KlenTaq polymerase replicates unnatural base pairs by inducing a Watson-Crick geometry
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Supplementary Methods

**Protein, Nucleotides, and Oligonucleotides.** Protein expression and purification were conducted as described\(^1\textsuperscript{-}^7\). In brief, an *E. coli* codon-optimized KlenTaq gene (amino acids 293–832 of Taq gene; purchased from GeneArt, Germany) in the vector pET-21b was expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3) without any purification tags. After heat denaturation of the crude cell lysate (20 min, 80°C) a PEI-precipitation was performed. The resulting supernatant was purified by anion exchange chromatography (Q Sepharose) followed by size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75).

Unmodified, HPLC purified oligonucleotides were purchased from Thermo Scientific with the following sequences: primer-11mer: 5’-d(GAC CAC GGC GC)-3’; template-G: 5’-d(AAA GCG CGC CGT GGT C)-3’ and template-T: 5’-d(AAA TGG CGC CGT GGT C)-3’. d\(\text{NaM}\) phosphoramidite and d\(\text{5SICS}\) nucleoside were obtained from Berry & Associates Inc. (Dexter, MI) and the latter was phosphorylated using Ludwig & Eckstein conditions\(^8\) (see Lavergne, *et al.*\(^9\) for detailed protocol of d\(\text{5SICS}\)TP synthesis and purification). The d\(\text{NaM}\) oligonucleotide (template-NaM: 5’-d(AAA NaM GGC GCC GTG GTC)) was prepared using standard automated DNA synthesis methodology with ultra-mild DNA synthesis phosphoramidites on CPG ultramild supports (15 µmol, Glen Research; Sterling, VA) and an ABI Expedite 8905 synthesizer. After automated synthesis, the DMT-ON oligonucleotide was first purified by Glen-Pack cartridge (Glen Research) and then by 8 M urea 20% PAGE, followed by Synergi Fusion-RP HPLC (Phenomenex, Torrence, CA) to single-band purity (>98%) using acetonitrile/100 mM triethyl ammonium bicarbonate buffer (pH 7.5) gradient (5–25% ACN over 30 min) MS (MALDI-ToF, matrix: THAP) m/z: [M+H]\(^+\) calcd. 4955.3; found 4954.6.

Primer-ddC (5’-d(GAC CAC GGC GC)ddC-3) containing a 2’,3’-dideoxy end (ddC) was synthesized on a Applied-Biosystems 392 DNA/RNA synthesizer using the 2’,3’-ddC-CPG (5’-dimethoxytrityl-N-succinoyl-long chain alkylamino-CPG, 2’,3’-deoxycytosine), which was purchased from Glen Research. The primer was purified by two rounds of reverse phase HPLC (DMT-ON followed by DMT-OFF).

**Crystallization and Structure Determination.** Purified KlenTaq Enzyme was stored at 4°C in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol. Primers and templates were annealed prior to addition of protein and triphosphates.

All crystals were obtained using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method by equilibration against 0.5 ml of the respective reservoir solution for 4–8 days at 18°C. Protein and reservoir solutions were mixed in a 1:1 ratio. Prior to measurement crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen using the respective reservoir solution and 10–20% glycerol or ethylene glycol as a cryoprotectant.

**KTQ\(\text{dG-dCTP}\) (PDB ID: 3RTV):** The closed ternary complex of KTQ\(\text{dG-dCTP}\) was obtained by incubating KlenTaq (10 mg/ml) with a primer-11mer/template-G complex, ddGTP and dCTP in a molar ratio of 1:2:10:10 in the presence of 20 mM MgCl\(_2\). After incorporation of ddGMP the polymerase is trapped in a catalytically active complex with dCTP bound in the waiting position and two coordinated magnesium ions. Crystals grew under the following conditions: 30% PEG 4000, 0.2 M NH\(_4\)OAc, 0.01 M Mg(OAc)\(_2\) and 0.05 M sodium cacodylate (pH 6.5).

