Cell osteogenic bioactivity mediated precisely by varying scaled micro-pits on ordered micro/nano hierarchical structures of titanium
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Abstract
Hierarchical surface structures with micro–nano scale play a crucial role in regulation of cell proliferation and osteogenic differentiation. It has been proven that cells are extremely sensitive to the nanoscaled structure and show multifarious phenotypes. Though a vital function of microstructure on osseointegration has been confirmed, the cell performances response to different microscaled structure is needed to be further dissected and in depth understood. In this work, the ordered micro–nano hierarchical structures with varying micro-scaled pits were precisely fabricated on titanium successfully by the combination of electrochemical, chemical etching and anodization as well. In vitro systematical assessments indicated that the micro–nano multilevel structures on titanium exhibited excellent cells adhesion and spreading ability, as well as steerable proliferation and osteogenic differentiation behaviors. It is shown that smaller micro-pits and lower roughness of the hierarchical structures enabled faster cell propagation. Despite cell growth was delayed on micro–nano titanium with relatively larger cell-match-size micro-pits and roughness, osteogenic-specific genes were significantly elevated. Furthermore, the alkaline phosphatase activity, collagen secretion and extracellular matrix mineralization of MC3T3-E1 on multi-scaled titanium were suppressed by a large margin after adding IWP-2 (an inhibitor of Wnt/β-catenin signal pathway), indicating this pathway played a crucial part in cell osteogenic differentiation modulated by micro–nano structures.
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Introduction
Surface–interface of biomaterials, where cells interact with materials, always plays a crucial role in regulating cell behaviors and determining cell fates. A satisfactory biocompatibility is considered as the criterion need to be complied for materials in medical applications. As the first generation of biomaterials, titanium and its alloys have been widely recognized for orthopedics and dental implants on the strength of non-toxic, light-weight, excellent mechanical property, corrosion resistance and biocompatibility [1, 2]. However, compared with bioactive ceramics, bio-glasses and hydroxyapatite, etc., some intrinsic characteristics of titanium such as bio-inertness, weak osteo-genesis and osteo-induction have become the critical factors hampering bioactive reaction between titanium implants and surrounding tissues [3, 4]. Therefore, the researchers have made extensive studies to develop variety of approaches to improve bioactivity, cell proliferation and osteogenic differentiation abilities of titanium implant surface.

Among them, the regulation of topological morphologies on cell behaviors has attracted more attentions. The cell viability and other biological characteristics could be modulated or improved through the micro-, nano- and micro/nano-hierarchical structures on titanium. It has been suggested that microroughed surfaces are beneficial to osteoblasts differentiation, which could induce faster bone maturation and offer better
osseointegration. However, it has been found that obvious decrease of cell population on microstructural surface leads to less bone mass [5–10]. Although nanostructure on titanium plays a positive role on promoting cell adhesion, propagation and differentiation, low roughness of pure nano-structure would give rise to insufficient mechanical locking capability, which is not conducive to binding with bone tissue [11–13]. From this perspective, various micro–nano multilevel structures were constructed on titanium to integrate advantages of micro and nano morphology. More importantly, many in vivo results verified that implants with micro–nano structures could induce more new bone formation and tighter bond with surrounding tissues [14–21].

Cells were extremely sensitive to subtle variation of implant surface, especially for topological morphologies. The biological performances such as cell adhesion, spreading, migration, proliferation, differentiation, production and calcification of extracellular matrix (ECM), etc. could be significantly impacted by the size, shape and variation scope of surface micro/nano structure [22–27]. It has been reported frequently that the cells fate could be altered by nanoscale changes on titanium [28, 29]. Kubo et al. [30] indicated that nanodendrites with the diameter of 300 nm on sandblasting and large-grits etching (SLA) titanium prepared via sputtering deposition offered more favorable microenvironment for osteoblasts than 100 nm or 500 nm. Furthermore, TiO2 nanotubes with larger diameter of 100 nm were demonstrated to increase the expression of osteogenic–specific genes hugely compared to 30 nm [14]. Undoubtedly, cell biological behaviors influenced by different nano-scaled structures are diversified.

