Introduction

The majority of cellular processes is accomplished and regulated by proteins. To shed light on the precise function of a protein, tools for detection and/or determination of subcellular localization are required. Also, identification and characterization of interaction partners is of great importance as most proteins act in collaboration with other proteins either transiently or in stable complexes. To address all these questions, diverse protein tagging strategies have been invented throughout the past years. In-frame translational fusions of the protein of interest and either a reporter protein (e.g. GFP; [1]) or an epitope tag (e.g. hemagglutinin; [2]) are created and introduced into the investigated organism. The Gateway technology (Invitrogen) based on the site-specific recombination mechanism of phage lambda [3] allows rapid cloning of DNA sequences to vectors carrying designated tag sequences. Most of the published Gateway-compatible binary vectors (reviewed by [4]) are designed for constitutive expression of transgenes therefore harboring the 35S promoter of cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV, [5]) or the nopaline synthase (Nos) promoter of Agrobacterium tumefaciens [6]. This strategy may be disadvantageous for purposes like purification of protein complexes via epitope tagged bait proteins because overexpressed proteins might not be associated with their binding partners. Nevertheless, most purification strategies so far rely on the overexpression of the bait protein in wild-type background with the transgenic protein competing for binding partners with the endogenous protein (e.g. [7]). However, to assure proper function of tagged proteins they should be introduced into respective mutant backgrounds and reconstitute the wild-type phenotype. Furthermore, ubiquitous expression may affect complementation [8], thus demanding for proper regulation of spatial and temporal expression. In this regard, the use of endogenous promoters or promoters of genes with similar expression profiles is more promising.

We designed the binary, Gateway-compatible “pAUL” vector series for epitope tagging of proteins that are expressed under the control of endogenous Arabidopsis thaliana promoters or the 35 S CaMV promoter [5]. The primary application is supposed to be detection and purification of nuclear encoded proteins involved in chloroplast-related processes. Thus, vectors with C-terminal tags were generated in the first instance, as N-terminal fusions would be cleaved off toward chloroplast import. The C-terminal tags are combined with A. thaliana promoter sequences of genes known to participate in those processes, namely HCF107 [9,10], HCF136 [11], and HCF173 [12]. To make the vectors applicable for...
proteins involved in other biological processes vectors harboring the 35S CaMV promoter combined with C-and N-terminal tags were also constructed.

Three epitope tags were utilized for four different C- or N-terminal fusions making possible single-, double- or triple-tagging of proteins of interest. The hemagglutinin (HA) epitope exhibits a small size (27 amino acids for 3x HA) and the availability of effective antibodies make it an ideal tool for detection. Purification can also be carried out in small scales via antibodies or anti-HA matrices and proteins can be eluted competitively by HA peptide or by low pH. The 28-amino acid Strep-tagII[13] is an improvement of Strep-tagII and has not been described for purification of plant proteins so far. This tag has a strong binding affinity to Strep-Tactin, an engineered streptavidin derivate. Purifications can be performed under flexible binding conditions as Strep-tagII/Strep-Tactin interactions are resistant to detergents and varying salt concentrations and do not require the availability of cofactors [13]. Also, the possibility of competitive elution via desthiobiotin makes it a suitable tool for protein and protein complex purification [13]. In the pAUL vector system, the HA epitope and Strep-tagII can be used for single-tag-fusions of proteins of interest for detection (HA) or purification (HA and

Table 1. Oligonucleotides used for pAUL vector construction.

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| pMDC123-H       | CCCTCGAGGCACGCGCAAGCTAT |
| pMDC123-R       | GGCGGACCTCTGGAACCCATTTTGACAAAG |
| T NOS promoter-H | GGCTGCAGCGCCAGATCGTCTCAAATGATTGAGAGGAC |
| TNOSsac/HindIII-R | CTATGAACGGTATGCTCTGAGAC |
| 3xHAAt-H        | GACTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 3xHAnstopPstI-R | GCATGCAGATTAAGCTGATAGTCAGG |
| 3xHAbomH-H2-R   | CAGTTGATACGGTAGTCTCAGAG |
| HA/STREP-1      | P-GATCTCTGCTGCTCTCATGCTGAT |
| HA/STREP-2      | GAACCTCCACCTTTTCGAAATGGAGGACAGC |
| HA/STREP-3      | P-GTTGCTGAGGTAGCTGATAGTCAGG |
| HA/STREP-4      | TGAGACCAAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HA/STREP-5      | P-TCTCCATCATGAGTTATAAGTCAGG |
| HA/STREP-6      | AATTCGAGGTCTATGCTTCTCAGG |
| HA/STREP-7      | CGGATCTGCTGCTCTCATGCTGAT |
| 3xHAlaXbaI-R    | GCTCTAGATGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 3cIgGBD-XbaI-H  | ACTCTAGACTGGAAGTTCTGCTGGG |
| 3cIgGBD-PstI-R  | CGGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 35SAscH-H       | GTGAGCTGAGGGTCGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 35SAscR-R       | GCTCTAGAGGAGTCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF107-Prom-H1  | CCGGTCATGGAGGTATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF107-Prom-R1  | CCGGTCATGGAGGTATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF107-Prom-H5-2 | GGGCGGCGATGGATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF107-Prom-R5  | GGGCGGCGATGGATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF136-Prom-H1  | CCGGTCATGGAGGTATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF136-Prom-R1  | CCGGTCATGGAGGTATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF173-Prom-H1  | GGGCGGCGATGGATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| HCF173-Prom-R1  | GGGCGGCGATGGATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 3xHA-AscI/PstI-H | GCATCGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 3xHA-PstI-H     | GCATCGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| 3xHA-AscI/HindIII-R | CTGTAAGATGCTGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| Strep-XbaI-H1   | ACTCTAGATGCGGTCGAGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| Strep-AscI-H2   | GACGTAGCTGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| Strep-AscI/H3-2 | GACGTAGCTGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| Strep-PstI-R    | CGGCGGCGATGGATGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| Strep-AscI-R    | CTGGACGGAACCTATGCTGAGGAGTCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| IgG-AscI/BstXI-H | GACGTAGCTGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |
| IgG-3C-BstXI-R  | GACGTAGCTGAGGCGGGCGGCTGAGATCTACATAGTCACGAG |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053787.t001
Strep-tagIII. Double tagging includes both the HA epitope and Strep-tagIII cloned in series and is supposed to serve for one-step purification via StrepTactin and subsequent detection via the HA epitope. Alternatively, two-step purification via StrepTactin and anti-HA affinity matrix may be carried out if required. Finally, we designed an alternative TAP (tandem affinity purification)-tag. The TAP tag originally developed in yeast consists of two immunoglobulin-binding domains of protein A from *Staphylococcus aureus* (ProtA), a tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage site and a calmodulin binding site (CBP) [14], but has been modified in the past years (reviewed by [15]). [16] adapted this tag to plant systems by replacing the human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C protease cleavage site, which is a strong binding affinity to IgG Sepharose making it well suitable for protein purification. However, the large size of the tag (116 amino acids; ~13 kDa) may affect the function of the protein fused to it. The TEV cleavage site from the original TAP tag was replaced by the human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C protease cleavage site, which can be processed even at low temperatures according to [7].

