Quantitative measurement of CO$_2$ laser-induced residual stress in fused silica optics
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Abstract. The residual stress field of fused silica induced by continuous wave CO\textsubscript{2} laser irradiation is investigated with specific photoelastic methods. Both hoop stress and axial stress in the irradiated zone are measured quantitatively. For the hoop stress along the radial direction, the maximum phase retardance of 30 nm appears at the boundary of the laser distorted zone (680-\textmu m distance to center), and the phase retardance decreases rapidly and linearly inward, and decreases slowly and exponentially outward. For the axial stress, tensile stress lies in a thin surface layer (<280 \textmu m) and compressive stress lies just below the tensile region. Both tensile and compressive stresses increase first and then decrease along the depth direction. The maximum phase retardance induced by axial tensile stress is 150 nm, and the maximum phase retardance caused by axial compression stress is about 75 nm. In addition, the relationship between the maximum axial stress and the deformation height of the laser irradiated zone is also discussed. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction in any form or medium requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DO: 10.1117/1.OE.54.5.057105]
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1 Introduction

Due to its excellent transmission performance in the ultraviolet (UV) band, fused silica is used as one of the most important optical materials in many high-fluence and high-peak power laser facilities. In particular, it serves as beam-transport lenses and vacuum windows for the large laser facilities such as the National Ignition Facility in the USA,\textsuperscript{1} the Laser Megajoule in France,\textsuperscript{2} and the SG-III laser facility in China.\textsuperscript{3} The lifetime of fused silica components under UV laser irradiation has been extensively investigated and the results show that the lifetime of fused silica optics is determined by both surface damage initiation and damage growth.\textsuperscript{4,4} Hence, in order to prolong the lifetime of optics and reduce the operating costs, several methods have been developed to mitigate the damage growth of optics, including wet chemical etching, cold plasma etching, microflame torch processing, CO\textsubscript{2} laser processing, and so on.\textsuperscript{7} Among these mitigation methods, continuous wave CO\textsubscript{2} laser processing exhibits the most consistent and convincing results for mitigating the growth of heavily damaged fused silica. Moreover, the CO\textsubscript{2} laser processing method is inexpensive and straightforward to apply for mitigating sites on large optics.\textsuperscript{8} However, it is known that residual stress may be generated around the mitigated sites after CO\textsubscript{2} laser irradiation, which could cause critical fractures either during or after laser treatment.\textsuperscript{9} Therefore, it is necessary to have a good understanding of the residual stress behaviors of fused silica induced by the CO\textsubscript{2} laser process. Some research has already been done on this subject.

The formation mechanism of the residual stress by CO\textsubscript{2} laser irradiation has been studied.\textsuperscript{10–12} The general explanation of the formation of the residual stress involves three steps: (a) temperature gradient formed at the initial stage of irradiation, (b) thermal expansion during laser irradiation due to the positive thermal expansion coefficient of fused silica, and (c) elastic and plastic deformation appearing after the lasers are turned off. Several numerical models have also been presented to simulate the evolution of the fictive temperature and stress field with the finite element method,\textsuperscript{9,13–15} such as tool-Narayanaswamy relaxation model,\textsuperscript{9} elastoviscoplastic deformation model,\textsuperscript{13} and thermomechanical model.\textsuperscript{14,15} In addition, several methods have been developed to characterize the mitigated area. For instance, Matthews et al.\textsuperscript{16} characterized the thermal stress regions using polarimetry and confocal Raman microscopy to measure the stress-induced birefringence and fictive temperature. Guignard et al.\textsuperscript{10} studied the residual stress of fused silica and K9 glass with microscopic observations and an interferometric method. Gallais et al.\textsuperscript{16} investigated the residual stress field created around mitigated sites with photoelastic method. The correlation between the place where the damage occurs and the location of the maximal residual stress was also investigated.\textsuperscript{15,16}

The previous studies mainly focused on the production mechanism of the residual stress by theoretical analysis, the evolution process of stress field by numerical simulation, and the correlation between laser parameters and residual stress and how to eliminate the stress from optics by experiments. Little work has been done to quantitatively measure the residual stress itself in the irradiated region induced by the CO\textsubscript{2} laser. Hence, it is necessary to experimentally investigate the distribution of the stress field, which is helpful for understanding the residual stress behaviors.

In this paper, the residual stress distribution of fused silica is investigated by a stress analyzer after 10.6 \textmu m CO\textsubscript{2} laser
irradiation. The hoop stress and axial stress in the irradiated zone are measured to obtain the location of the maximum stress and the distribution of the residual stress in the irradiated region. Since fused silica is a transparent material, a photoelastic method is chosen to measure the phase retardance data, which is proportional to the magnitude of the localized stress. In addition, the mechanism of residual stress formation during and after laser mitigation is also discussed.

