Association between dry eye disease and depression: An umbrella review
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Purpose: This umbrella review aimed to summarize the available evidence on the association between dry eye disease and depression.

Methods: We searched the Pubmed, Embase, and Cochrane databases using the search string "(Dry eye syndrome OR Keratoconjunctivitis sicca OR KCS OR Aqueous tear deficiency OR Sjogren syndrome) AND (depression OR depressive symptoms) AND (meta-analysis OR systematic review)" from inception to July 2022. We considered all meta-analyses investigating the association between dry eye disease and depression.

Results: After summarizing the included meta-analyses, it was concluded that dry eye disease is associated with depression. The symptoms of dry eye disease affect the daily lives of patients, thus affecting their mood. However, further evidence is required to confirm this association.

Conclusion: This finding highlights the importance of psychological support for patients with dry eye disease. Future clinical studies should investigate the mechanism underlying the association between dry eye disease and depression.

Systematic review registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/, identifier: CRD42022320619.
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Introduction

Dry eye disease, which affects the tear-air interface and ocular surface, is an increasing public health issue due to its influence on visual function and quality of life (1, 2). Dry eye disease is one of the most common ocular diseases worldwide, with an estimated prevalence of 11.59% (3). The usage of digital screen is associated with incident dry eye disease (4). The positive association between COVID-19 pandemic, prolonged screen times and the association between dry eye disease has already been proved (5–9). There are two subtypes of this disease, aqueous-deficient and evaporative. Keratoconjunctivitis sicca, Sjögren’s syndrome and aqueous tear deficiency are all subgroups of the dry eye disease...
disease (10–12). Dry eye disease has been associated with many risk factors, including external adverse environmental factors (13). Air pollution, including higher ozone levels and particulate matter 2.5 pollution, was shown to cause ocular discomfort and induce dry eye disease (14). Seasonal changes also affect the prevalence of dry eye disease, which was found to be higher in the winter and summer (15).

Patients with dry eye disease often present with symptoms of eye discomfort, including stinging, burning, and pressure sensation, as well as sharp or throbbing pain (16). Consequently, many studies have found an association between dry eye disease and the patients’ psychological status (17). A study conducted among older adults found that age-related reduction in tear production was associated with depression (18). A large population-based study identified a significant association between dry eye disease and depression in the general population (19). However, other studies have found no evidence of such significant association between Sjögren’s syndrome and depression, and Sjögren’s syndrome are one of the subgroups of dry eye disease (20, 21).

Given the inconsistency of prior findings and the fact that results vary according to gender, age, and other factors, the interaction between dry eye disease and depression remains unclear. Therefore, we performed an umbrella review of related meta-analyses to summarize the present knowledge on this topic and explore the possible explanations and effects.

Methods

Search strategy and eligibility criteria

In order to generate comprehensive results, we included only meta-analyses investigating the association between dry eye disease and depression. We searched the Pubmed, Cochrane, and Embase databases from inception to 20 July 2022, using the predetermined search string “(Dry eye syndrome OR Arterioconjunctionitis sicca OR KCS OR Aqueous tear deficiency OR Sjögren syndrome) AND (depression OR depressive symptoms) AND (meta-analysis OR systematic review).” There were no restrictions regarding the study language, subgroup of dry eye disease, or the age, gender, or race of participants. Only studies where depression or depressive symptoms developed subsequent to the diagnosis of dry eye disease were included. Studies that did not specify the cutoff threshold to detect depression were excluded. Studies investigating anxiety, cognitive impairment, or other psychological disorders were also excluded. This study was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO ID: 320619).

Data extraction

Based on the PRISMA 2020 guidelines, the search process could be done either by human or by automation tools (22). In our study, two of the authors (C-YT and ZJ) independently completed the study screening process. Any discrepancies were resolved through dialogue with the senior author (T-HT.). After duplicates were removed, the retrieved studies were screened for eligibility. We excluded the following studies: (1) did not perform a quantitative synthesis; (2) did not report adequate data. Eligible studies were evaluated based on the level of comparison, random-effects summary, I^2 statistic. The following data were extracted: author, year, number of included participants, patients’ disease, outcome measurement tools, I^2 statistic, and number of study types, statistical significance, and largest study effect size. We have also calculated 95% prediction intervals.

