FIRE INFLUENCE ON DYNAMICS OF ABOVE-GROUND PHYTOMASS IN STEPPE PLANT COMMUNITIES IN THE BURTINSKAYA STEPPE (ORENBURG STATE NATURE RESERVE, RUSSIA)
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People have significantly influenced on Orenburg region steppes by ploughing large parts of it, or by utilising other parts as mowed grasslands, pastures or abandoning crop production on the old abandoned fields. Frequent fires are another serious threat to the biodiversity of the steppes in the region. The main cause of fires is the anthropogenic factor. This problem is relevant not only for managed areas, but also for Protected Areas. The need to study the fire effects on ecosystems in the Orenburg State Nature Reserve (Russia) has appeared for a long time, once it cleared that a special regime of Protected Areas could not always preserve steppe clines due to the steppe’s specifics (i.e., significant length of the fire front and a burnt area, high speed of a fire spread under strong wind). At the same time, it is most relevant to conduct environmental studies in the Protected Areas as ecosystems, least managed and disturbed by humans. It is supplemented by excluding of any impacts within these areas and using data of long-term research accumulated over previous years. In 2015–2016, we carried out the post-fire dynamics of the stock of the above-ground phytomass in the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» in the Orenburg State Natural Reserve. The study area is located in the steppe zone of the Pre-Ural region. In August 2014, more than 20 km² of the steppe area was on fire. We established six study sites in this area. Each of them included a control (unburnt) and a burnt plots. In each plant community, we conducted the geobotanical surveys and mowing of the above-ground phytomass in spring (May), summer (June), late summer (August), autumn (September). The obtained samples were dried, divided into groups (grasses, forbs, dwarf semi-shrubs) and then weighted. We compared both unburnt and burnt study plots, and found statistically significant differences between them using the Mann-Whitney U-test (α < 0.05) in the total stock of the above-ground phytomass, stock of the dead phytomass, the standing dead phytomass, and litter. In the burnt plant communities, the accumulation peaks of the living grass and forbs phytomass shifted compared to the unburnt plots. During the first two post-fire years, only stock of the living phytomass and its components (grasses, forbs, dwarf semi-shrubs) were restoring. Other components of the above-ground phytomass did not reach the control values. Our data were part of a project for creation a framework to monitor ecosystems in the preserved area after the 2014 fire impact.
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Introduction

In recent years, the fire impact on steppe landscapes has reached a catastrophic scale. The activation of fire phenomena has been recorded in the steppes of the Volga-Ural region. Analysis of the available Landsat space images dated by 1984–2014 in various areas in the Volga-Ural region indicated a sharp increase in number and area of fires starting since the late 1990s (Pavleichik, 2016). Similar conclusions were formulated for other regions of Russia (Dubinin et al., 2011; Tkachuk, 2015).

Due to the widespread relevance of the fire impact phenomenon, this factor acquires a significant role in the Protected Areas. Even within a state nature reserve, wildfire is a difficult to control component of anthropogenic origin. The fire usually arises as a result of economic activity and rapidly moves to Protected Areas from adjacent territories (Kalmykova, 2006). Therefore, the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» could be completely burnt out over 5–6 years due to the frequent fires (Pavleichik, 2015).

The fire impact on the steppe vegetation has been studied comprehensively. However, it is still assessed ambiguously. Research of the fire influence on the vegetation cover of the steppes has been carried out in Europe (Deák et al., 2012, 2014; Valkó et al., 2014, 2016, 2017; Pereira et al., 2015, 2016, 2017; Ruprecht et al., 2016), USA (Engle et al., 1989; Fuhlendorf & Engle, 2004; Davies et al., 2007, 2012; Augustine & Milchunas, 2009; Augustine et al., 2010; Bates et al., 2009; Scheintaub et al., 2009; Twidwell et al., 2012; Winter et al., 2013, 2015; Dufek et al., 2018; Dickson et al., 2018), Australia (Allan et al., 2003; Andersen et
al., 2008). Most of these studies were conducting on pastures. On these sites, fire influence has seriously both environmental and economic consequences (Rostagno et al., 2006; Davies et al., 2008, 2017; Bates et al., 2009; Winter et al., 2015; Mata-González et al., 2018). In Russia, the impact of fires on the steppe vegetation has been studied in the Orenburg region (Rozhansets-Kucherovskaya, 1926; Ryabtsov, 2002, 2006; Ryabtsov & Safonov, 2002; Ryabinina, 2003; Kalmykova, 2006; Ryabinina et al., 2010), Volgograd region (Ryabinina, 2013, 2014), Samara region (Ilyina, 2011), Republic of Bashkortostan (Yunusbayev et al., 2007; Yunusbayev & Abdulina, 2010), Republic of Tyva (Titlyanova & Sambuu, 2016), Dauria region (Tkachuk, 2015; Tkachuk & Denisova, 2015).

