Atomic Basis for the Species-specific Inhibition of \(\alpha V\) Integrins by Monoclonal Antibody 17E6 Is Revealed by the Crystal Structure of \(\alpha V\beta3\) Ectodomain-17E6 Fab Complex*
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Background: 17E6, a primate-specific mouse mAb that inhibits \(\alpha V\) integrins, is in phase II trials for treating cancer.

Results: We determined crystal structure of the \(\alpha V\beta3–17E6\) Fab complex, revealing the molecular basis of 17E6 specificity and function.

Conclusion: 17E6 is an allosteric inhibitor of fibronectin-integrin interaction.

Significance: The defined 17E6 epitope may help in developing novel therapeutics targeting related regions in other integrins.

The function-blocking, non-RGD-containing, and primate-specific mouse monoclonal antibody 17E6 binds the \(\alpha V\) subfamily of integrins. 17E6 is currently in phase II clinical trials for treating cancer. To elucidate the structural basis of recognition and the molecular mechanism of inhibition, we crystallized \(\alpha V\beta3\) ectodomain in complex with the Fab fragment of 17E6. Protein crystals grew in presence of the activating cation Mn\(^{2+}\). The integrin in the complex and in solution assumed the genuflected conformation. 17E6 Fab bound exclusively to the Propeller domain of the \(\alpha V\) subunit. At the core of \(\alpha V\)-Fab interface were interactions involving Propeller residues Lys-203 and Gln-145, with the latter accounting for primate specificity. The Propeller residue Asp-150, which normally coordinates Arg of the ligand Arg-Gly-Asp motif, formed contacts with Arg-54 of the Fab that were expected to reduce soluble FN10 binding to cellular \(\alpha V\beta3\) complexed with 17E6. This was confirmed in direct binding studies, suggesting that 17E6 is an allosteric inhibitor of \(\alpha V\) integrins.

Integrins are \(\alpha/\beta\) heterodimeric adhesion receptors that transmit biochemical and mechanical signals bidirectionally across the plasma membrane, thus serving as key communication molecules between the extracellular environment and the cytoskeleton (1). The \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) subunits associate noncovalently into a ligand-binding “head” segment, comprising a \(\beta\)-Propeller domain from the \(\alpha\)-subunit and a von Willebrand Factor A type (vWFA) domain (\(\beta\)A or I-like) from the \(\beta\)-subunit. The head segment sits on top of two leg-like extensions, each possessing a single-pass transmembrane helix, which anchors the receptor to the plasma membrane, followed by two short cytoplasmic C-terminal tails (2). Integrin function is tightly regulated: integrins are normally kept in an inactive state (unable to bind soluble physiologic ligands), thus allowing, for example, leukocytes and platelets to circulate in the blood without aggregation or adhesion to the vessel walls. Physiologic stimuli, acting through the short integrin \(\alpha/\beta\) cytoplasmic tails, induce allosteric changes in the ectodomain that switch it to a high affinity state, capable of binding soluble ligand, (so called “inside-out” activation) (2). Bound ligand then induces structural rearrangements in the ectodomain, forging links via the \(\beta\) cytoplasmic tail, with the actin cytoskeleton (3). This “outside-in” signaling can be enhanced by lateral clustering of integrins induced by multivalent ligand (4). This promotes the assembly of cytoskeletal and signaling molecular complexes at “focal adhesions,” regulatory sites through which forces can be applied on liganded integrins through the cytoskeleton or extracellularly through ECM stiffening or shear stress (2). Inappropriate integrin activation contributes to the pathogenesis of many diseases including cancer growth and metastasis (1, 5).

The integrin \(\alpha V\) subunit is in five integrins (\(\alpha V\beta1\), \(\alpha V\beta3\), \(\alpha V\beta5\), \(\alpha V\beta6\), and \(\alpha V\beta8\)). This subfamily exclusively recognizes the tripeptide sequence Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) in ligands that include fibronectin, vitronectin, fibrinogen, and osteopontin (5). \(\alpha V\beta3\), the most extensively studied member of this subfamily, is up-regulated in angiogenic endothelium (6) and on several tumor cell types including glioma (7, 8) and melanoma (9) where it supports invasion and metastasis (5), making \(\alpha V\beta3\) an attractive therapeutic target.
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The αV-specific mAb 17E6 as IgG or F(ab’)_2 inhibits cell attachment and spreading of cancer cells in vitro and in vivo and induces cell detachment (10, 11), suggesting that it disrupts stable αV-ligand interactions (10). Cellular αVβ3 that is dimerized by bound IgG or F(ab’)_2 forms of 17E6, but not by anti-αVβ3 mAbs 2E7 (nonblocking anti-αV) or AP3 (nonblocking anti-β3), is internalized (12), which may contribute to its inhibitory effects on cell adhesion, and links the 17E6 epitope to adhesion reversal. Although the primary sequence of the αV chain is strongly conserved between species, 17E6 does not bind murine αV integrins.

