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ABSTRACT: We study the thermal helicity, defined in arXiv:1211.3850 [hep-th], of a conformal field theory with anomalies in the context of AdS\textsubscript{2n+1}/CFT\textsubscript{2n}. To do so, we consider large charged rotating AdS black holes in the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory with a negative cosmological constant using fluid/gravity expansion. We compute the anomaly-induced current and stress tensor of the dual CFT in leading order of the fluid/gravity derivative expansion and show their agreement with the field theoretical replacement rule for the thermal helicity. Such replacement rule is reflected in the bulk by new replacement rules obeyed by the Hall currents around the black hole.
1 Introduction

Recently, a thermal observable called the thermal helicity was defined for relativistic quantum field theories in even-dimensional spacetime[1] and a variety of evidence now suggests that this quantity is closely related to anomalies. In a QFT$_d$ (with $d = 2n$), thermal helicity is the thermal expectation value of products of all $(n-1)$ mutually commuting angular momentum operators $\hat{L}_{ij}$ and the spatial momentum operator $\hat{P}_i$ in the remaining direction. More explicitly, let $\hat{L}_{2k-1,2k}$ denote the angular momentum operators in the $(x_{2k-1}, x_{2k})$-plane for each $k = 1, \ldots, (n-1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1,1}$ where the QFT$_{2n}$ lives. Then one can define the thermal helicity as:

$$\langle \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \hat{L}_{2k-1,2k} \right) \hat{P}_{2n-1} \rangle = \langle \hat{L}_{12} \hat{L}_{34} \ldots \hat{L}_{2n-3,2n-2} \hat{P}_{2n-1} \rangle. \quad (1.1)$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ is the expectation value in the thermal ground state with temperature $T$ and chemical potential $\mu$.

Thermal helicity per unit volume is conveniently written in terms of another function of $T$ and $\mu$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{Anom}[T, \mu]$:

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{2n-1}}(\hat{L}_{12} \hat{L}_{34} \ldots \hat{L}_{2n-3,2n-2} \hat{P}_{2n-1}) \equiv -(n-1)! (2T)^{n-1} \mathcal{F}_{anom}[T, \mu]. \quad (1.2)$$
where Vol$_{2n-1}$ is the spatial volume. Furthermore, Ref. [1] conjectured a deep relation between the thermal helicity per unit volume and the anomaly polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{QFT}[F, R]$ which can be written in terms of a remarkable replacement rule

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Anom}[T, \mu] = \mathcal{P}_{QFT} [F \rightarrow \mu, \; p_1(R) \rightarrow -T^2, \; p_{k>1}(R) \rightarrow 0] ,$$

(1.3)

where $p_k(R)$ is the $k$-th Pontryagin class (see Ref. [1] for definitions and conventions). The equation above has to be interpreted thus as: to obtain $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Anom}[T, \mu]$, we take the anomaly polynomial written in terms of the gauge field strength 2-form $F$ and various Pontryagin classes of Riemann curvature 2-form $R$, replace $F$ with the corresponding chemical potential $\mu$ and the first Pontryagin class with $-T^2$ and set the higher Pontryagin classes to zero. Thus, the replacement rule completely fixes the thermal helicity per unit volume of a QFT to be a homogeneous polynomial in $T$ and $\mu$ with the polynomial coefficients directly related to the anomaly coefficients of the theory. For our purposes, it is useful to rewrite the replacement rule in terms of traces of Riemann products:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Anom}[T, \mu] = \mathcal{P}_{QFT} [F \rightarrow \mu, \; \text{tr} R^{2k} \rightarrow 2(2\pi T)^{2k}] .$$

(1.4)

This conjecture suggests that thermal helicity is a protected quantity when we turn on finite temperature and chemical potential in a relativistic field theory. This surprising result is not obvious at all even in thermal perturbation theory and probably hints at deeper aspects of thermal field theory. For example, for $d = 2$ CFTs, the replacement rule is a corollary of the Cardy formula and its significance lies in the fact that it is a weaker corollary of the Cardy formula which nevertheless generalizes to the larger class of even dimensional QFTs. Furthermore, this conjectured rule is closely related to and is motivated by advances in the role of anomalies in finite temperature and chemical potential [1–28]. A formal argument made by the authors of [22] at the level of equilibrium partition function in $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ spacetime dimensions can be directly adopted into an argument for the thermal helicity conjecture. Recently, this formal argument has been extended to higher dimensions[29].

Until now, various attempts at explicit diagrammatic calculations in interacting field theories to study this result have faced difficulties because of the technical complexities of thermal perturbation theory[23, 30]. As shown by [1, 31, 32], even in free theories, the replacement rule in Eq. (1.4) holds true because of somewhat mysterious cancellations between various Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein integrals. Given these difficulties, it is natural to turn to holography which provides an explicit testing ground for this conjecture. In particular, we seek general insights as to how one should understand the origins of the replacement rule and holography is a promising avenue to look for such insights.

The main aim of this paper is then to understand how a rule of the form given in Eq. (1.4) arises in a holographic setting. As emphasized in [1], often the most convenient way to compute thermal helicity is to place the theory under question on a sphere, turn on chemical

---

1The subtlety of these cancellations is demonstrated by free field theories with chiral gravitini where such cancellations no longer happen leading to a violation of the replacement rule[1].
potentials for angular momenta on the sphere and then study its free energy in the limit where the rotational chemical potentials are small and the radius of the sphere is large. The AdS dual of this procedure is to study large charged rotating AdS black holes and their thermodynamics. To do this, we will begin by adopting the familiar tools of fluid/gravity correspondence for the problem at hand (for some of the related works which study fluid/gravity correspondence in the context of anomalies, see [2–5, 11, 27, 33, 34]).

Basic setup
We will begin by describing the basic setup on the AdS side. In holography, conformal field theories with anomalies in \( d = 2n \) spacetime dimensions are dual to gravitational theories with Chern-Simons terms in \( d + 1 = 2n + 1 \) spacetime dimensions (with the bulk Chern-Simons coefficients being determined by the anomaly coefficients of the CFT as we will describe below). Thus we study the simplest class of gravitational theories with Chern-Simons terms: the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory with a negative cosmological constant. In every odd spacetime dimension, we allow the most general gauge/gravitational/mixed Chern-Simons term that can be formed out of a single Maxwell field \( A \) and the Christoffel connection \( \Gamma \). Realistic holographic examples with Chern-Simons terms often also contain other higher derivative corrections and we believe many of our arguments are sufficiently general to apply to that general case. Thus, our motivation in considering Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory is mainly to provide the simplest (if somewhat contrived) context in which our arguments can be clearly articulated.

We thus focus on the black hole solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons system. The Lagrangian is of the form

\[
L = d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-G} \left[ \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} (R - 2\Lambda) - \frac{1}{4g^2_{EM}} F_{ab}F^{ab} \right] + I_{CS},
\]

(1.5)

where the Chern-Simons part of the Lagrangian is denoted as \( I_{CS} \). The fields are subject to the equations of motion following from (1.5)

\[
R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (R - 2\Lambda) G_{ab} = 8\pi G_N \left[ (T_M)_{ab} + (T_H)_{ab} \right],
\]

\[
\nabla^b F_{ab} = g^2_{EM} (J_H)^a,
\]

(1.6)

where \( G_{ab} \) is an asymptotically AdS \( d+1 \) metric with \( d = 2n \), \( F_{ab} \) is the Maxwell field strength, \( G_N \) and \( g_{EM} \) are the Newton and Maxwell couplings respectively. The cosmological constant is taken to be negative and is given by \( \Lambda \equiv -d(d-1)/2 \) where the AdS radius is set to one. For future use, recall that the normalized \( U(1) \) gauge coupling \( \kappa_q \) is related to magnetic permeability \( g^2_{EM} \) by \( 16\pi G_N / g^2_{EM} = \kappa_q (d-1)/(d-2) \).\(^2\) We now turn to the Einstein and Maxwell sources. The Maxwell energy-momentum tensor \( (T_M)^{ab} \) becomes

\[
(T_M)^{ab} \equiv \frac{\kappa_q}{16\pi G_N} \frac{d-1}{d-2} \left[ F^{ao}F_{ob} - \frac{1}{4} G^{ab} F_{cd}F^{cd} \right],
\]

(1.7)

\(^2\)It is sometimes convenient to normalize the gauge field such that \( \kappa_q (d-1)/(d-2) = 1 \) which is equivalent to setting \( g^2_{EM} = 16\pi G_N \).
whereas \((T_H)_{ab}\) and \((J_H)_a\) are the energy-momentum tensor and the Maxwell charge current obtained by varying the Chern-Simons part of the action. We will call these currents as Hall currents since in the case of Hall insulators, the only terms in the generating function is just the Chern-Simons term. Thus, we can think of the system under study as made of three components: metric (with a negative cosmological constant), a Maxwell field and a Hall insulator coupled to them via the currents \((T_H)_{ab}\) and \((J_H)_a\).

The bulk Hall currents on the AdS\(_d+1\) side encode the anomaly coefficients of the CFT\(_d\) and play a central role in the rest of our paper. Therefore, we will begin by describing the basic structure of these currents that we will need for the rest of this introduction. Let \(I_{\text{CS}}[A, F, \Gamma, R]\) be the Chern-Simons \((d+1)\)-form appearing in the bulk Lagrangian density (1.5). The bulk Hall currents are more conveniently written in terms of the formal \((d+2)\) form \(P_{\text{CFT}}[F, R] = dI_{\text{CS}}\). More concretely, we can define the spin Hall current \((\Sigma_H)_{cba}\) and the charge Hall current \((J_H)^c\) corresponding to \(I_{\text{CS}}\) via

\[
\ast\Sigma_H^{ba} \equiv (\Sigma_H)^{cba} \ast dx_c \equiv -2\partial P_{\text{CFT}}/\partial R_{ab},
\]

\[
\ast J_H^c \equiv (J_H)^c \ast dx_c \equiv -\partial P_{\text{CFT}}/\partial F.
\]

(1.8)

The energy-momentum tensor associated with the Hall currents can in turn be written in terms of the spin-Hall currents: \((T_H)^{ab} = \nabla_c (\Sigma_H)^{cba}\).

We now turn to describing the procedure that we adopt to solve the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons system. We begin with the CFT\(_{2n}\) thermal state living in flat spacetime in the absence of any external electric or magnetic fields. This thermal state is dual to the Anti de Sitter Reissner-Nordstrom (AdS-RN) solution of the above equations.\(^4\) In ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein co-ordinates, we have

\[
ds^2 = 2 \, dt \, dr - r^2 f(r, m, q) \, dt^2 + r^2 \, d\vec{x}_{d-1}^2, \quad A = -\Phi(r, q) \, dt,
\]

where

\[
f \equiv 1 - m/r^d + 1/2 \kappa q^2 r^{2(d-1)}, \quad \Phi \equiv q/r^{d-2}.
\]

(1.10)

In order to study the replacement rule, we need to construct an explicit gravitational solution in the fluid/gravity derivative expansion starting from a boosted counterpart of the solution above and compute directly the anomaly-induced currents and stress tensor.

More concretely, to compute the helicity of this thermal state, we turn on a small rotation on the thermal state letting \(u^\mu\) correspond to a rotating fluid configuration. This is dual to

\(^3\)We refer the reader to Ref. [28] for our conventions for spin currents, differential forms etc. Note that our spin current \((\Sigma_H)^{cb}_a\) is twice the tensor \((L_H)^{cb}_a\), viz., \((\Sigma_H)^{cb}_a = 2(L_H)^{cb}_a\). We can convert our convention to the one commonly used in the gravity literatures by replacing \(\ast U\) with \((-1)\ast U\) (for every bulk form \(U\)) and sending the bulk \(\varepsilon_\ldots\) to \(-\varepsilon_\ldots\), while keeping \(\ast_{\text{CFT}}\) unchanged. See Ref. [35] for more detail.

\(^4\)We note that the Hall currents evaluate to zero in the AdS-RN solution. Hence, the AdS-RN solution is an exact solution of the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons system.
bulk solutions in the fluid/gravity expansion to the appropriate orders (which will be made precise later on). The thermal helicity is contained in terms proportional to the following pseudo-vector appearing in the fluid/gravity expansion of metric and the gauge field\(^5\)

\[ V^\mu \equiv \varepsilon^{\mu \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \ldots \nu_n} u_\nu (\nabla_{\nu_1} u_{\nu_2}) (\nabla_{\nu_2} u_{\nu_3}) \ldots (\nabla_{\nu_{n-1}} u_{\nu_n}), \]

or in terms of forms

\[ \star_{\text{CFT}} V = u \wedge (d u)^{n-1} \equiv u \wedge (2 \omega)^{n-1} \]  

where \( u \equiv u_\mu d x^\mu \) is the velocity one-form and \( \omega \equiv (1/2) d u = (1/2) \omega_{\mu \nu} d x^\mu \wedge d x^\nu \) is the vorticity 2-form with \( \omega_{\mu \nu} \equiv P^\alpha_\mu P^\beta_\nu (\partial_\alpha u_\beta - \partial_\beta u_\alpha)/2 \). Here, \( P^\mu_\nu \equiv \delta^\mu_\nu + u_\mu u_\nu \) is the transverse projector which projects a vector to its component normal to \( u_\mu \) and \( \omega_{\mu \nu} \) is the vorticity of the rotating fluid configuration. Thus, the relevant fluid/gravity solution takes the form

\[ d s^2 = -2 u_\mu d x^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} d r - r^2 f(r, m, q) u_\mu u_\nu d x^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} d x^\nu + r^2 P^\mu_\nu d x^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} d x^\nu + \ldots \]

\[ + 2 g_\nu(r, m, q) u_\nu V_{\nu} d x^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} d x^\nu + \ldots, \]

\[ A = \Phi(r, q) u_\mu d x^\mu + \ldots + a_\nu(r, m, q) V_\nu d x^\mu + \ldots, \]

where we will find useful for later to define the function \( \Phi_\nu \equiv \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{d f}{d r} \). The functions \( g_\nu(r, m, q) \) and \( a_\nu(r, m, q) \) are obtained by solving Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons equations and are proportional to the Hall currents. They describe how the Hall currents dress the original AdS Kerr-Newman solution.

Once these solutions are constructed, we compute the currents and stress tensor of the dual CFT.\(^6\) In fluid/gravity expansion, the leading order effect of the cloud of Hall currents that envelops the blackhole is to shift the total energy, angular momentum and the electric charge of the rotating blackhole under question. These leading order shifts are all that is needed to compute the shift in the free energy on the sphere and in turn, the thermal helicity in the zero rotation limit. In the rest of this introduction, we will describe how the replacement rule emerges out of our computations followed by an outline of the paper.

**Replacement rule in AdS**

We will now describe the elegant way in which holography realizes the replacement rule. The CFT replacement rule in (1.4) is explained by the following insight which follows from our computations: the CFT replacement rule gets reflected in the bulk by the replacement rules obeyed by the Hall currents around the blackhole, i.e., we find that Hall currents evaluated on the blackhole background assume a very simple form which holds for arbitrary Chern-Simons terms. Once this fact is realized, a direct application of AdS/CFT dictionary then translates

\(^5\) Our convention for \( \varepsilon \) will be given in Sec. 2.

\(^6\) We note that computation of the currents and stress tensor are complicated by the higher derivative nature of Chern-Simons terms in the bulk Lagrangian. The procedure for computing dual currents and stress tensor (say by employing counterterms) is not well-understood for higher derivative actions and this problem is worsened by the fact that Chern-Simons terms are not covariant. We will address this issue in a subsequent paper [35] in sufficient detail. For purposes of this paper, we will content ourselves with directly using the results of that subsequent paper with some heuristic motivation to guide the reader.
this result into the required CFT replacement rule. We now describe a set of rules which summarize our computations.

The significance of these rules is the following: we have derived them within a particular holographic setup in the classical gravity limit. However, given the way these rules are closely linked to the CFT replacement rule (which is a non-perturbative statement), we expect our rules to be robust features in AdS more generally.

The relevant part of the currents for formulating such rules are the components \((J^H)_\mu\) and \((T^H)_{r\mu}\) that describe the Hall flow of the radial momentum and the Abelian charge along the boundary directions. We find that, in the fluid/ gravity expansion, the leading order contribution to these flows are along the pseudovector \(V_\mu\) introduced in (1.11), viz.,

\[
(J^H)_\mu = J^{(V)}(r,m,q)V_\mu + \ldots \quad \text{and} \quad (T^H)_{r\mu} = T^{(V)}(r,m,q)V_\mu + \ldots
\]

Similarly, the component \((\Sigma^H)_{r\mu}\) of the spin Hall current has an expansion \((\Sigma^H)_{r\mu} = \Sigma^{(V)}(r,m,q)V_\mu + \ldots\). These components \(J^{(V)}(r,m,q), T^{(V)}(r,m,q)\) and \(\Sigma^{(V)}(r,m,q)\) are apriori general functions of their arguments which need to be computed case by case. The intent of the rules that we give below is to let us simply write down these functions by looking at the Chern-Simons Lagrangian under question.

To formulate these rules, we begin by focusing on the following two functions associated with AdS-RN blackbrane solution:

\[
\Phi_T \equiv \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \frac{df}{dr} = \frac{1}{2r^{d-1}} \left[ md - \kappa_q (d-1) \frac{q^2}{r^{d-2}} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \equiv \frac{q}{r^{d-2}}.
\]

These functions satisfy \(\Phi_T(r = r_H) = 2\pi T\) and \(\Phi(r = r_H) = \mu\) where \(r_H\) is the radius of the outer horizon, \(T\) is the Hawking temperature and \(\mu\) is the chemical potential of the black brane. In the next step, we define the functional \(\mathcal{G}^{(V)}\) via the following rule

\[
\mathcal{G}^{(V)} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{CFT} \left[ F \to \Phi; \quad tr[R^{2k}] \to 2\Phi T^{2k} \right].
\]

Then, we have

\[
J^{(V)}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi} \right],
\]

\[
T^{(V)}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-2} \Sigma^{(V)}_H \right] = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-1}} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi},
\]

(1.15)

where \(\Sigma^{(V)}_H\) is defined by \((\Sigma^H)_{r\mu} = \Sigma^{(V)} V_\mu\) and satisfies

\[
\Sigma^{(V)}_H = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right].
\]

These expressions then describe how the Hall currents are turned on by charged rotating blackhole background. To see how this gets reflected in the dual CFT, we first work out the
change in the metric and gauge field (the functions \( g_V \) and \( a_V \)) due to these Hall currents and then use them to compute how they shift the energy/angular momentum/charge of the blackhole. We then use these shifts to derive the thermal helicity that we are after. The bulk replacement rules above then get translated in the CFT to the field theoretical replacement rule.

**Outline**

In Sec. 2, we briefly describe the equations of motion of the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons action with a negative cosmological constant. Then, we obtain expressions for the anomaly-induced currents and stress tensors in terms of Hall sources derived from the Chern-Simons terms in the equations of motion. Next, in Sec. 3 we present the computation of the source term in the Abelian Chern-Simons case and then proceed to Sec. 4 to compute the general sources. As described in the introduction, the general structure of the sources satisfies a new set of replacement rules deduced from the anomaly polynomial. Having obtained the sources explicitly, in Sec. 5 we finally complete the computation of the currents and stress tensor. The results indeed verify the replacement rules in Eq. (1.4). We then conclude the paper with a brief discussion on the implications of our results as well as future directions.

In the appendices, we provide details of computations deriving the results in the main text. In particular, in Appendix A, we discuss the AdS\(_{d+1}\)-Kerr-Newman metric which we have computed up to second order in fluid/gravity expansion. Then, in Appendix B, we examine in detail various products (and traces of products) of Riemann curvature two-forms evaluated on our solutions. These results are then used in Appendices C and D to prove the general structure of the Maxwell and Einstein sources respectively.

### 2 Solution of Einstein-Maxwell equations

We write the dual gravitational solution to this rotating fluid configuration as

\[
ds^2 = -2u_\mu dx^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} dr - r^2 f(r, m, q) u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} dx^\nu + r^2 P_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} dx^\nu + \ldots \\
+ 2g_V(r, m, q) u_\mu V_\nu dx^\mu \otimes_{\text{sym}} dx^\nu + \ldots , \\
A = \Phi(r, q) u_\mu dx^\mu + \ldots + a_V(r, m, q) V_\mu dx^\mu + \ldots ,
\]

where \( F = dA \). We note that throughout this paper we shall employ the Latin letters \( a, b, \ldots \) to denote indices running through all spacetime coordinates and the Greek letters \( \mu, \nu, \ldots \) for all the boundary coordinates. In particular, we use the coordinates \( x^a = \{ r, x^\mu \} = \{ r, t, \ldots \} \) with the convention for the bulk epsilon tensor being \( \varepsilon_{rt\ldots} = -\sqrt{-G} \) in a \((d+1)\)-dimensional bulk spacetime with bulk metric \( G_{ab} \). We also refer the readers to Appendix B.1 for the raising/lowering of the Greek indices. We note that \( f, g_V, \Phi \) and \( a_V \) depend on \( r \) but not on the CFT\(_{2n}\) coordinates \( x^\mu \), while the rest of the variables only depend on \( x^\mu \). The pseudovector \( V_\mu \) is of the form \( \star_{\text{CFT}} V = u \wedge (du)^{(n-1)} \) which appears in the anomaly induced transport at the \((n-1)\)-th order in the derivative expansion. The terms preceding the first \((\ldots)\) give
boosted RN black brane metric about which we will perform the fluid/gravity expansion. We have dropped all the subsequent terms except the anomaly induced transport terms.

The Einstein-Maxwell contributions in the equations of motion is then evaluated to give the homogeneous parts

$$\frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \left\{ - \left( R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} G_{ab} R \right) + \frac{1}{2} d(d-1) G_{ab} \right\} dx^a \otimes \text{sym} \ dx^b$$

$$= \ldots + \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \times \frac{1}{2} d \left[ r^{d+1} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{g_V}{r^2} \right) - \kappa_q (d-1) q a_V \right]$$

$$\times 2 \left( dr + r^2 f \ u_\mu dx^\mu \right) \otimes \text{sym} \ V_\nu \ dx^\nu + \ldots$$

and

$$- \frac{1}{g_{EM}^2} (\nabla^b F_{ab}) dx^a$$

$$= \ldots + \frac{1}{g_{EM}^2 r^{d-3}} d \left[ r^{d-1} f \ \frac{d a_V}{dr} - (d-2) q \left( \frac{g_V}{r^2} \right) \right] V_\mu \ dx^\mu + \ldots$$

$$= \ldots + \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \times \kappa_q \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-1} f \ \frac{d a_V}{dr} - (d-2) q \left( \frac{g_V}{r^2} \right) \right] V_\mu \ dx^\mu + \ldots$$

(2.3)

where we have exhibited only the anomaly induced transport terms. For the metric and gauge field in (2.1) to be a solution, we have to choose the functions \(\{g_V, a_V\}\) such that the terms above exactly cancel the contribution from the Hall currents arising from the Chern-Simons terms. We shall solve for \(\{g_V, a_V\}\) explicitly in the next step.

If we parametrize the contribution from the Hall currents as

\[(T_H)_{ab} dx^a \otimes \text{sym} \ dx^b = T^{(V)}(r) 2 \left( dr + r^2 f \ u_\mu dx^\mu \right) \otimes \text{sym} \ V_\nu \ dx^\nu + \ldots ,\]

\[(J_H)_{a} dx^a = J^{(V)}(r) V_\mu \ dx^\mu + \ldots ,\]

(2.4)

then we get the Einstein-Maxwell equations with Hall current sources as

$$- \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d+1} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{g_V}{r^2} \right) - \kappa_q (d-1) q a_V \right] = 8\pi G_N r^{d-1} T^{(V)}(r) ,$$

$$- \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-1} f \ \frac{d a_V}{dr} - (d-2) q \left( \frac{g_V}{r^2} \right) \right] = g_{EM}^2 r^{d-3} J^{(V)}(r) .$$

(2.5)

To solve the above two equations, let us now define the Hall current induced total mass function \(M^{(V)}(r)\) and the total charge function \(Q^{(V)}(r)\) (as is familiar from say the discussions of Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation) via

$$Q^{(V)}(r) \equiv \int_{r_H}^r dr' \left[ (r')^{d-3} J^{(V)}(r') \right] ,$$

$$M^{(V)}(r) \equiv \int_{r_H}^r dr' \left[ (r')^{d-1} f(r') T^{(V)}(r') - Q^{(V)}(r') \ \frac{d\Phi(r')}{dr'} \right] .$$

(2.6)
which measure the total Hall charge and Hall mass per unit area until a radius \( r \) in an ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein tube. We have defined our mass and charge functions such that they vanish at the horizon. More precisely \( Q^{(v)} \) has a simple zero at \( r = r_H \) and \( M^{(v)} \) has a double zero at \( r = r_H \). Note that the total mass includes the electrostatic contribution proportional to the 0th order electric field \(-\frac{d\Phi}{dr}\) times the Hall charge current.

These mass and charge functions can be used to solve the Einstein-Maxwell equations (2.5). The first integral of the Maxwell equation is given by

\[
r^{d-1} f \frac{da_v}{dr} - (d - 2)q \left( \frac{g_v}{r^2} \right) + g_{EM}^2 Q^{(v)} = 0. \tag{2.7}
\]

Here we have fixed the constant of integration by demanding that \( g_v \) vanishes at the horizon \( r = r_H \); we can always do this by an appropriate choice of velocity definition in dual hydrodynamics.

