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Abstract

Introduction

The objective of this study was to explore the acceptability of rapid HIV self-testing (RHST) among men who have sex with men (MSM).

Methods

During 2006–2009, a sample of 500 MSM was recruited through Respondent Driven Sampling for an HIV prevalence/incidence study. Attitude toward RHST was explored among HIV negative MSM. Data were weighted prior to analyses.

Results

Participants reported they were likely to buy RHST (74%), test themselves more frequently than they currently do (77%), and that the procedure would simplify testing (70%). Furthermore, 71% reported they would probably use it alone, 66% would use it with a steady partner, and 56% with a friend/partner. While a majority acknowledged that RHST use would deprive them of receiving counseling (61%), 74% declared they would go for help if they tested positive; 57% would use an RHST in order to avoid condoms. Probability of use surpassed 70% among gay and non-gay identified MSM as well as those with and without a previous HIV test. Those likely to buy RHST were older (p = 0.025) and more likely to identify as gay (p = 0.036). A total of 17% said they would think about killing themselves and 9% would attempt suicide if they tested positive. These MSM were more likely to be younger (p<0.001), with lower mood level (p<0.001) and greater feelings of loneliness (p = 0.026).

Conclusions

The high acceptability of RHST found among MSM should encourage the authorities to consider the possibility of offering it for self-testing, as it can improve early diagnosis and prevention of future transmissions. However, further research is needed to understand how to
best disseminate RHST among MSM who wish to use it and to offer support and linkage to care for those who test HIV-positive.

Introduction

Previous studies performed in Argentina revealed that men who have sex with men (MSM) are at high risk of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection [1]. The last cross-sectional study estimated HIV prevalence at 17.3% and HIV incidence at 4.5 per 100 persons-year; as well as high frequency of other sexually transmitted infections (STIs) like hepatitis B (22.9%), hepatitis C (7.5%), *Treponema pallidum* (20.5%) and human papilloma virus (HPV) (83.5%) [2]. Additionally, the last epidemiological bulletin of the Ministry of Health in Argentina reported that approximately 25% of the MSM that were diagnosed with HIV in the last period studied (2012–2015) were diagnosed with an AIDS (Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome) disease marker [3].

Late diagnosis of HIV infection has undesirable consequences, both for the individuals and for the wider population. Individuals with an advanced stage of immunosuppression are at high risk of diseases and death [4] with a worse response to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) [5]. Thus, with the complexity of these cases, treatment costs increase [6]. Undiagnosed HIV-infected individuals are also more likely to contribute to a higher rate of transmission than those who are aware of their status [7]. These results reveal that it is imperative to develop innovative HIV testing strategies that can address the epidemic.

Following scientific evidence that rapid HIV testing facilitates HIV diagnosis through its ease of use in community settings [8–10], providing almost immediate test results [11, 12], and high acceptability among users [13–15], in 2012, the United States Food and Drug Administration approved the over-the-counter sale of an oral fluid HIV test that allows individuals to perform a rapid HIV self-test at home [16, 17]. The kit provides a result in 20 minutes and results are interpreted following written instructions without the need of professional help. Since then, this and other rapid HIV self-tests, have become available through pharmacies, online purchase, and, at times, through free distribution, in the U.S., Canada, and a number of European countries. Studies show high acceptability of self-testing among MSM [18–27] and transgender women (TGW), who expressed particular interest in using it to test with sexual partners [28]. Studies have also shown that individuals are able to use oral as well as finger-prick rapid HIV tests correctly [26, 27] and that access to free self-tests leads to greater frequency of testing among MSM [29]. While the scientific literature on the acceptability and use of self-testing is increasing in relation to at-risk populations in the US, Europe and Africa, similar research among high risk populations in other regions of the world, including Latin America is in its infancy [25]. In Latin America, two small studies on the acceptability of self-testing have been conducted, both reporting high acceptability. One also found that MSM could use a blood-based self-test correctly, while the other study raised concerns about linkage to care following HIV positive results [30, 31]. However, both studies used convenience samples of MSM with large proportions of the participants with post-secondary education, an HIV test within the past year, and in one study [30], a majority who were gay-identified, thus limiting the generalizability of the findings to the broader population of MSM.

