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Abstract: Autism Spectrum disorder (ASD) is a neurobiological developmental disorder symbolize by means of the impairment of social interaction, stereotypic behaviours, and communiqué lack. Early deduction of ASD will enhance the fine of lifestyles of the affected person. The objective of the paper is to focus on the application of various Machine Learning strategies applied for the autism dataset for diagnosing ASD. In this study, the effective pre-processing techniques One-hot encoding, Splitting and Scaling are used to standardize the dataset and the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) evaluator method is applied for the best feature selection. This technique is investigated with various Machine learning techniques like Random Forest, SVM, Logistic Regression, KNN, Naive Bayes. Comparatively, the effective Pre-Processing technique with Random Forest model shows the better accuracy of 92% in diagnosing ASD. When with other metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, ROC, error rate.
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I. INTRODUCTION

ASD is a neuro developmental ailment that affects someone’s studying skills, interplay and verbal exchange. Although the prognosis of autism may be carried out at any age however the signs and symptoms generally appear inside the first 24 months of life and develop thru time[17]. ASD youngsters are identified for their impairment in social interplay skills and deciphering the emotional facial features in other[18]. Autism Spectrum Condition (ASC) is a fixed of neuro development syndromes that affects mind functions [21]. ASD is characterized via repetitive behaviour, verbal and nonverbal communiqué, the want for sameness and irregularity in social interplay [25].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Machine Learning techniques play a dynamic role for diagnosing ASD in the analysis of dataset. This section, mainly focus on predicting ASD using different Machine Learning techniques. Fadi Thabitah [1] used DSM-5 tool to identify the ASD with merits and demerits. Padmapriya.S, et al.[2] proposed different pre-processing techniques like Chi-Square, Information Gain, Relevant feature selection and reduced the feature set. Relevant feature selection techniques gave more accuracy when compared to other techniques. Uma Rani.R et al.[3] proposed the comparison of classification algorithms with statistical models in autism dataset. Arodami Chorianopoulou et al. [5] used with different modalities like audio, text, video and with parent’s action of interactions of typically developing(TD) and ASD children. The moderate accuracy occurred only due to engagement on parents behaviour.

Vaishali R. et al.[6] used ASD data from UCI machine learning repository experimented with binary firefly feature selection wrapper and obtained a better classification reports on minimum feature subsets. Tibaduiza et al.[8] proposed a comparison study between the PCA (Principal Component Analysis) and ICA (Independent Component Analysis) were described. An significant difference between PCA and ICA were related to the quantity of components used in the technique. Kazi Shahrukh Omar et al.[9] proposed a model by merging random forest-ID3, Random forest-CART for predicting the autism traits. The evaluation done with AQ10 dataset and 250 real dataset collected from various persons. The results were compared in terms of different metrics. Sofia Visa et al.[10] created a subset of features based on criteria and yielding better accuracy using CART classification. Mofleh Al Diabat et al.[20] author described a new Ensemble Classification for Autism Screening(ECAS) to predict ASD traits and also reduces biased decisions. Paul Fergus et al.[21] proposed a 3D animation solution developed for Mobile device. It helps ASD people to understand the facial expressions and give awareness to engage real-time situations.

III. THE DATASET

The dataset entitled, “Autistic Spectrum Disorder Screening Data for Toddlers” is an open source dataset from Kaggle Repository. The dataset consists of 1054 observations of 18 features of different variable type. Dataset description is shown in Table1.

It contains categorical variables like Gender, Ethnicity, Jaundice, Family_members_with_ASD, Who is attaining test, Class/ASD Traits, as well as 10 binary variables representing the screening questions (A1 to A10), and 2 numeric variables (Age & Score).
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Table 1: Dataset description

