Clinical and Vitamin Response to a Short-Term Multi-Micronutrient Intervention in Brazilian Children and Teens: From Population Data to Interindividual Responses

Mariana Giaretta Mathias, Carolina de Almeida Coelho-Landell, Marie-Pier Scott-Boyer, Sébastien Lacroix, Melissa J. Morine, Roberta García Salomão, Roseli Borges Donegá Toffano, Maria Olimpia Ribeiro do Vale Almada, Joyce Moraes Camarneiro, Elaine Hillesheim, Tamiris Trevisan de Barros, José Simon Camelo-Junior, Esther Campos Giménez, Karine Redeul, Alexandre Goyon, Emmanuelle Bertschy, Antoine Lévêques, Jean-Marie Oberson, Catherine Giménez, Jerome Carayol, Martin Kussmann, Patrick Descombes, Sylviane Métaïron, Colleen Fogarty Draper, Nelly Conus, Sara Colombo Mottaz, Giovanna Zambianchi Corsini, Stephanie Kazu Brandão Myoshi, Mariana Mendes Muniz, Lívia Cristina Hernandez, Vinícius Paula Venâncio, Lusania Maria Greggi Antunes, Rosana Queiroz da Silva, Taís Fontellas Laurito, Isabela Ribeiro Rossi, Raquel Ricci, Jéssica Ré Jorge, Mayara Leite Fagá, Driele Cristina Gomes Quinhoneiro, Mariana Chinarelli Reche, Paula Vitória Sozza Silva, Letícia Lima Falquetti, Thaís Helena Alves da Cunha, Thalia Manfrin Martins Deminice, Tâmara Hambürguer Tambellini, Gabriela Cristina Arces de Souza, Mariana Moraes de Oliveira, Vicky Nogueira-Pileggi, Marina Takemoto Matsumoto, Corrado Priami, Jim Kaput,* and Jacqueline Pontes Monteiro

Dr. M. G. Mathias, C. de Almeida Coelho-Landell, Dr. R. G. Salomão, R. B. D. Toffano, M. O. Ribeiro do Vale Almada, Dr. J. M. Camarneiro, E. Hillesheim, T. T. de Barros, Prof. J. S. Camelo-Junior, G. Z. Corsini, S. K. B. Myoshi, M. M. Muniz, R. O. da Silva, T. F. Laurito, I. R. Rossi, R. Ricci, J. R. Jorge, M. L. Fagã, D. C. G. Quinhoneiro, M. C. Reche, P. V. S. Silva, L. L. Falquetti, T. H. A. da Cunha, T. M. M. Deminice, T. H. Tambellini, G. C. A. de Souza, M. M. de Oliveira, V. Nogueira-Pileggi, M. T. Matsumoto, Dr. J. P. Monteiro, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Nutrition and Metabolism, University of São Paulo

[+]:Present address: Marie-Pier Scott-Boyer, University of Laval, Quebec Canada
[++] Present address: Sébastien Lacroix, Institut sur la Nutrition et les Aliments Fonctionnels (INAF), Université Laval, Québec, Canada
[+++++]:Present address: Jim Kaput, Vydiant, Gold River, CA 95670
[++++]:Present address: Nelly Conus, Bayer Consumer Care AG, Basel, Switzerland
[++++]:Present address: Vinicius Paula Venâncio, Department of Nutrition and Food Science, Texas A&M College Station Texas
[++++]:Present address: Corrado Priami. Department of Computer Science, University of Pisa, Italy

DOI: 10.1002/mnfr.201700613
1. Introduction

Micronutrients are indispensable bioactive compounds for maintaining health and resiliency. However, the need for using vitamin and mineral supplements in most developed and developing countries remains controversial despite their essential role in biochemical reactions. The “Enough is Enough” editorial [1] claiming that multiple micronutrient supplements were not needed in today’s food environment sparked robust rebuttals (e.g., ref. [2–4]).

Many of the controversies in this field stem from using chronic disease (e.g., ref. [5–8]) as an outcome for randomized clinical trials (RCTs; reviewed [9]) and observational studies (reviewed in Ref. [10]) because of (i) many factors that contribute to complex phenotypes, (ii) the (usually) long time necessary to develop disorders, (iii) differences between choice of micronutrients, their doses, and the length of observation, (iv) genetic and other differences between populations and between cases and controls, and (v) the inability to accurately measure exposure to environmental elements.

The debate on the value of multi-micronutrient supplements may be simplified by returning to first principles: (micro)nutrients and most bioactive components (i) are present in food in small amounts (nutrients are not drugs), (ii) act in concert by interacting with each other and other nutrients, and (iii) may require short to long latency to affect biochemistry or physiology depending on the nutrient(s), individual genetic makeup, and the complexity of the outcome (e.g., intermediate risk factor, chronic disease, or death). [11–13] Analyzing the effects of nutrients on human metabolism, that is, the design of human studies, should reflect these principles. With a few exceptions (e.g., ref. [14,15]) the current research paradigm is (usually) to (i) add one or a few micronutrients to existing diets, (ii) collect and analyze physiological data without (usually) placing the individual in the context of their environment (e.g., ref. [16–18]), (iii) use chronic disease or even death as an outcome, (iv) mask interindividual variation in diet intakes, genetic makeup, and metabolic physiology by (v) using randomized control experiments that determine population attributable risk [19] rather than individual risk or benefit.

We designed and conducted a multivitamin/mineral supplement intervention (Figure 1) in children and adolescents to address these limitations (Box 1). Our more comprehensive approach analyzed aggregated data from all participants but also allowed for analyzing individual responses, a variation of n-of-1 study designs [20–24] (reviewed in ref. [25]).

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Population

Children and adolescents were recruited from three schools in the west side of Ribeirão Preto (Administrative district 2)—see Supporting Information 1 for more detailed description of the built environment. Volunteers were of 9–13 years, 11 months, and 29 days old at study initiation and clinically stable. Participants underwent assessment by a pediatrician (i) at baseline to assess pubertal stage according to Tanner criteria [26] and (ii) at the three data collection visits to determine clinical status of the exclusion criteria. See Supporting Information 1 for exclusion criterion.

Enrolled participants signed the statement of informed assent and a parent of each participant signed informed consent. Participants received Institutional Review Board (Brazilian National Ethics Committee, CONEP 00969412.6.0000.5440) approved compensation as well as breakfast and lunch following blood draws on each assessment visit. The trial was registered on ClinTrials.gov (NCT01823744).