Understanding acceptability and potential use of self-testing among high risk populations in Latin America is critical, as the region has been a late adopter of rapid HIV testing compared to other regions of the world.
Rapid HIV testing is relatively new in Argentina, where it first became available for use at clinics or specific testing centers in 2013 [32]. Previously, it was only available for occupational exposures, at time of labor if no previous tests had been performed, or used in massive HIV testing campaigns during specific occasions, such as International AIDS Day. Otherwise, HIV testing consisted of the traditional two-visit test spanning a one or two week period. As such, data on the acceptability of rapid HIV testing among MSM in Argentina is very limited. A qualitative study that explored the acceptability of rapid HIV testing among MSM in Buenos Aires prior to its availability in testing centers revealed that receiving results in 20 minutes (compared to the standard two week waiting period) was viewed as the critical advantage by the great majority of participants [33]. Discussion in focus groups also revealed that RHST was perceived as an advantage because of “privacy” and “convenience.” In regards to privacy, participants expressed the advantage of not having to go into a clinic or hospital where they might be labeled as gay or where they might be seen by friends or family members and asked about their being there, challenges that they perceived to be particularly important if one was not “out” in regards to their sexual orientation. Convenience was mentioned in regards not only to the quick results, but also in regards to not having to go to a clinic and experiencing extensive waits to be seen by a provider. Underlying these results were significant concerns about stigma and the ability of RHST to help MSM avoid uncomfortable situations where they might be judged or outed during the testing encounter. However, some participants, especially those who were already diagnosed HIV positive, expressed significant concerns about impulsive suicidal behavior if someone received a positive result at-home while alone [33]. As such, respondents favored the availability of rapid testing at community based organizations. Although rapid testing in organizations would reduce the waiting period for results it would not address concerns about privacy or convenience as fully as the availability of RHST.

This study aims to contribute to the literature on acceptability of self-testing for HIV among MSM in Latin America. It focuses on MSM living in the Buenos Aires, Argentina metropolitan area. This area constitutes not only the city of Buenos Aires, which has an established gay presence with numerous gay-identified venues and organizations, but also the surrounding areas, which are of significantly lower socioeconomic status and resources and where gay venues and organizations do not exist. Data from this manuscript comes from Proyecto LINKS, a study of HIV prevalence and risk behavior among 500 MSM recruited through Respondent Driven Sampling (RDS) [34, 35] in Buenos Aires, Argentina which found that 50% of participants had never been tested for HIV and 17% had only been tested once [36]. Similar to other studies in Latin America, Africa, and Asia that have used RDS [37–40], the sample is remarkable for the diversity of MSM recruited, especially in regards to high percentages of participants identifying as bisexual or heterosexual. The objective of this study was to assess the acceptability of RHST, its possible use with sexual partners, and potential for suicidality following HIV positive test results among MSM in the greater Buenos Aires, Argentina area.

**Methods**

**Participant recruitment and data collection**

Participant recruitment methodology and data collection procedures have been previously described [34]. Briefly, RDS combines “snowball sampling” with a mathematical model to compensate for non-randomness of participant selection (see http://www.respondentdriven_sampling.org for details). A total of 16 MSM were selected as seeds for the RDS, completed all study procedures and then received three coupons each to give to members of their networks who could also recruit up to three other participants until the target number of 500 MSM was
reached. Recruitment took place between November 2007 and July 2009. In order to be eligible to participate, the study candidate had to self-identify as a man, be 18 years or older, have had sex with another man or a TGW in the previous six months, have had sex with another man or TGW at least 10 times in his lifetime, reside in the Buenos Aires metropolitan area, agree to provide a blood sample for HIV and STI testing, and attend an interview with the coupon given to him by a prior participant. Participants who qualified underwent a consent process and responded to a Web-based survey presented in Spanish that included, among other things, demographic information, history of HIV testing, HIV knowledge, and recent sexual behavior. Once the questionnaire was completed, participants received pre-HIV counseling and provided a blood sample for HIV testing. About two weeks later, they returned to the testing site to pick up the HIV test result and to receive post-test counseling [2].

Instruments
To assess the attitudes toward rapid HIV testing, we used a self-administered instrument that was developed for this study. The questionnaire was preceded by a photograph of an individual swabbing their gums with the oral HIV rapid test and the following statement: “In the USA, a rapid test is available to detect HIV antibodies in oral samples. To perform the test, the person being tested for HIV gently swabs the device completely around the outer gums (as you can see in the picture), and inserts it into a vial containing a developer solution. After 20 minutes, the test device will indicate if HIV antibodies are present by displaying two reddish-purple lines in a small window in the device. In the USA, this test is available in clinics. However, in the future it could be available in drugstores and individuals could buy the test and use it at home, like the pregnancy test. Hot lines would be available in order to answer questions about HIV. Assuming that this test (or a similar one) were available in Buenos Aires to purchase at an accessible price, please indicate the probability of the following statements”.

