MiT/TFE Family of Transcription Factors: An Evolutionary Perspective
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Response and adaptation to stress are critical for the survival of all living organisms. The regulation of the transcriptional machinery is an important aspect of these complex processes. The members of the microphthalmia (MiT/TFE) family of transcription factors, apart from their involvement in melanocyte biology, are emerging as key players in a wide range of cellular functions in response to a plethora of internal and external stresses. The MiT/TFE proteins are structurally related and conserved through evolution. Their tissue expression and activities are highly regulated by alternative splicing, promoter usage, and posttranslational modifications. Here, we summarize the functions of MiT/TFE proteins as master transcriptional regulators across evolution and discuss the contribution of animal models to our understanding of the various roles of these transcription factors. We also highlight the importance of deciphering transcriptional regulatory mechanisms in the quest for potential therapeutic targets for human diseases, such as lysosomal storage disorders, neurodegeneration, and cancer.
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INTRODUCTION

The microphthalmia (MiT/TFE) transcription factors belong to the superfamily of functionally unrelated basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper (bHLH-ZIP)-containing proteins that includes transcription regulators, such as Myc, Max, sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP), Mad, upstream stimulatory factor (USF), MAX-Like Factor X (MLX), and activating enhancing binding protein 4 (AP4) (Ledent and Vervoort, 2001). In vertebrates, the MiT/TFE family is composed of four evolutionarily conserved and closely related members: microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (MITF), transcription factor EB (TFEB), TFE3, and TFEC (Steingrimsson et al., 2004). These transcription factors can form homodimers and heterodimers and bind, through the basic domain, to the regulatory regions of their target genes that contain a palindromic 6-base pair CANNTG motif, termed E-box (Hemesath et al., 1994; Pogenberg et al., 2020). Analysis of the promoters of many lysosomal genes revealed the presence of a palindromic 10-base pair motif (GTCACGTGAC), a type of E-box, called CLEAR (coordinated lysosomal expression and regulation) element (Sardiello et al., 2009). Both TFEB and TFE3 were shown to directly bind to the CLEAR motif (Palmieri et al., 2011; Martina et al., 2014b).

MiT/TFE proteins are key players in many fundamental cellular processes, and their regulation is essential for organismal adaptation to challenges imposed by a wide variety of both internal and external cues (Puertollano et al., 2018). In addition to the role of MiT/TFE proteins as master regulators of lysosomal function and autophagy, there is a wealth of evidence demonstrating their involvement in an ever-expanding list of cellular processes including nutrient sensing, energy...
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GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT | MiT/TFE transcription factors are master regulators of cellular adaptation to a wide variety of stressful conditions. They control the expression of a plethora of genes involved in response to nutrient deprivation, oxidative and ER stress, and DNA and mitochondrial damage. MiT/TFE proteins play a critical role in organelle biogenesis, control of energy homeostasis, adaptation to pathogen infection, control of growth and development, aging, and death. MiT/TFE proteins are also modulators of critical signaling pathways that regulate cell proliferation, cellular fate commitment, and tumorigenesis. Many of these functions are evolutionary conserved from lower metazoans to mammals indicating that the adaptation to challenging conditions occurred early during evolution.

out by different specialized orthologs in vertebrates strongly argues for a functional differentiation process of these proteins during evolution (Zhang et al., 2015; Kuo et al., 2018; Dall and Faergeman, 2019; Goding and Arnheiter, 2019).

Early studies indicated that the six major human MITF isoforms originated from a combination of multiple alternative splicing events and promoter usage, resulting in the expression of MITF proteins with different amino termini (Goding and Arnheiter, 2019). MITF-M is the melanocyte-specific isoform mostly associated with the regulation of pigmentation, melanocyte development and differentiation, deafness, and melanoma biology. Interestingly, MITF-M can induce the expression of a subset of lysosomal and autophagy genes, suggesting a possible role of this isoform in the regulation of lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy in melanoma (Ploper et al., 2015; Leclerc et al., 2019; Moller et al., 2019). Moreover, the activity/nucleus–cytoplasm shuttling of the ubiquitously expressed non-melanocyte MITF-A isoform is regulated by a mechanism that controls the expression of several autophagy genes and involves Rag GTPases, 14-3-3, and mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) proteins (Martina and Puertollano, 2013; Martina et al., 2014a). These MITF functions have been recently comprehensively reviewed (Kawakami and Fisher, 2017; Goding and Arnheiter, 2019) and will not be discussed further.

All members of the MiT/TFE family share highly conserved functional domains across different species, including those required for DNA binding and homo/heterodimerization (Figure 2). Significant similarity is also observed within their N- and C-terminal regions that play a major role in the regulation of protein localization and stability. Moreover, MiT/TFE proteins are subjected to a variety of posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation, acetylation, SUMOylation, oxidation, and ubiquitination (Puertollano et al., 2018; Goding and Arnheiter, 2019; Wang et al., 2019). Of note, some key residues are conserved across species, suggesting that the basal regulation of the MiT/TFE factors is evolutionarily conserved (Settembre et al., 2011; Martina et al., 2012; Roczniak-Ferguson et al., 2012; Settembre et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2018).

In this review, we summarize our current knowledge of these transcription factors from an evolutionary perspective, mainly focusing on stress response and adaptation. We discuss how studies in different animal models could provide insights into the regulatory mechanisms governing cellular functions and facilitate the development of new therapeutic strategies to combat a range of human diseases.

REGULATION OF MiT/TFE ACTIVATION IN RESPONSE TO NUTRIENT DEPRIVATION

Living organisms are continuously challenged by a variety of stresses. Eukaryotic cells rely on the tightly controlled defense pathways to cope with adverse conditions, thus enabling proper growth and aging, while dysregulation of these pathways may have fatal consequences. The members of the MiT/TFE family

metabolism, response to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, mitochondrial and DNA damage, oxidative stress, innate immunity and inflammation, longevity, cellular survival, cell fate decisions, neurodegeneration, and cancer (Raben and Puertollano, 2016; Slade and Pulinilkunnil, 2017; Cortes and La Spada, 2019; Dall and Faergeman, 2019; Goding and Arnheiter, 2019; Bahrami et al., 2020; Irazoqui, 2020; Wang S. et al., 2020).

MiT/TFE proteins are conserved through evolution, and their homologs can be found in primitive metazoans, such as Trichoplax and sponges (Simionato et al., 2007; Gyoja, 2014). Phylogenetic analysis clearly shows that vertebrate MiT/TFE proteins are sorted in four clades, each representing one of the orthologs of the family, whereas the invertebrate orthologs, helix-loop-helix transcription factor 30 (HLH-30) in Caenorhabditis elegans (Rehli et al., 1999; Lapiere et al., 2013) and Mitf in Drosophila melanogaster (Hallsson et al., 2004), are outgrouped (Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The four mammalian MiT/TFE family members most likely originated from a common ancestor gene that underwent two rounds of whole-genome duplications (WGDs); an additional round of WGD is believed to occur in the zebrafish genome that contains a total of six genes encoding for MiT/TFE proteins (Mitfa, Mitfb, Tle3a, Tle3b, Tleb, and Tlec) (Taylor et al., 2001; Lister et al., 2011). The ability of a single MiT/TFE transcription factor in invertebrates to perform specific (basal) functions that are carried...
of transcription factors play pivotal roles in the maintenance of cellular homeostasis in response to a variety of stress conditions. The subcellular localization and activity of TFEB and TFE3 are tightly controlled. Under nutrient-rich conditions, these transcription factors are recruited to the lysosomal surface by direct binding to active heterodimeric Rag GTPases (Martina and Puettolano, 2013); at the lysosome, active mTORC1 phosphorylates TFEB and TFE3 at several residues including serines 211 and 321, respectively (Martina et al., 2012; Roczniak-Ferguson et al., 2012; Settembre et al., 2012).
FIGURE 2 | Sequence conservation of MiT/TFE transcription factors across species. Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment of MiT/TFE proteins from *Homo sapiens* (Hs), *Danio rerio* (Dr), *Drosophila melanogaster* (Dm), and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Ce). Shaded boxes highlight the degree of conservation of key functional domains between all proteins and species. Arrowheads indicate posttranslational modifications described in human TFEB, showing different degrees of conservation between species. ▲ Phosphorylation at serines 3, 138, 142, 211, 462, 463, 467, and 469; ▼ Acetylation at lysines 116, 219, 274, and 279; ▼ Sumoylation at lysine 346. Diamonds (♦) point out leucine residues 298, 305, 312, and 319 important for the leucine zipper domain function. Note that the N-terminal sequences of some of the proteins analyzed were omitted due to the figure size constraints.
This phosphorylation occurs through a substrate-specific mechanism (Napolitano et al., 2020). Phosphorylated TFEB and TFE3 are sequestered in the cytosol by binding to the chaperone-like protein 14-3-3 and remain inactive (Martina et al., 2012; Roczniak-Ferguson et al., 2012). Conversely, starvation results in Rag GTPases and mTORC1 inactivation, promoting TFEB and TFE3 dephosphorylation, and their dissociation from 14-3-3. This sequence of events promotes nuclear translocation and activation of TFEB and TFE3, leading to the upregulation of genes involved in lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy (Martina et al., 2012; Roczniak-Ferguson et al., 2012; Settembre et al., 2012). When the nutrients are replenished, TFEB nuclear export is modulated by mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation at serines 142 and 138; this phosphorylation is required for the recognition of TFEB nuclear export signal by chromosomal maintenance 1 (CRM1)/Exportin-1 (Napolitano et al., 2018). The silencing of xpo-1, the C. elegans ortholog of human Exportin-1, promotes the nuclear accumulation of HLH-30 in the worm (Silvestrini et al., 2018), suggesting that the nuclear exit control mechanism is conserved among species (Figure 3 and Table 1). A similar nutrient-dependent regulatory mechanism has been described for D. melanogaster, in which Mitf cytoplasmic retention/inactivation is mediated by TORC1 phosphorylation and subsequent 14-3-3 interaction. Mitf nuclear translocation/activation promotes the upregulation of all v-ATPase subunit genes, leading to the increase in the activity of this vacuolar ATP-dependent proton pump that negatively controls Mitf function (Zhang et al., 2015). Altogether, these observations highlight an evolutionarily conserved nutrient-responsive machinery dedicated to the control of MiT/TFE protein activation.

