CONJECTURES FOR THE INTEGRAL MOMENTS AND RATIOS OF L–FUNCTIONS OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

J. C. ANDRADE AND J. P. KEATING

Abstract. We extend to the function field setting the heuristic previously developed, by Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein and Snaith, for the integral moments and ratios of L–functions defined over number fields. Specifically, we give a heuristic for the moments and ratios of a family of L-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves of genus g over a fixed finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) in the limit as \( g \to \infty \). Like in the number field case, there is a striking resemblance to the corresponding formulae for the characteristic polynomials of random matrices. As an application, we calculate the one–level density for the zeros of these L–functions.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Moments of the Riemann zeta function. There has been a long-standing interest in the mean values of families of $L$–functions. In the case of the Riemann zeta function, the goal is to determine of the asymptotic behaviour of

\[ M_k(T) = \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt, \]

as $T \to \infty$. Hardy and Littlewood [HL] established in 1918 that

\[ M_1(T) \sim T \log T, \]

and in 1926 Ingham [I] showed that

\[ M_2(T) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2} T \log^4 T. \]

For other values of $k$ the problem is still open. It is believed that for a given $k$

\[ M_k(T) \sim C_k T (\log T)^{k^2}, \]

for a positive constant $C_k$. Conrey and Ghosh [CG] presented (1.4) in a more explicit form, in which

\[ C_k = \frac{a_k g_k}{\Gamma(k^2 + 1)}, \]

where

\[ a_k = \prod_p \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{k^2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{d_k(m)^2}{p^m} \right], \]

and $g_k$ should be an integer. The classical results of Hardy–Littlewood and Ingham imply that $g_1 = 1$ and $g_2 = 2$. Based on an analogy with the characteristic polynomials of random matrices, Keating and Snaith [KeS1] conjectured a precise value for $C_k$ for $\Re(k) > -\frac{1}{2}$.

**Conjecture 1** (Keating–Snaith). For $k$ fixed and $\Re(k) > -\frac{1}{2}$,

\[ M_k(T) = \int_0^T |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \sim \frac{a_k g_k}{(k^2)!} T (\log T)^{k^2}, \]

as $T \to \infty$, where $a_k$ is the arithmetic factor given by (1.6) and the random matrix theory factor $g_k$ is given by

\[ g_k := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\Gamma(k^2 + 1)}{N k^2} \int_{U(N)} |\Lambda_A(e^0)|^{2k} dA = \frac{(k^2)! G^2(1+k)}{G(1+2k)}, \]
where $\Lambda_A$ is the characteristic polynomial of a unitary $N \times N$ matrix $A$, $dA$ denotes the Haar measure on $U(N)$, and $G(z)$ denotes the Barnes $G$–function [BA].

Remark 1. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$

\[(1.9) \quad (k^2)! \frac{G^2(1 + k)}{G(1 + 2k)} = (k^2)! \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{j!}{(j + k)!}, \]

is an integer.

The separation into arithmetic and random matrix factors, $a_k$ and $g_k$ respectively, in (1.5) is explained by a hybrid product formula for $\zeta(s)$ that includes both the primes and the zeros [GHK].

1.2. Mean values of $L$–functions. For the family of quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions $L(s,\chi_d)$, with $\chi_d$ a real primitive Dirichlet character modulo $d$ given by the Kronecker symbol $\chi_d(n) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$, the goal is to determine the asymptotic behaviour of

\[(1.10) \quad \sum_{0<d\leq D} L\left(\frac{1}{2},\chi_d\right)^k \]

as $D \to \infty$. Jutila [J] proved in 1981 that

\[(1.11) \quad \sum_{0<d\leq D} L\left(\frac{1}{2},\chi_d\right) = \frac{P(1)}{4\zeta(2)} D \left\{ \log(D/\pi) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(1/4) + 4\gamma - 1 + 4 \frac{P'}{P}(1) \right\} + O(D^{3/4+\varepsilon}) \]

where

\[(1.12) \quad P(s) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p + 1)p^s}\right), \]

and

\[(1.13) \quad \sum_{0<d\leq D} L\left(\frac{1}{2},\chi_d\right)^2 = \frac{c}{\zeta(2)} D \log^2 D + O(D(\log D)^{5/2+\varepsilon}) \]

with

\[(1.14) \quad c = \frac{1}{48} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{4p^2 - 3p + 1}{p^4 + p^3}\right), \]

Restricting $d$ to be odd, square–free and positive, so that $\chi_{8d}$ are real, primitive characters with conductor $8d$ and with $\chi_{8d}(-1) = 1$, Soundararajan [S] proved that

\[(1.15) \quad \frac{1}{D^*} \sum_{0<d\leq D}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2},\chi_{8d}\right)^3 \sim \frac{1}{184320} \prod_{p\geq 3} \left(1 - \frac{12p^6 - 23p^4 + 23p^3 - 15p^2 + 6p - 1}{p^6(p + 1)}\right)(\log D)^6, \]

where the sum $\sum^*$ runs over the restricted set, and $D^*$ is the number of such $d$ in $(0, D]$. For other values of $k$ the problem is still open.

Extending their approach to the zeta–function moments, Keating and Snaith [KeS2] established the following conjecture for the mean value of quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions.
Conjecture 2 (Keating–Snaith). For $k$ fixed with $\Re(k) \geq 0$, as $D \to \infty$

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{D^*} \sum_{0<d\leq D}^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_{sd}\right) k \sim a_{k,Sp} \frac{G(k+1)\sqrt{\Gamma(k+1)}}{\sqrt{G(2k+1)\Gamma(2k+1)}} (\log D)^{k+1/2}
\end{equation}

where

\[
 a_{k,Sp} = 2^{-k(k+2)/2} \prod_{p \geq 3} \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{k(k+1)/2} - k}{1 + \frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{1\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}}{2} + \frac{1}{p}\right)
\]

and $G(z)$ is Barnes’ $G$–function.

This conjecture is also in agreement with previous results from Jutila (equations (1.11) and (1.13)), Soundararajan (1.15) and with the conjectures given by Conrey and Farmer in [CF]. The separation into arithmetical and random matrix factors is again explained by a hybrid product formula [BK].

1.3. Integral Moments of $L$–functions. Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein and Snaith [CFKRS] developed a “recipe”, making use of heuristic arguments, for a sharpened form of the Conjectures 1 and 2 for integral $k$. Specifically, they gave conjectures beyond the leading order asymptotics to include all the principal lower order terms. For example, their conjecture for quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions (see [CFKRS]) takes the following form.

Conjecture 3 (Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, Snaith). Let $X_d(s) = |d|^{1/2-s}X(s,a)$ where $a = 0$ if $d > 0$ and $a = 1$ if $d < 0$, and

\begin{equation}
X(s,a) = \pi^{s-1/2} \Gamma \left(\frac{1+a-s}{2}\right) / \Gamma \left(\frac{s+a}{2}\right).
\end{equation}

That is, $X_d(s)$ is the factor in the functional equation for the quadratic Dirichlet $L$–function

\begin{equation}
L(s,\chi_d) = \varepsilon_d X_d(s)L(1-s,\chi_d).
\end{equation}

Summing over fundamental discriminants $d$

\begin{equation}
\sum_d^* L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_d\right) k = \sum_d^* Q_k(\log |d|)(1 + o(1))
\end{equation}

where $Q_k$ is the polynomial of degree $k(k+1)/2$ given by the $k$-fold residue

\begin{equation}
Q_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}2^k}{k!} \int \cdots \int G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2)^2 \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{dz_1 \cdots dz_k}{z_j^{2k-1}}
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = A_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{j=1}^k X\left(\frac{1}{2} + z_j, a\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \zeta(1 + z_i + z_j),
\end{equation}

$\Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ the Vandermonde determinant given by

\begin{equation}
\Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (z_j - z_i),
\end{equation}

\[
\Delta(z_1,\ldots,z_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (z_j - z_i),
\]
and $A_k$ is the Euler product, absolutely convergent for $|\Re z_j| < \frac{1}{2}$, defined by

$$A_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \prod_p \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+z_i+z_j}}\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{1+z_j}}\right)^{-1} + \prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{1+z_j}}\right)^{-1}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\right) \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$  

(1.23)

**Remark 2.** Conjecture 3 was originally stated with error term $O\left(|d|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}\right)$, but it appears there are extraneous lower order terms, as firstly pointed out by Diaconu, Goldfeld and Hoffstein [DGH], with the remainder term being larger for $k \geq 3$. This is supported numerically by the computations of Alderson and Rubinstein [AR]. We have therefore limited ourselves to restating it with an error that is simply $o(1)$.

Conjecture 3 is closely analogous to exact formulae for the moments of the characteristic polynomials of random matrices [CFKRS, CFKRS2]. By different methods (Multiple Dirichlet Series Techniques) Diaconu, Goldfeld and Hoffstein also have obtained a conjectural formula for the moments of quadratic Dirichlet $L$-functions.

Recently Bui and Heath–Brown [BH] showed that for $q, T \geq 2$

(1.24) \[ \sum_{\chi \text{mod } q} \int_0^T |L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi\right)|^4 dt = \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\omega(q)}{\log q} \sqrt{\frac{q}{\phi(q)}}\right)\right) \frac{\phi^*(q)T}{2\pi^2} \prod_{\rho|q} \frac{(1-p^{-1})^3}{(1+p^{-1})(\log qT)^4} + O(qT(\log qT)^{7/2}), \]

where the sum is over all primitive Dirichlet character $\chi$ modulo $q$, $\omega(q)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $q$, and $\phi^*(q)$ is the number of primitive Dirichlet character, and Conrey, Iwaniec and Soundararajan [CIS] obtained the following asymptotic formula for the sixth moment:

(1.25) \[ \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\chi \text{mod } q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} + iy, \chi\right)|^6 dy \sim 42a_3 \sum_{q \leq Q} \prod_{\rho|q} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^3}{(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2})} \frac{\phi^*(q)(\log q)^9}{9!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + iy\right)\right|^6 dy, \]

where $\chi$ is a primitive even Dirichlet character modulo $q$, $a_3$ is a certain product over primes, $\phi^*(q)$ is the number of even primitive Dirichlet characters and

(1.26) \[ \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi\right) := \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2} + s, \chi\right). \]

Both (1.24) and (1.25) are consistent with our general conjectural understanding of moments.
1.4. Ratios Conjectures. Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer [CFZ] presented a generalization of the heuristic arguments used in [CFKRS] leading to conjectures for the ratios of products of \( L \)-functions. These conjectures are very useful, for example it is possible to obtain from them all \( n \)-level correlations of zeros with lower order terms [CS1] (c.f. also [BK2], [BK1], [BK2]), averages of mollified \( L \)-functions, discrete moments of Riemann zeta function and non-vanishing results for various families of \( L \)-functions. For more details about these applications see [CS].