To explore the structural basis of its specificity and function, we crystallized the complex of the Fab fragment of 17E6 with the αVβ3 ectodomain (13) in the presence of the activating metal ion Mn^{2+}. The new structure assumed a bent conformation, exhibited some flexibility at the α-genu, revealed the structural basis for 17E6 selectivity to the αV subunit of primates, and defined the antibody-integrin binding interface. The significance of these findings is discussed.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents and Site-directed Mutagenesis—Restriction and modification enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs, Invitrogen, or Fisher Scientific. All cell culture reagents were obtained from Invitrogen. Purified αVβ3 was generated and purified as described (13). The noninhibitory mAb AP3 (ATCC) detects the β3-subunit in all conformations. The Fab fragment of the αV-specific mAb 17E6 (10) generated by papain digestion was verified by SDS-PAGE. The Fab fragment of the function-blocking mAb 7E3, which binds to the βA domain of the β3 subunit (14) was purchased (Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN). Wild-type human FN9–10 and FN10–2 were produced as described (15). Lys-203 Asp (K145N/Q145D) substitution in mouse 17E6 generates stable Fab in 20 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.5, containing 5 mM CaCl₂, incubated for 30 min at 4 °C, and then chromatographed in the same buffer (Superdex S-200; GE Healthcare). Fractions from the peak containing complexes were collected as previously described (15, 17), pooled, and concentrated (to 8–10 mg/ml).

Crystallography, Structure Determination, and Refinement—The αVβ3–17E6 Fab complex was crystallized at room temperature by vapor diffusion using the hanging drop method. Data were collected from crystals obtained using a reservoir solution comprising sodium citrate buffer, pH 5.6, 13.5% PEG, 10 mM MnCl₂, 1% glycerol, 90 mM trimethylamine N-oxide, and 0.3 M sodium nitrate. Crystals were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Glycerol was used as a cryoprotectant, and its concentration in the reservoir solution was increased to 23% in 2% steps. The data were collected at Beamline 19ID at Advanced Photon Source (APS) (Chicago, IL) and were processed using the HKL package (18). The asymmetric unit contained one molecule of the complex. Molecular replacement using Phaser (19) was used for structure determination. Structures of αVβ3 (Protein Data Bank code 1jv2) and Fab from Protein Data Bank code 2vdl were used as starting models for molecular replacement. Refinement was performed using the deformable elastic network procedure for low resolution data (20) in the CNS (21). This was followed by refinement in 1.84 version of PHENIX (22) using Protein Data Bank structures 3ije and Fab from 2vdl as reference models. Translation/Liberation/Screw (TLS), positional, and individual temperature factors. In addition to the default restraints, automatic optimization of x-ray and stereochemistry/ADP and additional Ramachandran restraints were used in the last cycles. Model building was performed initially using O (23) and later using Coot (24). Structural illustrations were prepared with the Chimera software (25).

EM and Imaging Studies—The αVβ3–17E6 complex was applied to glow-discharged continuous carbon grids, rapidly washed in water, and stained with 0.75% uranyl formate. Grids were imaged on a Philips CM10 electron microscope (100 kV; nominal magnification, 41,000×; defocus range, −1.0 to −1.8 μm). Images were recorded on SO163 film (Kodak) and digitized with a step size of 6.35 μm (Nikon Coolscan 8000). Images were subjected to 2-fold pixel averaging to yield 2.76 Å/pixel at the image. Image processing was performed with the EMAN suite (26). 2,530 particles were selected and Contrast Transfer Function (CTF) phase-corrected. Selected particles were subjected to an initial reference-free alignment and averaging and k-means classification using 10 classes. Class averages were refined with seven rounds of multireference classification using the class averages as references as described (27).

