Emergent behavior at oxide interfaces has driven research in complex oxide films for the past 20 years. Interfaces have been engineered for applications in spintronics, topological quantum computing, and high-speed electronics with properties not observed in bulk materials. Advances in synthesis have made the growth of these interfaces possible, while X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) studies have often explained the observed interfacial phenomena. This review discusses leading recent research, focusing on key results and the XPS studies that enabled them. We describe how the \textit{in situ} integration of synthesis and spectroscopy improves the growth process and accelerates scientific discovery. Specific techniques include determination of interfacial intermixing, valence band alignment, and interfacial charge transfer. A recurring theme is the role that atmospheric exposure plays on material properties, which we highlight in several material systems. We demonstrate how synchrotron studies have answered questions that are impossible in lab-based systems and how to improve such experiments in the future.
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Introduction

From multiferroics to two–dimensional electron gases (2DEGs) to strongly correlated and topological systems, much of complex oxide thin film research has been driven by interfacial phenomena for the past 20 years. Groups have demonstrated emergent oxide 2DEGs—also referred to as 2D electron systems and 2D electron liquids—due to polar/non-polar interfaces [1], multiferroic behavior with magnetoelectric control from interfaces between oxide ferroelectrics and ferromagnets [2, 3], and emergent ferromagnetism [4] as well as orbital polarization [5] due to interfacial charge transfer. Numerous groups have reviewed the novel properties that have been predicted and reported at oxide interfaces, and readers are referred to several recent review articles summarizing the state-of-the-art research in oxide thin films [6, 7, 8]. Advances in thin film synthesis continue to drive the field as well. New approaches to molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [9, 10, 11] and pulsed laser deposition (PLD) [12, 13] enable
more precise control of cation stoichiometry than previously possible. Such approaches are highly complementary with materials characterization techniques that help to explain the physical origins of emergent phenomena in oxide thin films and interfaces.

In this review, we will discuss how the reported interfacial phenomena can be examined using surface science tools—particularly in situ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)—in concert with film synthesis and other ex situ techniques to best understand the properties of oxide thin films, surfaces, and interfaces. This is illustrated conceptually in Fig. 1, with synthesis and spectroscopy integrated in a single vacuum system to produce a feedback loop for the study of films and interfaces. Our goal here is to introduce XPS to a synthesis-focused audience by showing how the technique can be applied to improve film growth and accelerate experimental studies of interfacial phenomena. We also demonstrate the importance of considering post-growth spectroscopy measurements during the experimental planning process, which is vital to the success of both in situ and ex situ spectroscopy measurements. Finally, a recurring theme throughout this review is that researchers must be aware of chemical effects that occur on the film surface after growth, beginning with sample cooldown all the way to atmospheric exposure and subsequent ex situ measurements. These chemical changes are often observable in XPS measurements, but it is easy to ignore their impacts on other experimental results.

Beginning with several recent examples from the literature that motivate the importance of in situ characterization, we present a brief overview of the physics of XPS and how to design experiments that best integrate thin film synthesis and in situ XPS to achieve high-quality thin films and high impact scientific results. We then present several examples of how XPS has been used in concert with film synthesis to better understand surface properties, interfacial charge transfer, electronic band alignment across a heterojunction, and non-idealities such as intermixing and off-stoichiometry. Finally, we present an overview of future opportunities that can leverage user facilities and the lessons learned from lab-based in situ studies for further breakthroughs.

**Overview of complex oxide thin films and interfaces**

The pursuit of a high-mobility 2DEG at complex oxide interfaces has driven research in a wide variety of materials for more than a decade. Such 2DEGs offer the promise of higher carrier concentrations than can be achieved with other materials systems, making them exciting candidates for high-speed electronics. Oxide 2DEGs also offer additional benefits over their semiconductor counterparts in that they can exhibit strong spin-orbit coupling when comprised of 5d electrons, such as those in KTaO$_3$ [14]. Spin-orbit coupling in a 2DEG offers an additional opportunity not available in conventional semiconductor devices. Beginning with the LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface [1], many candidate materials systems have been explored. The LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ system was proposed for transistors with high mobility and high carrier concentrations [15, 16], spin-orbit coupled heterostructures with Rashba splitting [17, 18], and numerous other applications. However, controversy regarding the role of defects in the LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ system [19, 20, 21] has been present for many years. As we will discuss later, XPS along with a variety of other complementary characterization techniques helped to explain the physical origin of the 2DEG in these materials [19, 22, 23].

Subsequent materials research has focused on alternative structures, including interfaces between SrTiO$_3$ and rare-earth titanates containing a Ti$^{3+}$ cation, such as in NdTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ [24, 25], GdTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ [26], and LaTiO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ [27, 28]. Here, delta-doping of electrons produces the 2DEG, with the rare-earth A-site ion providing an additional electron for the system. Emergent phenomena have also been reported in these interfacial materials, including superconductivity [28] and ferromagnetism [29, 30]. As with the LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ system, however, defects can still play a significant role, albeit in a different fashion. Various groups have reported excess oxygen content in rare-earth titanates [25, 31, 32] due to either
imperfect tuning of oxygen composition during growth or atmospheric exposure after growth, which has been confirmed through ex situ XPS in each case. These changes in oxygen stoichiometry can have profound impacts on material properties, changing conductivity [25], and magnetic response [32]. When such properties are observed, it is often difficult to decouple surface chemistry and defects from emergent physical phenomena, making in situ spectroscopy valuable.

With the emerging emphasis on materials for quantum computation, materials that exhibit strong spin-orbit coupling have generated an increased interest in the oxide community as well. Work has focused on 5d transition metal oxides materials such as KTaO$_3$ [14, 33], which exhibits the Rashba effect, and SrIrO$_3$, which possesses stronger spin-orbit coupling. In the case of KTaO$_3$, surface 2DEGs have been reported in ultra-high vacuum conditions from oxygen vacancies [33, 34]. Since these reports, a variety of means have been developed to produce interfacial 2DEGs in KTaO$_3$, primarily through the introduction of polar/non-polar interfaces [35, 36, 37]. These interfaces have exhibited such interesting properties as optically tunable Rashba effect and greater Hall mobility than analogous LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interfaces. Greater Hall mobility is due to the wider bandwidth of 5d electrons than 3d electrons [36]. As in previous examples, however, a more complete understanding of KTaO$_3$ properties was gleaned from an in situ-cleaved single crystal through a combination of scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), ion scattering spectroscopy, and XPS [38]. In this work, the authors showed that to compensate for the polar KTaO$_3$ surface, a series of physical and chemical distortions occur and that the most stable surface is passivated by water adsorption, as one would expect in samples exposed to atmosphere.

Perovskite iridates are another class of 5d oxides that have generated excitement for their potential in materials systems by taking advantage of topological phenomena [39, 40]. SrIrO$_3$ is a semimetallic oxide that exhibits strong spin-orbit coupling with evidence of a dimensionality driven cross-over between metallic and semiconducting behavior in ultra-thin films [41, 42]. In oxide heterostructures, SrIrO$_3$ interfaces have been studied extensively for magnetic and electronic phenomena that occur due to charge transfer and electron correlations. In STO/SrIrO$_3$ superlattices, others have shown the emergence of an energy gap at the Fermi level as the thickness of the iridate layers is reduced to ~4 u.c. [43]. They also observed the formation of electronic energy features resembling a Dirac cone, suggesting that topological effects occur in the ultra-thin limit. (111)-oriented SrIrO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ heterostructures have also been synthesized and proposed as topological materials that exhibit the desirable honeycomb lattice for topological quantum information systems [44]. Similar (100)-oriented SrIrO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ superlattices have also been shown to behave like layered Ruddelsden–Popper iridates by reducing the iridate layer to 2 unit cells (UCs) [45]. The SrMnO$_3$/SrIrO$_3$ interface has also been studied through superlattice synthesis and shown to exhibit ferromagnetism due to electron transfer from Ir to Mn across the interface, leading to the anomalous Hall effect in these structures [46, 47]. LaMnO$_3$/SrIrO$_3$ interfaces have also demonstrated the Rashba effect, with XPS and X-ray absorption measurements confirming electron transfer from Ir to Mn [48].

