Comparative pharmacokinetics of a proliposome formulation of *Ginkgo biloba* extract and Ginaton in rats by a sensitive ultra performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry method
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**Abstract**

As a novel oral drug delivery system, proliposome was applied to improve the solubility of active components of *Ginkgo biloba* extract (GbE). There are currently few reports focusing on the pharmacokinetic characteristics of proliposome of GbE (GbP). A rapid and sensitive ultra performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS) method for the simultaneous quantification of active components of GbP and a commercial tablet product (Ginaton) in rat plasma was developed and successfully validated. The method was applied to the comparative pharmacokinetic evaluation of GbP and Ginaton in rat plasma. The results indicated that GbP has a significant effect on absorption, elimination and bioavailability of flavonoids and terpenoid lactones in comparison with Ginaton. The obtained results would be helpful for evaluating the absorption mechanism in the gastrointestinal tract in pharmacokinetic level and guiding the development of the novel oral drug delivery system.

1. **Introduction**

*Ginkgo*, a living fossil tree, is the last surviving member of the family *Ginkgoaceae* that has been growing on earth for about 200 million years (Yoshitake et al., 2010). *Ginkgo biloba* extract (GbE) has been used medicinally for many centuries...
in China to treat lung ailments, such as asthma and bronchitis, and as a remedy for cardiovascular diseases (DeFeudis and Drieu, 2000; Surhio et al., 2014). EGb 761 (Tebonin®), the standardized G. biloba extract of G. biloba leaves, was launched by Dr. Willmar Schwabe (GmbH & Co) in 1976.

Recently, a number of preclinical and clinical research studies indicated that GbE has many positive effects, such as scavenging radicals, anti-oxidation, anti-tumor, and protective effects in the central nervous system, and therapeutic effects for cerebral and peripheral vascular diseases (Li et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2016; Koltermann et al., 2007). These benefits of GbE are presumed to result from the synergistic effect of two distinct groups of compounds: the flavonoids (quercetin, kaempferol, and isorhamnetin) and terpenoid lactones (bilobalide, ginkgolides A, B and C) (Chen et al., 2010, 2003). However, the oral bioavailabilities of the flavonoids are relatively low due to their poor solubility (Khaskheli et al., 2015). Therefore, an oral proliposome formulation loaded with GbE (GbP) was developed to enhance the absorption of ginkgo flavonoids. Some previous studies have reported the determination of flavonoids or/and terpenoid lactones of GbE in biological samples using LC–ELSD (Klvan et al., 2014), GC–MS (Ivic et al., 2003), and LC–MS/MS (Wu et al., 2007; Ou et al., 2009). Among these analytical methods, LC–MS/MS has been supposed as one of the most effective techniques for the analysis of GbE components in biological samples. However, there have been few reports focusing on the pharmacokinetic characteristics of novel drug delivery systems of GbE, like GbE phospholipid complexes (Zhou et al., 2010), and there are no reports describing the PK profile of proliposome formulation of GbE.

In this study, a highly rapid, simple and sensitive ultra performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS) method was developed for the simultaneous quantification of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolides A, ginkgolides B and C and ginkgolides C in rat plasma, and was applied to demonstrate the pharmacokinetic influences of an oral proliposome formulation loaded with GbE, as compared with a commercial tablet product (Ginaton) (Naureen et al., 2014). The results would be helpful for evaluating the absorption mechanism in the gastrointestinal tract at the pharmacokinetic level, and for guiding the development of novel oral drug delivery systems.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals, reagents and animals

The commercial product Ginaton (Dr. Willmar Schwabe GmbH & Co. KG, Germany), a tablet with 40 mg of G. biloba extract, was used at its standardized composition of 9.6 mg of flavonoids and 2.4 mg of terpene lactone by weight. The powder of GbP, containing ~24% flavonoids and ~6% terpene lactones (mg/mg), was prepared in our laboratory.

Strands of quercetin (QCT; MW = 302.043; Fig. 1A), kaempferol (KMF; MW = 286.048; Fig. 1B), isorhamnetin (ISR; MW = 316.058; Fig. 1C), bilobalide (BLL; MW = 326.101; Fig. 1D), ginkgolide A (GLA; MW = 408.142; Fig. 1E), ginkgolide B (GLB; MW = 424.137; Fig. 1F), ginkgolide C (GLC; MW = 440.132; Fig. 1G), taxifolin (MW = 304.058; IS, Fig. 1H) were purchased from the National Institute for Food and Drug Control of China. Ethyl acetate (EtOAc, 99.9%), acetonicitrile (HPLC-grade), and formic acid (HCOOH, 99.9%) were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich Co. (MO, USA). Hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36–38%) and other chemical reagents of analytical grade or better were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China).

Blank rat plasma (drug-free and anti-coagulated with heparin sodium) used for the calibration curve was prepared in our laboratory. Validation of the assay was also performed in our laboratory.

