The Menopause Alters Aerobic Adaptations to High-Intensity Interval Training
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ABSTRACT

NIO, A. Q. X, S. ROGERS, R. MYNORS-WALLIS, V. L. MEAH, J. M. BLACK, M. STEMBRIDGE, and E. J. STÖHR. The Menopause Alters Aerobic Adaptations to High-Intensity Interval Training. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc., Vol. 52, No. 10, pp. 2096–2106, 2020. Introduction: Postmenopausal women have lower resting cardiac function than premenopausal women, but whether the menopause influences maximal cardiac output and hence exercise capacity is unclear. It is possible that premenopausal and postmenopausal women achieve similar improvements in maximal aerobic capacity (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) and cardiac output with exercise training via different regional left ventricular muscle function (“LV mechanics”), as suggested by in vitro and animal studies. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of the menopause on LV mechanics and adaptations to exercise training. Methods: Twenty-five healthy untrained middle-age women (age, 45–58 yr; 11 premenopausal, 14 postmenopausal) completed 12 wk of exercise training. Before and after exercise training, (i) VO$_{2\text{max}}$ and blood volume were determined, and (ii) LV mechanics were assessed using echocardiography at rest and during two submaximal physiological tests — lower-body negative pressure and supine cycling. Results: The increase in VO$_{2\text{max}}$ after exercise training was 9% smaller in postmenopausal than premenopausal women, concomitant with a smaller increase in blood volume ($P < 0.05$). However, cardiac output and LV volumes were not different between premenopausal and postmenopausal women ($P > 0.05$) despite altered regional LV muscle function, as indicated by higher basal mechanics in premenopausal women during the physiological tests after exercise training ($P < 0.05$). Conclusions: These findings are the first to confirm altered LV mechanics in postmenopausal women. In addition, the reduced aerobic adaptability to exercise training in postmenopausal women does not appear to be a central cardiac limitation and may be due to altered blood volume distribution and lower peripheral adaptations. Key Words: MENOPAUSE, LEFT VENTRICAL MECHANICS, CARDIAC FUNCTION, EXERCISE TRAINING

Menopause is a normal part of a woman’s lifespan (1) and has been associated with a decline in resting cardiovascular function (2). These menopause-related effects include a concentric remodeling of the left ventricle (LV), lower diastolic function, and higher blood pressure (3–7). However, there has been no evidence in the existing literature that the menopause influences cardiovascular capacity, such as that during maximal exercise (8,9). It is, therefore, probable that premenopausal and postmenopausal women achieve similar cardiac outputs during daily activities that depend on cardiovascular capacity, but that they do so via different underlying cardiac function. This may be underpinned by a menopause-related surge in cardiac sympathetic nerve activity (10) interacting with a greater density of sympathetic nerve endings at the base of the LV than at the apex (11). Such differences may in turn result in different cardiac adaptations to exercise training in pre- and postmenopausal women, but these effects remain to be elucidated.

Traditionally, assessments of cardiac function have focused on heart rate, cardiac output and Doppler-derived indices of loading. However, the regional effects of sympathetic drive on the LV (11,12) suggest that differences in cardiac function between pre- and postmenopausal women may potentially manifest as differences in regional LV muscle function (“LV mechanics”). In the LV, myofiber alignment varies transmurally from a right-handed helix in the endocardium to a left-handed helix in the epicardium (13). This complex spiral architecture gives rise to opposing rotations at the LV base and apex during systole and diastole, enabling the in vivo measurement of LV mechanics. In addition to the influence of sympathetic drive on cardiac function, the withdrawal of estrogen after the menopause may also specifically affect regional LV muscle function. For example, in female rabbits, estrogen has been shown...
to selectively increase the L-type calcium current and the sodium–
calcium exchange current in epicardial myocytes excised from the
base of the LV, but not in endocardial myocytes excised from the
base nor from the apex (14,15). Because these calcium currents
influence the plateau phase of the cardiac action potential (14),
it is likely that the menopause influences both contraction and
relaxation of the basal epicardium, but the effects in vivo are
not known. These previously proposed effects of the menop-
opause on regional LV muscle function may, therefore, manifest
in vivo as differences in rotation at the base but not at the apex.

