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Abstract

Background: Fibrous dysplasia is an aberration in normal bone development that results from a defect in osteoblastic differentiation and maturation originating in a mesenchymal precursor; it’s characterized by foci of abnormal fibro-osseous proliferation that can affect any area of the calvaria. Craniofacial fibrous dysplasia is a rare condition that can presents with craniofacial swelling and disfigurement. Usually, cranial computerised tomography scan is needed for radiological evaluation and excision and cranioplasty offers acceptable outcomes.

Objective of study: The objective of the study was to describe the clinical and radiological characteristics and outcome of management of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia in our centre.

Materials and methods: Medical records of patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia managed in our centre between January 2007 to December 2016, were reviewed retrospectively. Biodemographics of the patient, imaging and histological findings and surgical outcomes were noted and analysed.

Results: We found eleven cases of histologically confirmed craniofacial fibrous dysplasia over the study period. Seven cases were females with male-to-female ratio of 1:1.8. The mean age of presentation was 20 years ± 2 S.D. The commonest reason for presentation was cranio-facial disfigurement. All cases were polyostotic involving fronto-orbital area. Total excision and cranioplasty using polymethyl methacrylate was done for all patients. The most common complication was postoperative seroma, treated with antibiotic, aspiration and pressure dressing. There were two cases (18%) of implant infection that warranted removal of infected implants and subsequent cranioplasty three month later.

Conclusion: The study shows that cranio-facial fibrous dysplasia was commonly seen in first 3 decades of life and affects females much more than the male counterpart. Total excision and cranioplasty using polymethyl methacrylate confers acceptable results.
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Introduction

Fibrous dysplasia is a benign fibrous-osseous disease in which the normal bone matrix is replaced by fibro-osseous proliferation [1-3]. The incidence rates of fibrous dysplasia are reported at 1:4000 to 1:10,000 populations. Fibrous dysplasia accounts for 2.5-10% of all bone tumours [1,4]. The disease was described as “osteitis fibrosa generalisata” by von Recklinghausen in 1891 and subsequently coined as “fibrous dysplasia” by Lichtenstein in 1938 [1,4].

The pathogenetic mechanism involves an arrest of differentiation of immature bone marrow cells due to somatic missense mutation in the gene GNAS1 on chromosome 20q13.2-13.3; the mutation at position 201 of Gsα results in substitution of cystein or histidine by arginin [5-7].

Fibrous dysplasia can involve single bone (monostotic form), multiple bones (polyostotic form) or presents in syndromic form, such as McCune Albright syndrome (where there is associated café au lait spot and endocrinopathies), Mazabraud syndrome (fibrous dysplasia with intramuscular myxomas) [5,8,9]. Majority of cases of fibrous dysplasia are seen in the first three decades of life, with slight female preponderance [4]. The most
common presenting clinical features are craniofacial swelling and disfigurement; visual impairment due to optic nerve compression remains the most devastating consequences of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia [1,4,6].

Computerised tomography (CT) scan is an invaluable imaging modality for the assessment of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia because of its ability to delineate bony structures accurately [1,9]. The characteristic CT scan appearance of fibrous dysplasia consists of: ground glass pattern (56%), homogenously dense pattern (23%) and cystic appearance (21%) [1]. Magnetic resonance imaging provides more detailed soft tissue resolutions than CT scan but, it is not recommended because low signal from bone affected by fibrous dysplasia could be misdiagnosed for neoplasms [1,9].