Next, we turn to the Einstein equations. Subtracting one of the identities in Eq. (A.3), we find

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d+1} f^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{g_v}{r^2 f} \right) \right] - \frac{\kappa_q}{r^{d-1}} \left( d - 1 \right) q a_v - \frac{d}{dr} \left[ d + \frac{df}{dr} \right] - \frac{\kappa_q}{r^{d-1}} \left( d - 1 \right) q \Phi \left( \frac{g_v}{r^2 f} \right) = 0. \tag{2.8}
\]

where in the last line we have used (2.7). Thus, Einstein equation simplifies to

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d+1} f^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{g_v}{r^2 f} \right) \right] = -16\pi G_N \left[ r^{d-1} f \left( T^{(v)} - Q^{(v)} \frac{d\Phi}{dr} \right) \right] = -16\pi G_N \frac{dM^{(v)}}{dr}. \tag{2.9}
\]

This gives the solution for the metric as

\[
g_v = 16\pi G_N r^2 f \int_r^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{M}^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{d-1} f^2(r')}. \tag{2.10}
\]

In order to solve the gauge field \( a_v \), we rewrite (2.7) in the form

\[
0 = \frac{da_v}{dr} + \left( \frac{g_v}{r^2 f} \right) \frac{d\Phi}{dr} + g_{EM}^2 \frac{Q^{(v)}}{r^{d-1} f}.
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ a_v + \frac{\Phi g_v}{r^2 f} \right] - \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{g_v}{r^2 f} \right) + g_{EM}^2 \frac{Q^{(v)}}{r^{d-1} f} = \frac{d}{dr} \left[ a_v + \frac{\Phi g_v}{r^2 f} \right] + 16\pi G_N q \frac{M^{(v)}}{r^{2d-1} f^2} + g_{EM}^2 \frac{Q^{(v)}}{r^{d-1} f}, \tag{2.11}
\]

which gives

\[
a_v = g_{EM}^2 \int_r^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{M}^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{d-1} f(r')} - 16\pi G_N q \int_r^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{M}^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{d-2} f^2(r')} + 16\pi G_N q \int_r^\infty \frac{d\mathcal{M}^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{2d-1} f^2(r')}. \tag{2.12}
\]
Thus we conclude that our final solution is given by Eq. (2.10) and (2.12) with Eqs. (2.6).

The asymptotic expansion of the metric and gauge field is hence given by\(^7\)

\[ g_\nu = \frac{16\pi G_N}{r^{d-2}a} \mathcal{M}^{(\nu)}(r = \infty) + \ldots , \]

\[ a_\nu = \frac{g_{EM}^2}{r^{d-2}(d-2)} Q^{(\nu)}(r = \infty) + \ldots . \]

From this we obtain the anomaly-induced part of the renormalized Brown-York stress tensor and current:\(^8\)

\[ (T_{\alpha\beta})_{anom} = - \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{d-2}}{8\pi G_N} [K_{\alpha\beta} - K(G_{\alpha\beta} - n_\alpha n_\beta) + (d-1)(G_{\alpha\beta} - n_\alpha n_\beta)]_{anom} \]

\[ = -(V_\alpha u_\beta + V_\beta u_\alpha) \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{16\pi G_N} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{g_\nu}{r^2} \right) \]

\[ = (V_\alpha u_\beta + V_\beta u_\alpha) \int_{r_H}^\infty dr' \left[ (r')^{d-1} f(r') T_H^{(\alpha)}(r') - \frac{d\Phi}{dr} \int_{r_H}^{r'} dr''(r'')^{d-3} J_H^{(\alpha)}(r'') \right] , \]

\[ (J_\alpha)_{anom} = - \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{d-1}}{g_{EM}} \mu_\alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \] \(\epsilon_{anom}^{\nu} \)

\[ = -V_\alpha \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{(d-1)}{(d-2)} \frac{\kappa_q}{16\pi G_N} \int_{r_H}^\infty \frac{d a_\alpha}{dr} \]

\[ = V_\alpha \int_{r_H}^\infty dr'(r')^{d-3} J_H^{(\alpha)}(r') , \]

where we have assumed that the integrals under question converge to a finite value. The extrinsic curvature \(K_{ab}\) is defined in the standard way as \(K_{ab} \equiv (1/2) \mathcal{L}_n G_{ab}\) where \(n^a \partial_a\) is the normal vector of surfaces of constant-\(r\). In Ref. [35], we show that the Chern-Simons contributions to the charges vanish (for AdS\(_{2n+1}\) with \(n \geq 2\)) which is consistent with the above assumption that the Chern-Simons contribution to the stress tensor is zero.

The intuitive meaning behind these expressions is clear: the anomaly-induced currents of the boundary are obtained by integrating from horizon to asymptotic infinity, the contribution to energy-momentum and charge due to the bulk Hall currents. The energy-momentum integral has a ‘gravitational potential energy’ part proportional to \(T_H^{(\nu)}\) and the ‘electric potential energy’ part proportional to \(J_H^{(\nu)}\).

At this point, the problem of computing \((T_{\alpha\beta})_{anom}\) and \((J_\alpha)_{anom}\) reduces to that of computing the sources \(T_H^{(\nu)}\) and \(J_H^{(\nu)}\), which we will carry out in the next sections.

---

\(^7\) Note that at this point we have assumed \(\mathcal{M}^{(\nu)}(r)\) and \(Q^{(\nu)}(r)\) are \(O(r^0)\) when \(r \to \infty\). Physically, this assumption is equivalent to saying that \(g_\nu\) and \(a_\nu\) have fall-off near infinity just like the appropriate multiple-moments of the fields, i.e. \(g_\nu\) and \(a_\nu\) both fall off as \(\sim r^{2-d}\). We will see in Eq. (5.9) that indeed both \(\mathcal{M}^{(\nu)}(r)\) and \(Q^{(\nu)}(r)\) are of \(O(r^0)\) as \(r \to \infty\) after we have obtained \(J_H^{(\nu)}(r)\) and \(T_H^{(\nu)}(r)\) explicitly.

\(^8\) We assume \(d > 2\). The analysis for \(d = 2\) involves additional contributions to the charges from the Chern-Simons terms. See, for e.g., Ref [36] for the analysis in \(d = 2\).
3 Abelian Chern-Simons term

In this section, we explain the evaluation of the Hall contribution for the Abelian Chern-Simons term. This was first done in [11] and here we repeat their derivation in our notation for the convenience of the reader. Evaluation of the Hall contribution for more general Chern-Simons terms is illustrated explicitly in the case of AdS$_7$ while the general results are summarized in Sec. 4. The detail of the computation is given in Appendices C and D.

For the Abelian Chern-Simons term on AdS$_{d+1}$ with $d = 2n$ and its CFT$_{2n}$ dual, we take the anomaly polynomial as $P_{\text{CFT}} = c_A F^{n+1}$ where $c_A$ is a constant. We then have the charge and spin Hall current as well as the Hall energy-momentum tensor as

$$ \star J_H = -(n+1)c_A F^n \quad \text{and} \quad (\star \Sigma_H)^a = 0, \quad (\star T_H)^{ab} = 0. $$

Using $A = \Phi u + A_\infty + \ldots$, we obtain the corresponding $U(1)$ field strength as

$$ F = \frac{d\Phi}{dr} dr \wedge u + F_\infty + \Phi du + \ldots, $$

where $F_\infty \equiv dA_\infty = B$ is the magnetic field. Then, the charge Hall current is evaluated as

$$ \star J_H = n(n+1)c_A \frac{d\Phi}{dr} u \wedge (F_\infty + \Phi du)^{n-1} \wedge dr + \ldots $$

$$ = n(n+1)c_A \frac{d\Phi}{dr} u \wedge (B + 2\omega \Phi)^{n-1} \wedge dr + \ldots $$

(3.3)

where we have defined the combination of pseudovectors $G$ as

$$ \star_{\text{CFT}} G \equiv \star_{\text{CFT}} (G_\mu dx^\mu) $$

$$ = \frac{u}{(2\omega)^2} \wedge [c_A (B + 2\omega \Phi)^{n+1} - c_A B^{n+1} - (n+1)c_A B^n (2\omega \Phi)] $$

$$ = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} c_A \Phi^{k+1} u \wedge B^{n-k} \wedge (2\omega)^{k-1}. $$

(3.4)

In the presence of external magnetic fields$^9$ $B \equiv dA_\infty$, additional pseudo-vectors of the form

$$ \star_{\text{CFT}} V \equiv u \wedge (B)^{n-k} \wedge (du)^{k-1}, $$

(3.5)

appear for each $k$ satisfying $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let us now define $G \equiv G_\mu dx^\mu$ and the corresponding coefficients of each pseudovector $V_\mu$ in $G$ by $G^{(V)}$:

$$ G_\mu dx^\mu = \sum_{\{V\}} G^{(V)} V_\mu dx^\mu. $$

(3.6)

$^9$ We consider non-zero external magnetic fields only in this section (i.e. in the case of Abelian Chern-Simons terms). For the rest of the paper, we shall switch off the magnetic fields.
Then, we have

\[ G^{n-k}(2 \omega)_{k-1} = \binom{n+1}{k+1} c_A \Phi^{k+1}. \]  

(3.7)

Note that the second or higher order terms in the fluid/gravity expansion of \( F \) do not contribute at order \( \omega^{k-1} \) for any \( k \). This is a direct consequence of the fact that wedge products of two or more zeroth order \( F \)'s are zero.

In the next step, we use the following result in (3.3): For a \( p \)-form \( N \) with legs only along the boundary directions and completely transverse to the velocity vector \( u_\mu \), the following relation for the Hodge duals of the CFT and the bulk holds:

\[ (\ast_{\text{CFT}} N) \wedge dr = \frac{(-1)^d}{r^{d-1-2p}} \ast_{\text{N}}. \]  

(3.8)

Here we assumed one is working in the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. This gives another expression for the charge Hall current which is useful when we compare with the result of the bulk AdS calculation:

\[ \ast J^H = \ast \left( \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial G_\mu}{\partial \Phi} \right) + \ldots, \]  

(3.9)

or

\[ (J^H)_a dx^a = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{\partial G_\mu}{\partial \Phi} \right] dx^\mu + \ldots. \]  

(3.10)

Picking out the coefficient of one of the pseudo-vectors \( V_\mu \), we have the expression for the Maxwell source (for convenience, we also denote the expression for the Einstein source, though it is trivially zero in the case of Abelian Chern-Simons terms)

\[ J^{(V)}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{\partial G^{(V)}_\mu}{\partial \Phi} \right], \]  

(3.11)

\[ T^{(V)}_H = 0. \]

In particular, we have

\[ J^{B_{n-k}(2 \omega)_{k-1}}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ (k+1) \binom{n+1}{k+1} c_A \Phi^k \right], \]  

(3.12)

\[ T^{B_{n-k}(2 \omega)_{k-1}}_H = 0. \]

4 General Chern-Simons terms: Maxwell and Einstein sources

For the sake of clarity, in this section we will only present a summary of our results of the Hall contribution for more general Chern-Simons terms in \( \text{AdS}_{2n+1} \) for \( 1 \leq n \leq 4 \). A more detailed computation for all the other dimensions can be found in Appendices C and D. To exemplify the computations, we will however first present here the details of the \( \text{AdS}_7 \) (\( n = 3 \)) case. Using these findings, finally, we make a proposal for the general structure of the sources and replacement rule.
4.1 Illustrative case: Chern-Simons terms in AdS$_7$

For a theory with anomaly polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}_5} = c_A F^4 + c_M F^2 \wedge \text{tr} R^2 + c_g \text{tr} R^2 \wedge \text{tr} R^2 + \tilde{c}_g \text{tr} R^4,$$

via Eq. (1.8) we find the corresponding charge Hall current $(J_H)^a$ and the Hall energy-momentum tensor $(T^a_H)_{\mu \nu}$,

$$(J_H)^a = -\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^{apqrs} F_{pqr} F_{s} + 2 c_M R^b_R c_{p1} p2 F_{p3} p4 F_{p5} p6, \quad (T^a_H)_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{4} c_M \nabla_c \left[ \varepsilon^{apqrs} F_{pqr} R^b_R p1 p2 F_{p3} p4 F_{p5} p6 + \varepsilon^{bpqrs} F_{pqr} R^a_R p1 p2 F_{p3} p4 F_{p5} p6 \right]
- \frac{1}{2} c_g \nabla_c \left[ \varepsilon^{apqrs} F_{pqr} R^b_R p1 p2 R^f_R p3 p4 R^d_R p5 p6 + \varepsilon^{bpqrs} F_{pqr} R^a_R p1 p2 R^f_R p3 p4 R^d_R p5 p6 \right]
- \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}_g \nabla_c \left[ \varepsilon^{apqrs} F_{pqr} R^b_R p1 p2 R^f_R p3 p4 F^c_c p5 p6 + \varepsilon^{bpqrs} F_{pqr} R^a_R p1 p2 R^f_R p3 p4 F^c_c p5 p6 \right].$$

Evaluating these sources (see Sec. 4.1.1 and Appendix D.4 for the computations), we obtain

$$J^{(v)}_H = \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial G^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi},
T^{(v)}_H = -\frac{1}{2r^5} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial G^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi},$$

where $G^{(v)}$ is the the coefficient of the pseudovector $V_{\mu}$ in a pseudovector combination $G_{\mu}$ with

$${}^\text{CFT} G \equiv {}^\text{CFT} (G_{\mu} dx^\mu)
= \frac{u}{(2\omega)^2} \wedge \left[ c_A (2\omega \Phi)^4 + 2 c_M (2\omega \Phi)^2 (2\omega \Phi T)^2 + (2c_g + 2\tilde{c}_g) (2\omega \Phi T)^4 \right]
= \left[ c_A \Phi^4 + 2 c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2 + (2c_g + 2\tilde{c}_g) \Phi_T^4 \right] u \wedge (2\omega)^2. $$

Thus, we have

$$G^{(2\omega)^2} = c_A \Phi^4 + 2 c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2 + (2c_g + 2\tilde{c}_g) \Phi_T^4.$$
For now let us consider the 0th and 1st order terms in $F$ and $R$ only and forget the 2nd and higher order terms. First, we shall explain some notations (which are explained in details in Appendix B). We denote the curvature 2-form $R^a_b$ at $m$-th order of the derivative expansion as $(R^a_b)^m$. Similarly, let us denote the $m$-th order term of the $U(1)$ field strength $F$ by $(F^m)$. Then the leading contribution to the Maxwell source is given by

$$\star J_H = -4c_M (F^1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^1) 1] - 2c_M (F^0) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^1) R^1]$$

$$= \frac{d}{dr} (4c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2) (u \wedge (2\omega)^2 \wedge dr),$$

$$J_H^{(2\omega)^2} = \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial (2c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2)}{\partial \Phi},$$

where $\star J_H$ is indeed of order $\omega^2$. At lower orders, we encounter $(F^0) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^0) R^0]$, $(F^0) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^0) R^1]$, $(F^1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^0) R^0]$, (4.9)

but these are all zero as a result of $(R^0 R^0) = 0$ (see Eq. (B.8)) and the fact that both $(F^0)$ and $\text{tr}[(R^0) R^1]$ are proportional to $dr \wedge u$ (see Eq. (B.4) and Eq. (B.36)). Therefore the contribution in Eq. (4.8) is indeed the leading one.

Now we take into account the 2nd and higher order terms in $F$ and $R$. Then, up to $\omega^2$ order, we encounter the following terms:

$$(F^0) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^0 2)], \quad (F^2) \wedge \text{tr}[(R^0 0)],$$

which also vanish, since $(R^0 R^0) = 0$ and $\text{tr}[(R^0 2)] = 0$ (see Eq. (B.48)).

As an application of this result, let us compute the thermal helicity of the (2,0) theory using its anomaly polynomial. The thermal helicity of (2,0) theory with a gauge group $G$ is then given by

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\text{Anom}} = -\frac{2\pi r_G}{48} \left[ p_2(\mu_{SO(5)}) + \frac{1}{4} (T^2 + p_1(\mu_{SO(5)}))^2 \right] - \frac{2\pi d_G h_G}{24} p_2(\mu_{SO(5)}),$$

where $r_G$, $d_G$ and $h_G$ are the rank, dimension and Coxeter number of the gauge group $G$ respectively and

$$p_1(\mu_{SO(5)}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \mu_{SO(5)}^2 \right),$$

$$p_2(\mu_{SO(5)}) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \mu_{SO(5)}^4 - \frac{1}{8} (\text{Tr} \mu_{SO(5)}^2)^2 \right).$$

It would be interesting for future work to study the thermal partition function of (2,0) theory and match it against this prediction from holography.

\[10\] Anomaly polynomial of (2,0) theory is given in say Eq. (2.1) and Eq. (2.2) of [37] or Eq. (2.9) and Eq. (2.10) of [38].
4.2 Summary of results: general structure of sources and replacement rule

The computations for the sources in various dimensions are performed in two different ways. On the one hand, we employed a straightforward brute force computation (till AdS$_9$ using the solutions up to second order given in Appendix A) with Mathematica of the Riemann tensor and field strength contributions to get the sources. On the other hand, we also performed a more elegant though lengthy computation order-by-order in the derivative expansion. The details of the latter calculations can be found in Appendices B, C and D. These independent calculations perfectly matched and served as crosschecks of our results that are contained in Table 1 with Maxwell and Einstein sources defined by

$$J^{(V)}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \partial G^{(V)}$$

$$T^{(V)}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-2} \Sigma^{(V)}_H \right] = - \frac{1}{2r^{d-1}} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial G^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T},$$

where $\Sigma^{(V)}_H$ is given by equation (1.16).

| $\#n$ | $(V)$ | $G^{(V)}$ |
|-------|-------|-----------|
| 1     | $(2\omega)^0$ | $2c_g \Phi^2_T$ |
| 2     | $(2\omega)^1$ | $c_A \Phi^4 + 2c_M \Phi \Phi_T^2$ |
| 3     | $(2\omega)^2$ | $c_A \Phi^4 + 2c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2 + \left( 2^2 c_g + 2 \tilde{c}_g \right) \Phi_T^4$ |
| 4     | $(2\omega)^3$ | $c_A \Phi^5 + 2c_M \Phi^3 \Phi_T^2 + \left( 2^2 \tilde{c}_M + 2 \tilde{c}_M \right) \Phi \Phi_T^4$ |

Table 1. $G^{(V)}$ polynomials for the Maxwell and Einstein sources (4.14) in AdS$_{2n+1}$ and $1 \leq n \leq 4$.

Our results are consistent with the replacement rule for $G^{(V)}$ as a polynomial in $\{\Phi, \Phi_T\}$ in Eq. (1.14). We work out in the next section the implications of such a conjecture.

5 General computations of $(T_{\alpha \beta})_{anom}$ and $(J_{\alpha})_{anom}$

We now turn to solving the Einstein-Maxwell equations for sources of the form in (4.14). To do this, we compute the mass and the charge integrals via (2.6). To evaluate these integrals explicitly, it is useful to define the following function which has a double zero at $r = r_H$:

$$\tilde{G}^{(V)} = \left[ G^{(V)} \right]_{r=r_H} - G^{(V)} + \left[ \Phi - \Phi(r_H) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial G^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi} \right]_{r=r_H} + \left[ \Phi_T - \Phi_T(r_H) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial G^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right]_{r=r_H},$$

so that we can write the sources (4.14) as

$$J^{(V)}_H = -\frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi}, \quad T^{(V)}_H = \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right].$$

Note that a priori the third order metric can contribute to the sources in AdS$_9$. However, as we argue in Appendix C and Appendix D, the second order metric suffices even in AdS$_9$. 

---

11 Note that a priori the third order metric can contribute to the sources in AdS$_9$. However, as we argue in Appendix C and Appendix D, the second order metric suffices even in AdS$_9$. 

---
First of all, this gives the total charge function

\[
Q^{(v)} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi}.
\]  

(5.3)

In order to do the integral in the expression of \( M^{(v)} \), we look at

\[
r^{d-1} f \ T^{(v)}_M - Q^{(v)} \frac{d\Phi}{dr} = f \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{r^2}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right] + \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} \frac{d\Phi}{dr}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^2 f^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} \frac{d\Phi}{dr} + \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \frac{d\Phi}{dr},
\]

(5.4)

so that we finally have the total mass function

\[
M^{(v)} = \tilde{G}^{(v)} + \frac{1}{2} r^2 f^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right).
\]

(5.5)

Thus, we finally obtain

\[
g_{v} = 16\pi G_N \ r^2 f \int_r^\infty \frac{M^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{d-1} f^2(r')} \, dr',
\]

\[
a_{v} = \frac{g^2_{EM}}{4} \int_r^\infty \frac{Q^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{d-2} f(r')} - 16\pi G_N \ q \ r^{d-2} \int_r^\infty \frac{M^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{d+1} f^2(r')} \, dr' + 16\pi G_N q \ \int_r^\infty \frac{M^{(v)}(r')}{(r')^{2d-1} f^2(r')} \, dr',
\]

(5.6)

with

\[
M^{(v)} = \tilde{G}^{(v)} + \frac{1}{2} r^2 f^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{1}{f} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right),
\]

Q^{(v)} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi}.

(5.7)

By using these expressions, we calculate the total mass and charge correction due to the Hall sources. Noticing that as \( r \to \infty \), \( \{ \Phi, \Phi_T \} \to 0 \) also

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} \right)_{r=\infty} = \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} - \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} - \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right)_{r=r_H},
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right)_{r=\infty} = \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{G}^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} \right)_{r=r_H},
\]

(5.8)
Then, the total mass and the charge correction due to the Hall sources is

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(v)}(r = \infty) = \left( G^{(v)} - \Phi \frac{\partial G^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} - \Phi_T \frac{\partial G^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right)_{r = r_H},
\]
\[
Q^{(v)}(r = \infty) = -\left( \frac{\partial G^{(v)}}{\partial \Phi} \right)_{r = r_H}.
\]

(5.9)

From this, we get the anomaly induced currents as

\[
(T_{\alpha \beta})_{\text{anom}} = \left( G_\alpha - \Phi \frac{\partial G_\alpha}{\partial \Phi} - \Phi_T \frac{\partial G_\alpha}{\partial \Phi_T} \right)_{r = r_H} u_\beta + u_\alpha \left( G_\beta - \Phi \frac{\partial G_\beta}{\partial \Phi} - \Phi_T \frac{\partial G_\beta}{\partial \Phi_T} \right)_{r = r_H},
\]
\[
(J_\alpha)_{\text{anom}} = -\left( \frac{\partial G_\alpha}{\partial \Phi} \right)_{r = r_H}.
\]

(5.10)

The physics behind Eq. (5.9) and Eq. (5.10) is very intuitive. In terms of the energy \( \mathcal{M} \), the standard Gibbs potential \( G \) and the charge \( Q \) are given by thermodynamic relations

\[
\mathcal{M} = G + \mu Q + TS = G - \mu \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} \right)_T - T \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial T} \right)_\mu,
\]
\[
Q = -\left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mu} \right)_T,
\]

(5.11)

which is just Eq. (5.9) after substituting \( \Phi(r_H) = \mu \) and \( \Phi_T(r_H) = 2\pi T \). Thus we conclude that the vector \( G_\alpha(r = r_H) \) is the anomaly-induced free-energy current. We refer the reader to Refs. [12, 32, 39] for a more detailed discussion of how the anomalous parts of charge/entropy/energy could be derived from the Gibbs current, which gives exactly Eq. (5.10).

As described in [1], the anomaly induced free-energy can be exponentiated to compute the anomaly-induced partition function from which the correlator describing thermal helicity follows simply. As advertised, it is straightforward to check that this procedure yields the correct thermal helicity as conjectured in [1].

6 Discussions and conclusions

We will begin with a brief summary of our results. In this paper, we have studied in detail how holography gives rise to the replacement rule for thermal helicity that was proposed in [1]. Combined with the tests in free field theory that were performed in [1, 32], these results suggest that replacement rule holds in a wide class of field theories and complement the recent formal proofs of the replacement rule in [22, 29]. Let us remind the reader of some of the features of the replacement rule which are surprising from a field theory viewpoint but find natural explanation in a holographic context.
The first surprising feature is that the gravitational anomalies contribute to thermal helicity at all in the first place. In fact, if one merely constrains the equilibrium partition function of the field theory to have the correct anomalous transformation (or equivalently, imposes anomalous Ward identities on thermal correlators) one would naively conclude that gravitational anomalies involve too many derivatives to contribute to the thermal correlator that defines thermal helicity\cite{10, 18–21, 39}. Hydrodynamic arguments involving studying the second law of thermodynamics in the presence of anomalies also lead to the same naive result\cite{7, 12}. Thus, replacement rule is a puzzling feature in thermal field theory whereby anomalies impose an important constraint on observables and this constraint cannot be merely captured by anomalous Ward identities alone.\footnote{We remark that this issue shows up in a different disguise in studies of thermal Hall effect, which complicates the derivation of thermal Hall effect \cite{40}.
}

On the AdS side, this puzzle gets easily resolved. While it is true that gravitational Chern-Simons terms have too many derivatives of the metric, as can be gleaned from our computation, this does not prevent them from affecting the blackhole solution at a much lower order in the fluid/gravity expansion. This is a simple consequence of the fact that in AdS the extra derivatives can be soaked up by the radial derivatives thus letting the higher derivative terms to contribute with low number of boundary derivatives. This is an example of how a very puzzling feature of the CFT finds an essentially trivial explanation on AdS side.

The second feature of the replacement rule is that the temperature appears in Eq.\eqref{1.4} via the factors of $2\pi T$. These powers of $2\pi$ are another indication that any algebraic manipulation of anomalous Ward identities cannot lead to the replacement rule. In holography, on the other hand, $2\pi T$ is just the surface gravity of the black brane and it is unsurprising that a gravity calculation involves such factors.

