Programmable Assembly of Peptide Amphiphile via Noncovalent-to-Covalent Bond Conversion
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ABSTRACT: Controlling the number of monomers in a supramolecular polymer has been a great challenge in programmable self-assembly of organic molecules. One approach has been to make use of frustrated growth of the supramolecular assembly by tuning the balance of attractive and repulsive intermolecular forces. We report here on the use of covalent bond formation among monomers, compensating for intermolecular electrostatic repulsion, as a mechanism to control the length of a supramolecular nanofiber formed by self-assembly of peptide amphiphiles. Circular dichroism spectroscopy in combination with dynamic light scattering, size-exclusion chromatography, and transmittance electron microscopy analyses revealed that hydrogen bonds between peptides were reinforced by covalent bond formation, enabling the fiber elongation. To examine these materials for their potential biomedical applications, cytotoxicity of nano fibers against C2C12 premyoblast cells was tested. We demonstrated that cell viability increased with an increase in fiber length, presumably because of the suppressed disruption of cell membranes by the fiber end-caps.

INTRODUCTION

Self-assembly of organic molecules into supramolecular polymers is a powerful method to create easily processable soft materials with potential for self-healing properties, recyclability, and bioactivity, among other functionalities.1–6 The preparation of supramolecular polymers with monodisperse length, which could be a critical parameter for their properties, is extremely challenging due to their intrinsically dynamic nature.7–9 Kinetically controlled seeded-growth and supramolecular living polymerization have been successfully used to control growth, but their implementation requires careful sample preparation and highly sophisticated molecular designs.10–15 Templated growth achieved by the coassembly of supramolecular monomers with a rigid template was shown to form supramolecular nanostructures with lengths determined by the length of the template.16,17 Another strategy to form supramolecular nanostructures of finite size is to balance the interplay between attractive and repulsive intermolecular forces, the so-called frustrated growth, where repulsive forces are of steric or electrostatic origin.18–22 It has been relatively straightforward to molecularly design monomers for frustrated growth by modulating the fraction of functional groups capable of noncovalent attraction versus repulsive units within their chemical structures.19,21 However, it is quite challenging to modulate the size of a supramolecular polymer formed by a single type of molecule, since size is thermodynamically determined by the chemical structure of each monomer. Therefore, it is of great interest to develop molecules that enable tunable size supramolecular assemblies based on frustrated growth. Functionally such molecules would be of interest in nanomedicine,3–5 since their size and shape can influence bioactivity such as cellular uptake and targeted drug delivery.3,23–27 Our group reported recently on one example of frustrated growth in a positively charged peptide amphiphile (PA) with an amino acid sequence of V3A3K3 conjugated to palmitic acid at its N-terminus (Figure 1a) (K1).22 When the ionic strength of the aqueous PA solution I is above a critical value (I > Ic) (Ic: critical ionic strength), charge repulsion among the three lysine residues is suppressed, resulting in the formation of extremely long cylindrical nanofibers containing β-sheet secondary structure in the V3A3 peptide domain. In clear
contrast, when the ionic strength is below the critical ionic strength \((I < I_c)\), charge repulsion among lysine residues dominates, leading to the formation of monodisperse short nanofibers. The peptide segments in these nanofibers have random coil conformations and are therefore weakly hydrogen bonded.\(^{22}\) In order to develop the potential for nanostructures with variable lengths, we investigate here a new molecule that could undergo frustrated growth. The strategy involves the use of covalent bond formation among the amphiphilic molecules as a mechanism to compensate electrostatic repulsion (Figure 1b).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to maintain the characteristic assembly behavior of \(K_3\), where electrostatic repulsion controls nanofiber length,\(^{22}\) we synthesized PA \(\text{Cl}K_3\) which is able to form covalent bonds by radical cross-linking with neighboring 1,3-dienes in the assembly (Figure 1a). Among varieties of molecular motifs,\(^{33-35}\) 1,3-diene was selected as a covalent bond forming unit for minimizing the structural difference from saturated palmitic acid.\(^{33,36}\) The peptide backbone of \(\text{Cl}K_3\) was synthesized using solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS), and 1,3-diene palmitic acid was conjugated to the peptide sequence by amide bond condensation (synthetic details for \(\text{Cl}K_3\) are described in the Supporting Information).\(^{36}\) The resulting \(\text{Cl}K_3\) molecule was unambiguously characterized by \(^1H\) NMR spectroscopy, ESI-mass spectrometry, and analytical HPLC (Figures S3–S5).\(^{36}\)

To understand how the dienes affected the assembly, we first investigated how the electrostatic repulsion controls the assembly behavior of \(\text{Cl}K_3\) prior to covalent bond formation among PA molecules. As we reported in our previous work on \(K_3\), electrostatic repulsion among lysine residues of \(\text{Cl}K_3\) is expected to be highly responsive to \(I\).\(^{22}\) Therefore, we used a fluorescence assay with the Nile Red dye to determine the \(I_c\) value of \(\text{Cl}K_3\) in H\(_2\)O.\(^{37}\) As expected, \(\text{Cl}K_3\) showed effectively the same \(I_c\) value reported previously for \(K_3\) (Figure S7).\(^{22,36}\)

