Nanocrystalline Nickel Zinc Ferrite as an efficient alcohol sensor at room temperature
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Abstract—In the present communication, nanocrystalline nickel zinc ferrite (NZF) has been successfully synthesized by temperature and spin controlled coprecipitation technique. The structural and surface morphological characterizations of the sample have been analyzed by means of Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) and Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM). The minimum crystalline size of prepared NZF sample calculated from Scherer’s formula and is found to be 25 nm. FESEM images exhibit the porous nature of the sensing material with a number of active sites. In a comparative study on the sensing characteristics of nanostructured NZF pellet towards three primary alcohols viz. ethanol, propanol and butanol, the maximum sensitivity is found to be nearly 90% for 1000 ppm of the ethanol vapour at room temperature. The sensing response followed the order of ethanol > propanol > butanol with respect to time. The experimental results show that nanostructured NZF is a promising material for alcohol sensor. The sensor responses are quite stable and highly reproducible even at room temperature.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The demand for portable vapour sensors are increasing now-a-days along with the progress in the electronics industry. There is also a need to enhance the quality of vapour sensors. Many of the studies reported that binary metal oxides exhibit a high sensitivity to alcohols, but are known to suffer from poor selectivity and high working temperature [1]. Recently, nanosized mixed metal ferrite materials have received considerable attention in vapour sensor application as they exhibit more selectivity and stability for a particular gas and organic vapour [2]. Spinel type oxide semiconductors with formula MFe₂O₄ have been reported to be sensitive materials to both oxidizing and reducing gases [3]. Liu et al [4] reported the high sensitivity of CdFe₂O₄ towards ethanol vapour, Reddy et al [5] investigated NiFe₂O₄ as sensor to detect Cl₂ in air. Chen et al [6] revealed that MgFe₂O₄ and CdFe₂O₄ are sensitive and selective to LPG and C₂H₂. Among the various ferrite materials, zinc ferrite is an important n-type semiconducting material widely applied for the detection of acetone, ethanol, hydrogen and H₂S because of its good chemical and thermal stability [7-10]. The review surveys revealed that the nanosized ferrite materials, which have high surface activity due to their small particle size and enormous surface area, have been widely studied in the field of vapour sensors in recent years. Mixed metal ferrites offer more sensitive, selective and long-term stable sensor materials [11].

The aim of the present work is to compare the prepared nanostructured NZF towards various primary alcohols like, ethanol, propanol and butanol at room temperature. Aliphatic primary alcohols like ethanol, propanol and butanol have been widely used in various industrial and scientific applications. Ethanol is a hypnotic solvent and it is widely applied in the manufacture of wine, medical processes and food industries. A continuous monitoring of ethanol is required in wine industry in order to determine the quality and flavour of wine. Ethanol can also be measured in breath analysis [12]. Propanol is used as a solvent for several organic compounds. It is widely used as a cleaning agent and especially in dissolving oils. Propanol is a skin irritant and its long term exposure can lead to a series of health complications [13]. Butanol is widely used as solvent mainly in textile and chemical industries. It has its application as paint thinner. Moreover, it is widely used in the manufacture of biofuels now-a-days. But its toxicity lies as a severe eye and skin irritant. Prolonged exposure to fumes can cause danger and affects the central nervous system. Hence there is a great demand for monitoring these primary alcohol vapours.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Preparation

The sample with chemical composition Ni₀.₅Zn₀.₅Fe₂O₄ (NZF) has been prepared successfully by temperature and spin controlled coprecipitation technique [14-16]. A.R
grade Zinc sulfate heptahydrate, Nickel chloride hexahydrate, and anhydrous Ferric chloride are dissolved in distilled water with appropriate molar ratio. Stirring is done on magnetic stirrer for one hour to obtain homogeneous solution. The precipitation of metal hydroxides has been occurred by adding 2M NaOH solution by maintaining pH at 12 throughout the reaction. The precipitation then washed with distilled water repeatedly with stirring till the pH attained a value of ~ 7. The resulting solution is then filtered and the precipitation is dried at 100°C for 24 hours. By grinding the flakes in agate mortar, the powdered form of the material is then annealed at 1200°C for 24 hours. Annealed sample is reground and stored in a dry and cool place for further characterization and analysis.

