Mammalian target of rapamycin, also known as mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a protein kinase that belongs to the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, which is involved in several fundamental cellular functions such as cell growth, proliferation, and survival. This protein and its associated pathway have been implicated in cancer development and the regulation of immune responses, including the rejection response generated following allograft transplantation. Inhibitors of mTOR (mTORi) such as rapamycin and its derivative everolimus are potent immunosuppressive drugs that both maintain similar rates of efficacy and could optimize the renal function and diminish the side effects compared with calcineurin inhibitors. These drugs are used in solid-organ transplantation to induce immunosuppression while also promoting the expansion of CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ regulatory T-cells that could favor a scenery of immunological tolerance. In this review, we describe the mechanisms by which inhibitors of mTOR induce suppression by regulation of these pathways at different levels of the immune response. In addition, we particularly emphasize about the main methods that are used to assess the potency of immunosuppressive drugs, highlighting the studies carried out about immunosuppressive potency of inhibitors of mTOR.
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Core tip: Inhibitors of mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), rapamycin and its derivative everolimus, have been used as immunosuppressive drugs during the last decade. Several reviews have been written on the use of these drugs compared to classical calcineurin inhibitors, however few has been reviewed about...
Immunosuppressive potency of such compounds. Our aim is to summarize the principal studies about potency of the immunosuppressants, highlighting the studies carried out with inhibitors of mTOR.
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INTRODUCTION

The elucidation, at the molecular level, of T-cell-mediated rejection, explained by the three-signal model of lymphocyte activation, has facilitated the development of novel immunosuppressive drugs (Figure 1). Advances in immunosuppressive therapy have had a great impact on the evolution and success of solid-organ transplantation. Reaction responses after transplantation can be minimized by optimally matching major histocompatibility complex (MHC) antigens, by administration of drugs that generally suppress the immune system, or by inducing a state of tolerance.[1]. With the introduction of newer immunosuppressive pharmacological agents, the incidence of acute cellular allograft rejection has decreased to low levels, and one and five-year patient survival rates are approaching 85% and 68%, respectively, with a 10-year survival closer to 50%.[2]

Immunosuppressive drugs can be classified into two categories: Biologic agents, such as polyclonal and monoclonal anti-lymphocyte antibodies; and pharmacological or small-molecule drugs, such as corticosteroids and inhibitors of nucleotide synthesis, calcineurin inhibitors or mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors (mTORi) (Table 1 and Figure 1).[1,3] These drugs are used in combinations that are intended to maximize immunosuppression while reducing the adverse effects of each individual drug.[4]

Calcineurin inhibitors (CNI), such as tacrolimus and cyclosporine, have become the cornerstone of immunosuppressive therapy in solid organ transplantation.[5] Their use resulted in lower rejection rates and improved short-term patient and allograft survival rates. However, long-term improvements in graft survival have been more difficult to achieve with these drugs. The main reason for this observation is that prolonged CNI exposure is associated with nephrotoxicity,[6] neurotoxicity,[7] increased risk for cancer,[8] metabolic complications[9], and hypertension,[10], which are an important cause of long-term morbidity and mortality. Nevertheless, the limitation in the long-term survival of patients with transplantation depends on other factors not directly related to the immunosuppression, such as recurrence of basal disease and death with a functioning graft for reasons beyond to the own transplantation. Reducing CNI exposure is the main strategy to lower these adverse events, for example combining immunosuppressants with different mechanism of action to minimize the adverse events while maintaining immunosuppressive efficacy.

The mTORi, such as rapamycin and its derivate everolimus, are powerful nonnephrotoxic agents with a different toxicity profile respect to CNI, specially affecting to a gastrointestinal, respiratory and hematological level, in addition to a different mechanism of action than CNI. Meanwhile CNI block the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-2 and, subsequently, inhibition of T-cell activation, mTORi reduce T-cell activation later in the cell cycle by blocking growth-factor-mediated cell proliferation in the cellular response to alloantigen[11,12] (Figure 1). The distinct mechanism of action and favorable nephrotoxicity profile has led to mTORi-containing regimens being developed with the aim of minimizing, eliminating, or avoiding exposure to CNI, although many trials failed because of the high incidence of antibody-mediated rejection[13].