Here, we describe cloning of the pAUL vector series. We test the 35 S CaMV promoter and promoters from *HCF107*, *HCF136*, and *HCF173* for their activities by quantitative and histochemical GUS assays. Complementation with different promoter/tag combinations is tested using *hcf107*2 [9] and *hcf208* [17] mutants. Corresponding proteins are encoded in the nucleus and transported to chloroplast membranes where they affect thylakoid membrane biogenesis. Whereas *HCF107* forms a low abundant high molecular weight complex [10] and is required for expression of the chloroplast-encoded photosystem II subunit PsbH, *HCF208* is part of the system IV c-type cytochrome maturation machinery for the b6 subunit of the cytochrome b6f complex [18,19] and fulfills its function as a stable heterodimer transiently interacting with other proteins [20]. Finally, integrity of the different tags is tested by small-scale affinity purification of *HCF208* from thylakoid membranes.

**Materials and Methods**

**pAUL Vector Construction**

Oligonucleotides used for pAUL vector construction are summarized in Table 1.

**Construction of pAUL 1 to pAUL12.** Cassette C1 from the pMDC123 Gateway vector [21] was modified prior to cloning of tags and promoters into the plasmid. The att cassette was amplified using primers pMDC123-H and pMDC123-R and then removed from the vector by digestion with AscI and SacI. The PCR product lacking 44 bp stop codon-containing sequence between attR2 site and SacI recognition site was digested using AscI and SacI and cloned into pMDC123 to generate pMDC123(-stop). The integrity of the att cassette was tested by sequencing.

The tags were first assembled in pBluescript II (pBSII) KS+ and each fused to the Nos terminator, which was obtained from the pC-TAPa plasmid [7] by PCR reaction using primers T NOS/pSad-H and T NOS/SacI/HindIII-R. The pSad/HindIII digested PCR product was ligated into the pBSII phagemid already containing the assembled tags, which were generated as follows.

For vectors containing only the 3× HA tag the DNA sequence from plasmid p21g3×HA-pBS (provided by Ute Hoecker) [22] was amplified with primers 3×HA/SacI-H and 3×HA/Stop/Pal-R and cloned using SacI and PdI generating pBS-3×HA/pD1.

The DNA sequence of 3× HA tag cloned into the multiple tags was amplified using primers 3×HASacI-H and 3×HABA6I-R2 and cloned into pBSII after digestion with SacI and BamHI generating pBS-3×HA/BamHI.

Strep-tagIII [13] was obtained by annealing of the oligonucleotides HA/STREP-1 to -6 at 90°C for 15 minutes in annealing buffer (0.1 M Tris/HCl, pH 7.5; 1 M NaCl; 10 mM EDTA). The DNA sequence was adapted to plant codon usage. For the generation of 3× HA/Strep-tagIII the DNA fragment was amplified using primers HA/STREP-7 and HA/STREP-9. The BamHI/PalI digested PCR product was cloned into pBS-3×HA/BamHI generating pBS-3×HA/StrepIII/PalI.

The 3×HA/StrepIII/PA tag was created by amplification of the Strep-tagIII DNA fragment with primers HA/STREP-7 and 3×HASacI/StrepIII-R and amplification of the 2×ProteinA tag including 3C protease cleavage site from pC-TAPa using primers 3×IgG-βD-SacI-H and 3×IgG-βD/Pal-R. Both PCR products digested BamHI/SacI and PalI/PalI respectively were cloned into pBS-3×HA/BamHI generating pBS-3×HA/StrepIII/PalI.

The three tag constructs including nos terminator were removed from pBSI by digestion with SacI and ligated into the pMDC123(-stop) plasmid creating plasmids pMDC123(-stop)-3×HA, pMDC123(-stop)-3×HA/StrepIII and pMDC123(-stop)-3×HA/StrepIII/PA. The correct orientation of the tags was verified by restriction analysis.

The 2×35 S CaMV promoter and the three endogenous promoters from *HCF107*, *HCF136* and *HCF173* were each cloned by PCR reaction and digestion with AscI into the three pMDC123(-stop) plasmids containing the tag constructs.

From plasmid pYL436 the 2×35 S CaMV promoter was amplified using primers 35SAscI-H and 35SSacI-R. Predicted promoter sequences of genes *HCF107*, *HCF136* and *HCF173* were amplified from genomic DNA of *A. thaliana* Columbia-0 ecotype. The *HCF107* promoter was amplified by adapter PCR using primers *HCF107*-Prom-H1 and *HCF107*-Prom-R1 in the first step and primers *HCF107*-Prom-H5-2 and *HCF107*-Prom-R5 in the second step. Promoters of *HCF136* and *HCF173* were amplified with primers *HCF136*-Prom-H1/*HCF136*-Prom-R1 and *HCF173*-Prom-H1/*HCF173*-Prom-R1 respectively. AscI digested PCR products were ligated to pMDC123(-stop)-3×HA, pMDC123(-stop)-3×HA/StrepIII and pMDC123(-stop)-3×HA/StrepIII/PA generating vectors pAUL1 to pAUL12. Correct orientation was checked by restriction analyses. After completion of the vectors promoters and tags were checked by sequencing.

