Dynamic Transcriptome Landscape of Maize Embryo and Endosperm Development
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Maize (Zea mays) is an excellent cereal model for research on seed development because of its relatively large size for both embryo and endosperm. Despite the importance of seed in agriculture, the genome-wide transcriptome pattern throughout seed development has not been well characterized. Using high-throughput RNA sequencing, we developed a spatio-temporal transcriptome atlas of B73 maize seed development based on 53 samples from fertilization to maturity for embryo, endosperm, and whole seed tissues. A total of 26,105 genes were found to be involved in programming seed development, including 1,614 transcription factors. Global comparisons of gene expression highlighted the fundamental transcriptomic reprogramming and the phases of development. Coexpression analysis provided further insight into the dynamic reprogramming of the transcriptome by revealing functional transitions during maturation. Combined with the published nonseed high-throughput RNA sequencing data, we identified 91 transcription factors and 1,167 other seed-specific genes, which should help elucidate key mechanisms and regulatory networks that underlie seed development. In addition, correlation of gene expression with the pattern of DNA methylation revealed that hypomethylation of the gene body region should be an important factor for the expression activation of seed-specific genes, especially for extremely highly expressed genes such as zeins. This study provides a valuable resource for understanding the genetic control of seed development of monocotyledon plants.

Maize (Zea mays) is one of the most important crops and provides resources for food, feed, and biofuel (Godfray et al., 2010). It has also been used as a model system to study diverse biological phenomena, such as transposons, heterosis, imprinting, and genetic diversity (Bennetzen and Hake, 2009). The seed is a key organ of maize that consists of the embryo, endosperm, and seed coat. Maize seed development initiates from a double fertilization event in which two pollen sperm fuse with the egg and central cells of the female gametophyte to produce the progenitors of the embryo and endosperm, respectively (Dumas and Mogensen, 1993; Chaudhury et al., 2001). The mature embryo inherits the genetic information for the next plant generation (Scanlon and Takacs, 2009), whereas the endosperm, which is storage tissue for the embryo, persists throughout seed development and functions as the site of starch and protein synthesis (Sabelli and Larkins, 2009). Elucidation of the genetic regulatory mechanisms involved in maize seed development will facilitate the design of strategies to improve yield and quality, and provide insight that is applicable to other monocotyledon plants.

A key means to explore the mechanisms of seed development is to identify gene activities and functions. Genetic studies have uncovered a number of genes that play major roles in governing embryogenesis and accumulation of endosperm storage compounds, such as Vivosparous1, KNOTTED1, Indeterminate gametophyte1, Shrunken1 (Sh1), Opaque2 (O2), and Defective kernel1 (Choureuley and Nelson, 1976; McCarty et al., 1991; Smith et al., 1995; Vicente-Carbajosa et al., 1997; Lid et al., 2002; Evans, 2007). Furthermore, the activity of some genes has also been extensively studied. Typical examples are zein genes that encode primary storage proteins in endosperm. Woo et al. (2001) examined zein gene expression and showed that they were the most highly expressed genes in endosperm based on EST data, whereas their dynamic expression patterns were revealed in a later study (Feng et al., 2009). Nevertheless, information on the global gene expression network throughout seed development is still very limited.

The transcriptome is the overall set of transcripts, which varies based on cell or tissue type, developmental stage, and physiological condition. Analysis of transcriptome dynamics aids in implying the function of unannotated genes, identifying genes that act as critical network hubs, and interpreting the cellular processes associated with development. In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), the genes expressed in developing seed and its subregions at several development stages have been analyzed with Affymetrix GeneChips (Le et al., 2010; Belmonte et al., 2013). In
maize, microarray-based atlases of global transcription have provided insight into the programs controlling development of different organ systems (Sekhon et al., 2011). Compared with microarray, high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) is a powerful tool to comprehensively investigate the transcriptome at a much lower cost, but with higher sensitivity and accuracy (Wang et al., 2009b). Several studies have taken advantage of the RNA-seq strategy to interpret the dynamic reprogramming of the transcriptome during leaf, shoot apical meristem, and embryonic leaf development in maize (Li et al., 2010; Takacs et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013).

