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That the French court poet Clément Marot (born in Cahors in 1496) died in Turin in 1544 has always been known; that his life-long friend Lyon Jamet wrote an epitaph for his tomb and had it engraved in marble, also. That the epitaph was effaced and the tomb could not be found was generally accepted. Combining factual information consisting of sixteenth-century references (Audebert’s Voyage d’Italie and Gianbernardo Miolo’s Cronaca, both not new but almost passed into oblivion), the author reconstructs the circumstances around Marot’s burial, identifies the commissioner, and claims that the epitaph, although effaced, can be located almost exactly in the Cathedral San Giovanni in Turin. A recent discovery of a contemporary drawing of the original epitaph makes a virtual reconstruction in loco possible.

To refresh the minds of the connoisseurs, and to introduce the others to the issue at stake, I first present a short survey of the state of the question about Clément Marot’s arrival and stay in Turin, trying to distinguish between known facts (F) and probabilities (P).

1. Marot left Geneva in 1543 on an unknown date, but (F) after October the 15th, when Jean Calvin pleaded at the Geneva council for a pension to support Marot1, and (P) before 20/12/1543, when Marot’s name is mentioned but he himself is not summoned for the Consistory in the so called “tric-trac affaire”2.

2. (F) Marot spent some time in Savoy, i.e. near Annécy with Bonivard’s sister in law, Pétremande de la Balme (to whom he dedicated an epigram3) and with a friend of Bonivard, François Noel de Bellegarde (near Chambéry), a man of some stature and political weight in Savoy, to whom he also addressed a poem4.

---

1) «Maistre Calvin pour Clement Marot. – Le sieur Calvin a exposé pour et au nom de Clement Marotz requerant luy faire quelque bien et ilz se perforera [usually emended: se parforcera] de amplir les seaulme de David. Ordonné de luy dire que pregnett passience pour le present», P. PIDOUX, Le Psautier Huguenot du XVI siècle, vol. II (Basle, Bärenreiter, 1962), p. 23.

2) The story can be read in the minutes of the Consistoire from 18 to 20 December 1543 (Registres du Consistoire de Genève, vol. I, pp. 287-295. Also extracts in Pidoux II, pp. 23-24). The games that are mentioned are dames, jeux des cartes, dés, and tricquetract and the core issue is to identify the ‘prédicant’ from Orbaz (Pidoux transcribed ‘Orléans’) who is suggested to have participated in a game played for money. Reading between the lines, one can deduce that François Bonivard (Seigneur of St. Victor), Clément Marot and Aimée Curtet (a syndic) met regularly to play.

3) «Adieu ce bel œil tant humain…» (CLÉMENT MAROT, Oeuvres poétiques complètes, vol. II, éd. G. Defaux, Paris, 1992, pp. 337-338).

4) A ung sien amy (Defaux II, pp. 703-705). We do not take into account the anonymous epistle addressed to M. Pelisson (president of the Parlement of Chambéry): A Monsieur Pelisson, president de Savoye, 1543, (Defaux II, pp. 705-707). The author of this epistle has only recently arrived in Savoy coming from Paris/France (v. 35). The implicit chronology is irreconcilable with established elements of Marot’s chronology, who left France in
3. (P/F) Marot tried to move the King's heart to let him return to France. Some epigrams testify to this effort⁷.

4. (P) While in Chambéry he must have heard of the preparations for battle and the subsequent victory of the *Comte d'Enghien* (François de Bourbon) near Ceresole (14 April 1544). (F) In an Epistle Marot offers his services to the conquering hero and in an epigram he sends his best wishes to his military camp⁸.

5. (F) He ventured south from Chambéry and (P) via the pass of Col Mt. Cenis and the valley of Susa, (F) he arrived in Turin, the headquarters of the King's governor⁷.

6. (F) On 12 September 1544 Marot died.

7. (F) Lyon Jamet wrote an epitaph for his tomb, which was engraved in marble and placed in the Cathedral San Giovanni in Turin⁸.

At this point there is some confusion, because some authorities suggest that Marot died in the *Ospedale San Giovanni Battista* and that Jamet had the epitaph inscribed on his tomb *there*. The heading, however, with which the epitaph of Jamet is published is unequivocal in its reference to the cathedral:

> Epitaphe sur le tombeau de Marot, Faict par Lyon Jamet, insculpé en marbre en l'Eglise Saint-Jean de Turin, 1544, le 12 septembre.

The Turin cathedral is dedicated to San Giovanni, i.e., John the Baptist⁸.

