Changes in HIV prevention programme outcomes among key populations in Kenya: Data from periodic surveys
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Abstract

The Kenya National AIDS and STI Control Programme (NASCOP) conducted annual polling booth surveys (PBS) in 2014 and 2015 to measure outcomes from the national HIV prevention programme for key populations (KPs), comprising behavioural, biomedical and structural interventions. KPs included female sex workers (FSWs), men who have sex with men (MSM) and people who inject drugs (PWID). We compared survey results from the first and second rounds. Comparing the second to the first round, significantly more FSWs (93% vs. 88%, p<0.001) and MSM (77% vs. 58%, p<0.001) reported condom use at last sex with a paying client, and at last anal sex among MSM (80% vs. 77%, p<0.05) and PWID (48% vs. 27%, p<0.01). However, condom use with regular partners remained low, at less than 53% for FSWs and 69% for MSM. Among PWID, there was a significant increase in use of new needles and syringes at last injection (93% vs. 88%, p<0.001), and a significant decrease in reported non-availability of clean needles (23% vs. 36%, p<0.001). The number of overdoses in the past six months reduced significantly but remained high (40% vs. 51%, p<0.001). FSWs and MSM reported significantly higher HIV testing, and in all KP groups, over 93% reported ever having been tested for HIV. Among the respondents self-reporting to have tested HIV positive (24% of FSW, 22% of MSM and 19% of PWID), 80% of FSWs, 70% of MSM, and 73% of PWID reported currently taking antiretroviral therapy (ART). While the experience of forced intercourse by partners declined among FSWs (18% vs. 22%, p<0.01) and MSM (13% vs. 17%, p<0.01), more FSWs reported violence by law enforcement personnel (49% vs. 44%, p<0.001). These findings provide valuable information on the programme’s progress, and a signpost for the integrated behavioural, biomedical and structural interventions to achieve their HIV prevention targets.
Introduction

The heterogeneity of the HIV epidemic globally necessitates an approach that allows geographic and population-based prioritization to achieve efficient planning and implementation of the response [1]. However, the adoption of such an approach remains uneven, as many of those who are most at risk for HIV infection continue to be left behind [2]. Recent studies suggest that the likelihood of acquiring HIV is 24 times higher among people who inject drugs (PWID) than adults in the general population, 10 times higher among female sex workers (FSWs), and 24 times higher among men who have sex with men (MSM) [2]. UNAIDS recommends that to reduce new HIV infections to an annual global target of 500,000 by 2020, and achieve the ambitious 90-90-90 treatment targets [3], an intensive focus on combination prevention approaches is required. This involves evidence-informed and human rights-based HIV prevention programmes, with a combination of biomedical services, and behavioural and structural interventions, aiming for community empowerment among key populations [2].

In response to the HIV epidemic in Kenya, the Kenya AIDS Strategic Framework 2014/15–2018/19 (KASF) prioritizes the key populations of FSWs, MSM and PWID [4, 5]. As described in detail in a previous publication, HIV prevalence among these key populations (KP) is high [5]. A survey conducted in 2010 showed that 29% of FSWs, 18% of MSM and 19% of PWID were HIV positive [5, 6]. The National AIDS Control Council (NACC) modes of transmission study conducted in 2009 showed that 33% of all new HIV infections in Kenya occurred among key populations [7]. The Kenyan epidemic is socially and geographically heterogeneous as well, with certain counties and populations having higher HIV prevalence and incidence than others. Hence, selected counties and populations are being prioritized for scaled-up intensive HIV prevention programmes [4]. Kenya conducted a national mapping exercise of key populations in 2012, and through a consensus meeting, estimated that there were approximately 133,675 FSWs, 18,460 MSM and 18,327 PWID [8]. This exercise also provided county and “hotspot”-wise estimations, providing a road map for Kenya to scale up its key population programmes by prioritizing those counties with higher numbers of people in key population groups. In recent years, the U.S. President’s Emergency Fund for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and the Global Fund against AIDS, TB and Malaria (GFATM) have expanded their funding to 82 implementing partners, covering 123,113 FSWs, 24,958 MSM and 13,315 PWID across 32 of Kenya’s 47 counties [9].

Kenya’s national HIV programme has developed several policies, guidelines and training curricula to standardize programme scale-up for KPs. Kenya has also sought to create an enabling environment through structural interventions to widen access to health services for KPs and heighten community mobilization, particularly to address a context in Kenya where sex work, same sex relationships and drug use is criminalized. The KP services therefore comprise a combination prevention approach [4] that includes not only biomedical and behavioural, but also structural interventions, following global guidance [10] for programming for key populations [11, 5]. This approach is necessary to achieve the targets set by the country to reduce new infections, AIDS-related mortality and HIV-related stigma and discrimination by 2018/19 [4].

The KASF’s monitoring and evaluation framework includes annual behavioural surveys among key populations in Kenya to monitor programme outcomes. Specifically, Kenya uses a polling booth survey (PBS) methodology to generate relevant indicators, through an anonymous group interview process [5]. The first PBS was conducted in 2013–14 as a baseline for monitoring HIV-related risk behaviour among FSWs, MSM and PWID, their utilization of existing prevention and care programmes, and structural issues related to KPs’ vulnerability to HIV. The findings of the first survey have been previously published, and they describe KP’s
HIV risk and prevention behaviours, utilization of existing programmes and services, and experiences of violence [5]. The second round of the PBS was completed in 2015. The objective of this paper is to compare the results of the first and second polling booth survey rounds, to identify where progress has been made, and where further attention is required by the HIV/AIDS key populations programmes in Kenya. Studying outcomes nationally across different key population groups will also make a contribution to global research evaluating key population HIV/AIDS interventions, which has predominantly focused on a single location or population to date.

