Preliminary study on diversity of intertidal gastropods in Barangay Day-asan, Surigao City, Philippines
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Abstract

Unregulated harvesting and habitat degradation of marine gastropods are major anthropogenic activities done by the local people in Barangay Day-asan, Surigao City, Philippines. This study was undertaken to determine the diversity of marine gastropods found in the intertidal of Dapya Island. Collection of specimens was done through hand picking and beach-combing methods in the three established study stations. Data revealed a total of 184 individuals belonging to 37 species in 5 orders, 15 families and 25 genera. The order Neogastropoda obtained the highest number of species with 15 species, followed by order Mesogastropoda with 10 species, order Archaeogastropoda with 7 species, order Neritopsina with 4 species and order Caenogastropoda with one species. Among the 37 species, *Nerita undata* was the most abundant. Shannon-Weiner diversity index revealed that station 2 (H=1.193) obtained the highest species diversity, followed by station 3 (H= 1.103) and station 1 (H=1.063). The Bray-Curtis analysis of species composition showed two different clusters of habitat. Cluster 1 is composed of two related habitats joined by Stations 1 and 2 with $S_i= 54.26\%$ and cluster 2 composed of Stations 3 and 1 with $S_i= 40.65\%$. Furthermore, among the 37 species, six of which were concordant in all study stations viz., *Angaria delphinus*, *Astralium calcar*, *Conus miles*, *Lambis lambis*, *Euprotomus bulla* and *Canarium labiatum*. The results of the study showed a low diversity of intertidal gastropods in Barangay Day-asan. The area is known to be the most gleaned by locals and it is been already disturbed due to over-harvesting of gastropod species.
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1 Introduction

Gastropoda is the largest molluscan class, containing more than half of all living molluse species. Gastropods, commonly known as snails and slugs, are distributed among marine, freshwater and terrestrial environments, and occupy diverse habitats, including rivers, trees, deserts, marine intertidal zone.
Gastropods are an important source of food in the Filipino diet for its delectable taste. Gastropod shells are widely collected, traded, bought and sold because of the beauty and attractiveness of each variety. They are also used for the preparation as decorative and household items which find their way to both local and foreign markets (PCAARRD 1988). On the other hand, some species of gastropods act as intermediate host for some medically important trematode parasites of human (Miller and Harley 2002).

One of the major places where people harvest gastropods in the Philippines is the Dapya Island. This island is located in Surigao City, the gateway to Mindanao and is one of the many cities endowed with extraordinary gifts. According to barrio folks, during older days, various untamed fishes appeared and came along the sea water because of the presence of mangrove trees which grow in swampland places that surrounds Barangay Day-asan. Dapya Island has a large tidal flat and it is abundant with marine life including gastropods. Since these gastropods are harvested not only for food but also sold for livelihood, there might be possible danger of losing some gastropod species through this action. Furthermore, these gastropods have also not been documented and listed, and there is no regulated policy in the area which will limit the people from over-gleaning the gastropod species. Although the Philippines is considered as a mega-diverse country in terms of molluscs, studies on mollusce diversity in Mindanao is considerably scarce (Jumawan et al. 2015). Additionally, molluscs had the highest number of documented extinctions among the major taxonomic groups in the world (Lydeard et al. 2004). Thus, this study was undertaken to determine the diversity of intertidal gastropods in Barangay Day-asan, Surigao City. Similarity indices and physico-chemical parameters were also tested in the study sites.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study site

The study was conducted in Dapya Island, Brgy. Day-asan, Surigao City, Philippines (Figure 1). Day-asan is just 15 km away from the Surigao City Hall and can be accessed either by motorboat ride or land vehicle. According to Edera (2010), Day-asan is located at Arellano District with a total land area of 3,040.447 ha, of which 554 ha are mangrove forests. There are 1,572 inhabitants and a total of 341 households.
Three study stations were selected in the study site, and the exact position and elevation of the three study stations designated as Study Station 1, Station 2 and Station 3 were recorded using the Global Positioning System (GPS) apparatus. A 50 m belt transect line was laid in each station from landward to seaward with the width of 1 m (total area of 50 x 1 m²). A total of 50 quadrats were established per station with an area of 1 m², and were numbered from 1 to 50 (Q1–Q50). Three established station plots had a distance of approximately 100 m apart from each other. This method followed that of Henderson (2003), which stated that the sampling can be based on the number of quadrates in order to come up with the requirements for determining the species diversity.