We will quote in this paper the ratios conjecture for quadratic Dirichlet \( L \)-functions from [CFZ], since we will use it to compare with the results presented in the section 3.

**Conjecture 4** (Conrey, Farmer, Zirnbauer). Let \( D^+ = \{ L(s, \chi_d) : d > 0 \} \) to be the symplectic family of \( L \)-functions associated with the quadratic character \( \chi_d \), and suppose that the real parts of \( \alpha_k \) and \( \gamma_q \) are positive. Then

\[
\sum_{0<d\leq X} \prod_{m=1}^{K} L(1/2 + \alpha_k, \chi_d) = \sum_{0<d\leq X} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^k} \left( \frac{|d|}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \prod_{k=1}^{K} g_+ \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + o(X).
\]

where

\[
g_+(s) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1-s}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)}.
\]

\[
Y_S(\alpha; \gamma) := \prod_{j\leq k\leq K} (1 + \alpha_j + \alpha_k) \prod_{q<r\leq Q} \zeta(1 + \gamma_q + \gamma_r),
\]

and

\[
A_D(\alpha, \gamma) = \prod_p \left( \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^{1+\alpha_j + \alpha_k}} \right) \prod_{q<r\leq Q} \left( 1 - 1/p^{1+\gamma_q + \gamma_r} \right)
\]

\[
\times \left( 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \sum_{0<\sum a_k + \sum c_q \text{ is even}} \frac{\Pi_q \mu(p^c) \Pi_{a_k} \Pi_{a_k(1/2+\alpha_k)+\sum c_q(1/2+\gamma_q)})}{p^{\sum a_k(1/2+\alpha_k)+\sum c_q(1/2+\gamma_q)}} \right).
\]

1.5. Structure of the Paper. In this paper we develop the function field analogues of conjectures 3 and 4 for the family of quadratic Dirichlet \( L \)-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves of genus \( g \) over a fixed finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). In section 2, we present a background on \( L \)-functions over function fields and how to average in this context. In section 3, we present our main results: the integral moments conjecture and ratios conjectures for \( L \)-functions in the hyperelliptic ensemble. In section 4, we outline the adaptation of the recipe of [CFKRS] for the function field setting. In section 5 we use the integral moments conjecture over function fields to compare with the main theorem established in [AK] when \( k = 1 \) and to conjecture precise values of moments for the case \( k = 2 \) and \( k = 3 \) in this setting. In section 6, we adapt the recipe of Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer [CFZ] for the same family of \( L \)-functions over function fields and again we compare our conjecture with the original ratios conjecture for a symplectic family. In section 7 we use the the ratios conjecture to compute the one-level density of the zeros of the same family of \( L \)-functions.
2. Some Basic facts about $L$–functions in function fields

We begin by fixing a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of odd cardinality and letting $A = \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in the variable $x$. We will denote by $C$ any smooth, projective, geometrically connected curve of genus $g \geq 1$ defined over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. The zeta function of the curve $C$, first introduced by Artin [A], is defined as

\[
Z_C(u) := \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n(C) \frac{u^n}{n} \right), \quad |u| < 1/q
\]

where $N_n(C) := \text{Card}(C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}))$ is the number of points on $C$ with coordinates in a field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ of degree $n \geq 1$. Weil [W] showed that the zeta function associated to $C$ is a rational function of the form

\[
Z_C(u) = \frac{P_C(u)}{(1-u)(1-\sqrt{q}u)},
\]

where $P_C(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u]$ is a polynomial of degree $2g$ with $P_C(0) = 1$ that satisfies the functional equation

\[
P_C(u) = (\sqrt{q}u)^g P_C \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}u} \right).
\]

By the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields, also proved by Weil [W], one knows that the zeros of $P_C(u)$ all lie on the circle $|u| = q^{-1/2}$, i.e.,

\[
P_C(u) = \prod_{j=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_j u), \quad \text{with } |\alpha_j| = \sqrt{q} \text{ for all } j.
\]

2.1. Background on $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. The norm of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is, for $f \neq 0$, defined to be $|f| := q^{\deg f}$ and if $f = 0$, $|f| = 0$. A monic irreducible polynomial is called a “prime” polynomial.

The zeta function of $A = \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, denoted by $\zeta_A(s)$, is defined by the infinite series

\[
\zeta_A(s) := \sum_{\text{monic } f \in A} \frac{1}{|f|^s} = \prod_{\text{monic irreducible } P} \left( 1 - |P|^{-s} \right)^{-1}, \quad \Re(s) > 1
\]

which is

\[
\zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{1-s}}.
\]

The analogue of the Mobius function $\mu(f)$ for $A = \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is defined as follows:

\[
\mu(f) = \begin{cases} (-1)^t, & f = \alpha P_1 P_2 \ldots P_t, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

where each $P_j$ is a distinct monic irreducible.
2.2. Quadratic Characters and the Corresponding \( L \)-functions. Assume from now on that \( q \) is odd and let \( P(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be an irreducible polynomial.

In this way we can define the quadratic residue symbol \( (f/P) \in \{\pm 1\} \) for \( f \) coprime to \( P \) by

\[
(f/P) \equiv f^{(|P|-1)/2} \pmod{P}.
\]

We can also define the Jacobi symbol \( (f/Q) \) for arbitrary monic \( Q \): let \( f \) be coprime to \( Q \) and \( Q = \alpha P_1^{e_1}P_2^{e_2} \cdots P_s^{e_s} \), then

\[
\left( \frac{f}{Q} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \left( \frac{f}{P_j} \right)^{e_j};
\]

if \( f, Q \) are not coprime we set \( (f/Q) = 0 \) and if \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \) is a scalar then

\[
\left( \frac{\alpha}{Q} \right) = \alpha^{((q-1)/2)\deg Q}.
\]

Now we present the definition of quadratic characters for \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \).

**Definition 1.** Let \( D \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) be square-free. We define the quadratic character \( \chi_D \) using the quadratic residue symbol for \( \mathbb{F}_q[x] \) by

\[
\chi_D(f) = \left( \frac{D}{f} \right).
\]

So, if \( P \in A \) is monic irreducible we have

\[
\chi_D(P) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } P \mid D, \\
1, & \text{if } P \nmid D \text{ and } D \text{ is a square modulo } P, \\
-1, & \text{if } P \nmid D \text{ and } D \text{ is a non square modulo } P.
\end{cases}
\]

We define the \( L \)-function corresponding to the quadratic character \( \chi_D \) by

\[
\mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) := \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 - \chi_D(P)u^{\deg P} \right)^{-1}, \quad |u| < 1/q
\]

where \( u = q^{-s} \). The \( L \)-function above can also be expressed as an infinite series in the usual way:

\[
\mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) = \sum_{f \in A, f \text{ monic}} \chi_D(f)u^{\deg f} = L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{f \in A, f \text{ monic}} \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^s}.
\]

We can write (2.14) as

\[
\mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{f \text{ monic}} \chi_D(f)u^{n}.
\]

If we denote

\[
A_D(n) := \sum_{f \text{ monic}} \chi_D(f),
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}(u, \chi_D) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_D(n)u^{n}.
\]
we can write (2.15) as
\begin{equation}
\sum_{n \geq 0} A_D(n) u^n,
\end{equation}
and by [Ro] Propostion 4.3, if $D$ is a non–square polynomial of positive degree, then $A_D(n) = 0$ for $n \geq \deg(D)$. So in this case the $L$–function is in fact a polynomial of degree at most $\deg(D) - 1$.

Assuming the primitivity condition that $D$ is a square–free monic polynomial of positive degree and following the arguments presented in [Ru] we have that $L(u, \chi_D)$ has a “trivial” zero at $u = 1$ if and only if $\deg(D)$ is even, which enables us to define the “completed” $L$–function
\begin{equation}
L(u, \chi_D) = (1 - u)^{\lambda} L^*(u, \chi_D), \quad \lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{deg}(D) \text{ even}, \\ 0, & \text{deg}(D) \text{ odd}, \end{cases}
\end{equation}
where $L^*(u, \chi_D)$ is a polynomial of even degree
\begin{equation}
2\delta = \deg(D) - 1 - \lambda
\end{equation}
satisfying the functional equation
\begin{equation}
L^*(u, \chi_D) = (qu^2)^{\delta} L^*(1/qu, \chi_D).
\end{equation}

By [Ro] Proposition 14.6 and 17.7, $L^*(u, \chi_D)$ is the Artin $L$–function corresponding to the unique nontrivial quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_q(x)(\sqrt{D(x)})$. The fact that is important for this paper is that the numerator $P_C(u)$ of the zeta-function of the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = D(x)$ coincides with the completed Dirichlet $L$–function $L^*(u, \chi_D)$ associated with the quadratic character $\chi_D$, as was found in Artin’s thesis. So we can write $L^*(u, \chi_D)$ as
\begin{equation}
L^*(u, \chi_D) = \sum_{n=0}^{2\delta} A_D^*(n) u^n,
\end{equation}
where $A_D^*(0) = 1$ and $A_D^*(2\delta) = q^{\delta}$.

For $D$ monic, square-free, and of positive degree, the zeta function (2.2) of the hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = D(x)$ is
\begin{equation}
Z_{C_D}(u) = \frac{L^*(u, \chi_D)}{(1 - u)(1 - qu)}.
\end{equation}
Note that,
\begin{equation}
L(s, \chi_D) = L(u, \chi_D), \quad \text{where } u = q^{-s}
\end{equation}
as $\deg(D)$ is odd.