2.2. Study Design

The study design is described in Figure 1 and Box 1. The trial was conducted in the same period of the year (March to June) in two consecutive years (2013 and 2014). In order to achieve study objectives, the doses of micronutrients provided ≈100% daily

Scope: Micronutrients are in small amounts in foods, act in concert, and require variable amounts of time to see changes in health and risk for disease. These first principles are incorporated into an intervention study designed to develop new experimental strategies for setting target recommendations for food bioactives for populations and individuals.

Methods and results: A 6-week multivitamin/mineral intervention is conducted in 9–13 year olds. Participants (136) are (i) their own control (n-of-1); (ii) monitored for compliance; (iii) measured for 36 circulating vitamin forms, 30 clinical, anthropometric, and food intake parameters at baseline, post intervention, and following a 6-week washout; and (iv) had their ancestry accounted for as modifier of vitamin baseline or response. The same intervention is repeated the following year (135 participants). Most vitamins respond positively and many clinical parameters change in directions consistent with improved metabolic health to the intervention. Baseline levels of any metabolite predict its own response to the intervention. Elastic net penalized regression models are identified, and significantly predict response to intervention on the basis of multiple vitamin/clinical baseline measures.

Conclusions: The study design, computational methods, and results are a step toward developing recommendations for optimizing vitamin levels and health parameters for individuals.
Box 1: Features of the Experimental Design

- Used a multivitamin/mineral supplement containing ≈ 100% daily recommended allowances and less than upper tolerable level of each of 12 vitamins and five minerals for 5 days a week for 6 weeks.
- Monitored compliance to the intervention.
- The same intervention was repeated the next year to test the replicability of the results.
- Assessed effectiveness by comparing changes in clinical and omics variables at baseline, after the intervention, and following a 6-week washout period. Clinical results were available at the end of intervention yielding assessment of effectiveness of the intervention.
- Twenty-four-hour dietary recalls (24HR) and food frequency questionnaires (FFQ) were used to assess nutrient intakes at baseline, after the intervention, and following a washout. 
- An n-of-1 study with no control group but data analyzed by aggregation to determine population level responses as well as individual responses. Several statistical tests verified the effects of the intervention.
- Clinical and other responses to the intervention were correlated with comprehensive analyses of plasma vitamins, clinical variables, and genetic profiles. Individuals with similar baseline or like responses can be grouped based on these correlations.
- The diets of individuals aged 9–13 are often insufficient in micronutrients.[135]
- The study was conducted using principles and methods for community based participatory research [121–123] to accelerate the translation of research to knowledge for participants and their families.

2.3. Assessments, Blood Collection, Sample Analysis

Assessments (Figure 1) were conducted on Saturdays and Sundays for all time points with 60–70 participants on each day. Trained researchers performed all physiological measurements and interviews which included 24HR and FFQ, anthropometric measurements, and impedance analysis (see Methods in Supporting Information 1). The questionnaire for socioeconomic rating was from Associação Brasileira de Empresas de Pesquisa.[29]

Fasted (12 h) blood samples (total of 21 mL) were taken immediately after check-in procedures were completed. Blood was collected in EDTA tubes for metabolomics, proteomics, and RBC fatty acid profiling, in PAXgene for DNA analysis (additional 3 mL at baseline), and separately in ACD tubes for clinical biochemistry. Another blood sample was collected in heparin tubes (for NMR metabolomics) and frozen. All samples were coded at
the time of collection, centrifuged, aliquoted, and frozen at –80 °C for further analyses. Clinical biochemistry analyses were done immediately after the blood draw in the USP Hospital Clinical Laboratory using standard procedures on a Weiner Lab CT 600i (Diamond Diagnostics). The variables analyzed (Supporting Information 1, Table 2) and methods of analyses of micronutrients in plasma, genotype analysis, and admixture determination are described in Supporting Information 1.

2.4. Assessment of Dietary Habits

Food frequency questionnaires (FFQs)[30–32] and one 24HR[32,33] were used on the three assessment days to assess habitual dietary habits and day-before-blood-draw nutrient intake, respectively. Both methods were used because habitual diets assessed through FFQs are considered more relevant for studying gene–nutrient interactions while 24-h intakes may affect plasma metabolite levels [reviewed in ref. [34]]. Energy intakes are often overestimated with FFQs[30,35] compared to 24HR (which was found in this study, manuscript in preparation). Since under and over reporting in food intake studies are well known,[36–42] participants who reported consuming less than 0.79 or above 2.4 times their basal metabolic rate calculated using Schofield formula[43] were therefore excluded from diet-related analysis.[44,45] Eighty-five participants in 2013 and 84 in 2014 were included to generate the aggregated FFQ food intake results.[46,47] DietWin Professional software version 2011 (www.dietwin.com.br) was used for analyzing 24HR and FFQ dietary intake data (see Supporting Information 1 for more details). The nutritive value of the foods was analyzed for energy, minerals, and macro- and micronutrients. Values were adjusted for total energy intake prior to analysis. Revised Brazilian healthy eating index (HEI) scores were derived using the 24-hr intake records[48–51] from the 3 assessment days.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses (Figure 1) were performed with R statistical software version 3.0.1. Nonparametric Mann–Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for between-group and cohort comparisons, respectively. Chi-square tests were used to compare distribution of categorical variables (i.e., sex and Tanner scores). Nominal association was set to 5% for unadjusted p-values. When specified, p-values were corrected for multiple testing calculating the false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-values using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure[52] and the significance threshold set to 0.1, except where noted otherwise. All values are reported as median [1st quartile–3rd quartile] except where noted otherwise.

Vitamin and clinical variables were excluded if there were more than 25% missing values at any time point. Outliers were identified using principal component analysis for clinical and vitamin parameters separately and for each time point. Outliers were defined as samples falling outside of the 99% confidence ellipse at any time point. Six individuals were identified as statistical outliers for clinical variables in 2013 and three individuals in 2014. Six individuals were statistical outliers for circulating vitamin levels in 2013 and seven individuals in 2014. These subjects were excluded from further analyses.

2.6. Regression to the Mean

The algorithm of Ostermann et al.[53] assesses the significance of intervention after accounting for regression to the mean (RTM), which may occur in situations of repeated measurements when extreme values have a tendency to regress to the population mean. This method required specification of the “true” population mean for each analyzed variable. Due to the lack of population-level assessments on the many of the measurements described here, averages of values obtained at study initiation (time point 1) and following washout (time point 3) were used as true population mean for each response variable.