Methodology

Study design and sample selection

The study methodology for the survey in 2015 was similar to 2013–14, using the PBS methodology previously described [5]. However, the geographic coverage for FSW and PWID surveys was expanded in the follow-up round. In addition to the seven study locations included in the 2013–14 baseline survey (Nairobi, Mombasa, Nakuru, Nyeri, Kiambu, Kisumu and Eldoret) for FSWs, four other geographic areas were added (Homabay, Bungoma, Meru and Kitui). For PWID, in addition to the two study locations in the 2013–14 baseline survey (Mombasa and Nairobi), two other areas (Kilifi and Kisumu) were added. For MSM, the five locations (Nairobi, Mombasa, Kisumu, Nakuru and Kiambu) were the same in the 2013–14 baseline survey and the follow-up round in 2015. The expansion of FSW and PWID geographic coverage was done to account for regional heterogeneity in terms of typology of KPs, HIV prevalence and socio-cultural contexts.

As previously described [5], a two-stage, stratified cluster sampling methodology was used to recruit PBS participants. For the first stage of sampling in each study location, “hotspots” were selected as the primary sampling units (PSUs) from the list of all active and mapped hotspots, which served as the sampling frame. A hotspot was defined as any physical location where KP members meet partners or clients (soliciting or cruising), engage in sexual intercourse with partners/clients, or obtain or inject drugs. The lists of hotspots were then stratified by geographic location and typology (i.e. FSW, MSM or PWID), before selecting the PSUs systematically. It is important to note that hotspots where KP estimates were small were merged with nearby hotspots of the same typology, so that an adequate number of KPs would be available within each PSU. The number of PSUs per study site was determined based upon the required sample size and the average number of KPs per PBS session.

Sample sizes were calculated to detect a 15% change in the indicators of interest between the baseline and follow-up surveys (e.g. a 15% increase in condom use with casual clients from a baseline of 50%), with 80% statistical power. The target sample size for each KP group across all study sites (accounting for a 15% non-response rate) was 3,845 FSWs, 1,528 MSM and 1,087 PWID. In the second stage of sampling, peer educators who had received thorough training on the sampling procedure were asked to develop a list of individuals self-identifying as key population members among those who frequented the identified sampling hotspots [8]. To reduce selection and participation biases, peer educators used a systematic random sampling method [12, 13] to identify potential participants that frequented hotspots, rather than focusing only on individuals who regularly accessed services provided by intervention programmes. Potential participants were made aware that refusal to participate would not, under any circumstances, jeopardize their ability to utilize services provided by programmes. Individuals who agreed to participate were provided with information on the date, time, and venue of their PBS session, which was arranged in consultation with implementing non-governmental or community-based organizations in each study site. Up to twelve participants were organized into homogenous groups based on socio-demographic and geographic characteristics. A
total of 457 PBS group sessions were conducted. All PBS sessions were led by a field researcher, as well as research assistants who self-identified as KP members.

Data collection and analysis
PBS is a group interview method in which participants are provided a private space or booth containing colour-coded ‘yes’, ‘no’, and ‘not applicable’ ballot boxes, and a set of numbered ‘voting’ tokens corresponding to each questionnaire item. Participants then answer survey questions that are read aloud by the researcher by placing the appropriately numbered token in the relevant ballot box, as described in more detail elsewhere [5]. There is evidence that Polling Booth Surveys provide more accurate reporting of sensitive sexual behaviours due to the anonymity provided to the respondents, whereas both face-to-face interviews and self-administered questionnaires can be prone to more social desirability, or non-disclosure bias, due to perceived lack of confidentiality [14, 15]. Additionally, PBS is a simple method to implement, and allows participation from key populations in designing and administering the questions. In the current survey, key population members were involved as research assistants and researchers to administer the survey, along with external researchers.

Three different questionnaires were used in the PBS sessions for the FSW, MSM, and PWID respondents, respectively. As a first follow-up to the baseline survey, the second-round questionnaires retained the questions used at baseline, allowing for the measurement of changes in outcomes related to behavioural, biomedical, and structural interventions over time. New questions added in the second round included HIV status, screening and uptake of hepatitis vaccine, and exposure to peer education. The final survey tools were translated from English to Kiswahili, Kenya’s official language, then back-translated to English to ensure accuracy and consistency. The tools were tested with key populations, and adjustments were made based on their feedback prior to the final data collection.

After data collection, data were entered using Microsoft Access and exported to SPSS (v20) and Microsoft Excel for analysis. Descriptive analysis was performed to produce frequencies, proportions and comparative statistics. Given that PBS data are anonymized and aggregated at the group level (i.e. responses are summarised for each PBS session), the data are unlinked, and do not allow for more complex, multivariate analyses. Data were weighted using overall and hotspot level selection probabilities, taking into account the sample size and KP population size estimates, to provide estimates at the overall level. Overall selection probability was derived using the total sample size and the estimated size of KPs across the consistent locations, whereas the selection probability at the hotspot level was derived using the sample size and the estimated size of the KP population at the respective hotspot. The final weights were calculated using both overall sampling probability and the selection probability in each hotspot. The comparative findings presented in this paper compare the data from only the consistent geographic locations in the baseline and first follow-up survey. Chi square tests of significance were conducted to assess changes over time. There were seven consistent locations for FSWs (Nairobi, Mombasa, Kisumu, Nakuru, Kiambu, Eldoret and Nyeri), five for MSM (Nairobi, Mombasa, Kisumu, Nakuru and Kiambu) and two for PWID (Nairobi and Mombasa). The complete findings for all locations are available separately [16]. The data for the 2014 PBS survey were presented in our previous publication [5]. Some data from the 2014 survey have also been presented in this paper to compare them with the results of the 2015 survey.

Ethical considerations
Kenya’s Ministry of Health has approved the PBS as a method for routinely collecting data to inform programme monitoring and evaluation. Participation in PBS sessions was voluntary.
Women and men, at least 18 years of age, and who identified as KPs, were eligible to participate in the survey, after providing written informed consent similar to the practice followed in 2014. Data were anonymous and unlinked, thus minimizing potential risks associated with participation such as disclosure of HIV status. No incentives were provided to participants for taking part in the surveys, although costs of travelling to and from the PBS session sites were reimbursed. The de-identified data collected for this study was part of routine monitoring by the Government of Kenya of their HIV programme and is publically available on a NASCOP electronic database. Ethical approval to conduct secondary analysis of the PBS data was received from Kenyatta National Hospital–University of Nairobi Ethical Review Committee, approval number P647/11/2017.

