A novel elastoplastic constitutive model for woven oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composites with anisotropic hardening

Hui Liu\textsuperscript{a}, Zhengmao Yang\textsuperscript{b}, Huang Yuan\textsuperscript{a,}\textsuperscript{*}

\textsuperscript{a} School of Aerospace Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
\textsuperscript{b} Institute of Mechanics, China Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

\textbf{A R T I C L E I N F O}

Keywords:
Oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite
Constitutive model
Plastic potential function
Anisotropic hardening
Asymmetric tension and compression

\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite exhibits superior mechanical properties in high temperature environment. However, complex nonlinear behavior is not clarified for high performance applications. The present work developed an elastoplastic constitutive model considering variations of hardening behavior over the stress states by introducing a novel loading function. The model can account for heterogeneous initial yield and complex hardening behavior accurately and was verified from experiments under tension and compression conditions with various off-axis angles. The computational results agree with stress-strain responses containing softening up to failure under arbitrary in-plane loading conditions.

\section{1. Introduction}

On account of the superior performance of high temperature stability, high specific strength and stiffness, the ceramic material is able to be used under extreme environmental conditions, but limited by its brittleness \cite{1-4}. The ceramic matrix composites (CMC) improve the mechanical property by overcoming the inherent brittleness and found applications in important hot structures, such as wing leading edges of re-entry vehicles, nose caps etc. \cite{5-7}. CMC will find increasing applications in aerospace propulsion components owing to the increasing need for lightweight structures. With further increasing operation temperature, the composite becomes more important for new generation of aero engines and is perhaps the sole material candidate for service temperature higher than 1200 °C \cite{8}. Oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composites exhibit lower maximum application temperature than SiC/SiC composites, but are much easier for fabrication, less expensive and can additionally provide high resistance against oxidation and corrosion than other non-oxide ceramic matrix composites \cite{9-11}. Considering the wide application prospects of the oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite, it is imperative to study mechanical characterization of CMC.

Heterogeneity and quasi-brittleness are characteristic features of oxide/oxide CMC \cite{12}. The material exhibits linear mechanical response when loading in the fiber directions, however inelastic deformations occur at a much lower loading level under off-axis tension or compression conditions \cite{13,14}. The material behavior is extremely sensitive to the loading direction. Thermo-mechanical loads lead CMC to very different failure mechanisms and need detailed understanding of the mechanical behavior as well as damage evolution \cite{15,16}. Great attentions have been paid to the complex nonlinear phenomena of CMC. The microstructure, such as fiber reinforcement, induces heterogeneous inelastic behavior, distinct deformations and failure mechanisms \cite{17,18}. Additionally the material reveals significantly different compressive yield behavior from the tension state \cite{14,19,20}. The complex material property makes conventional plasticity inefficient even inapplicable in analysis of the composite structures.

In the past decades, different constitutive models for CMC were proposed including micro/meso-scale models and macro-scale models. In the micro/meso-scale models, the properties for each composite component as well as interactions are considered, elastic properties \cite{21}, stress-strain response \cite{22-24}, damage process and failure mechanism \cite{25-27} could be simulated based on these models. However it is difficult to determine the parameters in these models and to establish relationships between the macroscopic and microscopic mechanical behavior which limits the applications of micro/meso-scale models. Thus, the composites are considered to be homogeneous and the internal mechanisms of the nonlinear behaviors are out of consideration, macro-scale linear elastic models are still widely used to predict the mechanical response in general loading conditions due to the simplicity and usability.

As one of phenomenological constitutive models, anisotropic plasticity was widely used to describe the nonlinear behavior of composites...
for monotonic loading conditions. The yield surface is essential to establish a plasticity constitutive model. The Hill-type yield criterion was adopted in these models. A one-parameter yield function was developed for unidirectional composite by Sun and Chen [28] which was valid for describing the nonlinear behaviors of unidirectional composite. This concept was extended to study woven composites in three-dimensional conditions [29]. The nonlinear behaviors under tension conditions can be predicted accurately based on these models. However, fiber reinforced composites exhibit heterogeneous orientation-dependent mechanical responses, including the initial yield behavior under tension and compression loading [18], the tension and compression asymmetry, which cannot be considered in these models accurately by the yield function in the quadratic form of the stress component.

