Abstract. Non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) is the most common form of cancer worldwide, comprising 95% of all cutaneous malignancies and approximately 40% of all cancers. In spite of intensive efforts aimed towards awareness campaigns and sun-protective measures, epidemiological data indicate an increase in the incidence of NMSC. This category of skin cancers has many common environmental triggers. Arising primarily on sun-exposed skin, it has been shown that ultraviolet radiation is, in the majority of cases, the main trigger involved in the pathogenesis of NMSC. Aside from the well-known etiopathogenic factors, studies have indicated that several neuroactive factors are involved in the carcinogenesis of two of the most common types of NMSC, namely basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), with the exception of penile SCC, for which a paucity of specific data on their pathogenic role exists. The complex interaction between the peripheral nervous system and target cells in the skin appears to be mediated by locally released neuroendocrine factors, such as catecholamines, substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide and somatostatin, as well as neurohormones, such as proopiomelanocortin and its derived peptides, α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone and adrenocorticotropin. All these factors have been, at least at some point, a subject of debate regarding their precise role in the pathogenesis of NMSC. There is also a significant body of evidence indicating that psychological stress is a crucial impact factor influencing the course of skin cancers, including SCC and BCC. Numerous studies have suggested that neuroendocrine factor dysregulation, as observed in stress reactions, may be involved in tumorigenesis, accelerating the development and progression, and suppressing the regression of NMSC. Further studies are required in order to elucidate the exact mechanisms through which neuroactive molecules promote or inhibit cutaneous carcinogenesis, as this could lead to the development of more sophisticated and tailored treatment protocols, as well as open new perspectives in skin cancer research.
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1. Introduction

The skin is both a physical barrier between the host and the environment, as well as an immunological gatekeeper destined to optimally fulfill the needs of the host. Thus, the interactions between the external factors and the internal environment of the skin significantly affect both skin homeostasis, as well as the incidence of skin pathologies (1).

Comprising basal cell carcinoma (BCC) and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), together with a large number of rare tumors, non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) is the most common form of cancer worldwide, encompassing 95% of all cutaneous neoplasms and approximately 40% of all malignancies (2,3). Despite growing awareness and sun-protective measures, the incidence of skin pathologies significantly affect both skin homeostasis, as well as the external factors and the internal environment of the skin. Thus, the interactions between the external factors and the internal environment of the skin significantly affect both skin homeostasis, as well as the incidence of skin pathologies (1).

BCC is the most frequently occurring form of skin cancer (80% of all skin cancers), accounting for one third of all cancers per year (6,7). While BCCs rarely exhibit an unrestrained behavior, SCCs are more aggressive and metastasize more frequently. SCCs represent over 20% of all cutaneous malignancies, and 14% of these tumors metastasize and are responsible for the majority of deaths due to NMSC (8-13).

Furthermore, SCC is the most frequently reported pathology of penile cancer (>95%); this is a rare malignancy accounting for 0.24% of all neoplasms among males in the United States with a significantly higher incidence (up to 20-30-fold greater) in areas of Africa and South America, that usually arises from the epithelium of the inner prepuce or the glans, and it exists in several histological subtypes and shares a similar pathology with SCC of other origins (14-16). As a direct consequence, NMSCs add a considerable financial burden to healthcare systems, significantly reducing the quality of life of patients and having a marked socio-economic impact. Thus, continuous efforts are being made towards the identification of novel etiopathogenic mechanisms.

NMSCs have many common environmental triggers, some stimulating tumor development more than others. The major risk factors for NMSCs are chronic exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR), the thinning of the ozone layer due to pollution, non-specific immune suppression, the increased lifespan, protein patched homolog 1 (PTCH) gene mutations and fair skin (17). Human papilloma virus (HPV) infection is an important risk factor for penile SCC; viral DNA has been detected in 70-100% of penile intraepithelial neoplasia and in 30-40% of invasive cancer tissue samples (15). HPV infection (particularly by high risk HPV types 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 56 and 64) is nowadays recognized as a major co-factor in penile SCC through oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes (p53, pRb, p16) (16,18). Nevertheless, it is now clear that apart from the HPV-induced pathway (through which up to 50-80% of penile SCC cases arise), a non-HPV-induced pathway represents a divergent molecular pathway accounting for penile carcinogenesis related to several risk factors, such as chronic inflammation and specific mediators (16,19).

The fact that NMSC arises mostly on sun-exposed areas (with the exception of penile SCC) has highlighted the crucial role of UVR in the pathogenesis of NMSC. The effects of UVR exposure include DNA alteration, epidermal inflammation and hyperplasia, creating favorable conditions for the development of cutaneous malignancies (20-22). UVR is the principal cause of the occurrence of NMSC. Due to the interaction of the external environment with the internal mechanisms of the human organism, factors that influence the functions of the human body also affect the appearance and/or progression of precancerous lesions (23,24). Thus, an underactive and poor performing immune system causes these lesions to rapidly appear and develop, while a strong immune system may prevent the appearance and development of NMSC (23,24). The immunosuppressive-inducing effects of UVR are primarily cited as conditioning a permissive tumor microenvironment for BCC and SCC. Several studies have confirmed that the immune system has a significant influence on the development of skin tumors, by creating a microenvironment favorable for carcinogenesis. However, these effects may be insufficient to induce carcinogenesis (25-29). Moreover, various neuroendocrine stimuli may potentiate and maintain a chronic state of inflammation, promoting tumorogenesis (Fig. 1) (30-33). Importantly, the function of the skin as a peripheral neuroendocrine organ has been well established. Thus, signals of cutaneous origin but related to the neuroendocrine system may trigger cascades of responses addressed at maintaining local and global homeostasis. The neuroendocrine function of the skin is performed by cells of mesenchymal, neural crest, bone marrow and epithelial origin that are compartmentally arranged into endocrine units localized at the epidermis, dermis and adnexal structures. These cells produce the respective hormones and express the corresponding receptors, suggesting that the main interactive mechanisms within the distinct cutaneous domains are of both autocrine and paracrine capacity (34). Moreover, cutaneous nerve fibers provide additional levels of communication with the release of neuropeptides at dermal or epidermal levels. The true endocrine component of the cutaneous neuroendocrine system is thus represented by those humoral signals that can directly enter the circulation (35). Therefore, the skin can generate signals to produce rapid or slow responses at local or systemic level. These signals counteract the environmental insults and/or internal functions. Thus, the skin can be characterized as a sensor for external or internal factors, which are subsequently translated by the cutaneous neuroendocrine system into humoral or neural signals and dispatched to local or distant coordinating centers (35).

Various studies have suggested a link between neuroendocrine factors and skin carcinogenesis in the two most common types of NMSC, BCC and SCC, with the exception of penile SCC, for which a paucity of specific data on their pathogenic role exists. A complex interaction between nervous system and target cells, such as keratinocytes, melanocytes, Langerhans cells (LCs), mast cells, endothelial cells and inflammatory infiltrate cells has been previously described in skin. This interaction appears to be mediated by locally released neuroendocrine factors encompassing classic neurotransmitters, neuropeptides and neurohormones (36-39). The mechanisms through which neuroendocrine factors influence mucocutaneous physiopathological processes are extremely complex, involving the dysregulation of the dynamic balance between the nervous, endocrine and immune systems (40). While NMSCs are highly immunogenic, the
action of neuroendocrine factors, when combined with other appropriate factors, such as UVRs, may result in either the development of SCC or BCC (30,31).

The aim of this review was to provide an overview of the neuroendocrine factors role in the initiation and/or promotion of NMSC (with a focus on SCC and BCC) in relation to environmental factor exposure.

2. Stress

Psychological stress is involved in the onset or aggravation of numerous skin pathophysiological processes (37-39,41,42). In addition, a significant body of evidence suggests that stress is a crucial impact factor influencing the course of skin cancers (38,43-45). Neuroendocrine factor dysregulation, as observed in stress reactions, may be involved in the modulation of tumorigenesis, accelerating the development and progression, and suppressing the regression of cancer.

Chronic stress. Alterations in the functionality of the immune system and promotion of tumor development (46) have been proven to represent the long-term effects of chronic stress exposure. Even without any obvious impact on the general health status, a moderate but chronic stress factor seems to lead to a substantial increase in skin cancer susceptibility in affected individuals. Moreover, the negative impact of stress factors on clinical, cellular and molecular parameters adding up to immunosuppression can be observed for months, even after the stressors have ceased their activity (31).

