Influence of Ultraviolet Light and Ultrasound Irradiation on the Physicochemical, Sensory and Microbiological Characteristics of Pear Jam Enriched with Fibers
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Abstract: The ultraviolet light and ultrasound irradiation present a green approach to non-thermal conservation in food processing. This research aimed to investigate the effect of ultraviolet light and ultrasound irradiation on the fiber-enriched pear fruit jam’s physicochemical properties, sensory profile, and microbiological characteristics. The moisture, ash, pH, titrable acidity, sugar content, energy value, heavy metals were determined in the samples. The sensory and microbiological characteristics were also evaluated in pear jams. It was found that the treatment of ultraviolet light and ultrasound irradiation caused minimal changes in pH, titrable acidity, dry matter, and sucrose content. The sensory characteristics (color, odor, and taste) also did not alter significantly during treatment with ultraviolet light and ultrasound irradiation. The values of other sensory characteristics as graininess, elasticity, and juiciness showed better acceptance for jam treated with ultrasound irradiation. The pear jam's lowest microbial growth level was observed after ultraviolet light and ultrasonic irradiations for 10 minutes in polypropylene packaging and 15 minutes in glass packaging, respectively. However, ultrasonic irradiation demonstrated a more effective overall bactericidal effect in polypropylene packaging, while ultraviolet light showed better results in a glass packaging pear jam.
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1. Introduction

Fruit jam is a semi-solid food product prepared by boiling fruit pulp at a temperature of 95-100 °C. Jam can be made from fresh, frozen, chilled, sulfited, or dried fruits. The whole fruit or pulp processing into jam leads to changes in color, texture, and volatile profile [1-3].

The use of ultraviolet light is an innovative non-thermal technology for minimal food processing that improves food safety, nutrient content, appearance and extends the shelf life of products [4,5]. The application of ultraviolet light can be an alternative method to thermal processes that can decrease pathogenic microorganisms in food [6]. Short wave ultraviolet light (UV-C) has some advantages over other non-thermal methods, such as the absence of toxic residues, low energy consumption, and low operating costs [7]. According to some authors, the use of shortwave ultraviolet light (UV-C) leads to minimal changes in the nutritional and quality characteristics and significant inactivation of pathogenic microorganisms in some liquid foods such as carambola watermelon and pomegranate juice [8-10]. However, the
treatment with ultraviolet light can adversely affect the composition of food because several harmful photochemical reactions can be activated after the formation of free radicals, which can decrease the number of valuable nutrients [11]. It has been found that treatment with ultraviolet light can change the taste of citrus fruits, reduce the content of carotene, as well as reduce the content of vitamins A and C in all fruits and vegetable juices [12], especially vitamin C in apple and carrot juice, from 30 to 40% and from 18 to 25%, respectively [13]. However, after irradiation of mango juice with ultraviolet light (UV-C) for a period of 15 to 60 minutes, no significant change in physicochemical parameters - pH, total soluble solids (Brix), and titratable acidity [14]. Moreover, most food products' physicochemical and sensory properties remain almost unchanged after treatment with ultraviolet light in reasonable doses [15]. Besides, treatment with ultraviolet light results in minimal loss of the food's nutritional and sensory quality and does not result in any toxic effects or residues associated with ultraviolet treatment [16].

Another approach is the application of ultrasonic irradiation. Ultrasound treatment is one of the many non-thermal techniques for preserving food products such as fruit juices. Experiments have shown that ultrasound inhibits microorganisms' growth and inactivates enzymes in orange and red grape juice [17]. The use of ultrasonic power in food technology can improve reaction conditions by lowering the temperature and reducing the processing time [18]. The use of ultrasonic processing with high intensity and low frequency (16-100kHz) generates mechanical acoustic waves, which generate oscillating cycles of compression and dilution in a liquid medium. During these compression cycles, the gas bubbles that are initially present in the liquid medium grow, leading to critical size and collapse, i.e., cavitation [19]. It was found that ultrasound treatment did not significantly affect physicochemical parameters - pH and total soluble solids in fruit puree of physalis [20]. The increasing duration of ultrasonic treatment on syrup caused a minimal reduction in active acidity (pH), total soluble solids, and the absence of statistically significant changes in titratable acidity [21]. The increase in sucrose content was detected after ultrasound irradiation in the cantaloupe juice and a syrup composed of pine honey, apple cider vinegar, and sterilized water [21,22]. This could be explained by an increase in the efficiency of extraction by ultrasonic treatment, destroying plant tissues and cell walls. As a result, more water enters the cells, and more soluble solids can penetrate through the cell membranes [23].

