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Abstract A comparative study on conventional drilling and helical milling has been reported under the context of aircraft alloy hole making. The impacts of these two different machining processes on the microstructures and the fatigue performance of different aircraft alloys have been elaborated. Results show that both alloys undergo more severe surface/subsurface plastic deformation under conventional drilling comparing to helical milling process. Helical milling leads to a longer coupon fatigue life compared to conventional drilling for both alloys. The fatigue life of Al 2024-T3 is significantly longer than that of Ti-6Al-4V under all machining conditions. The use of coolant generally produces less damaged surface and leads to enhanced fatigue performance of the machined alloys. In addition, the machined surface roughness has been studied to further elaborate the effects of different machining processes.
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1 Introduction

Ensuring the reliability of aircraft is a primary concern for aircraft designers and manufacturers. Aircrafts constantly operate under vibration/shock conditions and thousands of fastener holes where fuselage skin panels are attached together are prone to fatigue. High-cycle fatigue, in which fatigue failure occurs due to repeated cyclic loading below the material yield strength, is a very important consideration for aerospace engineers. Based on records and historical data, fatigue is responsible for 55% of in-service failures of aircraft components, whereas the second most common failure mechanism, corrosion, accounts for just 16% of failures [1]. As fastener holes produce regions of concentrated stress where fatigue cracks can initiate and propagate, they play a critical role in the fatigue life of aircraft structures and are relevant to ensuring both good performance and reliability of the aircraft.

Aircraft fuselage skins are commonly made of aluminum alloys and titanium alloys [1]. Manufacturing processes can unintentionally alter the material properties and often induce residual stresses which can affect its fatigue life. Typically, fastener holes are made by hand using the conventional drilling process, whereby a rotating drill feeds into the fuselage skin. Conventional drilling involves a rotating cylindrical tool bit which has two cutting edges at the working end and feeds into the workpiece. Metal is extruded by the chisel edge and shear cutting is performed by the lips of the tool [2]. During the conventional drilling process, the material is removed when the chips are transported laterally and then evacuated through the flutes. This translates into a high thrust force and effective dissipation of heat is difficult [3]. The necessity for chips to be removed through the bore during drilling means there is an inherent interference with lubrication and cooling. Friction between the drill, chip and fuselage skin can increase the surface roughness of the hole which can provide stress concentration zones from which fatigue cracks can initiate [4, 5].

Most drilling processes also result in a burr on both sides of the workpiece of which the larger exit burr is the main
concern, particularly in titanium alloys [6]. Despite some of the problems explained, conventional drilling is the dominant hole-making process in the aerospace industry. For the manufacturing of aircraft wings alone, it is reported that 40 million holes are drilled annually in one assembly line [7].

Helical milling is an emerging technology which involves a milling tool travelling on a helical path into the work piece. The cutting process is intermittent and the material removal is done in the form of small chips formed by each milling cutter tooth. The helical milling process has been shown to have a number of advantages over conventional drilling. In a comparative study between helical milling and conventional drilling of Ti-6Al-4V, results showed a much lower cutting force, better hole quality and excellent machinability in helical milling [8]. In a study of helical milling of aluminum alloy with minimum quantity lubrication, Sasahara et al. [9] observed reduced shape error and burr formation, lower machining temperatures and smaller cutting force compared to dry conventional drilling. Iyer et al. [3] have shown that helical milling is capable of machining H7 (H7 is the standard drilled hole tolerance for aerospace metals and requires that the diameter does not vary by more than 0.01 mm [10]) holes with a surface finish of 0.3 μm Ra such that the need for reaming is eliminated in AISI D2 steel. In a recent study completed by Li et al. [11] on evolution of tool wear and its influence on borehole quality in dry helical milling of Ti-6Al-4V alloy, better-machined hole quality was observed at the end of the tool life. This study found that surface roughness was not sufficient to fully evaluate the quality of the machined surface after microsmearing was observed in holes produced near the end of the tool life. This is an important observation because smearing can cover surface defects which can cause fatigue failure, which is unacceptable in the aerospace industry. The study also suggested that the hole quality should be evaluated by measuring geometry accuracy (hole diameter and roundness error), burr formation, and surface roughness. Olvera et al. [12] showed that ball helical milling was able to produce holes in titanium alloy with an average surface roughness of 0.6 μm, compared with 1 μm for conventional drilling. However, this study also found that ball helical milling caused a greater reduction in microhardness: an average drop of 13 HRC was observed for helical milling compared to a drop of only 6 HRC for drilling. It was suggested that the higher cutting speeds and machining temperatures in helical milling induced thermal softening, which countered the dominant strain hardening [13]. Due to the poor machinability of titanium alloys, the alloy surface is easily damaged during machining and studies have shown significant microstructure alteration and smearing appearing as a white layer in microstructural analysis [14, 15].