To date, only two studies have focused on identifying important regulators and processes required for embryo, endosperm, and/or whole seed development in maize based on a genome-wide transcriptional profile produced by RNA-seq (Liu et al., 2008; Teoh et al., 2013). However, these studies were limited by the low number of samples used and they did not provide an extensive, global view of transcriptome dynamics over the majority of seed development stages. Here, we present a comprehensive transcriptome study of maize embryo, endosperm, and whole seed tissue from fertilization to maturity using RNA-seq, which serves as a valuable resource for analyzing gene function on a global scale and elucidating the developmental processes of maize seed.

RESULTS

Generation and Analysis of the RNA-seq Data Set

To systematically investigate the dynamics of the maize seed transcriptome over development, we generated RNA-seq libraries of B73 seed tissues from different developmental stages, including 15 embryo, 17 endosperm, and 21 whole seed samples (Fig. 1). Utilizing paired-end Illumina sequencing technology, we generated around 1.9 billion high-quality reads, 80.2% of which could be uniquely mapped to the B73 reference genome (Schnable et al., 2009; Supplemental Table S1). The genic distribution of reads was 66.6% exonic, 25.6% splice junction, and 2.6% intronic, leaving about 5% from unannotated genomic regions, demonstrating that most of the detected genes have been annotated. Uniquely mapped reads were used to estimate normalized transcription level as reads per kilobase per million (RPKM). To reduce the influence of transcription noise, genes from the B73 filtered gene set (FGS) were included for analysis only if their RPKM values were $\geq 1$. Considering that our purpose was not to identify minor differential expression of genes between two time points of development, but to provide an atlas of gene expression profile across tissues using time-series biological samples, we only randomly selected 12 samples to have a biological replicate (Supplemental Fig. S1) to assess our data quality. Comparisons of biological replicates showed that their expression values were highly correlated (average $R^2 = 0.96$). For the samples with biological replicates, we took the average RPKM as the expression quantity. To further evaluate the quality of our expression data, we compared the transcript abundance patterns of a number of selected genes with previously measured expression profiles (Supplemental Fig. S2). For example, LEAFY COTYLEDON1 (LEC1), which functions in embryogenesis, was mainly expressed in the early stage of the embryo (Lotan et al., 1998). Globulin2 (Glb2) had high expression late in embryogenesis, in accordance with its function as an important storage protein in the embryo (Kriz, 1989). Similarly, O2, a transcription factor (TF) that regulates zein synthesis (Vicente-Carbajosa et al., 1997), and Fertilization independent endosperm1 (Fie1), a repressor of endosperm development in the absence of fertilization (Danilevskaya et al., 2003), were almost exclusively expressed in the endosperm. Expression patterns of these selected genes were identified exclusively in their known tissue of activity, indicating that the embryo and endosperm samples were processed well.

In total, we detected 26,105 genes expressed in at least 1 of the 53 samples (Supplemental Data Set S1). The distribution of these genes is revealed by a Venn
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Diagram (Fig. 2A), which shows that 20,360 genes were common among all three tissue types. The number of genes detected in endosperm tissue during the developmental stages was lower and much more variable compared with embryo or whole seed tissue, and a greater number of genes were expressed in the tissue during the early and late phases. Several thousand fewer expressed genes were detected 14 d after pollination (DAP) in the endosperm compared with 6 or 8 DAP (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Data Set S2). In addition, the median expression level in the embryo was roughly 2-fold greater than that of the endosperm from 10 to 30 DAP (Fig. 2C). Of the 1,506 genes unique to the whole seed samples, 451 were present in RNA-seq data of 14 and/or 25 DAP pericarp tissue (Morohashi et al., 2012). Considering that the maternal tissue is the vast majority of the content of the early seed (Márton et al., 2005; Pennington et al., 2008), we inferred that most of these genes might be expressed exclusively in maternal tissue such as the pericarp or nucellus. Moreover, 1,062 genes in the embryo and endosperm with low expression were not detected in whole seed samples (Fig. 2C).

**Division of Development Phases by Global Gene Expression Patterns**

To gain insight into the relationships among the different transcriptomes, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on the complete data set, which can graphically display the transcriptional signatures and developmental similarity. The first component (40.7% variance explained) separated samples based on tissue identity and clearly distinguished embryo from endosperm samples, with whole seed samples located in between (Fig. 3A). The second component (24.4% variance explained) discriminated early, middle, and late stages of development for all three tissues (Fig. 3A). The wider area occupied by endosperm samples than embryo demonstrates stronger transcriptome reprogramming in developing endosperm, which is mainly attributable to drastic changes in the early and late stages. Moreover, whole seed samples of 0 to 8 DAP and 30 to 38 DAP clustered closely to the embryo, but 10 to 28 DAP samples were close to the endosperm.

