Electrically-active defects in reduced and hydrogenated rutile TiO₂
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Abstract
We report on electrically-active defects located between 0.054 and 0.69 eV below the conduction band edge in rutile TiO₂ single crystals subjected to reducing and hydrogenating heat treatments. Deep-level transient spectroscopy measurements recorded on TiO₂ samples subjected to different heat treatments are compared. In samples annealed in H₂ gas, three defect levels are commonly observed. One of these levels, E₁₉₂, located 0.43 eV below the conduction band edge is tentatively assigned to a hydrogen-impurity complex. Two levels at 0.054 and 0.087 eV below the conduction band edge, which were present after all different heat treatments, are tentatively assigned as being related to O vacancies or Ti self-interstitials.

Deep-level transient spectroscopy spectra of samples heat-treated in N₂ display a larger number of defect levels and larger concentrations compared to samples heat-treated in H₂ gas. N₂ treatments are performed at considerably higher temperatures. Four energy levels located between 0.28 and 0.69 eV, induced by annealing in N₂, are tentatively attributed to O vacancy- or Ti interstitial-related complexes with impurities.
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1. Introduction
Rutile titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is a wide bandgap semiconductor (Eg = 3.2 eV [1–4]) that is well-known for its photocatalytic properties [5, 6], enabling applications such as photocatalytic water-splitting and water purification [6–10]. Reduced and/or hydrogenated TiO₂ (TiO₂−ₓ:H) has gained interest because it displays enhanced photocatalytic activity [11–13]. The photocatalytic performance of TiO₂ can be improved further by the presence of defects that promote the transfer of charges to reactive species [1, 14]. Additionally, defects can extend the optical absorption of TiO₂ into the visible part of the electromagnetic spectrum [15–17]. Recently, Nitta et al identified a correlation between the photocatalytic performance of certain TiO₂ powders and the energy distribution of shallow electron traps [9, 18]. For TiO₂−ₓ:H, hydrogen (H) and intrinsic defects whose formation is favourable under reducing conditions, such as oxygen vacancies and Ti self-interstitials [16, 19], can be expected to play a key role.

In applications, TiO₂ is usually used in the form of powders or nano-crystals [9, 18], making fundamental studies concerning the electronic properties of defects in the materials challenging. In contrast, single-crystalline TiO₂ can function as a model system for defect studies. Particularly, single crystals...
which have been subjected to hydrogenating and/or reducing heat treatments might display a defect chemistry comparable to the one found in TiO$_2$ used for photocatalysis, and are therefore important to study.

Deep-level transient spectroscopy (DLTS) is a powerful method for investigation of electrically-active defects [20]. However, reports regarding DLTS on defects in TiO$_2$ are scarce [21–23]. One reason for this is the challenge of fabricating high quality Schottky barrier diodes (SBDs) on TiO$_2$. In our recent paper, we have shown that Schottky barrier diodes between Pd and TiO$_2$–x:H suitable for space-charge spectroscopy can be fabricated [24]. Using DLTS, we identified several defect-related charge state transition levels in TiO$_2$–x:H [23], but no clear assignments to certain defects have so far been made. The heat treatments consisted of anneals in either closed ampoules filled with H$_2$ gas or in flowing gas, such as forming gas (FG) or N$_2$. Three defect energy levels with positions of about 0.070, 0.095, and 0.12 eV below the conduction band edge, $E_c$, occurred in all the studied samples, irrespective of the sample production batch and the heat treatment used. In samples annealed in FG flow, seven distinct levels in the range 0.057–0.63 eV were detected. In samples annealed in H$_2$ gas, four distinct levels in the range 0.049–0.47 eV were detected. In samples annealed in N$_2$ flow, six distinct levels in the range 0.063–0.40 eV were detected.

No defect with a charge state transition level in the 0.20–0.70 eV range below $E_c$ was present after all of the different heat treatments, indicating a strong influence on deep-level defects by the post-growth heat treatments employed [23].

