Placental genomic risk scores and early neurodevelopmental outcomes
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Tracing the early paths leading to developmental disorders is critical for prevention. In previous work, we detected an interaction between genomic risk scores for schizophrenia (GRSs) and early-life complications (ELCs), so that the liability of the disorder explained by genomic risk was higher in the presence of a history of ELCs, compared with its absence. This interaction was specifically driven by loci harboring genes highly expressed in placentae from normal and complicated pregnancies [G. Ursini et al., Nat. Med. 24, 792–801 (2018)]. Here, we analyze whether fractionated genomic risk scores for schizophrenia and other developmental disorders and traits, based on placental gene-expression loci (PlacGRSs), are linked with early neurodevelopmental outcomes in individuals with a history of ELCs. We found that schizophrenia’s PlacGRSs are negatively associated with neonatal brain volume in singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies and, in singletons, with cognitive development at 1 y and less strongly, at 2 y, when cognitive scores become more sensitive to other factors. These negative associations are stronger in males, found only with GRSs fractionated by placental gene expression, and not found in PlacGRSs for other developmental disorders and traits. The relationship of PlacGRSs with brain volume persists as an anlage of neurodevelopmental trajectories of risk, particularly in male individuals. While the majority of individuals on this altered neurodevelopmental path likely “canalize” back toward normal development, some may not be rescued and instead “decanalize” toward illness. Specific strategies of prevention may benefit from understanding the unique placental effects of schizophrenia genomic risk and from defining which factors contribute to decanalize the neurodevelopmental trajectories of individuals with a history of risk factors who develop schizophrenia.

Significance

Environmental and genetic risk factors, and the early antecedents of schizophrenia, represent pieces of a puzzle still far from completion. We show that, in infants with a history of prenatal complications, a measure of genomic risk for schizophrenia linked with placental gene expression is associated with early neurodevelopmental trajectories of risk, particularly in male individuals. While the majority of individuals on this altered neurodevelopmental pathway likely “canalize” back toward normal development, some may not be rescued and instead “decanalize” toward illness. Specific strategies of prevention may benefit from understanding the unique placental effects of schizophrenia genomic risk and from defining which factors contribute to decanalize the neurodevelopmental trajectories of individuals with a history of risk factors who develop schizophrenia.
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To investigate the role of placenta biology in the interaction between schizophrenia GRSSs and ELCs, we derived sets of GRSSs based on single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) marking schizophrenia-GWAS loci containing genes highly expressed in placenta and differentially expressed in placenta from complicated compared with normal pregnancies (PlacGRSSs: placental genomic risk scores) and also from the remaining GWAS loci (NonPlacGRSSs: nonplacental genomic risk scores). We found that only PlacGRSSs interacted with ELCs on schizophrenia-case control status, while NonPlacGRSSs did not, implicating genes involved in placenta stress as driving the interaction between genomic risk and ELCs. These interactions were specifically related to placentual gene expression, in that they were not detected when calculating GRSSs based on SNPs marking loci highly expressed or epigenetically regulated in other tissues, including various adult and fetal tissues/embryonic cells, and fetal brain. Finally, we detected a much stronger enrichment of expression of the schizophrenia-risk genes in placentae from male compared with female offspring, suggesting a role of placenta in the higher incidence of schizophrenia in males (14).

We here investigate whether placental genomic risk for schizophrenia as well as several other developmental disorders and traits is linked with early neurodevelopmental outcomes in individuals with a history of ELCs associated with placenta pathophysiology. Abundant evidence shows that ELCs have implications for early developmental trajectories, including brain size, intellectual development, and neuromotor function as well as for schizophrenia later in life (3, 5, 17–19). Based on these prior observations and our earlier findings (14), we hypothesized that schizophrenia PlacGRSSs, in contrast to NonPlacGRSSs, have a negative effect on early developmental outcomes, especially in males. Further consistent with our earlier findings, we hypothesized that this negative relationship is characteristic of the PlacGRSSs constructed from the placental schizophrenia-GWAS loci with the strongest association with the disorder (PlacGRSS1: GWAS $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$; PlacGRSS2: GWAS $P < 1 \times 10^{-8}$). We studied the relationship of PlacGRSSs and NonPlacGRSSs with brain volume in a unique sample of neonates who underwent MRI scanning shortly after birth, and analyzed the relationship with neurocognitive development at 1 and 2 y of age in the same subjects. Finally, we analyzed the relationship of PlacGRSSs and NonPlacGRSSs with brain volume in a sample of adult controls and patients with schizophrenia.

**Results**

**Higher Placental Genomic Risk Predicts Smaller Neonatal Brain Volume.**

As expected, age at scanning, birthweight, and sex were significantly correlated with intracranial volume (ICV) in the whole sample of neonates and, separately, in singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies (SI Appendix, Table S1); they were added as covariates to the analysis, together with genetic principal components and scanning platform. PlacGRSS1 was, as predicted, negatively correlated with neonatal ICV ($n = 242$, $t = -2.949$, $P = 0.0035$; Fig. 1A): Having a higher placental genomic risk for schizophrenia corresponded to a smaller ICV at birth. Similarly, ICV was negatively associated with PlacGRSS2 ($n = 242$, $t = -3.142$, $P = 0.0019$; Fig. 1B). The relationship between PlacGRSSs and ICV was analogous in singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies (Fig. 1).

We have previously shown that NonPlacGRSSs do not interact with ELCs on risk for schizophrenia, and their loci do not contain genes differentially expressed in placenta from complicated pregnancies (14). Consistent with these prior negative associations, there was no association of ICV with NonPlacGRSS1 and 2 (SI Appendix, Table S2).

Further consistent with our previous findings (14), the significance of association with ICV gradually decreased when considering different PlacGRSSs constructed from variants showing association at the lesser thresholds of significance (PlacGRSS3 to 10). No association was found for any NonPlacGRSSs, even though these are thought to contain a higher number of likely true positive risk genes (SI Appendix, Table S2). These results
suggest that ICV at this early age is impacted by the cumulative effect of schizophrenia-risk SNPs, but only by those contained in loci more relevant for placenta pathophysiology (see SI Appendix, Results, Relationship between PlacGRSs, NonPlacGRSs, and Other Brain Volumes...). In neonates and Tables S3–S5 for further details).

Higher Placental Genomic Risk Corresponds to Worse Cognitive Development in Singletons. We next investigated the relationship of placental genomic risk for schizophrenia with infant cognitive development, assessed with the Mullen early learning composite standardized score (20) at 1 (MCS1) and 2 y of age (MCS2). MCS1 was not associated with parental education and socioeconomic status, while it was positively correlated with ICV in the whole sample (SI Appendix, Table S6). ICV was positively associated with MCS1 only in singletons (n = 122, t = 2.195, P = 0.0018; SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The relationship seemed nonlinear in the offspring of multiple pregnancies group (n = 72, t = −0.018, P = 0.9859; SI Appendix, Fig. S1), suggesting that, in the context of a shared uterus, additional factors (i.e., unequal sharing of placenta, ELCs unique to twins) likely affect the relationship of brain size at birth to early cognitive development.

