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Abstract

**Background:** For measurements of nitrogen isotope composition at natural abundance, carry-over of pre-existing nitrogen remobilized to new plant growth can cause deviation of measured isotope composition ($\delta^{15}N$) from the $\delta^{15}N$ of newly acquired nitrogen. To account for this problem, a two-step approach was proposed to quantify and correct for remobilized nitrogen from vegetative cuttings of *Populus balsamifera* L. grown with either nitrate ($\delta^{15}N = 58.5$‰) or ammonium ($\delta^{15}N = -0.96$‰). First, the fraction of carry-over nitrogen remaining in the cutting was estimated by isotope mass balance. Then measured $\delta^{15}N$ values were adjusted for the fraction of pre-existing nitrogen remobilized to the plant.

**Results:** Mean plant $\delta^{15}N$ prior to correction was 49‰ and −5.8‰ under nitrate and ammonium, respectively. Plant $\delta^{15}N$ was non-linearly correlated to biomass ($r^2 = 0.331$ and 0.249 for nitrate and ammonium, respectively; $P < 0.05$) where the $\delta^{15}N$ of plants with low biomass approached the $\delta^{15}N$ of the pre-existing nitrogen. Approximately 50% of cutting nitrogen was not remobilized, irrespective of size. The proportion of carry-over nitrogen in new growth was not different between sources but ranged from less than 1% to 21% and was dependent on plant biomass and, to a lesser degree, the size of the cutting. The $\delta^{15}N$ of newly acquired nitrogen averaged 52.7‰ and −6.4‰ for nitrate and ammonium-grown plants, respectively; both lower than their source values, as expected. Since there was a greater difference in $\delta^{15}N$ between the carried-over pre-existing and newly assimilated nitrogen where nitrate was the source, the difference between measured $\delta^{15}N$ and adjusted $\delta^{15}N$ was also greater. There was no significant relationship between biomass and plant $\delta^{15}N$ with either ammonium or nitrate after adjusting for carry-over nitrogen.

**Conclusion:** Here, we provide evidence of remobilized pre-existing nitrogen influencing $\delta^{15}N$ of new growth of *P. balsamifera* L. A simple, though approximate, correction is proposed that can account for the remobilized fraction in the plant. With careful sampling to quantify pre-existing nitrogen, this method can more accurately determine changes in nitrogen isotope discrimination in plants.
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knowledge of the precise isotopic composition of pre-existing nitrogen may not be necessary because newly acquired nitrogen will have a markedly different isotopic signature [3,7].

Carried-over pre-existing nitrogen in a plant can be remobilized to growing tissue. For example, during establishment of sexually and vegetatively propagated plants, stored nitrogen is remobilized from the propagule (seed or cutting) to the newly growing tissue as a nitrogen supply until roots are capable of supporting the nitrogen demands of the plant [7]. In woody perennials, nitrogen from senescing leaves accumulates in stem tissue during autumn to be re-used by developing tissues during regrowth in the spring [8,9]. Nitrogen transported to new shoot and/or root growth come from vegetative storage proteins kept over the dormant period [10]. Vegetative storage proteins can account for a sizeable fraction of total nitrogen in dormant stem tissue [11,12]. These vegetative storage proteins, in addition to other mobile nitrogen fractions in stem tissue can be remobilized to shoots or roots of plants. If the $\delta^{15}N$ of remobilized nitrogen is different from the $\delta^{15}N$ of newly acquired nitrogen, it may influence the measured $\delta^{15}N$ of new growth.

