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**Abstract:** The leaf spot disease of *Pongamia pinnata* caused by an asperisporium-like asexual morph, which is usually referred to as *Asperisporium pongamiae*, is quite common during monsoon seasons in India. Phylogenetic analyses, based on LSU and *rpb2* sequence data, and blast searches using ITS sequence data, revealed that this ascomycete forms a lineage within *Mycosphaerellaceae* distant from all other generic lineages. *Pedrocrousiella gen. nov.*, with *P. pongamiae* comb. nov., based on *Fusicladium pongamiae* (≡ *A. pongamiae*), as type species is introduced for this lineage. This species has been considered the asexual morph of *Mycosphaera pongamiae* (≡ *Stigmata pongamiae*). However, this connection is unresolved and was just based on the occasional association of the two taxa in some collections. Several attempts to induce the formation of a sexual morph in culture failed, therefore the putative connection between these morphs could not be confirmed. *Asperisporium pongamiae-pinnamiae* is reduced to synonymy with *P. pongamiae*. *Asperisporium pongamiae-pinnamiae* was introduced because of the wrong assumption that *F. pongamiae* had been described on another host, *Pongamia globosa*. But *Fusicladium pongamiae* was actually described in India on *Pongamia glabra*, which is a synonym of *P. pinnata*, and hence on the same host as *Asperisporium pongamiae-pinnamiae*. *Pedrocrousiella pongamiae* clusters in a clade containing *DISTOCERCOSPORA*, *Clypeosphaerella*, and "*Pseudocercospora* nephrolepidicola", a species which is not congeneric with *Pseudocercospora*. Phylogenetically, *Pedrocrousiella* is distant from the *Asperisporium s. str*. clade (type species *A. caricae*), which is more closely related to *Amycosphaerella*, *Pseudocercosporea*, *Distomycovellosiella* and *Nothpassalora*.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Most cercosporoid genera with and without mycosphaerella-like sexual morphs belong in the *Mycosphaerellaceae* (Mycosphaerellales, Dothideomycetes, Ascomycota; Abdollahzadeh *et al.* 2020), and based on phylogenetic data about 120 genera are now accepted within this family (Videira *et al.* 2017). The genus name *Mycosphaerella*, which has previously been applied to cercosporoid fungi as sexual genus, is now, on the basis of the current Code (ICNafp; Turland *et al.* 2018), a synonym of *Ramularia*, which is included in a list of protected names (Wijayawardene *et al.* 2014, Rossman *et al.* 2015, Videira *et al.* 2015a, b, 2017). The species-rich family *Mycosphaerellaceae* is characterised by having a considerably morphological and genetical diversity. Most of the included species are biotrophic and encompass numerous economically important plant pathogens worldwide (Crous *et al.* 2015). The phylogeny and taxonomy of cercosporoid fungi is complex, challenging, and far from being completely examined (Baker *et al.* 2000, Crous & Braun 2003, Crous *et al.* 2007, 2009a, 2019, Videira *et al.* 2017, to name but a few).

Maublanc (1913) introduced *Asperisporium* with *A. caricae* (≡ *Cercospora caricae*) as type species for a foliicolous, leaf-spotting hyphomycete on papaya, characterised by forming well-developed stromata giving rise to densely fasciculate, cicatrised conidiophores which produce verruculose amero- to phragmosporous conidia singly. A detailed description of the genus *Asperisporium* was published in Braun *et al.* (2013). Sydow & Sydow (1913) described *Fusicladium pongamiae* on living leaves of *Pongamia pinnata* from Tamil Nadu, India. Subramanian (1971) introduced the combination *Passalora pongamiae*, and Deighton (Ellis 1976) transferred *F. pongamiae* to *Asperisporium*. *Asperisporium pongamiae* has been reported from Bangladesh, India, Sri Lanka (Mohanan 1988) and North...
Queensland, Australia (Shivas & Alcorn 1996). Sivanesan (1985) described and illustrated *Mycosphaerella pongamiae*, based on *Stigmatea pongamiae* (Raciborski 1900), which he considered the sexual morph of *Asperisporium pongamiae*. However, this assumption was just premised on the close association between the caespituli of *A. pongamiae* and ascomata of *M. pongamiae* in some collections (*in vivo*, but not *in vitro*). An additional *Asperisporium* species, *A. pongamiae-pongamiae* (Kharwar et al. 2012), described on living leaves of *P. pinnata* from Uttar Pradesh, India, has to be taken into consideration as well.

*Pongamia pinnata* is a medium-sized evergreen Indo-Malaysian tree species, common in alluvial and coastal habitats from India to Fiji, from sea level to 1 200 m alt. (Yadav et al. 2011, Pavithra et al. 2014), but also widely planted in other regions of the world, such as Kenya, Seychelles, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe in Africa, Australia, New Zealand, and the USA (Florida, Hawaii) (https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/42835#todistributionDatabaseTable, Yadav et al. 2011). *Pongamia pinnata* is widely used as ornamental, windbreaker and shade tree, and the seeds contain pongam oil, which is applied for pharmaceutical purposes and as therapeutic product to treat various human diseases, also in the traditional medicine in India, such as skin diseases, piles, ulcers, diabetes, rheumatism, tumors, and wounds (see, https://hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/duke_energy/Pongamia_pinnata.html; Yadav et al. 2011). *Pongamia pinnata* is mainly appreciated for its oils, such as Karanjin (flavone) and pongamol (chalcone), extracted from roots, bark and seeds (Al-Muqarrabun et al. 2013), whereas the leaves are used only as fodder (Arote & Yeole 2010). Therefore, the leaf blight disease caused by *A. pongamiae* is not known to cause any major economic losses. Nevertheless, *A. pongamiae* causes a disease of an important widely used tree species, which underlines the importance to clarify the phylogeny and taxonomy of this leaf-spotting fungus.

