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The process of biodiesel production by the hydroesterification route that is proposed here involves a first step consisting of triacylglyceride hydrolysis catalyzed by lipase from Thermomyces lanuginosus (TL 100L) to generate free fatty acids (FFAs). This step is followed by esterification of the FFAs with alcohol, catalyzed by niobic acid in pellets or without a catalyst. The best result for the enzyme-catalyzed hydrolysis was obtained under reaction conditions of 50% (v/v) soybean oil and 2.3% (v/v) lipase (25 U/mL of reaction medium) in distilled water and at 60°C; an 89% conversion rate to FFAs was obtained after 48 hours of reaction. For the esterification reaction, the best result was with an FFA/methanol molar ratio of 1:3, niobic acid catalyst at a concentration of 20% (w/w FFA), and 200°C, which yielded 92% conversion of FFAs to soy methyl esters after 1 hour of reaction. This study is exceptional because both the hydrolysis and the esterification use a simple reaction medium with high substrate concentrations.

1. Introduction

Biodiesel is composed of esters of fatty acids with a short-chain alcohol (methanol or ethanol). It is an alternative fuel obtained from renewable sources such as vegetable oils and animal fats and emits fewer atmospheric pollutants than petroleum diesel. Because its properties are similar to those of petroleum diesel, biodiesel can be used directly in diesel engines without extensive mechanical modifications or maintenance expenses [1].

Biodiesel production by the hydroesterification route occurs in two stages. First, all the material is hydrolyzed to free fatty acids (FFAs) and glycerol. Next, the FFAs are separated and esterified with a short-chain alcohol, generating biodiesel and water. The hydroesterification process has some advantages over the alkaline transesterification process, which is the method that is most often used industrially. In the latter, a high-quality oil or fat is transesterified with methanol in the presence of NaOH, KOH, or sodium methoxide to produce biodiesel and glycerol [2]. However, the disadvantage of the alkaline transesterification route is the high final cost of the biodiesel, mainly because of the price of high-quality oil/fat (which comprises around 80% of the biodiesel cost) and the low selling price of glycerol (by-product). For the alkaline transesterification process, the raw material must be free of FFAs and water; otherwise, soap is formed, resulting in neutralization of the catalyst and difficulty of purification. Also, the glycerol by-product is contaminated with the salt resulting from the catalyst neutralization and methanol and brings a low price [3–7]. One advantage of hydroesterification for alkaline transesterification is the generation of a glycerol without
contact with methanol (which is highly toxic), and this product can be a higher-value food-grade glycerol. Another major advantage is the ability to employ as raw material oils and fats with high concentrations of FFAs and water, which are considered low quality and therefore have a much lower market price [2]. Economic analysis has shown that the hydroesterification process can be as attractive as or more so than the alkaline transesterification process for biodiesel production [5].

Minami and Saka [8] studied a catalyst-free hydroesterification process to obtain biodiesel, involving a subcritical hydrolysis and a supercritical esterification with methanol, and obtained conversion rates above 90% in the two steps (both conducted at a temperature of 270°C and a pressure of 20 MPa). (“Supercritical” refers to a condition above the critical temperature and pressure of the reagent.) Investigations of biodiesel production by hydroesterification using niobic acid in pellets as a catalyst in hydrolysis [9] as well as in the esterification reaction [9–11] have reported high conversion rates (over 90%) in both stages.

Enzymatic hydrolysis (catalyzed by lipases, E.C. 3.1.1.3) has some advantages over chemical or thermal hydrolysis, because enzyme reactions require lower temperatures, which prevents the degradation of products and reduces energy costs. Furthermore, enzymes are biodegradable and consequently are less polluting than chemical catalysts. The main disadvantages of enzyme catalysis are the longer reaction time and the higher cost of the biocatalysts [12]. Enzymatic esterification is more complicated, because often the enzyme is deactivated by the alcohol used as a substrate [13]. The use of a heterogeneous acid catalyst in this step is very interesting, since it is not deactivated by short-chain alcohol, it can be easily separated from the product and reused, and acid catalysts are quite effective in converting FFAs to esters [6, 14].

Talukder et al. [15] studied the process of enzymatic hydroesterification with lipase from Candida rugosa and the chemical catalyst Amberlyst 15 (acidic sulfonated styrene-divinylbenzene ion-exchange resin) and obtained complete conversions in the enzymatic hydrolysis and chemical esterification. Our group also studied enzymatic hydroesterification, but employed as catalysts the lipase of germinated seeds of Thermomyces lanuginosus and niobic acid in pellets. The TL 100L lipase is sold by Novozymes and is recommended by this company for the hydrolysis of triacylglycerides [16].