In order to describe the asymmetric plastic behavior in tension and compression stress state, the hydrostatic pressure is introduced into the yield function [30,31]. Vyas et al. [32] proposed a three-dimensional plasticity-based constitutive model for unidirectional plies. The yield criterion is hydrostatically sensitive and can predict the mechanical behaviors in the fiber direction accurately. However it should be noted that the normal stress along fiber directions influences the shape of the yield surface in multi-axial loading conditions, which was neglected in Vyas' model. In plastic deformation of composites including the oxide/oxide CMC, the strain softening occurs [33,34]. During the gradually softening process of the composite, the anisotropy of the material become more significant. The softening behaviors are rarely discussed in the composite mechanics community.

In conventional plasticity for metals, the plastic strain hardening is considered to be unique which indicates that the hardening rule of the material is independent of the loading configuration [35–37]. This assumption is trivial for homogeneous metals, but not accurate for composites. Introducing a suitable mapping function for the hardening rule under arbitrary loading conditions becomes the major research task in the composite plasticity. Ogi [38] found that the ratio of plastic strains in different material directions varies during the loading process and proposed a plastic model to explain the phenomenon. However the isotropic hardening hypothesis was still adopted in the Ogi’s model [38,39]. In the Vyas’ model [32], a kinematic hardening law was adopted in place of the isotropic hardening law by introducing the backstress into the yield function, nevertheless it is difficult to determine the evolution of the backstress for the oxide/oxide CMC from common uniaxial tests because of the brittleness of the material in the fiber direction. The kinematic hardening law can additionally describe changes of the yield surface for various loading paths. Thus, a further study of the anisotropic hardening modeling of the oxide/oxide CMC is necessary to relate the heterogeneous material behavior to different loads.

In the present work, an elastoplastic constitutive model is introduced to consider unilateral effects and anisotropic hardening behaviors in a 2D woven oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite. The yield surface is assumed to be independent of loading configuration and a loading-angel-dependent hardening rule is adopted to describe the orientation-dependent inelastic behavior of the CMC material. The new anisotropic plastic constitutive model can describe the overall stress-strain response, including hardening and softening depending on loading angles. Different off-axis tension/compression tests as well as by a center-holed tensile panel confirm reasonable accuracy of the model.

2. Materials and experiments

2.1. Specimens

In the present work a 2D woven oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite plate is investigated. The plate consists of 20 sheets of Nextel™ 610 fiber fabrics, formed by the matrix of Al₂O₃-SiO₂-ZrO₂ [9]. The textile sheets are interlaced by two sets of the fiber ply at right angle, the longitudinal fiber ply (the warp) and the lateral fiber ply (the weft). A fabric sheet is usually woven on a loom, a device that holds the warp fibers plies in place while weft pies are woven through them. The microstructure of the material can be learnt from the water jet cutting surface in Fig. 1. The specimen surface after water-jet cutting has little visible damage, as shown in the figure. The surface reveals clear fracture ends of the fibers as well as the matrix particles, since the material was broken by an extremely high force. The material with a raw density of 2.9 g/cm³ contains a total porosity of 25 vol% to 35 vol%. The fiber volume content of the composite is 35 vol% to 45 vol%. That means, the matrix is porous with more than 50% pores.

The maximum application temperature of the oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite is about 1200 °C, a higher temperature can cause obvious mechanical performance degradations, as studied in [9]. Due to the strong bending deformations in weaving, the warp is generally more damaged than the weft. The macroscopic behavior of a sheet is orthogonal with a higher stiffness in the weft direction. The ceramic matrix composite plate is plied up by 20 sheets which are rotated 90° each other, so that the plate possesses the similar stiffness in both 0° and 90° direction. In the present work, the longitudinal and lateral directions are defined as x axis and y axis, respectively. 0° specimens are on the x axis and 90° specimens are on the y axis.