The true generic affinity of *A. pongamiae* is so far quite unclear and unproven. In view of the complexity of cercosporoid fungi within the *Mycosphaerellaceae* and the limitation of using morphological traits for the elucidation of generic affiliations (Videira et al. 2017), phylogenetic examinations of the foliar pathogen causing a severe leaf blotch disease of *Pongamia pinnata* were performed, based on specimens collected during the monsoon season of 2018, 2019 and 2020 in the Kothrud area of Pune, India. Samples were subjected to *in vitro* culturing and molecular studies were performed to clarify the correct position of this cercosporoid ascomycete within the *Mycosphaerellaceae*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolates**

Leaves with visible disease symptoms were collected during the monsoon 2018 and post-monsoon seasons of 2019 and 2020 from wild stands growing in a Shiva temple property in the Kothrud area of Pune, India. Conidia were directly isolated from infected leaves observed through a Nikon SMZ1500 dissecting microscope (4× objective). Conidial germination was observed after 6, 24, and 30 h after inoculation. Germinated conidia were further transferred to fresh MEA plates and incubated at 25 ± 2 °C, and observations were noted after 3, 5, 7, 9, and 15 d. Fungarium specimens were deposited in the Ajrekar Mycological Fungarium (AMH), and the derived cultures were accessioned and preserved in the National Fungal Culture Collection of India (NFCCI), Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, India.

**DNA extraction, amplification, and phylogenetic analyses**

Colonies were grown on MEA plates, and genomic DNA extraction was done following the modified protocols of the rapid salt extraction method by Aljanabi & Martinez (1997). The ITS region was amplified using the primer pair ITS5 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). The first part of the large subunit nuclear ribosomal DNA (LSU) gene was amplified using the primer pairs LROR and LR7 (Vilgalys & Hester 1990). For the amplification of the partial DNA-directed RNA polymerase II second largest subunit (*rpb2*) gene, the primer pairs RPB2-5F and RPB2-7R (Liu et al. 1999) were used with touch-up PCR conditions: nine cycles with denaturing temperature 95 °C for 1 min followed by 50 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 90 s; 30 cycles with 95 °C for 1 min, 52 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 90 s; nine cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 90 s and a final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified with a StrataPrep PCR Purification Kit (Agilent Technologies, TX, USA), and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequencing reactions were run on ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA).

Sequence alignments, Bayesian phylogenetic analyses and tree layout followed the protocols of Crous et al. (2020). The NCBI GenBank nucleotide sequence database was queried using megablast searches to identify closest matching sequences in the database. To create the combined LSU-*rpb2* alignment, the novel sequences generated in this study were manually added to the alignment of Videira et al. (2017) downloaded from TreeBASE (study 21537), as well as any close sequences from the blast searches not included in that alignment (Table 1). An initial tree was calculated from this alignment and used as basis for the reduced set tree shown in this study. The final Bayesian posterior probability analysis was performed using MrBayes v. 3.2.7a (Ronquist et al. 2012), using the parameter settings of two parallel runs of four chains each, run for 100 M generations but with the stop value set at 0.01, the temperature set at 0.35 and the sample frequency every 100th generation. The model identified in Videira et al. (2017) as the best model for both partitions was also used in this study (dirichlet base frequencies and the GTR+I+G model). The 50 % majority rule consensus tree was created after the first 25 % of saved trees were discarded as burn-in. In addition, maximum likelihood branch support values (ML-BS) were obtained with the ultrafast bootstrap (Hoang et al. 2018) method implemented in the IQ-TREE v. 2.1.2 software (Nguyen et al. 2015) and parsimony bootstrap support values (MP-BS; 1 M fast bootstrap replicates) using PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). DNA sequences newly generated in this study...
Table 1. Collection details and GenBank accession numbers of additional sequences added to the alignment of Videira et al. (2017). For details of all other sequences, see Videira et al. (2017). The ITS GenBank numbers are provided for completeness and the taxonomic novelty is highlighted with bold text.

