Reactive astrocytes promote the metastatic growth of breast cancer stem-like cells by activating Notch signalling in brain
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is a second leading cause of cancer death among women and more than 90% of deaths are still attributed to metastatic diseases (Jemal et al., 2010; Weigelt et al., 2005). At the late stage, most patients develop metastatic lesions which is always fatal and the brain is one of the major sites (Palmieri et al., 2007). Because of the location of metastatic lesions, a surgical approach is limited and most chemotherapeutic drugs are ineffective due to the blood-brain barrier (BBB; Steeg et al., 2011). Despite this clinical importance, the molecular basis of breast tumour metastasis to the brain is poorly understood.

According to the cancer stem cell theory, cancer stem-like cells (CSCs) are capable of initiating tumourigenesis and are also responsible for metastatic growth (Li et al., 2007; Pang et al., 2010; Visvader & Lindeman, 2008). They have an ability to drive self-renewal replication at a niche which provides specific growth factors and activates distinct signalling such as Notch, Hedgehog and Wnt (Malanchi & Huelsken, 2009; Moore & Lemischka, 2006). These signalling pathways are evolutionarily conserved and play critical roles in embryonic stem cells; however, aberrant expression of these pathways is often observed in various types of cancers (Reya et al., 2001; Takebe et al., 2010). When CSCs metastasize to a distant organ, they need to re-model the microenvironment to generate a suitable niche and reactivate these signalling pathways (Li & Neaves, 2006; Scadden, 2006). It is virtually unknown how the metastasized CSCs adapt themselves to the brain which has a totally different microenvironment and how the CSCs communicate with specific brain cells and re-establish a niche for their own growth.
Astrocytes are the most abundant glial cells in the brain and they are activated under certain pathological conditions which can be identified by a high level of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) expression (Gomi et al., 2010; Li et al., 2008). Interestingly, they are also frequently observed around the brain metastasis lesions, suggesting a potential role of activated astrocytes in promoting brain metastasis (Marchetti et al., 2000; Papadopoulos et al., 2004). In this report, we found that CSCs generate their niche in the brain by communicating with astrocytes through reciprocal interaction, which in turn activates Notch signalling in CSCs followed by promoting self-renewal of CSCs. We have also shown that blocking Notch signalling by a BBB-permeable drug significantly suppressed brain metastasis in an animal model.

RESULTS

Conditioned medium of brain metastatic cells activates astrocytes and up-regulates JAG1

To understand how metastasized breast cancer cells thrive in the brain by remolding the local microenvironment, we first examined the effect of conditioned medium (CM) acquired from brain-metastatic breast cancer cell lines on primary rat astrocytes. 231BrM and CN34BrM cells that can specifically metastasize to the brain were originally isolated from MDA-MB231 (MDA231) and CN34, respectively, through in vivo selection (Bos et al., 2009). As shown in Fig 1A and B, both mRNA and protein levels of Notch ligand, JAG1 were significantly increased by the CM from 231BrM and CN34BrM but not by the CM from their parental cells, indicating that the CM of 231BrM and CN34BrM contain soluble factor(s) which can up-regulate the JAG1 expression in astrocytes. It should be noted that up-regulation of Notch ligand by CM was specific to JAG1, and none of the other Notch ligands including JAG2, DLL1, DLL3, and DLL4 were responsive to CM (Supporting Information Fig S1A). The up-regulation of JAG1 was also observed in immortalized human astrocytes that were treated with CM of 231BrM (Fig 1C). Moreover, the result of our immunocytochemical analysis indicates that the expression of both JAG1 and GFAP, a marker of reactive astrocytes, were strongly augmented by the CM from 231BrM cells (Fig 1D). We have also examined the tissue-specificity of JAG1 activation by culturing primary human microglial cells, another major component of brain cells, with CM of MB231 and 231BrM cells. We found that JAG1 was almost undetectable in microglial

Figure 1. Conditioned medium of brain metastatic cells up-regulates JAG1 and activates astrocytes.
A. Primary rat astrocytes were cultured in the presence of CM prepared from MB231, 231BrM, CN34 and CN34BrM cells and the expression of JAG1 was measured by qRT-PCR and Western blot (inserted photo).
B. Primary rat astrocytes were cultured with the CM from MB231 or 231BrM, and the expression of JAG1 was measured at various time points by qRT-PCR and Western blot (inserted photo).
C. Immortalized human astrocytes cell line (UC1) was cultured in the presence of CM from MB231 or 231BrM cells and the expression of JAG1 was measured by RT-PCR.
D. Primary rat astrocytes were cultured in the presence of CM of MB231 or 231BrM, and the expression of JAG1 and reactive astrocytes marker, GFAP, were examined by immunocytochemical staining. Bar, 100 µm. P values were calculated by a two-tailed Student’s t test.
cells by immunocytochemical staining and that the level of JAG1 was unchanged by the treatment of CM (Supporting Information Fig S1B).

IL-1β is highly expressed in brain metastatic cells of breast cancer

To identify the secretory factor(s) which stimulated JAG1 expression in the CM of brain metastatic cells, we performed a cytokine antibody array analysis and found that IL-1β, which is known to promote tumour growth, angiogenesis and invasion, was the most significantly enriched cytokine in the CM of 231BrM cells (Fig 2A; Supporting Information Fig S2A). In addition, we analysed the existing GEO data base (GSE12237) which contains comprehensive gene expression profile of MB231 and 231BrM cells and found that IL-1β was indeed significantly over-expressed in 231BrM cells compared to other cytokines or chemokines (Supporting Information Fig S1B). The up-regulation of IL-1β in 231BrM cells (Fig 2B and C) and
CN34BrM cells (Fig 2D) compared to their parental cells was also confirmed by qRT-PCR, Western blot and ELISA. To investigate the clinical relevance of IL-1β in brain metastasis, we analysed a series of clinical microarray cohort data (GSE12276, GSE2034, GSE2603, GSE5327, and GSE14020) that contain the brain relapse information of a total of 710 patients. We found that the high level of IL-1β but not IL-1α was significantly correlated with a poor brain metastasis-free survival of breast cancer patients (Fig 2E). Furthermore, the results of our IHC analysis also indicate that primary tumours from patients who eventually developed brain metastasis (n = 6) expressed significantly higher IL-1β compared to the tumours from overall metastasis-free patients with the similar clinical grades (n = 11; Fig 2F and Supporting Information Fig S3D). Therefore, it is plausible that IL-1β secreted from brain metastatic cells plays critical roles in metastatic growth by up-regulating the Notch ligand in astrocytes.

