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Abstract
Large-scale pre-trained representations such as BERT have been widely used in many natural language understanding tasks. The methods of incorporating BERT into document-level machine translation are still being explored. BERT is able to understand sentence relationships (Devlin et al., 2019) since BERT is pre-trained using the next sentence prediction task. In our work, we leverage this property to improve document-level machine translation. In our proposed model, BERT performs as a context encoder to achieve document-level contextual information, which is then integrated into both the encoder and decoder. Experiment results show that our proposed method can significantly outperform strong document-level machine translation baselines on BLEU score. Moreover, the ablation study shows our method can capture document-level context information to boost translation performance.

1 Introduction
Recent years have witnessed the great success of neural machine translation (NMT) (Sutskever et al., 2014; Bahdanau et al., 2014; Vaswani et al., 2017). NMT systems have even achieved human parity on resource-rich language pairs (Hassan et al., 2018). However, standard NMT systems perform translation only at the sentence level, which ignores the dependencies among sentences when translating entire documents. To address the above challenges, various document-level NMT models, have been proposed to extract contextual information from surrounding sentences and have achieved substantial improvements in generating consistent translations (Voita et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Werlen et al., 2018; Maruf et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020).

Large-scale pre-trained text representations like GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2018, 2019), BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019), ALBERT (Lan et al., 2019), have been widely used in many natural language understanding tasks. Among them, BERT is one of the most effective representations that has inspired many other representations such as RoBERTa, ALBERT. It significantly boosts the performance of many natural language understanding tasks, including text classification, question answering, etc (Devlin et al., 2019). There have been few recent attempts to incorporate BERT into NMT models (Xiong et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2020; Weng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020).

Intuitively, as one of BERT’s pre-training tasks is the binarized next sentence prediction (NSP) task, a natural assumption is that the NSP task has enabled the model to understand the relationship between two sentences, the relationship information is helpful to model the context information for document-level machine translation.

In this work, we propose to extend the Transformer model to take advantage of BERT document-level contextual representation. We use the pre-trained BERT as a context encoder to achieve document-level representation, which is then integrated into both the encoder and the decoder of Transformer NMT model. We use a multi-head attention mechanism and context gate to control how each layer interacts with BERT context representations adaptively.

We conducted experiments on two document-level machine translation datasets. Experimental results show that our proposed model can outperform Transformer baselines and previous state-of-the-art document-level NMT models on BLEU score. Also, we perform an ablation study showing that the BERT context encoder can capture document-level context representation to improve translation performance.
2 Approach

2.1 Problem Statement

Formally, denote $X = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N$ as a source-language document with $N$ source sentences. The corresponding target-language document is denoted by $Y = y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_N$. We assume that $(x_i, y_i)$ is a parallel sentence pair.

Following (Zhang et al., 2018), we omit the target-side document-level context $y_{<i}$ because of the translation error propagation problem, and source side document-level context $x_{<i}$ conveys the same information with $y_{<i}$. Therefore, the probability can be approximated as:

$$P(Y | X; \theta) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(y_i | x_i; x_{<i}; x_{>i}; \theta)$$  (1)

where $x_i$ is the source sentence aligned to $y_i$, $x_{<i}$ and $x_{>i}$ are the document-level context sentences used to translate $y_i$.

2.2 BERT Context Encoder

The context encoder is a BERT model. The input $x_{ctx}$ of BERT is the concatenation of current sentence $x_i$ and document-level context sentences $(x_{<i}, x_{>i})$ as follows:

Where [CLS] and [SEP] are special tokens for BERT. The context input $x_{ctx}$ is encoded by BERT into document-level context representation $C_B = BERT(x)$. $C_B$ is the output from the last layer of BERT.

2.3 BERT Context Representation Integration

Inspired by (Zhang et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2020), we use multi-head attention to integrate BERT context representation $C_B$ into both the encoder and the decoder of Transformer NMT model.

2.3.1 Integration into the Encoder

As shown in Figure 1, we follow Vaswani et al. (2017) using a stack of $L$ identical layers to encode $x_i$. Every layer consists of two attention models with different parameters. The first attention model is a multi-head self-attention:

$$B^{(l)} = MultiHead \left(S^{(l-1)}, S^{(l-1)}, S^{(l-1)} \right)$$  (2)

where $S^{(0)}$ denotes the word embedding of sequence $x_i$. The second attention model is context
attention that integrate BERT document-level context into the encoder:

\[
D^{(l)} = MultiHead \left( S^{(l-1)}, C_B, C_B \right) \tag{3}
\]

If we directly combine the outputs of the two attention mechanisms, the influence of document-level context will be enhanced in an uncontrolled way as the context information will be added to every layer. Also, different source sentences require different amount of context information for translation. Inspired by context gate in Werlen et al. (2018); Zhang et al. (2018), we propose to use context gate to combine the output of the two attention mechanisms.

\[
g' = \sigma \left( W_g^{(l)} \left[ B^{(l)}, D^{(l)} \right] + b_g^{(l)} \right)
\]

\[
A^{(l)} = g' \odot B^{(l)} + \left( 1 - g' \right) \odot D^{(l)} \tag{4}
\]

Where \( \sigma \) is a sigmoid function. Then the combination is further processed by a position-wise fully connected feed-forward neural network \( FFN(\cdot) \):

\[
S^{(l)} = FFN(A^{(l)}) \tag{5}
\]

\( S^{(l)} \) is the representation for the source sentence \( x_i \) and its context at the \( l \)-th layer.

