One Stage Reconstruction and Refinements for Frontonasal Encephalocele
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Abstract

Background: The successful correction of frontonasal encephaloceles was shown to depend on a detailed understanding of the pathological anatomy, careful planning of the bone movements to correct these deformities, and attention to detail regarding the placement of scars, positioning of the medial canthi and the nasal reconstruction. Objective: We reviewed our experience at the paediatric neurosurgery department of national institute of neurosciences, Dhaka, Bangladesh and discussed our evolution toward a definitive, single-stage correction, including refinements. Methodology: This was a clinical trial which was conducted in the Department of Paediatric neurosurgery at National institute of Neurosciences & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh from May 2014 to April 2019 for a period of five (05) years. Paediatric patients with frontonasal encephalocele were operated. Post-operative outcomes were observed. Result: A total number of 6 patients were operated. All were under 1 year. 3 were male and 3 were female. We operated 6 cases of successful one stage operation for frontonasal encephalocele in very young children. A good cosmetic result had been achieved after operation. Conclusion: In conclusion, a one-stage repair with both a transcranial and external approach is effective surgical management for the frontonasal encephalocele paediatric patients. [Journal of National Institute of Neurosciences Bangladesh, 2019;5(2): 111-117]
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Introduction

Encephalocele is defined as protrusion of cranial contents (meninges and cerebral tissue) beyond the normal confines of the skull through a defect in the cranium. The population incidence of this congenital anomaly is estimated to vary from 1 per 300 to 1 per 10000 live births¹⁻⁴. In respect to the incidence, cranial dysraphism, particularly encephaloceles, is far less common compared to its spinal counterpart, namely, myelomeningocele, accounting for only 8 to 19% of all dysraphism⁵⁻⁹. It is one form of a neural tube defect as are anencephaly and spina bifida¹⁰. There are 2 main types of encephalomeningocele, frontoethmoidal and occipital,
Encephalocele is defined as protrusion of cranial contents through a defect in the skull at the junction of the frontal and ethmoidal bones. They can be classified further as nasofrontal (between the nasal and the frontal bones), nasoethmoidal (between the nasal bones and the nasal cartilage), or naso-orbital (through the medial wall of the orbit). The frontoethmoidal type defect, which is located in the area of the frontal and ethmoidal bones, is exclusively common in Southeast Asia. The aim of surgical treatment is to restore the functional brain tissue in the cranial cavity, removal or invagination of nonfunctional extracranial cerebral tissue with water-tight closure of the dura, correct bone defect and restore esthetic facial appearance safely and successfully in a single stage. We reviewed our experience at the pediatric neurosurgery department of National Institute of Neurosciences, Dhaka, Bangladesh and discuss our evolution toward a definitive, single-stage correction, including refinements.

Methodology

Study Design & Place: This clinical trial was conducted from May 2014 to April 2019 for a period of five (05) years. A total number of 6 patients with frontonasal encephalocele were operated at the pediatric neurosurgery department of National Institute of Neurosciences and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Following information like diagnosis, extent of encephalocele, vision, procedure, intraoperative data, bone grafts, complications, and secondary procedures were collected and reviewed.

History, Presentation and Examination: All children presented with a protruding soft swelling with a wide base between the eyes. Children had been born with the facial swelling. It had slowly enlarged as they grew up. There were no complaints with regard to vision. No congenital anomalies were mentioned in their siblings. The physical examination revealed non-pulsatile swelling at root of the nose with underlying bony defect, interorbital hypertelorism, nose deformity and medial canthal dystopia. The neurological status revealed no abnormalities.

Neuroimaging Studies: All patients were investigated with CT-scan of the head and MRI of brain that showed a defect in the anterior cranial base involving the crista galli. The defect directly communicated with the anterior cranial fossa through which herniated brain. The size and anatomical location of the lesion were noted. Associated findings, such as head size, any underlying hydrocephalus were also noted.

Surgical technique: In these 6 cases the surgical approach was usually a combination of transcranial and direct anterior incisions.
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Case 1a: Preoperative photograph of 11-month-old male child with Frontonasal encephalocele

Case 1b: Postoperative photograph (after 6 weeks of operation)
of the tragus. A paranasal skin incision was made in a reversed Y-shape because of the bilateral herniated masses in order to remove any redundant skin. The scalp was reflected to expose frontal bone both supra orbital rims nasal bridge with bony defect. A typical bifrontal craniotomy and a T shaped frontonasal osteotomy were done to obtain adequate exposure to the encephalomeningoceles. Supra orbital

Case 2a: Preoperative photograph of 9-month-old female child with Frontonasal encephalocele

Case 2b: Postoperative photograph (after 1 month of operation)

Case 3a: Preoperative photograph of 9-month-old male child with giant frontonasal encephalocele

Case 3b: Postoperative photograph (after 3 weeks of operation)
Encephalocele is defined as protrusion of cranial dysraphism, particularly encephaloceles, is far less common compared to its spinal counterpart, namely, myelomeningocele, accounting for only 8 to 19% of all dysraphism.

It is one form of a neural tube defect as are anencephaly. The orbit). The frontoethmoidal type defect, which is bones), nasoethmoidal (between the nasal bones and the frontal and ethmoidal bones. They can be classified according to the location of the defect. Frontoethmoidal encephalocele were operated at the five (05) years. A total number of 6 patients with hydrocephalus were also noted.

