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Abstract: The blood–brain barrier (BBB) precisely controls brain microenvironment and neural activity by regulating substance transport into and out of the brain. However, it severely hinders drug entry into the brain, and the efficiency of various systemic therapies against brain diseases. Modulation of the BBB via opening tight junctions, inhibiting active efflux and/or enhancing transcytosis, possesses the potential to increase BBB permeability and improve intracranial drug concentrations and systemic therapeutic efficiency. Various strategies of BBB modulation have been reported and investigated preclinically and/or clinically. This review describes conventional and emerging BBB modulation strategies and related mechanisms, and safety issues according to BBB structures and functions, to try to give more promising directions for designing more reasonable preclinical and clinical studies.
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1. Introduction

The blood–brain barrier (BBB) plays a crucial protective role in maintaining a highly precise brain microenvironment for neuronal activity by regulating material transport into and out of the brain. The structural bases of the BBB (Figure 1) are brain capillary endothelial cells with tight junctions, active efflux transporters, and major facilitator superfamily domain-containing protein 2a (Mfsd2a), which jointly endow the BBB with extremely low both paracellular permeability and transcellular permeability [1]. Tight junctions seal endothelial paracellular gaps, leading to high trans-endothelial electrical resistance and limited paracellular transport. Transmembrane tight junction proteins include claudins, occludins, and junctional adhesion molecules, which all attach to intracellular actin cytoskeleton by membrane-associated proteins (e.g., zonula occludins-1). Highly expressed active efflux transporters include P-glycoprotein (Pgp), breast cancer resistant protein (BCRP), and multidrug-resistance proteins (MRPs). Mfsd2a mediates unique BBB endothelial lipid composition via transporting lysophosphatidylcholine esterified docosahexaenoic acid to BBB endothelial cells, to limit formation of caveolae-mediated transcytotic vesicles [2–4]. In addition, endothelial cells, pericytes, and astrocytes jointly form the neurovascular unit (Figure 1), and regulate the development and function of the BBB microcirculation by interacting with each other via secreting several factors [5–7]. These above properties cause the BBB to be constantly and dynamically modulated by both physiological and pathological factors [8,9].

Despite its protective function, the BBB blocks the entry of therapeutic substances into the brain. Although various brain diseases can lead to BBB breakdown with impaired structure and increased permeability [8], BBB around lesion margins or after repairing (e.g., Pgp upregulation in epilepsy and brain tumor) can still block drug delivery to the brain [9–12]. Therefore, systemic drug therapy for brain diseases is severely limited by the BBB. BBB modulation contributes to an increased drug concentration in the brain, and thus increases the efficiency of various systemic therapies [13]. Crucial proteins and structures in
formation and regulation of BBB and their changes in brain diseases have been selectively regulated to improve drug delivery for systemic therapies against various brain diseases.

Figure 1. The neurovascular unit (left) and the mechanisms of transport inhibition by the BBB (right).

This review describes various conventional and emerging strategies for BBB modulation that increase both paracellular permeability and transcellular permeability of the BBB, and classifies these strategies according to BBB structures and functions including tight junctions, active efflux, and low transcytosis (Table 1). Furthermore, mechanisms responsible for increased BBB permeability and safe issues related to various strategies are also discussed, to try to give more promising directions for designing more reasonable preclinical and clinical studies.

Table 1. BBB regulation strategies and related advantages and disadvantages.

| BBB Modulation Targets | Strategies | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
|                        |            |            |               |
| **Tight junctions**    |            |            |               |
| Osmotic disruption     | Transient and reversible | Nonselective, uncontrolled flow, invasive, anesthesia, and side effects |
| Radiation-mediated disruption | Disease-specific | Unclear mechanisms and acute, subacute, and chronic dose-dependent toxicity |
| Activating bradykinin B2 receptor | Disease-specific, rapid and transient | Limited application to only brain tumor and peripheral side effects |
| Direct interference    | Transient and reversible | Peripheral side effects |
| **Active efflux**      |            |            |               |
| Direct Inhibition      | Transient and reversible . . . | Tolerability concerns of the inhibitor, and side effects to both brain and peripheral tissues |
| Targeting regulatory pathways | Disease-specific | Slow and side effects |
| **Transcytosis**       |            |            |               |
| Upregulation of LRP1   | Drug-specific | Slow and possible LRP1-associated side effects |
| Inhibition of Mfsd2a   | Transient and reversible | Possible Mfsd2a-associated side effects |
| Upregulation of GLUT1  | Efficient | Fasting-associated poor compliance |
2. Modulation of Tight Junctions