2.2. Structural characterization
PXRD has been recorded using a Bruker’D8 Advance’ Diffractometer (funded by UGC-DRS (SAP-II) DST (FIST-II), at Jadavpur University), equipped with a Globel mirror using Cu Ka (λ = 1.54184Å) radiation. The generator setting was maintained at 40kV and 40mA. The diffraction patterns has been recorded at room temperature with a counting time of 2 s/step over a range of 2θ=20°-90°. The lattice constant a for the prepared NZF sample is calculated from diffraction planes by using formula:

\[ a = d\sqrt{(h^2 + k^2 + l^2)} \]

where \( d \) is the interplane spacing, \( h, k, \) and \( l \) are the Miller indices of the crystal planes [17].

The theoretical density of the sample is calculated from X-ray data according to the relation:

\[ \rho_x = \frac{8M}{Na^3} \]  

(2)

Where \( \rho_x \) the density is calculated from XRD data, \( M \) is the molecular weight, \( N \) is the Avogadro’s number, and \( a \) is the lattice constant of the cubic unit cell [18]. The experimental density \( \rho_m \) of the sintered sample was calculated by considering the cylindrical shape of the pellet and using the relation:

\[ \rho_m = \frac{m}{\pi r^2 h} \]

(3)

where \( m \) is the mass, \( r \) is the radius and \( h \) is the thickness of the pellet [19].

Porosity \( P \) of the ferrite pellet is determined using relation:

\[ P = \frac{\rho_x - \rho_m}{\rho_x} \times 100 \]

(4)

Where \( \rho_x \) and \( \rho_m \) are the theoretical and experimental densities [20].

Surface morphology of the samples are investigated by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) (FEI, INSPECT F 50) equipped with an energy dispersive x-ray spectrometer system, (configuration no. QUO-35357-0614 funded by FIST-2, DST Government of India), at the Physics Department, Jadavpur University.

2.3. Measurements
A measured quantity of annealed powder is mixed with 1-2 drops of freshly prepared saturated solution of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) and pressed in the form of circular disc with a diameter of 10 mm and thickness of 2.5 mm. About 5 tonnes of pressure is applied on the die by means of a hand press machine. The prepared pellet is again heated at 800°C for 4 hours to remove the organic binder. The surface of the pellet is coated with two planer highly pure silver paste electrode. The VOC sensing characteristics of the prepared sample is measured using a static flow vapour sensing set up, developed in our laboratory [21]. Vapour sensing measurements are performed in a closed test chamber at a static atmosphere at room temperature. In order to improve vapour sensor stability the sensing element is kept in the sensing chamber for more than 12 hours before testing.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1. Material characterizations
XRD pattern of annealed NZF sample is presented in Fig. 1 below. The sharp diffraction peaks indicate a high degree of crystallization for the obtained metal ferrite compound. The sensing response is calculated using the given formula.

\[ S\% = \left( \frac{\Delta R}{R_{air}} \right) \times 100 \]

or \[ S\% = \left( \frac{R_{air} - R_{gas}}{R_{air}} \right) \times 100 \]

(5)

Where \( R_{air} \) and \( R_{gas} \) are resistance in air and in presence of test vapours respectively and \( \Delta R \) is the resistance variation. The resistance variation of NZF sample is recorded at room temperature with different alcohol vapours. Heater with thermocouple is used for resetting of the sensor pellet to conduct the repetitive experiments. This is also aimed to study at optimized temperature for different VOCs in varied
concentrations. Some crystalline properties of NZF are shown in Table 1. The low measured density and porosity >50%, satisfying the requirements for materials used as organic vapour sensors [22]. Fig.2 presents the FESEM micrographs for the ferrite sample which reveals that the sample has nanosized grains with open porosity. Presence of both nanostructured grain size and porosity, increases the specific surface area making it a suitable material for vapour sensing applications. It is known that samples with higher specific surface have higher response to the organic vapour [23].

