Investigating the Nexus among Sulfur Dioxide Emission, Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan

Khuda Bakhsh  
COMSATS University Islamabad

Tanzila Akmal  
University of Agriculture Faisalabad

Tauqeer Ahmad  
COMSATS University Islamabad

Qasir Abbas  
University of Agriculture Faisalabad,  
qaisarabbas@uaf.edu.pk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-404972/v1

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.  
Read Full License
Investigating the Nexus among Sulfur Dioxide Emission, Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan

Khuda Bakhsh, Department of Management Sciences, COMSATS University Islamabad, Vehari Campus, Pakistan Email: kbakhsh@cuivehari.edu.pk

Tanzila Akmal, Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan Email: tanzilaakmal143@gmail.com

Tauqeer Ahmad, Department of Management Sciences, COMSATS University Islamabad, Vehari Campus, Pakistan Email: tauqeerahmad@ciitvehari.edu.pk

Qasir Abbas, Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-Pakistan Email: qaisarabbas@uaf.edu.pk

Corresponding authors: Qasir Abbas, Email address. qaisarabbas@uaf.edu.pk

Abstract

Developing countries like Pakistan majorly depends on fossil fuels for achieving higher economic growth but have sloppy environmental rules and regulations in order to attract foreign direct investment (FDI). As a result, energy consumption is considered as the primary cause of environmental degradation. Besides CO₂ emission, environmental degradation is also associated with emission of sulfur dioxide (SO₂). The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship among SO₂ emissions, energy consumption, economic growth and FDI in Pakistan. By applying the 3SLS method study has estimated the scale effect, composition effect and technique effect. The scale effect and technique effect findings indicated that capital stock, FDI, and SO₂ emissions all had a significant impact on GDP. When the capital accumulation effects of FDI were considered, the
relationship between FDI and stock of capital was found to be positive. According to the technique effect results, FDI, population density, and energy consumption were all significantly related to SO$_2$ emissions. The study came to a conclusion with significant policy implications.
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1. **Introduction**

Rapid economic growth in developing countries like China, India and Pakistan has led to increased energy consumption. Developing countries heavily depend on fossil fuels for energy generation and consumption. The massive use of the energy especially from fossil fuels causes severe environmental degradation. Emitters such as CO2, SO2 and particulate matter from energy consumption lead to air pollution. Although other factors also contribute in pollution emission, fossil fuel consumption causes the larger amount of pollution emissions. Yilanci et al. (2020) assert that long-term impact of energy consumption leads to pollution emission. Literature showing the role of energy consumption in CO2 emission is rich and recent (Bakhsh et al., 2017, Guzel and Okumus, 2020, Salahuddin et al., 2020, Udenba et al., 2020). Considering SO2 emission, it depends on the sulfur content of the fossil fuels, in addition to the sectoral processes leading to pollutant emission. SO2 oxidizes in the atmosphere to form aerosol thereby influencing climate.

Major sources of SO2 emission are energy, transportation, industries, agriculture, forest and livestock. Fig. 1 shows different sources of SO2 emission. Electric utilities including electric power plants and refineries are the major sources of SO2 emission. Point sources excluding electric utilities are vehicles operating on roads, highways and streets. These are among the second major sources of SO2 emission. Residential wood burning, gasoline service stations, dry cleaners, wildfires and agriculture tilling are among the non-point sources of SO2 emission. Non-road sources of So2 emission include lawn and garden equipment, aircrafts, locomotives, construction equipment and recreational equipment.

The main economic source of SO2 emission is the industrial sector as it consumers a larger quantity of energy sources. This sector highly relies on the consumption of fossil fuels in developing countries including Pakistan. Further, industrial processes containing sulfur such as electricity generation from oil, gas and coal cause SO2 emission. Thus industrial sector is highly related to SO2 emission to the environment in Pakistan and other developing countries. Yang and Shan (2019) argue that industrialization, economic scale and energy consumption effects are major contributing factors of SO2 emission.
developing countries are facing challenge of increasing economic growth and reducing pollutant emissions to realize sustainable economic development with clean environment and prosperous economy. Increasing renewable energy consumption and energy efficiency can reduce pollution emission. Contrary to renewable energy consumption, non-renewable energy consumptions lead to environmental degradation (Nathaniel et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2019; Zafar et al., 2019; Destek and Sinha, 2020). Technology, energy mix, sulfur efficiency, industrial structure and energy efficiency effects are associated with a dwindling SO2 emission (Yang and Shan, 2019). Energy prices are also important in mitigating pollutant emissions (Hanif et al., 2019, Ngugen et al., 2020). Stringent energy policy with strong control on energy prices can reduce energy consumption, increase energy efficiency and decrease pollutant emissions.

