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ABSTRACT
Satisfaction of patient is the preferred item for the consumption of care. It is related to health services consumption. The objective of the study was to determine the level of patient satisfaction and associated factors among patients Primary Hospitals of North Shoa Zone. Institutional-based cross-sectional study design were implemented from March 15-April 25, 2019. Sample sizes of 422 inpatients were included. Participants were selected by lottery method. Factor analysis was used in order to generate factor scores for further analysis. Variables P<0.25 on the bivariate analysis were included in the multiple linear regression and variables with p<0.05 with 95% confidence interval were considered as statistically significant. There was 59.1% inpatient was satisfied. Sex, religion, place of residence, previous admission and occupational status of a housewife, government employee and educational status of primary school were associated with patient satisfaction.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Patient satisfaction is defined as one of the desired outcomes of health care and it is directly related to the utilization of health services [1]. Clients have clear necessities for care while they carry on in hospital. though, not enough respond to desires if the patient has disappointment [2]. The world health description stress on health systems as a crucial component of their overall performance defining as the way the system responds to non-health aspects and whether meeting or not patient expectations [3]. Ethiopia health sector reform has been implemented since 2010 as part of the national effort of socio-economic civil service reform to the public sector through the application of Business Process Reengineering. The rationale on behalf of the healthiness segment is to create customer-focused organization [4]. The communication influenced patient satisfaction. When patient had good communication with health professional, patients are actively involved in the care plan [5].

Patient satisfaction with hospital care is significantly influenced by patient-provider interactions during the incident of care, the hospital physical environment, interpersonal skills in terms of good manners, value by health care providers, communication skills, clarification, and clear information, and technical skills such as clinical competency and hospital equipment [6]. Factors affecting patient satisfaction include
accessibility of hospitals, surrounding environment, working hours, cleanliness, food services quality were considered [7]. Health association have to give continuous and success patient centered care [8]. Socio-demographic of the patient influence the hope of the care [9]. Age, gender, language, culture, education status, anxiety and previous hospitalization influence patient satisfaction [10]. Participants with tertiary education were dissatisfied when compared with illiterate and basic education [11]. Poor working conditions, heavy workloads, lack of participation in decision making, and limited opportunities for career mobility. Consequently, lack of resources, as well as professional, environmental and other restraints and limitations [12].

The study revealed a significant association among patient age and patient satisfaction [13]. A study done at a black lion hospital showed that 374 adult participants with a 100% response rate [14]. Language barrier were determining factor of patient satisfaction [15]. The patient unable to fully express their felling to the nurse were determining factors for patient [16]. Different studies have reported varying levels of inpatient satisfaction in different levels of hospitals in Ethiopia. A study conducted in Addis Ababa, 67% of patient were satisfied [17]. A study done at Jimma University Specialized Hospital 77.0% were satisfied [18]. Patient at Mozambique Hospital, 55% patient were satisfied [19].

A study conducted in Amhara region satisfaction level were 22.0% to 50% [20]. Study done at Tigray zonal hospitals satisfaction level was 43.60% [21]. A study done at Debre Markos hospital patient satisfaction was 56% [22]. To improve services quality, satisfying patients is the primary goal of the Ethiopian government’s transformation agenda. Hospitals and health professionals [23, 24]. Higher levels of patient capacity building, promise to care and adherence to recommended management were associated with patient outcome [25]. The Federal Ministry of health in Ethiopia set 36 national key performance indicators (KPIs) reflecting processes and outcomes. The inpatient service KPI includes: admissions, mortality, surgical admission timeliness, bed occupancy, the average length of stay, pressure ulcer incidence, surgical site infection and completeness of inpatient medical records [26].