**IL1β enhances JAG1 expression in reactive astrocytes through NF-κB pathway**

To directly examine whether IL-1β up-regulates the Notch ligand, we tested the effect of recombinant IL-1β on JAG1 expression in primary rat and human astrocytes. We found that IL-1β was indeed capable of up-regulating JAG1 in primary human and rat astrocytes (Fig 3A and B) as well as in immortalized human and rat astrocytes cell lines (Supporting Information Fig S3A) in both dose and time dependent manners. It should be noted that IL-1α which has been found to be highly expressed in 231BrM cells was also able to up-regulate JAG1 in astrocytes (Supporting Information Fig S3B). However, the expression of this cytokine was not significantly correlated to the status of brain metastasis (Fig 2E). On the other hand, the rest of the soluble factors that were found to be enriched in the CM of 231BrM cells failed to activate JAG1 expression in astrocytes (Supporting Information Fig S3C), suggesting that JAG1 activation in astrocytes is specific to IL-1. Moreover, IL-1β was shown to strongly activate JAG1 and GFAP in rat astrocytes by our immunocytochemical analysis and Western blot (Fig 3C and Supporting Information Fig S3D). To further investigate whether IL-1β in CM of 231BrM cells is indeed the factor which activates JAG1 in astrocytes, we examined JAG1 expression in rat astrocytes that were treated with CM of 231BrM in the presence or absence of IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) or IL-1β antibody. As shown in Fig 3D and E, the expression of JAG1 in rat astrocytes was significantly decreased in the IL1RA or IL-1β antibody treated cells but not by the treatment with the anti-IL1α antibody (Supporting Information Fig S3E). Furthermore, we examined the mRNA level of other Notch ligands in rat astrocytes after IL-1β treatment and found that only JAG1 was significantly up-regulated by IL-1β (Supporting Information Fig S3F). We also found that the NF-κB inhibitors, PDTC or RO 106-9920, significantly abrogated the IL1β-mediated JAG1 expression in astrocytes, indicating that IL-1β up-regulates the JAG1 expression through the NF-κB pathway (Fig 3F and Supporting Information Fig S3G). Taken together, our results indicate that IL-1β secreted from brain-metastatic cells specifically activates JAG1 in reactive astrocytes.

**Reactive astrocytes promote self-renewal of CSCs through activation of Notch pathway**

In order to test whether the activation of JAG1 in astrocytes indeed triggers the Notch signalling in tumour cells through cell–cell interaction which is generally required for Notch activation, we first cultured astrocytes in monolayer followed by infecting lentivirus carrying sh-JAG1 or sh-scramble, and the knockdown of JAG1 was confirmed by Western blot after 48 h (Supporting Information Fig S4A). In parallel, GFP-labelled 231BrM cells were seeded on top of the astrocyte monolayer and they were co-cultured for 2 days followed by examining the activated Notch signalling in 231BrM cells by immunocytochemistry using anti-NICD antibody (Fig 4A). We found that the Notch signalling in the cancer cells was strongly activated when cells were co-cultured with rat astrocytes and this activation was almost completely abolished by the knockdown of JAG1 expression in astrocytes and the treatment of the cells with γ-secretase inhibitor, DAPT. The Notch pathway has been reported to play a critical role in the self-renewal of various types of stem cells (Bouras et al, 2008; Pannuti et al, 2010). To further examine the role of the reactive astrocytes in promoting self-renewal of CSCs, we co-cultured 231BrM cells with rat primary astrocytes and found that the CSCs population in 231BrM cells was significantly increased after the co-culture in a time dependent manner, indicating that interaction with astrocytes indeed promotes the self-renewal ability of CSCs (Fig 4B; Supporting Information Fig S4B). In addition, we treated astrocytes with recombinant IL-1β and co-cultured with the parental cell, MDA231. We found that IL-1β significantly increased the CSCs population (Supporting Information Fig S4C). This result strongly supports our idea that IL-1β enhances the self-renewal of CSCs by activating astrocytes. We also treated MDA231BrM cells with anti-IL1α or anti-IL1β antibodies and co-cultured with rat astrocyte for 72 h. We found that inhibition of IL-1β significantly decreased the CSCs population, while anti-IL1α antibody failed to decrease the JAG1 expression in astrocytes and did not affect the CSCs population of 231BrM cells in this assay (Supporting Information Fig S4D and S3E). These data strongly suggest that IL-1β but not IL-1α is the major regulator of JAG1 activation and CSCs population. Furthermore, we isolated CSCs (CD24−, CD44+, ESA+) from 231BrM cells by Magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS; Supporting Information Fig S4E) and they were co-cultured with rat primary astrocytes, NIH3T3 or mouse brain endothelial cells followed by FACS analysis for CSC markers. As shown in Fig 4C and D, the population of CSCs was significantly increased when these cells were co-cultured with astrocytes but not with other types of cells and this effect was drastically abrogated by the DAPT treatment. On the other hand, the population of differentiated cells which express high level of CK18 (cytokeratin 18) was significantly increased (Supporting Information Fig S4F). Taken together, these results strongly support our notion that IL-1β secreted from metastatic cells activates astrocytes which in turn stimulate the self-renewal of CSCs by activating Notch signalling. To further investigate the role of Notch signalling in the self-renewal of CSCs, we constructed a stable
231BrM cell line which carries the tetracycline-inducible NICD gene. The expression of Notch down-stream targets, HES1, HEY1 and HES5, were all up-regulated upon tetracycline induction in this cell line, confirming the inducible Notch signalling (Supporting Information Fig S4G). Importantly, the population of CSCs was significantly increased after the induction of the NICD expression in this cell line, suggesting that Notch signalling plays a key role in maintaining the stemness of the CSCs. (Fig 4E).

Notch signalling promotes self-renewal of CSCs through up-regulation of HES5
To further clarify the downstream targets of Notch signalling which promotes the self-renewal of CSCs after interacting with astrocytes, we first co-cultured 231BrM-GFP or CN34BrM-GFP cells on top of the rat astrocyte with or without knockdown of JAG1 for 48 h. GFP+ cells were then sorted out by FACS and the expression level of HES5 was examined by qRT-PCR. We found that HES5 was significantly up-regulated in 231BrM-GFP...
CSCs were isolated from 231BrM cells by MACS and they were co-cultured with primary rat astrocytes, NIH3T3 or mouse brain endothelial cells (Brain ET) for 72 h. Cells were then subjected to FACS analysis using antibodies to CD24, CD44 and ESA.

D. CSCs were isolated from 231BrM/Tet-NICD cells, and they were treated with or without tetracycline to induce NICD for 48 h followed by FACS analysis using antibodies to CD24, CD44 and ESA.

E. CSCs were isolated from 231BrM/Tet-NICD cells, and they were treated with or without tetracycline to induce NICD for 48 h followed by FACS analysis using antibodies to CD24, CD44 and ESA. P values were calculated by a two-tailed Student’s t test.