We thus analyzed the relationship between PlacGRSs and MCS1 separately in singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies. In singletons, PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 were negatively correlated with MCS1, either conditioning for ICV (PlacGRS1: n = 122, t = −2.013, P = 0.0465; Fig. 2A; PlacGRS2: n = 122, t = −2.648, P = 0.0093; Fig. 2B) or not conditioning for it (PlacGRS1: n = 122, t = −2.245, P = 0.0267; PlacGRS2: n = 122, t = −2.843, P = 0.0053): Thus, having higher placental genomic risk for schizophrenia corresponded to a lower score of cognitive and neuromotor development at 1 y, and this relationship was not simply mediated by ICV. As expected, such association gradually became less significant at PlacGRSs constructed from variants showing association with schizophrenia at the lesser thresholds of significance (SI Appendix, Table S7). Again, as predicted, no association was found for any NonPlacGRSs (SI Appendix, Table S7). We did not detect association of either PlacGRSs or NonPlacGRSs with MCS1 in the offspring of multiple pregnancies group (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 and Table S7), presumably because of a more complex, nonlinear relationship between early brain growth and cognitive development (SI Appendix, Fig. S1).

As expected, MCS2 was positively associated with MCS1 (SI Appendix, Table S8) and—again particularly in singletons—with ICV. MCS2, in contrast to MCS1, was now also positively associated with socioeconomic status and parental education, suggesting that related genetic/epigenetic factors do moderate cognitive development later in early life (SI Appendix, Table S8). In singletons, the negative relationship between PlacGRSs and cognitive development score was nominally preserved but attenuated on MCS2 for PlacGRS1 (n = 104, t = −1.726, \( P_{\text{one-sided}} = 0.0438; \) SI Appendix, Fig. S3A), and even weaker for PlacGRS2 (n = 104, t = −1.286, \( P_{\text{one-sided}} = 0.1007; \) SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). Again, these negative association trends did not emerge for NonPlacGRSs or for PlacGRSs derived from less significant GWAS loci. No negative relationship was found between PlacGRSs, NonPlacGRSs, and MCS2 in offspring of multiple pregnancies (SI Appendix, Results, Relationship between NonPlacGRSs and Early Cognitive Development and Table S9). Socioeconomic status was associated with MCS2, also conditioning for PlacGRS1 (n = 104, t = 2.926, P = 0.00431) and PlacGRS2 (n = 104, t = 2.986, P = 0.0036) (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C), suggesting that environmental factors can strongly contribute to cognitive scores later in development and that this effect is independent from placental genomic risk.

The Relationship of PlacGRSs with Early Neurodevelopmental Outcomes Is More Marked in Males. As predicted from our earlier data, PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 were significantly correlated
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with ICV in the male sample (PlacGRS1: $n = 133$, $t = -2.362$, $P = 0.0197$; PlacGRS2: $n = 133$, $t = -3.259$, $P = 0.0014$; Fig. 3 A and B) and less so in the female sample (PlacGRS1: $n = 109$, $t = -1.382$, $P = 0.17$; PlacGRS2: $n = 109$, $t = -0.849$, $P = 0.398$; Fig. 3 A and B). In singletons, PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 were negatively associated with MCS at 1 y of age (MCS1) in males (PlacGRS1: $n = 68$, $t = -2.085$, $P = 0.0415$; PlacGRS2: $n = 68$, $t = -2.695$, $P = 0.0092$; Fig. 3 C and D) but not females.

Fig. 3. Sex-related differences in the relationship between placental genomic risk for schizophrenia and early neurodevelopmental outcomes. (A and B) Scatterplots of the relationship of neonatal ICV with placental genomic risk scores for schizophrenia (PlacGRS1, A; PlacGRS2, B) in males ($n = 133$; turquoise dots) and females ($n = 109$; violet dots). (C and D) Scatterplots of the relationship of MCS1 with placental genomic risk scores for schizophrenia (PlacGRS1, C; PlacGRS2, D) in male ($n = 68$; turquoise dots) and female singletons ($n = 54$; violet dots) ($P$ values in the male sample are in turquoise; $P$ values in the female sample are in violet).
Overlap between the various PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 gene sets is surprisingly specific to schizophrenia; the other phenotypes associated with ELCs, including autism (21), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (22, 23), intelligence quotient (IQ) (24), diabetes (25, 26), body mass index (BMI) (25–27), height (28), depression (29–31), and bipolar disorder (BD) (31, 32). Notably, no negative significant associations of the respective PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 for any of these disorders were found with neonatal ICV in a sample of singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies, similar to our previous work on placental genomic risk for schizophrenia based on gene expression in the placenta (PlacGRSs) that specifically interacts with ELCs in the presence of a history of ELCs (12, 33), and Table S9). This negative association is stronger in males (PlacGRS1: n = 120, β = −129.62, P\textsubscript{one-sided} = 0.01; PlacGRS2: n = 120, β = −110.61, P\textsubscript{one-sided} = 0.005; Fig. 5 C and D and SI Appendix, Table S15). Although the sample size in females was smaller than in males, the bigger effect sizes in males (β = −129.62 and −110.61) compared with the whole sample (β = −86.64 and −85.65) suggest that the relationship between PlacGRSs and brain volume in patients with schizophrenia is driven by the male patients (SI Appendix, Table S15). No sex-related differences were found in controls (all P > 0.69; SI Appendix, Table S16).

**Discussion**

Understanding the trajectories leading to neurodevelopmental disorders is a big challenge but a necessary one to design strategies aimed at prevention. We previously defined a component of genomic risk for schizophrenia based on gene expression in placenta (PlacGRSs) that specifically interacts with ELCs in predicting risk of schizophrenia (14). In this work, we have analyzed whether, in the presence of a history of ELCs often associated with placenta pathophysiology, placental genomic risk for schizophrenia is associated with early neurodevelopmental outcomes, shortly after birth and at 1 and 2 y of age.

We found that placental genomic risk is negatively associated with neonatal ICV in a sample of singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Table S2) and, in singletons, correlates with worse cognitive development at 1 y (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Table S7) and shows a directionally similar but attenuated effect at 2 y (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A and B and Table S9). This negative association is stronger in males (Fig. 3), unique to the PlacGRSs for schizophrenia (i.e., absent in the NonPlacGRSs), and unique to those derived from the loci with the strongest GWAS association with the illness (PlacGRS1 and 2). Each of these clinical associations is consistent with our earlier associations with gene expression in placenta (14) implying a statistically orthogonal biology of a placental component of genomic risk for schizophrenia compared with a nonplacental component, especially in males.