Cluster analysis of the time series data for the tissues grouped samples well along the axis of developmental time (Fig. 3, B–D). Embryo samples from 10 to 20 DAP and 22 to 38 DAP were the primary clusters, which correspond to morphogenesis and maturation phases of development (Fig. 3B). This is consistent with the embryo undergoing active DNA synthesis, cell division, and differentiation, and then switching to synthesis of storage reserve and desiccation (Vernoud et al., 2005). Expression differences in endosperm samples resulted in three primary clusters, which correspond to early, middle, and late phases of development (Fig. 3C). The earliest time point (6 and 8 DAP) is an active period of cell division and cell elongation that terminates at about 20 to 25 DAP (Duvick, 1961). The samples of 10 to 24 DAP formed one subgroup and 26 to 34 DAP formed another subgroup, suggesting that they mark the period forming the main cell types and maturation of endosperm, respectively (Fig. 3C). The two subgroups form...
a larger cluster for active accumulation of storage compounds during 10 to 34 DAP. The distinct cluster of 36 and 38 DAP is in accordance with the end of storage compound accumulation in the endosperm and the activation of biological processes involved in dormancy and dehydration. In whole seed tissue, a primary cluster was formed from the earliest time points with 0 to 4 DAP and 6 to 8 DAP samples as subgroups, separating the nucellus degradation as well as endosperm syncytial and cellularization phases from the rapid expansion of the endosperm and development of embryonic tissues (Fig. 3D). After 10 DAP, the embryo and endosperm dominate the formation of seed, as shown in Figure 1 and morphological observation (Pennington et al., 2008). As effected by both embryo and endosperm, 10 to 28 DAP whole seed samples clustered together and 30 to 38 DAP formed another group (Fig. 3D). These results confirm that the expression data successfully captured the characteristic seed development phases and should therefore contain valuable insights about corresponding changes in the transcriptome.

Integration of Gene Activity and Cellular Function across Development Phases

The PCA and hierarchical clustering analysis graphically display the relationship among different samples, but do not indicate the detailed cellular function. Using the k-means clustering algorithm, we classified the detected genes into 16, 14, and 10 coexpression modules for embryo, endosperm, and whole seed, respectively, each of which contains genes that harbor similar expression patterns (Fig. 4). We then used MapMan annotation to assign genes to functional categories.
(Supplemental Fig. S3). Thus, we can aggregate genes over continuous time points and obtain a view of functional transitions along seed development.

According to the cluster analysis results, most modules of the embryo can be divided into middle (10–20 DAP) and late (22–38 DAP) stages (Fig. 4A). The middle stage, best represented by modules C1 to C7, is typified by the overrepresentation of glycolysis, tricarboxylic acid cycle, mitochondrial electron transport, redox, RNA regulation, DNA and protein synthesis, cell organization, and division-related genes. This is consistent with the high requirement of energy during embryo formation. The late stage represented by C8 to C12 exhibited high expression of hormone metabolism (gibberellin), cell wall, cell organization and cycle, amino acid metabolism, DNA, and protein synthesis-related genes, which is consistent with differentiation, mitosis, and endoreduplication. Genes in the tricarboxylic acid cycle and mitochondrial electron transport are also overrepresented and related to energy demands at that time. The middle stage (best represented by C5 to C8, in which different modules have distinct profiles) is the active storage accumulation phase and exhibits high expression of carbohydrate metabolism genes, as expected. Increased expression of protein degradation-related genes around 26 to 34 DAP in C7 and C8 coincides with the process of endosperm maturation. Genes involved in protein degradation, secondary metabolism, oxidative pentose phosphate, receptor kinase signaling, and transport were up-regulated in the late stage in modules C9 to C14 during the concluding phase of endosperm maturation.

Ten DAP and later time points of whole seed samples reflect the additive combination of embryo and endosperm expression. Genes that are active early in development (0–8 DAP) in clusters C1 to C4 are expected to be related to maternal tissue, which is the bulk of the seed at that time. A group of genes are highly expressed in C1 at 0 DAP, but their expression...

