AMPK α1 Downregulates ROS Levels Through Regulating Trx Leading to Dysfunction of Apoptosis in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer
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Purpose: AMP-activated protein kinase α1 (AMPK α1) associates closely with cancers. However, the relationship between AMPK α1 and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is not fully understood. In this study, we aim to explore the role and mechanism of AMPK α1 in NSCLC initiation and progression.

Materials and Methods: A total of 165 clinical NSCLC specimens were included in the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) lung cancer tissue arrays. The expression levels of AMPK α1 and thioredoxin (Trx) in NSCLC cancer tissues and adjacent non-tumor lung tissues were measured through using immunohistochemistry. MTT assay was used to detect cell proliferation. Intracellular ROS levels were measured by using H2DCFDA reagent. Lentiviruses including LV-PRKAA1-RNAi, LV-PRKAA1 and a negative LV-control were used to infect A549 cells to achieve the opposite effects. Trx was significantly overexpressed in NSCLC cancer tissues; furthermore, Trx expressed much more in cytoplasm when compared with cell nucleus. Trx expression levels in NSCLC tissues. AMPK α1 and thioredoxin expression achieved the opposite effects. Trx was significantly overexpressed in NSCLC cancer tissues; furthermore, Trx expressed much more in cytoplasm when compared with cell nucleus. Trx expression levels were positively correlated with AMPK α1 expression levels in NSCLC tissues. AMPK α1 could regulate Trx in A549 cells. No significant correlations were observed between Trx expression variances and prognoses in NSCLC patients. Combination of AMPK α1 and Trx had no advantage in predicting prognoses of NSCLC patients.

Results: AMPK α1 was highly expressed in NSCLC cancer tissues and correlated with poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC. In A549 cells, overexpression of AMPK α1 promoted proliferation, suppressed ROS levels and inhibited apoptosis. Moreover, inhibition of AMPK α1 expression achieved the opposite effects. Trx was significantly overexpressed in NSCLC cancer tissues; furthermore, Trx expressed much more in cytoplasm when compared with cell nucleus. Trx expression levels were positively correlated with AMPK α1 expression levels in NSCLC tissues. AMPK α1 could regulate Trx in A549 cells. No significant correlations were observed between Trx expression variances and prognoses in NSCLC patients. Combination of AMPK α1 and Trx had no advantage in predicting prognoses of NSCLC patients.

Conclusion: These results suggest that AMPK α1 serves a carcinogenic role at least in part through the regulation of Trx expression, and thus represents a potential treatment target in patients with NSCLC.
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Introduction

Lung cancer, a commonly occurring cancer with high mortality, has already caused 1.8 million new cases and 1.6 million deaths worldwide in 2012. Moreover, lung cancer incidence rate is still increasing in China and in many other countries. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), including squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma and large cell carcinoma, accounts for nearly 85% of all lung cancer cases. Despite considerable improvement in the detection rate and advances in treatment, the prognosis of NSCLC...
patients remains grim.\(^4\) An improved understanding of the mechanism, as well as identification of prognostic and predictive biomarkers in NSCLC is needed to improve the clinical management of these patients.

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), consisting of a catalytic \(\alpha\)-subunit and two regulatory \(\beta\)- and \(\gamma\)-subunits, functions as an energy sensor and regulator to maintain intracellular homeostasis. AMPK \(\alpha\) is the most important catalytic subunit of AMPK. AMPK \(\alpha\) was essential for efficient autophagosome maturation and lysosomal fusion.\(^5\) Depletion of AMPK \(\alpha\) could induce cell death via promoting apoptosis.\(^6\) Those findings suggested a close association between AMPK \(\alpha\) and cancers. However, the role and mechanism of AMPK \(\alpha\) in NSCLC initiation and progression are still not fully understood. Further exploration of the role and mechanism of AMPK \(\alpha\) may provide new insights for NSCLC treatment.

In this study, we determine to explore the relationship between AMPK \(\alpha\) expression variances and clinicopathologic features as well as prognosis in NSCLC patients, and further establish the potential mechanism of AMPK \(\alpha\) in NSCLC initiation and progression.