2.7. Prediction Model of Response to Intervention

We also performed an analysis to identify predictive models that explain the variability in response to intervention. The 2013 data were used as the training set and therefore z-score normalized and then tested on 2014 results by normalizing that data using 2013 scaling values (mean and SD). We performed elastic net regression to explore whether multiple variables (including baseline clinical biochemistry, blood vitamin levels, and dietary intakes) could explain variation in response to intervention in each of clinical endpoint using the glmnet R package. We used ten-fold cross-validation for selection of lambda (and thus severity of penalty) that minimized mean squared error. We used these results to build models to predict response of each clinical variable and vitamin (with response defined as change between visit 1 (V1) and 2 (V2)—i.e., V2–V1) from baseline clinical biochemistry and vitamin levels.

For each response variable, elastic net coefficients were then estimated with 1000 bootstrapped samples of 2013 data using the approach proposed by Bach[55] that included ten clinical response variables, age, sex, BMI, plasma levels of 14 vitamins, four subclasses of vitamins, and three minerals since these variables are associated with physiological effects. Each bootstrapped model was then tested for accuracy of prediction of 2014 response to intervention to determine model performance. Accuracy was defined as Pearson correlation coefficient and p-value from Pearson correlation test between predicted and observed 2014 response to intervention. All p-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini & Hochberg procedure[56] and a significance threshold was set at $p = 0.1$. A simple model was also tested that included only a variable’s own baseline as predictor (e.g., glucose response–glucose baseline), to compare with the more complex models. We assessed (i) prediction of blood vitamin response to intervention from baseline clinical and vitamin levels, and (ii) prediction of clinical response to intervention from vitamin response to intervention.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline Population Characteristics

Of the 141 and 139 children and adolescents enrolled in 2013 and 2014, respectively, 136 and 135 participants completed all
assessments and were included in subsequent analyses (Figure 1 and Supporting Information Table S3). After removing outliers and siblings, 120 and 133 participants were analyzed for 2013 and 2014 (Table 1). The percentages of this cohort who were severely thin, underweight, eutrophic, overweight, and obese, was similar between years and 2 year averages were 2.5, 9.3, 44.8, 21.4, and 21.8%, respectively. Almost 16% of all participants were classified as having dyslipidemias (Supporting Information Table S3), defined for Brazilian children in ref. [57]. Waist circumference and fat mass were similar between years as were fasting glucose and lipid profiles (Table 1). Baseline values of a subset of clinical parameters (Table 1, marked by *) and plasma levels of vitamins and minerals (Table 2, marked by letters) were significantly different between years. These differences could not be explained by age, gender, pubertal status, or corrected HEI dietary intake scores differences (see 3.2) and may reflect variations in unmeasured environmental factors.

### 3.2. Dietary Habits and HEI Quality

Variation of energy, carbohydrate, protein, total fat, vitamins, and minerals intakes between time points were also analyzed (data not shown); dietary habits did not change during the course of the intervention and washout periods in 2013 while only intakes of saturated fat and calcium increased ($p < 0.1$) during washout period in 2014 after removing over- and under-reporters and statistical outliers. Usual dietary habits, estimated by averaging all time points per year, were similar in reported total energy and macronutrient intake between years. Average intakes of polyunsaturated fat, β-carotene and retinol (vitamin A), vitamin C, and heme iron were higher while total fiber was lower in 2013 ($p < 0.05$; Supporting Information Table S4). Total scores for the revised Brazilian HEI were 53.7 [46.2–60.7] and 54.5 [47.5–63.8] for 2013 and 2014, respectively ($p > 0.05$), corresponding to suboptimal diets with a lack of vegetables, fruits, and whole cereals (detailed in a separate, submitted manuscript).

### 3.3. Variability of Baseline Vitamin Levels

The circulating levels of most vitamins were below normal ranges for a pediatric and adolescent population based on best available reference values (Table 2) as per the Center for Disease Control and Prevention cutoff references values,[58] which ranged from 2.1% for vitamin B12 to 23.1% for α-tocopherol (Table 3). These data suggest that the study cohort had hidden hunger,[59,60] defined as sufficient energy intake but with insufficient consumption of micronutrients. None of the baseline variables correlated with age, anthropometric measurements, diet, and only

| Table 1. Baseline demographics, anthropometric, and clinical characteristics of participants in 2013 ($n=120$) and 2014 ($n=133$). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| $n$ (outliers removed)                                      | Median v1 2013 | Q1–Q3 2013 | Median v1 2014 | Q1–Q3 2014 | Mann–Whitney p-Value 2013 versus 2014 |
| Sex (% female)                                               | 120           | –          | 133           | –          | –                                      |
| Tanner Score (for stages 1/2/3/4/5)*                        | 12/52/40/12/3 | –          | 7/33/90/30/1 | –          | –                                      |
| Age (years)*                                                | 12.1          | 11.1–12.9  | 12.52         | 11.7–13.5  | 1.5 $\times 10^{-04}$                  |
| Weight (kg)                                                 | 44.6          | 36.5–57.8  | 45.5          | 38.5–59.1  | 3.3 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Height (cm)*                                                | 152.6         | 146.7–158.9| 154.8         | 150.1–159.1| 2.4 $\times 10^{-02}$                  |
| BMI (kg m$^{-2}$)                                           | 19.2          | 16.3–22.5  | 19.0          | 16.6–23.4  | 8.9 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Waist circumference (cm)                                    | 71.2          | 62.3–80.7  | 66.5          | 60.7–83.1  | 1.9 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Socioeconomic status (A1/A2/B1/B2/C1/C2/D)*                 | 0/6/19/42/33/14/4 | – | 0/4/17/45/37/18/9 | – | 2.3 $\times 10^{-01}$ |
| Fat free mass (% of body weight)                            | 75.8          | 68.6–81.0  | 76.8          | 72.0–78.5  | 2.1 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Fat mass (% of body weight)                                 | 24.1          | 19.8–30.0  | 23.2          | 18.8–30.0  | 2.4 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Glucose (mg dl$^{-1}$)                                      | 91.5          | 89.0       | 94            | 90.0       | 9.4 $\times 10^{-02}$                  |
| Total cholesterol (mg dl$^{-1}$)                            | 167           | 144.8–179.5| 161           | 141–175.5  | 2.5 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg dl$^{-1}$)                              | 106.5         | 86.8–116.5 | 100           | 83–106.8   | 2.1 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg dl$^{-1}$)                              | 45.5          | 38.8–53.0  | 45            | 39.0–52.0  | 8.5 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Triglycerides (mg dl$^{-1}$)                                 | 68            | 48.0–82.3  | 60            | 46.0–90.8  | 1.4 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Mean corpuscular volume (fl)*                               | 85.35         | 82.4–87.7  | 82.65         | 81.0–84.7  | <1 $\times 10^{-02}$                   |
| Mean corpuscular hemoglobin (pg)*                           | 28.25         | 27.2–29    | 30.2          | 29.4–31.0  | <1 $\times 10^{-02}$                   |
| Basophils (% of total white blood cells)*                   | 1.11          | 0.9–1.5    | 1.3           | 1.1–1.6    | <1 $\times 10^{-02}$                   |
| Platelets (number of cells x 10$^{12}$/μL)*                 | 277           | 243–323    | 250           | 222–292    | <1 $\times 10^{-02}$                   |
| Albumin (g dl$^{-1}$)                                       | 4.5           | 4.4–4.7    | 4.5           | 4.4–4.7    | 9.8 $\times 10^{-01}$                  |
| Calcium (mmol L$^{-1}$)*                                    | 10.4          | 10.2–10.5  | 10.8          | 10.3–10.5  | 1.7 $\times 10^{-06}$                  |
| Iron (mg dl$^{-1}$)*                                        | 93            | 73.0–110.2 | 82            | 64.0–108.8 | 4.1 $\times 10^{-03}$                  |
| Phosphate (mg dl$^{-1}$)*                                   | 4.9           | 4.6–5.2    | 4.7           | 4.4–5.3    | 2.5 $\times 10^{-02}$                  |

