An Experimental Study to Evaluate Hypolipidemic Effect of *Terminalia arjuna* on High Fat Diet Induced Dyslipidaemia in Wistar Rat
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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Hyperlipidaemia is a well-established cardiovascular disease risk factor. Different medications are used to regulate dyslipidaemia. Efficacy and safety are questionable on treatment with lipid lowering drug for long period. Need of time is to search for new lead compound from phytoconstituents of plants which is equal in potency and efficacy with present oral hypolipidemic drug but with fewer side effects. *Terminalia arjuna* has hypolipidemic properties therefore this research is designed to evaluate the hypolipidemic effect of *Terminalia arjuna* on high-fat diet induced dyslipidaemia in Wistar rats.

**Methods:** An experimental study was done. Total 36 Wistar rats divided into 6 group randomly. Group I given normal pellet diet. In remaining group dyslipidaemia induced by high fat diet given for four weeks. Group II (dyslipidaemia control) was given high fat diet. Group III, IV were given *Terminalia arjuna* 250 and 500mg/kg per oral and group V, VI were given Rosuvastatin 10mg/kg and 20mg/kg per oral for 8weeks. Blood samples withdrawn by retro-orbital puncture and analysed for total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL by commercial kits. LDL, VLDL calculated by friedewald formula. ANOVA followed by post hoc analysis used for statistical analysis.

**Results:** *Terminalia arjuna* showed reduction of total cholesterol, triglycerides, VLDL, LDL level at both doses and intergroup comparison were found to be statistically significant (p<0.05) at end of treatment. The change with *Terminalia arjuna*(500mg/kg) in total cholesterol, triglycerides, VLDL, LDL was comparable with Rosuvastatin(10mg/kg).

**Conclusion:** *Terminalia arjuna* has substantial Hypolipidemic activity as it decreases total cholesterol, triglycerides, VLDL, LDL in dyslipidaemia rat thus it has promising role in treatment of hyperlipidaemia.
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**Introduction**

Cardiovascular disease is one of the major causes of mortality and morbidity worldwide. Hyperlipidaemia is defined as elevation of serum total cholesterol (TC) and/or triglyceride (TG) or reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol [1]. It is one the major risk factor for cardiovascular diseases [2,3]. Coronary heart disease is closely related to hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglycerideremia [4]. Disorders of lipid can be classified as primary and secondary. Primary disease is idiopathic and secondary disease is due to environmental factors (sedentary lifestyle, high fat diet), medications (thiazide, β-blockers, progesterone, anabolic steroids etc.), diseases (hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus type 2, chronic kidney disease, etc.). Type 2 diabetes is also associated with hyperlipidaemia not only with abnormal glucose utilization and insulin resistance. There is a variety of evidence to support the fact that effective treatment of dyslipidaemia decreases Cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality. Therefore, a holistic approach is required which includes different ways of regulating lipid levels, addressing related metabolic disorders and risk factors which are modifiable such as diabetes, cigarette smoking, hypertension, and obesity.

Lifestyle modification can be the first step in reducing the level of cholesterol. There are various Anti-hyperlipidaemic agents which are used to lower cholesterol, but HMG Co-enzyme reductase inhibitors (statins) are the most popular and frequently used agents. Treatment of lipid abnormalities is generally continued throughout as a life of patient. Many patients stop taking their medication without consulting doctors. In addition, efficacy and safety are questionable on treatment with lipid lowering...
Materials and Methods

Animals

Thirty-six male Wistar rats weighing 160-180 grams were procured from CSIR-IITR, Lucknow (UP), India. The animals were housed in Institutional animal house under standard conditions of housing that is, room temperature of 24–27°C and humidity of 60-65% with 12-hour light and dark cycle. The food was given in the form of dry pellets and water was available ad libitum. Study was commenced after taking approval by the Institutional ethical committee of King George’s Medical University Lucknow, India (Project no. 117/IAEC/2019).

Description of diet

Normal chow diet and high-fat diet (HFD) were procured from Bharat Science Solution Company, Lok Nagar, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh. High fat diet contained powdered Normal Pellet Diet (365g/kg), Lard (310g/kg), Caesin (250g/kg), Cholesterol (10g/kg), Vitamin and mineral mix (60g/kg).

