Non-contiguous finished genome sequence and description of *Bartonella senegalensis* sp. nov.
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*Bartonella senegalensis* sp. nov. strain OS02¹ is the type strain of *B. senegalensis* sp. nov., a new species within the genus *Bartonella*. This strain, whose genome is described here, was isolated in Senegal from the soft tick *Ornithodoros sonrai*, the vector of relapsing fever. *B. senegalensis* is an aerobic, rod-shaped, Gram-negative bacterium. Here we describe the features of this organism, together with the complete genome sequence and its annotation. The 1,966,996 bp-long genome contains 1,710 protein-coding and 46 RNA genes, including 6 rRNA genes.

Introduction

*Bartonella* is the only genus of the family *Bartonellaceae* of Alphaproteobacteria. To date, 29 *Bartonella* species have been validly published [1,2], and many isolates have yet to be described. These bacteria are facultative intracellular pathogens, many of which infect erythrocytes [3]. At least 13 *Bartonella* species are associated with human diseases. *B. bacilliformis*, *B. quintana* and *B. henselae*, are relatively common human pathogens and cause Carrión’s disease, trench fever and cat scratch fever, respectively. Different species of *Bartonella* are also associated with chronic bacteraemia and/or endocarditis, bacillary angiomatosis, peliosis hepatitis, retinitis, uveitis, and myocarditis [4].

The epidemiological cycle of bartonellae consists of a reservoir host, which is a vertebrate with a chronic intravascular infection and sustained bacteremia, and a vector (usually a blood-sucking arthropod such as fleas, sandflies or lice) that transfers the bacteria from the reservoir to a susceptible host. *Bartonella* species are typically associated with a specific primary host; *e.g.*, *B. henselae* is commonly found in domestic and wild felids all over the world, including Africa [5-7], whereas *B. bacilliformis* is human-specific. Animal hosts of bartonellae include dogs, rabbits, coyotes, foxes, cattle, deer, elk and multiple rodent species [6,8-10]. For most pathogenic bartonellae (except *B. bacilliformis* and *B. quintana*), humans are accidental (secondary) hosts [6].

In 2003, La Scola *et al.* proposed a multilocus sequence analysis based on 4 genes and one intergenic spacer as a tool for the description of new *Bartonella* species [11]. Among these genetic markers, two, *i.e.*, *gltA* and *rpoB*, were particularly discriminatory, with new *Bartonella* isolates considered as new species if they exhibit <96.0% and <95.4% sequence identity with other validly published species for the 327- and 825-bp fragments of the *gltA* and *rpoB* genes, respectively [2,11-13]. This strategy of combining sequences from several genes, usually housekeeping genes, is congruent with the “gold-standard” DNA–DNA reassociation for several bacterial genera [14].

In this study, we used La Scola’s criteria and described the genome sequence as well as main phenotypic characteristics of strain OS02T. Here, we present a summary classification and a set of features for *B. senegalensis* sp. nov. strain OS02T together with the description of the complete genomic sequence and annotation. These characteristics support the definition of the species *B. senegalensis*. 
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**Classification and features**
Fifteen adult *Ornithodoros sonrai* soft ticks were collected in 2008 from rodent burrows in the Soulkhoul Thissé village (a rural village in the Guinean-Sudanian zone in Senegal) as part of a prospective study on tick-borne relapsing fever in West Africa. Ticks were preserved at room temperature for 40 days without feeding prior to further testing. The isolation of *Bartonella* strains from ticks was performed as described previously [15] and the results will be reported elsewhere. Strain OS02 (Table 1) was obtained in June 2009 from a single tick following a 7-day incubation at 37°C in 5% CO2-enriched atmosphere on Columbia agar (BioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France).

