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ABSTRACT
Ethics is the rule of right conduct or practice in a profession. The basic principles of ethics are beneficence, justice and autonomy or individual freedom. There is very minor demarcation between ethics and the law. The ethics is promulgated by the professional bodies. All are expected to guide the medical professional in their practice. Medical educators have dual ethical obligations: firstly, to the society at large which expects us to produce competent health professionals, and secondly, to the students under our care. The students observe and copy what their teacher does and his/her role modelling can be a gateway to a student’s character building. Due to rapid increase in the number of medical colleges, privatization, and capitalism, ethical issue has become much more relevant and needs to discuss in detail. The present paper discusses the ethics for medical educators in detail with, basic principles, common breaches of ethics and fallacies due to wrong application of ethical principles, and the approach to ethics and methods by which we can prevent and avoid breach of ethics.

Key words: Ethics for educator, ethics, medical education

INTRODUCTION
Ethics is the rule of right conduct or practice in a profession.[1] There is a very minor demarcation line between the ethics and the law. The law is governed by the court and can be challenged in the courts, but ethics come and are governed by inner soul and consciences of the human beings.

Medical profession is considered as a noble profession because people compare doctor with God, so the doctors have the moral duty to follow the ethics.

The national code of ethics promulgated by Medical Council of India, World Medical Association and International Code of Ethics all are expected to guide the Medical Professionals in their practice.[2] In the last 20 years, Medical and Dental colleges in India have sprouted at a very fast rate though we have limited faculty. This has created a marked shortage of medical teachers and medical institutions need to develop their own human resources.[3] Faculty development program will meet shortage of medical teachers and groomed faculty for leadership roles to provide solutions for achieving the standards of health for population. The objective of the present paper is to discuss the basic principles of ethics and their relevance in medical education. This paper also discusses the current status and their common fallacies.

The code of ethics or conducts promulgated by most medical councils or professional bodies is aimed at protecting the interest of profession by promoting the interest of the patients or people it serves. The oldest
code is 2000 year old and known as Hippocratic oath. The code of Hammurabi and Charak Samhita are other ancient texts which contain guidelines for medical practice.[2]

The basic tenet of all these text has been to exhort doctors or medical professionals to carry out activities which “benefit” or at least “do not harm” the patients. In addition, these days it also deals relationship among the medical professionals and the rules of setting up practices etc.[2]

**Basic principles of ethics**

There are three fundamental principles of “universal ethics”,[1]

1. a. Beneficence (do good)
   b. Non maleficence (do not harm)
2. Justice and equality (versus bias)
3. Autonomy or individual freedom (versus paternalism)

House (1990) has discussed three principle of ethics[4]

1. Mutual respect
2. No coercion and non manipulation and
3. Support of democratic values

Jagsi R[5] in 2004 also explained all ethical issues for health educators on these three basic principles

1. Respect for individual
2. Beneficiences and
3. Distributive justice

All professionals are expected to follow these universal principles to the best of their ability. The ethics in medical education is a very vast topic for discussion. Medical educators have dual obligation of ethics over students, one in the context of patient and doctor and another in the context of teacher and student. In the present article, we mainly discuss the role of ethics between teachers and students context.

What is the point of telling people the noble truth when they seem not to care and are busy with mundane things? Faced with this question from the Mara; the Evil, Buddha replied, “There will be some who will understand”. The spirit of these powerful words gives us endless hope. When a clinician starts managing a patient, there is a hope that the patient will recover. Hope is something that keeps us moving when things are not all right. The same is true for ethics also, no matter what the nature of ethics plasticized in an institution; the possibility always exists that there are people who are concerned about ethical lapses and who would like to see a change in less than the ideal ethical climate.[6]

At present, everybody is giving emphasis on the ethics for doctor with respect to professionalism and patient. The topic for medical teacher in respect to students is ignored and thus lags far behind.

WHO, SEARO (South East Asia Regional Office) has reviewed the situation of teaching of ethics in six countries of the region (Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, Nepal and Sri- Lanka). The study concluded that the teaching of ethics in these countries is in a state of infancy, mostly based in the department of Forensic Medicine which mainly emphasizes negligence and malpractice.[7] In response, WHO (SEARO) has developed a curriculum on medical ethics for undergraduate for South East Asian medical schools.

The term ethical conduct brings forward a debate about the distinctions between the code of conduct and their purposes and ethical principles and their place in the teaching profession. Some would argue that the code of conduct mandates specific behaviors in particular situations but do not promote individual adherence to ethical principles. Code of conduct may assist but not give clear definition to teacher’s decision making. In other words, the organization or system can mandate, what not to do in particular situation but it is impossible to list all possible situations that may arise. This becomes the ground of ethical decision making. The delivery of training related to code of conduct may be possible. Training individuals to adhere to particular ethical principles when making decision may not be possible. Ethical decision making can be described as the intersection of the three key components [Figure 1].[8]

**Approaches of ethics**

There is a range of different approaches to the resolution of ethical issues. One approach might to be ascertaining “facts” that might have a bearing on the

---

**Figure 1:** Triad for Ethical decision making

- Relationship amongst individuals
- Ethical Decision Making
- Laws, rules, regulations and code of conducts
- Values and cultural influences
decision. However, facts are often contested and facts by themselves may describe what is, but not necessarily what ought to be. The various approaches to ethical decision making have been suggested. They are:

**Justice approach**
Focuses on how fairly or unfairly actions distribute benefits to members of a group.

**Right approach**
In decision making, assume that each person has a fundamental right to be respected and treated as a free and rational person.

