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INTRODUCTION

Derivatization reactions are often carried out in several analytical validation protocols, method development, aiming the detection and quantification of molecules of biological and environmental interest. The purpose of derivatization in chromatographic analyzes is to provide chromophoric groups to molecules that show little or no response to ultraviolet or fluorescence detectors which are the most usual detectors used in high-performance liquid chromatography. The literature reports several reagents used as derivatizing agents in chromatography, for example, 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (FDNB),1 p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (TsCl),2-3 4-chloro-3,5-dinitrobenzotrifluoride (CNBF),4 2,5-dimethoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride (DMOSC),5 p-nitrobenzoyl chloride (PNBC),6 9-fluorenylmethyl-chloroformate (FMOC-Cl),6 9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate deserves special attention due to it being widely used in the amino acid derivatization reactions. Einarsson reports the efficient application of FMOC-Cl in the quantification of proline, sarcosine and hydroxyproline.6 Ziegler and Abel also report in their work the application of FMOC-Cl in the quantification of serine, leucine, tryptophan, phenylalanine and glutamic acid.7 The detection and quantification of glyphosate, using a method similar to that applied in the present work, in an aqueous medium using FMOC-Cl was also reported by Hottes and co-workers.8 In addition to the mentioned compounds, the literature also reports studies applied in the quantification of gabapentin.9,10 Gabapentin (Figure 1) is an anticonvulsant drug used to treat partial seizures, neuropathic pain, in addition to other neurological disorders. However, studies on the application of gabapentin in domestic animals have been developed, aiming to minimize the acute and chronic pain and signs of stress in cat during veterinary examination.12-14 FMOC-Cl is a derivatizing agent widely used both in N-protection reactions of α-amino acids as well as in quantification and detection of amino acids and related molecules using HPLC-PDA or fluorescence analysis. In this work we studied the reaction of FMOC-Cl with gabapentin. However, it was observed that the degradation of gabapentin-N-FMOC and FMOC-Cl to FMOC-OH occur simultaneously in presence of the basic reaction medium which is specific for gabapentin derivatization. The degradation reaction is accelerated in temperatures above 45 °C and also by the time of contact of the reactant and product with basic medium. In this study, gabapentin derivatization reactions were shown to be cleaner and more efficient when an FMOC-Cl/gabapentin ratio of 2:1 was used, at 25 °C over a period of 15 minutes.
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FMOC-Cl is stable in acidic medium and undergoes degradation in basic conditions. When in contact with basic reaction conditions, FMOC-Cl is converted into 9-fluorenylmethanol (FMOC-OH, Figure 1) through a hydrolysis followed by decarboxylation reaction, gradually decreasing its capacity as a derivatizing agent, a factor that can compromise the efficiency of the derivatization reaction. Taking into account the aspects related to the FMOC-Cl degradation process in basic medium and how this fact influences the detection and quantification studies of amino acids and related molecules, this work aimed to study the performance of this compound as a derivatizing agent of the drug gabapentin. To evaluate the performance of FMOC-Cl the experiments were conducted having four main variables: time, temperature, concentration of reagents and pH of the reaction medium. The derivatization reaction was studied in a water/acetonitrile solution since this is the most common solvent mixture used in the literature for reactions involving amino acids derivatization with FMOC-Cl, in addition to ensuring the complete solubility of the reagents.12-21 The reactions performed were evaluated through HPLC-PDA, since despite being a more complete and robust methodology, when compared to simpler spectrometric methods, such as UV-Vis, it is also widely disseminated and accessible.

**Figure 1.** Structures of FMOC-Cl, FMOC-OH and gabapentin

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

The 9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate (99%), NaOH, H3BO3, KCl, K2HPO4, HCl 36% and ethyl acetate were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (São Paulo, Brazil) and used without prior purification.
Acetonitrile (spectroscopic grade) was obtained from Tedia Brazil (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). All aqueous solutions were prepared with ultrapure water generated by a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained in solution (CDCl$_3$) in a 500 MHz Bruker Avance III spectrometer (Rheinstetten, Germany), using TMS as the reference standard for chemical displacements (ppm). The analyses by high performance liquid chromatography were performed in a Prominence-Shimadzu equipment (Kyoto, Japan) with an LC-20AT pump, SPD-M20A detector, CTO-20A oven, SIL-10AF autosampler, CBM20A controller and LabSolution software. The analyses were performed on a C-18-Allure Organic Acids column (5 mm × 4.6 mm × 5 μm, Restek) (Bellefonte, PA, USA), using isocratic elution with 80% acetonitrile (solvent B) and 20% phosphate buffer at pH 2.5 (solvent A), in a total time of 6 minutes. The flow isocratic elution with 80% acetonitrile (solvent B) and 20% phosphate buffer at pH 2.5 (solvent A), in a total time of 6 minutes. The flow speed was 1.3 mL min$^{-1}$, oven temperature 40 °C and injection volume 20 μL. Detection was performed at 260 nm.

