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Abstract

Purpose We and others have reported that Sarcophagine-based bifunctional chelators could be effectively used in the syntheses of $^{64}$Cu radiopharmaceuticals. The resulted $^{64}$Cu-Sarcophagine complexes demonstrated great in vivo stability. The goal of this study was to further derivatize Sarcophagine cage with amino and maleimide functional groups for conjugation with bioligands.

Methods Starting from DiAmSar, three novel chelators (AnAnSar, BaMalSar, and Mal₂Sar) with two functional groups have been synthesized. Among those, BaMalSar and Mal₂Sar have been conjugated with cyclic peptide c(RGDyC) (denoted as RGD) and the resulted conjugates, BaMalSar-RGD and Mal₂Sar-RGD₂, have been labeled with $^{64}$Cu. The tumor targeting efficacy of $^{64}$Cu-labeled RGD peptides were evaluated in a subcutaneous U87MG glioblastoma xenograft model.

Results The conjugates, BaMalSar-RGD and Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ could be labeled with $^{64}$CuCl₂ in 10 min with high purity (>98%) and high radiochemical yield (>90%). Both $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and $^{64}$Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ exhibited high tumor uptake and tumor-to-normal tissue ratios.

Conclusion Three novel chelators with two functional groups have been developed based on Sarcophagine cage. The platform developed in this study could have broad applications in the design and synthesis of $^{64}$Cu-radiopharmaceuticals.
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Introduction

The physical characteristics of $^{64}$Cu ($t_{1/2} = 12.7$ h; $\beta^+, 0.653$MeV [17.8 %]; $\beta^-, 0.579$MeV [38.4 %]) make it a very important isotope in positron emission tomography (PET) imaging, especially for evaluating bioligands with long circulating half lives (>1 day). In addition, the advanced coordination chemistry of copper has led to a wide variety of efficient chelators that could be linked to antibodies, proteins, peptides, and other biologically active molecules for $^{64}$Cu-radiopharmaceutical synthesis. Among all these chelators, 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N,N’,N”-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) is probably one of the most widely used chelators for $^{64}$Cu labeling. However, its moderate in vivo stability could increase the
non-targeted organ radiation dosage and lower the tumor-to-nontumor contrast [1-2]. ⁶⁴Cu-Labeled radiopharmaceuticals with improved stability have been reported including 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (NOTA) derivatives [3-4], cross-bridged 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane-1,4,8,11-tetraacetic acid (CB-TETA) [1, 5], and 1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (CB-TE2A) [6-8]. For these bifunctional chelators (BFCs), relatively harsh conditions, such as elevated temperature, may be required for ⁶⁴Cu chelation.

The bicyclic chelator, sarcophagine (denoted as “Sar”), is well known for its strong binding of Cu(II) and therefore high stability of its complexes [9-11]. Based on this cage-like hexaazamacrobicyclic sarcophagine, a new class of bifunctional chelators has been synthesized recently. The resulting ⁶⁴Cu complexes demonstrated great in vivo stability and high radiolabeling efficiency under mild conditions [12-16]. By modifying the inert primary amines of sarcophagine with carboxyl groups, we have successfully developed AmBaSar and BaBaSar chelators in our laboratory [12-14, 17-18]. Biological conjugation is generally achieved through three types of functional groups on biomarkers: amino group, carboxyl group, and sulfhydryl group. Correspondingly, carboxyl group, amino group, or maleimide group are expected to be installed onto the chelators for bioconjugation. To further explore the scope of the applications of Sar chelators, herein we reported the syntheses of three new chelators from the Sar cages: AnAnSar, BaMalSar, and MalSar. AnAnSar, having two reactive amino groups on both sides of the Sar cage could be coupled with the carboxyls of biomarkers by amide bond formation. BaMalSar and MalSar are maleimide-containing Sar chelators, which are designed for biomarkers with free sulfhydryl groups. In order to evaluate the BaMalSar and MalSar in vivo performance, we constructed two integrin α₅β₃-specific probes: BaMalSar-RGD and MalSar-RGD₅, and evaluated their tumor targeting efficacy in U87MG tumor bearing mice using microPET.