The present paper aims to further investigate electrically-active defects in TiO$_2$ single crystals subjected to reducing and/or hydrogenating heat treatments. Here, we extend the previous study to different annealing temperatures and durations, and follow the evolution of different defects. This data are crucial for identification of the defects. Additionally, the paper examines the role of H in electrically-active defects in TiO$_2$. The interstitial hydrogen concentration, [H$_i$], of crystals annealed in H$_2$ gas, is deduced from Fourier-Transform infrared spectroscopy measurements, prior to electrical measurements. The correlation between [H$_i$] and transition level concentrations is investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Samples

The study described here was performed on float-zone (FZ) grown rutile TiO$_2$ single crystals with a surface orientation of (001), purchased from MTI Corporation [25]. As-received crystals were 0.5 mm thick, nominally undoped, transparent, and semi-insulating with a conductivity of $\sigma < 10^{-7}$ $\Omega^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$. Doping by heat treatments was necessary to perform electrical measurements on the TiO$_2$ single crystals.

Conductive $n$-type TiO$_2$ single crystals are known to display a bluish color [26–32]. Conductive $n$-type TiO$_2$ samples of bluish colour was obtained by heat treatments in hydrogenating and/or reducing atmospheres [23, 24]. Hydrogen was introduced either by annealing in FG flow (N$_2$ + H$_2$ with [H$_2$] / [N$_2$] ≈ 1/9) at 600–740 °C for 25–90 min or by annealing in closed ampoules filled with approximately 0.5 bar of H$_2$ gas at 400–600 °C for 10–60 min. Additionally, samples were annealed in N$_2$ flow for 1–25 h. Samples heat treated in N$_2$ flow were annealed at the same time in the furnace, but were exposed to different temperatures in the range 900–1200 °C due to their different locations inside the furnace. For the N$_2$-annealed samples the temperatures were determined to 900°C, 1050°C and 1200°C within ±30 °C by measuring the temperature-profile of the furnace with a thermocouple element. In the following, the crystals are labelled according to their heat treatment; TiO$_2$-N$_2$ (annealed in N$_2$ flow), TiO$_2$-FG (annealed in FG flow) and TiO$_2$-H$_2$ (annealed in closed ampoules with H$_2$ gas). The samples are labelled according to their annealing temperature ($T_{\text{anneal}}$) and annealing duration ($t_{\text{anneal}}$), for example, 450 C-60 min.

In order to have a reference sample that had not been heat-treated, rutile TiO$_2$ single crystals doped with 0.01 wt% of Nb were purchased from Shinkosha [33]. These crystals were 0.5 mm thick with a surface orientation of (001), and were grown by the Verneuil (V) method. The as-received TiO$_2$-Nb crystals exhibited $n$-type conductivity and a bluish color, with a conductivity of 0.048 Ω$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$. The Nb-doped sample is denoted as TiO$_2$-Nb.

Schottky barrier diodes (SBDs) were obtained by e-beam evaporation of 150 nm Pd on the (001) surface of the TiO$_2$ crystals [24]. The Pd contacts were deposited using Si or Al shadow masks with typical diameters between 300 and 500 μm. InGa or Ti/Al was used as Ohmic back contact. Characterization of the crystals and the SBDs are described in detail elsewhere [24].

2.2. Experimental set-up

The electrical conductivity, $\sigma$, of TiO$_2$ single crystals was determined by using a four-point probe measurement according to the van-der-Pauw method [34, 35]. The measurement utilized a Keithley 7001 switching system, a Keithley 2182A nano-volt-meter and a Keithley 6221 current source. Eutectic InGa pads were used as Ohmic contacts in the corners of the samples.

Fourier-Transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was used to determine [H$_i$] in TiO$_2$ single crystals prior to metal contact deposition. Infrared (IR) transmittance spectra were measured using an evacuated Bruker IFS 125HR spectrometer equipped with a globar light source, a KBr beamsplitter, and a liquid-nitrogen-cooled InSb detector. The IR beam was kept at normal incidence ($\pm 3°$) with respect to the (001) surface of the TiO$_2$ single crystals. Measurements were performed at room temperature using a spectral resolution of 1 cm$^{-1}$. [H$_i$] was determined from the integrated area of the optical absorption associated with the stretch O–H local vibrational mode (LVM) of H$_2$ at about 3278 cm$^{-1}$ [36] using a calibration factor determined by Johnson et al [37].

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) measurements were performed using a Cameca IMS 7f instrument with a primary beam of 10keV O$^{2+}$ ions. Rutile TiO$_2$ samples implanted with Cr, Al, Si or Fe were used as references to
obtain absolute concentration values. For other residual elements, relative concentrations were determined. A constant erosion rate was assumed for depth-calibration, where the crater depths were measured using a surface Stylus Profilometer.

