Prevalence and Predictors of Somatic Symptoms among Child and Adolescents with Probable Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Cross-Sectional Study Conducted in 21 Primary and Secondary Schools after an Earthquake

Ye Zhang¹, Jun Zhang¹*, Shenyue Zhu², Changhui Du³, Wei Zhang¹**

¹ Mental Health Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ² Education Supervision Department, Baoxing County Education Bureau, Yaan, Sichuan, China, ³ Science and Education Information Department, Chengdu Center of Disease Control, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Citation: Zhang Y, Zhang J, Zhu S, Du C, Zhang W (2015) Prevalence and Predictors of Somatic Symptoms among Child and Adolescents with Probable Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Cross-Sectional Study Conducted in 21 Primary and Secondary Schools after an Earthquake. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0137101. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137101

Abstract

Purpose
To explore the prevalence rates and predictors of somatic symptoms among child and adolescent survivors with probable posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) after an earthquake.

Methods
A total of 3053 students from 21 primary and secondary schools in Baoxing County were administered the Patient Health Questionnaire-13 (PHQ-13), a short version of PHQ-15 without the two items about sexuality and menstruation, the Children’s Revised Impact of Event Scale (CRIES), and the self-made Earthquake-Related Experience Questionnaire 3 months after the Lushan earthquake.

Results
Among child and adolescent survivors, the prevalence rates of all somatic symptoms were higher in the probable PTSD group compared with the controls. The most frequent somatic symptoms were trouble sleeping (83.2%), feeling tired or having low energy (74.4%), stomach pain (63.2%), dizziness (58.1%), and headache (57.7%) in the probable PTSD group. Older age, having lost family members, having witnessed someone get seriously injured, and having witnessed someone get buried were predictors for somatic symptoms among child and adolescent survivors with probable PTSD.
Conclusions

Somatic symptoms among child and adolescent earthquake survivors with probable PTSD in schools were common, and predictors of these somatic symptoms were identified. These findings may help those providing psychological health programs to find the child and adolescent students with probable PTSD who are at high risk of somatic symptoms in schools after an earthquake in China.

Introduction

On 20 April 2013, an earthquake registering 7.0 on the Richter scale occurred in Sichuan Province, China. The epicenter was located in Lushan County, which was also affected by the Wenchuan Earthquake in 2008. There were only five years between these two catastrophic disasters. The Lushan earthquake resulted in 196 deaths; furthermore, there were 21 missing, at least 11,470 injured and more than 968 seriously injured [1], causing psychological changes among survivors [2].

Survivors affected by disaster have more opportunities to develop posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [3] and are more likely to have comorbid somatic symptoms [4–6]. Previous studies found that PTSD was possibly associated with a higher frequency of somatic symptoms compared with other psychiatric disorders [7, 8]; there was a preponderance of neurological, cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, respiratory and gastrointestinal disorders, as well as diabetes, chronic pain and sleep disorders [9]. Considering their potential impact on the course of PTSD and the functional disability associated with it, comorbid somatic symptoms are a critical concern in PTSD [7].

A number of studies have explored the somatic conditions of survivors after various kinds of disasters. For example, 6 months following deployment, the most frequent somatic symptoms reported among infantry soldiers [10] were sleep problems (32.8%), musculoskeletal pain (32.7%), fatigue (32.3%), and back pain (28.1%). In Rwanda, 14 years after the genocide, the most common somatic symptoms were back pain (74.1%) and headache (72.5%) among the survivors with PTSD [11]. As for the child and adolescent survivors who are in their early period of psychological development, they are more easily impacted by traumatic events and more vulnerable to develop severe psychological problems [12] or somatic symptoms [13] after disaster. One of the few studies conducted in children and adolescents after the Wenchuan earthquake reported the severity of somatic complaints in the respiratory system, cardiovascular system, nervous system, digestive system, and urogenital system, but not the prevalence rates in child and adolescents with PTSD [14]. Therefore, evidence regarding the prevalence rates of somatic symptoms in children and adolescents with PTSD should be added to the literature.

Earthquake-related experiences were found to be associated with PTSD in a number of studies [15–17]. These experiences included having witnessed someone get buried [16], having witnessed someone get injured [16], and losing family members [18]. Nonetheless, little is known about whether earthquake-related experiences could make contributions to the somatic symptoms among child and adolescent survivors suffering from PTSD after an earthquake.

Based on the literature, we hypothesized that child and adolescent survivors with probable PTSD displayed high prevalence rates of somatic symptoms and that several earthquake-related experiences (i.e., having witnessed someone almost get hit, having witnessed someone get seriously injured, having witnessed someone get buried, losing family members, etc.) could contribute to the somatic symptoms. The aims of the present study were to explore the
prevalence rates of somatic symptoms and identify the predictors of somatic symptoms among children and adolescents with probable PTSD after the Lushan earthquake.

Methods

Participants and Procedure

Three months after the Lushan earthquake, the survey was conducted in Baoxing County, which was one of the severely damaged counties during the earthquake. It was selected for two reasons: (a) there were 21 primary and secondary schools in this county, which could satisfy the requirement for the large sample in the present study, and (b) the manager of the Education Bureau of Baoxing County and the schools' principals and teachers in these schools were aware that it is important to perform an investigation regarding the psychosomatic conditions which could benefit the psychosocial intervention in child and adolescent students, and they were willing to provide assistance for this study. In the present study, we did not provide any type of treatment for the probable PTSD students. However, we have returned these results to the teachers and principals in these schools, and they will provide some useful information and more supports to the probable PTSD students.

