Microbial community succession on developing lesions on human enamel
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Background: Dental caries is one of the most common diseases in the world. However, our understanding of how the microbial community composition changes in vivo as caries develops is lacking.

Objective: An in vivo model was used in a longitudinal cohort study to investigate shifts in the microbial community composition associated with the development of enamel caries.

Design: White spot lesions were generated in vivo on human teeth predetermined to be extracted for orthodontic reasons. The bacterial microbiota on sound enamel and on developing carious lesions were identified using the Human Oral Microbe Identification Microarray (HOMIM), which permits the detection of about 300 of the approximate 600 predominant bacterial species in the oral cavity.

Results: After only seven weeks, 75% of targeted teeth developed white spot lesions (8 individuals, 16 teeth). The microbial community composition of the plaque over white spot lesions differed significantly as compared to sound enamel. Twenty-five bacterial taxa, including Streptococcus mutans, Atopobium parvulum, Dialister inisus and species of Prevotella and Scardovia, were significantly associated with initial enamel lesions. In contrast, 14 bacterial taxa, including species of Fusobacterium, Campylobacter, Kingella, and Capnocytophaga, were significantly associated with sound enamel.

Conclusions: The bacterial community composition associated with the progression of enamel lesions is specific and much more complex than previously believed. This investigation represents one of the first longitudinally-derived studies for caries progression and supports microbial data from previous cross-sectional studies on the development of the disease. Thus, the in vivo experiments of generating lesions on teeth destined for extraction in conjunction with HOMIM analyses represent a valid model to study succession of supragingival microbial communities associated with caries development and to study efficacy of prophylactic and restorative treatments.
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Enamel caries, one of the most common human diseases, is considered a multi-factorial disease involving interactions among the oral microbiota, host immune system, diet, saliva and properties of the tooth surface. The ecological plaque hypothesis (and its many variations) (1–5) stressed the importance of changes in local environmental conditions as causes for shifts in the proportions of species within a mixed plaque population. The ecological plaque hypothesis also states that potentially cariogenic bacteria may be present in clinically low levels in dental health. The implications are that caries could be controlled not only by targeting cariogenic bacteria but also by interfering with local factors responsible for driving the harmful shifts in the microbiota. Culture-independent methods based on molecular analysis of 16S rRNA genes have led to an expanded list of caries-associated species in addition to Streptococcus mutans, including species of Actinomyces, Abiotrophia, Atopobium, Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Olsenella, Pseudoramibacter, Scardovia, Selenomonas and Veillonella (6–11). In particular, recent molecular studies (10) have associated Actinomyces gerencseriae, A. naeslundii and A. israelii with initial enamel lesions also known as white spot lesions (WSLs). Furthermore, many studies support the view that caries can develop in the absence of S. mutans (5, 10, 12). Consequently, several
bacterial species, either alone or as a group, other than S. mutans may also play major roles in caries development (1, 5, 13, 14).

In this study, WSLs were artificially induced in situ on the buccal surface of premolars intended for extraction due to orthodontic treatment. The microbial communities were followed longitudinally in each subject for seven weeks and the communities of sound enamel were compared with those of WSLs by using the Human Oral Microbe Identification Microarray (HOMIM), which is a high-throughput method capable of simultaneously detecting about 300 oral predominant bacterial species including those that have not yet been cultivated (11, 15) (http://mim.forsyth.org).

**Material and methods**

**Subject population**

The subject population consisted of five male and three female individuals of Scandinavian origin, chosen from an orthodontic clinic for the study. All were 10 to 14 years old and had premolars scheduled for extraction due to orthodontic reasons. Only subjects with little to no past caries experience were included in the study. A total of 23 premolars were used and the premolars had no signs of clinical WSLs at baseline. For all scheduled study visits, participants did not brush their teeth the morning prior to sampling and had no breakfast within an hour before sampling. All appointments were scheduled between 9 am and 12 pm. While enrolled in the study, participants brushed their teeth with the non-fluoride toothpaste Solidox® (Lilleborg AS, Oslo, Norway) and did not use any fluoride or antimicrobials, such as chlorhexidine or triclosane. The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee (REK sor-øst, PB 1130, Blindern, Oslo, Norway). The subjects and their guardians signed an informed consent form before the start of the study.

**Clinical procedures**

Orthodontic bands with two metal posts (0.8 mm thick) welded onto the inner buccal surface specifically designed for plaque accumulation (16), were banded on premolars destined for extraction during the first visit (Fig. 1). The buccal surface of the premolars was visually inspected before the banding. No signs of previous or current caries activity were detected. Temp Bond NE (Kerr Corporation, Orange, CA, USA) was used to cement the bands in place (17). Any residual cement between the buccal tooth surface and the band was removed. Plaque samples were taken from premolars at baseline (immediately before banding) and at the final visit 7 weeks after banding.

