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Abstract: The increasing demand of electrical energy and environmental concerns are invigorating the use of renewable energy resources for power generation. Renewable energy resources can provide an attractive solution for present and future energy requirements. In this scenario, solar photovoltaic systems are becoming prominent and sustainable solutions with numerous advantages. However, the utilization of solar photovoltaic systems in distribution generation makes it mandatory to deploy efficient and organized control measures for integrating solar photovoltaic plants with the grid. In this paper, the control of grid-tied solar photovoltaic systems using a Kalman filter-based generalized neural network is presented with a variable step size perturb and observe-based maximum power point tracking controller to extract the maximum power from a solar photovoltaic plant. The presented system provides power-quality enhancement and supports a three-phase AC grid. The proposed approach extracts the load currents' primary components for efficient harmonics elimination, synchronizes the system with the grid and provides a fast response during rapidly changing conditions. The results of the proposed control technique are also compared with the artificial neural network-based control technique for validation purposes. The proposed algorithm is found more suitable for using a smaller number of unknown weights and training patterns with reduced computational time.
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1. Introduction

The increasing concern regarding greenhouse gas emissions and the depleting nature of conventional fuels has invigorated the use of renewable energy resources as an alternative and sustainable solutions for the power sector. Solar, wind, biomass and small hydro power are the main renewable energy-based resources which can fulfill the future energy requirements. The solar photovoltaic system (SPV) is more encouraged due to various advantages such as abundance of availability, less environmental pollution, reduced cost and many others. The progress of an SPV system in the existing power system is witnessed for its progress [1]. The integration of an SPV system into the grid causes positive effects, i.e., generating more power, along with some negative effects, i.e., the violation of voltage limitations at common coupling, frequencies disruption and grid stabilization problems, etc. There are set guidelines, codes and standards for grid-connected SPV systems. These standards include IEEE 1547, IEC 61727 and VDE-AR-N4105 [2]. The use of these standards is encouraged and imposed to maintain the stability and power quality related to the grid. The large-scale exploitation of distributed generation make it mandatory to deploy efficiency and organized control measures for integrating and measuring problems. The control algorithms are helpful for the accommodation and facilitation of the integration of an SPV in the distribution grid. Single-stage and two-stage systems have been used for
the integration of SPV systems into the grid, as shown in previous works. It has also been shown by various researchers that for a three-phase system, a single-stage configuration is more advantageous [3]. However, conventional single-stage SPV systems suffer from the drawback of converters being idle during the unavailability of power from the SPV system. To overcome the abovementioned problems, the proposed system is designed in such a manner so that it operates as a distribution static compensator (DSTATCOM) while SPV power is not available and restores the operation after recovering the SPV power generation.

The electrical power distribution system has been designed to distribute active power pertaining a smaller number of harmonics to the consumers. DSTATCOM integrated as a shunt compensator with a distribution system effectively controls harmonics, fulfills the demand of reactive power of consumers and improves voltage regulation with balanced and unbalanced loads [4]. The researchers have reported various configurations of DSTATCOM in the literature [5–8]. For efficiently integrating distributed generation system that compensates for the reactive power and harmonics for utility grids at common coupling points, several converter topologies [9–11] and control strategies [12–18] were reported in the previous work. Additionally, Jain et al. [19] presented a grid-integrated solar energy conversion setup with a movable direct current link voltage to vary the voltages at common pairing points with two-stage circuitry methodology and an associated double-frequency second-order generalized integrator (DFSOGI)-dependent control strategy for controlling the multi-functional voltage source converter (VSC) in abrupt load modification at common connecting points.

Varma et al. [19] have proposed a solar photovoltaic static compensator for improving the power transfer capabilities of the system to transmit real power to utilities with an existing converter system. Humid et al. [20] proposed a strategy that depends on a power conditioning unit located parallel to the plant that works in feed-forward mode to compensate the distorted current photovoltaic output to decrease the harmonic of current from a PV system. Kannan et al. [21] presented icos Φ control technique for a distribution static compensator that gives uninterrupted harmonic degradation, compensates re-active power and compensates the loads along with comparing performances of fuzzy-logic controllers to ordinary proportional integral (PI) controllers. Mishra et al. [22] implemented an optimistic control technique which optimizes the proportional integral’s coefficients and photovoltaic fed distribution static compensator’s filtration parameters.

To enhance the performance of grid the integrated SPV plant, various control techniques were adopted by the researchers. There are some performance indicators such as less computational time, high accuracy and less complexity, etc. A huge number of techniques depending upon artificial neural networks (ANN) have been widely adopted by a large number of journalists for distribution static compensators implementing dynamic loading [23–27], whereas photovoltaic fed distribution static compensator systems have been adopted by very few. Singh et al. [28] presented a grid interfaced SPV generation plant implementing a neural network-based control technique that utilizes the least mean square (LMS) algorithm, termed adaline (adaptive linear element), for estimating the reference source current. Artificial neural network-based approaches are complex and need large computational times. Further, generalized neural network (GNN)-based control strategies can be used to overcome the abovementioned issues. Applications of GNN are widely available in load forecasting, solar irradiance/energy forecasting, load frequency control in power systems, power system stabilizers, electrical machines and control system-related problems [29–36]. There are few works are reported in the literature related to the application of GNN as a control strategy for grid-tied SPV systems. GNN-based models reduce the training time as well as improve the performance of the system. Considering this, a GNN-based control strategy has been developed for a PV DSTATCOM system in the present work.

