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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Findings indicative of the glomerular disease are proteinuria, hematuria, nephrotic syndrome (NS), hypertension, and renal insufficiency. These presentations can be used to define different clinical patterns that resemble different underlying etiologies.

METHODS: This study is a cross-sectional study enrolled in Children Hospital Cairo University. The study participants were recruited on two stages, retrospective and prospective stages. In the retrospective stage, all eligible patients across 5 years (between 2011 and 2015) with any glomerular disease were included in the study. In addition, prospectively, the new cases a long 6 months (from February 2016 till July 2016) with glomerular diseases were included in the study.

RESULTS: A total of 594 cases with different glomerular diseases were identified. Cases were two groups: The retrospective group that involved 543 cases and the prospective group that included 51 cases. In the retrospective part of the study, the most common presentations were NS (68%), nephritis (16.4%), gross hematuria (10.5%), and nephrotic/nephritic syndrome (3.5%). The most common biopsies in the retrospective study were NS: MCNS (27.3%), NS: focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) (23.4%), NS: Mesangio proliferative GN (9.4%), NS: Membranous GN (2.3%), Crescentic GN (3.9%), Membranous GN (0.8%), MPGN (0.8%), congenital nephrotic syndrome (CNS) Diffuse Mesangial Sclerosis (3.9%), CNS: Finnish type (2.3%), Alport (4.7%), IgA nephropathy (3.9%), IgM nephropathy (1.8%), lupus nephritis (LN) (3.1%), Thin basement membrane disease (3.1%), and others (9.4%).

CONCLUSION: The most common glomerular disease in childhood is NS. The most common pathology of glomerular diseases is minimal change NS.

Introduction

There are primary and secondary disorders that can lead to glomerular diseases [1]. The variations regarding the pattern of renal diseases among the pediatrics are possibly related to differences in environmental risk factors for renal diseases, genetic predisposition, and the clinical and laboratory capacities in the diagnosis [2].

Glomerular injury can produce impairment of the selective filtering properties of the kidney and subsequent reduction in the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) [3]. The severity and nature of the defect (i.e., underlying disease and pathologic lesion) determine the extent of hematuria, proteinuria, WBCs casts in the urine, and the level of the functional impairment. These variables further determine the clinical presentation [4]. Investigations may include kidney function tests, urine analysis, imaging, and renal biopsy [5]. Renal biopsy can help in determining the specific diagnosis, evaluating the level of disease severity and activity, and so can be a guide to proper therapeutic interventions and aids in predicting the prognosis. There has been an overall global change regarding the pattern of glomerular diseases across the past five decades [6]. This study aimed at identifying the different patterns of presentation of glomerular diseases as well as recognizing the pathology underlying these diseases.

Methods

This study is cross-sectional (retrospective and prospective). Consecutive sampling was used to recruit the study participants. The present study is a cross-sectional...
one performed at CUCH. The study included two stages; retrospective and prospective stages. To the best of our knowledge, retrospective data and information are known to have some disadvantages. For example, the large number of different clinical observers could present variations and inconsistencies in clinical observations. The retrospective nature of this study limited the amount of data that could be collected. As a trial to make our results more accurate and decrease the bias from these drawbacks from any retrospective study, we incorporated another prospective study. This explains the reason for the study group subdivision into retrospective and prospective ones. In the retrospective stage: A consecutive sample of all eligible patients presenting with glomerular diseases over a 5-year period (2011–2015) was enrolled, the required data were taken through revising the medical achieves and records. In the prospective part of the study, all eligible cases who presented with a provisional diagnosis of any glomerular disease in a 6 months period were enrolled in the study using a consecutive sampling method. Data collection in this part of the study was performed by enrolling the history, clinical examination, and investigations. Children with the age of the onset of disease below 12 years, who were presenting with any glomerular disease, that is, definitions indicative of glomerular diseases are proteinuria, hematuria (that might be microscopic or macroscopic), nephrotic syndrome (NS), hypertension, and renal impairment and whose parents or caregivers agreed to be enrolled in the study were matching with the inclusion criteria. Children with cause of hematuria or renal impairment that was proved to be of non-glomerular origin (e.g., of these criteria are those who had hematuria due to stones or urinary tract infections or those who had renal impairment due to congenital anomalies) and those with insufficient data were matching with the exclusion criteria.