**Table 1. Classification and general features of *Bartonella senegalensis* strain OS02T.**

| MIGS ID | Property                      | Term                          | Evidence code<sup>a</sup> |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|         | Domain                        | *Bacteria*                    | TAS [16]                    |
|         | Phylum                        | *Proteobacteria*              | TAS [17]                    |
| Current classification | Order                         | *Rhizobiales*                 | TAS [19,20]                 |
|         | Family                        | *Bartonellaceae*              | TAS [21-23]                 |
|         | Genus                         | *Bartonella*                  | TAS [21,23-26]              |
|         | Species                       | *Bartonella senegalensis*     | IDA                         |
|         | Type strain                   | OS02<sup>T</sup>              | IDA                         |
|         | Gram stain                    | Negative                      | IDA                         |
|         | Cell shape                    | Rod                           | IDA                         |
|         | Motility                      | Non-motile                    | IDA                         |
|         | Sporulation                   | Non-sporulating               | IDA                         |
|         | Temperature range             | Mesophilic                    | IDA                         |
|         | Optimum temperature           | 37°C                          | IDA                         |
| MIGS-6.3 | Salinity                    | Growth in BHI medium + 5% NaCl | IDA                         |
| MIGS-22 | Oxygen requirement            | Aerobic                       | IDA                         |
|         | Carbon source                 | Unknown                       | IDA                         |
|         | Energy source                 | Unknown                       | IDA                         |
| MIGS-6  | Habitat                       | Tick gut                      | IDA                         |
| MIGS-15 | Biotic relationship           | Facultative intracellular     | IDA                         |
|         | Pathogenicity                 | Unknown                       | IDA                         |
|         | Biosafety level               | 3                             | IDA                         |
| MIGS-14 | Isolation                    | Soft tick *Ornithodoros sonrai* | IDA                         |
| MIGS-4  | Geographic location           | Senegal                       | IDA                         |
| MIGS-5  | Sample collection time        | May 2009                      | IDA                         |
| MIGS-4.1| Latitude                      | 14°03’N                       | IDA                         |
| MIGS-4.2| Longitude                     | 15°31’W                       | IDA                         |
| MIGS-4.3| Depth                        | ~0.5 m under surface          | IDA                         |
| MIGS-4.4| Altitude                     | 45 m above sea level          | IDA                         |

<sup>a</sup>Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample but based on a generally accepted property for the species or anecdotal evidence). Evidence codes come from the Gene Ontology project [27]. If the evidence is IDA, then the property was directly observed for a live isolate by one of the authors or an expert mentioned in the acknowledgements.
In addition to gltA and rpoB partial gene sequencing, we also sequenced the intergenic transcribed spacer (ITS) and the 16S rRNA and ftsZ genes as previously described [11,26,28-30]. Strain OS02T exhibited nucleotide sequence similarities of 85.7, 99.3, 94.5, 94.9 and 93.6% for the ITS, 16S rRNA, ftsZ, gltA and rpoB genes, respectively, with B. henselae, the phylogenetically closest validly named Bartonella species (Figure 1).

Different growth temperatures (32, 37, 42°C) were tested. Growth occurred only at 37°C in 5% CO2. Colonies were gray, opaque and 0.5 mm to 1 mm in diameter on blood-enriched Columbia agar. A motility test was negative. Cells grown on agar are Gram negative and have a mean length and width of 1,254.4±329.3 nm and 533.3±100.5 nm, respectively, by electron microscopy (Figure 2). No flagella or pili were observed.

Strain OS02T exhibited neither catalase nor oxidase activity. Biochemical characteristics were assessed using an Anaerobe Identification Test Panel AN MicroPlate™ (Biolog Inc., Hayward, CA, USA). None of 95 biochemical tests available (including D-mannose, D-fructose and D-galactose) were positive. Similar profiles were previously observed for other Bartonella species [13].

