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Abstract

Building dialogue generation systems in a zero-shot scenario remains a huge challenge, since the typical zero-shot approaches in dialogue generation rely heavily on large-scale pre-trained language generation models such as GPT-3 and T5. The research on zero-shot dialogue generation without cumbersome language models is limited due to lacking corresponding parallel dialogue corpora. In this paper, we propose a simple but effective Multilingual learning framework for Zero-shot Dialogue Generation (dubbed as MulZDG) that can effectively transfer knowledge from an English corpus with large-scale training samples to a non-English corpus with zero samples. Besides, MulZDG can be viewed as a multilingual data augmentation method to improve the performance of the resource-rich language. First, we construct multilingual code-switching dialogue datasets via translation utterances randomly selected from monolingual English datasets. Then we employ MulZDG to train a unified multilingual dialogue model based on the code-switching datasets. The MulZDG can conduct implicit semantic alignment between different languages. Experiments on DailyDialog and DSTC7 datasets demonstrate that MulZDG not only achieve competitive performance under zero-shot case compared to training with sufficient examples but also greatly improve the performance of the source language.

1 Introduction

The success of neural models and the emergence of large-scale dialogue datasets have greatly advanced the research of dialog generation (Serban et al., 2016, 2017; Huang et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020). The open-domain dialogue systems aim to generate more informative and fluent responses (Ke et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020b; Bao et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2021), which are widely used in various applications such as emotional companionship, mental health support, and social chatbots.

Although achieving promising performance, most existing dialogue generation systems (Zhang et al., 2020b; Bao et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Floridi and Chiriatti, 2020) rely on a considerable amount of data resource, such as DialoGPT (Zhang et al., 2020b). In practice, the dialogue corpus for many languages is unavailable, which limits the usefulness of dialogue systems for low-resource or even zero-resource languages. Hence it is important to design approaches that can effectively transfer knowledge from the source language with sufficient resources to a target language with zero training samples.

The pre-trained language models have been proved to be very effective in dialogue generations including zero-shot scenarios. Most existing zero-shot dialogue generation approaches usually directly employ large-scale pre-trained generative language models such as GPT-3 (Brown et al., 2020), T5 (Raffel et al., 2020), or conduct secondary pre-training on target language based
on language models (Ebrahimi and Kann, 2021; Kim et al., 2021). Although these methods can handle the issue of zero-shot generation, the cost of pre-training is unaffordable.

In the similar task of NMT (neural machine translation), zero-shot generation methods are mainly introducing one additional language between source and target language (Johnson et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2017; Artetxe et al., 2018; Cheng, 2019; Liu et al., 2020a), which can achieve indirect semantic mapping between the source and target language through the additional intermediate language. The nature of translation is the semantic mapping between source and target languages while there is no similar semantic mapping between dialogue history and response. Therefore, directly transferring the zero-shot approaches in NMT to the dialogue generation task is infeasible.

The zero-shot multilingual understanding tasks usually employ code-switching approach to achieve semantic alignment between different languages (Liu et al., 2020b; Chapuis et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2021). The way of code-switching can conduct implicit semantic alignment without relying on parallel corpus pairs. Inspired by these studies, we employ the code-switching method to transfer the knowledge of dialogue history in English to other target languages which have no training examples. We follow previous work (Chapuis et al., 2021) on multilingual representation to employ code-switching at the utterance level, although code-switching at the word or span level is more common (Banerjee et al., 2018; Bawa et al., 2020; Doğruöz et al., 2021).

Based on the code-switching method, we propose a simple but effective Multilingual learning framework for Zero-shot Dialogue Generation (dubbed as MulZDG) that can effectively transfer knowledge from English corpus with large-scale training samples to non-English corpus with zero samples. Specifically, we first construct code-switch languages using the NMT system and bilingual dictionary (Pan et al., 2021). As shown by the black arrows in Figure 1, we randomly select utterances from dialogue history to translate into other target languages. For each target language, we construct code-switching corpus containing source and target languages, respectively. Then we employ MulZDG based on an encoder-decoder structure to train a unified multilingual dialogue generation system, which can be applied in the source language and other target languages with no training samples. MulZDG with a multi-task structure can generate responses with different languages according to specific input prompts. MulZDG can conduct implicit semantic alignments through task sharing mechanism between different languages, as shown by solid brown lines in Figure 1. To summarize, we make the following contributions:

