Molecular Docking Analysis of Ficus religiosa Active Compound with Anti-Inflammatory Activity by Targeting Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor in Diabetic Wound Healing
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Diabetes mellitus contributes to the delayed healing of wounds causes disturbance of inflammatory cytokine. Tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-alpha) and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR) both have a role in the persistent inflammation associated with diabetic wounds. Ficus religiosa has developed a reputation as a traditional wound healer among some java people in Indonesia.

AIM: Our study aims to discover the molecular interaction between the active constituents of F. religiosa with TNF-alpha and VEGFR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This research was conducted in computerized molecular docking using Protein database, Pymol, Discovery studio, and Pyrex software. A thorough literature search was conducted to identify the potential compound and molecular target for diabetic wounds. Analysis of its anti-inflammatory properties was also carried out using a passonline webserver. Pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using the Lipinski Rule of Five websites and the PreADMET website.

RESULTS: Each of the study’s active compounds has a good pharmacokinetic profile. The predictions of the compound’s structure indicate that it has a strong anti-inflammatory impact. Lupenyl acetate, Lanosterol, and Piperine compounds have anti-inflammatory effects through inhibition of TNF-alpha and VEGFR. In addition, this compound has potential to become a drug because it has good pharmacokinetics. Future studies are required to determine the effectiveness and toxicity of Lupenyl acetate, Lanosterol, and Piperine as potential treatment in diabetic wounds.

CONCLUSIONS: Lupenyl acetate, Lanosterol, and Piperine compounds have anti-inflammatory effects through inhibition of TNF-alpha and VEGFR. This compound has potential to become a drug because it has good pharmacokinetics. Future studies are required to determine the effectiveness and toxicity of Lupenyl acetate, Lanosterol, and Piperine as potential treatment in diabetic wounds.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia that occurs due to abnormalities in insulin secretion, insulin action, or both. World Health Organization has predicted an increasing number of people with diabetes in Indonesia from 8.4 million in 2000 to around 21.3 million in 2030. At the same time, the International Diabetes Federation predicts that there will be an increase in the number of people with DM in Indonesia from 9.1 million in 2014 to 14.1 million in 2035 [1]. These data show that the number of people with DM in Indonesia is vast and is likely to increase rapidly in the future.

The wound healing process consists of several stages: hemostatic, inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling. In people with DM, the process of wound healing is impaired, one of which is due to the disturbance of inflammatory cytokines. This disturbance will make the wound healing process in DM sufferers longer and more complicated [2]. In an immunocompromised condition like DM, the pro-inflammatory phenotype may be induced by a hyperglycemic state. The condition leads to increased oxidative and inflammatory stress via pro-inflammatory cytokine and reactive oxygen species [3].

Among widely beneficial activities, the inflammation-regulatory effect and wound healing activity became a concern of in silico study. As recognized beforehand, Tumour Necrosis Factor alpha (TNF-alpha) is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine in the inflammatory process [4], [5]. Previous studies discovered that local increment of TNF-alpha might positively affect the wound healing process, proving that regulation of inflammatory activity is helpful in the acceleration of wound healing. The inflammation-regulatory effect was appraised by targeting TNF-Alph as a macromolecule [3], [4].
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Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGFR) has been studied concerning impaired wound healing in diabetic conditions. VEGFR was found to be damaged in type 1 DM with slow perfusion recovery. In diabetic mice with experimental peripheral artery disease, glycaemic control was found in conjunction with improved VEGFR protein expression. Correlation between VEGFR and wound healing, specifically in diabetic conditions, might indicate its usefulness as a therapeutic target in diabetic wound healing [6].