Capacitance-voltage (CV) measurements were carried out at room temperature using an Agilent 4284A LCR meter or a Boonton 7200 capacitance meter, respectively. The donor concentration, \(N_d\), was deduced from CV measurements, using the depletion approximation [38], a probing frequency of \(f_{\text{meas}} = 1\ \text{MHz}\), and assuming a value of \(\varepsilon_{\text{TiO}_2} = 160\) at room temperature for the static relative dielectric constant of TiO\(_2\) [39, 40].

DLTS was conducted using a refined version of the setup described elsewhere [41], which utilizes a Boonton 7200 capacitance meter and a closed-cycle He cryostat. During measurements, reverse bias voltages between \(-6\) V and \(-2\) V were applied. Filling pulses with an amplitude of 2–6 V and a duration of 50 ms were employed. The DLTS signal was extracted from the acquired capacitance versus time transients using a lock-in weighting function with six different rate windows in the range from \((20\ \text{ms})^{-1}\) to \((640\ \text{ms})^{-1}\) or a GS-4 weighting function with five different rate windows in the range of \((40\ \text{ms})^{-1}\) to \((640\ \text{ms})^{-1}\) [20, 42, 43]. A delay time of 5 ms and a temperature \(T\) resolution of 0.5–1 K were used. Measurements were performed during heat-up in the temperature range 20–300 K. The apparent capture cross-section, \(\sigma_{\text{ap}}\), and the thermal activation energy, \(E_A\), for the deep-level defects were deduced from simulating the DLTS spectra as described in detail in [44]. The peaks in the DLTS spectra are labelled after the peak temperature position of the longest time window measured (rate window \(640\ \text{ms}^{-1}\)). The defect concentration, \(N\), can be deduced from the DLTS signal, assuming a uniform and sufficiently low \(\leq 0.1N_d\) defect distribution, where [20].

\[
N = \frac{2 \Delta C}{C_{\text{rh}}} N_d. \quad (1)
\]

Here, \(\Delta C\) is the amplitude of the measured capacitance transients [20, 42], while \(C_{\text{rh}}\) is the steady-state reverse bias capacitance.

3. Results

3.1. Impurities and interstitial hydrogen concentration

The impurity content of TiO\(_2\) crystals was investigated with SIMS. A typical mass spectrum of a conductive TiO\(_2\) crystal is shown in figure 1(a). The measurements reveal that Al, Si, Cr and Fe are present in the crystals. It should be noted that the mass spectrum shown in figure 1(a) is not a direct measurement of impurity concentrations because the count rates depend on both the concentration and the ionization probability of an impurity. In order to deduce the concentrations, one has to measure a calibration sample with known impurity concentrations. For the sample for which data are shown in figure 1(a), the measured impurity concentrations were \([\text{Si}] \simeq 1 \times 10^{17} \text{cm}^{-3}\), \([\text{Al}] \simeq 2 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-3}\), \([\text{Cr}] \simeq 8 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-3}\) and \([\text{Fe}] \simeq 5 \times 10^{17} \text{cm}^{-3}\). The SIMS measurements did not reveal any significant influence of the annealing on the impurity concentrations. Detection of H by SIMS is difficult due to the high background concentration, and it was not possible to deduce [H] from SIMS measurements on as-received or heat-treated crystals.

Heat treatments in H\(_2\) gas, FG flow or N\(_2\) flow led to TiO\(_2\) single crystals with a bluish color and \(n\)-type conductivity in the range from \((0.5 \pm 8) \times 10^{-2} \ \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}\).

Figure 1(b) shows IR absorption coefficient spectra of the 3278 cm\(^{-1}\) H\(_2\) LVM for as-received and heat-treated samples. Data are shown for the wavenumber region where optical absorption associated with a local vibrational mode of H\(_2\) can be seen [36]. In the as-received, nominally undoped crystals, [H\(_2\)] is typically in the lower range of \(10^{17} \text{cm}^{-3}\). After heat treatments in FG flow or H\(_2\) gas, [H\(_2\)] increases by an order of magnitude or more, whereas crystals annealed in N\(_2\) flow display decreased [H\(_2\)] compared to the [H\(_2\)] in as-received TiO\(_2\) crystals. Interstitial hydrogen is reported to be a shallow donor in rutile TiO\(_2\) [36, 45, 46]. Strong indications of H\(_2\) being the main donor in TiO\(_2\)-FG and TiO\(_2\)-H\(_2\) crystals are given in reference [47], in form of a linear correlation between \(\sigma\) and [H\(_2\)] over a range of one order of magnitude. After hydrogenation, [H\(_2\)] remains stable. No change in the [H\(_2\)] of the samples was observed over the course of a few months when storing the samples at around \(-20^{\circ}\)C. IR absorption coefficient spectra for the 400 C-60 min sample annealed in H\(_2\) gas, recorded immediately after heat treatment and three months later, are shown in figure 1(b). The difference between the spectra is smaller than the measurement uncertainty.

