Alzheimer’s disease: Development of a sensitive label-free electrochemical immunosensor for detection of amyloid beta peptide
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A B S T R A C T

In this work, a highly sensitive label-free immunosensor for detection of the main biomarker of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), amyloid beta 1–42 (Aβ (1–42)), is presented. A gold electrode was modified with a mercaptopropionic acid (MPA) self-assembled monolayer, electrodeposited gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and a monoclonal antibody mAb DE2B4 to recognize Aβ; all the relevant experimental variables were optimized. Antibodies were functionalized through chemical modification (thiolation) to promote the antibody immobilization on the AuNPs surface with proper orientation which enabled the direct detection of Aβ(1–42). Scanning electron microscopy, square-wave voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy were used to characterize the construction of the biosensor. Using the proposed immunosensor, Aβ(1–42) was specifically detected within the linear range of 10–1000 pg mL⁻¹ with a 5.2 pg mL⁻¹ and 17.4 pg mL⁻¹ detection and quantification limit, respectively; recovery values for the tested spiking levels ranged from 90.3% to 93.6%. The immunosensor enables rapid, accurate, precise and reproducible and highly sensitive detection (14.6% reduction mL pg⁻¹) of Aβ with low-cost and opens the possibilities for diagnostic ex vivo applications and research-based in vivo studies.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative pathology that becomes increasingly common with aging, characterized by extracellular accumulation of amyloid β peptide (Aβ), intracellular appearance of neurofibrillary tangles and neuronal loss [1,2]. This degeneration leads to changes in behavior, personality and functional capacity, which hampers the daily life of the patient. Nowadays it affects 35 million individuals worldwide and it is estimated to affect 115 million people by 2050 [3].

The current diagnostic procedures of AD are difficult and made in an advanced stage of the disease. In addition, there are no treatments available that prevent this condition. Therefore, there is a continuing demand for fast and simple analytical methods for the determination of many clinical and biochemical parameters [4–6].

Research gives support to the “amyloid cascade hypothesis”, which advocates that an imbalance between the production and clearance or degradation of Aβ in the brain is the initiating event in AD, leading to the formation of extracellular deposits of Aβ (senile plaques) [7]. The peptide with 42 amino acids, Aβ(1–42), is the major component of the senile plaques found in AD. Other pathological hallmarks of AD include intraneuronal inclusions of hyperphosphorylated tau protein in neurofibrillary tangles, together with downstream processes such as inflammation and oxidative stress. All of these steps contribute to the loss of synaptic integrity, effective neural network connectivity and progressive neurodegeneration [3,5,8,9].

Aβ(1–42) can be expressed in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and plasma. More specifically, CSF levels of Aβ(1–42) are lower in AD patients than in normal controls, reflecting amyloid pathology. Specifically, low CSF Aβ(1–42) levels are detected in preclinical disease stages and predict future cognitive decline and neurodegeneration [10]. An Aβ(1–42) concentration of <500 pg mL⁻¹ (0.1 nM) is indicative that Aβ(1–42) is accumulating in the brain and not circulating in the CSF [10].

Currently, most of the Aβ(1–42) assays rely on immunochemical detection such as the conventional enzyme linked immunoassay (ELISA) [10,11] which are methods that present high sensitivity, good specificity and less dependence on sample preparation [12]. Recently, an ELISA assay was optimized and validated present-
ing a detection limit of 375 pg/mL [11]. In this work we present an electrochemical immunosensor which is particularly attractive in the field of diagnosis because biosensors unite the specificity and affinity of the antibody-antigen reaction with the inherent characteristics of electrochemical techniques such as high sensitivity, low cost, high efficiency and easy miniaturization [13-16]. A critical aspect in the development of an immunosensor is the antibody immobilization. The chosen method should be capable of immobilizing a high density of antibodies, maintaining the antibodies’ bioactivity and promoting the antibodies immobilization with proper orientation to interact favorably with its target antigens [12,17]. Nowadays mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) are being used for targeting drugs, proteins and peptides to the brain as they are able to recognize a specific antigen [18,19]. In this work, the antibody used was the DE2B4 that is able to recognize the Aβ1(1–42), once this anti-beta amyloid antibody bind at the region of 1–17 amino acid. The specificity of this mAb was previously studied [20-22].

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) have been used for years in electroanalytical chemistry as the basis for sensors, as they are a simple technique to modify and control the interface of certain materials allowing the immobilization of biomolecules, as for example, antibodies [23,24]. However, the available surface area for the binding of antibody with retention of their bioactivity is still a major issue [17]. For overcoming this problem, SAMs can be coupled with nanoscale materials, which present unique physical properties, ideal for the development of a sensing platform [17,25]. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) are chemically stable, non-toxic and easy to functionalize [26,27]. From an electroanalytical point of view, AuNPs are particularly interesting because of their high stability, good biological compatibility, excellent conducting capability and high surface-to-volume ratio [26,28,29]. Moreover, the use of AuNPs should not only enable the immobilization of a higher amount of antibody but also preserve the activity of the immobilized biomolecules, thus offering higher sensitivity and selectivity than conventional strategies. These features provide excellent prospects for interfacing biological recognition events with electronic signal transduction and make AuNPs extremely suitable for developing novel and improved electrochemical sensing and biosensing systems [29-31].

