Application of advanced molecular techniques to detect vector borne pathogens from stray dogs and cats in two different climatic zones of Saudi Arabia
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Abstract

**Background:** Vector-borne diseases have been increasing worldwide and reported in many animals including dogs and cats. Limited or no data are currently available regarding canine and feline vector-borne diseases in Saudi Arabia and limited information is available from other Middle Eastern countries. The aim of this study was to compare vector-borne disease prevalence between two bioclimatically distinct regions of Saudi Arabia, Riyadh province that is arid positioned at low elevation and Asir province that is humid at high elevation.

**Methods:** Blood samples from 74 dogs from Riyadh province and 70 dogs and 44 cats from Asir province were collected and examined for the presence of genomic DNA of *Babesia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp., *Ehrlichia* spp., *Bartonella* spp., *Mycoplasma* spp., and *Hepatozoon* spp. by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), Multiplex-tandem PCR (MT-PCR) and Sanger sequencing.

**Results:** Seventy four dogs were tested from Riyadh province and found be negative of any pathogen. Of the 70 dogs examined from Asir province 45 (64.3%) were positive. Specifically, 40 (57.1%) dogs were positive for *A. platys*, 20 (28.5%) for *B. vogeli*, 11 (15.7%) for *My. haemocanis*, two (2.85%) for *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haematoparvum and one (1.4%) for *Br. henselae*. Fourteen out of 44 cats (31.8%) were positive for one of the detected vector-borne pathogens. Six cats (13.6%) were positive for *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haemominutum and *My. haemofelis*, respectively, four cats (9.2%) were positive for *Br. Henselae*, two (4.54%) for *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haematoparvum and one (2.27%) for *A. platys*.

**Conclusions:** The results of this study report the occurrence of *A. platys*, *B. vogeli*, *Br. henselae*, and *My. haemocanis* in dogs and of *A. platys*, *Br. henselae*, *My. haemofelis* and *Candidatus* Mycoplasma haemominutum in cats from Asir province. Further molecular investigations are strongly recommended in order to reduce the risk of dogs and cats acquiring vector-borne diseases in Saudi Arabia.

1. Introduction

Arthropods such as ticks, fleas and mosquitoes are globally important vectors of a wide range of viral, bacterial and protozoal pathogens resulting in a variety of animal diseases [1, 2]. In some cases,
vector-borne diseases are zoonotic and a direct threat to human health and animal welfare [3, 4]. Other effects of arthropods feeding include anaemia, paralysis, immuno-suppression and invasion of tick bite wounds by secondary bacterial pathogens. Vector-borne diseases are often widespread in tropical and subtropical regions, including in the Middle East, due to optimal climatic conditions for vectors such as ticks, fleas and mosquitoes [5]. Tick infestations of dogs and cats are common in Saudi Arabia and mainly involves *Hyalomma dromedarii* (Koch, 1844) and *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* sensulato (s.l.)(Latreille, 1806) [6, 7], which is a competent vector of diseases such as anaplasmosis, babesiosis, ehrlichiosis, hepatozoonosis and different *Rickettsia* species, among others [8]. The prevalence of vector-borne disease in a population closely reflects the distribution and density of such vectors [9, 10]. Vector-borne disease in dogs and cats can be caused by rickettsial parasites (e.g. *Anaplasma, Rickettsia*) and haemoplasmas species (e.g. *Mycoplasma haemofelis, Mycoplasma haematoparvum*), resulting in potentially fatal and often persistent infections [10, 11, 12]. Studies in countries situated in and around the Middle East report the presence of vector-borne pathogens including *Anaplasma platys, Ehrlichia canis, Bartonella* spp.,and *Babesia* spp. in dogs and cats [9, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. Currently, limited studies have examined the presence of vector-borne disease in dogs and cats in Saudi Arabia [7, 20, 21, 22, 23]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the presence of vector-borne pathogens in dogs and cats in two different climatic zones of Saudi Arabia using a commercial diagnostic MT-PCR panel for the detection of *Babesia gibsoni, Babesia vogelii, Mycoplasma haematoparvum, Mycoplasma haemocanis, Mycoplasma haemofelis, Anaplasma platys* and *Bartonella* species.