**Materials and Methods**

**Tissue Arrays**

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) lung cancer tissue arrays were obtained from the National Engineering Center for BioChips in Shanghai, China. A total of 165 clinical NSCLC specimens (90 adenocarcinoma patients and 75 squamous cell carcinoma patients) were included in the tissue arrays. The operation times for squamous cell carcinoma patients were between July 2004 and November 2007, and the patients were follow-up visited to July 2012. For adenocarcinoma patients, the operation times were between July 2004 and June 2009, and the follow-up visit was finished in August 2014. The patients’ clinical records and histopathological diagnoses were fully documented. Survival times were calculated in months and defined as the time from operation time until death, or censored if no death was noted at follow-up date.

**Cell Culture**

The human lung cancer cell line A549 (Yingrun Biotechnologies Inc., Changsha, China) was used for experiments in vitro. Parental A549 cells and lentivirus infected A549 cells were cultivated in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Biological Industries, Cromwell, CT, USA). Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\).

**Cell Proliferation Assay**

2.7.3-\((4,5\text{-dimethylthiazol-2-yl})\)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was used to measure cell proliferation. Cells were seeded at an initial density of 5000 cells/mL in 96-well plates. Cell viability was assessed at different time points (12, 24, 48, or 72 hours) using 0.5 mg/mL MTT solution (Sigma, MO, USA). Approximately 4 h later, the medium was replaced with 150 \(\mu\)L dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma, MO, USA) and vortexed for 10 min. The absorbance at 490 nm (A490) of each well was read using a spectrophotometer. Each experiment was performed at least in triplicate.

**Lentivirus and Cell Infection**

To modulate AMPK \(\alpha\) expression levels in A549 cells, lentiviruses, including LV-PRKAA1-RNAi (expressing a short interfering RNA targeting the PRKAA1 gene), LV-PRKAA1 (a lentivirus overexpressing the PRKAA1 gene), and a negative LV-control were purchased from Shanghai GeneChem Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). According to the manufacturer’s instructions, \(5.0\times10^4\) cells supplemented with 1ul lentivirus and 1ul Polybrene (GENECHEM Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) were grown on 24-well plates. 24 hours later, the supernatant was removed and fresh culture medium was added to the cells. Fluorescence microscopy was used to observe the infection rate at 72 hours post-infection, and stable clones were selected after 2 weeks using puromycin (2 \(\mu\)g/mL) (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK). The final infection efficiency was confirmed by Western blot.

**Measurement of Intracellular ROS Levels**

2',7'-dichlorofluorescin diacetate (H2DCFDA) (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, United States) was used to measure intracellular ROS levels. Cells were loaded with 10 \(\mu\)M H2DCFDA for 30 min in the dark. Fluorescence intensity (wavelengths of excitation and emission were 490 and 530 nm) was measured using spectrophotometry. Fluorescence intensity was normalized to % of cell alive.

**Immunohistochemical Staining Analysis (IHC)**

Samples were deparaffinized and then rehydrated through an alcohol gradient. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked through incubation of slides in 3% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) at room temperature for 15 minutes. Antigen retrieval was performed by incubation with citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at
95°C for 15 minutes. The proteins were detected using primary antibodies (AMPK α1 and Trx) (dilution, 1:200; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and incubated at 4°C overnight. Then, reactions were detected with EnVision™ + Peroxidase kit (Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) at room temperature for 30 minutes. Sections were stained with 3,3ʹ-diaminobenzidine (Dako; Agilent Technologies, Inc.), counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated through an alcohol gradient, cleared with xylene and cover-slipped with permanent mounting media.

Score Standard of IHC
Staining intensity of cells was scored as negative (0), weak (1), intermediate (2), and strong (3). The score for the proportion of positive cells was as follows: none positive cells (0), 1 to 24% of positive cells (1), 25 to 49% of positive cells (2), 50 to 74% of positive cells (3) and 75 to 100% of positive cells (4). The final score was obtained by multiplying the scores for staining intensity and the scores for proportion of positive cells.

Western Blot Analysis
Cell extracts were prepared with lysis buffer. Protein samples were subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked with blocking buffer, incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C, and then incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Bands were visualized with EeyoECL Plus (Beyotime, shanghai, China). The following antibodies were used: Trx (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., USA), AMPK α1 (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., USA), Bcl-2 (Wanleibio, Shenyang, Liaoning, China), Bax (Wanleibio, Shenyang, Liaoning, China), GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., USA) acted as an internal control.