Opening BBB tight junctions is supposed to increase paracellular BBB permeability and facilitate paracellular drug transport into the brain [14]. Ideally, tight junction opening should be transient and selective in a controlled manner to prevent unwanted accumulation (and toxicity) in the brain, and also avoid any short- or long-term peripheral side effects [15]. Various tight junction opening strategies have been reported with robust both preclinical and clinical performance (Figure 2). However, concerns of causing severe toxicity constantly exist, because the non-specific accumulation of neurotoxic blood components may induce neuronal degenerative changes and even cognitive impairments [16–18]. Various reported strategies are discussed here, which may help to promote the emergence of highly efficient approaches with minimal side effects.

![Figure 2. The BBB tight junctions and typical modulation strategies.](image)

| Strategies of modulating tight junctions |
|-----------------------------------------|
| Osmotic mannitol                         |
| Radiation                                |
| Activating Bradykinin B2 Receptor        |
| Interfering biccullar & tricellular     |
| tight junctions                          |
| Inhibiting S1PR1                         |

2.1. Osmotic BBB Disruption

Intra-arterial infusion of 25% hyperosmotic mannitol into the carotid or vertebral artery can induce vasodilation, endothelial cell shrinkage, and subsequent tight junction loosening and separation, leading to transient and reversible BBB disruption [15,19]. While conventional intra-arterial administration increases drug exposure of brain tumors 10-fold, osmotic BBB disruption can further increase drug exposure by up to 100-fold [20]. This strategy has been translated into the clinic to increase chemotherapy efficiency for brain tumors, and the tight junction opening window by osmotic BBB disruption can last for hours in humans [21]. Other hyperosmotic agents that transiently open tight junctions also include arabinose, lactamide, saline, urea, and radiographic contrast agents [15]. Osmotic BBB disruption is generally nonselective with uncontrolled flow into whole brain regions, such as neurotoxic blood components (e.g., albumin), leading to edema, neurological toxicity, epilepsy, aphasia, and hemiparesis [15,22–24]. In addition, the invasive nature and general anesthesia render the technique impractical for drug therapy against chronic brain diseases [14]. Therefore, the use of osmotic BBB disruption is confined to only clinical management of brain tumors.

2.2. Radiation-Mediated BBB Disruption

Radiation cannot only induce tumor cell apoptosis, but also disrupt the BBB [7,18,25–31]. Although the underlying mechanisms are still uncertain, BBB disruption induced by radiation leads to enhanced paracellular diffusion and transcellular transport [7]. Radiation therapy has been combined with systemic therapies to treat brain tumors. Although some study suggests that radiation failed to increase intracranial drug concentrations, increased gefitinib concentration in cerebrospinal fluid was shown with escalating radiation dose in patients with brain metastases in clinical trials [32,33]. Therefore, further research is needed to verify whether enhanced drug delivery to the brain can indeed occur after
radiation and whether it is based on the effects on the BBB [34]. It has been reported that the disrupted BBB by radiation needs hours to years to recover [27]. Therefore, irradiation involves acute, subacute, and chronic dose-dependent toxicity [26,27]. For example, vasogenic edema from vascular damage causes early radiation toxicity syndrome including headache, nausea, or neurologic deficits [18]. Subacute side effects may appear around six months post radiation and progress into chronic dysfunction. Chronic side effects include radiation-induced necrosis, demyelination, leukoencephalopathy, cerebral atrophy, and neurocognitive deficits, and so on [35,36]. Stereotoxic radiosurgery may be an alternative approach to reduce radiation-related intracranial side effects and simultaneously maintain the BBB disrupting effects.