The role of foreign direct investment inflows in developing countries is of paramount importance in increasing economic growth. There is rich literature examining relationship between economic growth and FDI (see Anwar and Nguyen, 2010, Bakhsh et al., 2017, Nadeem et al., 2020, Tsang and Yip, 2007). Shahbaz et al. (2018) and Hanif et al. (2019) find huge contribution of FDI to economic growth in the host countries. Increasing economic growth happens at the cost of environmental pollution. Many studies show a positive relationship between economic growth and SO2 emission (Coggin, 2019, Hu et al., 2019, Nadeem et al., 2020, Ramakrishnan et al., 2016, Wu, 2019). Ru et al. (2018) and Wang et al. (2016) argue that there exists environmental Kuznet Curve (EKC) pattern of relationship between economic growth and SO2 emission. This implies that SO2 emission increases with an increase in economic growth, reaches a maximum point and then pollution emission starts declining with further economic progression.

Developing countries relax environmental rules and regulations to attract FDI inflows in order to boost economic growth. Most importantly, FDI inflows are made in energy and industrial sectors using natural resources namely oil, gas and coal. Hassaballa (2014) shows bidirectional causality between FDI and energy consumption in the developing nations. Resultantly, FDI has positive impact on SO2 emission in the host country (Zhu et al., 2017). Thus FDI is related to pollution emissions thereby adversely affecting environment (Omri et al., 2014; Tang and Tan, 2015; Shahbaz et al., 2015). However,
Nadeem et al. (2020) find a negative long-term relationship between FDI and SO2 emission. This happens because pollutant emission rises with the progression of the economy, reaches a maximum point and then starts declining with further economic growth (Shahbaz and Sinha, 2019, Shahbaz et al., 2019). In addition to adverse impacts, FDI inflows are also considered to have an important contribution in promoting better environmental awareness and environmental friendly technology in the developing countries (Zeng and Easten, 2012).

The relationship between FDI and pollution emission through an increase in income or energy consumption in the existing literature is still debatable because of mixed findings with regards to directionality (, Saboori et al., 2012, Omri and Kahouli, 2014; Shahbaz et al. 2015). There are some studies showing unidirectional relationship between FDI and pollution emission (Jaunky, 2010, Nasir and Rehman, 2011), others find bidirectional relationship (Halicioglu, 2009, Soytas and Sari, 2009) and there are some studies showing no relationship (Richmond and Kaufmann, 2006). and Zhang (2011) and Apergis Payne (2009) show mixed results of relationship between carbon emissions and income level of countries. Inconclusive relationship between FDI, pollutant emission and economic growth can be because of regional differences in environmental regulation, given the different sources, processes and economic factors. Zho et al. (2017) asserted that environmental regulation and land use are significant factors on the spatial pattern of SO2 emission. Thus the effects of FDI on pollutant emission can be heterogeneous across countries. Pakistan is a developing country and it has diverse customized energy sources, processes, environmental regulation and economic conditions compared to other developed countries. Therefore, SO2 emission can have distinct emission trajectories. Further, industrial sector is considered the main economic source of SO2 emission as it consumes electricity 25%, oil 19% and gas 8%. Contribution of industrial sector in gross domestic product is 20.19% (GoP, 2018). CO2 and SO2 are among the major pollutants emitted from industrial processes and other economic activities. SO2 emission deteriorates ambient environment quality causing greater threats to human health whereas CO2 emission causes global warming, in addition to threatening human health. Thus it is imperative to understand the economic growth, energy consumption and SO2 emission nexus at country level. Nordhaus (2010) and Stern and van Dijk (2017) maintain that it is
the useful way to examine the emissions associated with social and economic development.