Until now, the investigation on the effect of ultrasonic waves and ultraviolet light as a non-thermal approach for conservation on peach jam enriched with fibers is limited. Its application has a beneficial effect on food structure and improves the health stats of products. Dietary fiber prevents syneresis, improves the structure and sensory properties of food [24], can reduce the energy density of foods [25], lowers blood glucose and cholesterol levels, as well as protecting against colon cancer [26].

The present study aimed to investigate the effect of ultraviolet light and ultrasound irradiation on the physicochemical properties, sensory profile, and microbiological characteristics of fiber-enriched pear fruit jam.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Production of fruit jam from pears enriched with fiber.

Cellulose fibers (15.0 g) were mixed with aspartame (0.60 g), potassium sorbate (0.27 g), 340.0 g of pear pulp (56.6% from variety Santa Maria) in a 1000 ml beaker, and then 600 g
distilled water was added. The mixture was stirred and boiled to 10% Brix. Then a mixture of 6.0 g of slow-gelling sodium alginate and 4.80 g of low-esterified amidated pectin was added, and the residue was washed with 20-30 g of distilled water and stirred. The sample was concentrated to 12% Brix. The losses from water evaporation are 16.87%. The gelling process was performed by adding 7% calcium lactate pentahydrate for 20 hours at room temperature. The pear jam was then dried on kitchen paper to remove excess calcium salt that was not included in jelly formation. According to Regulation №1924/2006 [27], the pear jam obtained before the technological treatment with ultraviolet light and ultrasound is a low-energy product (35.86 kcal) and a source of fiber (4.94%).

2.2. Technological processing of fruit jam from pears.

The type and time of technological processing of fruit jam from pears were selected based on the maximum degree of preservation of biologically active components (antioxidants and total phenols) compared to the control based on our unpublished research. The smallest degree of reduction of structural-mechanical values related to the final product's strength was identified in a previous study [28]. Ultraviolet treatment of the product was performed using an ultraviolet sterilizer - Towel Warmer model: JY-502 with a power of 200W. The produced pear jam was irradiated with ultraviolet light with a wavelength of 254 nm (UV-C) without heating for 10 minutes. The ultrasonic irradiation (US) of the fruit jam was done in an ultrasonic bath - Siel Gabrovo UST 5.7-150 with a power of 300W with a 36 kHz frequency for 20 minutes. In the technological processing of the obtained pear jam enriched with cellulose fibers, transparent colorless glass packages were used.

2.3. Physicochemical analysis of pear jam.

Active acidity (pH) was determined according to ISO 750:1998(E). [29]. Titratable acidity was evaluated by the titration method with 0.1 M NaOH [30]. Dry matter and moisture were determined by drying the sample to the constant weight at 105°C [31]. The total ash was evaluated by igniting the sample in a muffle furnace at 550 °C [32]. The HPLC-RID method evaluated the sucrose content with a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min [33]. Total reducing sugars were evaluated by the spectrophotometric method [33]. Determination of the energy value of pear jam was performed according to BDS ISO 1871: 2014; BDS 5439: 1985. and Regulation № 1169 of 2011 [34,35].

2.4. Determination of metals in pear fruit jam.

All heavy metals were determined in the laboratory - Pisanets, Plovdiv, Republic of Bulgaria. The values of heavy metals (copper, zinc, lead, cadmium, and chromium) determined using colorimetric validated methods (VLM 034-copper, VLM 035-zinc, VLM 032 - lead, VLM 033-cadmium, VLM 038 - chromium) according to EN ISO 11885 [36].