It is instructive to compare these features of the replacement rule to the Cardy formula which also involves derivative-jumping by the conformal anomaly and additional factors of $2\pi$ neither of which can be established by examining Ward identities alone. In the case of Cardy formula, it is well-known that any field-theory proof of it should necessarily invoke the modular property of the underlying 2d CFT (or an equivalent thereof) to reproduce these features. On the other hand, Cardy formula is of course routinely derived in the AdS\textsubscript{3} by a straightforward gravity computation. Our calculations show that replacement rule in higher dimension shares this feature: while the formal field-theory proofs of replacement rule involve subtle arguments regarding partition function on cones\cite{22, 29}, on the gravity side, we have a straightforward gravity computation.

A third puzzling feature of the replacement rule is why only the first Pontryagin class $p_1(R)$ contributes to the thermal helicity. While we do not know of a simple field theoretic reason for this fact, we have a simple explanation on the gravity side - when Hall currents are computed in charged rotating blackhole background, to leading order, terms in Hall currents involving the higher Pontryagin class vanish. We do not yet know of a simple way to translate this observation to the CFT side. It would be interesting to see whether we can use such
insights gleaned from holography to understand better how the replacement rule arises in thermal field theory.

We will now conclude by mentioning various future directions. Perhaps the simplest generalization of the computations in this paper is to turn on the boundary magnetic field. This was already done for pure Abelian Chern-Simons terms by the authors of [11] as we reviewed in Sec. 3. We expect that most of our arguments for mixed Chern-Simons terms would continue to hold with some simple modifications. There are detailed predictions from field theory on how the magnetic field should appear in the anomaly induced transport and it would be nice to check these predictions against holography.

A more involved exercise would be to study the response to turning on boundary Riemann curvature. This necessarily involves proceeding to higher orders in the fluid/gravity expansion and studying Hall currents and their backreaction in more detail. The field theory studies of these terms [28, 29] suggests that the new terms would be conveniently arranged in terms of a spin chemical potential \( (\mu_R)^\alpha_\beta \) defined via \( (\mu_R)^\alpha_\beta = T \nabla_\beta (u^\alpha) \). It would be instructive to see how this happens in gravity. In this paper, we have essentially used the standard holographic counter term prescription for the Einstein-Maxwell system to compute the currents and stress tensor of the dual CFT. We have done it by assuming that the modifications due to Chern-Simons terms do not contribute to the computations in this paper. This is a non-trivial assumption since the counter term procedure has never been generalized to arbitrary higher dimensional Chern-Simons terms. AdS/CFT with Chern-Simons terms have been studied extensively in the last decade mostly in the context of AdS\(_3\)/CFT\(_2\) [36]. In AdS\(_3\)/CFT\(_2\) context, many proposals now exist for computing total charges in the presence of Chern-Simons terms [41–45]. Most of them have not yet been generalized, much less tested in higher dimensional holography even in the absence of Chern-Simons terms.

For a manifestly covariant bulk Lagrangian, a more well-established and well-tested result in higher dimensions is the entropy proposal by Wald for higher derivative actions. In the last few years, there have been various attempts to extend the famous analysis of Iyer-Wald to Chern-Simons terms in arbitrary dimensions [46, 47]. As far as we are aware of, however, there is no current proposal which gives manifestly covariant charges/entropy for the dual anomalous CFT.\(^{13}\) Hence, it would be nice to write down a covariant proposal for the charges of dual CFT from the gravitational solution. This would also lead to a covariant proposal for entropy extending the constructions in [46, 47] to arbitrary Chern-Simons terms. This will be addressed in our subsequent work [35].

Another generalization would be to study anomaly-induced transport slightly away from equilibrium, where again recent field theory arguments [29] seem to suggest novel contributions to anomaly-induced transport. More broadly, we hope that our computations here are

\(^{13}\)To be more precise, we remind the reader that the usual currents and the energy-momentum tensor of a QFT with anomalies are not expected to be covariant. However, this situation is easily resolved by adding certain state-independent non-gauge invariant terms (called Bardeen-Zumino terms). Such Bardeen-Zumino corrected currents and the energy-momentum tensor are indeed covariant and what we are seeking is a procedure to calculate such covariant currents of the CFT from the AdS side.
a first step towards a deeper understanding of finite temperature holography in the presence of Chern-Simons terms.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank A. Adams, J. Bhattacharya, S. Chapman, G. Comp`ere, K. Jensen, S. Minwalla, M. Rangamani, S. Wadia and A. Yarom for valuable discussion. T. A. was supported by the LabEx ENS-ICFP: ANR-10-LABX-0010/ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL* and JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad. R. L. was supported by the Harvard Society of Fellows through a junior fellowship. R. L. would like to thank various colleagues at the society for interesting discussions. M. J. R. was supported by the European Commission - Marie Curie grant PIOF-GA 2010-275082. G. N. was supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-91ER40654 and the Fundamental Laws Initiative at Harvard.

A AdS$_{d+1}$-RN black brane and AdS$_{d+1}$-Kerr-Newman metric

A.1 AdS$_{d+1}$-RN black brane solution

AdS-RN black brane solution of the Einstein-Maxwell-Chern-Simons system takes the form

$$ds^2 = 2 dt dr - r^2 f(r, m, q) dt^2 + r^2 d\vec{x}_{d-1}^2, \quad A = -\Phi(r, q) \, dt,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

where

$$f(r, m, q) \equiv 1 - \frac{m}{r^d} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_q \frac{q^2}{r^{2(d-1)}}, \quad \Phi(r, q) \equiv \frac{q}{r^{d-2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

The Einstein-Maxwell equations in (1.6) then lead to the following relation between $f(r, m, q)$ and $\Phi(r, q)$:

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d+1} f \frac{df}{dr} + \kappa_q (d-1) q \Phi \right] = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-1} f \frac{d\Phi}{dr} + (d-2) q f \right] = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

For later convenience, we introduce the function $\Phi_T(r, m, q)$ via

$$\Phi_T(r, m, q) \equiv \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{df}{dr} = \frac{1}{2 r^{d-1}} \left[ m d - \kappa_q (d-1) \frac{q^2}{r^{d-2}} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

The function $\Phi_T$ satisfies $\Phi_T(r = r_\mu) = 2\pi T$ where $r_\mu$ is the radius of the outer horizon and $T$ is the Hawking temperature of the black brane.

We will reparametrize the solution in terms of two other variables $\{r_\mu, Q\}$ where $r_\mu$ is the radius of the outer horizon and $Q$ is a measure of the total charge of the AdS RN solution:

$$m = r_\mu^d \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_q Q^2 \right], \quad q = r_\mu^{d-1} Q.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)
The thermodynamic charges and potentials of this solution are parametrized by \((M: \text{mass density}, S: \text{entropy density}, Q: \text{electric charge density}, \mu: \text{chemical potential})\)

\[
M = \frac{(d - 1)}{16\pi G_N} m = \frac{(d - 1)}{16\pi G_N} \frac{r^d}{2}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\kappa q Q^2\right], \quad S = \frac{r^{d-1}}{4G_N}, \quad Q = \frac{(d - 1)\kappa q}{16\pi G_N} q = \frac{(d - 1)\kappa q}{16\pi G_N} dH, \quad \mu = \Phi(r_H) = Q r_H, 
\]

\[
T = \frac{r^2 f(r_H)}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi_T(r_H) = \frac{r^2}{4\pi} \left[d - \frac{1}{2}(d - 2)\kappa q Q^2\right].
\]

This AdS-RN black-brane solution can be boosted into a solution of the form

\[
ds^2 = -2u_\mu dx^\mu (dr + rW_{\nu}dx^\nu) - r^2 f(r, m, q) u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu + r^2 P_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad A = \Phi(r, q) u_\mu dx^\mu, 
\]

where \(u_\mu\) is the constant velocity that defines the rest frame of the blackbrane and

\[
P_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu, \quad W_{\mu} = (u^\nu \nabla_\nu)u_\mu - \frac{\nabla_\nu u^\nu}{d - 1} u_\mu .
\]

Here \(P_{\mu\nu}\) is the projection operator and \(W_\mu\) is the hydrodynamic Weyl connection introduced in [48].

### A.2 AdS\(d+1\)-Kerr-Newman metric: second order

We will now consider the Einstein-Maxwell system with Chern-Simons terms turned off. The AdS\(_{d+1}\) Kerr-Newman solution – which is unknown – of this system can be found in a derivative expansion via the fluid/gravity correspondence by starting with the boosted AdS-RN black brane metric (A.9). The metric and gauge field can be written in the form

\[
ds^2 = -2u_\mu dx^\mu \left(dr + rW_{\nu}dx^\nu - S_{\nu\lambda}u_\lambda dx^\nu\right)
\]

\[
- r^2 f(r, m, q) u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu + \left[r^2 P_{\mu\nu} - \omega_\mu^\alpha \omega_\alpha^{\nu}\right] dx^\mu dx^\nu
\]

\[
+ g(r, m, q) \omega_\alpha^\beta \omega_\beta^\alpha u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu + h(r, m, q) \left[\omega_\mu^\alpha \omega_\alpha^{\nu} + \frac{1}{d - 1} \omega_\alpha^\beta \omega_\beta^\alpha P_{\mu\nu}\right] dx^\mu dx^\nu,
\]

\[
A = \Phi(r, q) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2r^d} \omega_\alpha^\beta \omega_\beta^\alpha\right] u_\mu dx^\mu, 
\]

where \(P_{\mu\nu}, W_\mu, f(r, m, q)\) and \(\Phi(r, q)\) are given by Eq. (A.10) and Eq. (A.2) while

\[
g(r, m, q) = -\frac{m}{2r^d} + \frac{\kappa q^2}{2} \frac{1}{r^{2(d-1)}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{(d - 1)(d - 2)}\right], \quad h(r, m, q) = -\frac{d}{d - 2} \kappa q \frac{r^2 q^2}{r_{H}^{2d}} \int_{r/r_{H}}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^{d-1}}{\zeta^{2d+1} f(\zeta, r_H, m, q)} d\zeta. 
\]
The Weyl covariantized Schouten tensor $\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}$ is a tensor defined in terms of the Ricci tensor $R^{\text{bdy}}_{\mu\nu}$ and Ricci scalar $R^{\text{bdy}}$ of the boundary metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the hydrodynamic Weyl connection $W_\mu$. It is given by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{d-2} \left( R^{\text{bdy}}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R^{\text{bdy}}}{2(d-1)} g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \nabla_\mu W_\nu + W_\mu W_\nu - \frac{1}{2} W^2 g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{d-2} (\nabla_\mu W_\nu - \nabla_\nu W_\mu).
$$

(A.14)

We have ignored the non-stationary contributions to the fluid gravity metric which are zero for AdS-Kerr-Newman solution. We have checked using Mathematica that the above metric and gauge field solve the Einstein as well as the Maxwell equations up to second order and $d+1 = 11$ provided the $\{m, q, u^\mu\}$ solve the ideal fluid equations. For the AdS-Kerr-Newman case, we want to further turn off non-stationary contributions both of which can be implemented by demanding

$$
\nabla_\mu m + d W_\mu m = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu q + (d-1) W_\mu q = 0,
$$

(A.15)

up to second order in a derivative expansion. This, in particular, implies

$$
\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu m + (d \nabla_\mu W_\nu - d^2 W_\mu W_\nu) m = 0, \\
\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu q + ((d-1) \nabla_\mu W_\nu - (d-1)^2 W_\mu W_\nu) q = 0, \\
\nabla_\mu W_\nu - \nabla_\nu W_\mu = 0.
$$

(A.16)

We will present the fluid variables in a convenient coordinate system for comparison with the rest of the literature. Consider the AdS$_{d+1}$-Kerr-Newman BHs: we begin by defining two integers $n$ and $\sigma_{CFT}$ via $d = 2n + \sigma_{CFT}$ where $n$ is the number of angular momenta in AdS$_{d+1}$.

We can then parametrize AdS$_{d+1}$ Kerr-Newman solution by a radial coordinate $r$, a time co-ordinate $t$ along with $d-1 = 2n + \sigma_{CFT} - 1$ spheroidal coordinates on $S^{d-1}$. We choose these spheroidal coordinates to be

- $n + \sigma_{CFT}$ number of direction cosines $r_k$, obeying $\sum_{k=1}^{n+\sigma_{CFT}} r_k^2 = R^2$ and $r_k \geq 0$ where $R$ denotes the radius of the sphere $S^{d-1}$. We can thus take the first $n + \sigma_{CFT} - 1$ direction cosines as independent variables with $r_{n+\sigma_{CFT}} = (R^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n+\sigma_{CFT}-1} r_k^2)^{1/2}$.

- $n + \sigma_{CFT}$ azimuthal angles $\varphi_k$ with $\varphi_{n+1} = 0$ identically.

For the sake of simplicity, in what follows, we denote the sums $\sum_{k=1}^{n+\sigma_{CFT}}$ as just $\sum_k$. The angular velocities along the different $\varphi_k$’s are denoted by $\Omega_k$ (with $\Omega_{n+1} = 0$ identically).
It is then convenient to take the dual CFT velocity configuration and the boundary metric of AdS Kerr-Newman solution as

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^\mu \partial_\mu & = \partial_t + \sum_i \Omega_i \partial_{\phi_i}, \\
    g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu & = \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[ -dt^2 + \sum_i \left( dr_i^2 + r_i^2 d\phi_i^2 \right) \right], \\
    \Delta & = 1 - \sum_k \Omega_k^2 r_k^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(A.17)

This corresponds to a purely rotating velocity configuration in a spacetime which is \( \mathbb{R} \times S^{d-1} \) up to a convenient conformal factor \( \Delta \). With this choice of conformal factor, we have \( W_\mu = 0 \) and we can take the corresponding \( m \) and \( q \) to be constant independent of the boundary coordinates.

Using the above configuration, we can then evaluate various tensors appearing in the fluid/gravity metric

\[
\begin{align*}
    u & = u_\mu dx^\mu = -\frac{1}{\Delta} dt + \sum_i \Omega_i r_i^2 d\phi_i, \\
    dt + u & = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_i r_i^2 \Omega_i (d\phi_i - \Omega_i dt), \\
    d\phi_k + \Omega_k u & = d\phi_k - \Omega_k dt + \frac{\Omega_k}{\Delta} \sum_i r_i^2 \Omega_i (d\phi_i - \Omega_i dt), \\
    P_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu & = -\frac{1}{\Delta} (dt + u)^2 + \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_i \left[ dr_i^2 + r_i^2 (d\phi_i + \Omega_i u)^2 \right], \\
    W_\mu & = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(A.18)

Further we define the following scalars and tensors which will be useful in what follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    \Upsilon_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu & = \frac{1}{R^2 \Delta} dt^2 - \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_k \Omega_k^2 (dr_k^2 + r_k^2 d\phi_k^2) - \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_k \Omega_k^2 r_k dr_k \right)^2, \\
    P_\mu^\alpha P_\nu^\beta \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta} dx^\mu dx^\nu & = \frac{1}{R^2 \Delta} (dt + u)^2 - \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_k \Omega_k^2 \left[ dr_k^2 + r_k^2 (d\phi_k + \Omega_k u)^2 \right] - \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_k \Omega_k^2 r_k dr_k \right)^2, \\
    \Delta_1 & = \frac{1}{2\Delta} \left[ \frac{1}{R^2} - \sum_k r_k^2 \Omega_k^4 \right], \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{1}{R^2} + \sum_k \Omega_k^2, \quad \Delta_1 \equiv 4\Delta_1 - 2\Delta_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(A.19)

which obey \( \Upsilon_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu = 2\Delta_1 \) and \( \Upsilon_{\mu\nu} P^{\mu\nu} = 4\Delta_1 - 2\Delta_2 \). In terms of these, we can write

\[
\begin{align*}
    S_{\mu\nu} & = \Delta_1 g_{\mu\nu} + \Upsilon_{\mu\nu}, \\
    \omega_\mu^\lambda \omega_\lambda^\nu & = P_\mu^\alpha P_\nu^\beta \Upsilon_{\alpha\beta},
\end{align*}
\]

(A.20)
and
\[
\omega_{\alpha\beta} \omega^{\alpha\beta} = -P^{\alpha\beta} Y_{\alpha\beta} = -4 \Delta_1 + 2 \Delta_2 , \tag{A.21}
\]
\[
\left[ 2 u_\mu S_\nu \lambda u^\lambda - \omega_\mu^\lambda \omega_{\lambda\nu} \right] dx^\mu dx^\nu
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{R^2 \Delta} dt^2 + \sum_k \frac{\Omega_k^2}{\Delta} \left( dr_k^2 + r_k^2 d\phi_k^2 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_k \Omega_k^2 r_k dr_k \right)^2 , \tag{A.22}
\]
so that when one substitutes all these into Eq. (A.11) the AdS-Kerr-Newman metric becomes that in Eddington-Finkelstein-like coordinates.

B Forms and its products in a derivative expansion

In this Appendix, we summarize the expressions and properties of the metric, the Christoffel connection 1-form and the curvature 2-form at different orders in the derivative expansion. We also calculate various products of the curvature 2-form which we will use for the evaluation of the Einstein and Maxwell sources in the Appendices C and D.

At the 0th and 1st orders, we provide the explicit expression as a result of tedious but straightforward calculation, while at the 2nd and higher orders, we just summarize some properties of the curvature 2-form derived from the tensor and form structures as well as the symmetries, since they are sufficient for the purpose of this paper.

Throughout the text we will label the \( m \)-th order of the derivative expansion of a form \( B \) as \( (B_m)^{a\ b} \). Moreover, we denote the product of \( k \) matrix-valued 2-forms, \((B_{m_1} \circ m_2 \circ \cdots \circ m_k)\), as \((B_m)^{a\ b} \circ \cdots \circ (B_m)^{a\ b} \circ \cdots \circ (B_m)^{a\ b}\), so that the matrix-valued 2-forms inside the brackets are always multiplied through matrix multiplication. For example, \((B_m)^{a\ c_1} \circ (B_m)^{c_1\ c_2} \circ \cdots \circ (B_m)^{c_2\ b}\) is a matrix-valued 6-form. We also abbreviate the \( k \) product of \((B_m)^a\ b\) by \((B_m)^k\).

B.1 Metric, connection 1-form and curvature 2-form

We consider the metric in Eq. (2.1) up to first order in the derivative expansion. The metric \( G_{ab} \) with \( x^a = \{ r, x^\mu \} \) and its inverse are given by
\[
G_{rr} = 0 , \quad G_{r\mu} = -u_\mu , \quad G_{\mu\nu} = -2\Psi(r) u_\mu u_\nu + r^2 P_{\mu\nu} ,
\]
\[
G^{rr} = 2\Psi(r) , \quad G^{r\mu} = u^\mu , \quad G^{\mu\nu} = r^{-2} P^{\mu\nu} , \tag{B.1}
\]
where the \( \Psi(r) \equiv r^2 f(r)/2 \) and \( f(r) \) as defined in Eq.(2.1). If we imposed the equations of motion, then the explicit expression of \( r^2 f(r)/2 \) takes the form in Eq. (A.2).

Let us recall that in this paper we set the boundary metric to be flat, \( g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \) where \( (\eta_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}( -1, 1, 1, \cdots, 1 ) \). Lowering and raising of the Greek boundary indices indices \((\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma, \cdots)\) are done by \( \eta_{\mu\nu} \) and its inverse \( \eta^{\mu\nu} \) \((u^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} u_\nu\) and \( P^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma} P_{\rho\sigma}, \) for example). Furthermore, we take the velocity vector \( u^\mu \) (normalized as \( u^2 \equiv \g^\mu_\mu u_\mu u_\nu = -1 \)) corresponding to pure rotation, where \( u^\mu \omega_\mu = 0 \) and \( \partial_\mu (u_\mu) = 0 \). Here, \( \omega_\mu = (\partial_\mu u_\nu - \partial_\nu u_\mu)/2 \).
is the vorticity. We also note that the projection operator \( P_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu \) satisfies \( P^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = 0 \) and \( P^{\mu\nu} P_{\rho\nu} = P_{\mu\nu} \).

By using the above metric, the components of the Christoffel connection are calculated as

\[
\Gamma^a_{rr} = 0, \quad \Gamma^r_{ru} = u_r \Psi', \quad \Gamma^r_{\rho\nu} = 2 \Psi \left[ \Psi' u_\rho u_\nu - r P_{\rho\nu} \right], \quad \Gamma^r_{\mu\nu} = r^{-2} \left[ r P^\mu_\nu + \omega^\mu_\nu \right], \quad \Gamma_{\mu\nu} = u^\mu \left[ u_\rho u_\nu \Psi' - r P_{\rho\nu} \right] - 2 r^{-2} (2 \Psi - r^2) u_\rho \omega^\mu_\nu , \quad (B.2)
\]

or, in terms of the differential form, the connection 1-form defined as \( \Gamma^a_b = \Gamma^a_{bc} dx^c \) (the latin indices label all the spacetime directions in the bulk, including \( r \)-direction) is

\[
\Gamma^r_r = \Psi' u_r, \quad \Gamma^r_\rho = u_\rho \Psi' dr + 2 \Psi \left( \Psi' u_\rho u_r - r P_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu \right), \quad \Gamma^{\mu}_r = r^{-2} \left( r P^\mu_r + \omega^\mu_r \right) dx^\nu , \quad \Gamma^\mu_\rho = r^{-2} \left( r P^{\mu}_r + \omega^{\mu}_r \right) dr + \left[ u^\mu u_\rho \Psi' + r^{-2} (2 \Psi - r^2) \omega^\mu_\rho \right] u \\
- r u^{\mu} P_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu + r^{-2} (2 \Psi - r^2) u_\rho \omega^{\mu\nu} dx^\nu , \quad (B.3)
\]

where the velocity 1-form is \( u \equiv u_\mu dx^\mu \).

Employing the notation introduced already at the beginning of this Appendix B, let us denote the \( m \)-th order term of the \( U(1) \) field strength \( F \) by \( (r \text{ } m) \). In particular, the first three terms in the derivative expansion are

\[
(r \text{ } 0) = \Phi' dr \wedge u , \quad (r \text{ } 1) = 2 \Phi \omega , \quad (r \text{ } 2) \sim dr \wedge u \times \omega^\alpha \omega^{\alpha\beta} . \quad (B.4)
\]

Note that \( du = 2 \omega \) and \( \omega \equiv (1/2) \omega_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \). We remind the reader of Eq. (A.11), from which \( (r \text{ } m) \) for \( m \leq 2 \) above are computed.

Next, we evaluate the curvature 2-form defined from the Riemann tensor \( R^a_{\rho c d} \equiv R^a_{\rho c d} dx^c \wedge dx^d \) at 0th and 1st orders:

At 0th order, we have

\[
(r \text{ } 0)^r_r = \Psi'' dr \wedge u , \quad (r \text{ } 0)^r_\rho = r^{-1} \Psi' dx^\rho \wedge u , \quad (r \text{ } 0)^\mu_\rho = r \Psi' P_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu \wedge dr - 2 r \Psi'' u_\rho u_\nu \wedge dr , \quad (r \text{ } 0)^\mu_\rho = -r \left( \partial_r (r^{-1} \Psi') \right) u^\mu u_\rho u_\nu \wedge dr + r^{-1} \Psi' u_\rho dx^\mu \wedge dr - r \Phi_T u^{\mu} P_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu \wedge u + 2 r^{-1} \Psi dx^\mu \wedge \left[ \Psi' u_\rho u_\nu - r P_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu \right] , \quad (B.5)
\]

and at 1st order,

\[
(r \text{ } 1)^r_r = (2 \Phi_T \omega) , \quad (r \text{ } 1)^r_\rho = -r^{-2} u \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu_\nu dx^\nu) , \quad \Phi_T \equiv \frac{1}{2} \Phi_T \omega_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu \cdot (B.6)
\]

Here we defined \( \Phi_T \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \Phi}{dr} = r^2 \partial_r (r^{-2} \Psi) = \Psi' - 2 \Psi r^{-1} . \)
At 2nd order the curvature 2-form has non-trivial contributions coming purely from the 0th order metric (and its derivatives). To distinguish from the whole 2nd order curvature 2-form \( \mathcal{R}^2 \), we denote these by \( \mathcal{R}'^2 \) and they are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{R}'^2)^r_r &= 0, \\
(\mathcal{R}'^2)^\mu_r &= -r^{-4}(\omega^\mu_\nu \omega^\nu_\sigma dx^\sigma) \wedge dr - r^{-4}(2\Psi - r^2)(\omega^\mu_\nu \omega^\nu_\sigma dx^\sigma) \wedge u, \\
(\mathcal{R}'^2)^r_\rho &= 0, \\
(\mathcal{R}'^2)^\mu_\rho &= r^{-2}\partial_\mu \omega^\mu_\rho dx^\rho \wedge dr \\
&\quad + r^{-2}(2\Psi - r^2) [\partial_\rho \omega^\mu_\nu dx^\nu \wedge (\Phi T \omega) \wedge u + 2\omega^\mu_\rho \omega - (\omega_\rho \sigma dx^\sigma) \wedge (\omega^\mu_\nu dx^\nu)] \\
&\quad - r^{-4}(2\Psi - r^2)(u_\rho \omega^\mu_\sigma \omega^\sigma_\nu dx^\nu) \wedge dr - r^{-4}(2\Psi - r^2)^2 (u_\rho \omega^\mu_\sigma \omega^\sigma_\nu dx^\nu) \wedge u.
\end{align*}
\]

Note that we have used \( \partial_\rho [\omega^\mu_\nu] = 0 \). As for the rest of the contribution to \( \mathcal{R}^2 \) coming from the metric at the 2nd order, we classify it in the Appendix B.3.1. We note that the above contribution purely coming from the 0th order metric is special to the 2nd order curvature 2-form as can be guessed from the fact that the curvature contains two derivatives of metric. For the 3rd or higher order, there is no contribution coming purely from 0th order metric.