From the reviewed literature, it is mostly agreed that for aluminum alloys, titanium alloys and steels, helical milling tends to produce better quality holes as compared to conventional drilling. The fact that helical milling resulted in a significant reduction in burr formation could result in a 30% saving in the manufacturing cost of some aircraft components [16]. Despite the reported advantages, studies concerning microstructures of machined materials and their fatigue performance are limited for the emerging helical milling process. This study aims to investigate the impacts of the conventional drilling and helical milling processes on the microstructural change as well as the fatigue response of two commonly used aircraft alloys, namely Al 2024-T3 and Ti-6Al-4V (grade 5 titanium alloy). In addition, other properties such as machined hole surface roughness have also been studied in details.

## 2 Experiment

The Al 2024-T3 alloy (solution treated, cold worked and naturally aged) was purchased in sheet form (2.286 mm in thickness) from LAS Aerospace Ltd. The alloy meets the requirements of AMS-QQ-A-250/5. The ASTM grade 5 Ti-6Al-4V sheet had a thickness of 2.54 mm and was kindly supplied by Vulcanium Metals International. The titanium sheet has been hot rolled, annealed, descaled and levelled to meet the requirements of AMS 4911L.

The helical milling cutters used in the tests were ultra-fine-grain carbide (ISO K10) kindly supplied by the School of Mechanical Engineering, Tianjin University, China. The composition of the cutter matrix is WC-8%Co and the thickness of the TiAlN coating is 1–3 μm. These tools have four teeth, with an overall length of 55 mm, a cutting edge length of 4 mm and a cutting edge diameter of 6 mm. The helix angle is 35°, clearance angle is 15° and the rake angle is 5°. High speed steel (HSS 5523, Guhring Ltd) twist drill bits were chosen for drilling aluminium because they are still the most commonly used type in the aerospace industry. Due to the very low cutting speeds required for using HSS drill bits in drilling titanium (to reduce heat generation, especially under dry conditions), carbide twist drills (Guhring Ltd. tool number 5517, made of grade K carbide with two teeth) were chosen so that drilling parameters could be kept similar for both materials.

Fatigue coupons were produced on a MIKRON UCP 600 five-axis machining centre capable of a maximum spindle speed of 12,000 rpm. The helical milling feed was achieved by motion compensation of the machining centre. The detailed helical milling kinematics can be found in Fig. 1. The borehole is generated by a milling tool which travels in a helical path in the workpiece. The three motions involved in helical milling process are orbital rotation, spindle rotation and axial feed. The helical milling parameters are as follows: $D_t$, tool diameters (mm); $D_h$, borehole diameters (mm); $n$, spindle rotation speed (rpm); $n_p$, orbital rotation speed (rpm) and $a$, feed rate in axial direction per orbital rotation (mm per revolution).
The cutting conditions used for helical milling and drilling are shown in Table 1 and are within the limits recommended by the tool manufacturers. For machining of coupons using external flooded coolant conditions, water soluble coolant which contained 8–10% oil was used.

Seven fatigue coupons were tested on an Instron 1343 servohydraulic fatigue testing machine and conditions are outlined in Table 2. All fatigue tests were done in compliance with ASTM E466-07.

In order to enable microstructural analysis around the machined holes, an additional coupon was wire-cut through the centre of the hole using electrical discharge machining (EDM) and the surface was polished and etched for further observation using a FEI Quanta FEG 250 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The polished Al 2024-T3 coupons were swab etched with Keller’s etch for 20 s, and Kroll’s reagent was used to swab etch the titanium specimens for 30 s. Surface roughness values of the machined holes were measured using a TESA RUGOSURF 10G portable roughness gauge according to ISO 4287 with a cut-off length of 0.8 mm. Measurements were taken at three positions spaced at 90° intervals to obtain the average surface roughness. The main surface roughness parameter used in this study was an arithmetic mean average surface roughness, Ra. Nanoindentation experiments were carried out with a nanoindenter XP from Keysight equipped with a Berkovitch diamond tip, calibrated on fused silica using the Oliver and Pharr method [18]. The coupons were allowed to thermally equilibrate with the instrument until the drift rate was measured to be below 0.1 nm/s. The indentations were carried out at a constant strain rate of 0.1 s⁻¹ up to 500 nm depth for an array of 4 × 4 indents, spaced 10 μm in X and Y. The Young modulus (E) and hardness (H) values were calculated from the stiffness on unloading at 500 nm depth.