In addition, microstructure also played non-negligible role on directing cell osteogenic differentiation. However, the effects of microscale structural differences on the cellular biological properties still need to be further studied. Micro-roughed structures on titanium surface can be prepared by chemical etching [30, 31], micro arc oxidation [32, 33], electrochemical etching [34], femtosecond laser processing [35] and so on. It should be noted that the microstructures constructed by chemical etching or micro arc oxidation are usually irregular and uncontrollable. Moreover, plasma etching and femtosecond laser processing are costly and time consuming. By contrast, electrochemical methods not only require quite simple equipment and are easy to operate, but also are able to precisely construct the microstructures with controllable size and shape through adjusting the voltage, etching time and electrolyte conditions. Zinger et al. [36] fabricated spherical hollow micron structures on titanium by means of electrochemical processing, and found MG63 cells could enter, adhere and propagate into the micro-holes with the diameter of 30 μm or 100 μm, while could not recognize the micro-cavity with the diameter of 10 μm. Systematic investigation on cell osteogenic differentiation regulated by microscale structure and its corresponding mechanism are still scarce. In this work, an ordered micro–nano hierarchical structure with controllable microsize was precisely constructed on titanium for the first time through the combination of electrochemical, chemical etching and anodization as well. The osteogenic bioactivity including cell growth, differentiation and related signal pathway mediated by the ordered micro–nano hierarchical structure were analyzed and discussed thoroughly.

Materials and methods

Sample preparation

The preparation process of ordered micro–submicro–nano hierarchical structures with varying scaled micro-pits was illustrated in Fig. 1. Titanium wafers (φ = 15 mm) were abraded carefully using silicon carbide sandpaper, then ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, ethanol and deionized water successively. After that, polished titanium was etched in electrolyte consist of 0.95 M NaCl and 1.2 M HF at 10 V for 10 min via electrochemical reaction, then etched for another 20 min by adjusting the voltage to 6 V, 7 V, 8 V, 9 V or 10 V to make micro-pits array with different size on titanium. After that, the samples were placed into a mixed solution of sulfuric acid and hydrochloric acid (48 wt% H2SO4, 18 wt% HCl = 1:1) and reacted at 80°C for 15 min to form sub-micro protuberances array inside each micro-pits, followed by ultrasonic treatment to remove the acid residue on the surface. The treated samples were then electrochemically anodized in aqueous hydrofluoric acid (0.5 wt%) for 15 min at 5 V, by counter electrode of a platinum plate, to superimpose nanopores array of titanium dioxide on the structure with micro-pits/submicro-protuberances array. The prepared ordered micro–submicro–nano hierarchical titanium was annotated as 6 V, 8 V and 10 V based on the secondary electrochemical etching voltage, corresponding to different size of TiO2 micro-pits, respectively.

Surface properties

The surface morphology and element content of each sample was observed and analyzed by field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM S4800, Hitachi, Japan) equipped with energy dispersive spectrometer at voltage of 15 KV. The surface roughness was measured by laser scanning microscope (Keyence VK-X200K, Japan). In addition, surface wettability was examined by dynamic contact angle measuring instrument (Dataphysics OCA20, Germany). BCA protein concentration detection Kit was used to analyze the adsorbing capacity of human serum albumin (HSA) adsorbed on micro–nano hierarchical titanium.

Cell adhesion and proliferation

Mouse pre-osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1) were cultured in minimum Eagle’s medium alpha modification (α-MEM, with L-glutamine, with ribo- and deoxyribonucleosides) contained 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin in 5% carbon dioxide incubator at 37°C. Upon to 80% confluence, adherent cells were digested by trypsin and diluted to 2 × 10^4 cells/ml, then they were seeded on the sterilized as-prepared samples. After incubation for 5 h and 24 h, the non-adherent cells were washed with PBS for three times gently, then fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution. Followed by PBS rinsing, cytoskeletal actin and cell nucleus were stained by phalloidin–tetramethylrhodamine B isothiocyanate (Sigma-Aldrich) and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, respectively. Then laser scanning confocal microscopy (CLSM, Leica SP8-STED 3X, Germany) was applied to record the staining results. The live cells on each sample were dyed by calcein-AM and observed under fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX73, Japan). Water-soluble tetrazolium (WST-1) assay was carried out to evaluate the cell proliferation after cultured on each sample for 1, 4 and 7 days. Correspondingly, cells morphologies were examined by FE-SEM after immobilized in 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution, dehydrated via gradient concentration of ethanol, freeze drying and spraying platinum, successively.