Chemicals and drugs

Terminalia arjuna bark extract (as dry powder) was procured from AGHP Enterprises, 31, L.B. Road Thiruvanmiyur, Chennai-41. The drug was administered in a dose of 250 and 500 mg/kg body weight [9]. It was administered per orally, after dissolving it in distilled water, with the help of a cannula (gavage tube). Rosuvastatin (as a tablet) was procured from USV Private limited and was given in a dose of 10mg/kg body weight [10,11] and 20 mg/kg body weight [12] per orally after dissolving in DMSO. Drugs were administered per orally with the help of a cannula (gavage tube).

Experimental design

The Wistar rats were given four weeks to acclimatize to the environment of the animal house. Total thirty-six Wistar rats were used in the study and they were randomly divided into six groups with six rats in each group. As a normal control group, six rats were fed on a normal diet. The other thirty rats were then fed with high fat diet and water for four weeks. After that for an eight-week period, animals were grouped and handled as follows. High fat diet was given to the dyslipidaemic rats throughout the study.

Drug for long period. Statins produce variety of side effects like myalgia, myopathy, rhabdomyolysis new onset diabetes mellitus type 2 [5,6]. Henceforth, research is focused on medicinal herbs that are used in traditional medicine and have few side effects [7]. The need of the time is to search for new leads compound from phytoconstituents of a plant which is equal in potency and efficacy with the present hypolipidemic drug but with fewer side effects. In this study, Terminalia arjuna is commonly known as Arjun. It is of family Combretaceae. Terminalia arjuna tree bark powder has hypolipidemic properties [8]. Therefore, we have planned this study to evaluate hypolipidemic effect of Terminalia arjuna on high fat diet induced dyslipidaemia in Wistar rat.

Measurement of lipid profile levels

A biochemical analyser manufactured Vital scientific NV. Netherlands was used to evaluate the amount of total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). LDL-C and VLDL-C was calculated by the Friedewald equation:

\[
\text{LDL} \left( \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{dl}} \right) = \frac{\text{Total Cholesterol} - \text{Triglycerides}}{5} \times \text{HDL}
\]

\[
\text{VLDL} \left( \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{dl}} \right) = \frac{\text{Triglycerides}}{5}
\]

Statistical analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± SD for six rats in each group. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test was used for statistical analysis. It was carried out using SPSS software package, version 21.0. p value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

Effect on total cholesterol

Table 1: Between Group Differences (Tukey HSD Test): Total cholesterol.

| Between Group | At the End of 13th Week |
|---------------|------------------------|
|               | Mean Difference        | ’p’        |
| Group I vs. Group II | -61.94                | <0.001*   |
| Group II vs. Group III | 25.1                  | <0.001*   |
| Group II vs. Group IV  | 37.11                  | <0.001*   |
| Group II vs. Group V   | 41.41                  | <0.001*   |
| Group II vs. Group VI  | 56.6                   | <0.001*   |
| Group III vs. Group V  | 16.31                  | 0.006*    |
| Group III vs. Group VI | 31.5                   | <0.001*   |
| Group IV vs. Group V   | 42.9                   | 0.963     |
| Group IV vs. Group VI  | 19.48                  | 0.001*    |

*p-value less than 0.05 is significant
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At 13 weeks, maximum total cholesterol levels were observed in Group II (166.30±5.16mg/dl) followed by Group III (141.17±7.44mg/dl) while minimum total cholesterol were observed in Group I (104.32±10.00mg/dl) followed by Group VI (109.67±3.30mg/dl) then in Group V (124.86±5.11mg/dl), results are summarized in Figure 1. Intergroup difference in total cholesterol levels of above groups was found to be significant. On exploring the between group differences, it was observed that Group IV & V had comparable total cholesterol levels (Table 1).

Table 2: Between Group Differences (Tukey HSD Test): Triglycerides.

| Between Group          | At the End of 13th Week | 'p'    |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Group I vs. Group II   | -118.22                 | <0.001*|
| Group II vs. Group III | 64.56                   | <0.001*|
| Group II vs. Group IV  | 99.05                   | <0.001*|
| Group II vs. Group V   | 105.11                  | <0.001*|
| Group II vs. Group VI  | 110.86                  | <0.001*|
| Group III vs. Group V  | 40.55                   | <0.001*|
| Group III vs. Group VI | 46.3                    | <0.001*|
| Group IV vs. Group V   | 6.06                    | 0.609  |
| Group IV vs. Group VI  | 11.81                   | 0.020* |

*p-value less than 0.05 is significant.