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Catalysts. The liquid lipase from Thermomyces lanuginosus (Lipozyme TL 100L) was purchased from Novozymes. This lipase is recommended by Novozymes for hydrolysis and is a “food-grade” enzyme [16]. The lipase TL 100L showed activity of 1064 ± 31 U/mL determined on soybean oil (5% w/v) emulsified with Triton X-100 (25% w/v) in sodium phosphate buffer 0.05 M, pH 7.0 (50% v/v), and distilled water, at 37°C, 200 rpm; the FFAs produced were titrated with 0.04 N NaOH until pH 11. One lipase activity unit (U) was defined as the amount of enzymes that produced 1 μmol FFA per min under the assay conditions.

The chemical catalyst used was niobic acid in pellets (HY-340, surface area 80 m²/g, and pore volume 0.6 cm³/g) purchased from CBMM (Companhia Brasileira de Metalurgia e Mineração).

2.2. Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Soybean Oil. The reactions were carried out with a volume of 10 mL, in thermostated reactors, under magnetic stirring. The reaction medium was composed of soybean oil, 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), and lipase TL 100L. The concentrations of soybean oil and lipase, as well as the temperature and reaction time were alternated during the study, and the conditions used in each experiment are described below. At the end of the reaction, the oil was extracted with hexane and concentrated in a rotary evaporator, and then the concentration of FFAs was determined from the final acidity of the oil.

A Central Composite Rotatable Design (CCRD) was used to investigate the conversion of the hydrolysis reaction. The levels of the variables studied are shown in Table 1. The results were analyzed using Statistica 7.0.

The hydrolysis reactions to produce the FFAs to be esterified (results of Section 3.2) were conducted with 1 L volume, in a thermostated reactor, under mechanical stirring, because a larger volume was necessary for the esterification reactor. All other conditions were as described above.

| Table 1: Coded levels and real values of tested variables in the CCRD. |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Variables               | −1.68  | −1  | 0   | 1   | 1.68 |
| Temperature (°C)        |        |     |     |     |      |
| Lipase concentration (%v/v) |      |    |     |     |      |
| Oil concentration (%v/v) |      |    |     |     |      |
| Temperature (°C)        | 47     | 50  | 55  | 60  | 63   |
| Lipase concentration (%v/v) | 0.5   | 2.3 | 5   | 7.7 | 9.5  |
| Oil concentration (%v/v) | 55    | 62  | 73  | 84  | 91   |
| * volume of oil + buffer. |       |     |     |     |      |
Table 2: CCRD matrix and the results of soybean oil hydrolysis by lipase TL 100L. The reactions were carried out in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) for 19 hours.

| Assay | Temperature (°C) | Concentration of lipase (% v/v) | Concentration of oil (% v/v) | Final concentration of FFAs (% w/w) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1     | 50               | 2.3                           | 62                         | 80.4                             |
| 2     | 60               | 2.3                           | 62                         | **85.4**                         |
| 3     | 50               | 7.7                           | 62                         | 85.6                             |
| 4     | 60               | 7.7                           | 62                         | 85.6                             |
| 5     | 50               | 2.3                           | 84                         | 66.9                             |
| 6     | 60               | 2.3                           | 84                         | 72.5                             |
| 7     | 50               | 7.7                           | 84                         | 74.3                             |
| 8     | 60               | 7.7                           | 84                         | 71.7                             |
| 9     | 47               | 5.0                           | 73                         | 78.6                             |
| 10    | 63               | 5.0                           | 73                         | 81.0                             |
| 11    | 55               | 0.5                           | 73                         | 70.1                             |
| 12    | 55               | 9.5                           | 73                         | 81.7                             |
| 13    | 55               | 5.0                           | 55                         | 86.8                             |
| 14    | 55               | 5.0                           | 91                         | 66.1                             |
| 15    | 55               | 5.0                           | 73                         | 82.0                             |
| 16    | 55               | 5.0                           | 73                         | 82.1                             |
| 17    | 55               | 5.0                           | 73                         | 84.0                             |
| 18    | 55               | 5.0                           | 73                         | 83.1                             |

2.3. Esterification of Free Fatty Acids. The esterification reactions were carried out using the optimum conditions described by Lima [9]: molar ratio FFAs/alcohol of 1:3 for methanol and 1:4 for ethanol; niobic acid catalyst in pellets at a concentration of 20% (w/w of FFAs), or without catalyst; temperature 200°C; pressure 350 psi; and reaction time 1 hour. At the end of the reaction, the catalyst was removed by filtration and the product was held at 110°C for 5 minutes to eliminate the residual alcohol and water formed. The conversion of FFAs to alkyl esters was determined by titration of the remaining FFAs (acidity).