DRUGSURV Database
DRUGSURV is an online tool that integrates the prognostic data of genes for a variety of cancers with publicly available data. DRUGSURV was used to identify whether AMPK α1 acted as an effective prognostic biomarker for NSCLC patients.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad prism 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA). Data were analyzed using the Student’s t-test. Difference of AMPK α1 expression levels between tumor tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues was evaluated using nonparametric test. Univariate Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated to analyze correlations. The Chi-square test was used to identify statistically significant differences between AMPK α1 expression and various clinicopathologic characteristics. Univariate Kaplan–Meier method was performed to calculate overall survival curves according to AMPK α1 expression. Survival differences according to expression were analyzed using the Log rank test. A difference of P<0.05 between groups was considered as a statistically significant result.

Table 1 Clinical and Pathologic Characteristics of the NSCLC Patients

| Parameters                  | Variables | N   | %   |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|
| Age (years)                 | <60       | 55  | 33.3|
|                            | ≥60       | 109 | 66.1|
|                            | Unknown   | 1   | 0.6 |
| Gender                      | Male      | 119 | 72.1|
|                            | Female    | 46  | 27.9|
| Differentiation degree      | I         | 9   | 5.5 |
|                            | II        | 118 | 71.5|
|                            | III       | 38  | 23.0|
| Histologic type             | Ada       | 90  | 54.5|
|                            | SCCb      | 75  | 45.5|
| Tumor size (cm)             | <3        | 26  | 15.7|
|                            | 3–7       | 126 | 76.4|
|                            | >7        | 11  | 6.7 |
|                            | Unknown   | 2   | 1.2 |
| Primary tumor location      | Left      | 69  | 41.8|
|                            | Right     | 95  | 57.6|
|                            | Unknown   | 1   | 0.6 |
| T stage                     | T1        | 34  | 20.6|
|                            | T2        | 89  | 53.9|
|                            | T3        | 29  | 17.6|
|                            | T4        | 11  | 6.7 |
|                            | Unknown   | 2   | 1.2 |
| LNc metastasis              | N0        | 79  | 47.9|
|                            | N1        | 29  | 17.6|
|                            | N2        | 22  | 13.3|
|                            | N3        | 4   | 2.4 |
|                            | Unknown   | 31  | 18.8|
| Distant metastasis          | M0        | 162 | 98.2|
|                            | M1        | 2   | 1.2 |
|                            | Unknown   | 1   | 0.6 |
| TNM stage                   | I         | 55  | 33.3|
|                            | II        | 34  | 20.6|
|                            | III       | 43  | 26.1|
|                            | IV        | 2   | 1.2 |
|                            | Unknown   | 31  | 18.8|

Notes: *Squamous cell carcinoma. *Adenocarcinoma. *Lymph node.
Results

Patients’ Characteristics

The characteristics of the 165 NSCLC specimens are shown in Table 1. There were 90 adenocarcinomas and 75 squamous cell carcinomas. Elderly patients were accounted for 66.1% of all the cases. There were 119 (72.1%) males and 46 (27.9%) females. The median age at diagnosis of the NSCLC patients was 63.1 years (range 30 to 84 years). In all 165 NSCLC patients examined in the present study, the median overall survival (OS) was 50.0 months, and the 1, 2, 3, 5-year OS rate were 87.3%, 73.3%, 62.4% and 41.6%, respectively (Figure 1A).

AMPK α1 Overexpressed in Cancer Tissues and Correlated with Poor Prognosis in Patients with NSCLC

In this study, we firstly tested the expression levels of AMPK α1 in NSCLC tumor tissues and adjacent normal lung tissues through using IHC. A total of 159 cancer tissues and 163 normal lung tissues were successfully detected in tissue arrays. IHC staining scores of AMPK α1 are shown in Table 2. Compared with adjacent normal tissues, AMPK α1 was obviously highly expressed in cancer tissues (P<0.0001, Figure 1B).