Osteoblast differentiation

Pre-osteoblasts were cultured on the as-prepared micro–submicro–nano titanium at the density of 1.5 × 10^4 cells/ml. After 4 and 7 days of incubation, the activity of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was evaluated using ALP detection kit (Beyotime, China) following the manufacturer’s protocol and normalized by the total protein content. Calcium nodule or collagen was stained by alizarin
red solution (40 mM, pH = 4.2) or Sirius red (0.1%, dissolved in saturated picric acid) after culturing for 10 days, respectively. The dyeing results were observed under 3D video microscope (Leica DVM 6, Germany). Subsequently, 10% hexadecylpyridinium chloride or mixture solution of 0.2 M NaOH and methyl alcohol (1:1) was introduced to elute the dye. Semi-quantitative analysis of ECM mineralization or collagen secretion was realized via absorbance detection of eluent at 562 nm or 515 nm separately.

### Osteogenic gene expression

MC3T3-E1 cells of 2 × 10^4 cells/ml were seeded on the as-prepared micro-submicro–nano titanium (φ = 20 mm) and cultured for 4, 7 and 10 days. At each point, total RNA of each sample was isolated by means of Trizol method. Followed by, the process of mRNA reverse transcription was carried out at 37°C for 15 min and 98°C for 5 min. Real-time fluorescence quantification polymerase chain reaction (RT qPCR) was proceeded to assess expression of osteogenic-specific genes including integrin (ITG), Runx-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX-2), ALP, osteocalcin (OCN), osteopontin (OPN), collagen 1 (Col-1), which normalized by housekeeping gene GAPDH according to instruction of 2× SYBR green qPCR master mix kit (Bios Antibodies, China). The primer sequences in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

### Wnt/β-catenin inhibitor IWP-2 treatment

MC3T3-E1 cells were seeded on micro–submicro–nano structured titanium (φ = 15 mm) with varying micro-scale pits at the density of 10,000 cells/sample and pre-cultured for 24 h, then IWP-2 as Wnt/β-catenin signal pathway inhibitor at the final concentration of 1μM was added into the culture medium. After 10 days incubation, BCIP/NBT alkaline phosphatase color development kit (Beyotime, China) was applied to detect the ALP activity according to operating instructions. Meanwhile, collagen secretion and ECM mineralization were stained and semi-quantitated following the procedure in Section ‘Osteoblast differentiation’.

### Statistical analysis

The data were presented as average ± standard deviation. All tests were repeated at least three times, and more than three duplicate samples were used in all experiments. One-way analysis of variance was applied to evaluate the statistical differences between two sets of data. Generally, it was considered that there was a significant difference or extremely significant difference in statistics between two sets of data when F < 0.05 or F < 0.01, which labeled as * or **, respectively.

### Results and discussion

#### The morphology and physicochemical properties

As shown in Fig. 2, micro-pits array with different size on titanium were controllably fabricated by adjusting the secondary etching voltages. Regular micro-pits array in the shape of polygon were observed clearly on all titanium samples, and the size of micro-pits increased gradually as the voltage rising from 6 V to 10 V. Statistics results as shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 revealed that the average diameter of micro-pit was ~12.01 μm, 21.36 μm, 23.64 μm, 28.88 μm and 36.34 μm at the secondary voltage of 6 V, 7 V, 8 V, 9 V and 10 V, respectively. The schematic diagram of formation of micro-pits array on titanium was visualized in Fig. 3. The whole process involved four stages and was summarized as follows. Stage I—TiO₂ barrier layer formation: a certain chloride ions. Stage III—micro-pits formation and development: when the competitive etching was extended to the entire Ti electrode surface, the monodisperse micro-pits were transformed into micron pits array under the self-organizing ray evolvement: when the competitive etching was extended to the entire Ti electrode surface, the monodisperse micro-pits were transformed into micron pits array under the self-organizing..

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of preparation process of ordered micro–submicro–nano hierarchical structures with varying scaled micro-pits on titanium.
The diameters of nanotubes were always determined by final anodic voltage, already reported in our previous publication [29]. From the high magnification images of SEM, it can be seen that there were some fold fractures inside the micro-pits. The higher voltages, the larger folds were found, probably due to the non-uniform precipitates of corrosion products inside the micro-pits during etching process.

The CLSM was used to detect the three-dimensional morphologies and roughness of titanium with varying scaled micro-pits (Supplementary Fig. S2). The surface of pristine titanium was smooth, the Ra and Rq was ~1.704 μm and ~2.252 μm, respectively. After electrochemically etched, the roughness was increased obviously, Ra and Rq were elevated to ~2.187 μm and 2.830 μm at secondary voltage of 6 V. When the secondary etching voltage raised to 7 V, 8 V or 9 V, no significant difference of roughness was detected, and the value of Ra maintained in the range of 2.3–2.4 μm. While Ra was slightly increased to ~2.687 μm when the applied secondary voltage was consistent with the first

Figure 2. Morphology of varying micro-scale pits array on titanium upon different etching voltages.