Lack of high-quality equipment exaggerated the provision of quality care [27]. A study in South Africa reported that, the highest waiting time was associated with patient dissatisfaction [28]. There were different factors that delay the health care provider to attain better health outcomes [29]. Male patient had higher satisfaction for health care services, others males were dissatisfied [30, 31]. It is necessary to assess patient satisfaction at the primary hospital levels. Most of the previous studies addressed on the nursing care aspect of the hospital service. But according to Ethiopian hospital service transformation guideline inpatient service patient satisfaction should be measure in terms of all available inpatient service provided in the hospital setting. For this reason; the objective of study to determine the level of patient satisfaction and identifying factors.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The study was conducted among Primary Hospitals of North Shoa Zone. The study was conducted from March 15–April 25/2019. Cross-sectional study design was implemented. A single population proportion formula was used. The following assumptions were made, margin of error (d) 5%, and using 95% confidence level, the proportion of inpatient satisfaction (P=50%), 10% non-responses with 422 participants. The sample was allocated to each hospital based on the size of admitted patients. Then the sample was distributed to three wards (medical, surgical and gynecological wards). Study participants were selected every two-interval during discharge time. The data was collected through face to face interview using structured questionnaires, the questionnaires were adopted from reviewed literature [32]. Data were coded and entered into Epi data version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 21 for analysis.

Factor analysis was conducted. Factor scores were computed for the item identified to represent the satisfaction scale by varimax rotation. In this study, sampling adequacy was (KMO=0.964). A linear regression model was fitted to identify factors associated with patient satisfaction. Bivariate linear regression analysis was performed to examine the association between each independent variable with the dependent variable. All variables with (p<0.25) on the bivariate were included in the final multiple linear regression model to identify associated factors of patient satisfaction. Variables with (p<0.05), with the level of significance at 95% confidence interval and un-standardized β coefficient was used for interpretation of significant association with patient satisfaction. The questionnaire was developed in English and translated into Amharic (local language) and back-translated into English to ensure its consistency by expertise. The reliability of the questioner checked and α was 0.965. The pre-test was done in Kemise Primary Hospitals.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Among 422 participants, 418 respondents were voluntary to participate. The mean ages of the respondents were 32.38 (SD±11.991) years and 105 (25.1%) of them were between the age of 25-29 years. Two hundred sixty-four (63.2%) were females. Half of the respondents 211 (50.5%) were urban residents. Regarding educational status, 287 (68.7%) respondents had a primary school and above educational level. It can be seen in Table 1.

| Variable                      | Category          | Frequency (n=418) | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|
| Age                           | 15-19             | 17               | 4.1        |
|                               | 20-24             | 89               | 21.3       |
|                               | 25-29             | 105              | 25.1       |
|                               | 30-34             | 67               | 16         |
|                               | 35-39             | 46               | 11         |
|                               | 40-44             | 34               | 8.1        |
|                               | 45*               | 60               | 14.4       |
| Sex                           | Male              | 154              | 36.8       |
|                               | Female            | 264              | 63.2       |
| Marital status                | Married           | 321              | 76.8       |
|                               | Single            | 76               | 18.2       |
| Religion                      | Muslim            | 66               | 15.8       |
|                               | Protestant        | 9                | 2.2        |
| Residence                     | Urban             | 211              | 50.5       |
|                               | Rural             | 207              | 49.5       |
| Occupation                    | Government employee | 71            | 17         |
|                               | private Business  | 20               | 4.8        |
|                               | Other             | 67               | 16         |
|                               | No education      | 131              | 31.3       |
|                               | Primary school (1-8) | 115        | 27.5       |
|                               | Secondary (9-10)  | 63               | 15.1       |
|                               | Preparatory (11-12) | 14             | 3.3        |
|                               | diploma           | 57               | 13.6       |
|                               | Degree            | 38               | 9.1        |
| *Educational status           | Mean=1633.65<1633.65=258 | 61.7  |
|                               | SD±2088.203≥1633.65=160 | 38.3  |
| Households                  | Free              | 151              | 36.1       |
| Income in Ethiopian Birr(monthly) | Paying            | 124              | 29.7       |
|                               | CBHI              | 143              | 34.2       |
| Family size                  | <=5               | 338              | 80.9       |
|                               | >5                | 80               | 19.1       |

3.2. Admission characteristics of respondents

From the total 418 inpatient respondents, 357 (85.4%) of them stayed less or equal to five days in the wards and more than half of the respondents 230 (55%) were admitted for the first time. Most of the respondents 232 (55.5%) were admitted at the medical ward and 153 (36.6%) were at the gynecology ward.