**KTQ\(\text{dG}\) (PDB ID: 3SZ2):** The open binary complex of KTQ\(\text{dG}\) was obtained by incubating KlenTaq (7.5 mg/ml) with a primer-11mer/template-G complex and ddGTP in a molar ratio of
1:1.5:5 in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂. Crystals grew under the following conditions: 15% PEG 8000, 0.2 M Mg(HCOO)₂ and 0.1 M Tris HCl (pH 8.0).

**KTQ₆T (PDB ID: 3SV4):** The open binary complex KTQ₆T was obtained by incubating KlenTaq (7.0 mg/ml) with a primer-11mer/template-T complex and ddCTP in a molar ratio of 1:1.2:5 in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂. Crystals grew under the following conditions: 18% PEG 8000, 0.2 M Mg(HCOO)₂ and 0.1 M Tris HCl (pH 8.5).

**KTQ₆NaM (PDB ID: 3SYZ):** For crystallization of the binary complex of KTQ₆NaM KlenTaq (8.0 mg/ml) was incubated with a primer-ddC/template-NaM complex in a molar ratio of 1:1.5 in the presence of 20 mM MgCl₂. Crystals grew under the following conditions: 11% PEG 8000, 20 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 M Mg(HCOO)₂, 0.1 M Tris HCl (pH 8.0).

**KTQ₆NaM-d5SICSTP (PDB ID: 3SV3):** Crystals of the ternary complex were obtained by soaking of crystals of the binary complex KTQ₆NaM for 20 h with 6.7 mM d5SICSTP. Crystals of KTQ₆NaM used for soaking with d5SICSTP grew in 13% PEG 8000, 0.1 M NH₄Cl, 0.1 M Mg(HCOO)₂, 0.1 M Tris HCl (pH 8.0). During soaking, two d5SICSTP molecules bound to the polymerase. One molecule is located in the active site as expected and a second molecule packs between R801 of one polymerase and Q633 and P816 of a symmetry related polymerase molecule. The second triphosphate seems well stabilized through a π-cation interaction with R801 as well as several hydrogen-bonds.

Data was collected at the beamline PXIII (XO6DA) and PXI (XO6SA) at the Swiss Light Source of the Paul Scherrer Institute in Villigen, Switzerland at the indicated wavelengths (see Table S1). Data reduction was performed with the XDS package¹⁰. Structures were solved by rigid-body refinement against a previously published KlenTaq structure (PDB: 3MS8) as model. Refinement was performed using PHENIX¹¹ and model rebuilding was done with COOT¹². TLS groups for refinement were generated using TLSMD server¹³. During refinement structures were evaluated using the molprobity server (http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/). Figures were created with PyMOL¹⁴. For overlays in the figures structures were aligned in PYMOL using all atoms. All structures are in the same space group P3₁21 with similar cell dimensions (Supplementary Table 1).

**NMR analysis of free DNA duplex with dNaM-d5SICS unnatural base pair.**

**Sample preparation.** d5SICS oligonucleotide was prepared as described¹⁵. The dNaM oligonucleotide (Supplementary Fig. 1a) was prepared using standard automated DNA synthesis methodologies with ultra-mild DNA synthesis phosphoramidites on CPG ultramild supports (3*1 μmol, Glen Research; Sterling, VA) and an ABI Expedite 8905 synthesizer. After automated synthesis, the DMT-ON oligonucleotide was first purified by Glen-Pak cartridge (Glen Research) and then by Clarity Oligo-RP HPLC (Phenomenex, Torrence, CA) to single-band purity (>98%) using acetonitrile/100 mM triethyl ammonium bicarbonate buffer (pH 7.5) gradient (5–25% ACN over 30 min). MS (MALDI-ToF, matrix: THAP) m/z: [M+H]+ calcd. 3760.6; found 3759.6.