Although the $\delta^{15}N$ of carried over nitrogen may provide an isotopic signature to compare against new growth $\delta^{15}N$, within a bulk nitrogen pool (e.g., a cutting), there may be differences in $\delta^{15}N$ between various amino acids and other nitrogen-containing compounds [13-15]. This may impact the $\delta^{15}N$ of remobilized nitrogen relative to the $\delta^{15}N$ of the bulk cutting prior to new growth if the $\delta^{15}N$ of the remobilized fraction is different from the non-remobilized fraction of the cutting. However, if much of the carried-over pre-existing nitrogen contributes to the growth of new plant tissue, the $\delta^{15}N$ of the remobilized nitrogen should resemble the $\delta^{15}N$ of the bulk source tissue because many, and certainly the most predominant, nitrogen-containing compounds (e.g. vegetative storage proteins) will contribute to remobilized nitrogen from the source tissue (cutting) to new growth. If there was any isotope discrimination associated with remobilization itself, as consumption of a nitrogen pool approaches completion, the transferred fraction (the product) would then approach the $\delta^{15}N$ of the stored fraction (the source).

Here, a two-step mass balance approach is proposed to account for carry-over nitrogen remobilized from pre-existing nitrogen pools to growing tissues in plants using *Populus balsamifera* L. (balsam poplar) grown with either ammonium or nitrate. The two nitrogen sources bracketed the $\delta^{15}N$ of pre-existing N, with the nitrate being enriched in $^{15}N$ relative to the cutting and the ammonium being depleted relative to the cutting. Therefore, if carried-over nitrogen from pre-existing nitrogen has an appreciable effect on newly assimilated nitrogen isotope values, opposing relationships with biomass should be evident. Poplars in general have long been used as model systems in tree biology and genetics, and *Populus trichocarpa* Torr. & Gray, (syn: *P. balsamifera* ssp. *trichocarpa*) was the first woody species to have its genome completely sequenced [16]. The approach described here is applicable not just to plants grown from cuttings, but also, with little adjustment, to plants grown from seed.

**Results**

**$\delta^{15}N$, growth and nitrogen concentration**

Both ammonium and nitrate-grown plants were depleted in $^{15}N$ relative to the source (Table 1). Under nitrate, mean root, stem and leaf $\delta^{15}N$ was 44.4, 47.1 and 50.1% whereas for ammonium, these values were –8.6, –6.6 and –5.1%, respectively. The vegetative cuttings used to propagate the plants were not different between sources and had a mean $\delta^{15}N$ of 0.92% at the start of the experiment. During plant growth, the $\delta^{15}N$ of the cuttings moved towards and/or past the isotope signature of the source (Table 1). For nitrate-grown plants, cuttings became enriched (23.5%) and for ammonium-grown plants, cuttings became depleted (–3.1%) relative to their starting isotopic composition ($P < 0.05$). Genotypic means for whole plant biomass ranged from 0.51 to 4.46 g and 0.33 to 3.48 g under nitrate and ammonium, respectively (Table 2). There was a significant correlation between genotype means for biomass on nitrate versus ammonium ($r = 0.54$, $P < 0.05$) whereby clones that grew well on one source also grew well on the other. The range in mean genotype biomass provided a range of isotopic dilutions to determine the influence of carried-over pre-existing nitrogen on whole-plant and organ

**Table 1** Mean measured and, for new tissues, adjusted root, stem, leaf and cutting $\delta^{15}N$ values (%o ± SE; N = 75) of *Populus balsamifera* L. hydroponically grown with nitrate (58.5‰) or ammonium (–0.96‰)

