Skin Autofluorescence in Young Adult Offspring of Women with Type 1 Diabetes: A Cross-Sectional Case–Control Study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Offspring born to women with type 1 diabetes pregnancies have an elevated risk for early-onset obesity and type 2 diabetes compared with offspring born to women without diabetes. Skin autofluorescence (SAF) is a marker of accumulated advanced glycation end products (AGEs) and it has been shown to predict type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in the general population. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether maternal type 1 diabetes influences the SAF value in young adult offspring.

Methods: This cross-sectional case–control study included 78 offspring of women with type 1 diabetes (cases) and 85 control participants (controls). All study participants, aged 18–23 years, were invited to participate in a clinical assessment including laboratory tests and questionnaires. SAF was assessed using the AGE reader from the dominant forearm of each participant.

Results: The mean SAF value did not differ between the cases (1.61 [standard deviation (SD) 0.37]) arbitrary units [AU]) and the controls (1.64 [SD 0.41] AU) (p = 0.69). After adjusting for glycated hemoglobin A1c, body fat percentage, smoking, and season the mean SAF value did not differ between the cases and the con-
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trols ($p = 0.49$) but differed between men and women ($p = 0.008$), without any interaction observed ($p = 0.78$).

**Conclusion:** SAF values did not differ between the young adult offspring of women with type 1 diabetes and offspring born to mothers without diabetes. Surprisingly, young adult women showed higher SAF values than men in both case and control groups.

**Keywords:** Advanced glycation end products; Offspring; Sex; Skin autofluorescence; Type 1 diabetes pregnancy

**Key Summary Points**

**Why carry out this study?**

Offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies have an increased risk for early-onset obesity and type 2 diabetes compared to offspring of pregnancies without diabetes.

Skin autofluorescence (SAF), used as a marker of accumulated advanced glycation end products (AGEs), has been shown to predict type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in the general population.

The aim of this study was to evaluate whether maternal type 1 diabetes influences the SAF values in young adult offspring.

**What was learned from the study?**

In utero exposure to maternal type 1 diabetes does not appear to be associated with altered SAF values in young adult offspring.

Among young adults SAF may not be a feasible tool to capture the increased obesity and type 2 diabetes risk that offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies bear.

**INTRODUCTION**

Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are a group of heterogeneous molecules that are synthesized over the course of several years through a nonenzymatic reaction between reducing sugars and proteins [1]. The formation of AGEs is increased during metabolic stress; therefore, the gradual buildup of AGEs in long-lived tissues (e.g., collagen) is theorized to be involved in the pathology of certain metabolic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease, and aging [2, 3].

The non-invasive measurement of AGEs in dermal tissue, i.e., skin autofluorescence (SAF), relies on the fluorescent properties that some of the accumulated AGEs have [4]. As a promising tool for metabolic risk assessment, SAF is strongly associated with type 2 diabetes, diabetic complications, smoking, cardiovascular disease, aging, and overall mortality [4–6]. In addition to an associative relationship, SAF has been shown to predict incident type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in the general population [6, 7].

Since offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies are recognized as being more susceptible to early-onset obesity and type 2 diabetes [8–11], we hypothesized that hyperglycemia experienced during prenatal life would influence the glycemic memory of the young adult offspring, which could be registered by skin autofluorescence. The aim of this study was to investigate whether maternal type 1 diabetes influences the SAF value in young adult offspring.
METHODS

Research Design and Participants

This is an observational cross-sectional case–control study performed in the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Finland. During the period between 1 January 1996 and 31 December 2000, all deliveries of women with type 1 diabetes from the Helsinki metropolitan area were centralized at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Hospital. Offspring of women with type 1 diabetes, from a singleton pregnancy born through elective caesarean section, composed the case participants of the study (cases, \( n = 238 \)). For each case subject, a control participant was obtained from the Finnish Medical Birth Register (http://www.thl.fi/en/statistics/parturients). The control participants (controls, \( n = 476 \)) were offspring of mothers without diabetes, from a singleton pregnancy, and born first or second after the delivery of the case participants in the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, Finland.

All study participants, i.e., offspring of women with type 1 diabetes and their matched controls, were invited at the age of 18–23 years to a clinical study. Of the case group, 81 (36%) participated, whereas, of the control group, 86 (20%) participated. Three offspring of women with type 1 diabetes and one control participant who did not undergo the standard 2-h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) were excluded from the study cohort. Altogether, our study cohort consisted of 163 participants.

