Bowel Perforation Secondary to Ponatinib Treatment in a Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia Patient: A Case Report
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Abstract
Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative neoplasm of hematopoietic cell origin. It arises from the translocation of the chromosomes 9 and 22, with resultant Philadelphia (Ph+) chromosome that contains the BCR-ABL1 gene. CML has three phases: the chronic phase, the accelerated phase, and the blast crisis. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) are the mainstay medications used in the treatment of CML. Several TKIs have been approved for CML, and they are grouped into three generations. The first-generation medication is imatinib, which is the first-line medication for management of CML. The second-generation medications include dasatinib, nilotinib, and bosutinib, while the third-generation medication is ponatinib. For the initial management of CML, first- and second-generation medications were approved for use as first line, whereas in resistant cases, bosutinib and the third-generation medication ponatinib are reserved [4]. Each group of TKIs works differently on various binding sites of the tyrosine kinase enzymes, but the final effect is the same. Many side effects of TKIs are similar and are usually dose dependent; however, with the differences in their mechanism of action, each TKI has a variety of unique adverse effects [5]. For example, the epidermal growth factor receptor type of TKIs have more cutaneous side effects, while the vascular endothelial growth factor receptors are more likely to be associated with cardiovascular events, especially, hypertension [6,7]. Common side effects to all TKIs include fatigue, weight changes, fever, gastrointestinal symptoms, and others [7]. This case report will provide an insight into an adverse effect of ponatinib in a CML patient during his illness.

Case Presentation
A 30-year-old male who is normally fit and well with no significant past medical history of note was diagnosed with CML in a different institution in 2015 and failed imatinib. Dasatinib was started after, but the patient was not compliant with the medication due to generalized bone pain and fatigability; however, due to the unavailability of detailed medical notes during this period we could not certify the clinical course of his disease at that time. He presented to our center in February 2020 with fever, productive cough, and chest pain. BCR/ABL P210 mRNA transcript was detected and estimated to represent 61% on international scale and complete blood count showed a hemoglobin of 90 g/L (135-180 g/L), platelets of 64×10^9/L (150-400×10^9/L), mean corpuscular volume of 97.5 fl (76.0-96.0 fl), and leukocytes of 54.50×10^9/L (4.0-11.0×10^9/L), with neutrophils 22.89×10^9/L, lymphocytes 11.44×10^9/L, blasts 27%, monocytes 1.09×10^9/L, metamyelocytes 2%, myelocytes 3%, and bands 1.09×10^9/L. This result indicates transformation to a blast crisis. The patient required intensive care unit (ICU) admission for pneumonia. HU 2000 mg was started as a cytoxic agent followed by induction with idarubicin/cytarabine (7+3) protocol. Following remission...
status, which was confirmed by bone marrow examination, the patient was discharged on dasatinib with a plan to work up for HSCT. However, two weeks later he presented with shortness of breath and chest pain. Chest X-ray revealed a worsening of bilateral pleural effusion and dasatinib was discontinued. TKI treatment was switched to ponatinib 15 mg then 30 mg plus aspirin, and the patient was discharged to be reviewed in the outpatient clinic. After 12 days from starting ponatinib the patient presented with abdominal pain and vomiting. Labs showed a neutrophil count of at least of \(1.5 \times 10^9/L\) at presentation and for the previous four weeks and lactic acid of 5.8 mmol/L (0.50-2.20 mmol/L) which was normal until two days before presentation. CT abdomen showed scattered air foci indicating perforated viscus (Figure 1), and a diagnostic laparoscopy confirmed jejunal perforation around 20 cm from the duodenojejunal junction which was treated with small bowel resection. The histopathology revealed an active inflammation with submucosal edema and serositis, and neither thrombosis nor malignancy was identified. Since our patient had no other risk factors for bowel perforation, ponatinib had to be discontinued due to this complication and TKI treatment switched to nilotinib but again this had to be stopped due to prolonged Q-T interval. The patient’s general condition did not improve, and he needed a prolonged post-operative care in the ICU. Meanwhile his acute leukemia worsened with circulating blasts reaching 50% associated with multi-organ failure. Therefore, the patient was deemed not fit for further intensive therapy and his care was mainly palliative and he passed away in June 2020.

