Static and Dynamic Analysis of Radial Engine Master Rod using ANSYS
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Abstract: Radial engine is a reciprocating type internal engine configuration, in which the piston moves upward & downward direction outward from a central crankshaft, radial engine commonly used for aircrafts before they started using turbine engines. In radial engine the pistons are connected for the crankshaft of master and slave (articulating) rod assembly. The model is designed in CATIA V5 R20 and translated to ANSYS R 14.5. The model is simplified in ANSYS by using the pre-processor. Harmonic analysis is carried out to find the various plots of frequency and amplitude for aluminum alloy & structural steel. Results are obtained, the stresses developed in master rod under static loading and different loading conditions of tension at the crank end & piston end of master rod. Master rod is modeled by FEM type of design approach to improve the fatigue life of the master rod that is used in Radial engine.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Radial Engine is an interconnecting motor design with the cylinders rising from a central crankshaft as well as from a wheel in the up and down direction. Since the use of turbine engines radial engines were typically used for a number of aircraft. In a radial motor the cylinders are connected with a master rod. One piston is connected to a master rod in which the crankshaft can be mounted directly. The other pistons are fixed to the rings around the end of the master chain. The reciprocal load described on the piston after the spread and even compressed by all rotations, the master stroke undergoes tremendous stress. The load raises to the 3rd power and the engine speed decreases. The failure of a connecting rod that is usually referred to as a "rod throw," is one of the most common reasons for the catastrophic failure of the engine in aero planes which frequently throws the damaged rod across a piston side and even makes the motor irreparably tired of the rod lubrication failure of a bearing due to a body fatigue. have been published according to stress deformation. All the integrated factors were based to determine the effects and the variance of the static and dynamic stresses of the master rod used in the radial engine by combination impact research on static and dynamic analysis. When the modal analysis decides how the variance in the configuration from the analytics category is performable, the overall speeds, stresses, deviations from various loads, adjust the rotary speed and the speed imported for the master roll are calculated for aluminum alloy & structural steel material.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

R. Ravi, et.al [1] Paper offers with the study of exploring the load and price reduction but we’ve got taken the forged steel as the comparison for the material which is better and how to deal with substances in connecting rod. The paper has undergone detailed evaluation of joining pole through dynamic examination of linking bar considered. In the analysis of 1st part, it offers with the observe of static load analysis and materials which is chosen after which taken into account and by using maintaining the production as taken into account. Then in this paper it deals with layout of connecting rod through “CATIA” than the connecting rod is imported to ANSYS work-bench and analysis performed on this paper. Results are acquired by comparing experimental results.

Garima Chaudhary et.al [2] Radial motor displays have long been used in aviation where the radial motor uses very less energy compared to and inadequate output in contrast with other motors. Then utter engine output was transformed in the present turbine engines. They substitute the motor and its output with this paper. A 5-cylinder MOKI-S is tested and checked through the FEA project. The engine’s construction is carried out using CATIA V5 and ANSYS obtains a safety factor.

Srikanth Kumar et.al [3] This illustrates the function and use of the radial engine with respect to the master rod, while the piston is mounted with a master rod with an instant crankshaft connection. The remaining pistons are connected to the bottom of the master round by their connecting rods. 4-stroke radials have an unusual number of cylinder rows per row, so that the order of the fire piston can be preserved in a consistent way, so as to promote activity as the different documents the layout is built in PRO-E, the study in ANSYS 13.0 is done.

3. METHODOLOGY

The sequence of methodology is listed below:

- Problem formulation and identification through literature survey from various ASME journal, Wikipedia and websites collected towards the present research topic.
- Understanding the working principle of the master rod used in radial engine and reasons for its failure.
• Modelling of master rod of different geometry in CATIA V5 R20.
• Static structural analysis in ANSYS 14.5 workbench carried out to determine structural strength of the master rod.
• Fatigue analysis of master rod of radial engine in ANSYS 14.5 under different boundary conditions carried out to determine the failure life of master rod.
• Selection of materials for the master rod.
• Applying the material property for the master rod.
• Comparing the materials and showing that which material is best for the master rod.
• Comparisons and validations of the results and improve the fatigue life.