2.2. Chromatographic and mass spectrometry conditions

For quantitative analysis, the analysis of plasma samples was performed on a Waters Xevo TQ–S triple quadruple mass spectrometry (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) connected with a Waters Acquity UPLC system.

The Waters Xevo TQ–S triple quadrupole mass spectrometry was operated in positive electrospray ionization (ESI+) mode. Optimized instrumental parameters for mass spectral acquisition were as follows: capillary voltage at 3.0 kV; source voltage at 60 V; source temperature at 150°C; desolvation temperature at 500°C; cone gas flow at 150 L/h; and desolvation gas flow at 1000 L/h. Multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) was employed for data acquisition. The optimized conditions of precursor to product ion pairs performed in multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) mode are described in Table 1. The Mass Lynx software version 4.1 was used to control all parameters of UPLC and MS (Rangel-Ordoñez et al., 2010).

Chromatographic separations of prepared samples were obtained using a Waters Acquity BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 50 mm, 1.7 μm) maintained at 40°C. A 0.2-mm filter (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) was applied before the analytical column. The mobile phase was delivered at 0.4 ml/min, including acetonicitrile (containing 0.01% formic acid) (A) and water (containing 0.01% formic acid) (B). The gradient program can be described as follows, 0–0.6 min, 5–30% A; 0.6–3 min, 30–80% A; 3–4 min, 80–20% A; 4–4.1 min, 20–5% A; 4.1–6 min, 5–5% A. The temperature of the auto sampler was set at 20°C and the injection volume was 2 μl.

2.3. Preparation of standards and quality control samples

Standard stock solutions of investigated samples were prepared in acetonicitrile. The appropriate amounts of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolides A, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C and taxifolin were separately weighed and dissolved as the stock solutions. The stock solutions were then mixed and diluted with acetonicitrile to prepare a final mixed standard working solution containing 200 ng/ml of quercetin, 75 ng/ml of kaempferol, 150 ng/ml of isorhamnetin, 750 ng/ml of bilobalide, 150 ng/ml of ginkgolide A, 250 ng/ml of ginkgolide B, and 250 ng/ml of ginkgolide C. The IS (taxifolin) working solution was diluted with acetonicitrile to give a concentration of 5 ng/ml.

Calibration standard solutions were prepared by spiking the appropriate amount of the final mixed standard working solution into 50 μl drug-free rat plasma, resulting in a nominal concentration range of 0.266–66.66 ng/ml for quercetin, 0.1–25 ng/ml for kaempferol, 0.2–50 ng/ml for isorhamnetin,
Figure 1  The product ion scan spectra and chemical structures of (A) quercetin, (B) kaempferol, (C) isorhamnetin, (D) bilobalide, (E) ginkgolide A, (F) ginkgolide B, (G) ginkgolide C and (H) taxifolin (IS).
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2.4. Preparation of plasma samples

To a 50 μl of rat plasma, 50 μl of 4 M hydrochloric acid (containing 10 mM ascorbic acid) and 50 μl of IS (5 ng/ml taxifolin) were added in turn. The mixture was vortexed for 10 s. The resulting mixture was then acid hydrolyzed at 80 °C for 30 min in a water bath. After cooling immediately to room temperature, 600 μl of ethyl acetate was added and vortexed for 1 min. The mixture was next centrifuged at 10,836 g for 10 min. The supernatant was dried at 37 °C for 1 min. The mixture was then centrifuged at 10,836 g for 10 min. The resulting supernatant was used for further LC–MS/MS analysis.

2.5. Method validation

The method used for simultaneous quantitative determination of seven active components of GbP and Ginaton in rat plasma was validated for specificity, sensitivity, linearity, accuracy, intra- and inter-day precision, matrix effect, extraction recovery and stability, as described below.

2.5.1. Specificity and selectivity

The specificity of the method was demonstrated by comparing the chromatograms of blank plasma samples from six rats to those of corresponding standard samples spiked with analytes and IS (5 ng/ml).

2.5.2. Sensitivity and linearity

The calibration curve consisted of seven concentration levels, and the sample with each concentration level was prepared as described above. The linearity was confirmed by plotting the peak area ratio of the transition pair of analytes to that of IS against the nominal concentrations, through least squares linear regression analysis, described in the form of $y = ax + b(1/x^2$ weighted).

Sensitivity of the method was determined by the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), which was defined as the lowest concentration point of the standard curve, at which the concentration can be reliably and reproducibly measured with a corresponding signal-to-noise ratio of 10. The LLOQ can be accurately quantified within a 20% bias of the nominal concentration and with a precision error not exceeding 20%.

2.5.3. Accuracy and precision

The accuracy, intra- and inter-day precision of the method were determined by measuring six replicates of QC samples at low, medium, and high concentration levels on three validation days, respectively. The accuracy was expressed as the relative error (RE), and the intra- and inter-day precision was determined as the relative standard deviation (RSD) (Safi et al., 2015a).