The converting capability of a solar photovoltaic plant is comparatively small; thus, to increase the capability of solar photovoltaic plants, it is essential to keep a track of the maximum
power point. Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) is complicated because of the non-linear character of SPV plants due to changing meteorological factors, i.e., solar irradiance, ambient temperature, wind velocity and relative humidity, etc. A lot work has been reported in the literature related to MPPT, including techniques such as perturb and observe, incremental conductance, constant voltage, open-circuit voltage, short-circuit current, extremum seeking control and hybrid, etc. Further, there are a number of intelligent techniques also available for MPPT such as artificial neural networks (ANN), fuzzy logic, genetic algorithms, etc. [37–39]. A changeable step size perturb and observe (P&O) MPPT technique is utilized in this research to track the MPP of a solar photovoltaic plant.

Further, to improve the performance of the system, an extended Kalman filter (EKF) [40–42]-based method is adopted to estimate and update the weights of the GNN model. The main contributions of this work are:

- Active power feeding to the connected loads and grid with mitigation of power quality issues. A generalized neural network (GNN)-based approach plays the role of primary control strategy and decides the switching pattern of the voltage source converter (VSC).
- Further, the performance of the proposed algorithm has been improved with the help of EKF for GNN weight estimations.
- The performance of the proposed setup is validated using simulation results implemented in the MATLAB/Simulink platform.
- The developed system obtains acceptable limits of harmonics in utility currents and voltage fluctuations according to the IEEE-519 and IEEE-1547 standards.

The developed system functions very well with an EKF GNN-based approach and gives a very fast response. Moreover, single-stage topology is able to reduce the losses in semiconductor devices and increase the overall efficiency. The proposed control approach performs with more flexibility in training the network under dynamic conditions. The proposed technique possesses various advantages such as its speed, using a single layer, less mathematical calculations and easy implementation on hardware.

The organization of paper is as follows: Section 2 provides details about the system configuration, followed by Section 3 which explains the developed control technique. The simulation and hardware results are provided in Section 4. The conclusion is given in Section 5, followed by acknowledgements and references.

2. System Description

The proposed system deploys a single-stage circuit topology and consists of a solar PV array, voltage source converter (VSC), ripple filter, loads and a three-phase utility grid, as shown in Figure 1. A solar PV array is a combination of several PV modules in a series and parallel according to the requirements. A PV cell serves as the basic unit for a solar PV module. A single diode solar PV cell is considered for this system, and mathematical modeling is given [43]. The developed system has been implemented with a minimum number of sensors with a variable step size perturb and observe (P&O) MPPT algorithm to achieve maximum power point operation of the solar PV system for different meteorological parameters. An extended Kalman filter (EKF)-based GNN methodology is used for controlling the VSC switching to synchronize the system with the grid and the main task of separating the weights of the primary real and reactive parts of three-phase load currents to obtain reference grid currents. The developed system design specifications are given below:
Grid description = three-phase, 415 Volts, 50 Hz, solar PV array ratings = (V_{MPP}) = 700 Volts, current (IMPP) = 13.5 Amp, solar photovoltaic power at maximum power point (PMPP) = 10 kW, rating of interfacing inductors (L_{fa} = L_{fb} = L_{fc}) = 2.6 mH, rating of DC link capacitor (C_{DC}) = 10 mF, DC link voltage (V_{DC}) = 700 V.

3. Extended Kalman Filter-Based GNN Control Algorithm

3.1. Maximum Power Point Tracking Control

A variable step size perturb and observe (P&O)-based MPPT algorithm is employed in this research for the extraction of maximum power from the SPV system. The main operation of the given algorithm is dividing the SPV system’s dP_{pv}/dV_{pv} curve into three independent regions. Region 0 denotes the SPV system power’s closeness to the highest power point. Region 0 uses the normal value of tracking step size, whereas region 1 and region 2 needed a larger value of step size as compared to region 0 for achieving the high tracking speed. Figure 2 explains the performed functions of the proposed technique. \( \Delta V_{ref0}, \Delta V_{ref1} \) and \( \Delta V_{ref2} \) represent the step size of tracking for region 0, region 1 and region 2, respectively.
3.2. Extended Kalman Filter-Based GNN Control Algorithm

A generalized neural network works like a multi-layer feed-forward network, where every node implements a precise function on all signals that come to the node, and the parameters are set referring to the node. Figure 3 shows an aggregate-type format of a GNN model, with $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ being the aggregated functions. $\Sigma$ is an aggregated function, which is adopted with the sigmoidal characteristic function $f_1$, whereas the $\Pi$ aggregation function is adopted with the Gaussian function $f_2$. The derivation of active and reactive load current components is performed by assuming load current ($i_{La}, i_{Lb}, i_{Lc}$) as the input to the summation ($\Sigma_A$) and product ($\Pi$) neurons having undefined weights of ($W_{\Sigma}$) and ($W_{\Pi}$), respectively.
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Figure 2. Flowchart of variable step size perturb and observe (P&O) algorithm.