This study has several departures from the earlier work on FDI, economic growth and pollution emission. First, we look into SO₂ emission instead of CO₂ because of ambient effects of SO₂ emission. We used SO2 for two main reasons. Firstly, there is direct link between SO2 emission and industrial output. Cherniwachan (2012) asserted that 1% increase in industrial share of output leads to 11.8% increase in per capita SO2 emission. Secondly, the effects of SO2 emission are ambient rather than global. Second departure from the literature is that we employ three stage least square (3SLS) method which is conceptually stronger and empirically robust, besides being more appropriate to find out trilateral nexus of FDI, environmental pollution and economic growth. Many studies relating to Pakistan determined relation between FDI and economic growth but very few studies estimated the relation between FDI, economic growth and environmental pollution (Mahmood and Chaudhry, 2012; Bakhsh et al., 2017; Yasmeen et al., 2019, Wang et al., 2019). However, we find that these studies don’t consider the mechanisms through which foreign investment impacts environmental pollution particularly SO2 emission. SO2 emission leading to environmental degradation causes huge losses to human health and economic growth. The present study is contributing in the form of considering the effects of FDI inflows on pollution emission, economic growth and capital accumulation employing three stages least square (3SLS) method for solving simultaneous equation system. This econometric method is considered more efficient than two stage least square (2SLS) method because correlation between unobserved disturbances across various equations in the system is allowed in 3SLS. Because of this advantage, we employed 3SLS method in the present study. Findings of the 3SLS method provide important policy insights for regulating FDI for environmental friendly and efficient economic growth. This study also sheds light on the role of FDI in capital accumulation. Thus, the country like Pakistan can gain the benefits of FDI in the economic growth in the long-run while working on and designing environmental friendly policies to pave the way for environmental sustainability.
The remaining paper is arranged as follows: The first sub-section of section 2 describes data and sources. Second sub-section provides details on 3SLS method employed in the present study. Sections 3 contain findings of 3SLS method including technique effect, scale effect and composition effect findings of the study are compared with the literature in this section as well. Section 4 gives conclusions and recommendations.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Data and source

There are different sources of SO2 emission in Pakistan. They include energy, industrial processes, agriculture, land use change and forestry, and wastes. SO2 emission has increased from 775 thousand tons in 1994 to 1041 thousand tons in 2015 recording an increase of 34.3%. Since industrial and agricultural sectors are the major consumers of oil, gas and coal, these are the main economic sources of SO2 emission in the country.

Economic growth thus substantially depends on performance of agricultural and industrial sectors. Further, economic growth is function of capital formation, employment and FDI. FDI embodies some indirect benefits and costs in the form of bad environmental quality (Lucas, 1988; Romer, 1990). In order to explore the empirical relation among FDI, energy consumption, SO2 emission and economic growth, annual data was used for the period of 1975 to 2015. We were interested to consider the relationship between SO2 emission, energy consumption and economic growth at different sector levels. However, the data on SO2 emission is not available on sector levels from 2011 to onward. Therefore, we planned the study at country level. Table 1 provides information on definition of variables and sources of data. Different sources were used to collect data on the real gross domestic product (US$ million), gross fixed capital formation (US million dollar), capital intensity, investment (US$ million), industrialization (% of GDP), FDI (US$ million) and population density (persons living in one square kilometer). Data on energy (kt as energy use equivalent to oil) was taken from World Development Indicators (WDI). Data on SO2 emissions (giga tons) was taken from two sources. WDI was used to collect data from 1975-2010 whereas the remaining data from 2011 to 2015 was obtained from GoP (2018a). Standard method was
used to generate missing values of SO2 emission for some years. For smooth and easy interpretation of the results, log form of data was used in the estimation.

2.2 Empirical Methods

Copeland and Taylor (2003) suggest that emission can have three types of effects namely scale effect, technique effect and composition effect. Scale effect refers gross domestic product whereas ratio of industrial output to gross domestic product is known as composition effect. Technique effect is defined as the ratio of pollution to industrial value added. In the present study, sulphur dioxide (SO2) is used as a pollutant. When we examine the scale effect, technique effect and composition effect, there is a problem of simultaneity. Hausman test was used to find simultaneity problem while rank and order conditions were used for identification problem. Because of the existence of correlation between unobserved disturbances across a simultaneous equations system as it is the case in the present study, 3SLS technique was employed to estimate environmental effects of FDI. Fig. 2 shows steps used in 3SLS empirical method. 3SLS technique has advantages over single equation estimates and 2SLS (see Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al., 2010).