In this work, we present a simple and sensitive gold label free immunosensor for the quantification of AD main biomarker, Aβ(1–42), with the goal of performing a clinical diagnosis and monitor biochemical effects of AD treatments. The sensor’s platform was composed of AuNPs which were electrodeposited on the previously mercaptopropionic acid (MPA) modified gold electrode (MPA/Au electrode). Then, the antibody mAb DE2B4 against Aβ(1–42) was functionalized through chemical modification (thiolation) to promote the antibody immobilization on the AuNPs surface with proper orientation which enabled the direct detection of Aβ(1–42). Square-wave voltammetry (SWV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were used to characterize the construction of the biosensor and assess the immune reaction between the modified electrode and Aβ(1–42).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Materials

Mouse monoclonal antibody DE2B4 (1 mg mL⁻¹) IgG (ab11132) was purchased from Abcam (UK). Human antigen β-amyloid peptide (1–42), purity >95%, was purchased from GenScript (USA). Goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody was purchased from Pierce antibodies (Thermo Scientific, USA). Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98%), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), citric acid, sodium citrate dihydrate, gold(III) chloride solution, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 2-iminothiolane hydrochloride, citrate buffer solution, albumin form bovine serum (BSA) N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethyl-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), 2,2’-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), cystamine and potassium ferrocyanide (K₃[Fe(CN)₆]₃·3H₂O), potassium ferricyanide (K₃Fe(CN)₆), potassium hydrogen phosphate (K₂HPO₄) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄) were purchased form Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany), 2-mercaptoethanol, 3-mercaptopropionic acid solution and glutaraldehyde were purchased from Fluka (Switzerland). Absolute ethanol was obtained from Panreac (Spain). Potassium nitrate (KNO₃) was purchased from Pronalab (Mexico). Milk powder was obtained from Molico Nestlé. Alumina solution (γ-Al₂O₃) 0.3 μm and 0.05 μm were purchased from Gravimetra. Ultrapure water (18.2 MΩcm⁻¹ resistivity) was produced by a Milli-Q Simplicity 185 system (Millipore, Molsheim, France).

2.2. Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical experiments were performed with a potentiostat/galvanostat, AUTOLAB model PGSTAT 30 (Metrohm-Eco Chemie, The Netherlands) controlled by a computer through the Model NOVA version 1.9 software. A conventional three-electrode cell was used for all electrochemical measurements: the developed biosensor (based on a polycrystalline gold electrode, BASi MF-2014, surface area 2.0 mm² and diameter of 1.6 mm) as a working electrode, platinum as counter-electrode and a Ag/AgCl(3 M KCl) reference electrode to which all potentials are referred.

Square-wave voltammetry (SWV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed using Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ as electroactive indicator at a concentration of 2.5 mM in 0.1 M PBS solution (pH = 7.4). SWV results were obtained by varying the potential from 0.00 to 0.60 V at 0.400 V/s scan rate. The optimal SWV parameters were a frequency of 100 Hz, amplitude of 40 mV and scan increment of 4 mV. EIS measurements were performed using a frequency range from 10⁻¹ to 10⁵ Hz with an amplitude perturbation of 5 mV.

2.3. Immunosensor development

The surface of the bare gold electrode was cleaned using piranha solution (30:70, v/v, H₂O₂ and H₂SO₄) at room temperature (RT) for 5 min. Then the electrode was polished repeatedly with 0.3 and 0.05 μm alumina powder on microfiber cloth. Subsequently the surface was rinsed with ultrapure water and ultrasonically cleaned in absolute ethanol. After that, the electrode was cycled from 0.0 to 1.6 V in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. The process was repeated until typical gold cyclic voltammograms were obtained. Finally, the electrode was washed with ultrapure water and modified (Fig. 1).