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of Bartonella senegalensis strain OS02 relative to other type strains within the genus Bartonella. Concatenated gltA and rpoB sequences were aligned using CLUSTALW and phylogenetic inferences obtained using Bayesian phylogenetic analysis [31] with the TOPALi 2.5 software (Biomathematics and Statistics Scotland, Edinburgh, UK) with the integrated MrBayes application [32] with the GTR+Γ substitution model. GenBank accession numbers are indicated in parentheses as (gltA, rpoB). Numbers at the nodes are bootstrap values obtained by repeating the analysis 100 times to generate a majority consensus tree. There were a total of 1,044 positions in the final dataset. The scale bar indicates a 10% nucleotide sequence divergence.
Figure 2. Transmission electron micrograph of *B. senegalensis* strain OS02<sup>T</sup>, using a Morgagni 268D (Philips) transmission electron microscope at an operating voltage of 60 kV. The scale bar represents 500 nm.

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry protein analysis was carried out as previously described [33]. Five isolated colonies of strain OS02<sup>T</sup> were deposited as individual spots on the MALDI target plate. Each smear was overlaid with 2 μL of matrix solution (a saturated solution of alpha-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid) in 50% acetonitrile/2.5% trifluoroacetic acid, and allowed to dry for five minutes. Measurements were performed with a Microflex spectrometer (Bruker). The five OS02<sup>T</sup> spectra were imported into the MALDI BioTyper software (version 2.0, Bruker) and analyzed by standard pattern matching (with default parameter settings) against the main spectra of 4,613 bacteria in the BioTyper database and of 19 *Bartonella* species in our own database. The identification method included the *m/z* from 3,000 to 15,000 Da. For every spectrum, a maximum of 100 peaks were taken into account and compared with the spectra in the database. For strain OS02<sup>T</sup>, the scores obtained were always below 1.5 (a score < 1.7 did not enable an identification), suggesting that our isolate was not a member of a known species. We added the spectrum from strain OS02<sup>T</sup> to the database (Figure 3).

**Genome sequencing information**

**Genome project history**

The organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of the similarity of its 16S rRNA, ITS, *ftsZ*, *gltA* and *rpoB* to other members of the genus *Bartonella*. Nucleotide sequence similarity levels of these genes suggested that strain OS02<sup>T</sup> represents a new species in the genus *Bartonella*. A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2. The GenBank accession number is CALV00000000, and the entry consists of 99 contigs (≥200 bp) and 9 scaffolds (>1,500 bp). Table 2 shows the project information and its association with MIGS version 2.0 compliance.
Figure 3. Reference mass spectrum from *B. senegalensis* strain OS02\(^1\). Spectra from 5 individual colonies were compared, and a reference spectrum was generated.

| MIGS ID   | Property               | Term                          |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MIGS-31   | Finishing quality      | High-quality draft            |
| MIGS-28   | Libraries used         | One paired-end 3-kb library   |
| MIGS-29   | Sequencing platforms   | 454 GS FLX Titanium           |
| MIGS-31.2 | Fold coverage          | 30×                           |
| MIGS-30   | Assemblers             | Newbler version 2.5.3         |
| MIGS-32   | Gene calling method    | Prodigal                      |
| Genbank ID|                         | CALV00000000                   |
| Genbank Date of Release |                 | August 17, 2012               |
| MIGS-13   | Project relevance      | Biodiversity of *Ornithodoros sonrai* flora |
Growth conditions and DNA isolation

*B. senegalensis* sp. nov. strain OS02T (DSM 23168; CSUR B623) was grown on 5% sheep blood-enriched Columbia agar at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Four Petri dishes were spread and resuspended in 3×100 μl of G2 buffer (EZ1 DNA Tissue kit, Qiagen). A first mechanical lysis was performed by glass powder on the Fastprep-24 device (Sample Preparation system; MP Biomedicals, USA) using 2×20-second cycles. DNA was then treated with 2.5 μg/μL lysozyme (30 minutes at 37°C) and extracted through the BioRobot EZ 1 Advanced XL (Qiagen). The DNA was then concentrated and purified on a Qiaprep kit (Qiagen). The yield and concentration were measured by the Quanti-it Picogreen kit (Invitrogen) on the Genios Tecan fluorometer at 130.4 ng/μl.

