Circulating levels of mitochondrial uncoupling protein 2, but not prohibitin, are lower in humans with type 2 diabetes and correlate with brachial artery flow-mediated dilation
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Abstract

Background: Excessive reactive oxygen species from endothelial mitochondria in type 2 diabetes individuals (T2DM) may occur through multiple related mechanisms, including production of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (mtROS), inner mitochondrial membrane (Δψm) hyperpolarization, changes in mitochondrial mass and membrane composition, and fission of the mitochondrial networks. Inner mitochondrial membrane proteins uncoupling protein-2 (UCP2) and prohibitin (PHB) can favorably impact mtROS and mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψm). Circulating levels of UCP2 and PHB could potentially serve as biomarker surrogates for vascular health in patients with and without T2DM.

Methods: Plasma samples and data from a total of 107 individuals with (N = 52) and without T2DM (N = 55) were included in this study. Brachial artery flow mediated dilation (FMD) was measured by ultrasound. ELISA was performed to measure serum concentrations of PHB1 and UCP2. Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured from isolated leukocytes using JC-1 dye.

Results: Serum UCP2 levels were significantly lower in T2DM subjects compared to control subjects (3.01 ± 0.34 vs. 4.11 ± 0.41 ng/mL, P = 0.04). There were no significant differences in levels of serum PHB. UCP2 levels significantly and positively correlated with FMD (r = 0.30, P = 0.03) in T2DM subjects only and remained significant after multivariable adjustment. Within T2DM subjects, serum PHB levels were significantly and negatively correlated with UCP2 levels (r = −0.35, P = 0.03).

Conclusion: Circulating UCP2 levels are lower in T2DM patients and correlate with endothelium-dependent vasodilation in conduit vessels. UCP2 could be biomarker surrogate for overall vascular health in patients with T2DM and merits additional investigation.
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Background

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing worldwide with an estimated 425 million people with DM in 2017 [1]. Diabetes mellitus type 2 (T2DM) is a chronic metabolic disease associated with dysfunction and failure of multiple organ systems (e.g. heart, renal, visual, and vascular) [2]. The majority of morbidity and mortality in patients with T2DM is secondary to large and small vessel vascular disease. Clinical manifestations are preceded by development of vascular endothelial dysfunction. While multiple factors contribute to endothelial dysfunction in T2DM, increased production of reactive oxygen species from mitochondria (mtROS) significantly contribute to vascular endothelial dysfunction [3–6].

Excessive endothelial mtROS production in T2DM occurs through multiple related mechanisms, including inner mitochondrial membrane hyperpolarization, changes in mitochondrial mass and membrane composition, and fission of the mitochondrial networks [7–12]. Partial mitochondrial membrane depolarization of arterioles improve endothelium-dependent vasodilation and NO bioavailability in arterioles from patients with T2DM or arterioles from health individuals exposed acutely to abnormal glucose levels [7, 8, 13]. These data suggest proteins involved with regulating mitochondrial membrane potential could potentially serve as biomarker surrogates for vascular health in T2DM [7, 14]. This concept is further supported by data suggesting mitochondrial-derived proteins and nucleotides may also serve play paracrine and endocrine-like signaling roles regulating vascular health [15, 16]. Overexpression of two proteins that regulate mitochondrial membrane potential, uncoupling protein-2 (UCP2) and prohibitin (PHB), reduce mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψm) [17–19]. Prohibitin proteins assemble super-complexes of electron transport chain proteins allowing for efficient flux of electrons and reducing levels of mtROS production [20]. UCP2 overexpression also reduces mtROS production, reduces atherosclerosis formation in animal models, improves coronary artery endothelium-dependent vasodilation, and is associated with reduce risk of myocardial infarction in humans [21–23]. These data suggest UCP2 and PHB could be potentially be useful biomarker surrogates for human vascular endothelial health but their utility in this scenario remains unknown. The main objective of this pilot study is to determine whether circulating levels of UCP2 and PHB correlate with brachial artery flow-mediated dilation (FMD%), a well-accepted measure of in vivo human endothelial function and surrogate marker of cardiovascular risk [24, 25], in individuals with and without type 2 diabetes.

Methods

Subjects

107 individuals with (N = 52) and without type 2 diabetes (N = 55) consecutive individuals, ages 35–70 without prevalent cardiovascular disease, were recruited based on inclusion and exclusion criteria as previously described, agreed to banking of their study information and blood and tissue samples under a process approved by the Medical College of Wisconsin’s Institutional Research Board, and had available serum samples for analyses [7]. The diagnosis of T2DM was made by the subject’s primary provider based on standard American Diabetes Association criteria at the time of enrollment. Samples and data from sixty-four subjects from prior published studies were included in this new analysis [7]. Individuals without T2DM were healthy individuals without traditional cardiovascular risk factors (non-smokers, no hypertension, no elevated lipids). Any potential subject with an elevate baseline plasma creatinine (> 1.5 mg/dl in men and > 1.4 mg/dl in women), a history of cardiovascular disease (including clinical history of stroke, peripheral vascular disease, myocardial infarction, or documented ≥50% stenosis of ≥ 1 major epicardial coronary artery), a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, a history of a major chronic illness, or a history of cigarette smoking within 1 year of study enrollment were excluded. All subjects had their height and weight measured and their heart rates and blood pressures measured in triplicate. Plasma and serum samples obtained from these subjects and stored at -80C. Mononuclear cells were isolated for measurement of Δψm as previously described [9].