2.3.1. Self-assembled monolayer

Four different SAMs were studied by immersing the gold electrode, for a 12 h period at RT, in ethanol solutions of cystamine (CYS) (20 mM), cystamine + mercaptoethanol (CYS + ME) (20 mM), mercaptopropionic acid (MPA) (1 mM) and mercaptopropionic acid + mercaptoethanol (MPA + ME) (1 mM). After washing with ultrapure water, the CYS modified electrodes were immersed for a period of 30 min in a 3% glutaraldehyde solution, while the other modified (MPA) electrodes were immersed in an EDC/NHS solution. After selection of the optimum SAM, the incubation time (2–12h) and concentration of the solution (1–20 mM) for the SAM formation were optimized.
2.3.3. Antibodies against Aβ(1–42) were immobilized on the AuNPs surface using thiol(-SH) groups. The antibodies were prepared through chemical modification (thiolation) with EDTA and Traut’s Reagent. A mixed solution containing 1 μL of EDTA (0.28 M), 82.6 μL of iminothiolane (0.006 mM) and monoclonal antibody against Aβ(1–42) was prepared after dilution with PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4). The prepared solution reacted for 50 min at room temperature. After reacting, the solution was completed with PBS in order to make up a volume of 500 μL. This solution was purified through a sephadex PD MiniTrap G–25 column (GE Healthcare), following the gravity protocol. To immobilize the antibody against Aβ(1–42), the AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode was immersed in the antibody solution (1.0, 2.0 or 5.0 μg mL⁻¹) followed by incubation at 4 °C during five different tested periods (2, 5, 7, 10 or 12 h). At last, the anti-Aβ(1–42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode was immersed in a 10 μg mL⁻¹ BSA solution for 5 min at 4 °C in order to block the remaining adsorption-reactive sites (Fig. 1).

2.4. ELISA

The functional activity of the antibodies was analyzed by the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The surface of 96 well plates (flat-bottom Nunc MaxiSorp®) was coated with Aβ(1–42) during 1 h at 37 °C. After blocking with non fat dry milk 10%, the primary antibody was added to each well. After a washing step, the secondary antibody conjugated with peroxidase (Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H + L) Cross Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, HRP conjugate, Thermo Scientific-Pierce Antibodies) was allowed to react for 45 min at RT. To reveal the presence of the antibodies in the wells, a citrate solution was used with citric acid, ABTS (2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt) and H₂O₂. The intensity of the color was measured by spectrophotometry using a Biotek Synergy 2 spectrometer. Wells without primary antibody were used as control.

2.5. Electrochemical detection of Aβ(1–42)

The BSA/anti-Aβ(1–42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode was immersed in a PBS solution at pH 7.4 containing a concentration of Aβ(1–42) in the range of 10–1000 pg mL⁻¹ at RT for 10 min.
All measurements were carried out in triplicate. The current reduction (% \( \text{IR} \)):

\[
\% \text{IR} = \left(1 - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*}\right) \times 100
\]

where \( I_p^* \) and \( I_p \) are the peak currents of \( \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3-/4^-} \) (used as electroactive indicator) at a concentration of 2.5 mM in 0.1 M PBS solution, \( pH = 7.4 \) before and after the incubation with Ab(1–42), respectively, was employed to obtain Ab(1–42) analytical curves. Then the electrode was thoroughly rinsed with PBS and ultrapure water to remove unbound antigen, and stored at 4 °C.

2.6. Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM; FEI Quanta 400FEG ESEM/EDAX Genesis X4 M) was used to observe the morphological properties of the developed biosensor.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Immunosensor development

3.1.1. Self-assembled monolayer formation

The different steps involved in the preparation of the immunosensor are schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. The first optimized step concerned the modification of the gold electrode with the several tested SAMs (CYS (20 mM), CYS + ME (20 mM), MPA (1 mM) and MPA + ME (1 mM)). They formed an organic assembly on the electrode surface that led to a block in the electron transfer between the surface of the electrode and the Fe(CN)\(_6^{3-/4^-}\) solution which consequently caused a signal reduction in the peak current. The SAM that best adsorbed to the electrode surface was composed of MPA (ca. 87% of peak reduction) and was the selected SAM to form the basis of the developed immunosensor (Fig. 2). Subsequently, the influence of incubation time (2–12 h) and concentration (1–20 mM) was characterized. After the first 2 h of incubation, only a 1% reduction in the peak current was observed every 2 h, thus it was concluded that a period of 2 h is sufficient to form a stable, organized and packed layer on the gold electrode surface. Regarding the concentration, the peak current decreased with increasing of MPA concentrations till ca. 5 mM and higher values led to a stabilization of the peak current, which implied that the electrode surface has been entirely covered with MPA. Thus, 5 mM was considered the most favorable concentration of MPA. The optimized conditions are in accordance with the values found in literature as periods of incubation ranging from 30 min–12 h and MPA concentrations ranging from 0.1–10 mM were found [12,33–38].

3.1.2. Gold nanoparticles electrodeposition

The deposition of AuNPs on the modified gold electrode (MPA/Au electrode) reduced the charge transfer resistance and, consequently, a large increase in the peak current was observed. In order to optimize the deposition of AuNPs on the modified electrode surface, three different periods (100, 150 and 200 s) were investigated based on the electroactive indicator peak current using square-wave voltammetry; no significant difference between them was detected since it was possible to recover about 97% of the original gold signal (before SAM immobilization) in the three cases. Therefore, a period of 100 s was chosen for the subsequent assays; this electrodeposition period is about three times less than the one presented by Park et al. [321]. The successful deposition of the AuNPs on MPA/Au electrode was confirmed by SEM (Fig. 3) that showed a rough surface and AuNPs uniformly and densely distributed. These are both indicators of the strong interaction between the AuNPs and the MPA layer.

