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ABSTRACT

We explored the impact of baryonic effects (namely stellar and active galactic nuclei feedback) on the moments of pairwise velocity using the Illustris-TNG, EAGLE, cosmo-OWLS, and BAHAMAS suites of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations. The assumption that the mean pairwise velocity of the gas component follows that of the dark matter is studied here at small separations, and we find that even at pair separations of $10-20\ h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, there is a 4–5% velocity bias. At smaller separations, it gets larger with varying strength depending on the sub-grid prescription. By isolating different physical processes, our findings suggest that the large-scale velocity bias is mainly driven by stellar rather than active galactic nuclei feedback. If unaccounted for, this velocity offset could possibly bias cosmological constraints from the kinetic Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect in future cosmic microwave background (CMB) surveys. Furthermore, we examined how the first and the second moment of the pairwise velocity are affected by both the baryonic and the neutrino free-streaming effects for both the matter and gas components. For both moments, we were able to disentangle the effects of baryonic processes from those of massive neutrinos; and for pair separations below $20\ h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, we find that these moments of the pairwise velocity decrease with increasing neutrino mass. Our work thus sets out a way in which the pairwise velocity statistics can be utilised to constrain the summed mass of neutrinos from future CMB surveys and peculiar velocity surveys.
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1. Introduction

Over the last decade or so, cosmology has evolved to a state where we are able to precisely constrain the cosmological parameters with the help of galaxy redshift surveys (e.g. eBOSS Collaboration 2020), gravitational lensing surveys (e.g. Heymans et al. 2020), and cosmic microwave background (CMB) experiments (e.g. Planck Collaboration VI 2020). Some of the questions that remain concern the dark sector, which includes the determination of the nature of dark energy and the summed mass of neutrinos. In order to answer these questions, peculiar velocity surveys provide a complementary avenue to further our understanding. Forthcoming peculiar velocity surveys, such as the Taipan galaxy survey¹ (da Cunha et al. 2017), the Widefield ASKAP L-band Legacy All-sky Blind Survey² (WALLABY, Koribalski et al. 2020), and the Westerbork Northern Sky HI Survey (WNSHS), promise to be competitive as cosmological probes for very low redshifts with respect to current galaxy clustering surveys (Koda et al. 2014; Howlett et al. 2017).

The current lower limit of the sum of neutrino masses, $M_\nu = \sum m_\nu \gtrsim 0.06\ eV$, comes from the neutrino oscillation experiments (e.g. Forero et al. 2014; Gonzalez-Garcia et al. 2016; Capozzi et al. 2017; de Salas et al. 2017). Massive neutrinos, unlike dark matter or baryons, have large thermal velocities that imprint distinct signatures on the cosmological observables. Leveraging this by combining different cosmological probes serves as an upper limit on the neutrino mass constraints. Depending on which datasets are combined and how the analysis is done, the current upper limit on the summed neutrino mass ranges from 0.12 eV up to $\approx 0.4\ eV$ (e.g. Di Valentino et al. 2016; Vagnozzi et al. 2017; McCarthy et al. 2018; Poulin et al. 2018; Palanque-Delabrouille et al. 2020; Ivanov et al. 2020; Planck Collaboration VI 2020). The impact of massive neutrinos on clustering statistics in red and redshift space has been studied (e.g. Saito et al. 2008; Wong 2008; Castorina et al. 2015; Villaescusa-Navarro et al. 2018; García-Farieta et al. 2019). Forthcoming peculiar velocity surveys provide a complementary avenue to further our understanding. Forthcoming peculiar velocity surveys, such as the Taipan galaxy survey¹ (da Cunha et al. 2017), the Widefield ASKAP L-band Legacy All-sky Blind Survey² (WALLABY, Koribalski et al. 2020), and the Westerbork Northern Sky HI Survey (WNSHS), promise to be competitive as cosmological probes for very low redshifts with respect to current galaxy clustering surveys (Koda et al. 2014; Howlett et al. 2017).

¹ https://www.taipan-survey.org
² https://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/WALLABY/
the matter bispectrum have also recently been examined (e.g. Foreman et al. 2020). These studies were done with the aid of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations. We note that an alternative approach is to use the so-called baryonic correction model, where the baryonic effects are parameterised based on physically motivated parameters and used to modify the outputs of cosmological $N$-body simulations (e.g. Schneider & Teyssier 2015; Schneider et al. 2019; Arico et al. 2020b,a).

The main aim of the present paper is to understand the effects of baryonic processes and massive neutrino effect on velocity statistics, namely on the first two moments of the pairwise velocity statistics, at pair separations below $20 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. Relatively little attention has been devoted to the impact of baryons and neutrinos on the velocity statistics to date, particularly in comparison with the numerous studies on the spatial distribution of matter or halos. As we describe in the next paragraphs, the pairwise velocity mainly has applications in the following three areas of cosmology.