1542, stayed in Geneva for about a year. Discussion of the authenticity in Mayer (C. MAROT, Oeuvres completes, vol. I: Les Epitres, éd. C.A. MAYER, London, 1955, pp. 62-63 (rejection), and in Defaux II, p. 1292-1294; cf. p. 1122 (acceptance of the authenticity, but not successful in accounting for the chronological problems implied).

(5) A ‘placet’: «Plaise au roy congé me donner / D‘aller faire le tiers d‘Ovide…» (Defaux II, p. 710. ‘Le tiers d‘Ovide’ refers to the translation of the *Metamorphoses* by Marot); a *Dizain au Roy, envoyé de Savoye. 1543* (Defaux II, p. 319; first publication 1547 (Marnel); also present in Du Moulin and Fontaine’s selection of Marot’s Oeuvres, so bibliographically as ‘certain’ as possible).

(6) This epistle was published separately in 1544 by N. L’Hermitier (Mayer, n° 121, Defaux II, p. 707). The epigram *Salutation du camp de Monsieur d‘Anguier* appeared in print in 1549 in an edition of Marot’s *Oeuvres* by Jean de Tours (Mayer n° 169, Defaux II, p. 338). The style and content of this epigram leave open the possibility that Marot had already joined the camp before the actual battle.

(7) The final phrase in *L’Histoire ecclésiastique* concerning Marot suggests the same: «…il s’en alla passer le reste de ses jours en Piémont, alors possédé par le roy, où il usa sa vie en quelque seureté sous la faveur des gouverneurs» *(Histoire ecclésiastique des Eglises Réformées, vol. I, ed. P. VESSON, Toulouse, 1882, p. 20)*; reprint from the 1580 edition, ascribed to Th. de Bèze). One of the actual governors at that time is Martin du Bellay, brother of Guillaume, who had been governing the province of Piedmont until his death in 1543.

(8) The epitaph was published in *Cinquante Deux Psaumes de David* (Paris, Jacques Bogarde, 1546), Mayer n° 149. Already on 1 October 1544 (date of the privilege) a *Déploration de France sur la mort de Clément Marot, son souverain poëte*, appeared in print in Paris. See Guiffrey I (C.M., Les Oeuvres, éd. G. GUIFFREY-R. YVE-PLESSIS, vol. I: La vie de C.M., Paris, 1911). For the text of this epitaph, see Mayer, *Clément Marot*, pp. 514-515 (idem in Defaux II, p. 1187). Guiffrey I gives the text as published by Roville 1561.

(9) An *Ospedale San Giovanni* indeed existed. It was even officially (re-)instituted in 1541 in an effort to improve social welfare by centralising all city hospitals in a modern building near the Duomo (SANDRA CAVALLO, Charity and Power in Early Modern Italy. Benefactors and their motives in Turin, 1541-1789, [Cambridge History of Medicine] Cambridge, 1995, pp. 12-14). The hospital only received a proper building near the cathedral in 1545. The first occurrence of Marot being buried in the (chapel of) the hospital, I found — but I don’t claim completeness — in 1914 with PH. A. BECKER in the final part of his biographical essay *Marots Leben*, «Zeitschrift für französische Sprache und Literatur**, 42 (1914), p. 205: «Marots Leiche wurde im Ospedale San Giovanni Battista in Turin beigesetzt, und sein alter Freund Lion Jamet setzte ihm die Grabschrift». In 1926 (in the introduction to his biography) Becker himself classified this text as premature, though leaving this error uncorrected (PH. A. BECKER, *Clément Marot, sein Leben und seine Dichtung*, Munich, 1926, p. 183). The same error I also found with...
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“À la recherche du tombeau perdu”

Of course people have searched for traces of the tomb and the epitaph, but in vain:

– Nicolas Lenglet Du Fresnoy (1674-1755), Marot’s first modern editor, writes in a footnote (Oeuvres vol. I, p. xxiv, 1731) below the text of the epitaph: «J’ai prié un de mes amis qui alloit en Italie de voir en passant à Turin, si cet Epitaphe de Clément Marot se trouveroi encore dans l’Eglise de St. Jean, où Lyon Jamet l’avoi fait graver. Mais toutes les recherches ont été inutiles; soit que Marot ayant donné dans les nouvelles opinions, on ait depuis ôté cet Epitaphe, soit que le temps l’ait effacé ou fait oublier».