### Results

A comparison of the cycles to failure for different machining conditions is shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that Al 2024-T3 alloy displays a greater (~twofold) fatigue life compared to that of the Ti-4Al-6V alloy under all machining conditions. The use of coolant lubrication generally improves the fatigue life for both alloys, except that for milled Al 2024-T3, lubricated and dry milling conditions resulted in similar fatigue life for the coupons tested. For both alloys, the helical milling significantly improved fatigue life comparing to conventional drilling process. The greatest average number of cycles to failure for both alloys is achieved with helical milling under lubrication (22,018 cycles for Al 2024-T3, and 13,039 cycles for Ti-4Al-6V). Moreover, for Al 2024-T3 alloy, the use of helical milling resulted in 63% longer fatigue life under dry condition and 18% longer fatigue life under lubricated condition comparing to conventional drilling. For Ti-4Al-6V, the improvement in fatigue life using helical milling is 46% and 69% for dry and lubricated conditions, respectively.

### Table 1 Hole-making parameters

| Parameters           | Drilling | Helical milling |
|----------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Cutting speed        | 66 m/min | 66 m/min        |
| Spindle speed        | 2626 rpm | 3500 rpm        |
| Tangential feed      | N/A      | 0.04 mm/rev     |
| Axial feed           | 0.2 mm/rev | 0.2 mm/rev     |
| Cooling condition    | Dry/lubricated | Dry/lubricated |
Surface roughness ($R_a$) values of holes produced by different machining processes are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that Ti-4Al-6V alloy has a rougher surface finish compared to Al 2024-T3 after machining and helical milling generally lead to lower surface roughness compared to drilled surfaces.

Optical inspection of drilled coupons (not shown here) shows that helical milling produced good quality holes in Al 2024-T3 with minimal roundness error and microstructural deformation. Figure 4 shows subsurface cross-sectional SEM images of Al 2024-T3 produced from different machining conditions. Plastic deformation of the grains along the machining direction is clearly evidenced in drilled coupons under both dry (Fig. 4a) and lubrication (Fig. 4b) conditions, but such layer has not been evidenced in the helical milled coupons (Fig. 4c, d). An ~40 $\mu$m thick recast layer or white layer has been found in certain regions of the drilled surfaces; some of which detached from the bulk and form debris (Fig. 4e).

Nanoindentation test has been carried out to characterize the mechanical properties of the recast layer formed during the dry drilling process and the hardness and Young’s module results are shown in Fig. 5.

Figure 6 shows the SEM microstructural analysis for Ti-6Al-4V. Drilled coupons (dry and lubricated) showed severe uniform plastic deformation region (~15 $\mu$m thick) with elongated grains. This is similar to the findings in the published literatures [14, 19, 20]. In addition, white layers with indistinguishable microstructures have been observed at the uppermost layer of drilled surfaces. In contrast, no obvious imparted subsurface deformation has been observed for milled coupons (dry and lubricated).

### 4 Discussions

For both alloys, the increase of fatigue life seen for milled coupons and lubricated conditions is most likely due to the improved surface integrity of the machined parts. It can be seen that helical milling generally leads to a smoother surface compared to drilled surfaces, especially under the dry condition. The presence of lubrication further reduces the surface roughness for both machining processes. The surface roughness produced by drilling (dry) is the highest ($R_a \sim 0.875 \mu$m) amongst all measurements. It is known that the fatigue test can serve as a first-order approximation for fatigue crack initiation [21] and the greater surface roughness of coupons machined by drilling without cutting fluid was most likely the greatest contributor to the reduction in fatigue life. The rougher surfaces and presence of notches, particularly in drilled specimens, meant greater stress concentrations which provide fatigue crack initiation sites, and a subsequent reduction in fatigue life [22]. Recently, several researchers deployed the 3D finite element method (FEM) to model the helical milling process of Ti-6Al-4V, and different tool geometries have been considered [17, 23]. Our FEM model on flat helical milling tool and its associated experimental validation suggested that for helical milling of Ti-6Al-4V, the cutting force required was smaller than that of other traditional drilling processes. This infers a lower stress experienced by the machined surface, less severe tool wear and hence a better surface quality of the borehole and smaller deformation around the borehole [17].

Conventional drilling induces greater surface damage and more significant microstructural changes compared with milled surfaces; this could also be attributed to the greater heat generated. For Al 2024-T3, the formation of

![Fig. 2](image_url) A comparison of the cycles to failure for different hole-making processes. Average and standard deviation are calculated from seven tested coupons.

