Treatment of metaphyseal distal radius fractures in children by Sauve Kapandji’s intrafocal reduction method and K-wiring: A retrospective analysis
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Abstract
Fractures of distal radius in metaphyseal region in paediatric age are one of most common orthopaedic injuries in the paediatric emergency department.1,2 The distal radius is considered as most common fracture site in the forearm fractures, accounting for 20–30%.3,4 The presence of epiphysis, adjacent to the fracture, poses challenge in managing these fractures by conservative or operative means. There are concerns about the maintenance of reduction in conservative treatment, ability of the bone to completely remodel after malunion, especially fractures in children over the age of 10 years. In this study, the distal radius paediatric metaphyseal fractures, which were grossly displaced and/ or angulated were treated using Sauve Kapandji’s reduction maneuver and were fixed with two parallel or cross smooth K wires. This mode of treatment gave satisfactory correction of fracture and maintenance of reduction till the union time. Grossly displaced fractures, children over 10 years, inadequately reduced fractures, the results found to be less than satisfactory. Sauve Kapandji’s method of intra focal reduction gives excellent reduction, maintenance of reduction and also predictable and reproducible results in terms of function and cosmesis. Here we are retrospectively studying 20 consecutively treated patients of distal third metaphyseal fractures of radius by using Sauve Kapandji’s method of intrafocal reduction and fixation with K wiring at Belagavi Institute of Medical Sciences between Jan 2017 to Dec 2017.
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Introduction
Fractures of distal radius in metaphyseal region in paediatric age are one of most common orthopaedic injuries in the paediatric emergency department.1,2 The distal radius is considered as most common fracture site in the forearm fractures, accounting for 20–30%.3,4 These fractures occur at the metaphysis5 and their presentation vary in terms of displacement and angulation. The presence of epiphysis, adjacent to the fracture, poses challenge in managing these fractures by conservative or operative means. There are concerns about the maintenance of reduction in conservative treatment, ability of the bone to completely remodel after malunion, especially fractures in children over the age of 10 years.6,8 While addressing these concerns, Sauve Kapandji’s method of intra focal pinning, was found to be very useful.9

Sauve Kapandji’s method of treatment for distal radius is successfully proven method in adults, but rarely used for paediatric (age ≥10 yrs) distal metaphyseal fractures. In this study, the distal radius paediatric metaphyseal fractures, which were grossly displaced and/ or angulated were treated using Sauve Kapandji’s reduction maneuver and were fixed with two parallel or cross smooth K wires. This mode of treatment gave satisfactory correction of fracture and maintenance of reduction till the union time. Here we are retrospectively studying 20 consecutively treated patients of distal third metaphyseal fractures of radius by using Sauve Kapandji’s method of intrafocal reduction and fixation with K wiring at Belagavi Institute of Medical Sciences between Jan 2017 to Dec 2017.

Materials and Methods
20 patients of distal radius metaphyseal fractures in paediatric age reporting to orthopaedic OPD of Belagavi Institute of Medical Sciences were studied. Of the 20 patients 14 were male patients and 6 were female. All the patients were between 10-14 years with average age of 12 yrs. Right radius was involved in 12 patients and 8 had left radius fractures. 14 patients had gross bayonetting and 6 had dorsal tilt.

Inclusion Criteria: Only grossly displaced and/ or angulated (more than 30 degrees), which could not be satisfactorily reduced by an attempt of closed reduction were considered for this method of treatment. All patients were above 10 years of age and open epiphysis in all cases. None of the fractures were extending into physis.

Exclusion Criteria: All the metaphyseal injuries in patients age less than 10 years were not included. Fractures which could be reduced by closed manipulation and un-displaced fractures were excluded from the study. Fractures with extension into epiphysis (e.g. type 2 physial injuries) were also not included.

In this study, there were 20 patients were included. Of which 14 were male and 8 were females. Dominant limb was involved in 11 fractures and 9 fractures were on non-dominant side. 17 fractures were sustained while playing, 5 kids had fracture due to fall from bicycle and remaining 3 were due to self-fall.
**Methodology**

