Effect of the sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor modulator ozanimod on leukocyte subtypes in relapsing MS
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Abstract

Objective
To better understand ozanimod’s mechanism of action (MOA), we conducted exploratory analyses from a phase 1 study to characterize ozanimod’s effect on circulating leukocyte subsets in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis.

Methods
An open-label pharmacodynamic study randomized patients to oral ozanimod hydrochloride (HCl) 0.5 (n = 13) or 1 mg/d (n = 11) for ~12 weeks (including 7-day dose escalation). Circulating leukocyte subsets were quantified using flow cytometry (days 28, 56, and 85) and epigenetic cell counting (days 2, 5, 28, 56, and 85) and compared with baseline (day 1) using descriptive statistics.

Results
Ozanimod caused dose-dependent reductions in absolute lymphocyte counts. Observed by both methodologies, circulating CD19+ B- and CD3+ T-cell counts were reduced by >50% with ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg and >75% with 1 mg at day 85. Based on flow cytometry, ozanimod HCl 1 mg showed greater decreases in CD4+ than CD8+ T cells, greater decreases in both CD4+ and CD8+ central memory vs effector memory T cells, and reductions in mean CD4+ and CD8+ naive T cells by ≥90% at day 85. In the flow cytometry analysis, changes in monocytes, natural killer, and natural killer T cells were minimal. Using epigenetic cell counting, greater reductions for Th17 than T regulatory cells were determined.

Conclusion
Ozanimod induced dose-dependent reductions in circulating B- and T-cell counts and differential effects on naive and memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and CD19+ B cells. Data characterized with both a novel epigenetic cell-counting method and flow cytometry support ozanimod’s MOA.

Clinical trial registration:
clinicaltrials.gov NCT02797015.
Ozanimod, a sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor 1 and 5 modulator, is approved in the United States for the treatment of adults with relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis (MS) and in Europe for the treatment of adults with relapsing-remitting MS. Ozanimod was effective and well tolerated in phase 2 and phase 3 clinical trials of relapsing MS (RMS). The mechanism by which ozanimod exerts therapeutic effects in MS is unknown but may involve reduced lymphocyte migration into the CNS.

By reducing lymphocyte egress from secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs), S1P receptor modulators decrease the peripheral blood lymphocyte count (ALC). The chemokine receptor CCR7 directs lymphocytes into SLOs, and data suggest that CCR7+ lymphocyte subpopulations are responsive to S1P modulators. Studies of fingolimod, the first approved S1P receptor modulator and a modulator of receptors 1, 3, 4, and 5, indicated differential effects on specific T- and B-cell subtypes. The differential effects of fingolimod on lymphocyte subtypes are being evaluated as possible predictors of clinical response.

Clinical trials of ozanimod reported expected decreases in ALCs and differential effects on specific lymphocyte subtypes in healthy volunteers, with CCR7+ T cells (CD4+, CD8+, and central memory T cells) preferentially decreased.

To improve the understanding of the mechanism of action (MOA) of ozanimod in patients with RMS, exploratory analyses from a phase 1 study were conducted to characterize the phenotype of circulating leukocyte subsets in patients with RMS treated with ozanimod using both flow cytometry and epigenetic cell-counting methodologies.

Methods

Study design

A phase 1 randomized (1:1), open-label, multiple-dose, parallel-group pharmacodynamic study of ozanimod hydrochloride (HCl) 0.5 or 1 mg/d (equivalent to ozanimod 0.46 or 0.92 mg, respectively) was conducted in participants with RMS at 6 study centers in the United States. Participants were randomized to receive ozanimod HCl 0.5 or 1 mg/d for approximately 12 weeks, which included an initial 7-day dose escalation consisting of ozanimod HCl 0.25 mg/d (equivalent to ozanimod 0.23 mg) on days 1–4 and 0.5 mg/d on days 5–7. All participants who completed the study were eligible to enter an open-label extension study (DAYBREAK; NCT02576717).

