Is Paget Disease of Bone more Common in South India? Clinical Characteristics, Therapeutic Outcome and follow-up of 66 Patients from Tamil Nadu
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Abstract

Introduction: Paget disease of bone (PDB) is a disorder of altered bone remodeling mainly characterized by increased osteoclastic activity. While the exact Indian prevalence remains unknown, a clustering of published cases suggests South Indian predominance. Objective: To study the clinico-biochemical profile and therapeutic response of patients with PDB and briefly review the epidemiology of PDB from an Indian perspective. Materials and Methods: Retrospective data was collected from the charts of patients who have been seen in endocrine out-patient clinics in Tamil Nadu over a 12-year period. Published literature on PDB from India was reviewed. Results: A total of 66 patients (71% males) predominantly from Tamil Nadu were studied. The mean age at presentation was 67 ± 8 years. Polyostotic involvement was seen in 89% and familial occurrence of PDB in 5 patients. Symptoms at presentation mainly included bone pain (51%) and skeletal deformities (18%). Scalp vein sign (21%) and sensorineural hearing loss (64%) were also noted. Incidental PDB detection by raised serum alkaline phosphatase (SAP) levels was observed in 17% and by abnormal fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) scan in 6% of cases. Mean SAP at presentation was 606 ± 438 IU/L (Normal, 76–140). Major skeletal site involvement includes pelvis (62.1%) and spine (34.8%). Mean (range) follow-up of the cohort was 3.4 yrs (1–12 yrs). All but two showed remission at the end of 1 year. Two had pathological fractures and two had sarcomas. A review of epidemiology of PDB in Indian literature clearly showed a South Indian predilection for unclear reasons. Conclusion: In our cohort of PDB, male gender, polyostotic involvement, and hearing impairment were noted in more than two-thirds of patients and single-dose intravenous zoledronate was effective in normalizing SAP in almost all patients. PDB is intriguingly more common in South India and this needs more exploration.
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Introduction

Paget disease of bone (PDB) is a disorder of exaggerated bone remodeling. It starts as intense bone resorption by abnormal multi-nucleated osteoclasts, followed by chaotic bone formation by osteoblasts. Thus, it progresses through a lytic phase, mixed-phase of bone formation and resorption, and sclerotic phase followed by a quiescent phase. The pagetoid process may involve one (monostotic) or more (polyostotic) bones producing complications including deformities (osteitis deformans), pain, compressive neuropathies (especially in cranial involvement), increased propensity for fracture, and rarely malignant transformation.[1] Accordingly, the clinical presentation may vary from asymptomatic patients incidentally picked up by radiological abnormalities or elevated serum alkaline phosphatase to severe pain or deformities of
bones accompanied by hearing loss. Diagnosis is usually made by characteristic clinical, biochemical features with corroborative evidence in bone scan showing increased uptake of tracer [methylene diphosphonate (MDP)] in affected bones. The mainstay of treatment is bisphosphonates\(^2\) and intravenous zoledronate is preferred as it usually results in long-term remission of PDB.\(^3\) In settings were bisphosphonates are contraindicated as in chronic kidney disease, recently, usage of denosumab has been reported.\(^4\)

PDB was considered rare in Asians, especially Indians.\(^5,6\) Most of the case series and other studies were mainly from Caucasians in UK or the migrant English population in Australia. However, in little over a decade, there were several case studies and two case series from India. Interestingly, most of the cases reported are exclusively from South India. Hence, in this retrospective study, we intend to present the clinical and therapeutic aspects of 66 patients with PDB from Tamil Nadu (TN) and provide a focused review of the epidemiology of this disorder from an Indian perspective.

**Materials and Methods**

This was a retrospective study in which subjects with newly diagnosed PDB who had attended the endocrine clinics in private outpatient set up of the authors between 2008 and 2020 were included. Out of 79 patients with PDB, 66 patients with complete biochemical data and follow-up of at least 1 year were analyzed. Diagnosis of PDB was made based on the characteristic bony deformities, elevated alkaline phosphatase, and increased uptake in technetium MDP bone scintigraphy in all cases. Data on demographic details, clinical features, and biochemical parameters were obtained. Biochemical data included serum levels of alkaline phosphatase (SAP) (IU/L), corrected calcium (mg/dL), phosphorus (mg/dL), 25-hydroxy vitamin D (ng/mL), parathyroid hormone (pg/mL), and creatinine (mg/dL). Therapeutic details including oral or intravenous bisphosphonates (zoledronate 5 mg), calcium, and vitamin D supplementation were noted. Clinical and biochemical follow-up with at least 3 SAP values over a 1-year period after bisphosphonate administration was available in all patients. Bone scan was not repeated in most of the patients unless a relapse was suspected based on rising SAP levels. PDB was considered to be in remission if there was improvement in clinical features and normalization of SAP levels. Continuous variables were represented as numbers and percentages and mean and standard deviation were used. Median and 5th–95th percentile was calculated as needed.

