Dispersive waves in functionally graded plates
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Abstract. The dispersion waves propagating in anisotropic functionally graded (FG) plates with arbitrary transverse heterogeneity and arbitrary elastic monoclinic anisotropy are analysed within a recently developed six-dimensional formalism. The dispersion relation is obtained for all modes of dispersive harmonic waves propagating in an unbounded plate.

1 Introduction

Functionally graded (FG) materials with transverse inhomogeneity can considerably change material acoustic properties. That may result in filtering specific types of acoustic signals at some frequencies \([1 - 13]\). These properties of wave propagation in FG plates are of particular interest in various NDT applications \([14 - 16]\). However, until now there were no closed form analytical solutions for dispersion equations suitable for Lamb waves propagating in FG plates with arbitrary elastic (monoclinic) anisotropy and arbitrary transverse inhomogeneity. The developed methodology relies on a previously developed six-dimensional formalism, known as Cauchy formalism \([17 - 21]\) for deriving the dispersion equations for stratified plates or stratified halfplanes with homogeneous anisotropic layers of arbitrary elastic monoclinic anisotropy and the transverse inhomogeneity.

The modification for the FG transverse inhomogeneity utilizes a specially constructed matrix exponential solution to the matrix six-dimensional ODE with the non-constant coefficients. It is assumed that within the particular layer the inhomogeneity is continuously differentiable with respect to the transverse variable.

2 Basic equations

Herein, the main equations for constructing the solution for Lamb waves in a functionally graded plate are derived.

2.1 Equations of motion

The linear equations of motion for anisotropic inhomogeneous material can be written in a form

\[
\text{div}\ C(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \rho(\mathbf{x}) \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, t),
\]

where \( C(\mathbf{x}) \) is the fourth-order elasticity tensor assumed to be strongly elliptic.
and \( C(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \), i.e., \( C(x) \) is continuously differentiable in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Hereafter, propagation of harmonic Lamb wave in a plate with transverse inhomogeneity specified by transverse dimensionless complex coordinate

\[
x = ir \mathbf{v},
\]

where \( \mathbf{v} \) is the unit normal to the median plane; \( r \) is the wave number having dimension \( l^{-1} \) and \( i = \sqrt{-1} \); see Fig. 1. Origin of the global coordinate system belongs to the median plane \( \Pi_v \).

![Fig. 1. Plate of thickness 2h; unit vectors n and v indicate direction of propagation and normal to the median plane \( \Pi_v \), respectively](image)

The following wave representation for Lamb wave is adopted

\[
u(x,t) = \mathbf{m}(x)e^{ir(n \cdot x - ct)},
\]

where \( \mathbf{m} \) is (unknown) variation of the vector wave amplitude across thickness of the plate; \( \mathbf{n} \) is the unit vector indicating direction of propagation; \( c \) is the independent of \( x \) phase velocity of Lamb wave; \( t \) is time.

Substituting representation (1.4) into equations of motion, yields

\[
\left( A_1(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + A_2(x) \frac{d}{dx} + A_3(x) \right) \cdot \mathbf{m}(x) = 0
\]

where

\[
A_1(x) = \mathbf{v} \cdot C(x) \cdot \mathbf{v}
\]

\[
A_2(x) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \left( \frac{d}{dx} C(x) \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot C(x) \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot C(x) \cdot \mathbf{v}
\]

\[
A_3(x) = \mathbf{n} \cdot C(x) \cdot \mathbf{n} - \rho(x) c^2 \mathbf{I}
\]

In (1.6) \( \mathbf{I} \) denotes unit diagonal matrix. Note, that strongly ellipticity condition (1.2) ensures

\[
det A_1(x) > 0
\]

and

\[
det A_3(x) > 0
\]

provided phase velocity \( c \) satisfies the following condition

\[
c < \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_3(n \cdot C(x) \cdot n)}{\rho(x)}},
\]

where \( \lambda_3 \) is the smallest eigenvalue of \( n \cdot C(x) \cdot n \). Hereafter, it is assumed that the condition (1.9) is satisfied.
2.2 Formalism Cauchy

Introducing a new variable
\[ w(x) = \frac{d}{dx} m(x) \]  
(1.10)
yields equations of motion in terms of two unknown vector functions \( m \) and \( w \):
\[
\frac{d}{dx} m(x) = w(x)
\]
and
\[
\frac{d}{dx} w(x) = -A_1^{-1}(x) \cdot A_3(x) \cdot m(x) - A_1^{-1}(x) \cdot A_2(x) \cdot w(x)
\]
where it is assumed that acoustical tensor \( A_1(x) \) is invertible.

Introducing a new 6-dimensional vector
\[ Y(x) = \begin{pmatrix} m(x) \\ w(x) \end{pmatrix} \]  
(1.12)
allows us to rewrite Eqs. (1.11) in the following form
\[
\frac{d}{dx} Y(x) = G(x) \cdot Y(x),
\]
where \( G(x) \) is a six-dimensional matrix:
\[
G(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A_1^{-1}(x) \cdot A_3(x) & -A_1^{-1}(x) \cdot A_2(x) \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(1.14)

Equation (1.13) is the main equation of Cauchy formalism, matrix \( G \) will be called as the fundamental matrix. Conditions (1.2) and (1.9) ensure
\[
\det G(x) = \det A_1^{-1}(x) \det A_3(x) > 0
\]  
(1.15)

2.3 Boundary conditions

Traction-free boundary conditions have the form
\[
t_v(x,t) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{C}(x) \cdot \nabla u(x,t) \bigg|_{x = \pm irh} = 0,
\]  
(1.16)
where the plate thickness is \( 2h \). Substituting representation (1.4) into boundary conditions (1.16) with account of notations (1.6), (1.10) yields
\[
A_1(x) \cdot w(x) + A_4(x) \cdot m(x) \bigg|_{x = \pm irh} = 0,
\]  
(1.17)
where
\[
A_4(x) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{C}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}
\]  
(1.18)
Lastly, conditions (1.17) can be rewritten in terms of vector \( Y(x) \):
\[
t_v(x) = \left( A_4(x), A_1(x) \right) \cdot Y(x) \bigg|_{x = \pm irh} = 0
\]  
(1.19)

2.4 Matrix equation

Let \( E \) be a matrix satisfying the same Eq. (1.13):
\[
\frac{d}{dx} E(x) = G(x) \cdot E(x)
\]  
(1.20)
Matrix \( E \) is assumed to be non-degenerate.