mAb Binding to Wild-type and Mutant Cellular αVβ3—HEK 293T cells were co-transfected with a plasmid encoding full-length human WT β3 and pcDNA3 plasmids encoding WT or K203A-mutated αV, together with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. 48 h after transfection, cells were detached (10 mM EDTA/PBS), washed twice in Hanks’ balanced saline solution (HBSS) and once in HBSS containing 1 mM CaCl₂/1 mM MgCl₂ (HBSS²⁻). 6 × 10⁵ cells in 100 μl of HBSS²⁻ were stained with the Fab fragments of 17E6 or 7E3 (10 μg/ml; 30 min; 4 °C), followed by one wash, and then addition of FITC-labeled anti-

The abbreviations used are: FN, fibronectin; HBSS, Hanks’ balanced salt solution.

2 The abbreviations used are: FN, fibronectin; HBSS, Hanks’ balanced salt solution.
mouse Fab (20 μg/ml; 30 min; in the dark; 4 °C). Samples were again washed once and then fixed (1% buffered paraformaldehyde). To assess expression levels, 6 × 10⁵ cells in 100 μl of HBSS²⁺ were incubated with AP3 mAb (10 μg/ml; 30 min; 4 °C), washed and then stained with allophycocyanin-labeled anti-mouse IgG (20 μg/ml). 20,000 cells were analyzed for each sample using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Binding of 17E6 or 7E3 to αVβ3-expressing HEK293T was expressed as mean fluorescence intensity, as determined using the CellQuest software (BD Biosciences), and normalized by dividing this mean fluorescence intensity by the mean fluorescence intensity for AP3 binding to WT αVβ3 and multiplying by 100.

To assess the effect of mouse to human K145N/Q145D αV chimera on 17E6 binding to mouse αV-integrin, murine Flip-In-3T3 cells (Invitrogen) were transfected with Flip-In pcDNA5/FRT plasmids encoding mouse or human WT αV subunits or mouse αV containing the K145N→Q145D mutant αV. Transfected cells were enriched for 17E6 binding by selecting for adherent cells in each of two rounds of panning on 17E6 coated surfaces. After harvesting (10 mM EDTA/PBS), 1 × 10⁶ transfected cells in 100 μl of DPBS (Invitrogen) containing 1 mM CaCl₂/1 mM MgCl₂ and 1% BSA were incubated with 17E6 IgG (10 μg/ml) in a total volume of 0.5 ml for 30–60 min on ice, washed, and then stained with FITC-labeled goat anti mouse IgG (BD Biosciences) for 30 min on ice. After washing, the viable cells were analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Ligand Binding Assays—**K562 cells (American Type Culture) stably expressing αVβ3 (K562-αVβ3) were generated as described (28) and maintained in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 50 IU/ml penicillin and streptomycin, and 0.5–1.0 mg/ml G418. For ligand binding experiments, cells were harvested and washed two times in HEPES-buffered saline containing 1% BSA and 10 mM glucose (HBG buffer). 1 mM CaCl₂ with 1 mM MgCl₂ or 1 mM MnCl₂ were added to 6 × 10⁵ cells in 100 μl of HBG buffer and incubated in the presence or absence of a saturating amount of unlabeled 17E6 Fab (30 μg/ml for 30 min at 20 °C), washed once in HBSS buffer containing the corresponding metal ion, and then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-labeled wild-type FN10 or FN9–10 (2.5 μg/ml for 30 min in the dark). Cells were washed once in HBSS containing the corresponding ions, fixed (1% buffered paraformaldehyde), and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Molecular Dynamics Simulations—**All atom simulations of αV Propeller domain and 17E6 Fab were performed with the program NAMD, using a CHARMM27 force field (29–31). The temperature and pressure of the system were held constant at 300 K and 1 atm, respectively, using the Langevin’s piston and Hoover’s method, as successfully used previously for modeling integrins (29–32). The time step was taken as 2 fs. The cutoff distance for nonbonded interactions was 1.2 nm, and the particle mesh Ewald method was used for electrostatic force calculations (29). Hydrogen atom bond length was constrained using SHAKE (33). All systems were minimized, at 20,000 steps, and equilibrated for 40 ns.

