Torpedo maculopathy: A case report
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Abstract
We aimed to report a rare case with torpedo maculopathy (TM). A 61 years old man admitted to our clinic with complain of the loss of central vision in his left eye for two months. Ocular and systemic medical history was unremarkable. His visual acuities were 20/20 in the right eye and 14/20 in the left eye without any pathology in the biomicroscopic examination. Fundus examination revealed a flat, hypopigmented, fusiform chorioretinal lesion with well-defined margins located at the temporal sector of the macular region and next to fovea in the right eye and foveal retina pigment epithelium (RPE) alterations in the left eye. TM was diagnosed based on the characteristic view and the location of the lesion. As TM is hypopigmented congenital nevus of the RPE, it should be differentiated from some pigment-related disorders such as choroidal melanoma and nevus, congenital hypertrophy of RPE and the congenital pigmented lesions having the potential of malignancy as in Gardner's syndrome.

Introduction
Torpedo maculopathy (TM), a rare benign disorder is characterized by hypopigmented congenital nevus of retina pigment epithelium (RPE) located along the horizontal raphe without significant vision loss[1,2]. Its synonyms are solitary hypopigmented nevus, amelanotic nevus, hypomelanotic or albinotic nevi of RPE, solitary amelanotic spot, congenital hypomelanotic freckle, paramacular albinotic spot syndrome and paramacular coloboma [1-8]. As there is no sufficient data on the epidemiology of TM, it has not been known that its incidence and demographic properties such as gender and race. Here, we report a case with TM because it is a rare disorder.

Case report
A 61 years old male admitted to our university hospital with the complaint of central vision loss in her left eye for a period of two months. He had no remarkable medical history of any systemic and ocular disease. On initial examination, his corrected visual acuity was 20/20 in the right eye and 14/20 in the left eye. The intraocular pressure was 14 mmHg in both eyes. Direct and indirect light reflexes were intact, without a relative afferent pupillary defect. The anterior segment examination and extraocular movements were normal. Fundus examination revealed a flat, hypopigmented, fusiform chorioretinal lesion with well-defined margins and a tip pointing toward the fovea located at the temporal portion of the macular region and next to fovea in the right eye and foveal RPE alterations in the left eye (Figure 1A, B). The lesion had dimensions of horizontally 2.43 mm × vertically 1.23 mm and involved the temporal half of fovea. Humphrey Visual field perimeter showed a small paracentral scotoma corresponding to the temporal portion of the macular lesion in the right eye. Fundus fluorescein angiogram (FFA) showed hypofluorescence at nasal one third part of lesion and hyperfluorescence at temporal two third part of lesion, located at the temporal half of fovea in the right eye. Late stage angiograms revealed no any changes in the same eye (Figure 1C, E). The FFA of the left eye showed focal RPE window defects in the superonasal to optic disc (Figure 1D, F). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) revealed choroidal hyperreflectivity and thinning of RPE at whole lesion region and choroidal excavation in temporal half of the lesion in the right eye while OCT revealed parafoveal mild thinning of retina (Figure 2). Torpedo maculopathy was diagnosed based on the characteristic view and the location of the lesion. In systemic

Figure 1. Color fundus images (A, B) and early (C, E) and late phase (D, F) angiograms of the both eyes.
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examinations, no pathological finding was obtained. The neurology consultation and computerized brain tomography for scotomas did not reveal any pathological finding.

Conclusion

Torpedo maculopathy is firstly described by Roseman and Gass as a focal unilateral congenital abnormality of the RPE [1]. It is usually detected as an accidental finding. It seems as hypopigmented macular lesion with well-defined margins and a torpedo-like tip pointing toward the foveola at the temporal portion of macula in single focus in single eye [1-8]. Patients with TM are asymptomatic, and have normal visual acuity. However, due to photoreceptor loss at the region of macular lesion, paracentral scotoma on visual field perimetry, corresponding to the localization of the lesion may be detected [2,8,11,12]. In our case, Humphrey Visual field perimetry showed a paracentral scotoma corresponding to the temporal portion of the macular lesion in the right eye. Interestingly, our case had complain of the loss of central vision in his left eye but not right eye whereas TM was in the right eye. So, we accidentally detected the lesion in the right eye.

Although the etiology of TM is unknown, some investigators have several hypotheses on this disorder. Pian et al. have hypothesized that it is a developmental defect in the nerve fiber layer at the horizontal raphe due to the differentiation deficiency of the ocular tissues in the postnatal period [5]. Golchet et al. have considered that it results from a defect in the normal vascular development of choroid [2]. Shields et al. have speculated that it might be related to the congenital persistent defect in RPE embryogenesis or development defect in the fetal temporal bulge because of the uniform location and size of the lesion [6].

The diagnosis of this disorder is clinically made on the basis of characteristic torpedo-like shape and location of the lesion [1-7]. However, multimodal imaging including FFA, OCT, and fundus autofluorescence might also be useful for diagnosis and differential diagnosis. The FFA can reveal a transmission hyperfluorescence or RPE window defect in lesion region [2,4,8]. In FFA, we observed a transmission hyperfluorescence at nasal two third part of lesion and hypofluorescence at temporal one third part of lesion, without any changes in the late phases in the right eye. This hypofluorescence may be related the region of choroidal excavation or choroidal hyperreflectivity in OCT. OCT can reveal retinal thinning, increased choroidal reflectance or RPE hydroporrectivity, and shallow serous neurosensory detachment and subretinal cleft [9-12]. In a recent study on OCT findings in torpedo maculopathy, two patterns of abnormality as type 1 and 2 were identified Wong et al. Type 1 has normal inner retina, attenuation of
interdigitation zone and ellipsoid zone in outer retina, without outer retinal cavitation. Type 2 has normal inner retina, thinning of outer nuclear layer, loss of interdigitation zone and ellipsoid zone in outer retina and outer retinal cavitation +/- inner choroidal excavation [13]. We had detected choroidal excavation, hyperreflectivity and thinning of outer nuclear layer, loss of interdigitation zone and ellipsoid zone in temporal portion of the lesion and RPE thinning in whole lesion region in OCT scans. So, our case might be considered type 2 torpedo maculopathy according to OCT classification defined by Wong et al. [13].

Although TM is a benign congenital nevus of the RPE, it should be differentiated from some pigment-related disorders such as choroidal melanoma and nevus, congenital hypertrophy of RPE (CHRPE) and pigmentary retinal disorders associated with familial polyposis syndromes such as especially Gardner’s syndrome because of their potential of the malignancy. The RPE lesions in Gardner’s syndrome are usually bilateral, smaller, irregularly shaped, solitary and multitudinous located out of the macula [14-16]. Additionally, it can be differentiated from CHRPE with its characteristic aspect as fusiform or torpedo-like shape. The other differential diagnosis for this lesion includes congenital albinotic spots, trauma, drugs, infective or inflammatory chorioretinal scars and atypical Best’s disease. The lesions in these conditions have highly irregular shape and variable location [14-16].
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