Diagnostic criteria to classify different types of the glomerular diseases include

1. Proteinuria: Proteinuria is present when the levels of urinary protein excretion are higher than 100–150 mg/m²/day in children. Nephrotic range proteinuria in children is defined as protein excretion of >40 mg/m²/h.
2. Hematuria: hematuria is present when ≥5 red blood cells per high-power field in 3 of 3 consecutive centrifuged specimens taken at least 1 week apart. Hematuria can be either gross (macro hematuria, i.e., overtly bloody, smoky, or tea-colored urine) or microscopic. It may also be symptomatic or asymptomatic, transient or persistent, and isolated or associated with proteinuria and other urinary abnormalities. Persistent microscopic hematuria can be associated with bouts of macro hematuria (recurrent gross hematuria)
3. Arterial hypertension is present when the average blood pressure at or above 95th percentile for the age, sex, height, when measured multiple times over three visits or more
4. Renal insufficiency: See later: Criteria of acute kidney injury (AKI) and chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Study sample size
A total of 594 cases with different glomerular diseases were involved in the study. Cases were two groups: The retrospective group that included 543 cases and the prospective group that involved 51 patients.

Ethical considerations
IRB approval and Consent: The study protocol and the consents were revised and approved by the IRB of Cairo University Hospitals (IRB Approval Number: I-360414).

Privacy and confidentiality: Throughout the study, the privacy and confidentiality of the data were perceived; the results were presented anonymously without disclosure of patients' personal identifying information.

Data
For each patient; the following were obtained:
1. Demographic data: Age at presentation and sex
2. Clinical data:
   Presenting manifestations were categorized into:
   • NS: Patients who were presenting with generalized edema (characteristic of nephrotic patients, i.e., starting periorbital) and nephrotic range proteinuria, with or without oliguria. The age subdivide those patients into congenital NS (0–0.3 years), infantile NS (0.4–1 year), and childhood NS (above one year)
   • Nephritis: Patients who were presenting with hematuria, proteinuria (non-nephrotic range proteinuria: <40 mg/m²/h) with or without oliguria, and hypertension. Patients who developed acute renal failure, their presentations are categorized in (Acute renal failure [ARF]) group
   • Nephrotic – nephritic syndrome (NO/NE): Patients with mixed features of the mentioned above
   • ARF with impaired kidney functions (Azotemia).

Definition of AKI (as mentioned in KDIGO AKI): Consists of either increase in serum creatinine by ≥0.3 mg/dL from baseline (≥26.5 mcmol/L) within 48 h or an increase in serum creatinine to ≥1.5 times
baseline within the preceding 7 days or urine volume ≤0.5 mL/kg/h for 6 h [7].

- Recurrent gross hematuria: Bouts of macro hematuria, which are possible to be associated with microhematuria (microscopic hematuria) that could be persistent between the attacks of these bouts
- Microscopic hematuria: Which could be accidentally discovered in our study or as a part of the original disease
- Accidentally discovered proteinuria.
- The CKD: CKD is present when kidney damage, either functional or structural, occurs or by a deterioration in the GFR below 60 mL/min/1.73 m² of body surface area for >3 months.

Stage 1: Kidney damage with normal or increased GFR (≥90)
Stage 2: Kidney damage with mild decreased GFR (60–89)
Stage 3: Moderate decreased GFR (30–59)
Stage 4: Severe decreased GFR (15–29)
Stage 5: Kidney failure, GFR is less than (<15) [8], [9]
- The definitive diagnosis such as Alport syndrome, congenital NS Finnish type, congenital NS Diffuse Mesangial Sclerosis (DMS), Henoch-Schönlein Purpura, IgA nephropathy, IgM nephropathy, lupus nephritis (LN), Post-Streptococcal Glomerulonephritis (PNSG), Steroids Dependent NS (SDNS), SDNS turns into Frequent relapser (FR), SDNS turns into infrequent relapser (IR), Steroids Resistant NS (SRNS), SRNS turns into IR, Steroids Sensitive NS (SSNS), and Thin basement membrane disease.

Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (GN), mesangioliploferative GN, Membranous GN, Crescentic GN, Congenital NS: DMS, Congenital NS: Finnish type, Alport, IgA nephropathy, IgM nephropathy, LN, Thin basement membrane disease, and Thrombotic microangiopathy.

NB: Criteria for performing renal biopsies in glomerular diseases, for example,
Nephritic syndrome:
1. NS (nephrotic range proteinuria)
2. Normal complement
3. Hematuria, proteinuria, hypocomplementemia, and impaired kidney function for more than 3 months after the onset
4. Rapidly progressive GN or persistent renal dysfunction more than 2 weeks.