**Figure 4.** Coexpression modules. A to C, Expression patterns of coexpression modules of embryo (A), endosperm (B), and whole seed (C), ordered according to the sample time points of their peak expression. For each gene, the RPKM value normalized by the maximum value of all RPKM values of the gene over all time points is shown.
drops rapidly by 2 DAP, suggesting that they have functional roles that precede pollination. This group includes photosynthesis light reaction members and some TFs involved in RNA regulation. Genes related to cell wall and protein degradation, signaling, nucleotide metabolism, DNA synthesis, cell organization, and mitochondrial electron transport are overrepresented in C2 to C4, which has increased expression after pollination, in accordance with the degradation of nucellus tissue and development of embryonic tissues. The expression patterns and functional categories of the 1,506 genes detected only in whole seed samples are shown in Supplemental Figure S4. Because these genes tend to be expressed at high levels mainly before 8 DAP, they are presumed to have functions in early seed development.

Together, these data show that the transition of major biochemical processes along the developmental time axis of the seed is produced partly by highly coordinated transcript dynamics.

**TF Expression during Seed Development**

Of the 2,297 identified maize TFs (Zhang et al., 2011), 1,614 (70%) are included in our analysis (Supplemental Data Set S3), which accounts for 6.18% of the total number of genes detected in seed tissue. The number of TFs detected in the different samples is shown in Supplemental Figure S5A. Their proportion to the total genes expressed in each tissue time point was always greater in embryo than endosperm samples (Supplemental Fig. S5B). Shannon entropy has been used to determine the specificity of gene expression, with lower values indicating a more time-specific profile (Makarevitch et al., 2013). The Shannon entropy of TFs was significantly lower than all other genes in both embryo ($P = 2.3 \times 10^{-10}$) and endosperm ($P < 1.5 \times 10^{-7}$), indicating that TFs tended to be expressed more specifically than other genes (Supplemental Fig. S5, C and D).

The number of TFs from each family used in the seed development program, along with the proportion of members present in the coexpression modules relative to the total members of the family expressed in the tissue, is shown in Supplemental Figure S6. Enrichment of these TF families in the coexpression modules based on observed numbers was evaluated with Fisher’s exact test. Significant TF family enrichment was identified for specific coexpression modules. For example, 12 auxin-response factor TFs (38.7%) were expressed in embryo module C2 during morphogenesis and one-half of the detected members of the WRKY family were active in endosperm module C12 late in endosperm development. The WRKY family has been reported to be mainly involved in the physiological programs of pathogen defense and senescence (Eulgem et al., 2000; Pandey and Somssich, 2009). Twenty-one MIKC family TFs (56.8%) were present in whole seed module C2, implying an important role in regulating genes involved in response to fertilization. The developmental specificity of the detected TFs makes them excellent candidates for reverse genetics approaches to investigate their role in grain production.

**Tissue-Specific Genes of Seed**

Identification of uncharacterized tissue-specific genes can help to explain their function and understand the underlying control of tissue or organ identity. To generate a comprehensive catalog of seed-specific genes, results from this study were compared with 25 published nonseed RNA-seq data sets (Jia et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009a; Li et al., 2010; Davidson et al., 2011; Bolduc et al., 2012), including root, shoot, shoot apical meristem, leaf, cob, tassel, and immature ear (Supplemental Table S2). In total, we identified 1,258 seed-specific genes, including 91 TFs from a variety of families (Supplemental Data Set S4). To gain further insight into the spatial expression trend in the developing seed, we divided these genes into four groups: embryo specific, endosperm specific, expressed in both embryo and endosperm, and other as only expressed in whole seed (Table I). The dynamic expression patterns of these genes reflect their roles in corresponding development stages (Supplemental Fig. S7). The largest numbers of seed-specific genes were observed in the endosperm, consistent with a study in maize using microarrays (Sekhon et al., 2011), perhaps reflecting the specific function of endosperm.