### Results

#### Socio-demographic characteristics and reported risk behaviours

The overall response rate was 95% in 2015 and 99% in 2014. As shown in Table 1, a total of 5,446 and 4,080 participants took part in round 1 and round 2 of the PBS respectively. The sample size in round 1 was higher for FSWs, as we used lower baseline values for condom use for the two locations (Nairobi and Mombasa) in calculating the required sample size.

As shown in Table 2 below, the majority of the FSW respondents in the 2015 survey were in the age group 26–40 years (64%), while the majority of the MSM respondents were in the age group 18–25 years (67%). For PWID, the majority were in the age group 26–40 years (70%). The age distribution of FSW respondents changed slightly from the first survey, with the proportion of FSW respondents in the age group 26–40 years increasing from 53% in 2014 to 64% in 2015. The age distributions of the MSM and PWID did not change.

Table 3 shows the percentages of self-reported risk behaviours in 2015. Among MSM, 69% had ever exchanged sex with other men for money or other goods, and 60% had exchanged sex for goods or money in the last month. 35% of PWID who were females had sex with paying clients in the last 3 months, and 24% of men who injected drugs paid for sex in the past one month. 29% of MSM also had a non-paying female partner. 59% of FSWs and 68% of MSM

---

### Table 1. Polling booth survey participants in consistent study locations, 2014 and 2015 by KP category.

|                  | Number of Participants—PBS 2014 | Number of Participants—PBS 2015 |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                  | FSWs  n = 3,448 (%)             | MSM n = 1,308 (%)               |
|                  | PWID n = 690 (%)                | FSWs n = 2,228 (%)              |
|                  | Total                           | MSM n = 1,254 (%)               |
|                  | Total                           | PWID, n = 598 (%)               |
| Consistent locations | 3,448 | 1,308 | 690 | 5,446 | 2,228 | 1,254 | 598 | 4,080 |

---

### Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of polling booth survey participants in consistent study locations, 2014 and 2015.

|                  | PBS 2014 | PBS 2015 |
|------------------|----------|----------|
|                  | FSWs n = 3,448 (%) | MSM n = 1,308 (%) | PWID n = 690 (%) | FSWs n = 2,228 (%) | MSM n = 1,254 (%) | PWID, n = 598 (%) |
| PBS sessions total | 204 | 70 | 63 | 272 | 108 | 77 |
| Age range (years) |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| 18–25             | 1,495 (43%) | 855 (65%) | 112 (16%) | 699 (31%) | 843 (67%) | 97 (16%) |
| 26–40             | 1,839 (53%) | 425 (32%) | 485 (70%) | 1,437 (64%) | 408 (32%) | 420 (70%) |
| Above 40          | 114 (3%) | 28 (2%) | 92 (13%) | 92 (4%) | 3 (.2%) | 81 (13%) |
| Sex               |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Male              | - | 1,308 (100%) | 608 (88%) | - | 1,254 (100%) | 468 (78%) |
| Female            | 3,448 (100%) | - | 82 (11%) | 2,228 (100%) | - | 130 (22%) |

---

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203784.t001

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203784.t002
had regular non-paying male partners. 63% of men who injected drugs had regular non-paying female partners, and 70% of females who injected drugs had regular male non-paying partners. 12% of FSW respondents, 87% of MSM respondents and 5% of PWID respondents reported having anal sex in the past month. 94% of men and 82% of women who inject drugs reported using heroin or narcotic drugs in the past month, while 6% of FSWs and 10% of MSM reported injecting heroin or narcotic drugs in their lifetime.

### Behavioural outcomes

**Condom use.** As shown in Table 4, comparing the second to the first round of the PBS in the consistent locations, a significantly greater proportion of FSWs (93% vs. 88%, \(p<0.001\)) in the second round reported using a condom at last sex with a paying client. Similarly, there was an increase in condom use at last sex with a paying client among MSM (77% vs. 58%, \(p<0.001\)). There was no change associated with condom use among PWID (68% vs. 67%, \(p=0.9\)). Condom use at last sex with regular, non-paying partners continued to be lower than with paying partners across all KP groups. However, in the second round of the PBS, condom use at last sex with regular non-paying male partners declined slightly (53% vs 57%, \(p<0.01\)) among FSWs. There was no change in reported condom use among MSM (69% vs. 70%, \(p=0.8\)) with male regular partners. There was also no significant change in condom use at last sex with a regular non-paying female partner for MSM respondents (68% vs. 70%, \(p=0.5\)). Overall, there was a significantly higher proportion of MSM (80% vs. 77%, \(p<0.03\)) and PWID (48% vs. 27%, \(p<0.01\)) reporting condom use at last anal sex act with partners in the second round. Condom breakage and slippage reduced significantly among FSWs (24% vs. 28%, \(p<0.002\)) and MSM (16% vs. 20%, \(p<0.01\)) in the consistent locations, but not significantly among PWID (20% vs. 23%, \(p=0.2\)).

**Condom non-use.** Condom non-use among FSWs reduced significantly in the consistent sites. Only a quarter of FSWs reported having at least one occasion of unprotected sex with a paying client in the past month in the second round (25% vs. 36%, \(p<0.001\)). There was also a significant decrease in condom non-use among FSWs (27% vs. 31%, \(p<0.001\)), due to the partner not agreeing to wear a condom. There was a significant reduction in reports of condom non-availability at the time of sex in the past month among FSWs (23% vs. 27%, \(p<0.001\)) and