**Construction of pAUL13 to pAUL16.** For the creation of pAUL13 to pAUL16 the modified att cassette from pMDC123-stop was transferred to the Gateway vector pMDC99 [21] generating pMDC99(-stop). The Strep-tagIII sequence was amplified with primers HA/Strep-9 and StrepIII/NotI-SacI-H from vector pBSI-3×HA/StrepIII/PA. After digestion with NotI and PalI the fragment was ligated to a pBluescript II vector already containing the NotI terminator sequence (cloned as described above). Correct fragments were removed from pBSII via SacI restriction site and ligated to pMDC99(-stop) creating pMDC99-Strep. Promoters 2×35 S CaMV, *HCF107*, *HCF136*, and *HCF173* were extracted from the above-described pAUL vectors via AscI and fused to pMDC99-Strep generating pAUL13 to pAUL16. Correct orientation was checked by restriction analyses. After completion of the vectors promoters and tags were checked by sequencing.

**Construction of pAUL17 to pAUL20.** N-terminal versions of the pAUL vector series were produced using pMDC32 [21] containing a 2×35 S CaMV promoter and nos terminator as a backbone.

3×HA and Strep-tagIII sequences were amplified from the pAUL2 vector, pN-TAPa [7] served as template for IgG-BD+3C protease cleavage site.
In order to create vectors containing the 3x HA only, the sequence was amplified with primers 3xHA-AscI/PstI-H and 3xHA-AseI/HindIII-R. For double and triple tag primers 3xHA-PstI-H and 3xHA-AseI/HindIII-R used. Both PCR products were digested by PstI and HindIII and ligated to pBSII to create pBS-3xHA-N and pBS-PstI-3xHA-N respectively.

For the single tag Strep-tagⅢ was amplified via primers Strep-I/AscI-H and Strep-I/AscI-R, restricted with AscI and directly ligated to AscI-linearized pMDC32. The Strep-tagⅢ sequence needed for the 3xHA/StrepⅢ double tag was amplified with primers Strep-sacI/AscI-H-2 and Strep-PstI-R. The AscI/PstI digested fragment was fused to pBS-PstI-3xHA-N resulting in pBS-StrepⅢ/3xHA-N. Finally, primers Strep-XbaI-H and Strep-PstI-R were employed for amplification of Strep-tagⅢ needed for the triple tag. The fragment was restricted with XbaI and PstI and introduced into pBS-PstI-3xHA-N generating pBS-XbaI-StrepⅢ/3xHA-N.

The sequence of the 2x Protein A tag and 3C protease cleavage site was amplified with primers IgG-AseI/BoXI-H and IgG-3C-BoXI-R2 and digested with enzymes BoXI and BoXI. The fragment was ligated to pBS-XbaI-StrepⅢ/3xHA-N digested with XbaI and HindIII (BoXI and XbaI form compatible sticky ends) to create pBS-PA/StrepⅢ/3xHA-N.

All tag sequences and pMDC32 were restricted with AscI and ligated. After confirmation of the correct orientation the tags were checked by sequencing.

Cloning of Target Genes to pAUL Vectors

For characterization of the promoters the β-glucuronidase (GUS) gene and the Nos terminator were amplified from the pBI121 vector [23] using primers GUSf/Term-H and GUSf/Term-R containing attB sites (Table 2).

For complementation analyses HCF107 was amplified with primers start107attB1-H and 107attB2-R (Table 2) using pPEX107PA [10] as a template. HCF208 was amplified from cDNA obtained by reverse transcription of total RNA isolated from Arabidopsis wild type Columbia-0 using primers start208attB1-H and 208attB2-R (Table 2).

BP cloning reaction (Invitrogen) between the PCR products and pDONR221 were accomplished according to the Gateway manual creating pENTRY221+GUS, pENTRY+HCF107, and pENTRY+HCF208. Aliquots (5 μl) were transformed into Escherichia coli strain DH1α using heat shock. The recombinants were selected on LB agar plates containing 50 μg/ml kanamycin. After sequence analysis of the recombinant DNA sequence LR cloning reactions (Invitrogen) were performed (according to the Gateway manual) to introduce the genes into the respective pAUL vectors. The β-glucuronidase gene was introduced into pAUL1, pAUL4, pAUL7, and pAUL10 creating GUSpAUL1, GUSpAUL4, GUSpAUL7, and GUSpAUL10. HCF107 and HCF208 were recombined into pAUL1, pAUL2, pAUL3, pAUL6, and pAUL9. Resulting vectors were named HCF107pAUL1, HCF107pAUL2, HCF107pAUL3, HCF107pAUL6, HCF107pAUL9, HCF208pAUL1, HCF208pAUL2, HCF208pAUL3, HCF208pAUL6, and HCF208pAUL9.

Because pAUL vectors as well as the pENTRY221 vectors can only be selected on kanamycin containing media 5 μl of each reaction mixture were digested with HindIII or Enw1155I respectively in order to linearize the pDONR221+GUS vector to avoid its transformation. The vectors were transformed into DH1α using heat shock and recombinants were selected on LB agar media supplemented with 50 μg/ml kanamycin. After restriction analyses the correct reading frame of each gene and the tags was checked by sequencing.

Plant Material, Growth Conditions, and Plant Transformation

All constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 and introduced to A. thaliana using the floral dip method [24]. GUS constructs were transferred into wild-type Columbia-0 ecotype. pAUL vectors containing HCF107 or HCF208 cDNA were introduced into heterozygous hcf107-1 hcf208-2 (Wassilewskija ecotype) [9] and hcf208-2 (Columbia-0 ecotype) [17] plants respectively.