The sampling strategy is shown in Fig 1. The data were collected at the end of July 2013, more than 3 months after the Lushan earthquake. The investigation process was completed.
within 7 days to control for the effects of time. A sample of 3271 participants was recruited from the 21 primary and secondary schools in Baoxing County. The inclusion criterion was willingness to participate, and the exclusion criteria were refusal to participate and failure to complete the majority of the survey. Of the possible 3271 participants, 218 were excluded because the respondents did not complete the majority of the survey, yielding a response rate of 93.3%. Finally, data for 3053 respondents were complete and suitable for analysis.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the West China Hospital of Sichuan University, the Education Bureau of the Baoxing County, and the Department of Health of Sichuan Province. Written informed consent was obtained from school principals and teachers. In China, research projects do not need parental consent if local education authorities, such as the county Bureau of Education and school administrators, approve it as a service to the students [19]. The present study belonged to that category; thus, written informed consent from parents was not required [19]. Before conducting this investigation, investigators gave child and adolescent survivors a description of the procedures and informed them that they could join this study voluntarily and that they had a right to withdraw from this study. Written informed consent was obtained from each student in the questionnaire. Under the supervision of trained individuals with a master’s degree in psychology, participants completed the following questionnaires in their classrooms: the Patient Health Questionnaire-13 (PHQ-13) scale, the Children’s Revised Impact of Event Scale (CRIES), and the self-made Earthquake-Related Experience Questionnaire.

Measures

The evaluation tools of this study included two parts. The first part was to assess demographic characteristics (i.e., age, ethnicity and gender) and earthquake-related experiences. Earthquake-related experiences were measured by a self-reported questionnaire, which included the following questions: (1) Did you almost get hit by destroyed objects in the Lushan earthquake? (2) Did you get hit and injured in the Lushan earthquake? (3) Did you witness someone almost get hit in the Lushan earthquake? (4) Did you witness someone get seriously injured in the Lushan earthquake? (5) Did you witness someone get buried in the Lushan earthquake? (6) Have you lost family members in the Lushan earthquake? (7) Did you feel anxious about not seeing family members in the Lushan earthquake? (8) Do you have peace of mind currently? All these questions were coded into yes/no items.

The second part was to evaluate PTSD and somatic symptoms. The PHQ-15 is a 15-item self-report scale to measure somatic symptoms. Participants need to evaluate the extent of the somatic symptoms on a 3-point scale ranging from “not bothered at all” (value = 0) to “bothered a lot” (value = 2). The total score is 28 for men and 30 for women (one item each is about menstrual problems and sexuality) [20]. Since participants in this study are students in primary and secondary schools, we used the PHQ-13, a short version of the PHQ-15 without the two items about menstruation and sexuality, to screen the somatic symptoms of participants. The total score of the PHQ-13 in this study is 26 for both boys and girls. In the current study, each somatic symptom was dichotomized using “bothered a lot or bothered a little”/”not bothered at all” as the cutoff. We re-coded the variables regarding the somatic symptoms to fulfill the aim to estimate the prevalence rates of somatic symptoms. We defined the individuals suffering from somatic symptoms as individuals who reported “bothered a lot or bothered a little” in the PHQ-13 scale and individuals not suffering from somatic symptoms as individuals who reported “not bothered at all” in the PHQ-13 scale. The Chinese version of the PHQ-15 shows satisfactory internal consistency and reliability in the general population of China [21]. The Cronbach’s alpha of the PHQ-13 scale was 0.86 in the present study.
The Children’s Revised Impact of Event Scale (CRIES) is a 13-item self-report scale to measure children’s traumatic symptoms including intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal aspects. Participants are asked to finish the extent of traumatic symptoms on a 4-point scale, ranging from “not at all” (value = 0) to “often” (value = 5). The total score is 65. A high score is an indicator of a high level of traumatic symptoms. We use probable PTSD in this study because of the gold-standard structured diagnostic interview are not performed in our sample. Previous studies have demonstrated that a score of 30 and above is the most effective cutoff score to screen cases of probable PTSD [22, 23]. In the present study, we defined the probable PTSD group as individuals with CRIES score ≥ 30 and the control group as individuals with CRIES score < 30. The CRIES has been shown to have good validity and reliability in assessing adolescents’ traumatic symptoms in China [24]. The Cronbach’s alpha of the scale was 0.89 in the present study.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were computed for categorical variables. A Chi-square test was used to compare the differences in the prevalence rates of somatic symptoms between the probable PTSD group and the control group. Spearman and Pearson correlation analyses were used to detect the relationship between demography, earthquake-related experiences, and somatic symptoms in the probable PTSD group, no PTSD group and the whole sample. We used the total score of the PHQ-13 scale as the dependent variable. Age, ethnicity, gender, earthquake-related experiences and the CRIES score were included as candidate variables in the stepwise regression analyses to detect the predictors of somatic symptoms in the probable PTSD group, no PTSD group and the whole sample. Statistical analyses and calculations were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows (version 19.0). A two-tailed $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic Characteristics

As shown in Table 1, of the 3053 participants, 1545 (50.6%) were female, and 2674 (87.6%) were Han. The mean age was 12.01±2.62 years (range, 8 to 19 years). There were more female in the probable PTSD group than in the control group. Earthquake-related experiences of the participants are also presented. There were more participants with the experiences of almost getting hit by destroyed objects, having witnessed someone almost get hit, having witnessed someone get seriously injured, feeling anxious about not seeing family members, and not having peace of mind currently in the probable PTSD group compared with those in the control group.

