The regulation of M₁ muscarinic acetylcholine receptor desensitization by synaptic activity in cultured hippocampal neurons¹
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Abstract
To better understand metabotropic/ionotropic integration in neurons we have examined the regulation of M₁ muscarinic acetylcholine (mACH) receptor signalling in mature (>14 days in vitro), synaptically-active hippocampal neurons in culture. Using a protocol where neurons are exposed to an EC₅₀ concentration of the muscarinic agonist methacholine (MCh) prior to (R1), and following (R2) a desensitizing pulse of a high concentration of this agonist, we have found that the reduction in M₁ mACH receptor responsiveness is decreased in quiescent (+tetrodotoxin) neurons and increased when synaptic activity is enhanced by blocking GABA_A receptors with picrotoxin. The picrotoxin-mediated effect on M₁ mACH receptor responsiveness was completely prevented by α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor blockade. Inhibition of endogenous G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 by transfection with the non-Go₁₁,α-binding, catalytically-inactive Δ₁₁₀αK₁₂₂P/G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 mutant, decreased the extent of M₁ mACH receptor desensitization under all conditions. Pharmacological inhibition of protein kinase C (PKC) activity, or chronic phorbol ester-induced PKC down-regulation had no effect on agonist-mediated receptor desensitization in quiescent or spontaneously synaptically active neurons, but significantly decreased the extent of receptor desensitization in picrotoxin-treated neurons. MCh stimulated the translocation of diacylglycerol-sensitive eGFP-PKCa, but not Ca²⁺/diacylglycerol-sensitive eGFP-PKCbIII in both the absence, and presence of tetrodotoxin. Under these conditions, MCh-stimulated eGFP-myrIstoynlated, alcalnine-rich C kinase substrate translocation was dependent on PKC activity, but not Ca²⁺/calmodulin. In contrast, picrotoxin-driven translocation of myristoylated, alcalnine-rich C kinase substrate was accompanied by translocation of PKCbIII, but not PKCa, and was dependent on PKC and Ca²⁺/calmodulin. Taken together these data suggest that the level of synaptic activity may determine the different kinases recruited to regulate M₁ mACH receptor desensitization in neurons.
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Cholinergic innervation within the hippocampus originates mainly from the medial septal nuclei forming direct synaptic inputs to both principal neurons and interneurons throughout the dentate gyrus and CA3 and CA1 regions (Dutar et al. 1995; Rouse et al. 1999). There is also evidence that some cholinergic terminals do not associate with specific postsynaptic sites, indicative of additional diffuse modulator influences (Vizi and Kiss 1998). Effective transmission of cholinergic input is mediated by nicotinic and muscarinic acetylcholine (mACH) receptors, with the M₁ and M₃ mACH receptor subtypes being expressed on principal neurons (Levey et al. 1995). A major function of cholinergic transmission is to enhance the excitability of the hippocampal glutamate circuitry (Dutar et al. 1995) via a number of ionic conductances and second messenger systems (Cobb and Davies 2005) suggesting a role in long-term excitability and synaptic plasticity. Indeed, there is recent strong evidence for modulation of synaptic plasticity by the physiological activation of hippocampal M₁ mACH receptors (Shinoe et al. 2005) and cholinergic neurotransmission has long been associated with cognitive processes, such as learning and memory.

Received April 11, 2007; revised manuscript received July 31, 2007; accepted August 7, 2007.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to R. A. John Challiss, Department of Cell Physiology & Pharmacology, University of Leicester, 4/04, Henry Wellcome Building, Lancaster Road, Leicester, LE1 9HN, UK. E-mail: jc36@le.ac.uk

¹Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Creative Commons Deed, Attribution 2.5, which does not permit commercial exploitation.

Abbreviations used: AMPA, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid; CaM, Ca²⁺/calmodulin; DAG, diacylglycerol; DIV, days in vitro; DMSO, dimethylsulphoxide; eGFP-PHPLCα, PH domain of PLCα tagged to enhanced green fluorescent protein; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinase; IP₃, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate; mACH, muscarinic acetylcholine; MARCKS, myristoylated, alcalnine-rich C kinase substrate; MCh, methacholine; PKC, protein kinase C; PLC, phospholipase C; TTx, tetrodotoxin.
Endogenous GRK6 regulates M1 mACh receptors through a isoenzyme(s).

