Malignant adenomyoepithelioma of the breast: A case report
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Malignant breast adenomyoepithelioma (AME) is a rare subtype of breast cancer. AME is mostly a benign disease that uncommonly undergoes malignant transformation.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: Here we present a case of a young, previously healthy female who initially presented with a painless breast lump. The patient underwent wide local excision for atypical cells with squamous metaplasia by core biopsy, but final histopathology showed AME with carcinoma. Thus, a mastectomy and a sentinel lymph node biopsy was undertaken. The patient had an uneventful recovery and no recurrence after the second surgery.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: Malignant transformation of adenomyoepithelioma is reported in a small number of cases. Benign AME may be treated with wide local excision as recurrence is rare locally. Whereas malignant AME tends to be treated with simple mastectomy with or without lymph node biopsy.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Adenomyoepithelioma (AME) was first described in the 1970s as a neoplasm consisting of both luminal and myoepithelial cells [1]. This type of neoplasm exhibits a spectrum of morphology and display biphasic appearance in different areas of the tumor. Thus, making it diagnostically challenging by core biopsy due to its heterogeneity [2]. It has been reported to occur between the third and ninth decade, but more commonly in the 5th and 6th decade [3].

Macroscopically, AME are usually well circumscribed, solid, unencapsulated and may show focal cystic changes [4]. Microscopically, malignant AME is distinguished from benign adenomyoepithelioma by the presence of nuclear atypia, coarse chromatin, prominent nucleoli, necrosis and increased mitotic rate [5].

This case report has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [14].

Thus, wide local excision was performed and final histopathology showed AME with carcinoma and positive margin. Subsequently, she underwent a mastectomy and SLNB. The patient had an uneventful recovery.

Final histopathology revealed AME with carcinoma. Macroscopically, the mass presented as grayish white irregular mass approximately 3 × 2 × 1 cm in size. Microscopically, it showed biphasic tubular proliferation lined by inner epithelial cells positive for CK8/18 and negative for P63, SMA and S100 protein and outer myoepithelial layers positive for P63, CK5/6, SMA and S100 protein. The inner epithelial cells revealed strong positivity for CK5/6 as well. Both layers harbored atypical nuclei with obvious pleomorphism, hyperchromasia and frequent mitosis. Overall Ki67 PI reached 30%. The proliferation infiltrated the adjacent non-neoplastic mammary tissue with occasional satellites at the periphery. The tumor was triple negative for ER, PR and ER (Fig. 1).

2. Case report

A 39-year-old female presented with a lump in her left breast with no other complaint. A mammogram showed an ill-defined irregular mass in UQ with no suspicious microcalcification, an ultrasound showed a hypoechoic mass at 9 o’clock 2 × 1.5 cm in size. A core needle biopsy was performed, which showed atypical cells with squamous metaplasia and sclerosing lesion with atypia.

3. Discussion

Adenomyoepithelioma tend to be benign in nature, but malignant transformation is reported in a small number of cases. This is characterized by increase in mitotic rate, necrosis, atypia and prominent infiltrative growth [6,7]. Usually, malignant transformation occurs in one cellular component either epithelial or myoepithelial. However, malignant transformation in both cellular component is extremely rare [8,9].

Benign AME can be treated with wide local excision as it’s rare for it to recur locally. In contrast, the malignant type is more likely to recur locally and has a 30–40% chance of metastases, commonly through hematogenous route to the lungs, brain, thyroid
and chest wall [10]. However, metastases to axillary lymph node is rare [11,12].

Our case shows the difficulty in obtaining a clear diagnosis on core biopsy, and how this type of neoplasm should be considered in both benign and malignant lesions.

4. Conclusion

Surgical treatment of AME necessitates wide local excision with negative margins. However, patients with close or incomplete margin will need a simple mastectomy or re-excision to negative margin. As metastasis to axillary lymph nodes is rare some authors have recommended mastectomy with sentinel lymph node biopsy as the treatment of choice [7,9,10,13].

In conclusion, ideal surgical management has not been established yet due to its rarity.
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