Bi-stability in turbulent, rotating spherical Couette flow
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Flow between concentric spheres of radius ratio \( r_i/r_o = 0.35 \) is studied in a 3 m outer diameter experiment. We have measured the torques required to maintain constant boundary speeds as well as localized wall shear stress, velocity, and pressure. At low Ekman number \( E = 2.1 \times 10^{-7} \) and modest Rossby number \( 0.07 < Ro < 3.4 \), the resulting flow is highly turbulent, with a Reynolds number (\( Re = Ro/E \)) exceeding fifteen million. Several turbulent flow regimes are evident as \( Ro \) is varied for fixed \( E \). We focus our attention on one flow transition in particular, between \( Ro = 1.8 \) and \( Ro = 2.6 \), where the flow shows bistable behavior. For \( Ro \) within this range, the flow undergoes intermittent transitions between the states observed alone at adjacent \( Ro \) outside the switching range. The two states are clearly distinguished in all measured flow quantities, including a striking reduction in torque demanded from the inner sphere by the state lying at higher \( Ro \). The reduced angular momentum transport appears to be associated with the development of a fast zonal circulation near the experiment core. The lower torque state exhibits waves, one of which is similar to an inertial mode known for a full sphere, and another which appears to be a strongly advected Rossby-type wave. These results represent a new laboratory example of the overlapping existence of distinct flow states in high Reynolds number flow. Turbulent multiple stability and the resilience of transport barriers associated with zonal flows are important topics in geophysical and astrophysical contexts.

PACS numbers: 47.27.-i,47.27T,47.32Ef

I. INTRODUCTION

An understanding of rapidly rotating turbulent flow is key to many problems of geophysical and astrophysical fluid dynamics. The large scale fluid motions of stars as well as planetary atmospheres, oceans, and cores are strongly influenced by the Coriolis accelerations arising from the rapid overall rotation in those systems. These flows can be described by the Navier-Stokes equation written for a frame rotating with constant angular velocity \( \Omega = \Omega \hat{z} \), which in dimensionless form is

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + Ro \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + 2\hat{z} \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + E \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}. \tag{1}
\]

Using \( U \) as the characteristic velocity scale, \( \ell \) as the characteristic length scale, and the kinematic viscosity \( \nu \), we define the relevant dimensionless parameters. The Rossby number,

\[
Ro = \frac{U}{\Omega \ell}, \tag{2}
\]

expresses the strength of the nonlinear term relative to the Coriolis term \( 2\hat{z} \times \mathbf{u} \), and the Ekman number,

\[
E = \frac{\nu}{(\Omega \ell)^2}, \tag{3}
\]

characterizes the importance of viscous drag relative to Coriolis acceleration. Throughout this paper we use, \( \ell = r_o - r_i, \Omega = \Omega_o, \) and \( U = (\Omega_i - \Omega_o)\ell \), so that

\[
Ro = \frac{\Delta \Omega}{\Omega_o}, \tag{4}
\]

and

\[
E = \frac{\nu}{\Omega_o \ell^2}. \tag{5}
\]

The Taylor-Proudman constraint on rotating flows often holds approximately: overall rotation about the z-axis leads to a tendency toward z-independence of the flow for large scales or slow motions. This is useful for nearly steady flow where \( (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} \) and \( \partial \mathbf{u}/\partial t \) in Eq. 1 are small with respect to \( 2\hat{z} \times \mathbf{u} \). Due to the relatively large size of this apparatus and practical limitations, all of our flows are turbulent. Thus the Taylor-Proudman theorem is unlikely to hold, though it is expected that significant anisotropy will remain. When accelerations are significant, retaining time dependence but neglecting the nonlinear term in Eq. 1 yields dynamics where flow disturbances can propagate via linear Coriolis-restored inertial waves\(^1\). In containers, wave modes arise. Modes for the
interior of a sphere are treated by Greenspan. Zhang et al. provide complete explicit analytical solutions for the full sphere. The modes in a spherical annulus are not known analytically but have been investigated numerically. Inertial waves and related Rossby waves play a role in the inverse energy cascade to large scales observed in rotating turbulence. Experiments have shown that inertial modes are important in turbulent spherical Couette flow, and in more general turbulent flows like rotating grid turbulence. We expect that inertial modes have substantial influence on the character of the flow in rapidly rotating bounded systems for motions with frequencies less than twice the rotation rate. Above that frequency, no inertial waves or modes exist. The question of completeness of inertial modes is still an open one, so it may not be possible to express arbitrary motions with frequencies below $\omega = 2\Omega_0$ in terms of inertial mode Fourier components. However, the nonlinear interactions of a sea of modes with $\omega \leq 2\Omega_0$ may have a strong signature on a wide range of spatial and temporal scales.

Turbulent flow in a rapidly rotating spherical annulus with a radius ratio $\eta = r_i/r_o = 0.35$ has potential geophysical relevance due to geometrical similarity to Earth’s liquid outer core. Differential rotation imposed by the boundaries is at first glance considerably different from the convection, precession, and tidal forcing that may drive flows in planetary systems. However, convection in rotating systems tends to set up differential rotation, as does the nonlinear interaction of inertial mode shear layers in spherical shells driven by precession or tidal deformation.