|            | Nitrate | Ammonium |
|------------|---------|----------|
| **Measured $\delta^{15}N$** |          |          |
| Plant      | 49.04 ± 0.43 | –5.81 ± 0.16 |
| Root       | 44.42 ± 0.42 | –8.59 ± 0.15 |
| Stem       | 47.08 ± 0.40 | –6.62 ± 0.18 |
| Leaf       | 50.10 ± 0.44 | –5.07 ± 0.15 |
| Cutting$_{start}$ | 0.87 ± 0.35 | 0.97 ± 0.31 |
| Cutting$_{end}$ | 23.47 ± 0.88 | –3.10 ± 0.23 |
| **Adjusted $\delta^{15}N$** |          |          |
| Plant      | 52.68 ± 0.26 | –6.43 ± 0.19 |
| Root       | 47.87 ± 0.28 | –9.45 ± 0.19 |
| Stem       | 50.76 ± 0.26 | –7.29 ± 0.19 |
| Leaf       | 54.01 ± 0.25 | –5.59 ± 0.18 |
level $\delta^{15}N$. When the unadjusted $\delta^{15}N$ for new growth of the whole plant was plotted against biomass, there was a significant non-linear relationship ($r^2 = 0.331$ and 0.249 for nitrate and ammonium, respectively; $P < 0.05$) (Figure 1). The unadjusted $\delta^{15}N$ for new growth of the whole plant for genotypes with low biomass were closer to the $\delta^{15}N$ of cutting nitrogen. In contrast, the $\delta^{15}N$ of genotypes with high biomass were closer to the $\delta^{15}Nof$ newly acquired nitrogen, which resembles the source but does not equal it due to isotope discrimination that occurs during uptake and assimilation. Nitrogen concentration was not different between cuttings before the start of the experiment, but was significantly greater at the end of the experiment when grown with ammonium as compared to nitrate (Table 3). Root nitrogen concentration was not significantly different between sources but stem and leaf nitrogen concentrations were greater when grown with ammonium ($P < 0.05$).

Carry-over nitrogen in new plant growth is dependent on the size of the nitrogen pool in the cutting relative to the nitrogen pool in the new growth.

The $\delta^{15}N$ of vegetative cuttings at the end of the experiment was approximately halfway between the starting cutting $\delta^{15}N$ and the $\delta^{15}N$ of newly formed organs, indicating that some considerable portion of the original nitrogen was retained within the cuttings. From equation 4, the non-remobilized fraction of the nitrogen contained within the cuttings at the end of the experiment averaged 50% and 52% for nitrate and ammonium, respectively and was not significantly different. Therefore, approximately half of the starting nitrogen was remobilized for new growth (Figure 2). The mean quantity of nitrogen remobilized was 3.2 mg but was dependent on the size of the cutting ($r = 0.057; P < 0.05$; data not shown). There was no source effect on the proportion of carry-over, which averaged 8% but decreased non-linearly from 21% to 1% with the biomass of new growth (not shown).

The difference between source $\delta^{15}N$ and the cutting $\delta^{15}N$ at harvest was greater for nitrate than ammonium (Table 1), causing carry-over nitrogen to have a greater influence on measured plant $\delta^{15}N$ under nitrate (Figure 3A) than ammonium (Figure 3B).

Relationships between plant $\delta^{15}N$and biomass were not significant after accounting for carry-over of pre-existing nitrogen.

There were significant linear relationships between the contribution of nitrogen carried-over from the cutting and biomass, with correlation coefficients and P-values placed near each line.

Table 2 Mean root, stem, leaf, cutting and whole plant biomass (g ± SE; N = 75) of Populus balsamifera L. hydroponically grown with nitrate or ammonium

| Biomass (g)         | Nitrate  | Ammonium |
|---------------------|----------|----------|
| Root                | 0.18 ± 0.02 | 0.20 ± 0.01 |
| Stem                | 0.40 ± 0.03 | 0.29 ± 0.02 |
| Leaf                | 1.28 ± 0.09 | 1.15 ± 0.07 |
| Cutting$_{start}$   | 0.49 ± 0.03 | 0.54 ± 0.04 |
| Cutting$_{end}$     | 0.67 ± 0.06 | 0.65 ± 0.05 |
| Whole Plant         | 1.86 ± 0.14 | 1.64 ± 0.10 |

Figure 1 Unadjusted (open symbols) and adjusted (closed symbols) $\delta^{15}N$ for new growth of the whole plant plotted against biomass for Populus balsamifera L. grown with either nitrate (A) or ammonium (B). Each data point represents a genotypic mean (N = 3). Correlation coefficients and P-values are placed near each line.

