The Demography, Clinical Characteristics, and White Blood Analysis of Leprosy Reactions in Multibacillary Leprosy: A Retrospective Study
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Background: Leprosy is a neglected tropical disease caused by chronic granulomatous infection of *Mycobacterium leprae*. Indonesia ranks third in new case findings, with 84% of the case being multibacillary (MB) leprosy. MB leprosy cases have a higher risk of leprosy reactions and physical disabilities that decrease quality of life. Purpose: To determine the demographic, clinical characteristics, and white blood analysis of newly diagnosed MB leprosy patients, especially concerning leprosy reactions. Methods: This is a descriptive retrospective study with a cross-sectional design that describe the following data: domicile, gender, age, treatment status, disabilities, body mass index (BMI); bacterial index (BI), morphological index (MI), white blood cell (WBC) and differential counts, and thrombocyte count. Result: This study included 176 adult MB cases, predominantly male aged 20–39 years old with average BMI, lived in Surabaya with negative history of multi-drug therapy, disability, BI, nor MI. The grade 2 disability (G2D) percentage in this study setting than in Indonesia (10.7% vs. 6.43%). The WBCs, especially neutrophil count, was higher in T2R group. Monocyte and lymphocyte counts were relatively similar. There was an increase in thrombocyte count in leprosy reaction groups. Conclusion: MB leprosy in the endemic area, which is more commonly found in productive-aged male, displayed higher G2D than global Indonesia population. Thus denotes the importance of active case findings. The difference in blood analysis characteristics between MB leprosy with and without reactions may serve as the foundation for future study.
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BACKGROUND

Leprosy is a neglected tropical disease that affects more than 120 countries, with more than 200,000 new cases reported annually. Indonesia is amongst the top three countries with the highest number of new cases after India and Brazil.1 Despite the decrease in the number of leprosy case in the world, the number of new leprosy cases in Indonesia increase from 15.910 in 2017 to 17.439 in 2019.1 Approximately 21.19% of these new leprosy cases were found in East Java province of Indonesia.2 With Surabaya being the capital of the East Java province and Dr. Soetomo General Academic Teaching Hospital as the tertiary referral hospital, further study regarding the demographic of the newly diagnosed patient in the leprosy division of the hospital may give better understanding regarding the current state of leprosy in the most endemic area of leprosy in Indonesia.

Approximately 84% of the reported new leprosy case can be classified as multibacillary (MB) leprosy.1,3 MB leprosy is a form of chronic granulomatous infection of the skin and peripheral nerve caused by *Mycobacterium leprae* with a higher number of circulating pathogens and often more detrimental complications than its milder counterpart, paucibacillary (PB) leprosy.4 MB complications are initiated by leprosy reactions, immunological responses to *M. leprae* antigen with two distinct types: Type 1 reaction (T1R), also known as reversal reactions, and Type 2 reactions (T2R), also known as erythema nodosum leprosum.5,6 Peripheral nerve damage found in MB leprosy, especially in relation to leprosy reactions, often leads to physical disability and deformity; thus, highlighting the importance of a deeper understanding of MB leprosy.6 However, there is very little knowledge regarding the clinical characteristics, including the gender, age, treatment status, disability, nutritional status, bacterial index (BI), and morphological index (MI) of these patients.

Various types of white blood cell play major role in the pathogenesis of leprosy reactions, arising more commonly from MB leprosy.5,7 A study by Gomes et
METHODS

This is a descriptive retrospective study with a cross-sectional design that aims to evaluate the demographic and clinical data of new adult MB patients aged 20 years or more in the leprosy division of Dr. Soetomo General Academic Teaching Hospital in 2018–2020. Adult subjects mean those aged 20 years old and above. The descriptive data included the demographic distribution and clinical characteristics of gender, age, treatment status, body mass index (BMI), bacterial index (BI), and morphological index (MI). A white blood cell (WBC) count and differential were tested on the day of diagnosis. This research has been reviewed by the Ethics Committee at dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital Surabaya with a reference number of 0459/LOE/301.4.2/V/2021.

RESULT

A total of 280 new patients visited Leprosy Division in January 2018–December 2020 with MB leprosy patients, only 176 patients aged 20 years or more and had performed laboratory examinations on the day of diagnosis.