Effect on high density lipoproteins

At 13 weeks, minimum HDL levels were observed in Group II (32.23±5.40mg/dl) followed by Group III & IV (40.17±4.19 & 40.98±2.71mg/dl) while maximum HDL levels were observed in Group I (46.14±4.60mg/dl) followed by Group VI and V (45.30±7.67 & 45.03±6.26mg/dl respectively), results are summarized in Figure 3. Intergroup difference was found to be significant. On exploring the between group differences Group II had significantly lower HDL levels as compared to Group I (Table 3).

Table 3: Between Group Differences (Tukey HSD Test): HDL.

| Between Group          | At the end of 13th Week | 'p'    |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Group I vs. Group II   | 13.91                   | 0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group III | -7.94                   | 0.171  |
| Group II vs. Group IV  | -8.75                   | 0.097  |
| Group II vs. Group V   | -12.8                   | 0.003* |
| Group II vs. Group VI  | -13.07                  | 0.002* |

*p-value less than 0.05 is significant.

Effect on low density lipoproteins (LDL) level

At 13 weeks, minimum LDL level was observed in Group I (42.95±4.50mg/dl) followed by Group VI (47.66±9.68mg/dl) and Group V (61.97±9.04 mg/dl) while maximum LDL level was observed in Group II (194.37±6.82mg/dl) followed by Group III (129.81±4.84 mg/dl) and Group IV (95.33±4.93 mg/dl). Results are summarized in Figure 2. Intergroup difference for Triglyceride levels of above eight groups was found to be significant. On exploring the between group differences, Group IV & V had comparable triglyceride levels (Table 2).
observed in Group II (95.16±9.66mg/dl) followed by Group III (75.04±7.45mg/dl) and Group IV (69.11±5.04mg/dl), results are summarized in Figure 4. On comparing the intergroup difference was found to be significant. On exploring between group differences, comparable LDL levels were found in Group III & V, IV & V had comparable LDL level (Table 4).

![Figure 2: Mean Triglycerides ± SD.](image1)

![Figure 3: Mean High density lipoproteins (HDL) ± SD.](image2)

![Figure 4: Mean Low density lipoproteins (LDL) ± SD.](image3)
Table 4: Between Group Differences (Tukey HSD Test): LDL.

| Between Group | At the End of 13th Week |
|---------------|------------------------|
|               | Mean difference | 'p'  |
| Group I vs. Group II | -52.21          | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group III | 20.12           | 0.004*  |
| Group II vs. Group IV | 26.05           | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group V  | 33.19           | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group VI | 47.5            | <0.001* |
| Group III vs. Group V  | 13.06           | 0.152  |
| Group IV vs. Group VI | 21.44           | 0.002*  |

*P-value less than 0.05 is significant.

Table 5: Between Group Differences (Tukey HSD Test): VLDL.

| Between Group | At the End of 13th Week |
|---------------|------------------------|
|               | Mean Difference | 'p'  |
| Group I vs. Group II | -23.65          | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group III | 12.91           | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group IV | 19.81           | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group V  | 21.02           | <0.001* |
| Group II vs. Group VI | 22.17           | <0.001* |
| Group III vs. Group V  | 8.11            | <0.001* |
| Group III vs. Group VI | 9.26            | <0.001* |
| Group IV vs. Group V  | 1.21            | 0.608  |
| Group IV vs. Group VI | 2.36            | 0.020*  |

*P-value less than 0.05 is significant.

Figure 5: Mean Very Low-Density Lipoproteins (VLDL) ± SD.

Effect on very low-density lipoproteins

At 13 weeks, minimum VLDL level was observed in Group I (15.23±1.41mg/dl) followed by Group VI (16.70±0.77mg/dl) and Group V (17.85±0.87mg/dl) while maximum VLDL level was observed in Group II (38.88±1.37mg/dl) followed by Group III (25.96±0.97mg/dl) and Group IV (19.07±0.99mg/dl), results are summarized in Figure 5. On comparing the intergroup difference was found to be significant, on exploring between group differences, comparable LDL levels were found in Group IV & V (Table 5).

