Understanding Solid-Gas Reaction Mechanisms by Operando Soft X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy at Ambient Pressure
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Abstract

Ambient pressure operando soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy (soft-XAS) was applied to study the reactivity of hydroxylated SnO2 nanoparticles towards reducing gases. H2 was first used as a test case, showing that gas phase and surface states can be simultaneously probed: soft-XAS at
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the O K-edge gains sensitivity towards the gas phase, while at the Sn M_{4,5}-edges tin surface states are explicitly probed. Results obtained by flowing hydrocarbons (CH_{4} and CH_{3}CHCH_{2}) unequivocally show that these gases react with surface hydroxyl groups to produce water without producing carbon oxides, and release electrons that localize on Sn to eventually form SnO. The partially reduced SnO_{2-x} layer at the surface of SnO_{2} is readily reoxidised to SnO_{2} by treating the sample with O_{2} at mild temperatures (> 200 °C), revealing the nature of “electron sponge” of tin oxide. The experiments, combined with DFT calculations, allowed devising a mechanism for dissociative hydrocarbon adsorption on SnO_{2}, involving direct reduction of Sn sites at the surface via cleavage of C-H bonds, and the formation of methoxy- and/or methyl-tin species at the surface.

**Introduction**

Understanding the mechanism of solid/gas chemical reactions is crucial in many processes, either at low (e.g. fuel cells) and at mid-high temperatures (hydrocarbon chemistry, sensors etc.). A major example is the mechanism of high-temperature gas sensing on semiconducting materials, where the interaction of the analyte with the solid surface is the key-factor for the correct behaviour of the sensor; however, the detailed mechanisms of this interaction are still
unclear. Similarly, hydrocarbon oxidation (C-H activation) on metal oxide catalysts is a rather unknown process, yet of paramount importance in several fields. Semiconducting oxides such as SnO₂ act as gas sensors as their surface conductivity changes in response to variation of the surrounding atmosphere\(^1\text{-}^3\). The adsorption of gas molecules supplies donor or acceptor levels in dependence on the reductive or oxidative nature of the analyte compared to molecular oxygen. While a number of comprehensive review articles and books dealing with the mechanisms of semiconducting oxides and the various aspects involved in gas-sensing processes have been published\(^2,^4\text{-}^6\), a general consensus towards a unified model describing their functioning is still lacking.\(^7\text{-}^{13}\) SnO₂ has also a wide range of applications as a catalyst for oxidation reactions, for example the CO/O\(_2\) and CO/NO reactions, suggesting that the easiness of oxidation/reduction of surface states plays a major role in the reaction mechanisms.\(^14\)

A complete understanding of the reaction mechanism, including the generation of by-products in both phases and/or at the interphase, is required in order to design tailored materials. As happens in the study of any heterogeneous phenomenon, conventional investigation methodologies give either unclear or incomplete information. The main reason is that typical probes focus on a single “actor” of the reaction (\textit{i.e.} catalyst surface, adsorbed intermediates, gas phase). In the best case, as for the so-called hyphenated techniques, two or more probes are used
to monitor different portions of the interphase. However, no single *operando* (*i.e.* under operative conditions) technique *is* capable *to* simultaneously look at highly complex processes from several perspectives, considering all parts of the interface (gas, solid surface, adsorbed intermediates) and the relevant events occurring in mutual correlation.

A correlated problem is the real nature of the surface. Water species are ubiquitous on oxide surfaces, and these species are then expected to play a role in the surface reactivity. For example, it has been demonstrated that CO$_2$ cannot be adsorbed on heat treated SnO$_2$ in dry air.$^{15}$ As the relative humidity increases, CO$_2$ is adsorbed forming carbonate on the surface. When the surface is fully hydroxylated, carbonate is adsorbed by replacing surface -OH groups.$^{15}$ However, the presence of hydroxyl groups is scarcely taken into account when dealing with the mechanisms of adsorption on SnO$_2$: this is mainly due to the lack of established tools for looking at oxide surfaces in real operando conditions.

A wide collection of invaluable probes in material science is provided by synchrotron-based hard X-ray techniques: hard X-ray diffraction and spectroscopies are presently the working horses of material characterization, both ex- and in-situ. For example, hard X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) is an irreplaceable tool in the investigation of local atomic and electronic structures of materials.$^{16,17}$ Moreover, operando XAS experiments with hard X-rays are well-established and almost every experimental condition can be reached to simulate realistic reaction environments.
On the contrary, in the soft X-ray regime, the applications of XAS (soft-XAS) in material science were substantially limited to a “surface science” approach, *i.e.* to the study of clean surfaces in high-vacuum conditions. In fact, the low penetration depth of X-rays with energies lower than 1 keV and the severe vacuum limitation have somehow hindered the development of operando experiments. However, soft-XAS is expected to give invaluable information for a complete understanding of the mechanisms of phenomena taking place at material surfaces and interfaces, such as catalysis, intercalation, electrochemistry, *etc.* Until now, these subjects have been mainly the playground of x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), which is indeed sensitive to the immediate surface. However, despite some latest developments, even in the near ambient pressure variant (NAP-XPS) the experiments are conducted in the mbar or tens of mbar range, that is very far from real working conditions. In this sense, soft-XAS has been recently pushed forward in order to overcome this so-called “pressure-gap”. For instance, transmission mode and fluorescence mode cells for soft-XAS have been developed, allowing for operando investigations, for example in the field of electrochemistry; only very recently, specific reaction cells have been designed for the study of catalyst or electrode surfaces at high temperature and at atmospheric pressure. In these cases, soft-XAS is operated in the Total Electron Yield (TEY) detection method, which renders the technique intrinsically surface sensitive, and allows to effectively probe the pertinent surface states. Indeed, in the TEY mode,
all the electrons (photoelectrons, Auger electrons, secondary electrons) emitted from the sample after photoabsorption are collected. Since the escape depth of such electrons at moderate kinetic energies is very small (of the order of few nanometers), only very few atomic layers below the surface can be probed.