Clinical Study

The clinical study consisted of a clinical examination, laboratory tests, and questionnaires. All 163 participants were clinically evaluated. Weight and fat mass were measured by a bioimpedance body composition device (InBody 3.0, Biospace, Seoul, South Korea) in light indoor clothing without shoes and socks with an accuracy of 0.1 kg. Height was measured with an accuracy of 0.1 cm (SECA Telescopic measuring rod, SHZ, cm INT). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (kilograms) divided by height squared (meters squared). Fat percentage was calculated as fat mass (kilograms) divided by body weight (kilograms) converted to percentage. Blood pressure (BP) was measured from the right arm using a cuff size 22 × 42 cm after at least 15 min of rest in a sitting position (Omron Intellisense M6 AC, Omron Healthcare Co. Ltd., Japan). BP was measured three times and the mean value was documented and applied in the calculations of pulse pressure (PP = systolic BP – diastolic BP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP = diastolic BP + 1/3 × PP). The BP device also reported the heart rate which was documented. Skin autofluorescence was assessed with the AGE reader (AGE reader Type 214B00102, DiagnOptics, Groningen, the Netherlands) in a sitting position, from the inside of each participant’s dominant forearm. Only healthy skin, excluding dermatological diseases and normal skin variations (such as birthmarks or tattoos), was eligible for SAF measurements. SAF is expressed as arbitrary units (AU), which is the ratio of emitted light intensity from the AGE reader (420–600 nm wavelength range) and the reflected excitation light intensity from the skin (300–420 nm wavelength range), multiplied by 100.

Laboratory Analyses and Questionnaires

Venous blood samples were taken after 10 h fasting for analyses of glycated hemoglobin \( \text{A}_1c \), total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), creatinine, and 25-hydroxy vitamin D. A 2-h 75 g OGTT was performed according to the WHO 1999 guidelines. Smoking habits and chronic diseases were assessed by validated self-administered questionnaires. Physical activity was measured with triaxial accelerometer (ActiGraph GT3X, Pensacola, FL, USA) for 7 days. The ActiGraph was worn on the non-dominant wrist and participants were instructed to wear the monitor for all activities except for swimming, bathing, or showering. The ActiLife software was used to determine
wear time and to create 60-s epoch files. Each participant had to have at least 4 valid days (≥ 10 h of wear time/day) to be included in the analyses. A vector magnitude of three axes was calculated and total physical activity was reported in counts per minute. In Finland, the amount of sunlight varies by season and was considered by adjusting the main outcome according to the month of visit: winter (December, January, February), spring (March, April, May), summer (June, July, August), and autumn (September, October, November).

**Compliance with Ethics Guidelines**

This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (HUS/898/2017, 14 December 2017). All study participants provided written informed consent before any study procedures took place.

**Statistical Analyses**

Data are expressed as the mean and standard deviation (SD) and counts with percentages. The groups were compared with the t test or permutation test, for continuous variables, and Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, for categorical variables. Relationship between the groups and sex of SAF values were evaluated using bootstrap-type two-way analysis of covariance with glycated hemoglobin A1c, body fat percentage, smoking, and season as covariates. The bootstrap method is significantly helpful when the theoretical distribution of the test statistic is unknown or in the case of violation of the assumptions. The normality of the variables was evaluated graphically and by using the Shapiro–Wilk W test. Stata 16.1 (StataCorp LP; College Station, TX, USA) statistical package was used for the analysis.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of all study participants divided into controls and cases. No difference was found in the mean SAF values between cases (1.61 [SD 0.37] AU) and controls (1.64 [SD 0.41] AU) (p = 0.69).

Figure 1 shows the mean SAF values in the case and control groups divided into women and men. The p value was 0.49 for comparison between the case and control group, p = 0.008 for comparison between men and women, and no interaction was observed (p = 0.78), all after adjusting for glycated hemoglobin A1c, body fat percentage, smoking, and season.

**DISCUSSION**

We observed no differences in SAF values between young adult offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies and non-diabetic pregnancies. However, we found a sex difference in SAF values between men and women. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to address the relationship between SAF values, used as a marker of AGEs, among young adult offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies.

Offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies are more susceptible to early-onset obesity and type 2 diabetes [8–11], the latter being strongly associated with elevated SAF values [4–6]. Contrary to our hypothesis, we did not detect any association between maternal type 1 diabetes status and offspring SAF values in early adulthood. Lack of association could be explained by the fact that our study cohort was relatively healthy and consisted only of young adults. It is well established that accumulation of AGEs is an age-dependent process, which is influenced by many health-related factors such as oxidative stress, hyperglycemia, and impaired glomerular filtration rate [1–3]. Nevertheless, we observed no significant difference regarding glycated hemoglobin A1c levels or glomerular filtration rate in our study cohort. Furthermore, according to several study observations smokers have higher SAF values in comparison to non-smokers [5, 12–15]; however, smoking among our study participants was too scarce to allow any further analyses.

We observed a sex difference in SAF values between men and women. Our study findings support previous findings that women have...
|                                | Offspring of women without diabetes (controls), N = 85 | Offspring of women with type 1 diabetes (cases), N = 78 | p value |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Women, n (%)                   | 56 (66)                                              | 51 (65)                                              | 0.95    |
| Age, years, mean (SD)          | 20.6 (1.6)                                           | 20.5 (1.6)                                           | 0.66    |
| Smoking, n (%)                 |                                                      |                                                      | 0.34    |
| No                             | 62 (73)                                              | 63 (81)                                              |         |
| Occasionally                   | 12 (14)                                              | 10 (13)                                              |         |
| Current                        | 11 (13)                                              | 5 (6)                                                |         |
| Body mass index, kg/m², mean (SD) | 24.4 (5.3)                                          | 24.5 (4.9)                                           | 0.84    |
| Body fat percentage (%), mean (SD) |                                                      |                                                      |         |
| Women                          | 30.4 (9.5)                                           | 33.0 (7.7)                                           | 0.13    |
| Men                            | 18.4 (8.0)                                           | 18.9 (10.5)                                          | 0.84    |
| Blood pressure, mmHg, mean (SD) |                                                      |                                                      |         |
| Systolic                       | 119 (11)                                             | 117 (12)                                             | 0.29    |
| Diastolic                      | 74 (7)                                               | 74 (9)                                               | 0.75    |
| Mean arterial pressure, mmHg, mean (SD) | 89 (7)                                               | 88 (9)                                               | 0.50    |
| Pulse pressure, mmHg, mean (SD) | 45 (8)                                               | 43 (9)                                               | 0.29    |
| Pulse rate, /min, mean (SD)    | 76 (12)                                              | 75 (12)                                              | 0.66    |
| Glucose, mmol/L, mean (SD)     |                                                      |                                                      |         |
| 0 h                            | 5.40 (0.42)                                          | 5.39 (0.42)                                          | 0.95    |
| 2 h                            | 5.67 (1.48)                                          | 5.83 (1.62)                                          | 0.51    |
| Glycated hemoglobin A₁c, mmol/mol, mean (SD) | 32.6 (2.2)                                          | 32.8 (2.7)                                           | 0.62    |
| Skin autofluorescence (SAF), arbitrary units (AU), mean (SD) |                                                      |                                                      |         |
| All                            | 1.61 (0.37)                                          | 1.64 (0.41)                                          | 0.69    |
| Women                          | 1.67 (0.42)                                          | 1.70 (0.47)                                          | 0.72    |
| Men                            | 1.50 (0.22)                                          | 1.51 (0.22)                                          | 0.81    |
| Fasting insulin, mU/L, mean (SD) | 10.9 (7.0)                                          | 11.2 (9.2)                                           | 0.80    |
| Homeostatic model assessment (Homa-IR), mmol/L, mean (SD) | 2.65 (1.87)                                          | 2.75 (2.38)                                          | 0.75    |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L, mean (SD) | 4.31 (0.65)                                          | 4.38 (0.74)                                          | 0.58    |
| Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L, mean (SD) | 2.56 (0.66)                                          | 2.58 (0.70)                                          | 0.86    |
higher SAF values than men [15, 16]. In a study regarding SAF reference values in the Saudi population, Ahmad et al. found that Saudi women had significantly higher SAF values than men across all age groups. Ahmad et al. discussed whether vitamin D deficiency might underlie some of the documented sex differences [16]; yet, in our present study the participants entered laboratory assessment during all four seasons and no significant difference between the sexes and the study groups was discovered at different vitamin D levels. Furthermore, Mook-Kanamori et al. found that women had significantly higher SAF values independently of ethnicity and type 2 diabetes in the Qatar population [15]. Nevertheless, Klenovics et al. reported that women tended to have higher SAF values than men, albeit this