Protected Areas are the most suitable sites to conduct long-term studies of the fire impact on natural ecosystems. In Russia, the fire impact on the vegetation cover of steppe Protected Areas has been studied previously (Lavrenko, 1950; Fedyunkin, 1953; Ryabtsov, 2002, 2006; Ryabinina, 2003; Kalmykova, 2006; Kandalova, 2007; Skolzneva & Skolznev, 2003; Ryabinina, 2013, 2014; Kudryavtsev, 2016). Extensive, thorough and detailed study of the fire influence on the steppe vegetation of state nature reserves has been carried out in Ukraine (Shalyt & Kalmykova, 1935; Drohobyych, 2000; Lysenko, 2006; Timoshenkov & Timoshenkova, 2007; Gavrilenko, 2008; Hoffman, 2015). Such studies have been conducted in Europe (Deák et al., 2012; Pereira et al., 2016; Kertüsz et al., 2017), North America (Fuhlendorf & Engle, 2001; Davies et al., 2012), Australia (Allan et al., 2003; Andersen et al., 2008).

The stock of the above-ground phytomass and its dynamics are considered as objective indicators. These allow assessing the fire impact on vegetation cover. In Russia, the most detailed consequences of the fire influence on the above-ground phytomass of the steppe vegetation of Tyva region has been established by Sambuu & Dapyldai (2016), Titlyanova & Sambuu (2016). Similar studies have been carried out in the grasslands of Turkey (Gullap et al., 2018), steppes of Hungary (Valky et al., 2016, 2017). Such studies are widely represented in North America, where the frequency, severity and intensity of fires on the above-ground phytomass of prairies with focus on their pasturable use have been estimated (Engle et al., 1989; Davies et al., 2007; Augustine & Milchunas, 2009; Bates et al., 2009; Augustine et al., 2010; Dufek et al., 2018). In North America, the fire frequency and intensity through the phytomass stock regulation have been considered (Davies et al., 2017); these have also been studied in relation to the Russian steppe ecosystems (Tishkov, 2003).

The research of steppe ecosystems before and after the fire influence is necessary to develop methods and approaches for steppe preservation from the fire influence effects and preventing their burnout. The study of the vegetation cover in steppes plays a special role in formation of such ideas. The fire affects all components of the steppe plant communities. It causes remarkable transformations of communities, changing their structure, the processes of formation of the living and dead phytomass, modifying the community composition.

The aim of the study was to analyse the post-fire dynamics of the stock of the above-ground phytomass in the steppe plant communities. We established the following tasks: 1) to identify the specificity of the fire effect on the stock of the living phytomass and its components; 2) to study the post-fire dynamics of the dead phytomass and its components.

**Material and Methods**

Investigations were conducted on the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» in the Orenburg State Nature Reserve (Belyaevsky district, Orenburg region, Russia). This site has an area of 45 km² and is located within the eastern part of the Pre-Ural regional deflection (Chibilev, 1996). It is located in the subzone of forbs-bunchgrass of the Trans-Volga-Kazakhstan steppes (Ogureyeva, 1999; Safronova & Kalmykova, 2012).

On the basis of the Selyaninov Hydrothermal Coefficient values, the weather conditions of the study period were very arid in 2014, slightly arid in 2015, and satisfactory wet in 2016 (Table 1).

The 2014–2015 winter was characterised by a low amount of snow. The maximum snow cover (34 cm) was noted early February. The lack of herb cover on the burnt sites during the snow cover formation determined its fragmentary distribution, mainly in the lowlands within the study area (Pavleichik et al., 2016) (Fig. 1).

The 2015–2016 winter was characterised by a high precipitation amount and high temperature in combination with a series of thaws. Similar weather conditions and partial vegetation restoration in 2015 caused an even larger snow cover (Pavleichik et al., 2016).
### Table 1. Climatic data in the study area in 2014–2016

| Month   | Study years |
|---------|-------------|
|         | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| **Average monthly air temperature, °C** | |
| May     | 18.8 | 15.7 | 16.2 |
| June    | 20.9 | 23.4 | 18.8 |
| July    | 19.9 | 21.5 | 21.6 |
| August  | 24.5 | 19.5 | 26.0 |
| September | 14.9 | 17.2 | 14.6 |
| **Total monthly precipitation, mm** | |
| May     | 41.5 | 90.3 | 77.2 |
| June    | 31.6 | 56.8 | 81.9 |
| July    | 26.4 | 28.9 | 71.3 |
| August  | 16.6 | 25.3 | 8.3 |
| September | 12.9 | 14.5 | 62.2 |

| Selyaninov Hydrothermal Coefficient meaning during vegetation period | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 |

Since the establishment of the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» five large fire evidences have been registered. In 1991, an area of 14.7 km$^2$ was burnt, in 1995 – 38.9 km$^2$, in 1998 – 42.5 km$^2$, in 2003 – 40.5 km$^2$, in 2009 – 18.5 km$^2$. Before the 2014 fire, the study area did not burn during 6–11 years. Before 2014, study sites №1, 3, 6 burnt the last time in 2003, and study sites №2, 4, 5 burnt the last time in 2009. Most of the fires started from the adjacent agricultural areas. The fires occurred the most frequent in late summer and autumn.