- NS:
  1. SRNS: Failure to achieve remission after 6 weeks of steroid treatment
  2. When the diagnosis of MCNS is unlikely.
  - The patients age is less than one year or more than 11 year
  - Presence of gross hematuria
  - Presence of marked hypertension
  - Presence of hypocomplementemia
  - Renal impairment.
  3. Rapidly progressive GN (crescentic GN)
  4. Systemic disease with renal involvement to assess severity, for example, Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)
  5. Chronic renal failure of uncertain etiology
  6. Persistent glomerular hematuria
  7. Persistent non-orthostatic proteinuria
  8. Acute renal failure: If associated with nephrotic/ nephritic syndrome, vasculitis, or evidence of systemic disease.

\[\text{Statistical analysis}\]

Data were subjected to computer-assisted statistical analysis using a statistical package for social science “SPSS” VERSION 24. Nominal data were expressed as frequency and percentages. Numerical data were expressed as mean±standard deviation.

\[\text{Results}\]

\[\text{Retrospective part of the study}\]

In the retrospective part, the study included 543 children who were following up in pediatric nephrology clinic at Children Hospital Cairo University (CHCU) in a period of 5 years (2011–2015) with provisional diagnoses of glomerular diseases.
The demographic characteristics of the patients showed that the mean age was 5.2 ± 2.9 years, male to female ratio was 1.7:1 (Table 1).

Table 1: Age and gender distribution of the study participants

| Retrospective study n = 543 | Percentage |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Mean age ± SD* (years)    |            |
| Male                       | 5.4 ± 2.9  |
| Female                     | 5.0 ± 2.9  |
| Total                      | 5.2 ± 2.9  |

Prospective study (n = 51)

| Mean age ± SD* (years) | Percentage |
|------------------------|------------|
| Male                   | 4.5 ± 2.6  |
| Female                 | 4.4 ± 2.8  |
| Total                  | 4.5 ± 2.7  |

*SD: Standard deviation

The clinical manifestations of the patients showed that the majority of patients (68%) were presented with NS. 19.3% of the patients showed complete resolution, while 77% of them had stage 1 CKD at the end of follow-up (Table 2).

Table 2: Clinical manifestations of the study participants at presentation

| Clinical manifestations | Retrospective part (n = 543) | Percent |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Gross hematuria         | 57                           | 10.5    |
| Microscopic hematuria   | 4                            | 0.7     |
| Asymptomatic proteinuria| 1                            | 0.2     |
| Hypertension            |                              |         |
| No or/and missed        | 45                           | 88.2    |
| Yes                     | 6                            | 11.8    |
| Follow up               | Mean SD*                     |         |
| Follow up duration (years) | 1.7                         |         |
| Resolution              | Frequency                    |         |
| No                      | 105                          | 19.3    |
| Yes                     | 438                          | 80.7    |
| CKD at end of follow-up | Frequency                   |         |
| No                      | 105                          | 19.3    |
| Stage 1                 | 418                          | 77.0    |
| Stage 2                 | 10                           | 1.8     |
| Stage 3                 | 2                            | 0.4     |
| Stage 4                 | 3                            | 0.6     |
| Stage 5                 | 5                            | 0.9     |

Prospective part (n=51)

| Pattern of presentation | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Gross hematuria         | 2         | 3.8     |
| Hypertension            | Frequency |         |
| Yes                     | 6         | 11.8    |
| No                      | 431       | 88.2    |
| Follow-up               | Mean SD*  |         |
| Follow-up duration (years) | 0.28     |         |
| CKD at end of follow-up | Frequency |         |
| Yes                     | 6         | 11.8    |
| Stage 1                 | 45        | 88.2    |

*SD: Standard Deviation

Table 3: The provisional diagnoses of the cases

| Provisional diagnosis                | Retrospective study (n = 543) | Percent |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Congenital (NS) nephrotic syndrome   | 18                           | 3.3     |
| Infantile NS (nephrotic syndrome)    | 10                           | 1.8     |
| Childhood NS (nephrotic syndrome)    | 361                          | 66.5    |
| Acute nephritis                      | 125                          | 23.0    |
| Recurrent gross hematuria            | 22                           | 4.1     |
| ARF (acute renal failure)            | 6                            | 1.1     |
| Not yet diagnosed                    | 1                            | 0.2     |
| Total                                | 543                          | 100.0   |