Rapamycin is an immunosuppressive drug that was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1999 and by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2000 as an immunosuppressive agent for renal transplantation patients once its T-cell suppression characteristics were recognized.[14] Later, everolimus was approved in 2003 for the prophylaxis of organ rejection in kidney and heart transplant recipients in many European countries, followed by FDA approval for kidney transplantation in 2010.[15] Everolimus was developed to improve the pharmacokinetic profile of rapamycin. At position 40 of the rapamycin molecule, everolimus has a covalently bound 2-hydroxyethyl group that provides a pharmacokinetic advantage, conferring faster absorption and a shorter half-life in comparison to rapamycin.[16,17] These properties allow everolimus to be formulated as an oral agent, while maintaining immunosuppressive and anti-neoplastic activities similar to rapamycin.[18,19] In addition, unlike rapamycin, no loading dose is required for everolimus, and the twice-daily dosing schedule enables accurate dose adjustments.[20]

In this review, we summarize some of the main methods that are used to assess the potency of immunosuppressive drugs, highlighting the studies about immunosuppressive potency of mTORi.

ROLE OF mTOR IN THE IMMUNE RESPONSE AND EFFECTS OF mTORi IN THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

mTOR is a protein kinase involved in the signal 3
The elucidation of lymphocyte activation pathways and targets of inhibitory agents.

The elucidation of lymphocyte activation pathways has facilitated the development of novel immunosuppressive drugs. At the molecular level, T-cell-mediated rejection is explained by the three-signal model of lymphocyte activation. Signal 1 occurs when alloantigen-bearing APCs engage alloantigen-reactive naïve and memory T-cells and trigger their activation; alloantigen recognition is transduced through the TCR-CD3 complex. Signal 2 occurs when CD80 and CD86 on the surface of APCs engage CD28 on T-lymphocytes, providing T-lymphocyte co-stimulation. Together, signals 1 and 2 activate several signal transduction pathways, including the calcium-calcineurin pathway, the MAPK pathway, and the NF-κB pathway, which in turn, trigger the expression of many cytokines. Several of these cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-15, and IL-21) induce proliferation (signal 3) through PI3K and mTOR pathways. Ag: Antigen; APC: Antigen-presenting cell; MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase; MHC: Major histocompatibility complex; mTOR: Mechanistic target of rapamycin; NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa B; PI3K: Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; TCR: T-cell receptor.

pathway of lymphocyte activation[3] (Figure 1). More specifically, mTOR belongs to the PI3K pathway, which is involved in several fundamental cellular functions such as cell growth, proliferation, and survival. The mTOR protein interacts with several proteins to form two distinct complexes: mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and 2 (mTORC2)[21]. Both complexes share the catalytic mTOR subunit, mammalian lethal with Sec13 protein 8 (mLST8), DEP domain-containing mTOR-interacting protein (DEPTOR), and the Tti1/tel2 complex. Furthermore, mTORC1 is composed uniquely of regulatory-associated protein of mTOR (RAPTOR) and the proline-rich AKT substrate 40 kDa (PRAS40). By contrast, mTORC2 uniquely contains the scaffolding protein rapamycin-insensitive companion of mTOR (RICTOR), mammalian stress-activated map kinase-interacting protein 1 (mSIN1), and the protein observed with RICTOR 1 and 2 (PROTOR1/2)[21]. Located adjacent to the kinase domain of mTOR is the FKBP12-rapamycin-binding (FRB) domain[22].

mTORC1 participates in the translocation and synthesis of cell-cycle regulating and ribosomal proteins, as well as the synthesis of lipids that are required for proliferating cells to generate membranes[23-25]. However, mTORC2 activates protein kinase B (AKT), which is the central mediator of the PI3K pathway and promotes cell growth and survival via several mechanisms[26] (Figure 2).

In addition, mTOR has an important role as a central regulator of the immune response, functioning as a central node in a signaling cascade that directs the integration of diverse environmental inputs in the immune microenvironment. mTOR regulates the function of diverse immune cell types, including dendritic cells, B cells or regulatory and effector T-cells[27-30].

mTORi (rapamycin and everolimus) are immunosuppressive drugs that interact with and inhibit mTOR, but only when it is part of mTORC1 and not mTORC2[21]. These drugs bind to the cytosolic protein FKBP12. This complex binds to the FRB domain of mTOR, which blocks the ability of RAPTOR to bind to mTOR, thereby inhibiting formation of mTORC1[31]. However, prolonged treatment with rapamycin has also revealed the inhibition of mTORC2 signaling[32].

