Cardiovascular Safety Profile and Clinical Experience With High-Dose Domperidone Therapy for Nausea and Vomiting
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Abstract: Introduction: Domperidone is a dopamine receptor antagonist with peripheral prokinetic and central antiemetic properties. Pro- longation of the QTc interval with chronic use of oral domperidone in standard doses has been reported in the literature. Our goal was to investigate cardiac toxicity in patients receiving 2-fold greater doses than in previous reports. Methods: A retrospective chart review was conducted of patients with nausea (N) and vomiting (V) receiving domperidone from 2009 to 2013 under an Investigational New Drug (IND) protocol. Patient demographics, indications for therapy, clinical outcomes, cardiac symptoms and electrocardiogram tracings were reviewed. Prolonged QTc was verified if >470 milliseconds in females (F) and >450 milliseconds in males (M). Results: A total of 64 patients, 44 female (37% Hispanic, 60% white, 3% African American), were taking domperidone for diabetic gastroparesis 45%; idiopathic gastro- paresis 36%; chronic N&V 8%; dumping syndrome 5%; cyclic vomiting 5% and conditioned vomiting 1%. Mean duration of therapy was 8 months (range, 3 months to 4 years). Doses ranged from 40 to 120 mg/ d with 90% receiving 80 to 120 mg compared with the standard dose of 40 mg. Of note, 73% of subjects benefited from treatment with reduced nausea and vomiting. Thirty-seven patients had follow-up electrocardio- grams available, and they showed that the mean QTc at baseline was 424 milliseconds ± 28.4 (SD) compared with 435 milliseconds ± 27.2 (SD) at follow-up (not significant). Ten of these patients had prolonged QTc at F/U ranging from 453 to 509 milliseconds, without any cardiovas- cular complaints. There was no relationship between prolonged QTc and daily dose of domperidone, body mass index or age. Conclusions: Our data indicate that at very high dosing, the prokinetic/antiemetic agent domperidone has a low risk of adverse cardiovascular events while exhibiting good clinical efficacy.
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Domperidone and metoclopramide are dopamine antagonists with a strong affinity for dopamine receptors in the central as well as peripheral nervous systems, and specifically, the gastro- intestinal tract. These agents act as antiemetics at the chemore-ceptor trigger zone and as a prokinetics in the upper gastrointestinal tract to accelerate gastric emptying. Metoclopra-
According to the medical literature, the typical dose of domperidone is 10 mg 3 or 4 times per day. However, patients accessing domperidone through the FDA-approved IND protocol can receive doses ranging up to 120 mg per day. The lack of any comprehensive research regarding the dosage of oral domperidone and its cardiotoxicity prompted us to further investigate this relationship. The authors analyzed the clinical efficacy, possible cardiac-related adverse events and the electrocardiogram (ECG) findings during domperidone therapy to determine whether any cardiotoxicity occurred in patients receiving chronic high doses of domperidone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The authors performed a retrospective chart review of patients with upper gastrointestinal symptoms referred to a single physician who had a domperidone IND: Limited Access Program approval for investigational use of domperidone at a tertiary GI Motility Center. A protocol designed by the FDA was in turn approved by the Texas Tech University Health Science Center Institutional Review Board and an informed consent was obtained from each patient for the use of domperidone in this study. The data collected included patient demographics, gastrointestinal diagnosis, clinical indications for the use of domperidone, dosage, frequency and adverse effects related to domperidone therapy. The authors specifically focused on identifying any CV complaints as well as analyzing ECG findings in patients on domperidone therapy. The clinical outcome was assessed for each patient during their follow-up visits in the clinic of the physician with the IND (R.W.M.), and it was recorded in the medical records. Patient satisfaction in relation to their gastrointestinal symptoms was assessed using a 7-point Likert’s scale: (markedly worse, moderately worse, mildly worse, unchanged, mildly improvement, moderately improvement, markedly better), which is a widely used tool to assess changes of subjective symptoms over time. Complete blood count, complete metabolic panel, magnesium and prolactin were drawn before starting the drug and on follow-up visits.