M1 mACh receptors are the major subtype signalling through $G_{q/11}$ to phospholipase C (PLC) and phosphoinositide hydrolysis in the hippocampus (Porter et al. 2002) and we have provided direct evidence for this signalling using fluorescence imaging approaches in single hippocampal neurons (Nash et al. 2004; Willets et al. 2004, 2005; Young et al. 2005). We have also already provided substantial evidence that M1 mACh receptor/PLC signalling in hippocampal neurons is regulated by G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs). GRKs are widely expressed in the brain and we have identified GRKs 2, 3, 5 and 6 in our hippocampal cultures (Willets et al. 2004). However, there is still little direct evidence for their role in neuronal signalling (Willets et al. 2003; Gainetdinov et al. 2004). Endogenous GRK6 regulates M1 mACh receptors through a phosphorylation-dependent mechanism in hippocampal neurons, whilst endogenous GRK2 is able to use both phosphorylation-dependent and -independent (through the direct interaction of the regulator of G-protein signalling-like domain on the N-terminus of GRK2 and GTP-bound $G_{q/11}$) mechanisms to regulate M1 mACh receptors in PLC signalling and desensitization (Willets et al. 2004, 2005). In the current study we have investigated mechanisms of regulation in mature hippocampal neurons that form synaptic interconnexions in culture (Bacci et al. 1999) and in which glutamate-mediated synaptic activity can be dramatically increased by suppressing inhibitory GABAergic inputs with picROTOxin, or suppressed with tetrodotoxin (TTx) (Bacci et al. 1999; Nash et al. 2004; Young et al. 2005). Indeed, previous studies have revealed that the level of synaptic activity in such cultures dramatically alters M1 mACh receptor inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate generation and Ca$^{2+}$ release from stores (Nash et al. 2004), and there is much evidence that mACh receptors can modulate oscillation frequency in hippocampal networks (see Cobb and Davies 2005). Our current data suggest that increased synaptic activity enhances hippocampal M1 mACh receptor desensitization through mechanisms involving endogenous GRK2 and Ca$^{2+}$-sensitive protein kinase C (PKC) isoenzyme(s).

Materials and methods

Materials

Cell culture supplies and lipofection reagents were obtained from Invitrogen (Paisley, UK). Thermolysin, pronase, DNase I, poly-D-lysine, cytosine arabinoside and methacholine (MCh) were from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK). Tocris (Bristol, UK) supplied picROTOxin, TTx, DNQX and D-AP5. Staurosporine and Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin (CaM) inhibitors W5 and W7 were obtained from Merck Biosciences/Calbiochem (Nottingham, UK).

Cell culture and transfections

Hippocampal cultures were prepared as described previously (Nash et al. 2004; Willets et al. 2004). Briefly, isolated hippocampi from humanely killed 1-day-old Lister Hooded rats were dissociated with pronase E (0.5 mg/mL) and thermolysin (0.5 mg/mL) in a HEPES-buffered salt solution [HEPES-buffered salt solution (in mmol/L): NaCl 130; HEPES, 10; KCl, 5.4; MgSO$_4$, 1.0; glucose, 25; and CaCl$_2$, 1.8, pH 7.2] for 30 min. Tissue fragments were further dissociated by trituration in HBSS containing DNase I (40 µg/mL). Following centrifugation and further trituration, cells were plated onto poly-D-lysine (50 µg/mL)-treated 25 mm glass coverslips. For the first 72 h cells were cultured in Neurobasal medium (Invitrogen), supplemented with B27, 10% fetal calf serum and penicillin (100 U/mL)/streptomycin (100 µg/mL). Cyto sine arabinoside (5 µmol/L) was added after 24 h, and after 72 h cells were transferred to serum-free medium. Neurons were transfected after 11 days in vitro, using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent, following the manufacturer’s instructions. For experiments involving GRK constructs neurons were transfected with a 3 : 1 ratio of GRK or vector control to PH of PLCβ tagged to enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP-PLCβ). In all other cases 0.5 µg of cDNA was used per transfection. As reported previously, under these conditions mixed neuronal/glial cultures are generated, with the two populations being clearly distinguishable for imaging purposes (Young et al. 2005). Transfection efficiencies of the neuronal population were typically 2–4% (Willets et al. 2004).