The initial material plate is 2.8 mm thick. The dog-bone-shaped specimen was designed for tension tests and the square specimen was used in compression tests, as shown in Fig. 2. The compressive test was performed with help of a frock clamp to prevent buckling. Both uniaxial on-axis (0°, 90°) and off-axis (30°, 45°, 60°) specimens were fabricated and tested under monotonic tension and compression loadings to study the in-plane mechanical behavior.

Tension and compression specimens with off-axis angles 0°, 30°, 45°, 60° were tested under monotonic loading conditions, with at least two specimens for each loading condition, as shown in Fig. 3. As oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composites are weak matrix composites because
The transverse strain is discussed within the framework of plasticity, and the Cauchy stress tensor \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) represents the stress state. The stress state is obtained from the test results and is used to calculate the mechanical properties of the woven oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite under both tension and compression. The characteristic values from the tests are, furthermore, summarized in Table 1, depending on the loading angle \( \theta \). Obviously, the values varying with loading angle are not characteristic for the material and have to be correlated with a material representation.

In the figure, the material does not show inelastic behavior in the on-axis direction 0° and is essentially linearly elastic under both tension and compression till failure. The stress-strain relationship becomes severe elastic-plastic as soon as the loading is off-axis and the material behaves typical plastic hardening under tension. Before failure the material displays significant softening.

From the stress-strain response of different off-axis angle specimens shown in Fig. 4, it can be observed that the stress-strain curves for the off-axis specimens can be divided into three regimes before failure occurs: (1) The loading state is within the elastic region, that is, the stress-strain response of the material is nearly linear and the irreversible deformation is zero. (2) The stress state reaches the yield stress of the material in loading, that is, the stress-strain response comes into the second stage. In this stage, the material is a weak matrix composite, micro cracks initiate and propagate in the matrix. Irreversible deformations begin to accumulate. The material demonstrates significant plastic deformations, depending on the loading direction. (3) In the third regime, the material is damaged by cracking in the matrix and delamination between the matrix and the fibre. The mechanical behavior shows softening and the stress decreases with increasing strain [13].

From the figure the mechanical behavior depends on the loading angle substantially. Whereas the fibre directions are elastic till fracture, the curve of 60° shows large plastic deformations with very low yield stress. The material stiffness from tension tests varies with the loading angle and implies significant difference in elastic modulus of different directions. The different elastic moduli in 0° and 90° directions mean asymmetric micro-structures in the longitudinal and lateral plies. As the fibre plies in the 90° direction are much more inflected than the fibres in 0° direction because of the weaving technique, which leads to reduction of the effective fibre volume fraction in 90° direction, the elastic moduli in the 90° direction is small than that in the 0° direction. The material becomes weaker in the off-axis direction and becomes typically elastic-plastic since the weak matrix takes part in resistance against applied loads.

Elastic modulus under compression is slightly smaller than that under tension which is related to the complex microstructure [13] and the material comes into yield at a higher stress level under compression. In Table 1, experimental results are summarized for different loading angles \( \theta \) under both tension and compression.

### 3. Constitutive model

#### 3.1. Plasticity framework

In this section, an elastoplastic constitutive model is proposed to describe the macroscopic nonlinear behavior of the woven oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite, including asymmetric tension and compression behavior under multi-axial loading conditions. In the framework of elastic-plastic mechanics, the total strain increment can be decomposed into two parts, as

\[
\text{d}\varepsilon = \text{d}\varepsilon_e + \text{d}\varepsilon_p. \tag{2}
\]