[H\(_2\)] was measured to be \(1.8 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}^{-3}\) in the as-received TiO\(_2\)-Nb crystal, which is an order of magnitude lower than [H\(_2\)] in the as-received, nominally undoped crystals.

3.2. Energy levels present in heat-treated crystals

3.2.1. TiO\(_2\)-H\(_2\) crystals. Figure 2 shows DLTS spectra recorded on SBDs comprising different TiO\(_2\)-H\(_2\) samples. The annealing of crystals in closed ampoules with H\(_2\) gas performed at 450\(^\circ\)C resulted in [H\(_2\)] from \(1.6 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}\) to \(3.1 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}\). The IR spectrum of the H\(_2\) vibrational line in 450 C-60 min sample is shown in figure 1(b). For the most conductive sample, which was annealed at 600\(^\circ\)C for 10 min, the strong absorption due to charge carriers masks the OH spectral region, and prevents quantitative evaluation of [H\(_2\)]. For this sample, [H\(_2\)] was estimated to be \(8.9 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}\) from its value for \(\sigma\), assuming that the linear relationship between [H\(_2\)] and \(\sigma\) holds [47]. All DLTS spectra of TiO\(_2\)-H\(_2\) samples display three defect levels; two shallow levels located around 0.087 and 0.11 eV below \(E_c\) (E\(_{40}\) and E\(_{55}\)), and a level located at 0.43 eV below \(E_c\) (E\(_{192}\)). Measurements using different reverse biases do not reveal Poole-Frenkel effect for E\(_{40}\), E\(_{55}\) and E\(_{192}\), which suggests acceptor nature. These levels correspond to E\(_{3,192}\), E\(_{4,192}\) and E\(_{5,192}\) reported in our previous paper [23].

E\(_{192}\) is the dominating peak in the TiO\(_2\)-H\(_2\) samples. E\(_{192}\) is in the range of \(2 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-3}\) to \(2 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}^{-3}\), one order of magnitude larger than the concentrations of the shallow levels present in the same spectra. A comparison of the three
Figure 1. (a) Mass spectrum of a conductive TiO$_2$ crystal used in the study. (b) Infrared absorption spectra of the 3278 cm$^{-1}$ H$_i$ vibrational line for as-received crystals (semi insulating and Nb-doped), and after annealing in different environments (H$_2$, N$_2$ or FG).

Figure 2. DLTS spectra recorded on TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples annealed at 450°C or 600°C for different durations, and a TiO$_2$-Nb sample. DLTS spectra were constructed using a lock-in weighting function with rate window (640ms)$^{-1}$. TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples display a varying concentration of H$_i$ in the order of 10$^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, while the Nb-doped sample reveals $[H_i] = 1.8 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$.

Figure 3. DLTS spectra recorded on samples annealed in FG flow at 600–740°C for 30–90 min, and a TiO$_2$-Nb sample. DLTS spectra were constructed using a lock-in weighting function with rate window (640ms)$^{-1}$.

samples that were annealed at 450°C for different durations, shows that $[H_i]$ and $N_d$ both increase with annealing time. However, the corresponding DLTS spectra do not display a similar dependence. The DLTS spectrum recorded for the sample annealed at 600°C, with significantly larger $[H_i]$, display DLTS peaks with similar amplitudes compared to those in spectra recorded for samples annealed at 450°C.