### 2.3.2 Integration into the decoder

Similar to the encoder layer, we use context gate and attention mechanism to integrate the BERT document-level context representation into standard Transformer decoder. In the \( l \)-th layer,

\[
E^{(l)} = MultiHead \left( T^{(l-1)}, T^{(l-1)}, T^{(l-1)} \right)
\]

\[
F^{(l)} = MultiHead \left( E^{(l)}, C_B, C_B \right)
\]

\[
G^{(l)} = MultiHead \left( E^{(l)}, S^{(L)}, S^{(L)} \right)
\]

\[
d^{(l)} = \sigma \left( W_d^{(l)} \left[ F^{(l)}, G^{(l)} \right] + b_d^{(l)} \right)
\]

\[
H^{(l)} = d^{(l)} \odot F^{(l)} + \left( 1 - d^{(l)} \right) \odot G^{(l)}
\]

\[
T^{(l)} = FFN(H^{(l)}) \tag{6}
\]

After achieving the final representations of the last decoder layer \( T^{(L)} \), the output probability of the current target sentence \( y_i \) are computed as:

\[
p \left( y_i \mid x_i, x_{<i}, x_{>i} \right) = \prod_t p \left( y_{i,t} \mid y_{i,t}, x_{<i}, x_{>i} \right) \tag{7}
\]

\[
= \prod_t softmax \left( E [y_{i,t}]^\top T_{t,i}^{(L)} \right)
\]

### 3 Experiments

#### 3.1 Dataset

We use two English-German datasets as the benchmark datasets, which are TED and News. The corpora statistics are shown in Table 1.

- **TED**: This corpus is from the IWSLT 2017 MT track (Cettolo et al., 2012) aligned at the sentence level. Every TED talk is treated as a document.

- **News Commentary**: This corpus is from document-delimited News Commentary v11 1 aligned at the sentence level.

We obtain the processed datasets from Maruf et al. (2019)². We use the same train/valid/test datasets with Maruf et al. (2019), so that our results can be compared with previous work. We use the script of Moses toolkit³ to tokenize the sentence. We use byte pair encoding (Sennrich et al., 2016) to segment all sentences with 30K merge operations. The evaluation metrics is BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002).

| Dataset   | Sent No. | Doc len avg |
|-----------|----------|-------------|
| TED       | 0.21M / 9K / 2.3K | 121.4 / 96.4 / 98.7 |
| News      | 0.24M / 2.2K / 3K  | 38.9 / 26.8 / 19.4 |

Table 1: Statistics of the train/valid/test corpora.

#### 3.2 Implementation Details

Firstly, we train a Transformer sentence-level NMT model until convergence, then use the obtained model to initialize our proposed document-level model. The context encoder attention and context decoder attention are randomly initialized. The pre-trained BERT model is \texttt{bert-base-uncased}. When training our proposed document-level model, the parameter of the BERT encoder is not trainable. To balance the accuracy and the computation cost, we only use one previous sentence as the context.

We use the same model configuration with the setting of the Maruf et al. (2019). For the Transformer NMT model, the hidden size is 512, and the FFN layer dimension is 2048. The number of layers is 4; the number of attention head is 8. The

1. http://www.casmacat.eu/corpus/news-commentary.html
2. https://github.com/moses-smt/mosesdecoder
3. https://github.com/moses-smt/selective-attn
Table 2: BLEU scores on the two document-level machine translation benchmarks

| Model                                      | TED  | News |
|--------------------------------------------|------|------|
| HAN (Werlen et al., 2018)                 | 24.58| 25.03|
| SAN (Maruf et al., 2019)                  | 24.62| 24.84|
| QCN (Yang et al., 2019b)                  | 25.19| 22.37|
| Doc-Transformer (Zhang et al., 2018)      | 24.01| 22.42|
| Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017)        | 23.28| 22.78|
| Flat-Transformer (Ma et al., 2020)        | 24.87| 23.55|
| +BERT                                      | 26.61| 24.52|
| BERT-fused (Zhu et al., 2020)             | 25.59| 25.05|
| Our Reproduced Transformer                | 23.99| 22.50|
| Our Proposed Model                        | 26.23| 26.55|

Table 3: Effect of context integration. "none" means no BERT context representation is integrated, "encoder" means BERT context representation is only integrated into the encoder, "decoder" means BERT context representation is only integrated into the decoder, "both" means BERT context representation is integrated into both the encoder and the decoder.

| Integration | BLEU |
|-------------|------|
| none        | 22.50|
| encoder     | 25.65|
| decoder     | 25.55|
| both        | 26.55|

3.3 Experimental results

We list the results of our experiments in Table 2, comparing six context-aware NMT models. For Document-aware Transformer (Zhang et al., 2018), Hierarchical Attention NMT (Werlen et al., 2018), Selective Attention NMT (Maruf et al., 2019) and Query-guided Capsule Network (Yang et al., 2019b), Flat-Transformer (Ma et al., 2020), using BERT to initialize the encoder of Flat-Transformer(+BERT). Most of the previous work’s results are from Ma et al. (2020), except BERT-fused (Zhu et al., 2020). The result of BERT-fused (Zhu et al., 2020) is my re-implementation using the current sentence and one previous sentence as BERT input. The reproduced Transformer uses the 4-layers setting, which is the same as our proposed model.

