The Human Papillomavirus (HPV) 16 E2 Protein Induces Apoptosis in the Absence of Other HPV Proteins and via a p53-dependent Pathway*
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The human papillomavirus (HPV) E2 protein regulates viral gene expression and is also required for viral replication. HPV-transformed cells often contain chromosomally integrated copies of the HPV genome in which the viral E2 gene is disrupted. We have shown previously that re-expression of the HPV 16 E2 protein in HPV 16-transformed cells results in cell death via apoptosis. Here we show that the HPV 16 E2 protein can induce apoptosis in both HPV-transformed and non-HPV-transformed cell lines. E2-induced apoptosis is abrogated by a trans-dominant negative mutant of p53 or by overexpression of the HPV 16 E6 protein, but is increased by overexpression of wild-type p53. We show that mutations that block the DNA binding activity of E2 do not impair the ability of this protein to induce apoptosis. In contrast, removal of both N-terminal domains from the E2 dimer completely blocks E2-induced cell death. Heterodimers formed between wild-type E2 and N-terminally deleted E2 proteins also fail to induce cell death. Our data suggest that neither the DNA binding activity of E2 nor other HPV proteins are required for the induction of apoptosis by E2 and that E2-induced cell death occurs via a p53-dependent pathway.

Papillomaviruses infect epithelial cells and generally induce the formation of benign hyperproliferative lesions. However, some papillomavirus types are associated with cancer. For example, human papillomavirus (HPV)1 types 16 and 18 have been linked to cervical cancer in women (1) and bovine papillomavirus (BPV) types 2 and 4 have been linked to bladder cancer and cancer of the upper alimentary canal respectively, in cattle (2, 3). Human cervical cancers express the viral E6 and E7 oncoproteins, and the products of these genes increase cell proliferation and promote cell immortalization (for a review, see Ref. 4). The human papillomavirus E2 gene, or lack thereof, is also thought to play a major role in the development of cervical cancer. Most cervical cancers contain chromosomally integrated copies of the HPV genome in which the viral E2 gene has been disrupted (5). Furthermore, mutations in the E2 gene increase the immortalization capacity of HPV 16 (6).

The papillomavirus E2 genes encode sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins that regulate viral gene expression and are also required for viral DNA replication (reviewed in Ref. 7). The E2 proteins bind as dimers to multiple copies of an inverted repeat sequence found within the viral long control region. Depending on the particular virus and the particular E2 protein being studied, the binding of E2 to these sites can either activate or repress transcription of the E6 and E7 oncogenes. For example, the HPV 16 E2 protein activates transcription from the P97 promoter located at the 3′ end of the HPV 16 long control region, whereas, under exactly the same conditions, the BPV1 E2 protein represses P97 promoter activity (8, 9). Each subunit of the E2 dimer contains two domains separated by a flexible hinge: the N-terminal domain of each subunit mediates the regulation of transcription, whereas the C-terminal domain mediates DNA binding and dimerization (10). In bovine papillomaviruses, truncated E2 proteins that lack the N-terminal transcriptional domain are also expressed. These truncated E2 proteins (E2-TR) can repress transcription and can also form transcriptionally inactive heterodimers with full-length E2 (11).

The E2 proteins from HPV 16, HPV 18, and BPV1 all have dramatic effects on the proliferation and survival of cervical carcinoma cell lines. We have shown that expression of the HPV 16 E2 protein in SiHa cells, an HPV 16-transformed cell line that contains a single disrupted copy of the E2 gene, induces cell death via apoptosis (12). Similarly, the HPV 18 E2 protein induces apoptosis in HeLa cells, an HPV 18-transformed cell line that also contains disrupted copies of the E2 gene (13). Expression of the BPV1 E2 protein in either SiHa or HeLa cells has been shown to suppress proliferation, in part at least, by blocking the transition from G1 to S phase (14–16). Because the proliferation assays used in these experiments score colony formation after several days in culture, BPV1 E2 might also induce apoptosis in these cell lines. There is also some evidence to suggest that the E2 proteins might have effects on non-HPV-transformed cells. Expression of the HPV 31 E2 protein in HPV-negative normal human foreskin keratinocytes (NHK cells) using a recombinant adenovirus resulted in S phase cell cycle arrest and the appearance of cells with sub-G0 DNA content; a characteristic feature of apoptotic cell death (17). However, BPV1 E2 has no effect on the proliferation
of C33a cells, an HPV-negative cervical carcinoma cell line, or SAOS cells, an HPV-negative osteosarcoma cell line (15). Furthermore, the HPV 18 E2 protein has no effect on the levels of apoptosis in C33a cells, SAOS cells, or HaCat cells, an HPV-negative spontaneously immortalized human keratinocyte cell line (13).

