Effects of the ankle angle of an ankle foot orthosis on foot pressure during the gait in healthy adults
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of the ankle angle of an ankle foot orthosis (AFO) on foot pressure during the gait in healthy adults. [Subjects] Sixteen healthy males with neither orthopedic nor neurological problems participated in this study. [Methods] Subjects walked on a walkway at a self-selected pace with an AFO set at four different ankle angles (−5°, 0°, 5°, and 10°). Foot pressure was measured randomly according to the ankle angle of the AFO using an F-scan system. Three trials were measured and averaged for data analysis. [Results] The peak foot pressure of the hallux, 2nd–5th toes, 2nd and 3rd metatarsal heads, 4th and 5th metatarsal heads, and the heel showed significant differences among the AFO ankle angles: angles of 0° and −5° increased the foot pressure of the lateral legions, and the peak foot pressure of the heel at an AFO ankle angle of 10° was significantly greater than those of the other angles. [Conclusion] The ankle angle of the AFO affected foot pressure and gait patterns during gait. The results suggest that the appropriate angle for an AFO is between 5° and 10° when AFOs are prescribed by clinicians.
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INTRODUCTION

Following stroke, impairments of balance control and gait are major problems for stroke patients, and they restrict activities of daily living and postural control. Stroke patients often have impaired ankle function due to muscle weakness and increased spasticity1–3). In addition, ankle instability caused by compression of the deep peroneal, sprained ankles, and excessive joint mobility also cause dysfunctions of balance control and gait4, 5).

Ankle foot orthoses (AFOs) are usually suggested to compensate for impairments of the central nervous system, ankle instability, muscle weakness, and proprioceptive deficits at the ankle joint6–8). AFOs are prescribed to improve the stability of the ankle joint and gait speed, to reduce energy consumption during gait, and to maintain balance ability, and AFOs are also used to improve the abnormal gaits of stroke patients and to prevent excessive equinovarus during gait9, 10, 11).

AFOs prevent foot drop and the dragging of the toes during the swing phase by supporting dorsiflexion and limiting plantar flexion, as well as by facilitating heel contact and mediolateral stability12). Studies of postural stability and balance according to features and materials of AFOs do exist; however, studies related to the effects of ankle joint angles of AFOs on gait have not been performed in clinical studies. This study investigated the effects of the ankle angle of an AFO on foot pressure during the gait in healthy adults.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Sixteen healthy males (age: 26.1±1.2 years, height: 174.2±4.1 cm, weight: 71.6±2.2 kg, foot length: 267.2±1.8 mm) participated in this study. They had no orthopedic problems, such as osteoarthritis or fractures, and no neurological problems. All the participants received sufficient explanation of the purposes of the trial, and they gave their written informed consent to participation in this study. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Research and conformed to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The ankle joint angle was manipulated using AFOs manufactured with four kinds of inclinations: the neutral position (0°), 5° of dorsiflexion (5°), 10° of dorsiflexion (10°), and 5° of plantarflexion (−5°). The ankle joint angle was measured randomly to avoid the effects of learning. The experimental procedure was explained to participants before measurement in order to accurately measure foot plantar pressure, and the subjects practiced walking with an AFO for one-minute. After practicing, the F-scan was calibrated according to...
the pressure of the 2nd–5th toes and the 4th and 5th metatarsal heads significantly increased as the ankle angle increased, (Table 1) (p<0.05). The post-hoc test showed that the hallux and the heel showed significant differences among the angles and 3rd metatarsal heads, 4th and 5th metatarsal heads, and the midfoot region. Once natural walking of the participants was confirmed, the data from the middle 5 seconds of gait were averaged for data analysis. The mean value of the peak pressure measurement, the plantar regions were divided into seven distinct regions: the hallux, 2nd–5th toes, 1st metatarsal head, 2nd and 3rd metatarsal heads, 4th and 5th metatarsal heads, midfoot, and the heel region.

The data of the peak foot pressure was collected for each foot region. Once natural walking of the participants was confirmed, the data from the middle 5 seconds of gait were used in the analysis because the participants were blind to the measured time. Three trials were measured and then averaged for data analysis. The mean value of the peak pressure of each region was calculated after measuring the foot pressure using the F-scan research 5.83 program. One minute of rest was provided between measurements, and five minutes of rest was provided between the wearing of the orthoses with different ankle angles.

All data were normally distributed according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. One-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed to compare the differences in foot pressure, and Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to analyze the differences among the ankle angles using SPSS 18.0. Statistical significance was accepted for values of p<0.05 in all analyses.

RESULTS

The peak foot pressures of the hallux, 2nd–5th toes, 2nd and 3rd metatarsal heads, 4th and 5th metatarsal heads, and the heel showed significant differences among the angles (Table 1) (p<0.05). The post-hoc test showed that the hallux pressure significantly increased as the ankle angle increased, the pressure of the 2nd–5th toes and the 4th and 5th metatarsal heads significantly differences between 0° and −5°, and 5° and 10°, and the pressure of the 2nd and 3rd metatarsal head showed significant differences between the values of 5° and 10°, and those of 0° and −5°. The peak foot pressure of the heel at 10° was significantly greater than those of the other angles (Table 1) (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

Stroke patients may lack sufficient heel strike, push off, and toe clearance during gait, so AFOs have often been prescribed to improve the stability of the ankle joints in stroke patients with ankle instability, and they are considered a good method for maintaining postural stability.14) The purpose of this study was to determine the effect on plantar pressure during walking of AFO with the ankle joint angles usually prescribed for stroke patients: the neutral position (0°), 5° of dorsiflexion (5°), 10° of dorsiflexion (10°), and 5° of plantar flexion (−5°).

A normal gait pattern transfers weight after heel contact with the ground by a heel-rocker mechanism using eccentric and concentric contractions of the dorsiflexors. When the heel makes contact, it maintains the center of gravity in the midline with some eversion. The results of this study show that the center of pressure moved to the outside at −5° in the foot pressure distribution unlike normal gait and body weight support heel side. It is an abnormal movement of the foot considered to be continued, and it shows a similar pattern, but better than −5° at 0°. The results of this study confirm that gait has a negative effect on abnormal mechanical movement of the ankle joint.

However, the 5° and 10° AFO ankle angles had positive effects on the gait patterns of healthy adults, resulting in transfer of weight from the heel to the hallux (big toe). This result is consistent with the results of Kim and Park11) who reported that during one leg standing with AFOs with different ankle angles, the AFO angles correlated with balance performance, joint stability, and postural control. A previous study demonstrated that appropriate control of the ankle joint elicits an efficient gait pattern and energy consumption during gait, and this was confirmed in the present study by measuring foot pressure1, 2, 12, 16, 17).

Taken together these findings indicate that AFOs with appropriate ankle angles are needed to control the stability of the ankle joint and muscle activation. Although a limitation of this study was the recruitment of healthy adults, we...
confirmed that the ankle angles of the AFOs affected foot pressure and gait pattern during gait. The present results suggest that the appropriate angle for AFOs was more than 5° and 10° when AFOs are prescribed by clinicians. Further studies will be required to determine the effects of AFO angles on stroke patients and patients with ankle instability.
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