2.3. The Hyperelliptic Ensemble $\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}$. Let $\mathcal{H}_d$ be the set of square–free monic polynomials of degree $d$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. The cardinality of $\mathcal{H}_d$ is
\begin{equation}
\# \mathcal{H}_d = \begin{cases} (1 - 1/q)d^d, & d \geq 2, \\ q, & d = 1. \end{cases}
\end{equation}
(This can be proved using
\begin{equation}
\sum_{d>0} \frac{\# \mathcal{H}_d}{q^{ds}} = \sum_{f \text{ monic squarefree}} |f|^{-s} = \frac{\zeta_A(s)}{\zeta_A(2s)}
\end{equation}
and \((2.6) \text{Proposition 2.3}\)). In particular, for \(D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\) and \(g \geq 1\) we have,

\[
\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} = (q - 1)q^{2g} = \frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)}.
\]

We can treat \(\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\) as a probability space (ensemble) with uniform probability measure. Thus the expected value of any continuous function \(F\) on \(\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\) is defined as

\[
\langle F(D) \rangle := \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} F(D).
\]

Using the Mobius function \(\mu\) of \(F_{q}[x]\) defined in \((2.7)\) we can sieve out the square-free polynomials, since

\[
\sum_{A^2 | D} \mu(A) = \begin{cases} 
1, & D \text{ square free}, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

In this way we can write the expected value of any function \(F\) as

\[
\langle F(D) \rangle = \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \text{ monic}} \sum_{\text{deg}(D)=2g+1} \sum_{A^2 | D} \mu(A) F(A^2B).
\]

3. Statement of the Main Results

We now present the main conjectures that will be motivated by extending the recipe of [CFKRS] to the function field setting.

**Conjecture 5.** Suppose that \(q\) odd is the fixed cardinality of the finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\) and let \(X_D(s) = |D|^{1/2-s} X(s)\) and

\[
X(s) = q^{-1/2+s}.
\]

That is, \(X_D(s)\) is the factor in the functional equation

\[
L(s, \chi_D) = X_D(s)L(1-s, \chi_D).
\]

Summing over fundamental discriminants \(D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\) we have

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^k = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Q_k(\log_q |D|)(1 + o(1))
\]

where \(Q_k\) is the polynomial of degree \(k(k+1)/2\) given by the \(k\)-fold residue

\[
Q_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^{k}}{k!} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \int \cdots \int G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j^{2k-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \zeta_A(1+z_i+z_j),
\]

where \(\Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k)\) is defined as in \((1.22)\),

\[
G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + z_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \zeta_A(1+z_i+z_j),
\]
and $A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ is the Euler product, absolutely convergent for $|\Re z_j| < \frac{1}{2}$, defined by

$$A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1+z_j}}\right)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{\frac{1}{2}+z_j}}\right)^{-1} + \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|^{\frac{1}{2}+z_j}}\right)^{-1}\right) + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1}.$$  

(3.6)

**Remark 3.** In the case when $k = 1$, this conjecture coincides with a theorem in [AK]. See section 5.1.

**Remark 4.** Note that (3.3) is the function field analogue of the formula (1.5.11) in [CFKRS].

The next conjecture is the translation for function fields of the ratios conjecture for quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions associated with hyperelliptic curves.

**Conjecture 6.** Suppose that the real parts of $\alpha_k$ and $\gamma_m$ are positive and that $q$ odd is the fixed cardinality of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then using the same notations as in the previous conjecture we have

$$\sum_{D \in \mathbb{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K} L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D)}{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} L(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D)}$$

$$= \sum_{D \in \mathbb{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^K} |D|^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\epsilon_k \alpha_k - \alpha_k)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} X \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_k - \epsilon \alpha_k}{2}\right)$$

$$\times Y(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K; \gamma) A_D(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K, \gamma) + O(|D|),$$

where

$$A_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \prod_{j \leq k \leq K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1+\alpha_j + \alpha_k}}\right) \prod_{m < r \leq Q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1+\gamma_m + \gamma_r}}\right)$$

$$\times \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \sum_a \alpha_k + \sum_m c_m \text{ is even}} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} \mu(P^{c_m})}{|P|^{\sum_a \alpha_k + \sum_m c_m}}$$

and

$$Y(\alpha; \gamma) = \prod_{j \leq k \leq K} \zeta_A(1 + \alpha_j + \alpha_k) \prod_{m < r \leq Q} \zeta_A(1 + \gamma_m + \gamma_r) \prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{m=1}^{Q} \zeta_A(1 + \alpha_k + \gamma_m) .$$

If we let,

$$H_{D, |D|, \alpha, \gamma}(w) = |D|^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \prod_{k=1}^{K} X \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_k - w_k}{2}\right) Y(w_1, \ldots, w_K; \gamma) A_D(w_1, \ldots, w_K; \gamma).$$

(3.10)
then the conjecture may be formulated as

$$\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{K} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D\right)}{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D\right)} = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^K} H_{D,|D|\alpha,\gamma}(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K) + o(|D|).$$

Note that in this paper we are fixing the cardinality \(q\) of the ground field \(\mathbb{F}_q\). The asymptotic formulae we present therefore correspond to letting \(g \to \infty\). This limit is different from that studied by Katz-Sarnak [KS1, KS2], and coincides with that explored in other contexts by Rudnick and Kurlberg [KR], Faifman and Rudnick [FR] and Bucur et al. in [BDFL].

4. Integral Moments of \(L\)-functions in the Hyperelliptic Ensemble

In this section we will present the details of the recipe for conjecturing moments of \(L\)-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves of genus \(g\) over a fixed finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\). To do this we will adapt to the function field setting the recipe presented in [CFKRS]. We note that the recipe is used without rigorous justification in each of its steps, but when seen as a whole it serves to produce a conjecture for the moments of \(L\)-functions that is consistent with its random matrix analogues and with all results known to date.

Let \(D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\). For a fixed \(k\), we seek an asymptotic expression for

$$\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D\right)^k,$$

as \(g \to \infty\). To achieve this we consider the more general expression obtained by introducing small shifts, say \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\)

$$\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D\right) \ldots L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D\right).$$

By introducing such shifts, hidden structures are revealed in the form of symmetries and the calculations are simplified by the removal of higher order poles. In the end we let each \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\) tend to 0 to recover (3.11).

4.1. Some Analogies Between Classical \(L\)-functions and \(L\)-functions over Function Fields. The starting point to conjecture moments for \(L\)-functions is the use of the approximate functional equation. For the hyperelliptic ensemble considered here, the analogue of the approximate functional equation is given by

$$L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{n \text{ monic} \atop \deg(n) \leq g} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{|n|^s} + \mathcal{X}_D(s) \sum_{m \text{ monic} \atop \deg(m) \leq g-1} \frac{\chi_D(m)}{|m|^{1-s}},$$

which is an exact formula in this case rather than an approximation, where \(D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\) and \(\mathcal{X}_D(s) = q^{g(1-2s)}\); see [AK] for more details. Note that we can write

$$\mathcal{X}_D(s) = |D|^{\frac{1}{2} - s} X(s),$$

where
corresponds to the gamma factor that appears in the classical quadratic \( L \)-functions. Now we will present some simple lemmas which will be used in the recipe and which make the analogy between the function field case and the number field case more direct.

**Lemma 1.** We have that,

\[
X_D(s)^{1/2} = X_D(1 - s)^{-1/2},
\]

and

\[
X_D(s)X_D(1 - s) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** The proof is straightforward and follows directly from the definition of \( X_D(s) \).

For ease of presentation, we will work with

\[
Z_L(s, \chi_D) = X_D(s)^{-1/2}L(s, \chi_D),
\]

which satisfies a more symmetric functional equation as follows.

**Lemma 2.** The function \( Z_L(s, \chi_D) \) satisfies the functional equation

\[
Z_L(s, \chi_D) = Z_L(1 - s, \chi_D).
\]

**Proof.** This follows from a direct application of Lemma \( \square \) part (1).

We would like to produce an asymptotic for the \( k \)-shifted moment

\[
L_D(s) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Z(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k),
\]

where

\[
Z(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} Z_L(s + \alpha_j, \chi_D).
\]

Making use of \( 4.3 \) and Lemma \( \square \) part(1) we have that

\[
Z_L(s, \chi_D) = X_D(s)^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{n \text{ monic} \\deg(n) \leq g}} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{|n|^s} + X_D(1 - s)^{-1/2} \sum_{\substack{m \text{ monic} \\deg(m) \leq g - 1}} \frac{\chi_D(m)}{|m|^{1-s}}.
\]

4.2. Adapting the CFKRS Recipe for the function field case. We will follow [CFKRS, section 4] making adjustments for function fields when necessary.

(1) We start with a product of \( k \) shifted \( L \)-functions:

\[
Z(s; \alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_k) = Z_L(s + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots Z_L(s + \alpha_k, \chi_D).
\]

(2) Replace each \( L \)-function by its corresponding “approximate” functional equation \( 4.12 \). Hence we obtain,
\[ Z(\frac{1}{2}; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( \chi_D(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)^{-1/2} \sum_{n_j \text{ monic} \atop \deg(n_j) \leq f(\varepsilon_j)} \frac{\chi_D(n_j)}{|n_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j}} \right), \]

where \( f(1) = g \) and \( f(-1) = g - 1 \). We then multiply out and end up with,

\[ Z(\frac{1}{2}; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_D(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)^{-1/2} \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_k \text{ monic} \atop \deg(n_j) \leq f(\varepsilon_j)} \frac{\chi_D(n_1 \cdots n_k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{k} |n_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j}}. \]

(3) Average the sign of the functional equations.
Note that in this case the signs of the functional equations are all equal to 1 and therefore do not produce any effect on the final result.

(4) Replace each summand by its expected value when averaged over the family \( \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \).
In this step we need to average over all fundamental discriminants \( D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \) and as a preliminary task, we will restate the following orthogonality relation for quadratic Dirichlet characters over function fields.

**Lemma 3.** Let

\[ a_m = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible} \atop P|m} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1}. \]

Then,

\[ \lim_{\deg(D) \to \infty} \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \chi_D(m) = \begin{cases} a_m & \text{if } m \text{ is the square of a polynomial} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

(for short hand we will use the notation \( m = \square \) when \( m \) is the square of a polynomial).

**Proof.** We start by considering \( m = \square = l^2 \), then using Proposition 2 from [AK] and the fact that \( \frac{\Phi(l)}{l^2} \leq 1 \) we have,

\[ \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \chi_D(m = l^2) = \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \zeta_A(2)} \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible} \atop P|m} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} + O \left( \frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \right). \]

By making use of equation (2.26) we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \chi_D(m = l^2) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible} \atop P|m} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} + O(q^{-g}). \]
Therefore,

\[
\lim_{\deg(D) \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \chi_D(m = l^2) = \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ P \mid \alpha}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1}.
\]

If \( m \neq \square \) we can use the function field version of the Polya–Vinogradov inequality [FR, Lemma 2.1] to bound the sum over non-trivial Dirichlet characters,

\[
\left| \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \atop m \neq \square} \chi_D(m) \right| \ll 2^{\deg(m)} \sqrt{|D|},
\]

and so we end up with,

\[
\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \atop m \neq \square} \chi_D(m) \ll \frac{2^g \sqrt{|D|}}{(q-1)q^{2g}},
\]

(4.22)

which tends to zero when \( g \to \infty \) since \( q > 1 \) is a fixed odd number.