![Fig. 3](image_url) Average surface roughness measurements of hole surfaces of Al 2024-T3 and Ti-4Al-6V.
the plastically deformed region found in the drilled coupon subsurface can be a combined result of elevated temperature and the mechanical stresses resulting from the continuous drilling operation [24]. The ~40-μm thick recast layer that presents in some regions of the dry-drilled hole surface could be due to the melting induced by the high temperature of the dry drilling process. This left over molten material re-solidifies on the surface of workpiece during cooling and is considered to have negative effects on the life of the components machined [25, 26]. Nanoindentation results show that both hardness and Young’s modulus of such layer is lower than that of the bulk, and the weakened mechanical properties may be attributed to the thermal softening effect. The fracture-like surface morphology observed in the milled coupons (Figs. 4c) could be due to microsegments of alloy has undergone local work hardening and subsequently separated by a local brittle fracture [27].

Fig. 4 SEM images of holes made on Al 2024-T3 alloy by a dry drilling, b lubricated drilling, c dry helical milling, d lubricated helical milling and e a recast layer or white layer on dry-drilled Al 2024-T3 hole surface

Fig. 5 Nanoindentation measurements on a dry-drilled Al alloy recast layer. a An optical micrograph of the array of indents performed on a delaminated flake, near the edge of the drilled hole, as seen in Fig. 4e. b Hardness data. c Young modulus data. The bulk values are measured within the coupon piece, away from the flake, and are statistically larger than the flake (p values <0.05)
In machining of Ti-6Al-4V, white layer appeared intermittently on drilled surfaces. The absence of severe subsurface plastic deformation and white layer in milled coupons could be again associated to the decreased thrust and cutting forces (therefore reduced strain rate on material) of the helical milling process and the lower processing temperature. A detailed investigation on the subsurface microhardness of Ti-6Al-4V alloy subjected to drilling and helical milling processes has been previously carried out by the present authors [28]. During the drilling process, the higher temperatures generated combined with the low thermal conductivity of Ti-6Al-4V could lead to thermal softening, which in turn increased the contact area between the tool and workpiece causing a significantly deformed layer [29]. For helical milling, the strain hardening effect plays a more dominant role due to the greater mechanical energy input, lower cutting temperature and better heat dissipation. The maximum subsurface microhardness value in helical milling has been reported to be 18% higher than that of the bulk material in our previous report [28]. One of the recent studies deployed a physics-based FEM to predict the effect of machining (2D orthogonal cutting) on the phase transformation and grain size growth of Ti-6Al-4V [30].

Greater volume fraction of β phase and decreased grain size have been predicted when cutting speed is increased from 21.8, 43.6, to 65.4 m/s. Despite the different modes of machining, it is likely that our materials at the tool/metal interface have undergone similar grain size variation and phase transformation. However, this is not the main focus of the present research, and future work will be planned in this direction.

Overall speaking, the fatigue results obtained for both alloys are consistent with the roughness measurement data and the microstructural observation. In particular, machining conditions involving drilling without lubrication led to the greatest surface damage/plastic deformation. This means there are greater stress concentrations present on the machined surface which provide fatigue crack initiation sites, and a subsequent reduction in fatigue life of the coupons. In contrast, helical milling with lubrication condition gives rise to longer fatigue life of the alloys. Such condition resulted in the best hole quality (least roughness) and the material microstructure is least affected. In addition, the residual stress present in the hole surface can be another factor contributing to the fatigue behavior of the alloys [28]. The tensile residual stress measured for drilled surface is expected to reduce the fatigue life of the workpiece, while the compressive residual stress measured for the milled coupon can effectively extend its fatigue life [31].

5 Conclusions

In this study we investigated the impacts of two hole-making processes, namely conventional drilling and helical milling, on the machined hole microstructures and fatigue behavior of two aircraft alloys, Ti-6Al-4V and Al 2024-T3. Results show that the helical milling results in less severe material plastic deformation which in turn leads to a longer fatigue life as compared to conventional drilling. This can be attributed to the intermittent cutting behavior, good heat dissipation and lower cutting force of the helical milling process. The use of lubricant helps to reduce the surface roughness and improve the fatigue life. In addition, the residual stress in the hole surface can be another contributing factor to the different fatigue behavior of the alloys. The presented work shows that helical milling process can be considered as a promising manufacturing technology for aircraft alloy machining.
order to further validate the efficiency of helical milling process in the aircraft assembly industry, further research is still required, especially on the helical milling of stacked carbon reinforced plastics (CFRP)/alloy structures. CFRP and aircraft alloys are distinctly different materials hence may require different machining parameters to achieve the ideal hole quality. This makes the hole-making process on stacked structures remain a significant challenge. The emerging helical milling could be coupled with advanced automation/intelligent manufacturing technology [32–34] to minimize/eliminate the labor intensive and error-prone human operation. In addition, feature-based manufacturing parameter planning and optimization [35–37] could be considered in future aircraft hole-making processes to enhance accuracy, traceability and process control.
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