| Species                                   | Culture accession number(s) | Country | Substrate                  | Collector(s)                      | GenBank accession number | Sequence references |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| **Cercospora pseudochenopodi**            | CBS 136022 = CCTU 1038,    | Iran    | Chenopodium sp.            | M. Bakhshi                        | KJ886516.1               |                     |
|                                          | ex-type                     |         |                            |                                    |                          |                     |
| **Clypeosphaerella sticheri**             | CPC 24733                   | Brazil  | Sticherus bifidus, fronds | E. Guatimosim                     | KT037536.1, KT037577.1   | Guatimosim et al. (2016) |
| **Collapsimycopappus styrracis**          | HH 30067, ex-type           | Japan   | Styrox obassia, living     | K. Tanaka and Y. Harada           | NR_158348.1, NG_064448.1, LC333042.1 | Hashimoto et al. (2018) |
|                                          | KT 2939                     | Japan   | Styrox obassia, living     | K. Tanaka                         | LC333028.1, LC333034.1, LC333040.1 |                     |
| **Neosonderhenia eucalypti**              | CBS 145081 = CPC 34405,    | Australia | Eucalyptus costata         | B.A. Summerell                    | NR_165602.1, MN162191.1, MN162578.1 | Crous et al. (2019) |
|                                          | ex-type                     |         |                            |                                    |                          |                     |
| **Nothoseptoria caraganae**               | CBS 145082 = CPC 34395,    | Australia | Eucalyptus costata         | B.A. Summerell                    | MN161928.1, MN162192.1, MN162579.1 | Crous et al. (2019) |
|                                          | CPC 36563 = CBS 145993      | Russia  | Caragana arborescens,      | T.S. Bulgakov                     | MT233825.1, MT233917.1, MT233693.1 | Crous et al. (2020) |
|                                          | CPC 36565                   | Russia  | Caragana arborescens,      | T.S. Bulgakov                     | MT233826.1, MT233918.1, MT233694.1 | Crous et al. (2020) |
| **Pedrocrousiella pongamiae**             | NFCCI 4881, ex-epitype      | India   | Pongamia pinnata, leaves   | K.C. Rajeshkumar                  | MW327548.1, MW327593.1, MW363496.1 | Present study       |
| **Pseudocercospora nephrolepidicola**     | CBS 128211 = CPC 17049,    | Australia | Nephrolepis falcata, leaves| P.W. Crous and R.G. Shivas        | HQ599590.1, HQ599591.1, KX462646.1 | Crous et al. (2010), Nakashima et al. (2016) |
|                                          | ex-type                     |         |                            |                                    |                          |                     |
| **Pseudozasmidium parkii**                | CBS 387.92 = CPC 353,      | Brazil  | Eucalyptus grandis         | M.J. Wingfield                    | KF901785.1, GU214448.1   |                     |
|                                          | ex-type                     |         |                            |                                    |  –                       |                     |
| **Zasmidium musicola**                    | CBS 122479, ex-type         | India   | Musa acuminate AAA Group cv. Cavendish | I.W. Buddenhagen                | NR_156516.1, NG_069906.1, MF951717.1 | Arzanlou et al. (2008), Videira et al. (2017), Vu et al. (2019) |

1 CBS: Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands; CCTU: Culture Collection of Tabriz University, Iran; CPC: Culture collection of Pedro Crous, housed at CBS; HH: Culture collection at Hirosaki University, Japan; KT: Culture collection of Kazuaki Tanaka, Hirosaki University, Japan; NFCCI: National Fungal Culture Collection of India, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune, India.

2 ITS: internal transcribed spacers and intervening 5.8S nrDNA; LSU: large subunit (28S) of the nrRNA gene operon; rpb2: partial DNA-directed RNA polymerase II second largest subunit gene.
were deposited in GenBank (Table 1), the alignment and trees in TreeBASE (study 27395) and the taxonomic novelty in MycoBank (www.MycoBank.org; Crous et al. 2004).

Morphology

For morphological studies and photomicrographs, a ZEISS Axio Imager 2 compound microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) and a Nikon SMZ1500 stereomicroscope with a digital camera control unit DS-V1 (Tokyo, Japan) were used. Conidia and conidiophores were mounted in lactic acid cotton blue and measured using the AxioVision v. 4.8 software, with 30 measurements per structure. Culture characteristics were studied on MEA. Colony colours were determined using the Methuen Handbook of Colour (Kornerup & Wanscher 1978). Induced sporulation studies were performed (in a biomulti incubator at 25 ± 2 °C) in an attempt to verify putative asexual-sexual morph associations on MEA and Cornoal Agar (CMA) media (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) along with host tissue or carnation leaves. Symptomatic leaves from field collections were further subjected to Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) to verify the sporodochial development and conidial ornamentation using a Carl Zeiss EVO 50 Scanning Electron Microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) at Agharkar Research Institute, Pune.

