RISK MARKERS FOR DEPRESSION IN ADOLESCENTS: SLEEP AND HPA MEASURES
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Abstract

Previous work has demonstrated reliable electroencephalographic (EEG) sleep and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) changes associated with adult major depressive disorder. These changes might be evident before clinical manifestation of the illness in at-risk persons. The aim of the study was to identify depression-related EEG sleep and HPA changes in healthy adolescents at high-risk for depression, and to examine the relationship between EEG sleep (or HPA) changes and the onset of depression. Forty-eight adolescent volunteers with no personal history of a psychiatric illness, including depression, but who were at high-risk for developing depression by virtue of parental depression (high-risk group), and 48 adolescent volunteers with no personal or family history of a psychiatric disorder (normal controls) were recruited. EEG sleep and HPA measures were collected on three consecutive evenings and nights at baseline. Clinical follow-up evaluations were conducted at regular intervals over a 5-year period. Compared with normal controls, adolescents at high-risk for depression had shorter latency to rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, increased phasic REM sleep, more REM sleep and elevated nocturnal urinary free cortisol (NUFC) excretion at baseline. Shorter REM latency, higher REM density and elevated NUFC (measured at baseline) were associated with the development of depression during follow-up. The findings that REM sleep abnormalities and elevated HPA activity occur prior to the onset of depression in at-risk adolescents suggest that these variables serve as vulnerability markers for the illness.
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INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is the highest risk period for development of major depressive disorder, and there is evidence for an increasing secular trend (Kessler et al, 2001). There also are data demonstrating that early depressive episodes recur or persist into adult life along with ongoing psychosocial difficulties, including disruption in interpersonal relationships, early pregnancy, low educational attainment, poor occupational functioning and unemployment, as well as elevated risk for suicidal behavior (Rao and Chen, 2009). Given the growing economic and psychosocial burden associated with juvenile depression, the identification of youth at highest risk for the illness will have great public health impact (Rao 2006).

Although genetic transmission of major depressive disorder has been well documented, efforts to identify disease loci have not been successful, most likely because depression is a complex disorder with a varying collection of clinical phenotypes and polygenic origins (Eaves et al, 2003; Kendler et al, 2001; Uher, 2008). A genetically-mediated physiological measure, an “endophenotype”, can be helpful in reducing the heterogeneity (Gottesman and Gould, 2003). Such a marker can simplify phenotypes, identify the mode of inheritance and potentially increase detection of at-risk individuals. Based on the evidence of a strong genetic influence on sleep architecture (Kimura and Winkelmann, 2007), and based on the well-described sleep disruptions associated with depressive disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; Benca et al, 1992; Breslau et al, 1996; Buysse et al, 2008), sleep measures can serve these functions.

Prospective epidemiological studies demonstrated that sleep disturbances increase the risk for depressive disorders (Breslau et al, 1996; Buysse et al, 2008). Although no single sleep marker is specifically associated with major depressive disorder, a constellation of sleep changes has been observed consistently in the laboratory through electroencephalographic (EEG) sleep measures, in adult patients (Benca et al, 1992; Germain and Kupfer, 2008; Tsuno et al. 2005). The most reliable EEG sleep changes associated with major depression include sleep continuity disturbances, shorter latency to rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, increased phasic REM sleep, and diminished slow-wave sleep. In contrast to the data in adults, EEG sleep measures have shown considerable variability with regard to group differences between depressed youngsters and matched controls (Ivanenko and Johnson, 2008, Kaufman et al, 2001). The findings vary as a function of age, gender, familial risk for depression, severity of illness and clinical course (Kaufman et al, 2001; Robert et al, 2006). There is evidence that short REM latency and related sleep characteristics are familial and they might be associated with increased risk for depression, beyond the risk conferred by depressive illness in relatives (Giles et al, 1987, 1998; Morehouse et al, 2002; Rao et al, 1996). The EEG sleep disruptions associated with depressive illness are remarkably stable during recovery and across multiple episodes (Giles et al, 1993; Poland et al, 1997; Rao and Poland, 2008; Thase et al, 1995).

In addition to sleep, there has been considerable interest in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) system, consistent with the hypothesis that depression is linked to altered responses to stress. Other lines of evidence suggest that corticotropin-releasing hormone and glucocorticoids modulate the regulation of sleep (Schmid et al, 2008; Steiger, 2007).
Numerous studies documented HPA dysregulation in adult depression including higher basal corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and cortisol secretion, non-suppression of cortisol in response to dexamethasone administration, and blunted corticotropin response to CRH administration (Holsboer, 2001; Young, 2004). Also, several studies reported that REM latency correlates with nocturnal cortisol secretion during the depressive episode, suggesting that dysregulation of mood, sleep and HPA axis might be linked, at least in part, by common neuronal systems (Asnis et al, 1983; Poland et al, 1992; Rao et al, 1996; Rao and Poland, 2008).

Findings from HPA studies in depressed children and adolescents have been inconsistent (Kaufman et al, 2001; Zalsman et al, 2006). In particular, depressed children did not display changes in 24-hour cortisol patterns. Few differences in basal cortisol secretion have been observed between depressed adolescents and controls, and when group differences were detected, they tend to be subtle alterations in normal diurnal patterns. These subtle changes, however, were relatively robust in predicting the longitudinal clinical course; higher cortisol secretion in the evening or during sleep, a time when the HPA axis is relatively quiescent, was associated with a longer time to recovery from the depressive episode (Goodyer et al, 2001), a propensity for recurrence (Rao et al, 1996), and suicide attempts (Mathew et al, 2003). HPA activity also is heritable (Kirschbaum et al, 1992; Meikle et al, 1988; Ouellet-Morin et al, 2008), and higher cortisol secretion was detected in at-risk youth who subsequently developed depression (Goodyer et al, 2000; Halligan et al, 2007).

The present study was undertaken to replicate and extend previous observations on the associations among family/genetic factors, EEG sleep manifestations, HPA dysregulation and vulnerability to depression. It was hypothesized that healthy adolescents (with no prior psychiatric history) who are at high-risk for developing depression by virtue of depression history in the parent will manifest depression-related EEG sleep and HPA changes compared with normal controls. Also, it was hypothesized that the observed sleep and HPA changes at baseline will predict the development of a depressive episode during prospective follow-up above and beyond the effects of parental depression. It was expected that the sleep and HPA “vulnerability markers” for depression are not specific to the high-risk status (familial depression), but they occur at a higher frequency in this group of youngsters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PARTICIPANTS**

The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the University of California at Los Angeles and affiliated institutions. All adolescents signed a written assent form, and parents signed an informed consent document prior to performing the research procedures. The participants were recruited from local pediatric and mental health clinics and schools in Los Angeles, through advertisements in local newspapers and by word-of-mouth. The sample included 48 adolescents with no lifetime personal or family history of psychiatric illness, and 48 adolescents with no personal history of a psychiatric disorder, including depression, but were at high-risk for developing depression by virtue of parental depression; at least one biological parent with a history of unipolar major depressive disorder that required treatment. All participants were medically healthy and free from
alcohol or illicit drug use, as determined by physical examination, full chemistry panel, thyroid function tests, and electrocardiogram and urine drug screens. Subjects with a personal history of sleep disorder(s), or those with a family history of narcolepsy, and females with suspected pregnancy were excluded from the study.

