A Review of Sulfate Radical-Based and Singlet Oxygen-Based Advanced Oxidation Technologies: Recent Advances and Prospects
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Abstract: In recent years, advanced oxidation process (AOPs) based on sulfate radical (SO$_4^{•-}$) and singlet oxygen ($^{1}$O$_2$) has attracted a lot of attention because of its characteristics of rapid reaction, efficient treatment, safety and stability, and easy operation. SO$_4^{•-}$ and $^{1}$O$_2$ mainly comes from the activation reaction of peroxymonosulfate (PMS) or persulfate (PS), which represent the oxidation reactions involving radicals and non-radicals, respectively. The degradation effects of target pollutants will be different due to the type of oxidant, reaction system, activation methods, operating conditions, and other factors. In this paper, according to the characteristics of PMS and PS, the activation methods and mechanisms in these oxidation processes, respectively dominated by SO$_4^{•-}$ and $^{1}$O$_2$, are systematically introduced. The research progress of PMS and PS activation for the degradation of organic pollutants in recent years is reviewed, and the existing problems and future research directions are pointed out. It is expected to provide ideas for further research and practical application of advanced oxidation processes dominated by SO$_4^{•-}$ and $^{1}$O$_2$.
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1. Introduction

With the development of economy and science and technology, more and more new substances are developed and manufactured to meet the growing needs of human beings. At the same time, many emerging pollutants, such as pesticides, pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), perfluorinated compounds (PFCs), food additives, surfactants, plasticizers, and pathogens, are released into the aquatic environment during production, processing, use, and waste. As these pollutants migrate and transform in the environment, they accumulate in organisms and eventually harm human health. These emerging pollutants have relatively stable properties, complex molecular structures and are difficult to degrade in the natural environment, so they cannot be completely degraded by traditional biological processes [1,2].

In recent decades, water treatment technologies have achieved rapid development. Especially, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been applied to the degradation, complete mineralization or pretreatment of complex and refractory organic pollutants. Compared with traditional water treatment methods, advanced oxidation technology has obvious advantages of strong oxidation capacity, fast reaction rate, simple operation, wide application range, high treatment efficiency, less secondary pollution, high mineralization rate, equipment, and large-scale application. AOPs relies on reactive oxygen species (ROS) to degrade organic pollutants. Among them, sulfate radical (SO$_4^{•-}$) and singlet oxygen ($^{1}$O$_2$) have attracted more and more researchers’ attention due to their unique properties in
the removal of organic pollutants [3,4]. Compared with the traditional hydroxyl radical (·OH), SO₄²⁻ has a higher redox potential (2.5–3.1 V), and the redox potential of ·OH is 2.7 V and 1.8 V under acidic and alkaline conditions, respectively. In addition, SO₄²⁻ (30–40 µs) has a longer half-life than ·OH (20 ns) [5]. SO₄²⁻ also degrades many organic compounds more efficiently than ·OH, due to its greater selectivity for electron transfer reactions, giving SO₄²⁻ a greater advantage in mineralizing a wide range of organic pollutants [6]. Moreover, the oxidation efficiency of SO₄²⁻ in carbonate and phosphate buffer solutions is higher than that of ·OH [7]. Therefore, sulfate radical-based AOPs will have better oxidation efficiency and application than hydroxyl radical-based AOPs.

Unlike SO₄²⁻ and ·OH, ·O₂ is a non-free radical ROS, which can degrade organic pollutants through non-free radical attack pathways [8]. ·O₂ is electrophilic and highly selective for electron-rich organic matter, which facilitates selective removal of micropollutants (e.g., drugs and endocrine disruptors) in the presence of salinity and other organic matter [9,10]. Therefore, the non-radical oxidation reaction based on ·O₂ provides an idea for the removal of refractory organic compounds in complex matrix.

SO₄²⁻-based and ·O₂-based AOPs have attracted increasing interest. Most of the recent studies focus on the generation of SO₄²⁻ and ·O₂ and the degradation of one or several organic pollutants. However, there are few reports on the comprehensive evaluation of the formation, mechanism, and application of SO₄²⁻ and ·O₂. This paper reviews the recent progress and prospect about sulfate radicals-based and singlet oxygen-based advanced oxidation technologies. The production of SO₄²⁻ and ·O₂ mainly comes from the activation of persulfate (PS) and peroxymonosulfate (PMS). The chemical properties of PMS and PS are briefly introduced in this paper, and the generation, reaction mechanism, and treatment effect of SO₄²⁻-based and ·O₂-based AOPs are emphasized. The obstacles in the current research work are pointed out and the future research is prospected. It is expected to provide ideas for further research and practical application of AOPs based on SO₄²⁻ and ·O₂. This paper has a certain reference and guiding significance for the applications of PMS or PS activation technologies in environmental pollution control and remediation.

2. Characteristics of Persulfate and Peroxymonosulfate

At present, the production of SO₄²⁻ mainly comes from the activation of PMS and PS, which are the monosubstituted or symmetrically substituted derivatives of hydrogen peroxide by sulfonic acid group (-SO₃), respectively. PMS has been widely used in organic compound synthesis and as a chlorine-free additive for disinfecting swimming pools at a rate of about 1–2 pounds per 10,000 gallons of pool water [11]. Under the presence of 25 mg/L PMS and 0.1 mg/L Co²⁺, the removal rate of E. coli reached 99.99% after 1 h of reaction [12]. PMS is white solid powder. It is stable when pH is less than 6 or pH is 12. When pH is 9, it showed the poorest stability where half of HSO₅⁻ decomposes to SO₃²⁻ [4]. At present, the widely used potassium bisulfate complex salt (2KHSO₅·KHSO₄·K₂SO₄) is composed of three components, potassium peroxymonosulfate, potassium hydrogen sulfate, and potassium sulphate, and its main active substance is potassium peroxymonosulfate (KHSO₅). The salt is marketed under the trade names Caroat and Oxone registered by Evonik and DuPont, respectively. Oxone is a white granular powder crystal salt, which is stable, non-toxic, inexpensive, and soluble in water. The peroxide bond (O-O) distance in carbonate and phosphate buffer solutions is 1.453 Å, and the bond energy is 140–213.3 kJ/mol. PMS is most stable when the solution pH is less than 6 and equal to 12. When pH is 9, the stability is worst, and the concentration of HSO₅⁻ and SO₃²⁻ in the solution is almost equal. When pH is less than 1, PMS will undergo hydrolysis reaction to produce H₂O₂ [13]. PS, an oxidant with symmetrical structure, was first known as the initiator of polymerization reaction. The O-O distance is 1.497 Å, and the bond energy is 140 kJ/mol [14]. PS, often in the form of potassium persulfate or sodium persulfate, has been widely used as bleaching agents, oxidants, emulsion polymerization promoters, and water or soil remediation agents. The related properties of PMS and PS are shown in Table 1. Both PMS and PS are strong oxidants, but their direct reaction rates
with most pollutants are very low. Therefore, it is necessary to activate them through appropriate ways to destroy the O-O bond and generate strong oxidizing free radicals, $^1$O$_2$ and other ROS to degrade organic pollutants quickly and efficiently.