At present, there is no model that can explain satisfactorily the effects of the E2 proteins on cell proliferation. BPV1 E2 and HPV 18 E2 have been shown to repress transcription of the HPV 18 E6 and E7 oncogenes (14, 13). The E6 protein binds to p53, and this interaction results in a decrease in the half-life of p53 within cells (18–21). Because p53 can block cell cycle progression and/or induce apoptosis (for a review, see Ref. 22), decreased levels of E6 might be expected to lead to increased levels of p53 and increased levels of cell cycle arrest and/or cell death (shown schematically in Fig. 1). In keeping with this view, expression of BPV1 E2 in HeLa cells appears to stabilize p53 (14, 13). However, E2-TR also represses transcription of E6 and E7 in these cells, but this truncated E2 protein can neither stabilize p53 nor induce apoptosis (13). In addition, the expression of HPV 31 E2 in NHK cells appears to destabilize p53 (17).

The E7 protein binds to the Rb tumor suppressor protein and the Rb-related proteins p107 and p130 (23, 24). The binding of E7 to Rb brings about the release of E2F proteins from Rb-E2F complexes and is also thought to target Rb for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis (25–27). When released from Rb, members of the E2F family of transcription factors activate the transcription of genes required for S phase, and overexpression of E2F-1 can induce apoptosis in serum-starved cells (28, 29). The repression of E7 transcription by E2 might therefore be expected to reduce the levels of free E2F, leading to cell cycle arrest (see Fig. 1). Expression of BPV1 E2 protein in HeLa cells is accompanied by decreased levels of E2F-1 mRNA and protein and by reduced expression of E2F-dependent genes (16). However, expression of the HPV 16 E2 protein in SiHa cells is accompanied by increased E2F activity (12). Furthermore, overexpression of the HPV 31 E2 protein in NHK cells is accompanied by an increase in E2F-1 mRNA levels (17). Another complication is that unlike BPV1 E2, which represses transcription, the HPV 16 and HPV 18 E2 proteins have both been shown to activate transcription of the HPV 16 E6 and E7 oncogenes (8, 9). Any increase in the levels of E7 might be expected to result in increased levels of free E2F and this could in turn lead to cell death (12).

Models that seek to explain the effects of E2 proteins on cell proliferation via transcriptional repression or activation of the E6 and E7 genes are obviously limited to HPV-positive cells. However, the HPV 31 E2 protein appears to induce apoptosis in HPV-negative NHK cells (17). Moreover, mutations in the HPV 16 long control region that block the binding of E2 to the promotor proximal E2 sites and prevent E2-mediated repression of E6 and E7 transcription do not fully relieve the negative effects of E2 on transformation efficiency (6). These reports suggest that E2 might influence cell proliferation independently of its effects on the transcription of E6 and E7. To address this issue, we have expressed the HPV 16 E2 protein in a variety of cell lines. We show that this E2 protein can induce apoptosis in both HPV-transformed and non-HPV-transformed cell lines. In addition we show that the HPV 16 E2-induced apoptosis is p53-dependent and that the DNA binding activity of this E2 protein is not required for the induction of cell death.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Plasmids**—The plasmids pC86 + p53 and pCB6 + p53173L express wild-type and mutant p53, respectively, and were kindly supplied by Drs. Moshe Oren and Andy Phillips. Plasmid pCMX-GFP3 expresses green fluorescent protein (GFP) and was kindly supplied by Dr. Jeremy Tavare. The pWEB plasmid was made by removing an Xhol-EcoRI fragment carrying the cytomegalovirus promoter from pUHD 15–1 and using this fragment to replace the tetracycline-inducible promoter in pUHD 10–3. The HPV 16 E2, E6, and E7 expression plasmids were produced by cloning the appropriate HPV sequences into a unique EcoRI site in pWEB, immediately downstream of the cytomegalovirus promoter.

