Mechanochemical Activation Effect on Technogenic Iron Oxide Reduction Kinetics
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Abstract: Understanding the reaction kinetics of iron oxide reduction by carbon is a key task of the theory of metallurgical processes. One of the understudied features of the reaction kinetics of iron oxide solid-phase reduction by carbon is the discrepancy between the reacting substances’ small contact area and the process’s high rate. A convincing theoretical and experimental explanation of this effect has not yet been obtained. The data obtained earlier show that an increase in the scale of the briquetting pressure from 0 to 300 MPa increases the degree of its metallization during heating two-fold, and the metallization temperature decreases by more than 40 °C. Therefore, it was assumed that these effects during heating are a consequence of the mechanochemical activation (MCA) of iron oxides in the scale during its pressing. This paper presents the results of experimental studies on the influence of two types of scale MCA (grinding and pressing) on iron oxide reduction. The study of the MCA effect on the reaction kinetics of scale iron oxide reduction by carbon is a promising way to assess the criteria for scale phase composition changes under external factors. The presented results indicate a decrease in the amount of trivalent iron oxide (Fe₂O₃) after the MCA and an increase in the amount of one-and-a-half oxide (Fe₃O₄) and bivalent iron oxide (FeO). The obtained experimental data show that the initial stage of iron oxide reduction, consisting in the transition from higher iron oxides to lower ones, is possible at room temperature without carbon presence.
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1. Introduction

Throughout the centuries-old practice of iron ore reduction, solid carbon in the form of wood, coal and coke has become the most widespread reductant. When reducing iron oxides with carbon, both initial reagents and some reaction products are in a solid state. Therefore, the first attempts to scientifically substantiate this process, which arose in the 19th–20th centuries, focused on describing the reagents’ solid-phase interaction. At the same time, when describing the solid-phase iron reduction, a discrepancy between the reacting substances’ small contact area and the high rate of iron reduction was noted. To explain this effect, a significant number of theories have appeared, the founders of which are G. Tamman, G. Heavenshi, K. Tubandt, J. O. Endstrom, and others. However, convincing experimental data and theoretical justification of the high rate of solid-phase reduction of iron oxides by carbon have not yet been obtained. Most researchers into the solid-phase model of iron oxide and carbon interaction explain the reduction’s high rate by the presence of defects in the iron oxides’ crystal lattices, through which the ions of reacting substances are diffused. In recent years, a large number of works have appeared on the MCA effect on the kinetics of solid-phase reactions [1], in which an increase in the reaction rate is noted, and this effect is explained similarly, by the appearance of a large number of defects in the reacting substances’ crystal lattices.
It should be noted that the solid-phase interaction simulation of iron and carbon oxides was carried out during heating, under conditions close to industrial processes [2], without taking into account the preparation of raw materials. As a result, the industry has developed a classic scheme for preparing ore raw materials for blast furnace smelting by manufacturing pellets and agglomerate. Such preparation is carried out at atmospheric pressure without paying attention to the grinding degree of the raw materials. It is obvious that such a preparation cannot provide the proper area and contact strength of the reacting substances which contribute to the solid-phase reaction acceleration. Briquetting of metallurgical wastes together with blast furnace slag has been proposed for various forms of iron oxide reduction, up to metallic iron production [3].

In [4], the carbothermic reduction of rolled scale in an argon atmosphere was investigated by thermal analysis. It is established that the reduction proceeds in the sequence $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3-\text{FeO}-\text{Fe}_2\text{C} \rightarrow \alpha-\text{Fe}$. In [5], the MCA influence (the briquetting pressure of the initial reagents) on the reduction in kinetics of scale iron oxides during heating is considered. It was found that the reduction of iron oxides in scale proceeds in a solid-phase manner in three modes: diffusion-free, intermediate and diffusion. In the diffusion-free mode, the rate of heterogeneous reaction between iron oxides and carbon is limited by the contact area of the reacting substances and the contact’s pushing pressure. In this mode, the pushing pressure has a significant effect on the reduction reaction’s rate. In the diffusion-free mode, with the scale briquetting pressure increasing from 0 to 300 MPa, the metallization degree increases from 34.6 to 71.9%, and the metallization temperature shifts down from 1021.7 to 989.8 °C. In the intermediate mode, the diffusion processes start to affect the iron oxides’ reduction, but the briquetting pressure effect on the reduction kinetics is partially preserved. In the intermediate mode, with an increase in the scale briquetting pressure from 0 to 300 MPa, the metallization degree increases from 22.8 to 67.2%, while the metallization temperature in the entire range of briquetting pressures shifts from 930.7 to 947.6 °C. In the diffusion mode, due to the presence of a liquid silicate phase, only the diffusion mechanism works and the briquetting pressure has practically no effect on the reduction rates. In the diffusion mode, with an increase in the scale briquetting pressure from 0 to 300 MPa, the metallization degree practically does not change and is in the range of 58.2–73.6%, and the metallization temperature shifts from 883.7 to 911.7 °C.

