Enabling nanoscale flexoelectricity at extreme temperature by tuning cation diffusion
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Any dielectric material under a strain gradient presents flexoelectricity. Here, we synthesized 0.75 sodium bismuth titanate −0.25 strontium titanate (NBT-25ST) core–shell nanoparticles via a solid-state chemical reaction directly inside a transmission electron microscope (TEM) and observed domain-like nanoregions (DLNRs) up to an extreme temperature of 800 °C. We attribute this abnormal phenomenon to a chemically induced lattice strain gradient present in the core–shell nanoparticle. The strain gradient was generated by controlling the diffusion of strontium cations. By combining electrical biasing and temperature-dependent in situ TEM with phase field simulations, we analyzed the resulting strain gradient and local polarization distribution within a single nanoparticle. The analysis confirms that a local symmetry breaking, occurring due to a strain gradient (i.e. flexoelectricity), accounts for switchable polarization beyond the conventional temperature range of existing polar materials. We demonstrate that polar nanomaterials can be obtained through flexoelectricity at extreme temperature by tuning the cation diffusion.
Materi als with switchable polarization are indispensable in memory devices, sensors, actuators, and transducers. Polarization in dielectrics can be induced by different stimuli, e.g., mechanical strain via piezoelectricity. In contrast to piezoelectricity, which requires a noncentrosymmetric crystal structure, there is an intrinsic property in any dielectric material that can generate polarization under a strain gradient. It is referred to as flexoelectricity.

Flexoelectricity has been first studied in liquid crystals and has recently gained widespread interest for a broad range of material classes like ferroelectrics, semiconductors and biomaterials. Many electromechanical and memory devices have been realized using the flexoelectric effect. Theoretical models show that the flexoelectric response scales inversely with size and thus it plays a significant role especially at the nanoscale.

Designing flexoelectric nanomaterials without the need for an external mechanical load has been previously reported in compositionally graded materials and domain wall engineered ferroelectric thin films.

Previous studies showed that compositional gradients can be obtained by utilizing the diffusion of strontium cations in bulk ceramics and nanoparticles of 0.75 sodium bismuth titanate – 0.25 strontium titanate (NBT-25ST). By making use of this compositional gradient, a strain inhomogeneity and therefore, a flexoelectric-based polarization can be induced. In order to observe the polarization and polarization switching under an applied electric field structural data needs to be acquired with high spatial resolution. In situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies under simultaneous electric and temperature stimuli would be the method of choice.

In this communication, we report an abnormal phenomenon, the presence of domain-like nanorods (DLNRs) in a NBT-25ST nanoparticle at extreme temperature. These DLNRs are stable above the Burns temperature and change with an applied electric field. Several mechanisms, e.g., piezoelectricity electrostriction and ferroelectricity, have been excluded as main factors for the observed physical phenomenon. Instead, we ascribe the origin of the DLNRs to flexoelectricity. The strain gradient in the nanoparticles was generated by controlling the slow lattice diffusion of strontium cations. Our claim is supported by comparing the in situ TEM results with phase field simulations. The phase field simulations yield a similar polarization distribution when the flexoelectric effect is considered. Our results provide a novel way to generate flexoelectric-induced polarization and a simple yet effective route to design polar nanomaterials with a built-in strain gradient using cation diffusion.

Results
In situ heating and electrical biasing. In order to observe and manipulate a strain gradient at the nanoscale, we directly synthesized core–shell NBT-25ST nanoparticles inside a TEM. The solid-state solution NBT-25ST was chosen as the model system for three reasons. First, NBT-25ST has a high dielectric constant, which gives rise to a high flexocoupling coefficient. Second, the Sr diffusion can be accelerated or suppressed dramatically by modifying the A-site stoichiometry. This renders an attractive system to tune cation diffusion and to generate a strain gradient without additional mechanical loading. Last, the nanoparticle (~100 nm) synthesis of particles makes it easier to generate large strain gradients.