Patients

Adults aged 18–55 years with RMS, as diagnosed by the revised 2010 McDonald criteria and exhibiting a relapsing clinical course and a history of brain MRI lesions consistent with RMS, were enrolled. Eligible participants had no history of relapse with onset from 30 days before screening until randomization, were clinically stable during this period without systemic corticosteroid or adrenocorticotropic hormone treatment, and had documentation of positive varicella-zoster virus (VZV) immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody status or complete VZV vaccination at least 30 days before study entry. In addition, they were required to have an Expanded Disability Status Scale score of 0–6 and be generally healthy aside from RMS. Key exclusion criteria included active infection or history of chronic infections or immunodeficiency, recent live vaccination, previous lymphocyte-depleting or immunosuppressant therapy, and ALC <1.000 × 10^9/L or white blood cell count <3.500 × 10^9/L.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consent

The phase 1 study was approved by an institutional review board and was designed and monitored in compliance with the principles of Good Clinical Practice as required by regulatory authorities and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent. This study is registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier: NCT02797015).

Data availability

Celgene, a Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, is committed to responsible and transparent sharing of clinical trial data with patients, health care practitioners, and independent researchers for the purpose of improving scientific and medical knowledge as well as fostering innovative treatment approaches. Data requests may be submitted to Celgene, a Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, at vivli.org/ourmember/celgene/ and must include a description of the research proposal.

Flow cytometry analysis

As a prespecified pharmacodynamic analysis, the ALC was evaluated on days 1, 5, 8, 28, 56, and 85 (end of treatment). As an exploratory analysis, a flow cytometry panel was used to characterize circulating leukocyte subsets at baseline (day 1) and days 28, 56, and 85. Analyzed subsets included CD19+ B cells, CD3+ T cells, monocytes, natural killer (NK) cells, and natural killer T (NKT) cells, as well as the following T-cell subtypes: CD4+ and CD8+ central and effector memory T cells, CD4+ and CD8+ naive T cells, and CD8+ terminally differentiated effector T cells expressing CD45RA (TEMRA).

Glossary

ALC = absolute lymphocyte count; HCl = hydrochloride; NK = natural killer; MOA = mechanism of action; RMS = relapsing MS; S1P = sphingosine-1-phosphate; SLO = secondary lymphoid organ; TEMRA = terminally differentiated effector T cells expressing CD45RA; VZV = varicella-zoster virus.
Heparinized whole blood samples were shipped overnight at ambient temperature from clinical sites to the University of California San Francisco, where peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated using a standard Ficoll/density gradient centrifugation protocol. Cells were stained for surface antigens with mouse anti-human monoclonal antibodies (CD3, CD4, CD8, CD19, CD20, CD14, CD16, CD45RA, CD45RO, CCR7, CCR4, CCR6, and CXCR3; BioLegend, San Diego, CA) and LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua viability dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific), then fixed and permeabilized using the True-Nuclear Buffer Kit (BioLegend). A single lot of each antibody was used throughout the study, and each sample was tested once. Acquisition was performed on a Becton Dickinson LSRFortessa cell analyzer with FACSDiva software (version 8.0). All data were analyzed using FlowJo version 10.3. A single investigator, who was blinded to the treatment arm, conducted all analyses.

**Epigenetic cell-counting analysis**

Epigenetic cell counting was performed by Epiontis/Precision for Medicine, as previously described, using bisulfite-converted DNA from frozen whole blood samples as substrate for quantitative PCR assays for selected cell type–specific demethylated loci (table e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A283). Briefly, 75 μL of EDTA-anticoagulated peripheral blood was supplemented with 67 μL of lysis buffer comprising 54.25 μL of Lysis/Binding Buffer (Invitrogen), 9 μL of proteinase K (Sigma; 30 mg/mL), and 3.75 μL of GAP[GC] plasmid (to a final concentration of 20,000 copies per μL of whole blood) and lysed for 15 minutes at 56°C. For conversion, 270 μL of 70% ammonium bisulfite solution was added, and samples were incubated at 80°C for 55 minutes. Bisulfite-converted DNA was directly purified using the MyONE Silane genomic DNA kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Figure 1** ALC during ozanimod treatment in patients with RMS

