Case Report

Superinfection of a Dead Hepatic Echinococcal Cyst with a Cutaneous Fistulization
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Cystic echinococcosis (CE), also known as “hydatid disease” (HD), is a zoonotic infection caused by the larval stage of Echinococcus granulosus, which accidentally infects humans through the orofecal route.

Although previously endemic in Africa, South America, and Eurasia, the disease is nowadays worldwide spread due to the increased migratory flows.

Because of the intestinal absorption, the main organ affected is the liver (70% of cases), where the hydatid cyst can develop.

In most cases, the disease is asymptomatic and the typical cystic lesion can be depicted as an incidentaloma while performing imaging examinations.

Otherwise symptoms may be aspecific (weight loss, anemia, fatigue, etc.) or related to complications, such as rupture of the cysts (spontaneous, traumatic, or iatrogenic), secondary infection, and cholangitis.

The final diagnosis is reached matching patient’s clinical history, specific serologic tests, and imaging evaluation, helpful in providing a complete clinical picture [1].

According to the radiological findings, several classifications have been proposed, all in agreement in defining thick-calciﬁed-wall cysts as inactive or dead.

We show an unusual case of superinfection of a dead calcified hydatid cyst (WHO-type CE5) [2] with an even rarer skin fistulization passing through a subcutaneous-abdominal abscess involving the right iliac muscle.

1. Introduction

Cystic echinococcosis (CE), also known as “hydatid disease” (HD), is a zoonotic infection caused by the larval stage of Echinococcus granulosus, which accidentally infects humans through the orofecal route.

Although previously endemic in Africa, South America, and Eurasia, the disease is nowadays worldwide spread due to the increased migratory flows.

Because of the intestinal absorption, the main organ affected is the liver (70% of cases), where the hydatid cyst can develop.

In most cases, the disease is asymptomatic and the typical cystic lesion can be depicted as an incidentaloma while performing imaging examinations.

Otherwise symptoms may be aspecific (weight loss, anemia, fatigue, etc.) or related to complications, such as rupture of the cysts (spontaneous, traumatic, or iatrogenic), secondary infection, and cholangitis.

The final diagnosis is reached matching patient’s clinical history, specific serologic tests, and imaging evaluation, helpful in providing a complete clinical picture [1].

2. Case Report

A 68-year-old male patient suffering from chronic renal and heart failure and alcohol-related cirrhosis was admitted to our hospital with fever, abdominal pain, and a right-flank fistula, draining a huge quantity of purulent secretion.

He had also a known history of CE with a calcified cysts of the liver, incidentally discovered a few years before at a chest X-ray in our department and confirmed at unenhanced CT-scan (Figure I).

Laboratory tests showed a neutrophilic leukocytosis (WBC 11300; N: 84%) and an electrolyte imbalance with severe hyponatremia.
An ultrasound (US) examination of the abdomen was immediately performed, showing the presence of a subcutaneous abscess.

To better evaluate the size and depth of the abscess he underwent an MR examination performed in our department using a 1.5 T MR Philips Gyroscan Intera (Philips Medical System, Best, Netherlands) and phased-array abdominal coils. Different pulse sequences were applied: 2D axial and coronal T2-weighted turbo spin echo (TSE) sequences, 2D axial echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence at different $b$ values ($b: 0, 500, 800 s/mm^2$), and 2D T1-weighted axial fast-field-echo (FFE) breath-hold sequence.

Intravenous injection of contrast medium was avoided due to the chronic renal failure of the patient.

MRI confirmed the presence of an inhomogeneous fluid collection with irregular peripheral walls, indicative of abscess, extending from the subcutaneous tissues of the posterior right abdominal flank into the abdominal cavity, through the right iliac muscle. The abscess showed a middle-low T2 hyperintensity of the content while DWI study revealed diffusion restriction of the lesion (Figure 2).

A long narrow fistula connecting the abscess with the hepatic hydatid cyst was also found.

In order to better assess the route of that fistulous tract, a CT-fistulography was obtained catheterizing the external opening of the fistula with a thin cannula and injecting a water-soluble iodine contrast medium. The exam showed a progressive contrast filling of the abscess and the abdominal fistula (Figure 3), ascending till the calcified hydatid cyst of the liver.

The drainage culture test showed the presence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella oxytoca*, without any *Echinococcus*.

Afterwards, the patient underwent an antibiotic therapy with percutaneous drainage of the cutaneous-abdominal abscess. After the complete resolution of the abscess, a surgical cystectomy was performed.

### 3. Discussion

*Echinococcosis* is a worldwide zoonosis, caused by Cestode parasites, commonly known as small tapeworms of carnivorous animals [3], that can infect humans, as intermediate hosts, through the orofecal route.

The liver is the most common organ involved (75% cases of HD), followed by the lungs (15%) [4].

Although the course of the liver hydatid disease is usually asymptomatic, complicated forms are not rare, occurring in 30–60% of the patients [5].

The main complications include traumatic or idiopathic rupture of the cysts into the biliary tract, which is the most frequent, or into peritoneum, skin, digestive tract, or thorax, due to a transdiaphragmatic involvement [5, 6].

Large and superficial hepatic cysts are considered to be the most susceptible to break.