To determine the functional relevance of altered regional
LV muscle function due to the menopause, physiological tests
that probe cardiovascular function and capacity are required.
In this study, lower-body negative pressure (LBNP) and su-
pine cycling were used as physiological tests to investigate
the effects of exercise training on LV function and mechanics
in pre- and postmenopausal women. Maximal aerobic capacity
and blood volume were assessed to demonstrate conven-
tional adaptations to exercise training. We hypothesized that
pre- and postmenopausal women would show similar increases
in maximal aerobic capacity after exercise training, but with dif-
fences in underlying regional LV muscle function.

METHODS

Ethical Approval

All experimental procedures were approved by the Cardiff
Metropolitan University’s School of Sport Research Ethics
Committee and conformed to the ethical principles in the Decl-
ARATION OF Helsinki, except for registration in a database.
Before the start of any experimental procedures, all participants
provided written and verbal informed consent.

Study Design

Thirty-four healthy untrained middle-age (age, 45–58 yr)
women were recruited for a longitudinal study to investigate
the effects of the menopause on LV adaptations to exercise
training (15 premenopausal, 19 postmenopausal). Only non-
smoking, nondiabetic (self-reported) and normotensive healthy
volunteers who were not taking any cardiovascular or lipid-
lowering medications were recruited. These study participants
were a subset sample of our previous work investigating age-
related differences in resting LV structure, function, and me-
chanics in healthy men and women (6). Nine participants did
not complete this study: one participant withdrew from the
study citing discomfort from the ultrasound transducer pressing
on her chest during echocardiographic imaging, six participants
withdrew because of personal commitments or the onset of ill-
nesses not related to this study, and two participants were re-
ferred to a cardiologist upon observation of ectopics and were
excluded from further tests as a precautionary measure.
Twenty-five women thereby complied with the exercise train-
ing intervention and completed all the laboratory tests (11 pre-
menopausal and 14 postmenopausal; 74% of the initial 34
participants who enrolled in this study). A priori power analyses
(G*Power, Version 3.1.9.2; [16]) using data from previous
studies with similar exercise training interventions (17,18)
indicated that our sample size of at least 11 women in each
group would be sufficient to detect an increase in maximal
oxygen uptake with at least 0.8 statistical power at a
significance level of 0.05. In addition, sensitivity power analyses
using the same statistical power and significance level indicated
that a sample size of at least 8 in each group would enable the
detection of moderate to large effect sizes (f > 0.24), thereby
accounting for the typical exclusion of participants due to poor
echocardiographic image quality (such as with LV mechanics).

Baseline cardiorespiratory fitness. Participants were
asked on a questionnaire about their current frequency and mo-
dality of sporting activity, if any. Only sedentary or recreationally
active women (<3 d vigorous exercise/week; [19]) were in-
cluded in this study.

Menopausal criteria. Our recruitment was targeted to include
only distinctly pre- or postmenopausal women, and perimenopausal
women were excluded from this study. The premenopausal
women were characterized as having regular menstrual cycles
ranging from 21 to 35 d in length without a persistent difference
of more than 7 d between consecutive cycles (1), and had not
used oral contraceptives in the preceding 4 months. Postmeno-
pausal women were identified by at least 12 consecutive
months of amenorrhea (1), which had not been induced by sur-
gery (e.g., hysterectomy). None of the postmenopausal women
had used hormone replacement therapy (HRT) in the preceding
6 months. Postmenopausal women were, on average, 6 yr older
than the premenopausal women (Table 1), and thus we adjusted
for age in our statistical analyses (by using age as a covariate).

Overview of laboratory tests. Participants visited the
laboratory for a series of physiological tests before and after
12 wk of high-intensity aerobic interval training (Fig. 1). Se-
parated by at least 24 h, these laboratory tests consisted of (i) an
aerobic capacity test on an upright cycle ergometer, (ii) an aer-
ocapacity test on a supine cycle ergometer, (iii) the mea-
surement of total hemoglobin mass and blood volume using
the 2-min carbon monoxide (CO)-rebreathing method, and
(iv) echocardiographic images for LV function and mechanics
at rest, during ∼15 and ∼30 mm Hg LBNP, and during 20%,
40% and 60% peak supine cycling.