2.4. Determination of Acidity. The acidity was determined by titration of FFAs with 0.25 N NaOH, and the result was expressed in grams of FFAs per 100 g of the sample [9].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Soybean Oil. Initially, the reaction conditions for the hydrolysis of soybean oil catalyzed by lipase TL 100L were investigated. A previous experimental design (data not shown) indicated that higher conversion rates could be obtained by fixing the sodium phosphate buffer in 10 mM/pH 8.0 and optimizing the temperature and the concentrations of lipase and soybean oil. This optimization was carried out using a Central Composite Rotatable Design (CCRD). The design matrix and the results obtained are shown in Table 2.

The best conversion of FFAs was obtained in assay 13, in the lowest concentration of soybean oil (55%), at 55°C and with 5% of the biocatalyst (corresponding to 53 U/mL of reaction medium). Based on the statistical analysis of the results, an empirical model was constructed (1) to describe the variation in the FFAs concentration as a function of temperature (T), lipase concentration (L), and oil concentration (O). For the model construction, variables with P-values lower than .05 were considered statistically significant (Figure 1). The interactions between temperature and oil concentration and between lipase concentration and oil concentration were not statistically significant and were not included in the model (1).

\[
\text{FFA (\% w/w)} = 82.76 + 1.76T - 1.8T^2 + 4.62L - 4.56L^2 - 12.66O - 4.17O^2 - 3.3T^2L.
\]

The percentage of variance explained by the model was satisfactory \( R^2 = 0.98 \), indicating that the model had a good adjustment to the experimental data, as observed in Figure 2. Figures 3, 4, and 5 show the contour plots of the concentrations of FFAs obtained by the model of (1).

As apparent from Figure 3, the best conversion rates should be obtained at temperatures between 50°C and 60°C, and higher temperatures require lower lipase concentrations. In the literature, there is no consensus about the optimum reaction temperature for *T. lanuginosus* lipase. While some studies have found values of 37°C [17] and 40°C [18], other authors have obtained values of 50°C [19] and 55°C [20, 21]. The behavior observed in Figure 3, that higher temperatures require lower lipase concentrations to obtain the same conversion rate, may be related to a higher enzyme activity at 60°C in these reaction conditions; consequently, less lipase is needed at higher temperatures because the enzyme is more active. Another possibility is related to
increased acyl migration, since this lipase is 1,3-specific and therefore complete hydrolysis of triglyceride depends on the migration of the acyl radical in position 2 to positions 1,3 [22]. Li et al. [23] observed that the increase in temperature increased the acyl migration in their experiments without an enzyme: when they changed the incubation temperature of 30°C to 55°C, the half-life of 1,2-diacylglycerol decreased 10-fold and the half-life of 2-monoacylglycerol decreased 6-fold. For the next experiments, we selected the temperature of 60°C since it gave the highest conversion rate with a lower enzyme concentration (Figure 3).

As can be seen in Figure 4, the model indicated that the best conversions at 60°C should be obtained with concentrations of lipase between 4% and 8%. The existence of an optimum enzyme concentration range may be explained by two factors: at concentrations lower than 4%, more enzyme may favor the accessibility of a large amount of reactants to the active sites, and at concentrations above 8%, dimers with lower activity may be forming. Fernandez-Lafuente [22] reported a strong tendency of Thermomyces lanuginosus lipase to dimer formation through the union of two molecules of lipase in the regions of their active centers. This dimer is more stable than the monomer; however, it has lower activity [22]. We opted for a lipase concentration of 2.3%, since this concentration also gives good results
(Figure 4) and reduces expenses with the biocatalyst in the process.

For a lipase concentration of 2.3%, the model showed the highest conversion rates with oil concentrations lower than 62% and temperatures higher than 58°C, as illustrated in Figure 5. The higher conversion rates for lower substrate concentrations were expected, because there are more substrate molecules present to access the active sites of enzymes.

In the conditions selected based on the CCRD (60°C; 2.3% lipase; 62% oil), reaction kinetics were carried out in a simple batch and in a fed-batch of enzyme, as shown in Figure 6. The fed-batch of enzyme was chosen for evaluation based on the observation of Jurado et al. [19] that after only 30 minutes at 60°C, the T. lanuginosus lipase lost 13% of its activity.