2. Methods And Materials

2.1 Ethical approval

This study was revised and approved by the Ethical Research Committee, Department of Biological Science, Shaqra University, according to the ethical principles of human and animal research (Approval no. SH 06-2018).

2.2 Study areas

The investigation was conducted from November 2018 to August 2019 in two provinces of Saudi
Arabia. The Riyadh province of Saudi Arabia has an area of 404,240 km² and is located in the central part of Saudi Arabia between 24°.38°N and 46°.43°E (Figure 1). This province is characterised by very hot summers with an average high temperature of 45°C in July. Winters are cold, the overall climate is arid, receiving very little annual rainfall (21.4 mm), with the relative humidity ranging from 10% to 47% throughout the year. Riyadh province is also known to have many dust storms (http://www.pme.gov.sa). Asir province, has an area of 76,690 km² and is located in the southwestern part of Saudi Arabia between 19°0′N and 43°0′E (Figure 1). Asir province is situated on a high plateau that receives more rainfall than the rest of the country and contains the country’s highest peaks, which rise to almost 3,000 m. Asir has a tropical and subtropical climate and the average annual rainfall in the highlands is expected to range from 300 to 500 ml across two rainy seasons. As a result, there is much more natural vegetation and forests (http://www.pme.gov.sa).

2.3. Sampling of dogs and cats and blood collections

Stray dogs and cats from the two provinces were trapped by a live bait traps (Havahart®) and selected randomly. A total of 74 dogs from Riyadh province (48 males and 26 females) and a total of 70 dogs and 44 cats from Asir province (dogs; 43 males and 27 females, cats; 30, male and 14 females). Dogs and cats varied in ages from ≤6 months to >6 months and were examined for haemoparasites. Most of the dogs and cats appeared healthy at the time of blood collection. Blood samples were collected from each animal (0.5–3 ml) from the cephalic vein into vacutainer tubes (BD Vacutainer® Tube, Gribbles Pathology, VIC, Australia) and transported to the parasitology laboratory, Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science and Humanities, Shaqra University, for DNA extraction.

2.4. DNA extraction

Total genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from the blood samples using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and eluted into 50 μl or 100 μl of elution buffer as per the manufacturer’s instruction. An aliquot between 50 μl and 100 μl of gDNA from each of the samples was stored at −80°C prior to being sent to Veterinary Pathology Diagnostic Services (VPDS), Sydney School of Veterinary Science, The University of Sydney for PCR analysis. Upon arrival at VPDS gDNA
was stored at -20 °C for up to 1 month prior to molecular diagnostics.

### 2.5. Commercial Multiplexed Tandem PCR (MT-PCR) for Small Animal Anaemia

A commercial diagnostic MT-PCR panel for small animal anaemia was performed using the mini-plex 12 system (R910738, AusDiagnostics Pty. Ltd., Australia) as per the manufacturers’ instruction. The MT-PCR assay is a two-step nested PCR assay simultaneously targeting *B. gibsoni*, *B. vogeli*, *M. haematoparvum*, *M. Haemocanis* and *A. platys* and was run on the Easy-Plex™ platform (AusDiagnostics Pty. Ltd., Australia). The assay was run in duplicate using 10 µl undiluted samples (*n* = 188). Each run included controls to detect PCR inhibition (SPIKE) and sample adequacy control (ANONO) as per the manufacturers’ protocol (AusDiagnostics Pty. Ltd., Australia).

The positive samples were tested using 16S rRNA primers (S0697/S0698) for *Anaplasma platys* [24], 18S rRNA primers (S0701/S0702) for the universal amplification of *Mycoplasma* spp. [25] and 18S rRNA primers for *Babesia* spp.[26]. All PCR reactions were run using MyTaq™ RedMix (Bioline, Australia) in a Veriti Thermal Cycler (Life Sciences, Australia). Primers were included at a final concentration of 400nM/µl and 2 µl of template DNA was used per reaction. All non-nested PCR were run using the following cycling conditions: 95 °C for 1 min and 35 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 50 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 10 s followed by 72 °C for 5 min. PCR reactions with Babgen-F/Babgen-R primers were run with an annealing temperature of 55 °C, and all other conditions as previously described. The first round of the nested PCR was run using the following cycling conditions: 95 °C for 1 min and 35 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 10 s followed by 72 °C for 5 min. The second round of the nested PCR was run using a 60 °C annealing temperature with all other conditions as described for the first round. A negative and positive control was included in all assays. All PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel stained with GelRed™ (Biotium, USA) and visualised using UV light. Discrete bands of expected size were submitted for bidirectional sequencing using amplification primers (Macrogen, South Korea). Sequences were assembled and compared to closely related sequences using CLC Main Workbench 6.8.1 (CLC bio, Denmark).