Interactions were simulated using the crystal structure of αVβ3–17E6 Fab complex. Q145D/K145N and K203A mutations were made in the Propeller structure using the software Swiss-Pdb Viewer 4.1.0 (34). The Propeller and Fab molecules were shifted by −1 Å from their original bound state to compare the effects of the mutations. Each of the three systems was solvated in a water box of 188 × 127 × 139 Å in size and ionized with 150 mM NaCl to represent the solvent.

The association constant (kₐ) of Propeller-Fab interaction was calculated according to Equation 1,

\[ k_a = \frac{\Delta G}{k_BT} \]

where \( \Delta G, k_p, \) and \( T \) are the free energy of association per mole, Boltzmann constant, and temperature, respectively. Gibbs free energy was calculated according to Equation 2,

\[ G = U + PV - TS \]

where \( U, P, V, S, \) and \( T \) are the interaction energy, pressure, volume, entropy, and temperature (at 310 K), respectively. Interaction energy was computed as the sum of the electrostatic and van der Waals energies. The ratio of \( k_a \) for mutant to that of wild-type structure was derived according to Equation 3.

\[ \frac{k_a - \mu}{k_a - wt} = \frac{e^{-\Delta G_{\mu}/k_BT}}{e^{-\Delta G_{wt}/k_BT}} \approx e^{\Delta G_{\mu}/k_BT} \]

**RESULTS**

**17E6 Only Inhibits Primate αV Integrins—**Established adherent cell lines were examined for sensitivity to 17E6 in cell adhesion-inhibition assays on the αVβ3/αVβ5 integrin ligand vitronectin. Of 18 species tested (primates to avians), only cells from human and monkey were inhibited by 17E6 (IC₅₀ = 0.13–1.3 μM). IC₅₀ was not attained up to 300 nM with cells from any other species. This was due to lack of integrin expression, because the attachment to vitronectin of cells from every species was specifically inhibited by the αVβ3/αVβ5 peptidic inhibitor cilengitide with IC₅₀ scattered at ~1 μM (16, 35) (Table 1 and Fig. 1). We investigated the structural basis for this specificity.

**Crystal Structure of Fab in Complex with αVβ3 Ectodomain—**The water-soluble ectodomain of αVβ3 (13) formed a stable complex with the Fab fragment of 17E6, and the complex was crystallized in presence of the integrin activating metal ion Mn²⁺. We determined the crystal structure of the αVβ3–17E6 Fab complex by molecular replacement and refined the model with data to 3.6Å resolution. The structure contained one integrin-Fab complex in the asymmetric unit, consisting of αV residues (Phe-1 to Ile-833 and Leu-872 to Trp-953), and β3 residues Gly-1 to Cys-687, Fab heavy chain residues Gln-1 to Arg-218 and light chain residues Asp-1 to Cys-214 (Fig. 2A). The four metal ion sites at the base of the Propeller and at ADMIDAS of βA were occupied, but no metal ion density was present at MIDAS, LIMBS, or at the α-genu. Crystallographic data and refinement statistics are given in Table 2. αVβ3 in complex with 17E6 Fab assumed a bent conformation. EM class averages of 17E6-bound αVβ3 segregated into two different orientations (Fig. 2B) and showed that the integrin also...
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#### TABLE 1

| Species-specific inhibition of cell adhesion by 17E6 | 1IC₅₀  |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|
| **Cell line** | **Species** | **17E6** | **Cilengtide** |
| WM164 | Human | 0.26 | 4 |
| Vero | Monkey | 1.3 | ~20 |
| COS7 | Monkey | 0.13 | 0.2 |
| CV-1 | Monkey | 0.19 | 0.4 |
| C6 | Rat | >300 | 0.4 |
| Rat-1 | Rat | >300 | 2 |
| EB2 | Bovine | >300 | 9 |
| CRFK | Cat | >300 | 0.4 |
| MDCCK | Dog | >300 | 2 |
| Duck embryo | Duck | >300 | 5 |
| GeLu | Gerbil | >300 | 3.5 |
| CGBQ | Goose | >300 | 0.8 |
| JH4 | Guinea pig | >300 | 0.4 |
| BHK-21 | Hamster | >300 | 0.4 |
| CS-1B3 | Hamster | >300 | 0.4 |
| E.Derm | Horse | >300 | 4 |
| MPK | Mini pig | >300 | 0.08 |
| Mv 1 Lu | Mink | >300 | 0.1 |
| B16-F10 | Mouse | >300 | 2 |
| QT6 | Quail | >300 | 0.2 |
| SIRC | Rabbit | >300 | 0.7 |
| PL 1 Ut | Racoon | >300 | 1 |

*From 18 species, 22 cell lines were allowed to attach to vitronectin-coated surfaces in the presence of serially diluted 17E6 or cilengtide. Only the attachment of human- and monkey-derived cell lines was inhibited by 17E6. All species were inhibited by cilengtide.