The E2 gene was amplified by PCR (94 °C for 1 min, 53 °C for 3 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, for 30 cycles) from HPV 16 DNA template using the oligonucleotide primers E2 (5′-CTACCGAATTCTATGAGACTGTTGCCCAGC-3′) and E2b (5′-GATGATTTCATATAGCATAACAAATTAGCCG-3′). These primers place EcoRI restriction sites (highlighted in boldface) at the 5′ and 3′ ends of the E2 coding sequence. The PCR product was cloned into the EcoRI site of pWEB and sequenced using a panel of E2-specific sequencing primers to check for the occurrence of any point mutations.

The E6 gene was amplified by PCR (95 °C for 1 min, 52 °C for 1 min, and 68 °C for 2 min, for 30 cycles) from HPV 16 DNA template using the primers E6b (5′-TGAGATTTCATGCAACAAAAGAGAATGTTCGTCACAGAGG-3′) and E6c (5′-ACGATATTCTAGCAACAAAAGAGAATGTTCGTCACAGAGG-3′). The PCR product was cloned into the EcoRI site of pGEM and sequenced using a panel of E6-specific sequencing primers.

The E7 gene was amplified by PCR (94 °C for 1 min, 54 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, for 30 cycles) from HPV 16 DNA template using the primers E7 (5′-GATGATTTCATATAGCATAACAAATTAGCCG-3′) and E7c (5′-ACGATATTCTAGCAACAAAAGAGAATGTTCGTCACAGAGG-3′). The PCR product was cloned into pWEB and sequenced using a panel of E7-specific sequencing primers.

Mutated E2 constructs were generated using PCR-directed mutagenesis. The plasmid pWEB-E2_dmut expresses a mutated E2 protein in which three amino acids within the E2 DNA binding domain (Asn-296, Lys-299, and Arg-304) have been replaced by alanines. The mutations were introduced by PCR (94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min, and 68 °C for 1 min, for 30 cycles) from HPV 16 DNA template using the primers E2b (5′-GAGGATTTCATGCAACAAAAGAGAATGTTCGTCACAGAGG-3′) and E2c (5′-CGACATGTCATATAAGCTAGCATGTCATATAAGCTAG-3′). The PCR product was cloned into pWEB and sequenced using a panel of E2-specific sequencing primers to check for the occurrence of any point mutations.

**Virus invasion and cell infection**—The HPV 16 E2 and E7 proteins were produced as described above. The HPV 16 E2 protein in SiHa cells is accompanied by decreased levels of E6 might be expected to lead to increased levels of free E2F and this could in turn lead to cell death (12).

Models that seek to explain the effects of E2 proteins on cell proliferation via transcriptional repression or activation of the
**, respectively, and cloned into a unique buffer, the E2 protein was eluted using a linear gradient of 0.2–1 M NaCl in the same buffer over 500 ml (at 1 ml/min). Protein peaks (detected by A_{280 nm}) were collected and analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and gel retardation assays (data not shown). Pooled E2 fractions were dialyzed against 10 volumes of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 5.7) containing 10 mM dithiothreitol for 3 h and then applied to a MonoS HR 16/10 cation exchange fast protein liquid chromatography column equilibrated in the same buffer. E2 was eluted with a 0.1–1 M NaCl gradient and dialyzed against 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.9) containing 1 mM dithiothreitol for 3 h before being snap frozen and stored at −70 °C. Isoelectric points (pI) and molecular weight values were determined from the amino acid sequences of wild-type (pI 9.2; M, 9831.4) and mutant E2 (pI 9.4; M, 9831.4) using Expasy Tools. Molecular weights were confirmed on a VG Quattro triple quadrupole mass spectrophotometer with electrospray ionization. Structural integrity was confirmed using far-UV and near-UV circular dichroism spectroscopy on a Jobin Yvon CD6 spectropolarimeter using a 0.65-cm path length with a 0.5-nm resolution at 1 nm/min.