ECG Interpretation and Measurement

An ECG was obtained at baseline per protocol and on each subsequent visit. A 12-lead resting ECG was recorded and stored digitally. The QT interval was determined at the start of the QRS complex until the end of the T-wave. The absolute value of the measured QT (QTm) interval should be corrected by heart rate. This is because the QT interval can change in relation to the heart rate. For example, in tachycardia, the absolute measure of QT will be shorter as a consequence of the shorter action potential. To adjust for heart rate, the Bazett formula \( QT_c = QT_m / \sqrt{RR} \) was used. Bazett’s QT correction is not suitable when heart rate is less than 60 or more than 100 beats per minute. The QTc interval at the time of the first visit was taken as the independent variable. For those participants who also had a second and subsequent ECG at follow-up visits, the results of follow-up QTc interval measurements were included in the analyses. A prolonged QTc based on the FDA Investigational protocol definition is defined as more than 470 milliseconds in females and more than 450 milliseconds in males. Patients who had a prolonged QTc at baseline were not included in the study and did not receive domperidone.

Statistical Analysis

All results were expressed as mean or percentage. Descriptive statistics such as mean values and percentages were used for continuous and categorical data, respectively. The SD was also calculated for each continuous variable analyzed (age, body mass index [BMI] and QTc).

RESULTS

Overall, 64 patients (44 females and 20 males) were taking domperidone for various time intervals during the period of data analysis from December 2009 to December 2013. The indications for use were diabetic gastroparesis (GP) in 29 (45%); 23 (36%) with idiopathic GP; 5 (8%) with chronic nausea and vomiting; 3 (5%) with dumping syndrome; 3 (5%) with cyclic vomiting syndrome and 1 (1%) with conditioned vomiting (Table 1). The ethnicity among our patient population included 37% Hispanic, 60% white and 3% African American (Table 1). The mean BMI was 25.9 ± 6.7 (SD). Daily doses of domperidone ranged from 40 to 120 mg administered as 10 to 40 mg 30 minutes before each meal and at bedtime. The duration of domperidone therapy ranged from 3 months to 4 years with a mean of 8 months. The dose distribution was 40 mg in 10%, 80 mg in 75% and 120 mg in 15% of patients. Only 3 of the 64 (4.6%) patients chronically receiving high doses of domperidone reported palpitations, without any complaints of chest pain. Their ECGs displayed no QTc prolongation or dysrhythmias. Eight patients (12.5%) had prolactin-related side effects that included irregular menstruation, galactorrhea, breast tenderness and insomnia, but these findings did not required discontinuation of therapy with domperidone. No electrolyte abnormalities were observed. Seventy-three percent of patients assessed their GP symptoms as moderate to markedly improved, specifically related to nausea and vomiting. Nine patients (14%) did not have a positive clinical response to domperidone. One of these 9 patients subsequently responded to amitriptyline administered in a dose escalation manner and 1 receiving chronic medication for pain, improved symptoms with use of the narcotic antagonist methylnaltrexone. The remaining 7 patients who had failed all other therapies and now did not respond to at least 3 months of high-dose domperidone underwent placement of a gastric electrical stimulation system (EnterraTherapy).

| TABLE 1. Summary of the demographic data for 64 patients receiving chronic high doses of domperidone therapy (90% receiving a dose of 80–120 mg/d) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mean age (range) | 40 (18–70) |
| Female, n (%) | 44 (69) |
| Male, n (%) | 20 (31) |
| White, n (%) | 38 (60) |
| Hispanic, n (%) | 24 (37) |
| African American, n (%) | 2 (3) |
| Diabetic GP, n (%) | 29 (45) |
| Idiopathic GP, n (%) | 23 (36) |
| Cyclic vomiting syndrome, n (%) | 3 (5) |
| Chronic nausea/vomiting, n (%) | 5 (8) |
| Dumping syndrome, n (%) | 3 (5) |
| Conditional vomiting, n (%) | 1 (1) |
| Mean duration of domperidone therapy | 8 mo (3 mo to 4 yr) |