4. DESIGN OF THE MASTER ROD

• Span of the connecting rod.
• Radius of the hole and diameters of crank end and piston end.
• Diameter 4-5 dimensions should be noted.
• Length of the rod should be noted and length between crank end and piston end should be noted.
• Finally, all the steps should be followed.
• All dimensions are importing in CATIA V5 R20.
• Design should be made according to the dimensions of the assumption made in the given engine.

Table 1: Parameters of master rod

| Parameters of the Master Rod | Thickness of the master rod | Width of the section (B=4t) | Height of section (H=5t) | Height of the big end | Height of the small end | Inner diameter of small end | Outer diameter of small end | Inner diameter of big end | Outer diameter of big end |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|                             | 5mm                        | 20mm                        | 25mm                    | 28mm                 | 23mm                    | 24mm                      | 35mm                      | 52mm                     | 69mm                   |

Table 2: Properties of SS A36 and Al Alloy 6061

| Properties | Mechanical Properties | Steel | Al. Alloy |
|------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|
| Density    |                       | 7850 kg/m³ | 2770 kg/m³ |
| Co-efficient of thermal expansion | 1.2e-5/c | 2.3e-5/c |
| Young’s modulus (E) | 2e5Mpa | 2e5Mpa |
| Poisson’s ratio | 0.3 | 0.33 |
| Bulk modulus | 1.66e11pa | 6.9e11pa |
| Shear modulus | 7.692e11pa | 2.669e10pa |
| Tensile yield strength | 250 Mpa | 280 Mpa |
| Compressive yield strength | 250 Mpa | 280 Mpa |
| Tensile ultimate strength | 460 Mpa | 310 Mpa |
| No. of cycle to failure | 1x106 | 1x108 |

Table 3: Boundary conditions and load applied [2]

| Boundary conditions |
|---------------------|
| Standard earth gravity | 9806.6mm/s |
| Fixed support       | -          |
| Rotational velocity | Up to 6000rpm |
| Force 2             | -6764 N    |
| Force               | 6764       |
5. CALCULATION OF MASTER ROD

Thickness of the flange (t) = 5mm.
Width of the section B = 4(t) = 20mm.
Height of the section H = 5(t) = 25mm.
Area of the section A = 11t² = 275mm²

About the- I cross section Moment of inertia about x-x is given by,
\[ I_{x-x} = \frac{1}{12}(Bd^3 - bd^3) = \frac{1}{12}(4t(5t)^3 - 3t(3t)^3) = 34.91t^2 \text{mm}^4 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{12}(4\times5(5\times5)^3 - 3\times5(3\times5)^3) \]
\[ = 21818.75 \text{mm}^4 \]

About the- I cross section Moment of inertia about y-y is given by,
\[ I_{y-y} = \frac{1}{12}(bd^3 - Bd^3) = \frac{1}{12}(2t(4t)^3 + 3t(t)^3) = 10.91t^2 \text{mm}^4 \]
\[ = \frac{1}{12}(2\times5(4\times5)^3 + 3\times5(5)^3) \]
\[ = 6818.75 \text{mm}^4 \]

Therefore, Ratio of \( I_{x-x} \) and \( I_{y-y} \) is given by,
\[ \frac{I_{x-x}}{I_{y-y}} = \frac{34.91t^2}{10.91t^2} = 3.19 \]

Radius of gyration \( K_{xx} \) (K) is given by,
\[ K = \sqrt{\frac{I}{A}} = \sqrt{\frac{34.91t^2}{11t^2}} = 1.78t = 8.9. \]

We know that,
Stroke length = L = 32 mm.
Therefore, crank radius \( r = L/2 = 32/2 = 16 \text{ mm}. \)

\[ n^1 = \frac{L}{R} = \text{length of master rod/crank radius}. \]
\[ = \frac{250}{62.5} = 4 \]

