Collective States of Active Particles With Elastic Dipolar Interactions
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Many types of animal cells exert active, contractile forces and mechanically deform their elastic substrate, to accomplish biological functions such as migration. These substrate deformations provide a mechanism in principle by which cells may sense other cells, leading to long-range mechanical inter–cell interactions and possible self-organization. Here, inspired by cell mechanobiology, we propose an active matter model comprising self-propelling particles that interact at a distance through their mutual deformations of an elastic substrate. By combining a minimal model for the motility of individual particles with a linear elastic model that accounts for substrate-mediated, inter–particle interactions, we examine emergent collective states that result from the interplay of motility and long-range elastic dipolar interactions. In particular, we show that particles self-assemble into flexible, motile chains which can cluster to form diverse larger-scale compact structures with polar order. By computing key structural and dynamical metrics, we distinguish between the collective states at weak and strong elastic interaction strength, as well as at low and high motility. We also show how these states are affected by confinement within a channel geometry—an important characteristic of the complex mechanical micro-environment inhabited by cells. Our model predictions may be generally applicable to active matter with dipolar interactions ranging from biological cells to synthetic colloids endowed with electric or magnetic dipole moments.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Active matter typically comprises autonomous agents, biological or synthetic in origin, that harness internal energy sources to move [1, 2]. These agents often undergo complex interactions with each other and their surrounding media that influence their collective behavior [3]. Mammalian cells that move by crawling on elastic substrates such as tissue and constitute a canonical example of biological active matter in complex media, can cluster into persistently moving or rotating flocks [4]. These cells locomote by adhering to and exerting mechanical forces on their elastic extracellular substrate which they actively deform [5, 6]. The overall motility is guided by the cell’s interactions with its substrate as well as with other cells [7]. Cell-cell interactions can include mechanical interactions mediated by their mutual deformations of the surrounding elastic substrate [8, 9]. This is particularly the case in dilute cell cultures where cells are not in direct contact. On the other hand, in dense active matter systems such as in confluent epithelial cell monolayers, direct cell-cell interactions including steric interactions can dominate [10]. Mechanical interactions through a material medium are by their
interactions promote end-to-end alignment of active particles, they can self-organize into. In particular, strong dipolar particles, we demonstrate the rich array of collective states that is inspired by the mechanobiology of animal cells that are expected to govern the collective states of active particles [11], and enrich the large-scale phenomena such as phase separation that arise purely from motility [12, 13].

Mechanobiology experiments with adherent cells cultured on elastic hydrogel substrates [14, 15], suggest that substrate elasticity may provide a robust route to long lived and long ranged cell-cell interactions. Indeed, cell culture experiments indicate that cells exert measurable forces on their neighbors, either through direct cell-cell contacts, or indirectly through mutual deformations of a compliant, extracellular substrate [16, 17]. The substrate-mediated elastic interactions between such cells has important implications for biological processes such as self-organization during blood vessel morphogenesis [18] and synchronization of beating cardiac muscle cells [19–22]. The overall motility of spatially separated cells is expected to depend on cell-cell mechanical interactions. This is revealed by experimental observations of substrate stiffness-dependent interactions of pairs of motile cells [23, 24].

In general, active particles endowed with a dipole moment are expected to interact at long range with each other while also propelling themselves. Passive dipolar particles such as ferromagnetic colloids at equilibrium will align end-to-end into linear structures such as chains or rings [25, 26]. At higher densities, the chains intersect to form gel-like network structures [27]. Topological defects in the networks such as junctions and rings are expected to affect the phases of passive dipolar fluids [28, 29]. When powered by chemical activity, dipolar colloidal systems exhibit self-assembly that depends on both the long-range, anisotropic interactions, as well as active motion, as revealed in recent experiments [30]. Such structures have also been studied in simulation in the context of active dipolar particles representing auto-phoretic colloids [31, 32], as well as swimming microorganisms [33] such as magnetotactic bacteria [34]. In related theoretical studies, constrained or bundled chains of self-propelling colloidal particles [35–38] have also been shown to exhibit collective instabilities. Elasticity mediated interactions are seen to play critical roles, with the competition between mechanical interactions, steric interactions and activity determining the eventual dynamical behavior.

Here we build a minimal model of interacting elastic dipoles that is inspired by the mechanobiology of animal cells that actively deform their elastic substrate, while also exhibiting persistent motility. The starting point is the observation and deduction that contractile deformations of the underlying substrate originate from the elastic dipolar nature of stresses exerted by the cell on the substrate [39]. We show that incorporation of these substrate-mediated interactions offers a robust way to the formation of compact, and relatively stable collective states. Our model combining active self-propulsion of the particles with their long-range dipolar interactions applies to a general class of experimentally realizable systems, including synthetic colloids endowed with permanent or induced magnetic or electric dipole moments [40]. By performing Brownian dynamics simulations on a collection of such dipolar active particles, we demonstrate the rich array of collective states that they can self-organize into. In particular, strong dipolar interactions promote end-to-end alignment of active particles, leading to self-assembled, motile chains. These chains can then further self-assemble into a hierarchy of larger-scale structures.