The study of spherical Couette flow with rapid outer sphere rotation has been fairly limited. Experiments and theoretical studies have focused more on the case with the outer sphere stationary. Nevertheless, some work in spherical Couette with overall rotation has been carried out. Hollerbach, Egbers, Futterer, and Mor9,30 teamed experimental observations with numerical simulations to study Stewartson layer instabilities in the case of small to modest differential rotation. They found good agreement between simulation and observed visual patterns in experiments for parameters for $E > 8 \times 10^{-4}$ and $0 < \text{Ro} < 0.6$. Experiments by Gertenshtein, Zilenko, and Krivonosova investigated the transition to turbulence at some values of Ro, especially when Ro < 0. Schaeffer and Cardin studied the onset of Stewartson layer instabilities at E similar to our experiment at very low Ro where the first instability happens.

The strongly turbulent behavior of spherical Couette flow has been studied mostly in hydromagnetic apparatus with liquid sodium as a working fluid. Sisan et al. studied instabilities of a turbulent flow of sodium that arise with a sufficiently strong axial magnetic field, with some hydrodynamic measurements to characterize the initial unmagnetized flow. Kelley et al. inferred the flow in a 60 cm rapidly rotating sodium apparatus with a magnetic field sensor array and a dynamically passive applied field. These experiments demonstrated over-reflection excitation of inertial modes at comparable Ekman number to ours and $-2 < \text{Ro} < 0$. Rapidly rotating spherical Couette flow of sodium strongly magnetized by a dipole permanent magnet inner sphere has been studied in the DTS experiment in Grenoble. Measurements of velocity, magnetic field, and electric potential measurements exposed a number of interesting hydromagnetic states in the turbulent regime.

At present, numerical work on spherical Couette flow has not investigated the portion of the Ro, E parameter plane where we find turbulent bi-stability. However, numerical and theoretical work has demonstrated interesting phenomena with outer sphere rotation. Stewartson, studied the case at infinitesimal Rossby number, deriving the form of the free cylindrical shear layer tangent to the inner sphere equator that still bears his name. A systematic three-dimensional numerical study of instabilities of the Stewartson was undertaken by Hollerbach. Schaeffer and Cardin used depth-averaged equations of motion coupled to realistic Ekman pumping to conduct quasi-geostrophic simulations at low Ekman number for a split-sphere geometry similar to spherical Couette flow. Schaeffer and Cardin showed good agreement between experiment and simulation, predicting Stewartson layer instabilities correctly for very low Rossby number. Quasi-geostrophic simulations found Rossby wave turbulence at higher Ro.

Our experiments can not generally achieve Ro < 0.05 at experimentally accessible Ekman number because of limitations on motor minimum speeds. The lowest possible Rossby number increases if we rotate the system more slowly to raise E. It is impractical to reduce the Rossby number or increase the Ekman number enough to match the parameters of known numerical simulations.

Guervilly and Cardin performed simulations of spherical Couette flow with outer rotation in an investigation of magnetic dynamo action. They performed fully three dimensional numerical simulations for Ekman number higher than $E = 10^{-4}$ while achieving Rossby number matching some presented here. The definition of the Rossby number used by Guervilly and Cardin is different, $\text{Ro}_{GC} = \eta \Delta \Omega/\Omega_o = \eta \text{Ro}$. They report an $m = 2$ Rossby type wave for $\text{Ro} \sim 2.9$ (\text{Ro}_{GC} = 1), above our first bistable range. The existence and azimuthal wavenumber of this wave is partially consistent with our observations at that Rossby number. However, we observe strong turbulence, additional waves, and the bi-stable behavior that is the focus of this paper. These have not been previously reported in spherical Couette flow.

Turbulent flow transitions and multiple stability in very high Reynolds number flows have been reported
in a number of systems. Several examples exist in geophysics and astrophysics. The dynamo generated magnetic fields of the Earth and Sun reverse polarity. Ocean currents, namely the Kuroshio current in the North Pacific near Japan and the Gulf Stream, both exhibit bistability in meander patterns. Polar vortices in Earth’s stratosphere are bounded by resilient transport barriers much of the time, mixing to higher latitudes only intermittently. This process is important to ozone depletion in polar regions and may have some similarities to the dynamics we observe.

A number of laboratory flows are known to exhibit multi-stability and hysteresis. The mean circulation in turbulent thermal convection cells has been observed to switch direction abruptly. Hysteresis in the large scale flow states has been seen in surface waves excited by turbulent swirling flows in a Taylor Couette geometry with a free surface. Von Kármán flow in a cylinder between two independently rotating impellers has exhibited multi-stability and hysteresis of the mean flow despite extremely high fluctuation levels. Magnetohydrodynamic experiments in the von Kármán geometry have succeeded in producing dynamos that show reversals of the generated magnetic field. The L-H transition in turbulent tokamak plasma confinement devices involves the formation of a wave-driven zonal flow transport barrier that greatly enhances confinement of the plasma. However, this barrier eventually breaks down in a burst that can damage the confinement device. Understanding this particular form of turbulent multiple stability and its control is an important issue in sustained confinement of fusion plasmas.