Above the plastic part \( \text{d}\varepsilon_p \) is discussed within the framework of plasticity. The elastic strain \( \text{d}\varepsilon_e \) is calculated based on elasticity theory of composites, as

\[
\text{d}\varepsilon_e = \mathbf{C} : \text{d}\mathbf{\sigma}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \mathbf{\sigma} \) represents Cauchy stress tensor and \( \mathbf{C} \) stands for the compliance matrix of the composite. For the orthotropic in-plane material, the equation can be written as

\[
\text{d}\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} (\text{d}\varepsilon_{yy} + \text{d}\varepsilon_{zz}),
\]

\[
\text{d}\varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{1}{2} (\text{d}\varepsilon_{xx} + \text{d}\varepsilon_{zz}),
\]

\[
\text{d}\varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{1}{2} (\text{d}\varepsilon_{xx} + \text{d}\varepsilon_{yy}).
\]
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\[ f = \sqrt{3[F(\sigma_1 + \mu \sigma_2)]^2 + 2\sigma_1^2} + k(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \]  
\[ \text{with three model parameters, } F, \mu \text{ and } k, \text{ related to the anisotropy of the composite and should be determined from experimental data. The stress components above are defined in the material principal coordinate system. The effective stress and the yield surface can be defined as} \]
\[ f = \sigma_y, \]
\[ \text{where yield stress } \sigma_y \text{ characterizes material resistance against plastic deformation and the hardening law to describe the evolution of subsequent yield stress.} \]

For the associated plasticity the effective stress is assumed to satisfy \( \dot{\sigma} = f \). The plastic strain can be obtained from \( \dot{\varepsilon}_p = \dot{\varepsilon}_p \partial f / \partial \sigma_p \). \[ \dot{\varepsilon}_p = \dot{\varepsilon}_p \partial f / \partial \sigma_p \]
\[ \text{in which } \dot{\varepsilon}_p \text{ is a scalar proportionality coefficient which determines the increment of the plastic flow.} \]

### 3.2. Plastic hardening

According to the plasticity theory, the increment of the plastic work density is expressed as \( dW_p = \sigma \dot{\varepsilon}_p = \sigma_p \dot{\varepsilon}_p \). \[ dW_p = \sigma \dot{\varepsilon}_p \]

Above \( \dot{\varepsilon}_p \) is the effective stress calculated by the yield function, \( \dot{\varepsilon}_p \), is the increment of the plastic strain, \( \sigma_p \) is the component of the stress tensor and \( d \varepsilon_p \) is the increment of the plastic strain tensor. The Einstein’s summation convention is used in the present work.

Substituting the flow rule, Eq. (12), into Eq. (13) follows \( \dot{d} = d \dot{\varepsilon} \).

The equivalent plastic strain increment is equal to the proportionality factor in the flow rule. To establish the constitutive description of the composite, the stress-strain relation has to be correlated with tension and compression tests, which define relation between the effective stress and equivalent plastic strain,

\[ \varepsilon_p = \kappa (\varepsilon_p) \]

with \( \varepsilon_p \) as the equivalent plastic strain, which is a material state variable and records the loading history. The correlation between the plastic strain and yield depends on loading direction. The power law is widely used to describe the hardening behavior of the composite materials and cannot describe the softening. Hence the hardening function can be re-written as \[ \kappa (\varepsilon_p) = A(\varepsilon_p)^m \exp(-n(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_0^o)^t) + a^o \]

where \( (\cdot) \) denotes the MacAuley brackets defined as \( (x) = (x + |x|)/2 \). Above \( a^o \) describes initial yield stress of the material and is assumed to be independent of the loading configuration. The orientation-dependence of the initial yielding is expressed in the potential function, Eq. (10). \( \varepsilon_0^o \) characterizes softening in the stress-strain relationship. \( A \) and \( m \) stand for effects of loading orientation in plastic strain hardening. \( n \) and \( t \) are constants to be determined from experimental data.