**Figure 2** Circulating levels of B cells (A) and T cells (B) during ozanimod treatment

Circulating levels of CD19⁺ B cells and CD3⁺ T cells were assessed as a percentage of baseline in patients with relapsing MS treated with ozanimod HCl 0.5 or 1 mg/d, using flow cytometry. HCl = hydrochloride.
Table 1  Circulating levels of leukocyte subtypes over time with ozanimod HCl 0.5 or 1 mg/d expressed as a percentage of baseline cell count, as assessed using flow cytometry

| Cell type                  | Ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg                         | Ozanimod HCl 1 mg                         |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                           | Day 28 (n = 11)                           | Day 56 (n = 12)                           | Day 85 (n = 12) | Day 28 (n = 8) | Day 56 (n = 6) | Day 85 (n = 9) |
| CD19+ B cells             | Day 28 (n = 8)                           | Day 56 (n = 6)                           | Day 85 (n = 9) | Day 28 (n = 8) | Day 56 (n = 6) | Day 85 (n = 9) |
|                           | Geometric mean (95% CI) % of baseline      | Day 28 (n = 8)                           | Day 56 (n = 6) | Day 85 (n = 9) | Day 28 (n = 8) | Day 56 (n = 6) | Day 85 (n = 9) |
| CD3+ T cells              | 46.3 (23.8, 90.1)                        | 63.9 (42.8, 95.3)                        | 42.2 (25.8, 68.9) | 34.8 (23.5, 51.4) | 18.0 (11.5, 28.2) | 17.6 (11.2, 27.6) |
| CD4+ T cells              | 44.0 (26.4, 73.4)                        | 48.9 (30.6, 78.1)                        | 39.0 (24.9, 61.2) | 31.4 (23.8, 41.3) | 20.4 (13.5, 30.7) | 18.5 (10.5, 32.5) |
| CD8+ T cells              | 39.6 (22.7, 69.0)                        | 44.9 (26.3, 76.6)                        | 33.4 (19.7, 56.5) | 26.3 (16.8, 41.1) | 10.2 (5.9, 17.8) | 8.7 (4.2, 18.1) |
| CD56+ NK cells            | 46.5 (26.4, 81.9)                        | 47.0 (28.6, 77.2)                        | 45.4 (29.8, 69.3) | 41.6 (31.9, 54.3) | 36.2 (21.7, 60.4) | 31.9 (17.5, 58.3) |
| CD14+ monocytes           | 43.6 (25.3, 75.1)                        | 44.5 (26.0, 76.1)                        | 37.3 (23.7, 58.6) | 57.6 (16.2, 205.0) | 22.5 (6.9, 73.6) | 17.3 (5.3, 56.6) |
| CD16+ CD56+ NK T cells    | 56.7 (32.3, 99.7)                        | 62.4 (30.9, 125.8)                       | 69.1 (51.9, 92.0) | 85.0 (54.0, 133.7) | 70.4 (35.1, 141.1) | 81.8 (50.6, 132.4) |
| CD19+ B cells             | 33.6 (19.8, 57.1)                        | 36.0 (19.9, 65.3)                        | 26.0 (13.7, 49.5) | 37.1 (10.1, 136.9) | 12.6 (2.9, 54.7) | 10.1 (2.5, 39.9) |
| CD16+ CD56+ NK T cells    | 30.8 (18.5, 51.2)                        | 34.6 (19.4, 61.5)                        | 25.3 (13.9, 46.0) | 16.3 (10.6, 25.0) | 5.4 (3.5, 8.3) | 6.7 (2.9, 15.0) |
| CD14+ monocytes           | 53.6 (26.4, 108.9)                       | 77.4 (40.1, 149.2)                       | 63.9 (38.2, 106.9) | 95.6 (70.4, 130.0) | 105.2 (62.5, 177.1) | 62.2 (20.0, 193.7) |
| CD3+ CD56+ NKT            | 76.7 (38.7, 151.8)                       | 101.5 (61.0, 181.1)                      | 87.5 (50.9, 150.4) | 79.8 (42.9, 148.3) | 82.7 (58.1, 117.6) | 65.0 (25.6, 165.2) |
| CD16−CD56− NK             | 72.1 (43.4, 119.6)                       | 87.3 (58.4, 130.5)                       | 63.3 (33.2, 120.7) | 128.5 (77.9, 211.9) | 101.5 (58.3, 176.6) | 91.4 (57.0, 146.5) |
| CD16−CD56hi NK            | 27.1 (8.4, 83.4)                         | 55.8 (27.7, 111.3)                       | 24.4 (10.9, 53.3) | 11.5 (3.2, 36.4) | 33.8 (21.8, 51.9) | 16.5 (4.4, 56.3) |
| CD16−CD56− NK             | 108.9 (51.6, 229.7)                      | 138.9 (69.9, 276.2)                      | 151.7 (62.4, 369.0) | 418.8 (164.1, 1069.2) | 344.0 (186.5, 634.6) | 303.5 (158.3, 581.8) |
| CD16−CD56hi NK            | 80.5 (33.4, 193.9)                       | 154.2 (96.4, 246.5)                      | 114.4 (70.9, 184.5) | 91.6 (40.1, 209.2) | 159.1 (117.5, 215.4) | 94.7 (43.4, 206.8) |
| CD16−CD56hi NK            | 30.9 (7.6, 117.4)                        | 72.8 (37.2, 141.2)                       | 25.9 (9.8, 66.4) | 20.5 (5.8, 66.6) | 62.0 (36.4, 105.1) | 20.3 (5.0, 74.8) |