Strongly correlated oxides such as nickelates have been a long-standing area of interest within the oxide community, due to their potential to exhibit superconductivity that is analogous to the layered cuprates. Efforts have broadly focused on methods to induce orbital polarization in these materials to create a quasi-two-dimensional plane of nickel ions that resembles the planar cuprate structure. Groups have shown that by heterostructuring LaNiO$_3$ into a superlattice with LaTiO$_3$, orbital polarization can be induced to break the symmetry of the Ni $e_g$ energy levels [5, 49]. We will discuss ways to examine this type of charge transfer by in situ XPS later in this article. Nickelate thin films have also been shown to exhibit conductivity that is dependent on film thickness and surface termination [50, 51, 52, 53, 54]. Theoretical predictions have suggested that this phenomenon is due to structural distortions in the surface layer [51], but recent work employing XPS and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) has suggested that surface oxygen vacancies could also be the cause [55]. In fact, transport models have explicitly treated the surface of LaNiO$_3$ as having a different conductivity from the bulk of the film [54], which would agree with the model put forward from spectroscopy. This provides a good example of the importance of considering chemical differences between the film surface and bulk when interpreting results of other physical property measurements. Recently, an infinite-layer (Nd,Sr)NiO$_2$ nickelate heterostructure has demonstrated superconductivity for the first time [56, 57, 58, 59]. Future studies of superconducting nickelates using X-ray spectroscopy will hopefully provide further insights into the very exciting initial results.

In summary, epitaxial complex oxide thin films continue to be a fruitful area of exploration in the condensed matter physics and fundamental materials science communities. However, the complexity of the perovskite oxide materials system in terms of bulk defect tolerance and non-idealities at film surfaces and interfaces makes careful materials characterization vital to best explain the wide range of emergent properties that have been reported in oxide heterostructures. Our goal in this review is to show how XPS measurements have been used in the past to answer many of these questions such that the reader may better be able to employ the versatile technique in future studies. We also aim to provide further insights and interpretations of the current oxide interface literature, which
is awash in exciting breakthroughs but also is prone to misinterpretation of XPS and complementary spectroscopy data.

**Physics of XPS**

The photoelectric effect is the phenomenon at the core of XPS measurements. It is so called because photons propagating through a material are absorbed by core-level and valence electrons in atoms and the resulting energy of the excited electrons is enough to overcome the electrostatic potential of the material and escape into vacuum. The photoelectric effect was first discovered in 1887 by Heinrich Hertz [60], but it was not until 1905 when Albert Einstein integrated the quantization of the light into the photoelectric effect that it was fully understood [61]. Einstein’s discovery that the energy is $E_{\text{photon}} = h\nu$, where $h$ is Planck’s constant and $\nu$ is the frequency of light, subsequently proved the wave-particle duality of light. Kai Siegbahn later won the Nobel Prize for his invention of XPS (then referred to as “electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis”) using hard X-rays generated by a Mo K$_\alpha$ and K$_\beta$ source with photon energies of 17.48 and 17.37 keV, respectively [62].

Assuming we precisely control the wavelength of light using a Rowland circle monochromator, we may determine the binding energy of an electron via the equation:

$$E_{\text{photon}} = h\nu = E_B + \Phi_S + E_K,$$

where the binding energy, $E_B$, is the energy needed to bring it to the Fermi level, the material’s work function, $\Phi_S$, is the energy needed to free an electron at the Fermi level and get it to vacuum, and the vacuum kinetic energy is $E_K$. The historical three-step model is popular to understand the physics of photoemission qualitatively, with the steps defined as: (a) optical absorption of the incoming X-ray by an atom, (b) electron transport to the surface of the material, and (c) transmission through the surface [63]. We attempt to address this process briefly here and refer to texts on the physics of XPS for greater detail [64, 65].

XPS works by directing an X-ray beam generated by a monochromatic X-ray source onto a sample as shown in Fig. 2. The photoelectric effect causes bound electrons to be ejected out of the sample where they pass through an analyzer. This analyzer uses electron optics to select only electrons with a certain kinetic energy. By varying the settings of the optics, the XPS can sweep a range of energies. Modern XPS systems employ analyzers that allow for several electron energies to be detected simultaneously, thus making data collection more efficient [66, 67, 68]. To connect the photon energy and the kinetic energy of the electrons measured by the XPS, it is best to think about the electronic band alignment diagram of XPS in Fig. 2.

There are techniques to determine the sample’s work function, but there is an immediate problem in that the kinetic energy measured by the detector, $E_K$, is not the same kinetic energy of the emitted electrons, $E_K$. Due to biasing of the optics and electron energy analyzer, there is a work function of each XPS, $\Phi_A$, that relates $E_K$ to $E_K$. However, if the sample is mounted on a stage that shares a common ground with the XPS analyzer, then we can equate the Fermi levels of the sample and XPS. Now by looking at the band diagram, we can see that $E_K = E_K + \Phi_A - \Phi_S$. Substituting this into Eq. (1), we get $h\nu = E_B + E_K + \Phi_A$ or $E_B = h\nu - E_K - \Phi_A$. So, by using the optics of an XPS to select and count only electrons with $E_K$ and by controlling the X-ray source frequency, we are able to calculate the binding energy of each electron excited by the photoelectric effect without knowing the sample work function, $\Phi_S$. The probability of photoelectrons escaping from the material is also sensitive to the angle of emission from a planar surface and the kinetic energy of the electron. These features are governed by the inelastic mean free path (IMFP), $\lambda$, of an electron within the material [69], which can be modeled by the equation:

$$\lambda(\text{nm}) = \frac{143}{E_K^2(\text{eV})^2} + 0.054*\sqrt{E_K(\text{eV})}.$$

Figure 3 shows a graph of the IMFP for different X-ray sources (Fig. 3(a)) and different elemental core levels for Al K$\alpha$ radiation (Fig. 3(b)). While Eqs. (1) and (2) describe the measurement of electron binding energy via XPS, separate physical phenomena govern the observation of peaks at various binding energies. Of these phenomena, the spin-orbit coupling of core-level electrons, multiplet splitting, and satellite peaks are some of the most commonly observed. However, when it comes to understanding XPS data, these are not always accurately interpreted [70]. Each of these will be covered briefly in this section. Auger electrons are also detected during an XPS measurement and can be beneficial for elemental identification but are also a source of frustration if the kinetic energy of the Auger electron is close to that of an elemental core level (Fig. 3).