Measurement of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate in single cells and assessment of mACh receptor desensitization

Translocation of eGFP-PHPLCβ was visualized using an Olympus FV500 scanning laser confocal IX70 inverted microscope. Cells were incubated at 37°C using a temperature controller and microincubator (PDMI-2 and TC202A; Burleigh, UK) and perfused at 5 mL/min with Krebs buffer (in mmol/L: NaCl 130, KCl 5.4, MgCl$_2$ 1.0, HEPES 10, glucose 10, and CaCl$_2$ 1.8, pH 7.4). Images were captured using an oil immersion ×60 objective. Activation of PLC, reflecting an increase in cytosolic inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP$_3$) and/or a decrease in phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate, was measured as the relative change in fluorescence detected in an area of interest as described previously (Nash et al. 2002, 2004; Willets et al. 2004). Changes in fluorescence are expressed as $F/F_0$, where $F$ is the fluorescence at a particular time, and $F_0$ is the initial basal fluorescence. Drugs were applied via perfusion lines. Desensitization of the mACh receptor was assessed in hippocampal neurons transfected with eGFP-PLCβ on day 11 in vitro and used experimentally between days 14 to 21 in vitro. Desensitization was assessed in single cells as described previously (Willets et al. 2004, 2005). Neurons were challenged with an approx. EC$_{50}$ concentration of the mACh receptor agonist MCh (10 µmol/L) for 30 s (termed R1) followed by a 5 min washout to allow recovery. Following this a maximal concentration of MCh (100 µmol/L) was applied for 1 min to induce receptor desensitization. The washout period following desensitization was varied prior to re-challenge with the same approx. EC$_{50}$ concentration of MCh (termed R2). Receptor desensitization was determined as the reduction in peak eGFP-PHPLCβ translocation in R2 when compared to R1.
Measurement of eGFP-myristoylated, alanine-rich C kinase substrate translocation

Neurons were transfected as described above with 0.5 μg of eGFP-tagged myristoylated, alanine-rich C kinase substrate (MARCKS). Imaging of eGFP-MARCKS translocation was undertaken as described for the eGFP-PHPLC II probe and was determined as the increase in cytosolic fluorescence following drug addition (Bartlett et al. 2005).

Measurement of translocation of eGFP-PKC isoenzymes

Neurons were transfected with 0.5 μg of either eGFP-PKCβIII or eGFP-PKCε. Translocation of eGFP-PKCs was assessed as described for eGFP-PHPLC II. eGFP-PKC translocation was determined as a decrease in the cytosolic fluorescence as eGFP-PKC localized to the plasma membrane (Bartlett et al. 2005).

Measurement of intracellular [Ca2+]

For dual measurement of PKC translocations and intracellular [Ca2+], neurons were transfected with eGFP-PKC ε or eGFP-PKCβIII and loaded with Fura-Red (3 μmol/L, 60 min) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) prior to the start of experiments. eGFP-PKCs and Fura-Red were excited via the 488 nm line of the argon laser. Fluorescence emissions from eGFP-PKCs and Fura-Red were collected at 505–560 nm and > 600 nm, respectively. Changes in eGFP-PKC fluorescence were calculated as described above, whilst increases in intracellular Ca2+ are reported as a decrease in Fura-Red fluorescence.

Data analysis

Data were analysed using Prism 4 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and statistical analysis performed using one- or two-way analysis of variance (Excel 5.0; Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) where appropriate, followed by Student’s t-test or an alternative post hoc test stated in the text. Significance was accepted when p < 0.05.

Results

Effects of synaptic activity on M1 mACh receptor desensitization

Our previous work has extensively characterized the desensitization of M1 mACh receptors in immature (< 10 days in vitro, hereafter DIV), non-synaptically active hippocampal neurons (Willetts et al. 2004, 2005). Based on these findings we applied a similar protocol (see Materials and methods) to study receptor desensitization in mature (≥ 14 DIV) neurons. Comparison of the responses before (R1) and following (R2), the addition of a desensitizing pulse of Mch (100 μmol/L), showed a clear reduction in the R2 response 5 min after the desensitizing pulse under all conditions. In the presence of TTx (500 nmol/L), R2 responses began to approach R1 responses following a 10 min recovery period (delay time between Rmax and R2; see Fig. 1). No further re-sensitization was seen even following 30 min washout (data not shown).

In the absence of TTX, R2 responses were slower to recover following the Rmax stimulus, and full recovery did not occur until 15 min post-desensitization (Fig. 1d). When synaptic activity was enhanced with picrotoxin, receptor-stimulated PLC responses were significantly depressed for up to 15 min post-Rmax (Fig. 1d). These data suggest that the degree of synaptic activity also regulates the duration of agonist-mediated desensitization, and a delay in M1 mACh receptor re-sensitization is particularly evident when inhibitory GABAergic activity is blocked by picrotoxin.