ASSESSMENTS

Diagnostic Evaluation—Symptoms of major psychiatric disorders were assessed using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children - the Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL). The K-SADS-PL is a semi-structured interview designed to ascertain present and lifetime history of psychiatric illness according to DSM-IV criteria (Kaufman et al, 1997). Probes and objective criteria are provided for individual symptoms at both diagnostic and sub-threshold levels. Inter-rater and test-retest reliability have been established, as well as convergent and discriminate validity (Kaufman et al, 1997). The K-SADS-PL was administered separately to the parent and the adolescent, and both were re-interviewed to resolve any discrepancies. Summary scores were tabulated based on the information obtained from both informants. The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS; Hamilton, 1960), a depression severity measure, and Children’s Global Assessment Scale (CGAS; Shaffer et al, 1983), a global psychosocial functioning measure, also were completed. The adolescent participants completed the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) for self-assessment of depression severity (Beck et al, 1961).

The Family History-Research Diagnostic Criteria (FH-RDC), a semi-structured interview, was used for the evaluation of psychiatric disorders in family members (Andreasen et al, 1997). A parent was interviewed regarding life-time psychiatric disorders in all first-degree relatives of the adolescent subject (including the self, spouse and all offspring). The FH-RDC is sensitive for obtaining information from knowledgeable relatives (Thompson et al, 1982). Information on race/ethnicity was gathered from self-report, and socioeconomic status (SES) was assessed with the Hollingshead Scale (Hollingshead, 1975).

Regulation of Sleep-Wake Schedule—One week prior to the laboratory assessment, the participants were instructed to go to bed between 10:00 p.m. and 11:00 p.m., and to awake between 6:30 a.m. and 7:30 a.m. The sleep-wake schedules were monitored by daily sleep logs and wrist actigraphy.

Sleep and Neuroendocrine Protocol—The protocol comprised a 3-night study. In order not to cause potential disruption in sleep due to catheter insertion and blood draws, as a reflection of the HPA activity, salivary cortisol prior to sleep and nocturnal urinary free cortisol (NUFC) measures were collected. The participants arrived in the laboratory at 8:00 p.m., and saliva samples were collected at half-hour intervals from 8:30 p.m. until 9:30 p.m. Conventional EEG electrodes were attached by 9:00 p.m., and sleep recordings were made from 10:30 p.m. (lights out) to 7:00 a.m. Subjects were asked to void urine at 10:30 p.m. prior to switching off the lights. All urine voided between 10:30 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. (including the 7:00 a.m. sample) was collected. In order to rule out the presence of major sleep disorders, a full sleep polysomnography was performed on the first night, including respiratory, oximetry and leg movement measurements. The International 10–20 System
was used for EEG electrode placement, electromyogram (EMG), electrooculogram (EOG) and electrocardiogram. Bilateral EEG recordings were obtained from left (C3) and right (C4) central leads, referenced to the opposite mastoid, A2 and A1, as well as to a linked reference (A1+A2). Bilateral EOG recordings were obtained, and referenced to A1+A2 along with a submental EMG recording.

**Follow-up Evaluation**—After the baseline assessments, the participants were followed longitudinally at 6-month intervals in the first year and yearly thereafter, for up to five years, to obtain information on the development of depression and other psychiatric disorders. In addition to obtaining information from the K-SADS-PL during each follow-up evaluation, the Longitudinal Interval Follow-up Evaluation (LIFE) was used to document the onset and clinical course of depression. The LIFE is a semi-structured instrument used for charting the clinical course of depression and other psychiatric disorders during longitudinal follow-up (Shapiro and Keller, 1979). Development of a depressive episode was defined as a rating of ≥5 on the Psychiatric Status Rating (PSR) component of the LIFE for a minimum of 4-week duration. The 4-week duration was used to ensure stability of symptoms since depressive symptoms in youngsters tend to be more variable, with greater heterogeneity in clinical response and course, than adults. The PSR is a 6-point scale providing information on the severity of depressive symptomatology. Information from the clinical follow-up assessments was presented to an independent person “blind” to the group and psychobiological status, and the final diagnosis was based on consensus ratings.

**Scoring of Sleep Records and Cortisol Assays**—Sleep records were coded and scored “blindly” according to standard criteria (Rechtschaffen and Kales, 1968). REM latency was defined as the time between sleep onset (first minute of stage 2 or deeper sleep, followed by at least 9 minutes of stage 2 or deeper sleep, interrupted by no more than 1 minute of waking or stage 1) and the first REM period ≥3 minutes in length. Other REM sleep measures, including REM activity and REM density, and additional sleep variables also were scored according to standard criteria (Kupfer et al, 1976). REM activity was scored on a scale ranging from 0–8 units.

Salivary cortisol and NUFC concentration were assayed using the radioimmunoassay method, as described previously (Poland and Rubin, 1982; Rao et al, 1997). The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for the assays were less than 10%. Samples from the same subject were analyzed in the same assay.

**STATISTICAL METHODS**

For all summary variables, data were examined for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk’s W statistic (Shapiro and Wilk, 1965). In cases of significantly non-normal distributions, logarithm transformations were performed to normalize the data prior to the application of statistical tests for significance. Student’s t and Pearson’s chi-square tests were employed for group comparisons on demographic and clinical variables. Multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) was used for comparisons on categories of EEG sleep and HPA measures, controlling for demographic and clinical variables that were significantly different between groups. Group comparisons of individual means were tested if the overall
MANCOVA was significant. Regression procedures were utilized for evaluating the associations between group status (baseline or follow-up diagnostic status) and sleep (or HPA) measures that were significant in the MANCOVA tests. Effect sizes were calculated, using mean difference and standard deviation for continuous variables and odds ratio for categorical measures. The first night was considered as an adaptation night, and the mean values derived from Night 2 and Night 3 data were used. For salivary cortisol, mean values of the three samples on Night 2 and Night 3 were taken. Alpha was set at .05.

FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION

Three controls and four high-risk subjects did not have any follow-up evaluations. Subjects that did not participate in follow-up assessments did not differ significantly from those with follow-up information on any demographic or clinical characteristics. Recruitment did not occur simultaneously and, therefore, not all subjects were studied longitudinally for the same period of time. Of the 89 adolescents that had follow-up information, 8.9% were followed for 2 years, 22.2% for 3 years, 33.3% for 4 years and 35.6% for 5 years. The groups were comparable on the mean follow-up interval (mean follow-up interval = 3.6 years; Median = 3.6).