Spherical Couette flow is a dynamically rich system in both laminar and turbulent regimes. Our apparatus, initially designed, constructed, and eventually destined for magnetohydrodynamic experiments in molten sodium metal, presents a unique opportunity to measure the properties of hydrodynamic turbulence in this geometry, including transition phenomena between multiple turbulent states. We operate in a novel region of parameter space, simultaneously achieving moderately high Rossby number and low Ekman number. This regime can currently only be directly accessed by experiments and naturally occurring flows, and has not been the focus of previous purely hydrodynamic studies. Furthermore, we are able to make quantitative measurements in the rotating frame, something that can be quite difficult in smaller apparatus.

II. APPARATUS

The three meter apparatus allows independent rotation of the inner and outer spheres. Instrumentation in the rotating frame allows measurements of velocity, wall shear and pressure, as well as the torques required to maintain the boundary speeds. Fig. 1 is a schematic sketch of the apparatus. The stainless steel outer vessel has an inner diameter of 2.92 ± 0.005 m and is 2.54 cm thick. It is mounted on a pair of spherical roller bearings held by a frame. The vessel top lid is installed in a 1.5 m diameter cylindrical flanged opening, and the inside lid surface is curved to complete the outer spherical boundary. The lid has four 13 cm diameter instrumentation ports centered at 60 cm cylindrical radius. Due to design constraints aimed at safe operation with liquid sodium metal as the working fluid, these four ports are the only penetrations through the outer boundary, and so are the only location from which we may make direct flow measurements. Port inserts hold measurement probes nearly flush with the inner surface of the outer sphere.

The inner sphere has a diameter of 1.02±0.005 m and is supported on a 16.8 cm diameter shaft held coaxial with the outer shell by bearings at the bottom of the outer sphere and in the top lid. The inner sphere is driven from a 250 kW electrical motor through a calibrated Futek model TFF600 torsional load cell. The measured torque includes the torque from a pair of lip seals which add some confounding error. The outer sphere is driven by a 250 kW induction motor mounted to the support frame. A timing belt reduction drive with a 25:3 ratio couples the outer sphere motor to a toothed pulley on the lid rim. Motor speeds are controlled to better than 0.2% by variable frequency drives, and optical encoders monitor the inner and outer sphere speeds. The drives estimate
— the motor torque from electrical current measurements and the torque estimate supplied by the inner motor drive agrees well with the calibrated strain-gauge torque sensor at motor speeds above about 2 Hz. This supports the use of the outer motor drive’s reported torque as a reasonable estimate of the total torque required to drive the outer sphere, provided that the outer sphere angular speed is above about 0.24 Hz, as it is for the data presented here. As the outer sphere speed is lowered below 0.24 Hz, the outer drive’s reported torque becomes increasingly dominated by motor magnetizing current. The torque exerted by aerodynamic and bearing drag on the outer sphere is typically larger than the working fluid’s contribution. However, this drag is repeatable and can be subtracted off by measuring the torque demanded with no differential rotation.

A rotating computer acquires data from sensors in the instrumentation ports at a sampling rate of 512 Hz, recording data on a lab frame computer using a wireless ethernet connection. Sensors include a Dantec model 55R46 flush mount shear stress sensor driven by a TSI model 1750 constant temperature anemometer and three Kistler model 211B5 pressure transducers. The three pressure transducers are installed in three ports 90° apart on the 60 cm radius port circle. A thermocouple is used to monitor fluid temperature.

A Met-Flow UVP-DUO pulsed Doppler ultrasound velocimeter is mounted in the rotating frame and paired with Signal Processing transducers, also communicating with the lab frame using wireless ethernet. Some velocity data in this paper was acquired with a Met-Flow UVP-X1-PS ultrasound unit in the lab frame using a resonant transformer arrangement to couple the signal into the rotating frame. To scatter ultrasound, the flow is seeded with 150 µm – 250 µm polystyrene particles with nominal density of 1.05 g/cm³. Limitations on the product of maximum measurable velocity and measurement depth constrain the velocity measurements in this paper to be very close to the wall, typically no more than 10 cm from the transducer face, which is either flush mounted with the wall or intruding no more than 10 cm into the flow. Intrusive transducers do not seem to measurably change the torque dynamics. When possible, we measure upstream to avoid directly measuring the turbulent wake shed from an intrusive mounting scheme.

III. TORQUE MEASUREMENTS

The torques required to maintain constant speeds of the boundaries of in a rotating flow provide a global picture of angular momentum transport and power dissipation. Experimental torque measurements on wall-driven flows have been largely confined to the flow between concentric cylinders. The torque required to drive the flow between two spheres has received less attention, with previous results in the hydrodynamic turbulent regime seemingly limited to outer-sphere stationary measurements of liquid sodium. Following Lathrop et al., we define the dimensionless torque $G$ on the inner sphere,

$$G = \frac{T}{\rho \nu^2 r_i}, \quad \text{(6)}$$

where $\rho$ is the fluid density, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, $r_i$ is the inner sphere radius and $T$ is the dimensional torque. The torque $G$ as a function of Reynolds number $Re$, here defined as

$$Re = \frac{(\Omega_i - \Omega_o) \ell^2}{\nu}, \quad \text{(7)}$$

is bounded above by $G \propto Re^2$ from dimensional arguments. We fit the measured torque with the outer sphere

$$G = A Re + B Re^2 \quad \text{at } Re = 2.59 \times 10^7, \quad \text{with } A = 4.37 \times 10^7 \quad \text{and } B = 0.05.$$
stationary to $G \propto Re^2$ with a correction for linearly increasing seal drag. Data and fit with the outer sphere stationary are shown in Fig. 2(a) for the range of $Re$ accessible in this experiment. At the lowest rotation rates, the measurement is significantly confounded by the bearing and seal torque.

