Axionic dark energy and a composite QCD axion
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We discuss the idea that the model-independent (MI) axion of string theory is the source of quintessential dark energy. The scenario is completed with a composite QCD axion from hidden sector squark condensation that could serve as dark matter candidate. The mechanism relies on the fact that the hidden sector anomaly contribution to the composite axion is much smaller than the QCD anomaly term. This intuitively surprising scenario is based on the fact that below the hidden sector scale Λ0 there are many light hidden sector quarks. Simply, by counting engineering dimensions the hidden sector instanton potential can be made negligible compared to the QCD anomaly term.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gravity mediated supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking can be realized with a hidden sector confining force at an intermediate scale \[ \Lambda \]. This hidden sector and the observable sector of the standard model couple extremely weakly through interactions of gravitational strength. This scheme fits very well in the heterotic string and heterotic M-theory frameworks. In cosmology, on the other hand, we have to deal with the dark energy (DE) problem since more than a decade \[ 7 \], which has triggered a lot of interest in quintessence models \[ 8 \]. In this regard, we revisit our quintessential axion idea \[ 4 \] in view of the available parameter space.

In explaining DE in terms of a quintessential axion, its vacuum expectation value (VEV) is required not to have rolled down until recently, otherwise the quintessential axion energy is not DE but is cold dark matter. Of course, it is necessary for the current vacuum energy density of the classical quintessential axion to be of order \( \lambda^4 \approx (0.003 \text{ eV})^4 \). These two conditions restrict the quintessential axion decay constant \( f_{\text{quint}} \) and mass \( m_{\text{quint}} \). Parametrizing the quintessential axion (\( \phi \)) potential as

\[
V[\xi] = \lambda^4 U(\xi), \quad \xi = \frac{\phi}{f_{\text{quint}}},
\]

we require

\[
f_{\text{quint}} > \sqrt{\frac{(2 - \delta)}{6\delta}} M_P |U'| \quad \text{for} \quad \omega = p/\rho < -1 + \delta,
\]

where \( U' = dU/d\xi \).\[ 4 \]. Generically, one needs a Planckian scale quintessential axion decay constant. So, the quintessential axion mass is extremely small, \( \lesssim 10^{-32} \text{ eV} \). Then, there are two problems to be resolved to achieve the quintessential axion idea: a large decay constant and extremely shallow quintessential axion potential.

It has been believed for a long time that the model-independent (MI) axion \( B_{\mu\nu} \), where \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) are tangential to the 4D Minkowski space, has a rather robust model independent prediction for its decay constant \[ 8, 9 \]. Recently, however, the MI axion may not be so model-independent since the decay constant depends on the compactification scheme in the warped internal space \[ 7 \],

\[
F_a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \frac{m_a^2}{M_P}
\]

where \( \beta \) depends on the warping in the compact space \( y \in K \),

\[
\beta = \frac{\int d^6y \sqrt{|g(y)|} e^{-\phi} h^{-2}_w}{\int d^6y \sqrt{|g(y)|} h^2_w},
\]

and the metric is

\[
ds^2 = h^2_w \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{mn}(y) dy^m dy^n.
\]

Even though it is extremely difficult to find such an internal space, let us accept that there is a possibility to raise the MI axion decay constant \[ 8, 9 \]. This makes it easier to obtain a large \( f_{\text{quint}} \) from string theory.

This leads us to the question of an almost flat quintessential axion potential. Here, our main objective is to realize the QCD axion with a decay constant at an intermediate scale and a quintessential axion with the large decay constant of the MI axion. For allocating decay constants with two confining groups, we must be careful about the axion mixing which has been discussed before \[ 8, 9 \]. In the axion mixing, there are two issues: we need to obtain two scales for the decay constants, one at the Planck scale and the other at the axion window of \( 10^9 \sim 10^{12} \text{ GeV} \[ 10 \], and the needed heights for the axion potentials.

The Planckian decay constant may result from the compactification as mentioned above. For the decay constant of the QCD axion in the axion window, we adopt
the composite axion idea that a confining force becomes strong at the axion window which will be somehow related to the axion decay constant $\langle q_h \rangle$. This idea used the condensation of the ‘axi-color’ quarks in analogy with the QCD chiral symmetry breaking. Along this line a superstring inspired composite axion was proposed before \textsuperscript{13}. Here, we introduce a hidden sector for SUSY breaking and the accompanying hidden sector quarks (h-quarks). In this case, the composite axion is most probably arising from the hidden sector squark (h-squark) condensation \textsuperscript{13} rather than from an h-quark condensation due to SUSY. However, the model presented below is basically different from that of \textsuperscript{14}.