Care was taken not to touch the premolar area prior to plaque sampling. A lip spreader was used to isolate the premolars and the buccal surfaces were allowed to air dry before sample collection. The same examiner collected all samples with a sterile orthodontic wire (Fig. 1) and immediately suspended each sample in 300 μl TE buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4). The samples were transported to the laboratory using the NalgeneTM Labtop Cooler (−20°C), before placing them at −80°C until further processing. For baseline sampling, the buccal enamel surface where the band would be placed was sampled with a probe. At the final visit, the probe was inserted into the space between the band and the

![Fig. 1](image-url). Orthodontic bands designed for plaque retention. The bands were placed in vivo on premolars.
buccal tooth surface and the plaque sample was collected from the enamel surface.

After collecting the sample at the final visit, the examiner extracted the premolars and the orthodontic treatment was continued as planned by the orthodontist. The buccal surface of the extracted premolars was carefully cleaned with water, air dried and then the buccal lesion was given a score in the following manner (Fig. 2): no WSLs = 1, minor WSLs = 2 and severe WSLs = 3 (18).

**DNA isolation and HOMIM protocol**

DNA was isolated from clinical samples using a Ready-Lyse™ Lysozyme Solution (Epicentre) for overnight incubation before using a MasterPure DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre) according to the manufacturer’s directions. Purified DNA samples were analyzed using HOMIM, which was developed to simultaneously detect ~300 of the most prevalent oral bacterial species. The method is described in detail elsewhere (15). Briefly, 16S rRNA-based, reverse-capture oligonucleotide probes (typically 18–20 bases) were printed on aldehyde-coated glass slides. 16S rRNA genes were PCR amplified from DNA extracts using 16S rRNA universal forward and reverse primers and labeled via incorporation of Cy3-dCTP in a second nested PCR. The labeled 16S rRNA gene amplicons were hybridized overnight with probes on the slides. After washing, the microarray slides were scanned using an Axon 4000B scanner and crude data were extracted using GenePix Pro software.
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**Fig. 2.** Resulting white spot lesion after 7 weeks of plaque retention. The photograph was taken after the removal of an orthodontic band.

**Analysis of microbial community profiles**

Microbial community profiles were generated from image files of scanned HOMIM arrays using a HOMIM online analysis tool (available at: http://bioinformatics.forsyth.org/homim/). The detection of a particular taxon in a sample was determined by the presence of a fluorescent spot for that unique probe. A mean intensity for each taxon was calculated from the hybridization spots of the same probe and the signals were normalized and calculated as previously described (15). The range of signal levels was obtained by raising normalized signal intensities to the power of 0.3. Any original signal that was less than two times the background value was reset to 1 and was assigned to the signal level 0; indicating absence of a particular taxon in a sample. The remaining signals, those greater than 1, were categorized into scores from 1 to 5; corresponding to different signal levels. To determine how bacterial community composition varied across samples, we compared total hybridization profiles obtained by HOMIM arrays for each sample using correspondence analysis (CoA) in MeV 4.6 (19). Analysis was performed on the absolute intensity of HOMIM data (frequency of scores from 0 to 5) as well as binary data (presence/absence). The prevalence of each taxon was computed for each subject and averaged within groups. Wilcoxon Rank Sum test and t-test were used to identify statistically significant differences between groups (baseline and final visit; WSLs 1, 2 and 3; and plaque indices 0, 1 and 2). A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant. False discovery rate (FDR) using Benjamini-Hochberg correction was used to control for multiple hypothesis. For the cluster analysis, Pearson correlation was used as a distance metric selection. JMP 9.0 (www.jmp.com/) and R Statistical Package (www.r-project.org/) were used in statistical analyses.

**Results**

**Succession of microbial communities**

At the end of seven weeks at the final visit, 75% of the premolars had developed WSLs on their buccal surfaces underneath the retention band (Fig. 2). There was a clear shift of the microbiota from plaque found on sound enamel (baseline) as compared to plaque retained after seven weeks (final visit). Microbial communities at baseline were found to be significantly different (p < 0.001; t test) from the microbial communities at the final visit (Figs. 3 and 4). For each visit, samples had a bacterial ‘signature’ for each individual; samples from the same individual, in general, were more similar to one another than when taken from two different individuals (Fig. 3).
Fourteen bacterial taxa were found to be prevalent in plaque covering sound enamel at baseline \((p < 0.01); \text{Fig. 4}\). The most commonly detected species in greater than 80% of samples were \textit{Gemella morbillorum}, \textit{Kingella oralis} and \textit{Capnocytophaga granulosa}. All species have been detected previously in supragingival and subgingival plaque (15). All but \textit{Campylobacter concisus} and \textit{Campylobacter showae} are capable of fermenting carbohydrates to acid, although \textit{C. concisus} and \textit{C. showae} can produce acetate and or succinate with formate and fumarate in the culture medium (20).

Twenty-five bacterial species were significantly increased in plaque behind the retaining bands after seven weeks (Fig. 4). Many of these species have been previously associated with caries development, such as \textit{Streptococcus mutans}, other species of \textit{Streptococcus} and species of \textit{Lactobacillus}. Other species less known to be associated with caries development included \textit{Atopobium parvulum}, \textit{Dialister invisus}, and species of \textit{Prevotella} and \textit{Scardovia}.