If such a matrix exists, then any vectorial solution \( Y(x) \) from Eq. (1.13) due to linearity of the problem takes the form
\[ Y(x) = E(x) \cdot \mathcal{C}, \quad (1.21) \]

where \( \mathcal{C} \) is a 6-dimensional vector of unknown coefficients, defined by boundary conditions (1.16).

Equation (1.20) can obviously be rewritten in equivalent form

\[ \left( \frac{d}{dx} E(x) \right) \cdot E^{-1}(x) = G(x) \quad (1.22) \]

**2.4 Matrix solution**

Applying matrix function analysis [14] allows constructing matrix solution for Eq. (1.22) in the form:

\[ E(x) = e^{F(x)+A}, \quad (1.23) \]

where

\[ F(x) = \int G(x) dx \quad (1.24) \]

stands for (any) antiderivative of \( G(x) \). It will be shown later, that an arbitrary (constant) matrix appearing in (1.23) does not influence the final result.

*Remark 2.1.* Direct verification reveals that matrix (1.23) satisfies Eq. (1.22). The right-hand side of expression (1.23) shows that matrix \( E(x) \) is non-degenerate at the phase velocity satisfying condition (1.9), that is due to (1.15). Now, combining (1.21) and (1.23) the vector-valued general solution \( Y \) can be constructed.

**3 Basic equations**

Substituting the general solution at \( x = +irh \) into representation (1.21) with account of (1.12), yields

\[ \cdot E(x) \cdot \mathcal{C} \bigg|_{x=+irh} = Y(irh), \quad (2.1) \]

from where

\[ \mathcal{C} = E^{-1}(irh) \cdot Y(irh) \quad (2.2) \]

Eq. (2.1) yields

\[ Y(-irh) = E(-irh) \cdot E^{-1}(irh) \cdot Y(irh) \quad (2.3) \]

The following \( 6 \times 6 \) matrix is needed for the subsequent analysis

\[ Z(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ A_4(x) & A_1(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4) \]

Note, that matrix \( Z(x) \) is invertible at any \( x \), since \( \det Z = \det A_1 > 0 \) due to strong ellipticity condition (1.2). Thus, matrix \( Z(x) \) can be considered as one-to-one mapping in \( \mathbb{R}^6 \), and taking into account (1.12), (1.19)
Now, both surface displacement magnitude $\mathbf{m}$ and surface traction $\mathbf{t}_v$ at $x = -irh$, can be written in terms of matrix $\mathbf{Z}$ and expressions (2.3) and (2.5)

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{m}(-irh) \\
\mathbf{t}_v(-irh)
\end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{T}(irh) \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{m}(irh) \\
\mathbf{t}_v(irh)
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{T}(irh) \equiv \mathbf{Z}(-irh) \cdot \mathbf{E}(-irh) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-1}(irh) \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{-1}(irh)
$$

Useful for computation purposes formula flows out from analyzing composition $\mathbf{E}(-irh) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-1}(irh)$, revealing

$$
\mathbf{E}(-irh) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-1}(irh) = e^{\mathbf{F}(-irh)-\mathbf{F}(irh)} = \exp\left(- \int_{-irh}^{irh} \mathbf{G}(x) dx\right)
$$

Thus, the composition $\mathbf{E}(-irh) \cdot \mathbf{E}^{-1}(irh)$ does not contain an arbitrary (constant) matrix $\mathbf{A}$; see Eq. (1.23).

**Remark 3.1.** Since surface traction fields in (2.6) vanish at $x = \pm irh$, the following matrix operator acting in $\mathbb{R}^3$ space

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
(0, 1)T \\
\mathbf{I}
\end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^3 
\xrightarrow{\det(\begin{pmatrix}
(0, 1)\mathbf{T} & \mathbf{I}
\end{pmatrix}) = 0}
\mathbb{R}^3
$$

from 3D space of surface displacements and vanishing surface-tractions on the “upper” surface to 3D space of surface-tractions on the “bottom” surface, should be degenerate to ensure existence of a non-trivial displacement magnitude on the “upper” surface resulting in vanishing surface tractions on the “bottom” surface. The latter is equivalent

Equation (2.10) is the desired dispersion equation for a plate with free boundaries. The dispersion equation (2.10) coincides with one obtained for a **homogeneous** anisotropic plate [13].

### 4 Conclusions

Propagation of harmonic Lamb waves in plates made of functionally graded materials (FGM) with transverse inhomogeneity is analyzed by applying and modifying Cauchy six-dimensional formalism previously developed for studying Lamb wave propagation in homogeneous or stratified anisotropic plates with arbitrary elastic anisotropy [13, 14]. For FG plates with arbitrary transverse inhomogeneity closed form implicit solution to dispersion equation is derived and analyzed.

Closed form implicit solutions for anisotropic FG plates with exponential inhomogeneity are constructed and compared with the corresponding implicit solutions for homogeneous plates, revealing their resemblance.
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