Audit of vaccination status of health-care workers who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2
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A B S T R A C T

Multiple SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations have shown excellent efficacy during clinical trials. However, post vaccine surveillance is important to confirm ‘real-world’ findings of vaccine efficacy and safety. It is therefore imperative to identify individuals that become infected with SARS-CoV-2 post vaccination. We investigated the vaccination status of staff that had tested positive in a cohort of healthcare workers in one large tertiary hospital in the UK. At the time of the investigation, 8th December 2020 to 13th March 2021, 11,871 staff had been vaccinated and 225 staff tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. This period coincided with the second wave of SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK which was driven by the Alpha variant. No healthcare workers who were double vaccinated had a positive PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 during this study period confirming vaccination with Pfizer BioNTec BNT162b2 gives excellent protection against infection of this variant.

Introduction

On the 8th December 2020 the world’s first dose of COVID-19 vaccine, was given to a recipient outside of clinical trials. This dose of Pfizer BioNTec BNT162b2 was administered at University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust (UHCW), a large tertiary hospital located in the West Midlands, England. Healthcare workers who receive their vaccine on the hospital site are only offered the Pfizer BioNTec BNT162b2. In rare circumstances where the Pfizer vaccination was contraindicated due to history of severe allergy, staff may have been vaccinated elsewhere with the AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1). At the time of this study these were the only two vaccines available in the UK. Clinical trial data for the Pfizer vaccination recommended an interval of 21 days between first and second doses of vaccine and vaccine induced protection is considered to be achieved 14 days after the first vaccine dose. On the 30th December 2020 the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI) decided to amend the vaccine schedule extent the interval between doses to 12 weeks, allowing more people to receive at least one dose of vaccine to maximise the short term impact at a time when cases of SARS-CoV-2 in the UK were high [1]. The subsequent speed at which the vaccination programme in the UK has been rolled has been phenomenal. As of May 2021 36,704,672 first doses and 20,287,403 second doses have been administered nationally [2]. Throughout the pandemic it has been clear that front-line healthcare workers are at high risk of acquiring SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmitting infection onto vulnerable groups of patients [3]. Due to this increased risk, healthcare workers were placed second on the JCVI prioritisation list [4]. Some healthcare workers who presented early for vaccine in the UK received 2 doses of BNT162b2 prior to the change in schedule. Post vaccine surveillance is important to confirm ‘real-world’ findings of vaccine efficacy and safety demonstrated within clinical trial settings, especially when the recommended regimen has been adapted. It is therefore imperative to identify individuals that become infected with SARS-CoV-2 post vaccination to better understand protection offered by vaccination and the signs and symptoms of disease in this cohort.

The SIREN study looked to understand impact of vaccination in healthcare workers in a group of staff who were undergoing regular asymptomatic screening for SARS-CoV-2 [5]. The roll-out of the vaccination programme requires further investigation as to the impact on the incidence of COVID-19 in healthcare workers to extend on the work that has been done by the SIREN study. Here we present the data from an audit of vaccination status of staff members at UHCW, who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR between 8th December 2020 and 13th March 2021. This audit was carried out in the midst of the second wave in the UK which peaked in the last week of January 2021, the predominant variant in the UK at this time was Alpha (B.1.1.7).

Materials and methods

UHCW is a tertiary university hospital of 1250 beds covering a wide range of specialities. There are approximately 13,000 staff who work across the organisation. Positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test results from staff tested at UHCW were extracted from the laboratory information system covering the period of the 8th December 2020 to the 13th March 2021. Staff that had positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR results from a nasopharyngeal and throat swab were cross-referenced with vaccination data to identify which of those staff who had tested positive during this period had received at least one dose of vaccine.
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positive SARS-CoV-2 result. Therefore, no protection from vaccination would have been expected at the time they tested positive.

Fifty-nine staff (28%) had received their first dose of vaccine between 2 to 69 days before they tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. Of the 59 staff who received their vaccine prior to testing positive for SARS-CoV-2, thirty-three of these individuals tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 within 10 days of vaccination and were therefore most likely incubating the virus at the time of vaccination. Three staff tested positive at 10-14 days after vaccine administration possibly incubating at the time of vaccination or shortly after having received the vaccine but would not have had sufficient time to mount a protective immune response.

Twenty-three staff members were vaccinated >14 days before they tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (range 15-69 days), it therefore appears that their single dose of vaccine was not protective against infection. (Fig. 1). All 23 health care workers had received Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 vaccination. These 23 staff members were contacted and asked to partake in a survey regarding their infection. Seventeen responses were received (Table 1).

