Additional Radiotherapy With or Without Chemotherapy Following Endoscopic Resection for Stage I Esophageal Carcinoma: A Pilot Study
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Abstract
Objective: To evaluate the safety and efficacy of additional radiotherapy after endoscopic resection (ER) for stage I esophageal carcinoma (EC) with high-risk factors. Materials and methods: Patients with stage cT1N0M0 EC who underwent ER and additional radiotherapy between January 2010 and August 2019 at our institution were retrospectively included. Overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), cancer-specific survival (CSS), local control rate, regional control rate, common acute toxicities, esophageal stricture, and dysphagia were analyzed. Results: Thirty-one consecutive patients were included in the study. The median age was 62 years (range, 49-78). Thirty patients (96.8%) had squamous cell carcinoma, and one patient (3.2%) had adenosquamous cell carcinoma. Twenty-six patients (83.9%) had submucosal invasion, 15 patients (48.4%) had lymphovascular invasion, and one patient (3.2%) had a venous invasion. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates were 100.0%, 86.9%, and 68.5%, respectively. The corresponding DFS rates were 100.0%, 85.2%, and 75.8%, respectively. The corresponding CSS rates were 100.0%, 89.8%, and 78.6%, respectively. The local and regional control rates were 100.0% and 93.5%, respectively. No grade 4-5 acute toxicities were observed. Fifteen patients (48.4%) were endoscopically diagnosed with esophageal strictures after ER. At the last follow-up, 28 patients (90.5%) were able to eat a regular diet, one patient (3.2%) could eat a soft diet, one needed a semi-fluid diet, and only one (3.2%) had to eat a fluid diet. Conclusions: For patients with stage I EC, additional radiotherapy following ER is safe and effective, with the swallowing function well-preserved. Nevertheless, prospective studies are needed to verify these results.
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Introduction

With the rapid progress and wide application of endoscopic technology, the incidence of early stage esophageal carcinoma (EC) is increasing, and now accounts for up to 40% of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.1

As a crucial diagnostic and therapeutic method for early stage EC, endoscopic resection (ER) has the advantages of being able to evaluate pathological parameters, including tumor invasion depth, lymphovascular invasion (LVI) status, and tumor differentiation grade; as well as allows removal of local lesions while achieving organ preservation.

However, tumors with submucosal invasion (pT1b) have a relatively high rate of lymph node (LN) metastasis, ranging from 15% to 42%.2-6 Additionally, several studies have reported a comparatively high LN relapse rate of 25%-30% in patients with pT1b-SM2 (submucosal invasion depth ≥200 μm) disease treated with ER alone.7-9 Eguchi et al10 reported that the LN metastasis rate of pT1a-M3 (muscularis mucosae invasion) disease with or without LVI was 42% and 10%, respectively. Furthermore, Yamashina et al10 found that the 5-year cumulative recurrence rate of pT1a-M3 patients with or without LVI treated solely with ER was 47% and 1%, respectively. In addition, a scoring system based on NCDB that identifies patients with early stage EC at risk of LN metastasis also showed that pT1b and LVI were independent risk factors.10 The aforementioned data indicate that for patients with pT1b or LVI, ER alone is non-curative, and additional treatment is needed.

However, the optimal mode of additional treatment after ER remains unclear. Considering the curative effect of radiotherapy (RT), it is reasonable to speculate that, based on radical local excision of the ER and effective regional treatment of RT, the combination of these two organ preservation treatment modes could hopefully improve survival and ensure quality of life. However, high-level evidence to prove the safety and efficacy of this multimodality therapy is relatively scarce. Herein, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical outcomes of stage I EC patients with high-risk factors who received RT at our institution after ER.

Materials and Methods

From January 2010 to August 2019, patients with EC who received RT or ER at our institution were retrospectively analyzed. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) pathologically proven EC, including squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous cell carcinoma; (2) cT1N0M0 disease based on computed tomography and/or ultrasound examinations before ER; (3) pT1 disease with high-risk factors (submucosal, lymphovascular, or venous invasion) based on endoscopically resected specimens; and (4) ER followed by additional RT. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) other pathological types other than those mentioned above, (2) inadequate examinations to confirm clinical stage, (3) positive resection margins after ER, and (4) local-regional or distant metastasis (DM) before RT.

Chest computed tomography (CT) with or without contrast was mandatory to identify mediastinal LN status. Cervical and abdominal CT or ultrasound were needed to exclude positive LNs and DM. Emission computed tomograph (ECT) and brain magnetic resonance imaging were not performed.

