Enzyme Kinetics of Organic Contaminant Oxygenations
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Abstract: Enzymatic oxygenations initiate biotransformation processes of many organic soil and water contaminants. Even though many biochemical aspects of oxygenation reactions are well-known, quantifying rates of oxidative contaminant removal as well as the extent of oxygenation remains a major challenge. Because enzymes use different strategies to activate O2, reactions leading to substrate oxygenation are not necessarily limiting the rate of contaminant removal. Moreover, oxygenases react along unproductive pathways without substrate metabolism leading to O2 uncoupling. Here, we identify the critical features of the catalytic cycles of selected oxygenases that determine rates and extents of biodegradation. We focus most specifically on Rieske dioxygenases, a subfamily of mononuclear non-heme ferrous iron oxygenases, because of their ability to hydroxylate unactivated aromatic structures and thus initiate the transformation of the most persistent organic contaminants. We illustrate that the rate-determining steps in their catalytic cycles range from O2 activation to substrate hydroxylation, depending on the extent of O–O cleavage that is required for generating the reactive Fe-oxygen species. The extent of O2 uncoupling, on the other hand, is highly substrate-specific and potentially modulated by adaptive responses to oxidative stress. Understanding the kinetic mechanisms of oxygenases will be key to assess organic contaminant biotransformation quantitatively.
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1. Introduction

Enzymatic oxygenations initiate biotransformation and -degradation processes of a wide range of organic contaminants.[1] Pesticides, pharmaceuticals, fuels, explosives, and numerous other compounds undergo oxygenation in natural environments including soils, groundwaters, and surface waters or in engineered systems such as sewage treatment plants.[1,2] The insertion of oxygen atoms from molecular O2 usually makes organic contaminants more polar and more bioavailable thus enabling further transformation of the oxygenated products in common metabolic pathways.[3–4] Even though such oxygenation reactions are well-known and topics in chemistry textbooks,[1,4,5] quantifying the rates of oxidative contaminant removal as well as the extent of oxygenation remain unresolved challenges. One of those challenges arises from the complex kinetic mechanisms of enzymatic O2 activation and formation of electrophilic oxygen species.
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Enzymes use very different strategies to overcome the thermodynamic and kinetic obstacles associated with the endergonic first electron transfer to O₂ and spin restriction for reactions of the O₂ triplet ground state. General relationships between the structure and electronic properties of the oxygenated substrates and their reactivity towards enzymatic oxygenation are therefore difficult to obtain. Current evidence suggests that highly recalcitrant compounds including (poly)aromatic hydrocarbons, chlorinated biphenyls, and nitroarenes are oxygenated by transition metal-containing enzymes where O₂ is activated at non-heme ferrous iron centers. Conversely, heme-bound ferrous iron, as found in cytochrome P450,[9,10] appears to be involved primarily in the hydroxylation of aliphatic hydrocarbon and activated aromatic moieties including substituted phenols, methylbenzene, and N-alkyl amines and thus react with the less persistent organic contaminants compared to non-heme iron oxygenases. The same type of reactive moieties can also be hydroxylated by oxygenases where reactive O₂ arises through electron transfer from reduced flavins without any transition metal cofactor.

Knowing the type of oxygenase responsible for organic contaminant transformation is one of several crucial factors for assessing the macroscopically observable rates of oxidative contaminant turnover besides, for example, substrate bioavailability, microbial growth, etc.[11] Moreover, the elementary reactions leading to substrate oxygenation are not necessarily the rate-determining steps of catalytic cycles. In transition metal-containing oxygenases as well as flavin-dependent oxygenases, substrate binding is frequently required for O₂ activation and the reduction of O₂ to superoxo-, peroxy-, or oxo-species often exhibits the highest activation barrier.[11] Such catalytic strategies imply that the rate of contaminant transformation is not determined by the hydroxylation reaction and thus precludes an assessment of contaminant reactivity from the electronic properties of the oxidizable structural moieties of contaminants. Moreover, oxygenases also react along unproductive pathways where the activated oxygen states yield reactive oxygen species without substrate metabolism.[7,8,12] This O₂ uncoupling is studied traditionally to understand the formation and consequences of cytotoxic reactive oxygen species[13] whereas the consequences of O₂ uncoupling for the oxidative turnover of substrate have been only of minor scientific interest.

This overlooked aspect of an oxygenase’s catalytic cycle, however, appears quite relevant for quantifying organic contaminant transformation. While two electrons are almost always supplied through substrate oxidation, another two electrons originate either from the substrate, directly from organic cofactors, or they are supplied through an electron transport chain. In our schematic illustrations of the catalytic cycles in Fig. 1, we deliberately omit chemically relevant details such as Fe oxidation states and the identity of activated oxygen species for simplicity. Those details will be discussed exemplarily in the following chapter.