Exercise Training Intervention

High-intensity aerobic intervals on an upright cycle ergom-
eter (Monark 824E, Varberg, Sweden) were used in this study,
to maximize the likelihood of cardiorespiratory adaptations to
exercise training (17,20). The exercise training intervention
was supervised by a schedule of trained exercise researchers.
Each exercise session consisted of a 10-min warm-up,
4 × 4-min intervals at 90% to 95% maximum heart rate
(HRmax; RS400, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland) separated
by 3-min active recovery at >60% HRmax, and a 5-min cool-
down (total duration 40 min) (20). Individualized HRmax
was determined from the aerobic capacity test on the upright
cycle ergometer. The researcher on-site encouraged participants
to reach 90% HRmax within the first 2 min of each 4-min interval. There were one to six participants in each exercise session. No distinction was made between pre- and postmenopausal women in the prescription of the exercise stimulus, as they trained together and were given the same instructions by the exercise instructor in each session. Three exercise sessions per week were strongly recommended, over a consecutive period of 12 wk. All participants undertook at least 70% of the total number of sessions, equivalent to at least 8 wk of exercise training to improve aerobic fitness (21) (number of sessions attended: postmenopausal 33 ± 3 vs postmenopausal 33 ± 4, t test P = 0.96; time ≥90% HRmax per session: premenopausal 9.2 ± 1.7 min vs premenopausal 8.3 ± 1.5 min, t test P = 0.14). The exercise training intervention was generally well tolerated with no adverse events.

**Aerobic Capacity Tests**

To ensure that participants were euhydrated and well-rested for all of the physiological tests, they were asked to abstain from caffeine, alcohol and strenuous exercise for 24 h, but on the supine cycle ergometer. The test workload on the supine cycle ergometer (Angio 2003; Lode) on separate days to determine maximal aerobic capacity (VO2max) and peak power output (Wpeak). All participants achieved RERmax of ≥1.15 on the upright cycle ergometer and ≥1.05 on the supine cycle ergometer (22), with no evidence of differences between pre- and postmenopausal women (P > 0.05).

The aerobic capacity test on the upright cycle ergometer was individualized using age, height and body mass (23), with the test workload programmed to increase from 0 W to predicted Wpeak in 10 min. Respiratory gas exchange (Oxycon Pro; Viasys Healthcare, Basingstoke, UK) and heart rate were monitored and recorded throughout the test. After a self-selected recovery period, participants were familiarized with the supine cycle ergometer. On a separate day, participants completed another aerobic capacity test, but on the supine cycle ergometer. The test workload on the supine cycle ergometer was programmed to increase from 0 W to 80% of each individual’s measured upright Wpeak in 10 min.

After 12 wk of exercise training, participants’ VO2max were reassessed on both upright and supine cycle ergometers. The

### TABLE 1. Demographics, aerobic capacity and hematological parameters in pre- and postmenopausal women before and after exercise training (Trg).

| Parameter | Premenopausal (n = 11) | Premenopausal (n = 14) | P |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Age (yr)* | 49 (2)                 | 55 (2)                 | <0.01 |
| Height (cm)* | 161.1 (6.2)        | 163.3 (3.6)           | 0.27 |
| Body mass (kg) | 63.4 (10.5)       | 62.4 (9.9)            | 0.19 |
| Hematological parameters | | | |
| tHb mass (g) | 535 (108)         | 541 (105)*            | 0.02 |
| Blood volume (mL) | 4401 (858)     | 4601 (846)*           | 0.07 |
| tHb mass, total hemoglobin mass. |

Values are in mean (SD).

*Student’s t tests to compare age and height in pre- and postmenopausal women before exercise training.

* P < 0.05 compared with values before training. Statistical effects with P < 0.05 are highlighted in bold.
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increments in workload during the aerobic capacity tests were increased so that participants would still achieve their $W_{peak}$ in approximately 10 min, based on an expected 18% improvement in VO$_{2max}$ after exercise training (17).

**Total Hemoglobin Mass and Blood Volume**

After 15 min of seated rest, total hemoglobin mass and blood volume were measured using the optimized 2-min CO-rebreathing technique (SpiCO®; Blood tec GbR, Bayreuth, Germany; [24,25]). Participants were familiarized with the protocol and equipment before starting the procedure. Our percentage typical error was 1.0% for measuring total hemoglobin mass, and 1.3% for blood volume (assessed separately in 10 volunteers who completed the 2-min CO-rebreathing protocol on two different days; calculated as standard deviation of the percentage difference of two repeated measurements on 10 volunteers divided by $\sqrt{2}$).

