom_smooth(method = lm) and Pearson’s correlations were determined by the rcorr function in R.

Phylogenetic analyses

DNMT3A and DNMT3B human sequences were used as query in BLASTP searches against the proteomes of various actinopterygian species. The top hits were extracted and inspected for protein domain configuration using Pfam. These sequences were then added to a core set of vertebrate DNMT3 representatives (24), aligned using MAFFT (e-ins-i mode) (41), trimmed using TrimAL (gappyout mode) (42), and fed into IQTREE for maximum likelihood phylogenetic reconstruction (43). ENSEMBL genome browser was used to inspect the syntenic regions surrounding dnmt3ba regions in different species. The CH domain from Dnmt3ba was extracted and searched against the actinopterygian proteomes, finding MAPRE-1 as the closest hit.

RESULTS

To evaluate the presence of non-CG methylation during zebrafish development we analysed whole genome bisulphite sequencing (WGBS) data to obtain genotype-corrected mCH profiles of 80% epiboly (gastrula), 24 hours post fertilization (hpf, pharyngula), 48hpf (hatching) embryos and adult brain tissue (bisulphite conversion >99.5%) (44). All samples showed only a minor elevation of methylation at CA dinucleotides compared to the unmethylated lambda genome spike-in control, except for the brain sample which had a moderate 2-fold increase at CA dinucleotides (Figure 1A), in line with the reported mCA enrichments in the zebrafish forebrain (24).

mCH at mosaic satellite repeats

Next, we performed motif calling on the most highly methylated sites in the CH context and found that the top enriched sequence was consistently CATGCTAA, with methylation occurring at the TGmCT tetranucleotide (Figure 1B). No other developmental stage- or tissue-specific motifs, except for the previously described brain-specific CAC trinucleotide enrichment, were uncovered in this analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). Methylation was almost exclusively detected on the strand displaying the 5’-TGCT-3’ motif (>75%) rather than on its reverse complement (5’-AGCA-3’), suggestive of considerable strand specificity during DNMT targeting as well as lack of symmetry typical of CG methylation (Figure 1C). Many of these nucleotides displayed substantial mCH above 10\%, particularly at repetitive elements where this motif was found to contain the highest levels of mCH and where a notable increase in methylation at later stages of development and in the brain could be observed (Figure 1D). When the repetitive sites of the genome bearing the CATGCTAA motif were annotated, we found that more than 65% of these sites are located in MOSAT\_DR mosaic satellite repeats (GenBank ID: DP000237.1, Figure 1E, F). Hereafter we refer to the CATGCTAA motif as the MOSAT motif.

EM-seq validation of MOSAT mCH

Since mappability issues caused by repetitive DNA elements can potentially result in biased mCH patterns, we re-mapped uniquely mapping reads covering MOSAT\_DR repeats, tolerating 0 mismatches across the entire read (Supplementary Figure S2). Even under such stringent mapping conditions we observe substantial coverage of MOSAT\_DR repeats and confirm the strand bias associated with TGCT methylation (Supplementary Figure S2). Additionally, to confirm that this form of methylation is not the result of a sequence-specific bias of bisulphite conversion (45), we generated enzymatic methylation sequencing (EM-seq) libraries of two biological replicates of 24hpf embryos (27) (TET2 oxidation efficiency: 94.20%; APOBEC conversion efficiency: 99.08%, Supplementary Table S2). EM-seq is a base-resolution DNA methylation sequencing method that depends on TET-mediated oxidation of methylated cytosines followed by APOBEC-based deamination. Importantly, in terms of sensitivity and detection accuracy EM-seq performs similarly to WGBS (46). We first estimated global mCH levels of MOSAT\_DR repeats using both WGBS and EM-seq and found that MOSAT\_DR motifs are characterized by an average of 5\% mCH, a value consistent across both methods (Figure 2A). Both methods show
Figure 1. MOSAT motifs are enriched for mCH. (A) Genomic and lambda control dinucleotide mCH percentage in zebrafish embryos and adult brain. (B) Motif analyses of the top 10,000 most methylated CH sites in zebrafish embryos and adult brain. (C) Strand preference of MOSAT mCH. (D) Boxplots showing average mCH/CH levels of the CATGCTAA motif in repeat-masked and repetitive portions of the genome. (E) Repetitive element annotation of CATGCTAA motif-containing regions. (F) Per read analysis of MOSAT motif mCH in MOSAT_DR elements.
that within MOSAT_DR repeats CG- and CH-methylated nucleotides (mCH > 10%) are found in similar proportions (Figure 2B). Next, we plotted average mCH levels over methylated MOSAT motifs found in repeats (weighted mCH > 10%) and an equivalent number of regions with the same motif randomly selected from the non-repetitive fraction of the zebrafish genome. WGBS and EM-seq signal are highly correlated and demonstrate strong mCH enrichment specifically over MOSAT motifs found in repetitive DNA (Figure 2C). To demonstrate that MOSAT motifs identified as methylated by WGBS are also identified as methylated when analysed by EM-seq, we generated heatmaps of methylated MOSAT sites (mCH > 10%) and compared them to the orthogonal approach. Notably, the top methylated MOSAT motifs identified in either EM-seq or WGBS showed proportionate methylation levels when compared to the other technique (Figure 2D, E). Finally, locus-level mCH patterns generated by both techniques resulted in highly similar profiles, including the TGCT methylation strand bias (Figure 2F and Supplementary Figure S3). We therefore conclude that mCH associated with MOSAT motifs is not due to low bisulphite conversion efficiencies or mappability issues associated with these repetitive regions; MOSAT_DR is an actively CH-methylated satellite repeat in zebrafish.