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the formation process of micro-pits array on titanium.
voltage. The overall trend of roughness was as follows, $Ra_{(10V)} > Ra_{(7V)} \approx Ra_{(8V)} > Ra_{(9V)} > Ra_{(6V)}$. Therefore, the micro-pits with smaller size and relative regularity were obtained by using lower voltage (such as 6 V) and suitable etching time. With the secondary voltage increased, the micro-pits began to merge to each other and evolved to larger micro-pits, the surface pits became irregular and the uniformity decreased. Thus there was almost no change in roughness for 7 V, 8 V and 9 V. As the secondary voltage increased further, the size of micro-pits extended and kept stability at a certain extent, while deeper micro-pits and more roughness presented on titanium due to the larger local current as shown in Supplementary Table S2.

It has been reported that the surface of biomaterials with only microstructure is not conducive to cell growth [5, 6, 30]. In order to improve the cell biological performance, the sub-micro and nano structures inside the micro-pits were built by the follow-up chemically etching and electrochemically anodizing, without altering original morphologies and sizes of the micro-pits. Consequently, the folds like cracks inside the micro-pits were replaced by submicron protrusions and aligned nanopores. Finally, the ordered micro-submicro-nano hierarchical structures with varying scaled micro-pits were successively fabricated on titanium by adjusting the secondary etching voltages. Compared to single microstructure, the overall roughness was reduced, the gradually increasing trend of roughness was maintained with the increase of secondary voltages (Fig. 4). The average $Ra$ of 6 V, 8 V and 10 V treatment was $\sim 2.158 \mu m$, $\sim 2.360 \mu m$ and $\sim 2.464 \mu m$, the $Rq$ was $\sim 2.999 \mu m$, $\sim 3.063 \mu m$ and $\sim 3.115 \mu m$, respectively. Chemical etching and anodization preferentially acted on the edges of micro-pits during the etching process, which could be the main reason for the reduction of the surface roughness.

The element analysis of the as-prepared micro/nano hierarchical titanium samples are listed in Table 1.

|          | Ti      | 6V     | 7V     | 8V     |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| O        | 4.75    | 16.76  | 16.64  | 17.02  |
| F        | N.D.    | 9.51   | 9.89   | 9.86   |
| Ti       | 95.25   | 73.73  | 73.47  | 73.12  |

Table 1. Element analysis of the prepared micro–nano hierarchical titanium with varying micro-scaled pits

formation of titanium oxide in ambient condition. The oxygen concentration of micro/nano structured titanium was enhanced because of the thicken oxide film. The fluoride ions in electrolyte could lead to a small amount of F remains, and the major elements of O, F and Ti kept no significant difference at different etching conditions.

The results of static water contact angles for the micro–nano hierarchical titanium were shown in Fig. 5a. The contact angle of pristine Ti was $61.2^\circ \pm 2.9^\circ$, while all contact angles on the prepared micro–nano hierarchical structures were below $5^\circ$, showing super-hydrophilic property. After treated with electrochemical, chemical etching and anodization process, the micro-pits and porous nano TiO$_2$ films were formed on titanium, which was strongly prone to adsorb H$_2$O molecules and exhibited hydrophilic property [16, 37]. Meanwhile, increased roughness could provide more contact area and greater surface tension, so that the surface wettability was further improved [38, 39].

The amount, type and conformation of proteins adsorbed on surface usually have a significant impact on the following biological behaviors of cells. The adsorption capacity of protein is strongly influenced by surface topological morphology, chemical composition, surface charge, etc. [40, 41]. In this work, the protein adsorption capacity on the ordered micro–nano hierarchical titanium was tested by BCA protein concentration detection kit. Surprisingly, the protein adsorbed on pristine Ti surface appeared highest, while the protein amount decreased dramatically on the 6 V, 8 V and 10 V treated samples (Fig. 5b). Generally speaking,
higher wettability meant greater surface energy which could promote early cellular responses like cell adhesion and spreading at the interface of implants via adsorb more proteins [42]. Although micro–nano hierarchical titanium presented higher roughness, larger specific surface area and super-hydrophilicity compared with pristine titanium, less HSA adsorption was observed. It was suggested that hydrophobic interaction may play a vital role compared with hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces and electrostatic interaction in the adsorption process of protein on the material surface. The prepared micro/nanostructure with super-hydrophilicity could weaken the hydrophobic interaction and the H2O molecules would race to the surface faster than protein [43]. Thus, the amount of protein adsorption on the hierarchically structured surface was greatly reduced. As for the different size of micro/nano hierarchical structures, it had little effect on the protein adsorption because of the strongly preferential adsorption of H2O molecules on the titanium surface.

