A new two-faced scalar solution and cosmological SUSY breaking.
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We propose a possible new way to resolve the long standing problem of strong supersymmetry breaking coexisting with a small cosmological constant. We consider a scalar component of a minimally coupled N=1 supermultiplet in a general Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) expanding universe. We argue that a tiny term, proportional to $H^2 \sim 10^{-122}$ in Plank’s units, appearing in the field equations due to this expansion will provide both, the small vacuum energy and the heavy mass of the scalar supersymmetric partner. We present a non-perturbative solution for the scalar field with an unusual dual-frequency behavior. This solution has two characteristic mass scales related to the Hubble parameter as $H^{1/4}$ and $H^{1/2}$ measured in Plank’s units.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A discrepancy between the vacuum energy $\sim M_P^4$ predicted by quantum field theory and the observed tiny cosmological constant $\Lambda \sim 10^{-122} M_P^4$ is one of the major problems in reconciling quantum field theory with cosmology. Supersymmetry could partially resolve this problem because the contributions to the vacuum energy from fermionic and bosonic loops cancel each other giving zero vacuum energy and a zero cosmological constant. The unsatisfactory feature of this scenario is the fact that supersymmetry should be broken at a rather large scale (compared to the cosmological constant) to give the observed shifts in the masses of superpartners.

We propose a possible new way to resolve this long standing problem of strong supersymmetry breaking coexisting with a small cosmological constant. We consider a scalar component of minimally coupled N=1 supermultiplet in a general Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) expanding universe. We argue that a tiny term, proportional to $H^2 \sim 10^{-122}$ in Plank’s units, appearing in the field equations due to this expansion will influence both the vacuum energy and the masses of supersymmetric partners in a very surprising way. We were able to find an unusual non-perturbative solution for the scalar field with the double periodic behavior of non-linear oscillator. This “two-faced” behavior provides a singular scalar solution with two very different frequencies corresponding to mass scales of $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{eV}$ and $\sim 300 \text{GeV}$. It is important to emphasize that these two mass scales are not related to regular spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism.

A straightforward estimation shows that the size of the universe changes during the time of the virtual loop fluctuations, $t_{\text{loop}} \sim t_P$ where $t_P$ is the Plank time ($t_P \sim 10^{-43} s$). The Plank scale is the natural choice because it represents the most energetic part of the fluctuation spectra. During this time the relative change of the size of the universe $R_0$ will be proportional to $\frac{\Delta M_{\text{P}}}{M_{\text{P}}} \sim 10^{-61}$.

The change in the scale factor $a$ during this time can be estimated using the value of the Hubble parameter $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$. For observed $H \sim 70 \text{ km/s/Mpc} \sim 2.3 \cdot 10^{-18} \text{s}^{-1}$ the change in the scale factor $\Delta(a^2) = (\dot{a}t_P)^2$ will be proportional to:

$$\frac{\Delta(a^2)}{a^2} = H^2 t_P^2 \sim 6 \cdot 10^{-122}.$$  

This means that the universe will change over the characteristic virtual loop time (Plank time $t_P$) and that the interactions of virtual particles in vacuum loops should reflect this difference. This change in the virtual particles at the beginning and at the end of a vacuum loop will lead to the effective “decompensation” on a scale of $\sim 10^{-122}$. This amazing coincidence between tiny value of $\frac{\Delta(a^2)}{a^2}$ and the ratio of $\frac{\Delta M_{\text{P}}}{M_{\text{P}}}$ may be related to the statement made by P. Dirac about the ”non-random” nature of the coincidence of the large dimensionless values in physics [1].

