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An advanced molecularly imprinted electrochemical sensor for the highly sensitive and selective detection and determination of Human IgG
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ABSTRACT

An advanced molecularly imprinted electrochemical sensor with high sensitivity and selectivity for the detection of Human immunoglobulin G (IgG) was successfully constructed. With acrylamide imprinting systems, surface imprinting on the nanoparticles CuFe2O4 targeted at IgG was employed to prepare molecularly imprinted polymer, which served as recognition element for the electrochemical sensor. Furthermore, the sensor harnessed a molybdenum disulfide (MoS2)@nitrogen doped graphene quantum dots (N-GQDs) with ionic liquid (IL) nanocomposite for signal amplification. Under optimized experimental conditions, the sensor shortened the response time to less than 8 min, and the response was linear at the IgG concentration of 0.1–50 ng/mL with a low detection limit of 0.02 ng/mL (S/N = 3). Our findings suggested that, the sensor exhibited high detectability and long-time stability. The satisfactory results of human serum sample analysis showed that the developed IgG sensor had promising potential clinical applications in detecting IgG content.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Human immunoglobulin G (IgG) has been identified as the human body antibody with the highest abundance [1–3]. Besides, IgG is the only human immunoglobulin that can pass through the placenta, which exerts a vital part in the anti-infective immunity in newborns [4–6]. IgG is highly expressed in serum and accounts for 75–80% of all immunoglobulins in serum. Typically, it is widely distributed and easily penetrates the capillary wall. Moreover, it is also an important antibody existing outside the blood vessels [7]. The abnormal IgG level will induce certain disorders, like hyperthyroidism and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). COVID-19 pandemic outbreak is one of the most shocking scenes of the XXI century. A convenient way to detect the presence of the novel coronavirus is to use substrates (i.e. sensor material) that has been functionalized with recombinant viral antigens and can be used to bind to IgG because these antibodies remain in the blood longer [8]. IgG antibodies are produces during the onset of the infectious disease (around 2 weeks). Therefore, it is of critical importance to detect the content of IgG in the fields of quality monitoring, drug research and medical diagnosis [9,10].

To date, various analytical techniques such as radial immunodiffusion, enzyme-linked immunoassays, immune nephelometry and fluorescent immunoassays, have been proposed in literature for the determination of IgG [11–15]. However, most of these techniques have some limitations such as expensive and cumbersome instruments, complicated pretreatment step, and time consuming analysis. Compared with these instrumental methods, the electrochemical measurement of IgG has the advantage of simplicity, high sensitivity, fast response and low detection limit [16,17]. Extensive efforts have been made to electrochemically determine immunoglobulins, notably, the isotype of IgG which is dominant during low content detection in a majority of studies [18,19].

Currently, many studies have depicted numerous diverse strategies in preparing the IgG-specific electrochemical sensors that can be used as the classic model analyte [20–22]. Generally, the electrochemical sensors based on nanomaterials can enhance sensor sensitivities through boosting the transducer electrochemical performances and using the biorecognition molecules to increase the sensing surface loading [23–26]. Glassy carbon electrode (GCE) is the basis of a majority of electrochemical sensors, and conductive nanomaterials are then used to modify GCE for improving its sensitivity to target analytes [27,28]. Many novel nanomaterials can be applied in modifying GCE, including MoS2 [29,30], metal nanoparticles [31,32], graphene quantum dot [33] and carbon black [34,35].

MoS2 is a typical dichalcogenide, which attracts wide interests in numerous fields, such as hydrogen catalysis and storage, capacitors, and electrochemical device [36,37]. However, because the
conductivity of MoS₂ is still lower than that of carbon-based materials, little attention has been paid to the application of MoS₂ as an electrode material for sensors [38]. Therefore, it is suggested to combine MoS₂ with carbon-based materials to show a synergistic effect on the application of electrocatalysis [39]. Guochuang Huang et al. obtained a layered MoS₂-Graphene composite modified electrode with good electrochemical performance, and it was able to detect paracetamol with high sensitivity and selectivity by L-cysteine assisted liquid phase method [40]. Tong Guo et al. designed a novel three-dimensional (3D) layered MoS₂@graphene functionalized with N-GQDs composites, which was used as an enhanced electrochemical hydrogen evolution catalyst [41]. As a result, it is reasonable to speculate that, integrating the layer-structured MoS₂ with nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dots (N-GQDs) also exerts same function in electrochemical sensors and electrocatalysis.

