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1. Introduction

The outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003 [1], Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) in 2012 [2], and novel coronavirus pneumonia in China in 2020 [3] have posed significant threats to public health worldwide. Given the high case-fatality ratio, strong transmissibility, and shortage of efficient antivirals, human coronaviruses (HCoVs), as well as zoonotic coronaviruses such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, have become a source of increasing concern. Compared with the inherently high mutation rates of other RNA viruses, the mutation rate of HCoVs may be somewhat low due to their genome-encoded exonuclease (e.g., RNA 3′→5′ exoribonuclease and endoribonuclease) associated with RNA processing that improves the low fidelity of RNA replication [4,5]. It has been determined that several virulence-enhancing mutations usually resulted in substantially increased viral polymerase activity [6] and the high mutation rates usually lead to increased adaptability [7].

The pathogenic mechanism of HCoVs is not completely understood; therefore, additional detailed investigations of the pathogenic mechanism, particularly potential targets, might be helpful in the identification of novel antivirals through target-based drug discovery. Coronaviruses, which are composed of four genera (α, β, γ, and δ), are reported to be the largest type of positive-strand RNA viruses (26–32 kb) [8]. To date, seven HCoVs (HCoV-229E, NL63, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, HCoVOC43, HCoV-HKU1 and SARS-CoV-2) have been identified, among which SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are the major causes of severe pneumonia in humans. Similarly, these viruses are composed of four major types of structural proteins on the viral membrane [9], including the spike protein (S), envelope protein (E), membrane protein (M), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins. The spike protein is responsible for viral entry into host cells; therefore, it is considered a major therapeutic target for antiviral drug development [10], and the M, E and N proteins play critical roles in viral assembly and RNA synthesis.

Unfortunately, no proven coronavirus-specific therapies are currently recommended for HCoVs, although various antiviral drugs (or vaccines) have been approved (or are in development) for the treatment of specific viral infections. The combined use of different antiviral drugs may be a potential therapeutic strategy. Therefore, the development of efficient antivirals with either virus-specific or pan-CoV activities for the treatment of CoV infections is urgently needed. In this review, we highlight the molecular targets associated with novel drug discovery, strategies for the discovery of novel antiviral drugs, and the identification of successful agents that control CoV infection. Although a large number of studies have been published on the development of novel...
antiviral compounds against HCoVs, this review is expected to be a resource for the development of efficient antivirals.

2. Potential molecular targets for pancoronavirus antiviral drug development and identified inhibitors

Although the physiology-based approach is a traditional and proven drug discovery paradigm for the discovery of novel drugs, emerging computer-aided drug development methods may become a promising alternative to accelerate the discovery of novel drugs [11–13]. These in silico strategies (e.g., virtual screening and structure-based drug design) very effectively identify novel active scaffolds for a validated target. Therefore, the selection of one (single-target agents) or more (multi-target agents) drug targets is a key starting point for a drug discovery project. In this section, potential molecular targets associated with the development of pancoronavirus antiviral drugs are highlighted; these targets are highly conserved and essential to viral replication or viral pathogenesis among the identified HCoVs.

At least two-thirds of the SARS-CoV genome is occupied by two large open reading frames (ORFs; ORF1a and ORF1b) that together constitute the replicase gene. Polyprotein 1a (PP1a; NSP 1-11) is encoded by ORF1a, while polyprotein 1ab (PP1ab; NSP1-16) is encoded by the combined transcription of ORF1a and ORF1b via a ribosomal frameshift that overreads the stop codon of ORF1a. PP1a and PP1ab are usually further hydrolyzed, releasing the nonstructural proteins (nsp1 to nsp16) that generally interact to form a replication and transcription complex associated with viral RNA synthesis. For example, the polyproteins of SARS-CoV are cleaved into 16 mature nonstructural proteins (16 for SARS-CoV-2 [14]), including NSP 5 (main protease), NSP 12 (an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase), and NSP 14 (3'-to-5' exoribonuclease) [15,16]. The four main structural proteins (S, E, M, and N) and eight accessory proteins are encoded by the 3'-proximal genes. These proteins are usually not essential for viral replication; however, they may play a role in the pathogenesis of coronavirus infection, particularly in the virus-host interaction [17], for example by modulating interferon signaling pathways [18,19]. Due to their critical roles in the viral life cycle, these important proteins are viewed as potential targets for the development of novel antiviral drugs.

2.1. 3C-like protease (3CLP) or 3C protease (3CP)

The coronavirus 3CLP (or the main protease, Mpros) is a cysteine protease characterized by chymotrypsin-like folds, a catalytic triad with a nucleophilic cysteine residue, and a preference for a Glu or Gln residue in substrate proteins [20]. It is a key enzyme within the polyproteins, and after autoprocessing [21], active 3CLP cleaves 11 sites (SARS-CoV) in the polyproteins, releasing functional NSPs and structural proteins, such as the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, helicase, and ribonucleases. Recently, the crystal structure of free SARS-CoV-2 3CLP was solved at room temperature [22], which revealed that the native 3CLP is a homodimer (Fig. 1). The dimerization process is essential for its proteolytic activity. Each monomer contains three domains (Domain I, Domain II, and Domain III), and the active site (Cys145-His41 catalytic dyad) of each monomer is located between Domain I and Domain II, constituting the chymotrypsin-like fold scaffold at the interface. Caused by the multiple domains and special location of the active site, the discovery or design of novel inhibitors capable of entering the site readily and forming strong interactions with the target 3CLP might be difficult. Domain III is associated with the dimerization of 3CLP and is connected to the chymotrypsin-like fold by a long loop.

2.1.1. 3CLP inhibitors

To date, many research groups worldwide have focused their drug discovery efforts on the inhibition of 3CLP. The identified inhibitors are divided into two main types: peptidyl compounds and nonpeptide inhibitors. The development of broad-spectrum antivirals based on the inhibition of 3CLP (or 3CP) involves two main fields of research: inhibiting protease activity [23] and targeting the regular dimerization of 3CLP [24]. In addition to the direct screening of potent inhibitors, structure-based drug design is another promising alternative. In this...
rational strategy, compounds are usually designed to target the dimer interface around the active site [25–27], including (1) the inhibition of binding sites in the active site (S1 site) and (2) the inhibition of the normal dimerization of 3CLP. Due to the highly conserved structure of the substrate-binding pockets among all CoV 3CLPs, potent inhibitors targeting this site are presumed to display wide-spectrum anti-CoV activity.