Treatments of fibrous dysplasia can be non-surgical or surgical. Non-surgical treatments include observation and regular monitoring with CT scan, use of bisphosphonates like pamidronate, and use of analgesics (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) for control of pain. The non-surgical treatment options are employed in patients that have quiescent (stable, no growth) disease or those who refuse surgery [2]. Surgical treatment depends on the following factors: location of the growth, cosmetic disturbance, functional disruption and patient’s preference, general condition of the patient, available facilities and the experience of the surgeon [1]. The craniofacial skeleton has been classified into four zones based on the anatomical area involved [1]. Zone 1 includes fronto-orbital, zygomatic and upper maxillary regions; zone 2 involves the hair-bearing cranium; zone 3 represents the central cranial base; and zone 4 is teeth-bearing regions of the maxillary alveolus and mandible. Total excision of the affected bone is recommended for zone 1 and conservative or shaving is advocated for the remaining zones [1]. Generally, the attending surgeon must choose the surgical option that will provide the patient the desired outcome as well as preserve the functions of adjacent structures.

Problem statement

Craniofacial fibrous dysplasia is one of the neurosurgical conditions seen in our environment. There is been paucity of data about the clinical profile and outcomes of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia in our subregion thereby making development of treatment guidelines difficult.

Rationale of the study

The result of this study will bring out the data about craniofacial fibrous dysplasia in our settings which will serve as baseline for management of patients and subsequent research in this field.

Objective of study

The objective of the study was to describe the clinical and radiological characteristics and outcome of management of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia in our centre.

Materials and Methods

This is retrospective descriptive study of patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia managed between January 2007 to December 2016, in a regional centre for neurosurgery, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital Sokoto, Nigeria. Records of patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia were included in a proforma while cases of recurrent fibrous dysplasia and fibrous dysplasia outside craniofacial region were excluded. Variables such as biodemographic characteristics, clinical features, radiological and histopathological findings, and outcomes of treatment (postoperative seroma, implant infection and cosmesis) were analysed by simple descriptive analysis using SPSS IBM version 20.0 for windows. The surgical access was gained a bicoronal incision for the patients, lesion exposed and excised completely. No dural breach recorded and sinus was cranialised and plugged with pedicled pericranial flap and cranioplasty done using polymethyl methacrylates. Post-operatively patients were given antibiotics, analgesics and wound care. Passive, closed drain was inserted intraoperatively and removed after forty-eight hours. Most patients were followed up for up to two years but some were lost to follow up as early as 6 months post-surgery.

Results

Eleven patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia were managed during the study period. These consisted of seven females and four males giving a male-to-female ratio of 1:1.8. The age range was 6 to 40 years, with mean age of 20 years ± 2 S.D. The universal presenting clinical features were craniofacial swelling and disfigurement and diplopia (Figure 1), in addition, two
study where 90% of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia were seen in patients aged 30 and below (Figure 3).

The primary reasons for seeking treatment in all patients were craniofacial swelling and aesthetic disturbance [10]. Though, reports from previous studies were in tandem with our findings other remarkable clinical features were visual impairment, pain and pathological fractures which were absent in all our cases [1,4,11]. Monostotic fibrous dysplasia has been reported to occur four times more often that polyostotic type however, polyostotic fibrous dysplasia affects craniofacial regions in 90% of the cases [2,6,12]. This conforms to the findings of our study of polyostotic fibrous dysplasia in all the cases (Figure 4A and Figure 4B).

Discussion

In this study there was a slight female preponderance (male-to-female ratio 1:1.8), which is consisted with reports from other comparable studies [4,6]. When all age groups were considered, there was marked preference of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia for the first three decades of life (Figure 1) [4,8]. This was the case in our study where 90% of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia were seen in patients aged 30 and below (Figure 3).
described the clinical and radiological features as well as outcomes of management craniofacial fibrous dysplasia in our setting. This would serve as foundation for future research in this neurosurgical condition and has contributed a data in literature on craniofacial fibrous dysplasia globally while given peculiarities of our environment.

Conclusion

Surgical treatment of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia is challenging to both the surgeons and patients, this is due to aesthetic disfigurement to the patient and the surgeon’s task of reconstruction of the disfigured anatomy to meet patient’s desire. The disease has preponderance for females and young individuals in first 3 decades of life. Patients usually present due to cosmetic embarrassment and swelling. Total excision and cranioplasty using polymethyl methacrylate offers acceptable cosmesis.
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