Table 3 Mean nitrogen concentrations (μmol g dw$^{-1}$ ± SE; N = 75) of roots, stems, leaves and cuttings of Populus balsamifera L. hydroponically grown with nitrate or ammonium

| Tissue nitrogen concentration (μmol g dw$^{-1}$) | Nitrate  | Ammonium |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Root                                            | 2.50 ± 0.04 | 2.55 ± 0.03 |
| Stem                                            | 0.41 ± 0.01 | 0.76 ± 0.03 |
| Leaf                                            | 1.84 ± 0.03 | 2.19 ± 0.04 |
| Cutting$_{start}$                               | 0.83 ± 0.01 | 0.83 ± 0.02 |
| Cutting$_{end}$                                 | 0.59 ± 0.03 | 0.71 ± 0.02 |
and the unadjusted $\delta^{15}N$ for new growth of the whole plant ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 3). Relative to the measured $\delta^{15}N$ of each corresponding organ, newly assimilated nitrogen was more depleted in $^{15}N$ under ammonium and more $^{15}N$ enriched under nitrate. After accounting for the presence of remobilized nitrogen, the adjusted root, stem and leaf $\delta^{15}N$ values were 47.87, 50.76 and 54.01‰ and −9.45, −7.29 and −5.59‰ for nitrate and ammonium, respectively. This represented an approximate shift of 3.5 to 4‰ and 0.5 to 0.8‰ for nitrate and ammonium grown plants, respectively. There was no residual relationship between biomass and newly acquired plant $\delta^{15}N$ (i.e., non-significant regressions in Figure 3) indicating that the carry-over nitrogen was well accounted for.

The $\delta^{15}N$ of remobilized nitrogen to new growth is not significantly different than the $\delta^{15}N$ of the cutting

When cuttings of randomly selected *Populus trichocarpa* Torr. & Gray genotypes were flushed without exogenous sources of nitrogen, the nitrogen isotope composition of remobilized nitrogen was not significantly different than the isotope composition of complementary cuttings sampled prior to bud flush (Table 4). The isotope composition of the bud was significantly greater ($P < 0.05$) than the cutting stem (2.44 vs 2.11‰) and accounted for approximately 10% of the nitrogen in the vegetative cuttings. After flushing, the isotope composition of the shoot was not significantly different than the cutting stem ($P > 0.05$) and similar to our estimates using isotope mass balance, approximately 50% of the nitrogen from the cutting stem and bud was allocated to the shoot (data not shown). Since a greater portion of nitrogen was allocated to the shoot after flushing, there was a corresponding 40% decrease in the nitrogen content of the cutting stem after flushing. Although there was significant genotypic variation in the isotope composition of the cuttings, there was no significant difference in the amount of nitrogen remobilized from the cuttings ($P > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

The objective was to quantify and correct for carry-over nitrogen from cuttings in new growth of *P. balsamifera* hydroponically grown under steady-state conditions. Here, it was shown that nitrogen remobilized from cuttings influences plant $\delta^{15}N$. Carry-over nitrogen would be inconsequential for plants grown from relatively small seeds or in longer-term experiments, where the total accumulated nitrogen pool represents almost all of the
nitrogen within the plant. However, in cases where biomass accumulation is low relative to the size of the propagule, without correction, deviation of measured $\delta^{15}N$ from the $\delta^{15}N$ of newly acquired nitrogen can lead to misinterpretations in physiological and ecological studies. It has been suggested that there are carry-over effects contributing to interannual variability in carbon isotope signatures ($\delta^{13}C$) in woody plants [17,18] but as far as we know, aside from [19], this is the first attempt to quantify the carry-over effect on nitrogen isotope composition of new growth in woody plants.