Materials and methods

All chemicals obtained commercially were of analytic grade and used without further purification. The syringe filter and polyethersulfone membranes (pore size, 0.22 µm; diameter, 13 mm) were obtained from Nalge Nunc International (Rochester, NY). The c(RGDyC) peptide were purchased from Peptides International (Louisville, KY). A typical linear gradient HPLC was used for purification and quality control as following. The reversed-phase HPLC using a Vydec protein and peptide column (218TP510; 5µm, 250 × 4.6 mm) was performed on a Dionex 680 chromatography system with a UVD 170U absorbance detector (Sunnyvale, CA) and model 1055 single-channel radiation detector (Carroll & Ramsey Associates). At a flow rate of 1 mL/min, the mobile phase was maintained at 95% solvent A [0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water] and 5% B [0.1% TFA in acetonitrile (MeCN)] from 0-2 min and was changed to 35% solvent A and 65% solvent B through 2-32 min. The UV absorbance was monitored at 218 nm and the identification of the peptides was confirmed based on the UV spectrum using a PDA detector.

Preparation of AnAnSar, BaMalSar, and MalSar

DiAmSar was synthesized as reported [12]. tert-Butyl (4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)carbamate (24.0 mg, 84.2 µmol) and sodium carbonate (17.0 mg, 160 µmol) was added to the solution of DiAmSar (20 mg, 63.7 µmol) in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). The reaction was incubated at 70 °C for 12 h. After cooling down to room temperature, 1 mL trifluoroacetic acid was added to the crude mixture and the reaction was maintained at room temperature for 30 min. Semi-preparative HPLC afforded the AnAnSar as slightly yellow solid (31%, 10.3 mg). The retention time of AnAnSar on analytical HPLC is 6.5 min. Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrum (ESI-MS): m/z 525.4 for [M+H]⁺ (Chemical formula: C₂₈H₄₆N₁₀O₃ calculated m/z value: 525.4).

The compound BaBaSar was synthesized using optimized method. In brief, methyl 4-(bromomethyl)benzoate (21.9 mg, 95.6 µmol) and sodium carbonate (17.0 mg, 160 µmol) were added to the solution of DiAmSar (20 mg, 63.7 µmol) in 1:1 tetrahydrofuran and methanol. The reaction was incubated at 70 °C for 8 h. After cooling down to room temperature, 1 mL 1 N sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was added to the mixture and the reaction was maintained at 60 °C for 2 h. Semi-preparative HPLC afforded the BaBaSar as slightly yellow solid (44%, 16.3 mg). The retention time of BaBaSar on analytical HPLC is 8.7 min. Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrum (ESI-MS): m/z 583.4 for [M+H]⁺ (Chemical formula: C₃₀H₄₇N₄O₄, calculated m/z value: 583.4). HPLC coinjection with BaBaSar standard further confirmed the identity of the product [18].

To the solution of BaBaSar (2.9 mg, 5µmol) was added 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide, hydrochloric acid (EDC) (1.5 mg, 8 µmol) and N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (SNHS) (1.5 mg, 7 µmol). After the mixture was adjusted to pH
5.5–6.0 using 0.1 N NaOH, the reaction was maintained at room temperature for 1 h. Then, N-(2-aminoethyl)maleimide trifluoroacetate salt (1.7 mg, 6.5 µmol) in 500 µL borate buffer (pH 8.5) was added to the BaBaSar solution. The reaction stayed at 4 °C overnight. HPLC purification afforded the product BaMalSar (1.3 mg, 37%) and MalSar (1.0 mg, 25%) as white powder. The retention time of BaMalSar on analytical HPLC is 14.1 min. Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrum (ESI-MS): m/z 705.4 for [M+H]+ (Chemical formula: C_{36}H_{53}N_{16}O_{5}, calculated m/z value: 705.4). The retention time of MalSar on analytical HPLC is 14.9 min. Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrum (ESI-MS): m/z 1299.7 for [M+H]+ (Chemical formula: C_{42}H_{59}N_{20}O_{6}, calculated m/z value: 827.5).