2 Experimental Result

2.1 Sample Preparation and Damage Sites Generation

Optically polished fused silica glass (Corning 7980) with the dimensions of $30 \times 30 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3$ was used in this paper. Before the experiments, the sample was etched for 10 min in a buffered HF solution ($1\% \text{HF} + 15\% \text{NH}_4\text{F} + 84\% \text{H}_2\text{O}$) in order to remove the surface contaminations and blunt the subsurface scratches. Then the sample was cleaned immediately with highly pure water and alcohol after etching. The damage sites with a diameter of 200 $\mu$m were generated by a single longitudinal mode Q-switched Nd:YAG laser, which operated at 355 nm with a pulse width of 6.3 ns.

2.2 CO$_2$ Laser Mitigation Procedure

A radio frequency excited CO$_2$ laser (Coherent GEM-100L) was used to mitigate the damage sites. The mode of the CO$_2$ laser is TEM$_{00}$ (>95%) and the laser operates at 10.6 $\mu$m with an approximately spatially Gaussian profile. A ZnSe lens with a focal length of 10 cm was used to focus the CO$_2$ laser beam on the damage sites. The diameter of a focal spot at the sample surface is about 4 mm at $1/e^2$ as measured by the knife-edge method. The laser energy is monitored by an EPM1000 energy meter and was adjusted by changing the duty ratio of the laser. In addition, a visible He–Ne probe beam coaxial with a CO$_2$ laser was used for collimation to make sure the repair region could be accurately located. A scientific CCD camera is used to monitor the repair process.

The repair parameters have been optimized before this experiment, including the size of damage site ($L$), diameter of the CO$_2$ laser spot ($D$), laser power ($P$), irradiation time ($t$), and shot number ($N$), which are listed in Table 1. The morphology of the damage site and the irradiated zone was measured by an optical microscope, as shown in Fig. 1. The repair profile indicates that the damaged site was completely melted and smoothed after CO$_2$ laser treatment.

2.3 Stress Measurement

In this paper, the Senarmont compensated method and sensitive color method are used to characterize the residual stress distribution of the repaired region by a PTC-720 stress analyzer, which is based on the property of birefringence that is exhibited by certain transparent materials under stress. The Senarmont compensated method can quantitatively measure the value of the phase retardation with a deviation less than ±1.5 nm. The sensitive color method is extremely intuitive for observing the stress. The background color in the visual field is purple, which is called the “photosensitive color.” Yellow or saffron yellow represents the tensile stress, while green or blue represents the compressive stress existing in the sample. The basic principle and more details have been described elsewhere.

In order to investigate the stress distribution in the irradiated zone, both hoop stress and axial stress were studied and the pictures are shown in Figs. 2–5. The residual stress cannot be easily obtained because it may be distributed as a function of depth. Nevertheless, phase retardation, which is proportional to the localized stress, can be measured quantitatively, so phase retardation is used to characterize the stress. The phase retardation data ($R$) can be converted to stress ($\sigma$) according to Eq. (1) if the optical path length ($L$) and photoelastic constant ($C$) of the transparent sample are known:

$$\sigma(\text{MPa}) = \frac{R(\text{nm})}{C(\text{kg/cm}^2) \times L(\text{cm})}.$$ (1)

In this paper, phase retardation along the radial direction and along the depth direction were measured quantitatively by the Senarmont compensated method, as shown in Figs. 3 and 5. The compressive stress is set as a negative value and the tensile stress is set as a positive value.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Hoop Stress

Figure 2 shows the hoop stress generated around the mitigated site. The stress distribution image in Fig. 2(a) was measured by the Senarmont compensated method and that in Fig. 2(b) was measured by the sensitive color method. The results show that both tensile and compressive stresses were generated after CO$_2$ laser irradiation. A maximum stress is evident around the mitigated crater, and the stress distribution is axially symmetrical. The phase retardation distribution of the mitigated site along the radial direction is shown in Fig. 3. It reveals that the maximum of the phase retardance appeared at a 680-μm distance from the beam center, and the phase retardance decreases rapidly and linearly inward, and decreases slowly and exponentially outward. This trend is similar to the report described in Ref. 15. However, they did not quantitatively measure the phase retardance.

In order to understand why the maximum phase retardance located near the boundary of the mitigated crater, the morphology of the mitigated site created by CO$_2$ laser irradiation should be studied. The top view of the mitigated crater is shown in Fig. 1(b). The side view of the mitigated crater is shown in Fig. 1(c). The microscopic morphologies indicate that the surface of fused silica is distorted after CO$_2$ processing. The irradiated area can be divided into the distorted zone and the laser affected zone. The radius of the circle is about 680 $\mu$m. Thus, the diameter of the location where the maximum phase retardance occurred nearly equals the diameter of the laser distorted zone. Thus, the maximum hoop stress is located at

| Table 1 Repair parameters of the damage sites. |
|----------------|-------|------|-----|----|
| $L$ ($\mu$m) | $D$ (mm) | $P$ (W) | $t$ (s) | $N$ |
| 200 | 4 | 23 | 12 | 2 |
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the boundary of the laser distorted zone. Therefore, a conclusion can be made that material deformation would cause stress generation and the maximum stress usually appears in the boundary of the laser distorted zone. Due to the low thermal conductivity of the fused silica at room temperature, the temperature gradient between the laser irradiated area and the surrounding area is very large. The maximum temperature gradient may occur on the boundary of the laser distorted area, which may be the main reason for the maximum hoop stress appearing in this zone.

