Many candidates for liver transplantation have portal vein hypertension, splenomegaly, and thrombocytopenia. They also have a prolonged prothrombin time caused by deficient production of coagulation factors. Accordingly, these patients have much a higher risk of bleeding during their illness and blood transfusion is frequently required in their resuscitation. Furthermore, liver transplantation is a complicated procedure that is expected to cause significant blood loss [6], even when the surgeon uses many approaches and techniques to minimize this loss. Therefore, transfusion of multiple units of blood products is necessary during liver transplantation.

Here, we report a patient with hepatitis B virus-induced liver cirrhosis and HCC who experienced platelet transfusion-related anaphylaxis after successful ABO-incompatible living donor liver transplantation (LDLT).

**Case Presentation**

A 64-year-old male with hepatitis B-induced liver cirrhosis and HCC presented at an emergency room in April, 2013 with abdominal pain and melena. He was previously diagnosed with esophageal varix Grade II [7], caused by liver cirrhosis and a duodenal bulb ulcer. His white blood cell count, hemoglobin concentration, and platelet count were 3.39 × 10^3/µL, 14.6 g/dL, and 33 × 10^3/µL, respectively.

He was transfused with 6 units of platelets, which resulted in an acute hypotensive response (blood pressure 77/53 mmHg) and hypoxia (oxygen saturation 80%). He was treated with intravenous epinephrine (1 mg), chlorpheniramine (4 mg), fluid resuscitation, and supplemental oxygen. Department of allergy medicine was contacted and the patient’s serum immunoglobulin concentrations were checked as follows: IgG, 1350 mg/dL (normal range 700–1700 mg/dL); IgA, 179 mg/dL (normal range 90–400 mg/dL); IgM, 122 mg/dL (normal range 45–230 mg/dL); IgE, 144.7 mg/dL (normal range 0–100 mg/dL). Owing to suspected platelet transfusion-related anaphylaxis, it was recommended that the patient should undergo slower transfusion and apheresis platelets need to be used if
transfusion was required again. His vital signs stabilized within 6 h of starting resuscitation. The next day, his condition had improved and he was discharged from the Emergency Room.

In September 2014, the patient was admitted for radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE) to treat newly developed HCC lesions in segments 6 and 8 of the liver. His serum platelet level was 23 × 10³/µL at admission. Because of the high risk of bleeding during RFA and TACE, he underwent apheresis platelet transfusion. One hour after transfusion, he became febrile (38.5 °C) and started shivering. RFA and TACE were abandoned and he was managed with antipyretics. His symptoms subsided the next day. The event was considered to be a nonhemolytic febrile reaction. Five days later, TACE and percutaneous ethanol injection were performed instead of RFA.

We continued to monitor the patient’s HCC and he was re-admitted in April 2015 for treatment of HCC recurrence and deteriorating liver function. We discussed with the patient and his family that liver transplantation was an option. His 19-year-old son was determined to be a living donor with an ABO-incompatible blood type (recipient: Rh+ O; donor: Rh+ A). The recipient underwent preconditioning therapy for ABO-incompatible LDLT according to the protocol used at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, South Korea (Figure 1).

The patient’s serum isoagglutinin titers were measured (anti-A IgG: 128; anti-A IgM: 64) and plasma exchange was performed twice, until the anti-A Ig titers were reduced to 1:16 or lower (anti-A IgG: 16; Anti-A IgM: 8) before transplantation. Washed apheresis platelet transfusion preceded each plasma exchange. The patient did not exhibit any allergic event after the first platelet transfusion, but he developed shivering and became febrile (39.5 °C) 1 h after the second platelet transfusion. Therefore, he was managed with antipyretics and his symptoms subsided within a few hours. The second plasma exchange was performed without further allergic events. Because his prothrombin time (international normalized ratio) increased from 2.03 before plasma exchange to 4.62 after plasma exchange, 1 unit of FFP was given and was tolerated. A single dose of rituximab (300 mg/m²) was administered 2 weeks before transplantation.