The general trends in the field of operando and in situ characterization of metal oxides in gas sensing and catalysis include: 1) the combination of multiple techniques to add “a third dimension to operando spectroscopy”\textsuperscript{30,31}, and 2) the development of methods to sense and spectroscopically observe single molecules (for example, with “reporter molecules” \textsuperscript{32}).

It is clear from all the above that soft-XAS in situ and/or operando conditions will allow to obtain fundamental information in this case. If reactions taking place on oxide surfaces are considered, oxygen states are expected to be deeply involved and the only energy range where these states are probed is up to the O K-edge at 543 eV, \textit{i.e.} well within the soft X-ray regime.

We remark that gas phase water that can be formed through reaction(s) involving surface hydroxyl groups can easily be detected at the O K-edge at 543.1 eV, \textit{i.e.} well within the soft X-ray regime. Furthermore, the M\textsubscript{4,5}-edges of tin at \textit{ca.} 485-495 eV \textit{are} close in energy and can be
probed in the same experiment, thus permitting to access all valence states of the materials, searching for variations in the oxidation state of Sn.\textsuperscript{33,34}

In the present work, we performed experiments the O K- and Sn M\textsubscript{4,5}-edges at ambient pressure with the aim of studying the mechanisms of the surface reaction with reducing (H\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4} and CH\textsubscript{2}CHCH\textsubscript{3}) gases by SnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles. The investigation was conducted in the 100 - 360 °C temperature range, which nicely fits the operative range of the nanoparticles as gas sensor.\textsuperscript{35} In these conditions, the SnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles are free of physisorbed water but chemisorbed, hydroxylated species are still present. H\textsubscript{2} was chosen as a test gas to assess the capability of XAS to simultaneously detect water in the gas phase at the O K-edge, and reduced Sn species in the nanoparticles at the Sn M\textsubscript{4,5}-edges. For what concerns CH\textsubscript{4} and CH\textsubscript{2}CHCH\textsubscript{3}, the results unequivocally show that hydrocarbons react with surface hydroxylated species and release electrons that localize on Sn states to eventually form a SnO layer. This mechanism for the reactive adsorption of hydrocarbons on SnO\textsubscript{2} was finally tested against DFT calculations.

**Experimental and Theoretical Methods**

**SnO\textsubscript{2} synthesis and characterization**

Nanocrystalline SnO\textsubscript{2} was prepared by precipitation from aqueous solution of SnCl\textsubscript{4}, obtained by dissolving 0.01 mol of SnCl\textsubscript{4}·5H\textsubscript{2}O in 90 mL of milliQ. Then, 210 mL of a 0.33 M urea aqueous
solution was added. All of the reagents were purchased at analytical grade from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at 90°C and then overnight at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was washed by centrifugation-resuspension cycles with water/ethanol. It was then suspended in water and treated in a Teflon-lined autoclave for 4 h at 130°C. The powder was then dried in oven at 80°C and calcined for 6 h at 400°C under O₂ flux.

The X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) pattern was recorded using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer in Bragg-Brentano configuration, equipped with a Cu anti-cathode (Cu Kα, λ ≈ 1.54 Å).

The sample specific surface area was determined from N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms in subcritical conditions (Coulter SA 3100) according to the Brunauer–Emmett Teller (BET) method. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded on the as synthesized sample using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrophotometer working in Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) mode. Spectra were acquired using a resolution of 4.0 cm⁻¹ and a total of 12 scans between 4000 and 400 cm⁻¹.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves were acquired on a Mettler-Toledo TGA/DSC 3+ STAR System in the 30-900 °C range with a 5 °C min⁻¹ heating rate under N₂ flux.
High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were obtained on a JEOL 3010–UHR instrument equipped with a LaB$_6$ filament (acceleration potential 300 kV). All digital micrographs were acquired by a Ultrascan 1000 camera and image processing was performed by a Gatan Digital Micrograph program version 3.11.1. Samples were dry dispersed onto Cu grids coated with “lacey” carbon film before analysis.