We used a microelectromechanical (MEMS) based electro-thermal nano-chip for the in situ TEM experiments. The nano-chip consists of an encapsulated microheater and electrical biasing electrodes. A suspension of raw powders with a stoichiometry of 75 mol% Na$_{1/2}$Bi$_{1/2}$TiO$_3$–25 mol% SrTiO$_3$ was placed on a silicon nitride electron transparent window. The powders were heated using a defined temperature profile ramp based on a previous study (described in Supplementary Figure 1). With this approach, we synthesized core–shell NBT-25ST nanoparticles directly inside the TEM. In order to estimate the electric field and temperature distribution generated between the electrical biasing electrodes, we performed a finite element analysis using COMSOL Multiphysics.

Fig. 1 Electro-thermal chip sample carrier for in situ transmission electron microscopy. a Schematics of the electro-thermal chip, including the set of biasing electrodes surrounded by the encapsulated microheater colored in green that is temperature controlled by Joule heating. b Corresponding simulated temperature distribution profile generated by the microheater. c Magnified view of the biasing wires region, showing a close-up of the 20 nm thick electron transparent window and the four surrounding biasing wires. The green plane represents the cross-section where the electric field magnitude is plotted. d Finite element simulation of local electric field magnitude and the electric field lines over the cross-sectional plane indicated in c. A nanoparticle was placed in the window area between the electrodes for modeling.
We first investigated whether there is a homogeneous strain distribution within the particle. Elemental EDS mapping revealed that the synthesized NBT-25ST nanoparticles exhibit a core–shell structure. The $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ concentration increases towards the edge of the nanoparticles (Fig. 2b), while the other elements are homogeneously distributed (Fig. 2c–f). This is a direct consequence of the slow diffusion of the $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ in stoichiometric NBT-25ST. A gradient of $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ leads to a chemically induced lattice strain because of the differences in ionic radii of the A-site cations. This effect is usually referred as the Vegard effect. In this case, the magnitude of the eigenstrain increases from the center to the edge. This eigenstrain influences the total strain distribution in the nanoparticles. As shown in recent work on strontium titanate, atomic-scale measurements of local displacements due to the flexoelectric effect have been reported.

flexoelectric-ferroelectric phase field modeling. In order to clarify the origin of the DLNRs, we performed finite element flexoelectric-ferroelectric phase field simulations (Fig. 3d–f). The experimentally observed shape and size of the nanoparticle were implemented in the simulation. Open-circuit boundary conditions are assumed for the freestanding nanoparticle without contacting the biasing electrodes. According to the Vegard law, the lattice parameter is linearly changed with the constituent’s concentration. We treat the Vegard strain as the eigenstrain in the phase field simulation. The $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ concentration is assumed to increase linearly from the center to the edge. Hence, the eigenstrain is set to increase from the center to the edge accordingly, as defined in Eq. (6) and visualized in Fig. 3d. Figure 3e shows the calculated polarization induced by the strain gradient. The polarization vector has its highest magnitude at the center of the nanoparticle and decreases toward the edges. Multiple polarization vortices are also observed within the particles. As seen in Fig. 3e, a distinct polarization configuration composed by a network of DLNRs is formed. The overall polarization configuration of the experiment and the simulation slightly differ, which may be due to the simplified strain distribution needed for the calculations. However, by comparison between the enlarged DLNRs observed in Fig. 3c and the polarization distribution shown in Fig. 3f, it is clear that they are analogous. In the phase field simulation, Landau energy coefficients for the cubic phase were used, which indicates zero ferroelectric spontaneous polarization at the given temperature. Therefore, the DLNRs in the simulation can only be attributed to the high-order (gradient) coupling between mechanical strain and electric polarization. It should be noted that in the paraelectric phase, electrostriction still coupling between mechanical strain and electric polarization. It should be noted that in the paraelectric phase, electrostriction still exists. The contribution of electrostriction to the polarization of the nanoparticles is two orders of magnitude lower as compared to the polarization induced by flexoelectricity (see Supplementary Figure 2–4). Hence, the electrostrictive effect is not responsible for the DLNRs and can be safely neglected. The phase field simulation shows that flexoelectricity can lead to the observed DLNRs.
To examine the origin of the DLNRs experimentally, electric field in situ TEM at 800 °C was performed. The core–shell structure of the in situ calcined NBT-25ST nanoparticle is revealed by the TEM image shown in Fig. 4a. The image was obtained along a [113]pc type zone axis at 0 kV mm⁻¹ and the coherency of core and shell can be observed in the corresponding Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs). As seen in the magnified image in Fig. 4a, atomic resolution imaging was possible. Though not obvious in some regions in the shell, we observed DLNRs within the nanoparticle, in which the selected regions are magnified in Fig. 4d–f to aid visualization. The strain gradient across the core–shell interface denoted in Fig. 4a was quantified using a geometrical phase analysis (GPA) and strain distribution of the whole selected region can be found in Supplementary Figure 2. The core and shell DLNRs are in a stable configuration at the given conditions.