All patients above 10 years of age with gross displacement or angulation were taken for closed reduction under anaesthesia. Only those which failed closed reduction, with persistant displacement or angulation were considered for Sauve Kapandji's method of treatment. As a continuation of procedure, under image guidance, 2.5 mm K wires was inserted percutaneously into the fracture site. One from dorsal side between diaphysis and dorsally tilted or displaced distal fragment. Additional k wire on lateral side was used in fractures with lateral tilt or displacement. Both wires were simultaneously used as joysticks and fracture was hinged back to position under image intensifier. Reduction was confirmed in both antero-posterior and lateral views. Two parallel or cross K wires of size 1.8mm were passed from lateral to medial direction. Position of the wires were checked under C-arm. Patients were initially put in short arm dorsal slab. Postoperative x-rays were taken, immediately after the procedure. All patients received single dose of injectable cefotaxime and were discharged on same day. On 3rd post op day, below elbow cast was applied and a window made at K wire insertion site for regular dressing. Follow up X-rays were taken at 7 days, 4 weeks, 6 weeks and 3 months post operatively. Pin site dressing was done every week. Cast was removed at 4 weeks and wrist mobilization started. At 4 weeks, on evidence of presence of callous, k wires were removed in outpatient department. Average operative time was 15 min.
Results

Of the 20 patients, 18 patients followed up for three months and 2 lost to follow up after 6 weeks. Average time for bridging callous was 4 weeks. It varied from 3 weeks to 6 weeks. All patients were mobilized when x-ray showed signs of callous. On post op X-rays, 15 patients had no residual angulation and 5 had angulation of less than 5° in sagital or coronal plane. These findings remained same. None of the patients showed any further progression of displacement till fracture healed with k wire in situ. 16 patients underwent wire removal at 4 weeks and 4 underwent wire removal at 6 weeks. Two patients had pin site infection, which settled with cefuroxime (empericy given) for 5 days. All patients had full recovery of functional range of movements.

Discussion

Distal radius metaphyseal fractures in paediatric age are one of most common injuries1,2 in paediatric age, accounting for 20-30%.3,4 These injuries are commonly managed by closed reduction and cast immobilization and this is considered standard method of treatment5,6. Some have reported good outcomes with conservative management with few complications or long-term problems;7 however, loss of reduction (LOR) rates between 10% and 91% have been reported by many. In general, one third of patients reported with late displacement10,11. Risk of re-displacement are found to be multifactorial.6,10 Some of the factors responsible for re-displacement are; Fracture-related factors such as gross initial displacement or angulation, and increased obliquity of the fracture line,10,12,13 residual angulation or displacement, poor casting method even after closed reduction,12 and loosening of cast either due to reduction in swelling after cast application or muscle atrophy, which may re-displace the fracture.5

Widmann et al.14 found that complete metaphyseal distal radius fractures were at higher risk for LOR, and 50% of these fractures in children over ten years of age, lose initial reduction. In some studies, older patients i.e, 14 years old or more were 4.8 times more likely to lose reduction. Hence while treating older children with reduced potential for remodeling,15,18 loss of reduction is an important factor in predicting outcome. In an attempt to reduce the chances of redisplacement, some recent studies have shown, improved results with percutaneous wiring.10,11,16 The aim of this study was to identify those high risk fractures for re-displacement and to formulate an effective method of treatment which can minimise the complications, in terms of loss of reduction and malunion.

Closed reduction and k wiring has been recommended by some, in group of patients who are at higher risk of loss of reduction.13,17,18 As persistent deformity can be seen after the plaster removal, among the patient with loss of reduction or inadequately reduced fractures, which doesn’t instill confidence among parents. This anxiety can be compounded, if the patient is advised reatempt of procedure after failure of the initial treatment.11 These factors justify closed reduction and k wiring in these high risk groups which have advantage of better maintenance of reduction till the point of fracture healing11,16 and fewer follow-up X-rays19 and a low rate of complications.13,18 Long term functional outcome of these fractures with conservative treatment are good, especially in age group less than 10 years.7 However for the children older than 10 years and the ones, who have difficult reductions or fractures which couldn’t be reduced satisfactorily. In these Sauve kapandjia method of intra-focal reduction with supplementation with parallel/cross k wires, provides predictable outcome, prevents loss of reduction because various factors listed above.6,9

Conclusion

Distal radius metaphyseal injuries are one of the most common fractures. Conventionally these have been treated by closed reduction and cast application with good results. Results among grossly displaced fractures, children over 10 years, inadequately reduced fractures, the results found to be less than satisfactory. Sauve kapandji’s method of intra focal reduction gives excellent reduction, maintenance of reduction and also predictable and reproducible results in terms of function and cosmesis. Though a longer study is required to observe long term benefits and complications. This study is limited in assessing the maintenance of reduction till fracture healing. Further, Sauve Kapandji’s method can be tried with larger sample size, as it is safe and reliable.
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