The inhibition of the binding sites is a field of great interest in antiviral drug development, and several important studies have provided insights into the detailed interaction modes of the binding sites [28–30]. Anand et al. [25] synthesized a substrate analog (hexapeptidyl chloromethyl ketone) to investigate the exact binding mode of substrates. The crystal structure showed six critical binding sites occupied by the corresponding residues (Fig. 2). In particular, a covalent bond formed between the Sγ atom of Cys145 and the methylene group of CMK (S1 site), and various novel irreversible inhibitors have been developed based on this information [31, 32]. The S1 site is located in close proximity to the catalytic dyad, which is stabilized by the oxyanion hole formed by Gly143, Ser144 and His163 (Fig. 2(1)) [33]. In a study by Kumar et al. [34], several compounds with potent inhibitory activity against 3CLP were designed and identified (Fig. 2(1, A)), and these compounds were believed to destabilize the oxyanion hole in 3CLP. The carboxyl group present at P1 of the inhibitors was critical for the destruction of the formed oxyanion hole. Moreover, the conformation of the S1 site or the whole-substrate-binding site was further maintained by the counterpart N-finger (Fig. 2(2)) [35].

Interference with the correct dimerization of 3CLP, mainly including inhibition of the functions of helix A’ and Domain III, is also a reasonable strategy for the development of antiviral inhibitors. The H-bonds formed between the two A’ helices (Fig. 2(3)) also play important roles in the stabilization of the dimer interface; therefore, these helices are potentially useful antiviral targets. Using this method, several critical residues [28, 36–38] were determined to be directly involved in dimerization or dimer stability through hydrogen bonding, such as Gly11, Ser123, Ser139, Glu169, Arg198 and Gln299. Thus, inhibitors could be designed to target these residues.

Crystal structures of the main protease of SARS-CoV-2 were elucidated recently and applied in the development of novel antiviral drugs. In one study [39], a wide-spectrum inhibitor (N3) was identified as a potent irreversible inhibitor of the SARS-CoV-2 main protease (Fig. 3), with \( k_{\text{obs}}/[I] = 11,300 \pm 880 \, M^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} \). The structural analysis clearly revealed that the Sγ atom of C145-A formed a covalent bond (1.8 Å) with the Cβ atom of the vinyl group. In addition, the residues Phe140, Asn142, Glu166, His163, His172, and Ser1 of protomer B also play critical roles in S1 subsite formation; His41, Met49, Tyr54, Met165, and Asp187 are associated with S2 subsite formation; and Met165, Leu167, Phe185, Gln192 and Gln189 are involved in S4 subsite formation through H-bond interactions. Then, structure-based drug design, virtual screening and high-throughput screening were used to discover potential inhibitors based on the elucidated crystal structure. The six obtained compounds exerted good inhibitory effects on the main protease of SARS-CoV-2, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 0.67 to 21.4 μM.

Fig. 2. Schematic of critical residues associated with the rational design of 3CLP inhibitors (PDB ID: 1UK4).
In a similar study [40], a novel inhibitor was designed with an enhanced half-life in the plasma through the incorporation of the P3-P2 amide bond into a pyridone ring. In addition, effective inhibitors of the main protein from SARS-CoV, GC373 and GC376 also exert strong inhibitory effects on SARS-CoV-2, with IC\textsubscript{50} values in the nanomolar range (0.40 ± 0.05 μM (GC373) and 0.19 ± 0.04 μM (GC376)) [41].

Furthermore, a structural analysis of the complex showed that the nucleophilic residue Cys145 formed a covalent bond with these inhibitors. Recently, a study of the structure-based design of antiviral drug candidates targeting the SARS-CoV-2 main protease was reported [42]. The two lead compounds (11a and 11b) obtained by the authors both displayed potent enzyme inhibitory activity (IC\textsubscript{50}: 0.053 ± 0.005 μM (11a) and 0.040 ± 0.002 μM (11b)), anti-SARS-CoV-2 infection activity (EC\textsubscript{50}: 0.53 ± 0.01 μM (11a) and 0.72 ± 0.09 μM (11b)) and good pharmacokinetic properties in vivo. The structure of the complex showed that the aldehyde groups of compounds 11a and 11b were all covalently bound to cysteine 145 of 3CLP, inhibiting its catalytic activity. Panda et al. applied the rational structure-based drug design and immunoinformatics approach to successfully discover efficient drugs against SARS-CoV-2 [43]. The resulting antiviral polymerase inhibitor, PC786, showed multitarget inhibitory effects on the spike glycoprotein, main protease and the receptor binding domain (RBD)/angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) complex of SARS-CoV-2.
2.2 Papain-like protease

Together with 3CLP, papain-like proteases (PLPs) are a critical type of protease that play a vital role in the hydrolysis of viral polyproteins and promote the release of essential nonstructural proteins [44,45]. PLP is also a multifunctional enzyme with deISGylating and deubiquitinating (DUB) activities; moreover, it blocks the interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) pathway [46]. In addition, it functions as a viral ubiquitin-specific protease that is capable of rapidly hydrolyzing the isopeptide bonds of proteins, which are posttranslationally modified by cellular ubiquitin-like (Ubl) molecules, such as ubiquitin (Ub) and interferon-stimulating gene 15 (ISG15) [47–49]. Ub and ISG15 are two important cellular regulators and signaling intermediates that are usually covalently attached to host cell proteins via the formation of an isopeptide bond. Therefore, the development of novel drugs targeting PLP might have great advantages, as these drugs would be capable of not only inhibiting viral replication but also inhibiting the upregulation of collagen expression in infected cells [50].

In CoVs, particularly SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, PLP is composed of four main structural domains (Fig. 4): the ubiquitin-like (Ubl), palm, thumb and fingers domains [47,51]. The Ubl domain is highly conserved among CoVs and located directly adjacent to the N terminus of PLP. The peptidase catalytic core is located at the interface of the thumb and palm domains, and the catalytic triad was determined to be Cys112-His273-Asp287. The five C-terminal residues of Ub (RLRGG) are absolutely vital for SARS-PLP activity, particularly in the specific cleavage of polyUb chains through a ‘didistributive’ manner. Among other DUBs, diUb recognition is commonly achieved by four cysteine residues, and correct binding of zinc is indispensable for the different mechanisms of action of compound 4.

