The glacial geomorphology of the Lago Buenos Aires and Lago Pueyrredón ice lobes of central Patagonia
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ABSTRACT
This paper presents a glacial geomorphological map of landforms produced by the Lago General Carrera–Buenos Aires and Lago Cochrane–Pueyrredón ice lobes of the former Patagonian Ice Sheet. Over 35,000 landforms were digitized into a Geographical Information System from high-resolution (<15 m) satellite imagery, supported by field mapping. The map illustrates a rich suite of ice-marginal glacigenic, subglacial, glaciofluvial and glaciolacustrine landforms, many of which have not been mapped previously (e.g. hummocky terrain, till eskers, eskers). The map reveals two principal landform assemblages in the central Patagonian landscape: (i) an assemblage of nested latero-frontal moraine arcs, outwash plains or corridors, and inset hummocky terrain, till eskers and eskers, which formed when major ice lobes occupied positions on the Argentine steppe; and (ii) a lake-terminating system, dominated by the formation of glaciolacustrine landforms (deltas, shorelines) and localized ice-contact glaciofluvial features (e.g. outwash fans), which prevailed during deglaciation.
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1. Introduction
The Patagonian Ice Sheet (PIS) has episodically expanded across the southern Andes of South America (38–56°S) throughout the Quaternary (Figure 1; Caldenius, 1932; Rabassa, 2008). During such times, substantial ice lobes advanced along major valleys constructing nested terminal moraine sequences and extensive outwash plains on the extra-Andean steppe (Caldenius, 1932). Interest in these glacial landform assemblages has increased in recent years as information on the timing of glacier fluctuations may yield insight into past variations in Southern Westerly Wind changes (Boex et al., 2013; García et al., 2012; Moreno et al., 2009, 2015) and interhemispheric glacial and climate synchrony (Denton et al., 1999; Murray et al., 2012; Sugden et al., 2005). Moreover, geomorphological studies, including glacial land-system approaches, have enabled detailed reconstructions of former ice dynamics (Bentley, Sugden, Hulton, & McCulloch, 2005; Darvill, Stokes, Bentley, Evans, & Lovell, 2016; Lovell, Stokes, Bentley, & Benn, 2012). Whilst such methods have been applied in southernmost Patagonia, around central Patagonia and the North Patagonian Icefield (NPI) (~46–48°S) previous work has focused on constraining the timing of glacial fluctuations, with less attention given to the detailed nature of landform-sediment assemblages (Glasser, Harrison, & Jansson, 2009). Therefore, we aim to produce a comprehensive map of the glacial geomorphology related to two major ice lobes of central Patagonia. The map will provide the foundation for new reconstructions of ice lobe and palaeolake dynamics through the application of glacial inversion methods (Kleman et al., 2006) and land-system analysis (Evans, 2003), and will underpin future chronological investigations.

2. Study location and previous work
2.1. Study location
The mapping conducted in this study focuses on the area between ~46–48°S and ~74–70°W (Figure 1), a region characterized by both high mountains (>3–4000 m a.s.l) and deep troughs incised to below present sea level. The west of the study area is dominated by the modern NPI and its surrounding deep valleys and fjords (Glasser & Ghiglione, 2009). These valleys feed into two major west-east trending overdeepened troughs occupied by the transnational lakes of Lago General Carrera–Buenos Aires (LGC-BA) and Lago Cochrane–Pueyrredón (LC-P). East of the Patagonian mountain front, the landscape transitions into a broad, semi-arid steppe interspersed with Plio-Pleistocene sedimentary and basalt plateaus (Gorring, Singer, Gowers, & Kay, 2003).

Previous studies indicate that fast-flowing outlet glaciers of an expanded PIS periodically occupied...
the LGC-BA and LC-P depressions (Glasser & Jansson, 2005). These ice lobes advanced to the Argentine steppe (Caldenius, 1932), blocked regional river systems and caused a \( \sim 200 \) km westward shift in the drainage divide towards the Patagonian cordillera, which diverted meltwater eastward to the Atlantic Ocean (Bell, 2008; Glasser et al., 2016; Turner, Fogwill, McCulloch, & Sugden, 2005). During deglaciation, large proglacial lakes developed in the basins between terminal moraines and the ice front (Bell, 2008; Turner et al., 2005). The eventual release of this freshwater to the Pacific Ocean disturbed vertical mixing patterns and regional climate (Glasser et al., 2016).

### 2.2. Previous mapping

Caldenius (1932) was the first to extensively map the glacial deposits of the region, providing the foundation for other early studies (Feruglio, 1950; Fidalgo & Riggi, 1965). Caldenius (1932) identified four terminal moraine systems on the Argentine steppe east of LGC-BA and LC-P, and argued that they formed over multiple glaciations based on their state of preservation. Since Caldenius (1932), several studies have presented geomorphological maps from the region (Figure 2), with mapping scale and detail tailored to specific research objectives (Table 1).

Glasser and Jansson (2008) produced a map of glacial landforms formed at the margins and bed of the former PIS between 38 and 56\(^\circ\)S, to infer ice-sheet scale ice dynamics (Glasser, Jansson, Harrison, & Klemen, 2008). This map currently represents the most complete representation of glacial geomorphology at the ice lobe scale, but the low mapping resolution is such that subtle or complex features were necessarily omitted or generalized. For example, on the plains east of LGC-BA a complex system of ice-marginal meltwater channels and outwash corridors are noticeably simplified (Glasser & Jansson, 2008).