Table 1. Properties of PMS and PS.

| Properties                          | PS (Take Potassium Persulfate as an Example) | PMS (Take Potassium Peroxymonosulfate as an Example) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| CAS Registry Number                 | 7727-21-1                                   | 10058-23-8                                           |
| Chemical formula                    | K$_2$S$_2$O$_8$                             | KHSO$_5$                                              |
| Molecular mass                      | 270.309                                     | 614.738                                               |
| Solubility in water (20 °C)         | 520 g/L                                     | >250 g/L                                              |
| Redox potential                     | 2.01 V                                      | 1.82 V                                                |

Because PS and PMS are solid powders, they can be transported and stored more easily. Compared with H$_2$O$_2$, the anions of PS and PMS remain stable in water for a much longer time until they are properly activated. In addition, PMS and PS-based AOPs can proceed smoothly in a wide solution pH range from acidic to alkaline (pH = 2–10), while H$_2$O$_2$-based Fenton process requires strict acidic conditions (pH = 2.7–3). Generally, PS and PMS can be activated with the assistance of ultraviolet light, heat, alkali, or metal catalysts, etc. Different types of oxidants and activation methods will produce different ROS. The advanced oxidation processes dominated by SO$_4^{•-}$ and $^1$O$_2$ were discussed in this paper.

### 3. Sulfate Radicals-Based Advanced Oxidation

Studies have shown that AOPs based on SO$_4^{•-}$ and $^•$OH is an effective method for the degradation of refractory organic pollutants, such as pharmaceuticals, pesticides, personal care products, steroids, endocrine disruptors, etc. [15]. However, AOPs based on $^•$OH degrades organic pollutants through a non-selective, multi-step approach that typically requires an acidic environment. In addition, the oxidation process is severely limited by the large amount of dissolved organic matter and anions in complex environments, which are the main scavengers of $^•$OH. SO$_4^{•-}$ is inherently more oxidizing than $^•$OH and lasts longer in aqueous solutions, and in some cases SO$_4^{•-}$ can oxidize contaminants that $^•$OH cannot. In recent years, SO$_4^{•-}$-based AOPs have replaced $^•$OH-based AOPs to some extent.

The essence of the advanced oxidation process based on SO$_4^{•-}$ is to activate PMS or PS to form SO$_4^{•-}$ to achieve the removal of organic matter. Besides SO$_4^{•-}$, there are also associated or indirect generation of $^•$OH, superoxide radical (O$_2^{•-}$), or other radicals, but SO$_4^{•-}$ plays a leading role in the degradation of pollutants. These free radicals (SO$_4^{•-}$, $^•$OH, and O$_2^{•-}$) could be detected by using 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline-1-oxide (DMPO) as a spin trapping agent in an electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (EPR) [16]. Both ethanol (Et) and tert-butanol (TBA) could quench $^•$OH rapidly ($k_{Et} = 1.2–2.8 \times 10^9$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, $k_{TBA} = 3.8–7.6 \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$), and the reaction rate between Et and SO$_4^{•-}$ is much faster than that of TBA ($k_{Et} = 1.6–7.7 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, $k_{TBA} = 4.0–9.1 \times 10^5$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$). Therefore, Et and TBA can be used as capture agents to identify who contributes more to the degradation of pollutants [17]. PMS and PS can be activated to produce SO$_4^{•-}$ through energy input, transition metal ions and their oxides, non-metallic materials, etc. Studies on the degradation of pollutants by activating PMS and PS in different ways to generate SO$_4^{•-}$ are summarized in Table 2.
Table 2. PMS and PS radical activation with various method for the removal of pollutants.

