Increased HSF1 Promotes Infiltration and Metastasis in Cervical Cancer via Enhancing MTDH-VEGF-C Expression
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Purpose: To explore the molecular mechanism of promoting cervical cancer by HSF1 in vivo and in vitro.

Methods: The expression of HSF1 in 110 paraffin-embedded cervical cancer sections of different grades was examined via immunohistochemistry analyses. Expression of HSF1 downstream targets MTDH (MTDH), VEGF-C and CD31 were studied using immunohistochemistry analyses. HSF1 transcriptional activity in the MTDH promoter region was detected by EMSA, CHIP and luciferase. Cell proliferation and clonality were detected by MTT and clonal formation assay. Cell migration and invasion ability were investigated by scratch analysis and transwell assay. HSF1-mediated tumorigenesis in vivo was examined in xenograft models.

Results: HSF1 expression of cervical cancer cell line was increased compared to normal human cervical tissues. HSF1 enhanced the expression of MTDH, VEGF-C and CD31. HSF1 can combine with MTDH promoter to promote the expression of MTDH. HSF1 enhanced HeLa cell proliferation and clone formation. Furthermore, HSF1 increased HeLa cells migration and invasion in vitro. In the transplanted tumor model, HSF1 inhibited tumor growth in vivo after interference, and reduced the expression of MTDH, VEGF-C and CD31.

Discussion: HSF1 can promote the proliferation, metastasis and invasion of cervical cancer.
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Introduction

An epidemic study identified the uterine cervix carcinoma as one of the causes of the highest cancer mortality among women worldwide. 1,2 There is an urgent need to identify more biological mark in cervical cancer. Heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1) is an important transcription factor for regulating heat shock response or stress response in the body. It can play other biological functions by combining with the heat shock element (HSE) in the promoter regions of other genes to affect their expression. Induced heat shock proteins (e.g. HSP70) has been proved to be one of the main biological functions of HSF1. 3–5 Current research of HSF1 has indicated its overexpression in various human tumors, 6,7 however, there is no HSF1 immunohistochemistry expression report in uterine cervix carcinoma. Therefore, a systematic HSF1 expression in clinical samples was carried out. MTDH and VEGF-C expression were further characterized to explore the oncogenic traits of HSF1 in cervical cancer. Acting as a cell surface protein, MTDH is implicated in the oncogenesis and angiogenesis of various
human cancers.\textsuperscript{8} Overexpression of AEG-1 was found correlated with the cervical cancer clinical staging and tumor differentiation. The main cause of MTDH overexpression is up-regulation of transcription.\textsuperscript{9} Our further clarification proved MTDH overexpression is correlated with HSF1 expression enhancement. Luciferase report assay, chromatin immunoprecipitation assay(CHIP) and electrophoretic mobility shift assay(EMSA) proved that HSF1 can regulate the expression of MTDH at the transcriptional level. Thus, it is concluded that increased HSF1 regulated the metastasis of cervical cancer via increasing MTDH-VEGF-C expression.

**Materials and Methods**

**Human Tissue Specimens**

110 paraffin-embedded cervical cancer samples were collected at the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics of Xiangya Hospital from January 2017 to August, 2017. All specimens had not adopted radiotherapy and chemotherapy before surgery. Clinical and pathological data of the 110 patients with cervical cancer, including age, infiltration, lymph node metastasis, clinical stage and cancer differentiation grade, were collected. The clinical stage and cancer differentiation grade were classified according to the 2009 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics(FIGO) staging system. Before the clinical material was used for research purposes, the Research Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital approved this, and that it was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The ethical code is 2021-KT01.

**Plasmids**

The siRNA sequence (5’ - CCAAGTACTTCAAGCACA -3’) matches the 331 to 350 nucleotide of the human HSF1 gene (NM_005526), was selected for the construction of HSF1 shRNA plasmid. The HSF1 shRNA and NC plasmids were constructed as described respectively.\textsuperscript{10} Generation of the pGL3- MTDH promoter constructs was as follows:

\[ 5’-AGGTACCTTGTCACTGCTCCAGGGCCTTTTGAATTGC-3’ \]  
\[ 5’-GCCACTGGACCTCCAGGCTC-3’ \] (sense),  
\[ 5’-GCCACTGGACCTCCAGGCTC-3’ \] (antisense), the PCR fragment was cloned into the pGL3. The accuracy of the pGL3-MTDH (- 670 to +1) construct was verified by sequencing (data not shown).