To turn “on” the electrostatic repulsion among lysine residues, \(\text{Cl}K_3\) was dissolved in deionized H\(_2\)O below the \(I_c\) value (\([\text{Cl}K_3] = 440 \mu\text{M}\)), the same concentration used for our previous study on \(K_3\).\(^{22}\) In order for \(\text{Cl}K_3\) to form nanofibers with the thermodynamically favored length, the solution was then annealed at 80 °C for 30 min and subsequently cooled to room temperature.\(^{22}\) In fact, cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) under these conditions revealed formation of short fibers with low polydispersity in length (Figure S12a).\(^{36}\) In contrast, when NaCl (\([\text{NaCl}] = 10 \text{mM}\)) was added to the PA solution (\([\text{Cl}K_3] = 440 \mu\text{M} \text{but} I > I_c\)) to suppress charge repulsion among the lysine residues and annealed through the same procedure, infinitely long fibers were observed (Figure S12b).\(^{36}\) Similarly, infinitely long fibers were observed when \([\text{Cl}K_3] = 4.4 \text{mM}\). These results are consistent with those previously reported for \(K_3\) and therefore, we concluded that the incorporation of double bonds in the hydrophobic segment of \(\text{Cl}K_3\) does not modify the response of assemblies to electrostatic repulsion.\(^{22}\)

To create covalent bonds within the nanofiber formed by \(\text{Cl}K_3\), the system was irradiated with ultraviolet (UV) light (\(\lambda = 365 \text{nm}\)) in the presence of 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA) as a radical initiator.\(^{36}\) Unfortunately, the reaction could not be monitored by FT-IR due to the overlap between the characteristic diene stretching band at 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) with the C=O vibrational band (Figure S8).\(^{33,36}\) We therefore turned to electronic absorption spectroscopy, which showed that the absorption band at 225 nm associated with the 1,3-diene moiety (Figure S6)\(^{36}\) diminished after photoirradiation (Figure 2a,b). Likewise, \(^1H\) NMR in DMSO-\(d_6\) showed that the 1,3-diene peaks disappeared after the irradiation (Figure S9).\(^{36}\)

Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) equipped with a refractive index (RI) detector was performed to estimate the molecular weight of PAs following the reaction. Hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) was chosen as the eluent for its strong ability to dissociate PA aggregates and dissolve them individually.\(^{38}\) As shown in Figure 2c, the observed peak shifted to smaller elution volumes corresponding to higher molecular weight with increasing irradiation time. These results indicate that 1,3-diene units successfully formed covalent bonds with neighboring reactive sites. Considering the monomer to initiator ratio (\([\text{Cl}K_3]/[\text{DMPA}] = 8/1\)) as well as the molecular weight derived from SEC, the PAs after irradiation contain monomers and a distribution of oligomers with a conversion rate of up to 32% (Figure S10).\(^{36}\) We also analyzed the reaction product of the photoirradiated sample by means of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. As expected, we observed multiple peaks for \(\text{Cl}K_3\) oligomers (Figure S11).\(^{36}\) In addition, we found some peaks with \(m/z\) values close to those of the \(\text{Cl}K_3\) monomer, which we assume to be the mass peaks of byproducts generated by termination of radicals. This is presumably the reason why electronic absorption and NMR spectroscopy indicated the consumption of 1,3-dienes, while the SEC trace showed a large content of remaining monomer.

Next, the photoirradiated samples were diluted to the same concentration below the \(I_c\) value (\([\text{Cl}K_3] = 440 \mu\text{M}\)), then annealed at 80 °C for 30 min and subsequently cooled to room temperature to turn “on” electrostatic repulsion.\(^{22}\) Without photoirradiation, the hydrophobic effect and intermolecular hydrogen bonding are not strong enough to compensate for electrostatic repulsion so that the observed CD spectrum...
reveals peptide segments largely in random coil conformations (Figure 2d,e, red). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was used to evaluate the assembly size, and a relatively low light scattering intensity was observed (Figure 2f, red). As expected, short fibers with a low polydispersity (Figure 3a and S14, \(L_n = 94 \text{ nm}, L_w = 114 \text{ nm}, L_w/L_n = 1.22 \) and \(\sigma/L_n = 0.47\) where \(L_n\) is the number-average and \(L_w\) is the weight-average contour length, and \(\sigma\) is the standard deviation) were observed by cryo-TEM (incorporation of photoinitiator did not significantly affect the self-assembly behavior of CLK3).\(^{36}\) Nonirradiated CLK3 showed effectively the same length dispersity observed for K3 under the same conditions (\(L_w/L_n = 1.19\) ) (Figure S18).\(^{36}\) We point out that in supramolecular polymerization a polydispersity below 1.4 has been regarded as a well-controlled polymerization.\(^{11}\) Interestingly, procedures in photoirradiated samples, the CD signal eventually shifted from random coil to a \(\beta\)-sheet rich signature with increasing irradiation time (Figure 2d). Concomitant with the CD intensity change at 203 nm as a marker for \(\beta\)-sheet content (Figure 2e), a gradual increase in light scattering intensity was observed (Figure 2f). These results indicate that covalent linking between neighboring CLK3 molecules induces stronger hydrogen bonding and at the same time leads to the formation of longer nanofibers. In fact, cryo-TEM enabled visualization of longer nanofiber formation with longer irradiation time (Figure 3 and Figures S14–S17).\(^{36}\)