2.5. Sensory evaluation.

Sensory evaluation of pear fruit jam was performed by applying sensory descriptive analysis according to ISO 6658: 2017 [26]. A panel performed the sensory analysis consisted of 7 previously taught panelists (3 men and 4 women) aged between 33 to 56 years from the Department - Food Technology at the Institute of Food Canning and Quality - Plovdiv, Bulgaria. The panelists evaluated two fruit jam samples from pears enriched with fiber but with
different technological processing - irradiation with ultraviolet light and ultrasound, respectively. The product's evaluation comprised certain sensory characteristics: appearance, aroma, taste, aftertaste, and texture. The evaluation of the samples was done by using a 10-point scale (1-10), where 9-10 = excellent; 7-8 = very good; 5-6 = good; 3-4 = average; 1-2 = poor/unacceptable [37]. The more pronounced a given sensory characteristic of the pear jam, the higher the rating was obtained.

2.6. Microbiological analysis.

To evaluate the microbiological safety of pear fruit jam, molds, yeasts, and a total number of mesophilic aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria have been identified. Microbial contamination was determined based on technological treatment's influence with ultraviolet light and ultrasound simultaneously (10, 15, and 20 minutes, respectively) and the type of packaging (polypropylene packaging and glass jars). The studied species of microorganisms were evaluated using the following standard methods: BNS EN ISO 21527-2:2011 (for molds and yeasts) and EN ISO 4833-1:2013 (for a total number of mesophilic aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria).

2.7. Statistical analysis.

The values obtained from analyzes were performed and processed with MS Excel 2007 software. The statistical significance of the results was determined by Fisher's test (F-Test Two-Simple for Variances) to test the hypothesis of equality in variances confidence level $\alpha = 0.05$ ($p <0.05$).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physicochemical analysis of pear jam.

The results from the different treatments of pear jam on its physicochemical properties were summarized in Table 1.

| Physicochemical characteristics | Type of treatment | Sample No. | Control | Ultraviolet | Ultrasound |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| Active acidity (pH)             |                   |            | P00     | P01         | P02        |
|                                 |                   |            | 4.84±0.20* | 4.89±0.20* | 4.87±0.20* |
| Titratable acidity (expressed as citric acid %) |                   |            | 0.20±0.01* | 0.19±0.01* | 0.19±0.01* |
| Dry matter (%)                  |                   |            | 13.10±0.66* | 12.96±0.66* | 13.30±0.66* |
| Moisture content (%)            |                   |            | 86.90±0.66* | 87.04±0.66* | 86.70±0.66* |
| Ash (%)                         |                   |            | 0.90±0.05*  | 1.01±0.05*  | 0.92±0.05*  |
| Sucrose (%)                     |                   |            | 0.70±0.05*  | 1.07±0.05*  | 1.66±0.05*  |
| Total sugars (%)                |                   |            | 3.14±0.10*  | 2.65±0.10*  | 2.27±0.10*  |
| Energy value (kcal/100g)        |                   |            | 39.13 ± 2.00* | 37.61±2.05* | 40.09±2.00* |

*Mean of three measurements ($n = 3$) ± standard deviation. The values followed by the same letters in each line are not statistically significant ($p>0.05$) according to the F-test

Minimal changes in pH values and titratable acidity were observed in both non-thermal treatment methods compared to the control sample. It has been scientifically proven that minor changes in pH may be due to the lack of heat from ultraviolet radiation, the period of application of ultrasound is not sufficient to lead to disruption of chemical bonds in the molecular structures of nutrients in liquid foods [21, 40] or due to the component composition of organic acids and
polyphenols in the products [41]. The ultrasonic treatment (300W power) of the fruit jam for 20 minutes led to a slight increase in pH compared to the control sample (Table 1). A similar pH effect after ultrasonic irradiation (frequency 20kHz; duration 20 min and power-300W) was reported in myofibrillar proteins [42].