Two distinct Ub-binding subites (the proximal SUB1 and the distal SUB2 sites) have been identified around the active site (S1’), and SARS-PLP preferentially recognizes and then releases diUbGlyGly during the cleavage of polyUb chains through a ‘didistributive’ cleavage mechanism [55,56]. In contrast, MERS-PLP shows broad substrate specificity for the cleavage of polyUb chains in a ‘monodistributive’ manner. Among other DUBs, diUb recognition is commonly achieved across S1–S1’ [57,58]. However, S2Ub binding was recently shown to be absolutely vital for SARS-PLP activity, particularly in the specific recognition of K48-linked polyubiquitin [59]. The PLP of MERS-CoV was also reported to display stronger deubiquitinating activity but lower proteolytic activity than the PLP of SARS-CoV [60]. Based on these results, the development of broad-spectrum antiviral drugs against CoV PLPs would be a challenging task, considering the different substrate specificities of the PLPs from different CoVs.

2.2.1. PLP inhibitors

To date, various potent inhibitors of PLPs have been discovered and designed [61], such as thiopurine compounds, natural products (e.g., tanshinones), zinc ions (Zn²⁺), zinc conjugate inhibitors, and naphthoquinol inhibitors.

1 Natural products

Due to the multifunctional nature of PLP, the development of novel antiviral drugs could be focused on the discovery of inhibitors that may either efficiently inhibit protease activity [62] or inhibit deubiquitinating activities [63]. In a study by Park et al. [62], tanshinones (a natural product) from Salvia miltiorrhiza ethanol extracts were determined to be selective, slow-binding inhibitors of SARS-CoV cysteine proteases (3CLP and PLP) that were more potent than peptide-derived and small-molecule viral cysteine protease inhibitors. Although potent activities were observed, the inhibitory effects of these tanshinones strongly depend on the chemical structure, and the PLP inhibitory activity of these compounds is usually more significant than the 3CLP inhibitory activity. Moreover, they were determined to be selective inhibitors without detectable inhibitory effects on other proteases, such as chymotrypsin, papain, and the HIV protease.

In another study [64], polyphenolic natural products isolated from Broussonetia papyrifera were determined to be potent coronavirus protease inhibitors (3CLP and PLP). Six diarylheptanoid compounds from Alnus japonica with inhibitory activities against SARS-PLP were discovered [65], among which hirsutene (2) showed the most potent inhibitory activity, with an IC₅₀ of 4.1 μM. Furthermore, the catechole and α,β-unsaturated carbonyl moieties might play a critical role in PLP inhibition. In one study [66], high-throughput screening (HTS) was applied to screen novel inhibitors of both SARS-PLP and MERS-PLP, and a dual noncovalent inhibitor (compound 4) showing potent inhibitory activity against both PLPs was discovered. This compound is the first MERS-PLP inhibitor reported, and it functions as a mixed-type inhibitor of SARS-PLP and a competitive inhibitor of MERS-PLP. Unfortunately, the crystal structures of compound 4 and the MERS-PLP complex were not provided; therefore, the detailed binding mode and interactions were not obtained experimentally. However, an analysis of mutants revealed that Asn109 is a critical residue required for intermediate stabilization through the formation of H-bonds. The structural alignment of SARS-PLP and MERS-PLP showed that the configuration of Gln270 (Fig. 6) is quite different from the configuration of the other amino acids, and thus it might function in an unknown way. Based on the results of this study, blocking loop2 (Fig. 6) was further confirmed to play a crucial role in the differences in substrate specificities that lead to the different mechanisms of action of compound 4.

Although many inhibitors derived from natural products have been identified and determined to be promising lead compounds for the rational development of more potent antiviral agents [67,68], no
Fig. 7. Schematic of the development of GRL0617 and its interaction mode with the PLP from SARS-CoV (PDB ID: 3E9S).

Fig. 8. The interaction modes of GRL-0617 and GRL-0667 with the target PLP from SARS-CoVs (PDB ID: 3MJS).

Fig. 9. The process used to optimize compound 7724772, leading to the discovery of compounds 2 and 49.
Fig. 10. The binding mode and the interaction of component 24 with PLP (PDB ID: 3E9S).

Fig. 11. The process used to optimize compound 6577871 for the development of the second-generation naphthalene inhibitors.
detailed studies on their mechanisms of action have been published. Therefore, researchers should focus on performing more in-depth crystallographic analyses of this inhibitory process.

II Naphthalene inhibitors

In a study by Ratia et al [69], a first-generation lead compound, 7724772, was discovered in an HTS; this compound functioned as noncovalent competitive inhibitor of the PLP of SARS-CoV. Then, chemical optimization was performed and confirmed by conducting a structure-activity analysis, which showed that the potency dramatically increased to 0.6 ± 0.1 μM (IC50). The crystal structures showed that GRL0617 binds the S4-S3 subsites located around the active site (Fig. 7), forming two H-bonds (among Gln270, Asp165 and GRL0617) and a series of hydrophobic interactions to stabilize this conformation. Once bound, GRL0617 further induces the closure of blocking loop 2 and the movement of the side chain of Leu163, blocking access to the catalytic triad and inhibiting deubiquitination activity. In addition, Trp107 and Asn110 were identified as critical residues that support the stabilization of the oxy-anion hole of SARS-PLP. These observations are very important for the rational design of novel PLP inhibitors.