While keeping the temperature constant at 800 °C, an electric field was applied in a defined direction (black arrows), as seen in the TEM images shown in Fig. 4b, c. The images were Wiener filtered for noise reduction. Figure 4g–i shows the corresponding FFTs of the core and shell areas, respectively. The bright spots observed in Fig. 4g–i are analogous to Bragg spots observed in conventional electron diffraction patterns. They correspond to crystallographic planes visible in the high-resolution TEM images. Variation in the FFTs can be used to monitor the changes in the local crystal structure and related switching processes. The FFT patterns of the initial state (Fig. 4a) indicates that the particle is oriented along the [113]pc zone axis and features a pseudocubic crystal structure. The observed DLNRs are modified under the electric field shown in Fig. 4b, c. The white arrow in the FFT shown in Fig. 4i marks the electric field-induced splitting in the (220) reflex along the electric field. This indicates that there are two different polarities coexist, e.g., the dark and bright regions as shown in Fig. 4d–f. The red arrow indicates a longer range ordering as expect for DLNRs. Changes in the domain-like configuration in the core and the shell become apparent at an electric field of 11.0 kV mm⁻¹ (Fig. 4b). Moreover, the formation of some DLNRs also occurs in the shell, as displayed in Fig. 4d–f. Further increasing the electric field to 21.9 kV mm⁻¹ (Fig. 4c) leads to more pronounced DLNRs. Besides, several DLNRs are nucleated within the shell.

In order to confirm the phenomena observed in Fig. 4a–c, phase field simulations were carried out by implementing the corresponding electric field applied to the particle (Fig. 4j–l). The black arrows inside the simulated nanoparticle indicate the direction of the local polarization and the color scheme shows the magnitude of the polarization vector. The initial polarization configuration at zero electric field (Fig. 4i) changes with increasing electric field. Coalescence of nanoregions is clearly observed as the electric field increases. The evolution of the polarization under electric field can be explained by the superposition of the initial flexoelectricity-induced polarization and the one induced by the electric field. When the electric field is high enough, the polarization induced by flexoelectricity is overshadowed. This is reflected in the evolution of the FFTs. Both the experimental and simulation results suggest that the DLNRs observed at extreme temperature are polar. This implies that the flexoelectric effect is the main reason for the formation of the DLNRs. The change of polarization and FFTs can be observed in the Supplementary Video 1 and prove that the process is fully reversible. The evolution and nucleation of DLNRs under bias electric field proves that a nonpolar lattice strain mismatch is not responsible for this phenomenon.

In order to critically assess our hypothesis, we synthesized samples with a different A-site doping (Bi³⁺-deficient 75 mol% Na₁₋₂Bi₀.₄₉TiO₃-25 mol% SrTiO₃) through a conventional solid-
state route. According to our recent work\textsuperscript{21}, this stoichiometry should lead to a much more homogeneous Sr\textsuperscript{2+} distribution within the nanoparticle rendering no core–shell structure and thus no noticeable strain gradient. The experimental setup and working environment were identical. Although some minor chemical heterogeneities can be observed (Supplementary Figure 7), the particles analyzed feature neither core–shell structure nor DLNRs. The lack of a long-range chemical gradient in Na\textsubscript{1/2}Bi\textsubscript{0.49}Ti\textsubscript{25}ST results in negligible polarization (Supplementary Figure 13).