The study of vegetation cover was carried out during the vegetation periods in 2015–2016. Six study sites were established in the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» and its buffer zone. The study sites №1, 3, 4 were located on the plain areas with a small slope. The study site №5 was situated on a hill top. The study sites №2, 6 were located on the slopes of different exposures. The plant communities in the study sites belonged to Stipetea zalesskii (№1, 2, 3, 5, 6) and Stipetea lessingiana (№4) formations. These plant communities are most common on the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» (Kalmykova, 2009, 2012). The study sites №1, 3 had been stronger overgrazed before establishment of the Protected Area. The study site №4 located in the buffer zone of the site «Burtinskaya Steppe» is an old abandoned field. The study sites №2, 5, 6 were located in the undisturbed steppe.

Each study site consisted of a burnt (A) and unburnt (B) plot. We planned the location of study plots on the basis of their availability, diversity (e.g. landscape position, vegetation cover) and the possibility to compare (burnt vs. unburnt). We established the study sites at the periphery of an area burnt in 2014 (Fig. 2), where the unburnt plots were located as close as possible to the burnt ones.

We performed geobotanical surveys using widely accepted geobotanical methodologies (Walter & Alekhin, 1936; Yaroshenko, 1961; Lavrenko & Korchagin, 1964, 1972; Rabotnov, 1992) on permanent 10 × 10 m sample plots. The geobotanical surveys of each plant community included the total projective cover of the phytocenosis and its species composition. For each species, we counted its abundance, projective cover and the character of spatial location. The study of the above-ground plant substance dynamics has been performed according to Bazilevich et al. (1978). In each plant community, the mowing has been carried out in spring (May), summer (June), late summer (August) and autumn (September). The sample plants were cut at the level of the soil on study plots of 0.25 m$^2$ at three replicates (three copies per plot). Under laboratory conditions, we weighted the samples and estimated the stock of each component of plant matter (mass of plant organs per unit area at the time of the study). The following abbreviations are used: G – stock of the above-ground living phytomass, L – litter, D – stock of the above-ground standing dead phytomass. Components of the stock of the above-ground living phytomass are abbreviated as G$_{gr.}$ – grasses, G$_{for.}$ – forbs, G$_{dsh.}$ – dwarf semi-shrubs, D + L – stock of the above-ground dead phytomass. Components of the standing dead phytomass are abbreviated as D$_{gr.}$ – grasses, D$_{for.}$ – forbs, D$_{dsh.}$ – dwarf semi-shrubs. All above-ground phytomass was dried to air-dry state. Then it was weighted with an accuracy of 0.05 g.

The statistical data processing was performed using Statistica 6.1 software. To assess the statistical significance of the differences in the stock of the phytomass and its components between the burnt and unburnt plots, we used the Mann-Whitney U-test ($\alpha < 0.05$).
Results

Total stock of the above-ground phytomass

During the study period, the total stock of the above-ground phytomass (G + D + L) on all burnt plots was always lower than on the unburnt ones. At the same time, the total stock of the above-ground phytomass on unburnt plots exceeded those on the burnt ones at 2–11 times in 2015, and at 1–3 times in 2016. The Mann-Whitney U-test confirmed statistically significant differences at a significance level of α < 0.05 in all study sites (Table 2). Despite an increase in the total stock of the above-ground phytomass on the burnt plots in 2016, the difference between them and unburnt plots still remained quite large.

On the unburnt plots, the maximum stock of the above-ground phytomass was mainly associated with the beginning or end of the vegetation period over both study years. This is explained by an increase in the dead phytomass during this period (Fig. 3). On the contrary, the largest stock of the above-ground phytomass on the burnt plots was always associated with the largest stock of the living above-ground phytomass. Therefore, maximum values occurred most often in the first half of the season – in June (Fig. 4).

The dynamics of the above-ground phytomass stock on all six burnt study plots was similar with a peak in June and a decline during the following months. The composition and structure of plant communities is simplified. The ratio of the above-ground phytomass components and the role of certain species in its formation changed (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). On the contrary, plant communities on the unburnt plots are characterised by a higher ecological and biomorphological fullness with preservation of the phytocoenotic role of species, manifested in a certain period of the vegetation period.

Over the whole study period, on the unburnt plots, the stock of the above-ground dead phytomass exceeded the stock of the above-ground living phytomass by 1.2–9.0 times. On the burnt study plots, the opposite situation was demonstrated at the beginning and middle of the 2015 vegetation period. The stock of the living phytomass was 1.2–7 times higher than the stock of the dead phytomass. In some cases (in May in study site №3, 6), this difference counted hundreds of times.