Measurement of brachial artery reactivity

Brachial artery reactivity is a well-validated measure of systemic endothelial function in humans that is known to be predictive of future adverse cardiovascular events and modifiable by therapies know to impact cardiovascular risk [24–26]. Brachial artery endothelial function was measured using high resolution ultrasound [Logiq 500Pro (GE) or MicroMaxx (Sonosite)] as previously described [27–31]. Briefly, following an overnight fast, subjects arrived at MCW’s Translation Research Unit and were allowed to rest in a temperature controlled, quiet room for 15 min prior measurements. A blood pressure cuff was placed below the cubital fossa of the dominant arm that was supinated and abducted approximately 80° from the subject’s torso. The brachial artery was imaged 1–3 cm cephalad from the cubital fossa. Following acquisition of the resting brachial artery diameter and flow velocities, the blood pressure cuff was inflated to the greater of 50 mmHg above systolic pressure or 200 mmHg and flow occlusion verified. After 5 min of cuff occlusion, the blood
Pressure cuff was deflated and the brachial artery diameters and hyperemic flow velocities were measured as previously described [29]. All analyses were performed by trained technicians using established software (Brachial Analyzer, Medical Imaging Applications LLC) and our intra- and inter-observer reproducibility data has been previously reported [27]. Endothelial function is reported as absolute flow-mediated dilation from baseline diameter (FMDmm).

**Measurement of mitochondrial membrane potential**
Measurement of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \psi_m$) was performed in mononuclear cells isolated from all subjects using a cationic dye, 5,5′,6,6′-tetrachloro-1,1′,3,3′-tetraethylbenzamidazol-carboxyanine (JC-1; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif) and applying the Nernst equation previously described [7, 9]. Reproducibility measurements for $\Delta \psi_m$ are as previously reported and all measurements were made in triplicate and averaged to derive the point estimate of $\Delta \psi_m$ for each subject. The membrane potential was calculated by using the Nernst equation: $\Delta \psi_m = -60 \times \log(JC-1 \text{ Red Fluorescence Intensity}/JC-1 \text{ Green Fluorescence Intensity}) \times 0.0417$ $- 30$ mV. The 0.0417 is used to approximate the percent cell volume of mitochondria in endothelial cells [32], and 30 mV are subtracted to account for the plasma membrane potential [33].

**Measurement of glucose, prohibitin, and UCP2**
The plasma glucose was run by Wisconsin Diagnostics Laboratory using a hexokinase assay. Sandwich ELISA (Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay) kits were used to determine the quantitative levels of PHB (LS-F6361, LifeSpan Biosciences, Seattle, WA and UCP2 (LS-F21119, LifeSpan Biosciences, Seattle, WA) in human serum samples. All the reagents and standards were prepared following the manufacturers’ instructions. In each plate, standards and samples were run in duplicates. To test for variation between the plates, four samples (two T2DM and two healthy control) were run as inter-assay controls. The inter-assay correlations between samples run on different plates for PHB were 0.85 in T2DM subjects and 0.90 in healthy controls. Similarly, for UCP2, the inter-assay correlation values were 0.82 in T2DM subjects and 0.77 in healthy control subjects. The loaded plates were read at 450 nm in a SpectraMax M5e Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and optical density (O.D.) was measured. The O.D. readings for each standard and sample was averaged between the duplicates. For the samples that were used as inter assay controls, the readings were averaged across the two plates.

**Statistical analyses**
Statistical analyses were performed using R (Version 3.4.0) [34] and SPSS 24.0 (IBM). Missing data values for prohibitin (N=8), UCP2 (N=2), SBP (N=2), DBP (N=2), HR (N=2) were imputed using baseline characteristics (age, sex, ethnicity, history of diabetes, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, resting heart rate, fasting glucose, cholesterol levels) with UCP2 and PHB levels and pooling five imputations. The imputed variables are reported as mean ± SEM while all other continuous variables are reported as mean ± SD. Analyses were done on the imputed dataset. Baseline characteristics were compared between T2DM and non-T2DM controls using unpaired t-tests, Mann–Whitney U tests, Chi square tests, or Fisher’s Exact Test as appropriate. Measurements of endothelial function, $\Delta \psi_m$, prohibitin, and UCP2 were similarly compared between groups. Pearson r correlation coefficients were calculated to determine if there were associations between measures of endothelial function, $\Delta \psi_m$, and risk factors with circulating levels of prohibitin, while Spearman’s rho were used to determine associations with UCP2 (levels not normally distributed). Age- and sex-adjust linear regression models were created to determine the independent predictive value of the mitochondrial proteins and $\Delta \psi_m$ for vascular function measurements that showed significant correlations. Additional models including fasting glucose, BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$, and systolic blood pressure $> 130$ mmHg were performed to determine the independent predictive value of mitochondrial proteins and $\Delta \psi_m$ that were predictive in the age- and sex-adjusted models. P values $< 0.05$ were considered significant.