### Preparation of BaMalSar-RGD and MalSar-RGD₂

To BaMalSar (2.4 mg, 3.4 µmol) in phosphate buffer (pH 6.5–7.0) was added c(RGDyC) (1.8 mg, 3.0 µmol). The reaction stayed at room temperature for 10 min and HPLC afforded BaMalSar-RGD as white powder (yield 92%, 3.6 mg). The retention time of BaMalSar-RGD on analytical HPLC is 15.5 min. ESI-MS: m/z 1299.7 for [M+H]+ (Chemical formula: C_{36}H_{53}N_{16}O_{5}, calculated m/z value: 1299.6). To MalSar (2.8 mg, 3.4 µmol) in phosphate buffer (pH 6.5–7.0) was added c(RGDyC) (5.2 mg, 8.8 µmol). The reaction stayed at room temperature for 2 h and HPLC afforded MalSar-RGD₂ as white powder (yield 85%, 5.8 mg). The retention time of MalSar-RGD₂ on analytical HPLC is 14.6 min. ESI-MS: m/z 1008.5 for [M+2H]+²⁺ (Chemical formula: C_{46}H_{59}N_{20}O_{22}S₂, calculated m/z²⁺ value: 1008.5).

### Radiochemistry

The labeling was performed similar to the reported procedure [18]. In brief, 20 µL ⁶⁴CuCl₂ (74 MBq, 2.0 mCi in 0.1 N HCl) was diluted in 200 µL of 0.1 N ammonium acetate (NH₄OAc, pH 5.5) and added to BaMalSar-RGD or MalSar-RGD₂ (5–10 µg per mCi ⁶⁴Cu). The reaction mixture was kept at 37 °C for 10 min. ⁶⁴Cu-labeled peptide was subsequently purified by analytical HPLC and the radioactive peak containing the desired product was collected. After removal of the solvent by rotary evaporation, the conjugated peptide tracer was reconstituted in 1 mL phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and passed through a 0.22 µm syringe filter for in vivo animal experiments. The decay-corrected radioactive yield (RCY) for ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and ⁶⁴Cu-MalSar-RGD₂ was 95% and 92% respectively.

### Cell Culture

Human glioblastoma cell line U87MG was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and were cultured in DMEM containing high glucose (GIBCO, Carlsbad, CA), which was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. The cells were expanded in tissue culture dishes and kept in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. The medium was changed every other day. A confluent monolayer was detached with 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA, 0.01M PBS (pH 7.4) and dissociated into a single-cell suspension for further cell culture.

### MicroPET Imaging

Animal procedures were performed according to a protocol approved by the University of Southern California Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. MicroPET scans were performed on a microPET R4 rodent model scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Knoxville, TN). The scanner has a computer-controlled bed and 10.8-cm transaxial and 8-cm axial fields of view (FOVs). It has no septa and operates exclusively in the 3-dimensional (3D) list mode. Animals were placed near the center of the FOV of the scanner. For static microPET scans, the mice bearing U87MG xenografts were injected with about 3.7 MBq (100 µCi) of ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD or ⁶⁴Cu-MalSar-RGD₂ via the tail vein (n = 3 for each group). Similarly, the blocking study was performed by injecting the probe with c(RGDyC) (10 mg/kg body weight) through the tail vein (n = 3). At 1 h, 4 h, and 20 h post injection (p.i.), the mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (5% for induction and 2% for maintenance in 100% O₂) using a knock-down box. With the help of a laser beam attached to the scanner, the mice were placed in the prone position and near the center of the field of view of the scanner. The 3-min static scans were then obtained. Images were reconstructed by use of a 2-dimensional ordered-subsets expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm. No background correction was performed. Regions of interest (ROIs; 5 pixels for coronal and transaxial slices) were drawn over the tumor on decay-corrected whole-body coronal images. The maximum counts per pixel per minute were obtained from the ROI and converted to counts per milliliter per minute by using a calibration constant. With the assumption of a tissue density of 1 g/ml, the ROIs were converted to counts per gram per minute. Image ROI-derived %ID/g values were determined by dividing counts per gram per minute by injected dose. No attenuation correction was performed.
Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data are expressed as mean ± SD. Means were compared using 1-way ANOVA and the Student t test. P values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Chemistry and Radiochemistry