Galaxy clustering. The observed positions of galaxies are perturbed from their true positions due to their peculiar velocities, an effect known as redshift-space distortion (RSD). These distortions can be leveraged to accurately constrain the growth rate of a structure, and hence cosmological parameters, by measuring correlation functions in redshift space (Percival & White 2009). In configuration space clustering, the state-of-the-art modelling is based on the “streaming model” (Peebles 1980; Fisher 1995; Scoccimarro 2004; Kuruvilla & Porciani 2018; Vlah & White 2019), recently generalised to $n$-point correlation function in redshift space (Kuruvilla & Porciani 2020). In two-point clustering, it provides a framework to map the two-point correlation function in redshift space, which is obtained as the integral of the real-space isotropic correlation function with the line-of-sight (los) pairwise velocity distribution. The key element in this streaming model framework is the pairwise los velocity distribution. Thus, understanding how the pairwise velocity statistics are affected by baryons and neutrinos will further help in modelling small-scale redshift-space clustering statistics. Within the streaming model framework, Aviles & Banerjee (2020) recently studied the effects of neutrinos on pairwise velocity statistics and redshift-space correlation function using Lagrangian perturbation theory above scales of $20 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$.

Peculiar velocity surveys. Direct measurements of the peculiar velocity can be achieved through redshifts and distances determined through scaling relations, such as the Tully-Fisher (Tully & Fisher 1977) or the Fundamental Plane relations (Djorgovski & Davis 1987; Dressler et al. 1987). These direct peculiar velocity surveys are shallow and thus offer an opportunity to probe the peculiar velocities in the nearby Universe. In Dupuy et al. (2019), the mean pairwise velocity estimator was used to constrain the growth rate of the structure using the Cosmicflows-3 dataset (Tully et al. 2016).

The kinetic Sunyaev-Zeldovich (kSZ) effect. A secondary anisotropy where CMB photons are scattered off free electrons that are in motion. This results in a Doppler shift, thus preserving the blackbody spectrum of the CMB (Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1972, 1980). The fluctuation in the CMB temperature can be written as

$$\frac{\Delta T_{\text{ksz}}}{T_{\text{cmb}}} = -\sigma_T \int dl \, n_e \langle \frac{v_e \cdot \hat{n}}{c} \rangle \simeq -\tau \langle \frac{v_e \cdot \hat{n}}{c} \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_T$ is the Thomson scattering cross-section, $n_e$ is the electron number density, $v_e$ is the velocity of the free electrons, $\hat{n}$ is the unit vector along the los, and $\tau = \sigma_T \int dl \, n_e$ is the optical depth. It is also one of the techniques through which we can measure the peculiar velocities of objects at cosmological distances. However, the signal from the kSZ effect is very weak, hence detections for individual objects have proven to be difficult so far. Currently, detections of kSZ are mainly limited to the mean pairwise velocity, as it can be measured through stacking techniques to boost the signal. The first detection of kSZ effect through the pairwise mean velocity was by Hand et al. (2012) using the pairwise velocity estimator developed by Ferreira et al. (1999). Further evidence for kSZ using pairwise velocities were presented in Planck Collaboration XXXVII (2016), Soergel et al. (2016), De Bernardis et al. (2017) and Li et al. (2018). It has been shown that the mean radial pairwise velocity measured from the kSZ effect is capable of constraining alternative theories of gravity and dark energy (Bhattacharya & Kosowsky 2007, 2008; Kosowsky & Bhattacharya 2009; Mueller et al. 2015a), in addition placing constraints on the summed mass of neutrinos (Mueller et al. 2015b). Alternatively, kSZ effect have been detected by correlating CMB maps with reconstructed velocity field (e.g. Schaan et al. 2016; Tanimura et al. 2020; Nguyen et al. 2020) and by cross correlating CMB maps with angular redshift fluctuation maps (Chaves-Montero et al. 2019). Future CMB surveys, such as the Simons Observatory$^3$ (SO; Ade et al. 2019), CMB-S4$^4$ (Abazajian et al. 2016), and CMB-HD (Sehgal et al. 2019a,b) will be able to measure the kSZ effect, and in turn the pairwise velocity statistics, much more precisely.

As already noted, the aim of this paper is to disentangle the effects baryonic processes and massive neutrinos on the first two moments of the pairwise velocity. We also examine the typical assumption that the pairwise velocity of gas follows that of the dark matter for the mean pairwise velocity. This assumption was tested for pairwise kSZ signal in Flender et al. (2016) using halos from $N$-body simulations and adding a gas profile following a model introduced in Shaw et al. (2010). However, in this paper we follow the gas particles directly from hydrodynamical simulations.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2, we briefly summarise the various hydrodynamical simulations employed in this work. In Sect. 3, we introduce the radial pairwise velocity. We introduce its first moment, the mean radial pairwise velocity, and how it is impacted by different baryonic processes in Sect. 4. We focus on how massive neutrinos affect the first moment in Sect. 4.2, and the second moment in Sect. 5. Finally, we summarise our findings in Sect. 6.

2. Simulations

In this work, we made use of four suites of hydrodynamical simulations to measure the pairwise velocity statistics: Illustris-TNG, EAGLE, cosmo-OWLS and BAHAMAS. We briefly describe these simulations below.