**Results**

**Subjects**
The baseline characteristics of the study population is included in Table 1. When comparing T2DM subjects to controls, T2DM subjects had a significantly higher mean body mass index, age, systolic blood pressure, resting heart rate, fasting glucose, and triglyceride levels. Total and LDL cholesterol levels were lower in T2DM subjects likely due to great use of HMG-CoA reductase medications in T2DM patients 51% were on HMG-CoA inhibitors. Full data on medication use in the T2DM subject group is included in Table 1. There were no significant differences in circulating UCP2 levels for those on HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (3.2±2.3 ng/mL) compared to those not on a medication in this class (2.8±2.3 ng/mL, P = 0.50). Similarly there was no differences in circulating PHB levels between those on HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (12.9±4.3 ng/mL) compared to those not on a medication from this class (14.6±5.8 ng/mL, P = 0.24). In addition,
there was no significant difference in UCP2 (3.03 ± 2.25 vs. 2.92 ± 2.90 ng/mL, P = 0.90) or PHB levels (13.6 ± 4.4 vs. 14.0 ± 7.5 ng/mL, P = 0.81) for T2DM subjects on metformin versus those not on metformin. No significant differences in UCP2 (3.68 ± 2.25 vs. 2.72 ± 2.15 ng/mL, P = 0.19) or PHB (12.8 ± 5.6 vs. 14.1 ± 4.9 ng/mL, P = 0.19) levels were seen comparing T2DM subjects on sulfonylureas versus those not on sulfonylureas. No control subjects were on any vasoactive or cardioprotective medications.

Comparing FMDmm and nitroglycerin-mediated vasodilation in T2DM versus control subjects
The brachial flow-mediated dilation (FMDmm) was significantly lower in diabetic subjects compared with non-diabetic subjects (0.12 ± 0.06 vs. 0.26 ± 0.06 mm; P < 0.0001). No differences were seen in resting and peak flow velocities between T2DM subjects and controls. In the subjects that agreed to nitroglycerin use (N = 39 controls, N = 49 T2DM subjects), nitroglycerin-mediated dilation (NMDmm) was also lower in T2DM subjects (0.68 ± 0.25 vs. 0.85 ± 0.25 mm, P < 0.001) (Table 2).

Comparisons and associations between mitochondrial measurements
Serum UCP2 levels were significantly lower in T2DM subjects compared to controls (3.01 ± 0.34 vs. 4.11 ± 0.41 ng/mL, P = 0.04, Fig. 1a). There were no significant differences in levels of serum PHB

---

**Table 1 Baseline characteristics**

|                        | Total study population (N = 107) | Healthy controls (N = 52) | Type 2 DM (N = 55) | P-value T2DM vs. control |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)            | 51 ± 10                         | 46 ± 9                    | 56 ± 8            | < 0.001                 |
| Sex (% women)          | 55.5                            | 58.2                      | 55.8              | 0.80                    |
| Race                   |                                 |                           |                   | 0.58                    |
| Caucasian (%)          | 82.2                            | 81.8                      | 82.7              |                         |
| African American (%)   | 14.0                            | 11.7                      | 15.4              |                         |
| Other (%)              | 0.9                             | 1.8                       | 1.9               |                         |
| Not reported (%)       | 2.8                             | 3.6                       | 1                 |                         |
| Hispanic (%)           | 1.9                             | 3.7                       | 0                 | 0.50                    |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)| 31.9 ± 8.0                      | 28.3 ± 6.2                | 35.7 ± 8.0        | < 0.001                 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 126 ± 17                     | 120 ± 2                   | 133 ± 12          | < 0.001                 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 72 ± 10                      | 72 ± 1                    | 72 ± 1            | 0.85                    |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | 67 ± 11                         | 65 ± 1                    | 69 ± 1            | 0.018                   |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 179 ± 39                     | 190 ± 40                  | 167 ± 35          | 0.002                   |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 102 ± 35                      | 115 ± 34                  | 88 ± 33           | < 0.001                 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 53 ± 15                       | 55 ± 16                   | 50 ± 14           | 0.10                    |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)  | 127 ± 79                       | 101 ± 58                  | 154 ± 90          | < 0.001                 |
| Glucose (mg/dL)        | 104 ± 38                       | 82 ± 10                   | 127 ± 44          | < 0.001                 |
| Aspirin (% use)        | 21.5                           | 1.9                       | 40                | < 0.01                  |
| ACE inhibitors/ARBs (% use) | 32.7                             | 0                          | 63.6              | < 0.01                  |
| HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (% use) | 26.1                           | 0                          | 50.9              | < 0.01                  |
| β-blockers (% use)     | 9.3                             | 0                          | 18.2              | < 0.01                  |
| Calcium channel blockers (% use) | 9.3                             | 0                          | 18.2              | < 0.01                  |
| Metformin (% use)      | 39.3                            | 0                          | 80.7              | < 0.01                  |
| Sulfonylureas (% use)  | 14.0                            | 0                          | 28.8              | < 0.01                  |
| Thiazolidinediones (% use) | 1.9                             | 0                          | 3.9               | < 0.01                  |
| DPP4 inhibitors (% use) | 0.9                             | 0                          | 1.9               | NA                      |
| SGLT2 inhibitors (% use) | 0                                | 0                          | 0                 | NA                      |
| GLP-1 agonists (% use) | 0                               | 0                          | 0                 | NA                      |
| Insulin (% use)        | 0.9                             | 0                          | 1.9               | NA                      |
| Prohibitin (ng/mL)     | 13.6 ± 0.6                      | 13.4 ± 0.8                 | 13.9 ± 0.7        | 0.66                    |
| UCP2 (ng/mL)           | 3.58 ± 0.27                     | 4.11 ± 0.41                | 3.01 ± 0.34       | 0.045                   |
| Δψm (mV)               | −181 ± 10                       | −177 ± 11                  | −185 ± 9          | < 0.001                 |