Prevalence Rates of Somatic Symptoms

As shown in Table 2, the prevalence rates of all somatic symptoms (feeling tired or having low energy; trouble sleeping; headache; dizziness; stomach pain; back pain; chest pain; pain in the arms, legs or joints; fainting spells; feeling your heart pound or race; shortness of breath; constipation, loose bowels, or diarrhea; and nausea, gas, or indigestion) in the probable PTSD group were significantly higher compared with the control group ($p < .001$). The most frequent somatic symptoms were trouble sleeping, feeling tired or having low energy, stomach pain, dizziness, and headache.
Relationship between Main Variables and Somatic Symptoms in the Probable PTSD Group

As shown in Table 3, in the probable PTSD group, age was positively and significantly associated with somatic symptoms ($r = 0.192$). Gender and ethnicity were not associated with somatic symptoms. Almost all the earthquake-related experiences, except for getting hit and injured and having witnessed someone almost get hit, were positively and significantly associated with somatic symptoms, with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.062 to 0.131. The results of the correlation analysis conducted in the no PTSD group and the whole sample are also shown in Table 3.

Predictors of Somatic Symptoms for the Probable PTSD Individuals

Multiple regression analyses were conducted to explore the effects of demographic variables (i.e., age, ethnicity and gender) and earthquake-related experiences on somatic symptoms. As shown in Table 4, after controlling for the effects of PTSD symptoms, older age, having lost family members, having witnessed someone get seriously injured, and having witnessed someone get buried were predictors for somatic symptoms. Together, these variables accounted for 4.3% of the variance of somatic symptoms. The results of the multiple regression analyses conducted in the no PTSD group and the whole sample are also shown in Table 4.

Table 1. Description of study population.

| Characteristics         | Variable Assignment | All participants (N = 3053) | No. (%)a Probable PTSD group (N = 1166) | Control group (N = 1887) | $\chi^2/t$ | $p$   |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Almost getting hit by   | Yes                 | 641 (21.0)                  | 292 (25.0)                              | 349 (18.5)               | 17.138    | < .001|
| destroyed objects       | No                  | 2242 (73.4)                 | 819 (70.2)                              | 1423 (75.4)              | .009      | .926  |
| Getting hit and injured | Yes                 | 139 (4.6)                   | 54 (4.6)                                | 85 (4.5)                 | .926      | .364  |
|                        | No                  | 2759 (90.4)                 | 1061 (91.0)                             | 1698 (90.0)              |           |       |
| Having witnessed someone| Yes                 | 1039 (34.0)                 | 453 (38.9)                              | 586 (31.1)               | 15.137    | < .001|
| almost get hit          | No                  | 1915 (62.7)                 | 695 (59.6)                              | 1220 (64.7)              |           |       |
| Having witnessed someone| Yes                 | 630 (20.6)                  | 296 (25.4)                              | 334 (17.7)               | 22.739    | < .001|
| get seriously injured   | No                  | 2323 (76.1)                 | 849 (72.8)                              | 1474 (78.1)              |           |       |
| Having witnessed someone| Yes                 | 183 (6.0)                   | 79 (6.8)                                | 104 (5.5)                | 1.593     | .207  |
| get buried              | No                  | 2768 (90.7)                 | 1065 (91.3)                             | 1703 (90.2)              |           |       |
| Lost family members     | Yes                 | 375 (12.3)                  | 161 (13.8)                              | 214 (11.3)               | 3.182     | .074  |
|                        | No                  | 2578 (84.4)                 | 983 (84.3)                              | 1595 (84.5)              |           |       |
| Feeling anxious about not seeing family members | Yes | 1675 (54.9) | 699 (59.9) | 976 (51.7) | 14.206 | < .001 |
| Not having peace of mind currently | Yes | 1283 (42.0) | 448 (38.4) | 835 (44.3) |           |       |
| Gender                  | Male                | 1502 (49.2)                 | 494 (42.4)                              | 1008 (53.4)              | 34.981    | < .001|
|                        | Female              | 1545 (50.6)                 | 666 (57.6)                              | 876 (46.6)               |           |       |
| Ethnicity               | Han                 | 2674 (87.6)                 | 1025 (87.9)                             | 1649 (87.4)              | .103      | .748  |
|                        | Minorities          | 371 (12.2)                  | 139 (11.9)                              | 232 (12.3)               |           |       |
| Age (Mean±SD)           | 12.01±2.62          | 12.28±2.57                  | 11.85±2.63                              | 4.361                    | < .001    |       |

a Percentages do not always correspond to the total N due to cases with insufficient data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137101.t001

Relationship between Main Variables and Somatic Symptoms in the Probable PTSD Group

As shown in Table 3, in the probable PTSD group, age was positively and significantly associated with somatic symptoms ($r = 0.192$). Gender and ethnicity were not associated with somatic symptoms. Almost all the earthquake-related experiences, except for getting hit and injured and having witnessed someone almost get hit, were positively and significantly associated with somatic symptoms, with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.062 to 0.131. The results of the correlation analysis conducted in the no PTSD group and the whole sample are also shown in Table 3.

