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Abstract

An investigation was carried out to study the soil physico-chemical properties of the sweet orange orchards in YSR district of Andhra Pradesh. To prosecute this investigation, fifty sweet orange orchards aged between 12 to 13 years were selected and soil samples were collected from these orchards at 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm depth. Soil reaction of study area varied widely. Majority of the surface soils samples (72%) were mildly alkaline, but at 30-60 cm depth 48% were strongly alkaline, 38% were moderately alkaline and 14% were mildly alkaline in pH. All the orchards were non-saline in nature. The study area soils were low in organic carbon at surface and sub-surface and decreased with increasing depth. About 70% of surface samples were moderately calcareous, 24% were non-calcareous and 6% were strongly calcareous, but in sub-surface, 74% were strongly calcareous, 24% were moderately calcareous and 6% were non-calcareous. The cation exchange capacity of the surface soils ranged from 24.02 to 64.74 cmol(p+)kg⁻¹, with a mean value of 43.84 cmol(p+)kg⁻¹ and the sub-surface soils varied from 8.74 to 57.69 cmol(p+)kg⁻¹, with a mean value of 38.59 cmol(p+)kg⁻¹.

Keywords
Sweet orange, pH, EC, OC, CEC, CaCO₃, YSR district.

Introduction

Sweet orange (Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck) is one of the most important commercial citrus cultivars of India having significant nutritional source for human health as they contain more of minerals and vitamins (Gallasch et al., 1984; Breeling, 1971).

Most of the fruits are consumed as fresh, while some portion is used in the form of squashes, juices and drinks. Sweet orange fruits form an essential commercial commodity for several industries and possess immense economic value. In India, sweet oranges are grown mainly in the states of Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Punjab, Karnataka and parts of North – East region with an area of 2.78 lakh hectares and 45.26 lakh tones (Horticultural Statistics at a Glance, 2015).

In Andhra Pradesh, the chief sweet orange production areas are Prakasam, YSR, Ananthapur and SPSR Nellore districts with an area of nearly 0.94 lakh ha and production
of 13.16 lakh tonnes during 2014–15 (Horticultural Statistics at a Glance, 2015). In YSR district, area under sweet orange is 0.11 lakh ha with production of 1.54 lakh Mt (CPO, YSR district, 2015).

In YSR district sweet orange is cultivating in a variety of soils ranging from red loamy sands/sandy loams to black clay loams/sandy clay loams under semi-arid monsoonic climate with distinct summer, winter and rainy seasons with mean annual temperature of 27-35°C and rainfall of 700-800 mm (Fig. 1), but the information regarding to their physico-chemical properties is meager, hence the present investigation was taken up.

Materials and Methods

For studying the physico-chemical properties of the sweet orange growing soils of the YSR district, during 2014, fifty sweet orange orchards aged between 12 to 13 years were selected (Fig. 2) in different mandals and in each orchard, two pits were dug at random and composite soil samples were separately collected at two depths viz., 0 – 30 and 30 – 60 cm with geo reference by taking location co-ordinates and processed for analysis.

Soil pH was determined in 1:2.5 soil water suspension using digital pH meter (Jackson, 1973). EC was determined in supernatant solution of soil: water suspension (1:2.5) using digital direct read conductivity meter, and expressed in dS m⁻¹ (Jackson, 1973).

CEC was determined by the ammonium acetate displacement method (Bower et al., 1952). The free calcium carbonate content of the soil was determined by treating the soil with a known volume of standard HCl and back titrating the unused acid with standard alkali using bromothymol blue as an indicator (Piper, 1968). Organic carbon was determined by the method given by Walkley and Black wet oxidation (1934). Results were analyzed in SPSS 20.0 using Pearson correlation coefficient matrix to know the significant variations among the soil physico-chemical properties. Range, mean and standard deviation were calculated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, WA, USA) spread sheet.

Results and Discussion

Soil pH

From the data presented in the table 1 and depicted in figure 3, the pH of the soils samples ranged from 7.53 to 8.62 and 7.62 to 9.20 at 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm, respectively. Out of these samples, 72% of the samples were mildly alkaline, 16% were moderately alkaline and 12% were strongly alkaline at 0-30 cm and at 30-60 cm, 48% were strongly alkaline, 38% were moderately alkaline and 14% were mildly alkaline in pH (Fig. 4).

The soils of sweet orange orchards of study area indicated that pH of the surface soil (0-30 cm) was low as compared to that of sub-surface soils (30-60 cm). Similar results were reported by Yasmin et al., (2015) and Surwase et al., (2016).

The lower pH of surface soil might be due to the presence of more amount of organic matter, and release of organic acids during its decomposition (Chandrasekhara Reddy and Narasimha Rao, 1990).

Citrus was performed well in soils at pH range of 6.5 to 9.0 (Wander, 1952) and also at a range of 5.5 to 7.5 (Chapman 1960).