After desalting (Sephadex NaP-10 column; GE Healthcare), the oligonucleotides were lyophilized. Oligomer concentrations were determined from UV absorbance at 260 nm, with the extinction coefficient for both d5SICS and dNaM estimated to be the same as that of guanine. The oligomers were mixed in a 1:1 stoichiometry, annealed at 85°C, and then dialyzed against two liters of 0.50 M NaCl followed by two liters of deionized water in a teflon spin dialyzer (5 mL, Harvard Apparatus) using a 2 kDa MWCO cellulose-acetate membrane (Harvard Apparatus). The purity of the duplex DNA sample was greater than 95% based on NMR. The NMR sample
was prepared by dissolving the DNA duplex in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 100 mM NaCl and 0.1 mM EDTA and then lyophilizing and re-dissolving in 99.99% D$_2$O (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) to a final volume of 500 µL. The total DNA strand concentration in the resulting samples was 1 mM. The numbering scheme utilized is shown in Supplementary Fig. 1a.

**NMR spectroscopy.** Proton NMR spectra in D$_2$O for the duplex sample were acquired on a Varian Inova 500 MHz spectrometer. NOESY and DQF-COSY spectra were acquired using the TPPI method of phase cycling$^{16}$. Data were collected at 25 °C and 30°C to resolve cross peak overlap in the spectra. For signal assignments, NOESY spectra with a mixing time of 300 ms were collected with a spectral width of 5913 Hz, 2048 complex points in $t_2$ and 512 $t_1$ increments (zero filled to 2048 on processing); for each $t_1$ value 64 scans were averaged using a recycle delay of 2 s. Presaturation was applied during the recycle delay and the mixing time to suppress the residual HOD resonance. DQF-COSY spectra were collected with 2048 complex points in $t_1$, 512 $t_1$ increments (zero filled to 2048 points on processing) with a spectral width of 5913 Hz; for each $t_1$ value 64 scans were collected using a recycle delay of 2 s with presaturation of the HOD resonance. All spectra were transferred to a PC laptop and processed with Felix (FelixNMR).

**Signal assignments and NMR observations.** Resonance assignments for the dNaM-d5SICS duplex followed conventional NOESY based methods$^{17}$. The NOESY and DQF-COSY spectra support one predominant form of the dNaM-d5SICS duplex in solution. The deoxyribose C1′H of dNaM is shifted farther upfield (5.09 ppm) than other anomic protons while the sequential NOE connectivities of d5SICS H$^E$ to d5SICS C1′H and dNaM H$^D$ to A19 C1′H are weaker than other bases. These data suggest that distortion of the duplex is minimal and localized to the region of the dNaM-d5SICS pair. Sequential connectivity of the aromatic protons with C2′H and C2″H confirmed the analysis of the C1′H-C2′H and C1′H-C2″H cross peak patterns in the DQF-COSY spectra, leading to unambiguous assignment of these protons (Supplementary Table 2). The peak patterns in the proton NOESY (Supplementary Fig. 1b) and DQF-COSY spectra for deoxyribose rings suggest largely C3′-endo conformations.

**dNaM-d5SICS mode of pairing.** The NMR spectral data of provide evidence that the dNaM-d5SICS pair is positioned inside the double helix in a self-intercalating fashion. Key NOEs in the NOESY spectrum in support of this include d5SICS H$^D$ and H$^E$ to C6 H5 and C6 H6, plus H$^D$ and C6 C1′H, in addition to cross-strand NOEs between dNaM-OCH$_3$ and d5SICS H$^B$ and H$^C$ and d5SICS H$^B$ and dNaM H$^F$ and H$^G$ as well as d5SICS H$^C$ and dNaM H$^K$ (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. 1c). Similar to d5SICS:dMMO2$^{15}$, cross-strand NOEs between dNaM-OCH$_3$ and d5SICS H$_9$, between d5SICS H$^B$ and dNaM H$^F$ and H$^G$, between d5SICS -CH$_3$ and dNaM H$^F$ and H$^G$, a lack of NOEs from H$^C$, H$^D$ and H$^E$ of 5SICS to any proton on NaM, provide evidence that the d5SICS:dNaM pair is and oriented such that the dNaM -OCH$_3$ group and the d5SICS C=S are positioned with in the minor groove of the duplex.

