ABSTRACT

Introduction: Real-world data on recurrence and economic burden in patients with resected early-stage melanoma are limited. The objective of this study was to assess real-world recurrence rates, risk factors for recurrence, and costs of recurrence in patients with resected stage IIB, IIC, or IIIA melanoma in the USA.

Methods: This retrospective analysis included patients with resected stage IIB, IIC, or IIIA melanoma (American Joint Committee on Cancer staging manual, seventh edition) in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program–Medicare database of the National Cancer Institute. Recurrence rates and healthcare costs (2018 USD) after recurrence were assessed.

Results: Two-year recurrence rates for stages IIB, IIC, and IIIA melanoma were 29, 44, and 46%, respectively. In patients with stage IIB or IIC disease, the odds of recurrence were significantly higher in those aged > 75 years [odds ratio (OR) 1.853, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.416, 2.425], with ulceration (OR 1.771; 95% CI 1.293, 2.425), or with a higher Charlson Comorbidity Index (OR 1.244; 95% CI 1.129, 1.372); however, the odds of recurrence were significantly lower in those with T3 staging (OR 0.522; 95% CI 0.393, 0.695). In those with stage IIIA melanoma, superficial spreading was associated with significantly lower odds of recurrence (OR 0.178; 95% CI 0.053, 0.601). Following recurrence, mean healthcare costs at 1 year were $31,870 for patients with stage IIB or IIC melanoma and $29,224 for those with stage IIIA melanoma.

Conclusion: The SEER data show that a substantial proportion of adults with early-stage melanoma experience a recurrence within 2 years following resection, resulting in a significant economic burden to the US healthcare system. Dermatologists can distinguish patients with resected early-stage melanoma who are at a high risk for recurrence and consider referrals to medical oncologists for approved adjuvant therapy or enrollment in clinical trials after surgical resection to reduce the recurrence of melanoma.
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Melanoma is the sixth most common type of cancer in the USA. In the past several years, the US Food and Drug Administration has approved several novel therapies for patients with high-risk melanoma following surgery. However, these therapies are not approved for the treatment of patients with earlier-stage or intermediate-risk melanoma. In these patients, treatment choices include enrollment in clinical trials or observation. We have assessed recurrence rates, risk factors for recurrence, and costs of recurrence in patients with early-stage melanoma. This analysis included patients with resected early-stage melanoma in the US Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program–Medicare database. The results show that a substantial proportion of adults with early-stage melanoma experienced a recurrence within 2 years after surgical removal of their tumor, resulting in a significant economic burden to the healthcare system. Dermatologists can use information in the published literature to distinguish patients with resected early-stage melanoma who are at a high risk for recurrence and consider referrals to medical oncologists for approved adjuvant therapy or enrollment in clinical trials after surgery to reduce recurrence and substantial economic consequences.
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INTRODUCTION

Melanoma is the sixth most common type of cancer in the USA [1]. Surgical removal of the primary tumor followed by adjuvant therapy or observation is the recommended treatment for patients with stage III or IV melanoma who are at a high risk of recurrence [2]. In the past several years, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved several novel therapies that were shown to improve clinical outcomes and survival in patients with resected stage III or IV melanoma [3–5].
Patients with early-stage melanoma, including those with thick or ulcerated primary lesions (stage IIB or IIC) and those with pathological or clinical evidence of regional nodal metastasis (stage III), also present a significant challenge, with 5-year survival rates ranging from 40 to 78% (staging based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC] staging manual, seventh edition) [6]. Currently, only limited data, based on the AJCC staging manual, eighth edition (in which stages IIB and IIC are similar to those described in the AJCC, seventh edition) [6, 7], exist on recurrence rates in early-stage melanoma, and the reported rates vary. In one prospective study, patients with stage IIB and stage IIC disease exhibited 5-year recurrence-free survival (RFS) rates of 54.7% [95% confidence interval (CI) 41.4%, 72.3%] and 26.5% (95% CI 12.8%, 55.1%), respectively [8]. A retrospective study reported 5-year RFS rates for stage IIB and stage IIC patients to be 64.4% (95% CI 55.5%, 71.9%) and 41.5% (95% CI 26.7%, 55.7%), respectively [9]. Another study, which used data from the German Central Malignant Melanoma Registry, reported 5-year RFS rates of 65.5% for stage II and 56.9% for stage III melanoma [10]. In addition, 5-year melanoma-specific survival rates for patients with stages IIB and IIC have been reported to be 87 and 82%, respectively [7].

