Actions for early detection of breast cancer in two municipalities in the Western Amazon

Ações para a detecção precoce do câncer de mama em dois municípios da Amazônia Ocidental

Acciones para la detección precoz del cáncer de mama en dos municipios de la Amazonia occidental

ABSTRACT

Objective: to assess the implementation of actions for early detection of breast cancer in Primary Care and to verify the adequacy of these actions with the Ministry of Health recommendations. Method: a cross-sectional study conducted from September 2017 to March 2018 with 736 women registered in Basic Health Units in two municipalities in Acre. For data collection, a validated questionnaire was used. In statistical analysis, the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was applied. Results: the frequency of mammography was 42%. Of the women at standard risk for breast cancer, only 5.8% underwent mammography properly. Conclusion: there was a low compliance of early detection actions to the Ministry of Health recommendations; thus, the need to adopt measures to increase professionals' adherence to government proposals is highlighted, as well as continuous actions assessments.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: avaliar a realização das ações de detecção precoce do câncer de mama na Atenção Primária e verificar a adequação dessas ações com as recomendações do Ministério da Saúde. Método: estudo transversal realizado de setembro de 2017 a março de 2018 com 736 mulheres cadastradas em Unidades Básica de Saúde em dois municípios de Acre. Para a coleta de dados, utilizou-se um questionário validado. Na análise estatística, aplicou-se o Teste Qui-Quadrado ou Exato de Fisher. Resultados: a frequência na realização da mamografia foi de 42%. Das mulheres com risco padrão para o câncer de mama, apenas 5,8% realizaram a mamografia adequadamente. Conclusão: evidenciou-se baixa conformidade das ações de detecção precoce às recomendações do Ministério da Saúde. Dessa forma, destaca-se a necessidade de adoção de medidas para aumentar a adesão dos profissionais às propostas governamentais, assim como avaliação contínua das ações.

Descritores: Neoplasias da Mama; Atenção Primária à Saúde; Detección Precoz del Cáncer; Tamizaje Masivo; Enfermería.
INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in the female population. According to world statistics, in 2018, an estimated 2.1 million new cases of cancer and 627 thousand deaths from the disease(1). In Brazil, 59,700 new cases of breast cancer were expected for 2019, corresponding to 29.5% of all female malignant tumors and an incidence rate of 56/100 thousand. In 2016, 16,069 deaths in women from breast cancer were registered, being the main cause of cancer death among Brazilian women(2).

In Brazil, studies show that from the 1990s there was a decline in mortality rates due to the disease in the capitals of southeast and south regions. On the other hand, there was a great increase in deaths among women in cities in the interior, especially in north and northeast regions(3-4). This increase has been attributed, above all, to the delay in diagnosis and application of appropriate therapy for the failure to implement early detection programs and the difficulty of access to specialized treatment(5). Approximately 40% of breast cancer cases are diagnosed in late stages (III and IV), with the highest proportion of cases being observed in northern Brazil (42%), reducing the chances of cure for women and compromising good prognosis(6-7).

There is worldwide evidence that the effectiveness of early detection actions combined with adequate therapy reduces mortality from this cancer and increases the chances of survival(8-10). Following this guidance, in 2004, the Ministry of Health (MoH), through a Consensus Document, recommended in Primary Health Care (PHC): screening for breast cancer through biennial mammography (MMG) in women with standard risk between 50 and 69 years; annual, from 35 years old for high risk; annual performance of clinical breast examination (CBE) in women at standard risk between 40 and 69 years old and at 35 years old for high risk women(11).

However, these recommendations have been updated. Thus, in 2015, the MS published the Guidelines for Early Detection of Breast Cancer, recommending MMG as the main method for screening breast cancer(12). Scientific evidence has shown that its contribution reduces mortality by 30 to 50% from this disease when screening is performed every two years in women aged 50 to 69 years(13).

Despite the growing trend in performing MMG exams in the target population, recent studies have shown that coverage is still low in women with greater social vulnerability, closely related to poverty and low education in the population(11-13). According to data from the Outpatient Information System of the Unified Health System (SIASUS - Sistema de Informação Ambulatorial do Sistema Único de Saúde), the supply of mammograms in SUS (Sistema Único de Saúde – Unified Health System) for the 50 to 69 age group increased by 19% between 2012 and 2017; however, north and center-west regions had low coverage of the exam when compared to other regions(2).

Considering cancer incidence, increased mortality, less access to early detection actions and low mammographic coverage in target women in northern Brazil(12,13), it became relevant to carry out this research in the municipalities of Cruzeiro do Sul and Rodrigues Alves, state of Acre, where health coverage is provided entirely through Basic Health Units (BHU). This makes it possible to carry out articulated actions for early detection of breast cancer.