The Next Generation Illustris simulations (Illustris-TNG; Springel et al. 2018; Marinacci et al. 2018; Naiman et al. 2018; Pillepich et al. 2018a; Nelson et al. 2018) is a suite of cosmological simulations run using the moving mesh code AREPO. It is a successor to the Illustris simulation (Vogelsberger et al. 2014a,b; Genel et al. 2014; Sijacki et al. 2015). The sub-grid physics have been updated from the original Illustris with changes in active galactic nuclei (AGN) feedback, galactic winds, and

$^3$ https://simonsobservatory.org/

$^4$ https://cmb-s4.org/
Table 1. Characterisation of the various simulations used in this work.

| Simulation | Hydrodynamical code | $L_{\text{box}}$ [h^{-1}\text{Mpc}] | $m_{\text{DM}}$ [$h^{-1}\text{M}_\odot$] | $m_{\nu}$ [$h^{-1}\text{M}_\odot$] | Cosmology |
|------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| EAGLE      | Gadget               | 67.8                          | 6.7 x 10^6                      | 1.8 x 10^6                      | Planck 2013 |
| Illustris-TNG100 | Arepo             | 75.0                          | 5.1 x 10^6                      | 0.9 x 10^6                      | Planck 2016 |
| Illustris-TNG300 | Arepo             | 205.0                         | 3.9 x 10^7                      | 7.4 x 10^6                      | Planck 2016 |
| cosmo-OWLS | Gadget               | 400.0                         | 3.7 x 10^8                      | 7.5 x 10^8                      | WMAP7     |
| BAHAMAS (0) | Gadget               | 400.0                         | 3.8 x 10^8                      | 7.6 x 10^8                      | WMAP9     |

Notes. BAHAMAS (0) refers to the reference simulation with zero neutrino mass. The length of the simulation is denoted by $L_{\text{box}}$. While $m_{\text{DM}}$ and $m_{\nu}$ denote the mass of the dark matter and baryon species, respectively.

inclusion of magnetic fields, which are described in detail in Weinberger et al. (2017) and Pillepich et al. (2018b). The feedback processes were calibrated to roughly reproduce several observed properties, such as the galaxy stellar mass function and the stellar-to-halo mass relation (see Pillepich et al. 2018b for details). This suite has simulations with three different volumes $50^3$, $100^3$, and $300^3$ Mpc$^3$. In this work, we made use of the simulation boxes with side lengths of 100 and 300 Mpc, which have 1820$^3$ and 2500$^3$ tracer (dark matter [DM] and gas) particles, respectively. The simulation suite uses Planck 2016 cosmological parameters, namely $\{\Omega_m, \Omega_b, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h, n_s, \sigma_8\} = \{0.3089, 0.0486, 0.6911, 0.6774, 0.9667, 0.8159\}$.

Cosmo-OWLS (Le Brun et al. 2014; McCarthy et al. 2014) is an extension to the OverWhelmingly Large Simulations (OWLS) project (Schaye et al. 2010). Unlike the OWLS runs, most of which had boxes of 100$h^{-1}$ Mpc in length, the cosmo-OWLS runs have boxes of 400$h^{-1}$ Mpc in length. This suite of simulations were run using the smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code GADGET-3 (Springel et al. 2001; Springel 2005). The sub-grid physics details are given in Le Brun et al. (2014), and we made use of five variants of the sub-grid physics as listed in Table 1. Unlike the other suites used here, no attempt was made to calibrate the feedback to match particular observations with cosmo-OWLS. It was aimed at exploring the impact of large variations in the sub-grid physics, including turning on or off physics such as radiative cooling and AGN feedback. The simulation suite adopts a WMAP7 cosmology, which is given by $\{\Omega_m, \Omega_b, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h, n_s, \sigma_8\} = \{0.2720, 0.0455, 0.7280, 0.7040, 0.9670, 0.8100\}$.

Evolution and Assembly of GaLaxies and their Environments (EAGLE; Schaye et al. 2015; Crain et al. 2015) is a set of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations evolved using GADGET-3. The implemented sub-grid physics descened from OWLS, but with several improvements as detailed in Schaye et al. (2015). The stellar and AGN feedback were calibrated to reproduce the present-day galaxy stellar mass function and the size–mass relation of galaxies. The hydro-solver scheme was also modified from classic SPH to the pressure–entropy "Anarchy" scheme, also described in the above references. In this work, we made use of the box with a volume of $67.77^3$ h$^{-3}$ Mpc$^3$ and $2 \times 1504^3$ particles. The simulation suite adopts a Planck 2013 (Planck Collaboration I 2014) cosmology, which is given by $\{\Omega_m, \Omega_b, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h, n_s, \sigma_8\} = \{0.3070, 0.04825, 0.6930, 0.6777, 0.9611, 0.8288\}$.