Table 1: Properties of prepared NZF vapour sensor

| Composition       | a (Å)     | ρ_x (g/cc) | ρ_m (g/cc) | P (%)  |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------|
| Ni_0.5Zn_0.5Fe_2O_4 | 8.386085  | 5.35393    | 1.99137    | 62.80534 |

3.2.1 Transient response study

The repetitive response of the NZF pellet towards 1000 ppm of ethanol, propanol and butanol vapours with time is shown in Fig. 3a, 3b and 3c. The resistance of the pellet sensor is measured, once in presence of air before the introduction of vapour and again after injection of a measured quantity of test vapours within the chamber every time. As soon as the alcohol vapours are inserted, the NZF pellet showed a decreasing trend in resistance response and thus an increase in the sensor response is observed. After reaching to the steady response, alcohol vapours are removed from the closed chamber by removing the lid and the resistance response is recorded again.

3.2. Alcohol sensing characteristics

![XRD pattern of nanocrystalline NZF](image1)

![SEM micrograph of nanocrystalline NZF](image2)

![Repetitive resistance response of ethanol](image3)

![Fig.3a: Repetitive resistance response of ethanol](image4)
3.2.2. Sensitivity study

The sensitivity response curves of the NZF pellet sensor obtained from 1000 ppm of ethanol, propanol and butanol is shown in Fig.4 whereas Fig.5 shows its response time towards different alcohols vapours at room temperature. The response time and recovery time of the sensor is shown in Table 2 where ethanol shows the lowest response and recovery time compared to other two test vapours. The stability data of the sensor is obtained under similar conditions at room temperature over a period of 30 days to confirm the reliability of the measurements. The response increases up to 80% within 8 mins and it reached to a steady value of 90% within 15 mins for 1000 ppm ethanol vapour. It took several minutes to recover the original resistance after removal of test vapours from the closed chamber. A long time recovery observed at room temperature is due to the agglomerated nature of the sensing element revealed by FESEM microstructure.

Table 2: Comparison for ethanol, propanol and butanol sensing characteristics of NZF at room temperature.

| Type of Sensing Materials | Test vapours | Concentration (ppm) | Maximum response (%) | Response time (min) | Recovery time (min) |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| NZF                      | Ethanol      | 1000                | ≈ 90                 | ≈ 15                | ≈ 20                |
|                          | Propanol     | 1000                | ≈ 88                 | ≈ 23                | ≈ 26                |
|                          | Butanol      | 1000                | ≈ 81                 | ≈ 25                | ≈ 28                |

Fig.4: Sensitivity of NFZ towards ethanol (blue), propanol (green) and butanol (pink) at room temperature.
1.2.2. Selectivity study
Selective detection of VOC is a big challenge for any commercial sensor. It is found that, the sample shows a very good and stable response towards aliphatic alcohol vapours at room temperature condition with a special mention on ethanol vapour sensing.

1.2.3. Sensing mechanism
It is observed that the resistance of the sensing element decreases when exposed to reducing vapours like ethanol, propanol and butanol which suggest that NZF behaves as an n-type semiconductor. The vapour sensing mechanism of the metal ferrite, described in the previous work, is a surface controlled phenomenon that is based on the surface area of the pellet sensor at which the vapour molecules adsorb and react with pre-adsorbed oxygen molecules [21, 23, and 24].

IV. CONCLUSION
When NZF is exposed to the primary aliphatic alcohol vapours, electrical resistance is shown to vary strongly with a wide range of response times and magnitudes at room temperature. Wide range of differential responses is observed across the various combinations of alcohol vapours, indicating the excellent potential for NZF to be used in the manufacture of primary aliphatic alcohol vapour detector, or electronic nose. The comparative study shows that the material is very much sensitive towards alcohol vapours especially in quick detection of ethanol vapours with high sensitivity and good stability at room temperature.
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