INTRODUCTION

The continued expansion of industrial activity and urbanization worldwide are the main factor contributing to gaseous air pollution. Among the gaseous pollutant, SO$_2$ is the primary pollutant because it is directly emitted from the pollution source as a product of combustion or processing of raw material that contain sulphur. The principal sources of SO$_2$ are burning of coal and oil with high sulphur content and smelting of sulphide ore. The direct toxic effect of atmospheric pollutants, sulphur dioxide in particular, on plants has been well documented (Rajput et al. 1977 and Winner et al., 1985) during the past two decades. It is essentially a potent phytotoxic gas and its toxicity to plant is manifested in typical chronic or acute foliar symptom injury. The mode and extent of damage caused by this pollutant to pomegranate has not been precisely and systematically studied. Under such circumstances, the present investigation was undertaken under simulating condition to find out the possible extent of adaptability of pomegranate in SO$_2$ emission of our state. The effect of varying levels of sulphur dioxide (0.25, 0.5 and 1.0ppm) fumigated for 1, 2 and 3h under simulated conditions on pomegranate revealed that the important traits like leaf number, leaf area, fresh weight, dry weight and chlorophyll content in leaves were adversely affected by SO$_2$ level. SO$_2$ 1.0ppm with 3h exposure found to be more harmful. However, no significant variation was seen amongst the treatments in respect of tissue fresh and dry weight when compared with that of control (ambient SO$_2$). On the other hand, sulphur content in tissues increase progressively with increasing levels of SO$_2$ and time of fumigation and the variation observed within treatments was significant to each other. It is suggested that the lowest concentration of SO$_2$ (0.25 ppm) used in this study is more than sufficient to bring about a significant changes in most of the parameters studied.
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ABSTRACT

The direct toxic effect of atmospheric pollutant such as sulphur dioxide on plants has been well documented. It is essentially a potent phytotoxic gas and its toxicity to plant is manifested in typical chronic or acute foliar symptom injury. The mode and extent of damage caused by this pollutant to pomegranate has not been precisely and systematically studied. Under such circumstances, the present investigation was undertaken under simulating condition to find out the possible extent of adaptability of pomegranate in SO$_2$ emission of our state. The effect of varying levels of sulphur dioxide (0.25, 0.5 and 1.0ppm) fumigated for 1, 2 and 3h under simulated conditions on pomegranate revealed that the important traits like leaf number, leaf area, fresh weight, dry weight and chlorophyll content in leaves were adversely affected by SO$_2$ level. SO$_2$ 1.0ppm with 3h exposure found to be more harmful. However, no significant variation was seen amongst the treatments in respect of tissue fresh and dry weight when compared with that of control (ambient SO$_2$). On the other hand, sulphur content in tissues increase progressively with increasing levels of SO$_2$ and time of fumigation and the variation observed within treatments was significant to each other. It is suggested that the lowest concentration of SO$_2$ (0.25 ppm) used in this study is more than sufficient to bring about a significant changes in most of the parameters studied.
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INTRODUCTION

The continued expansion of industrial activity and urbanization worldwide are the main factor contributing to gaseous air pollution. Among the gaseous pollutant, SO$_2$ is the primary pollutant because it is directly emitted from the pollution source as a product of combustion or processing of raw material that contain sulphur. The principal sources of SO$_2$ are burning of coal and oil with high sulphur content and smelting of sulphide ore. The direct toxic effect of atmospheric pollutants, sulphur dioxide in particular, on plants has been well documented (Rajput et al. 1977 and Winner et al., 1985) during the past two decades. It is essentially a potent phytotoxic gas and its toxicity to plant is manifested in typical chronic or acute foliar symptom injury. The relationship between foliar loss due to SO$_2$ exposure and yield reduction in various crops has also been studied by Barreti and Benedict (1970) and Winner et al. (1985). It has been known to cause injury in these crops by destroying chlorophyll, disrupting photosynthesis and reducing biomass production and productivity. Moreover, the extent of injury is species dependent and is likely to be influenced by the macro and micro-climate of that particular agro-ecosystem. The natural adaptability of a crop species to a particular ecosystem is determined taking into consideration the extent of injury and sustainability of that crop. The mode and extent of damage caused by this pollutant to pomegranate has not been precisely and systematically studied. The present investigation is a modest attempt under simulating condition which aims at finding out the possible extent of adaptability of pomegranate in SO$_2$ emission of our state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out during 2006-2007 in the Regional Research and Technology Transfer Station (RRTTS), Orissa University
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Number of leaf and leaf area: Data pertaining to various traits revealed that damage to leaf injury, which includes both leaf number and its area, increased progressively in pomegranate studied with increasing levels of SO₂ and time of fumigation (Table 1). Moreover, the damage was highly significant at the highest level of SO₂ (1.0 ppm) when compared to that of control. In the present study, percent injury recorded for leaf number and leaf area was in order of 12.9 to 52.5 and 16 to 42, respectively. The injury manifested might be attributed to higher sulphur content in plant and as such the plant could not be capable of metabolizing and utilizing the SO₂ absorbed outwardly (Stratigakos and Ormrod 1985). Such injury has been ascribed to the faster accumulation of SO₂ than its oxidation and assimilation in the plant tissues, exceeding threshold accumulation in the intercellular spaces of the leaf and causing cell injury (Thomas 1961). Alternately, it has also been contemplated that SO₂ in combination with aldehydes and sugars forms secondary products which decompose slowly to release H₂SO₃ or H₂SO₄ into the plant cell, that becoming more uninnocuous to the system (Haselhoff and Lindau, 1903).