Electrical conductivity (EC)

The electrical conductivity of the soil samples varied from 0.14 to 1.18 dS m⁻¹, with a mean value of 0.35 dS m⁻¹ at 0-30 cm and at 30 to 60 cm it ranged from 0.12 to 0.85 dS m⁻¹, with a mean value of 0.32 dS m⁻¹ (Table 1 and Fig. 3).
Fig. 1 Average monthly rainfall and temperature of the study area for 2015 and 2016.
Fig. 2 Map showing sampled sites in the different mandals of YSR district
**Fig. 3** Mean values of soil physico-chemical properties of the sweet orange growing orchards in YSR district

**Fig. 4** Distribution of pH in the sweet orange growing soils of the study area
Fig. 5 Distribution of CaCO$_3$ in the sweet orange growing soils of the study area

Table 1 Soil physico-chemical properties of the sweet orange growing orchards in YSR district

| Parameter         | Total samples | 0 – 30 cm |   | 30 – 60 cm |   |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|---|------------|---|
|                   | Range         | Mean      | SD | Range      | Mean | SD |
| pH                | 50            | 7.53 - 8.62 | 0.29 | 7.62 - 9.20 | 8.44  | 0.42 |
| EC (dS m$^{-1}$)  | 50            | 0.14 - 1.18 | 0.21 | 0.12 - 0.85 | 0.32  | 0.20 |
| CEC (cmol(p$^+$)kg$^{-1}$) | 50     | 24.02 - 64.74 | 10.01 | 8.74 - 57.69 | 38.59  | 11.42 |
| CaCO$_3$ (%)      | 50            | 1.00 - 18.50 | 2.96 | 3.00 - 36.50 | 13.27  | 6.13 |
| OC (%)            | 50            | 0.07 - 0.50 | 0.097 | 0.01 - 0.39 | 0.21  | 0.09 |
Slightly high EC was observed at surface than sub-surface, it might be owing to: 1. irrigating the soil with high EC possessing irrigation water. 2. May be due to semi-arid climate of the study area. Under such climatic conditions coupled with drip irrigation – as it supply limited moisture owing to restricted hours of power supply, especially during summer months – the salts move to surface from the deeper layers due to evaporation pull, hence the sweet orange growing surface soils of study area indicates slightly high EC. 3. As the study area reeled under very low rainfall than normal during 2014 – 15, under such conditions use of deep ground water with loads of salts also added the salts to the surface and it increased the EC.

However, all the orchards were non-saline in nature as the mean EC was less than 1.0 dS m\(^{-1}\). Kanwar and Randhawa (1961) proposed that EC should not be more than 0.5 dS m\(^{-1}\), otherwise the growth of citrus could be adversely affected.

Organic carbon (OC)

The organic carbon content of the sweet orange growing soils of the study area ranged from 0.07 to 0.50 per cent in surface (0-30 cm) soils and 0.01 to 0.39 per cent in sub-surface (30-60 cm) soils with average values of 0.34 per cent and 0.21 per cent at surface and sub-surface, respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

The study area soils were low in organic carbon at surface and sub-surface layers. Organic carbon content was high in 0-30 cm soil than the 30-60 cm soil, the organic carbon content decreased with increasing depth, it might be due to accumulation of organic carbon was more in surface layer as compared to that of lower layers because organic matter additions were confined to surface layer in sweet orange growing soils. Similar results were reported by Bhargavarami Reddy et al., (2013), Yasmin et al., (2015) and Surwase et al., (2016).

Cation exchange capacity (CEC)

The cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the surface soils ranged from 24.02 to 64.74 cmol(p\(^{+}\))kg\(^{-1}\), with mean value of 43.84 cmol(p\(^{+}\))kg\(^{-1}\) and the sub-surface soils varied from 8.74 to 57.69 cmol(p\(^{+}\))kg\(^{-1}\), with mean value of 38.59 cmol(p\(^{+}\))kg\(^{-1}\) (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

Surface soils reported high CEC values than the sub-surface soils, it is established fact that the cation exchange capacity of soil depends upon the amount and nature of the clay and organic matter content. Owing to the high organic matter and significant amount of clay content in the surface soil, the CEC recorded higher values than the sub-surface. Similar results were reported by Singh and Lai (1968), Mohekar (1999) and Yadav and Meena (2009).

Calcium carbonate (CaCO\(_3\))

The calcium carbonate content of soil showed a varied from 1.00 to 18.50 per cent and 3.00 to 36.50 per cent with mean values of 5.77 per cent and 13.27 per cent in surface and sub-surface soils, respectively (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

About 24% of the surface soils were non-calcareous, 70% were moderately calcareous and 6% were strongly calcareous, but in sub-surface, 6% were non-calcareous, 24% were moderately calcareous and 74% were strongly calcareous (Fig. 5).

The content of calcium carbonate was less in surface soil (0-30 cm) than in sub-surface soil (30-60 cm). The increase in calcium carbonate with depth had also been reported
by Jagdish Prasad et al., (2001), Yasmin et al., (2015) and Surwase et al., (2016). The increase of calcium carbonate in the lower horizon might be due to calcification, leaching of calcium carbonate and inheritance from parent material.

In conclusion, the study area soil reaction varied widely, it ranged from mildly alkaline to strongly alkaline, the soils were non-saline in nature as the EC of these soils was far below 4.0 dS m$^{-1}$. About 24% of the surface soils were non-calcareous, 70% were moderately calcareous and 6% were strongly calcareous, but in sub-surface, 6% were non-calcareous, 24% were moderately calcareous and 74% were strongly calcareous.
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