MiR-9 promotes microglial activation by targeting MCPIP1
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Microglia participate in innate inflammatory responses within the central nervous system. The highly conserved microRNA-9 (miR-9) plays critical roles in neurogenesis as well as axonal extension. Its role in microglial inflammatory responses, however, remains poorly understood. Here we identify a unique role of miR-9 in mediating the microglial inflammatory response via distinct signalling pathways. MiR-9-mediated regulation of cellular activation involved downregulated expression of the target protein, monocyte chemotactic protein-induced protein 1 (MCPIP1) that is crucial for controlling inflammation. Results indicate that miR-9-mediated cellular activation involved signalling via the NF-κB pathway, but not the β-catenin pathway.
Microglia, cells of the myeloid lineage in the central nervous system (CNS), play an important role in immune surveillance and are known to be actively involved in various neurologic pathologies. Under normal CNS homeostasis, microglia manifest a ramified phenotype with highly branched, motile cell processes.

In response to pathological stimuli, these cells undergo phenotypic changes, elicit inflammatory responses and migrate towards the toxic stimuli. Paradoxically, these cells while crucial for host defense, can also contribute to neuropathology if the inflammatory response remains unchecked. The role of the activated microglia in neuroinflammation is intrinsic in various neurodegenerative disorders such as multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s Disease, Alzheimer’s disease, NeuroAIDS, stroke and traumatic brain injury. Understanding the regulation of microglial activation is thus critical to comprehend the inflammatory processes extant in the CNS pathology.

In keeping with the emerging interest in molecular mechanisms controlling the magnitude of immune-mediated inflammatory responses in the CNS, a new and exciting aspect of gene regulation has gained attention in recent years with the discovery of mammalian microRNAs (miRNAs). MiRNAs are small, evolutionarily conserved noncoding RNAs that are derived from much larger primary transcripts. Recent studies have demonstrated that miRNAs expressed by immune cells can target proteins regulating inflammation, and consequently affect the magnitude of the immune response. MiRNAs are becoming increasingly recognized as important regulators of cell functions including glial activation and, consequently affect the magnitude of the immune response. MiRNAs are becoming increasingly recognized as important regulators of glial activation and, consequently affect the magnitude of the immune response.

In recent years, monocyte chemotactic protein-induced protein 1 (MCPIP1) was reported to control inflammation. It was shown that MCPIP1 is a negative regulator of macrophage activation and that this anti-inflammatory role was mediated via the inhibition of expression of key proinflammatory cytokines. MCPIP1 was also induced by various inflammatory stimuli including MCP-1 and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in a variety of cell types. Regulation of MCPIP1 expression by noncoding RNAs, however, has never been explored. To identify the miRNAs targeting evolutionary conserved sequences in the MCPIP1 gene (ZC3H12A), computational algorithm such as TargetScan was employed and it was found that miR-9 that is well-established role in proliferation/migration of neuronal types. Regulation of MCPIP1 expression by noncoding RNAs specifically protecting the miR-9 binding site on the endogenous MCPIP 3’-UTR or a scramble target protector oligo. As shown in Fig. 2f, in the presence of a target protector oligo specific for the anti-miR-9, miR-9 failed to downregulate the expression of MCPIP1, a phenotype that was similar to cells transfected with the anti-miR-control injected group (Fig. 1g).

MiR-9 regulates MCPIP1 expression in microglia. Having determined the role of miR-9 in microglial activation, we then sought to investigate the mechanism(s) underlying this effect. The next step was to predict the target of miR-9 using the TargetScan algorithm. MCPIP1, a CCCH zinc-finger ribonuclease (ZC3H12A) that has a conserved miR-9 binding site within its 3’-untranslated region (UTR) in most species, was identified as the putative target of miR-9 (Fig. 2a). MCPIP1 is a novel protein that plays a fundamental role in immune regulation. Intriguingly, co-transfecting miR-9 overexpressing vector and pmiR-GLO plasmid with the MCPIP1 wild-type 3’-UTR resulted in downregulation of luciferase activity, with amelioration of this effect in BV-2 cells transfected with mutated MCPIP1 3’-UTR (Fig. 2b). In addition, the inhibition of endogenous miR-9 expression in cells transfected with anti-miR-9 resulted in an increased luciferase activity (Fig. 2c). In line with this finding, miR-9 regulated the expression of both MCPIP1 mRNA (Fig. 2d) and protein (Fig. 2e) in primary rat microglia. To further confirm whether the effect of miR-9 on MCPIP1 was a direct one, primary microglia were co-transfected with miR-9 in the presence of either a target protector oligo (TP-MCPIP1) that specifically protected the miR-9 binding site on the endogenous MCPIP 3’-UTR or a scramble target protector oligo. As shown in Fig. 2f, in the presence of a target protector oligo specific for miR-9 binding site, miR-9 failed to downregulate the expression of MCPIP1, a phenotype that was similar to cells transfected with the anti-miR-9 (Fig. 2e).