(Fig 5A) as well as in CN34BrM-GFP (Supporting Information Fig 5A) after co-culturing these cells with rat astrocyte and that knockdown of JAG1 in rat astrocyte significantly abolished this effect. Interestingly, when we analysed existing clinical breast cancer cohort data, we found that the high expression level of HES5, but not HES1 or HEY1 was significantly correlated with a poor brain metastasis-free survival of breast cancer patients (Fig 5B). Furthermore, we examined the expression of HES5 in paraffin embedded primary and brain metastatic tumours by Taqman PCR and found that HES5 was indeed significantly over-expressed in metastatic tumours in the brain (n = 8) compared to the primary tumours (n = 5; Fig 5C). To verify the role of HES5 in self-renewal of CSCs, we knocked-down the HESS gene in 231BrM Tet/NICD cells by infecting lentivirus expressing shRNA with or without an induction of NICD followed by examining the CSCs by FACS. We found that the induction of NICD significantly increased CSCs population; however, the knock-down of HES5 significantly abrogates the enrichment of CSCs and mammosphere forming abilities that were induced by NICD (Fig 5D and E and Supporting Information Fig 5B). Interestingly, knock-down of HES5 and HEY1 which are another two important downstream targets of Notch pathway failed to suppress the CSCs population in 231BrM cells (Supporting Information Fig 5C). We then ectopically expressed HES5 in 231BrM cells by infecting cells with lentivirus carrying HESS expression plasmid followed by FACS analysis. As shown in Fig 5F, the ectopic expression of HESS significantly increased CSCs population after 72 h of viral infection. To further validate our result in clinical samples, we obtained primary tumour from advanced breast cancer patients, and the tissue was passaged only once in NOD/SCID mouse without in vitro culture. The tumour cells were dissociated and the cells were infected with pSin-puro, pSin-HESS or PLKO-shHESS lentivirus and they were cultured in an ultra-low attachment plate. We then measured CSCs population by FACS after 72 h and their mammosphere forming ability by counting the number of spheres after 10 days (Supporting Information Fig S5D). As shown in Fig 5G and H, we again found that HES5 significantly enriched the CSCs population and mammosphere forming ability in the primary breast cancer cells. Whereas, the knock-down of HES5 significantly decreased the mammosphere forming ability and blocked the enrichment of CSCs. These results strongly suggest that the activated Notch signalling promotes self-renewal of CSCs through up-regulation of HES5.

Inhibition of Notch signalling and IL-1β suppresses the metastatic growth of CSCs in vivo

To examine the role of Notch signalling in promoting brain metastasis in vivo, we first isolated CSCs from 231BrM cells which express the luciferase gene followed by the limiting dilution analysis of CSCs in nude mice to confirm that they are
the population with higher metastatic and tumour initiating ability (Fig 6A; Supporting Information Fig S6A). In addition, we performed microarray analysis using the Affymetrix expression array for CSCs that were isolated from MB231, 231BrM and 231BoM which preferentially metastasizes to bone. As shown in Supporting Information Fig S6B and C, IL-1β was exclusively expressed in CSCs from 231BrM cells among these tested CSCs. The isolated CSCs from 231BrM cells were then transplanted

Figure 5. Notch signalling promotes self-renewal of CSCs through up-regulation of HES5.

A. Primary rat astrocytes with or without knockdown of JAG1 were grown as a monolayer, and 231BrM-GFP cells were cultured alone or on top of the astrocytes for 48 h. GFP+ cells were then isolated by FACS, and the expression of HES5 was measured by qRT-PCR.

B. Kaplan–Meier analysis for brain metastasis-free survival of 204 breast cancer patients (GSE12276). Patients were divided into two groups based on the expression status of HES5 in the primary tumour.

C. HES5 mRNA levels in the primary (n = 5) and brain metastatic samples (n = 8) of breast cancer patients were examined by Taqman Real time PCR.

D. 231BrM/Tet-NICD cells were cultured in the presence or absence of tetracycline and with or without infection of lentivirus expressing sh-HES5 for 72 h followed by FACS analysis for CSCs population.

E. Mammosphere forming ability was measured in CSCs that were isolated from 231BrM/Tet-NICD cells in the presence or absence of tetracycline and with or without infection of sh-HES5 lentivirus. Representative photos were taken at day 18 (inserted figure). Bar, 200 μm.

F. HES5 was ectopically expressed in 231BrM cells by lentivirus infection, and CSCs population was measured by FACS. The over expression of HES5 in 231BrM was verified by Western blot (inserted figure).

G. CSCs were isolated from primary breast tumour cells that were infected with indicated lentivirus, and mammosphere forming abilities were measured. Representative photos were taken at day 14 (inserted figure). Bar, 200 μm.

H. Primary breast tumour cells with or without infection of lentivirus expressing HES5 were cultured in a low-attachment plate for 72 h followed by FACS analysis for CSCs population. P values were calculated by a two-tailed Student’s t test.
### Table A

| Cell # injected | 10^3 | 10^4 | 10^5 | frequency of brain metastatic cell (95% confidence interval) |
|-----------------|------|------|------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Stem            | 4/4  | 9/9  | 4/9  | 1/1.1648 (1/4.053-1.670)                                  |
| Non-Stem        | 3/4  | 4/9  | 0/8  | 1/38.325 (1/97.307-1/15.094)                             |

P < 0.0001

### Figure 6. Inhibition of Notch signalling and IL-1 β suppresses the metastatic growth of CSCs in vivo.

A. CSCs or non-CSCs were isolated from 231BrM cells, and a limiting dilution analysis was done by intracardially injecting various numbers of cells into nude mice followed by monitoring the incidence of brain metastasis by BLI.

B. The brain sections of the tumour bearing mice were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis for JAG1 (red, Alexa 555), GFAP (green, FITC) and H&E staining. The astrocytes which express both JAG1 and GFAP are indicated by white arrows. Met, metastasis; BP, brain peripheral; Bar, 100 μm.

C. The brain sections of the tumour bearing mice were subjected to immunohistochemical analysis for CD44 (green, FITC), ESA (red, Alexa 555) and H&E staining. Cancer cells expressing both markers are indicated by white arrows. Bars indicate 100 μm (left panel) and 50 μm (middle and right panels), respectively.

D. CSCs were prepared from 231BrM, 231BrM/DNMAML and 231BrM/shIL-1, and 5 × 10^4 cells were intracardially injected into nude mice followed by monitoring tumour growth by measuring the total photon flux in the brain. Brain metastasis-free survival curve was shown in each panel.