Prospective diagnosis

| Provisional diagnosis                  | Prospective study (n = 51) | Percent |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Congenital NS                          | 2                         | 5.9     |
| Infantile NS                           | 1                         | 2.0     |
| Childhood NS                           | 38                        | 74.5    |
| Acute nephritis                        | 7                         | 13.7    |
| Recurrent gross hematuria              | 2                         | 3.9     |
| Total                                  | 51                        | 100.0   |

Table 4: The different definitive diagnoses of the cases

| Diagnosis                          | Retrospective study (n = 543) | Percent |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| SSNS                               | 12                           | 23.5    |
| SRNS                               | 9                            | 17.6    |
| SDNS                               | 6                            | 11.8    |
| SDNS turns into FR***              | 6                            | 11.8    |
| SRNS turns into IR**               | 6                            | 11.8    |
| IgA nephropathy                     | 1                            | 2.0     |
| Lupus nephritis                     | 1                            | 2.0     |
| Not yet diagnosed                   | 10                           | 19.6    |
| Total                               | 51                           | 100.0   |

*SDNS: Diffuse mesangial sclerosis, **HSP: Henoch-Schonlein purpura, ***PSGN: Post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis, ****SDNS: Steroid dependent nephrotic syndrome, *****FR: Frequent relapsers, ******IR: Infrequent relapsers, *******SRNS: Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome, ********SSNS: Steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome

Provisional diagnosis of the cases in the retrospective study was primarily NS (76.6%) followed by acute nephritis (23%) then recurrent gross hematuria (4.1%) (Table 3).

Regarding the definitive diagnosis of the cases, SDNS was the most common diagnosis representing 23.4% of the cases (Table 4).

Table 5: Laboratory and pathological findings

Laboratory and pathological findings in the study participants showed that C3 complement was performed for 157 (28.9%) patients, and it was consumed in 54.1% of them. C4 complement was done for 154 (28.4%) patients; 13.6% of them had consumed level. Renal biopsy was performed for 128 (32.6%) of the patients, and the most common finding was MCNS representing 27.3% of the biopsies (Table 5).

Table 6: Patterns of presentations among different diagnoses in the cases of the retrospective part of the study.

Table 7: The different definitive diagnoses in relation to provisional diagnosis in the study participants.

Prospective part of the study

In the prospective stage, 51 children were included in the study. Data of all eligible children who were newly provisionally diagnosed with glomerular disease in pediatric nephrology clinic at the Children Hospital, Cairo University in a period of 6 months (between February 2016 and July 2016) were recruited.

The demographic characteristics of the study participants showed that the mean age was 4.5 ± 2.7 years, male to female ratio was 1.3:1 (Table 1).
Regarding the clinical features of the cases at presentation, the majority of patients (76.5%) were presented with NS. At the end of follow-up 88.2% of them had Stage 1 CKD, while 11.8% showed complete resolution (Table 2).

### Table 5: Complement level and renal biopsy findings

| Complements level | Retrospective study (n = 543) | Prospective study (n = 51) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| C3 Complement level | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent |
| Normal            | 72          | 45.9    | 10          | 0.8    |
| Consumed          | 85          | 54.1    | 8           | 1.6    |
| Total             | 157         | 100.0   | 18          | 3.9    |
| C4 Complement level | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent |
| Normal            | 133         | 86.4    | 12          | 1.6    |
| Consumed          | 21          | 13.6    | 5           | 0.8    |
| Total             | 154         | 100.0   | 17          | 3.3    |

- **Biopsy findings**

| NS: MCNS*         | 35          | 27.3    | 4           | 0.8    |
| NS: FSFG**        | 30          | 23.4    | 3           | 0.6    |
| NS: Membranoproliferative GN*** | 12   | 9.4    | 1           | 0.2    |
| NS: Membranoproliferative GN | 3     | 2.3    | 2           | 0.4    |
| Crescentic GN     | 5           | 3.9     | 2           | 0.4    |
| Membranous GN     | 1           | 0.8     | 1           | 0.2    |
| MPGN****          | 1           | 0.8     | 1           | 0.2    |
| CNS: DMG******    | 5           | 3.9     | 2           | 0.4    |
| CNS******: Finnish type | 3   | 2.3    | 2           | 0.4    |
| Alport            | 6           | 4.7     | 3           | 0.6    |
| IgA nephropathy   | 5           | 3.9     | 4           | 0.8    |
| IgM nephropathy   | 2           | 1.6     | 1           | 0.2    |
| LN********        | 4           | 3.1     | 1           | 0.2    |
| Thin basement membrane disease | 4 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Others: FSGS      | 6           | 4.7     | 3           | 0.6    |
| Others: Membranoproliferative GN | 5     | 3.9     | 2           | 0.4    |
| Others: Thrombotic microangiopathy | 1    | 0.8    | 1           | 0.2    |
| Total             | 128         | 100.0   | 17          | 3.3    |