The role of oxygen vacancies under electric field should not be neglected. In recent work of Das et al.\textsuperscript{38}, controlled manipulation of oxygen vacancies in STO under mechanical loading was reported. In that case, flexoelectricity enabled the redistribution of oxygen vacancies. In our case, the oxygen vacancies may similarly influence the strain distribution and therefore the polarization by the flexoelectric effect. However, the resistivity of NBT-25BT bulk samples is relatively high even at 800 °C\textsuperscript{21} and it was not possible to measure the standard semi-circles expected in Nyquist plots. In situ TEM measurements to quantify the role of oxygen vacancies on flexoelectricity with atomic resolution at 800 °C falls out of the scope of the present article.

**Conclusions.** Through a combined experimental and phase field modeling approach, we observed nanoscale flexoelectricity at extreme temperature by tuning cation diffusion. NBT-25ST core–shell nanoparticles with a Sr\textsuperscript{2+} chemical gradient were used as a model system to tune local strain by controlled ionic diffusion. The in situ synthesis route yielded core–shell nanoparticles that exhibit domain-like nanoregions DLNRs at 800 °C and were found to be in a stable configuration within the time

---

**Fig. 4** Demonstration of switchable flexoelectric-based polarization at extreme temperature. **a–c** Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) bright-field images taking along a [113]\textsubscript{pc} type zone axis, of a core–shell nanoparticle obtained at 800 °C with electric field of 0, 11.0, and 21.9 kV mm\textsuperscript{−1}, respectively. The magnified image of **a** shows an atomic resolution. The local strain distribution along the core–shell interface and the location of the profile is shown as a solid line in **a**. The black arrows in **b** and **c** indicate the direction of the electric field. Panels **d–f** are magnifications showing domain-like nanoregions (DLNRs) within the core and shell marked by the blue and red box in **a–c, g–i** The Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs) of core and shell along a [113]\textsubscript{pc} type zone axis. The white arrow in **i** indicates an electric field-induced splitting in the (220) reflex along the electric field indicating that there are two different polarities coexist. The red arrow in **i** indicates a longer range ordering as expect for DLNRs. **j–l** Phase field simulation of the domain patterns under the corresponding electric field. The color map indicates the polarization magnitude, while the black arrows the polarization vector. The symbol |\textbf{P}| in the legend represents the magnitude of the polarization.
scale of the experiments. Electric field and temperature-dependent in situ TEM together with phase field flexoelectric simulations provide a detailed description and explanation of this phenomenon. Our results indicate that the polarization distribution is a direct consequence of nanoscale flexoelectricity and that it can be switched by applying an electric field inside a TEM. By comparing our results for samples with differing Bi deficiencies, we reaffirm the importance of a chemical gradient to generate strain and flexoelectric-induced polarization at high temperature. This contribution should motivate the study and development of other high-temperature flexoelectric nanomaterials.

Methods

Initial powders and in situ synthesis process. The starting raw powders were produced via a mixed oxide route using reagent grade oxides and carbonates (Alfa Aesar GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). To achieve this, Bi2O3 (99.975%), Na2CO3 (99.5%), TiO2 (99.9%), and SrCO3 (99%) were mixed according to the 0.75Na1/2Bi0.25TiO3 stoichiometric formula. The resulting uncalked powder was dispersed in ethanol with an ultrasonic bath for 10 min. Drop-casting droplets of ultrasonically dispersed suspensions containing NBT-25ST milled powders on electro-thermal nano-chips (DENSsolutions, The Netherlands) was performed to investigate the particle synthesis and the functional properties of the core–shell nanoparticles. Given our previous knowledge on tuning cation diffusion of Sr2+ through Bi stoichiometry, calcined Bi-deficient 75 mol% Bi48.75Na0.25TiO3-25 mol% SrTiO3 nanoparticles (with no core–shell) were synthesized following the synthesis steps described elsewhere19. One can find the temperature profile in Supplementary Figure 1. The Nano-Chips were individually calibrated and have a temperature accuracy of <5% and a temperature stability of ±0.1 °C at 800 °C. The temperature of the nano-chip was gradually increased by 10 °C/min from room temperature to 300 °C and held for 45 min. Subsequently, we increased the temperature to 600 °C for 120 min and a final step was done at 800 °C. After the full process 0.75Na1/2Bi0.25TiO3-0.25SrTiO3 core–shell nanoparticles were formed.