Figure 2(a) shows that $G$ monotonically increases as $Re$ is increased with the outer sphere stationary. This is not necessarily the case when we impart global rotation, provided that we do not hold $Ro$ constant. When we hold the outer sphere speed constant (constant Ekman number) and super-rotate the inner sphere ($Ro > 0$) as in Fig. 2(b), we find that the mean torque on the inner sphere is non-monotonic as the dimensionless differential speed, $Ro$, and the Reynolds number, $Re = Ro/E$ are concurrently increased. As $Ro$ is increased, the flow undergoes several transitions to significantly different turbulent flow states. In ranges of $Ro$ in Fig. 2(b) where the torque data are presented in overlapping branches with different symbols, the flow exhibits bistable behavior with intermittent transitions between adjacent flow states. In these ranges of $Ro$, we plot the mean torque of each state, conditioned on state.

The state switching means that the transitions are not hysteretic, and long time mean torque through the switching range decreases with increasing $Ro$. We choose to plot the conditioned torque branches here instead of the long-time mean to emphasize our observation that these states seem the same as those present alone at higher and lower $Ro$. We will focus on the first bistable regime in this paper, between $Ro = 1.8$ and $Ro = 2.75$, and not the second bistable regime with lower state “LL” that begins near $Ro = 2.75$. This second bistable regime has several similarities to the first, but we will not discuss it in detail in this paper.

A representative time series of the torque in the first bistable regime is shown in Fig. 3, with $E = 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$ and $Ro = 2.13$. The onset of the high torque state is abrupt, taking on the order of 10 rotations of the outer sphere. The torque overshoots the high state mean value at high torque onset by 10-15%.

The end of the high torque state exhibits a slow decay of the torque to the low torque value, approximately exponential with a time constant of 40 rotations of the outer sphere. In addition to the full transitions between the two torque levels, there are “excursions” where the torque decays toward the low mean value or rises toward the high mean value without fully reaching the other state.

The qualitative bi-stability in Fig. 3 can be expressed quantitatively in the bimodal probability distribution of the torque shown in Fig. 4. The division of data into high torque and low torque states was done manually so as to exclude the transition regions. The resulting individual distributions of $G$ for the high and low states based on this conditioning are shown in Fig. 4, as well as the full distribution. There is a small region of overlap in the H and L state individual distributions due to the difference drawn between “transitions” and “excursions.” The same manual division in states is used throughout the paper to condition other data on state. In Fig. 4, the high state mean torque is 1.4 times that in the low state. The torque fluctuations in the high torque state are considerably higher than in the low; the standard deviation of the high state torque is 1.8 times that in the low state. The low frequency fluid fluctuations responsible for this are also observed in the velocity and wall shear.

In both Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 the torque data has been numerically low pass filtered. In Fig. 3 the cutoff frequency is 0.05 Hz. In Fig. 4, the filter cutoff frequency was chosen to be 0.5 Hz, where the fluid torque power spectrum appears to cross the mechanical vibration noise floor. In this way we retain the fastest measurable hydrodynamically relevant fluctuations.

The interval between transitions is somewhat irregular. Over 45 transitions at the parameters in Fig. 3, we observe the statistics shown in Table I. We also observe that the probability that the system is in one state or the other depends on $Ro$. Above a threshold value of $Ro$, we begin to observe state transitions to the low state, and the high torque state becomes less likely as $Ro$ increases. Fig. 5 shows the probability that the system is in the high or low torque state for 4000 rotations of the outer

| TABLE I. Statistics of the interval between high torque onsets. $\Delta t'_{HH}$ is the time interval between two subsequent high torque onsets made dimensionless by $\Omega_0/2\pi$, so the time interval is measured in outer sphere rotations. $Ro = 2.13$, $E = 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $\langle \Delta t'_{HH} \rangle$ | $\sigma_{\Delta t'_{HH}}$ | $\text{Max}(\Delta t'_{HH})$ | $\text{Min}(\Delta t'_{HH})$ |
| 717             | 313             | 1917            | 390             |

The interval between transitions is somewhat irregular. Over 45 transitions at the parameters in Fig. 3, we observe the statistics shown in Table I. We also observe that the probability that the system is in one state or the other depends on $Ro$. Above a threshold value of $Ro$, we begin to observe state transitions to the low state, and the high torque state becomes less likely as $Ro$ increases. Fig. 5 shows the probability that the system is in the high or low torque state for 4000 rotations of the outer
FIG. 4. Probability density of the dimensionless torque at $Ro = 2.13, E = 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$. The full, unconditioned distribution is denoted by small points. Solid circles denote conditioning on low torque state, and open circles on the high, with Gaussian solid and dashed curves for the low and the high respectively. The mean and standard deviation of the low torque state data are $\langle G \rangle = 6.82 \times 10^{10}$ and $\sigma_G = 2.74 \times 10^{9}$. In the high torque state they are $\langle G \rangle = 9.53 \times 10^{10}$ and $\sigma_G = 5.02 \times 10^{9}$. The data were low pass filtered at $f_s = 0.5$ Hz to remove high frequency noise caused by mechanical vibration.

sphere across the first bistable range of $Ro$. At values of $Ro$ where transitions were not observed for more than 4000 rotations of the outer sphere, a probability of one or zero was assigned. The probability that the system was in the low state was fit to

$$\Pr(L) = \begin{cases} 0 & : Ro < Ro_c \\ 1 - e^{\gamma (Ro - Ro_c)} & : Ro > Ro_c \end{cases}$$

with $\gamma = 8.25$ and $Ro_c = 1.80$. The high torque probability is given by $\Pr(H) = 1-\Pr(L)$. The physical implication inherent in the exponential form is that there is no upper threshold where the high torque state becomes impossible. Instead, it only becomes less likely as $Ro$ is increased. However, the lower threshold for state transitions is well defined at $Ro_c$.