**FIGURE 3** | MiT/TFE activation is regulated in response to nutrient deprivation. Schematic representation of the mechanism of MiT/TFE transcription factor regulation by nutrient levels in the cell. In nutrient-rich condition, MiT/TFE proteins mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) are recruited to the lysosomal membrane through binding to active RagGTPases. Active mTORC1 phosphorylates MiT/TFE proteins at key residues that creates a binding site for the 14-3-3, which sequesters the transcription factors inactive in the cytosol. Under nutrient deprivation conditions, inactive RagGTPases lead to mTORC1 inactivation and MiT/TFE protein dissociation from 14-3-3 as a consequence of their dephosphorylation mediated by the calcium-dependent activation of calcineurin. Nuclear accumulation of MiT/TFE proteins mediates the activation of a transcriptional network that promotes autophagy, lysosomal biogenesis, and increased lysosomal degradation. Upon nutrient replenishment conditions, MiT/TFE proteins nuclear export is regulated by mTOR-dependent phosphorylation and binding to CRM1/Exportin-1. The question marks denote that there is no direct evidence available to support the indicated processes for some of the MiT/TFE family members. CRM1, chromosomal maintenance 1; NPC, nuclear pore complex; P, phosphorylation; Rheb, Ras homolog enriched in brain; v-ATPase, vacuolar-type H+–ATPase.
| Protein          | Functions                                                                 | Processes                                                                 | References                                                                                           |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **C. elegans**   |                                                                           |                                                                           |                                                                                                      |
| HLH-30           | Transcriptional response to oxidative, thermal, and proteotoxic stress and regulation of detoxifying enzyme expression. | Survival and life span extension.                                          | Kenyon, 2010; Leiser et al., 2015; Bennett et al., 2017; Kumsta et al., 2017; Lee and Mylonakis, 2017; Lin et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020 |
|                  | Upregulation of SKN-1.                                                    | Increase in mitochondrial biogenesis.                                      | Mansueto et al., 2017; Palkaras et al., 2017                                                        |
|                  | Regulation of lysosomal lipases and vitellogenins, response to glucose exposure. | Lipid mobilization and homeostasis, metabolic adaptation in response to food availability, cell death. | O’Rourke and Ruvkun, 2013; Harvald et al., 2017; Palikaras et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2019           |
|                  | Regulation of immune and auto-lysosomal gene upregulation, xenography.     | S. aureus and Gram-positive bacterial infection.                           | Visvikis et al., 2014; Najibi et al., 2016; Chen H. D. et al., 2017; El-Houjeiri et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019 |
|                  | Adult reproductive quiescence.                                            | Life span extension.                                                      | Gerisch et al., 2020                                                                                  |
|                  | Protein aggregate formation.                                              | Aging and neurodegeneration.                                               | Kim et al., 2016; Butler et al., 2019                                                               |
|                  | Upregulation of autophagy and lysosomal genes.                            |                                                                          |                                                                                                      |
| **D. melanogaster** |                                                                          |                                                                           |                                                                                                      |
| Mitf             | Autophagosome biogenesis and endo-lysosomal compartment.                  | Wing disk tissue formation.                                               | Tognon et al., 2016                                                                                   |
|                  | Activation of autophagy and increase in lysosomal activity.               | Decrease in lipid droplet size.                                           | Bouche et al., 2016                                                                                   |
|                  | Upregulation by lysosomal dysfunction and autophagy block.                | Compensatory mechanism in a Gaucher disease model.                        | Kinghorn et al., 2016                                                                                |
| **D. rerio**     |                                                                           |                                                                           |                                                                                                      |
| Tfeb             | Notochord vacuole formation.                                              | Vertebra development.                                                     | Ellis et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2020                                                                 |
|                  | Expansion of lysosomal compartment.                                       | Microlial cells.                                                          | Gan et al., 2019                                                                                      |
|                  | Repression of myelinization in oligodendrocytes.                         | Neurodegeneration.                                                        | Meireles et al., 2018                                                                                |
| **Mammals**      |                                                                           |                                                                           |                                                                                                      |
| TFEB/TFE3        | Upregulation of genes involved in lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy.     | Nutrient deprivation.                                                     | Sardiello and Ballabio, 2009; Sardiello et al., 2009; Settembre et al., 2011, 2012; Martina et al., 2012, 2014b; Roczniak-Ferguson et al., 2012; Martina and Puertollano, 2013; Medina et al., 2015 |
|                  | TFEB inactivation by CRM1/Exportin-1.                                     | Nutrient replenishment.                                                   | Napolitano et al., 2018                                                                              |
|                  | Regulation at transcriptional level, autoregulatory-feedback loop and proteasomal degradation by STUB1. | Autoregulation.                                                           | Settembre et al., 2013; Ghosh et al., 2015; Sha et al., 2017                                       |
|                  | Upregulation of lysosomal, autophagy or apoptotic genes induced by oxidative stress, ER stress, mitochondrial dysfunction or genotoxic stress. mTORC1-dependent and -independent mechanism. | Response to different stress conditions.                                 | Medina et al., 2011; Palmieri et al., 2011; Settembre et al., 2011; Spampanato et al., 2013; Martina et al., 2014b, 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Fernandez-Mosquera et al., 2017; Leow et al., 2017; Brady et al., 2018a; Martina and Puertollano, 2018; Pisonero-Vaquero et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020 |
|                  | Induction of mitochondrial biogenesis and mitophagy.                      | Mitochondria biogenesis and quality control.                              | Settembre et al., 2013; Nezich et al., 2015; Salma et al., 2015; Mansueto et al., 2017; Erlich et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Contreras et al., 2020; Popov, 2020; Settembre et al., 2013; Emanuel et al., 2014; Pastore et al., 2017 |
|                  | Lipophagy and lipolysis induction. Obesity prevention and reversal.       | Lipid catabolism.                                                         |                                                                                                      |
|                  | Glycogen synthesis and hyperglycemia reduction.                           | Transcriptional control of the insulin pathway and glucose metabolism.    | Nakagawa et al., 2008; Iwasaki et al., 2012; Salma et al., 2015; Mansueto et al., 2017; Pastore et al., 2017 |
|                  | Adipose tissue regulation. Induction or reduction of WAT browning, autophagy and lipolysis. | Regulation of whole-body metabolism.                                      | Fujimoto et al., 2013; Wada et al., 2016; Chen L. et al., 2017; Evans et al., 2019; Huber et al., 2019 |
|                  | Upregulation of lysosomal genes, cytokines and inflammatory genes.        | Pathogenic infection and immune response.                                 | Visvikis et al., 2014; Campbell et al., 2015; Najbi et al., 2016; Quinet et al., 2018; Pastore et al., 2016; Nabar and Kehrl, 2017; Wu et al., 2017; Brady et al., 2018b; Singh et al., 2018; El-Houjeiri et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Izazqui, 2020 |
|                  | TFE3 gain-of-function induces developmental syndrome.                     | Development.                                                             | Villegas et al., 2019; Díaz et al., 2020                                                             |

(Continued)
Also, under starvation conditions, activation of the protein phosphatase calcineurin (induced by localized calcium release from lysosomes) results in TFEB dephosphorylation at critical serine residues, which regulates its nuclear localization and activity (Medina et al., 2015). In addition, TFEB and TFE3 themselves are controlled at the transcriptional level (Ghosh et al., 2015); for example, TFEB can regulate its own expression through a starvation-induced autoregulatory-feedback loop (Settembre et al., 2013). Yet another mechanism of TFEB regulation involves its proteasomal degradation through preferential targeting of inactive TFEB by STIP1 homology and U-box-containing protein 1 (STUB1), a chaperone-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase (Sha et al., 2017). Moreover, phosphorylation at key residues by kinases, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 (MAPK1), mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3 (MAP4K3), glycogen synthase kinase (GSK)3β, v-Akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog (AKT), and c-Abl (Settembre et al., 2011; Li et al., 2016; Palmieri et al., 2017; Hsu et al., 2018; Contreras et al., 2020), as well as other posttranslational modifications such as acetylation, SUMOylation, and oxidation (Miller et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019; Wang Y. et al., 2020) may also contribute to the regulation of TFEB and TFE3 activity in response to different stimuli.

### MIT/TFE Transcription Factors Are Activated in Response to Various Stress Conditions

As discussed in the previous section, MiT/TFE factors control cellular adaptation response to nutrient availability in an mTORC1-dependent manner; however, emerging evidence points to their broader role in transcriptional control of the processes caused by different stresses or physiological aging, in some cases, through a mechanism independent of mTORC1 activity (Figure 4 and Table 1).

In vitro experiments performed in mammalian cells have shown that both oxidative stress (induced by H₂O₂, chloramine T, and sodium arsenite) and ER stress due to the accumulation of unfolded proteins or perturbation of ER homeostasis (Martina et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016, 2020; Leow et al., 2017; Martina and Puertollano, 2018) promote nuclear translocation and activation of TFEB and TFE3 where they upregulate the expression of lysosomal and autophagy genes to counter detrimental effects (Zhang et al., 2016, 2020; Leow et al., 2017). However, in case of a severe and prolonged stress, TFEB and TFE3 may also regulate the expression of apoptotic genes (Martina et al., 2016).

An important outcome of these studies is the existence of multiple molecular mechanisms leading to MIT/TFE activation in addition to mTORC1-dependent regulation. These may include a more general action of calcineurin (Martina et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016), as well as a context-specific activity of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) and PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK)/splice X-box binding protein 1 (sXBP1), the proteins that are involved in oxidative stress response and unfolded stress response, respectively (Martina et al., 2016; Martina and Puertollano, 2018; Zhang et al., 2020). In addition, mitophagy induction results in MIT/TFE protein activation through a mechanism that requires the mitochondrial kinase PTEN-induced kinase (PINK), the E3 ubiquitin ligase parkin, and the autophagy proteins ATG9A and ATG5 (Nezich et al., 2015). TFEB and TFE3 are also activated in response to genotoxic stress through a p53 and mTORC1-dependent mechanism (Brady et al., 2018a), leading to cell cycle arrest or apoptosis depending on the severity of the DNA damage (see Cell Proliferation, Differentiation, and Tumorigenesis section).