2.5.4. Matrix effect and extraction recovery

The matrix effects of analytes were determined by analyzing three replicates of QC samples at low, medium, and high concentration levels, by comparing the peak areas of analytes dissolved in the post-extraction plasma blank with those of pure standard solution containing equivalent amounts of analytes. The matrix effect of IS was also evaluated with the same method.

The extraction recoveries of analytes were evaluated by comparing the peak areas of the analytes extracted from plasma samples with those of post-extraction spiked plasma blank. The extraction recovery of IS was also evaluated in the same way at a concentration of 5 ng/ml.

2.5.5. Dilution integrity

The dilution integrity experiment was performed to validate the dilution step that might be required for some of the actual rat samples with concentrations of analytes greater than the upper limit of quantification (ULOQ) (Zhou et al., 2011). Six replicate dilution QC samples containing quercetin (800 ng/ml), kaempferol (300 ng/ml), isorhamnetin (600 ng/ml), bilobalide (800 ng/ml), ginkgolide A (600 ng/ml), ginkgolide B (1000 ng/ml), and ginkgolide C (1000 ng/ml) were diluted with spiking blank rat plasma by 15-fold high quality control (HQC) concentrations, and their concentrations were calculated by applying the dilution factor of 15 against the calibration curve. Accuracy and precision error should be within ±20%.

| Compound | Precursor ion | Cone voltage (V) | Product ion | Collision energy (eV) |
|----------|---------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Quercetin| 303.096       | 20               | 152.982#   | 32                   |
|          |               |                  | 228.977    | 26                   |
| Kaempferol| 287.096      | 20               | 152.980#   | 30                   |
|          |               |                  | 120.967    | 30                   |
| Isorhamnetin| 317.096    | 20               | 152.903#   | 30                   |
|          |               |                  | 302.048    | 24                   |
| Bilobalide| 327.160       | 40               | 111.063#   | 16                   |
|          |               |                  | 148.993    | 22                   |
| Ginkgolide A| 409.160    | 50               | 345.103#   | 18                   |
|          |               |                  | 363.115    | 14                   |
| Ginkgolide B| 425.160      | 42               | 305.112#   | 24                   |
|          |               |                  | 361.105    | 18                   |
| Ginkgolide C| 441.160      | 48               | 325.040#   | 22                   |
|          |               |                  | 177.022    | 40                   |
| Taxifolin (IS)| 305.096| 20               | 152.977#   | 16                   |
|          |               |                  | 148.943    | 22                   |

# Quantitative transitions.
2.5.6. Stability
The stability of analytes in rat plasma was assessed by analyzing QC samples at three concentration levels under various conditions, including three freeze–thaw cycles stability (−20 °C to room temperature as a cycle), short-term temperature stability (room temperature 20 °C for 4 h), long-term stability (−20 °C for 2 weeks), and post-preparation stability (the extracted QC samples maintained in the autosampler at room temperature for 12 h). All the stability studies were conducted by analyzing replicates (n = 3) of QC samples at three concentration levels. The results were compared with those of freshly prepared QC samples, and the percentage of concentration deviation was calculated.

2.6. Pharmacokinetic study
Male Wistar rats (285 ± 5 g) were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of Jilin University (Changchun, China), and acclimated to the circumstance at a temperature of 25 °C, 12 h light/dark cycle with free access to water and food for 7 days before the experiment. The experimental protocol was approved by the Laboratory Animal Center of Jilin University (license No. SCXK–(JI) 2011-0003). Before drug administration, the rats were fasted for over 12 h and had free access to water (Safi et al., 2015b).

For the pharmacokinetic study, twelve rats were randomly divided into two groups. Rats in group 1 were given a single oral dose of 25 mg/kg Ginaton, while rats in group 2 were administered a single oral dose of 25 mg/kg GbP. Blood samples of approximately 0.5 ml were collected into heparinized centrifuge tubes from the fossa orbitalis vein before dosing, and at 0.083, 0.167, 0.333, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 24 h post-dosing, and were immediately centrifuged at 10,836 g for 10 min. The plasma supernatants were transferred into clean 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and stored at −20 °C prior to analysis.