Assumed a bent conformation when adsorbed from solution, thus complementing the crystallographic data.

**Interactions between αVβ3 and 17E6 Fab**—17E6 Fab only contacted the αV subunit of the integrin heterodimer and did so primarily through its heavy chain. The three heavy chain CDRs (CDR-H1–3) contacted loop residues in blades 2 and 3 of the αV Propeller domain (Fig. 3A). Core interactions involved Gln-145 and Lys-203 from D2A3 loop and D3 strand of the Propeller domain, respectively, which inserted into adjacent hydrophobic pockets in the heavy chain (Fig. 3B). NE2 of Gln-145 H-bonded with carbonyl oxygens of Phe-99H3 and Leu-100H3; its OE1 H-bonded to the main chain amide of Trp-33H1 and the carbonyl oxygen of Phe-99H3. The main chain amide and carbonyl oxygen of Gln-145, respectively, formed H-bonds with the carbonyl oxygen of Ser-31H1 and NE1 of Trp-33H1. The side chain NZ of Lys-203 formed a bidentate salt bridge with Asp-106H3. In addition, Tyr-200 formed a H-bond with NH₃ of Arg-102H3, and the carbonyl oxygen of Asn-198 hydrogen-bonded with Ne and NH₂ of Arg-102H3. Asp-146 contacted Arg-54H2, and Asp-150 made a salt bridge with Arg-54H2, and its carbonyl oxygen H-bonded to NH1 of Arg-54H2.

**Atomic Basis for Specificity of mAb17E6 for Primate αVβ3**—Sequence analysis of the species tested in cell adhesion-inhibition assays (Table 1) revealed that every species other than humans and macaque lacked Gln-145 → Asp and expressed Lys-145 → Asn or in avians Thr-Thr at this site (Fig. 4). By contrast Lys-203 was conserved across species (Fig. 4). Replacing Lys-145 → Asn with Gln-145 → Asp in mouse αV and expressing this chimeric αV with the endogenous mouse β-chains in 3T3 cells imparted reactivity of 17E6 with the chimeric mouse cell surface receptor to essentially the same level as full-length human αV (Fig. 5A). The importance of the con-
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#### Table 2

| Data collection | αVβ3–17E6 |
|-----------------|-----------|
| **Data collection** | | |
| Beamline | ID19 at APS |
| Space group | P21212 |
| Unit cell dimensions (Å, °) | α = 110.5, β = 77.4, γ = 90 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 50.3–3.6 |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.97857 |
| Total reflections | 568,528 |
| Unique reflections | 31,351 (1,931) |
| Completeness (%) | 87.9 (55.2) |
| Redundancy | 3.8 (2.8) |
| **Refinement statistics** | | |
| Resolution range (Å) | 49.9–3.6 |
| Rmerge (%) | 13.1 (86.6) |
| Rfree (%) | 11.27 |
| **R** | | |
| Bond angles (°) | 0.99 |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.005 |
| Ramachandran plot | | |
| Most favored (%) | 83.0 |
| Allowed regions (%) | 13.0 |
| Outliers (%) | 4.0 |
| Clash score (%) | 9.84 |

*The values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell (0.1 Å). Rfree was calculated with 5% of the data.*

#### Figure 3

**αVβ3–17E6 Fab binding interface.** A, electrostatic and H-bond interactions at the αVβ3–17E6 Fab interface are represented with dashed red lines. Oxygen are in red, and nitrogens are in blue. Main chains are labeled and colored as in Fig. 2. B, electrostatic potential surface of 17E6 Fab heavy chain at the integrin interface. Two adjacent pockets in Fab-H house human integrin residues Gln-145 and Lys-203. The orientation in B is the same as in A.

served Lys-203 for 17E6 interaction with αV was evaluated in a K203A mutation of human αV. K203A mutant αV did not affect folding of the integrin as assessed by surface binding of mAbs AP3 and 7E3 (Fig. 5B); however, it was no longer recognized by 17E6 Fab (Fig. 5B).