In [5], only one of the MCA methods was considered (pressing). However, preliminary grinding is important for scale secondary processing. In the literature there is a significant amount of work on another MCA method (grinding to high specific surfaces of the oxide material). It was shown in [6] that mechanical treatment of Fe and $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ mixture in a planetary centrifugal mill leads to the formation of a nanocrystalline wustite (FeO) of nonequilibrium composition. This forms a nanoscale Fe/Fe$_2$O$_3$ composite during subsequent thermal decomposition in vacuum at 200 °C. The MCA effect on the monophase powder of $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ by grinding it in a roller-ring and planetary mills was studied in [7]. It is shown that during mechanical activation, the $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ phase composition remains unchanged, with only a slight decrease in the coherent scattering regions and an increase in the micro-deformations’ magnitude. According to the authors, the increase in the $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ micro-deformations’ magnitude during mechanical activation is associated with an increase in crystal structure defects. In [8], the effect of MCA by grinding in a high-energy planetary ball mill on the properties and structure of monophase $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ grounded together with graphite was studied. It is established according to X-ray phase analysis that during monophase $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ grinding, with an increase in the grinding duration the size of the coherent scattering region decreases and amorphization of the hematite ($\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$) crystal structure occurs. The last is reflected in the interplane distances’ diffraction maxima broadening, and in a decrease in their intensity. During $\alpha$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ and graphite grounding, the number of Fe$^{3+}$ ions decreases, the number of Fe$^{2+}$ ions increases, and this leads to Fe$_3$O$_4$ formation. The authors of [9] presented a comparative analysis of mill scale reduction by carbon monoxide. Scale was in its original form and grounded to expand the surface and to account for the secondary oxidation in contact with air. Based on the thermal analysis...
data, it is shown that the process kinetics changes for scale of different fractions. The reduction process was investigated at temperatures of 850 °C, 950 °C and 1050 °C taking into account the secondary oxidation in contact with air at temperatures of 300 °C, 350 °C and 400 °C. The most favorable results were obtained for scale in the original form at T = 1050 °C. Most of the investigated iron oxide systems have a monophase composition. Data on the study of the MCA effect on iron-oxide systems of polyphase composition, such as scale, are few in the literature. Therefore, this paper presents the results when assessing the influence of two types of MCA (grinding and pressing) on the structure and properties of scale. Their influence on the reduction kinetics of scale iron oxides during heating has been evaluated.

Scale is a unique object of research because it contains basic iron oxides, arranged in layers according to the iron-oxygen state diagram. Iron oxides are represented by two-valent iron oxide-wustite (FeO), three-valent iron oxide-hematite (Fe₂O₃) and one-and-a-half oxide-magnetite (Fe₃O₄). Wustite [10] is a thermodynamically unstable compound, and below 560 °C it decays into α-Fe and magnetite (Fe₃O₄). The crystal lattice is cubic, a = 4.29 Å. The wustite crystal lattice always contains an excess of oxygen, therefore the composition of wustite more precisely corresponds to the non-stoichiometric formula Fe₀.₉₁O or FeO₁.₀₉. Due to this circumstance, the wustite crystal lattice contains a significant number of non-stoichiometry defects in the form of Fe²⁺ ion vacancies. From a structural point of view [11], wustite refers to solid substitution solutions: in its lattice, oxygen atoms occupy all nodes in the anionic sublattice, and some nodes in the cationic sublattice are not occupied by iron atoms. With a lack of Fe²⁺ cations, lattice electroneutrality is maintained due to the partially transition of the two-charged Fe²⁺ cations to three-charged Fe³⁺. Therefore, this non-stoichiometric compound can be considered as a solid Fe₂O₃ substitution solution in FeO. The hematite (Fe₂O₃) is characterized by a rhombohedral lattice of the corundum type with a = 5.42 Å and α = 55°17'. The unit cell of hematite is formed by four Fe³⁺ and six O²⁻ ions. The latter form a dense hexagonal package. One-third of the octahedral voids are vacant, and two-thirds are filled with Fe³⁺. The magnetite (Fe₃O₄) has a cubic lattice of “reversed” spinel with a = 8.38 Å. The magnetite unit cell contains 8Fe²⁺, 16Fe³⁺ and 32O²⁻. Oxygen ions form the densest cubic lattice containing 32 octahedral and 64 tetrahedral voids in one unit cell. At the same time, eight Fe²⁺ ions and the same amount of Fe³⁺ are distributed (statistically) in octahedral, and the eight Fe³⁺ are distributed in tetrahedral interstices. The presence of dissimilar iron ions (Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺) in the same crystallographic positions facilitates the exchange of electrons and makes magnetite highly conductive.