The chelator An(Boc)An(Boc)Sar was prepared by direct alkylation of DiAmSar using tert-butyl (4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)carbamate (Fig. 1). Deprotection with TFA afforded AnAnSar in 31% yield. BaBaSar was activated with EDC/SNHS, followed by the conjugation with N-(2-aminoethyl)maleimide to afford BaMalSar and Mal₂Sar (Fig. 1). The yields of BaMalSar and Mal₂Sar were 37% and 25%, respectively. BaMalSar and Mal₂Sar were conjugated with c(RGDyC) to give BaMalSar-RGD and Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ in 92% and 85% yields, respectively (Fig. 2). The products were purified by HPLC and characterized by ESI-MS. The purity of each RGD conjugate was determined to be > 95% by HPLC. The ⁶⁴Cu labeling procedure was done within 1 h (including the radioisotope incorporation, HPLC purification, rotary evaporation, and formulation in PBS) with a decay-corrected yield higher than 90% and more than 98% radiochemical purity. The specific activity of purified ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and ⁶⁴Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ were about 200–300 mCi/µmol.

MicroPET imaging study

Static microPET scans were performed on a U87MG tumor model and representative decay-corrected coronal images at 1, 4, and 20 h after tail vein injection of ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and ⁶⁴Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ are shown in Fig. 3 (n = 3 per group). The U87MG tumors were clearly visualized with good tumor-to-background contrast for both tracers. The uptake in the tumor or other organs was measured from the ROI analysis and shown in Fig. 3. For ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD, the tumor uptake was 3.02 ± 0.20, 2.55 ± 0.43, and 2.05 ± 0.18 %ID/g, at 1, 4, and 20 h p.i., respectively. For ⁶⁴Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂, the tumor uptake was 5.56 ± 0.38, 5.01 ± 0.19, and 3.81 ± 0.14 %ID/g, at 1, 4, and 20 h p.i., respectively. The tumor uptake of ⁶⁴Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ was significantly higher than that of ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD (P < 0.01, Fig. 4) at all three time points examined. Both of the tracers cleared rapidly from the blood, and were excreted mainly through the kidneys as evidenced by the high kidney uptake. The liver uptakes of ⁶⁴Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and ⁶⁴Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂ were significantly lower than tumor and kidneys at all time-points indicating the good in vivo stability of the ⁶⁴Cu chelation indirectly. The nonspecific uptake in the muscle and lung was very low for both tracers.

Fig. 1 BFC syntheses. (a). tert-butyl (4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)carbamate, DMF, Na₂CO₃, 12 h. (b). TFA, 30 min. (c). EDC, SNHS, pH 5.5, 1h. (d). 2-aminoethylmaleimide, borate buffer, pH 8.5.
Fig. 2 Structures of c(RGDyC), BaMalSar-RGD, and Mal₂Sar-RGD₂.