**Gel Retardation Assays—** A double-stranded oligonucleotide (100 ng) corresponding to the sequence of the HPV 16 E2 site 1 from nucleotides 46 to 65 (5′-TTGAAACCGAAACCGTATTG-3′) was end labeled with [γ-32P]ATP using T4 polynucleotide kinase. Unincorporated label was removed using a Sephadex G-50 column (Stratagene). Labeled oligonucleotides (10,000 cpm) were incubated with purified proteins in binding buffer (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 25 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol, 0.5 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, and 0.05 μg/ml poly(dI–dC)). After 20 min at 20 °C, free and bound labeled DNA were resolved on 6% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels run in 0.5× TBE and visualized by autoradiography. Heterodimers between wild-type E2Ct and E2Ct DBDm were formed by mixing and denaturing the proteins in 3× xurea (1 h at 20 °C) and then refolding by dilution to 0.1 M urea in binding buffer. The DNA binding activity of the heterodimers was assayed exactly as described above.

**Cell Culture and Transfections—** SiHa, C33a, and COS-7 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma) and penicillin/streptomycin (100 μg/ml). NIH 3T3 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% calf serum (Sigma) and penicillin/streptomycin. HeLa cells were maintained in minimal essential medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin. 866, 873, 877, 915, and 808F cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mM l-glutamine, 5 μg/ml insulin, 0.01 μg/ml epidermal growth factor, 0.01 μg/ml cholecalciferol, and 0.4 μg/ml hydrocortisone. All cells were maintained at 37 °C in 5% CO_2.

Prior to transient transfection, cells were seeded at 3 × 10^6 cells/well on coverslips in six-well plates and incubated overnight to obtain a subconfluent culture. The liposome-based reagents Tfx-50 (for SiHa and NIH3T3 cells) and Tfx-20 (for all other cell lines) (Promega) were used at a 3:1 liposome:DNA ratio in 1 ml of serum-free media per transfection, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNAs used in each transfection are described in the text above. After 18 h (E7 experiments) or 30 h (E2 experiments), the coverslips were washed in phosphate-buffered saline, and the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/phosphate-buffered saline at 22 °C for 30 min. Following further washes with phosphate-buffered saline, the cells were stained with bisbenzimide (Hoechst no. 33258, Sigma) for 30 min before being washed in phosphate-buffered saline and mounted onto microscope slides in 10 μl of MOWIOL (Calbiochem).

**Fluorescence Microscopy and Imaging—** Fluorescence microscopy was carried out using a Leica DM IRBE inverted epifluorescence microscope equipped with fluorescein isothiocyanate and 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole filter sets and a ×20 air objective (Leica). Imaging was carried out using a Leica DM IRBE inverted confocal microscope using a ×40 oil objective (Leica) and TCS-NT4 software (Leica).

**RESULTS**

In our previous work, we looked at the effects of E2 expression in SiHa cells using stable cell lines that carry the HPV 16 E2 gene under the control of the heavy metal-inducible metallothionein promoter (12). The induction of E2 expression in these cell lines resulted in reduced cell proliferation and increased levels of cell death. The E2-induced cell death showed several of the features characteristic of apoptosis including: blebbing of the plasma membrane, chromatin condensation, and the appearance of cell fragments with sub-G0 DNA content (12). Using this approach to look at the effects of E2 expression in a variety of cell lines would require the production of numerous stable cell lines. Because we know that the E2 protein can induce apoptosis in at least some cell lines, this would be a time-consuming and difficult task. In addition, to extend our work we also wished to look at the effects of expressing E6 and E7, either individually or in conjunction with E2. To this end, we have used transient transfection to express the HPV 16 E2, E6, and E7 proteins in different cell lines.

**The HPV 16 E2 and E7 Proteins Induce Apoptosis in HeLa Cells—** The plasmids pWEB-E2, pWEB-E6, and pWEB-E7 express the HPV 16 E2, E6, and E7 proteins, respectively. Each of these plasmids was transiently transfected into HeLa cells growing on coverslips using liposomes (Fig. 2). In each experiment, the plasmid pCMX-GFP3 was co-transfected into the cells; pCMX-GFP3 expresses the GFP and allows transfection cells to be identified by their fluorescence upon excitation through a fluorescein isothiocyanate filter set. Because GFP is expressed uniformly throughout the transfected cell, it also allows the assessment of cellular morphology (Fig. 2b). The cells were stained with bisbenzimide (Hoechst stain), which enters the nuclei of all of the cells present, regardless of their transfection status, and allows a comparison of chromatin con-
The DNA Binding Activity of E2 Is Not Required for the Induction of Apoptosis—Although there are several plausible