Stock of the living phytomass

The stock of the living phytomass (G) on both the burnt and unburnt plots accumulated similarly. Their values predominantly increased May to June due to the active development of the dominated forbs-bunchgrass vegetation (Fig. 5, Fig. 6). By a late vegetation period, their values gradually decreased, once the most of the living phytomass changed to the standing dead phytomass or litter, by reaching minimum values.
Table 2. The stock of the above-ground phytomass (mean ± SE) on burnt (A) and unburnt (B) plots (g/m²)

| Plots | D + L | G | G₂ | G₃ | G₄ | D + L | D | D₄ | D₃ | D₂ | L |
|-------|-------|---|----|----|----|-------|---|----|----|----|-----|
| 2015  |       |    |    |    |    |       |   |    |    |    |     |
| 1A    | 96 ± 13* | 70 ± 11* | 30 ± 6 | 12 ± 3 | 11 ± 3 | 31 ± 6* | 20 ± 5* | 8 ± 2* | 14 ± 3 | 0.5 ± 0.3* | 11 ± 3* |
| 1B    | 371 ± 30* | 109 ± 15* | 54 ± 11 | 21 ± 9 | 29 ± 9 | 262 ± 25* | 84 ± 10* | 64 ± 10* | 12 ± 4 | 5 ± 3* | 178 ± 21* |
| 2A    | 143 ± 14* | 89 ± 11 | 61 ± 7 | 13 ± 3 | 13 ± 6 | 53 ± 9* | 50 ± 8* | 16 ± 3* | 33 ± 7 | 8 ± 3 | 3 ± 1.8* |
| 2B    | 378 ± 22* | 110 ± 15 | 69 ± 8 | 23 ± 9 | 15 ± 4 | 268 ± 16* | 184 ± 16* | 130 ± 7* | 21 ± 5 | 21 ± 13 | 84 ± 7* |
| 3A    | 96 ± 12* | 54 ± 11* | 29 ± 4* | 25 ± 10 | 0.2 ± 0.1 | 42 ± 9* | 34 ± 8* | 16 ± 7* | 22 ± 6 | 1 ± 3 | 8 ± 4* |
| 3B    | 583 ± 64* | 86 ± 9* | 62 ± 8* | 23 ± 6 | 1.5 ± 1.3 | 496 ± 61* | 163 ± 26* | 141 ± 25* | 17 ± 4 | 0.06 ± 0.06 | 333 ± 51* |
| 4A    | 130 ± 12* | 87 ± 8* | 65 ± 7* | 17 ± 4 | 5 ± 2 | 262 ± 16* | 84 ± 10* | 64 ± 10* | 12 ± 4 | 5 ± 3* | 178 ± 21* |
| 4B    | 387 ± 20* | 132 ± 13 | 80 ± 11 | 30 ± 7 | 18 ± 5 | 39 ± 9* | 34 ± 8* | 16 ± 7* | 22 ± 6 | 1 ± 3 | 8 ± 4* |

Note: * – significant differences between burnt and unburnt plots. Significance level: α < 0.05.
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In the first post-fire year, the stock of the living phytomass on the burnt and unburnt plots had statistically significant differences on study sites №1, 3, 4. There were no differences found on study sites №2, 5, 6 (Table 2). Such a division of study sites into two groups suggests an interesting pattern associated with the characteristics of the original plant communities located on these sites. For study sites damaged by intensive use before the Protected Area foundation, we found statistically significant differences in the stock of the living phytomass between the burnt and unburnt plots, as follows: study sites №1, 3 were grazed, while study site №4 is an old abandoned field.

For the second post-fire year, the Mann-Whitney U-test showed no statistically significant differences between the stock of the living phytomass on the burnt and unburnt plots on any of the study sites. Consequently, the values of the living phytomass on burnt plots already reached the values of this parameter on unburnt plots by the second post-fire year. Thus, a decrease in the total stock of the above-ground phytomass (G + D + L) in the first post-fire year is mainly explained by the loss and slower recovery of the dead phytomass (D + L).

Stock of the living phytomass of grasses

Both the living and dead above-ground phytomass of the firm-bunch grasses (Stipa zalesskii Wilensky, Stipa lessingiana Trin. & Rupr., Festuca valesiaca Gaudin, Stipa capillata L.) dominated on the unburnt plots. On the burnt plots, grass species dominated in the first post-fire year. However, grasses can be inferior in the above-ground living phytomass to forbs or dwarf semi-shrubs.

The comparison of the stock of the living phytomass of grasses (G_g) on the study sites demonstrated statistically significant differences between burnt and unburnt plots only in the study sites managed earlier: 2015 – study sites №3, 4, in 2016 – study sites №1, 4 (Table 2). No differences were found on the other study sites. However, an increase in the projective cover of grasses up to the control values in the plant communities has not occurred yet.