### B.2 Products of curvature 2-forms from the metric at 0th and 1st order

This subsection focuses on the various products of the curvature 2-forms containing only \( \mathcal{R}^0 \) and \( \mathcal{R}^1 \). These kind of products can be classified in a simple way taking into account certain observations derived from the direct computation. For instance, on one hand, the final expression of nontrivial products of five or more curvature 2-forms at these orders reduces to the products of four or fewer than four curvature 2-forms multiplied by some power of \( (2\Phi T \omega) \). On the other hand, keeping track of \( dr \) and \( u \) in the course of multiplication is useful to find non-vanishing contributions; each of the \( dr \) and \( u \) is allowed to appear at most once in a given non-trivial product of the curvature 2-forms.

#### B.2.1 Products of two curvature 2-forms

To this order, we find three kind of terms. First, the product of two \( \mathcal{R}^0 \)'s. One immediately finds

\[
(\mathcal{R}^0 \mathcal{R}^0) = 0.
\]

It is worth stressing the difference between \( \mathcal{R}^0 \) and 0. The former is the 0th order term of the curvature 2-form, while the latter means zero in the usual sense.

Secondly, the mixed products of \( \mathcal{R}^0 \) and \( \mathcal{R}^1 \) read

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mathcal{R}^0 \mathcal{R}^1)^r_r &= r^{-1}\partial_r (r\Phi T \omega) dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T \omega), \\
(\mathcal{R}^0 \mathcal{R}^1)^{\mu}_r &= r^{-1}\Phi T dx^\mu \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T \omega), \\
(\mathcal{R}^0 \mathcal{R}^1)^r_\rho &= 0, \\
(\mathcal{R}^0 \mathcal{R}^1)^{\mu}_\rho &= -\Phi'' T u^\mu u_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T \omega) - r^{-1}\Phi T u_\rho dx^\mu \wedge dr \wedge (2\Phi T \omega) \\
&\quad - 2r^{-1}\Phi T dx^\mu \wedge u \wedge dx^\mu \wedge (\Phi T \omega_\mu dx^\nu),
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
(r_1 R_0)^r = r^{-1} \partial_r (r \Phi_T) dr \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega),
\]
\[
(r_1 R_0) ^{\mu} r = 0,
\]
\[
(r_1 R_0)^{\mu} \rho = -r \Phi_T dr \wedge (P_{\rho \nu} dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega) + 2r \Phi_T (P_{\rho \nu} dx^\nu) \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega),
\]
\[
(r_1 R_0)^{\mu} \rho = 2r^{-1} \Phi_T (P_{\rho \nu} dx^\nu) \wedge u \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu = \sigma dx^\sigma) + r \Phi_T u^\mu P_{\rho \nu} dx^\nu \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)
\]
\[
+ r^{-1} \partial_r (r \Phi_T) u^\mu u_\rho u \wedge dr \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega).
\]

For future reference it is important to observe that all the terms appearing in \((r_0 R_1)\) and \((r_1 R_0)\) are proportional to either \(dr\) or \(u\) and hence vanish once multiplied with terms proportional to \(dr \wedge u\).

A parallel construction is possible, thirdly, for the product of two \((r_1)\)’s
\[
(r_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2,
\]
\[
(r_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = -r^{-2} u \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu = \nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega),
\]
\[
(r_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = dr \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu = \nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega),
\]
\[
(r_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = -u^\rho u_\sigma (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2 - 2r^{-2} \Phi_T u_\rho u \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu = \nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)
\]
\[
- r^{-2} u_\rho dr \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu = \nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega) - u^\mu u \wedge (\Phi_T \omega^\mu = \nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega).
\]

A final remark concerns the wedging of any of the \((r_1 R_1)\) with \(dr \wedge u\). In this cases, the only non-zero components obey
\[
dr \wedge u \wedge (r_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = dr \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2, \quad dr \wedge u \wedge (r_1 R_1)^{\mu} \rho = -u^\mu u_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2. \quad (B.13)
\]

### B.2.2 Products of three curvature 2-forms

Direct calculation shows that the products of three curvature 2-forms at the 0th or 1st order are given as follows. For the products of two \((r_0)\)’s and one \((r_1)\), \((r_0 R_0 R_0) = 0\) and \((r_1 R_0 R_0) = 0\) as a result of \((B.8)\). Therefore the only nontrivial product is \((r_0 R_1 R_0)\) which is calculated as
\[
(r_0 R_1 R_0)^{\mu} r = (r_0 R_1 R_0)^{\mu} r = (r_0 R_1 R_0)^{\mu} \rho = 0,
\]
\[
(r_0 R_1 R_0)^{\mu} \rho = 2 \Phi_T^2 dr \wedge u \wedge dx^\mu \wedge (P_{\rho \nu} dx^\nu) \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega).
\]

There are three kinds of products containing one \((r_0)\) and two \((r_1)\)’s:
\[
(r_0 R_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = r^{-1} \partial_r (r \Phi_T) dr \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2,
\]
\[
(r_0 R_1 R_1)^{\mu} \rho = r^{-1} \Phi_T dx^\mu \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2,
\]
\[
(r_0 R_1 R_1)^{\mu} r = 2 \Phi_T r^{-1} \partial_r (r \Phi_T) u_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2,
\]
\[
(r_0 R_1 R_1)^{\mu} \rho = 2 \Phi_T u^\mu u_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2 + r^{-1} \Phi_T u^\mu dx^\mu \wedge dr \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2
\]
\[
+ 2r^{-1} \Phi_T u^\mu dx^\mu \wedge u \wedge (2 \Phi_T \omega)^2,
\]

"
\[ (r_{1R}0_{1R})^r_r = \Phi' dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]
\[ (r_{1R}0_{1R})^\mu_r = 0, \]
\[ (r_{1R}0_{1R})^r_\rho = 2\Psi P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge dr \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]
\[ (r_{1R}0_{1R})^\mu_\rho = \Phi' u^\mu_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]  
(B.16)

\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R}0)^r_r = r^{-1}\partial_r(r\Phi T)dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^\mu_r = 0, \]
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^r_\rho = 2\Psi r^{-1}\partial_r(r\Phi T)u_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2 + r\Phi T P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge dr \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^\mu_\rho = r\partial_r(r^{-1}\Phi') u^\mu_\rho dr \wedge u \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2 + r\Phi T u^\mu u \wedge P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2. \]  
(B.17)

In the end, the product of the three \((r_{1R})^1\)'s is
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^r_r = (2\Phi T\omega)^3, \]
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^\mu_r = -r^{-2} u \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\mu_\nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]  
(B.18)
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^r_\rho = 2\Psi u_\rho (2\Phi T\omega)^3 + 2\Psi u \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\mu_\rho dx^\nu) \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2 \]
\[ + dr \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\mu_\rho dx^\nu) \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2, \]
\[ (r_{1R}1_{1R})^\mu_\rho = r^{-2} u_\rho dr \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\mu_\nu dx^\nu) \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2 \]
\[ - 2r^{-3} dr \wedge u \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\mu_\sigma dx^\sigma) \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\nu_\rho dx^\nu) \wedge (2\Phi T\omega) \]
\[ + u^\mu u_\rho (2\Phi T\omega)^3 + u^\mu u \wedge (\Phi T \omega^\mu_\rho dx^\nu) \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2. \]

We also note that
\[ dr \wedge u \wedge (r_{1R}1_{1R})^a_b = dr \wedge u \wedge (r_{1R})^a_b \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^2. \]  
(B.19)

### B.2.3 Products of four curvature 2-forms

Let us now turn to \((B.8)\) and consider the products of four curvature 2-forms. One immediately finds that these vanish. Hence, the product of four curvature 2-forms containing three or more \((r_{0R})^0\)'s are trivial.

For the same reason \((B.8)\), three possible products containing two \((r_{0R})^1\)'s and two \((r_{1R})^1\)'s, along with \((r_{0R}0_{R0}1_{1R}), (r_{1R}0_{0R}0_{1R})\) and \((r_{1R}1_{1R}0_{0R})\) are also trivial. By a direct inspection we find that the other three four curvature 2-forms containing two \((r_{0R})^0\)'s and two \((r_{1R})^1\)'s also vanish:
\[ (r_{0R}1_{1R}0_{0R}1_{1R}) = (r_{0R}1_{1R}1_{1R}0_{0R}) = (r_{1R}1_{1R}0_{0R}1_{1R}) = 0. \]  
(B.20)

We consider the products containing three or four \((r_{1R})^1\)'s. The special cases of \((r_{0R}1_{1R}1_{1R})\) and \((r_{1R}1_{1R}1_{1R})\), can be reduced to products of two curvature 2-forms at the 0th or 1st order wedged with \((2\Phi T\omega)^2\):
\[ (r_{0R}1_{1R}1_{1R}1_{1R}) = (2\Phi T\omega)^2 \wedge (r_{0R}1_{1R}), \quad (r_{1R}1_{1R}1_{1R}0_{0R}) = (2\Phi T\omega)^2 \wedge (r_{1R}0_{0R}). \]  
(B.21)
where the expression such as above should be understood as \((\mathfrak{r}_0 \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^a b = (2\Phi_T \omega)^2 \wedge (\mathfrak{r}_0 \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^b\), etc. The remaining products containing three \((\mathfrak{r}_1)\)'s either vanish or reduce to products of three curvature 2-forms

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^r = +(2\Phi_T \omega) \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^r, \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^{\mu} = +(2\Phi_T \omega) \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^{\mu},
\]

(B.22)

Among the products with four \((\mathfrak{r}_1)\)'s we find that reduce to products of \((\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})\) as follows

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}) = (2\Phi_T \omega)^2 \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}).
\]

(B.24)

### B.2.4 General products of curvature 2-forms

Having explored several situations in previous subsections, it is interesting to shift our calculations now to the general products – more than four products of 0th and 1st order curvature 2-forms. These can also be categorized and, denoted by \(\chi_m\) \((m \geq 0)\), according to the \(m\)-number of \((\mathfrak{r}_0)\)'s (wedges with arbitrary number of \((\mathfrak{r}_1)\)'s) that a given product contains. Interestingly enough, as we will show below, all general products downgrade to products of four or fewer than four curvature 2-forms wedged with suitable powers of \((2\Phi_T \omega)\).

**Set \(\chi_0\)**

The element of the set \(\chi_0\) is either \((\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^k\) or \((\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^{k-1}\) where \(k \geq 1\). By applying the identity

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^{k+1} = (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k} \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}),
\]

(B.25)

we can always reduce these products to

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}),
\]

(B.26)

wedged with an appropriate power of \((2\Phi_T \omega)\).

**Set \(\chi_1\)**

In this case, we can have the following nontrivial possibilities:

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R ^{2k}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R ^{2k+1}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R ^{2l+1}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R ^{2k+2}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R ^{2l+1}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R ^{2l}),
\]

(B.27)

where \(k, l \geq 0\). Employing the results of the products of four curvature two-forms in subsection B.2.3 and the subsequent relations

\[
(\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^k \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}) \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1})^{l} = (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k+2l} \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}), \quad (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{1}) = (2\Phi_T \omega)^2 \wedge (\mathfrak{A}_R \mathfrak{1}_R \mathfrak{0}_R \mathfrak{1}),
\]

(B.28)
we can reduce all the possibilities in this category into the following 8 objects wedged with powers of \((2\Phi_1)\) to

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left( r, 0 \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1 \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 0 \right), \\
&\left( r, 0, 1, r \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 0, r \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 0, 1 \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 1, 0 \right).
\end{align*}
\] (B.29)

**Set \( \chi_2 \)**

Within this subclass, there are two cases depending on whether a given product starts with \((r, 0)\) or \((r, 1)\).

First we consider the four possible products beginning with \((r, 1)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left( r, 1, 2k+2 \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 2l \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 2l+1 \right), \quad \left( r, 1, 2l+2 \right), \quad \left( r, 2k+1 \right), \quad \left( r, 2l+1 \right), \quad \left( r, 2l+2 \right), \quad \left( r, 1 \right).
\end{align*}
\] (B.30)

where \(k, l \geq 0\). Similarly to the \( \chi_1 \) set, with the help of the aforementioned identities, these reduce to products containing either \((r, 1, 0, r, 1)\) or \((r, 0, 1, r, 1)\) which vanish.

Secondly, we consider products starting with \((r, 0)\). As in the preceding case, there are four possibilities \((k, l \geq 0)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left( r, 0, 1, 2k+2 \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1, 2l \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1, 2l+1 \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1, 2l+2 \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1, 2k+1 \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1, 2l+1 \right), \quad \left( r, 0, 1, 2l+2 \right). \quad \left( r, 1 \right).
\end{align*}
\] (B.31)

Via Eq. (B.20), some identities for the the \( \chi_1 \) set as well as

\[
\left( r, 0, 1, r, 1, r, 0 \right) = (2\Phi_1) \wedge \left( r, 0, 1, r, 0 \right),
\] (B.32)

we can show that all the non-trivial elements in (B.31) reduce to

\[
\left( r, 0, 1, r, 0 \right),
\] (B.33)

wedged with its corresponding power of \((2\Phi_1)\).

**Set \( \chi_m \) with \( m \geq 3 \)**

Recalling that \((r, 0, 0) = 0\) for the set \( \chi_m \) with \( m \geq 3 \) we essentially have the succeeding structures (where \(k, l \geq 0\))

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left( \ldots, r, 0, r, 1, 2k+1 \right), \quad \left( \ldots, r, 0, r, 1, 2l+1 \right), \quad \left( \ldots, r, 0, r, 1, 2l+2 \right), \\
&\left( \ldots, r, 0, r, 1, 2k+1 \right), \quad \left( \ldots, r, 0, r, 1, 2l+1 \right), \quad \left( \ldots, r, 0, r, 1, 2l+2 \right).
\end{align*}
\] (B.34)

where \(\ldots\) denotes an arbitrary products of 0th and 1st order curvature 2-forms. All of them reduce to strings containing either \((r, 0, 1, 0, r, 1)\) or \((r, 1, 0, r, 1, 0)\) as a result of (B.28) which in turn vanish. We thus conclude that \( \chi_m = \emptyset \) for \( m \geq 3 \) and, in the following arguments, we consider \( \chi_0, \chi_1 \) and \( \chi_2 \) only.
B.2.5 Summary for products of curvature 2-forms at 0th and 1st order

The building blocks for products of curvature 2-forms at 0th and 1st order (that is, by wedging them with certain power of \((2\Phi_T\omega)\)) are

\[\chi_0:\{(r1), (r^{-1}r^{-1}1), (r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}1)\},\]
\[\chi_1:\{(r0), (r0^{-1}1), (r^{-1}r^{-1}1), (r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}1), (r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}1), (r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}r^{-1}1)\},\]
\[\chi_2:\{(r0^{-1}1)\},\]
\[\chi_m:\emptyset\text{ (for }m\geq 3)\]. \quad (B.35)

This reduction of the products allows us to evaluate various quantities in general \(d+1\)-dimensions, as explained in the Appendices C and D.

B.2.6 Traces of products of curvature 2-forms

To evaluate the Maxwell and Einstein sources, we also encounter traces of the products of the curvature 2-forms. Once we have the products of the curvature 2-forms, the evaluation of the traces is straightforward. Hence, for later use in our computations we will only consider traces of products of even numbers of the curvature 2-forms.

With the help of \((B.8)\) and the cyclic property of the trace, the only non-trivial traces made of \((r0)\) and \((r1)\) are (for \(k\geq 0\))

\[\text{tr}\left[(r0^{-1}1)^k\right] = 2\Phi_T'dr\wedge u\wedge (2\Phi_T\omega)^{2k+1},\]
\[\text{tr}\left[(r1^{-1}1)^{k+1}\right] = 2(2\Phi_T\omega)^{2k+2}. \quad (B.36)\]

Notice that Eq. \((B.36)\) is (up to a factor of 2) exactly the same as products of zeroth and first order gauge field

\[\left(r0^{-1}1\right)^k = \Phi'dr\wedge u\wedge (2\Phi\omega)^{2k+1},\]
\[\left(r1^{-1}1\right)^{k+1} = (2\Phi\omega)^{2k+2}, \quad (B.37)\]

after we have sent \(\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_T\).

Finally, we want to remark that traces of elements of a set \(\chi_1\) are proportional to \(dr\wedge u\) and that of the elements of \(\chi_m\) with \(m\geq 2\) are all zero.

B.3 Curvature 2-forms from the metric at 2nd and 3rd order

We consider now the curvature 2-form at the 2nd and 3rd order and summarize their properties. For these cases, it is complicated to calculate these higher order terms explicitly and completely from the metric. Instead of the explicit calculation, we focus on the contraction and form structure as well as in the symmetries of the curvature 2-form to figure out the kind of terms appearing in the higher order curvature 2-forms.
### B.3.1 2nd order curvature 2-form

To discuss $R$ at the 2nd order, let us first list out the possible 2-forms at 0th and 1st orders:

At 0th order:

- $dr \wedge u$
- $dr \wedge dx^\rho$
- $u \wedge dx^\rho$
- $dx^\rho \wedge dx^\nu$

At 1st order:

- $\omega$
- $\omega^\mu_{\nu} \times$ (zeroth order forms)

(B.38)

At 2nd order, there could be structures coming from two derivatives of the zeroth order metric. However, since we have calculated the full contribution from the 0th order metric (which is also valid to 1st order) to the curvature 2-form in (B.7), we only need to discuss the form structures constructed from those appearing in the 2nd order metric and then write the most general 2nd order curvature 2-form consistent with its symmetries. By adding to it the explicit contributions from the 0th order metric, we can list out all the terms that can potentially appear in the explicit calculation of the curvature 2-form at the 2nd order.

From the 2nd order metric (see Eq. (A.11)), the structure of the 2-forms that could appear at 2nd order are

\[ \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \times \text{ (zeroth order forms)}, \]  
\[ \partial_\lambda \omega^{\mu\lambda} \times \text{ (zeroth order forms)}, \]  
\[ \omega^{\mu\alpha} \omega^\alpha_{\nu} \times \text{ (zeroth order forms)}. \]

(B.39, B.40)

We would like to stress some other possibilities. Structures such as $u^\nu \partial_\mu \omega^{\alpha\nu}$ reduce to $\omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\alpha\nu}$ which have already been captured in the aforementioned classification. In principle $\partial_\mu \omega_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ should also be considered, but it will not be present due to $\partial_\mu \omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu \omega_{\mu\nu} = 0$. And finally, structures like $\partial_\alpha \omega_{\mu\nu} dx^\nu \wedge dx^\mu$ can be rewritten as $\partial_\mu \omega^{\alpha}_{\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$, which are zero.

We note that the possible zero-derivative tensor structures that one can use to construct appropriately indexed objects are

\[ u_\mu, \ P_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and, products among them}. \]

(B.41)

For later purpose, it is useful to classify the most general 0th order 2-form consistent with the symmetry of the curvature 2-form. The curvature 2-form at the 0th order could have the following structures (we ignore all non-index/non-form information)

\[ (\mathbf{0}^\rho)^{\mu}_{\nu} = dr \wedge u, \quad (\mathbf{0})^{\mu}_{\nu} = (\bigtriangledown) u^\mu dr \wedge u + (\bigtriangledown) dr \wedge P^\mu_\sigma dx^\sigma + u \wedge P^\mu_\sigma dx^\sigma, \]
\[ (\mathbf{0})^{\mu}_{\nu} = u_\mu dr \wedge u + P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge dr + (\bigtriangledown) P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge u, \]
\[ (\mathbf{0})^{\mu}_{\nu} = u^\mu u_\nu dr \wedge u + (\bigtriangledown) P^\mu_\rho dr \wedge u - u_\mu dx^\mu \wedge dr + (\bigtriangledown) u^\mu P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge dr + u_\rho dx^\mu \wedge u + u^\mu P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge u + P_{\rho\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge dx^\mu. \]

(B.42)

The $(\bigtriangledown)$ structures do not appear in the explicit computations from the zeroth order metric. We denote by ‘bar’ any matrix-valued two-forms consistent with the symmetry of the
 curvature 2-form including the Bianchi identity, while the un-barred one is the explicit result computed from our metric, i.e. \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u}) = (\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0\). Notice that

\[
(\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0^r = (\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0^\rho = (\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0^\mu = (\nabla) (P^\mu_\sigma dx^\sigma) (P_{\rho\nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge \mathbf{u} \wedge dr.
\]

In fact, \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0\) and \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0\) have exactly the same form structures as \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0\) above without any obvious simplifications. We also have

\[
(\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_0^0 = 0.
\] (B.44)

At second order, for example, we can have the structure of the form \(\omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \times (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_0\).

Let \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) be a matrix two-form which include all possible structures constructed from 2nd order metric (as discussed above) and the contributions from the 0th order metric calculated explicitly (i.e. \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) in Eq. (B.7)). In all,

\[
(\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2^r = \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_0)_{r}^r + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma dx^\sigma \wedge (u + dr),
\]

\[
(\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2^\mu = \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_0)_{\rho}^\mu + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\mu dr \wedge u + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma dx^\sigma \wedge [P^\mu_\nu dx^{\nu} + u^\mu (u + dr)]
+ \omega^{\mu\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge (dr + u),
\]

\[
(\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2^\rho = \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_0)_{r}^\rho + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\rho dr \wedge u + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma dx^\sigma \wedge (P^\alpha_\rho dx^{\alpha}) + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma dx^\sigma \wedge u_\rho [u + dr]
+ \omega_\rho \omega_{\alpha\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge (dr + u),
\]

\[
(\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2^\mu = \omega^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta} (\underline{\mathbf{u}}_0)_{\rho}^\mu + \omega^{\mu\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\rho} u \wedge dr
+ \omega_\rho \omega_{\alpha\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge [P^\mu_\nu dx^{\nu} + u^\mu (u + dr)] + \omega^{\mu\alpha} \omega_{\alpha\sigma} dx^\sigma \wedge [P_{\rho\nu} dx^{\nu} + u_\rho (u + dr)]
+ \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\mu [u_\rho dr \wedge u + (P_{\rho\nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge (dr + u)] + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma [u^\mu u_\rho (dr + u)] + u^\mu (P_{\rho\nu} dx^{\nu}) + u^\mu (P_{\rho\nu} dx^{\nu} + P^\mu_\rho (dr + u)]
+ \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma dx^\sigma \wedge [u^\mu u_\rho (dr + u)] + \partial_\lambda \omega^\lambda_\sigma dx^\sigma \wedge (dr + u).
\] (B.45)

The coefficients in the terms containing \(c_0\) are equal and fixed by the Bianchi identity. We will only use this implication of the Bianchi identity in later computations. Note that since \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) is in general, when one computes \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) explicitly from the metric (up to 2nd order), some of the terms written above for \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) might be zero. Below, we will use \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) to prove statements which are also true if we replaced \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) by \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) since \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) contains more general terms than the actual \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\). Hence, we will use \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_2\) directly in all equations hereafter. The same comment applies to \((\underline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{u})_3\) in the subsequent subsection.