2.7. Statistical analysis
The pharmacokinetic parameters for Ginaton and GbP were calculated using the Drug and Statistics 3.0 program (DAS, life science college of Jilin University, Changchun, China). Data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviations (S. D.). The identification of statistical significance between different groups was carried out with Student’s t tests. A P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion
3.1. Method development
3.1.1. Sample preparation
Sample preparation is one of the most important steps for simultaneous quantification of the two kinds of analytes investigated (flavonoids and terpene trilactones) in rat plasma. Three techniques of protein precipitation, liquid–liquid extraction and solid phase extraction have been frequent approaches. With comprehensive inspection of cost, simplicity and efficiency, the liquid–liquid extraction technique (used ethyl acetate as extraction solution) was the best choice. In this study, when the calibration curve was established by the routine method to prepare plasma sample, cross-interference between the ginkgo flavonoids and terpenoids was found both in positive mode, as described in Zhao et al., (2008). Compared to the standard curve directly established by mixed standard solutions of analytes, the linear relationship of the calibration curve deteriorated and the slopes of the regression curves also prominently changed in both negative and positive mode. Therefore, three key operations in the preparation of plasma samples should be noted, which were (1) adding a certain amount of ascorbic acid to the plasma samples during sample preparation; (2) cooling samples immediately to room temperature before ethyl acetate extraction; (3) reconstituting the dried residues in absolute acetonitrile before LC–MS/MS.

3.1.2. Selection of IS
The confirmation of a suitable internal standard substance is a critical step in biological sample analysis. An ideal IS for LC–MS/MS should be a stable isotope-labeled analyte, but it is difficult to obtain such a compound, especially for the simultaneous determination of seven analytes. Several possible internal standards were tested in the experimental conditions, including baicalin, domperidone and taxifolin. Baicalin, as a kind of flavonoids, was first considered as an IS. However, it could be hydrolyzed to baicain through the required acid hydrolysis process. Domperidone was relatively stable with the sample preparation process, but its extraction efficiency was not stable using ethyl acetate as an extraction solvent in contrast with seven analytes. Taxifolin, with a chemical structure similar to ginkgo flavonoids, was selected as the appropriate IS, because its chromatographic behavior, extraction efficiency and ionization properties were close to those of the analytes (Noor et al., 2015).

3.1.3. Mass spectrometry
UPLC–MS/MS has been emerging as a powerful analytical technique for the determination of compounds in biological samples with improved sensitivity, selectivity and specificity. In this study, a standard solution (500 ng/ml) of analytes and IS was directly infused along with the mobile phase into the source of the mass spectrometer (Huang et al., 2004). The key mass spectrometry parameters, such as capillary voltage, source voltage, source temperature, desolvation temperature, cone gas flow, desolvation gas flow, cone voltage and collision energy were carefully optimized in both positive and negative electrospray ionization modes (Batool et al., 2015). The positive electrospray ionization mode (+) was found to be more appropriate for the determination of analytes and IS than the negative electrospray ionization (ESI –) mode, because of the weak mass spectrometric response of bilobalide and ginkgolide A in ESI – mode (Butt et al., 2015). Moreover, additives such as formic acid helped to promote better ionization efficiency of the analytes, which facilitated the formation of [M + H]+. The full-scan mass spectra of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C and taxifolin showed predominant protonated precursor [M + H]+ ions at m/z 303, 287, 317, 327, 409, 425, 441 and 305, respectively. As shown by the product ion spectra, the main product ions of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C and taxifolin were m/z 153, 229, and 137 (Fig. 1A), m/z 153, 121 and 165 (Fig. 1B), m/z 153, 302 and 274 (Fig. 1C), m/z 309,
111 and 149 (Fig. 1D), m/z 345, 363 and 327 (Fig. 1E), m/z 361, 305 and 257 (Fig. 1F), m/z 325, 177 and 307 (Fig. 1G), and m/z 153, 149 and 259 (Fig. 1H). For all compounds, the highest stable signal product ions were selected for quantification for each component in MRM mode (Biber, 2003). The MRM transition m/z 425 → 361 of ginkgolide B was abandoned due to the strong interference of baseline signal, particularly at lower concentrations even though it was the most abundant (Drieu and DeFeudis, 2000). The product ion of bilobalide at m/z 309 ([M+H–H2O]+) was also not used since it was not stable during the process of ionization. The final optimization results are shown in Table 1.

3.1.4. Chromatography
Chromatographic conditions were further optimized to produce the best sensitivity, efficiency and chromatographic peak shape. Compared with HPLC, UPLC provided increased sensitivity, better peak shape and higher analysis speed. Acetonitrile had higher mass spectrometric response and lower background noise than methanol, and was chosen as the

Figure 2  Chromatograms of (A) drug-free rat plasma, (B) spiked plasma at the limit of quantitation, (C) 0.5 h plasma sample after a single oral administration of Ginaton, (D) 0.5 h plasma sample after a single oral administration of GbP.
organic phase. It was observed that pH of the mobile phase had a significant impact on peak shape and sensitivity of analytes and IS. The sensitivity significantly increased with the use of 0.01% formic acid (pH = 3.33) when compared with the mobile phase containing no electrolyte or 0.1% formic acid (pH = y72.75). However, the lower concentration of formic acid (<0.01%) had a negative impact on separation selectivity (Ashraf et al., 2015). Hence, the optimal concentration of formic acid in the mobile phase was 0.01%. To eliminate the matrix effect and to obtain a high sensitivity and sample high-throughput, gradient elution program optimized was finally adopted in the study. Furthermore, to maintain the pH of the mobile phase in whole gradient elution program, acetonitrile and water were both added to 0.01% formic acid. The total run time was only 6 min for seven analytes (Chen et al., 2011).