**MCPiP1 as a functional target of miR-9.** To determine whether miR-9-mediated functional effects depend specifically on MCPIP1 suppression, an expression construct encoding the entire MCPIP1 coding sequence but lacking the 3’UTR, yielding an mRNA resistant to miRNA-mediated suppression, was generated. In primary rat microglia transfected with the MCPIP1 construct lacking the UTRs (MCPIP1-Δ3’UTR), and therefore not targeted by miR-9, there was a failure of miR-9 to induce inflammatory cytokines associated with microglial activation (Fig. 3a) as well as the dominant M1 phenotype (Supplementary Fig. 3). Consistent with this finding, transfection of primary microglia with the TP-MCPIP1 specific for miR-9 binding site resulted in amelioration of miR-9 mediated induction of inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 3b).

Involvement of NF-κB in miR-9-mediated microglial activation. The next step was to determine the intracellular signalling pathways involved in miR-9-induced microglial activation. The effect of miR-9 on activation of NF-κB, AP-1 and STAT-1 was further confirmed using Luminex and Nitrite assays demonstrating increased expression of the proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, tumour-necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), IL-6 & MCP-1; Fig. 1c) and NO (Fig. 1d) in primary rat microglia. Reciprocally, transducing cells with the miRNA inhibitor, miR-9-5p-locker but not miR-9-3p-locker, had the opposing effects (Fig. 1c-f; Supplementary Fig. 1c). The extent of miR-9 overexpression and knockdown was assessed by Northern blot assay (Supplementary Fig. 2). Our findings demonstrated that miR-9 was critical for the activation of microglia. It must be stated that one of the unavoidable caveats of the in vitro study involving primary microglia is their inherent ability to become activated during culturing conditions. We therefore sought to validate in vivo the role of miR-9 by microinjecting lentivirus miR-9 into the substantia nigra (SNr) of CX3CR1-GFP mice, which resulted in an increased microglial activation compared with the miR-control injected group (Fig. 1g).
Figure 1 | miR-9 regulates microglial activation. (a) In situ hybridization of mature miR-9 in primary rat microglia. Scale bar, 5 μm. (b) Primary mouse microglia infected with miR-control or miR-9 lentivirus were assessed for CD11b expression by flow cytometry using anti-mouse CD11b antibody. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four individual experiments. *P < 0.05 versus miR-control group using Student’s t-test. MiR-9-mediated effects on the expression of cytokines (c) and NO production (d) in primary rat microglia. Anti-miR-9-5p/9-3p mediated effects on cytokine expression (e) and NO production (f) in primary rat microglia. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four individual experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 versus miR-control group using Student’s t-test analysis. (g) MiR-9 induced microglial activation in vivo in CX3CR1-GFP mice. Representative images of GFP+ microglia (left panel) and the average number of processes per cell (right panel). Scale bar, 25 μm. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four individual experiments. *P < 0.05 versus miR-control group using Student’s t-test.

examined. BV-2 cells were transiently co-transfected with luciferase reporter constructs NF-κB-TK-Luc, AP-1-TK-Luc, or GAS-TK-luc and miR-9. In the presence of miR-9, there was an increased activation of NF-κB but not AP-1 or STAT-1 (Fig. 4a), and this effect was ameliorated in cells overexpressing MCPIP1 (Fig. 4b). Since miR-9 is known to target E-cadherin/β-catenin31, we next sought to examine whether overexpression with MCPIP1-Δ3’UTR could abrogate this effect. Primary rat microglia transfected with miR-9 exhibited increased the activity of β-catenin (Fig. 4c) and overexpression of MCPIP1 abrogated this effect (Fig. 4d). Further validation of miR-9-mediated nuclear translocation of NF-κB and β-catenin was demonstrated by western blot analysis (Fig. 4e). Reciprocally, cotransfection of primary microglia with the miR-9 construct and the TP-MCPIP1 oligo resulted in failure of miR-9 to induce activation of NF-κB and β-catenin (Fig. 4f). To further confirm the role of NF-κB and β-catenin as miR-9 downstream target genes, primary rat microglia were transduced with anti-miR-9-5p followed by stimulation with LPS and assessed for nuclear translocation of NF-κB (Fig. 4g) and β-catenin (Fig. 4h). As shown in Supplementary Fig. 4, cells transduced with anti miR-9-5p, failed to exhibit LPS-mediated nuclear translocation of NF-κB and β-catenin, thereby underpinning their role as miR-9 downstream target genes.

