Seroprevalence of hepatitis B virus infection in Cameroon: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT
Objective Better knowledge of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection prevalence at the national level can help to implement pertinent strategies to address HBV related burden. The aim was to estimate the seroprevalence of HBV infection in Cameroon.

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Participants People residing in Cameroon.

Data sources Electronic databases including PubMed/ MEDLINE, African Journals Online (AJOL), ScienceDirect, WHO-Afro Library, WHO-IRIS, African Index Medicus, National Institute of Statistics and National AIDS Control Committee, Cameroon; regardless of language and from 1 January 2000 to 30 September 2016. This was completed with a manual search of references of relevant papers.

Risk of bias in methodology of studies was measured using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

Results Out of 511 retrieved papers, 44 studies with a total of 105 603 individuals were finally included. The overall pooled seroprevalence was 11.2% (95% CI 9.7% to 12.8%) with high heterogeneity between studies ($I^2=97.9$%). Egger’s test showed no publication bias ($p=0.167$). A sensitivity analysis excluding individuals at high risk of HBV infection and after adjustment using trim and fill method showed a pooled seroprevalence of 10.6% (95% CI 8.6% to 12.6%) among 100 501 individuals (general population, blood donors and pregnant women).

Sources of heterogeneity included geographical regions across country and setting (rural 13.3% vs urban 9.0%), and implementation of HBV universal immunisation (born after 9.2% vs born before 0.7%). Sex, site, timing of data collection, HBV screening tools and methodological quality of studies were not sources of heterogeneity.

Limitation Only a third of the studies had low risk of bias in their methodology.

Conclusion The seroprevalence of HBV infection in Cameroon is high. Effective strategies to interrupt the transmission of HBV are urgently required. Specific attention is needed for rural settings, certain regions and people born before the implementation of the HBV universal immunisation programme in Cameroon in 2005.

Registration PROSPERO, CRD42016042654.

INTRODUCTION
Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a global public health problem affecting millions of people every year and causing disability and death.1 Globally, it is estimated that approximately 257 million people are infected, particularly in low-income and middle-income countries.2,3 About 1 million people die each year (~2.7% of all deaths) from causes related to viral hepatitis, mostly liver disease, including liver cancer and cirrhosis.1,4 In highly endemic areas, hepatitis B is most commonly spread from mother to child at birth (perinatal transmission), or through horizontal transmission (exposure to infected blood), especially from an infected child to an uninfected child during the first 5 years of life.2,3 An estimated 50% to 80% of cases of primary liver cancer result from infection with HBV.5 In sub-Saharan Africa, infections by HBV affect between 5% and 10% of the population. In many countries, HBV infection is the leading cause of liver transplants. The economic load of the disease is also important; in the terminal stage, treatments are expensive, the cost easily reaching hundreds of thousands of dollars per person.7

Following a global summit in 2015, WHO launched the global programme against
hepatitis with the following goals, by 2030, to reduce by 90% the number of new cases of hepatitis B, reduce by 65% the number of hepatitis B-related deaths and treat 80% of eligible people infected with hepatitis B. The systematic review and meta-analysis published in *Lancet* by Schweitzer and colleagues in 2015 provides the global prevalence of HBV with estimate by countries. However, details on the source of data for each country are not provided, and the review gives an overall estimate of the prevalence, without emphasis on specific populations, especially at-risk groups to which interventions should be mostly directed. Therefore, the purpose of our review is to provide a detailed summarisation of the data on the prevalence of HBV in the general Cameroonian population, and specific populations such as blood donors, pregnant women and healthcare workers in particular. We strongly believe such detailed data are more likely to influence decision-making towards intervention to curb the burden of HBV in Cameroon.

**OBJECTIVE**

To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of data in populations residing within Cameroon as reported in studies published to determine the prevalence of HBV infection.

**METHODS**

This review was reported following the PRISMA guidelines. The preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses checklist can be found in online supplementary file S1. This review was registered in PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews, registration number CRD42016042654. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination guidelines were used as reference for the methodology of this review.

**Setting**

Cameroon is a Central African country situated slightly above the equator. It covers a surface area of 472,650 km² divided into 10 administrative regions: littoral, far-north, north, Adamawa, north-west, south-west, west, east, south and centre where the capital city (Yaoundé) is located. Cameroon counted 22.25 million inhabitants in 2013. The HBV universal immunisation programme was introduced in Cameroon in 2005. In Cameroon, for children born in 2005 or later, HBV vaccination is free of charge throughout the expanded programme on immunisation. In this vaccine programme, children receive three HBV vaccine doses at 6 weeks, 10 weeks and 14 weeks of life. Beside this programme, the vaccine is not free of charge for the general population and was not free of charge for children born before 2005. The circulation of three HBV genotypes A, E and D was reported in patients in Cameroon, however, genotypes A and E are predominantly found.

