A comparative study on the rheological properties of coal water slurries using sodium tripoly-phosphate and carboxy-methyl-cellulose as dispersants

The effects of two anionic dispersants (polymeric-carboxy methyl cellulose: CMC and inorganic-sodium tripoly-phosphate: STPP) on the rheological properties of coal water slurries (CWS) of varying solid loading were reported and compared. The rheological data was obtained in the shear rate range of 60-160 s⁻¹ and the data was fitted using power law model. For lower solid concentrations (10% and 20%), shear thickening behaviour was observed while shear thinning nature was seen for the higher solid loadings (30%, 40% and 50%) for CWS with both the dispersants. For all slurries, an increase in flow behaviour index values was seen with the increase of dispersant loading. For CWS of 10% and 20% solid loadings, lower values of flow behaviour index was observed with CMC as dispersant. On the other hand, lower values for flow behaviour index was seen with STPP as dispersant for CWS of 30%, 40% and 50% solids loading. Although CMC was found very effective in reducing the apparent viscosity at lower dosages unlike STPP, the apparent viscosity values are quite sensitive to the loading of CMC as dispersant in comparison to STPP. This observed trend may be due to aggressively induced negative charges upon the adsorption of CMC on coal particles at the tested dispersant dosages.

Keywords: Coal water slurry, high ash Indian coals, sodium tripoly-phosphate (STPP), carboxy-methyl-cellulose (CMC), rheology, flow behaviour index.

1.0 Introduction

The transportation of coal as coal water slurry (CWS) is a proven technology and coal is being majorly used in the commercial energy generation sector worldwide [1, 2]. In India, although the available coal from the reserves is of non-coking or low rank coal type, they are suitable for gasification and combustion [3]. For this purpose, preparation of coal water slurry (CWS) of suitable flow characteristics is quite essential for easy transportation during the unit operations stage. Hence, the study of rheological properties of CWS becomes obvious for the design and optimisation of the coal utilization in the energy generation. For the slurry, higher coal concentration, minimum viscosity, lower yield stress and minimum settling are desirable for the better efficiencies of handling and operations [4]. For the desirable properties of CWS it is proven that the addition of suitable chemical dispersants or additives is an easy way out for the reduction of slurry viscosity successful maintenance of fluidity and impartation of stability [5, 6].

The addition of chemical additives can induce electrostatic or steric repulsions among the coal particles with negative charges on the coal particle’s surface. Several types of dispersants (anionic, non-ionic, polymeric and natural etc) for coal water slurries were successfully tested and reported in the literature [7-9]. Nevertheless very few works reported the comparison of the effect of two distinct dispersants for the coal water slurries for a coal sample to detail the workings of dispersants and thereby correlating their impact on the observed rheological behaviour. In this contribution, two anionic dispersants (inorganic and polymeric) are employed in the preparation of CWS and the effect of these dispersants on the rheological properties were compared.

For CWS, the dispersants also known as additives (ionic and non-ionic) are added in small proportions to decrease the interfacial tension between coal particles and water [10-13]. The anionic dispersants create net negative charge on the coal surface by the adsorption of the hydrophobic tail portion of the dispersant/additive over the coal surface with negatively charged head protruding out from the surface after dissociation or formation of stable surface complex with high valence cations of the coal matrix on the surface (e.g. Al³⁺, Fe³⁺) [1].
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On the other hand, anionic polymeric dispersants effectively stabilize the coal water slurries by the combination of steric and electrostatic repulsive forces with high molecular adsorbent layer formed on the surface of coal particle [14-17].

The current study presents a quantitative comparison of the apparent viscosity of coal water suspensions prepared separately using the two anionic dispersants namely carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) and sodium tripoly-phosphate (STPP) for an Indian coal variety. The rheological properties were compared for different solid loadings at a constant pH value of 9.

### 2.0 Experimental

After collecting the coal sample from Jamadoba mine of Jharkhand state, the coal sample was air dried and ground to the size of $D_{80}=56$ microns and directly used in the preparation of CWS. Proximate and ultimate analysis of the coal was performed as per the ASTM standard procedure and the results are shown in Table 1.

| Test                  | Result          |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Moisture (ADB)        | 0.8%            |
| Volatile matter (ADB) | 19.9%           |
| Ash (ADB)             | 30.2%           |
| Fixed Carbon (ADB)    | 49.1%           |
| GCV (ADB)             | 5537 Kcal/kg    |
| ADB-Air dry basis     |                 |

The representative samples of CWS were prepared using the standard sampling procedure. The two chemical additives namely sodium tripoly phosphate (STPP) and carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) of lab grade were employed as dispersants in this work. Using turbidity and zeta potential measurements on the mixture of coal powder, distilled water and the dispersant, the suitability and effectiveness of these two dispersants were estimated using Digital Nephelo Turbidity Meter 132 and Beckman Coulter Delsa™Nano C Particle Analyzer respectively.