Abbreviations: HCl = hydrochloride; n = number of observed values; NK = natural killer cells; NKT = natural killer T cells; TEMRA = terminally differentiated effector T cells expressing CD45RA.

Where the data contained values of 0, 1 was added to all values before log transformation and subtracted when converting back to the original scale. Percentage of baseline for CD4+ and CD8+ cells is based on % of CD3+ T cells.

Bisulfite conversion rates were analyzed previously and are provided in the manufacturer’s manual with values above 98%. Thermal cycling was performed as follows: 1 × 95°C for 35 minutes, followed by 50 × 95°C for 15 seconds, and 61°C for 1 minute in 10 μL using the Roche LightCycler 480 Probes Master. For the calculation of cell numbers from autosomal genes, a 2:1 allele-to-cell ratio was assumed.\(^{14}\)

Statistical analysis
This was a clinical pharmacology study without formal hypothesis testing. A sample size of approximately 12 subjects per dose group was deemed adequate to characterize the pharmacodynamics of ozanimod. ALC, flow cytometry, and epigenetic cell-counting data were summarized using the number of observed (nonmissing) observations, without imputation; however, patients with a missing baseline (day 1) ALC value used the ALC value from the screening visit as their baseline.

ALC. Circulating leukocyte subset counts were compared with baseline (day 1) using descriptive statistics. The geometric mean, used to report a percentage of the baseline cell count, is the mean of the logged values, then transformed back to the original scale; the 95% CIs were likewise computed on the log scale and then transformed back. All analyses were performed using R version 3.6.1 (2019-07-05) (R Core Team 2018).

Results
Patients
A total of 24 participants were randomized to ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg (n = 13) or 1 mg (n = 11); the mean (SD) age was 38.8 (8.4) years. Participants were predominantly women (70.8%) and White (75.0%; 5 participants [20.8%] were Black and 1 [4.2%] was Asian). All participants completed treatment,
except 1 participant in the ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg group who withdrew from the study after day 8 (figure e-1, links.lww.com/NXI/A282). The first participant enrolled on July 21, 2016, and the last participant completed the study on October 20, 2017.