Table 1. Demographic distribution of MB leprosy cases in dr. Soetomo General Academic Teaching Hospital in 2018–2020

| City       | 2018 Total (%) | 2019 Total (%) | 2020 Total (%) | Total (%) |
|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Ambon      | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)       | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)  |
| Blora      | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)  |
| Gresik     | 5 (2.84)       | 1 (0.56)       | 5 (2.84)       | 11 (6.25) |
| Jombang    | 0 (0)          | 2 (1.13)       | 0 (0)          | 2 (1.13)  |
| Kediri     | 1 (0.56)       | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)  |
| Kupang     | 1 (0.56)       | 1 (0.56)       | 0 (0)          | 2 (1.13)  |
| Lamongan   | 3 (1.70)       | 3 (1.70)       | 2 (1.13)       | 8 (4.54)  |
| Madiun     | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)       | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)  |
| Madura     | 15 (8.52)      | 7 (3.97)       | 1 (0.56)       | 23 (13.0) |
| Probolinggo| 1 (0.56)       | 2 (1.13)       | 1 (0.56)       | 4 (2.27)  |
| Sidoarjo   | 7 (3.97)       | 6 (3.40)       | 2 (1.13)       | 15 (8.52) |
| Surabaya   | 49 (27.8)      | 32 (18.1)      | 22 (12.5)      | 103 (58.5) |
| Tuban      | 1 (0.56)       | 1 (0.56)       | 0 (0)          | 2 (1.13)  |
| Tulung Agung| 1 (0.56)     | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 1 (0.56)  |

| Total (%) | 84 (47.7) | 57 (32.3) | 34 (19.3) | 175 (99.4) |

Table 1 indicated that MB cases decreased from 84 in 2018 to 57 in 2019 and 34 in 2020. Patients came from 14 different cities of East Java, with most living in Surabaya (58.5%), Madura (13%), and Sidoarjo (8.52%). There was 1 subject with no domicile data. Table 2 shows the clinical characteristics of MB leprosy patients based on the leprosy reaction status. MB patients with no leprosy reaction were predominantly male (71%) aged 20–39 years old (54.3%) with no history of leprosy treatment (86.9%). Grade 2 disability (G2D) was discovered in 12.3% of MB cases with no leprosy reactions. The subjects had BMI of 18.5–22.9 (47.8%) with negative BI (50%) and MI (56.5%). Approximately 17 subjects presented with T1R. The subjects were predominantly male (76.4%), aged 20–39 years old (52.9%), with no history of previous MDT treatment (64.7%). Grade 2 disability (G2D) was observed in 5.88% of MB cases with T1R. The T1R was more common in patients with a BMI of 18.5–22.9 (41.1%), negative BI (58.8%), and MI (64.7%). The 21 subjects with T2R were predominantly males (71.4%) aged 20–39 years old. T2R was more common in subjects with no treatment history (47.6%) or subjects released from treatment or control (33.3%). The G2D rate was just 4.76%. The majority of T2R cases were observed in subjects with average BMI (57.1%) who had a BI of 1–2 (76.1%) but a negative MI (61.9%).
Table 2. Clinical characteristics of MB leprosy cases in dr. Soetomo General Academic Teaching Hospital based on leprosy reaction status

| Gender | Leprosy Reactions | Absent | T1R | T2R | Total |
|--------|------------------|--------|-----|-----|-------|
|        | n (% )           | n ( % )| n (%)| n (%)| n (%) |
| Gender | Males            | 98 (71.0) | 13 (76.4) | 15 (71.4) | 126 (71.5) |
|        | Females          | 40 (28.9) | 4 (23.5) | 6 (28.5) | 50 (28.4) |
| Age    | 20–39            | 75 (54.3) | 9 (52.9) | 14 (66.6) | 98 (55.6) |
|        | 40–59            | 46 (33.3) | 8 (47.0) | 7 (33.3) | 61 (34.6) |
|        | ≥60              | 17 (12.3) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 17 (9.65) |
| Treatment Status | New | 120 (86.9) | 11 (64.7) | 10 (47.6) | 141 (80.1) |
|        | On MDT | 1 (0.72) | 2 (11.7) | 2 (9.52) | 5 (2.84) |
|        | RFT/RFC | 1 (0.72) | 1 (5.88) | 7 (33.3) | 9 (5.11) |
|        | Dropout | 16 (11.5) | 3 (17.6) | 2 (9.52) | 21 (11.9) |
| Disability | 0 | 96 (69.5) | 12 (70.5) | 13 (61.9) | 121 (68.7) |
|        | 1 | 25 (18.1) | 4 (23.5) | 7 (33.3) | 36 (20.4) |
|        | 2 | 17 (12.3) | 1 (5.88) | 1 (4.76) | 19 (10.7) |
| BMI | <18.5 | 26 (18.8) | 1 (5.88) | 4 (19.0) | 31 (17.6) |
|        | 18.5–22.9 | 66 (47.8) | 7 (41.1) | 12 (57.1) | 85 (48.2) |
|        | 23–26.9 | 38 (27.5) | 5 (29.4) | 5 (23.8) | 48 (27.2) |
|        | ≥27 | 8 (5.79) | 4 (23.5) | 0 (0) | 12 (6.81) |
| Bacterial Index | 0 | 69 (50) | 10 (58.8) | 0 (0) | 79 (44.8) |
|        | 1–2 | 43 (31.1) | 4 (23.5) | 16 (76.1) | 63 (35.7) |
|        | ≥3 | 26 (18.8) | 3 (17.6) | 5 (23.8) | 34 (19.3) |
| Morphological Index | Negative | 78 (56.5) | 11 (64.7) | 13 (61.9) | 102 (57.9) |
|        | Positive | 60 (43.4) | 6 (35.2) | 8 (38.0) | 74 (42.0) |