GP, gastroparesis.
Thirty-seven of the 64 patients had a baseline as well as follow-up ECG available for analysis. The mean QTc interval at baseline ECG was 424 milliseconds ± 28.4 (SD) compared with 435 milliseconds ± 27.2 (SD) on follow-up, a nonsignificant difference. Ten of these 37 patients (27%) had a prolongation of their QT interval ranging from 453 to 509 milliseconds with the mean value of 476.8 milliseconds ± 18.3 (SD) noted on their follow-up ECG (Table 2). The mean age of these patients was 43.8 years ± 14.5 (SD) (range, 24–58 years) with 4 males and 6 females. The mean BMI of those patients with a prolonged QT interval was 23.6 ± 6.7 (SD) (range, 18–29). The mean of prolonged QTc intervals for males was 475.3 milliseconds ± 24.4 (range, 453–509) and for females, 482 milliseconds ± 13.7 (SD) (range, 470–507). Their diagnoses varied from 60% diabetic and 30% idiopathic gastroparesis to 10% with cyclic vomiting syndrome. No correlation was identified between the degree of QTc prolongation and the dose of domperidone. Three patients were taking a maximum dose of 120 mg/d, 5 were on 80 mg/d and 2 were on 30 mg/d. All patients received domperidone. Three patients were taking a maximum dose of 120 mg/d, 5 were on 80 mg/d and 2 were on 30 mg/d. All patients with a prolonged QT interval were asymptomatic and specifically did not have any CV complaints and no arrhythmia or other ECG changes were observed. Once the QTc prolongation was noted on follow-up ECG, the patient was advised to discontinue the medication.

**DISCUSSION**

The study analysis demonstrates that 73% of the patients receiving chronic high-dose domperidone treatment had a clinically meaningful improvement in their symptoms of nausea and vomiting. The BMI did not have any correlation with the presence of diabetes in 45% of the overall patient population with nausea and vomiting. The BMI did not have any relationship to increasing age and the likelihood of QTc prolongation, and again, our demographic distribution indicates that GI motility disorders such as gastroparesis are dominated by female. Another case-control study in the Netherlands by Van Noord et al. reported an increased risk of SCD in individuals receiving higher dosages of domperidone, defined as a dose of domperidone of more than 30 mg/d without any specific information on the specific doses being used in these subjects.

Sawant et al performed a trial of 52 patients who received 10 mg of domperidone 3 times per day for 2 weeks and had no prolonged QTc interval effects.15 Rossi and Giorgi11 in a systematic review identified 21 studies that investigated domperidone; comprised of 3 in vitro, 1 animal study, 5 cases reports and 12 clinical trials. Twelve of the 21 studies recognized some cardiotoxicity related to IV administration of domperidone, and 2 with the oral formulation.11 Additionally, a few case reports have been published: a 4-month old infant was given domperidone as an indication for gastroesophageal reflux disease at a dose of 1.8 mg·kg⁻¹·d⁻¹ (adults receive <1 mg·kg⁻¹·d⁻¹).12 This infant later developed QTc interval prolongation of 463 milliseconds. Another case report described a 33-year-old woman being treated with domperidone concomitantly with fluconazole and she developed a prolonged QTc interval and Torsades de pointes.13 Once this ECG finding was noted, both drugs were discontinued. This clinical observation suggests a potential drug-drug interaction and proposes fluconazole as the offending agent and raises the question for future research as to whether other agents working through the P450 cytochrome metabolic pathway may be a risk factor for QTc interval changes with chronic domperidone therapy.