Electron microscopy and multiphysics simulation. Transmission electron microscopy was performed using a JEOL JEM-ARM200F atomic resolution TEM (Tokyo, Japan) operated at 120 kV and an 80–300 FEI Titan microscope (Hillsboro, USA) equipped with an X-MaxN 100TLE EDS-system (Oxford, UK). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was done with an Oxford EDS-system X-MaxN 100TLE provided with a windowless 100 mm² sensor allowing for ultra-high angle acquisition. The detector delivers a high sensitivity for all elements, especially low energy X-rays. STEM-EDS mapping was performed with AztecTEM digital mapping software (Oxford, UK) and spatial drift correction was applied. Elemental maps were obtained with a resolution of 512 × 512 with a dwell time of 0.5 ms and a sweep count of 450. Combined heating and electrical biasing were carried out with a Lightning D9+ in situ TEM holder (DENSolutions, The Netherlands). The heating conditions are established using a four-point-probe configuration, which excludes the influence of the cable’s resistance, uncertain contact resistance and lead wires resistance, by using separate pairs of current-carrying and voltage-sensing electrodes to make more accurate measurements. Therefore, two electrodes supply a current to the microheater, which heats up through Joule heating, and the remaining two read out the resistance, which is then translated into temperature by means of the microheater’s temperature coefficient of resistance (TCR). The latter, being a material property, defines the change in resistance as a function of the temperature. Consequently, the four-point-probe measurement in combination with the control unit results in a closed loop feedback system, which guarantees that despite potential thermal fluctuations inside the TEM column, the system will compensate for it to maintain the extreme temperature stability (millikelvin regime). The biasing conditions were simulated using a three-dimensional finite element analysis model (COMSOL Multiphysics) which includes the geometry of the heating-biasing chip and the nanoparticle diameter. An electric field of approximately 20 kV mm⁻¹ (100 V) can be applied. The relative permittivity of the nanoparticle for the simulation was set to 1500, which was found to be the corresponding value in earlier work39. The FFT images were generated by extracting regions-of-interest (ROI) of exactly the same position in both, the core and the shell regions of a series of TEM images.

Flexoelectric-ferroelectric phase field simulation. According to previous work47,48, the total electrical energy density of the ferroelectric system includes the bulk separation energy, gradient energy, elastic energy, electrostatic energy, electric–mechanical coupling energy with the addition of flexoelectric term:

\[
H = H^{bulk} + H^{grad} + H^{ele} + H^{eleo} + H^{comp} + H^{flexo}
\]

(1)

Following the previously developed phase field ferroelastic models, the spontaneous polarization is taken as the order parameter. It allows explicit formulation of the irreversible (spontaneous) and reversible (dielecric and piezoelectric) contribution of the electric displacement. The bulk free energy density is expressed up to the eighth-order term, i.e.:

\[
H^{bulk} = a_1 P_i^2 + a_2 P_i^2 P_j^2 + a_4 P_i^2 P_j^2 P_k^2 + a_6 P_i^2 P_j^2 P_k^2 P_l^2
\]

(2)

where \(a_1, a_2, a_4, \text{ and } a_6\) are the Landau energy coefficient tensors and the Einstein summation notation is applied in the present paper. The values of these coefficients can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

The gradient energy density \(H^{grad}(P_{ijkl})\) is represented by the spatial derivatives of the polarization and takes the form:

\[
H^{grad} = \frac{1}{2} C_{ijkl} \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial x_k}
\]

(3)

where \(G_{ijkl}\) is a fourth-order tensor. By assuming isotropy49, it has three nontrivial-independent components, \(G_{11}, G_{12}\), and \(G_{44}\), where \(G_{11} = G_{44} = G_{2222}\), \(G_{12} = G_{2212} = G_{2122}\), and \(G_{44} = G_{4412} = G_{1244} = G_{2412} = G_{2421} = G_{4221} = G_{2144} = G_{4124} = G_{1424} = G_{4214}\). The other components in the tensor are zero.