**Substrate-chelating oxygenases** such as extradiol dioxygenase,[17] isopenicillin N synthase, 2-hydroxyethylphosphonate dioxygenase,[26] and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase[14] carry out four electron oxidations of their substrates. Catalytic cycles of this group of oxygenases are initiated through the chelation of substrate ligands to the catalytic Fe center in the resting state of the enzyme (Fig. 1a). Substrate chelation triggers the binding and activation of O₂ at the metal center. This step is followed by electron transfer between the substrate and oxygen, leading to O–O bond cleavage and, finally, substrate hydroxylation. The resting state is re-established after product release without any external electron source.[17]

**Co-substrate-dependent oxygenases** retrieve two electrons from the substrate and another two electrons from an organic cosubstrate such as 2-oxo acids (α-ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases) or tetrahydropterin (tetrahydropterin-dependent hydroxylases) present in the active site. α-Ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases are involved in the degradation of the herbicide 2-(4-chloro-2-methylphenoxy)propionic acid (mecoprop).[27,28] Fig. 1b shows the catalytic cycle of α-ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases where the catalytic Fe is chelated by the 2-oxo acid in the resting state. The substrate enters the active site before O₂ activation at the metal center but it is not coordinated directly to the catalytic Fe. Activated oxygen species first lead to the decarboxylation of α-ketoglutarate thereby generating a high-valent oxygen species capable of H atom abstraction followed by a rebound of the hydroxyl radical to form the hydroxylated product. The release of succinate and the hydroxylated product and regeneration of α-ketoglutarate return the enzyme to its resting state.

**Rieske dioxygenases** obtain two electrons from the aromatic substrate while two additional electron equivalents from NADH oxidation are supplied by electron transfer proteins via the eponymous Rieske cluster. Analogous to cosubstrate-dependent oxygenases, the organic substrate is not coordinated to the metal center in Rieske dioxygenases (Fig. 1c). The presence of the substrate at the active site is prerequisite for O₂ binding and activation by oxidation of the ferrous iron while a second, external electron is transferred from a [2Fe–2S] Rieske cluster. The ensuing Fe–oxygen species are capable of dihydroxylating unactivated aromatic rings. Further electron transfer via the Rieske cluster to the catalytic Fe and product release are required to re-establish the enzyme’s resting state.
The catalytic cycles of mononuclear non-heme ferrous iron oxygenases shown in Fig. 1 illustrate that the association of the organic substrate with the active site of the enzyme is key to initiate O₂ activation. However, these interactions of the substrate with the catalytic Fe and activated Fe-oxygen species follow different kinetic mechanisms. Several chemically distinct elementary reactions precede organic substrate hydroxylation and could thus contribute to the observable rate of substrate disappearance. As we will discuss in detail in the next section for Rieske dioxygenases, information on the catalytic mechanisms is scarce thus limiting a general interpretation of substrate-specific enzyme activity.

3. Catalytic Cycles and Kinetic Mechanisms of Rieske Dioxygenases

Rieske dioxygenases catalyze the cis-dihydroxylation of aromatic compounds and are thus particularly relevant for the biodegradation of persistent organic contaminants. The various members of the subfamily of Rieske dioxygenases have been classified according to the similarity of their catalytic oxygenase α-subunit and include the naphthalene, benzoate, toluene/biphenyl, and the phthalate subfamilies.[29] The catalytic cycle of Rieske dioxygenases is characterized by the electron transfer between the [2Fe–2S] Rieske cluster and the non-heme mononuclear iron center which are located in two adjacent α-subunits of the oxygenase and connected through an aspartate (Asp) residue (see Fig. 2 for the example of naphthalene dioxygenase, naphthalene-DO[30–33]). In addition to providing electrons for O₂ activation, the oxidation states of the Rieske cluster, that is (fully) oxidized, FeIII–FeIII, and (partially) reduced FeII–FeII, trigger conformational changes and thereby modulate the distance between the catalytic non-heme iron and the substrate and thus the reactivity of activated Fe-oxygen species. Even though Rieske dioxygenases all share these catalytic features, the kinetic mechanisms, especially with regard to the rate-determining steps of the catalytic cycles, seem to vary among different enzymes. While endergonic steps of O₂ activation have been postulated as kinetic bottlenecks for naphthalene-DO, evidence for other Rieske dioxygenases, such as benzoate dioxygenase (benzoate-DO), suggest a rate-determining substrate hydroxylation. A general interpretation of the catalytic mechanisms of Rieske dioxygenases is limited because only few studies describe the overall catalytic cycle comprehensively. Here, we will discuss naphthalene-DO and benzoate-DO as two of these well-documented examples to illustrate the current state-of-knowledge.