- **C3 Complement level**

| NS: MCNS*         | 10          | 6.7     | 2           | 0.4    |
| NS: FSFG**        | 5           | 3.3     | 2           | 0.4    |
| NS: Membranoproliferative GN*** | 12 | 7.5 | 2 | 0.4 |
| CNS******: Finnish type | 3   | 2.3    | 2           | 0.4    |
| Alport            | 6           | 4.7     | 3           | 0.6    |
| IgA nephropathy   | 5           | 3.9     | 2           | 0.4    |
| IgM nephropathy   | 2           | 1.6     | 1           | 0.2    |
| LN********        | 4           | 3.1     | 1           | 0.2    |
| Thin basement membrane disease | 4 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Others: FSGS      | 6           | 4.7     | 3           | 0.6    |
| Others: Membranoproliferative GN | 5     | 3.9     | 2           | 0.4    |
| Others: Thrombotic microangiopathy | 1    | 0.8    | 1           | 0.2    |
| Total             | 128         | 100.0   | 17          | 3.3    |

**NS**: Nephrotic syndrome, **NO/NE**: Nephrotic/Nephritic, **ARF**: Acute renal failure, **CNS**: Congenital nephrotic syndrome, **DMS**: Diffuse mesangial sclerosis, **CNS**: Congential nephrotic syndrome, **LN**: Lupus nephritis

### Table 6: Pattern of presentations in study cases

| Diagnosis | NS* | Nephritis | Gross hematuria | NO/NE** | Microscopic hematuria | Acute renal failure | Acute nephritis | Recurrent hematuria | Persistent proteinuria | Total |
|-----------|-----|-----------|----------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Aport syndrome | 0   | 1         | 5              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 6     |
| Congenital NS Finnish type | 3   | 0         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 3     |
| Congenital NS DMS**** | 3   | 0         | 0              | 2       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 5     |
| HSP******* | 0   | 1         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 1     |
| IgA nephropathy | 0   | 1         | 5              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 6     |
| IgM nephropathy | 0   | 2         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 2     |
| Lupus nephritis | 0   | 4         | 1              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 6     |
| Not yet diagnosed | 10  | 9         | 7              | 2       | 3                    | 3                  | 0               | 1                  | 35                   | 35    |
| PSGN****** | 1   | 4         | 8              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 103   |
| SDNS******   | 126 | 0         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 127   |
| SDNS turns into FR****** | 3  | 0         | 0              | 1       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 4     |
| SDNS turns into IR****** | 94 | 0         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 94    |
| SRRS******** | 49  | 2         | 0              | 11      | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 62    |
| SRNS turns into IR | 10  | 1         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 12    |
| SSNS********** | 70 | 0         | 0              | 0       | 0                    | 0                  | 0               | 0                  | 0                     | 72    |
| Thin basement membrane disease | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Total          | 369 | 89        | 57             | 19      | 4                    | 3                  | 1               | 1                  | 543                  | 543   |

**NS**: Nephrotic syndrome, **NO/NE**: Nephrotic/Nephritic, **ARF**: Acute renal failure, **CNS**: Congenital nephrotic syndrome, **DMS**: Diffuse mesangial sclerosis, **CNS**: Congential nephrotic syndrome, **LN**: Lupus nephritis

Provisional diagnosis of the cases in the prospective study was primarily NS (82.4%), followed by acute nephritis (13.7%) and recurrent gross hematuria (3.9%) (Table 3).

Definitive diagnoses of the cases showed that SSNS was the most common diagnosis representing 23.5% of the cases (Table 4).

C3 and C4 complements were performed for 15 patients (29.4%). C3 was consumed in 5 cases (33.3%), while C4 was normal in all 15 cases. Biopsy was performed for 12 cases (23.5%) of the patients. The most common findings of the biopsies were MCNS and FSGS (Table 5).

Table 6 demonstrates the patterns of presentations among the different diagnoses in the study participants.

