Validation and reliability of a Japanese version of the Simple Shoulder Test: a cross-sectional study
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Background: The Simple Shoulder Test (SST) is a widely used patient-reported outcome assessment. The purpose of this study was to develop and validate a Japanese version of the SST (SST-Jp).

Methods: A two-stage observational study was conducted to validate the cross-cultural adaptation of the SST. A total of 100 patients with shoulder disorders completed the SST-Jp; the Disability of Arm, Shoulder, and Hand assessment; and the Medical Outcomes Short-Form 36 (SF-36) at an initial visit. Thirty-four of the patients repeated the SST-Jp one week after the first examination. The test-retest reliability was quantified using the interclass correlation coefficient, and Cronbach’s alpha (±) was calculated to assess internal consistency. Construct validity was assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient.

Results: The internal consistency of the SST-Jp was very high (± = 0.826). The interclass correlation coefficient of the SST-Jp was also high (0.859). There was a strong, positive correlation between the Disability of Arm, Shoulder, and Hand and the SST-Jp (r = 0.717, P < .001). The SST-Jp was significantly correlated with most of the SF-36 subscales. The correlations of the SST-Jp with physical subscales of the SF-36 were stronger than those with the other subscales.

Conclusions: The SST-Jp was found to be a valid and reliable measurement for shoulder joint pain and function assessment among the Japanese population.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Shoulder disorders, such as rotator cuff tear and frozen shoulder, are the second most common musculoskeletal disorders. The prevalence of shoulder pain ranges from 6.9% to 26% in the general population, and more specifically, the prevalence of neck and shoulder pain ranges from 10% to 48% in Japan. Although shoulder disorders are not life-threatening, they can limit the activities of daily living (ADLs) and negatively impact quality of life. Furthermore, shoulder disability may disrupt psychological and social well-being.

Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) have been widely used in clinical practice and research to evaluate treatments to reduce the discrepancy between clinical evaluation and patient perception. There are many different PROs to evaluate shoulder conditions, such as the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire (DASH); Western Ontario Shoulder Instability Index; Shoulder Pain and Disability Index; and Simple Shoulder Test (SST). The SST is one of the most commonly used instruments, in part, because it is a simple and short PRO tool. It has been tested in various clinical settings and can be completed within 3 minutes, and patients can complete it before the clinical visit, making it very practical.

For proper use of the SST in non–English-speaking populations, it is necessary to use a translated version of the SST that is culturally adapted and properly evaluated. The need for validated translations has become more essential with the growing number of multicenter and multinational studies, which provide more statistical power for randomized controlled trials. The SST...
has been translated into several languages. Although a Japanese version of the SST (SST-Jp) has not been developed and validated, studies using the SST in Japanese populations without cultural adaptation and validation have been published. Cultural adaptation and validation of the SST would benefit Japanese clinicians, surgeons, and patients by improving the rigor of and evidence for a standardized SST-Jp.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to translate and culturally adapt the SST for use in Japan and evaluate its validity and reliability in Japanese patients with shoulder pain and dysfunction.

**Materials and methods**

This study was conducted in two phases. In phase 1, cross-cultural adaptation was conducted according to guidelines of the American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons. In phase 2, we assessed the measurement properties in a Japanese population.

**Cross-cultural adaptation**

The SST-Jp was developed according to the American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons guidelines for cross-cultural adaptation. The process consisted of five steps, each of which was documented with a written report. First, the English version of the SST was translated into Japanese by two bilingual translators (forward translation). One of the translators was an experienced shoulder surgeon and aware of the concept of the translation, while the other was a professional translator without a medical background who was not aware or informed of the medical concepts. For step 2, the two translations were synthesized into one after discussion with the study team. In step 3, the synthesized Japanese version was translated into English by two professional translators (back translation). In step 4, any inconsistencies between the original and the back-translated English version were resolved in the second draft of the questionnaire. Finally, all versions were evaluated and a pilot test was conducted. For the pilot study, the SST-Jp was administered to 30 patients with shoulder dysfunction. After analysis of the pilot test, results were found to be acceptable, and the final version of the SST-Jp was confirmed (Supplemental Appendix).

**Patients**

We recruited patients with shoulder disorders who were admitted to one of four hospitals between February and October 2019. Patients who were 18 years or older, native Japanese speakers, and able to complete the questionnaire by themselves were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were a previous major trauma (e.g., fracture and dislocation) on the affected shoulder or girdle; impairment in the cervical spine, elbow, and/or hand affecting the shoulder function; a history of inflammatory arthritis, physical and/or mental dysfunction as an underlying disease; or a regional tumor and metastasis. Patients completed the following questionnaires: the SST-Jp, the official Japanese version of the 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36 v2), and the Japanese Society for Surgery of the Hand version of the DASH. Basic characteristics such as sex, age, affected side, and diagnosis were also recorded. A series of 100 patients with shoulder disorders were included in the study. Among the patients, 36 were re-administered the SST-Jp after one week. These were surgical patients who returned for a preoperative appointment and did not have additional treatments in the previous week. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the authors’ affiliated institutions. All patients provided written, informed consent before participating in this study.

**Assessments**

The SST is a shoulder function scale consisting of 12 questions with ‘yes’ or ‘no’ answers to assess the ability to tolerate or perform ADLs. The SST scores range from 0 (worst) to 100 (best) reported as the percentage of answered items to which the patient responds in the affirmative. The SF-36 is a widely used instrument that measures 8 subscales of health including physical functioning (PF), role physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality, social functioning (SF), role emotional, and mental health. Each subscale score was transformed into a 0 to 100 scale where a lower score indicated worse quality of life and more severe disability. The DASH score is a general upper extremity score used for patients with any condition related to the joints of the upper extremities. It consists of 30 items with a total score ranging from 0 (no disability) to 100 (worst).