\( \square \)

Using Lemma 3, we can average the summand in (4.15), since

\[
\lim_{g \to \infty} \langle \chi_D(n_1) \cdots \chi_D(n_k) \rangle = \begin{cases} \prod_{P \mid \square} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } n_1 \cdots n_k = \square, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

We therefore write (heuristically as the sums below can diverge depending on the choice of \( \varepsilon_j \alpha_j \)'s)

\[
\lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k \text{ monic}} \chi_D(n_1 \cdots n_k) = \sum_{m \text{ monic}} \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k \text{ monic}} \frac{a_{m^2}}{\prod_{j=1}^k |n_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j}} = \sum_{m \text{ monic}} \frac{a_{m^2}}{\prod_{j=1}^k |n_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j}},
\]

(4.24)

(5) Extend, in (4.15), each of \( n_1, \ldots, n_k \) for all monic polynomials and denote the result \( M(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) to produce the desired conjecture.

If we call

\[
R_k \left( \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right) = \sum_{m \text{ monic}} \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k \text{ monic}} \frac{a_{m^2}}{\prod_{j=1}^k |n_j|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j}},
\]

the recipe thus predicts

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Z \left( \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \right) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} M \left( \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \right) (1 + o(1)),
\]

(4.26)
where

\[ M\left(\frac{1}{2};\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k\right) = \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^k X_D\left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j\right)^{-1/2} R_k\left(\frac{1}{2}; \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k\right). \]

4.3. Putting the Conjecture in a More Useful Form. The conjecture \((4.26)\) is problematic in the form presented because the individual terms have poles that cancel when summed. In this section we put it in a more useful form, writing \(R_k\) as an Euler product and then factoring out the appropriate \(\zeta_A(s)\)-factors.

We have that \(a_m\) is multiplicative, since

\[ a_{mn} = a_m a_n \quad \text{whenever } \gcd(m, n) = 1, \]

where

\[ a_m = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} (1 + |P|^{-1})^{-1}, \]

and if we define

\[ \psi(x) := \sum_{n_1 \ldots n_k = x} \frac{1}{|n_1|^{s+\alpha_1} \ldots |n_k|^{s+\alpha_k}}, \]

we have that \(\psi(m^2)\) is multiplicative on \(m\).

So,

\[ \sum_{m \text{ monic}} \sum_{n_1 \ldots n_k = m^2} a_{m^2} = \sum_{m \text{ monic}} a_{m^2} \sum_{n_1 \ldots n_k = m^2} \frac{1}{|n_1|^{s+\alpha_1} \ldots |n_k|^{s+\alpha_k}}. \]

\[ = \sum_{m \text{ monic}} a_{m^2} \psi(m^2) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{P^{2j}} \psi(P^{2j})\right), \]

where

\[ \psi(P^{2j}) = \sum_{n_1 \ldots n_k = P^{2j}} \frac{1}{|n_1|^{s+\alpha_1} \ldots |n_k|^{s+\alpha_k}}; \]

and so, \(n_i = P^{e_i}\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, k\) and \(e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2j\).

Hence we can write

\[ \psi(P^{2j}) = \sum_{e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2j} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{|P|^{e_i(s+\alpha_i)}}. \]
and thus we end up with

\[ R_k(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{P^j} \psi(P^{2j}) \right) \]

\[ = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{P^j} \sum_{e_1, \ldots, e_k \geq 0} \sum_{e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{|P|^{e_i(s+\alpha_i)}} \right). \]

But

\[ a_{P^j} = (1 + |P|^{-1})^{-1}, \]

so that (4.34) becomes

\[ R_k(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 + (1 + |P|^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{e_1, \ldots, e_k \geq 0} \sum_{e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{|P|^{e_i(s+\alpha_i)}} \right) \]

(4.36)

Using

\[ (1 + |P|^{-1})^{-1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{|P|^l} \]

we have that

\[ R_{k,P} = 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{e_1, \ldots, e_k \geq 0} \sum_{e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^l}{|P|^{e_i(s+\alpha_i)+l}} \]

(4.38)

and so

\[ R_k(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{e_1, \ldots, e_k \geq 0} \sum_{e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(-1)^l}{|P|^{e_i(s+\alpha_i)+l}} \right). \]

(4.39)

The key point is that when \( \alpha_i = 0 \) and \( s = 1/2 \) only terms with \( e_1 + \cdots + e_k = 2 \) give rise to poles. Isolating the term with \( l = 0 \) and \( j = 1 \):

\[ R_{k,P} = 1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{|P|^{2e_i(s+\alpha_i)}} + \text{(lower order terms)} \]

(4.40)

Hence we can write, for \( \Re(\alpha_i) \) sufficiently small,

\[ R_{k,P} = 1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{1}{|P|^{2s+\alpha_i+\alpha_j}} + O(|P|^{-1-2s+\varepsilon}) + O(|P|^{-3s+\varepsilon}) \]

(4.41)
(for more details see \textbf{CFKRS} pg87). Expressing $R_{k,P}$ as a product, we finish with
\begin{equation}
R_{k,P} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|^{2s + \alpha_i + \alpha_j}} \right) \times (1 + O(|P|^{-1-2s+\delta}) + O(|P|^{-3s+\delta})).
\end{equation}

Now, since
\begin{equation}
\prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|^{2s}} \right) = \frac{\zeta_A(2s)}{\zeta_A(4s)}
\end{equation}
has a simple pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and
\begin{equation}
\prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} (1 + O(|P|^{-1-2s+\delta}) + O(|P|^{-3s+\delta}))
\end{equation}
is analytic in $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{3}$, we see that $\prod_P R_{k,P}$ has a pole at $s = \frac{1}{2}$ of order $k(k+1)/2$ if $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_k = 0$.

With the divergent sums replaced by their analytic continuation and the leading order poles clearly identified, we are almost ready to put conjecture 4.26 in a more desirable form. We just need to factor out the appropriate zeta–factors and write the above product \( \prod_P R_{k,P} \) as
\begin{equation}
R_k(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(2s + \alpha_i + \alpha_j)A(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k),
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
A(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( R_{k,P}(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{2s + \alpha_i + \alpha_j}} \right) \right).
\end{equation}
Here, $A(s; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ defines an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series for $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ and for all $\alpha_j$s positive. Consequently, we have
\begin{equation}
M\left( \frac{1}{2}; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \right) = \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_D\left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + \varepsilon_i \alpha_i + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)A\left( \frac{1}{2}; \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right),
\end{equation}
and so the conjectured asymptotic takes the form
\begin{equation}
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Z\left( \frac{1}{2}; \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \right)
= \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \chi_D\left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} A\left( \frac{1}{2}; \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + \varepsilon_i \alpha_i + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)(1 + o(1)).
\end{equation}
Using the definition of $\chi_D(s)$, we have that
\begin{equation}
\chi_D\left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_j \alpha_j}{2} X\left( \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{equation}
and substituting this into (4.48), after some arithmetical manipulations we are led to the following form of the conjecture:

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X_{1/2 + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j}^{-1/2} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} R_k(1/2; \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k) |D|^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \varepsilon_j \alpha_j (1 + o(1)).
\]

Note that (4.50) is the function field analogue of the formula (4.4.22) in [CFKRS].

4.4. The Contour Integral Representation of the Conjecture. In this section we will use the following lemma from [CFKRS].

Lemma 4 (Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, Snaith). Suppose \( F \) is a symmetric function of \( k \) variables, regular near \((0, \ldots, 0)\), and that \( f(s) \) has a simple pole of residue 1 at \( s = 0 \) and is otherwise analytic in a neighborhood of \( s = 0 \), and let

\[
K(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = F(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} f(a_i + a_j)
\]
or

\[
K(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = F(a_1, \ldots, a_k) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} f(a_i + a_j).
\]

If \( \alpha_i + \alpha_j \) are contained in the region of analyticity of \( f(s) \) then

\[
\sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \epsilon_j K(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k \alpha_k) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \oint \cdots \oint \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d\alpha_j}{\alpha_j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{dz_i}{z_i - \alpha_j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{dz_i}{z_i + \alpha_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{dz_i}{z_i - \alpha_j} \frac{dz_i}{z_i + \alpha_j} d\alpha_1 \cdots d\alpha_k,
\]

and

\[
\sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \epsilon_j K(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k \alpha_k)
\]

\[
= \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \oint \cdots \oint \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d\alpha_j}{\alpha_j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{dz_i}{z_i - \alpha_j} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{dz_i}{z_i + \alpha_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{dz_i}{z_i - \alpha_j} \frac{dz_i}{z_i + \alpha_j} d\alpha_1 \cdots d\alpha_k,
\]

where the path of integration encloses the \( \pm \alpha_j \)'s.

We will use this lemma to write conjecture (4.50) for function fields as a contour integral. For this, note that
(4.55) \[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) \]

\[ = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X_D(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)^{-1/2} L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) \]

and as \( X_D(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)^{-1/2} \) depends only on \(|D|\), which is the same for all \( D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \), we can factor it out, so that (4.50) becomes

\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) \]

\[ = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)^{-1/2} \]

\[ \times A \left( \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right) |D|^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \varepsilon_j \alpha_j} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + \varepsilon_i \alpha_i + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)(1 + o(1)). \]

Hence, taking out a factor of \( \log q \) from each term in the second product

\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) \]

\[ = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)^{-1/2} \]

\[ \times |D|^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \varepsilon_j \alpha_j \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + \varepsilon_i \alpha_i + \varepsilon_j \alpha_j)(1 + o(1)). \]

If we call

\[ F(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)^{-1/2} A \left( \frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k \right) |D|^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j, \]

and

\[ f(s) = \zeta_A(1 + s) \log q \quad \text{and so} \quad f(\alpha_i + \alpha_j) = \zeta_A(1 + \alpha_i + \alpha_j) \log q \]

we have that \( f(s) \) has a simple pole at \( s = 0 \) with residue 1.