In accordance with the principle of the sequence of transformations, iron oxide reduction during heating above 570 °C proceeds according to the generally recognized Equation (1), proposed by A.A. Baykov [12,13]:

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{C(O)}) \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 (\text{C(O)}) \rightarrow \text{FeO (C(O)}) \rightarrow \text{Fe}$$

(1)

To explain the effects observed during the scale iron oxide reduction, an ion-diffusion-catalytic mechanism of solid-phase technogenic (scale) iron oxide reduction was proposed in [5]. In accordance with this mechanism, at the first stage there is a solid-phase interaction of hematite located on the scale surface with solid carbon located in the intergranular space, with the formation of CO according to Equation (2):

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + C = 2\text{FeO} + \text{CO}$$

(2)

Thermodynamically, Equation (2) is possible at a temperature of 600 °C. Oxygen, interacting with carbon, in order to preserve the scale electroneutrality, transfers two electrons to neighboring iron atoms. As a result, an oxygen vacancy is formed on the scale surface simultaneously with two divalent iron atoms and, accordingly, two wustite molecules.
The formed wustite molecules are thermodynamically unstable, since in the entire temperature range they are thermodynamically predisposed to interact with the layers of hematite located below. This leads to formation of two magnetite molecules by Equation (3):

$$2\text{FeO} + 2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 = 2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$$

The Gibbs free energy of this reaction is near 600 °C is $-58.4$ kJ/mol. At the second stage, the surface oxygen of the newly formed magnetite molecules will interact with solid intergranular carbon with the formation of 6 FeO and CO molecules according to Equation (4):

$$2\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 2\text{C} = 6\text{FeO} + 2\text{CO}$$

The Equation (4) is thermodynamically possible from 700 °C. As a result, oxygen will transfer electrons to neighboring iron ions in order to preserve electroneutrality, with the formation of six divalent iron ions (six wustite molecules, respectively) and two oxygen vacancies. As a reaction 4 result, newly formed wustite molecules will also associate with neighboring hematite molecules to form magnetite molecules. Due to the formation of a significant number of wustite molecules, the scale reduction processes will be catalytically accelerated with the divalent iron ions advancing deep into the scale, and oxygen ions transferring to the surface, towards oxygen vacancies.

At the final stage, only wustite molecules and oxygen vacancies will be located on the scale surface. The interaction of oxygen wustite with solid carbon will lead to the formation of metallic iron and CO according to Equation (5):

$$\text{FeO} + \text{C} = \text{Fe} + \text{CO}$$

This reaction is thermodynamically possible from 800 °C. During the third stage, divalent iron and oxygen ions will diffuse to the surface, and metallic iron atoms will diffuse deep into the crystal.

The proposed ion-diffusion-catalytic mechanism [5] makes it possible to describe effects observed during scale with carbon heating, but not the causes that triggered these effects. In [3], a scheme for preparing the raw mixture was used which differs from the classical scheme for preparing pellets. The raw mixture was crushed to a specific surface area by Blaine $\approx 400$ m$^2$/kg, followed by pressing at pressures of 100, 200 and 300 MPa. Since such preparation of raw materials for firing showed high efficiency, it was decided to evaluate the effect of two types of MCA (grinding and pressing) of the raw mixture on the reduction reaction kinetics. Changing the classical metallurgical scheme for preparing the raw mixture for firing by pelleting and for agglomeration for cold briquetting would significantly reduce the consumption of coke.

2. Materials and Methods

Rolling scale was used during the work. The crystal phases’ identification and their quantitative ratios in the products were determined using X-ray phase a quantitative method of analysis on a diffractometer STADI-P (STOE, Darmstadt, Germany). The initial scale contains, according to quantitative X-ray phase analysis by mass %: 55.73 Fe$_2$O$_3$; 27.05 Fe$_3$O$_4$ and 17.22 FeO. The scale was dried to a constant mass and subjected to grinding in a bead mill with different grinding durations. The specific surface area of the grinding products was determined on the device for measuring the specific surface area and average particle size of powders (PSH-11M) and the grinding products’ granulometric composition was determined on the Camsizer XT device (RETSCH GmbH, Haan, Germany.). The specific surface area of the grinding products was 0 cm$^2$/g, (assumed for the initial scale), 1084 cm$^2$/g, 2857 cm$^2$/g and 5585 cm$^2$/g. The average particle size for 1084 cm$^2$/g was 10.1 mkm, for 2857 cm$^2$/g—3.8 mkm, for 5585 cm$^2$/g—2.0 mkm. Figures 1–3 show the scale granulometric composition, ground to a specific surface of 1084–2857–5585 cm$^2$/g.
Figure 1. The scale granulometric composition, grounded to 1084 cm$^2$/g.

Figure 2. The scale granulometric composition, grounded to 2857 cm$^2$/g.

Figure 3. The scale granulometric composition, grounded to 5585 cm$^2$/g.

The dry ground scale of each specific surface was pressed without the introduction of any additives at pressures of 0 (initial scale), 100, 200 and 300 MPa.

