The Norian *Worthenia*-like gastropods (Main Dolomite, Upper Triassic): reclassification of the specimens housed at the “Antonio Stoppani” Natural Museum, Italy
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**Abstract** - Despite being very common, the Norian gastropods of the Main Dolomite Formation usually referred to as “*Worthenia*”, have not been well classified yet. This is principally due to a dearth of well-preserved specimens. Moreover, the type material of the species instituted by Stoppani has not been re-examined in recent publications. Therefore, a thorough search for the Stoppani Collection’s reference types in the storerooms of the Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano has been carried out. This investigation revealed that the gastropod types from Main Dolomite described by Stoppani had effectively been lost, possibly following an air-bombing raid hitting the museum during World War II. Consequently, we here describe only two specimens from the portion of the Stoppani Collection housed at the Museo Scientifico Naturalistico “A. Stoppani”, Venegono Inferiore (Varese, Italy). These two specimens were collected by Antonio Stoppani in Songavazzo (Bergamo, Italy) that he himself identified as one of the type localities. These particularly well-preserved specimens display characters useful for their possible attribution to the genus *Wortheniella*.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Classification of the typical gastropods from Main Dolomite (Norian, Upper Triassic, Italy and some south European localities) – which have been known since the 1800s (Stoppani, 1860-65; Costa, 1864) and commonly referred to as *Worthenia* s. l. – has long been problematic on account of the poor preservation of most of the finds and the particular history of the reference collections. This problem has been tackled on more than one occasion in the literature (e.g. Tommasi, 1903; Haas, 1953; Tichy, 1975), but now tends to be further complicated by the modern criteria of classification that are based mainly on the larval shell and on the early teleoconch, which is often difficult to observe on strongly recrystallized shells. Indeed, the degree of preservation of gastropods from Main Dolomite is usually mediocre. The specimens consist of impression fossils covered by crystals with partially and badly preserved internal moulds. Pseudoshells with traces of growth lines are found only rarely.

**THE HISTORICAL COLLECTIONS**

The *Worthenia* s. l. types from Main Dolomite in Lombardy and near Salerno in Campania (Monti Picentini, Giffoni Valle Piana) were lost during World War II. The specimens coming from Campania (comprising those of Costa Collection) were kept at the Museo di Paleontologia di Napoli, which was badly damaged by an incendiary bomb in 1941. Very few materials remain from the collections of Upper Triassic gastropods accredited to the studies of Antonio Stoppani, who had erected the species found in Lombardy. A thorough search for this material was conducted by this author (VP) and by Dr Giorgio Teruzzi, curator at the Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano (last search carried out on 11 January 2018). Most of the collections kept in that museum – which also housed the entire Stoppani Collection prior to WWII – were destroyed by the bombardments. As far as the material from the Norian Main Dolomite published by Stoppani is concerned, only some remains of megalodontid bivalves (Teruzzi, 2015), are still present. Tommasi (1903) was the only author who redescribed these species after being able to view the holotypes or the often-fragmentary speci-
mens used by Stoppani to prepare his original illustrations and descriptions.

Other than the Triassic material of Stoppani Collection still stored at the Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano (Garasino, 1992; Teruzzi, 2015; Pieroni, 2016), several specimens are housed at the Museo Scientifico Naturalistico “A. Stoppani”, Seminario Arcivescovile Pio XI, Venegono Inferiore (VA), Italy (Gentili & Pieroni, 2011; Pieroni, 2014). Specifically, within the portion of the Stoppani Collection kept at the latter museum, numerous fragments of bivalves (Neomegalodon; Isocardia?) and gastropods (“Worthenia” s. l.; Purpuroidea?), coming from the Main Dolomite of the classical Songavazzo (BG) and Val Sarezzo (BS), Italy, have been identified. Two gastropods belonging to the Worthenia s. l. group display characters only rarely observed up to now, which can be profitably utilized to identify the genus to which these forms belong.

Subclass ORTHOGASTROPODA Ponder & Lindberg, 1996
Superorder VETIGASTROPODA Salvini-Plawen, 1980
Superfamily Eotomarioidea Wenz, 1938
Family Wortheniellidae Bandel, 2009
Genus Worthe- 

Discussion: This species is described by Stoppani (1860-65) from Norian Main Dolomite of Caino (BS). In the fauna from some Prealpine Lombard localities in the provinces of Bergamo and Brescia, Stoppani described Turbo songavatii Stoppani, 1860-65 (=Turbo solitarius Benecke, 1866, which according to De Stefani 1880 was a junior synonym of Turbo songavati, which Haas 1953 considered a synonym of Turbo contabulatus Costa, 1864, p. 232, pl. 5, fig. 4), Turbo pusillus Stoppani, 1860-65, Pleurotomaria inzini Stoppani, 1860-65, Delphinula escheri Stoppani, 1860-65, Delphinula diadema Stoppani, 1860-65, Delphinula regazzoni Stoppani, 1860-65, Delphinula meriani Stoppani, 1860-65, and Delphinula inzini Stoppani, 1860-65. In the same publication, he described a species from the Rhaetian of Lombardy – Neritopsis? oldae Stoppani, 1860-65 – which was closely related to the former.