The confining groups are assumed to be $SU(3)_c \times SU(N_h)$ where the hidden sector gauge group confines at $\Lambda_h \sim 10^9 - 12$ GeV. We introduce the following colored h-quarks, transforming under $SU(3)_c \times SU(N)_h$ as

$$q_h = (3, N_h), \quad \bar{q}_h = (3, \bar{N}_h). \quad (5)$$

We introduce $n_f$ such h-quarks so that the total number of flavors of hidden sector quarks is $N_f = 3n_f$. Due to SUSY, there also exist their superpartners, h-squarks $\tilde{q}_h$ and $\tilde{\bar{q}}_h$. The h-gluinos are denoted as $\tilde{G}_h$. Superstring does not allow global symmetries except the MI axion. This means that we may not have an extra global symmetry for the QCD axion. Massless h-quarks which we will introduce are allowed only by the choice of the vacuum, not by the Lagrangian. In a vector-like SU($N$) gauge theory for example, the Lagrangian does not allow a global symmetry but a vector-like chiral field pair $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ can be massless

$$-\bar{Q} \mu Q + m^2 S^* S - (S^* S)^2 - \lambda' S^4 + \text{h.c.}$$

where $m^2, \lambda$, and $\lambda'$ take the values giving $\langle S \rangle = 0$. In the vacuum $\langle S \rangle = 0$, there exists an accidental symmetry: $Q \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_0} Q$.

The absence of the tree level mass in our scheme belongs to the so-called $\mu$ problem \textsuperscript{15}. In some compactification schemes such as the $Z_3$-orbifold, the tree level $\mu$ term is not allowed and higher dimensional operators can lead to $\tilde{q}_h \tilde{\bar{q}}_h$ mass by VEVs of singlet Higgs fields \textsuperscript{16}. In this set-up, as pointed out above some vacua may allow global symmetries by choosing vanishing singlet VEVs toward zero mass h-quarks. Thus, the h-quarks of \textsuperscript{15} can be massless in some vacua.

Being massless, the h-squark Compton wave length is very large at the hidden sector confining scale, a pair of an h-squark and an anti-h-squark develops a correlation function at a large separation, and the h-squarks are expected to condense. Even if h-quarks are also massless, SUSY forbids an h-quark condensation. But, if SUSY is broken, it is expected that h-quarks obtain mass also, as a result of SUSY breakdown. The SUSY breaking in our approach here is assumed to arise from the h-gluino condensation mediated by gravitational interactions \textsuperscript{11}. Then, by inserting h-gluino condensation at the intermediate scale in the h-gluino line of Fig. \textsuperscript{11} we obtain an h-quark mass. If SUSY is broken, the h-gluino itself obtains a mass, presumably at the TeV scale in the gravity mediation scenario. The relevant graph for the loop induced h-quark mass is shown in Fig. \textsuperscript{11}. A nonvanishing h-quark mass requires chiral symmetry breakdown via h-squark condensation as well as a nonzero hidden sector gaugino mass through hidden sector gaugino condensation at an intermediate scale.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{The one-loop h-quark mass term by the h-gluino mass (●) and chiral symmetry breaking (×) insertions.}
\end{figure}

\section{II. Instanton Interaction}

Let us proceed to consider the dynamical degrees in our set up below the condensation scale. The first diagram of Fig. \textsuperscript{2} is the famous 't Hooft determinental interaction \textsuperscript{17}. It involves $2N_h$ gauginos as well as $N_f$ quarks and antiquarks each. Recently, in Ref. \textsuperscript{18} it has been stressed that the ‘t Hooft determinetal interaction itself can be used for axion phenomenology. This interaction is the crucial quantity to discuss axion masses as well as the value of the vacuum energy for quintessential DE. In the presence of quark and gaugino masses, we can close the lines as indicated in the subsequent diagrams. The result has to be discussed separately for the two cases $N_f \leq N_h$ and $N_f > N_h$. The h-gluino condensation produces a $\eta'$-like particle which we will call $\eta_h'$. Its mass is

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{The ‘t Hooft determinental interaction shown inside the box. In the yellow shaded figure for $N_h > N_f$, the black bullet is the h-gluino condensation and the red cross is the h-squark condensation.}
\end{figure}
It is made such that anomalous and the remaining ing the fermion zero modes in an instanton background, this assignment, basically we are considering the nonper-

given dominantly by \(-m_{\tilde{G}_h}\tilde{G}_h\tilde{G}_h\), supplemented by the instanton interaction diagram shown as the yellow highlighted diagram of Fig. 3. The diagram considered in Fig. 3 for \(N_f > N_h\) has the same order contribution. Also there appears h-squark condensation whose phase becomes dynamical and we will call it another axion \(a_{\text{comp}}\). The hidden sector instanton interaction of \(N_f\) flavors of confining \(SU(N_h)\) is

\[
\frac{1}{K^{3(N_h + N_f) - 4}}(\tilde{G}_h\tilde{G}_h)^{N_h}(\tilde{q}_h\tilde{q}_h)^{N_f}
\]

where \(K\), roughly the inverse effective instanton size, has a mass dimension of the hidden sector scale. Note that the interaction leads to a vanishing result in a supersymmetric theory. Axion masses and vacuum energy will strongly depend on the breakdown mechanism of supersymmetry. The magnitude of \(\tilde{q}_h\tilde{q}_h\) in the broken-SUSY phase will be estimated in the next section.

