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Abstract

Here, we show that the first isomorphism theorem, the orbit-stabilizer theorem, and the non-uniqueness of solutions of underdetermined linear systems are all manifestations of the same underlying algebraic property. We will call this algebraic property \textit{kernel atomicity}. It arises principally because homomorphic maps induce partitions of their domain space into cosets, ‘atoms’ whose cardinalities are equal to that of the kernel.
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1 Introduction: Kernel Atomicity

The kernel of an algebraic map is the set of elements that are mapped onto the identity. When this map is a homomorphism, it induces a partition of the domain space into cosets, ‘atoms’ whose cardinalities are equal to the cardinality of the kernel. Here, we refer to this as kernel atomicity. It derives principally because composition of any given element of the domain by any element in the kernel has no effect on the given element’s image.

In the reminder of the paper we proceed as follows: In Subsection (1.1) we state the first isomorphism theorem; in Subsection (1.2) we state the orbit stabilizer theorem; in Section (2) we present the manifestations of kernel atomicity, specifically, in Subsection (2.1) we show how the non-uniqueness of solutions of underdetermined linear systems is a manifestation of kernel atomicity, in Subsection (2.2) we show how the first isomorphism is a manifestation of kernel atomicity, and in Subsection (2.3) we show how the orbit stabilizer theorem is a manifestation of kernel atomicity. In Section (3) we conclude with a summary of the paper.

1.1 The First Isomorphism Theorem

The isomorphism theorems have played a unifying role in algebra since their discovery by Emmy Noether and Richard Dedekind (Noether (1927); Van der Waerden et al. (1931)). The first isomorphism theorem states that for \( G \) a group, \( H \) a subgroup of \( G \), \( \pi \) a homomorphism from \( G \) onto \( H \), and \( \text{Ker}(\pi) \) the kernel of \( \pi \); the quotient group \( G/\text{Ker}(\pi) \) is isomorphic to \( H \).

1.2 The Orbit Stabilizer Theorem

Given a group \( G \) and a set \( X \), consider the group action \( \Pi : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(X,X) \), where \( \text{Bij}(X,X) \) is the group of bijections of \( X \) onto itself. \( \Pi(g) = \pi(g) \), where \( \pi(g) : X \rightarrow X \). The stabilizer of \( x \) in \( G \), denoted \( \text{Stab}_G(x) \), is the subgroup of \( G \) for which \( x \) is a fixed point under the associated bijections. Symbolically, \( \text{Stab}_G(x) = \{ g \in G \mid \pi(g)x = x \} \). The orbit of \( x \) in \( G \), denoted \( \text{Orb}_G(x) \), is the set of image points of \( x \) under the action of elements of \( G \). Symbolically, \( \text{Orb}_G(x) = \{ y \in X \mid y = \pi(g)x \text{ for some } g \in G \} \). The orbit-stabilizer theorem states that the cardinality of the orbit of \( x \) in \( G \) is the cardinality of \( G \) divided by the cardinality of \( \text{Stab}_G(x) \). Symbolically, \( |G|/|\text{Stab}_G(x)| = |\text{Orb}_G(x)| \).

2 Manifestations of Kernel Atomicity

2.1 Underdetermined Linear System

Consider a linear transformation \( L \) which maps a non-trivial element, \( x \), to the identity, i.e. \( Lx = 0 \). Then the kernel of \( L \) consists of scalar multiples of \( x \), i.e. \( \text{Ker}(L) = \{ cx \mid c \in \mathbb{R} \} \). Therefore \( |\text{Ker}(L)| = \infty \). If we then consider the non-homogenous system of equations \( Ly = b \) with solution \( y \neq 0 \). It follows that \( y + cx \) is also a solution \( \forall c \in \mathbb{R} \), because \( L(y + cx) = L(y) + L(cx) = L(y) + cL(x) = b + c \cdot 0 = b \). Hence the solution is not unique because the pull-back of every point in the image space has infinite cardinality. This infinite cardinality is directly inherited from the kernel.
2.2 First Isomorphism Theorem

