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ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during kharif 2009 at the Crop Research Farm, 
Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of 
Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India to study the effect of 
different split doses of nitrogen (N), Leaf Colour Chart (LCC) based nitrogen management 
on growth and yield of transplanted rice. Nitrogen management practices were consisted of 
application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ in different split doses (Two equal splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/2 at 
active tillering, Three splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation, 
Three splits 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/3 at panicle initiation, Four splits – 1/4 at 
basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at maximum tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation, and Four 
splits – 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/6 at maximum tillering, 1/6 at panicle initiation), 
LCC 3 and LCC 4 with 20 kg N ha⁻¹ as basal with 23 and 28 kg N ha⁻¹ 
respectively based on weekly reading. The results revealed that nitrogen management at 
LCC value of 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha⁻¹) produced significantly higher plant height (113.4 
cm), crop and relative growth rates 21.84 g m⁻² day⁻¹ and 0.072 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹ respectively, 
effective tillers hill⁻¹ (14.60), grains panicle⁻¹ (162.13) and grain yield (60.70 q ha⁻¹) 
than LCC value of 3 (4 splits; 112 kg N ha⁻¹) that produced grain yield of (42.30 q ha⁻¹) as 
well as other nitrogen management treatments (N at 150 kg ha⁻¹). Thus, considering the 
influence of LCC based nitrogen application on productivity and profitability of rice and 
saving of fertilizer N. It is concluded that the LCC offers gigantic opportunities to increase 
N use efficiency, rice yield and net return for farmers in Allahabad region of Eastern Uttar 
Pradesh.
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Introduction

Nitrogen (N) fertilizer is necessary input in 
most rice soils to accomplish high yield. 
Current fertilizer N recommendations in 
Allahabad region of Eastern Uttar Pradesh 
typically consist of fixed rate and timings for 
large rice growing tracts. These ‘blanket’ 
recommendations have served their purpose 
in producing good yields, but they are limited 
in their capacity to increase nutrient use 
efficiency. Many times, to ensure high yields,
farmers apply fertilizer N rates even higher than the blanket recommendation. Over application of N in rice crop leads to further lowering of N fertilizer recovery efficiency. The blanket recommendations are also not responsive to temporal variations in crop N demand. Use of N in excess of crop requirement and inefficient splitting of N applications are the main reasons for low N use efficiency in rice. Since improving the synchrony between crop N demand and the N supply from soil and or the applied N fertilizer is likely to be the most promising strategy to increase N use efficiency, the split application of fertilizer N is going to remain an essential component of fertilizer N management strategies in rice [1]. Real-time corrective N management is based on periodic assessment of plant N status, and the application of fertilizer N is delayed until N deficiency symptoms start to appear. Thus, a key ingredient for real-time N management is a method of rapid assessment of leaf N content that is closely related to photosynthetic rate and biomass production and is a sensitive indicator of changes in crop N demand within the growing season. As rice leaf colour is a good indicator of leaf N content, the LCC, developed through collaboration of the International Rice Research Institute [2] with agricultural research systems of several countries in Asia, serves as a visual and subjective indicator of plant N deficiency. Use of this approach in developing countries of Asia is very limited. LCC provide a simple, quick, and nondestructive method for estimating N of rice leaves. Very limited research work is available so far to establish LCC for rice in Eastern India, particularly in Uttar Pradesh. Therefore, the present investigation was conducted to evaluate real-time N fertilizer management with the LCC relative to the ‘blanket’ recommendations with the following objectives: (1) to save N without decreasing yield of rice, (2) to avoid expenditure on soil test for the recommendation of N fertilizers and (3) to find out the relative efficiency of LCC for the N economy as well as increasing yield.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during rainy season (kharif) of 2009 in Randomized Block Design with three replications at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. The field was sandy loam in texture, taxonomically known as asoxisols and neutral in pH 7.1 and the EC was 0.24 dSm⁻¹. The soil was low (202 kg ha⁻¹) in available N, medium high (32 kg ha⁻¹) in available P and low (93.5 kg ha⁻¹) in available K. Treatments were consisted of application of 150 kg N ha⁻¹ in different split doses (Two equal splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/2 at active tillering, Three splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation, Three splits 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/3 at panicle initiation, Four splits – 1/4 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at maximum tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation, and Four splits – 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/6 at maximum tillering, 1/6 at panicle initiation), Leaf Colour Chart (LCC) based nitrogen application (LCC 3 – 20 kg basal + 23 kg N ha⁻¹ based on weekly reading and LCC 4 – 20 kg basal + 28 kg N ha⁻¹ based on weekly reading (Tables 1 and 2). A common fertilizer dose of 75: 60 kg P and K ha⁻¹ was adopted. The entire dose of P and K with 25 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹ were applied basal to rice crop, and nitrogen as urea was applied as per the treatment schedule. Nitrogen management through LCC values of 3 and 4 in four splits were done with 112 and 132 kg N ha⁻¹ respectively. 22 days old seedlings of rice ‘Pro-Agro 6444’ were transplanted at 20 cm x 10 cm spacing on 1 July, 2009. All improved packages of practices were followed to raise
the crop and the data were statistically analyzed using the \( F \)-test.