The products of scale grinding and pressing were subjected to X-ray phase analysis to determine the phase composition.
After determining the phase composition, the second batch of raw mixture was prepared. The coke was added to the scale at an amount of 15% over stoichiometry. The samples of the second batch were crushed and pressed under the same conditions as the first and subjected to differential thermal analysis. Thermo-analysis was performed using the differential scanning calorimetry method (DSC) on the STA 449 F3 Jupiter thermoanalyzer (Netzsch-Geratebau GmbH, Selb, Germany). The experiment was conducted in an argon atmosphere (Ar high purity 99.998%, the volume fraction CO2 not more than 0.00002%), the gas flow was 30 mL/min under the condition of linear heating at a speed of 10 K/min, and the temperature measurement error was no more than ±1.5 degree. Al2O3 crucibles with lids were used for the study. Lids were holed to improve the reaction gas exchange. The value of the endothermic effect was calculated in the device software module in J/g and converted to kJ/mol by multiplying the device data by the mole mass of metallic iron. The firing products were subjected to quantitative X-ray phase analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

At the first stage of research, according to quantitative X-ray phase analysis, the separate effect of the briquetting pressure, the grinding degree on the scale phase composition at normal temperature and their combined effect were evaluated. The pressed samples phase composition change depending on the briquetting pressure according to the X-ray phase analysis data is shown in Figure 4 and in Table 1.

![Figure 4. Influence of briquetting pressure at room temperature on the scale phase composition.](image-url)

A qualitative analysis of the briquetting pressure effect on the scale phase composition according to the X-ray phase analysis data (Figure 4) indicates that the non-pressed scale and scale pressed at 100 MPa completely lack diffraction peaks that are characteristic for FeO (interplane distances d = 2.14 Å and 2.47 Å). These peaks appear only at a briquetting pressure of 100 MPa and their intensity increases with increasing briquetting pressure. It can be noted that the intensity of diffraction peaks characteristic for Fe2O3 increases along with briquetting pressure increase according to Figure 4, and the diffraction peaks characteristic for Fe2O3 decrease in the same time.
The scale phase composition change pattern depending on the briquetting pressure is illustrated by Figure 5.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** The nature of the scale phase composition change depending on the briquetting pressure.

According to the data shown in Figure 5, as briquetting pressure increases, the content of Fe$_2$O$_3$ decreases, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO increases.

A quantitative assessment of the effect of briquetting pressure on the phase composition of the scale is given in Table 1.

| Briquetting Pressure, MPa | Content, Mass. % |
|---------------------------|------------------|
|              | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Fe$_3$O$_4$ | FeO |
| 0            | 63.18     | 27.05     | 17.22 |
| 100          | 49.99     | 35.84     | 14.17 |
| 200          | 36.50     | 41.91     | 21.58 |
| 300          | 27.17     | 41.61     | 30.22 |

The scale phase composition change pattern depending on the briquetting pressure is illustrated by Figure 5.

A quantitative assessment of the effect of briquetting pressure on the phase composition of the scale is given in Table 1.

| Briquetting Pressure, MPa | Content, Mass. % |
|---------------------------|------------------|
|              | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Fe$_3$O$_4$ | FeO |
| 0            | 63.18     | 27.05     | 17.22 |
| 100          | 49.99     | 35.84     | 14.17 |
| 200          | 36.50     | 41.91     | 21.58 |
| 300          | 27.17     | 41.61     | 30.22 |

According to the data shown in Figure 5, as briquetting pressure increases, the content of Fe$_2$O$_3$ decreases, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO increases.

A quantitative assessment of the effect of briquetting pressure on the phase composition of the scale is given in Table 1.

A qualitative analysis of the grinding degree effect on the scale phase composition according to the X-ray phase analysis data (Figure 6) indicates that the non-ground scale completely lacks diffraction peaks that are characteristic of FeO (interplane distances $d = 2.14 \, \text{Å}$ and $2.47 \, \text{Å}$). These peaks appear only at a grinding degree of 1084 cm$^2$ / g and their intensity increases along with increased grinding degree. It can be noted that the intensity of the diffraction peaks characteristic of Fe$_3$O$_4$ increases slightly along with an increase in the grinding degree, and the diffraction maxima characteristic of Fe$_2$O$_3$ decreases at the same time.

The scale phase composition change pattern depending on the grinding degree is illustrated by Figure 7.

According to the data shown in Figure 7, along with an increase in the grinding degree, the content of Fe$_2$O$_3$ decreases, and Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO increases. It can also be noted that with an increase in the grinding degree up to 1084 cm$^2$ / g, the increase in FeO content occurs abruptly, and the increase in Fe$_3$O$_4$ over the entire grinding range is insignificant.
Figure 6. Influence of the grinding degree at room temperature on the scale phase composition.

Figure 7. The nature of the scale phase composition change depending on the grinding degree.

A quantitative assessment of the grinding degree effect on the scale phase composition is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Influence of the grinding degree at normal temperature on the scale phase composition.

| Specific Surface of Grounded Scale, cm²/g | Fe₂O₃  | Fe₃O₄  | FeO   |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| 0                                      | 63.18  | 27.05  | 17.22 |
| 1084                                   | 24.47  | 48.94  | 26.6  |
| 2857                                   | 20.83  | 45.28  | 33.89 |
| 5550                                   | 24.97  | 46.93  | 28.10 |
A quantitative assessment of the grinding degree effect on the scale phase composition, given in Table 3, indicates that with an increase in the scale grinding degree from 0 cm²/g to 5550 cm²/g, the content of Fe₂O₃ decreases from 63.18 to 24.97%, the content of Fe₃O₄ increases from 27.05 to 46.93%, and the content of FeO increases from 17.22 to 28.1%.