Moreover, more and more importance has been attached to ionic liquids (IL) during sensor construction due to optimized structures and properties, distinguishable anion/cation combination, high conductivity, remarkable biocompatibility, and good thermostability [42,43]. Ionic liquid is an effective modifier to improve the sensing performance of electrode [44]. These results indicate that the use of ionic liquids can improve reaction sensitivity and promote efficient direct electron transfer of various redox biomolecules. Therefore, based on the strong electrostatic-chemical interaction between IL and MoS₂@N-GQDs and the improvement dispersion by IL, the addition of IL is expected to further enhance the electrochemical signal in the fabrication of sensor.

The recognition element is a vital part in electrochemical sensor. Numerous recognition elements are adopted for improving electrochemical sensor selectivity and sensitivity, such as aptamers, phages, antibodies, as well as the molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) [45–48]. Molecular imprinting has been developed as a typical recognition site formation process induced by the template within the polymer [49]. Those molecularly imprinted synthetic receptors have exhibited integrated properties, including high affinity, robustness, low production cost, and great specificity. As a result, they may serve as the promising candidate natural receptors [50–52]. Great advances have been attained in the fields of nanotechnology and polymer science, which have strengthened the performances of molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP) sensors. Many high-quality articles have been published recently to describe MIP sensors in determining explosives, abused drug and biomolecules, which facilitate applying such technique in the forensic and medical diagnosis fields [53–55]. Specifically, the magnetic CuFe₂O₄ nanoparticles (NPs) have been used as the carriers of the surface-imprinted modified electrochemical sensors, which is ascribed to their superparamagnetism, high specific capacitance, favorable biocompatibility and excellent electroconductibility. Our research group prepared a novel electrochemical sensor based on the MIPs modified CuFe₂O₄ glassy carbon electrode (GCE) to electrochemically detect lysozyme [56].

This study aimed to develop an electrochemical sensor to selectively detect IgG. The methods used were shown below (Schematic 1). Firstly, the MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL nanocomposite with high conductivity was used to modify the GCE, so as to amplify the electrochemical signal. Molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) served as the skeleton with electric conduction, and functionalized with nitrogen doped graphene quantum dots (N-GQDs) in which MoS₂ and N-GQDs exist in a bonding manner of Mo–N. Based on the strong electrostatic chemical interactions between ionic liquid and MoS₂@N-GQDs and the improvement of dispersion of MoS₂@N-GQDs by ionic liquid, the combination of ionic liquid and MoS₂@N-GQDs provides an effective platform for sensitive electrochemical sensing. Secondly, IgG was used as the template, while CuFe₂O₄ was utilized as the carrier to prepare the surface-imprinted CuFe₂O₄ MILPs NPs, which were used as recognition elements for the electrochemical sensor. Thereafter, cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) were applied to assess the electrochemical response of the MILPs NPs/MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL/GCE electrode to IgG quantification. Specifically, this study focused on examining the stability, selectivity, accuracy and reproducibility for our as-prepared MILPs NPs/MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL/GCE electrode, as well as the feasibility of our developed sensor in detecting IgG in whole blood samples.

2. Experiment procedures

2.1. Chemicals

Human serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), which was utilized as received with no additional purification. Lysosome (Lyz) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Solarbio stock. Albumin from human serum (HSA) was provided by Shanghai yuanye Bio-Techology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl₃·6H₂O), potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe(CN)₆], sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O), and sodium acetate (NaAc), copper (II) chloride dihydrate (CuCl₂·2H₂O), and potassium chloride (KCl) were provided by Beijing Tong Guang Fine Chemicals (Beijing, China). 1-Benzyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([BzMlm]BF₄) was ordered by Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics (Lanzhou, China). N,N,N’,N’-Tetramethylthylethylenediamine (TEMED), dicyandiamide (DCD), L-cysteine and sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O) were provided by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). N,N’-methylene-dipropylene amide (MBA), methacrylic acid (MAA) and acrylic amide (AAm) were purchased from Tianjin Fine Chemical Research Institute (Tianjin, China). Poly(n-isopropylacrylamide) (NIPAAm) was provided by Across Organics in Belgium. Polyethylene glycol (PEG 20000) was provided by Xi Long Chemical Co., Ltd (Guangxi, China). Citric acid (CA), trisodium citrate, acetic acid (AA), poly(ethylene glycol), acetonitrile (ACN), hydrochloric acid (HCl) and ammonium persulfate (APS) were provided by Beijing Chemical Works (Beijing, China).