### III. VACUA OF SUSY GAUGE THEORY

The hidden-sector SUSY QCD of \(SU(N_c)\) with \(N_f\) flavors are reviewed elegantly in [19]. If there is no h-gluino mass and h-squark mass terms, the scale entering in the effective superpotential is the confining scale \(\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_h\). Then the low energy effective description of a confining theory must respect the global symmetries \(SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_R\) [19], whose charges are shown in Table I. Here, \(U(1)_A\) is anomalous and the remaining \(U(1)\)s are anomaly free. It is made such that \(U(1)_R\) is anomaly free. Considering the fermion zero modes in an instanton background, one assigns \(2N_f/(3N_c - N_f)\) for the running scale \(\Lambda\). In this assignment, basically we are considering the nonperturbative effects, contributed by the instanton diagrams of Figs. 2 and 3 also. The \(U(1)_A\) charge of \(\Lambda\) given above is used mostly in the confining phase. Here, we comment two simple cases of [19], the confining phase \((N_f < N_c)\) and the interacting nonabelian Coulomb phase \((\frac{2}{3}N_c < N_f < 3N_c)\).

#### A. Case \(N_f < N_c\)

In this case, one obtains a dynamically generated superpotential [19], along the D-flat direction of \(Q\) and \(\tilde{Q}\), \(Q = \tilde{Q} = F\),

\[
W_{\text{dyn}} = (N_c - N_f)\left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\text{Det}QQ^2}\right)^{1/(N_c - N_f)}.
\]

The dynamical term is integrated in [19] with the h-gluino condensation \(S = \tilde{G}\tilde{G}\) to give the Taylor-Veneziano-Yankielowicz term [20],

\[
W(S, \Phi) = S\left[\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\text{Det} \Phi^{1/(N_c - N_f)}}\right) + (N_c - N_f)\right]
\]

where \(\Phi = \tilde{Q}Q\) and Eq. [3] is restricted to be used just for estimating the h-gluino condensation scale [19]. Eq. [3] has the runaway solution for \(\Phi\). The confining theory with Eq. [5] is the same as the theory with Eq. [7] in the IR limit. In the dual magnetic phase, the coupling constant tends to zero and the gauge singlet \(\Phi\) has the correct global symmetry behavior. In the magnetic phase, the confining gauge group is \(SU(N_c - N_f)\) without h-quarks, and the h-gluinos in the magnetic phase would have the Veneziano-Yankielowicz effective term

\[
W(S, \Phi) = S'\left[\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda'^3}{S'}\right) + (N_c - N_f)\right]
\]

which just determines the h-gluino condensation scale in terms of the magnetic phase parameter \(\Lambda'\). The \(SU(N_c - N_f)\) singlet \(\Phi\) is not coupling to \(S'\) and Eq. [4] gives the runaway solution of \(\Phi\). But with SUSY breaking present at the intermediate scale, h-squark condensation scale is expected to be at the scale \(\Lambda_h\).

| \(Q\) | \(N_f\) | 1 | 1 | 1 | \((N_f - N_c)/N_f\) |
| \(\tilde{Q}\) | 1 | \(\tilde{N}_f\) | 1 | -1 | \((N_f - N_c)/N_f\) |
| \(\tilde{G}\) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \(\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}\) | 1 | 1 | 2\(N_f\) | 0 | 0 |

**Table I:** The charges of h-quark superfields \(Q\) and \(\tilde{Q}\) and of the h-gluino.
Another interesting case we cite here is the case of interacting non-Abelian Coulomb phase, for \( \frac{2}{3}N_c < N_f < 3N_c \) [19], where the elementary quarks and gluons are not confined but appear as interacting massless particles. In the dual magnetic phase, the nonabelian gauge group is with the h-color number \( N'_f = N_f - N_c \) and the unchanged number of flavors, and we obtain the same region of \( N_f: \frac{2}{3}N'_c < N_f < 3N'_c \). The VEV of an \( SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \) invariant meson operator \( \Phi = Q\bar{Q} \) is parametrized as a block-diagonal matrix,

\[
\Phi = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{N_c \times N_c} & 0_{N_c \times (N_f - N_c)} \\
0_{(N_f - N_c) \times N_c} & 0_{(N_f - N_c) \times (N_f - N_c)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( M_{N_c \times N_c} \) is an \( N_c \times N_c \) diagonal matrix, etc. It can be seen by the value of the \( N_f \times N_c \) matrix \( Q \),

\[
\Phi = \begin{pmatrix}
F & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & F & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & F'
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and \( \bar{Q} \) which is the transpose of the RHS of (11). Here, there exists a fixed point at the coupling \( g = g_\ast \), and the theory is an interacting one. In this case, for the chiral fields \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \), the gauge invariant operators \( Q\bar{Q}\) (meson) and \( B\) (baryon) and \( \bar{B} \) (anti-baryon) have the following (anomalous) dimensions and \( R \) charges,

\[
D(Q\bar{Q}) = \frac{3}{2} R(Q\bar{Q}) = \frac{3(N_f - N_c)}{N_f}; \quad D = (1, 2)
\]

\[
D(B) = D(\bar{B}) = \frac{3N_c(N_f - N_c)}{2N_f}; \quad D = \left( \frac{N_c}{2}, N_c \right)
\]

In the electric and in the magnetic phases, the scales of the nonabelian groups are given as

\[
\Lambda = \text{electric scale}, \quad \tilde{\Lambda} = \text{magnetic scale}
\]

so that the relevant scale \( \mu \) in the interacting nonabelian Coulomb phase is given by

\[
(-1)^{N_f - N_c} \mu^{N_f} = \Lambda^{3N_c - N_f} \tilde{\Lambda}^{3(N_f - N_c) - N_f}
\]