### Table 4. Self-reported risk behaviours of polling booth survey 2015 participants in consistent locations.

| Risk behaviour                                      | FSWs, n = 2,228 (%) | MSM, n = 1,254 (%) | PWID, n = 598 (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                                                     | Male    | Female | Male    | Female | Male    | Female |
| Exchanged sex for money/goods                        |         |        |         |        |         |        |
| Ever exchanged sex with other men for goods or money | 2,228 (100%) | 857 (69%) | -      | -      | -      | -      |
| Exchanged sex with other men for goods or money in last one month | - | 680 (60%) | - | - | - | - |
| Exchanged sex with any paying client in the past three months | - | - | 19 (8%) | 36 (35%) | - | - |
| Paid for sex in past one month                       | -       | -       | 111 (24%) | 9 (7%) | -       | -       |
| Regular non-paying partner                           |         |        |         |        |         |        |
| Have a female regular non-paying partner             | -       | 349 (29%) | 294 (63%) | -       | -       |        |
| Have a male regular non-paying partner               | 1,322 (59%) | 845 (68%) | -      | 91 (70%) |        |        |
| Anal sex                                            |         |        |         |        |         |        |
| Had anal sex in the past one month                   | 256 (12%) | 1,097 (87%) | 21 (5%) | 5 (5%) |        |        |
| Heroin/ narcotic drug use                            |         |        |         |        |         |        |
| Ever injected heroin or narcotic drugs               | 139 (6%) | 122 (10%) | -      | -      |        |        |
| Injected heroin or narcotic drugs in the past month  | -       | -       | 441 (94%) | 107 (82%) |        |        |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203784.t004
MSM (25% vs. 33%, p<0.001). Alcohol consumption during sex also reduced significantly among FSWs (20% vs. 26%, p<0.001) and MSM (26% vs. 30%, p<0.05).

**Needle and syringe usage, including overdose.** More than 90% of PWID reported using a clean needle and syringe during their most recent injection. This is a significant increase in the consistent locations from the first round of PBS (93% vs. 88%, p<0.002). Only 10% of the PWID reported sharing their last injection, a significant reduction from the previous round (10% vs. 17%, p<0.001). There was also a reduction in PWID reporting that there had been at least one occasion in the past one month when clean needles were not available at the time of injection (23% vs. 36%, p<0.001). Although there was a reduction in reported drug overdose among PWID (40% vs. 51%, p<0.001), reported overdose remained quite high.

**Exposure to peer education.** Peer education is an important component of behavioural interventions, so exposure to peer education was added in the second survey as an outcome measure. As shown in Table 5, 79% of FSWs, 73% of MSM and 85% of PWID reported that they had been met by peer educators in the last three months.

**Knowledge about HIV.** The majority of PBS participants knew that HIV is transmitted through anal sex, with a significant increase among FSW respondents between the two rounds (86% vs. 81%, p<0.001). While there was an increase in reported knowledge about condoms protecting against HIV transmission among FSWs (90% vs. 81%, p<0.001), there was a decrease in reported knowledge about condoms protecting against HIV transmission through anal sex among MSM (90% vs. 95%, p<0.001) and PWID respondents (76% vs. 86%, p<0.001).

### Table 4. Self-reported preventive behaviours among polling booth survey participants, 2014 and 2015 in consistent locations.

| Behaviours                           | FSWs Consistent locations | MSM Consistent locations | PWID Consistent locations |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                     | 2014 (%)  | 2015 (%)  | p-value | 2014 (%)  | 2015 (%)  | p-value | 2014 (%)  | 2015 (%)  | p-value |
| Condom use                          |            |            |         |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Condom use at last sex with any paying client | 88  | 93  | p<0.001 | 58  | 77  | p<0.001 | 67  | 68  | p = 0.9  | 57  | 84  |            |
| Condom use at last sex with a regular, non-paying male partner | 57  | 53  | p<0.01  | 70  | 69  | p = 0.8  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |            |
| Condom use at last sex with a regular, non-paying female partner | -  | -  | -  | 70  | 68  | p = 0.5  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |            |
| Condom use at last anal sex          | 58  | 55  | p = 0.3  | 77  | 80  | P<0.05  | 27  | 48  | p<0.01  | 41  | 61  |            |
| Condom breakage and slippage         | 28  | 24  | p<0.001  | 20  | 16  | p<0.01  | 23  | 20  | p = 0.2  | 19  | 24  |            |
| Condom non-use                       |            |            |         |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| At least one occasion of unprotected sex with a paying client in the past month | 36  | 25  | p<0.001  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |            |
| Partner did not want to wear condom in the past month | 31  | 27  | p<0.001  | 23  | 23  | p = 0.7  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |            |
| Condom not available at time of sex in the past month | 23  | 17  | p<0.001  | 33  | 25  | p<0.001  | 35  | 32  | p = 0.3  | 34  | 25  |            |
| One or both partners consumed alcohol | 26  | 20  | p<0.01  | 30  | 26  | p<0.05  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |            |
| Client offered more money for condom non-use | 21  | 21  | p = 0.9  | -  | 19  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  |            |
| Needle and syringe usage             |            |            |         |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Used new needle and syringe when injecting drugs last time | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 88  | 93  | p<0.001  | 93  | 91  |            |
| Shared needle at last injection      | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 17  | 10  | P<0.001  | 8  | 18  |            |
| Clean needle not available in the past month | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 36  | 23  | p<0.001  | 22  | 23  |            |
| Drug overdose                        |            |            |         |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Overdosed in the last 6 months       | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | -  | 51  | 40  | p<0.001  | 43  | 33  |            |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203784.t004
Health service outcomes

In Table 6, we report on several indicators related to the coverage of health service interventions among KPs in the consistent locations. A large majority of respondents reported having ever been tested for HIV. Comparing the second to the first round, there was a significant increase in reported HIV testing in the last three months among FSWs (81% vs. 72%, \(p<0.001\)). There were also significant increases among FSWs (69% vs. 53%, \(p<0.001\)) and MSM (69% vs. 64%, \(p<0.05\)) who reported receiving services from a project clinic or a drop-in centre (DIC).

New questions were added in the second round of PBS regarding HIV positivity and linkage to care outcomes. 24% of FSWs, 22% of MSM and 19% of PWID reported knowing that they were HIV positive in the consistent locations. Enrolment in ART programmes was reported by 80% of the FSWs, 70% of the MSM and 73% of the PWID who reported being HIV positive.

Structural outcomes

As shown in Table 7, comparing the second to the first round, there were decreases in reported forced sex in the past six months among FSWs (18% vs. 22%, \(p<0.001\)) and MSM (13% vs. 17%, \(p<0.05\)). Reported police-related violence among FSWs increased significantly (49% vs. 44%, \(p<0.001\)). However among PWID, there was a significant reduction in reported police arrests (45% vs. 57%, \(p<0.001\)).