Rapamycin mediates immunosuppressive effects through multiple immune cell types and processes. Inhibition of mTOR by rapamycin suppresses the immune response by preventing cell cycle progression from G1 to S phase, thereby blocking proliferation[33]. In addition, rapamycin can promote T-cell anergy independently of the inhibition of proliferation even in the presence of TCR activation and co-stimulation by CD28 and IL-2[34,35].

Rapamycin inhibits the ability of dendritic cells to mature into APCs that can strongly stimulate T-cells. Immature dendritic cells promote the expansion of regulatory T-cells while concomitantly suppressing conventional T-cell responses by inducing T-cell anergy and apoptosis, thus promoting tolerance to the graft[36]. Furthermore, rapamycin has beneficial effects on the survival and proliferation of regulatory T-cells[37]. Many studies have confirmed the beneficial effects of rapamycin or everolimus on regulatory T-cell biology[38-40]. By contrast, CNI impair the number, function and phenotype of regulatory T-cells, potentially acting as a barrier to the achievement of host tolerance to an allograft[38,39,41]. However, this issue is controversial, because some studies have shown how CNI does not affect or improve the expansion of Treg[42,43]. Likewise, everolimus can inhibit humoral responses both directly, by suppressing B cell proliferation and differentiation, and indirectly, by suppressing T-cell help[44,45].
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Table 1  Classification of biological and pharmacological immunosuppressive agents[1,2]

| Biologic immunosuppressive agents | Function |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| **Lymphocyte-depleting agents**   |          |
| Monoclonal anti-CD20 (rituximab)  | Depletion of B-cells |
| Monoclonal anti-CD52 (alemtuzumab)| Depletion of T-cells, monocytes, macrophages and natural killer cells |
| Monoclonal anti-CD3 (OKT3)       | Interference with signal 1 in T-cells |
| Anti-thymocyte globulin           | Interference with signals 1, 2 and 3 in T-cells |
| **Non-lymphocyte-depleting agents** |          |
| Anti-IL-2 receptor (basiliximab, daclizumab) | Inhibition of T-cell proliferation and signal 3 |
| Belatacept                        | Inhibition of signal 2 in T-cells (competition with CD28 for CD80/CD86 binding) inhibiting T-cell co-stimulation |
| Daclizumab                        | Inhibition of signal 2 in T-cells (binds to CD25, the alpha subunit of the IL-2 receptor) preventing IL-2-induced T-cell activation |
| **Pharmacological drugs**        |          |
| Corticosteroids                   | Inhibition of cytokine transcription by APCs |
| Azathioprine                      | Inhibition of nucleotide synthesis, blocking lymphocyte proliferation |
| Mycophenolic acid                 | Inhibition of nucleotide synthesis, blocking lymphocyte proliferation |
| Calcineurin inhibitors            | Inhibition of signal 2 transduction in T-cells [inhibits calcineurin via cyclophilin (cyclosporine A) or via FKBP12 (tacrolimus)], blocking IL-2 transcription |
| (cyclosporine A, tacrolimus)      | Inhibits dihydro-orotate dehydrogenase, interrupting de novo pyrimidine synthesis, thereby acting on both B-cells and T-cells beyond the early S phase of the cell cycle, differentially from calcineurin inhibitors |
| FK778 (manitimus)                 | Inhibition of signal 3 transduction in T-cells (inhibits mTOR), preventing IL-2-induced T-cell proliferation |
| **mTOR inhibitors (rapamycin, everolimus)** | Inhibition of signal 3 transduction in T-cells (inhibits mTOR), preventing IL-2-induced T-cell proliferation |

APC: Antigen-presenting cell; IL-2: Interleukin-2; mTOR: Mammalian target of rapamycin.

**METHODS TO MEASURE IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE POTENCY.**

**SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE FOR THE IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE AND IMMUNOREGULATORY POTENCY OF mTORI IN TRANSPLANTATION**

No standardized methods are available to measure the immunosuppressive potency of drugs that are used to improve transplantation outcomes. To date, routine clinical use of immunosuppressive drugs has relied on blood concentration measurements (pharmacokinetics) rather than on biologically relevant analysis of drug effects on immune-cell function (pharmacodynamics)[46,47]. However, several methods are used to evaluate and monitor the pharmacodynamics of immunosuppression in transplantation in the context of research studies[48]. Some of these methods include changes in lymphocyte markers, measure of cytokine levels, soluble CD30 or intracellular ATP.