**Modeling of the dNaM-d5SICS duplex.** was carried out based on the duplex with dMMO2-d5SICS, whose structure was previously determined by NMR$^{15}$. The charge distribution and geometrical parameters for the dNaM nucleobase were obtained from DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*) calculations in Gaussian$^{18}$; dMMO2 was then replaced by dNaM in the duplex. The system was subjected to 5000 steps of unconstrained conjugate gradient energy minimization in the Sander module of AMBER$^{19}$ resulting in the model duplex (Supplementary Fig. 1c).
Supplementary Results

Supplementary Figure 1. NMR analysis of free DNA duplex with dNaM-d5SICS unnatural base pair. (a) Sequence of the duplex characterized by NMR and chemical structure of dNaM–d5SICS with atoms labeled. Only nucleobase moieties are shown; sugar and phosphate backbone are omitted for clarity. (b) Deoxyribose C1’H to aromatic portion of the NOESY spectra of the dNaM–d5SICS duplex at 25 °C with a mixing time 300 ms. Solid lines indicate the sequential connectivity for the C1’H to H6/H8 for both strands including H6 for d5SICS and H6 for dNaM. (c, d) Key NOEs (black dotted lines) from Supplementary Table 3 depicted on a modeled dNaM-d5SICS duplex. Only dNaM-d5SICS pair and flanking base pairs are shown as viewed from the major (c) or the minor (d) groove.
Supplementary Figure 2. Partial DNA sequences employed to obtain binary (a) and ternary (b) KlenTaq complexes solved in this study. The templating bases at the insertion position are colored red and the bound triphosphates in the ternary complexes are colored blue.
**Supplementary Figure 3. Close-up view of KlenTaq binary structures.** (a) KTQ_{dG} (green), (b) KTQ_{dT} (blue), and (c) KTQ_{dNaM} (yellow). At the top of each panel is shown the O and O1 helices and the primer-template end as cartoon and the nascent base pair and templating nucleotide in stick representation. Residues and their surfaces that accommodate the templating nucleobase are shown at the bottom left of each panel and the stacking arrangement of the primer-template with either Y671 (KTQ_{dG}) or downstream nucleobases of dA_{T3}, dA_{T2} and F667 (KTQ_{dT} and KTQ_{dNaM}) is shown at the bottom right of each panel.
Supplementary Figure 4. Comparison of binary structures. (a) Overlay of KTQ_{dT} (blue) and KTQ_{dG} (green). (b) Overlay of KTQ_{dG} and KTQ_{dNaM} (yellow). (c) Overlay of KTQ_{dT} (blue) and KTQ_{dNaM} (yellow).
Supplementary Figure 5. Comparison of the binary complex KTQ_{dG} (green) and the binary structure published by Waksman et al.\textsuperscript{3} 4KTQ (brown). (a-c), Same view as in Supplementary Fig. 3 (upper panel) for KTQ_{dG}, 4KTQ, and an overlay of both structures. (d-f), Stacking of the protein side chain Y671 on top of the nascent base pair.
Supplementary Figure 6. B-factors of KlenTaq binary and ternary complexes with natural and unnatural substrates. Overall structures of KTQ_dG, KTQ_dNaM, KTQ_dG-dCTP and KTQ_dNaM-d5SICTP colored by B-factors using a minimum value of 20 (blue) and maximum value of 100 (red) (generated using PyMOL). The spectrum bar indicates the color gradient from low to high B-factors.
Table 1  Data collection and refinement statistics