Predictors of Somatic Symptoms for the Probable PTSD Individuals

Multiple regression analyses were conducted to explore the effects of demographic variables (i.e., age, ethnicity and gender) and earthquake-related experiences on somatic symptoms. As shown in Table 4, after controlling for the effects of PTSD symptoms, older age, having lost family members, having witnessed someone get seriously injured, and having witnessed someone get buried were predictors for somatic symptoms. Together, these variables accounted for 4.3% of the variance of somatic symptoms. The results of the multiple regression analyses conducted in the no PTSD group and the whole sample are also shown in Table 4.
Table 2. Prevalence rates of somatic symptoms in the probable PTSD group and control group.

| Somatic symptoms                        | Probable PTSD group<sup>a</sup> (N = 1166) | Control group<sup>a</sup> (N = 1887) | $\chi^2$ | $p$  |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|------|
| Stomach pain                            |                                            |                                     | 218.898 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 421 (36.8)                                 | 1204 (64.5)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 723 (63.2)                                 | 663 (35.5)                          |         |      |
| Back pain                               |                                            |                                     | 114.895 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 795 (70.3)                                 | 1604 (86.4)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 336 (29.7)                                 | 253 (13.6)                          |         |      |
| Chest pain                              |                                            |                                     | 121.558 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 868 (76.9)                                 | 1687 (91.4)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 261 (23.1)                                 | 159 (8.6)                           |         |      |
| Pain in arms, legs, or joints           |                                            |                                     | 175.690 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 659 (58.7)                                 | 1504 (81.0)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 463 (41.2)                                 | 351 (19.0)                          |         |      |
| Headache                                |                                            |                                     | 170.712 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 479 (42.2)                                 | 1236 (66.6)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 655 (57.7)                                 | 620 (33.4)                          |         |      |
| Dizziness                               |                                            |                                     | 165.519 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 475 (41.9)                                 | 1224 (65.9)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 658 (58.1)                                 | 632 (34.1)                          |         |      |
| Fainting spells                         |                                            |                                     | 22.562  | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 988 (88.0)                                 | 1722 (93.1)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 135 (12.0)                                 | 128 (6.9)                           |         |      |
| Feeling your heart pound or race        |                                            |                                     | 197.654 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 597 (52.9)                                 | 1440 (77.6)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 531 (47.0)                                 | 415 (22.4)                          |         |      |
| Shortness of breath                     |                                            |                                     | 172.889 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 770 (68.3)                                 | 1627 (87.9)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 358 (31.7)                                 | 223 (12.1)                          |         |      |
| Constipation, loose bowels, or diarrhea |                                            |                                     | 136.552 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 757 (67.1)                                 | 1576 (85.3)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 371 (32.9)                                 | 272 (14.7)                          |         |      |
| Nausea, gas, or indigestion             |                                            |                                     | 265.323 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 589 (52.1)                                 | 1488 (80.3)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 542 (47.9)                                 | 364 (19.7)                          |         |      |
| Feeling tired or having low energy      |                                            |                                     | 324.641 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 289 (25.6)                                 | 1100 (59.6)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 840 (74.4)                                 | 747 (40.4)                          |         |      |
| Trouble sleeping                        |                                            |                                     | 438.994 | < .001 |
| Not bothered at all                     | 191 (16.8)                                 | 1030 (55.5)                         |         |      |
| Bothered a little or bothered a lot     | 949 (83.2)                                 | 826 (44.5)                          |         |      |

<sup>a</sup> The total N does not always correspond to 1166 for the probable PTSD group and 1887 for the control group due to cases with insufficient data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137101.t002
### Table 3. Relationship between main variables and total PHQ-13 score.

| Variables                        | All participants (N = 3053) | Total PHQ-13 score | Probable PTSD (N = 1166) | Control group (N = 1887) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  | r   | p         | r   | p         | r   | p         | r   | p         |
| Gender                          | .081 | < .001   | -.035 | .26       | .088 | < .001   | -.003 | .902     |
| Age                             | .173 | < .001   | .192  | < .001   | .127 | < .001   | -.008 | .80      |
| Ethnicity                       | -.001 | .964     | -.008 | .80      | -.003 | .926     |        |          |
| Almost getting hit by destroyed objects | .084 | < .001   | .068  | .031     | .045  | .066     |        |          |
| Getting hit and injured         | .021 | .287     | .045  | .16      | .011  | .663     |        |          |
| Having witnessed someone almost get hit | .114 | < .001   | .039  | .21      | .097  | < .001   |        |          |
| Having witnessed someone get seriously injured | .118 | < .001   | .127  | < .001   | .066  | .007     |        |          |
| Having witnessed someone get buried | .050 | .008     | .131  | < .001   | -.008 | .735     |        |          |
| Lost family members             | .050 | .008     | .070  | .025     | .021  | .374     |        |          |
| Feeling anxious about not seeing family members | .107 | < .001   | .080  | .009     | .087  | < .001   |        |          |
| Not having peace of mind currently | .045 | .018     | .062  | .048     | -.001 | .961     |        |          |

Gender was coded as 1 = male and 2 = female; Ethnicity was coded as 1 = Han and 2 = minority; each earthquake-related experience was coded as 1 = no and 2 = yes.