E. CSCs were prepared from MDA231 and MDA231-IL1β, and 10^5 cells were intracardially injected into nude mice followed by measuring the total photon flux in the brain ex vivo at the end point (left panel). The result of brain metastasis-free survival was shown in the right panel. CSCs were prepared from 231BrM cells and 5 × 10^4 cells were intracardially injected to each group of mice (n = 12) followed by treatment with Compound E or vehicle only followed by measuring the total photon flux in the brain for 32 days.

F. Proposed model for the growth of breast CSCs in the brain. IL-1β secreted from metastatic CSCs up-regulates JAG1 on the reactivated astrocytes which in turn promote self-renewal of CSCs through JAG1-Notch-HES5 axis. P values were calculated by a two-tailed Student's t test.

G. Proposed model for the growth of breast CSCs in the brain. IL-1β secreted from metastatic CSCs up-regulates JAG1 on the reactivated astrocytes which in turn promote self-renewal of CSCs through JAG1-Notch-HES5 axis. p-values were calculated by a two-tailed Student's t test.
into nude mice by intracardiac injection followed by monitoring tumour growth by bioluminescent imaging for 4 weeks. The mice were sacrificed and their brains were removed and subjected to ex vivo luciferase assay (Supporting Information Fig S6D). We then performed immunohistochemical analysis on the brain sections with JAG1 and GFAP antibodies and found strong co-localized signals of GFAP and JAG1 in the reactive astrocytes that surrounded the metastatic lesion (Fig 6B). Interestingly, a group of CD44+ESA+ cells were also found to be significantly enriched in the invasion front of metastatic tumour, suggesting that these CSCs may be responsible for the growth of the tumour cells in the brain (Fig 6C, Supporting Information Fig S6E). To further investigate the role of Notch signalling and IL-1β in promoting brain metastasis, we inoculated CSCs that were isolated from 231BrM, 231BrM-DNMAML or 231BrM-shIL1β, through intracardiac injection into nude mice. We found that suppressing Notch signalling by DNAML or knocking down IL-1β expression by shRNA in CSCs of 231BrM significantly attenuated their metastatic growth in the brain compared to that of 231BrM parental cells (Fig 6D). Next, we ectopically expressed IL-1β in MDA231 cells by using the lentivirus expression system and transplanted the established cell line into mice by intracardiac injection. As shown in Fig 6E, we found a significant increase in the incidence of brain metastasis in the group which received MDA231 with IL-1β over expression compared to the control group. In addition, we performed the in vitro BBB transwell assay by first culturing mouse brain endothelial cells on the transwell membrane until confluent followed by seeding GFP-labelled cancer cells on top of the transwell. We found that the invasive ability of MDA231 was significantly enhanced by IL-1β (Supporting Information Fig S6F). Taken together, our data suggest that IL-1β contributes to both the invasive ability of cancer cells and to the activation of Notch signalling through astrocytes.

Our in vitro results also suggest that a Notch inhibitor may serve as an effective therapeutic drug for the treatment of brain metastasis of breast cancer. As a first step towards this goal, we tested the efficacy of a γ-secretase inhibitor in our model system. Nude mice which have been inoculated with CSCs were treated with Compound E, a potent BBB permeable γ-secretase inhibitor (Grimwood et al, 2005; Sonoshita et al, 2011), by i.p. injection followed by monitoring brain metastasis by BLI (bioluminescence imaging). We found that this drug significantly suppressed the incidence of metastasis as well as growth of CSCs of 231BrM in the brain by blocking HES5 expression (Fig 6F; Supporting Information Fig S6G and H). Collectively, these data strongly suggest that when breast cancer cells are metastasized to the brain, they secrete IL-1β which activates JAG1 expression in astrocytes and that the direct interaction of the activated astrocytes with CSCs turns on the Notch pathway followed by promoting self-renewal of CSCs (Fig 6G).

**DISCUSSION**

Organotropism is one of the most distinct properties of cancer metastasis which indicates that metastatic cancer cells can only thrive in permissive microenvironment (Hu et al, 2009; Lu & Kang, 2007). Glial cells constitute a major part of the brain cells and astrocytes are the most abundant glial cells (Grosche et al, 1999; Ventura & Harris, 1999). Fitzgerald et al previously found that a large amount of glial cells were trapped within the inner-tumour mass in surgically resected brain samples and demonstrated that reactive glial cells can be recruited by cancer cells to promote tumour growth in the brain (Fitzgerald et al, 2008). Furthermore, reactive astrocytes are known to protect cancer cells from chemotherapy by activating signalling pathway related to cell survival (Langley et al, 2009; Lin et al, 2010). It is also noteworthy that brain-metastatic lung cancer cells were shown to stimulate the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in astrocytes, which significantly promoted the growth of cancer cells (Seike et al, 2011). In our study, we have shown that reactive astrocytes appeared abundantly around the brain metastatic regions and that the activated astrocytes were indeed able to promote self-renewal of CSCs by direct interaction. We have also shown that brain-metastatic cancer cells secrete excessive amounts of IL-1β and activate astrocytes which in turn promote Notch signalling in CSCs. Therefore, our results indicate that CSCs establish their niche in the brain through reciprocal interaction with astrocytes, which plays a pivotal role in pathogenesis of brain-specific metastasis of breast cancer.

Interleukin-1 (IL-1) is one of the most well studied cytokines that play key roles in cancer progression, and two forms of IL-1 have been identified, namely IL-1α and IL-1β (Elaraj et al, 2006; Voronov et al, 2003). IL-1β is processed by interleukin-1β-converting enzyme (ICE) before it becomes functional as a secreted cytokine, while IL-1α can localize in the cytosol and mediate intracellular signalling (Aotsuka et al, 1991; Debets et al, 1995; Miller et al, 1993). The secreted IL-1β induces inflammatory response and alters tumour microenvironment; however, it was also shown to enhance the growth and invasion abilities of cancer cells in an autocrine fashion (Aotsuka et al, 1991; Kawakami et al, 1997). IL-1β is also known to promote cancer progression by upregulating pro-metastatic genes such as matrix metalloproteinases and stimulate adjacent cells to produce angiogenic proteins or growth factors including VEGF, IL-8, IL-6, TNF-α and TGF-β (Lewis et al, 2006). Many solid tumours are known to express a high level of IL-1β which is shown to correlate with patient survival (Elara et al, 2006; Lee et al, 2006; Liu et al, 2006). Notably, we have shown that the expression levels of IL-1β in the primary tumours of breast cancer patients were significantly associated with their brain metastatic statuses, suggesting that IL-1β may serve as a potential prognostic marker and a therapeutic target for brain metastasis. Interestingly, treatment with IL-1RA, a potent IL-1 inhibitor, was shown to significantly decrease the growth and metastases of colon and lung cancer cells in mouse models (Lewis et al, 2006). However, BBB permeability of IL-1RA is still unknown and it has a relatively short half-life (4–6 h), therefore, developing a more effective small molecule mimicking IL-1RA is needed.