**Criteria for considering studies for the review**

**Inclusion criteria**

- We considered cross-sectional, case-control or cohort studies of participants residing in Cameroon reporting the prevalence of HBV infection, or enough data to compute this estimate.
- HBV infection diagnosed based on the presence of hepatitis B surface antigen.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Studies conducted among Cameroonian populations residing outside Cameroon.
- Studies not performed in humans.
- Studies in subgroups of participants selected on the basis of the presence of any other viral hepatitis.
- Case series, reviews, commentaries and editorials.
- Studies lacking primary data and/or explicit method description.
- Duplicates (for studies published in more than one report, the most comprehensive and up-to-date version was used).

**Search strategy used to identify relevant studies**

The search strategy was implemented in two stages:

- We performed a comprehensive search of databases to identify all relevant articles published on HBV infection in Cameroon from 1 January 2000 to 31 September 2016 without language restriction. A systematic search of PubMed/MEDLINE, African Journals Online, Science Direct, WHO Afro Library, WHO Institutional Repository for Information Sharing, African Index Medicus, National Institute of Statistics, Cameroon (http://www.statistics-cameroon.org/), National AIDS Control Committee, Cameroon (http://www.cns-cam.org/), and Health Sciences and Diseases (the biomedical journal of the Faculty of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University of Yaoundé 1, Cameroon) was undertaken using a predefined strategy based on the combination of relevant terms. We used both text words and medical subject heading terms; for example, ‘viral hepatitis B’, ‘hepatitis virus B’, ‘HBV’ and ‘Cameroon’. These terms and their variants were used in varying combinations. The literature search strategy was adapted to suit each database. The main search strategy conducted in PubMed/MEDLINE is shown in online supplementary file S2. The last search was conducted on 17 October 2016.
- We manually searched the reference lists of eligible articles and relevant reviews.

**Selection of included studies**

Two investigators independently identified articles and sequentially screened their titles and abstracts for eligibility. Full texts of articles deemed potentially eligible were acquired. These investigators further independently assessed the full text of each study for eligibility, and
consensually retained studies to be included. Disagreement was solved by a third investigator and discussion among authors. We used a screening guide to ensure that the selection criteria were reliably applied by all assessors.

### Appraisal of the quality of included studies

An adapted version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the methodological quality of studies included in this review. This scale was also used to assess the risk of bias affecting study findings. The NOS is formulated by a star allocation system, assigning a maximum of nine stars for the risk of bias in three areas: selection of study groups, comparability of groups and ascertainment of the outcome of interest. There is no validation study that provides a cut-off score for rating low-quality studies; a priori, we arbitrarily established that zero to three stars, four to six stars and seven to nine stars would be considered at high, moderate and low risk of bias, respectively.

### Data extraction and management

One investigator extracted data regarding general information (authors, year, regions in Cameroon, type of publication), study characteristics (study design, setting, sample size, mean or median age, age range, proportions of male participants, diagnosis criteria for hepatitis B, disease specific/profile specific to the study population) and prevalence of hepatitis B. We considered two main groups of populations regarding their a priori risk to HBV infection: low-risk population (general population, blood donors and pregnant women) and high-risk population (HBV-infected people, haemodialysis patients, patients with sickle cell disease, patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, and healthcare workers). Where only primary data (sample size and number of cases) were available, these were used to calculate the prevalence estimate. Where prevalence rates or relevant data for estimating them were not available, we contacted the corresponding author of the study to request the missing information. In the absence of response or no availability of full data, the corresponding study was excluded. A second investigator double-checked extracted data for accuracy.

### Data synthesis including assessment of heterogeneity

Using meta-analysis, prevalence data were summarised by specific populations. Standard errors for the study-specific estimates were determined from the point estimate and the appropriate denominators, assuming a binomial distribution. We pooled the study-specific estimates using a random-effects meta-analysis model to obtain an overall summary estimate of the crude prevalence across studies, after stabilising the variance of individual studies with the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation.