The HPV 16 E2 Protein Induces p53-dependent Apoptosis—

Fig. 3. E2 and E7 but not E6 induce apoptosis in HeLa cells. a, the GFP-expressing plasmid pCMX-GFP3 and increasing amounts of either pWEB or pWEB-E2 were transiently transfected into HeLa cells. Apoptotic cells in the transfected and untransfected populations were identified as shown in Fig. 2. The transfection was performed in duplicate and repeated at least three times. In a and c, the experiment shown in a was repeated using pWEB-E7 and pWEB-E6, respectively, in place of pWEB-E2.

densation between untransfected cells and transfected cells within the population (Fig. 2c). Individual cells were scored as untransfected or transfected using GFP and then assessed for chromatin condensation and membrane blebbing using Hoechst stain and GFP, respectively. A typical transfected cell that is undergoing apoptosis is indicated in Fig. 2. The percentage of untransfected cells and transfected cells undergoing apoptosis was determined by counting. At least 100 untransfected cells and 100 transfected cells were counted, and each experiment was repeated a minimum of three times. Although this method is laborious, it is more reliable than other methods used to count apoptotic cells and allows the assessment of individual cells.

The percentage of apoptotic HeLa cells seen after transient transfection with the E2-, E6-, and E7-expressing plasmids is shown in Fig. 3. In each experiment, around 5% of the untransfected cells and around 5% of the cells transfected with the empty pWEB vector are apoptotic. Both the E2 and the E7 expression plasmids produced a significant increase in the levels of apoptosis within the transfected population (Fig. 3, a and b, respectively). In contrast, the E6 expression plasmid had little or no effect (Fig. 3c). The amount of plasmid required for the maximum induction of cell death and the time at which maximum death was observed differed between the E2- and E7-expressing plasmids. E2-induced apoptosis occurs maximally after around 30 h and with 300 ng of expression plasmid, whereas E7-induced apoptosis occurs maximally after around 18 h and with 800 ng of expression plasmid (not shown). These data demonstrate that the HPV 16 E2 and E7 proteins both induce apoptosis in HeLa cells. We next set out to determine whether these proteins can induce apoptosis in other cell lines.

E2 and E7 Induce Apoptosis in Both HPV-transformed and Non-HPV-transformed Cell Lines—We assayed the ability of the HPV 16 E2 and E7 proteins to induce apoptosis in six HPV-transformed cell lines and four non-HPV-transformed cell lines. The results of this comparison are shown in Table I. In each experiment, the transfection efficiency varied from 5 to 10% depending on the cell line. Both E2 and E7 induced high levels of apoptosis in HeLa cells and SiHa cells, which are HPV 18- and HPV 16-transformed cervical carcinoma cell lines, respectively. Both E2 and E7 also induced high levels of apoptosis in human 866, 873, 877, and 915 keratinocytes: 866 cells and 915 cells contain HPV 16, 873 cells contain HPV 18, and 877 cells contain both HPV 18 and HPV 45 (31). Interestingly, both E2 and E7 failed to induce apoptosis in either C33a cells or COS-7 cells, a non-HPV-transformed cervical carcinoma cell line and an SV40-transformed monkey fibroblast cell line, respectively. However, E2 and E7 did induce high levels of apoptosis in two other HPV-negative cell lines: 808F cells and NIH3T3 cells, which are a human fibroblast cell line and a mouse fibroblast cell line, respectively. Thus, E2 is capable of inducing apoptosis in at least two HPV-negative cell lines. Another striking feature of these results is that all the cell lines induced to undergo apoptosis by E2 are also induced to undergo apoptosis by E7. Similarly, the cell lines that are not sensitive to E2 expression are not sensitive to E7 expression. These data suggest that E2 and E7 induce apoptotic cell death via the same pathway or via pathways that converge at some point.

All of the cell lines that were seen to undergo apoptosis in response to E2 or E7 expression are thought to contain wild-type p53. For example, NIH 3T3 cells contain wild-type p53 and can undergo p53-dependent apoptosis (32). In contrast, C33a cells contain mutated p53 (33), and these cells fail to undergo apoptosis in response to either E2 or E7. Although COS-7 cells contain wild-type p53, these cells express the SV40 T antigen, which has been shown previously to efficiently sequester p53 (34). To determine whether p53 plays a role in E2 and/or E7-induced cell death, we next looked at the effects of a trans-dominant negative p53 mutant and expression of the HPV 16 E6 protein on the levels of apoptosis in cells expressing these proteins.