The regular SUSY models in a flat ”static” space have a zero vacuum energy due to the cancelation of bosonic and fermionic contributions [2]. However, the expansion of the universe could break this balance and create a non-zero vacuum energy density. In the expanding universe the fermionic and bosonic vacuum fluctuations behave differently and the effects on the energy density will be of the order of $\rho \sim 10^{-122} M_P^4$. The estimations of the scalar component contribution to the energy density fluctuation in the expanding universe can be found in multiple papers: [3][4]. Recently, similar result for supersymmetric model was derived in [5]. As it follows from these papers the scalar energy density is $\rho_s \sim H^2$ with $M_s \approx 10^{-30} M_P \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{eV}$. The fermionic contribution was considered in [6]. Following this paper the fermionic contribution is proportional to $\rho_f \sim R_0^3$ where $R_0$ is a horizon size. For the current epoch this estimation gives a very small value $\rho_f \approx (10^{-22})^4 \text{eV}^4$.

In this paper we will investigate the possibility of a novel approach to supersymmetry breaking that will pro-
vide relatively high fermion-scalar mass splitting \((10^2 - 10^3 \text{ GeV})\) while keeping the value of the vacuum energy density less than \((10^{-2})^4 \epsilon V^4\). We propose an alternative approach based on a non-perturbative solution for scalar field in the expanding Friedmann-Robertson-Walker background.

II. NON-PERTURBATIVE SCALAR SOLUTION

General N=1 supersymmetric Lagrangian of chiral supermultiplets (see [2] and references therein) has a form:

\[
L = \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial^\mu \phi_i - |m_{ij} \phi_j + \lambda_{ijk} \phi_j \phi_k|^2 + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} i \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{i}{2} m_{ij} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma_j \psi_j - \frac{\lambda_{ijk}}{2} (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma_j \psi_k + \bar{\psi}_j \gamma_k \psi_i) \phi_k,
\]

where \(\phi_i\) is a set of scalar components of chiral supermultiplets and \(\bar{\psi}_i\) are Majorana spinors from the same multiplets. We will concentrate on a single massless scalar field with a quartic self-interaction in a simplest form:

\[
L = \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \lambda \phi^4.
\]

For the expanding universe with a Hubble parameter \(H = \frac{a}{t}\) the equation of motion for the field \(\phi\) has a form:

\[
\ddot{\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0.
\]

With the variable transformation:

\[
\phi(t) = \frac{u(t)}{a(t)^{3/2}},
\]

the equation of motion becomes:

\[
\ddot{u} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 \right) u + a^{3/2}V'(u/a^{3/2}) = 0,
\]

where an overdot indicates a derivative with respect to \(t\) and \(a\) is a derivative with respect to the field \(\phi\). The second, ”effective mass” linear term: \(-\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2\) can be estimated using Friedmann-Robertson-Walker equations [8,10] with the metric \(dx^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)dx^2\):

\[
\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi}{3} G(\rho + 3p); \quad H^2 = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi}{3} G\rho.
\]

The linear ”mass” term related to the deceleration parameter \(q = -\frac{\alpha}{m^2}\). The current value of the deceleration parameter is \(q_0 \sim -0.5\) and the mass term can be estimated as \(-\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} H^2 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \approx -1.5 \cdot H^2 \approx -H^2\). In the early universe the value for the deceleration parameter was probably quite different. In the case of hot relativistic gas dominance, \(p = \rho/3\), and the mass term will flip sign as \(-\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} H^2 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \approx \frac{9}{2} H^2\). We will concentrate on the present moment, in this case the approximate equation will take form:

\[
\ddot{u} - H^2 u + \frac{\lambda}{a^3} u^3 = 0,
\]

for simplicity we set the numerical factor \(\sim O(1)\) in front of the second term to 1. This non-linear oscillatory equation has two non-perturbative solutions:

\[
u_{1,2} = \pm i \sqrt{-\frac{c_1}{\kappa}}, \quad \text{sn} \left[ \kappa (t - c_2); -1 - \frac{H^2}{\kappa^2} \right],
\]

where \(\text{sn} \left[ \kappa t; -1 - \frac{H^2}{\kappa^2} \right] \) is the Jacobi elliptic function [11], parameter \(\kappa\) is

\[
\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda c_1}{a^3 H^2 + \sqrt{a^3 H^4 + 2a^3 \lambda c_1}}},
\]