Of these 23 staff members the majority were white British (76%). The most common reason for having a PCR test was due to ward outbreak surveillance screening (8), followed by symptomatic screens (5), confirmation of positive asymptomatic lateral flow testing (3) and finally social contact with a positive case (1). For these 23 staff the time from vaccination to testing positive ranged from 15-69 days, with a median of 22.5 days. Three (17.6%) of the 17 staff members surveyed stated that they were asymptomatic at the time of testing and did not go onto develop any symptoms attributable to SARS-CoV-2 at all after their positive SARS-CoV-2 test. The remaining 14 staff members either had symptoms at the time of the testing, or developed symptoms in the 3 days after their test. None of these staff required medical intervention or hospitalisation. Ten staff members stated that they thought the transmission had occurred due to positive patient contact. Five staff members had contact with a positive household case. Two staff members had no known contact with individuals known to be infected with SARS-CoV-2. Surveys were conducted at the end of the study period, and therefore depending upon when the infection took place, there may be an element of recall bias when gathering information from study participants.

Cycle threshold (CT) values in SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals can range from low to high and is dependent on multiple factors; including the time the swab was taken in relation to the infectious period and the swabbing technique. It can be used as a crude indication of the amount of virus present in the oro/nasopharynx at the time of swabbing with a low CT value indicating a high level of viral RNA. CT values were reviewed from all positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests in this cohort and ranged from 16-35. Two individuals had swabs with CT values of <20 and could be considered to have a relatively high amount of viral RNA. Fifteen individuals had swabs with CT values ranging from 20-35 and could be considered to have a relatively low amount of viral RNA. Inferring a viral burden from CT values must be done with caution as a standard curve was not used. However, the fact that the majority of these staff members had swabs with relatively low amounts of viral RNA is an observation that requires further investigation to ascertain whether this is a vaccine affect or not. This observation has been noted in other studies whereby vaccinated individuals have a reduction in the amount of virus detected by PCR [6].

It is of note that there was a significant number of staff (70; 32.9%) who had not at the time of the audit received a single dose of vaccine, when it had been available to them for approximately 3 months. While it is recommended to wait a minimum of 4 weeks after a positive test before receiving the COVID-19 vaccine [7], these staff tested positive >4 weeks before their vaccination status was ascertained. Interestingly the SIREN study also noted that vaccine coverage was significantly reduced in HCW’s who had had prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 [5]. It would be interesting to explore the reasoning of HCW’s reluctance to present for vaccination post-infection. Perhaps this is because of a fear of side effects; It has been observed that people who are vaccinated after

---

**Fig. 1. Breakdown of dataset**

Staff who tested positive >14 days after their first dose of vaccination were contacted to participate in a survey relating to their positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR result. The survey was conducted in April 2021 one month after the data collection period. Time from infection to answering the survey ranged from 1-4 months, dependent on when they tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The survey was delivered via telephone or email and captured information on job role, ethnicity, reason for seeking a SARS-CoV-2 test, symptom timelines and any known contacts with a positive case of SARS-CoV-2.

Under the Governance Arrangements for Research Ethics Committees (GAREC), studies where staff are being approached due to the nature of their role are exempt from requiring Research Ethics Committee (REC) approval. Therefore, local R&D approval was obtained via the COVID-19 Research Committee to carry out this project within UHCW NHS Trust.

**Results and discussion**

Two hundred and twenty five staff that had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 between the 8th December 2020 and 14th March 2021 were identified. Twelve staff were excluded due to inability to ascertain their vaccination status, reducing the cohort to 213 individuals (Fig. 1).

Of the 213 staff with a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test, 70 (33%) individuals had not received a single COVID-19 vaccination dose. Eighty four (39%) individuals had received a single dose of vaccine after their
### Table 1
Survey responses from staff members who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 >14 days after vaccination.