Genome sequencing and assembly

DNA (5 μg) was mechanically fragmented on a Hydroshear device (Digilab, Holliston, MA, USA) with an enrichment size of 3-4 kb. The DNA fragmentation was visualized using the Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer on a DNA labchip 7500 with an optimal size of 3.475 kb. The library was constructed according to the 454 GS FLX Titanium paired-end protocol. Circularization and nebulization were performed and generated a pattern with an optimum at 641 bp. After PCR amplification over 17 cycles followed by double size selection, the single-stranded paired-end library was then quantified with the BioAnalyzer on a DNA labchip RNA pico 6,000 at 323 pg/μL. The library concentration equivalence was calculated as 9.24E+08 molecules/μL. The library was stored at -20°C until further use.

The library was clonally amplified with 1 cpb and 1.5 cpb in 4 and 3 emPCR reactions, respectively, with the GS Titanium SV emPCR Kit (Lib-L) v2 (Roche). The yields of the 1 cpb and 1.5 cpb emPCR were determined to be 3.08% and 8%, respectively. After amplification, 790,000 beads from the 2 emPCR conditions were loaded on a ¼ region on the GS Titanium PicoTiterPlate PTP Kit 70×75 and sequenced with the GS FLX Titanium Sequencing Kit XLR70 (Roche). The run was analyzed on the cluster through the gsRunBrowser and Newbler assembler (Roche). A total of 200,243 passed filter wells were obtained and generated 57.62 Mb of DNA sequence with an average length of 287 bp. The passed filter sequences were assembled using Newbler with 90% identity and 40 bp for overlap requirements. The final assembly identified 9 scaffolds and 63 large contigs (≥1,500 bp), generating a genome size of 1.98 Mb, which corresponds to 29.10× equivalent genome.

Genome annotation

Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted using PRODIGAL [34] with default parameters, but predicted ORFs were excluded if they spanned a sequencing gap region. The predicted bacterial protein sequences were searched against the GenBank database [35] using BLASTP and the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) database using COGNITOR [36]. The prediction of RNA genes, i.e., rRNAs, tRNAs and other RNAs, was performed using the RNAmmer [37] and ARAGORN [38] algorithms. The transmembrane helices and signal peptides were identified using TMHMM [39] and SignalP [40], respectively.

Genome properties

The genome is 1,966,996 bp long (one chromosome, no plasmids) with a 38.6% G+C content (Table 3, Figure 4). Of the 1,756 predicted genes, 1,710 were protein-coding genes, and 46 were RNAs (2 rRNA operons and 40 tRNA genes). A total of 997 genes (58.3%) were assigned a putative function. The remaining genes were annotated as either hypothetical proteins or proteins of unknown functions. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4. The properties and the statistics of the genome are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Insights from the genome sequence

Compared to *B. henselae* strain Houston (GenBank accession number NC_005956), its closest phylogenetic neighbor, *B. senegalensis* strain OS02T had a larger genome (1,966,996 and 1,931,047 bp, respectively), more genes (1,756 and 1,491 genes, respectively) and a higher G+C content (38.6 and 38%, respectively). The protein-coding genes present in *B. senegalensis* but absent or split in *B. henselae* included multidrug-efflux transporter, membrane protein formate-tetrahydrofolate ligase, formate-tetrahydrofolate ligase, glycoside hydrolase family 3-like, glycoside hydrolase family 3-like, putative major facilitator superfamily, SAM-dependent methyltransferases, resolvases, toxin-antitoxin modules, transposases, ubiquinol-cytochrome C reductase, *LeuA2*, and phage proteins, as well as several hypothetical proteins.
Table 3. Nucleotide content and percentage of the genome

| Attribute                        | Value  | % of total<sup>a</sup> |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Genome size (bp)                 | 1,966,996 | 100                  |
| DNA coding region (bp)           | 1,488,480 | 75.7                 |
| DNA G+C content (bp)             | 760,125 | 38.6                 |
| Total genes                      | 1,756  | 100                  |
| RNA genes                        | 46     | 2.6                  |
| Protein-coding genes             | 1,710  | 97.4                 |
| Protein with predicted function  | 997    | 58.3                 |
| Genes assigned to COG            | 1,425  | 83                   |
| Genes with peptide signal        | 81     | 47.3                 |
| Genes with transmembrane helices (≥3) | 178    | 10.4                |

<sup>a</sup>The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.