|                      | KTQ_dG | KTQ_dG-CTP | KTQ_dNaM | KTQ_dNaM-dSIC | KTQ_dT |
|----------------------|--------|------------|----------|---------------|--------|
| **Data collection**  |        |            |          |               |        |
| Space group          | P3_{1}21 | P3_{1}21 | P3_{1}21 | P3_{1}21 | P3_{1}21 |
| Cell dimensions      |        |            |          |               |        |
| \(a, b, c\) (Å)     | 108.4, 108.4, 89.8 | 108.3, 90.4 | 108.5, 90.5 | 108.5, 91.0 | 108.7, 90.2 |
| \(\alpha, \beta, \gamma\) (°) | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 | 90, 90, 120 |
| Resolution (Å)       | 46.9-2.15 (2.28-2.15) | 46.9-1.89 (2.01-1.89) | 47.0-1.95 (2.07-1.95) | 47.0-2.10 (2.23-2.10) | 47.1-2.00 (2.12-2.00) |
| \(R_{\text{meas}}\) | 16.6 (142.4) | 8.9 (95.0) | 13.6 (102.4) | 15.7 (136.3) | 11.7 (102.0) |
| \(I/\sigma I\)       | 14.77 (1.90) | 17.27 (2.33) | 11.23 (2.21) | 12.41 (2.33) | 13.79 (2.06) |
| Completeness (%)     | 100.0 (99.9) | 99.7 (98.5) | 99.8 (98.6) | 99.9 (99.5) | 99.9 (99.6) |
| Redundancy           | 11.1 (11.0) | 9.9 (10.0) | 10.9 (10.2) | 10.0 (9.8) | 10.0 (9.6) |
| **Refinement**       |        |            |          |               |        |
| Resolution (Å)       | 46.9-2.15 (2.18-2.15) | 46.9-1.90 (1.92-1.90) | 46.6-1.95 (1.97-1.95) | 47.0-2.10 (2.13-2.10) | 46.9-1.99 (2.02-1.99) |
| No. reflections      | 64185 | 93428 | 86484 | 69989 | 80646 |
| \(R_{\text{work}} / R_{\text{free}}\) | 19.0 / 23.7 (30.3 / 32.6) | 16.2 / 20.2 (26.9 / 31.1) | 16.0 / 20.0 (26.2 / 28.0) | 16.7 / 21.5 (26.6 / 30.6) | 17.9 / 21.6 (31.9 / 38.0) |
| No. atoms            |        |            |          |               |        |
| Protein              | 8474 | 4374 | 8711 | 8692 | 8626 |
| DNA / Triphosphate   | 510 / - | 570 / 28 | 583 / - | 511 / 64 | 562 / - |
| Water                | 290 | 331 | 314 | 232 | 303 |
| B-factors            |        |            |          |               |        |
| Protein              | 44.9 | 35.1 | 42.0 | 48.8 | 44.0 |
| DNA (primer / template) | 39.5 / 40.8 | 37.2 / 41.2 | 38.6 / 45.6 | 41.4 / 41.2 | 40.5 / 43.3 |
| Triphosphate, active site | - | 22.8 | - | 48.7 | - |
| Water                | 39.2 | 40.1 | 42.2 | 45.3 | 43.5 |
| R.m.s. deviations    |        |            |          |               |        |
| Bond lengths (Å)     | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.003 |
| Bond angles (°)      | 0.758 | 1.102 | 1.077 | 1.144 | 0.770 |

