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1. Introduction

Zirconia can exist in three allotropic forms: monoclinic (m-ZrO$_2$), tetragonal (t-ZrO$_2$), and cubic (c-ZrO$_2$). Pure zirconia is monoclinic from ambient temperature to 1170 °C. In the range of 1170–2370 °C, it is tetragonal, and from 2370 °C to the melting point, it is cubic [1]. Upon cooling reverse t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$, a transition occurs at 950 °C which is accompanied with an increase in volume by about 4.5% [1]. It leads to unalloyed zirconia cracking upon cooling and provides its poor mechanical properties. Various stabilizing oxides are used to prevent an undesirable t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transition and to keep t-ZrO$_2$ at room temperature [2]. Stabilized zirconia, consisting of t-ZrO$_2$ grains, are called tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (TZP). TZP exists in the certain range of the dopant concentrations.

TZP ceramics are attractive because of their excellent room-temperature mechanical properties [3]. Mainly TZP ceramics are produced through chemical processing of zircon. Baddeleyite is another natural source of zirconia containing monoclinic ZrO$_2$ in the range of 96.5–98.5 wt%. Baddeleyite is much cheaper than zirconia synthesized from zircon, but chemical ways of stabilizing are not efficient for baddeleyite. In [4], high-energy milling was successfully applied to baddeleyite to prepare CaO-stabilized ZrO$_2$ nanopowder. CaO was chosen as an inexpensive alternative to Y$_2$O$_3$ and CeO$_2$ which commonly used for producing engineering TZP ceramics.

Mechanical properties of TZP ceramics strongly depend on its grain size [5]. Above a critical grain size, TZP ceramics are susceptible to spontaneous t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation throughout the material volume. Below a certain grain size, local t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation induced by mechanical impact, giving rise to TZP ceramics toughening, does not occur, resulting in reduced TZP ceramics fracture toughness. The increase in sintering temperature and time leads to larger grain size [5].

The aim of this work is to define CaO concentration and the sintering regime which gives rise to a Ca-TZP ceramic originating from baddeleyite which possesses competitive mechanical properties.

2. Materials and Methods

We produced 1–5 wt% CaO-stabilized ZrO$_2$ powders by wet high-energy co-milling of CaO powder (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) and the baddeleyite concentrate.
powder with zirconia content of 99.3% (5 µm, Kovdorsky mining and processing plant, Kovdor, Russia) using the planetary mill Pulverisette 7 Premium Line (Fritsch, Idar-Oberstein, Germany) in the same way as described in [4]. The size of zirconia nanoparticles in the prepared powders is less than 20 nm. We also used the powder of chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia (99.9%, 5 µm, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) instead of the baddeleyite concentrate to produce reference samples.

The obtained powders were uniaxially pressed under 560 MPa into pellets of a 10 mm diameter and a 2 mm thickness. The fabricated pellets were sintered at the temperature range from 1100 to 1400 °C for 4 h in air atmosphere in a muffle furnace. The sintering temperature was 500 °C and the cooling rate was 5 °C/min. The samples were cooled naturally from 500 °C to room temperature.

Phase composition analysis of sintered ceramics was performed with the help of an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) D2 Phaser (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany) at room temperature. The XRD patterns were recorded in the 20–80° 2θ range and assigned using the PDF-2 Diffraction Database File compiled by the International Centre for Diffraction. Phase content was determined from the XRD patterns by the Rietveld method in the TOPAS software (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany). The average grain size of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ was calculated in the TOPAS software (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany) by applying the Scherrer equation to the characteristic peaks of the tetragonal and cubic phases located in the 20–80° 2θ range.