T1R = Type 1 Reaction; T2R = Type 2 Reaction, MDT = multidrug therapy, RFT = release from treatment, RFC = release from control, BMI = body mass index

Neutrophils count of MB with no reaction had a median of 4,840 (2,010–19,540), while MB with T1R had a median of 5,260 (3,036–16,800) and MB with T2R had a median of 13,120 (3,520–26,840). Lymphocyte count of MB with no reaction had a median of 1,400.35 (±509.19) and MB with T2R had a median of 1,546.19 (±665.27). Monocyte count of MB with no reaction had a median of 790 (210–1,910), while MB with T1R had a median of 690 (390–1,450) and MB with T2R had a median of 790 (210–1,910). Figure 1 displayed a WBC count of MB with no reaction with a median of 7,435 (4,380–21,980), while MB with T1R had a median of 7,140 (4,600–19,730) and MB with T2R had a median of 14,910 (4,160–30,330). In Figure 2, the thrombocyte count of MB with no reaction had a median of 293,000 (116,000–721,000), while MB with T1R had a median of 340,000 (191,000–646,000) and MB with T2R had a median of 361,000 (229,000–909,000).
DISCUSSION

This study described a decreasing number of new leprosy patients visiting the leprosy division of dr. Soetomo General Academic Teaching Hospital in Surabaya, East Java. A four-year study from the same hospital reported 594 leprosy patients in 2010–2013.\textsuperscript{8} The total number of leprosy patients reduced from 385 patients in 3 years (2015–2017) to 280 patients in 3 years (2018–2020).\textsuperscript{9,10} This was consistent with the decreasing trend in the number of leprosy cases reported by the World Health Organization (WHO).\textsuperscript{1} All subjects resided in cities of East Java, mainly from....
Surabaya (58.5%), which is the location of the hospital, Madura (13%), which is an island that has direct access to the city, and Sidoarjo (8.52%), which is located right next to Surabaya. East Java is part of Indonesia province that had the highest rate of new case findings of leprosy (21.19%).\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^1^1\) Amongst all cities in East Java, the cities of Madura Island were at higher risk of leprosy.\(^1\) The number of the patient coming from Madura was lower than Surabaya, perhaps related to the fact that this study was limited to new patients visiting dr. Soetomo General Teaching Academic Hospital. Distance could be an obstacle to getting treatment for leprosy patients outside Surabaya.

Leprosy was frequently reported higher in adult males aged 20 and above, while the possibility of leprosy reactions heightened before the age of 40 with no gender preference.\(^1^2\)\(^,\)\(^1^3\) Slight male predominance might be related to psychosocial and economic problems faced by adult males in the productive age group (20–40 years) rather than biological differences between males and females. Males as the backbone of the family were expected to be more active in looking for treatment with a possible explanation that women of the rural area had lower access to health services.\(^1^4\)\(^,\)\(^1^5\) Biological differences between males and females may affect the disease in younger age group or adolescent period, hypothetically due to hormone levels during reproductive age.\(^1^5\)

Approximately 80.1% of newly diagnosed MB leprosy patients in this study had no history of MDT treatment. A similar trend was observed in both patients without leprosy reaction and those with T1R. However, new MB leprosy patients with T2R seemed to rise with RFT/RFC group. T2R is mediated by immune complexes involving B lymphocytes due to an increase in the number of post-treatment bacterial antigens and then manifests clinically as the Arthus phenomenon.\(^1^6\) Patients receiving Multi-Drug Therapy (MDT) or Released from Treatment (RFT) are both at higher risk of developing T2R, especially on the first six months of therapy or 2–3 years after 12 months of MDT.\(^1^7\) This explains the increase of T2R in the RFT/RFC group. This study limited its data to initial diagnosis and thus incapable of showing the true onset of T2R in MB patients during MDT treatment.