Figure 1. Location map of the studied area in central Patagonia. Boxes indicate the location and number of additional figures. Inset shows the extent of the Patagonian Ice Sheet (PIS) at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM); redrawn after Singer et al. (2004). The \(-125\) m contour provides an indication of the approximate sea level drop at the LGM (e.g. Lambeck, Rouby, Purcell, Sun, & Sambridge, 2014; Peltier & Fairbanks, 2006; Yokoyama, De Deckker, Lambeck, Johnston, & Fifield, 2001). NPI: North Patagonian Icefield; SPI: South Patagonian Icefield; CDI: Cordillera Darwin Icefield. Contemporary icefield limits extracted from the ‘Randolph Glacier Inventory’ dataset (Pfeffer et al., 2014).
Similarly, and with the specific intention of supporting geochronological studies (Figure 3; Table 1), Kaplan, Ackert, Singer, Douglass, and Kurz (2004), Singer, Ackert, and Guillou (2004) and Douglass, Singer, Kaplan, Mickelson, and Caffee (2006) re-mapped the outer moraine complexes at LGC-BA. In addition, Hein et al. (2009, 2010), Hein, Dunai, Hulton, and Xu (2011) re-mapped the outer moraine systems of LC-P and identified a series of well-preserved moraine ridges, outwash terraces, palaeo-shorelines and landslide deposits. Hein et al. (2010) also noted some morphological differences between local LGM moraine sets, which included hummocky and sharp-crested forms.

Moraine ridges and other ice-contact landforms have also been mapped further west, in the Rio Bayo, Leones, Nef, Plomo and Colonia valleys, and at Lago Esmeralda (Figures 1 and 2), and dated to ascertain the timing of regional glacier readvances since the local LGM (Glasser, Harrison, Schnabel, Fabel, & Jansson, 2005, 2012; Glasser, Harrison, Ivy-Ochs, Duller, & Kubik, 2006). Turner et al. (2005), Bell (2008) and, more recently, Glasser et al. (2016), mapped palaeo-shorelines and raised lacustrine deltas formed at proglacial lake margins during glacier recession that contribute to a regional model of deglacial palaeolake development and drainage.

Several studies have mapped glacial landforms at the valley scale, close to contemporary icefields, to constrain the pattern of Holocene glacier fluctuations (e.g. Davies & Glasser, 2012; Douglass et al., 2005; Glasser, Jansson, Harrison, & Rivera, 2005; Harrison et al., 2006, 2008; Nimick, McGrath, Mahan, Friesen, & Leidich, 2016). Finally, Glasser et al. (2009) compiled geomorphological and sedimentological evidence from 11 contemporary outlet glaciers to investigate the controls on landform formation around the NPI.

Overall, a lack of consistent, detailed mapping at the ice lobe scale has led to many important features (e.g. meltwater channels) being misidentified or overlooked in this region. Further mapping conducted at a high resolution (<15 m) is required for refined reconstructions of regional ice-sheet history and dynamics.

### 2.3. Ice lobe chronology

Across the study area, the timing of major ice lobe fluctuations is relatively well constrained owing to numerous dating studies (Figure 3). Early palaeomagnetic studies at LGC-BA (Mörner & Sylwan, 1987, 1989) and LC-P (Sylwan, Beraza, & Casteli, 1991) established that some of the outer terminal moraines formed at the time of the Matuyama Reversed Chron over ~780 ka ago (Singer & Pringle, 1996). Subsequent ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar
### Table 1. Features mapped in previous studies.

| Reference | Mapping purpose | Mapping resolution | Drift or moraine complex | Moraine ridges | Trimlines | Outwash plains | Ice-contact glaciofluvial deposits | Meltwater channels | Ice-scoured bedrock | Glacial lineations | Raised deltas | Palaeolake Shorelines | Glaciolacustrine deposits |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Caldénius (1932) | Morphostraigraphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ |
| Feruglio (1950) | Morphostraigraphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ |
| Fidalgo and Riggi (1965) | Morphostraigraphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ |
| Kaplan et al. (2004) | Chronostratigraphic | 30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ |
| Singer et al. (2004) | Chronostratigraphic | 30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ |
| Glasser and Jansson (2005) | Geomorphic | 15–30 m | ✓ | ✓ |
| Kaplan, Douglass, Singer, and Caffee (2005) | Chronostratigraphic | 30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ |
| Turner et al. (2005) | Morphostraigraphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ |
| Douglass et al. (2006) | Chronostratigraphic | 30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ |
| Glasser et al. (2006) | Morphostraigraphic | 15 m; Field | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Bell (2008) | Geomorphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ |
| Bell (2009) | Geomorphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ |
| Glasser and Jansson (2008) | Morphostraigraphic | 30 m | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hein et al. (2009) | Chronostratigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Glasser et al. (2009) | Geomorphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hein et al. (2010) | Chronostratigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hein et al. (2011) | Chronostratigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Glasser et al. (2012) | Chronostratigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Boex et al. (2013) | Chronostratigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Bourgeois et al. (2016) | Morphostraigraphic | Field | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Glasser et al. (2016) | Morphostraigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Smedley et al. (2016) | Chronostratigraphic | 15–30 m; LF | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

Notes: Mapping resolution refers to satellite imagery used in geomorphological mapping, as stated in original publications. Additional landform types mapped in this study are listed in Tables 2 and 3. Morphostraigraphic = study focused on relative depositional order of ice-marginal and/or glaciolacustrine landforms. Chronostratigraphic = study focused on landform identification for radiometric dating applications. Geomorphic = study focused on landform and/or sediment form, pattern and/or distribution, to infer former glacier or lake dynamics. LF = localized areas of field mapping and/or ground truthing. *Moraine ridges identified in the field, but not reproduced on geomorphological map.
dating of basaltic lava flows, interbedded within moraine sequences, provided additional constraints on the timing of ice lobe advances (Singer et al., 2004; Ton-That, Singer, Mörner, & Rabassa, 1999). These studies dated the outermost moraines at LGC-BA to ~1016 ka, and confirmed the timing of this ‘Greatest Patagonian Glaciation’, first recognized by Mercer (1976). At LGC-BA a further six terminal moraine sequences were deposited between ~1016 and ~760 ka, with another six formed between ~760 and ~109 ka (Singer et al., 2004).