Studying skin tumor development in rat models, Saul et al (31) demonstrated that stressed mice exposed to UVB radiation developed skin malignancies in a shorter timeframe and that the stressed study group reached 50% tumoral incidence earlier than the non-stressed control group. Another study by Parker et al (47) demonstrated accelerated chronic stress model, in which stress factor administration began 2 weeks prior to UVB exposure. Furthermore, as previously demonstrated, stressed mice exhibit reduced values of interferon (IFN)-γ, CCL27/CTACK (expressed predominantly in the skin and responsible for T cell recruitment) (48), CD3ε (considered an important indicator of T cell infiltration) and interleukin (IL)-12p40 gene expression, as well as a reduced CD4+ cell count and an increased number of CD25+ suppressor cells in peritumoral infiltrates. IFN-γ, which promotes tumor recognition and destruction (49), is an essential mediator of IL-12-related antitumoral effects (50), and promotes tumor suppression (51). The authors hence concluded that chronic stress increases UVB-induced cutaneous SCC in their rat model, primarily by suppressing type 1 cytokine production and increasing T suppressor cell count (51). Furthermore, it has been shown that basal corticosterone levels in stressed mice remain higher than those in the control group for almost 7 months following the cessation of stress factors (51). Importantly, higher morning corticosterone levels indicate a circadian rhythm disturbance, leading to immune function impairment (52), accelerated tumor progression (53-55) and increased mortality (56).

Due to its immunosuppressive effect, chronic stress also creates a permissive environment for BCC tumorigenesis (30,31). Transplant patients, as well as patients receiving moderate doses of immunosuppressive therapies are more susceptible to developing BCC than the general population (57), since the immune system is central to BCC appearance and progression. Fagundes et al showed that patients with BCC who experienced a stressful event in the past year or who had been maltreated in childhood had a poorer antitumor immune response.
response (30). Of note, messenger RNA encoding for proteins expressed on immune cells, such as CD3ε, CD68, CD25 and ICAM-1 are indicators of a targeted anti-BCC immune response. Patients who reported early childhood abuse had lower mRNA levels of CD3ε, CD68, CD25 and ICAM-1, illustrating a tolerant microenvironment for tumor development (30). The results from the study by Saul et al concerning SCC in mouse models could also be applicable to BCC, as both tumors are intensely immunogenic (31).

Two isoenzymes regulate cortisol activity in the skin, activating and, respectively, inactivating it: 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (11βHSDH1 and 2 (32,58). In BCC, 11βHSDH1 is decreased, while its counterpart is increased (32). Of note, 11βHSDH2 is non-existent in healthy skin, but its expression is increased in proliferating basal cells in BCC and seborrheic keratosis (SK). One hypothesis is that local cortisol activation via 11βHSDH1 in keratinocytes plays a role in controlling local stress and repeated stimulation (32). 11βHSDH1 levels are low in BCC regardless of tumor differentiation, and may in fact induce cellular proliferation (33). Inhibition of 11βHSDH1 has been reported to activate epidermal cells hyperproliferation in murine models (58). Moreover, Terao et al found that 11βHSDH2 was increased in BCC and SK, but not in SCC (33). According to these authors, 11βHSDH1 and 2 may modulate intracellular glucocorticoid levels, subsequently influencing keratinocyte proliferation, differentiation and inflammation (33).

Chronic stress induces cellular mediated immune suppression (59), which plays a major role in NMSC-related tumoral surveillance. A reduction in the peritumoral CD4 lymphocyte population has been reported during chronic stress exposure, thus supporting the hypothesis according to which SCC susceptibility is mediated through the suppression of T cell activity (60,61). Other studies have shown that long-term stress suppresses another tumor, eliminating NK cells, which leads to tumor metastasis in experimental models (62,63).

Acute stress. As opposed to chronic stress, which acts as an immunosuppressant, acute stress reactions during immune activation or antigen exposure induce a redistribution of circulating immune cells towards organs, such as the skin, subcutaneous tissue and local lymph nodes (59,64,65), thus enhancing both innate and acquired immunity (59,65-70). This augmented immune response can also increase antitumoral activity against immunoresponsive malignancies, during tumoral immunotherapy or during surgery.

Due to the immunoegenicity of SCC, studies have been carried out starting from the hypothesis that acute stress prior to UVR exposure would enhance the immune response and potentiate resistance to SCC development. Dhabhar et al (71) studied the immunomodulatory effects of short-term stress exposure in a murine model and found that the exposed to acute stress study group exhibited an increased expression of chemokine related genes, such as CTACK/CCL27, RANTES, IL-12 and IFN-γ for up to 8 months, larger numbers of infiltrating T cells (both CD8+ and CD4+) and a reduced SCC incidence at 4 months. These results suggest that acute stress increases chemokine gene expression and T cell trafficking following UVR exposure, while it also enhances cellular immunity.

Considering the relevance of these findings in relation to the discovery of novel mechanisms of antitumoral response activation, the intrinsic immune and non-immune mechanisms through which short-term stress during UVR exposure reduces future tumor burden need to be further elucidated.

3. Neuroactive mediators

Neurotransmitters. Whereas some studies suggest that neuroactive molecules influence the risk for developing malignancies (initiation phase), others have suggested that neuroactive compounds also affect progression, once disease is established.

Under stressful conditions, sympathetic nerve fibers release additional epinephrine and norepinephrine (NE), which have been previously shown to increase IL-6 and reactive oxygen species production, thereby promoting cancer cell survival and proliferation (72,73). Moreover, in vitro studies have proven that cancer cell survival and proliferation can be successfully inhibited by incubation with adrenergic receptor (AR) antagonists (74,75).

Following skin injury, basal keratinocytes migrate into the wound to initiate re-epithelialization, critical in wound repair and barrier function rehabilitation. Keratinocytes express high levels of β-ARs, without expressing other types of ARs, and they are also capable of synthesizing epinephrine (76,77).

One explanation for the relative lack of studies and therefore knowledge in this particular area, is probably the difficulty in preserving and demonstrating neurotransmitter expression in cutaneous NMSC skin biopsies coupled with the inexistence of adequate antibodies for such conditions of fixation.

As early as the 1950’s Winkelmann (78) reported the presence of nerve fibers in close proximity to BCC cells; however, this author did not believe in the existence of a solid functional association between these nerve fibers and BCC proliferation. Current evidence indicates that several tumor cell lines express β-ARs and that catecholamine hormones may influence tumorigenesis via these receptors (79-85). Catecholamines are able to modulate the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs); thus, they can regulate various facets of tumor progression, such as proliferation, angiogenesis and metastasis (79,86-89).

MMPs and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) are known for their role in extracellular matrix remodelling in both physiological and pathological processes, and several cytokines possess the ability to influence their expression (90). When collagenolytic MMPs are activated, they degrade the peritumoral matrix, thereby aiding in BCC and SCC microenvironment remodelling (91). Yang and Eubank (92) studied the effect of neuroendocrine alteration on MMP and TIMP expression. Using a blister chamber wound model on UBV-exposed human forearm skin, they reported that the activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) and sympathetic-adrenal medullary (SAM) axes indeed modulate MMP levels. Their results revealed a positive correlation between NE plasma levels and MMP-2 levels, and a negative association between plasmatic cortisol levels and MMP-2 levels. These data indicate that the release of NE associated with the sympathetic nervous system can modulate MMP levels (93). Dumas et al (94) also reported an increased expression of MMP-9 and MMP-2 in SCC versus BCC, which in conjunction with the reduced expression of type IV collagen, led the authors to conclude that this could be a possible explanation to
the significantly enhanced aggressive behavior of SCC when compared to BCC (95).

A recent study by Peterson et al investigated the role of the nervous system in somatic cancer (96). The authors examined the role of cutaneous nerve fibers in a model of spontaneous BCC following the genetic deletion of PTCH1 and found that tumors developed mainly in touch domes and bulge regions of hair follicles, although not in the interfollicular epidermis. In their study, they disclosed the presence of mRNA for the three hedgehog ligands in the dorsal root ganglia, the location of sensory neurons that project to the skin, and thus hypothesized that the loss of sensory-derived signaling mediators, which inhibit the hedgehog pathway may be a driving factor for carcinogenesis.

There is also evidence supporting the direct involvement of peripheral nerve fibers in cutaneous epithelial cell homeostasis in reports showing the progressive loss of Merkel cells following denervation (97,98). BCC, an epidermal tumor, is also lined with Merkel cells.

In a previous study, PTCH1 wild-type mice had considerably fewer Merkel cells and significantly fewer tumor cells in touch dome-derived tumors in contrast to the sham-lesioned contralateral side, five weeks after denervation (96). Based on this observation, the authors suggested denervation as a potential therapeutic tool, not only for BCC, but also for Merkel cell malignancies (96). Nevertheless, other authors have suggested that, even though Merkel cell tumors display phenotypes similar to native Merkel cells, they still arise from skin stem cells, and as such are susceptible to influence from cutaneous innervation (99). The one compelling finding of this previous study was that surgical or chemical ablation of nerve fibers significantly reduced or slowed BCC progression.

Bernabé et al (72) examined the effects of stress hormones on SCC cell lines (SCC9, SCC15 and SCC25) and found that not only can stress-related mediators (NE and isoproterenol) enhance the production of the pro-angiogenic cytokine, IL-6, in these cell lines, but that these cells are also capable of producing IL-6 by themselves and, without stimulation, these levels are already detectable at 1 h. The authors noted that concentrations of IL-6 secreted by these cells, even by non-stimulated cells, are within the range expected to have biological activity. Similarly to the effects of IL-6 expression, in this study, treatment with NE at physiological stress levels (10 µM) induced direct proliferation in SCC9 and SCC15 cell lines, demonstrating constitutive expression of β1- and β2-ARs in the tested cell lines (72).