A sensitive analysis was conducted excluding populations at high risk of HBV infection: healthcare workers, HBV-infected people, patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and patients with sickle cell disease. Heterogeneity was assessed using the $\chi^2$ test on Cochran’s Q statistic and quantified by calculating the $I^2$. Values of 25%, 50% and 75% for $I^2$ represent, respectively, low, medium and high heterogeneity. Where substantial heterogeneity was detected, when possible, we performed subgroup analysis to investigate the possible sources of heterogeneity using the following grouping variables: sex, study setting, study site, geographical region in Cameroon, status regarding implementation of the HBV universal immunisation in Cameroon, HBV screening tools and study methodological quality. Only populations at low risk of HBV infection were considered for these subgroup analyses. We assessed the presence of publication bias using funnel plots and the formal Egger’s test. A p value <0.10 was considered indicative of statistically significant publication bias. In addition, we conducted a trim and fill adjusted analysis to remove the most extreme small studies from the positive side of the funnel plot, re-computing the effect size at each iteration, until the funnel plot is symmetrical about the (new) effect size. We assessed inter-rater agreement for study inclusion using Cohen’s $\kappa$ coefficient. Data were analysed using the statistical software STATA V.13.0 for Windows (Stata, 2013; Stata Statistical Software: Release 13; College Station, Texas, USA).

### RESULTS

#### Study selection

Initially, a total of 511 articles were identified. After elimination of duplicates, screening titles and abstracts, 460 papers were found irrelevant and excluded. Agreement between investigators on abstract selection was high ($\kappa=0.88$, $p<0.001$). Full texts of the remaining 47 papers were scrutinised for eligibility, among which 9 were excluded (figure 1). Overall, 38 papers including 44 studies were found eligible; hence they were included in the meta-analysis.

#### Characteristics of included studies

The characteristics of each included study are presented in online supplementary file S3. The 38 included papers were published from 2001 to 2016 and included studies conducted from 1994 to 2015 in the 10 regions of Cameroon. Twelve studies were conducted among blood donors, 8 among HIV-infected people, 6 in the general population, 4 among healthcare workers, 1 among haemodialysis patients, 1 in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, 1 for HIV-infected pregnant women and 1 in patients with sickle cell disease. The age of included subjects varied from 1 year to 82 years. Three studies were population-based, 9 were hospital-based, and 2 studies did not report the setting. Eight studies were conducted solely in rural areas, 22 in urban areas only, and 14 in both urban and rural. One study included only men, 11 only women, and 32 both sexes. Concerning
diagnostic test used in studies, 22 were a rapid diagnostic test,13 32–36 40–44 47–52 57 64 9 were an enzyme linked immunoassay test,37 41–44 47 52 56 58 59 61 62 65–67 9 were an enzyme immunoassay test,31 39 46 60 63 1 was a chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay and 7 studies not described in detail diagnostic tests.40 45 50 51.

Methodological quality of studies
Fifteen (32.6%) studies had low,33 34 37 40 41 44 45 52 53 56–59 67 19 (41.3%) moderate,13 31 32 35 36 39 42 43 46 49 50 54 55 60 62 65 66 and 10 (26.1%)38 47 48 51 61 63 64 high risk of bias in their methodological quality. One paper was case control,55 10 studies were analysis of retrospective data33 38 40 45 47 59 60 67 and 33 studies were cross-sectional studies13 31 32 34–37 39 41–44 46 48–54 56–58 61–66 (see online supplementary file S3).

Overall pooled prevalence of HBV infection
There was a wide variation in HBV infection prevalence (figure 2). The crude overall prevalence of HBV infection in the pooled sample of 105 601 individuals was 11.2% (95% CI 9.7% to 12.8%). The sensitive overall pooled prevalence was also 9.5% (95% CI 7.9% to 11.4%) in a pooled sample of 100 501 individuals at low risk of HBV infection (figure 3). As expected, the prevalence of HBV infection (17.3, 95% CI 12.2 to 23.1) was higher in a population at high risk of HBV infection (p-difference=0.008) (figure 4). The heterogeneity between studies were high in both crude (I²=98.0%, p-heterogeneity<0.001) and sensitive (I²=98.6%, p-heterogeneity<0.001) meta-analyses. For the overall crude prevalence, funnel plot suggested publication bias (see online supplementary file S4) but the results of Egger’s regression test did not confirm any publication bias (p=0.167). For the sensitive overall prevalence, funnel plot suggested publication bias (see online supplementary file S5) confirmed by the results of Egger’s regression test (p=0.017). The adjusted sensitive HBV infection prevalence on trim and fill method was 10.6% (95% CI 8.6% to 12.6%).