**Measures of Cardiovascular Function**

Blood pressure (FinometerPRO, FMS; Finapres Measurement Systems, Arnhem, Netherlands) and echocardiographic images were recorded at 0, −15, and −30 mm Hg LBNP, and at 0%, 20%, 40%, and 60% peak supine cycling, with 30 min of rest between the end of LBNP and the start of supine cycling. Participants lay supine at a 30° left lateral tilt for all measurements. Echocardiographic images were acquired in accordance with guidelines at the start of data collection for this study (January 2013), at end-expiration and by the same trained sonographer (26,27). A phased array transducer (4V, 1.7–3.3 MHz) was used on a commercially available ultrasound system (Vivid E9; GE Vingmed Ultrasound AS, Horten, Norway), and images were analyzed offline for LV function and mechanics (EchoPAC, Version 112; GE Healthcare, Horten, Norway). Transducer positions during resting measurements were temporarily marked on the participant’s chest to assist the rapid relocation of similar acoustic windows during LBNP and supine cycling, during which images were further optimized and confirmed with anatomic landmarks. Three consecutive cardiac cycles were analyzed for each variable and the mean was used for statistical analyses.

**Left ventricular structure and function.** End-diastolic and end-systolic volumes (EDV and ESV, respectively) were determined from triplane images of the same heartbeats. Heart rate was determined from the ECG inherent to the ultrasound. Stroke volume (SV = EDV − ESV), ejection fraction (SV/EDV × 100), cardiac output (HR × SV), and systemic vascular resistance (mean arterial pressure/cardioid output) were then calculated.

**Left ventricular mechanics.** Rotation and rotational velocity were assessed using 2D speckle tracking of the myocardium in the parasternal short-axis images at the LV base and apex, in line with previous methodology (28). To account for differences in heart rate between and within participants, raw data were smoothed with cubic spline interpolation to generate 1200 data points, with 600 points each for systole and diastole (2D Strain Analysis Tool 1.0/014, Stuttgart, Germany) (28). Twist and twisting velocity curves were calculated by subtracting time-aligned basal data from apical data, and peak values in systole and early diastole were extracted from interpolated curves. Due to poor image quality in some participants, data on LV mechanics during LBNP are reported for 9 premenopausal and 10 postmenopausal women, and data during supine cycling for 8 premenopausal and 10 postmenopausal women.

**Physiological Tests**

**Lower-body negative pressure.** Mild LBNP was used to simulate the reduced cardiac filling typical of the upright posture due to gravity (29). Participants were positioned with a neoprene kayak skirt on their iliac crest, and with their lower body in an LBNP box (built in-house; length 126 cm, width 55 cm, height 90 cm). Two consecutive 10-min stages at −15 and −30 mm Hg LBNP were applied. A variable transformer (CMV 5E-1; Carroll & Meynell Transformers Ltd, Stockton-On-Tees, UK) connected to a vacuum pump (Henry HVR200A, Numatic International Ltd, Chard, England) was used to achieve the desired negative pressure within the box, which was monitored continuously using a differential pressure meter (Testo AG, Lenzkirch, Germany). Blood pressure and echocardiographic images were recorded at rest and after 5-min exposure to each stage of LBNP (29).

**Supine cycling.** Upon completion of LBNP, participants relaxed for 30 min to ensure a return to a resting physiological state (29). Participants then completed three consecutive 5-min stages of supine cycling at 20%, 40%, and 60% supine $W_{peak}$. Supine cycling was used to simulate the typical physical exertion from performing activities of daily living. Blood pressure and echocardiographic images were recorded at rest and after the final 3 min at each exercise intensity.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with R (30). The two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with age as a covariate was used to examine the effects of exercise training on aerobic capacity, total hemoglobin mass and blood volume in postmenopausal women compared with postmenopausal women. For variables that have a significant menopause–training interaction effect, post hoc Student’s t tests were used to identify differences between groups.

The three-way repeated-measures ANOVA with age as a covariate was used to examine the impact of the menopause, exercise training and the physiological tests on LV function and mechanics. Figure S1 shows the flowchart for interpreting the three-way ANOVA, with a focus on the effects of the menopause as the key research question (see Figure, Supplemental Digital Content 1, Flowchart to interpret the three-way ANOVA, http://links.lww.com/MSS/B978). This approach integrated all data within one statistical test and avoided the reuse of data in multiple disparate ANOVA. For variables with a statistically significant three-way interaction effect, individual differences with exercise training were calculated post hoc and Student’s t tests.
were used to identify differences between pre- and postmenopausal women at each LBNP and exercise stage. For variables with statistically significant two-way interaction effects from the three-way ANOVA, data were grouped post hoc across the nonsignificant factor to reduce complexity and to enable interpretation of the two-way interaction effects. The Holm–Bonferroni correction was used to adjust for multiple comparisons across LBNP and supine cycling stages.