Because of the nature of photoelectron scattering within the crystal prior to escape, XPS measurements are inherently surface sensitive. For a given atomic species with volume density $\rho$, the measured intensity as a function of emission angle $\theta$ relative to the surface normal is given by:

$$I(\theta) = I_0(\sigma_{n1})T(E_k, \theta)A \int_0^\infty \rho(z)e^{-\frac{z}{\lambda}}dz,$$

where $I_0$ is the intensity for $\theta = 0^\circ$, $\sigma_{n1}$ is the absorption cross section for a given energy level with principal quantum number $n$ and orbital angular momentum $l$, $A$ is the measured area on the sample, $z$ is the depth within the material, $T(E_k, \theta)$ is the analyzer transmission function, and $\lambda$ is the IMFP. This equation is generally simplified by assuming that the transmission
function is constant over the energy values of interest and by using ratios between core-level intensities to eliminate the role of $I_0$ and $A$. For more detailed descriptions of the physics of depth sensitivity in XPS, the reader is referred to the work of Powell and Tanuma [71]. Angle-resolved XPS measurements make clever use of the angular and depth dependence of the intensity to extract depth profiles for chemical concentrations and electronic states, which we will detail in “Combining Growth and XPS to Measure Film Quality.”

The first additional effect to consider is that of spin-orbit coupling, which is referred to as an initial state effect because it is governed by the energetic configuration of the system prior to photon absorption. Electrons with the same non-zero orbital angular momentum quantum number (i.e., $p$, $d$, $f$) do not all have the same binding energy. This is because spin-up and spin-down electrons have different total angular momenta. The energy shift caused by this spin-orbit coupling goes as $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ are the orbital and spin angular momentum operators, respectively. Thus, for all orbitals where $l \neq 0$, there will be a splitting of the binding energy for spin-up and spin-down electrons. The two peaks correspond to the two possible values of the total angular momentum of the photoelectrons, $j = l \pm s$. For a $2p$ electron, the total angular momentum can take either a value of $j = 1+1/2 = 3/2$ or $j = 1-1/2 = 1/2$. This phenomenon can be seen in the splitting of the Co $2p$ into the two largest peaks of Fig. 4. Additionally, the ratio of the intensities of the peaks are seen not to be 1:1 but is instead 2:1. This is because the relative intensities are determined by the number of magnetic sub-state configurations, $2j+1$, that correspond to each $j$ value. Knowing the expected branching ratio of the peak intensities is important when fitting XPS spectra as it allows for other effects to be distinguished from the spin-orbit coupling.

Multiplet splitting arises from the coupling of the angular momentum of two electrons in different orbits [72]. If an atom has an unpaired core electron and an unpaired valence electron, the two electrons’ momenta can couple and result in multiple peaks. This coupling follows the $L$-$S$ or Russell–Saunders coupling scheme. The peaks are separated based on the total angular momentum, $j$, of the coupled electrons. Spin-orbit coupling depends only on the single photoelectron emitted and thus is consistent and easily predictable effect. In the case of multiplet splitting, however, the splitting depends on both the core electron and valence electrons in the same atom. Additionally, given the presence of multiple unpaired valence electrons, the core electron can pair with any of them and each could yield a different energy shift. Multiplet splitting is commonly observed in transition metal ions that have partially filled $d$ orbitals. For example, the valence of Mn can be determined from the multiplet splitting of the Mn 3$s$ core level [73], while different valences and atomic coordinations of Cr exhibit differing multiplet features [74]. The variety of final-state coupling energies can make for highly complex spectra with several distinct energy features summing together to produce, for example, a Cr 2$p_{3/2}$ peak. In general, multiplet splitting will occur for any transition metal ion that has non-zero spin in the $d$ band and care must be taken not to inaccurately attribute multiplet-split peaks to chemical shifts from differing formal charge.

In addition to multiplet splitting, shake-up satellite peaks occur when an atom is photo-ionized, which results in core-
level hole and subsequent electronic rearrangement [75]. In a shake-up shift, an electron in the valence level transitions to an unoccupied non-degenerate energy level after photoionization alters the electrostatic potential of the atom. This leaves the atom in an excited state that can be modeled using the sudden approximation and simultaneously reduces the kinetic energy of the photoemitted electron. In this way, shake-up is referred to as a final-state effect because the satellite originates from the quantum state formed in the system after the electron has been excited. This reduced kinetic energy of the photoelectron results in a satellite peak that appears to have a greater binding energy than the primary elemental core-level peak. For example, Co 2+ ions exhibit a large shake-up satellite peak at higher binding energy [76], as can be seen in Fig. 4. The peak shape and difference in binding energy between the primary peak and the satellite can be a valuable tool for the identification of cation valence, as in the case of Co where the peak shift is fairly small between Co 2+ and Co 3+ but the satellite structure is markedly different. Furthermore, chemical coordination changes the selection rules for electronic energy level transitions, making shake-up satellites sensitive to ionic coordination as well as formal charge [75].

A related type of final-state satellite peaks come from charge transfer between atoms. When an atom is photoionized, it may result in a change of the electrical structure of itself and an adjacent atom. This change in ionization and electron configuration causes a change in the potential photoelectrons feel and is again measured as a decrease in kinetic energy of the photoelectrons on an XPS spectrum. Additional satellites created by charge transfer can appear as shoulders on primary peaks or as completely distinct peaks a few eV away from the primary peak. An important thing to note is that both the j = 1/2 and j = 3/2 each have a corresponding satellite and that the ratio of intensity of 2:1 is still maintained for the satellites. Charge transfer satellites are observed in Ti 2p and O 1s spectra for materials such as SrTiO 3 [77].

Shake-up and charge transfer satellite peaks should not be confused with the different valence peaks. In ionic systems such as oxides, changes of the valence state of ions are expected. When ions lose or gain valence electrons, the effective nuclear charge is changed as well and can be intuitively understood using a charged-shell model introduced by Fadley et al. [78]. For positively charged cations, this change is typically on the order of a few eV to higher binding energies though in some cases small enough to be difficult to resolve (≈0.5 eV or less). This can be a very powerful tool to identify the bonding environment of atoms in a material, but also makes data analysis significantly more complicated and is often incorrectly presented in the literature. As an example, we show three distinct spectra for different Co valences acquired in our lab in Fig. 4. Co is an example of an ion that does not exhibit significant differences in chemical shift between the 2+ and 3+ charge state. Naïve fits to the data will generally not be sufficient to accurately determine the ratio of Co 2+ to Co 3+ in a mixed-valence material. Instead, readers are encouraged to refer to literature guides for rigorous fitting procedures [70]. The Co L 3M 23M 45 Auger...
alumina discharge tube, which has eliminated contamination. We are aware of at least one other plasma source that has also been a source of Na contamination and suggest that groups should be careful to test new plasma sources upon purchase. Given that the design employed by the manufacturer (Mantis Deposition) has been ubiquitous in the field for many years, we suspect that other groups without access to in situ XPS may have Na contamination in films without realizing. Other contaminants can be detected by XPS, such as F due to surface treatments performed on SrTiO₃ with a buffered oxide etchant [79]. In this sense, the availability of in situ XPS can accelerate the calibration process for ideal growth conditions and pristine materials, making it valuable as a day-to-day diagnostic tool even when the data will not be used for publishable research.