Metastatic growth is believed to be initiated by CSCs at the distant organs that constitute totally different microenvironment
from the primary tumour sites. Similar to embryonic stem cells, CSCs also require specific niche which provides factors to activate various pathways for the maintenance of stemness of CSCs through direct cell–cell interaction or by secreting growth factors. In this context, it is noteworthy that Karnoub et al reported that bone mesenchymal stem cells (BMSC) generate a ‘pre-metastatic niche’ at the distant organs even before metastatic cells arrive at the site (Karnoub et al, 2007). Interestingly, Li et al recently found that prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) was secreted by BMSCs in response to cancer cell-derived IL-1 and that the BMSC-derived PGE2 significantly enhanced the CSCs population via Akt/GSK-3/β-catenin signalling axis (Li et al, 2012). However, the ‘pre-metastatic niche’ hypothesis may not be applicable to brain metastasis because the brain is a highly specialized organ and also due to the brain-blood barrier, it is unlikely that BMSC reach the brain before metastasis, although this possibility cannot be totally excluded.

Increasing lines of evidence suggest that the Notch pathway plays a crucial role in maintaining the stemness of CSCs in a particular microenvironment (Charles et al, 2010; McGowan et al, 2011). A hallmark of Notch signalling is the requirement of the ligand–receptor interaction through direct cell–cell contact, which may occur between tumour cells or tumour–stroma interactions (Sethi et al, 2011; Xing et al, 2011). Butler et al have recently shown that bone marrow endothelial cells which express Notch ligands were indeed required for the self-renewal of haematopoietic stem cells in a Notch dependent manner (Butler et al, 2010). We have shown that direct interaction of CSCs and activated astrocytes is essential for up-regulating Notch signalling and the following self-renewal of CSCs in the brain. Our data also indicate that this activated Notch signalling up-regulated the HES5, which significantly augmented self-renewal of CSCs. It has been reported that HES5-expressing telencephalic cells are maintained as neural stem cells during embryogenesis, indicating a possible role of HES5 in maintaining self-renewal of CSCs (Ohtsuka et al, 2001). In this report, we have discovered a novel pathological mechanism by which breast CSCs establish a niche in the metastasized brain through interaction with activated astrocytes. Our results have revealed a vicious paracrine loop of IL-1β and Notch signalling through direct interaction of CSCs and astrocytes, which in turn promotes the growth of metastasized CSCs in the brain. Importantly, we have also shown that a BBB-permeable Notch inhibitor can serve as an effective therapeutic drug to suppress metastatic growth of breast cancer in the brain. These discoveries open a window of opportunity to identify a novel therapeutic target for brain metastasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and reagents

Human breast carcinoma cell line, MDA-MB231 (MDA231), was purchased from American Type Culture Collection. MDA-MB231BrM (231BrM), CN34 and CN34BrM were kind gifts from Dr. Massague (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center). 231BrM and CN34BrM are derivatives of MB231 and CD34, respectively, and they are highly metastatic to brain (Bos et al, 2009). Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, streptomycin (100 mg/ml), penicillin (100 units/ml) and grown at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Primary rat astrocytes were purchased from BrainBits LLC and maintained in Neuro basal medium (Invitrogen) with 10% horse serum and 3 mM glutamine (Invitrogen). Normal Human primary astrocytes were purchased from Lonza and maintained in AGM medium supplemented with BulletKit (Lonza). SV40 immortalized neonatal rat astrocyte (NRA) was kindly provided by Dr Stanimirovic (NRC-Institute for Biological Sciences) and E6/E7/hTERT immortalized human astrocyte (UC1) was a kind gift from Dr Russell Piper (University of California-San Francisco). Primary human breast tumour cells which maintained in xenograft tumour of NOD/SCID mouse were obtained from Conversant Biologics, Inc. shRNA-expressing lentiviral plasmids for IL-1β and HES5 were obtained from OpenBiosystems. Recombinant IL-1β, 1-Pyrrolidinecarboxydioic acid ammonium salt (PDTC) and -[N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl)-l-alanyl]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester (DAPT) were purchased from Sigma Co, and IL-1 RA and IL-1β antibody were obtained from R&D. Compound E was purchased from Enzo life sciences.

Plasmids construction

The expression plasmid of NICD cDNA with a Myc-tag was provided by Dr. Bresnick (University of Wisconsin Medical School, Madison, Wisconsin). MSCV-Mam (12–74)-EGFP was a kind gift from Dr. Pear (University of Pennsylvania). The tetracycline-inducible system T-Rex (Invitrogen) was used to create a cell line with inducible NICD expression. First, the Myc-NICD cDNA was amplified by PCR and cloned into the BamHI/Sall site of pcDNA5/TO (Invitrogen). The human breast cancer cell line 231BrM was transfected with pcDNA6/TR encoding the Tet repressor, and a stable cell line (231BrM/Tet) was generated. Then, the pcDNA5/TO/Myc-NICD expression plasmid was stably transfected into the 231BrM/Tet cell line, and the resultant clones were designated as 231BrM/Tet-NICD.

Western blot

Western blot analysis was performed as described previously using antibodies against JAG1 (1/500; Cell Signaling), IL-1β (1/500; R&D), CFAP (1/500; Cell Signaling Technology), HES5 (1/500; Millipore), P50 (1/1000; Thermo) and α-tubulin (1/1000; Cell Signaling Technology; Bandyopadhyay et al, 2006).

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from the cells and reverse transcribed as described previously (Bandyopadhyay et al, 2006). The cDNA was then amplified with a pair of forward and reverse primers for the following genes: rat JAG1 (5′-GGTGGACACGCTCTGAGCA-3′ and 5′-AGAGCTGGA-GAACACTCACA-3′), rat JAG2 (5′-TTCCCTACCCCGGGTGTAT-3′ and 5′-GTGGCTCATCCCCCTCAGT-3′), h JAG1 (5′-GCACGGGCGGCTGCGGAGAAGAAGAA-3′ and 5′-ATCGTGCTGCCTCCCTTTAGT-3′) ratDLL1 (5′-CACGGTTGCA-CATTCTCC-3′ and 5′-GCACGACCTCAACTCCC-3′), ratDLL3 (5′-CCTGCGCGCTGAAAGTCT-3′ and 5′-CATCGCAACCGGAGGAGG-3′), ratDLL4 (5′-CCAACAATGGAATTATATCG-3′ and 5′-ACA-ACATGCGTCTCCCTTCCG-3′), HES1 (5′-CTATTATGGAAAGAAGCGAAGA-3′ and 5′-CTCTCTGCTCTCCAGATATCC-3′), HES2 (5′-GAAGACTC-
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PROBLEM:
Metastatic diseases are responsible for the majority of the deaths in breast cancer patients, and brain is one of the most common metastatic sites. The metastatic tumour in the brain profoundly affects the cognitive and sensory functions as well as morbidity of patients, and the 1 year survival rate among these patients remains less than 20%. However, little is known about the pathogenesis of brain metastasis, and therefore, it is of paramount importance to elucidate the molecular mechanism of metastatic process in order to define a specific therapeutic target.