**Molecular Dynamic Simulation of αVβ3–17E6 Interaction Supports the Structural and Mutational Data**—The energy of interaction between the integrin αV Propeller and 17E6 Fab was simulated for wild-type Propeller, K203A, and Q145D/K145N mutants (Fig. 5C). The energy of interaction for wild-type Propeller fluctuated at ~300 kCal/mol, but K203A showed an abrupt energy drop to ~300 kCal/mol within ~0.2 ns after initiation of the simulation, reflecting loss of the salt bridge between Lys-203 and Asp-106.

The Lys-203–Asp-106 bond distance in the Q145D/K145N αV mutant yielded a minimum distance of ~2 Å as in wild type during equilibration but showed much larger fluctuations, reflecting less stable binding (Fig. 5D). The energy of interaction of Q145D/K145N with 17E6 Fab dropped, first to ~300 kCal/mol then to ~300 kCal/mol. Initially, the Asp-146–Arg-54 bond disappeared in Q145D/K145N structure, replaced by Asp-148 and Asp-150 bonds with Arg-54 in Fig. 5E). These bonds, as well as a Lys-145–Phe-99 H-bond, were lost at ~10–12 ns, with a simultaneous reduction in the energy of interaction between the Q145D/K145N Propeller and 17E6 Fab to ~300 kCal/mol (Fig. 5C).

Inserting the average interaction energies over the last 10 ns of simulations for wild-type (~478 kCal/mol), K203A (~338 kCal/mol), and Q145D/K145N (~292 kCal/mol) Propeller into Equation 3 yielded association constant ratios of 2 × 10⁻⁹ for...
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FIGURE 5. Biochemical and computational validation of αVβ3–17E6 binding interface. A, flow cytometric analysis of 17E6-stained mouse 3T3 cells expressing mouse (Mo) or human (Hu) αVβ3, as well as 3T3 expressing K145N/Q145D chimeric murine αV paired with endogenous mouse β-chains. Negative (Neg.) control represents 3T3 staining with the secondary antibody only. B, histograms (mean ± S.D.) of two (for AP3) and of three (for the others) independent experiments, showing binding of AP3 IgG, 7E3 Fab, and 17E6 B only. Negative (Neg.) control represents 3T3 staining with the secondary antibody.

K203A, and $8 \times 10^{-13}$ for Q145D/K145N. Thus either Propeller mutation markedly reduced affinity of 17E6, despite maintenance of other ionic and van der Waals bonds between the two interactants. The above biochemical and computational studies thus verify the structural model and underscore the critical roles of Gln-145 → Asp and Lys-203 in 17E6 recognition of human αVβ3.

Impact of Bound 17E6 Fab on Fibronectin Binding to Cellular αVβ3—Superimposition of the αVβ3–17E6 Fab structure onto that of αVβ3-FN10 structure (Protein Data Bank code 4mwx), predicts that no clashes would occur between bound 17E6 Fab and FN10 (Fig. 6). In RGD-bound αVβ3 structures (35), the ligand Arg inserts into a pocket in the Propeller domain and is stabilized by salt bridges to OD1 and OD2 of Asp-218 and to OD1 of Asp-150. Furthermore, docking FN10 from each of 10 nuclear magnetic resonance structures of the FN9–10 fragment (Protein Data Bank code 2mfn) onto that of FN9–10 17E6 Fab structure (Fig. 6, top panel, inset), predicted that binding of FN9–10 fragment to cellular αVβ3 would be inhibited by 17E6 Fab. This was tested experimentally. Binding of soluble fibronectin FN9 and FN9–10 to full-length αVβ3 stably expressed on K562 cells was measured in presence or absence of unlabeled 17E6 Fab. 17E6 Fab reduced binding of FN9 to