E2 and E7 Induce Apoptosis via a p53-dependent Pathway—HeLa cells were transiently co-transfected with pWEB-E2 or pWEB-E7 and either pCB6+p53, which expresses wild-type p53, or pCB6+p53173L, which expresses a trans-dominant negative p53 mutant. Co-expression of wild-type p53 increased the levels of apoptosis induced by both E2 and E7 by almost 50% (Fig. 4a, compare columns 4 and 5 to columns 7 and 8). In contrast, co-expression of the trans-dominant negative p53173L mutant decreased the level of apoptosis induced by both E2 and E7 to near the basal level (Fig. 4a, columns 6 and 9, respectively). These data suggest that the apoptosis induced by the HPV 16 E2 and E7 proteins occurs through a p53-dependent pathway. To confirm this conclusion, HeLa cells were transiently co-transfected with pWEB-E2 or pWEB-E7 and either pWEB-E6 or the empty pWEB vector. The HPV 16 E6 protein binds p53 in conjunction with the E3 ubiquitin ligase enzyme E6-AP, and this results in the degradation of p53 via a ubiquitin-dependent protease (19, 35). The addition of increasing amounts of the pWEB-E6 plasmid resulted in a gradual decrease in the level of E2-induced apoptosis (Fig. 4b). Similarly, the level of apoptosis induced by the E7 protein was also significantly reduced by the co-expression of E6 (Fig. 4c). In the presence of large amounts of pWEB-E6, the levels of both E2- and E7-induced apoptosis were reduced to around the basal level. Taken together, these results firmly establish that functional p53 is required for apoptosis induced by both the HPV 16 E2 protein and the HPV 16 E7 protein.

3 P. Stern, unpublished observations.
mechanisms whereby E7 overexpression could result in apoptosis, the route from E2 overexpression to apoptosis is unclear. We originally proposed that in SiHa cells, E2 might increase transcription of the integrated E7 oncogene and that this might result in E7-induced cell death. However, here we have shown that E2 can induce apoptosis in at least two non-HPV-transformed cell lines (Table I). These data imply that E2 does not kill cells simply by activating transcription of E7. To confirm this hypothesis we placed three point mutations within the E2 DNA binding domain (DBD) at positions known to be important for protein-DNA interactions. The crystal structures of the HPV 16 E2 DBD and the BPV1 E2 DBD-DNA complex suggest that amino acids Asn-296, Lys-299, and Arg-304 within the HPV 16 E2 DBD are critical for the recognition of specific E2 binding sites (36, 37). Using site-directed mutagenesis, we replaced all three of these amino acids with alanines. The mutations were introduced in the context of both the full-length E2 protein and the E2 DNA binding domain alone.

To establish that these mutations abolish DNA binding activity without disrupting the overall folding of the E2 DBD, we expressed the wild-type DBD and the mutated DBD in bacteria. The plasmid pKK-E2Ct expresses a truncated E2 protein (amino acids 280–365) that can dimerize and bind DNA normally. The plasmid pKK-E2CtDBDm expresses the equivalent E2 fragment containing the N296A, K299A, and R304A mutations. The E2Ct and E2Ct DBDm proteins were purified from bacteria carrying the respective plasmids (Fig. 5a). Circular dichroism (CD) was then used to test whether the presence of the mutations altered the folding or dimerization of the E2 DBD. The CD spectra for the E2Ct and E2Ct DBDm proteins (Fig. 5, b and c) are very similar. This implies that the mutations have little or no effect on these properties. Fig. 5d shows the results of a gel retardation assay in which increasing amounts of the E2Ct protein (lanes 2–4) or the E2CtDBDm protein (lanes 5–7) were added to labeled oligonucleotides carrying an E2 binding site. As can be seen from Fig. 5, E2Ct binds tightly to the labeled DNA, whereas E2CtDBDm exhibits no detectable binding to this site.

To determine whether the DNA binding activity of E2 is required for the induction of cell death, we transiently trans-
fected HeLa cells with plasmids expressing either the wild-type full-length E2 protein (pWEB-E2) or full-length E2 carrying the N296A, K299A, and R304A mutations (pWEB-E2DBDm).