RESULTS:
In this report, we found that (i) metastatic breast tumour cells in the brain highly expressed IL-1β which can ‘activate’ astrocytes, (ii) this activation significantly up-regulated the expression of Notch ligand in the reactive astrocytes, which in turn activated Notch signalling pathway of CSCs upon direct interaction, (iii) the activated Notch signalling in CSC then up-regulated HESS followed by promoting self-renewal of CSCs, and (iv) BBB-permeable notch inhibitor, Compound E, can significantly suppress the brain metastasis growth in our animal model. These results represent a novel paradigm for the understanding of how metastatic breast CSCs re-establish their niche for their self-renewal in a totally different microenvironment, which opens a new avenue to identify a novel and specific target for the brain metastasis disease.

IMPACTS:
This study has three major impacts. First, we have revealed a novel pathological mechanism by which breast CSCs establish a niche in the metastasized brain through interaction with activated astrocytes. Secondly, we have identified a vicious paracrine loop of IL-1β and Notch signalling through direct interaction of CSCs and astrocytes, which promotes the growth of metastasized CSCs. Therefore, these discoveries open a window of opportunity to identify a novel therapeutic target for brain metastasis. Finally, we found that a BBB-permeable Notch inhibitor can indeed serve as an effective therapeutic drug to suppress metastatic growth of breast cancer in the brain. We do believe that these findings are very timely contributions to the field of tumour microenvironment and cancer stem cell research and also provide a paradigm shift in our future development of targeted therapeutic drugs for the brain metastasis.

CAACTGTCCTGAAGCT-3’ and 5’-CGGTCTATTCTGAGGAG-3’, HESS (5’-TCTCTCCGTGTCAGGAA-3’ and 5’-CGCAGGGCCACCCATAAAT-3’), HEY1 (5’-AGATACGGGCACTCCGCT-3’ and 5’-TGGATACCTTGAAATCTGCT-3’), and β-actin (5’-TGCAGCTTCAACCCACCCAGTG-3’ and 5’-CTGAGTGGCCACAGTGGTGTC-3’). For HESS TaqMan PCR (5’-CTAGTCTGCGCTCAAGT-3’), and (5’-CATGACCCCCACCAT ACAAA-3’); TaqMan probe TCTCCAGATGCTTCAAGGATT. PCR reactions were performed using DNA Engine Opticon 2 system (MJ Research) and the Maxima SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Fermentas Life Science). The thermal cycling conditions composed of an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of PCR using the following profile: 94°C, 30 s; 58°C, 30 s; and 72°C, 30 s.

Immunohistochemistry
Human breast cancer specimens were obtained from surgical pathology archives of the Akita Red Cross Hospital, Iwate Medical School and Cooperative Human Tissue Network. Human breast cancer brain metastasis samples were obtained from CHTN. All of the tissue sections were obtained by surgical resection. Sections of 4 μm thickness were cut out from the formaldehyde-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue specimens. The sections were deparaffinized and antigens were retrieved by heating the slides in 10 mM sodium citrate (pH 6.0) at 85°C for 30 min. The slides were treated with 3% H2O2 and then incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-IL-1β antibody (1/100; R&D Systems, Inc) and anti-HESS antibody (1/200; Millipore). The sections were then incubated with secondary antibodies and visualized using the Envision-plus kit (Dako Corp.). For frozen sections, 5 μm-thick sections were cut out from the OCT embedded tissue specimens. Slides were fixed with 95% ethanol followed by incubation with 3% H2O2. They were then incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-IL-1β goat polyclonal antibody (1/200; R&D).

Sphere forming assay
Cells were plated (1000 cells/ml) in ultra-low attachment plates (Corning, Acton, MA, USA) with DMEM/F12 supplemented with 2% B27 (CIBCO), 20 ng/ml EGF (Sigma), and 4 μg/ml insulin (Sigma). Mammospheres with diameters over 100 μm were counted and data was represented as the means ± SEM.

Immunocytochemistry
Cells fixed with 70% ethanol were washed with PBS and blocked by 2%BSA for 1 h. After blocking, cells were washed again with PBS and incubated with anti-jAG1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1/200; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-NICD (1/200, Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-GFAP rabbit polyclonal antibody (1/200; Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4°C. Cells were then incubated with anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor (R) 555 molecular probe (Cell Signaling Technology) for 1 h at room temperature. Fluorescence images were taken by a fluorescent microscope. For frozen section staining, slides fixed with methanol were washed with PBS and blocked by 2%BSA for 1 h. After blocking, the slides were washed again with PBS and incubated with anti-jAG1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1/200; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-GFAP rabbit polyclonal antibody (FITC conjugated, 1/200; Cell Signaling), primary anti-CD44 conjugated with FITC (1/200; Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-ESA conjugated with Alexa 555(1/200; Cell Signaling Technology) for 1 h at room
temperature. Fluorescence images were taken by a fluorescent microscope (Olympus IX71).

FACS (fluorescence-activated cell sorting)

For cell surface marker analysis, cells were suspended in FACS buffer (PBS with 0.1% BSA and 0.1% Triton X100) followed by incubation with FITC conjugated anti-CD24 (eBioscience, Inc.), APC conjugated anti-CD44 (BioLegend), PE conjugated anti-ESA (eBioscience, Inc.) and PE conjugated anti-CK18 (Santa Cruz Biototechnology, Inc.) for 15 mins at room temperature. Cells were then washed with PBS and re-suspended in PBS for FACS analysis using the C6 Flow cytometer (Accuri LTD).

Cancer stem-like cell isolation

Cancer stem-like cells (CSCs) were isolated by magnetic bead sorting using MACS Separator (Miltenyi Biotec). 231BrM cells were incubated with specific antibodies as follows: anti-CD24-Biotin (StemCell Technologies), anti-CD44-APC (BioLegend), and anti-ESA-Biotin (Miltenyi Biotec). CD24/CD44/ESA cells were then enriched by using a MACS magnet and MS columns (Miltenyi Biotec). All MACS procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Gene-expression microarray profiling

RNA was extracted, labelled and hybridized to Human gene 1.0ST chip (Affymetrix) using the manufacturer’s protocol. Normalization of the chip was performed using RMA algorism. These expression data were submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession number GSE25976. For cancer cohort analysis, we compiled a microarray dataset of 710 patients’ specimens and pathological review; AK, HO, WL, SS, YL, KW and KP helped in mouse work; YYM and AW provided key experimental reagents and experimental advice; KW directed this study.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH (R01CA124650, R01CA129000 to KW), the US Department of Defense (BC085590, BC085424 to KW, BC096982 to AK), and Susan Komen Foundation.