**Statistical analyses**

Continuous variables are summarized as means ± standard deviation. Categorical variables are summarized as numbers (%). Floor and ceiling effects were evaluated when 15% or more of the subjects achieved the lowest (0) or highest (100) possible points on the SST-Jp. Internal consistency was assessed by Cronbach’s alpha (α), which was calculated at an anticipated value ranging between 0.65 and 0.95. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) were calculated to assess the test-retest reliability where values > 0.7 were assumed to sufficiently support test-retest reliability. Measurement error is expressed as the standard error of measurement (SEM) or the minimal detectable change (MDC). The SEM was calculated using the square root of the variance between the measurements and the error variance of the ICC. The MDC was calculated as the SEM*1.96/√2.

The DASH and SF-36 scores were used to assess the construct validity of the SST-Jp. Construct validity was evaluated using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients because they do not rely on normal distributions (using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Correlation coefficients were categorized as very weak or negligible (below 0.20), weak or low (between 0.20 and 0.40), moderate (between 0.40 and 0.70), strong or high (between 0.70 and 0.90), and very strong or very high (above 0.90). All tests were 2-tailed, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, version 24.0 (SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

**Results**

**Demographic data**

The mean age of the participants was 64.3 years (range: 56 to 72 years), and 46% (n = 46) were women. In 53 cases, pain and/or dysfunction was in the right shoulder. The disorders were classified as rotator cuff tear in 59 cases, frozen shoulder in 25 cases, shoulder periartthritis in 9 cases, osteoarthritis in 2 cases, and “other” in 5 cases (Table I). The SST-Jp showed no missing data. In total, 3% of the participants reported the worst possible score (0 points) and 5.0% reported the best possible score (100 points).

**Reliability**

Table II summarizes the reliability of the SST-Jp. The internal consistency for the 12 questions in the SST-Jp was high (x = 0.826). The ICC of the SST-Jp was 0.859 (95% confidence interval: 0.715–0.930; MDC = 3.39%).
Table I
Baseline characteristics of the participants

| Characteristics (n = 100) | Total |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Sex, %                   |       |
| Male                     | 54.0  |
| Female                   | 46.0  |
| Age (years), mean (SD)   | 64.3 (10.5) |
| Affected side, %         |       |
| Right                    | 53.0  |
| Left                     | 47.0  |
| Diagnosis, %             |       |
| Rotator cuff tear        | 59.0  |
| Frozen shoulder          | 25.0  |
| Periarthritis            | 9.0   |
| Osteoarthritis           | 2.0   |
| Others                   | 5.0   |

SD, standard deviation.

Validity

The validity results of the SST-Jp are shown in Table III. There was a strong correlation between the DASH and the SST-Jp (r = 0.717). The SST-Jp was shown to have a significant correlation with all SF-36 subscales, as follows: a moderate correlation with the PF (r = 0.493), RP (r = 0.545), and BP (r = 0.545) subscales and a weak correlation with the GH (r = 0.200), vitality (r = 0.223), SF (r = 0.279), SF (r = 0.345), role emotional (r = 0.350), and GH (r = 0.307) subscales.

Discussion

This study aimed to culturally adapt and validate the SST for use in Japan. The results show that the SST-Jp presented in this study has high internal consistency and reliability for the subscores and the total score and, therefore, would be a reliable and valid measure of shoulder pain and function that can be implemented in Japanese clinical practice. In addition, the SST-Jp showed a strong correlation with the DASH and moderate correlation with the SF-36 physical subscales, including the PF, RP, and BP.

The values for internal consistency in the present study were similar to those reported from other studies to adapt the SST for different cultural contexts. The ICC indicated good reproducibility in the present study, and the value was similar or slightly higher than the values obtained in the other versions of the SST. In addition, the construct validity of the SST-Jp was good, as evidenced by a strong correlation between the SST-Jp and DASH, which was similar or higher than that observed in the Dutch, Spanish, and Persian versions of the SST.

Table II
Cronbach’s alpha and ICC of the SST-Jp (reliability)

| Instrument | Cronbach’s alpha | ICC  | 95% CI |
|------------|------------------|------|--------|
| SST-Jp     | 0.826            | 0.859| 0.715–0.930 |

SST-Jp, Japanese version of the Simple Shoulder Test; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; CI, confidence interval.

One strength of this study was the standardized method for cross-cultural adaptation. Furthermore, the participants were recruited from 4 medical centers, which reduced potential selection bias. However, this study has several limitations to consider. First, owing to the cross-sectional design, the sensitivity to change (responsiveness) and the minimal clinical difference in responsiveness (perceptibility) were not analyzed. Second, the mean age of this study’s participants was slightly high. Third, a sample size calculation was not performed before study recruitment to determine the optimal sample size for robust statistical analysis. Although 100 patients can be considered an excellent sample size, 36 patients for the test-retest reliability assessment is only moderately good. It would be necessary to increase the number of patients, especially to strengthen the test-retest reliability outcome.

Conclusion

The proposed SST-Jp has satisfactory internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and construct validity. Therefore, we recommend the SST-Jp for evaluating patients with shoulder dysfunction in the Japanese population.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseint.2020.10.018.
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