Figure 3. Cont.
3.2.1. Estimation of Amplitude of Terminal Voltage and Unit Templates

With the help of sensed line voltages \( (v_{ab}, v_{bc}, v_{ca}) \) at the point of common coupling (PCC), the amplitude of phase voltages \( (v_a, v_b, v_c) \) are calculated as follows:

\[
v_a = \frac{2v_{ab} + v_{bc}}{3}, \quad v_b = \frac{-v_{ab} + v_{bc}}{3}, \quad v_c = \frac{-v_{ab} - 2v_{bc}}{3}
\]

The terminal voltage amplitude at PCC can be estimated as

\[
V_t = \sqrt{\frac{2(v_a^2 + v_b^2 + v_c^2)}{3}}
\]

Further, quadrature unit templates can be calculated using in-phase unit templates as

\[
u_{qa} = \frac{u_{pc}}{\sqrt{3}} , \quad u_{qb} = \frac{\sqrt{3}u_{pa}}{2} + \frac{u_{pb} - u_{pc}}{2\sqrt{3}} , \quad u_{qc} = \frac{u_{pb} - u_{pc}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\sqrt{3}u_{pa}}{2}
\]

3.2.2. Terminal Voltage Amplitude and Unit Templates

The DC link voltage \( (V_{dc}) \) is sensed and compared with the reference DC link voltage \( (V_{dc}^*) \) in order to determine the active loss component.

\[
V_{dc}(k) = V_{dc}^*(k) - V_{dc}(k)
\]

\( k \) is the number of iterations. Further, the error \( (V_{dc}^*) \) of reference \( V_{dc}^* \) and sensed \( V_{dc} \) are processed through a PI controller, the output of which is an active current component \( (w_{pdc}) \) used to regulate the DC link. The controller output at \( k^{th} \) iteration is estimated as

\[
w_{pd}(k) = w_{pd}(k-1) + k_{pd} \{V_{dc}(k) - V_{dc}(k-1)\} + k_{id} V_{dc}(k).
\]

During no sunshine conditions \( (P_{PV} = 0) \), the set point DC link voltage is set to reference the DC link voltage of DSTATCOM so that the system operates in the power quality improvement mode (as a DSTATCOM).

The error \( V_t \) has been considered between actual and set terminal voltage, \( V_t \) and \( V_t^* \), at PCC to calculate the reactive loss component. Further, this error is passed through a PI controller for minimization. The calculated reactive loss component is considered to maintain AC terminal voltage constant and close to its reference value.

\[
V_{te}(k) = V_t^*(k) - V_t(k)
\]
The controller output at $k^{th}$ instant is

$$w_{qt}(k) = w_{qt}(k-1) + k_{pt}\{V_{te}(k) - V_{te}(k-1)\} + k_{it}V_{te}(k)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $w_{qt}$ is a part of the reactive loss current component, and $k_{pt}$ and $k_{it}$ are proportional and integral gains, respectively.

A feed-forward weight is calculated and incorporated into the controller to achieve a fast dynamic response, which can be written as:

$$w_{PV}(k) = \frac{2P_{PV}(k)}{3V_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

where $P_{PV}$ is solar power.

### 3.2.3. Fundamental Active and Reactive Component of Load Current

A generalized neural network (GNN) of a summation-type network is used in the present work for the estimation of the fundamental active and reactive components of the load current. The output calculations have been divided into two sections: forward calculations and reverse calculations.

With the help of Figure 3, the below mentioned equation is used to obtain the output of the summation part of the GNN:

$$O_{\Sigma} = f_1(\Sigma W_\Sigma X_i + X_{0\Sigma})$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

Similarly, the output of the product part of the GNN can be calculated as

$$O_{\Pi} = f_2(\Pi W_{\Pi} X_i + X_{0\Pi})$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

The output of the final GNN will be the sum of the summation part and product part which can be expressed as

$$O_i = O_{\Sigma} * W_\Sigma + O_{\Pi}(1 - W_\Sigma)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

In the above equation, $O_{\Sigma}$ denotes the output of the summation part of the neuron, $O_{\Pi}$ shows the output of the product part of the neuron, and $W$ depicts the weights. The proposed control strategy using GNN utilizes a GNN model to determine the fundamental active current components of the sensed load currents ($i_{La}, i_{Lb}, i_{Lc}$). The sensed load currents are the input to the proposed model which are further multiplied with weights ($w_{\Sigma pa}, w_{\Sigma pb}, w_{\Sigma pc}$) and ($w_{\Pi pa}, w_{\Pi pb}, w_{\Pi pc}$) at summation ($\Sigma\ A$) and product ($\Pi\ A$) neurons, respectively. At the initial level, these weights are referred as unknown weights and calculated by considering the in-phase unit templates ($u_{pa}, u_{pb}, u_{pc}$) as the reference weights. A summation-type GNN structure for the calculation of fundamental active current component of a phase is given in Figure 3b.

The output calculations can be divided into two parts: forward calculations and reverse calculations. The aggregation function of $\Sigma\ A$ and $\Pi$ of the forward mode calculations for phase “a” is shown in Equations (8) and (9), respectively. Similarly, the aggregation function of $\Sigma\ A$ and $\Pi$ of the forward mode calculations of another two phases, “b” and “c”, can be calculated.

$$\Sigma_{A_{pa}} = i_{La}w_{\Sigma pa} + i_{Lb}w_{\Sigma pb} + i_{Lc}w_{\Sigma pc} + \Sigma_{bias}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

$$\Pi_{pa} = i_{La}w_{\Pi pa} * i_{Lb}w_{\Pi pb} * i_{Lc}w_{\Pi pc} * \Pi_{bias}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

