In the previous issue of Critical Care, Gu and colleagues [1] report the results of a systematic review and meta-analysis of oral hygiene with and without a toothbrush on ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Over the past two decades an increasing body of data has demonstrated that poor oral hygiene increases the incidence of pneumonia in community, residential, hospitalised and critically ill individuals [2]. Dental plaque serves as a reservoir for microorganisms associated with pulmonary infection and these potential respiratory pathogens have been shown to rapidly colonise plaque in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients [3,4]. Attention to oral hygiene is recognised as an important component of care, which reduces VAP; however, there is substantial variability in how this is performed [5,6]. Decolonisation strategies with oral antiseptics such as chlorhexidine or topical antibiotics decrease VAP and are included in the European care bundle for prevention of VAP [7,8]. Provision of oral hygiene may include any combination of foam swabs, manual or electric toothbrushes, moisturisers, toothpaste, topical antibiotics, and oral antiseptics. However, it is unclear which elements or combinations are most effective at improving oral cleanliness.

Healthy individuals and self-caring patients normally clean their oral cavity with the use of a toothbrush as dental plaque, an archetypal biofilm, can only effectively be removed by mechanical disruption. Healthcare professionals have made the assumption that this would also represent the most effective measure for controlling plaque in mechanically ventilated patients, thereby reducing the burden of respiratory pathogens that could cause VAP. Gu and colleagues [1] explored the data supporting this assumption by undertaking a systematic review and meta-analysis of oral hygiene with and without a toothbrush on VAP. Four randomised clinical trials with 828 patients were identified that met the inclusion criteria. In this analysis, toothbrushing did not reduce the incidence of VAP, critical care mortality or length of stay, antibiotic administration or mechanical ventilation-free days. Gu and colleagues suggest that these results be cautiously interpreted and that well-designed large randomised clinical trials are urgently required to adequately address this matter. The question remains why a method that effectively disrupts plaque subsequently fails to demonstrate any benefit in this patient population?

VAP is a common infection in critical care and leads to increased mortality, length of stay and cost. The magnitude of attributable mortality is controversial, with recent estimates of 5 to 10% much lower than historical values. Establishing a diagnosis of VAP in a mechanically ventilated patient is far more complex than it is for an individual presenting with community-acquired pneumonia and agreement amongst clinicians is poor [9,10]. It is perhaps not surprising that the small number of studies in the current meta-analysis, which used VAP as the primary outcome measure, failed to show a reduction...
Lorente and colleagues performed a single centre of dental plaque [14] following mechanical cleaning. The drug binds to clean oral surfaces and is then released, thus works largely by inhibiting the re-accumulation of dental plaque [14] following mechanical cleaning. Lorente and colleagues performed a single centre randomised clinical trial involving treatment with 0.12% chlorhexidine with manual toothbrushing and standard care [15]. In total, 436 patients were enrolled in the study representing more than half of those included in the meta-analysis. No significant difference was observed between groups in the incidence of the primary outcome measure VAP. Efficacy of the interventions on oral cleanliness was not measured. Importantly, toothbrushing was performed after the administration of chlorhexidine in this study, making this a less effective therapy than if the antiseptic had followed brushing. Considerable choice also exists in the components of mouth care that are available. Thus, chlorhexidine may be used in concentrations from 0.12% to 2% and comes as a solution, gel or paste. Alternative antiseptics frequently used in dentistry, such as essential oils or triclosan formulations, might provide more effective plaque control. Toothbrushes may be manual or electric and come with brushes of different sizes or bristle hardness, all of which may influence plaque disruption.

Improving oral hygiene represents one of a number of interventions that may influence the development of VAP [8]. Ideally, further studies exploring the optimal package of care for improving oral cleanliness should be undertaken before planning larger more expensive trials that have outcome measures such as VAP, mortality or length of stay. Powering studies for unambiguous endpoints such as mortality are preferable to those prone to bias, such as VAP, but require far larger numbers of patients. Defining what represents optimal oral care requires a greater understanding of the pathophysiology of the oral cavity, dental plaque, biofilm biology and the oral microbiome, and is dependent on effective collaboration between clinicians from critical care and dentistry.
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