Interferon alfa-2b, the first immunotherapy approved by the FDA, was previously considered to be the standard of care for patients with resected stage IIB, stage IIC, or stage III melanoma [11], although it failed to demonstrate a consistent long-term survival benefit in this patient population [12–14]. An initial trial of high-dose interferon demonstrated significant RFS and overall survival (OS) benefits in the adjuvant setting [12]; however, a subsequent trial did not find significant OS benefits compared with observation (hazard ratio 1.0; \(P = 0.995\)) [13]. While no longer recommended, interferon remains the only adjuvant therapy approved for patients with resected stage IIB or IIC melanoma. Enrollment in clinical trials or observation continue as the primary treatment choices for these patients [2]; however, patients with early-stage melanoma are frequently excluded from many clinical adjuvant therapy trials.

In the absence of data from randomized trials, real-world studies (analyses using data from cancer registries and administrative claims for patients not in clinical trials) can provide insights into the recurrence rates for patients with early-stage melanoma. To help address the paucity of data on recurrence in early-stage melanoma, we have assessed real-world recurrence rates, risk factors for recurrence, and costs following recurrence in US patients with resected stage IIB, IIC, or IIIA melanoma. In this retrospective study, a claims-based algorithm was used to define recurrence, making it necessary to collectively analyze different types of recurrence (i.e., local, regional, metastatic).

METHODS

Data Source

This retrospective analysis of the US Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program–Medicare linked database includes cancer registries on newly diagnosed cancer cases linked to administrative healthcare data (e.g., claims, eligibility for medical and pharmacy benefits, and demographic data). SEER is the largest population-based cancer registry, covering approximately 34% of the US population from 19 geographically disparate regions that are representative of the demographics of the national population [15]. Permission to use the SEER–Medicare data was obtained from the National Cancer Institute.

Individual patient-level data in the database comprise (1) primary and secondary diagnoses (in International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] or ICD-10-CM formats); (2) procedures (in ICD-9-CM, ICD-10 CM, Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System [HCPCS], or Current Procedural Terminology, fourth edition formats); (3) setting of care (e.g., inpatient or emergency department) and date(s) of service; (4) dispensed medications from outpatient pharmacies; and (5) total reimbursed amounts for medical care and prescription.
Outcomes of interest consisted of locoregional and distant recurrence and healthcare costs following recurrence. Since recurrence was not captured in the SEER–Medicare database, a treatment-based algorithm was used to assess recurrence, which was identified by the presence of metastases or secondary cancer (including neoplasms of skin), end-of-life care (admission to hospice care, regardless of relationship to melanoma), evidence of death (as recorded in the SEER registry or Medicare data for any cause), or cancer treatment (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or surgery) in the post-index period following a 3-month treatment-free interval after the primary treatment [17]. The healthcare costs following recurrence were not melanoma specific, but rather were inclusive of all-cause costs designed to capture the overall real-world economic burden to the healthcare system. The total healthcare cost following recurrence incorporated the cost of hospitalization, emergency room visits, office visits, skilled nursing facilities, home health aides, hospice, and prescription medications. In all instances, reimbursed amounts (i.e., insurance reimbursement) plus patient liability (e.g., co-pay, co-insurance) served as a proxy for costs. Costs were assessed in two ways: annualized mean healthcare costs were assessed in the year following recurrence, or cumulative costs per-patient per-month (PPPM) were calculated before and after recurrence. Healthcare costs were adjusted and inflated to 2018 US dollars (USD) using the consumer price index medical component [18].