Effects of ionotropic glutamate receptor blockade on picrotoxin-enhanced M1 mACh receptor desensitization

In order to determine the relative roles of ionotropic glutamate receptor subtypes on enhanced glutamate-driven synaptic activity, we performed standard desensitization experiments in the presence or absence of pharmacological blockers of AMPA and NMDA receptors. Inhibition of NMDA receptors using D-AP5 (50 μmol/L) had no effect on the picrotoxin-enhanced M1 mACh receptor desensitization (Fig. 2b; Table 1). However, the picrotoxin-induced IP3
spikes normally seen in the presence of MCh (Fig. 2c) were absent following inclusion of the AMPA receptor antagonist DNQX (10 μmol/L). Furthermore, the presence of DNQX completed ablated the effects of picrotoxin treatment on M1 mACh receptor desensitization (Table 1), producing traces that were similar to those generated in the presence of TTx (Fig. 2c). These data suggest that the enhancement of M1 mACh receptor desensitization by picrotoxin is dependent on AMPA receptor activation.

Can increased synaptic activity alone induce receptor desensitization?

In order to assess whether synaptic activity was able to enhance M1 mACh receptor desensitization in the absence of MCh, we applied the following protocol. Neurons were stimulated with MCh (10 μmol/L) for 30 s, followed by a 5 min washout period. Then neurons were challenged with picrotoxin (100 μmol/L) or vehicle for 4 min. Following a further 5 min washout period neurons were again stimulated with MCh (10 μmol/L). Receptor desensitization was assessed as the decrease in R2 response when compared to R1. Under these conditions picrotoxin failed to produce any change in the R2/R1 ratio, indicating that a burst of synaptic activity alone is unable to induce M1 mACh receptor desensitization (data not shown).

Involvement of GRKs in M1 mACh receptor desensitization

To determine how inhibition of endogenous GRKs 2 and/or GRK6 alters M1 mACh receptor desensitization, neurons were co-transfected with catalytically-inactive (dominant-negative) versions of GRK2 (D110A,K220RGRK2) or K215RGRK6 and eGFP-PHPLC (Willets et al. 2004, 2005). The GRK2 construct also possesses a mutation (D110A) in its regulator of G-protein signalling-like domain, which prevents binding to GTP-bound Gaq, allowing the study of GRK-mediated/receptor interactions without direct inhibition of Gaq activation of PLC (Willets et al. 2005). Co-transfection of eGFP-PHPLC and pcDNA3 did not affect the pattern of M1 mACh receptor desensitization, which was again enhanced in the presence of picrotoxin (Fig. 3). In D110A,K220RGRK2-transfected neurons the R2/R1 ratio difference was dramatically reduced indicating that inhibition of endogenous GRK2 attenuates M1 mACh receptor desensitization under all conditions, including those where the extent of receptor desensitization was increased by picrotoxin.
treatment (see Fig. 3e). In contrast, expression of K215RGRK6 did not affect M1 mACh receptor desensitization in TTx-treated, or spontaneously synaptically-active neurons (Fig. 3e). However, inhibition of endogenous GRK6 appeared to partially prevent picrotoxin-enhanced M1 mACh receptor desensitization (Fig. 3e).

Involvement of PKC in M1 mACh receptor desensitization

To assess whether PKC plays a role in M1 mACh receptor desensitization we pre-incubated neurons with vehicle (0.01% dimethylsulphoxide, hereafter DMSO) or the PKC inhibitor staurosporine (1 μmol/L) for 15 min. Next neurons were subjected to the standard desensitization R1/Rmax/R2 protocol, in the presence of vehicle or staurosporine throughout the experiment. In spontaneously synaptically-active, pcDNA3-transfected cultures PKC inhibition had no effect on the R2/R1 ratio, implying that PKC does not play a role in agonist-stimulated M1 mACh receptor desensitization (Fig. 4a and e). In addition, staurosporine did not alter the R2/R1 ratio in the

Table 1

| Treatment                      | R2/R1 (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| TTx (500 nmol/L)              | 59.8 ± 4.0 (17) |
| TTx (500 nmol/L) + DNQX       | 53.4 ± 3.6 (8)  |
| Picrotoxin (100 μmol/L)       | 44.9 ± 3.6 (17)  |
| Picrotoxin (100 μmol/L) + DNQX| 38.8 ± 7.3 (9)  |