Decomposition of pollution emission into three effects can be written as:

\[ pol = gdp + tech + comp \]  (1)

Eq. 1 is the identical equation showing pollution indicators resulting from FDI (Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al. 2010). Sulfur dioxide (SO2) is taken as pollution indicator. SO2 is dependent variable in Eq. 1 whereas explanatory variables include scale effect, composition effect and technique effect.

A system of six equations is used to simultaneously estimate three effects of FDI on emissions and growth in Pakistan. The impact of FDI on the economic scale is measured by using Eq. 2(Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al. 2010).

\[ gdp = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 fdi + \alpha_2 k + \alpha_3 polu + \alpha_4 pol_{t-1} + \alpha_5 time + \epsilon_1 \]  (2)

Here \( gdp \) shows gross domestic product of Pakistan, FDI is shown by \( fdi \), stock of capital is represented by \( k \), and\( polu \) is SO2 emission in Pakistan. \( \alpha_0 to \alpha_5 \) are parameters to be predictable. \( \epsilon_1 \) is white noise error term. We expect a negative sign for coefficient of pollution emission variable because of its damaging effect. FDI is directly related to economic growth as indicated by Cole et al. (2011) whereas physical capital in the
country also increases indirectly with an increase in FDI (Zhang et al., 2004). Eq. 3 shows estimation procedure of the indirect effect of FDI on financial advancement (Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al. 2010).

\[ k = d_0 + d_1 fdi + d_2 (gdpt_{-1}) + d_3 (gdpt_{-2}) + \alpha_4 time + \varepsilon_2 \]  

One and two periods of lagged GDP values are used to measure the impact of economic growth and environmental asymmetries on development. We employed Eq. 4 for estimating technique effect (Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al. 2010).

\[ tech = \beta_0 + \beta_1 fdi + \beta_2 gdp + \beta_3 pd + \beta_4 eng + \beta_5 time + \varepsilon_3 \]  

Here technique effect is shown by \( tech \), \( fdi \) shows FDI, \( pd \) represents population density, \( eng \) is energy consumed in Pakistan, \( time \) is time period as a proxy for technology development in the country. \( \beta_0 \) to \( \beta_5 \) are parameters to be estimated. The increase in per capita income is expected to increase economic activity, which in turn leads to an increase in demand for a healthier environment. Further, an increase per capita income also implies allocation of resources for protecting the environment and abating pollution as indicated by Grossman and Kruger (1995) and Pao and Tsi (2010). Eq. 5 is used to estimate this type of the effect while considering composition effect (Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al. 2010).

\[ comp = \delta_0 + \delta_1 fdi + \delta_2 k/l + \delta_3 gdp + \delta_4 time + \varepsilon_4 \]  

\( comp \) shows composition effect and it is measured as industrial output to GDP, \( k/l \) is capital to labor ratio, \( \delta_0 \) to \( \delta_4 \) are parameters to be estimated. Higher physical capital stock increases industrial output, which leads to rising level of SO2 emission. The effect of GDP on dependent variable can be of two types. First it indicates higher level of industrialization in the country and second, it represents the increased demand for cleaner environment when per capita GDP rises due to industrialization.

Before taking decision about FDI inflows in any developing host country, the developed countries consider time and country specific factors. Eq. 6is used to examine the factors affecting FDI inflows in Pakistan (Bakhsh et al., 2017, Bao et al. 2010).

\[ fdi = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 fdi_{t-1} + \gamma_2 ind_{t-1} + \gamma_3 inv_{t-1} + \gamma_4 pol + \gamma_5 time + \varepsilon_5 \]  

System of equations have endogeneity issue, thus we have taken one period lagged value of FDI in the model to avoid endogeneity. We include domestic investment,
industrialization and pollution emission level to see the effect of decision to invest in Pakistan.