Our results further suggest that, at least in the presence of ELCs, genetic variants relevant for the placental response to stress, but eventually associated with schizophrenia, may cumulatively affect brain growth (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Table S2), deviating the neurodevelopmental trajectory toward the eventual disease phenotype. This same trajectory, however, may branch out into different subtrajectories, in response to various genetic and environmental factors. In singletons, our results indicate that the developmental trajectory may channel into worse cognitive development at 1 and 2 y of age; in offspring of multiple pregnancies, however, despite a similar association with PlacGRSs and brain growth at birth, other events may intervene in the more complex context of a shared uterus, to translate into a different cognitive outcome early in life.

Even in the presence of relatively worse early cognitive development, other factors may soon dilute the impact of such environmental and genetic adversities, likely enabling what Conrad Waddington referred to as “canalization” (37, 38), or a trajectory leading toward “normal” neurodevelopment. Waddington expounded a metaphorical model for ontogeny as a contoured landscape with hills and valleys representing genetic and environmental

**Placental Genomic Risk for Schizophrenia and Brain Morphology in Adults.** The relationship between PlacGRSs and cognitive outcome present early in life, especially in males, is presumably diluted by other factors mitigating toward normal development, particularly in healthy individuals, which most of our sample will likely become. In contrast, the developmental trajectory of patients who will manifest schizophrenia in early adulthood may bear telltale remnants of these early risk effects. Consistent with this possibility, in adult healthy controls there was no association of ICV with PlacGRSs (Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Table S14), but a small but significant negative relationship of PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 with ICV was found in patients with schizophrenia (PlacGRS1: n = 154, β = −86.64, P\textsubscript{one-sided} = 0.04; PlacGRS2: n = 154, β = −85.65, P\textsubscript{one-sided} = 0.01; Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Results, Other Brain Volumes Analyses in Patients with Schizophrenia and Table S14).

We term these analyses by sex and found that PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2 were correlated with ICV only in male patients (PlacGRS1: n = 120, β = −129.62, P\textsubscript{one-sided} = 0.01; PlacGRS2: n = 120, β = −110.61, P\textsubscript{one-sided} = 0.005; Fig. 5 C and D and SI Appendix, Table S15), while the association was not significant in females (PlacGRS1: n = 34, β = −7.65, P = 0.95; PlacGRS2: n = 34, β = −19.97, P = 0.83; Fig. 5 C and D and SI Appendix, Table S15). Although the sample size in females was smaller than in males, the bigger effect sizes in males (β = −129.62 and −110.61) compared with the whole sample (β = −86.64 and −85.65) suggest that the relationship between PlacGRSs and brain volume in patients with schizophrenia is driven by the male patients (SI Appendix, Table S15). No sex-related differences were found in controls (all P > 0.69; SI Appendix, Table S16).
Interactions that bias or “canalize” toward a normal phenotype. Consistent with this abstraction, the negative relationship between PlacGRSs and cognitive development in singletons at 1 y (Fig. 2 and SI Appendix, Table S7) is statistically weakened at 2 y (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A and B and Table S9), when cognitive scores are more sensitive to environmental factors such as parental education and socioeconomic status (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C and Table S8), and also are more likely influenced by inherited components of intellectual capacity. We assume that such “recanalization” takes place for most of our sample of infants, as they will likely become, as adults, healthy subjects. Consistently, when analyzing the relationship between PlacGRSs and ICV in adult healthy subjects, we do not detect any association (Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Table S14). Conversely, we might expect that, in some individuals, other factors, genetic and environmental, contribute to decanalize the neurodevelopmental trajectory toward the disorder, in a dynamic where the relationship between higher placental genomic risk and early neurodevelopmental phenotypes is preserved, rather than rescued. Indeed, in adult patients with schizophrenia, higher placental genomic risk for schizophrenia corresponds to a smaller MRI volume of the brain (Fig. 5 A and B and SI Appendix, Table S14). We speculate that, while this effect in adult patients is very small, it is remarkable that any remnant of placental risk is observed in these adult individuals, considering that the negative effect of PlacGRSs on brain volume may have been weakened in our sample by the presence of patients with no histories of complicated pregnancies, which our earlier clinical study (14) and the current data indicate is especially relevant for outcomes based on PlacGRSs.

Our data also indicate that the neurodevelopmental trajectory of risk associated with higher PlacGRSs may be more important in male individuals. Indeed, we found stronger negative associations in males, compared with females, of PlacGRSs, with 1) brain volume in neonates (Fig. 3 A and B), 2) cognitive development scores at 1 y of age (Fig. 3 C and D), and 3) brain volume in adult patients with schizophrenia (Fig. 5 C and D and SI Appendix, Table S15). These sex-biased findings suggest that factors acting in placenta may explain the higher incidence of schizophrenia in males, consistent with our previous evidence of a higher expression of risk genes for schizophrenia in male placentae (14) and with animal studies showing higher vulnerability of males to the effects of prenatal stress (39, 40).

We do not find similar associations with our construction of placental genomic risk for several other developmental disorders and traits (SI Appendix, Tables S10–S12), which was unexpected given that prenatal development and ELCs also affect risk for these phenotypes (21–32, 41, 42). These negative results, however, echo that the GWAS-significant loci genes for most of these disorders and traits are not dynamically modulated in placenta (SI Appendix, Table S13), in contrast to a set of the top risk genes for schizophrenia (14), and that PlacGRS genes for these phenotypes show little overlap with PlacGRS genes for schizophrenia (Fig. 4 A and SI Appendix, Fig. S4), which highlight basic cellular responses to stress (Fig. 4 B and Datasets S1 and S2) as unique risk factors for this condition.