**Leaf colour chart (LCC)**

LCC developed by the Nitrogen Parameters, Chennai, India (Fig. 1) with six green shades ranging from yellowish green (which is number 1 on the chart) to dark green (which is number 6) was used in the trial. LCC readings were taken at 7 days interval starting from 14 days after transplanting (DAT) till 10% flowering. 10 disease free hills were selected at random from the sampling area in each plot where plant distribution was uniform.

From each hill top most fully expanded leaf was selected and LCC readings were taken by placing the middle part of the leaf on top of LCC’s colour strips and the leaf colour was observed by keeping the sun blocked by body as sun light affects leaf colour reading by same person. Whenever the green colour of 6 out of 10 leaves were observed below the critical value, nitrogen was applied as per the LCC value.

**Results and Discussion**

**Growth parameters**

Growth parameters like plant height, crop and relative growth rates (CGR and RGR)) and effective tillers of transplanted rice were positively influenced by different nitrogen management practices. Significantly higher plant height (113.4 cm), crop growth rate (21.01 g m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) and relative growth rate (0.072 g g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) were obtained with nitrogen application based on LCC value of 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) as compared to LCC value 3 (4 splits; 112 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 1). Among the split doses of nitrogen, application of – 1/4 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at maximum tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation and LCC value 3 (4 splits; 112 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 1). Among the split doses of nitrogen, application of – 1/4 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at maximum tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation registered higher plant height, crop and relative growth rates (CGR and RGR) over two equal splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/2 at active tillering, three splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation, three splits 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/3 at panicle initiation, and four splits – 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/6 at maximum tillering, 1/6 at panicle initiation. Effective tillers hill\(^{-1}\) (14.60) was significantly higher under LCC value 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) over LCC value 3 (4 splits; 112 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and it was at par with rest of the nitrogen management practices except application of nitrogen in three splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/4 at active tillering, 1/4 at panicle initiation. Application of nitrogen in splits according to the crop requirements was the motive for better rice growth parameters. The usefulness of increased N application on tiller production was also observed by [3].

**Yield attributes**

Various nitrogen management practices showed significant difference on grains panicle\(^{-1}\) of transplanted rice. However, they failed to exert any influence on length of panicle, \( 2^{nd} \) test weight. Among the nitrogen management practices, LCC value 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) produced higher number of grains panicle\(^{-1}\) (162.13) and it was at par with nitrogen application in three splits – 1/3 at basal, 1/3 at active tillering, 1/3 at panicle initiation. Nitrogen management at LCC value 3 (4 splits; 112 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) registered
significantly lower number of grains panicle$^{-1}$ (140.66) over LCC 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha$^{-1}$) (Table 2). The N recovery efficiency of rice crop varies with crop stage. According to [4], the recovery efficiency of top-dressed urea during peak demand periods of rice, such as panicle initiation, was as high as 78%. Matching N supplies with crop demand, therefore, increases its recovery efficiency by the crop. Fertiliser N applied when crop demand is low is subject to losses leading to low N use efficiency. That is why perhaps rice yield attributes and yield in the present study were higher with LCC value 4 than other treatments. This was in accordance with the findings of [5, 6].