Station 1 faces the open water of Surigao with a rocky shoreline (big boulders of rocks), mixed sandy and coralline with some rocks in the substratum. It was abundant in seaweeds and sea grasses, and in marine fauna including gastropods. Station 2 was 100 m away from station 1 with almost the same habitat type but closer to the mangrove area. The fauna associates in Station 2 like the sea stars were lower compared to station 1. Station 3 was established near a mangrove ecosystem which has a rocky shoreline (big
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boulders of rocks). The substratum is mixed rocky and coralline, seaweeds and sea grasses were also abundant but fauna associates were lower compared to the other stations.

2.2 Collection of specimens

Collection of gastropods was done within the established quadrats through hand picking and beach combing from November 2012 – January 2013. The gastropod species were hand-picked or removed using sharp objects like a knife. Stone and debris were removed and a hand shovel was used to dig out substrate cover and transferred to a sieve to extract smaller gastropods. The specimens were properly placed in labeled cellophane bags for identification. Representative specimens were brought to the Biology laboratory room of the College of Arts and Sciences, Central Mindanao University, Musuan, Bukidnon for further processing.

2.3 Classification and identification of specimens

The specimens were classified and identified using standard taxonomic keys and books of Garcia (1986) and Laureta (2008) and literature (e.g., Tabugo et al. 2013; Sosa et al. 2014; Dolorosa, Dangan-Galon 2014; Cuadrado 2015; Jumawan et al. 2015; Ramos et al. 2018). Identification was made as far as possible to Order, Family, Genus and Species.

2.4 Diversity indices

The following biodiversity indices were determined using BioPro Software version 2.0 of McAleece (2001). The raw data from the line transect were entered into a spread sheet application such as Microsoft excel and open org-calculation. The spread sheet file was loaded to the biodiversity professional application software which processed data and interpreted as plots and tables (MacAleece 2001). The diversity indices were obtained using the formulas of Eskandar and Kotonegara (1993) as follows:

Shannon-Weiner index across study stations

\[ H' = \sum (p_i / \ln p_i) \]

Where: \( H' \) = Shannon Index

'\( p_i \)' = represents the proportion of total sample belong to each species

'\( \ln p_i \)' = represents the natural logarithm
Similarity indices of species composition using Bray-Curtis across study stations by,

\[ S_i = \frac{C}{(a+b+c)} \times 100 \]

Where: \( S_i \) = species composition  
\( C \) = total species common to all stations  
\( a \) = study station 1  
\( b \) = study station 2  
\( c \) = study station 3

2.5 Physico-chemical parameters

The following environmental conditions were reported following Odum (1971):

a. Temperature: Three reading of the water temperature was taken by submerging the laboratory thermometer in the water surface for five minutes. The average temperature was calculated.

b. Substrate: The substrate of each study station was classified as sandy, rocky, muddy, or coralline. Associated flora and fauna were also noted.

c. Transparency: A secchi disc was submerged in the water until the disc disappeared. Reading was recorded and then lifted slowly until the white disc reappeared for the second reading. The averages of the first and second readings were measured for turbidity. This was done three times and the average was then calculated for each station.

d. pH: Determination of water pH was done using pH paper submerged in the water for three times and referred to a standard color chart and calculated.

e. Tidal level: Tidal determination was based on the tidal calendar record.

2.6 Preservation of specimens

Specimens were secured in the labelled cellophane bags and the foot and visceral mass were carefully extracted from the shell. The shells were then cleaned using soap and detergent and carefully brushed to remove any debris. They were cleaned further using a 10% Gleam muriatic acid. After which, the shells were air-dried, packed and labelled for identification. Voucher specimens were deposited at the Zoology section of Central Mindanao University Museum, Musuan, Bukidnon, Philippines.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Species composition

A total of 184 individuals belonging to 37 species were found in the area. These belong to five orders, 15 families and 25 genera (Fig. 2).

![Fig 2. Representative species collected in the study sites. A) Angaria delphinus, B) Lambis lambis, C) Conus miles, D) Euproctomus bulla, E) Astralium calcar, F) Canarium labiatum. Scale bars = 2 cm.]
The order Neogastropoda had the highest number of families with six families, followed by orders Mesogastropoda and Archaeogastropoda with four families each and orders Neritopsina and Caenogastropoda with one family each. Family Cypraeidae had the highest number of species recorded with six species and lowest in numbers were the families Chilodontidae, Trochidae, Potamidae, Angariidae, Buccinidae, Turbenillidae and Volutidae represented with only one species (Table 1).