Genomic distribution of MOSAT mCH

Next we sought to analyse the genomic context, distribution, and dynamics of MOSAT mCH. It has previously been postulated that mCH enrichment observed in ESC gene bodies could merely be a by-product of DNMT activity targeting CG sites (15). To address whether this is the case with MOSAT_DR mCH, we first plotted CG dinucleotide density over MOSAT_DR repeats. Interestingly, MOSAT_DR repeat regions exhibit a strong depletion in CG density (Figure 3A). Moreover, while mCG was stable through development in the MOSAT_DR repeat regions, mCH displayed a gradual increase from gastrulation onwards in both intra- and intergenic regions (Figure 3B). Thus, the relative scarcity of CG dinucleotides in these elements as well as the uncoupled developmental methylation dynam-
ics suggest that MOSAT mCH is unlikely just a by-product of DNMT activity targeting nearby CG sites.

In vertebrate brains, a conserved group of genes preferentially accumulate mCH within their gene bodies (24), which is believed to be the responsible for their silencing by MECP2 (21,22). To estimate the relative contribution of MOSAT motifs to overall mCH levels in gene bodies, we plotted the number of MOSAT motifs against gene body mCH levels. In both embryonic and brain tissue there is an overall positive correlation \( R = 0.31–0.42 \) between the number of MOSAT motifs and mean mCH levels of the gene observed at all stages, suggestive of a major contribution of TGCT methylation to mCH gene body patterning (Figure 3D). Moreover, MOSAT motif-containing genes do not appear to be constitutively expressed. They exhibit expression \( (47) \) in a diverse range of tissues many of which are neural by origin, however, their expression is not exclusively limited to the nervous system (Supplementary Figure S4B). Gene ontology (GO) analysis \( (48) \) of MOSAT motif-containing genes demonstrated a significant enrichment for terms associated with neuronal function and in particular synaptic function, in agreement with previous reports on neural mCH enrichment in mammals \( (5) \) (Figure 3E, Supplementary Figure S4C). Unlike the tissue-specific brain mCH that plays a role in genesilencing in mammals, the link between MOSAT mCH and transcription is less obvious due to the presence of MOSAT mCH in diverse tissues. Despite these differences, the genes that accumulate methylated MOSAT motifs harbor neural functions, reminiscent of the neural mCH program.

**Developmental remodelling of MOSAT mCH**

Numerous reports have described the developmental dynamics of zebrafish mCG in somatic and germline tissues \( (44,49–58) \). Given that most notable mCG remodelling in zebrafish occurs during early development \( (52,57) \), we wanted to further investigate the developmental patterns of mCH. To that end, we analysed additional base-resolution profiles of adult liver, sperm, egg, 32-cell, 64-cell, sphere, germinng and shield embryos, to obtain a comprehensive view of developmental mCH remodelling \( (52,58) \) (Supplementary Table S3). mCH levels of MOSAT motifs in commonly covered MOSAT_DR elements \( (n = 8064 \text{ sites from } n = 3091 \text{ elements}) \) are high in adult germ cells, cleavage stage embryos, late stage embryos, and adult brain and liver but low at \( \sim 2–4 \text{ hpf} \), which corresponds to the ma-