2.3. Activating Bradykinin B2 Receptor

Bradykinin B2 receptor is constitutively expressed on BBB endothelial cells. Its stimulation can rapidly and transiently disengage tight junctions and increase BBB permeability [37]. The expression of bradykinin B2 receptor is upregulated in the blood–tumor barrier (BTB) in brain tumors [38,39]. Therefore, activating the bradykinin B2 receptor may selectively modulate the BTB permeability and increase drug delivery to brain tumors. This strategy may be able to avoid side effects of osmotic BBB disruption towards the normal brain, owing to targeting effects on the BTB. Nonapeptide RMP-7 can selectively stimulate bradykinin B2 receptor and possesses longer blood circulation than endogenous bradykinin [37]. RMP-7 has been shown to be effective in opening BBB tight junctions and increasing intracranial drug concentrations in normal animal and in brain tumor animal models after intravenous infusion or intra-arterial injection [40–42].

Bradykinin B2 receptor is also expressed at numerous additional sites, and its activation at these sites can induce a wide variety of physiological responses including smooth muscle relaxation (e.g., vasculature) and contraction (e.g., intestine and uterus), inflammation modulation, pain mediation, and dose-limiting side effects (e.g., hypotension) [37]. The major side effects of intravenously administered tolerable RMP (up to 300 ng/kg over 10 min) were immediate and transient and included flushing, nausea, headache, and tachycardia [43–45]. At clinically approved dosage, the effects of intravenously infused RMP-7 weren’t shown in Phase II clinical trials in patients with brain tumors [38,44–46]. Intracarotid injection rather intravenous infusion has the potential of concentrating RMP-7 to the brain and reducing effects on peripheral tissues. Except for transient decreases in arterial blood pressure, intra-arterial administration of RMP-7 wasn’t shown to produce any other side effects, such as apparent cerebrovascular abnormalities and neurologic deficits in swine [47]. It is to be noted that bradykinin-increased BBB permeability may also be related with increased vesicular transport [48]. Considering the specific effect of RMP-7 on the BTB and the evidence demonstrated with the U87 glioma model that 7–100 nm pores in BTB are sufficient to allow the translocation of certain nanoparticles [49], the possibility of combining RMP-7 with targeting macromolecules or nanomedicine should be further evaluated.

2.4. Direct Interference of Tight Junctions

Claudins are major components of tight junctions, and claudin-5 dominates the BBB tight junctions by limiting paracellular penetration of small molecules [50–52]. Knockdown of BBB endothelial claudin-5 using specific siRNA was also shown to be able to transiently and reversibly increase BBB permeability to small molecules (MW up to 742) in mice [53]. The BBB opening and increased permeability after claudin-5 siRNA treatment were found to be size-selective and last for 72 h for small molecules with MW 443 and for 48 h for small molecules with MWs 562 and 742. It is also noteworthy that BBB opening after claudin-5 siRNA treatment also contributed to the clearance of water from the brain with cognitive improvement in mice with focal cerebral edema [54]. Anti-claudin-5 antibody can specifically recognize and bind with the extracellular loop domain of claudin-5, leading to impaired BBB tight junctions and increased BBB permeability to small molecules (e.g.,
sodium fluorescein with MW 376) [55–57]. The 3 mg/kg antibody didn’t induce any liver and kidney injury, change of plasma biomarkers of inflammation, and behavior change in cynomolgus monkeys while vasodilation in liver, lung, and kidney, lung hemorrhage, and brain edema were shown with 6 mg/kg antibody [55]. The side effects of high dose of anti-claudin-5 antibody can be ascribed to the wide expression of claudin-5 in the vascular endothelium of peripheral tissues [52]. The narrow window between the tight junction opening and peripheral side effects should be considered and local delivery of anti-claudin-5 antibody may be able to prevent the above side effects. Peptide C5C2 can bind with the first extracellular loop of claudin-5 and was shown to internalize and downregulate claudin-5 [58]. However, in contrary to anti-claudin-5 antibody and claudin-5 siRNA, the transient and reversible BBB opening mediated by C5C2 was found to allow brain entry of molecules up to 40 kDa.

Angulin-1 and tricellulin constitute the functional BBB tricellular tight junctions, which blocking brain entry of macromolecules only [50,59,60]. Angubindin-1 is derived from the receptor-binding domain of *Clostridium perfringens* iota-toxin and can bind with angulin-1 of tricellular tight junctions and remove angulin-1 and tricellulin from tricellular tight junctions, leading to enhanced BBB permeability to macromolecules [61]. Intravenously injected angubindin-1 disrupted tricellular tight junctions without any overt adverse effect and increased BBB permeability for transient brain entry of macromolecules [60].