RESULTS

DEMOGRAPHIC AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE

Demographic and clinical features at recruitment are outlined in Table 1. Adolescents at high risk for depression had significantly lower SES, higher BDI and lower CGAS scores compared with normal controls. Despite the statistical difference on SES scores, the mean value in both groups was in the second highest category of social class and the participants came primarily from middle and upper middle classes (100% of normal controls, and 87.5% of high-risk subjects). Despite the statistical differences, the high-risk group did not express clinically significant depressive symptoms or functional impairment, with scores within the normal range (see Table 1). Within the high-risk group, 23 (47.9%) had only a maternal history, 10 (20.8%) had only a paternal history and 15 (31.3%) had depression history in both parents. Twenty parents (41.6%) experienced the first episode of depression prior to age 21 years, and 34 (70.8%) had recurrent episodes.

EEG SLEEP AND HPA MEASURES AT BASELINE

Mean values for the major EEG sleep and HPA variables for both groups are shown in Table 2. After controlling for the effects of socioeconomic status, depression severity (BDI) and functional (CGAS) measures, the high-risk subjects had significantly shorter REM latency, higher proportion of REM sleep, increased REM activity and REM density, longer REM duration, more number of REM episodes, as well as elevated NUFC excretion compared with controls. Post-hoc tests revealed that there was a tendency for high-risk youth with depression history in both parents to have shorter REM latency (80.2 ± 34.5 vs. 97.6 ± 38.9, t46 = 1.76, p = .09, ES = −0.49, CI = −1.11 to 0.13) and higher REM density (2.7 ± 0.6 vs. 2.4 ± 0.5, t46 = 1.72, p = .10, ES = 0.56, CI = 0.25 to 0.76) compared to those with depression history in one parent only.
In order to test the relative contribution of EEG sleep and HPA measures to the prediction of group membership (control vs. high-risk status), logistic regression analyses were conducted. Demographic (age, gender, ethnicity, and SES) and clinical (BDI, HDRS, and CGAS) variables were entered in the first step in these analyses ($\chi^2 = 21.91$, df = 7, $p = .005$). After controlling for these effects, sleep and HPA measures predicted group status ($\chi^2 = 26.85$, df = 7, $p = .0001$). Together, the sleep and HPA measures accurately identified 35/48 (72.9%) normal controls and 33/48 (68.8%) high-risk subjects. However, there was a significant correlation among several measures (see Table 3). Only REM latency (OR = 0.15, CI = 0.03–0.85, $p = .04$) had significant predictive power in discriminating the two groups. NUFC showed a non-significant trend in predicting group status (OR = 1.05, CI = 1.00–1.11, $p = .10$).

An alternative approach was taken for graphical representation of the frequency of “abnormal” sleep markers. Using a receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis, Giles and colleagues reported age-adjusted threshold values for short REM latency in normal and depressed populations (Giles et al, 1990b). A mean REM latency value of ≤70 minutes was considered abnormal for the younger patients (Giles et al, 1990b). Based on this criterion, 2/48 (4.1%) normal controls, and 15/48 (31.3%) high-risk adolescents manifested short REM latency ($\chi^2 = 12.08$, $p = .001$, OR = 0.10, CI = 0.20–0.45; see Figure 1).

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PARENTAL DEPRESSION AND DEVELOPMENT OF ADOLESCENT DEPRESSION DURING PROSPECTIVE FOLLOW-UP**

Among 45 controls that had follow-up information, four (8.9%) developed a depressive episode during the study period, whereas 10/44 (22.7%) of high-risk subjects developed the disorder ($\chi^2 = 3.21$, $p = .07$, OR = 0.33, CI = 0.10–1.15). Of a total of 14 youth who developed a depressive episode during follow-up, the mean time to depressive episode from intake was 2.3 years (SD = 0.9 years; range = 0.7–4.1 years). Within the high-risk group, familial loading (depression in one parent vs. both parents) was not associated with vulnerability to depression. Of a total of 31 high-risk youth with depression history in one parent, five (16.1%) developed a major depressive episode during follow-up, whereas 5/13 (38.5%) adolescents with depression history in both parents developed the illness (Fisher’s Exact Test, $p = .13$, OR = 0.31, CI = 0.07–1.34).

**ASSOCIATION BETWEEN BASELINE NEUROBIOLOGICAL MEASURES AND VULNERABILITY TO DEPRESSION**

Specific EEG sleep and HPA measures that discriminated controls and high-risk subjects in the original analyses (see Table 2) were selected for evaluating whether these measures predicted a depressive episode during follow-up (see Table 4). In the initial step, the predictive value of demographic and clinical variables was evaluated. Although the regression model was not significant, group status (control vs. high-risk) and HDRS score were significant predictors of depression (see Block 1 in Table 4). The demographic and clinical variables identified 74/75 (98.7%) adolescents without depression, but only 2/14 (14.3%) of those with depression. After controlling for these factors, the sleep and HPA measures predicted depression during follow-up (see Block 2 in Table 4). Specifically, subjects who developed depression had shorter REM latency, higher REM density and more
NUFC excretion compared to their counterparts who did not develop depression. The neurobiological measures accurately identified 74/75 (98.7%) of subjects without depression and 8/14 (57.1%) adolescents with depression.

As described above, a REM latency value of ≤70 minutes was considered abnormal, (Giles et al, 1990b) and the association between REM latency and vulnerability to depression was assessed. Of two normal controls with short REM latency, one (50.0%) developed a depressive episode, whereas 3/43 (7.0%) subjects with normal REM latency developed the illness during follow-up (Fisher’s Exact Test, p = .17, OR = 13.33, CI = 0.66–270.47). Within the high-risk group, 6/14 (42.9%) with short REM latency developed a depressive episode in comparison with 4/30 (13.3%) youngsters with normal REM latency (Fisher’s Exact Test, p = .05, OR = 4.88, CI = 1.10–21.69). No normative data are available with respect to cortisol measures. Using the criterion of ≥1 standard deviation of mean NUFC concentration in normal controls as elevated HPA activity, 2/6 (33.3%) controls with elevated HPA activity and 2/39 (5.1%) with normal HPA activity developed a depressive episode (Fisher’s Exact Test, p = .08, OR = 9.25, CI = 1.01–84.73). Among high-risk subjects, 7/18 (38.9%) with elevated HPA activity developed depression in contrast to 3/26 (11.5%) youngsters with normal HPA activity (Fisher’s Exact Test, p = .06, OR = 4.88, CI = 1.05–22.57).

**DISCUSSION**

The findings from the study indicated that adolescents with familial risk for depression, but without manifestation of a clinical diagnosis, showed evidence of EEG sleep and/or HPA changes that were typically reported in the literature as characteristic of a depressive episode. Also, the observed changes in EEG sleep and HPA measures at baseline (during recruitment) were associated with the development of depression during prospective follow-up, suggesting that they are vulnerability markers for depression. These “risk markers” contributed to the prediction of depression above and beyond the contribution made by familial risk for depression, as well as by relevant clinical and demographic variables. It is important to note that the sleep and HPA markers are not specific to the high-risk status and that they also are observed in youth without known familial/genetic risk for depression. However, they appear to occur more frequently in the high-risk group and, therefore, the EEG sleep and HPA markers might be helpful in identifying “at-risk” individuals who may have the highest risk for depressive illness.