2 MODEL

Our model system consists of soft, repulsive, active Brownian particles (ABPs) [41, 42] in two dimensions (2D), that interact at long range through elastic dipolar interactions and strongly repel when they overlap. We have previously studied a simple isotropic interaction model valid in the limit where the propulsion direction was decoupled from the magnitude of cell-cell interactions [43]. Here, we analyze a more general model that accounts for the anisotropy of cell interactions, expected for the elongated shapes characteristic of migrating cells.

The basis of elastic interactions between model cells is illustrated in Figure 1. The schematic Figure 1A shows the typical scenario of an adherent cell on top of an elastic substrate. The internal cytoskeletal machinery of the cell comprising actin stress fibers and myosin II molecular motors generates contractile mechanical forces, that are communicated to the external substrate through cell-substrate focal adhesions [44]. In a minimal, coarse-grained description, the traction force distribution of an elongated cell with a long axis a and exerting a typical force F at the adhesion sites, can be modeled as a force dipole with dipole moment μ = Fα. The theory of continuous elastic media then determines that the distribution of forces from multiple cells will lead to a restoring stress σ in the medium, that satisfies a force balance [45], where the net dipole density, ρij(x) = Σμijδ(−x−x′) is the sum of traction forces exerted by each point-like cell dipole, here labeled by an index μ. In modeling cells as point-like dipoles, we ignore their finite size, an assumption that is valid only at “far field”, i.e., at distances large compared to cell length. While this is not strictly the case in our simulations, a more general model accounting for finite separation of the cell forces is expected to lead to qualitatively similar interactions [22].

By considering two dipoles Pα and Pβ, we can show that the work done by a dipole β in deforming the elastic medium in the presence of the strain created by the other dipole α, is given by [46]: Wαβ = Pαβμx(x). This minimal coupling between dipolar stress and medium strain represents the mechanical interaction energy between dipoles. The strain in the elastic medium created by dipole α at the position of the dipole β is given by the gradient of the displacement, uij(x) = 1/2(∂xj + ∂xi) and can be calculated using standard methods in linear elastic theory [45]. This is detailed in the Methods section, where we follow the treatment introduced in Ref. [46]. The mechanical interaction between a pair of force dipoles is illustrated by the schematic in Figure 1B in the form of a 1D series of springs representing the effect of the elastic substrate. While the springs underlying the contractile dipoles are compressed, the springs between them are stretched. By moving to different positions in the medium for a given position of dipole α, the dipole β can reduce the net substrate deformation energy by compressing regions stretched by dipole α. This leads to a substrate deformation-mediated elastic force on the dipole β.
The red (blue) regions in the potential maps in Figure 1C represent repulsion (attraction) which arises from the substrate strain. Here, we use the convention that stretched (compressed) regions have positive (negative) strain, while compressive dipole moment is negative. While the map on the left corresponds to parallel alignment, that on the right maps the interaction potential for perpendicular alignment of the two dipoles. In this example, we fix the orientation of the second dipole to be either parallel or perpendicular, and therefore it couples to either the $u_{xx}$ or the $u_{yy}$ component of the strain according to Eq. 1. In general, the dipoles can also rotate and change their relative orientations. In particular, while the favorable parallel configuration shown here leads to end-to-end alignment of the dipoles, the unfavorable perpendicular configuration will lead to mutual torques that tend to orient them in the favorable parallel configuration. The elastic material comprising the substrate is treated as homogeneous and isotropic with shear and compression Moduli proportional to the Young’s modulus $E$, and a Poisson ratio $\nu$ that provides a measure of its compressibility $[49]$. While the Poisson’s ratio can in principle have the full range $-1 < \nu < 1/2$ in linear elasticity theory, the figures plotted here correspond to $\nu = 0.1^3$.

This choice ensures end-to-end alignment of dipoles and provides interactions seen not just in cells but also in other types of active matter that feature particles with magnetic or electric dipole moments. The interactions at $\nu > 0.3$ have a different symmetry and can result in more complex structures such as short rings without any electric or magnetic analogs $[50]$. 

---

**FIGURE 1** Elastic interactions between model cells on a substrate. (A) Schematic of adherent cell on an elastic substrate. (B) 1D spring model illustrating origin of elastic interaction potential between two contractile dipoles. The elastic energy stored in the medium corresponding to the deformation of springs depends on the relative placement of the dipoles. In particular, placing a contractile dipole in a region where the medium is already expanded by the other dipole can help to reduce the overall deformation of the medium. This leads to a strain-dependent interaction potential between the two dipoles. (C) Representative spatial maps of the interaction potential $W^{\alpha\beta}$ between two dipoles, from the solution of the strain field for the full linear elastic problem of forces exerted on the surface of a semi-infinite medium are shown. The interaction potential corresponds to the work done by a point-like dipole in deforming the substrate in the presence of the strain created by the other. The potential maps shown here are for a pair of contractile force dipoles of fixed orientation. The second dipole is free to translate but held parallel (left) or perpendicular (right) to the central dipole which is placed at the origin and aligned along the $x$-axis. The contour lines show how the potential decays in space, whereas blue and red regions correspond to attractive ($W^{\alpha\beta} < 0$) and repulsive ($W^{\alpha\beta} > 0$) interactions, respectively.