To explore the relationship between AMPK α1 expression variances and NSCLC patients’ clinicopathologic characteristics, we chose the geometric mean of IHC staining score of AMPK α1 (IHC staining score of AMPK α1=9.3) as the threshold value, patients were divided into two groups (76 patients with high AMPK α1 expression levels and 83 patients with low AMPK α1 expression levels). AMPK α1 was strongly correlated with T stage (P=0.000), LN metastasis (P=0.000) and TNM stage (P=0.000); however, other characteristics including age (P=0.507), gender (P=0.731), histologic type (P=0.076), tumor size (P=0.812), differentiation degree (P=0.797), primary tumor location (P=0.668) showed no significant associations with AMPK α1 expression levels (Table 3).

Next, a Log rank test and Kaplan–Meier survival analysis were performed to evaluate the correlation between AMPK α1 expression levels and prognoses of NSCLC patients. As
shown in Figure 1C, NSCLC patients with high AMPK α1 expression levels had poor prognoses ($P=0.0265$). To further assess the prognostic significance of AMPK α1 expression on the survival, we analyzed the data GSE21362 from DRUGSURV database. There were 28 patients with low prkaa1 expression levels and 52 patients with high prkaa1 expression levels. The result also showed that NSCLC patients with high prkaa1 expression levels had poor prognoses ($P=0.0281$) (Figure 1D).

**AMPK α1 Promoted Proliferation, Suppressed ROS Levels and Inhibited Apoptosis**

Considering high AMPK α1 expression levels correlated with NSCLC progression, we further explored the biological functions of AMPK α1 in NSCLC. A549 cells were, respectively, infected with LV-PRKAA1 and LV-control to modulate AMPK α1 expression. The final infection efficiency was confirmed by Western blot (Figure 2A). As shown in Figure 2B, cell proliferation was significantly enhanced in LV-PRKAA1 A549 cells when compared with LV-control A549 cells (ns at 12h and 24h, $P<0.05$ at 48h, $P<0.0001$ at 72h). Then, we examined the effect of AMPK α1 on ROS levels. Compared with LV-control group, decreased ROS levels were observed in LV-PRKAA1 A549 cells ($P<0.001$, Figure 2C). On account of the fact that ROS is essential for apoptosis initiation, we further tested the expression levels of apoptosis proteins including Bcl-2 and Bax. The expression level of Bcl-2 was upregulated in LV-PRKAA1 A549 cells, while Bax was downregulated (Figure 2D).

**Repression of AMPK α1 Could Inhibit Proliferation, Increase ROS Levels and Induce Apoptosis**

Next, A549 cells were infected with LV-PRKAA1-RNAi to repress AMPK α1 expression. The inhibition efficiency was confirmed by Western blot (Figure 3A). As shown in Figure 3B, we found that cell proliferation was attenuated in LV-PRKAA1-RNAi A549 cells when compared with

| Table 2 IHC Staining Scores of AMPK α1 |
|----------------------------------------|
| **Score** | **Value** |
| Cancer tissue (n=159) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 83 |
| Adjacent tissue (n=163) | 0 | 153 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

| Table 3 Correlation Between AMPK α1 Expression and Clinicopathological Characteristics in NSCLC Patients |
| Variables | Case | AMPK α1 Expression | $\chi^2$ | $P$ |
| Total | Low | High |
| Age | | | | |
| ≥60 | 104 | 52 | 52 | 0.439 | 0.507 |
| <60 | 54 | 24 | 30 | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Female | 44 | 22 | 22 | 0.118 | 0.731 |
| Male | 115 | 54 | 61 | |
| Histologic type | | | | |
| Ad* | 89 | 37 | 52 | 3.140 | 0.076 |
| SCCb | 69 | 39 | 31 | |
| Differentiation degree | | | | |
| I–II | 122 | 59 | 63 | 0.066 | 0.797 |
| III | 37 | 17 | 20 | |
| Total | 159 | 76 | 83 | |
| Tumor size (cm) | | | | |
| <3 | 25 | 11 | 14 | 0.415 | 0.812 |
| 3–7 | 120 | 59 | 61 | |
| >7 | 12 | 5 | 7 | |
| Total | 157 | 75 | 82 | |
| Primary tumor location | | | | |
| Left | 66 | 30 | 36 | 0.184 | 0.668 |
| Right | 92 | 45 | 47 | |
| Total | 158 | 75 | 83 | |
| T stage | | | | |
| I | 30 | 22 | 8 | 18.648 | 0.000 |
| II | 88 | 44 | 44 | |
| III | 28 | 5 | 23 | |
| IV | 11 | 4 | 7 | |
| Total | 157 | 75 | 82 | |
| LNc metastasis | | | | |
| Negative | 76 | 48 | 28 | 31.854 | 0.000 |
| Positive | 53 | 7 | 46 | |
| Total | 129 | 55 | 74 | |
| TNM stage | | | | |
| I–II | 86 | 48 | 38 | 14.145 | 0.000 |
| III-IV | 43 | 9 | 34 | |
| Total | 129 | 57 | 72 | |

