hMENA is a key regulator in endothelin-1/β-arrestin1–induced invadopodial function and metastatic process
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Abstract

ABKeratin corelease of EMT regulators, to promote persistent invasive signaling (9–14). How ever, a detailed knowledge of how ET-1 could regulate critical effectors of invadopodia in the invasive process remains to be addressed.

Among the genes of the “invasion signature” up-regulated in metastatic tumor cells, the human enabled homolog (ENAH) encodes for the 570-aa actin regulatory hMENA protein (17). hMENA, belonging to the Ena/VASP family, is involved in cell adhesion and migration (18, 19). The hMENA gene undergoes a splicing process generating multiple isoforms that are expressed in specific tissues, and its pattern of expression has been proposed as a marker of tumor progression (20). Among these isoforms in breast (21), lung (22), and pancreatic cancer (23), it has been demonstrated that the two alternatively expressed splicing isoforms of hMENA—hMENAΔv6; an epithelial-associated splice variant with an additional exon (exon 11a) (24), and hMENAΔv6, a mesenchymal-associated isoform lacking exon 6 (21)—have opposite roles in cell invasion and migration. hMENAΔv6 is expressed only in the invasive breast, pancreatic, and lung cell lines (21–23). A different splice variant isoform of hMENA, MENAINV, with an additional exon after the EVH1 domain, has been described as a regulator of invadopodial function and cell invasiveness in response to EGF signaling in breast cancer cells (25). The authors declare no conflict of interest.
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Significance

Discovering new targets and novel determinants of metastatic spread is an unmet need in ovarian cancer, which is plagued by high rates of recurrence. Endothelin-1 receptors (ET-1R), belonging to the G-protein-coupled receptor family, represent important targets critically involved in malignant progression. Here we identify a mechanistic link between ET-1R and the actin regulatory protein hMENA/hMENAΔv6 through the specific interaction with the multifunctional protein β-arrestin1 (β-ar1), which initiates signaling cascades as part of the molecular complex crucial for invadopodial maturation and malignant dissemination. Targeting ET-1R by using macitentan, a Food and Drug Administration-approved antipulmonary arterial hypertension drug, can impair the β-ar1-mediated signaling network controlling ovarian cancer progression and therefore represents a therapeutic option for ovarian cancer patients.
Here, to define how SOC cells acquire the plasticity for invadopodial formation and maturation driven by the ET-1 axis, and considering the role of hMENA in invadopodia, we delineate an important role for hMENA/hMENAΔv6 as a critical regulator of ET-1/β-arr1-induced cytoskeleton architecture changes and invasive protrusion function in malignant progression.

**Results**

**Expression of hMENA in Human Ovarian Cancer.** To assess the pathological/physiological functions of hMENA (ENAH) in OC, two published mRNA expression profiles, obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), have been evaluated (26). ENAH mRNA is significantly up-regulated in OC tissues and, in particular, in HG-SOC tumors, compared with normal tissues (Fig. 1 A and B). Consistently, analysis of a clinically annotated patient cohort of HG-SOC revealed mRNA expression profiles, obtained from the National Cancer Institute (https://www.cancer.gov/geo/), that ENAH mRNA is significantly up-regulated in OC tissues and, in particular, in HG-SOC tumors, compared with normal tissues (Fig. 1 A and B). Consistently, analysis of a clinically annotated patient cohort of HG-SOC treated with chemotherapy for advanced-stage disease (26), indicating that the formation of a tripartite complex with PDZ-RhoGEF, a member of the RhoGEF family (15, 16), we tested whether hMENA links the PDZ-RhoGEF complex supporting the ET-1 signaling. ET-1 induces the formation of a tripartite complex with PDZ-RhoGEF, β-arr1, and hMENA, as shown by co-IP analysis (Fig. 3 A-D). The interaction of β-arr1 with PDZ-RhoGEF or PDZ-RhoGEF with hMENA is not detectable when the cells are silenced for hMENA or β-arr1 (Fig. 3 B and C and Fig. S4 A, D, and E), indicating that ET-1R activation might provide β-arr1 for physical recruitment of PDZ-RhoGEF and hMENA, relocating hMENA to invadopodia, as evaluated by colocalization of hMENA/F-actin/cortactin (Fig. 2 E and Fig. S2). Collectively, these results reveal that hMENA/hMENAΔv6 is a β-arr1 interactor in ET-1R-dependent signaling.