Supporting Information is available at EMBO Molecular Medicine Online.

The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Aotsuka S, Nakamura K, Nakano T, Kawakami M, Coto M, Okawa-Takatsuji M, Kinoshita M, Yokohari R (1991) Production of intracellular and extracellular interleukin-1 alpha and interleukin-1 beta by peripheral blood mononcytes from patients with connective tissue diseases. Ann Rheum Dis 50, 27-31.

Bandypadhyay S, Wang Y, Zhan R, Pai SK, Watabe M, Iizumi M, Furuta E, Mohinta S, Liu W, Hirota S, et al (2006) The tumor metastasis suppressor gene Drg-1 down-regulates the expression of activating transcription factor 3 in prostate cancer. Cancer Res 66, 11983-11990.

Bos PD, Zhang XH, Nadal C, Shu W, Comis RR, Nguyen DX, Minn AJ, van de Vijver MJ, Gerald WL, Foekens JA, et al (2009) Genes that mediate breast cancer metastasis to the brain. Nature 459, 1005-1009.

Bouras T, Pal B, Vaillant F, Harburg G, Asselin-Labat ML, Oakes SR, Lindeman GJ, Visvader JE (2008) Notch signaling regulates mammary stem cell function and luminal cell-fate commitment. Cell Stem Cell 6, 429-441.

Butler JM, Nolan DJ, Vertes EL, Varnum-Finney B, Kobayashi H, Hooper AT, Seandel M, Shido K, White IA, Kobayashi M, et al (2010) Endothelial cells are essential for the self-renewal and repopulation of Notch-dependent hematopoietic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 6, 251-264.

Charles N, Ozawa T, Squarrito M, Bleau AM, Brennan CW, Hambardzumyan D, Holland EC (2010) Perivascular nitric oxide activates notch signaling and promotes stem-like character in PDGF-induced glioma cells. Cell Stem Cell 6, 141-152.

Debets R, Hegmans JP, Troost RJ, Benner R, Prens EP (1995) Enhanced production of biologically active interleukin-1 alpha and interleukin-1 beta by porcine epidermal cells ex vivo: evidence of increased cytosolic interleukin-1 beta levels and facilitated interleukin-1 release. Eur J Immunol 25, 1624-1630.

Elaraj DM, Weinreich DM, Varghese S, Puhlmann M, Hewitt SM, Carroll NM, Feldman ED, Turner EM, Alexander HR (2006) The role of interleukin 1 in growth and metastasis of human cancer xenografts. Clin Cancer Res 12, 1088-1096.

Fitzgerald DP, Palmieri D, Hua E, Hargrave E, Herring JM, Qian Y, Vega-Valle E, Weil RJ, Stark AM, Vertmeyer AO, et al (2008) Reactive glia are recruited by highly proliferative brain metastases of breast cancer and promote tumor cell colonization. Clin Exp Metastasis 25, 799-810.

Gomi H, Yokoyama T, Itohara S (2010) Role of GFAP in morphological retention of highly proliferative brain metastases of breast cancer and promote tumor cell colonization. Clin Exp Metastasis 25, 799-810.

Gomi H, Yokoyama T, Itohara S (2010) Role of GFAP in morphological retention. Mol Med 6, 1088-1096.

Holland EC (2010) Perivascular nitric oxide activates notch signaling and promotes stem-like character in PDGF-induced glioma cells. Cell Stem Cell 6, 141-152.

Debets R, Hegmans JP, Troost RJ, Benner R, Prens EP (1995) Enhanced production of biologically active interleukin-1 alpha and interleukin-1 beta by porcine epidermal cells ex vivo: evidence of increased cytosolic interleukin-1 beta levels and facilitated interleukin-1 release. Eur J Immunol 25, 1624-1630.

Elaraj DM, Weinreich DM, Varghese S, Puhlmann M, Hewitt SM, Carroll NM, Feldman ED, Turner EM, Alexander HR (2006) The role of interleukin 1 in growth and metastasis of human cancer xenografts. Clin Cancer Res 12, 1088-1096.

Fitzgerald DP, Palmieri D, Hua E, Hargrave E, Herring JM, Qian Y, Vega-Valle E, Weil RJ, Stark AM, Vertmeyer AO, et al (2008) Reactive glia are recruited by highly proliferative brain metastases of breast cancer and promote tumor cell colonization. Clin Exp Metastasis 25, 799-810.

Gomi H, Yokoyama T, Itohara S (2010) Role of GFAP in morphological retention. Mol Med 6, 1088-1096.

Gomi H, Yokoyama T, Itohara S (2010) Role of GFAP in morphological retention. Mol Med 6, 1088-1096.

Holland EC (2010) Perivascular nitric oxide activates notch signaling and promotes stem-like character in PDGF-induced glioma cells. Cell Stem Cell 6, 141-152.

Debets R, Hegmans JP, Troost RJ, Benner R, Prens EP (1995) Enhanced production of biologically active interleukin-1 alpha and interleukin-1 beta by porcine epidermal cells ex vivo: evidence of increased cytosolic interleukin-1 beta levels and facilitated interleukin-1 release. Eur J Immunol 25, 1624-1630.

Elaraj DM, Weinreich DM, Varghese S, Puhlmann M, Hewitt SM, Carroll NM, Feldman ED, Turner EM, Alexander HR (2006) The role of interleukin 1 in growth and metastasis of human cancer xenografts. Clin Cancer Res 12, 1088-1096.
Grosche J, Matyah V, Moller T, Verkhratsky A, Reichenbach A, Kettenmann H (1999) Microdomains for neuron-glia interaction: parallel fiber signaling to Bergmann glial cells. Nat Neurosci 2, 139-143.

Hu G, Kang Y, Wang XF (2009) From breast to the brain: unraveling the puzzle of metastasis organotropism. J Mol Cell Biol 1, 3-5.

Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E (2010) Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 60, 277-300.

Karnoub AE, Dash AB, Vo AP, Sullivan A, Brooks MW, Bell GW, Richardson AL, Polyak K, Tubo R, Weinberg RA (2007) Mesenchymal stem cells within tumour stroma promote breast cancer metastasis. Nature 449, 557-563.