### 3.2. Method validation

#### 3.2.1. Specificity

The specificity of the proposed method was demonstrated by comparing the MRM chromatograms of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C and IS obtained from a blank rat plasma sample and a spiked plasma sample. As shown in Fig. 2, no significant interference from endogenous substances was observed in the chromatograms of drug-free rat plasma at the retention times of the analytes and IS. The retention times of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C and IS were 2.00, 2.20, 2.23, 1.75, 2.03, 2.03, 1.72 and 1.69 min, respectively.

#### 3.2.1.1. Calibration curve and lower limit of quantification (LLOQ)

The calibration curves for the seven analytes in the biological samples were found to be linear in the concentration range tested. The regression coefficients ($r$) were higher than 0.995, showing a good linearity over the concentration range. The LLOQ of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B, ginkgolide C and IS were 0.266, 0.1, 0.2, 0.266, 0.2, 0.333 and 0.333, respectively, and the precision and accuracy of the seven analytes at the LLOQ were less than 20%, indicating that this method was sufficiently sensitive for the quantitative evaluation of the seven compounds.

#### 3.2.2. Accuracy and precision

The results of the intra-day and inter-day precision and accuracy for seven analytes in three QC levels are summarized in Table 2. The intra-day and inter-day precisions (RSD) ranged

### Table 2: Intra-day and inter-day precisions and accuracies for the determination of the seven analytes ($n$ = 3 days, 6 replicates per day).

| Compounds and added concentration (ng/ml) | Intra-day ($n$ = 6) | Inter-day ($n$ = 6) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                          | Found concentration (ng/ml) | Precision RSD (%) | Accuracy RE (%) | Found concentration (ng/ml) | Precision RSD (%) | Accuracy RE (%) |
| Quercetin                                |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.666                                    | 0.65 ± 0.03          | 4.99              | −1.90           | 0.66 ± 0.03             | 3.11              | −0.73           |
| 6.666                                    | 5.83 ± 0.13          | 2.35              | −12.54          | 5.83 ± 0.14             | 2.77              | −12.62          |
| 53.33                                    | 46.80 ± 1.20         | 2.08              | −12.24          | 46.68 ± 0.98            | 2.27              | −12.48          |
| Kaempferol                               |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.25                                     | 0.25 ± 0.02          | 6.75              | 1.33            | 0.26 ± 0.02             | 3.72              | 2.22            |
| 2.5                                      | 2.38 ± 0.05          | 3.46              | −4.67           | 2.33 ± 0.09             | 4.87              | −6.78           |
| 20                                       | 19.19 ± 0.65         | 2.53              | −4.07           | 19.75 ± 0.61            | 6.39              | −6.27           |
| Isorhamnetin                             |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.5                                      | 0.50 ± 0.04          | 5.94              | 0.67            | 0.51 ± 0.03             | 8.99              | 2.44            |
| 5                                        | 4.67 ± 0.08          | 2.55              | −6.60           | 4.65 ± 0.11             | 0.76              | −6.93           |
| 40                                       | 36.87 ± 0.89         | 2.14              | −7.83           | 37.05 ± 0.97            | 4.92              | −7.39           |
| Bilobalide                               |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.666                                    | 0.60 ± 0.03          | 4.38              | −10.66          | 0.59 ± 0.03             | 4.29              | −10.99          |
| 6.666                                    | 6.54 ± 0.18          | 2.86              | −1.94           | 6.45 ± 0.21             | 5.26              | −3.28           |
| 53.33                                    | 53.44 ± 1.47         | 2.63              | 0.21            | 51.78 ± 1.76            | 6.98              | −2.91           |
| Ginkgolide A                             |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.5                                      | 0.49 ± 0.04          | 6.89              | −3.00           | 0.47 ± 0.03             | 8.22              | −5.67           |
| 5                                        | 5.17 ± 0.12          | 3.41              | 3.4             | 5.27 ± 0.18             | 3.92              | 5.34            |
| 40                                       | 43.48 ± 1.24         | 2.42              | 4.85            | 42.36 ± 1.26            | 5.65              | 5.90            |
| Ginkgolide B                             |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.833                                    | 0.91 ± 0.05          | 3.45              | 9.04            | 0.92 ± 0.03             | 3.67              | 10.98           |
| 8.333                                    | 8.89 ± 0.26          | 3.34              | 6.62            | 8.83 ± 0.29             | 3.33              | 5.96            |
| 66.66                                    | 69.62 ± 2.34         | 2.57              | 4.44            | 69.01 ± 1.75            | 2.29              | 3.52            |
| Ginkgolide C                             |                      |                   |                 |                         |                   |                 |
| 0.833                                    | 0.81 ± 0.08          | 7.51              | −3.36           | 0.80 ± 0.06             | 1.82              | −3.56           |
| 8.333                                    | 8.36 ± 0.17          | 2.07              | 0.26            | 8.22 ± 0.23             | 5.71              | −1.42           |
| 66.66                                    | 65.99 ± 1.97         | 2.64              | −1.01           | 65.73 ± 1.64            | 0.82              | −1.39           |
from 0.76% to 8.99% and the accuracy (RE) was within −12.62–10.98%. The results of this method demonstrated that the intra-day and inter-day precision and accuracy were within an acceptable range.