Then, the superpotential is given by [19],

\[
\frac{3}{2}N_c < N_f < 3N_c: \quad W = \frac{1}{\mu} \mu^{N_f} (-Q^i \tilde{Q}_j + M^i_j)
\]

where \( M^i_j \) and \( N^i_j \) are gauge singlets and \( N^i_j \) is the meson constructed with dual quarks. SUSY conditions fix \( N = 0 \) and \( M^i_j = Q^i \tilde{Q}_j \). \( N \) and \( M \) are massive and integrated out with the values at \( N = 0 \) and \( M^i_j \neq 0 \) for the block-diagonal part of (11). The anomalous dimension of \( Q\bar{Q} \) in the IR region is given by Eq. (12) and the anomalous dimension of \( N \) is determined to give a correct dimension of \( W \).

After integrating out \( N \) and \( M \) fields, we are left with massless chiral fields \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \). But the superpotential gives \( (Q^i \tilde{Q}_j) = M^i_j \), which means that the massless h-squarks corresponding to the block-diagonal part of (11) have a long range correlation which is like the Cooper pairs in the superconductivity. By the gauge singlet VEVs \( \langle Q^i \tilde{Q}_j \rangle \) constructed with these, some global symmetries are broken. But the h-squarks corresponding to \( 0_{(N_f - N_c) \times N_c} \) of (11) do not develop VEVs. Thus, the upper part of Fig. 3 cannot be closed by the h-squark condensation. Nevertheless, we can consider the explicit chiral symmetry breaking as shown in Fig. 4 by the h-quark masses.

The dual magnetic theory is anomaly free as well as the electric theory [19], and hence we assign to the dual h-squarks \( q \) and \( \tilde{q} \) (also \( N \)) the vanishing \( U(1)_A \) charge. For the scale \( \mu \), we assign the \( U(1)_A \) charge 2 from Eq. (13) since \( \Lambda \) is required to carry no \( U(1)_A \) charge. Then,

\[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{SU}(N_f)_L & \text{SU}(N_f)_R & U(1)_A & U(1)_B & U(1)_R \\
q & N_f & 1 & 0 & N_c/(N_f - N_c) & N_c/N_f \\
\bar{q} & 1 & N_f & 0 & -N_c/(N_f - N_c) & N_c/N_f \\
\mu & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\]

**TABLE II:** The charges of h-quark superfields \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) and of the h-gluino.

---

1 Ref. [13] lists the other cases as well.
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we can integrate in \( S \) as before to give

\[
W(S,Q\tilde{Q}) = S \left[ \ln \left( \frac{N(M-\mu Q)}{\mu S} \right) + 1 \right]
\]

(17)

C. SUSY breaking with one family of SU(5)

So far we introduced an extra SUSY breaking outside the \( SU(N_f) \). In this subsection, we discuss the one family \( SU(5) \) model \[ \Phi \] so that SUSY is also broken dynamically by the hidden-sector gauge group \( SU(5) \). Let us consider \( N_f \) pairs of \( 5' \) and \( \bar{5} \) in addition to the chiral \( 10 + \bar{5} \). The \( U(1) \) symmetries we consider are shown in Table III. The superpotential respecting these symmetries can be written as

\[
W_{SU(5)} = Z \left[ \log \left( \frac{Z^{2-N_f}Z'_f}{\Lambda^{N_f-2-N_f}} \right) - \alpha \right]
\]

(18)

where \( N_c = 5 \), \( \alpha \) is a coupling and we have not specified \( p \) and \( q \). For \( N_f = 0 \), \( Z'_f \) reduces to \( Z' \). Because of the bosonic symmetries, the combination \[ 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 5 \] vanishes identically, but \( Z' \) is not necessarily vanishing \[ 21 \].

\[
Z' \sim \epsilon_{aefg} \tilde{G}_e^{\alpha} \tilde{G}_g^{\beta} \cdot 10^{d} \cdot 10^{d} \cdot 10^{d}.
\]

(19)

When \( N_f \) pairs of \( 5' \) and \( \bar{5} \), are added, we must consider the flavor group \( SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f+1) \) also and \( \Phi \) cannot appear as an independent variable, and we must consider only the combination \[ Z' \equiv Z^{5'} \cdot 10 \cdot 10 \cdot 5 \text{ with appropriate indices contraction. The SUSY conditions from } W_{SU(5)} \text{ is}

\[
Z^{2-N_f}Z'_f = \Lambda^{N_f-2-N_f} e^{\alpha + N_f/2}, \quad \frac{Z}{Z'_f} = 0,
\]

(20)

which are mutually inconsistent for finite values of \( Z'_f \), except for the case of \( N_f = 2 \). Note, in particular, that the two conditions are mutually inconsistent for \( N_f = 3 \). So, we obtain the dynamical breaking of SUSY for

\[ N_f = 0 \] as well as for any value of \( N_f \) vector-like pairs, which is consistent with the index theorem. For the \( N_f = 0 \) case the instanton diagram is shown in Fig. 5.