To examine whether the effects of the menopause on LV function and mechanics after exercise training could be detected at rest (i.e., without requiring the physiological tests), post hoc two-way ANOVA were used to compare resting data if any of the menopause or training effects in the three-way ANOVA were statistically significant. Alpha was set at 0.05. Data are presented as mean and SD unless stated otherwise.

RESULTS

Menopause-related effects on maximal aerobic capacity and LV function under resting conditions.
Exercise training elicited smaller increases in maximal aerobic capacity and blood volume in postmenopausal women than premenopausal women (P<0.05; Table 1). There was additionally no evidence of differences in LV function between pre- and postmenopausal women at rest, whether they were compared before or after exercise training (P>0.05).

Menopause-related effects on LV function during LBNP. In pre- and postmenopausal women, cardiac output, end-diastolic volume, and stroke volume decreased in response to LBNP, concomitant with an increase in heart rate and systemic vascular resistance (P<0.001; Fig. 2). There was no evidence of differences in general hemodynamics and LV volumes during LBNP between pre- and postmenopausal women (P>0.05; see Fig. S2, Supplemental Digital Content 2, LV function and systemic vascular resistance during LBNP with pre- and postmenopausal women presented separately, http://links.lww.com/MSS/B979). However, exercise training elicited a significant difference in peak diastolic basal rotational velocity during LBNP between pre- and postmenopausal women (P=0.04)—specifically, peak diastolic basal rotational velocity was maintained at resting values during LBNP after exercise training in premenopausal women, but decreased during LBNP in postmenopausal women (Fig. 3). These distinct responses in pre- and postmenopausal women were not apparent before exercise training. There was no evidence of differences in apical mechanics between pre- and postmenopausal women during LBNP (P>0.05) nor of any other changes in LV mechanics in response to LBNP (P>0.05; see Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content 3, peak LV mechanics during LBNP and incremental exercise tests, http://links.lww.com/MSS/B980).

Menopause-related Effects on LV Function during Supine Cycling
Heart rate, cardiac output and stroke volume increased during supine cycling in both pre- and postmenopausal women, along with a decrease in systemic vascular resistance and end-systolic volume (P<0.001; Fig. 4). All indices of peak LV mechanics

![Figure 2](http://www.acsm-msse.org)
increased in response to incremental exercise ($P < 0.001$; see Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content 3, peak LV mechanics during LBNP and incremental exercise tests, http://links.lww.com/MSS/B980). Similar to the effects of LBNP, there was no evidence of differences in general hemodynamics and LV volumes between pre- and postmenopausal women during supine cycling ($P > 0.05$; see Fig. S3, Supplemental Digital Content 4, LV function and systemic vascular resistance during supine cycling with pre- and postmenopausal women presented separately, http://links.lww.com/MSS/B981). However, and in line with the differences in regional LV muscle function during LBNP, exercise training elicited a significant difference in peak systolic basal rotation between pre- and postmenopausal women during supine cycling ($P = 0.02$; Fig. 5). Although peak basal rotation increased during supine cycling across all groups and conditions, a plateau became apparent at 40% peak exercise after exercise training in premenopausal women, but not in postmenopausal women. There was no evidence of differences in apical mechanics between pre- and postmenopausal women during supine cycling ($P > 0.05$).