$\Sigma_{bias}$ and $\Pi_{bias}$ represent the initial bias of the $\Sigma\ A$ and $\Pi$ part of the structure, respectively. The proposed developed neuron has both $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ aggregation functions. The $\Sigma\ A$ aggregation function has been used with the sigmoidal characteristic function $f_1$, while the $\Pi$ aggregation function has been used with the Gaussian function $f_2$ as a characteristic
The mean active component of load currents \( (f_{moidal \text{ characteristic function}}) \) is obtained by averaging the final output of the GNN for each phase and is given as

\[
O_{\Sigma_ap} = f_1(\Sigma_{ap}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{\Sigma} \ast \Sigma_{ap}}}
\]  
(15)

The output of the \( \Pi \) part is threshold by using Gaussian transfer function and can be written as

\[
O_{\Pi_{pa}} = f_2(\Pi_{pa}) = e^{-\lambda_{\Pi} \ast \Pi_{pa}}
\]  
(16)

where \( \lambda_{\Sigma} \) and \( \lambda_{\Pi} \) are the gain scaling parameters of the \( \Sigma \) and \( \Pi \) part of the network, respectively, and are considered as unity here to avoid complexity. Similarly, output of the \( \Sigma \) and \( \Pi \) part of the forward mode calculations of other phases, "\( b \)" and "\( c \)" are calculated as

\[
O_{\Sigma_bp} = f_1(\Sigma_{bp}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{\Sigma} \ast \Sigma_{bp}}}
\]  
(17)

\[
O_{\Pi_{pb}} = f_2(\Pi_{pb}) = e^{-\lambda_{\Pi} \ast \Pi_{pb}}
\]  
(18)

\[
O_{\Sigma_cp} = f_1(\Sigma_{cp}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{\Sigma} \ast \Sigma_{cp}}}
\]  
(19)

\[
O_{\Pi_{pc}} = f_2(\Pi_{pc}) = e^{-\lambda_{\Pi} \ast \Pi_{pc}}
\]  
(20)

The final output of the GNN will be the function of two outputs and related to the weights \( W \) and \( (1 - W) \), respectively, through the linear transfer function which can be written as

\[
O_{pa} = O_{\Pi_{pa}} (1 - W_a) + O_{\Sigma_{ap}} W_a
\]  
(21)

\[
O_{pb} = O_{\Pi_{pb}} (1 - W_b) + O_{\Sigma_{ap}} W_b
\]  
(22)

\[
O_{pc} = O_{\Pi_{pc}} (1 - W_c) + O_{\Sigma_{ap}} W_c
\]  
(23)

where \( W_a, W_b, W_c \) are weights associated with the phase "\( a \)", "\( b \)" and "\( c \)" respectively.

The mean active component of load currents \( (w_{Lp}) \) is obtained by averaging the final output of the GNN for each phase and is given as

\[
w_{Lp} = \frac{(O_{pa} + O_{pb} + O_{pc})}{3}
\]  
(24)

However, the estimation of the fundamental reactive current component under the load current has been calculated by implementing the proposed GNN model. The sensed load currents \( (i_{La}, i_{Lb}, i_{Lc}) \) with their unknown weights \( w_{Apa}, w_{Apb}, w_{Apb}, w_{Apc} \) and \( w_{\Pi_{pa}}, w_{\Pi_{pb}}, w_{\Pi_{pc}} \), are processed as inputs to \( \Sigma \) and \( \Pi \) neurons. The aggregation function of \( \Sigma \) and \( \Pi \) of the forward mode calculations for phase "\( a \)" is given in Equations (23) and (24), respectively. Similarly, the aggregation function of \( \Sigma \) and \( \Pi \) of the forward mode calculations of the other remaining phases "\( b \)" and "\( c \)" are calculated as

\[
\Sigma_{ap} = i_{La} w_{\Sigma_{ap}} + i_{Lb} w_{\Sigma_{bp}} + i_{Lc} w_{\Sigma_{cp}} + \Sigma_{bias}
\]  
(25)

\[
\Pi_{pa} = i_{La} w_{\Pi_{pa}} + i_{Lb} w_{\Pi_{pb}} + i_{Lc} w_{\Pi_{pc}} + \Pi_{bias}
\]  
(26)

where \( \Sigma_{bias} \) and \( \Pi_{bias} \) denote the initial bias of the \( \Sigma \) and \( \Pi \) part of the network, respectively. Similarly, as explained above while calculating the fundamental active load current component, here also the \( \Sigma \) aggregation function has been adopted along with the sigmoidal characteristic function \( f_1 \), whereas the \( \Pi \) aggregation function has been adopted.
along with the Gaussian function $f_2$, taken as a characteristic function. The output of the $\Sigma_A$ part with a sigmoidal characteristic transfer function after threshold can be obtained as

$$O_{\Sigma_Aa} = f_1(\Sigma_Aa) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{\Sigma}^A \Sigma_Aa}}$$  (27)