3.2.2. TiO$_2$-FG crystals. Similar to the hydrogenating treatment in closed ampoules filled with H$_2$ gas, the forming gas heat treatment also increases the $[H_i]$ compared to that of the as-grown crystal (figure 1(b)). Annealing in FG, however, requires higher temperatures and/or longer annealing times for achieving sufficiently conductive crystals for junction spectroscopy. For instance, annealing of a crystal in H$_2$ gas at 600°C for 10 min was sufficient in order to fabricate suitable SBDs. In comparison, TiO$_2$-FG crystals required an annealing duration in the order of 1 h to achieve conductive crystals and to fabricate suitable SBDs [24]. This is corroborated by comparing FT-IR spectra shown in figure 1. $[H_i]$ is similar for the TiO$_2$-H$_2$ sample annealed at 450°C for 60 min and the TiO$_2$-FG sample annealed at 600°C for 25 min. Therefore, TiO$_2$-FG samples are expected to be more heavily reduced, compared to TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples, and other defects may appear, that were not present in TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples. Another major difference between the annealing procedures in FG flow and H$_2$ gas is the cooling process afterwards. While the TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples were allowed to cool down inside the ampoule until they reached room temperature, FG annealed samples were quenched in air, or cooled down in flowing gas, placed at the cooler part of the annealing tube. The exposure to air at elevated temperatures might lead
to the creation of different defects, out-diffusion of defects, or out-diffusion of H.

Compared to TiO$_2$-H$_2$, the DLTS spectra for TiO$_2$-FG samples show a wider variation in both the number of peaks and their amplitudes, as is shown in figure 3. For example, the three levels present in TiO$_2$-H$_2$ (E$_{40}$, E$_{55}$, and E$_{192}$) are also present in TiO$_2$-FG samples, but now E$_{40}$ and E$_{55}$ are the dominant levels. A large variation in defect concentrations is observed. [E$_{33}$], [E$_{40}$] and [E$_{55}$] are in the range 3×10$^{14}$ to 5×10$^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$, while [E$_{192}$] varies from <5×10$^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$ to 1×10$^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$. These concentrations do not vary systematically with annealing time or temperature. Other defect levels are observed occasionally, such as E$_{25}$ and E$_{293}$. E$_{293}$ is observed in DLTS spectra from the 600°C-60 min and 600°C-75 min samples, shown in figure 3. These samples originate from the same wafer.

3.2.3. TiO$_2$-N$_2$ crystals. Figure 4 shows DLTS spectra of different samples annealed in N$_2$ flow at 900°C–1200°C for 25 h. Compared to the DLTS spectra for the hydrogenated samples shown in figure 2 and figure 3, several other defect levels are present: E$_{132}$, E$_{157}$ and E$_{263}$. Similarly to TiO$_2$-H$_2$ and TiO$_2$-FG, several shallow levels are present in the low temperature region; E$_{33}$, E$_{40}$ and E$_{55}$. An additional level appears at very low temperatures with a large peak, which is out of the temperature range of the measurements. [E$_{33}$], [E$_{40}$] and [E$_{55}$] in TiO$_2$-N$_2$ samples are approximately two orders of magnitude larger than in the TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples.

E$_{132}$ and E$_{157}$ correspond to E$_{55,N}$ and E$_{6,N}$ previously detected in FZ-grown TiO$_2$-N$_2$ [23]. The three TiO$_2$-N$_2$ samples in figure 4 (1200°C–25 hr, 1050°C–25 hr and 900°C–25 hr) originate from a single wafer.

The annealing data reveal an intricate formation kinetics for E$_{132}$ and E$_{157}$. E$_{132}$ appears in the sample annealed at 900°C, and has a higher concentration in the sample annealed at 1050°C. However, an increased T$_{anneal}$ of 1200°C results in a lower concentration. In contrast, E$_{157}$ hardly appears in the sample annealed at 900°C, but shows a strong growth with increasing T$_{anneal}$. E$_{293}$ and E$_{263}$ demonstrate a similar behaviour as E$_{132}$ and E$_{157}$: E$_{293}$ is present in the sample annealed at lower T$_{anneal}$, but [E$_{293}$] nearly stabilizes for T$_{anneal}$ > 1050°C. E$_{263}$, on the other hand, shows a strong growth with increasing T$_{anneal}$, similar to E$_{157}$.