For seed production, protein extraction, spectroscopic measurements, and measurement of GUS activity, plants were grown on soil in a growth chamber operating at a 16 h light/8 h darkness period at a photon flux density (PFD) of approximately 50–70 μmol s⁻¹ m⁻² and a constant temperature of 21°C. Protein extracts used for affinity purification were isolated from plants grown under short-day conditions (8 h light/16 h darkness).

Homozygous hcf208 and hcf107-2 plants were grown on 0.5x Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with 2% sucrose and 0.3% gelrite (Roht, Karlsruhe, Germany). Seedlings were exposed to a 16 h light/8 h darkness period at a PFD of approximately 50–70 μmol s⁻¹ m⁻². Selection of mutant plants exhibiting high chlorophyll fluorescence phenotype was performed in the dark under UV light [11].

Transformants were selected on 0.5x MS medium as described above containing 10 μg ml⁻¹ phosphinothricin.

Measurement of GUS Activity and Histochemical Analyses

GUS analyses were carried out with T1 plants of A. thaliana harboring GUSpAUL1, GUSpAUL4, GUSpAUL7, and GUSpAUL10, respectively. Quantitative determination of GUS activity was performed with 15- and 30-day-old plants harvested at midday after 6 hours of illumination according to [26] and [27]. The average values of the data are expressed by medians.

Table 2. Oligonucleotides used for cloning of target genes.

| Oligonucleotide | Sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| GUSf/Term-H     | GGGGACACTGTGATAACAAAAACGAGGCTGACGACCACTGTAAGGATGAGAACC |
| GUSf/Term-R     | GGGGACACTGTGATAACAAAAACGAGGCTGACGACCACTGTAAGGATGAGGACA |
| start107 attB1-H| GGGGACACTGTGATAACAAAAACGAGGCTGACGACCACTGTAAGGATGAGGACA |
| 107 attB2-R     | GGGGACACTGTGATAACAAAAACGAGGCTGACGACCACTGTAAGGATGAGGACA |
| start208 attB1-H| GGGGACACTGTGATAACAAAAACGAGGCTGACGACCACTGTAAGGATGAGGACA |
| 208 attB2-R     | GGGGACACTGTGATAACAAAAACGAGGCTGACGACCACTGTAAGGATGAGGACA |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053787.t002
For histochemical analyses either intact 5-day-old seedlings or sections of 3-week-old plants cut manually with a razorblade were transferred into incubation buffer (100 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.5; 10 mM EDTA; 50 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆]; 50 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₅]₉; 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100; 2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide acid) and vacuum-infiltrated. Samples were incubated at 37°C until they stained blue and fixed in 75% ethanol and 25% acetic acid for 10 minutes. Subsequently, chlorophyll was removed by treatment with 70% ethanol.

**Fluorescence Measurements**

For fluorescence measurements complemented hcf107.2 or hcf208 plants carrying the respective wild-type gene in pAUL1, -2, -3, -6, or -9 vectors were employed. Chlorophyll fluorescence was imaged with a closed FluorCam FC 800-C controlled by FluorCam 6 software (Photon Systems Instruments) on 3-week-old plants. Experiments were carried out using pre-designed quenching protocol provided by the software.

**Protein Extraction and Western Blot Analysis**

Proteins were extracted from the same plants that were also used for fluorescence measurements described above according to [28]. Three plants from each line were pooled, pestled in liquid nitrogen and immediately transferred to extraction buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.8; 4 M urea; 5% (w/v) SDS; 15% (w/v) glycerol; 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol). The samples were boiled for 4 minutes and cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 5 minutes. Protein concentration was determined using RC DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad). 50 µg total protein was separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel according to [29], transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and immunoblots were decorated with anti-HA-Peroxidase (Roche Applied Sciences) and anti-ATP Synthase.

**Affinity Purification of Fusion Proteins**

Leaves of 4- to 6-week-old complemented hcf208 carrying either HCF208pAUL1, HCF208pAUL2 or HCF208pAUL3 constructs were homogenized in lysis buffer (10 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.8; 10 mM MgCl₂; and 25 mM KCl). Cell debris was separated by Miracloth filtration. The suspension was centrifuged at 4°C until they stained blue and fixed in 75% ethanol and 25% acetic acid for 10 minutes. Subsequently, chlorophyll was removed by treatment with 70% ethanol.

Samples from HCF208pAUL1 (containing the 3x HA tag only) plants were incubated with a bed volume of 100 µl anti-HA affinity matrix (Roche Applied Sciences) for 1 h at 4°C on a rotator. The matrix was washed with 20 volumes of washing buffer. Proteins were eluted by incubating the affinity matrix three times with 1 volume of elution buffer (1 mg/ml HA peptide (Roche Applied Sciences) in washing buffer for 15 minutes at 37°C.

**Results and Discussion**

**Vectors for Differential Expression and Tagging**

We constructed a series of 20 binary Gateway-compatible vectors containing different combinations of promoters and tags, named pAUL1-20 (Figure 1). Four single, double or triple tags were cloned into various backbone Gateway vectors from the pMDC series [21] allowing either C- or N-terminal protein fusions to facilitate protein detection and purification. N-terminally tagged proteins can be expressed under the control of two copies of the 35 S CaMV promoter, whereas vectors for C-terminal fusions contain either the two copies of the 35 S CaMV promoter for ubiquitous and constitutive expression or one of three endogenous promoters from A. thaliana. The endogenous promoters were selected according to the function of the respective genes in chloroplast biogenesis and are described in the following section.

**Design and Cloning of the pAUL Vector Series**

Designing vectors for the pAUL series involved classical genetic engineering, using PCR and restriction enzymes. We used the Gateway cloning system, which allows easy generation of new vectors by exchanging DNA fragments. The vectors are binary, meaning that they contain a selectable marker (bar gene) and a non-selectable marker (hygromycin resistance) to allow for easy selection of transformants.

**FluorCam 6 software**

FluorCam 6 software (Photon Systems Instruments) was used for fluorescence measurements described above. The software allow for precise quantification of chlorophyll fluorescence and can be used to compare different plant lines or treatments. The FluorCam FC 800-C system is a high-throughput platform that can analyze multiple samples simultaneously, making it ideal for large-scale experiments.