Based on a handful of European clinical trials, questions were raised in the past concerning domperidone’s potential to cause QTc prolongation with increased potential for ventricular arrhythmias. A nested case-control study from the Netherlands5 reported an increased risk of 44% for serious ventricular arrhythmias (SVA) and SCD with a domperidone dosage of 40 mg/d orally compared with proton pump inhibitor use only. They also determined that there was a 59% increased risk of SVA or SCD with domperidone use compared with nonusers of either agent in a multivariate analysis. A subgroup analysis suggested an increased risk of SVA/SCD in males and elderly individuals (age >60 years).5 Interestingly, our study showed no relationship to increasing age and the likelihood of QTc prolongation, and again, our demographic distribution indicates that GI motility disorders such as gastroparesis are dominated by female. Another case-control study in the Netherlands by Van Noord et al.2 reported an increased risk of SCD in individuals receiving higher dosages of domperidone, defined as a dose of domperidone of more than 30 mg/d without any specific information on the specific doses being used in these subjects.

We identified 13 patients with a prolonged QTc interval, all males and elderly individuals (age >60 years).5 Interestingly, our study showed no relationship to increasing age and the likelihood of QTc prolongation, and again, our demographic distribution indicates that GI motility disorders such as gastroparesis are dominated by female. Another case-control study in the Netherlands by Van Noord et al.2 reported an increased risk of SCD in individuals receiving higher dosages of domperidone, defined as a dose of domperidone of more than 30 mg/d without any specific information on the specific doses being used in these subjects.

TABLE 2. Information on the demographics and clinical data of the 10 patients identified as having prolonged QTc intervals while receiving chronic high doses of domperidone

| Subjects | Prolonged QT interval (ms) | Gender | Age | Ethnicity | Diagnosis | Dose, mg/d |
|----------|---------------------------|--------|-----|-----------|-----------|------------|
| 1        | 453                       | M      | 24  | Hispanic  | Diabetic GP | 80         |
| 2        | 463                       | M      | 28  | Hispanic  | Diabetic GP | 30         |
| 3        | 470                       | F      | 50  | Hispanic  | Diabetic GP | 80         |
| 4        | 472                       | F      | 44  | White     | Idiopathic GP | 120       |
| 5        | 475                       | F      | 56  | Hispanic  | Diabetic GP | 80         |
| 6        | 476                       | M      | 46  | Hispanic  | Diabetic GP | 80         |
| 7        | 481                       | F      | 58  | White     | Diabetic GP | 30         |
| 8        | 487                       | F      | 37  | White     | Idiopathic GP | 80       |
| 9        | 507                       | F      | 46  | White     | Cyclic vomiting syndrome | 120 |
| 10       | 509                       | M      | 45  | White     | Idiopathic GP | 80       |

GP, gastroparesis.
domperingone, no SCD/SVA, Torsade de pointes or other arrhythmia occurred, and there were no CV complaints except for palpitations in 5% of patients. The authors believe that it is the first observational study in the United States that has attempted to analyze dosing efficacy and the CV safety profile of domperidone. The limitations of this research study are that it is a retrospective medical chart review with relatively small number of patients. In defense of these limitations is that it represents the largest population ever reported in the world’s literature with chronic oral dosing of domperidone exceeding 40 mg per day. In addition, since our data collection relied on patient adherence to regular ECG follow-ups requiring their availability to return to a University Medical Center, there was predictably some loss to follow-up occurring. To offset this aspect, regular telephone contacts with out-of-state patients and their primary care physicians were done to obtain ECG results and assess the clinical status of some of the subjects.

In a review of domperidone by Reddymasu et al., clinical trials comparing domperidone in doses of 40 to 80 mg for 4 weeks to either placebo or metoclopramide in diabetic gastroparetic patients showed a clinical superiority for domperidone.17–21 Their conclusions were that domperidone is a safe and effective antiemetic and prokinetic medication for patients with gastrointestinal symptoms of nausea and vomiting, particularly for gastroparesis. The lack of access to this drug in the United States limits its legal use to a few University motility centers. The authors recommend further research studies and clinical trials be conducted to enhance our knowledge and understanding of this very effective agent. Based on the results of this research, the authors can state that domperidone is safe, even when taken in very high doses, and its utilization in the United States should be endorsed while at the same time encouraging adherence to appropriate protocols to continue to monitor for cardiac events and ECG changes.
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