Data are expressed as means ± SEM for the percentage change in R2 relative to R1 responses for 8–17 neurons taken from at least three separate hippocampal preparations. In the presence of picrotoxin-induced activity, the R2/R1 ratio was reduced when compared to tetrodotoxin (TTx)-treated neurons (a p < 0.05; one-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s post-hoc test). In the presence of DNQX (10 μmol/L), the effect of picrotoxin was significantly reversed (b p < 0.05; one-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s post-hoc test), while D-AP5 (50 μmol/L) was without effect on the picrotoxin-mediated enhancement of M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor desensitization.
The presence of $^{D110A,K220R}$GRK2 in spontaneously synaptically-active neurons. In contrast, staurosporine pre-treatment had a significant effect on the R2/R1 ratio when synaptic activity was enhanced in the presence of picrotoxin (Fig. 4b and e). Furthermore, staurosporine (1 μmol/L) pre-treatment was also able to enhance the effect of $^{D110A,K220R}$GRK2 expression, resulting in an almost complete blockade of M1 mACh receptor desensitization in picrotoxin-treated neurons (see Fig. 4e). In agreement with the staurosporine data, down-regulation of PKC isoenzymes by a 24 h pre-treatment with phorbol 12,13-dibutyrate (1 μmol/L) significantly attenuated the decrease in R2/R1 ratio caused by agonist challenge in picrotoxin-treated neurons, but was without apparent effect in TTx-treated, or spontaneously-active neurons (data not shown). While neither staurosporine nor chronic phorbol ester treatments act specifically on PKCs in neurons, the fact that these two interventions produce essentially identical data strongly implicate PKCs as the kinases that may additionally regulate M1 mACh receptor responsiveness under conditions of enhanced synaptic activity. Thus, these data are strongly suggestive that under conditions of picrotoxin-enhanced synaptic activity, GRK2 and PKCs act together to enhance M1 mACh receptor desensitization.

In situ assessment of PKC activity using eGFP-MARCKS

To assess whether synaptic activity is able to promote PKC activation we first transfected neurons with an eGFP-MARCKS construct. This protein is membrane-associated and translocates to the cytoplasm when phosphorylated by PKCs or bound to CaM (Graff et al. 1989; Arbuzova et al. 2002). Stimulation of M1 mACh receptors with MCh (3 μmol/L) produced a rapid and transient translocation of MARCKS to the cytoplasm, which quickly returned to the plasma membrane on agonist removal. To determine whether PKC or Ca2+/CaM were responsible for M1 mACh receptor-mediated MARCKS translocation the following protocol was used. Neurons were stimulated with MCh (3 μmol/L, 30 s) for 15 min. Some neurons were treated with W5 (25 μmol/L), the inactive analogue of W7 (Fig. 5a). Neurons were then subjected to a second MCh (3 μmol/L, 30 s) challenge (S2). Comparison of S1 and S2 responses indicated that MCh-stimulated eGFP-MARCKS translocation was almost com-
pletely inhibited following staurosporine treatment (Fig. 5c and d), while W7 and W5 treatments were without effect (Fig. 5d). These data suggest that agonist-driven eGFP-MARCKS translocation is mediated through PKC activation in hippocampal neurons.

To determine whether synaptic activity alone could promote eGFP-MARCKS translocation, neurons were incubated with picrotoxin in the absence of agonist for 2 min. Picrotoxin (100 μmol/L) stimulated a rapid increase in eGFP-MARCKS translocation to the cytoplasm, which returned to baseline following picrotoxin removal (Fig. 6). We again applied the S1/S2 protocol for picrotoxin with 15 min treatments with vehicle (0.01% DMSO; Fig. 6a), W5 (25 μmol/L, Fig. 6b), W7 (25 μmol/L, Fig. 6c), or staurosporine (1 μmol/L, Fig. 6d), between applications of picrotoxin. Under these conditions, staurosporine treatment caused an approx. 50% decrease in the S2/S1 ratio; W7 treatment produced an equivalent decrease to that caused by staurosporine, while W5 was without effect. Addition of staurosporine and W7 together almost completely inhibited picrotoxin-stimulated eGFP-MARCKS translocation (Fig. 6e and f). These data indicate that the picrotoxin-mediated eGFP-MARCKS translocation occurs through both PKC- and Ca²⁺/CaM-dependent mechanisms.

Effects of picrotoxin and M₁ mACh receptor activation on the translocation of PKCβII and PKCe