A limitation of this study is represented by the fact that, although PlacGRSs are genomic predictors derived by placental gene-expression data, the considerable overlap in cell biology between brain and placenta does not allow us to exclude that part of the effect of the PlacGRSs on early neurodevelopmental outcomes is related to a more direct effect in the brain of the PlacGRS genes on brain development. However, we believe that the absence of a significant relationship of NonPlacGRSs with brain volume and MCSs is consistent with the possibility that genomic predictors based on placental gene expression may have
Our data suggest that specific strategies of prevention may benefit from understanding the unique placental effects of schizophrenia genomic risk and from defining which factors in combination contribute to decanalize the neurodevelopmental trajectories of individuals with a history of early-life complications who develop schizophrenia.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design.** The main objective of this study is to analyze whether the use of placental genomic predictors may help to detect early trajectories of risk for neurodevelopmental disorders, potentially allowing an implementation of preventive strategies starting in early life. Our previous evidence (14) indicated an interaction between genomic risk for schizophrenia and ELCs driven by genes highly expressed in placenta and differentially expressed in placenta from complicated pregnancies in the loci with the strongest association with the disorder compared with other loci; we therefore hypothesized that genomic predictors of schizophrenia based on placental gene expression (PlacGRSs), and constructed from variants showing the strongest association with the disorder (PlacGRS1: GWAS $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$; PlacGRS2: GWAS $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$), have a negative effect on early developmental outcomes in infants with a history of ELCs, in contrast to genomic predictors not related to placenta biology (NonPlacGRSs). Because in our previous work we detected an up-regulation of schizophrenia-risk genes in placentae from male compared with female offspring, we also hypothesized a stronger relationship between schizophrenia’s PlacGRSs and early neurodevelopmental outcomes in male infants. We therefore calculated placental and non-placental genomic risk scores of schizophrenia and, to address the potential specificity of our prior findings, we also calculated analogous genomic risk scores for other developmental disorders and traits, based on GWAS-associated loci harboring genes relevant for placenta biology (PlacGRSs) and loci not related to placenta biology (NonPlacGRSs). We first analyzed the relationship of these GRSS with neonatal brain volume, measured shortly after birth, in a sample of 242 singletons and infants with a history of ELCs; we then analyzed the relationship of the GRSS with infant cognitive development at 1 and 2 y of age in two subsets with available data from the same sample (see below and Table 1 for details). Because we detected a negative relationship between schizophrenia’s PlacGRSs and early brain growth, we further analyzed whether this relationship was preserved in a sample of 269 adult healthy subjects and 154 patients with schizophrenia, hypothesizing to replicate a negative relationship in male patients with schizophrenia.
Sample. GRs and brain volume were analyzed in 242 infants from the University of North Carolina (UNC) Early Brain Development Study, a longitudinal study (principal investigator [PI]: J.H.G.) of infants at high risk for psychiatric disorders, and typically developing infants, approved by the institutional review boards of UNC and Duke University Medical Center (DUMC). Pregnant women were recruited from the prenatal diagnostic clinics at UNC, DUMC, and other local clinics. Informed consent was obtained from a parent at enrollment and at each follow-up visit. Because, consistent with our previous findings (14), we hypothesized an effect of PlacGRs on brain development in the presence of ELCs, we included in our analysis only neonates with qualifying ELCs (severity score ≥ 4; see below for details on ELC scoring). Our sample included 147 singletons (66 females, 81 males) and 95 unrelated offspring of multiple pregnancies (43 females, 52 males), selecting the twin with the highest ELC severity score of each pair (see below for details). We included only Caucasian infants, because GRs are derived from summary statistics of GWASs performed on Caucasians. We analyzed the relationship of GRs with infant cognitive development at 1 y of age in a subsample of 194 individuals with available data, which included 122 singletons (54 females, 68 males) and 72 unrelated offspring of multiple pregnancies (33 females, 39 males). The relationship between GRs and infant cognitive development at 2 y of age was investigated in a subset of 158 infants with available data from the same sample (104 singletons: 48 females, 56 males; 54 offspring of multiple pregnancies: 21 females, 33 males).

We also analyzed the relationship of PlacGRs and NonPlacGRs with brain volume measurements in adult controls (n = 269, 154 females, 115 males) and patients with schizophrenia (n = 154, 34 females, 120 males). All participating subjects in this analysis were recruited as part of the Clinical Brain Disorders Branch Sibling Study (CBDB) of schizophrenia at the National Institute of Mental Health (PI: D.R.W.). The institutional review board of the Intramural Program of the National Institute of Mental Health approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained after a complete description of the study was provided to the participants. Exclusion and inclusion criteria have been previously reported (43). Only Caucasians of European ancestry were included to reduce population stratification artifacts.

Demographic characteristics of the samples are reported in Table 1.

Genotyping

UNC-DUMC sample. DNA was extracted from buccal samples using standard methods described in the Puregene DNA Purification Kit (Gentra Systems). Genotyping was performed using Affymetrix Axiom Genome-Wide LAT arrays. Quality control was performed using PLINK (version 1.07) (44) as reported elsewhere (11). Additionally, SNPs were excluded if they failed the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test (P < 10\(^{-6}\)) and if they had minor allele frequency less than 1%. Imputation was performed using MaCH-admix (45) with 1000 Genomes phase 3 as the reference panel (46–48).

CBDB sample of adult healthy subjects and patients with schizophrenia. DNA was extracted from blood samples using standard procedures, and genotyping was done using Illumina BeadChips (510K/610K/660K/2.5M). Because different platforms were used for genotyping, the analyses in this sample were adjusted for genotyping batch indicator.

Genomic Risk Score Calculation. GRs (also called PRSs) for schizophrenia were calculated as previously described (14, 49, 50). Briefly, GRs are a measure of genomic risk (49) calculated as the sum of risk alleles of index SNPs from GWASs on schizophrenia (11, 50), weighted for the strength of association, that is, the odds ratio (11). As previously described (11, 14, 49, 50), we performed linkage disequilibrium pruning and “clumping” of the SNPs, discarding variants within 500 kb of, and in r\(^2\) ≥0.1 with, another (more significant) marker. We multiplied the natural log of the odds ratios of each index SNP by the imputation probability for the corresponding effective allele for the odds ratio at each variant, and summed the products over all variants, so that each subject had whole-genome GRs. Ten GRs (GRS1 to 10) were calculated using subsets of SNPs selected according to the GWAS P-value thresholds of association with schizophrenia: 5e-08 (GRS1), 1e-06 (GRS2), 1e-04 (GRS3), 0.001 (GRS4), 0.01 (GRS5), 0.05 (GRS6), 0.1 (GRS7), 0.2 (GRS8), 0.5 (GRS9), and 1 (GRS10). SNPs in sets with lower P values are also in sets with higher P values (for example, SNPs in GRS1 are included in GRS2, SNPs in GRS2 are included in GRS3, and so on), so that the analyses with a different level of GRs, like PlacGRS1 and PlacGRS2, are not independent. We calculated PlacGRs for schizophrenia, based on the GWAS SNPs marking loci containing genes highly expressed in placenta and differentially expressed in placenta from complicated,

Table 1. Sample composition and main characteristics

| Sample          | Analysis   | N      | Age at assessment, mean ± SD | Sex | Birthweight, g, mean ± SD | Maternal education, y, mean ± SD | Paternal education, y, mean ± SD | Total household income, $, mean ± SD |
|-----------------|------------|--------|-------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| UNC-DUMC Brain volume | Singletons  | 147    | 24.12 ± 14.3 d                | 66 F, 81 M | 3,335 ± 576               | 15.77 ± 3                      | 15.59 ± 3.3                     | 75,857 ± 52,491                   |
|                 | Offspring of multiple pr. | 95     | 40.19 ± 20.9 d                | 43 F, 52 M | 2,364 ± 490               | 16 ± 2.7                        | 15.96 ± 3.1                     | 93,616 ± 56,753                   |
| MCS1 subsample Singletons | 122    | 379.4 ± 21.8 d                | 54 F, 68 M | 3,337 ± 598               | 15.77 ± 2.8                     | 15.66 ± 3.3                     | 76,466 ± 51,897                   |
|                 | Offspring of multiple pr. | 72     | 404.2 ± 24.9 d                | 33 F, 39 M | 2,393 ± 495               | 15.99 ± 2.6                     | 15.50 ± 3.1                     | 88,962 ± 58,123                   |
| MCS2 subsample Singletons | 104    | 745.9 ± 25.9 d                | 48 F, 56 M | 3,376 ± 610               | 15.94 ± 2.7                     | 15.79 ± 3.2                     | 78,044 ± 51,596                   |
|                 | Offspring of multiple pr. | 54     | 769.6 ± 24.3 d                | 33 F, 21 M | 2,409 ± 497               | 16.02 ± 2.6                     | 15.37 ± 2.9                     | 91,537 ± 60,745                   |
| CBDB Brain volume H5 | 269      | 31.95 ± 9.5 y                 | 154 F, 115 M | 34.32 ± 9.9 y             | 15.77 ± 2.8                     | 15.89 ± 3.2                     | 87,740 ± 60,983                   |