Having demonstrated that the signal-integrating activity of β-arr1 on Rho GTPase is accomplished by its interaction with postsecretory density protein 95/Disk-Large/Zonula occludens (PDZ)-RhoGEF, a member of the RhoGEF family (15, 16), we tested whether hMENA links the β-arr1/PDZ-RhoGEF complex supporting the ET-1 signaling. ET-1 induces the formation of a tripartite complex with PDZ-RhoGEF, β-arr1, and hMENA, as shown by co-IP analysis (Fig. 3 A-D). The interaction of β-arr1 with PDZ-RhoGEF or PDZ-RhoGEF with hMENA is not detectable when the cells are silenced for hMENA or β-arr1 (Fig. 3 B and C and Fig. S4 A, D, and E), indicating that ET-1R activation might provide β-arr1 for physical recruitment of PDZ-RhoGEF and hMENA, relocating hMENA to invadopodia, as evaluated by colocalization of hMENA/F-actin/cortactin (Fig. 2 E and Fig. S2). Collectively, these results reveal that hMENA/hMENAΔv6 is a β-arr1 interactor in ET-1R-dependent signaling.

**hMENA Is an Interactor with β-arr1 upon ET-1R Activation.** Given the ability of β-arr1 to directly interact with cytoskeleton remodeling players, and to act as a mediator of ET-1 signaling (15, 27, 28), we reasoned that ET-1–dependent hMENA localization could arise from its association with β-arr1. Endogenous β-arr1 and hMENA readily coimmunoprecipitate (co-IP) in ET-1–stimulated HEY cells in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2F and Fig. S3A). Macitentan treatment significantly impairs the interaction between β-arr1 and endogenous hMENA or exogenously expressed GEF, indicating that ET-1 through β-arr1 up-regulates hMENA/hMENAΔv6 expression at the transcriptional level. In untreated cells, hMENA is distributed to the cell periphery where it appears to be organized within focal adhesions at the tips of actin filaments (Fig. 2F and B), as shown by confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM) analysis. Upon ET-1 addition, hMENA relocates from the periphery to the cytosol in a time-dependent manner and, between 30 and 60 min, colocalizes in F-actin/cortactin–enriched dot-like structures in the ventral region of the cells, while treatment with macitentan, as well as silencing of β-arr1, abrogates the ET-1–induced relocation of hMENA (Fig. 2E and S2), demonstrating that the overexpression of hMENA/hMENAΔv6 v6 isoform.

Collectively, these findings untangle the interconnected roles of RhoC GTPases, a specific GEF, and hMENA activated by ET-1/β-arr1 for the formation of invadopodia.
hMENA Is Recruited to Mature Invadopodia in ET-1/β-arr1 Signaling.
To evaluate whether the recruitment of hMENA/hMENAΔv6 is crucial in ET-1-induced invadopodia and ECM degradation, we plated SOC cells into fluorescently labeled gelatin. Cells stimulated with ET-1 readily degrade the gelatin substrate, and hMENA localizes to a proteolytically degraded area (Fig. S5). Moreover, hMENA colocalizes with cortactin within the degraded area in ET-1-stimulated cells, but not in cells treated with macitentan or silenced for β-arr1 (Fig. 4A), confirming the ET-1/β-arr1-mediated relocation of hMENA within active, matrix-degrading invadopodial protrusions. Furthermore, ET-1–treated cells show colocalization of invadopodia markers, as cortactin, MT1-MMP, or TKS5 in the matrix degradation area, which is inhibited by macitentan or by hMENA or β-arr1 silencing (Fig. 4B and 4C), confirming the role of β-arr1/hMENA in ET-1–promoted maturation of invadopodia, which recruit MT1-MMP to fully degrade ECM.

Cortactin contains two tyrosine phosphorylation sites (Y421, Y466) to regulate invadopodium formation and maturation, triggered also by involvement of Src (30, 31). ET-1 stimulation produces an increase in cortactin phosphorylation (Y421), which is inhibited by treatment with macitentan or with the Src inhibitor PP2 (Fig. 4C and D). Moreover, a significant inhibition of ET-1–induced, Src-mediated cortactin phosphorylation is evident upon silencing of hMENA (Fig. 4C), indicating that hMENA/hMENAΔv6 is involved in tyrosine phosphorylation of cortactin to regulate invadopodium maturation downstream from ET-1/β-arr1 signaling.

hMENA Is Required for ET-1R/β-arr1–Dependent Protease Secretion, Cell Plasticity, Transendothelial Migration, and Invasion.