Somewhat surprisingly, the pWEB-E2 and pWEB-E2DBDm plasmids induced almost identical levels of cell death (Fig. 6). In contrast, the plasmid pWEB-E2Ct, which expresses the E2 DBD alone and therefore lacks the N-terminal transcription activation domain, failed to induce cell death (Fig. 6). Thus, although the sequence-specific DNA binding activity of E2 is not required for the induction of apoptosis in HeLa cells, the N-terminal transcription activation domain is indispensable.

To confirm and extend these conclusions, we next looked at the ability of E2 heterodimers to induce cell death.

Two Functional N-terminal Domains Are Required for E2-induced Cell Death—The BPV1 E2 and E2-TR proteins have previously been shown to form heterodimers (11). Although these heterodimers are reported to bind DNA in intact cells, they fail to activate transcription in intact cells (11). In view of this, we wanted to determine whether the HPV 16 E2 and E2Ct proteins would form heterodimers and whether these heterodimers would be capable of inducing cell death.

To ascertain whether or not heterodimers could be formed in vitro, we mixed a fixed amount of wild-type E2Ct, with increasing amounts of the DNA binding defective E2CtDBDm protein. To facilitate the exchange of subunits, we added 3 M urea to denature both of the homodimeric proteins and then diluted the urea to 0.1 M to allow refolding and the random assortment of partners. The DNA binding activity of the refolded proteins was then determined using a gel retardation assay (Fig. 7a). As expected, refolded E2Ct bound to a labeled oligonucleotide carrying an E2 site, whereas refolded E2CtDBDm showed no DNA binding activity (Fig. 7a, lanes 3 and 4, respectively). Adding increasing amounts of E2CtDBDm to a fixed amount of E2Ct resulted in a gradual decline in DNA binding activity (Fig. 7a, lanes 5–8). These data show that at least in this in vitro assay, these E2 proteins can form heterodimers.

To investigate the formation of heterodimers in intact cells, we transiently co-transfected pWEB-E2 into HeLa cells along with increasing amounts of pWEB-E2Ct and determined the percentage of apoptotic cells exactly as described above. As expected, the pWEB-E2 plasmid induced high levels of cell death in the transfected population, whereas the pWEB-E2Ct
then denatured in 3 M urea. After refolding by dilution into 0.1 M urea, E2(1) complex is indicated by an 6% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography. The E2Ct-16 E2 binding site 1 (E2(1)). Free and bound DNA was separated on a

plasmid had no effect (Fig. 7b). However, as increasing amounts of the pWEB-E2Ct plasmid were added to a transfection mixture containing pWEB-E2, the percentage of apoptotic cells in the transfected population showed a steady decline and eventually reached background levels (Fig. 7b). Increasing amounts of the pWEB-E2CTDBDm plasmid also decreased the level of pWEB-E2-induced cell death, whereas increasing amounts of the empty pWEB plasmid had no effect (not shown). Taken together, these data suggest that heterodimers containing E2 and E2Ct form in intact cells and that these heterodimers are incapable of inducing apoptosis. Thus, it appears that the E2 dimer requires two functional N-terminal domains in order to induce cell death.

DISCUSSION

The E2 proteins from HPV 16, HPV 18, and BPV1 all have dramatic effects on the proliferation and/or survival of cervical carcinoma cell lines (12–14). We previously proposed that the HPV 16 E2 protein induces apoptosis in HPV 16-transformed SiHa cells by activating transcription of the viral E7 gene (12). In contrast, others have proposed that the HPV 18 E2 protein induces apoptosis in HPV 18-transformed HeLa cells by repressing transcription of the viral E6 and E7 genes (13). Here we have shown that the HPV 16 E2 protein induces apoptosis in two non-HPV-transformed cell lines, supporting the demonstration that the HPV 31 E2 protein appears to induce apoptosis in HPV-negative NHK cells (17). These data show that neither of the above mechanisms can be entirely correct. Either the E2 proteins induce apoptosis independently of the HPV genome or these proteins induce apoptosis via two pathways: one requiring other HPV proteins and one independent of other HPV proteins.