### 2.6 Real-time PCR: Bartonella spp.
A multiplex TaqMan probe real time PCR assay targeting the gltA gene of *Rickettsia* spp. was multiplexed with an assay targeting the ssrA gene of *Bartonella* spp. [27, 28, 29]. PCR reactions were run using SensiFAST™ Probe No-ROX Kit (Bioline, Australia) on a CFX95 Touch™ Real-Time PCR detection system (BioRad Laboratories Inc., Australia).

**2.7. Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with the statistics package SPSS (v.17.0; IBM, New York, New York). Positive PCR test was set as an outcome variable and the independent variables were age, gender and, health status. The effect of independent variables on the outcome variables were evaluated by chi-square and Fisher's exact test and Odds Ratio (OR) calculation. Differences were considered significant if the *P* value was <0.05.

3. Results

All of the 74 dogs tested from Riyadh province were negative for all tested pathogens, while 45 of 70 (64.3%) dogs examined from Asir province were positive. Forty (57.1%) dogs were positive for *Anaplasma platys*, 20 (28.5%) for *Babesia vogeli*, 11 (15.7%) for *Mycoplasma haemocanis*, two (2.85%) for *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* and one (1.4%) for *Bartonella henselae*. Animals co-infected with more than one pathogen (i.e. *A. platys* and *B. vogeli*) were molecularly detected in this study (Table 1).

**Table 1**: Prevalence, accession number and percentage of nucleotide identity of vector-borne pathogens detected in dogs and cats from Riyadh province and Asir province, Saudi Arabia.

| Pathogen                  | Riyadh Province | Asir Province |
|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                           | Dogs (n=74)     | Dogs (n=70)   | Cats (n=44) |
| *Anaplasma platys*        | 0 (0.00%)       | 40 (57.1%)    | 1 (2.27%)   |
| *Babesia vogeli*          | 0 (0.00%)       | 20 (28.5%)    | 0 (0.00%)   |
| *Bartonella henselae*     | 0 (0.00%)       | 1 (1.4%)      | 4 (9.09%)   |
| *Haemofelis / Haemocanis* | 0 (0.00%)       | 11 (15.7%)    | 6 (13.64%)  |
| *Bartonella henselae*     | 0 (0.00%)       | 2 (2.85%)     | 2 (4.54%)   |
| *Haemominutum*            | 0 (0.00%)       | 0 (0.00%)     | 6 (13.64%)  |

ns, not sequenced, * = *Mycoplasma haemocanis* was sequenced from dogs.
Fourteen out of 44 cats (31.8%) were positive for one of the detected vector-borne pathogens. Six cats (13.6%) were positive for *Candidatus Mycoplasma haemominutum* and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haemofelis*, respectively. Four cats (9.2%) were positive for *Bartonella henselae*, two (4.54%) for *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* and one for (2.27%) *Anaplasma platys* (Table 1). BLAST analysis confirmed the pathogen identification with the highest nucleotide identity (i.e. 98–100%, Tables 1) with the sequences available in the GenBank database (accession numbers: KU500911; AY371198; MF105891.1; MN294708). No animals were positive for other haemoparasites. No statistically significant associations were found for positivity to canine and feline vector-borne diseases among the categories of age, gender and health status (Table 2).