– Georges Guiffrey (1827-1887), the first one who tried to establish a critical edition of Marot’s works, went to Turin himself (not so far, he was senator of the département Hautes-Alpes) to look for any trace of it. This is his report (Œuvres, vol. I, p. 561): «Nous avons fait le voyage de Turin pour rechercher dans l’église Saint-Jean la pierre sous laquelle devait reposer Marot; Nous n’avons pu découvrir le moindre vestige de cette sépulture… À défaut de la pierre funéraire qui peut-être fut enlevée au milieu des vicissitudes de la politique, ou dont l’inscription fut effacée par les pas des fidèles, nous avons tenté d’interroger les archives obituaires de l’église. Ces archives s’arrêtent brusquement quelque temps avant la mort de Marot. Le temps a ses caprices…»

Before continuing, a preliminary question needs to be dealt with: how is it possible that a French poet with a ‘protestant’ reputation was buried in the Archbishop’s Cathedral, a poem in French being carved out in marble and placed above the tomb? The level of amazement can already be considerably lowered when one takes into account the historical context: after the battle of Ceresole, Turin had become the headquarters of the conquering army, and thus the centre of French dominion. In 1544 the term ‘protestant’ was not yet cleared out: many people were longing for and working on a reformation of the Church; in and around Turin the Vaudois community was prominent; the region of Piedmont was known as a safe haven for many a refugee from France10; and finally the (unifying) influence of Jean Calvin should not be overestimated yet: his dominance was only emerging. One should not project (or better: retroject) simple oppositions of later days to times when they were only in statu nascendi. But there is more to this than placing the facts in a historical perspective alone. Marot was not just ‘anybody’; he was the «prince des poètes francoys». The usual suggestion that Marot lived and died in poverty the last months of his life in Turin, desperately – but in vain – trying to get re-

---

Henri Guy (Clément Marot et son école, Paris, 1926, p. 320); Pierre Jourda (Marot, l’homme et l’oeuvre, Paris, 1950, p. 58); C.A. Mayer (Clément Marot, Paris, 1972, p. 515), and G. Defaux (C.M., Œuvres Poétiques t. I, Paris, 1990, p. clxvii). The fatality of such a copying attitude is that the misinformation is raised to the status of fact. E.g., as such it is presented in the popular biography by Jean-Luc Déjean, Clément Marot (Paris, Fayard, 1990), pp. 383-384: «Ce dont nous sommes sûrs, c’est qu’il [sc. Jamet] arriva à point pour lui donner des funérailles décentes, dans le cimetière de l’hôpital Saint-Jean-Baptiste à Turin. Il orna la tombe d’une plaque de marbre portant une épitaphe de sa façon».

(10) See J. Jalla, Le refuge français dans les vallées Vaudoises, in «BSHPF» 83 (1934), pp. 561-592; «BSHPF» 85 (1936), pp. 5-25. He writes about the beginning of the 1540s: «La tolérance religieuse était tellement plus grande en Piémont que dans les autres provinces de la monarchie française, que cette région servit de refuge à plusieurs de ceux qui étaient traqués au-delà des Alpes» (p. 573). For a general assessment of the Vaudois movement, in., Storia della Riforma in Piemonte (Torre Pellice, Claudiana, 1914; reprinted in 1982).
stored to his former glory (the favour of the King) is not based on fact; on the contrary: the few known facts seem to point in the opposite direction. A contemporary witness to Marot’s burial, Gianbernardo Miolo (1506-1569; since 1539 a notary employed by Guglielmo of Cercenasco, a village near Turin), informs us that the King’s Ambassador in Rome, George d’Armagnac (at the end of the year he is offered the cardinal’s hat), carried the expenses for the burial of Marot. This simple communication is revelatory, but seems to have eluded the attention of Marot scholars. George d’Armagnac was not only the King’s ambassador, but also Marguerite de Navarre’s protégé. She had introduced him at Court. He became one of France’s most influential diplomats, friend of Princes and Popes, and great lover of the Arts. He is suggested to have commissioned the burial and commanded the placement of the epitaph, something which seems quite imaginable. Perhaps the author of the epitaph, Lyon Jamet, was the one who in loco took care that everything went as foreseen. Him we often only know as Marot’s friend, but he was much more than that. He was seigneur de Chambrun and an international diplomat. Ever since his flight to Ferrara, slightly preceding Marot’s arrival there, but having fled for the same reason (he was on the list of wanted persons after the Affaire des Placards), he was at the service of the Duchess and the Duke, which is quite extraordinary regarding his ‘protestant’ stigma. As the Duke’s personal secretary and ambassador he fulfilled many an important mission, both in Italy and France. Behind these two men, two of the most powerful female friends of Marot; Marguerite de Navarre and Renée de Ferrara, become visible by implication. They are the true instigators of his prominent burial place. Marot was not living an obscure life in Turin, nor did he die unnoticed. Prominent persons took care of his final resting place, which therefore should be worthy of France’s most eminent poet: in the Cathedral of Turin.