As a next step, we calculate the product of the 2nd order term in the curvature 2-form
with the lower order terms. We first calculate the product of \((R \cdot 0)\) and \((R \cdot 2)\) and find
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\gamma} = 0,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} = \partial_{\lambda} \omega_{\sigma}^{\lambda} dx^{\sigma} \wedge (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} = \omega^{\alpha \beta} \omega_{\alpha \beta} (P_{\mu \sigma} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (P_{\rho \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u + (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u + u_{\rho} (\partial_{\lambda} \omega_{\sigma}^{\lambda} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u
\]
\[
+ (\partial_{\lambda} \omega_{\sigma}^{\lambda} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge (P_{\rho \sigma} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (dr + u),
\]
and
\[
(R \cdot 2 \cdot 0)_{r}^{\gamma} = 0,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 2 \cdot 0)_{r}^{\mu} = \partial_{\lambda} \omega_{\sigma}^{\lambda} dx^{\sigma} \wedge (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 2 \cdot 0)_{r}^{\mu} = \omega^{\alpha \beta} \omega_{\alpha \beta} (P_{\mu \sigma} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (P_{\rho \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u + (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u + u_{\rho} (\partial_{\lambda} \omega_{\sigma}^{\lambda} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dr \wedge u
\]
\[
+ (\partial_{\lambda} \omega_{\sigma}^{\lambda} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (P_{\mu \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge (P_{\rho \sigma} dx^{\sigma}) \wedge (dr + u).
\]
In the latter computation, we have explicitly used the Bianchi identity relating terms with coefficient \(c_0\). We note that all the terms in \((R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)\) or \((R \cdot 2 \cdot 0)\) are proportional to either \(dr\) or \(u\). Thus, when multiplied with terms containing \(dr \wedge u\), as for instance \((R \cdot 1 \cdot R \cdot 0)\) or \(\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0 \cdot 1)]\), all these products vanish. Moreover we notice that the trace of these two products is zero:
\[
\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0 \cdot 2)] = 0.
\]
Let us now consider the more complicated products containing \((R \cdot 2)\). Using the form structures explicitly written out above, one can show that
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2 \cdot 0) = (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 0) = (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 0) = (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 0) = (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 0) = 0,\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 0 \cdot 0) = (R \cdot 2 \cdot \cdot \cdot 0 \cdot 1 \cdot 0) = 0,
\]
as well as
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 2)_{r}^{\gamma} \sim (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\gamma} \wedge \omega,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} \sim (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} \wedge \omega,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} \sim (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} \wedge \omega,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} \sim (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2)_{r}^{\mu} \wedge \omega + (\omega_{\sigma}^{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha \sigma} dx^{\sigma} \wedge dx^{\nu} (P_{\rho \beta} dx^{\beta}) dr \wedge u \wedge dx^{\mu}, \]
where by \(\sim\) we mean that tensor and form structures are the same. In the above calculation we have used the following properties for the lower order terms:
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 0 \cdot 0)_{r}^{\gamma} = (R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 0 \cdot 0)_{r}^{\mu} = 0,
\]
\[
(R \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot 0 \cdot 0)_{r}^{\mu} = (P_{\rho \nu} dx^{\nu}) \wedge dx^{\mu} \wedge dr \wedge u \wedge \omega.
\]
Hence, we have
\[
(\cdots R^1 R^0 R^1 R^2 \cdots) = \text{tr}[(R^1 R^0 1)] \land \cdots \land (\cdots R^1 R^0 2 \cdots) = 0. \tag{B.52}
\]
Also, since \((R^1 R^0 1)\) and \((R^1 R^0 0)\) both contain solely terms proportional to \(dr\) or \(u\), these obey
\[
(\cdots R^1 R^0 2 \cdots 1 R^0 \cdots) \sim (\cdots R^0 2 \cdots 1 R^0 \cdots),
\]
\[
(\cdots R^1 R^0 2 \cdots 0 \cdots 1 \cdots) \sim (\cdots R^0 2 \cdots 0 \cdots 1 \cdots), \tag{B.53}
\]
by absorbing some \(\omega\) 2-forms into the ‘\(\cdots\)’. In particular, this implies
\[
(R^0 R^1 R^2 1 R^0) \sim (R^0 R^2 1 R^1 1 R^0) \land \omega = 0, \quad (R^0 R^1 R^2 1 R^0) \sim (R^0 R^2 1 R^1 1 R^0) \land \omega = 0. \tag{B.54}
\]
Additionally, employing the relations
\[
(R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0)^r_r = (R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0)^\mu_\mu = (R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0)^r_\rho = 0, \\
(R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0)^\mu_\rho = (P_{\rho\nu} dx^\nu) \land dx^\mu \land dr \land u \land \omega^2, \tag{B.55}
\]
one can confirm that
\[
(R^0 R^1 R^2 1 R^0) \sim (R^0 R^2 1 R^0) \land \omega^2, \tag{B.56}
\]
which immediately leads to
\[
(R^0 R^1 R^2 1 R^0 R^0) \sim (R^0 R^2 R^0) = 0, \\
(R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0) \sim (R^0 R^2 R^0) = 0, \\
(R^0 R^1 R^2 1 R^0) \sim (R^0 R^2 1 R^0) = 0 = 0. \tag{B.57}
\]
Similarly, one can show that
\[
\text{tr}[(R^1 R^0 2 R^0 1 R^0)] = \text{tr}[(R^1 R^0 2 R^0 1 R^0)] = 0, \tag{B.58}
\]
which entails, through Eq. \((B.50)\),
\[
\text{tr}[(R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0 1 R^0 = \text{tr}[(R^0 R^1 R^2 R^0 1 R^0) = 0. \tag{B.59}
\]
Collecting all the above results, the products containing \((R^2)\) condense to
\[
(\chi_1 R^2 2 \chi_1) = 0, \quad \text{tr}[\chi_1 R^2 2] = 0, \quad (\chi_2 R^2) = (R^2 \chi_2) = 0. \tag{B.60}
\]

**B.3.2 3rd order curvature 2-form**

For the 3rd order terms in the curvature 2-form merely a few identities, which we are going to elaborate along this subsection, will be relevant for the arguments in this paper.

The first equality to show is
\[
(R^0 R^1 R^0 3 R^0) = (R^0 R^3 R^0 1 R^0) = 0. \tag{B.61}
\]
Before jumping into the classification of the most general form structures of \(( R 3 \)) , let us discuss the structure of \(( R 0 R 1 R 0 \)) and \(( R 0 \)) in more detail. Given a matrix-valued 2-form \(( M \)) , we have the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
( R 0 R 1 R 0 M R 0 )^\nu_r &= ( R 0 R 1 R 0 M R 0 )^\nu_\rho = ( R 0 R 1 R 0 M R 0 )^\mu_r = 0 , \\
( R 0 R 1 R 0 M R 0 )^\mu_\rho &= ( R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\mu_\sigma \wedge ( M )^\nu_\sigma \wedge ( R 0 )^\nu_\rho .
\end{align*}
\]  

(B.62)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
( R 0 M R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\nu_r &= ( R 0 M R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\nu_\rho = ( R 0 M R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\mu_r = 0 , \\
( R 0 M R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\mu_\rho &= ( R 0 )^\mu_\nu \wedge ( M )^\nu_\sigma \wedge ( R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\sigma_\rho .
\end{align*}
\]  

(B.63)

where we have used the fact that, except of one term in \(( R 0 )^\rho_\mu \), all the terms in \(( R 0 )\) are proportional to either \( dr \) or \( u \). Then the explicit calculation shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
( R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\rho_\mu ( M )^\rho_\nu ( R 0 )^\nu_\alpha &\sim u \wedge dr \wedge dx^\mu \wedge ( P _{\beta \gamma} ( M )^\beta_\nu dx^\rho \wedge dx^\nu ) \wedge ( P _{\sigma \sigma} dx^\sigma ) , \\
( R 0 )^\mu_\nu ( M )^\nu_\rho ( R 0 R 1 R 0 )^\rho_\alpha &\sim u \wedge dr \wedge dx^\mu \wedge ( P _{\beta \gamma} ( M )^\beta_\nu dx^\rho \wedge dx^\nu ) \wedge ( P _{\sigma \sigma} dx^\sigma ) .
\end{align*}
\]  

(B.64)

Now, let us construct the relevant case where \(( M ) = ( R 3 \)) . Since we are wedging \(( R 3 \)) with \( dr \wedge u \), the only possible form structure for \(( R 3 )^\alpha_\beta \) that survives is \( dx^\alpha \wedge ( P _{\rho \sigma} dx^\sigma ) \). From above, we see that if the indices of \(( M )^\rho_\nu \) are carried by \( dx^\rho \), \( P _{\rho \sigma} dx^\sigma \), \( u^\rho \) or \( u_\nu \), they will be zero after contraction with the projection matrix \( P \) or after wedging with \( u \). Therefore, the free indices on \(( M )\) must come from the different powers (and appropriate contractions) of \( \omega _{\alpha \beta} \) or derivatives of \( \omega _{\alpha \beta} \). At 3rd order, the possible two-forms can be split in

\[
\text{Case I : } \omega _{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \omega _{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \omega _{\alpha_3 \beta_3} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu , \quad \text{Case II : } \omega _{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \partial _\gamma \omega _{\alpha_2 \beta_2} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu .
\]  

(B.65)

In Case I, the two form indices can contract in two ways with \( \omega _{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \omega _{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \omega _{\alpha_3 \beta_3} \) and then we need to further contract two more free indices to have only two free tensor indices. After the contraction, we are left with

\[
\omega ^\beta _\lambda \omega ^\lambda _\nu \omega , \quad \omega ^\beta _\lambda \omega _{\alpha \sigma} \omega _{\beta \alpha} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\sigma .
\]  

(B.66)

where we have used \( \omega _{\alpha \sigma} \omega ^\alpha _{\beta} dx^\beta \wedge dx^\sigma = 0 \). However, we see that these terms vanish by inserting them into Eq. (B.64).

On the other hand, in Case II there is an odd number of free indices (hence cannot reduce to an 2-index object by contracting the indices within that term). Thus at least a contraction with \( u^\mu \) is necessary leaving two possibilities, either

\[
\omega ^\alpha _{\alpha_2} u ^\beta _\gamma \partial _\gamma \omega _{\beta \beta_2} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu , \quad \text{or} \quad \omega ^\alpha _{\alpha_2} u ^\gamma _\gamma ( \partial _\gamma \omega _{\alpha_2 \beta_2} ) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu .
\]  

(B.67)

However, since \( u ^\lambda \partial _\gamma \omega _{\lambda \beta_2} = - \omega ^\lambda _\gamma \omega _{\lambda \beta_2} \) and \( u ^\gamma _\gamma \partial _\gamma \omega _{\alpha_2 \beta_2} = u ^\gamma _\gamma \partial _\gamma \omega _{\alpha_2 \beta_2} = - \omega _{\alpha_2} ^\gamma \omega _{\gamma \beta_2} \), Case II reduces to Case I. We thus confirmed (B.61) which leads to

\[
( R 2 R 3 \chi_1 ) = ( R 1 R 3 \chi_2 ) = 0 .
\]  

(B.68)
Actually, the above argument is pertinent also when we evaluate \( \text{tr}[(\_0 R 1 R 0 R 3)] \) and \( \text{tr}[(\_0 R 3)] \wedge dr \wedge u \). Due to
\[
\text{tr}[(\_0 R 1 R 0 R 3)] = (\_0 R 1 R 0 R 3)_{\mu}^{\rho} P_{\beta \mu} (\_0 R 3)^{\rho}_{\beta \nu} \sim dr \wedge u \wedge \omega \left( P_{\beta \mu} (\_0 R 3)^{\rho}_{\beta \nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \right),
\]
and using analogous arguments as before, we conclude
\[
\text{tr}[(\_0 R 0 R 3)] \wedge dr \wedge u = (\_0 R 0 R 3)_{\mu}^{\nu} \sim dr \wedge u \wedge \left( P_{\beta \mu} (\_0 R 3)^{\rho}_{\beta \nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \right),
\]
and using analogous arguments as before, we conclude
\[
\text{tr}[(\_0 R 1 R 0 R 3)] = \text{tr}[(\_0 R 3)] \wedge dr \wedge u = 0. \tag{B.69}
\]
Similarly, we can also confirm that \((\_0 R 0 R 3) \wedge dr \wedge u = 0\), which leads to
\[
(\_1 R 3 \_1) \wedge dr \wedge u = 0. \tag{B.70}
\]

In summary, we have shown that
\[
(\_1 R 3 \_1) = (\_1 R 3 \_1) \wedge dr \wedge u = \text{tr}[\_2 R 3] = 0. \tag{B.71}
\]

### B.4 General structures of trace with higher-order curvature 2-form

In the computations of Maxwell and Einstein sources, we often encounter
\[
\mathcal{T} \equiv \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k} \right]. \tag{B.72}
\]
In this subsection, we will prove that when 2nd or higher order terms of \( R \) is contained, \( \mathcal{T} \) vanishes at sufficiently low orders. In other words, to have a nonzero result, there is a constraint on the total number of the derivatives in \( \mathcal{T} \) when it contains 2nd or higher order terms of \( R \). As we will see in the computation of the sources, this constraint makes the classification of the sources with 2nd and/or higher order terms of \( R \) (as well as \( F \)) easy.

Let us notice that the trace \( \mathcal{T} \) can take one of the following three forms (by using the cyclic property of the trace):
\[
\begin{align*}
TR_{(1)} &= \text{tr}[\_], \\
TR_{(2)} &= \text{tr}[v^{(1)} \_ v^{(2)} \_ \cdots v^{(j)} \_ \cdots v^{(I)} \_], \quad (I \geq 1), \\
TR_{(3)} &= \text{tr}[u^{(i)}],
\end{align*}
\]
where the symbol \( \_ \) represents one of the elements in \( \_0 \cup \_1 \cup \_2 \), that is, it is a string made of \((\_0 \_0)\)'s and \((\_1 \_1)\)'s only. Another symbol \( v^{(j)} \) is defined to represent a string made of 2nd or higher order terms, \((\_m \_m)\) with \( m \geq 2 \) (for example, \((\_2 2 \_2 5 \_3)\)). In the following argument, we sometimes use \( \_m \) to denote an element of \( \_m \) for simplicity. Let us define \( N_{T_1} \) as the number of derivatives for the case of \( \mathcal{T} = TR_{(i)} \) (for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \)). Then we have the following constraints on \( N_{T_1} \), depending on which form \( \mathcal{T} \) takes:

- \( \mathcal{T} = TR_{(1)} \):
  - In this case, since \( \text{tr}[\_2] = 0 \), \( \mathcal{T} = \text{tr}[\_0] \) or \( \text{tr}[\_1] \), which means that \( N_{T_1} \) for nontrivial \( \mathcal{T} \) can take two values:
- $N_{T1} = 2k : TR_{(1)} = \text{tr}[\chi_0]$.  
- $N_{T1} = 2k - 1 : TR_{(1)} = \text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u$.

- $\mathcal{T} = TR_{(2)}$:
  For $\mathcal{T} = TR_{(2)}$, we will show that $\mathcal{T}$ vanishes for $N_{T2} \leq 2k$ and thus $N_{T2} > 2k$ is required for non-trivial $\mathcal{T}$. To see this, we consider the following three cases separately:

  - $N_{T2} \leq 2k - 2$:
    We always encounter two or more $\chi_2$'s and thus $\mathcal{T}$ vanishes.

  - $N_{T2} = 2k - 1$:
    To avoid having more than one $\chi_2$, we must set all $\upsilon^{(j)}$'s to be $\chi_2$ and the rest of $\chi$'s to be $\chi_1$. However, $\mathcal{T}$ in this case also vanishes as a result of $(\chi_2 \chi_2) = (\chi_2 \chi_2) = 0$ (see Eq. (B.60)).

  - $N_{T2} = 2k$:
    In order not to have more than one $\chi_2$, there are two sub-cases:
    * One of the $\upsilon^{(j)}$'s is $\chi_2$, the rest of the $\upsilon^{(j)}$'s are $\chi_2$'s, one of the $\chi$'s is $\chi_2$ and the rest of the $\chi$'s are $\chi_1$'s. In this case, we always encounter $(\chi_2 \chi_2) = (\chi_2 \chi_2) = 0$ (see Eq. (B.60)) for $I \geq 2$. For $I = 1$ (that is, when there is one $\chi_2$ and no $\chi_2$'s), $TR_{(2)} = \text{tr}[\chi_2 \chi_2]$, which also vanishes thanks to Eq. (B.71).
    * All $\upsilon^{(j)}$'s are $\chi_2$'s. When one of $\chi$'s is $\chi_2$ and the rest are $\chi_1$'s, we always encounter $(\chi_2 \chi_2) = (\chi_2 \chi_2) = 0$. On the other hand, when all $\chi$'s are $\chi_1$'s, $\mathcal{T}$ contains $\text{tr}[\chi_1 \chi_2]$ or $\text{tr}[\chi_1 \chi_2]$ and both of them are zero due to Eq. (B.71).

- $\mathcal{T} = TR_{(3)}$:
  It is easy to see that $N_{T3}$ satisfies $N_{T3} \geq 4k$. The equality holds only when $\upsilon^{(1)}$ contains $(\chi_2)$ only.

We will use these bounds on $N_{Ti}$'s repeatedly in our arguments in the subsequent sections.

C Maxwell sources

In this Appendix, we present the computations of the Maxwell sources at the leading order in the fluid/gravity derivative expansion using the relations summarized in the Appendix B. The simplest example are the anomaly polynomials containing purely the $U(1)$ field strength, $\mathcal{P}_{CFT} = c_A F_n+1$, on AdS$_{2n+1}$, which we have already explained in Sec. 3. We will consider the mixed Chern-Simons terms here. We will do so by starting with the computations of the Maxwell sources for specific examples on AdS$_5$ and AdS$_9$ to complement the case of AdS$_7$ in Sec. 4.1.1. We then provide a general argument for any dimensions and any mixed Chern-Simons terms to show the complete agreement with the replacement rule. Interestingly enough, as we will show, the 2nd and higher order terms in the $U(1)$ field strength $F$ and
Curvature 2-form $R$ do not contribute to the leading order computations of the Maxwell sources. Remarkably, in AdS$_{2n+1}$ the leading nontrivial result for the Maxwell sources is proportional to $\omega^{n-1}$.

C.1 AdS$_5$

Let us start with AdS$_5$ case and consider the mixed term of the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{CFT_4} = c_M F \wedge \text{tr}[R^2],$$

where $c_M$ is a constant (in the following examples, we also introduce constant $c_-$ or simply $c$ to denote coefficients in the expression of the anomaly polynomials). From this, we get the following expression for the Maxwell source:

$$\star J_H = -c_M \text{tr}[R^2].$$

We first restrict ourselves to the 0th and 1st order terms of the curvature 2-forms. As shown in Appendix B the product $(R_0 R_0) = 0$, therefore the leading order contribution is

$$\star J_H = -2c_M \text{tr}[(R_0)J] = \frac{d}{dr} \left( 2c_M \Phi^2 \right) (u \wedge (2\omega) \wedge dr), \quad J_H^{(2\omega)} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left( 2c_M \Phi \frac{d}{dr} \right),$$

where $\star J_H$ is indeed of order $\omega^1$.

Let us then take into account the higher order terms in the curvature 2-form. In this case, it is obvious that the higher order terms in the curvature 2-form do not contribute at order $\omega^1$ or lower.

For the Abelian Chern-Simons term discussed in Sec. 3 and the mixed Chern-Simons term above, a straightforward comparison with the conventions of [49] gives the following dictionary:

$$-16\pi G_N c_A = \frac{4\kappa}{3}, \quad -16\pi G_N c_M = 4\lambda, \quad J = \frac{1}{r_H^2} 16\pi G_N r^3 T_H^{(2\omega)}, \quad \tilde{J} = -\frac{1}{r_H} 16\pi G_N r J_H^{(2\omega)}.$$ (C.4)

Using these relations, one can show that our sources in Eq. (3.12) and Eq. (C.3) are the same as those found by authors of [49] (assuming sign typos in $W_{4i}$ term in [49]).

C.2 AdS$_9$

As a final explicit example for the Maxwell source, we consider AdS$_9$ case. There are three kinds of the mixed terms in the anomaly polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}_{CFT_8} = c_M F^3 \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] + \tilde{c}_M F \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] + \tilde{c}_M F \wedge \text{tr}[R^4].$$

The corresponding Maxwell source is given by

$$\star J_H = -3c_M F^2 \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] - \tilde{c}_M \text{tr}[R^2] \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] - \tilde{c}_M \text{tr}[R^4].$$

For simplicity, we will evaluate the three terms separately.
• **First term (proportional to \(c_M\))**

Let us first ignore the 2nd and higher order terms in \(F\) and \(R\). We then find that the leading contribution to this term is

\[
*J_H = -6c_M (F \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 1)] - 6c_M (F^2 \cdot 1^2) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)]
\]

\[
= \frac{d}{dr} (6c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2) (u \wedge (2\omega^3 \wedge dr) , \quad (C.7)
\]

which is of order \(\omega^3\). Lower orders terms such as

\[
(F^2 \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 1)], \quad (F \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)], \quad (F^1 \cdot 1^2) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)],
\]

\[
(F^0 \cdot 2) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)], \quad (F \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 2)], \quad (F \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 4)],
\]

will all vanish as a result of \((R \cdot 0_R 0) = 0, (F \cdot 0) \sim dr \wedge u\) and \(\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \sim dr \wedge u\). Therefore \((C.7)\) is indeed the leading order contribution to the Maxwell source proportional to \(c_M\).

Having identified already the leading contributions we can consider 2nd and higher order terms of \(F\) and \(R\). At order \(\omega^3\) or lower, we encounter the following configurations containing 2nd or higher order terms:

\[
(F^2 \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 2_R 1)], \quad (F \cdot 0_F 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 1)],
\]

\[
(F \cdot 2_R 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)], \quad (F \cdot 0_F 2) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)], \quad (F \cdot 2_R 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)].
\]

One can directly identify that all these vanish since \((R \cdot 0_R 0) = 0, \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] = 0, (F \cdot 0) \sim dr \wedge u\) and \(\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \sim dr \wedge u\). We therefore determine that there is no \(\omega^3\) or lower order contribution containing 2nd or higher order terms of \(F\) or \(R\).

• **Second term (proportional to \(c_M\))**

By considering the 0th and 1st order terms in \(R\) only, we uncover that the leading order term of order \(\omega^3\) turns out to be

\[
*J_H = -4\tilde{c}_M \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 1)] = \frac{d}{dr} (2^2 \tilde{c}_M \Phi_T^4) (u \wedge (2\omega^3 \wedge dr) . \quad (C.10)
\]

Meanwhile, \((R \cdot 0_R 0) = 0\) and \(\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \sim dr \wedge u\), renders trivially all lower orders terms

\[
\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 1)], \quad \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 1)].
\]

\[
\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 2)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)], \quad \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 2)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)].\]

Once we take into account the 2nd and higher order contributions to \(R\), at \(\omega^3\) or lower order, we are faced with several possibilities

\[
\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 1_R 2)], \quad \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 2)], \quad \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 1)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 3)],
\]

\[
\text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 0)] \wedge \text{tr}[(R \cdot 0_R 2)].\]
However, all of them are zero as a result of \((R_0 R_0)^2 = 0\) and \(\text{tr}[(R_0 R_2)^2] = 0\). Consequently there is no \(\omega^3\) or lower order contributions containing 2nd or higher order terms of \(R\).

- **Third term (proportional to \(\tilde{c}_M\))**

Disregarding the 2nd and higher order terms in \(F\) and \(R\), we find the leading \(\omega^3\) order contribution to the third term

\[
\star J_H = -4\tilde{c}_M \text{tr}[(R_0 R_1 R_1 R_1)] = \frac{d}{dr}(2\tilde{c}_M \Phi^4_T)(u \wedge (2\omega)^3 \wedge dr). \quad (C.13)
\]

As for the lower order terms, which from \((R_0 R_0) = 0\) and \((R_0 R_1 R_0 R_1) = 0\) we will conclude that these vanish, we can have terms involving

\[
\text{tr}[(R_0 R_1 R_1 R_1)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_1 R_0 R_1)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_0 R_0 R_1)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_0 R_0 R_0)]. \quad (C.14)
\]

From the 2nd and higher order terms in \(R\), many configurations at \(\omega^3\) or lower order are possible

\[
\text{tr}[(R_0 R_0 R_1 R_2)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_0 R_2 R_1)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_1 R_0 R_2)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_0 R_0 R_3)], \quad \text{tr}[(R_0 R_0 R_0 R_2)]. \quad (C.15)
\]

The entire set will be irrelevant when we considering \((R_0 R_2 R_0) = (R_0 R_1 R_2) = 0\) and \((R_0^2) = 0\). In this way, we can say that there is no \(\omega^3\) or lower order contributions containing 2nd or higher order terms of \(R\).

Adding the contributions computed for each of the three terms of the AdS\(_9\) Maxwell source, yields

\[
\star J_H = \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} (2c_M \Phi^3_T \Phi^2_T + 2\tilde{c}_M \Phi^4_T + 2\tilde{\Phi}^4_M) (u \wedge (2\omega)^3 \wedge dr), \quad (C.16)
\]

\[
J_H^{(2\omega)^3} = -\frac{1}{r^5} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi} (2c_M \Phi^3_T \Phi^2_T + (2^2 \tilde{c}_M + 2\tilde{\Phi}^4_M) \Phi^4_T), \quad (C.17)
\]

where \(\star J_H\) is of order \(\omega^3\).

### C.3 General argument for Maxwell sources

Previously in Appendix C and Sec. 3, we recorded explicit examples and calculated the Maxwell sources for the mixed terms in the anomaly polynomials for dimension \(n \leq 4\), corresponding to solutions up to AdS\(_9\). Now, we consider more general cases for AdS\(_{2n+1}\) in any dimensions.

Let us then study the mixed term in the bulk anomaly polynomial

\[
\mathcal{P}_{CFT_{2n+1}} = c_M F^{l+1} \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_1} \right] \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_2} \right] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_p} \right], \quad (C.18)
\]
where \( l \geq 0 \), \( p \geq 1 \) and \( k_i > 0 \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, p \)) which might be considered in AdS\(_{2n+1}\) for \( n = 2k_{\text{tot}} + l \) (here \( k_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_i \)). We will argue that the general Maxwell source is

\[
*J_H = -c_M (l + 1) F^l \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_1} \right] \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_2} \right] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_p} \right] \equiv -c_M (l + 1) F^l \wedge T,
\]

where \( T = \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_1} \right] \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_2} \right] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr} \left[ R^{2k_p} \right]. \) (C.19)

**1. 0th and 1st order terms only**

To start we will overlook the 2nd and higher order contributions to \( F \) and \( R \). For the leading order contribution to the Maxwell source (C.19), since both \( (_\rho \mathbf{0}) \) and \( \text{tr}[\chi_1] \) are proportional to \( dr \wedge u \) and \( \text{tr}[\chi_2] = 0 \), we obtain

\[
*J_H = -c_M (l + 1) (_\rho \mathbf{1})^l \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{p} (2k_i) \text{tr} \left[ (_\mu \mathbf{1}^{2k_i}) \right] \ldots \wedge \text{tr} \left[ (_\mu \mathbf{0} \mathbf{1}) \wedge (_\mu \mathbf{1}^{2k_l - 2}) \ldots \right] \text{tr} \left[ (_\mu \mathbf{1}^{2k_p}) \right]
\]

\[
- c_M (l + 1) (_\rho \mathbf{0})^l \wedge \text{tr} \left[ (_\mu \mathbf{1}^{2k_1}) \right] \ldots \wedge \text{tr} \left[ (_\mu \mathbf{1}^{2k_p}) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{d \partial}{dr} \Phi \left[ c_M \Phi^{l+1}(2^p \Phi^{2k_{\text{tot}}}) \right] (u \wedge (2\omega)^{n-1} \wedge dr),
\]

which is of order \( \omega^{n-1} \). Therefore \( J_H^{(2\omega)^{n-1}} \) is given by

\[
J_H^{(2\omega)^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d \partial G^{(2\omega)^{n-1}}}{dr} = \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} \frac{d \partial}{dr} \Phi \left[ c_M \Phi^{l+1}(2^p \Phi^{2k_{\text{tot}}}) \right],
\] (C.21)

where we have used \( d = 2n \) and verified the replacement rule for \( G^{(2\omega)^{n-1}} \).  

**2. 2nd and higher order terms**

The next step is to show that there is no contribution containing \( (_\rho \mathbf{2}) \), \( (_\rho \mathbf{2}) \) or higher order terms at order \( \omega^{n-1} \) or lower in the computation of the Maxwell source. The underlying idea, as the number of the 2nd and higher order terms is increased, is to add more 0th order terms to compensate which will entail the classification of the contribution with 2nd and/or higher order terms tractable.

