Oxidation of Methyl Linoleate Mixed with Methyl Octanoate, Laurate or Palmitate
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The oxidation process of methyl linoleate mixed with methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate at the molar ratio of 1:1 was measured at 65°C. Methyl linoleate with methyl octanoate was oxidized most rapidly, and the oxidation of the substrates mixed with methyl laurate and palmitate followed. Methyl linoleate was mixed with methyl laurate at the molar ratios of 1:3, 1:1 and 3:1, and its oxidation processes were measured. The methyl linoleate mixed at the lower ratio (1:3) was the most retarded. The oxidation processes were well simulated based on the kinetic equation of the autocatalytic type in consideration of the independent evaporation of methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate.
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1. Introduction

Lipid oxidation, which occurs during processing, storage and cooking, damages the sensory and nutritional values of foods containing lipids [1]. The oxidation, which is a complicated process including the initiation, propagation and termination steps, was affected by many factors such as temperature, humidity, and the presence of a pro- or antioxidant [2, 3]. We reported that the presence of saturated or unsaturated fatty acid also affected the oxidation of an unsaturated fatty acid. Addition of a saturated fatty acid of the free or ester form to an unsaturated fatty acid or its ester (substrate) retarded the oxidation of the substrate because the saturated fatty acid or the ester acted as a diluent to decrease the concentration of the substrate [4-6]. The dilution effect of a saturated lipid on the unsaturated one occurred in an oil-in-water emulsion system where droplets of the unsaturated lipid were covered with an emulsifier, the hydrophobic moiety of which was a saturated acyl group [7]. The kinetics for the oxidation of an unsaturated lipid mixed with a saturated lipid was analyzed [4-6] based on the kinetic equation of the autocatalytic type [8-10].

In our previous study on the kinetics of the oxidation at 65°C of linoleic acid or methyl linoleate mixed with one of the lauric, myristic and palmitic acids or their methyl esters [4], no volatility of the fatty acids and their methyl esters was assumed. However, there is a possibility that the unsaturated lipid and the coexisting saturated one are volatilized at 65°C. Especially, methyl esters of fatty acids are prone to evaporation. In this context, the oxidation process of methyl linoleate was re-evaluated in the presence of methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate in consideration of the volatility of the methyl esters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Methyl linoleate (purity, >95%) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan). Methyl octanoate (>98%), methyl laurate (>98%), and methyl palmitate (>95%) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan).

2.2 Oxidation of methyl linoleate

For the oxidation of methyl linoleate in a single-component system, 2 g of methyl linoleate was dissolved in 10 mL of methanol. Fifty microliters of the solution was placed using a micropipette in a flat-bottomed glass cup (1.5 cm i.d. and 3.0 cm height); then the methanol was removed under reduced pressure. About 80 cups were placed in a plastic container. Air was allowed to flow at 5 mL/min (0.03 cm/min) into the container after passage through a column packed with silica gel. The rate of oxygen supplied by the air flow was sufficiently higher than that of oxygen consumed by the oxidation of methyl linoleate. The desic-
cator was stored in a DN-400 oven (Yamato Scientific, Tokyo, Japan) at 65°C. Periodically, a cup was removed, and 1 mL of 0.01 mol/L methyl myristate was added to the cup as the internal standard in gas chromatographic analysis. The unoxidized methyl linoleate was determined by subjecting 1 μL of the mixture to a Shimadzu GC-2014AFsc gas chromatograph (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a hydrogen flame-ionization detector and a DB-1 column (J & W Scientific, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The inner diameter and the length of the column were 0.25 mm and 30 m, respectively. The analytical conditions were a column temperature of 230°C, injection temperature of 260°C, detector temperature of 280°C and N₂ as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 168 mL/min. The ratio of the amount of methyl linoleate to that of methyl myristate was obtained from the areas under their peaks. The fraction of the unoxidized substrate was calculated from the ratio.

Methyl linoleate was mixed with methyl octanoate, methyl laurate or methyl palmitate to produce a weight of 2 g at a molar ratio of 1:1 in 10 mL of methanol in order to measure the oxidation processes of methyl linoleate in a mixed system. The oxidation of methyl linoleate mixed with methyl laurate at molar ratios of 1:3 and 3:1 was also measured. Other procedures were the same as those above-mentioned for the oxidation in the single-component system.