On most of the burnt plots, the stock of the above-ground living phytomass of grasses reached the highest values by August of the first post-fire year. On the unburnt plots, a peak was in June during all the study years. Such a shift of peaks in the grass phytomass accumulation was a result of the direct fire effect on grass species. In the second post-fire year, we did not find such effect, and peaks were found in May – June 2016.

Stock of the living phytomass of forbs

The fire effect on different groups of the steppe plants is not uniform. After the fire impact, the grasses were in a depressed state, while the taproot perennial forbs increased both their abundance and stock of the living phytomass. Similarly, the abundance of the short-living species (including some weeds) increased on the burnt plots.

We did not find statistically significant differences for the stock of the living phytomass of forbs (G_f) between the burnt and unburnt plots for a whole study period. Values of the stock of the living phytomass of forbs on burnt plots was close to values of this parameter on unburnt plots during the whole study period. This indicates the ability of forbs for a rapid post-fire recovery (Table 2). The maximum stock of the living phytomass of forbs on the unburnt plots was associated with June. On the burnt plot, the maximum stock has been also associated with June in the first post-fire year and with May in the second post-fire year. This is associated with a post-fire increase in the phytocoenotic role of the spring-flowering perennials (e.g. Scorzonera austriaca Willdl., S. stricta Hornem., Potentilla humifusa Willdl. ex Schltdl.), including ephemerals (e.g. Tulipa biebersteiniana Schult. & Schult. f., Allium tulipifolium Ledebl., Gagea pusilla (F.W. Schmidt) Schult. & Schult. f.), and short-living species (e.g. Sisymbrium polymorphum (Murray) Roth, Androsace maxima L., Draba nemorosa L.). This is evidenced by their massive appearance after the fire impact in steppes. The summer-flowering species (e.g. Galium ruridicum Willdl., Eremogone biebersteinii (Schltdl.) Holub, Salvia stepposa Des.-Shost., S. tesquicola Klokov & Pobed.) dominated on the unburnt study plots.

Stock of the living phytomass of dwarf semi-shrubs

There were no statistically significant differences between the burnt and unburnt plots in the stock of the living phytomass of dwarf semi-shrubs (G_dsh) during the whole study period (Table 2).

On both burnt and unburnt study plots, the phytomass values changed depending on the
phenotypic rhythms of the dominant dwarf semi-shrub species. Because of the fact that among dwarf semi-shrubs, the summer-flowering species (e.g. Eremogone koriniana (Fisch. ex Fenzl) Ikonn., Onosma simplicissima L.) prevailed, the maximum of the stock of the living phytomass of dwarf semi-shrubs was in June (study site №5). Under prevalence of the late-flowering species (Artemisia austriaca Jacq., A. marschalliana Spreng.), the maximum stock was at the end of the vegetation period (study site №4). According to our data, some of the summer-flowering species remain green for a long time and can represent a significant part of the stock of the living phytomass in August and September. Sedges and shrubs are characterised by a small coenotic role and a small contribution in the formation of the stock of the living phytomass during the entire study period.

Stock of dead phytomass

During the 2014 fire, the entire above-ground dead phytomass (D + L) burnt out on the burnt plots. The soil became bare, and the newly forming dead phytomass partially deflated under wind influence. The stock of the dead phytomass on the unburnt plots exceeded the stock on the burnt plots by 3.5–31 times (in some cases, it was by several hundreds or even thousands times) in 2015, and by 2–9 times in 2016.

During the entire study period on all study sites, the stock of the above-ground dead phytomass on unburnt plots was higher than on burnt plots. Statistically significant differences in the above-ground dead phytomass stocks between burnt and unburnt plots were found during the whole study period on all study sites (Table 2). In the first post-fire year, the stock of the above-ground dead phytomass on the burnt plots gradually increased on all study sites from May to September. The maximum stock was associated with September in both burnt and unburnt plots. In the second post-fire year, the maximum stock was typical for August on both burnt and unburnt plots.

During the whole study period, the stock of the standing dead phytomass in the structure of the stock of the dead phytomass on the burnt plots. On the unburnt plots, there were two variants of the stock of the dead phytomass distribution. On study sites №1, 3, 5, the litter phytomass dominated under standing dead phytomass during the whole study period. On study sites №2, 4, 6, the litter phytomass prevailed at the beginning and end of the vegetation period, i.e. at the time once the standing dead phytomass intensively transferred to litter phytomass.

The seasonal dynamics of the stock of the standing dead phytomass and litter differed in the study years by following the seasonal characteristics of changes in the living phytomass and its components.

Stock of the standing dead phytomass

In all study years, the stock of the standing dead phytomass was higher on unburnt plots of all study sites. In the first post-fire year, it was by 1.5–50 times (in some cases, by hundreds times) higher, in the second post-fire year, it was 1.3–4 times higher. The comparison of the stock of the dead standing phytomass on burnt and unburnt plots showed statistically significant differences using the Mann-Whitney U-test (α < 0.05). It was typical for the whole study period (Table 2). Herbaceous plants of different life forms differed by processes of death of certain organs, their subsequent preservation, subsequent decomposition and destruction.