Accounting for remobilized nitrogen from the cutting to the root, stems and leaves required a two-step approach. Since vegetative cuttings are a part of the stem, nitrogen contained within the cutting should be combined with the general stem nitrogen pool. However, there is a certain fraction of nitrogen in the vegetative cutting that was not mobilized and therefore, needs to be estimated to calculate the corresponding amount of cutting nitrogen that was remobilized into the new growth. In the present study, and noting that some isotope discrimination is expected during nitrogen uptake and assimilation [4,6,14,20], the presence of non-remobilized nitrogen in the cutting was indicated by a difference between stem and cutting $\delta^{15}N$ that was weighted towards the source $\delta^{15}N$ (Table 1). Previous work has indicated that there is often a fraction that is not remobilized to sink tissue [8]. Once non-remobilized nitrogen and the proportion of cutting nitrogen that is part of the stem nitrogen pool had been partitioned, the amount of nitrogen mobilized into the plant nitrogen pool was quantified. To make this partitioning calculation, it was assumed that new nitrogen in the cutting was mixed with remobilized (carried-over) nitrogen from the cutting similar to that of stem tissue. Since there was influx of newly assimilated nitrogen into the vegetative cutting, as indicated by a growth dependent change of cutting $\delta^{15}N$ towards the source $\delta^{15}N$, it would be reasonable to assume there is a certain degree of mixing of nitrogen within the mobile stem nitrogen pool.

Variation in the difference in $\delta^{15}N$ between measured and newly acquired nitrogen may, in part, be a consequence of assuming that the distribution of carry-over nitrogen was proportionate to the nitrogen allocation between roots, stems and leaves. Dong S, 2004 [7] reported that approximately 30% of remobilized nitrogen was allocated to the root and 70% was allocated to the shoot in vegetatively propagated poplar, which favors shoots over roots slightly less than our results. Although total nitrogen contents and biomass of roots and shoots were not reported in [7], if the root:shoot ratios were similar to our results, then this would be consistent with a proportional allocation of remobilized nitrogen to those organs. By assuming proportionate allocation of carry-over nitrogen, we acknowledge that there may be some error associated with this assumption but the overall effect of the associated error is small. In situations where carry-over nitrogen accounted for a large portion of plant nitrogen, unequal allocation could have an appreciable effect. However, in our case where, in most cases, carry-over nitrogen accounted for less than 15% of plant nitrogen, the influence on adjusted isotopic composition for roots, stems and leaves would be mostly inconsequential.

Another assumption made was to equate the $\delta^{15}N$ of remobilized nitrogen with the $\delta^{15}N$ of the cutting at the start of experiment. To validate this assumption, vegetative cuttings from four randomly chosen genotypes from a common garden experiment for *P. trichocarpa* were grown without exogenous nitrogen for 21 days. The estimates of the amount of nitrogen remobilized from the cutting to the new growth were similar to those obtained using our proposed isotopic mixing model and correction. Using a different approach, [19] reported that there was no difference in remobilized $\delta^{15}N$ and stored $\delta^{15}N$, and that no fractionation occurred during remobilization. Although biochemical variation in $\delta^{15}N$ occurs in plants [13-15] and these variations may contribute to error to our approach, our results indicate that it would seem to be small relative to the correction applied.

The proportion of soluble nitrogen in cuttings may limit nitrogen remobilization which may provide an explanation for why approximately half of pre-existing nitrogen was not remobilized. During the dormant period, nitrogen storage proteins accumulate in stem tissue and the proportion of soluble, stored nitrogen

| Genotype | Pre-flush cutting | Post-flush cutting |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------|
|          | Cutting $\delta^{15}N$ | Bud $\delta^{13}C$ | Cutting $\delta^{15}N$ | Shoot $\delta^{15}N$ | Root $\delta^{15}N$ |
| LILD 26-3 | 2.34 ± 0.17 | 1.97 ± 0.27 | 2.11 ± 0.34 | 2.53 ± 0.13 | 3.08 ± 0.02 |
| LILD 26-5 | 1.68 ± 0.16 | 1.97 ± 0.24 | 1.63 ± 0.36 | 1.63 ± 0.06 | 2.46 ± 0.04 |
| TOBA 23-3 | 3.35 ± 0.26 | 2.25 ± 0.18 | 2.82 ± 0.46 | 2.88 ± 0.08 | 3.02 ± 0.06 |
| PHLA 22-1 | 2.35 ± 0.17 | 2.28 ± 0.16 | 2.34 ± 0.37 | 2.41 ± 0.08 | 2.20 ± 0.26 |