2.3 Additivity in volume of fatty acid esters

In order to measure the density of methyl octanoate, methyl laurate or methyl palmitate, 0.5 g of the methyl ester was put into a 10-mL graduated cylinder, which had been calibrated using distilled water. The cylinder was immersed in a water-bath kept at 25°C for methyl octanoate and laurate or 35°C for methyl palmitate for 10 min, and then the volume was read. A further 0.5 g of the methyl ester was added into the cylinder. The same operations were repeated until 2.5 g of the methyl ester was added.

One gram of methyl linoleate had been put in the graduated cylinder, and 0.5 g of methyl octanoate, methyl laurate or methyl palmitate was added to the cylinder. The mixture was mixed magnetically at 25°C or 35°C for 10 min, and its volume was read. At every 0.5 g of methyl ester added, the volume was read until 2.5 g of the methyl ester was added.

2.4 Volatility of fatty acid ester

Methyl octanoate (16.96 mg), methyl laurate (17.40 mg), methyl palmitate (21.05 mg) or methyl linoleate (22.13 mg) was put in a 6 mm φ × 2.5 mm aluminum cell, and the weight change was measured at 65°C under flowing nitrogen gas at a rate of 20 mL/min using a TGA-50H thermogravimeter (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

Methyl linoleate and methyl laurate were mixed to produce the molar ratio of 1:3, 1:1 or 3:1, and the mixture
was put in the glass cups. The cups were held in the plastic container at 65°C under flowing nitrogen gas at 5 mL/min. A cup was removed and the amount of remaining methyl laurate was determined by gas chromatography as above-mentioned. It was also confirmed by determining the amount of unoxidized methyl linolate that no oxidation of methyl linolate occurred.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Oxidation of methyl linolate

Figure 1 shows the oxidation processes of methyl linolate mixed with methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate at the molar ratio of 1:1 as well as the oxidation process of methyl linolate alone. Methyl linolate without any additive was most rapidly oxidized. The oxidation of methyl linolate mixed with methyl octanoate was slightly retarded compared to that of methyl linolate alone. The reason for the slight difference in the oxidation process between methyl linolate alone and methyl linolate mixed with methyl octanoate will be discussed later based on the volatility of methyl octanoate. The addition of methyl laurate or palmitate to methyl linolate largely retarded the oxidation of methyl linolate. Because the molar volume is larger in the order of methyl palmitate, laurate and octanoate, the concentration of methyl linolate was lower in its equimolar mixtures with methyl palmitate, laurate and octanoate in this order. The lower concentration of methyl linolate would retard its oxidation more.

The oxidation processes of methyl linolate mixed with methyl laurate at the molar ratios of 1:3 and 3:1 were also measured (Fig. 2). The oxidation process of methyl linolate mixed with methyl laurate at the molar ratio of 1:1, which has been shown in Fig. 1, is also shown in Fig. 2 in order to facilitate understanding the effect of the molar ratio on the oxidation of methyl linolate. Methyl linolate was more slowly oxidized at the higher molar ratio of methyl laurate to methyl linolate. Because the concentration of methyl linolate in its mixture with methyl laurate was lower at the higher molar ratio, the slower oxidation of methyl linolate at the higher molar ratio would be ascribed to the dilution effect by methyl laurate [4-6].

3.2 Additivity in volume

The densities of methyl linolate, octanoate and laurate at 25°C were estimated to be 835.3, 879.2 and 861.2 g/L, respectively, in their single-component systems. The density of methyl palmitate at 35°C was also estimated to be 839.8 g/L. The volume, V, of methyl linolate mixed with methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate is calculated by Eq. (1) if the additivity in the volume holds.

\[ V = \frac{W_{ML}}{\rho_{ML}} + \frac{W_{MS}}{\rho_{MS}} \]  

(1)

where \( w \) and \( \rho \) are the weight and density, and the subscripts, ML and MS, indicate methyl linolate and methyl ester of saturated fatty acid (methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate). The densities of methyl linolate, octanoate, laurate and palmitate at 65°C were assumed to be 801.9, 844.0, 826.8 and 806.2 g/L, respectively, with the help of the temperature dependence on density of some methyl esters of fatty acids [11].

The observed volumes of methyl linolate mixed with methyl esters of saturated fatty acids, \( V_{obs} \), are plotted against the volumes calculated by Eq. (1), \( V_{calc} \), in Fig. 3. The plots lie on a diagonal line, indicating that the additivity in volume holds.