**Impact of exercise training on LV function during supine cycling.** In line with a greater peak workload after exercise training, the increase in cardiac output and heart rate from rest to 60% peak supine cycling was greater after exercise training in pre- and postmenopausal women, concomitant with a greater decrease in systemic vascular resistance ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 4). End-systolic volume during supine cycling was lower after exercise training across all exercise intensities in both groups ($P = 0.04$), but end-diastolic volume was lower only at 40% peak supine cycling ($P = 0.04$; Fig. 4). There was no evidence that exercise training influenced the stroke volume response to supine cycling in either pre- or postmenopausal women ($P > 0.05$). In addition to the plateau in peak basal rotation at 40% peak supine cycling observed in premenopausal women after exercise training, peak diastolic apical rotational velocity at 60% peak supine cycling was greater after exercise training in both pre- and postmenopausal women ($P = 0.007$), whereas peak systolic twisting velocity was greater at 40% peak supine cycling ($P < 0.05$; see Fig. S4, Supplemental Digital Content 5, peak twisting velocity, and peak diastolic basal and apical rotational velocity in response to supine cycling before and after exercise training, http://links.lww.com/MSS/B982).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we determined the effects of the menopause on regional LV muscle function underpinning the increase in cardiovascular capacity after 12 wk of exercise training. Exercise training elicited a smaller increase in maximal aerobic capacity and blood volume in postmenopausal than premenopausal women. In addition, physiological testing revealed that postmenopausal women had lower basal mechanics during LBNP and supine cycling after exercise training compared with premenopausal women. To our knowledge, this is the first study to suggest that the menopause may reduce aerobic adaptability to exercise training. Furthermore, our findings suggest that the limitation to aerobic adaptability in postmenopausal women is likely due to peripheral (arterial, skeletal muscle and/or blood volume distribution) rather than central (cardiac) factors, as we found no evidence of differences in cardiac output between pre- and postmenopausal women. Nonetheless, cardiac output during physiological testing was underpinned by differences in regional LV muscle function between pre- and postmenopausal women, as hypothesized, confirming for the first time *in vivo* the previously reported regional LV differences from *in vitro* studies.

**Lower aerobic adaptability in postmenopausal women compared with premenopausal women.** In
12 wk of exercise training evoked an increase in maximal aerobic capacity in pre- and postmenopausal women in this study. However, postmenopausal women had a smaller increase in maximal aerobic capacity than premenopausal women, concomitant with a smaller increase in blood volume. This finding refutes our *a priori* hypothesis of line with previous studies (8,9,31), 12 wk of exercise training evoked an increase in maximal aerobic capacity in pre- and postmenopausal women in this study. However, postmenopausal women had a smaller increase in maximal aerobic capacity than premenopausal women, concomitant with a smaller increase in blood volume. This finding refutes our *a priori* hypothesis of
similar increases in maximal aerobic capacity in pre- and postmenopausal women after exercise training and contradicts previous results from other research groups. For example, the multicenter HERITAGE Family Study found no evidence that the increase in maximal aerobic capacity after exercise training differed between pre- and postmenopausal women, after using statistical methods to adjust for a mean age difference of >20 yr (9). It is possible that the smaller age difference in the present study (6 yr) influenced the response to exercise training. More recently, the Copenhagen Women Study found that cardiorespiratory fitness increased similarly between pre- and postmenopausal women after exercise training (mean age difference of 4 yr) (8). Interestingly, the mean percentage increase in maximal oxygen uptake (in L·min⁻¹) across pre- and postmenopausal groups was higher in our study (16%–23%) than in the Copenhagen Women Study (9%–10%). This may reflect a more intense exercise training intervention in our study compared with the Copenhagen Women Study (which used a spinning exercise training intervention with gradually increasing intensities across the weeks). In addition, high-intensity aerobic interval training has been suggested to elicit greater improvements in maximal aerobic capacity and LV function compared with traditional moderate continuous exercise training (20), which may have contributed to the differences observed between pre- and postmenopausal women in this study. Although a comparison between high-intensity interval training and moderate continuous training was beyond the scope of this study, future work may want to focus on a direct comparison to assess differences in cardiovascular outcomes.

Considering our results in the context of previous work, it is possible that postmenopausal women are able to match the improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness in premenopausal women up to 10% to 16%, but that further improvements may be limited by the menopause. Exercise training studies of a longer duration and with different intensities of exercise, such as that conducted by Howden and colleagues (32), will be required to determine the presence of a ceiling to cardiorespiratory adaptations that is explained by the menopause.