| Reaction System | Pollutant | Conditions | Reactivity | Dominant ROS | Ref. |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|------|
| UV(254 nm)/PMS  | benzoic acid (BA) | [BA] = 9.90 µM; [PMS] = 100 µM as 1/2 Oxone; pH = 11. | >90% with 10 min | SO₄⁻⁻ and •OH | [18] |
| UV(254 nm)/PS   | Chlorophene | [Chlorophene] = 1 µM, [PS] = 50 µM, pH = 7, UV intensity (254 nm, 15 W) = 4.23 mW cm⁻². Iₜ = 25 mW cm⁻², | 100% with 5 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [19] |
| UV-C laser/PS   | Iohexol (IOX) | [IOX] = 10 µM, initial pH = 7.0 ± 0.5, temperature 25 °C. | 93.8% within only 40 s | SO₄⁻⁻ | [20] |
| Heat/PS         | Ibuprofen (IBU) | [phosphate buffer] = 0.09 M, pH = 7.0, [IBU] = 20.36 µM, [PS] = 1.0 mM, T = 70 °C. | 100% with 20 min | SO₄⁻⁻ and •OH | [21] |
| Heat/PS         | 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium bromides (C₄mimBr) | [C₄mimBr] = 0.1 mM, [PS] = 10 mM, T = 60 °C, pH = 7, V = 100 mL. | 100% with 120 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [22] |
| Electrolysis(boron-doped diamond anode)/PS | Ampicillin | [TCA] = 25.0 mg/L, [PS] = 250.0 mg/L pH 7.0, T = 20 ± 2 °C, ultrasound: 400 kHz, 100 W. [Ampicillin] = 1.1 mg/L, [PS] = 250 mg/L, current density (BDD anode) = 25 mAc⁻². | 100% with 120 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [23] |
| Fe⁰/PS          | sulfamethoxazole (SMX) | [SMX] = 39.5 µM, [PS] = 1.0 mM, [Fe⁰] = 2.23 mM; m (Fe⁰) = 2.5 mg, pH = 3.52. | 100% with 30 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [24] |
| Fe²⁺/PS         | Acetaminophen (ACT) | [ACT] = 0.05 mM, [Fe²⁺] = 1 mM, [PS] = 250 mg/L, pH = 3, T = 20 °C. | 70% with 30 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [25] |
| Fe(II)/PMS      | 2-chlorobiphenyl (2-CB) | [2-CB] = 0.0212 mM; [Fe(II)] = 0.11 mM; [PMS] = 0.11 mM. | 90% with 240 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [26] |
| Co²⁺/PMS        | nuclear grade cationic IRN-77 resin | initial pH = 9, [Co²⁺] = 4 mM, [PMS] = 60 mM T = 60 °C. | ~90% COD removal (1000 mg/L) with 60 min | SO₄⁻⁻ and •OH | [27] |
| Cu²⁺/PMS        | Triclosan (TCS) | [TCS] = 9 mg/L (0.031 mM), initial pH = 7, molar ratio of oxidant to metal = 1:1, molar ratio of oxidant to triclosan = 5:1. | 95% with 10 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [28] |
| Ru³⁺/PMS        | 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) | [RuCl₃ xH₂O] = 2.553 mM, [KHSO₅] = 1.244 mM, pH = 7. | 98% in less than 1 min | SO₄⁻⁻ | [29] |
| Natural chalcopyrite/PMS | Bisphenol S | Bisphenol S = 25 µM, chalcopyrite = 2 g/L, PMS = 0.4 mM, initial pH = 6.2, T = 303 K. | 83% with 30 min | SO₄⁻⁻ and •OH | [30] |
| Eggshell-loaded CoFe₂O₄ /PMS | Florfenicol (FF) | [PMS] = 0.96 mmol/L, [FF] = 10 mg/L, T = 30 °C, initial pH = 6.61. | 96.8% within 40 min | SO₄⁻⁻ and •OH | [31] |
Table 2. Cont.

| Reaction System                  | Pollutant            | Conditions                                                                 | Reactivity          | Dominant ROS       | Ref. |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| CoFe layered double oxide/g-C3N4/PMS | paracetamol          | [catalyst] = 0.2 g/L, [PMS] = 0.5 mM, [paracetamol] = 10 mg/L, temperature = 25 ± 0.5 °C, initial pH = 7 ± 0.2. | 100% in less than 10 min | SO4•−  | [33] |
| Co3O4/PMS                        | Acid Orange 7        | [AO7] = 0.2 mM, [PMS] = 2 mM and [nano-Co3O4] = 0.5 g/L, pH = 7. [CAF] = 0.05 mM, [PMS] = 0.2 mM, [catalyst] = 200 mg/L, pH = 7.10. | 100% with 60 min    | SO4•−  | [34] |
| Co-MCM41                         | Caffeine (CAF)       | [catalysts] = 300 mg/L, [PS] = 5 mM, [TC] = 10 mg/L, pH = 4.5, T = 298 K. [CBZ] = 2 mg/L, [PS0] = 0.2 mM, | 91% with 60 min     | SO4•− and •OH  | [35] |
| Aγ0.4*BiFeO3/PS                  | tetracycline (TC)    | [catalyst] = 0.05 mM, [PMS] = 0.2 mM, [catalyst] = 200 mg/L, pH = 7.10. 10. 100% with 15 min SO4•− and •OH | 93.13% with 30 min  | SO4•−  | [36] |
| S-doped α-Fe2O3/PS               | carbamazepine (CBZ)  | [catalyst] = 0.2 g/L, T = 25 ± 1 °C and initial pH = 6.8 ± 0.5. | 93.13% with 30 min  | SO4•−  | [37] |
| Fe3O4@Zn/Co-ZIFs/PMS             | carbamazepine (CBZ)  | [CBZ] = 5 mg/L, [catalyst] = 25 mg/L, [PMS] = 0.4 mM, initial pH = 6.8, T = 30 °C. [catalyst] = 0.3 g/L, [pollutant] = 20 mg/L, [PMS] = 1.0 mmol/L, [pH] = 7.0, [T] = 30 °C. [TC] = 0.05 g/L, [ZnO200/BC] = 0.1 g/L, [PS] = 1.0 mM, pH = 7.0 ± 0.1, T = 25 ± 2 °C. | 100% within less than 30 min | SO4•−  | [38] |
| Co3O4/C-BC/PMS                   | Bisphenol A (BPA)    | [Catalysts] = 300 mg/L, [PS] = 5 mM, [TC] = 10 mg/L, pH = 4.5, T = 298 K. [CBZ] = 2 mg/L, [PS0] = 0.2 mM, | 44.98% with 50 min  | SO4•− and •OH  | [39] |
| ZnO/biochar/PS                   | tetracycline hydrochloride (TC) | [catalyst] = 0.2 g/L, T = 25 ± 1 °C and initial pH = 6.8 ± 0.5. | 93.13% with 30 min  | SO4•−  | [40] |
| microwave irradiation(MW)/CuO/PS | 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) | [catalyst] = 0.2 g/L, T = 25 ± 1 °C and initial pH = 6.8 ± 0.5. | >98% with 90 min    | SO4•−  | [41] |
| Ultrasound/Fe0/PS                | Sulfadiazine (SD)    | [SD] = 20 mg/L, [Fe0] = 0.92 Mm, [PS] = 1.84 mM, US input power = 90 W, initial pH = 7, room temperature | 99.1% with 60 min   | SO4•−  | [42] |
| granular activated carbon (GAC)/PMS | Acid Orange 7 (AO7) | [AO7] = 20 mg/L, [PMS]: [AO7] = 100:1, [GAC] = 1.0 g/L, without pH adjustment, T = 20 ± 0.5 °C. | 85% with 5 h        | SO4•−  | [43] |
| Fe3O4@Graphene oxide (GO)/PS     | Rhodamine B (RhB)    | [RhB] = 20 ppm, [Fe3O4@GO] = 500 mg/L, [PS] = 1.5 mM, pH = 4.34, T = 20 °C. [Cu(II)-EDTA] = 3.14 mM, | 89% with 120 min     | SO4•−  | [44] |
| alkali and CuO/PS                | Cu-ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (Cu(II)-EDTA) | [PS]/[Cu(II)-EDTA] = 15:1, [CuO] = 2 g/L, pH maintained at 11. | Nearly 100% with 120 min | SO4•− and •OH and O2•− | [45] |
3.1. Energy Activation