Column 4 reports the number (N) of singletons (rows 1, 3, and 5) and offspring of multiple pregnancies (rows 2, 4, and 6) in the UNC-DUMC sample used for the neonatal brain volume analysis (rows 1 and 2), in the subsamples used for the analysis of MCS1 (rows 3 and 4) and MCS2 (rows 5 and 6), and in the CBDB sample of adult H5 (row 7) and patients with SZ (row 8). Column 5 reports the age at assessment in the same sample, expressed in days in the UNC-DUMC sample and in years in the CBDB sample. Column 6 reports the number of females (F) and males (M) in each sample. Columns 7, 8, 9, and 10 report, respectively, birthweight (g), maternal education (y), paternal education (y), and socioeconomic status, estimated by total household income ($), in the UNC-DUMC sample. No significant (P < 0.05) association was detected between PlacGRs and any of these variables.
compared with normal, pregnancies, as in our previous work (14), and we compared their relationship with brain volume and infant cognitive development with GRSS derived from the SNPs marking the remaining GWAS-significant and nonsignificant loci (NonPlacGRSSs). Therefore, the set of SNPs used for the calculation of PlacGRSSs and NonPlacGRSSs is the same as used in our previous work (14) (Dataset S3). For this calculation, we selected as “placental” the loci containing genes differentially expressed ($P < 0.05$) in at least four of the eight datasets of placentae from pregnancies complicated with ELCs and the loci containing genes with expression in the upper decile both in trophoblast and in villi (14); we selected as “nonplacental” the remaining loci. This is the same approach used in our previous work (14), where we have provided details of the publicly available datasets used to identify genes highly expressed in placenta and differentially expressed in placentae from pregnancies complicated with preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). This choice may be a limitation, because the ELCs detected in our sample represent heterogeneous conditions, not limited to preeclampsia and IUGR. However, we used gene-expression data from placentae with pre-eclampsia and IUGR because they represent two paradigmatic placental diseases, characterized by ischemic processes, with impaired migration of extravillous trophoblasts and impaired spiral artery remodeling (51–53), and often associated with an altered inflammatory response of the placenta (54–56). Indeed, ischemic disease processes—with impaired trophoblast invasion and deficient remodeling of the maternal spiral arteries—as well as an altered inflammatory response may represent common denominators in the mechanisms underlying many ELCs detected in our sample (52, 55, 57). Preeclampsia and IUGR are in fact frequently linked with many other ELCs detected in our samples (58–61), and they are themselves classic severe ELCs (severity level $\geq 4$ on the McNeil–Sjöström scale) that have been associated with increased risk for schizophrenia, and also where the primary affected cells have been isolated and studied ex vivo (3, 62, 63). Since both preeclampsia and IUGR are ELCs attributed to a shallow invasion of the uterine interstitial compartment from cytotrophoblasts (3, 62–64), we also analyzed a dataset of cytrophoblasts cultured ex vivo under conditions of different invasiveness.

Odds ratios and index SNPs for GRSS calculation were derived from a meta-analysis of Psychiatric Genomics Consortium GWAS datasets excluding the CBDB (acc_lie_eur) sample. Index SNPs selected for the calculation of standard schizophrenia GRSSs, PlacGRSSs, and NonPlacGRSSs were the same in the UNC-DUMC and CBDB samples, and they are reported in Dataset S3. Of note, the PlacGRS loci have similar average effect sizes in the case-control GWAS datasets, compared with the NonPlacGRS loci. In an analogous way and to address the possible specificity to schizophrenia of the PlacGRS relation with brain volume and infant cognitive development, we calculated PlacGRSSs and NonPlacGRSSs using summary statistics of the latest GWASs for several other complex disorders and traits, and we analyzed their relationship with the same phenotypes. Specifically, we calculated PlacGRSSs for autism (65), ADHD (66), IQ (67), type 2 diabetes (68), BMI (69), height (69), major depression (70), and BD (71).

Assessment of Early-Life Complications. Because in our previous work (14) we found that placental genomic risk for schizophrenia predicts liability to the disorder especially in the presence of ELCs, we hypothesized its association with deviations manifesting early in life in infants with a history of ELCs. We therefore selected our sample by including only individuals with a history of potentially serious ELCs. ELCs are here referred to as “somatic complications and conditions occurring during pregnancy, labor-delivery, and the immediate neonatal period” potentially harmful for the offspring, with special focus on the central nervous system (CNS) (72). These events are also referred to elsewhere as “obstetric complications” (72, 73). We assessed ELCs through medical records and scored them using the McNeil–Sjöström scale for obstetric complications (72). Each ELC is assigned a severity score of 1 to 6, based on the degree of inferred potential harm to the offspring CNS. ELCs with severity weight $\geq 4$ are considered potentially harmful or relevant factors in fetal stress. As in other reports (72–75), we defined ELC exposure based on the presence of at least one serious ELC (severity score $\geq 4$), and identified the severity score of each individual as the highest severity score of all the ELCs occurring in that individual. Individuals were excluded if the information was incomplete or inconsistent, or if the presence of a complication was certain but a severity weight could not be safely determined. As a consequence, only subjects with certain information about the presence of serious ELCs were included in our analyses. All the infants included in our analyses had at least one ELC potentially associated with placenta pathophysiology, that is, none had complications occurring only during neonatal life (details of the ELCs detected in our sample are reported in Dataset S4).

Brain volume assessment in neonates. Image acquisition. Scans were acquired either on a Siemens Allegra head-only 3T scanner (n = 218) or on a Siemens TIM Trio (n = 24; Siemens Medical Solutions). Infants were swaddled, fed, and fitted with ear protection. For the Allegra, structural T1-weighted images were initially acquired with a fast-low angular shot sequence (FLASH; repetition time (TR) 15 ms, echo time (TE) 7 ms, flip angle 25°, spatial resolution $1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \text{mm}^3$) (n = 9). For the remaining subjects (n = 209), a three-dimensional magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo sequence (3D MP-RAGE; TR 1,820 ms, TE 4.38 ms, flip angle 7°) was employed with spatial resolution of $1 \times 0.9 \times 0.9 \text{mm}^3$ for the first 5 subjects and $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{mm}^3$ for the next 204 subjects. For Trio, T1-weighted images were acquired with a 3D MP-RAGE sequence (TR 1,820 ms, TE 3.75 ms, flip angle 7°, spatial resolution $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{mm}^3$) (n = 24).