Assessment of methodological quality

Two of the authors (C-YT and ZJ) independently followed the AMSTAR-2 (a measurement tool to assess systematic reviews) guideline to assess the methodological quality of the included meta-analyses. The disagreements were resolved through a discussion with a senior author (T-HT). AMSTAR-2 is often used in umbrella review as it covers various aspects (23, 24). This guideline includes 16 aspects that systematically grade evidence-based medical papers (24, 25). The shortcomings in each of the aspect would result in overall quality (23). Because a high overall score may obscure some of the serious limitations of the included studies and they may be regarded as high-quality studies, a sum score for each part is not provided (26) (Table 1). The AMSTAR-2 is regarded as a reliable and valid method for evaluating the quality of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of interventional and observational research (24, 25, 32). Compared with the Risk of Bias In Non-randomized Studies-of-Interventions tool, which has been commonly used in reviews, the AMSTAR-2 evaluates the determination of the study design for inclusion, reasons for exclusion of studies, sources of funding of the primary studies, and reviewers’ conflict of interest (33).

Assessment of epidemiological credibility

We reanalyze all the included meta-analysis. High epidemiological credibility means highest evidence and no hints of major heterogeneity or bias (34). We further classified the included studies into the following types (35):

1. Persuasive: statistical significance per the random-effects model of p < 0.000001, more than 1,000 cases, no high
### TABLE 1 Characteristics of the included studies.

| No. | Author, year, country | Number of included participants | Patients’ disease | Measurement of outcome | I² | Statistical significance | Summary effect size | 95% prediction interval | Largest study effect size | Excess significance bias | Selection as most comprehensive | RCTs included | Prospective studies included | Retrospective studies included | Study quality (AMSTAR) rating |
|-----|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | Al-Ezzi et al. (27) 2016, UK | 313 (exposure [SSDE]: 164; control: 149) | Sjogren’s syndrome dry eye | HADS | 57% | $p < 0.0001$ | **MD**: 0.79 (95% CI: 0.43, 1.15) | 0.37 (-0.01, 0.74) | No | 0 | 0 | 4 | Low |
| 2   | Wan et al. (28), 2016, Hong Kong (China) | 2,978,844 (Exposure [SSDE]: 482,383; control: 2,482,982; [Non-SSDE], exposure: 2,454; control: 10,825) | SSDE & non-SSDE | SCL-9; Zung; HADS; CES-D; ICD-9; Beck; PHQ-9 | 99% 72% | $p < 0.0001$ | Non-SSDE (OR): 2.24 (95% CI: 1.50, 3.33); SSDE (OR): 4.25 (95% CI: 2.67, 6.78) | 0.21 (0.07, 0.34); 0.64 (0.28, 1.00) | No, No | ✓ | 0 | 0 | 13 | Low |
| 3   | Zheng et al. (29), 2017, China | 6,589 (event: 1,502; total: 6,589) | Dry eye disease | HADS; CES-D; PHQ-9; Zung; GADS | 96.5% | $p = 0.000$ | Prevalence: 0.05 (0.04, 0.07) | (0.0513) | No | ✓ | 0 | 0 | 5 | Low |
| 4   | Cui et al. (30), 2017, China | 1,441 (exposure [with SSDE]: 604; control [without SSDE]: 837) | Sjogren’s syndrome dry eye | HADS; CES-D; Beck; Zung; PHQ-9 | 30% | $p < 0.00001$ | OR: 5.36 (95% CI: 4.05, 7.09) | (1.533, 21.115) | 4.32 (3.06, 6.11) | No | 0 | 1 | 11 | Moderate |
| 5   | Basilious et al. (31), 2021, Canada | 17,694 (exposure [with dry eye disease]: 2,201; control [without dry eye disease]: 15,493) | Dry eye disease | PHQ-9; Interview; DASS; Clinical diagnosis; HADS; Beck; MADRS; QIDS; CES-D | 73.3% | $p = 0.001$ | OR: 1.81 (1.61, 2.02) | (0.907, 7.933) | 1.58 (1.39, 1.79) | No | ✓ | 0 | 0 | 12 | Low |