Table 3. The scale phases content depending on the combined effect of briquetting pressure and grinding at normal temperature.

| Briquetting Pressure, MPa | Specific Surface of Grounded Scale, cm²/g | Content, Mass. % | Fe₂O₃ | Fe₃O₄ | FeO |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|
| 0                        | 0                                        | 63.18            | 27.05 | 17.22 |
| 100                      | 0                                        | 49.99            | 35.84 | 14.17 |
| 200                      | 0                                        | 36.50            | 41.91 | 21.58 |
| 300                      | 0                                        | 27.17            | 41.61 | 30.22 |
| 0                        | 1084                                     | 24.47            | 48.94 | 26.6  |
| 100                      | 1084                                     | 39.49            | 39.86 | 20.66 |
| 200                      | 1084                                     | 35.08            | 38.50 | 26.42 |
| 300                      | 1084                                     | 35.15            | 39.39 | 25.46 |
| 0                        | 2857                                     | 20.83            | 45.28 | 33.89 |
| 100                      | 2857                                     | 31.96            | 39.29 | 28.71 |
| 200                      | 2857                                     | 28.04            | 35.47 | 36.54 |
| 300                      | 2857                                     | 26.78            | 38.49 | 34.73 |
| 0                        | 5550                                     | 24.97            | 46.93 | 28.10 |
| 100                      | 5550                                     | 26.50            | 35.94 | 37.54 |
| 200                      | 5550                                     | 25.47            | 36.96 | 37.72 |
| 300                      | 5550                                     | 26.15            | 35.84 | 38.01 |

The combined results of pressing and grinding on the scale phase composition at room temperature according to phase analysis are shown in Table 3.

Figure 8 presents a general view of the response function of the Fe₂O₃ content in the scale, depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree at normal temperature. Figure 9 shows isolines equal to Fe₂O₃ content for this function.

Analysis of the Fe₂O₃ content changes pattern, depending on the grinding and pressing combined effect, indicates that under the isoline corresponding to the grinding degree of 3000 cm²/g and the briquetting pressure of 200 MPa, there is a sharp decrease in the amount of Fe₂O₃ by 25–30%. With a further increase in the MCA intensity, the content of Fe₂O₃ changes slightly.

Figure 10 presents a general view of the response function of the Fe₃O₄ content in the scale, depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree. Figure 11 shows that isolines equal Fe₃O₄ content for this function.
Figure 8. Response function of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ content in the scale depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree.

Figure 9. Isolines of equal Fe$_2$O$_3$ content in scale, depending on the combined effect of briquetting pressure and the grinding degree.
Analysis of the Fe2O3 content changes pattern, depending on the grinding and pressing combined effect, indicates that under the isoline corresponding to the grinding degree of 3000 cm²/g and the briquetting pressure of 200 MPa, there is a sharp decrease in the amount of Fe2O3 by 25–30%. With a further increase in the MCA intensity, the content of Fe2O3 changes slightly.

Figure 10 presents a general view of the response function of the Fe3O4 content in the scale, depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree. Figure 11 shows that isolines equal Fe3O4 content for this function.

Figure 10. Response function of Fe3O4 content in scale depending on the combined effect of briquetting pressure and the grinding degree.

Figure 11. Isolines equal the content of Fe3O4 in the scale, depending on the combined effect of briquetting pressure and the grinding degree.

Analysis of the Fe3O4 content changes pattern, depending on the grinding and pressing combined effect, indicates that along with an increase in the briquetting pressure, the content of Fe3O4 increases, and decreases along with an increase in the grinding degree.

Figure 12 presents a general view of the response function of the FeO content in the scale, depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree. Figure 13 shows that isolines equal FeO content for this function.
Analysis of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ content changes pattern, depending on the grinding and pressing combined effect, indicates that along with an increase in the briquetting pressure, the content of Fe$_3$O$_4$ increases, and decreases along with an increase in the grinding degree.

Figure 12 presents a general view of the response function of the FeO content in the scale, depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree. Figure 13 shows that isolines equal FeO content for this function.

Figure 12. The response function of the FeO content in the scale depending on the combined effect of the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree.

Figure 13. Isolines of equal FeO content in scale, depending on the combined effect of briquetting pressure and the grinding degree.

Analysis of the FeO content changes pattern, depending on the grinding and pressing combined effect, indicates that at a briquetting pressure of 150 MPa and a grinding degree of 5550 cm$^2$/g, an optimal area with a maximum FeO content is observed.