RESULTS

Phylogeny

Based on megablast and blastn searches of the NCBI’s GenBank nucleotide database, only distant hits were obtained using the ITS sequence, such as Pseudocercospora bakeri CBS 119488 [GenBank KX287306.1; identities = 354/397 (89 %), 12 gaps (3 %)], Neosonderhenia eucalypti CBS 145081 [GenBank NR_165602; identities = 405/463 (87 %), 18 gaps (3 %)], Xenosonderhenia eucalypti CBS 138858 [GenBank NR_137937; identities = 406/467 (87 %), 19 gaps (4 %)], and “Passalora” nattrassii z3 [GenBank KF863691.1; identities = 399/455 (88 %), 8 gaps (1 %); only ITS was available and could therefore not be included in the phylogenetic tree]. Based on megablast and blastn searches of the NCBI’s GenBank nucleotide database, the closest hits were obtained using the LSU sequence, such as “Pseudocercospora nephrolepidicola” CBS 128211 [GenBank HQ599591.1; identities = 807/834 (97 %), 2 gaps (0 %)], Sonderhenia_eucalyptorum CBS 120220 [as Mycosphaerella swartii; GenBank DQ923536.1; identities = 806/835 (97 %), 3 gaps (0 %)], Sonderhenia_eucalyptica CMW 20333 [as Mycosphaerella Walkeri; GenBank DQ267574.1; identities = 806/835 (97 %), 3 gaps (0 %), and Cleyesphaerella quasiparkii CBS 123243 [GenBank MH874811.1; identities = 807/836 (97 %), 4 gaps (0 %)]. Based on megablast and blastn searches of the NCBI’s GenBank nucleotide database using the rpb2 sequence (NFCCI 4881), only distant hits were obtained, such as Distocercospora pachyderma CBS 138247 [GenBank MF951486; identities = 712/866 (82 %), no gaps], “Pseudocercospora” nephrolepidicola CBS 128211 [GenBank KX462646.1; identities = 561/685 (82 %), no gaps], Cleyesphaerella calotropidis CBS 129.30 [GenBank MF951477.1; identities = 874/1 073 (81 %), no gaps], and Zasmidium musicola CBS 122479 [GenBank MF951717.1; identities = 714/922 (77 %), 16 gaps (1 %)].

The final combined LSU-rpb2 dataset comprised a total of 1 449 characters (including five question marks which were used to separate the two loci but were excluded from the actual analysis and including all alignment gaps) for 101 strains (including the outgroup sequence). The data partitions contained 203 and 457 unique site patterns for LSU and rpb2, respectively. The analysis ran for 2 M generations after which it stopped as the average standard deviation of split frequencies reached 0.009815. In total, 40 002 trees were saved after which 30 002 were sampled to calculate the posterior probability (PP) values and the 50 % majority rule consensus tree (Fig. 1). Support values from the maximum likelihood and parsimony analyses are also plotted on the tree (Fig. 1). The sister relationship between Pedrocrousiella pongamiae (NFCCI 4881) and Distocercospora pachyderma (CBS 138247) was fully to highly supported in all analyses (PP = 1.00 / ML-BS = 98 % / MP-BS = 90 %). The newly sequenced strain was found not to be congeneric with Asperisporium (located in the bottom clade of Fig. 1) or any other genus for which sequence data are available, hence a new genus is established below to accommodate it.

All three phylogenetic analyses calculated the same clustering for Pedrocrousiella pongamiae; only in the parsimony analysis was the relationship between “Pseudocercospora” nephrolepidicola and Cleyesphaerella sticheri unresolved but this is most likely a result of the missing rpb2 data for the latter species.

Taxonomy

Pedrocrousiella Rajeshkumar, U. Braun & J.Z. Groenew., gen. nov. MycoBank MB838146.

Etymology: Named after Pedro Crous, director of the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute and researcher who established the modern taxonomy and backbone of Mycosphaerellaceae.

Classification: Mycosphaerellaceae, Mycosphaerellales, Dothideomycetes.

Diagnosis: Pedrocrousiella is morphologically indistinguishable from Asperisporium s. lat., but it differs phylogenetically from Asperisporium s. str., determined by its type species, A. caricae, by forming a distant lineage.

Conidiomata foliicolous, sporodochial, scattered, olive brown to dark brown, erumpent. Conidiophores arising from stromata, densely fasciculate, aseptate or septate, macronematous, mononematous, simple, straight to slightly sinuous, almost smooth to verruculose-rugose, pale brown, wall thin to somewhat thickened. Conidiogenous cells integrated, terminal, or conidiophores reduced to conidiogenous cells, cylindrical (geniculation caused by sympodial proliferation not evident), polyblastic, often with numerous conidiogenous loci thickened and darkened (cicatrized). Conidia formed singly, broad ellipsoid, ovate or obclavate, 0–2-septate, wall thin, pale olivaceous to olivaceous brown, verruculose, apices obtuse, bases truncated, and darkened (cicatrized). Pedrocrousiella pongamiae (≡ Fusicladium pongamiae) Rajeshkumar, U. Braun & J.Z. Groenew. (≡ Fusicladium pongamiae) Rajeshkumar, U. Braun & J.Z. Groenew.
Fig. 1. Consensus phylogram (50 % majority rule) resulting from a Bayesian analysis of the combined LSU and rp2 sequence data of *Mycosphaerellaceae* (reference sequences based on Videira et al. 2017). Bayesian posterior probability (PP) values > 0.79, maximum likelihood branch support values (ML-BS) > 79 % and parsimony bootstrap support values (MP-BS) > 79 % are given at the nodes and thickened branches are fully supported (PP = 1.00 / ML-BS = 100 % / MP-BS = 100 %). The tree is rooted to *Cylindroserpentinae* CBS 477.69. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the expected changes per site. The family is indicated with a large coloured block and the two shades of blue represent the taxonomic novelty described here and the originally reported genus, respectively.

© 2021 Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute
Pedrocrusiella pongamiae  
(Syd. & P. Syd.) Rajeshkumar, U. Braun & J.Z. Groenew., *comb. nov*. MycoBank MB838147. Figs 2–5.