---

**Figure 3.** MOSAT mCH in genic and intergenic regions. (A) CG density (CG/100 bp) of MOSAT_DR repeats. (B) Genomic distribution of MOSAT_DR repeats (left panel). UCSC browser snapshot of mCH and mCG levels in both intronic and intergenic MOSAT_DR elements in developing zebrafish embryos and adult brain (right panel). (C) Scatterplot of average gene methylation (mCH/CH) plotted against the number of MOSAT motifs in gene bodies of 80% epiboly zebrafish embryos. (D) Distribution of gene length of all genes, neural genes, and MOSAT_DR repeat containing genes. (E) GO analysis of genes containing MOSAT_DR repeats.
ior wave of zygotic genome activation (ZGA) (59) (Figure 4A, Supplementary Figure S5A). The observed temporal mCH dynamics are independent of mCG changes at these regions (Supplementary Figure S5B) and are uncoupled from global developmental mCG changes in zebrafish (44,52,57). Additionally, we generated WGBS libraries for a range of adult organs (skin, intestine, heart, and liver), which derive from all embryonic germ layers, and demonstrated that MOSAT mCH is re-established across all examined tissues (Supplementary Figure S5C).

Next we wanted to assess the chromatin environment of mCH-methylated MOSAT motifs. To that end, we generated ChiP-seq profiles of H3K9me3, H3K27me3, and mCH-methylated MOSAT motifs. To explore whether there is a tendency of other Dnmts to compensate for the loss of Dnmt3ba, we examined the cKO effect, as evident from the modest reduction in genomic mCG. Nevertheless, Uhrf1 cKO displayed a robust decrease (35%) in mCG, however without affecting MOSAT mCH (Figure 5B, C). To exclude the possibility of the maintenance methyltransferase system participating in MOSAT mCH deposition, we first examined mCH levels in dnmt1 and uhrf1 cKOs. Dnmt1 transcripts are deposited maternally (Supplementary Figure S6A) therefore masking the cKO effect, as evident from the modest reduction in genomic mCG. Nevertheless, Uhrf1 cKO displayed a robust decrease (35%) in mCG, however without affecting MOSAT mCH (Figure 5B, C). We could also not detect any reduction of mCH in the dnmt2 cKO. We therefore focused our attention on the remaining dnmt3 targets. Out of all dnmt3 cKOs we observed the strongest reduction in MOSAT mCH in the dnmt3ba cKO (65%) as well as in the dnmt3ba/bb1/2/3 combination (51%), suggestive of Dnmt3ba being the major MOSAT mCH methyltransferase (Figure 5B). Given that the RRBS can only detect a fraction of MOSAT sites (~250 sites at 3.3x mean coverage), we repeated the dnmt3ba cKO experiment and generated WGBS libraries from 72hpf genomic DNA (Figure 5D, E). These data demonstrate a strong reduction in MOSAT mCH in both biological replicates of dnmt3ba cKO at the majority of mCH-methylated MOSAT motifs. To explore whether there is a tendency of other Dnmts to compensate for the loss of Dnmt3ba, we examined dnmt3 expression in dnmt3ba cKOs and observed a significant increase in dnmt3bb2 and dnmt3bb3 transcripts (two sample t-test: P < 0.05, Figure 5F). Interestingly, these two cKOs also displayed a modest reduction in MOSAT mCH (Figure 5B).