- We propose a simple but effective multilingual framework, MulZDG, which can effectively transfer the knowledge from the source language with large-scale training samples to target languages with zero samples.
- We present a data augmentation method for multilingual code-switching, which can enhance the performance of source language.
- We construct multilingual code-switching dialogue datasets from English dialogue datasets DailyDialog and DSTC7, and release the multilingual versions datasets.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Dialogue Generation

Dialogue generation systems aim to produce informative and fluent responses and have attracted great attention in academia. Early studies usually adopt the methods of NMT based on an Encoder-Decoder network to generate responses (Sordoni et al., 2015; Serban et al., 2016). However, these methods often generate dull and generic responses. To tackle this problem, memory mechanism (Wu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2020) and attention mechanism (Zhang et al., 2019) are introduced into dialogue modeling successively. Although many approaches have been proposed, there are still remarkable gaps between responses generated by neural models and those from humans (Holtzman et al., 2019). Large-scale pre-trained generative models (Zhang et al., 2021; Ling et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2020) have greatly facilitated the development of dialogue generation task. Although achieving promising performance, these methods rely on a large number of training examples, which greatly limits the usability of dialogue systems. In this paper, we propose a multilingual framework that can work in zero-shot case.

2.2 Zero-shot Learning

Zero-shot Learning for dialogue generation tasks refers to building a dialogue generation sys-
tem without available training samples (Floridi and Chiriatti, 2020). Most existing zero-shot methods of dialogue generation rely on large-scale pre-trained generative models (Lewis et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b; Floridi and Chiriatti, 2020), such as GPT-3 (Floridi and Chiriatti, 2020). These methods require huge computing resources, which hinders the usableness of dialogue systems. On similar machine translation tasks, zero-shot methods mainly include triangular and multilingual NMT systems. The triangular NMT systems (Zheng et al., 2017; Cheng, 2019) build a triangular translation case by adding an intermediate language and multilingual systems (Johnson et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020a) mix multiple different language pairs to achieve semantic alignment between different languages under zero-shot case by building implicit triangular systems. The nature of these methods is the semantic mapping between different languages, which is consistent with the translation task. However, there is no similar semantic mapping between dialogue history and response. In this paper, we propose a simple but effective multilingual dialogue generation framework based on code-switching languages.

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Problem Formalization

Given a source language (S), the goal is to build a unified dialogue generation system based on the source language that can be applied to target languages (T). In this paper, the source language S is English. The T include: Zh (Chinese), De (German), Ru (Russian), Es (Spanish), Fr (French) and It (Italian). An instance in dialogue dataset can be represented as (C, R) where $C = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$ with $n$ utterance represents the context of dialogue. $u_i$ represents the $i$-th utterance. And $R$ represents the corresponding response. Based on the $S$, we automatically build code-switching languages that include $T$ through random translations. The construction process of code-switching languages are shown in Algorithm 1, where $D_T = \{D_{en\rightarrow zh}, D_{en\rightarrow de}, D_{en\rightarrow ru}, D_{en\rightarrow es}, D_{en\rightarrow fr}, D_{en\rightarrow it}\}$. The $D_{en\rightarrow tar}$ represents code-switching languages composed of English and $tar$.

3.2 Multi-task MulZDG Framework

As shown in Figure 2, MulZDG mainly contains three layers: (i) Embedding Layer; (ii) Encoder Layer; (iii) Decoder Layer. The embedding layer is responsible for mapping each word in $D_T$ to a vector space using a pre-trained Glove (Pennington et al., 2014) embedding model. The encoder layer is responsible for capturing the semantic representation of the dialogue context. And the decoder layer is responsible for generating the probability distribution of response for the dialogue context. The decoder in MulZDG can generate responses in different languages through different prompt tokens. The code-switching languages in $D_T$ share the same encoder and decoder, which can conduct semantic alignment between different languages through task sharing mechanism. In the training phase, we employ code-switching languages to train MulZDG. In the testing phase, MulZDG takes monolingual dialogue samples as input and generates responses in the corresponding target language.

MulZDG is a multi-task framework, which supports networks with RNN and transformer as the backbone. The inputs of frameworks are utterance-level code-switching languages. Different inputs in $D_T$ share the same encoder and decoder. The encoder can be hierarchical or non-hierarchical structures. The framework with a non-hierarchical encoder is just like the seq2seq architecture (Sutskever et al., 2014) which consists of an encoder RNN and a decoder RNN. The framework with a hierarchical encoder is just like HRED (Serban et al., 2016) which consists of a hierarchical encoder and a decoder. Encoders can be hierarchical or non-hierarchical. The framework with a non-hierarchical encoder is just like the seq2seq architecture (Sutskever et al., 2014) which consists of an encoder and a decoder. The framework with a hierarchical encoder is just

Algorithm 1: Code-Switching Languages.