In most published constitutive models the relationship between the effective stress and equivalent plastic strain is assumed to be independent of the loading configuration, that is, the hardening function is a function of equivalent plastic strain only [28,30,31]. Effects of the off-axis loads are considered in the definition of the effective stress, Eq. (10). It results in the experimental curves cannot directly be related in the computational model. In the present work, the off-axis experimental stress-strain curves are separated into initial yielding and plastic strain hardening. The initial yield stress variation is considered in the effective stress, whereas the plastic hardening is directly coupled with experimental stress-strain curves to obtained more realistic approaches. That is, the plastic hardening depends on both loading configuration and plastic strain, which can be characterized by a dimensionless variable, \( \omega = [\varepsilon_p] / \sigma_p \).

In the formulation the plastic hardening effects are expressed explicitly in Eq. (16). Influences of the off-axis loads have to be integrated into other parameters in Eq. (16) as functions of \( \omega \).

### 3.3. Representation of the off-axis loads

The experimental data of the off-axis tension and compression tests are used to establish the representation of the off-axis behavior of the material. According to the stress transformation, the stresses in the principal material direction in uniaxial tension can be written as

\[ \sigma_1 = \sigma_0 \cos^2 \theta, \]
\[ \sigma_2 = \sigma_0 \sin^2 \theta, \]
\[ \sigma_2 = -\sigma_0 \cos \theta \sin \theta, \]


where \( \sigma_0 \) denotes the off-axis tensile stress. Substituting the stress transformation into the effective stress \( \sigma \), follows

\[ \sigma = \sigma_0 h(\theta), \]

where \( h(\theta) \) describes effects of the off-axis uniaxial tension, as

\[ h(\theta) = \text{sign}(\sigma_0) \sqrt{3F(\cos^2 \theta + \mu \sin^2 \theta)^2 + 6 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta + k}. \]

The equation above establishes relation between the effective stress and the tensile stress in an off-axis tension test. In analogy to the derivation of the stress representations, the strain transformation can be written as

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_p = \dot{\varepsilon}_p \cos^2 \theta + \dot{\varepsilon}_p \sin^2 \theta - \dot{\varepsilon}_p \cos \theta \sin \theta. \]

Substituting Eq. (21) into the equivalence of the plastic work, Eq. (13), the equivalent plastic strain increment can be expressed as \( \dot{d} \).

Under the proportional loading condition, the expression of the equivalent plastic strain can further be written as \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_p h(\theta) \).

From an off-axis tensile test the stress measured, \( \sigma_p \), is expressed as a function of the off-axis strain \( \varepsilon_p \), as

\[ \sigma_p = \sigma_0 (\varepsilon_p^o), \]

where \( \sigma_0 \) is the hardening function for the present off-axis angle. Combining the results with Eq. (26) follows

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_p = \varepsilon_p^o h(\theta)\]

\[ = \varepsilon_p^o (h(\theta^o)) h(\theta). \]

Equation above denotes the formal stress-strain relationship, depending on the off-axis angle. Due to the heterogeneity of the material behavior, the effective stress is not uniquely determined by the equivalent plastic strain alone, but the explicit dependence on the off-axis loading angle cannot directly be derived.

In the present work, different tension tests with the off-axis angle \( \theta \) equal to 0°, 45°, 60° and 90° as well as compression tests with the off-axis angle \( \theta \) equal to 0°, 30°, 45° were performed to determine the plastic hardening function \( \sigma_0 \), depending on the off-axis angle \( \theta \). Furthermore, the hardening function \( \kappa (\varepsilon_p^o) \) can be identified. In analogy to \( \kappa (\varepsilon_p) \), the off-axis stress-strain curves can be approximated by the expression as

\[ \dot{\varepsilon}_p = A_0 (\varepsilon_p^o)^n \exp(-m_2 (\varepsilon_p^o - \varepsilon_0^o)^t) + \sigma_0^o, \]

where \( A_0, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_p^o, m_2 \) and \( \sigma_0^o \) are the fitting parameters related to the off-axis angle and can be determined from experimental data. The index
\( \theta \) represents the dependence on the off-axis angle. Comparing with Eq. (16), the model parameters of the expression \( \kappa(\dot{\varepsilon}) \) can be related to fitting parameters, as

\[
A(\theta) = A_0(\theta) |h(\theta)|^{n+1},
\]
\[
m(\theta) = m_0(\theta) h(\theta),
\]
\[
\varepsilon^p = \varepsilon^p(\theta)/h(\theta),
\]
\[
\sigma^p = \sigma^p(\theta)/h(\theta).
\]