During the experiment planning to assess the MCA effect on scale reduction, it was assumed that under mechanical impact, scale crystal structure would amorphized, as described in the above literature sources, and the amorphization degree could be determined by reducing the intensity and increasing the half-width of the diffraction maxima of scale iron oxide phases. However, the analysis of the experimental results showed that there is no scale crystal structure amorphization as a result of MCA, but a change in its phase
composition, spotted in a decrease in the Fe$_2$O$_3$ content and an increase in the content of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO. Descriptions of such an effect could not be found in the literary sources. The change in the phase composition of iron oxides during MCA is described in the work of [8]; however, this was spotted in the presence of graphite, which is theoretically understandable. The necessary and sufficient thermodynamic conditions for their reaction can be achieved during prolonged joint mechanical activation of iron oxide and graphite. Under the conditions of our experiment, carbon was not introduced into the scale in any form, so it is impossible to explain the observed effect according to classical ideas about the phase transformations of iron oxide in the presence of carbon.

At the second stage of the research, the MCA effect at normal temperature on the process of reducing scale with coke during their calcination was determined. The DSC data of samples pressed with coke are presented in Figure 14. The phase composition of calcined samples pressed with coke is shown in Table 4. The phase composition changes of calcined samples ground with coke is shown in Figure 15. Table 6 indicates that at a briquetting pressure of 150 MPa and a grinding degree of 2, the residues of FeO content and an increase in the content of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO. Descriptions of such an effect could not be found in the literary sources. The change in the phase composition of iron oxides during MCA is described in the work of [8]; however, this was spotted in the presence of graphite, which is theoretically understandable. The necessary and sufficient thermodynamic conditions for their reaction can be achieved during prolonged joint mechanical activation of iron oxide and graphite. Under the conditions of our experiment, carbon was not introduced into the scale in any form, so it is impossible to explain the observed effect according to classical ideas about the phase transformations of iron oxide in the presence of carbon.

At the second stage of the research, the MCA effect at normal temperature on the process of reducing scale with coke during their calcination was determined. The DSC data of samples pressed with coke are presented in Figure 14. The phase composition of calcined samples pressed with coke is shown in Table 4. The phase composition changes of calcined samples pressed with coke according to the X-ray phase analysis is shown in Figure 15.

Figure 14. The DSC data of samples pressed with coke.

Figure 15. The phase composition changes of calcined samples pressed with coke.
Table 4. The phase composition of calcined samples pressed with coke.

| Briquetting Pressure, MPa | Content, Mass. % | Fe₂O₃ | Fe₃O₄ | FeO | Fe |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|
| 0                         |                  | 14.72 | 35.95 | 44.42 | 4.90 |
| 100                       |                  | 7.00  | 8.80  | 62.70 | 21.48 |
| 200                       |                  | 2.86  | 3.81  | 61.89 | 31.43 |
| 300                       |                  | 3.29  | 1.84  | 61.25 | 33.60 |

An analysis of the results presented in Figures 14 and 15 and in Table 4 indicates that they confirm the results described in [5].

With an increase in the briquetting pressure (Figure 14), the beginning of metallization decreases from 964.4 at 0 to 789.5 °C at 300 MPa, and the enthalpy of metallization increases from 41.92 at 0 to 66.94 J/g at 300 MPa. These effects in [5] are explained by an increase in the defect of the pressed samples.

On the diffractogram of non-pressed scale (Figure 15), the residues of Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄ are spotted. These phases are not observed on pressed samples. This effect can also be explained by an increase in the defectiveness of the pressed samples’ crystal lattice, since it increases the reduction reaction rate.

The DSC data of samples ground with coke are shown in Figure 16. The phase composition of calcined samples ground with coke is shown in Table 5. The change in the phase composition of calcined samples ground with coke is shown in Figure 17. Table 6 shows data on the effect of joint MCA on the metallization degree of calcined samples. Figure 18 shows the effect of joint MCA on the total iron content in calcined samples. Figure 19 shows the effect of joint MCA on the calcined samples’ metallization degree.

![DSC data of samples ground with coke](image)

Figure 16. The DSC data of samples ground with coke.

Table 5. The phase composition of calcined samples ground with coke.

| Specific Surface of Grounded Scale, cm²/g | Content, Mass. % | Fe₂O₃ | Fe₃O₄ | FeO | Fe |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|----|
| 0                                        |                  | 14.72 | 35.95 | 44.42 | 4.90 |
| 1084                                     |                  | 15.55 | 29.87 | 34.69 | 19.89 |
| 2857                                     |                  | 14.22 | 21.6  | 45.24 | 18.939 |
| 5550                                     |                  | 0.60  | 1.23  | 74.31 | 23.852 |
Figure 16. The DSC data of samples ground with coke.

Table 5. The phase composition of calcined samples ground with coke.

| Specific Surface of Grounded Scale, cm²/g | Content, Mass. % | Fe₂O₃ | Fe₃O₄ | FeO | Fe₂O | FeO₂ | FeO₃ | FeO₄ |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| 0 cm²/g                                 | 14.72            | 35.95 | 44.42 | 4.90 | 1084 | 15.55 | 29.87 | 34.69 |
| 2857 cm²/g                              | 14.22            | 21.6 | 45.24 | 19.89 |
| 5550 cm²/g                              | 0.60             | 1.23 | 74.31 | 23.852 |

Figure 17. The change in the phase composition of calcined samples ground with coke.