BAHAMAS is a suite of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations with a volume of $400^3$ h$^{-3}$ Mpc$^3$ (McCarthy et al. 2017, 2018). This was also run using GADGET-3. It follows the evolution of $1024^3$ DM and gas particles. Hence, the mass resolution is lower than EAGLE or Illustris-TNG but is approximately the same as cosmo-OWLS. Like cosmo-OWLS, it follows significantly larger volumes than EAGLE or Illustris. The sub-grid physics is based on the OWLS and cosmo-OWLS projects. However, unlike OWLS and cosmo-OWLS, the feedback was explicitly calibrated to reproduce the observed present-day galaxy stellar mass function and the amplitude of the hot gas-halo mass relation of groups and clusters. As BAHAMAS has the most realistic representation of baryons on large scales (including the gas fractions of massive groups and clusters), we expect the impact on a large-scale structure to be more realistic for BAHAMAS. The reference simulation we used adopts WMAP9 cosmology, which is given by $\{\Omega_m, \Omega_b, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h, n_s, \sigma_8\} = \{0.2793, 0.0463, 0.7207, 0.7000, 0.9720, 0.8211\}$ with massless neutrinos.

We also used an extension of BAHAMAS that includes massive neutrinos (see McCarthy et al. 2018b for details). It consists of four simulations ranging from the lowest summed neutrino masses ($M_\nu$) of 0.06 eV up to 0.48 eV in factors of 2. The massive neutrinos were implemented keeping all the cosmological parameters fixed, apart from $\sigma_8$ (we note that $\sigma_8$, the amplitude of the primordial power spectrum, was kept fixed at the CMB value, and consequently the inclusion of massive neutrinos lowers the $\sigma_8$) and the cold matter density ($\Omega_{\text{cdm}}$), which was was decreased to ensure that the Universe is flat, where $\Omega_m + \Omega_b = 1$ and $\Omega_m = \Omega_{\text{cdm}} + \Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_b$. The neutrino density ($\Omega_{\nu}$) is related to $M_\nu$ by the relation $\Omega_{\nu} = M_\nu / (93.14 h^2 eV)$. Thus, the BAHAMAS simulation explores $\Omega_{\nu}$ for a range of $0.0013$–$0.0105$. This suite allows us to study the degeneracy between baryonic physics and massive neutrino effects on the pairwise velocity statistics in a systematic way.

As noted above, the different sets of simulations were calibrated employing various strategies. The characterisation of the simulations in terms of the box size, number of particles, and mass resolution also varies between suites, as shown in Table 1. Despite the different underlying cosmological models in these simulations, we neglect the impact of cosmology on the baryonic effects. Previous work based on extensions of the BAHAMAS suite (e.g. Mummery et al. 2017; Stafford et al. 2020; Pfeifer et al. 2020) have shown that the effects of baryon physics are separable from changes in cosmology at the few percent level for most statistics. We also verified (below) that the impact of fixed baryon physics on the pairwise velocity statistics is unaffected as the cosmology is changed to increase the summed mass of neutrinos. It should be noted that there are corresponding collisionless simulations for each of the aforementioned hydrodynamical runs, including all of the massive neutrino cases.
3. Radial pairwise velocity

The observed galaxy velocities provide a biased view of the unbiased (and unobserved) total matter velocity field, $v_m$. This unbiased velocity field can be defined as the fractional sum of its basic components:

$$v_m = f_{\text{cdm}}v_{\text{cdm}} + f_{\text{b}}v_{\text{b}} + f_{\text{r}}v_{\text{r}},$$

where $f_{\text{cdm}} \equiv \Omega_{\text{cdm}}/(\Omega_{\text{cdm}} + \Omega_{\text{b}} + \Omega_{\nu})$, $f_{\text{b}} \equiv \Omega_{\text{b}}/(\Omega_{\text{cdm}} + \Omega_{\text{b}} + \Omega_{\nu})$ and $f_{\text{r}} \equiv \Omega_{\nu}/(\Omega_{\text{cdm}} + \Omega_{\text{b}} + \Omega_{\nu})$ are the cold dark matter, the baryon, and the neutrino fraction, respectively. The velocity of the cold dark matter and the neutrino are denoted by $v_{\text{cdm}}$ and $v_{\nu}$, respectively, whereas the velocity of the baryons, $v_{\text{b}}$, is further obtained as a fractional sum of the velocities of gas, stars, and black holes (BH):

$$v_{\text{b}} = f_{\text{gas}}v_{\text{gas}} + f_{\text{stars}}v_{\text{stars}} + f_{\text{BH}}v_{\text{BH}},$$

where $f_{i}$ represents the fraction of gas, stars and BH. The radial component of the pairwise velocity is

$$w_r = (v_{\text{r}} - v_{\text{b}}) \cdot \hat{r}.$$

This can be measured directly from the simulations. In order to build the radial pairwise velocity distribution function (RPVDF) from the simulations, we randomly sampled $192^3$ tracer particles. In Fig. 1, we plot the RPVDF of the various components from the BAHAMAS simulation for pairs with a separation of $(1, 2)\,h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ and $(40, 41)\,h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$ on the top and bottom panels, respectively. The solid lines denote the PDF for the matter from the corresponding collisionless simulation. The dashed, dotted, and dash-dotted lines are for the gas, stars, and dark matter species from the hydrodynamical simulation. It is evident from the PDF that the pairwise velocity information, that is, all the moments, derived from the gas and DM particles are different at the scales shown. This is important as many studies of the KSZ effect normally assume that the gas perfectly traces the dark matter on large scales. It should be noted that $w_r < 0$ denotes the pairs that are infalling towards each other, while $w_r > 0$ implies that they are moving away from each other. The RPVDFs of both components are visibly skewed to the left, while the tails are much heavier for the dark matter component when compared to the gas component.