The expressions above suggest that \( n_0 \) and \( t_0 \) are independent of \( \theta \). Fig. 5 shows \( \omega / \mathbf{c}^p \) curves together with the fitting results of Eq. (28) for different off-axis loads. The identified fitting parameters are summarized in Table 3, in which \( n_0 = 0.62 \) and \( t_0 = 0.95 \). The initial yield stress \( \sigma^0 \) depends on the loading angle and has to meet the constant yield stress \( \sigma^0 = 74.77 \) MPa.

Variations of the initial yield stress \( \sigma^0 \) are shown in Fig. 6 in a polar coordinate system. From the figure the yield stress reaches its maximum in ca. 40°. The symmetry of the yield surface about 90° is reasonable for the orthotropic composite. The asymmetric tension-compression experiment the yield surface about 90° is reasonable for the orthotropic composite. The asymmetry of the yield surface depends on the loading angle and has to meet the constant yield stress \( \sigma^0 = 74.77 \) MPa.

The initial yield surface in Eq. (10) is similar to the 3D Drucker-Prager model, the hydrostatic pressure influences on the yield behavior of the ceramic matrix composite. The asymmetry of the yield surface means that \( c_{11} \) and \( c_{22} \) have different influences on the material plastic behavior. The yield surface also indicates that the shear stress \( c_{12} \) may possess great influences on plastic deformation of the material. The parameters \( F, \mu \) and \( k \) in the yield function can be determined, which are independent of \( \theta \), as \( F = 0.6144, \mu = -1.88172 \) and \( k = 0.1856 \).

Based on formulations above the orientation dependence of the stress-strain tension can be considered accurately, as shown in Fig. 5. However, in the constitutive model effects of the off-axis angle in the \( c_{90} - c_{00} \) curves must be expressed by a loading state variable, such as \( \omega \).

According to Fig. 6, the initial yield stresses at 0° and 90° is not infinite, that is, the material can come into plastic at 0° and 90°, but with so strong hardening, that the whole stress-strain curve remains linear. Such behavior is modeled in the constitutive model by \( \omega = |\sigma_0|/\sigma \). For both on-axis loads \( \omega = 0 \), \( A \) and \( m \) in the hardening law go to infinity, which means that a tiny plastic strain increment causes dramatic stress increments. Such postulate makes computations stable and needs further experimental verifications.

Based numerical experiments, a quadratic function of \( 1/\omega \) is introduced to describe \( m \) and a linear function of \( 1/\omega \) is used for \( A \), as

\[
A = \frac{A_1}{\omega} + A_0, \quad m = \left( \frac{m_1}{\omega} + m_0 \right)^2 + m_0.
\]

The interpolations are plotted in Fig. 7, together with the experimental data.

The constitutive model has been implemented into the commercial finite element code ABAQUS via the user defined material interface UMAT. The present constitutive model based on the modified potential function, Eq. (10), and the off-axis dependence is expressed in the hardening rule, so that the radial return mapping algorithm can be applied to finish the stress integration using the backward Euler method. The correction of the off-axis angle is performed after integrating the increment step by updating \( \omega \).

4. Computational verifications

The constitutive model developed in the previous section is implemented into the commercial finite element code ABAQUS and used to compute the stress-strain curves of different off-axis specimens. Fig. 8 summarizes results of uniaxial tension and compression tests with various off-axis angles 0°/15°/30°/60°/75°/90°. Both axial strain \( \varepsilon_1 \) and transverse strain \( \varepsilon_2 \) are plotted in the figure. Symbols represent the test data and curves are finite element computations. Additional computational results with 15° and 75° are provided although there are no corresponding experiments available. The development of the stress-strain curves seems to be reasonable.