Given the homomorphic onto map $\pi : G \rightarrow H$. $\pi(x) = \pi(xy) \forall y \in \text{Ker}(\pi)$. This holds because $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y) = \pi(x)e_H = \pi(x)$, where $e_H$ is the identity in $H$. Therefore the pull-back of every image point $\pi(x)$ in $H$ is at least as large as $\text{Ker}(\pi)$, and contains elements of the form $x\text{Ker}(\pi)$. To establish that there are no other types of elements in the pull-back of $\pi(x)$, and to establish that the size of the pull-back is exactly equal to the cardinality of $\text{Ker}(\pi)$, consider a $y \neq x$ such that $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$. Then $\pi(x)[\pi(y)]^{-1} = e_H$, therefore $\pi(xy^{-1}) = e_H$, therefore $xy^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(\pi)$, therefore $x \in y\text{Ker}(\pi)$. Similarly, $y \in x\text{Ker}(\pi)$. $x$ and $y$ are therefore in the same coset by $\text{Ker}(\pi)$. This establishes the atomicity of the kernel, and consequently, an isomorphism between the quotient space $G/\text{Ker}(\pi)$ and $H$.

2.3 Stab$_G(x)$ is a Kernel

Here we prove the orbit stabilizer theorem and show how it derives from kernel atomicity. Consider the homomorphism $\Pi : G \rightarrow \text{Bij}(X,X)$. Then $\forall$ bijection $\pi(g)$ such that $\pi(g)x = x$, the action of $\pi(g)$ is the same as that of the identity map restricted to $x$. From this $x$-centric view, i.e. restriction of the identity map to $x$, the resulting kernel is: $\{g \in G \mid \pi(g)x = x\} = \text{Stab}_G(x)$. Hence under restriction to $x$, the kernel of $\Pi$ is the stabilizer of $x$ in $G$. Symbolically, $\text{Ker}(\Pi|_x) \simeq \text{Stab}_G(x)$. For each element $\pi|_x (g)$ of $\text{Bij}(X,X)|_x$ which is not analogous to the identity map, $\pi(g)x \neq x$. Let $\pi(g)x = y$. Then $\forall h \in \text{Stab}_G(x)$, $\pi(g)\pi(h)x = \pi(g)y = y$. Therefore $\pi(gh) = y \forall h \in \text{Stab}_G(x)$. Therefore the pull-back of every image point $\pi(g)x$ is at least as large as $\text{Stab}_G(x)$ and contains elements of the form $g\text{Stab}_G(x)$. To establish that there are no other types of elements in the pull-back, and to establish that the size of the pull-back is exactly equal to the cardinality of $\text{Stab}_G(x)$, consider the following: $g,q \in G$ such that $g,q \notin \text{Stab}_G(x)$, and such that $\pi(g)x = \pi(q)x$. Therefore $[\pi(q)]^{-1}\pi(g)x = x$. Therefore $\pi(q^{-1}g)x = x$. Therefore $q^{-1}g \in \text{Stab}_G(x)$, hence $g \in q\text{Stab}_G(x)$, and similarly $q \in g\text{Stab}_G(x)$. $g$ and $q$ are therefore in the same coset by $\text{Stab}_G(x)$. This proves the orbit-stabilizer theorem that the cardinality of $\text{Stab}_G(x)$ must divide the cardinality of $\text{Orb}_G(x)$. And since $\text{Ker}(\Pi|_x) \simeq \text{Stab}_G(x)$, this is a manifestation of kernel atomicity.

2.4 Homomorphism is Injective $\iff$ Kernel $= \{e_G\}$

Given a homomorphism $\pi$, the pull-back of every image point has a cardinality equal to that of the kernel. Therefore if the kernel contains only the identity, every image point pulls back to a unique element of the domain, and the map is necessarily injective. Conversely, if the map is injective then the pull-back of every image point, $\pi(x)$, is a singleton, $\{x\}$, for some $x \in G$. Since the pull-back of each image point is a coset in $G/\text{Ker}(\pi)$, it follows that $\text{Ker}(\pi)$ must be the identity element. Symbolically, $x\text{Ker}(\pi) = \{x\} \Rightarrow \text{Ker}(\pi) = \{e_G\}$. 
3 Summary

On the surface, the first isomorphism theorem, the orbit stabilizer theorem, and the non-uniqueness of solutions of underdetermined linear systems appear algebraically different. In this paper, we explicitly showed that they are manifestations of the same underlying algebraic property. This property which we termed kernel atomicity, refers to the partitioning of the domain of homomorphic maps into cosets, ‘atoms’ whose cardinalities are equal to the cardinality of the kernel. The kernel of homomorphic maps thereby determines the granularity of partition, and consequently, the ‘atomic size’. As a corollary, when the kernel consists only of the identity element, the homomorphism is necessarily injective.
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