The chronology of major ice lobe fluctuations has since been refined through direct dating of glacial deposits, including cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating of moraine boulders (Boex et al., 2013; Douglass et al., 2006; Hein et al., 2010; Kaplan et al., 2004, 2011; Kaplan, Douglass, Singer, & Caffee, 2005) and outwash gravels (Hein et al., 2009, 2011), and luminescence dating of glaciofluvial outwash sediments (Glasser et al., 2006; Harrison, Glasser, Duller, & Jansson, 2012; Smedley, Glasser, & Duller, 2016). These studies have supported the 40Ar/39Ar ages of earlier investigations, and provided evidence for additional ice lobe advances during MIS 2, 3, 6 and 8.

Recent studies have also attempted to constrain the pattern of glacier retreat following the local LGM (Figure 3), and the consequent growth and drainage of ice-dammed proglacial lakes. The exact timing of glacier stillstands, and whether the retreat patterns of the LGC-BA and LC-P lobes were synchronous, however, remains equivocal. For example, Boex et al. (2013) dated a stabilization of the LC-P lobe at the Maria Elena moraine (~17.1 ka) in Valle Chacabuco. However, basal radiocarbon dates from lake basins that were exposed subaerially after ice retreat at this location have yielded older ages (~19.8 cal ka; Villa-Martínez, Moreno, & Valenzuela, 2012). Moreover, these radiocarbon dates are significantly older than either the exposure age of the Menuchos moraine (~16.9 ka; recalculated age cf. Kaplan et al., 2011), which represents an early deglaciation limit on the Argentine steppe at LGC-BA (Figure 3), or the luminescence age of Menuchos-related outwash deposits (~14.2 ka; Smedley et al., 2016). Similarly, Turner
et al. (2005) produced basal radiocarbon ages that exceed \( \sim 12.8 \) cal ka from kettle holes at Lago Esmeralda and Cerro Ataud, and interpreted these dates as evidence for early deglaciation in this area. In contrast, Glasser et al. (2012) proposed a regional stabilization of NPI outlet glaciers, including at this location, around the time of the European Younger Dryas, based on a suite of cosmogenic nuclide exposure ages of \( \sim 11.0 \) to 12.8 ka, and supporting luminescence ages from local ice-contact deposits (Glasser et al., 2006).

These alternative chronologies have hampered attempts to develop a coherent regional model of ice lobe and palaeolake evolution that reconcile all dating evidence (Bourgois, Cisternas, Braucher, Bourlès, & Frutos, 2016; Glasser et al., 2016). New high-resolution mapping will enable refinements in the morphostratigraphic order of deglacial events and will contribute to resolving disparate retreat chronologies.

### 3. Methods

Geomorphological mapping was achieved through satellite image interpretation and field mapping. The map is presented at 1:420,000 scale using the WGS-1984 UTM-Zone18S coordinate system. Glacial landforms were digitized in ArcGIS (v10.3) at imaging scales of 1:8000 to 1:50,000, using a combination of 2.5 m resolution SPOT-5 and \( \sim 1-2 \) m resolution DigitalGlobe (GeoEye-1, IKONOS) images available through the ESRI™ ‘World Imagery’ service. Areas of poor image quality (e.g., obscured by clouds) were examined in GoogleEarth™ software (v7.1), which also offers SPOT-5 and DigitalGlobe images for our study area. These image sources were used in preference to relatively low resolution satellite scenes (e.g., Landsat: 30 m) as they allowed a greater diversity of features to be mapped, and provided clarity in the identification of previously un-recorded, subtle landform types. Both relief-shaded (315° and 45° azimuth) and slope gradient-shaded models were constructed from ASTER G-DEMs (30 m cell-size) following procedures outlined in Smith and Clark (2005), primarily to provide topographic context in areas of complex relief. Additionally, oblique three-dimensional views were created in GoogleEarth™ to aid landform identification, especially in areas where field verification was not possible.

### 4. Glacial geomorphology

Fourteen main landform types were recorded on the geomorphological map (Figure 4; Table 2) and a total of 35,546 features (Table 3), which we describe herein. We also mapped trimlines, lakes, rivers, landslide scars and volcanic landforms including basalt mesetas, cones and lava flows, to provide further geological or topographic context.