---

### Table 4. Predictors of somatic symptoms in the subgroups and all participants.

| Final models                  | B     | BE   | Beta  | Adjusted R² | △R² |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------------|-----|
| Probable PTSD (N = 1166)      |       |      |       |             |     |
| CRIES score                   | .165  | .016 | .309*** | .152        | .113|
| Age                           | .272  | .058 | .140*** | .023        |     |
| Lost family members           | 1.181 | .402 | .086**  | .009        |     |
| Having witnessed someone get seriously injured | .798 | .324 | .073*   | .006        |     |
| Having witnessed someone get buried | 1.338 | .579 | .069*   | .005        |     |
| No PTSD (N = 1887)            |       |      |       |             | .121|
| CRIES score                   | .119  | .009 | .311*** | .102        | .102|
| Having witnessed someone almost get hit | .781 | .171 | .107*** | .012        | .012|
| Age                           | .103  | .031 | .077**  | .007        | .007|
| Having witnessed someone get seriously injured | .418 | .207 | .047*   | .002        | .002|
| All participants(N = 3053)    |       |      |       | .285        |     |
| CRIES score                   | .138  | .005 | .493*** | .266        | .266|
| Age                           | .170  | .029 | .098*** | .011        | .011|
| Lost family members           | .725  | .219 | .055**  | .004        | .004|
| Having witnessed someone get seriously injured | .555 | .182 | .051**  | .002        | .002|
| Having witnessed someone almost get hit | .430 | .155 | .047**  | .002        | .002|
| Having witnessed someone get buried | .693 | .311 | .037*   | .001        | .001|

*p < .05

**p < .01

***p < .001; Gender was coded as 1 = male and 2 = female; Ethnicity was coded as 1 = Han and 2 = minority; each earthquake-related experience was coded as 1 = no and 2 = yes.
Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study is the first research to investigate the prevalence rates and the predictors of somatic symptoms among child and adolescent survivors with probable PTSD. Our results demonstrated that the prevalence rates of all somatic symptoms among children and adolescents with probable PTSD in schools were higher compared with the control group, and the most frequent somatic symptoms were trouble sleeping, feeling tired or having low energy, stomach pain, dizziness, and headache. There were more participants with earthquake-related experiences in the probable PTSD group compared with those in the control group. The results also indicated that, after controlling for the effects of PTSD symptoms, child and adolescent survivors with probable PTSD in schools were more likely to have comorbid somatic symptoms if they were at an older age, had witnessed someone get seriously injured, had lost family members, or had witnessed someone get buried.

Prevalence of Various Somatic Symptoms Among Individuals with Probable PTSD

In the present study, the prevalence rates of all somatic symptoms in the probable PTSD group were higher compared with the control group, which is consistent with previous studies done in adults. Munyandamutsa et al. [11] found all somatic symptoms, including headache, back pain, abdominal pain, genital pain, sexual difficulties, fainting, hiccups, loss of speech, and hearing loss, among the survivors with PTSD were more frequent compared with survivors without PTSD 14 years after the genocide in Rwanda. Gupta et al. [9] reported a higher frequency of similar medical conditions in individuals suffering from PTSD compared with the trauma-alone group without PTSD.

The most frequent somatic symptom among children and adolescents with probable PTSD was trouble sleeping (83.2%), which is in accordance with previous studies. Up to 87% of PTSD individuals reported subjective sleep disturbances [25] that were resistant to first-line treatments, independently exacerbated daytime symptoms, and contributed to poor clinical outcomes, including poorer perceived physical health and a higher rate of suicidality [26]. It is necessary to pay more attention to the sleep problems of child and adolescent survivors with PTSD to get more satisfactory clinical outcomes.

The prevalence of feeling tired or having low energy was 74.4% in the probable PTSD group. Fatigue in PTSD was also reported in previous studies. Spinhoven et al. [27] found an increased level of fatigue in residents (45.4%) and rescuers (20.6%) after involvement in a disaster. Nater et al. [28] reported that chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) was associated with PTSD, with a >25% comorbid rate with PTSD [26]; furthermore, PTSD symptoms could predict CFS [29].

High prevalence rates of pain symptoms, including headache (57.7%), back pain (29.7%), chest pain (23.1%), and pain in the arms, legs, or joints (41.2%), existed in the probable PTSD group in the current study. In accordance with previous studies, PTSD could be comorbid with a wide range of chronic pain syndromes such as episodic migraine, headache [30] and other atypical and typical pain symptoms [31–34]. In Rwanda, 14 years after the genocide, the prevalence rates of several pain symptoms including headache (72.5%), genital pain (30.3%), abdominal pain (44.2%), and back pain (74.1%) were reported among the survivors with PTSD [11]. It is worth noting that PTSD comorbid with pain symptoms may impede the patient’s ability to benefit from the treatments of pain [35] and PTSD [36].

A total of 47% of children and adolescents with probable PTSD had the symptom of feeling your heart pound or race, supporting previous studies in which individuals suffering from PTSD displayed greater heart rates in the emergency room than those without PTSD [37], and
PTSD was related to electrocardiographic evidence of atrioventricular conduction defects and unexplained supraventricular tachycardia [9, 38].

The prevalence of shortness of breath was 31.7% among children and adolescents with probable PTSD, which is similar to previous studies. Approximately 5 to 6 years after the terrorist attacks on 11 September 2001, a large burden of PTSD and asthma resulting from acute, intense exposure and prolonged exposures was reported [39]. Although the pathogenetic mechanisms of asthma and PTSD were different, their co-occurrence was often observed [39], and PTSD could significantly predict asthma symptoms [40]. Therefore, it is not difficult to understand the frequent occurrence of shortness of breath among children and adolescents with PTSD.

As reported in previous studies among survivors with PTSD, we also found the existence of dizziness and fainting spells with a prevalence of 58.1% and 12.0%, respectively, in the probable PTSD group. Fourteen years after the genocide in Rwanda, the prevalence of fainting was 9.2% [11], and chronic dizziness could be considered a feature of anxiety disorders including PTSD [9, 41].