To fully understand the evolutionary origin and relatedness of Dnmt3ba to other zebrafish Dnmt3 enzymes, we undertook a phylogenetic and comparative genomic analysis (66). Dnmt3ba belongs to the vertebrate DNMT3B lin-
**Figure 4.** mCH at MOSAT_DR elements is developmentally reprogrammed and associated with constitutive H3K9me3. (A) mCH profiles of MOSAT motifs (n = 8064) in commonly covered MOSAT_DR elements during zebrafish development. (B) mCH methylation (mCH/CH) and H3K9me3 (RPKM) levels in commonly covered MOSAT_DR elements (n = 3091) during zebrafish development. (C) Browser snapshot of mCG and mCH in 80% epiboly and 24 h.p.f embryos compared to H3K9me3 (log2 ChIP/input) in shield stage and dome embryos. (D) Correlation between mCH levels at three developmental time points and shield stage H3K9me3 (log2 RPKM). Left to right: 32-cell, sphere, 80% epiboly. (E) Bar graphs showing methylation levels (mC/C) at: MOSAT motifs, CH dinucleotides, and CT dinucleotides in the genome, MOSAT elements, H3K9me3 peaks that overlap MOSAT elements (H3K9me3 + MOSAT) and H3K9me3 peaks that do not overlap MOSAT elements (H3K9me3 - MOSAT). Data correspond to 80% epiboly embryos. (F) H3K9me3 levels (RPKM), CH methylation levels (mCH/CH), MOSAT motif density (motif/100 bp) and CG density (CG/100 bp) in MOSAT elements not marked by H3K9me3 (–H3K9me3 + MOSAT), H3K9me3 peaks that overlap with MOSAT elements (H3K9me3 + MOSAT) and H3K9me3 peaks that do not overlap with MOSAT elements (H3K9me3 – MOSAT). (G) H3K9me3 peak sizes of H3K9me3 peaks that overlap MOSAT elements (+ MOSAT) and H3K9me3 peaks that do not overlap MOSAT elements (–MOSAT).
Figure 5. Dnmt3ba is the primary enzyme responsible for deposition of MOSAT mCH. (A) Schematic illustration of the transient CRISPR/Cas9 knockout (cKO) experiment approach. (B) Bar graphs showing methylation levels (mC/C) at MOSAT motifs (left) and genome wide (right) as assessed by RRBS in 48hpf cKO embryos. Data is presented as the mean of two biological replicates with error bars showing the standard error. (C) Heatmap showing methylation levels (mCH/CH) at MOSAT motifs from WGBS libraries of wild type (WT) and dnmt3ba 72hpf cKO larvae. (D) Genome browser snapshot of mCG and mCH levels in WT and dnmt3ba 72hpf cKO larvae. (E) Bar graphs showing transcript levels of dnmt genes in dnmt3ba 72hpf cKO larvae relative to WT. The data is represented as the mean of technical replicates with error bars showing the standard error (two sample t-test, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01). (F) Phylogenetic relationships among actinopterygian lineages (adapted from (66)), with a schematic display of Dnmt3b enzyme evolution.
eage (67) and has a unique protein domain configuration, harbouring a Calponin Homology (CH) domain in the N-terminal region (68). Specifically, \textit{dnmt3ba} was proposed to be a tandem duplication of the ancestral DNMT3B gene in fishes, annotated as \textit{dnmt3bb1} in zebrafish (69), since both genes are found in tandem in the spotted gar genome. To fully resolve the evolution of Dnmt3ba enzymes, we performed phylogenetic analyses including the genomes of reedfish and sturgeon, the earliest branching actinopterygian lineages (Figure 5F, Supplementary Figure S7). We confirm that \textit{dnmt3ba} originated from the last common ancestor of actinopterygians as a result of a tandem duplication of the \textit{dnmt3bb1} locus. This tandem duplication explains the acquisition of the Dnmt3ba CH domain as this domain is closely related to the CH domain of the neighbouring MAPRE1 gene, found downstream of \textit{dnmt3bb1} and \textit{dnmt3ba}. In the teleost lineage, a new copy of \textit{dnmt3ba}, named \textit{dnmt3bb2} in zebrafish, was the result of the third round of whole genome duplication in teleosts (70). Finally, in zebrafish, \textit{dnmt3bb2} duplicated in tandem to give rise to \textit{dnmt3bb3}. As noted previously (69), many of the secondary duplications of \textit{dnmt3ba} have lost either the CH or the PWWP domain, suggesting that the new copies resulting from the teleost whole genome duplication tend to lose protein domains. In summary, we found that \textit{dnmt3ba} is a gene that originated >400 million years ago in the actinopterygian ancestor, yet it has given rise to evolutionary retained duplicates first in teleosts and later in the zebrafish lineage. These results provide a potential explanation on why \textit{dnmt3bb2} and \textit{dnmt3bb3} are upregulated upon \textit{dnmt3ba} depletion (Figure 5F). It was recently suggested that CRISPR/Cas9 KO induces genetic compensation caused by mutant mRNA degradation and upregulation of genes with sequence similarity to the mutated target (71). Overall, our cKO experiments and phylogenetic analyses reveal the actinopterygian-specific Dnmt3ba as the principal MOSAT mCH methyltransferase.

**DISCUSSION**

How CG methylation (mCG) contributes to gene regulation has been studied for decades in diverse organisms spanning plant, fungi, and animal kingdoms (2). mCG is predominantly associated with long term silencing processes even though its developmental dynamics, genomic content and function vary greatly between organisms (1). The roles of mCH on the other hand, are just beginning to be understood (4). Previous work has demonstrated the importance of mCH for mammalian brain development (5) and recent studies suggest that altered mCH readout might underlie neurodevelopmental disorders such as Rett Syndrome (23). Notably, all mCH signal detected so far in vertebrates is predominantly found in CA dinucleotides (5–6,15), with CAC methylation in the nervous system being a conserved feature of the vertebrate lineage (24).