| Job role                           | Age (yrs) | Positive patient contact? | Ethnicity            | Reason for SARS-CoV-2 testing | Number of days post vaccination until positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR | PCR CT value | PCR platform used | Symptoms present on day of test | New or additional symptoms within 3 days following the test | Any known SARS-CoV-2/COVID contacts |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Medical Nursing                    | 31        | Yes                       | White British        | Positive lateral flow         | 30                                                             | 21           | Siemens kPCR      | Coryza                          | Migraine Anosmia                   | Positive household contact confirmed case on ward. |
|                                   | 57        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 20                                                             | 20           | Siemens kPCR      | Fatigue                         | None                                                                              | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Physiotherapist                    | 27        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 16                                                             | 32           | Siemens kPCR      | None                            | None                                                                              | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Porterling                         | 50        | No                        | White Irish          | Symptomatic                   | 15                                                             | 33'          | Abbott M2000      | Cough Pyrexia                    | None                                                                              | positive household contact confirmed case on ward. |
| Administration                     | 19        | No                        | White British        | In contact with a person who was feeling unwell. | 17                                                             | 17'          | Abbott M2000      | None                            | SOB Anosmia Ageusia                | positive household and social contact confirmed case on ward. |
| Nursing                            | 53        | No                        | White British        | Positive lateral flow         | 23                                                             | 21           | STARlet Seegene   | Fatigue SOB Headache Sweating Coryza Flu-like illness Myalgia | None                                                                              | none confirmed case on ward.     |
| Nursing                            | 45        | Yes                       | White British        | Positive lateral flow         | 20                                                             | 26           | SRATlet Seegene   | Coryza 'itchy throat' | SOB Anosmia Ageusia                | none confirmed case on ward.     |
| Phlebotomist and health care assistant | 40      | Yes                       | Black African        | Symptomatic                   | 24                                                             | 33           | STARlet Seegene   | 'itchy throat'                  | None                                                                              | positive household contact confirmed case on ward. |
| Mental health nursing              | 21        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 22                                                             | 34           | Siemens kPCR      | None                            | Chest pain SOB                     | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Specialist occupational therapist  | 26        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 18                                                             | 34           | Siemens kPCR      | None                            | None                                                                              | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Ward manager                       | 33        | Yes                       | White British        | Symptomatic                   | 53                                                             | 30           | STARlet Seegene   | Cough Fatigue ‘Severe’ headache | None                                                                              | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Health Care Assistant              | 57        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 27                                                             | 35           | Siemens kPCR      | None                            | Severe leg pains                   | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Physiotherapist                    | 32        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 31                                                             | 24           | STARlet Seegene   | Sore throat                     | Cough Coryza Headache             | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Trainee Advanced Nurse Practitioner | 37        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 39                                                             | 30           | STARlet Seegene   | Coryza Headache                  | Cough                              | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Health Care Assistant              | 49        | Yes                       | British Indian       | Symptomatic                   | 64                                                             | 28           | Siemens kPCR      | Coryza Fever Cough Myalgia       | None                                                                              | positive household contact confirmed case on ward. |
| Nursing                            | 52        | Yes                       | Indian               | Symptomatic                   | 21                                                             | 16           | Siemens kPCR      | Fever Shivering Headache Productive cough | None                                                                              | confirmed case on ward.          |
| Activities Co-Ordinator            | 40        | Yes                       | White British        | COVID outbreak: surveillance screen | 69                                                             | 29           | STARlet Seegene   | None                            | None                                                                              | confirmed case on ward.          |

*Cycle threshold (CT) values do not register the first 10 rounds of amplification. Results obtained from the Abbott M2000 have been adjusted to reflect this (10 CT’s added). Standard curves were not used to generate CT values.*
natural infection have more severe but still self-limiting flu-like symptoms post vaccination compared to SARS-CoV-2 naive counterparts [8]. It may also be that staff who have been infected with SARS-CoV-2 are not presenting for vaccination due to altruistic reasons; they have antibodies to SAR-CoV-2 and are therefore choosing to delay their vaccination so someone else can go first. Data from SIREN interim analysis suggests that immunity from natural infection lasts at least 7 months in HCW’s [9], therefore these individuals not being vaccinated at this stage of the pandemic is unlikely to have an impact on the national goal of reaching a level of population immunity that will reduce the number of hospitalisations associated with COVID-19. It must be noted that this is a cohort who are <65 years of age and whether this duration of protection can be extrapolated to those >65 or to those with underlying health conditions is unclear. This hesitancy in health care workers who have had a previous infection with SARS-CoV-2 to present for vaccination may need to be considered when designing communication strategies around vaccination and vaccine hesitancy in healthcare settings.

As of the 13th March 2021, 11,871 staff at UHCW had been vaccinated. Of those, 9488 had only one dose and 2383 staff had received both doses. Four hundred and fifty six staff received their first dose between the 28th February and the 13th March and would not have been considered protected during this audit period. Therefore this gives us an infection rate of 0.2% in our vaccinated cohort (23/11,415), and all staff that became infected had only received one dose of vaccine (Pfizer BioNTec BNT162b2). It should be noted we may be slightly under reporting the number of the infections in our staff cohort as some people may have chosen to be tested at an alternative testing centre which would be outside of the scope of this audit. The infection rate observed in our cohort of staff is comparable to the findings of Keehner et al. who found an infection rate of 0.4% from 14 days after the first dose of vaccine [10]. None of the staff members who completed the survey at UHCW had severe infection requiring hospital treatment. This is reassuring and confirms data from studies that while not completely protective against infection, there is significant protection from hospitalisation and death [11]. Reassuringly, none of the staff who tested positive during the study period had a second dose of vaccine.

This is an evaluation looking at the vaccination status of our staff that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in the period from the 8th December 2020 to the 13th March 2021. This study showed that a low number of these infections (23 individuals) were from staff members who had been vaccinated in a time frame that would have been considered protective. This gives confidence that the vaccination programme is a success. However, we cannot comment on the longevity of protection offered from vaccination and the coverage that will be offered against new variants that arise. Reassuringly, the staff members in this audit were vaccinated while there were still very high rates of transmission occurring in the community, and we were in the midst of a second wave with Alpha (B.1.1.7) being the predominant strain. This wave peaked in the last week of January 2021. So while this data offers real-world evidence that the vaccine is effective in preventing infections in health care workers, there is clearly need for further research into the impact of vaccination on the amount of virus present in the oro/nasopharynx and its role in transmission and whether this changes between different SARS-CoV-2 Variants.
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