Another neurotransmitter-related enzyme, acetylcholines- terase (AChE) is found in sites where acetylcholine (Ach) acts as a neurotransmitter (e.g., cholinergic synapses or myoneural junctions). Furthermore, this enzyme has frequently been used as a marker for Ach activity. Iyengar, demonstrated AChE activity on the melanocyte cell membrane in hyperpigmented skin lesions such as pigmented BCC and lichen planus (100), but the study did not associate AChE/Ach with any specific function or mechanism involved in carcinogenesis.

Neuropeptides. When skin is exposed to harmful stimuli, including UVR, the unmyelinated c-fibers and myelinated Ad-fibers of sensory nerves release various neuropeptides (101,102), most importantly calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), substance P (SP) (103,104) and nerve growth factor (NGF), thereby initiating an inflammatory process comprising cutaneous vessel dilation, increased vascular permeability, plasmatic extravasation and edema (105,106) which may promote tumorigenesis. The most important neuropeptides are discussed below:

1) Calcitonin gene-related peptide. CGRP is a 37-amino-acid neuropeptide which exists in two isoforms (a- and b-) differing by a single amino-acid, and is widely expressed in both the central and peripheral nervous systems (107). CGRP-containing nerve fibers in the skin are closely associated with LCs (108). Apart from being a potent vasodilator and immunomodulator, CGRP increases cAMP levels in T cells, participates in chemotaxis, inhibits proliferation, and inhibits the production of IL-2 and the expression of TNF-α, TNF-β and IFN-γ. It stimulates IL-10 and downregulates IL-1β expression in macrophages. Furthermore, it also impedes antigen presentation by LCs, as shown in murine models (102,108,109), hindering a crucial step in anti-tumoral immune response initiation.

Studying the effects of UVB exposure on rat skin, Gillardon et al (110) demonstrated that an inflammatory dose of UVB caused the release of SP and CGRP from terminals of cutaneous sensory nerve fibers, leading to a temporary decrease in the skin's content of neuropeptides during the first 6 to 12 h following exposure. Nevertheless, as the authors pointed out, the level of CGRP increased again and the cutaneous content of CGRP exceeded the control levels at 48-72 h post-UV exposure. This suggests an increase in the synthesis and transport of neuropeptides into the area of UVR-induced inflammation (111). Nizizeki et al found similar immunosuppressive effects by intradermal injections of CGRP and acute UVR exposure, which could both be prevented by pre-treatment of mice with a specific CGRP antagonist, namely CGRP 8-37 (112). Furthermore, the authors reported a reduced number of cutaneous LCs after CGRP or UVR exposure. This suggests a possible impact in skin carcinogenesis (113).

During the identification of intracellular mechanisms significant for the transduction of the mitogenic message of neuropeptides, much of the research has focused on cAMP, mainly due to the demonstration of increased cAMP synthesis and its association with the early events following the mitogenic stimulation of various cell systems (114).

Takahashi et al (115) demonstrated the stimulation of cAMP formation by CGRP in an in vitro study using primary cultured normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEKs) and a human SCC cell line, HSC-1. The authors noted that CGRP induced a rapid and notable increase in the intracellular accumulation of cAMP in both cell lines. CGRP also significantly enhanced DNA synthesis and the growth of HSC-1 cells in a dose-dependent manner. Intriguingly, the increase in intracellular cAMP content following stimulation with CGRP was only 6-fold in the HSC-1 cell line compared to an 8-fold increase noted in the NHEKs. This result was attributed by the authors to different levels of receptors expressed on the cell membrane.

There are many conflicting in vivo and in vitro reports concerning the increase in the levels of cAMP in proliferating keratinocytes. Some authors have reported that the mediators increasing intracellular cAMP stimulate keratinocyte proliferation (116,117), while others have observed an inhibitory effect of cAMP analogs on keratinocyte growth (118-122). It is
important to take into account that none of the cAMP analogs or agents used to increase cAMP levels in those studies function specifically to increase intracellular cAMP levels (114). Thereby these results may be subjected to certain skepticism.

**ii) Substance P**. SP is an inflammatory molecule belonging to the tachykinin family, found in primary sensory neurons and afferent vagal sensory nerve fibers together with CGRP (123). Its cellular effects are mediated through neurokinin-1 receptor (NK1-R), NK2-R and NK3-R of which NK1-R has the highest binding affinity to SP (124). SP has been shown to be involved in local blood flow and vascular permeability. It induces lymphocyte proliferation and chemotaxis, increases immunoglobulin production, activates macrophages and favors the secretion of several pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α. As a consequence, among other important processes, SP has been found to be involved in the regulation of sensorial perception and stress responses (125,126).

Over the past few years, we have witnessed an increasing interest in the role of SP in tumor development and progression. Indeed, it has been identified as a potent mitogen for certain epithelial premalignant lesions and cancer cellular lines, such as melanoma, glioma, retinoblastoma and neuroblastoma (127-131). Hence, the SP/NK-1R system may have a role to play in NMSC development, since SP may indeed be a universal mitogen in NK-1R-expressing tumor cell types.

Staniek *et al* (132) noted that at high concentrations (104 and 105 M), SP was able to inhibit *in vitro* epidermal cell reaction responses by acting on LCs and T cells. As stated above, cutaneous sensory nerves contain SP and a considerable increase in SP immunoreactivity is detected following UVR exposure (133). Thus, there is indirect evidence suggesting that SP plays a role in UV-induced inflammatory reactions (134).

When SP binds to NK-1R, it activates certain members of the mitogen-activated protein kinase intracellular signaling cascade, including extracellular signal regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK 1/2). These molecules then translocate to the nucleus and induce cell proliferation and protect the cell from apoptosis (135).

Brener *et al* (136) investigated the presence and distribution of SP and NK-1R in SCC and their association with tumor proliferation. The authors demonstrated for the first time the expression of SP and NK-1R in SCC, and reported a strong expression of both proteins, particularly SP, in all tissue compartments of the analyzed tumor samples. They also reported a direct and significant correlation between SP and NK-1R expression in different tumor tissue compartments, thus suggesting that SP overexpression is accompanied by an increase in the expression of NK-1R molecules, a phenomenon also demonstrated by other authors (135).

According to Weinstock *et al* (137), SP can also stimulate cell proliferation through the transactivation of EGFR. The connection between these functions and the SP/NK-1R interactions has led some authors to suggest that SP/NK-1R may be associated with both cancer development and progression (135).

**iii) Nitric oxide**. Nitric oxide synthase (NOS) (along with CGRP and SP) is located in visceral afferent neurons in the lower thoracic tract dorsal root ganglion (138), and has been incriminated in neurogenic inflammation in murine models (139). This early observation led to the investigation of the effect of NOS on immunoreactivity in UVR-exposed skin and UV-induced vasodilation (134). In the study by Gillardon *et al* (110), it was concluded that NO released from nerve endings following UVR exposure promoted post-liminary local immune suppression. Moreover, the authors noted the far from negligible contribution of inducible NOS from activated macrophages and neutrophils. Indeed, the inducible form of NOS (iNOS) is the isoform most consistently associated with neoplasia. When produced by immune cells, iNOS in turn leads to the production of large amounts of NO, pivotal in pathogen defense responses, cytokine production and T helper lymphocyte expansion. On the other hand, the upregulation of iNOS has been characterized to act exclusively as a pathological mediator (140-145).

Rosbe *et al* (146) investigated the activity of NOS and the presence of NO in human SCC. They found iNOS activity in squamous epithelial cells throughout all the analyzed SCC tumor tissue, with the enzyme activity being highest in surrounding keratin pearls.

It has been found that iNOS is upregulated in cutaneous SCC (147), but is downregulated in skin BCC, probably contributing to the lack of aggressive features displayed by the latter (148).

NO production by SCC cells is consistent with other evidence that NO may be a promoter of local tumor growth and metastasis by enhancing neovascularization. In two separate studies, Andrade *et al* (149) and Maeda *et al* (150) found that NOS inhibitors were able to reduce tumor blood flow. The morphology and sensitivity to vasoactive agents of these newly formed blood vessels seemed to differ from vessels in normal tissue, possibly explaining the presence of iNOS instead of endothelial-NOS in mouse tumor capillaries.

Connelly *et al* (151) studied the expression of iNOS in tissue samples of SCC and compared them to samples of dysplasia and normal controls. They reported 95% staining in SCC, 50% in dysplasia and 0% in normal epithelial controls with positive staining for iNOS only in SCC cells. They also detected a significantly higher production of NO in SCC cells compared to control cells. Their results localized iNOS to SCC tumor cells, and not to the region of inflammation within the stroma, thereby suggesting that the malignant cells are the source of iNOS.

One study also found plasma levels of NO compounds in patients with BCC to be significantly increased when compared to controls (152). The authors hypothesized that increased plasma levels of NO in patients with BCC are the result of accentuated hyperkeratinization, hyperpigmentation, and keratinocyte proliferation and proposed that this increased production of NO may operate as a growth stimulator, having a potential mitogenic function, finally accelerating the proliferation of this type of NMSC cells (152).