**Basionym:** Fusicladium pongamiae Syd. & P. Syd., *Ann. Mycol.* 11(4): 328. 1913.

**Synonyms:** Passalora pongamiae (Syd. & P. Syd.) Subram., *Hyphomycetes*: 237. 1971.

**Aspersionporium pongamiae** (Syd. & P. Syd.) Deighton, *In Ellis, More Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes*: 241. 1976.

**Aspersionporium pongamiae-ponginate** Khawar, A. Kumar, Bhat & C. Nakash., *Vegatos* 25(1): 336. 2012.

**Typus:** *India*, Tamil Nadu, Coimbusatore, Iruttu Palam, on leaves of *Pongamia pinnata* (= *P. glabra*), Dec. 1909, C.E.C. Fischer (*lectotype* designated here, S-F45748, MycoBank MBT395139).

**India**, Maharashtra, Pune, Kothrud, on leaves of *Pongamia pinnata*, 23 Jun. 2018, K.C. Rajeshkumar (*epitype* designated here AMH 10302, MycoBank MBT395140); culture ex-epitype NFCCI 4881. Ex-epitype sequences: MW327548 (ITS), MW327593 (LSU), MW363496 (rpb2).

*In vivo:* Phytopathogenic, causing leaf spots, amphiogenous, colour, shape and size variable, subcircular to irregular, sometimes diffuse, 2–20 mm diam or confluent and larger, sometimes large segment leaves or almost entire leaves discoloured, yellowish green, ochraceous to brownish, reddish brown, later becoming dark brown to blackish brown by the development of abundant sporodochia. *Mycelium* internal. *Stromata* immersed, well-developed, large, to 120 μm diam, medium to dark brown, composed of swollen hyphal cells, 2–7 μm diam, rounded in outline to irregularly shaped. *Conidiomata* sporodochial, mostly hypophyllous, scattered to usually dense, olive brown, dark brown to blackish, erumpent, 50–250 μm diam. *Conidiophores* densely fasciculate, very numerous, arising from stromata, macronematous, mononematous, septate below or conidiophores reduced to conidiogenous cells, unbranched, straight to slightly sinuous, but not geniculate, smooth to verruculose-rugose, pale brown, wall thin or somewhat thick-walled, 35–65 × 2.5–5 μm. *Conidigenous cells* integrated, terminal, up to about 40 μm long, cylindrical, proliferation sympodial, but not causing any trace of geniculation, polyblastic, usually with numerous, often densely arranged thickened and darkened conidiogenous loci, 1–2 μm wide. *Conidia* formed singly, broad ellipsoid, ovoid, short subcylindrical or obclavate, apices obtuse, bases truncate, 15–30 × 4.5–7 μm, young conidia 10–12.5 × 5–5.5 μm, 0–1(–2)–septate, wall pale olivaceous to olivaceous brown, thin, verruculose, basal hilum slightly thickened and darkened or almost undifferentiated, 0.5–1.5 μm wide, schizolytic.

*Colonies on MEA* at 25 ± 2 °C after 15 d slow growing, 20–28 mm (40–48 mm after 45 d) diam, dark brown to black, velutinous with umbonate centre, reverse black. Colonies on CMA at 25 ± 2 °C after 15 d 20–25 mm, velutinous, blackish, reverse black.

*Additional materials examined:* *India*, Tamil Nadu, former Madras Presidency, Malabar District, Chalisseri, on leaves of *Pongamia pinnata*, 10 Jul. 1912, W. McRay (S-F45747, syntype); Maharashtra, Pune, Kothrud, on leaves of *Pongamia pinnata*, 9 Sep. 2020, K.C. Rajeshkumar RKC-2020; cultures RKC-2020.1 and RKC-2020.2. *Sri Lanka*, Peradeniya, on leaves of *Pongamia pinnata*, Dec. 1913, T. Petch [*Petrak, Mycoth. Gen. 736*] (M); *ibid.* [Syd., Fungi Exot. Exs. 441] (M).

Notes: Scanning Electron Microscopy studies confirmed the erumpent sporodochial nature of the conidiomata, the densely fasciculate conidiophores and ovoid to obclavate conidia having verruculose conidial ornamentation as observed by light microscopy. *Aspersionporium pongamiae-ponginate* (Khawar et al. 2012) is undoubted a heterotypic synonym of *A. pongamiae*. Type material of *A. pongamiae-ponginate* was not available for re-examination, but this species shares *Pongamia pinnata* as type host with *A. pongamiae* and, based on its original description, it is morphologically indistinguishable from *A. pongamiae*.