IV. PSEUDO-GOLDSTONE BOSONS

In Subsecs. III A and III B we discussed how the h-squark condensation is determined by the h-gluino condensation. From now, we go back to the original notation \( N_c = N_h, Q \rightarrow q_h, G \rightarrow G_h \) and \( \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_h \). The h-gluino condensation, which preserves SUSY, is assumed to take place by some strong dynamics. These break global symmetries. In particular, we would like to deal with two Goldstone bosons, the phase of the h-gluino condensation \( \tilde{q}_h \) and the phase of the h-squark condensation \( a_{\text{comp}} \).

The kinetic energy term of a composite Goldstone boson, such as \( \tilde{q}_h \) and \( a_{\text{comp}} \), is generated when a continuous global symmetry is broken. For \( \tilde{q}_h \) and \( a_{\text{comp}} \), the h-gluino condensation \( \langle \tilde{G}_h \rangle \) and h-squark condensation \( \langle \tilde{q}_h \rangle \) provide this requirement. The two global symmetries are the phase of the h-gluino, which will be called ‘h-gluino phase symmetry’, and a \( U(1) \) phase of h-squarks, which will be called ‘h-squark \( U(1) \) symmetry’. The mass of a pseudo-Goldstone boson is usually given by

\[ 2 \text{ The axion in the usual case is not composite and the kinetic energy term is present in the Lagrangian } 24, 25, 26. \]
a term explicitly breaking the presumed global symmetry. So, we need two explicit symmetry breaking terms of the above global symmetries to give masses to both $\tilde{q}_h^\prime$ and $a_{\text{comp}}$.\textsuperscript{3} Here we are in the broken-SUSY phase and the ’t Hooft determinantal interaction discussed below is considered as a perturbation in the broken-SUSY phase. The ’t Hooft determinantal interaction of the hidden sector breaks one combination of the $h$-gluino phase symmetry and $h$-squark $U(1)$ symmetry. If this ’t Hooft determinantal interaction is the only explicit symmetry breaking term, one Goldstone boson will remain massless. So, we need an additional explicit symmetry breaking term to give masses to both Goldstone bosons. In fact, the mass term for the $h$-gluino, which appears when SUSY is broken, is another explicit SUSY breaking term in addition to the ’t Hooft anomaly term.

We started at a vacuum without $h$-quark mass. We follow the scheme where SUSY is broken by an $F$-term of a scalar multiplet (such as the dilaton) giving mass to $h$-gluino. Thus, the $h$-gluino mass is formally carrying $R = -2$ so that $m_{\tilde{g}}G\tilde{G}$ is allowed. Because the superpotential is not renormalized with SUSY, we must insert a SUSY breaking insertion for the $h$-quark mass generation. Thus, from Fig.\textsuperscript{1} the $h$-gluino mass term is $V^3/M_P^2$, where $V^3$ is the $h$-gluino condensation scale and $M_P$ is the Planck mass. Then, with the $h$-squark condensation $U^2$ we can estimate the $h$-quark mass of order

$$m_q \sim \frac{g_h^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{V^3U_{\ast}^2}{M_P^2\Lambda_h^8} \sim 10^{-2} \epsilon^2 \rho^3 \delta^2 \Lambda_h$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{\Lambda_h}{M_P}, \quad \rho = \frac{V}{\Lambda_h}, \quad \delta = \frac{U}{\Lambda_h}. \quad (21)$$

Eq. (21) carries $R = 2(N_h - N_f)/N_f$ which is consistent to give mass to $h$-quarks of Table\textsuperscript{1}.\textsuperscript{4}

Note, however, that in the interacting Coulomb case ($\frac{3}{2}N_h < N_f < 3N_h$) of SUSY QCD, the $h$-squark condensation is possible only for $N_h$ $h$-squark pairs among the $N_f$ $h$-quarks. The $h$-squarks corresponding to $2(N_f - N_h)$ cannot obtain mass in this way through Fig.\textsuperscript{1} We need to break the chiral symmetry of $SU(N_f - N_h)_L \times SU(N_f - N_h)_R$. This can be achieved by introducing gravitational interaction,

$$W([\tilde{Q}Q]_{N_f - N_h}) = \alpha_X \frac{X}{M_P} \langle \tilde{Q}Q \rangle_{N_f - N_h} \quad (23)$$

where the lowest component of the superfield $X\tilde{X}$ develops a VEV\textsuperscript{4}. Then, the $SU(N_f - N_h)_L \times SU(N_f - N_h)_R$ symmetry is broken by the $h$-quark mass given by Eq.\textsuperscript{23}. But we started at a vacuum where the tree $h$-quark mass is vanishing and the VEV $\langle XX \rangle$ must be vanishing. However, within our scheme we can have $[\tilde{Q}Q]_{N_h}$ instead of $X\tilde{X}$, but $[\tilde{Q}Q]_{N_h}[\tilde{Q}Q]_{N_f - N_h}$ does not carry a proper $R$ charge. So, loops respecting the $R$ symmetry must be considered such as presented in Fig.\textsuperscript{6} It has one more loop compared to Fig.\textsuperscript{1} The quartic interaction in Fig.\textsuperscript{6} arises from the $SU(N_f)$ singlet component $N$ in Eq.\textsuperscript{10}. For the VEV of $[\tilde{Q}Q]_{N_h}$, the $(N_f - N_h)$ $h$-quark masses are

$$m_{N_f - N_h} \sim \left( \frac{g_h^2}{16\pi^2} \right)^2 \frac{V^3U_{\ast}^2}{M_P^2\Lambda_h^8} \sim 10^{-4} \epsilon^2 \rho^3 \delta^2 \Lambda_h \quad (24)$$