| Table 1 continued                                                                 | Offspring of women without diabetes (controls), N = 85 | Offspring of women with type 1 diabetes (cases), N = 78 | p value |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| **High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, mmol/L, mean (SD)**                      |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| Women                                                                            | 2.70 (0.63)                                          | 2.47 (0.68)                                            | 0.19   |
| Men                                                                              | 2.49 (0.66)                                          | 2.64 (0.71)                                            | 0.26   |
| **Triglycerides, mmol/L, mean (SD)**                                             |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| Women                                                                            | 1.00 (0.51)                                          | 0.96 (0.62)                                            | 0.73   |
| Men                                                                              | 0.94 (0.35)                                          | 0.85 (0.33)                                            | 0.35   |
| **High sensitivity C-reactive protein, mg/L, mean (SD)**                         |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| Women                                                                            | 3.04 (5.36)                                          | 2.73 (5.09)                                            | 0.70   |
| Men                                                                              |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| **Glomerular filtration rate, CKD-epi, mL/min/1.73 m², mean (SD)**               |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| Women                                                                            | 117 (14)                                             | 122 (12)                                               | 0.019  |
| Men                                                                              |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| **25-Hydroxy vitamin D, nmol/L, mean (SD)**                                      |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| Women                                                                            | 82.6 (32.4)                                          | 75.4 (35.0)                                            | 0.27   |
| Men                                                                              | 70.0 (23.4)                                          | 63.9 (20.0)                                            | 0.30   |
| **Comorbidity, n (%)**                                                           |                                                      |                                                        |        |
| Asthma                                                                           | 11 (13)                                              | 10 (13)                                                | 0.97   |
| Atopy                                                                            | 7 (8)                                                | 11 (14)                                                | 0.21   |
| Psoriasis                                                                        | 1 (1)                                                | 3 (4)                                                  | 0.34   |
| Neurologic diseases                                                               | 11 (13)                                              | 12 (16)                                                | 0.61   |
| Cardiovascular disease                                                            | 4 (5)                                                | 5 (7)                                                  | 0.74   |
| Rheumatic diseases                                                                | 3 (4)                                                | 0 (0)                                                  | 0.25   |
| Mental diseases                                                                   | 19 (22)                                              | 13 (17)                                                | 0.40   |
| Inflammatory bowel disease                                                        | 3 (4)                                                | 7 (9)                                                  | 0.19   |
| Total physical activity, counts/min, mean (SD)                                    | 2661 (620)                                           | 2502 (663)                                             | 0.14   |

SD standard deviation
was only statistically significant in 40- to 49-year-olds [13]. On the other hand, Koetsier et al. reported that only smoking women had higher SAF values than men [12]. However, there are conflicting results regarding sex and SAF values, since some studies have reported that SAF values are unaffected by sex [12–14].

One possible explanation could be due to a sex hormone-mediated effect [5]. Lutgers et al. reported that women under 56 years of age had higher SAF values than their male counterparts in the type 2 diabetes group; however, this significance was lost in the higher age groups [5]. Although we could not assess the estrogen concentration in our study population, this remains as an interesting theory for future studies regarding sex differences and SAF values.

We could find no difference in the conventional cardiovascular disease risk factors between offspring born to women with type 1 diabetes and offspring born to women without diabetes. In addition, no differences were found in comorbidities between the two groups of offspring.

Strengths and limitations of the study. One of the strengths of our study is the comprehensive data collected on study participants' cardiovascular disease health parameters. Further, all study participants were young adults, thus making the study population unique and homogeneous for the measurement of SAF values. As a result of the cross-sectional study design, we can only conclude that in utero exposure to type 1 diabetes does not appear to affect the SAF values in young adult offspring, without further commenting on causality. Moreover, there are a few limitations with the application of skin autofluorescence in cardiovascular disease risk assessment. Firstly, AGES consist of a vast group of heterogenous protein modifications and the pathophysiological role of AGES in age-related diseases still remains incompletely understood [1]. Secondly, some AGES are not detectable with the AGE-reader and the effect of confounding factors, such as dermatologically fluorescent compounds or the use of skin cream, might interfere with the measurements [3, 4, 17]. Nevertheless, owing to its non-invasiveness, cost, and repeatability, skin autofluorescence may be a useful tool for individual metabolic risk assessment. Finally, although our results are interesting, they should be confirmed by studies with larger sample size.

CONCLUSIONS

We found that exposure to maternal type 1 diabetes is not associated with changes in the SAF values of young adult offspring. Furthermore, a sex difference in relation to SAF was observed. The usability of SAF in adult offspring of type 1 diabetes pregnancies warrants further research.
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