All reagents and solvents adopted in this experiment were analytically pure, which were utilized as received. Each aqueous solution was prepared with ultra-pure water (18.2 MΩ cm). A pH meter (Sartorius PB-10) was used to determine the solution pH.

2.2. Device

CHI6043E electrochemical workstation (CH Instruments, Shanghai, China) was adopted for all electrochemical test, where the traditional three-electrode system was employed consisting of a modified GCE (diameter, 3.0 mm) working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and an AgCl (3 mol L⁻¹ KCl) reference electrode. Shapes and sizes of MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL nanocomposites were determined by the high-resolution H7700 transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi, Japan) at 100 kV of accelerating voltage using the Tecnai F20G2 (FEI, Netherlands). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was conducted using the Inspect F50 microscope (FEI, Netherlands). Afterwards, the Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Japan) in the presence of Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) was applied for X-ray diffraction (XRD). The UV-1800 (Shimadzu, Japan) was adopted to obtain the ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectra. Serum IgG was detected by the BN II automatic protein analyzer (SIEMENS, Germany).
2.3. Solutions

The 2 mg mL\(^{-1}\) stock solutions of HSA, BSA and Lyz were prepared through dissolution into ultra-pure water and the phosphate buffer solution (PBS), and then preserved at +4 °C within the refrigerator. The PBS (pH = 7.4) containing 5 mmol L\(^{-1}\) K\(_3\)Fe(CN)\(_6\) and 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) KCl was adopted for measuring EIS and DPV.

2.4. MoS\(_2@N\)-GQDs preparation

The present study prepared N-GQDs through hydrothermal treatment with dicyandiamide and citric acid (CA) as reported in Refs. [57–59]. Briefly, 1 g DCD and 2 g CA were added into 5 mL ultra-pure water during the typical synthetic process, followed by transfer to Teflon lined autoclave (25 mL), 12 h of heating under the temperature of 180 °C, and natural cooling of the reactor to ambient temperature. Then, the ethanol was added into the product, centrifuged at 5000 r/min for 5 min, washed for 3 times, and dried for 24 h within the vacuum drying oven under the temperature of 60 °C to obtain the solid with good water solubility.

The modified solution-phase approach assisted by L-cysteine was adopted to prepare MoS\(_2@N\)-GQDs composites, and the final optimal composition of each component was obtained mainly by references and later optimization [38,60]. Specifically, ultra-pure water (50 mL) was added into the 200 mL beaker, followed by transfer of 0.1 g N-GQDs and addition of Na\(_2\)MoO\(_4\) 2H\(_2\)O (0.5 g) in it. Following 30 min of stirring and ultrasonication, the solution pH was adjusted to 6.5 with the 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl. Later, L-cysteine (1.0 g), together with the mixture, was dissolved into ultra-pure water (100 mL), and the resultant mixture was then transferred to the Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (100 mL) and tightly sealed, followed by 36 h heating under the temperature of 180 °C. Later, that autoclave was naturally cooled, centrifuged to collect black precipitates, rinsed by ethanol and ultra-pure water, and dried for 24 h at 60 °C within the vacuum oven.

2.5. MoS\(_2@N\)-GQDs-IL preparation

MoS\(_2@N\)-GQDs-IL composites were prepared according to the published literature, and the final optimal composition of each component was obtained mainly by references and later optimization [61]. To be specific, 0.04 g [BzMIm]BF\(_4\) was put to 20 mL of 0.01 g mL\(^{-1}\) MoS\(_2\) ultra-pure water dispersion at first, and then stirred continuously for 12 h under the temperature of 60 °C. Thereafter, the as-obtained suspension was put to the oil bath at 90 °C for 1 h. The 0.22 μM filter membrane was used to filter the resultant dispersion solution, followed by 12 h of drying at 60 °C within the vacuum oven (Schematic 1).

2.6. MIPs NPs preparation

The CuFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs were synthesized according to the hydrothermal approach [56,62]. In brief, 0.408 g CuCl\(_2\)-2H\(_2\)O (2.4 mmol) and 1.296 g FeCl\(_3\)-6H\(_2\)O (4.8 mmol) were immersed into 60 mL ethylene glycol for forming the clear solution. Thereafter, 1 g polyethylene glycol (M = 20,000) and 3.6 g NaAc were slowly added to the as-prepared solution for 1 h, and vigorously stirred under ambient temperature for 24 h to achieve fully dissolved. Then, the as-prepared mixture was transferred to the Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (80 mL), heated for 10 h at 200 °C, and then the autoclave was cooled naturally to ambient temperature. Later, the permanent magnet was used to separate those nanohybrid
magnetic materials, which were subsequently centrifuged at 4000 rpm, washed with ethanol and ultra-pure water for 3–5 times, and dried for 5 h using the vacuum freeze drier.