4. Discussion

4.1. Shallow levels

Four DLTS peaks are visible in the temperature region 25–55 K. E$_{25}$, observed in some DLTS spectra recorded on TiO$_2$-FG samples, appears at very low temperatures and was not resolved for all the measurements performed. E$_{33}$ is observed...
Table 1. Defect levels present in rutile TiO$_2$ samples. Activation energy, $E_A$, and apparent capture cross-section, $\sigma_{ap}$, were deduced from simulations of DLTS spectra [44]. The uncertainties of $E_A$ and $\sigma_{ap}$ are around 10% and one order of magnitude, respectively.

| Level | $E_A$ (eV) | $\sigma_{ap}$ (cm$^2$) | Observed in crystal | Tentative assignment |
|-------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| $E_{133}$ | 0.054 | $4 \times 10^{-17}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |
| $E_{140}$ | 0.087 | $7 \times 10^{-15}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |
| $E_{55}$ | 0.11 | $7 \times 10^{-15}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |
| $E_{132}$ | 0.28 | $4 \times 10^{-16}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |
| $E_{157}$ | 0.38 | $9 \times 10^{-15}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |
| $E_{192}$ | 0.43 | $1 \times 10^{-15}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | A-H, A = Al, Cr, Si, or Fe |
| $E_{263}$ | 0.63 | $2 \times 10^{-15}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |
| $E_{293}$ | 0.69 | $2 \times 10^{-15}$ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | $V_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related |

in all the TiO$_2$-N$_2$ samples, but only in some of the TiO$_2$-FG samples. Furthermore, [E$_{133}$] is higher in TiO$_2$-N$_2$ samples compared to TiO$_2$-FG samples. $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$ are detected in all heat-treated samples regardless of the type of heat treatment, but were not present in DLTS spectra recorded on TiO$_2$-Nb samples. Interestingly, $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$ always appear together in DLTS spectra. This correlation can be seen in figure 5, where $E_{140}$ is plotted vs. $E_{55}$ for all of the different heat treatments. The plot reveals a significant correlation over almost three orders of magnitude between $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$, with a correlation coefficient close to one. The independence of this correlation on the exact heat treatment suggests that $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$ originate from the same defect. The two levels can be either different charge-state transition levels of the same defect or inequivalent configurations of the same defect. Such a correlation was not observed between $E_{133}$ and $E_{140}$, or between $E_{133}$ and $E_{55}$. Furthermore, the data in figure 5 show that the type of heat treatment affects the concentrations of $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$. $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$ vary over more than two orders of magnitude in TiO$_2$-FG samples, from $2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ to $5 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$. In contrast, $E_{140}$ and $E_{55}$ are lower (in the order of $10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$) in TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples, whereas they are higher (in the order of $10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$) in TiO$_2$-N$_2$ samples. As mentioned above, all the heat treatments can result in reduction of TiO$_2$, which promotes the formation of oxygen vacancies (V$_O^-$) and Ti self-interstitials (Ti$_i$) [16, 19, 48–52]. However, the anneals in FG and N$_2$ are expected to have a stronger effect because of the higher annealing temperatures. Since [E$_{133}$], [E$_{140}$], and [E$_{55}$] are higher for these treatments, we attribute [E$_{133}$], [E$_{140}$] and [E$_{55}$] to V$_O^-$ and/or Ti$_i$-related defects.

4.2. Deep levels

The $E_{192}$ level was previously detected in TiO$_2$ samples heat-treated in H$_2$ or FG flow (reported as $E_{192}$ in our previous paper [23]), but not in samples annealed in N$_2$. We observe that $E_{192}$ responds to heat treatments in H$_2$, and, therefore, can be tentatively attributed to a H-related defect. On the other hand, the absence of strong correlation between [E$_{192}$] and the conditions of treatment (figure 2) indicates that the level does not originate from a defect that contains only H. For instance, $E_{192}$ could originate from a defect complex between H and another impurity. In this case, the concentration of the other impurity will limit the concentration of $E_{192}$ observed by DLTS. [E$_{192}$] is in the range of $0.5\sim 2 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is comparable to the concentration of residual impurities determined by SIMS (figure 1(a)), but considerably lower than the [H$_i$] in the order of $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ determined by FT-IR (figure 1(b)). Some variation in impurity concentrations among the samples can be expected, which can explain the variations in [E$_{192}$] for different samples. Hence, we attribute $E_{192}$ to a hydrogen-impurity complex, that we denote as A-H, where A = Si, Al, Cr, or Fe.