The role of MiT/TFE proteins in the adaptation to stress also has been observed in invertebrates. In C. elegans, HLH-30 is activated by both oxidative and heat stress to promote a transcriptional response required for survival and life span extension (Lin et al., 2018). Under these conditions, the complex

---

**Table 1** Continued

| Protein | Functions | Processes | References |
|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| **Mammals** | | | |
| TFEB/TFE3 | Reduction of stem cell differentiation by induction of a quiescent state | Stem cell differentiation | Betschinger et al., 2013; Young et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2020; Kobayashi et al., 2019 |
| | Myelination repression | Neurodegeneration | Meireles et al., 2018 |
| | Regulation of cell cycle transition and proliferation | Cell cycle | Malumbres and Barbacid, 2009; Young et al., 2016; Doronzo et al., 2019; Kobayashi et al., 2019; Pastore et al., 2020; Pisonero-Vaquero et al., 2020; Yin et al., 2020 |
| | Involvement in pancreatic adenocarcinomas, renal carcinoma development, cellular migration, and tumorigenesis | Cancer | Levy et al., 2006; Kaufman et al., 2014; Giatromanolaki et al., 2015; Perera et al., 2015, 2019; Calcagni et al., 2016; Raben and Puertollano, 2016; Bretou et al., 2017; Di Malta et al., 2017; Sakamoto et al., 2018; Doronzo et al., 2019; Eichner et al., 2019; Wyle et al., 2019 |
| MITF | Regulation of expression of genes involved in eye differentiation, epidermis pigmented cells, and deafness | Development | Hallison et al., 2004; Curran et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Goding and Arnheiter, 2019 |

---

**Figure 4** and **Table 1** continued.
Various stress conditions activate MiT/TFE transcription factors. In C. elegans and mammalian cells, different internal and external stress conditions such as DNA damage, protein aggregate accumulation in endo-lysosomal compartments, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction lead to the activation of MiT/TFE transcription factors. In response to stress, these transcription factors upregulate the expression of a gene network involved in lysosomal function and autophagy flux to promote organismal survival. However, under severe stress conditions, MiT/TFE transcription factors may lead to the expression of apoptotic genes, promoting cell death.

transcriptional response of HLH-30 appears to be synergized with DAF-16 [the conserved forkhead transcription factor and the sole ortholog of human forkhead box O (FOXO)]. HLH-30 can physically interact with DAF-16 to form a complex in a context-dependent manner to co-regulate a combinatorial transcriptional response to oxidative stress and promote longevity, whereas heat stress response and developmental decisions can be regulated independently of each other (Kenyon, 2010; Lin et al., 2018).

In addition to external factors, stressful conditions may originate inside the cell. In this regard, it is worth reiterating that mitochondria represent the major source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. This is not only due to the activity of the mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes but also owing to the perturbation of mitochondrial morphology, which are caused by mutations in the proteins of mitochondrial network dynamics as well as metabolic enzymes. Indeed, activation of HLH-30 has been observed in C. elegans models characterized by the lack of transaldolase-1 (an enzyme of the pentose phosphate pathway) (Bennett et al., 2017) or fission and fusion proteins (Liu et al., 2020). Consequently, the expression of genes encoding autophagy/lysosomal proteins and detoxifying enzymes is enhanced, resulting in a pro-longevity effect (Bennett et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020).

Moreover, HLH-30 is activated in response to age- and stress-related accumulation of granulins in the endo-lysosomal compartment, leading to the impairment of lysosomal function (Butler et al., 2019). Also, in both C. elegans and mammalian cell models of aggregation-prone proteins, HLH-30 and TFEB activation are regulated by reduced glutathione and the activity of glutathione reductase (GSR-1) (Guerrero-Gomez et al., 2019).

Thus, MiT/TFE factors may be generally defined as vigilant sensors responsible for transcriptional rearrangements that allow cellular adaptation to internal and external stresses. The conservation of these processes across species underscores
the possibility of using different animal models to dissect the contribution of MiT/TFE transcription factors in a variety of diseases.

REGULATION OF THE BIOGENESIS OF LYSOSOMES, AUTOPHAGOSOMES, AND MITOCHONDRIA IN RESPONSE TO STRESS

During organelle biogenesis, new membrane-bound compartments are formed (Mullock and Luzio, 2005) through a process that is transcriptionally regulated in response to cellular needs and/or stress conditions (Dhaunsi, 2005; Martina et al., 2014a; Yang et al., 2018; Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020). The MiT/TFE transcription factors, and especially TFEB and TFE3, play key roles in this process (Sardiello and Ballabio, 2009; Sardiello et al., 2009; Settembre et al., 2011; Martina et al., 2014b) by upregulating the expression of hundreds of genes involved in autophagosome and lysosome formation and function in response to stress conditions (Sardiello et al., 2009; Palmieri et al., 2011; Settembre et al., 2011) (Figure 5 and Table 1).

The enhancement of lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy is needed for proper cellular response to stress. Indeed, activation of TFEB and TFE3, first described under nutrient deprivation (to provide energy), was also observed under other stress conditions, such as oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER, in these cases to rid the cells of aberrantly accumulated substrates and damaged organelles (Medina et al., 2011; Palmieri et al., 2011; Settembre et al., 2011; Spamanato et al., 2013; Martina et al., 2014b, 2016; Nezich et al., 2015; Fernandez-Mosquera et al., 2017; Martina and Puertollano, 2018).

Several studies in invertebrate models have demonstrated that these molecular mechanisms have been evolutionarily conserved. HLH-30 and Mitf, the single MiT/TFE family orthologs found in *C. elegans* and *D. melanogaster*, respectively, undergo regulatory processes similar to those described for TFEB and TFE3 in mammals, namely, their activation and translocation into the nucleus upon different stresses (e.g., starvation, heat stress, pathogen infection) to regulate the expression of genes involved in autophagy and lysosomal biogenesis (Lapierre et al., 2013; O’Rourke and Ruvkun, 2013; Settembre et al., 2013; Visvikis et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Bouche et al., 2016; Najibi et al., 2016; Tognon et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2018).

The control of autophagosome biogenesis and endo-lysosomal compartments is necessary not only for survival in the face of biological challenges but also for maintenance of homeostasis and development. For instance, Mitf is involved in the formation
of the wing disk tissue in flies (Tognon et al., 2016), while Tfeb in *Danio rerio* (zebrafish) promotes the generation of lysosome-related vacuoles in the notochord of zebrafish embryos, allowing for the proper morphogenesis of the vertebrae (Ellis et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2020) (see *Organism Development, Longevity, and Survival to Stress* section). Also, activation of TFEB and expansion of the lysosomal compartment were observed in microglial cells of zebrafish mutants, although physiological significance of this finding is not clear (Gan et al., 2019).

The elimination of malfunctioning organelles by autophagy and their replacement with newly generated ones is a process of great pathophysiological relevance. The mitochondrial quality control mechanism involves degradation of damaged mitochondria by the concerted action of PINK and parkin proteins, activation of members of the MiT/TFE family, and induction of mitophagy (Nezich et al., 2015). Besides their role in mitochondrial clearance, MiT/TFE transcription factors (as well as several others) regulate mitochondrial biogenesis in a complex process that requires the expression of ~1,000 proteins and leads to an increase in both mitochondrial mass and number (Popov, 2020). Mitochondrial biogenesis occurs in response to a variety of stimuli. TFEB and TFE3 regulate the expression of multiple mitochondrial genes under conditions of energy demand (such as, for example, acute exercise or nutrient deprivation) (Settembre et al., 2013; Salma et al., 2015; Erlich et al., 2018) or environmental insults (Kim et al., 2018), and they do so either by direct activation of the mitochondrial transcriptional program (Mansueto et al., 2017) or by modulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-1-alpha (PPARγ1-alpha), the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis (Settembre et al., 2013; Salma et al., 2015; Erlich et al., 2018).

Most studies on the involvement of MiT/TFE family in the regulation of mitochondrial biogenesis have been performed in mammalian models. However, the ability of HLH-30 to induce autophagy and lysosomal biogenesis in response to mitochondrial dysfunction (Bennett et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020) and to upregulate the expression of skinhead-1 [SKN-1; the nematode ortholog of the transcription factor nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF-2)], which in turn enhances mitochondrial biogenesis under stress conditions (Mansueto et al., 2017; Palikaras et al., 2017), suggests that the role of MiT/TFE proteins in the maintenance of mitochondrial homeostasis and organism adaptation to stress dates all the way back.

**MODULATION OF KEY METABOLIC PATHWAYS IN RESPONSE TO ENERGY NEEDS**

In response to environmental challenges, such as food deprivation, multicellular organisms adapt their metabolic signaling pathways to convey the energy needs to survive. The transcriptional regulation of these pathways is fundamental for the sustained response to stress, and the dysfunction of these fine-tuned processes entails dire consequences. In humans, alterations in energy homeostasis and metabolic imbalances are the cause of several disorders such as diabetes, obesity, and metabolic syndrome (Ghanemi et al., 2018). A growing body of evidence has positioned the MiT/TFE proteins as critical regulators of cellular energy state and metabolism (Figure 6 and Table 1).

These proteins appear to play important and context-specific roles in the liver, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue. In the liver of fasted mice, TFEB is required for lipid catabolism, which is upregulated through PGC1-α and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-1-alpha (PPAR-α), both master regulators of lipid metabolism in this tissue (Settembre et al., 2013). TFEB upregulates the expression of lysosomal acid lipase, the enzyme responsible for the hydrolysis of cholesteryl esters and triglycerides in lysosomes (Settembre et al., 2013; Emanuel et al., 2014). Remarkably, the increase in TFEB activity in the liver can prevent and even reverse obesity, a component of the metabolic syndrome in humans (Settembre et al., 2013).

Analysis of TFE3 provided further insights into the role of MiT/TFE transcription factors in the regulation of energy state and metabolism. TFE3 was shown to transcriptionally control insulin signaling pathway in mouse liver, mainly through the insulin receptor substrate 2 (IRS2)/phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT axis. Consequently, TFE3 overexpression improved glucose metabolism by promoting glycogen synthesis and reducing hyperglycemia in diabetic mice (Nakagawa et al., 2006).

The action of insulin is relevant not only in the liver but also in other tissues, such as skeletal muscle, where TFEB and TFE3 are required for the upregulation of genes involved in insulin signaling and glucose metabolism in animals subjected to physical exercise. Interestingly, the molecular mechanisms underlying the activities of these two transcription factors do not always match, as indicated, for example, by a PGC1-α-dependent and -independent action of TFE3 and TFE3, respectively (Iwasaki et al., 2012; Salma et al., 2015; Mansueto et al., 2017). Furthermore, TFE3 cooperatively participates with TFE3 in the regulation of glucose and lipid homeostasis in the adaptive response to physical stress (Pastore et al., 2017).