Despite a smaller increase in maximal aerobic capacity and blood volume in postmenopausal women after exercise training, there was no evidence that cardiac output, heart rate or LV volumes were different between pre- and postmenopausal women, whether at rest or during the physiological tests. We speculate that the greater blood volume observed in premenopausal women after exercise training may have been contained in the arteries and veins instead, possibly underpinned by a greater vasodilatory capacity in premenopausal women than in postmenopausal women (33). This greater blood volume in premenopausal women may have improved their thermoregulation during exercise, via increased body fluid for sweating and heat dissipation (34). Beyond the duration of exercise training in this study, these changes may be precursors to the cardiac adaptations of larger stroke volumes and lower heart rates traditionally observed with prolonged exercise training (32). Although we did not measure body temperature or sweat responses, our findings suggest that the contribution of cardiac output (central) adaptations to 12 wk of exercise training are similar in pre- and postmenopausal women, and that peripheral adaptations, such as altered blood volume distribution, arterial function or skeletal muscle capillarization, may have limited the improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness in postmenopausal women. This observation is in direct agreement with previous studies showing that older women are more dependent on a widened arterial-venous oxygen difference (indicative of a peripheral mechanism) to improve cardiorespiratory fitness after 12 wk of exercise training, compared with younger women (31). Collectively, the current data indicate that the menopause does not limit the short-term cardiac output adaptation to high-intensity interval training despite different regional LV muscle function. Additionally, future studies should investigate the role of the menopause in peripheral adaptations to exercise training.

The menopause alters regional LV muscle function. In support of our a priori hypothesis that the menopause affects regional LV muscle function, we detected differences in LV rotation at the base between pre- and postmenopausal women during physiological testing. There was no evidence of differences in apical mechanics between the two study groups, despite greater apical changes typically occurring in response to both aging (6,35) and cardiovascular challenges (36,37), supporting a dominant effect of the menopause on regional LV muscle function as previously suggested in vitro (14,15). After exercise training, premenopausal women had greater basal mechanics during the physiological tests compared with postmenopausal women, which agrees with previous findings that young adult premenopausal women are more dependent on cardiac compensatory mechanisms to achieve filling and generate stroke volume compared with men (37) and older women (31).

Drawing upon mechanistic studies conducted using animals and in vitro approaches, the regional effects of the menopause on basal mechanics were first hypothesized and are now confirmed in this study in humans. It is likely that higher calcium currents due to estrogen, which were previously observed in basal but not apical cardiomyocytes in vitro (14,15), underpinned the in vivo contraction and relaxation patterns in postmenopausal women in this study. In addition, the menopause-related surge in cardiac sympathetic nerve activity (10) interacting with a greater density of sympathetic nerve endings at the basal epicardium than the apical endocardium (11,12) may have also contributed to the regional differences observed. The interaction between calcium handling and sympathetic activity on cardiomyocytes may explain why differences in basal mechanics were detected during physiological testing but not at rest. Our results additionally suggest that any differences at rest are likely to be smaller than the differences during physiological testing. Although not linked with altered cardiac output in the present study, altered regional myocardial function may be linked with early afterdepolarizations originating at the base of the LV, as previously postulated (38), which may indicate a menopause-related effect on cardiac repolarization and susceptibility to arrhythmias (39). Taken together, our results begin to build a link between the effects of estrogen and sympathetic activity observed in animal or in vitro
studies and in vivo function. Future studies may examine regional myocardial fibrosis or electrical activation patterns to further discern the true implications of the menopause.

**Regulation of cardiac output during exercise in middle-age women.** In line with greater absolute workloads after exercise training, the increase in cardiac output from rest to 60% peak supine cycling was greater in both pre- and postmenopausal women, with no evidence of differences between groups. This response is likely not confined to submaximal exercise efforts, and may be extrapolated to a greater cardiac output at maximal exercise intensities after exercise training. A greater cardiac output is typically achieved via a greater stroke volume (31), but interestingly, in this study it was explained by higher heart rates. Maximum heart rates, however, did not increase after exercise training in this study, and are in fact unlikely to increase with exercise training based on the existing literature (31). Further work is thus required to clarify the cardiac output and stroke volume response from 60% to 100% maximal aerobic exercise in middle-age women, which may additionally provide new insight into the regulation of cardiac output in this underrepresented cohort (40).

**Regulation of cardiac output during orthostatic stress in middle-age women.** In line with previous work (37,41), cardiac output and stroke volume decreased during LBNP in both pre- and postmenopausal women, with no evidence of differences between groups. Although the stroke volume response to LBNP did not differ before and after exercise training, an improved filling was evident at ~30 mm Hg after exercise training, as evidenced by greater end-diastolic and endsystolic volumes in both groups. Apart from basal mechanics, there was no evidence of other changes in LV mechanics in response to LBNP. In contrast, previous studies have shown an increase in peak untwisting velocity with LBNP in men and women, and a decrease in male athletes with more than 5 yr of training (37,42). To our knowledge, this is the first study examining LV mechanics in middle-age women in response to LBNP. Therefore, the discrepancy between our results and previous studies may indicate that middle-age women have different LV mechanics in response to LBNP compared with younger women, female athletes and men. In addition, the strict coupling between LV mechanical function and filling has been questioned recently, and it may be that other factors such as altered atrial function or complex geometric changes may influence preload (43).