The immunosuppressive potency of mTORi, such as rapamycin and everolimus, has been evaluated in several studies using various methods. The studies can be categorized into three groups: Studies that examined inhibition of T-lymphocyte proliferation, studies that analyzed inhibition of B-lymphocyte proliferation, and studies that evaluated immunoprotective capabilities.

**Measurement of changes in T-cell subsets: Inhibition of T-lymphocyte proliferation**

Fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis can be used for the quantification of T-lymphocyte subsets. This simple and sensitive method involves sorting and quantification of lymphocyte subsets by fluorescent labelling of cell surface markers. Using this approach, reductions in the number of regulatory T-cells have been reported in kidney transplant recipients in which recipients were treated with CNI compared with those patients treated with rapamycin[49]. One study that investigated inhibition of T-lymphocyte proliferation evaluated the pharmacodynamics of everolimus at varying doses (0.75-10 mg) when combined with cyclosporine A and prednisolone in human renal transplant recipients[50]. T-lymphocytes isolated from peripheral blood one day before everolimus treatment (baseline), 1 d after and 21 d later, were stimulated in vitro using monoclonal anti-CD3 antibodies. Lymphocyte proliferation was measured by cell viability using a colorimetric assay. In contrast to placebo, T-cell proliferation was significantly reduced by a single dose of everolimus by 2-6 h, but had returned to baseline values by 10 h. In addition, lymphocyte proliferation of everolimus-treated patients decreased significantly on day 1 after everolimus intake by 25.4% (P < 0.05), and on day 21 by 53.3% (P < 0.01) compared to placebo. Patients receiving a placebo showed no meaningful changes in lymphocyte proliferation rates over the whole study period. By day 42, 21 d after the last everolimus intake, decreased lymphocyte proliferation returned to baseline values. Moreover, everolimus reduced the production of IL-10 from supernatants of peripheral blood mononuclear cells, as measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent
assay (ELISA), by 23.7% on day 1 ($P < 0.05$) and 62.2% on day 21 ($P < 0.01$) in renal-allograft recipients compared to baseline. It is believed that IL-2 induces expression of IL-10$^{[11]}$. Thus, mTORi interfere with IL-2-dependent signal transduction and inhibit IL-10 expression.

Another study investigated the in vitro effects of several doses of everolimus and intravenous immunoglobulin, widely used for treatment of autoimmune and systemic inflammatory disorders$^{[42]}$, on induction of lymphocyte proliferation [by two-way mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR)] and apoptosis (by terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase dUTP nick-end labeling and annexin V assays$^{[30]}$]. Everolimus and intravenous immunoglobulin alone each inhibited cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner: Everolimus decreased it from 16% to 67%, and intravenous immunoglobulin from 12% to 66%. In addition, intravenous immunoglobulin induced apoptosis in B and T-cells, but everolimus did not. The study concluded that everolimus is a potent inhibitor of immune cell proliferation but does not act additively or synergistically with intravenous immunoglobulin under the in vitro conditions used in the study.

A prospective study determined whether systemic signatures of immunoregulation are promoted by switching liver transplant patients from treatment with the CNI tacrolimus to rapamycin$^{[41]}$. The investigators argued that immunosuppression withdrawal from CNI is possible in only approximately 20% of all liver transplant recipients. However, mTORi such as rapamycin appear to be more immunoregulatory than CNI and might promote a tolerant state to enable withdrawal. Several assays were conducted before and after converting to rapamycin treatment. Flow cytometry revealed a significant increase in the number of regulatory T-cells in peripheral blood mononucleated cells (PBMC) and in bone marrow, and
in the number of regulatory dendritic cells in PBMC after conversion. Immunohistochemical analysis of liver biopsy showed that the ratios of FOXP3:CD3 and CD4:CD8 were higher following conversion to rapamycin treatment, with an increase the proliferation of new or existing FOXP3+ cells. Both tacrolimus and rapamycin treatment were associated with inhibition of lymphocyte proliferation as measured by an MLR, although only tacrolimus suppressed regulatory T-cells generation. Finally, 289 novel genes and 22 proteins, some of which have been implicated in immunoregulatory pathways, were expressed after conversion to rapamycin treatment. The study concluded that conversion from tacrolimus to rapamycin treatment increases the number of systemic regulatory T-cells and regulatory dendritic cells, and induces an immunoregulatory proteogenomic signature in liver transplant recipients.