a) Significant difference between year marked by.

**Table 1.** Baseline demographics, anthropometric, and clinical characteristics of participants in 2013 ($n=120$) and 2014 ($n=133$).
Table 2. Baseline vitamin levels of participants in 2013 and 2014 and normal population ranges.a)

| Vitamin (μg mL⁻¹) | Median 2013(b) | Q1–Q3 | Median 2014(b) | Q1–Q3 | Mean or Median Ref(i) | 95% CI Ref | Age Year | Ref. |
|-------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|----------|------|
| Retinol (μg mL⁻¹) (Vit A) | 0.3 (n = 106) | 0.3–0.4 | 0.4(j) (n = 96) | 0.3–0.5 | 0.36 | 0.36–0.37 | 6–11 | [14] |
| β-Carotene (μg mL⁻¹) (Vit A precursor) | 0.2 (n = 103) | 0.1–0.3 | 0.2 (n = 94) | 0.1–0.3 | 0.13 | 0.12–0.14 | 6–11 | [14] |
| Thiamine (Vit B1) | 3.3 (n = 73) | 2.5–4.3 | 2.7 (n = 74) | 2.4–3.4 | 6.8 | 4.5–7.0 | 5–12 | [129] |
| Thiamine monophosphate (Vit B1) | 8.5 (n = 105) | 6.0–11.4 | 10.0(k) (n = 93) | 7.6–14.1 | 6.8 | 5.8–9.0 | 5–12 | [129] |
| Thiamine triphosphate (Vit B1) | 4.6 (n = 4) | 4.07–5.28 | 3.3 (n = 80) | 2.8–3.8 | 9.0 | 8.4–10.7 | 5–12 | [129] |
| Riboflavin (Vit B2) | 10.8 (n = 98) | 8.0–15 | 12.1 (n = 92) | 8.2–16.5 | 20.1 ± 3.0 | 12.5–44.6 | 10–18 | [130] |
| Flavin adenine dinucleotide (Vit B2) | 44.8 (n = 100) | 36.0–52.0 | 31.9(l) (n = 95) | 28.1–36.9 | 35.0 | 30.0–120.0 | 10–18 | [130] |
| Flavin mononucleotide (Vit B2) | 10.6 (n = 98) | 8.2–13.7 | 8.0(m) (n = 79) | 6.7–10.2 | 13.0 ± 0.7 | 10.2–18.4 | 10–18 | [130] |
| Nicotinamide (Vit B3) | 384.0 (n = 106) | 310.0–454.0 | 446.5(n) (n = 97) | 369–521.2 | 261.0 ± 217 | 20–34 | [133] |
| Nudifloramide (Vit B3) | 870.0 (n = 106) | 656.0–1305.0 | 943.5 (n = 97) | 691.0–1397.0 | no ref | – | – | – |
| Pantothentic Acid (Vit B5) | 212.0 (n = 106) | 180.0–260.0 | 197.0(o) (n = 96) | 168.5–234.5 | no ref | – | – | – |
| Pyridoxic Acid (Vit B6)(l) | 16.8 (n = 106) | 12.3–23.0 | 22.4 (n = 68) | 17.3–26.8 | 23.5 | 21.8–25.5 | 6–11 | [134] |
| Pyridoxal (Vit B6) | 7.3 (n = 104) | 5.9–9.4 | 7.9 (n = 97) | 6.2–9.5 | 21.1 | Range 8.8–58.7 | 1–18 | [132] |
| Pyridoxal 5′-phosphate (Vit B6)(l) | 32.9 (n = 76) | 24.4–44.4 | 32.7 (n = 88) | 24.8–45.7 | 33.9 | Range 20.5–151 | 1–18 | [132] |
| Folate (ng mL⁻¹) (Vit B9) | 4.9 (104) | 3.8–6.5 | 4.17(p) (95) | 3.2–5.3 | 16.1 | 15.6–16.6 | 6–11 | [135] |
| S–Methyl-tetrahydrofolic acid (Vit B9) | 21.1 (n = 104) | 12.4–29.6 | 20.8 (n = 95) | 10.0–31.1 | 91.0 | Low–High 26.4–219.7 | 11–15.9 | [133] |
| Para–aminobenzoylglutamic acid (Vit B9)(l) | 3.6 (n = 51) | 2.7–4.7 | 7.3 (n = 97) | 4.7–9.8 | 11.9 ± 7.6 | 11.2 | 11.0–11.5 | 12–19 | [134] |
| Cobalamin (pg mL⁻¹) (Vit B12) | 371 (n = 105) | 290.8–464.5 | 410(q) (n = 96) | 319–550 | 728 | 713–743 | 6–11 | [136] |
| 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25-OH-VitD3) | 64 (n = 106) | 54.7–82.4 | 70.2 (n = 96) | 56.8–81.2 | 63.8 | 61.6–66.1 | 6–11 | [134] |
| α-Tocopherol (μg mL⁻¹) (Vit E) | 5.8 (n = 104) | 5.1–6.8 | 6.2 (n = 97) | 5.4–7.0 | 8.2 | 8.0–8.4 | 6–11 | [134] |
| γ-Tocopherol (μg mL⁻¹) (Vit E) | 0.8 (n = 106) | 0.5–1.1 | 0.8 (n = 97) | 0.7–1.1 | 1.82 | 1.7–1.9 | 6–11 | [134] |

a) Although 26 circulating forms were analyzed, 22 had detectable levels or greater than 25% missing values at any time point.
b) All values are in nmol L⁻¹. Values in parentheses represent the number of individuals included in analysis.
c) Mann–Whitney FDR adjusted p-value < 0.05 versus 2013.
d) Mann–Whitney FDR adjusted p-value < 0.1 versus 2013.
e) Values from ref are geometric means.
f) Variables were not considered in other analyses since they had more than 25% missing values at any time point.