**Protein Quantification**

Protein concentration was determined using the RC DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad). This assay is based on the principle that proteins bind to a dye, which changes color upon protein binding. The color change is then measured with a spectrophotometer and the protein concentration is calculated based on a standard curve.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Western blot analysis is a technique used to detect specific proteins in a sample. It involves separating proteins by size using SDS-PAGE and then transferring them to a membrane. The membrane is then incubated with antibodies specific to the protein of interest, followed by a secondary antibody conjugated to a detectable label such as horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The signal generated by the secondary antibody is then visualized using a chemiluminescent substrate, which produces light when it reacts with the HRP.

**Affinity Purification**

Affinity purification is a technique used to isolate specific proteins based on their affinity for a particular ligand. In this case, the antibody used to purify the proteins was anti-HA, which binds to the HA tag. The purified proteins were then analyzed using SDS-PAGE and Western blotting to confirm their identity.

**Results and Discussion**

**Vectors for Differential Expression and Tagging**

We constructed a series of 20 binary Gateway-compatible vectors containing different combinations of promoters and tags, named pAUL1-20 (Figure 1). Four different single, double or triple tags were cloned into various backbone Gateway vectors from the pMDC series [21] allowing either C- or N-terminal protein fusions to facilitate protein detection and purification. N-terminally tagged proteins can be expressed under the control of two copies of the 35 S CaMV promoter, whereas vectors for C-terminal fusions contain either the two copies of the 35 S CaMV promoter for ubiquitous and constitutive expression or one of three endogenous promoters from A. thaliana. The endogenous promoters were selected according to the function of the respective genes in chloroplast biogenesis and are described in the following section.

**Single tags**

Single tags are the triple HA epitope and Strep-tag III. Both tags were combined in order to create the double tag. Addition of a 3C protease cleavage site and the ProtA tag made the triple tag. N- and C-terminal double and triple tags exhibit reverse orientations.

**Sequences**

Sequences for C-terminal tags and a Nos terminator as well as the four promoters were cloned to the Gateway vector pMDC123 [21] resulting in vectors pAUL1 to pAUL12 (Figure 1A). Plasmid pMDC123 was used as the recipient for the tagging constructs, because this vector does not contain any preexisting promoter nor tag sequences around the Gateway att cassette but unique restriction sites (AscI upstream of the att cassette, SacI downstream of the att cassette) making it suitable for inserting promoter/tag sequences. Moreover, it harbors a bar sequence encoding for phosphinothricin (Basta) resistance driven by a 35 S CaMV promoter.

The C-terminal Strep-tag III and promoter sequences were introduced into pMDC99 [21] which corresponds to pMDC123 except it carries a hygromycin resistance instead the bar gene. Those vectors were named pAUL13 to pAUL16 (Figure 1A). If required, promoters from pAUL1 to pAUL16 can be exchanged easily, as they were cloned after the tag sequences by the rare cutting restriction enzyme AscI.

For vectors pAUL17 to pAUL20 N-terminal tags were inserted into pMDC32 [21], which contains a 35 S CaMV promoter, a Nos terminator and a hygromycin resistance (Figure 1B). These vectors were not tested in this study as we investigated chloroplast-localized proteins whose N-termini are cleaved off upon import into the chloroplast.

Both, N- and C-terminal tag sequences were inserted into the expression cassette in a way that allows easy cloning of sequences according to the Gateway manual (Invitrogen).
Characterization of 35S CaMV, HCF107, HCF136, and HCF173 Promoters

The three different promoter regions from genes HCF107, HCF136, and HCF173 were selected according to the respective mRNA profiles from the GENEVESTIGATOR database [30]. Experiments from [31] indicate that HCF136 and HCF173 mRNAs accumulate to similar levels but are about 4-fold higher than HCF107 mRNAs. Furthermore, HCF107 and HCF173 mRNAs are regulated diurnally, whereas the HCF136 mRNA levels are stable throughout the day. The putative promoters were defined as sequences upstream of the transcription initiation site of the respective genes ending in regions of ~1500 bp or until a UTR of the previous gene is reached. 1525 bp of the sequence upstream of HCF107 5’UTR, 1401 bp upstream of HCF136 5’UTR, and 721 bp upstream of HCF173 5’UTR were cloned to pAUL vectors and are referred to as “HCF107 promoter” (pHCF107), “HCF136 promoter” (pHCF136), and “HCF173 promoter” (pHCF173) in the following.

To test the ability of the selected sequences to serve as promoters and to compare them to the 35S CaMV promoter (p35S CaMV) quantitative and histochemical GUS assays were performed. The β-glucoronidase gene was fused to the four promoter sequences and introduced into wild-type A. thaliana plants. As presented in Figure 2 all putative promoter sequences and p35S CaMV do function as promoters.

In intact A. thaliana seedlings p35S CaMV expression is detected in all plant organs, including cotyledons, hypocotyls, roots, and seed coat (Figure 2A). In contrast, pHCF107, pHCF136, and pHCF173 are only active in cotyledons and hypocotyls representing the “green” tissue of the seedling but not in roots and seed. This is consistent with the function of HCF107, HCF136, and HCF173 in chloroplast biogenesis [10,11,12]. To address tissue specificity of the promoters inside leaves cross sections were prepared (Figure 2B). The 35S CaMV promoter is active in all cell types, which agrees with previous studies [32]. However, the staining pattern appears spotted, suggesting that expression is not uniform throughout cell layers and types. In contrast, all endogenous promoters display even staining patterns. Expression of GUS driven by pHCF107, pHCF136, and pHCF173 is restricted to palisade and spongy mesophyll cells, which are the chloroplast possessing tissues. Together, these results show that all chosen endogenous promoter sequences are applicable for proper spatial expression of chloroplast-related proteins.