In the revision proposed by Tommasi (1903) who compared Stoppani’s types with new material collected specifically in the type locality (specimens housed at the Museo di Storia Naturale dell’Università di Pavia), all these forms were redescribed with the following generic designations: Worthenia songavatii, Worthenia pusilla, Worthenia inzini, Worthenia pygmaea, Worthenia meriani, Worthenia sp., and the new species Worthenia stoppanii. Delphinula escheri and Delphinula diadema were tentatively attributed to the genus Schizogonium Koken, 1889, considering possible attribution also to the genus Guidonia De Stefani, 1880. This distinction regarding the genera Delphinula escheri and Delphinula diadema is questionable: the specimens described in Tommasi 1903 display great uniformity in their main characters, so should have been attributed to a single genus. In particular, the six specimens classified as Schizogonium (?) escheri (cat. n. MSNP 20814) – the best preserved of which was illustrated by Tommasi in Table 3, Fig. 19 – do not display any sub-
Fig. 1 - A) *Wortheniella pygmaea* (Stoppani) AS 41/43, lateral view, showing the pattern of the growth lines, which curve backwards above and below the nodose adapical carina (corresponding to the selenizone). Songavazzo (BG), Norian, Main Dolomite. B) *Wortheniella pygmaea* (Stoppani) AS 41/43, latero-basal view, showing the very conspicuous threads on the base. C) *Wortheniella pygmaea* (Stoppani) AS 41/43, apical view of the early whorls of the spire. D) *Wortheniella pygmaea* (Stoppani) AS 41/43a, lateral view. E) *Wortheniella pygmaea* (Stoppani) AS 41/43a, latero-basal view, showing the region of the umbilicus, which is largely occupied by a columellar lip. F) *Wortheniella pygmaea* (Stoppani) AS 41/43a, lateral view.

substantial differences with the other forms attributed by him to the genus *Worthenia*. Indeed, the description of the growth lines and upper carina of *Schizogonium (?) escheri* made by Tommasi implicitly suggests the presence of a selenizone. The author considered *Delphinula regazzoni* synonym of *W. pygmaea* and deemed Stoppani’s drawing of *D. regazzoni* to be based on a single, poorly preserved specimen that, in reality, is very similar to *W. pygmaea*. Even *Worthenia meriani* is very similar to *W. pygmaea*, but Tommasi thought *W. meriani* to be distinct in that, in contrast with the figure in Stoppani (1860-65), the holotype had a base that lacked ornamentation.

The general appearance of these Norian species is always the same, with ornaments that are more or less accentuated. Haas (1953) extensively discussed these forms (pp. 56–63), but he did not have access to Stoppani’s or Costa’s species type specimens of *Trochus contabulatus* Costa, 1864, and *Worthenia contabulata*, which had probably already been lost during WWII (p. 62). Not being able to study the types, Haas compared his Peruvian specimens with the material from Main Dolomite of Esztergár Valley, Hungary, illustrated by Kittl (1900). According to Haas, in that material the growth lines, which in the figures in Kittl (1900) suggest the presence of a selenizone, are in reality deformed and rather axial on the flanks indicating that the shell lacks a true selenizone. Haas attributed those forms to the genus *Guidonia* De Stefani, 1880 (family Trochonematidae), amending the diagnosis of *Guidonia* by identifying a type species (*Trochus rotulus* Stoliczka, 1861), because De Stefani formally did not indicate it (for a history of the genus see: Gatto & Monari, 2010). In contrast, the specimens described here, like those in Tommasi (1903), display evidently prosocryt growth lines on the sutural ramp and on the flank, with a marked edge in correspondence with the adapical carina, which is very much like the typical selenizone of *Worthenia*. The presence of a true selenizone was hypothesised also in Tichy (1975) on specimens of *Worthenia contabulata* from Main Dolomite from Austria. However, Tichy did not have well-preserved specimens at his disposal, so was not able to observe the growth lines.

Thus, giving as certain the attribution of these specimens to the “*Worthenia* group”, these forms must be classified more precisely at the genus level. A possible solution derives from the study of the first whorls that appear fairly well preserved in AS 41/43. Research on the juvenile stages of the “*Worthenia* group” has brought to the designation of new genera that are rather similar in relation to their teleoconchs. As mentioned by Nuetzel & Senowbari-Darian (1999), Chronic (1952) erected the genus *Platyworthenia* for some Permian US forms that differ from *Worthenia* Koninck, 1883 in having very low early whors. Subsequently, Knight et al. (1960, p. 1209) considered *Platyworthenia* and *Worthenia* as synonyms, on account of their great similarity. Later Yoo (1994) illustrated the protoconch and the first teleoconch whors of the Carboniferous specimens attributed by him to *Worthenia* demonstrating that the early shell is trochiform. Schwartd (1992) erected the genus *Worteniella* for specimens of the Upper Triassic St. Cassian Formation characterised by plani-
spiral juvenile whorls. Nuetzel & Senowbari-Darian (1999, p. 97) assigned the Norian species from the Iranian Nayband Formation to the genus *Wortheniiella*, and discussed the differences between the genera *Wortheniiella* De Koninck, 1883, *Wortheniiella* Schwartd, 1992 and *Platyworthenia* Chronic, 1952, which are certainly related to each other. They concluded that this distinction depends upon the importance of the differences between the genera.*Wortheniiella*. Finally, Bandel (2009, p. 17) erected the family Wortheniellidae, describing the protoconchs of the Carnian forms from the St. Cassian Formation as sinistral and situated a little under the first whorl of the teleoconch.

CONCLUSIONS

The specimens here described cannot be ascribed to the genus *Guidonia*: indeed, their growth lines not only differ from those of *Guidonia*, but also contribute to demonstrate the presence of a selenizone. Hypothetically, the Carboniferous forms having trochiform protoconchs and early teleoconch (*Wortheniiella*) gave rise in the Permian to forms with lower early whorls (*Platyworthenia*) and in the Triassic to forms with a planispiral to sinistral protoconch embedded in the first whorl (*Wortheniiella*). Despite the lack of an observable protoconch, the genus *Wortheniiella* seems more appropriate for the Songavazzo specimens. Indeed, there is a good correspondence of characters – in general shape, ornamentation and growth lines – with the Carnian forms referred by Schwartd (1992) to this genus.
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