Fig. 3 Decay-corrected whole-body coronal microPET images of athymic female nude mice bearing U87MG tumor from a static scan at 1 h, 4 h, and 20 h after the injection of 

\[ ^{64}\text{Cu-BaMalSar-RGD} \]

and 

\[ ^{64}\text{Cu-Mal₂Sar-RGD₂} \]

with or without c(RGDyC) as the blocking agent (10 mg/kg body weight). Tumors are indicated by arrows.
The integrin αvβ3 targeting specificities of $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and $^{64}$Cu-MalSar-RGD2 were demonstrated by co-injection of excess RGD as the blocking agent (Fig. 4). Co-injection of excess dose of RGD significantly lower the tumor uptake for $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and $^{64}$Cu-MalSar-RGD2. For example, at 1 h p.i., the tumor uptake of $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD with blocking RGD agent is 1.04 ± 0.17 %ID/g (vs. 3.02 ± 0.20 %ID/g without RGD) and the tumor uptake of $^{64}$Cu-MalSar-RGD2 is 1.10 ± 0.13 %ID/g with the blocking RGD agent (vs. 5.56 ± 0.38 %ID/g without RGD) (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

Although sarcophagine $^{64}$Cu$^{2+}$ complexes have been demonstrated to be superior to other chelators such as DOTA with respect to in vivo stability, attempts to attach the DiAmSar directly to protein using EDC activation has been unsuccessful due to its relatively inert primary amine [15]. In order to overcome this limitation, it is necessary to further derivatize these hexaaazamacroyclic caged-like BFCs, which would allow the conjugation of sarcophagine with bioligands using conventional synthetic strategies such as amide bond formation. For example, mono-functionalized AmBaSar and SarAr have been developed for $^{64}$Cu radiopharmaceuticals [14, 16, 19]. As sarcophagine has two relatively inert primary amines on either end of its cage, we have focused on developing novel Sar cage derivatives with multifunctional groups introduced to both ends. Recently, we have successfully improved the functionalization approach of the Sar cage through a direct alkylation (S$_2$N$_2$) reaction [18]. The bi-functionalized BaBaSar chelator demonstrated the superior properties compared with the mono-functionalized AmBaSar for constructing $^{64}$Cu radiopharmaceuticals. Here, we further extended our effort and developed three new BFCs with two functional groups for the $^{64}$Cu radiopharmaceutical synthesis.

In our first design, we proposed to introduce two aromatic amines to the DiAmSar backbone through the direct alkylation (S$_2$N$_2$) reaction [18]. As expected, An(Boc)An(Boc)Sa was successfully synthesized through the alkylation between tert-butyl (4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)carbamate and DiAmSar. After deprotection, AnAnSar was obtained in 31% isolation yield. At the same time, the monoalkylated product, SarAr, was afforded as a side product, which could also be useful for bioligands conjugation. Similar to the bifunctional BaBaSar, the free amino groups from AnAnSar should be able to react with the activated carboxylate groups to construct multivalent or multimodality imaging agent. Moreover, the aromatic amine could be selectively reacted without interference from a crosslink reaction between the activated...
The major concern for protein labeling through amide bond formation is the possible interference with biological activity; modification of one or more lysines or carboxylic acids located at or near the active site could reduce the binding affinity. Thiol-reactive agents have also been used to modify peptides and proteins at specific sites, providing high chemoselectivity as compared with amine or carboxylate-reactive reagents [21-22]. Starting from BaBaSar, the thiol reactive chelators BaMalSar and Mal$_2$Sar were synthesized through a two-step reaction: (1) activation of the benzoic acid moieties in BaBaSar with EDC/SNHS; (2) installment of maleimide functional group via an amide bond formation. During the preparation of starting material BaBaSar, it was found that the reaction yield could be significantly improved if methyl-4-bromomethylbenzoate was used as the alkylation agent for DiAmSar (instead of using 4-bromomethylbenzoic acid). Although this modification did require one extra deprotection step, the reaction yield could be as high as 91% based on both AmBaSar and BaBaSar. This improved method was used for AmBaSar and BaBaSar synthesis thereafter. At this stage, we have developed a library of multifunctional Sar chelators that could be used for amino, carboxyl, and sulfhydryl conjugation with bioligands.

