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Abstract: Monitoring and maintaining aquatic environment is the universal need and Underwater Acoustic Sensor Networks (UASN) is an emerging technology plays a major role in acoustic data acquisition. The data acquisition is challenging issue in UASN due to its communication characteristics. Though, there are several geo-opportunistic routing protocols were explored to improve the data acquisition it can be still improved by enhanced routing technique. The existing Geo-graphical depth adjustment routing (GEDAR) uses Global Positioning System (GPS) based notes for improving data acquisition, however it consumes more energy and increases overhead. We make an attempt to study about efficient data acquisition process and its path reliability. The proposed itinerary aware routing protocol (IARP) acquires neighboring node’s information for constructing efficient and reliable link with minimum information which improves data delivery ratio with minimum energy consumption. The proposed IARP increases 11% packet delivery ratio and reduces delay by 13%, and energy consumption by 9% comparing with existing GEDAR based algorithm. IARP also performs better than Depth based routing (DBR).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Around 66% of earth surface are represented by Oceans. Global production, absorption of carbon dioxide, climatic changes are mostly depends upon these ocean environments. Under water sensor network (UWSN) is a network of autonomous sensor nodes used to sense water quality, water temperature, and water pressure etc. The data sensed by each sensor is aggregated. Sonobuoys are the nodes placed on surface of the water which is utilized for collection of data from nodes placed under water and retransmit it to the central unit. Few characteristics of UWSN is similar to terrestrial sensor network, however UWSN uses acoustic channels instead of radio channels for communication between the nodes placed under water. These acoustic channels can support only lower bandwidth, huge propagation delay and more probability of error comparing with radio channels. Hence efficient UWSN is designed with large number of deployed nodes which can handle limited energy, less data storage, high probability of error and more latency. Acoustic signals support lower data rate compared with the electromagnetic signals, hence UWSNs have low data rate compared with terrestrial sensor networks.

UWSN has limited energy, less storage and low bandwidth, hence its real challenge to develop efficient Routing protocol for UAC which should increase packet delivery ratio, reduce energy consumption and delay. Several researchers proposed several routing protocols for UWSN. However it could be enhanced for better performance. In this paper, Itinerary aware routing protocol is proposed to increase data delivery and more energy efficiency and reduced delay.

In this paper, section II describes related works carried in data delivery of UWSN. Section III explains proposed Itinerary aware routing protocol. Section IV, draws the simulation results and section V describes conclusion of the paper.

II. RELATED WORKS

The characteristics and the difference between Two-dimensional architectures and three-dimensional architectures of underwater sensor networks are analyzed in [1]. They discussed about main challenges in under water network deployment and its efficiency. Multicarrier communication for high speed underwater acoustic communication was discussed in [2]. They utilized multicarrier communication for transfer of video and image, estimated Doppler shift and analyzed channel characteristics. Adaptive and efficient cost aware routing strategy is proposed for Multi hop wireless network by S.Lee et al[3] they proposed geographic routing with normalized advance (NADV) as link metric. They also suggest higher delivery ratio with frequent packet losses in high noise environments. Void nodes create huge packet loss in UWSN, hence D. Chen et al [4] addressed issues occurred due to void nodes and compared the characteristics of existing void handling techniques using planar graph, flooding, cost, geometric, heuristic and hybrid etc. Z. Shi et al[5] analyzed mobile UWSN with high propagation delay and increased node mobility using three dimensional network topology. They proposed Void Avoidance protocol using vector calculus to avoid void problem occurring in routing of mobile UWSNs. J.-H. Cui et al[6] analyzed 4D monitoring of local underwater events, they have utilized pressure levels to route data to Sonobuoys, they utilized hydraulic pressure is a cost metric for finding shortest path. S. Oktug et al [7] analyzed localization techniques and open research issues in UWSN. C. Detweiler et al [8] increased network life and decreased the communication cost of UWSN using two way communication techniques, they have proposed acoustic communication for the nodes under water and radio communication for surface nodes.
The routing algorithm which can predict mobility and location of underwater nodes was proposed by C. Bagtzoglou et al [9], which also support scalability. E. Cayirici et al[10] proposed an architecture for wireless sensor network to maximize coverage of underwater surveillance using three dimensional sensor deployment. J. Jaffe et al [11] analyzed sensor networks adapted for remote ocean exploration using human intervention and without human intervention.. K. Zhou Yu et al[12] analyzed localization of sensors using magnetometers which optimizes localization by minimizing error. P. D. Milica Stojanovic [13] analyzed the performance of acoustic channel using modified 3D mobility model which utilize the concept of lower current speeds with increased depth. R. W. L. Coutinho et al. [14] proposed Depth controlled routing protocol for UWSN, they have utilized vertical movement ability of sensor nodes. F. Kuhn et al[15] proposed geometric routing protocol using benefits of greedy and face algorithms.