IV. FLUID ANGULAR MOMENTUM

The torque measurements presented so far only considered the torque on the inner sphere. To see the complete picture of the angular momentum transport in the system, we examine the torque on both boundaries. The torque on the outer sphere is reported by the motor drive, though with less precision than the inner torque sensor measurement.

The fluid filling the gap cannot undergo angular acceleration or deceleration for arbitrarily long times with the boundaries rotating at constant speeds. Therefore, the time averaged net torque on the fluid must be zero, and the boundary torques must be equal and opposite, provided averaging is done over sufficiently long times. In the bistable regime, however, the net torque, shown in Fig. 6, reveals long periods of angular acceleration and deceleration interspersed with plateaus where the angular momentum of the fluid remains nearly constant. The torques on the inner and outer boundaries only balance on averaging over many state transitions. We define the net torque on the fluid as

$$T_{net} = T + T_o, \quad (9)$$

where $T$ and $T_o$ are the torques about the axis that the fluid exerts on the inner and outer spheres respectively. $T_o$ is always negative when $Ro > 0$, as the fluid would tend to speed up the outer sphere rather than resist its motion. The total torque on the outer sphere as reported by the outer sphere motor drive, $T_{o,\text{meas}}$, is largely due to drag from the outer sphere bearings and air around the outer sphere. However, it is reproducible, and we define the torque that the interior fluid exerts on the outer sphere $T_o$ in Eq. 9, as

$$T_o = T_{o,\text{meas}} - T_{\text{drag}}. \quad (10)$$

The drag, $T_{\text{drag}}$ is the outer drive torque required to keep the experiment in solid body rotation (with inner locked to outer) at the same outer sphere angular speed. In the $Ro > 0$ flow states, some of the total torque required to maintain the speed of the outer shell against bearing and aerodynamic drag is supplied by the inner sphere via the fluid, and this is easily detected.

The net torque is shown in Fig. 6 along with the separate inner and outer torques with the steady outer sphere
bearing and aerodynamic drag subtracted. The dimensionless torques are defined as in Eq. 6, for example $G_{net} = T_{net}/\rho\nu^2 r_i$. Up to a constant of integration, we can calculate the fluid angular momentum $L(t)$ about the rotation axis from the net torque,

$$L(t) = \int_0^t T_{net}(t')dt'. \quad (11)$$

Due to measurement limitations, we cannot integrate the torques from motor startup to fix the constant of integration. Instead, we set the initial value of $L$ to zero at an arbitrary time and make the resulting quantity dimensionless by dividing it by the angular momentum the fluid would have if it were in solid body rotation with the outer sphere,

$$L' = \frac{L}{I_{fluid}\Omega_o}. \quad (12)$$

The moment of inertia of the fluid filling the gap is

$$I_{fluid} = \frac{8\pi \rho}{15} (r_o^5 - r_i^5), \quad (13)$$

with a value of $(1.14 \pm 0.02) \times 10^4$ kg m$^2$.

At the onset of the high torque state, as shown in Fig. 6(a), there is some prompt response of the outer sphere torque, indicating a certain amount of increased angular momentum transport. However, the increase in the torque on the outer sphere is insufficient to fully oppose the increased inner sphere torque. At this point, the net torque becomes steadily positive, and the fluid accelerates. As this happens, the fluid torque $G_o$ on the outer sphere tends to slowly decrease in magnitude, though with large fluctuations. Eventually the inner sphere torque $G$ starts the slower transition to the low torque state. At a point during the high to low transition, as shown in Fig. 6(b), the net torque becomes negative and the total angular momentum starts to decrease. The torque on the outer sphere continues a slow decay toward a value opposite and equal to that on the inner sphere, occasionally reaching a net torque fluctuating about zero as in times after Fig. 6(c).

When both spheres rotate the angular momentum fluctuations in the range of $Ro$ considered here may indicate a slow “store and release” process where long lasting imbalances in the torques on the inner and outer spheres lead to fluid spin up and spin down. We note that the average magnitude of torque is similar between the outer stationary and the outer rotating cases for the same Reynolds number in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b). In both cases the mean torque on the inner sphere is between $G = 10^{10}$ and $G = 10^{11}$. Although the dynamics of the angular momentum transport seem quite different, the magnitude of the transport has not changed drastically.

**V. MEAN FLOW MEASUREMENTS**

In the bistable regime, all measured flow quantities undergo transition along with the inner torque. Fig. 7 shows that the time averaged dimensionless wall shear $\tau'_w$ and measured dimensionless azimuthal velocity $u'_z$ decrease sharply the onset of the high torque state.