---

**Beck**, Beck depression inventory; **CES-D**, The Centers for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; **HADS**, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; **ICD-9**, International Classification of Diseases coding; **PHQ-9**, Patient Health Questionnaire; **SCL-90R**, symptom checklist 90R; **Zung**, Zung-Self rating depression or anxiety scale; **DASS**, Depression Anxiety Stress Scales; **MADRS**, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Scale; **QIDS-SR16**, Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology - Japanese version; **GADS**, Goldberg Anxiety and Depression Scale; **SSDE**, Sjogren’s syndrome dry eye.

Largest study significance: ES of the largest study (smallest error) in each meta-analysis.
Assessment of small-study effects and excess significance bias

Small study effects describe a phenomenon that smaller studies sometimes showed larger treatment effects than larger studies (36). This is often caused by publication bias, and the p-value of Egger’s test below 0.10 if small study effects exist (37). To better understand the characteristics of the included studies, we also evaluated the excess significant bias of each included study. Excess significant bias is based on the observed (O) number of studies (studies with significant result: \( p < 0.05 \)) and the expected (E) number of the studies (38). Expected number (E) of the studies were calculated through the sum of the statistical power estimates for each included component study. The power of each included study was calculated using a non-central t distribution (37–39). Excess statistical significance for each meta-analyses was determined at two-sided \( p < 0.10 \) with \( O > E \) as previously proposed (37–39).

Results

Study characteristics

After excluding duplicates, a total of 95 meta-analyses were screened for eligibility, of which five that met the eligibility criteria were included in our analysis (Figure 1). These five studies were published between 2016 and 2021. Two of the studies defined the outcome as primary Sjogren’s syndrome-related dry eye disease (27, 30), other two simply as dry eye disease (29, 31), and in one study, a subgroup analysis was performed and both Sjogren’s syndrome- and non-Sjogren’s syndrome-related dry eye disease were included in the outcomes (28). Based on the criteria and the characteristics of each included study, we classified our included studies into several groups. The study conducted by Cui et al. (30), Zheng et al. (29), and Wan et al. (28) could be classified as “recommended.” While the study by Al-Ezzi et al. (27) and Basilious et al. (31) were considered as “weak evidence.”
Outcome analysis

Al-Ezzi et al. (27) included five studies comprising 313 female patients and found that primary Sjogren’s syndrome-related dry eye disease had an adverse effect on the psychological status of females. Cui et al. (30) found that patients with primary Sjogren’s syndrome-related dry eye disease had higher prevalence and greater severity of depression compared with those in the control groups. Wan et al. (28) concluded that patients with either Sjogren’s syndrome- or non-Sjogren’s syndrome-related dry eye disease were more likely to develop depression. Zheng et al. (29) included 28 studies and found a significant association between dry eye disease and depression. Basilio et al. (31) concluded that depression was associated with dry eye disease symptoms but not signs.

Outcome measurement

The outcome measurement tools varied across studies and included the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), Zung Self-rating depression or anxiety scale (Zung), Centers for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), and other. Although different tools were used, most of the included studies described the measurement in detail.

Publication bias

The publication bias assessment also differed among the five included studies. Al-Ezzi et al. (27) and Cui et al. (30) did not use funnel plots to assess the publication bias because the number of included studies in their meta-analyses was <10. Wan et al. (28) did not assess the publication bias in detail. Zheng et al. (29) revealed no significant publication bias based on Begg's test. Basilio et al. (31) used funnel plots to assess the publication bias, and although they found asymmetry, they did not regard it as a result of publication bias.

Small study effects and excess significance bias

In this umbrella review, none of the included studies provided the results of Egger's test. Therefore, we could not evaluate the small-study effects. The results of excess significance bias were shown in Table 1.

Residual confounding

All the included studies were observational studies; therefore, we cannot conclude the causal relationship between dry eye disease and depression. We acknowledge there may be some residual confounding or other biases when studying the association between dry eye disease and depression, and the existence of residual confounding or other biases may lead to overestimation or underestimation (40) and restricted causal interferes (41).