The figures show that the nonlinearity of stress-strain decreases with loading direction approaches fibre directions and the softening in the stress-strain curve appears earlier, as demonstrated in the curves of

Table 3
Fitting parameters for different off-axis loads.

| Off-axis | \( A_0 \) | \( m_0 \) | \( c_{90}^p \) | \( c_{00}^p \) |
|----------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 30°-Tension | 5876.51 | 306.70 | 0.00023 | 56.72 |
| 45°-Tension | 3104.33 | 209.25 | 0.0005 | 48.67 |
| 60°-Tension | 7946.78 | 414.64 | 0.0015 | 42.43 |
| 30°-Compression | 2323.17 | 473.59 | 0.00025 | 79.47 |
| 45°-Compression | 3712.11 | 355.23 | 0.00045 | 62.39 |

Fig. 6. The initial yield stress \( \sigma^0 \) as a function the off-axis angles.

Fig. 7. The parameters \( A \) and \( m \) as functions of the off-axis variable \( \omega \).
15° and 75°. The stress drops rapidly when the tensile/compression strength is reached. It implies the brittle behavior of the material in the fiber direction. The shear stress induces plastic deformations and reduces loading capacity into the material. The difference between 0° and 90° is related to difference of the warp from the lateral fiber woven microstructure in the material. All experiments agree with computations reasonably. Computations give a reasonable prediction of the heterogeneous mechanical behavior of the material.

To further verify the accuracy of the proposed constitutive model, a holed specimen was tested under tensile loading to validate the proposed model. The experiment was conducted under displacement control with a velocity of 0.001 mm/s. Strains near the hole were measured by a strain rosette, as shown in Fig. 9.

The tensile test was simulated by using the constitutive model developed in the previous section. The load vs. displacement curve in Fig. 10 confirms agreement between the constitutive model prediction and test results for the whole loading process. The softening load is caught accurately which was predicted from the uniaxial tension. Computation reveals that the maximum stress and strain around the hole appear in the direction between 30° and 45°.

More computational results are plotted in Fig. 10, in which the development of the strains in a critical position of the specimen are illustrated. The strains grow with applied tensile displacement linearly at beginning, since the material behaves elastically. As soon as the material starts to be plasticized, the shear strain increases more rapidly than the normal strain, which implies that the material’s plasticization is driven by the shear stress. As the applied load approaches the maximum load, the material of the critical place begins to softening induced by microstructural damage. In this stage, the strains increase over-proportionally.

5. Conclusions

The oxide/oxide ceramic matrix composite possesses a novel high temperature property. From experiments the material displayed significant inelastic behavior related to plastic deformations as well as material degradation. Under monotonic loading conditions, however, the inelastic material property can be described by the plasticity uniformly, without introducing the damage as additional variables. In the present work an anisotropic elastic–plastic constitutive model is developed and verified based on extensive on-axis and off-axis experimental results for a woven ceramic matrix composite. The following conclusions can be drawn:

- The constitutive equation developed in the present work decomposes the off-axis effects into initial yield and plastic hardening. Whereas the angle dependence of the initial yield condition is considered in the effective stress, the plastic hardening is directly taken into account in formulation of the hardening rule. The latter is related to the off-axis tensile test, which provides the possibility to compare the hardening equation with experimental records directly.
- Effects of the off-axis loads are represented by the normalized shear stress, as in the σ-ε_12 diagram. In the present two-dimensional cases, such formulation provides a simple correlation between the off-axis load and the material’s principal direction. The model generates accurate results.
- The flexible formulation of the hardening rule allows to consider strain softening as well as other more complex mechanical behavior during plastic loading. The experimental verification confirms efficient convergence and high accuracy in computations.
- Introduction of the orientation-dependent hardening rule into the constitutive model provides a direct strategy to construct anisotropic plasticity for composites. However, this strategy is perhaps
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