Here we describe a novel form of mCH that is found exclusively in the TGCT tetranucleotide context. Firstly, unlike CAC/CAG methylation that is enriched in mammalian gene bodies (5–6,15), TGCT methylation is exclusive to zebrafish mosaic satellite repeats (MOSAT\_DR). Despite the symmetrical nature of the GC dinucleotide, methylation occurs almost exclusively on the TGmCT strand even though up to 25% of methylation was observed on the AGmCA strand during gastrulation (Figure 1). mCH was previously detected at major satellite repeats in mouse ESCs (72), however, this methylation type was CA context-specific and was only detected in ESCs and not in other differentiated cells.

In the current manuscript, we demonstrate that the genomic location and developmental dynamics of MOSAT mCH are unique and in contrast to what has previously been observed in regard to mammalian CAC/CAG methylation. Nevertheless, one interesting parallel can still be drawn. Both zebrafish MOSAT mCH and mammalian mCH are high in oocytes and are diluted after fertilisation. In mouse and human zygotes mCH is inherited from oocytes and lost during cell division of early cleavage stages (13,14). mCH is then re-established later in development, specifically in the nervous system after birth (5). As in mammals, MOSAT mCH is inherited from parental gametes with a notable difference; both egg and sperm contribute MOSAT mCH to the zygote (Figure 6). mCH is then diluted during cleavage stages to reach the lowest point during ZGA and is gradually re-established following gastrulation in tissues originating from all embryonic layers. Importantly, the dynamics of mCG and MOSAT mCH in zebrafish are entirely uncoupled. Zebrafish is characterized by generally stable mCG levels throughout development even though a gradual decrease in mCG can be observed. This decrease is most prominent during the phylotypic period when thousands of enhancers become actively demethylated (44). Notably, the post-ZGA increase in MOSAT mCH is the highest during those stages (Figure 6).

Our CRISPR/Cas9 functional analyses have unravelled Dnmt3ba as the primary MOSAT mCH methyltransferase. This is significant for multiple reasons. Firstly, the molecular functions of zebrafish DNMTs remain largely underexplored. Dnmt3ba is special in that regard that it has a Calponin Homology Domain (CH) of yet unresolved function. CH domains are usually associated with actin and tubulin binding and are not commonly found in DNMTs (73). Whether the CH domain plays a role in the establishment of MOSAT mCH patterns will be a focus of future investigations. It is also worth noting that in mammals the functions of mCG and mCH are difficult to disentangle. This is due to developmental requirements for DNMT3A/B mCG and mCH function (4). Our zebrafish cKO analyses suggest that zebrafish might serve as a useful model organism for the studies of mCH function, as it appears that Dnmt3ba depletion does not cause major developmental abnormalities (data not shown). MOSAT mCH deposition is unlikely the primary ancestral role of Dnmt3ba within the fish lineage, since Dnmt3ba originated in the last common actinopterygian ancestor and MOSAT sequences are rare outside zebrafish genomes. Further work on other fish species will clarify the various functions that these DNMT3 duplicates might have evolved. Additionally, finding that Dnmt3ba is a tandem repeat of the original DNMT3B that gained roles in repetitive element targeting is reminiscent of Dnmt3c in rodents (74). Dnmt3c is a rodent specific DNMT3 copy with a specialised role in transposable element silencing, suggesting that genetic conflict with repeti-
Finally, here we demonstrate that MOSAT mCH and the heterochromatin mark H3K9me3 are highly correlated in the early embryo. This is in contrast to what has been observed in mammals where H3K9me3 and mCH are inversely correlated in large genomic domains that fail to revert to the embryonic state upon reprogramming (16). Our data, however, are in line with previous notions of H3K9me3 enrichment in CpG poor regions (75). A possible explanation for this correlation could be that following its depletion, mCH is re-established by means of H3K9me3 driven recruitment at MOSAT repeats. This could be facilitated by DNMT3 enzymes as H3K9me3 can recruit DNMT3 to satellite repeat regions in ESCs (76). Moreover, the cooperation between H3K9me3 and Dnmt3 during zebrafish embryogenesis was previously described, thus further supporting this scenario (77). It is also worth noting that satellite repeats were recently proposed to serve as ‘reservoirs’ for the cohesin component Rad21 before its redistribution to nearby loci upon ZGA (78). Whether MOSAT mCH and its peculiar developmental dynamics play a role in ZGA or genome 3D organization remains to be determined. In conclusion, we have described a novel type of vertebrate mCH, one with a unique sequence specificity, which undergoes developmental loss and re-establishment at H3K9me3-marked heterochromatin. This work thus lays the foundation for future studies that will explore how this novel and dynamic gene-regulatory component participates in diverse embryonic processes.
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