Table 6. The effect of joint MCA on the metallization degree of calcined samples.

| Briquetting Pressure, MPa | Specific Surface of Grounded Scale, cm²/g | Fe₄all, % | Metallization Degree, % |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| 0                         | 0                                          | 68.95    | 34.4                    |
| 100                       | 0                                          | 81.13    | 55.46                   |
| 200                       | 0                                          | 77.00    | 24.7                    |
| 300                       | 0                                          | 79.80    | 32.10                   |
| 0                         | 1084                                       | 78.12    | 36.48                   |
| 100                       | 1084                                       | 83.98    | 91.18                   |
| 200                       | 1084                                       | 87.35    | 31.50                   |
| 300                       | 1084                                       | 77.96    | 40.40                   |
| 0                         | 2857                                       | 80.40    | 35.94                   |
| 100                       | 2857                                       | 85.23    | 28.63                   |
| 200                       | 2857                                       | 92.18    | 48.30                   |
| 300                       | 2857                                       | 78.08    | 23.40                   |
| 0                         | 5550                                       | 91.40    | 58.37                   |
| 100                       | 5550                                       | 94.82    | 92.70                   |
| 200                       | 5550                                       | 88.52    | 36.00                   |
| 300                       | 5550                                       | 92.99    | 67.60                   |
Figure 18. The effect of joint MCA on the total iron content in calcined samples.

Figure 19. The effect of joint MCA on the calcined samples’ metallization degree.
Figures 16 and 17 and the results analysis in Table 5 show that with an increase in the grinding degree (Figure 16), the metallization temperature shifts down from 998.0 °C at 0 cm²/g to 965.6 °C at 5550 cm²/g, and the value of the metallization enthalpy increases from 41.92 J/g at 0 cm²/g to 167.8 J/g at 5550 cm²/g. These effects can also be explained by an increase in the defectiveness of grounded samples, and according to the enthalpy value, it can be noted that the crystal lattice defectiveness during grinding is about three times higher than during pressing.

The results of Fe_{total} and metallization degree determination shown in Figures 18 and 19 correlate well with the data on the FeO content shown in Figures 12 and 13. The maximum metallization degree and the Fe_{total} content are in the region with a briquetting pressure of 150 MPa and a grinding degree of 5550 cm²/g. We supposed that the largest number of crystal lattice defects accumulates in this region, which make it easier to reduce FeO to FeMet.

The test results indicate that MCA leads to a scale phase composition change both by scale pressing and by grinding at normal temperature, without carbon participation. This all corresponds to the initial stages of iron oxide reduction in accordance with the A.A. Baykov Equation (1). It can be noted that the scale phase transformations during the observed effects occur in accordance with Equation (6):

\[
Fe_2O_3 \xrightarrow{Em} Fe_3O_4 \xrightarrow{Em} FeO
\]  

where \( Em \) is MCA energy, obtained by scale during mechanical treatment, in kJ/mol.

The energy of the system changes under the MCA effect. Our conclusion is confirmed by the authors of [14]. They report that the activation of chemical processes is associated with the release of elastic energy at the solid destruction moment, the rupture of chemical bonds and the formation of short-lived active centers (radicals with uncompensated valence). It is considered in dislocation theory that activation occurs due to the energy of dislocations coming to the surface during plastic crushed substance deformation. Plastic deformation (both pressing and grinding) leads to various defects. Structural defects occur in solids under the influence of shear stresses. This leads to the substance dissociation.

The main process that MCA provides during the scale phase composition is an iron valence change from Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+}. Based on the data presented in Tables 1 and 2, with a decrease in the content of Fe_{2}O_{3} by 40%, there is an increase in the content of Fe_{3}O_{4} by 20% and FeO by 20%. Such a change in the phase composition can be described by Equation (7) or (8):

\[
2Fe_2O_3 \xrightarrow{Em} \text{Fe}_3O_4 + \text{FeO + O}_2(g)
\]  

\[
4Fe_2O_3 \xrightarrow{Em} 2\text{Fe}_3O_4 + 2\text{FeO + O}_2(g)
\]

We assume, that the energy obtained by the scale under mechanical treatment contributes to the electronic orbitals’ displacement of oxygen and iron ions. As a result, two ions Fe^{3+} of hematite capture two electrons of oxygen, becoming two Fe^{2+} ions. The oxygen ion O^{2-} becomes electroneutral and diffuses to the surface of the scale. Due to the change in the valence of two hematite iron ions and the release of one oxygen atom from the hematite molecule, two FeO molecules are formed. One of them is immediately associated with the Fe_{2}O_{3} molecule via the Fe_{3}O_{4} formation in Equation (3). The Gibbs free energy of this reaction is \(-25.575 \text{kJ/mol at room temperature. As a result of Equations (8) and (9), two moles of hematite are converted into one mole of wustite and one mole of magnetite, which corresponds to the scale phase content change observed during experiment.}

Equation (7) is thermodynamically possible from a temperature of 2200 °C, and Equation (8) from a temperature of 1700 °C. It is obvious that the amount of thermal energy required for Equation (7) should be equal to the amount of mechanical energy obtained by the scale under mechanical treatment:

\[
Et = Em
\]
where, \( E_t \) — thermal energy, kJ/mol.