These results should be considered preliminary because of the modest sample sizes, with inadequate power to detect potential group differences. Also, because of the relatively short follow-up interval, some youth who manifested EEG sleep and/or HPA abnormalities did not develop depression, thereby precluding our ability to estimate the full magnitude of risk associated with these markers. More stringent criteria were used for the diagnosis of depression (a 4-week duration). Although this method identified individuals with more sustained depressive symptoms, some cases with a depressive disorder could have been misclassified. In addition to manifesting sleep and HPA changes at a greater frequency, the high-risk group also had higher level of depressive symptoms and lower level of psychosocial functioning and socioeconomic status than normal controls. Although
subsyndromal depressive symptoms and lower functional and socioeconomic status are known risk factors for depression (Joiner, 2006), the sleep and HPA measures made unique contributions. Moreover, the first depressive episode occurred 7 months after the initial clinical and neurobiological assessments, suggesting a trait-like nature of the neurobiological measures. Depressive symptoms and functional measures were gathered during each follow-up evaluation, but these variables did not always coincide with the development of a depressive episode. The onset of a depressive episode was documented retrospectively encompassing the entire follow-up interval, whereas the depression severity (BDI and HDRS) and functional (CGAS) measures reflected only a 2-week period preceding the follow-up evaluation.

Despite the limitations described above, the study findings are consistent with previous reports in children, adolescents and adults. Coble et al (1988) found higher REM counts in neonates whose mothers had a history of depression compared to their counterparts without maternal depression. In a second study, adolescent females with maternal history of depression manifested low intra-hemispheric temporal coherence of EEG sleep rhythms although no macroarchitectural changes were detected in this sample (Morehouse et al, 2002). Based on ROC analysis, 54% of high-risk girls were identified with extreme low coherence (Morehouse et al, 2002). Exposure to maternal depression during the postnatal period was associated with higher and more variable cortisol secretion in adolescents compared to their peers without exposure to maternal depression (Halligan et al, 2004). Other investigators reported depression-related sleep macro- or micro-architectural changes (Friess et al, 2008a; Fulton et al, 2000; Giles et al, 1998; Lauer et al, 1995), abnormal REM induction in response to a cholinergic challenge (Schreiber et al, 1992; Sitaram et al, 1987), and altered HPA regulation (Friess et al, 2008b; Mannie et al, 2007) in some unaffected adults whose first-degree relatives had a history of depressive disorder.

There was a tendency for “unaffected” adolescents with both maternal and paternal depression history to have shorter REM latency and higher REM density compared to those with depression history in one parent only. Sleep measures were not obtained in the parents and, consequently, it is not known whether the REM sleep abnormalities are transmitted to the offspring from one or both parents along with the vulnerability for depression. In a preliminary investigation, Giles and colleagues measured EEG sleep in parents and offspring from 14 families, and found that the sleep patterns of parents and offspring were highly correlated when there was a family history of major depressive disorder (Giles et al, 1992). When family history of depression co-occurred with abnormal sleep in the parent, the association between parent and offspring sleep was even stronger, suggesting that vulnerability for depressive illness and abnormal EEG sleep patterns co-segregate in families (Giles et al, 1987, 1992, 1998).

The results of the present study also showed that the observed EEG sleep and HPA abnormalities in adolescents, who had no prior psychiatric history at the time of assessment, were associated with increased vulnerability for depression during prospective follow-up. In a prior investigation, we reported that 37% of adolescents that manifested short REM latency developed depression during a 7-year period, whereas only 7% of youngsters with normal REM latency developed the illness (Rao et al, 1996). In addition, 67% of adolescents
with elevated nocturnal cortisol secretion developed depressive illness compared with 12% of individuals with normal HPA activity in that study. Similarly, 41% of adolescent females identified as having abnormal temporal coherence and high familial risk for depression manifested depressive symptoms, or developed a depressive episode, within a two-year period (Morehouse et al, 2002). Elevated HPA activity in unaffected adolescents that were at high-risk for depression predicted depression during prospective follow-up, and the contribution of elevated HPA activity to depression vulnerability was over and above the effects of depressive symptoms at baseline and intervening environmental factors (Goodyer et al, 2000; Halligan et al, 2007). The occurrence of EEG sleep and/or HPA abnormalities in unaffected youth that are at familial risk for depression suggest that they might be useful in identifying those at greatest risk for developing the illness.

In adult samples with prospective data, Giles and Kupfer reported that short REM latency doubled the risk for depression in unaffected adult family members of depressed probands (Giles and Kupfer, 1994). The presence of high REM density in unaffected high-risk individuals predicted an “affective spectrum disorder” in another study (Modell et al, 2005). Also, significant shortening of REM latency in response to a cholinergic agonist predicted onset of depression in subjects with family history of depression (Lauer et al, 2004). However, baseline EEG sleep measures did not show predictive power in this high-risk sample, although many individuals who developed depression (57%) also had short REM latency (≤60 minutes) at baseline. With respect to HPA measures, higher mean cortisol level in the morning predicted depression subsequently in women, and the contribution of HPA activity to depression-risk was above and beyond the contribution of adverse life events and other vulnerability factors (Harris et al, 2000). In contrast to these findings, in a different investigation, adrenocorticotropic and cortisol responses to corticotropin-releasing hormone did not discriminate at-risk persons who developed an affective disorder versus those who remained well despite the response patterns remaining stable after 4 years (Ising et al, 2005; Modell et al, 1998).

One possibility for the inconsistent findings among adult studies, and between adult and adolescent samples, is that many adult subjects would have passed through the risk period for developing depression at the time of initial recruitment, thus yielding only a modest number of persons with the disorder. For example, in an adult sample reporting on EEG sleep and HPA measures, approximately 25% of individuals with familial risk developed an affective illness over 10 years (Ising et al, 2005; Modell et al, 2005). Also, there was significant heterogeneity with respect to affective illness in the high-risk sample in that study (including unipolar depression, bipolar disorder and “affective spectrum disorders” comprising of other depressive disorders, adjustment disorder, somatoform disorder, anxiety disorders and obsessive-compulsive disorder), as well as in the relatives including unipolar, bipolar and/or schizoaffective disorder (Carroll, 2007). In contrast, Giles et al. recruited only probands with unipolar depression and stratified them on short and normal REM latency, and the reported outcome in family members was major depressive disorder (Giles and Kupfer, 1994; Giles et al, 1998).