As to the gastrointestinal system in the present study, stomach pain (63.2%), constipation, loose bowels, or diarrhea (32.9%), and nausea, gas, or indigestion (47.9%) were detected in the probable PTSD group, suggesting that gastrointestinal symptoms were common among child and adolescent survivors with PTSD after an earthquake. This is similar to the findings of prior studies that psychological trauma was related to the high frequency of functional gastrointestinal disorders [42, 43]. Many other studies also have found obvious associations between PTSD and gastroesophageal reflux symptoms, idiopathic constipation, functional dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome [44–48]. It is important to choose an effective intervention to mitigate the gastrointestinal symptoms of child and adolescent survivors with PTSD in the early period after earthquakes.

Predictors of Somatic Symptoms Among Children and Adolescents with Probable PTSD

The substantial number of somatic symptoms reported by adolescents, with girls reporting higher levels than boys, is atypical finding for health surveys in the general population [49–51]. A longitudinal study of urban adolescents also indicated that girls displayed higher levels of somatic anxiety and somatic complaints compared with boys [52]. In the present study, female gender was not a predictor for somatic symptoms in the whole sample and in the subgroups which was inconsistent with previous studies. One possible explanation was that we investigated a trauma sample in the present study as opposed to the general population or no trauma sample in previous studies, which should be further investigated in future.

Just as the finding that older age was a predictor for somatic symptoms among child and adolescents in the two subgroups and in all participants, Sun et al. [14] found that older children and adolescents had relatively more serious somatic symptoms compared with the younger children and adolescents after the Wenchuan earthquake and attributed this situation to the excessive attention, pessimistic expectations and negative cognitive perception of traumatic events by older children and adolescents [14].

In the present study, after controlling for the effects of PTSD symptoms, several earthquake-related experiences were predictors for somatic symptoms among children and adolescents, especially having witnessed someone get seriously injured had a significant predictive effect in both the probable PTSD group and the control group. This is consistent with a conclusion that somatic symptoms are associated with a stress event and psychological trauma [53, 54]. A recent meta-analysis revealed that individuals who were exposed to a traumatic event
were more likely to develop functional somatic symptoms with a 2.7 times higher risk compared with those without traumatic experiences [55]. A recent review also indicated that a trauma event or psychological trauma was associated with somatic symptoms among individuals with PTSD after disasters [9]. These predictors, explored with the regression model for somatic symptoms in the current study, could help to screen and diagnose somatic symptoms among child and adolescent survivors with PTSD after an earthquake.

There are several limitations to the study. Firstly, using the self-report questionnaires to estimate PTSD and somatic symptoms might overestimate the prevalence rates of PTSD and somatic symptoms. Secondly, we did not take steps to recognize whether a somatic symptom resulted from some organic diseases, which might generate bias in the results. Thirdly, the survey was performed when the students were in school, and the self-made questionnaire did not include the item regarding whether the students had the experience of living in temporary shelters. Because of this selection bias, our results should be interpreted with caution.

In summary, this study indicated that somatic symptoms among child and adolescent survivors with probable PTSD in schools were commonly seen. Older age, having lost family members, having witnessed someone get seriously injured, and having witnessed someone get buried could predict somatic symptoms among child and adolescents survivors with probable PTSD in schools. More importantly, these findings may help those providing psychological health programs to find the child and adolescent students with probable PTSD who are at high risk of somatic symptoms in schools after an earthquake in China.

Supporting Information
S1 Dataset. (SAV)

Acknowledgments
We thank Dechao Chen, Yao Gong and Ran Chen for their help with data collection. We are also grateful to the students of the participating schools for their time and support.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: JZ YZ. Performed the experiments: YZ SYZ CHD JZ. Analyzed the data: JZ YZ WZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SYZ CHD WZ. Wrote the paper: YZ JZ SYZ CHD WZ.