Table 4. Number of genes associated with the 25 general COG functional categories<sup>†</sup>.

| Code | Value | %age | Description                                                                 |
|------|-------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| J    | 143   | 8.36 | Translation                                                                 |
| A    | 0     | 0    | RNA processing and modification                                            |
| K    | 76    | 4.44 | Transcription                                                               |
| L    | 98    | 5.73 | Replication, recombination and repair                                       |
| B    | 0     | 0    | Chromatin structure and dynamics                                           |
| D    | 22    | 1.29 | Cell cycle control, mitosis and meiosis                                    |
| Y    | 0     | 0    | Nuclear structure                                                           |
| V    | 10    | 0.58 | Defense mechanisms                                                          |
| T    | 38    | 2.22 | Signal transduction mechanisms                                              |
| M    | 89    | 5.20 | Cell wall/membrane biogenesis                                               |
| N    | 3     | 0.18 | Cell motility                                                               |
| Z    | 0     | 0    | Cytoskeleton                                                                |
| W    | 6     | 0.35 | Extracellular structures                                                    |
| U    | 68    | 3.98 | Intracellular trafficking and secretion                                     |
| O    | 76    | 4.44 | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover and chaperones             |
| C    | 81    | 4.74 | Energy production and conversion                                            |
| G    | 60    | 3.51 | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism                                       |
| E    | 124   | 7.25 | Amino acid transport and metabolism                                         |
| F    | 43    | 2.51 | Nucleotide transport and metabolism                                         |
| H    | 57    | 3.33 | Coenzyme transport and metabolism                                           |
| I    | 42    | 2.46 | Lipid transport and metabolism                                              |
| P    | 74    | 4.33 | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism                                      |
| Q    | 15    | 0.88 | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism                |
| R    | 183   | 10.70 | General function prediction only                                             |
| S    | 117   | 6.84 | Function unknown                                                            |
| X    | 449   | 26.26 | Not in COGs                                                                  |

<sup>†</sup>The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.
Figure 4. Circular map of the genome. From the outside in: genes on both forward and reverse strands, genes on the forward strand (red circle), genes on the reverse strand (blue circle) and genes colored by COG categories.
Conclusion
On the basis of phylogenetic and genotypic analyses, we formally propose the creation of *Bartonella senegalensis* sp. nov., which contains strain OS02T. This bacterium was isolated in Senegal.

**Description of *Bartonella senegalensis* sp. nov.**

*Bartonella senegalensis* (se.ne.ga.len’sis. N.L. fem. adj. *senegalensis* referring to Senegal, the African country that is home to the *Ornithodoros sonrai* tick from which the type strain was isolated).

Colonies are opaque, grey and 0.5 to 1.0 mm in diameter on blood-enriched Columbia agar. Cells are rod-shaped without flagellae. Length and width are 1,254.4±329.3 nm and 533.3±100.5 nm, respectively. Growth is only obtained at 37°C. Cells stain Gram-negative, are non-endospore-forming, and are non-motile. Catalase and oxidase activities are absent. No biochemical activity is observed using the Anaerobe Identification Test Panel AN MicroPlate.

The ITS, 16S rRNA, *ftsZ*, *rpoB* and *gltA* genes, and draft genome sequences are deposited in GenBank under accession numbers HM636451, HM636442, HM636445, HM636454, HM636448 and CALV00000000, respectively. The genome is 1,966,996 bp long and contains 1,710 protein-coding and 46 RNA genes, including 6 rRNA genes. The G+C content is 38.6%. The type strain OS02T (DSM 23168, CSUR B623) was isolated from an *O. sonrai* soft tick collected in a rodent burrow in a rural village in Senegal.