3.3. Hospital facility-related satisfaction

From all respondents 270 (64.6%) were felt comfort for examination rooms, 243 (58.1%) were interested regarding to cleanliness of the wards that can be seen in Table 2.

3.4. Service appropriateness related satisfaction

Most of the respondents 289 (71.5%) were satisfied with the diagnostic service. Likewise, high level of satisfaction was reported on the progress of the treatment 292 (69.9%), by the availability of prescribed drugs 307 (73.4%), by the payment condition 305 (73.0%), and by access to laboratory and x-ray diagnosis were 295 (70.5%).
3.4. Patient centeredness related satisfaction

Concerning patient-centeredness variables, the majority of the respondents 284 (68.0%) were satisfied by communication with the physician and by the number of time nurses and doctors spent with them 300 (72.3%) respondents were satisfied.

3.5. Service timeline related satisfaction

Regarding service timeline variables, efficient services provided, service layout like a laboratory, pharmacy, and admission procedures were satisfied (74.0%), (73.4%), and (69.9%) respectively.

3.6. Overall satisfaction level

Among the respondents 59.1% (95% CI: 54.1%, 63.6%) patients were satisfied

3.7. Multivariate linear regression analysis

Patients who were a housewife in occupation had 0.244 unit’s lower satisfaction than those who were a farmer. Patients who were government employees in occupation had 0.209 units lower by satisfaction than those who were a farmer. Regarding educational status, patients who were primary school had 0.254 unit’s lower satisfaction than those who were illiterate. Regarding residence, patients who were urban residence had 0.160 unit’s lower satisfaction than those who were a rural residence. Patients who had the previous admission had 0.237 units greater satisfaction than those who were no previous admission. Patients who were male had 0.159 units lower satisfaction than female. It can be seen in Table 3.

### Table 2. Satisfaction level with facilities

| Variable                                      | Strongly dissatisfied n (%) | Dissatisfied n (%) | Neutral n (%) | Satisfied n (%) | Strongly satisfied n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Availability of material example, wheelchair  | 44(10.5)                    | 36(8.6)           | 84(20.1)      | 100(23.9)       | 154(35.8)               |
| Comfort of the examining room(s)              | 30(7.2)                     | 36(8.6)           | 82(19.6)      | 125(29.9)       | 145(34.7)               |
| Cleanliness of the ward                       | 32(7.7)                     | 52(12.4)          | 91(21.8)      | 97(23.2)        | 146(34.9)               |
| Adequacy of ward space                        | 32(7.7)                     | 36(8.6)           | 92(22.0)      | 108(25.8)       | 150(35.9)               |
| Ward room light and ventilation               | 28(6.7)                     | 33(7.9)           | 72(17.2)      | 120(28.7)       | 165(39.5)               |
| Cleanliness of bed                            | 32(7.7)                     | 45(10.8)          | 85(20.3)      | 107(25.6)       | 149(35.6)               |
| Dietary service                               | 102(24.4)                   | 70(16.7)          | 61(14.6)      | 90(21.5)        | 95(22.7)                |
| Access to water                               | 49(11.7)                    | 72(17.2)          | 86(20.6)      | 103(24.6)       | 108(25.8)               |
| Access to latrine                             | 34(8.1)                     | 69(66.2)          | 115(27.5)     | 105(25.1)       | 95(22.7)                |
| Access to hand washing facility               | 69(14.6)                    | 68(16.3)          | 99(23.7)      | 89(21.3)        | 101(24.2)               |
| Privacy maintained at all times of care       | 20(4.8)                     | 27(6.5)           | 93(22.2)      | 106(25.4)       | 172(41.1)               |
| Peaceful wards at night                       | 23(5.5)                     | 30(7.2)           | 84(20.1)      | 120(28.7)       | 151(38.5)               |