**Immunohistochemical Analyses**

Paraffin sections were dewaxed with 100% xylene and rehydrated with a percentage of ethanol series. Then, remove the wax and rinse again, add 3%H2O2, soak for 10min, then pour out H2O2, add citric acid buffer, cook in microwave oven for 3min (medium heat), cool to room temperature, pour out the citric acid buffer, add serum to block, dilute with HSF1 antibody (1: 250 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology) and place at 4°C shaker for one night. After washing, add the secondary antibody and incubated at room temperature for 2h. The sections were observed with DAB (3, 30-Diaminobenzidine), hematoxylin reverse staining, and the staining reaction was observed under microscope.

**CHIP Assays**

CHIP assay was performed according to the Beyotime Biotech (Shanghai, China). After the DNA was precipitated by HSF1 and control antibodies, PCR was performed to detect the HSF1 binding site at position - 0.5 kb within the MTDH promoter (GenBank accession number: NC_000008.11), the PCR primers as follows: 5’-gccccgctgcaactggtaggcacg-3’ (sense) and 5’-ccttaactgagaagaactg-3’ (antisense), and a 424 bp PCR product was produced.

**EMSA Analysis**

Nuclear protein was extracted from three different HeLa cells according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Light Shift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit, PIERCE). DNA probes were generated according to the - 450 to - 438 bp of the human MTDH promoter, HRP-labeled oligonucleotides sequences (5’-gagctcaTTCTGAAGGATCcaaactgg-3’), and mutant sequence (5’- tattacCTCTGC ACCAGCTtgattt-3’). The nucleoprotein extraction and binding reactions were completed as described earlier,\textsuperscript{9} EMSA was performed. The nucleoprotein extraction and oligonucleotide mixture were separated on a non-denaturing PAGE gel. The strips were examined by enhanced chemiluminescent (ECL) assay kit (Pierce).

**Western Blotting**

Cell proteins were lysed with RIPA lysates (P0013, Beyotime, China), Ultrasonic was performed for 15s and centrifuged for 10min in a 4°C centrifuge at 12000rpm, protein concentrations were determined by bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Pierce, USA), configure the protein sample quantity, heating for 10 min at 95°C. Configuration...
10% SDS-PAGE and sample run electrophoresis, sealed in TBST containing 5% skim milk powder for 1.5h, and incubated overnight with primary antibody. After washes with TBST, horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG was used as the secondary antibody. Filters were probed with primary antibodies after the electrophoresis and transfection: HSF1 (Cell signaling), CD31 (Abcam), MTDH and VEGFC (Bioworld Technology, Inc). Anti-actin antibody was used as internal reference.

In vitro Wound Healing Assay
We seeded the HeLa, HeLa–shHSF1 and HeLa–NC cells in 24-well plates (1 x10^5 cells/well) for wound healing assay. Edge distance measurement and wound line scratch were performed as described previously. Representative photos of cells migrated into the wounds were captured and the length of the scratch was calculated 24hr, 48hr later. Wound closure rate was calculated as: (0hr scratch width - 48hr scratch width)/ 0hr scratch width × 100%.

Transwell Invasion Assay
A 24-Well microchamber (Corning, New York, USA) with 5-um-pore polycarbonate membrane was used for the experiment. Apply glue to the bottom of the chamber and place in an incubator at 37°C. 6 x 10^4 cells were placed in serum-free medium, and the lower chamber was filled with 500 mL of media containing 10% FBS. Then, incubation at 37°C for 24h, the cells on the lower surface of the membrane were fixed and stained with 0.2% crystal violet. The cell counts were observed under a microscope, and 5–10 fields were taken at high magnification.

Subcutaneous Tumor Model
BALB/c nude mice four to five-week-old (Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd., China) were used in the nude mouse tumorigenesis assay. Stably transfected cells (3 x10^6 cells/mouse) were injected subcutaneously into axilla. Then, 42 days of tumor implantation, the nude mice were sacrificed, then dissected the xenografts, the tumor volume and weight were measured as follows: volume = 0.5 ×a×b^2, where a is the longest diameter and b is the transverse diameter. This research project has been approved by the Laboratory Animal Welfare and Ethical Committee of Central South University, in line with the welfare and ethical principles of laboratory animal proposed by the World

Figure 1 Increased HSF1 expression in cervical cancer tissues (CTT) via immunohistochemistry. HSF1 staining was mainly localized in the nucleus of cells. (A) Normal CTT. (B) Well-differentiated CTT. (C) Moderately differentiated CTT. (D) Poorly differentiated CTT.
Organization for Animal Health. The ethical code is 2018sydw077.