In subsequent studies [70], more potent related derivatives of GRL0617 were identified, in which compound GRL-0667 exerted the strongest inhibitory effect, with an IC50 of 0.32 μM. Based on an analysis of the crystal structure (Fig. 8), the binding modes of GRL0617 and GRL-0667 in the hydrophobic pocket are quite different. In particular, for GRL0617, blocking loop 2 shifts away from the inhibitor, resulting in the disruption of the H-bond between Gln270 and the inhibitor. Instead, an H-bond between Tyr269, which is a conserved amino acid residue among CoVs, and GRL-0667 forms. In contrast, the naphthyl rings of both GRL0617 and GRL-0667 are aligned in a similar manner. Compound 7724772 was further optimized by Ghosh et al [71] based on a structure-activity analysis, leading to the discovery of compound 24 and compound 2 (Fig. 9). As shown in Fig. 10, the 5-amino-2-methylphenyl ring is located exactly at the entrance of the substrate-binding sites, and the methyl branch forms several weak hydrophobic interactions (shown as light pink dotted lines). Moreover, the two H-bonds formed between the carboxamide group and Asp165 and Gln270 effectively stabilize the binding of compound 24. Therefore, the extension of the methyl branch further into the binding pocket would theoretically strengthen these interactions. The resulting compound 2 with 5-methylamine substituents on the benzamide ring exhibits a slight improvement in inhibitory potency, which was estimated to be caused by the additional H-bonds formed between 5-methylamine and Gln270 and/or Tyr269. Moreover, based on quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) studies, a 3D-QSAR model with the CoMSIA descriptors was provided as $y = 0.795 + 0.815x$ ($R^2 = 0.9811$, y indicates the predicted inhibition, x indicates the actual inhibition). This approach is believed to be a reliable guide for the rational design or lead optimization of novel PLP inhibitors through structure-based drug design.

VI Second-generation naphthalene inhibitors

In previous studies, GRL-0667 and compound 24 were successfully designed as potent inhibitors of SARS-CoV PLP. Among the chemical structures, the methyl group at the stereogenic center plays a critical role in the inhibitory potency. Although these two compounds were proven to be highly efficient inhibitors with low toxicity in SARS-CoV-infected Vero E6 cells, they were unable to satisfy the clinical requirements, such as inhibitory potency and physicochemical properties. Further chemical optimization of GRL-0667 led to the discovery of several more promising inhibitors, including the most potent inhibitor, compound 3k (Fig. 11) [72]. In particular, compounds 3e and 5c, although they were less potent, both exhibit significantly improved metabolic stability and are proposed to be better candidates for further drug development.

Based on the corresponding crystal structures, the binding modes of compounds 15 g, 3k and 3 j are quite similar, except for the position of the carboxamide nitrogen of compound 15 g, which exhibits a 1.14-Å difference compared to compound 3k (Fig. 12(1)). Although the interaction of compound 3k with PLP is almost the same as that of compound 3 j and PLP, the stronger inhibitory activity of compound 3k is presumed to be caused by the stronger interactions (e.g., van der Waals interactions) between m-fluorobenzene and the side chains of Tyr269 and Gln270. This explanation is plausible because the orientation of m-fluorobenzene in compound 3k is exactly facing the region formed by Tyr269 and Gln270 (Fig. 12(2)), which are two key residues associated with inhibitor binding.

IV Thiopurine compounds

Thiopurine compounds are purine analogs with widespread applications in various therapies, such as cancer treatment. In particular, 6-mercaptopurine (6 M P) and 6-thioguanine (6 TG) were reported to display a broad spectrum of activities, including efficacy in the treatment of children with acute lymphoblastic or myeloblastic leukemia or SARS-CoV infection [73]. In addition, 6 M P and 6 TG also target MERS-CoV PLP synergistically as competitive inhibitors [74]. According to a docking study, 6 M P is capable of binding the catalytic triad of MERS-CoV PLP and is stabilized by three H-bonds with Asn109, His278
and Gly277. Therefore, as promising lead compounds, further optimization of 6 MP and 6 TG to improve their potency will be very important in the development of potent inhibitors of PLPs.

V Other important inhibitors

HTS is a traditional strategy for the discovery and screening of novel hits in the initial phase of drug discovery. As an HTS method, a yeast-based assay was recently developed for rapid and efficient screening of PLP inhibitors [75]. In this method, the expressed PLP would lead to a remarkably slow growth phenotype in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and inhibitors capable of relieving the inhibition caused by PLP and restoring cell growth would be clearly identified with this assay. With this method, compound NSC158362 was identified to specifically and potently inhibit SARS-CoV replication in cell culture without producing cytotoxicity. However, NSC158362 does not inhibit PLP, exhibiting no effect on protease activity or deubiquitination activity, which is believed to be a new antiviral mechanism. Compound NSC158011 was determined to inhibit PLP in a cell-based assay but was unable to inhibit viral replication.

2.3. RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)

2.3.1. Analyses of the structure and function of RdRp

The RNA polymerase of RNA viruses represents an attractive target for the development of novel antiviral drugs that inhibit RNA synthesis. In coronavirus, NSP 12, an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, is the most conserved protein and functions as a central enzyme associated with viral replication/transcription [76,77]. However, due to the lack of sufficient amounts of highly purified proteins, few crystal structures of CoV NSP 12 are available, and only two cryo-EM (cryo-electron microscopy) structures (PDB ID: 6NUS and 6NUR) [78] have been determined and deposited in the RCSB PDB (https://www.rcsb.org/). Previously, the SARS-CoV RdRp NSP 12 was reported to be a nonprocessive primer-dependent RNA polymerase [79]; however, NSP 7 and NSP 8, two essential cofactors, activate and confer processivity to NSP 12 [80]. The NSP 7-NSP 8 dimer was recently shown to be located too far from the NSP 12 active site (PDB ID: 6M71 and 6YYT) to function as a primase for NSP 12 [81,82]. Recently, Wang et al. [83] provided cryo-EM structures of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA polymerase in complexes with RNA during the RNA translocation process (PDB ID: 7BZF and 7C2K), which showed that the NSP 12/NSP 7/NSP 8 complex would undergo structural rearrangements to accommodate nucleic acid binding.