Table 4 Nitrogen isotope composition of vegetative cuttings, pre-flush and post-flush (% ± SE; N = 5 except for roots (N = 2-5) where replicates were combined when root biomass was too low for analysis), for four randomly selected *Populus trichocarpa* Torr. & Gray genotypes flushed in ddH2O containing no exogenous sources of nitrogen for 21 days.
remobilization of nitrogen from source tissue (leaves) of a tree. This proportion was comparable with estimates of 50% of total cutting nitrogen was remobilized to sink tissues (leaves, roots, and stems). If the proportion of soluble nitrogen in P. balsamifera is similar, then only a small fraction of soluble nitrogen was not remobilized to new growth. For hybrid poplar, between 60 and 70% of nitrogen in cuttings was remobilized into actively growing tissue [7]. This proportion was comparable with estimates of remobilization of nitrogen from source tissue (leaves) of Brassica napus to sinks (developing siliques) [25].

The use of two separate nitrogen sources with δ15N values both greater and lower than the δ15N of the cutting at the start of the experiment provided some validation for the correction. Previously, enriched nitrogen applied as 15N allowed for the quantification of remobilized nitrogen into growing plant tissue [7]. In the present study, newly acquired nitrogen was approximately 5 to 10% depleted relative to the cutting when plants were grown on ammonium (δ15N = -0.96 ‰), and 50 to 55% enriched when plants were grown on nitrate (δ15N = 58.5 ‰). This contrast in the isotopic composition of two sources of newly acquired nitrogen, relative to nitrogen that previously existed in the cutting, produced negative and positive non-linear relationships between biomass and the unadjusted plant δ15N. Here, after correction, biomass had no impact on adjusted plant δ15N for either source and any observed relationship was primarily a result of nitrogen carried-over from the cutting. Our approach worked well either way, which should not be the case if the δ15N of remobilized nitrogen were consistently offset in one direction from the δ15N of bulk nitrogen.

Conclusion

Here, we provided evidence that remobilized nitrogen carried-over from stem cuttings can affect measured δ15N of new growth in poplar, a perennial model plant. Carry-over nitrogen from pre-existing nitrogen pools needs to be considered when measuring δ15N at natural abundance. By applying a two-step mass balance correction, the contributions of carried-over pre-existing nitrogen to the nitrogen content and δ15N of new growth can be quantified and accounted for. This, in turn, will allow for a better assessment of isotope discrimination associated with the acquisition of new nitrogen from the rooting environment and could be helpful in physiology and ecology studies measuring nitrogen isotope composition at natural abundance to address questions relating to nitrogen use dynamics at the soil or plant level. The methods presented here are not only applicable to this particular species or set of conditions but, with careful sampling protocols, can be applied to other experimental systems that require the measurement of δ15N at natural abundance during plant growth and development.

Methods

Plant material and experimental design

First year branches of 25 genotypes of Populus balsamifera L. ranging from 51°N to 56°N from the Agriculture Canada Balsam Poplar (AgCanBaP) collection [26] were obtained from the AAFC-AESB Agroforestry Development Centre at Indian Head, Saskatchewan, Canada and stored at 4°C for approximately three months to fulfill chilling requirements. The five provenances reflected a climatic gradient for the species that extends from a prairie ecosystem to the boreal forest of the Canadian Shield; namely Outlook (OUT; 51.1°N, 106.2°W), Saskatoon (SKN; 2.2°N, 106.4°W), Turtleford (TUR; 53.2°N, 108.3°W), Cold Lake (CLK; 54.2°N, 110.1°W) and Gillam (GIL; 56.4°N, 94.7°W). Two-node cuttings, approximately 6–8 cm long were weighed for fresh weight and arranged in a randomized complete block design with three blocks of two nitrogen treatments supplied as either 250 μM Ca(NO3)2 or 250 μM (NH4)2SO4. Plants were grown for 45 days in a hydroponics solution until harvest. Complementary samples of each genotype were collected (N = 3) to determine initial isotope composition and nitrogen concentration.