T2-weighted and proton density images were acquired on the Allegra using turbo-spin echo (TSE) sequences. For the first subject the parameters were TR 7,000 ms, TE1 18 ms, TE2 108 ms, flip angle 150°, spatial resolution $1 \times 1 \times 3.9 \text{mm}^3$. The remaining subject (n = 217) parameters were TR range 5,270 to 6,200 ms, TE1 range 20 to 21 ms, TE2 range 119 to 124 ms, flip angle 150°, spatial resolution $1.25 \times 1.25 \times 1.95 \text{mm}^3$. The first two subjects scanned on the Trio also used a TSE sequence (TR 6,200 ms, TE1 17 ms, TE2 116 ms, flip angle 150°, spatial resolution $1.25 \times 1.25 \times 1.95 \text{mm}^3$). We then upgraded to a 3D T2 SPACE protocol (TR 3,200 ms, TE 406 ms, flip angle 120°, spatial resolution $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{mm}^3$) (n = 22). We previously found the platform (Allegro or TIM Trio) had a significant impact on gray matter volume and a marginal effect on ICV, though acquisition parameters within each platform did not significantly affect brain volumes (48). Platform was therefore included as a covariate in all the analyses.

Image analysis. Brain tissue was automatically segmented into gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) using an infant MRI-specific atlas-based expectation–maximization segmentation algorithm utilizing both T1- and T2-weighted images as previously described (76–78). This processing further performs automatic brain masking, as well as correction of intensity inhomogeneities. ICV was quantified as the sum of total CSF, WM, and GM volumes. All segmentations were visually quality-assessed for anatomical accuracy by an expert rater, who was blind to any information about PlacGRSSs. Segments of insufficient quality were rejected and not included in the analysis, and they corresponded to $\sim 20\%$ of the whole UNC-DUMC sample.
Assessment of Infant Cognitive Development at 1 and 2 y of Age. Cognitive ability was assessed at ages 1 and 2 using the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (20). Measures of gross motor, fine motor, visual reception, and expressive and receptive language were collected by experienced testers. The age-standardized z scores of the last four scales were combined into a Mullen early learning composite standardized score (range 49 to 155, mean 100, SD 15).

Statistical Analyses. All statistical analyses were performed in the R environment (80), version 4.0.2. We used multiple linear regression to test the relationship of GRSs with 1) neonatal brain volume measurements and 2) early learning composite scores derived from the Mullen Scales of Early Learning (20). In each analysis, we used ancestry-based principal components as covariates (11). Additional covariates were based on potential confounders associated with the phenotypes examined, as explained below.

1) Brain volume analysis: Because age of scanning, birthweight, and sex were significantly correlated with intracranial volume in the whole sample and, separately, in singletons and offspring of multiple pregnancies (SI Appendix, Table S1), they were therefore added as covariates to the analysis, together with genetic principal components and scanning platform (see also SI Appendix, Results, Sensitivity Analyses for further analysis on the potential effect of scanning platform). We analyzed the relationship between GRSs and WM, GM, and CSF volumes with the same covariates, and also adjusting for ICV.

2) MCS analysis: MCS at 1 y was associated with ICV in singletons (SI Appendix, Table S6), which was therefore added as a covariate to the analysis, together with age of evaluation and genetic principal components. Analyses without adjusting for ICV were also performed with consistent results. MCS at 2 y was associated with intracranial volume and socioeconomic status in singletons and with parental education in offspring of multiple pregnancies (SI Appendix, Table S8); these variables were therefore added as covariates to the analysis, together with age of evaluation and genetic principal components.

In all these analyses, we report two-sided P values, except in the analysis on the relationship of PlacGRS1 and 2 with MCS2, based on our specific hypotheses and the directionality of findings on, respectively, the analogous scores at 1 y (MCS1) and neonatal brain volume. Sensitivity analyses were performed after excluding one potential outlier for brain volumes, with consistent results (see SI Appendix, Results, Sensitivity Analyses and Tables S17–S20 for details). We retained this individual in our main analyses, because he is not an outlier in the whole sample of the UNC-DUMC Early Brain Development Study, which represents the population from which our sample is obtained. We also performed sensitivity analyses on the relationship between GRSs and early neurodevelopmental outcomes adding “presence of a maternal psychiatric disorder” as a covariate, and excluding the 26 individuals with this condition, with consistent results, reported in SI Appendix, Tables S21–S23 (see also SI Appendix, Results, Sensitivity Analyses for details).

Finally, to further compare the relationship of PlacGRSs and NonPlacGRSs with brain volume, we created a Lasso model, using the R package glmnet (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=glmnet) (see SI Appendix, Results, Analyses with LASSO Model… for details).

Brain Volume Analysis in Adult Individuals. Global and regional gray matter volumes were examined with VBM (81), using the VBM toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm8/VBM8-Manual.pdf) implemented in Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM12; https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). T1 images were normalized to a tissue probability map provided by the VBM8 toolbox and segmented into GM, WM, and CSF. Default options were used in the estimation. After preprocessing, data quality and segment accuracy were checked by using two functions in the VBM toolbox: “displaying one slice for all images” and “checking sample homogeneity using covariance.” Total GM, WM, and CSF volumes were generated by VBM8. ICV was calculated by adding GM, WM, and CSF (ICV = GM + WM + CSF). Further details on the employed MRI scanners, sequences, and processing strategies have been provided elsewhere (82). Associations of GRSs with VBM were examined blindly in the R environment (80), version 4.0.2. Sex and age were included as covariates of no interest to adjust for potential effects of these variables on brain volume. Before the association analysis, 10 principal components of whole-genome data and genotyping batch indicator for genotyping chip types were projected out from the original GRSs using linear regression in R. Additional analyses were performed stratifying by sex. In all analyses, we report two-sided P values, except in the analysis on the relationship of PlacGRS1 and 2 with intracranial volume in patients with schizophrenia, based on the directionality of the findings in neonates (Figs. 1 and 3 and SI Appendix, Table S2).

Differential Expression of the Risk Loci Genes for Other Disorders and Traits in Placenta from Complicated Pregnancies. We tested whether the genes mapping to the loci showing the strongest association with autism, ADHD, IQ, type 2 diabetes, BMI, height, major depression, and BD were enriched among the genes differentially expressed in placental samples from complicated pregnancies compared with controls, as we did in our previous work on schizophrenia (14). The placental gene expression datasets used for these analyses have been previously described (14), and they include eight datasets, with a comparison of control versus diseased (preeclampsia and IUGR) placenta cells (83–90), and one dataset on normal cultured cytotrophoblasts induced into different states of invasiveness (91). In each dataset, we dropped probes that map to multiple genes and, when more than one probe per gene was present, we selected the one with the highest mean expression. We used the function eBayes in the R package limma (92) to attribute a moderated t statistic to each gene related to differential expression (using the covariates provided by each reporting group); then, we applied the geneSetTest function on the moderated t statistics (results are reported in SI Appendix, Table S13) testing whether the selected sets of genes (that is, PlacGRS1 and 2) (the GRSs showing the most significant association with the disorders or traits) tend to be more highly ranked in differential expression compared with randomly selected genes of the same set size from the other GWAS loci. To have comparable gene sets, the genes mapping to the first 238 loci showing the strongest association with the disorders and traits were included in each gene set, as we did for schizophrenia (14) (where the first 238 loci correspond to the loci with an association with the disorder with GWAS P < 1e-06).