Raman spectra were recorded on the plain powder by means of a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrometer, equipped with the RAMII accessory and Ge detector, by exciting samples with a Nd:YAG laser source (1064 nm). Spectral resolution was 4 cm$^{-1}$.

**XAS experiment**

To obtain high quality in situ soft-XAS data, the reaction cell shown in Fig. 1 was used. The cell is installed at the APE-HE beamline at the ELETTRA synchrotron radiation source, and can operate in the temperature range from RT to ca. 360 °C. The XAS detection in total electron yield (TEY) mode was achieved by measuring the drain current from the sample with a picoammeter. The monochromator of the APE-HE beamline allows for a continuous scanning of the X-ray energies; this, combined with the high signal-to-noise ratio of TEY, makes it possible recording XAS spectra in very short times, of the order of few seconds. For the XAS experiment, a small amount of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles (5 mg ca.), in form of loose powder, was hand pressed on the sample holder of the reaction cell of the APE beamline at the ELETTRA synchrotron.
radiation facility. The sample holder is fixed with screws onto the titanium base of the cell, which is floating from ground and connected with a coaxial cable. In this geometry, the X-ray beam passes through the membrane and the gas layer, then hit the sample and generate the secondary emission, which is collected by a picoammeter connected to the sample and measuring the drain current. All the measurements were performed keeping the sample grounded through the picoammeter and applying a positive bias voltage of 40 V to the membrane. The cell is mounted in the UHV chamber of the APE-HE beamline, coaxially with the X-ray beam. The reaction cell was mounted on an x-y table that allows its movement in the plane perpendicular to the incident beam with 5 μm vectorial precision. This allows the alignment of the membrane on to the beam. The sample surface, inside the cell, sits in the focal point of the beamline. The measurements were performed at the O K-edge, between 528 and 547 eV, and at the Sn M_{4,5}-edges, between 480 and 510 eV, at different temperatures and under a total pressure of 1 bar. To ensure for maximum gas purity, especially concerning water and carbon oxides, the He carrier gas was passed through a liquid N\textsubscript{2} trap before entering the cell. The spectra at both edges have been background subtracted by fitting the pre- edge with a straight line. The spectra at the Sn M_{4,5}-edges, were normalised at unit absorption at 508 eV. The spectra at the O K-edge are shown without further manipulation, except in cases where it is explicitly stated differently.
**Theoretical calculations**

DFT calculations were performed for water loaded SnO$_2$(110) interfaces with the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP 5.4.1)\textsuperscript{37,38} using the GGA approach. The PBE exchange-correlation was applied\textsuperscript{39,40}. To include van der Waals interactions, the dDsC dispersion correction method is employed (PBE-dDsC)\textsuperscript{41–43}. The projector augmented wave approximation (PAW)\textsuperscript{44} is used to describe the interaction between the valence electrons and the atom cores. Sn and O were treated with 4 and 6 electrons in the valence, respectively. Bulk SnO$_2$ was optimized using a plane wave kinetic energy cutoff of 1000 eV and a Γ- centered k point grid of at least 12 x 12 x 12. For SnO$_2$, the optimized cell parameters are (a=b), 4.82 Å in the a- and b-direction and 3.24 Å in the c-direction respectively. Subsequently, a 4-layered (4x4) slab is constructed with SnO$_2$(110) termination at the top and bottom of the z-direction (Supporting Information, Figure S1). On such slabs, water chemisorption and the fate of methane are studied. All bulk SnO$_2$(110) slabs were structurally relaxed using a plane wave cutoff energy of 450 eV and Brillouin zone sampling was restricted to the Γ-point. A Gaussian smearing\textsuperscript{45} of 0.05 eV was applied to improve convergence. Additionally, the convergence criterion for the electronic self-consistent field (SCF) problem is set to $10^{-5}$ eV for cell optimizations and structures are relaxed until all forces are below 0.03 eV/Å. A vacuum of at least 18 Å in the z-direction is present for all optimized models. Ab initio thermodynamics is used to calculated adsorption/desorption equilibria (Figure
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c) or construct phase diagrams ranking different hydrated SnO\(_2\)\((110)\) surface slabs (see Figure S7). The pressure and temperature dependence of the equilibrium introduced via chemical potential of the species in gas phase. For example, for a compound C which can adsorb and desorb from a SnO\(_2\) surface slab, this can be written as follows:

In these equations, we can approximate the free energies with and without adsorbed species C with the chemical potentials can be approximated for bulk and surfaces by the internal energy E:

The PV term can be neglected for the rather low pressures under consideration here as well as the TS term, which includes the entropy contributions.

To evaluate equation 2 of the main text for different phases, the chemical potentials for CO and oxygen have to be expressed in function of temperature and pressure:

Here, \( E \), \( E' \), and \( E'' \) represent the zero-point corrected energies. Based on equation 2 (main text), the different phases can be analyzed as a function of \( E \) and \( E' \) (Figure 1). Moreover, using the tabulated standard chemical potentials at 1 bar \(^2\), a pressure axis can be constructed for any given temperatures (Figures S.1-S6).