Thus, the $h$-instanton contribution to the $\tilde{q}_h^\prime$ mass, arising from the yellow highlighted diagram of Fig.\textsuperscript{2} is negligible compared to the mass contribution from the $h$-gluino mass term $-m_{\tilde{g}}G\tilde{G}_h\tilde{G}_h$, unlike the $\eta'$ mass in the QCD chiral symmetry breaking case where the quark mass term is negligible compared to the anomaly term for the $\eta'$ mass. Still this yellow highlighted diagram contributes to the $\tilde{q}_h^\prime$ mass and we will consider it in diagonalizing the pseudo-Goldstone boson masses.

The $h$-quark mass term $W = -m_q\tilde{q}_h^\prime\tilde{q}_h^\prime$,\textsuperscript{5} if $m_q$ is a field-independent parameter, breaks the $h$-squark $U(1)$ symmetry, viz. $m_3/2m_q\tilde{q}_h\tilde{q}_h$. However, our derived $m_q$ of Fig.\textsuperscript{1} is field dependent ($\tilde{q}_h^\prime\tilde{q}_h^\prime$) and $W$ is read from

$$\int d^4\vartheta \frac{1}{\Lambda_h^8} q_h^\dagger q_h^\dagger q_h^{\dagger} q_h^{\dagger}.$$\textsuperscript{25}

Comparing Eq. (25) with Eq. (21), $\langle \tilde{q}_h^\prime\tilde{q}_h^\prime \rangle_F$ is interpreted as $\sim (\alpha_h/4\pi)V^3U_{\ast}^2/M_P^2$. The nonrenormalizable interaction\textsuperscript{25} results from the strong hidden sector dynamics. Even though the VEV $\langle \tilde{q}_h^\prime\tilde{q}_h^\prime \rangle_F$ gives a mass

\textsuperscript{3} In QCD, the ’t Hooft determinantal interaction can be considered as an explicit symmetry breaking term which is considered together with the quark mass term for the $\eta'$ mass\textsuperscript{10}.

\textsuperscript{4} The superfield $Q$ has $\tilde{q}_h$ as its lowest component.

\textsuperscript{5} We obtained $m_q$ with the SUSY breaking insertion and $W$ means just the mass term of $h$-quark.
for the h-quark, this h-quark mass term cannot explicitly break the h-squark $U(1)$ symmetry as shown in Eq. \ref{eq:25} and hence cannot contribute to the composite axion mass. Namely, the term $m_3/2m_q\bar{q}_hq_h$ with $m_q$ carrying the PQ quantum number of $q_h^*\tilde{q}_h$ cannot contribute to the composite axion mass.

The dominant contribution from the hidden sector instanton is the yellow-shaded diagram of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 which are much smaller than the h-gluino mass term $m_G\langle GG\rangle$. Note that it is contrary to the QCD case where the instanton contribution is the dominant one for the $m_\eta$ mass. Thus, the pseudo-Goldstone boson $\eta_h'$ obtains the mass scale of order $m_G\Lambda_h$ from the h-gluino mass term $m_G\langle GG\rangle$. The pseudo-Goldstone boson corresponding to $\langle \tilde{q}_h\tilde{q}_h\rangle$ obtains mass from the yellow-shaded diagram of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. The dominant terms contributing to the pseudo-Goldstone boson masses of $\langle \tilde{G}_h\tilde{G}_h\rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{q}_h\tilde{q}_h\rangle$ are, for $\frac{4}{3}N_c < N_f < 3N_c$,

$$m_G\langle \tilde{G}_h\tilde{G}_h\rangle + \frac{1}{K^{N_{f}+N_h-4}}m_q^{N_f-N_h}\rho^{N_{f}-N_h}\delta^{2N_{f}}$$

(26)

where $K$ has a mass dimension of the hidden sector confining scale. Let us consider the gluino condensation scale differently from the hidden sector scale, $V \neq \Lambda_h$. Then, in view of \ref{eq:24}, the leading diagrams set the mass scale of the composite axion at through the contribution to the potential energy of the form

$$\frac{\Lambda_h^{-N_f+N_h}}{K^{N_{f}+N_h-4}}(\frac{\epsilon\rho}{100})^{2(N_{f}-N_h)}\rho^{(N_{f}-N_h)}\delta^{2N_{f}}$$

(27)

for $N_f > N_h$. To get an idea, let us take $K \approx \Lambda_h \approx 10^{12}$ GeV, $\rho \approx 10^{-1}$, and $\delta \approx 10^{-1}$. For $N_h = 5$ and $N_f = 9$ (three pairs of $q_h$ and $\tilde{q}_h$) corresponding to the interacting nonabelian Coulomb phase, Subsec. III B we obtain $10^{4-6}$ GeV$^4$ for Eq. 27. Eq. 27 has a very strong dependence on these parameters and we conclude that the quintessential axion potential can be made sufficiently flat, achieving the height of order (0.003 eV)$^4$ for a reasonable range of parameters.