References
1. Tang B, Zhang L. Ya’an earthquake. Lancet. 2013; 381(9882): 1984–1985.
2. Tang B, Kang P, Liu X, Liu Y, Liu Z, Wang B, et al. Post-traumatic psychological changes among survivors of the Lushan earthquake living in the most affected areas. Psychiatry Res. 2014; 220(1-2): 384–390. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2014.07.050 PMID: 25128250
3. Ma X, Liu X, Hu X, Qiu C, Wang Y, Huang Y, et al. Risk indicators for post-traumatic stress disorder in adolescents exposed to the 5.12 Wenchuan earthquake in China. Psychiatry Res. 2011; 189(3): 385–391. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.02.016 PMID: 21295350
4. Burton C. Beyond somatisation: a review of the understanding and treatment of medically unexplained physical symptoms (MUPS). Br J Gen Pract. 2003; 53(488): 231–239. PMID: 14694702
5. Escobar JI, Hoyos-Nervi C, Gara M. Medically unexplained physical symptoms in medical practice: a psychiatric perspective. Environ Health Perspect. 2002; 110 Suppl 4: 631–636. PMID: 12194998
6. Osorio C, Carvalho C, Fertout M, Maia A. Prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder and physical health complaints among Portuguese Army Special Operations Forces deployed in Afghanistan. Mil Med. 2012; 177(8): 957–962. PMID: 22994377
7. Andreski P, Chilcoat H, Breslau N. Post-traumatic stress disorder and somatization symptoms: a prospective study. Psychiatry Res. 1998; 79(2): 131–138. PMID: 9705051
8. Sareen J, Cox BJ, Stein MB, Afifi TO, Fleet C, Asmundson GJ. Physical and mental comorbidity, disability, and suicidal behavior associated with posttraumatic stress disorder in a large community sample. Psychosom Med. 2007; 69(3): 242–248. PMID: 17401056
9. Gupt MA. Review of somatic symptoms in post-traumatic stress disorder. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2013; 25(1): 86–99. doi: 10.3109/09540261.2012.736367 PMID: 23383670
10. Toblin RL, Riviere LA, Thomas JL, Adler AB, Kok BC, Hoge CW. Grief and physical health outcomes in U.S. soldiers returning from combat. J Affect Disord. 2012; 136(3): 469–475. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.10.048 PMID: 22154707
11. Munyandamutsa N, Mahoro Nkubamugisha P, Gex-Fabry M, Eytan A. Mental and physical health in Rwanda 14 years after the genocide. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2012; 47(11): 1753–1761. doi: 10.1007/s00127-012-0494-9 PMID: 22402589
12. Schwarz ED, Perry BD. The post-traumatic response in children and adolescents. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 1994; 17(2): 311–326. PMID: 7937362
13. Hensley L, Varela RE. PTSD symptoms and somatic complaints following Hurricane Katrina: the roles of trait anxiety and anxiety sensitivity. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2008; 37(3): 542–552. doi: 10.1080/1537441080214816 PMID: 18645745
14. Sun XY, Fan HM, Bai B, Song HT, Tao FY, Song ZX, et al. Psychosomatic conditions of the children and adolescents exposed to 5.12 wenchuan earthquake. Int J Behav Med. 2014; 21(4): 730–735. doi: 10.1007/s12285-013-9377-9 PMID: 24337952
15. Cheng Y, Wang F, Wen J, Shi Y. Risk factors of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) after Wenchuan earthquake: a case control study. PLoS One. 2014; 9(5): e96644. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096644 PMID: 24800944
16. Zhou X, Kang L, Sun X, Song H, Mao W, Huang X, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of post-traumatic stress disorder among adult survivors six months after the Wenchuan earthquake. Compr Psychiatry. 2013; 54(5): 493–499. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2012.12.010 PMID: 23337407
17. Zhou X, Kang L, Sun X, Song H, Mao W, Huang X, et al. Risk factors of mental illness among adult survivors after the Wenchuan earthquake. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2013; 48(6): 907–915. doi: 10.1007/s00127-012-0596-4 PMID: 23052427
18. Fu Y, Chen Y, Wang J, Tang X, He J, Jiao M, et al. Analysis of prevalence of PTSD and its influencing factors among college students after the Wenchuan earthquake. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health. 2011; 5(1): 1. doi: 10.1186/1753-2000-5-1 PMID: 23331706
19. Ying L, Wu X, Lin C, Jiang L. Traumatic severity and trait resilience as predictors of post-traumatic stress disorder and depressive symptoms among adolescent survivors of the Wenchuan earthquake. PLoS One. 2014; 9(2): e89401. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089401 PMID: 24586751
20. Interian A, Allen LA, Gara MA, Escobar JI, Diaz-Martinez AM. Somatic complaints in primary care: further examining the validity of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-15). Psychosomatics. 2006; 47(5): 392–398. PMID: 16959927
21. Lee S, Ma YL, Tsang A. Psychometric properties of the Chinese 15-item patient health questionnaire in the general population of Hong Kong. J Psychosom Res. 2011; 71(2): 69–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2011.01.016 PMID: 21767685
22. Perrin S, Meiser-Stedman R, Smith P. The Children's Revised Impact of Event Scale (CRIES): Validity as a Screening Instrument for PTSD. Behav Cogn Psychother. 2005; 33(04): 487–501. doi: 10.1017/S1352465804002031 PMID: 16800716
23. Jing L, Chen T, Wang D, Zhu C, Situ M, Fang H, et al. The reliability and validity research of the children's revised impact of event scale of Chinese edition (in Chinese). Chin J Behav Med Brain Sci. 2010; 19(4): 498–501. PMID: 20033368
24. Wu KK, Chan KS. The development of the Chinese version of Impact of Event Scale—Revised (CIES-R). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2003; 38(2): 94–98. PMID: 12563552
25. Maher MJ, Rego SA, Asnis GM. Sleep disturbances in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder: epidemiology, impact and approaches to management. CNS Drugs. 2006; 20(7): 567–590. PMID: 16800716
26. Germain A, Buyssse DJ, Nofzinger E. Sleep-specific mechanisms underlying posttraumatic stress disorder: integrative review and neurobiological hypotheses. Sleep Med Rev. 2008; 12(3): 185–195. PMID: 17997114
27. Spinhoven P, Verschuur M. Predictors of fatigue in rescue workers and residents in the aftermath of an aviation disaster: a longitudinal study. Psychosom Med. 2006; 68(4): 605–612. PMID: 16868271
28. Nater UM, Maloney E, Heim C, Reeves WC. Cumulative life stress in chronic fatigue syndrome. Psychiatry Res. 2011; 189(2): 318–320. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.07.015 PMID: 21840607
29. Eglinton R, Chung MC. The relationship between posttraumatic stress disorder, illness cognitions, defence styles, fatigue severity and psychological well-being in chronic fatigue syndrome. Psychiatry Res. 2011; 188(2): 245–252. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2011.04.012 PMID: 21600664

30. Peres JF, Goncalves AL, Peres MF. Psychological trauma in chronic pain: implications of PTSD for fibromyalgia and headache disorders. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2009; 13(5): 350–357. PMID: 19728960

31. Asmundson GJ, Katz J. Understanding the co-occurrence of anxiety disorders and chronic pain: state-of-the-art. Depress Anxiety. 2009; 26(10): 888–901. doi: 10.1002/da.20600 PMID: 19691031