The above system of equations shows mechanisms by which FDI may have influence on pollution emissions in the host nation. The direct effects of FDI on pollution emission can be measured by the coefficients namely $d_1$, $\beta_1$ and $\delta_1$. FDI affects indirectly pollution emissions through its effect on GDP when greenhouse gas emissions and industrial composition vary with economic development. The indirect effect can be jointly measured by $a_1$ and $\beta_5(\delta_4)$. In addition, the indirect impact of FDI on toxic greenhouse gas emissions can be measured by the adverse effect on economic development, which has effects on both the scale effect and the composition effect. Furthermore, feedback implication of emissions (SO2 in the present study) on economic growth is also considered.

We also estimated the composite effect of foreign direct investment on the emission of SO2 in Pakistan using methods used by Bao et al. (2010). The total effect of FDI on SO2 emission can be estimated using Eq. 7 as under:

$$\frac{\partial \text{pollu}}{\partial \text{fdi}} = \frac{(\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 d_1) + (1 + \beta_2 + \delta_3)(a_1 + a_2 d_1)}{1 - (1 + \beta_2 + \delta_3)a_4}$$

(7)

Scale effect is estimated using Eq. 8. All identities given in equation are defined earlier.

$$\frac{\partial \text{gdp}}{\partial \text{fdi}} = \frac{(\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 d_1)a_4 + (a_1 + a_2 d_1)}{1 - (1 + \beta_2 + \delta_3)a_4}$$

(8)

The technique effect is determined using Eq. 9 as under:

$$\frac{\partial \text{tech}}{\partial \text{fdi}} = \beta_1 + \frac{(\beta_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 d_1)a4 + (a_1 + a_2 d_1)}{1 - (1 + \beta_2 + \delta_3)a_4} \beta_2$$

(9)

The composition effect of FDI on (SO2) emissions is appraised by using Eq. 10:

$$\frac{\partial \text{comp}}{\partial \text{fdi}} = \frac{(d_1 + d_2 b_1)(1 - a_4 a_1 \beta_2 + \delta_3(a_1 + a_2 d_1) + \beta_4 a_4 \delta_3)}{1 - (1 + \beta_2 + \delta_3)a_4}$$

(10)

$a_1, \beta_1$ and $\delta_1$ are the direct parameters of the scale, technique and composition effects of the FDI respectively. Capital accumulation emphasizes the importance of FDI inflows in the country. It is given by the coefficient of capital accumulations. Thus, capital
accumulation accelerates economic activity through scale effect. The formula \( d_1(a_2 + \delta_2) \) being measured indirect effect of capital accumulation of FDI in the country. The technical and compositional effects are used to show the level of economic growth and emissions of pollution. Scale effect is used to show the feedback impact of pollution emissions on economic growth. The scale effect can have impact on technique effect and composition effect \((\beta_2 + \delta_2)\) and we can see the total feedback effect by the measure \(\beta_2(1 + \beta_2 + \delta_2)\). All notations used in the above equations are defined in Appendix A.

3. Results and discussions

Foreign direct investment is associated with toxic greenhouse gas emissions and economic development in the host country. To examine these effects, we employed 3SLS. A system of six equations are considered in the present study, however, Eq. 1 is identity equation, so it is not possible to estimate it directly. The remaining five equations are measured to determine the impact of FDI on growth and emissions of SO2. Table 2 shows estimates of scale effect. We find that the coefficient of \((fdi)\) is negative and statistically significant. Increased pollution in the country causes various environmental and health hazards and erodes PakRs450 billion per year (GOP, 2014). Although all economic sectors are recipient of FDI in Pakistan, major FDI inflow is recorded in industrial sector. Weak institutions and less political stability are some of the reasons for no or little control on pollution emission. The findings of the study vary considerably from those of the studies Shahbaz et al., 2018 and Hanif et al., 2019,) which show a positive impact of FDI on economic growth. However, Omri et al. (2014) found a bi-directional causal relationship between emissions, economic growth and FDI.