#### 4.1. Moraine ridges

Prominent linear ridges of positive relief are interpreted as moraines that demarcate the limits of former glacier margins. Moraines can be single, cross-valley ridges, or occur within complex, multi-ridge systems. Moraines exhibit \( \sim 5-40 \) m relief and sharp, level or undulating crests. Most often, moraines are closely spaced, with arcuate, crenulate or saw-tooth planforms (Figure 5). The ice-contact face of prominent moraines can be adorned with low-relief hummocks, and in

---

**Figure 4.** Mapping legend to accompany Figures 5–11. Note that on some figures, certain layers (e.g., ‘morainic complexes or deposits’) are not shown to enhance the visual clarity of our landform interpretations. Contemporary glacier extents were extracted from the ‘Randolph Glacier Inventory’ dataset presented in Pfeffer et al. (2014).
Table 2. Summary of glacial geomorphology mapped in this study and criteria used in landform identification.

| Landform                        | Morphology                                                                 | Identification characteristics                                                                 | Uncertainties                                                                 | Significance                                                                 | Previous mapping                                                |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Morainic complexes or deposits  | Undulating topography within which distinctive moraine ridges occur         | Texture/colour difference from adjacent terrain. Presence of moraine ridges. Elevated above surrounding terrain | Extent of morainic material difficult to delimit on imagery | Marks approximate extent of ice-marginal deposition                      | Caldenius (1932); Feruglio (1950); Fidalgo and Riggi (1965); Kaplan et al. (2004, 2005); Singer et al. (2004); Douglass et al. (2006) |
| Moraine ridges                  | Ridges of positive relief that display arcuate, crenulate or saw-tooth planform, and sharp crestlines. Ridges range from ~100 to >5000 m long and ~30 to 300 m wide | Dark/light shadowing on opposing moraine flanks indicative of positive relief. Texture/colour difference from adjacent terrain | Very low-relief ridges may be difficult to detect in imagery | Marks former terminal position of glacier                               | Glasser and Jansson (2008); Hein et al. (2010); Glasser et al. (2012) |
| Continuous hummocky ridges      | Medium relief (<20 m) hills and short (<200 m) ridges connected to former longer underlying chains. Ridges separated by narrow (<10–20 m) meltwater channels. Crestlines less well-defined than moraine ridges | Best identified on high-resolution images. Shadowing indicates subtle changes in relief, and highlights linear order of ridges | Boundaries of individual ridges are difficult to delimit. Linear patterns are difficult to map in the field | Marks former terminal position of glacier | Unmapped |
| Hummocky terrain                | Densely spaced hills and hollows of 5–20 m high and 20–200 m wide. Crestlines are less well defined than moraine ridges. Exhibit chaotic organization or crude linearity | Dark/light shadowing indicates positive relief of hummocks. Hollows may be water-filled. Texture/colour difference from adjacent terrain | Boundaries of small (<5 m high) hummocks may be difficult to define | Marks former ice-marginal zone, or zone of stagnant ice | Unmapped |
| Trimlines                       | Sub-horizontal linear features on valley sides separating vegetated and non-vegetated ground | Sharp definition at boundary of vegetated and non-vegetated terrain. Occur close to existing glacier margins | Potential confusion with shorelines or moraines | Indicative of former glacier thickness and slope | Glasser and Jansson (2008); Glasser et al. (2009) |
| Till eskers                     | Straight-to-sinuous ridges of ~50–500 m long and 5–15 m high, with undulating crests | Light/dark shadowing indicates positive relief. Occur in groups that display similar orientations oblique to ice flow. Often merge into the limbs of saw-tooth push moraines | Potential confusion with eskers | Indicative of sub-marginal squeezing of saturated till into tunnels/crevasses | Unmapped |
| Glacial lineations              | Linear, elongate, parallel landforms formed in bedrock or sediment (drumlins, flutings), and ranging from ~100 m to >3000 m in length | Occur in groups showing parallel conformity. Dark/light shadowing indicates positive relief. Structural alignment may differ from surrounding (non-linedated) bedrock | Misclassification of non-glacial bedrock structures as glacial lineations | Indicative of former ice-flow direction, and fast flow where length: width is high | Glasser and Jansson (2005, 2008) |
| Meltwater channels              | Deeply incised, and generally steep-sided, conduits of sinuous form. Channels vary from ~10 m to >1 km in width and from ~100 m to >10 km in length. Rarely contain, or follow, modern drainage routes | Channel margins defined by shadowing due to relative relief change. Occur as closely spaced ‘flights’ (lateral) or isolated meanders (proglacial) on images. Often occur in association with moraines | Potential misidentification of modern drainage routes, although unlikely | Marks approximate position of glacier margin. Indicates significant ice-marginal meltwater production | Glasser and Jansson (2008) |
| Outwash plains and tracts       | Large, open, approximately flat surfaces graded to former ice-limits (e.g. moraines). Often dissected by meltwater channels and relict stream networks | Clear colour/texture difference due to soil/vegetation cover change. Often begin and end abruptly with sharp terrace edges (break in slope) | Exact extent of outwash difficult to delimit. Difficult to separate from channels where occurring as narrow corridors | Indicative of major meltwater drainage pathways | Caldenius (1932); Glasser and Jansson (2008); Hein et al. (2010); Smedley et al. (2016) |

(Continued)
Table 2. Continued.

