A study on drug therapy issues in the department of medicine of a tertiary care teaching hospital-prospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Background: A drug therapy problem (DTP) is any undesirable event experienced by a patient that involves or is suspected to involve, drug therapy, and that interferes with achieving the desired goals of therapy. The improper use of drugs can lead to patient morbidity and even mortality. DTPs are the clinical territory of the pharmaceutical care practitioner and the resolution of identifying the DTPs help patients to achieve their goals of therapy. Identifying DTPs enables risk quantification and determination of the potential impact of prevention strategies. DTPs are associated with prolonged length of stay and increased economic burden and results in increased risk of death.

Methods: A hospital based, prospective observational study was conducted at the department of medicine in Rajah Muthiah medical college and hospital, 80 patients were enrolled in this study based on the inclusion-exclusion criteria. The DTPs were identified using the Cipolle’s method of classification of DTP.

Results: The study has shown that 80 of the patients involved in the study had a total of 136 DTPs. An average of 1.7 DTPs were recorded per patient during the study. The most common DTP identified was unnecessary drug therapy accounting to 47%. The absence of valid medical indication was (30%) and (16%) were due to the duplication of therapy. The second most common DTP was unsafe drug for patients, accounting to 45% were due to patient non-compliance and drug interaction which was minor. Need for additional drug therapy was the third most identified accounting 13% were due to medical indication indicate the need of drug therapy.

Conclusions: The foremost commonly observed DTP is unnecessary therapy and patient non-compliance to the drugs. The study suggests that DTPs are significantly occurring in hospital can cause the patient for comorbidity, prolonged hospitalization. The study suggests that clinical pharmacist and general practitioners can work together to spot and resolve the DTPs.
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related needs, then determines one or more DTPs exists, and, if so, works with the patient and other health care professionals to style, implement and monitor a care plan.

**Pharmaceutical care process**

Pharmaceutical care is required to all or any patients receiving medications. A pharmaceutical care process is critical to forestall patients from DTPs. An honest communication is critical between the patient and thus the health care professional. To assess the patient, the pharmacist should consider all he patient and medicine factors that leads DTPs. The assessment process involves speaking to the patient, physicians and reviewing the medication chart, while the target is on DTPs, the procedure allows the identification of disease related problems because the therapeutic approach is verified and validated. The identified DTP should be delivered to the notice of patients and other professionals for the event of effective and safe care plan. Prioritize the DTP: The identified DTP should be prioritized in line with the patient’s disease condition and so the severity of the matter. Develop a monitoring strategy: The progress towards the achievement of therapeutic goals should be monitored at specific intervals or periodically to further review. Document the care plan: The record of DTPs and also the therapeutic goals, along with the proposed actions, form a documented pharmaceutical care plan. The pharmaceutical care plan is often implemented with the consent of the patient and co-operation with other health care professionals. The outcomes of the care plan are evaluated in relevance to the therapeutic goal so as to see whether the DTP has solved and also the goal is attained. If the goal isn’t achieved, alternative plan could even be necessary.

**DTPs**

A DTP is defined as “an undesirable event, a patient experience that involves, or is suspected to involve drug therapy, which actually or potentially, interferes with a desired patient outcome” ! The DTPs can occur at any stages of the treatment from prescribing to administration. DTP possess a decent challenge to the clinicians affecting the required therapeutic outcome and increased morbidity and mortality.

**Categories of DTP as per Cipolle**

Cipolle et al proposed the subsequent categories of DTPs.6

**Appropriate indication for the medication:** This includes the patients who require additional drug therapy or receiving unnecessary drug therapy.

**Needing drug therapy but not receiving it:** A DTP occurs when there’s a medical condition needing drug therapy or preventive therapy or combination treatment for better efficacy.

**Receiving unnecessary drug therapy:** A DTP that happens when there’s no valid medical indication for the drug prescribed or multiple drug products were prescribed where one drug is efficient.

**Receiving wrong medicine:** The prescribed drug isn’t effective for the indication present or the condition is refractory to the drug product being employed or the dosage form is inappropriate.

**Dosage too low:** It’s a DTP that happens when the dose is just too low for the specified therapeutic action or too infrequent dosage interval or too short drug therapy duration.

**Too much of the proper drug:** The dose is just too high or the dosing frequency is simply too short or the duration of drug therapy is just too long or the dose was administered too rapidly.