The output of the $\Pi$ part becomes threshold by using the Gaussian transfer function and can be written as given:

$$O_{\Pi a} = f_2(\Pi a) = e^{-\lambda_{\Pi}^a \Pi a^2}$$  (28)

where $\lambda_{\Sigma}^a$ and $\lambda_{\Pi}^a$ are the gain scaling parameters of the $\Sigma_A$ and $\Pi$ part of the network, respectively, and are considered as unity here to avoid complexity. Similarly, the output of the $\Sigma_A$ and $\Pi$ part of the forward mode calculations of the other two phases “b” and “c” are calculated as

$$O_{\Sigma_Ab} = f_1(\Sigma_Ab) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{\Sigma}^A \Sigma_Ab}}$$  (29)

$$O_{\Pi b} = f_2(\Pi b) = e^{-\lambda_{\Pi}^b \Pi b^2}$$  (30)

$$O_{\Sigma_Ac} = f_1(\Sigma_Ac) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\lambda_{\Sigma}^A \Sigma_Ac}}$$  (31)

$$O_{\Pi c} = f_2(\Pi c) = e^{-\lambda_{\Pi}^c \Pi c^2}$$  (32)

The output of the GNN model as a function of weights $W$ and $(1 - W)$ can be written as

$$O_{qa} = O_{\Pi a} (1 - W_a) + O_{\Sigma Aa} W_a$$  (33)

$$O_{qb} = O_{\Pi b} (1 - W_b) + O_{\Sigma Ab} W_b$$  (34)

$$O_{qc} = O_{\Pi c} (1 - W_c) + O_{\Sigma Ac} W_c$$  (35)

where $W_a$, $W_b$, and $W_c$ are the weights associated with the $\Sigma_A$ and $\Pi$ part of the developed GNN model for the estimation of the fundamental reactive load current components. The fundamental reactive current component of the load current ($w_Lq$) is determined by taking the average of output of the GNN model for the reactive current component of the load currents and given as:

$$w_Lq = \left(\frac{O_{qa} + O_{qb} + O_{qc}}{3}\right)$$  (36)

3.2.4. GNN Weight Prediction and Updating Using Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

The Kalman Filter (KF) is a recursive algorithm used for estimating the state of a dynamic system in the case of less availability of data because of the presence of noise, etc. The KF algorithm utilizes the prior knowledge to predict the past, present and future state of the given system. The main advantage of the KF-based approach is less memory space requirements because the data are updated in each and every iteration. The basic KF theory is based on the probability of the hypothesis of the predicted state of the system under consideration by hypothesis of prior state and then using the available data from measurement sensors to correct the hypothesis to obtain the best estimation for each iteration. Two basic assumptions are made to derive the basic equations for KF to be optimal in the sense of mean square error, which should be described by a model of linear state space; the noises are white and Gaussian with zero mean, uncorrelated with each other.

$$x_{k+1} = F_{k+1} x_k + q_k$$  (37)

$$y_{k+1} = H_{k+1} x_{k+1} + r_{k+1}$$  (38)
Equation (37) is known as a process equation. \( x_k \) is a system state vector, a minimal set of data that uniquely defines the behavior of a system, and \( k \) depicts discrete time. \( F_{k+1,k} \) is the transition matrix to take the state \( x_k \) from time \( k \) to \( k + 1 \), and \( q_k \) is the additive process noise, white and Gaussian, with a zero mean and possessing a covariance matrix \( Q_k \). The measurement step is shown in Equation (38), where \( H_{k+1} \) is the measurement matrix, \( y_{k+1} \) is observable at time \( k + 1 \), and \( r_{k+1} \) is the additive process noise, white and Gaussian, with a zero mean and possessing a covariance matrix \( R_k \). Both noises are uncorrelated with each other. The KF algorithm works in two repeated functional steps:

The prediction step (time update): This step is to compute the estimation of state and error covariance.

\[
\hat{x}_{k+1} = F_{k+1,k} \hat{x}_k \\
P_{k+1} = F_{k+1,k} P_k F_{k+1,k}^T + Q_k
\]

where \( P_k \) is there error covariance matrix.

Correction step (measurement update): This step is to correct the estimated state according to the previous step with the help of \( y_{k+1} \)

\[
K_{k+1} = P_{k+1} H_{k+1}^T \left[ H_{k+1} P_{k+1} H_{k+1}^T + R_{k+1} \right]^{-1} \\
\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{x}_k - K_{k+1} (y_{k+1} - H_{k+1} \hat{x}_k) \\
P_{k+1} = (I - K_{k+1} H_{k+1}) P_{k+1}
\]

where \( K_{k+1} \) is the Kalman gain matrix.

The GNN model is a nonlinear system, so the basic KF approach should be extended by using the linearization process and is known as the extended Kalman Filter (EKF). The basic difference between KF and EKF is the linearization of the nonlinear system function performed by using the Jacobian matrix in EKF, and then rest of the KF steps can be applied. The nonlinear dynamic system can be defined by using the following equations:

\[
x_{k+1} = x_k + q_k \\
y_{k+1} = h(x_{k+1}, u_{k+1}) + r_{k+1}
\]

Equation (44) depicts the state of a stationary process corrupted with process noise \( q_k \), and state \( x_k \) consists of network weights. \( x_{k+1} \) is the weight vector matrix and input vector depicted by \( u_{k+1} \). The noise covariance matrix can be written as \( R_{k+1} = E[r_{k+1} r_{k+1}^T] \) and \( Q_{k+1} = E[q_{k+1} q_{k+1}^T] \).