In Fig. 7, the velocity was measured in a shallow range near the surface of the outer sphere at $23.5^\circ$ colatitude (60 cm cylindrical radius). This location is about 9 cm outside a vertical cylinder tangent to the inner sphere equator (called simply the “tangent cylinder” from here on). In Fig. 7, the transducer beam was in a plane normal to the cylindrical radius at the port and was inclined $23.5^\circ$ from pointing straight down ($23.5^\circ$ inclined from negative $z$). Since the transducer responds only to the velocity component along the beam axis, this orientation made it sensitive to the cylindrical radial, azimuthal, and vertical velocity components, $u_r$, $u_\phi$, and $u_z$.

However, by using a remotely controlled rotatable mount, we determined that the time-averaged measured velocity is dominated by the azimuthal component, $u_\phi$. When the transducer is rotated 90$^\circ$ from the usual orientation, making it least sensitive to $u_\phi$, the mean velocity was about 10% of that seen when it maximally responds to the azimuthal flow. This indicates a meridional circu-
lation of some importance. But it also means that the meridional circulation provides a small contribution to the mean measured velocity when the transducer is oriented to be most sensitive to azimuthal flow. This is the case in Fig. 7, and so we treat the measured mean velocity as entirely azimuthal and correct for the vertical inclination, so that:

\[ \langle u_\phi \rangle_t = \frac{\langle u_{\text{meas}} \rangle_t}{\sin 23.5^\circ}. \]  

We then divide by the outer sphere equatorial tangential velocity to make \( \langle u_\phi \rangle_t \) dimensionless:

\[ \langle u' \rangle_t = \frac{\langle u_\phi \rangle_t}{\Omega_o r_o}. \]  

This non-dimensionalization means that \( u' \) can be interpreted as a local Rossby number.

The wall shear stress sensor was calibrated against the measured torque \( T \) on the inner sphere with the outer sphere stationary. We assumed that the mean wall shear \( \tau_w \) on the outer sphere was

\[ \tau_w = \frac{T}{4\pi r_o^2 \cos 23.5^\circ}. \]  

We fit the bridge voltage \( V \) and mean wall shear calculated from the measured torque by Eq. (16) to

\[ V^2 = A \tau_w^{2/3} + B \tau_w^{1/3} + C, \]  

as was done by Lathrop et al.\textsuperscript{52} We then used the calibration coefficients \( A, B \) and \( C \) to calculate the wall shear stress \( \tau_w \) from the measured bridge voltage for all subsequent data with the outer sphere rotating.

In the torque switching regimes, the slow fluctuations in wall shear and the mean azimuthal velocity shown in Fig. 7 are both strongly anti-correlated with the inner sphere torque. The simultaneous wall shear and torque data suggest that the two different states have different latitudinal distribution of the shear stress on the outer sphere. Fig. 6 shows that the torque the fluid exerts on the whole outer sphere is indeed somewhat greater in the high torque state. However, the mean shear stress at the measurement location in the high torque state is 65\% of that measured in the low torque state (see also Fig. 8(b)), implying a shear stress concentration at high latitudes in the low torque state relative to the distribution of shear stress in the high torque state.

These shear and velocity measurements suggest a fast central zonal flow in the low torque state that ceases suddenly at the high torque onset. When the fluid around, above, and below the inner sphere is circulating faster in the low torque state, there is less drag on the inner sphere. The unusual aspect of this is that it takes less torque and less power input to maintain the faster circulation. This could indicate a transport barrier to energy and angular momentum in the low torque state. This change in transport is also important in interpreting the observation that the total angular momentum is often decreasing in the low torque state (See Fig. 6, line (b)), while the measured circulation is increasing or steady. This is only possible with a change in shape of the angular momentum profile as a function of cylindrical radius. An angular momentum transport barrier could explain this, possibly one associated with a fast zonal flow\textsuperscript{17,39–41,59–62}. The anti-correlated torque and flow measurements will be discussed more in Sec. VIII.

### VI. TURBULENT FLOW FLUCTUATIONS

The turbulent fluctuations are significantly different in the two flow states. The measured velocity fluctuations in the high torque state are larger than those in the low torque state, despite the lower mean velocity. Fig. 8(a) shows the probability density of the dimensionless velocity conditioned on the low and high torque states, with Gaussian curves for comparison. The mean azimuthal velocity measured in the low torque state is 2.45 times that seen in the high torque state (in agreement with Fig. 7), while the fluctuations in the high torque state are 1.5 times the low torque state fluctuations. Based on this measurement, the high torque state has a considerably higher turbulence intensity \( \sigma_v/\langle u' \rangle \), of 57\%. The low torque state turbulence intensity is 16\%.