4. First moment of radial pairwise velocity

In this section, we compute the first moment of the radial pairwise velocity from the simulations. In the single stream regime, the mean radial velocity can be defined as

$$\langle w(r) \rangle = \frac{\langle (1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)(u_2 - u_1) \rangle}{\langle (1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2) \rangle},$$

where $\delta$ represents the mass density contrast and $r$ gives the pair separation vector. Using standard perturbation theory at leading order, it can be shown that (Fisher 1995)

$$\langle w(r) \rangle = -\frac{f}{\pi^2 \hat{r}} \int_0^\infty \left( j_1(k r) P(k) \right) dk = \langle w(r) \rangle \hat{r},$$

where $f$ is the growth rate, $j_1(x) = \sin(x)/x^2 - \cos(x)/x$, and $P(k)$ is the linear matter power spectrum. The particles in a pair tend to approach each other on average (i.e. $w_r(r) < 0$), due to gravitational attraction. In Fig. 2, we explore the mean radial pairwise velocity for matter, DM, and gas components from all the simulations mentioned before. Similarly to building the RPVDF, we randomly sampled $192^3$ particles for each tracer (except in the case of BH particles) to compute the moments. To quantify the uncertainty of our measurements, we created three such catalogues and used the standard error of the mean.

The top panel shows the effect of different subgrid physics on the gas radial pairwise velocity. The curves show that all four simulations follow a similar qualitative trend, in that the pairwise velocity of the gas is suppressed relatively to the collisionless dark matter, particularly on small scales. However, the magnitude of this effect varies strongly from simulation to simulation. At intermediate scales of $1 - 10\,h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, BAHAMAS (dotted lines) shows the maximal deviation of about 30% from the assumption that the gas follows the mean velocity of the dark matter. While both the Illustris-TNG runs show a maximal effect of $10 - 18\%$ at the same scales. EAGLE shows an effect of about 10% (at most) on these intermediate scales. However, on the smallest scales considered, EAGLE shows the largest effect, with the gas pairwise velocity deviating by up to 42% from the collisionless dark matter. It should be noted that on all scales considered here the ratio does not go to one, which implies that there is a velocity bias between the dark matter and gas component, even on the largest scales that we measured. On scales below $10\,h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, the linear velocity bias approximation of mean pairwise velocity clearly breaks down. Intriguingly, this holds true for all the simulations we considered with varying simulation
The middle panel of Fig. 2 displays the ratio of the radial pairwise velocity of the dark matter component from the full physics run to that of the collisionless simulation. This trend is also seen in the Illustris-TNG simulation. It should, however, be noted that despite the fact that EAGLE has a higher AGN temperature, the intermediate scales, BAHAMAS deviates at about the 2–3% level. This hints towards the possibility that the redshift-space clustering in BAHAMAS will be affected by baryonic effects to a larger degree than in EAGLE (as shown in Kwan et al., in prep). The fact that BAHAMAS produces a larger effect relative to EAGLE and Illustris-TNG is perhaps not that surprising, as the AGN is more effective at removing baryons from galaxy groups and clusters in BAHAMAS. This is a result of explicit calibration of the AGN feedback to reproduce the observed baryon fractions of massive systems, whereas neither EAGLE nor Illustris-TNG were calibrated on these data and predict baryon fractions in excess of that observed on mass scales of $\sim 10^{12} M_\odot$. By considering the matter pairwise velocity, we studied the unbiased velocity field. To directly translate these effects to RSD measurements from redshift surveys, we would need to study the galaxy pairwise velocity statistics, which we did not consider in this work.

So far, we have seen how the different baryonic models in the simulations affect the velocity statistics. However, we wanted to isolate the effect of different physical processes, such as AGN feedback. For this purpose, we used two different feedback runs from BAHAMAS with varying AGN subgrid heating temperatures, in addition to the reference run. The “high-AGN” run has $\Delta T_{\text{AGN}} = 10^{5.0}$ K, while the “low-AGN” run was run with $\Delta T_{\text{AGN}} = 10^{7.6}$ K. These values were chosen so that the simulations roughly bracketed the upper and lower bounds of the observed hot gas fraction–halo mass relation inferred from X-ray observations (McCarthy et al. 2018). They therefore represent a kind of estimate of the allowed range of behaviours for models with AGN feedback. In Fig. 3, the solid and the dashed lines represent the ratio of matter and gas pairwise velocity with respect to the collisionless matter counterpart, respectively. The gas elements are pushed away from each other more strongly as the AGN temperature increases. This causes a stronger decrease in the gas radial pairwise velocity for the high-AGN model, as can be seen. Similarly, the matter is also affected in the same manner. The high-AGN feedback causes the matter from the hydrodynamical simulation to deviate further from its counterpart in the collisionless simulation. It should, however, be noted that
effect of AGN heating is more prominent in BAHAMAS than in EAGLE. This can be attributed to differences in the mass resolutions of the two simulations, whereby each heating event in BAHAMAS deposits significantly more energy and thus results in a stronger expulsion. This has also been seen in the case of galaxy clustering information (Foreman et al. 2020). It would be interesting to run a high-resolution simulation such as EAGLE but to heat a similar volume/mass to that in BAHAMAS to see whether the effects are similar when the feedback is forced to operate in a similar way.