Course of ALC
The mean (SD) ALC at baseline was 1.754 (0.489) × 10⁹/L in the ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg group and 2.118 (0.961) × 10⁹/L in the ozanimod HCl 1 mg group. After dose escalation, ozanimod was associated with dose-dependent reductions in ALCs (figure 1). At the end of treatment (day 85), the mean (SD) ALC was 1.055 (0.594) × 10⁹/L (42.2% reduction from baseline) in the ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg group and 0.536 (0.262) × 10⁹/L (73.3% reduction from baseline) in the ozanimod HCl 1 mg group.

Effect of ozanimod on circulating leukocyte subsets

**Flow cytometry analysis**
Flow cytometry analysis of circulating leukocyte subsets indicated that the dose-dependent decreases in ALCs with ozanimod treatment were primarily due to decreases in circulating CD19⁺ B cells and CD3⁺ T cells (figure 2, A and B; table 1). There were minimal to no decreases in monocytes, NK, and NKT cells (table 1).

Further analysis of specific T-cell subtypes revealed greater decreases in CD4⁺ T-helper cells than CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells in the ozanimod HCl 1 mg group (table 1), as well as greater decreases in both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ central memory T cells vs effector memory T cells (figure 3 and table 1). By the end of treatment, ozanimod HCl 1 mg reduced mean CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ naive T cells by ≥ 90%; ozanimod did not reduce circulating CD8⁺ TEMRA (table 1).

**Epigenetic cell-counting analysis**
In the epigenetic cell-counting analysis, total circulating leukocytes at day 85 were reduced to 90% (95% CI: 78%, 104%) and 73% (95% CI: 55%, 97%) of baseline in the ozanimod HCl 0.5 and 1 mg groups, respectively. Results for specific leukocyte subsets were consistent with the flow cytometry results in showing dose-dependent decreases in total circulating B cells and T cells with ozanimod treatment, as well as
### Table 2 Circulating levels of leukocyte subtypes over time with ozanimod HCl 0.5 or 1 mg/d expressed as a percentage of baseline cell count, as assessed using epigenetic cell counting