The translocation of eGFP-MARCKS indicates that PKC activity can be stimulated in hippocampal neurons following stimulation of the M₁ mACh receptor and also following
picrotoxin-mediated enhancement of synaptic activity. To gain a better understanding of PKC isoenzymic recruitment patterns in neurons subject to metabotropic and/or ionotropic stimulation, we transfected neurons with eGFP-tagged constructs of either the Ca2+/diacylglycerol (DAG)-activated PKC\( \beta \)II, or the DAG-activated PKC\( \epsilon \). Neurons, recombinantly expressing either eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II or -\( \epsilon \) isoenzymes and loaded with Fura-Red simultaneously to report changes in intracellular Ca2+ concentrations, were subject to picrotoxin and/or M1 mACh receptor agonist additions. Under these conditions it could be shown that picrotoxin treatment caused rapid and transient increases in intracellular Ca2+ (Fig. 7a and b). Perhaps unsurprisingly, picrotoxin addition did not cause the recruitment of the DAG-sensitive PKC\( \epsilon \) to the plasma membrane (Fig. 7a), however, it did produce rapid, transient recruitments of eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II (Fig. 7b). Indeed, the translocation of eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II precisely mirrored the picrotoxin-stimulated changes in intracellular Ca2+ (Fig. 7b). MCh (10 \( \mu \)mol/L) stimulation consistently failed to cause eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II translocation, while addition of picrotoxin (100 \( \mu \)mol/L) induced rapid, transient translocations of eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II (Fig. 7c). Further investigation showed that picrotoxin-induced eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II translocations were inhibited completely following the addition of the AMPA receptor antagonist DNQX (10 \( \mu \)mol/L), and following blockade of synaptic activity by TTx (500 nmol/L) (Fig. 7d). These data suggest that eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II translocations are driven by Ca2+ entry following AMPA receptor activation and voltage-operated Ca2+ channel opening.

To determine the effects of the M1 mACh receptor desensitization protocol on plasma membrane PKC recruitment, we measured the translocation of eGFP-PKC\( \epsilon \) and eGFP-PKC\( \beta \)II during application of the R1/R\( \max \)/R2 protocol (Fig. 8). This protocol produced robust, reversible MCh-stimulated membrane recruitments of eGFP-PKC\( \epsilon \), which mirror data obtained with the eGFP-PH\( _{PLC\beta} \) biosensor, suggesting that translocation of this probe is driven by changes in the concentration of DAG in the plasma membrane (Fig. 8a). Indeed, the decrease in R2/R1 ratio brought about by sequential MCh challenge was quantita-
tively similar using the eGFP-PKCε and eGFP-PHPLCδ probes (Fig. 8d). In neurons expressing the eGFP-PKCe construct application of picrotoxin during the desensitization protocol caused a further suppression of R2 relative to R1 responses to MCh, similar in extent to that previously observed in neurons expressing eGFP-PHPLCδ (Fig. 8b). These data indicate that eGFP-PKCe translocates in response to changes in DAG production and that these biosensors quantitatively report the same receptor desensitization phenomenon. To further investigate this we attempted to use the well-characterized DAG sensor tandem repeat of C1 domain of PKCγ tagged to enhanced green fluorescent protein (Oancea et al. 1998); however this construct was poorly expressed and may be toxic to our hippocampal neuronal cultures. Only very modest MCh-stimulated eGFP-PKCeII translocations were observed in neuronal cultures and these usually only occurred in response to challenge with high concentrations of MCh (≥ 100 μmol/L; data not shown).

For experiments assessing the effects of picrotoxin-evoked synaptic activity on PKC translocations, neurons were loaded with Fura-Red to allow co-determination of changes in intracellular [Ca^{2+}]. Picrotoxin (100 μmol/L) addition produced rapid, transient rises in intracellular [Ca^{2+}] (Fig. 8b and c). As reported above, the change in eGFP-PKCe translocation before and after a desensitizing MCh challenge can provide an readout of receptor desensitization, however, picrotoxin (100 μmol/L) addition per se did not promote eGFP-PKCe translocation, nor did it appear to affect agonist-mediated M_{1} mACh receptor regulation (Fig. 8b). In contrast, while agonist addition caused minimal translocation of eGFP-PKCeII, picrotoxin treatment (100 μmol/L) induced rapid, transient eGFP-PKCeII translocations to the plasma membrane, which mirrored the changes in intracellular [Ca^{2+}] (Fig. 8c).

**Discussion**

Recent studies from this laboratory have provided strong evidence that endogenous GRK2 and GRK6 can regulate the responsiveness of M_{1} mACh receptor signalling in cultured rat hippocampal neurons (Willets et al. 2004, 2005). Our approach has been to image the activation of PLC by this receptor using the eGFP-PHPLCδ biosensor and co-transfection of wild-type, catalytically-inactive or antisense constructs to various kinases. In particular, we have established that GRK2 can suppress neuronal M_{1} mACh receptor...
signalling by both phosphorylation-dependent and -independent mechanisms with the latter probably involving the direct binding of the RH domain of GRK2 to Gaq/11 (Willets et al. 2005). Our previous studies were performed on immature neurons (≤ 8 DIV) before the development of spontaneous synaptic activity within the culture. Beyond ~10 DIV these cultures form synaptic connections, which, via glutamatergic transmission, result in spontaneous, often synchronous bursts of action potentials that generate oscillatory changes in intracellular Ca2+ (Ogura et al. 1987; Bacci et al. 1999; Hardingham et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2003; Nash et al. 2004) which resembles, at least to some degree, the complex neuronal network activity observed in intact hippocampal preparations (LeBeau et al. 2005). We have also shown that activation of M1 mACh receptors can increase synaptic excitability in hippocampal neurons, probably via an agonist-mediated depletion of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and activation of Ino (Young et al. 2005). Furthermore, enhanced synaptic activity in hippocampal neurons, initiated by suppression of inhibitory GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors with picrotoxin, dramatically enhances M1 mACh receptor-stimulated IP3 production and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} store release (Nash et al. 2004). Moreover, very recent studies have revealed that conditions that mimic the in vivo environment of continuous synaptic bombardment of neocortical neurons show dramatically reduced mACH receptor agonist effects on membrane conductances (Desai and Walcott 2006).