To further explore the impact of different physical processes, we also made use of the cosmo-OWLS simulations in Fig. 4. The dashed double-dotted line refers to the “NoCool” simulation in cosmo-OWLS where there is no radiative cooling, star formation, stellar feedback, or AGN feedback (there is only net photo-heating from a UV/X-ray background). We see that in this case, the bias is nearly one on scales larger than 10 $h^{-1}$ Mpc. This implies that it is indeed the physics of galaxy formation that is responsible for the velocity bias on large scales in the previously explored simulations. When turning on the cooling, star formation, and stellar feedback, while keeping AGN feedback turned off (“NoAGN”), we see that this introduces a bias even at scales larger than 10 $h^{-1}$ Mpc. This shows that physical processes like stellar feedback prevent the gas from in-falling. The fact that the bias on large scales is similar to that of runs that also include AGN feedback strongly suggests that it is stellar feedback, rather than AGN feedback, that is mainly responsible for the large-scale bias.

The dash-dotted line shows the effect of AGN feedback in cosmo-OWLS, two models that have a much higher heating temperature than considered in the case of the BAHAMAS simulation. As a result, these models clearly expel gas away from each other to a much larger degree. We note, however, that the two highest heating temperature runs from cosmo-OWLS yield gas fractions significantly lower than observed on the scale of groups and clusters, implying that the feedback is somewhat too aggressive in those runs.

Fig. 4. Ratio between the mean pairwise velocity of gas component with respect to collisionless matter at $z = 0$ for various runs in the cosmo-OWLS suite of simulations. The dotted line refers to the results from the “NoAGN” simulation, which had the AGN feedback switched off. While the dashed double-dotted line (“NoCool, UVB”) refers to the simulation that had no radiative cooling, star formation, or AGN feedback. There is, however, a net photo-heating due to a UV background.

Fig. 5. Ratio between the mean pairwise velocity of matter and collisionless matter at various redshifts in the BAHAMAS reference simulation.

4.1. Redshift evolution

We also explored the effect of redshift evolution on the pairwise statistics. For this exercise, we used the reference simulation from BAHAMAS with massless neutrinos and measure the mean pairwise velocities at redshifts 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0. In Fig. 5, we show the effect of baryonic physics on the matter mean radial pairwise velocity. The feedback is most efficient at higher redshifts at smaller scales ($r < 1 $ $h^{-1}$ Mpc), reaching a deviation of up to 9% for the matter fluid when compared to its collisionless-matter counterpart. At $z = 0$ (denoted by solid line), we see the back reaction of DM having an effect on the matter. At scales above 1 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, the ratio reaches a maximal deviation of $\sim 3\%$. Thus, these baryonic effects will be important to understanding if we are to push the modelling of mean pairwise velocity to non-linear scales and earlier times for forthcoming redshift surveys like Euclid.

The gas elements show an even more pronounced effect when compared to the matter from the collisionless simulation, as shown in Fig. 6. At the highest redshift considered here ($z = 2$), the baryonic effects on the gas elements, denoted by dotted lines, strongly affect scales below 3 $h^{-1}$ Mpc. Moving towards lower redshift, this effect is reduced in amplitude but more extended in scale, and it is seen on scales as large as $\sim 10 h^{-1}$ Mpc. It is again worth highlighting the fact that the velocity bias between the gas and the collisionless matter is below one at all scales considered here and at all times. This is a clear indication that one needs to be aware of the assumption that mean radial velocity of gas follows that of the dark matter at scales of about 20 $h^{-1}$ Mpc and below, especially for precise measurements in the future.

For comparison, the dashed double dotted line denotes the trend at $z = 2$ from the Illustris-TNG300 simulation. The effect of AGN feedback in this simulation is strongly reduced compared to BAHAMAS, as was also deduced from the $z = 0$ comparison previously.

4.2. The effects of massive neutrinos

Constraining neutrino mass is one of the primary objectives of forthcoming galaxy and CMB surveys. One of the main effects of neutrinos on the two-point clustering statistics in Fourier
space (i.e. the power spectrum), is the damping of power on scales smaller than the free-streaming scale. Neutrinos also affect velocity statistics (e.g. Mueller et al. 2015b). We focus on the mean radial velocity to exhibit the effects of neutrinos. Specifically, we show how they affect the matter mean pairwise velocity in Fig. 7. We see that the main effect of neutrinos is to reduce the mean pairwise velocity when compared with a massless neutrino simulation, implying that as the sum of neutrino mass increases, the infall of matter pairs toward each other decreases. Physically, this is due to the fact that the neutrino component does not significantly cluster on scales below the free-streaming scale, which in turn slows the collapse of the dark matter and baryons.

