CASE REPORT

Meckel’s diverticulitis: a rare entity of Meckel’s diverticulum
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Abstract

Meckel’s diverticulum is the most common congenital abnormality of the small intestine that results from incomplete closure of the vitelline (omphalo-mesenteric) duct. This true diverticulum, ~2 ft from the ileocecal valve commonly found on the anti-mesenteric border of the ileum, is benign and majority asymptomatic. Diagnosis challenges arise when it became inflamed or presented in following ways, for example, haemorrhage (caused by ectopic pepsin—and hydrochloric acid—secreting gastric mucosa), intestinal obstruction (secondary to intussusception or volvulus) or the presence of diverticulum in the hernia sac (Littre’s hernia). We report a case of a 59-year-old male who was admitted under the surgical service at Blackpool Victoria Hospital with suspected appendicitis that turned out to be a Meckel’s diverticulitis, a rare presentation of an acute abdomen. We discuss the issues involved in his investigation and management as well as perform a literature review comparing different surgical approaches.

CASE REPORT

A 59-year-old man presented with a 12-h history of sudden onset of right upper quadrant and epigastric pain. The pain was then felt localized to the right iliac fossa and was constant, made worse with movement. Although he felt nauseous, he had not vomited. His last bowel movement which was 1 day previously had been normal with no bleeding per rectum noted, and no lower urinary tract symptoms.

On physical examination, he was pyrexial at 38.4°C, tachycardic with a pulse rate of 120 beats per minute, tachypnoeic at a respiratory rate of 20 per minute and a blood pressure of 130/70 mmHg. Clinically, he appeared flushed and in pain. His abdomen was not distended but tender and guarding in the right upper and lower quadrants. Right flank tenderness was also elicited. There were no hernias and his chest was clear.

Initial blood tests revealed raised inflammatory markers—white blood cell count 21.1 × 10^9/l and C-reactive protein 22 mg/l. Urea and Electrolytes, Liver Function Tests and amylase were within normal limits. Urine dipstick was clear. An erect chest X-ray showed no pneumoperitoneum (Fig. 1).
A computerized tomography of abdomen and pelvis with contrast (Fig. 2a–c) showed an inflamed Meckel’s diverticulum with some high density material, presumed to be enteroliths within it. The adjacent portion of the ileum was also mildly inflamed, with some fatty infiltration of the wall and surrounding inflammatory stranding in the mesenteric fat. The appendix appeared normal as well as normal appearances of the rest of the small and large bowel, gallbladder, pancreas, kidneys, spleen and adrenals.

Operation

Intravenous antibiotics were commenced preoperatively. Midline minilaparotomy was made. Intraoperatively, an inflamed, swollen and thickened Meckel’s diverticulum was found, but not perforated or gangrenous (Fig. 3). The nearby small bowel was slightly inflamed, but the terminal ileum felt normal. The Meckel’s was removed with a wedge resection. Anti-

mesenteric border of small bowel was closed transversely using 3/0 PDS interrupted seromuscular in two layers. A thorough washout with warm saline was performed and the abdominal incision was closed by a mass closure technique using loop PDS 1/0 with staples to skin.

Postoperative progress

Inflammatory markers were responding with intravenous antibiotic. No further spiking temperature. Patient was discharged on post-op day 6. The histology showed diverticulum with profuse chronic active inflammatory exudate involving all layers of the wall and accompanied by areas of necrosis. The adjacent small bowel shows prominent submucosal oedema with moderately severe chronic inflammatory exudate (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Meckel’s diverticulum is rare and accounts for only 2% of the general population. An equal incidence is found in men and women. Meckel’s diverticulitis is one of the recognized complications of the condition and is clinically indistinguishable from appendicitis, except that the pain and tenderness typically localized at the periumbilical region. Progress of the diverticulitis may lead to
perforation and peritonitis. A fistula between Meckel’s diverticulum and the appendix has also been reported [1].

A Meckel’s diverticulum is commonly discovered at operation. Resection of incidental Meckel’s found during laparotomy is controversial in children and adults. It is generally recommended that asymptomatic Meckel’s to be resected in children during laparotomy [2, 3] given an increased lifelong risk for complications [4]. However, this approach remains debatable in adult patients. The argument was that the likelihood of Meckel’s diverticulum becoming symptomatic in an adult is 2% or less and that postoperative morbidity secondary to intestinal obstruction and infection from prophyllactic resection confers no potential benefit in prevention of disease [5]. Many surgeons advocate that incidentally found normal appearing Meckel’s diverticulum should not be resected unless there is a palpable abnormality (suggestive of the presence of ectopic mucosa), a long diverticulum (>4 cm) and a narrow neck or base of diverticulum (<2 cm wide). Elective prophylactic resection of asymptomatic Meckel’s diverticulum identified on imaging is not recommended for both children and adult.

On the other hand, treatment for a symptomatic (bleeding, inflamed) Meckel’s diverticulum should be prompt and referred for surgical intervention in all patients (children and adults) to relieve symptoms. The standard surgical approach is to perform a segmental (wedge or v-shaped diverticulectomy) resection of the narrow-based diverticulum or to perform a limited small bowel resection followed by primary end-to-end anastomosis if an inflamed or ulcerated diverticulum is encountered. Although gastrointestinal bleeding from a Meckel’s diverticulum is a rare complication, when encountered, a segmental small bowel resection followed by end-to-end ileostomy rather than simple diverticulectomy is preferred [6]. Proton-pump inhibitor therapy should also be initiated on these patients. Transverse closure of the ileum with hand-sewn technique or using linear stapler across the base of the diverticulum is the ideal method to minimize the risk of subsequent stenosis.

The long-term outcomes with laparoscopy approaches (including laparoscopic diverticulectomy and laparoscopic-assisted transumbilical Meckel’s diverticulectomy) are still lacking [6]. However, many studies have reported that the laparoscopic management of the complicated Meckel’s diverticulum is safe, cost effective and efficient, fewer complications and shorter recovery period compared with conventional laparotomy [7, 8].

The learning point of this clinical vignette is that Meckel’s diverticulitis is often clinically indistinguishable from appendicitis especially in adult patients. Computed tomography (CT) has become an invaluable tool for the evaluation of abdominal pain. CT scanning is useful in demonstrating acutely inflamed diverticula, typically identified as a blind pouch off the distal small intestine and associated with bowel wall thickening and in detecting the presence of enterocolic and enterovesical fistulas.

CONCLUSION

Open or laparoscopic diverticulectomy in managing complicated Meckel’s diverticulum is safe although the latter approach depends on local technical expertise and facilities. Conservative management of an incidental Meckel’s is a reasonable surgical approach.
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