Endoscopic mucosal resection and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) were performed at our institution and were permitted in the present study. Additional RT should be administered within 6 months of ER. GTVtb was defined as the esophagus between the upper and lower borders of the ER, and was marked endoscopically with a surrogate. Clinical target volume (CTV) was defined as the LN drainage area of GTVtb plus a 2-5 cm craniocaudal margin with or without elective lymph node irradiation (ENI). Planning target volume (PTV) was defined as CTV plus a 3-dimensional, 5 mm margin. A total dose of 44.0-60.0 Gy in common fractionation based on intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) technology was conducted. Adjuvant chemotherapy can be administered. Platinum and paclitaxel liposome-based chemotherapy regimens can be administered weekly or every three weeks either concurrently or sequentially with RT.

Patient characteristics, treatment details, overall survival (OS), disease-free survival (DFS), cancer-specific survival (CSS), cause of death, local control rate (LCR), regional control rate (RCR), failure pattern, common acute toxicities (based on descriptions in medical records, according to Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, CTCAE 5.0), esophageal stricture, and dysphagia at the last follow-up were analyzed. OS was defined as the duration between diagnosis and any cause of death. DFS was defined as the duration between the diagnosis and any disease recurrence or any cause of death, whichever occurred first. CSS was defined as the duration between the diagnosis of EC and death. Estimates of OS, DFS, and CSS were derived using the Kaplan-Meier method.

Results

Patient Characteristics and Treatment Details

In total, 31 patients met the preset criteria and were included in the final analysis. Patient characteristics and treatment details are shown in Table 1. The median age was 62 years (range, 49-78). All patients had either submucosal invasion (83.9%), LVI (48.4%), or venous invasion (3.2%). The median infiltration depth of patients with submucosal invasion was 650 μm (range, 50-1550). Sixteen patients (51.6%) had comorbidities, with high blood pressure (22.6%) being the most common, followed by heart disease (19.4%) and diabetes (16.1%). The entire cohort of patients was diagnosed with a single lesion endoscopically and underwent complete ESD. The median time between the completion of ER and initiation of RT was 2.5 months (range, 1.2-5.8).
The median dose of IMRT was 50.0 Gy (range, 44.0-60.0). Only one patient did not complete the prescribed radiation dose due to refusal. Twenty-two patients (71.0%) received ENI based on the tumor location. Six patients (19.4%) underwent concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT). The regimens were paclitaxel liposome combined with platinum administered weekly (66.7%) or every three weeks (33.3%).

**Survival and Cause of Death**

The median follow-up time was 35.5 months (95% confidence interval, 28.1-42.8). The 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates were 100.0%, 86.9%, and 68.5%, respectively (Figure 1). The corresponding DFS rates were 100.0%, 85.2%, and 75.8%, respectively (Figure 2). The corresponding CSS rates were 100.0%, 89.8%, and 78.6%, respectively (Figure 3). The median survival times for OS, DFS, and CSS were not reached. No independent prognostic factors were observed for OS, DFS, and CSS. Five patients (16.1%) died, and the causes of death included EC (60.0%), heart failure (20.0%), and unknown reasons (20.0%).

**LCR, RCR, and Failure Pattern**

By the last follow-up, the LCR of the entire cohort was 100.0%, and the RCR was 93.5%. Three patients (9.7%) experienced...
Table 2. Characteristics and outcomes of the patients with recurrence.

| Age (years)/sex | Tumor depth | LVI | RT dose (Gy) | ENI | Chemotherapy | Time to recurrence (months) | Recurrence site | Outside RT field | Outcome |
|-----------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| 73/female       | SM2         | No  | 52.5        | Yes | No           | 17.0                        | Left gastric and retroperitoneal LN | Yes               | Dead    |
| 72/male         | MM3         | Yes | 50.0        | Yes | No           | 26.1                        | Lung            | NA               | Dead    |
| 57/male         | SM2         | Yes | 54.0        | No  | No           | 29.2                        | Recurrent laryngeal nerve and lung | Yes               | Dead    |

Abbreviations: Outside RT field, evaluated by judging whether the recurrent regional LN was outside RT field; NA, not applicable; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; ENI, elective lymph node irradiation; LN, lymph node.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that ER combined with RT was safe and effective for patients with stage I EC with submucosal invasion or LVI, with their swallowing function preserved. Thus, this treatment mode should be fully considered for patients with inoperable disease or for those wanting to preserve organ function.