**Adverse drug reaction:** the drug product causes an undesirable reaction that’s not dose related or a safer drug is required for patient risk factors, or a drug interaction causes an undesirable reaction that’s not dose related. A DTP that happens when the patient doesn’t understand the instructions or the patient prefers to not take or forgets to intake the medication or the value of the drug isn’t affordable or the drug product not available. Thus, the role of clinical pharmacist is to spot and endorse utilisation of safe and effective medications to resolve the DTPs, by analysing the medication regimen to be cost effective, safe and appropriate. Identification of DTPs and awareness on drugs which have risk of DTPs are essential components of treatment which can lessen DTPs, morbidity, mortality and improve personal satisfaction of patients. The main objective of the study is to identify the DTPs from the patient’s medication history.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

A hospital based prospective observational study was undertaken from November 2019 to April 2020 in Rajah Muthiah medical college and hospital, a 1400 bedded multi-specialty, tertiary teaching hospital located in South India. The study protocol was endorsed by the human research ethics committee of the institute prior to commencement of the study (Approval letter NO IHEC/565/2019 dated 20.12.19). The sample size was calculated using the software tool-OPENEPI supported by centers for disease control and prevention.

**Study participants**

Patients admitted in the medicine department of the hospital during the study period were included in the study. The recruitment of the subject was carried out with the help of physician who has knowledge of patient’s medical history.
Study procedure

A well-designed form data collection form was prepared. The study materials included the case sheets of the patient, data collection form and the ADR reporting form.

The data collection form used in this study consists of patient’s demographic characters including age, sex, diagnosis, comorbid medical conditions, administered drug’s name, indication, dose, schedule, duration of the therapy, the type of DTP identified.

Prior to the initiation of the study, the procedure was explained to the patients who volunteered and fulfilled the inclusion criteria and the informed consent was obtained.

The patients were routinely monitored for the medications given during their hospital stay. The medication charts were followed till the discharge of each patient.

All the medication data were checked for DTPs using the Medscape, Drugs.com and drug bank databases and various pharmacology textbooks along with the interview of patients for OTC medication history and evaluated, whenever an ADR was suspected it was recorded in the ADR reporting form.

Statistical analysis

The data was collected and entered in the Microsoft excel software 2007 and interpreted by descriptive statistics that was presented to analyze and express the reports as counts and percentages in the form of tables, charts and graphs.

RESULTS

Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants

During the six-month study period, a total of 80 cases were collected. Among which 18 were females and 62 were males. Thirty participants were in age group ranging 50 years, 26 participants were in the age group ranging 50-60 years and rest of the participants were above the age of 65.

| Variables   | No. of patients |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Age (years) |                 |
| Less than 50| 30              |
| 50- 60      | 26              |
| More than 60| 24              |
| Sex         |                 |
| Female      | 18              |
| Male        | 62              |

Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics of the patients.

Clinical diagnosis

All of the study participants were diagnosed with at least one disease by the physicians. A total of 139 diseases were reported of which 40 were reported with one disease, 24 were diagnosed with two diseases, 13 were diagnosed with three disease and the remaining 3 patients were diagnosed with four diseases.

![Figure 1: Clinical diagnosis in medicine ward.](image)

The most commonly diagnosed diseases were cardiovascular disease, gastrointestinal disease, respiratory tract infections, central nervous system disorders, hematologic and endocrinologic disorders.

| Diagnosed diseases       | No. of patients (%) |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Cardiologic disorders    | 28 (32.37)          |
| Endocrinologic disorders | 42 (30.21)          |
| CNS                      | 20 (14.38)          |
| RTI                      | 14 (10.07)          |
| GI disorders             | 8 (5.75)            |
| Hepatic                  | 6 (4.31)            |
| UTI                      | 3 (2.15)            |
| Hematologic              | 1 (0.71)            |

Table 2: Frequency of diseases in the medicine ward.