In addition to identifying highly vulnerable persons, familial aggregation of specific sleep and HPA abnormalities might delineate a valid subtype of the depressive illness. For
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instance, familial aggregation of short REM latency was associated with similar clinical features and psychological profiles of depression among relatives (Giles et al, 1988, 1990a). Despite the association between specific EEG sleep and HPA changes and increased risk for depression in the above-described studies, a significant proportion of individuals with these markers did not (at least yet) develop depression, highlighting the notion that no single physiological measure constitutes a definitive marker for depression. Other genetically-mediated neurobiological variables (Caspi et al, 2003), psychological factors (Dyer and Giles, 1994; Lauer et al, 1997; Perlis et al, 1995) and stressful life experiences (Goodyer et al, 2000; Hammen et al, 2004; Harris et al, 2000), singly or in combination, might influence the onset of depression in some individuals. Therefore, sleep and HPA variables must be considered in the context of these other variables in identifying vulnerability markers for depression. For example, environmental factors might play a more prominent role in conferring risk for depression in individuals and families where short REM latency is less prevalent (Cartwright, 1983; Giles et al, 1989; Monroe et al, 1992). Similarly, sleep measures might be associated more closely with neurovegetative symptoms (Perlis et al, 1997), whereas somatic symptoms might be less prominent in depressive episodes resulting from stressful life experiences (Monroe et al, 2001). These data suggest that integration of neurobiological measures with psychological and environmental variables might be more helpful in separating the different phenotypes of depression (Eaves et al, 2003; Uher, 2008). A careful dissection of the phenotypes related to depressive illness not only will be beneficial for identifying the “culprit” genes, but also in developing more specific treatment and preventive strategies for this highly morbid disorder (Rao, 2006; Simons et al, 1995).

Reduction in slow-wave sleep, a common feature of depression in adult patients, was not observed in the high-risk group. This finding is consistent with previous reports showing no slow-wave deficits in depressed adolescents, and suggest developmental discontinuities in sleep regulation in adolescent vs. adult depression (Ivanenko and Johnson, 2008; Kaufman et al, 2001). Understanding the developmental continuities and discontinuities in neurobiological substrates of depression not only will be helpful in developing more effective and safer pharmacological agents for the pediatric population, but also in adult patients who do not respond adequately to the currently available treatments (Rao, 2006; Rush et al, 2003).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported, in part, by grants DA14037, DA15131, DA17804, DA17805, MH01419, MH62464 and MH68391 from the National Institutes of Health, from the National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Affective Disorders, and by the Sarah M. and Charles E. Scay Endowed Chair in Child Psychiatry at UT Southwestern Medical Center. The authors also would like to thank Ms. Li-Ann Chen, MA, for technical support.

References

American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. American Psychiatric Press; Washington, DC: 1994.
Andreasen NC, Endicott J, Spitzer RL, Winokur G. The family history method using diagnostic criteria. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1977; 34:1229–1235. [PubMed: 911222]
Asnis GM, Halbreich U, Sachar EJ, Nathan RS, Ostrow LC, Novacenko H, Davies M, Endicott J, Puig-Antich J. Plasma cortisol secretion and REM period latency in adult endogenous depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1983; 140:750–753. [PubMed: 6846635]

Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Muck M, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1961; 4:561–571. [PubMed: 13688369]

Benca RM, Obermeyer WH, Thisted RA, Gillin JC. Sleep and psychiatric disorders: a meta-analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1992; 49:651–668. [PubMed: 1386215]

Breslin N, Roth T, Rosenthal L, Andreski P. Sleep disturbance and psychiatric disorders: a longitudinal epidemiological study of young adults. Biol Psychiatry. 1996; 39:411–418. [PubMed: 8679786]

Buyssse DJ, Angst J, Gama A, Ajdacic V, Eich D, Rossler W. Prevalence, course and comorbidity of insomnia and depression in young adults. Sleep. 2008; 31:473–480. [PubMed: 18457234]

Carroll BJ. Regarding “the Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: premorbid polysomnographic profile of affected high-risk probands. Biol Psychiatry. 2007; 62:703–704. [PubMed: 17531205]

Cartwright RD. Rapid eye movement sleep characteristics during and after mood-disturbing events. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1983; 40:197–201. [PubMed: 6824415]

Caspi A, Sudgen K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H, McClay J, Mill J, Martin J, Braithwaite A, Poulton R. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science. 2003; 301:386–389. [PubMed: 12869766]

Cobble PA, Scher MS, Reynolds CF III, Day NL, Kupfer DJ. Preliminary findings on the neonatal sleep of offspring of women with and without a prior history of affective disorder. Sleep Res. 1988; 16:120.

Dyer JG, Giles DE. Familial influence in unipolar depression: effects of parental cognitions and social adjustment on adult offspring. Compr Psychiatry. 1994; 35:290–295. [PubMed: 7956185]

Eaves L, Silberg J, Erkanli A. Resolving multiple epigenetic pathways to adolescent depression. Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2003; 44:1006–1014.

Friess E, Modell S, Brunner H, Tagaya H, Lauer CJ. The Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: microstructure of sleep in high-risk subjects. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2008a; 258:285–291. [PubMed: 18504636]

Friess E, Schmid D, Modell S, Brunner H, Lauer CJ, Holsober F, Ising M. Dex/CRH-test response and sleep in depressed patients and healthy controls with and without vulnerability for affective disorders. J Psychiatr Res. 2008b; 42:1154–1162. [PubMed: 18281062]

Fulton MK, Armitage R, Rush AJ. Sleep electroencephalographic coherence abnormalities in individuals at high risk for depression: a pilot study. Biol Psychiatry. 2000; 47:618–625. [PubMed: 10745054]

Germain A, Kupfer DJ. Circadian rhythm disturbances in depression. Hum Pharmacol Clin Exp. 2008; 23:571–585.

Giles DE, Biggs MM, Rush AJ, Roffwarg HP. Risk factors in families of unipolar depression, I: psychiatric illness and reduced REM latency. J Affect Disord. 1988; 14:51–59. [PubMed: 2963052]

Giles DE, Etzel BA, Biggs MM. Risk factors in unipolar depression:II relation between proband REM latency and cognitions of relatives. Psychiatr Res. 1990a; 33:39–49.

Giles DE, Jarrett RB, Rush AJ, Biggs MM, Roffwarg HP. Prospective assessment of EEG sleep in remitted major depression. Psychiatr Res. 1993; 46:269–284.

Giles DE, Kupfer DJ. Reduced REM latency: risk factor for first episode of depression. Sleep Res. 1994; 23:197.