Considering pair separation scales above 3 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, we can see that the effect reaches approximately 20% on the matter component for the $M_\nu = 0.48$ eV. This will have important consequences for the RSD signal and hence on the redshift space clustering. Even for the most stringent of current constraints on the neutrino mass ($M_\nu < 0.12$ eV), the radial pairwise velocity of matter will be affected at the 3–5% level. This is also encouraging for future peculiar velocity surveys, which might be able to provide independent constraints on the sum of neutrino masses.

However, to decouple the effects of baryons and neutrinos using a single simulation, Fig. 7 is non-trivial at small scales as the effects are intertwined with each other. Since we have a series of massive neutrino simulations from BAHAMAS (both hydro and collisionless for each neutrino mass), it is possible to disentangle the effects of baryonic physics and massive neutrinos. For this, we introduce the following ratio statistics:

$$\frac{\langle v_i \rangle_{\text{gas}}}{\langle v_i \rangle_{\text{col}}}, \quad \frac{\langle v_i \rangle_{\text{gas},0}}{\langle v_i \rangle_{\text{col},0}} = \mathcal{B}_{i}^{(1)}(r) \mathcal{N}^{(1)}(r),$$

where the velocity biases $\mathcal{B}_{i}^{(1)}(r)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(1)}(r)$ capture the effects of baryons and neutrinos, respectively, and the subscript $i$ represents either the gas or the matter component. In Fig. 8, we show the velocity biases due to a baryonic effect and massive neutrinos for the gas component in the middle panel and the bottom panel, respectively. The advantage of this approach is that we can address these effects separately. In the future, one can build emulators for $\mathcal{B}_{i}^{(1)}(r)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{(1)}(r)$ separately and combine them. The top panel shows the LHS of the Eq. (8). Similar to the matter, massive neutrinos reduce the mean pairwise velocity of gas component at scales above 3 $h^{-1}$ Mpc and hence the gas velocity bias also decreases as the neutrino mass increases. The function $\mathcal{B}_{\text{gas}}^{(1)}(r)$ is roughly constant above 10 $h^{-1}$ Mpc, below which the baryonic physics starts to have an effect. It can also be seen that the effect from the baryonic processes remain largely unchanged for different neutrino mass cosmology. We also numerically verified that Eq. (8) holds true for the gas component. At the smallest pair separation considered, the relative difference between the LHS and RHS is 0.1%, and at the largest separation it is roughly $10^{-5}$%.

5. Second moment of radial pairwise velocity

In this section, we focus on the second moment of the pairwise velocity and check how it is affected by massive neutrinos and the effects of baryons. In the single stream regime, we can define the second moment of the pairwise velocity as

$$\langle w(r) w(r) \rangle = \langle (1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)(v_2 - v_1)(v_2 - v_1) \rangle / \langle (1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2) \rangle .$$

(9)

We are interested in the radial component, which can be defined using standard perturbation theory at leading order as

$$\langle (w \cdot \hat{r})^2 \rangle = 2 \sigma_\pi^2 = \psi_r(r_{12}),$$

(10)

where

$$\psi_r(r_{12}) = \frac{f^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \left[ j_0(k r_{12}) - 2 \frac{j_1(k r_{12})}{k r_{12}} \right] P(k) dk,$$

(11)

is the radial velocity correlation function (Gorski 1988) with $j_0(x) = \sin(x)/x$, and

$$\sigma_\pi^2 = \frac{f^2}{6\pi^2} \int_0^\infty P(k) dk.$$

(12)
is the one-dimensional velocity dispersion.

In Fig. 9, we show the direct measurement of the second moment directly from the BAHAMAS suite of simulations. In the case of the massless neutrinos, the matter in the hydrodynamical simulation has a smaller dispersion compared to the matter in the collisionless simulation. At the largest separation considered here, the second moment is reduced by 8–9%. As already noted in the first moment, the increasing neutrino mass decreases the velocity dispersion of the radial pairwise velocity. For the most massive neutrino case considered here, the pairwise dispersion is reduced by 25–40% when compared to the matter from the massless neutrino collisionless simulation. Understanding and accounting for this effect are important when modelling RSD using the streaming model framework if we want to use clustering analysis at non-linear scales.

To disentangle the effects of baryons and neutrino on the gas dispersion, we can write

$$\frac{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_{\text{gas}, M_\nu}}{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_{\text{col}, 0}} = \frac{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_{\text{gas}, M_\nu}}{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_{\text{col}, M_\nu}} \frac{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_{\text{col}, M_\nu}}{\langle w_i^2 \rangle_{\text{col}, 0}} = \mathcal{G}_{\text{gas}}^{(2)}(r) N^{(2)}(r),$$

(13)

where $\mathcal{G}_{\text{gas}}^{(2)}(r)$ and $N^{(2)}(r)$ are the velocity biases due to baryons and neutrinos, in the context of the pairwise velocity dispersion. In Fig. 10, we show the LHS (top panel) and RHS (middle and bottom panels) terms of Eq. (13). The top panel shows that the pairwise velocity dispersion of the gas component in the massless neutrino cosmology is significantly less than that of the dark matter, by more than 40% at all scales considered. The dispersion decreases further as the neutrino mass is increased, as expected. This is encouraging as we can leverage the dispersion measure of the pairwise velocity from kSZ or peculiar velocity measurements to further constrain the summed mass of neutrinos.