Nicolas Audebert’s description of the burial place and the epitaph

In 1962 Adalberto Olivero dug up and published ‘new’ (i.e., once more, ‘old’) information about the exact location of Marot’s tomb and epitaph; information he had found in a Manuscript in London, containing Nicolas Audebert’s report of his Italian journey of 1574-1578, Voyage d’Italie. Audebert writes – with indignation –

(11) Cronaca di Gianbernardo Miolo di Lombrascio notato. The notes concerning the events that happened during his lifetime, were extracted from his manuscript by Giuseppe Vernazza and prepared for print in 1771. Vernazza comments on Miolo’s style and notes: «Lo stile adoperato dal Miolo è rozzo latino, ma dappertutto risplende la buona fede e la natural franchezza della verità». These ‘Notizie’ were published in Miscellanea di Storia Italiana, vol. 1, Turin, ed. Regia deputazione di Storia Patria, 1862, pp. 145-233. The quote about Marot’s burial is placed at the end of a detailed account of the main events of 1544 in the region (including the battle at Ceresole). Miolo apparently did not know the exact dates, since normally his chronicle is full of exact dates ordered chronologically. As a kind of Post Scriptum to the events of 1544, he writes: «De anno eodem 1544. Taurini Clement Marot gallus in rittimis galicis clarissimus moritus et in templo archiepiscopali inhumatur expensis Georgii cardinalis Armeniaci» (p. 184). JALA, Le refuge français dans les vallées Vaudoises, p. 576 (see above note 10) and A. OLIVERO in his edition of Nicolas Audebert’s Voyage d’Italie, (Rome, Lucarini, 1981), p. 291 both refer to Miolo’s chronicle.

(12) Georges d’Armagnac (1501-1585) was portrayed by Tiziano Vecellio (now in Musée du Louvre). For him, see SALVADOR MIRANDA, The Cardinals of the Holy Roman Church, Essay of a General List of Cardinals (112-2007), http://www.fiu.edu/~mirandas/bios1544.htm#Armagnac.

(13) On Lyon Jamet, see ROSANNA GORIS, «Va lettre, va … droit à Clément»: Lyon Jamet, sieur de Chambrun, du Poitou à la ville des Este, un itinéraire religieux et existentiel, in Les Grands Jours de Rabiales en Poitou. Actes du Colloque de Poitiers réunis par Marie-Luce DEMONET, Geneva, Droz, 2006, pp. 145-172. Jamet lived in Ferrara from 1535/6-1548, returning there once more in 1554 to assist Renée when she was imprisoned (during an investigation of the Inquisition).

(14) British Museum, Lansdowne Ms. 720. This Ms. has been ‘known’ always, but only in the last part of the nineteenth century researchers began
that Marot’s epitaph in Turin was erased just before his arrival. He mentions as a matter of fact that this was explicitly demanded by the roman-catholic authorities. Next to the very precise date and circumstance, already noteworthy, the most interesting element of Audebert’s description is that he also indicates the exact location of tomb and epitaph. To give the reader the opportunity to follow, we copy the transcription as published by Olivero\(^\text{15}\):

Tout contre et à un bout du palais est la principale et Cathedrale eglise nommée San Giovanni, laquelle est très belle, grande et spatieuse. Il y a deux entrées l’une qui est tout au bout, et de premiere arrivée regarde droit au maistre aultel, à laquelle se monte dix ou douze marches de pierre de taille. L’autre porte est petite et à main droicte, devant laquelle y a un petit perron pour venir en l’eglise et souzb iceluy est ensepulturé Clément Marot duquel l’epitaphe estoit tout proche, au dedans de l’eglise, en une pierre longuette qui est dans la muraille, laquelle a\(^\text{16}\), depuis peu de temps, esté martellée et l’epitaphe effacé, par l’advis et requeste de l’Archevesque, et maistres de l’inquisition, avec le consentement de son Altesse, ce que Madame la Ducesse avoit longtemps empesché et rompu le coup quand il s’estoit proposé. Il n’y avoit en l’epitaphe qu’un dixain en vers francoys, telz qu’ilz suivent qui furent faicts par un aultre poete francoys nommé Lyon Iamet.