Giles DE, Kupfer DJ, Rush AJ, Roffwarg HP. Controlled comparison of electrophysiological sleep in families of probands with unipolar depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1998; 155:192–199. [PubMed: 9464197]

Giles DE, Roffwarg HP, Dahl RE, Kupfer DJ. EEG sleep abnormalities in depressed children: a hypothesis. Psychiatry Res. 1992; 41:53–63. [PubMed: 1561288]

Giles DE, Roffwarg HP, Kupfer DJ, Rush AJ, Biggs MM, Etzel BA. Secular trend in unipolar depressions: a hypothesis. J Affect Disord. 1989; 16:71–75. [PubMed: 2521654]
Giles DE, Roffwarg HP, Rush AJ. REM latency concordance in depressed family members. Biol Psychiatry. 1987; 22:907–910. [PubMed: 3607118]

Giles DE, Roffwarg HP, Rush AJ, Guzick DS. Age-adjusted threshold values for reduced REM latency in unipolar depression using ROC analysis. Biol Psychiatry. 1990b; 27:841–853. [PubMed: 2331494]

Goodyer IM, Herbert J, Tamplin A, Altham PME. Recent life events, cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone and the onset of major depression in high-risk adolescents. Br J Psychiatry. 2000; 177:499–504. [PubMed: 11102323]

Goodyer IM, Park RJ, Herbert J. Psychosocial and endocrine features of chronic first-episode major depression in 8-16 year olds. Biol Psychiatry. 2001; 50:351–357. [PubMed: 11543738]

Gottesman II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic intentions. Am J Psychiatry. 2003; 160:636–645. [PubMed: 12668349]

Halligan SL, Herbert J, Goodyer IM, Murray L. Exposure to postnatal depression predicts elevated cortisol in adolescent offspring. Biol Psychiatry. 2004; 55:376–381. [PubMed: 14960290]

Halligan SL, Herbert J, Goodyer IM, Murray L. Disturbances in morning cortisol secretion in association with maternal postnatal depression predict subsequent depressive symptomatology in adolescents. Biol Psychiatry. 2007; 62:40–46. [PubMed: 17188253]

Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1960; 23:56–62. [PubMed: 14399272]

Hammen C, Shih JH, Brennan PA. Intergenerational transmission of depression: test of an interpersonal stress model in a community sample. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2004; 72:511–522. [PubMed: 15279534]

Harris TO, Borsanyi S, Messari S, Stanford K, Cleary SE, Shiers HM, Brown GW, Herbert J. Morning cortisol as a risk factor for subsequent major depressive disorder in adult women. Br J Psychiatry. 2000; 177:505–510. [PubMed: 11102324]

Hollingshead, AB. Four Factor Index of Social Status. Department of Sociology, Yale University; New Haven, CT: 1975.

Holsboer F. Stress, hypercortisolism and corticosteroid receptors in depression: implications for therapy. J Affect Disord. 2001; 62:77–91. [PubMed: 11172875]

Ising M, Lauer CJ, Holsboer F, Modell S. The Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: premorbid neuroendocrine profile of affected high-risk probands. J Psychiatr Res. 2005; 39:21–28. [PubMed: 15054420]

Ivanenko A, Johnson K. Sleep disturbances in children with psychiatric disorders. Sem Pediatr Neurol. 2008; 15:70–78.

Joiner, TE. The Interpersonal, Cognitive, and Social Nature of Depression. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; Mahwah, New Jersey: 2006.

Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, Rao U, Flynn C, Moreci P, Williamson D, Ryan N. Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-aged Children-Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1997; 36:980–988. [PubMed: 9204677]

Kaufman J, Martin A, King RA, Charney D. Are child-, adolescent-, and adult-onset depression one and the same disorder? Biol Psychiatry. 2001; 49:980–1001. [PubMed: 11430841]

Kendler KS, Gardner CO, Neale MC, Prescott CA. Genetic risk factors for major depression in men and women: similar or different heritabilities and same or partly distinct genes? Psychol Med. 2001; 31:605–616. [PubMed: 11352363]

Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Merikangas KR. Mood disorders in children and adolescents: an epidemiologic perspective. Biol Psychiatry. 2001; 49:1002–1014. [PubMed: 11430842]

Kimura M, Winkelmann J. Genetics of sleep and sleep disorders. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2007; 64:1171–1173. [PubMed: 17364143]

Kirschbaum C, Wust S, Faig H-G, Hellhammer DH. Heritability of cortisol responses to human corticotrophin-releasing hormone, ergometry, and psychological stress in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1992; 75:1526–1530. [PubMed: 1464659]

Kupfer DJ, Foster G, Reich L, Thompson KS, Weiss B. EEG sleep changes as predictors in depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1976; 133:622–626. [PubMed: 179333]
Lauer CJ, Bronisch T, Kainz M, Schreiber W, Holsboer F. Pre-morbid psychometric profile of subjects at high familial risk for affective disorder. Psychol Med. 1997; 27:355–362. [PubMed: 9089828]

Lauer CJ, Modell S, Schreiber W, Krieg J-C, Holsboer F. Prediction of the development of a first major depressive episode with a rapid eye movement sleep induction test using the cholinergic agonist RS86. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2004; 24:356–357. [PubMed: 15118498]

Lauer C, Schreiber W, Holsboer F, Krieg J-C. In quest of identifying vulnerability markers for psychiatric disorders by all-night polysomnography. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995; 52:145–153. [PubMed: 7848050]

Mannie ZN, Harmer CJ, Cowen PJ. Increased waking salivary cortisol levels in young people at familial risk for depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2007; 164:617–621. [PubMed: 17403975]

Mathew SJ, Coplan JD, Goetz RR, Feder A, Greenwald S, Dahl RE, Ryan ND, Mann JJ, Weissman MM. Differentiating depressed adolescent 24 h cortisol secretion in light of their adult clinical outcome. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2003; 28:1336–1343. [PubMed: 12784120]

Meikle AW, Stringham JD, Woodward MG, Bishop DT. Heritability of variation of plasma cortisol levels. Metab. 1988; 37:514–517.

Modell S, Ising M, Holsboer F, Lauer CJ. The Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: pre-morbid polysomnographic profile of affected high-risk probands. Biol Psychiatry. 2005; 58:694–699. [PubMed: 16018976]

Modell S, Lauer CJ, Schreiber W, Huber J, Kreig J-C, Holsboer F. Hormonal response pattern in the combined DEX/CRH test is stable over time in subjects at high familial risk for affective disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology. 1998; 18:253–262. [PubMed: 9509493]

Monroe SM, Harkness K, Simons AD, Thase ME. Life stress and the symptoms of major depression. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2001; 189:168–175. [PubMed: 11277353]

Monroe SM, Thase ME, Simons AD. Social factors and the psychobiology of depression: relations between life stress and rapid eye movement sleep latency. J Abnorm Psychol. 1992; 101:528–537. [PubMed: 1500610]

Morehouse RL, Kusumakar V, Kutcher SP, LeBlanc J, Armitage R. Temporal coherence in ultradian sleep EEG rhythms in a never-depressed, high-risk cohort of female adolescents. Biol Psychiatry. 2002; 51:446–456. [PubMed: 11922878]

Ouellet-Morin I, Boivin M, Dionne G, Lupien SJ, Arsenault L, Barr RG, Perezus D, Tremblay RE. Variations in heritability of cortisol reactivity to stress as a function of early familial adversity among 19-month-old twins. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008; 65:211–218. [PubMed: 18250259]

Perlis ML, Giles DE, Buysse DJ, Thase ME, Tu X, Kupfer DJ. Which depressive symptoms are related to which sleep electroencephalographic variables? Biol Psychiatry. 1997; 15:904–913. [PubMed: 9359976]