The temporal and spatial control of MMP activity is one of the important functions of invadopodia. Inhibition of ET-1–induced MMP-2/9 activation and cell invasion is detected upon macitentan treatment, as well as hMENA or β-arr1 silencing, implying a role for ET-1R–dependent β-arr1/hMENA interaction in enhanced proteolytic activity (Fig. 5 A and B) and cell invasion (Fig. S7A).

Previous studies described vasculogenic mimicry (VM) as the functional plasticity of aggressive cancer cells that form vascular networks by regulating dynamic formation of actin-based structures (32). SOC cells form connective structures resembling a network-like appearance with long polygonal shape and cellular protrusions after addition of ET-1, as quantified with the number of nodes, junctions, and branches, which are markedly impaired by macitentan as well as by silencing of β-arr1 (Fig. 5C and Fig. S7B). Interestingly, the down-regulation of hMENA significantly disrupts the formation of VM channels, as evidenced by most tubes remaining open and an increase in dissociative cells (Fig. 5C).
To determine how SOC cells extravasate from the blood vessels upon ET-1 stimulus, we evaluated the ET-1-stimulated transendothelial migration capacity of cells, through a monolayer of human umbilical endothelial cells. This capacity is significantly enhanced compared with control cells, and is strongly reduced by macitentan or silencing of hMENA or β-arr1 (Fig. 5D and Fig. S7C). Together, these results imply that the integration with hMENA/hMENAΔv6 is critical in ET-1/β-arr1–promoted cell plasticity and extravasation through the matrix degradative machinery.

Macitentan Impairs Malignant Progression in Vivo by Interfering with the ET-1R/β-arr1/hMENA Pathway. Next, we analyzed the effects of macitentan on SOC invasive behavior and molecular effectors of invadopodia in i.p. orthotopic OC xenografts. All mice in the control group show the spread to the surface of the peritoneum, intestines, omentum, small bowel, mesentery, liver, and spleen and ovaries (Fig. 6A). In mice treated with macitentan, the average of i.p. nodules is significantly reduced, associated with a well-tolerated toxicity profile (no weight loss in the treated mice; Fig. 6A). Consistent with results obtained in vitro in i.p. nodules from macitentan-treated mice, a significant inhibition of hMENA/hMENAΔv6 expression at mRNA and protein levels and reduction of phosphorylation of cortactin are observed (Fig. 6B and C). These results indicate that the therapeutic efficacy of macitentan to control ET-1R/β-arr1–driven OC progression relies also on its ability to reduce critical invadopodial components, such as hMENA/hMENAΔv6 expression and cortactin activity.

Combined Expression of ETα2R, β-arr1, and hMENA as a Prognostic Gene Signature in SOC Patients. To determine the clinical relevance of our experimental data, we assessed the prognostic effect of EDNRA, ARBB1, and ENAH mRNA expression (Affymetrix and Fig. S7B). Moreover, hMENAΔv6 overexpression mimics the addition of ET-1, indicating that hMENA/hMENAΔv6 play an important role in VM induced by ET-1R/β-arr1 activation, involved in ECM remodeling and protrusion formation.

Fig. 3. ET-1 induces the association of hMENA with β-arr1 and PDZ-RhoGEF to regulate RhoC activation. (A) Protein lysates from HEY cells stimulated with ET-1 for 60 min were immunoprecipitated with control IgG or pan-hMENA Ab. (B) SCR- and β-arr1-siRNA–transfected HEY cells were stimulated with ET-1 for 60 min. Protein lysates were immunoprecipitated with pan-hMENA Ab. (C) SCR- or hMENA-siRNA transfected HEY cells were stimulated with ET-1 for 60 min. Protein lysates were immunoprecipitated with β-arr1 Ab. For A–C, input and immunoprecipitation were analyzed by WB for the indicated proteins, and representative blots are shown. (D) Rhotekin beads were used to pull down RhoC-GTP from SCR-, hMENA-, β-arr1–siRNA–, or PDZ-RhoGEF–siRNA–transfected HEY cells induced with ET-1 for 5 min. GTP pull-down and input were analyzed by WB for the indicated proteins and representative blots are shown.