Pathway and Functional Analyses. We investigated whether the placenta-enriched genes that uniquely map to the schizophrenia-risk loci, and are not contained in the placental gene sets of other disorders and traits, are enriched for particular biological features. These specific placental gene sets correspond to the 66 PlacGRS1 genes and 108 PlacGRS2 genes, also represented in the last bars of the UpSetR plots of Fig. 4 and SI Appendix, Fig. S4. Data were analyzed through QIAGEN’s Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (https://www.qiagen.com/ingenuity). The software determines the pathways and biological processes enriched for a given set of genes by considering the number of focus genes that participate in each process and the total number of genes that are known to be associated with that process in the selected reference set. We performed the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis “core” analysis, using default parameters (reference set: Ingenuity Knowledge Base; relationships: direct and indirect; node types: all; data sources: all; confidence: experimentally observed...
and high; species: human, mouse, and rat; tissues and cell lines: all; mutations: all). We chose a P-value calculation based on the Benjamini–Hochberg method of accounting for multiple testing in the canonical pathway and functional analyses.

Data Availability. To ensure reproducibility of our results, we report in Dataset S3 the set of SNPs used for the calculation of PlacGRS and NonPlacGRS, and in Dataset S4 the list of ELCs detected in our sample. The publicly available datasets used for gene set tests are accessible in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under the following accession numbers: GSE41249, GSE35574, GSE10588, GSE25906, GSE12216, GSE40182, GSE12767, GSE25861, and GSE65271. To protect the privacy of the study participants, the individual genetic, ELC and neurodevelopmental data generated and analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We are grateful to the Lieber and Maltz families for their visionary support that funded the analytic work of this project. We thank all of the participants in the study and their families. We thank the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium for providing the statistics for GRS calculation, and Thomas F. McNeil for providing the scale for ELC scoring. We also thank all the authors of the publicly available placental datasets that have been used in this study; Richard Straub for his thoughtful review of the data and manuscript; and Giampiero Arciero and Marco Quattrelli for helpful discussions. The collection of the genetic and MRI data for the sample and adult controls and patients with schizophrenia was supported by direct funding from the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Mental Health to the Clinical Brain Disorders Branch (PI: D.R.W.; Protocol 95-M-0150, NCT0001486, Annual Report ZIA MH02942-05). G.U. received partial support from P50MH094268. K.X. was supported by the Foundation for the Study of Mental Illness (a National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia & Depression (NARSAD) Young Investigator Grant from the Brain & Behavior Research Foundation, and The John and Polly Sparks Foundation, Bank of America, Trustee.

Ursini et al.
Placental genomic risk scores and early neurodevelopmental outcomes

1. A. S. Brown, E. J. Derkits, Prenatal infection and schizophrenia: A review of epide
miologic and translational studies. Am. J. Psychiatry 167, 261–280 (2010).
2. P. H. Patterson, Neuroscience. Maternal effects on schizophrenia risk. Am. J. Psychiatry
176, 1045–1055 (2019).
3. M. Cannon, P. B. Jones, R. M. Marmot, Obstetric complications and schizophrenia: Historical and meta-analytic review. Am. J. Psychiatry 159, 1080–1092 (2002).
4. C. Davies et al., Prenatal and perinatal risk and protective factors for psychosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 7, 399–410 (2020).
5. C. H. Liu, M. S. Keshavan, E. Tronick, L. J. Seidman, Perinatal risks and childhood premorbidity indicators of later psychosis: Next steps for early psychosocial interven
Schizophr. Bull. 41, 801–816 (2015).
6. F. Jones, B. Rodgers, R. Murray, M. Marmot, Child development risk factors for adult schizophrenia in the British 1946 birth cohort. Lancet 334, 1398–1402 (1994).
7. M. Ishannì, G. K. Murray, J. Koolen, L. C. D. Peter, B. P. Jones, The persistence of development markers in childhood and adolescence and risk for schizophrenia psychoses in adulthood: A 34-year follow-up of the northern Finland 1966 birth cohort. Schizophr. Res. 71, 213–225 (2004).
8. T. M. Hyde et al., Enuresis as a premorbid developmental marker of schizophrenia. Brain 131, 2489–2498 (2008).
9. J. McGrath, S. O. Chant, J. Welham, Schizophrenia: A concise overview of inci
dence, prevalence, and mortality. Epidemiol. Rev. 30, 67–76 (2008).
10. P. F. Sullivan, The genetics of schizophrenia. PLoS Med. 2, e212 (2005).
11. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature 511, 421–427 (2014).
12. P. F. Sullivan et al., Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Genetic architecture of schizophrenia: An update and an agenda. Am. J. Psychiatry 175, 15–27 (2018).
13. A. B. Zheutlin et al., Penetrance and pleiotropy of polygenic risk scores for schizo
phrenia in 106,160 patients across four health care systems. Am. J. Psychiatry 176, 846–853 (2019).
14. G. Ursini et al., Convergence of placenta biology and genetic risk for schizophrenia. Nat. Med. 24, 792–801 (2018).
15. A. Bonnin et al., A transient placental source of serotonin for the fetal forebrain. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 60, 425–437 (2013).
16. N. Goeden et al., Maternal inflammation disrupts fetal neurodevelopment via in
creased placental output of serotonin to the fetal brain. J. Neurosci. 36, 6041–6049 (2016).
17. T. Rogne et al., Fetal growth, cognitive function, and brain volumes in childhood and adolescence. Obstet. Gynecol. 125, 673–682 (2015).
18. F. Schulsinger et al., Cerebral ventricular size in the offspring of schizophrenic mothers: A preliminary study. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 41, 602–606 (1984).
19. A. M. Releveyl, M. A. Releveyl, R. M. Murray, Cerebral ventricular enlargement in non
 genetic schizophrenia: A controlled twin study. Br. J. Psychiatry 144, 89–93 (1984).
20. K. L. Stapples, M. MacDonald, C. Zimmer, Assessment of motor behavior among chil
ren and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder. Int. Rev. Res. Dev. Disabil. 42, 347–358 (2014).
21. T. R. Glasson et al., Perinatal factors and the development of autism: A population study. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 61, 618–627 (2004).
22. T. Zhu et al., Association between perinatal hypoxic-ischemic conditions and atten
tion-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analysis. J. Child. Neurol. 31, 1235–1244 (2016).
23. G. M. Maher et al., Association of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy with risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 75, 809–819 (2015).
24. J. S. Ussmann, A. M. McIntosh, S. M. Lawrie, E. C. Johnstone, Obstetric complications and mild to moderate intellectual disability. Br. J. Psychiatry 194, 224–228 (2009).
25. D. S. Fernandez-Twinn, L. Hjort, B. Novakovic, S. E. Ozanne, R. Saffery, Intrauterine growth restriction of very small for date diabetes. Diabetologia 62, 1789–1801 (2019).
26. P. Zimet, Z. Shi, A. J. Osta, L. Ji, Epidemiemnom JD2DM, early development and epigenetics: Implications of the Chinese Famine. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 14, 738–746 (2018).
27. N. M. Hammond et al., Long-term BMI and growth profiles in offspring of women with gestational diabetes. Diabetologia 61, 1037–1045 (2018).
28. S. Koziel, E. Zgdrzinska, A. Gumola, Parental smoking during pregnancy and head shape and size in school children. Am. Hum. Biol. 45, 401–405 (2018).
56. C. W. Redman, G. P. Sacks, I. L. Sargent, Preeclampsia: An excessive maternal inflammatory response to pregnancy. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 180, 499–506 (1999).