Denoting

\[ K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) = F(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} f(\alpha_i + \alpha_j), \]

we can write (4.57) as

\[ \left( \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} K(\varepsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \alpha_k) \right)(1 + o(1)), \]
and now we can use Lemma 4 to write

\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \frac{\alpha_j (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \int \cdots \int K(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

\[ \times \frac{\Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z_i - \alpha_j)(z_i + \alpha_j)} \Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j \int \cdots \int F(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

\[ = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \frac{\alpha_j (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \int \cdots \int F(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

(4.62) \times \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + z_i + z_k) \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j \int \cdots \int F(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

If we denote

(4.63) \[ K(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = F(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + z_i + z_k), \]

we have that (4.62) becomes

(4.64) \[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \frac{\alpha_j (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \int \cdots \int K(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

\[ \times \frac{\Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z_i - \alpha_j)(z_i + \alpha_j)} \Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j \int \cdots \int F(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

and if we denote

(4.65) \[ G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + z_j)^{-1/2} A(\frac{1}{2}, z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \zeta_A(1 + z_i + z_j) \]

we have that the equation above is

(4.66) \[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} X(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_j)|D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j} \frac{\alpha_j (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \int \cdots \int G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

\[ \times |D|^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} z_j} \frac{\Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z_i - \alpha_j)(z_i + \alpha_j)} \Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j \int \cdots \int G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

Now calling

(4.67) \[ Q_k(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \int \cdots \int G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \frac{\Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_k^2) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z_i - \alpha_j)(z_i + \alpha_j)} \Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{k} z_j \int \cdots \int G(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \]

and setting \( \alpha_j = 0 \), we have arrived at the formulae given in Conjecture 5.
5. SOME CONJECTURAL FORMULAE FOR MOMENTS OF $L$-FUNCTIONS IN THE HYPERELLIPTIC ENSEMBLE

In this section we use Conjecture 5 to obtain explicit conjectural formulae for the first few moments of quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions over function fields.

5.1. **First Moment.** We will use Conjecture 5 to determine the asymptotics of the first moment ($k = 1$) of our family of $L$–functions and compare with the main theorem of [AK]. Specifically, we will specialize the formula in Conjecture 5 for $k = 1$ to compute

$$\sum_{D \in H_{2g+1,q}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D\right) = \sum_{D \in H_{2g+1,q}} Q_1(\log_q |D|) (1 + o(1)),$$

where $Q_1(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 1, i.e., $Q_1(x) = ax + b$. This will be done using the contour integral formula for $Q_k(x)$. We have,

$$Q_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint G(z_1) \Delta(z_1^2)^2 q^{\frac{x}{2} z_1} dz_1$$

where

$$G(z_1) = A\left(\frac{1}{2}; z_1\right) X\left(\frac{1}{2} + z_1\right)^{-1/2} \zeta_A(1 + 2z_1).$$

Remembering that,

$$\Delta(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (z_j - z_i)$$

is the Vandermonde determinant we have that,

$$\Delta(z_1^2)^2 = 1$$

and

$$X\left(\frac{1}{2} + z_1\right)^{-1/2} = q^{-z_1/2}.$$

So (5.2) becomes,

$$Q_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint A\left(\frac{1}{2}; z_1\right) \zeta_A(1 + 2z_1) q^{-z_1/2} q^{\frac{x}{2} z_1} dz_1.$$

We also have that,

$$A\left(\frac{1}{2}; z_1\right) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + z_1}}\right)$$

\[
\times \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + z_1}}\right)^{-1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + z_1}}\right)^{-1}\right) + \frac{1}{|P|}
\]

\[
\times \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1}.
\]

Our goal is to compute the integral (5.7) where the contour is a small circle around the origin, and for that we need to locate the poles of the integrand,

$$f(z_1) = \frac{A\left(\frac{1}{2}; z_1\right) \zeta_A(1 + 2z_1) q^{-z_1/2}}{z_1} q^{\frac{x}{2} z_1}.$$
We note that \( f(z_1) \) has a pole of order 2 at \( z_1 = 0 \). To compute the residue we expand \( f(z_1) \) as a Laurent series and pick up the coefficient of \( 1/z_1 \). Expanding the numerator of \( f(z_1) \) around \( z_1 = 0 \) we have,

\[
A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1) = A(\frac{1}{2}, 0) + A'(\frac{1}{2}, 0)z_1 + \frac{1}{2}A''(\frac{1}{2}, 0)z_1^2 + \cdots
\]

(1)

\[
q^{-z_1/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\log q)z_1 + \frac{1}{8}(\log q)z_1^2 + \cdots
\]

(2)

\[
q^{\frac{2}{z_1}} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\log q)xz_1 + \frac{1}{8}(\log^2 q)x^2z_1^2 + \cdots
\]

(3)

\[
\zeta_A(1 + 2z_1) = \frac{1}{2\log q}z_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}(\log q)z_1 - \frac{1}{90}(\log^3 q)z_1^3 + \cdots
\]

(4)

Hence we can write,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(5.10)} & \quad f(z_1) = \left( A(\frac{1}{2}; 0) + A'(\frac{1}{2}, 0)z_1 + \cdots \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\log q)z_1 + \frac{1}{8}(\log q)z_1^2 + \cdots \right) \\
& \quad \times \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2}(\log q)xz_1 + \frac{1}{8}(\log^2 q)x^2z_1^2 + \cdots \right) \\
& \quad \times \left( \frac{1}{2\log q}z_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}(\log q)z_1 - \frac{1}{90}(\log^3 q)z_1^3 + \cdots \right).
\end{align*}
\]

Multiplying the above expression we identify the coefficient of \( 1/z_1 \). Therefore

\[
\text{(5.11)} & \quad \text{Res}_{z_1=0} f(z_1) = \frac{1}{2} A(\frac{1}{2}; 0) - \frac{1}{4} A(\frac{1}{2}; 0) + \frac{1}{4} A(\frac{1}{2}; 0)x + \frac{1}{2\log q} A'(\frac{1}{2}; 0).
\]

We find, after some straightforward calculations, that:

\[
\text{(5.12)} & \quad A(\frac{1}{2}; 0) = P(1) = \prod_{\text{monic irreducible}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{(|P| + 1)|P|} \right)
\]

and

\[
\text{(5.13)} & \quad A'(\frac{1}{2}; 0) = A(\frac{1}{2}; 0)(2 \log q) \sum_{\text{monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1}
\]

and so (5.11) is

\[
\text{(5.14)} & \quad \text{Res}_{z_1=0} f(z_1) = \frac{1}{4} P(1) + \frac{1}{4} P(1)x + P(1) \sum_{\text{monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1}.
\]

Hence we have that,
\[ (5.15) \quad \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint \frac{A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1) \zeta_A(1 + 2z_1)q^{-z_1/2}}{z_1} q^{\frac{2}{3} z_1} dz_1 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\pi i} 2\pi i \left( \frac{1}{4} P(1) + \frac{1}{4} P(1)x + P(1) \sum_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} P(1) + \frac{1}{2} P(1)x + 2P(1) \sum_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1}. \]

So,

\[ (5.16) \quad Q_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} P(1) \left\{ x + 1 + 4 \sum_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1} \right\}. \]

We therefore have that

\[ \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Q_1(\log q |D|)(1 + o(1)) \]

\[ = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{1}{2} P(1) \left\{ \log q |D| + 1 + 4 \sum_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1} \right\} (1 + o(1)) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} P(1) \left\{ \log q |D| + 1 + 4 \sum_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1} \right\} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} 1 + o(|D|) \]

\[ (5.17) \quad = \frac{P(1)}{2\zeta_A(2)} |D| \left\{ \log q |D| + 1 + 4 \sum_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \frac{\deg(P)}{|P|(|P| + 1) - 1} \right\} + o(|D|). \]

If we compare the main theorem of \([AK]\) with the conjecture we note that the main term and the principal lower order terms are the same. Hence the main theorem of \([AK]\) proves our conjecture with an error \(O(|D|^{3/4+\varepsilon})\) when \(k = 1\).

5.2. Second Moment. For the second moment, Conjecture \([5]\) asserts that

\[ (5.18) \quad \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^2 = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Q_2(\log q |D|)(1 + o(1)), \]

where

\[ (5.19) \quad Q_2(x) = \frac{(-1)^2}{2!} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint \oint G(z_1, z_2) \Delta(z_1^2, z_2^2)^2 \frac{x^2 (z_1 + z_2)}{z_1^2 z_2^3} q^{2(z_1 + z_2)} dz_1 dz_2. \]
We denote by $A_j$ the partial derivative, evaluated at zero, of the function $A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ with respect to $j$th variable, with repeated indices denoting higher derivatives. So, for example

$$A_{112}(0, 0, \ldots, 0) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1^2 \partial z_2} A(\frac{1}{2}; z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k) \bigg|_{z_1 = z_2 = \ldots = z_k = 0}.$$

We then have that,

$$\oint \oint G(z_1, z_2) \Delta(z_1^2, z_2^2)^2 q^{\frac{x}{2}(z_1 + z_2)} dz_1 dz_2 = (2\pi i)^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{48(\log q)^3} \left( (6 + 11x + 6x^2 + x^3)A(0, 0)(\log q)^3 
+ (11 + 12x + 3x^2)(\log q)^2(A_2(0, 0) + A_1(0, 0)) + 12(2 + x)(\log q)A_{12}(0, 0) 
- 2(A_{222}(0, 0) - 3A_{122}(0, 0) - 3A_{112}(0, 0) + A_{111}(0, 0)) \right]\right].$$

Hence the leading order asymptotic for the second moment for this family of $L$-functions can be written, conjecturally, as

$$\sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}, q} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^2 \sim \frac{1}{24\zeta_A(2)} A(\frac{1}{2}; 0, 0)|D|(|\log q||D|)^3,$$

when $g \to \infty$, where

$$A(\frac{1}{2}; 0, 0) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \left( 1 - \frac{4|P|^2 - 3|P| + 1}{|P|^4 + |P|^3} \right).$$

### 5.3. Third Moment.

For the third moment, our conjecture states that:

$$\sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}, q} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^3 = \sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}, q} Q_3(\log_q |D|)(1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$Q_3(x) = \frac{(-1)^3 2^4}{3!} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \oint \oint \oint G(z_1, z_2, z_3) \Delta(z_1^2, z_2^2, z_3^2)^2 q^{\frac{x}{2}(z_1 + z_2 + z_3)} dz_1 dz_2 dz_3.$$