The activation process of PMS and PS through energy is shown in Figure 1. Energy input methods include heating, ultraviolet light, electricity, ultrasound, and radiation. It has been reported that at least 33.5 kcal/mol is required to break the O-O bond of S$_2$O$_8^{2-}$ by heat [46]. Therefore, PS activation occurs only when the temperature reaches the required activation energy. Liang et al. found that PS could not be activated to achieve degradation of trichloroethylene and 1,1,1-trichloroethane at 20°C, whereas pollutants degradation could occur at 40–60°C, and they found that the reaction with higher activation energy was more sensitive to temperature [47,48]. Yang et al. found that heating activation of PS is effective, but the activation efficiency of PMS is very low [49]. The combination of ultrasound and light with PS or PMS has received much attention in recent years as a potential alternative method for removal of refractory or toxic compounds. When H$_2$O$_2$, PS and PMS were activated by UV light, the removal rate of was 100% in the presence of PS and PMS, but only 75% in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ [50]. 1,1,1-trichloroethane could be completely removed by ultrasonic activation of sodium persulfate, and the main ROS were SO$_4$$^•$− and *OH [23]. It has also been reported that laser can activate PS to produce SO$_4$$^•$−, but there are few studies on its application in pollutant removal in recent years [51]. Although energy input can activate PMS and PS to produce free radicals, the generation efficiency of free radicals is generally low, accompanied by large energy output and high cost.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** The activation reactions of PMS (a) and PS (b) by energy.

3.2. Transition Metal Ions Activation

In addition to energy activation, transition metal ions such as Co$^{2+}$, Ce$^{3+}$, Mn$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{2+}$ can also activate PMS and PS. The reaction processes are redox reaction, as shown in Equations (1) and (2) [46]. There are many studies on the activation of PMS by Co$^{2+}$, and the relevant mechanism is basically clear, as shown in Figure 2. It mainly converts Co$^{2+}$ into CoOH$^+$, then generates SO$_4$$^•$ radical and CoO$,^+$ further converts into Co$^{3+}$, and finally turns into Co$^{2+}$ to complete the catalytic process [52]. The results show that Co$^{2+}$ is the most efficient metal for activating PMS, while Ag$^+$ is the most efficient metal for activating PS [30]. Co$^{2+}$/PMS system is better than traditional Fenton oxidation, which can not only react under neutral conditions, but also has lower drug consumption [30]. CoOH$^+$ is the most effective intermediate substance in the reaction process of Co$^{2+}$ activation of PMS, and its formation is the rate-limiting step of the reaction, as shown in Equation (3). The regeneration of Co$^{2+}$ is realized through the reduction reaction of Co$^{3+}$, which is a key step to maintain the low usage of cobalt, as shown in Equation (4) [5].
Although cobalt ions have good activation ability of PMS, cobalt metals are toxic. The average concentration of cobalt in human serum and urine is about 0.1–0.3 µg/L and 0.1 µg/L, respectively [53]. Studies have shown that excessive cobalt may be potentially toxic and carcinogenic to human body, causing some diseases, such as asthma, pneumonia, and cardiomyopathy [5]. Therefore, the activation of PMS with cobalt-based materials has great environmental risks. Ag+ has better activation effect on PS, but silver is a precious metal, which is not easy to achieve large-scale application because of high cost. Iron-based materials have attracted widespread attention because of their non-toxic, large reserves and low price. Fe^{2+} can activate PMS and PS effectively, while Fe^{3+} has poor activation effect [54]. For homogeneous reaction systems, dissolved metal ions can react freely with PS and PMS, so mass transfer has little influence on the activation of PS and PMS. However, homogeneous reaction also has some disadvantages: (1) the dissolved metal ions are difficult to recover; (2) high concentration of organic wastewater will use a lot of metal salts, resulting in secondary pollution of metal ions in sewage; (3) transition metal ions are easily affected by water quality components and pH, such as precipitation under alkaline conditions, hydrolysis under acidic conditions, thus reducing the activation efficiency; and (4) in addition, some organic compounds in sewage will be complex with metal ions, which will also affect the activation of PS or PMS.

### 3.3. Transition Metal Oxide Activation

In order to overcome the problem of secondary contamination of homogeneous catalysts, researchers gradually shifted their research focus to heterogeneous catalytic reactions. Transition metal oxides also have good activation effect on persulfate. For example, five typical cobalt oxides (CoO, CoO_2, Co_2O_3, CoO(OH), and Co_3O_4) have been reported. Among them, CoO and Co_3O_4 have been studied the most frequently, while CoO_2 has thermal...
instability and receives less attention. Dionysiou’s group first studied the activation of PMS by CoO or Co3O4, and found that CoO/PMS systems tend to be homogeneous reactions because CoO has a high solubility in water (0.313 mg/100 g H2O) [7]. When Co3O4 was used to activate PMS, the amount of cobalt dissolved was significantly reduced, mainly because CoO was inserted into the lattice of Co2O3, which made the structure relatively stable [55]. Co3O4 has been widely studied for activating PMS due to its relatively high stability. In addition to the synthesis of Co3O4 nanomaterials with various morphs, researchers also composite it with various carriers to prepare a variety of cobalt-supported materials to activate PMS. Carbon fiber, activated carbon, graphene, red mud, zeolite, SBA-15, and other materials are used as support materials [13]. Potential environmental risks and high cost limit the application of cobalt materials. In order to replace cobalt-based materials, other metal oxides such as MnO2, CuO, Fe2O3, Fe3O4, ZnO, and MFe2O4 (M = Cu, Co, Mn, and Zn) have also been applied to PMS and PS activation studies, as shown in Figure 3. The mechanisms of PMS and PS activation by metal oxides are similar to that of homogeneous metal ions, which mainly depends on the redox action of metal ions [6]. According to the reduction mechanism, the activation of PS and PMS is positively correlated with the redox potential of the metal. In fact, metal redox potential is not the only factor affecting the activation of PS and PMS. For example, the redox potential of Ce3+ is higher than that of Fe2+, but the activation effect is worse than that of Fe2+ [56]. Therefore, the mechanism and process of metals activating PMS or PS still need to be further studied, and low-cost, efficient, and stable metal catalytic materials also need to be developed and applied.