In this study, we did not observe a greater increase in heart rate in premenopausal compared with postmenopausal women in response to orthostatic stress, which has been described previously (41,44). One key difference between our study and previous studies is a smaller mean age difference of 6 yr between pre- and postmenopausal women, compared with ≥26 yr in previous studies (41,44). As age has also been shown to reduce heart rate responsiveness to orthostatic stress (45), previous findings may be due to age more than the menopause, a hypothesis that warrants future investigation.

**Limitations.** An echocardiography-related limitation of this study is that the sonographer was not blinded to the menopausal and training statuses of participants while analyzing their images. However, the key parameters of regional LV function were derived from a speckle tracking algorithm embedded in GE software and were, therefore, largely operator-independent. Consequently, it is unlikely that blinding would have altered the current results.

Although this study had a smaller age difference between pre- and postmenopausal women than some previous work (41,44), it was not possible for us to totally eliminate it. This reflects the inherent difficulty of disentangling the effects of a naturally occurring menopause from those of chronological aging in the female lifespan (2). To further improve confidence in the study conclusions related to the menopause, we included age as a covariate in our statistical analyses (9). Another possible limitation is the lack of measurement of sex hormones in this study. However, we used menstrual cycle criteria to recruit our pre- and postmenopausal groups, which is the most important criteria for staging reproductive aging in women as recommended by the Stages of Reproductive Aging Workshop +10 (STRAW +10), because of the known limitations in standardization, cost and invasiveness of biomarker assays (1). Hence, we are confident that the women in the two study groups were appropriately categorized as pre- and postmenopausal.

We did not control for menstrual cycle phase in the premenopausal women for the physiological tests in this study, as previous work has not found conclusive evidence that the menstrual cycle affects maximum aerobic capacity, cardiac output during orthostasis (46), or plasma volume shifts during exercise (for reviews see [47,48]). In addition, not controlling for menstrual cycle phase allowed for a more precise matching of total exercise training volume (i.e., 12 wk of exercise training) between pre- and postmenopausal women. Although it is possible that the menstrual cycle could have mildly increased the variability of responses in premenopausal women, it is likely that the effects of the menstrual cycle are smaller than the effects elicited by exercise training and the physiological tests used in this study.

**Implications and future directions.** The main practical implication of this study is the smaller increase in maximal aerobic capacity observed in middle-age postmenopausal women after 12 wk of high-intensity aerobic interval training, compared with middle-age premenopausal women. As maximal aerobic capacity is an important prognostic biomarker for cardiovascular disease (20,21), our findings indicate that the menopause reduces a middle-age woman’s ability to modify her risk of cardiovascular disease with an exercise intervention. Building upon this work, we strongly recommend a replication study to verify the effects of high-intensity aerobic interval training on cardiorespiratory adaptations in middle-age pre- and postmenopausal women. A better understanding of adaptations to exercise training in middle-age women would improve public recommendations for lifestyle interventions to improve cardiorespiratory fitness, which has implications for improving health outcomes globally in the aging population.

Beyond the influence of traditional risk factors such as blood pressure and cholesterol on cardiovascular function (49), our
results begin to delineate the early cardiac changes that occur with the menopause. The menopause has itself been identified as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, through the seminal Framingham Study, but the underlying pathophysiology is unclear (49). Future work examining baroreflex sensitivity (4), LV pressures and myocardial properties (32,50) in pre- and postmenopausal women will likely provide additional insight into the effects of the menopause on the heart. In particular, alterations in regional electrical conduction and the consequences on arrhythmias are warranted given the altered regional LV muscle function observed in vivo in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, postmenopausal women experienced a smaller increase in maximal aerobic capacity after 12 wk of high-intensity aerobic interval training, compared with premenopausal women. Cardiac output and LV volumes during LBNP and supine cycling were not different between pre- and postmenopausal women, but were underpinned by differences in regional LV muscle function. Our findings provide new insight into the effects of the menopause on aerobic fitness, cardiac adaptability and regional LV muscle function.
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