Gross osteolytic tibia tunnel widening with the use of Gore-Tex anterior cruciate ligament prosthesis

A radiological, arthrometric and clinical evaluation of 17 patients 13–15 years after surgery
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Background Postoperative widening of the bone tunnels have been found after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using autologous bone-patellar tendon-bone or hamstring tendon grafts. These changes seem to be of no clinical significance in a short to midterm follow-up. We investigated if a synthetic graft evokes the same bone tunnel widening and if it is of clinical significance in a longterm follow-up.

Methods We examined 17 patients, 13–15 years after their anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using a Gore–Tex ligament prosthesis. The follow-up consisted of clinical examination, K–1000 arthrometric measurement, Tegner, Lysholm and IKDC scores, and CT examination of their tibia bone tunnels. 6 patients had been reoperated before follow-up, 3 because of graft rupture and 3 because of effusion and/or pain.

Results 5 patients were graded as normal (n = 2) or nearly normal according to the IKDC score, and 4 of these patients still had their Gore–Tex prosthesis intact. 15 of the patients had a tibia bone tunnel wider than the drilled 7.9 mm diameter, ranging from 9.6 to 26 mm. These changes in the bone tunnels were in some cases without symptoms and could not be detected with arthroscopy, clinical examination, arthrometry or evaluation scores. We do not know whether they are progressive.

Interpretation Based on our findings, we recommend that patients who have had a Gore–Tex anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction should be examined not only clinically or by questionnaire, but also with CT.

In a prospective, randomized study running from 1985 to 1987, we used the Gore–Tex prosthetic anterior cruciate ligament (W.L. Gore and Associates Inc., Flagstaff, Arizona) which has shown good short-term results (James et al. 1979, Bolton and Bruchman 1985, Glousman et al. 1988, Indelicato et al. 1989). However, a progressive increase in problems was reported in the early nineties (Dahlstedt et al. 1990, 1993, Woods et al. 1991, Paulos et al. 1992, Roolker et al. 2000). These problems were mainly synovitis, recurrent sterile effusions, and graft breakage and loosening, in some cases to the extent that the graft had to be explanted. The prosthesis was withdrawn from the market by the manufacturer in 1993.

Seemann and Steadman (1993) reported 2 cases who had osteolytic widening of their tibia tunnel, which needed bone grafting at revision surgery. We decided to examine all patients who had had anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction performed at our department using the Gore–Tex ligament, with CT–scan and by clinical and arthrometric examination. The aim was to see whether there was osteolytic widening of the bone tunnels and whether it could be detected by clinical and/or arthrometric examination.

Patients and methods

Patients and methods

Between 1985 and 1987, 22 patients were operated on with the Gore–Tex ligament prosthesis.
because of old anterior cruciate ligament injuries. Of these, 3 could not be reached and 2 declined to participate. According to our charts, 1 of the latter was active at a high physical level with no problems at the 5–year follow–up, while the other one was having problems with instability and had had 3 arthroscopic meniscus resections performed within 3 years of the Gore–Tex reconstruction. 2 of the 3 patients who could not be reached were reoperated, one because of atraumatic instability, one because of recurrent, painful effusions and the third one was unstable but satisfied at the last follow–up. Of the remaining 17 patients (8 women), 6 patients had been reoperated between index surgery and follow–up. 3 patients were reoperated because of traumatic rupture (2 less than 1 year after surgery and 1 patient 3 years postoperatively), and the other 3 because of increasing laxity, recurrent effusions and/or pain (all 3 had symptoms less than 1 year after reconstruction). They all had had the Gore–Tex ligament explanted and 5 of them had an anterior cruciate ligament rereconstruction performed with another graft (Table).

**Operative technique**

The Gore–Tex reconstructions were done with a modified over–the–top technique as described by
Bolton and Bruchman (1985), using an 7.9–mm drill to prepare the bone tunnels in both femur and tibia.

Follow–up
The patients where examined by OM or LD. The follow–up protocol consisted of: examination with the KT–1000 arthrometer with maximum manual displacement, CT–scans of the bone tunnel in the proximal tibia, IKDC score (Hefti et al. 1993), Lysholm and Tegner scores (Tegner and Lysholm 1985, Hefti et al. 1993), pivot shift, Lachman test and visual analog scale (VAS) score for pain.

CT–scans, using the same technique as that we have described earlier (Muren et al. 2001), were taken perpendicular to the tunnel with axial technique (5–mm thick slices and 5 mm image interval). Anterior/posterior (A/P) and medial/lateral (M/L) diameters were calculated. All the measurements on the CT were made by the same radiologist (EB).

Statistics
For arthrometric and radiological values, we used Student t–test and regression analysis. For the nonparametric scores, Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used. The calculations were made using JMP 3 (SAS Institute inc.) software.