Since another aim of using endogenous promoters was to drive expression more moderately than the 35S CaMV promoter and to ensure proper temporal expression, promoters were also analyzed quantitatively. Data was generated for two different developmental stages (15 and 30 days after germination) from plant material always harvested at the same time of day. In 15 day-old plants, pHCF107 is the weakest of the endogenous promoters, since pHCF136 and pHCF173 exhibit ~2.5-fold and ~1.6-fold higher GUS activity than pHCF107, respectively (Figure 2C). p35S CaMV is the strongest promoter, presenting ~3.3-fold higher activity than pHCF107 if median values are compared.

However, values for p35S CaMV are strongly dispersed with their maximum and minimum at 280 and 3 mmol MU/mg protein*min), respectively, revealing a high variation of expression in individual lines. This characteristic of p35S CaMV has been reported previously [33]. Furthermore, the presence of multiple 35S CaMV promoter copies is supposed to lead to silencing effects [34], which may occur in vectors that drive expression of the selectable marker by the same promoter and if plants are homozygous for the T-DNA. Expression by endogenous promoters appears more constant throughout different lines suggesting that they do not interfere with any other features of the pAUL vectors.

30 days after germination promoter activities appear to be decreased compared to values from 15 day-old plants (Figure 3C). pHCF107 activity is only slightly reduced to ~80% and therefore is relatively stable. In contrast, pHCF173 and pHCF136 activities are drastically reduced to ~53% and ~25% respectively, obtaining values similar to pHCF107. However, p35S CaMV expression is relatively stable when individual values are taken into account rather than the median value, which again is not representative due to the high spread. Additionally, p35S CaMV driven expression is 3-fold higher than the endogenous promoters at that stage.

Altogether, it can be stated that pHCF107 is suitable for constant and moderate expression of chloroplast-specific proteins, whereas pHCF136 and pHCF173 are optimal for promoters involved in early developmental stages (at least up to 15 days after germination) and which are not essential or would even be distracting in later stages. pHCF136 is adequate for stronger and pHCF173 for a more moderate early expression. Consequently, before starting cloning of sequences, one should check databases like GENEVESTIGATOR [30] or eFP Browser [33] to select the adequate vector.

Complementation Analyses of hcf107.2 and hcf208

Tagging of proteins, i.e. attachment of other protein sequences of up to several kDa (e.g. GFP, 27 kDa), and expression driven by foreign promoters may affect the function of fusion proteins. In order to test the influence of the presented tags on protein function and the differential expression by p35S CaMV or endogenous promoters, we picked two proteins with different functional properties for complementation analyses. Both proteins are encoded by the nucleus and posttranslationally transported into the chloroplast where they perform different functions in chloroplast biogenesis. The HCF107 protein is part of a membrane-associated high molecular weight complex that is involved in stabilization/translation of the pshH mRNA [10]. On the other hand, HCF208 is an integral membrane protein that forms heterodimers and small complexes while assisting th ε-type cytochrome maturation [20].

cDNAs of A. thaliana chloroplast biogenesis factors HCF107 and HCF208 were introduced into a subset of pAUL vectors (pAUL1, 2, 3, 6, 9), carrying either a single-, double-, or triple tag and p35S CaMV or triple tags and pHCF107 or pHCF136 (Figure 3A). The constructs were transformed into heterozygous

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the Gateway compatible pAUL destination vector series, showing expression cassettes. (A) C-terminal fusion vectors pAUL1-16. Expression is driven by either 2x p35S CaMV or endogenous promoter sequences from A. thaliana (pHCF107, pHCF136, pHCF173; pAUL1-3 and pAUL13 carry p35S CaMV; pAUL4-6 and pAUL14 carry pHCF107; pAUL7-9 and pAUL15 carry pHCF136; pAUL10-12 and pAUL16 carry pHCF173. Protein tags are: 3xHA single tag (pAUL1, 4, 7, 10); Strep-tagII single tag (pAUL13-16); 3xHA/Strep-tagII double tag (pAUL2, 5, 8, 11); and 3xHA/Strep-tagII/ProtA triple tag +3C protease cleavage site (pAUL3, 6, 9, 12). (B) N-terminal fusion vectors pAUL17-20. Vectors carry coding sequences for 3XHA single tag (pAUL17); 3XHA/Strep-tagII double tag (pAUL18); 3XHA/Strep-tagII/ProtA triple tag +3C protease cleavage site (pAUL19); and Strep-tagII single tag (pAUL20).
hcf107.2/HCF107 or hcf208/HCF208 background, as homozygous mutants cannot grow photoautotrophically. Transformants were screened for BASTA resistance and homozygous mutant backgrounds on sucrose-supplemented 0.5×MS medium and then were transferred to soil to test their capability to grow photoautotrophically.

The grade of complementation was tested by chlorophyll fluorescence measurements on 3 week-old plants (Figure 3B). Complementation of the photosystem II biogenesis factor hcf107.2 was indicated by the Fv/Fm ratio, the crucial parameter for photosystem II activity [36] indicating an estimate of the maximum portion of absorbed quanta used in photosystem II reaction centers. Since photosystem II is intact in hcf208 but the downstream cytochrome b₆f complex is strongly reduced, qP (photochemical quenching) values were determined for indication of complementation. qP displays reduction of variable fluorescence by photosynthetic electron transport processes. From each tested line proteins were isolated and analyzed by Western blot in order to determine levels of fusion proteins.