3.1.3. Antibody immobilization

The antibodies were functionalized through chemical modification (thiolation). The aim of this treatment was to immobilize the antibodies on the AuNPs surface with the proper orientation, by using thiol groups, in order to make the antigen binding site available. For this purpose the antibody was treated with Traut’s reagent and EDTA. The reagent reacted with the amine groups in the

Fig. 2. Influence of the tested self-assembled monolayer (SAM) in the current peak reduction using Fe(CN)\(_6^{3-/4^-}\) as electroactive indicator at a concentration of 2.5 mM in 0.1 M PBS solution (\( pH = 7.4 \)); CYS: cystamine (20 mM), CYS + ME: cystamine + mercaptoethanol (20 mM), MPA: mercaptopropionic acid (1 mM), and MPA + ME: mercaptopropionic acid + mercaptoethanol (1 mM).

Fig. 3. SEM images of (a) bare gold electrode and (b) AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode.
antibodies to result in permanent modifications containing terminal sulphhydryl residues [39]. EDTA was required to stop completely metal-catalyzed oxidation of sulphhydryl groups [39]. To immobilize the antibody against Aβ(1–42) on the AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode, several concentrations of antibody solution (1.0, 2.0 or 5.0 μg mL⁻¹) and incubation times (2–12 h) were tested. The antibody immobilization caused a large signal reduction of the Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ redox couple due to the formation of a protein layer that partially blocked the AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode surface, making the electron transfer process between the electrode and the solution slower and more difficult. The signal reduction was proportional to the increase of anti-Aβ(1–42) concentration and incubation time. The optimum conditions were found to be 2.0 μg mL⁻¹ antibody concentration and an incubation time of 2 h.

3.1.4. Electrochemical characterization of the immunosensor

In order to characterize the fabrication process of the immunosensor, EIS and SWV were recorded at each step and are presented in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. EIS is one of the most powerful electroanalytical techniques frequently used in characterizing materials and surface interfaces [17,32,40]. The advantage of EIS over other electrochemical techniques is that it requires only a small amplitude perturbation from the steady state, which makes it possible to analyze responses theoretically by linearized or otherwise simplified current–potential characteristics [40]. The impedance spectra include a semicircle portion and linear portion, which can be used to describe the interface properties of the electrode for each immobilized step. The semicircle diameter at higher frequencies corresponds to the electron-transfer resistance, which controls the electron transfer kinetics of the redox process at the electrode interface. At lower frequencies, the linear part is typical of a mass diffusion limited-electron-transfer process [41,42]. A significant change in the impedance spectra can be observed after each modification of the gold electrode with MPA, AuNPs, anti-Aβ(1–42), BSA and Aβ(1–42) in comparison with the bare gold electrode which exhibited a typical pattern of mass diffusion limited process (Fig. 4). The SAM of MPA formed an organized and packed layer that blocked the surface of the gold electrode increasing the charge–transfer resistance and consequently a semicircle in the impedance spectra was observed. Then AuNPs were electrodeposited onto the MPA/Au electrode and the electron transfer resistance significantly decreased, reducing significantly the diameter of the semicircle. AuNPs present high conductivity that improves the electron transfer rate. In contrast after the immobilization of anti-Aβ(1–42) and BSA, the diameters of the semicircles were significantly enlarged due to the generation of an insulating protein layer on the modified electrode surface which hindered the electron transfer. These results also proved that the anti-Aβ(1–42) and BSA were successfully immobilized on the modified electrode surface. AuNPs are widely used nanomaterials because of their large specific surface area, strong adsorption ability, and high conductivity. They can strongly interact with biomaterials and they have been used as a mediator to immobilize biomolecules and to efficiently retain their activity [26,28,29]. This pattern of variation is consistent with the results attained by SWV (Fig. 5).

3.2. Electroanalytical performance of the immunosensor

The ability of the antibodies of recognizing their ligands was assessed by ELISA. Firstly, the antigen Aβ(1–42) was adsorbed to the bottom surface of a 96 well plate and then it was incubated with the mAb DE2B4 (or with buffer for control); finally, to assess the formation of the antigen-antibody binding, a secondary antibody was used revealing the presence or absence of Aβ(1–42). As a result of this test, it was concluded that a 5 min period was enough to promote the link between the antigen and the antibody. Still, in order to ensure that maximum sensitivity for detection of Aβ(1–42) was attained, 10 min of incubation was subsequently applied. For this incubation time in the wells previously coated with amyloid beta, the control (buffer) used in the assays exhibited significantly lower absorbance at 405 nm (0.078 ± 0.003) when compared to the antibody (0.23 ± 0.03), suggesting a sufficient antibody-antigen reaction time.