Another study evaluated the capacity of FK778 administered either alone or in combination with tacrolimus, rapamycin or everolimus, to inhibit the clonal expansion of T-lymphocytes and the expression of lymphocyte-activation antigens. FK778 is a malononitrilamide which has been found to prevent acute allograft rejection in multiple experimental transplantation models. Cell proliferation was assessed by ³H-thymidine incorporation in whole blood cultures stimulated with concanavalin A, whereas the effect on the alloresponse in a MLR, and the expression of lymphocyte surface antigens by flow cytometry. All four of the drugs showed a high capacity to inhibit lymphocyte proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, and FK778 had an additive effect when combined with the other three immunosuppressive drugs that is similar to that found in mycophenolic acid combinations. Furthermore, FK778 inhibited the expression of lymphocyte surface antigens that have been implicated in activation, co-stimulation and apoptosis of T-cells. The authors suggested that these combinations appear promising, especially the combination of FK778 and mTORi for transplant patients with renal failure, because they are non-nephrotoxic.

In another study, the potency and efficacy of different concentrations of cyclosporine A and tacrolimus, rapamycin and mycophenolate mofetil, administered alone or in combination, were analyzed to develop a human whole blood assay for flow cytometric assessment of T-cell function, proliferation and the expression of surface antigens. Whole cell cultures were stimulated with concanavalin A and then analyzed by flow cytometry to detect lymphocyte proliferation and activation by bivariate expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)/DNA content and T-cell-surface activation markers such as CD25, CD95 and CD154. Rapamycin alone had the most potent effect on proliferation of the drugs used in the study, followed by tacrolimus, cyclosporine A and mycophenolate mofetil, as rapamycin required a lower dose than the other drugs to achieve the same inhibition. In particular, rapamycin showed a synergistic effect on proliferation and activation marker expression when added to cyclosporine A at various concentrations. Rapamycin also synergistically inhibited proliferation and activation marker expression when combined with low concentrations of tacrolimus. However, when combined with high concentrations of tacrolimus, rapamycin acted antagonistically. Rapamycin combined with mycophenolate mofetil further increased the inhibition of lymphocyte function compared to treatment with either drug alone.

**Inhibition of B-lymphocyte proliferation**

As antibody-secreting plasma cells can develop from B-cells with or without the help of T-cells in response to donor antigens, it is imperative to understand the mode of drug action during B-lymphocyte differentiation (i.e., independent of drug effects on T-cells). Therefore, B-lymphocytes are therapeutic targets for immunosuppressive drugs. However, although T-cell assays such as the MLR (to measure proliferation) and ELISPOT (to measure cytokine production) have been well established, the B-cell responses have been more difficult to measure.

A study analyzing the effect of sotrastaurin (a protein kinase C inhibitor for the prevention of transplant rejection and treatment of psoriasis), mycophenolic acid or everolimus assessed proliferation, apoptosis, CD80/CD86 expression, and immunoglobulin and IL-10 production in primary stimulated B-cells in vitro. Additionally, B-cells were co-cultivated with pre-activated T-cells with anti-CD28 monoclonal antibody to evaluate the effects of these immunosuppressive drugs on T-cell-dependent immunoglobulin production.

Everolimus and mycophenolic acid but not sotrastaurin strongly inhibits B-cell functions in a dose-dependent manner, but all three agents decreased T-cell-dependent immunoglobulin production. The study concluded that although sotrastaurin can affect B-cell function only indirectly by suppressing T-cell help, everolimus and mycophenolic acid can inhibit humoral responses both directly and indirectly.

The effects of everolimus, mycophenolic acid, or prednisolone were analyzed in a three-step in vitro culture system developed to promote the proliferation and differentiation of peripheral CD19+ B-cells into plasma cells that produce IgG antibodies. The inhibitory effect of everolimus, mycophenolic acid, and prednisolone on cell proliferation was examined in each step of a three-step culture model. This culture model consisted of: B-cell activation (step 1, days 0-4), plasmablasts generation (step 2, days 4-7), and plasma cell generation (step 3, days 7-10). On day 10, IL-10 production was analyzed by ELISA and cell proliferation by flow cytometry analysis. Although both everolimus and mycophenolic acid efficiently
studies indicating that mTORi protect transplant recipients from later incidence of any cytomegalovirus event, infection. Patients treated with the everolimus regimen had a significantly lower incidence of any cytomegalovirus infection compared with everolimus and cyclosporine, or mycophenolate sodium, which did not affect cytomegalovirus-specific immunity against cytomegalovirus infection and disease, whereas it did not affect cytomegalovirus-specific immunity against cytomegalovirus infection and disease, whereas it did not affect cytomegalovirus-specific immunity against cytomegalovirus infection and disease, whereas it did not affect cytomegalovirus-specific immunity against cytomegalovirus infection and disease.