Fresh and Dry matter content: Decrease in fresh weight and dry weight of the tissues was gradual with increasing concentrations of SO₂ (Table 1). The values obtained in respect of the above parameters seem to be inconsistent when compared with that of control. The treatment effect was not significant although there was a marked difference in the data pertaining to this parameter. Further, reduction in the fresh and dry matter was more manifested in shoot than in root of plants. The present findings are in agreement with the results of Mandal et al. (1980) and Thompson et al. (1982)

Chlorophyll content: The chlorophyll content of leaves declined significantly with increasing levels of SO₂. Reduction in chlorophyll content determine to be 52 to 83%, lowest value being recorded at 1.0 ppm SO₂ treatment fumigated for 3h (Table 1). Greater damage to the chloroplast machinery due to the SO₂ treatment is the main cause of decrease in chlorophyll content in the leaves. The chlorophyll content decreased with increasing SO₂ concentration and the effect is being more accentuated when the simulation period is increased. The decrease in chlorophyll content has been ascribed to the disruption of the chloroplast membrane due to phytotoxic nature of SO₂,
(Winner et al. 1985) resulting in leaching of pigments (Rath et al. 1994). Such interference of SO\(_2\) is believed to promote secondary processes which breakdown chlorophyll and kills the cells.

**Sulphur content:** The sulphur content in pomegranate plant tissues increased with increase in levels of SO\(_2\) fumigation (Table 1). Increase in sulphur content of tissues with increasing levels of SO\(_2\) is probably due to inability of plants to metabolize and assimilate the excess SO\(_2\) at cellular level thus results in its accumulation to manifold. This is quite natural and is also evident from the earlier report of Mishra, 1980 and Rath et al. (1994). Apparently, SO\(_2\) can cause some growth reduction in the absence of visible manifestation when a threshold concentration is exceeded. Under these conditions, it appears that H\(_2\)SO\(_3\) or SO\(_4^{2-}\) can accumulate in the cells of the plants and inhibit photosynthesis without necessarily killing the cells. At sub-threshold

| Treatment | Concentration of SO\(_2\) (ppm) | Control | F  | LSD at 5% |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|----|-----------|
| Parameter | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | T0H0 | Test |
| No of leaves | | | | | |
| Initial | 628 | 565 | 578 | 560 | 540 | 620 | 701 | 670 | 590 | 625 |
| Damaged | 81 | 102 | 136 | 142 | 220 | 282 | 313 | 315 | 310 | 0.0 |
| % Injury | 12.9 | 18.1 | 23.5 | 25.3 | 40.7 | 45.48 | 44.6 | 47.1 | 52.5 | 0.0 |
| Leaf area (cm\(^2\)) | | | | | |
| Initial | 5589 | 5028 | 5144 | 4984 | 4806 | 5518 | 6238 | 5963 | 5251 | 5562 |
| Damaged | 894 | 1106 | 1337 | 1395 | 1441 | 1931 | 1996 | 2146 | 2204 | 0.0 |
| % Injury | 16 | 22 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 35 | 32 | 36 | 42 | 0.0 |
| Fresh Weight (g) | | | | | |
| Shoot | 376 | 322 | 306 | 257 | 253 | 285 | 350 | 274 | 230 | 325 |
| Root | 53 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 55 | 53 | 55 | 54 | 60 |
| S/R Ratio | 7.1 | 5.7 | 5.37 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 5.4 |
| Dry Weight (g) | | | | | |
| Shoot | 30 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 29 | 33 | 32 | 28 | 42.5 |
| Root | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| S/R Ratio | 3.75 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 4.7 | NS |
| Chlorophyll content (mg/g) | | | | | |
| Fresh Leaf | 3.23 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.31 | 2.1 | 2 | 3.8 |
| % of Control | 83 | 80 | 75 | 79 | 68 | 61 | 60 | 56 | 52 | 100 |
| Sulphur content | | | | | |
| (ppm) | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.06 |

* - F. Test Significant, NS - Not Significant
concentrations, the sulphite is oxidized to the non-toxic sulphate as rapidly as it is absorbed, so that inhibition of photosynthesis does not occur. Similarly, at concentrations below those causing any visible symptoms, cause a reduction of photosynthesis, early senescence, an unthrifty appearance, reduce growth and yield, and increase susceptibility to disease and insects.

CONCLUSION

Sulphur dioxide treatments in pomegranate were found to be harmful even at lower dose and duration of exposure which increased gradually with increasing concentrations and durations. However, lower concentration i.e. at 0.25 ppm of SO₂, the injuries to various morpho-physiological parameters were subtle. It is suggested that the lowest concentration of SO₂ (0.25 ppm) used in this study is more than sufficient to bring about a significant changes in most of the parameters studied. However basing on the present results it is hoped that this preliminary study would throw a light to the researchers and environmentalist from the view of increasing day to day global pollution and its effect on crop canopy as a whole.
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