For a long time, adipose tissue has been defined as a passive fat reservoir. Instead, it is now believed to be critical in the regulation of the whole-body energy metabolism, with the white adipose tissue (WAT) providing lipid substrates to generate energy and the brown adipose tissue (BAT) playing a role in thermogenesis by utilizing the degraded lipids from WAT to stimulate mitochondrial β-oxidation (Kahn et al., 2019). In adipose tissue, TFEB activity appears to have a health-promoting effect. Its activation is required to promote autophagy and lipolysis as a part of an acetyl-coenzyme A sensing mechanism (Huber et al., 2019). TFE3 overexpression was shown to protect animals from diet-induced obesity by upregulating genes that improve metabolic rate, reduce adiposity, and induce white fat browning and cold tolerance (Evans et al., 2019). The role of TFE3 in adipose tissue is still not fully understood. Its overexpression in WAT of fasted animals downregulates genes involved in lipolysis resulting in the inhibition of fatty acid supply to BAT, and thus reducing thermogenesis (Fujimoto et al., 2013). On the other hand, the adipocyte-specific deletion of folliculin (FLCN) attenuates TFE3 inhibition by mTORC1, leading to the induction
of the transcriptional coactivator PGC-1β and upregulation of genes that participate in WAT browning (Wada et al., 2016).

Energy metabolism is also regulated by hormonal signaling. In particular, the fasting-induced hormone fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21), a master regulator of energy homeostasis during nutritional stress, promotes lipolysis, fatty acid oxidation, and gluconeogenesis (Kharitonenkov et al., 2005). Under nutrient deprivation, TFEB is activated by FGF21, leading to the upregulation of genes involved in lysosomal biogenesis, autophagy, and lipid metabolism in the liver, skeletal muscle, and adipose tissue. These findings signify a coordinated and interorgan action of MiT/TFE transcription factors in addition to their organ-specific activity (Chen L. et al., 2017).

The cardinal role of TFEB and TFE3 in the regulation of metabolic pathways is conserved through evolution, as demonstrated by several studies performed in C. elegans. HLH-30 upregulates the expression of autophagic genes and lysosomal lipases to promote lipid mobilization; HLH-30 also controls the expression of vitellogenins (lipoproteins involved in lipid homeostasis) and prevents the accumulation of ectopic fat in response to fasting (O’Rourke and Ruvkun, 2013; Harvald et al., 2017; Palikaras et al., 2017). Accordingly, multi-omics analyses revealed that the functional loss of HLH-30 causes a profound alteration in metabolic pathways, particularly in lipoprotein metabolism in fasted animals (Harvald et al., 2017). HLH-30 mutant worms display a significant decrease in life span under nutrient deprivation conditions, further confirming the relevance of MiT/TFE transcription factor(s) in the metabolic adaptation response to food availability and survival (O’Rourke and Ruvkun, 2013; Settembre et al., 2013). In addition, the overexpression of Mif in D. melanogaster resulted in a decrease in lipid droplets’ size in response to nutrient deprivation (Bouche et al., 2016). Overall, the current data position TFEB-TFE3-HLH-30-Mif as pivotal master regulators of lipid and energy metabolisms.
with an important contribution to cell survival in response to environmental insults across species. Although this field is rapidly expanding, many questions still remain unresolved.

REGULATION OF IMMUNE RESPONSE AGAINST PATHOGEN INFECTION

The immune response is a central component of an effective defense mechanism that is tightly controlled during infection or injury, and its dysregulation may lead to pathological conditions, such as autoimmune diseases and oncogenesis, characterized by different inflammation states.

The relationship between the lysosome–autophagy pathway and the immune system is now well-established. Several studies have shown the involvement of MiT/TFE transcription factors in the control of innate immunity and inflammation and in the mechanism of host defense against pathogenic infections from simple metazoans to mammals (Figure 7 and Table 1). The important role of MiT/TFE factors in pathogen response was first demonstrated in C. elegans. Upon recognition of extracellular bacteria Staphylococcus aureus, HLH-30 activates and translocates into the nucleus to modulate the expression of genes involved in immune and lysosome–autophagy signaling (Visvikis et al., 2014). Furthermore, an in vivo reverse genetic screening in C. elegans revealed that D kinases family 1 (DKF-1), the ortholog of mammalian protein kinase D (PKD), is responsible for the activation of HLH-30 in response to pathogen infection (Najibi et al., 2016). A similar mechanism was described in mice, where a phospholipase C (PLC)–PKD axis and protein kinase C-alpha (PKC-α) activity along with TFEB activation in murine macrophages are required after pathogenic infection (Najibi et al., 2016), suggesting that this response is evolutionarily conserved.

In addition to S. aureus, the activation of HLH-30 and autophagy occur in animals fed on Gram-positive bacterial
pore-forming toxins, known to be involved in xenophagy and membrane repair program in C. elegans intestinal cells (Chen H. D. et al., 2017). Taken together, these findings demonstrate the link between HLH-30-mediated autophagy and host defense system and suggest that the HLH-30-mediated response to infection is not pathogen-specific. These observations are further supported by studies showing that mammalian TFEB and TF3 can be activated in macrophage cell lines by several Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands (Visvikis et al., 2014; Pastore et al., 2016; Brady et al., 2018b) and upon exposure to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Li et al., 2019), leading to the transcriptional control of cytokines, chemokines, and other inflammatory genes, in addition to the upregulation of lysosomal genes (Visvikis et al., 2014; Pastore et al., 2016; Brady et al., 2018b; El-Houjeiri et al., 2019). Also, recent studies uncovered a regulatory mechanism involving the tumor suppressor protein FLCN, which confers resistance to various stress conditions via AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) regulation both in C. elegans and mammalian models (El-Houjeiri et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). These data underscore the importance of the FLCN–TFEB/TF3 pathway in the cellular immune response and pathogen resistance and highlight the evolutionary conservation of these processes.

The important role of TFEB in immune response is further emphasized by the observation that several pathogens modulate TFEB activity for their own benefit. This is the case of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which downregulates the expression of TFEB and its target genes through upregulation of two microRNAs (Mir33 and Mir33*) and inhibition of AMPK (Ouimet et al., 2016).

The immune system is essential for building up protective response not only against bacteria but also against viral infections. In this regard, several studies have proposed TFEB as a critical regulator of antiviral response in mammals. Transient activation of TFEB and autophagy was reported upon initial exposure of human macrophages to HIV infection through a mechanism involving TLR8 and Beclin-1. However, during later stages of viral replication, the interaction between HIV protein, Nef, and Beclin-1 promotes TFEB phosphorylation and inactivation, thus inhibiting autophagy (Campbell et al., 2015). In addition, influenza virus infection regulates phagocytosis by inactivating TFEB and AKT through the interferon (IFN)γ pathway (Wu et al., 2017). In contrast, an IFNγ–heme oxygenase 1 (HMOX1)-dependent mechanism of TFEB activation in murine RAW264.7 cells has been recently reported (Singh et al., 2018). These data indicate that the antiviral functions of MiT/TFE proteins are not straightforward.

The role of MiT/TFE proteins in modulating immune response to pathogen infection is in part linked to their ability to regulate the lysosome–autophagy pathway, and the mechanisms governing these processes seem evolutionarily conserved from lower metazoans to mammals. We refer the readers to several recent comprehensive reviews for additional discussion on the role of the MiT/TFE of transcription factors in innate immunity and inflammation (Nabar and Kehrl, 2017; Brady et al., 2018b; Irazoqui, 2020).

**ORGANISM DEVELOPMENT, LONGEVITY, AND SURVIVAL TO STRESS**

The development of genetic tools and their applications to animal models revealed that MiT/TFE transcription factors are involved in the control of growth and development, aging, and death (Figure 8 and Table 1). In particular, simple organisms like the C. elegans nematode worm represent a powerful model to study the role of MiT/TFE proteins in longevity.

Several studies in this organism showed that HLH-30 and the upregulation of its target genes involved in autophagy and lysosomal activity are required in many longevity models (e.g., tor, eat-2, daf-2, clk, rks-1, and glp-1, mitochondrial mutants) (Lapierre et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2020). Interestingly, “fine-tuning” in these models is achieved by upregulating distinct autophagic genes in different mutants, indicating a pivotal role of HLH-30 in longevity (Lapierre et al., 2013). Accordingly, the inhibition of the nuclear export machinery, which enhances HLH-30 activity and autophagy, promotes life span extension in C. elegans (Silvestrini et al., 2018). In addition, upregulation of HLH-30-mediated autophagy makes worms more resistant to thermal and proteotoxic stress (Kumsta et al., 2017), whereas the HLH-30-dependent upregulation of the lysosomal acid lipase-2 (Lipl-2) allows C. elegans larvae to survive under starvation.
conditions. Notably, Lipl-2 is not only critical for providing energy but also for the generation of signaling molecules, thus coupling the lysosomal nutrient sensing system to TOR reactivation and TOR-mediated growth after refeeding (Murphy et al., 2019). Of note, the effect of MiT/TFE proteins on the life span is synergized by the association with DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor in a stimulus-dependent manner (Liu et al., 2018) and by a mutual regulation with the Myc and Mondo-Like (MML)1/Max-Like (MXL)2/MondoA/B transcriptional complex under germline precursors removal and starvation (Nakamura et al., 2016). All these observations underscore the important role of the MiT/TFE proteins in the modulation of the longevity pathways.

In addition to the autophagy–lysosome functions, other gene networks may be modulated by HLH-30 to control the life span. It has been recently demonstrated that HLH-30 regulates life span extension in worms that have undergone adult reproductive quiescence induced by fasting. This process drives the upregulation of genes involved in TOR signaling, mitochondrial dynamics, energy sensing, and an extended HLH network of transcriptional regulators such as MLX-2 and MLX-3. Furthermore, HLH-30 totally accounts for this process, as suggested by the 90% mortality rate observed in HLH-30 mutants under this condition (Gerisch et al., 2020).

HLH-30 also contributes to longevity and survival through the brain–gut crosstalk, resulting in the higher expression of the detoxifying enzyme flavin-containing monooxygenase (FMO)-2 (Leiser et al., 2015; Bennett et al., 2017) or ins-11, a neuropeptide that represses the aversion to pathogenic food (Lee and Mylonakis, 2017). Notably, depending on the cellular context, HLH-30 may also induce cell death and shorten the life span, as was demonstrated in C. elegans exposed to a high-glucose diet (Franco-Juarez et al., 2018). Although confirming this dual role in higher animals is a challenging task, the identification of genetic counterparts and experiments in mammalian cells suggested that these mechanisms might be conserved (Lapierre et al., 2013; Leiser et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018; Silvestrini et al., 2018).