Hydroponics system

The hydroponics system was comprised of six 1000 L containers lined with rubber pond liner material (Firestone, Nashville, TN, USA) set up in a greenhouse under ambient light conditions supplemented by sodium halide lighting (600 μmol m-2 s-1 PPFD) and 18/6 photoperiod. Temperatures in the greenhouse were maintained at between 20 and 24°C. Each container was fitted with a floating Perspex “raft” that held up to 32 plants. Unused plugs in the raft and the rest of the container were covered with black polythene to prevent algal growth. The hydroponics solution was a modified 1/10th strength Johnson’s solution [27] supplemented with either 250 μM Ca(NO3)2 or (NH4)2(SO4). Final nutrient composition, excluding nitrogen, was: 200 μM KH2PO4, 200 μM K2SO4, 100 μM MgSO4, 100 μM CaSO4, and micronutrients: 5 μM Cl, 2.5 μM B, 0.2 μM Mn, 0.2 μM Zn, 0.1 μM Mo, 0.05 μM Cu, and 50 μM Fe2+. Containers were fitted with 20 L per minute centrifugal pumps, to circulate and aerate media. Solutions were monitored periodically for oxygen levels, pH and temperature. Powdered calcium carbonate (CaCO3) was added to buffer pH in the range of 6–7.5. Media NH4+ and NO3− concentrations were assayed using the
Sample and natural abundance isotope analysis

After 45 days of growth, plants were separated into leaves, stems, roots and the original cutting. Samples were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until samples could be freeze-dried at −50°C for two days. Once dried, roots, leaves, stems, cuttings and the cuttings collected at the start of the experiment were weighed. Samples were then ground to a fine powder using a mortar and pestle and then a ball mill (Fritsch). Subsamples of 3 ± 0.1 mg were weighed into tin capsules (Elemental Laboureretabau, Terochem Scientific). Isotopic composition of a cutting at harvest (δ15N) was determined by ANCA-GSL elemental analyzer interfaced to a PDZ Europa 20–20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Sercon Ltd., Cheshire, UK) (University of California Stable Isotope Facility, Davis, CA). Isotopic composition of a cutting at harvest (δ15N) can be calculated as:

\[
\delta^{15}N = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right) \times 1000
\]

(1)

where, \(R_{\text{sample}}\) is the 15N/14N isotope ratio of the sample and \(R_{\text{standard}}\) is the isotope ratio of a known standard (air). The δ15N values for the ammonium and nitrate salts used for the growth media were −0.96 and +58.5‰, respectively.

Correcting for carried-over pre-existing cutting nitrogen in growing plant organs

The δ15N and nitrogen concentration of the cutting after harvest was compared to the δ15N and nitrogen concentration of the cutting before the start of the experiment to correct for pre-existing nitrogen remobilized to the actively growing plant. Nitrogen content of the cutting at harvest (\(N_{\text{end}}\)) can be calculated as:

\[
N_{\text{end}} = \text{Biomass}_{\text{cutting end}} \times [N]_{\text{cutting end}}
\]