Currently, the complex (NSP 12/NSP 7/NSP 8) with RNA synthesis and processing activities represents the minimal elements required for nucleotide polymerization, and polymerase activity is strictly dependent on Mn$^{2+}$ [76]. In addition to the NSP 12/NSP 7/NSP 8 complex, other NSPs associated with RNA processing activity have also been identified, including a 3′-5′ exonuclease in the N-terminal domain of NSP 14 and an endoribonuclease in NSP 15 [77]. However, another study showed that the SARS-CoV-2 NSP 7-NSP 8 complex might have different functions, for example, it may function as a processivity factor, primase or nspl2 activating factor [84]. The crystal structures of endoribonuclease NendoU from SARS-CoV-2 have been already determined [85], and as a hexamer, the structure is homologous to those from SARS- and MERS-CoVs. It is also suggested that inhibitors of SARS-CoV Nsp15 are probably capable of inhibiting the protein from SARS-CoV-2. In a previous study [78], the structure of NSP 12 (Fig. 13) was clearly shown to be composed of a nidovirus-unique N-terminal extension (NE) and a C-terminal polymerase domain. The NE domain (referred to as nidovirus RdRp-associated nucleotidyltransferase (NiRAN)) is regarded as a nidoviral signature domain, and the region has been further divided into three conserved subdomains (A$_N$, B$_N$, and C$_N$) based on a sequence analysis [86]. The functions of the highly flexible nidovirus-unique extension have not been investigated thoroughly, but this NE is estimated to have nucleotidylation activity essential for the replication of nidoviruses. Several conserved residues (e.g., Lys94, Arg124, Phe166) have been proven to play critical roles in influencing UTP/GTP binding; therefore, inhibiting the activities of these residues would be another promising strategy for the development of anti-CoV drugs. The core polymerase complex (NSP 12/NSP 7/NSP 8, 7BW4) from SARS-CoV-2 has been determined and closely resembles its counterpart in SARS-CoV, exhibiting similar conserved motifs and an activation mechanism catalyzed by cofactors [87]. However, biochemical studies revealed that it showed a lower enzymatic activity and reduced thermostability compared with the SARS-CoV counterpart.

The polymerase domain is usually described as a “cupped right hand” and is specifically composed of a finger domain, a palm domain, and a thumb domain. In addition, CoV NSP 12 also contains two metal (zinc)-binding sites. Each domain is usually responsible for different activities, such as the binding of templates and NTPs, initiation, and elongation [88]. Sequence analysis of the CoV NSP 12 family reveals that the residues involved in metal binding, substrate transport,
polymerase active sites and the NE are all highly conserved. The substrate (template and nucleotide)-binding and catalytic sites are composed of seven conserved motif regions (A–G, shown in Fig. 14), in which motifs A–E are responsible for RNA polymerization, motif F is capable of NTP binding, and motif G is used for template binding (Fig. 14(2)). The polymerase active site (Ser-Asp-Asp) is conserved in all nidoviruses and located in motif C.

Thr680 and Asn691 in motif B and Asp623 in motif A facilitate NTP binding through H-bonds. In addition, Val557 in motif F might also contribute to base pairing and modulate polymerase fidelity [89]. Several important conserved residues in NSP 8 (Pro183, Arg190 and Lys58) have also been reported to be essential for the survival of viruses, and three residues in NSP 7 (Lys7, His36, and Asn37) play a role in the RNA binding of the polymerase complex [80]. All the critical residues mentioned above could be targeted for the development of inhibitors of viral RNA replication.

2.3.2. Inhibitors of RdRp

I Remdesivir (RDV; GS-5734)

Remdesivir is a phosphoramide (1'-cyano-substituted adenosine nucleotide analog) prodrug developed for the treatment of Ebola virus (EC_{50} = 86 nM) [90] that shows broad-spectrum antiviral activities against Ebola virus, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV [91, 92]. RDV was designed to transport nucleoside monophosphates into host cells for the efficient formation of active triphosphates. The active triphosphates delivered by RDV show great advantages, specifically potent...
RdRp-inhibitory effects, high selectivity, and a long intracellular half-life. Once incorporated at position I, RVV will compete with natural NTPs, arresting RNA synthesis at position I + 3 and thereby delaying RNA chain termination [93]. Another study [94] showed that inhibitor (remdesivir-MP) binding at position I did not affect the ensuing nucleotide incorporation event at position I + 1, with an ~6-fold inhibition observed at this position. However, chain termination was identified predominantly at position I + 5, which might not be overcome by higher substrate concentrations. Moreover, RVV is likely protected from excision performed by the proofreading activity of the 3′-5′ exonuclease (NSP 14) [95].

An analysis of the structure-activity relationship revealed that the 1′-CN group and C-linked nucleobase of RVV might play critical roles in the potent anti-Ebola virus (EBOV) potency and selectivity of RVV [96]. Moreover, recent studies provided a structural basis for the mechanism by which remdesivir inhibits the RdRp from SARS-CoV-2 [97, 98]. As shown in Fig. 15, the partial double-stranded RNA template inserts into the central channel of the RdRp, and remdesivir forms a covalent bond with the primer strand at the first replicated base pair (indicate by the green arrow), terminating further chain elongation.

II 6′-Fluorinated-aristeromycin analogs

The 6′-fluorinated aristeromycins are a series of multifunctional antiviral compounds that inhibit both the RdRp of the virus and the S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (SAH) hydrolase of host cells [99]. Inhibition of SAH hydrolase indirectly blocks methyltransferases (MTases) via feedback inhibition, which disturbs the normal capping of viral RNA catalyzed by MTases [100,101]. (-)-Aristeromycin is a natural carbocyclic nucleoside that is a type I SAH hydrolase inhibitor, and it displays potent antiviral activity but severe cytotoxicity. Therefore, it was used as a lead compound and optimized to produce novel dual-function drugs (Fig. 16). Given the substantial effect of the fluorine introduced at the 6′-position of carbocyclic nucleosides, several 6′-fluorinated-aristeromycin analogs have been designed and synthesized with fluorine introduced at the 6′-position. In addition, 6′-fluorinated purine and pyrimidine nucleosides and a phosphoramidate produg (3) were also synthesized for drug development. The results obtained for these molecules showed that all the adenosine derivatives potently inhibit the activity of SAH hydrolase; however, none of the pyrimidine analogs inhibit SAH hydrolase. The most potent inhibitor was determined to be 6′-β-fluoraristeromycin (2a, IC_{50} = 0.37 μM), the activity of which was 3.6-fold higher than that of (-)-aristeromycin (IC_{50} = 1.32 μM). The difference in the inhibitory effect between compounds 2a and 2b reveals that the stereochemistry at the 6′-position may substantially affect the inhibitory activity. Although none of the phosphoramidate produgs inhibited SAH hydrolase, the adenosine produg an exhibited certain antiviral activities (EC_{50} = 6.8 μM for SARS-CoV). Thus, compound 3a would probably inhibit the RdRps of RNA viruses.