3.1 Rate-determining Steps

The resting state of the oxygenase component of naphthalene-DO and its active site structure are characterized by the reduced iron oxidation states. Non-heme FeII is 6-coordinate with two histidines, one bidentate aspartate, and two solvent (water) molecules (Fig. 2, 1).[34,36] The reduced Rieske cluster is in the proximity of the catalytic iron and only this configuration enables substrate binding in the active site pocket.[34,35,37,38] The presence of naphthalene triggers the removal of one water ligand leading to a coordinatively unsaturated, 5-coordinate catalytic FeII which is then available for the side-on binding of O₂ (2).[32,39,40] The following electron transfers from non-heme FeII and the reduced Rieske cluster give rise to activated Fe-oxygen species as FeIII-superoxo (3a), FeV-peroxo (3b), and FeV-oxo species (3c). Oxidation of the Rieske cluster (3a → 3b) is also accompanied by the elimination of another water molecule, a change in the coordination geometry of the catalytic ferrous site, and a decrease of the distance between the catalytic Fe and the substrate.[14,17,34,40,41] Species 3b and 3c are responsible for naphthalene dihydroxylation (4) to the cis-dihydrodiol product and different mechanisms for stepwise vs. concerted hydroxylations have been proposed which we do not show in Fig. 2.[23] The catalytic cycle is completed through the reduction of the non-heme Fe and the Rieske cluster with electron...
The interpretation of a rate-determining Rieske oxidation concomitant with substrate hydroxylation is further supported by observations that the reduction potentials of the catalytic non-heme FeIII is more than 200 mV lower than that of FeIII in the Rieske cluster and that the latter is a less potent reductant.46

3.2 O2 Uncoupling

The extent of oxidative contaminant turnover by Rieske dioxygenases is also limited by unproductive activation of O2 and release as reactive oxygen species. This so-called O2 uncoupling reduces the effective specific activity of oxygenases and complicates the assessment of rates of hydroxylation. Indirect observations of O2 uncoupling through oxidative stress responses towards oxygenase activity illustrate the prevalence of the phenomenon47,48 but very few studies have quantified uncoupling of Rieske dioxygenases systematically. The data in Table 1 illustrate the substrate specificity of the extent of uncoupling ranging from non-detectable levels to complete uncoupling of the activated O2.49 Native substrates are hypothesized to show the lowest degree of O2 uncoupling. In fact, for the oxygenation of naphthalene by naphthalene-DO, biphenyl by biphenyl-dioxygenase (biphenyl-DO), and dibenzofuran by dibenzofuran-dioxygenase (dibenzoferan-DO), uncoupling is 0%, 3%, and 17%, respectively (Table 1). These moderate contributions of nonproductive O2 activation for the oxygenation of naphthalene by naphthalene-DO, dibenzofuran by dibenzofuran-dioxygenase, and benzene and toluene by naphthalene-dioxygenase are limited by unproductive activation of O2 and release as reactive oxygen species.

In the context of contaminant biodegradation, however, where contaminants are often present as mixtures of structurally related compounds, this interpretation could be overly simplistic and not
account for adaptive responses to oxidative stress. Faulty oxygenations induce mutations and exert a selective pressure that could potentially accelerate the evolution of enzymes with an adapted substrate range as shown for Rieske dioxygenases.[48] For example, incubations with 3-nitrotoluene isomers as substrates for 2-nitrotoluene-2,3-dioxygenase of Acidovorax sp. strain JS42 induced mutations in the gene encoding the α-subunit even after short exposure times.[50] Those mutations of the enzymes enhanced the affinities and catalytic efficiencies towards the non-native substrate. Unfortunately, none of these works included a quantification of the extent of O2 uncoupling.

4. Rate-Determining Steps and O2 Uncoupling in Reactions of Other Non-heme Ferrous Iron Oxygenases

The principles for the interpretations of the catalytic cycles shown above for Rieske dioxygenases apply in a similar manner to substrate-chelating and cosubstrate-dependent non-heme ferrous iron oxygenases. Formation of higher-valent Fe-oxygen species in Rieske dioxygenases requires a larger degree of O–O bond cleavage prior to substrate hydroxylation thus making the O2 activation contribute more prominently to the rate-determining steps of substrate transformation. By contrast, stepwise dioxygenations initiated from FeIII-superoxo-species allow for a concurrent O2 activation and substrate hydroxylation to be rate-determining. While a comprehensive evaluation of the catalytic cycles of non-heme ferrous iron oxygenases is beyond the scope of this work, in the following we discuss examples for substrate-chelating enzymes to illustrate some of the current methodologies used for unravelling the kinetic complexity of their reactions and to compare the limited quantitative evidence for O2 uncoupling.