**Film stoichiometry and surface termination**

In practice, the depth sensitivity of XPS can be both a blessing and a curse. The angle-resolved XPS technique can be used to measure the surface termination of a sample, cation intermixing across an interface, and determine a depth profile of specific chemical features, providing rapid new depth-resolved insights into synthesized materials without destroying the sample through sputter etching or focused ion beam liftout for electron microscopy measurements. Conversely, the surface sensitivity of XPS means that a change as small as flipping the surface termination from TiO₂ to SrO on a SrTiO₃ single crystal can reduce the measured Ti:Sr peak area ratios by ∼10%. This makes stoichiometry quantification for epitaxial thin films by XPS very difficult, with conventional sensitivity factors such as those used in XPS data analysis software providing very little value. Further consideration must be given to the effects of X-ray photoelectron diffraction, which can change relative peak intensities due to forward scattering of photoemitted electrons from the single crystal surface. It is generally best to benchmark the peak area ratios with a bulk-sensitive technique such as Rutherford back scattering on a film grown to produce a known surface termination. Alternatively, one can measure a single crystal reference with a known surface termination such as a treated SrTiO₃ substrate [80]. After these initial tests, is it then possible to accurately compare peak area ratios for subsequent films to the measured area ratios from the calibrated reference sample while taking care to only compare samples with the same surface terminations.

However, despite the challenges of absolute stoichiometry quantification via XPS, we have found a convenient trick that employs in situ capabilities to accelerate the calibration process for oxide MBE growth. Given the layered nature of the perovskite crystal structure and the propensity for excess cations to reside on the film surface [81, 82], using angle-resolved XPS to determine the surface termination is generally an effective way to determine the surface stoichiometry in addition to indicating any unexpected elemental contaminants.

As an example, Fig. 5 is a survey of a CoMn₂O₄ spinel thin film sample grown in our MBE system. There are many peak features in the survey representing cobalt, manganese, and oxygen signals, but additional peaks indicate a contaminating element. Based on the position and shape of these extra features, we determined the presence of sodium on the sample surface. By inspecting surveys of samples grown at different conditions, we pinpointed the source of the sodium to the radio-frequency oxygen plasma source. After discussions with the manufacturer, the Na-contaminated quartz discharge tube was replaced with an electron also generates peak intensity at ∼777 eV, accounting for the shoulder at low binding energy below the Co 2p peak, which can complicate quantification and peak fitting.

**Combining growth and XPS to measure film quality**

**Measuring contaminants**

Epitaxial thin film research has a very low tolerance for elemental contamination. Despite engineering controls, contaminants from unknown sources sometimes make their way into samples and can either hinder data acquisition in experiments or change the physical properties of the material entirely. Surface-sensitive XPS is an excellent way to detect any unwanted element contamination, with an elemental sensitivity of <1 atomic percent. The most efficient way to measure contamination is by acquiring wide-range surveys of samples from maximum binding energy to zero. In general, it is good practice to perform surveys on every sample before performing a longer-duration, high-resolution measurement over core level and valence band regions. XPS surveys allow for a quick qualitative depiction of a sample’s surface stoichiometry in addition to indicating any unexpected elemental contaminants.
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means to determine whether a perovskite oxide film has excess A-site or B-site cations even without an RBS or single crystal reference standard. The segregation is shown schematically in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), with excess TiO$_2$ and SrO residing on the film surface. Note that this figure is meant to schematically portray clustering of excess atoms on the film surface and not a particular surface reconstruction that might occur in such a case. The angle-resolved XPS measurement process involves comparing peak area ratios for the A-site ion to the B-site ion in a bulk-sensitive configuration near-normal emission ($\theta < 45^\circ$) and in a surface-sensitive configuration ($\theta > 70^\circ$). Such measurements can generally be completed in under an hour, making it possible to estimate whether a sample is A-site or B-site rich and recalibrate prior to additional depositions. For example, in the case of SrTiO$_3$ films, we have seen that the ratio of the Ti 2$p$ to the Sr 3$d$ peak areas increases for larger values of $\theta$ if the sample is Ti-rich [83]. A comparison of one such sample is shown in Fig. 6(c). In this case, the bulk and surface-sensitive Sr 3$d$ and Ti 2$p$ peaks have been normalized so that the Ti 2$p$ peaks show the same total peak area, which highlights the smaller peak area for the surface-sensitive Sr 3$d$ peak relative to its bulk counterpart. An additional source of information is the presence of surface core-level shifts that can be measured due to incomplete coordination or OH adsorption of surface A-site ions, as has been shown for Ba-terminated BaTiO$_3$, which shows a distinct peak at higher binding energy for the Ba 3$d$ peak [84]. Using these approaches while understanding the kinetics of the growth process can make in situ XPS particularly valuable for quick tuning of growth parameters sample-to-sample.

Beyond the convenience of angle-resolved XPS in the synthesis process, it has helped to explain surface-dependent phenomena in a variety of systems. We have employed angle-resolved XPS to determine for the first time that an SrO termination is more stable for stoichiometric SrTiO$_3$ films grown by hybrid MBE [83]. Furthermore, in the case of a LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ heterostructure grown on SrO-terminated SrTiO$_3$, an unexpected AlO$_2$ termination was observed by angle-resolved XPS, which helped to explain the absence of conductivity at the interface [85]. Angle-resolved measurements of LaFeO$_3$ film surfaces have also helped to explain the role of surface termination on chemical reactivity for catalytic water splitting [86]. Despite the simplicity of angle-resolved XPS and the relatively mundane knowledge gleaned from knowing the surface termination of a thin film, there is a great deal of new physical understanding that can arise from such day-to-day measurements through in situ XPS.

**Oxide surface chemistry**

While understanding of the surface termination regarding perovskite AO or BO$_2$ layers is valuable for film synthesis, it only begins to address the subtleties of surface chemistry that occur in complex oxides. The measurement of the O 1$s$ peak can also provide valuable information to understand how the surface is passivated, a process that occurs in different ways depending on the surface polarity. It is known that water will adsorb differently depending on the AO or BO$_2$ termination of a (001)-oriented surface [83, 86]. However, even in UHV after in situ growth, the adsorption of water on the surface can impact the electronic properties of the material. Studies that integrate XPS with atomically resolved STM are incredibly valuable in this regard to demystify some of the surface phenomena that drive emergent behavior.

As discussed above, the KTaO$_3$ surface 2DEG is of significant interest for the Rashba splitting that is observed [33].
Through STM and XPS studies, Setvin et al. demonstrated a 2 × 1 surface reconstruction of the surface with uniform K (OH)2 coverage after water exposure [38]. An OH peak on the O 1s core level at higher binding energy was reported for this case to confirm their results. Similar experiments have also been performed on layered ruthenates (Ca₃Ru₂O₇ [87] and Sr₂RuO₄ [88]) and show that water can adsorb onto the surfaces of oxides in a variety of different configurations with different binding energies relative to the metal oxide O 1s peak that is typically at ~530.0 eV. It is generally observed that films with AO termination will more readily adsorb water [38, 83, 86, 88], though the exact surface structure is very difficult to decipher. The effect of the adsorbed water— even after in situ growth—should not be discounted when analyzing the observed properties. For example, it is common in the literature to associate a higher binding energy peak 2.3 eV above the metal oxide binding energy with oxygen vacancies, but the origin of this peak is the subject of some controversy and it can easily be due to other surface chemistry effects that will complicate the analysis [86].

Probing interfacial phenomena in oxide heterostructures with XPS

Interfacial chemistry and intermixing

The surface sensitivity of XPS through angle-resolved measurements can be extremely useful for the purposes of determining depth-dependent phenomena in oxide heterostructures. We have already showed the effects of surface variations and how they can be used to understand oxide film growth. However, the same techniques can be taken a step further to understand such behavior as interfacial intermixing in heterostructures and growth-induced defects such as oxygen vacancies. By varying the photoelectron emission angle to the detector and modeling the angular dependence of the relative peak areas based on the IMFP for the sample, one can construct a quantitative model of the cation or defect profile as a function of depth within a material.