Optimization of gabapentin derivatization reaction and FMOC-Cl degradation study

In the reaction process study, several variables that had significant effects on the performance of gabapentin derivatization and on the conversion of FMOC-Cl to FMOC-OH were observed. The parameters evaluated are described in Table 1.

Table 1. Parameters evaluated in the derivatization reaction of gabapentin and in the degradation reaction of FMOC-Cl

| Parameters                        | Values |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| borate buffer pH                  | 8, 9.5, 10 |
| FMOC-Cl/Gabapentin ratio          | 1:1, 2:1 |
| Reaction time (min)               | 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 |
| Reaction medium temperature (°C)  | 25, 40, 60, 80 |

Methodology 1 (single step)

In a 5 mL volume glass reaction flask equipped with a lid and a magnetic stir bar were added 150 μL of gabapentin (1 mg mL$^{-1}$); 800 μL of borate buffer (pH 9.5); 113 μL of a solution of 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ FMOC-Cl in acetonitrile (μmols ratio 1:1 FMOC-Cl/gabapentin); or 230 μL of a 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ solution of FMOC-Cl (μmols ratio 2:1 FMOC-Cl/gabapentin), and a sufficient amount of acetonitrile (spectroscopic grade) until it reaches 3 mL.

Methodology 2 (two steps)

In a 5 mL volume glass reaction flask equipped with a lid and a magnetic stir bar were added 100 μL of gabapentin (1 mg mL$^{-1}$) and 100 μL of borate buffer (pH 9.5). This solution was allowed to react for 5 minutes at room temperature. Then, 76 μL of a 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ FMOC-Cl solution (μmols ratio 1:1 FMOC-Cl/gabapentin); or 150 μL of a 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ solution of FMOC-Cl (μmols ratio 2:1 FMOC-Cl/gabapentin), and a sufficient amount of acetonitrile (spectroscopic grade) until it reaches 2 mL.

Preparation of (9H-fluoren-9-yl) methanol (FMOC-OH) from FMOC-Cl

In a 50 mL volume round-bottom glass flask were added 50 mg (0.194 mmol) of FMOC-Cl dissolved in 20 mL of acetonitrile mixed with 10 mL of borate buffer pH 9.5. The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes. Then, the product (FMOC-OH) was removed from the reaction medium by partition with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL), followed by washing with saturated NaCl solution. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, evaporated in a rotary evaporator providing 38 mg of a white solid (m.p.: 104-105°C) (85%). The FMOC-OH product was characterized by $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR. $^{13}$C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 50.00, 65.00, 120.06, 124.67, 127.08, 127.65, 141.56, 144.28. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 4.08 (d, 2H, J 6.12 Hz, CH$_3$), 4.15 (t, 1H, J 7.65 Hz, CH$_2$). The product was characterized by 1H, 13C NMR. 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 157.76, 143.77, 141.37, 127.74, 127.09, 125.00, 120.01, 66.81, 47.36, 33.92, 25.82, 21.31. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ (ppm): 1.0-1.58 (m, 10H, 5CH$_2$); 2.19 (s, 2H, CH$_3$); 2.28 (s, 2H, CH$_3$); 4.50 (d, 2H, J 6.62 Hz, CH$_2$); 4.23 (t, 1H, J 6.60 Hz, CH$_3$); 7.33 (t, 2H, J 7.25 Hz, Ar-H); 7.43 (t, 2H, J 7.57 Hz, Ar-H); 7.71 (d, 2H, J 7.57 Hz, Ar-H).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Since the gabapentin structure does not have any chromophore group that allows its detection by ultraviolet spectroscopy, the derivatization reaction with FMOC-Cl, studied in this work (Figure 2), has the main objective of inserting the FMOC group, allowing thus its detection by the PDA detector.

Figure 2. Gabapentin derivatization reaction using FMOC-Cl

However, the hydrolysis reaction of FMOC-Cl to generate FMOC-OH (Figure 2), is a competitive reaction with the formation of gabapentin-N-FMOC. Both reactions begin immediately after contact of FMOC-Cl with the basic medium used in the derivatization protocol. Comparatively, the two-stage methodology proved to be more advantageous comparing to the methodology carried out in a single step, as it required shorter contact time between the FMOC-Cl and the basic reaction medium, since it was added only after the initial deprotonation step of gabapentin. Another disadvantage of the methodology in a single step (1:2 v/v, gabapentin-buffer), when compared to the two steps methodology (1:1 v/v, gabapentin / buffer), was the use of a higher amount of buffer to ensure the appropriate pH (pH 9.5) for derivatization. The greater amount of buffer (800 μL) in the reaction medium used in the single-step methodology impaired...
the derivatization reaction, as it caused the precipitation of FMOC-Cl, insoluble in aqueous medium, requiring the addition of a greater volume of acetonitrile (2.0 mL), leading to a higher consumption of this solvent. This increase in the volume of acetonitrile may imply an increase in the analytical costs, especially when you have a significant number of samples to be derivatized.