**Cell adhesion and proliferation**

The results of Calcein-AM fluorescence staining shown in Fig. 6a indicated that MC3T3-E1 cells possessed brilliant adhesion behavior on all the as-prepared titanium after 24-h incubation. On the whole, the number of cell adhesion on the surface of micro/nano structured titanium was higher than that on pristine titanium, and there was significant difference. It was suggested that the hierarchical structures with ordered micro, submicro and nano morphology were beneficial to cell adhesion at the early stage, but this behavior was not sensitive to the micro-scaled changes. Moreover, the phenomenon that similar amount of adhered cells on uniform nano-morphology proved the importance of nanostructure on cell adhesion [13]. As can be seen from Fig. 6b, larger amount of cells adhered to the surface of 6 V or 8 V treated samples, and a little less cells adhered to 10 V titanium. Except for nano-morphology, roughness also played a critical role on cell adhesion. Cells did not tend to adhere to the surface with more roughness according to the works in literatures [35]. This probably gave a reasonable explanation for less cell adhesion was observed on 10 V samples. Cell adhesion and proliferation could be influenced by protein adsorption. As seen in Fig. 5b, the amount of HSA on micro/nanostructures decreased dramatically due to the surface super-hydrophilicity. Interestingly, increased number of cells were observed on the ordered micro/nano
hierarchical titanium because of its larger specific surface area and higher wettability [44]. Huang et al. [45] indicated that different cells had discrepant adhesion behaviors on whether super-hydrophilic or super-hydrophobic surface. And presence or absence of FBS showed little effect on the cell adhesion. Therefore, it was speculated that, as for MC3T3-E1, the nano-morphology or hydrophilicity played more crucial role in their adhesion than the protein adsorption.

Subsequently, cytoskeletal actin staining was performed to analyze the specific cells adhesion status on each hierarchical sample. As shown in Fig. 7, after incubation for 5 h, the cells adhered on surface of the samples but were still not spread. The cells were small, only a few filamentous or plate-like pseudopods appeared and there was no difference of the cells spreading at the 6 V, 8 V and 10 V treated surface. When incubation time extended to 24 h, apparent actin filaments and plenty filopodia were observed on all samples, the cells began to connect to each other and stretch outwards. The cells were in the shape of fusiform or triangle on pristine titanium, while more polygonal or radial cells grown on micro/nano-titanium. The spreading areas of MC3T3-E1 on 6 V sample were obviously larger than pristine titanium, the smallest cell areas were shown on 10 V samples (Fig. 7c). Meanwhile, the quantity of cells adhered on 6 V or 8 V were higher than 10 V treated sample, which was consistent with Calcein-AM staining results (Fig. 7b). The differences of cell adhesion, spreading and growth might be caused by increased roughness or deeper micro-pits on 10 V sample, which was not conductive to the outward extension and migration of cells, thus restricted cells proliferation on this surface.

The mechanism that cells behaviors were enslaved by the materials morphology was associated with the formation of focal adhesions (FAs) and its mediated signal transmission. Cells were sensitive to the surface structure and resulting stress or strain signals could be perceived by cell membrane or intracellular receptors, then further induced cytoskeleton rearrangement [46]. The nanostructure would induce the formation of FAs, and cells migration could be mediated by formation or disappearance of FAs [28]. Meanwhile, the generation of FAs, as a vital signaling factor, would facilitate a series of cell behaviors such as cell adhesion, proliferation or differentiation through activating the relevant signaling pathways.