Table 7 shows the different definitive diagnoses in relation to the provisional diagnosis in the study participants.

### Discussion

Regarding the demographic criteria (e.g., age and sex) of our study subjects, there are similar studies. For instance, in a retrospective study done by reviewing records of all admitted pediatrics under 14 years of age with INS, it was found that the mean age is 4.98 ± 2.61 years in the population study. In that study, male to female ratio was 2:1 [10]. In another retrospective study that included a review of 321 children seen with nephrosis/nephritis;...
it was found that the mean age was 8.71 years (range: 2 months–16 years) [11]. The results of these studies may not be totally similar to our study due to that, in our study, the upper limit of accepted age was less than 12 years.

Regarding the male to female ratio, this study agrees with a former retrospective review in which there was a higher male percentage than females (125 males [50.4%] and 123 females [49.6%]) [12].

Regarding the presentations, our results agree with Abdelraheem et al. in 2010, who found that NS was the most common presentation, which was seen in 62.9% of the enrolled children. The other 37.1% children presented with nephritic syndrome and/or mixed features. In that study, the most common glomerular disease was idiopathic NS, with a pathology of minimal change disease (MCD) seen in 29.9%. Post-infectious GN was seen in 24.3%. The least common were Alport’s syndrome, IgA nephropathy. SLE was the most common secondary glomerular disease, which accounted for 4.9% [11]. This does not totally agree with our study as LN agrees with what was reported in a retrospective review of 233 patients.

In the study done by Arias et al., it was found that FSGS was the most frequent type of glomerulopathy (34.8%) of the study population [15]. This study was a retrospective study. All native renal biopsies (July 1998 to December 2007), but only glomerular diseases were analyzed, and the age of the pediatric patients was <15 years. The difference between this study and ours may be attributed to both various races and altered environmental conditions.

Regarding the outcomes of the study groups, our result agrees with Mahmud et al. who found that CKD Stage 1 was (52%), CKD Stage 2 was (22%), CKD Stage 3 was (14 %), CKD Stage 4 was (7.3%), and CKD Stage 5 was (2.9%) of the study participants. It was an observational cohort study.

The prognosis and outcome were the most excellent with PSGN, as the present retrospective study showed that (99.0%) of those with PSGN ended in resolution, and only (1.0%) of them ended in the CKD Stage 2 [16].

In another retrospective study of post-infectious GN, it was found that ESRD was in 14.3% (4 out of 28 cases) [17]. This difference may be due to that our present retrospective and prospective studies were done at the nephrology clinic of glomerular diseases on Monday and Thursday and not at the nephrology clinic of renal failure that was on Sunday. Hence, patients of PSGN who entered in ESKD were not included in the study.

Regarding the definitive diagnoses, our results agree with what was reported in a retrospective review
of the children admitted with the diagnosis of new-onset idiopathic NS. It was found that the initial response to corticosteroid therapy was 66.8% of the study population [18]. Furthermore, this result agrees with Mortazavi et al. who found that a total of 124 patients (75.2%) of the study population responded to steroids. Forty-one patients (24.8%) of them were steroid-resistant [10].

**Conclusion**

The most common glomerular disease in childhood is NS. Most of the cases with NS are steroid responders. Fortunately, glomerular diseases have mostly good outcomes, especially those with PSGN.

**Limitations of the Study**

- There are some limitations to this study, common to observational studies. A large number of different observers may present inconsistencies in clinical observations. The retrospective nature of the study limited the amount of data that could be collected. Furthermore, the field of the current study was the nephrology clinics to detect patients with glomerular diseases coming for follow-up. Therefore, the study could not detect the number of deaths from the diseases; also, it is dependent on the patient's compliance, and their regularity in follow-up visits.
- Another important limitation is the non-compliance of the patients. As the study location is CHCU which is a tertiary care hospital and many patients could miss the regular follow-up or may be non-compliant with medications due to the far distance between their residence and the hospital as actually many patients come from other governorates. This may be the cause that why CKD Stage 1 was the prognosis of some diseases which aren't known to end in CKD Stage 1.
- In addition, we do not have the policy of screening for microscopic hematuria or proteinuria. Therefore, the indications of biopsy in our study do not include persistent microhematuria (urine analyses screening) or non-nephrotic range proteinuria.
- Furthermore, pediatric patients who have vasculitis with renal involvement do not follow mainly in the nephrology clinic. They follow in the rheumatology clinic. Therefore, they were not mainly included in the study.
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