On a conjecture about Dirac’s delta representation using q-exponentials

A. Chevreuil  
E.S.I.E.E., Université de Marne la Vallée, Marne la Vallée, France

A. Plastino  
C.C.T.-Conicet, National University La Plata, C.C. 727, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

C. Vignat  
L.S.S., Supelec, France

A new representation of Dirac’s delta-distribution, based on the so-called q-exponentials, has been recently conjectured. We prove here that this conjecture is indeed valid.

I. INTRODUCTION

Tsallis and Jauregui have recently conjectured a representation of the Dirac delta distribution, which they call $\delta_q(x)$, based on $q-$exponential functions. However, they could not prove their conjecture and used numerical experiments that suggest its validity. In this note, we provide a rigorous mathematical approach to this problem and prove their conjecture by recourse to the notion of superstatistics.

II. $q-$ EXPONENTIALS AND SUPERSTATISTICS

Statistical Mechanics’ most notorious and renowned probability distribution is that deduced by Gibbs for the canonical ensemble [1, 2], usually referred to as the Boltzmann-Gibbs equilibrium distribution

$$ p_G(i) = \frac{\exp(-\beta E_i)}{Z_{BG}}, \quad (1) $$

with $E_i$ the energy of the microstate labeled by $i$, $\beta = 1/k_B T$ the inverse temperature, $k_B$ Boltzmann’s constant, and $Z_{BG}$ the partition function. The exponential term $F_{BG} = \exp(-\beta E)$ is called the Boltzmann-Gibbs factor. Recently Beck and Cohen [3] have advanced a generalization, called superstatistics, of this BG factor, assuming that the inverse temperature $\beta$ is a stochastic variable. The generalized statistical factor $F_{GS}$ is thus obtained as the multiplicative convolution

$$ F_{GS} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\beta}{\beta} f(\beta) \exp(-\beta E), \quad (2) $$

where $f(\beta)$ is the density probability of the inverse temperature. As stated above, $\beta$ is the inverse temperature, but the integration variable may also be any convenient intensive parameter. Superstatistics, meaning “superposition of statistics”, takes into account fluctuations of such intensive parameters.

Beck and Cohen also show that if $f(\beta)$ is a Gamma distribution, nonextensive thermostatistics is obtained, which is of interest because this thermostatistics is today a very active field, with applications to several scientific disciplines [4-6]. In working in a nonextensive framework, one has to deal with power-law distributions, which are certainly ubiquitous in physics (critical phenomena are just a conspicuous example [8]). Indeed, it is well known that power-law distributions arise when maximizing Tsallis’ information measure

$$ H_q(f) = \frac{1}{1-q} \left( 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)^q dx \right), \quad (3) $$

subject to appropriate constraints, where $q \neq 1$ is a real positive parameter called the nonextensivity index. More precisely, in the case of the canonical distribution, there is only one constraint, the energy $E$, i.e. $\langle X^2 \rangle = E > 0$, and the equilibrium canonical distribution writes in the case $q > 1$

$$ f_q(x) = \frac{1}{Z_q} (1 - (1-q)\beta_q x^2)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}, $$
where $\beta_q$ and $Z_q$ stand for the nonextensive counterparts of $\beta$ and $Z_{BG}$ above.

In the rest of this paper, we’ll assume as in [7] that $1 < q < 2$.

Let us choose the branch cut $]-\infty, -\frac{1}{1-q}]$ along the negative real axis and define the $q$–exponential function for $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus]-\infty, -\frac{1}{1-q}]$ as

$$e_q(z) = (1 + (1 - q) z)^\frac{1}{1-q}.$$  

This allows us to rewrite the equilibrium distribution in the more natural way

$$f_q(x) = \frac{1}{Z_q} e_q(-\beta x^2).$$

It is a classical result that as $q \to 1^+$, Tsallis entropy reduces to Shannon entropy

$$H_1(f) = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \log f(x).$$

Accordingly, the $q$–exponential function $e_q(x)$ converges to the usual exponential function $e^x$.

### III. PROOF OF JAUREGUI-TSALLIS’ CONJECTURE

#### A. Definitions and Notations

Recall the formula

$$\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iu t} du.$$  

We intend to provide a generalization of this relation; namely, we prove the following representation conjectured by Tsallis et al. assuming $1 < q < 2$:

$$\delta(t) = \frac{1}{c_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_q(-u t) du.$$  

for some constant $c_q$. We begin by recalling the mathematical meaning of (6).

**Definition 1.** A function $\varphi$ is called rapidly decreasing if $\varphi$ is $C^\infty$ and if for all integers $k, \ell$

$$\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} x^k \varphi^{(\ell)}(x) = 0.$$  

Let $S$ be the set of the rapidly decreasing functions on $\mathbb{R}$ and by $S'$ the set of the continuous linear functionals over $S$. For $\varphi \in S$, its Fourier transform $F(\varphi)$ is denoted by $\hat{\varphi}$.