Icy devant au giron de sa mere
Gist des Francoys le Virgile et l’Homere
Cy est\(^\text{17}\) couché, et repose à l’envers
Le non pareil des disans en vers.
Cy gist celuy qui\(^\text{18}\) peu de terre coeuvre
Qui toute\(^\text{19}\) France enrichit de son oeuvre
Cy dort un mort, qui tousjours vif sera
Tant que la France en Francoys parlera.
Bref gist, repose, et dort en ce lieu cy
Clément Marot de Cahors en Quercy.
le 12 septembre 1544

Audebert renders the epitaph in a version almost identical to already known editions. According to his own report, he did not actually see the original epitaph, since it was already effaced when he arrived in Turin. The information about the circumstances in which the epitaph was demolished, seems trustworthy. One gets the impres-
tion that Audebert reproduces information he got while in Turin. Something of fresh felt indignation shimmers through his text. Apparently the epitaph was demolished shortly after the death of Marguerite de France (15 September 1574), she also being the only reason that this was not done before. The Archbishop in question, Girolamo della Rovere, was educated in France, acquainted with the poets of the Pléiade, and tried to implement the Tridentine reform. That the Duke of Savoy consented can also be understood. He not only supported the new archbishop, but seemed to have had high expectations of the Jesuits: signs that both opted for a re-catholicisation of the Waldensian region and therefore were willing to cooperate with the ‘masters of the inquisition’ to erase Marot’s epitaph in the Cathedral. Marot’s ‘fama lutherani’, during his lifetime already inextricably bound to his person, had only increased after his death, in particular because his Psalms were sung in reformed liturgy. Next to these religious motives, one should also not underestimate anti-French sentiments in Turin/Savoy in those years. The French occupation (from 1536) had ended in 1559, when the duke of Savoy (Emanuele Filiberto) had succeeded in transforming his duchy into a powerful political player in the region (peace of Cateau-Cambrésis). Italian became the official language and in the centuries to come the Duchy of Savoy became a stable and unifying factor on the hopelessly divided Italian peninsula. This relative independence (both from Spain and France) of the Duchy of Savoy coincided with the Duke’s marriage with the daughter of François I, Marguerite de France. The personal attachment of Marguerite de France (1523-1574) to the ‘monumentum’ for Clément Marot can also be understood: she must have known him personally, Marot was her father’s official poet; as a young girl she even once had received an Epistle from her niece (Jeanne d’Albret), which in reality was written by Marot. As a girl from François’s first marriage (with Claude de France, d. 1524), she was raised by her aunt, Marguerite d’Alençon, Queen of Navarre, Marot’s most loyal supporter and protector. Cultural interest, spiritual open-mindedness, and readiness to personally protect religious refugees, mirror her upbringing. This valuable information provided by Audebert, made available by Olivero in his article in 1962, did not really attract attention of the scholars in the last part of the twentieth century. In his biography of Marot (1972), C.A. Mayer even obscures this when he, in a footnote referring to the article of A. Olivero, only writes: «Peu de temps après l’Inquisition semble avoir enlevé toutes traces de ce tombeau. Déjà au dix-huitième siècle il était introuvable».20

(20) Since 1560 the Jesuit missionary Antoine Possevino actively tried to re-catholicise the Waldensian valleys. When the Duke restored the Turin University he recruited professors of theology and metaphysics among the clergy and decreed that members from the newly founded (1567) Jesuit college would teach the humanities (Paul F. Grendel, The universities of the Italian Renaissance, Baltimore-London, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2002, pp. 88-89). That the Duke organised the transfer of the Holy Shroud from Chambéry to Turin in 1578, also speak volumes, even more because it apparently was staged to please the very pious Bishop of Milan, Carlo Borromeo.

(21) Pour la petite princesse de Navarre, à Madame Marguerite, «Voyant que la Royne ma Mere…» (De- faux I, p. 330). Jeanne d’Albret (ghost) writes this letter to reassure her niece. The famous «Ma mignonne / Je vous donne / Le bon jour…» is meant to cheer up the same patient. For Emanuele Filiberto, see P. Merlin, Emanuele Filiberto, un principe tra il Piemonte e l’Europa (Turin, SEI, 1999) and for Marguerite de France, see R. Peyre, Une princesse de la Renaissance, Marguerite de France, duchesse de Savoie, (Paris, E. Paul, 1902), awaiting a new monograph by Rosanna Gorini, Marguerite de France, princesse des frontières. Poésie, éthique et politique à la cour de la duchesse de Savoie (forthcoming).