**Virtue approach**
In decision making, this approach focuses on characteristics, attitude and disposition – integrity, honesty, and trust worthiness that enable people to develop their human potential.

**Utilitarian approach**
In decision making advanced by philosophers such as Bentham and Mill, i.e. regards ethical action as those producing the greatest difference of benefits over harms.

**Common good approach**
In decision making, regard ethical behavior as that which advances the good of the whole community, individuals or groups are not to be exploited at the expense of others.

**Social relation approach**
In decision making, regards the values of different cultures and group as being grounded in a particular social context or reality. It therefore becomes difficult for a person from one culture or group to pass judgment on the values of other.

**Common breeches of ethics**
However, certain acts are always considered as unethical though this is not a complete list of all unethical behaviors.[9]

- Having inappropriate relationships with students (sexual, business partnerships, drinking etc.)
- Violation of clearly stated college rules and educational procedures
- Failing to perform duties (no teaching, chaos, wrong attitude toward the teaching profession etc.)
- Imposing personal views on students unrelated to the subject of a lesson or promoting view that do not represent the mainstream (political or religious views, views on controversial social issues, interest of a particular social group etc.)
- Improper grading, partiality, and lack of fairness (based on who is liked, who is not, race, past performance, socioeconomic background etc.)
- Exposing student to embarrassment or disparagement (emotional or psychological harassment)
- Invading student’s privacy
- Engaging students in unethical behavior
- Accepting gifts and favors, quid pro quo (“for a bribe blinds the eyes of the wise and twists the words of the righteous”)
- Deceiving students and their parents.

**Common ethical fallacies**
This occurs because of the wrong application of ethical principles.

There is nothing universal true but “facts” are considered as the truth always in relation to something else. The same is true for ethical principles; they should be applied in context of the reality. Following are the common fallacies of the ethical principles.

**Clientism**
Assuming and doing whatever students want is ethically right. It is the ethical duty of teachers to differentiate between the “real need” and “felt need” and to show the right way to students. This is just not to think that we are respecting student’s individuality.[1]

**Contractualism**
Sticking to the written contract and not to look beyond it, saying “It is none of my business”. If a student is found to be engaged in unethical or unlawful activities, it is teachers ethically bound duty to do something. “It is not in my contact” approach is like that of an Ostrich with it head in sand.[1]

**Positivism**
Holding a belief that medical facts are totally separated from the values “facts are value free” and search for facts without consideration of ethical issue i.e. doing an expensive investigation knowing that patient is not able to cope financially just for documentation purpose.[1]

**Traditionalist**
They are influenced by their tradition and think that generation is changing and it is impossible to change the attitude of the newer generation.[10]

To teach ethics, we need teachers who value and care for ethics in individual and collective life, practice ethics in their academic and professional world, and in life, act as role model or mentors for the learner.

When discussing teacher’s ethics, one must consider it on two separate planes. Firstly, the legal one, or so to say,
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we can say that before doing an act, medical teachers should analyze their act on basic principles of ethics by themselves and should take their own soul decision based on conscience. It is the responsibility of both the individual and the administration to create best environment and culture habits so that good ethical practice can be carried out successfully.

REFERENCES

1. Sethuraman KR. Ethics for medical educators. In: Anantha Krishnam N, Sethuraman KR, Kumar S, editors. Medical Education:- Principles and Practice. 2nd ed. Pondicherry, India: Alumi Association of National Teacher Training Centre, JIPMER; 2000. p. 5-7.
2. Adhikari PK. Ethics in medical education. In: Dixit H, Joshi SK, editors. Modern Trends in Medical education. Kathmandu; 2009. p. 127-31. Available from: http://www.kunj.com.np/ftp/monograp.pdf [last accessed on 2010 Sep 2].
3. Bansal P, Supe A. Training of medical teachers in India: Need for change. Indian J Med Sci 2007;61:478-84.
4. House ER. An ethics of quantitative field studies. In: Guha EG, editor. The paradigm dialogue USA. California: Sage; 1990.
5. Jaggi R, Lehmann LS. The ethics of medical education. BMJ 2004;329:332-4.
6. Gitanjali B. Academic dishonesty in Indian medical colleges. J Postgrad Med 2004;50:281-4.
7. Adhikari RK, Comment A, Concept W, Magar A. Physician and principle centered delivery of health services. J Nep Med Asso (JNMA) 2004;42:145.
8. Carter M. Professional Ethics in Teaching: The Training and Development Challenge; Training and Development Directorate’s NSW Department of Education and Training. Available from: http://www.cetl.gtu.ge/.../Professional%20Ethics%20for%20Teachers/Professional%20Ethics%20In%20Teaching.doc [last accessed on 2010 Sep 2].
9. Breach of ethics (Teacher’s code of ethics). Available from: http://www.geocities.com/pan_andrew/teachers.htm [last accessed on 2010 Sep 2].
10. Tips to improve interaction among the generations traditionalists, boomers, x’ers and nexters. Available from: http://www.honolulu.hawaii.edu/intranet/committees/FacDevCom/guidebk/teachtip/disengagedstu.htm [last accessed on 2010 Sep 2].
11. Blick SM. Cheating at medical school. BMJ 2001;322:250-1.
12. Brooks MH. Cheating in medical school: Cheating continues on through career. BMJ 1995;311:193.
13. Ahmed T. Ethics for medical teachers. Ann Pak Inst Med Sci 2006;2:232-8.

How to cite this article: Singh A. Ethics for medical educators: An overview and fallacies. Indian J Psychol Med 2010;32:83-6.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.