The result of cell proliferation on the different titanium is shown in Fig. 8. After 24-h incubation, the sample of 6 V had the most cells, and the number of cells on 10 V was the least, but there was no significant difference. When the incubation time was extended to 3 days, it was shown that little difference in the number of cells among different samples except for 10 V. The growth rate of cells on 10 V was the slowest, which probably due to greater surface roughness and depth of micro-pits are not beneficial to cell migration or proliferation [47]. It was interesting

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 7.** Fluorescence staining results of cytoskeleton actin and nucleus of MC3T3-E1 incubated on hierarchically structured titanium with varying micro-scaled pits for 5 h and 24 h measured by CLSM (a), cell density (b) and cells area (c) measured by image J software.
that the cells quantity on pristine titanium was the least after 7 days, due to the contact inhibition effect of cells cultured on pristine titanium with the lowest specific surface area. As for the micro–nano hierarchical titanium, larger surface area offered more space and better interfacial environment for cell proliferation, and there was great difference in cells number compared with that on pristine titanium. The trend of cells proliferation was listed as 6V > 8V > 10V. The largest cell number on 6V treated surface meant that the cells preferred to growth on the smaller sized microstructure. The reason might be less roughness and micron pits size on 6V samples which facilitated cell migration and propagation.

It was seen that the cell could spread well on all samples, and more microvilli and filopodia were found on the ordered micro- nano hierarchical titanium compared to pristine titanium (as shown in Figs 9 and 10). The sizes of micro-pits formed at 6V or 8V were proved to be less than the spreading cell sizes, which gave no effect on extension and spreading of cells. At high magnification, it was found that the cell attached firmly to the substrates. While the cells anchored inside the micro-pits on the 10V treated sample, which matched with the cellular sizes leading the cells extended outward along the edge of the micro-pits. After 7 days incubation, the cells grew well and connected to each other closely. The 6V prepared samples presented the largest cell proliferation, where cells had covered the substrates completely, whereas some micro-pits could be observed on the 10V samples and meant the least cell quantity. This result was consistent with WST-1 data, the rate of cell proliferation decreased with the increasing of micro-pits sizes and roughness.

Figure 8. The MC3T3-E1 cell proliferation result on hierarchically structured titanium with gradient micro-scaled pits culturing for 1, 3 and 7 days. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01.

It was seen that the cell could spread well on all samples, and more microvilli and filopodia were found on the ordered micro– nano hierarchical titanium compared to pristine titanium (as shown in Figs 9 and 10). The sizes of micro-pits formed at 6V or 8V were proved to be less than the spreading cell sizes, which gave no effect on extension and spreading of cells. At high magnification, it was found that the cell attached firmly to the substrates. While the cells anchored inside the micro-pits on the 10V treated sample, which matched with the cellular sizes leading the cells extended outward along the edge of the micro-pits. After 7 days incubation, the cells grew well and connected to each other closely. The 6V prepared samples presented the largest cell proliferation, where cells had covered the substrates completely, whereas some micro-pits could be observed on the 10V samples and meant the least cell quantity. This result was consistent with WST-1 data, the rate of cell proliferation decreased with the increasing of micro-pits sizes and roughness.

**Cell osteogenic differentiation**

The ALP activity, as an indicator of cell early osteogenic differentiation, was very useful for evaluating cells osteogenic differentiation. As shown in Fig. 11, the ALP activity of MC3T3-E1 was enhanced significantly on micro–nano hierarchically structured titanium compared with that on pristine titanium after 4 days culture. While the ALP activity decreased on all samples when cells incubation time extended to 7 days. This might be attributed to that the cells stimulated by micro/nano-structure and began to differentiate toward the middle or late stage of osteogenesis with culture time extension. The overall trend of ALP activity at different samples was as follows for either 4 days or 7 days: 10V > 8V > 6V > Ti. It was indicated that micro/nano-morphology with larger scaled micro-pits was beneficial to the differentiation of cells toward osteogenesis.

Figure 9. Cell morphologies cultured on hierarchically structured titanium with varying micro-scaled pits for 3 days.
In order to elucidate the influence of different morphologies on osteogenic differentiation of cells, a real-time quantitative PCR was employed to detect the expression of osteogenic-specific genes for cells cultured on varying micro-scaled micro–nano multilevel structures on titanium. The integrin-related genes (ITG) played a vital media role on recognizing or binding between cells and cells or ECM, then mediated cell proliferation and differentiation as well [48]. As a DNA-binding transcription factor of Runt family, RUNX2 could activate the expression of OCN and mostly osteogenic genes, regulate cell differentiation into osteoblast and promote ECM mineralization [49]. In addition, ALP, OPN, OCN and collagen were chosen as early, middle and late differentiation indexes and detected respectively, corresponding results are shown in Fig. 12. It was suggested that the relative expressions of mRNA for ITG, RUNX-2, OCN, OPN and Col-I were in manner of positively time-dependent. The longer the incubation time, the larger the gene expression. Nevertheless, the expression of ALP presented opposite trend, the mRNA expression of cells cultured for 5 days was much higher than 10 days, and there was highly significant difference between micro/nano-titanium and pristine samples, which was in line with the results of ALP activity examined by AKP detection kit. After 10 days incubation, the expression of osteogenic-related genes were heightened obviously on micro–nano hierarchically structured titanium with larger micro-scaled pits and roughness, especially for the 10V prepared samples. It was concluded that the expression of osteogenic genes could be adjusted and controlled distinctly by altering sizes of microstructure, and the genes might be up-regulated on the micro–nano structured titanium with cell sized matched micro-pits.