In EKF, the linearization of measurement equation is carried out at each time step around the newest state estimation by using the first-order Taylor approximation. The GNN training problem is taken as a problem to find the state estimation of \( x_{k+1} \) for minimizing the least square errors by implementing the previous calculations. \( K_{k+1} \) Kalman gain can be written as

\[
K_{k+1} = P_{k+1} H_{k+1}^T \left[ H_{k+1} P_{k+1} H_{k+1}^T + R_{k+1} \right]^{-1} \\
\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{x}_{k+1} + K_{k+1} [y_{k+1} - h(\hat{x}_{k+1} + u_{k+1})] \\
P_{k+1} = P_k - K_{k} H_{k} P_k + Q_k
\]

### 3.2.5. Reference Current Calculation

The fundamental real power current component of the load current can be obtained as

\[
I_L = w_{pd} + w_{LP} - w_{pv}
\]

The reference active components of the grid currents can be written as

\[
i_{psa} = I_{Lp} * u_{pa}, \ i_{psb} = I_{Lp} * u_{pb}, \ i_{psc} = I_{Lp} * u_{pc}
\]
Similarly, the fundamental reactive current component of a load current can also be obtained as

\[ I_{Lq} = w_{qt} + w_{Lq} \]  

(51)

The fundamental reactive currents' active reference components can be written as

\[ i_{qsa} = I_{Lq} \times u_{qa} \]
\[ i_{qsb} = I_{Lq} \times u_{qb} \]
\[ i_{qsc} = I_{Lq} \times u_{qc} \]  

(52)

The net fundamental reference grid currents \((i_{sa}^*, i_{sb}^*, i_{sc}^*)\) can be obtained as

\[ i_{sa}^* = i_{psa} + i_{qsa} \]
\[ i_{sb}^* = i_{psb} + i_{qsb} \]
\[ i_{sc}^* = i_{psc} + i_{qsc} \]  

(53)

VSC gating signals have been generated by comparing reference \((i_{sa}^*, i_{sb}^*, i_{sc}^*)\) and actual \((i_{sa}, i_{sb}, i_{sc})\) grid currents for an individual phase, and the error is processed through the PI current regulator. A hysteresis current regulator is used for indirect current control.

4. Results

The SPV array is designed for a maximum power rating of 10 kW. The given system is designed, developed, and simulated using the MATLAB/Simulink platform. The performance evaluation of the proposed system is carried out under a linear and nonlinear load with dynamic changes and a changing solar irradiance scenario.

4.1. Performance Analysis of Proposed Controller for Linear Load for PFC

The performance of the proposed EKF-based GNN controller is evaluated with a combined structure of the summation and product neurons collectively in single layer. In the proposed GNN network, each processed neuron consists of different weights with the inputs as measured load currents \((i_{La}, i_{Lb}, i_{Lc})\). With the help of this, the summation weights \((w_{\Sigma pa}, w_{\Sigma pb}, w_{\Sigma pc})\) and product weights \((w_{1pa}, w_{1pb}, w_{1pc})\) are estimated. The proposed GNN is trained to calculate the unspecified weights and EKF filter which is used to predict and update the weights of the GNN network. The output of the proposed system is evaluated under the influence of several performance parameters, i.e., grid voltage \((v_s)\), grid current \((i_s)\), load current \((i_L)\), voltage source converter current \((i_{vsc})\), grid real power \((P_g)\), grid reactive power \((Q_g)\), PCC terminal voltage \((V_t)\), SPV power \((P_{pv})\), SPV array current \((I_{pv})\) and voltage at direct current link \((V_{dc})\), provided in Figure 4a,b in steady-state linear load \((t = 0.2 \text{ to } 0.3 \text{ s})\) conditions. Intermediate signals are given in Figure 4b, which depicts the performance parameters and corresponding weights with a variation in error between the active fundamental components of the load currents. The SPV system remains in operation for feeding the maximum value of power onto the load and grid at unity power factor (UPF).
4.2. Performance Analysis of Proposed Controller Considering Dynamic Linear Load for Zero Voltage Regulation (ZVR)

If the load is drawing reactive power with unbalanced behavior, then a change in the terminal voltage \( V_t \) occurs at the point of common coupling. Figure 5a,b shows the behavior of the given system for dynamic linear loading conditions under a zero voltage regulation (ZVR) mode. It can be observed from the results after phase “c” of the load is taken out from the supply at 1.1 s, the grid currents \( i_s \) remain sinusoidal with the help of a voltage source converter. The PCC voltage \( V_t \) and voltage at the DC junction are
maintained at set values, which are 415 V and 700 V, respectively, without any fluctuations. Intermediate signals are provided in Figure 5b, which shows the performance parameters and corresponding weights with a variation in error between the active fundamental components of the load currents. The reactive power ($Q_g$) taken from the utility grid is approximately equivalent to 0, for compensating the linear loads using reactive power.

Figure 5. Cont.
4.3. Performance under a Nonlinear Load

Figure 6a,b show the behavior of a developed system for dynamic nonlinear loads under the zero voltage regulation (ZVR) mode. By using the given control algorithm, the grid current ($i_s$) is sinusoidal in nature, when the load of phase “c” is extracted at 1.1 s. Intermediate signals are given in Figure 6b for corresponding weight signals with a variation in error between the active fundamental components of the load currents. $W_{pa}$ of the linear transfer function $\Sigma$ and the estimated GNN output of the active current component of the load current are represented by $O_{pa}$, and its actual value is achieved by scaling and depicted by $I_{Lpa}$. This indicates that the calculated weights change according to the load condition. Figure 7a,c show the total harmonic distortion (THD) at the point of common coupling for phase “a” of a load current, grid current and grid voltage, respectively, and obtained as 37.48%, 1.04% and 1.07%, respectively, which is within acceptable limits of harmonics in utility currents and voltage fluctuations according to the IEEE-519 and IEEE-1547 standards. THD analysis of the given system is provided in Table 1 for a nonlinear load.
Figure 6. (a) Performance indices, (b) weights with training parameters for phase “a” with steady-state loading in PFC mode.
Figure 7. Total harmonic distortion (THD) for (a) load current of phase “a”, (b) grid current and (c) grid voltage in ZVR mode.