(22) Mayer, Clément Marot, p. 515. With this last phrase Mayer probably refers to Lenglet’s initiative as does O. Douen in his book about Marot and the Huguenot Psalter (vol. I, p. 443, footnote): «On a vainement cherché son épitaphe dans l’église Saint-Jean, en 1731; il est probable qu’elle avait disparu dès le xvié siècle». A short summary of Olivero’s article, focusing only on the circumstances, not mentioning the location, was published by H.P. Clive in his research bibliography Clément Marot, an annotated bibliography (London, Grant and Cutler, 1983), sub C 111.
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Location of tomb and epitaph in the «Duomo»

But what is even more astonishing, is that the very precise indications about the location of Marot’s final resting place and the epitaph inside the Church seem completely to have eluded the eyes of modern scholars, since I could not find any reference to this in subsequent literature. Nevertheless it can’t be more precise. Audebert gives accurate directions, as if he wants to guide the reader to the proper place. To find Marot’s grave the visitor should not enter through the main entrance but take the smaller door at the right-hand side of the Church.

1. In front of this door is a landing, or pavement (‘perron’). Here Marot is buried («et soubz iceluy est ensepulturé Clement Marot»)
2. To find Jamet’s epitaph one should enter the church through that door, and look for the epitaph, since it should be nearby («duquel l’epitaphe estoit tout proche au dedans de l’église»);
3. It should not to be looked for on the floor (as Guiffrey did), but on the wall «en une pierre longuette qui est dans la muraille».
4. One should not expect to find it, since it was completely demolished and cut off («martellée»). Nevertheless the location might still be determined.

Based on this information an “expedition” to Turin forced itself. Although no expert in architecture and inscriptions at all, and with only a very general knowledge of the history of Turin, this could never be more than prospecting to size up the situation and determine whether a further investigation would be worthwhile. The results – as described below – we offer to real experts ‘as they are’, i.e., without any pretension, hoping they might incite them to make the proper assessments in loco.²³ The ‘small entrance at the right-hand side of the Cathedral’ was quickly found. The space in front of it, where the ‘perron’ used to be, serves as an office to the parish of San Giovanni. The space below, where Marot originally was buried, is now used as the entrance of the museum. The many changes, restorations and transformations make it highly unlikely that the bones of Marot would still be there, but the location of the burial place itself seems certified.

Ever since the remnants of the old churches were discovered under the existing church (restoration of 1997, after the great fire), archeologists and architects have taken over to uncover and interpret the presence of ‘a complete second church’ below the ‘upper church’, apparently also meant for devotional use. Next to the remnants of three palaeo-christian churches, they found and identified the bones of Cardinal Domenico della Rovere (d. 1501), Bishop of Turin and driving force behind the construction of the Renaissance cathedral completed in 1498.²⁴ These excavations

(23) We visited Turin on Monday 13 July 2009. The museum was closed (only open on Friday-Saturday-Sunday) and we only had time to take a quick look around and make some pictures.
(24) The Della Rovere family was «one of early modern Italy’s most powerful and influential historical families... a family of popes, cardinals, and powerful dukes who financed some of the world’s best known and greatest artwork» (back-cover of a collection of essays entitled Patronage and dynasty: the rise of the della Rovere in Renaissance Italy, ed. Ian F. VERSTEGEN, Truman State University, 2007). The most famous Della Rovere pope is Julius II (Giuliano della Rovere, pope from 1503-1513, father of Felicia). Domenico became cardinal in succession of his older brother Cristoforo, who in 1478 was made Cardinal of Tarentaise by Pope Sixtus IV (Francesco Della Rovere, no direct family relation). Domenico preferred the title of S. Clemente in 1479; he was transferred to Geneva in 1482, but exchanged it for the see of Turin in the same year. In Rome he acquired a chapel in the church of S. Maria del Popolo, which he had Pinturicchio decorate. At his death in 1501 he was buried there, next to his brother. Domenico’s remains were later transferred to Turin and buried in the crypt of ‘his’ cathedral. The bones of Anne de Crecy, (died 1541), the wife of Sieur de Langey (Guillaume du Bellay, governor of Piedmont) were also found and identified.
brought to light that not only the crypt below the sacristy (a little further at the right side of the choir) was used as an ossuary: burial places were found all along the outside church walls. One is still in the process of making the inventory.

It is apparent that in the early days of this Cathedral this area was used for burials, elements not only corroborating the account of Audebert about the «perron, soubz iceluy est ensepulturé Clement Marot», but also providing it with the necessary context to make it imaginable.