Stock of the standing dead phytomass of grasses

During the whole study period, the stock of the standing dead phytomass of grasses on the unburnt study plots differed significantly from the one on the burnt plots on all study sites (Table 2). We identified two peaks of an increase in the stock of the standing dead phytomass of grasses, associated with spring and late summer periods. These peaks were more expressed on the unburnt plots. On unburnt plots, the first (spring or early summer) peak of the stock of the standing dead phytomass is determined by leaves of grasses preserved during the winter after the previous autumn generation. The second peak is associated with the dried leaves of the spring generation of the current year (Fig. 7). On burnt plots, we found a peak of the stock of the standing dead phytomass dated in the late summer of 2015 and in the early summer in 2016 (Fig. 8).

Stock of the standing dead phytomass of forbs

In the steppe plant communities, there are species of forbs of different types of phenological rhythms (Borisova, 1965; Borisova & Popova, 1972; Semenova-Tyan-Shanskaya, 1977). Differences in occurrence of these species groups on burnt and unburnt plots determine the dynamics of the stock of the living phytomass of forbs and its transition to the stock of the standing dead phytomass.
We compared burnt and unburnt plots for the stock of the standing dead phytomass of forbs using the Mann-Whitney U-test, and showed statistically significant differences on study sites №5, 6 in 2015 and on the study sites №2, 5 in 2016. On these study sites, the abundance of *Galatella villosa* (L.) Rchb. f., *Galium octonarium* (Klokov) Soó, *Galium ruthenicum* was remarkably higher on the unburnt plots than on the burnt ones. At the same time, on the burnt plots, the stock of the standing dead phytomass of forbs was also formed by the spring-flowering and short-lived species. These species rapidly finished flowering and turned into the standing dead phytomass with rapid transition into litter. Both on the unburnt and burnt plots, the maximum stock of the standing dead phytomass of forbs has been found by the late vegetation period.

**Stock of the standing dead phytomass of dwarf semi-shrubs**

Both on the unburnt and burnt plots, there was a small accumulation of the stock of the standing dead phytomass of dwarf semi-shrubs. By the late vegetation period, its amount was growing on both burnt and unburnt plots.

We compared burnt and unburnt plots for the stock of the standing dead phytomass of dwarf semi-shrubs using the Mann-Whitney U-test, and showed statistically significant differences on study sites №1, 6 in 2015 and on study site №2 in 2016. In these cases, the stock of the standing dead phytomass was higher on the unburnt plots than on the burnt ones (Table 2). This is explained by the fact that after the fire impact, on study sites №1, 6, *Artemisia marschalliana* disappeared in the plant communities, while *Astragalus macropus* reduced its abundance compared to the unburnt plots. In 2016, there were no significant differences in the abundance of dwarf semi-shrubs between the burnt and unburnt plots on study site №2.

The differences are probably associated with uneven distribution of dwarf semi-shrubs within a study plot.

**Stock of litter**

After the 2014 fire, the litter completely burnt out on the study plots. In May and August 2015, there was no litter on burnt plots, possibly due to the wind affect. From 2015 to 2016, the amount of the stock of litter increased by 1.5–4.0 times on the burnt plots, while on the unburnt plots the increase was 1.2–3.0 times. On the unburnt plots, the stock of litter was higher than on the burnt ones by 4–25 times in 2015, and by 2–15 times in 2016. In all study years, the amount of the stock of litter differed statistically significantly between burnt and unburnt plots on each study site (Table 2).

On the study sites, the litter decomposed from April to June. The most favourable weather conditions for the transition of the litter into the soil were in May – June. This process actively took place on the unburnt plots (Fig. 9). On the burnt plots, the litter amount was minimal. Its unburnt residues represented most of the stock of litter (Fig. 10).

From mid- to late summer, the litter decomposition slowed down on the study sites due to a sharp increase in the air temperature (30–32°C) with a relative air humidity much lower than the norm (Table 1). In August, we found the maximum stock of the litter on some study plots. It concerned only unburnt plots, as on the burnt plots, the litter was almost absent due to the wind affect.

In September, we found a decrease in the stock of the litter on the unburnt study sites №3, 4, 6, indicating a continuation of the litter destruction. On other study sites, the litter accumulation continued, which may be explained by the small total monthly precipitation amount (14.5 mm) during this period. On the burnt plots, the stock of the litter continued to accumulate (Fig. 10).
From September 2015 to May 2016, on most of both burnt and unburnt study plots, the stock of the litter increased. Despite the temperature and humidity (Table 1) being favourable for the litter decomposition, the stock of litter continued to increase on the unburnt plots of study sites №2, 4, 5, 6. On the other hand, on study sites №1, 3, there was a sharp transition of litter into the soil.