III Ribavirin

Ribavirin (1-β-D-ribofuransyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide) is another nucleoside analog with broad-spectrum antiviral activity that displays inhibitory activity against various RNA viruses [102-104], such as the influenza virus, HCV, RSV, HSV, and CoVs. Although it has been approved for use in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 [105], ribavirin has been shown to display limited efficacy at very high doses in previous studies [104]. Given this limited efficacy, the combination of ribavirin and other antivirals, such as interferon-α2b [106], telaprevir and boceprevir [107] or favipiravir, is usually effective. Moreover, the incorporated ribavirin 5′-monophosphate is easily excised from RNA due to the effect of the 3′-5′ exonuclease (ExoN) [108]. Therefore, in the development of efficient RdRp inhibitors, a promising strategy is to simultaneously inhibit RdRp and ExoN activities, particularly with nucleoside analogs.

Although ribavirin was identified in 1970 [109], its mechanism of action is still not completely understood, and the mechanisms of action of ribavirin appear to be quite different for various viral pathogens. First, as a nucleoside analog, ribavirin may play an important role in inhibiting viral replication by directly inhibiting the activity of HCV RdRPs and disturbing the cellular enzyme IMP dehydrogenase [110]. Second, ribavirin functions as an immunomodulatory agent, promoting interferon signaling [111] and the type 1 cytokine-mediated immune response [112]. Third, ribavirin also functions as a mutagen for HCV and hepatitis E virus, leading to mismatches and subsequent nucleotide substitutions in the viral genome [113,114]. In addition, when used to treat Lassa virus (LASV) infection, ribavirin protects infected cells from dying, probably by modulating the inflammatory response [115]. Various crystal structures of ribavirin in complex with RdRps from different viral pathogens have been released. The crystal structure 3SFU (PDB ID, Fig. 17) shows the complex of murine norovirus-1 RdRp with ribavirin in the absence of RNA [116], which illustrates the key interactions involved in recognition of this nucleoside analog within the active site of RdRp. Ribavirin is mainly stabilized by the H-bonds formed between the drug and Asp346/Asn312/H2O. In addition, hydrophobic interactions are also observed between Ser303, Thr309 and Gly345 and ribavirin. Due to the absence of RNA, the interactions identified are associated with the recognition of the nucleoside analogs that block the active site of the viral RdRp, which is expected to be helpful for the design of nucleoside-based inhibitors.

Based on the crystal structures of the RdRp of foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) in complex with different substrates and template-primer molecules, key interactions associated with viral RNA replication have

![Fig. 17. The crystal structure of murine norovirus-1 RdRp in complex with ribavirin (PDB ID: 3SFU).](image-url)
been determined, which provide insights into the mechanism underlying the low fidelity of viral RdRps [117]. As shown in Fig. 18, RTP (ribavirin triphosphate) is located adjacent to the 3′ terminus of the primer. The position of the RTP base is further stabilized by H-bonds with Ala243, Asp245, Asp338 and Asn307 (within the loop β9-α11) and hydrophilic interactions with Arg168 and Arg179. Clearly, RTP functions as an adenylate analog that base pairs to the acceptor base U4 of the template strand. This action may be caused by the flexible loop β9-α11, which is

![Fig. 18. The crystal structure of RdRp from foot-and-mouth disease virus in complex with RTP and template-primer molecules (PDB ID: 2E9R).](image)

![Fig. 19. Structural comparisons of the mutant RdRp (PDB ID: 6GVY) and the wild-type enzyme (PDB ID: 2E9R, 1WNE) in complex with ribavirin-TP.](image)

![Fig. 20. Interaction mode of favipiravir in the active site of HGPRT (PDB ID: 4KN6).](image)
believed to be capable of adapting its conformation to different template bases to allow the entry of various nucleotides during the RNA elongation process.

Domingo et al. [118] investigated the functions of the nuclear localization signal (NLS) in the N-terminal region of the RdRp of FMDV. An M16A mutant of FMDV RdRp showed approximately normal polymerase activity; however, the RTP incorporation rate was obviously increased. Therefore, although the mutant virus was capable of replication, it would become more sensitive to ribavirin due to the decreased replication fidelity of RdRp. Through structural comparisons of the mutant RdRp (PDB ID: 6GVY) and the wild-type enzyme (PDB ID: 2E9R and 1WNE), the M16A mutation was shown to lead to the formation of a wider pocket/channel, contributing to the efficient entry and displacement of different nucleotides (e.g., RMP) at position T-2 (Fig. 19(1–3)).

This change is believed to be associated with the altered replication fidelity of RdRps. Interestingly, the conformation of S298 (“in/up” or “in/down”, (Fig. 19(4)) is closely related to the status of RTP incorporation, which may be a structural signature of the lethal mutagenesis of FMDVs.

IV Favipiravir (T-705)

Favipiravir (T-705) is a selective and potent inhibitor of the RdRp of RNA viruses that was discovered by Toyama Chemical Co., Ltd., for the treatment of influenza virus [119] through the chemical modification of a pyrazine analog. Favipiravir is a broad-spectrum inhibitor that is effective against most influenza viruses (including drug-resistant influenza [120]) and some other RNA viruses [121–124]. However, due to the risk of teratogenicity and embryotoxicity, it is approved for only restricted clinical use against NAI-resistant pandemic influenza viruses in Japan [125].