Recall from Appendix. B.4 that the trace \( \text{tr}[R^{2k_i}] \) can take one of the following three forms (by using the cyclic property of the trace):

\[
TR_{(1)} \equiv \text{tr}\chi, \quad TR_{(2)} \equiv \text{tr}[\mathbf{v}^{(1)} \chi \mathbf{v}^{(2)} \chi \ldots \mathbf{v}^{(l)} \chi \ldots \mathbf{v}^{(l)} \chi], \quad (I \geq 1),
\]

\[
TR_{(3)} \equiv \text{tr}[\mathbf{v}^{(1)}],
\] (C.22)

where the symbol \( \chi \) represents one of the elements in \( \chi_0 \cup \chi_1 \cup \chi_2 \), while \( \mathbf{v}^{(j)} \) is used to represent a string made of 2nd or higher order terms, e.g. \( (_\rho \mathbf{2}) \), \( (_\rho \mathbf{3}) \) or higher order terms.

Without loss of generality, let us suppose that contribution from first \( p_1 \) traces is of the form \( TR_{(1)} \) while for \( p_1 + 1 \leq i \leq p_2 \) it is of the form \( TR_{(2)} \). Then the remaining \( \text{tr}[R^{2k_i}] \) contribution for \( p_2 + 1 \leq i \leq p \) is of the form \( TR_{(3)} \). With this ordering, after dividing \( T \) into three parts, \( T = T_1 \wedge T_2 \wedge T_3 \), where \( T_1 \) (\( T_2, T_3, \) respectively) is made of the traces of the
The computation of the Einstein sources is the main subject of this section. For this purpose, we have to consider solely the $(r, \mu)$-component of $(T_{\mu})_{ab}$. As we will explain, only the

\[ T_1 \equiv \text{tr}[R^{2k_1}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k_p}], \]
\[ T_2 \equiv \text{tr}[R^{2k_{p+1}}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k_q}], \]
\[ T_3 \equiv \text{tr}[R^{2k_{p+1}}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k_r}]. \]

We denote the number of derivatives contained in $T_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ by $N_{T_i}$.

Let us first consider the case where either $p_1 < p$ or $p_2 < p$, i.e. there exists at least one $TR(2)$ or $TR(3)$. The non-trivial contributions for each $T_i$ will satisfy a lower bound on the number of derivatives $N_{T_i}$.

- $T_1 : N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} (2k_i)$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{p_1} (2k_i) - 1$.

In the first case, all the traces in $T_1$ are $\text{tr}[\chi_0]$'s. In the second case, $T_1$ contains exactly one $\text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u$ while the rest of the traces are equal to $\text{tr}[\chi_0]$'s. Since we cannot have more than one $\text{tr}[\chi_1]$, these are the only two non-trivial cases.

- $T_2 : N_{T_2} > \sum_{i=p_1+1}^{p_2} (2k_i)$.

- $T_3 : N_{T_3} > \sum_{i=p_2+1}^{p} (4k_i) > \sum_{i=p_2+1}^{p} (2k_i)$.

Taking the number of the derivatives in $F^l$ to be $N_F$, the total number of derivatives in the Maxwell source is given by $N_{\text{tot}} = N_F + N_{T_1} + N_{T_2} + N_{T_3}$. In turn, two separate cases have to be analyzed. Namely, $N_F \geq l$ or $N_F < l$. On one hand, for $N_F \geq l$,

\[ N_{\text{tot}} > \sum_{i=1}^{p} (2k_i) + l - 1 = 2k_{\text{tot}} + l - 1 = n - 1. \]

(C.24)

Therefore this case does not add to the Maxwell source at $\omega^{n-1}$ or lower order. On the other, for $N_F < l$, since $(\mu, 0^2) = 0$, the only potentially non-trivial configurations are the ones with $(F^l) = (\mu, 0, 1^{-1})$ and thus $N_F = l - 1$. All satisfy the inequality in Eq. (C.24), except for the case where $N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} (2k_i) - 1$. In this exceptional case, $T_i$ and $F^l$ contain $\text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u$ and $\mu, 0 \sim dr \wedge u$ respectively. Thus, this possibility is trivial.

The previous argument assumes that $p_1$ or $p_2$ are simultaneously equal to $p$, i.e. there exists at least one $TR(2)$ or $TR(3)$. When $p_1 = p_2 = p$, the trace becomes $T = T_1$, thus $F^l$ needs to contain 2nd and/or higher order terms of $F$. However, since $(\mu, 0^2)=0$, nontrivial contributions to $F^l$ containing 2nd and/or higher order terms must satisfy $N_F \geq l$. This implies $N_{\text{tot}} \geq 2k_{\text{tot}} + l - 1$. For the $N_{\text{tot}} = 2k_{\text{tot}} + l - 1$ case, we necessarily have one $\text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u$ in $T_1$ and also one $(\mu, 0)$ in $F^l$, and thus this product is zero.

To summarize, we have confirmed that 2nd and higher order terms of $F$ and $R$ do not add to the Maxwell sources at $\omega^{n-1}$ or lower order.

\section{Einstein sources}

The computation of the Einstein sources is the main subject of this section. For this purpose, we have to consider solely the $(r, \mu)$-component of $(T_{\mu})_{ab}$. As we will explain, only the
where such replacement rules are verified to demonstrate that only \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c\) contributes to \((T^H_{\rho\mu})\). Surprisingly, \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r\) satisfies a replacement rule which subsequently implies a replacement rule for \((T^H_{\rho\mu})\) in Eq. (4.14).

We will discuss how this works in Appendix D.1. We then scan specific examples in AdS_{2n+1} \((n = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) in Appendix D.2 to Appendix D.5 where such replacement rules are verified and consider a more general replacement rule for \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r\) in AdS_{2n+1} in Appendix D.6.

At the end of this section, we provide a proof of some symmetry properties of the spin Hall current used in Appendix D.1 to demonstrate that only \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c\) contributes to \((T^H_{\rho\mu})\).

### D.1 Replacement rule for \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r\)

To evaluate the Einstein source, we consider the \((r, \mu)\)-component of \((T^H_{\rho\mu})_{ab}\) defined by

\[
(T^H_{\rho\mu})_{ab} = \partial_v (\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c = \partial_v (\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c - \Gamma^d_{\rho c} (\Sigma_r^H)_{(d\mu)}^c - \Gamma^d_{\mu c} (\Sigma_r^H)_{(r d)}^c + \Gamma^c_{cd} (\Sigma_r^H)_{(r\mu)}^d.
\]

For a moment, let us assume that the only nontrivial contribution to \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c\) are those of the 0th and 1st order terms of \(F\) and \(R\). Moreover, we also assume that the leading order contribution to \((T^H_{\rho\mu})_{rr}\) is of order \(\omega^{n-1}\) and comes only from \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c\) at \(\omega^{n-1}\) order. These two at first ad hoc assumptions will be justified in the upcoming sections by proving two statements: (1) when only 0th and 1st order terms of \(F\), \(R\) and the Christoffel symbol are considered, the leading order contribution to \((T^H_{\rho\mu})_{rr}\) is of order \(\omega^{n-1}\) and comes from \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^c\) at \(\omega^{n-1}\); (2) 2nd or higher order terms of \(F\), \(R\) and the Christoffel connection can generate nontrivial contribution to \((T^H_{\rho\mu})_{rr}\) only at \(\omega^n\) or higher order. Then

\[
(T^H_{\rho\mu})_{rr} = \partial_v (\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r + r^{-1}(d - 1)(\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r - r^{-1}P^\nu_{\rho}(\Sigma_r^H)_{(\nu\mu)}^r - \Gamma^\nu_{\rho\mu}(\Sigma_r^H)_{(r\nu)}^c - \Gamma^r_{\rho\nu}(\Sigma_r^H)_{(r\mu)}^c,
\]

where we have used the fact that \(\Gamma^a_{a\nu} = r^{-1}(d - 1)\) and \(\Gamma^a_{a\mu} = 0\) at the 0th order.

From Appendix D.7, we know that the leading order contributions to \((\Sigma_r^H)_{ab}^c\) from zeroth and first order objects satisfy several symmetry properties

\[
(\Sigma_r^H)_{(rr)}^c = (\Sigma_r^H)_{(r\nu)}^\rho = P^\nu_{\rho}(\Sigma_r^H)_{(\nu\mu)}^\rho = 0, \quad P^\nu_{\rho}(\Sigma_r^H)_{(r\nu)}^r = (\Sigma_r^H)_{(r\nu)}^r,
\]

yielding an expression for \((T^H_{\rho\mu})_{rr}\) which depends only on \((\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r\), namely,

\[
(T^H_{\rho\mu})_{rr} = \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-2}(\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r \right].
\]

Based on the prior observations, we claim that

\[
(\Sigma_r^H)_{(\rho\mu)}^r = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-1} \frac{\partial G^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right] V_{\mu} \equiv \Sigma_r^{(V)} V_{\mu}.
\]

This leads to

\[
T_{\rho\mu}^{(V)} = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-1} \frac{\partial G^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right] = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-1}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r^{d-1} - \frac{\partial G^{(V)}}{\partial \Phi_T} \right],
\]

\[– 44 –\]
which is the replacement rule for the Einstein source in Eq. (4.14).

In the next sections, we will first work out some examples to verify Eq. (D.5) and justify the assumptions we have made above. We then prove this new replacement rule for the most general AdS\(_{2n+1}\) case.

### D.2 AdS\(_3\)

The simplest situation is AdS\(_3\) with the anomaly polynomial given by

\[
P_{CFT_2} = c_g \text{tr}[R^2].
\]

The leading order contribution to \((\Sigma_H)^{ab\,c}\) can be easily found

\[
(\Sigma_H)^{ab\,c} = -2c_g \varepsilon^{a\,p_1\,p_2}(R^0)^b_{\,c\,p_1\,p_2},
\]

which represents a \(\omega^0\) order. Evaluating

\[
(\Sigma_H)_{\mu\nu} = 4c_g \Psi V_{\mu}, \quad (\Sigma_H)_{\mu
u} = -4c_g (r\Psi') V_{\mu}.
\]

we find

\[
\Sigma^{(V)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_T} (2c_g \Phi_T^2) \right],
\]

which is consistent with \(G^{(V)} = 2c_g \Phi_T^2\) for the gravitational Chern-Simons term in AdS\(_3\) and confirms the replacement rule in Eq. (D.5).

We note that even if we take into account 2nd and higher order terms in the Christoffel connection or the curvature 2-form, it is obvious that there is no extra contribution at the leading order to the Einstein source.

### D.3 AdS\(_5\)

The mixed term in the anomaly polynomial in AdS\(_5\) spacetimes is

\[
P_{CFT_4} = c_M F \wedge \text{tr}[R^2],
\]

which in turn gives

\[
(\Sigma_H)^{ab\,c} = -c_M \varepsilon^{a\,p_1\,p_2\,p_3\,p_4} F_{p_1\,p_2} F_{p_3\,p_4} ^\,b\,c_{p_3\,p_4}.
\]

The leading order term of \((\Sigma_H)^{ab\,c}\) is of order \(\omega^0\) such that

\[
(\Sigma_H)^{ab\,c} \equiv -c_M \varepsilon^{a\,p_1\,p_2\,p_3\,p_4} \wedge (R^0)_{p_1\,p_2\,p_3\,p_4} ^\,b\,c_{p_3\,p_4} \sim \varepsilon^{a\,p_3\,p_4\mu\nu} u_\nu (R^0)^b_{\,c\,p_3\,p_4}.
\]

The only non-zero component of \(dr \wedge u \wedge (R^0)_{c}^{b}\) is \(dr \wedge u \wedge dx^\gamma \wedge (P_\gamma dx^\delta)\) thus the only nontrivial components of \((\Sigma_H)^{ab\,c}\) are

\[
(\Sigma_H)^{a\beta\,\gamma} \sim \varepsilon^{a\beta\nu\delta r} u_\nu P_\gamma^\delta.
\]
This implies that $\left(\Sigma_H\right)^r_c = \left(\Sigma_H\right)^{ra}_c = (\Sigma_H)^{rb}_{c} = 0$, and hence the only potentially non-trivial contribution to $(T_H)_{r\mu}$ from $(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_{c}$ is the second term in Eq. (D.1), which involves $\left(\Sigma_H\right)^{r}_{(\delta\mu)^c}_{\gamma}$. Conversely,

$$\left(\Sigma_H\right)^r_{\delta\mu} \sim c^{\alpha\gamma\nu\tau} P_{\alpha\delta} P_{\beta\mu} u_{\nu} \Rightarrow \left(\Sigma_H\right)^{r}_{(\delta\mu)^c}_{\gamma} = 0 \ ,$$

and, we conclude that $(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_{c}$ does not contribute to $(T_H)_{r\mu}$ at any order.

It is worth stressing that the aforementioned arguments are actually more general, in the sense that the arguments follow (and play no role) whenever the spin Hall current contains a structure of the form $(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_{c} \sim c^{a1}c^{b2} \cdots (dr \wedge u \wedge (\mathcal{R}^{0})^{bc}p_{p1}p_{p2} \cdots )$.

To find the first non-trivial contribution to $(T_H)_{r\mu}$ we will have to calculate the subleading term of the spin Hall current given by

$$(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_{c} = -c_M c^{a1}c^{b2}p_{p3}p_{p4} \left[ (F^0)p_{p1}p_{p2} (\mathcal{R}^{1})^{bc}p_{p3}p_{p4} + (F^1)p_{p1}p_{p2} (\mathcal{R}^{0})^{bc}p_{p3}p_{p4} \right] = (\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{ab}_{c} + (\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{ab}_{c} \ ,$$

where we have divided this contribution into two pieces for later use. The first term $(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{ab}_{c}$, considering $(F^0) = \Phi' dr$, becomes

$$(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{r}_{\mu r} = -4c_M r^{-2} \Phi'(r\Phi_T) V_{\mu} \ , \quad (\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{r}_{r\mu} = 0 \ ,$$

leading to

$$\left(\Sigma_H^{(1)}\right)^{r} = -2c_M r^{-2} \Phi'(r\Phi_T) \ .$$

The second term $(\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{r}_{\mu r}$ can be evaluated easily by attaching $(F^1) = 2\Phi \omega$ to the AdS computation in Appendix D.2 and hence

$$\left(\Sigma_H^{(2)}\right)^{r} = -2c_M r^{-2} \Phi r \Phi_T \ .$$

Combining the two terms yields

$$\Sigma_H^{(v)} = -\frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi_T} (2c_M \Phi\Phi_T^2) \right] \ ,$$

showing an agreement with Eq. (D.5).

As in the case of AdS$_3$, it is obvious that 2nd and higher order terms in $F$, $R$ and the Christoffel connection add nontrivially to the Einstein source at the $\omega^1$ or lower orders.

**D.4 AdS$_7$**

Now we consider AdS$_7$ case with three different types of terms in the anomaly polynomial with potential to contribute nontrivially to the Einstein source. We deal with each term separately.

\footnote{To compare with [49], we refer the readers back to the discussion around Eq. (C.4).}
\[ (1) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}_6} = c_M F^2 \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] \]

The spin Hall current is denoted by

\[ (\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c = -\frac{1}{2} c_M \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6} F_{p_1p_2} F_{p_3p_4} R^b_{c p_5p_6}. \tag{D.21} \]

We concentrate on the 0th and 1st order terms in \( F, R \) and the Christoffel connection and ignore the higher orders to start. By noticing that \( (\rho 0^2) = 0 \), the leading order contribution to the Einstein source is of order \( \omega^1 \) reduces to

\[ (\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c \equiv -c_M \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6} (\rho 0)_{p_1p_2} (\rho 1)_{p_3p_4} (\rho 0)_{c p_5p_6}. \tag{D.22} \]

However, as in the case of \( (\rho 0) \) in AdS\( _5 \) (see Eq. (D.13) and below) these do not contribute to the Einstein source at any order of the derivative expansion. Therefore, we will only need to consider terms of order \( \omega^2 \) in \((\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c\) of the form

\[ (\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c = -\frac{1}{2} c_M \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6} \left[ 2 \times (\rho 0)_{p_1p_2} (\rho 1)_{p_3p_4} (\rho 1)_{c p_5p_6} + (\rho 1)_{p_1p_2} (\rho 1)_{p_3p_4} (\rho 0)_{c p_5p_6} \right]. \tag{D.23} \]

This structure is essentially the same as the AdS\( _5 \) case with extra \( (\rho 1) = (2\Phi \omega) \) attached. It follows that

\[ (\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(v)} = -2c_M r^{-4} (2\Phi \Phi') (r \Phi_T), \quad (\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{(v)} = -2c_M r^{-4} \Phi^2 \partial_r (r \Phi_T), \tag{D.24} \]

which add up to

\[ \Sigma^{(v)}_H = -\frac{1}{2r^4} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_T} (2c_M \Phi^2 \Phi_T^2) \right], \tag{D.25} \]

and also agrees with Eq. (D.5).

It is interesting to see that when 2nd and higher order terms of \( F, R \) and the Christoffel connection are taken into account, no extra contributions to the Einstein source exist at \( \omega^2 \) or lower orders. Mainly, due to \( (\rho 0^2) = (\rho 0, 2) = 0 \) as well as the fact that the leading order contribution to the spin Hall current is of order \( \omega^1 \).

Observe that we can generalize this line of thoughts to the case of \( \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}_{d+1}} = c_M F^d \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] \) in AdS\( _{d+1} \) (with \( d+1 = 2l+3 \)): (1) the leading order term of the spin Hall current does not contribute at any order to the Einstein source, (2) the two subleading order contributions (which are of order \( \omega^l \)) to \((\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c\) are

\[ (\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(v)} = -r^{2-d} (l \Phi^{l-1} \Phi') (2c_M r \Phi_T), \quad (\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{(v)} = -r^{2-d} \Phi' \partial_r (2c_M r \Phi_T), \tag{D.26} \]

which add up to

\[ \Sigma^{(v)}_H = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_T} (2c_M \Phi^l \Phi_T^2) \right], \tag{D.27} \]

and represent to final result which is as well in agreement with Eq. (D.5), and finally (3) the 2nd and higher order terms in \( F, R \) and the Christoffel connection do not generate extra contribution to the Einstein source at \( \omega^l \) or lower orders, since \( (\rho 0^2) = (\rho 0, 2) = 0 \) and the
fact that the leading order contribution to the spin Hall current is of order $\omega^j$.  

\begin{equation}
\text{(2) } \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}_6} = c_g \text{tr}[R^2] \wedge \text{tr}[R^2]
\end{equation}

The spin Hall current is

\begin{equation}
(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c = -c_g \varepsilon^{a p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 p_5 p_6} R^f_{g p_1 p_2} R^g_{f p_3 p_4} R^b_{c p_5 p_6}.
\end{equation}

As in the previous case, let us for a while consider 0th and 1st order terms in $R$ and the Christoffel connection only. The leading order contribution to the spin Hall current is of order $\omega^1$ and is given by

\begin{equation}
(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c \equiv -2c_g \varepsilon^{a p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 p_5 p_6} \left[ \text{tr}[\left( R_{0,1} \right)]_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} (R_0)^b_{c p_5 p_6} \right].
\end{equation}

Notice that $\text{tr}[\left( R_{0,1} \right)] \wedge (\omega R) \sim dr \wedge u \wedge (\omega R)$ and thus we can apply analogous arguments as in the AdS_5 case (see Eq. (D.13) and below). Therefore, such a term does not contribute to the Einstein source at any order of the derivative expansion. The next to leading order term, comprises the $\omega^2$ terms of the spin Hall current, reduces to

\begin{equation}
(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c = -c_g \varepsilon^{a p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 p_5 p_6} \left[ 2 \times \text{tr}[\left( R_{0,1} \right)]_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} (R_1)^b_{c p_5 p_6} + \text{tr}[\left( R_{1,1} \right)]_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} (R_0)^b_{c p_5 p_6} \right] \equiv (\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{ab}_c + (\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{ab}_c,
\end{equation}

where $(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{ab}_c$ and $(\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{ab}_c$ respectively denote the first and second terms of the right hand side of the first line.

We first evaluate $(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(v)}$ and find

\begin{equation}
(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(v)} = -8r^{-4}(2\Phi_T\Phi_T')(rc_v \Phi_T).
\end{equation}

The second term $(\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{(v)}$ is essentially the same as the computation in the AdS_3 case, with extra $\text{tr}[\left( R_{1,1} \right)] = 2(2\omega \Phi_T^2)$ attached so that

\begin{equation}
(\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{(v)} = -8r^{-4}\Phi_T^2 \partial_r (rc_v \Phi_T).
\end{equation}

Combining the above two contributions, we finally obtain

\begin{equation}
\Sigma^{(v)} = -\frac{1}{2r^4} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r(c_g 16\Phi_T^3) \right],
\end{equation}

which again agrees with Eq. (D.5).

Alike other cases in AdS_7 the 2nd and higher order terms in $R$ and the Christoffel connection, do not contribute to $(T_H)_{r \mu}$ at $\omega^2$ or lower orders, as of $(\omega^0) = 0$ and $\text{tr}[\left( R_{0,2} \right)] = 0$ and the fact that the leading order contribution to the spin Hall current is of order $\omega^1$.

\begin{equation}
\text{(3) } \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}_6} = \tilde{c}_g \text{tr}[R^4]
\end{equation}

The spin Hall current in this case is given by

\begin{equation}
(\Sigma_H)^{ab}_c = -\tilde{c}_g \varepsilon^{a p_1 p_2 \cdots p_6} R^b_{c p_1 p_2} R^e_{f p_3 p_4} R^f_{c p_5 p_6}.
\end{equation}
The leading contribution to the spin Hall current is of order $\omega^1$ while considering only the 0th and 1st order terms in $R$ and Christoffel connection

$$
(S_H)^{ab}_{c} = -\tilde{c}_g \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2...p_6}(R_{R_{0}R_{1}}^{b}0_{R}^{c}0_{p_1p_2...p_6}).
$$

Identical arguments as in the previous case, since $(\tilde{R}_{0}^{0}1_{R}^{1}) \sim \text{tr}[(R_{0}^{0}1_{R}^{0})] \land (\tilde{R}_{1}^{1})$, indicate that $(S_H)^{ab}_{c}$ generates no contribution to $(T_H)^{r\mu}_{r\mu}$ independently of its order. The next order terms in $(S_H)^{ab}_{c}$ are given by

$$
(S_H)^{ab}_{c} = -\tilde{c}_g \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2...p_6}[(\tilde{R}_{0}^{0}1_{R}^{1})^{b}c_{p_1p_2...p_6} + (R_{1}^{1}0_{R}^{0})^{b}c_{p_1p_2...p_6} + (R_{1}^{1}1_{R}^{0})^{b}c_{p_1p_2...p_6}],
$$

from which a few components are relevant to the Einstein source computation, specifically

$$
(S_H)^{r\mu}_{r\mu} = 8\tilde{c}_g (r^{-4}\Phi^3_T) V_{\mu}, \quad (S_H)^{\mu r}_{\nu r} = -8\tilde{c}_g r^{-4} [\partial_r (r\Phi^3_T) + \Phi^3_T] V_{\mu}.
$$

In the end, we find

$$
(S_H)^{(v)} = -\frac{1}{2r^4} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi_T} (2\tilde{c}_g \Phi^3_T) \right],
$$

which coincides with Eq. (D.5).

Two results, $(\tilde{R}_{0}^{0}0_{R}^{2}) = 0$ and $(R_{0}^{0}2_{R}^{2}0_{R}^{0}) = 0$ and the fact that the spin Hall current starts at $\omega^1$ order, imply that the 2nd and higher order terms in $R$ and Christoffel connection, support no further contributions to the Einstein source at $\omega^2$ or lower orders.

D.5 AdS$_9$

For AdS$_9$, we consider the following two cases that have not been discussed yet.

\(1\) $\mathcal{P}_{CFT_8} = \tilde{\eta} M F \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] \wedge \text{tr}[R^2]$  

The corresponding spin Hall current is given by

$$
(S_H)^{ab}_{c} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}_M \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6p_7p_8} F_{p_1p_2} R_{p_3p_4p_5p_6} R_{p_7p_8} R_{bc} c_{p_7p_8}. \tag{D.39}
$$

Taking the 0th and 1st order terms in the derivative expansion of $F$, $R$ and Christoffel connection we find the leading order contribution to $(S_H)^{ab}_{c}$

$$
(S_H)^{ab}_{c} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}_M \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6p_7p_8} \left[ 2 \times (\tilde{F}_1)_{p_1p_2} \text{tr}[(R_{0}^{0}1_{R}^{1})p_3p_4p_5p_6(R_{0}^{0})^b c_{p_7p_8}] + (\tilde{F}_0)_{p_1p_2} \text{tr}[(R_{1}^{1}1_{R}^{0})p_3p_4p_5p_6(R_{0}^{0})^b c_{p_7p_8}] \right],
$$

which is of order $\omega^2$. Since $(\tilde{F}_0) \wedge (\tilde{F}_0) \sim \text{tr}[(\tilde{R}_{0}^{0}1_{R}^{1})] \wedge (\tilde{F}_0)$, the statements employed for AdS$_5$ and AdS$_7$ are applicable here as well implying that the $\tilde{(S_H)^{ab}_{c}}$ does contribute trivially to the Einstein source at all orders. The next leading order (i.e. $\omega^3$ order) of the spin Hall current becomes

$$
(S_H)^{ab}_{c} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}_M \varepsilon^{ap_1p_2p_3p_4p_5p_6p_7p_8} \left[ 2 \times (\tilde{F}_1)_{p_1p_2} \text{tr}[(R_{0}^{0}1_{R}^{1})p_3p_4p_5p_6(R_{1}^{1})^b c_{p_7p_8}] + (\tilde{F}_0)_{p_1p_2} \text{tr}[(R_{1}^{1}1_{R}^{0})p_3p_4p_5p_6(R_{1}^{1})^b c_{p_7p_8}] \right]. \tag{D.41}
$$
The contribution from the first two terms can be easily evaluated by attaching \((x, 1) = (2\Phi \omega)\) to the \(c_M\) term calculation of the AdS\(_7\). The resultant contribution to \(\Sigma^{(V)}_\mu\) is

\[
-8\tilde{c}_M r^{-6}\Phi \partial_r \left(r\Phi T^3\right). \tag{D.42}
\]

The third term in Eq. (D.41) could be simply calculated by attaching \(\text{tr}[(R, 1)_\mu \cdot 1] = 2(2\Phi T\omega)^2\) to the AdS\(_5\) result \(((\Sigma^{(1)}_\mu)^{(V)}\) for the \(c_M\) term). Then the contribution to \(\Sigma^{(V)}_\mu\) from this term is

\[
-8\tilde{c}_M r^{-6}\Phi' (r\Phi T^3). \tag{D.43}
\]

By combining them, the overall contribution takes the form

\[
\Sigma^{(V)}_\mu = -\frac{1}{2r^6} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi T^3} \left(4\tilde{c}_M \Phi T^3\right)\right], \tag{D.44}
\]

in accord with Eq. (D.5).