* N = 39 healthy controls and N = 49 T2DM subjects
(13.9 ± 0.7 ng/mL for T2DM patients vs. 13.4 ± 0.8 ng/mL, P = 0.66) between T2DM and control subjects (Fig. 1b). Mononuclear cell inner mitochondrial membrane potential (Δψₘ) was significantly more polarized in subjects with T2DM compared to controls (−185 ± 8.7 vs. −177 ± 11 mV, P < 0.001).

Within T2DM subjects, serum PHB levels were significantly and negatively correlated with UCP2 levels in both univariate analysis (ρ = −0.35, P = 0.031) and following age- and sex-adjustment (B = −0.17, P = 0.03). Neither UCP2 levels (r = 0.14, P = 0.61) nor PHB levels (ρ = −0.09, P = 0.53) correlated with Δψₘ in univariate analyses and this did not change with age- and sex-adjustment (PHB: β = −0.02, P = 0.95, UCP2: β = 0.76, P = 0.17). In healthy controls, there was a trend toward a negative correlation between PHB and UCP2 (ρ = −0.27, P = 0.06) which was similar following adjustment for age and sex (β = −0.14, P = 0.06). There was a positive correlation between UCP2 concentrations and Δψₘ (r = 0.31, P = 0.02) that remained following adjustment for age and sex (β = 1.1, P = 0.02) with no correlation between PHB and Δψₘ in either univariate (ρ = −0.03, P = 0.82) or age and sex-adjusted models (β = −0.15, P = 0.54).

Univariate correlations between circulating mitochondrial peptide levels and Δψₘ with age, sex, and other cardiovascular risk factors
Univariate correlations between mitochondrial measures and age, sex, and traditional risk factors in T2DM patients are include in Table 3 and for healthy controls in Table 4. For T2DM subjects, positive correlations between UCP2 and BMI (r = 0.32, P = 0.04) and between Δψₘ and total cholesterol (r = 0.31, P = 0.02) were detected. In addition, total cholesterol negatively correlated with UCP2 levels (r = −0.28, P = 0.046). In healthy controls, the only correlation between a mitochondrial biomarker and a cardiovascular risk factor was a negative correlation between PHB and HDL cholesterol (ρ = −0.36, P = 0.008). No correlations were found between other cardiovascular risk factors (age, sex, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, HDL, LDL, or triglycerides).

Univariate correlations between circulating mitochondrial peptide levels, Δψₘ, and vascular measures in T2DM subjects and healthy controls
Univariate correlations between mitochondrial measures and vascular measures are displayed in Table 5 (for T2DM patients) and Table 6 for healthy controls.