Sensitivity analyses, which excluded end-of-life care and evidence of death from the original definition of recurrence, were conducted to assess 1- and 2-year recurrence rates. For these analyses, recurrence was identified by the presence of metastases, secondary cancer, or cancer treatment in the post-index period following a 3-month treatment-free interval after the primary treatment. Patients with stage IIB and stage IIC disease were grouped together to describe baseline characteristics and cost analyses.
Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze baseline demographics and clinical characteristics. The proportion of patients who experienced recurrence during follow-up was assessed. Kaplan–Meier analyses were used to estimate RFS and OS. Costs were annualized and adjusted to reflect 2018 USD and reported as mean costs PPPM. Cumulative costs were calculated from 12 months before the first recurrence to death, patient disenrollment, or end of follow-up, whichever was earliest. For those who had a second recurrence, costs were calculated only until the second recurrence to reflect the true costs of the first recurrence only. Total healthcare costs included costs by types of medical encounter and prescription medications. Multivariate logistic regression models were conducted to estimate risk factors for recurrence. Covariates used in the models were age, sex, race, marital status, location, histologic subtype, tumor stage, ulceration, node stage, CCI, receipt of adjuvant therapy, and days to resection. All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and were assessed in the aggregate population as well as in subgroups.

Fig. 1 Flow chart for sample selection. AJCC American Joint Committee on Cancer, SEER (US) Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (program)
RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Of the 1316 patients who met the eligibility criteria, 774 (59%) had stage IIB, 400 (30%) had stage IIC, and 142 (11%) had stage IIIA melanoma. Among all patients, only 21 (2%) received adjuvant therapy within 4 months of resection.

The mean age (standard deviation [SD]) was 79.1 (9.3) and 71.9 (11.0) years in patients with stage IIB or IIC and stage IIIA melanoma, respectively (Table 1). Overall, the majority of patients were male (63%), white (98%), and from a large metropolitan area (51%). Comorbidities were common across patients with stage IIB or IIC and IIIA disease, including diabetes with chronic complications (16%), congestive heart failure (9%), and chronic pulmonary disease (9%).

Recurrence and OS

The median durations of follow-up in patients with stage IIB, stage IIC, and stage IIIA melanoma were 28.3, 25.5, and 29.4 months, respectively. In the 1-year period following resection, 13% of patients with stage IIB or IIC and stage IIIA melanoma experienced recurrence; the 2-year rates were 29, 44, and 46%, respectively (Table 2). Median RFS (range) was 16 (4–54) months in patients with stage IIB, 15 (4–56) months in patients with stage IIC, and 10.5 (4–35) months in patients with stage IIIA melanoma. Median (range) OS was longest for patients with stage IIIA [23.5 (9–66) months], followed by stage IIB [23 (6–62) months], and stage IIC [20 (6–69) months] melanoma. Following recurrence, 49% of patients with stage IIB or IIC and 86% of those with stage IIIA melanoma had surgery for recurrence.

In the sensitivity analyses excluding end-of-life care and death as indicators of recurrence, 1-year recurrence rates were 10% in patients with stage IIB, 17% in patients with stage IIC, and 28% in patients with stage IIIA melanoma; 2-year recurrence rates were 24, 38, and 45%, respectively.