Under the optimal conditions, the peak current reduction (%) observed when the biosensor was exposed to different concentrations of Aβ(1–42) (10 – 1000 pg mL⁻¹) was recorded. Representative voltammograms are exhibited in Fig. 6a. In the presence of Aβ(1–42), the peak potential shifted positively due to alteration of the interface properties of the biosensor surface (that affected the electron transport) promoted by the capture of Aβ(1–42) by the mouse monoclonal antibody (anti-Aβ(1–42)). The analytical curve (Fig. 6b), i(%) = 14.60 (%M/M) ×

![Fig. 4](image-url) Nyquist plots of the different stages of the immunosensor development: a) bare gold electrode; b) MPA/Au electrode; c) AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode; d) anti-Aβ(1–42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode; e) BSA/anti-Aβ(1–42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode and f) Aβ(1–42)/BSA/anti-Aβ(1–42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode. Measurements were performed in 2.5 mM Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ solution in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7.4) applying a frequency range from 10⁻¹ to 10⁵ Hz with an amplitude perturbation of 5 mV.
log Diff6 [Aβ(1−42) pg mL−1] = 11.50(%) presented good sensitivity (14.6% reduction mL pg−1), wide linearity and good distribution of the data, even at low levels, with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.982. The detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) limits were calculated, using the standard deviation of the intercepts and the average of slopes of the straight lines from the analytical curves, to be 5.2 pg mL−1 (1.1 pmol L−1) and 17.4 pg mL−1 (3.85 pmol L−1), respectively. The attained LOD, combined with the simplicity of the proposed immunosensor and fast response, compares favorably with the previously reported ones for the detection of Aβ(1−42) (Table 1) [47–54]. Out the fourteen sensors for Aβ (1−42) detection presented in Table 1, only three [47–49] have a LOD in the same range as the one reached in this work but involving longer and much more complex schemes. The detection of Aβ(1−42) is performed in just 10 min which is significantly lower than the reported periods (>30 min [55]). Moreover, due to its simplicity and short analysis time this method allows real time monitoring and with its label-free detection it obviates the need of expensive biotin or enzyme-linked antibody and functionalized nanoparticles for recognition and signal amplification, reducing the operation complexity and assay cost.

Additionally, the intra-repeatability was estimated at a controlled Aβ(1−42) concentration of 0.30 ng mL−1. Intra-day (n = 6) exhibited relative standard deviations (RSD) of 4.0. The reproducibility of immunosensor was also assessed using three different modified electrodes and the attained RSD was 2.4%. The long-time stability of the immunosensor was evaluated. The current response of the prepared immunosensor decreased 18% after five days storage in PBS (pH = 7.4) at 4 °C thus presenting acceptable stability. Overall, the proposed procedures exhibit appropriate features for analytical purposes.

The accuracy and applicability of the proposed biosensor was tested by recovery assays performed at two spiking levels.

Fig. 5. Square-wave voltammograms for the different stages of the immunosensor development: a) bare gold electrode; b) MPA/Au electrode; c) AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode; d) anti-Aβ(1−42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode; e) BSA/anti-Aβ(1−42)/AuNPs/MPA/Au electrode. Measurements were performed in 2.5 mM Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ solution in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7.4).

Fig. 6. a) Square-wave voltammetric response of the immunosensor to different concentrations of Aβ(1−42) (from a to f: 0, 10, 50, 500 and 1000 pg mL−1). Measurements were performed in 2.5 mM Fe(CN)₆³⁻/⁴⁻ solution in 0.1 M PBS (pH = 7.4); b) Calibration curve based on the current reduction observed.
Recoveries of 90.3% and 93.6% were reached at the fortification concentration of 30 and 300 pg mL\(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 2), demonstrating the good accuracy and precision of the developed immunosensor. Still, a relatively high standard deviation (24.7%) was obtained at the lowest spiking level which may be explained by the increase of variability observed during analytical measurements (independently of the applied technique) when levels near the LOQ are quantified. Thus, the label-free methodology presented in this work proves to be simpler, faster and cheaper than other electrochemical biosensors while maintaining appropriate electroanalytical performance. However, it can be expected that the selected mouse monoclonal antibody may be also able to recognize Aβ(1–40). Nowadays, substantial evidence indicates that the quantity of Aβ in different pools, may be more closely related to disease state. Due to this new relevant discovery, it is also important to determine the total amount of Aβ (between 38 and 43 amino acids). Still, Aβ(1–42) is the main biomarker of Alzheimer’s disease and the more cytotoxic [3]. This peptide is the major component of the senile plaques found in Alzheimer’s disease; Aβ(1–42) is the most fibrillogenic sequence and is thus associated with disease states. An Aβ(1–42) concentration of <500 pg mL\(^{-1}\) (0.1 nM) in cerebral spinal fluid is indicative that Aβ(1–42) is accumulating in the brain [10]. Biosensors for Aβ detection are scarce and even scarcer those that tested different Aβ peptides [55,56]. In that regards, it is worth to mention the work that has been performed by Liu et al. [53,56]. This research team developed two sensitive and selective electrochemical immunosensors for determining the total concentration of Aβ(1–40/1–42) and the relative level of Aβ(1–42) by signal amplification of Aβ(1–16)-heme-modified gold nanoparticles (AuNPs)(Aβ(1–16)-heme-AuNPs) and p-aminophenol (p-AP) redox cycling, respectively [53–56].