Computing the triple contour integral with the help of the symbolic manipulation software MATHEMATICA we obtain
\begin{equation}
\int \int \int G(z_1, z_2, z_3) \Delta(z_1^3, z_2^3, z_3^3)^2 \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(z_1+z_2+z_3)}}{z_1^3 z_2^3 z_3^3} \, dz_1 \, dz_2 \, dz_3
= (2\pi i)^3 \left[ -\frac{1}{11520 (\log q)^6} \left( 3(3+x)^2(40+78x+49x^2+12x^3+x^4) A(0,0,0)(\log q)^6 
+ 4(471+9149+720x^2+260x^3+45x^4+3x^5)(\log q)^5(A_3(0,0,0)+A_2(0,0,0)) 
+ A_1(0,0,0)) + 4(949+1440x+780x^2+180x^3+15x^4)(\log q)^4(A_{23}(0,0,0)
+ A_{13}(0,0,0)+A_{12}(0,0,0)) - 10(24+26x+9x^2+x^3)(\log q)^3(2A_{333}(0,0,0)
- 3A_{233}(0,0,0)-3A_{223}(0,0,0)+2A_{222}(0,0,0)-3A_{133}(0,0,0)-36A_{123}(0,0,0)
- 3A_{122}(0,0,0)-3A_{113}(0,0,0)-3A_{112}(0,0,0)+2A_{111}(0,0,0))
- 20(26+18x+3x^2)(\log q)^2(A_{2333}(0,0,0)+A_{2222}(0,0,0)
+ A_{1333}(0,0,0)-6A_{1233}(0,0,0)-6A_{1223}(0,0,0)+A_{1222}(0,0,0)-6A_{1123}(0,0,0)
+ A_{1113}(0,0,0)+A_{1112}(0,0,0)+6(3+x)(\log q)(A_{33333}(0,0,0)-5A_{23333}(0,0,0)
- 10A_{22333}(0,0,0)-10A_{22233}(0,0,0)-5A_{22222}(0,0,0)+2A_{22222}(0,0,0)
- 5A_{13333}(0,0,0)+60A_{12333}(0,0,0)-5A_{12222}(0,0,0)-10A_{11333}(0,0,0)
+ 60A_{11233}(0,0,0)+60A_{11223}(0,0,0)-10A_{11222}(0,0,0)-10A_{11133}(0,0,0)
- 10A_{11122}(0,0,0)-5A_{11113}(0,0,0)-5A_{11112}(0,0,0)+2A_{11111}(0,0,0))
+ 4(3A_{233333}(0,0,0)-20A_{223333}(0,0,0)+3A_{222333}(0,0,0)+3A_{222233}(0,0,0)
- 30A_{123333}(0,0,0)+30A_{122333}(0,0,0)+30A_{112333}(0,0,0)-30A_{112233}(0,0,0)
+ 3A_{112222}(0,0,0)+30A_{112333}(0,0,0)+30A_{111233}(0,0,0)-20A_{111133}(0,0,0)
+ 30A_{111123}(0,0,0)+30A_{111122}(0,0,0)-20A_{111122}(0,0,0)-30A_{111113}
+ 3A_{111113}(0,0,0)+3A_{111112}(0,0,0)) \right].
\end{equation}

And so, identifying the coefficient of $x^6$, we conjecture

\begin{equation}
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D\right)^3 \sim \frac{1}{2880 \zeta_A(2)} A\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0\right) |D| \log q \log |D|, \quad \text{as } g \to \infty,
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
A\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0\right) = \prod_{\text{monic irreducible}} \left( 1 - \frac{12|P|^5 - 23|P|^4 + 23|P|^3 - 15|P|^2 + 6|P| - 1}{|P|^6(|P| + 1)} \right).
\end{equation}

### 5.4. Leading Order for General $k$

In this section we will show how to obtain an explicit conjecture for the leading order asymptotic of the moments for a general integer $k$. The calculations presented here follow closely those presented in [KO]. The main result is the following conjecture:
Lemma 5. Suppose $F$ is a symmetric function of $k$ variables, regular near $(0, \ldots, 0)$ and $f(s)$ has a simple pole of residue 1 at $s = 0$ and is otherwise analytic in a neighborhood of $s = 0$. Let

$$K(|D|; w_1, \ldots, w_k) = \sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\log |D|} \prod_{j=1}^k F(\varepsilon_1 w_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_j w_j)$$

and define $I(|D|, k; w = 0)$ to be the value of $K$ when $w_1, \ldots, w_k = 0$. We have that,

$$I(|D|, k; 0) \sim (\frac{1}{2} \log |D|)^k F(0, \ldots, 0) 2^k (\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{j!}{(2j)!}).$$

Proof. We begin by defining the following function

$$G(|D|; w_1, \ldots, w_k) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\log |D|} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \Delta(v_i + v_j) \prod_{j=1}^k f(w_i + w_j).$$

So by Lemma 2.5.2 of [CFKRS] we have,

$$\sum_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} G(|D|; \varepsilon_1 w_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k w_k) \sim (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_i^2) \prod_{j=1}^k z_j}{\prod_{j=1}^k (z_j - w_j)(z_i - w_j)} dz_1 \ldots dz_k.$$

We will analyze this integral as $w_j \to 0$. It follows from (5.33) that

$$I(|D|, k; 0) \sim (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} 2^k \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_i^2) \prod_{j=1}^k z_j}{\prod_{j=1}^k z_j^{2k}} dz_1 \ldots dz_k.$$

We expand $G(|D|; z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ and make the following variable change $z_j = \frac{2v_j}{\log |D|}$ which provides us with

$$I(|D|, k; 0) = \left(\frac{1}{2} \log |D|\right)^{k(k+1)/2}$$

$$\times \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^k} \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=1}^k e^{\sum_{j=1}^k v_j} F(2v_1/\log |D|, \ldots, 2v_k/\log |D|)$$

$$\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} f\left(\frac{2}{\log |D|}(v_i + v_j)\right) \left(\frac{2}{\log |D|}(v_i + v_j)\right)^k f\left(\frac{2}{\log |D|}(2v_j)\right)$$

$$\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{\Delta(v_i^2, \ldots, v_j^2)^2}{v_i + v_j \prod_{j=1}^k v_j^{2k}} dv_1 \ldots dv_k.$$
Letting $g \to \infty$ (i.e. $|D| \to \infty$) we have,

\begin{equation}
I(|D|, k; 0) \sim \left( \frac{1}{2} \log |D| \right)^{k(k+1)/2} F(0, \ldots, 0) \\
\times \frac{(-1)^{k(k-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^k} \frac{1}{k!} \int \ldots \int e^{\sum_{j=1}^k v_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{1}{v_i + v_j} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Delta(v_1^2, \ldots, v_k^2)}{v_j^{2k}} dv_1 \ldots dv_k.
\end{equation}

Using equation (3.36) from [CFKRS2], Lemma 2.5.2 from [CFKRS], and the result from [KeS2] for the moments at the symmetry point for the symplectic ensemble completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we are ready to establish Theorem 1. Using (4.61), which is a conjectural formula, with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k = 0$ and the lemma above we have that

\begin{equation}
\sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}, q} L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^k \sim \sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}, q} \frac{1}{(\log q)^{k(k+1)/2}} \prod_{K} L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) \prod_{Q} L(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D).
\end{equation}

So as $g \to \infty$ we have the formula given in the conjecture.

### 6. Ratios Conjecture for $L$–functions over Function Fields

In this section we will present a natural generalization of Conjecture 5. We give a heuristic for all of the main terms in the quotient of products of $L$–functions over function fields averaged over a family of hyperelliptic curves. The family of curves that we consider is the same as that considered above: curves of the form $C_D : y^2 = D(x)$, where $D(x) \in H_{2g+1}, q$. Essentially the goal is to adjust the recipe presented by Conrey, Farmer and Zirnbauer [CFZ] for the case of quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions over function fields.

Recall that in section 2 we introduced our family of $L$–functions. In particular if

\begin{equation}
H_{2g+1, q} = \{ D \text{ monic, } D \text{ square – free, } \deg(D) = 2g + 1, \ D \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] \}
\end{equation}

the family $\mathcal{D} = \{ L(s, \chi_D) : D \in H_{2g+1}, q \}$ is a symplectic family. We can make a conjecture which is the function field analogue of conjecture 5.2 in [CFZ] for

\begin{equation}
\sum_{D \in H_{2g+1}, q} \frac{\prod_{K} L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D)}.
\end{equation}

The main difficulty will be to identify and factor out the appropriate zeta factors (arithmetic factors) as was done in the previous section. We follow the recipe given in [CFZ, Section 5] and we will adapt the recipe for the function field setting when necessary.

The $L$–functions in the numerator are written as

\begin{equation}
L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{n \text{ monic } \deg(n) \leq g, s} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{|n|^s} + \chi_D(s) \sum_{n \text{ monic } \deg(n) \leq g - 1} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{|n|^{1-s}}
\end{equation}
and those in denominator are expanded into series

\[
\frac{1}{L(s, \chi_D)} = \prod_{P \text{ monic} \text{ irreducible}} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi_D(P)}{|P|^s} \right) = \sum_{n \text{ monic}} \frac{\mu(n)\chi_D(n)}{|n|^s}
\]

with \( \mu(n) \) and \( \chi_D(n) \) defined in Section 2.

In the numerator we will again replace \( L(s, \chi_D) \) with \( Z_L(s, \chi_D) \) and so the quantity that we will apply the recipe to is

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) / \prod_{m=1}^{Q} L(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D)
\]

\[
= \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D) \sum_{h_1, \ldots, h_Q \text{ monic}} \frac{\mu(h_1) \ldots \mu(h_Q) \chi_D(h_1 \ldots h_Q)}{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} \frac{1}{h_m^{1/2+\gamma_m}}}
\]

We have that,

\[
Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1, \chi_D) \ldots Z_L(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\epsilon_k \in \{-1,1\}^K} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \chi_D(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1, \ldots, m_K \text{ monic}} \chi_D(m_1 \ldots m_k) / |m_k|^{1/2+\epsilon_k \alpha_k}
\]

and so, (6.5) becomes

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \chi_D(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1, \ldots, m_K \text{ monic}} \chi_D(m_1 \ldots m_k) / \prod_{m=1}^{Q} |m_m|^{1/2+\epsilon_k \alpha_k} \prod_{k=1}^{K} h_k^{1/2+\gamma_m}
\]

Now, following the recipe we average the summand over fundamental discriminants \( D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \)

\[
\lim_{\deg(D) \to \infty} \sum_{\epsilon_k \in \{-1,1\}^K} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \chi_D(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1, \ldots, m_K \text{ monic}} \chi_D(m_1 \ldots m_k) / \prod_{m=1}^{Q} |m_m|^{1/2+\epsilon_k \alpha_k} \prod_{k=1}^{K} h_k^{1/2+\gamma_m}
\]

\[
= \sum_{\epsilon_k \in \{-1,1\}^K} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \chi_D(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k)^{-1/2} \sum_{m_1, \ldots, m_K \text{ monic}} \chi_D(m_1 \ldots m_k) / \prod_{m=1}^{Q} |m_m|^{1/2+\epsilon_k \alpha_k} \prod_{k=1}^{K} h_k^{1/2+\gamma_m}
\]

