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Abstract

There are more than 119 million mines were buried in 71 countries in the world. The number of mine victims is greater than the number of the victims of nuclear and chemical weapons together. Egypt is one of the countries that suffer from the presence of landmines in its soil. Hence, around 21 million landmines are found in several locations, especially at El-Alameen and Sinai Peninsula.

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) is a near-surface geophysical imaging technique used for subsurface geologic, engineering and environmental investigations. It is an efficient tool for landmines detection, especially non-metal types such as PMN-2 landmine as well as its far detection capability.

In this paper, our main objective is to validate the ability of Ground penetrating Radar to discriminate between various buried targets. Wavelets transform was used to obtain the spectrum distribution of every buried target. The difference between the distributions of the different target spectrum can be considered as a finger print for each one, the summations of powers at the locations of targets were calculated and compared.

Simulation models for different targets were made. The reflections of targets were analyzed by Daubechies Wavelets (db2) transform to differentiate between different targets and to get fingerprint for every target. This technique was applied for field measurement of different target type and the technique revealed that the variation of fingerprint for every buried target. We believe that with this technique it is possible to implement the research of the mines in the contaminated areas, it is often carried out measurements on test sites, or laboratory studies.

In this paper, the fingerprint of various buried targets of different material were obtained through the application of our technique [7]. Initially, simulation models for different targets such as perfect electric conductor (PEC), plastic (PVC) and limestone were designed by using a plane wave electromagnetic source to find guideline results for comparison in case of real field measurements.

Secondly, our technique was applied to the test site measurements (GPR data). Our MATLAB code was designed to process the data which includes the removing shift in time, the removing the antenna coupling, and the automatic gain control (AGC) to improve and enhance the data. Also the moving average and the bandpass filtering, processing were used to smooth and remove unwanted frequencies before using our technique. Subsequently, we obtained the fingerprint of various buried targets.

Methodology

The reflected power was compared in the illustrated technique to determine the locations of targets. The continuous wavelet transform was applied to offer very good time and frequency localization. As a result, the risk to implement the research of the mines in the contaminated areas, it is often carried out measurements on test sites, or laboratory studies.
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fact that the summation of reflected power from metallic targets is the maximum between all targets, so that the reflected power distribution at the target locations was studied. We found that a significant difference between power distributions of the different target spectrum, which varies depending on the target type that can be considered as a finger print for every target. Also the multiple received reflections were varied depending on target kind. This technique enabled us to discriminate between different targets.

A commercial software CST [8]

Microwave Studio program was used as a FDTD simulator for observing the electromagnetic scattering from a determined landmine (metal or plastic). Table 1 shows the numerical simulation parameters for different landmines models and limestone model as shown in Figure 1 in which plane wave is used as electromagnetic source. These models were simulated with operating frequency from 400 MHz up to 1400 MHz, central frequency 900 MHz. The plane wave source was used instead of antennas for well describing and well controlling the electromagnetic radiation or wave from targets. It facilitates the simulation of an incident wave from a source located a large distance from the observed object in combination with far field monitors.

For the FDTD numerical simulation, exciting the model with a plane wave source requires several initial conditions to be satisfied such as:

1. The surrounding space should consist of a homogenous material distribution
2. Boundary conditions must be defined as the direction of incidence
3. Other excitation ports must not be located on boundary conditions [9].

Figure 2a-2c illustrates the reflected signals from metal (PEC), plastic (PVC) and limestone targets respectively. In Figure 2, there were three various reflections. The first one was the direct coupling, the second one was the ground reflection and the last one was the reflection from different targets. By using the fact that the reflection of the metal target is much greater than the other reflections, then by comparing the reflected power of all targets as shown in Figure 3, we were able to confirm the location of the targets which indicates the accuracy of our results. By applying our technique on these signals, the finger print of each previous target was detected. By comparing Figure 4a-4c at the same location determined in Figure 3, we have found that there are different signal image for each target. The significant difference in the signal image was considered as a finger print to this specific target.