The standard chemical potentials at 1 bar can be calculated from enthalpy and entropy; for example and similarly for .

+ add ab initio thermodynamics part
Results and discussion

The SnO$_2$ nanoparticles were prepared as described in the method section. The XAS experiment was performed at the O K- and Sn M$_{4,5}$-edges using the experimental ambient pressure XAS cell shown in Fig. 1$^{36,46}$.

Figure 1: a) 3D drawing of the front part of the reactor cell for ambient pressure operando XAS experiments, as seen from the x-ray beam. The reactor cell contains a gas fluxing circuit and a Si$_3$N$_4$ membrane (window), of 100 nm of thickness. The membrane separates the sample lodging, which is at ambient (1 atm) pressure, from the rest of the beamline, which is in high...
vacuum conditions, permitting the transmission of the incident soft X-ray beam without significant loss of intensity in the photon energy range of interest. b) Vertical section of the reactor cell in which the gas circuit, the heater and the sample environment are clearly visible.

Phase purity of the nanoparticles was checked before the XAS experiment by means of X-ray powder diffraction. The pattern (Figure S2, Supporting Information) shows the characteristic reflections of cassiterite SnO$_2$ only. The crystallite size was estimated according to the Scherrer equation, showing an average grain dimension of 3.5 nm. The specific surface area, measured as described in the Materials and methods section, is 117 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$; considering the average grain size and assuming particles with spherical shape and cassiterite bulk density, the surface area value is indicative of a high degree of aggregation. Notwithstanding the assumptions made in this estimate, the aggregation is independently confirmed by HRTEM results as shown in Figure S3,
where a representative HRTEM image of the as prepared SnO$_2$ sample is shown. The oxide particles are indeed highly agglomerated, as individual objects cannot always be singled out. Almost all particles exhibit roundish contours and the average particle size can be estimated (assuming an almost spherical form or, at least, a pebble-like shape) to be in the 3-5 nm range, in excellent agreement with the XRPD results, allowing thus the definition of nanoparticles. Moreover, the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles are very thin (supporting then a pebble-like shape) and closely packed, giving rise to frequent fringe patterns, either “normal” and due to thickness or of Moiré character. The analysis of both fringes and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) patterns of the fringes themselves (Figure S3 inset) confirms the presence of the crystallographic planes belonging to the (110) of cassiterite with $d_{(hkl)} = 0.33$ nm (ICDD card n. 01-071-0652). The feature was revealed (i) onto many different portions of the sample and (ii) for many different parts of the sample.

The single phase of the nanoparticles is confirmed by the Raman spectrum reported in Fig. S4. The spectrum is dominated by a sharp and intense component at ~ 630 cm$^{-1}$, besides two minor spectral components at ~ 475 cm$^{-1}$ (sharp, but with low intensity) and at ~ 725 cm$^{-1}$ (broad and low in intensity). All of the observed components can be ascribed, on the basis of both their spectral features and literature data$^{47}$, to SnO$_2$ Raman modes. In particular, components at 630, 475 and 725 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the $A_{1g}$, $E_g$ and $B_{2g}$ vibrational modes, respectively. As the
Raman spectrum becomes totally opaque in the high frequency region, no other spectral components could be singled out.

The FTIR spectrum of the as synthesized SnO$_2$ sample (Fig. S5, Supporting Information) shows as main feature a broad band in the 700-400 cm$^{-1}$ region, which is attributed to Sn-O and Sn-O-Sn stretching vibrations$^{48}$. Moreover, a broad band in the 3700-3000 cm$^{-1}$ range is attributed to stretching vibrations of hydroxyl groups and physisorbed water, mutually interacting by hydrogen bonding. The corresponding bending counterpart can be appreciated at 1640 cm$^{-1}$. It should be noted that FTIR spectra were recorded on the as synthesized powder, hence the presence of abundant surface hydration might cover any signals of free OH groups. No peaks characteristic of organic contaminants (e.g., CH$_x$ or C=O stretching vibrations) can be observed.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Fig. S6, Supporting Information) shows a loss of physisorbed water at T < 100 °C and a broader feature starting at about 400 °C accounting for an overall weight loss of about 4%; the latter can be related, in agreement with FTIR results and previous literature, mainly to the loss of chemisorbed water$^{49}$. As a result, an average surface density of ca. 5 OH groups per nm$^2$ can be estimated [DOI: 10.1021/la025785w], which is in good agreement with previous studies [DOI: 10.1021/jp067242r]. It should be noted that TGA shows that, in the temperature range between 100-360 °C, the weight loss is the smallest, amounting to ca. 0.1 %. To better understand this fact, DFT calculations of adsorption energy were performed.
We found that on bare SnO$_2$(110), water can be adsorbed dissociatively (Figure S7, Supporting Information), with chemisorption energy of -161.6 kJ/mol (PBE-dDsC) per water molecule (at PBE, -140.1 kJ/mol). More loosely bound water (physisorbed water) can furtherly adsorb on SnO$_2$(110) with chemisorbed water, with adsorption energies of -78.0 kJ/mol (PBE-dDsC, at PBE; -60.8 kJ/mol) for the first layer and -49.6 kJ/mol (PBE-dDsC, at PBE; -33.6 kJ/mol) for the second layer (Fig. S7), characteristic for hydrogen-bonded water. These different adsorption energies are closely reflected in the TG curve: the weight loss for $T < 100$ °C is associated to the second layer physisorbed water (and additional layers); in the range $100 < T < 300$ °C, the weight loss is associated with the first layer physisorbed water, while for $T > 300$ °C, the weight loss is due to the desorption of chemisorbed water.