Let us take into account 2nd and higher order terms in \(F, R\) and Christoffel connection. We consider \(F \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] \wedge R\) from which the spin Hall current is constructed. Then we classify the \(\omega^3\) and lower order contributions to \(F \wedge \text{tr}[R^2] \wedge R\) containing 2nd or higher order terms as follows:

- \((x, 0) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 1] \wedge (x, 2), (x, 2) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 1] \wedge (x, 0), (x, 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 1] \wedge (x, 2), (x, 2) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 1] \wedge (x, 1)\)
  - vanishes because \(\text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 1] = 0\).

- \((x, 0) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 2] \wedge (x, 0), (x, 0) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 2] \wedge (x, 2), (x, 1) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 2] \wedge (x, 0)\)
  - vanishes because \(\text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 2] = 0\).

- \((x, 0) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 1)_\mu \cdot 1] \wedge (x, 2)\)
  - vanishes because both \((x, 0)\) and \(\text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 1]\) are proportional to \(dr \wedge u\).

- \((x, 0) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 3] \wedge (x, 0)\)
  - vanishes because \((x, 0) \sim dr \wedge u\) and then \(\text{tr}[(x, 0)_\mu \cdot 3] \wedge dr \wedge u = 0\).

- \((x, 2) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 1)_\mu \cdot 1] \wedge (x, 0), (x, 0) \wedge \text{tr}[(x, 1)_\mu \cdot 2] \wedge (x, 0)\)
  - do not contribute to the Einstein source since both of them are proportional to \(dr \wedge u \wedge (x, 0)^b\).

In addition to this, since the derivative expansion of the spin Hall current starts at \(\omega^2\), the 2nd and higher order terms of the Christoffel connection do not generate new contribution to the Einstein source at \(\omega^3\) or lower order. We thus conclude that 2nd and higher order terms in \(F, R\) and Christoffel connection do not contribute to the Einstein source at \(\omega^3\) or lower order.

\[\begin{align*}
\text{(2) } & \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}_5} = \tilde{c}_M F \wedge \text{tr}[R^4] \\
\text{The spin Hall current in this case is } & (\Sigma_H)^{ab} c = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{c}_M \epsilon^{a p_1 p_2 \ldots p_8} f_{p_1 p_2} R^{b}_{f p_3 p_4} R^{f}_{g p_5 p_6} R^{g}_{c p_7 p_8}. \tag{D.45}
\end{align*}\]
We notice that both \((\varphi 0)\) and \((R_{0 R} 1 R 0)\) are proportional to \(dr \wedge u\) and all the components of \((\varphi 0 R 1 R 1)\), \((\varphi 1 R 0 R 0)\) and \((\varphi 1 R 1 R 0)\) are linear combinations of terms proportional to \(dr\) or \(u\). Then the leading order term of \((\Sigma_H)\) – restricting ourselves to the 0th and 1st order terms in \(F\), \(\mathcal{R}\) and the Christoffel connection – is given by

\[
(\Sigma_H)^{ab} c = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} M \varepsilon^{ap_1 \cdots p_s} (\varphi 1)_{p_1 p_2} \left[ (R_{0 R} 1 R 0)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} + (R_{1 R} 0 R 1)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} + (R_{1 R} 1 R 0)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} \right],
\]

(D.46)

which is of order \(\omega^2\). As in the argument for the \(\tilde{c}_g\) term in the AdS\(7\) case, \((\Sigma_H)^{ab} c\) does not contribute to the Einstein source at any order. We thus consider the next order terms, of order \(\omega^3\), in \((\Sigma_H)^{ab} c\):

\[
(\Sigma_H)^{ab} c = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} M \varepsilon^{ap_1 \cdots p_s} (\varphi 1)_{p_1 p_2} \left[ (R_{0 R} 1 R 1)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} + (R_{1 R} 0 R 1)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} + (R_{1 R} 1 R 0)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{c} M \varepsilon^{ap_1 \cdots p_s} (\varphi 0)_{p_1 p_2} \left[ (R_{1 R} 1 R 1)^b_{cp_3 \cdots p_s} \right].
\]

(D.47)

The contribution to the Einstein source from the first line (labeled by \((T_H^{(1)})_{\tau \mu}\)) is evaluated essentially in the same way as the \(\tilde{c}_g\) term in the AdS\(7\) case with extra \((\varphi 1) = (2 \Phi \omega)\) attached

\[
(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(V)} = -\frac{1}{2 r^6} (8 \tilde{c} M) \left[ \Phi \partial_r (r \Phi^3_T) \right].
\]

(D.48)

To evaluate the second line of \((D.47)\) (labeled by \((\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{ab} c\)), we first note that \((\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{rb}_c = u_\alpha (\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{ab} c = 0\), since \((\varphi 0) \sim dr \wedge u\) which produces

\[
(\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{rb}_c = -\frac{1}{2 r^6} 16 \tilde{c} M [\Phi (r \Phi^3_T)] V_\mu \quad (\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{rb}_c = 0,
\]

(D.49)

and henceforth

\[
(\Sigma_H^{(2)})^{(V)} = -\frac{1}{2 r^6} (8 \tilde{c} M) \left[ \Phi (r \Phi^3_T) \right].
\]

(D.50)

Combining \((D.48)\) and \((D.50)\) ultimately add to

\[
(\Sigma_H^{(V)}) = -\frac{1}{2 r^6} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_T} (2 \tilde{c} M \Phi^4_T) \right],
\]

(D.51)

which agrees with Eq. \((D.5)\).

Once we take into account 2nd and higher order terms in \(F\), \(\mathcal{R}\) and Christoffel symbol, then we encounter many potential nontrivial terms. Let us consider \(F \wedge \mathcal{R}^3\) from which we construct the spin Hall current. Then we can classify the contribution of order \(\omega^2\) or \(\omega^3\) with 2nd or higher order terms of \(F\) and \(\mathcal{R}\) contained as follows:

- \((\varphi 0) \wedge (R_{0 R} 2 R 0), (\varphi 1) \wedge (R_{0 R} 2 R 0)\) → vanish because of \((R_{0 R} 2 R 0) = 0\).
- \((\varphi 2) \wedge (R_{0 R} 1 R 0)\) → vanish because \((\varphi 2) \sim dr \wedge u\).
- \((\varphi 0) \wedge (R_{0 R} 3 R 0)\) → vanish because of \((R_{0 R} 3 R 0) \wedge dr \wedge u = 0\).
• \((\rho 0) \land (\rho 2 R R 0), (\rho 0) \land (\rho 2 R R 1), (\rho 0) \land (\rho R R 2 R), (\rho 0) \land (\rho R R 2 R 0), (\rho 0) \land (\rho 1 R 0 R 2), (\rho 0) \land (\rho 1 R 0 R 2)

\rightarrow\) vanish because all the terms appearing in \((\rho R R 0 R), (\rho R R 0 R 1), (\rho R R 2 R 0), (\rho R R 0 R 2)\) are proportional to \(d\) or \(u\).

We also notice that 2nd and higher order terms in the Christoffel connection do not give nontrivial contribution to the Einstein source at \(\omega^3\) or lower order, since the spin Hall current does not contain nontrivial \(\omega^1\) or lower order terms. We thus conclude that 2nd and higher order terms do not contribute to the Einstein source at the leading order or lower.

D.6 General argument for Einstein sources

In this subsection, we provide a general argument to calculate the leading order contribution to the Einstein source. In particular, we will show that 2nd and higher order terms in \(R, F\) as well as the Christoffel connection do not contribute at this or lower orders. The proof of this straightforward argument involves many steps. We will initially resolve the most simple cases and construct the more elaborated and most general arguments towards the end.

Case I: \(P_{CFT} = c_g \text{tr}[R^{2k+4}]\) with \(k \geq 0\)

We first consider the case with the single trace of the curvature 2-forms. This anomaly polynomial is allowed on AdS\(_{d+1}\) with \(d = 4k + 6\). Since the case of \(\text{tr}[R^2]\) has been covered in details in the AdS\(_3\) example, we shall consider \(k \geq 0\) here.

(1) 0th and 1st order terms only

For a while, we assume that there is no contribution containing 2nd or higher order terms of \(R\) and Christoffel connection. By noticing that more than two \((\rho 0)\)'s are not allowed in a given wedge product of \(R\), the derivative of the anomaly polynomial \(\partial P_{CFT}/\partial R^a_b\) at the leading order is proportional to \((\rho 0 R 1 R 0) \land (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k}\). As in the previous arguments for AdS\(_9\), it does not generate any contribution to the Einstein source at any order. We thus consider the next leading contribution to the derivative:

\[
\frac{\partial P_{CFT}}{\partial R^a_b} = c_g (2k + 4) \sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} (\rho R R 1 R 0)^i a (2k+2-i)^{b} a
\]

\[
= c_g (2k + 4) \left[ (\rho R R 1 R 1)^b a + (\rho R R 1 R 0)^b a + (2k + 1)(\rho R R 0 R 1)^b a \right] \land (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k},
\]

which is of order \(\omega^{2k+2}\). In the second line, we have used the identities in the Appendix B. Repeating the same calculation as in the \(\varphi_7\) term in AdS\(_7\) case, we obtain the corresponding contribution to the spin Hall current as follows:

\[
(\Sigma_H)_{\rho \mu}^r = +2(2k + 4)c_g r^{2-d}(\Phi_T^{2k+3})V_{\mu},
\]

\[
(\Sigma_H)_{\rho \mu}^r = -2(2k + 4)c_g r^{3-d}(\Phi_T^{2k+2}) \left[(2k + 2)\Phi_T + r^{-1}\Phi_T + r^{-1}\partial_r(\rho \Phi_T)\right] V_{\mu}.
\]
This leads to
\[
\Sigma^{(v)}_\mu = -\frac{1}{2r^{d-2}} (2c_g) (2k + 4) \left[ (2k + 2)(\Phi_T^{2k+1}\Phi_T') (r\Phi_T') + \Phi_T^{2k+2}\partial_r (r\Phi_T) \right]
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2r^{d-2}} d \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi_T} (2c_g \Phi_T^{2k+4}) \right],
\]
which agrees with Eq. (D.54).

(2) 2nd and higher order terms

Now let us take into account the 2nd and higher order terms in \( R \) and Christoffel connection to show that these do not contribute at \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order of the Einstein source. By using the same notation as in the general argument for Maxwell source, we classify the wedge products of \((2k + 3)\) curvature 2-forms into the following five cases \((I \geq 1)\):

- **Case A** : \( (v^{(1)}_1, \chi v^{(2)}_2, \ldots v^{(I)}_I, \chi v^{(I+1)}_{I+1}) \),
- **Case B** : \( (v^{(1)}_1, \chi v^{(2)}_2, \ldots v^{(I)}_I, \chi) \), \( (\chi v^{(1)}_1, \chi v^{(2)}_2, \ldots \chi v^{(I)}_I) \),
- **Case C** : \( (\chi v^{(1)}_1, \chi v^{(2)}_2, \ldots \chi v^{(I)}_I, \chi^{(I+1)}_{I+1}) \),
- **Case D** : \( (v^{(1)}_1) \),
- **Case E** : \( (\chi) \).

(D.55)

Since Case E does not contain 2nd or higher order terms, we consider the rest of the cases, Case A, B, C and D, one by one to show that 2nd and higher order terms do not contribute to the Einstein source at the leading \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order. Let us denote the number of derivatives in the \((R^{2k+3})_a^b\) by \( N_R \). To consider contributions up to the order that we are interested in, we have \( N_R \leq 2k + 2 \).

- **Case A**
  In this case, there are one more \( v^{(j)} \)'s than \( \chi \)'s. Let us suppose that all the \( v^{(j)} \)'s are \( (r, 2) \). Then, for the product to be \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order, at least two of \( \chi \)'s are \( \chi_2 \) and thus there is no contribution from this case. When some or all of \( v^{(j)} \)'s contain 3rd or higher order terms of \( R \) or are products of the 2nd or higher order terms, we need to introduce more \( \chi_2 \) and thus this case does not provide any \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order contribution to the derivative of the anomaly polynomial \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^a b \).

- **Case B**
  For Case B, the product contains the same number of \( v^{(j)} \)'s and \( \chi \)'s. Let us consider order \( \omega^{2k+2} \) contribution first. Except for the case in which all the \( v^{(j)} \)'s are \( (r, 2) \), we need to have more than one \( \chi_2 \) which vanishes as in the previous case. Then, the only nontrivial possibility is when all the \( v^{(j)} \)'s are \( (r, 2) \), while one of the \( \chi \)'s is \( \chi_2 \) and the rest are all \( \chi_1 \). This contribution vanishes nevertheless as a result of \( (\chi_2, r, 2) = (\chi_2, r, 2) = 0 \).

For lower order than \( \omega^{2k+2} \), we need to add more than one \( \chi_2 \)'s and we also have vanishing contribution.
• **Case C**

This third case requires more careful treatment since the number of \( \chi \)'s is one more than that of \( \nu \)'s. We call the total number of the derivative in \( \nu \)'s as \( N_\nu \) and carry out the classification depending on the value of \( N_\nu \):

- **(1) \( N_\nu = 2I \)**
  The only allowed configuration is that all the \( \nu \)'s are \( (R_2) \). To have order \( \omega^{2k+2} \) contribution to \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial R^a_{\beta \gamma} \), all the \( \chi \)'s need to be \( \chi_1 \). Since \( (\chi_1 R_2 \chi_1) = 0 \), the product vanishes in this case. For lower-order contributions, there always exists at least one \( \chi_2 \). This means that the product contains \( (R_2 \chi_2) \) or \( (\chi_2 R_2) \) which are zero as in Case B.

- **(2) \( N_\nu = 2I + 1 \)**
  The only choice of \( \nu \)'s is that there is one \( (R_3) \) and the rest are \( (R_2) \). In this case, to have the contribution of order \( \omega^{2k+2} \) and to avoid the appearance of more than one \( \chi_2 \)'s, we need to have one \( \chi_2 \) and the rest of the \( \chi \)'s need to be \( \chi_1 \). We first note that \( (R_2 \chi_2) = (\chi_2 R_2) = 0 \) and thus we cannot put \( \chi_2 \) next to \( (R_2) \) to have a nontrivial result. Then, we instead encounter the structure of the form \( (\chi_1 R_3 \chi_2) \) or \( (\chi_2 R_3 \chi_1) \), which is also zero because of Eq. (B.71). For the product to be lower order than \( \omega^{2k+2} \), we need to introduce more than one \( \chi_2 \)'s and thus these contributions vanish.

- **(3) \( N_\nu \geq 2I + 2 \)**
  In any of this case, we need to have more than one \( \chi_2 \)'s, which leads to vanishing contribution.

• **Case D**

Obviously, this case does not give any contribution of order \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower.

To summarize, we confirmed that 2nd and higher order terms of \( R \) do not give any contribution to the derivative of the anomaly polynomial \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial R^a_{\beta \gamma} \) at \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order. We also notice that the derivative expansion of the spin Hall current (containing \( R \) at any order) starts at \( \omega^{2k+1} \) and thus 2nd and higher order terms of the Christoffel connection do not generate new contribution to the Einstein source at \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order. Therefore, the Einstein source at \( \omega^{2k+2} \) or lower order does not contain the 2nd or higher order terms of \( R \) as well as Christoffel connection.

Together with the result from the AdS_3 case (with \( \text{tr}[R^2] \)), we have also shown that for a product of \( (2k+1) \) curvature 2-forms \( (R^{2k+1}) \), the contribution which contains 2nd and/or higher order terms of \( R \) can become nontrivial only at order \( \omega^{2k+1} \) or higher, i.e. \( N_R > 2k \).

**Case II:** \( \mathcal{P}_{CFT} = c_{ij} F^i \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k+4}] \) with \( k, l \geq 0 \)

Let us now take into account \( U(1) \) gauge field and consider the mixed term with single trace of the product of curvature 2-forms. This term in the anomaly polynomial is admitted in
AdS\(_{d+1}\) with \(d = 4k + 2l + 6\). Since the case of \(F^l \wedge \text{tr}[R^2]\) has been covered in details in Appendix D.4, we shall consider \(k \geq 0\) here.

(1) 0th and 1st order terms only

As in the previous case, we just consider the 0th and 1st order terms in \(R, F\) and the Christoffel connection only. Then there are two potential leading contribution to \(\partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^a_b\) at the order \(\omega^{2k+l+1}\). The first one is a linear combination of the terms of the form \((\rho \rho)_{1,1,0} \omega^{2k+l}\). As in the argument for AdS\(_6\), this kind of terms does not contribute to the Einstein source at any order. The second one is proportional to \((\rho \rho)_{1,1} \omega^{2k+l}\) or \((\rho \rho)_{1,1,0} \omega^{2k+l}\). These however vanish since \((\rho \rho)_{0,0} \sim dr \wedge u\) and all the terms in \((\rho \rho)_{1,1}\) and \((\rho \rho)_{1,1,0}\) are proportional to \(dr\) or \(u\). Therefore we focus on the subleading contribution (order \(\omega^{2k+l+2}\)) to the derivative \(\partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^a_b\):

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT}}{\partial R^a_b} = c_M (2k + 4) \left[ (\rho^F)^b_a \sum_{i=0}^{2k+2} (\rho_{i,0})_{1,1} (\rho_{1,1,0})_{2k+2-i} b_a + l (\rho \rho)_{1,1} \right] \left( \rho_{1,1} + (\rho_{1,1,0})_{2k+2} \right).
\]

In the second line, we have used the identities in the Appendix B.

The evaluation of the contribution to the Einstein source from the terms in the first line is the same as in Case I, but with an extra \((2\Phi \omega)^l\) attached. Therefore, the contribution from the first line to \(\Sigma_H^{(v)}\) is evaluated as

\[
- \frac{1}{2(r^{d-2})(2k+4)(2c_M)} \Phi^l \partial_r \left( r \Phi T^{2k+3} \right).
\]

Similarly, the second line is essentially the same as the contribution encountered in the AdS\(_7\) case (see \((\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(v)}\) term in the \(c_M\) case) but with extra \((2\Phi T \omega)^l\)'s and \((2\Phi \omega)^l\)'s. Then we have

\[
- \frac{1}{2(r^{d-2})(2k+4)(2c_M)} \left[ (r \Phi T)^{2k+3} \partial_r (\Phi^l) \right].
\]

Combining both terms gives

\[
\Sigma_H^{(v)} = - \frac{1}{2r^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( c_M \Phi^l (2\Phi T^{2k+4}) \right) \right],
\]

which agrees with Eq. (D.5).

(2) 2nd and higher order terms

We call the number of the derivative contained in \(F^l\) as \(N_F\). Similarly as before, the number of derivatives in the \((R^2)^b_a\) is defined as \(N_R\). Since we are considering up to order \(\omega^{2k+l+2}\) contribution, we have that \(N_F + N_R \leq 2k + l + 2\). and then carry out the classification depending of its value. For the curvature 2-form part, we use the classification, Case A, B, C, D and E, that we introduced in Case I. Then the classification goes as follows:
• $N_F < l$

Since $\langle \rho \, 0^2 \rangle = 0$, the only case which can give nontrivial contribution is when $N_F = l - 1$ (and hence $N_R \leq 2k + 3$) where $F^I = \langle \rho \, 0, 1^{l-1} \rangle \sim dr \wedge u$. Then, to have a nontrivial result, no $\chi_2 \sim dr \wedge u$ is allowed in the product of the curvature 2-forms. For the 2nd and/or higher order terms to be contained we have only to consider Case A, B, C and D for the curvature 2-form part. Then the classification goes as follows:

- (1) Case A
  To have the contribution of order $\omega^{2k+l+2}$ or lower, we need to have at least one $\chi_2$. We thus conclude that this type of contribution vanishes.

- (2) Case B
  In order not to have any $\chi_2$, we need to set all the $v^{(j)}$'s to be $\langle \rho \, 2 \rangle$ and all the $\chi$'s to be $\chi_1$. Then we always encounter $\langle \rho \, 2 \chi_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \chi_{1R} \, 2 \rangle$ which contains terms proportional to $dr$ or $u$ only. Therefore this contribution vanishes when it is wedged with $\langle \rho \, 0 \rangle$.

- (3) Case C
  Let us first consider the contribution with $N_R = 2k + 3$. There are two possible nontrivial contributions:

  The first one is when all the $v^{(j)}$'s are $\langle \rho \, 2 \rangle$, while there is only one $\chi_0$ and all the rest of the $\chi$'s are $\chi_1$. We then always encounter $\langle \rho \, 2 \chi_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \chi_{1R} \, 2 \rangle$, which means that the product vanishes when it is wedged with $\langle \rho \, 0 \rangle$ as in Case B.

  Another case is when one of $v^{(j)}$'s is $\langle \rho \, 3 \rangle$, the rest are $\langle \rho \, 2 \rangle$ and all the $\chi$'s are $\chi_1$. In this case, if there exist at least one $\langle \rho \, 2 \rangle$, then this product vanishes since $\langle \chi_{1R} \, 2 \chi_1 \rangle = 0$. On the other hand, when there is no $\langle \rho \, 2 \rangle$, we have the structure of the form $\langle \chi_{1R} \, 3 \chi_1 \rangle$, which also vanishes when it is wedged with $\langle \rho \, 0 \rangle \sim dr \wedge u$ as a result of Eq. (B.71).

  When lower order contribution is considered, there is only one case in which no $\chi_2$ is contained: all the $v^{(j)}$'s are $\langle \rho \, 2 \rangle$ and all the $\chi$'s are $\chi_1$. This case turns out to be zero since the product contains $\langle \rho \, 2 \chi_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \chi_{1R} \, 2 \rangle$, which vanishes when it is wedged with $\langle \rho \, 0 \rangle$ as in Case B.

- (4) Case D
  Obviously this case does not give any nontrivial contribution to the Einstein source at $\omega^{2k+l+2}$ or lower order.

• $N_F = l$

Due to $\langle \rho \, 0^2 \rangle = \langle \rho \, 0, 2 \rangle = 0$, it follows that $F^I = \langle \rho \, 1^l \rangle$. The classification of the product of the curvature 2-form part is exactly the same as in Case I.

• $N_F \geq l + 1$

Previously in Case I, we showed that second and higher order objects do not contribute
to \((R^{2k+3})\) for \(N_R \leq 2k + 2\). Since in this case \(N_R \leq 2k + 1\) these will similarly be trivial.

To summarize we have shown that 2nd and higher order terms in \(F\) and \(R\) do not generate extra contribution to \(\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}/\partial R^{a}_{\ b}\) at \(\omega^{2k+l+2}\) or lower order. In addition to this, we also notice that the derivative expansion of the spin Hall current (containing \(R\) and \(F\) at any order) starts at \(\omega^{2k+l+1}\) and thus 2nd and higher order terms of the Christoffel connection do not generate new contribution to the Einstein source at \(\omega^{2k+l+2}\) or lower order. Therefore, 2nd and higher order terms in \(F\) and \(R\) as well as the Christoffel connection do not contribute to the \(\omega^{2k+l+2}\) or lower order of the Einstein source.

In particular, together with the analysis from \(F^l \wedge \text{tr}[R^2]\), we have also shown that in \(dr \wedge u \wedge (R^{2k+1})\), the contribution which contains at least one 2nd or higher order term of \(R\) can become nontrivial only at order \(\omega^{2k+2}\) or higher, i.e. \(N_R > 2k + 1\).

**Case III: \(\mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}} = c_g \text{tr}[R^{2k_z}] \ldots \text{tr}[R^{2k_p}]\) with \(k_i \geq 1, p \geq 2\).**

We next turn to the multiple traces case. We first start with the purely gravitational term admitted in AdS\(_{d+1}\) with \(d = 4k_{\text{tot}} - 2\), where \(k_{\text{tot}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{p} k_i\).

**\(1\) 0th and 1st order terms only**

To start with this computation, we ignore all terms aside from the 0th and 1st order terms in \(R\) and the Christoffel connection. And, first consider the case with more than two \((r,0)\)'s in the derivative \(\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}/\partial R^{a}_{\ b}\). We always encounter \(\text{tr}[\chi_1] \wedge \text{tr}[\chi_1]\) or \((\chi_2) \wedge \text{tr}[\chi_1]\), both of which are zero since \(\chi_2 \sim dr \wedge u\) and \(\text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u\). Secondly, we consider the case with two \((r,0)\)'s and find that the only nontrivial contribution to \(\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}/\partial R^{a}_{\ b}\) is of the form \((\chi_1) \wedge \text{tr}[\chi_1]\) or \(\chi_2\) multiplied by an appropriate power of \(\omega\). We notice that all the terms appearing in \((r,0,1)\) and \((r,1,0)\) are proportional to \(dr\) or \(u\) and thus the only nontrivial contribution in \((\chi_1) \wedge \text{tr}[\chi_1]\) is proportional to \((r,0) \wedge \text{tr}[r,0,1])\). Henceforth, the arguments used in the AdS\(_7\) and AdS\(_9\) cases pertain here too, and we can conclude that these only contribute trivially to the Einstein source at any order.