Risk Factors

Among patients with resected stage IIB or IIC melanoma, those aged > 75 years had 85% higher odds of having a recurrence than those aged 65–75 years [odds ratio (OR) 1.853; 95% CI 1.416, 2.425], those with the presence of ulceration had 77% higher odds of a recurrence than those without ulceration (OR 1.771; 95% CI 1.293, 2.425), and those with a higher incidence of comorbidities had 24% higher odds of a recurrence (OR 1.244; 95% CI 1.129, 1.372); however, patients with T3 staging had 48% lower odds of a recurrence than those with T4 staging (OR 0.522; 95% CI 0.393, 0.695) (Fig. 2). In patients with resected stage IIB or IIC melanoma, a multicollinearity test between tumor size (T staging) and ulceration did not detect a correlation between these two factors (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.245, \( P < 0.0001; \) data not shown); none of the patients with stage IIIA melanoma had ulceration. Among patients with resected stage IIIA melanoma, females had greater than twofold higher odds of a recurrence than males (OR 2.173; 95% CI 1.014, 4.656); however, compared with those with nodular melanoma, those with superficial spreading and unspecified subtypes had 82% (OR 0.178; 95% CI 0.053, 0.601) and 79% (OR 0.208; 95% CI 0.065, 0.664) lower odds of a recurrence (\( P < 0.05 \)), respectively.

Costs

In the year following recurrence until the second recurrence or the end of the follow-up period, the mean total healthcare cost (SD) was $31,870 ($49,147) in patients with stage IIB or IIC melanoma and $29,224 ($48,837) in those with stage IIIA melanoma. These costs were mainly driven by medication costs ($44,806 in stage IIB or IIC and $84,268 in stage IIIA melanoma) (Table 3).

Cumulative costs began to increase prior to recurrence, but they were substantially higher following recurrence for patients with stage IIB.
| Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics | Stage IIB or IIC melanoma (n = 1174 patients) | Stage IIIA melanoma (n = 142 patients) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Age in years, mean (SD)                              | 79.1 (9.3)                                    | 71.9 (11.0)                           |
| Sex, n (%)                                          |                                               |                                       |
| Male                                                | 748 (64)                                      | 79 (56)                               |
| Female                                              | 426 (36)                                      | 63 (44)                               |
| Race, n (%)                                         |                                               |                                       |
| White                                               | 1152 (98)                                     | 142 (100)                             |
| Other                                               | 22 (2)                                        | 0                                     |
| Geographic location, n (%)                          |                                               |                                       |
| West                                                | 493 (42)                                      | 60 (42)                               |
| Northeast                                           | 267 (23)                                      | 30 (21)                               |
| Midwest                                             | 234 (20)                                      | 31 (22)                               |
| South                                               | 169 (14)                                      | 21 (15)                               |
| Missing                                             | 11 (1)                                        | 0                                     |
| Metropolitan status, n (%)                          |                                               |                                       |
| Large metropolitan                                   | 594 (51)                                      | 76 (54)                               |
| Metropolitan/urban                                   | 425 (36)                                      | 54 (38)                               |
| Less urban/rural                                     | 155 (13)                                      | 12 (8)                                |
| Tumor size\textsuperscript{a}, n (%)                 |                                               |                                       |
| T1                                                  | 0                                              | < 11 (< 8)                            |
| T2                                                  | 0                                              | < 11 (< 8)                            |
| T3                                                  | 461 (39)                                      | 98 (69)                               |
| T4                                                  | 713 (61)                                      | > 33 (> 23)                           |
| Ulceration, n (%)                                   |                                               |                                       |
| Yes                                                 | 860 (73)                                      | NA                                    |
| No                                                  | 312 (27)                                      | NA                                    |
| N staging\textsuperscript{b}, n (%)                  |                                               |                                       |
| N0                                                  | 1174 (100)                                    | 0                                     |
| N1                                                  | 0                                              | 123 (87)                              |
| N2                                                  | 0                                              | 19 (13)                               |
| Histologic subtype, n (%)                           |                                               |                                       |
| Nodular                                             | 399 (34)                                      | 25 (18)                               |
| Superficial spreading                               | 140 (12)                                      | 43 (30)                               |

\textsuperscript{a} Tumor size: T1 = 1 - 4 cm, T2 = 4.1 - 6 cm, T3 = > 6 cm, T4 = metastasis.