**Fig.1** Leaf colour chart with six colour shades developed by nitrogen parameters

![Leaf colour chart](image1)

**Fig.2** Yield response to fertilizer N

![Yield response](image2)
Table 1: Effect of nitrogen management on growth characters of transplanted rice

| Treatment          | Number of splits | Total N applied (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Plant height (cm) | CGR \(g\ m^{2}\) day\(^{-1}\) | RGR \(g\) g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) | Effective tiller hill’ |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| N1- 1/2+1/2        | 3                | 75 + 75 = 150                    | 102.40            | 16.10                           | 0.047                         | 12.66                 |
| N2- 1/2+1/4+1/4    | 3                | 50 + 50 + 50 = 150              | 101.95            | 14.55                           | 0.052                         | 11.46                 |
| N3- 1/3+1/3+1/3    | 3                | 43.75 + 43.75 + 43.75 = 131     | 101.95            | 14.55                           | 0.052                         | 11.46                 |
| N4- 1/4+1/4+1/4+1/4| 4                | 37.5 + 37.5 + 37.5 + 37.5 = 150 | 101.95            | 14.55                           | 0.052                         | 11.46                 |
| N5- 1/3+1/3+1/6+1/6| 4                | 25 + 25 = 50                    | 102.40            | 16.10                           | 0.047                         | 12.66                 |
| N6- LCC3-20 kg basal + 23 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) based on weekly reading | 4 | 23 + 23 + 23 + 23 = 112 | 99.00 | 17.58 | 0.065 | 10.26 |
| N7- LCC4-20 kg basal + 28 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) based on weekly reading | 4 | 28 + 28 + 28 + 28 = 132 | 113.4 | 21.84 | 0.072 | 14.60 |

LSD(P=.05) - 4.80 2.01 0.014 2.55

Table 2: Effect of nitrogen management on yield attributes, grain and straw yields and benefit-cost ratio of transplanted rice

| Treatment          | Length of panicle (cm) | Grains panicle\(^{1}\) | Test weight (g) | Grain yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) | Straw yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) | Harvest index (%) | B:C ratio |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| N1- 1/2+1/2        | 25.38                  | 143.66                 | 21.33           | 55.00                       | 77.00                       | 39.60             | 1.80      |
| N2- 1/2+1/4+1/4    | 25.38                  | 141.46                 | 21.16           | 50.60                       | 77.00                       | 39.70             | 1.81      |
| N3- 1/3+1/3+1/3    | 24.86                  | 158.33                 | 20.33           | 48.20                       | 73.90                       | 39.50             | 1.67      |
| N4- 1/4+1/4+1/4+1/4| 25.97                  | 145.20                 | 21.66           | 51.00                       | 78.00                       | 39.60             | 1.78      |
| N5- 1/3+1/3+1/6+1/6| 25.94                  | 145.53                 | 21.00           | 43.50                       | 67.40                       | 39.20             | 1.52      |
| N6- LCC3-20 kg basal + 23 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) based on weekly reading | 24.34 | 140.66 | 19.66 | 42.30 | 65.90 | 39.10 | 1.50 |
| N7- LCC4-20 kg basal + 28 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) based on weekly reading | 27.83 | 162.13 | 21.33 | 60.70 | 86.20 | 41.30 | 1.99 |
| LSD(P=.05)         | -                      | -                      | 4.80            | 2.01                        | 0.014                       | 2.55              | -         |

NS-Non-significant

Productivity and profitability

Grain yield of transplanted rice was significantly influenced by nitrogen management treatments (Table 2). However, they failed to exert any influence on straw yield. Grain yield (60.70 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was obtained with nitrogen application based on LCC value of 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) compared to LCC value 3 (4 splits; 112 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) (42.30 q ha\(^{-1}\)) but it was statistically on par with application of nitrogen in two splits – 1/2 at basal, 1/2 at active tillering (Table 2). Leaf nitrogen status of rice is closely related to photosynthetic rate and biomass production, and it is a sensitive indicator of changes in crop nitrogen demand within a growing season. Application of fertilizer nitrogen
based on LCC value of 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) was found effective to maintain optimal leaf nitrogen which resulted in better crop growth and high rice grain yield (Fig. 2). The reason may be same as explained earlier [5, 6].

Higher harvest index (41.30%) in the LCC value 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) than the fixed time recommended N application (39.70%) suggested that fertilizer N applied on the basis of need of the plant was better translated into grain yield [7]. Application of N based on LCC value 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) also enhanced benefit-cost ratio (1.99) compared to blanket recommendation (1.81) with a saving of 18 kg N ha\(^{-1}\).

LCC based nitrogen application enhance productivity and profitability of transplanted rice. Grain yield (60.70 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was obtained with nitrogen application based on LCC value of 4 (4 splits; 132 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and saving of fertilizer N (18-25 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in transplanted rice). It is concluded that the LCC offers gigantic opportunities to increase N use efficiency, rice yield and net return for farmers in Allahabad region of Eastern Uttar Pradesh.
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