Table 3. Prevalence of deficiencies based on CDC cutoffs.a)

| Vitamin (μg mL⁻¹) | Median 2013 | Q1–Q3 | Median 2014 | Q1–Q3 | Cutoff | Deficiencies 2013 (%) | Deficiencies 2014 (%) |
|-------------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Folate (ng mL⁻¹) | 4.9 | 3.8–6.5 | 4.17(f) | 3.2–5.3 | < 2 | 4.8 (n = 104) | 7.4 (n = 95) |
| Retinol (μg mL⁻¹) | 0.3 | 0.3–0.4 | 0.4(j) | 0.3–0.5 | < 0.2 | 3.8 (n = 106) | 3.1 (n = 96) |
| α-Tocopherol (μg mL⁻¹) | 5.8 | 5.1–6.8 | 6.2 | 5.4–7.0 | < 5.0 | 23.1 (n = 104) | 15.5 (n = 97) |
| Vit B12 (pg mL⁻¹) | 371 | 290.8–464.5 | 410(m) | 319–550 | < 200 | 7.6 (n = 105) | 2.1 (n = 94) |
| 25 OH VitD3 (nmol L⁻¹) | 64 | 54.7–82.4 | 70.2 | 56.8–81.2 | < 50 | 10.4 (n = 106) | 13.5 (n = 96) |

a) From Appendix C of [58] Note that CDC references are from serum rather than plasma used in this study.
b) Mann Whitney FDR adjusted p-value < 0.05 vs. 2013.
vitamin D showed an association with sex: 25-hydroxy-vitamin D$_3$ was significantly lower in females (median = 61 and 68 for 2013 and 2014, respectively) than males (median = 74 and 71 for 2013 and 2014, respectively). Certain circulating metabolites were statistically correlated with other metabolites (nutrient–metabolite or nutrient–nutrient interactions; Supporting Information Figures S4–S9) in one or both years, but none of these correlations were significant after multiple testing.

We tested the relationship between an individual’s genetic ancestry and micronutrient levels because the Brazilian population is highly admixed. Linear regressions between ancestral components ($Q$ model [Figure 2A] and baseline vitamin levels showed higher thiamine monophosphate (TMP) levels (Figure 2B) with higher European ancestry (component $Q=1$). Plasma vitamin B12 (Figure 2C) was negatively associated with increasing Native American ancestry ($Q=5$). Finally, Native American ancestry was associated with lower baseline folate levels ($Q=5$, Figure 2D) and greater response to the intervention ($Q=5$, Figure 2E).

### 3.4. Effect of Intervention on Clinical Parameters, Vitamin Levels, Population Level Dyslipidemia, and Interindividual Response

A published algorithm$^5$ tested the response to the intervention for RTM. LDL–cholesterol (LDL-c), mean corpuscular volume (MCV), albumin, glucose, and the levels of nine circulating vitamin forms were significantly influenced by the intervention in both years (Table 5) after accounting for RTM. Other vitamins passed the RTM in 1 year but not both (data not shown). The fasting levels of LDL-c, glucose, and albumin decreased, while MCV increased during the intervention in both years (Table 5). Flavine mononucleotide (FMN), nudifloramide (N-methyl-2-pyridoxone-5-carboxamide), pantothenic acid, pyridoxal, α-tocopherol, total folate, and vitamin B12 increased in both years during the intervention and, in most cases, returned toward baseline values after the washout (Table 5). The levels of γ-tocopherol and calcium decreased during the intervention. The concentrations of α- and γ-tocopherols are known to be inversely correlated.$^6$ Permutation testing was also conducted to confirm the effect of the intervention and showed that variations in LDL-c (Supporting Information Figure S1), albumin, total cholesterol, and MCV (data not shown) exceeded random effect.

The micronutrient supplement improved markers of dyslipidemia in a high percentage of individuals between baseline and postintervention (Table 4) although a few individuals had increased dyslipidemia markers (Table 4). These analyses did not exclude statistical outliers and siblings since the clinical definition of dyslipidemia is based on cutoff values for individuals.$^7$

Interindividual variability occurred in every parameter tested (data not shown). Assessing the biological relevance of the response of each metabolite is challenging since in most cases, the day-to-day measurement variation of these variables are often unknown especially in healthy, age-matched subjects. An
exception is LDL, which typically varies by less than 10%. Using this stringent range of variation, three LDL response groups were identified (Figure 3): (i) individuals whose LDL decreased by more than 10% (responders), (ii) those in whom LDL increased by more than 10% (opposite responders), and (iii) those with LDL variations in between those limits (non-responders). The small number of opposite responders precluded statistical analysis to determine correlations with anthropometric or other biochemical measurements. Nevertheless, we identified a plausible mechanism for variation in LDL levels by (i) using a published cofactor protein network and analysis of 515 cofactor connected proteins involved using DAVID annotation (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) and ref. [64] and MetaCore tools (www.portal.gene.com). A network was identified for sterol metabolism (highest Benjamini & Hochberg corrected $p = 9.7 \times 10^{-20}$) that linked control of LDL catabolism through the liver X receptor with six replicated plasma vitamins analyzed in this study (Supporting Information Figures S2 and S3). We chose not to estimate expected day-to-day variation for other metabolic markers since their ranges in comparable cohorts are not available (i.e., healthy and age-matched subjects).

### 3.5. Modeling the Variability of the Response to Intervention

To explain individual responses and identify variables that might contribute to responses of measured metabolites, bootstrapped

---

**Table 4. Changes in Dyslipidemia from baseline to after intervention.**

| Lipid          | Age  | Dyslipidemia Cutoff | Above Cutoff @ Baseline | Below Cutoff After Intervention | % Decrease |
|----------------|------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Total cholesterol | 9–13 | > 200 mg dL$^{-1}$ | 34 (280)                | 19 (275)                        | 55.9       |
| LDL            | 9–13 | > 130 mg dL$^{-1}$ | 42 (280)                | 21 (275)                        | 50.0       |
| Triglyceride   | 9    | > 100 mg dL$^{-1}$ | 1 (8)                   | 1 (7)                           | 100.0      |
| Triglyceride   | 10–13| > 130 mg dL$^{-1}$ | 21 (272)                | 10 (268)                        | 47.8       |