The members of the MiT/TFE family are involved in regulating organism development. Tfe is shown to facilitate proper vertebra formation by promoting the biogenesis of lysosome-related vacuoles during embryogenesis in the zebrafish notochord. The upstream molecular mechanism involves dstyk-mediated inhibition of mTOR, resulting in the activation of zebrafish Tfe. In fact, dstyk mutants show a severe scoliosis phenotype that may be partially rescued by the administration of the selective mTOR inhibitor, Torin-1 (Sun et al., 2020). The direct role of TFEB in vertebra formation has not been confirmed in mammals because of the embryonic lethality (caused by alterations in placental vascularization) of TFEB knockout (KO) mice (Steingrimsson et al., 1998). However, excessive activation of miTORC1 signaling in chondrocytes was shown to result in congenital deformity of the spinal cord in mice (Yang et al., 2017). Notably, scoliosis phenotypes can be partially rescued by the miTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin (Yang et al., 2017).

In mice, MITF-regulated genes were shown to be involved in the differentiation of the eye and epidermis pigmented cells (Hallsson et al., 2004; Curran et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010), the molecular mechanisms of these processes are extensively discussed (Hallsson et al., 2004; Goding and Arnheiter, 2019).

MiT/TFE proteins also play an important role in human development. A large body of evidence indicates that germline mutations in human MITF gene are mainly associated with pigmentation abnormalities and deafness (Goding and Arnheiter, 2019). Furthermore, recent works show that some gain-of-function TFE3 mutant alleles, which arise by amino acid substitutions affecting the Rag binding region of TFE3, are associated in humans with the X-linked dominant developmental syndrome with clinical manifestation of severe intellectual disability, coarse facial features, and Blaschkoid pigimentary mosaicism (Villegas et al., 2019; Diaz et al., 2020). In addition, embryonic stem cell transition to differentiated states is negatively regulated by TFE3 activation, which is controlled by the FLCN/folliculin-interacting protein (Fnip)1/Fnip2 complex (Betschinger et al., 2013). Furthermore, TFE3 activation is responsible for the conversion of primed human pluripotent stem cells to the naive state when mTOR is transiently inhibited (Hu et al., 2020). These findings suggest a role for TFE3 as a repressor of the genes required for the fate specification of pluripotent embryonic stem cells, a process that is prevented by pro-differentiation signaling pathway regulated by FLCN and a non-canonical RagGTPases mechanism during normal development. The role of MiT/TFE transcription factors during development may, however, be more subtle as shown in D. melanogaster (Tognon et al., 2016). The fly Mitf indirectly modulates endosomal network and function (to support Notch signaling in the wing imaginal disk) through the regulation of the expression of specific V-ATPase pump subunits (Tognon et al., 2016).

Living organisms are continually exposed to a vast variety of stresses across their life span. The defense mechanisms and cellular processes underlying normal development promote healthy aging, limiting the occurrence of cognitive decline (Labbadia et al., 2017; El Assar et al., 2020). As such, neurodegeneration, a progressive age-related disease, is mainly associated with the accumulation of altered proteins and organelles (Lim and Yue, 2015). Lysosomal dysfunction, caused by the buildup of neurotoxic proteins or undigested materials, has been linked to neurodegenerative diseases (Kinghorn et al., 2016; Butler et al., 2019). Studies ranging from worms to mammals have demonstrated that the maintenance of autophagy and lysosome integrity by MiT/TFE target genes represents a universal mechanism to address age-related neuronal decline and to fight noxious factors (Kim et al., 2016; Butler et al., 2019). For example, improved lysosomal homeostasis by HLH-30 overexpression in worms slows down age-dependent SNCA/α-synuclein aggregate formation and transmission in neurons and pharynx muscle (Kim et al., 2016).

On the other hand, the compensatory upregulation of fly Mitf expression, induced by lysosomal dysfunction and autophagy block in the brain of a D. melanogaster model of Gaucher disease (GD), was unable to overcome the autophagy impairment (Kinghorn et al., 2016). Likewise, the ectopic expression of TFEB in induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neuronal cells...
from patients with GD was unable to restore the lysosomal function and clear the autophagosome accumulation (Awad et al., 2015). These data should be a cautionary note indicating that the functions of MiT/TFE proteins depend on the cellular context. 

In higher organisms, the importance of MiT/TFE proteins in neurodegeneration goes beyond their lysosome-sustaining function and reflects a broader regulation capability of these transcription factors. Indeed, Tfeb has been shown to repress myelination of oligodendrocytes in rraga and lamtor4 zebrafish embryo mutants (Meireles et al., 2018). Consistent with this, a higher amount of myelin basic protein (MBP) was found in Tfeb KO fish, and Tfeb overexpression reduced MBP mRNA levels in zebrafish central nervous system (CNS) (Meireles et al., 2018). The repressive role of TFEB also has been confirmed in a model of remyelination after the injection of a demyelinating agent in the mouse brain (Meireles et al., 2018). Of note, unexpected higher levels of cytosol localized inactive TFEB were found in this model after injury (Meireles et al., 2018). These observations suggest an important role of MiT/TFE transcription factors in the regulation of myelination in the CNS.

In summary, there is a progressive physiologic decline with aging, mainly affecting cognitive function, a process that may be exacerbated by external adverse conditions. As master regulators of cellular pathways involved in response to stress, MiT/TFE transcription factors are attractive targets for future studies aimed at the development of effective antiaging strategies. Given the functional conservation of these proteins across species, the use of different animal models would be fully warranted.

**CELL PROLIFERATION, DIFFERENTIATION, AND TUMORIGENESIS**

Recent studies have shown that TFEB and TFE3 modulate the expression of genes involved in the cell cycle and apoptosis in response to genotoxic stress, suggesting that these transcription factors may trigger and coordinate cellular death pathways to prevent the spread of mutations to daughter cells (Brady et al., 2018a; Pisonero-Vaquero et al., 2020) (Figure 9 and Table 1). In particular, TFEB and TFE3 are activated by DNA-damaging agents, such as etoposide (Brady et al., 2018a) and doxorubicin (Pisonero-Vaquero et al., 2020), and participate in the DNA damage response through direct regulation, both at
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the transcriptional and protein levels of p53 and p21 proteins, known to be involved in cell cycle regulation and progression, and apoptosis. Of note, although TFEB and TFE3 seem to have a proapoptotic action upon treatment with etoposide (Brady et al., 2018a), the overexpression of TFEB in cancer cells in the presence or absence of genotoxic agents appears to promote cell survival (Pisonero-Vaquero et al., 2020). These findings suggest that the role of MiT/TFE transcription factors may vary depending on the nature of the DNA damage and cell context. Further investigation is required to better assess these observations.

The ability of MiT/TFE transcription factors, in particular TFEB, to regulate cell cycle and proliferation has recently been confirmed in vivo in a more physiological context. TFEB is involved in the regulation of the late phases of mouse embryonic development, particularly in G1-S cell cycle transition through the direct modulation of cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)4 expression (Doronzo et al., 2019). Phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein by CDK4 leads to the release and activation of E2F, which in turn stimulates the expression of genes involved in the G1-S transition (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2009). Consequently, TFEB silencing in endothelial cells causes an accumulation of cells in G1 phase and a reduction of their proliferation rate (Doronzo et al., 2019). In addition, CDK4/6 interacts with and phosphorylates TFEB/TFE3 in the nucleus of cells in G1 phase promoting TFEB/TFE3 inactivation and export to the cytoplasm. Conversely, low CDK4/6 activity during S–M phases results in TFEB and TFE3 activation and induction of lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy (Yin et al., 2020).

Furthermore, TFEB has a profound impact on the fate of hepatoblasts, being responsible for the increased proliferation rate of liver bi-potent stem cells and their shift toward a cholangiocyte-like phenotype during embryogenesis or liver damage and repair. SOX-box transcription factor 9 (SOX9), which is transcriptionally regulated by TFEB, is implicated in this process; SOX9 overexpression upregulates the expression of biliary genes while repressing the hepatocyte pathways. It is important to note that the impairment of these biological processes may lead to cholangiocarcinoma (Pastore et al., 2020).

TFEB has also been shown to indirectly block cell proliferation by driving a more conventional transcriptional program, namely, regulation of lysosomal activity. Sustaining lysosomal proteolysis, TFEB controls the quiescent state of the neural stem cells in specific areas of adult mouse brain through the reduction in the levels of epidermal growth factor receptor and Notch signaling (Kobayashi et al., 2019). Therefore, pro-proliferative inputs are blocked, and neuronal stem cells maintain a quiescent state. In addition, the proportion of newly differentiated neurons to neuronal stem cells was significantly decreased in TFEB conditional KO mice, suggesting that TFEB may be required for neuronal differentiation (Kobayashi et al., 2019). Moreover, experiments performed in embryonic stem cells have demonstrated that TFEB has a role in endoderm specification, promoting the endo-lysosomal sequestration of GSK3β, thus allowing newly synthesized β-catenin to migrate to the nucleus. Thus, the expression of genes involved in tissue specification is regulated by the activation of TFEB through the AMPK-mediated inhibition of mTORC1 (Young et al., 2016). Then, perhaps not surprisingly, embryoid bodies generated from AMPK-depleted cells largely phenocopy aberrant tissue specifications observed in embryoid bodies derived from embryonic stem cells lacking TFEB. Furthermore, TFEB overexpression in AMPK KO embryonic stem cells largely rescues the developmental defects (Young et al., 2016).

Altogether, these findings underscore the importance of MiT/TFE transcription factors in regulating quiescent states and determining cell fate specification in both early and late development. In this regard, it is intriguing that HLH-30 has been recently defined as a master regulator of adult reproductive diapause in C. elegans, a state somewhat analogous to cell quiescence in that the condition is reversible and protective against adverse circumstances (Yao, 2014; Ahmad and Amiji, 2017; Gerisch et al., 2020).

The fine orchestration of cell cycle and cell differentiation is essential to avoid a non-physiological and excessive proliferation that may result in several pathological conditions including neoplastic disorders (Zhivotovsky and Orrenius, 2010). Indeed, several studies have linked MiT/TFE transcription factors to cancer. For instance, MITF gene is known to be involved in human melanomas, as indicated by the genetic amplification of MITF locus in most tumors and gene mutations in some (Goding and Arnheiter, 2019). A subset of pancreatic adenocarcinomas are characterized by a high expression of MITF, TFE3, and TFEB genes (Levy et al., 2006; Kauffman et al., 2014; Perera et al., 2015, 2019; Raben and Puertollano, 2016), whereas some renal cell carcinomas and alveolar soft sarcomas show chromosomal translocation of TFEB and TFE3 genes, leading to the formation of chimeric proteins or fusion of their coding regions with strong regulatory elements of unrelated genes resulting in the upregulation of the MiT/TFE gene network (Kuiper et al., 2003; Xie et al., 2019). These observations indicate that uncontrolled upregulation of the MiT/TFE target genes is linked to malignancy, but the molecular mechanisms underlying the oncogenic effects of the MiT/TFE proteins are far from being fully understood. This is particularly true in cases where a chromosomal translocation is involved (Raben and Puertollano, 2016; Perera et al., 2019).