For a buried interface, angle-resolved measurements can be used to model the depth of defects or dopants. The approach has been particularly valuable in studies of polar/non-polar interfaces, where differences between the idealized physical model of an interface and the actual synthesized heterostructure have led to confusion as to the physical origin of emergent behavior. In the case of LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ interfaces, angle-resolved measurements have shown that cations from the underlying SrTiO₃ substrate will readily out-diffuse into the LaAlO₃ film, with the degree of diffusion dependent on the cation stoichiometry of the LaAlO₃ film [19, 89]. Through rigorous Monte Carlo modeling of the angle-resolved data, one can even estimate the cation concentration profile across the interface in a heterostructure, as Salvinelli and colleagues have demonstrated [90]. These measurements set the stage for further studies that confirmed that the 2DEG at these interfaces is dependent on the film stoichiometry [20, 21] and thus driven in part by the formation of interfacial defects such as oxygen vacancies. Angle-resolved measurements can also be used to model the depth of distinct chemical and electronic states, such as the Ti³⁺ states at the LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ interface. By probing the Ti 2p core level of samples using ex situ hard X-ray photoemission, Sing et al. developed a model of the thickness of the 2DEG in UCs to show that it was confined to a single UC at the surface of the SrTiO₃ substrate [91]. The results from this work are shown in Fig. 7. Other angle-resolved studies have shown that oxygen vacancies in SrTiO₃-based heterostructures are localized at the interface [92, 93].

In the case of a 6 UC SrTiO₃–3 UC LaCrO₃ superlattice, in situ angle-resolved XPS measurements were used to determine the degree of Cr out-diffusion into the topmost SrTiO₃ layer [94]. The resulting data were compared with a model with differing degrees of Cr intermixing to estimate the Ti–Cr concentration profile across the B-site of the superlattice. Interfacial models were constructed to represent differing percentages of B-site cation intermixing and contributions to the overall Cr 2p and Ti 2p peak intensities were estimated by accounting for the IMFP in the material for each layer. The resulting estimates showed that there is significant intermixing of Ti across the interface, with approximately 25% of the middle B-site ions in the LaCrO₃ layer occupied by Ti ions. Critically, the model agreed very well with extracted concentration profiles from STEM-EELS measurements, as shown in Fig. 8 [94].

Band alignment and potential gradients

Semiconductor heterostructures are key to modern-day electronics, and numerous oxide heterojunctions have been pursued for their potential applications. The performance of these heterostructures can be engineered by manipulating valence and conduction band offset at the interface. It is crucial to understand this band offset to accurately predict and manipulate the behavior of thin film heterostructures.

There are different schemes to determine the band offset experimentally such as ultra-violet spectroscopy, internal photoemission spectroscopy, and XPS. Band offset determination via XPS has been used extensively. Unlike other methods, XPS is sensitive to details at the interface such as changes in valence and chemical intermixing and thus is often preferred over other methods. The XPS approach to valence band alignment determination was first introduced by Kraut et al. [95]. It is based on the premise that the energy difference between a
core level and valence band maximum (VBM) is an intrinsic property and remains constant independent of any formation of a heterostructure. Any change in the binding energy of the VBM will change the core level equally, making the energy of the core-level peak a good proxy for the VBM. This becomes important when measuring a thin film heterostructure, as the valence band region will now be the convolution of two or more distinct materials, while appropriately chosen core levels can still be measured easily and fit repeatably. The precision of this method lies in the measurement of core level and valence band maxima binding energies from reference samples for each material in a heterojunction. This can be accomplished using a single crystal reference or carefully grown film that is sufficiently thick such that the underlying substrate does not contribute any signal to the data. With the two reference samples and one more heterojunctions under examination, at least three samples are needed to apply this approach.

Using their data, Kraut et al. [95] determined the VBM by fitting valence band spectra with the theoretical valence band.
density of states. However, fits involving a linear extrapolation of the leading edge of the valence band spectra to the zero-level backgrounds have been found to be as accurate and require much less effort. Various refinements to this approach over the years for oxide heterostructures have been proposed [96, 97].

A diagram illustrating Kraut’s method is shown in Fig. 9. The valence band and conduction band offset are calculated as

\[
\Delta E_v = (E_{\text{CL}}^A - E_v^A) - (E_{\text{CL}}^B - E_v^B) - (E_{\text{CL}}^A - E_{\text{CL}}^B),
\]

\[
\Delta E_C = E_b^A - E_b^B + \Delta E_V.
\]

The core-level peaks and valence band reference spectra should be acquired with high energy resolution, as the uncertainty of the measurement of the core-level peak position will propagate into the band offset calculation. The choice of peaks that have a narrow intrinsic width and are easier to fit repeatably is thus very important.

The LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface has been widely studied for its unusual transport properties. The properties can be attributed to an effective 2DEG at the interface. The origin of this 2DEG has been the source of great controversy, with some attributing it to the polar discontinuity [1, 91] and others to defect-driven phenomena [19, 20, 21, 23]. Owing to its high precision in measuring core levels and VBMs, and subsequently the valence band and conduction band offsets, XPS has been a powerful tool in studying this heterostructure interface. Additionally, XPS data can be modeled to estimate the potential gradient across the film.

Multiple groups have used XPS to study the LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface grown by both MBE [22] and PLD [19, 98, 99] with different film thicknesses and terminations for possible explanations for the origin of the interface’s 2DEG. In one such work, Segal et al. confirmed the metal–insulator interface transition with 4 UC-thick LaAlO$_3$ on a TiO$_2$-terminated surface [22]. Many studies suggest that the 2DEG at the interface stems from an electronic reconstruction due to polar discontinuity (LAO has alternating positive and negative atomic layers), known as the “polar catastrophe” [91]. From the experimental band offset and band gap, Segal et al. calculated that a potential gradient of 1.15 ± 0.06 at a 4 UC thickness is required for the polar catastrophe to occur, whereas the measured potential gradient at 4 UCs was much less than the required value. This means that other phenomena such as oxygen defects, lattice distortion, and cation mixing at the interface must be investigated to explain this unique behavior at the interface. In this way, XPS has helped to further elucidate the origin of 2DEG at the LAO-STO interface, which was later shown to be strongly dependent on LaAlO$_3$ cation off-stoichiometry [20, 21].

Other studies of interfaces where both sides of the heterojunction are comprised of band insulators with the VBM comprised of O 2$p$-derived electronic states, have generally shown small valence band offsets of ∼0.5 eV or less [100]. This includes the SrTiO$_3$/Sr(Ta,Al)O$_3$ interface [101], the SrZrO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface [102], and the BaSnO$_3$ interface with both SrTiO$_3$ and LaAlO$_3$ [103]. The small valence band offset can generally be attributed to the condition that the O 2$p$ energy level is continuous across the heterojunction [104], which will be discussed in more detail shortly.