Plants contain phytochemicals such as tannins, saponins, and flavonoids. These phytochemicals can also aid in the regeneration of cells and the healing of wounds [7]. This phytochemical, according to one study, can heal diabetic patients’ wounds. Anti-inflammatory phytochemicals are found in the banyan tree (Ficus religiosa). The Banyan tree (F. religiosa) is a highly phytochemical plant that grows widely in Indonesia, particularly in Java. Previous research has shown that the F. religiosa leaf has anti-inflammatory properties. Lupenyl Acetate, Lanosterol, Piperlongumime, Piperine, Quercetin, Kaempferol, Myricetin, and Methyl Piperate were the active ingredients have anti-anti-inflammatory properties [8]. Lanosterol had been found to modulate interferon-related inflammatory pathway, leading to better inflammatory response to bacterial invasion [9]. Study showed that piperlongumine is able to inhibit inflammation via nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB) pathway [10]. Piperine had also been found able to inhibit inflammation in vitro and in vivo [11]. Quercetin was also proven to be able to inhibit inflammation via NF-κB pathway [12].

Nowadays, in silico method is used to evaluate the viability of a compound as a drug candidate. In silico simulation of drug interactions can predict the binding sites of the protein interaction mechanism and the bond energy that occurs [13], [14]. This research will indicate the molecular interaction process that occurs between two molecules using an in silico method. Using molecular docking, we will investigate this compound's anti-inflammatory effect.

Materials and Methods

**Compound search**

We were previously searching for an active compound with anti-inflammatory action, according to literature studies. The active compounds of F. religiosa analyzed were Lupenyl Acetate, Lanosterol, Piperlongumime, Piperine, Quercetin, Kaempferol, Myricetin, and Methyl Piperate based on previous research [8], [12], [15], [16], [17].

**Ligands and protein preparation**

The 3D structure samples of these compounds were obtained from PubChem (pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Lupenyl Acetate (CID: 6432150), Lanosterol (CID:246983), Piperlongumime (CID: 637858), Piperine (CID: 638024), Quercetin (CID: 5280343), Kaempferol (CID: 5280863), Myrecetin (CID: 5281672), and Methyl Piperate (CID: 9921021). The 3D structure is downloaded as a .pdb file extension.

The TNF-alpha target protein was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (rcsb.org) with protein code (PDB: 2AZ5) and VEGFR with code (PDB: 3HNG). The file was downloaded as a .pdb file extension. The target protein's optimization was then carried out by separating water residues and ligands using PyMOL 2.0 software. The remaining residue in the target protein will affect the bonding interaction between the protein and the ligand. It must be separated and stored in a.pdb file format before simulating the molecular interactions. We used native ligand inhibitors provided on the PDB website for comparison with compound ligands from F. religiosa [18], [19].

**Pharmacokinetic and anti-inflammatory analysis**

The active compound obtained from the database was analyzed pharmacokinetically based on Lipinski Rules of Five using the SwissAdme (swissadme.ch) webserver. SwissAdme was used to conduct a pharmacokinetic study of these compounds by inputting the SMILES acquired from Pubchem into the web, as shown in Table 1 [8], [20]. We also did a prediction score for anti-inflammatory properties by utilizing the PASSOnline server for estimated the likelihood value of the chemical acting as an anti-inflammatory (http://www2drug.com/passonline/) [21].

**Table 1: SMILES compound formula**

| Compound Name  | SMILES               |
|----------------|----------------------|
| Lupenyl acetate| CC(C)C(C(C)CC|     |
| Lanosterol     | CC(C(C(C)C|     |
| Piperlongumime | OCC(C)C|     |
| Quercetin      | C(C)C|     |
| Kaempferol     | C|     |
| Myrecetin      | C|     |
| Methyl Piperate| CO|     |

**Specific docking and interaction visualization**

Molecular docking was done using a personal computer with specifications windows 10, 16GB RAM, SSD 210, and a Ryzen 5 2400U processor. Molecular docking conducted specific site docking with grid box dimension was generated by fixing x, y, z. based on previous study as shown in Table 2 [22], [23]. The in
Results and Discussion