We know from Rudin [9, p. 184 theorem 7.4] the following

**Proposition 1.** The Fourier transform $F$ is a continuous linear mapping of $S$ into $S$.

**Definition 2.** Let now $f$ be a bounded measurable function [11]. We let $T_f$ be the linear continuous mapping:

$$\forall \varphi \in S \langle T_f, \varphi \rangle = \int f(t) \varphi(t) dt$$
B. Proofs

In order to prove the usual representation (6), we simply have to show that for all $\phi \in S$:

$$\int du \langle T_{e^{-ut}}, \phi \rangle = 2\pi \phi(0).$$

Of course $\langle T_{e^{-ut}}, \phi \rangle = \hat{\phi}(u)$. Hence the result.

We now turn to the proof of (7). In this respect, let us pick a $\phi \in S$. We have

$$\langle T_{e^{q(-ut)}}, \phi \rangle = \int e_q(-ut)\varphi(t)dt$$

$$= \int E_W e^{-ut(q-1)W} \varphi(t)dt$$

where we have used the equality

$$e_q(-ut) = E_W e^{-ut(q-1)W}.$$ (8)

Here

$$E_W g(W) \triangleq \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)} \int_0^{+\infty} g(w) e^{-w^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} dw$$

is the expectation of $g(W)$, where $W$ is a Gamma distributed random variable with shape parameter $\frac{1}{q-1}$ and $g$ some function such that the above definition makes sense.

We note that (8) expresses the fundamental principle of the superstatistical theory.

On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)} \int \int e^{-w^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} |\varphi(t)| dt dw \leq \int |\varphi(t)| dt.$$

As obviously $\varphi$ is summable, we can apply the Fubini-Lebesgue theorem and we obtain

$$\langle T_{e^{q(-ut)}}, \phi \rangle = E_W \int e^{-ut(q-1)W} \varphi(t)dt$$

$$= E_W \hat{\varphi}(u(q-1)W)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)} \int e^{-w^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \hat{\varphi}(u(q-1)w) dw$$

Now, consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle T_{e^{q(-ut)}}, \phi \rangle du.$$

As $q < 2$, we have, by the change of variable $u \mapsto v = u(q-1)w$,

$$\int dw \int \left|e^{-w^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \hat{\varphi}(u(q-1)w)\right| du = \frac{\int |\hat{\varphi}(v)| dv}{q-1} \int e^{-w^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} dw < \infty$$

since, by Proposition 1, $\hat{\varphi} \in S$. Thanks to the Fubini-Lebesgue theorem, we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle T_{e^{q(-ut)}}, \phi \rangle du = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)} \frac{\int \hat{\varphi}(v)dv}{q-1} \int e^{-w^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} dw$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1} - 1\right)}{(q-1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)} 2\pi \varphi(0).$$

We have proved the result with

$$c_q = \frac{2\pi}{2 - q}. $$
IV. REMARKS ON JAUREGUI-TSALLIS’ APPROACH

In their approach [7], the authors chose an empirical approach starting from the usual $q = 1$ case: expressing the Dirac delta as the limit

$$\delta(x) = \frac{1}{c_1} \lim_{L \to +\infty} \int_{-L}^{+L} e^{-ikx} dk = \frac{2}{c_1} \lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{\sin (Lx)}{x},$$

the normalization constant $c_1$ can be obtained formally as

$$c_1 = 2 \lim_{L \to +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin (Lx)}{x} dx = 2\pi.$$

The extension to $q$–exponentials reads

$$\delta_q(x) = \frac{2}{(2-q)c_q} \lim_{L \to +\infty} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{2-q}{q-1} \arctan \left((q-1) L \right)\right)}{x (1 + (q-1) L^2 x^2)^{\frac{2-q}{q-1}}},$$

so that the normalization constant $c_q$ can be obtained formally as

$$c_q = \frac{2}{2-q} \lim_{L \to +\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{2-q}{q-1} \arctan \left((q-1) L \right)\right)}{x (1 + (q-1) L^2 x^2)^{\frac{2-q}{q-1}}} dx.$$

This integral can be equivalently expressed, using the change of variable $z = \tan \theta$ as

$$I_q \equiv 2 \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{2-q}{q-1} \theta \right) (\cos \theta)^{\frac{2-q}{q-1}-1}}{\sin \theta} d\theta.$$

The authors then evaluate the integral $I_q$ for a finite number of rational values of the parameter $q \in ]1, 2[$ only, for which the symbolic computation software Maple gives the value $I_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. However, this approach can be circumvented given the fact that the integral $I_q$ can be found in [10, 3.638.3], with the value $I_q = \frac{\pi}{2} \forall q \in ]1, 2[$ so that empirically, $c_q = \frac{2\pi}{2-q}$.

V. CONCLUSION

We have proved that the representation of the Dirac delta distribution [7] using $q$–exponential functions, as conjectured by Tsallis et al., is valid. In particular, (i) we compute the exact normalization constant in the representation of the Dirac delta and (ii) we explicit the set of functions for which this distribution acts as the Dirac delta.
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