\[ f_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\partial W^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x^j} = -P_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial u_{ij}^{\alpha}(x)}{\partial x^j}, \]
The interaction potential and model dynamics are detailed in the Methods Section 4 in Eqs 4–7. As shown in Figure 2A, the ABPs—here termed particles—are modeled as circular disks of diameter \( \sigma \), each particle being endowed with a dipole moment and a self-propulsion direction \( \mathbf{n} \). The orientation of \( \mathbf{n} \) is aligned with the dipole axis (shown as the bold black line). This assumption is reasonable for motile cells with elongated shape, but is not necessarily satisfied for all cell types, where higher force multipoles may be relevant [24]. Particles are assumed to self-propel with a speed \( v_0 \). This phenomenologically models the movement of cells which require internal cell forces arising from actomyosin activity as well as the remodeling dynamics of the cell-substrate adhesions, not explicitly modeled here. Additionally, the motion of each particle is subject to forces and torques arising from dipolar interactions with other particles, as well as a random stochastic force. The latter mimics the effect of the thermal environment surrounding the particles, and leads to diffusive effects in both orientation and spatial position of the ABPs.

Since we are motivated by adherent cells on elastic substrates whose contractile traction forces act as elastic dipoles, a cutoff distance of \( r_{cut} = 7\sigma \) (shown as the dashed red circle) is imposed on the long-range dipolar interactions. The choice of a cut-off length for interactions is consistent with experimental observations that cells can interact with one another via mechanical signalling at distances that are up to a few cell lengths away [8, 19]. In addition to the “long-range” interactions mediated by the elastic substrate, cells may also interact via “short-range” interactions. Here we introduce short-range steric repulsion using a mechanical model using compressive springs that discourage overlap between neighboring particles. Specifically, two particles in close-contact exert a repulsive elastic force on each other when the center-to-center distance is less than the rest length \( \sigma \) of these springs.

The ensuing dipolar interactions, when strong enough relative to the stochastic noise, cause end-to-end chaining of the particles along their dipole axis. Examples of this chaining process are seen in the simulation snapshots shown in Figure 2B. As the elastic dipolar interaction parameter \( A \) increases, the particles self-assemble into long chains ((ii)-(iv), zoomed view shown). The flexibility of the chains and fluctuations in the mean curvature both decrease with increasing values of the interaction parameter. The colors represent the self-propulsion direction of each particle, as indicated by the color wheel.
to occur in our simulations and representative snapshots are shown in Figure 2C. As expected intuitively, increasing interaction gives rise to stronger alignment resulting in chains that are progressively less flexible. The effective elastic bending modulus of these chains determines the fluctuations of the backbone contour of the chained ABPs is thus higher with increasing interaction strength.

To illustrate the bulk behavior of interacting ABPs as well as the effect of confinement on emergent collective patterns, we simulate a few hundred of these particles in a box confined in the $y$ – direction, and periodic in the $x$ – direction. The confining boundary is lined by repulsive springs of the same type used to penalize particle overlap, and keeps the ABPs from escaping the simulation box. This setup mimics a channel geometry typically used in cell motility experiments [51] and is used in other works on simulations of ABPs under confinement [52–54]. We focus on the physical barriers to cell motility and not interfaces in the elastic medium. In principle, such elastic interfaces can lead to additional elastic torques and forces on dipoles by inducing “image forces” [46], but this is outside the scope of the present work. One way to realize this type of confining boundary that does not induce stresses in the elastic medium is to culture cells on a large and thick slab of hydrogel with uniform elastic properties, but micropattern a specific region of the substrate with ligands to which the cells can adhere—a common technique in mechanobiological cell culture studies [14].

The important nondimensional control parameters in the model are the effective elastic interaction strength $A$, the active self-propulsion velocity characterized by a Péclet number, $Pe$, and the packing fraction, $\phi$. The packing fraction used in simulations below is typically either $\phi = 0.08$ or 0.25 corresponding to relatively dilute regimes, except in a narrow channel geometry where we go up to $\phi = 0.75$. Definitions and physical interpretations of these parameters are provided in Section 4.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Characteristic States of Active Dipolar Particles: Chains, Polar Bands, Clusters and Networks

We first explore the possible collective structures that result from the combination of active self-propulsion with dipolar attraction and alignment. We explore the parameter space of activity (given by the Péclet number, $Pe$) and strength of dipolar interactions (given by the effective elastic interaction parameter, $A$) for two representative systems: one dilute and the other semi-dilute. We show representative snapshots of the steady states of the simulations by coloring the particles according their orientation. Collections of these snapshots as well as the color wheel corresponding to particle orientations are shown in Figure 3, where the packing fraction $\phi \approx 0.25$, and Figure 4, where the packing fraction $\phi \approx 0.08$.