The titratable acidity indicates the content of organic acids in the samples, and it is an important feature for taste and overall acceptance of the product, especially in some jams as strawberry jellies [43] and orange fruit jam [44]. The results (Table 1) showed a minimal reduction of the titratable acidity compared to the control sample. No statistically significant difference in titratable acidity was found between the individual samples (P01 and P02) in both non-thermal treatment methods on pear jam. A similar effect of ultraviolet light and ultrasound on titratable acidity was found in mango juice [14] and passion fruit juice [45].

Experiments have shown that the treatment with ultraviolet light and ultrasound possessed a negligible effect on dry matter content and moisture in pear jam (Table 1). It can be seen that the ultrasonic treatment on the jam led to minimal losses in dry matter content compared to the control. A similar effect was found in the treatment of apple tissue with ultrasound with a frequency of 40 kHz and a power of 180W [46]. The dry matter content of this pear fruit jam (with a fruit content of 68% in the final product) is slightly more than three times less than the commercial fruit apricot jam with a fruit content of 60% [47]. It has been found that the dry matter in most commercial fruit jams varies in the range of 40% to 70%, and in home-made jams, it was in the wide range of 20% to 70% [47].

It can be noticed that in the sample irradiated with ultraviolet light, the ash content increased to a slightly greater extent compared to the ultrasonic treatment compared to the control (Table 1). A similar increase in mineral composition compared to the control after treatment with ultraviolet light was found in African amaranth and apples [48,49]. The ash content represents the amount of minerals such as calcium, phosphorus, and iron present in the sample, and the value of the ash content indicates the stability of the product [50].

Fruits contain mainly sugars (sucrose, glucose, and fructose) and other carbohydrates in various amounts, and their content directly affects the fruit quality and taste [51]. According to some authors, changes in sugars’ ratio can lead to fruit taste changes and hardness [52]. The results (Table 1) showed that the ultraviolet light treatment led to a minimal increase in sucrose content and reduced the total sugars. Similar effects of ultraviolet light were reported during storage of pear juice variety – Blanquilla [53]. The reduction in the total sugar content compared to controls may be because sugars (glucose, fructose, and sucrose) have high ultraviolet absorption in the range of 240 to 360 nm and fructose from 260 to 280 nm, which can lead to significant amounts of fructose losses in fruit juices after treatment with ultraviolet light [54]. Ultrasound treatment resulted in an increase of sucrose content compared to the control. A similar effect was found in pear juice’s ultrasonic treatment at a 25 kHz frequency for 45 and 60 minutes [55]. According to some studies, sugars are involved in polyphenols' biosynthesis, and thus the high sugar content in fruits implies a higher content of polyphenols [56]. The increase in sucrose content during ultrasonic treatment may be due to the rupture of plant cells by the shear force caused by the mechanical effect of ultrasound, which in turn improves the diffusion rate of the solvent from the material to the solvent, leading to extraction of intracellular sugars [57].

The results in Table 1 showed that the energy value of P01 is lower and that of P02 was higher than P00. This fact is most likely due to the different effects of ultraviolet light and ultrasound on the content of sucrose and total sugars. The obtained energy value of P01 and
P02 was twice lower than mixed fruit jam enriched with 12% mulberry leaves [58] and three times lower compared to jam made with velvety tamarind pulp [59]. According to Regulation №1924/2006, the pear jam obtained is low-caloric and without added sugars.

3.2. Metal content in pear jam.

No significant differences (p>0.05) were observed between the values for the content of all heavy metals of the fruit jam treated with ultraviolet light and ultrasound compared to the control (Table 2). The copper and zinc content in this pear jam were 1.8 and 3.8 times lower than strawberry fruit jam, respectively, and the lead concentration is often lower than mango and pineapple fruit jam [60].

![Table 2. Metal content in pear jam (mg/kg).](image)

| Sample     | Copper (Cu) | Zinc (Zn) | Lead (Pb) | Cadmium (Cd) | Chromium (Cr) |
|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| P00*       | < 0.50a     | < 2.00a   | < 0.08a   | < 0.05a      | < 0.50a       |
| P01**      | < 0.50p     | < 2.00a   | < 0.08a   | < 0.05a      | < 0.50a       |
| P02***     | < 0.50p     | < 2.00a   | < 0.08a   | < 0.05a      | < 0.50a       |

* P00 – control sample; **P01 – pear jam treated with ultraviolet light; ***P02 – pear jam treated with ultrasonic irradiation, the values followed by the same letters in each line are not statistically significant (p>0.05) according to the F-test.