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to Denis Pyak, Audrey Borg, and Geetha Subramanian for their technical help. The present work was partly supported by the Agence Nationale de Recherche grant 2010 MALEMAF (research on emergent pathogens in Africa) and the Mediterranée Infection Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References
1. [http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/b/bartonella.html](http://www.bacterio.cict.fr/b/bartonella.html).
2. Sato S, Kabeya H, Fujinaga Y, Inoue K, Une Y, Yoshikawa Y, Maruyama S. *Bartonella jaculi* sp. nov., *Bartonella callosciuri* sp. nov., *Bartonella pachyuromydis* sp. nov., and *Bartonella acomydis* sp. nov. isolated from wild Rodentia. [Epub ahead of print]. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2012; Aug 31, 2013.
3. Birtles RJ. Bartonellae as elegant hemotropic parasites. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2005; 1063:270-279. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.1196/annals.1355.044)
4. Angelakis E, Billette SA, Breitschwerdt EB, Chomel BB, Raout D. Potential for tick-borne bartonelloses. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; 16:385-391. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1603.091685)
5. Chomel BB, Kasten RW, Henn JB, Molia S. *Bartonella* infection in domestic cats and wild felids. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2006; 1078:410-415. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.1196/annals.1374.080)
6. Chomel BB, Kasten RW. Bartonellosis, an increasingly recognized zoonosis. *J Appl Microbiol* 2010; 109:743-750. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04679.x)
7. Pretorius AM, Kuyl JM, Isherwood DR, Birtles RJ. *Bartonella henselae* in African lion, South Africa. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2004; 10:2257-2258. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1012.031054)
8. Maillard R, Vayssier-Taussat M, Bouillin C, Gandoin C, Halos L, Chomel B, Piémont Y, Boulouis HJ. Identification of *Bartonella* strains isolated from wild and domestic ruminants by a single-step PCR analysis of the 16S-23S intergenic spacer region. *Vet Microbiol* 2004; 98:63-69. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2003.09.022)
9. Minnick MF, Anderson BE. The genus *Bartonella*. In: Dworkin M (editor), The Procaryotes, Springer, New York, 2006, p. 467-493.
10. Breitschwerdt EB, Kordick DL. *Bartonella* infection in animals: carrier ship, reservoir potential, pathogenicity, and zoonotic potential for human infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2000; 13:428-438. [PubMed](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.13.3.428-438.2000)
Bartonella senegalensis sp. nov.

11. La Scola B, Zeaier Z, Khamis A, Raoult D. Gene-sequence-based criteria for species definition in bacteriology: the Bartonella paradigm. Trends Microbiol 2003; 11:318-321. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(03)00143-4

12. Inoue K, Kabeya H, Shiratori H, Ueda K, Kosoy MY, Chomel BB, Boulouis HJ, Maruyama S. Bartonella japonica sp. nov. and Bartonella silvatica sp. nov., isolated from Apodemus mice. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2010; 60:759-763. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0.011528-0

13. Gundi VA, Taylor C, Raoult D, La Scola B. Bartonella rataustraliani sp. nov., Bartonella queenslandensis sp. nov. and Bartonella cooperplainensis sp. nov., identified in Australian rats. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2009; 59:2956-2961. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijss.0.002865-0

14. Stackebrandt E, Frederiksen W, Garrity GM, Grimont PA, Kämpfer P, Maiden MC, Nesme X, Rosselló-Mora R, Swings J, Trüper HG, et al. Report of the ad hoc committee for the re-evaluation of the species definition in bacteriology. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2002; 52:1043-1047. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0.02360-0

15. Heller R, Riegel P, Hansmann Y, Delacour G, Bermond D, Dehio C, Lamarque F, Montel H, Chomel B, Piémont Y. Bartonella tribocorum sp. nov., a new Bartonella species isolated from the blood of wild rats. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1998; 48:1333-1339. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0027713-48-4-1333

16. Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains Archaea, Bacteria, and Eukarya. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87:4576-4579. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.12.4576

17. Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Phylum XIV. Proteobacteria phyl. nov. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT (eds), Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part B, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 1.