Discussion

Our results provide a barometer of the progress made by Kenya’s HIV combination prevention programming among key populations. It is important for programmes taking a location-
population driven approach to understand for whom interventions should be targeted, and the facets of their HIV risk and vulnerability [17]. Our findings confirm that key populations in Kenya face diverse as well as overlapping dimensions of risk and vulnerability for HIV infection [1]. All female sex workers who participated in this survey sold sex in the last three months, while a much lower proportion of MSM respondents sold sex to men in the last one month, similar to the results in 2014. Almost one-sixth of female injecting drug user respondents also sold sex to paying clients in the last three months. A quarter of the male PWID respondents bought sex and were clients of sex workers, thus compounding their HIV risk beyond sharing of needles. While anal sex is high among MSM, as expected, 12% of FSWs and 6% of PWID also reported engaging in anal sex in the last month. While 91% of PWID injected heroin or other narcotic drugs in the last one month, 6% of FSWs and 10% of MSM respondents also reported injecting heroin or other narcotic drugs in their lifetime. These data indicate that key populations in Kenya have overlapping risks, and there is a need to address multiple risk behaviours among sub-populations.

We now discuss the areas where progress was most and least apparent in the PBS outcomes between 2014 and 2015, and implications for better integrating the combination of behavioural, biomedical and structural interventions of the programme to sustainably achieve its targets.

The main areas of improvement were observed with respect to condom use and needle exchange, as well as HIV testing and treatment. First, there were consistent improvements in the availability as well as utilization of condoms with clients among both FSWs and MSM. Likewise, the practice of having sex under the influence of alcohol declined, as well as condom breakage, which others have shown to be interrelated [18–22]. The feasibility of increasing condom use among key populations, through the constant availability of free condoms as well as regular demonstrations by peer educators, has been demonstrated in other settings [23–26]. Evidence from Kenya and elsewhere supports that higher levels of exposure to peer educators are linked to higher levels of consistent condom use and improved HIV knowledge [27–32]. Although peer contact was not measured in 2014, results from the 2015 PBS show that peer contact was high in all three sub-populations. Hence, high peer contact coupled with increased availability of condoms, improved skills for using condoms, and reduced sex acts under the influence of alcohol, may have resulted in the observed increases in condom use at last sex with a paying client among FSWs and MSM. Among PWID, sharing of needles has declined and clean needle availability has increased. This may be due to expanded clean needle/syringe distribution at times and venues convenient for PWID, as shown in other settings [33].

In addition to behavioural interventions, the combination of HIV testing and antiretroviral therapy is an important strategy for HIV prevention and care. Our data show that ever testing for HIV and testing in the last three months have increased among KP programmes across the country. This finding is consistent with other successful programmes that have increased HIV counselling and testing among sex workers [28, 34, 35]. In Kenya, rapid Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) provided through multiple channels, such as health facilities, drop-in centres and outreach clinics, has been associated with a large increase in uptake of HIV-testing

Table 7. Reported experience of violence among polling booth survey participants.

| Behaviours                        | Key populations |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
|                                   | FSWs            | MSM             | PWID            |
|                                   | Consistent locations | Consistent locations | Consistent locations |
| Forcible intercourse in the past six months | 2014 (%)      | 2015 (%)      | p-value | 2014 (%)      | 2015 (%)      | p-value | 2014 (%)      | 2015 (%)      | p-value |
|                                   | 22              | 18             | <0.001 | 17              | 13             | <0.05   | 8               | 7             | 0.7     |
| Arrested or beaten by police in the past six months | 44              | 49             | <0.001 | 24              | 26             | 0.25    | 57              | 45            | <0.001  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203784.t007
and receipt of results [36]. Kenya is also hopeful that the launch of HIV self-testing guidelines and expansion of self-testing will make HIV testing even more accessible for key populations by providing more privacy and confidentiality, although challenges in providing subsequent linkages to counselling as well as to treatment will need to be addressed [37].

The PBS results in 2015 also showed that enrolment of HIV positive KP members in ART programmes was high, from 69–80% across all three KP populations. ART uptake among KP members has been low in other settings. Reports by UNAIDS indicate that only an average of 24% of HIV-positive people who inject drugs, 21% of HIV-positive sex workers and 14% of HIV-positive men who have sex with men were enrolled in ART in 2015. This was much lower than UNAIDS estimates of global coverage of ART among all HIV-infected persons, which was 46% in 2015 [38, 39]. The “test and treat” strategy that was adopted by Kenya recently to reduce the time taken to initiate ART among people living with HIV (PLHIV) should be leveraged by the key population programme to further improve effective and efficient linkage to ART for KP members [40]. Exposure to HIV prevention programmes, drop-in centres and health clinics has increased since 2014 for all KP populations. This is an encouraging trend, as clinic visits, peer educator contact, and supportive networks have been found to be strongly associated with the willingness of KP members to engage in HIV testing, care and treatment programmes, as well as in ART initiation and adherence [29, 31, 39, 40].

Despite the above improvements, condom use with non-paying partners among FSWs and MSM has remained low, drug overdoses among PWID has remained high, and violence by police towards FSWs has increased. The finding of low condom use with regular non-paying partners in this context is consistent with other studies, and is known to pose substantial health risks to key populations [41–43]. Behavioural interventions were shown to be ineffective in improving condom use with non-paying partners in a study from Mexico [31]. A study from Ethiopia has shown the mutual reinforcement of non-condom use in non-paying partnerships with higher alcohol use and client violence [34]. High levels of social and economic dependency on regular partners have also been found to be an underlying reason for inconsistent condom use with regular, non-paying partners [44]. Qualitative studies in Nepal and south India have explored the experiences of FSWs in which their intimate partners or husbands would threaten to leave them or enact violence if they asked to use condoms. Another reason for non-condom use was that both intimate partners felt it was unnecessary to use condoms in trusting or “exclusive” relationships, in contrast to sex work with paying clients. These issues, combined with women’s dependence on their intimate partners’ financial support and social status, from which they and their children often benefited, serve to reduce condom use in the context of regular partnerships [45, 46].