**Input:** Corpus of $S$: $D_S$; The target language set $T$; Bilingual Dictionary: $Dic$;

**Output:** Code-switching languages: $D_T$.

Initialize $D_T = \emptyset$;

**foreach** $(C, R)$ in $D_S$ do

random sample utterances: $U \sim P(C, R)$;

**foreach** target language $t_i$ in $T$ do

Replace tokens for each utterance in $U$ by dictionary $Dic(S \rightarrow t_i)$: $\hat{U}$; Translate $\hat{U}$ by NMT system: $\hat{U}$; Add updated $(C, R)$ by $\hat{U}$ to $D_T$;


like HRED (Serban et al., 2016) which consists of a hierarchical encoder and a decoder. The structure of encoder can be RNN or transformer structure. Next, we briefly introduce both hierarchical and non-hierarchical frameworks.

3.3 Non-hierarchical MulZDG

The non-hierarchical MulZDG is a simple seq2seq structure, which has a shared encoder and a decoder module. The encoder is responsible for encoding the dialogue context and the decoder is responsible for generating the response for the dialogue context. Encoder-Decoder structure based on RNN (Sutskever et al., 2014) and Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) are the most classic representative models.

**RNN Encoder-Decoder** In this paper, we employ GRU (Cho et al., 2014) as the concrete implementation for RNN. We concatenate code-switching language utterances in dialogue context into a consecutive tokens sequence, and add a special start symbol [SOS] and end symbol [EOS] to each tokens sequence. The last hidden state of encoder is denoted as $h_\ell$, which is considered as the dialogue context summary. $\ell$ represents the length of sequence. Finally, we employ another GRU to decode the probability distribution of response.

$$P_\theta(y|h_\ell) = \prod_{j=2}^{m} P_\theta(y_j|y_1, \ldots, y_{j-1}, h_\ell)P(y_1) \quad (1)$$

where $\theta$ represents the parameters of GRU and $y$ represents the response sequence to be decoded.

**Transformer Encoder-Decoder** Each layer of transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) encoder is composed of a multi-head self-attention mechanism and a position-wise fully connected feed-forward network. A residual connection (He et al., 2016) is employed around each layer, followed by layer normalization (Ba et al., 2016). In addition to the two sub-layers in each encoder layer, the decoder layer possesses another multi-head attention calculating the weight distribution over the output of the encoder based on predicted sequences. Note that in addition to the word embedding information, position encoding information is required in the embedding layer.

3.4 Hierarchical MulZDG

The encoder of hierarchical MulZDG possesses two basic components: utterance encoder and context encoder, which is like the HRED (Serban et al., 2016) architecture. The utterance encoder is responsible for capturing the features of each utterance and the context encoder is in charge of distilling the dependencies between different utterances. The framework MulZDG supports the implementation of RNNs and transformers structure. In this paper, we employ GRU as the implementation of RNNs.

**Hierarchical Transformer Structure** Note that positional encoding is required for the implementation of the transformer. The utterance encoder and decoder layer share the same positional encoding. The outputs of utterance encoder based on transformer can be denoted as $u_i = \{e_{sos}, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m, e_{eos}\}$ for $i$-th utterance, where $m$ represents the length of utterance. We employ an average pooling operation to get a fixed-dimensional representation for every utterance. We add positional encoding to $h_i$ and the context encoder based on transformer is responsible for capturing the global dialogue context information $H = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\}$. Then the decoder layer decodes the probability distribution of response over $H$.

$$h_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} e_j \quad (2)$$

4 Experiments

4.1 Datasets

In this paper, we select English datasets DailyDialog (Li et al., 2017) and DSTC7 (Galley et al., 2018) as the source languages and generate code-switching training examples based on source train-
Table 1: Performance of models based on data augmentation using multilingual code-switching of monolingual source. Engl represents that models is only trained on English train, and 1,710 pairs for testing. The proportion of non-English utterances in code-switching dataset is De-30.186% in $D_{en,d}$, Es-27.564% in $D_{es,e}$, Fr-30.096% in $D_{fr,f}$, It-30.293% in $D_{it,i}$, Ru-30.199% in $D_{ru,r}$, Zh-30.109% in $D_{zh,z}$. In the experiment we abbreviate it as Daily.