The determined content of copper and zinc in pear jam was close to apricot jam value with a fruit pulp content of 87.04% from Egypt [61]. The values obtained from cadmium and chromium content in pear jam were twice lower than in fruit jam [62]. Lead and cadmium were not detected in figs, quinces, strawberries, and apricot jams [61].

3.3 Sensory evaluation.

There are minimal differences between the panel's evaluations for all types of sensory characteristics in the treatment with ultraviolet light and ultrasound (Table 3). The sensory analysis revealed insignificant differences in the color and smell of pear fruit jam.

![Table 3. Sensory evaluation of pear jam.](image)

| Sensory evaluation | Sample                        |                |                |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                   | Pear jam treated with         | Pear jam treated with     |
|                   | ultraviolet light             | ultrasonic irradiation    |
| Appearance        |                               |                            |
| Colour            | 7.14*±2.12a                   | 7.14*±2.27a                |
| Specific for jam  | 7.29±1.60a                    | 7.43±2.15a                |
| Taste             |                               |                            |
| Sweet taste       | 7.29±2.75a                    | 7.43±2.57a                |
| Sour taste        | 3.14±2.97a                    | 3.86±3.44a                |
| Aftertaste        |                               |                            |
| Sweet taste       | 7.00±2.45a                    | 7.29±2.75a                |
| Sour taste        | 4.29±2.81a                    | 5.00±3.16a                |
| Texture/Consistency |                             |                            |
| Hardness          | 7.57±1.72a                    | 7.43±1.27a                |
| Grain structure   | 5.57±3.05a                    | 6.00±3.42a                |
| Elasticity/chewability | 6.71±1.98a                | 7.43±2.15a                |
| Juiciness         | 7.00±2.16a                    | 7.57±1.90a                |

*Mean of seven measurements (n = 7) ± standard deviation. The values followed by some and the same letters in each line are not statistically significant (p>0.05) according to the F-test.

Similar minimal and insignificant color and odor differences were reported in a jam with 6.5% *Hibiscus sabdariffa* extract and mixed papaya and mango jam [62]. Regardless of
the method of processing (ultraviolet light or ultrasound), it was noticed that the sweet taste and aftertaste have a stronger intensity (there are higher grades) compared to the sour taste and aftertaste in the final product. This is since a sweet-sour taste characterizes the varieties of pears from which the fruit jam is made. On the other hand, the sweet taste is further enhanced by the evaporation of a part of the water content during the jam’s boiling and the added sweetener (aspartame). Regarding the sensory indicators constituting the pear jam's texture, no significant differences were found in the treatment with ultraviolet light and ultrasound. From the estimates presented in Table 3, the fruit jam's hardness (when treated with UV-C) has a higher value than ultrasound. This effect is because ultraviolet light decreases in some rheological parameters (hardness and fragility) in freshly cut pears [63]. The other sensory characteristics - graininess, elasticity, and juiciness in ultrasonic treatment have slightly higher scores than ultraviolet treatment. This effect is because high-frequency ultrasound with high power can lead to better maintenance of fruit pulps’ initial quality in pears, apples and strawberries, and bilberry [64].