2.5. Other Potential Strategies

There also reported numerous other strategies for opening BBB tight junctions with enormous potential. For example, as a G protein-coupled receptor, sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor-1 (S1PR1) plays an important role in the barrier function of the BBB and peripheral vessels [17]. Knockout or downregulation of endothelial S1PR1 transiently and reversibly altered distribution of BBB tight junction proteins and allowed increased brain penetration of small molecules with MW less than 3000 in mice. The opening of BBB tight junctions by S1PR1 inhibition via FTY720 didn’t show any signs of brain inflammation or injury. Controversially, FTY720 was also reported to reverse downregulation of S1P1 and S1P3 in retinas of diabetic rats and repair BBB by upregulating claudin-5 and downregulating VCAM-1 [62,63]. Therefore, further research is needed to verify whether FTY720 can indeed open BBB tight junctions and enhance paracellular drug delivery to the brain. The upregulation of astrocytic S1PR3 was linked to high permeability of brain metastases from breast cancer [64–66], suggesting contrary pathophysiological effects of S1PR3 to those of S1PR1. Further studies are also needed to elucidate the respective roles of S1PR1 and S1PR3 in the BBB.

Intracarotid injection of alkylglycerols was shown to transiently increase paracellular BBB permeability to small molecules and macromolecules with an efficiency comparable to that of osmotic BBB disruption and higher than that of intracarotid infusion of bradykinin [67–71]. Although intracarotid administration is an invasive procedure and the effects of alkylglycerols haven’t been proven clinically, the strategy of alkylglycerol-mediated BBB opening didn’t reveal any sign of toxicity at the animal level after long-term in vivo analyses [71]. In addition, intracarotid infusion of oleic acid or linoleic acid was also found to cause reversible BBB disruption and increase BBB permeability, but with brain edema, necrosis, and demyelination [72,73].

In theory, selectively disrupting the diseased BBB is more advantageous than nonspecific BBB disruption when systemic therapy of brain diseases is considered, owing to the absence of unwanted side effects to normal brain regions, e.g., the strategy of activating the bradykinin B2 receptor in 2.3. Pericytes derived from glioblastoma were reported to be directly associated with the BTB tight junctions and poor response of glioblastoma to chemotherapy [74]. Reducing pericyte coverage of the BTB was found to successfully increase paracellular BTB permeability and then improve chemotherapy efficiency against glioblastoma [75]. Ibrutinib with the ability of eliminating glioblastoma-derived pericytes
by inhibiting BMX kinase was proven to be able to selectively impair the BTB tight junctions to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of drugs with poor BTB penetration [74].

Substance P is an important proinflammatory neuropeptide that functions as an immunoneuromodulator in the brain. Notably, substance P is also expressed by breast cancer and involves in chemo-resistance and BBB crossing of breast cancer cells to form brain metastases [76]. Substance P secreted by breast cancer cells induces BBB endothelial cells to successively secret tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) and angiopoietin-2 (Ang-2), which further activate their receptors to reorganize endothelial cytoskeleton and destabilize inter-endothelial adhesion complexes to alter distribution of tight junction proteins such as claudin-5 [76–79]. In addition, increased BBB permeability by secreted Ang-2 is also correlated with upregulated caveolin-1 and intensified caveolae-mediated vesicular transport [80]. Considering the substance P-mediated effects and corresponding specific expression of TNF receptors in the BTB (brain metastases), substance P, TNFα, Ang-2 and their derivatives can be used to open tight junctions in the BBB and tumor-associated BTB, respectively.