Figure 3. The mechanism diagram of PMS and PS activation by metal ions and metal oxides.

3.4. Non-Metallic Materials Activation

Recently, the most studied non-metallic catalytic materials are carbon-based materials, which have been widely used as adsorbent or carrier materials due to their large specific surface area, low cost, and large pore capacity. It was found that carbon-based materials can also activate PMS and PS well, and electron conduction is the main mechanism. It was found that carbon-based materials can also activate persulfate well, and electron conduction is the main mechanism. For example, the sp2 covalent carbon net structure of multiwalled carbon nanotube forms a redox cycle with the oxygen-containing functional group at the edge defect, which provides electrons to activate PS to generate SO4•− radicals [57]. In another form, electrons may be transferred from the carbon-based material of the aromatic graphite structure to PMS or PS [58]. PS and PMS are activated to produce SO4•− radicals by receiving electrons, as shown in Equations (5) and (6). The structure and compos-
tion of carbon-based materials affect the redox potential and further affect the electron transfer reactions.

\[ \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{•-} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \] (5)

\[ \text{HSO}_5^- + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{•-} + \text{OH}^- \] (6)

\[ \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \] (7)

\[ \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{HO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{SO}_4^{•-} + \text{O}_2^{•-} + \text{H}^+ \] (8)

\[ \text{S}_2\text{O}_8^{2-} + \text{PhO}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{SO}_4^{•-} + \text{PhO}_{\text{OX}} \] (9)

In addition, alkali, phenols, and quinones can also activate PS to generate SO$_4^{•-}$ radicals, as shown in Equations (7)–(9), while they activate PMS to generate singlet oxygen [6]. In order to improve the activation efficiency, the catalyst will be added at the same time with UV light, ultrasound and other energy input to promote the generation of free radicals.

Heterogeneous catalysis technology has the characteristics of high efficiency, low energy consumption, continuous catalysis, catalyst recovery, and less secondary pollution, which makes it become the mainstream research direction in many studies and shows a better application prospect. PMS and PS heterogeneous activation relies only on catalysts and oxidants, so the key lies in the development of low-cost, stable and efficient heterogeneous catalysts. Current reports mainly focus on the synthesis of laboratory-scale catalysts and the degradation of emerging pollutants. The pollutants treated are relatively single, and there is a lack of data for actual wastewater treatment. In addition, the preparation of catalytic materials reported is relatively complex, which is still a long way from large-scale production.

4. Singlet Oxygen-Based Advanced Oxidation

With the report of PMS and PS non-radical activation, people gradually have a strong interest in the process of non-radical oxidation. Non-radical reactive species are generally thought to be resistant to common free radical scavengers (e.g., methanol, ethanol, and tert-butanol), selective to electron-rich organic compounds, and particularly sensitive to organic substrates with mild redox potentials [8]. At present, non-radical oxidation processes have been found in a variety of reaction systems, especially in PMS and PS activation. However, the mechanism remains controversial. Based on current reports, carbon or metal catalysts mainly realize non-radical activation of oxidants (PMS, PS, H$_2$O$_2$, or O$_3$) through three ways: electron transfer process, generation of activated complexes (or surface-bound radicals) and singlet oxygen participating in pollutant degradation, respectively, as shown in Figure 4. Among them, $^1$O$_2$ shows significant advantages in the selective removal of organic pollutants, which has become the focus of research.

4.1. Properties of Singlet Oxygen

$^1$O$_2$ is an excited molecular oxygen, which is the excited state of the ground state oxygen (triplet oxygen molecule, $^3\Sigma_g^–$). Originally, two electrons in two 2p$\pi^*$ orbitals with two spins parallel to each other can occupy one 2p$\pi^*$ orbital with opposite spins, or two 2p$\pi^*$ orbitals with opposite spins [59]. They’re labeled as O$_2(^1\Delta_g)$ and O$_2(^1\Sigma_g^+)$. Since O$_2(^1\Sigma_g^+)$ has a short lifetime ($10^{-12}$ s) and is easily converted to low-excited O$_2(^1\Delta_g)$ ($10^{-3}$–$10^{-6}$ s), what is commonly called singlet oxygen is O$_2(^1\Delta_g)$ [60]. $^1$O$_2$ is a non-radical substance with an energy of 94.2 kJ/mol, higher than the ground state oxygen molecule (O$_2$). As a mildly oxidizing species, the standard redox potential ($E_0 = 1.52$ V) of $^1$O$_2$ was significantly lower than $^•$OH and SO$_4^{•–}$ [59]. Due to the vacant orbitals, $^1$O$_2$ can obtain electrons from other substances and is a kind of electrophilic reagent
with mild reaction. Therefore, it can quickly oxidize organic pollutants with electron-rich functional groups and is not easy to be inactivated by background components in water [61]. Due to unique properties, \(^1\text{O}_2\) has been used for selective oxidation [62], degradation of organic pollutants [10], inactivation of pathogenic bacteria [63], cancer treatment [64], etc. \(^1\text{O}_2\) could be detected by chemical probe tests, electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy and chemiluminescence detection. \(^1\text{O}_2\) could rapidly react with sodium azide (\(k = 1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\)) and furfuryl alcohol (\(k = 1.2 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\)), but it is insensitive to alcohols (such as Et and TBA) [65]. Moreover, \(^1\text{O}_2\) can be detected by using 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidinol (TEMP) as a spin trapping agent in EPR with an intensive 1:1:1 signal [16]. In addition, solid-state near infrared spectroscopy and Fluorescence detection are helpful technique for \(^1\text{O}_2\) detection [64,66].