In 1980s, packet radio network utilized position of the node as metric of routing algorithm. In geographic routing location information of node and its destination using global positioning system (GPS). In this type of routing message is routed to destination with the location information of destination without having much knowledge on topology of the network. A. Boukerche et al [16] proposed adjustable topology by moving void nodes to new depth in mobile UWSN, they also utilized greedy opportunistic forwarding for improving packet delivery ratio. Cross layer based reinforcement learning protocol proposed in [17] increases network complexity and reduce network life time. Rodolfo W. L. Coutinho et al [18] proposed routing protocol for UWSNs with adjustable topology named Geographic and opportunistic routing with Depth Adjustment (GEDAR). It recovers and re-routes the packets over void nodes, GEDAR forwards packets to next hop using localization information.. GEDAR avoids unnecessary retransmission of packets by classifying nodes with different priority level based on location. The node having lower priority stops transmission whenever the same packet is transmitted by high priority nodes. However, GEDAR has high storage overhead, more energy consumption and more delay. In this paper, Itinerary aware routing protocol (IARP) is proposed to improve reliability of data transfer with reduced energy consumption.

III. PROPOSED WORKS

The proposed Itinerary Aware Routing Protocol improves reliable data transfer by avoiding void nodes. This algorithm determines void nodes and estimates alternate next hop reliable and reachable path to gateways through improved probabilistic estimation. The proposed IARP improves efficiency of data forwarding with reduced overheads and retransmission. In proposed system each node predicts and updates its location information by its initial coarse location and updating information from other nodes. It avoids frequent location information from Global positioning system, which avoids unnecessary overheads and energy consumption.. The same packet forwarding of multiple nodes to Sonobuoys are avoided by collaborative communication between the nodes which reduces repeated data transfer and increases network life time. Fig 1 shows proposed Itinerary aware routing protocol architecture.

![Fig.1 Architecture of Itinerary Aware Routing Protocol](image1)

The proposed IARP considers mobility of nodes due to ocean current and other environmental forces acting on each node for predicting localization of each node which increases reliability of data transfer and improves robustness. The nodes having same information are not forwarded to sonobuoys which avoids duplicate data transfer and increases network life time. Fig 2 shows flow chart of proposed IARP.

![Fig.2 Flowchart of IARP](image2)
Link transmission reliability is estimated using equation (1),

$$\hat{Q}_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} 
a \times Q_{ij}(t) + (1-a) \times \hat{Q}_{ij}(t-1) & \text{if } t > 1 \\
Q_{ij}(t) & \text{if } t = 1 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$Q_{ij}$ is probability of obtaining reliable communication between node $i$ to $j$.

Reachability of next hop for forwarding is estimated using (2)

$$P(H_j | j) = \begin{cases} 
P(H_j \leq H_i) & \text{if } t > 1 \\
\sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{1}{H_i} & \text{if } t = 1 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Coverage probability estimated using equation (3)

$$P(C_j | j) = \begin{cases} 
C_j \times P(C_j \leq C_i) & \text{if } t > 1 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} C_i & \text{if } t = 1 
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

**IV. SIMULATION RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Simulation is used for the performance analysis of a created system model based on their functioning. Therefore, the assumption and estimation of a system can be achieved using the simulation results. The performance of the proposed IARP, existing DBR and GEDAR routing are verified using network stimulator NS2 software. The performance of proposed IARP is justified using energy consumption, end-to-end delay, throughput, Packet Delivery Ratio and Packet Loss. The parameters used for the simulation are given in the table 1.

| Table 1. Simulation Parameter |
|------------------------------|
| Simulation tool | NS2 |
| Software used | Linux/Windows XP |
| Channel | Acoustic channel(property) |
| Antenna | Omni directional antenna |
| Maximum packet | 1024 |
| Network interface type | Wireless physical layer |
| Number of nodes | 40 |
| X Co-ordinate | 1050 |
| Y Co-ordinate | 850 |
| Energy model | Coordinated energy model |
| Initial energy in joules | 100 |

**A. Energy Consumption and End-to-End Delay**

Fig. 3 shows the energy consumption of DBR, GEDAR and IARP. This shows that the consumption of energy decreases in IARP when compare to DBR and GEDAR.

**Fig 3. Energy consumption of DBR, GEDAR and IARP**

Since the proposed IARP avoids unwanted transmission to void node effectively. The location information is estimated using reachability and coverage probability which reduces End-to-End delay compared with DBR and GEDAR as shown in Fig 4.

**Fig 4. End-to-end delay of DBR, GEDAR and IARP**
B. Throughput and packet Delivery ratio

Fig 5 and Fig 6 show the throughput and packet delivery ratio of DBR, GEDAR and IARP. During the transmission of beacon packet the throughput of IARP and packet delivery ratio are increased than the DBR and GEDAR since IARP estimates reliable path and avoids void nodes which increases throughput and packet delivery ratio.

C. Routing Overhead and network lifetime

This Fig. 7 and 8 show the routing overhead and network lifetime of DBR, GEDAR and IARP. In DBR and GEDAR based routing location information of each node is transmitted to all node which increases routing overhead and decreases network life time. However, in IARP each node estimates its neighbor by reachability and probability hence the overhead get decreased and network life time increases.

V. CONCLUSION

The Itinerary aware routing protocol (IARP) is proposed to improve the reliable data delivery in under-water sensor networks and it is verified using simulation. IARP is a robust and scalable protocol which uses local information for predicting location and avoids redundancy in packet forwarding between the nodes and surface sonobuoys. Hence, required data transfer between sonobuoys and central station gets reduced which improves speed of data transfer.
Our simulation results show that itinerary aware routing protocols utilized efficient localization than the GEDAR routing protocols. Moreover, IARP improved the packet delivery ratio, throughput and the life time of network. IARP efficiently reduces the percentage of energy consumption when compared to GEDAR and depth adjustment routing.
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