### 3.2.3. Matrix effect and extraction recovery

Matrix effects and extraction recovery of the seven analytes and IS are shown in Table 3. The observed matrix effects of the investigated analytes at three different concentration levels in rat plasma were found to be in the range of 87.0–106.5% with an RSD of less than 7.7%, demonstrating that the matrix effect on the ionization of the analytes was negligible. The extraction recovery ranged from 86.0% to 104.6% with an RSD of less than 7.4%, indicating that the liquid–liquid extraction procedure was efficient.

### 3.2.4. Dilution integrity

To test the dilution integrity, high concentrated samples at six replicates of each were diluted 15-fold by blank rat plasma, clean-up and analyzed. As shown in Table 4, the dilution integrity accuracy (RE) of the seven analytes after 15-fold dilution ranged from −14.75% to 13.53% with a precision (RSD) of less than 9.87%.

### 3.2.5. Stability

The stability of the seven analytes in rat plasma was evaluated under different storage conditions. The results are summarized in Table 5. The analytes were found to be stable through three freeze–thaw cycles (RE ranged from −8.36% to 9.63%, RSD were within 0.29–9.92%), at a temperature of −20 °C for 2 weeks (RE ranged from −10.36% to 6.52%, RSD were within 1.53–8.29%), at room temperature of 20 °C for 4 h (RE ranged from −9.64% to 6.20%, RSD were within 1.11–6.94%) and for 12 h in the autosampler after sample preparation (RE ranged from −10.94% to 10.67%, RSD were within 0.40–9.49%).

### 3.3. Pharmacokinetics study

The developed and validated method was applied to the pharmacokinetic evaluation of the seven analytes in rat plasma after oral administration of Ginaton and GbP (Fig. 3). The method was proven to be sensitive enough for the quantification of these analytes in rat plasma (Kleijnen and Knipschild, 1992). The pharmacokinetic parameters, including the time of the maximum concentrations \( T_{\text{max}} \), the maximum plasma concentration \( C_{\text{max}} \), the elimination half-time \( T_{1/2} \), the area under concentration–time curve (AUC\(_0\)–\( t \)), and the plasma clearance \( CL \) were calculated through a non-compartment model, as displayed in Table 6.

As shown in Fig. 3 and Table 6, the pharmacokinetic parameters of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B and ginkgolide C showed significant differences in AUC\(_0\)–\( t \) and \( C_{\text{max}} \). The values of AUC\(_0\)–\( t \) and \( C_{\text{max}} \) of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B and ginkgolide C in the GbP group significantly increased \( (P < 0.001) \) while the AUC\(_0\)–\( t \) and \( C_{\text{max}} \) of bilobalide showed no obvious difference when compared with the Ginaton group. It could be inferred that novel drug delivery systems of proliposome could lead to better absorption and higher bioavailability of tested ginkgo flavonoids and terpenoid lactones, except for bilobalide. These results were consistent with the effects of proliposome described in the literature (Ashraf et al., 2013).
Table 5  Stability of the seven analytes in rat plasma \((n = 3)\) under different storage conditions.