For HCF208, all promoter/tag combinations are able to fully complement the mutant phenotype (Figure 3B). qP values of both, wild-type and complemented lines are ~0.9 compared to the drastically lower value 0.16 of hcf208. These results indicate that none of the three tags fused to the C-terminus of HCF208 affect its functionality and that all tested promoters are able to drive transgene expression in a way that is sufficient for HCF208 complementation. However, protein levels of transgenic HCF208 vary strongly depending on the construct, as revealed by Western blot analysis using the HA antibody (Figure 3C). Among the transgenes driven by p35S CaMV, all three independent HCF208pAUL1 lines accumulate very low protein levels unlike HCF208pAUL2- and HCF208pAUL3-constructs. One of the HCF208pAUL2 lines (line 5) also accumulates low amounts of HCF208 compared to the other two lines. There are two possible explanations for low accumulation of HCF208 in pAUL1 lines: either (i) unlike the double- and triple tag, the HA epitope destabilizes HCF208 when attached to its C-terminus or (ii) incidentally all three randomly selected HCF208pAUL1 lines and HCF208pAUL2-5 are silenced. Nevertheless, the residual amounts of HCF208 in these lines are sufficient to complement the mutant phenotype. Also, HCF208 levels in pAUL9 lines correspond to the low levels in HCF208pAUL1 and these lines, too, are fully complemented. As previously tested, the activity of pHCF136 in pAUL9 decreases during plant development, which
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accounts very likely for the low protein levels in three-week-old HCF208pAUL9 lines. In HCF208pAUL6 lines harboring the transgene driven by pHCF107, proteins accumulate to levels similar to HCF208pAUL3. Altogether, it can be stated that protein levels in HCF208pAUL2 (except line 5), -3, and also -6 represent an overexpression of HCF208 exceeding endogenous levels. Unfortunately, no HCF208-specific antibody was available preventing comparison of protein levels in complemented lines to the wild-type situation. In conclusion, these results indicate that (i) the C-terminus of the integral membrane protein HCF208, which forms a large domain extending to the stroma [19] is not prone to attachment of large tags, although it might be influenced by the HA epitope and (ii) expression of HCF208 can be driven by all promoters to complement the mutant phenotype, but the 35 S CaMV promoter may be silenced in some lines.

The situation for hcf107.2 is different in some ways (Figure 3B). While type Fv/Fm values of ~0.83 compared to ~0.1 in the mutant hcf107.2 are only reached by plants carrying HCF107pAUL1 and HCF107pAUL2 vectors, both driving expression by pAUL3 and possessing small tags. Extension of the tag sequence by ProtA (pAUL3) decreases Fv/Fm values to ~0.74. Expression of the triple-tagged protein by pHCF107 or pHCF136 (HCF107pAUL6 and HCF107pAUL9) further decreases Fv/Fm values to ~0.65 and ~0.53 respectively (Figure 3B). According to these values, HCF107pAUL6 and HCF107pAUL9 plants are paler and smaller than wild type and HCF107pAUL1 to -3 plants. Even three weeks after germination, HCF107pAUL9 plants are very small and hardly produce seed, whereas the defect in HCF107pAUL6 is less severe (Figure 3B).

Western blot analysis was carried out using antibodies against the HA-epitope and HCF107, which was generated in our laboratory. As indicated in Figure 3C, HCF107pAUL1 and HCF107pAUL2 lines over-accumulate the fusion protein compared to wild-type levels, whereas levels of all triple-tagged proteins are significantly lower. Transgenic lines expressing triple-tagged HCF107 under p35 S CaMV control (pAUL3) exhibit about wild-type amounts of HCF107 but, as indicated before, the Fv/Fm ratio displaying photosystem II activity is decreased. This points to an inhibitory effect of the triple tag on protein stability and function. Expression of the triple-tagged protein by pHCF107 and pHCF136 results in protein levels below wild-type amounts. In HCF107pAUL9 plants HCF107 is hardly detectable.

Former experiments revealed that HCF107 forms a high molecular weight complex [10]. Thus, it is possible that the restriction of protein function by the triple tag may be due to inefficient complex assembly and subsequent degradation of unassembled protein. On the other hand, the protein itself may be unstable independent of its assembly state. In order to achieve nearly wild-type situation, triple tagged HCF107 needs to be overexpressed.

This detailed complementation analysis leads to the conclusion that it strongly depends on the investigated protein which promoter and tag should be chosen for experiments. For HCF208, large tags and expression by endogenous promoters were suitable for complementation, as HCF208 seems to be not required in large amounts for its function and C-terminal tags do not impair protein function, irrespective of their size. In case of HCF107 large tags impair protein function and/or result in destabilization of the protein. Thus, only smaller tags are suitable or overexpression of the incorporated gene is necessary to ensure complementation of the mutant phenotype. This also shows that protein analyses and purification should be carried out in mutant background if possible to show that the protein is not affected by its tag and ectopic expression.

**Purification of HCF208 from Thylakoid Membranes**

Integrity of the HA epitope, Strep-tagIII, and the ProtA tag and purification via these tags were tested on HCF208pAUL1, -pAUL2, and -pAUL3 transgenic lines. The difficulty in purification of HCF208 lies in its feature to be an integral membrane protein. First, crude membranes were treated with 1% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside to solubilize proteins. Subsequently, all purification steps had to be performed in the presence of 0.05% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside to keep proteins soluble.

The western blot analyses presented in the previous chapter indicate that the HA epitope is intact in all tag variants and that it is well suitable for detection using HA antibody. In contrast, detection of the Strep-tagIII in plant protein extracts at least under our conditions produced an extremely high background. Purification efficiency via anti-HA matrix was tested using solubilized protein extract from HCF208pAUL1. Elution was carried out competitively by the HA-peptide (Figure 4A). The feasibility of the double-, and triple tag for tandem purifications was tested using proteins from HCF208pAUL2 and -pAUL3 transgenic lines. Double-tagged HCF208 protein was loaded on StrepTactin matrix first, eluted competitively by desthiobiotin, and then purified via anti-HA affinity matrix (Figure 4B). Triple-tagged proteins were purified via IgG Sepharose first and eluted by 3C protease cleavage. In the second step, the eluate was incubated with StrepTactin matrix and eluted as described above (Figure 4C). From all purifications significant amounts of HCF208 could be recovered and eluates exhibited no abundant signals (ATP Synthase and Ponceau staining). Successive purification of triple tagged HCF208 from HCF208pAUL3 results in a protein of lower molecular size in the eluate compared to the input, which is consistent with the lack of the ProteinA tag cleaved off by 3C protease treatment (Figure 4C).

These experiments show that both one step and tandem affinity purifications can be carried out with our tagging system always using same buffer conditions and in the presence of detergents. Further, we introduced Strep-tagIII as a novel epitope that can be used for tagging of plant proteins and purification of proteins and protein complexes due to its property of binding at 4°C and competitive elution via desthiobiotin. Combination with the HA epitope allows easy detection of fusion proteins and, if desired, an additional low-scale purification step. Tandem affinity purification can be carried out using the triple tag via ProtA, which can be cleaved off by 3C protease, and subsequently via Strep-tagIII with all purification steps at 4°C.