Table 1. Parameters of the developed system for the proposed controller.

| Operating Mode | Parameters                  | GNN Based Control Algorithm | EKF GNN Based Control Algorithm |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ZVR            | Grid voltage (V), %THD at PCC | 333.02 V, 1.89%            | 337.2 V, 1.04%                  |
|                | Grid current (A), %THD at PCC | 23.17 A, 2.54%             | 25.58 A, 1.02%                  |
|                | Load current (A), %THD at PCC | 40.58 A, 40.62%            | 41.34 A, 39.78%                 |

4.4. Performance Analysis at Varying Solar Irradiance

Dynamic behavior of the developed system is also observed under varying solar irradiance conditions. The solar irradiance (S) is raised to 1000 W/m² from 600 W/m² at 0.5 s. The SPV feeds the connected load and excess amount of power output provided to the utility grid. As shown in the results, the grid power (P₉) decreases after 0.5 s because of an increase in power (P₉₀) output from SPV, given in Figure 8. The proposed system under variable solar irradiance remains operating at the maximum power point of the SPV array and works at unity power factor. The grid side current is maintained to be sinusoidal, and the voltage at DC link is also maintained at a set point.

4.5. Comparative Study of Developed Algorithm with Other Conventional Approaches

The proposed algorithm is analyzed for different scenarios and found accurate as per the desired performance. The parameters of proposed EKF GNN-based controller is presented in Table 1 and compared with the GNN approach. The developed EKF GNN based control approach is found better than ANN conventional approaches such as ADALINE and multilayer perceptron neural network (MLPN). A comparative analysis is made and presented in Table 2 under dynamic nonlinear load conditions on the basis of various performance parameters. The smaller number of unknown weights required and a single layer enhanced the performance of the controller. Additionally, the weight update time, settling period and maximum change in DC voltage is less for the proposed technique.
4.5. Comparative Study of Developed Algorithm with other Conventional Approaches

The proposed algorithm is analyzed for different scenarios and found accurate as per the desired performance. The parameters of proposed EKF GNN-based controller is presented in Table 1 and compared with the GNN approach. The developed EKF GNN based control approach is found better than ANN conventional approaches such as ADALINE and multilayer perceptron neural network (MLPN). A comparative analysis is made and presented in Table 2 under dynamic nonlinear load conditions on the basis of various performance parameters. The smaller number of unknown weights required and a single layer enhanced the performance of the controller. Additionally, the weight update time, settling period and maximum change in DC voltage is less for the proposed technique.

Table 2. Comparative performance analysis of the proposed technique.

| Performance Parameters | ADALINE | MLPN | GNN |
|------------------------|---------|------|-----|
| Training Learning Layers | Least Mean Square Gradient decent (GD) | Back Propagation GD/GDM | EKF |
| Training pattern | Online | Three Offline stochastic training | GDM |
| Estimation nature | Linear | Nonlinear | One |
| Transfer function | Linear | Sigmoidal | Both types |
| Weight update Time | 15.7 µs | 82 µs | 6 µs |
| Settling period of DC link | 1 cycle | 2 ½ cycle | 1 cycle |
| Max change in DC link voltage | 4.5 V | 10 V | 3.7 V |

Figure 8. Analysis of performance for a non-linear load with variable solar irradiance.

Figure 9 shows the performances by experimenting the given system in power factor correction mode by implementing linear and nonlinear loads. It depicts the voltage source current ($I_{vsc}$), load current ($I_{La}$), grid current ($I_g$) and direct current link voltage ($V_{dc}$). Phase “a” grid voltage and grid current are shown in Figure 10; it also shows the performances of the controller under PFC mode.
Figure 9 shows the performances by experimenting the given system in power factor correction mode by implementing linear and nonlinear loads. It depicts the voltage source current ($I_{vsc}$), load current ($I_{La}$), grid current ($I_g$) and direct current link voltage ($V_{dc}$). Phase “a” grid voltage and grid current are shown in Figure 10; it also shows the performances of the controller under PFC mode.

Figure 11 provides the performance of the SPV plant for nonlinear loads, whereas Figure 12a depicts non-linear current and Figure 12b depicts the source current and voltages for phase “a”. The THD of the load current is given in Figure 13.
Figure 11. Performance analysis considering non-linear load conditions for EKF GNN.

(a) 

(b) 

Figure 12. (a) Non-linear current, (b) current and voltage of phase “a”.

Figure 13. (a) THD spectrum of nonlinear load, (b) improved THD for grid current under non-linear load.

Figure 14. Performance parameters under dynamic nonlinear load for EKF GNN.

Figure 15. Performance of intermediate weight signals under dynamic nonlinear load for EKF GNN.

The performance of the proposed controller under nonlinear dynamic load is shown in Figure 14; when load of phase “b” is removed, the grid current is reduced. Further, the performance of intermediate signals for different weights is also shown in Figure 15. Figures 16 and 17 show the controller performance under variable solar irradiance.
The performance of the proposed controller under nonlinear dynamic load is shown in Figure 14; when load of phase “b” is removed, the grid current is reduced. Further, the performance of intermediate signals for different weights is also shown in Figure 15. Figures 16 and 17 show the controller performance under variable solar irradiance.