As shown in Table 2, by leveraging BERT document-level context representation, our proposed model obtains 2.24 and 4.05 gains over our reproduced sentence-level Transformer baselines in BLEU score on TED and News datasets, respectively. Among them, our model achieves new state-of-the-art results on the News dataset, showing the superiority of exploiting BERT document-level context representation.

Our model achieved significant improvement on the News dataset, but relatively smaller gains on the TED dataset and haven’t achieved state-of-the-art performance. Since BERT is pre-trained on BooksCorpus and Wikipedia, and the document in News dataset is more similar to the pre-training corpus, BERT can better encode context information on News dataset.

3.4 Ablation study

Effect of Context Integration Table 3 shows the effect of integrating BERT context representation into the encoder and the decoder. We can find that integrating BERT context representation into the encoder brings more improvements, it is also beneficial to integrate representation into the decoder. The results indicate that the BERT context representation should be integrated into both encoder and decoder to achieve better performance.

Does the BERT encoder really capture the contextual information? Yes. Li et al. (2020) claims that the improvements of the multi-encoder...
Table 4: BLEU scores using three context inputs

|       | BLEU |
|-------|------|
| Context | 26.55 |
| Random | 25.96 |
| Fixed | 26.14 |

document-level NMT approach is not from leveraging of contextual information, instead, it is from the noise generated by the context encoder that can provide richer training signals. To investigate whether the BERT context encoder has captured contextual information, we follow the experimental setting in Li et al. (2020) presenting three types input for the BERT context encoder and make experiments on News dataset.

- Context: Concatenation of the previous sentence and the current sentence.
- Random: Concatenation of a sentence consisting of words randomly selected from the source vocabulary and the current sentence.
- Fixed: Concatenation of a fixed sentence and the current sentence.

As shown in Table 4, the performance of Random and Fixed decrease due to the incorrect context, which is different from the result in Li et al. (2020). This indicates that our proposed model can really capture the contextual information. Although the performance of Random and Fixed decreases, they can still outperform the standard Transformer model significantly. This is because current sentence usually plays a more important role in target sentence generation, and our proposed model can leverage the representation of current sentence obtained by BERT as extra representation.

4 Related Work

**Document-level NMT** Document-level NMT models incorporate the document-level contextual information to generate more consistent and coherent translations compared with sentence-level NMT models. Most of the existing document-level NMT models can be divided into two categories: Uni-encoder models (Tiedemann and Scherrer, 2017; Li et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020) and dual-encoder models (Voita et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Werlen et al., 2018; Maruf et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019b). Uni-encoder models (Tiedemann and Scherrer, 2017; Li et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020) take the concatenation of contexts and source sentences as the input. Dual-encoder (Voita et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Werlen et al., 2018; Maruf et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019b) models integrate an additional encoder to incorporate the contextual information into standard NMT models. Our proposed model can be categorised as a dual-encoder model. More recently, Li et al. (2020) indicates that in dual-encoder document-level NMT models, the BLEU score improvement is not attributed to the use of contextual information. We have shown that our model can really capture the contextual information to improve the BLEU score.

**BERT for Neural Machine Translation** Recently, some works tried to apply BERT into NMT. Song et al. (2019) proposed MASS pre-training, showing promising results in unsupervised NMT. Yang et al. (2019a); Weng et al. (2019); Chen et al. (2020) leverage knowledge distillation to acquire knowledge from BERT to NMT. Li et al. (2019); Ma et al. (2020) use BERT to initialize parameters of document-level NMT model encoder. BERT-fused model (Zhu et al., 2020) exploits the representation from BERT by integrating it into all layers of Transformer model. BERT-fused model can also be extended to document-level NMT, but our work is different in the modeling and experimental part. While Zhu et al. (2020) are mainly focusing on improving sentence-level machine translation performance, they proposed a drop-net trick to combine the output of BERT encoder and the standard Transformer encoder, our proposed context gate combination can better leverage document-level context information since it is more correspond to the fact that different source sentences require a different amount of context information for translation.

5 Conclusion

We have presented a method for leveraging BERT to capture contextual information for document-level neural machine translation. Experiments on two document-level machine translation tasks demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach. Besides, we have shown that our approach can really capture the context information to improve the translation performance.

For future work, we plan to compress our model into a light version to leverage more context sen-
tences. Also, we plan to do experiments on large-scale datasets and some other language pairs like Chinese-English.
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