Equation (9) could be presented as 9 for the pressing pressure:

\[
C \cdot dT \cdot M = P \cdot dV + Ed
\]  

(10)

where \( c \) — scale specific heat capacity, J/g·deg;
\( dT \) — Equation (7) realization temperature, K;
\( M \) — hematite molar mass, mol;
\( P \) — briquetting pressure, MPa;
\( dV \) — scale deformation during pressing, sm\(^3\);
\( Ed \) — total energy of scale defects formed during pressing or grinding, J/mole.

The measurement of the true density of the pressed samples by the pycnometric method showed that at briquetting pressures above 200 MPa, the porosity of the samples tends to 0 and the average density to the true density. Measuring of pressed samples deformation at a pressure of 300 MPa show that the system obtained 7.59 kJ/mol of mechanical energy during pressing, while the required thermal energy for Equation (7) is 882.11 kJ/mol. The difference is approximately 100 times. We consider that the greatest energy contribution to the process is made by the energy of defects in the system (Ed). Change of that energy can be estimated only by indirect methods.

According to Boldyrev [15–18], defects are concentrators of stress and excess energy of the crystal lattice. To anneal these defects, it is necessary to spend additional energy. This should be reflected in the scale metallization enthalpy value. The DSC data analysis of the samples pressed and ground with coke, shown in Figures 14 and 16, indicates that with an increase in the briquetting pressure and the degree of scale grinding, the metallization enthalpy value really increases (Table 7). These data also show that with an increase in the briquetting pressure and degree of grinding, the beginning of metallization shifts to the low temperatures.

| Briquetting Pressure, MPa | Grinding Degree, cm\(^2\)/g | Metallization Temperature, °C. | Metallization Enthalpy, kJ/mole |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0                        | 0                           | 964.4                         | 2.34                          |
| 100                      | 0                           | 948.4                         | 2.18                          |
| 200                      | 0                           | 891.2                         | 2.86                          |
| 300                      | 0                           | 785.9                         | 3.75                          |
| 5650                     | 0                           | 965.6                         | 9.39                          |
| 0                        | 1084                        | 994.5                         | 2.93                          |
| 0                        | 2857                        | 977.3                         | 8.00                          |
| 0                        | 5550                        | 965.6                         | 9.39                          |

With an increase in the briquetting pressure and grinding degree, the enthalpy of metallization increases. This indicates that with MCA increase, the iron oxide crystal lattice defectiveness increases. Additional energy is required to anneal these defects. An increase in the iron oxide’s crystal lattices defectiveness also facilitates the diffusion processes. This leads to a shift in the metallization temperature.

The DSC data (Figure 16) shows a double endothermic effect at grinding degrees to a specific surface of 2857 and 5550 cm\(^2\)/g. The appearance of a double endothermic effect can be explained by the presence of a significant amount of magnetite in the samples after grinding at normal temperature. The residual amount of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) is revealed after annealing (Figure 15). The first peak of the endothermic effect corresponds to the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) recovery, and the second to the FeO recovery.
4. Conclusions

1. It has been experimentally established that the mechanical activation (grinding: 1084; 2857; 5550 cm$^2$/g; or pressing 100; 200; 300 MPa) have a significant effect on the kinetics of iron oxide reduction reactions.

2. The scale MCA at room temperature without reducing agent activates the iron oxide reduction process. This occurs due to a change in the energy of the system during MCA and is associated with the rupture of chemical bonds and scale defects formation. It reveals a decrease in the amount of trivalent iron oxide (Fe$_3$O$_3$) and an increase in the amount of one-and-a-half oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$) and ferrous iron oxide (FeO). The quantitative change of phases both during pressing and grinding under the conditions of the experiment is approximately the same. The observed effects are associated with the change in the iron valence from Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ after mechanical treatment.

3. According to XRD data, the scale phase content change patterns differ with different MCA types. The change in the specific surface area of the grinded products to 1084 cm$^2$/g leads to an abrupt FeO amount increase. Further increase in the grinding degree increases the content of FeO and Fe$_3$O$_4$ phases slightly. Increasing the briquetting pressure monotonically reduces the amount of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and increases the amount of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and FeO.

4. The scale phase composition change under the MCA effect leads to a change in the kinetics of the scale reduction reactions. The DSC curves course showed that an increase in the briquetting pressure and the grinding degree leads to an increase in the scale metallization process enthalpy and a decrease in its temperature.

5. The change in the scale metallization kinetic parameters (the metallization temperature shifting down to low temperatures and the metallization rate increasing) after the MCA is the consequence of the elimination of crystal lattice defects in scale iron oxides.
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