The church itself is full with epitaphs and funerary monuments, many of them placed on the wall, engraved in marble. According to Audebert’s report Marot’s epitaph was located inside the church, on a stone in the wall, not far from the door («tout proche au dedans de l’eglise en une pierre longuette qui est dans la murailles»). Both walls close to the door are equipped with inscriptions. To the right (when entering the

1. Profile - longitudinal section – of the Duomo (with the contours of the chapel of the Holy Shroud, a later addition). The entrance is between the 6th and 7th pillar, just before the transept.

(25) Next to the neatly labelled cases with the bones of Della Rovere and other clerics in the crypt below the sacristy «[i] sotterranei del Duomo custodivano altri tipi di sepolcri. Numerose lapidi, indicazioni sui muri e incisioni attendono di essere studiate e messe a confronto della storia. Oltre all’ossario degli ecclesiastici sono state rinvenute a ridosso delle mura perimetrali lunghe sequenze di tombe a botola», ALBERTO RICCADONNA, Ecco l’«altro» Duomo. Un magnifico complesso liturgico e archeologico – La tombe di Della Rovere in «La Voce del Popolo», http://www.diocesi.torino.it/exdiario/alto_duomo.htm (last modified, 10 September 2003); accessed 23 July 2009). This article was published on the occasion of the completion of the restoration of the crypt of the Cathedral. The supervising architect was Maurizio Momo. It became clear that Cardinal Della Rovere had created two churches, one upper and one lower church. A museum was instituted by the diocese to give the people access to the souterrain of the Cathedral and the discoveries made there. M. MOMO wrote an official text to introduce this museum: Il Museo Diocesano. Sede – restauri – allestimento, http://www.diocesi.torino.it/museo/scheda.htm (last modified 13 January 2009; accessed 23 July 2009). The plan is taken from an article about the Museum by Don Natale MAFFOLI (in a brochure in which Gianluca Popolla describes the way an ecclesiastical museum should function): http://www.diocesi.torino.it/museo/volontari-museo-marzo09.pdf (last modified 19 March 2009, accessed 23 July 2009).
New light on the location of Clément Marot’s tomb and epitaph in Turin

Ceva is one of the many marquisates in Piedmont, itself divided into a number of minor marquisates. It lost its independence (i.e., direct feudal link with the Emperor) when Charles V granted it to the Duke of Savoy in 1531. The text (entirely in majuscules): “hoc tumulo rari splendoris dona fervvr / hic e christophorvs tymvlatvs marchio cevae / cardineique nepos patris cognomine sancti / clemeitss sacri templi reverendvs et livvs / canonievs ovois censendvs honore sacerdos / moribvsvingeni vittae probitatv decore / obiit xv maii m.d.xvi”. The “a” from cevae is not placed correctly and twice a small majuscule is needed to correct a mistake (“marchio” and “cardineique”). Standard abbreviations are used. I was not able to identify a Cristoforo as marquis of Ceva. For the history of Ceva, see Giovanni Olivero, Memorie storiche della città e marchesato di Ceva (Ceva, 1858). He identifies this person as the son of Aria di Valarano della Rovere, sister of Domenico della Rovere, and Gio. Antonio Ceva d’Ormea (o.c., p. 122. See also Ferdinando Rondolino, Il Duomo di Torino illustrato (Turin, 1898), p. 171.

church) there is an inscription, beautifully carved in marble with an elevated border. Above the text is the coat of arms of a noble family (the inner part is vanished, only the outside shape is visible). It must belong to the marquisate of Ceva, since according to the epitaph a marquis of Ceva, named Cristoforo, was buried there (“Christophorus marchio Cevae”).

2. Epitaph of Cristoforo di Ceva (at the right-hand side from the door on entering the church)

The reason why he was buried in the Turin Cathedral is also mentioned: he was related to (”nepos”) Domenico della Rovere himself, in the text simply referred to as the cardinal of S. Clemente (“cardine[us] Sancti Clementio”). Cristoforo di Ceva died 15 May 1516. We can assume that the stone was fix and firm at that particular place when in 1544 Jamet’s epitaph for Marot was to be placed on a wall near the door.

