Prevalence and morphometric characteristics of the anterolateral ligament of the knee in a sample of Colombian human cadavers
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Abstract

Introduction: Even though the anatomy of the knee and its ligaments are well characterized in the literature, several studies have found new structures associated with this joint, such as the anterolateral ligament (ALL) of the knee. The prevalence of this ligament varies significantly across the globe, and studies addressing this topic in the Colombian population are scarce.

Objective: To determine the prevalence of the ALL and its morphometric characteristics in a sample of Colombian human cadavers.

Materials and methods: Cross-sectional study in which the knee joints of 15 human cadavers were dissected and analyzed. In order to preserve the integrity of anatomical structures such as ligaments and tendons, specimens should not have undergone previous dissections, so only 29 knees were included for analysis. The presence of the ligament was confirmed, and the proximal and distal insertion site, as well as its length and width, were established through dissection.

Results: The prevalence of ALL was 24.13%, as it was identified in 7 of the 29 dissected knees (4 left and 3 right knees). The average length and width of the ALLs found were 37.5mm (range: 24–52.4mm) and 3.9mm (range: 2.6–6mm), respectively.

Conclusions: This study allowed confirming the presence of the ALL in the Colombian population, although with a lower prevalence than that described in countries such as France, India, China, USA, and Brazil. In turn, its morphometric characteristics were similar to those reported worldwide, since the average length and width found here are consistent with those described in the literature.
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Resumen

Introducción. La anatomía de la rodilla y sus ligamentos está claramente descrita en la literatura; sin embargo, diversos estudios han encontrado nuevas estructuras relacionadas con esta articulación, tales como el ligamento anterolateral (LAL) de la rodilla. La prevalencia de este ligamento es muy variable a nivel mundial y los estudios sobre el tema en población colombiana son escasos.

Objetivo. Determinar la prevalencia del LAL y sus características morfométricas en una muestra de cadáveres humanos colombianos.

Materiales y métodos. Estudio transversal en el que se diseccionaron y analizaron las articulaciones de rodilla de 15 cadáveres humanos. Los especímenes no debían tener disecciones previas para garantizar la integridad de estructuras anatómicas como ligamentos y tendones, por lo que solo se incluyeron 29 rodillas. Mediante la disección se verificó la presencia del ligamento y se estableció el sitio de inserción proximal y distal, así como su longitud y anchura. Resultados. La prevalencia del LAL fue de 24.13%, pues este se identificó en 7 de las 29 rodillas diseccionadas (4 rodillas izquierdas y 3 derechas). La longitud y ancho promedio de los LAL encontrados fueron 37.5mm (rango: 24–52.4mm) y 3.9mm (rango: 2.6–6mm), respectivamente.

Conclusiones. El presente estudio permitió confirmar la presencia del LAL en población colombiana, aunque con una menor prevalencia a la descrita en países como Francia, India, China, EE. UU. y Brasil. Por su parte, sus características morfométricas fueron similares a las reportadas a nivel mundial, pues los promedios de longitud y ancho encontrados aquí coinciden con lo descrito en la literatura.

Palabras clave: Rodilla; Cadáver; Disección (DeCS).
Introduction

The knee is the largest joint in the human body. It plays a fundamental role in processes such as walking and supporting body weight in a bipedal position. To adequately fulfill these functions, the knee has a unique structure formed from the relationship between the articular surfaces of the distal epiphysis of the femur (femoral trochlea, lateral and medial condyles), the proximal epiphysis of the tibia (lateral and medial condyles with their respective menisci), and the posterior surface of the patella. For that reason, said structure is classified as a trochlear synovial joint, which means that it enables the sliding of a concave surface over a convex surface, allowing for motions along a single axis, in this case, leg flexion and extension.

Moreover, the knee has a ligamentous system responsible for guiding adjacent bone segments during joint motion and restricting translation by passive loading on the knee; this system comprises the medial and lateral collateral ligaments, as well as the anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments.

The anatomy of the knee and its ligaments is well described in the literature; however, recent studies have revealed new structures related to this joint, as is the case of the anterolateral ligament (ALL) of the knee. This ligament, as reported by Gómez–Cáceres et al., was first described in 1879 by Dr. Paul Segond as a “pearly–white fibrous connective tissue” located in the anterolateral region of the knee that causes Segond fracture, a condition that affects internal rotation and anterolateral stability of the knee. Following the discovery of the ALL, several studies have been conducted in the fields of biomechanics, imaging, histology and anatomy, giving this structure different names, including lateral capsular ligament, lateral capsular ligament, bone–capsule layer of the iliotibial tract, and mid-third lateral capsular ligament; however, the most widely used and standardized term at the moment is anterolateral ligament, which was coined in 2007.