The wall shear stress fluctuations are similar in magnitude between the two states, as shown in Fig. 8(b). The low torque state mean wall shear is about 1.5 times that
The probability density of the dimensionless velocity conditioned on the torque state, with solid circles denoting the low torque state and open circles denoting the high. Dashed and solid lines are Gaussian. Standard deviations are $\sigma_{u'} = 0.043$ for the high state, $\sigma_{u'} = 0.029$ for the low state. The high state mean is $\langle u' \rangle = 0.076$, and the low state mean is $\langle u' \rangle = 0.186$. The means are dominated by azimuthal velocity, though the transducers are equally sensitive to $u_x$ and $u_y$ in this measurement. (b) The probability density of the dimensionless wall shear conditioned on torque. Solid circles again denote the low torque state, and open circles the high, with solid and dashed Gaussian curves. The mean and standard deviation in the low torque state are $\langle \tau_{w}' \rangle = 1.63 \times 10^{11}$ and $\sigma_{\tau_{w}'} = 1.81 \times 10^{10}$. In the high torque state they are $\langle \tau_{w}' \rangle = 1.06 \times 10^{11}$ and $\sigma_{\tau_{w}'} = 1.71 \times 10^{10}$.

in the high torque state, and the standard deviations in the two states agree to within 5%. The high torque state wall shear distribution is significantly skewed, with a skewness of about 0.6.

VII. WAVES

Some of the most energetic fluctuations in the low torque state are system-scale wave motions with well-defined frequencies. The high torque state has more broadband, low frequency fluctuations. The conditional wall shear stress power spectra of Fig. 9 show this clearly. Two wave motions feature prominently in the low torque state but peaks are nearly absent in the high torque state. The lower peak in the low state spectrum of Fig. 9 has a frequency of $0.18 \Omega_0$. Measurements with the multiple pressure sensors show that this wave has azimuthal wavenumber $m = 1$. The higher peak has a frequency of $0.71 \Omega_0$ at this Rossby number, and several higher harmonics are also visible. This higher frequency wave appears to have $m = 2$.

The frequency and azimuthal wavenumber of the lower frequency wave are consistent with the full sphere inertial mode$^2$ $(3,1,-0.1766)$ in the $(l,m,\omega/\Omega_0)$ notation of Greenspan$^4$ and Kelley et al.$^7$. The inertial modes are not known analytically for the spherical shell$^{3,5}$, but there is good experimental agreement in frequencies and spatial patterns between inertial modes observed in turbulent spherical Couette flow$^7$ for $Ro < 0$ and modes of the full sphere$^2$. Like the inertial modes observed previously$^7$, the dependence of this mode’s frequency on the Rossby number is weak. The spectrogram of Fig. 10 shows the variation of pressure power spectra from a single pressure sensor as $Ro$ is varied and $E$ held constant. Line (a) in Fig. 10 is at $0.16 \Omega_0$. The strong lower frequency peak starts higher, $0.183 \Omega_0$, and varies down to $0.14 \Omega_0$ and back up to $0.16 \Omega_0$ as $Ro$ is increased. This peak is featured in flow power spectra over a wide range, not disappearing until about $Ro = 12$. It is worth mentioning that $(3,1,-0.1766)$ inertial mode of the sphere is one of a special class of slow, geostrophic inertial modes that are equivalent to Rossby waves propagating on a solid body background$^{63}$.

![Figure 8](image1.png)

**FIG. 8.** (a) The probability density of the dimensionless velocity conditioned on the torque state, with solid circles denoting the low torque state and open circles denoting the high. Dashed and solid lines are Gaussian. Standard deviations are $\sigma_{u'} = 0.043$ for the high state, $\sigma_{u'} = 0.029$ for the low state. The high state mean is $\langle u' \rangle = 0.076$, and the low state mean is $\langle u' \rangle = 0.186$. The means are dominated by azimuthal velocity, though the transducers are equally sensitive to $u_x$ and $u_y$ in this measurement. (b) The probability density of the dimensionless wall shear conditioned on torque. Solid circles again denote the low torque state, and open circles the high, with solid and dashed Gaussian curves. The mean and standard deviation in the low torque state are $\langle \tau_{w}' \rangle = 1.63 \times 10^{11}$ and $\sigma_{\tau_{w}'} = 1.81 \times 10^{10}$. In the high torque state they are $\langle \tau_{w}' \rangle = 1.06 \times 10^{11}$ and $\sigma_{\tau_{w}'} = 1.71 \times 10^{10}$.
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**FIG. 9.** Power spectra of wall shear stress at $Ro = 2.13$ and $E = 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$, conditioned on state. Angular frequency has been made dimensionless using the outer sphere angular speed. The black curve is the spectrum from the low torque state, and the gray curve is that of the high torque state. The low torque spectrum has prominent peaks at $\omega/\Omega_0 = 0.18$, 0.71, and harmonics. In the high torque state there are broad peaks at $\omega/\Omega_0 = 0.40$ and 0.53.


make it negative, consistent with the positive intercept of Eq. 18. Therefore Eq. 19 and Eq. 18 are indeed similar, and suggest an advected Rossby-type wave.

At $E = 10^{-3}$ and $Ro = 2.9$, in the low torque state range, Guervilly and Cardin observe an $m = 2$ Rossby-type wave. They do not report an additional $m = 1$ wave. However, if the physical mechanism that gives rise to the $m = 2$ mode there is robust to lowering the Ekman number from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-7}$, it could be that the $m = 1$ wave is excited by the $m = 2$ advected wave when the inertial mode damping is low enough. Reynolds stresses from nonlinear waves in rotating systems can transport angular momentum by driving strong zonal flows, and the strength of these zonal flows compared to the wave amplitude grows rapidly as the Ekman number is decreased. Therefore, the waves could play an important role in the angular momentum dynamics we observe.