3. Modulation of Active Efflux

Active efflux transporters are selective gatekeepers at the BBB and cooperate with tight junctions to regulate substance transport into and out of the brain. Pathophysiological processes and pharmacological intervention further aggravate the efflux effect by intensifying expression and activity of these efflux transporters. Therefore, targeting regulatory pathways of BBB efflux transporters is supposed to be a feasible approach for efficient drug delivery to the brain [81,82]. BBB efflux transporters include Pgp, BCRP and MRPs. Although the role of other efflux transporters may be underestimated, Pgp with multiple binding domains for broad substrate spectrum is thought to be a predominant BBB efflux transporter [81,82]. Therefore, this section is focused on the modulation of Pgp. Typical strategies including direct inhibition and inhibiting transcriptional activation are introduced here. Notably, preserving and restoring their normal expression and activity after treatment is of specific importance, owing to the protective roles of active efflux. Many other modulating mechanisms of BBB Pgp expression and activity, such as posttranscriptional mechanisms, posttranslational mechanisms, and intracellular and intercellular trafficking, were not reviewed here, owing to the absence of reported pharmacological intervention [81].

3.1. Direct Inhibition of Efflux Transporters

Pgp activity can be directly inhibited using specific competitive inhibitors, such as verapamil (Figure 3) [83,84]. In vivo cerebral microdialysis can be used to directly measure the concentration of free drug in the brain to study possible drug–Pgp interactions [85]. For example, through brain microdialysis in rats, it has been shown that Pgp inhibition enhanced the brain concentration of Pgp substrates ceftriaxone and seliciclib [86,87]. Evaluated by intracerebral microdialysis on mice, topotecan penetration in gliomas was enhanced by modulating Pgp using gefitinib [88]. However, high dosed inhibitors are often used, owing to their low Pgp binding affinity and greater resistant Pgp inhibition at the BBB than peripheral Pgp [89], which may lead to tolerability concerns and side effects. In addition, Pgp inhibition at the BBB can enhance brain concentrations of unwanted substrates, which could lead to serious intracranial side effects from the unwanted compounds [85]. The second-generation inhibitors with improved tolerability, including valspodar, possess the shortcomings of inhibiting cytochrome P450 enzymes, leading to delayed drug clearance and prolonged systemic exposure of co-administered therapeutic drugs [82]. Thus, the effects on drug metabolism and pharmacodynamics limit the application of these two generation inhibitors. Third-generation inhibitors (e.g., elacridar) affect BBB efflux efficacy by inducing Pgp conformation changes.
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3.2. Targeting Regulatory Pathways of Efflux Transporters

Inhibiting the signal pathways intensifying Pgp expression and activity is supposed to overcome Pgp-mediated efflux and chemoresistance [90]. A number of “orphan” nuclear receptors are key transcriptional regulators and their expression at the BBB can upregulate Pgp, BCRP, and MRPs to respond to potentially harmful compounds. For example, pregnane X receptor (PXR) directly participates in Pgp upregulation by anticancer drugs [91–93]. Antagonists of these orphan nuclear receptors, such as ketoconazole, were shown to effectively inhibit rifampicin- and paclitaxel-induced Pgp upregulation, and sensitize brain cancers to anticancer drugs [94]. It is to be noted that these Pgp regulating mechanisms at the BBB likely exist in peripheral tissues. Strategies reversing Pgp upregulation might also reduce Pgp in other tissues and thereby cause unintended side effects.

The signaling pathway of glutamate/NMDA-R/COX-2/prostaglandin E2 EP1 receptor induces Pgp and BCRP overexpression at the BBB in epileptic brains (Figure 4). MK-801, an antagonist of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDA-R), was proven to effectively prevent glutamate-induced Pgp upregulation [95]. However, the side effects severely restrict the development of this approach [96]. COX inhibition using indomethacin and celecoxib was proven to prevent seizure-induced Pgp overexpression and enhance delivery of antiepileptic drugs to the brain in epilepsy model with negligible effect on basal Pgp expression and transport activity [97–99]. Unfortunately, COX-2 inhibitors are also associated with an enhanced risk of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events and the controversial impact on seizure thresholds and seizure severity [100]. Inhibiting the prostaglandin E2 EP1 receptor by SC-51089 was further demonstrated to abolish glutamate-induced Pgp increases at the BBB, and enhance antiepileptic drug efficacy [82,101]. Neurodegeneration aggravation after COX-2 inhibition can be attributed to the blocking of EP2, EP3, and EP4 downstream of prostaglandin E2 [102–104]. Therefore, antagonism of the prostaglandin E2 EP1 receptor may be the most promising approach to control Pgp expression and enhance entry of antiepileptic drugs to epileptic brains. Strategies of reversing Pgp upregulation in epilepsy can be extended to the application in treating brain ischemia, because the glutamate release and similar Pgp upregulation mechanisms also exists in brain ischemia [105]. In contrary, as a critical factor for intracranial clearance of amyloid β-protein (Aβ), Pgp expression at the BBB is often downregulated to promote intracranial Aβ accumulation in Alzheimer’s disease [106–109]. Signaling pathways inducing Pgp upregulation may be carefully harnessed to treat Alzheimer’s disease. For example, PXR ligands (e.g., hyperforin) and EP1 receptor agonists hold the potential for upregulating Pgp to interfere with Alzheimer’s disease. In addition, strengthening the Wnt/β-catenin signaling may also be able to increase Pgp to reduce Aβ burden in Alzheimer’s disease [110].