**FIGURE 1: CT Image**

[CT Image]

Axial image of CT scan in late arterial and early venous phase showing a 4x5 cm collection adjacent to the mid descending colon and proximal jejunal loops with multiple small air bubbles representing a bowel perforation and an infected collection (arrow).

CT, computed tomography.

**Discussion**

CML is a myeloproliferative neoplasm characterized by a reciprocal translocation of the chromosomes 9 and 22 creating a hybrid gene between BCR and ABL\(^8\). There are three phases of CML disease course. The first phase is the chronic one, which is described by the elevated number of white blood cells and proliferation of myeloid cells. This is the phase where most CML patients are categorized. The second phase is the accelerated phase, which occurs if the disease is not treated or is resistant to treatment. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria, the accelerated phase includes 10-19% of blasts circulating or in the bone marrow, blood basophils of \(\geq 20\%\), constant thrombocytopenia (\(\langle 100\times 10^9/L\)) not related to therapy, clonal chromosome abnormalities in Ph+ cells (CCA/Ph+) on treatment, thrombocytosis (\(\geq 10^9/\text{L}\)) unresponsive to therapy, enlarged spleen, and elevated white blood cell count unresponsive to therapy \(9,10\). The third phase is the blast crisis, which is defined by the presence of \(\geq 20\%\) of blasts in the peripheral blood or bone marrow. Additionally, the WHO adds to the definition extramedullary blast proliferation apart from spleen, and large clusters of blasts in the biopsy of the bone marrow. The treatment of CML requires the administration of TKIs, which are used in all phases of CML \(9,10\). Ponatinib, a third-generation TKI, is used in the treatment of the three phases of CML, refractory cases to first- and second-generation TKIs due to mutations, most famously T315I mutation, and Ph+ acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients \(4,11\). The medication is designed...
to inhibit the function of native and mutated BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase [11,12]. Many side or adverse effects are known to be associated with the use of ponatinib, and they can be divided into cardiovascular adverse events (CAEs) and non-CAEs [13]. Some of the CAEs include arrhythmia, hypertension, left ventricular dysfunction, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, arterial thrombosis, and cerebrovascular accident, while non-CAEs include thrombocytopenia in 39.7%, anemia in 28.2%, abdominal pain in 33.3%, elevated lipase in 28.2%, and rash in 26.9% of patients [13]. The specific warning in the drug information in the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) label includes hepatotoxicity, arterial occlusive events, venous thromboembolic events, and heart failure. Multiple factors are known to increase the risk of developing these side effects such as dose, age, and previously diagnosed ischemic disease. For higher doses, the possibility of developing rash, pancreatitis, and heart failure increases. With any of these risk factors, care must be taken as the possibility of arterial occlusive events increases [13-15]. Bowel perforation as an adverse event of ponatinib is not widely reported; however, this specific complication can be found on the medication’s label and can occur due to the arterial occlusive events [14].

**Conclusions**

In this case the patient developed jejunal perforation mainly due to bowel ischemia after 12 days of initiating the treatment. The patient was on prophylactic aspirin to prevent arterial occlusive events, and he had no history of venous thromboembolic disease in the past, yet he developed this adverse event. We suggest that patients with other risk factors and comorbidities should be screened for cardiovascular risk and followed up closely with regular history and serial physical examinations. Complaints made by patients on ponatinib should be taken seriously and appropriate tests should be considered according to the complaint. The benefits and risks of the medication and its dose adjustments should be taken into consideration. It might be wise to inform all patients about these side effects and how they could possibly present and to encourage them to visit their doctors whenever they experience new symptoms. Additionally, antiplatelet prophylaxis should be considered in every individual case, and with any previous thromboembolic phenomena anticoagulation needs to be added.
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