Ion generation and loading of a Penning trap using pulsed laser ablation
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Abstract

We investigated the production of aluminum and beryllium ions via pulsed laser ablation using 355 nm wavelength and 5 ns long laser pulses. The ablation threshold of Al\(^+\) and Be\(^+\) was measured to be 0.9 ± 0.1 (stat.) ± 0.3 (syst.) J cm\(^{-2}\) and 1.4 ± 0.1 (stat.) ± 0.4 (syst.) J cm\(^{-2}\) respectively. By employing electrostatic retarding potentials, the kinetic energy profile of the ablated ions was characterized as a function of laser fluence. Around the ablation threshold, we reliably produced between 10\(^8\) and 10\(^{10}\) ions, approximately 5% of which were dynamically trapped in a Penning–Malmberg trap.

1. Introduction

Trapped ions are routinely used for precision measurements [1–3] and quantum computing applications [4]. The need for ions is largely fulfilled by producing atoms from a resistively heated oven [5–9] and subsequently ionizing them using an electron or laser beam. To achieve the desired number of ions, an oven is typically operated for tens of seconds and at temperatures in excess of 500 K. Ions may also be loaded into a trap using a laser-cooled source of neutral atoms [10, 11], or directly via laser ablation of a target surface [12–17].

Presently, there is considerable interest in the antihydrogen trapping community to use beryllium ions to sympathetically cool positrons for antihydrogen production [18–21]. The antihydrogen trapping experiments take place in a Penning trap under extreme high vacuum (P \(<\) 10\(^{-12}\) mbar) and cryogenic temperatures (T \(<\) 100 K). In such conditions, the use of a traditional atomic oven is unfeasible. Ovens produce a large and continuous flux of particles which is undesirable. The use of ovens also results in a significant heat load which is not suitable for experiments conducted in cryogenic environments. Laser ablation offers an alternative mechanism of ion creation that is more amenable to such vacuum and temperature constraints. Furthermore, geometrical constraints imposed by Penning traps requires the ablation target to be mounted axially far away from the trap electrodes, making direct production of ions that follow field-lines into the trap highly desirable.

Previous studies on the laser ablation of beryllium are sparse [22, 23]. Aluminum however has been the subject of several ablation studies using time-of-flight [24–26] and charge collection measurements [22, 27–29]. In all of these studies, significant ion production was typically observed for laser fluences around 1–2 J cm\(^{-2}\). Such fluences are easily achievable using standard, commercially available pulsed laser sources. Moreover, ion formation with kinetic energies of several eV have been observed for laser fluences near the ablation threshold [26, 27, 29]. These energies are small enough that the ions can be dynamically trapped in a typical antihydrogen Penning trap. Studies with aluminum therefore allow us to benchmark our apparatus before moving onto beryllium.

In this paper we report on the production of aluminum and beryllium ions in vacuum by laser pulses incident on the respective metal ablation target. The particle yields were extracted by operating the target material as a Faraday Cup. Additionally, the kinetic energies of ejected charged particles were investigated using electrostatic blocking potentials. The kinetic energy distributions (KEDs) of the emitted Al\(^+\) and Be\(^+\) ions were
analyzed for several different laser fluences (up to 2 J cm\(^{-2}\) and 4 J cm\(^{-2}\) for aluminum and beryllium respectively). We also report a set of successful attempts to hold Be\(^+\) ions in a Penning–Malmberg trap for several seconds. We confirm the viability of pulsed laser ablation as a potential mechanism to deliver enough trappable Be\(^+\) ions to sympathetically cool positrons in ALPHA [20].

2. Experiment

The experimental setup is comprised of a vacuum chamber (background pressure <5 \times 10^{-8} mbar), a metal ablation target (aluminum or beryllium), a Penning–Malmberg trap, a combined dual micro-channel plate and phosphor screen detector (Photonis, model: QS19930A-1), and an electronic system for data acquisition and analysis. A schematic for the setup is shown in figure 1, and a scaled drawing of the apparatus is provided in figure 2(a).

To produce the laser-ablated plasma, we used frequency-tripled Nd:YAG laser pulses at 355 nm wavelength and 5 ns pulse length (FWHM). The laser pulses hit the metal target (purity >99%, thickness \sim 0.5 mm) at an incidence angle of approximately 50° with respect to the target normal. The laser beam was focused by a 25 cm focal length lens onto the target surface. The laser beam waist (1/e\(^2\) radius) was measured to be 16.6 \pm 2.5 \mu m by passing a knife edge across the beam and inferred by analysis of burn patterns on exposed burn paper. The laser energy was monitored by a calibrated photodiode showing a shot-to-shot fluctuation within 10% of the average value. The overall uncertainty on the value of the laser fluence is mainly due to the systematic uncertainty in the determination of the spot size.