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Schematic diagram of organic pollutants degradation by non-radical AOPs (Oxidant can be PMS, PS, H\(_2\)O\(_2\) or O\(_3\)).

Previous reports have indicated that \(^1\text{O}_2\) can be produced by a photochemical process that uses photosensitization to transfer light energy to molecular oxygen [67]. For example, Dorota et al. successfully inactivated Escherichia coli and Aspergillus fumigatus using a miniature photoreactor to produce \(^1\text{O}_2\) [68]. In artificial or natural water, natural organic matter is used as photosensitizer to generate \(^1\text{O}_2\) through sunlight irradiation [69,70]. Other studies have shown that \(^1\text{O}_2\) can be generated by photoactivation of oxygen molecules in the oxidation of semiconductor metals such as TiO\(_2\) [71]. Studies on the generation of \(^1\text{O}_2\) by non-photosensitive methods are also emerging. It has been reported that catalysts such as BiAgxOy and Bi(V)-Bi(III) complex were used to release lattice oxygen to generate \(^1\text{O}_2\), which could remove pollutants such as Rhodamine B and Bisphenol A [72,73]. Similarly, MgO with high-energy \([111]\) crystal planes and \(\alpha\)-Bi\(_2\)O\(_3\) with oxygen vacancies can react with O\(_2\) to produce \(^1\text{O}_2\) [74]. In addition, phosphite reaction with ozone [75], H\(_2\)O\(_2\) reaction with NaClO [76], and the activation of periodate acid [77] can generate \(^1\text{O}_2\). With the development of PMS and PS activation studies, \(^1\text{O}_2\) can also be generated by non-radical activation of PMS or PS, which provides a new path for the selective removal of organic pollutants. The relevant studies are summarized in Table 3.
Table 3. The removal of pollutants via $^{1}$O$_{2}$ oxidation generated by PMS and PS activation.

| Reaction System | Pollutant | Conditions | Reactivity | Dominant ROS | Ref. |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|------|
| Chlorophenols/PMS | 2, 4, 6-trichlorophenol (2, 4, 6-TCP) | [2, 4, 6-TCP] = 25 μM, [PMS] = 0.325 mM, T = 20 ± 2 °C, pH = 9. | 95% with 60 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [55] |
|                 | benzoquinone (BQ)/PMS | sulfamethoxazole (SMX) | [PMS] = 0.44 mM, [SMX] = 8 μM, [BQ] = 10 μM, pH = 10, T = 25 °C | 86% with 3 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [78] |
|                 | PMS/base | Methylene blue (MB) | [PMS] = 1 mM, [MB] = 0.03 mM, [NaOH] = 2 mM, without pH adjustment | 100% with 120 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [79] |
|                 | pyrophosphate (PA)/PMS | Acid Orange 7 (AO7) | [AO7] = 50 μM, [PA] = 0.1 M, [PMS] = 2.5 mM, pH 9.5 | Nearly 100% with 10 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [80] |
|                 | Phosphite (HPO$_{4}^{2-}$/PMS | Acid Orange 7 (AO7) | [AO7] = 20 mg/L, [PMS] = 1.0 mM, [HPO$_{4}^{2-}$] = 25.0 mM, pH = 7.06 | 82.1% within 60 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [81] |
|                 | NaBO$_{2}$/PMS | Acid Red 1 | [AR1] = 50 μM, [PMS] = 3 mM, [NaBO$_{2}$] = 7.5 mM, initial pH = 7.0, T = 30 °C | 97.8% within 10 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [82] |
|                 | carbonate (CO$_{3}^{2-}$/PMS | Acid Orange 7 (AO7) | [AO7] = 0.05 mM, [CO$_{3}^{2-}$] = 5 mM, [PMS] = 1 mM, | 100% within 40 min | O$_{2}^{-}$ and $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [83] |
|                 | β-MnO$_{2}$/PS | phenol | [β-MnO$_{2}$] = 400 mg/L, [PS] = 4 mM, [phenol] = 100 μM, pH buffered around 6.5 | Over 99% with 180 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [84] |
|                 | CeVO$_{4}$/PMS | phenol | Phenol = 100 ppm, CeVO$_{4}$ = 1.0 g/L, PMS = 2.0 g/L, | 100% with 80 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [85] |
|                 | γ-MnO$_{2}$/PMS | Ciprofloxacin (CIP) | [CIP] = 10 ppm, [catalyst] = 0.1 g/L, [PMS] = 1 mM, without pH adjustment | 84.3% with 120 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [86] |
|                 | CuOMgO/Fe$_{2}$O$_{3}$/ PMPMS | 4-chlorophenol (4-CP) | [4-CP] = 40 ppm, [PMS] = 2 mM, [catalyst] = 0.2 g/L, | 100% within 40 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [87] |
|                 | CuO-CeO$_{2}$/PMS | Rhodamine B (RhB) | [PMS] = 1.6 mM, [catalyst] = 0.4 g/L, initial pH 7, [RhB] = 0.1 mM | 100% within 60 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [88] |
|                 | CuO-Fe$_{2}$O$_{4}$/PMS | Acid Orange 7 (AO7) | [AO7] = 0.1 g/L, [PMS] = 2 mM, [catalyst] = 0.1 g/L, initial pH = 7, [AO7] = 0.2 mM | 95.81% within 30 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [89] |
|                 | Iron centers on manganese oxides (FeMn-350)/PMS | bisphenol A (BPA) | [BPA] = 80 mg/L, [catalyst] = 0.5 g/L, [Oxone] = 0.6 mM, pH = 7.5 | Nearly 100% within 25 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [90] |
|                 | carbon nanotubes (CNT)/PS | Ciprofloxacin (CIP) | [ZCFO] = 0.1 g/L, [PMS] = 2.5 mM, [CIP] = 10 mg/L, and pH = 7.5 | 96.6% with 15 min | O$_{2}^{-}$ and $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [91] |
|                 | carbon nanotubes (CNT)/PS | 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) | [2,4-DCP] = 0.031 mM, PS/2,4-DCP molar ratio = 1/1, [CNTs] = 0.10 g/L, pH = 6.50 ± 0.05 | 95.9% within 30 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [92] |
|                 | Nanodiamonds (AND/800)/PMS | 4-chlorophenol (4-CP) | [4-CP] = 0.16 mM, [PMS] = 0.25 mM, [AND/800] = 0.1 g/L, T = 20 ± 2 °C, [BPA] = 0.1 mM, [CN] = 0.1 g/L, [PMS] = 2 mM, T = 30 °C, initial pH = 6.7 | 81% with 30 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [93] |
|                 | carbon nanosheets (NCN-900)/PMS | Bisphenol A (BPA) | [BPA] = 0.1 mM, [CN] = 0.2 g/L, [PMS] = 2 mM, T = 30 °C, initial pH = 6.7 | 100% within 2 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [94] |
|                 | N-doped porous carbon (NC$_{1,0}$/PMS | Bisphenol A (BPA) | [BPA] = 0.1 mM, [NC] = 0.2 g/L, [PMS] = 2 mM, T = 30 °C, initial pH = 6.7 | 100% within 15 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [95] |
|                 | nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes frameworks (NCCNTFs)/PMS | Bisphenol A (BPA) | [BPA] = 25 mg/L, [NCCNTFs] = 0.05 g/L, [Oxone] = 0.40 g/L, temperature = 20 °C. | 97.3% with 30 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [96] |
|                 | CuO-Biochar/PMS | Atrazine (ATZ) | [catalyst] = 100 mg/L, [PMS] = 3.25 mM, [ATZ] = 0.1 mM, initial pH = 7, | 100% within 30 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [97] |
|                 | N-doped graphene/PMS | phenol | [catalyst] = 100 mg/L, [PMS] = 3.25 mM, [phenol] = 50 ppm, T = 25 °C. | 100% within 30 min | $^{1}$O$_{2}$ | [98] |