Wnt/β-catenin pathway

It has been reported that the surface morphology of implants could promote bone formation through the classical Wnt/β-catenin signaling cascade [50–52]. The signal pathway of Wnt/β-catenin would be activated by combination of Wnt proteins and corresponding cell membrane receptors, then inhibited the complex composed of Axin, glycogen synthase kinase-3β and adenomatous polyposis coli which could reduce the degradation of β-catenin in cytoplasm. As a consequence, β-catenin accumulated and transferred into cell nucleus and subsequently reacted with transcription factor T cytokines and lymphatic enhancement factor-1 to promote the expression of genes and proteins related to osteogenesis [53]. For the sake of exploring important function of Wnt/β-catenin pathway on osteogenic response of cells cultured on the micro–nano structure with different micro-scaled micro-pits, the quantities of ALP, collagen secretion and
ECM mineralization were tested by adding IWP-2 (C_{22}H_{18}N_{4}O_{2}S_{3}), an inhibitor of Wnt/\beta-catenin pathway, into cell culture medium. IWP-2 could suppress Wnt-dependent phosphorylation of LRP6 receptor and Dvl2 and restrain the accumulation of \beta-catenin [54]. The staining result of ALP is shown in Fig. 13, the samples without inhibitors were used as control. It was observed that cells were colored in deep purple on control group, and more purple cells were observed on the 8 V or 10 V treated samples which meant more ALP production. While dark purple nodules decreased dramatically on all samples after inhibitor IWP-2 treatment. We assumed that Wnt/\beta-catenin pathway could be blocked by IWP-2, then suppressed the gene expression of ALP and reduced the production of ALP.

The production of collagen was regarded as an important symbol of bone matrix formation, and the ECM mineralization was very crucial for bone maturation around implants [7]. Hence,
collagen secretion and ECM mineralization of MC3T3-E1, which cultured on different micro-scaled hierarchically structured titanium with or without Wnt/β-catenin inhibitor, were also investigated. After 14-day incubation, cells were stained by Sirius red and alizarin red separately and semi-quantitative analysis were carried out by absorbance detection using microplate reader. As shown in Figs 14 and 15, cells cultured on the micro–nano hierarchically structured titanium produced much more collagen than that on pristine titanium in the absence of inhibitors. The 8 V and 10 V prepared samples exhibited larger amount of collagen, the trend for the different samples was as follows: 8 V > 10 V > 6 V > Ti. The reason why collagen secretion on 8 V exceeded 10 V was probably owing to the balanced development of cell proliferation and osteogenic differentiation on account of the synergistic effect of micro- or nano-structure [14]. The collagen amount on all samples decreased evidently with the addition of inhibitors. ECM could mediate cell–material interactions, and the mineralization of ECM was significance of accelerating bone forming and remodeling for implants. Similarly, ECM mineralization of cells were enhanced significantly on micro–nano titanium, and the degree of mineralization was elevated with the increase of micro-pits sizes. The amount of red calcium nodules on all samples was lessened upon adding IWP-2 according to the results of alizarin red staining. It was manifested that the formation of collagen and ECM mineralization would be cut down by blocking Wnt/β-catenin signal pathway. More importantly, the vital role of Wnt/β-catenin on cells differentiation toward osteogenic mediated by micro/nano-structures was emphasized once more.

Effects of the surface microstructures of biomaterials on cell behaviors had been studied extensively. Malheiro et al. [55] fabricated convex and concave micro-structures on PDMS, which could change the size and shape of macrophages greatly but no effect on their polarization. In addition, the guiding roles of microstructures on cell performances for fibroblasts and bone

Figure 13. The ALP staining result for MC3T3-E1 culturing with/without Wnt/β-catenin pathway inhibitor on hierarchically structured titanium with varying micro-scaled pits.