Then, the questionnaire addressed the probability of purchasing the RHST and doing the test under different circumstances (Fig 1). Participants answered items as “Very improbable,” “Improbable,” “Probable”, “Very probable” and “Prefer not to answer”. Participants were not able not to skip questions. At the time of analysis, the first two categories were merged as “improbable,” and the second two as “probable.” Those participants who answered “Probable” or “Very Probable” to suicidal ideation, were asked two further questions: 1) the probability of them trying to commit suicide and 2) whether they had a prior suicide attempt.

Ethics statement
International and national ethical guidelines for biomedical research involving human subjects were followed. This research study received approval from a local IRB (Comité Independiente de Ética en Investigación (CIEI-FM-UBA)) and the IRB of the New York State Psychiatric Institute, and was conducted in compliance with all federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects. All potential participants underwent an informed consent procedure prior to entering the study. Written consent was obtained from all participants prior to enrollment in the study.

Data analysis
Unlike a conventional probability sampling design in which each unit has a known and constant probability of selection, in RDS each person sampled does not have the same probability of being included in the sample: rather, persons with larger personal networks have a greater likelihood of being sampled than those with smaller personal networks. RDS takes this into consideration by weighting data based on reported network size. Therefore, for all analyses,
data were weighted prior to analyses using SPSS. The weighting estimator used is based on the RDS II estimator [41]. Weights were calculated as the inverse of the participant’s personal network size (PNS). This value was then multiplied by the sample size (N) divided by the sum of weights (Σw). The weighting formula was the following: \(\frac{1}{\text{PNS}} \times \frac{N}{\Sigma w}\). This provided results that reflect the original sample size of 500. Responses to each attitude question were dichotomized into Probable and Improbable groups. Two-group comparisons were then conducted using t-tests (for continuous variables), ANOVAS (for the categorical variable, “Region of city”), and chi-square tests (for dichotomous variables).

### Results

#### Study population characteristics

A total of 500 MSM were recruited. Participants who self-reported being HIV positive and those who did not answer any of the RHST questions were excluded from analyses. After weighting the data, analyses were performed based on a final N of 404 men. Note that missing data (e.g., "Refuse to answer") was very common for the individual RHST questions, so Ns for any particular item do not sum to 404. Mean age was 30.3 years old (SD = 11.5). Only 24% of participants identified as gay; 37% identified as bisexual, 23% as heterosexual, and 16% were grouped as other. Thirty-seven percent reported having had sex with men, women, and TGW in the two months prior to the interview. Low formal education level was reported, including 66% of participants with incomplete high school. Thirty percent of participants reported being unemployed and 32% having a temporary job. Most participants were single (77%) and 82%
had no health insurance. For a more detailed description of the population, please see Carballo-Dieuzan et al. [34].

**Likelihood of using RHST**

As seen in Fig 1, there was high acceptability of RHST. The great majority of participants (74%) reported that they would likely buy the test to use at home. Furthermore, 77% reported that they would test more often in the future if self-testing were available and 70% reported that it would be easier to do the test for HIV if they could do it at home. A majority of participants indicated they would probably use the test alone (71%), with a steady partner (66%), or with a friend/partner (56%). Lastly, 66% reported they would ask their casual partners to do the test before sex and 57% would use the test with their sex partners in order to avoid using condoms. Probable use of RHST was high among gay (82%) and non-gay identified (71%) MSM as well as with those with (75%) and without (73%) a prior HIV test.

**Factors associated with RHST use**

As shown in Table 1, likelihood of buying an RHST at a pharmacy for use at home was greater among older participants (p = 0.025) and those who were gay-identified (p = 0.036). Income, region of the city in which the participant resided (which affected availability of HIV testing sites), nor having a prior HIV test predicted the probability of purchasing a RHST.

**Suicidal tendencies**

As seen in Fig 1, 63 participants (17%) reported that they would think of committing suicide if they self-tested at home and received a positive result (44 reported this was probable and 19 reported it was very probable). Of those 63, 32 (32/58 = 56%; 5 did not respond) indicated they would probably try to commit suicide (7 reported it would be very probable). Of these 32 participants, 11 (11/27 = 41%; 5 did not respond) reported a prior suicide attempt.