| Feature Name | Type         | Description of the Feature                                      |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| A1: Q1       | Binary (0,1) | Does your child look at you when you call his/her name?         |
| A2: Q2       | Binary (0,1) | How easy is it for you to obtain eye contact with your child?   |
| A3: Q3       | Binary (0,1) | Does your child point to specify that she/he needs something?   |
| A4: Q4       | Binary (0,1) | Does your child point to share curiosity with you?              |
| A5: Q5       | Binary (0,1) | Does your child pretend?                                        |
| A6: Q6       | Binary (0,1) | Does your child go behind where you’re looking                  |
| A7: Q7       | Binary (0,1) | When you or someone else in the family is noticeably upset, does your child show signs of counsel to comfort them? |
| A8: Q8       | Binary (0,1) | Your child’s first words as:                                    |
| A9: Q9       | Binary (0,1) | Does your child use simple gestures?                            |
| A10: Q10     | Binary (0,1)| Does your child gaze at nothing with no apparent purpose?       |

| Age          | Number       | Age in years                                                   |
|--------------|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Score by Q-chat-10 | Number | 1-10: Less than or equal 3 No ASD traits, > 3 ASD traits |
| Gender       | String       | Boy / Girl                                                     |
| Ethnicity    | String       | List of Communal ethnicity in text setup                      |
| Jaundice     | Boolean      | Whether case become born with jaundice                        |
| Family member with ASD history | Boolean | Whether any immediate member of the family has a PDD |
| Who is attaining the test | String | Parent, Self, Caregiver, Medical workforce, Clinician, and so forth |
| Class/ASD Traits | Boolean | ASD ailments or No ASD ailments |

IV. METHODOLOGY

The pre-processing of the information is a crucial step in Machine Learning applications. For early stage detection, the proposed system has been implemented with the effective pre-processing methodology for the machine learning models in identifying ASD. The flow chart of the proposed methodology is define in the fig1. The Machine Learning techniques for predictive task that includes Logistic Regression, K-NN, SVM, Naïve Bayes, Random Forest. These methods are compared with different performance metrics and used for better decision making.

A. Machine Learning Techniques

- LOGISTIC REGRESSION (LR): LR is a statistical linear model used for binary class, taking as input a set of independent attributes. The independent attributes can be discrete or non-stop and are used to predict the possibility of the goal result taking a suitable binary value [18].
- K-NN: K-NN is a kind of non-parametric or instance-based learning in which the undertaking is simplest anticipated domestically and all computation is deferred till classification. K-NN classification, the output is a class club. An item is assessed through a majority vote of its neighbours, with the thing being decided on to the class most common among its k nearest neighbours. If k = 1, then the thing is just assigned to the class of that single adjacent neighbours [22].
- SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE (SVM): The key objective of SVM model is to maximize the boundary. The boundary is defined as the distance between the unscrambling hyperplane and the training samples that are nearby to this hyperplane, which are the so-called support vectors [4].
- NAIVE BAYES: Naïve Bayes is a probabilistic classifier which makes use of Bayes theorem to make the assumption that each one enter attributes are linearly independent after which measure the possibility of every feasible classification given a set of autonomous attributes [23][18].
• **RANDOM FOREST**: A Random forest is a classifier such as a group of tree-structured classifiers \( h(x, \Theta_k), k=1, \ldots \) where the \( \{ \Theta_k \} \) are independent identically dispensed random vectors and each tree casts a unit vote for the maximum general class at input \( x \) [12].

**B. DATA PRE-PROCESSING**

- Standardising the data: In dataset there are some variables they do not offer any benefit of our analysis.
  - i) Ethnicity: It will display only the list of common ethnicity.
  - ii) Who is completed the test: This variable also had only the details of parents, self, medical staff, etc.
  - iii) Class / ASD traits: It will display only the Class_ASDF=yes/ no. The machine learning models would really have the outcome of the target variable. For the purpose of better analysis these three variables are removed.

- **ONE-HOT ENCODING**: One-hot encoding technique is used to build a new dummy attribute of every distinctive value of the nominal attribute column [4]. Generally it is a method to convert non-ordinal categorical variables into numeric data.

- **SPLITTING AND SCALING**: [4] Splitting a dataset into training sets and test sets and also require to select features is on the same scale for optimal performance.

The data will be randomly allocated to training set and one third will allocate to the testing set. In scaling, the different features are transformed into a uniform scale value. There are two general approaches to bringing different attribute of the same scale: Normalization and Standardization. Normalization via min-max scaling is useful in bounded intervals. Standardization via StandardScaler is useful in outliers. This system uses Standardization for optimal performance. Standardization can be articulated by the subsequent equation:

\[
X^{(i)}_{\text{std}} = \frac{X^{(i)} - \mu_x}{\sigma_x}
\]

Where, \( X^{(i)} \) - particular sample, \( \mu_x \) -particular feature column and \( \sigma_x \) - standard deviation.