**pH influence**

The gabapentin derivatization reactions were carried out at different pH’s (8.0, 9.5 and 10.0), values commonly reported in the literature for derivatizations using FMOC-Cl and also other derivatizing agents. The pH of the reaction medium is important for the gabapentin N-deprotonation step, so that it can then react with FMOC-Cl, generating the product gabapentin-N-FMOC. The best results obtained for deprotonation of gabapentin in the derivatization reaction were observed for pH values ≤ 9.5. For lower pH values, a low rate of formation of the derivatized product was observed, verified by HPLC-PDA. It was also observed that the pH acts as a modulator for the symmetry of the chromatographic peak. Thus, it was decided to work at pH 9.5 instead of pH 10.0, as it guaranteed efficiency in the derivatization, in addition to less formation of the FMOC-OH and the observation of greater symmetry in the chromatographic peaks. According to results obtained by Hottes and co-workers in the detection and quantification of glyphosate in aqueous solutions treated with clay minerals using FMOC-Cl as a derivatizing agent, the reaction showed more efficient responses for the pH range 9 and 10. The authors observed a better symmetry pattern of the chromatographic peaks, in addition to a better reproducibility of the applied method.

The FMOC-Cl/gabapentin ratio

It was observed that the stoichiometric ratio between gabapentin and FMOC-Cl in the derivatization reaction directly affects the formation of gabapentin-N-FMOC, since gabapentin derivatization reactions and FMOC-Cl degradation reactions are competitive processes. Thus, it was necessary to ensure an adequate concentration of FMOC-Cl in the reaction medium, so that the formation of gabapentin-N-FMOC was optimized. The strategy used to optimize the derivatization reaction was to monitor the residual FMOC-Cl present at the end of the reaction. It should be noted that the end of the reaction was defined as the time when the variation in the area under the chromatographic peak became constant. For this, the reaction methodology that used the 2:1 ratio FMOC-Cl/gabapentin was satisfactory. Previous study using the spectrophotometric method in the ultraviolet region to monitor the derivatization reaction of FMOC-Cl/analytes (glyphosate and aminomethylphosphonic acid), in the ratios 2:1 and 5:1, showed that the best results were obtained for the ratio 5:1 (FMOC-Cl : analyte). However, in the present work, it was possible to observe through the analysis by HPLC-PDA that although the retention times of FMOC-Cl, FMOC-OH and gabapentin-N-FMOC are different, their absorption curves in the ultraviolet (Figure 3) are very similar with maximum absorption at 260 nm. This suggests that an analysis by ultraviolet spectrophotometry alone will not guarantee the precise quantification of the analyte, since it will not be able to distinguish the contributions of each of the different species present in the reaction medium. Thus, the use of HPLC-PDA proved to be an efficient and robust analytical tool both in quantifying as in detecting the different components present at the end of the gabapentin derivatization reaction. Similar results were also reported by Hottes and co-workers. The authors reported that in the derivatization of the herbicide glyphosate a 2:1 (FMOC-Cl/glyphosate) ratio was satisfactory in the derivatization reaction even at low temperatures.

![Figure 3](image3.png)  
**Figure 3.** (a) Chromatogram and absorption curve in the FMOC-OH ultraviolet range; (b) Chromatogram and absorption curve in the FMOC-Cl ultraviolet range; (c) Chromatogram of the mixture: [FMOC-OH, gabapentin-N-FMOC and FMOC-Cl]; and superposition of the absorption curves for FMOC-OH, gabapentin-N-FMOC and FMOC-Cl in the ultraviolet range

**Influence of time and temperature**

After establishing the ideal borate buffer pH (9.5) and FMOC-Cl/ 

![Figure 4](image4.png)  
**Figure 4.** Percentage of product formation (gabapentin-N-FMOC) as a function of time at different temperatures (25 °C - 80 °C)
medium, but also by the temperature and reaction time. The higher the temperature, the higher the rate of degradation. By monitoring the area related to the consumption of FMOC-Cl and the appearance of FMOC-OH, it was observed that for temperatures equal to or greater than 60 °C the degradation process was increased. The same was observed in the appearance of the by-product FMOC-OH: for higher temperature and reaction times, a higher product formation rate was observed. Since it is desired to guarantee the slow degradation of FMOC-Cl in order to increase its performance as a derivatizing agent, it is appropriate to work at room temperature, as can be seen in Figure 4.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The derivatization methodology performed in two stages contributes to less formation of the degradation product FMOC-OH, thus favoring the gabapentin derivatization reaction. The derivatization reaction reached its greatest efficiency after 15 minutes for most temperatures, suggesting that reactions conducted at room temperature are satisfactory in amino acid reactions with FMOC-Cl. It is important to note that reactions conducted at room temperature were more practical and more economically viable. The 2:1 FMOC/gabapentin ratio proved to be quite satisfactory for the derivatization process studied. Finally, the use of the HPLC-PDA technique was a fundamental tool to evaluate all these parameters.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The 1H-RMN and 13C-RMN spectra for FMOC-OH and gabapentin-N-FMOC are available at http://quimicanova.sbq.org.br, in PDF format, with free access.
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