### Table 2 Brachial artery vascular measurements

|                          | Total study population (N = 107) | Healthy controls (N = 52) | Type 2 DM (N = 55) | P-value T2DM vs. control |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Resting diameter (mm)    | 3.72 ± 0.72                      | 3.66 ± 0.75               | 3.81 ± 0.69       | 0.29                     |
| Resting flow velocity (m/s) | 0.54 ± 0.14                      | 0.53 ± 0.13               | 0.56 ± 0.15       | 0.32                     |
| Hyperemic flow velocity (m/s) | 0.99 ± 0.26                      | 1.01 ± 0.25               | 0.97 ± 0.26       | 0.45                     |
| Absolute flow mediated dilation (mm) | 0.20 ± 0.09                   | 0.26 ± 0.06               | 0.12 ± 0.06       | < 0.001                  |
| Nitroglycerin Mediated Dilation (mm) | 0.75 ± 0.25                  | 0.85 ± 0.25               | 0.68 ± 0.22       | < 0.001                  |

* N = 39 healthy controls and N = 49 T2DM subjects

![Fig. 1 a, b](image-url) Comparative serum levels of mitochondrial proteins in control and T2DM subjects. UCP2 levels were significantly higher in controls compared to T2DM subjects (p = 0.0418). a No significant differences in Prohibitin (p = 0.66). b Levels were seen between control and T2DM subjects.
In T2DM subjects, prohibitin levels were inversely correlated with resting flow velocity ($r = -0.28$, $p = 0.048$) but showed no correlation with FMDmm, NMDmm, resting brachial diameter, or hyperemic flow velocity. UCP2 levels significantly and positively correlated with FMDmm (Fig. 2a, $r = 0.30$, $P = 0.03$) but not with any other vascular measurement. $\Delta\Psi_m$ did not correlate with any of the vascular measurements.

In healthy controls, Prohibitin levels were positively correlated with resting brachial artery diameter ($r = 0.28$, $P = 0.049$) but showed no correlation with other vascular measurements. UCP2 levels did not correlate with any vascular measurements. $\Delta\Psi_m$ significantly and positively correlated with the following variables:

- Age
- Sex
- SBP
- DBP
- BMI
- Fasting blood glucose
- Total cholesterol
- LDL cholesterol
- HDL cholesterol

Table 3 Univariate correlations of $\Delta\Psi_m$, prohibitin, and UCP2 with clinical variables in T2DM subjects

|                      | $\Delta\Psi_m$ | Prohibitin | UCP2 |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|------|
| Age                  | $r = -0.08$, $P = 0.58$ | $r = 0.12$, $p = 0.02$ | $r = 0.05$, $P = 0.04$ |
| Sex                  | $r = -0.08$, $P = 0.58$ | $r = 0.26$, $P = 0.07$ | $r = 0.24$, $P = 0.09$ |
| SBP                  | $r = 0.11$, $P = 0.46$ | $r = 0.05$, $P = 0.71$ | $r = -0.05$, $P = 0.73$ |
| DBP                  | $r = -0.05$, $P = 0.74$ | $r = 0.09$, $P = 0.26$ | $r = -0.07$, $P = 0.61$ |
| BMI                  | $r = 0.12$, $P = 0.39$ | $r = 0.06$, $P = 0.69$ | $r = 0.32$, $P = 0.04$ |
| Fasting blood glucose| $r = -0.22$, $P = 0.11$ | $r = -0.10$, $P = 0.49$ | $r = -0.08$, $P = 0.60$ |
| Total cholesterol    | $r = 0.29$, $P = 0.04$ | $r = 0.01$, $P = 0.99$ | $r = -0.28$, $P = 0.046$ |
| LDL cholesterol      | $r = 0.18$, $P = 0.21$ | $r = 0.04$, $P = 0.77$ | $r = -0.27$, $P = 0.07$ |
| HDL cholesterol      | $r = 0.15$, $P = 0.30$ | $r = -0.11$, $P = 0.47$ | $r = -0.21$, $P = 0.15$ |
| Triglycerides        | $r = 0.02$, $P = 0.87$ | $r = 0.14$, $P = 0.33$ | $r = 0.03$, $P = 0.89$ |

Table 4 Univariate correlations of $\Delta\Psi_m$, prohibitin, and UCP2 with clinical variables in healthy control subjects

|                      | $\Delta\Psi_m$ | Prohibitin | UCP2 |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|------|
| Age                  | $r = -0.04$, $P = 0.78$ | $r = 0.06$, $P = 0.65$ | $r = 0.004$, $P = 0.98$ |
| Sex                  | $r = -0.03$, $P = 0.98$ | $r = 0.23$, $P = 0.10$ | $r = 0.06$, $P = 0.66$ |
| SBP                  | $r = -0.06$, $P = 0.69$ | $r = 0.01$, $P = 0.92$ | $r = 0.17$, $P = 0.23$ |
| DBP                  | $r = 0.13$, $P = 0.34$ | $r = 0.13$, $P = 0.37$ | $r = -0.04$, $P = 0.75$ |
| BMI                  | $r = -0.09$, $P = 0.50$ | $r = 0.08$, $P = 0.58$ | $r = -0.08$, $P = 0.55$ |
| Fasting blood glucose| $r = 0.03$, $P = 0.85$ | $r = -0.16$, $P = 0.25$ | $r = -0.22$, $P = 0.10$ |
| Total cholesterol    | $r = -0.08$, $P = 0.57$ | $r = -0.25$, $P = 0.07$ | $r = 0.03$, $P = 0.85$ |
| LDL cholesterol      | $r = -0.09$, $P = 0.54$ | $r = -0.17$, $P = 0.23$ | $r = -0.001$, $P = 0.99$ |
| HDL cholesterol      | $r = 0.11$, $P = 0.42$ | $r = -0.36$, $P = 0.008$ | $r = 0.02$, $P = 0.88$ |
| Triglycerides        | $r = -0.20$, $P = 0.16$ | $r = 0.09$, $P = 0.54$ | $r = 0.07$, $P = 0.27$ |