### 3.2 Axial Stress

#### 3.2.1 Axial stress along the depth direction

Figure 4 shows the axial residual stress generated in the surface layer of fused silica. The axial stress distribution is measured by the Senarmont compensated method [Fig. 4(a)] and the sensitive color method [Fig. 4(b)], respectively. The results show that tensile stress lies in a thin surface layer and the compressive stress lies just below the tensile region. This experimental result is in accordance with the numerically simulated result in Ref. 9. The thermal stress occurs due to the temperature gradient in the laser heated region. Some studies have explained this phenomenon.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^15\) The absorption of the CO\(_2\) laser radiation leads to the heating of a thin surface layer which induces thermal stress. As long as temperature remains below the glass transition temperature, the glass behaves as an elastic material. At higher laser fluence, the temperature increases above the glass transition temperature, so the glass becomes a viscous liquid and the stress relaxes. After the laser turns off, the viscosity rapidly increases when the material temperature cools down to...
the ambient temperature. Stress cannot be released during the rapid cooling process, so residual tensile stress remains in the thin surface layer. At the same time, a small region with compressive stress is developed just below the tension region because the surrounding material cools and restricts the flow of the viscous material.

In order to investigate the distribution of the axial residual stress, the phase retardance along the axial direction is measured. For the sake of easy description, the coordinates in Fig. 4(a) are set up. The origin of the coordinate should coincide with the beam center. The $r$-axis is vertical to the laser propagation direction and the $z$-axis is parallel to the laser propagation direction. As for $r = 0$, the distribution of the axial stress in the beam center along the depth direction can be obtained by measuring the phase retardation along the $z$-axis, and the result is shown in Fig. 5. The result indicates that the phase retardation is positive, which correlates with the tensile stress when $z < 280 \mu m$, then it becomes negative, which correlates with the compressive stress when $280 \mu m < z < 850 \mu m$. In other words, the phase retardation is zero when $z$ is equal to $280 \mu m$, which is the boundary of tensile stress and compressive stress. In addition, the axial stress curve also reveals that the maximum tensile stress is not located at the surface, but is 50 to 100 $\mu m$ below the surface. The maximum of phase retardation reaches to 150 nm, which is why the cracks initiate in the subsurface of the sample after CO$_2$ laser irradiation.$^9,10$ The maximum compression stress is located at a depth of 320 $\mu m$ from surface and the absolute value of the maximum phase retardation is about 75 nm. These compression stresses will stop fractures propagating inside the glass.$^{10}$

### 3.2.2 Relationship between maximum axial stress and deformation height

In order to understand the relationships between maximum axial stress and deformation height of the laser irradiated zone, the surface profile of the mitigated craters and the distribution of the phase retardation in the irradiated zone should be investigated. In this paper, a stylus profilometry (Ambios XP-Plus 300) is used to obtain the surface profile of the mitigated craters. Figure 6 shows the stylus profilometry trace of the crater and it is near Gaussian. The phase retardation of the location $(r, z)$ is set as $R(r, z)$. In the irradiated zone, the phase retardation along $z$ was measured for each $r$. Then the maximum of the positive data for each $r$ was extracted to constitute the maximum tensile stress curve. The minimum of the negative data for each $r$ was extracted to constitute the maximum compressive stress curve. The results are shown in Fig. 7. The curves reveal that the distribution of the both tensile stress and compressive stress is near Gaussian profile. Therefore, either tensile stress or compressive stress is proportional to the deformation height of the laser irradiated zone.
In this paper, for the Gaussian shape of the CO\textsubscript{2} laser beam, the light intensity in the beam center is much higher than in the surrounding region. Because of the surface tension gradients and vapor recoil pressure effects during laser heating and cooling, those molten materials could redistribute.\textsuperscript{18} Consequently, a near Gaussian crater is formed at the center of the irradiated region. Because both plastic and elastic deformations accompany the formation of the crater, both the maximum of the tensile and the compressive stresses are near Gaussian distribution in the irradiated zone.

4 Conclusion

The residual stress distribution of fused silica induced by CO\textsubscript{2} laser irradiation was characterized with two methods: the Senarmont compensated method and the sensitive color method. The experimental results show that the maximum phase retardance induced by hoop stress appears at the boundary of the laser distorted zone (680-\,\mu m distance to the center) and its value reaches 30 nm, but the hoop stress decreases rapidly and linearly inward, and decreases slowly and exponentially outward. For the axial stress along the depth direction, tensile stress lies in a thin surface layer (<280 \,\mu m) and compressive stress lies just below the tensile region. The maximum phase retardance induced by axial tensile stress is located at 50 to 100 \,\mu m below the surface, and its value reaches 150 nm. The maximum phase retardation caused by axial compression stress is located at the depth of 320 \,\mu m from the surface, and its value is about 75 nm. In addition, the distribution of the maximum axial stress is near Gaussian.
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