V. MASSES OF AXIONS

In the present work, we assumed the vanishing tree level hidden sector quark masses by choosing an appropriate vacuum. Namely, the chiral symmetry is broken by nonrenormalizable interactions of the form $\bar{q}_hq_hX_1X_2\cdots$ where $X_i$ are gauge singlet chiral fields. If $\langle X_1X_2\cdots \rangle = 0$ is chosen by the vacuum, then our following estimate on the composite axion property is still valid without a chiral symmetry at the Lagrangian level. In this way, we may obtain an approximate global symmetry $U(1)$ from string theory \ref{eq:24} toward the composite axion. The h-quark mass from Eq. 24, for $\rho = 10^{-1}, \delta = 10^{-1}$ and $\Lambda_h \approx 10^{12}$ GeV, is about 1 eV. For the composite axion mass, we need the explicit symmetry breaking terms of the ‘h-squark $U(1)$ symmetry’. These are provided by the anomaly term and the h-(s)quark mass term. However, the h-quark mass we commented above is the result of spontaneous breaking of the ‘h-squark’ $U(1)$ symmetry and hence does not contribute to the composite axion mass directly, as we discussed before.

We begin with three pseudo-Goldstone, $\eta_h'$, $a_{comp}$ and the MI axion. Then, integrating out the pseudo-Goldstone boson $\eta_h'$, we are left with the interesting MI axion and the composite axion. These pseudo-Goldstone bosons have the explicit symmetry breaking terms through the anomalies of the hidden and QCD sectors.

Let us now look for possible unwanted light Goldstone bosons lurking below the confinement scale $\Lambda_h$. The $N_f$ flavors of $U(N_h)$ h-squarks make up $N_f^2$ Goldstone bosons, and we discussed just one of them, $a_{comp}$, above. The remaining $SU(N_h)$ h-squark Goldstone bosons, whose number is $N_f^2 - 1$, are mostly colored except two color singlet Goldstone bosons. For $N_f = 9$, there are 72 colored light Goldstone bosons and 9 color singlet Goldstone bosons. For $N_f = 6$, there are 32 colored light Goldstone bosons and 4 color singlet Goldstone bosons. The colored Goldstone bosons obtain mass by color interactions as shown in Fig. 7. The color singlet neutral Goldstone bosons do not obtain mass by this kind of gauge interactions, and are not ruled out phenomenologically for the favoured choice $F_a \gtrsim 10^9$ GeV.

For an explicit illustration of the color singlet Goldstone bosons, let us consider the $N_f = 6$ case, with the hidden-flavor symmetry $SU(2)_f$. Let the color index be $\alpha = r, g, y$ and the flavor index be $i = 1, 2$. The color-flavor representation of mesons is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} r1\overline{r1} & r1g1 & r1y1 & r1\overline{r2} & r1\overline{y2} & r1\overline{y2} \\ g1\overline{r1} & g1g1 & g1g1 & g1\overline{y2} & g1\overline{y2} & g1\overline{y2} \\ y1\overline{r1} & y1g1 & y1g1 & y1\overline{y2} & y1\overline{y2} & y1\overline{y2} \\ r2\overline{r1} & r2g1 & r2g1 & r2\overline{y2} & r2\overline{y2} & r2\overline{y2} \\ g2\overline{r1} & g2g1 & g2g1 & g2\overline{y2} & g2\overline{y2} & g2\overline{y2} \\ y2\overline{r1} & y2g1 & y2g1 & y2\overline{y2} & y2\overline{y2} & y2\overline{y2} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(28)

Four color singlet operators acting on the column vector $(r1, g1, r2, g2, y2)^T$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i1 \\ i1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(29)

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(30)

where $1$ and $0$ are the $3 \times 3$ identity and null matrices, respectively. The generators of Eq. 29 do not lead to a
FIG. 7: The h-squark lines are dashed, and the gluon line is spring-shaped. Here, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the color indices such as those of an octet.

color anomaly from triangle diagrams, and the generator of Eq. (30) is anomalous. It is required to give masses to Goldstone bosons corresponding to the generators of Eq. (29), leaving the Goldstone boson corresponding to Eq. (30) massless, except from the anomaly contribution, at this level. The Goldstone boson corresponding to Eq. (30) is interpreted as the composite QCD axion $a_{\text{comp}}$.

For this purpose, one may introduce two triplet flavon superfields $S_j^1(i, j = 1, 2)$ and $S_j''(i, j = 1, 2)$ with $\text{Tr}S_j^1 = 0$ and $\text{Tr}S_j'' = 0$, and the following superpotential

$$W = -gS_j^1q_i\bar{q}_hi - g'S_j''\tilde{q}_i\tilde{q}_hi. \quad (31)$$

Assigning VEVs to $\langle S_j^1 \rangle = V$ and $\langle S_j'' \rangle = -\langle S_j'' \rangle = V'$, which are supposed to be much larger than the electroweak scale, the flavor $SU(2)_f \times SU(2)_f$ is completely broken and the Goldstone bosons corresponding to Eq. (29) obtain mass. At this level, however, the $U(1)$ Goldstone boson corresponding to Eq. (30) does not obtain mass.