**DSTC7** is a multi-turn dialogue dataset from social media data, which consists of 76,590 context-response pairs for training, 17,870 pairs for validation, and 1,000 pairs for testing. The proportion of non-English utterances in code-switching dataset is De-27.661% in $D_{en,d}$, Es-27.545% in $D_{es,e}$, Fr-27.639% in $D_{fr,f}$, It-27.611% in $D_{it,i}$, Ru-27.786% in $D_{ru,r}$, Zh-27.565% in $D_{zh,z}$.

**Multi** is a multi-turn dialogue dataset from multiple languages, which consists of 33,390 context-response pairs for training, 8,347 pairs for validation, and 583 pairs for testing. The proportion of non-English utterances in code-switching dataset is De-27.676% in $D_{en,d}$, Es-27.679% in $D_{es,e}$, Fr-27.680% in $D_{fr,f}$, It-27.611% in $D_{it,i}$, Ru-27.786% in $D_{ru,r}$, Zh-27.565% in $D_{zh,z}$.

Table 2: Zero-shot results of models on German. De represents that models is only trained on German training set. Multi represents that models is only trained on code-switching languages based on MulZDG.

Table 3: Zero-shot results of models on Spanish. Es represents models is trained on monolingual Spanish.

**VHRED** (Serban et al., 2017) is a hierarchical encoder-decoder structure with a hierarchical encoder (incuding utterance encoder and context encoder) and a decoder. VHRED employs shared encoders to encode each utterance separately.

**Transformer** (Vaswani et al., 2017) is a encoder-decoder structure with multi-head attention mechanism. The inputs of the Transformer is a consecutive word sequence concatenated all utterances. In all experimental tables, we abbreviate it as Trans.

**HTransformer** (Santra et al., 2021) is a hierarchical encoder-decoder structure with a hierarchical encoder (including utterance encoder and context encoder) and a decoder. HTransformer encodes each utterance separately. In all experimental tables, we abbreviate it as HTrans.
4.3 Implementation Details

We implement our MulZDG using Tensorflow 2. The word embedding size and hidden size are all set to 512. We employ Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2015) to train all models. For the models HRED and VHRED we set the learning rate to 0.0001. For the Transformer and HTransformer, we set the learning rate to 0.0001. For the models HRED and VHRED, we set the number of encoder and decoder layers to 1. For the Transformer and HTransformer, the number of encoder and decoder layers is 3. And the number HRED for Transformer and HTransformer is 8. We employ the word segmentation of BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and the vocabulary of multilingual BERT as the unified vocabulary. The batch size is 128 for HRED and VHRED, and we set it to 512 for Transformer and HTransformer. The maximum epochs are set to 200. We employ GloVe to train a unified multilingual embedding vectors representation for embedding-based metrics based on multilingual corpora. We do not remove unknown tokens when computing embedding-based metrics, and the vectors of all unknown tokens are initialized to zero vector.

4.4 Evaluation metrics

To compare different models, we employ both automatic metrics and human evaluations. **Automatic Metrics:** We employ perplexity (PPL) and distinct 1/2 (Dist.1/2) following previous studies (Zhang et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020; Song et al., 2021). Lower perplexity means more reliable model. Distinct 1/2 (Li et al., 2016) are the ratio of distinct uni-grams / bi-grams. Higher distinct means better diversity of responses generated by the model. We also employ BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002) and ROUGE-L (Lin, 2004) (abbreviated as RL) for evaluating response generation. BLEU and ROUGE-L metrics evaluate the response based on o-occurrence properties of tokens. Embedding-based metrics (Average, Exterma and Greedy) (Liu et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018; Sedoc et al., 2019) can reflect the quality of the generated responses at the semantic level. **Human Evaluation:** We further conduct human evaluations to assess the proposed learning framework. We select Chinese and English dialogue systems for human evaluation on DailyDialog and DSTC7. We ask three crowdsourced graduate students to evaluate the quality of generated responses for 100 randomly sampled input contexts. We request annotators to choose a preferred response, or vote a tie, considering the following aspects of response quality: fluency, informativeness, coherence, and engagingness.