and \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are free parameters. The parameter \(c_2\) is an arbitrary time-shift that we will set to zero in all further expressions. If the mass term changes sign, the equation

\[
\ddot{u} + H^2 u + \frac{\lambda}{a^3} u^3 = 0.
\]

will have solutions of the form:

\[
\tilde{\nu}_{1,2} = \mp i \sqrt{-\frac{c_1}{\tilde{\kappa}}}, \quad \text{sn} \left[ \tilde{\kappa} t; 1 + \frac{H^2}{\tilde{\kappa}^2} \right],
\]

where \(\tilde{\kappa} = \sqrt{-\frac{a^3 H^2 + \sqrt{a^3 H^4 + 2a^3 \lambda c_1}}{\lambda c_1}}\).

We set the value of the self-interaction constant to \(\lambda \approx 10^{-12}\), the choice of the stronger self-interaction constant \(\lambda \approx 10^{-2}\) is also possible, see [3]. This specific value of \(\lambda\) will affect only the value of the free parameter \(c_1\) and is not crucial for the general results of this paper. The current value of the scale factor \(a\) can be set to \(a = 1\), other possible choices discussed in [10]. The value of parameter \(c_1\) will be considered later.

The Jacobi elliptic function \(\text{sn}[\tau, k]\) is one of the 12 Jacobi functions of this kind, [11]. The second argument, \(k\) is the elliptical modulus related to parameter \(m\) as \(k^2 = m\). In our solution \(m = -1 - \frac{H^2}{\kappa^2}\) with \(\kappa\) defined in eq. (5). The presence of a tiny second term in \(m\) proportional to \(H^2\) will lead to very important consequences discussed later. The main feature of the Jacobi elliptic functions is the existence of two distinct complex noncollinear oscillatory periods. The two periods of elliptic \(\text{sn}[\tau, m]\) are defined as follows

\[
\text{sn}[\tau + 4pK + i2nK', m] = \text{sn}[\tau, m],
\]

where \(p\) and \(n\) are integer numbers, and \(K(m)\) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with \(K'(m) = K(1 - m)\). The real physical time \(t\) is related to a dimensionless complex parameter \(\tau\) as \(\tau = \kappa t\). It means that the direction of \(\tau\) evolution with physical time \(t\) on a complex plane will be defined by \(\kappa\).
The non-linear equation of the type (3) for particle physics was previously studied by many authors, including M. Fraska in [12]. In these papers, however, the second term of equation (3) proportional to $H^2$ was missing and the value of parameter $m = -1$.

III. DOUBLE-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

The double-periodic nature of solution (4) with $H^2$ dependence opens a very interesting possibility of existence of two distinct non-linear oscillatory processes in a single two-faced solution for the scalar field $\phi$ with drastically different effective frequencies. We will show that the heavy component can have an effective mass of the order $\sim 300 - 400 \text{ GeV}$ and the light component’s mass can be of the order of $\sim (2 - 3) \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}$ for the current epoch. These numbers will depend on the cosmological time and the corresponding value of the Hubble parameter. Interestingly, this dependence is very soft and smooth for the time period between the end of inflation and current time. During the inflation and reheating both scales will follow and, possibly, influence the metric evolution.

The geometry of periodic structure shows that even the relative directions of $T_1$ and $T_2$ differ by ten or more orders of magnitude depending on $\kappa$, $T_1$, and $T_2$.
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The values $T_1$ and $T_2$ will depend on Hubble parameter $H$, self-interaction constant $\lambda$, scale factor $a$, and complex valued constant $c_1 = c_r + i c_i$. All values will be expressed in Plank units. The value for parameter $c_1$ can be estimated from the mass of the fluctuating field $M_0 \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-18} eV$ related to the current value of the cosmological constant. Figures 2 and 3 plot the behavior of the light and heavy scalar masses for the current value of the Hubble parameter $H = 10^{-61} t_P^{-1}$. It is clear from these figures that the heavy mass $M_1 \approx 3 \cdot 10^2 \text{ GeV}$ and the light mass $M_2 \approx 2 - 3 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}$ can be found simultaneously for some value of parameter $c_1$. The real component $c_r$ of this parameter will mostly influence the heavy mass and its imaginary part $c_i$ will mostly affect the light one. We set the values of the constants $c_r$ and $c_i$ based on the known value of the cosmological vacuum energy estimated from $H^2$ and the wide predicted range of supersymmetry breaking $\sim 10^2 - 10^3 \text{ GeV}$. Similar plots were also done for $H = 10^{-30} t_P^{-1}$ with analogous results. A fundamental and clear physical and mathematical criteria for the choice of the constant $c_1$ is yet to be found.