| Cell type                  | Ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg |                      | Ozanimod HCl 1 mg |                      |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                            | Day 2 | Day 5 | Day 28 | Day 56 | Day 85 | Day 2 | Day 5 | Day 28 | Day 56 | Day 85 |
| CD19+ B cells, n           | 11    | 13    | 12     | 12     | 10     | 11    | 10    | 11     | 10     | 10     |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 84.7 (75.8, 94.5)   | 76.6 (66.4, 88.3)    | 45.1 (34.7, 58.6) | 40.8 (32.8, 50.7)   | 38.2 (25.9, 56.4) | 89.4 (76.8, 104.2) | 79.5 (69.6, 90.8) | 24.6 (20.4, 29.7) | 19.3 (15.4, 24.1) | 17.0 (14.2, 20.2) |
| CD3+ T cells, n            | 6     | 8     | 8      | 8      | 8      | 4     | 3     | 4      | 4      | 4      |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 84.0 (74.8, 94.5)   | 74.5 (61.4, 90.5)    | 50.5 (39.3, 64.8) | 32.2 (20.7, 49.9)   | 34.6 (20.1, 59.5) | 96.2 (79.8, 116.1) | 90.6 (82.3, 99.9) | 19.4 (11.1, 33.8) | 12.1 (5.6, 26.0)  | 10.6 (6.2, 18.3)  |
| CD4+ T cells, n            | 10    | 13    | 12     | 12     | 11     | 11    | 10    | 11     | 10     | 11     |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 86.1 (76.2, 97.4)   | 84.3 (73.2, 97.1)    | 63.4 (54.7, 73.4) | 44.1 (36.3, 53.5)   | 46.1 (32.5, 65.5) | 97.4 (84.9, 111.9) | 96.6 (84.2, 110.7) | 26.7 (21.5, 33.2) | 21.4 (16.9, 27.0) | 22.5 (18.8, 27.1) |
| CD8+ T cells, n            | 11    | 13    | 12     | 12     | 11     | 11    | 10    | 10     | 10     | 10     |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 89.9 (76.9, 105.2)  | 79.0 (68.5, 91.1)    | 53.1 (45.5, 62.1) | 43.4 (34.1, 55.3)   | 39.3 (29.1, 53.0) | 91.5 (79.3, 105.6) | 88.5 (79.5, 98.5) | 32.2 (23.3, 44.6) | 28.8 (20.3, 40.9) | 24.5 (18.2, 33.0) |
| CD8+ naive T cells, n      | 5     | 5     | 4      | 4      | 3      | 7     | 7     | 7      | 7      | 7      |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 79.8 (60.6, 105.0)  | 92.7 (75.7, 113.5)   | 45.2 (26.1, 78.5) | 37.5 (25.3, 55.8)   | 38.4 (34.3, 42.9) | 78.3 (64.1, 95.7) | 78.9 (68.3, 91.3) | 18.5 (12.9, 26.7) | 16.2 (7.9, 33.1)  | 12.6 (7.3, 21.6)  |
| Th17 cells, n              | 11    | 13    | 12     | 12     | 11     | 11    | 10    | 11     | 11     | 11     |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 88.2 (80.3, 96.9)   | 89.0 (78.7, 100.6)   | 51.6 (43.5, 61.2) | 38.1 (28.2, 51.5)   | 38.0 (25.9, 55.8) | 94.7 (81.9, 109.5) | 87.1 (76.6, 98.9) | 20.2 (15.4, 26.5) | 13.7 (9.9, 18.9)  | 11.5 (8.6, 15.4)  |
| Treg cells, n              | 6     | 8     | 6      | 6      | 7      | 4     | 3     | 3      | 3      | 2      |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 98.2 (79.0, 122.0)  | 74.9 (61.3, 91.6)    | 62.3 (50.2, 77.3) | 54.3 (41.7, 70.9)   | 51.7 (36.0, 74.4) | 103.9 (91.5, 118.0) | 86.9 (61.8, 122.3) | 45.7 (18.7, 111.4) | 35.3 (21.9, 57.1) | 34.6 (14.5, 82.6) |
| PD-1+ cells, n             | 5     | 5     | 4      | 4      | 3      | 7     | 7     | 7      | 7      | 7      |
| Gmean (95% CI)             | 86.8 (65.5, 115.1)  | 98.2 (70.1, 137.6)   | 57.7 (36.8, 90.3) | 62.9 (49.5, 79.8)   | 76.2 (45.4, 128.0) | 78.3 (64.8, 94.7) | 81.0 (71.2, 92.3) | 35.3 (26.0, 47.9) | 35.2 (25.8, 47.9) | 34.2 (26.5, 44.3) |

Abbreviations: Gmean = geometric mean; HCl = hydrochloride; n = number of observed values; PD-1+ = programmed cell death 1.
decreases in CD4+ T-helper and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells and naive CD8+ T cells (table 2). This analysis also demonstrated greater reductions in Th17 cells than T regulatory (Treg) cells or programmed cell death 1 (PD-1)+ cells (figure 4 and table 2).

Discussion

These data represent the first reported analyses of the effect of ozanimod on leukocyte subsets in patients with RMS. In addition to flow cytometry, a novel epigenetic cell-counting method was used, and both methodologies support the MOA of ozanimod and differential effects on peripheral blood leukocyte subsets. Specifically, this phase 1 study confirmed that treatment with ozanimod produced dose-dependent reductions in ALCs over 12 weeks in patients with RMS. Results from the exploratory analyses demonstrated that this reduction was due primarily to decreases in T and B cells. In the flow cytometry analysis, the greatest T-cell reductions were seen in CD4+ naive and central memory subsets, whereas CD8+ effector memory T cells and TEMRA were not reduced from baseline (table 1). This profile is consistent with the expected effects of S1P1 receptor modulation, given the link between chemokine receptor CCR7 expression and S1P1 receptor-mediated egress of lymphocytes from lymph nodes; CCR7 is expressed on B cells and both naive and central memory T cells, but not on effector memory T cells or TEMRA.9 The differential effects on CCR7+ lymphocyte subsets observed in the current study are in agreement with those reported previously with ozanimod in healthy volunteers.12 Moreover, this profile mirrors patterns of lymphocyte effects reported with fingolimod in patients with MS and with siponimod15 and ponesimod16,17 in healthy volunteers.