We see from Figure 3 that at both low motility and weak elastic interactions ($A = 1$), particles do not form any ordered structures but are distributed uniformly in space, over the utilized simulation time. As motility is increased ($Pe \geq 10$), particles are seen to clump up at the boundary with their orientation vectors facing the wall at which they are localized. This is a familiar result of confined active Brownian particles (ABPs) wherein these tend to point towards the wall until their orientation is sufficiently randomized by the rotational diffusion [55]. As elastic interactions are dialed up such that the motions resulting from the dipolar interactions are much stronger than the stochastic diffusion of the system, structures characteristic of dipolar interactions emerge. In the case of low particle motility ($Pe = 1$), and high elastic interactions, we see a branched network form. In the case of intermediate motility ($Pe = 10$), networks are broken down into a single traveling cluster. In the former case, the particles comprising any given chain can either be oriented parallel (0) or anti-parallel ($\pi$) with respect to one another as the dipolar interaction is head-tail symmetric. In the latter case, networks form at short time scales and are compressed into one motile cluster at long time scales. This motile cluster contains numerous defects (shown by their different color)–particles oriented anti-parallel to the direction of cluster motion—caused by the earlier stage of network formation. Lastly, in the case of high particle motility ($Pe = 100$), particles assemble into traveling flexible chains which predominantly move parallel to the confining boundary and undergo inter-chain collisions in the bulk. Much of our forthcoming analysis is focused on these highly ordered, yet highly dynamic, structures.
higher elastic strength of the particles. At even lower packing fraction, the particles are oriented in the same direction, in addition to being aligned. At very high interaction parameter, $P_e = 10$, more particles get collected at the confining boundary. At higher values of the interaction parameter, $A$, particles form chains. The typical length of the chains is seen to decrease with increasing $P_e$. At very high interaction parameter, $A = 200$, networks with multiple branches form at low $P_e$, while chains aggregate into polar clusters at $P_e = 10$ (Supplementary Movie S7). Although the particles in the cluster are oriented in opposite directions, the cluster is stable and moves in the direction given by its overall polarity. Again at very high Péclet, $P_e = 100$, the particles in the chains are oriented in the same direction.

At low packing fraction (Figure 4), for $A = 10$ the elastic interaction between the particles is low and they diffuse around in the simulation space which is in contrast to what we see for higher packing fraction (Figure 3) where particles show alignment with weak attraction. Accumulation of the particles can be seen at the confining boundaries which is attributed to the activity of the particles. Upon increasing the elastic strength to $A = 50$, formation of chains is observed. At $P_e = 1$, long and branched chains of particles are formed. Increasing motility leads to a decrease in length of the chains and an increased polarity. At even higher elastic strength of $A = 200$, long chains with multiple branches are seen for $P_e = 1$. At increased activity, the chains stick to each other and form an ordered cluster that moves coherently in the direction determined by the net polarity of the constituent particles.

### 3.2 Pair Correlations Reveal Spatial Organization of Active Chains

To quantify the spatial distribution of particles around their neighbors, we calculate the pair correlation function, $g(r, \theta)$, the probability of finding a neighboring particle at a distance $r$ in a direction $\theta$ from the central agent’s orientation axis. We calculate this quantity by averaging over the positions of all agents over time, and binning every other agent according to its separation vector (both distance and angle) from the current central agent. Finally the distribution is normalized such that $g(r, \theta)$ approaches 1 for distance $r$ going to infinity. We then analyze the peaks in $(r, \theta)$ space. Figure 5 shows four such distance and angle dependent maps in the space of motility and elastic interaction. Elastic interactions localize the peaks of the pair correlation function. When motility is low, particles form branched networks and the primary configuration of particles is in straight chains. In this case, there exists two prominent peaks in the pair correlation function at $(\sigma, 0)$ and $(\sigma, \pi)$. When both motility and elastic interactions are high, particles form into flexible traveling chains that have a tendency to join one another in a parallel fashion with an offset - a configuration that is energetically favorable to the elastic interaction and can be seen prominently in the simulation snapshot corresponding to $A = 100$ and $P_e = 100$ in Figure 3. In this case, the primary peaks still occur at $(\sigma, 0)$ and $(\sigma, \pi)$, but secondary peaks are present at $(\sigma, \pi \mod \pi)$ and $(\sigma, 2\pi \mod \pi)$, indicating the offset parallel band structure. Low elastic interactions constitute the more familiar case of collections of repulsive ABPs. In this regime, the head-tail symmetry characteristic of the elastic interactions is broken as particles are more likely to encounter other particles along their direction of propulsion [56]. There exists a single prominent peak at the head of the dipole that monotonically decreases on either side of the head axis. Increasing motility in the ABP system adds layers to the single peak function in integer multiples of particle size $\sigma$ as collision frequency increases.

### 3.3 Activity and Elastic Interactions Promote Orientational Order

At higher interaction strength, $A$, and higher motility, $P_e$, we see chains that move parallel to each other forming polar bands at high density (top right of Figure 3). Since chains are elongated objects, a collection of them can give rise to orientational order, similar to active nematic and polar states that result from active, anisotropic particles [1]. This type of order is commonly seen in active matter comprising suspensions of cytoskeletal filaments and motors [57]. To quantify the orientational order in these cases and to distinguish from the individual ABPs under confinement, we measure the nematic and polar order for these states. The magnitude of the nematic order parameter is defined as an average over the orientation of all particles, $S = \frac{2}{\pi} \cos^2 \theta - 1$, where $\theta$ is the angle between a particle’s orientation and the average director. In this case, the global alignment direction is parallel to the confining boundaries given by the $x$ – axis. The nematic order tells us how well the dipoles are aligned, without distinguishing between the head and tail and contains no information about the motility direction. To quantify the oriented motion, we calculate the polar order, whose magnitude is given by, $|p| = \sqrt{(n_x)^2 + (n_y)^2}$, where $n_x$ and $n_y$ are the $x$ and $y$ components of the orientation vector, $\mathbf{n}$, respectively. This quantity is higher if the particles are oriented in the same direction, in addition to being aligned. While nematic alignment is encouraged by the passive dipolar interactions, active motility induces polar order.