Source of heterogeneity and subgroup analysis
Table 1 presents the HBV prevalence of all subgroups including assessment of heterogeneity, assessment of publication bias and assessment of difference between subgroups. Source of heterogeneity included regions in Cameroon (p-difference<0.001), settings (p-difference=0.001) and status regarding implementation of the HBV universal immunisation (p-difference<0.001). Results from meta-analysis suggested that the prevalence of HBV infection is higher in the North region and rural settings and lower in the West region and urban settings. The prevalence of HBV infection was also higher in people whose samples were collected before implementation of the HBV universal immunisation programme. There was no difference on pooled prevalence between subgroups of the population at low risk of HBV infection (general population, blood donors and pregnant women) (p-difference=0.567). Also, sex, study sites, timing of data collection, HBV screening tools and methodical quality of studies were not sources of heterogeneity (p-difference>0.05). The publication bias was found for all group analyses except for sex and HBV screening tools.

DISCUSSION
We investigated the prevalence of HBV infection in different populations in Cameroon. The information
provided by this systematic review and meta-analysis may contribute to improve knowledge on HBV infection epidemiology in Cameroon and in sub-Saharan Africa, as well as inform national policy makers. It is important to note that only a third of the 44 studies included in this review had low risk of bias in their methodological quality. Therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution due to the presence of studies with poor methodological quality. However, as shown in the subgroup analysis, methodological quality did not influence HBV seroprevalence.

We found a pooled prevalence of 11.2% (95% CI 9.7% to 12.8%) close to that found in the sensitive analysis excluding high-risk participants, 10.6% (95% CI 8.6% to 12.6%).
12.6%). According to WHO, these prevalence rates are high (≥8%). This sensitive prevalence is slightly lower than the prevalence found in a global systematic review (12.2%; 95% CI 11.7% to 12.8%) published in 2013 which included 17 studies from pregnant women, blood donors, healthcare workers and general populations from Cameroon and is higher than the reported prevalence in Africa (8.8%). Our meta-analysis, in addition included 31 studies from 2013 to 2016. Despite the high heterogeneity between studies, there was difference between population groups for prevalence of HBV infection when excluding high-risk individuals. This sensitive analysis suggests that prevalence was homogeneous across groups: around 10% (7.1% to 10.5%) and therefore when implementing preventive strategies focusing on the reduction of this prevalence, all groups should benefit from close strategies. The prevalence reported in this study suggests that in a population of 22.25 million inhabitants like in Cameroon, there are about 2 250 000 inhabitants infected by HBV. Therefore, access to screening for HBV and treatment should urgently be scaled up nationwide.

Analyses suggest that the prevalence is not influenced by sex distribution, methodological quality of studies, timing of data collection, HBV screening tools and study site. Surprisingly, the prevalence of HBV infection is higher in rural areas compared with urban areas. This discrepancy should be investigated in future studies. Analyses also suggest differences across regions in Cameroon for HBV seroprevalence. We can categorise prevalence across regions in three groups: regions with high (>15%; Far-North, North and South regions), with medium (8%–15%; Centre, East and South-West) and

---

**Figure 3** Forest plot of the prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection in subpopulations at low risk in Cameroon.