A high observed prevalence of drug overdose among PWID also deserves attention, not only in its own right, but because it may signal the existence of wider barriers. Drug overdose may be higher when people are injecting in secluded environments, due to fear of criminalization and stigmatization [47, 48]. The high level of overdose suggests that social and structural issues must be addressed through promoting community-level change around social norms, and enabling legal environments that support, rather than counteract, public health behaviour change strategies [47, 48]. A strong effort by the public health system and clinics serving PWID must be made to continually train staff in overdose management, and the required number of new needles must be routinely quantified. Strong evidence of the benefits of needle-syringe exchange for preventing HIV transmission supports the continued investment in these interventions, combined with interventions to establish the supportive legal and policy environment required to ensure they are effective [47, 49].

The finding that there was a significant reduction in police violence against PWID, and in physical and sexual violence against MSM and FSWs, is encouraging. However, violence
perpetrated by state actors, including police and *askaris* (administrative police in the counties), increased significantly for FSWs between the two PBS rounds. It is widely recognized that structural interventions to reduce high levels of police violence and incarceration are required to sustain gains from behavioural or biomedical preventive interventions for HIV [50]. Abuses of human rights perpetrated by state and non-state actors directly or indirectly increase KP vulnerability to HIV [51]. Yet programmes with key populations in several countries have been successful in reducing violence against them through effective and consistent structural interventions [52, 53]. In Kenya, the national programme and its partners need to continue to prioritize violence prevention and response activities at-scale.

As discussed in our earlier paper [5], the PBS methodology has several limitations. Due to its anonymous nature, responses cannot be linked with participants’ socio-demographic characteristics. However, we have tried to address this issue by stratifying participants into locations and typologies. Furthermore, PBS only allows for binary responses, thus limiting the depth of information that can be analysed. Some of the socio-demographic characteristics of the sample of FSWs differed slightly between the two rounds, which could not be adjusted for due to the nature of the PBS design. However, a systematic random sampling approach within each primary sampling unit was used in an attempt to ensure representativeness. Sampling bias could have resulted if peer educators, as members of the community, recruited only those they knew had used the programme services. However, the data suggests that such bias was not great because a sizeable proportion of this sample reported not using the services in the last quarter. We were also unable to use our data to compare both the sero-status and reported testing of individuals, to ensure that those tested were not already HIV positive. This may have led to underestimation of the number of newly-diagnosed people undergoing testing in the population. Finally, we do not have comparison data on the indicators added during the second PBS round; we intend to address this issue in the next PBS round. In spite of its limitations, PBS remains a rapid, accessible, and inexpensive methodology that can yield reliable data. As such, PBS approaches are suitable for monitoring programme outcomes on a regular basis, and can guide the continuous refinement of existing HIV prevention programming as contexts and priorities change over time.

**Conclusion**

The focus on HIV prevention among key populations has never been as urgent as today to reach global HIV/AIDS targets [19]. In Kenya, the implementation of the KASF 2014/15–2018/19 requires robust monitoring and evaluation to track progress towards reduced HIV risk among key populations for which interventions are targeted. The data from the second round of the annual PBS survey show areas in which the key population interventions are making progress. The results suggest that there have been improvements in condom use with clients among FSWs and MSM, and new needle and syringe use among PWID. There has also been increased HIV testing, reduced physical and sexual violence towards FSWs and MSM, and police violence towards PWID. However, some areas appear to be more resistant to change, including condom use with non-paying partners of FSWs and MSM, drug overdose among PWID, ART initiation among HIV positive individuals, and the ongoing experience of violence from law enforcement officials by FSWs. Addressing the latter issues will require concerted efforts to create policy, legal and social environments that are more favourable for HIV prevention. These results underline the importance of continued investment in the combination prevention approach taken by Kenya’s KP programme to maintain momentum towards achieving its HIV prevention 2020 roadmap [19].
Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge and thank the many county governments and implementing partners who supported us in conducting the Polling Booth Surveys across all study sites. We thank the research team and the community researchers who conducted the study. We particularly thank the Kenya Sex Workers Alliance (KESWA), Gay and Lesbian Coalition of Kenya (GALCK), and the Kenya Network of People who Use Drugs (KENPUD) for their support. We also acknowledge the contribution of the National Key Population Technical Working Group and the National Key Population Technical Support Unit (TSU) field officers who supervised the survey and supported data collection and quality control.

Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, John Anthony, Serah Joy Malaba, Carol Olwande, James F. Blanchard, Martin Sirengo, Stephen Moses.

**Data curation:** Shem Kaosa, Shajy Isac.

**Formal analysis:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Shem Kaosa, John Anthony, Prakash Javalkar, Janet Musimbi, Shajy Isac.

**Funding acquisition:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, James F. Blanchard, Stephen Moses.

**Investigation:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Japheth Kioko, John Anthony.

**Methodology:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Japheth Kioko, Shem Kaosa, John Anthony, Serah Joy Malaba, Carol Olwande, James F. Blanchard, Martin Sirengo, Shajy Isac, Stephen Moses.

**Project administration:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Janet Musimbi.

**Supervision:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Janet Musimbi.

**Validation:** Japheth Kioko, Shajy Isac.

**Writing – original draft:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Andrea K. Blanchard, Shajy Isac, Stephen Moses.

**Writing – review & editing:** Helgar Musyoki, Parinita Bhattacharjee, Andrea K. Blanchard, Japheth Kioko, Shem Kaosa, John Anthony, Prakash Javalkar, Janet Musimbi, Serah Joy Malaba, Carol Olwande, James F. Blanchard, Martin Sirengo, Shajy Isac, Stephen Moses.

References

1. NACC, NASCOP. Kenya HIV Prevention Revolution Road map: count down to 2013. HIV prevention Everyone’s Business. Nairobi: National AIDS Control Council and National AIDS and STI Control Programme; 2014.

2. UNAIDS. 2016 Prevention Gap Report. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2016.

3. UNAIDS. 90-90-90, An ambitious treatment target to help end the AIDS epidemic. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2014.

