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Abstract. In this present investigation, we are concerned with the class \( \Omega_{m,k}^{\alpha} \), \( C_0(\alpha) \) of bi-concave functions defined by using the generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator. Moreover, we derive some coefficient inequalities for functions in this class.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Let \( A \) indicate an analytic function family, which is normalized under the condition of \( f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 \) in \( D = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \} \) and given by the following Taylor-Maclaurin series:

\[
f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.
\] (1.1)

Further, by \( S \) we shall denote the class of all functions in \( A \) which are univalent in \( D \).

It is well known that every function \( f \in S \) has an inverse \( f^{-1} \), defined by \( f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \), \( (z \in D) \) and \( f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \), \( (|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}) \). In fact, the inverse function is given by

\[
f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \cdots
\]

(for details, see Duren [13]). A function \( f \in A \) is said to be bi-univalent in \( D \) if both \( f \) and \( f^{-1} \) are univalent in \( \mathbb{D} \). Let \( \Sigma \) stand for the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \). A brief history and interesting examples of functions in the class \( \Sigma \) can be found in the pioneering work on this subject by Srivastava et al. [34], which has apparently revived the study of bi-univalent functions in recent years. In fact, ever since the publication by Srivastava et al. [34], a huge flood of papers have appeared and are still appearing in the literature dealing with various subclasses of the bi-univalent and other related function classes (see, for example, [6, 7, 20, 24, 26, 32, 35, 37]). But the coefficient problem for each one of the following
Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients

\[ |a_n|, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}; \ \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \]

is still an open problem. In the literature, there are only a few works determining the general coefficient bounds \(|a_n|\) for the analytic bi-univalent functions ([11, 16, 33, 36, 38]).

The study of operators plays an important role in Geometric Function Theory in Complex Analysis and its related fields. Recently, the interest in this area has been increasing because it permits detailed investigations of problems with physical applications. For example, many derivative and integral operators can be written in terms of convolution of certain analytic functions. For functions

\[ f_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \ (j = 1, 2) \]

analytic in \( \mathbb{D} \), we define the Hadamard product of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) as

\[ (f_1 * f_2)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n = (f_2 * f_1)(z) \ (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{1.2} \]

In terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), the Dziok-Srivastava linear convolution operator involving the generalized hypergeometric function was introduced and studied systematically by Dziok and Srivastava [14, 15] and (subsequently) by many other authors (see, for details, [17, 18, 29]). We recall here a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function \( \Phi(z, s, a) \) defined in [31] by

\[ \Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s} \]

\((a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-; s \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1)\), where \( \mathbb{Z}^- := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N} \). Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function \( \Phi(z, s, a) \) can be found in [9], and the references stated there in (see also [20], [21, 30]). Srivastava and Attiya [30] (also see [2, 8, 19]) introduced and investigated the linear operator:

\[ \Omega^\mu_b : A \rightarrow A \]

defined in terms of the Hadamard product by

\[ \Omega^\mu_b f (z) = \left( G^\mu_b \ast f \right)(z), \ (z \in \mathbb{D}; \ b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}^-; \mu \in \mathbb{C}; \ f \in A), \tag{1.3} \]

where, for convenience,

\[ G^\mu_b(z) := (1+b)^\mu \left[ \Phi(z, \mu, b) - b^{-s} \right] \ (z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{1.4} \]

Next, we recall the following relationships which follow easily by using (1.1), (1.3) and (1.4)

\[ \Omega^\mu_b f (z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+b}{n+b} \right)^\mu a_n z^n. \tag{1.5} \]
Motivated essentially by the Srivastava-Attiya operator, Murugusundaramoorthy [25] introduced the generalized integral operator $\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}$ given by

$$\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n^{m}(b,\mu,k)a_nz^n,$$

(1.6)

where

$$C_n^{m}(b,\mu,k) = \left| \frac{(1+b)^n}{n+b} \right| \frac{m!(n+k-2)!}{(k-2)!(n+m-1)!}$$

(1.7)

and (throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters $\mu, b$ are constrained as $b \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$; $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, k \geq 2$ and $m > -1$. It is of interest to note that $\Omega_{\mu,b}^{1,2}$ is the Srivastava-Attiya operator and $\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}$ is the well-known Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator (see [22]). Suitably specializing the parameters $m,k,\mu$ and $b$ in $\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k} f(z)$, we can get various integral operators introduced by Alexander [1] and Bernardi [4], Ling and Liu [22], Livingstone [23].

2. Preliminaries

Conformal maps of the unit disk onto convex domains are a classical topic. Recently Avkhadiev and Wirths [3] discovered that conformal maps onto concave domains (the complements of convex closed sets) have some novel properties.