On the opposite wall (left when entering the church) a stone commemorates that Claude Guichard was buried there, counselor and historiographer of the Duke of Savoy, a famous archeologist (specialised in ancient funeral rites) and a French poet as well. The epitaph informs us that he had died 8 May 1607.
This epitaph postdates the removal of Jamet’s inscription with 33 years. It therefore is quite possible that this was the wall ‘tout proche’, on which until 1574 Jamet’s epitaph could be read. We took a closer look and noticed something odd. Contrary to the epitaph on the opposite wall (and many others in this church), this epitaph was not carved out beautifully in marble, with a border as so many others in the church; it appeared to be not even really engraved: it was more painted on the stone than carved in it. A rectangular space in a whitened wall. Taking an even closer look, we noticed roughness at the place of the upper and lower border (but it is no border, the white painting simply stops there), as if something had been cut off and the surface was not properly smoothed. Of course the church has been damaged, restored and repainted many times. And perhaps there is another explanation for this peculiarity, but nevertheless: looking at this post-Audebert inscription, it seemed quite imaginable that his inscription covered the place of a previous one, that of Jamet’s epitaph for Marot, which had been removed by force (‘martellée’). All elements fitted:

(27) This epitaph is also carved entirely in majuscules. Abbreviations are used, once with small uppercase letters in superscript (SER): «Clavdivs gviichardvs ara[n]dati dominus / ab intimis consilijs suppliantibus / libellis ser[enissimi] Sabavdiæ dcvcis hic / post varios casvs ad / aeternam qvietem / QYESCIT. / SOI fide DvO vita, quod svfficit opta. / Sit tibi cara salvs, caetera crede nihil. / vivit annos LI. dies XXIX. / obiit die VIII. maji: / M.D.C.VII».

Claude Guichard (born around 1545), studied in Turin, was a close friend and colleague of the (more famous) Antoine Favre. Guichard is mainly remembered for his Funerailles et diverses Manières d’ensevelir des Romains, Grecs et autres nations (Lyon, Jean de Tournes, 1581), dedicated to the Duke of Savoy. He also translated Livius and published Quatrains sur la vanité du monde, a poetic genre very popular at that time in France. Intriguing is the adagium at the end of this epitaph («Soli fide Deo vitae quod sufficit opta / Sit tibi cara salvs caetera crede nihil»: ‘Trust in God alone, desire from life what is sufficient. Take good care of your salvation, for the rest fear nothing’), not so much for the superficial resemblance to ‘sola fide’ but because it is quoted 50 years later by Guy Autret, Seigneur de Missirien, in the dedication to the Bishop of Cornwall of his 1659 edition of Albert Le Grand’s Vie des Saints de la Bretagne. He refers to this adagium as written by «un auteur pieux», characterizing it as one of the finest summaries of what faith is about ever written (p. xxii, edition QUIMPER, 1901). This suggests that it was published. We wonder, did Guichard write it, publish it? or his friend Favre? or...?
not far from the right side door…
just inside the church…

Although we had not seen Jamet’s epitaph with our physical eyes, we had the strong impression of having seen it with our spiritual eyes, a minor but sweet revenge on those people who had so vigorously tried to wipe out all traces of Clément Marot de Cahors en Quercy.

La mort n’y mord

DIICK WURSTEN - JETTY JANSEN

Post Scriptum

After returning home, having done the research to write this article, our attention was drawn to a recent publication by Richard Cooper, in which he relates that he found an image of the original epitaph in Turin, a drawing by a student, who in the middle of the sixteenth century traveled through Italy, Spain, Germany and France setting down epitaphs and other inscriptions. His manuscript ends with two epitaphs dedicated to Marot, the final one being Jamet’s (Harvard, Houghton Library, MS Typ 152, f° 179v°, Imagines sepulcrorum et epitaphiorum inscriptiones antique). See RICHARD COOPER, Dolet et Marot jugés par Jean Binet et Gabriele Siméoni, in Esculape et Dionysos: Mélanges en l’honneur de Jean Céard, éds. J. Dupebe, F. Giacone, E. Naya, A.-P. Pouey-Mounou (Geneva, Droz, 2008), pp. 511-527, the discovery on pp. 522-523. The text of the epitaph in majuscules with abbreviations and orthographical errors (which at the same time betray that the one who carved it probably was an Italian and that the drawing is not fake) closes with: «Obit Thaurini An.D. M.D.XLIIII. D.XII..S.» (‘he died Anno Dominii 1544 on the 12th Day of September’). The space used for Guichard’s epitaph and the space needed for Marot’s seem to match. The drawing of this wandering student is reproduced below, copied from the article of Richard Cooper cited above, p. 527.

(28) The next epitaph (also on the left side of the door, but on the marble pillar inside the church is the epitaph of «Anto. Adimarus», a famous Florentine (Antonio degli Adimari) who died 1528, not only farther away from the door than the epitaph of Guichard, but pre-dating Marot’s death as well.