The physical examination revealed non-pulsatile swelling at root of the nose with underlying bony masses in order to remove any redundant skin. The scalp was reflected to expose frontal bone both supra orbital rim was removed. A satisfactory extradural exposure all around the herniating glioting brain was thus achieved. The margins were freed all around the bony defect.

The skull defects over the inner wall of the orbit and ethmoidal sinus were located, and the herniated dural sac and the degenerative brain tissue it contained were resected. Watertight and durable closure of the dural

**Case 4a:** Preoperative photograph of 10-month-old female child with frontonasal encephalocele

**Case 4b:** Postoperative photograph after 3 weeks of operation

**Case 5a:** Preoperative photograph of 7-month-old female Child with frontonasal encephalocele

**Case 5b:** Postoperative photograph after 3 weeks of operation
defect was achieved by an autologous pericranial graft and fibrin glue. The nasal bridge was reconstructed with split frontal bone. All areas were fixed with 2-0 proleneor by titanium miniplate and screw. The medial canthus was repositioned with prolene 3-0 stay sutures. After achieving haemostasis, wounds were closed in layers. Skin was sutured with 5/0 cutting prolene.

**Postoperative Courses:** Post-operatively, patient recovered uneventfully except there was subgaleal CSF collection in postoperative period in two cases, managed by single aspiration.

**Results**
A total number of 6 patients were operated. All were under 1 year. 3 were male and 3 were female. We operated 6 cases of successful one stage operation for frontonasal encephalocele in very young children during May 2014 to April 2019 period. A good cosmetic result had been achieved after operation.

**Discussion**
From the anatomic aspect, the most common sites for encephaloceles are occipital and frontonasal regions. In Asia and Africa, there is a predominance of the frontonasal group while 80-90% are found in the occipital region in the Western Hemisphere. Approximately 70% of occipital encephaloceles occur in females, but there is no sex predominance noted in the frontobasal type. The incidence of hydrocephalus in patients with encephaloceles is reported to be about 50%. In planning the strategy of management of encephalocele, one needs to take into consideration the site, size, contents, state of CSF pathway, neurological status, associated anomalies and overall general condition of the patient. In all our cases, there was a fronto orbitonasal defect extending posteriorly up to crista galli with hypertelorism and cosmetic deformity. The principle of repair is analogous to the management of hernias in general surgery, which includes dissection of the sac, isolation of the neck, adequate closure at the neck and reinforcement. The herniated part of the brain is usually gliosed and non-viable and can usually be safely amputated. Dural defect should be closed in a watertight fashion, using graft if necessary. In our cases, watertight and durable closure of the dural defect was achieved by an autologous pericranial graft and fibrin glue. Ideally, reinforcement of bony defect with bone graft (split cranium, split rib, or acrylic) will prevent protrusion through the defect. Reconstruction of bony abnormalities may be necessary at times for better cosmetic results. Associated hydrocephalus should be treated by shunting before managing the encephalocele. As mentioned before, surgical approaches for encephaloceles, based on its location and type, can be direct, indirect or both. In our cases, our operative approach involved combined approach
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(bifrontal craniotomy and direct repair) and were performed in collaboration with the maxillofacial surgeon. We performed a typical bifrontal craniotomy with a T-shaped osteotomy for one stage reconstruction and obtained adequate exposure in order to perform dura repair and the encephalomeningocele resection. The end result of encephalocele surgery is usually not determined by the neurosurgical procedure but by the underlying brain involvement and presence or absence of other congenital defects. In long-term follow up, cases with anterior defect have better prognosis and more than half have normal intelligence quotient (IQ)\textsuperscript{13}. Instead of the traditional 2-stage correction by preliminary disconnection and subsequent extracranial correction of the facial deformity\textsuperscript{14}, a 1-stage operation has become the standard treatment\textsuperscript{15}. Most Neurosurgeons and craniofacial surgeons prefer the combined nasal-coronal approach with a frontal craniotomy because of the wide exposure\textsuperscript{15}. On the other hand, the frontal bone flap can also be remodeled to eliminate the trigonocephalic bulge\textsuperscript{12} repair any external skull defects, and restore an esthetic appearance such as with nasal augmentation. Definitive bony correction is relatively easy in the infant but should be deferred for the first 4 or 5 months to lessen the risks of anesthesia and blood loss. However, the earlier the deforming stimulus of the encephalocele is removed, the less subsequent growth disturbance occurs. Ideally, infancy is the best time for definitive correction\textsuperscript{12}.

The successful correction of the frontonasal encephalocele on five principles\textsuperscript{12} like accurate diagnosis, delineation of the anatomy, and surgical planning and the type of operative repair depends on the anatomic pathology of the particular lesion and the surgical resources and equipment available, osteotomies and bone movements that correct the whole deformity, including the trigonocephalic head shape and interorbital hypertelorism, nasal reconstruction that avoids the long-nose deformity, skin closure that removes abnormal skin and places incisions in advantageous locations and single-stage surgery if possible, when the neurosurgical and craniofacial expertise is present.

Conclusion

Frontonasal encephalocele is a relatively uncommon neurosurgical entity. Treatment of this condition can be rewarding if properly managed early. In this paper we present our experience in the operative management of frontonasal encephaloceles with good outcome and also share our recommendation in technical consideration for one stage surgical repair with both a trancranial and external approach.
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