Perlis ML, Giles DE, Fleming G, Drummond SPA, James SP. Sustained facial muscle activity during REM sleep in depressed subjects and its correlations with self-reported depression. J Affect Disord. 1995; 35:163–171. [PubMed: 8749981]

Poland RE, McCracken JT, Lutchmansingh P, Lesser IM, Tondo L, Edwards C, Boone KB, Lin K-M. Differential response of rapid eye movement sleep to cholinergic blockade by scopolamine in currently depressed, remitted and normal control subjects. Biol Psychiatry. 1997; 41:929–938. [PubMed: 9110098]

Poland RE, McCracken JT, Lutchmansingh P, Tondo L. Relationship between REM sleep latency and nocturnal cortisol concentrations in depressed patients. J Sleep Res. 1992; 1:54–57. [PubMed: 10607027]

Poland RE, Rubin RT. Saliva cortisol levels following dexamethasone administration in endogenously depressed patients. Life Sci. 1982; 30:177–181. [PubMed: 7054643]

Rao U. Development and natural history of pediatric depression: treatment implications. Clin Neuropsychiatry. 2006; 3:194–204.

Rao U, Chen L-A. Characteristics, correlates and outcomes of childhood and adolescent depressive disorders. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2009 in press.

Rao U, Dahl RE, Ryan ND, Birmaher B, Williamson DE, Giles DE, Rao R, Kaufman J, Nelson B. The relationship between longitudinal clinical course and sleep and cortisol changes in adolescent depression. Biol Psychiatry. 1996; 40:474–484. [PubMed: 8879467]

Neuropsychopharmacology. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 January 01.
Rao U, McCracken JT, Lutchmansingh P, Edwards C, Poland RE. Electroencephalographic sleep and urinary free cortisol in adolescent depression: a preliminary report of changes from episode to recovery. Biol Psychiatry. 1997; 41:369–373. [PubMed: 9024960]
Rao U, Poland RE. EEG sleep and HPA changes from episode to recovery in depressed adolescents. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 200810.1089/cap.2008.034
Rechtschaffen, A.; Kales, A. A Manual of Standardized Terminology, Techniques, and Scoring System for Sleep Stages of Human Subjects. National Institutes of Health; Bethesda, MD: 1968.
Robert JJ, Hoffmann RF, Emslie GJ, Hughes C, Rintelmamn J, Moore J, Armitage R. Sex and age differences in sleep macroarchitecture in childhood and adolescent depression. Sleep. 2006; 29:351–358. [PubMed: 16553021]
Rush AJ, Thase ME, Dubé S. Research issues in the study of difficult to treat depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2003; 53:743–753. [PubMed: 12706958]
Schmid DA, Brunner H, Lauer CJ, Uhr M, Yassouridis A, Holsboer F, Friess E. Acute cortisol administration increases sleep depth and growth hormone release in patients with major depression. J Psychiatr Res. 2008; 42:991–999. [PubMed: 18226817]
Schreiber W, Lauer CJ, Krumrey K, Holsboer F, Krieg J-C. Cholinergic REM sleep induction test in subjects at high risk for psychiatric disorders. Biol Psychiatry. 1992; 32:79–90. [PubMed: 1391297]
Shaffer D, Gould MS, Brasic J, Ambrosini P, Fisher P, Bird H, Aluwahalia S. A Children's Global Assessment Scale (C-GAS). Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1983; 40:1228–1231. [PubMed: 6639293]
Shapiro, R.; Keller, M. Longitudinal Interval Follow-Up Evaluation (LIFE). Massachusetts General Hospital; Boston, MA: 1979.
Shapiro SS, Wilk MB. An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). Biometrika. 1965; 52:591–611. 1965.
Simons AD, Gordon JS, Monroe SM, Thase ME. Toward an integration of psychologic, social, and biologic factors in depression: effects of outcome and course of cognitive therapy. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1995; 63:369–377. [PubMed: 7608349]
Sitaram N, Dube S, Keshavan M, Davies A, Reynal P. The association of supersensitivity cholinergic REM-induction and affective illness within pedigrees. J Psychiatr Res. 1987; 21:487–497. [PubMed: 3440958]
Steiger A. Neurochemical regulation of sleep. J Psychiatr Res. 2007; 41:537–552. [PubMed: 16777143]
Thase ME, Kupfer DJ, Buysse DJ, Frank E, Simons AD, McEachran AB, Rashid KF, Grochocinski VJ. Electroencephalographic sleep profiles in single episode and recurrent unipolar forms of major depression: I. Comparison during acute depressive states. Biol Psychiatry. 1995; 38:506–515. [PubMed: 8562662]
Thompson WD, Orvaschel H, Prusoff BA, Kidd KK. An evaluation of the family history method for ascertaining psychiatric disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1982; 39:53–58. [PubMed: 7055408]
Tsuno N, Besset A, Ritchie K. Sleep and depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2005; 66:1254–1269. [PubMed: 16259539]
Uher R. The implications of gene-environment interactions in depression: will cause inform cure? Mol Psychiatry. 2008; 13:1070–1078. [PubMed: 18679406]
Young AH. Cortisol in mood disorders. Stress. 2004; 7:205–208. [PubMed: 16019585]
Zalsman G, Oquendo MA, Greenhill L, Goldberg PH, Kamali M, Martin A, Mann JJ. Neurobiology of depression in children and adolescents. Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am. 2006; 15:843–868. vii–viii. [PubMed: 16952764]
Figure 1.
REM latency values (mean of Night 2 and Night 3) in individual subjects within normal control (n = 48) and high-risk (n = 48) groups. The horizontal lines (within each column of circles) represent mean values for the two groups. A cut point of 70 minutes was considered as abnormal value.
### Table 1
Baseline demographic and clinical parameters in controls and high-risk adolescents

| Parameter                          | Control (n = 48) | High-Risk (n= 48) | Statistic | p     | ES  |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------|-----|
| Age (years)                        | 15.2 ± 1.4       | 15.0 ± 1.5        | 0.49      | .51   | 0.14|
| Gender (male/female)               | 19/29            | 21/27             | 0.17      | .68   | 0.08|
| Ethnicity (AA/AS/CC/HS)            | 6/10/23/9        | 7/8/23/10         | 0.35      | .95   | 0.12|
| Socioeconomic score\(^1\)          | 49.1 ± 9.4       | 43.5 ± 10.1       | 2.80      | .006  | 0.57|
| HDRS                               | 0.9 ± 1.4        | 1.0 ± 1.4         | 0.36      | .72   | 0.07|
| Beck Depression Inventory          | 1.7 ± 2.4        | 3.5 ± 3.1         | 3.06      | .003  | 0.65|
| CGAS                               | 83.2 ± 9.7       | 78.9 ± 10.0       | 2.16      | .04   | 0.44|

Data are presented in means and standard deviations, or in raw numbers.