3.4. Microbiological studies.

The influence of different treatments and types of packaging on the jam samples for the total number of mesophilic aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria, molds, and yeasts were summarized (Table 4). The increase of time of the ultraviolet radiation did not cause such a high degree of sterility compared to the control sample in polypropylene packaging and glass packaging.

| Sample | Type of treatment/Time, min | Total number of mesophilic aerobic and facultative anaerobic bacteria (Cfu/g) | Mold (Cfu/g) | Yeasts (Cfu/g) |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
|        | polypropylene | glass packaging | polypropylene | glass packaging | polypropylene | glass packaging |
| 1      | - Control sample before thermostating | 20 | 10 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 2      | - Control sample after thermostating at 30°C / 48 hours | 8.9.10^3 | 20 | 4.9.10^3 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 3      | Ultraviolet/10 min | < 10 | 20 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 4      | Ultraviolet/15 min | 1.41.10^4 | < 10 | 2.32.10^3 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 5      | Ultraviolet/20 min | 6.7.10^3 | < 10 | 4.4.10^3 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 6      | Ultrasound/10 min | < 10 | 190 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 7      | Ultrasound/15 min | 10 | 90 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |
| 8      | Ultrasound/20 min | < 10 | 490 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 | < 10 |

This could be explained by the fact that the polypropylene packaging reduces ultraviolet light's transmittance, while the glass packaging does not reduce it. The transmittance of ultraviolet light in the polypropylene packages with a thickness of 50µm is only 64% [65]. The bactericidal effect of ultraviolet light can cause less microbial destruction when used on opaque foods with an uneven surface [66]. This additional fact leads to a reduction in part of the efficiency of ultraviolet light. A low degree of microbial contamination of all types of tested
microorganisms compared to the control was observed when irradiated with ultraviolet light with a wavelength of 254 nm for 10 minutes using the polypropylene packaging (Table 4). According to some studies, ultraviolet light with a 254 nm wavelength has the highest bactericidal effect [67]. It has been scientifically proven that ultraviolet light with a wavelength of 100 to 280 nm (UV-C) is a useful tool for inactivating bacteria (including spores), molds, yeasts, viruses, and markets [68]. Microbiological studies have shown that by increasing ultraviolet radiation time over 10 minutes using polypropylene packaging, the total number of mesophilic bacteria on pear jam was not significantly reduced (Table 4). A similar effect associated with a slight change in the total number of surviving mesophilic bacteria was observed when irradiated with ultraviolet light for more than 12 minutes on fresh apricots [69]. Prolonged irradiation with ultraviolet light (over 10 min.) does not reduce the degree of microbial contamination of the molds, and the growth and development of yeast were completely inhibited in the comparison with the control (Table 4). The results showed that increasing the ultrasonic irradiation time from 10 to 20 minutes on the final product packed in polypropylene packages results in the same high degree of sterility (low degree of microbial contamination). A similar effect on the pear jam's microbial contamination was observed when treated with ultraviolet light for 10.15 and 20 minutes in glass containers (Table 4). The strong bactericidal effect of ultrasound (Table 4) occurred because it was not hindered by polypropylene packaging. The use of ultrasound irradiation with a 20 to 100 kHz frequency is connected with the mechanical effect of ultrasound caused by unstable cavitation [70]. This led to a reduction in the final product's bacterial content due to the antibacterial effect of ultrasound [71]. The results (Table 4) showed that regardless of the duration of the ultrasonic exposure to the final product in the glass packages, mesophilic bacteria's development and growth were not inhibited. Applying ultrasound with a frequency of 20 kHz to 100 kHz is an effective method for the destruction of molds and yeasts [72] and acid-resistant Escherichia coli [73]. The data (Table 4) showed that, regardless of the duration of the high-frequency ultrasound exposure, molds and yeasts' development and growth on the final product in the glass packages was inhibited.

4. Conclusions

The ultraviolet light treatment of a fruit jam resulted in a lower sucrose content and energy value compared to the samples treated with ultrasonic irradiation. Irradiation of fruit jam from pears with ultraviolet light and ultrasound waves did not significantly affect the concentration of metals, pH, and dry matter content. Ultrasound treatment has a stronger enhancing effect than ultraviolet light on evaluating fruit jam's sensory characteristics, except for hardness, where the opposite effect was observed. The lowest microbial growth level was obtained by treating the final product with ultraviolet light and ultrasound for 10 minutes in polypropylene packaging and 15 minutes in a glass packaging. As a treatment method, the use of ultrasound is recommended due to improved sensory characteristics and microbiological safety when using a cheaper packaging material like polypropylene.
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