According to the literature, the prevalence of the ALL is highly variable, since even an absence of up to 100% has been reported. For example, Runner et al., in a dissection study that included 44 cadaveric human knees, identified it in 45.5% of the sample; Van de Watt et al., in a systematic review that included 19 studies, found it in 96% of the 449 knees analyzed in all studies; Partezani–Helioto et al., in a descriptive laboratory study performed on 20 human cadaveric knees, found it in 100% of the sample; and, in contrast, Almeida–Fardin et al., in a study that included 15 knees, did not identify this structure in any of the samples.

Knowledge of the morphometric characteristics of the ALL and its possible functions has led to the development of several techniques for reconstruction of this ligament and the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), hence the relevance of studying it. Given this panorama, the objective of the present study was to determine the prevalence of the ALL and its morphometric characteristics in a sample of Colombian human cadavers, in order to obtain local data that will allow conducting comparative studies in the area of morphology and the development of new and improved surgical strategies in the field of orthopedics based on the characteristics of the Colombian population.

Materials and methods

Study type and population

Cross-sectional study. The lower limbs of 15 previously numbered human cadaveric specimens were dissected and preserved in 7.6% formalin, alcohol, glycerin, and water. All knee joints that had not been previously dissected were included to guarantee the integrity of structures such as ligaments and tendons. The right knee of specimen number 8 was excluded from the sample due to loss of integrity of the structures adjacent to the joint under study, so only 29 knees were included, 15 left and 14 right.

Procedures

First, the sex of the corpses was determined and corroborated by identifying the external genital organs, ovaries and uterus in females, and the external genital organs and prostate in males, finding 14 male and one female cadaveric specimens. The second step was dissecting the knees using the following technique: first, the knee was placed in 30° flexion on the horizontal plane to identify the tendon of the biceps femoris muscle by palpation of the anterolateral aspect of the knee, and a 6–8cm long incision was made along the anterior border of this tendon; the dermal layer was then dissected, followed by the subcutaneous cellular tissue, until the external patellar retinaculum was reached. The inferolateral aspect of the superficial and deep layers of this retinaculum were then dissected to find the lateral collateral ligament (LCL), taking care not to cut it accidentally.

Once the ligamentous structure was identified, its proximal and distal insertion sites were recognized to measure its width and length. Longitudinal cuts, no deeper than 1–2mm, were made along the posterior border of the LCL to facilitate the search for the ALL and avoid the involuntary rupture of the latter. Photographs were taken of the specimens in which the ALL was recognized, and the length and width of this ligament were measured.

The measurements obtained in the dissections were recorded and analyzed in Microsoft Excel 2013, while statistical data were processed in SPSS version 21.

Ethical considerations

The material was obtained in accordance with the criteria defined in Decree 786 of 1990 of the Colombian Ministry of Public Health on clinical and medicolegal autopsies. Likewise, the study adhered to the ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects established by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

The ALL was observed in 7 of the 29 knees analyzed (4 left and 3 right), resulting in a prevalence of 24.13%.
In the knees in which the ALL was observed, the proximal and distal insertion sites were identified, establishing that the ligament was inserted proximally on the anterolateral surface of the external femoral condyle and in an anterior direction with an oblique shape to insert distally on the anterolateral surface of the tibia (external tibial plateau), thus positioning anteriorly to the proximal insertion site of the LCL and proximally and posteriorly to the popliteus tendon (Figure 1).

The morphometric study found that the length of the ALL ranged from 24mm to 52.4mm, with an average of 37.34mm, and that the width at the middle third of the ligament ranged from 2.6mm to 6mm, with an average of 3.91mm (Figure 2 and Table 1).

In the knees in which the ALL was observed, the proximal and distal insertion sites were identified, establishing that the ligament was inserted proximally on the anterolateral surface of the external femoral condyle and in an anterior direction with an oblique shape to insert distally on the anterolateral surface of the tibia (external tibial plateau), thus positioning anteriorly to the proximal insertion site of the LCL and proximally and posteriorly to the popliteus tendon (Figure 1).

Table 1. Length, diameter, and central tendency measurements of the anterolateral ligament.

| Cadaver   | Case identification code | Length (mm) | Diameter (mm) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Corpse 1  | R6I                      | 44.2        | 3.5           |
|           | R6D                      | 42.4        | 5.2           |
| Corpse 2  | R7I                      | 24          | 2.9           |
|           | R7D                      | 36          | 2.6           |
| Corpse 3  | R8I                      | 52.4        | 6             |
|           | R8D                      | _           | _             |
| Corpse 4  | R9I                      | 33.4        | 3.2           |
|           | R9D                      | 29          | 4             |
| Total number of cases |                         | 7          | 7             |
| Mean      |                          | 37.3mm      | 3.91mm        |
| Measures of central tendency | Median           | 36mm       | 3.5mm         |
|           | Standard deviation       | 9.69mm      | 1.25mm        |

R: knee; I: left; D: right. Source: Own elaboration.