3 J. F. Van Agthoven, et al., unpublished observations.

FIGURE 6. Model of FN-bound αVβ3–17E6 complex. Superposition of the Propeller domains from αVβ3-FN10 complex (Protein Data Bank code mmx4) and αVβ3–17E6 Fab structures. FN9 (from FN9–10 NMR structure (Protein Data Bank code 2mfn; model 4.7) docked onto FN10 of Protein Data Bank code mmx4 is also shown. FN10 (in pink), FN9 (deep cyan), and the heavy (H, in dark khaki) and light (L, in plum) chains of 17E6 Fab chain are surface-rendered. One of the four metal ions at the base of the Propeller is shown (orange sphere). The MIDAS metal ion (cyan sphere) from Protein Data Bank code mmx4 and ADMIDAS (magenta sphere) of αVβ3–17E6 Fab are shown. The Arg side chain of the ligand FN10 is shown. Inset, orientation of Asp-150 side chain in αVβ3–17E6 and αVβ3-FN10 crystal structures. Asp-150 forms a salt bridge (red dotted line) with ligand Arg in αVβ3-FN10: this is absent in αVβ3–17E6 because of the Asp-150–Arg-54H contacts. Side chains are shown as sticks with nitrogens in blue and oxygens in red. The lower image represents a 90° clockwise rotation of the upper image.
The $\alpha\beta_3$ ectodomain from the complex was superimposable on unliganded $\alpha\beta_3$ (Protein Data Bank code 3iji) (15), yielding a $\sigma_4$ root mean square deviation of 3.44 Å. This improved to 1.53 Å when superimposition excluded Calf-1/2 and $\beta$TD domains, which gave a root mean square deviation of 4.31 Å in such an alignment. Conversely, superimposition of Calf-1/2 and $\beta$TD domains exclusive of the rest of the integrin domains in the two structures gave a root mean square deviation of 1.53 Å. These data suggest that a rigid body movement of Calf-1/2 plus $\beta$TD differentiated the two structures. The origin of this movement is likely the different crystal contacts from symmetry-related molecules in the two structures of 17E6-bound versus unliganded $\alpha\beta_3$. The $\alpha\beta_3$–17E6 Fab structure, compared with the unliganded $\alpha\beta_3$ structure, lacks a major stabilizing area of interaction ($595 \, \AA^2$) involving Calf-2 with the Propeller from a symmetry-related molecule. However, at the top of Calf-1, including the $\alpha$-genu, it does have an interaction ($207 \, \AA^2$) with the $\lambda$-chain from a symmetry-related molecule. These differences likely account for the higher mobility of the Calf-1/2-$\beta$TD domains in the $\alpha\beta_3$–17E6 complex, allowing only a partial assignment of their side chains in the present structure. The higher mobility and/or the crystal contact Calf-1 makes with the symmetry-related molecule may also account for a lack of a visible metal ion at the $\alpha$-genu.

17E6 recognizes the $\alpha$ subunit only in human and primate integrins (10, 38, 39). Using $\alphaV$-$\alpha5$ chimeric subunits expressed as heterodimers with $\beta1$ on viable Chinese hamster ovary cells, Mould et al. (40) mapped the 17E6-binding site in human integrin $\alphaV$ to Propeller residues Ala-107–Trp-226. We found that this region, which is identical in sequence to that in rodents except for a K145N substitution of human residues Q145D, contains all the residues that directly contact 17E6 Fab. Binding of 17E6 to chimeric $\alphaV$/$\alpha5$ in which the $\alphaV$ sequence Gln-145–Asp–Ile–Asp–Ala–Asp was replaced with the corresponding sequence Asp-154–Phe–Ser–Trp–Ala–Ala from integrin $\alpha5$ subunit (40) indicated that Gln-145 is the critical residue accounting for primate specificity and that it could be replaced by an Asp but not a Lys (as in the rodent sequence). This interpretation is consistent both with the crystal structure and with mutational analysis. In the crystal, an Asp replacing Gln-145 could form the same H-bonds with the carbonyl oxygens of Phe-99 and Leu-100 and the amide main chain of Trp-33. In cells, we could show that replacing murine Lys-145 $\rightarrow$ Asn with human Gln-145 $\rightarrow$ Asp in $\alphaV$ was a gain of function mutation for 17E6 binding to mouse $\alphaV$. Interestingly, excepting primates, this pair of residues is also substituted in those many other species, where cell adhesion, as we show here, is not inhibited by 17E6.