Table 1. Species composition of intertidal gastropods in the three study stations.

| Family/ Species | Number of individuals per station | Total number of individuals per species |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                 | S1 | S2 | S3 |                          |                          |
| I. Order Archeogastropoda |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| Family Chilodontidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Euchelus atratus* (Gmelin, 1791) | 3  | 0  | 0  | 3                        |                          |
| Family Tegulidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Tectus pyramis* (Born, 1778) | 2  | 0  | 2  | 4                        |                          |
| *Tectus fenestratus* (Gmelin, 1791) | 7  | 0  | 6  | 13                       |                          |
| Family Trochidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Trochus masculatus* | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| Family Turbinidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Astralium calcar* (Linnaeus, 1758) | 2  | 2  | 6  | 10                       |                          |
| *Turbo bruneus* (Roding, 1798) | 2  | 0  | 3  | 5                        |                          |
| *Lunella cinerea* (Born, 1778) | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| II. Order Caenogastropoda |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| Family Potamididae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Terebralia palustris* (Linnaeus, 1767) | 0  | 0  | 11 | 11                       |                          |
| III. Order Mesogastropoda |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| Family Angariidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Angaria delphinus* (Linnaeus, 1758) | 10 | 4  | 6  | 20                       |                          |
| Family Cerithidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Cerithium nodulosum* (Bruguiere, 1792) | 0  | 0  | 1  | 1                        |                          |
| Family Cypraeidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Cypraea* sp. 1 | 0  | 3  | 1  | 4                        |                          |
| *Cypraea* sp. 2 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| *Cypraea* sp. 3 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| *Erosaria errones* (Linnaeus, 1758) | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| *Erronea eosa* (Linnaeus, 1758) | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| *Mauritia eglantina* (Duclos, 1833) | 0  | 0  | 2  | 2                        |                          |
| Family Naticidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Natica fasciata* (Roding, 1798) | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| *Polinices lacteus* (Guilding, 1834) | 0  | 1  | 0  | 1                        |                          |
| IV. Order Neogastropoda |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| Family Buccinidae |                |                |                |                          |                          |
| *Cantharus* sp. | 3  | 0  | 0  | 3                        |                          |
Table 1 Continued.

| Family/Species                  | Number of individuals per station | Total number of individuals per species |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                                | S1    | S2    | S3    |                  |
| Family Conidae                 |       |       |       |                  |
| Conus capitaneus (Linnaeus, 1758) | 0     | 1     | 3     | 4               |
| Conus marmoreus (Linnaeus, 1758) | 1     | 0     | 0     | 1               |
| Conus miles (Linnaeus, 1758)   | 3     | 7     | 5     | 15              |
| Conus sp. 1                    | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1               |
| Conus sp. 2                    | 0     | 1     | 0     | 1               |
| Family Muricidae               |       |       |       |                  |
| Chicoreus torrefactus (Sowerby, 1841) | 0     | 0     | 1     | 1               |
| Chicoreus brunneus (Link, 1807) | 0     | 0     | 1     | 1               |
| Family Strombidae              |       |       |       |                  |
| Canarium maculatum (Sowerby, 1842) | 0     | 2     | 0     | 2               |
| Canarium labiatum (Röding, 1798) | 3     | 1     | 1     | 5               |
| Euprotonomushulla (Roding, 1798) | 2     | 2     | 1     | 5               |
| Gibberulus gibberulus (Linnaeus, 1758) | 0     | 2     | 0     | 2               |
| Lambis lambis (Linnaeus, 1758)  | 2     | 3     | 3     | 8               |
| Family Turbinellidae           |       |       |       |                  |
| Vasum turbinellus (Linnaeus, 1758) | 0     | 0     | 2     | 2               |
| Family Volutidae               |       |       |       |                  |
| Cymbiola vespertilio (Linnaeus, 1758) | 2     | 1     | 0     | 3               |
| V. Order Neritopsina           |       |       |       |                  |
| Family Neritidae               |       |       |       |                  |
| Nerita undata (Linnaeus, 1758)  | 16    | 15    | 0     | 31              |
| Nerita polita (Linnaeus, 1758)  | 8     | 5     | 0     | 13              |
| Nerita plicata (Linnaeus, 1758) | 2     | 0     | 0     | 2               |
| Nerita albicilla (Linnaeus, 1758) | 0     | 3     | 0     | 3               |
| Total number of individuals    | 16    | 24    | 17    | 184             |

S1 = Station 1; S = Station 2; S3 = Station 3

The time span of the collection of Berdach (1981) was 37 years ago. It could be attributed to many environmental changes like habitat degradation due to anthropogenic activities like the establishing of fish pens, overgleaning and overharvesting, gathering of mangrove trees for firewood and for recreational activities. Most of the marine gastropods are economically important. They are harvested by the town folks as food, sold in markets for livelihood and collected for shell ornaments. This probably results in low number of species found in the area. The major species harvested in the area were from the genus Lambis (pers. comm.). As observed, this species was few in number compared to other species collected.