Magnetic MIPs NPs was prepared in the following steps: first of all, 1.4 mmol IgG was dissolved into 0.02 mol/L phosphate buffer solution (PBS) (20 mL, pH = 7.4); then, 30 μL MA, 100 mg NIPAAm, as well as 30 mg AAM were added in succession. Afterwards, the pre-assembled solution was synthesized through 1 h of stirring. 100 mg CuFe₂O₄ magnetite was added into 0.02 mol/L PBS (20 mL, pH = 7.4) to stir for 20 min. Later, 25 mg N,N'-methylene-dipropylene amide (MBA) was added into the CuFe₂O₄-PBS mixture, and the resultant mixture was then put into the pre-assembled solution. Typically, the mixture was subjected to 1 h of stirring to prepare the pre-polymerized solution, followed by preservation within the three-necked flask. Then, the 30% TEMED (100 μL), together with the 10% wt ammonium persulfate (APS, 20 μL), was put into the polymerization radical initiator for 24 h of reaction at nitrogen atmosphere. Later, magnet separation, and repeated washing with ultra-pure water and ethanol were conducted. Afterwards, the mixture of acetic acid (AA) (10%, v: v) and acetonitrile (ACN) (90%, v: v) was used to wash the MIPs NPs, and template protein was extracted until there was no eluent absorbance at the wavelength of 340 nm.

NIPs NPs were prepared using the same procedure without adding IgG [17,29,57].

2.7. Sensor fabrication

The MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL solution (2 mg/mL) was prepared by dissolving MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL in ultra-pure water. Then, MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL solution (80 μL) was dispersed into MIPs NPs suspension (1 mL) under 30 min of ultrasonication. Before preparation, the alumina slurries (0.05 μm) were used to polish the GCE, followed by sequential ultrasonic cleaning in acetone and ethanol. Later, appropriate MIPs NPs suspension was dripped onto the GCE surface, and the solvent was then evaporated to obtain the imprinted GCE. Non-imprinted MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL/GCE was prepared according to the same procedure except using NIPs NPs instead of MIPs NPs and referred to as the non-imprinted sensor (Schematic 2).

2.8. Electrochemical examinations

Electrochemical measurements were performed in PBS (pH = 7.4) containing 5 mmol·L⁻¹ K₃Fe(CN)₆ and 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ KCl. The volume of solution used was 50 mL. In addition, differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was measured at a scan potential of −0.2 V to +0.6 V, a pulse amplitude of 50 mV, a pulse width of 0.05 s, and a scan rate of 100 mV/s. In cyclic voltammetry (CV) mode, scan range was from −0.2 V to +0.6 V and scan rate was 100 mV/s. Thereafter, the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis was recorded at an amplitude of 0.005 V, a potential of 0.24 V, and a frequency range of 0.01–100 kHz.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL characterizations

Fig. 1a presents the SEM images for the resultant MoS₂@N-GQDs-IL, which shows the 3D ball-like structure. Typically, such a 3D structure increased the contact area with analytes. N-GQDs coalescing or overlapping contributed to forming the interlinked conduction network, which also facilitated the quick electron transport during the electrode reaction. As observed from the N-GQDs TEM images in Fig. 1b, the as-prepared N-GQDs were the small sheets that had narrow distribution of size. N-GQDs had the average diameter of 3.75 nm, which was verified by analyzing the images of 100 individual particles. In comparison with those previously reported GQDs (0.5–2 nm in thickness and 15 nm in diameter) [63], the N-GQDs prepared in this study exhibited much lower lateral size while similar height. The representative MoS₂-IL...
TEM image is shown in Fig. 1c. As observed, the IL covalent functionalization offered a molecule layer onto those composites. Fig. 1d shows the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image for MoS$_2$@N-GQDs-IL. Clearly, there were a few layers in MoS$_2$ with layered structure, and the distance between two layers was 0.62 nm. Obviously, those quantum dots synthesized according to our approach displayed great crystallinity, with a 0.22 nm lattice spacing, which was indexed to the (1 1 2 0) graphene lattice fringes [64]. Fig. 1e suggested that, there were only 3 weak diffraction peaks of MoS$_2$@N-GQDs-IL composites, which were corresponding to (110), (100), and (002) MoS$_2$ planes, and such results indicated poor MoS$_2$ crystallinity. This phenomenon was ascribed to the fact that, incorporating N-GQDs inhibited the growth of layered MoS$_2$ crystal in the hydrothermal process. Fig. 1f shows the XRD pattern of N-GQDs. (g) UV–vis spectra for N-GQDs (I), MoS$_2$@N-GQDs-IL (II), and MoS$_2$-IL (III).
of N-GQDs, the 2 theta angles ranged from 5° to 85°, with a characteristic peak of 22.0°, which was consistent with the graphite structure of N-GQDs reported in the literature [65], indicating that N-GQDs were prepared successfully.