In order to clean the sample surface from the physisorbed water, after being mounted in the reaction gas XAS cell, the sample was heated up to 300 °C in flowing He at 20 SCCM, and then cooled down to room temperature. Fig. S8, Supporting Information, compares the spectra obtained for the sample as it is, and during the initial thermal treatment; that obtained in vacuum conditions is also shown for better reference. The peak at ca. 531 eV is due to molecular oxygen (see Fig. S9, Supporting Information) and it is reasonably due to desorption from the gas pipeline. The dip at ca. 531 eV is due to small oxygen impurities in the beamline, and it is better discussed in the Supporting Information. Here we note that it can be safely ignored.
eV and 543 eV the spectra at $T > 100 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ show a series of features (see Fig. S9). In particular, the peaks at $ca. 534, 536.1$ and $537.2$ are due to the appearance of molecular water in the gas phase, confirming that, in the temperature range $100 < T < 300 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, desorption of physisorbed water take place. Comparing the spectrum obtained at room temperature, in flowing He and after this thermal treatment, with that obtained in rough vacuum ($10^{-3}$ torr) before the thermal treatment, demonstrates that SnO$_2$ is now free of physisorbed water, in agreement with the TGA measurements. However, TG and DFT calculation show that dissociatively chemisorbed water is still present at the surface, and therefore the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles are terminated by hydroxyl groups. For the sake of conciseness, in the following this will be referred to as “clean SnO$_2$ surface”. In addition, the spectrum in rough vacuum shows again a small peak between 530 and 531.5 eV, due to desorption of O$_2$ from the cell pipeline, demonstrating the sensitivity of the O K-edge spectra to small amounts of oxygen containing species in the gas phase. It is also noted that comparison with literature data shows a small broadening of the spectral features at the O K-edge of the SnO$_2$ sample when compared to literature data$^{50}$. This may be caused by the large amount of uncoordinated atoms that are present at the surface due to the nanosize of our sample. The sample was then heated while flowing a mixture of He ($20$ SCCM) and H$_2$ ($5$ SCCM). The results are shown in Fig. 2a (O K-edge) and 2b (Sn M$_{4,5}$-edges).
Figure 2: a) Evolution of the O K-edge spectra of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles in flowing H$_2$, as a function of temperature: the spectra are shifted along the y axis for the sake of better clarity. The vertical dotted lines mark the position of the main peaks of water in the gas phase. Peaks A and B are the main peaks of SnO$_2$, whose origin is described in text. b) Sn M$_{4,5}$-edges of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles at different temperatures and in different conditions. The spectrum of standard SnO is shown for reference, and the spectra are shifted along the y axis as in a). The spectrum after the re-oxidation in O$_2$ at 230 °C is also shown as a yellow line. The region between 487.5 and 492 eV for the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles at 120, 144, 240 and 360 °C in H$_2$ is evidenced for better reference.

Due to the 1s character of the initial state, at the O K-edge the $\Delta l = \pm 1$ dipole selection rule allows transition to empty states with $p$ character only. In addition, the localised nature of the core hole in the 1s orbital that is formed after photon absorption projects the density of final states onto states with O character only$^{51}$. The two intense peaks in the O K-edge spectrum are then due to
transitions to O 2p states hybridised with Sn 5s states at the bottom of the conduction band (peak A in Fig. 2a), and to transition to antibonding O 2p states hybridised with Sn 5s and 5p states in the conduction band (peak B in Fig. 2a), respectively. According to the same type of considerations, the final states at the Sn M4,5-edges are of Sn p and f character, with the spin-orbit coupling splitting the initial states in 3d3/2 (M4) and 3d3/2 (M5), respectively. The Sn M4,5-edge spectrum then maps the PDOS of empty Sn 5p and 5f character in the conduction band.