Statistical Analyses
All experiments were repeated at least three times. SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, USA) were used for statistical analyses. Differences between groups were analyzed using the $\chi^2$ test, Fishers exact test or one-way analysis of variance, according to which $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results
Enhance HSF1 Was Related to Tumor Metastasis, Infiltration, Clinical Stage and Differentiation in Cervical Cancer
Immunohistochemical analysis of 110 cases of different grades of cervical cancer paraffin section. As indicated in Figure 1, the normal cervical tissue displayed a weak expression while the poorly differentiated ones displayed higher expression of HSF1. HSF1 positive expression was mainly concentrated in the nucleus. 56.4% percent of the sections showed high HSF1 expression, while the remaining 48 cases (43.6%) displayed low HSF1 expression. However, the results showed that HSF1 expression was not obviously associated with patient age. The high HSF1 expression was obviously correlated with clinical stage (p<0.001), cancer differentiation (p<0.001), cancer infiltration (p<0.01), and lymph node metastasis (p<0.001) (Table 1). Our immunohistochemistry work on the cervical cancer displayed that HSF1 is a potent oncogene, whose high expression is related to cancer infiltration, differentiation and lymph node metastasis of cervical cancer.

VEGF-C and MTDH Displayed Enhanced Expression in Cervical Cancer
Angiogenesis is one of the key factors responsible for cancer infiltration and metastasis. To clarify the biological function of HSF1 played in cervical cancer, CD31, the vessel intensity marker, was found to be positively correlated with the HSF1 expression (Figure 2A and B). VEGF-C has been confirmed to promote angiogenesis, lymph node metastasis, and infiltration, which was also found to be increased in cervical cancer (Figure 2E and F). However, there is no putative HSF1 binding site (the DNA binding sequence is GAANNTCC) in ~ 3000nt 5'-flanking region of VEGF-C and CD31. MTDH, the upstream transcriptional regulatory protein of VEGF-C, was strongly related to lymph node metastasis and infiltration in cervical cancer. Enhanced MTDH was also proved to be positive co-related with HSF1 expression (Figure 2C and D). Further analyses were conducted to verify the relationship between HSF1 and MTDH.

HSF1 Transcriptionally Regulate the MTDH Expression
Bioinformatics analyses identified a highly putative HSE core sequence in the -450bp ~ -438bp sequence of the 5' promoter region of MTDH (Figure 3C). Luciferase report assay was performed to prove the transcriptional control of MTDH by HSF1. As can be seen by Figure 3D, fold increase of luciferase can be detected after the HSF1 expression plasmid and MTDH-pGL3 plasmid were transfected into HeLa cells. EMSA was used to detect whether MTDH could bind to HSF1 in vitro. As shown in Figure 3A, the labeled probe could bind to HSF1, but the cold probe could not bind to HSF1, and the mutant probe could still bind to HSF1, proving the specificity of this binding site. To demonstrate the regulation of MTDH by HSF1 in vivo, we performed the CHIP assay (Figure 3B). DNA fragments were almost undetectable with normal IgG antibodies, whereas DNA fragments were detectable when anti-HSF1 antibodies were used, and transfection of

| Table 1 Correlation Between the Expression of HSF1 and Clinic Pathological Parameters in Cervical Cancer Patients |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Factors                                         | HSF1 Expression | P value         |
|                                                 | High 62         | Low 48          |
| Age (yr)                                        | ≥45 (n = 57)    | 32              | 0.470           |
|                                                 | <45 (n = 53)    | 30              |                 |
| Clinical stages                                 | I(n=65)         | 22              | P<0.001         |
|                                                 | II(n=45)        | 40              |                 |
| Differentiation                                 | Well (n = 14)   | 2               | P<0.001         |
|                                                 | Moderate (n = 70)| 12              |                 |
|                                                 | Poor (n = 26)   | 19              |                 |
| Cancer infiltration                             | <10mm (n = 82)  | 39              | P<0.01          |
|                                                 | ≥10mm (n = 28)  | 23              |                 |
| Lymph node metastasis                          | Positive (n = 90)| 44              | P<0.001         |
|                                                 | Negative (n = 20)| 18              |                 |
Figure 2. Increased MTDH, VEGF-C and CD31 expression in CTT and their co-relation with HSF1. (A) CD31 expression, (C) MTDH expression, (E) VEGF-C expression in CTT of different differentiated stages. Chi-square test of the expression co-relation between HSF1 and CD31 (B), HSF1 and MTDH (D), HSF1 and VEGF-C (F).