Young’s modulus of the samples was measured on a nanoindentometer G200 (MTS Nano Instruments, Oak Ridge, TN, USA) equipped with a Berkovich diamond indenter. Young’s modulus of the samples was calculated from the load-penetration depth curves obtained under peak load of 5 N using the Oliver–Pharr method [6]. Hardness of the samples was measured by Vickers indentation with a load of 19.62 N on a hardness tester Duramin A300 (Struers, Copenhagen, Denmark). Fracture toughness ($K_C$) was calculated from length of radial cracks starting from the corners of the indents with the Anstis equation [7]:

$$K_C = 0.016 \left( \frac{E}{H} \right)^{0.5} \frac{P}{C^{1.5}} \quad (1)$$

where $H$ is Vickers hardness, $E$ is Young’s modulus, $P$ is indentation load to produce cracks, and $C$ is the crack length (the distance between the center of the indent and crack tip). The Vickers indentation fracture toughness tests were performed on a hardness tester Duramin A300 (Struers, Copenhagen, Denmark) using loads of 196.2 and 294.3 N. Cracks lengths were calculated using optical microscope Axio Observer.A1m (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Before tests, all the samples were polished with diamond-containing slurries. All mechanical characteristics measurements were carried out at room temperature. Sintered ceramics containing m-ZrO$_2$ are not tested because of numerous cracks already present in the samples before measurements.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows ceramics XRD patterns evolution with the rise in the dopant CaO concentration increase at a fixed sintering temperature of 1300 °C.

The m-ZrO$_2$ phase dominates in the ceramic if 1-wt% CaO is used. The characteristic peaks of m-ZrO$_2$ at 24.1°, 28.2°, 31.5°, 34.2°, 49.3°, 50.6°, and 55.5° are observed in the XRD pattern. The most intensive characteristic peak of t-ZrO$_2$ at 30.2° is very weak compared to m-ZrO$_2$ reflections. Phase composition of ceramic dramatically changes at 2 wt% CaO. The peaks of m-ZrO$_2$ disappear and only the peaks of t-ZrO$_2$ at 30.2°, 34.6°, 35.2°, 50.2°, 50.7°, 59.3°, and 60.2° are observed in the XRD pattern. This indicates that a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic is a TZP ceramic. A further increase in CaO concentration from 3 to 5 wt% leads to an increase in c-ZrO$_2$ content from 7 to 23 wt% and, respectively, t-ZrO$_2$ content reduction from 93 to 77 wt%. The intensity of the c-ZrO$_2$ peaks increase with the rise in c-ZrO$_2$ content. The characteristic peaks of c-ZrO$_2$ at 35.0° and 59.7° are clearly observed in the XRD pattern of a 5-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic. Other characteristic peaks of c-ZrO$_2$ at 30.1°...
and 50.2° overlap with the neighboring peaks of t-ZrO$_2$. With the rise in CaO concentration from 2 to 5 wt%, the average grain size of t-ZrO$_2$ decreases from 93 to 63 nm and the average grain size of c-ZrO$_2$, on the contrary, increases to 83 nm.
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Figure 1. The XRD patterns of a CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic sintered at 1300 °C containing different dopant amount; m, t, c—the most intense characteristic peaks of m-ZrO$_2$, t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$, respectively.

It is revealed that phase composition of CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic is sensitive to the sintering temperature higher than 1300 °C despite CaO concentration (Figure 2). If the sintering temperature is increased to 1400 °C m-ZrO$_2$ becomes to dominate. The t-ZrO$_2$ is practically absent in a ceramic and c-ZrO$_2$ content increases with the rise in CaO concentration as evidenced by an increase in the intensity of the characteristic peaks of c-ZrO$_2$.
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Figure 2. The XRD patterns of (a) 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ and (b) 3-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramics sintered at temperatures in the range of 1200–1400 °C; m, t, c—the most intense characteristic peaks of m-ZrO$_2$, t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$, respectively.
Figure 3 presents the effect of the sintering temperature on phase composition of the fabricated TZP ceramic doped by 2-wt% CaO.

It is found that the 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic consists only of t-ZrO$_2$ if sintering is performed at 1300 °C and lower temperatures. An increase in the sintering temperature induces zirconia grains growth. The average grain size of t-ZrO$_2$ increases from 79 to 93 nm with the rise in the sintering temperature from 1100 to 1300 °C. Phase composition of the 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic dramatically changes if sintering temperature of 1350 °C is used. The TZP ceramic transforms into one mainly composed of m-ZrO$_2$. The radical change in phase composition of the 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic with the rise in the sintering temperature from 1300 to 1350 °C is related to critical t-ZrO$_2$ grain size. In [8], it was found that, in a Ca-TZP ceramic, the t-ZrO$_2$ grain size cannot exceed 100 nm. The Ca-TZP ceramic examined in [8] was produced using uniaxial pressing from a nanopowder obtained by hydrothermal treatment of the co-precipitated calcium and zirconium hydroxides. In our case, the average grain size of t-ZrO$_2$ is 93 nm if the Ca-TZP ceramic is sintered at 1300 °C. For comparison, in Y$_2$O$_3$-stabilized TZP ceramics, t-ZrO$_2$ grains up to 1 µm in size can exist [9]. It explains higher sintering temperatures applying to Y$_2$O$_3$-stabilized TZP ceramics.