In a recent study, Stoppel et al. used the pAUL11 vector (HCF173 promoter; 3xHA/Strep-tagIII) for tagging and expressing the chloroplast-localized RNase E (RNE) from Arabidopsis in
rne mutant background. Using the Strep-tagIII epitope they were not only able to purify the RNE protein, but also to specifically co-precipitate the RNA-binding protein RHON1 [37]. In this way, the pAUL vector system has been proved to be effective tools for the purification of proteins as well as the identification of specific interaction partners.

Acknowledgments

We thank Stefanie Schulze for preparation and staining of leaf sections and Peter Jahn (Heinrich-Heine-Universitaet Duesseldorf) for his support with fluorescence measurements with the FlourCam system.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DL KE KM PW. Performed the experiments: DL. KE. Analyzed the data: DL. Wrote the paper: DL.

References

1. Pang SZ, DeBoer DL, Wan Y, Ye G, Layton JG, et al. (1996) An improved green fluorescent protein gene as a vital marker in plants. Plant Physiol 112: 895–900.
2. Field J, Núñez J, Broek D, MacDonald B, Rodgers L, et al. (1988) Purification of a RAS-responsive adenyl cyclase complex from Saccharomyces cerevisiae by use of an epitope addition method. Mol Cell Biol 8: 2159–2165.
3. Landy A (1989) Dynamic, structural, and regulatory aspects of lambda site-specific recombination. Annu Rev Biophys 18: 913–949.
4. Karimi M, Depicker A, Hillon P (2007) Recombinational cloning with plant gateway vectors. Plant Physiol 145: 1144–1154.
5. Odell JT, Nagy F, Chua NH (1985) Identification of DNA sequences required for activity of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter. Nature 313: 810–812.
6. Depicker A, Stachel S, Dhasee P, Zambryski P, Goodman HM (1982) Nopaline synthase: transcript mapping and DNA sequence. J Mol Biol Genet 1: 361–373.
7. Rubo V, Shen Y, Sujo Y, Liu Y, Guimarães G, et al. (2005) An alternative tandem affinity purification strategy applied to Arabidopsis protein complex isolation. Plant J 41: 767–778.
8. Laufs P, Coen E, Kronenberger J, Traas J, Doonan J (2003) Separable roles of UFO during floral development revealed by conditional restoration of gene function. Development 130: 785–796.
9. Felder S, Meierhoff K, Sane AP, Meurer J, Driemel C, et al. (2001) The nuclear-encoded HCF107 gene of Arabidopsis provides a link between intercistronic RNA processing and the accumulation of translation-competent psbH transcripts in chloroplasts. Plant J 23: 720–730.
10. Sane AP, Steiner B, Rodgers L, et al. (1988) Purification of a RAS-responsive adenyl cyclase complex from Saccharomyces cerevisiae by use of an epitope addition method. Mol Cell Biol 8: 2159–2165.
11. Meurer J, Puckel H, Kowallik KV, Westhoff P (1998) A nuclear-encoded protein of prokaryotic origin is essential for the stability of photosystem II in Arabidopsis thaliana. Embo J 17: 5296–5297.
23. Jefferson RA (1987) Assaying chimeric genes in plants: The GUS gene fusion system. Plant Mol Biol Rep 5: 387–405.
24. Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16: 735–743.
25. Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol Plant 15: 473–497.
26. Jefferson RA, Kavanagh TA, Bevan MW (1987) GUS fusions: beta-glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. EMBO J 6: 3901–3907.
27. Kosugi S, Ohashi Y, Nakajima K, Arai Y (1990) An improved assay for beta-glucuronidase in transformed cells; Methanol almost completely suppresses a putative endogenous beta-glucuronidase activity. Plant Sci 70: 133–140.
28. Shen Y, Khanna R, Carle CM, Quail PH (2007) Phytochrome induces rapid PIF3 phosphorylation and degradation in response to red-light activation. Plant Physiol 145: 1043–1051.
29. Schafer H, von Jagow G (1987) Tricine-sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for the separation of proteins in the range from 1 to 100 kDa. Anal Biochem 166: 368–379.
30. Zimmermann P, Hirsch-Hoffmann M, Hennig L, Gruissem W (2004) GENEVESTIGATOR. Arabidopsis microarray database and analysis toolbox. Plant Physiol 136: 2621–2632.
31. Smith SM, Fulton DC, Chia T, Thorneycroft D, Chapple A, et al. (2004) Diurnal changes in the transcriptome encoding enzymes of starch metabolism provide evidence for both transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of starch metabolism in Arabidopsis leaves. Plant Physiol 136: 2687–2699.
32. Batters MJ, Hall TC (1990) Histochemical analysis of CaMV 35 S promoter-beta-glucuronidase gene expression in transgenic rice plants. Plant Mol Biol 15: 527–538.
33. van Leuwen W, Ruttink T, Borst-Vrensen AW, van der Plas LH, van der Krol AR (2001) Characterization of position-induced spatial and temporal regulation of transgene promoter activity in plants. J Exp Bot 52: 949–959.
34. Daxinger L, Hunter B, Sheikh M, Jasovin V, Gasciolli V, et al. (2008) Unexpected silencing effects from T-DNA tags in Arabidopsis. Trends Plant Sci 13: 4–6.
35. Winter D, Vinegar B, Nahal H, Ammar R, Wilson GV, et al. (2007) An "Electronic Fluorescent Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data sets. PLas One 2: e718.
36. Greny R, Braissant JM, Baker NR (1989) The relationship between quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim Biophys Acta 990: 87–92.
37. Stoppel R, Manavski N, Schein A, Schuster G, Teubner M, et al. (2012) RHN1 is a novel ribonucleic acid-binding protein that supports RNase E function in the Arabidopsis chloroplast. Nucleic Acids Res 40: 8593–8606.