---

**Figure 4** | Simulation snapshots of active particles at low packing fraction. The interaction parameter $A = 10^2 \sigma^4 \omega_k \Omega_d$ and Péclet number $P_e = \omega_k / \Omega_d$ define the collective behavior of the particles. Particles are confined in the $y$-direction, while they experience periodic boundary conditions in the $x$-direction. They are colored based on the direction of $\mathbf{n}$, as indicated by the color wheel. At low interaction parameter $A = 10$, the particles remain isolated and diffuse (Supplementary Movie S6). At high $P_e$, more particles get collected at the confining boundary. At higher values of the interaction parameter, $A$, particles form chains. The typical length of the chains is seen to decrease with increasing $P_e$. At very high interaction parameter, $A = 200$, networks with multiple branches form at low $P_e$, while chains aggregate into polar clusters at $P_e = 10$ (Supplementary Movie S7). Although the particles in the cluster are oriented in opposite directions, the cluster is stable and moves in the direction given by its overall polarity. Again at very high Péclet, $P_e = 100$, the particles in the chains are oriented in the same direction.
Figures 6A,C shows the global nematic order in time and Figures 6B,D shows the time averaged spatial map of the polar order parameter, calculated by subdividing the simulation box into regions of dimension $3.75\sigma \times 3.75\sigma$, for both ABPs and traveling flexible chains. In the ABP system, the global nematic order is small due to the tendency of particles at the walls to be oriented orthogonal to the wall and those in the bulk to be oriented parallel to the wall, as well as the presence of orientational fluctuations from rotational diffusion. Traveling flexible chains of dipolar particles exhibit a global nematic order close to unity as all particles in this system tend to point along a director parallel to the confined boundary. Spatially resolving the average of the magnitude of the polar order parameter gives us a picture of particle alignment at a smaller length scale. ABPs exhibit polar alignment at the boundary. This alignment quickly diminishes and no polar order is seen in the bulk. Traveling chains form bands at the boundary such that $|p| > 0.7$ up to $6\sigma$ away from the wall. The polar order of these flexible chains drops off far less drastically in the bulk than the ABP system.

3.4 Transport Properties of Active Chains Are Distinct From Single Particles

The mean-squared displacement, or MSD, is a typical metric that quantifies how motile entities cover space in time. In Figure 7, we report the MSD for simulations with a packing fraction $\phi \approx 0.08$ in a square box of size $30\sigma$, corresponding to the structures shown in Figure 4. Given the confinement along one direction, we calculate the MSD separately for the confined ($y$-) and unconfined ($x$-) directions. The unconfined MSD, $\langle x^2 \rangle$, for particles with low elastic interaction e.g., at $A = 10$, shows similar trends to individual active Brownian particles [58]. At short time intervals, individual ABPs propel persistently in the direction of their orientation, leading to ballistic behavior. In Figure 7A, we see such behavior at very short time scales which gave way to super-diffusive behavior at intermediate time scales, where particles are slowed down by collisions with other particles. At sufficiently long time scales, the particles are diffusive as the rotational diffusion randomizes their orientation. Increasing Pécel number increases the time scale for superdiffusive behavior as the persistence time is longer.

We see qualitatively different regimes in the MSD for particles with stronger interaction in Figure 7B. At interaction strength $A \geq 100$, which leads to formation of long, stable chains, we observe larger-scale structures such as branches, clusters and networks in the simulation snapshots shown in Figure 4. In this case, the particles show sub-diffusive behavior at shorter time scales when they are still moving individually in an uncorrelated manner and beginning to form these structures. On the other hand, at longer time scales, they cluster into larger scale structures that move coherently in a specific direction like polar flocks, giving rise to a ballistic behavior. The crossover from subdiffusive to nearly ballistic behavior occurs earlier for higher Pécel numbers. At higher particle motility, we obtain ballistic behavior for all time scales. The resulting behavior is thus qualitatively different from single ABP behavior, which shows a crossover from persistent to diffusive motion at time scales longer than the persistence time ($\sim Pe$). Here, on the other hand, the long time behavior is dictated by large-scale, polar structures that self-assemble irreversibly and move persistently at long times.

The MSD in the confined direction, $\langle y^2 \rangle$, plateaus at long times, both for the individual ABPs (Figure 7C) and the larger scale structures (Figure 7D). The time scale to reach a plateau in the MSD corresponds to the time it takes an entity to reach the confining walls from the bulk of the simulation box. Thus, $\langle y^2 \rangle$ reaches a plateau at a shorter time scale for highly motile particles,
as compared to the less motile ones. Due to the confining wall in the y-direction and strong alignment with neighboring particles at $A = 100$, the particles line up into chains that orient and move parallel to the confining walls, and not as much in the y-direction. Thus, $\langle y^2 \rangle$ for $A = 100$ reaches the plateau later than for the $A = 10$ case, for corresponding values of $Pe$.