4.5 Effectiveness of Data Augmentation

In particular, models based on transformer do not employ pre-trained language model as the initial checkpoint and train from scratch. In addition,
Table 6: Zero-shot results of models on Russian. Ru represents models is trained on monolingual Russian.

| Models   | Datasets | Types | PPL          | BL-1/2         | RL   | Dist-1/2 | Embed A/E/G |
|----------|----------|-------|--------------|----------------|------|----------|--------------|
| HRED     | Daily    | Ru    | 123.8        | 28.43/22.16    | 37.51 | 21.36/55.56 | 78.07/58.33/34.34 |
|          | Multi    | 127.6 | 27.57/21.57  | 36.65          | 20.54/52.15 | 76.32/84.84/3.37 |
| DSTC7    | Daily    | Ru    | 110.5        | 24.04/14.46    | 29.95 | 15.52/25.24 | 73.96/88.11/51.79 |
|          | Multi    | 116.5 | 20.90/19.15  | 28.93          | 9.32/53.53 | 81.30/88.66/3.51 |
| VHRED    | Daily    | Ru    | 134.3        | 27.96/21.88    | 35.66 | 21.46/55.88 | 78.66/85.63/63.22 |
|          | Multi    | 133.2 | 25.43/20.47  | 33.23          | 20.13/53.17 | 76.98/85.65/63.22 |
| DSTC7    | Daily    | Ru    | 103.6        | 29.49/17.02    | 29.95 | 10.22/35.21 | 80.68/86.61/64.17 |
|          | Multi    | 107.7 | 27.77/16.67  | 28.93          | 9.32/35.12 | 81.38/88.68/64.37 |
| Trans    | Daily    | Ru    | 144.6        | 21.53/13.77    | 27.68 | 9.57/34.93 | 78.37/83.55/64.5  |
|          | Multi    | 147.9 | 20.54/13.28  | 27.43          | 8.76/33.98 | 78.54/80.13/62.19 |
| DSTC7    | Daily    | Ru    | 123.3        | 25.80/13.27    | 27.84 | 9.40/32.87 | 78.89/84.55/65.14 |
|          | Multi    | 125.4 | 24.65/12.99  | 25.48          | 8.94/30.54 | 77.98/84.33/68.87 |
| HTrans   | Daily    | Ru    | 143.1        | 27.77/14.65    | 28.49 | 9.65/35.20 | 78.75/83.13/63.05 |
|          | Multi    | 147.6 | 18.44/13.76  | 26.35          | 9.02/33.89 | 78.96/79.57/63.06 |
| DSTC7    | Daily    | Ru    | 128.6        | 22.76/13.56    | 24.52 | 8.25/29.78 | 77.54/81.98/65.35 |
|          | Multi    | 128.6 | 22.76/13.56  | 24.32          | 8.25/29.78 | 77.54/81.98/65.35 |

Table 1 reports the results of models on DailyDialog and DSTC7 using monolingual English corpus and data augmentation based on multilingual code-switching languages. We can observe that the performances of models are greatly improved when using data augmentation based on multilingual code-switching. Specifically, the performances of models using data augmentation with multilingual code-switching is 0.2% to 13.2% on PPL, 0.81% to 4.38% higher on BLEU-1, 1.4% to 3.7% higher on BLEU-2, 0.59% to 2.41% higher on Rouge-L, 0.54% to 1.43% higher on dist-1, 0.46% to 2.64% higher on dist-2, and 1.27% to 2.41% higher on average embedding compared with models using monolingual English corpus. The data augmentation approaches with multilingual code-switching can enhance the representation ability of models and improve the quality of the responses through learning common features between different languages.

4.6 Zero-shot Dialogue Generation

Different from Table 1, Table 2 to Table 7 report the results of zero-shot generation using MulZDG based on multilingual code-switching languages. The performances of models training on multilingual code-switching languages can achieve competitive results under zero-shot case compared with corresponding monolingual language. On German DailyDialog and DSTC7, the performances of models under zero-shot case is 0.1% higher on PPL, 1.23% lower on BLEU-1, 0.93% on Rouge-L, 0.71% on dist-1 and 0.51% on average embedding compared with models training on monolingual corpus according to Table 2. On French DailyDialog and DSTC7, the performances of models under zero-shot case is 2.9% lower on PPL, 0.93% lower on BLEU-1, 1.34% on Rouge-L, 0.27% on dist-1 and 0.16% on average embedding compared with models training on monolingual corpus according to Table 4. On Chinese datasets, the performances of models under zero-shot case is 2.1% lower on PPL, 0.91% lower on BLEU-1, 1.57% on Rouge-L, 0.44% on dist-1 and 0.62% on average embedding compared with models training on monolingual corpus according to Table 7.

We can observe the similar results on other languages. MulZDG adopts a multi-task approach to generate responses in different languages. On the one hand, sharing the structure between multiple tasks is beneficial for models to exploit the common features between different languages. On the other hand, sharing task mechanism between multilingual code-switching languages is beneficial to enhance the semantic alignment ability of models between different languages.