NO may also play a role in tumor metastasis via the promotion of endothelial cell adhesion and vascular permeability (153). Even though it was hypothesized that tumor cells may use an iNOS-mediated mechanism to adhere to the vascular endothelium and further penetrate vessel walls, thus gaining access to distant sites, some authors (154) have found an inverse correlation between NO and metastasis. Therefore, the complete role of NO in cancer metastasis remains to be fully elucidated.

**iv) Somatostatin (SST)**. SST was first identified in 1973 as a growth hormone release-inhibitor (155) with its main functions
involved in the regulation of exo- and endocrine secretions, the modulation of motor activity and the inhibition of gastrin-stimulated gastric acid secretion (156). Several recent studies have suggested that SST can act as a tumor suppressor gene, and perform significant antitumor and antiserous activities in various human malignancies both in vivo and in vitro (156-158).

Through the inhibition of growth factors and hormones, the diminishing of vascularization and immune system regulation, SST seems capable of suppressing tumor growth in a variety of cancers (159,160), including human pancreatic tumor cells (MIA PaCa-2), breast cancer cells (MCF-7) and small cell lung cancer cells (HCl-H69) (160-162). An epidermoid carcinoma cell line, A431, possesses a very high level of EGF receptors and exhibits an attenuation of cell proliferation in response to EGF (163). Mascalzo and Sherline demonstrated rapid centrosomal separation and cell growth stimulation by EGF in mitogenically responsive cells (164).

Kamiya et al (165) examined the in vitro effects of SST-14 and its octapeptide analogue, SMS 201-995, on the growth of A431 cells. The authors found that both SS-14 and SMS 201-995 stimulated the growth of A431 cells, most probably affecting their inositolphosphatase metabolism. Moreover, these authors demonstrated the presence of high-affinity receptors for SS in A431 cells (165). Of note, SS-14 and SMS 201-995 initiated a stimulatory effect in KB cells, another line of epidermoid carcinoma cells, but not in the three tested squamous carcinoma cell lines, HSC-2, -3 and -4. Even though these cell lines resemble the A431 and KB cell lines, they responded with a decrease in cell growth. It was concluded that the growth regulatory mechanism in the respective cell lines differs with respect to SST or that HSC cells may lack SST receptors (165).

As evidenced from the above, it has been well established that neurogenic inflammation and other various alterations of the microenvironment create a milieu conducive to carcinogenesis. Intriguingly, stress alone can induce neurochemical changes that promote cell proliferation and carcinogenesis; however, there are also reports in which the use of other neuro-modulators appears to create a tumor suppressive environment. This body of evidence thereby suggests that these neuroactive mediators affect the odds of developing skin cancers through their neuromodulatory effects.

Neurohormones. The skin's neuroendocrinological properties have been previously demonstrated, the cutaneous organ being capable of secreting thyroid-stimulating hormone, oxytocin, growth hormones, and corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) (32).

The proopiomelanocortin (POMC) gene codes for the synthesis of a protein known as POMC, a precursor polypeptide with 241 amino acid residues, which is then enzymatically cleaved into a variety of biologically active peptides with different functions throughout the body. Among these neuropeptides, are adrenocorticotropic (ACTH), β-lipotropin (β-LPH), endorphins (α-, β-, γ-endorphin) and melanotropins [α-, β-, γ-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH)] (166). These peptides bind to several proteins in various regions of the body, triggering the activation of several signaling pathways that control a number of important functions. It is worthwhile mentioning that the production of POMC is not limited to the pituitary gland, and it has been found in various other tissues, including the skin (167). More precisely, α-MSH and ACTH can also be produced by keratinocytes (168).

The existence of a local stress response system in the skin, which is equivalent to the central HPA axis has been previously revealed (34,169-172). It has also been confirmed that locally synthesized CRH can activate local CRH receptors (CRHRs) (172,173). The discovery of CRHR in the skin suggests a potential CRH-induced neuroendocrine cutaneous pathway (172-175).

There are studies performed almost 20 years ago, incriminating CRH-POMC axis-related hormones in the pathology of malignant melanoma (MM), SCC and BCC alike (176-179). Several studies have also suggested that UVR, the main carcinogen involved in the pathogenesis of NMSC, is capable of inducing the expression of CRH-POMC axis-related neurohormones (170,180,181). Simultaneously, following UVR exposure or metabolic alteration, corresponding receptors are upregulated, such as melanocortin (MC)-1 and MC-5. Most receptors of the MC-1 class, are located on the surface of keratinocytes, and recognize MSH and ACTH (182). MSH and ACTH act not only as skin pigmentation regulators, but also as immunosuppressors (183) through the inhibition of specific IL-1, TNF-α and IL-2 functions (184).

Kim et al (185) performed an immunohistochemical analysis of CRH, ACTH and α-MSH expression in specimens from normal skin, melanocytic naevus, SK, actinic keratoses (AK), Bowen's disease, cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL), BCC, SCC and MM. They reported CRH, ACTH and α-MSH expression only in the upper epidermal layers in the normal skin, melanocytic naevus, SK, AK, Bowen's disease and CTCL samples. The expression of these neurohormones was generally weak or undetectable in benign and precancerous lesions, in CTCL and in normal controls. However, in the same study, BCC samples exhibited an intermediate expression of CRH while MM (80%) and SCC (70%) samples exhibited a strong immunoreactivity for CRH. It was thereby concluded that the CRH-POMC axis-related neurohormones strongly correlated with cutaneous malignancies, and that CRH appears to be a more specific biomarker for skin cancers when compared to ACTH or α-MSH (185).

Luger et al (186) tested human normal keratinocytes (HNKs) and A431 cells for their capacity to release α-MSH by stimulating these cells with either the tumor promoter phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), IL-1 or UVB radiation. The authors reported the spontaneous release of low amounts of α-MSH by both HNK and A431 cells, which was significantly increased by stimulation with PMA, IL-1 or UVB. Indeed, α-MSH production by epidermal cells occurred between 48 and 72 h following culture initiation and among several other tested cytokines, only IL-1P proved to be effective in stimulating α-MSH production by epidermal cells. Moreover, α-MSH derived from epidermal cells was proven to be biologically active (186). Their results also showed that upon stimulation, normal and malignant keratinocytes produced melanotropins able to suppress the production and activity of the immunomodulating cytokine, IFN-γ (186).

Arbiser et al (187) reported in vitro endothelial cell chemotaxis stimulation and in vivo stimulation of tumor growth and angiogenesis by CRH acting through CRHR. As a result, the authors inferred that CRH directly stimulates angiogenesis
via the CRHRs present on endothelial cells and suggested that the ectopic production of CRH in malignancies may promote angiogenesis.

These aspects underline the fact that CRH-POMC peptides directly participate in UV-related skin cancers. POMC peptides are produced in the skin under UVB stimulation, and therefore create a microenvironment favorable for NMSC progression (177,188). Among the suggested mechanisms, authors have cited immunosuppression, the inhibition of antigen-presenting structures on keratinocytes, and cell proliferation (177). It is unknown whether CRH-POMC peptides initiate or simply promote skin cancer pathogenesis (185), but such peptides are most certainly associated with a higher rate of tumor growth (187).

4. Cellular neuro-immune interactions

Numerous interactions have been identified linking the nervous system to various immune cells, including mast cells, neutrophils, macrophages and T cells (189,190). Mast cells however, appear to be the key players in modulating stress-induced cutaneous inflammatory reactions (38).

As early as the 1990s, it has been shown (191) that increased numbers of dermal mast cells highly correlate with UVR-induced immune suppression, aggravated by the fact that UVR exposure increases dermal mast cell density (192,193). Ten years later, observational studies reported that high densities of mast cells correlate with a poor prognosis for various tumors, such as BCCs and SCCs, MM, colon cancer and lymphoma (194). There are in fact a number of studies that have shown mast cells to accumulate around skin cancers and create permissive microenvironments (26,195-197).

UVR exposure indirectly activates dermal mast cells, supposedly through the activation of cutaneous nerve fibers, which release mast cell-activating neuropeptides (198). Two mechanisms of mast cell activation by means of UVR exposure are proposed: first a photoinduced isomerization of a photo-receptor, trans-urocanic acid (UCA) and second LC antigen-presenting dysfunction (188,199,200).

Thus, UCA is converted to cis-UCA in the stratum corneum (195,196). Cis-UCA stimulates cutaneous sensory nerves to release neuropeptides (SP and CGRP) (195,201) and therefore mast cell degranulation. The other mechanisms refer to LC antigen-presenting dysfunction due to ultrastructural modifications (195).