| Landform                             | Morphology                                                                 | Identification characteristics                                                                 | Uncertainties                                           | Significance                                                                 | Previous mapping |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Eskers                               | Straight-to-inuous ridges or conical mounds, ranging from ~100 m to >1000 m in length. Esker crests can be sharp, rounded and/or undulating. Surficial sediments consist of sands and gravels. | Dark/light shadowing indicates positive relief. Occur as isolated ridges with few (dis)tributaries, or in dense interconnected networks. Usually oriented oblique to former ice flow. | Potential confusion with till eskers. Low-relief eskers difficult to detect on imagery. | Indicative of marginal meltwater channel configuration. | Unmapped         |
| Ice-contact glaciofluvial deposits (e.g. outwash fans, kame terraces) | Flat-topped or gently sloping glaciofluvial accumulations raised above valley floors, displaying steep ice-contact faces and pitted surfaces (subaerial), or broad, low-gradient valley-fills prograded from former ice margins (subaqueous). | Homogenous surface texture and colour. Shadowing (break in slope) along former ice-contact slope. Often associated with other ice-marginal deposits (e.g. moraines). | Potential confusion with raised deltas, due to lag boulders and surface scouring. | Marks former terminal or marginal position of glacier. Indicative of high meltwater discharges. | Glasser and Jansson (2008); Glasser et al. (2009) |
| Glacial lake outburst flood (GLOF) deposits | Flat to sloping surfaces of sand and gravel with sharp edges and raised above modern fluvial systems. Can exhibit carapace of large (~5 m) boulders and surface may be scoured. | Shadowing indicates terrace edges. May exhibit bar-like morphology. Presence of large boulders on terrace surfaces. | Possible confusion with other flat-topped accumulations (deltas, kame terraces), but unlikely due to lag boulders and surface scouring. | Indicative of palaeoflood flows an order of magnitude larger than contemporary floods. | Harrison et al. (2006) |
| Iceberg wall pits and craters | Semi-circular to elongate depressions of 5–35 m in depth, enclosed by sharp-crested rim-ridges or lateral berm ridges | Light/dark shadowing distinguishes pits and craters from adjacent ridges. Occur in dense networks. | Possible misidentification as ice-marginal ridges, but unlikely due to differing orientation. | Indicative of floating snout breakup within shallow ice-marginal lake. | Unmapped         |
| Ice-rafted moat lines and dump mounds | Curvilinear chains (~100–700 m long) of low-relief mounds (~4 m) and short ridges inset with push moraine ridges. Rare, locally sporadic mounds. | Faint shadowing and vegetation change associated with mounds. Give appearance of closely spaced parallel shorelines. | Low-relief and discontinuous nature could hamper identification in imagery. | Marks the presence of an ice-contact lake system. | Unmapped         |
| Shorelines                           | Near-continuous curvilinear terraces with lake-side break in slope, and up to >10 km in length. Often align parallel to modern lake shorelines. Occur as shoreline ‘flights’ in areas. | Shadowing along former lake-side break in slope of feature. Flat or very gently grading upper surface. | Very faint in areas of low surficial cover (e.g. bedrock) and where narrow (due to minimal shadowing). | Marks elevation of former glacial lake water-plane. | Turner et al. (2005); Hein et al. (2010); Bourgeois et al. (2016); Glasser et al. (2016) |
| Raised deltas                        | Gently sloping sediment accumulations occurring in stepped sequences upstream of modern (actively forming) lake deltas. Often flanked by raised beaches. Sharp break in slope and steeply inclined lake-side faces. | Homogeneous surface texture and colour distinct from adjacent terrain. Shadowing (break in slope) along former delta front. | May be mistaken for kame terraces, owing to similar texture/colour, although unlikely. | Delta front break in slope approximates former (glacial) lake level. | Turner et al. (2005); Bell (2008, 2009); Hein et al. (2010); Glasser et al. (2009, 2016) |
| Glaciolacustrine deposits            | Broad, flat accumulations of fine-grained glaciolacustrine sediment (e.g. rhythmites) around former ice margins, lake embayments or valley sides. | Distinctive white colouration of terrain on satellite images, distinct from adjacent deposits (e.g. moraines). | Underestimation of spatial extent on imagery. Best identified in the field. | Indicative of glacial lake existence and former lake levels. | Caldenius (1932) |

places are interspersed amongst larger assemblages of hummocky terrain (section 4.3). Elsewhere, moraine fragments exhibit weak barchanoid form, suggestive of overriding by active ice (Evans, 2009). On the Argentine forelands around the main depressions, moraine complexes run continuously for tens of kilometres (Figure 5C) and form tightly nested latero-frontal arcs (Kaplan, Hein, Hubbard, & Lax, 2009). These arcs are locally dissected by meltwater channels, which feed into ice-marginal outwash corridors or graded outwash plains (Figure 5). The regional distribution of moraines reflects a pattern of westwards
4.3. Hummocky terrain

Several forms of hummocky terrain were identified around former ice margins. Small-scale hummocks (Figure 7) are more common, and consist of densely spaced circular to semi-rounded hills of <10 m relief. The hummocks are largely chaotic, but may be organized into crustate arcuate bands. Push moraines are often dispersed amongst the more chaotic hummocks. Large-scale hummocky terrain (Figure 8) is limited to a small zone on the southern LC-P margin, and consists of densely spaced irregular hummocks (polygons) and ridges (polylines) with intervening depressions. Hummocks range from 5–30 m high and 10–200 m wide and form chaotic assemblages. Their morphology is varied, and includes circular or oval-shaped mounds and linear ridges with straight or corrugated crests. These deposits are morphologically comparable to hummocky terrain produced by stagnant glacier snouts that foundered into saturated basal tills (Eyles, Boyce, Barendregt, 1999; Boone and Eyles, 2001).

4.4. Till eskers

These features are straight-to-sinusuous ridges with undulating crests, of between 50–500 m long and 5–15 m high. The ridges are orientated oblique to outer moraine crests, but not parallel with former ice-flow indicators (Figure 9). The ridges often merge into, or closely align with the limbs of saw-tooth moraines. These features are present on adverse topographic slopes inside larger, sharp-crested moraine ridges along the northern LGC-BA margin. Based on their morphology, we interpret these landforms as infilled water conduit systems, or so-called ‘till eskers’, as identified in modern Icelandic settings (Christoffersen, Piotrowski, Larsen, 2005; Larsen, Piotrowski, Christoffersen, Menzies, 2006; Evans, Ewertowski, Orton, 2016). Their origin is hypothesized to reflect the squeezing of saturated till into elongated basal cavities or R-channels after meltwater abandonment (Evans, Nelson, Webb, 2010). Data on the sedimentary nature of these landforms could test our current interpretation.