We next validated the role of downstream transcription factors (NF-κB versus β-catenin) in miR-9-mediated microglial activation in the presence or absence of LPS stimulation. As shown in Fig. 5a, pretreatment of primary rat microglia with Ilk-2 inhibitor SC514 (5 μM) significantly decreased miR-9-mediated induction of proinflammatory cytokines. Intriguingly, miR-9 potentiated the LPS-mediated activation of microglial cells and, this effect was dampened in cells pretreated with the Ilk-2 inhibitor (Fig. 5b). This was further confirmed by the fact that transfection of primary rat microglia with mutant IkB
resulted in amelioration of miR-9-mediated induction of proinflammatory cytokines (Fig. 5c). In contrast, knock down of β-catenin failed to exert significant effect on miR-9-mediated induction of proinflammatory cytokines (Fig. 5d). Taken together, these data implied that MCPIP1/NF-κB, but not the MCPIP1/β-catenin pathway was involved in miR-9-mediated activation of microglia.

Kinetics of LPS-mediated regulation of miR-9 and MCPIP1. The next step was to determine whether LPS mediated its effects via induction of miR-9 and also to assess the kinetics of the LPS response. Primary rat microglial cells exposed to LPS resulted in a biphasic response leading to early but significant and transient repression of miR-9 at 1 h (Fig. 6a), with a concomitant upregulation of MCPIP1. This was followed by
a sustained and significant upregulation of miR-9 at 24 h (Fig. 6b). LPS-mediated regulation of miR-9 and MCP1P1 was further validated in primary rat microglial cells using Northern blotting (Fig. 6c), FISH (Fig. 6d) and immunoblot analyses, respectively (Fig. 6e).

LPS-induced microglial activation involves miR-9/MCP1P1 axis. To further assess whether miR-9 could further potentiate microglial activation induced by LPS, cells were exposed to LPS in the presence of miR-9 and assessed for proinflammatory cytokines. As shown in Fig. 7a,b, miR-9 potentiated the LPS-mediated induction of cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and MCP-1 and NO). Interestingly, and as expected, LPS-induced cytokines and NO was ameliorated in cells transfected with the miR-9 inhibitor, miR-9 5P, but not 3P (Fig. 7c,d). Further validation of these findings was carried out in cells transfected with the TP-MCP1P1 oligo and exposed to LPS. As shown in Fig. 7e, the LPS-mediated activation of microglia was dampened in cells transfected with the target protector oligo specific for miR-9 binding site (TP-MCP1P1) compared with cells transfected with the scrambled oligo. Reciprocally, cells transfected with the miR-9 precursor demonstrated potentiation of the LPS-mediated activation of NF-kB/β-catenin pathways (Fig. 7f,g). Since LPS mediates translocation of NF-kB into the nucleus and thus the promoter of miR-9-1 has a binding site for NF-kB17, we next sought to determine whether miR-9 mediated potentiation of LPS-induced microglial activation was regulated via a feedback loop between NF-kB p65 and miR-9 in primary rat microglia. Pharmacological blocking of NF-kB in microglial cells resulted in reduced ability of LPS to induce miR-9 (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Though NF-kB is a known downstream target of miR-9 (ref. 17), expression of p65 remained unaltered in the presence of miR-9 (Supplementary Fig. 5b).

Since microglial activation is a common defining feature in various neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s disease and HAND, we also ascertained whether stimuli other than LPS such as the neurotoxins, HIV Tat or MPP+ could also induce miR-9 and downregulate the expression of MCP1P1. As shown in Supplementary Figs 6,7, both Tat and MPP+ induced the expression of miR-9 and downregulated the expression of MCP1P1 in primary rat microglia. In concordance with these findings, Tat and MPP+ also upregulated expression of proinflammatory cytokines, which was ameliorated in cells transfused with lentivirus expressing anti-miR-9 (Supplementary Figs 6,7).

Anti-miR-9 inhibited microglial activation in vivo. To investigate the role of miR-9 in the LPS-mediated microglial activation in vivo, C57/BL6 mice were microinjected with either the control GFP lentivirus or the GFP-lentivirus-anti-miR-9 5P in the SNR and monitored for microglial activation in response to LPS. It was however, important first to determine the efficacy of GFP-lentivirus-anti-miR-9 5P transduction in vivo. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 8, GFP expression was largely restricted within the SNR. Two weeks following lentivirus injections, mice were administered LPS daily for 4 days followed by assessment of Iba1-positive cells in the SNR. As shown in Fig. 8a and as expected, GFP-lentivirus-microinjected mice exhibited increased microglial activation in the presence of LPS as evidenced by increased Iba1-positive cells compared with saline-injected animals. Intriguingly, LPS-mediated increase in microglial activation was ameliorated in mice pre-treated with GFP-lentivirus-anti-miR-9 5P (Fig. 8a).