Factors associated with suicidal ideation (Table 2) include lower age (p < 0.001), lower income (p = 0.014), low mood level (p < 0.001), and feeling of loneliness (p = 0.030).

| Table 1. Factors associated with buying RHST at pharmacy for use at home among MSM in Argentina. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Probable Use (N = 287)                           | Improbable Use (N = 103)                         | p-value*                        |
| Mean (SD)                                        | Mean (SD)                                        |                                  |
| Age                                             |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 31.3 (11.5)                                      | 28.3 (11.6)                     |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.025                                            |                                  |
| High School graduate                            |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 107 (38%)                                        | 26 (25%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.022                                            |                                  |
| Low income                                      |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 165 (70%)                                        | 55 (71%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.882                                            |                                  |
| Region of city                                  |                                                  |                                  |
| -Buenos Aires                                   |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 78 (27%)                                         | 33 (32%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.743                                            |                                  |
| -West                                           |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 148 (52%)                                        | 53 (52%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.5                                              |                                  |
| -South                                          |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 51 (18%)                                         | 17 (16%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.036                                            |                                  |
| -North^4                                        |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 10 (3%)                                          | 0 (0%)                          |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.633                                            |                                  |
| Identify as gay                                 |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 77 (27%)                                         | 17 (17%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.036                                            |                                  |
| Prior HIV test                                  |                                                  |                                  |
| Mean (SD)                                        | 125 (44%)                                        | 42 (42%)                        |
| N (%)^2-3                                       | 0.633                                            |                                  |

*P-values from t-tests (age), ANOVAs (region of city), or Chi-square tests (dichotomous variables). Alpha for hypothesis testing is set at 0.05.

*Ns do not always sum to 287 and 103 due to missing data.

*Percenta are of those with non-missing data.

*“North” excluded from ANOVA due to small N.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180361.t001
Factors associated with probable suicidal action following HIV positive results when alone were lower age ($p < 0.001$), low mood level ($p < 0.001$), and feeling lonely ($p = 0.026$). Neither educational level, identifying as gay, having had a prior HIV test, mood reactivity, heavy alcohol use, nor frequent drug use were significantly associated with probable suicidal ideation or probable suicidal action.

**Discussion**

Findings of this first ever study of acceptability of HIV self-testing among MSM in Argentina not only demonstrate high acceptability of RHST among a highly diverse sample of MSM, but also suggest that the availability of self-testing could increase rates and frequency of HIV testing. The majority of participants also reported that they would test sexual partners, both steady partners as well as casual, allowing for further dissemination of RHST through high-risk sexual networks. Furthermore, high acceptability among MSM, regardless of sexual identity and prior HIV testing history, suggests that the availability of RHST may reach MSM who previous research has shown do not undergo HIV testing with sufficient frequency to allow for early detection of infection, entry into treatment, and protection of sexual partners—especially among non-gay-identified MSM [34]. This implies that the population with the most urgent need to test may be reached by the availability of RHST, thus filling an important gap by decreasing late diagnoses. This is critical, as the failure of early HIV diagnosis represents an important public health problem worldwide [42,43]. Reducing the number of undiagnosed individuals is the first step in expanding care and treatment, viral suppression, and ultimately in preventing subsequent infection to new partners.
Greater probability of use by older as well as gay-identified respondents may suggest greater comfort in dealing with results and greater availability of supports and knowledge of resources than may exist among younger or non-gay identified MSM. The absence of difference in acceptability based on region of Buenos Aires is encouraging, as the areas surrounding Buenos Aires have fewer resources and testing sites. Thus, free or low-cost distribution of RHST in these areas could greatly facilitate HIV testing among its residents since they would be able to access testing without the associated costs and time required to travel to the center of the city. Furthermore, RHST would also allow these MSM to avoid HIV testing in hospital settings where they may be concerned about stigmatization or being seen by acquaintances or family members. These challenges are similar to those faced by rural populations, for whom home testing and RHST have been proposed as approaches to facilitate HIV testing [44–46].