4.2. Homogeneous Activation

In recent years, it has been reported that $^{1}$O$_{2}$ is produced by activated PMS via non-radical pathway leading to organic pollutants degradation. It has been found that ketones, phenols, quinones, and other hydrocarbons can activate PMS to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$. Zhou et al. reported that benzoquinone can activate PMS to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$ through a non-free radical pathway, and found that the removal rate of sulfamethoxazole gradually increased with
the increase in solution pH from 7 to 10 [83]. As early as 1974, it was reported that ketones can activate PMS and that the decomposition rate of PMS is proportional to the amount of low concentration ketones [96]. Later, Lange and Brauer detected $^{1}$O$_{2}$ at 1270 nm using infrared phosphorescence measurement technology, confirming the formation of $^{1}$O$_{2}$ in the ketone-catalyzed PMS process [97]. Since phenolic compounds are easily oxidized to quinone as by-products, phenols can also activate PMS. Zhou et al. found that PMS was activated by phenol to generate $^{1}$O$_{2}$ at pH 8.5 and 10, and phenol was oxidized to benzoquinone to further promote the activation reaction [55]. However, under acidic conditions, the dissociation of phenol is poor and it is difficult to form intramolecular complex with ionized PMS, resulting in difficult activation reaction.

In fact, alkali has been used as a typical PS activator in site restoration, while it activates PMS to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$ [79]. PMS can spontaneously decompose to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$ under alkaline conditions, and the reaction rate constant is about 0.2 M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ [78]. Moreover, polyphosphate [80], phosphite (HPO$_{3}^{2-}$) [81], BO$_{2}^{-}$ [82], and CO$_{3}^{2-}$ [83] have also been found to activate PMS to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$ to degrade organic pollutants. All of the above are homogeneous reactions, activators are added into solution continuously to ensure continuity of the reaction, which is prone to high costs and secondary pollution. Therefore, heterogeneous activation reaction will be a good solution.

### 4.3. Heterogeneous Activation

Metal oxides and complexes can also activate PMS and PS in a non-radical way. Bu et al. found that oxygen vacancy on bismuth bromide (BiOBr) could activate PS to produce $^{1}$O$_{2}$ as the main active species to degrade Bisphenol A under alkaline conditions [98]. The sillenite Bi$_{25}$FeO$_{40}$ was synthesized by hydrothermal method and used to activate PMS to degrade levofloxacin [99]. The results showed that $^{1}$O$_{2}$ was the main ROS. Yang et al. synthesized Fe$^{0}$-montmorillonite composite material (Fe-Mt-C-H) to activate PMS for the degradation of Bisphenol A [100]. It could remove 99.3% of Bisphenol A (25 mg/L) at pH 3 with 0.4 g/L Fe-Mt-C-H and 1 mM PMS. The mineralization rate was 70.6%, and the degradation of pollutants was dominated by $^{1}$O$_{2}$ and superoxide radical (O$_{2}^{•-}$). Liu et al. used Co$_{3}$O$_{4}$-SnO$_{2}$/rice straw biochar (0.1 g/L) to activate PMS (1 mmol/L) to degrade sulfamoxole (50 mg/L), which was degraded 98% in 5 min by $^{1}$O$_{2}$ [101]. CoOOH [102] and γ-MnOOH [103] were also used to activate PMS to degrade 2,4-dichlorophenol, which proved that $^{1}$O$_{2}$ had a good removal effect on it. Li et al. used CuO-based modified materials to activate PMS to treat high-salt organic wastewater, and confirmed that the non-radical oxidation process led by $^{1}$O$_{2}$ has a good removal effect on heterocyclic organic pollutants in a complex background [10,87,94]. Although the catalysis of PS or PMS by various metal oxides and their complexes has been studied endlessly, the environmental risks caused by metal ion leaching still exist in metal catalysts, and the separation and reuse of catalysts also face challenges.