Furthermore, mast cell mediators function not only as stimulators of angiogenesis, but also as immunosuppressors and promoters of extracellular matrix destruction and mitosis (195,202). Tumor-associated mast cells are recruited via chemokines released from tumor cells. BCCs release stem cell factor that binds to the tyrosine-kinase receptor c-kit on the mast cell surface, thereby increasing the mast cell numbers around the tumor (197), and subsequently increasing dendritic cells numbers, leading to angiogenesis and collagenesis. Higher numbers of mast cells have been reported around BCCs and melanomas than around SCCs; however, the reason for this remains unclear (195). Mast cells are recruited preferentially around BCCs, independent of inflammatory infiltrate, with higher numbers observed around more aggressive tumors (203). Moreover, patients with a history of sporadic BCC have a high number of mast cells in non-exposed skin, in contrast with control subjects (196). The factors that condition the number of peritumoral mast cells in BCC are unclear; however, it has been suggested that NGF, stem cell factor and VEGF may be involved (196,204). NGF also facilitates histamine release from mast cells (205). Histamine is a known key mediator of UVB-induced immunosuppression (196). It limits T helper-1 lymphocyte expansion, but not T helper-2 lymphocytes (206). It stimulates keratinocyte-produced prostaglandin E2 that disrupts a cytokine balance favoring IL-10, an immunosuppressor, and IL-12 (207), an immunostimulant that can induce DNA repair after UV damage (208). It also increases the number of suppressor T lymphocytes that produce IL-10, leading to immunosuppression via the apoptosis of antigen-presenting cells (195). Prostaglandin E2 can further stimulate angiogenic factor release from mast cells (209). Creating a paracrine loop, histamine further stimulates keratinocytes to release NGF that in turn binds to mast cell surface to complete the circle (205).

Apart from histamine, mast cells secrete many other pro-angiogenic factors, such as heparin, TNF-α, TGF-β, IL-8 and VEGF. Peritumoral mast cells are a major source of VEGF, which leads to endothelial mitosis and vascular hyperpermeability. This in turn permits the extravasation of other pro-angiogenic factors in the extracellular matrix, and tumoral cytokines, such as TGF alpha, a mitogenic polypeptide (195). All these cytokines stimulate VEGF production further (210).

Angiogenesis must be supported by extracellular matrix remodelling. Thus, mast cells release tryptase and chymase, two serine proteases that initiate matrix degradation and turn over (26,211). One study (211) showed that tryptase activity was increased >2-fold in BCCs, while chymase-positive cells exhibited partial enzymatic inactivity.

Some studies have reported a significant increase in dermal mast cell degranulation, in a number of SP immunoreactive nerve fibers and contacts between mast cells and these nerve fibers, due to stress exposure (212,213). Stress-induced degranulation seems to be dependent on CRH, but probably also involves SP and neureotensin. As opposed to anaphylactic reactions, stress does not provoke an explosive mast cell degranulation, but acts in a more silent manner, primarily inducing intragranular changes (214). Cărunu et al (38) examined the effects of acute and chronic stress on mast cell degranulation in glabrous and hairy mouse skin. In their study, the authors reported an amplification of mast cell degranulation in hairy skin due to short-term stress exposure, effect that continued with prolonged exposure to stress. By comparison, in glabrous skin, although acute stress intensely stimulated mast cell degranulation, the phenomenon subsided gradually as exposure to stress persisted (38).

The crosstalk between mast cells and sensory nerve fibers by means of increased NGF, neuropeptides and various other mediators, in photo-damaged skin, may thus influence the higher prevalence of NMSCs in these individuals.

5. Conclusion and perspectives

In addition to the classic agents involved in the pathogenesis of NMSC, such as chronic UVR exposure, the thinning of the ozone layer through pollution, immunosuppression, an increased lifespan, PTPCH gene mutations and fair skin, a large number of studies have incriminated various neuroactive factors in the
pathogenesis of NMSC. Furthermore, it has become obvious that the intricate interaction between the peripheral nervous system and cutaneous target cells (e.g., leukocytes, LCs and mast cells) is mediated through locally released neuroendocrine factors including catecholamines, SP, CGRP, SST, and neurohormones such as POMC and its derived peptides, alpha-MSH and ACTH, all of which have been, at one time or another, the subject of scientific dispute as to their precise role in the pathogenesis of NMSC.

A key function of physiological mediators released in short-term stress reactions may be to ensure that the proper cells are activated appropriately to be able to respond to the immune challenge posed by the stressor (e.g., pathogen invasion of the host and UVR). Acute stress modulates immune cell distribution as an adaptive response responsible for enhancing immune surveillance and increasing the immune system's capacity to respond to challenges in various compartments (skin, mucosa, and epithelial linings of the gastrointestinal and genito-urinary tracts) which constitute major defense barriers throughout the body. Thereby, the current body of evidence suggests that neurotransmitters and hormones released under acute stressful situations may increase immune surveillance and augment immune responses for potential or ongoing challenges. Although acute stress seems to play a rather protective role in the context of carcinogenesis for the aforementioned reasons, chronic stress, acting through the plethora of neuromodulators, neurohormones and cytokines involved, leads to chronic immunosuppression and, as a result, promotes a favorable environment for NMSC carcinogenesis. Further studies are needed in order to elucidate the exact mechanisms mediating beneficial versus harmful effects of stress mediated through the skin's neuroendocrine system, in order to translate the findings from bench to bedside. This field of research is very important, considering that stress has become an ubiquitous aspect of life and even though chronic stress, acting through the nervous, endocrine and immune systems, is thought to be among the etiological factors of various skin diseases (including NMSCs), acute stress is a fundamental survival mechanism that may very well be harnessed for immunoprotection. Additional studies are also required to define the precise processes through which neuroactive molecules promote or inhibit cutaneous carcinogenesis, as this could lead to the development of more sophisticated and tailored treatment protocols, as well as open new perspectives in skin cancer research, including penile SCC, for which a paucity of specific data on the pathogenic role of neuroendocrine factors exists.

Acknowledgements

This study was partly supported by a grant PN-II-PT-PCCA-2013-4-1407 (Project 190/2014) financed by the Executive Agency for Higher Education, Research, Development and Innovation and by Young Researchers Grant 338911/2014 financed by ‘Carol Davila’ University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania.

References

1. Freedberg IM, Eisen AZ, Wolff K, Austen KF, Goldsmith LA and Katz S (eds): Fitzpatrick's Dermatology in general medicine. 6th edition. McGraw-Hil, New York, NY, 2003.
2. Rubin AI, Chen EH and Ratner D: Basal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 353: 2262-2269, 2005.
3. Stern RS: Prevalence of a history of skin cancer in 2007: Results of an incidence-based model. Arch Dermatol 146: 279-282, 2010.
4. Rogers HW, Weinstock MA, Harris AR, Hinckley MR, Feldman SR, Fleisher AB and Coldiron BM: Incidence estimate of nonmelanoma skin cancer in the United States, 2006. Arch Dermatol 146: 283-287, 2010.
5. Diffey BL and Langtry JA: Skin cancer incidence and the ageing population. Br J Dermatol 153: 679-680, 2005.
6. Lanoue J and Goldenberg G: Basal cell carcinoma: A comprehensive review of existing and emerging nonsurgical therapies. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol 9: 26-36, 2016.
7. Renaud-Vilmer C and Basset-Seguin N: Basal cell carcinomas. Lancet 364: 37-44, 2004.
8. Alam M and Ratner D: Cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 344: 975-983, 2001.
9. Ch'ng S, Maitra A, Lea R, Brash C and Tan ST: Parotid metastasis - an independent prognostic factor for head and neck cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg 59: 1288-1293, 2006.
10. Johnson TM, Rowe DE, Nelson BR and Swanson NA: Squamous cell carcinoma of the skin (excluding lip and oral mucosa). J Am Acad Dermatol 26: 467-484, 1992.
11. Rowe DE, Carroll RJ and Day CL Jr: Prognostic factors for local recurrence, metastasis, and survival rates in squamous cell carcinoma of the skin, ear, and lip. Implications for treatment modality selection. J Am Acad Dermatol 26: 976-990, 1992.
12. Rudolph R and Zelac DE: Squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Plastic and Reconstructive Surg 114: 82e-94e, 2004.
13. Weinberg AS, Ogle CA and Shim EK: Metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: An update. Dermatol Surg 33: 885-899, 2007.
14. Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin 66: 7-30, 2016.
15. diffey BL and Langtry JA: Skin cancer incidence and the ageing population. Br J Dermatol 153: 679-680, 2005.
16. Spiess PE, d’Sa J, Baumgarten AS, Johnstone PA and Giuliano AR: Pathophysiologic basis of human papillomavirus in penile cancer: Key to prevention and delivery of more effective therapies. CA Cancer J Clin 66: 481-495, 2016.
17. Cakir BO, Adamson P and Cingi C: Epidemiology and economic burden of nonmelanoma skin cancer. Facial Plast Surg Clin North Am 20: 419-422, 2012.
18. Kayes O, Ahmed HU, Arya M and Minhas S: Molecular and genetic pathways in penile cancer. Lancet Oncol 8: 420-429, 2007.
19. Protzel C and Spiess PE: Molecular research in penile cancer-lessons learned from the past and bright horizons of the future? Int J Mol Sci 14: 19494-19505, 2013.
20. Berton TR, Pavone A and Fischer SM: Ultraviolet-B irradiation alters the cell cycle machinery in murine epidermis in vivo. J Invest Dermatol 117: 1171-1178, 2001.
21. Oberyszyn TM: Non-melanoma skin cancer: Importance of gender, immunosuppressive status and vitamin D. Cancer Lett 126: 127-136, 2008.
22. Voiculescu V, Calenic B, Ghita M, Lupu M, Caruntu M, Moraru L, Voiculescu S, Ion A, Greabu M, Ishkiiiev N, et al: From normal skin to squamous cell carcinoma: A quest for novel biomarkers. Dis Markers 2016: 4517492, 2016.
23. Ratushny V, Gobert MD, Hick R, Rickwy TW and Seykora JT: From keratinocyte to cancer: The pathogenesis and modeling of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. J Clin Invest 122: 464-472, 2012.
24. Stockfleth E, Ortonne JP and Alomar A: Actinic keratosis and field cancerisation. Eur J Dermatol 21 (Supp 1): 3-11, 2011.
25. Lugović I, Situm M, Vurnek M and Butjan M: Influence of psychoneuroimmunologic factors on patients with malignant skin diseases. Acta Med Croatia 61: 383-389, 2007 (In Croatian).
26. Leon A, Ceașu Z, Ceașu M, Ardeleanu C and Mehedințu R: Mast cells and dendritic cells in basal cell carcinoma. Rom J Morphol Embryol 50: 85-90, 2009.
27. Calenic B, Greabu M, Caruntu C, Tanase C and Battino M: Oral keratinocyte stem/progenitor cells: Specific markers, molecular signaling pathways and potential uses. Periodontol 2000 69: 68-82, 2015.
28. Neagu M, Caruntu C, Constantin C, Bodă D, Zuraș C, Spano dies DA and Tsatsakis AM: Chemically induced skin carcinogenesis: Updates in experimental models (Review). Oncol Rep 35: 2516-2528, 2016.
29. Neagu M, Constantin C, Dumitrascu GR, Lupu AR, Caruntu C, Boda D and Zuratuc S: Inflammation markers in cutaneous melanoma - edgy biomarkers for prognosis. Discoveries 3: e38, 2015.