We compared the distribution of tissue-specific genes and TFs in embryo and endosperm coexpression modules to identify important phases in the underlying transcription network. Coexpression modules with an enrichment of tissue-specific genes or TFs may provide insight about uncharacterized genes and preparation for subsequent developmental processes. A feature of gene activity is shown in Figure 5. Fisher’s exact test ($P < 0.05$) was used to determine modules with significant enrichment of tissue-specific genes and TFs in the embryo and endosperm. In the embryo, TFs and tissue-specific genes were significantly enriched in the late phase, suggesting a specific process during maturation. In the endosperm, we observed that TFs and tissue-specific genes were overrepresented in the middle phase, which conforms to the role of endosperm in

| Table I. Total number of detected seed-specific genes and TFs
| Data are presented as n. |
|---|---|---|
| **Tissue Type** | **Specific Genes** | **Specific TFs** |
| Embryo | 249 | 23 |
| Endosperm | 742 | 59 |
| Embryo and endosperm | 219 | 6 |
| Other* | 48 | 3 |
| Total | 1,258 | 91 |

*These genes only detected expression in whole seeds.
storage compound accumulation and to specific progress at this phase.

To gain further insight into the functional significance of tissue-specific genes, overrepresented gene ontology (GO) terms were examined using the WEGO online tool (Ye et al., 2006; Supplemental Fig. S8). All overrepresented GO terms were observed for the middle embryo development phase, including the biosynthetic process, cellular metabolic process, macromolecule, and nitrogen compound metabolic process. Similarly, overrepresented GO terms for the endosperm were mostly observed in the early phase, including the macromolecule, nitrogen compound metabolic process, DNA binding, and transcription regulator. Genes
involved in the nutrient reservoir class were enriched in the middle phase. The oxidoreductase class was overrepresented in late phase, and is known to be involved in maturation (Zhu and Scandalios, 1994).

The Expression of Zein Genes in Endosperm

Zeins are the most important storage proteins in maize endosperm and are an important factor in seed quality. According to Xu and Messing (2008), there are 41 \( \alpha \), 1 \( \beta \), 3 \( \gamma \), and 2 \( \delta \) zein genes. In order to explore their expression pattern, we first confirmed the gene models by mapping publicly available full-length complementary DNAs of zein subfamily genes to B73 bacterial artificial chromosomes, and then mapped these back to the reference genome. Because some of these zein genes were not assembled in the current B73 reference genome or were only annotated in the working gene set, we confirmed a final set of 35 zein genes in the FGS of the B73 annotation, including 30 \( \alpha \), 1 \( \beta \), 3 \( \gamma \), and 1 \( \delta \) zein genes (Supplemental Table S3). About three-quarters (26) of these were in the list of the 100 most highly expressed genes in the endosperm, based on mean expression across all endosperm samples (Supplemental Table S4). The distribution of these most highly expressed genes clearly showed that the 26 zeins and 4 starch synthesis genes were actively expressed in the middle phase of endosperm development, characteristic of storage compound accumulation (Fig. 6A).

Previous research has shown that the zein genes constitute approximately 40% to 50% of the total transcripts in the endosperm (Marks et al., 1985; Woo et al., 2001), but these results are based on EST data from a single tissue or pooled tissues and only a few zein genes were assessed. Thus, we reevaluated the transcriptomic contribution of zein genes across endosperm development using our RNA-seq data, which is able to overcome the high structural similarity among them, especially in the \( \alpha \) family (Xu and Messing, 2008). Zein genes stably accounted for about 65% of transcripts from 10 to 34 DAP, with 19-kD \( \alpha \) zeins (approximately 42%), 22-kD \( \alpha \) zeins (approximately 8%), and \( \gamma \) zeins (approximately 10%) representing the most abundant transcripts (Fig. 6B). The expression of different members within a given

Figure 6. Analysis of highly expressed genes in the endosperm. A, The distribution of the 100 most highly expressed genes in the endosperm ordered by mean expression in different modules. B, The dynamic transcript levels of different zein gene family members in the endosperm as reflected by their percentage among all detected gene transcript levels. C, Heat map showing RPKM values of 35 zein genes in the different development stages of the endosperm. +, Having intact coding regions; −, with premature_stop; N, no; Y, yes.
family was highly variable (Fig. 6C). Three genes contributed over 76% expression of the entire α zeins A (z1A) family, and five genes accounted for about 98% expression of the z1C family. Expression of z1B and z1D families was almost entirely derived from two genes (Supplemental Table S3). All of the highly expressed genes appear to have intact coding regions as reported by Feng et al. (2009). However, we also detected expression of genes with a premature stop codon, and the expression level of these truncated zein genes is actually much higher than other nonzein genes (Supplemental Fig. S9).