The morphology of the coal and its chemical distribution of phases were analysed using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping. The analysis confirms the presence of both carbonaceous mineral with carbon and non-carbonaceous mineral matter with oxygen, silicon, iron, aluminum, calcium and sulphur as dominating elements. The presence of these elements suggests the occurrence of quartz, kaolinite, pyrite and montimorillonite etc. as non-carbonaceous mineral matter in the coal sample.

### 3.0 Results and discussion

The material characterization of coal and an understanding of the physical and chemical properties including composition are quite essential to correlate the action of additives or dispersants and subsequent rheological properties of CWS. The proximate and ultimate analysis of the coal was given in Table 1. The particle size distribution (PSD) of the grounded coal was given in Table 2.

| Mesh size (Pass through) | Cumulative weight % |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| +150                     | 100                 |
| -150+105                 | 98.49               |
| -105+74                  | 90.01               |
| -74+53                   | 78.29               |
| -53+38                   | 70.37               |
| -38+25                   | 58.82               |
| -25+16                   | 47.78               |
| -16+11                   | 39.58               |
| -11+5                    | 24.03               |
| -5+4                     | 20.47               |
| -4                       | 0                   |

The morphology of the coal and its chemical distribution of phases were analysed using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping. The analysis confirms the presence of both carbonaceous mineral with carbon and non-carbonaceous mineral matter with oxygen, silicon, iron, aluminum, calcium and sulphur as dominating elements. The presence of these elements suggests the occurrence of quartz, kaolinite, pyrite and montimorillonite etc. as non-carbonaceous mineral matter in the coal sample.

#### 3.1 Zeta potential and Turbidity measurements

The knowledge on zeta potential and turbidity of the coal in the presence of chemical additive or dispersant can greatly help in the favourable manipulation of rheological properties of the CWS. Zeta potential and turbidity measurements were carried out on the coal samples with the addition of each dispersant (CMC and STTP) and the results are presented in Figs.2 and 3 respectively. A continuous increase in the turbidity and a gradual decrease in zeta potential with the
gradual addition of dispersant was observed for both the dispersants. A maximum turbidity value of 18.5 NTU was obtained for 8 kg/tonne STPP addition while a slightly higher turbidity value (18.9 NTU) was obtained with only 0.5 kg/tonne addition of CMC in comparison as shown in Fig.3. Overall, the higher turbidity and lower zeta potential values for CMC in comparison with STPP in the range of loadings tested indicate the higher effectiveness of CMC as dispersant. A lower value of zeta potential with a higher value of turbidity can be seen in the form of lowered viscosity and even dispersion which are quite beneficial for the slurry transportation [18].

3.2 DISPERANT EFFECT ON FLOW PROPERTIES

The variation of apparent viscosity with respect to shear rate and a comparison of the rheological behaviours was depicted for the two dispersants (CMC and STPP) in Fig.4 (a)-(j). In all cases, non-Newtonian behaviour was clearly evident. Linear equation fit was applied to calculate the slope and to estimate the nature of flow behaviour. For a given dispersant dosage, the apparent viscosity values of CWS increased with respect to the increase in shear rate for the CWS of lower concentration of solids (10% and 20%), whereas for the slurries of higher solid concentrations (30%, 40% and 50%), the apparent viscosity values decreased with the increase of shear rate values. The increase of apparent viscosity with increase in shear rate is seen as the dilatants nature of slurry at lower solid concentrations (10% and 20%). When the solid loading increased from 20% to 30%, the apparent viscosity values decreased with respect to increase in the shear rate which is the indicative of pseudo plastic nature of the slurry for the higher solid loadings (30%, 40% and 50%).

The data plotted in Fig.5(a)-(j) was cross plotted in Fig.6(a)-(j) as the variation of apparent viscosity with respect to dispersant dosage (constant shear rate curves: shear rate of 60, 74, 91.3, 121 and 160 s\(^{-1}\)) for the five solids loadings. For 10% solid loading, the variations of apparent viscosity values with respect to dispersant loading are almost similar for the two dispersants for all shear rates. For the CWS at 20% solids loading, for all shear rates tested, the apparent viscosity values attained their minimum values at dispersant dosages of 1.5 kg/tonne for CMC and 8 kg/tonne for STPP.