Physical impairments are secondary to nerve damage and are often found in poorly managed leprosy cases and leprosy reactions.\(^3\) The impairments are the root of the well-known social stigma in the literature of ancient civilization that continued till now and the limitation to daily activities. The prevention of disability, especially G2D, has become one of the current goals of the Global Leprosy Strategy 2021–2030 by WHO. There were 1,118 cases (6.57%) and 1,121 cases (6.43%) of G2D in 2018 and 2019, respectively, amongst the leprosy cases in Indonesia.\(^1\) The percentage G2D of MB patients in this study was slightly higher than in the Indonesian population. A study by de Paula et al.\(^6\) indicated that MB patients were 4-fold more likely to have physical impairment (pooled OR, 4.32; 95% CI, 3.37–5.53; \(I^2\), 88.9%; \(P < 0.001\)). The G2D found in T1R was 5.88%, while T2R was 4.76%. The percentage number was less than the non-reaction group (12.3%). Leprosy reactions may damage peripheral nerves, resulting in impaired organ function during any course of the disease, regardless of treatment.\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^6\) A systematic review found that disabilities were more likely to occur in leprosy reactions (pooled OR, 2.43; 95% CI, 1.35–4.36; \(I^2\), 92.1%; \(P < 0.001\)).\(^6\) The higher percentage of G2D found in this study may be related to the fact that our study focused only on MB patients visiting the hospital. Leprosy reactions seem to have a lesser G2D percentage than the non-reaction group at the initial diagnosis. A further study with a better definition of T1R and T2R and a larger scale may give a better picture of the incidence of G2D in leprosy reactions.

The majority of MB leprosy cases were found in patients with negative BI (44.8%) and MI (57.9%). Both BI and MI have routine examinations that help to diagnose and monitor treatment in a leprosy patient. Higher BI is generally found in MB leprosy, especially the lepromatous and borderline lepromatous types in the Ridley-Jopling classification.\(^1^2\) BI shows the density of M. leprae bacilli, which includes live and dead bacteria, which describes the antigen load.\(^1^2\) Leprosy cases of the borderline group in the Ridley-Jopling classification (somewhere between PB and MB leprosy) are associated with BI of 1–4 and more likely to develop T1R.\(^1^2\) A high antigen load will interact with antibodies to produce immune complexes that can trigger T2R. A BI of >4+ is one of the risk factors of T2R.\(^5\) Morphological index is the ratio between live or intact germs to the whole BTA. Research from Manandhar, et al.\(^1^8\) did not find a significant relationship between changes in MI and the incidence of leprosy reactions. It was hypothesized that the fraction of dead germs might trigger leprosy reaction, proposing that the incidence of T2R would be more common in a MI of less than 5% or with an increase in bacterial death.

The white blood cells (WBCs) play an important role in the defense against pathogens. Depending on the invading organisms, a different group of WBCs will become more active. One of the most renowned WBCs against M. leprae invasion was macrophage or tissue monocyte.\(^1^9\) Macrophage detects and activates immune response during pathogen invasion, then presents the
antigen to lymphocytes through major histocompatibility complex. Lympocytes work in humoral immunity against circulating pathogens. Although the number of lymphocytes during T1R increased in the in vitro study, a clinical study found that the difference in lymphocyte count between different leprosy clinical manifestations might not be of importance. Neutrophils constitute most of WBCs and are essential in pathogen elimination through various intracellular and extracellular mechanisms. A study found that the neutrophil count increased in MB with a BI of ≥3 and leprosy reactions. Thrombocytes form microthrombus and trap pathogens, thus preventing dissemination. There is an increase in thrombocytes activities in T2R proven by prolonged partial thromboplastin time. This study found that the median of WBC and neutrophil were visibly higher in the T2R group than the non-reaction and T1R group. Thrombocytes were slightly higher in both leprosy reactions, while monocytes and lymphocytes had only slight differences.

The distribution and clinical characteristics of MB leprosy in the hospital setting displayed in this study may help clinicians to understand the characteristics of MB leprosy in the third country with the most number of new leprosy cases in the world. MB leprosy in this study was more commonly found in productive-aged male and displayed higher G2D than global Indonesia population. The higher G2D percentage in previously untreated MB patients suggested that active case finding would be essential in disability prevention in order achieve the WHO goals. This study also found out that the total WBC count and the differential count between the leprosy reactions and the non-reaction group displayed different characteristics. The difference may serve as potential future leprosy studies, such as finding biomarkers in acute phase reactions to diagnose and evaluate disease prognosis.
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