Fig. 4. hMENA is recruited to mature invadopodia in ET-1/β-arr1 signaling. (A) CSLM analysis of CTR- or β-arr1–siRNA–transfected HEY cells, plated onto gelatin, and treated with ET-1 and/or MAC for 24 h. Representative images show gelatin (gray), cortactin (green), and hMENA (red). (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (Inset) Higher-power magnification images of the degradation area. Orthogonal views (y–z plane; x–z plane) indicate (arrows) areas of gelatin degradation where hMENA and cortactin are colocalized. (B) CSLM analysis of HEY cells, transfected with SCR or hMENA–, or β-arr1–siRNA–, or were plated onto gelatin and treated with ET-1 and/or MAC for 24 h. Representative image show gelatin (gray), MT1-MMP (green), and cortactin (red). (Scale bar: 30 μm.) (Inset) Higher-power magnification image of degradation areas. Orthogonal views (y–z plane; x–z plane) indicate (arrows) areas of gelatin degradation where cortactin and MT1-MMP are colocalized. (C) Protein lysates from SCR- or hMENA–siRNA–transfected HEY cells, unstimulated or stimulated with ET-1 for the indicated times, or (D) from HEY cells unstimulated or stimulated with ET-1 and/or MAC and/or PP2 for 30 min, were analyzed by WB using anti–phospho-cortactin (Y421) and anticortactin Abs. Representative blots are shown.
ID is 204464 at, EDNRA; 218832 at, ARRB1; 222433 at, ENAH) using www.kmplot.com (33). Kaplan–Meier analysis and the log-rank test demonstrate that EDNRA is predictive of overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in SOC patients (Fig. S8). Interestingly, high EDNRA/ARRB1/ENAH gene expression significantly correlates with a worse prognosis for OS [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.44 (1.14–1.82), P = 0.002] and PFS [HR D 1.46 (1.19–1.8), P = 0.0003], with an enhanced HR (Fig. 6D), compared with the expression of each individual biomarker, or even when they are combined (Fig. S8). Overall, these observations indicate that in the network-based EDNRA/ENAH/ARRB1 expression could be used as a prognostic signature and potential druggable pathway in SOC.

Discussion

The identification of molecular drivers of OC progression is critical for the development of therapeutic approaches in advanced-stage disease. Here, we identified hMENA/hMENAΔv6 as a signal transducer of ET-1R/β-arr1 signaling to induce SOC cell plasticity, invadopodia formation, intravasation, and malignant dissemination. Several studies have demonstrated that ET-1 signaling enables OC cells to acquire the EMT phenotype, thereby increasing cell motility and invasion, and contributes to poor patient outcome (6–8). However, how the actin-cytoskeleton-mediated signaling network driven by ET-1R can regulate tumor progression is still unknown. Here we have identified hMENA/hMENAΔv6 as a binding partner of β-arr1 and as an interconnected regulator of the signaling platform activated in response to ET-1R in SOC cells.

In the present study, we provide an understanding of how ET-1/β-arr1 signals can confer malignant and invasive traits through the modulation of hMENA and the invasive isoform hMENAΔv6 (Fig. S9). Consistent with the opposite role of hMENA isoforms in tumor cell invasion and EMT (21–23), we demonstrate that the proinvasive hMENA/hMENAΔv6 is highly expressed in the most invasive SOC cells, expressing also EMT markers. These isoforms are up-regulated in highly aggressive and metastatic SOC cells by ET-1 signaling, which, conversely, down-regulates the antiinvasive hMENAβ3 isoform. This is in line with previous data indicating that TGF-β1 up-regulates hMENAΔv6, but not hMENAβ3 in pancreatic cancer (23), suggesting that the pattern of hMENA isoform expression mediates the functional effects of different proinvasive factors. In agreement, ET-1R/β-arr1 signaling promotes invadopodia maturation through a sequence of events that require the hMENA/hMENAΔv6/RhoC pathway and the recruitment of cortactin, TKS5, and MT1-MMP to invadopodia for pericellular matrix degradation.

Inputs derived from critical growth factors secreted by tumor cells can create an invasive milieu, acting as drivers of invadopodia in the most aggressive human tumors (3, 4). Although the basic components of invadopodia have been characterized, the complex interactome of invadopodia components and the strongest inputs derived upstream from receptors are poorly understood, suggesting that deciphering the regulators is an essential step to fully understanding invadopodial function and to providing novel druggable pathways. The data presented here emphasize a unique mechanism by which ET-1R/β-arr1 increases expression of the invadopodial components hMENA/hMENAΔv6 and engages them in discrete regions at invadopodia, promoting their formation and subsequent ECM degradation. Of note, ET-1R/β-arr1 mobilizes hMENA to actin/cortactin dots, sustaining its presence in the ventral protrusions. These findings support the hypothesis that hMENA/hMENAΔv6 might favor the efficacy of the proinvasive force to form the degradative protrusions, conferring also a fitness advantage to OC cells able to breach the endothelial barrier and start the transendothelial migration process. The tyrosine phosphorylation of cortactin at invadopodia is necessary for the release of cofilin from cortactin, supporting cofilin-dependent actin polymerization and the maturation of invadopodia into matrix-degrading structures (30, 31). In this regard, we demonstrated that ET-1 regulates cortactin phosphorylation at tyrosine 421 through hMENA/hMENAΔv6 and Src. It has been recently demonstrated that the MenaINV isoform supports invadopodium maturation by increasing cortactin phosphorylation through suppression of the specific phosphatase