\( w = \text{angular speed} \)
\[ \frac{2\pi N}{60} = \frac{2\pi 1500}{60} = 157.1 \text{ rad/sec} \]

Inertia force of reciprocating parts
\[ F = \frac{1000wPR^2}{g} \cos\theta \cdot \frac{\cos^2\theta}{n^1} \]
\( w = \text{weight of reciprocating parts}. \)
\[ = 2\times9.81 = 19.62N \]
\( r = \text{crank radius} = 16 \text{ mm}. \)
\( \theta = \text{crank angle from dead centre}. \)
\[ = 0 \]
\( g = 9.81\text{m/s}^2 = \text{acceleration due to gravity}. \)
\( v = rw = 9.81\text{m/s} \)
\( F = 15036.8N \)

4.6.2 Total force of connecting rod[2]
\[ F_{c} = F_{p} - F \]
\( F_{p} = \text{Force of piston} = 21800N \) [1]
\( F_{c} = 21800 - 15036.8 \]
\[ F_{c} = 6764N \]

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural Steel

The total deformation for Structural Steel, maximum deformation of 0.09mm and minimum deformation of 0mm.
Maximum stress of 131.28Mpa which is shown in red colour region and minimum stress of 0.04Mpa which is shown in blue colour region. Yield strength of steel material is 250Mpa, working stress is 131.28Mpa nearest or time fewer than the harvest asset of the substantial hence rotational velocity of 6000rpm to radial engine connecting rod is applied by considering the factor of safety within the range of 1.5 to 2.

### Aluminum Alloy

The total deformation for Aluminum Alloy, maximum deformation of 0.33mm and minimum deformation of 0mm.

Maximum stress of 157.71 Mpa shown in red colour region and minimum stress of 0.04Mpa shown in blue colour region. Yield strength of steel material is 380Mpa, working stress is 157.71 Mpa is smaller than the harvest forte of the material hence we can give rotational velocity of 11000 rpm to radial engine connecting rod, considering the factor of safety within the range of 2.4 to 2.8.

### Structural Steel

Graph 1: Deformation v/s Rotational velocity
The variation of fatigue life for rotational velocity for Al and steel represented in graph 5. It is observed that fatigue life of Al is more when compared to steel material. The variation of factor of safety for rotational velocity for Al and steel represented in graph 6. It is observed that factor of safety for Al is more when compared to steel material. By this positive result, it is observed that Al material have higher fatigue strength and structural strength than.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The static analysis maximum equivalent stress distribution in radial engine connecting rod is 131.28Mpa. The maximum stress occurred at crank end and yield strength of steel material is 250Mpa, working stress is 131.28Mpa, which is much less than the yield strength of the material hence rotational velocity of 6000rpm to radial engine connecting rod is applied by considering the factor of
safety within the range of 1.5 to 2, once increased in rotational velocity above 6000rpm for steel, connecting rod will lead to fail, hence maximum rotational velocity should be within 6000rpm for steel material.

The static analysis the equivalent stress distribution in radial engine connecting rod is 157.71 Mpa. The maximum stress occurred at crank end and hence it need to take care about the thickness of the crank end, yield strength of aluminum alloy is 280Mpa, working stress is 157.71 Mpa is lesser than the yield strength of the material hence providing rotational velocity of 11000 rpm to radial engine connecting rod, considering the factor of safety within the range of 2.4 to 2.8, once it increased rotational velocity above 11000 rpm for aluminum alloy, connecting rod will lead to fail, hence maximum rotational velocity should be within 11000 rpm for aluminum material.

The total deformation distribution in radial engine connecting rod is 0.09mm. Minimum deformation is 0mm, because at the fixed end geometry does not allow to deform similarly for aluminum maximum deformation of 0.33mm and minimum deformation of 0mm. Fatigue life 1.19x10^5 cycles was observed in the geometry, it clearly shown in red colour region at crank end and maximum fatigue life 1x10^6 and similarly for aluminum Fatigue life 2.94x10^5 cycles and maximum fatigue life 1x10^8. Aluminium Alloy has 59.52% more fatigue life and strength than structural steel.
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