Therefore, to calculate the Einstein source at the leading order, we need only to consider the case involving just one \((r,0)\). The derivative \(\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}/\partial R^{a}_{\ b}\) in this case is given by

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}}{\partial R^{a}_{\ b}} = c_g \sum_{i=1}^{p} (2k_i)2^{p-1}S_{(i)a}^b \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k_{\text{tot}}-4}
\]

\[
+ c_g \sum_{i,j; i \neq j} (2k_i)(2k_j)2^{p-1}(r,1)_{a}^b \wedge \text{tr}[(r,0,1)] \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k_{\text{tot}}-4},
\]

where

\[
S_{(i)a}^b = [(r,0,1,1) + (r,1,1,0) + (2k_i - 3)(r,1,0,1)]_{a}^b, \quad \text{for} \ k_i \geq 2,
\]

\[
S_{(i)a}^b = (r,0)_{a}^b \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^2, \quad \text{for} \ k_i = 1.
\]
The first line of the expression for $\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}/\partial \mathbf{R}^a_b$ is from the cases where $(r,0)$ is located at the product of $R$'s (that is, not in the traces), while the second one corresponds to the cases where $(r,0)$ is inside of one of the traces.

Without loss of generality, let $S^{(i)}_{(a)} = (r,0)^b_a \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq p_0$ (i.e. these are the terms generated when $\partial/\partial R^a_b$ acts on a tr[$R^2$] in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}$) and $S^{(i)}_{(a)} = [(r,0)^1_R 1_R + (r,1,1_R 0_R 1_R)^b_a]$, for $p_0 + 1 \leq i \leq p$ (i.e. these are the terms generated when $\partial/\partial R^a_b$ acts on a tr[$R^{2k_i}$] with $k_i \geq 2$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}$). Then, we can further simplify the derivative $\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}/\partial \mathbf{R}^a_b$ as

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{CFT}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}^a_b} = c_g \left( \sum_{i = 1}^{p_0} k_i \right) 2^p (r,0)^b_a \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 2}
$$

$$
+ c_g \left( \sum_{i = p_0 + 1}^p k_i \right) 2^p [(r,0)^1_R 1_R + (r,1,1_R 0_R 1_R)^b_a] \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 4}
$$

$$
+ 4c_g \left( k_{\text{tot}}^2 - \sum_{i = 1}^p k_i^2 \right) 2^{p-1} (r,1)^b_a \wedge \text{tr}[(r,0)^1_R] \wedge (2\Phi_T \omega)^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 4}.
$$

(D.62)

The contributions to $\Sigma_H^{(v)}$ from the first two lines can be obtained from the results of the AdS$_3$ case as well as Case I by attaching appropriate powers of $\omega$. The results combine to give

$$
- \frac{1}{2^{d - 2}} (c_g \, 2^p) \sum_{i = 1}^{p_0} (2k_i) \left[ \partial_r \left( r\Phi_T^{2k_i - 1} \right) \right] \Phi_T^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 2k_i}
$$

$$
= - \frac{1}{2^{d - 2}} (c_g \, 2^p) \sum_{i = 1}^{p_0} (2k_i) \left[ \left( \partial_r \left( r\Phi_T + r(2k_i - 2)\Phi_T' \right) \right) \Phi_T^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 2} \right]
$$

$$
= - \frac{1}{2^{d - 2}} (c_g \, 2^p) \left\{ 2k_{\text{tot}} \partial_r (r\Phi_T) + 4 \left[ \sum_{i = 1}^{p_0} k_i^2 \right] - k_{\text{tot}} \right\} r \Phi_T' \Phi_T^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 2}.
$$

(D.63)

For the third line of (D.62) the contributions to $\Sigma_H^{(v)}$ can be calculated by using the result of $(\Sigma_H^{(1)})^{(v)}$ in the $c_g$ term of AdS$_7$ by attaching appropriate power of $\omega$ and one immediately finds

$$
- \frac{1}{2^{d - 2}} (c_g \, 2^p) \left[ (2k_{\text{tot}})^2 - 4 \sum_{i = 1}^{p_0} k_i^2 \right] (r\Phi_T') \Phi_T^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 2}.
$$

(D.64)

By adding them up, we finally have

$$
\Sigma_H^{(v)} = - \frac{1}{2^{d - 2}} (c_g \, 2^p) (2k_{\text{tot}}) \left[ \partial_r (r\Phi_T) + [2k_{\text{tot}} - 2] r \Phi_T' \right] \Phi_T^{2k_{\text{tot}} - 2}
$$

$$
= - \frac{1}{2^{d - 2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_T} (c_g \, 2^p \Phi_T^{2k_{\text{tot}}}) \right) \right],
$$

(D.65)

which agrees with Eq. (D.5).
(2) 2nd and higher order terms

Now we consider 2nd and higher order terms in $R$ and Christoffel connection to show that these do not generate contributions to the Einstein source at order $\omega^{n-1} = \omega^{2k_{tot}-2}$ or lower orders. We note that terms in $\partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ have the following form

$$T \wedge (R^{2k_1-1}) \equiv T \wedge R. \quad (D.66)$$

As in the general argument for the Maxwell sources, we divide the trace part $T$ as $T = T_1 \wedge T_2 \wedge T_3$, where $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_3$, respectively) is the wedge product of the trace of the form $TR^{(1)}$ ($TR^{(2)}$, $TR^{(3)}$, respectively) only. Without loss of generality, we have considered the term generated by acting the derivative $\partial/\partial R^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ on the first trace $\text{tr}[R^{2k_1}]$. We also let each trace $\text{tr}[R^{2k_i}]$ to be of the form $TR^{(i)}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p_1$, $TR^{(2)}$ for $p_1 + 1 \leq i \leq p_2$ and $TR^{(3)}$ for $p_2 + 1 \leq i \leq p$:

$$T_1 \equiv \text{tr}[R^{2k_2}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k_{p_1}}],$$

$$T_2 \equiv \text{tr}[R^{2k_{p_1+1}}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k_{p_2}}],$$

$$T_3 \equiv \text{tr}[R^{2k_{p_2+1}}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr}[R^{2k_p}]. \quad (D.67)$$

We note that in contrast with the Maxwell case, the $k_i$ in $T_1$ starts from $i = 2$. We then define $N_{T_1}$ to be the number of derivatives contained in $T_i$ and $N_R$ the number of derivatives in $R$.

We first study the case where either $p_1 < p$ or $p_2 < p$ is satisfied (i.e. $T_2 \wedge T_3$ contains at least one nontrivial trace and thus $T$ contains 2nd and/or higher order terms of $R$). Similarly to the general argument for the Maxwell source, we know that $T_i$ is zero unless:

- $T_1 : N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=2}^{p_1} (2k_i)$ or $\sum_{i=2}^{p_1} (2k_i) - 1$
  (we notice that, in the latter case, $T_1$ contains one $\text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u$).

- $T_2 : N_{T_2} > \sum_{i=p_1+1}^{p_2} (2k_i)$.

- $T_3 : N_{T_3} \geq \sum_{i=p_2+1}^{p} (4k_i)$.

From the results in Case I, it is also known that the contributions to $R$ containing 2nd and/or higher order terms of $R$ become nontrivial only when $N_R \geq 2k_1 - 1$. When only the 0th and 1st order terms of $R$ are considered, the possible nontrivial configurations are $R = \chi_0, \chi_1$ or $\chi_2$, which correspond to $N_R = 2k_1, 2k_1 - 1$ or $2k_1 - 2$, respectively.

Combining these results on $T$ and $R$, for $N_R \geq 2k_1 - 1$, we deduce that

$$N_{tot} > 2k_{tot} - 2 = n - 1. \quad (D.68)$$

Therefore the contributions to $\partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ are of order higher than $\omega^{n-1}$. Let us consider another case, that of $N_R = 2k_1 - 2$. For $N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=2}^{p_1} (2k_i)$, the above inequality (D.68) still holds while for $N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=2}^{p_1} (2k_i) - 1$, the contribution vanishes since $R = \chi_2 \sim dr \wedge u$ and $T_1$ contains one $\text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u$. 

\[ - 59 - \]
Comments on the special case with \( p_1 = p_2 = p \) are in order (i.e. \( \mathcal{T} \) does not contain any 2nd or higher order term of \( \mathbf{R} \)). In this case, \( \mathcal{R} \) needs to have 2nd and/or higher terms of \( \mathbf{R} \) but from the result of Case I, \( N_R \geq 2k_1 - 1 \) is required to have a nontrivial result. For \( N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=2}^{p} (2k_i) \), this leads to \( N_{tot} \geq 2k_{tot} - 1 > n - 1 \). For \( N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=2}^{p} (2k_i) - 1 \), we first notice that \( \mathcal{T}_1 \) contains a \( \text{tr} [\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge \mathbf{u} \). In addition to this, from Case II, we know that \( dr \wedge \mathbf{u} \wedge (\mathbf{R}^{2k_1-1}) \) with 2nd and/or higher order term of \( \mathbf{R} \) contained in \( (\mathbf{R}^{2k_1-1}) \) can become nontrivial only when \( N_R > 2k_1 - 1 \). Then we finally have \( N_{tot} > 2k_{tot} - 2 = n - 1 \).

From the above argument we conclude that there is no nontrivial contribution to the derivative \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial \mathbf{R}^b \) at order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}-2} \) (or lower) coming from 2nd or higher order terms of \( \mathbf{R} \). We also notice that the Christoffel connection at the 2nd or higher order do not generate new contribution to \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial \mathbf{R}^b \) at order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}-2} \) (or lower), since the derivative expansion of the spin Hall current starts at the order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}-3} \).

In all, we have shown that 2nd and higher order terms in \( \mathbf{R} \) as well as the Christoffel connection do not give nonzero contribution to the Einstein source at the \( \omega^{2k_{tot}-2} \) or lower order.

**Case IV:** \( \mathcal{P}_{CFT} = c_M \mathbf{F}^i \wedge \text{tr} [\mathbf{R}^{2k_1}] \wedge \ldots \wedge \text{tr} [\mathbf{R}^{2kp}] \) with \( l \geq 1, k_i \geq 1 \) and \( p \geq 2 \)

**Lastly we consider the most general form of the terms in the anomaly polynomial. This anomaly polynomial is admitted in AdS\(_{d+1}\) with \( d = 4k_{tot} + 2l - 2 \)**

**1) 0th and 1st order terms only**

Ignoring the 2nd or higher terms in \( \mathbf{R} \), \( \mathbf{F} \) and the Christoffel symbol we will now consider the derivative \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial \mathbf{R}^a_b \). We first show that there is no contribution to the Einstein source at order lower than \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \). Since \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0}^2 \rangle = 0 \), \( \mathbf{F}^l \) can contain one or no \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \). When \( \mathbf{F}^l \) contains no \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \) (i.e. \( \mathbf{F}^l = \langle \rho \mathbf{1}^l \rangle \)), the argument is the same as Case III. We next consider the case when \( \mathbf{F}^l \) contains only one \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \). In this case, for the curvature 2-form part, since \( \text{tr} [\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge \mathbf{u} \) and \( \text{tr} [\chi_2] = 0 \), no \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \) can be put in any of the traces. Hence, all the \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \)'s need to be located in the non-trace part. Moreover, since \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rho \mathbf{1} \mathbf{0} \rangle \sim dr \wedge \mathbf{u} \), only one or no \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \) can be put in that part. In the former case (in which \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial \mathbf{R}^a_b \) is of order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-3} \)), the only nontrivial possibility is when \( \partial \mathcal{P}_{CFT} / \partial \mathbf{R}^a_b \) is proportional to \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \wedge \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \) wedged by an appropriate power of \( \omega \). As we have seen in the AdS\(_5\) case, this does not give any contribution to the Einstein source at any order. Therefore, we conclude that there is no \( \langle \rho \mathbf{0} \rangle \) in the non-trace part, i.e. all the \( \mathbf{R} \)'s are \( \langle \rho \mathbf{1} \rangle \). Hence, the first nontrivial contribution to the Einstein source is at order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \) and is given by \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \).
contribution
\[ -c_M \sum_{i=1}^{p} (2k_i)2^{p-1} S_{(i)a}^{b} \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^{2k_{tot}-4} \wedge (2\Phi \omega)^{l} \]
\[ -c_M \sum_{i,j; i \neq j} (2k_i)(2k_j)2^{p-1}(R\,1)^b_a \wedge \text{tr}[(\rho_0 1)] \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^{2k_{tot}-4} \wedge (2\Phi \omega)^{l} \]
\[ -c_M l_{(\rho 0) \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{p} (2k_i)2^{p-1}(R\,1)^b_a \wedge (2\Phi T\omega)^{2k_{tot}-2} \wedge (2\Phi \omega)^{l-1}, \] (D.69)

where
\[ S_{(i)a}^{b} = [(\rho_0 1)_1 - \rho_1 1_1 - (2k_i - 3)(\rho_0 1)_1]^b_a, \quad \text{for} \ k_i \geq 2, \]
\[ S_{(i)a}^{b} = (\rho_0)^b_a, \quad \text{for} \ k_i = 1. \] (D.70)

In (D.69), the first two lines are contributions coming from the cases with \( F^l = (\rho 1)^l \), while the third one is from the cases with \( F^l = (\rho 0, \rho 1)^{l-1} \).

On the one hand, the contributions to \( \Sigma^{(V)}_H \) from the first two lines can be obtained by attaching \( (2\omega\Phi)^l \) to the result of Case III. We find
\[ -\frac{1}{2^{d-2}}(2k_{tot})(2^{p} c_M \Phi^l) \partial_r \left[r(\Phi T^{2k_{tot}-1})\right]. \] (D.71)

On the other hand, we can evaluated the contribution from third line to \( \Sigma^{(V)}_H \) by attaching appropriate powers of \( (2\Phi \omega) \) and \( (2\Phi T\omega) \) to the result for the term proportional to \( (\rho 0) \wedge (\rho 0)^b_a \) of \( \partial\mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^a_b \) in Case II (see the final line of Eq. (D.56))
\[ -\frac{1}{2^{d-2}}(2k_{tot})(2^{p} c_M)(r \Phi T^{2k_{tot}-1}) \partial_r (\Phi^l). \] (D.72)

Combining both contributions, that also yields an agreement with Eq. (D.5), we have
\[ \Sigma^{(V)}_H = -\frac{1}{2^{d-2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r \frac{\partial}{\partial \Phi_T} (c_M \Phi^l 2^p \Phi T^{2k_{tot}})\right]. \] (D.73)

\(2\) nd and higher order terms

Our aim is to show that 2nd and higher order terms of \( R, F \) as well as the Christoffel connection do not contribute to the Einstein source at order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \) or lower. For this purpose, we carry out the classification based on the number of the derivatives contained in \( F^l \) (we denote it by \( N_F \)). For the classification of the curvature 2-from part, we use the same notations and strategy as in Case III. Similarly to that in Case III, let us start with the case where either \( p_1 < p \) or \( p_2 < p \) is satisfied. For \( N_F \geq l \), the arguments go through exactly as in Case III. For \( N_F < l \), since \( (\rho^2) = 0 \), the only one potential nontrivial case is when \( F^l = (\rho 0^l 1) \sim dr \wedge u (N_F = l - 1). \) To have a nonzero result, \( T_1 \) must not contain any \( \text{tr}[\chi_1] \sim dr \wedge u \) and hence the \( N_{T_1} = \sum_{i=2}^{p_1}(2k_i) \) case gives no contribution to \( \partial\mathcal{P}_{CFT}/\partial R^a_b. \)
For \( N_T = \sum_{i=2}^{n}(2k_i) \), since \( \partial P_{CFT} / \partial R^a_b \) contains \( dr \wedge u \wedge R \) with \( R = R^{2k_1-1} \), it is known from the result of Case II that this contribute can become nontrivial only when \( N_R > 2k_1-1 \).

Then we have

\[
N_{tot} > 2k_{tot} + l - 2 = n - 1.
\]  

(D.74)

For \( p_1 = p_2 = p \), the same argument above goes through exactly.

Therefore, we conclude that there is no contribution to the derivative \( \partial P_{CFT} / \partial R_{ab} \) at the \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \) or lower order with 2nd or higher order terms of \( R \) or \( F \). In addition to this, since the derivative expansion of the spin Hall current start with \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-3} \), the 2nd and higher order terms in the Christoffel connection do not generate any contribution to the Einstein source at \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \) or lower order.

To recapitulate, we confirmed that the leading order contribution to the Einstein source is of order \( \omega^{2k_{tot}+l-2} \) and does not contain 2nd or higher order terms of \( R \) and \( F \) or any Christoffel connection.

### D.7 Symmetry properties of the spin Hall current

This subsection is devoted to the prove of the symmetry properties of the Hall current summarized in Eqs. (D.3). For the benefit of the reader, we quote Eqs. (D.3) below:

\[
(\Sigma_H)^c_{(rr)} = (\Sigma_H)^c_{(r\nu)} = P^\nu_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)^\rho_{(r\mu)} = 0, \quad P^\nu_{\mu}(\Sigma_H)^{r}_{(r\nu)} = (\Sigma_H)^r_{(r\mu)}.
\]  

(D.75)

As confirmed in the previous sections, we know that the leading order contribution to \((\Sigma_H)^c_{(ab)}\) is at order \( \omega^{n-1} \) and is composed of 0th and 1st order objects only. Therefore, in this part, we will prove Eqs. (D.3) for such contributions. For concreteness, we will explicitly mention the AdS3 case to illustrate how the proofs go. The generalization to higher dimensions is straightforward and we highlight the analogous computations/arguments.

For AdS3 with a gravitational Chern-Simons term, the anomaly polynomial reads:

\[
P_{CFT2} = c_g \text{tr}[R^2].
\]  

(D.76)

To calculate the Einstein source, what we need to evaluate is

\[
(T_H)_{ab} = \nabla_c(\Sigma_H)^c_{(ab)}, \quad \text{where} \quad (\Sigma_H)^a_{c} = -2c_g \epsilon^a p_1 p_2 R^b c_p_1 p_2.
\]  

(D.77)

The leading order contribution to \((\Sigma_H)^a_{c}\) is given by

\[
(\Sigma_H)^a_{c} = -2c_g \epsilon^a p_1 p_2 (\rho_0)^b c_p_1 p_2.
\]  

(D.78)

which is of order \( \omega^0 \). For computational purpose, it is useful to notice that

\[
(\Sigma_H)^a_{b} = +2c_g \epsilon^a p_1 p_2 (\rho_0)^c b_p_1 p_2.
\]  

(D.79)

which results from the anti-symmetric property of \((\Sigma_H)^{abc} : (\Sigma_H)^{abc} = -(\Sigma_H)^{acb}\).

For higher-dimensional AdSnn+1, as we have seen in the previous sections, in the computations of the \( \omega^{n-1} \) order contribution to \((\Sigma_H)^a_{c}\), we encounter similar expressions for
\((\Sigma_H)^{ab,c}\) as above but with \(\langle R0 \rangle\) replaced by either of \(\langle R0110 \rangle, \langle R1001 \rangle\) or \(\langle R1100 \rangle\) or \(dr \wedge u \wedge \langle R1 \rangle\) or by a linear combination of them (wedged by an appropriate power of \(\omega\)). In all of these cases, we will show Eqs. (D.75) hold.

Then the proof of Eqs. (D.75) is as follows:

1. \((\Sigma_H)_{(rr)}^{c} = 0\)
   Since \((\langle R0 \rangle)^{c} \wedge r\) is proportional to \(u\), we have
   \[
   (\Sigma_H)_{(rr)}^{c} = -u_{\alpha}(\Sigma_H)^{a_{\alpha} r c} \sim u_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha p_1 p_2}(\langle R0 \rangle)^{c} r_{p_1 p_2} = 0, \tag{D.80}
   \]
   and thus \((\Sigma_H)_{(rr)}^{c} = 0\) for the AdS3 case.

Let us next explain generalization to the higher dimensional cases. For the case with \((\langle R0 \rangle)\) replaced by \((\langle R0110 \rangle, \langle R1001 \rangle, \langle R1100 \rangle, \text{ or } dr \wedge u \wedge \langle R1 \rangle)\), this relation holds, since \((\langle R0110 \rangle)^{c} r, \langle R1001 \rangle^{c} r, \langle R1100 \rangle^{c} r\) and \(dr \wedge u \wedge \langle R1 \rangle\) are all proportional to \(u\), leading to \((\Sigma_H)_{(rr)}^{c} \sim u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \cdots} = 0\).

2. \((\Sigma_H)_{(r\mu)}^{r} = 0\)
   To show the relation, we first evaluate the terms before symmetrization. The direct calculation shows
   \[
   (\Sigma_H)_{(r\mu)}^{r} = -u_{\alpha}(\Sigma_H)^{a_{\alpha} \mu r} \sim -2c_{g} u_{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha p_1 p_2}(\langle R0 \rangle)^{r p_{1} p_{2}} = -4c_{g} (r^{-1}\Psi' u_{\mu})(\varepsilon^{\alpha r} u_{\alpha}),
   \]
   \[
   (\Sigma_H)_{(r\mu)}^{r} = -u_{\mu}(\Sigma_H)^{r_{\mu} r} \sim 2c_{g} u_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\mu p_{1} p_{2}}(\langle R0 \rangle)^{r_{p_{1} p_{2}} r} = 4c_{g} (r^{-1}\Psi' u_{\mu})(\varepsilon^{\alpha r} u_{\alpha}),
   \tag{D.81}
   \]
   from which we have \((\Sigma_H)_{(r\mu)}^{r} = 0\).

For higher-dimensional computation, when \((\langle R0 \rangle)\) is replaced by \((\langle R1000 \rangle)\) or \((\langle R1100 \rangle)\), the components before the symmetrization are already zero. When the replacement is done by \((\langle R0110 \rangle, \langle R1001 \rangle, \langle R1100 \rangle, \text{ or } dr \wedge u \wedge \langle R1 \rangle)\), it is straightforward to show \((\Sigma_H)_{(r\mu)}^{r} \sim u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \cdots} = 0\), while \((\Sigma_H)_{(r\mu)}^{r} = 0\) holds since \((\langle R1 \rangle)^{r}_{r} = 0\) is proportional to \(u\).

3. \(P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^{\rho} = 0\)
   Before the symmetrization, we first have
   \[
   P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \mu}^{\rho} \sim P_{\rho \alpha}(\Sigma_H)^{a_{\alpha} \mu \nu} \sim P_{\rho \alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha p_{1} p_{2}}(\langle R0 \rangle)^{\rho_{p_{1} p_{2}}} = 0, \tag{D.82}
   \]
   since the upper index \(\rho\) of \((\langle R0 \rangle)^{\rho}_{\mu}\) is carried either by \(u^{\rho}\) or \(dx^{\rho}\). We also have
   \[
   P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)_{\mu \nu}^{\rho} \sim P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\langle R0 \rangle)^{\rho}_{\nu} = 0, \tag{D.83}
   \]
   since either \(u^{\rho}, u_{\nu}\) or \(dx^{\rho}\) \((P_{\nu \sigma} dx^{\sigma})\) appears in all the terms in \((\langle R0 \rangle)^{\rho}_{\nu}\). Thus we conclude \(P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^{\rho} = 0\) holds.

For higher dimensions, when \((\langle R0 \rangle)\) is replaced by either of \((\langle R0110 \rangle, \langle R1001 \rangle)\) or \((\langle R1100 \rangle, \langle R1100 \rangle)\), the same arguments go through and we have \(P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^{\rho} = P^{\nu}_{\rho}(\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^{\rho} = 0\).
In the case of the replacement by $dr \wedge u \wedge (R_1)$, $P^\nu_\rho (\Sigma_H)_{\nu \mu}^\rho = P^\nu_\rho (\Sigma_H)_{\mu \nu}^\rho = 0$ hold as a result of $dr \wedge u \wedge (R_1)^\rho_\mu \sim u^\mu u^\nu$. Therefore, the relation $P^\nu_\rho (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^\rho = 0$ is true for higher dimensions as well.

4. $P^\nu_\mu (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^\nu = (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \mu)}^\nu$

Lastly, from Eq. (D.9) in the computation of the Einstein source in AdS$_3$, we see that both the lower index $\nu$ of $(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \nu}^\nu$ and $(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \nu}^\nu$ are carried by $P^\nu_\gamma$. Therefore the equality $P^\nu_\mu (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \nu)}^\nu = (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \nu)}^\nu$ holds.

In higher dimensions, when $(R_0)$ is replaced by $(R_0 R_1 R_1 R_1)$, $(R_1 R_1 R_0)$ or $(R_1 R_1 R_1 R_0)$, the lower index $\nu$ of $(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \nu}^\nu$ and $(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \nu}^\nu$ is still carried by $P^\nu_\gamma$ and thus the same computations go through. In the case of the replacement by $dr \wedge u \wedge (\rho 1)$, we have $(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \nu}^\nu \sim u^\alpha u^\beta \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta \cdots} = 0$ as well as $(\Sigma_H)_{\nu \nu}^\nu = r^2 P^\nu_\alpha (\Sigma_H)^\alpha_{\nu \nu}$. Consequently $P^\nu_\mu (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \nu)}^\nu = (\Sigma_H)_{(\nu \nu)}^\nu$ holds even in higher dimensions.
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