The dead phytomass accumulated on most of the burnt plots. From June to August, both on the burnt and unburnt plots, the litter mass increased on all study sites. In September, the decrease in the average monthly temperature and the increase in the total monthly amount of precipitation affected the litter mass decrease on both burning and control plots.

Discussion

We revealed some features of the post-fire dynamics of the stock of the above-ground phytomass in the steppe plant communities in the study area. For appropriate comparison of our data with analogous studies conducted earlier, geographic locations of compared sites should be taken into account. The patterns of fire influence on the vegetation cover of grasslands are mainly specific and determined by the zonal position of the study area. Therefore, our data are less comparable with data of foreign studies. It is predominantly explained by differences in seasonal dynamics and composition and patterns of phytomass stock. The results of our research are most comparable with studies conducted in Russia and adjacent countries (e.g. Ukraine, Kazakhstan).

The interpretation of both obtained data and post-fire patterns is also influenced by the views of different specialists on the ecosystem role of a vegetation cover. The same reasons determine the difference in the obtained results and their assessments in different regions, in the formation of ideas on importance of the fire factor in the dynamics of the steppe ecosystems as a whole.

As a result of our conducted research, we showed a post-fire decrease in the stock of the above-ground phytomass, determined primarily by a decrease in the stock of the standing dead phytomass and litter, in accordance to previous studies (Rozhanets-Kuchervokskaya, 1926; Ryabinina, 2014; Martynova, 2016). Similar data were obtained in Mongolia (Tuvshintogtokh & Magsar, 2000, 2007), Turkey (Gullap et al., 2018), Ukraine (Gavrilenko, 2008), USA (Old, 1969; Davies et al., 2007).

At the same time, a number of authors believe that fire has no significant impact on the above-ground phytomass or even leads to its increase. For example, data from North America (Augustine & Milchunas, 2009; Augustine et al., 2010) and Europe (Valkó et al., 2014, 2016) did not note a decrease in the above-ground phytomass at the burnt areas. However, Valkó et al. (2016) indicated extensive grazing or mowing as one of the causes explaining these results. Thus, a significant part of the dead phytomass is destroyed during such exploitation types. And the stock of the standing dead phytomass and litter becomes equally low both on the burnt and unburnt plots.

Our data indicate a rapid post-fire recovery of the stock of the living phytomass until the values on unburnt plots. Many authors indicated also an increase in the stock of the living phytomass on burnt plots in comparison with unburnt areas (e.g. Dhillion & Anderson, 1994; Yunusbayev & Abduлина, 2010; Pereira et al., 2015; Valkó et al., 2016). However, long-term studies (e.g. Titlyanova & Sambuu, 2016) showed an increase in the stock of the living phytomass in the first post-fire period and its gradual decrease in the following years.

Steppe plant communities are characterised by a post-fire decrease in the litter stock and its gradual accumulation during the following years. In ac-
The fire effect on different groups of steppe plants is not uniform. It depends largely on the zonal position of the study area and its environmental patterns. In the plant communities we studied, grasses dominated. These plants were the most affected group within the plant community in accordance with data of other authors (e.g. Danilov, 1936; Ivanov, 1952; Borisova & Popova, 1972; Ryabinina, 2014; Tkachuk & Denisova, 2015).

While we demonstrated the fire effect on the stock of the living phytomass of grasses only for separate study sites, we found that the stock of the dead phytomass of grasses determined differences in values and dynamics compared to the stock of the total phytomass on all study sites during the whole study period. The rapid restoration of the stock of the living phytomass of grasses on burnt plots until the level of unburnt plots is explained by the location of their buds below the fire-damaged soil surface (Shalyt & Kalmykova, 1935; Tanfilyev, 1936). At the same time, despite the ability of a rapid restore of the stock of the living phytomass, the stock of the dead phytomass of grass-based plant communities is significantly affected by fire and the recovery takes longer.

We have shown that the stock of the living phytomass of forbs and dwarf semi-shrubs on burnt plots rapidly reached its level on unburnt plots. For the stock of the living phytomass of forbs, it is explained by an increase a role of weeds, ephemerals, annuals and some biennials. A post-fire increase in the forbs species richness was noted also by Allan et al. (2003), Bates et al. (2009), Twidwell et al. (2012), Winter et al. (2013, 2015), Kertész et al. (2017). Danilov (1936), Fedyunkin (1953) noted an increase in the role of the forbs in the burnt plant communities.

During the vegetation season, both the stock of the above-ground phytomass and its dynamics changed under the fire influence. In this case, we found a shift in the peaks of accumulation of certain components of the above-ground phytomass on the burnt plots in comparison to the unburnt plots. The values and number of such peaks also changed.

