Focusing on individual requirements - A shift in ventilation strategy. From the open to the closed lung.
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Intermittent positive pressure ventilation has been practiced since the early 1950s. It has proved to be lifesaving in many instances. However, in ARDS, the improved outcomes which were anticipated have not been achieved. In the 1990s mortality was in the range of 40% to 70%. Subsequently it has been around 30%-40%. Many associated causes may be responsible for this. Another factor may be the occurrence of ventilator induced lung injury in these patients. ARDS may be a manifestation of our treatment because of ventilator induced lung injury rather than the cause of the underlying disease.

Large pressures are required to expand collapsed alveoli which are surrounded by normal alveoli. These forces can cause structural damage and also cause the production of various mediators. These cause ARDS. Ventilator induced lung injury has the pathological features of, the presence of inflammatory cells, increased permeability and oedema. Large tidal volumes, over distension, generation of shear forces, regional variations in ventilation, repetitive collapse and re-expansion of alveoli, displacement and inactivation of surfactant, secretion of intracellular mediators are some of the mechanisms identified with the causation of ventilator induced lung injury.

It has been shown, that when ventilation was provided in the presence of collapsed alveoli, there was more damage, than when the lung was fully open.

To prevent lung injury the whole lung should be opened up and kept open. This would facilitate more homogenous ventilation of alveoli. The lung is opened up by a recruitment maneuver with a pressure of about 45-60cm H2O and kept open with a high PEEP. This is the open lung strategy.

To prevent ventilator induced lung injury several strategies have been employed, commonly termed as lung protective ventilation. These include low tidal volume ventilation, high PEEP to achieve oxygenation goals, and recruitment maneuvers.

PEEP may increase oxygenation by increasing functional residual capacity, recruiting alveoli, redistributing extravascular lung water, and improving ventilation perfusion matching. By expansion of the collapsed alveoli and keeping them open, the tidal volume would be more uniformly distributed and thereby the airway pressures would be reduced.

Lung recruitment is a component of lung protective ventilation strategy. This is performed to open up the collapsed alveoli. Recruitment is by transiently increasing the transpulmonary pressure.

Therefore, recruitment maneuvers and PEEP have been important tools in expanding collapsed alveoli, preventing collapse and keeping the recruited alveoli open.

However, recent clinical evidence does not unequivocally support the open lung concept. A recent trial concluded that recruitment combined with high PEEP increased mortality in ARDS. The over distension caused by these maneuvers may cause more harm than atelectrauma and shear forces. Insufficient PEEP may not be able to prevent alveolar collapse and cyclical reopening. Excessive PEEP may cause over distension and cause more damage.
With the use of high PEEP the mortality was higher in patients with moderate ARDS. The power delivered to a lung with moderate ARDS is much higher than to a lung with severe ARDS. The driving pressure reduced by only 2cmH2O. This implies that the recruitment was not adequate. PEEP causes a sustained maintenance of recruitment of collapsed alveoli. However, with the PEEP required to keep these recruited lungs open, less diseased or normal alveoli will be over distended.

Recent studies have shown that alveolar over distension, causes the release of more inflammatory mediators than the repeated cyclical pattern of ventilation.

Therefore, it is apparent that recruitment and high PEEP and the open lung concept will not be successful in all patients with ARDS, particularly those with mild to moderate ARDS. High PEEP has the disadvantages of overdistension of healthy alveoli, oedema formation, poor lymphatic drainage, and effects on right ventricular function. Recruitment maneuvers, increase the aerated alveoli by expanding them and reduce the selective overdistension of healthy alveoli. But at the same time during the maneuver the normal alveoli can be overdistended and lead to lung injury. Recruitment should be attempted only in the presence of life-threatening hypoxia.

It is now suggested that “closing the lungs and resting them” may protect against ventilator induced lung injury.

With this concept, the ventilation strategy is, 1. Not attempting to recruit all the collapsed alveoli 2. Avoid opening and closing of collapsed alveoli 3. Ventilation of aerated lung and resting the collapsed and consolidated alveoli.

Therefore, the new protective strategy suggests 1. A PEEP level sufficient to keep saturation over 88% 2. A low tidal volume only to ventilate the aerated alveoli 3. A respiratory rate to keep the pH within normal range.

Prone positioning is a method of recruiting the dependent non-aerated lung without the use of pressure. This method improved mortality rates. Prone positioning should be used as a part of this strategy.

The aim of the new strategy therefore would be to cater to individual requirements, and target safe oxygen levels of 88-92% rather than universally ideal levels. PEEP levels should be aimed for that, rather than titrating against ideal oxygen levels. Recruitment is not required for moderate ARDS but only in the presence of life threatening hypoxia in severe ARDS. Early proning may help recruit lungs and improve oxygenation in a physiological approach.

The aim of closing and resting the lungs is to achieve safe oxygen levels without causing damage by non-physiological maneuvers.

In ventilating patients, it is important to identify the individual requirements, and focus on achieving safe levels of oxygenation, plateau pressure, power of ventilation and driving pressures rather than concentrating on numbers which are supposed to be ideal.
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