Pollution variable i.e. The emission of SO2 is an important factor in the growth of GDP and therefore is the key concern of the present study. Copeland and Taylor (2003) point out that pollution is important factor of production in the country, besides labor and capital. An increase in SO2 emission increases economic growth significantly. Pollution emission in developing countries including Pakistan is positively to with economic growth. Ample evidence of empirical work show that economic growth and greenhouse gas emissions are positively associated (Chaabouni, Zghidi and Mbrane, 2016; Ahmad and Du, 2017;Alvarado et al., 2018;Ma et al., 2016; Ali et al., 2019;Nadeem et al., 2020,
Saidi and Mbarek, 2016, Wang et al., 2016). Lax environmental rules and regulations in Pakistan induce firms to emit pollutants in the environment. Capital \((k)\) is also statistically significant implying that it has positive relation with economic growth.

Table 3 shows the estimates of capital accumulation effect of FDI in Pakistan. We observe a positive association between FDI and capital accumulation which is statistically significant. Bakhsh et al. (2017) also found similar relationship in their study. Economic growth during the previous year also affects physical capital accumulation in the present year. To consider this effect, we have taken lagged value of gross domestic product in the capital accumulation effect (see Eq. 3). We find that previous year economic growth is significant and negatively related with capital accumulation in Pakistan. To see the influence of FDI on economic growth, it is estimated by using Eq. 3, we find 0.1287 total scale effect of foreign direct investment while considering SO2 emission. This scale effect is larger than estimate of Bakhsh et al. (2017) for CO2 emission.

Results of technique effect for SO2 emission and FDI are given in Table 4. A positive and significant coefficient of FDI implies that FDI inflows in the country increase marginal pollution damage. Foreign investors don’t use environmental friendly technology in the developing countries because they are more interested in low cost production benefits (Lan et al., 2012). Thus FDI causes a significant environmental degradation in the host countries due to lax environmental monitoring and regulations. Countries with slow rates of increasing consumption activities found moderate economic growth rates and emissions (Díaz and Cancelo, 2009, Luqman et al., 2019). Wang et al. (2016) argue that environmental degradation is related with positive association between high energy consumption and emissions. Population density has a strong and substantial effect on SO2 emissions. This significant positive relationship shows that a rise in population density also increases marginal environmental damage. Increased environmental damage associated with increasing population density warrants for pollution control measures to protect environment. Many studies also find this type of relationship (Doytch and Uctum, 2016, Zhang and Zhu, 2016). Gross domestic product \((gdp)\) is negatively related with marginal pollution damage. Yavuz (2014) finds a long-term reverse effect of economic growth on pollution emissions and suggests that the
economic activity itself would contribute to a decrease in emissions from pollution. Energy consumption is positively related with marginal environmental damage in the present study. Alvarado et al. (2018) and Cherni and Jounini (2017) explains a rise in fossil fuel consumption as being related to economic growth. Ample evidence shows an increase in energy consumption leading to pollution emission (Zhu et al., 2016; Behera and Dash, 2017, Baek, 2016, Tang and Tan, 2015). The use of fossil fuels is the primary source of pollution emissions namely SO2 and CO2. Based on the above topic, FDI raises pollution, demonstrating that the technological impact is incapable of regulating or reducing industrial pollution emissions, like SO2 as foreign firms use obsolete technologies in the manufacturing process due to weak environmental regulations. Although environmental laws for preventing or reducing pollution emissions exist, these laws are not implemented in true letter and spirit in order to attract FDI in the country. All this would have a detrimental long-term impact on economic growth (Jayanthakumaran et al., 2012).

Estimates of composition effects are reported in Table 5. Results show that a rise in FDI and a contribution of industrial production in the economy has positive and statistically significant impacts. The ratio of capital labor is an important compositional impact indicator. Taking into account the k / l coefficient, we find that this vector induces an rise in the share of industrial production in the economy. It happens primarily because the manufacturing sector produces more toxic contamination as a result of capital-intensive products production (Antweiler et al., 2001)

Table 6 shows the estimates of determinants of FDI. Coefficient of investment implies that more investment by public and private sectors attracts more investment, possibly due to indication of conducive environment for production and cost advantages. Self-accumulation effects of FDI are estimated by taking lagged value of FDI. Statistically significant and positive coefficient shows that economic conditions of the country during the previous years attracted more FDI. Low cost of production and slack environmental standard operating procedures are the possible reasons.