Unlike the isoelectronic interfaces where O 2$p$ states form the top of the valence band on both sides of the heterojunction, interfaces between Mott–Hubbard and band insulators offer additional degrees of freedom. For example, the LaFeO$_3$/

\[ n \text{-SrTiO}_3 \]

system also creates a polar non-polar interface and is studied for its photocatalytic applications [105, 106, 107, 108]. Nakamura et al. [105] studied the interface-induced polarization of LaFeO$_3$/Nb-doped SrTiO$_3$ heterostructures and observed novel shift current that suggested the polarization direction switches for different substrate terminations (SrO and TiO$_2$). This would mean that potential gradients took on opposite signs for different terminations and suggested that changes in polarization are responsible for observed photoconductivity. Subsequent studies of LaFeO$_3$/Nb-doped SrTiO$_3$ junctions with varying LaFeO$_3$ film thicknesses (3.6 and 9 UCs) and different substrate terminations (SrO and TiO$_2$) grown using MBE and characterized by in situ XPS helped to address these questions [107]. These studies found an increase in separation between core levels (La 4$d$ and Sr 3$d$) with increases in film thickness, clearly indicating that band offset and built-in potential change.
with thickness. In addition, by modeling the broadening of the La 4d peaks with different film thicknesses and terminations, it was possible to estimate the potential gradient across the film. Contrary to the reported interface-induced polarization [105, 106], the results indicated that the interface termination had only a small impact on the band alignment and the built-in potential gradient [107]. The models are shown in Fig. 10. The chemical instability of the SrO/FeO interface has been proposed as a likely explanation for these results [109]. These results were subsequently matched by first-principles band alignment models of the interface [108].

**Interfacial charge transfer**

As in modulation-doped semiconductor heterostructures, interfacial charge transfer is an important tool in complex oxides to induce novel magnetic and electronic functionalities that do not occur in equilibrium. One of the crucial parameters to determine the charge transfer probability is the charge transfer gap. In most of the cases, the charge transfer gap is determined by band splitting of B-site cations or the band gap between B-site cations and fully occupied O 2p bands, while A-site cations have a less significant role. Predicting charge transfer is not straightforward in oxide heterostructures since the classic band alignment principle is not strictly followed as in the case of semiconductors. A modified rule is based on the continuity of states of the O 2p band across the interface and allows for a qualitative prediction of band alignments and charge transfer in complex oxide heterostructures [104]. In cubic oxides, the local energy of O 2p states relative to the Fermi level, $E_F - E_{P}$, has been proposed to be the deterministic factor to tune the direction of charge transfer from one complex oxide to another. Generally, electron transfer favors electron flow from lower (more negative) $E_F - E_{P}$ to higher. The classic semiconductor rule is shown in Fig. 11(a), while the modified rule for oxides is shown in Figs. 11(b)–11.
Density functional theory (DFT) is one of the most widely used theoretical modeling techniques to predict the charge transfer mechanism in the metal oxides interface. Different metal oxides interfaces follow different charge transfer mechanisms. Particularly in Mott–Hubbard insulators, the O 2p band alignment and the competition between crystal field and correlation energy of d electrons are crucial to determine the electronic rearrangement [104]. Generally, the apical oxygen atom at the interface [see Fig. 11(d)] is shared by the materials at the heterostructure interface. This results in the alignment of O 2p bands at the interface. However, considering the O 2p band alignment alone disregards the creation of the internal electric field that balances the electrochemical potential between two B-sites and prevents further charge transfer. Hence, interfacial charge transfer between two materials transfer cannot completely be understood relying only on O 2p band alignment. An extra factor that comes into play is the rearrangement of the d- bands on B-site cations. Specifically, the density of states of B-site cations of each material reflects a clear picture of possible electronic rearrangement.

As an example, the Ti atom would be expected to donate electrons to the Fe atom at the LaTiO3/LaFeO3 interface, implying a formal charge of 4+ for the interfacial Ti ion and the shifting of Fe from a 3+ state to a 2+ state near the interface [104]. A theoretical prediction of charge transfer in the metal oxides interface is supported by XPS analysis both qualitatively and quantitatively to resolve the valence band structure. XPS is well known for its high sensitivity to the variations in valence states of transition metal ions. Kleibuker et al. [110] showed an extra peak at ~2 eV lower binding energy is observed for the LaFeO3/LaTiO3 heterointerface in reference to the bulk LaFeO3 for Fe 2p XPS spectra, as shown in Fig. 12. This extra peak in Fig. 12(c) at slightly lower binding energy, denoted by an open circle, represents the presence of the Fe 2+ state along with the typical Fe 3+ state at higher binding energy, denoted by a solid circle. Interestingly, the extra peak gets more pronounced with the decrease in thickness of the LaFeO3 layer which indicates that the charge transfer occurs near or at the interface. To understand the rearrangement mechanism for charge transfer, a valence band spectrum in XPS is analyzed experimentally. The evolution of an extra peak around 1 eV in valence band spectra in XPS confirms the 4+ formal charge of Ti, indicative of charge transfer from Ti to Fe of 1 eV/UC at the interface.

For other instances, the preparation of complex superlattices that are different from regular heterojunctions helps to enlighten the process of charge transfer. One such example is charge transfer in LaTiO3/LaNiO3/LaAlO3 heterostructures [5]. In the bulk structure, B-site cations of each material have 3+ oxidation states. However, because the d0 Ti4+ is the more stable oxidation state, one electron can move from the Ti 2g band to Ni eg band resulting in Ni transitioning to a 2+ state.
from a 3+ state that is also more energetically favorable [5]. Interestingly, XAS confirmed this charge transfer and showed a preferential orbital occupation of the Ni 3d2 7/2 orbital, producing the orbital occupation that makes LaNiO3 resemble the superconducting cuprates. This type of approach to interface-engineered symmetry breaking is an alternative approach to the reduced (Nd,Sr)NiO2 films that have recently demonstrated superconductivity [56]. Similar measurements for a LaNiO3/LaMnO3 heterostructure indicated electron transfer from Mn to Ni at the interface [4]. A combined XPS and XAS study of LaCoO3/LaTiO3 heterostructures also indicated charge transfer to produce Co2+ and Ti4+ valences at the interface [111]. Charge transfer in SrMnO3/SrIrO3 to produce Mn3+ and Ir5+ [46, 47] and LaMnO3/SrIrO3 to produce Mn2+ and Ir5+ [48] heterostructures has also been demonstrated. Each of these examples agrees with the model put forward by Zhong and Hansmann [104], indicating the value of their approach in predicting interfacial charge transfer and band alignment based on initially aligning the O 2p energy bands. Future studies are likely to benefit from moving beyond 3d transition metal systems into the 4d and 5d blocks of the periodic table to generate novel interfacial phenomena.

**Experimental design considerations**

We have shown above several examples of the use of *in situ* XPS studies to probe interfacial phenomena in oxide heterostructures. The availability of an XPS appended to a growth chamber makes these studies very convenient and generates important physical insights as soon as the synthesis is complete. However, the knowledge gleaned from such studies is constrained by both the physical limitations of XPS and by the researcher’s chosen design for the synthesized heterostructure. We have already discussed the information depth within the sample for an Al Kα source, which is limited to ~5 nm for photoemission normal to the film surface. Thus, band alignment and charge transfer studies are impractical for interfaces that are more than 5 nm below the surface.

One must also consider which interfaces in a multilayer will exhibit emergent properties, the chemical stability of the
surface in vacuum and in atmosphere, and potential interference due to overlapping core-level peak energies. In the latter case, LaNiO$_3$ is a good example of a material that cannot be easily examined via XPS due to the overlap of the La 3$d_{3/2}$ and the Ni 2$p_{3/2}$. The LaNiO$_3$/LaTiO$_3$ charge transfer described above would be very difficult to study in XPS, though the use of the Ni 3$p$ peak does provide one way to probe changes in Ni valence [112]. Furthermore, the depth sensitivity of XPS means that if two interfaces are present in a multilayer, as in the case of the LaTiO$_3$/LaFeO$_3$/LaTiO$_3$ trilayer in Fig. 12(a), then the top interface will generate a majority of the signal and any phenomena at the bottom interface may be difficult or impossible to measure. Finally, while in situ measurements protect against changes due to atmospheric exposure, some surfaces may be modified even during the sample cooldown process [32] or will have intrinsic oxygen vacancies [55]. The deposition of a protective capping layer during the growth process that will not induce any chemical changes in the underlying materials is thus valuable even for in situ studies if a surface is unstable.