Peaks' broadening in the XRD patterns of m-ZrO$_2$ containing ceramics (Figures 1–3) may be due to significant mechanical stresses resulting in visually observed ceramic cracking.

Mechanical characteristics of CaO-containing zirconia ceramics made from baddeleyite were examined. It was found that an increase in CaO concentration from 2 to 5 wt% leads to a rise in hardness by 5.5% and a decrease in fracture toughness by 29.9% (Table 1). Wherein, Young’s modulus remains unchanged within the measurement error.
Table 1. Mechanical characteristics of CaO-stabilized zirconia ceramics of a baddeleyite origin sintered at 1300 °C containing different dopant amount.

| CaO Concentration, wt% | Hardness, GPa | Fracture Toughness, MPa·m^{0.5} | Young’s Modulus, GPa |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2                      | 11.57 ± 0.10 | 13.14 ± 0.49                     | 228 ± 10            |
| 3                      | 11.64 ± 0.06 | 11.89 ± 0.27                     | 227 ± 5             |
| 4                      | 11.96 ± 0.09 | 10.44 ± 0.41                     | 223 ± 6             |
| 5                      | 12.21 ± 0.06 | 9.21 ± 0.35                      | 225 ± 9             |

It can be seen from Table 1 that a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic being TZP ceramic has the best combination of mechanical characteristics and the highest fracture toughness value. In terms of hardness and Young’s modulus, the fabricated 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic corresponds to engineering Y-TZP ceramics made of chemically synthesized zirconia stabilized with Y$_2$O$_3$, and surpasses them in terms of fracture toughness [1]. High fracture toughness of TZP ceramics is a result of transformation toughening [10]. The stress field in the crack tip zone induces local t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation which causes volume expansion and shear strains. It applies compressive stress at the crack tip to prevent crack propagation. As a result, further crack growth is suppressed and strength of a ceramic increases. The rise in a dopant concentration leads to a decrease in the fraction of transformable t-ZrO$_2$ in favor of c-ZrO$_2$ incapable of transformation. It explains fracture toughness reduction in the fabricated zirconia ceramic with an increase in CaO concentration (Table 1). It can be seen that ceramic hardness increases when fracture toughness decreases. We suppose that it is due to lower hardness of the m-ZrO$_2$ ceramic compared to the t-ZrO$_2$ one. Indeed, hardness measurements induce t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation under an indenter tip. Thus, resulting hardness includes hardness of t-ZrO$_2$ and m-ZrO$_2$ phases. A decrease in the fraction of transformable t-ZrO$_2$ negatively affects mechanically-induced t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation and respectively reduces m-ZrO$_2$ contribution to the resulting hardness. To confirm this assumption, we tested a 1-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic, containing more than 90 wt% m-ZrO$_2$, using a nanoindentometer due to numerous cracks in the sample. Hardness was calculated from the obtained load–penetration depth curves using the Oliver–Pharr method. The prints size was significantly smaller than the analyzed region bounded by the cracks. A 5-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic, mainly composed of t-ZrO$_2$ with a reduced ability to t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation, was tested on a nanoindentometer too. A nanoindentation by a Berkovich diamond indenter with a tip of a 20-nm radius showed that hardness of a 1-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic is significantly lower than the hardness of a 5-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic, namely, 8.24 ± 0.37 GPa versus 12.63 ± 0.41 GPa.