Of note, 25% of teeth did not develop a lesion after seven weeks. Consequently, we compared the changes in microbiota on pairs of samples between baseline and for those samples that did not develop a lesion. For this small sample size, there were no taxa that were significantly more prevalent at baseline or at the final visit.

Communities with Plaque Index 2 are significantly different from plaque indices 0 and 1. The average number of taxa for Plaque Index 0, 1 and 2 was 38.50, 44.13 and 53.68, respectively. The Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test was used for each pair; plaque indices 0 and 2 were compared: \(p\)-value = 0.0014, and plaque indices 1 and 2: \(p\)-value = 0.0247.

Four taxa, namely \textit{Gemella morbillorum}, \textit{Selenomonas sp. OT136/OT148}, \textit{Megasphaera micronuciformis}, and \textit{Selenomonas sputigena}, were more prevalent in WSL 1 samples \((p < 0.01)\). However, due to the low number of samples \((n)\), the results were not significant \((e.g. \ p > 0.05)\) when adjusted for multiple comparisons.

The results clearly showed an ecological shift in dental plaque over developing WSLs in enamel. Many bacterial species, including typical caries-associated species such as...
**S. mutans** and **Lactobacillus** spp., increased with the development of WSLs. Consequently, the bacterial community composition associated with the progression of WSL is specific and much more complex than previously believed and should be explored in future studies. Our results not only confirmed previous studies (6, 8, 10, 11), but additional species or phylotypes that were significantly associated with the longitudinal progression of caries in the secondary dentition were identified (Table 1) (10).

Many of the bacterial species found to be increased in plaque covering sound enamel and in plaque behind the plaque retaining bands are capable of producing acid. Bacteria capable of producing caries need to be both acidogenic (able to produce acid) and aciduric (able to survive in an acid environment) (21). It is noteworthy that non-mutans streptococci, such as those found in WSLs, are capable of acidogenesis at low pH and typically outnumber mutans streptococci. Consequently, several species of non-mutans *Streptococcus* have been implicated in caries production (13). Indeed, in this study several species or phylotypes were significantly more prevalent in WSLs (Fig. 4).

Our results provided strong evidence in support of the ecological plaque hypothesis, that is, enamel caries develops due to changes in local environmental conditions (plaque retaining bands), which disrupt the natural balance between plaque and the host, leading to enrichment of organisms that can potentially cause caries. In addition, our results showed that many bacterial species other than *S. mutans* and lactobacilli are associated with caries in vivo.

---

**Fig. 4.** Mean frequencies of bacterial probes that were significantly different (*p* < 0.05; Wilcoxon test) among subjects at baseline and final visit. *Indicates adjusted *p* (Benjamini/Hochberg) values *p* < 0.01 and ** *p* < 0.001.
Table 1. Comparison of statistically significant bacterial taxa associated with carious lesions in the secondary dentition

| Species/phyotype                                | This study | Aas et al. (10) |
|------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Acidaminococcaceae sp. OT131                   | +          | WSL             |
| Acidaminococcaceae sp. OT155                   | +          | WSL caries      |
| Atopobium spp.                                 | +          | +               |
| Biﬁdobacterium spp.                           | +          | +               |
| Campylobacter gracilis                         | +          |                 |
| Dialister invisus                              | +          |                 |
| Eubacterium ⁄C27                              | +          | WSL             |
| Eubacterium sp. OT082                          | +          |                 |
| Lactobacillus spp.                             | +          | +               |
| Leptotrichia sp. GT018                         | +          |                 |
| Megasphaera micronuciformis                    | +          |                 |
| Onibacterium sp. OT078                         | +          |                 |
| Prevotella denticola                           | +          |                 |
| Prevotella melaninogenica                      | +          |                 |
| Prevotella sp. OT308                           | +          |                 |
| Propionibacterium sp. FMAS5                   | +          |                 |
| Scardovia wiggsiae                             | +          |                 |
| Selenomonas sp. OT136                          | +          | +               |
| Shuttleworthia satelles                        | +          |                 |
| Solobacterium moorei                           | +          |                 |
| Streptococcus parascangulinis                  | +          |                 |
| Streptococcus sp. OT070                        | +          |                 |
| Streptococcus salivarius                       | +          | +               |
| Streptococcus mutans                          | +          | +               |
| Veillonella spp.                               | +          | +               |

In summary, the bacterial diversity of the predominant oral microbiota associated with development of cariogenic lesions was determined using HOMIM in an in vivo model. Our results indicate that the microbiota on intact enamel was significantly different from that of the microbiota associated with WSLs developed for seven weeks under protected metal bands. The microbial communities in dental plaque associated with caries included species of the genera Acidaminococcaceae, Streptococcus, Lactobacillus, Veillonella, Prevotella, Solobacterium, Scardovia, and Atopobium. Some of these were associated with the increasing severity of WSLs such as S. wiggsiae, S. salivarius and E. atypica and might play important roles in the process of caries development. The in vivo model of microbial community succession provided novel insights into the microbial community shifts associated with the development of WSLs, and likely dental caries. Consequently, this model can be used to study the efficacy of treatment or perturbations, such as diet, antibiotics, and other prophylactic and restorative treatments, and may help design more effective interventions and preventions.
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