A function $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to belong to the family $C_0(\alpha)$ if $f$ satisfies the following conditions:

- $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with the standard normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. In addition it satisfies $f(1) = \infty$,
- $f$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ conformally onto a set whose complement with respect to $\mathbb{C}$ is convex,
- the opening angle of $f(\mathbb{D})$ at $\infty$ is less than or equal to $\pi\alpha$, $\alpha \in (1,2]$.

The class $C_0(\alpha)$ is referred to as the class of concave univalent functions and for a detailed discussion about concave functions, we refer to Avkhadiev and Wirths [3], Cruz and Pommerenke [10] and references there in.

In particular, the inequality

$$\Re \left( 1 + z\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

is used - sometimes also as a definition - for concave functions $f \in C_0(\alpha)$ (see e.g. [27] and others).

Bhowmik et al. [5] showed that an analytic function $f$ maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto a concave domain of angle $\pi\alpha$, if and only if $\Re(P_f(z)) > 0$, where

$$P_f(z) = \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} - 1 - z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right].$$
There has been a number of investigations on basic subclasses of concave univalent functions (see, for example [12], [28]). To establish our new subclass we require the following Definition.

**Definition 1.** Let the functions \( h, p : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \) be so constrained that
\[
\min \{ \Re(h(z)), \Re(p(z)) \} > 0
\]
and
\[
h(0) = p(0) = 1.
\]

Motivated by each of the above definitions, we now define a new subclass of bi-concave analytic functions involving the generalized integral operator \( \Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k} \).

**Definition 2.** A function \( f \in \Sigma \) given by (1.1) is said to be in the class \( \Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}C_0(\alpha) \) \( (b \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}_0^*; \mu \in \mathbb{C}; k \geq 2; m > -1; \alpha \in (1,2); z, w \in \mathbb{D}) \) the following conditions are satisfied:
\[
\frac{2}{\alpha-1} \left[ \frac{\alpha + 1}{1 - z} - 1 - z \frac{\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}f(z)}{\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}f(z)} \right] \in h(\mathbb{D}) \quad (2.1)
\]
and
\[
\frac{2}{\alpha-1} \left[ \frac{\alpha + 1}{1 + w} - 1 - w \frac{\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}g(w)}{\Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}g(w)} \right] \in p(\mathbb{D}), \quad (2.2)
\]
where \( g(w) = f^{-1}(w) \).

3. Main Results and Their Consequences

We begin by finding the estimates on the coefficients \( |a_2| \) and \( |a_3| \) for functions in the class \( \Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}C_0(\alpha) \).

**Theorem 1.** Let \( f \) given by (1.1) be in the class \( \Omega_{\mu,b}^{m,k}C_0(\alpha) \). Then
\[
|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8(\alpha+1)^2+(\alpha-1)^2(|h'(0)|^2+|p'(0)|^2)}{32[C_7^n(b,\mu,k)]^2}} + \frac{(\alpha^2-1)(|h'(0)|+|p'(0)|)}{8[C_7^n(b,\mu,k)]^2}, \right\}, \quad (3.1)
\]
and
\[
|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha+1)}{2|C_7^n(b,\mu,k)|^2-3C_7^n(b,\mu,k)} + \frac{(\alpha-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{16|C_7^n(b,\mu,k)|^2-3C_7^n(b,\mu,k)}}, \right\}
\]
\[
\sqrt{\frac{8(\alpha+1)^2+(\alpha-1)^2(|h'(0)|^2+|p'(0)|^2)+4(\alpha^2-1)(|h'(0)|+|p'(0)|)}{32[C_7^n(b,\mu,k)]^2}}.
\]
Also, from (3.5), we can write
\[ \frac{(\alpha-1)(|h''(0)|+|p''(0)|)}{48C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}}. \]

From (3.5) and (3.7), we find that
\[ h = \frac{3C_3^{(b,\mu,k)} + \left[ C_3^{(b,\mu,k)} \right]^2 |h''(0)| + \left[ C_3^{(b,\mu,k)} \right]^2 |p''(0)| + 12(\alpha + 1)C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}}{24C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}|C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}|^2 - 3C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}}. \]