Preoperative computed tomography (CT) revealed a splenic artery aneurysm that had increased in size from 3 cm to 3.6 cm, compared with the previous CT. The aneurysm and splenic artery were embolized to prevent bleeding from this site. However, during surgery, it was noted that the splenic artery was incompletely embolized, so splenectomy was performed. Perioperative intravenous γ-globulin (0.8 g/kg; IVIG) was administered to the patient in the hepatic phase and was continued until 2 days after transplantation.

The patient was transfused with 23 units of red blood cells, 27 units of FFP, and 3 units of apheresis platelets during surgery and there were no transfusion-related allergic reactions. LDLT was completed without complications.

Discussion

ATRs sometimes occur after platelet transfusion. The risk of an allergic reaction after platelet transfusion ranged from 0.09% to 21% in prior studies [8,9]. Its manifestations are broad, and range from mild skin reactions such as pruritus and urticaria to systemic reactions such as bronchoconstriction, hypotension, and shock.

The pathogenesis of ATRs is very heterogeneous. It is most likely caused by (i) IgE and IgG antibodies in the recipient targeting plasma proteins in the transfused blood component, and (ii) cytokines, chemokines, and histamine in the platelet products. The most common type of anaphylactic reaction is induced by IgA deficiency in the recipient and the subsequent formation of anti-IgA [10]. However, Matsuyama et al. found no antibodies against plasma proteins, including IgA, in the serum of most patients with severe ATRs after platelet transfusions [11]. Based on the clinical and pathologic findings, we think that the most likely etiology of our patient’s transfusion reaction was an anaphylactic reaction, even though his serum Ig concentrations were in normal ranges except for a mild elevation of IgE.

Because the patient had experienced an anaphylactic episode after platelet transfusion, he was to be given washed apheresis platelets to prevent subsequent ATRs, whenever transfusion was required, because this was reported to be effective in previous studies [12,13].

The patient also received IVIG perioperatively in accordance with the protocol for ABO-incompatible LDLT. IVIG has potent immunomodulatory effects and is beneficial in the treatment of various autoimmune and inflammatory disorders [14]. The proposed mechanisms of action of IVIG on humoral reactions include promotion of B cell or plasma cell apoptosis through the Fc-receptor dependent pathway, and inhibition of alloreactive T cell-mediated or complement-mediated allograft injury, although these possibilities have not yet been confirmed [15]. Additionally, IVIG might prevent further anaphylactic reactions after postoperative platelet transfusions, even though the mechanism by which it prevents these anaphylactic reactions is unknown [16,17].

Splenectomy is an integral part of the protocol for ABO-incompatible LDLT at many institutions because the spleen is the site of B cell maturation and antibody production [18,19].
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However, splenectomy is associated with many procedure-related complications including portal vein thrombosis, pancreatic fistula, and sepsis [20,21]. Rituximab is a monoclonal chimeric human-murine anti-CD20 antibody that destroys B cells by promoting complement-dependent cellular cytotoxicity. It removes CD20-positive B cells from the circulation and the lymphoid tissues of the spleen. Therefore, rituximab acts as a form of chemical splenectomy [22]. Studies have shown that preoperative administration of rituximab may replace splenectomy [19,23,24]. Considering this benefit of rituximab in terms of spleen preservation, embolization of a splenic artery aneurysm was performed to preserve the spleen before transplantation. During surgery, however, it was confirmed that the splenic artery was incompletely embolized, permitting blood flow into the splenic artery. This raised some concern of a risk of bleeding from the incompletely embolized splenic artery aneurysm. After evaluating the risks and benefits, splenectomy was performed. Follow-up laboratory tests showed that because his serum platelet count had increased to a sufficient level, platelet transfusion was not required in the immediate postoperative period.

Conclusion

It is challenging to perform LDLT, which carries a high risk of bleeding, in patients with a history of platelet transfusion-related anaphylaxis. In this patient, the use of IVIG, steroids, apheresis platelet transfusion, rituximab, and splenectomy avoided anaphylaxis during ABO-incompatible LDLT.
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