One of the most common concerns regarding self-testing focuses on the absence of a face-to-face counselor that can provide emotional support in the event of a positive result. In our study, approximately 17% of participants reported they would think of committing suicide if they obtained an HIV positive result using a RHST while alone, with half of those reporting they would probably attempt suicide in this circumstance. Our analyses revealed that these participants were more likely to be young, lonely, and experiencing dysphoric mood. A high proportion of these individuals also had a prior history of suicide attempts. This suggests that this group of individuals includes an important proportion who would have resorted to suicide in response to stressors, not only for the possibility of HIV-infection. These findings, however, raise the question as to whether MSM in lower or middle-income countries whose life situation may limit their access to HIV knowledge, support, and treatment, as well as emotional wellbeing, may be at risk for suicidality following HIV positive self-test results. Concerns about suicidality following home testing arose in the U.S. when HIV home tests first became available in the 1990s. However, there has been little evidence of suicidal attempts following HIV self-testing [19, 47, 48]. This suggests that respondents’ anticipated reactions to HIV-positive test results may not be reflective of their actual reactions. It is also possible that MSM who may feel emotionally unprepared to self-test at home alone would not opt to purchase an HIV self-test [49] and instead access HIV testing through other means (i.e., in hospitals, clinics, community organizations) where they may feel more comfortable. Nonetheless, studies of acceptability of RHST among populations in low- and middle-income countries may find it prudent to explore this issue among their population, including how HIV stigma and homophobia may affect suicidal ideation or action following positive test results. Such insights into how potential users may respond to HIV-positive test results may guide dissemination approaches. For example, rather than make RHST available through pharmacies, they may be available through HIV testing organizations, which can establish a provider relationship with the user in case support is needed during or after the self-testing process. As such, it is important to understand the psychosocial factors that may affect the choices made in regards to HIV testing practices and approaches, as these may vary across different subsets of MSM [50]. These insights can inform future studies on the acceptability of various dissemination approaches (i.e., purchased in pharmacies, distributed through clinics or community organizations) and assess the impact of accessibility to self-tests on the frequency and rates of HIV testing among high risk populations.

Findings from this study should be considered in light of limitations. First, although RDS is considered an effective technique to sample most-at-risk populations, some publications have raised questions about its suitability for key aspects of public health surveillance [51] as well as the actual representativeness of the sample [52]. Second is that the data was collected in 2007–2009 and although the use of rapid HIV testing in testing centers has been rolled out over the past three years, the data may not reflect current views towards RHST. For example, since the
Introduction of rapid HIV testing at the community agency where this study was conducted the number of HIV test conducted per year have doubled. Thus, increasing awareness and experience with rapid testing may further increase the acceptability of RHST. Lastly, acceptability of product use does not always ensure use of the product in real life. Nonetheless, given the limited data available on acceptability of RHST in Latin America, a region that has trailed the world in the widespread availability of rapid HIV testing, these findings contribute to an emerging understanding of the acceptability of RHST among high risk groups in this region. Furthermore, consistent with the findings by Balán, et al [33], these results highlight high acceptability of novel HIV testing technologies that minimize the burden of HIV testing by increasing immediacy of results, convenience, and privacy. Considering the different methodologies available for self-testing, including type of samples (e.g. oral vs. blood sample test), and waiting time (from one minute to half an hour), and also the different approaches to accessing RHST (e.g. over-the-counter sales, distribution through HIV testing centers or community-based organizations, online purchasing) a critical next step is to explore user preferences in type of test and dissemination approaches to maximize the use of RHST among MSM in Argentina and, potentially, other Latin American countries.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank all the study volunteers as well as Nexo NGO staff. We would especially like to acknowledge our Research Assistants, Mr. Miguel Zapatela and Mr. Miguel Veron. Authors also thank Mr. Sergio Mazzini for reviewing the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Maria A. Pando, Alex Carballo-Diéguez, Ivan C. Balán.

Formal analysis: Maria A. Pando, Curtis Dolezal, Ivan C. Balán.

Funding acquisition: Alex Carballo-Diéguez, Maria M. Avila.

Investigation: Maria A. Pando, Rubén O. Marone, Victoria Barreda.

Methodology: Alex Carballo-Diéguez, Ivan C. Balán.

Project administration: Rubén O. Marone, Alex Carballo-Diéguez, Maria M. Avila.

Resources: Maria A. Pando, Rubén O. Marone.

Supervision: Rubén O. Marone, Ivan C. Balán.

Visualization: Maria A. Pando.

Writing – original draft: Maria A. Pando, Ivan C. Balán.

Writing – review & editing: Maria A. Pando, Alex Carballo-Diéguez, Maria M. Avila, Ivan C. Balán.