It is known that the activation of autophagy provides energy to malignant cells. AMPK-dependent activation of TFE3 and TFEB was shown to sustain the proliferation and survival of murine lung adenocarcinoma cells in response to glucose deprivation (Eichner et al., 2019). These data fit nicely with the concept stipulating that malignant cells exploit autophagy to provide energy (Perera et al., 2015). In addition, the abovementioned role of MiT/TFE transcription factors in the cell cycle may also contribute to tumorigenesis. Likewise, the pro-proliferative activity of TFEB in endothelial cells, important for the formation of the vascular system during development, might drive tumor angiogenesis (Doronzo et al., 2019). Moreover, TFEB was shown to regulate quiescent states (Kobayashi et al., 2019; Gerisch et al., 2020), and several tumors rely on low-growing and stem-like cells to survive cancer treatments (Chen H. D. et al., 2017). In addition, a feedback loop has been proposed in which overexpression of TFB3, TFE3, or MITF upregulates RagD GTPase resulting in hyperactivation of mTORC1, induction...
of cellular proliferation, and cancer growth (Di Malta et al., 2017). Also, kidney-specific overexpression of TFEB in mice, which recapitulates the pathology observed in human kidney tumors, results in the dysregulation of the Wnt signaling pathway (Calcagni et al., 2016).

Metastases, the primary cause of cancer morbidity and mortality, are a common feature of different types of malignant tumors. They are the result of the migration of cancer cells from the original site of a primary tumor to colonize other tissues or organs (Seyfried and Huysentruyt, 2013). Interestingly, reduced migratory and invasive phenotype of lung cancer cell lines and oral squamous cell carcinomas have been associated with TFEB depletion (Giatromanolaki et al., 2015; Sakamoto et al., 2018), suggesting that the MiT/TFE proteins may regulate cellular migration.

The degradative activity of lysosomes may be required for metastatic processes. During lysosomal exocytosis, hydrolytic enzymes are released into the extracellular space where they digest extracellular matrix and disrupt cell–cell contact sites, delineating “anatomical routes” for the invading cancer cells (Kallunki et al., 2013; Davidson and Vander Heiden, 2017; Morgan et al., 2018). Recently, the lysosomal protein TMEM106B has been described as a metastasis-promoting factor (Kundu et al., 2018). TMEM106B pro-invasive effect is associated with TFEB activation and concomitant upregulation of lysosomal enzymes such as cathepsins. The accumulation of cathepsins inside the lysosomal lumen leads to Ca²⁺-mediated lysosomal exocytosis in lung cancer cells resulting in the release of proteases into the extracellular space. Treatment with cathepsin inhibitors is an efficient antitumoral therapeutic approach (Kundu et al., 2018), and Cathepsin D levels were shown to correlate with poor prognosis in non-small-cell lung cancer (Giatromanolaki et al., 2015). A pro-migration function of TFEB has also been reported in LPS-activated dendritic cells (Bretou et al., 2017; Irazoqui, 2020). Notably, dendritic cells may exert a dual role during tumorigenesis promoting either antitumor immune response or cancer growth (Wylie et al., 2019).

The dysregulation of these biological events may lead to malignant transformation and, as mentioned above, MiT/TFE genes have been linked to several tumors. A better characterization of the underlying molecular pathways is critical for the development of effective therapies. Mammals may be preferred over other models to monitor cell progression and growth. Nonetheless, tumorigenesis involves multiple steps, such as metabolic rearrangement and cell migration. Therefore, lower species such as C. elegans and D. rerio, where similar processes are controlled by MiT/TFE transcription factors, may be selected for their easy genetic manipulation and body transparency as well as for their utility in high-throughput drug screenings.

CONCLUSION

The MiT/TFE family comprises a defined group of transcription factors that were discovered approximately three decades ago. However, the function of some of the members in the adaptation response to stress became evident only recently. The global transcriptional control of the MiT/TFE proteins in the organismal adaptation to stressful conditions positions them as prime master regulators. Indeed, how these transcription factors sense and integrate the distress signals to counter fasting, disruption of energy homeostasis, alteration in lipid and glucose metabolisms, pathogen infection, oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, aging-related conditions, and tumorigenesis is a subject of intense ongoing research.

Through the transcriptional regulation of the expression of hundreds of genes involved in different cellular pathways, the MiT/TFE transcription factors chiefly master the response to a variety of stressors and physiological challenges. These proteins could transcriptionally amplify pro-life genes promoting life span extension or lead to apoptosis and cell death under chronic stress. Many of the critical regulatory roles ascribed to the MiT/TFE family are evolutionarily conserved. There is a remarkable basal mechanistic conservation across species down to lower metazoans, in which the presence of a single MiT/TFE transcription factor indicates that the adaptation to environmental stresses occurred early during evolution.

Studies in different animal models have undoubtedly contributed to the current understanding of the signaling pathways regulated by MiT/TFE proteins and demonstrated a significant functional conservation across species. Information gained from these studies will stimulate the development of new therapies for the treatment of several human diseases, including metabolic syndrome, lysosomal storage disorders, neurodegeneration, and cancer.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ML, PSC, AR, NKM, EJ, and JAM collected and analyzed the data. ML, PSC, AR, and JAM prepared the figures. JAM selected the subject material and literature and shared in the writing. ML, PSC, AR, and JAM wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nina Raben and Rosa Puertollano for their critical reading of the manuscript and for their comments.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2020.609683/full#supplementary-material
REFERENCES

Ahmad, G., and Amiji, M. M. (2017). Cancer stem cell-targeted therapeutics and delivery strategies. Expert. Opin. Drug Deliv. 14, 997–1008. doi: 10.1080/17425247.2017.1363615

Awood, O., Sarkar, C., Panicker, L. M., Miller, D., Zeng, X., Sambato, J. A., et al. (2015). Altered TFEB-mediated lysosomal biogenesis in Gaucher disease iPSC-derived neuronal cells. Hum. Mol. Genet. 24, 5775–5788. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddv297

Bahrani, A., Bianconi, V., Pirro, M., Orafai, H. M., and Sahebkar, A. (2020). The role of TFE in tumor cell autophagy: diagnostic and therapeutic opportunities. Life Sci. 244:117341. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.11.7341

Ballabio, A., and Bonifacino, J. S. (2020). Lysosomes as dynamic regulators of cell and organismal homeostasis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 21, 101–118. doi: 10.1038/s41580-019-0185-4

Bennett, C. F., Kwon, J. J., Chen, C., Russell, J., Acosta, K., Burnaevskiy, N., et al. (2015). Altered TFEB-mediated lysosomal biogenesis in Gaucher disease iPSC-derived neuronal cells. Hum. Mol. Genet. 24, 5775–5788. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddv297

Betschinger, J., Nichols, J., Dietmann, S., Corrin, P. D., Padison, P. J., and Smith, A. (2013). Exit from pluripotency is gated by intracellular redistribution of the BFHL transcription factor Th6. Cell 153, 335–347. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.012

Bouche, V., Espinosa, A. P., Leone, L., Sardiello, M., Ballabio, A., and Botas, J. (2016). Drosophila Mitf regulates the V-ATPase and the lysosomal-autophagic pathway. Autophagy 12, 484–498. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2015.1134081

Brady, O. A., Jeong, E., Martina, J. A., Pirooznia, M., Tunc, I., and Puertollano, R. (2018a). The transcription factors TFE3 and TFEB amplify p53 dependent transcriptional programs in response to DNA damage. Elife 7:e00856. doi: 10.7554/elife.00856

Brady, O. A., Martina, J. A., and Puertollano, R. (2018b). Emerging roles for TFEB in the immune response and inflammation. Autophagy 14, 181–189. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2017.1313943

Brets, M., Saet, P. J., Sanseau, D., Maurin, M., Lankar, D., Chabaud, M., et al. (2017). Lysosome signaling controls the migration of dendritic cells. Sci. Immunol. 2:eaa9573. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aak9573

Butler, V. J., Gao, F., Corrales, C. I., Caballero, B., Vohra, M., et al. (2019). Induction of lysosomal biogenesis in attherosclerotic macrophages can rescue lipid-induced lysosomal dysfunction and downstream sequelae. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 34, 1942–1952. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.303342

Erick, L. A., Brownlee, D. M., Beyfuss, K., and Hood, D. A. (2018). Exercise induces TFEB expression and activity in skeletal muscle in a PGC-1alpha-dependent manner. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 314, C62–C72. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00162.2017

Elsass, A., Angulo, J., and Rodriguez-Manas, L. (2020). Frailty as a phenotypic manifestation of underlying oxidative stress. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 149, 72–77. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.08.011

El-Houjeiri, L., Possik, E., Vijayaraghavan, T., Paquette, M., Martina, J. A., Kazan, J. M., et al. (2019). The transcription factors TFEB and TFE3 Link the FLCN-AMPK signaling axis to innate immune response and pathogen resistance. Cell Rep. 26, 3613.e3613–3628.e3613. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.02.102

Erlich, K., Bagwell, J., and Bagnat, M. (2013). Notochord vacuoles are lysosome-related organelles that function in axis and spine morphogenesis. J. Cell Biol. 200, 667–679. doi: 10.1083/jcb.20121098

Emanuel, R., Sergin, I., Bhattacharya, S., Turner, J., Epelman, S., Settembre, C., et al. (2018). Broken energy homeostasis in a lysosomal disorder. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 58, 335–347. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-020218-020045

Fernandez-Mosquera, L., Diogo, C. V., Yambire, K. F., Santos, G. L., Luna Sanchez, A., et al. (2019). The lysosomal activity. Cell Metab. 30, 5775–5788. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.04.023

Gan, L., Seki, A., Yoshikawa, M., et al. (2013). TFE3 controls lipid metabolism in adipose tissue of male mice in a cell-autonomous manner. EMBO J. 32:e17047. doi: 10.7554/eLife.17047

Gerisch, B., Tharyan, R. G., Mak, J., Denzel, S. I., Popkes-Van Oepen, T., Henn, N., et al. (2020). HLH-30/tfeb is a master regulator of reproductive quiescence. Dev. Cell 53, 316.e5–329.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.03.014

Ghanei, A., Yoshioaka, M., and St-Amand, J. (2018). Broken energy homeostasis and obesity pathogenesis: the surrounding concepts. J. Clin. Med. 7:453. doi: 10.3390/jcm7110453

Haltiwanger, C. J., La Spina et al. MiT/TFE Function Through Evolution of the zebrafish neural crest. Dev. Biol. 344, 107–118. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.023

Dall, K. B., and Faergeman, N. J. (2019). Metabolic regulation of lifespan from a C. elegans perspective. Genes Nutr. 14:25. doi: 10.1186/s12263-019-0650-x

Davidson, S. M., and Vander Heiden, M. G. (2017). Critical functions of the lysosome in cancer biology. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 57, 481–507. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010715-103101

Dhauansi, G. S. (2005). Molecular mechanisms of organellar biogenesis and related metabolic diseases. Med. Princ. Pract. 14(Suppl. 1), 49–57. doi: 10.1159/000086184

Di Malta, C., Siciliano, D., Calcagni, A., Monfregola, J., Punzi, S., Pastore, N., et al. (2017). Transcriptional activation of RagD GTase controls mTORC1 and promotes cancer growth. Science 356, 1188–1192. doi: 10.1126/science.aag2553

Diaz, J., Berger, S., and Leon, E. (2020). TFE3-associated neurodevelopmental disorder: a distinct recognizable syndrome. Am. J. Med. Genet. A. 182, 584–590. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.a.61437

Dronon, G., Astanina, E., Cora, D., Chiabotto, G., Comunanza, V., et al. (2019). TFE3 controls lipid metabolism in adipose tissue of male mice in a cell-autonomous manner. Endocrinology 160, 3577–3588.