Future directions for in situ and related studies

**Hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy**

Advances in spectroscopy over the past decade have largely been driven by the development of new techniques from synchrotron light sources. These have included the emergence of hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (HAXPES). A variety of new HAXPES beamlines [113, 114, 115, 116] have been constructed as new light sources are brought online, enabling XPS studies that are not limited by the surface sensitivity of lab sources. Performing XPS studies with X-ray photon energies $>2$ keV provides more bulk sensitivity and enables access to transition metal 1$s$ core levels that cannot be examined in a conventional Al K$_\alpha$ laboratory source. As discussed previously, the IMFP for photoelectrons, $\lambda$, scales with the square root of electron kinetic energy for high kinetic energies. This means that electrons with kinetic energies $\sim$10 keV will have IMFP approaching 10 nm. An IMFP of 10 nm produces depth sensitivities such that only half of the escaping photoelectrons will be from the top 6.5 nm of the sample and only 10% of the signal comes from the surface (top 1.0 nm). Conversely, for an IMFP of 1.5 nm that would be typical for a lab source, half of the signal is generated from the top 1.0 nm and only 3% of the signal comes from 5.0 nm below the surface. Thus, HAXPES measurements enable studies of deeply buried interfaces more than 5 nm below the film surface that would be impossible with a lab source. Numerous synchrotron studies have made great use of HAXPES to probe oxide interfaces, including the LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface [91, 117] and the LaNiO$_3$ surface [53] that we have already discussed. We refer the reader to several good reviews and examples of HAXPES studies, [118, 119, 120, 121] and will focus our attention in this section on the use of the technique to probe BaSnO$_3$.

BaSnO$_3$ is an exciting wide band gap oxide that has been shown to exhibit extremely high electron mobilities at room temperature (150–200 cm$^2$/V-s) when grown by molecular beam epitaxy [122, 123, 124]. Unlike other perovskite oxides, the conduction band of BaSnO$_3$ is derived from Sn 5$s$ orbitals, [125, 126] which enables a much greater degree of electronic dispersion and carrier mobility than, i.e., 3$d$ orbitals in SrTiO$_3$. While this dramatic enhancement of mobility is of great benefit for potential future device applications, such as field-effect transistors [127, 128, 129], it also poses challenges to effective doping, both through the use of $n$-type donors [130] and across interfaces through modulation doping and charge transfer [103]. HAXPES has been particularly useful to elucidate the nature of the electronic transport in BaSnO$_3$ and in examining interfacial carrier profiles in stannate heterostructures.

Initial studies of La-doped BaSnO$_3$ via HAXPES focused on understanding the nature of the conduction band profile in the material, by probing electronic states near the Fermi level [125, 126]. Through ex situ synchrotron HAXPES studies with 4 keV photon energy, the first evidence of mobile Sn 5$s$ electrons near the Fermi level was reported [125]. Laboratory-source Al K$_\alpha$ studies of the same samples could not confirm the presence of carriers at the Fermi edge. The authors attributed this observation to the greater photoelectron cross section for Sn 5$s$ with 4 keV photons in comparison to the 1487 eV lab source. However, given continued studies of the stability of dopants in BaSnO$_3$ [130], it also seems possible that atmospheric exposure led to the depletion of the surface carriers, which would explain the absence of observed carriers in the lab-source experiments. Carriers in identically doped BaSnO$_3$ were later observed in a lab-source experiment [131], lending further credence to this possibility. It is also possible that the HAXPES experiments [125] were successful due to the greater probe depth for hard X-rays, which overcomes the surface depletion. This explanation would provide another example of the effects of atmospheric exposure on metastable electronic states near the Fermi level. Further HAXPES studies of BaSnO$_3$ doped with differing La concentrations helped to explain the nature of the conduction band filling and band gap renormalization due to the Burstein–Moss effect [126]. These studies have paved the way for the development of stannate thin films and heterostructures, as research moves from understanding the nature of electronic conduction to confinement of carriers in a high-mobility 2DEG for device applications.

Modulation doping of BaSnO$_3$ through engineering of band alignment and charge transfer is a key requirement for
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a 2DEG structure. Impurity scattering from La donor ions is a key limitation to the further enhancements of mobility in electron-doped BaSnO$_3$ \cite{123}. To overcome this, engineering of a heterostructure with conduction band electrons at greater energies than the BaSnO$_3$ conduction band is necessary. In one such heterostructure, a 14 nm La-doped SrSnO$_3$ top layer was engineered such that free carriers in that layer could flow "downhill" to an undoped BaSnO$_3$ below the SrSnO$_3$ layer to form a 2DEG \cite{132}. Given the thickness of the SrSnO$_3$ top layer, synchrotron HAXPES studies were needed to probe the buried interface. Using a photon energy of 5.93 keV, the group was able to extract the interfacial band alignment and estimate the degree of charge transfer into the underlying BaSnO$_3$. These results are shown in Fig. 13. Interestingly, the extracted band profile in Fig. 13(d) could be explained by surface carrier depletion from atmospheric exposure, as discussed above regarding La-doped BaSnO$_3$ \cite{125}. These results show that the band alignment techniques that have been used for in situ studies for many years can be applied to more challenging structures using hard X-ray sources that probe more deeply into materials. Continued development of HAXPES will dramatically improve the ability to measure interfacial phenomena in heterostructures that are not limited to a few nm in thickness due to the lab-based X-ray energies.

**Standing-wave XPS**

While HAXPES measurements are one approach to probe more deeply within a material and examine both bulk electronic structure and buried interfaces, the underlying physics of the photoemission process is unchanged. As we showed above, HAXPES measurements can still be affected by surface chemistry of the films. The standing-wave XPS (SW-XPS) approach has emerged over the past decade, specifically focusing on studies of superlattice structures that exhibit regularly repeating interfaces. By varying the incoming X-ray angle such that the Bragg diffraction condition is satisfied for the superlattice, the intensity of the electric field is modulated...
across the interface. A stronger Bragg reflection produces a greater standing-wave intensity and greater modulation of the electric field strength across the repeating superlattice unit. The physics of SW-XPS has been described in more detail in various references [118, 133, 134, 135]. Here, we aim to discuss the applications of SW-XPS to understanding interfacial phenomena and present opportunities to expand on this unique approach by designing interfacial materials that will be well suited for SW-XPS studies.

As an initial example, we present a study of layer-resolved band alignment in a superlattice achieved through careful design of a superlattice structure. The structure and electric field strength modulation is shown schematically in Fig. 14 for a study of a LaCrO$_3$–SrTiO$_3$ superlattice using ∼830 eV photon energy near the La M$_5$ resonance. [136] In this case, the formula of 10 UCs of SrTiO$_3$ followed by 5 UCs of LaCrO$_3$ over 10 repeating layers in the superlattice was chosen to maximize the Bragg reflection and thus the sensitivity to individual interfaces. By choosing the superlattice periodicity prior to growth and considering the subsequent SW-XPS experiment, the largest standing-wave response ever achieved was reported, with individual core-level intensities varying by ∼50% as the X-ray angle was varied across the Bragg peak. This enabled the extraction of the band alignment across the entire 15-unit-cell structure through careful modeling of the core-level peak positions as a function of incoming angle, producing good agreement with DFT models [136, 137].