Table 5 Univariate correlations of $\Delta\Psi_m$, prohibitin, and UCP2 with vascular measurements in T2DM subjects

|                      | $\Delta\Psi_m$ | Prohibitin | UCP2 |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|------|
| Resting diameter     | $r = -0.01$, $P = 0.97$ | $r = 0.22$, $P = 0.12$ | $r = 0.14$, $P = 0.31$ |
| Resting flow velocity| $r = -0.02$, $P = 0.89$ | $r = -0.28$, $P = 0.048$ | $r = 0.18$, $P = 0.62$ |
| Hyperemic flow velocity| $r = -0.002$, $P = 0.97$ | $r = -0.15$, $P = 0.29$ | $r = 0.19$, $P = 0.18$ |
| Absolute flow mediated dilation | $r = -0.01$, $P = 0.95$ | $r = -0.052$, $P = 0.73$ | $r = -0.30$, $P = 0.03$ |
| Nitroglycerin mediated dilation | $r = -0.04$, $P = 0.80$ | $r = 0.26$, $P = 0.09$ | $r = -0.30$, $P = 0.06$ |

$p < 0.05$ values are indicated in italic
associated with resting flow velocity in T2DM subjects following adjustment for age and sex ($P = 0.15$).

In healthy control subjects, age- and sex-adjustment similarly mitigated the association between resting brachial artery diameter and circulating prohibitin levels ($P = 0.16$). $\Delta \psi_m$ remained independently predictive of NMDmm after age- and sex-adjustment ($B = 0.007$, $P = 0.02$).

**Multivariable adjusted models for FMDmm and mitochondrial biomarkers**

The results for these multivariable models are included in Table 7 (Control) and Table 8 (T2DM). For healthy controls (Table 7), none of the mitochondrial biomarkers (UCP2, PHB, and $\Delta \psi_m$) were independent predictors of FMDmm while both fasting plasma glucose level and sex were independent predictors in all models. For individuals with T2DM (Table 8), UCP2 levels were positive and independently associated with FMDmm following

| Table 6 Univariate correlations of $\Delta \psi_m$, prohibitin, and UCP2 with vascular measurements in healthy control subjects |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\Delta \psi_m$ | Prohibitin | UCP2 |
|-----------------|------------|------|
| Resting diameter | $r = -0.02$, $P = 0.90$ | $\rho = 0.28$, $P = 0.049$ | $r = -0.11$, $P = 0.43$ |
| Resting flow velocity | $r = -0.26$, $P = 0.06$ | $\rho = -0.06$, $P = 0.69$ | $r = 0.18$, $P = 0.19$ |
| Hyperemic flow velocity | $r = -0.15$, $P = 0.27$ | $\rho = 0.14$, $P = 0.63$ | $r = 0.14$, $P = 0.33$ |
| Absolute flow mediated dilation | $r = -0.20$, $P = 0.14$ | $\rho = -0.01$, $P = 0.94$ | $r = -0.01$, $P = 0.97$ |
| Nitroglycerin mediated dilation | $r = -0.35$, $P = 0.03$ | $\rho = 0.27$, $P = 0.10$ | $r = -0.13$, $P = 0.44$ |

$p < 0.05$ values are indicated in italic

**Fig. 2** a, b Flow-mediated dilation (FMDmm) of the brachial artery positively correlated with serum UCP2 levels ($r = -0.30$, $P = 0.03$) (a). No correlation between FMDmm and PHB levels were seen ($\rho = -0.052$, $P = 0.73$) (b)

| Table 7 Multivariable models predicting FMDmm using $\Delta \psi_m$, prohibitin, and UCP2 with clinical variables in healthy control subjects |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\Delta \psi_m$ | Prohibitin | UCP2 |
|-----------------|------------|------|
| Age | $B = -0.0002$, $P = 0.76$ | $B = -0.0005$, $P = 0.65$ | $B = -0.0004$, $P = 0.69$ |
| Sex | $B = 0.049$, $P < 0.001$ | $B = 0.047$, $P < 0.001$ | $B = 0.048$, $P < 0.001$ |
| SBP $\geq 130$ mmHg | $B = 0.015$, $P = 0.33$ | $B = 0.016$, $P = 0.28$ | $B = 0.017$, $P = 0.35$ |
| BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$ | $B = 0.011$, $P = 0.33$ | $B = 0.008$, $P = 0.55$ | $B = 0.011$, $P = 0.35$ |
| Fasting blood glucose | $B = 0.002$, $P = 0.001$ | $B = 0.002$, $P = 0.001$ | $B = 0.002$, $P = 0.001$ |
| Plasma LDL cholesterol | $B = -0.0003$, $P = 0.11$ | $B < 0.001$, $P = 0.15$ | $B = -0.0002$, $P = 0.13$ |
| Mitochondrial biomarker | $B = 0.00003$, $P = 0.95$ | $B = 0.001$, $P = 0.58$ | $B = -0.001$, $P = 0.82$ |