### Table 3. Multivariate linear regression analysis predictors of overall patient satisfaction score

| Variables                      | Category                  | Unstandardized coefficients | T | p-value | 95% CI | Collinearity statistics |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------|--------|------------------------|
|                                |                           | B                           | Std. Error | LB   | UB   | Tolerance | VIF |
| Sex                            | (Constant)                | 4.148                       | .162       | 25.626 | .000  | 3.830 | 4.466 |     |
| Female(ref)                    |                           | -1.59                       | .089       | -1.778 | .034  | -3.35  | .017  | 1.459 |
| Male                           |                          | -1.59                       | .089       | -1.778 | .034  | -3.35  | .017  | 1.459 |
| Illiterate(ref)                |                           | -1.59                       | .089       | -1.778 | .034  | -3.35  | .017  | 1.459 |
| Educational status             | Primary                   | -2.54                       | .101       | -2.510 | .012  | -4.53  | .055  | 1.601 |
| Secondary                      | Secondary                 | -2.85                       | .120       | -2.366 | .018  | -5.21  | .048  | 1.705 |
| Tertiary                       | Tertiary                  | -2.24                       | .135       | -1.665 | .097  | -4.68  | .040  | 2.493 |
| Residence                      | Urban                     | -1.60                       | .088       | -1.819 | .040  | -3.32  | .013  | 1.508 |
| Farmer(ref)                    |                           | -1.60                       | .088       | -1.819 | .040  | -3.32  | .013  | 1.508 |
| Occupation                     | Governmental employee    | -2.09                       | .120       | -1.741 | .034  | -4.45  | .027  | 1.595 |
| Housewife                      |                           | -2.09                       | .120       | -1.741 | .034  | -4.45  | .027  | 1.595 |
| Governmental employee          | Medical (ref)             | .267                        | .136       | 1.964  | .050  | .000   | .535  | 1.056 |
| Surgical                       |                           | .267                        | .136       | 1.964  | .050  | .000   | .535  | 1.056 |
| Medical (ref)                  |                           | .267                        | .136       | 1.964  | .050  | .000   | .535  | 1.056 |
| Admissions                     | Surgical (ref)            | .267                        | .136       | 1.964  | .050  | .000   | .535  | 1.056 |
| Previous admission             | Yes                       | .237                        | .076       | 3.132  | .002  | .088   | .387  | .897  | 1.115 |

Dependent variable: Overall patient satisfaction level, *P value <0.05, R=0.436, R square=0.190, Adjusted R square=0.164* 

This study clearly showed the magnitude of overall patient satisfaction with inpatient service was 59.1% (95% CI: 54.1%, 63.6%). The study done at Debre Markos Hospital of Ethiopia which was 56.0% [22]. The difference might be due to the availability of different specialist professionals,
the availability of service. 58.13% of the respondents were satisfied with cleanliness of the ward, a study in Tanzania 88.5% were satisfied with cleanliness of the ward [33]. 61.2% of the patients were also satisfied with the hygiene of the bed and a study in Tanzania were 72.8% [33]. This difference may be due to hospital organizational structure and hygienic practice.

In this study, the finding showed that patients who were male had 0.159 unit’s lower satisfaction than females (B=-0.159, (95%CI: -0.335, -0.017)). The study was done in China teaching hospital males more likely satisfied than females [34]. The possible explanation in Ethiopia, female such as pregnant got health care exempted service. For this reason the satisfaction level greater when compared to males. The result of this study revealed that patients who were urban residence had 0.160 units lower satisfaction than those who were rural residence (B=-0.160, (95%CI: -.332, -.013)). Evidence shows that rural residents are more satisfied than urban residents a study conducted at Debre Markos Hospital [22]. This may be due to private hospital availability in the urban area.

Regarding educational status, patients who were primary school had 0.254 unit’s lower satisfaction level than those who were illiterate (B=-0.254, (95%CI: -0.453, -0.055)). Similarly; the study conducted at Jimma University specialized hospital also indicated a significant association of the satisfaction with the educational level of the respondents [4]. In this study, patients who had a previous admission 0.237 unit’s greater satisfaction (B=0.237, (95%CI: 0.088, 0.387)). But, the study in Jimma University Specialized Hospital participants who did not have the previous admission was more likely satisfied [4].

4. CONCLUSION

The level of patient satisfaction was low, it was 59.1% (95%CI: 54.1%, 63.6%). Sex of patients, residence, previous admission, educational status, and occupational status was associated with patients satisfaction.
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