---

| Author                  | Year | Cases | Sample          | ES (95% CI)     | % Weight |
|------------------------|------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| **General**            |      |       |                 |                 |          |
| Foupouapouognigni     | 2011 | 62    | 524             | 11.8 (9.3, 14.9)| 3.64     |
| Rey-Cuille             | 2013 | 5     | 763             | 0.7 (0.3, 1.5)  | 3.73     |
| Mbopi-Kéou             | 2015 | 41    | 982             | 4.2 (3.1, 5.6)  | 3.77     |
| Noah                   | 2015 | 568   | 4367            | 13.0 (12.0, 14.0)| 3.90     |
| Shevell                | 2015 | 84    | 761             | 11.0 (8.0, 13.5)| 3.73     |
| **Subtotal (I² = 98.5%, p = 0.00)** |       |       |                 | 7.1 (2.6, 13.5)| 18.76    |
| **Blood donors**       |      |       |                 |                 |          |
| Mbanya                 | 2001 | 5989  | 40134           | 14.9 (14.6, 15.3)| 3.93     |
| Mbanya                 | 2003 | 27    | 252             | 10.7 (7.5, 15.1)| 3.37     |
| Koanga Mogtomo        | 2009 | 302   | 2674            | 11.3 (10.1, 12.5)| 3.87     |
| Koanga Mogtomo        | 2009 | 21    | 304             | 6.9 (4.6, 10.3) | 3.45     |
| Tagny                 | 2009 | 297   | 2887            | 10.3 (9.2, 11.4)| 3.88     |
| Noah                  | 2011 | 108   | 1000            | 10.8 (8.0, 12.9)| 3.77     |
| Fouellifack Ymele     | 2012 | 564   | 4644            | 12.1 (11.2, 13.1)| 3.90     |
| Noubiap                | 2013 | 55    | 543             | 10.1 (7.9, 13.0)| 3.65     |
| Eboumbou Moukoko      | 2014 | 16    | 461             | 3.5 (2.1, 5.6)  | 3.60     |
| Ankouane               | 2015 | 1137  | 9024            | 12.6 (11.9, 13.3)| 3.92     |
| Loriette              | 2015 | 393   | 2326            | 16.9 (15.4, 18.5)| 3.86     |
| Dionne-Odom           | 2016 | 287   | 4225            | 6.8 (6.1, 7.6) | 3.90     |
| **Subtotal (I² = 97.5%, p = 0.00)** |       |       |                 | 10.5 (6.7, 12.4)| 45.10    |
| **Pregnant Women**    |      |       |                 |                 |          |
| Kifutaw                | 2012 | 51    | 650             | 7.8 (6.0, 10.2) | 3.69     |
| Ducancelle            | 2013 | 259   | 1276            | 20.3 (18.2, 22.6)| 3.81     |
| Formulu               | 2013 | 74    | 959             | 7.7 (6.2, 9.8)  | 3.77     |
| Besong Frambo         | 2014 | 17    | 176             | 9.7 (6.1, 14.9) | 3.17     |
| Abongwa               | 2015 | 19    | 301             | 6.3 (4.1, 9.6)  | 3.45     |
| Loriette              | 2015 | 2408  | 13208           | 18.2 (17.6, 18.9)| 3.92     |
| Noubiap               | 2015 | 33    | 325             | 10.2 (7.3, 13.9)| 3.48     |
| Abongwa               | 2016 | 20    | 260             | 7.7 (5.0, 11.6) | 3.38     |
| Dionne-Odom           | 2016 | 308   | 7069            | 4.4 (3.9, 4.9)  | 3.91     |
| Enow-Tanjong          | 2016 | 40    | 406             | 9.9 (7.3, 13.1)| 3.56     |
| **Subtotal (I² = 99.2%, p = 0.00)** |       |       |                 | 9.8 (5.4, 15.4)| 36.14    |
| **Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.567** |     |     | | | |
| **Overall (I² = 98.6%, p = 0.00)** | | | | 9.5 (7.9, 11.4) | 100.00 |
low HBV seroprevalence (<8%, Littoral, North-West and West). Nevertheless, this result requires a cautious interpretation since certain regions in the analysis included only one study (West, North and East). Specific strategies should be implemented for rural settings and certain regions (East, Far North and South) with high prevalence. One can note that the Adamawa Region was not represented. Data also suggest that the implementation of HBV universal immunisation had good impact of HBV prevalence. This result should be interpreted with caution, only one study represented the people in which samples were collected after this implementation; and lacking data on the main HBV transmission route in the country is questionable for the interpretation. Studies are needed to estimate the main route of HBV transmission.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis that focuses on HBV infection in Cameroon. We included more studies compared with previously published meta-analysis, in addition, the prevalence for specific populations was estimated; and the difference between sex, geographical regions, HBV screening tools, status regarding implementation of HBV universal immunisation programme and residence areas were assessed. Strong and reliable methodological and statistical procedures were used. Nevertheless, only a large representative national epidemiological study conducted at the same time in all regions and all specific groups can give a more reliable and accurate overall prevalence of HBV infection. This was done in one study but limited to young men (18–23 years). In addition, the review included only one study specific to children. Across studies, HBV screening tools and their sensitivity and specificity may be different; this may influence estimates.