32. Gureje O. Comorbidity of pain and anxiety disorders. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2008; 10(4): 318–322. PMID: 18627670

33. Kulich RJ, Mencher P, Bertrand C, Maciewicz R. Comorbidity of post-traumatic stress disorder and chronic pain: implications for clinical and forensic assessment. Curr Rev Pain. 2000; 4(1): 36–48. PMID: 10998714

34. Sharp TJ. The prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder in chronic pain patients. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2004; 8(2): 111–115. PMID: 14980145

35. McGee DA, Moore M, Friend CA, Peterson AL, Gatchel RJ. The evaluation and treatment of comorbid pain and PTSD in a military setting: an overview. J Clin Psychol Med Settings. 2011; 18(2): 155–163. doi: 10.1007/s10880-011-9236-5 PMID: 21626356

36. Otis JD, Keane TM, Korns RD. An examination of the relationship between chronic pain and post-traumatic stress disorder. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2003; 40(5): 397–405. PMID: 15080224

37. Zoladz PR, Diamond DM. Current status on behavioral and biological markers of PTSD: a search for clarity in a conflicting literature. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2013; 37(5): 860–895. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.03.024 PMID: 23567521

38. Boscarino JA, Chang J. Electrocardiogram abnormalities among men with stress-related psychiatric disorders: implications for coronary heart disease and clinical research. Ann Behav Med. 1999; 21(3): 227–234. PMID: 10626030

39. Brackbill RM, Hadler JL, DiGrande L, Ekenga CC, Farfel MR, Friedman S, et al. Asthma and posttraumatic stress symptoms 5 to 6 years following exposure to the World Trade Center terrorist attack. JAMA. 2009; 302(5): 502–516. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.1121 PMID: 19654385

40. Fagan J, Galea S, Ahern J, Bonner S, Vlahov D. Relationship of self-reported asthma severity and urgent health care utilization to psychological sequelae of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center among New York City area residents. Psychosom Med. 2003; 65(6): 993–996. PMID: 14645777

41. Staab JP. Chronic dizziness: the interface between psychiatry and neuro-otology. Curr Opin Neurol. 2006; 19(1): 41–48. PMID: 16415676

42. Hammad AL, Murad MH, Chen LP, Goranson EN, Sattler AL, Colbenson KM, et al. Sexual abuse and lifetime diagnosis of somatic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2009; 302(5): 550–561. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.1091 PMID: 19654389

43. Ross CA. Childhood sexual abuse and psychosomatic symptoms in irritable bowel syndrome. J Child Sex Abus. 2005; 14(1): 27–38. PMID: 15914403

44. Grossman DA. Presidential address: Gastrointestinal illness and the biopsychosocial model. Psychosom Med. 1998; 60(3): 258–267. PMID: 9625212

45. Mason HJ, Serrano-Ikkos E, Kamm MA. Psychological morbidity in women with idiopathic constipation. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000; 95(10): 2852–2857. PMID: 11051359

46. Savas LS, White DL, Wiener M, Daci K, Fitzgerald S, Laday Smith S, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome and dyspepsia among women veterans: prevalence and association with psychological distress. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2009; 29(1): 115–125. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2633.2008.03947.x PMID: 18785989

47. Stam R, Akkermans LM, Wiegant VM. Trauma and the gut: interactions between stressful experience and intestinal function. Gut. 1997; 40(6): 704–708. PMID: 9245921

48. Li J, Brackbill RM, Steilman SD, Farfel MR, Miller-Archie SA, Friedman S, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms and comorbid asthma and posttraumatic stress disorder following the 9/11 terrorist attacks on World Trade Center in New York City. Am J Gastroenterol. 2011; 106(11): 1933–1941. doi: 10.1038/aig.2011.300 PMID: 21894225

49. Taylor DC, Szatmari P, Boyle MH, Offord DR. Somatization and the vocabulary of everyday bodily experiences and concerns: a community study of adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1996; 35(4): 491–499. PMID: 8919711
50. Poikolainen K, Aalto-Setala T, Marttunen M, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Lonnqvist J. Predictors of somatic symptoms: a five year follow up of adolescents. Arch Dis Child. 2000; 83(5): 388–392. PMID: 11040143

51. Egger HL, Costello EJ, Erkanli A, Angold A. Somatic complaints and psychopathology in children and adolescents: stomach aches, musculoskeletal pains, and headaches. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999; 38(7): 852–860. PMID: 10405503

52. Ruchkin V, Schwab-Stone M. A longitudinal study of somatic complaints in urban adolescents: the role of internalizing psychopathology and somatic anxiety. J Youth Adolesc. 2014; 43(5): 834–845. doi: 10.1007/s10964-013-9966-9 PMID: 23744452

53. Roelofs K, Spinhoven P. Trauma and medically unexplained symptoms towards an integration of cognitive and neuro-biological accounts. Clin Psychol Rev. 2007; 27(7): 798–820. PMID: 17728032

54. Katon W, Sullivan M, Walker E. Medical symptoms without identified pathology: relationship to psychiatric disorders, childhood and adult trauma, and personality traits. Ann Intern Med. 2001; 134(9 Pt 2): 917–925. PMID: 11346329

55. Afari N, Ahumada SM, Wright LJ, Mostoufi S, Golnari G, Reis V, et al. Psychological trauma and functional somatic syndromes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychosom Med. 2014; 76(1): 2–11. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0000000000000010 PMID: 24336429