Figure 3. The strategy of directly inhibiting efflux transporters.
4. Modulation of Transcytosis

Receptor-mediated transcytosis is often used to mediate transcellular BBB crossing, owing to the extremely low paracellular BBB permeability controlled by the tight junctions and active efflux transporters. Receptor-specific ligands can be used to decorate drug delivery systems (e.g., multifunctional nanoparticles) to initiate transcellular transport across the BBB [8,49,111–117]. However, the density of these target receptors at the BBB is much lower than that of nutrient transporters (e.g., glucose transporter) [118]. More importantly, exclusively expressed Mfsd2a limits formation of caveolae-mediated transcytotic vesicles and the transcytosis rate at the BBB by regulating BBB endothelial lipid composition [1–6,119–121]. Therefore, the efficiency of transcellular transport at the BBB should be modulated to improve brain accumulation of ligand-modified drug delivery systems.

4.1. Upregulation of LRP1

Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) is expressed at both luminal and abluminal sides of the BBB. While abluminal LRP1 is primarily responsible for clearing Aβ from the brain to blood [122], luminal LRP1 has been extensively studied to mediate drug delivery to the brain. Inspired by the fact that statins can suppress cholesterol synthesis and then induce compensatory expression of LRP1 [118,123–126], simvastatin-loaded nanoparticles were reported in our previous work to upregulate LRP1 at the BBB and boost LRP1-targeting chemotherapy efficiency against brain metastases from breast cancer [114]. In addition, LRP1 can respond to astrocytic apolipoprotein E to maintain the BBB integrity by suppressing the BBB-degrading pathway of activation of cyclophilin A-matrix metalloproteinase 9 [127,128]. More importantly, the diminishment of abluminal LRP1 is closely related to intracranial Aβ accumulation in Alzheimer’s disease, and also to the aggregation of α-synuclein into Lewy bodies in Parkinson’s disease [127,128]. Therefore, the strategy of upregulating LRP1, a potentially important therapeutic target of BBB breakdown-related diseases, holds the potential to be used to treat both Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease. In fact, delivery of LRP1 gene to the BBB has been reported to facilitate Aβ clearance via upregulating LRP1 [127,129].

4.2. Inhibition of Mfsd2a

Reversible inhibition of Mfsd2a holds the potential to temporarily liberate the limited transcytosis at the BBB [2]. In our previous work, Mfsd2a inhibitor tunicamycin was
delivered to the BBB and shown to be able to enhance brain accumulation of subsequent therapeutic nanoparticles and the efficiency in treating brain metastases from breast cancer in mice [117]. Owing to the crucial role of Mfsd2a in transporting essential fatty acids and promoting BBB formation and brain development, Mfsd2a knockout induces microcephaly, Allan-Herndon-Dudley syndrome, and other severe side effects (e.g., BBB breakdown, neuronal loss, cognitive impairment, intellectual disability, behavioral deficits, spasticity, and absent speech and so on) [4,121,127,128,130–132]. In clinical practice, the loss of BBB Mfsd2a is often found in Alzheimer’s disease, traumatic brain injury, stroke, and brain tumor. Mfsd2a may be a potential therapeutic target for these diseases and remains to be explored further [130,131]. However, tunicamycin-mediated Mfsd2a inhibition is likely to be reversible, because the inhibition mechanism is supposed to be just physical binding and the inhibitor would dissociate from Mfsd2a after entering the brain [2]. Therefore, side effects associated with Mfsd2a deficiency could be avoided.