Endocrinology 154, 3577–3588.
Kenyon, C. (2010). A pathway that links reproductive status to lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1204, 156–162. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05640.x

Kharitonov, A., Shiyanova, T. L., Koester, A., Ford, A. M., Micanovic, R., Galbreath, E. J., et al. (2005). FGF-21 as a novel metabolic regulator. *J. Clin. Invest.* 115, 1627–1635. doi: 10.1172/JCI26066

Kim, D. K., Lim, H. S., Kawasaki, I., Shim, Y. H., Vaikath, N. N., El-Agnaf, O. M., et al. (2016). Anti-aging treatments slow propagation of synucleinopathy by restoring lysosomal function. *Autophagy* 12, 1849–1863. doi: 10.1002/aut.21207014

Kim, H. J., Joe, Y., Rah, S. Y., Kim, S. K., Park, S. U., Park, J., et al. (2018). Carbon monoxide-induced TFEB nuclear translocation enhances mitophagy/mitochondrial biogenesis in hepatocytes and ameliorates inflammatory liver injury. *Cell Death. Dis.* 9:1060. doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-1112-x

Kinghorn, K. J., Gronke, S., Castillo-Quan, J. I., Woodling, N. S., Li, L., Sirka, E., et al. (2016). *Drosophila* model of neuroinopathic gaucher disease demonstrates lysosomal autophagic defects and altered mTOR signalling and is functionally rescued by rapamycin. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 11654–11670. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4527-15.2016

Kobayashi, T., Piao, W., Takamura, T., Kori, H., Miyachi, H., Kitano, S., et al. (2019). Enhanced lysosomal degradation maintains the quiescent state of neural stem cells. *Nat. Commun.* 10:5446. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12303-4

Kupfer, R. P., Scheepmans, M., Thijsen, J., Van Asseldonk, M., Van Den Berg, E., Bridge, J., et al. (2013). Upregulation of the transcription factor TFEB in t(6;11)(p21;q13)-positive renal cell carcinomas due to promoter substitution. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 22, 1661–1669. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddq178

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547–1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096

Kumsta, C., Chang, J. T., Schmalz, J., and Hansen, M. (2017). Hormetic heat stress and HSF1 induce autophagy to improve survival and proteostasis in *C. elegans*. *Nat. Commun.* 8:14337. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14337

Kundu, S. T., Grzeskowiak, C. L., Fradette, J. J., Gibson, L. A., Rodriguez, L. B., Creighton, C. J., et al. (2018). TMEM106B drives lung cancer metastasis by inducing TFEB-dependent lysosome synthesis and secretion of cathepsins. *Nat. Commun.* 9:2371. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05013-x

Kuo, C. J., Hansen, M., and Troemel, E. (2018). Autophagy and innate immunity: insights from invertebrate model organisms. *Autophagy* 14, 233–242. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2017.1389924

Labbadia, J., Briemlmann, R. M., Neto, M. F., Lin, Y. F., Haynes, C. M., and Morimoto, R. I. (2017). Mitochondrial stress restores the heat shock response and prevents proteostasis collapse during aging. *Cell Rep.* 21, 1481–1494. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.10.038

Lapiere, L. R., De Magalhaes Filho, C. D., Mcquary, P. R., Chu, C. C., Visvikis, O., Chang, J. T., et al. (2013). The TFEB orthologue HLH-30 regulates autophagy and modulates longevity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat. Commun.* 4:2267. doi: 10.1038/ncomms33267

Leclerc, J., Garandeau, D., Pandiani, C., Gaudel, C., Bille, K., Nottet, N., et al. (2019). Lysosomal acid ceramidase ASAH1 controls the transition between invasive and proliferative phenotype in melanoma cells. *Oncogene* 38, 1282–1295. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0500-0

Ledent, V., and Vervoort, M. (2001). The basic helix-loop-helix protein family: comparative genomics and phylogenetic analysis. *Genome Res.* 11, 754–770. doi: 10.1101/gr.177001

Lee, K., and Mylonakis, E. (2017). An intestine-derived neuropeptide controls avoidance behavior in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Cell Rep.* 20, 2501–2512. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.053

Leiser, S. F., Miller, H., Rossner, R., Fletcher, M., Leonard, A., Primitivo, M., et al. (2015). Cell nonautonomous activation of flavin-containing monooxygenase promotes longevity and health span. *Science* 350, 1375–1378. doi: 10.1126/science.aac9257

Leow, S. M., Chua, S. K., Venkatachalam, G., Shen, L., Luo, L., and Clement, M. V. (2017). Sub-lethal oxidative stress induces lysosome biogenesis via phosphorylation of TFEB. *Autophagy* 8, 1610–1619. doi: 10.1002/aut.14016

Levy, C., Khaled, M., and Fisher, D. E. (2006). MTIF master regulator of melanocyte development and melanoma oncogene. *Trends Mol. Med.* 12, 406–414. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2006.07.008

Li, J., Wada, S., Weaver, L. K., Biswas, C., Behrens, E. M., and Arany, Z. (2019). Myeloid Folliculin balances mTOR activation to maintain innate response.
Mullock, B., and Luzio, J. (2005). “Theory of organelle biogenesis: a historical perspective,” in The Biogenesis of Cellular Organelles, ed. C. Mullins (Boston, MA: Springer), 1–18. doi: 10.1007/0-387-268667-7_1

Murphy, J. T., Liu, H., Ma, X., Shaver, A., Egan, B. M., Oh, C., et al. (2019). Simple nutrients bypass the requirement for HLH-30 in coupling lysosomal nutrient sensing to survival. PLoS Biol. 17:e3000245. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000245

Nabar, N. R., and Kehrl, J. H. (2017). The transcription factor EB links cellular stress to the immune response. Yale J. Biol. Med. 90, 301–315.

Najibi, M., Labed, S. A., Visvikis, O., and Irazoqui, J. E. (2016). An evolutionarily conserved PLC-PKD-TFEB pathway for host defense. Cell Rep. 15, 1728–1742. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.04.052

Nakagawa, Y., Shimano, H., Yoshikawa, T., Ide, T., Tamura, M., Furuhashi, M., et al. (2006). TF3 transcriptionally activates hepatic IRS-2, participates in insulin signaling and ameliorates diabetes. Nat. Med. 12, 107–113. doi: 10.1038/nm1334

Nakamura, S., Karalay, O., Jager, P. S., Horikawa, M., Klein, C., Nakamura, K., et al. (2016). Mono complex regulates TFEB via TOR inhibition to promote longevity in response to gonadal signals. Nat. Commun. 7:10944. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10944

Napolitano, G., Di Malta, C., Esposito, A., De Araujo, M. E. G., Pece, S., Bertalot, G., et al. (2020). A substrate-specific mTORC1 pathway underlies Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Nature 585, 597–602. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2444-0

Napolitano, G., Esposito, A., Choi, H., Matarese, M., Benedetti, V., Di Malta, C., et al. (2018). mTOR-dependent phosphorylation controls TFEB nuclear export. Nat. Commun. 9:3312. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05862-6

Neizich, C. L., Wang, C., Fogel, A. L., and Yolue, R. J. (2015). MiT/TFE transcription factors are activated during mitophagy downstream of Parkin and Atg5. J. Cell Biol. 210, 435–450. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201501002

O’Rourke, E. J., and Ruvkin, G. (2013). MiX-3 and HLH-30 transcriptionally link lipolysis and autophagy to nutrient availability. Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 668–676. doi: 10.1038/ncc7241

Ouimet, M., Koster, S., Sakowski, E., Ramkhelawon, B., Van Solingen, C., Oldebeek, S., et al. (2016). Mycobacterium tuberculosis induces the miR-33 locus to reprogram autophagy and host lipid metabolism. Nat. Immunol. 17, 677–686. doi: 10.1038/ni.3344

Palkaras, K., Mari, M., Petanidou, B., Pasparaki, A., Filippidis, G., and Tavernarakis, N. (2017). Ecotopic fat deposition contributes to age-associated pathology in Caenorhabditis elegans. J. Lipid Res. 58, 72–80. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M069385

Palmeri, M., Impye, S., Kang, H., Di Ronza, A., Pelz, C., Sardiello, M., et al. (2011). Characterization of the CLEAR network reveals an integrated control of cellular clearance pathways. Hum. Mol. Genet. 20, 3852–3866. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddr306

Palmeri, M., Pal, R., Nelvagal, H. R., Loth, P., Stinnett, G. R., Seymour, M. L., et al. (2017). mTORC1-independent TFEB activation via Akt inhibition promotes cellular clearance in neurodegenerative storage diseases. Nat. Commun. 8:14338. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14338

Pastore, N., Brady, O. A., Diab, H. I., Martina, J. A., Sun, L., Huynh, T., et al. (2016). TFEB and TFE3 cooperate in the regulation of the innate immune response in activated macrophages. Autophagy 12, 1240–1258. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2016.1179405

Pastore, N., Huynh, T., Herz, N. J., Calcagni, A., Klish, T. J., Brunetti, L., et al. (2020). TFEB regulates murine liver cell fate during development and regeneration. Nat. Commun. 11:2461. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16300-x