Figure 14. Sirius scarlet staining result of MC3T3-E1 culturing for 14 days with/without Wnt/β-catenin pathway inhibitor on hierarchical titanium with varying scaled micro-pits.
marrow mesenchymal stem cells also been proven [56]. In order to optimize surface microstructure of orthopedic implant materials, it is very necessary to construct ordered microstructures with controlled sizes from a view of bionics. Our previous work had fabricated uniform micro-pits arrays on titanium successfully based on the electrochemical self-organization etching under constant voltage of 10 V for continuous 30 min [57], while the relationship between micro-scaled structure and cellular behaviors has not been investigated in-depth. Given the influence of current varied with applied voltage, lower constant electrochemical etching voltages were imposed on titanium to prepare various scaled micro-pits. However, the results displayed that the titanium surface could not be etched completely under voltages of 6~9 V after 30 min. This was probably because of less corrosion pits formed on titanium at lower voltages, resulted in uneven etching. It was suggested that gradient micro-scaled pits could not be performed well only by one-step electrochemical etching. On this basis, fractional steps of electrochemical method was proposed to construct varying ordered micro-scaled pits on titanium. First, the voltage of 10 V was applied to fabricate homogeneous micro-pits due to higher interfacial field and coaction of F⁻ and Cl⁻. Then turn down the voltage to reduce the local current after 10 min, the small size micro-pits could be formed with the development and enlargement of corrosion pits. Finally, the ordered micro-nano hierarchically structured titanium with varying micro-scaled pits and identical sub-micro and nanostructure were constructed successfully, based on the combined the two-step of electrochemical etching, chemical etching and anodization. The size of micro-pits and surface roughness was enhanced with the increasing of secondary etching voltages. Meanwhile, super-hydrophilicity was presented on the multilevel structured titanium result from the presence of micro/nano structures and oxide films.

In addition, the distinct behaviors of cell adhesion and proliferation on gradient scaled micro–nano structures were closely related to the differences of micro-scale and roughness; 10 V prepared samples with cell-match-sized micro-pits array and relatively large roughness presented contrary performance on cell propagation and osteogenic differentiation. This trend was attributed to that cells growth could be limited markedly by enlarged size and depth of micro-pits. However, the Wnt/β-catenin pathway could be activated by the ordered micro–nano hierarchical morphology, and cells were induced to differentiated into osteoblasts. Certainly, the relative expression of osteogenic-specific genes, ALP activity, collagen secretion and ECM mineralization were elevated to some extent, then realized bone matures around implants eventually. Yang et al. [58] found that the 12 μm and 36 μm micro-dot/nanoneedle hierarchical hydroxyapatite could facilitate the polarization of macrophages to M2 phenotype, which supported that specific micro–nano hierarchical structures with larger micron scale would promote osteogenic differentiation by modulating the immune microenvironment. Moreover, Park et al. [56] reported that cell proliferation of fibroblasts and BMSCs on the micro-pits of 200~300 μm would be suppressed. It was believed that intensive studying about the dependency relationship between cell behaviors and multi-scaled microstructures, as well as the corresponding molecular mechanism, could be further realized by means of advanced micro–nano processing technology and precise construction of ordered multi-scaled microstructures. Thus, this work may provide a direction and pave way to the surface design of implant materials and clinical applications. As Ding et al. [59, 60] pointed out that the developed micropatterning techniques are not only powerful to further understand the cell–materials interactions, but also helpful to stimulate biomaterials design and high-throughput screening in regenerative medicine.

**Conclusion**

On the whole, the micro–nano hierarchically structured titanium with varying micro-scaled pits array were first fabricated by virtue of facile electrochemical self-organizing etching. The size of

---

**Figure 15.** Alizarin red staining result of MC3T3-E1 culturing for 14 days with/without Wnt/β-catenin pathway inhibitor on the hierarchically structured titanium with varying scaled micro-pits.
micro-pits array was positively correlated with the secondary etching voltage. And cells behaviors on micro/nano hierarchically structured titanium with varying micro-scaled pits has been analyzed systematically in vitro. It was indicated that the cells were susceptible response to micro-scaled variation and roughness changes. The cells would like to grow on micro/nano hierarchically structured titanium with smaller scaled micro-pits array. On the contrary, the larger micro-pits array was beneficial to osteogenic differentiation. It was worth mentioning that Wnt/β-catenin pathway played a crucial role on cell differentiation into osteoblast mediated by varying micro-scaled hierarchically structured titanium. This systematic study was of prominent significance for probing into the influence of micro morphology of biomaterials on cells biological performance, and provided profound strategy for surface design of implants with optimized bioactivity.
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