Furthermore, the LCL was identified in 100% of the knees analyzed, and it was determined that its proximal insertion was located in the external femoral condyle and its distal insertion in the head of the fibula.

As with ALL, LCL length and width were measured to compare these measurements between knees with and without ALL; however, these measurements were excluded from the results because they did not have a reliable association.

Discussion

The LCA is essential for stability and proper rotational function of the knee; however, this function is not exclusive...
to this ligament, as other structures related to the biomechanics of the knee, such as the ALL, are unquestionably present (over half of the sample), as reported in several studies. In this sense, the present study found that the prevalence was 24.13%, a percentage evidently lower than the 100% described by Partezani–Helito et al. in a study carried out in Brazil in 20 knees, by Toro–Ibaraguín in 34 knees in Spain, by Farhan et al. in 26 knees in India, by Vincent et al. in 30 knees in France, by Daggett et al. in 52 knees in France, by Kennedy et al. in 15 knees in the United States, and by Caterine et al. in 19 knees in Canada.

Likewise, the prevalence of ALL reported here is considerably lower than that described by Claes et al. in a study performed in France in 41 knees (97.56%), by Zhag et al. in 20 knees in China (90%), by Dooods et al. in 40 knees in England (82.5%), by Capo et al. in 10 knees in the United States (80%), and by Cai et al. in 16 knees in China (75%). Nevertheless, other authors have reported that this ligament has been observed in less than 50% of the sample, such as Runner et al. in a study conducted in Austria in 50 knees (45.45%) and Watanabe et al. in 94 knees in Japan (37.23%).

On the other hand, regarding the morphometric characteristics of the ALL, studies describing its measurements are scarce; however, among these, the studies of Partezani–Helito et al., Zhang et al., and Claes et al. stand out since they have reported the following length and width measurements: 37.3 mm, 35.8 mm (in extension) and 38.5 mm (in extension), and 7.4 mm, 11.3 mm (femoral origin) and 8.3 mm (femoral origin), respectively. Based on these values, it can be established that the world average length and width of the ALL is 35 mm and 3.9 mm, respectively, and that the averages found in the present study (37.3 mm and 3.91 mm) are similar.

One of the main strengths of the present study is that physicians specialist in morphology can use it as a guide to carry out comparative studies of the Colombian population and, as a result, develop new and improved surgical strategies in the field of orthopedics based on the feasibility provided by the characteristics of this population.

On the other hand, one of the potential limitations is the scarcity of studies on the subject carried out in Colombia, which prevents contrasting the results reported here with data obtained in this population; thus, additional research, preferably with larger cadaveric samples, is necessary to confirm these findings.

Conclusions

The present study confirmed the existence of the ALL in the Colombian population; however, its prevalence (24.13%) is significantly low when compared to populations in countries such as France, India, China, USA, Canada, and Brazil, where it has been found in up to 100% of the samples analyzed.

This study also corroborated that, as described in the literature, the ALL is a pearly, resistant, fibrous band with an oblique trajectory from its proximal insertion on the anterolateral aspect of the external femoral condyle to its distal insertion on the anterolateral aspect of the external tibial plateau, and that the length and width averages found (35 mm and 3.9 mm, respectively) are within the averages found worldwide, which demonstrates the low variability of the morphometric characteristics of this ligamentous structure among different populations.

Conflicts of interest

None stated by the authors.

Funding

None stated by the authors.

Acknowledgments

To the Universidad de Ciencias Aplicadas y Ambientales – U.D.C.A., specifically to the Department of Anatomy, for allowing us to carry out this work in their facilities, and to Dr. Victor Zein Rizzo Tello for his support.