| Compounds and added concentration (ng/ml) | Freeze-thaw stability | Long-term stability | Short-term temperature stability | Post-preparation stability |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                          | Precision RSD (%)     | Accuracy RE (%)    | Precision RSD (%)         | Accuracy RE (%)   |
|                                          | Found concentration (ng/ml) |          | Found concentration (ng/ml) |          | Found concentration (ng/ml) |          | Found concentration (ng/ml) |          |
| **Quercetin**                            |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.666                                    | 0.65 ± 0.05           | 7.70               | 0.64 ± 0.05                   | 8.29          | 0.66 ± 0.04                   | 5.29          | 0.68 ± 0.04                   | 5.14          | 2.60 |
| 6.666                                    | 6.25 ± 0.10           | 1.60               | 6.28 ± 0.32                   | 5.09          | 6.02 ± 0.07                   | 1.11          | 5.94 ± 0.15                   | 2.58          | 10.94 |
| 53.33                                    | 52.70 ± 1.59          | 3.02               | 51.59 ± 2.03                   | 3.94          | 51.08 ± 2.00                   | 3.91          | 51.38 ± 0.88                   | 1.72          | −3.66 |
| **Kaempferol**                           |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.25                                     | 0.24 ± 0.01           | 5.53               | 0.24 ± 0.01                   | 4.17          | 0.25 ± 0.02                   | 6.51          | 0.25 ± 0.02                   | 9.49          | −1.2  |
| 2.5                                      | 2.63 ± 0.08           | 5.12               | 2.66 ± 0.08                   | 3.07          | 2.48 ± 0.10                   | 4.13          | 2.48 ± 0.08                   | 3.30          | −0.88 |
| 20                                       | 21.62 ± 0.82          | 8.09               | 19.04 ± 0.41                   | 2.13          | 20.39 ± 0.50                   | 2.47          | 20.57 ± 0.37                   | 1.80          | 2.87  |
| **Isorhamnetin**                         |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.5                                      | 0.52 ± 0.01           | 1.12               | 0.47 ± 0.02                   | 3.23          | 0.50 ± 0.02                   | 4.19          | 0.51 ± 0.04                   | 8.55          | 2.00  |
| 5                                        | 5.32 ± 0.02           | 6.33               | 5.07 ± 0.14                   | 2.81          | 5.16 ± 0.28                   | 5.44          | 5.00 ± 0.03                   | 0.61          | 0.07  |
| 40                                       | 43.85 ± 1.23          | 9.63               | 39.51 ± 1.10                   | 2.76          | 42.28 ± 1.08                   | 2.54          | 43.15 ± 0.61                   | 1.42          | 7.88  |
| **Bilobalide**                           |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.666                                    | 0.67 ± 0.03           | 3.86               | 0.67 ± 0.02                   | 3.50          | 0.63 ± 0.03                   | 4.52          | 0.61 ± 0.03                   | 5.29          | −8    |
| 6.666                                    | 6.56 ± 0.19           | 2.84               | 7.10 ± 0.21                   | 2.95          | 6.17 ± 0.22                   | 3.62          | 6.08 ± 0.14                   | 2.37          | −8.75 |
| 53.33                                    | 54.64 ± 1.07          | 1.97               | 54.46 ± 2.88                   | 5.29          | 51.47 ± 0.97                   | 1.88          | 53.02 ± 0.92                   | 1.74          | −0.59 |
| **Ginkgolide A**                         |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.5                                      | 0.46 ± 0.04           | 9.48               | 0.49 ± 0.01                   | 1.53          | 0.46 ± 0.05                   | 6.94          | 0.55 ± 0.02                   | 2.76          | 10.67 |
| 5                                        | 4.91 ± 0.07           | 1.50               | 5.07 ± 0.16                   | 3.11          | 5.01 ± 0.07                   | 1.40          | 4.92 ± 0.14                   | 2.76          | −1.54 |
| 40                                       | 42.30 ± 0.17          | 0.39               | 41.84 ± 1.77                   | 4.23          | 41.64 ± 0.85                   | 2.04          | 42.02 ± 0.70                   | 1.67          | 5.06  |
| **Ginkgolide B**                         |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.833                                    | 0.85 ± 0.05           | 6.19               | 0.85 ± 0.04                   | 4.35          | 0.87 ± 0.02                   | 4.76          | 0.82 ± 0.01                   | 1.52          | −1.44 |
| 8.333                                    | 8.26 ± 0.18           | 2.13               | 8.46 ± 0.29                   | 3.49          | 8.50 ± 0.14                   | 2.05          | 8.01 ± 0.21                   | 2.67          | −3.84 |
| 66.66                                    | 65.09 ± 1.29          | 1.98               | 63.37 ± 2.82                   | 4.46          | 67.04 ± 1.76                   | 2.62          | 65.74 ± 0.31                   | 0.47          | −1.38 |
| **Ginkgolide C**                         |                       |                    |                              |                |
| 0.833                                    | 0.76 ± 0.08           | 9.92               | 0.75 ± 0.03                   | 3.87          | 0.80 ± 0.01                   | 1.25          | 0.88 ± 0.05                   | 5.85          | 5.24  |
| 8.333                                    | 8.56 ± 0.32           | 3.74               | 8.54 ± 0.51                   | 5.92          | 8.41 ± 0.29                   | 3.40          | 7.95 ± 0.23                   | 2.95          | −4.60 |
| 66.66                                    | 71.95 ± 0.74          | 1.03               | 65.80 ± 2.61                   | 3.97          | 70.16 ± 1.88                   | 2.67          | 67.21 ± 0.27                   | 0.40          | 0.83  |
tendency to increase when compared to those in the Ginaton group, of which the values of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide and ginkgolide C showed remarkable differences \((P < 0.05)\). So it could be inferred that the proliposome formulation could also postpone the arrival of peak values of ginkgo flavonoids in rat plasma.