Discussion

All studies included in this umbrella review found a significant association between dry eye disease symptoms and depression, although the results varied across different characteristics of patients. Exploring this association may have important implications for the treatment of patients with dry eye disease.

Clinical implications

The prevalence of dry eye disease among people over 40 can be 75% (42). According to the data published by the World Health Organization, depression is estimated to affect 5.0% of adults globally (43). This review confirmed the previously reported association between dry eye disease and depression; however, the mechanism underlying this interaction remains to be explored.

The association between dry eye disease and depression tends to be bidirectional, i.e., depression can be both the cause and effect of dry eye disease (31). A prior study that demonstrated that patients with depression were likely to develop dry eye disease noted some important similarities between the pathophysiological mechanisms of the two conditions. Namely, the levels of the inflammatory cytokines interleukin-1, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor (13) are increased both in depression and dry eye disease (44). These two conditions also have some risk factors in common, including female sex (45, 46), smart phone use (47, 48), gout (49, 50), and menopause (51, 52) and some other factors. Vehof et al. (45) examined the dry eye disease in a British female cohort, and discussed that hormone changes, lower pain thresholds in female may be possible reasons of the prevalence of depression among female dry eye disease patients. Zheng et al. (29) found that aged patients may easily to feel helpless and other negative feelings.

The coexistence of dry eye disease and depression was found to be associated with a series of unpleasant outcomes, including sleep disorder (53), suicide risk (54), reduced work productivity (55), and lower rates of treatment compliance (56). Furthermore, the eye discomfort affects these patients’ personal feelings (57), and even their daily activities, such as reading, driving, watching television, and computer use (17, 57–59). Patients with dry eye disease often feel sad and pessimistic, and are also likely to experience cognitive disorders and pain.
There is also a significant association between dry eye disease and anxiety, suggesting that patients with dry eye disease are more anxious and depressed compared with a healthy population (60). The biological mechanism between dry eye disease and depression is of interdisciplinary field. Some of the studies indicated that dry eye disease affects the quality of life, and then affects central nervous system sensitization (53). Another study investigated the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the genes in patients with dry eye disease, and they found that Val66Met, FokI, and Apal was associated with dry eye disease. Furthermore, this association was affected by depression status (61). However, in the mechanism, which occurs first in dry eye and depression is not that clear.

The finding that depression and dry eye disease are closely related can contribute to the treatment of dry eye disease, as well as to the further investigation of the mechanism underlying the relationship between dry eye disease and psychological disorders. Based on the above, patients with dry eye disease should be provided psychological support.

Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first umbrella review to summarize the evidence on the association between dry eye disease and depression. Most of the included studies used objective measurement tools both for depression and dry eye disease, suggesting reliable results, which has high clinical value in real scenario. In view of the association between usage of digital screen and dry disease (4) and the fact of increasing screen-time around the world, we believe this study is of great interest of the public.

Nonetheless, this study also had several limitations. First, since the results and effect size varied among the included studies, we could not calculate a pooled result. Second, most of the included studies were retrospective. Hence, future prospective studies are needed to investigate the causality between dry eye disease and depression. Third, the differences among the studies in the measurement tools for depression, sample size, and other factors resulted in statistical heterogeneity; thus, the results should be interpreted with caution. Fourth, further studies should summarize the evidence on the association between the severity of dry eye disease and that of depression. Fifth, we only explored the association between dry eye and depression, however, we acknowledged that the association between other eye disease or their treatments [such as retinopathy (62, 63), cataract surgery (64), and glaucoma (65, 66)] and depression has already been proved in previously published meta-analysis. Finally, the high-quality meta-analysis investigating the association between dry eye disease and depression are lacking currently. Therefore, more high-quality meta-analysis should be conducted to include all eye disease including dry eye disease in the future.

Conclusion

This review confirmed the association between dry eye disease and patients’ psychological status, emphasizing the importance of psychological support and guidance for these patients. Future clinical studies are needed to explore the mechanism underlying this relationship.
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