4.5. Glacial lineations

Linear, parallel, positive relief landforms displaying high-directional conformity were mapped as glacial lineations (Figure 10). Their regional distribution mirrors the principal ice-discharge pathways along major W-E trending valley axes (Glasser & Jansson, 2005). Bedrock lineations are well developed in areas of ice-scoured terrain and range from ~200–3000 m long and ~30–100 m wide. Tightly clustered oval-shaped drumlins and flutes are identified near the Chacabuco-Pueyrredón junction, and around the

**Table 3. Landforms mapped in this study classified according to depositional environment.**

| Environment               | Landform type                              | Feature on map | Abundance |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Ice-marginal glaciogenic  | Moraine ridges                             | Polyline       | 16,753    |
|                           | Continuous hummocky ridges                 | Polyline       | 4082      |
|                           | Hummocky terrain                           | Polygon/line   | 1552      |
|                           | Trimmelines                                | Polyline       | 182       |
| Subglacial                | Glacial lineations                         | Polygon/line   | 61,530    |
|                           | Till eskers                                | Polyline       | 161       |
| Glaciofluvial             | Outwash plain or tracts                    | Polygon        | 62         |
|                           | Outwash terraces                           | Polyline       | 417       |
|                           | Ice-contact glaciofluvial deposits          | Polyline       | 66        |
|                           | Eskers                                     | Polyline       | 344       |
|                           | Meltwater channels                         | Polyline       | 350       |
|                           | >150 m wide                                | Polyline       | 1198      |
|                           | Meltwater channels                         | Polyline       | 188       |
|                           | <50 m wide                                 | Polyline       | 153       |
| Glaciolacustrine          | Glaciolacustrine deposits                  | Polygon        | 1632      |
|                           | Shorelines                                 | Polyline       | 228       |
|                           | Raised deltas                              | Polyline       | 1,762/226 |
|                           | Iceberg wallow craters/                   | Polyline       | 23        |
|                           | squeeze ridges                            | Polyline       | 8         |
| Volcanic                  | Volcanic deposits or                       | Polygon        | 19        |
|                           | flows                                      | Polygon        | 155       |
|                           | Masetsas                                   | Polygon        | 69        |
| Modern hydrology          | Active deltas and                          | Polygon        | 998       |
|                           | alluvial deposits                          | Polyline       | 771       |
|                           | Lakes                                      | Polygon        | 35,546    |

Notes: Despite meticulous mapping, it is likely that the ‘true’ occurrence of landforms is underrepresented on the final geomorphological map (Main Map) owing to image resolution limitations and landform concealment in areas of dense vegetation cover.

**4.2. Continuous hummocky ridges**

These features comprise accumulations of closely spaced hummocks and short (<300 m) ridges of moderate relief (~5–25 m). Individual mounds can be difficult to delimit, but when viewed in planform represent semi-continuous parallel chains oriented perpendicular to ice flow (Figure 6). Occasionally, high-relief, sharp-crested ridges are interspersed amongst continuous hummocky ridges. These landforms may represent active push ridges fed by supraglacially dumped debris (e.g. Boulton & Eyles, 1979; Lukas, 2005), perhaps in the absence of a widespread deforming layer.

Alternatively, they could represent degraded moraines, or moraines that have been dissected by meltwater channels. Continuous hummocky ridges are exclusive to the southern LC-P margin, where they have previously been depicted as discrete, unbroken moraine ridges (Glasser & Jansson, 2008; Hein et al., 2010).
base of Sierra Colorado. Subdued sediment flutings (1–3 m high) occur on the Argentine forelands between moraine ridges (Figure 5), though they are difficult to detect, even within high-resolution imagery.

4.6. Meltwater channels

Straight, sinuous or meandering channels that are devoid of contemporary drainage and begin and end abruptly are interpreted as meltwater channels. In total, we map 1736 channels, which are ubiquitous around former ice lobe margins. Channel length reaches ~30 km and channel width ranges from ~20 to 800 m, the widest forming corridors of outwash-infill and converging with broader outwash plains (Figure 5). Meltwater channels follow former ice margins (Figures 5–7) or issue from frontal moraine systems. Along the southern LGC-BA margin, meltwater incision has eroded all but certain localized upstanding moraine fragments. Here, ice-marginal meltwater channels provide a clearer indication of former glacier position and surface gradient than moraines (Main Map; e.g. Bentley et al., 2005; Darvill, Stokes, Bentley, & Lovell, 2014).