It has been reported in rice (*Oryza sativa*) that CpG and CHG hypomethylation is a major mechanism for the activation of genes in the endosperm (Zemach et al., 2010). To obtain a global view of the relationship between DNA methylation in gene body regions and the expression of genes in maize endosperm, we compared the RNA-seq data with recently published high-throughput bisulfite sequencing data on the DNA methylation levels of B73 shoot, 12 DAP embryo, and endosperm in CpG and CHG contexts (Zhang et al., 2014). We found that for endosperm-specific genes, there is a significant enrichment of differentially methylated regions (DMRs). These DMRs are hypomethylated in the endosperm compared with shoot and embryo tissue, regardless of CpG or CHG context (Supplemental Table S5). Of the zein genes, which were all expressed specifically in the endosperm, 31 harbored a hypomethylation state in the CHG context, 22 harbored a hypomethylation state in the CpG context, and 21 harbored a hypomethylation state in both CpG and CHG contexts (Supplemental Table S3). Three zein genes without associated DMRs seem to be an exception. Nevertheless, among these three, the 16-kD γ zein (GRMZM2G060429) and 15-kD β zein (GRMZM2G086294) were still hypomethylated, whereas a z1B zein (GRMZM2G025763) was hypermethylated in all three tissues studied. Thus, we predict that gene body hypomethylation should have an important effect on activating the expression of genes in the endosperm, including zein genes.

**DISCUSSION**

We constructed a high-resolution transcriptome atlas of maize seed development, using time series samples of embryo, endosperm, and whole seed from fertilization to maturity. Our results show that at least 26,105 genes, including 1,614 TFs, are required to program maize seed development, which involves about 65.7% of the B73 FGS genes. Global comparisons of gene expression highlighted the fundamental transcriptomic reprogramming and well-demonstrated development phases present in embryo, endosperm, and whole seed. Coexpression analysis illustrates the dynamic reprogramming of the transcriptome during maturation and reveals the functional transitions that take place during seed development. Combined with published nonseed RNA-seq data, we identified 1,258 seed development specific genes, including 91 TFs, which should facilitate the identification of functional processes and regulatory networks that are important for programming seed development. Although very early embryo and endosperm tissues were not available because of the difficulty in manual sample collection, our data serve as a valuable resource for the in-depth understanding of the dynamics of gene expression throughout maize seed development.

**Expression Patterns Imply Gene Function and Inform Cellular Processes**

The development of seed is highly complex and requires a vast interaction of genes and cooperation of processes. Confirming the phases of different processes has an important significance in expounding seed development and guiding the selection of tissue development stages for different purposes. Many seed development studies were previously performed from the perspective of morphology, storage accumulation, and gene expression (Kiesselbach, 1949; Woo et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2008), but the deep profiling in this study fills in many missing details.

Although embryo and endosperm samples were well distinguished, common variance in expression was observed over the stages of development by PCA (Fig. 3A) and expression of genes present in both embryo and endosperm tended to be positively correlated (Supplemental Fig. S10), indicating that large sets of genes change coordinately in the embryo and endosperm over development. It is reasonable to assume that there are some regulators shared between the embryo and endosperm, so that the two relatively independent seed tissues can proceed and complete the development process at the same time. In Arabidopsis, a global comparison of mRNA populations also suggested that substantial coordination of biological processes occurs in embryo and endosperm regions (Belmonte et al., 2013). However, the regulators responsible for coordinating the embryo and endosperm development remain to be determined.

Starch constitutes most of the biomass of the mature modern maize hybrid and is the main yield component being improved by breeding. Starch biosynthesis requires the coordinated activities of several enzymes, for which different isozymes have different effects (Jeon et al., 2010). The major form of Suc synthase and granule-bound starch synthase (SS) in the endosperm is encoded by *Sh1* and *Waxy1*, respectively (Carlson and Chourey, 1996; Vrinten and Nakamura, 2000). These can be revealed by the expression of *Sh1* and *Waxy1* and they account for almost all of the transcripts of Suc synthase and granule-bound SS, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S11). Our results are also consistent with earlier studies that three of the eight soluble SS paralogs (SsI, SsIIa, and *Dull1*), two of the four starch branching enzyme (SBE) paralogs (*Sbe1* and SBEIIb), and two of the four starch debranching enzyme paralogs...
Roles of Seed-Specific Genes

About 4.8% (1,258) of the total genes detected during seed development were identified as seed-specific genes, which is more than the number reported by Sekhon et al. (2011), owing to the RNA-seq technology and more extensive sampling in our study. In rice, Wang et al. (2010) predicted 389 seed-specific genes, 411 of which can be identified in the Nipponbare reference genome. According to the MaizeGDB, 155 of these genes have orthologs in maize, nearly one-half of which (68) were found to have seed-specific ortholog genes in maize. This result suggests high conservation between rice and maize in whether a gene is specifically expressed in the seed ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$), even though different methods of calculating gene expression and different criteria were used to determine the tissue specificity.