References

1. Pando MA, Gómez-Carrillo M, Vignoles M, Rubio AE, dos Ramos Farias MS, Vila M, et al. Incidence of HIV-1 infection, antiretroviral drug resistance and molecular characterization in newly diagnosed individuals in Argentina. A Global Fund Project. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses. 2011; 27:17–23. https://doi.org/10.1089/aid.2010.0013 PMID: 20860532

2. Pando MA, Balan I, Marone R, Dolezal C, Squiquera L, Barreda V, et al. HIV and other sexually transmitted infections among men who have sex with men (MSM) recruited by respondent driven sampling (RDS) in Buenos Aires, Argentina: High HIV and HPV infection. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e39834. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039834 PMID: 22786197
3. Ministerio de Salud, Presidencia de la Nación, 2016. Boletín sobre el VIH/sida en la Argentina. Año XIX, número 33. Diciembre 2016, Argentina.

4. Phillips A, Pezzotti P, CASCADE Collaboration. Short-term risk of AIDS according to current CD4 cell count and viral load in antiretroviral drug-naïve individuals and those treated in the monotherapy era. AIDS. 2004; 18: 51–58. PMID: 15090829

5. Egger M, May M, Chêne G, Phillips AN, Ledergerber B, Dabis F, et al. Prognosis of HIV-1-infected patients starting highly active antiretroviral therapy: a collaborative analysis of prospective studies. Lancet. 2002; 360:119–129. PMID: 12126821

6. Girardi E, Sabin CA, Monforte AD. Late diagnosis of HIV infection: epidemiological features, consequences and strategies to encourage earlier testing. JAIDS. 2007; 46:S3–8. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.qai.0000286057.93249.16 PMID: 17713423

7. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 493–505. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1105243 PMID: 21767103

8. Corbett EL, Dauya E, Matambo R, Cheung YB, Makamure B, Bassett MT, et al. Uptake of workplace HIV counselling and testing: A cluster-randomised trial in Zimbabwe. PLoS Med. 2006; 3: e238 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030238 PMID: 17046300

9. Hutchins on AB, Branson BM, Kim A, and Farnham PG. A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of alternative HIV counseling and testing methods to increase knowledge of HIV status. AIDS. 2006; 20: 1597–1604. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.aids.0000238405.93249.16 PMID: 16868440

10. Morin SF, Khumalo-Sakutukwa G, Charlebois ED, Routh J, Fritz K, Lane T, et al. Removing barriers to knowing HIV status: Same-day mobile HIV testing in Zimbabwe. JAIDS. 2006; 41: 218–224. PMID: 16394855

11. Centers for Disease Control. Rapid HIV Testing in Outreach and Other Community Settings—United States, 2004–2006. MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2007; 56: 1233–1237. PMID: 18046300

12. Wurcel A, Zaman T, Zhen S, and Stone D. Acceptance of HIV antibody testing among inpatients and outpatients at a public health hospital: A study of rapid versus standard testing. AIDS Patient Care and STDs. 2005; 19: 499–505. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2005.19.499 PMID: 16124844

13. Apptiah LT, Havers F, Gibson J, Kay M, Sarfo F, and Chadwick D. Efficacy and acceptability of rapid, point-of-care HIV testing in two clinical settings in Ghana. AIDS Patient Care and STDs. 2009; 23: 365–369. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2008.0224 PMID: 19329606

14. Roberts KJ, Grusky O, and Swanson A. Outcomes of blood and oral fluid rapid HIV testing: A literature review, 2000–2006. AIDS Patient Care and STDs. 2007; 21: 621–637. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2006.0196 PMID: 17919089

15. Smith LV, Rudy ET, Javanbakht M, Uniyal A, Sy LS, Horton T, et al. Client satisfaction with rapid HIV testing: Comparison between an urban sexually transmitted disease clinic and a community-based testing center. AIDS Patient Care and STDs. 2006; 20: 693–700. https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2006.20.693 PMID: 17052139

16. U.S. Food and Drug Administration: FDA approves first over-the-counter home-use rapid HIV test. July 3, 2012. http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm310542.htm.

17. OraSure Technologies, Inc: Oraquick. The first in-home oral HIV test. Last access, November 2015. http://www.orauquick.com.