\textsuperscript{b} N staging: N0 = no regional lymph node involvement, N1 = involved regional lymph nodes, N2 = involved regional lymph nodes with distant metastasis.
or IIC and IIIA melanoma. In patients with stage IIB or IIC melanoma, the total mean cumulative cost was $2254 PPPM at 12 months prior to recurrence; costs in the post-recurrence period were $41,093 at 6 months, $74,225 at 12 months, and $310,953 at 48 months (Fig. 3). The trend was similar in patients with stage IIIA melanoma, among whom the total mean cumulative costs were $1976 PPPM at 12 months prior to recurrence and $30,895, $52,971, and $166,060 at 6, 12, and 48 months, respectively, in the post-recurrence period. In both groups, cancer therapy was the major driver of cost, followed by outpatient and inpatient costs.

### Table 1 continued

| Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics | Stage IIB or IIC melanoma ($n = 1174$ patients) | Stage IIIA melanoma ($n = 142$ patients) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Lentigo maligna                                  | 34 (3)                                        | 0                                      |
| Acral lentiginous                                 | 28 (2)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Amelanotic                                       | 12 (1)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Other uncommon                                   | 150 (13)                                      | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Unspecified                                      | 411 (35)                                      | 64 (45)                                |
| CCI, mean (SD)                                   | 0.7 (1.3)                                     | 0.5 (1.2)                              |
| Comorbidities, $n$ (%)                           |                                               |                                        |
| Diabetes (with chronic complications)            | 198 (17)                                      | 19 (13)                                |
| Congestive heart failure                         | 109 (9)                                       | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Chronic pulmonary disease                        | 104 (9)                                       | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Renal disease                                    | 83 (7)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Myocardial infarction                            | 49 (4)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Cerebrovascular disease                          | 44 (4)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Peripheral vascular disease                      | 35 (3)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Rheumatic disease                                | 21 (2)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |
| Autoimmune disease                               | 15 (1)                                        | < 11 (< 8)                             |

CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, NA not applicable, SD standard deviation

* American Joint Committee on Cancer, seventh edition [7]

### DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first population-based, real-world study assessing recurrence rates, risk factors for recurrence, and costs of recurrence in patients with resected stage IIB or IIC and IIIA melanoma. Using a treatment-based algorithm, we have shown that more than one-third of patients with resected stage IIB or IIC melanoma and nearly one-half of those with resected stage IIIA melanoma experienced recurrence within 2 years. These results were consistent with those from an earlier German registry study that recorded recurrence in 13.8% of patients with stage II and 28.2% of those with stage III melanoma at 1 year; 31.7% and 48.0% of patients, respectively, experienced...
recurrence at 3 years [10]. Another study reported recurrence in 48% of patients with resected stage IIIA melanoma at 5 years; however, this earlier study used older data (1992–2004) from a single site [19]. In contrast, one study reported a recurrence rate of 19% at a mean follow-up of 23.1 months [20], which was lower than that reported in our study. This may have been due to the inclusion of a younger patient population (mean age 53.8 years) with stage I and IIA

| Variable                  | Reference        | Odds ratio (95% CI) |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Age < 65 years            | 65–75 years      | 1.149 (0.595–2.218) |
| Age > 75 years            | 65–75 years      | 1.853 (1.416–2.425) |
| Female                    | Male             | 1.134 (0.872–1.475) |
| Nonwhite                  | White            | 0.786 (0.318–1.939) |
| Married                   | Unmarried        | 0.737 (0.571–0.951) |
| Large metropolitan        | Less urban/rural | 1.182 (0.811–1.723) |
| Metropolitan/urban        | Less urban/rural | 1.009 (0.683–1.490) |
| Superficial spreading     | Nodular          | 1.095 (0.732–1.637) |
| Lentigo maligna           | Nodular          | 0.862 (0.419–1.773) |
| Acral lentigious          | Nodular          | 0.655 (0.289–1.484) |
| Amelanotic                | Nodular          | 0.707 (0.210–2.383) |
| Other uncommon            | Nodular          | 0.828 (0.554–1.238) |
| Unspecified               | Nodular          | 0.778 (0.580–1.042) |
| T3                        | T4               | 0.522 (0.393–0.695) |
| Ulceration                | No ulcer         | 1.771 (1.293–2.425) |
| CCI                        | 1.244 (1.129–1.372) |
| Received adjuvant therapy | Did not receive  | 2.443 (0.842–7.086) |
| Time to resection         | –                | 0.997 (0.992–1.002) |