Further analysis of microglia in the healthy and LPS-treated brain was performed by injecting mice bilaterally with either anti-miR-control or anti-miR-9 in the SNR followed by LPS injection (ip) 2 weeks later. Five days following LPS injection microglia were isolated by Percoll gradient method and assayed by flow cytometry (CD11b+/CD45dim population). As shown in Fig. 8b, anti-miR-9 treatment resulted in decreased percentage of CD11b+/CD45dim population in the presence of LPS. The expression of miR-9 in microglia was further confirmed by the fact that LPS treatment increased miR-9 expression in sorted CD11b+/CD45dim cell population (Fig. 8c). Similar findings were also obtained in mice injected bilaterally with anti-miR-control or anti-miR-9 in the hippocampus (Supplementary Fig. 9). These findings thus underpin the role of miR-9 in regulating the LPS-mediated microglial activation in vivo.

Discussion

Our study provides new insights into the role of miR-9 in regulating microglial activation via the common suppression of MCP1P1 and the distinct downstream activation of NF-kB, but not the β-catenin pathway. Modulation of miR-9/MCP1P1 can be envisioned as a therapeutic intervention for dampening microglial activation in inflammatory neurodegenerative conditions.

MicroRNAs (miRs) have emerged as key regulators of the post-transcriptional control of gene expression. Previous reviews have elegantly described the pathways by which mature miRs are produced from their respective pri-miRs via nuclear cleavage and cytoplasmic processing32. Mature miRs can mediate regulation of...
Figure 4 | MiR-9-mediated activation of NF-κB and β-catenin involves suppression of MCPIP1. (a) BV-2 cells transfected with NF-κB-TK-Luc, AP-1-TK-Luc or GAS-TK-Luc constructs were cotransfected with miR-9 for 24 h followed by reporter gene activity analysis using Luciferase assay. (b) MCPIP1 Δ3'UTR overexpression inhibited NF-κB activation. (c) BV-2 cells transfected with Topflash or Fopflash constructs were co-transfected with miR-9 for 24 h followed by reporter gene activity analysis using Luciferase assay. (d) MCPIP1 Δ3'UTR overexpression inhibited activation of β-catenin. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four individual experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 versus microglial transfected with miR-control using Student’s t-test. (e) Transfection of microglia with MCPIP1 Δ3'UTR construct resulted in inhibition of miR-9 mediated nuclear translocation of NF-κB and β-catenin. (f) Transfection of microglia with TP-MCPIP1 oligo resulted in inhibition of miR-9-mediated nuclear translocation of NF-κB and β-catenin. (g and h) Densitometric analysis of NFκB and beta catenin from four separate experiments. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four individual experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 versus microglial transfected with miR-control and vector group; ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001 versus microglial transfected with miR-9 and vector group using one-way analysis of variance followed by Holm-Sidak test.
Figure 5 | NF-κB but not the β-catenin pathway plays a role in miR-9-mediated activation of microglia. (a,b) Primary rat microglia were transduced with miR-control or miR-9 lentivirus for 4 days and treated with Ikk-2 inhibitor-SC514 (5 μM) for 12 h. Supernatant fluids were collected and assayed by Luminex Assay for production of cytokines. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 versus microglial transfected with miR-Control group; ###P<0.001 versus microglia transfected with miR-9 group using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Holm-Sidak test. (c,d) NF-κB but not β-catenin was involved in miR-9-induced microglial activation. Non: nonsense short interfering RNAs. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. of four individual experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 versus microglial transfected with miR-control and vector group; ###P<0.001 versus microglia transfected with miR-9 and vector group using one-way ANOVA followed by Holm-Sidak test.

gene expression at the post transcriptional level by binding to either the specific sites in the 3′UTR of target mRNAs or in the coding regions of their target mRNAs, resulting in degradation or translational block, depending on the complementarity between the miRNAs and their binding sites.