At 100 °C, the O K-edge spectrum shows a close resemblance with that of a clean SnO2 nanoparticle surface. Above this temperature, some additional peaks at ca. 534, 536.1 and 537.2 eV start to appear, with increasing intensity with increasing T. These peaks are strictly corresponding to that of H2O in the gas phase (Fig. S8). In this case, we start with a clean surface, without physisorbed water, and, in this temperature range, where we demonstrated that desorption of chemisorbed water cannot occur, these additional peaks can only be attributed to a reduction by H2 of the SnO2 surface, to produce water in the gas phase. To further investigate this point, a spectrum at the Sn M4,5-edges was acquired in flowing H2 at ca. 144 °C. A close inspection of this spectrum in the region between 487.5 and 492 eV (inset in Fig. 2b), shows the presence of an additional peak, which, by comparison with the spectrum of reference SnO, can only be attributed to the formation of Sn(II), thus confirming the reduction.
At the O K-edge, the additional peaks at ca. 534, 536.1 and 537.2 eV show a sudden and dramatic boost at ca. 190 °C, showing that the rate of the reaction producing water becomes conspicuous. Then, a striking decrease of water production is found for \( T > 240 \) °C. Simultaneously, the Sn M\(_{4,5}\)-edge data in Fig. 2b show a noticeable increase of the extra peak at ca. 489 and an increase in intensity of the peak at 497.5 eV, both due to the formation of an increased amount of Sn(II). At ca. 240 °C, the spectrum at the Sn M\(_{4,5}\)-edges shows that the reduction of SnO\(_2\) goes well beyond the surface, and a further increase of the reduction is found at 360 °C. A quantification of the thickness of the SnO layer can be obtained by measuring the area of the peak at 489 eV, which is only due to Sn(II), normalised by the area of the peaks at 492.5 and 494.5, that are almost only due to Sn(IV) (see Fig. S10, Supporting Information).

Taking into account that the mean probing depth \( \lambda \) of electrons in total electron yield at 500 eV amounts to ca. 3.5 nm\(^2\), the thickness of the reduced layer at 360 °C is ca. 1.5(5) nm, which corresponds to a width of at least 3-4 unit cells. This surface layer prevents further reduction of the SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles and avoids further production of water. Notably, as it is shown in Fig. 2b, the reduction can be fully reversed by flowing O\(_2\) in the ambient pressure XAS cell at \( T > 200 \) °C. The Sn M\(_{4,5}\)-edge spectrum obtained after this treatment shows that the spectral shape of SnO\(_2\) is fully recovered. To further confirm that H\(_2\)O is present in the gas phase, we fitted the spectrum at 200 °C at the O K-edge with a linear combination of the spectra of water in the gas
phase and of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles in vacuum. The agreement, as show in Fig. S11 of the Supporting Information, is rather satisfactory.

After having demonstrated that in situ soft-XAS is successful (in real working conditions) in both detecting gas products of surface reaction(s) and the variations in the Sn oxidation state at the surface produced by these reaction(s), we consider the effects of hydrocarbons like CH$_4$ and CH$_2$CHCH$_3$.

Experiments with methane (He 20 SCCM + CH$_4$ 5 SCCM) were conducted in the 100 ≤ $T$ ≤ 360 °C temperature range. Before running the experiments, the sample was fully oxidised as described above. The results at the O K-edge are shown in Fig. 3a. At 100 °C, the O K-edge spectrum corresponds to a clean SnO$_2$ surface, free of physisorbed water. This is again in agreement with the TGA measurements of Fig. S5, showing that the rate of water desorption is minimum in the 100 ≤ $T$ ≤ 360 °C temperature range. At $T = 120$ °C, the CH$_4$ flux produces an appearance of the signatures of water in the gas phase.
Figure 3: 

(a) Evolution of the O K-edge spectra of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles in flowing CH$_4$, as a function of temperature: as in Figure 1, the spectra are shifted along the y axis for the sake of better clarity, and the vertical dotted lines mark the position of the main peaks of water in the gas phase. 

(b) Sn M$_{4,5}$-edges of the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles at different temperatures in flowing CH$_4$. The spectra are shifted along the y axis as in (a). The increase of the Sn(II) signature at 489 eV is shown on an enlarged scale for better reference.

These signatures show an increase at $T \geq 240$ °C, with a maximum at 310 °C, and then a decrease when going from 310 to 360 °C. The spectra at the Sn M$_{4,5}$-edges are shown in Fig. 3b. Here we note that signatures of Sn(II) start to appear after the treatment in CH$_4$ at $T = 120$ °C, with an intensity that is increasing by increasing $T$, as it is shown by the inset in Fig. 3b.

Results with CH$_2$CHCH$_3$ (He 20 SCCM + CH$_2$CHCH$_3$ 5 SCCM) are analogous. Also in this case, before running the experiment, the sample was fully oxidised by flowing O$_2$ at 200 °C. The measurements were performed in the $100 \leq T \leq 260$ °C temperature range. The pertinent spectra at the O K-edge are shown in Fig. 4a. Again, we observe that the initial conditions correspond to that of an almost clean SnO$_2$, and that the signatures of water in the gas phase appear for $T \geq 120$ °C.
°C, reaching a maximum at 200 °C, and then decreasing at higher temperatures. The spectral intensity of the water peaks is larger for propylene than for methane, in agreement with the higher reactivity of propylene. Again, at the Sn M_{4,5}-edges (Fig. 4b), the hydrocarbon flow induces an appearance of the peaks of Sn(II).