Our results in Figure 6 showed very similar reaction kinetics for the simple batch and fed-batch of enzymes (reaching 86 ± 0.3% and 83 ± 2.0% FFAs after 24 hours, resp.); lipase hydrolytic activity could be observed after 24 hours in the simple batch, showing that the enzyme was not sufficiently deactivated to compromise the reaction. Other investigators have also observed that the lipase from T. lanuginosus is capable of maintaining its activity at elevated temperatures such as 50°C–60°C, with maximum activity near pH 9 [22]. This thermostability was expected, since T. lanuginosus is a thermophilic fungus that produces other thermostable enzymes [24–28].

We also investigated the utilization of lower concentrations of soybean oil, in an attempt to attain higher concentrations of FFAs, as indicated in Figure 5. The results of the reactions with lower oil concentrations are shown in Figure 7.

One can observe in Figure 7 that the best conversions were obtained for reactions conducted with 50% and 62% (v/v) oil at 60°C. Although the lower rate of conversion at oil concentrations below 50% was unexpected, it was previously observed by Talukder et al. [7] in the hydrolysis reaction of crude palm oil catalyzed by Candida rugosa lipase.

The best concentrations of soybean oil (50% and 62% oil at 60°C) (Figure 7) were evaluated over a longer reaction time. For the reaction carried out with 50% (v/v) soybean oil, the results were 91 ± 0.9% FFAs at 48 hours and 93 ± 0.8% at 72 hours. The reaction with 62% (v/v) soybean oil yielded 87 ± 0.5% FFAs at 48 hours and 86 ± 0.6%
at 72 hours. Although both substrate concentrations gave similar results at 24 hours reaction time (Figure 7), the 50% concentration led to higher concentrations over longer times.

Subsequently, the use of distilled water instead of buffer was evaluated, in order to reduce the cost of the process. The reaction was carried out with 50% soybean oil in the same reaction conditions as described above, and we obtained 84 ± 0.6% FFAs at 24 hours, 89 ± 0.3% at 48 hours, and 90 ± 0.1% at 72 hours. The reaction with distilled water gave practically the same result as the reaction carried out in the buffer, so it was selected as the best option to be added to the reaction medium.

Thus, the selected conditions for soybean oil hydrolysis by lipase TL 100L were 50% (v/v) soybean oil, distilled water, 2.3% (v/v) lipase (corresponding to 25 U/mL of reaction medium), 60°C, and 48 hours. Under these conditions, we obtained 89% hydrolysis.

This study is exceptional among enzymatic hydrolysis studies in the literature, in combining the high oil content with the low concentration of lipase, the use of aqueous media with no buffer, no organic solvent, and no emulsifier, which makes the reaction and purification steps easier and less costly (very important for a low value product as it is the biodiesel).

Freitas et al. [29] obtained 70 and 53% soybean oil hydrolysis using lipases from Candida rugosa and Thermomyces lanuginosus, respectively. In addition to obtaining lower conversion rates, these authors used an oil content of 25%, half that used in the present study, and 2.5% gum Arabic (emulsifier). In the study of Park et al. [30], combinations of lipase from Penicillium sp. + R. niveus and Penicillium sp. + R. delemar hydrolyzed up to 95%–98% of the soybean oil; the reaction was conducted with 3 g oil and 4 mL buffer for 10 hours. Park and colleagues used two lipases, whereas we used only one and did not use a buffer.

Talukder et al. [7] obtained complete hydrolysis of crude palm oil, but they used 33% oil, 33% organic solvent (isooctane), and a buffer in the reaction medium. Edwinoiver et al. [31] obtained a palm oil hydrolytic rate of 89% with a reaction medium containing 1 g oil in 20 mL buffer; in this case, the oil content was very low and they also used a buffer. In the study by Noor et al. [32], nearly all the palm oil was hydrolyzed in only 1.5 hours, but they employed an oil concentration of approximately 2.5% and an emulsifier.

In the study by Goswami et al. [33], castor oil was 60% hydrolyzed by Candida rugosa lipase, employing a 3:1 buffer phase volume to oil weight ratio. This is a lower oil content than that used in the present study, and also made use of a buffer, although they achieved a good reaction time of 6 hours.

Our research group [11] obtained complete hydrolysis of physic nut (Jatropha curcas) oil catalyzed by the lipase from its own seed. The reaction was conducted with 50% oil and 2.5% crude extract containing lipase, without adding organic solvent or emulsifier, although a buffer was used. Another promising study, by Talukder et al. [15], on the hydrolysis of waste cooking oil by Candida rugosa lipase, achieved complete conversion after 10 hours at an oil concentration of 50% and lipase concentration of 0.025%, without additives to the reaction medium (water).