30. Fagundes CP, Glaser R, Johnson SL, Andridge RR, Yang EV, Di Gregorio MP, Chen M, Grant DD, Liew FY, Lobold A, Lemesheva S and Dhabhar FS: Chronic stress and susceptibility to skin cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 97: 1760-1767, 2005.

31. Saul AN, O'Reilly MS, Truitt G, d'Amato RJ and Shankaran V, Ikeda H, Bruce AT, White JM, Swanson PE, Dighe AS, Richards E, Old LJ and Schreiber RD: Enhanced Reiss Y, Proudfoot AE, Power CA, Campbell JJ and Butcher EC: The role of stress in skin diseases. Intern Med 8: 73-84, 2011.

32. Terao M and Katayama I: Local cortisol/corticosterone activation of cortisol-inactivating enzyme, 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-2, in human epidermal tumors and its role in keratinocyte proliferation. Exp Dermatol 22: 98-101, 2013.

33. Slominski A and Wortsman J: Neuroendocrinology of the skin. Endocr Rev 21: 457-487, 2000.

34. Slominski A: Neuroendocrine system of the skin. Dermatology 211: 199-208, 2005.

35. Zmijewski MA and Slominski AT: Neuroendocrinology of the skin: An overview and selective analysis. Dermatocosm 3: 17-30, 2012.

36. Caruntu C, Grigore C, Caruntu A, Diaconeasa A and Bodă D: The role of stress in skin diseases. Intern Med 8: 73-84, 2011.

37. Caruntu C, Boda D, Musat S, Caruntu A and Mandache E: Stress-induced mast cell activation in glabrous and hairy skin. Mediators Inflamm 2014: 105950, 2014.

38. Caruntu C, Dădu D, Musat S, Caruntu A, Poenaru E, Calenic B, Savulescu-Fiedler I, Draghiha A, Rotaru M and Badarau AI: Stress effects on cutaneous nociceptive nerve fibers and their neurons of origin in rats. Rom Biotechnol Lett 19: 9518, 2014.

39. Arck P and Paus R: From the brain-skin connection: The neuroendocrine-immune misalliance of stress and itch. Neuroimmunomodulation 13: 347-356, 2006.

40. Gupta MA and Gupta AK: Psychiatric and psychological co-morbidity in patients with dermatological disorders: Epidemiology and management. Am J Clin Dermatol 4: 833-842, 2003.

41. Căruntu C, Ghita MA, Căruntu A and Boda D: The role of stress in the multifactorial etiopathogenesis of acne. Ro Med J 58: 98-101, 2011.

42. Sinnya S and De’Ambrosis B: Stress and melanoma: Increasing the evidence towards a causal basis. Arch Dermatol Res 305: 851-856, 2013.

43. Sanzo M, Colacci R, Arunachalam M, Berti S and Moretti S: Stress as a possible mechanism in melanoma progression. Dermatol Res Pract 2010: 483493, 2010.

44. de Vries E, Trakal便秘 M, Kalabikis D, Ferrandiz L, Ruiz-de-Casas A, Moreno-Ramirez d, Sotiriadis d, Ioannides d, Ruiz de Casas A, Moreno-Ramirez d, Sotiriadis d, Ioannides d, da Gregorio MP, Chen M, Grant DD, Liew FY, Lobold A, Lemesheva S and Dhabhar FS: Chronic stress and susceptibility to skin cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 87: 581-586, 1995.

45. Shankaran V, Ikeda H, Bruce AT, White JM, Swanson PE, Old LJ and Schreiber RD: IFNgamma and lymphocytes prevent primary tumour development and shape tumour immunogenicity. Nature 410: 1107-1111, 2001.

46. Sebition S, Ghita MA, Dădu D, Musat S, Căruntu A, Poenaru E, Calenic B, Savulescu-Fiedler I, Draghiha A, Rotaru M and Badarau AI: Stress effects on cutaneous nociceptive nerve fibers and their neurons of origin in rats. Rom Biotechnol Lett 19: 9518, 2014.

47. Dhabhar FS and McEwen BS: Acute stress enhances while chronic stress suppresses cell-mediated immunity in vivo: A potential role for leukocyte trafficking. Brain Behav Immun 11: 286-306, 1997.

48. Kripke ML: Ultraviolet radiation and immunology: Something new under the sun - presidential address. Cancer Res 54: 4103-4105, 1994.

49. Granstein RD and Matsui MS: UV radiation-induced immunosuppression and skin cancer. Cutis 74 (Suppl): 5-9, 2004.

50. Ben-Eliyahu S, Yirmiya R, Liebeschid JC, Taylor AN and Gale RP: Stress increases metastatic spread of a mammary tumor in rats. Evidence for mediation by the immune system. Brain Behav Immun 5: 193-205, 1991.

51. Ben-Eliyahu S: The promotion of tumor metastasis by surgery and stress: Immunological basis and implications for psychoneuroimmunology. Brain Behav Immun 17 (Suppl 1): S127-S36, 2003.

52. Dhabhar FS, Miller AH, McEwen BS and Spencer RL: Effects of stress on immune cell distribution. Dynamics and hormonal mechanisms. J Immunol 154: 5511-5527, 1995.

53. Dhabhar FS, Miller AH, McEwen BS and Spencer RL: Stress-induced changes in blood leukocyte distribution. Role of adrenal steroids hormones. J Immunol 157: 1683-1644, 1996.

54. Dhabhar FS and McEwen BS: Enhancing versus suppressive effects of stress hormones on skin immune function. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 1059-1064, 1999.

55. Dhabhar FS and Viswanathan K: Short-term stress experienced at time of immunization induces a long-lasting increase in immunologic memory. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 289: R738-R744, 2005.

56. Saint-Mezard P, Chavagnac C, Bosset S, Ionescu M, Peyron E, Kaiserlian D, Nicolas JF and Bérard F: Psychological stress exerts an adjuvant effect on skin dendritic cell functions in vivo. J Immunol 171: 4073-4080, 2003.

57. Dhabhar FS, Miller AH, McEwen BS and Spencer RL: Stress-induced changes in blood leukocyte distribution. Role of adrenal steroids hormones. J Immunol 157: 1683-1644, 1996.

58. Dhabhar FS and McEwen BS: Enhancing versus suppressive effects of stress hormones on skin immune function. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 1059-1064, 1999.

59. Dhabhar FS and Viswanathan K: Short-term stress experienced at time of immunization induces a long-lasting increase in immunologic memory. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 289: R738-R744, 2005.

60. Saint-Mezard P, Chavagnac C, Bosset S, Ionescu M, Peyron E, Kaiserlian D, Nicolas JF and Bérard F: Psychological stress exerts an adjuvant effect on skin dendritic cell functions in vivo. J Immunol 171: 4073-4080, 2003.

61. Dhabhar FS, Miller AH, McEwen BS and Spencer RL: Stress-induced changes in blood leukocyte distribution. Role of adrenal steroids hormones. J Immunol 157: 1683-1644, 1996.

62. Dhabhar FS and McEwen BS: Enhancing versus suppressive effects of stress hormones on skin immune function. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 1059-1064, 1999.

63. Dhabhar FS and Viswanathan K: Short-term stress experienced at time of immunization induces a long-lasting increase in immunologic memory. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 289: R738-R744, 2005.