### 3.5 Collisions of Active Chains Reveal Stable, Mobile Structures

We observe from simulations at low packing fraction (Figure 4) that once particles self-assemble into chains, they can intersect to form junctions and get organized into larger-scale polar structures. We now explore in more detail the inter-chain interactions responsible for this self-organization. To do this, particles were initialized in an ordered chain and oriented in the same direction. Two such chains were oriented initially at different angles to control their approach direction, as shown in the insets in Figure 8.

At $A = 200$ the junctions formed by chains depended on the Péclet number and the angle and position of approach. The “Y” junction was the most observed for all Péclet number, which is formed from when the second chain attaches itself at the middle of the first chain (Figure 8, top left). An “eye” (Figure 8, top right) is formed from two closely spaced “Y”s, which is observed for higher Péclet number, $Pe = 5$ and 10, and when the chains are oriented in the same direction. Again, at low particle motility ($Pe = 1$), the chains, upon colliding head on, form a longer and more rigid chain (Figure 8, bottom left). On the other hand, at $Pe = 5$, chains show buckling upon undergoing head on collision which leads to a propelling “necklace” (Figure 8, bottom right). At even higher Péclet number, the force between the particles is overpowered causing particles to detach from a chain and thereby creating defects. All these cases have been observed for $A = 200$. These junctions are also observed at lower elastic strength $A = 50$ and 100, but were unstable giving rise to many defects. Chains may interact with each other in a head-tail fashion which results in a stable longer chain. Chains with multiple defects have also been observed to form these “Y” and “eye” structures at $A = 200$ and $Pe = 1$ (Figure 4).

### 3.6 Stronger Confinement in Narrow Channels Reveals Polar Clustering Dynamics

In our system of traveling flexible chains comprised of strongly interacting and highly motile dipolar particles ($A = 100$, $Pe =$...
bands that form along the confining boundary are relatively stable compared to those that form in the bulk. The latter are subject to more frequent collisions with other traveling chains. In order to gain understanding of these chain collision dynamics, we confine the same number of particles into a channel of width $L_y/3$, where $L$ is the box size of our original simulation space, in order to induce more frequent and global chain-chain collisions. In this system we find a cyclic tripartite state dynamic. As shown in Figure 9A, at some point, the particles with orientations $+x$ become well mixed with particles with orientations $-x$. The particles will then separate into lanes according to their polarity so that they can move unimpeded. These lanes will then collide which initializes another well mixed system and the cycle repeats.

This effect of colliding lanes can be seen quantitatively by tracking the magnitude of the polar order parameter averaged over boxes of width $3\sigma$ and height $2.5\sigma$ in time shown in Figure 9B. The well mixed system has an average polar order parameter $|p| \approx 0.2$. The system then phase separates into lanes with average polar order parameter $\approx 0.6$. The $+x$ and $-x$ lanes collide and the resultant combination has an average polar order parameter $\approx 0.4$. When the channel is sufficiently wide, collisions between opposite lanes is less common, and the average polar order is bolstered by persistent polar chains at the confining boundary as seen in Figure 9C. This time dependent formation and disbanding of polar structures is consistent with bead spring simulations of semiflexible filaments in the high activity regime [59].

4 METHODS

Here, we present the equations governing the motion of the active motile particles discussed earlier and their interaction via the elastic substrate on which they move. In our model, we treat the particles as circular active Brownian particles (ABPs) that interact with other particles via long-range substrate modulated
interactions and direct short-range particle-particle steric contact interactions. Long range interactions arise as each ABP exerts a contractile stress dipole \( P \) on the substrate, thereby inducing strain fields which induce an effective force on nearby particles. For simplicity, we assume that the dipole axis is coincident with the direction of motion of the particle. For instance in an elongated cell, the force dipole axis coincides with the orientational axis of the cell, that is also the direction of self-propulsion.

In the derivation that follows we use Einstein summation convention over the Latin indices, while Greek indices are used to label the particles. Consider a particle \( \alpha \) that deforms the substrate. The work done by the associated dipole, \( P_\alpha \), in deforming the substrate in the presence of the strain created by a second dipole \( P_\beta \) (generated by a second particle \( \beta \)) is given by [46],

\[
W_{\alpha\beta} = P_\alpha^{ij} \delta_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j G_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}(r_{ij}) P_\beta^{kl},
\]

where \( r_{ij} = r_\beta - r_\alpha \) is the separation vector connecting the centers of particles \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) (Figure 10) (c.f [39, 49]). The elastic half space or Boussinesq Green’s function that gives the displacement field in the linearly elastic medium at the location of one particle caused by the application of a point force at the location of the other is given by [45],

\[
G_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1 + \nu}{\pi E} \left[ (1 - \nu) \delta_{ik} \frac{r_{ij}}{r_{ij}^3} + \nu \left( \frac{r_{\alpha\beta}}{r_{\alpha\beta}} \delta_{ik} + \frac{r_{\alpha\beta} r_{ij}}{r_{\alpha\beta}^3} \right) \right],
\]