### Results

**Demographic characteristics**

Out of 66 patients with PDB, 47 were males (71%) with a male to female ratio of 2.5:1. The mean age at presentation was 67 ± 8 years (Range, 49–81 years). Positive family history of PDB was noted in five patients (7.6%). Geographically, all patients were from South India except one from Orissa. Of the 65 cases, 55 were from in and around Chennai, 2 each from different parts of TN viz. Madurai, Cuddalore, Namakkal, Puducherry and 1 from Chidambaram (TN) and 1 from Tirupathi (Andhra Pradesh).

**Clinical and biochemical characteristics**

The clinical features at diagnosis are shown in Table 1. Incidental pick up of PDB in our series was 17% biochemical (elevated SAP) and 6% radiological (PET scan abnormal uptake). In the latter patients, once suspected complete evaluation with other biochemistries and technetium MDP bone scintigraphy was also done. Mean serum corrected calcium was 9.2 ± 0.48 mg/dL, serum phosphorus 3.8 ± 0.44 mg/dL, 25-hydroxy vitamin D 26.86 ± 10.41 ng/mL, PTH 68.5 ± 32.4 pg/mL, and SAP 606.43 ± 438.44 IU/L. Mean SAP levels at 1-year follow-up was 73 ± 42 IU/L, and at 2 years was 92 ± 49.8 IU/L. The clinical and biochemical characteristics among the various Indian zones are compared in Table 2.

**Skeletal involvement**

Polyostotic involvement was seen in 89.4% (n = 59) patients when compared to monostotic presentation in only 10.6% (n = 7). Major skeletal site involvement was pelvis (62.1%) and spine (34.8%). Out of 7 with monostotic involvement, five were males. The commonest site of involvement in these patients was vertebra (proven by biopsy in 6 patients) and 1 patient had unilateral tibia affliction picked-up by bone scan.

**Co morbidities**

Nineteen (28.8%) of the 66 patients had type 2 diabetes mellitus and 15.2% (n = 10) had systemic hypertension. Breast malignancy was noted in three patients who were initially worked up for possible bone metastasis and later diagnosed with PDB. One patient had papillary thyroid cancer, which was later operated. None of our patients had primary hyperparathyroidism, which is considered to be an association with PDB.

**Complications**

Two patients in our series had bone malignancies associated with polyostotic PDB. Osteosarcoma of the right maxilla with extensive spread was seen in a 66-year-old lady and she did not undergo further treatment. The other patient was a

| Table 1: Clinical characteristics of Paget Disease of Bone at presentation (n=66) |
| --- |
| **Clinical features - Symptoms** | % (n) | **Clinical features - Signs** | % (n) |
| Bone pain | 51.55% | Sensory neuron deafness | 63.6% |
| Low back ache | 25% | Scalp vein sign | 21.2% |
| Asymptomatic | 16.7% | Skeletal deformities | 18.2% |
| Headache | 4.5% | Genu Varum | 10.6% |
| Pathological fractures | 3% | Leonine facies | 3.03% |
| Tibial bowing | 1.5% | | |
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68-year-old gentleman treated for PDB and was in remission, later developed chondrosarcoma in the pagetoid scapula and expired. Two other patients had pathological fractures in the affected bone at the time of the presentation.

Therapeutic details
In our series, 64 subjects received intravenous zoledronate and only 2 had received oral alendronate. Subjects who had vitamin D deficiency had received therapeutic doses of cholecalciferol prior to treatment with bisphosphonates. All but two treated patients showed good clinical improvement and normalization of alkaline phosphatase in less than a year. Those two patients required a second dose of zoledronate. The overall remission rate was 92.4% with intravenous zoledronate. Forty-two patients were followed-up for 1 year, and 31 were on regular visits until 2 years.