Similar to other nucleoside drugs, favipiravir is transported intracellularly and is first converted into its ribose-5'-monophosphate form (T-705-RMP), which is catalyzed by hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT) [126]. Then, T-705-RMP is further processed into the final ribofuranosyl 5'-triphosphate metabolite (T-705-RTP), which is recognized by RNA polymerase as a substrate that competes with GTP, leading to the inhibition of viral RNA synthesis and induction of lethal mutagenesis within the viral genome [127]. A recent study showed that the T-705-modified single-stranded RNA associated with virus nucleoprotein might result in nucleotide splaying [128]. However, the mechanism underlying the activation of T-705 remains unknown, and further rational design or structural optimization of T-705 may be focused on the target of HGPRT. Based on the crystal structure of T-705-RMP in complex with human HGPRT (Fig. 20) [126], the fluorine at the 6’-position is required for T-705-RMP antiviral activity and acts by interacting with Lys68 of HGPRT through an H-bond. In addition, the amide at the 3’-position is also necessary because it binds to Lys165 and

Sofosbuvir (GS-7977) is a potent HCV-specific uridine nucleotide analog inhibitor of the HCV polymerase NS5B [129–132]. However, according to recent studies, it may also exert an inhibitory effect on HCoVs, such as SARS-CoV-2 [133,134]. Sofosbuvir is part of the first class of direct-acting antivirals approved for the treatment of HCV infection in either IFNα-containing regimens or particularly IFNα-free regimens (e.g., velpatasvir/sofosbuvir [135,136], ledipasvir/sofosbuvir [137], and simeprevir/sofosbuvir [138]). Once activated by human histidine triad nucleotide binding protein 1 (hHInt1) [139], the released nucleoside monophosphate undergoes further phosphorylation, forming the final uridine triphosphate analog as a chain terminator of RdRp [140]. The final product shows a panentotypic antiviral effect with high sustained virological response (SVR) rates, minor side effects, a high barrier to resistance, and a low potential for drug-drug interactions (DDIs).

Although crystal structures showing the interaction of sofosbuvir with the RdRps of hCoVs have not been reported, other antiviral targets have been investigated. Appleby et al. explored the RNA replication mechanism catalyzed by hepatitis C virus polymerase and key interactions of inhibitors (sofosbuvir) in the active site of NS5B, the RdRp (Fig. 21) [141]. The authors found that the highly conserved residues in the active site are capable of stabilizing the primer for in-line attack on the accepted nucleotide; furthermore, a β loop and a C-terminal membrane-anchoring linker are responsible for occluding the active site cavity in the apo state. During elongation, this linker would retract during primed initiation assembly to enforce replication of the HCV genome from the 3’ terminus and vacate the active site cavity. 
2.4. Methyltransferases (MTases)

Similar to the cellular messenger RNAs of higher eukaryotes, coronavirus viral genomic RNA also possesses a cap moeity at the 5' end, which would protect it from degradation by 5'-3' exoribonucleases, improve its stability and ensure its efficient translation [101, 142, 143]. The 5' guanosine cap is usually formed by methylation at the N-7 and 2'-O positions mediated by specific MTases, such as guanine-N7-methyltransferase (N7-MTase, NSP 14) [144] and 2'-O-methyltransferase (2'-OMTase, NSP 16) [145]. N-7 methylation is essential for RNA translation, and S-adenosyl-l-methionine (SAM) is usually the methyl group donor of both N7-MTase and 2'-OMTase. Based on the sequence alignment, NSP 10 and NSP 16 are highly conserved among lineage B of the β coronaviruses [146].

Coronavirus NSP 14 was previously shown to possess 3’-to-5’ exoribonuclease activity [147], but detailed studies have shown that NSP 14 is a bifunctional enzyme and that the N7-MTase domain is located in the C-terminus of NSP 14 [148]. Moreover, the exoribonuclease domain is closely associated with the N7-MTase activity. The structural evidence for N7-MTase activity is relatively limited, and crystal structures of the SARS-CoV NSP 14-NSP 10 complex have been generated (Fig. 22) [149]. NSP 10 interacts with the ExoN domain to stabilize NSP 14, which is a DEDDh-type exoribonuclease, and the DEEDh motif (Asp90, Glu92, Asp243, His268, and Asp273) is responsible for nucleotide excision. Another characteristic that distinguishes NSP 14 from other proofreading exoribonucleases is the presence of two zinc fingers, which are essential for the normal function of NSP 14. The N7-MTase domain contains a special MTase fold composed of five β-strands, including the parallel [β2’, β1’, β3’, and β4’ strands and the antiparallel [β8’ strand (Fig. 23). Substrates are positioned in a highly constricted pocket surrounded by sheets [β1 and β2 and helix α1’. Among the residues associated with the binding of SAH and GPPPA (guanosine-P3-adenosine-5’, 5’-triphasphate), Phe426 and Asn386 play a relatively critical role in determining N7-MTase activity.

NSP 16 is an m7GpppA-specific, SAM-dependent (nucleoside-2’-O-)methyltransferase that is active only in the presence of NSP 10 [150]. The 2’-OMTase activity of coronavirus RNA is essential during the viral life cycle and is mainly involved in preventing host recognition and dampening the immune response. To date, various crystal structures of the 2’-OMTase of hCoVs have been identified, such as the crystal structures of complexes of the protein (PDB ID: 3R24, 2XYV, 6YZ1, and 6WVN) with different substrates. In addition, the structures of the 2’-OMTase complex with NSP 10 and different ligands have been determined and deposited in the RCSB PDB (https://www.rcsb.org/) under PDB IDs: 6W4H, 6WQK, 6WQ3, 6WKS, 6WG1, and PDBj (https://bmrdep.pdbj.org/) among others. The crystal structure of the SARS-CoV-2 2’-O-methyltransferase complex with SAM and NSP 10 was deposited under PDB ID: 6W4H [146] and 6WKS [151]. Similarly, in the complex (Fig. 24), two zinc fingers were observed on one side of a central antiparallel pair of β-strands of NSP 10. The structure of NSP 16 is comprised of a Rossmann-like β-sheet folding including eleven α-helices, seven β-strands, and loops, particularly the highly conserved KDKE motif (Lys46, Asp130, Lys170, and Glu203) required for methyl transfer (KDRE). The SAM binding site is coordinated by the critical residues Lys46, Asp130, Lys170, and Glu203. The binding of SAM promotes the normal assembly of the enzymatically active NSP 10/NSP 16 complex by converting m7GpppG RNA into m7GpppG2’Om RNA, and the subsequent release of SAH further accelerates NSP 10/NSP 16 dissociation, thereby stimulating reaction turnover. In the complex of 6WKS [151], large conformational changes were discovered as the enzyme transitioned from a binary form to a ternary form during substrate binding.