4.7. Outwash plains and tracts

Broad, gently sloping surfaces of glaciofluvial sand and gravel represent outwash plains and tracts. Around the NPI, outwash deposits mantle the floor of major erosional corridors (Glasser et al., 2009). On former glacier forelands, coalescent outwash fans prograde eastwards from latero-frontal moraine complexes to form extensive outwash plains (Figure 5; Caldenius, 1932; Hein et al., 2009, 2011; Smedley et al., 2016), or occur within ice-margin parallel corridors due to topographic

Figure 5. (A) Satellite image (DigitalGlobe 2015; ESRI™) and (B) mapped moraine ridges and outwash deposits from the northern margin of the LGC-BA lobe. Outwash occurs within narrow meltwater channels incised through moraines (left of image) or as broader lateral corridors between moraine sequences (centre left of image). Moraines are locally dissected by former meltwater streams (right of image) which feed into broad sandur plains. (C) View across latero-frontal moraine arc east of Puerto Ibañez with higher elevation (older) moraine sequence in distance (right of image).
Figure 6. (A) Satellite image (DigitalGlobe 2015; ESRI™) and (B) continuous hummocky ridges mapped along the southern LC-P ice lobe margin. The image shows numerous short ridges and hummocks that connect to generate longer ice-margin parallel chains when viewed in planform. Sequences of closely spaced ridges are separated by narrow lateral outwash corridors, whilst individual ridges may be separated by minor marginal meltwater channels of <50 m wide. The outer ridges (bottom right of image) are heavily dissected by meltwater channels which feed a lateral sandur plain. (C) View across continuous hummocky ridge chain, showing variable hummock height and lengths, and proglacial outwash deposits.

Figure 7. (A) Satellite image (DigitalGlobe 2013; ESRI™) and (B) mapped hummocky terrain on the northern LGC-BA ice lobe margin. These small-scale hummocks are largely chaotic but may be organized into crude arcuate bands (top left of image) that are interspersed with low-relief push moraine ridges.
Figure 8. (A) Context for landform interpretation along the southern LC-P ice lobe margin, east of Posados. Late LGM glacier and proglacial lake limit after Hein et al. (2010), based on the identification of lacustrine deposits (black circles). At the time of the reconstruction, Hein et al. (2010) suggest ice was grounded around a prominent north–south trending bedrock step, and experienced insufficient flux to fully occupy the upper basin. The lake level contour (625 m a.s.l) was extracted from an ASTER-GDEM model. The extent of (B) and (C) is indicated by the dashed white box. (B) Satellite image (DigitalGlobe 2013; ESRI™) and (C) mapped landforms, showing a complex arrangement of geomorphic features. The right-hand section of the image shows an assemblage of densely spaced circular or oval-shaped mounds and linear ridges that display morphological resemblance with examples of ice-stagnation hummocky terrain (Eyles et al., 1999; Boone and Eyles, 2001). The hummock assemblage merges into a large complex of inferred iceberg wallow pits and craters, which exhibit deep semi-circular to elongate depressions and are enclosed by high-relief rim ridges or lateral berm ridges (e.g. Barrie et al., 1986; Woodward-Lynas et al., 1991). Low-relief hummock chains are interpreted as moat line ridges deposited at the margins of a small ice-contact lake ice (cf. Hall, Hendy, & Denton, 2006). Inferred moat line ridges occur outside the limits of hummocky terrain, and along the ice-contact face of prominent sharp-crested ridges. Their distribution and ‘shoreline-like’ pattern (A) is consistent with the perimeter and estimated water level of the proglacial lake system mapped by Hein et al. (2010).
constraints (e.g. moraines; Figure 5). Outwash deposits may be pitted, either in narrow ice-marginal strips, or at fan apices, due to melt-out of buried glacier ice (Evans & Orton, 2015; Evans & Twigg, 2002). The surfaces of outwash plains are often imprinted with complex abandoned channel networks, or exhibit clear terrace levels (Figure 5). These features may record the evolution of the proglacial drainage system, reflecting changes in glacier margin position, ice-marginal topography, or meltwater discharge (Evans & Twigg, 2002).

4.8. Eskers

These features are described as straight-to-sinuous ridges with oblique orientation relative to former ice-flow direction. Ridges can be isolated landforms (Figure 11) or occur within dense networks (Figure 12). These features are inset behind outer moraine crests along the northern LGC-BA and LC-P margins. Whilst no open sections were identified in the field, the ridge surfaces contained sands, gravels and cobbles. We interpret these landforms as eskers (e.g. Storrar, Evans, Stokes, Ewertowski, 2015), but acknowledge that only a single esker has been identified previously in Patagonia (Clapperton, 1989; Darvill et al., 2014; Lovell, Stokes, & Bentley, 2011).

An additional zone of enigmatic landforms was mapped at LGC-BA. These features form a densely spaced complex of near-straight ridges and conical mounds, ranging from 20 to 150 m wide and 100 to 800 m long (Figure 12). The ridges are characterized by hummocky long-profiles and variable widths, and their surfaces comprise sand and gravel sediments. These features might represent large-scale crevasse fills (cf. Bennett, Huddart, Waller, 2000); however, we speculate that they are eskers.

Their existence alongside another inferred esker network, shown in Figure 12(B), might support this interpretation.

4.9. Ice-contact outwash deposits

Gently sloping terraces of glaciofluvial sand and gravel, perched on valley sides or at valley confluences, are interpreted as ice-contact outwash deposits. These accumulations represent ice-contact glaciofluvial depo-centres and include: pitted, valley-side kame terraces; outwash fans with pitted ice-contact slopes (outwash heads; sensu Kirkbride, 2000); and low-gradient subaqueous fans draped over low-lying bedrock outcrops. These landforms occur within narrow valleys around the modern NPI, their location perhaps a reflection of favourable topographic setting (e.g. valley narrowings; cf. Barr & Lovell, 2014). Examples occur at Lago Brown, Lago Esmeralda and at the Colonia-Baker confluence (Main Map). Such deposits are often considered to have formed during periods of temporary glacier stabilization (Spedding & Evans, 2002).