Figure 4: Evolution of the O K-edge spectra of the SnO_{2} nanoparticles in flowing CH_{2}CHCH_{3}, as a function of temperature; as in Figure 1, the spectra are shifted along the y axis for the sake of better clarity, and the vertical dotted lines mark the position of the main peaks of water in the gas phase. b) Sn M_{4,5}-edges of the SnO_{2} nanoparticles at different temperatures in flowing CH_{2}CHCH_{3}. The spectra are shifted along the y axis as in a).

An important point to note is that, with both methane and propylene, signatures of carbon oxides are never detected by soft-XAS, even if the spectra of both CO and CO_{2} show very large pre-edge peaks at the O K-edge that are likely to show up in the spectra (see Fig. S9), even if these
compounds are present in very low concentrations. It is also noted that the cross section of CO and CO$_2$ at the O K-edge is at least five times larger than that of water$^{54,55}$, meaning that the possible formation of carbon oxides is well within the sensitivity of our soft-XAS experiment. The non-appearance of carbon oxide in the O K-edge spectra has the obvious meaning that hydrocarbons react with the clean SnO$_2$ surface by directly providing electrons, rather than by removing surface oxygenated species. Likely, SnO$_2$ behaves in these cases like an electron sponge, being able to easily accept and return electrons depending on the gas species that are close to the surface. This is also confirmed by the easiness with which the reduced surface can be restored in its fully oxidised form. The electrons provided by reducing gases localise on Sn, to form Sn(II), and eventually an SnO layer at the surface. Quantification of the thickness of the SnO layer using the same method described above for the reduction with H$_2$ gives a figure of 0.6(2) nm at 360 °C in CH$_4$ and 0.5(2) nm at 250 °C in CH$_2$CHCH$_3$ (see Fig. S10, Supporting Information), which correspond to a width of 1-2 SnO$_2$ unit cells in both cases. The thicknesses in CH$_4$ and CH$_2$CHCH$_3$ are comparable despite the fact that the reduction is faster in CH$_2$CHCH$_3$ because the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles have been exposed to methane at high $T$ for a longer time.

The experimental evidences described above allowed us to propose different dissociative hydrocarbon routes that have been tested by DFT calculations. For the sake of simplicity, but without any loss of generality, we studied the dissociative adsorption of CH$_4$ on a fully
hydroxylated SnO$_2$(110) surfaces (), mimicking the predominantly exposed clean surface in the experiments (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).

Figure 5: (a) energy diagram for the production of CH$_3$OH and H$_2$O from CH$_4$ on a fully hydroxylated SnO$_2$(110) surface via CH$_3$ deposition on dangling Sn-OH bond forming adsorbed (ads) CH$_3$OH, and (b) the schematic representation of the different states of SnO$_2$(110) in the above energy diagram (a). Color code; Sn (green), O (red), C (gray), H (white). (c) equilibrium
Figure 6: (a) energy diagram for the production of CH$_3$OH and H$_2$O from CH$_4$ on a fully hydroxylated SnO$_2$(110) surface via CH$_3$ deposition on Sn-O-Sn bridge forming adsorbed (ads) CH$_3$OH, and (b) the schematic representation of the different states of SnO$_2$(110) in the above energy diagram (a). Color code; Sn (green), O (red), C (gray), H (white).

These 110 surfaces consist of Sn$^{4+}$ and have both dangling Sn-OH and bridged Sn-OH-Sn groups (Figure 4). Furthermore, they are fully H-saturated and therefore, the reaction with CH$_4$ takes
place via physisorption of CH$_4$ (-19.2 kJ/mol), followed by C-H cleavage forming adsorbed CH$_3$OH. Here, two different reaction possibilities are tested, forming the adsorbed CH$_3$OH, either via CH$_3$ addition to a dangling Sn-OH bond or to a bridged Sn-OH-Sn bond, represented in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The most stable reorganized structures after O-CH$_3$ bond formation are at -114.7 and -102.3 kJ/mol (see Figure 5 and 6). From these states, the desorption of CH$_3$OH and H$_2$O was considered. In order to take the desorption temperatures into consideration,

This means that when the adsorption energy is similar, CH$_3$OH desorption from the SnO$_2$(110) surface takes place at higher temperatures compared to H$_2$O. In case of water, we find desorption energies of 124 kJ/mol and 111 kJ/mol (see Figure 4 and 5), corresponding roughly with realistic desorption temperatures of about 383 and 316 °C, respectively. However, as the water partial pressures in the experiments are lower than 1 bar, we underestimate the entropy difference and thus overestimate the desorption temperature. Furthermore, there are also other SnO$_2$ facets exposed in experiment. Within experiment, it is clear that H$_2$O formation happens from 100 °C after exposure of the SnO$_2$-nanoparticles to CH$_4$ (see Figure 2), and this agrees with the computational result that reaction with CH$_4$ lowers the H$_2$O adsorption energies (Figure 5 and 6).