18. Osmond DH, Catania J, Pollack L, Canchola J, Jaffe D, MacKellar D, et al. Obtaining HIV test results with a home collection test kit in a community telephone sample. JAIDS. 2000; 24: 363–368. PMID: 11015153

19. Carballo-Diéguez A, Frasca T, Balán I, Ilbitoye M, Dolezal C. Use of a rapid HIV home test prevents HIV exposure in a high risk sample of men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav. 2012; 16: 1753–1760. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-012-0274-2 PMID: 22893194

20. Pant Pai N, Sharma J, Shivkumar S, Pillay S, Vadnais C, Joseph L, et al. Supervised and unsupervised self-testing for HIV in high-and low-risk populations: a systematic review. PLoS Med. 2013; 10: e1001414. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001414 PMID: 23565066

21. Krause J, Subklew-Sehume F, Kenyon C, and Colebunders R. Acceptability of HIV self-testing: a systematic literature review. BMC public health. 2013; 13: 735. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-735 PMID: 23924387

22. Bilardi JE, Walker S, Read T, Prestage G, Chen MY, Guy R, et al. Gay and bisexual men’s views on rapid self-testing for HIV. AIDS and Behavior. 2013; 17: 2093–2099. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-012-0395-7 PMID: 23297083
23. Lippman SA, Périsse AR, Veloso VG, Sullivan PS, Buchbinder S, Sineath RC, et al. Acceptability of self-conducted home-based HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Brazil: data from an online survey. Cadernos de saúde publica. 2014; 30: 724–734. PMID: 24896048
24. Sharma A, Stephenson RB, White D, and Sullivan PS. Acceptability and intended usage preferences for six HIV testing options among internet-using men who have sex with men. SpringerPlus. 2014; 3:109. https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-109 PMID: 24605551
25. Figueroa C, Johnson C, Verster A, and Baggaley R. Attitudes and acceptability on HIV self-testing among key populations: A Literature Review. AIDS Behav. 2015; 19: 1949–1965. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-015-1097-8 PMID: 26054390
26. Fisher M, Wayal S, Smith H, Llewellyn C, Alexander S, Ison C, et al. Home sampling for sexually transmitted infections and HIV in men who have sex with men: A prospective observational study. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0120810. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120810 PMID: 25848769
27. Belza MJ, Rosales-Statkus ME, Hoyos J, Segura P, Ferreras E, Sánchez R, et al. Supervised blood-based self-sample collection and rapid test performance: a valuable alternative to the use of saliva by HIV testing programmes with no medical or nursing staff. Sexually transmitted infections. 2012; 88:218–221. https://doi.org/10.1136/sxtrans-2011-050131 PMID: 22328646
28. Lippman SA, Morán ME, Ventura A, Castillo LS, Buchbinder S, Treves-Kagan S, et al. Home HIV testing among transgender women in San Francisco: A pilot feasibility and acceptability study. 8th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment, and Prevention. Vancouver, Canada, July 2015. http://www.ias2015.org.
29. Katz DA, Golden MR, Hughes JP, Farquhar C, Stekler J. HIV self-testing increases HIV testing frequency among high risk men who have sex with men: A randomized controlled trial. 8th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment, and Prevention. Vancouver, Canada, July 2015. http://www.ias2015.org.
30. Volk JE, Lippman SA, Grinsztejn B, Lama JR, Fernandes NM, Gonzales P, et al. Acceptability and feasibility of HIV self-testing among men who have sex with men in Peru and Brazil. Int J STD AIDS. 2016; 27:531–536. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462415586676 PMID: 25971282
31. Bustamante MJ, Konda KA, Joseph Davey D, León SR, Calvo GM, Salvatierra J, et al. HIV self-testing in Peru: Questionable availability, high acceptability but potential low linkage to care among men who have sex with men and transgender women. Int J STD AIDS. 2017; 28:133–137. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956464216630674 PMID: 26826160
32. Boletín Oficial de la República Argentina. Buenos Aires 30 de agosto de 2013. Año CXXI, Número 32712. http://www.msal.gob.ar/sida/images/stories/5-comunicacion/pdf/2013-11_resolucion-algoritmos.pdf.
33. Balán IC, Carballo-Díez A, Marone RO, Pando MA, Barreda V and Ávila MM. Aceptabilidad del diagnóstico rápido para HIV entre hombres gay y otros hombres que tienen sexo con hombres (G&HSH) de la Ciudad de Buenos Aires. Actualizaciones en SIDA. 2011; 19: 26–32. PMID: 25284951
34. Carballo-Díez A, Balán IC, Marone R, Pando MA, Dolezal C, Barreda V, et al. Use of Respondent Driven Sampling (RDS) generates a highly diverse sample of MSM in Buenos Aires, Argentina. PloS ONE. 2011; 6: e27447. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027447 PMID: 22102896
35. Heckathorn D. Respondent-Driven Sampling: A new approach to the study of hidden populations. Soc Probl. 1997; 44: 174–199.
36. Carballo-Díez A, Balán IC, Dolezal C, Pando MA, Marone R, Barreda V, Ávila MM. HIV testing practices among men who have sex with men in Buenos Aires, Argentina. AIDS care. 2014 Jan 2; 26(1):33–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2013.793277 PMID: 23659314
37. Brito AM, Kendall C, Kerr L, Mota RM, Guimarães MD, Dourado I, et al. Factors Associated with Low Levels of HIV Testing among Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM) in Brazil. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(6): e0130445. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0130445 PMID: 26098559
38. Paz-Bailey G, Miller W, Shiraishi RW, Jacobson JO, Abimbola TO, Chen SY. Reaching men who have sex with men: a comparison of respondent-driven sampling and time-location sampling in Guatemala City. AIDS and Behavior. 2013; 17:3081–3090. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-013-0598-7 PMID: 23963498
39. Ma Q, Zeng S, Xia S, Pan X, Wang D, Zhu H, et al. Risky sexual networks and concentrated HIV epidemics among men who have sex with men in Wenzhou, China: a respondent-driven sampling study. BMC Public Health. 2015; 15:1246. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-015-2591-7 PMID: 26673429
40. Lane T, Osmand T, Marr A, Shade SB, Dunkle K, Sandfort T, et al. The Mpumalanga Men’s Study (MPMS): Results of a Baseline Biological and Behavioral HIV Surveillance Survey in Two MSM Communities in South Africa. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(11):e111063. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111063 PMID: 25401785
41. Volz E, Heckathorn DD. Probability based estimation theory for Respondent Driven Sampling. J Off Stat. 2008; 24: 79–97.