4.10. Glacial lake outburst flood deposits

Large-scale gravel bars or flat-topped accumulations that exhibit channelized surfaces and imbricated boulder lags, with boulders of 1–10 m height, are interpreted as Glacial lake outburst flood deposits (e.g. Harrison et al., 2006). Such accumulations are elevated above the modern Río Baker west of Valle Chacabuco, and northwest of Cochrane (Main Map).
4.11. Iceberg wallow pits and craters

These landforms consist of semi-circular pits or elongated craters of between 5 and 35 m depth, flanked by semi-circular ring-ridges, or steep-sided (>35°) lateral berm ridges (Figure 8). The structures occur within a densely spaced network of regular NNW-SSE orientation, approximately sub-parallel to former ice-flow direction (Hein et al., 2010). These features occur along a narrow sector of the southern LC-P margin, where based on the distribution of lacustrine sediments, Hein et al. (2010) have mapped the extent of a small ice-marginal lake at ∼625 m a.s.l (Figure 8 (A)). We interpret the landforms as iceberg grounding structures. In glaciomarine settings, these include various pits, craters and scours (Woodward-Lynas, Josenhans, Barrie, Lewis, & Parrot, 1991). When embedded in the seafloor, icebergs excavate deep depressions due to vertical (impact) loading and wave-induced horizontal loading that facilitates iceberg rotation and wallowing, and sediment displacement to form berm ridges (e.g. Barrie, Collins, Clark, Lewis, & Parrot, 1986; Clark & Landva, 1988). Our morphologically based interpretation is consistent with the presence of a transient lake system at this site (Hein et al., 2010).

4.12. Ice-rafted moat lines

Around the margins of the small ice-contact lake mapped by Hein et al. (2010), we have identified curvilinear chains of closely spaced, low-relief (<4 m) small ridges and mounds, which are discontinuous but can be linked together and run for ∼100–700 m (Figure 8). In contrast to other linear features (e.g. moraines), these curvilinear chains are more subdued, less continuous and are not sharp-crested. Given the ice-marginal lacustrine context and their morphological
nature, we interpret these landforms as moat lines of ice-rafted debris let down through the unfrozen margins of an ice-contact lake (cf. Hall, Hendy, Denton, 2006). Sedimentological analyses are needed to test this interpretation.

4.13. Shorelines

Continuous linear terraces that run unbroken for tens of kilometres and exhibit no positive relief are interpreted as wave-cut scarps and benches (Glasser & Jansson, 2008). The most prominent lake shorelines occur east of LGC-BA and LC-P (Figure 13) and rise to \( \sim 300 \) m higher than contemporary lake levels. Previous shoreline mapping has enabled several reconstructions of proglacial lake evolution (Bourgois et al., 2016; Glasser et al., 2016; Hein et al., 2010; Turner et al., 2005). In comparison, we mapped a greater number of shoreline features, including very faint, closely spaced shoreline fragments located between the major wave-cut scarps. These features are only discernible from high-resolution images and hint at a complex lake level history.

4.14. Raised deltas

Flat-topped, sediment accumulations in the mouths of tributary valleys, and perched above modern lakes, represent raised lacustrine deltas (Figure 13; Bell, 2008, 2009; Glasser et al., 2016; Hein et al., 2010; Turner et al., 2005). At LGC-BA, raised deltas are often flanked by beaches (Figure 13(D)). Narrow, wave-cut terraces are present on some delta fronts, and are cited as evidence of either staged lake lowering (Bell, 2008) or lake transgression (Bourgois et al., 2016).
4.15. Glaciolacustrine deposits

On satellite imagery, glaciolacustrine deposits are flat, pale-coloured sediment accumulations deposited in former proglacial lakes (Table 2; Main Map). Field sections confirm the presence of glaciolacustrine sediments. Significant glaciolacustrine accumulations occur around former ice margins, palaeolake embayments (Figure 8), and on valley sides, where they drape bedrock or glacigenic deposits.

5. Summary and conclusions

This paper presents a new glacial geomorphological map (Main Map) of the central Patagonian region. Mapping is conducted at a consistent, high level of detail that exceeds that of previous works, and encompasses the complete area occupied by two major outlet lobes of the former PIS. The map reveals a complex suite of landform assemblages that includes (i) previously unmapped components of the glacial geomorphological
record (e.g. continuous hummocky ridges, hummocky terrain, till eskers, eskers and iceberg features); and (ii) updated spatial and morphological representations of features mapped previously from lower resolution imagery (e.g. moraines, meltwater channels). This new evidence allows the preliminary sub-division of mapped landform assemblages into two principal assemblages. (1) An outer assemblage developed on the former ice lobe forelands documents the evolution of piedmont glaciers emerging from mountainous catchments. This
assemblage contains nested latero-frontal moraine arcs and associated glaciofluvial outwash tracts, with localized eskers and till eskers inset behind larger moraine complexes. (2) An inner assemblage that developed as ice margins retreated into overdeepened valleys in the western sector of the study area, and led to evolution of a glaciolacustrine environment. This assemblage comprises widespread raised deltas, shorelines and fine-grained glaciolacustrine sediment piles. In addition, ice-contact glaciofluvial depo-centres (e.g. subaqueous fans) were constructed in topographically favourable locations (e.g. valley narrowings). Beyond these preliminary findings, the new geomorphological dataset presented here will facilitate the application of glacial inversion methods (Kleman et al., 2006) and, for the first time, land-system analysis (Evans, 2003) at the ice lobe scale. We anticipate that the dataset will be used to produce detailed reconstructions of (i) ice-margin recession; (ii) evolving ice-dynamics; and (iii) evolving palaeolake systems.

Software

Landforms were recorded in Esri ArcGIS (v10.3) and final map production undertaken in Adobe Illustrator.
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