Fig. 2 Resected stage IIB or IIC and stage IIIA melanoma. Covariates were included in the model for assessment of risk factors for recurrence. *Continuous variable; b P ≤ 0.05. CCI Charlson Comorbidity Index, CI confidence interval

Table 2 Clinical outcomes of patient population according to stage of melanoma

| Outcomes                        | Stage IIB melanoma (n = 774 patients) | Stage IIC melanoma (n = 400 patients) | Stage IIIA melanoma (n = 142 patients) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1-year recurrence, n (%)       | 97 (13)                               | 87 (22)                               | 40 (28)                               |
| 2-year recurrence, n (%)       | 227 (29)                              | 174 (44)                              | 66 (46)                               |
| Recurrence-free survival, months |                                      |                                       |                                       |
| Mean (SD)                      | 19.2 (0.5)                            | 16.9 (0.7)                            | 13.5 (0.8)                            |
| Median (range)                 | 16 (4–54)                             | 15 (4–56)                             | 10.5 (4–35)                           |
| Distant metastasis-free survival, months |                                  |                                       |                                       |
| Mean (SD)                      | 18.9 (0.9)                            | 17.0 (0.8)                            | 14.6 (1.0)                            |
| Median (range)                 | 16 (4–52)                             | 17 (4–45)                             | 12 (4–37)                             |
| Overall survival, months       |                                      |                                       |                                       |
| Mean (SD)                      | 25.7 (0.8)                            | 23.1 (0.9)                            | 27.6 (2.3)                            |
| Median (range)                 | 23 (6–62)                             | 20 (6–69)                             | 23.5 (9–66)                           |
## Table 3: Annual healthcare costs in the year following recurrence (2018 USD)

| Annualized costs (2018 USD) | Stage IIB or IIC melanoma | Stage IIIA melanoma |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| **Hospital visit**          |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 21,313 (37,101)           | 13,654 (16,467)     |
| Median (range)              | 10,068 (25–305,328)       | 8440 (15–64,263)    |
| **Emergency room visit**    |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 936 (1130)                | 1007 (1101)         |
| Median (range)              | 550 (38–10,758)           | 640 (26–5406)       |
| **Office visit**            |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 15,968 (31,908)           | 18,890 (42,085)     |
| Median (range)              | 3772 (18–183,846)         | 4743 (21–232,780)   |
| **Radiation therapy**       |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 23,107 (38,302)           | 23,260 (32,532)     |
| Median (range)              | 10,805 (307–250,321)      | 13,035 (480–128,447) |
| **Surgery**                 |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 10,036 (29,268)           | 8124 (18,163)       |
| Median (range)              | 2559 (7–397,587)          | 3017 (8–139,177)    |
| **Cancer medication**       |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 108,532 (166,065)         | 153,913 (261,511)   |
| Median (range)              | 38,285 (1–956,147)        | 43,993 (2–1,320,640) |
| **Noncancer medication**    |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 14,262 (45,727)           | 20,099 (44,752)     |
| Median (range)              | 2385 (5–515,364)          | 4567 (77–272,153)   |
| **Skilled nursing facility**|                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 12,304 (22,557)           | 25,297 (33,439)     |
| Median (range)              | 5129 (89–140,873)         | 11,877 (71–85,929)  |
| **Home healthcare**         |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 3231 (5578)               | 3986 (5477)         |
| Median (range)              | 1343 (64–28,724)          | 1594 (641–16,119)   |
| **Hospice**                 |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 8593 (15,612)             | 10,038 (19,355)     |
| Median (range)              | 2947 (218–95,694)         | 4194 (294–83,884)   |
| **Total healthcare**        |                           |                     |
| Mean (SD)                   | 31,870 (49,147)           | 29,224 (48,837)     |

\( \triangle \) Adis
melanoma who have a lower risk of recurrence [20]. The current study highlights the risk of recurrence in patients with resected early-stage melanoma. Future clinical trials are needed to understand whether these patients will benefit from adjuvant therapies, such as immune checkpoint inhibitors [3, 5] or BRAF plus MEK inhibitors [4].