A single measurement consists of a laser pulse that is reflected by a mirror and hits the ablation target (see figures 1 and 2(c)). Ions generated are accelerated by the acceleration plate, with some of the ions passing through the aperture as a divergent beam. The target (cathode) and acceleration plate (anode) are electrically insulated from each other, and an external power supply can be used to apply a potential bias on each of these components (\(V_C\) and \(V_A\) for cathode and anode respectively). The five electrodes of the stack along with an external solenoid form a Penning–Malmberg trap. The magnetic field from solenoid serves to guide the ions from the source to the MCP, and to confine the ions radially for trapping. As shows in figure 2(b), the peak field at the center of the trapping region is about 900 Gauss. Ions reaching the electrodes may therefore be blocked, trapped, accelerated, decelerated, or simply allowed to pass through. Ions exiting the electrode stack are detected at the MCP detector and imaged by a CCD camera.

The target was biased at \(V_C = +40V\) in order to accelerate the ions away from the surface. A \(V_A = -10V\) accelerating potential assisted in removing the ablated ions from the target and reducing the divergence of the beam. The release of laser-ablated ions resulted in a momentary (\sim 2 \mu s) charge deficit on the target surface, which was recorded by a digitizing oscilloscope (Tektronix, model: DPO5104).

Beyond the electrode stack, the ions were detected at the MCP detector whose front, back, and phosphor screen were biased at \(-600 V, 800 V,\) and \(4400 V\) respectively. By means of a pickoff circuit connected to the front of the MCP, the charge arriving at the MCP front was recorded. The MCP and camera were used to concurrently image the radial distribution of the charges. In this manner, it was possible to calibrate the intensity of the acquired image against the total charge arriving at the MCP front.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Singly charged ion ablation threshold and total charge yield

By lowering the pulse energy sufficiently, the laser fluence can be lowered to the point where the ablation signal is below the background level. This gives us a measurement of the upper limit on the minimum fluence required for ion production, also known as the ablation threshold. In our setup, a minimum charge, \(Q/e > 10^8\) (where \(Q\) is the total charge and \(e\) is the charge of the electron), must be ablated to produce a signal that is distinguishable from background.

The total charge that leaves the aluminum and beryllium targets as a result of laser ablation is shown in figure 3. For the lowest pulse energy of 10 \(\mu\)J used in this experiment (fluence 0.9 J cm\(^{-2}\)), the ablation signal is just above background for Al\(^+\), with a yield of \(\sim 0.2 \times 10^9\) charges. This implies that the ablation threshold for aluminum lies below 0.9 J cm\(^{-2}\), consistent with a previously reported value of 0.8 J cm\(^{-2}\) for analogous laser parameters [26]. Similarly in the case of beryllium, the ablation threshold for singly-charged ions lies just below 1.4 J cm\(^{-2}\), nearly 50% larger than the estimate for Al\(^+\). Given that the melting point of beryllium (1560 K) is a factor of \(\sim 1.67\) larger than that of aluminum, the higher ablation threshold for beryllium is qualitatively consistent with earlier reports where metals with high melting points are observed to have relatively higher ablation thresholds for singly-charged ions [29].

For fluences above 1.1 J cm\(^{-2}\) in aluminum and 2.9 J cm\(^{-2}\) in beryllium, the charge yield increases linearly. Similar ion yield increases with fluence have previously been reported [25].
3.2. Ion KED

To measure the KED of the ablated species, blocking potentials between 0 and 150 V were applied on electrode E3. The emitted particles from the source that successfully crossed the potential barrier and passed through the Penning trap were incident on the MCP and the resulting light was imaged by the CCD camera.

Figure 4 shows typical MCP images for the ablation of aluminum at three different fluences. At a fluence of 1.4 J cm\(^{-2}\), only one cloud of charges was seen. At the 1.9 and 2.3 J cm\(^{-2}\) however, two distinct clouds of charges were observed. The appearance of the secondary cloud at higher fluences may indicate the production of doubly-charged aluminum ions, suggesting an ablation threshold for Al\(^{2+}\) between 1.4 and 1.9 J cm\(^{-2}\). Within the measurement error, this is consistent with previously reported thresholds of 3 J cm\(^{-2}\) \cite{25} and 1.4 J cm\(^{-2}\) \cite{26}.

**Figure 3.** Number of charges leaving the aluminum (red) and beryllium (blue) ablation targets as a function of laser fluence. The error bars at each data point are standard errors of the mean. We observe an ablation threshold of 0.9 J cm\(^{-2}\) for aluminum and 1.4 J cm\(^{-2}\) for beryllium.

**Figure 4.** Typical MCP images from ablating the aluminum target with (a) 1.4, (b) 1.9, and (c) 2.3 J cm\(^{-2}\) laser pulses. The white rectangle defines the region of interest that separates the primary cloud from the rest of the image. All pictures use the same length scale but different intensity scaling.
Figure 5 shows typical MCP images for the ablation of beryllium at four different fluences. At a fluence of $2.3 \, \text{J cm}^{-2}$, only one cloud of charges was seen. At the 2.7, 3.1 and 3.5 J cm$^{-2}$, two distinct clouds of charges were observed, indicating as before the production of doubly-charged ions. This suggests a fluence threshold between 2.3 and 2.7 J cm$^{-2}$ for Be$^{2+}$. The fluence threshold of Be$^{2+}$ has not previously been reported.