UV-vis spectroscopy was carried out to characterize the products. Fig. 1g revealed that, the characteristic peaks for N-GQDs were prepared successfully. MoS2@N-GQDs-IL composite and N-GQDs were observed at 330 nm, respectively. In addition, MoS2-IL had no characteristic peak at 330 nm, indicating that N-GQDs were attached to the MoS2-IL.

3.2. Electrochemical performances of the MoS2@N-GQDs-IL composites

EIS is developed as a highly efficient approach to probe into those surface-modified electrode features [66–69]. Specifically, those impedance spectra are comprised of the linear portion and the semicircle portion. Besides, the high-frequency semicircle diameter is corresponding to the resistance of electron transfer (Ret), whereas the low frequency linear part is associated with a diffusion event [70,71]. Fig. 2 shows various electrode electron transfer capacities and relevant results. Clearly, bare GCE had a low semicircle EIS at a high frequency; in addition, the linear portion was observed at a lower frequency (curve a), which suggested a quite low Ret to the [Fe(CN)6]3−/4− redox probe. Nonetheless, as observed from the EIS analysis for MoS2@N-GQDs-IL/GCE (curve d), MoS2@N-GQDs/GCE (curve c), and MoS2/GCE (curve b), nearly straight lines were seen within the Nyquist plot, indicating the little charge transfer impedance of these sensors. Further, the electrode process was mainly controlled by the diffusion process, rather than the charge transfer. In addition, the AC impedance spectrum indicated that the bare electrode (curve a) had a high charge transfer impedance, which was remarkably low in the modified sensor, demonstrating that both of them had superb electron conductivity. On the other hand, the above AC impedance spectrum strongly proved the successful modification of MoS2, MoS2@N-GQDs together with MoS2@N-GQDs-IL onto the electrode surface.

Fig. 3 illustrates the [Fe(CN)6]3−/4− behaviors on the surface of various modified electrodes and GCE. The bare GCE displayed a good reversible redox reaction (curve a). Compared with bare GCE, MoS2/GCE (curve b) showed an increased peak current due to the conductivity of MoS2. MoS2@N-GQDs/GCE (curve c) presented the higher peak current than that of MoS2/GCE (curve b), which was mainly on account of N-GQDs as a good conductivity agent to enhance the electron transfer. In comparison with MoS2@N-GQDs/GCE (curve c), MoS2/GCE (curve b), and GCE (curve a), MoS2@N-GQDs-IL/GCE (curve d) had the greatest peak current, suggesting that the ability of MoS2@N-GQDs-IL to be a sensitive element in electrochemical sensor fabrication. MoS2@N-GQDs-IL membrane had electronic performances and required surface for supporting the quick electron transfer of the redox system, which was complicated mechanistically.

3.3. MIPs NPs characterizations

3.3.1. High resolution transmission microscopy

MIPs NPs, together with the CuFe2O4 NPs, were investigated using HRTEM, so as to verify the distribution of polymer coating, surface and structure. For CuFe2O4 NPs (Fig. 4a), the spherical shape was observable, and the mean size was 160 nm. In the case of MIPs NPs, (Fig. 4b) the CuFe2O4 NPs were surrounded by an imprinted layer. The imprinted layer had a thin edge of 15 nm, which was conductive to the elution and absorption of the template protein.