57. G. J. Burton, E. Jauniaux, The cytotrophoblastic shell and complications of pregnancy. Placenta 60, 134–139 (2017).

58. S. S. Kwag et al., Maternal substance use disorders and infant outcomes in the first year of life among Massachusetts singletons, 2003–2010. J. Pediatr. 191, 69–75 (2017).

59. B. W. J. Mol et al., Pre-eclampsia. Lancet 387, 999–1011 (2016).

60. S. Lisonkova, K. S. Joseph, Incidence of preeclampsia: Risk factors and outcomes associated with early versus late-onset disease. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 209, 544.e1–544.e12 (2013).

61. H. A. Wollmann, Intrauterine growth restriction: Definition and etiology. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 736, 175–182 (1994).

62. C. Dalman, P. Allebeck, J. Cullberg, C. Grunewald, M. Köster, Obstetric complications and the risk of schizophrenia: A longitudinal study of a national birth cohort. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 56, 234–240 (1999).

63. M. Byrne, E. Agerbo, B. Benneweis, W. W. Eaton, P. B. Mortensen, Obstetric conditions and risk of first admission with schizophrenia: A Danish national register based study. Schizophr. Res. 97, 51–59 (2007).

64. S. J. Fisher, Why is placentation abnormal in preeclampsia? Placenta 29 Suppl. 1, S145–S160 (2008).

65. J. Groves et al., Autism Spectrum Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; BUPGEN; Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium; 23andMe Research Team, Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. Nat. Genet. 51, 431–444 (2019).

66. D. Demonis et al., ADHD Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC); Early LifeCourse & Genetic Epidemiology (EAGLE) Consortium; 23andMe Research Team, Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nat. Genet. 51, 63–75 (2019).

67. S. Snijders et al., Genome-wide association meta-analysis of 78,308 individuals identifies new loci and genes influencing human intelligence. Nat. Genet. 49, 1107–1112 (2017).

68. A. Xie et al., eQTLGen Consortium, Genome-wide association analyses identify 143 risk variants and putative regulatory mechanisms for type 2 diabetes. Nat. Commun. 9, 2941 (2018).

69. L. Yengo et al., GIANT Consortium, Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in ~700000 individuals of European ancestry. Hum. Mol. Genet. 27, 3641–3649 (2018).

70. N. R. Wray et al., eQTLGen; 23andMe; Major Depressive Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nat. Genet. 50, 668–681 (2018).

71. E. A. Stahl et al., eQTLGen Consortium; BIOS Consortium; Bipolar Disorder Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. Nat. Genet. 51, 793–803 (2019).

72. F. M. McNeil et al., Obstetric complications in histories of monzygotic twins discordant and concordant for schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 89, 196–204 (1994).

73. K. K. Nicodemus et al., Serious obstetric complications interact with hypoxia-regulated vascular-expression genes to influence schizophrenia risk. Mol. Psychiatry 13, 873–877 (2008).

74. G. Ursini et al., Stress-related methyltransferase of the catechol-O-methyltransferase Val 158 alleles predicts human prefrontal cognition and activity. J. Neurosci. 31, 6692–6698 (2011).

75. H. Verdoux, A. L. Sutter, E. Glätting-Dallay, A. Minisini, Obstetrical complications and the development of postpartum depressive symptoms: A prospective survey of the MATQUID cohort. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 106, 212–219 (2002).

76. M. Prastava, J. H. Gilmore, W. Lin, G. Geier, Automatic segmentation of MR images of the developing newborn brain. Med. Image Anal. 9, 457–466 (2005).

77. K. Grewen et al., Prenatal cocaine effects on brain structure in early infancy. Neuroimage 101, 114–123 (2014).

78. R. C. Knickmeyer et al., A structural MRI study of human brain development from birth to 2 years. J. Neurosci. 28, 12176–12182 (2008).

79. E. M. Mullen, Mullen Scales of Early Learning Manual (American Guidance Service, Circle Pines, MN, ed. AGS, 1995).

80. R Development Core Team, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2008).

81. J. Ashburner, K. J. Friston, Voxel-based morphometry—The methods. Neuroimage 11, 805–821 (2000).

82. H. Tost et al., No effect of a common allelic variant in the reelin gene on intermediate phenotype measures of brain structure, brain function, and gene expression. Biol. Psychiatry 68, 105–107 (2010).

83. H. Nishizawa et al., Comparative gene expression profiling of placentas from patients with severe pre-eclampsia and unexplained fetal growth restriction. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 9, 107 (2011).

84. L. Guo et al., Differentially expressed microRNAs and affected biological pathways revealed by modulated modularity clustering (MMC) analysis of human preeclamptic and IUGR placentas. Placenta 34, 599–605 (2013).

85. V. Stras et al., Differential placental gene expression in severe preeclampsia. Placenta 42–43 (2009).

86. S. Tsai et al., Transcriptional profiling of human placentas from pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia reveals dysregulation of sialic acid acetylator and immune signalling pathways. Placenta 32, 175–182 (2011).

87. V. Stras, R. Paulssen, J. Leivik, A. Vartun, G. Acharya, Placental gene expression profile in intrauterine growth restriction due to placental insufficiency. Reprod. Sci. 16, 701–711 (2009).

88. V. Zhou et al., Reversal of gene dysregulation in cultured cytotrophoblasts reveals possible causes of preeclampsia. J. Clin. Invest. 123, 2862–2872 (2013).

89. S. A. Founds et al., Altered global gene expression in first trimester placentas of women destined to develop preeclampsia. Placenta 30, 15–24 (2009).

90. C. E. Dunk et al., A distinct microvascular endothelial gene expression profile in severe IUGR placentas. Placenta 33, 285–293 (2012).

91. A. R. Hight et al., Hypoxia induced HIF-1/HIF-2 activity alters trophoblast transcriptional regulation and promotes invasion. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 94, 589–602 (2015).

92. J. J. Goeman, P. Bühlmann, Analyzing gene expression data in terms of gene sets. Bioinformatics 23, 980–987 (2007).

93. J. R. Conway, A. Lex, N. Gehlenborg, UpSetR: An R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties. Bioinformatics 33, 2938–2940 (2017).