4.7 Impact of Multilingualization

To explore the effect of multilingual code-switching languages, we conduct further experiments. Figure 3 demonstrates the effect of the number of languages on models performance. We
We compare the performance of models training under zero-shot using multilingual code-switching languages with monolingual English corpus. On other languages, we compare the performances of models training (multilingual VS monolingual). On English corpus, the performances of models using data augmentation with multilingual code-switching is on average 6.0% higher on DailyDialog and 3.5% higher on DSTC7 than using monolingual English corpus. Besides, the performance of models under zero-shot is on average 5% lower on DailyDialog and 3.5% lower on DSTC7 than using corresponding monolingual language. Table 8 reports the results of human evaluation of HRED and VHRED on three languages (i.e., English, French and Chinese). We can observe that the performances of models using data augmentation with multilingual code-switching is on average 6.0% higher on DailyDialog and 3.5% higher on DSTC7 than using monolingual English corpus. Besides, the performance of models under zero-shot is on average 5% lower on DailyDialog and 2% lower on DSTC7 than using corresponding monolingual corpus. These results demonstrate that multilingual code-switching framework can not only be considered as a data augmentation method but also be employed to zero-shot dialogue generation.

### 5 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we propose a simple but effective multilingual framework: MulZDG, which can not only be used as an approach of data augmentation but also be used to zero-shot dialogue generator. Besides, we release the multilingual versions of DailyDialog and DSTC7 datasets. In the future, we will explore the working mechanism and effect of large-scale multilingual code-switching languages (e.g., hundreds of languages) in the problem of zero-shot dialogue generation.
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A Appendix

A.1 NMT Systems

We simulate distribution differences between training and test datasets through using different translation systems. The NMT systems employed for the training set are Helsinki-NLP/opus-mt-en-tar.  

'tar' represents the target languages, which includes Chinese, German, Russian, Spanish, French and Italian. And we employ T5-base to translate the test

https://huggingface.co/Helsinki-NLP/

https://huggingface.co/t5-base
| Models | Types | Responses |
|--------|-------|-----------|
| HRED   | En Aug| I like travelling. like my experience and i have a good idea.  
|        |       | I like travelling. I travel frequently. |
| VHRED  | En Aug| i like sports. I like travelling.  
|        |       | I like travelling. I’ll do it next time. |
| Gold Response | | Yes, I like travelling. I am young, and unmarried.  
|        |       | It’s no problem for me to travel frequently. |

Table 9: Case study for data augmentation on Daily dataset. The English context is "What are your personal weaknesses? I’m afraid I’m a poor talker. I’m not comfortable talking with the people whom I have just met for the first time. That is not very good for business, so I have been studying public speaking. Are you more of a leader or a follower? I don’t try to lead people. I’d rather cooperate with everybody, and get the job done by working together. Do you think you can make yourself easily understood in English? Yes, in most circumstances. Are you available for travel?"

datasets into German and French. For Russian, Chinese, Spanish and Italian we employ WMT19-en-ru⁴, WMT-en-zh⁵, mbart-en-es⁶ and Google NMT system, respectively.

A.2 Dictionaries

(Lample et al.) employs FastText (Bojanowski et al., 2017) methods on the source and target monolingual corpora to train word embeddings and then applies the unsupervised method (Conneau et al., 2017) to infer a bilingual dictionary. (Lample et al.) provides 110 bilingual dictionaries which can be used for word-by-word translation. According to statistics, there are 101,997 De-En word pairs, 112,583 Es-En word pairs, 113,324 Fr-En word pairs, 103,613 It-En word pairs, 48,714 Ru-En word pairs and 21,597 Zh-En word pairs.

A.3 Case Study

An case study is provided in Table 9 to demonstrate the values of augmented data. We can observe that the responses of HRED and VHRED contain context-independent information without using data augmentation. Specifically, "like my experience and i have a good idea" in HRED and "i like sports" in VHRED are context independent. The responses generated by HRED and VHRED are more informative and more coherent when using data augmentation. Models can utilize cross linguistic knowledge to generate more informative and coherent responses by multilingual data augmentation.

---

⁴https://huggingface.co/facebook/wmt19-en-ru  
⁵https://huggingface.co/liam168/trans-opus-mt-en-zh  
⁶https://huggingface.co/mrm8488/mbart-large-finetuned-opus-en-es-translation