4. Conclusions

A simple and rapid label-free electrochemical immunosensor based on a modified gold electrode for the detection of Aβ(1–42) was developed. The structure of the presented immunosensor exhibits several advantages. The MPA self-assembled monolayer formed a stable, organized and packed layer on the surface of

### Table 1

Review of reported immunosensors for Aβ(1–42) detection.

| Transducer/Silicon oxide | Immobilization | Analyte | Detection | LOD (pg mL\(^{-1}\)) | Ref. |
|--------------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|----------------------|-----|
| Silicon wafer            | Poly(DMA-co-NAS-co-MAPS)\(^{1}\) | Aβ(1–42) | Circular dichroism spectroscopy | 73 | [10] |
|                          | Functionalization of the substrate with carboxylated alkyltrichlorosilane | Aβ(1–42) | X-ray Photoemissive Spectroscopy and Fluorescent microscopy | 3.0 \times 10\(^5\) | [43] |
| Carbon electrode         | AuNPs/SAM formation of the acetylenyl group/cycloadition reaction of an azide-terminated silicic acid | Aβ(1–40) and Aβ(1–42) | Differential Pulse Voltammetry | 4.5 \times 10\(^8\) | [44] |
| Gold electrode           | Peptide probe (11-mercaptoundecanoic acid + Peptide chain + Ferrocene)/9-mercaptop-1-nonanol | Aβ(1–42) soluble oligomer | Square-Wave Voltammetry | 1.1 \times 10\(^{10}\) | [45] |
| Glassy carbon electrode  | Au with protein G or auto displayed 2-dimensions of protein-A biosensor with a metal semiconductor field effect transistor structure | Aβ (1–40) and Aβ(1–42) | Square-Wave Voltammetry | 7.0 \times 10\(^5\) | [46] |
| Gold screen printed      | POPA co-polymer\(^{2}\)/Biotinylated cellular prion protein (PrPC-residues 95–110) | Aβ(1–42) oligomers | Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy | 2.3\(^{**}\) | [48] |
| Anodic aluminium oxide   | Thin gold film sputtered onto the electrode surface | Aβ(1–42) | Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy | 2.3\(^{**}\) | [48] |
| Glassy carbon            | Bio-conjugate of horseshad peroxidase (HPR)-AuNPs-gesolin Alkalinephosphatase-cystein-Pg95–115/peptide | Soluble | Differential Pulse Voltammetry | 126\(^{**}\) | [50] |
| Gold electrode           | AuNPs/Sam(Streptavidin/Anti-Aβ(1–42) | Aβ(1–42) | Cyclic voltammetry | 13.5\(^{*}\) | [51] |
| Screen-printed carbon    | mercapropionic acid | Aβ(1–42) and total Aβ | Amperometric detection | 100 | [52] |
| Electrode                | SAM/AuNPs | Aβ(1–42) | Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy | 2.6 \times 10\(^8\) | [54] |
| Carbon Disposable        | SAM-AuNPs | Aβ(1–42) | Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy | 2.6 \times 10\(^8\) | [54] |
| Electrochemical           | MPA SAM/AuNPs/Anti- Aβ(1–42) – (EDTA+ Traut’s reagent) | Aβ(1–42) | Square-Wave Voltammetry | 5.2 | This work |

\(^{1}\)Ter-copolymer made from dimethylacrylamide (DMA),3-(trimethoxysilyl)propylmethacrylate(MAPS) and N-Acryloyloxysuccinimide(NAS).

\(^{2}\)Co-polymer of polytyr-amine/3-[4-hydroxyphenyl] propionic acid.

\#Value was expressed in μmol L\(^{-1}\) and converted to pg mL\(^{-1}\).

\**Value was expressed in pM and converted to pg mL\(^{-1}\).

### Table 2

Recovery assays (n = 4) of the proposed immunosensor.

| Sample | Standard value (pg mL\(^{-1}\)) | Found (pg mL\(^{-1}\)) | Recovery (%) | Relative standard deviation (%) |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| 1      | 30                            | 27.1                  | 90.3         | 24.7                            |
| 2      | 300                           | 280.9                 | 93.6         | 3.5                             |