In another scenario we investigated, the SnO$_2$(110) surface is not fully hydroxylated, and therefore one water molecule was removed (), creating a free Sn site and an O site without
hydrogen, Figure 7. In this case, methane is slightly exothermically physisorbed at the free Sn-site. The nearby oxygen removes a hydrogen and the remaining -CH$_3$ group becomes chemisorbed forming a Sn-CH$_3$ bond. This step is exothermic with about 59 kJ/mol compared with methane in the gas phase. In the next step, a methoxy group is formed and water leaves the surface reforming a free Sn site. This reaction is exothermic with -157 kJ/mol and shows that the CH$_3$OH group is strongly physisorbed onto the surface. Since the active site is reformed, the reaction is autocatalytic and will stop once all available sites are sterically blocked by methanol or methoxy groups.
Figure 7: (a) energy diagram for the production of CH$_3$OH or CH$_2$O from CH$_4$ on a hydroxylated SnO$_2$(110) surface (H$_2$O-coverage of 7/8) via CH$_3$ chemisorption (chem) on the bare Sn-site followed by the formation of physisorbed (phys) CH$_3$OH or CH$_2$O and (b) the schematic representation of the different states of SnO$_2$(110) in the above energy diagram (a). In this case, the formation of H$_2$O from the physisorbed CH$_3$OH state is more endotherm than the formation of gas phase CH$_3$OH (energy level -13.1 kJ/mol). Color code; Sn (green), O (red), C (gray), H (white).
Removing methanol from the surface requires a desorption energy of about 140 kJ/mol, which corresponds to a desorption temperature higher than 800 °C. The removal of water from the surface is also endotherm by 144 kJ/mol, corresponding with a desorption temperature higher than 400 °C. These results clearly show that both water and methanol cannot be formed unless the desorption energies lower further, for example via extra surface reactions with CH₄. Remark that as soon as bond-deficient Sn-sites at the surface are formed, species like Sn-CH₃ can appear at the surface. Through such an electron rich covalent bond, the surface Sn(IV) gets eventually reduced. The fact that a Sn(II) signature is observed and that only 1 to 2 layers of SnO were found experimentally further support this latter reaction sequence.

The results described above can be further discussed in the framework of the gas-sensing mechanisms by SnO₂ proposed in the literature. The sensor response is explained by changes of the electric surface potential resulting from “ionosorption” of gaseous molecules (ionosorption model) or by changes in the oxygen stoichiometry, that is, by the variation of the number of (sub-)surface oxygen vacancies and their ionization (reduction–reoxidation mechanism)¹³. A very recent work concerning the mechanisms of gas sensing towards ethanol by SnO₂ concluded that the response is correlated with the number of oxygen vacancies, the nature of the adsorbates, and the presence of surface hydroxyl groups, thus supporting the reduction-reoxidation mechanism ⁵⁶. The present work makes a further step forward in this direction: reducing gases
such as hydrocarbons can directly provide electrons to SnO$_2$ nanoparticles via cleavage of C-H bond(s). These electrons are at least partially localised on Sn, to produce Sn(II); the reduced layer eventually forms a monolayer (or a couple of monolayers) of SnO at the surface. In principle in this mechanism the presence of oxygen vacant sites at the surface is not required. On the contrary, our results show that the presence of hydroxyl species is decisive for the dissociative chemisorption of hydrocarbons.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, it is demonstrated that soft-XAS can be used to probe, in the same experiment, the products of a surface heterogeneous reaction both in the gas phase and, simultaneously, on the surface. In particular, we experimentally demonstrated that reducing gases directly react with the hydroxylated SnO$_2$ surface, removing water and reducing Sn(IV) to Sn(II). The reduction is larger for H$_2$ than for hydrocarbons and is larger for unsaturated hydrocarbons than for alkanes. Hydrocarbons act as reducing agent without the production of carbon oxides. This fact indicates that methoxy- or methyl-like at the surface can be formed, as indicated by detailed theoretical DFT investigation on the reaction mechanism for the reduction with CH$_4$. As schematically represented in Figs. 5-7, the surface reaction involves cleavage of the C-H bond of the incoming gas and subsequent reaction with OH groups on the surface to form H$_2$O and methoxy-or methyl-
tin species on the surface. Because of this reduction, more loosely bound or physisorbed H$_2$O is formed, eventually leaving the SnO$_2$ surface. All the data presented in this work reveal that the hydroxyl groups that are present at the SnO$_2$ surface play a crucial role in the adsorption properties: this evidence can be the basis for a better understanding of both sensing and catalytic properties of SnO$_2$, and more generally, of oxide-based materials.

A final comment is due concerning the transferability of the present results to other systems. With a typical set up used at a synchrotron radiation beamline for the O K-edge, all the edges within the 300 and 1200 eV energy range can be reached. This means that: i) all the L$_{2,3}$-edges of the 3$d$ transition metals; ii) all the M$_{2,3}$-edges of the 4$d$ transition metals; iii) all the N$_{2,3}$-edges of the 5$d$ transition metals; iv) the M$_{4,5}$-edges of the lanthanides from Ce to Tb can be probed in the same experiment. Although this are just few illustrations among the variety of edges that can be probed simultaneously with the O K-edge, these examples show that the methodology here described can in principle be applied to the vast majority of cases that are of interest when a solid is punt in contact with a gas phase.
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