The SW-XPS technique has been used to probe changes in interfacial chemistry in a variety of other superlattice systems. Evidence for Ni$^{2+}$ valence near the interface with SrTiO$_3$ in 4 u.c. LaNiO$_3$–4 u.c. SrTiO$_3$ superlattices was reported [138]. Studies of LaNiO$_3$–CaMnO$_3$ superlattices showed similar effects, with Ni$^{2+}$ states observed at the interface [139]. Likewise, changes in the interfacial Mn valence in (La,Sr)MnO$_3$–SrTiO$_3$ superlattices were also observed [140]. Collectively, these studies have shown that the technique provides additional sensitivity to changing interfacial chemical states at interfaces. The X-ray standing wave provides a novel way to isolate the interfacial contribution to the detected signal that goes beyond conventional angle-resolved XPS. However, the design of the superlattice and choice of materials system under examination plays a significant role in how much information can be extracted from the measurement. For example, superlattices with large differences in density between layers produce larger superlattice Bragg peaks, so that a superlattice comprised of, i.e., SrTiO$_3$ and LaTiO$_3$ layers will produce a greater peak than one comprised of, i.e., SrTiO$_3$ and SrVO$_3$ or LaTiO$_3$ and LaVO$_3$ layers. This means that the technique is currently only suitable for select combinations of materials.

Ideally, SW-XPS could be used to measure not simply changes in interfacial chemistry but also to probe emergent interfacial electronic states near the Fermi level. There have also been some efforts to employ SW-XPS to generate ARPES information as a function of depth within the material, including studies of SrTiO$_3$–GdTiO$_3$ [141] and SrTiO$_3$–(La,Sr)MnO$_3$ [142] superlattices. These results are promising demonstrations of the technique but provided limited insights into emergent interfacial physical phenomena due in large part to...
the relatively weak X-ray standing wave. The weakness of the standing-wave can be observed in small variation of the core-level peak intensities as a function of angle (∼20–30%). This means that differences in the ARPES data as a function of angle are fairly small and it is difficult to extract clear information about the electronic structure in individual layers of the superlattice or at a particular interface. In most cases of SW-XPS studies to date, the challenge has not been the technique but the limitation of the samples themselves. We suggest that a somewhat different model could bear fruit.

Because the photon energies for most SW-XPS studies have been in the soft X-ray regime where it is possible to take advantage of elemental resonances to enhance reflectivity, the measurements are still limited to the top few nm of the sample. Thus, the underlying superlattice serves only to generate the X-ray standing wave that modulates the field strength across the topmost repeat layer of the sample and does not have a meaningful effect on the actual data being measured. It, therefore, makes sense to choose an underlying superlattice solely from the perspective of generating the strongest standing-wave intensity while not confusing the data interpretation from the relevant interface in other ways. In this sense, the superlattice serves as a novel substrate for the actual experimental interface, as has been applied in other cases for non-epitaxial films such as the Fe–MgO interface [143] and ambient-pressure XPS measurements on Fe2O3 [144]. For example, a SrTiO3–BaTiO3 superlattice is relatively easy to synthesize and would provide strong standing-wave effects across the Ba M5 resonance (∼780 eV). Predictions of superlattice Bragg peaks for various BTO5–STO5 superlattice structures using the X-ray Interactions with Matter website [145, 146] provided by the Center for X-ray Optics at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory are shown in Fig. 15(a). The electric field strength varies as the square root of reflectivity, meaning that values greater than 0.1 on the scale (dotted line) will produce modulations of XPS peak intensity of 30% or better. The superlattices could then be used as X-ray mirror substrates for any number of intriguing interfacial materials to produce much stronger standing-wave effects. A schematic of such a heterostructure is shown in Fig. 15(b), with a BTO5–STO5 superlattice with an arbitrary SrMO3–SrM’O3 interface highlighted in yellow that will be strongly probed by the standing wave from the underlying mirror. Given this opportunity to shift the approach to standing-wave measurements, groups should consider the SW-XPS experiment when designing both their superlattice mirror layer and the interface of interest. Others have proposed additional approaches to enhance standing-wave effects in these measurements [133]. Ideally, collaborations between synthesis groups and experts in SW-XPS early in the research process will produce samples that are particularly well suited to answer specific questions about the properties of an interface.

**Future applications**

In summary, synchrotron XPS techniques have generated significant new insights into buried oxide interfaces by taking advantage of the variable X-ray energies that are provided from a synchrotron source that cannot be replicated in a lab. Many of these approaches have only been pursued over the past decade, however, leaving significant opportunities to refine the practices to better extract novel physics from the experiments. For example, many beamlines have begun to integrate MBE or PLD growth systems *in situ* as has been done in a lab environment for many years [147, 148]. We have shown in this review that surface exposure must be considered when interpreting results, even for HAXPES studies. It thus makes sense to perform SW-XPS and HAXPES studies using *in situ* MBE or PLD growth capabilities at the beamline whenever possible. Alternatively, the use of a vacuum suitcase to transport samples from a home synthesis laboratory to the user facility can enable synchrotron studies that replicate *in situ* conditions.

Another promising new opportunity has been enabled by the development of lab-based HAXPES instruments [149]. Rather than traditional Al or Mg sources, these commercially available instruments employ a 3d transition metal or metalloid such as Cr or Ga to produce monochromatic Xe photons with energies greater than 5 keV. The recent development of a liquid-metal Ga source with a Rowland circle monochromator has produced fluxes that are within a factor of 200 of synchrotron sources, making it possible to perform measurements in
reasonable times in a lab environment [149]. To date, we know of no laboratory that has an oxide or other complex materials film growth chamber attached in situ to a HAXPES instrument, but this would be an excellent resource for future film synthesis user facilities. An instrument suite integrating film synthesis and the large probing depth of a hard X-ray source in situ would be an invaluable resource for studies of charge transfer and band alignment in future complex heterostructures like the one presented in Fig. 13 [132].

Summary
In conclusion, we have examined the state-of-the-art in oxide thin films and interfaces by focusing on the synergy that is possible when epitaxial film synthesis and XPS are integrated in situ. We have shown how XPS can be used to improve the synthesis process to observe film contamination, stoichiometry, surface termination, and surface chemistry. These day-to-day measurements can be extremely valuable to film growers as they optimize the growth process. By demonstrating the effects that metastable surfaces and atmospheric exposure can have on measured film properties, we show both the benefit of performing such measurements in situ. In general, energetically unfavorable states will not be preserved at a film surface. These include the reduction of Ni3+ to Ni2+ and the oxidation of Ti3+ to Ti4+ and Sn3+ to Sn4+ upon atmospheric exposure. A corollary to these effects is the care that must be taken in interpreting other spectroscopy results as well as other measurements such as electronic transport.

We have also shown how more complex XPS experiments can be designed to measure interfacial intermixing, valence band offsets, and charge transfer. By examining several case studies, we showed the importance of designing thin film heterostructures with the subsequent XPS experiment in mind. Predictive models for band alignment and interfacial charge transfer based on the alignment of the O 2p bands can be valuable in selecting interfaces that are likely to generate novel properties, which are then readily measured via in situ XPS. Finally, we presented future directions for XPS-based studies of oxide interfaces that leverage advances in synchrotron and lab-based technologies to achieve high impact results. Bridging the gap between synthesis and characterization in these future studies will hopefully provide the oxide thin film community with a better understanding of the complex chemical and physical phenomena that drive emergent behavior in oxide heterostructures.
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