Ref. [53–56].
the gold electrode which enabled a fast and successful electrodeposition of AuNPs. Due to their excellent conductive and catalytic properties, AuNPs acted as an "electronic wire" and promoted the communication between the mediator and the electrode surface, and amplified the electrochemical reactions. The proposed immunosensor showed a low detection limit, wide linear range, good accuracy and reproducibility. Furthermore, the proposed biosensor offers interesting possibilities for detection of the selected biomarker since it uses simple and relatively inexpensive instrumentation. Its application is intended to be cheaper, easier and faster than conventional methodologies. It can constitute a novel approach to monitor biochemical effects of AD treatments, and to facilitate the clinical diagnostic because biomarker levels are significantly related with stage of AD. However, it can be expected that the selected mouse monoclonal antibody may be also able to recognize Aβ(1–40) which may be a limitation of this study. Since data attained with real biological samples (plasma and cerebral spinal fluid) are precious, more comprehensive studies will be conducted in the near future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by European Funds (FEDER funds through COMPETE) and National Funds (Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia) through projects UID/QUI/50006/2013-POCI/01/0145/FEDER/007265 and UID/EQU/00511/2013-LEPABE, by the FCT/MEC with national funds and co-funded by FEDER in the scope of the P2020 Partnership Agreement. Additional financial support was provided by Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia through project PTDC/QUI-BIQ/102827/2008.

References

[1] K. Blennow, M.J. de Leon, H Zetterberg, Alzheimer’s disease, Lancet, 368 (2001) 387–403.
[2] J.L. Cummings, G. Cole, Alzheimer disease, JAMA: J. Am. Med. Assoc. 287 (2002) 2335–2338.
[3] J.L. Cummings, Biomarkers in Alzheimer’s disease drug development, Alzheimer’s Dementia: J. Alzheimer’s Assoc. 7 (2011) e19–44.
[4] D.Y. Kang, J.H. Lee, B.K. Oh, J.W. Choi, Ultra-sensitive immunosensor for beta-amyloid (1–42) using scanning tunneling microscopy-based electrical detection, Biosens. Bioelectron. 24 (2009) 1431–1436.
[5] J. Hamperl, Y. Shen, D.M. Walsh, P. Aisen, L.M. Shaw, H. Zetterberg, et al., Biological markers of amyloid beta-related mechanisms in Alzheimer’s disease, Exp. Neurol. 223 (2010) 334–346.
[6] R. Craig-Schapiro, A.M. Fagan, D.M. Holtzman, Biomarkers of Alzheimer’s disease, Neurobiol. Dis. 35 (2009) 129–140.
[7] J. Hardy, D.J. Selkoe, The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer’s disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics, Science (New York) 297 (2002) 353–356.
[8] H. Zetterberg, K. Blennow, E. Hanse, Amyloid β and APP as biomarkers for Alzheimer’s disease, Exp. Gerontol. 45 (2010) 23–29.
[9] N. Andreassen, K. Blennow, Beta-amyloid (Aβ) protein in cerebral spinal fluid as a biomarker for Alzheimer’s disease, Peptides 23 (2002) 1205–1214.
[10] P. Gagni, L. Sola, M. Cretich, M. Chiari, Development of a high-sensitivity immunoassay for amyloid-β1–42 using a silicon microarray platform, Biosens. Bioelectron. 47 (2013) 490–495.
[11] V.C. Cullen, R.A. Fredenburg, C. Evans, P.R. Conillie, M.E. Solomon, Development and advanced validation of an optimized method for the quantitation of Abeta42 in human cerebral spinal fluid, AAPS J. 14 (2012) 597–608.
[12] L. Liu, W.-J. Li, L. Li, Y. Yang, L.-G. Mao, Z. Peng, A label-free electrochemical immunosensor based on gold nanoparticles for direct detection of atrazine, Sens. Actuators B 191 (2014) 408–414.
[13] A. Peh, S.F. Li, Dengue virus detection using impedance measured across nanoporous alumina membrane, Biosens. Bioelectron. 42 (2013) 391–396.
[14] S. Yu, Q. Wei, B. Du, D. Wu, H. Li, L. Yan, et al., Label-free immunosensor for the detection of kanamycin using Ag@PE304 nanoparticles and thionine mixed graphene sheet, Biosens. Bioelectron. 48 (2013) 224–229.
[15] Y. Zhang, H. Chen, X. Gao, Z. Chen, X. Lin, A novel immunosensor based on an alternate strategy of electrodeposition and self-assembly, Biosens. Bioelectron. 35 (2012) 277–283.
[16] C.A. Wijayawardhana, H.B. Halil, W.R. Heineman, Electrochemical Immunosensor Assay of Electrochemistry, Wiley-VCH Verlag & Co. KGaA, 2007.
[44] M. Chikae, T. Fukuda, K. Kerman, K. Idegami, Y. Miura, E. Tamiya, Amyloid-β detection with saccharide immobilized gold nanoparticle on carbon electrode, Bioelectrochemistry (Amsterdam Netherlands) 74 (2008) 118–123.

[45] H. Li, Y. Cao, X. Wu, Z. Ye, G. Li, Peptide–based electrochemical biosensor for amyloid β 1–42 soluble oligomer assay, Talanta 93 (2012) 358–363.

[46] M. Vestergaard, K. Kerman, M. Saito, N. Nagatani, Y. Takamura, E. Tamiya, A rapid label-free electrochemical detection and kinetic study of Alzheimer’s amyloid beta aggregation, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 11892–11893.