Prevalence of DTP

A total of 136 DTPs were identified during the study period involving 61 out of 80 patients. Of the total patients with DTP, 20 had at least one DTP, 19 patients had two DTPs, 10 of the patients had three DTPs and the remaining 12 patients had at most four DTPs.

| Type of DTP identified   | N (%)   |
|--------------------------|---------|
| Unnecessary DTP          | 47 (34) |
| Non-compliance           | 45 (33) |
| Need for additional therapy| 13 (9) |
| Incorrect dose           | 5 (4)   |
| Drug interactions        | 26 (20) |

Table 3: Identified DTPs.
The average hospital stay of the patients in the medicine ward was 5.2 days range= 2 to 9. A total of 433 medications were prescribed for the studied patient during the hospital stay. Average utilization was 7 medications per patient ranging 2 to 12.

**Table 4: Utilized medications in the medicine ward.**

| Medications             | N  |
|-------------------------|----|
| Antibiotics             | 61 |
| Diuretics               | 26 |
| Others                  | 70 |
| NSAID                   |  5 |
| Anti-coagulant          | 14 |
| Antacid and anti-ulcerant| 42 |
| Hypo-glycemic agents    | 35 |
| Anti-psychotics         | 21 |
| Anti-epileptics         | 18 |
| Anti-hypertensives      | 48 |
| Anti-hyper-lipidemia    |  8 |
| Supplements             | 13 |

The most common drug classes involved in the DTP were anti-ulcerant (26%), antibiotic (22%), anti-histamine (22%), NSAID (3%), anti-hypertensives (3%) and others (16%). Cefotaxime had the highest percentage of DTPs.

The most common DTP identified was unnecessary drug therapy accounting to 47%. The absence of valid medical indication was (30%) and (16%) were due to the duplication of therapy.

The second most common DTP was unsafe drug for patients, accounting to 45% were due to patient non-compliance as well as the drug interaction which was minor.

Need for additional drug therapy was the third most identified accounting 13% were due to medical indication indicate the need of drug therapy. The non-compliance to medication was due to the inability of the patient to afford the medication and unaware about the drug indication and usage.

**DISCUSSION**

The study has shown that 80 of the patients involved in the study had a total of 136 DTPs. An average of 1.07 DTPs were recorded per patient during the study. This is comparable to the result of the study in Norway which reported 81% of the hospitalised patients had DTPs. During this study a mean of 1.07 DTPs were recorded per patient. This is also comparable to the result of the study at the medical wards of Gre-noble university hospital within which a mean of 1.71 DRPs were identified per patient. But in line with a retrospective study in Australia, 4.6 DTPs were identified per patient. This is high as compared to the results of our study and therefore the reason could also be due to lower number of diagnosed diseases per patient during the study period which may possibly result in decreased occurrence of DTPs within the medical ward. The most common DTP identified was unnecessary drug therapy accounting to 47%. The absence of valid medical indication was (30%) and (16%) were due to the duplication of therapy. This is comparable to the result of a prospective observational study which was conducted in JUSH during which unnecessary drug therapy was the foremost common DTP identified (24.2%) followed by needs additional drug therapy, 22.8%; noncompliance, 19.5% and dosage too low, 12.1%. The second most common DTP was unsafe drug for patients, accounting to 45% were due to patient non-compliance and drug interaction which was minor. Noncompliance which was comparable to the result of the study in the medical ward of JUSH (19.55%). Need for additional drug therapy was the third most identified accounting 13% were due to medical indication indicate the need of drug therapy. A number of studies have been reported that the inclusion of a clinical pharmacist in the patient health care at the inpatient hospital setting had shown safer and efficient drug usage through recognizing, solving and averting the DTPs. As a conclusion it is suggested to provide pharmaceutical care service at the hospital settings.

**Limitations**

As the restraint, the study doesn’t suggest the severity and results of the identified DTPs. The information regarding the medication history and over the counter medication use were obtained from the patients and their caretakers as the evidence were minimal. The identification of the adverse drug reactions was obtained from the conclusion of physicians thus the results may be a misjudged count of ADR. When a DTP was found the physicians were informed for ethical reasons and hence there was furthermore decrease in the DTPs.

**CONCLUSION**

The foremost commonly observed DTP is unnecessary therapy and patient non-compliance to the drugs. Drugs may be a useful gadget within the prevention and treatment of symptoms and diseases, but if not used properly, they’ll be harmful and causes sub-optimal effects which are termed as DTPs. Our study suggests that DTPs are significantly occurring in hospital can cause the patient for comorbidity, prolonged hospitalization. The study suggests that clinical pharmacist and general practitioners can work together to identify and resolve the DTPs.
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