In figures 4 and 5, we observe that ions hitting the MCP result in a star-shaped image. Additionally, singly and doubly charged ion species appear to separate. Both of these features occur due to stray electric fields near the front of the MCP. We identified these effects at a later date with a similar, independent experimental setup. At the time of data taking, we had not anticipated these effects and did not have the ability to remove these stray fields.

We define a region of interest (shown by a white rectangle in figures 4 and 5) that includes the primary cloud but avoids the secondary cloud in all of the recorded MCP images. A measure of the number of singly-charged ions can then be obtained by summing the intensities of all of the pixels within this region and subtracting the background, which we call the MCP image intensity. In order to extract a KED of singly-charged ions from these MCP images, we take the average MCP image intensity at each blocking potential and perform a least-squares fit to a cumulative double Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution function.

Figure 6 shows the aluminum KED data and resulting double Maxwell–Boltzmann fits at three different fluences. The consistency of the fits to the data may be interpreted as the charges originating due to two separate ion formation processes. Similar observations have previously been reported in [26].

The beryllium KED data and associated double Maxwell–Boltzmann fits at five different fluences are shown in figure 7. As with aluminum, the fits to the data are consistent with the charges originating from two separate ion formation processes. Due to the large error bars on some of our data points, we emphasize only the qualitative nature of our results and note that higher fluences generally result in the production of more energetic ions.

### 3.3. Be$^{+}$ trapping

The following sequence was used to dynamically trap ions from the ion source and subsequently image them on the MCP:

1. Erect a potential barrier for the ions by applying an electric potential on E5.
2. Transmit a single laser pulse to the ablation target to create ions.
3. Wait a specified amount time to allow ions from the ion source to enter the electrode stack.
4. Apply an electrode potential on E1 to trap the ions inside the stack.
5. Hold the trapped ions for the desired duration.

6. Dump the trapped ions onto the MCP by quickly (∼1 μs) dropping the potential on E5 to 0 V.

Beryllium ions were successfully trapped at a laser pulse energy of 300 μJ and fluence of 2.9 J cm⁻². 200 V potentials were applied to both E1 and E5, and a gate delay of 8 μs was used. The intensity of the observed MCP image corresponded to approximately 1.7 × 10⁸ trapped ions.

Figure 8 shows the number of trapped Be⁺ ions as a function of holding time. The ions were held for a minimum of 3 s, up to 22 s. The average number of trapped ions was 1.6 × 10⁸ ions, more than two orders of magnitude higher than what is required for the sympathetic cooling of positrons in the ALPHA antihydrogen experiment [20].
In order to estimate the trapping efficiency, we note that when the trapping electrodes are grounded, the ions striking the MCP saturate the MCP image, with the image intensity corresponding to the arrival of at least $3 \times 10^9$ ions. Using this value, we determine an upper bound for the trapping efficiency at 5.3%.

4. Conclusion

We have investigated the production of aluminum and beryllium ions using single 355 nm ablation pulses. The production of $\text{Al}^+$ requires at least $0.9 \text{ J cm}^{-2}$ laser fluence whereas the ablation threshold for $\text{Al}^{2+}$ is between 1.4 and 1.9 $\text{ J cm}^{-2}$. In our experiments, we observe higher ion yields and higher kinetic energies with increasing laser fluence. For fluences up to 2.3 $\text{ J cm}^{-2}$, most of the aluminum ions produced have axial kinetic energies less than 80 eV. The observed fluence thresholds and KEDs are consistent with available literature on aluminum ablation, making our test apparatus a reliable tool to study other metals of interest, in particular beryllium.

For beryllium, at least 1.4 $\text{ J cm}^{-2}$ laser fluence is required to produce $\text{Be}^+$ and between 2.3 and 2.7 $\text{ J cm}^{-2}$ for $\text{Be}^{2+}$. As with aluminum, using higher fluences for ablation result in more energetic ions and larger ion yields. Fluences up to 3.0 $\text{ J cm}^{-2}$ may be employed to produce ions that have kinetic energies less than 80 eV and ion yields in excess of $10^9$, suitable for trapping in ALPHA.

By choosing appropriate electrode potentials and gate timing, ions released by the source were trapped in a Penning–Malmberg trap. For the trap used in our experiment, the electrode stack is relatively short compared to the nominal bunch length of the ion cloud, therefore only a small fraction of ions may be trapped. The trap in ALPHA is significantly longer however, so we expect a much higher trapping efficiency.
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Figure 8. Number of trapped $\text{Be}^+$ ions as a function of hold time. The average number of trapped ions was $1.6 \times 10^6$. The total number of trapped ions decreased linearly for increasing hold times.
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