In view of these data, we have examined M1 mACh receptor responsiveness in mature cultures of hippocampal neurons in which the level of synaptic activity has either been suppressed by TTx or enhanced by picrotoxin. Our data reveal clear evidence that agonist-mediated desensitization of M1 mACh receptor (with respect to the stimulation of PLC activity) is both enhanced and prolonged under conditions of increased synaptic activity. Furthermore, a combined action of GRK2 and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent PKC(s) is indicated in the enhanced desensitization of M1 mACh receptor signalling observed in such synaptically active hippocampal neuronal cultures. Our current data also emphasize that the role of GRK2 in this regulation is dependent on its kinase activity. There is now much evidence that GRK2 can suppress receptor-mediated Gaq/11 activation in a phosphorylation-independent manner through a specific interaction of GTP-loaded Gaq/11 with the RH domain located at the N-terminal of GRK2 (Carman et al. 1999; Sterne-Marr et al. 2003; Tesmer et al. 2005; Willets et al. 2005). In hippocampal neurons over-expression of GRK2, or its kinase-dead mutant form, completely suppresses M1 mACh receptor-stimulated

![Fig. 7 Translocation of conventional and novel protein kinase C (PKCs) following picrotoxin (PITx) treatment of hippocampal neuron cultures. eGFP-PKCIII or eGFP-PKCI translocated neurons were challenged with PITx (100 μmol/L) and PKC translocation to the plasma membrane determined as the decrease in cytosolic fluorescence. In panels (a) and (b), neurons were also loaded with the Ca2+-sensitive dye Fura-Red for 60 min prior to addition of PITx. Note that downward deflections in the Ca2+ trace indicate increases in [Ca2+]i. (a) representative trace showing that picrotoxin addition alone did not stimulate translocation of eGFP-PKCI. (b) representative trace showing that, in contrast, PITx addition alone caused transient increases in intracellular [Ca2+]i that are mirrored by rapid and transient translocations of eGFP-PKCIII. (c) representative trace showing the effects of sequential methacholine (MCh, 100 μmol/L) and PITx (100 μmol/L) additions on the translocation of eGFP-PKCIII. (d) representative trace showing the effects of the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor blocker 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (DNQX, 10 μmol/L) and tetrodotoxin (TTx, 500 nmol/L) on PITx-induced eGFP-PKCIII translocations. All representative traces are shown for experiments repeated on 4–10 coverslips from at least three separate hippocampal preparations.](image)
PLC signalling (Willets et al. 2004). However, data obtained by expressing a dominant-negative GRK2 construct that also possesses a mutation (D110A) in the RH domain (preventing binding to GTP-loaded Ga<sub>q/11</sub>) suggest that the phosphorylation-dependent activity of endogenous GRK2 is required for M1 mACh receptor desensitization in synaptically-active hippocampal neurons (Willets et al. 2005).

The enhanced and prolonged desensitization of M<sub>1</sub> mACh receptor desensitization in synaptically-active hippocampal neurons (Willets et al. 2005).