Pharmacokinetic and anti-inflammatory score

This study was conducted using some chemical constituents of methanolic extract of *F. religiosa* leaves. The chemical constituents which were analyzed in this study consist of terpenoid, alkaloid, and flavonoid. Those consistent with previous phytochemical analysis [26], [27], [28]. *F. religiosa* is already known to have multiple biological activities and is famous in herbal medicine. It was noted to reveal several pharmacological activities such as antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antimicrobial, analgesic, and anti-convulsant activity [26], [27], [28], [29]. By the molecular docking method, the ability of the ligand to bind to the macromolecule will be revealed by the binding affinity result [19]. This study showed the binding affinities from the interaction between TNF-alpha and VEGFR as target protein with 8 active compounds from *F. religiosa* (Lupenyl Acetate, Lanosterol, Piperlongumine, Piperine, Quercetin, Kaemferol, Myricetin, and Methyl Piperate).

Following our predictions, all of the substances in Table 3 have anti-inflammatory effects, indicating that they are all can as anti-inflammatory agents. Lanosterol has the greatest likelihood value, followed by Lupenyl Acetate, Myricetin, Quercetin, Kaemferol, Methyl Piperate, Piperlongumine, dan Piperine.

The compound was evaluated for drug similarity using the Lpinski Rule of Five parameters. The hydrogen bond acceptor value is 10, the hydrogen bond donor value is 5, the molecular weight is 500 Dalton, the H<sub>2</sub>O partition coefficient (logP) value is 5, and the molar refractivity is 40–130 [30]. In metabolic processes, the compound that fulfills those principles has a stronger pharmacokinetics and bioavailability profile as found in this study and represented in Table 3. Our investigation discovered that all of the potential compounds that we docked had a high bioavailability, implying that they were similar to drugs and could be taken orally.

Molecular interaction of active constituents

Our study revealed Ficus religiosa compounds were found can bind TNF-alpha respectively stronger than native ligand. It denoted that Lupenyl Acetate and TNF-alpha interaction owned the most negative binding affinity with binding affinity -6.9 Kcal/mol. Lanosterol also shares the same binding affinity as the native ligand with binding affinity -6.3 Kcal/mol, suggesting that it may suppress the activity of TNF-alpha. The more negative binding affinity, the better is the predicted binding to that target protein [31]. From all the active compounds carried out in this study, the strongest binding interaction to the TNF-alpha was Lupenyl Acetate and Lanosterol. Besides, the *F. religiosa* compound also binds VEGFR, more negative binding affinity than

Table 3: Pharmacokinetics profile and score predictive properties of fiscus religiosa compound

| Compound Name      | Molecular Weight | Hydrogen-bond donor | Hydrogen-acceptor | LogP | Criteria of Lipinski | Anti-Inflammatory Probability Prediction Score |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Lupenyl acetate    | 468.75 g/mol     | 0                   | 2                 | 7.67 | Yes                  | 0.737                                         |
| Lanosterol         | 426.72 g/mol     | 1                   | 1                 | 7.42 | Yes                  | 0.745                                         |
| Piperlongumine     | 317.34 g/mol     | 0                   | 5                 | 1.96 | Yes                  | 0.435                                         |
| Piperine           | 285.34 g/mol     | 0                   | 3                 | 3.04 | Yes                  | 0.314                                         |
| Quercetin          | 302.24 g/mol     | 5                   | 7                 | 1.23 | Yes                  | 0.689                                         |
| Kaemferol          | 286.24 g/mol     | 4                   | 6                 | 1.58 | Yes                  | 0.076                                         |
| Myricetin          | 318.24 g/mol     | 6                   | 8                 | 0.79 | Yes                  | 0.720                                         |
| Methyl Piperate    | 232.23 g/mol     | 0                   | 4                 | 2.62 | Yes                  | 0.527                                         |

1. The compound was evaluated for drug similarity using the Lipinski Rule of Five parameters. The hydrogen bond acceptor value is 10, the hydrogen bond donor value is 5, the molecular weight is 500 Dalton, the H<sub>2</sub>O partition coefficient (logP) value is 5, and the molar refractivity is 40–130 [30].