4. NACC. Kenya AIDS Strategic Framework 2014/15–2018/19. Nairobi: Government of Kenya; 2016.

5. Bhattacharjee P, McClarty LM, Musyoki H, Anthony J, Kioko J, Kaosa S et al. Monitoring HIV Prevention Programme Outcomes among Key Populations in Kenya: Findings from a National Survey. PLOS One. 2014; e0137007. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137007

6. NASCOP. 2010–2011 Integrated Biological and Behavioural Surveillance Survey among key population in Nairobi and Kisumu, Kenya. Nairobi: Government of Kenya, Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation; 2014.
7. NACC. Kenya HIV prevention response and modes of transmission analysis, final report. Nairobi: National AIDS Control Council; 2009.

8. NACC, NASCOP. Geographic Mapping of Most at Risk Populations for HIV in Kenya. Nairobi: National AIDS Control Council and National AIDS and STI Control Programme; 2012.

9. NASCOP. Quarterly programme reports, October–December 2016. Nairobi: National AIDS and STI Control Programme; 2016.

10. Bekker LG, Johnson L, Cowan F, Overs C, Besada D, Hillier S, Cates W Jr. Combination HIV prevention for female sex workers: what is the evidence? Lancet. 2014; 85(9662):72–87. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60974-0

11. NASCOP. National guidelines to prevent HIV and STI among key populations in Kenya. Nairobi: National AIDS and STI Control Programme; 2014.

12. Behanzin L, Diabate S, Minani I, Lowndes CM, Boily MC, Labb AC, et al. Assessment of HIV related risky behaviour: a comparative study of face-to-face interviews and polling booth surveys in the general population of Cotonou, Benin. Sex Transm Infect. 2013; 89: 595–601. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2012-050884 PMID: 23723251.

13. Lowndes CM, Jayachandran AA, Banandur P, Ramesh BM, Washington R, Sangameshwar BM, et al. Polling booth surveys: a novel approach for reducing social desirability bias in HIV-related behavioural surveys in resource-poor settings. AIDS Behav. 2012; 16: 1054–1062. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-011-0004-1 PMID: 21811840.

14. Hanck SE, Blankenship KM, Irwin KS, West BS, Kershaw T. Assessment of self-reported sexual behavior and condom use among female sex workers in India using a polling box approach: a preliminary report. Sex Transm Dis. 2008; 35: 489–494. https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0b013e3181653433 PMID: 18356771.

15. KHPT. Measuring sensitive behavioural indicators: A methodological approach (polling booth survey [PBS] and informal confidential voting interview [ICVI]). Bangalore: Karnataka Health Promotion Trust; 2012.

16. NASCOP. Second National behavioural assessment of key populations in Kenya, Polling Booth Survey report. Nairobi: National AIDS and STI Control Programme; 2016.

17. UNAIDS. HIV prevention 2020 road map. Geneva: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2017.

18. Charania MR, Crepaz N, Guenther-Gray C, Henny K, Liau A, Willis LA, Lyles CM. Efficacy of Structural-Level Condom Distribution Interventions: A Meta-Analyis of U.S. and International Studies, 1998–2007. AIDS Behav. 2011; 15:1283–1297 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-010-9812-y PMID: 20862777.

19. Muni Rubens H, McCoy V, Shehadeh N. Proficiency in condom use among migrant workers. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2014; 25(3): 233–242. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jana.2013.04.007 PMID: 23876817.

20. Allen VC, Myers HF, Ray L. The association between alcohol consumption and condom use: Considering correlates of HIV risk among Black men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav. 2015; 19(9): 1689–1700. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-015-1075-1 PMID: 25935215.

21. Bradley J, Rajaram S, Alary M, Issac S, Washington R, Moses S, Ramesh BM. Determinants of condom breakage among female sex workers in Karnataka, India. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11(Suppl 6): S14. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-S6-S14.

22. Li Q, Li X, Stanton B. Alcohol use among female sex workers and male clients: An integrative review of global literature. Alcohol & Alcoholism. 2011; 46(2): 188–199. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/alcalc/ago095.

23. Shannon K, Caste J, Violence, condom negotiations and HIV/STI risk among sex workers. JAMA. 2010; 304:573–74. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.1090 PMID: 20682941.

24. Rachakulla HR, Kodavalla V, Rajkumar H, Prasad SPV, Kallam S, Goswami P et al. Condom use and prevalence of syphilis and HIV among female sex workers in Andhra Pradesh, India—following a large-scale HIV prevention intervention. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11(Suppl 6): S1. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-S6-S1.

25. Mandar MM, Pardeshi DB, Dale J, Deshpande S, Khazi S, Gautam A et al. Targeted interventions of the Avahan program and their association with intermediate outcomes among female sex workers in Maharashtra, India. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11(Suppl 6): S2. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-S6-S2.

26. Liao M, Bi Z, Liu X, Kang D, Fu J, Song Q et al. Condom use, intervention service utilization and HIV knowledge among female sex workers in China: results of three consecutive cross-sectional surveys in Shandong Province with historically low HIV prevalence. International Journal of STD & AIDS. 2012; 23: e23–e29. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1258/ijsa.2009.009449.
27. Geibel S. Same-sex sexual behavior of men in Kenya: Implications for HIV prevention, programs, and policy. *Facts Views Vis Obgyn.* 2012; 4(4): 285–294. PMID: 24753921

28. Luchters S, Chersich MF, Rinyiru A, Barasa MS, King’ola N, Mandalayika K, Bosire W, Wambu-S, Mwarogoro P, Temmerman M. Impact of five years of peer-mediated interventions on sexual behavior and sexually transmitted infections among female sex workers in Mombasa, Kenya. *BMJ Public Health.* 2008; 8:143. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-143 PMID: 18445258

29. Ramanathan S, Chakrapani V, Ramakrishnan L, Goswami P, Yadav D, Subramanian T, George B, Paranjape RS. Consistent condom use with regular, paying, and casual male partners and associated factors among men who have sex with men in Tamil Nadu, India: findings from an assessment of a large-scale HIV prevention program. *BMJ Public Health.* 2013; 13:827. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-827 PMID: 24020613

30. Goswami P, Medhi GK, Armstrong G, Setia MS, Mathew S, Thongamba G, et al. An assessment of an HIV prevention intervention among People Who Inject Drugs in the states of Manipur and Nagaland, India. *International Journal of Drug Policy.* 2014; 25(5): 853–864. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2014.04.016 PMID: 24925819