Figure 2. (a) Electronic absorption spectra of \(\text{CLK}_3 (\text{[CLK}_3] = 440 \mu M)\) in H\(_2\)O at 25 °C and (b) plots of \(\Delta \text{Abs}\) at 225 nm as a function of UV light irradiation time (red: 0 h irradiation, blue: 144 h irradiation). (c) SEC-RI traces of \(\text{CLK}_3\) with HFIP as an eluent (red: 0 h irradiation, blue: 144 h irradiation). (d) Circular dichroism (CD) spectra of \(\text{CLK}_3 (\text{[CLK}_3] = 440 \mu M)\) in H\(_2\)O at 25 °C and (e) plots of \(\Delta \varepsilon\) at 203 nm as a function of irradiation time (red: 0 h irradiation, blue: 144 h irradiation). (f) Molar scattering intensity of \(\text{CLK}_3 (\text{[CLK}_3] = 440 \mu M)\) in H\(_2\)O at 25 °C as a function of irradiation time (red: 0 h irradiation, blue: 144 h irradiation).

Figure 3. Cryogenic TEM micrographs of \(\text{CLK}_3 (\text{[CLK}_3] = 440 \mu M)\) in H\(_2\)O at different cross-linking time and their corresponding histograms of the contour length of randomly selected 100 fibers for (a) 0 h, (b) 4 h, (c) 8 h, and (d) 24 h of UV light irradiation, respectively.
support the formation of stable $\beta$-sheets within the fibers that can compensate for electrostatic repulsion (Figure 1b). As photoirradiation time increases, the content of oligomers as well as the repeating length of oligomers increases, resulting in longer supramolecular fibers.

Given the enormous potential of PAs as bioactive nanostructures for regenerative medicine, we used $\text{CLK}_3$ to examine how cells interact with fibers of different lengths. Specifically, it is known that cationic peptides can be cytotoxic, and therefore, we investigated the viability of myoblast C2C12 cells after $\text{CLK}_3$ solutions ([$\text{CLK}_3$] = 27.5 $\mu$M) exposed to different photoirradiation times were added to their media. Note that the concentration used for the assay is above the critical association concentration so that fibers can still be formed (Figure S7). After 24 h, the solutions containing nonirradiated samples, which possess the shortest fiber lengths, led to the viability of the cells as low as 40 ± 2% (Figure 4a,i), as compared with the viability of 90 ± 2% from the cells cultured in a tissue culture plate as controls (Figure 4h,i). Quite strikingly, the $\text{CLK}_3$ solution showed significantly increased cell viability with increasing irradiation time compared to the nonirradiated sample. Furthermore, we observed a clear correlation between cell viability and irradiation time (Figure 4a–e,i,k). We also tested irradiated and nonirradiated infinitely long fibers prepared using a previously reported procedure (Figure S13). Cells cultured with such infinitely long fibers showed comparable viability to those cultured on a tissue culture plate (Figure 4f–i). Therefore, the observed increase in cell viability is most likely caused by the increase in fiber length rather than the covalent bond formation itself. Our group recently demonstrated that short fibers formed by $\text{K}_3$ that are hundreds of nanometers long are highly cytotoxic, while infinitely long fibers formed by the same molecules exhibit less cytotoxicity. In these experiments, cell death was triggered by cell membrane disruption caused by PA fibers as opposed to disassembled PA monomers. To assess the mechanism of cell death in the current system, we monitored the phase transition behavior of dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine liposomes, as a model system for the lipid bilayer, in the presence of $\text{CLK}_3$ using differential scanning calorimetry. As shown in Figure S19, the phase-transition temperature did not show any changes in the presence of nonirradiated or irradiated $\text{CLK}_3$, indicating that no significant amount of $\text{CLK}_3$ monomers had incorporated into the membrane. Therefore, in the current system, the difference in cell viability is most likely caused by the different lengths of PA fibers, although the biological mechanism is not perfectly clear. Previously, shorter amyloid fibrils were reported to display higher cytotoxicity compared with longer fibrils due to their enhanced ability of fiber termini to damage lipid bilayers. We assume that the same mechanism took place.
when cells were exposed to short DrK fibers, resulting in the low cell viability (Figure 4j). Taking advantage of the covalent linking strategy investigated here, we could possibly control the cytotoxicity of cationic assemblies.

■ CONCLUSIONS

We have developed a strategy to control the length of charged peptide amphiphile supramolecular assemblies. In this strategy, covalent bond formation among PA molecules in these assemblies alter the balance between hydrogen bond formation and compensation of repulsive electrostatic interactions, enabling an elongation of fibers that is not otherwise energetically possible. We were also able to learn from these supramolecular systems that cell viability has a clear dependency on fiber length. The strategy should be useful in controlling the size of supramolecular assemblies and the optimization of their functions.
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