How does mAb 17E6 impair ligand binding to $\alphaV$? Superimposing the NMR structures of wild-type FN9–10 onto FN10 docked into the $\alphaV$–17E6 Fab complex predicted a significant clash of FN9 mainly with the light chain of 17E6, which would hinder binding of soluble FN9–10 to cellular $\alphaV$. Binding inhibition studies supported this prediction, showing ~60% reduction of FN9–10 binding when 17E6 was bound to cellular $\alphaV$. FN9, although unnecessary for binding of FN to $\alphaV$ (41), may yet clash with bound 17E6, thus reducing binding of FN9–10. The known flexibility of the FN9–10 interdo-

---

**DISCUSSION**

In this report, we describe the first crystal structure of an integrin ectodomain complexed to a function-blocking mAb, the Fab fragment of 17E6, in presence of Mn$^{2+}$, an integrin-activating cation. The new structure allowed an atomic level mapping of the metal ion at MIDAS and LIMBS in $\alphaV$ integrins.

The integrin in the complex assumes a bent conformation and also in solution, suggesting that the well established integrin activator Mn$^{2+}$ does not necessarily switch the $\alphaV\beta3$ ectodomain to a linear conformation as has been suggested (36). This finding is consistent with our earlier lifetime imaging study revealing a bent 17E6-bound cellular $\alphaV\beta3$ in Mn$^{2+}$ (15) and a cryoelectron tomography study showing no dramatic genu extension upon Mn$^{2+}$-induced activation of membrane-bound unliganded $\alpha\ll$b$\beta3$ integrin (37). It could be argued that binding of 17E6 prevents Mn$^{2+}$-induced conformational switching of $\alphaV$. This seems unlikely, because 17E6 Fab bound to $\alphaV\beta3$ did not block an increase in hydrodynamic radius of the ectodomain complex triggered by a cyclic RGD ligand. Furthermore, binding of 17E6 did not block a subsequent Mn$^{2+}$-induced expression of a conformation-dependent LIBS (15). We also found that despite the crystallization of the $\alphaV\beta3$–Fab complex in the presence of 5 mM MnCl$_2$, only ADMIDAS but neither MIDAS nor LIMBS of $\alphaV\beta3$ were occupied by a metal ion. However, all three sites were occupied by Mn$^{2+}$ when $\alphaV\beta3$ was bound by an RGD ligand (35), suggesting that the latter appears necessary for stable coordination of the metal ion at MIDAS and LIMBS in $\alphaV\beta3$.

**Crystall Structure of $\alpha\beta3$–17E6 Fab Complex**

![Image](image-url)
main linkage (42, 43) may explain why inhibition of binding of FN9–10 to cellular αβ3 by 17E6 is not complete. The modest size of the α3β3/FN10 interface seen in the crystal structure of this complex may make it particularly susceptible to allosteric inhibition.

Mutating Asp-150 in the α3 Propeller domain to alanine (Asp-150 → Ala) has been shown to reduce binding of the RGD-containing ligand-mimetic WOW-1 Fab to cellular α3β3 by 37% (44). Our findings show that 17E6 Fab caused a 41% inhibition of binding of soluble RGD-containing FN10 to cellular α3β3. This reduction may be explained by the predicted loss of the salt bridge Asp-150 normally makes with the ligand Arg. Thus 17E6-bound α3β3 appears to functionally behave like the described Asp-150 → Ala α3β3 mutant (44).

In addition to the inhibition of soluble ligand binding to cellular α3β3 by monovalent 17E6, divalent 17E6 reduces the number of cell surface α3β3 receptors through internalization, which may also contribute to the inhibition of cell adhesion by divalent 17E6 in vitro (11) and retarded growth of model melanoma in nude mice (10). Finally, integrin clustering by multivalent physiological ligands likely orients the integrin in the membrane in ordered arrays, which then encourage the formation of signal transduction complexes (45). Dimerization of α3β3 by divalent 17E6 may interfere with such ordering of the liganded integrin in the membrane, destabilizing α3β3-substrate interactions, altering signal transduction, and contributing to a greater effectiveness of divalent versus monovalent 17E6 in blocking cell adhesion.

Integrins are appealing therapeutic targets (46). The structure of α3β3–17E6 Fab complex reveals the detailed inhibitory interactions between this mAb and its epitope. Changes might be engineered to productively modify the antibody-integrin interaction and potentially be used to guide development of novel therapeutics targeting related regions in other integrins. Because the 17E6 epitope is distinct from the ligand-binding MIDAS face of the β3-subunit, perhaps 17E6 in combination with ligand mimetic drugs might achieve synergistic or additive therapeutic effects.
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