"Mass-effect" of large lesions may also cause vascular complications, such as Budd-Chiari and vena-cava syndromes, and biliary obstruction that may lead to cholestatic jaundice, cholangitis, biliary colic, and fever [7].

Suppuration of the cyst is caused by a cystobiliary communication and it is not a rare complication, with an occurrence of 5–40% [8].

However, although the association between calcified hydatid cysts and suppuration is well known [9], to our knowledge, there are not a clear percentage of occurrence and no imaging descriptions in cysts with an egg-shell thick calcified wall that is usually considered a feature of inactivity.

Certainly, imaging techniques play a pivotal role in a comprehensive evaluation of hydatid disease.

Though abdominal ultrasonography is considered the gold standard in identifying and characterizing the cysts, CT and MRI have reached an increasing importance over the years.

In fact, while CT-scan has a good sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of hepatic HD, especially in depicting wall calcifications, MRI is nowadays considered the best imaging investigation in differentiating the fluid content of the cyst from other components and in depicting vascular or biliary tree involvement and extrahepatic complications [10, 11].

On the basis of imaging findings, several classifications have been proposed in typifying echinococcal cysts, but only few of them achieved a large consensus.

Through a sonographic evaluation, Gharbi et al. [12] proposed a subdivision of the hydatid cysts into five types: a simple fluid collection (type I), a fluid collection with split wall (type II), a fluid collection with septa (type III), a cyst with heterogeneous echo patterns (type IV), and a cyst with reflecting thick walls (type V).

In order to establish a simpler and standardised classification, also able to reflect the stages of the disease and the related treatment, a new one was introduced in 2003 by the Informal Working Group on Echinococcosis (IWGE-WHO). Still relayed on ultrasound examination, this classification recognizes six categories of hydatid cysts: CL, a simple cyst with anechoic content and not clearly visible wall, suspicious for an early stage of EC; CE1, a cyst with visible wall containing...
Figure 2: Axial MR TSE T2-weighted scans (a–c) show the hydatid cyst (asterisk), with a soft hyperintensity of the surrounding hepatic parenchyma due to inflammation, the fistulous tract (arrowheads), and the abdominal-subcutaneous abscess (arrows) involving the right iliac muscle. Axial diffusion weighted image (b-value 800 s/mm²) (d) demonstrates the high hyperintensity of both the abscess (arrows) and the fistula (arrowheads).

Figure 3: Axial oblique (a) and coronal (b) MIP reformatted CT-fistulography. The injection of the contrast agent through the external opening of the fistula allowed identifying the abscess (arrows) and the whole fistulous tract (arrowheads) ascending to the hepatic hydatid cyst (black asterisk).
an inhomogeneous fluid due to the presence of hydatid sand; CE2, a multiseptate cyst with daughter cysts inside, with variable appearance ("rosetta-like," "wheel-like," or "honeycomb-like" structure); CE3, characterized by anechoic content with detached membranes within (3a) or daughter vesicle inside solid-echoic areas (3b), related to degenerated daughter cysts; CE4, hypoechoic or inhomogeneous content without daughter cysts; CE5, with thick calcified wall with a cone shape. Moreover, these categories were grouped on the basis of their physiopathological behaviour into three types: active (CL, CE1, CE2), transitional (CE3), and inactive (CE4, CE5) [2].

However, the inclusion of CE4 type in the inactive group has raised some doubts, due to the presence of fertile liquid inside the vesicles; this implies a consequent "watch and wait" clinical approach [13].

Another widely used brief classification, suggested by Precetti et al. [14], divides the cysts in four types on the basis of the imaging findings: simple cyst with noninternal architecture (type I); cyst with daughter cysts and matrix (type II); calcified cyst (type III); complicated cyst (type IV).

Gharbi’s, IWGE-WHO, and Precetti’s categories are summarized and compared in Table 1.

| Gharbi et al. | WHO | Precetti et al. |
|--------------|-----|----------------|
| CL           | I   |                |
| I            | CE1 | I              |
| II           | CE3A| II             |
| III          | CE2–CE3B | III          |
| IV           | CE4 | IV             |
| V            | CE5 |                 |

In conclusion, we showed an unusual case of superinfection of the liver hydatidosis and only a few cases have been reported [20, 21].

A cutaneous involvement with a spontaneous skin fistulization is considered a very rare complication of liver hydatidosis and an intra-abdominal fistula and inferolaterally to the skin surface.

In our case, a progressive cystic adhesion to the abdominal wall did not occur and the external opening was very far from the hepatic hydatid cyst, considering that the fistula was located in the lateral abdominal cavity and connected downwards to the subcutaneous-abdominal abscess which involved the right iliac muscle.

To our knowledge, the involvement of the iliac muscle has never been reported in the literature up to now.

In conclusion, we showed an unusual case of superinfection of an inactive calcified hydatid cyst with an even rarer abdominal-cutaneous fistulization passing through a subcutaneous-abdominal abscess involving the right iliac muscle.

Furthermore, it demonstrates the usefulness of MRI not only in identifying the cystic content, the extension of the disease, and the related complications but also in identifying the thin fistulous tract in order to allow a better treatment planning.
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