4.3. Upregulation of GLUT1

Glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) at the BBB maintains the continuous high glucose and energy demands of the brain. Based on its essential role in transporting glucose and its participation in pathological processes of various brain diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, ischemia, and brain tumors, upregulation of GLUT1 has been proposed to treat hypoglycemic conditions, while its downregulation or inhibition could be used to cope with hyperglycemic conditions [133]. In addition to being direct therapeutic targets, wide expression of GLUT1 at the BBB has been extensively used to mediate drug delivery to the brain. GLUT1 upregulation at the luminal side of the BBB via hypoglycemia and its migration to the abluminal side were implemented via rapid glycemic increase after fasting [134]. Then, the brain accumulation of properly configured glucose nanoparticles was shown to reach 6% dose/g-brain in normal mice with glycemic control. Because Alzheimer’s disease is characterized by reduced GLUT1 at the BBB and a reduction of glucose transport [129], this strategy of rapid glycemic increase after fasting holds the potential to treat Alzheimer’s disease via upregulating GLUT1.

5. Multifunctional Strategies by Multiple BBB Modulation

All the above strategies increase BBB permeability via separately modulating tight junctions, active efflux, or transcytosis. In fact, there many other multifunctional strategies were also reported, which can simultaneously modulate multiple controlling factors and achieve theoretically higher BBB permeability for efficient drug delivery to the brain.

5.1. Focused Ultrasound

Low intensity focused ultrasound is a noninvasive technique that is combined with intravenously injected gaseous perfluorocarbon-filled microbubbles to transiently and focally modulate the BBB [135,136]. With the help of stable oscillation of microbubbles, the BBB is transiently and reversibly disrupted and characterized by (1) disintegration of tight junctions including claudin-5; (2) fenestration and channel formation; (3) Pgp suppression; and (4) upregulation of caveolin-1 and caveolae-mediated transcytotic vesicles, which jointly facilitate both paracellular transport and transcellular passage through the BBB [137–141]. Under the guidance by magnetic resonance imaging, microbubble-enhanced focused ultrasound can act on specific intracranial areas with negligible toxicity to adjacent normal brain cells [142–146]. Further, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles can be encapsulated into microbubbles and nanobubbles to increase the BBB disruption efficiency and monitor post-sonication BBB opening and drug delivery across the BBB [147,148]. Generally, microbubble-enhanced focused ultrasound is less invasive than BBB disruption induced by osmotic agents with minimal neurotoxicity, inflammation, and stroke occurrences in clinical settings [135,149–153]. However, increasing acoustic energy is associated with increasing risk of side effects including vascular damage, edema, parenchymal damage, microhemorrhage, and over-activation of the immune
system (e.g., autoimmunity) [137,154,155]. Therefore, adjusting ultrasound parameters is necessary for reducing risks, especially for repeated treatments and the application of mediating drug delivery to Alzheimer’s disease owing to Aβ-mediated resistance of BBB disruption [156,157].

5.2. Activating A2A Adenosine Receptor

A2A adenosine receptor interacts with Gs protein to activate adenylate cyclase and further increase intracellular cAMP [154]. It is located on platelets, leukocytes, blood vessels and intracranial regions such as striatum [158]. Its activation can inhibit platelet aggregation and regulate blood pressure through vasodilation [159]. Its expression can be altered by pathological conditions, e.g., upregulation on glial cells by hypoxia and inflammation and at the BBB by brain tumors [10,160], to protect against damage via reducing inflammation [161]. The activation of A2A adenosine receptor at the BBB can increase BBB permeability by rapid and reversible decrease of tight junction proteins (e.g., claudin-5), Pgp and BCRP [154,162]. Intravenous injection of clinically used regadenoson was shown to be able to increase intracranial concentrations of small molecules and macromolecules in preclinical studies [163–167]. However, regadenoson treatment at FDA-approved doses in humans (bolus injection of 0.4 mg) was found without increased intracranial concentrations of temozolomide in patients with recurrent glioblastoma [168,169], which may be attributed to the insufficient dose of this strategy for effective BBB modulation, and warrants the necessity of studies on whether higher dose or different agonists would be effective [154]. The alternative option of nanomedicine-mediated targeted agonist delivery holds the potential of not only enhancing selectivity, intensifying the BBB opening effect, and prolonging the BBB opening time window from up to 50 min to up to 2 h [170–174], but also avoiding affecting peripheral A2A adenosine receptors to minimize systemic side effects, e.g., excessive vasodilatation of the peripheral vascular bed, dizziness, and headaches [154].