3.3 Volatility of fatty acid ester

No decrease in weight was observed for methyl linolate at 65°C under flowing nitrogen gas. The decrease in methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate at 65°C was observed as a function of time. The fractions of evaporated methyl ester, which is calculated by \( (n_{MS}-n_{MS})/n_{MS} \) where \( n_{MS} \) and \( n_{MS} \) are the initial moles of methyl ester and that at any time \( t \), are plotted against \( t \) in dou-

![Fig. 3 Additivity in volume between methyl linolate and methyl octanoate, methyl laurate and methyl palmitate.](image-url)
ble logarithmic chart (Fig. 4). The plots for methyl ester alone lie on a curve with a slope of unity, indicating that the fraction is proportional to the time. Because the fractions of methyl laurate mixed with methyl linoleate at various molar ratios also lie on the line for methyl laurate alone and the molar ratio of methyl laurate to methyl linoleate did not affect the evaporation, it would appear that methyl laurate was evaporated independently of the presence of methyl linoleate. Therefore, the amount of remaining methyl ester, $n_{MS}$, is expressed as the function of $t$ by the following equation:

$$n_{MS} = n_{MS0}(1 - k_{ev} t)$$  (2)

where $k_{ev}$ is the rate constant for the evaporation, and the $k_{ev}$ values were evaluated to be 0.685, 0.0279 and 0.00117 h$^{-1}$ for methyl octanoate, laurate and palmitate, respectively.

### 3.4 Oxidation kinetics for methyl linoleate in the mixed system

The whole process for the oxidation of an n-6 fatty acid or its ester, such as methyl linoleate, could be expressed by the kinetic equation of the autocatalytic type for both single-component and mixed system [4,8,9].

$$dC_{ML}/dt = -k_{oa} C_{ML} (C_{MLA} - C_{ML})$$  (3)

where $C_{ML}$ is the concentration of unoxidized methyl linoleate, $C_{MLA}$ is the total concentration of unoxidized and oxidized substrates, and $k_{oa}$ is the rate constant.

For the oxidation of methyl linoleate alone, Eq. (3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$dY/dt = -k_Y Y (1 - Y)$$  (4)

where $Y = C_{ML}/C_{MLA}$ is the fraction of unoxidized substrate, and $k_Y$ is the rate constant and is related to $k_{oa}$ by Eq. (5):

$$k_Y = k_{oa} C_{MLA}$$  (5)

Equation (4) can be solved under the initial condition of $Y = Y_0$ at $t = 0$ as follows:

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \{k_Y t + \ln [(1 - Y_0)/Y_0] \}}$$  (6)

where $Y_0$ is the parameter corresponding to the initial fraction of unoxidized methyl linoleate. The $k_Y$ and $Y_0$ values, by which the calculated $Y$ values were best-fitted to the experimental ones, were estimated to be 0.435 h$^{-1}$ and 0.981, respectively, using the Solver of Microsoft Excel$^{13}$. The calculated process is given by the dotted curve in both Figs. 1 and 2.

For the oxidation of methyl linoleate mixed with methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate, Eq. (3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$d n_{ML}/d t = -k_{oa} n_{ML} (n_{MLA} - n_{ML})/V$$  (7)

where $n_{ML}$ and $n_{MLA}$ are the moles of unoxidized methyl linoleate and the total moles of unoxidized and oxidized substrates. As mentioned above, the volume, $V$, changes with time because of the evaporation of methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate and is calculated by the following equations:

$$V = n_{MLA} M_{ML}/\rho_{ML} + n_{MS0} (1 - k_{ev} t) M_{MS}/\rho_{MS} \quad (t < t_{ev}) \quad (8a)$$

$$= n_{MLA} M_{ML}/\rho_{ML} \quad (t \geq t_{ev}) \quad (8b)$$

where $M$ is the molecular mass, and $t_{ev}$ is the time when all of the methyl ester of saturated fatty acid is evaporated and is calculated by

$$t_{ev} = 1/k_{ev}$$  (9)

The oxidation processes of methyl linoleate mixed with methyl octanoate, laurate or palmitate were calculated by solving Eqs. (7) and (8) simultaneously as shown by the solid curves in Figs. 1 and 2. The curves coincided with
the experimental results.