3.3.2. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

FT-IR spectra of MIPs NPs before template extraction, MIPs NPs after template extraction and NIPs NPs are presented in Fig. 5. Based on those spectra for MIPs NPs as well as NIPs NPs, the Fe–O peak was at 581 cm\(^{-1}\) and the broadband was centered at 3392 cm\(^{-1}\) due to O–H stretching overlap. With regard to the FT-IR spectra for MIPs NPs (curve a, b), the peak at 1405 cm\(^{-1}\) was carboxyl, which was used to synthesize CuFe2O4 magnetic nanoparticles. Comparing the FT-IR spectra for NIPs NPs (curve c), it was discovered the characteristic absorption peak of IgG (Fig. 5) at 1590 cm\(^{-1}\) amide bond also appeared on the infrared spectra of MIPs NPs before template extraction (curve a). This phenomenon represented that IgG was successfully encapsulated, which suggested the successful preparation of imprinted complex. Compared the FT-IR spectra for MIPs NPs before template extrac-
tion (curve a), there was no 1590 cm⁻¹ band on the infrared spectra of MIPs NPs after template extraction (curve b), indicating the completeness of this extraction.

3.3.3. Electrochemical characterization

The diverse electrodes were electrochemically characterized by cyclic voltammetric measurements within the PBS containing 5 mmol/L⁻¹ [K₃Fe(CN)₆] and 0.1 mol/L⁻¹ KCl. Fig. 6 presents the CV distribution of bare GCE and different modified electrodes. As shown, bare GCE had one pair of reversible redox peaks (curve a). For the electrode modified with MIPs NPs materials before removing the template, it was difficult for the ferrocyanide anions to enter the electrode surface, due to the poor electron transport of the dense membrane. As a result, the intensity of the electrochemical signals was significantly shortened (curve b). After removing the template from the MIPs NPs matrix, the current response sharply increased, indicating that probe molecules diffused to the electrode surface through cavity regeneration (curve d), which invoked the so-called ‘gate effect’ [72]. At last, the MIPs NPs/MoS₂@NGQDs-IL/GCE after binding IgG (curve c) showed that the peak current decreased relative to curve d. This was called cavity occupation (sites of recognition) by IgG.

3.4. Experimental condition optimization

3.4.1. Effects of the concentration and volume

This study investigated influences of experimental variables on oxidation peak current (Iₚ) for the 1 ng/mL⁻¹ IgG on the imprinted GCE using the DPV mode, due to its great resolution and sensitivity. The volume and content of the as-prepared MIPs NPs dispersion that was dripped onto GCE surface greatly affected the sensor selectivity and sensitivity, as presented in Fig. 7a and b. Clearly, Iₚ remarkably changed as the volume or content of the as-prepared MIPs NPs dispersion altered. Typically, Iₚ peaked in the 10 μL MIPs NPs dispersion (3 mg/mL). As the dispersion volume and content increased, the number of IgG binding sites elevated.
As a result, the presence of a large or low amount of modified MIPs NPs on the sensor surface reduced the IgG response.

### 3.4.2. Incubation time

Incubation time serves as the vital index to evaluate the sensitivity for the MIP sensor. As a result, this study investigated how incubation time affected the MIPs NPs responses by DPV within the PBS (pH = 7.4) containing 5 mmol/L K₃[Fe(CN)₆]. Thereafter, the molecularly imprinted electrochemical sensor was used to remove those template molecules, followed by immersion with PBS buffer supplemented with 1 ng/mL IgG standard solution for various time periods. According to Fig. 8, the peak current quickly shortened within the first 8 min, which indicated that those binding sites of the sensor were able to quickly and effectively adsorb IgG molecules. After 8 min, the peak current decreased slowly and remained almost unchanged, which suggested the state of adsorption equilibrium reached by the imprinted sensor. In this study, the adsorption equilibrium peaked in 8 min, and such quick response was ascribed to the surface imprinting.

### 3.5. MIPs NPs detectability in detecting IgG

Under the optimum condition, the sensor was employed to detect IgG in PBS (pH = 7.4) after incubating it for 8 min (Fig. 9a). Fig. 9b presents a linear calibration curve for IgG oxidation within the specific content range (0.1–50 ng/mL). Typically, the MIPs NPs detectability was detected within 0.1–50 ng/mL IgG, and the determined LOD (S/N = 3) was 0.02 ng/mL. Further, that regression equation obtained was \( I(\mu A) = 1.6211 \log(C) + 9.1457 \) (R² = 0.998). In addition, the comparisons of several reported analytical techniques for detecting human IgG are listed in Table 1. As seen, the proposed method has comparable or even better limit of detection and linear concentration range. The research results suggested that this advanced molecularly imprinted electrochemical sensor can be used as an immunosensor for the determination of human IgG in serum samples.