Pastore, N., Vainshite, A., Klish, T. J., Armani, A., Huynh, T., Herz, N. J., et al. (2017). TFEB regulates whole-body energy metabolism in cooperation with the mTORC1-dependent LKB1-AMPK pathway. Autophagy 13, 1606–1625. doi: 10.1080/14789299.2017.1316943

Perera, R. M., Di Malta, C., and Ballabio, A. (2019). MiT/TFE family of transcription factors to promote stress resistance and longevity. Nat. Med. 25, 182–196. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.11.003

Pfeifer, K. M., Phillips, M. R., and Coussens, L. M. (2011). Transcriptional activation of lysosomal exocytosis promotes stress resistance and longevity. Cell 146, 147–155. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.07.016

Pomponio-Vaquero, S., Soldati, C., Cesana, M., Ballabio, A., and Medina, D. L. (2020). TFEB modulates p21/WAF1/CIP1 during the DNA damage response. Cell 181, 605–621. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.024

Ploper, D., Taelman, V. F., Robert, L., Perez, B. S., Titz, B., Chen, H. W., et al. (2015). A substrate-specific mTORC1 pathway underlies Birt-Hogg-Dube syndrome. Nature 525, 597–602. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2444-0

Pomer, P. A., and Blenis, J. (2010). MAPK signalling pathways in cancer. Nature 464, 869–877. doi: 10.1038/nature08982

Ponsonso-Vaquero, S., Soldati, C., Cesana, M., Ballabio, A., and Medina, D. L. (2020). TFEB modulates p21/WAF1/CIP1 during the DNA damage response. Cell 181, 605–621. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.024

Ploper, D., Taelman, V. F., Robert, L., Perez, B. S., Titz, B., Chen, H. W., et al. (2015). MiT/TFE drives endolysosomal biogenesis and potentiates Wnt signaling in melanoma cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, E420–E429. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1424576112
Pogemberg, V., Ballesteros-Alvarez, J., Schober, R., Sigvaldottir, I., Obarska-Kosinska, A., Migeldowski, M., et al. (2020). Mechanism of conditional partner selectivity in MITF/TFE family transcription factors with a conserved coiled coil stammar motif. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 934–948. doi: 10.1093/gkar/kij104

Popov, L. D. (2020). Mitochondrial biogenesis: an update. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 24, 4892–4899. doi: 10.1007/s10824-019-015194

Puertollano, R., Ferguson, S. M., Brugarolas, J., and Ballabio, A. (2018). The complex relationship between TFBE transcription factor phosphorylation and subcellular localization. *EMBO J.* 37(1):e88804. doi: 10.15222/embj.201798804

Raben, N., and Puertollano, R. (2016). TFEB and TFE3: linking lysosomes to cellular adaptation to stress. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 32, 255–278. doi: 10.1146/arevcellbio-111315-125407

Rehli, M., Den Elzen, N., Cassady, A. I., Ostrowski, M. C., and Hume, D. A. (1999). Cloning and characterization of the murine genes for bHLH-ZIP transcription factors TFE3 and TFB3 reveal a common gene organization for all MIT subfamily members. *Genomics* 56, 111–120. doi: 10.1006/geno.1998.5588

Rocznia-Ferguson, A., Petit, C. S., Froehlich, F., Qian, S., Ky, I., Angarola, B., et al. (2012). The transcription factor TFB3 links mTORC1 signaling to transcriptional control of lysosome homeostasis. *Sci. Signal.* 5ra42. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2002790

Sakamoto, H., Yamashita, K., Okamoto, K., Kadowaki, T., Sakai, E., Umeda, M., Pogenberg, V., Ballesteros-Alvarez, J., Schober, R., Sigvaldottir, I., Obarska-Puertollano, R., Ferguson, S. M., Brugarolas, J., and Ballabio, A. (2018). The transcription factor EB influences invasion and migration in oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Oral Dis.* 24, 741–748. doi: 10.1111/odi.12826

Salma, N., Song, J. S., Arany, Z., and Fisher, D. E. (2015). Transcription factor TFE3 directly regulates Pgc-1alpha in muscle. *J. Cell Physiol.* 230, 2330–2336. doi: 10.1002/jcp.24978

Simionato, E., Ledent, V., Richards, G., Thomas-Chollier, M., Kerner, P., Seyfried, T. N., and Huysentruyt, L. C. (2013). On the origin of cancer metastasis. *EMBO J.* 32, 473–477. doi: 10.1111/stein471

Steingrimsson, E., Tessarollo, L., Reid, S. W., Jenkins, N. A., and Copeland, N. G. (1998). The bHLH-ZIP transcription factor Tleb is essential for placental vascularization. *Development* 125, 4607–4616.

Sun, X., Zhou, Y., Zhang, R., Wang, Z., Xu, M., Zhang, D., et al. (2020). Dystrophin mutation leads to congenital scoliosis-like vertebral malformations in zebrafish via dysregulated mTORC1/TFEB pathway. *Nat. Commun.* 11:479. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14169-z

Taylor, J. S., Van De Peer, Y., and Meyer, A. (2001). Revisiting recent challenges to the ancient fish-specific genome duplication hypothesis. *Curr. Biol.* 11, R1005–R1008. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00610-8

Togno, E., Kobia, F., Busi, I., Fumagalli, A., De Masì, F., and Vaccarì, T. (2016). Control of lysosomal biogenesis and Notch-dependent tissue patterning by components of the TFBV-ATPase axis in Drosophila melanogaster. *Autophagy* 12, 499–514. doi: 10.1002/ajpc3.1134080

Villegas, F., Lehalle, D., Mayer, D., Rittirsch, M., Stadler, M. B., Zinner, M., et al. (2019). Lysosomal signaling licenses embryonic stem cell differentiation via inactivation of Tfe3. *Cell Stem Cell.* 24, 257.e28–270.e258. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2018.11.021

Visvikis, O., Iluegbu, N., Labeled, S. A., Luahachak, L. G., Alves, A. F., Wollenberg, A. C., et al. (2014). Innate host defense requires TFB3-mediated transcription of cytoprotective and antimicrobial genes. *Immunity* 40, 896–909. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.002

Wada, S., Neinast, M., Jiang, C., Ibrahim, Y. H., Lee, G., Babu, A., et al. (2016). The tumor suppressor FLCN mediates an alternate mTOR pathway to regulate browning of adipose tissue. *Genes Dev.* 30, 2551–2564. doi: 10.1101/gad.28795.113

Wang, H., Wang, N., Xu, D., Ma, Q., Chen, Y., Xu, S., et al. (2019). Oxidation of multiple MIT/TFE transcription factors links oxidative stress to transcriptional control of autophagy and lysosome biogenesis. *Autophagy* 16, 1683–1696. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2019.1704104

Wang, S., Chen, Y., Li, X., Zhang, W., Liu, Z., Wu, M., et al. (2020). Emerging role of transcription factor EB in mitochondrial quality control. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 128:110272. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110272

Wang, Y., Huang, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, J., Xu, M., You, Z., et al. (2020). Acetyltransferase GCN5 regulates autophagy and lysosome biogenesis by targeting TFB3. *EMBO Rep.* 21:104335. doi: 10.15222/embj.201948335

Wu, M., Gibbons, J. G., Deloid, G. M., Bedugnis, A. S., Thimmulappa, K. R., Biswal, S., et al. (2017). Immunomodulators targeting MARCO expression improve resistance to postinfluenza bacterial pneumonia. *Ann. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* 313, L138–L153. doi: 10.1152/ajplung.00075.2017

Wylie, B., Macri, C., Mintern, J. D., and Waithman, J. (2019). Dendritic cells and cancer: from biology to therapeutic intervention. *Cancers* 11:521. doi: 10.3390/cancers11040521

Xie, L., Zhang, Y., and Wu, C. L. (2019). Macrophthalmia family of transcription factors associated with renal cell carcinoma. *Asian J. Urol.* 6, 312–320. doi: 10.1016/j.ajur.2019.04.003

Yang, C., Chen, Y., Li, Z., Cao, H., Chen, K., Lai, P., et al. (2017). Chondrocyte-specific knockout of TSC-1 leads to congenital spinal deformity in mice. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2017:8215805. doi: 10.1155/2017/8215805

Yang, M., Liu, E., Tang, L., Lei, Y., Sun, X., Hu, J., et al. (2018). Emerging roles and regulation of MIT/TFE transcriptional factors. *Cell Commun. Signal.* 16:31. doi: 10.1186/s12964-018-0242-1

Yao, G. (2014). Modelling mammalian cellular quiescence. *Interface Focus* 4(2):20130074. doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2013.0074

Yin, Q., Yuan, Y., Xu, M., Huang, X., Wang, N., Liu, Z., et al. (2020). CDK4/6 dependent regulation of lysosomes. *EMBO J.* 39:e1019036. doi: 10.15222/jcb.20191036

Young, N. P., Kamireddy, A., Van Nostrand, J. L., Eichner, L. J., Shokhirev, M. N., Dayn, Y., et al. (2016). AMPK governs lineage specification through Tfeb-dependent regulation of lysosomes. *Genes Dev.* 30, 535–552. doi: 10.1101/gad.274142.115

Zhang, J., Wang, J., Zhou, Z., Park, E., Jiang, E., Wang, L., Wu, S., et al. (2018). Importance of TFB3 acetylation in control of its transcriptional activity and lysosomal function in response to deacetylation inhibitors. *Autophagy* 14, 1043–1059. doi: 10.1080/15548627.2018.1447290

Zhang, T., Zhou, Q., Ogmundsdottir, M. H., Moller, K., Siddaway, R., Larue, L., et al. (2015). TFB3 is a master regulator of the v-ATPase, forming a control
module for cellular homeostasis with v-ATPase and TORC1. J. Cell Sci. 128, 2938–2950. doi: 10.1242/jcs.173807

Zhang, X., Cheng, X., Yu, L., Yang, J., Calvo, R., Patnaik, S., et al. (2016). MCOLN1 is a ROS sensor in lysosomes that regulates autophagy. Nat. Commun. 7:12109.

Zhang, Z., Qian, Q., Li, M., Shao, F., Ding, W. X., Lira, V. A., et al. (2020). The unfolded protein response regulates hepatic autophagy by sXBP1-mediated activation of TFEB. Autophagy doi: 10.1080/15548627.2020.1788889 Online ahead of print

Zhivotovsky, B., and Orrenius, S. (2010). Cell cycle and cell death in disease: past, present and future. J. Intern. Med. 268, 395–409. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2010.02282.x

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 La Spina, Contreras, Rissone, Meena, Jeong and Martina. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.