CONCLUSION

Despite the fact that only a third of the studies presented low risk of bias in their methodology, the prevalence of HBV infection in Cameroon is high, both, in the general population and in specific subpopulations. These findings indicate the need of comprehensive and effective strategies to interrupt the transmission of HBV infection in the Cameroonian population. Specific attention is needed for
### Table 1  Summary statistics from meta-analyses of prevalence studies on hepatitis B virus infection among populations in Cameroon using random effects model and arcsine transformations

| Group            | #Studies | #Participants | #Cases | Prevalence, % (95% CI) | I², % | p-heterogeneity | p-Egger’s test | p-difference |
|------------------|----------|---------------|--------|------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|
| **Populations**  |          |               |        |                        |       |                 |                |              |
| Overall          | 27       | 100,501       | 13,185 | 9.5 (7.9 to 11.4)      | 98.6  | <0.001          | 0.017          | 0.567        |
| General          | 5        | 7397          | 760   | 7.1 (2.6 to 13.5)      | 98.5  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Blood donors     | 12       | 68,474        | 9,196  | 10.5 (8.7 to 12.4)     | 97.5  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Pregnant women   | 10       | 24,630        | 3,229  | 9.8 (5.4 to 15.4)      | 99.2  | <0.001          |                |              |
| **Regions**      |          |               |        |                        |       |                 |                |              |
| Overall          | 30       | 96,134        | 12,617 | 9.2 (7.1 to 11.5)      | 97.9  | <0.001          | 0.004          | <0.001        |
| Centre           | 10       | 60,372        | 8,258  | 9.1 (7.1 to 11.3)      | 97.5  | <0.001          |                |              |
| East             | 1        | 364           | 37    | 10.2 (7.5 to 13.7)     | 82.2  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Far-North        | 3        | 15,859        | 2,834  | 15.8 (13.1 to 18.6)    |       |                 |                |              |
| Littoral         | 6        | 90,85         | 628   | 6.7 (4.0 to 10.1)      | 96.3  | <0.001          |                |              |
| North            | 1        | 1,276         | 259   | 20.3 (18.2 to 22.6)    | 82.2  | <0.001          |                |              |
| North-West       | 2        | 561           | 39    | 6.9 (5.0 to 9.2)       | 99.3  | <0.001          |                |              |
| South            | 1        | 1,01          | 19    | 18.8 (12.4 to 27.5)    | 82.2  | <0.001          |                |              |
| South-West       | 5        | 7,534         | 502   | 8.0 (5.5 to 10.8)      | 92.8  | <0.001          |                |              |
| West             | 1        | 982           | 41    | 4.2 (3.1 to 5.6)       |       |                 |                |              |
| **Settings**     |          |               |        |                        |       |                 |                |              |
| Overall          | 22       | 86,439        | 12,353 | 10.0 (8.6 to 11.6)     | 97.4  | <0.001          | 0.006          | 0.005        |
| Rural            | 7        | 17,799        | 3,159  | 13.3 (10.6 to 16.2)    | 91.8  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Urban            | 15       | 68,640        | 9,194  | 9.0 (7.3 to 10.7)      | 97.4  | <0.001          |                |              |
| **Sex**          |          |               |        |                        |       |                 |                |              |
| Overall          | 21       | 44,951        | 5,669  | 9.3 (7.1 to 11.8)      | 98.8  | <0.001          | 0.113          | 0.168        |
| Female           | 15       | 26,614        | 2,24   | 8.5 (5.2 to 12.6)      | 85.9  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Male             | 6        | 18,337        | 389   | 11.6 (10.1 to 13.1)    | 98.4  | <0.001          |                |              |
| **Sites**        |          |               |        |                        |       |                 |                |              |
| Overall          | 27       | 100,501       | 13,185 | 9.5 (7.9 to 11.3)      | 98.6  | <0.001          | 0.017          | 0.954        |
| Hospital-based   | 23       | 93,867        | 12,430 | 9.5 (7.7 to 11.5)      | 98.8  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Population-based | 4        | 6634          | 755   | 9.7 (5.6 to 14.7)      | 96.5  | <0.001          |                |              |
| **Timing of data collection** | | | | | | | | |
| Overall          | 27       | 100,501       | 13,185 | 9.5 (7.9 to 11.4)      | 98.6  | <0.001          | 0.014          | 0.110        |
| Retrospective    | 5        | 17,189        | 2,079  | 11.4 (10.3 to 12.5)    | 73.1  | <0.001          |                |              |
| Prospective      | 22       | 83,312        | 11,106 | 9.3 (7.2 to 11.6)      | 98.9  | <0.001          |                |              |

Continued
rural settings and certain regions of the country. Health policies makers and stakeholders should facilitate access to HBV immunisation to people born before 2005.
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