Discussion
This is a retrospective study to describe the clinical, biochemical, and therapeutic profile of PDB that is otherwise considered rare in India. This is another large case series from South India. The mean age of presentation at the 6th decade and male preponderance in our study was akin to other case series published from India.[6-9] The majority of our patients had polyostotic involvement especially of the pelvis, spine, and skull similar to earlier studies. The number of familial cases (5 patients) was higher than the series from Vellore, probably signifying the genetic basis of the disorder. Our series had two patients with bone malignancy; one with the less commonly reported chondrosarcoma, and the other had osteosarcoma that is usually associated with PDB.[10] Scalp vein sign, the prominent superficial temporal vein seen often due to increased vascularity and arteriovenous shunting was seen in a fifth of our patients on careful observation. This along with decreased hearing may be a useful clinical clue, especially in those patients with significant skull involvement.[11] In our cohort of 66 patients, 28.8% had type 2 diabetes. The probable prevalence of PDB as diagnosed by raised alkaline phosphatase in a large cohort of type 2 diabetes patients was very low (0.066%).[12]

Contrary to the previous belief that PDB is rare in India, this large case series reiterates the point that it is not uncommon. Even more curiously, from published literature, PDB is strikingly more prevalent in South India than in other parts of the country. Why the divide in cases within the same country? Attempting to answer this question, we reviewed the available literature from an Indian epidemiological viewpoint.

Asians and Indians were presumed to be protected from PDB till two decades ago. Several reasons were quoted for the reduced prevalence among Asians especially South Asians. Whether it was because of unawareness among physicians resulting in underestimation or reduced longevity or lack of testing facilities is still unclear. Only a few cases reports from India came forth since the late 1990s, and case series were published only a decade later. But significant case reports from China[13] and Singapore[14] were available by then. Even though Indian studies were unavailable, Asians with Paget’s disease were studied in the United Kingdom and New Zealand, with significant information. In a report of eight cases from East London, 3 Indians were affected who lived in the UK for more than 30 years.[15] Simultaneously, a study from New Zealand reported 14 Asians including 4 Indians with PDB between 1993 and 2010 who had lived in New Zealand for at least 8 years.[16] The authors attribute this increase in Asian cases to the parallel increase in the Asian population in these countries as well as concurrent decline in the European (Caucasian origin) population. Surprisingly, none of the 8 patients tested carried a mutation in exon 8 of the sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1) gene, questioning the genetic predisposition of Asians. It would be premature to assume the lack of innate susceptibility without genetic analysis of a larger Indian population.
In a little over a decade, we have understood the increasing prevalence of this disorder in our country. This increase may be attributable to better longevity, more frequent testing, inter Asian-Caucasian marriages, early diagnosis, and of course possible environmental changes. Aren’t these reasons common to all zones of India? Why is that South India reports a larger number of cases? When we analyzed the published Indian data, the north Indian series had pooled data of 21 patients from various zones including Varanasi, Cochin, New Delhi, and Bangalore, in which just four were from Chandigarh. This is surprising as this zone is known for its extraordinary work on other common bone disorders like primary hyperparathyroidism and fibrous dysplasia. On the other hand, the western Indian series had only 17 patients over a period of 7 years from Mumbai (8), Navi Mumbai (2), Nashik (1), Malegaon (1), Surat (1), Vapi (1), Raigad (1), and Thane (2). Whereas, in the South Indian series published from Vellore in 2006 with 51 patients over 8 years, 73% were from Tamil Nadu, and 8% each from West Bengal and Madhya Pradesh strongly pointing towards South Indian predilection. A recent study from the same center with 48 patients between 2007 and 2016 had approximately 90% of patients from Tamil Nadu (personal communication).

In our series, 54 out of 66 patients (81.8%) were from places in and around Chennai. This pattern of clustering of cases around Chennai due to referral bias seems unlikely as these cases were consulted in private endocrine outpatient clinics and not in a referral tertiary care center. As far as we know, there is no large case series published from tertiary care institutes in eastern India despite encountering high endocrine caseload. The cases reported from India till now are depicted in Figure 1. The strengths of this study include a larger study cohort, longer follow-up, and availability of demographic details. However, it lacks the genetic testing of the study population. The precise explanation for Tamil Nadu, especially the northern regions to be a hub for PDB remains unknown. However, we believe that this insight would initiate further studies looking at genetic versus environmental factors in the development of this relatively uncommon disorder and a toponymous connotation of predominant South Indian disorder may also be considered.

CONCLUSION

In our cohort of Paget disease of bone, male gender, polyostotic involvement, and hearing impairment were noted in more than two-thirds of patients and single-dose intravenous zoledronate was effective in normalizing alkaline phosphatase in almost all patients. PDB is intriguingly more common in South India and this needs more exploration.
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