Table 4: The binding affinity of ficus religiosa compound with TNF-alpha and VEGFR

| Ligand type     | No. | Ligand Name          | TNF-alpha binding affinity (kcal/mol) | VEGFR binding affinity (kcal/mol) |
|-----------------|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Control         | 1.  | 6,7-Dimethyl-3-((Methyl (2-Methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl) methyl) amino) Ethyl) Amino) (Methyl)-4h-Chromen-4-One (PDB ID: 2AZ5) | -6.3 | -9.4 |
| Natural Compound| 1.  | Lupenyl Acetate       | -6.9 | -8.1 |
|                 | 2.  | Lanosterol            | -6.3 | -9  |
|                 | 3.  | Piperlongumine        | -5.5 | -7.7 |
|                 | 4.  | Piperine              | -5.8 | -9.5 |
|                 | 5.  | Quercetin             | -5.7 | -8.5 |
|                 | 6.  | Kaemferol             | -5.6 | -8.5 |
|                 | 7.  | Methyl Myricetin      | -5.6 | -8.1 |
|                 | 8.  | Methyl Piperate       | -5.3 | -8.0 |

1. The compound was evaluated for drug similarity using the Lipinski Rule of Five parameters. The hydrogen bond acceptor value is 10, the hydrogen bond donor value is 5, the molecular weight is 500 Dalton, the H<sub>2</sub>O partition coefficient (logP) value is 5, and the molar refractivity is 40–130 [30].
control. It was Piperine can bind VEGFR with binding affinity -9.5 Kcal/mol (Table 4). The molecule with the strong binding energy will exhibit constant temperature and pressure to give better effect [25], [32].

Hydrogen and/or Hydrophobic bonds would also be developed in the ligand-macromolecule interaction. The bonds were intermolecular interactions essential in stabilizing ligands in a conformational setting of the macromolecule structure [33]. The hydrogen bond has a vital role in facilitating ligand-protein interaction and the protein folding process [34]. The number of hydrogen bonds also include in the parameter of empirical analysis in molecular docking study [35]. For comparative purposes, we utilized natural ligand inhibitors from the PDB website. Lupenyl Acetate and Lanosterol bind to the TNF-alpha in the same location as the natural ligand inhibitor, and so may have the same action. Similarly, Piperine and natural ligands VEGFR have same binding site interaction (Figure 1). Additionally, the amino acid residues have an effect on its location in the binding domain of the target protein and the type of chemical interactions [36].

Figure 1: Binding site of Tumour necrosis factor alpha (a) and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (b). control ligands (cyan), Piperine (red), Lupenyl acetate (yellow), and Lanosterol (orange)

Lupenyl Acetate and TNF-alpha interaction can form hydrogen bonds with the hydrophobic bonds with Leu57, Tyr59, Tyr151, and Ile155. While Lanosterol can bind to the TNF-alpha by the formation of the residues Leu57, Tyr59, Try119, and Tyr151, as seen in (Figure 2). Lupenyl Acetate and Lanosterol, which have the highest binding affinity, can inhibit the TNF-alpha. Meanwhile, Piperine forms hydrophobic connections with Leu833, Val841, Ala859, Leu882, Val891, Val892, Val909, Tyr911, Leu1013, His1020, Leu1029, Cys1039, and Phe1041 (Figure 3). Thus, F. religiosa possesses significant anti-inflammatory potential due to its ability to inhibit the TNF-alpha and VEGFR.

Figure 2: Interaction visualization between Ligands and Tumour necrosis factor alpha. Lupenyl acetate (yellow) and Lanosterol (orange)

Figure 3: Interaction visualization between Piperine and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor
effectiveness and safety of the medication, however, more study is necessary.

Conclusions

In sum, Lupenyl Acetate, Lanosterol, and Piperine have anti-inflammatory effects through inhibition of TNF-alpha and VEGFR. These compounds have good pharmacokinetics. Lupenyl Acetate, Lanosterol, and Piperine have potential as therapy for diabetic wounds. Future research study is needed to know the effectiveness and toxicity as diabetic wounds treatment.
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