(2)

where, Biomass_{cutting end} and [N]_{cutting end} are the biomass and nitrogen concentration of the cutting at harvest. Since the cutting was part of the growing stem, dry mass accumulated in the cutting. The initial dry mass of the cutting (Biomass_{cutting start}) was estimated from the fresh mass of the cutting at the start of the experiment and the mean dry mass content of the cuttings sampled at the start of the experiment (0.584). From this, nitrogen content at the start of the experiment (\(N_{\text{start}}\)) was estimated as:

\[
N_{\text{start}} = \text{Biomass}_{\text{cutting start}} \times [N]_{\text{start}}
\]

(3)

where, [N]_{start} is the mean nitrogen concentration of the cuttings at the start of the experiment. Assuming that the isotopic composition of a cutting at harvest (δ15N_{end}) is a mixture of stem δ15N (δ15N_{stem}) (containing a portion of remobilized nitrogen) and non-remobilized nitrogen remaining in the cutting (δ15N_{start}), the fraction of non-remobilized (\(f_{\text{nonremobilized}}\)) nitrogen can be estimated as:

\[
f_{\text{nonremobilized}} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{\text{end}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{stem}}}{\delta^{15}N_{\text{start}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{stem}}}
\]

(4)

The amount of nitrogen remobilized (\(N_{\text{remobilized}}\)) to new growth can then be calculated as:

\[
N_{\text{remobilized}} = N_{\text{start}} - (N_{\text{end}} \times f_{\text{nonremobilized}})
\]

(5)

The proportion of carried-over pre-existing nitrogen in the general plant nitrogen pool that was carried over into new growth (\(C\)) is given by:

\[
C = \frac{N_{\text{remobilized}}}{N_{\text{plant}}}
\]

(6)

where, \(N_{\text{plant}}\) is equal to the sum of all nitrogen contents in the roots, leaves and stems (including new and remobilized nitrogen allocated to the expanded cutting):

\[
N_{\text{plant}} = N_{\text{leaves}} + N_{\text{roots}} + N_{\text{stem}} + N_{\text{end}} \times (1 - f_{\text{nonremobilized}})
\]

(7)

Assuming that there is a proportionate distribution of remobilized nitrogen throughout the plant (i.e., \(C\) is the same for all plant organs), the mass balance equation showing the contributions of new and carry-over nitrogen to measured δ15N for roots, stems and leaves is:

\[
\delta^{15}N_{\text{unadjusted}} = \delta^{15}N_{\text{carry-over}} \times C + \delta^{15}N_{\text{new}} \times (1 - C)
\]

(8)

where, \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{unadjusted}}\) \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{carry-over}}\) and \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{new}}\) are the measured isotopic compositions of each plant organ, the isotopic composition of remobilized nitrogen (equal to \(\delta^{15}N_{\text{start}}\)) and the isotopic composition of newly assimilated nitrogen, respectively. Equation 8 can be rearranged to yield:

\[
\delta^{15}N_{\text{new}} = \frac{\delta^{15}N_{\text{measured}} - \delta^{15}N_{\text{carry-over}} \times C}{1 - C}
\]

(9)

This equation was used to obtain root, stem and leaf δ15N values adjusted for the contribution of carry-over nitrogen from the cuttings.
Statistical analysis

Two-way ANOVA was used to test for the fixed effects of nitrogen source and genotype on (1) biomass, (2) measured δ¹⁵N values, (3) the proportion of cutting nitrogen remobilized to the growing plant, (4) the proportion of nitrogen in new growth that is carry-over nitrogen, and (5) the adjusted δ¹⁵N values of plant, root and leaf tissues. The statistical model was as follows:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \tau_j + \beta_{ij} \]  

(10)

where, \( \mu \) is the overall mean response, \( \alpha_i \) is the effect due to the genotype, \( \tau_j \) is the effect due to the nitrogen source and \( \beta_{ij} \) is the effect due to any interaction between the genotype and nitrogen source. Analysis of variance procedure was carried out using Graphpad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, USA) to obtain estimates of the variance procedure was carried out using Graphpad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, USA) to obtain estimates of the variance procedure was carried out using Graphpad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, USA) to obtain estimates of the variance.
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