The fungus on *Pongamia* studied here is not congeneric with any of the genera known from sequence data. The blast results presented here do not provide any conclusive placement for this species, while the phylogenetic study places it in a clade (PP = 1.00 / ML-BS = 100 % / MP-BS = 82 %) containing *Clypeosphaerella*, *Distocercospora*, and “Pseudocercospora” nephrolepidicola, a species which is not congeneric with *Pseudocercospora*. One can choose to apply a single generic name to this whole clade. *Distocercospora* is a genus from 1988 (Pons & Sutton 1988), *i.e.*, much older than *Clypeosphaerella* (Guatimosim et al. 2016), and would therefore be a candidate genus name for the whole clade, including “Pseudocercospora” nephrolepidicola. In this study, we follow the generic concepts of Videira et al. (2017) who recognized *Clypeosphaerella* and *Distocercospora* as different genera such as the sufficient phylogenetic distance between these genera and strong morphological differences of the asexual morphs. *Distocercospora* is phylogenetically much closer to *Pedrocrusiella* than *Clypeosphaerella*, and could therefore be a candidate genus for the fungus on *Pongamia* studied here. The ITS, LSU and rpb2 sequences of *Pedrocrusiella* and *Distocercospora* are only 83 % (372/449, including 25 gaps; GenBank NR_156369.1), 96 % (694/724, including one gap; GenBank NG_059178.1) and 82 % (712/866, no gaps; GenBank MF951486) similar. However, given that the morphology of *Distocercospora* is very different from the pongam fungus (non-sporodochial, loosely fasciculate, frequently branched, long conidiophores, distoseptation of conidia, etc.), and that they are (phylo)genetically different, we believe the introduction of a new genus for this species is warranted. Numerous examples where strains are either considered to belong to the same or different genera exist across the phylogenetic tree presented here (Fig. 1) and thus branch length alone is not a good criterion to judge generic affinity in *Mycosphaerellaceae*.

Induced sporulation studies on MEA and CMA media using asymptomatic host tissues (*Pongamia pinnata*) and carnation leaves were unsuccessful to prove the putative asexual-sexual morph connection between *Pedrocrusiella pongamiae* and *Mycosphaerella pongamiae* postulated by Sivanesan (1985). Cultures did not sporulate even after 45 d of incubation at 25 ± 2 °C. For this reason, we prefer to maintain *M. pongamiae* as a separate species as indicated below for now:

**Stigmata pongamiae** Racib., *Parasit. Alg. Pilze Java’s* 3: 36. 1900.

**Synonyms:** Spilosticta pongamiae (Racib.) Bat. & Peres, *Portug. Acta Biol.*, Sér. B, 7(1): 26. 1960.

**Mycosphaerella pongamiae** (Racib.) Sivan., *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.* 84(3): 363. 1985.

**Syntypes:** Indonesia, Java, Noesa, Kanbangan, on *Pongamia pinnata* (= *P. glabra*), 1899 (KRA-F-1899-124, KRA-F-1899-125); *ibid.*, 1900 (KRA-F-1900-45); *ibid.*, undated (KRA-F-0-2103).
Fig. 2. *Pedrocrousiella pongamiae* (AMH 10302 – epitype). A–C. Symptoms on the upper and lower surface of the host leaves, *Pongamia pinnata*. D, E. Sporodochial development on abaxial surface. F. Colonies on MEA after 15 d. G, H. Colonies on MEA after 45 d. Scale bars = 500 µm.
DISCUSSION

There are 24 species names assigned to Asperisporium (https://www.mycobank.org, queried 23 November 2020). *Asperisporium caricae*, the type species of this genus, is responsible for an important leaf and fruit spot disease of *Carica papaya* [papaw or papaya] (Stevens 1939) that is commonly referred to as black spot, blight or ‘rust’ of pawpaw (Ellis & Holliday 1972, Minnis et al. 2011). A comprehensive treatment of *A. caricae*, including lecto- and epitypification with ex-epitype sequences (ITS and LSU), was published by Minnis et al. (2011). Currently, the type species of *Asperisporium* is placed in a well-supported clade, closely related to *Amycosphaerella* and *Paramycovelloisiella* (clade 13 sensu Videira et al. 2017). Videira et al. (2017) also pointed out the necessity of reassessing every species assigned to *Asperisporium*.

Phylogenetic analyses based on the LSU and *rpb2* sequence data retrieved from the ex-epitype culture of *Asperisporium pongamiae*, a leaf-spotting cercosporoid ascomycete on the economically important, variously utilised pongam oil tree, revealed that this fungus constitutes a lineage closely allied to *Distocercospora*, *Clypeosphaerella* and “*Pseudocercospora*” Fig. 3. *Pedrocrousiella pongamiae* (AMH 10302 – epitype). A, B. Scanning Electron Micrograph of sporodochia on abaxial surface of leaves. C. Section through sporodochia showing conidiophores. D. Young ovoid detached conidium with truncate base. E, F. Mature obclavate conidia. Scale bars: A, B = 20 µm, C = 10 µm, D–F = 1 µm.
Pedrocrousiella pongamiae from India

**Fig. 4.** *Pedrocrousiella pongamiae* (AMH 10302 – epitype). **A, B.** Sporodochia. **C, D.** Conidiophores with cicatrised conidiogenous cells and attached conidia. **E.** Densely fasciculate conidiophores. **F.** Young broad, ellipsoid, aseptate conidium. **G, H.** Mature, obclavate, 1–2-septate conidia. **I–K.** Conidial variation. Scale bars = 10 µm.
nephrolepidicola, a species which does not pertain to *Pseudocercospora* as currently circumscribed on the basis of phylogenetic data (also see discussion in Nakashima *et al.* 2016), but quite distant from the *Asperisporium* (s. str.) clade. *Asperisporium pongamiae* is morphologically indistinguishable from previous broad concepts of *Asperisporium* s. lat., just based on morphology. However, the phylogenetic position of *A. pongamiae*, quite distant from the *Asperisporium* s. str. clade, does now allow to maintain this species in the latter genus. These results justify the introduction of a new genus for this lineage, *Pedrocrousiella*. The reasons for this decision, above all the differentiation against *Distocercospora*, is discussed above in the taxonomy section under notes. *Fusicladium pongamiae* (≡ *Asperisporium pongamiae*), the name of a common cercosporoid ascomycete on pongam oil tree, is available for the leaf spot disease examined and used as type species of the new genus. *Asperisporium pongamiae-pinnatae*, described from India on *Pongamia pinnata*, is morphologically indistinguishable from *A. pongamiae* and was erroneously introduced on the basis of the wrong assumption that *A. pongamiae* was originally described on another host, *Pongamia globosa*.