In this study, we identified patient subgroups with a high risk of recurrence (older patients, those with ulceration, those with more advanced disease, and those with nodular melanoma), which may help clinicians distinguish patients who are likely to benefit from newer adjuvant therapies. A recent single-center cohort study also showed that recurrences and metastases were influenced by patient age [21]. Although tumor size and ulceration are associated with a high risk of recurrence, a correlation between these two factors was not found in the current study.

Furthermore, the high recurrence rates in patients with resected stage IIB or IIC and IIIA melanoma resulted in substantial costs in the long term. Mean healthcare costs in the year following recurrence were similar in patients with resected stage IIB or IIC ($31,870) and IIIA ($29,224) melanoma. In contrast, a previous study reported annual estimated costs of $10,000 for local/regional recurrence and $180,000 for distant metastasis in patients with resected melanoma [20]. While our study population differed from that of this earlier study, annual recurrence costs in our study fell within the range of local/regional recurrence and distant metastasis costs identified earlier. Several other studies have reported direct medical costs following melanoma diagnosis; however, these studies used different patient populations and differed in methodology [22, 23].

The mean healthcare cost in our study was slightly higher in patients with stage IIB or IIC melanoma than in those with stage IIIA melanoma, which may be attributed to the relatively older patient population (79.1 vs. 71.9 years) with a higher comorbidity burden (0.67 vs. 0.50) in the former group. The mean cumulative cost PPPM until recurrence was $6730 for stage IIB or IIC melanoma versus $4406 for stage IIIA melanoma. Another possible reason for the higher costs in patients with stage IIB or IIC melanoma may have been the lower proportion of patients who had surgery for recurrence compared with those with stage IIIA melanoma (49 vs. 86%), and surgery is known to lower follow-up costs and prolong survival [24–26]. These factors may also have contributed to the slightly longer OS in patients with stage IIIA melanoma than in those with stage IIB and IIC melanoma (23.5 vs. 23 and 20 months, respectively).

Our study has several potential limitations. First, a treatment-based algorithm and proxies such as “all-cause” death and end-of-life care were used to identify probable recurrences during follow-up. Some patients did not receive treatment upon recurrence, which may have led to an underestimation of the rate of recurrence and associated costs. On the other hand, proxies such as death or end-of-life care may have led to an overestimation of the rate of recurrence. Second, this study was based on analyses of registries and claims data, which may have been subject to errors of omission and/or commission. Third, while cost estimates represented the total amount paid to providers for services and prescription medications, they did not include indirect costs. Finally, while nationally representative, the study was a convenience sample that included only patients within the SEER registry with Medicare coverage. While the SEER

| Table 3 continued |
|-------------------|
| Annualized costs (2018 USD) | Stage IIB or IIC melanoma | Stage IIIA melanoma |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Median (range)            | 14,028 (83–333,964)       | 10,779 (21–258,532) |

*a Defined as the sum of costs for hospital visit(s), office visit(s), medication(s) (Medicare part D only), skilled nursing facility, home healthcare, and hospice
data cover about 14% of all cancer cases, certain groups are underrepresented, such as African Americans and other races, and hence the study may not be generalizable to all patients with melanoma in the USA.

**CONCLUSIONS**

There is a scarcity of evidence on recurrence rates in early-stage melanoma. This real-world study showed that approximately one-third of
patients with stage IIB or IIC melanoma and nearly one-half of those with stage IIIA melanoma experienced a recurrence within 2 years following resection, which was associated with high healthcare costs. Further research is required to understand how the benefits of recently approved adjuvant therapies can reduce recurrence and associated costs in this patient population.
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