To demonstrate the application of our Sar chelators in $^{64}$Cu radiopharmaceuticals, both BaMalSar and Mal$_2$Sar were selected and conjugated with c(RGDyC) [23-25]. The free sulfhydryl group on c(RGDyC) reacted with both chelators in high yield under mild condition (neutral pH and room temperature) to afford BaMalSar-RGD and Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$. This conjugation could be ideal when the bioligands are susceptible to acid, base, or high reaction temperature. It also provides an excellent alternative to the widely used DOTA-NHS. Furthermore, this sulfhydryl-specific reaction could avoid reducing the target binding affinity if the lysine or terminal amino groups are at or near the active site of a peptide or protein.

Both of the BaMalSar-RGD and Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$ were labeled with $^{64}$Cu very efficiently in 0.1 M NH$_4$OAc buffer within 10 min in high yield. Although the specific activity of $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and $^{64}$Cu-Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$ was about 200-300 mCi/μmol, decreasing the loading of sarcophagine RGD and using more $^{64}$Cu for the labeling will increase the specific activity some extent. These probes demonstrated the high tumor to background ratios. For example, the tumor to muscle ratio reached 20.46 ± 4.47 at 4 h post injection of $^{64}$Cu-Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$, which is consistent with high contrast shown in Fig. 3. $^{64}$Cu-Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$ also had significantly increased tumor uptake compared with $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD, which could be caused by a multivalency effect as has been observed before [8, 26-28]. Similar to previous reports, increased tumor uptake was accompanied with an elevated kidney uptake [27-28]. In the blocking study, the tumor uptakes of $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD and $^{64}$Cu-Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$ were reduced to the same level (no significant difference, $P > 0.05$) after saturating the integrin receptors by large excess of unlabeled RGD peptide. The residual background uptake may be related to passive targeting of the probes. Nonetheless, the efficient blocking results clearly demonstrated the receptor specificity of our probes.

Previously, $^{64}$Cu labeled DOTA-c(RGDyK) had been tested in U87MG human glioma tumors [29]. The observed tumor to muscle ratios were 7.10 ± 1.30, and 6.30 ± 1.28 at 1, and 4 h post injection. In this study, the tumor to muscle ratios reached 11.71 ± 3.58, and 26.78 ± 15.18 for $^{64}$Cu-BaMalSar-RGD at 1, and 4 h post injection. The improved in vivo kinetics are extremely important for $^{64}$Cu based PET imaging and therapy. It has been pointed out that the transchelation of $^{64}$Cu from DOTA to superoxide dismutase in the liver and the persistent localization of the final radiometal metabolite $^{64}$Cu-DOTA lysine within the tissue are disadvantageous for $^{64}$Cu-DOTA therapy application [30]. The demonstrated high in vivo stability of $^{64}$Cu-sarcophagine [16, 18], plus the ease of conjugation reported herein (such as maleimido-chelators with free sulfhydryl containing biomarkers), will expedite the $^{64}$/$^{67}$Cu application in both imaging and therapy fields. We also need to point out that BaMalSar is a heterofunctional chelator. In this proof of principle study, we only modified one side with c(RGDyC) using the site-specific sulfhydryl-maleimide Michael addition. Conjugation of a second biomarker on the benzoic acid moiety of BaMalSar, will allow us to readily construct novel multinodality or heterofunctional probes.

**Conclusion**

Three novel bifunctional chelators for the preparation of $^{64}$Cu radiopharmaceuticals have been successfully synthesized. BaMalSar and Mal$_2$Sar were conjugated with cyclic c(RGDyC) through free sulfhydryl and maleimide reactions. The conjugates, BaMalSar-RGD and Mal$_2$Sar-RGD$_2$ could be labeled with $^{64}$CuCl$_2$ and exhibited high tumor uptake and tumor-to-normal tissue ratios. In the future, two different bioligands will be installed into the two pedant arms of AnAnSar, MalBaSar, or MalSar for constructing dual targeting probes. Furthermore, the two reactive sites of AnAnSar, BaMalSar and MalSar could be used to attach a targeting moiety on one side.
and an additional label (for secondary imaging modality) on the other. We anticipate that this newly developed method will offer a novel way to construct multimodality imaging probes as well.
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