(6.8)

where \( \delta(n) = \prod_{P \text{ monic} \text{ irreducible}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|^n} \right)^{-1} \) if \( n \) is a square and is 0 otherwise.
After all this analysis, the contribution, expressed in terms of \( \zeta \), arises from terms with \( P_n \) where
\[
\prod_{m=1}^Q \mu(h_m) \delta \left( \prod_{k=1}^K m_k \prod_{m=1}^Q h_m^{\frac{1}{2}+\gamma_m} \right).
\]

We can express \( G_D(\alpha; \gamma) \) as a convergent Euler product provided that \( \Re(\alpha_k) > 0 \) and \( \Re(\gamma_m) > 0 \). Thus,
\[
G_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \prod_{P \text{ monic \ irreducible}} \left( 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \sum_k a_k + \sum_m c_m \text{ is even}} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^Q \mu(P_m)}{P_m^{\left( \frac{1}{2}+\alpha_k \right)+\left( \frac{1}{2}+\gamma_m \right)}} \right).
\]

The above expression will enable us to locate the zeros and poles. We obtain
\[
G_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \prod_{\mu(m \text{ monic \ irreducible})} \left( 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} \sum_{j,k} \frac{\mu(P_j)^2}{|P|^{\left( \frac{1}{2}+\gamma_m \right)+\left( \frac{1}{2}+\gamma_r \right)}} + \sum_k \frac{\mu(P)}{|P|^{\left( \frac{1}{2}+\alpha_k \right)+\left( \frac{1}{2}+\gamma_m \right)+\ldots}} \right),
\]
where \( \ldots \) indicates terms that converge. Remembering that,
\[
\zeta_A(s) = \prod_{P \text{ monic \ irreducible}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{|P|^s} \right)^{-1},
\]
and using that
\[
\left( 1 - \frac{1}{|P|^s} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left( \frac{1}{|P|^s} \right)^j,
\]
we have that the terms in (6.11) with \( \sum_{k=1}^K a_k + \sum_{m=1}^Q c_m = 2 \) contribute to the zeros and poles. The poles come from terms with \( a_j = a_k = 1, 1 \leq j < k \leq K \), and from terms \( a_k = 2, 1 \leq k \leq K \). In addition, there are poles coming from terms with \( c_m = c_r = 1, 1 \leq m < r \leq Q \).

We also note that poles do not arise from terms with \( c_m = 2 \) since \( \mu(P^2) = 0 \). The contribution of zeros arises from terms with \( a_k = 1 = c_m \) with \( 1 \leq k \leq K \) and \( 1 \leq m \leq Q \). After all this analysis, the contribution, expressed in terms of \( \zeta_A(s) \), of all these zeros and poles is
\[
Y(\alpha; \gamma) := \frac{\prod_{j \leq k \leq K} \zeta_A(1 + \alpha_j + \alpha_k) \prod_{m < r \leq Q} \zeta_A(1 + \gamma_m + \gamma_r)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \prod_{m=1}^Q \zeta_A(1 + \alpha_k + \gamma_m)}.
\]

So, when we factor \( Y \) out from \( G_D \) we are left with the Euler product \( A_D \) which is absolutely convergent for all of the variables in small disks around 0:
Moreover, using (4.8) we have that,

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{m=1}^{Q} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D\right) \prod_{k=1}^{K} X_D\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k\right)^{-1/2} Y\left(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K; \gamma\right) \prod_{k=1}^{K} X_D\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right)^{1/2} A_D\left(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K; \gamma\right) + o(|D|),
\]

using (4.8) we have that,

\[
\prod_{k=1}^{K} X_D\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k\right)^{-1/2} X_D\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= \prod_{k=1}^{K} |D|^\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_k \alpha_k - \alpha_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k\right)^{-1/2} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= |D|^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\epsilon_k \alpha_k - \alpha_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k\right)^{-1/2} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right)^{1/2}.
\]

To put our conjecture in the same form as conjecture 5.2 in [CFZ] and see clearly the analogies between the conjectures for the classical quadratic $L$–functions and the $L$–functions over function fields, we need first to establish the following simple lemma:
Lemma 6. We have that,
\[
X \left( \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_k \alpha_k \right)^{-1/2} X \left( \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k \right)^{1/2} = X \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_k - \epsilon_k \alpha_k}{2} \right).
\]

Proof. Follows directly from the \( X(s) = q^{-1/2+s} \).

If the real parts of \( \alpha_k \) and \( \gamma_q \) are positive we are led to
\[
\sum_{D \in \mathbb{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D \right) \prod_{m=1}^{Q} L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D \right)
= \sum_{D \in \mathbb{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^K} |D|^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\epsilon_k \alpha_k - \alpha_k)} \prod_{k=1}^{K} X \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_k - \epsilon_k \alpha_k}{2} \right)
\times Y(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K; \gamma) A_D(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K; \gamma) + o(|D|).
\]

If we let,
\[
H_D|D|,|\alpha,\gamma(w) = |D|^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k} \prod_{k=1}^{K} X \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha_k - w_k}{2} \right) Y(w_1, \ldots, w_K; \gamma) A_D(w_1, \ldots, w_K; \gamma)
\]
then the conjecture may be formulated as
\[
\sum_{D \in \mathbb{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{k=1}^{K} L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D \right) \prod_{m=1}^{Q} L \left( \frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m, \chi_D \right)
= \sum_{D \in \mathbb{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^K} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^K} H_D|D|,|\alpha,\gamma(\epsilon_1 \alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K \alpha_K; \gamma) + o(|D|),
\]
which are precisely the formulae given in Conjecture 6.

Remark 5. Note that the formulas (6.22) and (6.24) can be seen as the function field analogues of the formulae (5.27) and (5.29) in [CFZ].

6.1. Refinements of the Conjecture. In this section we refine the ratios conjecture first by deriving a closed form expression for the Euler product \( A_D(\alpha; \gamma) \), and second by expressing the combinatorial sum as a multiple integral. This is similar to the treatment given in the previous section.

6.1.1. Closed form expression for \( A_D \). Suppose that \( f(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n x^n \). Then
\[
\sum_{0 < n \text{ is even}} u_n x^n = \frac{1}{2} (f(x) + f(-x) - 2)
\]
and so,
\[
1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < n \text{ is even}} u_n x^n = 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{|P|} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} (f(x) + f(-x) - 2) \right) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{|P|}} \left( \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{1}{|P|} \right).
\]
Now, let

\[
 f\left(\frac{1}{|P|}\right) = \sum_{a_k, c_m} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} \mu(P^{c_m})}{|P|^{\sum_k a_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right) + \sum_m c_m \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m\right)}}
\]

\[
 = \sum_{a_k} \frac{1}{|P|^{\sum_k a_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right)}} \sum_{c_m} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} \mu(P^{c_m})}{|P|^{\sum_m c_m \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m\right)}}
\]

\[
 = \sum_{a_k} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{|P|^{a_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right)}} \sum_{c_m} \prod_{m=1}^{Q} \frac{\mu(P^{c_m})}{|P|^{c_m \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m\right)}}
\]

\[
 = \prod_{m=1}^{Q} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \gamma_m}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \alpha_k}}\right)}
\]

(6.27)

We are ready to prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 7.** We have that,

\[
(6.28) \quad 1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \sum_k a_k + \sum_m c_m \text{ is even}} \frac{\prod_{m=1}^{Q} \mu(P^{c_m})}{|P|^{\sum_k a_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k\right) + \sum_m c_m \left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma_m\right)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{|P|}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \alpha_k}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m=1}^{Q} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \gamma_m}}\right)\right) + 1.
\]

**Proof.** The proof follows directly using (6.26) and (6.27). \(\square\)

We have the following corollary to this lemma

**Corollary 1.**

\[
A_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \prod_{P \text{ monic irreducible}} \prod_{j \leq k \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \alpha_j + \alpha_k}}\right) \prod_{m \leq r \leq Q} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \alpha_k + \gamma_m}}\right)
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{|P|}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \alpha_k}}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \prod_{m=1}^{Q} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|P|^{1/2 + \gamma_m}}\right)\right) + 1.
\]

(6.29)

6.2. **The Final Form of the Ratios Conjecture.** We begin this subsection by quoting the following lemma from [CFZ].

**Lemma 8.** Suppose that \(F(z) = F(z_1, \ldots, z_K)\) is a function of \(K\) variables, which is symmetric and regular near \((0, \ldots, 0)\). Suppose further that \(f(s)\) has a simple pole of residue 1 at \(s = 0\) but is otherwise analytic in \(|s| \leq 1\). Let either

\[
(6.30) \quad H(z_1, \ldots, z_K) = F(z_1, \ldots, z_K) \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq K} f(z_j + z_k)
\]

or

\[
(6.31) \quad H(z_1, \ldots, z_K) = F(z_1, \ldots, z_K) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq K} f(z_j + z_k).
\]
If $|\alpha_k| < 1$ then

$$
(6.32) \quad \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, +1\}^K} H(\epsilon_1\alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K\alpha_K)
= \frac{(-1)^{K(K-1)/2}2^K}{K!(2\pi i)^K} \int_{|z_i|=1} H(z_1, \ldots, z_K) \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_K^2) \prod_{k=1}^K z_k \frac{dz_1 \ldots dz_K}{\prod_{j=1}^K \prod_{k=1}^K (z_k - \alpha_j)(z_k + \alpha_j)}
$$

and

$$
(6.33) \quad \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1, +1\}^K} \text{sgn}(\epsilon) H(\epsilon_1\alpha_1, \ldots, \epsilon_K\alpha_K)
= \frac{(-1)^{K(K-1)/2}2^K}{K!(2\pi i)^K} \int_{|z_i|=1} H(z_1, \ldots, z_K) \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_K^2) \prod_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \frac{dz_1 \ldots dz_K}{\prod_{j=1}^K \prod_{k=1}^K (z_k - \alpha_j)(z_k + \alpha_j)}
$$

Now we are in a position to present the final form of the ratios conjecture for $L$–functions over function fields using the contour integrals introduced above. Conjecture 6 can be written as follows.