In the middle panel, the effect of baryons is nearly invariant for the different neutrino cosmologies, although for the most massive neutrino case the baryonic effects differ by 1–3% at pair separations of around 10–20 $h^{-1}$ Mpc from the massless neutrino case. The bottom panel effectively shows the impact of neutrinos on the velocity dispersion for dark matter species in the collisionless simulation. The most massive neutrino case causes a decrease of about 30% even at the largest separations, while a summed neutrino masses of 0.12 eV (dash-dotted line) and 0.24 eV (dotted line) show decreases in the pairwise velocity dispersion of approximately 4% and 8%, respectively.

6. Conclusions

In this study, we focused on the imprint of baryons and neutrinos (and their interplay) on the first two moments of the radial pairwise velocity distribution. Understanding these effects will help us to alleviate any potential biases in constraining cosmological parameters, in particular the neutrino mass, from the future surveys.

The assumption that the mean pairwise velocity of gas component follows that of the dark matter is a crucial one undertaken in kSZ analyses. In Fig. 1, we demonstrated that even on large pair separations, $r \in (40, 41) h^{-1}$ Mpc, the radial pairwise...
The impact of baryonic process at different redshifts was studied using the BAHAMAS reference simulation in Figs. 5 and 6. We see that even at the highest redshift considered in our study, $z = 2$, the baryonic processes introduce a one-percent-level impact on the matter mean pairwise velocity at scales above $10 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. In the case of the gas component, the impact is more prominent and introduces 4–5% change in the mean velocity with respect to the matter in a gravity-only calculation.

We studied the effect of massive neutrinos on mean radial pairwise velocity using the BAHAMAS suite of simulations. We show that the matter mean pairwise velocity decreases as the summed neutrino mass increases (Fig. 7). Though we studied the (unbiased) matter velocity field, these results suggest that the radial pairwise velocity could be used to potentially constrain neutrino mass from peculiar velocity surveys in the future, and in addition these effects could be important in modelling RSD using the streaming model framework in the presence of massive neutrinos. In Fig. 8, we disentangle the baryonic and massive neutrino effects on the mean radial pairwise velocity of gas component as introduced in Eq. (8). For the most massive neutrino considered in this work ($M_\nu = 0.48 \, \text{eV}$), we find that the mean radial pairwise velocity of gas decreases by roughly 20% when compared with dark matter in the massless neutrino simulation. The baryonic effect is nearly invariant when considering different neutrino mass simulations. Finally, we demonstrated the effect of neutrinos on the second moment of the radial pairwise velocity for both matter and gas components, as shown in Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. Similarly to the mean radial pairwise velocity, the second moment also decreases with increasing neutrino mass. The matter pairwise velocity is reduced by ~15% for $M_\nu = 0.12 \, \text{eV}$ when compared to the massless neutrino case at pair separations of $10–20 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$. At the same separation, for the highest neutrino mass considered, the impact is reduced by ~35%. This points towards the possibility of utilising the pairwise dispersion (as a function of pair separation) to constrain neutrino mass from either future peculiar velocity surveys or future CMB surveys using kSZ effect. The second moment would also be beneficial for breaking degeneracies between cosmological parameters. For example, the mean pairwise velocity scales as $f\sigma_8^2$, while the second moment scales as $(f\sigma_8^2)^2$. Direct application of our results to either peculiar velocity surveys or kSZ would require us to study the effect separately on galaxies or halos, which we reserve for a future study.

Thus, we see how different feedback models affect the moments of the pairwise velocity to varying degrees. With the forthcoming peculiar velocity and CMB surveys, understanding these systematic effects from baryons and neutrinos will be essential for constraining the cosmological parameters using pairwise velocity accurately and precisely.

Fig. 10. Similar to Fig. 8, but for the second moment of the radial pairwise velocity.

velocity distribution of the gas component differs from that of the dark matter.

Focusing on its first moment, we demonstrated that different sub-grid models lead to different effects on the mean radial pairwise velocity statistics, especially on the very small scales below $1 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$, as can be seen in Fig. 2. We also see that even at pair separations of $15–20 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$, there is a pairwise velocity bias between the gas and dark matter. This indicates that the assumption that the mean pairwise velocity of gas that follows that of dark matter breaks down at these scales.

We further studied the effect of AGN feedback, in particular on the mean pairwise velocity in Fig. 3, using the BAHAMAS simulations, finding that more energetic AGN heating pushes the matter away and leads to a decrease in the mean infall of material. In Fig. 4, we studied the effect of different baryonic processes using the cosmo-OWLS suite of simulations. The assumption that the gas follows the dark matter (above scales of $10 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$) is valid only in the case when all non-gravitational physical processes, like radiative cooling, star formation, and stellar and AGN feedback, are switched off. In the cases where those physical processes were switched on, the assumption breaks down for the pair separations we considered. The source of the large-scale velocity bias appears to be driven by the stellar feedback rather the AGN feedback as suggested in Fig. 4. Turning AGN feedback on does not significantly alter this, but it does greatly affect intermediate scales. Thus, the strength of the variation changes according to the sub-grid physics considered.
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