**Proof.** Let \( f \in \Omega_{\Sigma,\mu,b}^{m,k}C_0(\alpha) \) and \( g \) be the analytic extension of \( f^{-1} \) to \( \mathbb{D} \). It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that
\[ \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ \frac{\alpha + 1 + z}{2} 1 - w - w \frac{\Omega_{\Sigma,\mu,b}^{m,k}f(z)}{\Omega_{\Sigma,\mu,b}^{m,k}g(w)} \right] = h(z). \]

and
\[ \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ \frac{\alpha + 1 + z}{2} 1 - w - w \frac{\Omega_{\Sigma,\mu,b}^{m,k}g(w)}{\Omega_{\Sigma,\mu,b}^{m,k}f(z)} \right] = p(w), \]

where \( h \) and \( p \) satisfy the conditions of Definition 1. Furthermore, the functions \( h \) and \( p \) have the following Taylor-Maclaurin series expansions:
\[ h(z) = 1 + h_1z + h_2z^2 + \cdots \]

and
\[ p(w) = 1 + p_1w + p_2w^2 + \cdots, \]

respectively. Now, equating the coefficients in (3.3) and (3.4), we get
\[ \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ (\alpha + 1) - 2C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}a_2 \right] = h_1, \]
\[ \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ (\alpha + 1) - 2\left(3C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}a_3 - 2[C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}]^2a_3^2\right) \right] = h_2 \]

and
\[ \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ (\alpha + 1) - 2C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}a_2 \right] = p_1, \]
\[ \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[ (\alpha + 1) + 2\left(2[C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}]^2a_3^2 - 3C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}(2a_3^2 - a_3)\right) \right] = p_2. \]

From (3.5) and (3.7), we find that
\[ h_1 = -p_1. \]

Also, from (3.5), we can write
\[ a_2 = \frac{\alpha + 1}{2C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}} - \frac{h_1(\alpha - 1)}{4C_3^{(b,\mu,k)}}. \]
Next, by using (3.5), (3.7), (3.9) and (3.10), we get
\[
a_2^2 = \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{4[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)}{32[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} - \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1) (h_1 - p_1)}{8[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2}. \tag{3.11}
\]
By adding (3.6) to (3.8), we get
\[
a_2^2 = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(h_2 + p_2)}{8\left[2[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2 - 3C^n_2(b, \mu, k)\right]} - \frac{\alpha + 1}{2\left[2[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2 - 3C^n_2(b, \mu, k)\right]}. \tag{3.12}
\]
Thus, we find from the equations (3.11) and (3.12) that
\[
|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{4[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 (|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2)}{32[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} + \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)(|h'(0)| + |p'(0)|)}{8[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2}
\]
and
\[
|a_2|^2 \leq \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{2\left[2[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2 - 3C^n_2(b, \mu, k)\right]} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)(|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|)}{16\left[2[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2 - 3C^n_2(b, \mu, k)\right]}
\]
Similarly, subtracting (3.8) from (3.6), we have
\[
a_3 = a_2^2 - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(h_2 - p_2)}{24C^n_2(b, \mu, k)}. \tag{3.13}
\]
Then, upon substituting the value of $a_2^2$ from (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.13), we deduce that
\[
a_3 = \frac{(\alpha + 1)^2}{4[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)}{32[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} - \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)(h_1 - p_1)}{8[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} - \frac{(\alpha - 1)(h_2 - p_2)}{24C^n_2(b, \mu, k)}
\]
Consequently, we have
\[
|a_3|^2 \leq \frac{8(\alpha + 1)^2 + (\alpha - 1)^2(|h'(0)|^2 + |p'(0)|^2) + 4(\alpha^2 - 1)(|h'(0)| + |p'(0)|)}{32[C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)(|h''(0)| + |p''(0)|)}{48C^n_2(b, \mu, k)}
\]
and
\[
|a_3|^2 \leq \frac{(\alpha - 1)\left[3C^n_2(b, \mu, k) - [C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2(|h''(0)| + [C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2|p''(0)|)\right]}{24C^n_2(b, \mu, k)[2C^n_2(b, \mu, k)]^2 - 3C^n_2(b, \mu, k)} + 12(\alpha + 1)C^n_2(b, \mu, k)
\]
This completes the proof. □
4. Conclusions

It is easily seen that, by specializing the functions $h(z)$ and $p(z)$ involved in Theorem 1, some results for could be expressed as illustrative examples:

**Corollary 1.** If we set

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\gamma = 1 + 2\gamma z + 2\gamma^2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1),$$

and

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^\gamma = 1 - 2\gamma z + 2\gamma^2 z^2 + \cdots \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1),$$

then inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) become

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}, \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) + (\alpha+1)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}, \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) + (\alpha+1)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}} \right\}.$$

**Corollary 2.** If we let

$$h(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\beta)z}{1-z} = 1 + 2(1-\beta)z + 2(1-\beta)z^2 + \cdots \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

and

$$p(z) = \frac{1 - (1-2\beta)z}{1+z} = 1 - 2(1-\beta)z + 2(1-\beta)z^2 + \cdots \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1),$$

then inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) become

$$|a_2| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1)(1-\beta)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}, \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) + (\alpha+1)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}} \right\}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)(\alpha-1)(1-\beta)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}, \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha-1)(1-\beta) + (\alpha+1)}{2C_2^2(b,\mu,k)}} \right\}.$$
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