Discussion

Though, there has been considerable progress in medical science, still, there is an increase in metabolic disorders like diabetes, hypertension, obesity, and dyslipidaemia. The prevalence of these diseases is increasing at an alarming pace. Several drugs are available to either prevent or cure these diseases, but they are associated with numerous adverse effects and are a cause of financial distress to the patient because of their heavy cost. Hence, it is the dire need of the hour to look for other cheaper and safer alternatives for prevention and cure of these chronic diseases.

Plants have been an important source of herbal medicines since times immemorial. These herbal drugs have the advantage of being efficacious, with least side effects and affordable cost. *Terminalia arjuna* is one such herb, which has been known to be used for the treatment of a few diseases for ages, in Ayurvedic and Unani system of medicine. Thus, it seems prudent to explore its anti-hyperlipidaemic activity in dyslipidaemic rats. At 13th week there increase in TC, TG, LDL, VLDL in untreated diabetic group II as compared with normal rat group I and it was statistically significant (p<0.001). There was decrease in mean TC, TG, VLDL, LDL level of Group III, IV, V, VI as compared to untreated diabetic group II and it was statistically significant (p<0.05). Group IV (*Terminalia arjuna* 500mg/kg) showed reduction almost like Group V (Rosuvastatin 10mg/kg) in TC, TG, VLDL, LDL level of group III, IV, V, VI as compared to untreated diabetic group II and it was statistically significant (p<0.05). *Terminalia arjuna* in a dose of 500mg/kg is better anti hyperlipidaemic drug. *Terminalia arjuna* in a dose of 500mg/kg has similar effect to Rosuvastatin in a dose of 10mg/kg.

At 13th week HDL level was found to decreased in Group II (untreated diabetic rat) as compared to group I normal rat and it was found to be statistically significant (p<0.001). When...
compared with group II (diabetic rat), highest increase in HDL level was found in group VI (Rosuvastatin 20mg/kg) then in group V (Rosuvastatin 10mg/kg) this increase was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05). Group III, IV (Terminalia arjuna 250 & 500mg/kg) both increased the HDL level, but it was not found to be statistically significant. It may be because HDL takes times to increase and we need study with longer duration of time. The previous studies are in accordance with my finding when we are comparing total cholesterol, triglycerides, VLDL, LDL but not HDL level. HDL take more time to increase and, in my study, it is in increasing trend but not significant, it may be due to small duration of study. Ragavan et al. (2006) reported that Terminalia arjuna decreased TC, TG, VLDL, LDL at both the dose 250 and 500mg/kg body weight and the dose of 500mg/kg body weight showed more effect [9].

Patil et al. (2011) also reported that there was decrease in total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, VLDL and increase in HDL level on treatment with Terminalia arjuna [13]. Alam et al. (2011) demonstrated that Terminalia arjuna have potential lipid lowering effect in type 2 diabetic rats. Arjunic acid as well as its derivatives undergoes biotransformation by hepatic drug metabolism and thus metabolites which are formed is responsible for lipid lowering activity [14]. Nigam et al. (2008) reported in his study that Rosuvastatin increased the TC, TG, VLDL, LDL and increased the HDL level in rats with high TC, TG, LDL, VLDL level [15]. It is suspected that lipid-lowering action is mediated by increasing hepatic cholesterol clearance, lipoprotein enzyme downregulation, and HMG-CoA reductase enzyme inhibition [16]. High concentrations of fibre, starch, tannin, beta-sitosterol, calcium and sodium carbonates and aglycones- arjunin, arjunolic acid and arjunoside I, II, III and IV are found in the bark, resulting in reduced absorption of fat from the intestines [17].

Conclusion

Keeping in view of the results obtained in the present study, the following conclusions may be drawn that Terminalia arjuna also has significant effect on decreasing total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL and VLDL in the dyslipidemia rats which is dose dependent but does not have any significant effect on increasing HDL levels. This effect is also more pronounced at high dose of Terminalia arjuna 500mg/kg. The present study is encouraging and may reveal the importance of Terminalia arjuna as an economical hypolipidemic agent with minimal or no side effects. These results also encourage the view that Terminalia arjuna can be used alone or as an add on therapy in treatment of dyslipidaemia. Therefore, more specific, and longer duration experimental and clinical studies are required to further substantiate the finding of present study.
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