Kawakami Y, Nagai N, Ota S, Ohama K, Yamashita U (1997) Interleukin-1 as an autocrine stimulator in the growth of human ovarian cancer cells. Hiroshima J Med Sci 46, 51-59.

Langley RR, Fan D, Guo L, Zhang C, Lin Q, Brantley EC, McCarty JH, Fidler IJ (2009) Generation of an immortalized astrocyte cell line from H-2Kb-tsA58 mice to study the role of astrocytes in breast metastasis. Int J Oncol 35, 665-672.

Lee KM, Park SK, Hamajima N, Tajima K, Choi JY, Ahn SH, Yoo KY, Hirvonen A, Kang D (2006) Genetic polymorphisms of interleukin-1 beta (IL-1B) and IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RN) and breast cancer risk in Korean women. Breast Cancer Res Treat 96, 197-202.

Lewis AM, Varghese S, Xu H, Alexander HR (2006) Interleukin-1 and cancer progression: the emerging role of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist as a novel therapeutic agent in cancer treatment. J Transl Med 4, 48.

Li F, Tiede B, Massague J, Kang Y (2007) Beyond tumorigenesis: cancer stem cells in metastasis. Cell 137, 3-14.

Li L, Lundkvist A, Andersson D, Wilhelmsson U, Nagai N, Pardo AC, Nodin C, Stahlberg A, Aprico K, Larsson K, et al (2008) Protective role of reactive astrocytes in breast ischemia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 28, 468-481.

Li HJ, Reinhardt F, Herschman HR, Weinberg RA (2012) Cancer-stimulated mesenchymal stem cells create a carcinoma stem cell niche via prostaglandin E2 signaling. Cancer Discov 2, 840-855.

Li L, Neaves WB (2006) Normal stem cells and cancer stem cells: the niche matters. Cancer Res 66, 4553-4557.

Lin Q, Balasubramanian K, Fan D, Kim SJ, Guo L, Wang H, Bar-Eli M, Aldape KD, Fidler IJ (2010) Reactive astrocytes protect melanoma cells from chemotherapy by sequestering intracellular calcium through gap junction communication channels. Neoplasia 12, 748-754.

Liu J, Zhai X, Jin G, Hu Z, Wang S, Wang X, Qin J, Gao J, Ma H, Wang X, et al (2006) Functional variants in the promoter of interleukin-1beta are associated with an increased risk of breast cancer: a case-control analysis in a Chinese population. Int J Cancer 118, 2554-2558.

Lu X, Kang Y (2007) Organotropism of breast cancer metastasis. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 12, 153-162.

Malanchi I, Huelskens J (2009) Cancer stem cells: never Wnt away from the niche. Curr Opin Oncol 21, 41-46.

Marchetti D, Li J, Shen R (2000) Astrocytes contribute to the brain-metastatic specificity of melanoma cells by producing heparanase. Cancer Res 60, 4767-4770.

McGowan PM, Smedrea C, Ribot EJ, Foster PJ, Palmieri D, Steeg PS, Allan AL, Chambers AF (2011) Notch1 inhibition alters the CD44hi/CD24lo population and reduces the formation of brain metastases from breast cancer. Mol Cancer Res 9, 834-844.

Miller DK, Aysala JM, Egger LA, Raju SM, Yamin TT, Ding GJ, Gaffney EP, Howard AD, Palyha OC, Rolando AM et al (1993) Purification and characterization of active human interleukin-1 beta-converting enzyme from THP.1 monocytic cells. J Biol Chem 268, 18062-18069.

Moore KA, Lemieschka IR (2006) Stem cells and their niches. Science 311, 1880-1885.

Ohtsuka T, Sakamoto M, Guillenot F, Kageyama R (2001) Roles of the basic helix-loop-helix genes Hes1 and Hes5 in expansion of neural stem cells of the developing brain. J Biol Chem 276, 30467-30474.

Palmieri D, Chambers AF, Felding-Habermann B, Huang S, Steeg PS (2007) The biology of metastasis to a sanctuary site. Clin Cancer Res 13, 1656-1662.

Pang R, Law WL, Chu AC, Poon JT, Lam CS, Chow AK, Ng L, Cheung LW, Lan XR, Lan HY, et al (2010) A subpopulation of CD26+ cancer stem cells with metastatic capacity in human colorectal cancer. Cell Stem Cell 6, 603-615.

Pannuti A, Foreman K, Rizzo P, Osipo C, Golde T, Osborne B, Miele L (2010) Targeting Notch to target cancer stem cells. Clin Cancer Res 16, 3141-3152.

Papadopoulos MC, Saadoun S, Binder DK, Manley GT, Krishna S, Verkman AS (2004) Molecular mechanisms of brain tumor edema. Neuroscience 129, 1011-1020.

Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL (2001) Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nat Rev Cancer 1, 31-40.

Scadden DT (2006) The stem-cell niche as an entity of action. Nature 441, 1075-1079.

Seike T, Fujita K, Yamakawa Y, Kido MA, Takiguchi S, Teramoto N, Iguchi H, Noda M (2011) Interaction between lung cancer cells and astrocytes via specific inflammatory cytokines in the microenvironment of brain metastasis. Clin Exp Metastasis 28, 13-25.

Sethi N, Dai X, Winter CG, Kang Y (2013) Tumor-derived JAGGED1 promotes osteolytic bone metastasis of breast cancer by engaging notch signaling in bone cells. Cancer Cell 19, 192-205.

Sonoshita M, Aoki M, Fuwa H, Aoki K, Hosogi H, Sakai Y, Hashida H, Takabayashi A, Sasaki M, Robine S, et al (2011) Suppression of colon cancer metastasis by Aes through inhibition of Notch signaling. Cancer Cell 19, 125-137.

Steeg PS, Camphausen KA, Smith QR (2011) Brain metastases as preventive and therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Cancer 11, 352-363.

Takebe N, Harris PJ, Warren RQ, Ivy SP (2010) Targeting cancer stem cells by inhibiting Wnt, Notch, and Hedgehog pathways. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 8, 97-106.

Ventura R, Harris KM (1999) Three-dimensional relationships between hippocampal synapses and astrocytes. J Neurosci 19, 6897-6906.

Visvader JE, Lindeman GJ (2008) Cancer stem cells in solid tumours: accumulating evidence and unresolved questions. Nat Rev Cancer 8, 755-768.

Voronov E, Shouval DS, Krelin Y, Cagnano E, Benharroch D, Iwakura Y, Dinarello CA, Apte RN (2003) IL-1 is required for tumor invasiveness and angiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100, 2645-2650.

Weigelt B, Peterse JL, van’t Veer LJ (2005) Breast cancer metastasis: markers and models. Nat Rev Cancer 5, 591-602.