Apparently, in cases of 30%, 40% and 50% solids loadings, for all shear rates tested, the apparent viscosity values attained their minimum values at a dispersant dosage of 1.5 kg/tonne with CMC as dispersant. However the minimum values of apparent viscosity with STPP as dispersant was seen at 6 kg/tonne. Interestingly, the effect of dispersant in reducing the apparent viscosity was absent for 30% slurry with STPP as dispersant in the range of shear rates tested. The agglomeration of particles due to the excessive dispersant dosage can increase the ionic strength of the slurry, which can be manifested as the formation of strong electrical double layers around the solid particles and thereby the electrostatic repulsive forces among the particles get reduced. As a result, the apparent viscosity increases with increase in dispersant dosage after the saturation limit [19].

The rheological properties of CWS can be greatly influenced by nature of surface charges induced by the dispersant with its addition to CWS. CMC which is anionic in nature was very much effective in reducing the viscosity of the CWS due to its capability to induce more surface charge on coal particles by the combination of steric effects and electrostatic repulsions [4]. This is the reason for the more effectiveness of CMC in comparison with STPP at the lower dispersant loadings. However, slight increase in the CMC above the minimum loading led to a sharp increase in the
Fig. 3: Scanning electron micrograph of coal sample and its elemental mapping of carbon, oxygen, silicon, aluminium, calcium, iron and sulphur.
Fig. 4: Shear stress versus shear rate on CWS at different solids loading
(a) 10%, (c) 20%, (e) 30%, (g) 40% and (i) 50% for CMC, and (b) 10%, (d) 20%, (f) 30%, (h) 40% and (j) 50% for STPP
Fig. 5: Effect of dispersant dosage on CWS at different solids loading
(a) 10%, (c) 20%, (e) 30%, (g) 40% and (i) 50% for CMC, and (b) 10%, (d) 20%, (f) 30%, (h) 40% and (j) 50% for STPP
Fig. 6: Effect of flow behaviour index on CWS at different solids loading, (a) 10%, (b) 20%, (c) 30%, (d) 40% and (e) 50% for CMC and STPP.

apparent viscosity values in comparison with that of STPP as dispersant. This is due to the rapid reduction in the electrostatic repulsive forces among the coal particles due to the formation of strong electrical double layers on the coal particles for the increase of CMC loading above its minimum values. On the contrary, the starting of the formation of strong double layers is not possible just above and near the minimal values with STPP as dispersant.

3.3 Flow behaviour Index

To make a quantitative comparison between the two dispersants, the rheological data was fitted for power law model or Oswald de Waele model. In the case of power law
model equation, the exponent of velocity gradient denoted generally with $n$ is famously called as flow behaviour index. The slurry can be denoted as Newtonian if the flow behaviour index is equal to 1. For the two cases visually $n>1$ and $n<1$ (non-Newtonian $n\neq 1$), the rheological nature of the slurry is denoted as dilatants and pseudo plastic respectively. The variation of flow behaviour index values of two slurries for all solid loadings with respect to two dispersants are observed. For the two dispersants dilatants flow in nature or shear thickening behaviour was seen for the slurries of 10% and 20% solid loading. For the other three solid loadings (30%, 40% and 50%), pseudo plastic flow in nature (shear thinning behaviour) can be seen as per the power law model. The transition from dilatants to pseudo plastic behaviour is observed when the solid concentration increased from 20% to 30%. CWS with CMC as dispersant exhibited lower values of flow behaviour index ($n$) values in comparison with those of STPP at 10 and 20% solids whereas higher and wider distribution of flow behaviour index values are reported for the slurries of 30%, 40% and 50% solid loading. Moreover, for a given solids loading, an increase of flow behaviour index values are evident with increase in dispersant dosage for both dispersants.

### 4.0 Conclusion

The rheological behaviour of the CWS was investigated for two different dispersants namely CMC (polymeric) and STPP (non-polymeric) and their effectiveness as dispersants were compared. After establishing the suitability of CMC and STPP as dispersants in the zeta potential and turbidity measurements, the rheological data was obtained in the shear rate range of $60-160 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Most importantly, for a given solids concentration, the minimum values of shear stress were reported with a lower dispersant dosages with CMC as dispersant in comparison with STPP. In other words, for a given solids concentration, the dispersant dosage required to attain a value of shear stress for a given shear rate was lower for CMC in comparison with STPP. With CMC as dispersant, the coal surface can become aggressively negative even at lower dispersant loadings which can be seen as the increased repulsions among the coal particles by the combination of steric effects and electrostatic repulsions. Due to the higher sensitivity of the adsorption of CMC on coal particles with respect to loading, addition of dispersant beyond the saturation can be quite detrimental unlike the case with STPP.

For 10% and 20% solids loading, shear thickening (dilatant) nature was observed for the slurries for the two dispersants. For the other solid loadings (30%, 40% and 50%) shear thinning (pseudo plastic) nature was observed for both the dispersants as the flow behaviour index values are less than 1. For a given dispersant and solids loading, the flow behaviour index values increased with increase in dispersant dosage.
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