---

**Table 5. Effect of intervention above regression to the mean for participants in 2013 and 2014.**

| Variable | Intervention 2013 | Washout 2013 | Intervention 2014 | Washout 2014 |
|----------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
|          | Effect            | p-Value      | Effect            | p-Value      | Effect            | p-Value      | Effect            | p-Value      |
| Albumin (g dL$^{-1}$) | -0.04 | $9.98 \times 10^{-04}$ | -0.02 | $1.69 \times 10^{-02}$ | -0.06 | $3.67 \times 10^{-01}$ | -0.006 | $6.59 \times 10^{-01}$ |
| Basophils (10$^3$ mm$^{-3}$) | -0.08 | $2.48 \times 10^{-02}$ | 0.06 | $1.16 \times 10^{-01}$ | -0.08 | $1.37 \times 10^{-02}$ | 0.006 | $8.57 \times 10^{-01}$ |
| Calcium (mmol L$^{-1}$) | -0.12 | $1.91 \times 10^{-05}$ | 0.06 | $1.66 \times 10^{-02}$ | -0.51 | $8.51 \times 10^{-07}$ | -0.397 | $2.38 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| Glucose (mg dL$^{-1}$) | -2.06 | $4.78 \times 10^{-04}$ | -0.46 | $2.83 \times 10^{-01}$ | -1.37 | $8.74 \times 10^{-03}$ | 0.306 | $5.61 \times 10^{-01}$ |
| LDL-Cholesterol (mg dL$^{-1}$) | -8.08 | $3.80 \times 10^{-10}$ | 0.11 | $9.27 \times 10^{-01}$ | -4.17 | $3.70 \times 10^{-04}$ | -4.823 | $7.64 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| Mean corpuscular volume (fl) | 1.39 | $1.13 \times 10^{-22}$ | -2.83 | $6.08 \times 10^{-47}$ | 0.59 | $6.11 \times 10^{-13}$ | -0.583 | $8.18 \times 10^{-08}$ |
| FMN (nmol L$^{-1}$) | 2.66 | $6.45 \times 10^{-01}$ | -1.50 | $2.13 \times 10^{-04}$ | 2.66 | $5.12 \times 10^{-05}$ | -2.293 | $1.04 \times 10^{-06}$ |
| Nudifloramide (nmol L$^{-1}$) | 351.6 | $1.82 \times 10^{-10}$ | -159.36 | $2.75 \times 10^{-01}$ | 384.7 | $1.32 \times 10^{-04}$ | -151.174 | $4.18 \times 10^{-05}$ |
| Pantothenic Acid (nmol L$^{-1}$) | 146.3 | $5.59 \times 10^{-46}$ | -52.12 | $1.03 \times 10^{-05}$ | 80.44 | $1.26 \times 10^{-13}$ | -15.148 | $2.62 \times 10^{-01}$ |
| Pyridoxal (nmol L$^{-1}$) | 5.71 | $2.28 \times 10^{-02}$ | 0.72 | $8.85 \times 10^{-02}$ | 3.52 | $4.96 \times 10^{-11}$ | -2.344 | $7.33 \times 10^{-22}$ |
| α-Tocopherol (μg mL$^{-1}$) | 0.47 | $2.54 \times 10^{-04}$ | -0.94 | $7.86 \times 10^{-16}$ | 0.59 | $2.90 \times 10^{-04}$ | 0.006 | $9.67 \times 10^{-01}$ |
| γ-Tocopherol (μg mL$^{-1}$) | -0.20 | $1.31 \times 10^{-09}$ | -0.01 | $5.38 \times 10^{-01}$ | -0.12 | $9.58 \times 10^{-05}$ | 0.095 | $7.23 \times 10^{-03}$ |
| S-methyltetrahydrofolic acid | 6.38 | $1.61 \times 10^{-10}$ | -4.32 | $2.99 \times 10^{-09}$ | 4.30 | $4.83 \times 10^{-03}$ | 0.053 | $9.64 \times 10^{-01}$ |
| Folate (ng mL$^{-1}$) | 1.87 | $1.56 \times 10^{-12}$ | -0.34 | $1.67 \times 10^{-07}$ | 0.79 | $1.17 \times 10^{-04}$ | -0.373 | $5.88 \times 10^{-02}$ |
| Vitamin B12 (pg mL$^{-1}$) | 68.87 | $1.49 \times 10^{-04}$ | -45.18 | $2.39 \times 10^{-04}$ | 69.07 | $1.45 \times 10^{-03}$ | -21.144 | $1.83 \times 10^{-01}$ |

All variables are in nmol L$^{-1}$ except where noted otherwise.
Interindividual variability in response to intervention for LDL. We have identified individuals whose response exceeded normal within individual day-to-day variation (reported to be 10%[62]). Green: opposite-responders, gray: non-responders, and orange: responders to intervention.

3.6. Prediction of Vitamin Response to Intervention

Enet predictions of vitamin response (Figure 4A) performed well, producing consistently significant predictive models for nicotinamide (Figure 5A, B), γ-tocopherol (Supporting Information Figure S10), and iron (Supporting Information Figure S11). The best performing nicotinamide response enet model (score 0.742; adjusted $p = 1.78 \times 10^{-11}$) had a negative coefficient for baseline nicotinamide, vitamin D3, and age (retained in 100.0, 98.9, and 90.1% of bootstraps, respectively). Predictors with positive coefficients for predicting nicotinamide response included platelets, calcium, and iron (retained in 99.7, 95.1, 89.6 of bootstraps, respectively; Figure 5A).

3.7. Prediction of Clinical Response to Intervention

Although no predictive model of clinical responses met the significance threshold for all bootstraps, the model for glucose response significantly predicted 2014 response in 95.5% of cases. The best performing elastic net model for glucose response (Figure 4B) scored 0.706 ($p = 1.11 \times 10^{-09}$) with every
Figure 4. Comparison of elastic net and simple model performance across bootstrapped analyses. A) Vitamin and B) clinical variable response. Model performance is shown for each response variable and modeling approach. Performance is measured as a correlation between predicted and observed 2014 response to intervention.
Figure 5. Modeling for nicotinamide response. A) Fitted coefficients and frequency (in parentheses) of predictor variables across 1000 bootstrapped analyses. B) Observed versus predicted response to intervention across bootstrapped analyses. Each boxplot corresponds to an individual participant, and thus shows variation in predicted response for each individual across the bootstrapped analyses.
bootstrapped model including baseline glucose as predictor (Supporting Information Figure S12) with a negative coefficient, indicating that lower baseline glucose is predictive of a greater positive glycemia change. γ-Tocopherol and HDL-cholesterol were retained in 83.6 and 73.2% of the bootstrapped models, respectively, with negative coefficients in most cases. The models retained mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), vitamin D3, and triglycerides (82.2, 75.5, and 75.4%, respectively), all with positive coefficients.