MiR-9, a highly conserved microRNA, is expressed predominantly in the CNS of the developing embryo exhibiting a pro-differentiation function. MiR-9 is encoded by three distinct genomic loci miR-9-1,9-2 and 9-3 that give rise to mature miR-9 species with identical sequences. A recent study has first time demonstrated that LPS induced the expression of miR-9 in primary microglia inhibited for miR-9 activity. Briefly, knocking down of miR-9 activity via the miR-9 inhibitor or

demonstrating upregulated expression of miR-9 in LPS-exposed monocytes. Consistent with this finding, our study also demonstrated that exposure to LPS resulted in induced expression of pre-miR-9-1 at 6 and 12 h (2.55- and 3.85-fold), respectively (Supplementary Fig. 11). There was no change in pre-miR-9-2/3 following LPS treatment. On the basis of this, the focus of our study was on the mature miR-9. Our findings for the first time demonstrate that LPS induced the expression of miR-9 in primary cultured microglia and also regulated microglial activation, both in vitro and in vivo in response to inflammatory stimuli. One possible explanation for the expression of miR-9 in untreated, cultured microglial primary cells and cell lines could be attributed to the inherent ability of these cells to become activated during cultured conditions. Taken together, our findings implicate miR-9 as a regulator of microglial activation via its suppression of the target anti-inflammatory gene MCPIP1. In pathological events such as brain inflammation, when miR-9 is upregulated, treatment with anti-miR-9 may have a beneficial effect by dampening microglial activation, thus leading to reduction of neuroinflammation. Indeed, we observed that microinjecting anti-miR-9 into the CNS resulted in deactivation and suppression of LPS-induced microglial activation.

Another novel finding of this study was that MCPIP1, a novel anti-inflammatory molecule was a target of miR-9-mediated microglial activation, and these findings were confirmed in primary microglia inhibited for miR-9 activity. Briefly, knocking down of miR-9 activity via the miR-9 inhibitor or
MCPIP1-target protector oligo approaches, resulted in failure of miR-9 to activate the microglia, thereby underpinning MCPIP1 as a downstream target of miR-9 mediated induction of inflammatory cytokines. These data lend credence to previous reports indicating the involvement of MCPIP1 signalling in regulating inflammatory responses via its functioning as an RNase to promote the degradation of inflammatory mRNAs such as IL-6 and IL-1β27 and owing to its ability to function as a deubiquitinase that negatively regulates JNK and NF-κB signalling by targeting TNF receptor-associated factors29. In addition, elegant studies by Liang et al.30 have also demonstrated that MCPIP1 can negatively regulate inflammatory responses as evidenced by the fact that there is increased microglial activation in MCPIP1 KO compared with the WT mice. In this study, MCPIP1 deficiency resulted in increased infarct size following ischaemic stroke30. Consistent with this study, our findings also suggest the role of MCPIP1 as an anti-inflammatory factor. While Liang et al.30 observed an increased expression of MCPIP1 that was time dependent following exposure to LPS, our findings indicated a biphasic response to LPS. This discrepancy could be attributed to the strain and concentration of LPS and the conditions used in the two studies. In our study, lower concentration of LPS (10 ng ml⁻¹) demonstrated a sustained increase in the expression of MCPIP1 (Supplementary Fig. 12).

Our findings suggested that transduction of primary microglia with miR-9 resulted in the upregulation of microglial activation markers CD11b and proinflammatory cytokines/chemokines (IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6 and MCP-1). Intriguingly, miR-9 further potentiated LPS-induced microglial activation as evidenced by increased cytokine production. Reciprocally, inhibition of miR-9 activity via either the anti-miR-9 or the target protector oligo of MCPIP1 resulted in a dampened inflammatory response in the presence of LPS. These findings further underscore the role of miR-9/MCPIP1 axis in mediating LPS-induced generation of inflammatory cytokines. It must be noted that miR-9 was not the only pathway of LPS-mediated activation of microglia since blocking this signalling pathway did not fully abrogate the cytokine response. A possible explanation for this could be that activation of various other signalling pathways such as the TLRs could be functional in the presence of LPS. Another interesting finding of this study was that diverse activation stimuli such as HIV Tat or MPP⁺ also mediated microglial activation via the miR-9/MCPIP1 axis thereby underscoring the role of this pathway as a common mechanism of neuroinflammation involving microglia.

Using both pharmacological and genetic approaches, we demonstrated that NF-κB activation was involved in miR-9-mediated microglial activation since Ikκ-2 inhibitor and transfection of microglia with mutant Ikκ ameliorated miR-9-mediated...
microglial activation. Our results are in agreement with previous reports that NF-kB activation was involved in microglial activation. Another interesting finding herein was the observation that inhibition of NF-kB resulted in failure of LPS to induce miR-9 expression. It can thus be postulated that there was a positive feedback loop between NF-kB activation and miR-9 expression since the pre-miR-9-1 promoter has a NF-kB binding site. MCPIP1 is known to exert its action by inhibition of activation of NF-kB. However, whether miR-9 regulates NF-kB p65 activation is unclear though NF-kB1 (p50/105) was a direct target of miR-9 (ref. 17). Herein we report that transduction of microglial cells with miR-9 resulted in the downregulation of MCPIP1 levels and nuclear translocation of NF-kB leading to microglial activation.