Some studies have employed even more complicated techniques in enzymatic hydrolysis, such as ultrasound [34, 35] and supercritical CO2 [36] to increase the oil-water interface area where the lipase acts.

3.2. Esterification of FFAs to Biodiesel. After the hydrolysis of soybean oil with lipase TL 100L (under the optimum conditions described above), the esterification of FFAs with methanol and ethanol was carried out, catalyzed by niobic acid in pellets or without a catalyst. The results are shown in Table 3.

Based on the data presented in Table 3, the best esterification rate was 92%, using methanol as the alcohol and niobic acid as the catalyst.

The higher reactivity of methanol compared to ethanol can be observed with and without the catalyst (Table 3). Similar behavior was observed by Aranda et al. [6] in the esterification of FFAs from palm oil using heterogeneous acid catalysts; they obtained up to 70% lower conversion rates using ethanol instead of methanol. Steric hindrance inherent to both the carboxylic acid and the alcohol species seems to be important, making reaction with methanol (a smaller molecule) faster, especially with heterogeneous catalysts [6]. Furthermore, the anhydrous ethanol has much more water than anhydrous methanol, and the water acts to shift the reaction equilibrium toward hydrolysis rather than esterification [14]. Although ethanol has some advantages, such as being a renewable resource and having low toxicity, as opposed to methanol, the latter is still widely used in biodiesel production due to its higher reactivity and lower cost [5, 37].

The niobic acid catalyst had a positive effect on the conversion of the esterification reaction of FFAs, giving 10.4% and 6.0% higher conversions with methanol and ethanol, respectively (Table 3). Because it is a heterogeneous catalyst, niobic acid in pellets can be easily separated from the product and reused.

Aranda et al. [6] evaluated the esterification of palm-oil FFAs with methanol, using different heterogeneous catalysts and obtained conversion rates similar to those in this study. Talukder et al. [15] used Amberlyst 15 as a catalyst for biodiesel production by esterification of FFAs from waste cooking oil with methanol, and obtained 99% esterification; however, they added an organic solvent to the reaction medium, which increases the cost of reagents and the purification process. Lima [9] studied biodiesel production from soybean and castor bean oils, using the process of hydroesterification catalyzed by niobic acid in pellets, and obtained conversion rates of 84% for the reaction of hydrolysis of soybean oil and 82% for castor bean oil; for the esterification reactions, the highest conversion rates were 92% for FFAs from soybean oil and 87% for those of castor bean oil. In the present study, we obtained higher conversion rates (89%) for the hydrolysis reaction of soybean oil catalyzed by lipase TL 100L, and a similar conversion rate (92%) for the esterification reaction of FFAs.
Table 3: Esterification reactions of FFAs of soybean oil. The reactions were carried out using a molar ratio of FFAs/alcohol of 1:3 for methanol and 1:4 for ethanol, 20% (w/w of FFAs) of the catalyst niobic acid in pellets, at 200°C and 1 hour reaction time.

| Alcohol | Catalyst | FFAs % (w/w) | Conversion* |
|---------|----------|--------------|-------------|
|         |          | Initial      | Final       |
| Methanol| No       | 90.6%        | 15.1%       | 83.3%      |
|         | Yes      | 91.3%        | 7.3%        | 92.0%      |
| Ethanol | No       | 90.6%        | 19.9%       | 78.0%      |
|         | Yes      | 91.3%        | 15.8%       | 82.7%      |

* Conversion was calculated based on the initial concentration of FFAs.

4. Conclusion

The process of biodiesel production through the enzymo-chemical hydroesterification route catalyzed by Thermomyces lanuginosus lipase and niobic acid in pellets yielded 89% FFAs in the hydrolysis reaction of soybean oil, and 92% of these FFAs were esterified to biodiesel.

The hydrolysis reaction was carried out with a low lipase concentration (2.3%) and high oil concentration (50%), in simple aqueous medium without a buffer, emulsifier, or solvent, to lower the cost of the process. In the esterification reaction, we also used a solvent-free medium with high substrate concentration (75% FFA). The use of a heterogeneous catalyst, which can be easily separated and reused, also reduced the cost of the process.

The enzymatic-chemical hydroesterification appears to be a promising alternative to the traditional process of biodiesel production by alkaline transesterification.
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