Notes: *Adenocarcinoma. Squamous cell carcinoma. Lymph node.
LV-control cells (ns at 12h, 24h and 48h, P<0.05 at 72h).

Suppression of AMPK α1 could increase ROS levels (P<0.05, Figure 3C). Moreover, down-regulation of AMPK α1 induced cell apoptosis according to Western blot assay (Figure 2D).

AMPK α1 Regulated the Expression of Trx

Thioredoxin (Trx), a ubiquitously expressed small molecule, possesses the ability to diminish oxidative stress. According to previous studies,7 AMPK could regulate Trx expression level. However, whether AMPK α1 could regulate Trx expression in NSCLC is still unknown. Through testing the expression levels of Trx in paired NSCLC tissues and adjacent normal lung tissues, we found that Trx was significantly overexpressed in cancer tissues (Figure 4A and B). In cancer tissues, a total of 159 cytoplasms and 134 nuclei were successfully detected Trx expression levels. IHC staining scores of Trx in cancer tissues are shown in Table 4. We further found that Trx expressed much more in cytoplasm when compared with cell nucleus (Figure 5A, and B). According to Pearson’s correlation analysis, Trx expression level was positively correlated with AMPK α1 expression level in NSCLC tissues (Figure 5C, and D). Through Western blot, we found that up-regulation of AMPK α1 increased Trx expression levels in A549 cells, on the contrary, down-regulation of AMPK α1 could decrease Trx expression levels (Figure 5E).

Considering that Trx was highly expressed in cancer tissues, we explored the association between Trx expression variances and prognosis in NSCLC patients. However, neither Trx expressed in cytoplasm (Figure 6A) nor in nucleus (Figure 6B) showed significant correlations with NSCLC patients’ prognoses.

In order to determine that if combining AMPK α1 with Trx could be more sensitive in predicting
Figure 3 Repression of AMPK α1 inhibited proliferation, increased ROS levels. (A) A549 cells were infected with LV-PRKAA1-RNAi to repress AMPK α1 expression. AMPK α1 expression levels were significantly inhibited in LV-PRKAA1-RNAi A549 cells when compared with LV-control A549 cells. (B) Repression of AMPK α1 expression levels attenuated proliferation ability of A549 cells (ns at 12h, 24h and 48h, P<0.05 at 72h). (C) Repression of AMPK α1 expression increased ROS levels when compared with the control cells (P<0.05) (ns means no significance; *means P<0.05).

Figure 4 Trx was highly expressed in NSCLC tissues. (A) IHC staining of Trx in squamous cell carcinoma tissues and adjacent non-tumor lung tissues. Squamous cell carcinoma tissues expressed higher Trx expression levels compared with tumor-adjacent normal tissues. (B) IHC staining of Trx in adenocarcinoma tissues and adjacent non-tumor lung tissues. Adenocarcinoma tissues expressed higher Trx expression levels when compared with tumor-adjacent normal tissues.
prognoses of NSCLC patients, we selected the patients who simultaneously lowly expressed AMPK α1 and Trx, however, there was no advantage in predicting NSCLC patients’ prognoses (Figure 6C, and D).

**Discussion**

AMPK is associated with tumours through regulation of cell growth, cell proliferation, invasion and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT). However, the role of AMPK in cancer initiation and progression is controversial and needs further exploration, because some researchers insisted that AMPK promoted cancer cells proliferation and migration, while other studies have manifested that AMPK functioned as a tumor suppressor and high AMPK expression associated with superior prognosis.