VIII. DISCUSSION

One possibility for the state transitions discussed here is the formation and destruction of a fast zonal circulation at small cylindrical radius, with a resilient barrier to transport in the low torque state. Such barriers are common features in rotating turbulent flows. The fast mean flow and strong wall shear stress at high latitude in the low torque state, along with the falling total angular momentum of Fig. 6 mean that the two states must have a different shape of the profile of angular momentum with cylindrical radius ($s^2\Omega(s)$). The low torque state flow must favor, on average, fast circulation at the center of the experiment and slower at larger radius. A simple possibility would be a central zonal circulation bounded by a sharp shear layer modulated by the advected waves discussed in Sec. VII. This is shown schematically in Fig. 11(a). A collapse of this shear layer could cause the abrupt low state to high state transition, suddenly surrounding the inner sphere with low angular velocity fluid and increasing the torque. The very short timescale of the L to H transition, 10 rotations of the outer sphere, suggests that some sort of rapid mixing is responsible. When the fluid is angular momentum closer to well mixed, we expect a relaxation to a less steeply varying angular velocity profile, as in Fig. 11(b).

In the low torque state schematic, Fig. 11(a), the azimuthal flow outside the shear layer is slow, but not locked to the outer sphere. The angular momentum measurements of Fig. 6 suggest that the Ekman suction on the outer boundary drains angular momentum from the region outside the shear layer faster than the flux across the zonal flow boundary can replenish it until an equilibrium is reached or a L to H transition occurs.

At the transition from the high torque to low torque state, the slow decay of the inner torque and increase of the observed fluid velocity and wall shear suggest that
FIG. 11. A sketch of two possible mean flow states. The low torque state is labeled L and the high labeled H. The low torque state at (a) is characterized by fast zonal circulation near the core of the experiment and large amplitude waves. In the high torque state, the velocity profile varies more gradually. The zonal circulation has been destroyed by mixing across the transport barrier, so the fluid near the inner sphere is slower and the torque higher.

the transport barrier bounding the central zonal flow has re-formed, but the inner sphere must still provide angular momentum and energy to spin up the fast central flow. At this point, since the exterior fluid is now weakly coupled to the fast inner sphere, it starts to spin down.

We mention finally that changes in mean profiles of angular momentum by vigorous mixing have been studied in rotating thermal convection\textsuperscript{72–74}. Mixing by convectively driven fluctuations tends to flatten the angular momentum profile associated with solid body rotation, and this results in faster velocities in the rotating frame at high latitudes\textsuperscript{72}. Increasing vigor of convective mixing of the conserved angular momentum results in a switch from a prograde equatorial zonal jet to a retrograde one in the models of Aurnou \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{72}. Adjectively flattened angular momentum in the bulk of turbulent swirling flows is well established in Taylor-Couette flow\textsuperscript{52,53,75} when the outer vessel is stationary, although the profile is not so flat in outer-stationary spherical Couette\textsuperscript{54}.

Since the total angular momentum of the system is fluctuating, we must be careful not to use arguments based on strict angular momentum conservation. Angular momentum is freely exchanged with the rotating walls. An alternative explanation based on angular momentum mixing from vigorous turbulent fluctuations could involve a profile closer to the quadratic solid body profile in the high torque state and an increasingly flattened angular momentum profile in the low torque state, and would not involve the formation and destruction of a mixing barrier. It does, however, require more than a simple redistribution of angular momentum to explain the results of Fig. 6.

FIG. 12. Time delay embeddings of the slow velocity fluctuations at $y' = 0.02$ for three values of $Ro$ below, in, and above the bistable range. Arrows in (b) show the direction taken by the transitions. The time delay $\Delta t$ is 4.5 rotations of the outer sphere. The dimensionless velocity as defined previously has been scaled by $Ro$, roughly collapsing the mean velocity and fluctuation levels of each state. These data have been low pass filtered with $f_c = 0.1$ Hz, or a period of 7.5 rotations of the outer sphere.

We also investigated the evolution in phase space of H$\leftrightarrow$L transitions using low dimensional time delay embedding of low pass filtered velocity data. Figure 12 is a 2D embedding of a low pass filtered velocity time series. The filter is a 4th order Butterworth with a cutoff frequency $f_c = 0.1$ Hz. This corresponds to a period of 7.5 rotations of the outer sphere. This velocity signal was plotted against the same signal 4.5 rotations prior.
The velocity is rescaled here by the outer sphere tangential speed to make it dimensionless and by $Ro$, the expected dimensionless velocity scale relative to the outer sphere. Figure 12(b) could be interpreted as a heteroclinic connection between two turbulent attractors, with connections between the high torque flow state in (a) and the low torque flow state in (c). The arrows in Fig 12(b) show the direction of flow in phase space as the system undergoes several transitions. Below the critical Rossby number in Eq. 8, we expect H→L connection to be broken. Above the bistable range, we recall the probability of state as a function of $Ro$ of Eq. 8 and Fig. 5 and expect that connections between attractors weaken but do not break.

X. CONCLUSIONS

The experimental results presented here reveal novel turbulent multiple stability and turbulent flow transitions in a previously unexplored parameter range of very high Reynolds number, rapidly rotating spherical Couette flow. The transitions appear to involve the formation and destruction of zonal flow transport barriers and exhibit strong waves and unusual angular momentum transport. These results suggest spherical Couette as another straightforward laboratory testbed for studying turbulent multiple stability and could help lead to a better understanding of similar phenomena in natural systems.
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