Table 7 reports various effects of FDI on SO2 emission and these estimates are based on Bao et al. (2010) formulae discussed in the previous section. We find a negative estimate of total effect of FDI on SO2 emission in the country. In the present study, the scale
effect of FDI is positive. It indicated that an increase in FDI is causing a rise in gross
domestic product. Our finding is consistent with that of Bao et al. (2010), who also find
negative relationships between environmental pollution and FDI. The country with lax
environmental rules, regulations and management always exhibits negative technique
effect even it is economically progressing (Mahmood and Chaudhary, 2012). However,
indirect composition effect is positive, implying that FDI inclusively increase the increase
the host country’s share of industrial sector in GDP.

The present study has a few limitations. It considered data at country level to examine
economic growth, energy consumption and SO2 emission. It would have been great if
SO2 emission at different sector and or provincial level were considered. Since the data
on SO2 emission at sectoral and provincial levels is not available, future research should
consider this limitation when the data becomes available. This study is limited to only
Pakistan. Expanding the study at South Asian level can yield interesting findings. Taking
only SO2 emission is another limitation of the study. In future, all greenhouse gas
emissions should be considered while examining the economic growth, SO2 emission
and energy consumption nexus.

4. Conclusions and policy implications

Economic growth and FDI are significantly related in the host country. FDI increases
environmental pollution such as SO2 and CO2 emissions. The present study explored
relations of FDI, SO2 emission, energy use and economic growth using 3SLS in Pakistan.
Results of technique effect showed that SO2 emission and capital had positive effect on
gross domestic product whereas FDI was negatively related to economic growth.
Technique effect method showed that FDI, population density and energy consumption
are positively related with SO2 emission and gross domestic product is negatively
associated with SO2 emission. In composition effect, FDI is positively related with
industrial output. FDI in the previous years and investment by public and private sector in
the host country were significant factors of FDI inflows. However, we found the negative
impact of SO2 emission on FDI inflows in the present study.

In spite of environmental degradation linked with FDI inflows, the developing countries
provide various incentives including lax environmental rules to foreign investors for
speeding economic growth, building capital stock and generating opportunities to the
skilled and unskilled labor force. Findings of the study reveal that the recipient of FDI should emphasize the impacts of FDI on environmental quality because degrading environment costs the economy in the long-run. The need is also to develop environmental friendly technology required during production processes. This necessitates the role of research and development activities to move in this direction. Recent estimates showing annual loss of PakRs450 billion owing to environmental degradation sensitize policymakers and other concerned to recognize the importance of environmental concerns in Pakistan. Therefore, Pakistan has started taking strategic measures to control environmental degradation. Similarly private sector especially industrial sector has to play a crucial role in overcoming SO2 emission. Although regulatory bodies exist to monitor pollution emissions, there is still need to strengthen these institutions for effective implementation of environmental rules and regulations.
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Table 1. Definition of variables and the data sources

| Variables        | Unit            | Definitions                                           | Source   |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| GDP              | US$ Million     | Gross domestic product                                | SBP      |
| Energy           | kt              | Total energy use equitant to oil                      | WDI      |
| Capital          | Million US$     | Total gross fixed capital formation                  | SBP      |
| Investment       | US$ Million     | Gross total investment                                | SBP      |
| SO$_2$           | Giga            | Total SO$_2$ emission                                 | WDI      |
| Capital intensity| US$ Million     | Total capital stock divided by labor force           | SBP      |
| Industrialization| % GDP           | Industrial value added scaled by GDP                  | Index Mundi |
| FDI              | US$ million     | Foreign direct investment inflow                      | SBP      |
| Pop. Density     | Number          | Population per square km                              | WDI      |
### Table 2: Results for scale effect

| Variables   | Coefficient | St. error |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Constant    | 8.1947***   | 1.2845    |
| Fdi         | -0.0599***  | .0164     |
| K           | 0.7598***   | 0.0648    |
| Polu        | 0.0542**    | 0.0253    |
| lag_polu    | -0.1234***  | 0.0284    |
| Time        | 0.0363***   | 0.0024    |
| R$^2$       | 0.9946      |           |
| RMSE        | 0.0323      |           |
| Chi$^2$     | 8241.7500***|           |