where \( E \) is the stiffness (Young’s modulus) and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio of the substrate. Given the linearity of the problem, superposition of strain fields, each of which is obtained by using the Green’s function (1), appropriately provides the net displacement at a test position due to particles around it.
Two particles in our model interact via a combination of pairwise long-range and short-range interactions. The long-range interaction forces originate from the substrate-mediated, elastic dipole-dipole interaction potential, $W^{αβ}$. The short-range interactions are steric in nature and prevent ABPs from overlapping. This functionality is achieved in the framework of our model by linear springs that only resist compression. Taken together, the total interaction potential between particles $α$ and $β$ can be written as,

$$W^{αβ} = \frac{1}{2} k (\sigma - r_{αβ})^2, \text{ when } 0 \leq r_{αβ} < \sigma$$

$$= \frac{p^2}{E} f(v, θ_α, θ_β), \text{ when } \sigma \leq r_{αβ} < r_{cut}$$

$$= 0, \text{ when } r_{αβ} \geq r_{cut},$$

where $k$ is the spring constant of the linear (repulsive) spring preventing overlap, $σ$ is the particle diameter (kept constant in our simulations), and $r_{cut}$ is a cutoff distance beyond which the dipolar interactions are neglected. The magnitude of each force dipole is taken to be the same value denoted by $P$. The dependence of the pairwise dipolar interactions on the orientations of the two dipoles with respect to their separation vectors, and on the Poisson ratio of the medium, $ν$, is expressed compactly in the expression [49],

$$f(v, θ_α, θ_β) = \frac{γ(v+1)}{2π} \left[ 3 (\cos^2 θ_α + \cos^2 θ_β) - 5 \cos^2 θ_α \cos^2 θ_β - \frac{1}{3} \right]$$

$$- 2 ν^{-1} \cos^2 (θ_α - θ_β) - 3 (v^{-1} - 4) \cos θ_α \cos θ_β \cos (θ_α - θ_β),$$

where $\cos θ_α = \hat{n}_α \cdot \hat{r}_{αβ}$ and $\cos θ_β = \hat{n}_β \cdot \hat{r}_{αβ}$ are the orientations of particles, $α$ and $β$, with respect to their separation vector, respectively (Figure 10).

Motivated by natural and synthetic systems to which our model is applicable, we assume that the particles are in an over-damped viscous environment, and the inertia of the ABPs can be ignored. We can then write the equations of motion governing the translation and rotation, respectively, of particle $α$ as,

$$\frac{dr_α}{dt} = v_0 \hat{n}_α - μ_α \sum_β \frac{∂W^{αβ}}{∂r_α} + \sqrt{2D_I} η_{T,α}(t)$$

FIGURE 9 | Traveling chains in a narrow channel exhibit cycles of mixing, laning, and collision and remixing. (A) Snapshots of a simulation where channel width has been decreased by a factor of 3. Dynamics of the system are encompassed by three cyclic states: A mixed state shown at an arbitrary initial time $t_0$, a phase separated laning state shown a short time after $t_0$, and a collision and remixing state shown a short time after the laning state. (B) Polar order averaged over boxes of width $3σ$ and height $2.5σ$ versus time is shown to elucidate the three states described in (A). The polar order for a mixed–laning–collision and remixing cycle are shown in the red circles. When the system is well mixed, the average polar order is small ($p = 0.2$). When the particles separate into lanes, the polar order increases rapidly ($p = 0.6$). When the lanes then collide and begin remixing, the remnants of the bulk of the lanes provide polar order while mixed particles and the interface between lanes decreases polar order ($p = 0.4$). (C) Polar order averaged over boxes of width $3σ$ and height $2.5σ$ versus time for three channel widths. Time averaged polar order is smaller for the $L_y = 10$ case as collision dynamics are global phenomena. The full movie corresponding to the narrow channel can be seen in Supplementary Movie S5.
and

\[ \frac{d \mathbf{n}_\alpha}{dt} = -\mu_\alpha \sum_\beta \mathbf{n}_\alpha \times \frac{\partial W_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \mathbf{n}_\alpha} + \sqrt{2D_\alpha} \eta_{\alpha,\alpha}(t), \]  

where \( \mathbf{r}_\alpha \) and \( \mathbf{r}_\alpha \) are the position and orientation of particle \( \alpha \), respectively. In the equations above \( D_\alpha \) and \( D_\beta \) are the translational and rotational diffusivity quantifying the random motion of a single particle, respectively. The viscous environment results in the translational and rotational mobilities, \( \mu_\alpha \) and \( \mu_\beta \) respectively. Random white noise terms \( \eta_T \) and \( \eta_R \) have components that satisfy \( \langle \eta_{\alpha,\alpha}(t)\eta_{\alpha,\alpha}(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')\delta_{ij} \) and \( \langle \eta_{R,\alpha}(t)\eta_{R,\beta}(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')\delta_{ij} \). Since the fluctuation dissipation theorem is not necessarily satisfied for a nonequilibrium system, the translational and rotational diffusivity are independent of each other. However, to reduce the number of free parameters and in the interest of simplicity, we assume that \( D_\alpha = \sigma^2D_\beta \) and \( \mu_\alpha = \sigma^2\mu_\beta \). This allows the definition of an effective temperature, \( k_B T_{\text{eff}} = D_\beta/\mu_\beta \). Finally we emphasize that each particle is endowed with the same dipole strength, \( P \), and self-propulsion velocity, \( v_0 \), both of which are constant.