64. Saint-Mezard P, Chavagnac C, Bosset S, Ionescu M, Peyron E, Kaiserlian D, Nicolas JF and Bérard F: Psychological stress exerts an adjuvant effect on skin dendritic cell functions in vivo. J Immunol 171: 4073-4080, 2003.

65. Dhabhar FS, Miller AH, McEwen BS and Spencer RL: Stress-induced changes in blood leukocyte distribution. Role of adrenal steroids hormones. J Immunol 157: 1683-1644, 1996.

66. Dhabhar FS and McEwen BS: Enhancing versus suppressive effects of stress hormones on skin immune function. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96: 1059-1064, 1999.

67. Dhabhar FS and Viswanathan K: Short-term stress experienced at time of immunization induces a long-lasting increase in immunologic memory. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 289: R738-R744, 2005.
77. Pullar CE, Rizzo A and Isseroff RR: beta-Adrenergic receptor antagonists accelerate skin wound healing: Evidence for a catecholamine synthesis network in the epidermis. J Biol Chem 281: 21225-21232, 2006.

78. Winkelmann RK: Cutaneous nerves in relation to epithelial tumors. J Invest Dermatol 27: 273-279, 1956.

79. Lutgendorf SK, Cole S, Costanzo E, Bradley S, Coffin J, Jabbari S, Rainwater K, Ritchie JM, Yang M and Sood AK: Stress-related mediators stimulate vascular endothelial growth factor secretion by two ovarian cancer cell lines. Clin Cancer Res 9: 4514-4521, 2003.

80. Lutgendorf SK, Johnsen EL, Cooper B, Anderson B, Sorosky JI, Yucel T, Mutnal A, Fayak, Fligiel SE, Wang T, Johnson T, Sood AK, Bhatty R, Kamat AA, Landen CN, Han L, Thaker PH, Ugurel S, Rappl G, Tilgen W and Reinholdt: Increased serum proteinase expression. J Neuroimmunol 133: 144-150, 2002.

81. WB and Glaser R: Stress-related modulation of matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 in cutaneous basal and squamous cell carcinomas. Anticancer Res 19 (4B): 2929-2938, 1999.

82. Claudy A: Expression of basement membrane antigens and matrix secretions in basal cell carcinoma. dis Markers 2016: 9831237, 2016.

83. Bastian A, Popp C, Nichita L, Andrei R, Tebeica T, Jabbari S, Rainwater J, Ritchie JM, Yang M and Sood AK: Progesterone-induced postmenopausal breast cancer exhibits reduced estrogen receptor expression and increased angiogenesis. J Invest Dermatol 27: 273-279, 1956.

84. Delecluse C, Fukuyma K and Epstein WL: Dibutyryl cyclic AMP-induced differentiation of epidermal cells in tissue culture. J Invest Dermatol 67: 397-401, 1981.

85. Baker SR and Varani J: Matrix metalloproteinase expression in basal cell carcinoma of the skin. J Invest Dermatol 16: 400-412, 2005.

86. English B, Kavka-Van Norman D and Orchard: Epidermal chalone and cyclic AMP: An in vivo study. Cell 11: 405-416, 1978.

87. Steinhoff M: Neuronal control of skin function: The skin as a neuroendocrine and the skin immune systems. Exp Dermatol 7: 81-96, 1998.

88. Horsley V and Grant-Robertson RD: Neuropeptides and neuroendocrine hormones in ultraviolet radiation-induced immunosuppression. Methods 28: 97-103, 2002.

89. Holzer P: Local effector functions of capsaicin-sensitive sensory nerve endings: Involvement of tachykinins, calcitonin gene-related peptide and other neuropeptides. Neuroscience 24: 739-768, 1988.

90. Richardson JD and Vasko MR: Cellular mechanisms of neurogenic inflammation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 302: 839-845, 2002.

91. Rosca AE, Caruntu A, Moraru L, Popa IM, Calenic B, Bastian A, Popp C, Nichita L, Andrei R, Tebeica T, Jabbari S, Rainwater J, Ritchie JM, Yang M and Sood AK: Vascular endothelial growth factor and factor secretion by two ovarian cancer cell lines. Clin Cancer Res 12: 369-375, 2006.

92. Tilling T and Moll I: Which are the cells of origin in Merkel cell tumors. J Invest dermatol 27: 273-279, 1956.

93. Asahina A, Hosoi J, Grabbe S and Granstein RD: Modulation of Langerhans cell function by nerve growth factors. J Allergy Clin Immunol 96: 1178-1182, 1995.

94. Gillardon F, Moll I, Michel S, Benrath J, Weihe E and Zimmermann M: Calcitonin gene-related peptide and nitric oxide are involved in ultraviolet radiation-induced immunosuppression. Eur J Pharmacol 293: 395-400, 1995.

95. Seiffe M, Ikeda M, Morimoto A, Matsuzaki M and Kodama H: Increased synthesis of calcitonin gene-related peptide stimulates keratinocyte proliferation in murine UVB-irradiated skin. J Dermatol Sci 28: 135-143, 2002.

96. Nizzi R, Alard P and Streilein JW: Calcitonin gene-related peptide is necessary for the induction of a contact hypersensitivity response in UVB-irradiated skin. J Immunol 159: 5183-5186, 1997.

97. English KB, Kavka-Van Norman D and Horsch K: Effects of chronic denervation in type I cutaneous mechanoreceptors (Haarscheiben). Anat Rec 207: 79-88, 1983.

98. Nurse CA, Mackay L and Diviend J: A quantitative study of the time course of the reduction in Merkel cell number within denervated rat touch domes. Neuroscience 11: 521-533, 1984.

99. Tilling T and Moll I: Which are the cells of origin in Merkel cell carcinoma? J Skin Cancer 2012: 680410, 2012.

100. Yenag B: Modulation of melanocytic activity by acetylcholine. Carcinogenesis 33: 550-556, 1984.

101. Iyengar B: Modulation of melanocytic activity by acetylcholine. Carcinogenesis 33: 550-556, 1984.

102. Seiffert K and Granstein RD: Neuropeptides and neuroendocrine hormones in ultraviolet radiation-induced immunosuppression. Methods 28: 97-103, 2002.

103. Holzer P: Local effector functions of capsaicin-sensitive sensory nerve endings: Involvement of tachykinins, calcitonin gene-related peptide and other neuropeptides. Neuroscience 24: 739-768, 1988.

104. Richardson JD and Vasko MR: Cellular mechanisms of neurogenic inflammation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 302: 839-845, 2002.

105. Rosca AE, Caruntu A, Moraru L, Popa IM, Calenic B, Bastian A, Popp C, Nichita L, Andrei R, Tebeica T, Jabbari S, Rainwater J, Ritchie JM, Yang M and Sood AK: Vascular endothelial growth factor and factor secretion by two ovarian cancer cell lines. Clin Cancer Res 12: 369-375, 2006.
122. Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z, Hökfelt T, Lundberg JM, Forssmann W.G., Regoli D, Boudon A and Fauchère JL: Receptors and antag-
124. Bang R, Sass G, Kiemer A.K., Vollmar A.M., Neuhuber W.L. and Esteban F, Muñoz M, González-Moles M.A. and Rosso M:
133. Eschenfelder C.C, Benrath J, Zimmermann M and Gillardon F: The Nk1 receptor is involved in the antitumoural
136. Brener S, González-Moles M.A, Tostes D, Esteban F, Gallo O, Massini E, Morbidelli L, Franchi A, Fini-Storchi I,
139. Thomsen LL, Miles D.W., Happerfield L., Bobrow L.G., Knowles R.G. and Moncada S: Nitric oxide synthase activity in human breast cancer. Br J Cancer 72: 41-44, 1995.
143. Nathan C: Inducible nitric oxide synthase: what difference does it make? J Clin Invest 106: 2417-2423, 1997.
145. Yagihashi N, Kasajima H., Sugai S., Matsumoto K., Ebina Y., Morita T., Murakami T. and Yagihashi S: Increased in situ expression of nitric oxide synthase in human colorectal cancer. Virchows Arch 436: 109-114, 2000.
148. Brennan P.A., Umar T., Bowden J., Hobkirk A., Spedding A.V., Conroy B., Zaki G. and Macpherson DW: Nitric oxide synthase expression is downregulated in basal cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 38: 633-636, 2000.
149. Andrade SP, Hart IR and Piper PJ: Inhibitors of nitric oxide synthase selectively reduce flow in tumor-associated neovascularization. Br J Pharmacol 117: 1092-1095, 1995.
150. Muela H., Noguchi Y., Sato K and Akaiake T: Enhanced vascular permeability in solid tumor is mediated by nitric oxide and inhibited by both new nitric oxide scavenger and nitric oxide synthase inhibitor. Jpn J Cancer Res 85: 331-334, 1994.
153. Vidal MJ, Zocchi MR, Poggi A, Pellegatta F and Chierchia SL: Involvement of nitric oxide in tumor cell adhesion to cytokerine-activated endothelial cells. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 20 (Suppl. 12): S155-S159, 1992.
154. Dong Z., Staroselsky AH, Qi X., Xie K. and Fidler JJ: Inverse correlation between expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase activity and production of metastasis in K-1735 murine melanoma cells. Cancer Res 54: 789-793, 1994.
156. Jin Z., Mori Y., Hamilton JP., Olaru A., Sato F., Yang J., Ito T., Kan T., Agarwal R. and Meltzer SJ: Hypermethylation of the somatostatin promoter is a common, early event in human esophageal carcinogenesis. Cancer 112: 43-49, 2008.
157. Jackson K., Soutto M., Deng H., Tu T., Marshall D. and El-Rifai W: Epigenetic silencing of somatostatin in gastric cancer. Dig Dis Sci 56: 125-130, 2011.
158. Mori Y., Cai K., Cheng Y., Wang S., Paun B., Hamilton JP., Jin Z., Sato F., Berki A.T., Kan T., et al: A genome-wide search identifies epigenetic silencing of somatostatin, tachykinin-I, and 5 other genes in colon cancer. Gastroenterology 131: 797-808, 2006.
159. Reubi JC and Laissue JA: Multiple actions of somatostatin in neoplastic disease. Trends Pharmacol Sci 16: 110-115, 1995.
160. Scambia G., Benedetti Pesce P., Baiocchi G., Andreani C., Gaggini C., Giannelli S. and Mancuso S: Growth inhibitory effect of somatostatin (SS) on human breast cancer cells in culture. J Steroid Biochem 28: 165, 1987.
161. Liebow C., Reilly C., Serrano M. and Schally AV: Somatostatin analogues inhibit growth of pancreatic cancer by stimulating tyrosine phosphatase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86: 2003-2007, 1989.
164. Mascardo RN and Sherline P: Somatostatin inhibits rapid centrosomal separation and cell proliferation induced by epidermal growth factor. Endocrinology 111: 1394-1396, 1982.