$p < 0.05$ values are indicated in italic

$BMI$ body mass index, $SBP$ systolic blood pressure
adjustment for age, sex, BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², fasting plasma glucose level, and LDL cholesterol. Of these variable, only SBP was independently (negatively) associated with FMDmm. No variables were independently predictive of FMDmm models with PHB and Δψₘ.

### Discussion

In this cross-sectional study, we found population-specific correlation of vascular measurements with measurements of circulating levels of mitochondrial proteins (UCP2 and PHB) involved in modulating Δψₘ and mitochondrial superoxide production, as well as Δψₘ itself. We found that serum UCP2 concentrations were lower in T2DM subject than controls and positively correlated with FMDmm even following multivariable adjustment. Serum PHB levels weakly correlated with resting brachial diameter (in controls only) and resting flow velocities (in T2DM only). These associations disappeared after adjustment for age and sex. Δψₘ remained an independent, positive predictor of NMDmm following age- and sex-adjustment in control subjects only. Additionally, in both T2DM subjects and controls we found and inverse association between UCP2 and PHB levels. To our knowledge, these data are the first to compare circulating levels of UCP2 and PHB in T2DM subjects versus non-T2DM subjects and to correlate these measurements of circulating UCP2 and PHB with measurement of vascular function. Our findings also suggest circulating UCP2 may be a useful biomarker of endothelial health in humans with T2DM- a concept that merits further investigation in larger studies.

UCP2 is the main isoform of uncoupling proteins present in endothelial cells and there is an increasing evidence of animal models that overexpression of UCP2 regulates mitochondrial ROS production and inner mitochondrial membrane potential [21, 35, 36]. UCP2 protects endothelium-dependent vasodilation under hyperglycemic conditions by reducing oxidative stress [37]. In an obese diabetic mice, upregulation of UCP2 improved endothelium dependent vasodilation through increasing endothelium-derived NO and reduced ROS production [38]. Increased vascular expression of UCP2 in the setting of diabetes appears to be an initial compensatory response to systemic elevations in glucose that disappears over time with more chronic and severe abnormal glucose exposures on the diabetic endothelium [39]. Interestingly, a common polymorphism in the promoter of the UCP2 gene (−866G>A) results in reduced UCP2 expression [40], increased systemic oxidative stress, and an increased risk of myocardial infarction in men with T2DM independent of other traditional risk factors [41]. Our findings extend these data in two ways. First, our data showing a positive association of circulating UCP2 and FMD, but not NMD, provide the first corroborating evidence in humans that UCP2 is associated with endothelial production of nitric oxide. In addition, our data suggest that in individuals with T2DM, circulating levels of UCP2 may reflect overall health of the vascular endothelium and may reflect the residual ability of the endothelium to compensate for excessive oxidative stress and inflammation induced by exposure to elevated glucose levels based on the prior UCP2 work previously delineated. Taken together, our data suggest investigations of serum UCP2 levels as a biomarker of vascular risk in large datasets of T2DM patients together with further work to better delineate the relationship between UCP2 and vascular function are both warranted.

We identified that fasting glucose levels inversely correlated with UCP2 levels in healthy controls but not in T2DM patients. Recent data suggest differences UCP2 expression driven by common polymorphisms in the UCP2 promoter region may play a critical role in insulin secretion and ultimately the development of diabetes [42]. These data suggest that there may be an association between glucose exposure on UCP2 level in healthy individuals and insulin-resistant, non-DM subjects that may be more confounded in individuals with diabetes who

---

**Table 8 Multivariable models predicting FMDmm using Δψₘ, prohibitin, and UCP2 with clinical variables in subject with type 2 diabetes**

|                      | Δψₘ          | Prohibitin | UCP2           |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| Age                  | B = 0.003, P = 0.84 |            | B = −0.0003, P = 0.77 |
| Sex                  | B = 0.008, P = 0.71  |            | B = 0.023, P = 0.19  |
| SBP ≥ 130 mmHg       | B = −0.02, P = 0.32  |            | B = −0.017, P = 0.30  |
| BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²       | B = 0.008, P = 0.33  |            | B = 0.008, P = 0.72  |
| Fasting blood glucose| B = −0.0001, P = 0.52 |            | B = −0.00005, P = 0.81 |
| Plasma LDL level     | B = −0.00006, P = 0.85 |            | B = −0.00001, P = 0.98 |
| Mitochondrial biomarker | B = 0.0002, P = 0.98 |            | B = −0.001, P = 0.60  |

p < 0.05 values are indicated in italic

BMI body mass index, SBP systolic blood pressure
also have larger glucose excursions and use therapies to improve glycemic control that modulate insulin secretion, activity, and sensitivity.