Figure 3. Transcription activity of HSF1 on MTDH promoter. One XGAAAXXTCX-rich sequence presented in the 5'-flanking region (~450/-438) of the MTDH gene(C). Binding of MTDH to HSF1 in vitro and in vivo was verified by EMSA (A), CHIP (B) and dual-luciferase reporter genes (D) as described in the materials and method section (*P < 0.05).

|      | HSF1 | n | CD31 |      |      |
|------|------|---|------|------|------|
|      |      |   | High | Low  |      |
|      | High | 62| 47(75.81%) | 15(24.19%) | 0.001|
|      | Low  | 48| 6(12.5%)  | 42(87.5%)  |      |

|      | HSF1 | n | MTDH |      |      |
|------|------|---|------|------|------|
|      |      |   | High | Low  |      |
|      | High | 62| 48(77.42%) | 14(22.58%) | 0.001|
|      | Low  | 48| 12(25.0%)  | 36(75.0%)  |      |

|      | HSF1 | n | VEGF-C |      |      |
|------|------|---|--------|------|------|
|      |      |   | High   | Low  |      |
|      | High | 62| 49(79.03%) | 13(20.97%) | 0.001|
|      | Low  | 48| 8(16.67%)  | 40(83.33%) |      |
constitutively active HSF1 version obviously increased the number of PCR fragments of the MTDH promoter (Figure 3B). These results suggested that HSF1 could bind with MTDH in vivo and in vitro to participate in its transcriptional regulation.

Knocking Down HSF1 Reduced the Migration and Invasion Capabilities of HeLa

HSF1-shRNA was transfected into HeLa cells, Western blot displayed that the expression of HSF1 in HSF1-shRNA cells was reduced, indicating that HSF1 interference was successful (Figure 4A). MTT assay showed that cell activity of HSF1-shRNA cells decreased after interference compared with cells in HeLa and NC groups (Figure 4B). Cloning experiments showed that the proliferation of HSF1-shRNA cells decreased after interference compared with cells in HeLa and NC (Figure 4C). Transwell and wound closure assays showed that knocking down HSF1 can obviously suppress the invasion and migration of HeLa cells (Figure 4D and E). These results suggested that HSF1 knockout in HeLa cells could significantly decrease the degree of malignancy in vitro.

Knocking Down HSF1 Reduced the Malignancy of HeLa in vivo

The effect of reduced HSF1 expression on HeLa cells in vivo was verified by tumor transplantation experiments. After HSF1 was knocked out, the growth of HeLa cells in vivo was obviously suppressed, and the growth curves of both the untreated group and the NC group at day 48 showed a rapid increase in tumor volume (Figure 5 and B). In addition, HSF1 knockout tumors were obviously smaller in diameter (188.18 ± 20.15 mm³) and weight (0.23 ± 0.091 g) than those of HeLa (889.16 ± 40.94mm³ 0.64 ± 0.12g) and empty vector-transformed tumors (988.18 ± 55.19mm³ 0.73 ± 0.1g) (Figure 5C and D). These data suggest that downregulation of HSF1 can significantly inhibit tumor growth in vivo.

HSF1 Depleted Xenograft Tissues Displayed Decreased MTDH and VEGF-C Expression

Western blot detected the expression of HSF1, CD31, MTDH and VEGF-C expression in the in vivo xenograft tissue. As indicated in Figure 5E, significant reduction of MTDH, CD31 and VEGF-C were observed in the HSF1 depleted xenograft tissues. MTDH displayed a significant expression reduction as HSF1, which was consistent with previous in vitro experiments. The expressions of MTDH, CD31 and VEGF-C in the transplanted tumor were detected by immunohistochemistry (Figure 5F). Positive staining was observed in both HeLa and HeLa NC groups, while the positive staining was obviously reduced in HSF1-shRNA group. Similarly, the expression of MTDH, CD31 and VEGF-C were downregulated in the HSF1-shRNA group.

Discussion

Cervical cancer is the fourth most common malignancy in women worldwide and is a major global health challenge. Each year, more than half a million women are diagnosed with cervical cancer, and more than 300,000 people worldwide die from the disease.13