165. Kamiya Y, Hayama T, Hayakawa F, Ito J, Kajiwara M, Tamura T and Sakamizu N: Effect of somatostatin and its analog on proliferation of human epidermoid carcinoma cells in vitro. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 191: 302-307, 1993.

166. Burbach J and Wiegant V: Neuropeptides: basics and perspectives. dc Wied D (ed). Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp45-103, 1990.

167. Thody AJ, Ridley K, Penny RJ, Chalmers R, Fisher C and Shuster S: MSP peptides are present in mammalian skin. Peptides 4: 813-816, 1983.

168. Schramm T, Trauringer J, Köck A, Schwarz A, Bhargava R, Simon M, Ansel JC, Schwarz T and Lugger TA: Proopiomelanocortin-derived peptides are synthesized and released by human keratinocytes. J Clin Invest 93: 2288-2292, 1994.

169. Slominski A and Mihm MC: Potential mechanism of skin wounding to stress. Int J Dermatol 35: 849-851, 1996.

170. Slominski A, Wortsman J, Lugter T, Paus R and Solomon S: Corticotropin releasing hormone and proopiomelanocortin involvement in the cutaneous response to stress. Physiol Rev 80: 979-1020, 2000.

171. Slominski AT, Skoczylas V, Choudhury M, Fazal N, Fechner K, Furcht T, Krause E, Roloff B, Sayeed M, Wei E, et al.: Cutaneous expression of CRH and CRH-R. Is there a skin stress response system?. Ann N Y Acad Sci 885: 287-311, 1999.

172. Slominski A, Zbytek B, Pisarchik A, Slominski RM, Zmijewski MA and Wortsman J: CRH functions as a growth factor in keratinocytes. J Cell Physiol 206: 780-791, 2006.

173. Slominski A, Wortsman J, Pisarchik A, Zbytek B, Linton EA, Mazurkiewicz JE and Wei ET: Cutaneous expression of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), urocortin, and CRH receptors. FASEB J 15: 1678-1693, 2001.

174. Slominski A, Pisarchik A, Tobin DJ, Mazurkiewicz JE and Wortsman J: Differential expression of a cutaneous corticotropin-releasing hormone system. Endocrinology 145: 941-950, 2004.

175. Slominski A, Roloff B, Curry J, Dahinya M, Szczesniewski A and Wortsman J: The skin produces urocortin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85: 815-823, 2000.

176. Slominski A, Wortsman J, Mazurkiewicz JE, Matsuoka U, Dietrich J, Lawrence K, Gorbani A and Paus R: Detection of proopiomelanocortin-derived antigens in normal and pathologic human skin. J Invest Dermatol 122: 658-666, 1999.

177. Slominski A, Heasley D, Mazurkiewicz JE, Ermak G, Baker J and Carlson JA: Expression of proopiomelanocortin (POMC)-derived melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH) and adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) peptides in skin of basal cell carcinoma patients. Hum Pathol 30: 208-215, 1999.

178. Slominski A: Identification of betacellulin, alpha-MSH and ACTH peptides in cultured human melanocytes, melanoma and squamous cell carcinoma cells by RP-HPLC. Exp Dermatol 7: 213-216, 1998.

179. Sato H, Nagashima Y, Chrousos GP, Ichihashi M and Funasaki Y: The expression of corticotropin-releasing hormone in melanoma. Pigment Cell Res 15: 98-103, 2002.

180. Slominski A and Pawelek J: Animals under the sun: Effects of ultraviolet radiation and proinflammatory cytokines on the development of basal cell carcinoma in humans. Methods 28: 807-812, 1999.

181. Scholzen TE, Brzoska T, kalden d-h, O'Reilly F, Armstrong CA, Nguyen MT, Flynn E, Zetter B and Majzoub JA: Corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulates angiogenesis and epithelial tumor growth in the skin. J Invest Dermatol 113: 838-842, 1999.

182. Chakraborty AK, Funasaki Y, Slominski A, Ermak G, Hwang J, Pawelek JM and Ichihashi M: Production and release of proopiomelanocortin (POMC) derived peptides by human melanocytes and keratinocytes in culture: Regulation by ultraviolet B. Biochim Biophys Acta 1313: 130-138, 1996.

183. Ferjan I and Lipnik-Stangelj M: Chronic pain treatment: The influence of tricyclic antidepressants on serotonin release and uptake in mast cells. Methods Mol Biol 340: 159-168, 2006.

184. Slominski A, Wortsman J and Finlay-Jones JJ: Dermal mast cells determine susceptibility to ultraviolet B-induced systemic suppression of contact hypersensitivity responses in mice. J Exp Med 187: 2045-2053, 1998.

185. Huang CM, Elmets CA, van kampen kR, desilva TS, Barnes S, Maltby S, k hazaie k and McNagny k M: Mast cells from the skin to the draining lymph nodes upon ultraviolet irradiation represents a key step in the induction of immunosuppression. J Immunol 180: 4648-4655, 2008.

186. Luger TA, Schauer E, Trauring F, Krutmann J, Ansel J, Schwarz A and Schwarz T: Production of immunosuppressing melanotropins by human keratinocytes. Ann N Y Acad Sci 680 (1 The Melanotro): 567-570, 1993.

187. Arbiser JL, karalis k, Viswanathan A, koike C, Anand-Apte B, Park CJ and Kim BH: Corticotropin releasing hormone -proopiomelanocortin axis in various skin tumours. Br J Dermatol 155: 910-915, 2006.
208. Schwarz A, Ständer S, Berneburg M, Böhm M, Kulms D, van Steeg H, Grosse-Heitmeyer K, Krutmann J and Schwarz T: Interleukin-12 suppresses ultraviolet radiation-induced apoptosis by inducing DNA repair. Nat Cell Biol 4: 26-31, 2002.

209. Varricchi G, Galdiero MR, Marone G, Granata F, Borriello F and Marone G: Controversial role of mast cells in skin cancers. Exp Dermatol 26: 11-17, 2017.

210. Sawatsubashi M, Yamada T, Fukushima N, Mizokami H, Tokunaga O and Shin T: Association of vascular endothelial growth factor and mast cells with angiogenesis in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Virchows Arch 436: 243-248, 2000.

211. Diaconu NC, Kaminska R, Naukkarinen A, Harvima RJ and Harvima IT: The increase in tryptase- and chymase-positive mast cells is associated with partial inactivation of chymase and increase in protease inhibitors in basal cell carcinoma. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 21: 908-915, 2007.

212. Hart PH, Townley SL, Grimaldeston MA, Khalil Z and Finlay-Jones JJ: Mast cells, neuropeptides, histamine, and prostaglandins in UV-induced systemic immunosuppression. Methods 28: 79-89, 2002.

213. Townley SL, Grimaldeston MA, Ferguson I, Rush RA, Zhang SH, Zhou XF, Conner JM, Finlay-Jones JJ and Hart PH: Nerve growth factor, neuropeptides, and mast cells in ultraviolet-B-induced systemic suppression of contact hypersensitivity responses in mice. J Invest Dermatol 118: 396-401, 2002.

214. Singh LK, Pang X, Alexacos N, Letourneau R and Theoharides TC: Acute immobilization stress triggers skin mast cell degranulation via corticotropin releasing hormone, neuropeptides, and substance P: A link to neurogenic skin disorders. Brain Behav Immun 13: 225-239, 1999.