One critical question concerns the functions that these specific genes play in seed development. Previous genetic studies with seed defective mutants have demonstrated the functional significance of certain seed-specific genes. For example, *Viviparous1* and *LEC1* are important for embryogenesis and maturation (McCarty et al., 1991; Lotan et al., 1998). Other examples include *O2*, *Shrunken2*, *Bt1*, and *Bt2*, which are involved in storage compound accumulation (Russell et al., 1993; Vicente-Carbajosa et al., 1997; Shannon et al., 1998); *Fie1* and *Maternally expressed gene1*, which are two endosperm imprinting genes (Gutiérrez-Marcos et al., 2004; Raissig et al., 2011); *Glb1* and *Glb2*, which are two embryo storage protein genes (Kriz, 1989); and *Floury2*, which is a zein gene in the endosperm (Fontes et al., 1991). Such well-studied genes represent a small proportion of the 1,258 identified seed-specific genes, but this study provides information on when all of these genes are actively expressed (Supplemental Fig. S7). The timing of seed-specific gene expression in development combined with predictions of gene function based on their annotation (Supplemental Fig. S8) is very useful for accelerating understanding of their role.

Potential Mechanisms in Endosperm for Extremely High Expression of Zein Genes

Of the 100 most highly expressed genes in the endosperm, 54 genes fall into expression modules in the middle phase of endosperm development. Among these highly expressed genes, the zeins collectively account for about 65% of the total endosperm transcripts, consistent with previous observations (Marks et al., 1985; Woo et al., 2001). Therefore, there must be some mechanisms in the endosperm that allow the rapid and drastic increase in the expression level of particular genes. One possible mechanism is to increase the metabolic capacity of the endosperm by endoreduplication with a large-scale increase in the amount of transcriptional templates to support high transcription rates (Sugimoto-Shirasu and Roberts, 2003). Based on our data, although storage accumulation is the longest phase of endosperm development, only 16.3% (3,654) of genes were actively expressed during this stage and a large number of genes are actually down-regulated (Figs. 2C and 4B). Thus, we propose that there is an active mechanism in the endosperm to limit a number of metabolic processes to save resources for the synthesis and accumulation of storage compounds in this special period. In addition, the excessive overdraft to perform such a specific function of storage accumulation over such a long time for seed filling may be a reason that the starchy endosperm cells undergo programmed cell death prior to desiccation and quiescence (Young and Gallie, 2000). By contrast, there is little accumulation of zein proteins in aleurone cells (Reyes et al., 2011), consistent with the fact that the aleurone cells do not undergo programmed cell death until germination (Fath et al., 2000).

Despite the importance of zein protein accumulation in the endosperm, the mechanisms by which these genes are regulated are still not clear. Previous studies have shown that DNA methylation status may be an important factor. For example, a recent study demonstrated that the promoter regions of zein genes have different methylation patterns with differences between tissues (Miclaus et al., 2011). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The high methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010).

Despite the importance of zein protein accumulation in the endosperm, the mechanisms by which these genes are regulated are still not clear. Previous studies have shown that DNA methylation status may be an important factor. For example, a recent study demonstrated that the promoter regions of zein genes have different methylation patterns with differences between tissues (Miclaus et al., 2011). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010). The low methylation level in these promoters is not a result of DNA hypomethylation in the gene body, which is consistent with a recent study in rice (Zemach et al., 2010).
of embryo, endosperm, and whole seed were collected by manual dissection, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C before processing. Each sample was obtained from at least three plants. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). RNA-seq libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer’s protocol of the Illumina Standard mRNA-seq library preparation kit (Illumina) and were sequenced to generate 101-nucleotide paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq platform.