It should be noted that an increase in CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic hardness with the rise in CaO concentration cannot be explained in terms of the empirical Hall-Petch relationship [11], which describes the phenomenon whereby hardness (or strength) of materials increases with reducing the grain size:

$$H = H_0 + \frac{k}{\sqrt{D}}$$

where $H$ is the measured hardness, $H_0$ is the intrinsic hardness related to the resistance of lattice to dislocation motion, $k$ is the material-specific strengthening coefficient, and $D$ is the average grain size.

The observed dependence of CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic hardness on the inversed square root of the effective grain size is not a line as required by the Hall–Petch relationship (Figure 4). The effective grain size of the CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic was calculated for each CaO concentration from the range of 2–5 wt% knowing the content of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ as well as the average grain size of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ (Table 2).
It should be noted that an increase in CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic hardness with the rise in CaO concentration cannot be explained in terms of the empirical Hall–Petch relationship [11], which describes the phenomenon where hardness (or strength) of materials increases with reducing the grain size:

$$H = H_0 + kD^{-1/2}$$

where $H$ is the measured hardness, $H_0$ is the intrinsic hardness related to the resistance of lattice to dislocation motion, $k$ is the material-specific strengthening coefficient, and $D$ is the average grain size.

The observed dependence of CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic hardness on the inverse square root of the effective grain size is not a line as required by the Hall–Petch relationship (Figure 4). The effective grain size of the CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic was calculated for each CaO concentration from the range of 2–5 wt% knowing the content of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ as well as the average grain size of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ (Table 2).
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**Figure 4.** The dependence of hardness of the CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic sintered at 1300 °C containing a different CaO amount on the inverse square root of the effective grain size. The dots are connected by lines for clarity.

| CaO Concentration, wt% | t-ZrO$_2$ Content, wt% | Average Grain Size, nm | c-ZrO$_2$ Content, wt% | Average Grain Size, nm |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2                      | 99                     | 93                     | 1                      | -                      |
| 3                      | 93                     | 78                     | 7                      | 57                     |
| 4                      | 86                     | 69                     | 14                     | 67                     |
| 5                      | 77                     | 63                     | 23                     | 83                     |

It is revealed that the rise in the sintering temperature in the range of 1100–1200 °C results in simultaneous increase in hardness, fracture toughness, and Young’s modulus of a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic (Table 3) despite its phase composition constancy (Figure 3). It allows concluding that the observed improvement in mechanical properties is related to ceramic densification.

**Table 2.** The content of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ phases and the average size of t-ZrO$_2$ and c-ZrO$_2$ phases in CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic sintered at 1300 °C containing a different CaO amount.

| Sintering Temperature, °C | Hardness, GPa | Fracture Toughness, MPa·m$^{0.5}$ | Young’s Modulus, GPa |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1100                      | 6.34 ± 0.09  | 4.96 ± 0.39                       | 131 ± 11             |
| 1200                      | 11.93 ± 0.07 | 9.70 ± 0.34                       | 227 ± 11             |
| 1300                      | 11.57 ± 0.10 | 13.14 ± 0.49                      | 228 ± 10             |

Indeed, the sintering temperature of 1100 °C is lower than the Tamman temperature of zirconia [12]; therefore, the fabricated ceramic is unsintered and possesses poor mechan-
ical characteristics. On the contrary, if sintering occurs at 1200–1300 °C, a well-sintered dense ceramic with decent mechanical characteristics is obtained. The density of a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic sintered at temperatures of 1100–1300 °C measured by the Archimedes method with distilled water as the immersing medium is presented in Table 4.

### Table 4. The density and the relative density of 2 wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic of a baddeleyite origin sintered at different temperatures.

| Sintering Temperature, °C | Density, cm$^3$/g | Relative Density, % |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 1100                      | 4.37             | 72.8               |
| 1200                      | 5.85             | 97.5               |
| 1300                      | 5.96             | 99.3               |

According to the data in Tables 3 and 4 the rise in the sintering temperature leads to the ceramic densification (porosity reduction), which improves the mechanical characteristics of the ceramic. The similar effect was observed, for example, in [13] where an increase in the sintering temperature resulted in Y$_2$O$_3$-stabilized TZP ceramic densification, which in turn led to the rise in Young’s modulus, bending strength and fracture toughness of the given ceramic.