Habitat degradation, unregulated harvesting, cutting of mangrove forest and improper waste disposal in the study sites are possible threats that cause gastropod depletion in the area similar to the report of Dolorosa and Dangan-
Galon (2014) in Iwahig River-Estuary of Palawan. As reported by earlier studies, gleaning or collection of edible molluscs is a common practice in the Philippines since these organisms are used either for personal consumption or as additional earnings (Nieves et al. 2010, Napata and Andalecio 2011, Masangcay and Lacuna 2017). It is also important to conserve the gastropod species in the area since some species of Family Strombidae are the usual species collected by the local people.

3.2 Species diversity

Shannon-Weiner diversity index

The diversity of gastropod species was highest in Station 2 (H’ = 1.193), followed by Station 3 (H’ = 1.103) and lowest in Station 1 (H’ = 1.063) (Table 2).

Table 2. Results of Shannon-Weiner diversity index.

| Index                      | S1     | S2     | S3     |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Shannon H’ Log Base 10.    | 1.063  | 1.193  | 1.103  |
| Shannon Hmax Log Base 10.  | 1.204  | 1.38   | 1.23   |
| Shannon J’                 | 0.883  | 0.864  | 0.896  |

Legend: S1 = Station 1; S = Station 2; S3 = Station 3

Fig 3. K-plot of species abundance of marine gastropods in Barangay Day-asan, Surigao City.
The diversity of gastropods in Barangay Day-asan is low which also support the Philippine studies on gastropods by Berdach (1981) in Puerto Galera, and Flores and Zafaralla (2012) in Mananga River in Cebu. Since the values obtained for Shannon-Weiner diversity index are less than 2.5, this study implies that the three sites have low diversity index as none of them obtained a value higher than 2.5 (Flores and Zafaralla 2012).

In the species accumulation curve, the study shows that the data is sufficient for the diversity index analysis (Figure 3). However, as mentioned by Cuadrado (2015), this low diversity index value of the three sites would make sense if the sampling was done in a longer period of time.

**Similarity Index**

The results showed clustering of marine gastropod species in stations 1 and 2 maybe because these two stations were on the same side of the island. Species in station 3 in the dendrogram (Figure 4) appeared as a different cluster and branched to other stations because this station was established in other side of the island specifically nearer to the mangrove area. The species in station 3 were seemed to be unique, which supported by the dendrogram.

![Dendrogram of similarity species composition of marine gastropods in Barangay Day-asan, Surigao City.](image)

**Fig 4.** Dendrogram of similarity species composition of marine gastropods in Barangay Day-asan, Surigao City.

**3.3 Species distribution**

Among the species collected, four species were observed only in station 1. These species were *Nerita plicata*, *Euchelus atratus*, *Conus marmoreus* and
Cantharus sp. Eleven species were found only in station 2 viz., Nerita albicilla, Lunella cinerea, Trochus masculatus, Conus sp. 1, Conus sp. 2, Cypraea sp. 2, Cypraea sp. 3, Cypraea errones, Cypraea errosa, Natica fasciata and Polinices lacteus and six species were only found in station 3 viz., Terebralia sp., Vason turbinellus, Chicoreus torrefactus, Chicoreus brunneus, Cypraea eglantina and Cerithium nodulosum. Species found in stations 1 and 2 had similarity in the species composition, while the species in station 3 differed from the other stations.

There were six species which had the widest range of distribution concordant in all study stations viz., Angaria delphinus, Astralia calcar, Conus miles, Lambis lambis, Euprotomus bulla and Canarium labiatum. The diversity of marine gastropods in Barangay Dayasan showed similarity with two clusters in the dendrogram. Cluster 1 showed the two closely related habitats namely: station 1 and station 2 with Si = 54.26% of species composition, while cluster 2 consists of stations 1 and 3 with Si = 40.65%.

The most abundant species in station 1 was N. undata. Nerites prefer brackish water systems and supported the recorded water pH which was 9. Moreover, they were found on rocky substrates where seaweed was abundant. The tide is an important environmental factor influencing the mobility of the organisms and their exposure to air and water. The tidal levels also affect the species distribution. Also, station 1 favored the presence of many sea stars of which gastropods are one of its preys. For the distribution of N. undata, since it is attached in rocks on the shoreline, it is not been preyed by the sea stars which also reflects to the abundance of the species in the station.