The direct calculation of the time dependence of the Jacobi sn function for the current value of Hubble parameter $H = 10^{-61} t_P^{-1}$ as well as for the $H = 10^{-40} t_P^{-1}$ for the first milliseconds of the universe exhibit the periodic behavior of the solution eq. (1) with the periods $\Omega$. Figures 4 and 5 demonstrate this dual-periodic behavior for the low and high frequency oscillations for the specific choice of the parameter $c_1$. The high frequency mode is very close to harmonic oscillations (although the second derivative clearly shows the deviations from the harmonic behavior). It is extremely hard to plot the low frequency processes with a background of a higher amplitude and a higher frequency (up to 10-16 orders of magnitude) oscillations. We used moving average filtration to remove the high frequency oscillations. The highly non-harmonic, periodical pulse process for $H = 10^{-40} t_P^{-1}$ is shown in the figure 5.

IV. CONCLUSION

We were able to find a non-perturbative solution for the scalar field with an unusual two-faced behavior. This solution has two characteristic mass scales related to the Hubble parameter as $H^{1/4}$ and $H^{1/2}$. Even the possibility of a dual-frequency solution with one high mass value for a scalar superpartner of N=1 SUSY multiplet is itself a strong breaking of supersymmetry. It is important to notice that these two mass scales are not related to the usual energy levels of quantum oscillators and represent the fundamental, inner property of the scalar solution $\Lambda$. Possibly, in some cases this solution could be regarded as strongly coupled oscillators with two different resonant frequencies. Interestingly, the existence of this solution with its high and low masses is based on the appearance of a tiny term proportional to $H^2$ in the wave equation. This term is related to the third natural scale with masses $\sim 10^{-33} \text{eV}$ connected to the inner frequencies of the entire universe. The possibility of such scalars with the masses quantized in terms of cosmological constant $\Lambda = 3H^2$ as $\frac{m^2}{\Lambda} = n$ in the framework of extended supergravity in de Sitter vacua was discussed in [13, 14].

The results presented above leave a few unresolved questions. It is important to notice that the derivation of the above results was based on a quasi-classical rather than quantum approach. Our consideration of a static case allows us to replace the non-linear field equation with the non-linear oscillatory equation. One of the major questions is the observational absence of the light scalar particles with the masses $2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}$. Apart from the obvious observational difficulties detecting such particles there exist additional circumstances that could make the detection especially difficult. This light scalar will interact with its own fluctuations with the same energies.
as the mass of the particle. In this case an additional non-linear dumping will inevitably appear, similar to the non-linear attenuation of the waves in a diffuse noisy field. Therefore, the life time of this light particle can be very short, making it hard to detect.

Another question is related to the quantum fluctuations of the high frequency scalar component. Clearly, a truly exhaustive answer can be obtained only in a framework of a supersymmetric quantum field theory in expanding cosmology.

The solution described in this paper, where the scalar superpartner has such an unusual structure is considerably novel. If there is a similar structure for the vector multiplets is also not clear and can be a subject of future research.

The processes in the early universe during the inflation are related to fast changes of Hubble parameter as well as the scale factor $a$, and its derivatives $\dot{a}$ and $\ddot{a}$. The linear term in eq. (9) was relatively large at this time. Additionally, the sign of this term will depend on $\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$. This creates a potential feedback that can induce stabilizing/destabilizing effects on the expansion of universe.
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