**Conjecture 7.** Suppose that the real parts of $\alpha_k$ and $\gamma_m$ are positive. Then

$$
(6.34) \quad \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \prod_{k=1}^K L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_k, \chi_D\right) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} |D|^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k} \frac{(-1)^{K(K-1)/2}2^K}{K!(2\pi i)^K} \int_{|z_i|=1} H_D, |D|, \alpha, \gamma(z_1, \ldots, z_K; \gamma) \Delta(z_1^2, \ldots, z_K^2) \prod_{k=1}^K z_k \frac{dz_1 \ldots dz_K}{\prod_{j=1}^K \prod_{k=1}^K (z_k - \alpha_j)(z_k + \alpha_j)} + o(|D|).
$$

**Remark 6.** If we compare the formula (6.34) with the formula (6.31) presented in [CFZ] we can see clearly the analogy between the classical conjecture and its translation for function fields.

7. **ONE–LEVEL DENSITY**

In this section we present an application of the Ratios Conjecture for $L$–functions over function fields: we derive a formula the one–level density. The ideas and calculations presented in this section can be seen as a translation to the function field language of the calculations presented in [CS] and [HKS].

Our goal is to consider

$$
(7.1) \quad R_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_D\right)}{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, \chi_D\right)}.
$$

In this case the conjecture is
Conjecture 8. With \(-\frac{1}{4} < \Re(\alpha) < \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{\log|D|} \ll \Re(\gamma) < \frac{1}{4}\) and \(\Im(\alpha), \Im(\gamma) \ll \varepsilon |D|^{1-\varepsilon}\) for every \(\varepsilon > 0\), we have

\[
R_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_D\right)}{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, \chi_D\right)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left(\frac{\zeta_A(1 + 2\alpha)}{\zeta_A(1 + \alpha + \gamma)} A_D(\alpha; \gamma) + |D|^{-\alpha} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) \frac{\zeta_A(1 - 2\alpha)}{\zeta_A(1 - \alpha + \gamma)} A_D(-\alpha; \gamma)\right) + o(|D|),
\]

where

\[
A_D(\alpha; \gamma) = \prod_{\text{monic irreducible}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^{1+\alpha+\gamma}}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(|P|+1)|P|^{1+2\alpha}} - \frac{1}{(|P|+1)|P|^{\alpha+\gamma}}\right).
\]

To obtain the formula for the one-level density from the ratios conjecture, we note that

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L'\left(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_D\right) = \frac{d}{d\alpha} R_D(\alpha; \gamma) \bigg|_{\alpha=\gamma=r}.
\]

Now, a direct calculation gives

\[
\frac{d}{d\alpha} \frac{\zeta_A(1 + 2\alpha)}{\zeta_A(1 + \alpha + \gamma)} A_D(\alpha; \gamma) \bigg|_{\alpha=\gamma=r} = \frac{\zeta_A'(1 + 2r)}{\zeta_A(1 + 2r)} A_D(r; r) + A'_D(r; r)
\]

and a simple use of the quotient rule give us that

\[
\frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(|D|^{-\alpha} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) \frac{\zeta_A(1 - 2\alpha)}{\zeta_A(1 - \alpha + \gamma)} A_D(-\alpha; \gamma)\right) \bigg|_{\alpha=\gamma=r} = -(\log q)|D|^{-r} X\left(\frac{1}{2} + r\right) \zeta_A(1 - 2r) A_D(-r; r).
\]

Also,

\[
A_D(r; r) = 1,
\]

\[
A_D(-r; r) = \prod_{\text{monic irreducible}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(|P|+1)|P|^{1-2r}} - \frac{1}{(|P|+1)|P|^{\alpha+\gamma}}\right)
\]

and using the logarithmic–derivative formula we can easily obtain that,

\[
A'_D(r; r) = \sum_{\text{monic irreducible}} \frac{\log|P|}{(|P|^{1+2r} - 1)(|P|+1)}.
\]

Thus, the ratios conjecture implies that the following holds
Theorem 2. Assuming Conjecture $\text{3}$, \( \frac{1}{\log |D|} \ll \Re(r) < \frac{1}{4} \) and \( \Im(r) \ll \varepsilon |D|^{1 - \varepsilon} \) we have

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{L'(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_D)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + r, \chi_D)} = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left( \frac{\zeta'_A(1 + 2r)}{\zeta_A(1 + 2r)} + A'_D(r; r) - (\log q)|D|^{-r}X(\frac{1}{2} + r)\zeta_A(1 - 2r)A_D(-r; r) \right)
\]

where \( A_D(\alpha; \gamma) \) is defined in (7.3).

Now we are in a position to derive the formula for the one–level density for the zeros of quadratic Dirichlet \( L \)-functions over function fields, complete with lower order terms.

Let \( \gamma_D \) denote the ordinate of a generic zero of \( L(s, \chi_D) \) on the half–line (remember that here, unlike in the number field case, we do not need to assume that all of the complex zeros are on the half–line, because the Riemann hypothesis is established for this family of \( L \)-functions). As \( L(s, \chi_D) \) is a functions of \( q^{-s} \) and so is periodic with period \( 2\pi i / \log q \) we can confine our analysis of the zeros to \(-\pi i / \log q \leq \Im(s) \leq \pi i / \log q \).

We consider the one–level density

\[
S_1(f) := \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\gamma_D} f(\gamma_D)
\]

where \( f \) is an \((2\pi / \log q)\)–periodic even test function and holomorphic.

By Cauchy’s theorem we have

\[
S_1(f) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{(c)} - \int_{(1-c)} \right) \frac{L'(s, \chi_D)}{L(s, \chi_D)} f(-i(s - 1/2)) ds
\]

where \((c)\) denotes a vertical line from \( c - \pi i / \log q \) to \( c + \pi i / \log q \) and \( 3/4 > c > 1/2 + 1/ \log |D| \). The integral on the \( c \)-line is

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\log q}^{\pi/\log q} f(t - i(c - 1/2)) \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \frac{L'(1/2 + (c - 1/2 + it), \chi_D)}{L(1/2 + (c - 1/2 + it), \chi_D)} dt.
\]

The sum over \( D \) can be replaced by Theorem 2 (see the 1–level density section of [CS] for a more detailed analysis). Next we move the path of integration to \( c = 1/2 \) as the integrand is regular at \( t = 0 \) to obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\log q}^{\pi/\log q} f(t) \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left( \frac{\zeta'_A(1 + 2it)}{\zeta_A(1 + 2it)} + A'_D(it; it) \right.
\]

\[
- (\log q)|D|^{it}X(\frac{1}{2} + it)\zeta_A(1 - 2it)A_D(-it; it) \left. \right) dt + o(|D|).
\]

For the integral on the \( 1 - c \)-line, we change variables, letting \( s \to 1 - s \), and we use the functional equation (3.2) to obtain
\( L'(1-s, \chi_D) = \frac{\mathcal{X}'_D(s)}{\mathcal{X}_D(s)} - \frac{L'(s, \chi_D)}{L(s, \chi_D)}, \)

where
\[
\frac{\mathcal{X}'_D(s)}{\mathcal{X}_D(s)} = - \log |D| + \frac{X'}{X}(s).
\]

We thus obtain, finally, the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Assuming the Ratios Conjecture 8, the one–level density for the zeros of the family of quadratic Dirichlet \( L \)-functions associated with hyperelliptic curves given by the affine equation \( C_D : y^2 = D(x) \), where \( D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \) is given by

\[
S_1(f) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\gamma_D} f(\gamma_D)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\log q}^{\pi/\log q} f(t) \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left( \log |D| + \frac{X'(1/2 - it)}{X} + 2 \left( \frac{\zeta'(1 + 2it)}{\zeta(1 + 2it)} + A'(it; it) \right) 
- (\log q)|D| \log |D| + o(|D|) \right) dt.
\]

where \( \gamma_D \) is the ordinate of a generic zero of \( L(s, \chi_D) \) and \( f \) is an even and periodic nice test function.

Defining
\[
f(t) = h \left( \frac{t(2g \log q)}{2\pi} \right)
\]

we now scale the variable \( t \) as
\[
\tau = \frac{t(2g \log q)}{2\pi}
\]

and get after a change of variables

\[
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\gamma_D} h \left( \gamma_D \frac{t(2g \log q)}{2\pi} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2g \log q} \int_{-g}^{g} h(\tau) \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left( \log |D| + \frac{X'(1/2 - 2\pi i \tau / 2g \log q)}{X} + 2 \left( \frac{\zeta'(1 + 4\pi i \tau / 2g \log q)}{\zeta(1 + 4\pi i \tau / 2g \log q)} \right) 
+ A'(2\pi i \tau / 2g \log q; 2\pi i \tau / 2g \log q) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{4\pi i \tau / 2g \log q}{2g \log q} \right) A_D \left( \frac{2\pi i \tau / 2g \log q}{2g \log q} \right) \right) d\tau + o(|D|).
\]

Writing
\[
\zeta_A(1 + s) = \frac{1}{s} \log q + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12} (\log q)s + O(s^2)
\]
we have,

\begin{equation}
\frac{\zeta'_A(1+s)}{\zeta_A(1+s)} = -s^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \log q - \frac{1}{12} (\log q)^2 s + O(s^3).
\end{equation}

(7.22)

As \( q \to \infty \) only the \( \log |D| \) term, the \( \zeta'_A/\zeta_A \) term, and the final term in the integral contribute, yielding the asymptotic

\begin{equation}
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\gamma_D} h \left( \frac{t(2g \log q)}{2\pi} \right) \sim \frac{1}{2g \log q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \left( (\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}) \log |D| - \frac{(\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q})(2g \log q)}{2\pi i \tau} \right) d\tau + \frac{1}{2g \log q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \left( 1 - \frac{\sin(2\pi \tau)}{2\pi \tau} \right) d\tau.
\end{equation}

(7.23)

But, since \( h \) is an even function, the middle term above drops out and the last term can be duplicated with a change of sign of \( \tau \), leaving

\begin{equation}
\lim_{g \to \infty} \frac{1}{\# \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{\gamma_D} h \left( \frac{t(2g \log q)}{2\pi} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\tau) \left( 1 - \frac{\sin(2\pi \tau)}{2\pi \tau} \right) d\tau.
\end{equation}

(7.24)

Thus for \( q \) fixed and in the large \( g \) limit, the one–level density of the scaled zeros has the same form as the one–level density of the eigenvalues of the matrices from \( USp(2g) \) chosen with respect to Haar measure and so our result is in agreement with results obtained previously by Rudnick [Ru].
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