Currently, chemoradiotherapy (CRT) or surgery is recommended by the Japan Esophageal Society for early stage EC patients with pT1b disease or LVI after ER.11 Several studies have shown that LVI is associated with an increased risk of LN metastasis in pT1a patients.2,9 A scoring system based on NCDB also showed that LVI was an independent risk factor for LN metastasis (odds ratio = 3.39, range 2.17-5.29).10 In the JCOG 0508 trial, 14 pT1a patients with positive LVI were enrolled in the selective CRT group. In our study, pT1a accounted for 16.1% (5/31) of the patients, and all of them had LVI.15 Among them, only one patient experienced a relapse. Moreover, two patients were diagnosed with esophageal strictures, and only one required balloon dilation. All five pT1a patients could eat a regular diet at the last follow-up. Therefore, we believe that additional radiotherapy after ER is necessary, effective, and safe for pT1a with LVI.

Of the three patients with relapse in our study, one patient had DM, while two had DM and regional LN recurrence synchronously, with no local recurrence observed. The most common modes of failure were regional LN and DM, and none of the patients received chemotherapy. Similarly, two retrospective studies also reported that LN recurrence and DM...
were the main failure patterns for superficial EC treated with ESD plus RT or CRT.\textsuperscript{20,21} Furthermore, Uchinami et al found that CRT showed a trend for better CSS than RT for patients with clinical T1NOM0 EC invading the muscularis mucosa or submucosa.\textsuperscript{18} Thus, CRT other than RT alone may be a more reasonable additional treatment mode in terms of the failure pattern and more effective regional therapeutic effects of CRT. Regarding the characteristics of the three patients with relapse, two were diagnosed with SM2 disease or LVI, which hinted that RT alone might not be enough to cure cases with very high-risk factors. Similar results were observed in the JCOG 0508 trial, in which 11 patients experienced regional LN failure as the first site, and SM2 disease and LVI both accounted for 72.7% (8/11).\textsuperscript{22} In addition, another retrospective study also observed that all four early stage EC patients who were treated with ER plus CRT and died of EC were diagnosed with ultra-deep submucosal invasion depth and LVI.\textsuperscript{23} Therefore, a higher RT dose combined with chemotherapy or even surgery should be considered in this cohort of patients.

In our study, 46.7% of the patients diagnosed with esophageal strictures received subsequent endoscopic balloon dilation, and 86.7% (13/15) of them could eat a regular soft diet at the last follow-up. No statistically significant difference in dysphagia status at the last follow-up was observed between patients diagnosed with and without esophageal strictures (\(P = .315\)). Additional RT was safely applied in patients with esophageal strictures, and swallowing function was well-preserved based on effective endoscopic dilation. Furthermore, Hisano et al\textsuperscript{21} reported a similar incidence of esophageal strictures in patients with mucosal defects involving \(\geq 3/4\) of the esophageal circumference after ESD in the RT and non-RT groups (33.3% vs 50.0%). Thus, esophageal strictures caused by ER may not be a contraindication to additional RT. According to the results of the JCOG 0502 trial, 57 (27.0%) of 211 clinical N0 patients had pathologic LN metastasis.\textsuperscript{24} In other words, LN metastasis may be present in approximately 30% of patients with clinical T1N0 ESCC. Thus, the clinical diagnosis of T1N0 may not be sufficiently accurate. Nevertheless, in the JCOG 9708 trial, 87.5% (63/72) of patients with clinical stage I esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) achieved complete response after CRT, and the 4-year survival rate was 80.5%, which was comparable to that of surgery or ER.\textsuperscript{25} Furthermore, in the JCOG 0505 trial, the 3-year OS rate was 90.7% in clinical stage I ESCC patients treated with additional CRT after ER.\textsuperscript{15} In our study, a higher proportion of patients had SM2 (77.4%) or LVI (48.4%) than in the JCOG 0508 trial, which meant that more patients might have clinical occult LN metastasis in our cohort. However, the RCR was up to 93.5%, and the OS rates were comparable to those of surgery. Hence, even for ESCC patients with clinical occult LN metastasis, additional RT after ER is effective.

The present study had several limitations. First, this was a retrospective, single-center study. Second, the sample size was relatively small. Third, there was no control group to compare the effectiveness of ER plus RT and surgery. At present, a multicenter, prospective study (NCT number masked for peer review) has already been initiated by our institution, which could hopefully further validate the use of ER plus RT as a treatment modality.

**Conclusions**

In patients with stage I EC, additional RT following ER was safe and effective, with swallowing function well-preserved. Nevertheless, prospective studies are needed to verify these results.
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