Recently, the subunits of AMPK have been found had different clinical implications in cancer development, for instance, high expression of AMPK-β and -γ subunits were respectively correlated with mucinous and serous carcinomas in patients with ovarian cancer. The ability of proliferation and angiogenesis of cancer cells was obvious augmented upon AMPK α1 was deleted. AMPK α2 was positively correlated with prognosis in endometrioid carcinomas. Those findings suggest that each component of the AMPK molecule has different clinical implications in cancer development, the role and mechanism of each of the subunits should be explored separately.

The subunit α1 is the most important catalytic subunit of AMPK. AMPK α1 made pancreatic cancer cells tolerance to glucose deprivation. Through activating hTERT promoter or protecting cancer cells against genotoxic stress, AMPK α1 promoted cancer progression. Those researches suggested that AMPK α1 acted as an oncogene in cancer initiation and progression. In our study, through analyzing AMPK α1 expression levels and the association between AMPK α1 and prognosis as well as A549 cells’ proliferation ability, we identified the aggressiveness role of AMPK α1 in NSCLC. Conversely, AMPK α1 was reported acted as a tumor suppresser, deletion of AMPK α1 promoted cell proliferation and angiogenesis. The reported discrepancy of AMPK α1 in tumor development may be due to different experimental tumor cell lines and tissue systems, furthermore, the targets and related pathways of AMPK α1 also influenced its significance in tumors.

Thioredoxin (Trx), a ubiquitously expressed small molecule, possesses ability to diminish oxidative stress. Researchers found that Trx was regulated by AMPK. In our study, we found AMPK α1 positively correlated with Trx expression in NSCLC tissues; moreover, Trx was positively regulated by AMPK α1 in A549 cells. Considering that Trx could regulate plentiful biological processes and aberrant Trx expression associated with initiation and progression of tumors inevitably, the aggressiveness role of AMPK α1 in NSCLC may partially work through regulating Trx expression.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are continuously produced as a by-product of mitochondrial metabolism and eliminated via antioxidant systems. Through promoting the release of cytochrome c, THRA2 and AIF from mitochondria to cytoplasm, ROS enhanced caspase-dependent and -independent apoptosis. Considering that Trx is an anti-oxidant protein and highly expressed in NSCLC, aberrant expression of Trx may be one of the main reasons that why ROS is decreased in NSCLC. We found that AMPK α1 suppressed ROS levels, and decreased apoptosis activity was observed in LV-PRKAA1 A549 cells; meanwhile, our results indicated that Trx was regulated by AMPK α1. Based on the results, we suggest that AMPK α1 downregulates ROS levels through regulating Trx expression leading to apoptosis dysfunction in A549 cells.

Some researchers suggest that Trx is a promising target for cancer treatment. Trx was found overexpressed in cancers and associated with poor overall survival in cancer patients. In our study, we did find Trx was overexpressed in NSCLC cancer tissues when compared with adjacent non-tumor lung tissues; however, unanticipated finding was that no significant association was found between Trx expression and prognosis. Because of our small cohort of specimens, the significance of Trx in predicting prognoses of NSCLC patients needed to be further explored.

In this study, we established the potential role and mechanism of AMPK α1 in NSCLC. However, there are some limitations in this study. At first, only A549 cell line was used in this study. Second, relatively small

| Table 4 IHC Staining Scores of Trx |
|-----------------------------------|
| **Value** | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Cytosplasm  
(n=159) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 81 |
| Nucleus  
(n=144) | 0 | 20 | 5 | 33 | 20 | 20 | 35 | 0 | 1 |

**OncoTargets and Therapy** downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 207.241.225.246 on 24-Jun-2020
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The number of specimens and short follow-up period may have attenuated the statistical power of the study and affected survival analyses. Last but not the least, we have not explored the mechanism of AMPKα1 regulating Trx expression.

In summary, we found that AMPKα1 was highly expressed in NSCLC tissues and significantly correlated with poor prognosis in NSCLC patients. AMPKα1 promoted lung cancer A549 cell proliferation. AMPKα1 downregulated ROS levels through regulating Trx expression leading to apoptosis dysfunction in A549 cells.

**Conclusion**

These exploratory findings, which need to be further validated in vitro and in vivo, suggest that AMPKα1 should be considered as a potential prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target in NSCLC.
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