The elastic energy density can be expressed as:

\[
H^{elas} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial x_k}
\]

(4)

where \(\epsilon_{ijkl}\) is the elastic stiffness tensor, \(\epsilon_{ijkl}\) the elastic strain, \(\epsilon_{ijkl}\) the total strain and \(\epsilon_{ijkl}\) the non-elastic strain contribution. The non-elastic strain contains two terms in the simulation, i.e.:

\[
\epsilon_{ijkl} = \epsilon_{ijkl}^{strain} + \epsilon_{ijkl}^{flexo}
\]

(5)

where \(\epsilon_{ijkl}^{strain}(P_{ijkl})\) is the eigenstrain induced by the spontaneous polarization and \(\epsilon_{ijkl}^{flexo}(x)\) is the eigenstrain induced from Sr2+ concentration. Based on the energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy elemental mapping shown in Fig. 3, Sr2+ concentration increases from the center to the boundary of the nanoparticle. For simulation, a linear increase of Sr2+ concentration is assumed. The resultant eigenstrain distribution is assumed to be:

\[
\epsilon_{ijkl}^{flexo}(x) = -x_{center}/|W_{\delta_j}|
\]

(6)

where \(W_{\delta_j}\) describes the isotropic mismatch strain induced by the ion and \(W\) takes a positive value since the radius of Sr2+ is larger than those of Bi4+ and Na+. Here \(W\) is the Kronerke symbol. The symbols x and xcenter are the position vector of the point under consideration and of the particle center, respectively.

The electrostatic contribution can be expressed as:

\[
H^{eleo} = \frac{1}{2} k_{ijkl} E_i E_j - P_{ijkl} E_i E_j
\]

(7)

where \(k_{ijkl}\) is the dielectric tensor, and \(E_i\) the electric field.

The electromechanical coupling energy density can be expressed as:

\[
H^{comp} = \epsilon_{ijkl} \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial x_k} - \epsilon_{ijkl}^{strain} E_i E_j
\]

(8)

where \(\epsilon_{ijkl}(P_{ijkl})\) is a third-order piezoelectric tensor which depends on the polarization.

The flexoelectric contribution is given as:

\[
H^{flexo} = \frac{1}{2} C_{ijkl} \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial x_k}
\]

(9)

The flexoelectric coupling coefficients have three nontrivial-independent components, \(f_{11}, f_{12}, \text{ and } f_{44}\), where \(f_{11} = f_{1222} = f_{2212} = f_{2222} = f_{2211} = f_{2221}\) and \(f_{44} = f_{4412} = f_{4421} = f_{4422} = f_{4444}\). The flexoelectric coefficients \(f_{11}, f_{12}, \text{ and } f_{44}\) are set to 0.02, 0.7, and 0.3 V, respectively according to the work on strontium titanate by Zubko et al.43 and Chen et al.50.

The evolution of the polarization is described by the time-dependent Ginzburg–Landau equation:

\[
\frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial t} = -M \frac{\Delta H}{\Delta P_{ij}}
\]

(10)

where \(M\) is the mobility parameter. For the mechanical equilibrium and charge conservation, the following equations should be fulfilled:

\[
s_{ijkl} = f_i = 0
\]

(11)

\[
D_{ij} = \eta
\]

(12)

where \(s_{ijkl}\) and \(D_{ij}\) are stress and electric displacement, respectively. These two
quantities are calculated by:
\[
\sigma_{ij} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \epsilon_{ij}} = c_{ij} \left( \epsilon_{ij} - \epsilon_{0}^{\text{ref}} - \epsilon_{ij}^{\text{ion}} \right) - b_{ij} E_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} P_{i}}{\partial \epsilon_{ij}}
\]
Equations (10–14) are implemented by the finite element method in the Finite Element Analysis Program (FEAP)\(^{45}\).

**Code availability.** The code is written as a user element in FEAP. The finite element framework can be found from the link: http://projects.ce.berkeley.edu/feap. The user element can be provided upon request.

**Data availability.** The data supporting the findings of this study are detailed in the paper and its supplementary information files.
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