[47] J. Oh, G. Yoo, Y.W. Chang, H.J. Kim, J. Jose, E. Kim, et al., A carbon nanotube metal semiconductor field effect transistor–based biosensor for detection of amyloid-beta in human serum, Biosens. Bioelectron. 50 (2013) 345–350.

[48] J.V. Rushworth, A. Ahmed, H.H. Griffiths, N.M. Pollock, N.M. Hooper, P.A. Millner, A label-free electrical impedimetric biosensor for the specific detection of Alzheimer’s amyloid-beta oligomers, Biosens. Bioelectron. 56 (2014) 83–90.

[49] C.-C. Wu, B.-C. Ku, C.-H. Ko, C.-C. Chiu, G.-J. Wang, Y.-H. Yang, et al., Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis of A-beta (1–42) peptide using a nanostructured biochip, Electrochim. Acta 134 (2014) 249–257.

[50] Y. Yu, X. Sun, D. Tang, C. Li, L. Zhang, D. Nie, et al., Gelsolin bound β-amyloid peptides (1–40)/1–42: Electrochemical evaluation of levels of soluble peptide associated with Alzheimer’s disease, Biosens. Bioelectron. 68 (2015) 115–121.

[51] L Liu, N. Xia, M. Jiang, N. S. Huang, S Li Guo, et al., Electrochemical detection of amyloid-β oligomer with the signal amplification of alkaline phosphatase plus electrochemical-chemical-chemical redox cycling, J. Electroanal. Chem. 754 (2015) 40–45.

[52] E.C. Rama, M.B. González-García, A. Costa-García, Competitive electrochemical immunosensor for amyloid-beta 1–42 detection based on gold nanostructured Screen-Printed Carbon Electrodes, Sens. Actuators B 201 (2014) 567–571.

[53] L Liu, Q. He, F. Zhao, N. Xia, H. Liu, S. Li, et al., Competitive electrochemical immunoassay for detection of β-amyloid (1–42) and total β-amyloid peptides using p-aminophenol redox cycling, Biosens. Bioelectron. 51 (2014) 208–212.

[54] T.T.N. Lien, Y. Takamura, E. Tamiya, MdC Vestergaard, Modified screen printed electrode for development of a highly sensitive label-free impedimetric immunosensor to detect amyloid beta peptides, Anal. Chim. Acta 852 (2015) 69–78.

[55] A. Kaushik, R.D. Jayant, S. Tiwari, A. Vashist, M. Nair, Nano-biosensors to detect beta-amyloid for Alzheimer’s disease management, Biosens. Bioelectron. 80 (2016) 273–287.

[56] L Liu, B. Zhou, Electrochemical methods for detecting β-amyloid peptides and monitoring their aggregation, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 10 (2015) 7684–7695.

Biographies

Pedro Carneiro obtained his MSc and BSc at the Faculty of Engineering of the University of Porto and at the Faculty of Biotechnology of the Catholic University of Portugal, respectively. He is currently working as a researcher at LEPABE and REQUIMTE-LAQV located in the Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering of the University of Porto and in the Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, respectively. His research interests include immunosensors, nanomaterials and neurodegenerative diseases.

Joana Angélica Loureiro is graduated in Chemical Engineering from Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto (Portugal) in 2010, and received her Ph.D. degree in Chemical and Biological Engineering from the same university in 2013. Currently she is a post-doc researcher at Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy (Porto, Portugal). Her scientific research is directed to the nanotechnology and interfacial phenomena applied to the Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases.

Cristina Deleure-Matos obtained her PhD in Chemical-Physics, specialty in electrochemistry, in 1990. At the moment, she is coordinator professor at the School of Engineering of the Polytechnic Institute of Porto (ISEP-IPP, Portugal) and also coordinates the REQUIMTE/ISEP research group (www.graq.isep.ipp.pt). Her research interests include the development of analytical methodologies for environmental, food, pharmaceutical, biochemical and industrial control. She is co-author of more than 175 publications in scientific journals.

Simone Morais has a Ph.D. (1998) in Chemical Engineering from the faculty of Engineering of the University of Porto, She is Auxiliary Professor at the Department of Chemical Engineering at the School of Engineering of the Polytechnic of Porto (Portugal) and permanent researcher at REQUIMTE. Her main research interests are electrochemistry, (bio)sensors and environmental sciences. S. Morais co-authored about 90 papers in refereed journals.

Maria C. Pereira received her PhD in Chemical Engineering from the University of Porto in 1998. She is Assistant Professor at the Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto, Portugal, and develops her research work at LEPABE (Laboratory for Process Engineering, Environment, Biotechnology and Energy). Her research fields cross biophysics, supramolecular interactions including novel nano-engineered biomaterials for therapeutic applications, and environmental sciences/air pollutants. She is the author or co-author of more than 90 papers in refereed journals.