By calculating the relative summation of power distribution at target locations, we found that the distribution of power from the metallic target was five times, limestone target and it was eighty double the plastic target which enables us to easily differentiate between them.

![Figure 1: Plane wave models in CST program (a) PEC model (b) Limestone model (c) PVC model.](image1)

![Figure 2: Reflected signal from different Targets at plane wave source (a) PEC model (b) Limestone model (c) PVC model.](image2)

| Model size | 1 m × 1 m × 1.9 m |
|------------|------------------|
| Source     | Gaussian pulse   |
| EM incident type | Plane wave       |
| Frequency range | 400 MHz – 1400 MHz |
| Permittivity of medium | Soil medium 4 PEC PVC disk 2.7 Limestone disk 12 |
| Absorbing boundary condition | PML |
| Dimensions of disks | 16 cm radius × 7 cm height |

Table 1: The numerical simulation parameters.

Also the summation of the multiple received reflections power was varied according to targets kind as shown in Figure 5a-5c which is used as a way to distinguish between the various targets too.

For further illustration, the reflected signal from each target was extracted separately by applying time gate of the Hamming window as shown in Figure 6a-6c. The finger prints of each target after using Hamming window were obtained by using the previous technique as shown in Figure 7a-7c.

Test-site measurements

An experimental test site has been constructed within the campus of the National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics (NRIAG) in the Fayoum as described in The experimental test site was used for well describing and well controlling the electromagnetic radiation or wave from targets. It facilitates the simulation of an incident wave from a source located a large distance from the observed object in combination with far field monitors.
The one of the profiles which was carried out on a test site, that contains a set of targets made of different materials was selected as illustrated in Table 2. Figure 8 shows the GPR section of this profile. Initially, we needed to apply processing methods on the GPR data of the experimental test site. Our MATLAB code was designed to process the GPR data which included the static correction of time shift, background removal and adding the automatic gain control (AGC) to improve and enhance the data. Also the moving average and the bandpass filtering, processing were used to smooth and to remove unwanted frequencies. Figure 9 shows the final GPR section after processing.

Secondly, We applied our technique to that profile after processing. By using the reflected signal of each target, the different finger prints of real field measurements were obtained. Figure 10a-10c, show the fingerprint of each buried targets. Also by studying the summation of power distribution, we found a great ability to differentiate between
| No | Targets            | Length (cm) | Width (cm) | Depth (cm) |
|----|--------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| 1  | Aluminum pan       | 14          |            |            |
| 2  | Computer mouse     |             | 25         |            |
| 3  | Iron disk          | 25          | 3          | 25         |
| 4  | Iron plate         | 181.5       | 155        | 71         |

Table 2: The parameters of the buried targets in test site after (Abbas et al. [9]).

the various targets where the percentages of relative summation vary in iron and aluminum and plastic.

Figure 11a-11c shows another method to discriminate between the targets by calculating the sum of multiple received reflections which varied according the target type too. The results of real measurements were very close to the results of simulation models, which confirm the credibility of our own technique.
Conclusion

Many of researches were provided for the detection of landmines sites to save human life and to obtain optimum utilization of the contaminated areas. Given the gravity to implement the researches of the mines in the affected areas, it is often carried out measurements on test sites, or laboratory studies. In this paper, a novel method for landmine detection is presented. We were able to differentiate between the various targets using our own technique, which relies on applying wavelet transform on the reflected signals from the targets and then calculate the summation of power distribution at target locations which gave a high performance to differentiate between them. The significant difference between the distributions of the different target spectrum can be considered as a finger print for every target, also the multiple received reflections varied according target type.

We achieve a success to differentiate and to obtain Finger prints of almost targets by using illustrated technique. The finger prints of trusted simulation models were obtained to bring out the set of specific concepts in order to rely on them in real field measurements.

Data are obtained by using a GPR of pre-equipped test site. They were processed in order to reduce unnecessary signals in order to isolate signals for landmines. The finger prints of various buried targets were obtained by using illustrated technique too.
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