Two models of basophil response (0.2% of bootstraps) failed to meet our threshold for prediction of 2014 response (Figure 4B). The best performing model scored 0.49 (p = 5.58 × 10−04) (Supporting Information Figure S13). Baseline basophil levels were retained in all bootstraps with a negative coefficient, while positive coefficients were typically estimated for FMN (86.9% of bootstraps), TMP (76.4%), and glycemia (72.8%). Triglycerides (78.6%) typically had negative fitted coefficients.

4. Discussion

The study described here was devised to assess physiological changes in response to adding ≈100% DRIs of 12 vitamins and five minerals for 6 weeks for individuals consuming a free-living diet. Others have also analyzed the effect of different 24-ingredient unsupervised nutrient interventions lasting 24 weeks[15] or 1 year[14] on intermediate risk factors of disease in adults. In contrast, many studies use one to several micronutrients and either intermediate risk factors or reduction in chronic disease as outcomes (reviewed in refs. [5–10, 64, 66]). We highlight key results of our study focusing on organic vitamin results. Given word limitations, we provide additional discussion in Supporting Information 1.

4.1. Population Anthropometric and Dietary Data

The 9–13 year old participants in this study had a high prevalence[67] of overweight and obesity based on waist circumference and body fat mass above normal.[88] These conditions are consistent with the increased incidence of the metabolic syndrome in adolescents in developed and in developing countries.[69–72] Total energy, carbohydrate, protein, and fat consumption in the studied population approximated the consumption for children and adolescents reported in NHANES.[71] The average HEI (54.4 ± 7.5 averaged over both years) revealed a poor quality of diet among the participants similar to those reported in other nutritional studies in Brazil and other countries.[72–75] The majority of participants in this study are in the middle segment of the socioeconomic spectrum with access to affordable fresh and whole foods (manuscript in preparation). Poor diets may contribute to dyslipidemia found in this and other populations of similar ages.[76]

4.2. Population Vitamin Status

The ‘normal’ range in levels of many of the 36 circulating vitamins have not been well characterized in this[76,77] or other age groups. Environment (ref. [78] and references therein) and physiological state (e.g., obesity)[79–82] may alter the plasma levels of micronutrients. Given these caveats, high percentages of this cohort had micronutrient insufficiencies or deficiencies compared to other populations based on the best available reference data. The National Demographic Survey of Child and Women’s Health in Brazil showed that 17.4% of children had inadequate levels of vitamin A[83] compared to 3.5% (2-year average) found in this study. The prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency, defined here as below 57 nmol L−1,[84] was 30.2 and 28.1% in the first and second years of intervention, respectively. The similarity in vitamin D measures was expected since the intervention was conducted in the same season in the same physical environment in both years.

As noted by others,[85] vitamin D was affected by sex and might reflect differences in time spent in the sun, fat mass differences, or metabolic needs of females at these ages.[86] Anemia prevalence in this cohort was low (2-year average of 2.1% based on hemoglobin status; Supporting Information 1, Table 3) although the mean estimated prevalence of anemia for children in other Brazilian studies ranged between 10.4 and 68.8%.[87,88]

4.3. Population Level Responses to the Intervention

The combination of multi-micronutrients used for this intervention reproducibly increased or decreased the levels of circulating forms of nine organic vitamin metabolites and three clinical variables. Many of the other vitamins and minerals also showed increased plasma levels in both years, but did not pass the stringent regression above the mean tests[13] suggesting that differences in genetic makeup, environment, or their interactions differed between years.

Total cholesterol, LDL-c, and fasting glucose decreased in response to the intervention and remained stable during the 6-week washout. Decreases in average levels of blood lipids and glucose suggest that one or a combination of vitamins and minerals in the supplement influenced metabolism. Lipid profiles and glucose are associated with either vitamin baseline levels (e.g., refs. [89–92]) and change in response to single (e.g., Refs. [93–99]) or multiple micronutrient supplementation.[14] Levels of these clinical variables are often associated with risk of metabolic syndrome and chronic disease.[100] Data mining and pathway analyses (MetaCor and DAVID) indicated that folate, niacin, pantothenic acid, tocopherols, and pyridoxal forms are cofactors for proteins involved in the sterol responsive nuclear liver X receptor transcription factor network.[100] Changes in the circulating levels or bioavailability of these micronutrients following intervention may have interacted with cholesterol pathways and networks, and led to the observed changes in plasma levels of total cholesterol and LDL-c (Supporting Information Figures S2 and S3). These findings require and deserve further investigation.

4.4. Interindividual Variability

Targeted nutrition and precision medicine depend on the ability to predict individual responses to dietary recommendations and drug treatments which typically are not the goals of randomized
control trials or epidemiological studies. Bootstrapped elastic net penalized regression was used in this study to build predictive models fitted to baseline and response data from the 2013 cohort and able to predict responses of individuals in the 2014 cohort (Figures 4 and 5, and Supporting Information Figures S10–S13). The best statistical models for all variables were simple and relied only on a given variable’s own baseline levels to predict its response. That is, lower baseline plasma levels of clinical measures or vitamins tended to increase while higher baseline levels tended to decrease showing a system-wide adaptation to the intervention.

Although the simple models were best at predicting clinical and vitamin responses to the micronutrient intervention, models produced by elastic net were statistically significant in terms of the correlation between predicted and observed response in the test set (see Figures 4 and 5 and Supporting Information Figures S10–S13) and thus may be useful in identifying variables contributing to the system-level responses.

4.5. Prediction of Vitamin Response from Baseline Vitamin and Clinical Parameters

The bootstrapped model for predicting nicotinamide response produced a set of predictors wherein higher baseline levels of platelets, calcium, iron, and retinol and lower levels of nicotinamide, 25-OH vitamin D3, and 5-methyltetrahydrofolic acid predicted a more positive response to intervention. Nicotinamide is a metabolic product of the NADP present in Nestrovit suggesting that active niacin metabolism contributed to the change in nicotinamide responsiveness. The level of nudifloramide, an end product of niacin metabolism, was also increased in response to the intervention. Overall, 99.7% of models for nicotinamide response included platelets, nucleate cells that function in thrombosis, hemostasis, and immune surveillance in health states and, when dysregulated, in disease pathologies.[101] Although the molecular and cellular mechanisms remain poorly characterized, this finding is reinforced by reports showing that nicotinamide enhances in vitro megakaryocytic cell maturation into platelets.[102] Vitamin D and calcium also were selected in 98.9 and 95.1% of bootstrapped models for nicotinamide response consistent with well-studied interactions between these two micronutrients.[103] The mechanism involved in vitamin D, calcium, and nicotinamide response are unclear. Similarly, interactions between retinol and nicotinamide have not been described previously. However holfic acid (of which the active metabolite is 5-methyltetrahydrofolic acid) supplementation has been found to increase NADPH oxidase activity in rats.[104] The γ-tocopherol response is discussed further in Supporting Information Figure S13. FMN is a metabolic product of vitamin B2 (Flavin adenine dinucleotide; FAD) present in Nestrovit indicating that flux through riboflavin pathways may be as important as homeostatic levels of FMN. Although basophils represent ≈1% of total white blood cells, they are thought to play a role in allergic reactions (along with neutrophils and macrophages) when activated by cytokines, antibodies, and proteases.[105] An association between FMN with basophils has not been noted before and the role of basophils in maintenance of the healthy state in the absence of allergens or pathogens is unknown.