Table 2: Characteristics of haemoparasites- PCR negative and PCR-positive of stray dogs and cats in Asir Provinces, Saudi Arabia.

| Variables            | Dogs (n=70) | Asir Prn |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|
|                      | PCR Negative % | PCR Positive (%) | P-value |
| Age      |              |              |          |
| Juvenile (≤6 months) | 8 (11.4%)     | 13 (18.6%)   | 0.177344 |
| Adult (> 6 months)  | 11 (15.7%)    | 38 (54.3%)   |          |
| Gender   |              |              |          |
| Male     | 9 (12.8%)     | 34 (48.6%)   | 0.140194 |
| Female   | 10 (14.3%)    | 17 (24.3%)   |          |
| Health status |              |              |          |
| Apparently healthy | 18 (25.7%)    | 45 (45.0%)   | 0.420057 |
| Symptomatic      | 1 (1.43%)     | 6 (8.6%)     |          |

4. Discussion

The results of this study demonstrate that dogs and cats from Saudi Arabia, are infected with several canine and feline vector-borne diseases, which have been identified by sequence analysis. Although, the sample size of dogs and cats in the current study was small, the occurrence of *A. platy*, *B. vogeli*, *My. haemocanis*, *Br. Henselae* and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* have been recorded in dogs from Asir Province and *A. platy*, *Br. henselae*, *Candidatus Mycoplasma haemominutum* and *My. haemofelis* and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* in cats. No infection among dogs was recorded from Riyadh province and this could be attributed by a low prevalence or absence of
*Rhipicephalus sanguineus* in dogs in Riyadh province which is the main vector for canine and feline borne diseases [7].

Limited data is available on the status of hemoplasmas in the Middle East, with two studies showing the presence of the two feline species *Candidatus Mycoplasma haemofelis* and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haemominutum* detected in cats from Qatar [30] and three feline species *My. haemofelis, My.Haemominutum* and *My.turicensis* in Iranian cats [31]. In the present study, *Candidatus Mycoplasma haemominutum, My.haemofelis* and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* in cats were detected in and *My.haemocanis* and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* were found in dogs cats from Asir province. The presence of *Br. Henselae* has been previously reported seroprevalence in the Middle East region [32, 33, 34]. To our knowledge, this the first molecular study reporting *A. platys* in cats from Saudi Arabia and other Middle Eastern countries. The occurrence of *A. platys, B.vogeli* and *Br. Henselae* in dogs has been previously reported in the Middle East [9, 19, 30]. The present study provides the first molecular evidence of *My.haemocanis*, and *Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum* from dogs in Saudi Arabia. These parasites have previously been recorded in dogs from Qatar [30] and Iran [35].

Most of the detected pathogens are vectored by *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (s.l), a tick species displaying a worldwide geographical distribution [7, 36]. *Rh. sanguineus* can infest a wide range of domestic and wild animals, including dogs, cats, rodents and birds [37]. Parasitism of *Rh. sanguineus* on hosts other than dogs is quite unusual in several regions [38]. *Rh. sanguineus* has been reported in low prevalence in domestic and wild animals including dogs and cats from central parts of Saudi Arabia [6, 39, 40], along with western and southern Saudi Arabia [41, 42, 43]. The climate of Saudi Arabia has shown to be potentially suitable to the perpetuation of vectors and transmission of several arthropod-borne diseases [44, 45]. Indeed the environmental conditions of Saudi Arabia aresuitablefor the development of different tick species due to wide range of climatic conditions [46]. Climate conditions, animals diversity and vegetations vary and are different between Riyadh province and Asir province. Riyadh province is arid and positioned at low elevation, while Asir province is humid at a higher elevation. It has been shown that *Rh. sanguineus* can develop well
under different conditions in terms of temperature (e.g., 20-35°C) and relative humidity (e.g., 35-95%) [47]. In a previous study Chandra et al., (2019) have shown that H. Dromedarii is the most common tick parasitising dogs in Riyadh province. This tick preferentially parasitises camels, although has been known to parasitise other ungulates [48, 49]. In this study, we did not collected ticks from dogs and cats from Asir province hence it is difficult to conclude the presence of these parasites in Asir province but not in Riyadh province.

5. Conclusion
This study expands existing information on the distribution of canine and feline vector-borne diseases in dogs and cats inhabiting Saudi Arabia. Further studies, including a larger number of animal hosts and populations from other provinces of Saudi Arabia are required to better understand the epidemiological distributions of canine and feline vector-borne diseases in the country. Our adoption of a multiplex-tandem PCR assay with internal controls is shown to be a suitable platform for the detection of canine and feline vector-borne pathogens. Despite the small number of examined dogs and cats from Saudi Arabia the approach is suitable for baseline prevalence evaluation.
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Figures

Figure 1

Map showing the study sites of Riyadh province and Asir province, Saudi Arabia. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
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