18. Garrity GM, Bell JA, Lilburn T. Class I. Alphaproteobacteria class. nov. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT (eds), Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part C, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 1.

19. Editor L. Validation List No. 107. List of new names and new combinations previously effectively, but not validly, published. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2006; 56:1-6. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijss.0.64188-0

20. Kuykendall LD. Order VI. Rhizobiales ord. nov. In: Garrity GM, Brenner DJ, Krieg NR, Staley JT (eds), Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 2, Part C, Springer, New York, 2005, p. 324.

21. Skerman VBD, McGowan V, Sneath PHA. Approved Lists of Bacterial Names. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1980; 30:225-420. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0027713-30-1-225

22. Gieszczykiewicz M. Zagadniene systematikii w bakteriologii - Zur Frage der Bakterien-Systemic. Bull Acad Pol Sci Biol 1939; 1:9-27.

23. Brenner DJ, O’Connor SP, Winkler HH, Steigerwalt AG. Proposals to unify the genera Bartonella and Rochalimaea, with descriptions of Bartonella quintana comb. nov., Bartonella vinsonii comb. nov., Bartonella henselae comb. nov., and Bartonella elizabethae comb. nov., and to remove the family Bartonellaceae from the order Rickettsiales. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1993; 43:777-786. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0027713-43-4-777

24. Strong RP, Tyzzer EE, Sellards AW. Oroya fever. Second report. J Am Med Assoc 1915; 64:806-808. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1915.0257036002007

25. Weinman D. Genus I. Bartonella Strong, Tyzzer and Sellards 1915, 808. In: Buchanan RE, Gibbons NE (eds), Bergey’s Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, Eighth Edition, The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1974, p. 904-905.

26. Birtles RJ, Harrison TG, Saunders NA, Molyneux DH. Proposals to unify the genera Grahamella and Bartonella, with descriptions of Bartonella talpae comb. nov., Bartonella peromysci comb. nov., and three new species, Bartonella grahamii sp. nov., Bartonella taylorii sp. nov., and Bartonella doshiae sp. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1995; 45:1-8. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/0027713-45-1-1

27. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet 2000; 25:25-29. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/75556

28. Birtles RJ, Raoult D. Comparison of partial citrate synthase gene (gltA) sequences for phylogenetic analysis of Bartonella species. Int J Syst Bact
29. Renesto P, Gouvernet J, Drancourt M, Roux V, Raoult D. Use of rpoB gene analysis for detection and identification of Bartonella species. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001; **39**:430-437. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.2.430-437.2001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.2.430-437.2001)

30. Zeaiter Z, Liang Z, Raoult D. Genetic classification and differentiation of Bartonella species based on comparison of partial ftsZ gene sequences. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; **40**:3641-3647. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.10.3641-3647.2002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.10.3641-3647.2002)

31. Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 2003; **19**:1572-1574. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180)

32. [http:// mrbayes.csit.fsu.edu](http:// mrbayes.csit.fsu.edu).

33. Seng P, Drancourt M, Gouriet F, La SB, Fournier PE, Rolain JM, Raoult D. Ongoing revolution in bacteriology: routine identification of bacteria by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; **49**:543-551. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/600885](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/600885)

34. Prokaryotic Dynamic Programming Genefinding Algorithm (PRODIGAL) [http://prodigal.ornl.gov/](http://prodigal.ornl.gov/).

35. [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank). 28-12-2012

36. Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV. The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000; **28**:33-36. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33)

37. Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rodland EA, Staerfeldt HH, Rognes T, Ussery DW. RNAmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007; **35**:3100-3108. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm160](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm160)

38. Laslett D, Canback B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004; **32**:11-16. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152)

39. TMHMM [http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM).

40. Petersen TN, Brunak S. von HG, Nielsen H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat Methods* 2011; **8**:785-786. PubMed [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1701](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1701)