The translocation of eGFP-PKC<sub>e</sub> and eGFP-PKC<sub>β</sub>Il is shown in panels (b) and (c) respectively. The translocation of MARCKS in response to MCh was paralleled by a reciprocal movement of eGFP-PKC<sub>e</sub> and PKC<sub>β</sub>II to the plasma membrane. In hippocampal neurons the M<sub>1</sub> mACh receptor stimulates robust IP<sub>3</sub>/diacylglycerol accumulation, but only limited and transient intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals. Increased synaptic activity induced by picrotoxin in the hippocampal neurons also resulted in the translocation of MARCKS and inhibitor studies implicate both a PKC and CaM regulatory component under these conditions. Indeed, MARCKS translocation in response to MCh was paralleled by a reciprocal movement of eGFP-PKC<sub>e</sub>, but not PKC<sub>β</sub>II, to the plasma membrane. In hippocampal neurons the M<sub>1</sub> mACh receptor stimulates robust IP<sub>3</sub>/diacylglycerol accumulation, but only limited and transient intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals. Increased synaptic activity induced by picrotoxin in the hippocampal neurons also resulted in the translocation of MARCKS, which was now accompanied by both Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent PKCβII and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent PKC<sub>e</sub> translocations. These results together with inhibitor studies strongly implicate
PKC- and CaM-dependent components to MARCKS translocation under these conditions. Similar conclusions were reached in our previous studies on NMDA receptor-stimulated cerebellar granule cells (Young et al. 2004). Therefore, under conditions of picrotoxin-enhanced synaptic activity in hippocampal neurons, synchronous bursts of action potentials result in robust oscillatory changes in intracellular Ca2+ (Nash et al. 2004; Young et al. 2005) with consequent activation of CaM-dependent protein kinase and PKCs, as revealed by eGFP-MARCKS translocation. How this complex cross-talk results in an enhanced and prolonged M1 mACh receptor desensitization in synaptically-active neurons is not yet clear. Indeed, it should be emphasized that in immature, quiescent neurons neither Ca2+ nor PKC appear to be involved in agonist-evoked M1 mACh receptor desensitization (Willetts et al. 2005). Whether independent/permissive phosphorylations of the M1 receptor by PKCs and GRK2 occur, or whether a synergistic modulation of GRK activity by PKC results in such regulation remains to be established. However, in relation to the latter, PKC has previously been shown to phosphorylate GRK2 resulting in enhanced desensitization of β2-adrenergic receptors (Chuang et al. 1995), and more recently phosphorylation of GRK2 by PKC was shown to suppress its inhibition by CaM (Krasel et al. 2001).

Whatever the mechanism(s), the present studies provide a novel insight into the regulation of endogenous G protein-coupled receptor activity in synaptically-active hippocampal neurons. As such it provides the first evidence that ionotropic glutamate receptor-mediated synaptic activity can dramatically alter M1 mACh receptor responsiveness by mechanisms involving both GRK2 and PKC(s) in primary neurons. What might be the consequences of greater and/or more sustained M1 mACh receptor desensitization? There is substantial evidence that GRK-mediated phosphorylation of mACh receptors brings about the recruitment of arrestins that enhance receptor internalization and lead to the redistribution of receptors to organelar compartments within the cytoplasm in primary neurons (Volpicelli and Levey 2004; Bernard et al. 2006). In addition, it is now well established that phosphorylated-receptor recruited arrestins can act as scaffolds for various signalling proteins, including components of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase/c-Jun N-terminal kinase cascades (Daaka et al. 1998; Lefkowitz and Shenoy 2005). It has also been shown previously that M1 mACh receptor stimulation can activate extracellular signal-regulated kinase in hippocampal neurons (Berkeley et al. 2001; Berkeley and Levey 2003), although it is not yet known whether this is GRK2/arrestin-dependent. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that ionotropic modulation of the magnitude and/or longevity of receptor desensitization might not only lead to changes in plasmalemmal M1 mACh receptor expression, but also potentially lead to altered neuronal signal transduction through arrestin-dependent orchestration of key signalling pathways.

Our study has revealed novel information on the regulation of M1 mACh receptors by both agonist and synaptically activated excitability changes. Whether this represents an important mechanism of acute regulation of G protein-coupled receptor activity in neurons, or whether this increases the potential of the receptor to integrate with glutamate-mediated synaptic activity and, for example, signalling to the nucleus to influence dendritic function and plasticity, requires further investigation. However, in this regard Power and Sah (2002) have showed that following physiological activation of mACh receptors in hippocampal CA1 neurons, Ca2+ waves invade the nucleus by a mechanism dependent on IP3-sensitive stores. We have also shown that increased synaptic activity in hippocampal neurons results in a Ca2+-dependent enhancement of M1 mACh receptor-mediated PLC activity and increased Ca2+ store release (Nash et al. 2004). Finally, another recent study (Buttery et al. 2006) has shown that stimulation of hippocampal M1 mACh receptors (and mGlu receptors) recruits z1-chimaerin, a Rac-GTPase-activating protein, to the plasma membrane and its expression is highly dependent on the excitability of the neuronal culture. z1-chimaerin is known to modulate dendritic spine formation/removal in neurons (Van de Ven et al. 2005). Overall, this could provide a complex bi-directional cross-talk between ionotropic and metabotropic signalling in neurons that may underlie the regulation of longer-term modifications, such as synaptic plasticity.
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