A special still unresolved problem concerns the relation between *Asperisporium pongamiae* and *Mycosphaerella pongamiae*. Sivanesan (1985) considered *A. pongamiae* the
a sexual morph of *M. pongamiae*. However, this putative connection was just based on the occurrence of the two morphs together on leaf lesions in some collections, but it has never been verified in culture or by molecular data. All attempts to induce the formation of a sexual morph in cultures of *A. pongamiae* during the course of the present examinations failed. It remains unconfirmed whether there is any relation between the two morphs. A connection can currently not be completely ruled out. However, even in the event that the two morphs would be part of the life cycle of a single species, there is no automatism to use the older sexual morph-typed name for the naming of the species concerned. The ICNap provides sufficient tools to maintain more appropriate, commonly used names, particularly in case of pleomorphic fungi. Above all in the phylogeny and taxonomy of cercosporoid fungi, the asexual morphs play a much greater role compared to the less significant sexual morphs (Crous et al. 2019). For the time being, based on the unproven connection between the two morphs, we prefer to retain *M. pongamiae* as a separate species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K.C. Rajeshkumar thanks SERB, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, for providing financial support under the project YSS/2015/001590, and the Director, ARI, for providing the laboratory facility. KCR also thanks Dr Keith Seifert for valuable advice and suggestions regarding induced sporulation studies and typification.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Abdollahzadeh J, Groenewald JZ, Coetree MPA, et al. (2020). Evolution of lifestyles in *Capnodiales*. *Studies in Mycology* 95: 381–414.

Al-Muqarrabun LM, Ahmat N, Ruzaina SA, et al. (2013). Medicinal uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology of *Pongamia pinnata* (L.) Pierre: A review. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 150: 395–420.

Aljanabi SM, Martinez I (1997). Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high-quality genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25: 4692–4693.

Arote SR, Yeole PG (2010). *Pongamia pinnata*: L: a comprehensive review. *International Journal of PharmTech Research* 2: 2283–2290.

Arzanlou M, Groenewald JZ, Fullerton RA, et al. (2008). Multiple gene genealogies and phenotypic characters differentiate several novel species of *Mycosphaerella* and related anamorphs on banana. *Persoonia* 20: 19–37.

Baker WA, Partridge EC, Morgan-Jones G (2000). Notes on *Hypomyces*, LXXVIII. *Asperisporium sequoiae*, the causal organism of conifer needle blight, reclassified in *Cercosporidium*, with comments on the status of the genus. *Mycotaxon* 76: 247–256.

Bakhshi M, Arzanlou M, Babai-Ahari A, et al. (2015). Application of the consolidated species concept to *Cercospora* spp. from Iran. *Persoonia* 34: 65–86.

Bakhshi M, Arzanlou M, Babai-Ahari A, et al. (2018). Novel primers improve species delimitation in *Cercospora*. *IMA Fungus* 9: 299–332.

Braun U, Nakashima C, Crous PW (2013). Cercosporoid fungi (*Mycosphaerellaceae*). 1. Species on other fungi, *Pteridophyta* and *Gymnospermae*. *IMA Fungus* 4: 265–345.

Crous PW, Braun U (2003). *Mycosphaerella* and its anamorphs: 1. Names published in *Cercospora* and *Passalora*. *CBS Biodiversity Series* No. 1. Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

Crous PW, Braun U, Wingfield MJ, et al. (2009a). Phylogeny and taxonomy of obscure genera of microfungi. *Persoonia* 22: 139–161.

Crous PW, Gams W, Stalpers JA, et al. (2004). MycoBank: an online initiative to launch mycology into the 21st century. *Studies in Mycology* 50: 19–22.

Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Shivis RG (2010). *Pseudocercospora nephrolepidicola*. Fungal Planet 59. *Persoonia* 25:138–139

Crous PW, Hawksworth DL, Wingfield MJ (2015). Identifying and Naming Plant-Pathogenic Fungi: Past, Present, and Future. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 53: 12.1–12.21.

Crous PW, Schoch CL, Hyde KD, et al. (2009b). Phylogenetic lineages in the *Capnodiales*. *Studies in Mycology* 64: 17–47.

Crous PW, Summerell BA, Carnegie A, et al. (2007). Folicolous *Mycosphaerella* spp. and their anamorphs on *Corymbia* and *Eucalyptus*. *Fungal Diversity* 26: 143–185.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Cheewangkoon R, et al. (2019). Foliar pathogens of eucalypts. *Studies in Mycology* 94: 125–298.