### 3.6. Measurement reproducibility

To verify the reproducibility of our adopted approach, the calibration curves were repeated for three times by measuring the DPV response of a 1 ng/mL IgG solution using five independently electrodes under the same conditions. In addition, the values of relative standard deviation (RSD%) were compared between 2% and 5%, and the results suggested acceptable reproducibility of those electrodes.
3.7. Measurement stability

Adsorption values for the modified imprinted electrodes on 1 ng mL\(^{-1}\) IgG exhibited no substantial change among various time periods, which verified the method stability. Those modified imprinted electrodes were put into the ultra-pure water for 2 weeks under ambient temperature. Then, the DPV response was measured every three days. The IgG peak current response for the imprinted electrodes was shortened to 93.4%, which indicated the favorable long-term stability and reusability of the electrode.

3.8. Selectivity analysis

To evaluate the selectivity of the sensor in target identification, several representative interferers that were commonly used in the actual sample detection process were selected in the experiment, so as to detect the sensor selectivity. To be specific, HSA, BSA and Lyz were selected as the interferers in the experiment. Under the optimal conditions, DPV responses of the proposed MIP or NIP in different concentrations (0.5, 1, 5, 20 and 50 ng mL\(^{-1}\)) IgG solutions with or without interferences were assayed.

We have obtained the calculation method of imprinting factor and selectivity coefficient through Refs. [77,78]. The imprinting factor should be determined from the ratio of slopes of calibration plots for MIP sensor (0.354 \(\mu A/\) ng mL\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2) and NIP sensor (0.021 \(\mu A/\) ng mL\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2). The imprinting factor was 16.9. Moreover, the selectivity coefficient to each interfering compound could be determined as the ratio of the slope of the calibration plot for IgG and a given compound including HSA, BSA and Lyz (Fig. 10, Table 2). And the calculated selectivity coefficient of HSA, BSA and Lyz were 7.4, 8.4 and 9.3 respectively. Advantageously, the sensor did not respond to HSA, BSA and Lyz. Consequently, the as-prepared IgG biosensor in this study exhibited favorable selectivity for the target IgG. Such an experimental result showed that the biosensor might be used in accurately measuring IgG concentration within practical specimens.

3.9. IgG content measurements within the clinic blood samples

For healthy people, the IgG content within the serum is 8.0–16.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\).

In this study, 3 whole blood specimens were obtained from the hospital physic examination center. After complete coagulation, the blood was centrifuged at 3500 r/min for 10 min to collect the upper serum. The blood serum specimens were diluted with PBS at the ratio of 1:200000 prior to analysis. The German SIEMENS BN II automatic protein analyzer (immune nephelometry) was used to detect serum IgG, whereas the values were 25.5, 27.0, and 37.5 ng mL\(^{-1}\), separately. The above three specimens were measured with this sensor, and the IgG contents were 27.1, 28.3, and 36.7 ng mL\(^{-1}\), respectively. The results were compared with the reference values obtained by immune nephelometry method as shown in Table 3. The relative deviation (%) between the electrochemical immunosensors and immune nephelometry method ranged from –6.3% to 2.1%. Typically, the IgG contents measured using
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the as-proposed IgG sensor were in line with those protein analyzer values. Besides, human serum specimens with spiked IgG contents were adopted for validating our method. The recovery rates ranged from 94.6% to 101.8%. Table 4 present the determined results for 3 distinct specimens and the recovery rates.

4. Conclusions

This study successfully prepares the layered MoS2@N-GQDs-IL nanocomposite membrane, and first adopts it as the support matrix to construct the electrochemical sensor for IgG. As observed, the MoS2@N-GQDs-IL/GCE system has markedly increased peak current, demonstrating that the MoS2@N-GQDs-IL composite membrane plays a role as a highly effective promoter in enhancing the IgG electrochemical performance. In addition, MIPs NPs are then modified onto GCE surface to develop the new electrochemical sensor, so as directly determine the IgG level.

Notably, the developed electrochemical sensor can selectively recognize IgG, meanwhile, it shows great reliability and accuracy, quick response time, and favorable reproducibility. Our research results reveal that the developed IgG sensor is successfully used for analyzing IgG content in the human serum specimens, which may show promising clinical applications to detect the IgG content.
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