42. Montlauhc C, Guiguet M, Abgrall S, Daneluzzi V, de Salvador F, Launay O, et al. Impact of late presentation on the risk of death among HIV-infected people in France (2003–2009). JAIDS. 2013; 64: 197–203. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e31829cbf9a PMID: 24047970

43. Mocroft A, Lundgren JD, Sabin ML, Monforte Ad, Brockmeyer N, Casabona J, et al. Risk factors and outcomes for late presentation for HIV-positive persons in Europe: results from the Collaboration of Observational HIV Epidemiological Research Europe Study (COHERE). PLoS Med. 2013; 10: e1001510. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001510 PMID: 24137103

44. Dalal W, Feikin DR, Amolloh M, Ransom R, Burke H, Lugalia F, et al. Home-based HIV testing and counseling in rural and urban Kenyan communities. JAIDS. 2013; 62(2):e47–54. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e318276bea0 PMID: 23075916

45. Negin J, Wariero J, Mutuo P, Jan S, Pronyk P. Feasibility, acceptability and cost of home-based HIV testing in rural Kenya. Tropical medicine & international health. 2009; 14(8):849–855.

46. Choko AT, Desmond N, Webb EL, Chavula K, Napierala-Mavedzenge S, Gaydos CA, et al. The uptake and accuracy of oral kits for HIV self-testing in high HIV prevalence setting: a cross-sectional feasibility study in Blantyre, Malawi. PLoS Med. 2011; 8(10):e1001102. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001102 PMID: 21999066

47. Branson BM. Home sample collection tests for HIV infection. JAMA. 1998; 280: 1699–1701. PMID: 9832003

48. Frith L. HIV self-testing: a time to revise current policy. Lancet. 2007; 369: 243–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60113-5 PMID: 17240291

49. Greacen T, Friboulet D, Fugon L, Hefez S, Lorente N, Spire B. Access to and use of unauthorised online HIV self-tests by internet-using French-speaking men who have sex with men. Sexually transmitted infections. 2012; 88:368–374. https://doi.org/10.1136/sxtrans-2011-050405 PMID: 22436195

50. Flowers P, Estcourt C, Sonnenberg P, Burns F. HIV testing intervention development among men who have sex with men in the developed world. Sex Health. 2017 Jan 13. https://doi.org/10.1071/SH16081 [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 28081047

51. Goel S, Salganik MJ. Assessing respondent-driven sampling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:6743–6747. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000261107 PMID: 20351258

52. Salganik MJ. Variance estimation, design effects, and sample size calculations for respondent-driven sampling. J Urban Health. 2006; 83(S6):i98–112.