* For each structure a single crystal was used for data collection. *Highest-resolution shell is shown in parentheses.
**Supplementary Table 2.** Proton chemical shift assignments (ppm) for the dNaM-d5SICS duplex at 25 °C.\(^a\)

| Nucleotide | H6/H8 | H5 | C1'H | C2'H | C2"H | C3'H | -CH₃ |
|------------|-------|----|------|------|------|------|------|
| C1         | 7.66  | 5.91| 5.78 | 2.05 | 2.46 | -\(^c\) | na\(^b\) |
| G2         | 7.99  | na  | 6.05 | 2.70 | 2.84 | 5.00 | na   |
| T3         | 7.31  | na  | 6.11 | 2.07 | 2.29 | 4.89 | 1.41 |
| T4         | 7.44  | na  | 6.09 | 2.17 | 2.60 | -    | 1.62 |
| T5         | 7.31  | na  | 5.94 | 2.08 | 2.56 | -    | 1.93 |
| C6         | 7.46  | 5.53| 5.69 | 2.31 | 2.51 | 4.84 | na   |
| 5SICS      | 7.36  | na  | 6.04 | 2.72 | 2.72 | -    | 1.57 |
| T8         | 7.75  | na  | 6.10 | 2.30 | 2.56 | -    | 1.73 |
| T9         | 7.45  | na  | 5.96 | 2.80 | 2.50 | -    | 1.62 |
| C10        | 7.63  | 5.70| 5.97 | 2.20 | 2.51 | -    | na   |
| T11        | 7.54  | na  | 6.12 | 2.21 | 2.52 | 4.89 | 1.72 |
| C12        | 7.69  | 5.87| 6.27 | 2.27 | 2.27 | 4.57 | na   |
| G13        | 7.85  | na  | 5.56 | 2.44 | 2.63 | 4.81 | na   |
| A14        | 8.15  | na  | 5.92 | 2.70 | 2.82 | 5.04 | na   |
| G15        | 7.63  | na  | 5.32 | 2.43 | 2.53 | 4.95 | na   |
| A16        | 7.88  | na  | 5.80 | 2.30 | 2.59 | 4.98 | na   |
| A17        | -     | na  | 5.94 | 2.31 | 1.93 | -    | na   |
| NaM        | 6.75  | na  | 5.09 | 2.17 | 2.17 | -    | na   |
| G19        | 7.79  | na  | 5.48 | 2.59 | 2.69 | 4.96 | na   |
| A20        | 8.08  | na  | 5.67 | 2.64 | 2.76 | 5.03 | na   |
| A21        | 8.08  | na  | 5.86 | 2.63 | 2.81 | 5.02 | na   |
| A22        | 8.07  | na  | 6.10 | 2.54 | 2.80 | 5.22 | na   |
| C23        | 7.17  | 5.22| 5.63 | 1.80 | 2.26 | -    | na   |
| G24        | 7.87  | na  | 6.14 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 4.97 | na   |

| 5SICS      | H\(^A\) | H\(^B\) | H\(^C\) | H\(^D\) | H\(^E\) | -CH₃ |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|
|            | 5.26    | 7.11    | 6.04    | 6.20    | 7.36    | 1.57 |

| NaM        | H\(^F\) | H\(^G\) | H\(^H\) | H\(^I\) | H\(^J\) | H\(^K\) | -OCH₃ |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
|            | 6.17    | 6.75    | 7.11    | 6.23    | 6.05    | 6.29    | 3.55  |

\(^a\)Chemical shifts are referenced to residual HOD resonance.

\(^b\)Not applicable.

\(^c\)Not assigned due to overlap.
**Supplementary Table 3.** Key NOEs defining the dNaM-d5SICS base pair

| d5SICS proton | dNaM proton | DNA proton |
|---------------|-------------|------------|
| H^B           | H^F         |            |
| H^B           | H^K         |            |
| H^B           | -OCH\_3     |            |
| H^C           | H^K         |            |
| H^D           |             | C6 C1'H    |
| H^D           |             | C6 H5      |
| H^D           |             | C6 H6      |
| H^E           |             | C6 C1'H    |
| H^E           |             | C6 H5      |
|               | H^F         | A17 C2'H/C2''H |
|               |             | C2'H/C2''H |
| -CH\_3        | H^F         | G19 H8     |
| -CH\_3        |             | H^G        |
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