Crous PW, Wingfield MJ, Schumacher RK, et al. (2020). New and interesting fungi. 3. *Fungal Systematics and Evolution* 6: 157–231.

Ellis MB (1976). *More Dematiaceous Hyphomycetes*. IMI, Kew.

Ellis MB, Holliday P (1972). *Asperisporium caricae*: [Descriptions of Fungi and Bacteria]. IMI Descriptions of Fungi and Bacteria 35: 347.

Guatimosim E, Schwartsbund PB, Barreto RW, et al. (2016). Novel fungi from an ancient niche: cercosporoid and related sexual morphs on ferns. *Persoonia* 37: 106–141.

Hashimoto A, Sasaki Y, Harada Y, et al. (2018). *Collapsimycococcus*: A new leaf pathogen with mycopappus-like propagules in *Mycosphaerellaceae*. *Forest Pathology* 48: e12452.

Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, et al. (2018). UFFBoot2: Improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35: 518–522.

Kharwar RN, Kumar A, Nakashima C, et al. (2012). *Asperisporium pongamiae-pannatae*, a new folicolous fungus from India. *Vegetos* 25: 334–337.

Kornerup JH, Wanscher A (1978). *Methuen handbook of colour*. Eyre Methuen, London.

Liu, YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD (1999). Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 1799–1808.

Maublanc A (1913). Sur une maladie de feuilles du papayer (*Carica papaya*). *Bulletin Trimestriel de la Société Mycologique de France* 29: 353–358.

Minnis AM, Kennedy AH, Grenier DB, et al. (2011). *Asperisporium* and *Pantospora* (*Mycosphaerellaceae*): epitypifications and phylogenetic placement. *Persoonia* 27: 1–8.

Mohan C (1988). Foliar diseases of *Pongamia pinnata* caused by *Asperisporium pongamiae* and *Urohendersonia pongamiae* in Kerala. *Indian Journal of Forestry* 11: 202–203.

Nakashima C, Motohashi K, Chen C-Y, et al. (2019). Foliar pathogens of eucalypts. *Studies in Mycology* 64: 17–47.

Pavithra HR, Shivanna MB, Chandrika K, et al. (2014). Genetic analysis of *Pongamia pinnata* (L.) Pierre populations using AFLP markers. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 10: 173–188.

Pons N, Sutton BC (1988). *Cercospora* and similar fungi on yams

© 2021 Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute

175
(Dioscorea spp.). *Mycological Papers* **160**: 1–78.
Quaedvlieg W, Binder M, Groenewold JZ, et al. (2014). Introducing the Consolidated Species Concept to resolve species in the *Teratosphaeriaceae*. *Persoonia* **33**: 1–40.
Raciborski M (1900). *Parasitische Algen und Pilze Java’s*. Staatsdruckerei, Batavia.
Rehner SA, Samuels GJ (1994). Taxonomy and phylogeny of *Gliocladium* analysed from nuclear large subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycological Research* **98**: 625–634.
Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, et al. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* **61**: 539–542.
Rossman AV, Crous PW, Hyde KD, et al. (2015). Recommended names for pleomorphic genera in *Dothideomycetes*. *IMA Fungus* **6**: 507–523.
Sivanesan A (1985). The teleomorph of *Asperisporium pongamiae*. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* **84**: 363–367.
Shivas RG, Alcorn JL (1996). A checklist of plant pathogenic and other microfungi in the rainforests of the wet tropics of northern Queensland. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **25**: 158–173.
Stevens HE (1939). Papaya Diseases. *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society* **25**: 158–173.
Subramanian CV (1971). *Hyphomycetes*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.
Swofford DL (2003). PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.
Sydow H, Sydow P (1913). *Novae fungorum species – X*. *Annales Mycologici* **11**: 254–271.
Turland NJ, Wiersma JH, Barrie FR, et al. (2018). *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code)* [Regnum Vegetabile no. 159]. Koeltz Botanical Books, Glashütten.
Videira SIR, Groenewold JZ, Kolecka A, et al. (2015a). Elucidating the *Ramularia eucalypti* species complex. *Persoonia* **34**: 50–64.
Videira SIR, Groenewold JZ, Verkley GJM, et al. (2015b). The rise of *Ramularia* from the *Mycosphaerella* labyrinth. *Fungal Biology* **119**: 823–843.
Videira SIR, Groenewold JZ, Nakashima C, et al. (2017). *Mycosphaerellaceae* – Chaos or clarity? *Studies in Mycology* **87**: 257–421.
Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990). Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* **172**: 4238–4246.
Vu D, Groenewold M, de Vries M, et al. (2019). Large-scale generation and analysis of filamentous fungal DNA barcodes boosts coverage for kingdom fungi and reveals thresholds for fungal species and higher taxon delimitation. *Studies in Mycology* **92**: 135–154.
White TJ, Bruns T, Taylor J (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and White JW (eds): *A Guide to Molecular Methods and Applications*. Academic Press, New York: 315–322.
Wijayawardene NN, Crous PW, Kirk PM, et al. (2014). Naming and outline of *Dothideomycetes* – 2014 including proposals for the protection or suppression of generic names. *Fungal Diversity* **69**: 1–55.
Yadav RD, Jain SK, Alok S, et al. (2011). *Pongamia pinnata*: an overview. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research* **2**: 494–500.