We now choose the cell diameter \( \sigma \), the diffusion time, \( \sigma^2/D_\alpha \), and the effective thermal energy that quantifies the strength of stochastic fluctuations, \( D_\beta/\mu_\beta \), as physically relevant length, time, and energy scales in our model. Solutions to the scaled dynamical model are then dependent on three non-dimensional numbers,

\[ Pe = \frac{v_0 \sigma}{D_\alpha}, \quad A = \frac{\mu_\alpha P^2}{\sigma^3 D_\alpha}, \quad k^* = \frac{\mu_\alpha k \sigma^2}{D_\alpha} \]  

where \( Pe \) is the Péclet number that is a measure of the self-propulsion in terms of the diffusion of motile particles, \( A \) is an effective elastic dipole-dipole interaction parameter, and \( k^* \) is the nondimensional steric spring constant.

Non-dimensional forms of the dynamical equations Eqs 6, 7 are discretized and numerically solved using the explicit half-order Euler-Maruyama method [60]. We use a time step of \( \Delta t = 10^{-4} \) for a total of \( 10^5 - 10^6 \) timesteps corresponding to a total simulation time of \( 10 - 100 \). Each particle was initialized with a random position and orientation in our simulation box of size \( L_x = 30\sigma \) and \( 10\sigma \leq L_y \leq 30\sigma \) with periodic boundary conditions in \( x \) and confinement modeled by repulsive springs identical to those used for particle-particle steric repulsions, with a fixed spring constant, \( k^* = 10^5 \), placed along the top and bottom walls. In our simulations, we want particles near each other to interact via the elastic potential at every time step, and to ensure that the overlap of particles is minimized. Furthermore, to ensure that the particles are not subject to unphysical repulsive forces, we choose \( k^* \) such that \( k^*\Delta t = 1 \). We show in the Supplementary Figure S1 that the higher order structures formed by the particles at different \( k^* \) are qualitatively similar when the timestep is appropriately rescaled. \( A \) and \( Pe \) are varied and analyzed in the Results section of the text.

5 DISCUSSION

We have shown the typical collective behavior that emerges when active particles interact with each other as dipoles, using Brownian dynamics simulations. This minimal model is inspired by collective cell motility on elastic substrates where the cell-cell interaction is mediated by their mutual deformations of the passive substrate. While some of the emergent collective structures have analogs in cell culture experiments, such as the network organization of endothelial cells [61], our model is not intended to capture any specific biological behavior. We expect the first tests of our model to happen in dilute cell culture...
experiments that measure both pairwise cell interactions and substrate traction forces as in Ref. [23, 24].

The passive dipolar interactions lead to the end-to-end alignment of the particles into motile chains, which can be mutually aligned into polar bands and clusters because of their active motion. Polar chains that travel in opposite directions would be sorted into bands that get out of each others’ way. These basic implications of our model, while specifically demonstrated here for elastic dipoles, belong to a broader class of active particles with dipolar interactions [31, 32, 62], and may therefore also be experimentally realized in active colloids endowed with permanent or induced dipole moments [30, 63]. We note that the symmetry of the elastic dipolar interactions is modified at higher Poisson’s ratio [49], which is expected to result in structures such as active rings with rotational motion. This richer behavior with elastic interactions is a direct consequence of the tensorial, as opposed to vectorial nature of the elastic dipoles, in contrast with magnetic or electric dipoles, and will be the subject of future study. We further note that the mechanical interactions between cells in elastic media is in reality expected to include effects not considered here including from the nonlinear elastic properties of the substrate and nonlinear effects arising from the cells actively maintaining mechanical homeostasis at their boundaries, such as by regulating their shape [64]. We also ignore the elastic response of the cells themselves, which can give rise to additional interactions similar to that between rigid inclusions in soft media [65].

We focused on the strong elastic interaction cases in the dilute regime, where the self-assembly and dynamics of single chains can be studied. Since the chains are stable in this regime, they resemble other active polymer systems [57], that typically arise in gliding assays of biological filaments [66] or with synthetic colloids [26]. Polar bands are also seen at a higher density of active polymers [59]. However, in our system where these chains are self-assembled by dipolar interactions, multiple chains can stick to each other at higher interaction strength, while they can also fall apart, when colliding at high motility. By showing how a pair of chains interact with each other, we show the stable higher order structures that form and contribute to the polar clusters seen at higher density. Although not investigated in detail here, it will also be interesting to explore the bending dynamics of a single active polymer [67, 68] and characterize how the bending rigidity increases with dipolar interaction strength or decreases with particle motility.

To conclude, we note that our cell mechanobiology-inspired model also realizes a new class of active matter with long-range dipolar interactions. The emergent self-organization behavior distinct from the two typically studied pathways to the clustering of active particles: motility-induced phase separation [12], and Vicsek-style models [69]. In the latter, particle alignment is imposed in an agent-based manner, whereas here alignment emerges as a natural consequence of physical interactions.
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