For the species frequency, N. undata was only seen in 1 out of 50 quadrates, since they were distributed and can be seen in clumps or living together because they are said to be gregarious animals (Tan and Clements 2008). Angaria delphinus on the other hand is the frequently seen species since it was found in 7 out of 50 quadrats found scattered along the study station areas.

In station 2, still N. undata was the most abundant species. Same observation was done with study station 1 which was established on the same part of the Island. The presence of fauna associates like sea stars was lesser than compared to station 1. This reflects to number of species found, of which this station is diverse in gastropods. Same environmental factors affected the species in station 1. For its distribution, they were also seen in 1 quadrate only since they were in clumped distribution. Conus miles was the most frequently seen species in station 2 which was recorded from 7 out of 50 quadrates.

In study station 3, Terebralia sp. was the most abundant species, since this station was established in the other side of the Island, near to a mangrove and exposed to different kinds of environmental factors. This species was present in mangrove area, and since they are mobile, they can be found on the shores of station 3. Furthermore, this species is not consumed by local people and hence it is the least disturbed among the species in station 3. Still, A. delphinus was the most frequently seen species, widely distributed in the
station which was found in 6 out of 50 quadrates. Flora and fauna associates in the station were less which reflected the disturbance in the area.

3.4 Physico-chemical parameters

The mean water temperature in the three stations during the study was 27°C. The mean water pH was 9 in the three stations, mean of water salinity was 34 ppt and substrate cover were all mixed with sandy, coralline, rocky and some with boulders of rocks. Flora associates were mainly sea grasses and seaweeds, while the fauna associates were sea stars, sea urchins, sea anemone, hermit crabs and Synapta sp. Sampling in all study stations was done during low tide (Table 3).

| Parameters       | S1           | S2           | S3           |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Temperature      | 26°C         | 28°C         | 27°C         |
| Substrate cover  | mixed sandy  | mixed sandy  | rocky (small to moderate) |
| (nature of substrate) | and rocky    | and rocky    | substrate with less sandy substrate |
| Transparency     | Clear        | Clear        | Clear        |
| Salinity         | 33           | 34           | 34           |
| pH               | 8            | 9            | 9            |
| Tidal measurement| Low tide     | Low tide     | Low tide     |

Legend: S1 = Station 1; S = Station 2; S3 = Station 3

Strzelec and Krolczyk (2004) stated that many gastropod species are tolerant to most physico-chemical parameters and their occurrence is affected by the quality of bottom sediments and abundance of vegetation. In this study, the physico-chemical factors in the three sites are closely the same. The substrate cover at stations 1 and 2 were similar with mixed sandy and rocky areas. These types of substrate possibly support many gastropods and hence the higher abundance at these two stations. In contrast, gastropods that thrive on rocky substrata prefer station 3, which mainly consists of rocky (small to moderate) substrate. Moreover, the water salinity and pH in station 3 may be influenced by the mangrove environment.

The physico-chemical parameters like the water temperature, water pH, water salinity and water substratum in the study stations did not very much. Thus, these parameters may fall within the favorable environmental conditions suitable for the growth of gastropods.
5 Conclusions and recommendations

This study revealed that among the 37 species, *Nerita undata* was the most abundant with a total of 31 individuals. Shannon-Weiner index revealed that station 2 (H= 1.193) obtained the highest species diversity, followed by station 3 (H = 1.103) and station 1 (H= 1.063). The Bray-Curtis analysis of species composition showed two different clusters of habitat. Cluster 1 is composed of two related habitats joined by Stations 1 and 2 with S1= 54.26% and cluster 2 composed of stations 3 and 1 with S1= 40.65%. Furthermore, among the 37 species, six were concordant in all study stations viz., *A. delphinus, A. calcar, C. miles, L. lambis, E. bulla* and *C. labiatum*. Among the species, *N. plicata, E. atratus, C. marmoreus* and *Canthus* sp.1 were the unique species in station 1. Mixtures of sandy and rocky substrata are the preferred areas of gastropods to inhabit. The environment in station 3 was different from the other two stations due to its proximity to the mangrove.

It is recommended that a yearly survey should be done for a more comprehensive record on gastropods present in the area. These data will be useful for conservation and culture of highly economically important species (e.g., some species from family Strombidae).
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