These findings were also validated in vivo wherein a genetic approach using the lenti-anti-miR-9 was employed. Microinjection of lenti-anti-miR-9 into the hippocampus/SNr of mice followed by LPS injection, resulted in restoration of microglial activation thus validating the role of miR-9 in microglial activation.

In summary, miR-9 plays a crucial role in microglial activation via suppression of MCPIP1 expression and subsequent downstream activation of NF-kB but not the -catenin pathway. MiR-9/MCPIP1 axis thus mediates a regulatory pathway critical for eliciting innate immune responses in microglia. Specific blocking of the miR-9 could be considered as a potential therapeutic target for treating neuroinflammatory conditions involving microglia.

Methods
Reagents. The miR-9 overexpression has-miR-9-1 and miR-9 control and miR-9 inhibitor hasa-miR-9-5p-locker hasa-miR-9-3p-locker and miR-9-locker-control plasmids and lentiviruses were purchased from Biontex (San Diego), HIV-1 Tat1-72 was ordered from the UK College of Medicine, Lexington, KY, LPS (Escherichia coli 055:B5), MPP and Ikk-2 inhibitor-SC514 were purchased from Sigma Chemicals.

Animals. C57BL/6N mice (male, 6–8 weeks) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories, Inc. (Wilmington, MA). C57CR1-GFP homozygous mice were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbour, Maine) and function by targeted deletion of CX3CR1 and GFP reporter insertion. These mice are known to exhibit a rapid microglial response injury as shown by Davalos et al.,13 as well as by injury as shown by Davalos et al.14. These findings were also validated in vivo wherein a genetic approach using the lenti-anti-miR-9 was employed. Microinjection of lenti-anti-miR-9 into the hippocampus/SNr of mice followed by LPS injection, resulted in restoration of microglial activation thus validating the role of miR-9 in microglial activation.

In summary, miR-9 plays a crucial role in microglial activation via suppression of MCPIP1 expression and subsequent downstream activation of NF-kB but not the -catenin pathway. MiR-9/MCPIP1 axis thus mediates a regulatory pathway critical for eliciting innate immune responses in microglia. Specific blocking of the miR-9 could be considered as a potential therapeutic target for treating neuroinflammatory conditions involving microglia.

Cell cultures. Primary microglia cells were obtained from 1 to 3-day-old C57BL/6N or Sprague–Dawley newborn pups from Charles River Laboratories, Inc. After digestion and dissociation of the dissected brain cortices in Hank’s buffered salt solution supplemented with trypsin (1 mg ml⁻¹), mixed glial cultures were prepared by resuspending the cell suspension in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium supplemented with 10% heated inactivated fetal bovine serum and 10 μg ml⁻¹ gentamicin. Cells were plated at 20 × 10⁵ cells per flask density on 75 cm² cell culture flasks. The cell medium was replaced each 5 days and, after the first medium change, M-CSF (0.25 ng ml⁻¹) macrophage colony-stimulating factor; PeptoTech, Rocky Hill, NJ) was added to the flasks to promote microglia proliferation. After achieving 90% confluence mixed glial cultures were subjected to shaking at 37°C at 220 g for 2 h, to promote microglia detachment from the flasks. The cell medium, containing the released microglia cells, was collected from each flask and centrifuged at 100 g for 5 min to collect cells and plated cell culture plates.
BV-2 immortalized cells (a kind gift from Dr Sanjay Maggirwar, University of Rochester, Medical Center, Rochester, NY) were grown and routinely maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (4.5 g l\(^{-1}\) glucose; 2% fetal bovine serum; 50 mg ml\(^{-1}\) gentamycin) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO\(_2\). BV-2 cells were used up to passage 20. Both primary rat and mouse microglial cells were used to examine the role of miR-9 in microglial activation in vitro study. For in vivo assessment of microglial activation, primary mouse microglial cells were used. Only for the luciferase study, BV-2 cell line was used to examine the regulation of miR-9 on the transcription factors.

**Flow cytometry.** Primary mouse microglia were assayed for surface antigens by flow cytometry according to a previous report\(^{42}\). Briefly, Fc receptors were blocked with anti-mouse CD16/CD32 antibody (1:100, eBioscience). Microglial cells were stained with Alexa Fluor 488 Rat anti-mouse isotype IgG or CD11b (1:100, BD Pharmingen). LSR II (BD Biosciences) was used for fluorescence acquisition and data were analysed with FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences). For each antibody, gating was determined based on the appropriate negative isotype stained controls.