OBJECTIVE

To assess the implementation of actions for early detection of breast cancer in Primary Care and to verify the adequacy of these actions with the Ministry of Health recommendations.

METHOD

Ethical aspects

This study followed the legal ethical precepts established for research with human beings. The research project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP). All participants signed the Informed Consent Form (ICF).

Design, place of study and period

This is an epidemiological, cross-sectional study guided by STROBE, carried out in BHU in the urban area of the municipalities of Cruzeiro do Sul and Rodrigues Alves, AC, from September 2017 to March 2018.

Population, sample, and inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study population consisted of women using SUS, aged 35 to 69 years, who attended the BHU of the urban area of Cruzeiro do Sul and Rodrigues Alves. Thus, BHU with more than 2 years of operation and users in the age group of 35 to 69 years with follow-up for more than or equal to 2 years at BHU were eligible for the study. Two independent sampling plans were carried out. For Rodrigues Alves, a sampling plan was made considering a sample design stratified by BHU and age group, in which all BHU in that municipality would be visited with the final sample proportional to the size of each BHU and age group. In the case of Cruzeiro do Sul, a two-stage sample design was carried out due to the greater complexity and size of this municipality.

In Cruzeiro do Sul, sampling by conglomerates was used, with draws in two stages and the probability of drawing the conglomerate proportional to its size. In a first stage, a draw of 4 BHU was carried out and then a systematic collection of 137 women per BHU was obtained, resulting in a sample of 548 women. For Rodrigues Alves, the sample design was stratified by BHU and age group, with a sample proportional to the size of the strata, the sample size calculated was 188 women, divided into 2 BHU according to BHU size and age group. Thus, the sample size for this study resulted in 736 women.

Urban area BHU constituted up to September 2015 and users in the age group between 35 and 69 years with a follow-up of at least two years in the selected BHU were included. Rural area BHU were excluded.

Study protocol

Data were obtained through a structured interview using a questionnaire constructed and validated(14). The sociodemographic variables analyzed and self-reported by users were age categorized into three age groups (35-39 years, 40-49 years,
Among women aged 50-69 years, marital status (single, married, widow, separated, divorced, common-law marriage), race or color (yellow, white, indigenous, black, and mixed ethnicity). Education (illiterate/incomplete elementary school, complete elementary school/incomplete middle school/complete middle school/incomplete middle school, complete high school/incomplete higher education, complete higher education) and socioeconomic stratum (A - corresponding to an average household income=20,272.56, B1 - 8,695.88, B2 - 4,427.36, C1 - 2,409.01, C2 - 1,446.24 and DE - 639.78) were analyzed according to the Brazilian Economic Classification Criterion of the Brazilian Association of Research Companies of 2015. The variables on high risk for breast cancer assessed as indicated by the MoH were family history of breast or ovarian cancer, age of the affected person, relationship of the family member who had cancer, if cancer reached one or both breasts. The variables measured regarding the actions for early detection of breast cancer were MMG (yes, no, MMG frequency). The interviews were conducted before or after the woman's care at BHU, in a comfortable area, after consent and signing the ICF.

### Analysis of results, and statistics

Data were stored and processed, using an Excel® spreadsheet version 2010. Descriptive analysis was performed for each of the variables grouped by age group and risk of breast cancer. For descriptive analysis of MMG adequacy, the correspondence between the MoH recommendation with the information obtained by users regarding their age, performance of tests, frequency of tests performed and the presence or not of high risk for breast cancer was considered. The software R® Core Team 2018 was used for statistical analysis. Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was applied to compare the proportions between groups. In all statistical analysis, a significance level of 5% (p <0.05) was adopted.

### RESULTS

Of the 736 women, 82.3% lived in the urban area, 40.1% were married, 76.4% self-reported as having mixed ethnicity, 48.2% were illiterate or had incomplete elementary school education and 63.9% belonged to class D-E. There was a statistically significant difference in marital status in relation to age groups (p <0.01). Most women with a common-law marriage belonged to the age group 35 to 39 years; on the other hand, widowed were between 50 and 69 years old. Education and income also had statistically significant results between age groups (p <0.01). Women aged 50 to 69 had less education and had a lower income than those aged 35 to 39 and those aged 40 to 49 (Table 1).

In relation to the municipalities analyzed, it was found a higher percentage of mammograms performed among women aged 50 to 69 years, and this test was less performed in users between 35 and 39 years old. For women studied in the age group recommended by the MoH (50 to 69 years), in both municipalities, more than 50% reported having never had MMG before. There was a statistically significant difference between municipalities in relation to MMG frequency among women aged 40 to 49 years (p<0.0178), the percentage of tests performed annually was higher in Rodrigues Alves (62.5%) than in Cruzeiro do Sul (26.7%) (Table 2).