**Immunoprotection**

We have described the evidence that mTORi inhibit lymphocyte proliferation and cytokine and antibody production, but mTORi also induce other important immunomodulatory effects. As discussed above, mTORi selectively promote the expansion of regulatory T-cells, which may contribute to the immunoprotective effects of mTORi. In this section, we review studies indicating that mTORi protect transplant recipients from cytomegalovirus infection and disease, which is a major complication in transplant recipients, and how they aid in DNA repair, thereby lowering cancer risk.

A review explained how mTORi may increase immunity against cytomegalovirus infection. Specifically, activation of mTOR in host cells is essential for cytomegalovirus to propagate viral proteins successfully, even under conditions that normally block mTOR activity. A recent study investigated why patients treated with an mTORi are protected against cytomegalovirus disease, even while graft rejection is prevented. The study was conducted among renal transplant recipients who were treated with prednisolone, cyclosporine A, and mycophenolate sodium for the first 6 mo after transplantation, followed by double therapy with prednisolone and everolimus, prednisolone and mycophenolate sodium, or prednisolone and cyclosporine A. All patients tested cytomegalovirus-seropositive before transplantation. The study observed a significant increase in cytomegalovirus-specific effector-type CD27-CD8+ and CD28-CD27-CD4+ T-cell counts in patients treated with everolimus, but not among those treated with the other drugs. Furthermore, everolimus strongly inhibited allo-responses in vitro, whereas it did not affect cytomegalovirus-specific responses. Cyclosporine A and mycophenolate sodium dose-dependently reduced virus-specific proliferation, although less effectively as the allo-responses. Another study investigating cardiac transplant recipients treated with everolimus and cyclosporine, or mycophenolate mofetil and cyclosporine, achieved similar results related to cytomegalovirus infection. Patients in this study treated with the everolimus regimen had a significantly lower incidence of any cytomegalovirus event, infection or cytomegalovirus syndrome, than patients treated with the other regimen.

Other study compared the effect of rapamycin on CD8+ T-cells responding to a graft vs a pathogen using a transgenic mice system in which the same monoclonal TCR transgenic T-cells responded to a bacterial pathogen infection or a skin graft. Whereas treatment with rapamycin increased the antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell response to the pathogen, the same T-cell population did not show an enhanced response in the context of a graft.

The results of another study in mice treated with rapamycin have suggested that antigen-specific T-cells responding to a pathogen express CD62L, which is associated with the development of a memory phenotype, whereas antigen-specific T-cells responding to a graft do not express this marker. These results suggest that the conditions under which T-cells are stimulated can profoundly modify the impact of rapamycin on antigen-specific T-cell responses. The mechanism underlying this effect might be linked to the ability of rapamycin to enhance fatty acid oxidation in responding T-cells, and to reduce glucose utilization, a change that has been shown to be crucial for an effector-to-memory transition in CD8+ T-cells. Thus, minimizing the generation of memory cells by treatment with an mTORi could decrease graft rejection responses, and indirectly promote an environment where tolerance could be established.

**CONCLUSION**

In this review, we have discussed how the mTORi rapamycin and everolimus mediate a potent immunosuppression while concomitantly promoting the expansion and survival of CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ regulatory T-cells after transplantation, which could help to induce tolerance to the graft. However, although the tolerogenic properties of mTORi have been well demonstrated in rodent transplant models, they have not been shown to induce regulatory T-cell-mediated tolerance in humans. The pathogen-activated pro-inflammatory response in humans, which is enhanced by mTOR inhibition, may counterbalance the tolerogenic potential of regulatory T-cell expansion. Future immunomodulatory protocols based on mTORi should combine other immunomodulatory molecules to limit the capacity of mTORi to promote anti-pathogen responses while further supporting regulatory T-cell expansion and stability.

Our review of methods used to quantify the potency of immunosuppressive agents indicates that the available options are not yet sufficiently sensitive for that, or their utility is supported by only a few studies. Until better approaches are developed, a combination of methods may be the most effective way to accurately quantify the potency of immu-
nosuppressive agents. However, from the studies on immunosuppressive potency it can be deduced that mTORi are immunosuppressive drugs with significant power similar to that of CNI.
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