- **DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION**: The dimensionality reduction strategies are classes by means of attributes selection and attributes extraction. Attributes selection is selecting a subset of the novel attributes and Attributes extraction to transform the information onto a brand new attributes subspace [4]. This system uses Sequential Backward Selection (SBS) algorithm to condense the dimensionality of the initial attributes subspace with a minimum. The idea behind the SBS algorithm is sequentially remove attributes from the complete attributes subspace until the new attributes subspace contains the desired quantity of attributes [4]. Next for attributes extraction-Principal component analysis (PCA) [8,17] can be used to reduce a complex dataset to a lower dimensionality. Each main aspect is a linear mixture of the innovative variables. All the main additives are orthogonal to every other, so there’s no redundant information.

The subset in PCA are define with the aid of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the covariance matrix as follows:

\[
C_xR = \Lambda
\]

Where the eigenvectors of \( C_x \) are the columns of \( R \) and the eigenvalues are the diagonal terms of \( \Lambda [4] \).

---

**V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Evaluation metric parameters for Pre-processing such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, ROC, error rate are compared and the results are listed in Table II and Table III for the Machine Learning Models. The performance evaluation of the classifiers using all the 18 features before feature selection and feature extraction shown in Table-II and the graphical representation of the analysis shown in fig2. Among 18 only 5 important features are selected based on interaction, communication, facial expression and apply the Pre-processing techniques and fed as input to each classifier. The performances of the Classifiers are evaluated using cross validation and confusion matrix. The comparative results indicate that the combination of Random forest with PCA results in a better performance when compare to other classifiers. Random forest outperforms the rest of the classifiers in terms of accuracy (92%), precision, recall, F1-score, ROC, error rate as depicted in Table-III. Figure 3 shows the performance evaluation of the classifiers after Dimensionality reduction.

**Table -II Predictive Performance of Classifiers before feature selection.**

| Evaluation Measure | KN N | Logistic Regression | Naive Bayes | Random Forest | SVM |
|--------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------|---------------|-----|
| Accuracy           | 77% | 83%                 | 74%         | 79%           | 81% |
| F1-Score            | 0.79 | 0.84                | 0.76        | 0.8           | 0.83|
| Precision           | 0.78 | 0.84                | 0.75        | 0.83          | 0.79|
| Recall              | 0.79 | 0.85                | 0.77        | 0.78          | 0.87|
| ROC                 | 0.76 | 0.82                | 0.73        | 0.76          | 0.8 |
| Misclassification rate | 0.23 | 0.16                | 0.26        | 0.2           | 0.19|

![Figure 2: Performance analysis on the classifiers before feature selection](image-url)
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Table-III Predictive performance of classifiers after Feature selection-SBS and Feature extraction-PCA.

| Evaluation Measure | KN | Logistic regression | Naive Bayes | Rando m Forest | SV M |
|--------------------|----|---------------------|-------------|----------------|------|
| Accuracy           | 71%| 85%                 | 74%         | 92%            | 84%  |
| F1-Score           | 0.7| 0.86                | 0.76        | 0.93           | 0.85 |
| Precision          | 0.81| 0.86                | 0.76        | 0.95           | 0.85 |
| Recall             | 0.61| 0.87                | 0.77        | 0.91           | 0.86 |
| ROC                | 0.72| 0.85                | 0.73        | 0.92           | 0.84 |
| Misclassification rate | 0.28| 0.14                | 0.25        | 0.075          | 0.15 |

Figure 3: Performance analysis on the classifiers after feature selection

VI. CONCLUSION

The proposed system implementing the effective pre-processing techniques with different metric parameters is used for evaluation of machine learning models to predict ASD. The feature selection and extraction methodology is proposed to with the minimal subset and used to classify the autism. Comparatively, Random forest pooled with PCA gives better accuracy of 92% when compared to other machine learning algorithms. The minimal subset feature to identify the ASD in early stage and improve the life style of the affected people is the future scope.
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