A variety of carbon materials, including carbon nanotubes (CNTs), graphene oxide (GO), reduced graphene oxide (rGO), carbon spheres, nano-diamond and biochar, have been demonstrated to activate persulfate through a $^{1}$O$_{2}$-dominated non-radical pathway [104]. Cheng et al. used CNTs and PS combined system to efficiently generate $^{1}$O$_{2}$ under no irradiation, which could selectively oxidize 2,4-dichlorophenol in water under neutral conditions [61]. CNTs not only act as electronic bridges between PS and organic pollutants, but also play an important role in the presence of various oxygen-containing functional groups (such as -COOH, C=O, and -OH) on the surface of CNTs, because they directly affect the zeta potential of CNTs, which is conducive to the absorption of PS, and promote the non-radical oxidation process of target pollutants [105]. GO and its reduced derivatives rGO show great potential in hydrophilic adsorption of organic matter and PMS/PS catalysis due to various structural defects, vacancies and oxygen-containing functional groups. Density Functional theory (DFT) calculations show that the vacancy and defect edges of rGO elongate the O-O bond of PMS, enhance adsorption and direct electron transfer, and promote the final rupture of the O-O bond, leading to non-radical oxida-
The increased degree of carboxyl and graphitization results in more vacancies and defects, thus improving the catalytic performance of GO and rGO [107]. N-doping is also an effective strategy. N-doped carbon is expected to have more lattice defects to regulate the electronic structure, such as sp² hybrid carbon skeleton [108]. Doping nitrogen into the carbon matrix not only facilitates the adsorption of PMS, increases the surface alkalinity, but also facilitates the transfer of electrons to the negatively charged PMS, thus improving the catalytic activity. Biomass type and pyrolysis temperature are important factors affecting the structure and catalytic activity of biochar. Similarly, more oxygen vacancies, defects and oxygen-containing functional groups are still important factors in achieving \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \) production from non-radical activated PMS and PS [109]. Carbon materials can not only achieve catalytic function, but also have the ability to adsorb pollutants, so it has great application potential. However, carbon materials have a variety of structural and surface characteristics, and the identification of their internal active sites is still difficult and controversial.

The studies on the degradation of organic pollutants by \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \) have been reported, but there are still many problems to be solved, such as the preparation of high efficiency and stable catalysts, optimization of catalyst scale production process, clarifying the activation mechanism from different catalysts, explaining the interaction processes of \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \) with organic pollutants, and effect of coexisting substances in wastewater on organic degradation process. In particular, few studies have been reported on the removal of organic pollutants in high-salt wastewater. The removal effect of organic pollutants in high-salt system, degradation kinetics, degradation intermediates and toxicity, the influence of inorganic salts type and concentration on the degradation process, and catalytic reaction mechanism remain to be solved.

5. Conclusions and Prospect

In this paper, the related research on SO\(_4^{•−}\)-based and \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \)-based AOPs has been reviewed, and the production methods and mechanism of SO\(_4^{•−}\) and \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \) are analyzed. Compared with the advanced oxidation process dominated by \( ^• \text{OH} \), the SO\(_4^{•−}\)-based AOPs have a series of advantages such as long half-life of SO\(_4^{•−}\), wide range of pH application and strong oxidation capacity, which have a greater advantage in the removal of refractory pollutants. The non-radical oxidation process based on \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \) has certain resistance to a variety of inorganic ions, halogens and background organic matter, strong selectivity and moderate redox potential, which can be used for the pre-oxidation treatment of highly dangerous industrial wastewater, pharmaceutical and pesticide wastewater. The SO\(_4^{•−}\)-based and \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \)-based AOPs have their own characteristics, which have good application prospects in the field of water purification and the removal of refractory organic pollutants from complex matrix. According to the existing research reports, SO\(_4^{•−}\)-based and \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \)-based AOPs still have some limitations.

(1) Most existing research has focused on treating single-component pollutants in the laboratory. However, actual wastewater is complex and variable. Although many research studies have been very successful on a laboratory scale, many problems need to be solved before large-scale practical applications. Especially, it should pay attention to addressing amplification issues such as design challenges, low energy requirements, and complex water quality changes. The next step is to study multi-component organic wastewater in real environment, and systematically study the reaction mechanisms by combining various characterization techniques and theoretical calculations, so as to further promote the popularization and application of this technology.

(2) There are still controversial including the mechanisms of PMS and PS activated by various catalysts. For example, the biochar-based materials will generate a variety of morphological structures and surface functional groups due to different raw materials and preparation methods. Therefore, the mechanisms of activating PMS and PS will be different. It is necessary to systematically study the relationship between material configuration and the efficiency of SO\(_4^{•−}\) and \( ^1 \text{O}_2 \) production. In addition, the catalysts should be prepared
from materials that are easily available and cheap, and the preparation process should be simple, energy saving, and easy to large-scale production.

(3) There are some unavoidable drawbacks for a single activation method. For example, thermal activation will lead to higher energy consumption, alkaline activation will affect the pH value of the system, electrochemical activation will make it difficult to regenerate, homogeneous transition metal activation will produce metal ions and sludge, resulting in secondary pollution, etc. The next step is to overcome the shortcomings of each activation method and maximize the development of multiple combined activation methods.

(4) Considering that $^{1}O_{2}$ has strong anti-interference ability to inorganic salts and natural organics, while $SO_{4}^{*-}$ and $^{*}OH$ have strong oxidation ability, the reaction system can be designed according to the treated objects to achieve phased and multi-channel activation of PS and PMS. It is expected to achieve higher oxidation efficiency by selectively regulating the production of strong oxidizing radicals ($SO_{4}^{*-}$ and $^{*}OH$) and non-radical ($^{1}O_{2}$) according to water quality and pollutant types and concentration levels, which will provide ideas for the practical application of this technology.

(5) In addition to the removal of refractory pollutants, $^{1}O_{2}$ as a non-radical ROS can be used to inactivate pathogenic microorganisms and remove antibiotic resistance genes in natural water and drinking water. Obviously, there are few studies and reports on this aspect. Although $SO_{4}^{*-}$ has strong oxidation ability, it costs a lot to treat high-concentration organic wastewater. Hence, it is necessary to combine $SO_{4}^{*-}$-based AOPs with traditional biological and physicochemical treatment to achieve the lowest operating cost. It is urgent to carry out research works in this field.

(6) The effectiveness of AOPs depends to a large extent on the generation rate of ROS and the contact with pollutant molecules. It should be paid to the reduction in oxidant dosage and the efficient recycling of catalysts. There are significantly fewer studies based on PS activation than on PMS activation, and new technologies for PS activation are still limited. In fact, commercial PS is much cheaper than PMS, and PS activation produces fewer $SO_{4}^{2-}$ ions than PMS activation because PMS only makes up a third of Oxone. Therefore, more attention should be paid to the activation research of PS, especially the research and development of related catalysts and activation methods is very important for the future commercial application.
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