Elastic net regression of the response of glucose to the intervention retained baseline glucose levels in 100% of the bootstrapped models, as expected from simple model results. Baseline γ-tocopherol, MCH, vitamin D3, and triglycerides occurred in >75% of the models with low baseline levels of tocopherol and high baseline MCH, triglycerides, and vitamin D predicting increased glucose response. α- and γ-Tocopherol may stimulate glucose uptake by skeletal muscle cells, at least in vitro.[106] Vitamin E intake may reduce glucose levels in type 2 diabetics and in subjects with baseline serum vitamin E deficiencies.[107] High doses of vitamin E (>400 IU d−1) supplemented for longer periods (>12 weeks) significantly reduced HbA1c levels and fasting insulinemia.[108]

25-OH vitamin D3 has also been implicated in glucose control because of an inverse correlation between plasma levels and impaired glucose tolerance (rev in refs. [109,110]). However, supplementing diets with vitamin D with or without calcium produces mixed effects on glucose regulation in healthy individuals or diabetics.[111–113] Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) is weakly and inversely correlated with HbA1c, suggesting an interaction that may need to be accounted for in diagnosing and monitoring diabetes.[114] However, a mechanism by which MCHC influences or alters fasting glycemia is not obvious. LDL-c levels may indirectly alter glucose levels by altering bile acid metabolism and by their roles as transcriptional regulators of cholesterol catabolism.[115]

4.7. Prediction of Vitamin or Clinical Responses from Dietary Intake Baseline Measures

The clinical and vitamin responses to the intervention could not be predicted from baseline dietary intake measures. These results may be due to combinations of dietary recall bias, differences in bioavailability of nutrients due to interindividual variation in transport (e.g., ref. [116]) or metabolism of micronutrients (e.g., ref. [117]), differences in microbiomes of individuals (e.g., ref. [118]), or other unmeasured factors. In addition, reported nutrient content in food databases may differ with the actual levels of food components consumed by the participants (see http://www.fao.org/docrep/008/y4705e/y4705e06.htm).

4.8. Ancestral Background Affects Baseline Vitamin Levels and Responses to Intervention

Linear regressions between ancestral components and baseline vitamin levels showed lower baseline folate and an increased
folate response associated with Native American ancestry. The folate results are consistent with the known allele frequencies of genes involved in folate metabolism. For example, frequencies of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T (TT) and methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase (MTHFD1) G1958A (AA) risk alleles are higher in Amerindians (57 and 58%, respectively), while being lower in African populations (0 and 4 %, respectively).119

Genome wide association studies120 and candidate gene studies (reviewed in ref. [121]) have identified single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with homeostatic levels of micronutrients. However, the majority of such associations have small effect sizes and the sum of all variants identified for a trait explain only a small proportion of the phenotypic.122 The distinction between previous studies and this study is that micronutrient levels were associated with larger regions of ancestral DNA. Differences in ancestral background and their effect on plasma metabolite levels support the importance of including genomic data in determining micronutrient requirements for different populations. In addition, ethnic groups can exhibit substantial differences in disease incidence, severity, progression, and response to treatment (e.g., ref. [123,124]). We121 and others (e.g., ref. [10,125]) previously proposed that differences in fasting and response to micronutrients may contribute to differences in the incidence of some common diseases.

4.9. Conclusions

All micronutrients and minerals should ideally be consumed as part of a healthy diet. However, food access and availability in developed and developing economies vary across geography, built environment, climate, and socioeconomic status.8,10,12 Supplemental micronutrients are warranted in populations without access to nutritious food or those with poor diets. However, determining optimal doses remains not only an experimental but also translational challenge.

Our study was designed to obtain both public health results (e.g., percent insufficiencies in cohort) as well as how healthy Brazilian children and teens responded to multi-micronutrients (interindividual). The study followed “first principles” that recognized that all individuals are genetically, culturally, and environmentally unique. The limitations and strengths are described in Box 2. n-of-1 Study designs are increasingly used for precision medicine (e.g., ref. [24]) and personalized nutrition because of the understanding that population-based treatment guidelines and recommendations may not apply to every individual. We used a simple intervention, washout approach, because the number of individuals analyzed was larger than most n-of-1 intervention studies and the participant burden (6-week intervention and three assessments of 4–5 h each) for children and families was high for community based participatory research. Our results indicated that total cholesterol, LDL-c, glycemia, MCV, and the circulating levels of nine vitamin metabolites responded to the 6-week intervention in two consecutive years demonstrating that multi-micronutrients mediated the physiology of systems associated with metabolic health. Predictive modeling of response variables was motivated by the need to develop micronutrient in-

| Box 2: Strength and Limitations |
|----------------------------------|
| **Limitations** The following are the limitations of the study: |
| (1) Small sample size. |
| (2) Unique genetic admixture. |
| (3) Context specificity due to urban, middle class culture in Brazil makes the results difficult to generalize to other populations. |
| (4) Lack of control group and repeated measures between start and finish of the intervention limit traditional statistical approaches. |
| **Strengths** The following are the strengths of the study: |
| (1) n-of-1 Study design with each participant having his/her own control accounting for interindividual variability. |
| (2) Replication of results in two interventions. |
| (3) Adherence to intervention was monitored. |
| (4) Comprehensive analysis of 36 circulating levels of vitamins. |
| (5) Genomic analysis (5 million single nucleotide polymorphisms) and whole exome sequence (in preparation) which will eventually allow for associating individual variants to metabolic responses. |
| (6) Aggregated data can be used to determine population level responses. |
| (7) Effect of intervention was verified using stringent statistical tests. |
| (8) The study followed principles and methods for community based participatory research to accelerate the translation of research to knowledge for participants and families. |
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