### Table 1 - Percentage distribution of sociodemographic characteristics of women according to age group in Cruzeiro do Sul and Rodrigues Alves, Acre, Brazil, 2017-2018

|                  | TOTAL | 35 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 69 | *p value |
|------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| **TOTAL SAMPLE** | 736   | 230(31.3%) | 247(33.6%) | 259 (35.2%) | 0.4973   |
| **Origin**       |       |          |          |          |          |
| Rural area       | 130(17.7%) | 35 (15.2%) | 47 (19.0%) | 48 (18.5%) |          |
| Urban area       | 606(82.3%) | 195 (84.8%) | 200 (81.0%) | 211 (81.5%) |          |
| **Marital status** |     |          |          |          | <0.01    |
| Single           | 129 (17.5%) | 41 (17.8%) | 45 (18.2%) | 43 (16.6%) |          |
| Married          | 295(40.1%) | 89 (38.7%) | 115 (46.6%) | 91 (35.1%) |          |
| Widow            | 63 (8.6%) | 4 (1.7%) | 7 (2.8%) | 52 (20.1%) |          |
| Separated        | 31 (4.2%) | 5 (2.2%) | 11 (4.3%) | 15 (5.8%) |          |
| Divorced         | 27 (3.7%) | 8 (3.5%) | 6 (2.4%) | 13 (5.0%) |          |
| Common-law marriage | 191(26.0%) | 83 (36.1%) | 63 (25.5%) | 45 (17.4%) |          |
| **Color or race** |       |          |          |          | 0.4202   |
| Yellow           | 24 (3.3%) | 6 (2.6%) | 7 (2.8%) | 11 (4.2%) |          |
| White            | 65 (8.8%) | 20 (8.2%) | 22 (8.9%) | 23 (8.9%) |          |
| Indigenous       | 5 (0.7%) | 1 (0.4%) | 1 (0.4%) | 3 (1.2%) |          |
| Black            | 80 (10.9%) | 21 (9.1%) | 22 (8.9%) | 37 (14.3%) |          |
| Mixed ethnicity  | 562 (76.4%) | 182 (79.1%) | 195 (78.9%) | 185 (71.4%) |          |
| **Education**    |       |          |          |          | <0.01    |
| Illiterate or incomplete elementary school | 355(48.2%) | 73 (31.7%) | 101(40.9%) | 181 (69.9%) |          |
| Complete elementary school or incomplete middle school | 127(17.3%) | 42 (18.3%) | 42 (17.0%) | 43 (16.6%) |          |
| Complete middle school/incomplete high school | 68 (9.2%) | 30 (13.0%) | 26 (10.5%) | 12 (4.6%) |          |
| Complete high school/incomplete higher education | 130(17.7%) | 62 (27.0%) | 55 (22.3%) | 13 (5.0%) |          |
| Complete higher education | 56 (7.6%) | 23 (10.0%) | 23 (9.3%) | 10 (3.9%) |          |
| **Socioeconomic stratum** |     |          |          |          | <0.01    |
| B1               | 1 (0.1%) | - | 1 (0.4%) | - |          |
| B2               | 7 (1.0%) | 2 (0.9%) | 2 (0.8%) | 3 (1.2%) |          |
| C1               | 64 (8.7%) | 29 (12.6%) | 21 (8.3%) | 14 (5.4%) |          |
| C2               | 194(26.4%) | 70 (30.4%) | 73 (29.6%) | 51 (19.2%) |          |
| C3               | 470(63.9%) | 129(56.1%) | 150(60.7%) | 191(73.7%) |          |

Note:*chi-square test. **Brazil Economic Classification Criterion of the Brazilian Association of Research Companies, 2015.
MMG was mentioned by 41.7% women at high risk and 42.2% those with standard risk. It was observed that, regardless of the municipalities, 66.7% of the women at high risk underwent MMG on an annual basis, and Rodrigues Alves obtained a greater range in the number of tests compared to Cruzeiro do Sul. Of the women at standard risk, 57.2% claim that they undergo the exam without following the appropriate periodicity. MMG performance adequacy occurred only in 7.8% women at standard risk in Cruzeiro do Sul. In Rodrigues Alves, there was inadequacy regarding the frequency of this examination by all women at standard risk. Therefore, it was found that Cruzeiro do Sul obtained greater follow-up to the guidelines when compared to Rodrigues Alves (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Among the sociodemographic characteristics of PHC users in Cruzeiro do Sul and Rodrigues Alves, it was observed that most were married, with mixed ethnicity, with low education and belonging to class D-E, data that are similar to those found in other studies already carried out(11,15). The profile of the sample studied is consistent with that of the population of northern Brazil, where most people were social class D-E(16).