Fracture toughness of a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic is 35.5% higher if sintering occurs at 1300 °C and not at 1200 °C. It can be related to the over-stabilization effect [2] since phase composition of the ceramic remains unchanged with the rise in the sintering temperature from 1200 to 1300 °C. For a given dopant concentration, TZP ceramic fracture toughness decreases as t-ZrO$_2$ grains become smaller and their ability to t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation reduces. An increase in the sintering temperature induces observed t-ZrO$_2$ grains growth and thus facilitates t-ZrO$_2$→m-ZrO$_2$ transformation following the introduction of a crack.

To reduce energy consumption, the effect of sintering time on mechanical characteristics of a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic was examined too. It was found that sintering time reduction from 4 to 1 h has no effect on hardness, fracture toughness, and Young’s modulus of a 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic within the measurements error. The 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramic sintered at 1300 °C for 1 h is characterized by the following mechanical characteristics: hardness of 11.52 ± 0.05 GPa, Young’s modulus of 226 ± 8 GPa, and fracture toughness of 12.83 ± 0.41 MPa·m$^{0.5}$.

The used baddeleyite concentrate contains impurities in the amount of 0.7 wt%. The dominating ones are SiO$_2$, SO$_3$, P$_2$O$_5$, TiO$_2$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, CaO, and MgO [14]. To investigate the effect of impurities on the mechanical characteristics of zirconia ceramics, we compared hardness, fracture toughness, and Young’s modulus of CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramics made of the baddeleyite concentrate and chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia. According to Table 1, 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramics sintered at 1300 °C were chosen for testing. It was found that both ceramics made of chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia and the baddeleyite concentrate are TZP ceramics. Their mechanical characteristics are given in Table 5.

### Table 5. Mechanical characteristics of 2-wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ ceramics made of the baddeleyite concentrate and chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia. The sintering temperature is 1300 °C.

| Raw Material of 2 wt% CaO-ZrO$_2$ Ceramic | Hardness, GPa | Fracture Toughness, MPa·m$^{0.5}$ | Young’s Modulus, GPa |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Baddeleyite concentrate                | 11.57 ± 0.10  | 13.14 ± 0.49                     | 228 ± 10             |
| Chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia | 11.97 ± 0.12  | 12.86 ± 0.43                     | 221 ± 11             |

It can be seen from Table 5 that the values of analyzed mechanical characteristics for both ceramics are similar. It indicates that impurities amount in the baddeleyite
concentrate is too low to worsen the mechanical characteristics of resulting ceramics. Thus, zirconia ceramics with the same mechanical characteristics can be produced using the baddeleyite concentrate as a raw material instead of the more expensive chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia. However, it should be noted that zirconia ceramic made of the baddeleyite concentrate is yellow-tinted due to impurities (Figure 5).

Figure 5. The photo of 2-wt% CaO-ZrO₂ ceramics made of the baddeleyite concentrate (left) and chemically synthesized monoclinic zirconia (right). The samples are prepared for mechanical tests.

4. Conclusions

Optimal dopant concentration and the sintering regime to obtain Ca-TZP ceramic originating from baddeleyite with competitive mechanical characteristics are defined. The 2-wt% CaO-ZrO₂ ceramic sintered at 1300 °C for 1 h possesses hardness ~11.5 GPa, Young’s modulus ~230 GPa, and fracture toughness ~13 MPa·m⁰.⁵. In terms of hardness and Young’s modulus, the fabricated 2-wt% CaO-ZrO₂ ceramic corresponds to engineering Y-TZP ceramics made of chemically synthesized zirconia stabilized with Y₂O₃, and surpasses them in terms of fracture toughness. It is revealed that the rise in the CaO concentration from 2 to 5 wt% stimulates c-ZrO₂ content increase and a Ca-TZP ceramic transforms into a ceramic, consisting of both t-ZrO₂ and c-ZrO₂. An increase in CaO concentration leads to the rise in hardness and a decrease in fracture toughness of a CaO-ZrO₂ ceramic wherein its Young’s modulus remains unchanged. Furthermore, it is found that Ca-TZP ceramic cannot be produced if the sintering temperature of 1350 °C or higher is used.
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