Figure 14. Performance parameters under dynamic nonlinear load for EKF GNN.

Figure 15. Performance of intermediate weight signals under dynamic nonlinear load for EKF GNN.
5. Conclusions

The proposed system was developed and tested using MATLAB simulation environment, and the performance of the EKF GNN-based VSC control approach was validated under linear and nonlinear loads for static and dynamic scenarios. The proposed EKF GNN approach has successfully improved the function of the developed SPV system. The performance of the developed system was found satisfactory under load unbalancing and varying solar irradiance. Additionally, it continues to operate in a UPF mode of operation, providing reactive power compensation, load balancing, MPP extraction and harmonics compensation. A changeable step size perturb and observe (P&O) MPPT approach was utilized in this research and enabled fast tracking of MPP and convergence. Moreover, single-stage topology was able to reduce the losses in semiconductor devices and increase the overall efficiency.

The proposed control approach performs with more flexibly in training the network under dynamic conditions and provides improved online learning. This control technique needs a smaller number of training patterns and unknown weights and hence reduces the complexity and the computational time. The developed system obtains acceptable limits of harmonics in utility currents and voltage fluctuations according to the IEEE-519 and IEEE-1547 standards.
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Nomenclature

- \( C \)  DC link capacitor (\( \mu \)F)
- \( f_1 \)  Sigmoidal characteristic function
- \( f_2 \)  Gaussian characteristic function
- \( I_{inv} \)  Inverter output current
- \( I_{inv}^* \)  Reference Inverter output current
- \( i_{La}, i_{Lb}, i_{Lc} \)  Load currents of phase ‘a’, ‘b’ and ‘c’ respectively (Ampere)
- \( I_p \)  Fundamental active current component
- \( I_{pa} \)  Fundamental active current component for phase ‘a’
- \( I_q \)  Fundamental reactive current component
- \( I_{qa} \)  Fundamental reactive current component for phase ‘a’
- \( I_{MPP} \)  PV current at maximum power point (Ampere)
- \( i_{psa} \)  Active reference component of grid current
- \( I_{PV} \)  PV array output current (Ampere)
- \( i_{psa} \)  Reactive reference component of grid current
- \( I_{rs} \)  Reference component of grid current
- \( i_{sa}, i_{sb}, i_{sc} \)  Grid currents of phase ‘a’, ‘b’ and ‘c’ respectively (Ampere)
- \( i_{sa}^*, i_{sb}^*, i_{sc}^* \)  Reference currents of phase ‘a’, ‘b’ and ‘c’ respectively (Ampere)
- \( K_i \)  Integral gain of PI controller
- \( K_p \)  Proportional gain of PI controller
- \( L_f \)  Interfacing inductor (mH)
- \( O_i \)  Final output of generalized neuron
- \( O_{pa} \)  Output of the GNN model for active component
- \( O_{qa} \)  Output of the GNN model for reactive component
- \( O_A \)  Output of \( \Sigma \)A part network
- \( O_{\Pi} \)  Output of \( \Pi \)part network
- \( P_{pv} \)  PV power (W)
- \( P_g \)  Grid Active power (W)
- \( Q_g \)  Grid Reactive power (vAR)
- \( S \)  Solar irradiance (W/m²)
- \( U_{pa}, U_{pb}, U_{pc} \)  In phase unit templates of phase voltages
- \( U_{qa}, U_{qb}, U_{qc} \)  Quadrature unit templates of phase voltages
- \( V_a, V_b, V_c \)  Phase voltage of utility grid (Volts)
- \( v_{ab}, v_{bc}, v_{ca} \)  Line voltage of utility grid (Volts)
- \( V_{dc} \)  DC link voltage (Volts)
- \( V_{dc}^* \)  Reference DC link voltage (Volts)
- \( V_{MPP} \)  PV voltage at maximum power point (Ampere)
- \( V_{pv} \)  PV output voltage (Volts)
- \( V_1 \)  Voltage at point of common coupling (Volts)
| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $V_{r}$ | Reference voltage at point of common coupling (Volts) |
| $V_{te}$ | Error between sensed and reference voltage at point of common coupling (Volts) |
| $w$ | Weights of GNN |
| $w_{ap}, w_{bp}, w_{cp}$ | Updated weights for hidden layer of active components |
| $w_{aq}, w_{bq}, w_{cq}$ | Updated weights for hidden layer of reactive components |
| $w_{Lp}$ | Mean active component of load current |
| $w_{Lq}$ | Mean reactive component of load current |
| $w_{p}$ | Active current component |
| $w_{pdc}$ | Active current component phase c |
| $w_{pv}$ | Feed forward weight function of solar power |
| $w_{q}$ | Reactive current component |
| $w_{qt}$ | Function of reactive loss current component |
| $w_{sa}$ | Weight of summation neuron |
| $W_{Σ}$ | Weights of summation part of GNN |
| $ΔW$ | Change in weights of GNN |

**Greek Symbols**

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $α$ | Learning rate |
| $µ$ | Micro |
| $Ω$ | Ohm |
| $Φ$ | Phase |
| $Σ_A$ | Aggregation function used with sigmoidal characteristic function |
| $Π$ | Aggregation function used with Gaussian characteristic function |
| $η$ | Learning rate |
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