References

1. Góngora–García LH, Rosales–García CM, González–Fuentes I, Pujals–Victoria N. Articulación de la rodilla y su mecánica articular. Medisan. 2003;7(2):100–9.
2. Gómez–Cáceres A, García–Germán–Vásquez D, López–Rubia E, Tamimi–Maríno J, Espejo–Baena A, Espejo–Reina A. Revisión sistemática: ligamento anterolateral de la rodilla. Rev Esp Artrosc Cir Articul. 2018;25(1):56–70. https://doi.org/g5pk.
3. Delgadillo D. Ligamento anterolateral de la rodilla. Revisión de conceptos actuales Rev Colomb Ortop Traumatol. 2017;31(3):130–6. https://doi.org/g5pm.
4. López–Sánchez A. Ligamento anterolateral de la rodilla: estudio anatómico y biomecánico [thesis]. Salamanca: Universidad de Salamanca; 2017 [cited 2018 May 13]. Available from: https://bit.ly/3wG0RSW.
5. Van der Watt I, Khan M, Rothrauff BB, Ayeni OR, Musahl V, Getgood A, et al. The Structure and Function of the Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee: A Systematic Review. Arthroscopy. 2015;31(3):569–82. https://doi.org/f26b4w.
6. Runer A, Birkmaier S, Pamminger M, Reider S, Herbst E, Künzel K, et al. The anterolateral ligament of the knee: A dissection study. Knee. 2016;23(1):8–12. https://doi.org/ggwx.
7. Partezani–Helito C, Kawamura–Demange M, Batista–Bonadío M, Passarelli–Tirico LE, Gomes–Gobbi R, Pécora JR, et al. Anatomy and histology of the knee anterolateral ligament. Orthop J Sports Med. 2013;1(7):232596713513546. https://doi.org/g5wp.
8. Almeida–Fardin PB, De fúncio–Lizardo JH, da Silva–Baptista J. Study of the anterolateral ligament of the knee in formalin–embedded cadavers. Acta Ortop Bras. 2017;25(2):89–92. https://doi.org/g5pq.
9. Zhang H, Qiu M, Xu Z, Wang W, Chen S, Zhang J, et al. The prevalence and morphological characteristics of the knee anterolateral ligament in a Chinese population. J Anat. 2018;233(3):213–21. https://doi.org/gdmfnz.
10. Rivarola–Etcheto HF, Zordán J, Collazo C, Palanconi M, Alvarez–Salinas E, Escobar G, et al. Ligamento Anterolateral de Rodilla. Reconstrucción Anatómica con Técnica Mínima Invasiva de Doble Incisión. Artroscopia. 2016;23(3):132–5.
11. Doods AL, Halewood C, Gupte CM, Williams A, Arnis AA. Anatomy, length changes and association with the Segond fracture. Bone Joint J. 2014;96-B:325–31. https://doi.org/g5ps.
12. Colombia. Ministerio de Salud Pública. Decreto 786 de 1990 (abril 16). Por el cual se reglamenta parcialmente el título IX de la Ley 09 de 1979, en cuanto a la práctica de autopsias clínicas y médico- legales, así como viscerotomías y se dictan otras disposiciones. Bogotá D.C.: Diario Oficial 39300; 1990 [cited 2015 Jan 22]. Available from: https://bit.ly/3EjFu4L.
13. World Medical Association (WMA). WMA Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. Fortaleza: 64th WMA General Assembly; 2013.
14. Toro-Ibarguen A. Ligamento anterolateral de la rodilla ¿realidad o ficción? [dissertation]. Madrid: Universidad Complutense de Madrid. 2017. https://bit.ly/3nkjcKG.
15. Cai J, Jin W, Sheng D, Chen S. [Anatomical study on anterolateral ligament in a Chinese population]. Zhongguo Xi Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2017;31(12):1452–5. https://doi.org/g532.
16. Claes S, Vereecke E, Maes M, Victor J, Verdonk P, Bellemans J. Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee. J. Anat. 2013;223(4):321–8. https://doi.org/f5gm7r.
17. Capo J, Kaplan DJ, Fralinger DJ, Adler RS, Campbell KA, Jazrawi LM, et al. Ultrasonographic visualization and assessment of the anterolateral ligament. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2017;25(10):3134–9. https://doi.org/gbzn75.
18. Farhan S, Sudhakaran R, Thilak J. Solving the Mystery of the Antero Lateral Ligament. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(3):AC01–4. https://doi.org/g533.
19. Vincent JP, Magnusson RA, Gezmez F, Uguen A, Jacobi M, Weppe F, et al. The anterolateral ligament of the human knee: an anatomic and histologic study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2012;20(1):147–52. https://doi.org/cxwp6.
20. Daggett M, Ockuly AC, Cullen M, Busk K, Lutz C, Imbert P, Sonnery-Cottet B. Femoral origin of the anterolateral ligament: an anatomic analysis. Arthroscopy. 2016;32(5):835–41. https://doi.org/f8q9gh.
21. Kennedy MI, Claes S, Freitas-Fuso FA, Williams BT, Goldsmith MT, Turnbull TL, et al. The anterolateral ligament: an anatomic, radiographic, and biomechanical analysis. Am J Sports Med. 2015;43(7):1606–15. https://doi.org/f7h7pb.
22. Caterine S, Litchfield R, Johnson M, Chronik B, Getgood A. A cadaveric study of the anterolateral ligament: re-introducing the lateral capsular ligament. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2015;23(11):386–95. https://doi.org/f7wgd5.
23. Watanabe J, Suzuki D, Mizoguchi S, Yoshida S, Fujimiy M. The anterolateral ligament in a Japanese population: Study on prevalence and morphology. J Orthop Sci. 2016;21(5):647–51. https://doi.org/fvcsa4.