Northern Brazil is one of the least developed regions in the country, which concentrates high rates of illiteracy and low income; consequently, it has the lowest Human Development Indexes (HDI)(11,15).

These unfavorable sociodemographic aspects can negatively interfere in breast cancer screening due to the difficulty of access and lack of knowledge of early detection methods(13,17). The finding of low education and social class of BHU users over 50 years old in relation to the younger ones in Cruzeiro do Sul and Rodrigues Alves coincides with the findings of other studies. Greater social vulnerability was evidenced in this age group and greater attention and effort was required of health professionals and managers to improve the offer and access to preventive services aimed at women's health(15,17).

In this study, there was an expressive supply of mammograms performed annually among women aged 40 to 49 in Rodrigues Alves compared to Cruzeiro do Sul, with statistically significant results among the municipalities. The performance of MMG in women under 50 and who do not belong to the group at high risk for breast cancer is at odds with the MoH guidelines. Annual screening, from the age of 40, may also present a greater risk of false-positive results, excessive imaging and biopsies with their...
possible complications. In this age group, screening is also associated with an increased likelihood of developing radio-induced cancer[9]. For all these factors, it is important that women are well oriented so that most of them choose not to undergo mammographic screening before 50 years old.

In the six PHC services in the cities studied, 58% of women at standard risk reported never having undergone a mammogram. These data coincide with the findings of the Brazilian National Household Sample Survey (PNAD - Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicílio) in 2013, which reveal that, in Brazil, less than a third of women between 50 and 69 years old had never had a screening mammogram, while in the north, this proportion exceeded 50%(13). States such as Acre, Pará and Tocantins had the highest proportions[18].

In 2010, a study was developed in the municipality of Dourados, state of Mato Grosso do Sul, where 393 registered users in FHS were approached. A frequency of 60% of CBE and 53.2% of MMG was observed in women aged 40 to 69 years[14]. In Carapina, state of Pernambuco, in 2012, 247 were interviewed, aged between 18 and 88 years, attended by FHS. It was found that 25.5% of them performed CBE and 62% MMG[10].

It is important to note that, in Cruzeiro do Sul, only 7.8% of women at standard risk underwent MMG properly, i.e., according to governmental recommendation. The situation is even more aggravating in Rodrigues Alves, where it was found that the frequency of this test was inadequate in all women in the group at standard risk. In Paraíba, a study was conducted to assess the coverage of screening actions, the results of which indicated that the execution of CBE (58.9%) and MMG (56.7%) in the target population was as recommended[20]. In a national survey carried out in 2013, 54.5% of screening mammograms in women aged 50 and 69 were considered adequate with regard to frequency[19].

Screening is a procedure performed in PHC, and professionals working there must know this method, the frequency and the recommended target population. They must know how to guide women, for whom screening is indicated, about the possible benefits and harms associated with this screening in a shared decision process[9]. In the present study, the percentage of mammograms performed on women at standard risk in both cities was 42%; of these, 57.2% undergo the exam without following the appropriate periodicity, 18.4%, annually and 5.8%, biennial.

Different findings from a study conducted with data from SISMAMA (Sistema de Informação do Câncer de Mama - Breast Cancer Information System), from 2010 to 2011, demonstrate that among screening MMG 51.2% were performed on women aged 50 to 69 years, with a strong predominance (45%) of annual or less, and 32% between one and two years[21]. Another study carried out in southern Brazil between 2013 and 2014, with 525 women, found that SUS users were subjected to annual screening more frequently (62%) than those with health plans (48%)[20].

Other studies have shown that lack of training and updating of many health professionals leads them to implement actions that are not in line with what is advocated in public policies for breast cancer screening. Studies suggest flaws or lack of knowledge of professionals working at PHC in relation to screening procedures[2,23].

PHC is characterized as a privileged place for implementing educational actions. In this way, PHC professionals have a fundamental role in the development of these actions, especially the nurse, considered the main articulator between the health team and service users[24].

Study limitations

This study presents, as possible limitations, the memory bias in issues that required interviewees to make a retrospective of past facts.

Contributions to nursing, health, and public policies

It is believed that the data from the present research will assist managers and health professionals in planning strategies to face the problems identified based on reality data and will contribute to analysis of compliance of early breast cancer detection actions. Based on the results obtained, it is necessary to elaborate a municipal protocol aimed at women’s health that considers national recommendations, providing an improvement in the conduct of professionals in relation to breast cancer screening.

CONCLUSION

The data of this investigation demonstrate that even implementing current public policies aimed at breast cancer control, early detection actions did not reach the majority of women with standard risk in the recommended periodicity, resulting in low compliance with the MoH recommendation. This finding needs to be presented and discussed with managers and health professionals and users of PHC services in these municipalities, and based on this communication, goals can be developed and agreed upon in order to achieve better results in controlling this disease.
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