The treatment of cervical cancer has developed from using cytotoxic therapy to targeting specific molecular subtypes, but the mortality rate of cervical cancer is still very high, which is attributed to the lack of sensitive early biomarkers on the one hand, and the vulnerability of cervical cancer to invasion and metastasis on the other hand.14 Current research of cervical cancer has identified many diagnostic and disease follow-up markers due to its high mortality,15,16 however, there are still more screening markers of cervical carcinoma need to be clearly established. As an important transcription factor, HSF1 is an endogenous anti-injury mechanism formed in the long-term evolutionary process of the body, which can induce the expression of downstream genes and regulate the stress response by binding with the HSE in the promoter of target genes.3 However, the study of HSF1 in cervical cancer has not been reported. For the first time, HSF1 displayed a significant enhanced expression in cervical cancer via immunohistochemistry. Increased HSF1 was associated with cervical cancer infiltration, lymph node metastasis, clinical stage and cancer differentiation. Previous research proved increased VEGF-C expression accounts for the increased angiogenesis and metastasis in cervical cancer.17,18 The microvessel intensity was found related to HSF1 expression. Increased VEGF-C was detected in cervical cancer, however, there is no transcription binding site in the promoter region of VEGF-C, which abolish the possibility of direct transcriptional control of VEGF-C by HSF1. Acting as a transcriptional protein implicated in the human oncogenesis and angiogenesis of various cancers, MTDH can upregulate the expression of VEGF by activating NF-kB pathway. Metadherin is highly
Figure 4 Knocking down HSF1 in HeLa cells reduces its malignancy in vitro. (A) Western blot displayed decreased HSF1 expression in HeLa-shHSF1 cells. (B) The cell activity of HeLa, NC and HSF1-shRNA groups was detected by MTT assay. (C) The cell proliferation of HeLa, NC and HSF1-shRNA groups was detected by clone formation assay. (D) Scratch analysis showed the width of the scratch at 24h, 48h was wider in HeLa-shHSF1 cells than in HeLa and NC cells. (E) Transwell analysis showed the OD 570 absorbance of HeLa-shHSF1 cell is lower than HeLa-NC and blank HeLa cells. The differences between the HeLa-shHSF1 cells, HeLa and HeLa-NC cells were significant (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ##P < 0.01).
Figure 5 Continued.
expressed in cervical cancer through activation of ERK / NF-kB pathway to give chemical resistance to cervical cancer cells.\textsuperscript{19} Statistical analysis identified positive relation between HSF1, MTDH, and VEGF-C protein in cervical cancer sections. MTDH, and VEGF-C also reduced its expression in the in vivo HSF1 depleted HeLa xenograft tissue. Bioinformatics analysis identified putative HSE core sequence in the -450bp ~ -438bp sequence of the S' promoter region of MTDH. Luciferase report assay, CHIP and EMSA proved the direct binding of HSF1 of the core HSE sequence of MTDH. VEGF can inhibit T lymphocyte infiltration in tumor microenvironment by inhibiting endothelial cell activation induced by NF-kB.\textsuperscript{20} Gang et al found that MTDH can regulate the expression of VEGF in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.\textsuperscript{21} Considering the relationship between MTDH, NF-kB and VEGF-C,\textsuperscript{22,23} it is proposed that HSF1 regulate the angiogenesis and metastasis of cervical cancer via MTDH-NF-kB-VEGF-C pathway. Current research identified that HSF1 can regulate HSPs, various proteins regulating cellular metabolism and signaling pathways, miRNAs and lncRNAs. HSF1 transcriptome is profoundly different in malignant cells compared with heat shock treated cells.\textsuperscript{24–26} In the tumorigenesis and progression, HSF1 plays multifaceted roles in cancer via regulating various proteins concerning critical cellular processes, for example, maintain homeostasis, inhibit apoptosis, and promote proliferation.\textsuperscript{27}

For the first time, clinical investigation identified increased HSF1 in cervical cancer, increased HSF1 expression was co-connected with cervical cancer infiltration, lymph node metastasis, clinical stage and cancer differentiation. Knocking down HSF1 successfully reduces the malignancy of HeLa in vivo and in vitro. MTDH was proved to be a target molecule regulated by HSF1 transcription. It is proved that HSF1 acts as an oncogene in

Figure 5 Knocking down HSF1 in HeLa reduces its malignancy in vivo. (A) Growth curve of nude mice with three different HeLa cell lines. (B) Representative images of subcutaneous tumors at day 48. Tumor volume (C) and weight (D) of HeLa cell xenografts in three different groups. The differences were statistically significant. (E) Western blot confirmed the decreased expression of HSF1, MTDH, VEGF-C and CD31 in tissues of xenograft tumors. (F) Immunohistochemistry was done to assess cyclin HSF1, MTDH, VEGF-C and CD31 expression in orthotopic tumors. Magnification 200×. Shown are the representative data sets of three independent experiments (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001).
cervical cancer via transcriptionally regulating MTDH. HSF1 can be used as a therapeutic molecule to suppressing the expression of MTDH.
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