The seasonal changes in the plant community are determined by the development of certain plants, where phenological rhythms of dominant species play a primary role (Borisova, 1976). We have shown (Fig. 2, 6, 9) that unburnt plots are characterised by more diverse seasonal dynamics of the above-ground phytomass and its components, depending on the floristic composition, ecological preferences of species and the conditions of the vegetation period. On the burnt plots, the seasonal dynamics of the stock of the above-ground phytomass was less diverse. It was predominantly similar for different study plots, and often remarkably different from the unburnt plots.

**Conclusions**

After the fire influence on the steppe vegetation, a serious transformation of the plant community takes place. During all study years, the total post-fire stock of the above-ground phytomass on all study plots was lower than on the unburnt plots. On the burnt plots, the stock of phytomass accumulated in the spring and early summer. The living phytomass had the highest contribution to the formation of the above-ground phytomass stock. On the unburnt plots, its accumulation occurred in the late summer and autumn with the highest role of the dead phytomass. The stock of the living phytomass reached the values of unburnt plots at the first post-fire year, if the sites had not been managed previously. If the burnt plots had been overgrazed or were represented by abandoned lands, the stock of the living phytomass accumulated in the late summer and autumn with the highest role of the dead phytomass. The stock of the living phytomass reached the values of unburnt plots at the second post-fire year. During the whole study period, no statistically significant differences in the stock of the living phytomass of forbs and dwarf semi-shrubs were found between burnt and unburnt plots. For the stock of the living phytomass of grasses, these differences were found on the study sites №1, 3, 4. The stock of dead phytomass exceeded the stock of the living phytomass on the unburnt plots during the whole vegetation season, while on the burnt plots, we observed it only by the end of the vegetation period. During the whole study period, the stocks of the dead phytomass, standing dead phytomass, and litter, standing dead phytomass of grasses were higher on the unburnt plots than on the burnt ones on all study plots. The stock of the standing dead phytomass predominated in the dead phytomass structure of the burnt plots, while the stock of litter prevailed on unburnt plots.
In conclusion, we have shown that only stock of the living phytomass and its components (grasses, herbs, dwarf semi-shrubs) are able to restore in the first two post-fire years. The remaining fractions of the above-ground phytomass do not reach the values observed in unburnt plots.
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Влияние пожара на динамику надземной фитомассы степных фитоценозов Буртинской степи (Оренбургский государственный природный заповедник, Россия)
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В Оренбургской области степная зона подвержена сильнейшей антропогенной нагрузке, большая часть степей распахана, остальные земли используются под сенокосы и пастбища или не обрабатываются и представляют собой залежи. Частые пожары являются еще одной серьезной угрозой для биологического разнообразия степей региона. Данная проблема актуальна не только для территорий, используемых в сельском хозяйстве, но и для заповедников. Необходимость изучения последствий пожаров на экосистемы в государственном природном заповеднике «Оренбургский» назрела давно, как только стало ясно, что в связи со спецификой (значительная протяженность фронта и площадь выгорания, высокая скорость распространения при сильном ветре и т.д.) и с учащением степных пожаров, охра на заповедной территории не всегда решает проблему обеспечения сохранности степей. В то же время, именно на заповедных территориях с сохранившимися и подверженными наименьшему влиянию антропогенного фактора экосистемами представляется возможным и наиболее доступным проведение экологических исследований. Это дополняется исключением влияния других воздействий на этих территориях и возможностью использования данных, накопленных ранее в ходе многолетних наблюдений. Нами изучена динамика запасов надземной фитомассы после воздействия пожара на территории государственного природного заповедника «Оренбургский» участка «Буртинская степь». Территория исследования расположена в степной зоне Предуралья. В августе 2014 г. сгорело более 20 км² заповедной степи, после чего была заложена мониторинговая сеть, состоящая из 6 участков, каждый участок включал в себя контрольную (негоревшую) и горевшую площадку. Исследования были проведены в период 2015–2016 гг. В каждом сообществе проводили геоботанические описания и укосы надземной фитомассы в весенний, летний, осенний период. Полученные образцы высушивали, разделяли по группам (злаки, разнотравье, полкустарнички) и взвешивали. В результате сравнения негоревших и пострадавших от огня площадок на всех участках выявились статистически значимые различия по U-критерию Манна-Уитни (α < 0.05) в общих запасах надземной фитомассы, запасах мертвой фитомассы, ветоши злаков, подстилки. В горевших фитоценозах произошло смещение пиков накопления живой надземной фитомассы злаков и разнотравья по сравнению с контрольными площадками. В первые два года после пожара восстанавливаются только запасы живой надземной фитомассы и ее компонентов (злаков, разнотравья, полкустарничков), остальные фракции надземной фитомассы не достигают контрольных значений. Наши наблюдения, являлись частью проекта по созданию основы мониторинга экосистем на заповедном участке после пожара 2014 г., поддержанного ПРООН/ГЭФ/МПР РФ «Совершенствование системы и механизмы управления ООПТ в степном биоцентре России».
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