The oxygenation of 2-hydroxyethylphosphonate by 2-hydroxyethylphosphonate dioxygenase and methylphosphonate synthase and the ensuing C–C bond cleavage provide four electrons for the reduction of O2 without exogenous reductant.[26,56] To probe for the timing of O2 activation to FeIII-superoxo species vs. the initial H atom abstraction from the substrate, Zhu et al.[56] evaluated a combination of substrate and co-substrate isotope effects. The magnitude of the 18O-kinetic isotope effects (KIE) provided evidence for the changes of O–O bonding up to the rate-limiting step of O2 activation.[57,58] Experiments with unlabeled and 2H-labelled substrate allowed for an assessment of the kinetic contribution of the H atom abstraction reaction in the kinetic mechanism. Because 18O-KIEs were sensitive to the 2H label, the authors concluded that the initial H atom abstraction from 2-hydroxyethylphosphonate happened either prior to or concomitant with O2 reduction to the FeIII-superoxo species. This example suggests that the study of isotope effects in oxygenation reactions, which are done to elucidate contaminant biodegradation,[59] could also provide valuable information on the kinetic mechanisms of organic contaminant oxygenations.

Selected examples shown in Table 1 show that the phenomenon of O2 uncoupling is also found in substrate-chelating and cosubstrate-dependent oxygenases and that its extent is again substrate-specific. Similar to Rieske dioxygenases, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase (ACCO) oxidizes its native substrates most efficiently, whereas structurally similar substrates

| Enzyme                  | Substrate            | Uncoupling | Ref.  |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|-------|
| Rieske dioxygenases     |                      |            |       |
| Naphthalene-DO          | naphthalene          | 0%         | [51]  |
|                         | benzene              | 40–50%     |       |
|                         | naphthalene          | 0%         | [52]  |
|                         | toluene              | 30%        |       |
| Biphenyl-DO             | biphenyl             | 3%         | [53]  |
|                         | 2,2'-dichlorobiphenyl| 66%        |       |
|                         | 3,3'-dichlorobiphenyl| 37%        |       |
|                         | 4,4'-dichlorobiphenyl| 52%        |       |
| Dibenzofuran-DO         | dibenzofuran         | 17%        | [49]  |
|                         | naphthalene          | 100%       |       |
| Substrate-chelating oxygenases |                |            |       |
| ACCB                    | ACC                  | 15–31%     | [54]  |
|                         | ACC-NH2              | 77%        |       |
|                         | glycine              | 95%        |       |
| Cosubstrate-dependent oxygenases |              |            |       |
| Phenylalanine-hydroxylase | phenylalanine     | 3%         | [55]  |
|                         | 4-chlorophenylalanine| 49%        |       |

*aRatio of H2O2 formed to O2 consumed; *1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase; *1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid; *1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxamide.
such as the carboxamide cause significant uncoupling. The uncoupling behavior of tetrahydropterin-dependent phenylalanine hydroxylase is particularly interesting because this physiologically and evolutionarily ancient oxygenase is rarely exposed to alternative substrates. Indeed, phenylalanine causes almost no uncoupling whereas the presence of 4-chloro-substituted phenylalanine leads to O$_2$ uncoupling of 49%.

5. Conclusions and Outlook

This compilation of the kinetic mechanisms pertinent to the catalytic cycles of mononuclear non-heme ferrous iron oxygenases shows that knowledge of the rate-limiting steps and the extent of O$_2$ uncoupling is critical to understand rates and extents of organic contaminant biotransformation and -degradation. Even though mechanistic studies in enzymology performed over the last decades provide detailed descriptions of the catalytic cycles, information for assessing organic contaminant biotransformation by oxygenases is scarce.

Current knowledge for contaminant oxygenation by Rieske dioxygenases including naphthalene-, nitrobenzene-, and several nitrotoluene-dioxygenases shows that these enzymes exhibit a broad substrate spectrum. Unfortunately, experimental and computational data for contaminating oxygenases do not allow for inferences of the kinetic mechanisms of these oxygenases and the relevance of O$_2$ activation vs. substrate hydroxylation reaction is unknown. Further work on enzymatic contaminant oxygenation should therefore include systematic kinetic analyses of a wider range of structurally related substrates and organic contaminants in combination with the study of substrate and cosubstrate isotope effects such as shown for selected examples above.

In fact, recent work on substrate isotope effects associated with the oxygenation of organic compounds by flavin-dependent monooxygenases and Rieske dioxygenases revealed variable contributions of substrate hydroxylation to the rate-determining steps of a catalytic cycle. Future studies should also be accompanied by the quantification of substrate-specific O$_2$ uncoupling to account for the evolutionary responses to oxidative stress.
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