In T2DM patients, we also identified circulating UCP2 levels negatively correlating with total cholesterol levels positively correlated with BMI and negatively correlated with in univariate analyses. The cholesterol finding is consistent with animal data showing suppression of UCP2 expression with a high-cholesterol diet [43]. This positive correlation with BMI differs from prior data suggesting UCP2 gene expression in adipose tissue increases with weight loss and that genetic polymorphisms that reduce tissue-level UCP2 expression area associated with increased BMI [44, 45]. The difference in findings might related to circulating UCP2 level not reflecting expression levels in adipocytes or beta cells. Further work is necessary to determine the driving forces behind plasma UCP2 expression in T2DM patients.

PHB is involved cell signaling, aging, regulation of transcription and acts a chaperone for imported proteins in the mitochondria [46–49]. Several studies have shown that over-expression of prohibitin protects cells from oxidative stress injury and its expression in cultured cardiomyocytes and in pancreatic β-cells [46, 50]. PHB expression stabilizes the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation system in the inner mitochondrial membrane [47]. In the endothelium, knockdown of the PHB1 isoform results in increased mitochondrial ROS production and reduced angiogenesis [51]. While we did not find an associations between circulating PHB levels and measure of vascular function that survived age- and sex-adjustment, we did find a significant inverse correlation between UCP2 and PHB levels in subjects with T2DM. These data might suggest a compensatory increase in UCP2 expression in the setting of lower PHB levels and vice versa as seen in cultured renal epithelial cells [19]. Increasing UCP2 expression has the effect of lowering Δψm polarization and mitochondrial superoxide production [7, 52]. Further studies are needed to better delineate the relationships between UCP1 expression, PHB expression, and Δψm in humans with T2DM.

Δψm remained an independent, positive predictor of NMDmm following multivariable adjustment in control subjects only. This finding reflects an association between vascular smooth reactivity to nitric oxide in non-DM adults may reflect variations in mitochondrial metabolic activity and merits further investigation [53]. The lack of correlation of Δψm with FMDmm measurements in either controls or T2DM subjects is entirely consistent with our prior report [7]. The favorable effect of partial depolarization of the mitochondrial inner membrane on resistance artery endothelium-dependent vasodilation to acetylcholine seen in our prior report likely reflects the fact that in T2DM patients basal Δψm measurements are largely not within a dynamic range that is associated with differences in nitric oxide bioavailability but that treatments to reduce Δψm polarization reduce mitochondrial superoxide production enough to increase endothelial nitric oxide production.

Our study has some limitations. We measured circulating levels of UCP2 and PHB and Δψm by isolating circulating mononuclear cells. Levels of circulating markers may not reflect similar levels in the in vivo endothelium. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species levels corresponding to the UCP2 and PHB levels were not measured in these subjects. The size of the study population (N=107) is relatively small which limited our ability to develop larger multivariable models. However, our data provide important guidance and support for investing in larger investigations of UCP2 as a biomarker of vascular health and risk in individuals with T2DM as well as studies of how glycemic control might affect UCP2 levels. While fasting glucose levels were available, insulin levels and glycosylated hemoglobin levels were not available for a majority of subjects and therefore we could not correlate these mitochondrial biomarkers with measures of insulin sensitivity and longer-term glucose control. We did have HgA1C levels available for 21 with T2DM, 28 in healthy controls and in this sub-set there were no correlations between UCP2 levels and HgA1C (data not shown). We also did not measure circulating inflammatory markers and so cannot correlate these with UCP2 or PHB. Balanced against these limitations are the novel insights into the association of circulating UCP2 and PHB levels with T2DM and measures of vascular health that support additional studies in large cohorts to further validate these initial findings.

**Conclusion**

We found that circulating UCP2 concentrations were inversely correlated with circulating PHB levels and, in T2DM patients, UCP2 concentration were positively associated with conduit vessel endothelium-dependent vasodilation. These data suggest circulating UCP2 concentrations may reflect underlying vascular endothelial function in humans and merits additional investigation as a biomarker of vascular function and potential cardiovascular risk in patients with T2DM. Additional studies looking at how glycemic control in T2DM may be reflected in changes in circulating UCP2 levels are also warranted.

**Abbreviations**

Δψm: inner mitochondrial membrane potential; FMDmm: absolute flow-mediated dilation; IC-1:
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5,5′,6,6′-tetrachloro-1,1′3,3′-tetraethylbenzamidazol-carbocyanine, NEM: absolute nitrotyrosine-mediated dilatation; PHB: probit; T2DM: type 2 diabetes; UCP2: uncoupling protein 2.
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