Another method to make the $SU(2)_f$ triplet Goldstone bosons heavy is to make the flavor group $SU(2)_f$ be a gauged $SU(2)$. Then, $SU(2)_f$ gauge interactions make the $SU(2)_f$ triplet Goldstone bosons heavy as the colored Goldstone bosons become heavy by the QCD interactions of Fig. 7. Still at this level, the $U(1)$ Goldstone boson corresponding to Eq. (30) remains massless. The $U(1)$ Goldstone boson obtains mass by the QCD anomaly, and becomes a composite QCD axion $a_{\text{comp}}$.

Let us now proceed to discuss the two axion system of the composite axion $a_{\text{comp}}$ and the MI axion (or the quintessential axion $a_{\text{quint}}$). We can write the instanton potential for $a_{\text{quint}} = f\theta_q$, $a_{\text{comp}} = UN_{DW}\theta$ (where $N_{DW} = nN_h$ with $n$ copies of $[5]$ is the domain wall number of the composite QCD axion [26]) and $\tilde{\eta}_h = F_{\tilde{\eta}_h}\theta_{\tilde{\eta}_h}$ as

$$-\Lambda^4\cos(\theta_q + nN_h\theta) - \lambda_4^4\cos(\theta_q + \alpha\theta + N_h\theta_{\tilde{\eta}_h}) - m_{\tilde{\eta}_h}V^4\cos(\theta_{\tilde{\eta}_h}) \quad (32)$$

where

$$\Lambda^4 = \frac{Z}{(1 + Z)^2}\frac{f^2\pi^2}{n^2},$$

and $V = h$-gluino condensation scale. The MI axion couples to QCD and hidden sector with the same coupling, but the composite axion coupling to the hidden sector anomaly involves $\alpha$. For [4], we have $\alpha = -3n$ due to three colors of QCD. Integrating out the heavy $\tilde{\eta}_h$, we set $\eta_h = 0$ in Eq. (32), and consider

$$-\Lambda^4\cos\left(\frac{a_{\text{quint}}}{f} + \frac{a_{\text{comp}}}{U}\right) - \lambda_4^4\cos\left(\frac{a_{\text{quint}}}{f} + \frac{a_{\text{comp}}}{nN_hU}\right) \quad (33)$$

Then, for the composite QCD axion and the quintessential axion parametrized in [22], the following mass eigenvalues and eigenvectors in the $(a_{\text{comp}}, a_{\text{quint}})$ basis are obtained in the limit $f \gg U$,

$$m_{\text{comp}}^2 \simeq \frac{\Lambda^4 + \lambda_4^4}{U^2}, \quad a_{\text{comp}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \quad (34)$$

$$m_{\text{quint}}^2 \simeq \frac{(1 - \tilde{\alpha})^2 \Lambda^4}{f^2(\Lambda^4 + \lambda_4^4)}, \quad a_{\text{quint}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \delta^2}} \left(-\delta\right) \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha/nN_h$ and

$$\delta \simeq \frac{f}{\Lambda^4 + \lambda_4^4} \left[1 - (1 - \tilde{\alpha})^2 \frac{\Lambda^4}{(\Lambda^4 + \alpha^4\lambda_4^4)}\right].$$

Thus, $a_{\text{comp}}$ becomes the QCD axion and $a_{\text{quint}} = \alpha_{\text{MI}}$ becomes the quintessential axion. Now, $U$ is interpreted as the QCD axion decay constant $F_h$, and $f$ is interpreted as the quintessential axion decay constant $f_{\text{quint}}$. Making the MI axion decay constant $f$ large, the quintessential axion (MI axion) can be made to account for DE. On the other hand, the QCD axion decay constant is related to the hidden sector confining scale which is in the axion window if it is detectable. As expected, $\alpha = N$ makes the quintessential axion the exact Goldstone boson since there is only one phase depending on two potential terms of Eq. (32).

VI. DISCUSSION

We proposed a field theoretic scenario for a quintessential axion from a string theory motivation. The MI axion becomes a quintessential axion while the confining force at an intermediate scale generates the QCD axion scale through composite pseudo-scalar mesons of h-squark condensation. The observable QCD axion as well as the DE problem have been important issues in string theory. The observable QCD axion from superstring could come from an approximate global symmetry [27, 28]. Here, we considered the composite axion idea which has not been discussed before in that context. The mechanism depends strongly on the fact that the hidden sector anomaly term could be much smaller than the QCD anomaly term. This feature was anticipated before [4], and here we present an explicit estimate of
h-quark mass as well as the mass of the composite QCD axion. The small value of the quintessential axion mass (and the value of DE) is based on the fact that there are many light h-quarks below the scale $\Lambda$, in contrast to the situation in QCD with only three light quarks below $\Lambda_{QCD}$. Simply, by counting engineering dimensions with a sufficient number of h-quarks one notes that the hidden sector instanton potential can be made negligible compared to the QCD anomaly term. In heterotic orbifold compactification, our idea is not necessarily realized with a small number of h-quarks as noted in the very promising flipped SU(5) and MSSM models [32]. So, even though we presented a field theoretic study of the composite QCD axion here, it is a difficult problem to find such models easily in from string compactification. But the landscape study reveals many models with enough h-quarks [30] that have to be checked with SUSY phenomenology. A further suppression of the DE-scale could be attained along the lines as suggested in [51]. In the future, we might extend our study to include hidden sectors with chiral h-quarks [32].
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