***and** shows 1% and 5% level of significance
### Table 3. Estimates of capital accumulation effect of FDI

| Variables   | Coefficient     | St. error |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Constant    | -10.2678***     | 2.5771    |
| Fdi         | 0.0904***       | 0.0186    |
| gdp_{t-1}   | 0.75876***      | 0.2573    |
| gdp_{t-2}   | 0.5489**        | 0.2397    |
| Time        | -0.0429***      | 0.0055    |
| R^2         | 0.9828          |           |
| RMSE        | 0.0433          |           |
| Chi^2       | 2152.9300***    |           |

***and ** are level of significance at 1% and 5%
**Table 4: Estimates of the technique effect**

| Variables | Coefficient | St. error |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Constant  | 25.4240     | 18.8107   |
| Fdi       | 0.5375***   | 0.1797    |
| Gdp       | -9.3192***  | 1.7978    |
| Pd        | 30.5398***  | 6.7529    |
| Energy    | 6.5854***   | 2.0371    |
| Time      | -0.5916***  | 0.1360    |
| R²        | 0.4329      |           |
| RMSE      | 0.2220      |           |
| Chi²      | 85.3300***  |           |

*** is significance level at 1%
### Table 5: Estimates of composition effect

| Variables | Coefficient | St. error |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Constant  | -14.1269*** | 1.8069    |
| Fdi       | 0.0295***   | 0.0081    |
| Gdp       | 1.7085***   | 0.1121    |
| k/l       | -0.2786***  | 0.0551    |
| Time      | -0.0267***  | 0.0048    |
| $R^2$     | 0.9989      |           |
| RMSE      | 0.0176      |           |
| Chi$^2$   | 32910.50*** |           |

*** shows 1% level of significance
Table 6: Estimates of FDI determinants

| Variables | Coefficient | St. error |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Constant  | -39.5710*   | 22.8250   |
| fdi_{t-1} | 0.3450**    | 0.1423    |
| ind_{t-1} | -1.1389     | 1.5859    |
| inv_{t-1} | 3.4730***   | 1.4164    |
| Polu      | -0.7443**   | 0.3302    |
| Time      | 0.0971      | 0.0637    |
| RMSE      | 0.3920      |           |
| R^2       | 0.9197      |           |
| Chi^2     | 404.8800*** |           |

***, ** and * are level of significance at 1%, 5% and 10% respectively
### Table 7. Effects of FDI on pollution emission

| Variables       | Effects of FDI on SO2 emission |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Total effect    | -0.5393                        |
| Scale effect    | 0.1287                         |
| Technique effect| -0.6622                        |
| Composition effect | 0.1610                     |
## Appendix A. Glossary of notations used in system of equations

| Notations | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| Fdi       | fdi stands for foreign direct investment. An individual or a company residing in one country makes investment in another country is known as FDI. It can be in the form of establishing business operations or buying assets in the target country. |
| Inv       | inv stands for investment. It is the investment made by public and private sources in a country. This is used to attract FDI in the country. |
| Gdp       | gdp shows gross domestic product. It is the value of all final goods and services produced in a country during the specific period of time like during one year. |
| Pol       | polu indicates SO2 emission. This greenhouse gas is a colorless and it is emitted from burning of oil and coal and industrial processes containing sulfur. |
| Eng       | Energy is the power we derive from using physical or chemical resources to be used for heating, cooling and lighting purposes or for running machines. |
| k         | k shows gross fixed capital formation. It shows availability of building, machinery, equipment, etc. to be used in the production process. It is among factors of production. |
| k/l       | It represents capital intensity. It shows the amount of capital in relation to other factors of production like labor, land, etc. |
| Ind       | It is used for industrialization in the country. This shows the transformation of economy from primary goods production to manufacturing goods. We can say transformation of economy from agricultural goods production to manufactured goods. |
| Pd        | It represents population density. This shows number of persons living per square km in the country. |
| Tech      | It shows technique effect. It is the ratio of pollution to industrial value added in the country. |
| Scale     | It is scale effect. It is used to see the impact of FDI on gross domestic production. |
| Comp      | comp is used to represent composition effect. It is estimated by taking ratio of industrial output to gross domestic product. |
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