We observe only a single level, $E_{192}$, at higher temperatures for both TiO$_2$-FG and TiO$_2$-H$_2$ samples, as well as TiO$_2$-Nb. This level coincides with the level of an electron trap reported by Duckworth et al in Nb-doped rutile TiO$_2$ single crystals, who reported an activation energy of 0.38 eV for this peak [22]. We determined a slightly higher activation energy of 0.43 eV for this level. However, variations between different samples are observed when calculating $E_A$ [23]. The value for the energy level reported by Duckworth et al falls within the range of $E_A$ that we determine, taking into account fitting errors and the scatter between the measured samples [23]. Duckworth et al estimated $\sigma_{ap}$ to be $3 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^{-2}$ [22], which is one order of magnitude larger than $\sigma_{ap}$, deducted here (see table 1). Duckworth et al also reported two small features on the low temperature side of the main peak in the DLTS spectrum. There is no indication of several contributions to the $E_{192}$ peak in the DLTS spectra shown in figure 2 or figure 3. Furthermore, analysis of the data using the GS4 weighting function [43], which provides a better energy resolution than the lock-in weighting function, did not reveal any additional contributions to this peak.

As mentioned in previous sections, the recorded concentrations of $E_{132}$, $E_{157}$, $E_{263}$, and $E_{293}$ indicate that the levels have an intricate formation kinetics. For example, for the data shown in figure 4, $E_{132}$ starts to grow prior to $E_{157}$, and stabilizes or even decrease at higher temperatures. In contrast, $E_{157}$ starts to grow after $E_{132}$, and $E_{157}$ increases monotonically with temperature. The dependence of [E$_{132}$] and [E$_{157}$] on annealing temperature is shown in figure 6. Such a behaviour can be explained if $E_{132}$ is a precursor for $E_{157}$. Besides, $E_{132}$ and $E_{157}$ have electronic levels close to each other, which may indicate their similar nature. We observe formation of $E_{132}$ and $E_{157}$ after N$_2$ treatment at ∼1000 °C, which is expected to
introduce $V_O$ and $Ti_i$. Thus, both $E_{132}$ and $E_{157}$ can be tentatively attributed to $V_O$- or $Ti_i$-related defects. One can put forward the following speculation of the formation kinetics:

$$E_{132} : X + V_O(Ti_i) \rightarrow X-V_O(Ti_i) \quad (2)$$

$$E_{157} : X-V_O(Ti_i) + V_O(Ti_i) \rightarrow X-V_O2(Ti_{12}) , \quad (3)$$

where $X$ is an impurity. Similar considerations can be put forward for $E_{263}$ and $E_{293}$.

In table 1, the activation energy determined by DLTS, the apparent capture cross-section and tentative assignments, are summarized. $E_A$ is the activation energy for thermally-induced electron emission from a defect, and is the sum of the single thermodynamic charge-state transition level, $E_i$, and the corresponding energetic barrier for electron capture [34, 53].

5. Conclusion

The effect of annealing temperature on electrically-active defects in single-crystalline rutile $TiO_2$ was investigated by annealing nominally undoped $TiO_2$ in $H_2$ gas, FG flow, or $N_2$ flow. DLTS spectra recorded after different heat treatments were compared to the DLTS spectrum of a Nb-doped reference sample that had not been annealed. The spectra recorded on the reference sample display a single peak, $E_{192}$. This peak responds to treatment in $H_2$, but does not directly follow the $[H_i]$ determined from IR measurements. Therefore, we tentatively assign $E_{192}$ to an impurity-hydrogen complex, denoted A-H, where A = Si, Al, Cr, or Fe.

In spectra recorded on heat-treated samples, the two levels $E_{40}$ and $E_{55}$ are commonly observed regardless of the type of heat treatment. A strong correlation between $[E_{40}]$ and $[E_{55}]$ demonstrates that the two levels are related to either different charge states of the same defect or different structural configurations of the same defect. Since $E_{40}$ and $E_{55}$ respond to reducing heat treatments, they are attributed to $V_O$- and/or $Ti_i$-related defects.

Four additional charge state transition levels, $E_{132}$, $E_{157}$, $E_{263}$ and $E_{293}$, located between 0.28 eV and 0.69 eV below $E_C$, are induced by annealing in $N_2$. These levels are tentatively attributed to $V_O$- or $Ti_i$-related defects. Their formation kinetics seem to suggest $E_{132}$ and $E_{293}$ being precursors to $E_{157}$ and $E_{263}$, respectively.
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