**Cytokine and chemokine analysis by Luminex.** Expression of cytokines/chemokines in supernatants collected from treated and untreated microglia was measured using MilliplexTM MAP kit (Rat Cytokine/Chemokine Magnetic Bead Panel) from Millipore as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, this is a bead-based suspension array using the Luminex MAP technology in which fluorescent-coded beads have cytokine capture antibodies on the bead surface to bind the proteins. Detailed information about the Nitrite assay can be found in Supplementary Information online.

**Nitrite assay.** Nitrite levels, a stable end product resulting from the reaction of NO with molecular oxygen, were determined in rat primary microglia by adding 50 μl of cell culture medium with 50 μl of Griess reagent (0.1% naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride, 1% sulphanilamide, 2.5% phosphoric acid, all from Sigma) in a 96-well plate. The absorbance at 550 nm was measured by Synergy Mx fluorescence plate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments) and nitrite concentrations were calculated using a standard curve with sodium nitrite (NaNO\(_2\), Sigma, level of sensitivity, 0.4 μM).

**Luciferase activity assays.** The 804 bp human MCPIP1 3'UTR containing the putative miR-9 target site was PCR amplified from human genomic DNA by using the forward 5'-ctgctagcctcgagtctaggctgcctgtggctggcaagggcagc-3' and reverse 5'-gtcgggaaggaaaccccaacctgtttgatacgtgagttggttgcttcctcgactagtctc-3' primers and the DNA fragment was cloned into the Xhol and XbaI sites on the 3' end of the luc2 gene on the pmirGLO vector. For pmirGLO-MCPIP1 3'UTR-miR-9-target-mutant vector, the miR-9 target site (cccaaa) within the MCPIP1 3'UTR was changed to ctttgtt by PCR mutagenesis with primers MCPIP1-miR-9-TF-F, 5'-gtcgggaaggaaaccccaacctgtttgatacgtgagttggttgcttcctcgactagtctc-3' and MCPIP1-miR-9-TF-R, 5'-cagaccacaactccatactaatcaaaagtgggttgcttcctcgactagtctc-3'. Briefly, the BV-2 cells were transfected with miR-9 overexpression pLV-(hsa-miR-9-1) vector and the target plasmid pmirGLO-MCPIP1 3'UTR-miR-9-target-mutant in a molar ratio 50:1. The miRNA control plv-(miR-control) plasmid was used as a negative control. The luciferase activity was determined 24 h post transfection and the reporter assay was followed the manufacturer’s protocol (Promega). Renilla luciferase activity was normalized to firefly luciferase and expressed as a percentage of the control (\(n = 3\), performed in five wells each time).

**Oligos and plasmid transfection.** The LNA control (Scramble sequence: TAA-CAAGCTTATACGCCC; catalogue number:480001-00) and custom-designed MCPIP1-Target Protector (TP-MCPIP1; sequence: TGTTATCTTGGTGTGTTAG) were purchased from Exicons (Woburn, MA). TP-MCPIP1 oligo selectively protects MCPiP from being targeted by miR-9, without affecting endogenous levels of miR-9 or its interaction with other mRNA targets. Microglia were transfected with 20 nmol l\(^{-1}\) short interfering RNAs specific for β-catenin (GAACAGAGCC GGCAGCAUU) were obtained from Thermo Scientific Dharmacon RNAi Technologies (Accell SMART Pool), Flag/Flag-MCPIP1, Vector/mutant IBER plasmids were transfected using the SIMPORTERTM (Millipore, Temecula, CA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The knockdown and transfect efficiency

---

**Figure 8** Effect of miR-9 inhibitor on LPS-induced microglial activation in vivo. (a) Effect of anti-miR-9 on microgliosis induced by LPS in the SNr. Representative immunostained image for Iba-1 in SNr of mice injected with saline/LPS. Scale bar, 200 μm (upper panel); 100 μm (middle panel); 50 μm (lower panel). Stereology of Iba-1-positive microglia in SNr. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. *N = 4 animals per group. \(^*P<0.05\) versus anti-miR group treated with saline, \(^{\#}P<0.05\) versus anti-miR-control treated with LPS using one-way analysis of variance followed by Holm-Sidak test. (b) Effect of anti-miR-9 on the CD11b+/CD45 dim population of microglia isolated from mouse brain by Percoll gradient method and assessed by flow cytometric analysis. (c) Effect of LPS on the expression of miR-9 in microglia isolated by Percoll gradient method. Mice were injected with LPS (1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) for 5 days. Total RNA isolated from microglia was subjected to real-time PCR analysis using primer sets specific for mature miR-9. All the data are indicated as mean ± s.d. \(N = 10\) animals per group. \(^{*}P<0.05\) versus saline group using Student’s t-test.
Western blotting. Treated cells were lysed using the Mammalian Cell Lysis kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Equal amounts of cell proteins were electrohoresed on sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel (12%) under reducing conditions followed by transfer to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. The blots were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in PBS. The western blots were then probed with antibodies recognizing the McPipi1 (1:1,000, Santa Cruz), β-actin (1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich) p65 NF-κB (1:200, Cell Signalling), β-catenin (1:200, Cell Signalling). Laminin (1:1,000, Santa Cruz) The secondary antibodies were hors eradis horseradish peroxidase-conjugated to goat anti mouse/rabbit IgG (1:5,000) as described previously.43