ES = effect size

AA = African-American; AS = Asian-American; CC = Caucasian; HS = Hispanic

HDRS = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; CGAS = Children’s Global Assessment Scale

\(^1\) Higher score is associated with higher socioeconomic status (Hollingshead Scale)
|                      | Control (n = 48) | High-Risk (n = 48) | F  | p   | ES |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----|-----|----|
| **Sleep continuity** |                 |                   |    | 1.94|    |
| total sleep time (min) | 470.2 ± 42.7   | 475.8 ± 42.7     |    |     |    |
| sleep latency (min)   | 14.4 ± 10.5     | 14.0 ± 8.5       |    |     |    |
| sleep efficiency (%)  | 94.6 ± 2.3      | 93.6 ± 2.5       |    |     |    |
| arousals              | 18.8 ± 7.2      | 23.5 ± 9.5       |    |     |    |
| awake time (min)      | 15.0 ± 8.7      | 19.8 ± 11.5      |    |     |    |
| **Sleep architecture**|                |                   |    | .69 |    |
| Stage 1 sleep (%)     | 10.0 ± 4.2      | 9.5 ± 4.3        |    | .08 | .32|
| Stage 2 sleep (%)     | 53.0 ± 8.7      | 52.1 ± 6.6       |    | .57 | .38|
| Stage 3 sleep (%)     | 11.5 ± 6.1      | 10.1 ± 2.9       |    | .37 | .26|
| Stage 4 sleep (%)     | 9.1 ± 7.7       | 9.5 ± 6.3        |    | .23 | .07|
| REM sleep (%)         | 16.3 ± 3.4      | 18.8 ± 3.8       |    | .03 | .66|
| **REM measures**      |                 |                   |    |     |    |
| REM latency (min)     | 112.9 ± 34.2    | 92.2 ± 38.1      |    | 2.87| .03|
| REM activity (units)  | 14.7 ± 12.1     | 17.4 ± 12.5      |    | 4.74| .002|
| REM density (units/min)| 1.5 ± 0.5    | 1.6 ± 0.5        |    | 17.01| .0001|
| REM duration (min)    | 9.1 ± 5.6       | 10.2 ± 6.1       |    | 7.82| .006|
| **All REM Episodes Combined** |            |                   |    |     |    |
| REM activity (units)  | 153.9 ± 85.2    | 220.2 ± 71.9     |    | 22.01| .0001|
| REM density (units/min)| 2.0 ± 1.0    | 2.5 ± 0.5        |    | 4.29| .04|
| REM duration (min)    | 7.4 ± 10.1      | 8.9 ± 19.2       |    | 4.89| .03|
| Number of REM episodes| 4.4 ± 0.5      | 4.8 ± 0.5        |    | 4.89| .03|
| **HPA variables**     |                 |                   |    |     |    |
| Salivary cortisol (ng/ml) | 9.0 ± 0.2    | 0.4 ± 0.2        |    | 3.55| .06|
| NUFC concentration (ng/ml) | 16.3 ± 8.9 | 21.6 ± 13.1     |    | 3.85| .06|

1 Depression severity, and socioeconomic and functional status were included as co-variates.
Analyses were performed on log-transformed data.

ES = effect size
Table 3

Correlations among EEG sleep and HPA variables that discriminated control and high-risk adolescents

|             | REM sleep (%) | REM latency | REM activity | REM density | REM duration | REM episodes | NUFC |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------|
| REM sleep (%) | 1.00          | -.09        | .58**        | .12         | .91**        | .80**        | .14  |
| REM latency | -             | 1.00        | -.11         | -.11        | -.06         | -.07         | -.16 |
| REM activity | -             | -           | 1.00         | .72**       | .60**        | .50**        | .21* |
| REM density | -             | -           | -            | 1.00        | .05          | -.00         | .02  |
| REM duration | -             | -           | -            | -           | 1.00         | .91**        | .23* |
| REM episodes | -             | -           | -            | -           | -            | 1.00         | .19  |
| NUFC        | -             | -           | -            | -           | -            | -            | 1.00 |

* p ≤ .05
** p ≤ .01
Table 4
Hierarchical regression equations predicting major depressive disorder at follow-up

|                          | Block 1 |                          | Block 2 |                          |
|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
|                          | \( \beta \) (SE) | OR (CI) | \( \beta \) (SE) | OR (CI) |
| Group status\(^1\)       | 1.60 (0.79) | 4.96 (1.05–23.39)\(^\ast\) | −0.51 (1.39) | 0.60 (0.04–9.08) |
| Age                      | −0.02 (0.03) | 0.98 (0.92–1.05) | −0.05 (0.06) | 0.95 (0.84–1.07) |
| Gender                   | −0.35 (0.70) | 0.70 (0.18–2.79) | −0.95 (1.35) | 0.39 (0.03–5.44) |
| Ethnicity                | 0.72 (0.72)  | 2.05 (0.50–8.46) | 0.77 (1.13)  | 2.16 (0.24–19.80) |
| SES                      | −0.07 (0.15) | 0.94 (0.70–1.25) | −0.36 (0.27) | 0.70 (0.42–1.17) |
| HDRS                     | 0.54 (0.25)  | 1.72 (1.06–2.78)\(^\ast\) | 0.24 (0.12) | 1.27 (0.99–1.62) |
| BDI                      | 0.05 (0.04)  | 1.05 (0.97–1.13) | 0.01 (0.06)  | 1.01 (0.90–1.14) |
| CGAS                     | −0.39 (0.25) | 0.68 (0.41–1.10) | −0.81 (0.40) | 0.44 (0.20–0.97)\(^\ast\) |
| REM latency              | −0.05 (0.02) | 0.96 (0.92–0.99)\(^\ast\) |        |                          |
| REM activity             | −0.01 (0.02) | 0.99 (0.96–1.02) |        |                          |
| REM density              | 2.57 (1.39)  | 2.61 (1.07–6.36)\(^\ast\) |        |                          |
| REM sleep (%)            | −0.00 (0.36) | 1.00 (0.50–2.01) |        |                          |
| REM duration             | 0.07 (0.11)  | 1.07 (0.86–1.33) |        |                          |
| REM episodes             | 0.21 (2.45)  | 1.23 (0.01–148.53) |        |                          |
| NUFC                     | 0.18 (0.07)  | 1.20 (1.05–1.38)\(^**\) |        |                          |

\(^1\) Initial group status = normal, and high-risk (normal controls served as the reference group) SE = standard error; OR = odds-ratio; CI = confidence interval; SES = socioeconomic status HDRS = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CGAS = Children’s Global Assessment Scale; REM = rapid eye movement

\(^\ast\) p ≤ .05;

\(^**\) p ≤ .01

Block 1: overall \( \chi^2 = 11.98, df = 8, p = .10 \); \( d\chi^2 \) = 11.98, df = 8, p = .10

Block 2: overall \( \chi^2 = 42.66, df = 15, p = .0001 \); \( d\chi^2 \) = 30.68, df = 7, p = .0001