Our flow cytometry analysis further demonstrated that ozanimod had a minimal effect on circulating levels of other leukocyte subtypes, including NK and NKT cells and monocytes, suggesting a differential effect of treatment on specific leukocyte subpopulations. This has potential clinical implications, given the role of these subtypes in the innate immune response necessary for immunosurveillance against infections and tumors.18–20 One of the concerns surrounding the use of immunomodulatory therapies is the potential for increased risks of infection and malignancy. However, phase 3 clinical trials of ozanimod showed infection rates that were comparable with patients treated with IM interferon beta-1a, and infrequent serious infections, no serious opportunistic infections, and low (<1%) rates of malignancy among patients with RMS receiving ozanimod.3,4

Our flow cytometry results were further supported by results from a second analysis based on epigenetic cell counting, a recently developed method of immune cell quantification. This method provides relative and absolute immune cell counts based on PCR amplification and quantification of cell type–specific DNA methylation markers.14,21,22 In a previous analysis, results from epigenetic cell counting were found to correlate highly (Spearman rank correlation coefficients of 0.96–0.98, p < 0.001) with flow cytometry results in both healthy controls and HIV+ individuals for all cell types studied.14 Although correlations could not be meaningfully estimated in our analysis due to small sample sizes and missing data, results from the epigenetic cell-counting analysis were generally consistent with flow cytometry results for the
leukocyte subtypes analyzed by both methods, specifically substantial decreases in B and T cells, including CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and CD8+ naive T cells (tables 1 and 2). Epigenetic cell-counting results also demonstrated that ozanimod is associated with greater reductions in proinflammatory Th17 cells compared with Treg cells. This has potential clinical implications in that Th17/Treg balance is believed to be an important factor in MS disease activity. Interestingly, a small study assessing circulating levels of Th17 and Treg after fingolimod initiation for RMS reported variable effects on Th17 levels, in which 11 of 21 (52.4%) patients showed an increased proportion of Th17 cells after 4 weeks of treatment, and increased levels of Th17 cells were associated with MS relapses after fingolimod initiation.

Finally, these analyses confirmed the dose-dependent effects of ozanimod on circulating levels of leukocyte subtypes, in which ozanimod HCl 1 mg clearly produced greater reductions in T and B cells than ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg. This dose dependence can be seen in both flow cytometry and epigenetic cell-counting results. In addition, these cell counts showed continued decreases over time in the ozanimod HCl 1 mg group, whereas a time-dependent effect was less evident with the ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg group. These dose-related effects on cell counts are consistent with the dose-related clinical findings from the phase 3 RMS studies, in which ozanimod HCl 1 mg achieved a numerically lower annualized relapse rate and fewer brain MRI lesions compared with ozanimod HCl 0.5 mg.

Although providing valuable information for the more detailed understanding of the MOA of ozanimod and avenues for further research, the analyses reported here were exploratory in nature. The phase 1 study forming the basis of these analyses enrolled a small number of patients (N = 24), and even smaller numbers of samples were available for analysis for some of the subtypes. Owing to differences in the data sets, no formal statistical comparisons correlating results from flow cytometry vs epigenetic cell counting were made. Nevertheless, consistency in results between the 2 methods suggests the utility of the newer epigenetic cell-counting methodology and provides greater confidence in the overall cell-type-specific effects of ozanimod treatment. This observation suggests that a cross-validation study of epigenetic cell-counting and flow cytometry methods could be pursued.

These analyses support that, in line with its MOA, ozanimod produced dose-dependent reductions in B- and T-cell counts in peripheral blood of patients with RMS. A differential effect of ozanimod on specific leukocyte subtypes in patients with RMS was observed.
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