Compared with those in the Ginaton group, \(T_{1/2}\) of seven analytes (but not quercetin and bilobalide in the GbP group) was also significantly different \((P < 0.05)\). The \(T_{1/2}\) values for kaempferol, isorhamnetin, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B and ginkgolide C were remarkably prolonged. As for \(CL\) of seven analytes, the values of \(CL\) for quercetin, kaempferol,
isorhamnetin, ginkgolide A, ginkgolide B and ginkgolide C in GbP group were remarkably increased ($P < 0.001$), while that of bilobalide showed no significant difference when compared with the Ginaton group. Therefore, it could be speculated that the proliposome formulation could increase the elimination time of ginkgo flavonoids and terpenoid lactones, except for bilobalide.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a highly rapid, simple and sensitive UPLC–MS/MS method was developed for the simultaneous quantification of quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, bilobalide, ginkgolides A, B and C in plasma of rats with the lower limit of quantification of 0.266, 0.1, 0.2, 0.266, 0.2, 0.333 and 0.333, respectively. This method required a 6 min chromatographic run time and used 50 μl plasma samples. Moreover, this method was first subjected to comparative pharmacokinetic evaluation between a commercial tablet product of Ginaton and an oral proliposome formulation carrying GbE (GbP) in rat plasma, with the results showing that the proliposome formulation had a significant effect on absorption, elimination and bioavailability of G. biloba flavonoids and terpenoid lactones in comparison with the ordinary tablet. The current results would be helpful for speculating the absorption mechanism in the gastrointestinal tract at the pharmacokinetic level, and for guiding the development of novel oral drug delivery systems.

| Table 6 | Pharmacokinetics parameters of seven analytes after an oral administration ($n = 6$). |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Compounds       | AUC$_{0-t}$ (ng/ml/h) | $C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/ml) | $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | $T_{1/2}$ (h) | $CL$ (ml/h) |
| Quercetin       | 28.47 ± 10.44 | 3.81 ± 2.35 | 0.31 ± 0.07 | 8.81 ± 0.29 | 12720.04 ± 4198.09 |
| Ginaton         | 112.41 ± 34.32$\dagger$ | 16.78 ± 5.08$\dagger$ | 0.47 ± 0.07 | 10.52 ± 2.26 | 3012.87 ± 740.40$\dagger$ |
| Kaempferol      | 310.07 ± 92.96 | 23.65 ± 8.95 | 6.33 ± 0.82 | 10.04 ± 1.28 | 621.73 ± 192.20 |
| Ginaton         | 976.87 ± 138.15$\dagger$ | 60.13 ± 9.56$\dagger$ | 8.00 ± 1.26 | 12.68 ± 1.51 | 161.40 ± 13.86$\dagger$ |
| Isorhamnetin    | 106.72 ± 33.44 | 8.41 ± 2.60 | 5.67 ± 0.82 | 7.71 ± 0.68 | 1235.96 ± 378.93 |
| Ginaton         | 566.59 ± 156.33$\dagger$ | 37.51 ± 11.75$\dagger$ | 7.67 ± 0.82$\dagger$ | 12.96 ± 1.90 | 176.70 ± 35.62$\dagger$ |
| Bilobalide      | 384.58 ± 93.57 | 102.99 ± 15.51 | 1.17 ± 0.41 | 2.35 ± 0.72 | 612.04 ± 156.76 |
| Ginaton         | 406.83 ± 43.23 | 103.97 ± 15.26 | 1.83 ± 0.41$\dagger$ | 2.72 ± 1.30 | 560.09 ± 61.94 |
| Ginkgolide A    | 166.34 ± 20.69 | 53.42 ± 18.83 | 1.00 ± 0.00 | 1.61 ± 0.14 | 1117.64 ± 138.65 |
| Ginaton         | 618.80 ± 110.07$\dagger$ | 173.97 ± 5.68$\dagger$ | 1.00 ± 0.00 | 5.74 ± 0.78$\dagger$ | 304.71 ± 54.59$\dagger$ |
| Ginkgolide B    | 74.42 ± 8.58 | 19.80 ± 6.98 | 1.50 ± 0.55 | 2.38 ± 0.61 | 1509.77 ± 169.71 |
| Ginaton         | 461.24 ± 173.57$\dagger$ | 96.98 ± 32.94$\dagger$ | 1.67 ± 0.52 | 8.85 ± 0.62$\dagger$ | 262.57 ± 102.28$\dagger$ |
| Ginkgolide C    | 31.70 ± 6.76 | 5.68 ± 1.84 | 1.00 ± 0.00 | 5.81 ± 1.08 | 2569.97 ± 506.86 |
| Ginaton         | 99.35 ± 19.56$\dagger$ | 13.32 ± 3.76$\dagger$ | 2.67 ± 1.03$\dagger$ | 9.08 ± 0.82$\dagger$ | 909.29 ± 185.36$\dagger$ |

$\dagger$ Difference from corresponding Ginaton group, $P < 0.05$.
$\dagger$ Difference from corresponding Ginaton group, $P < 0.01$.
$\dagger$ Difference from corresponding Ginaton group, $P < 0.001$.
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