Results (Table)
5 patients were graded normal (A = 2) or nearly normal (B = 3) according to the IKDC evaluation form. One of these patients (no. 11), who had normal results in all the scores as well as in clinical and arthrometric exams, had the second greatest widening of the bone tunnel. 2 of the patients (nos. 6 and 13) had a bone tunnel that was close in diameter to that of the 7.9 mm drill diameter used at surgery. The rest of the patients had a widening of the tunnel with diameters ranging from 8.7 mm to 26 mm. In 8 of the patients the margins of the bone tunnel were lytic (Figure 1), and in 9 they were sclerotic (Figure 2). 4 patients had an arthroscopic test with a maximal manual displacement difference of more than 3 mm.

No correlation could be found between anterior/posterior (A/P) diameters and the clinical evaluation scores, i.e. a lytic widening of the bone tunnel could not be detected by clinical examination. Spearman correlation coefficient and t-test showed good correlation ($r = 0.64$, $p < 0.002$) between large A/P diameter and lytic bone tunnel walls as well as small A/P diameter and sclerotic walls.

3 of the patients (no.s 7, 14 and 16) with widening of their tibia tunnel on the CT scans, and with symptomatic instability and recurrent, painful effusions, had their Gore–Tex ligament explanted after the follow–up. Before the open surgery,
arthroscopy showed general synovitis but it could not reveal the widening of the tibia tunnel. All the ligaments were partially ruptured, and tissue macroscopically much the same as in rheumatoid bone cysts was found in the tibia bone tunnel; microscopic examination showed chronic inflammatory cells. The bone tunnels were bone grafted. Follow-up CT-scans showed good consolidation of the bone graft, and the patients are now scheduled for further surgery (high tibia osteotomy/anterior cruciate ligament rereconstruction).

Discussion

Our findings indicate that the Gore–Tex ligament, in a synovial surrounding, is not a biologically inert implant. Numerous studies (Dahlstedt et al. 1990, 1993, Woods et al. 1991, Paulos et al. 1992, Sledge et al. 1992) have shown it to evoke aseptic effusions, and in 2 other studies (Seemann and Steadman 1993, Fukubayashi and Ikeda 2000) osteolytic bone tunnel widening has been described. We found that all cases of osteolysis are not symptomatic and cannot be detected with evaluation scores, or clinical or arthrometric examinations. We consider this to be important information, since such osteolytic bone changes may influence future knee surgery (i.e. revision of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, high tibia osteotomy, uni- or total knee arthroplasties). It may also increase the risk of periarthicular fractures although we have found no proofs of that, nor among our patients or in the literature. The good correlation found between sclerotic/lytic bone tunnel walls and diameter of the bone tunnel might be interpreted as the lytic tunnel walls indicating an ongoing process as opposed to sclerotic walls. To investigate this further, new CT examinations should be performed after 1–2 years.

The two most commonly used techniques in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction today use autologous bone–patellar tendon–bone or hamstring grafts. Both techniques, especially hamstring grafts, have shown tibia tunnel widening radiologically which did not affect the clinical outcome after a short to medium–term follow–up (Clatworthy et al. 1999, Fink et al. 2001, Webster et al. 2001, Jo et al. 2004). They have not been associated with the other problems we have encountered with the Gore–Tex ligament. Two problems associated with these techniques are donor site morbidity and weakness of the graft during the revascularization and collagenization. In order to avoid these problems, different ligament prostheses have been tried. In our study as well as others (Dahlstedt et al. 1990, 1993, Engstrom et al. 1993, Wredmark and Engstrom 1993, Maletius and Gillquist 1997), different prosthetic ligaments (Gore–Tex, Leeds–Keio, ABC carbon polyester and Stryker Dacron) have not maintained joint stability and have also led to painful synovitis and recurrent effusions (Jenkins 1978, Klein and Jensen 1992, Paulos et al. 1992, Mody et al. 1993, Wredmark and Engstrom 1993).

On the basis of this study and after reviewing the literature, we recommend reexamination of all patients who have had an intraarticular anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using a Gore–Tex prosthetic ligament. Although 12 of our 17 patients were rated abnormal or gravely abnormal on the IKDC score, none of them had contacted an orthopedic surgeon. That they did not try to contact their treating physician does not mean that they were free of problems. These re-examinations should include CT, clinical and arthrometric examination. All patients should be followed until one has made sure that the osteolysis is not progressing. Patients with strong symptoms (instability, pain, swelling) and/or progressive osteolysis should have the ligament explanted, the bone tunnel thoroughly curettaged, and—if necessary—bone transplanted. A second–stage rereconstruction should be considered on an individual basis.
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