Due to their critical roles in virus replication/transcription, MTases are therefore viewed as promising targets for the development of novel antivirals, which has led to the discovery of various promising inhibitors [152–154]. However, the development of antiviral drugs that target coronavirus MTases has been slow. In a previous study [155], a yeast-based system was developed for the discovery of coronavirus N7-MTase inhibitors by screening 3000 compounds, and three natural product extracts (PF35468, PA48202 and PA48523) with potent inhibitory effects on coronavirus N7-MTase were identified. In this study, the yeast-based system was composed of three yeast strains with yeast, human, or coronavirus N7-MTase activity and was proven to be an
effective tool for the discovery of specific inhibitors of coronavirus N7-MTase.

In a study by Wang et al. [156], a peptide (TP29, YGRKRRQRDRGSGYGAICYCVRVHHPVVDGLCLKGK) was designed based on the sequence of the interaction domain of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) NSP 10. Based on the results of biochemical assays, TP29 suppressed the 2'-O-MTase activity of different coronaviruses and inhibited viral replication. In particular, TP29 also increased type I interferon production to impair viral virulence and pathogenesis. In addition, sinefungin (a GTP-analog ribavirin triphosphate, IC₅₀ = 0.34 mM) and SAH (S-adenosyl-homocysteine, IC₅₀ = 0.63 mM) inhibited 2'-O MTase [157]. In another study [158], a high-throughput screening strategy based on homogenous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF®) was developed for the identification of viral cap-methyltransferase inhibitors based on the NSP 14 protein. With this method, 2000 molecules were tested, which led to the discovery of 20 hits with inhibitory effects on SARS-CoV NSP 14. Among these hits, compound 1426 and compound 1498 showed the most potent inhibitory effects, with IC₅₀ values ranging from 0.019 μM to 0.74 μM.

2.5. Other important NSPs that have been identified

I SARS-CoV-2 NSP 9 replicase

During the infection of human cells, NSP 9 from SARS-CoV is essential for replication and virulence [159], and it is probably widespread in numerous coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV-2. Recently, the structure of NSP 9 from SARS-CoV-2 was identified and solved (6W9Q and 6WXD) [160], showing 97% sequence identity with NSP 9 from SARS-CoV. Active CoV NSP 9 usually functions by forming a homodimer through interactions across a hydrophobic interface and is composed of a conserved α-helical GxxxG interaction motif that is directly associated with viral replication [161,162]. Although the detailed interactions with the cofactors of the RNA polymerase have not been determined, the disruption of the dimer interface is presumed to be important for the development of drugs targeting viral replication during infection. In a study by Chandel et al. [163], structure-based drug repurposing of FDA-approved drugs against the NSP 9 replicase and S proteins of SARS-CoV-2 led to the identification of conviaptan and tegobuvir. These findings are important for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infections, but further in vitro and in vivo validation and evaluations are indispensable.

II NSP 1 of SARS-CoV-2

NSP 1 is a major virulence factor of SARS-CoVs that suppresses host gene expression by interacting with the ribosome [164,165]. NSP 1 from SARS-CoV-2 was recently shown to be capable of binding to the 40S ribosomal subunit, leading to the inhibition of messenger RNA translation and the subsequent blockade of host protein production [166]. A further structural analysis confirmed that the C-terminus of NSP 1 rigidly binds inside the mRNA entry channel (6ZLW and 6ZNS). In a very recent study [167], a bipartite mechanism of action was suggested for NSP 1. First, the inhabitation of the host ribosome is achieved by a direct interaction of its C-terminal domain with the 40S ribosomal subunit. Then, this inhibition is relieved via a direct interaction of its N-terminal domain with the 5′ untranslated region of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA. This finding is critical for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2, indicating that NSP 1 and the 5′ untranslated region might be promising targets for anti-SARS-CoV-2 therapeutics. In a study by Meneses et al. [168], virtual screening was used to discover potential inhibitors of NSP 1 from the DrugBank database. Although these results have not been validated in vitro and in vivo, the three molecules (tirilazad, phthalocyanine, and Zk-806,450) obtained from this screen might be potential antiviral drugs.

III NSP 3 of SARS-CoV-2

The virus macro domain in NSP 3 is a widespread protein module with multiple functions, such as binding ADP-ribose [169] and the removal of mono (ADP-ribose) from protein [170]. Moreover, based on accumulating evidence, the macro domain might play a critical role in modulating host ADP-ribosylation. Recently, crystal structures of the SARS-CoV-2 macro domain were determined (7C33, 7CZ4 [171] and 6WEY [172]), and the macro domain displays mono-ADP-ribose (ADPR) cleavage enzyme activity by functioning as a poly-ADPR-binding module. Therefore, the macro domain might be a potential therapeutic target. In a very recent study [173], an optimized high-throughput assay combined with further hit prioritization was used to identify potential macro domain inhibitors. The obtained compound cefatrizine (cyclic adenosine monophosphate) was shown to display potent antiviral activity (EC₅₀ = 14 μM). Moreover, through an analysis of the structure of cAMP bound to the SARS-CoV-2 macro domain, scaffolds with a central phosphate (or diphosphate) or sulfate group might be capable of binding to the ADPR-binding cleft.

3. Conclusions and perspectives

Currently, an approved therapy is unavailable for the treatment of coronavirus infection; therefore, the development of novel, broad-spectrum, low-toxicity inhibitors of emerging and endemic CoVs is urgently needed. The identification of natural products with potent antiviral activities against specific CoVs is a routine but usually time-consuming task. Therefore, an efficient HTS strategy is very important, such as the use of a genetically engineered human CoV OC43 strain expressing Renilla luciferase [174]. With the application of an efficient HTS method, repurposing of approved drug libraries has become a promising strategy for the discovery of approved drugs with potent but unknown biological activities.

In addition, with the available structural information on drug-binding sites, structure-based drug discovery could be exploited for rational development of novel antiviral drugs. Therefore, the crystal structures of potential molecular targets are indispensable for identifying key molecular interactions. For hCoVs, the NSPs such as the protease (e.g., the main protease), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and methyltransferases, are usually required for the productive stage of the viral life cycle, and are considered potential anti-CoV targets. Drugs targeting these molecules, particularly their most conserved structural domains, might provide options for the development of broad-spectrum antivirals to treat coronavirus infection. However, general cytotoxicity, which is a potential side effect of interfering with normal metabolic pathways, should be noted. The development of drugs based on specific molecular targets will probably provide promising therapeutic solutions to combat CoV infections in the future.
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