Full blots can be found in Supplementary Fig. 14.

Real-time PCR. For quantitative analysis of mRNA expression, real-time PCR was performed with the use of the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The sequences for the amplification of rat McPipi1 were:

| Sequence                          | forward (5'-3') | reverse (5'-3') |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 5'GGTCCGTGGCTTGTGGTTAT            | TGCCTATCACAGACCAGCAC-3' (reverse) | mouse miR-9-3: 5'-GGAGTCCGTGTGTCTGTGT-3' |
| were as follows: 5'-GCCAAAGGGTATCATCATC-3' (forward) | 5'-GGGATCCGTGGCTTGTGGTTAT3'- (reverse) | for the amplification of |
| mouse-miR-9-2: 5'-AAGCGCTAAAACGCGGCAAGTA-3' | 5'-GCCAAAGGGTATCATCATC-3' (reverse) | different |
| Specific primers and probes for mature miR-9 and snRNA RNU6B were obtained from Applied Biosystems. The primer sequences for the amplification of different miRs as follows: mouse-miR-9-1: 5'-GGTCCGTGGCTGATCCATCTTTT-3' (forward) and 5'-GCCAGTTGATGGGTTATT-3' (reverse); mouse-miR-9-2: 5'-AAGCGCTAAAACGCGGCAAGTA-3' (forward) and 5'-GCCAAAGGGTATCATCATC-3' (reverse); mouse-miR-9-3: 5'-GGAGTCCGTGTGTCTGTGT-3' (forward) and 5'-GCCAAAGGGTATCATCATC-3' (reverse). All reactions were run in triplicate. The amount of miR-9 was obtained by normalizing to snRNA RNU6B and relative to control as previously44.

In situ hybridization and immunostaining. Primary rat microglia (d12 in vitro) or mouse brain sections were fixed and were prehybridized in hybridization buffer (50% formamide, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 0.25% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 10% Dextran sulphate) for 10 min. Sections were incubated with a blocking buffer containing 10% NGS in PBS for 1 h at room temperature followed by addition of rabbit anti-Iba-1 (1:250, Wako) antibody and incubated overnight at 4°C. Next day, sections were washed followed by incubation with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (1:200) in immunoblocking buffer at RT for 1 h and incubated with an avidin-biotin-peroxidase kit for 1 h. Horseradish peroxidase reaction product was visualized using DAB peroxidase substrate kit. Every section from each mouse was used to quantify Iba-1-positive cells in the midbrain and hippocampus. The immunostaining signals were quantitatively analysed using the Optical Fractionator method with Microbrightfield Stereo-Investigator software (Stereo Investigator software, Microbrightfield, VT, USA). The total number of Iba-1 microglia in entire area of SNpc was counted from four or five samples per group.

Immunostaining and image analysis. For Iba-1 immunostaining, sections encompassing the entire midbrain were sectioned at 12 μm by a cryostat. Slides were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 45 min at room temperature followed by permeabilization with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS followed by incubation with H2O2 for 10 min. Sections were incubated with a blocking buffer containing 10% NGS in PBS for 1 h at room temperature followed by addition of rabbit anti-Iba-1 (1:250; Wako) antibody and incubated overnight at 4°C. Next day, sections were washed followed by incubation with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (1:200) in immunoblocking buffer at RT for 1 h and incubated with an avidin-biotin-peroxidase kit for 1 h. Horseradish peroxidase reaction product was visualized using DAB peroxidase substrate kit. Every section from each mouse was used to quantify Iba-1-positive cells in the midbrain and hippocampus. The immunostaining signals were quantitatively analysed using the Optical Fractionator method with Microbrightfield Stereo-Investigator software (Stereo Investigator software, Microbrightfield, VT, USA). The total number of Iba-1 microglia in entire area of SNpc was counted from four or five samples per group.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance followed by Holm–Sidak test (SigmaPlot 11.0). The appropriate test was clarified in the figure legends. Results were judged statistically significant if P<0.05 by analysis of variance.
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