Read Mapping and Gene Expression Analysis

The raw reads were aligned to the B73 reference genome (RefGen_v2) using Tophat 2.0.6 (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml; Trapnell et al., 2009) with maximum intron length set to 30 kb, with default settings for other parameters. We calculated the number of uniquely mapped reads for each gene model in the B73 FGS by parsing the alignment output files from Tophat, and then normalized the resulting read counts by RPKM to measure the gene expression level. We calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient between biological replicates with R software using genes expressed in at least one of the samples. Maize reference genome sequence data and the FGS 5b were downloaded at http://enceslgramene.org/Zea_mays/Info/Index.

PCA and Hierarchical Clustering

To facilitate graphical interpretation of relatedness among 53 different seed samples, we reduced the dimensional expression data to two dimensions by PCA using the prcomp function in R software with default settings (R Development Core Team, 2012). Hierarchical clustering was performed by the pvclust package with default settings using Pearson’s correlation distance (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006). The transformed and normalized gene expression values with log2 (RPKM + 1) were used for the analysis of PCA and hierarchical clustering.

Gene Coexpression Analysis

We conducted the coexpression analysis for embryo, endosperm, and whole seed, respectively, using MeV, which was downloaded from http://www.tm4.org/mev.html. Before running the MeV for a tissue, we calculated the relative expression values of the genes by dividing their expression level at different time points with their maximum observed RPKM. The relative expression levels were used as input for MeV and clustered using the k-means method and Pearson correlation coefficients among the genes. The figure of merit (Yeung et al., 2001) was used to determine the optimal cluster number. Functional category enrichment for each cluster was evaluated with the MapMan annotation (Thimm et al., 2004). Before conducting the MapMan annotation, the longest protein of each FGS gene was chosen as a representative protein and the Mercator was run with default settings. Overrepresentation and underrepresentation of functional categories in a cluster were determined with Fisher’s exact test. Resulting P values were adjusted to Q values by the Benjamini-Hochberg correction, and a false discovery rate of 5% was applied.

Identification of Seed-Specific Gene Expression

To identify genes that are preferentially expressed in seed tissues, we downloaded 25 nonseed RNA-seq data sets (Supplemental Table S2) from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and calculated the gene expression level. We then used the Z score value to determine whether a gene expressed specificity in seed. The expression across all of the samples was normalized using log2 (RPKM + 0.1). For a given gene, we calculated Z scores of the gene in different seed samples compared with the nonseed sample data set using the normalized expression level. The gene was determined to be seed-specifically expressed if it had a Z score above 3 in at least one of the seed samples.

We then divided the identified seed-specific genes into different parts (Table I). We found 45 genes that were not expressed in any of the embryo or endosperm samples, but were present in whole seed samples and were thus classified as other. The remaining seed-specific genes were further determined if they were specifically expressed in the embryo or endosperm. The Z score value was used to identify seed-specific genes. The difference is that the raw RPKM value was used instead of the log2 transformed value. A gene was determined to be embryo specific if it had a Z score above 3 in at least one of the embryo samples compared with the endosperm samples. Similarly, a gene was determined to be endosperm specific if it had a Z score above 3 in at least one of the endosperm samples compared with the embryo samples. In addition, genes were considered to have expression in both the embryo and endosperm if they could not be classified as embryo or endosperm specific.

Identification of DMRs

The DMRs among embryo (12 DAP), endosperm (12 DAP), and shoot tissue were identified as described in our previous study (Zhang et al., 2014) with some modifications. Briefly, high-throughput bisulfite sequencing reads were first processed by SolexaQA software (Cox et al., 2010) to filter out low-quality bases (<13). Next, processed reads were aligned to the B73 reference genome (RefGen_v2) using Bismark (Krueger and Andrews, 2011). Only uniquely mapped reads were used to determine the methylation level. When identifying DMRs, we applied a sliding-window approach to overcome the limit of sequencing depth with a window size of 200 bp and a slide step of 100 bp across the whole genome. Windows that contained more than five CGs/CHGs and were supported with at least five reads were kept. Fisher’s exact test was used to weight the significance of DMRs, and resulting P values were adjusted to Q values. Regions with a Q value ≤ 0.01 and methylation level differences of more than 30% between two samples were determined to be DMRs, and overlapping DMRs within 200 bp were merged. For the CG context, the hypermethylated sample was also required to have methylation levels of more than 40% to be considered a DMR.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under accession number SRR037559.
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