31. Ulbarri MD, Strathdee Steffanie A., Lozada Remedios, Staines-Orozco Hugo S., Abramovitz Daniela, Semple Shirley, Martinez Gustavo A., and Patterson Thomas L. Condom use among female sex workers and their non-commercial partners: Effects of a sexual risk intervention in two Mexican cities. *Int J STD AIDS.* 2012; 23(4): 229–234. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1258/ijsa.2011.011184 PMID: 22581944

32. Mooney A, Kidanu A, Bradley HM, Kunoji EK, Kennedy CE, Kerrigan D. Work-related violence and inconsistent condom use with non-paying partners among female sex workers in Adama City, Ethiopia. *BMJ Public Health.* 2013; 13: 771. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-771 PMID: 23968148

33. Pasa MK, Alom KR, Baashi Z, Vermund SH. Sharing of Needles and Syringes among Men Who Inject Drugs: HIV Risk in Northwest Bangladesh. *PLOS One.* 2016; 11(2): e0148276. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148276 PMID: 26848756

34. Hargreaves J, Mtwatu S, Dirawo J et al. HIV incidence, testing and treatment among female sex workers accessing outreach clinics in Zimbabwe, 2009–13: analysis of programme data. 17th ICASA conference: Cape Town, South Africa; Nov 8–12, 2013.

35. Lippman SA, Donini A, Diaz j, Chinaglia M, Reingold A, Kerrigan D. Social environmental factors and protective sexual behaviour among sex workers: the Encontros intervention in Brazil. *Am J Public Health.* 2010; 1000 (suppl 1):S216–23. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2105/ AJPH.2008.147462

36. Pottie K, Medu O, Welch V, Dahal GP, Tyndall M, Rader T, Wells G. Effect of rapid HIV testing on HIV incidence and services in populations at high risk for HIV exposure: an equity-focused systematic review. *BMJ Open.* 2014; 4: e006859. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006859 PMID: 25510889

37. Krause J, Subklew-Sehume F, Kenyon C, Colebunders R. Acceptability of HIV self-testing: a systematic literature review. *BMJ Public Health.* 2013; 13:735. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-735 PMID: 23924387

38. Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS. Key populations atlas. Available from: http://www.aidsinfoonline.org/kpAtlases/#/home [Accessed 1st November 2016].

39. UN Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS. *Global AIDS update.* Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2016.

40. Kenya Insights. NASCOP launches Anza Sasa campaign that will revolutionize treatment for HIV positive persons. Available from: https://www.kenyasi gths.com/nasco p-launches-anza-sas a-campaign-will-revolutionize-treatment-hiv-positive-persons/ [Accessed 6th June 2017].

41. Armstrong G, Humtsoe C, Kermode M. HIV risk behaviours among injecting drug users in Northeast India following scale-up of a targeted HIV prevention programme. *BMJ Public Health.* 2011; 11(Suppl 6):S9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-S6-S9

42. Weller SC. A meta-analysis of condom effectiveness in reducing sexually transmitted HIV. *Social Science Medicine.* 1993; 36:1615–44.

43. Deering KN, Boily MC, Lowndes CM, Shoveller J, Tyndall MW, Vickerman P et al. A dose-response relationship between exposure to a large-scale HIV preventive intervention and consistent condom use with different sexual partners of female sex workers in southern India. *BMJ Public Health.* 2011; 11 (Suppl 6): S8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-S6-S8

44. Kathleen ND, Bhattacharjee P, Bradley J, Moses SS, Shannon K, Shaw SY et al. Condom use within non-commercial partnerships of female sex workers in southern India. *BMJ Public Health.* 2011; 11 (Suppl 6):S11. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12982-015-0034-7

45. Ghimire B, Sugimoto SP, Zamani S, Ono-Kihari M, Kihara M. Vulnerability to HIV infection among female drug users in Kathmandu Valley, Nepal: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Public Health.* 2013; 13 (1):1238. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-1238
46. Blanchard AK, Nair SG, Bruce SG, Chaitanya AIDS Tadegattuva Mahila Sangha, Ramnaik S, Thalina R, et al. A Community-based Qualitative Study on the Experience and Understandings of Intimate Partner Violence and HIV Vulnerability from the Perspectives of Female Sex Workers and Male Intimate Partners in north Karnataka state, India. *BMC Women’s Health*. 2018; in press.

47. Rhodes T, Singer M, Bourgois P, Friedman SR, Strathdee SA. The social structural production of HIV risk among injecting drug users. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2005; 61(5): 1026–1044. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.12.024

48. Des Jarlais DC. Structural interventions to reduce HIV transmission among injecting drug users. *AIDS*. 2011; 14: S14–S46. Available from https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-20006001-00006

49. Gupta GR, Parkhurst JO, Ogden JA, Aggleton P, Mahal A. Structural approaches to HIV prevention. *The Lancet*. 2008; 372(9640): 764–775. Available from https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60887-9

50. Becker LG, Lohnson L, Cowan F, Overs C, Besada D, Hillier S, Cates W Jr. Combination HIV Prevention for female sex workers: what is the evidence. *Lancet*. 2015; 385(9962): 72–87. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60974-0 PMID: 25059942

51. Decker MR, Crago AL, Chu SKH, Sheman SG, Seshu MS, Buthelezi K et al. Human rights violations against sex workers: Burden and effect on HIV. *Lancet*. 2014; 385(9963):186–99. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60800-X PMID: 25059943

52. Beattie TS, Bhattacharjee P, Isac S, Mohan HL, Simic-Lawson M, Ramesh BM et al. Declines in violence and police arrest among female sex workers in Karnataka state, south India, following a comprehensive HIV prevention programme. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2015; 18:20079. Available from: https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.18.1.20079 PMID: 26477992

53. Bhattacharjee P, Isac S, McClarty LM, Mohan HL, Maddur S, Jagannath SB et al. Strategies for reducing police arrest in the context of an HIV prevention programme for female sex workers: evidence from structural interventions in Karnataka, South India. *Journal of the International AIDS Society*. 2016; 19 (Suppl 3):20856. Available from: https://doi.org/10.7448/IAS.19.4.20856