The E7 protein has been extensively studied, primarily as an oncoprotein, but also as an inducer of apoptosis. For example, E7 has been shown to sensitize keratinocytes to undergo both spontaneous apoptosis and apoptosis in response to tumor necrosis factor α (38). There is evidence to suggest that E7-induced apoptosis occurs via p53-dependent and p53-independent pathways (39, 40, 38). Here we have shown that in HeLa cells, overexpression of the HPV 16 E7 protein induces apoptosis. The E7-induced apoptosis can be abrogated by overexpression of the HPV 16 E6 protein or by expression of a transdominant negative mutant of p53. These findings strongly suggest that the HPV 16 E7 protein induces p53-dependent apoptosis in these cells. Some controversy has surrounded the role of p53 in E2-induced cell death. For example, expression of the BPV1 E2 protein in HeLa cells has been reported to stabilize p53 (16, 13), whereas expression of the HPV 31 E2 protein in NHK cells has been reported to destabilize p53 (17). We have shown that, like E7, the HPV 16 E2 protein induces apoptosis in HeLa cells and that this apoptosis is p53-dependent. Both E7 and E2 induce apoptosis in cells that contain the HPV 16 E6 gene. Given that E6 binds to p53 and that this interaction results in a decrease in the half-life of p53 (18–21), this might seem remarkable. However, p53 activity has been demonstrated in several HPV-positive cell lines (13, 41, 42). For example, treatment of SiHa and HeLa cells with genotoxic agents results in increased nuclear p53 levels, increased binding of p53 to a p53 recognition site, and increased expression of the p53-responsive WAF1/CIP1 gene (42).

The E2 proteins bind to specific DNA sequences and regulate viral gene expression. We have shown that the sequence-specific DNA binding activity of the HPV 16 E2 protein is not required for the induction of apoptosis in HeLa cells. In contrast, the N-terminal domain, including the transcription activation domain and the hinge region, is essential for the promotion of cell death. The E2 protein from BPV1 has been shown to arrest the growth of HeLa cells (14, 15). Growth arrest by BPV1 E2 requires a functional DNA binding domain and a functional transcription activation domain (43). These data suggest that the induction of apoptosis by the HPV 16 E2 protein and the induction of growth arrest by the BPV1 E2 protein, are brought about by two separate pathways. Presumably, growth arrest brought about by the BPV1 E2 protein requires transcriptional regulation of the integrated HPV oncogenes. Thus, BPV1 E2-induced growth arrest could be the result of transcriptional repression of the integrated E6 and E7 genes (43). However, it is important to point out that BPV1 E2 could arrest growth via the regulation of cellular genes. Interestingly, functional transcription activation domains are required for efficient repression of transcription by BPV1 E2, as well as for efficient activation of transcription (11, 43). Repression of E6 transcription would be expected to result in increased levels of p53, and this could lead to p53-dependent apoptosis (16, 13). In contrast, the induction of apoptosis by HPV 16 E2 occurs independently of its DNA binding activity and independently of the presence of integrated HPV sequences. Although at present we do not know how the HPV 16 E2 protein induces apoptosis, the mechanism probably involves changes in E2F activity. Overexpression of the HPV 16 E2 protein in SiHa cells has been shown to result in increased E2F activity (12), as has overexpression of the HPV 31 E2 protein in NHK cells (17). Like HPV 16 E2, the E2F-1 protein can induce p53-dependent apoptosis (28, 29).

Using E2 heterodimers, we have shown that two functional transcription activation domains are required for the induction of apoptosis by the HPV 16 E2 protein. Similar heterodimer experiments with BPV1 E2 and BPV1 E2-TR showed that two functional activation domains are required for efficient transcription activation (11). Why two activation domains per E2 dimer should be essential for either transcription activation or the induction of apoptosis is not known. However, the activation domains of BPV1 E2 mediate cooperative interactions between E2 dimers and bring about the formation of DNA loops (30). Two functional transcription activation domains per dimer might be required to bring about these interactions and/or contacts with other proteins involved in transcription or apoptosis.

In conclusion, although further work is required to elucidate the path that links E2 to cell death, our findings have clear
implications for the role that the loss of this protein plays in the natural history of cervical cancer. We have shown that the HPV 16 E2 protein brings about apoptosis in the absence of other HPV gene products and that this E2-induced apoptosis is p53-dependent. Integration of the HPV genome into the host chromosome and the consequent disruption of the E2 gene removes this proapoptotic signal. Because the integrated HPV sequences continue to produce the E6 and E7 proteins, these cells continue to proliferate and are likely to form cervical tumors.
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