Fig. 5. hMENA is involved in ET-1R/β-arr1-induced protease activation, vasogenic mimicry, and transendothelial migration. SCR, hMENA, or β-arr1-siRNA-transfected HEY cells were stimulated with ET-1 and/or MAC for 24 h. (A) Protein lysates were analyzed by WB for the indicated proteins. Representative blots are shown. (B) Culture media of cells treated as above reported were analyzed by gelatin zymography to visualize active forms of MMP-9 and MMP-2. Representative gels are shown. (C) Representative tubule-like structure formation in SCR, hMENA, β-arr1-siRNA-transfected cells or hMENAΔv6-GFP-expressing SKOV3 cells, unstimulated or stimulated with ET-1 and/or MAC for 16 h. Original magnification: 20×. (Scale bar: 100 μm) (D) Fluorescence-labeled HEY cells that had migrated through the endothelial layer were photographed. (Scale bar: 30 μM)
PTP1B activity within invadopodia (34, 35). These results likely reflect that different hMENA isoforms concur with nonredundant functional competence in invadopodial maturation. Indeed, our data, uncovering a role for hMENA/hMENAΔv6 in invadopodial maturation, highlight the role of hMENA isoforms at the crossroads of several growth factor signaling receptors, such as ET-1R, to sensitize tumor cells to form invadopodia and to functionally contribute to the invasive process.

Mounting evidence suggests a direct correlation between the propensity of cancer cells to form invadopodia and a poor prognosis in cancer patients (3, 4). By using a public database, we found that ENAH is overexpressed in OC patients compared with normal tissues. Clinically, in a large cohort of SOC clinical specimens, we integrated high expression levels of EDNRA/ARBR1/ENAH positively correlate with poor prognosis, validating the clinical implication of this druggable pathway and providing a predictive signature in OC progression.

Targeting ET-1R activity by using the small molecule macitentan, which the Food and Drug Administration has approved for nononcological indications, empowering the β-ar1-driven actin-related networks shut down the expression of hMENA and impairs malignant progression of SOC xenografts. The therapeutic advantage of macitentan is not only to target OC cells expressing ET-1R by interfering with the mechanism utilized by β-ar1 to regulate hMENA-signaling networks, but also to target tumor-associated environmental elements, such as vascular, lymphatic, and inflammatory cells and fibroblasts, which all express EtG R (5, 36–38).

Together, this present study highlights a hitherto unappreciated role of the ET-1R/β-ar1 pathway, which integrates hMENA/hMENAΔv6 to regulate invadopodial function and OC progression.

Materials and Methods

Patient Data. All patients provided written informed consent for their data to be collected and analyzed for the current experimental project; the Catholic University of Rome Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved the current experimental project as well as the related consent procedures. We also obtained ethic committee approval at Regina Elena Institute for the current study.

Cell Lines. HEY, SKOV3, and CAOV3 cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and routinely tested for mycoplasma contaminations. Culture condition, drug treatment, ectopic expression and silencing and siRNA transfection, Rho activation assay, gelatin zymography, RNA isolation and analysis (semiquantitative PCR, quantitative real-time PCR), chemoinvasion assay, transendothelial migration assay, vasculoegenic mimicry assay, fluorescent gelatin degradation assay, immunofluorescence, Western blotting, and immunoprecipitation techniques are all described in SI Materials and Methods.

Details of Kaplan–Meyer analysis, statistical analysis, patient data, and in vivo studies are also described in SI Materials and Methods.

In Vivo Assay. Female athymic nu/nu mice were injected intraperitoneally (orthotopic site) with viable SKOV3 (2 × 106) cells. Two weeks after, animals were randomized into two different groups of eight mice undergoing the following treatments for 5 wk: (i) vehicle (control) and (ii) macitentan (30 mg/kg, oral daily). Animals were subjected to experimental protocols approved by the Italian Ministry of Health.
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