5.3. Activating Potassium Channels

Blood vessel endothelium widely expresses potassium channels, especially ATP-dependent potassium channels (KATP) [175,176]. Activation of KATP can regulate vascular hyperpolarization, relaxation, dilatation and vessel permeability [175–178], making KATP a therapeutic target for hypertension. KATP is often upregulated in hypoxic environments including brain tumors and ischemia [178,179]. The regulatory effects on BTB permeability by activating the KATP are expected to be more significant than those of the BBB [176,180]. These effects include intensified paracellular diffusion and transcellular transport, which involve in downregulated tight junction proteins and upregulated caveolin-1 and caveolae-mediated transcytotic vesicles [176,181,182]. BTB modulation by strengthening the activation of KATP can be tightly controlled by inhibitors and has been used via minoxidil to increase Herceptin delivery to primary or metastatic brain tumors [183–186]. Although minoxidil was found to be nontoxic in both mice and rats [175], nonselective activation of KATP may induce pericardial effusion, cardiac tamponade, reflex tachycardia, myocardial necrosis, coronary arteriopathy, degeneration of renal tubules, hypotension, dermatologic reactions, and hypertrichosis [154,187]. Intracarotid injection rather intravenous infusion holds the potential of concentrating minoxidil to the brain and reducing effects on peripheral tissues. As an alternative approach, in our previous work, minoxidil was delivered by hyaluronic acid modified nanoparticles to specially intensify the activation of BTB KATP to enhance specific accumulation of subsequently injected therapeutic nanoparticles in brain metastases in mice [188].

5.4. Other Potential Multifunctional Strategies

As a key factor in hypertension, diabetes and aging, angiotensin-II can increase BBB permeability in both paracellular and transcellular manner by altering the distribution of tight junction proteins, decreasing Mfsd2a, and increasing caveolin-1 [189]. Thus,
angiotensin-II can be used to open the BBB for increased drug delivery into the brain to treat various brain diseases. As a surgical technique, laser interstitial thermal therapy has been widely used to ablate brain tumors [190,191]. Interestingly, increasing data indicate that thermal therapy can disrupt the BBB via temporarily altering tight junctions and increasing transcytosis [190]. Although this technique is invasive and requires general anesthesia, combination of laser interstitial thermal therapy with other systemic therapies still holds the potential for synergistic therapeutic effect.

6. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Modulation of the BBB, including tight junctions, active efflux transporters, and transcytotic vesicles, has been extensively studied to increase drug delivery to the brain. Although improved intracranial drug concentrations were often shown for almost all approaches, most of these studies were conducted preclinically and focused on brain tumors with very few exceptions on epilepsy. Side effects associated with these modulating strategies need to be carefully handled to extend these technologies to various brain diseases, including neurodegenerative diseases. First, although any delivery route can be used including intravenous, intracarotid or stereotactic administration, these BBB modulation approaches by themselves (e.g., radiation and various modulators) can be severely toxic. Second, besides the BBB’s protective roles, BBB modulations are likely to impair the intracranial physiological functions of related targets, e.g., normal physiological actions of bradykinin B2 receptor, S1PR1, Pgp, Mfsd2a, LRP1, GLUT1, A2A adenosine receptor, and $K_{\text{ATP}}$. Third, increased drug concentrations in normal brain and peripheral tissues resulting from efflux inhibition or tight junction opening may worsen side effects of subsequent therapeutic drugs. Fourth, unwanted accumulation of endogenous neurotoxic blood components and xenobiotics in normal brain regions (even specific accumulation in diseased regions) may lead to severe neurological complications. Therefore, the modulation window of various modulation strategies should be carefully investigated for safe clinical translation, especially those multifunctional strategies that combine multiple BBB modulations.
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