Because methyl octanoate was evaporated in the early stage of the process \(t_{eo} = 1.46 \text{ h}\), methyl linoleate mixed with methyl octanoate was oxidized similarly to the substrate alone (Fig. 1). The dilution effect by methyl palmitate was significant because of the low volatility of methyl palmitate and the oxidation of methyl linoleate was largely retarded.

Under the assumption of no volatilization of methyl laurate, the oxidation processes of methyl linoleate mixed with methyl laurate at various molar ratios were calculated and are shown by the broken curves in Fig. 2. The difference between the solid and broken curves was small at the molar ratios of 3:1 and 1:1, while the difference was large at the ratio of 1:3. The broken curve for the ratio of 1:3 was far from the experimental results. This fact indicates that the evaporation of methyl ester of a saturated fatty acid should be considered to exactly predict the oxidation process of an unsaturated fatty acid mixed with the ester.
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オクタン酸メチル、ラウリン酸メチルまたはパルミチン酸メチルを混合したリノール酸メチルの酸化

馬 鉄錠, 高橋朋子, 小林敬, 安達修二
京都大学大学院農学研究科食品生物科学専攻

脂質が酸化すると異臭を生じ、食品としての価値を失うとともに、酸化生成物が人体にとって有害な場合もあるため、脂質を含有する食品ではその酸化を抑制することが大切である。脂質の酸化は、よく知られているように、開始、連鎖および停止の各過程を経て進行する複雑な反応であり、多くの因子がその過程に影響する

[1-3]。著者らは、単一成分のパルク系における n-6 系不飽和脂肪酸またはそれらのエステルの全酸化過程は自発過程の速度式で表現できることを報告した [8-10]。一方、脂質は単一の成分として存在することは少なく、多くの場合は複数の組成をもつ混合物である。そのような系のモデルとして、飽和脂肪酸またはそのエステルを添加した不飽和脂肪酸またはそのエステル（基質と表記）の酸化速度について検討し、飽和脂肪酸またはそのエステルが基質を希釈する効果により、基質の酸化が遅延されることを示した [4-6]。O/W エマルジョン系においても、乳化剤の酸水部に油滴中に引き込み基質を希釈するので、酸化が遅くなることを示した。とくに、乳化剤の酸水部による希釈の効果が顕著にみられるエマルジョンでその効果が顕著であることが示された [7]。

本研究では、飽和脂肪酸またはそのエステルは揮発しないと仮定して解析した。しかし、ガスクロマトグラフにおいて脂質酸は揮発しやすいメチルエステルに変換してから定量されるように、基質の酸化過程の測定中に脂質酸エステルが揮発している可能性がある。とくに、基質を短時間に酸化させるために高温で反応する加速試験ではその可能性が高い。

そこで本研究では、炭素数が異なり揮発のしやすさが異なると考えられるオクタン酸メチル、ラウリン酸メチルまたはパルミチン酸メチルを混合したリノール酸メチルの 65℃における酸化過程を測定した。また、オクタン酸メチル、ラウリン酸メチルまたはパルミチン酸メチルの単一成分系での揮発過程およびリノール酸メチルに種々のモル比で添加したリノール酸メチルの揮発過程を測定した。リノール酸メチルに対して 1:1 のモル比でオクタン酸メチル、ラウリン酸メチルまたはパルミチン酸メチルを添加した場合には、揮発しやすいオクタン酸メチルを添加した場合が最も速く酸化され、ラウリン酸メチル、パルミチン酸メチルの順で酸化が遅延された。また、リノール酸メチルに対してラウリン酸メチルを 1:3、1:1 または 3:1 のモル比で添加した場合には、添加するラウリン酸メチルの割合が濃厚基質の希釈度が大きくなら酸化が遅延された。リノール酸メチルに飽和脂肪酸メチルを添加した系において、リノール酸メチルの存在に影響されることなく飽和脂肪酸は揮発すると考え、残存する飽和脂肪酸メチルによる希釈効果を考慮した自発酸化反応速度式を適用することにより、実測値を良好に表現できた。

実際の脂質は多くの種類のトリアシルグリセロールの混合物であるため、ここで得られた知見が直ちに適用できるわけではないが、比較的揮発しやすい脂質を含有する脂質の酸化過程を考える際には、揮発による体積の変化に伴う基質濃度の変化を考慮すべきであることを示した。