The sub-cellular localization of *Sulfolobus* DNA replication

Tamzin Gristwood¹, Iain G. Duggin¹, Michaela Wagner², Sonja V. Albers² and Stephen D. Bell¹,*

¹Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3RE, UK and ²MPI für terrestrische Mikrobiologie, Karl-von-Frisch-Straße, D-35043 Marburg, Germany

ABSTRACT
Analyses of the DNA replication-associated proteins of hyperthermophilic archaea have yielded considerable insight into the structure and biochemical function of these evolutionarily conserved factors. However, little is known about the regulation and progression of DNA replication in the context of archaeal cells. In the current work, we describe the generation of strains of *Sulfolobus solfataricus* and *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* that allow the incorporation of nucleoside analogues during DNA replication. We employ this technology, in conjunction with immunolocalization analyses of replisomes, to investigate the sub-cellular localization of nascent DNA and replisomes. Our data reveal a peripheral localization of replisomes in the cell. Furthermore, while the two replication forks emerging from any one of the three replication origins in the *Sulfolobus* chromosome remain in close proximity, the three origin loci are separated.

INTRODUCTION
As in other archaea, the DNA replication machinery of members of the genus *Sulfolobus* resembles that of eukaryotes (1). In general, the archaeal machinery is a simplified version of that found in eukarya (2). This relationship between archaeal and eukaryotic replication-associated proteins extends across the replication process, from initiator proteins to factors involved in Okazaki fragment maturation. Furthermore, some archaea, including *Sulfolobus* species, possess multiple origins of replication per chromosome; a situation reminiscent of that in eukaryotes and clearly distinct from the single origin systems found in bacterial chromosomes (3–7). Thus, we, and others, have been studying *Sulfolobus* species as model organisms to investigate the fundamental, conserved processes of DNA replication.

Neutral–neutral 2D gel analyses coupled with marker frequency analysis (MFA) in synchronized *S. acidocaldarius* cells have revealed that all three replication origins fire in every cell and in every cell cycle (8). Two of the origins fire within a narrow temporal window in the 3-h cell cycle, at the onset of S-phase, while the third appears to have slightly more relaxed temporal constraints. Nevertheless, origin firing can be readily detected in some cells at all three origins within 2 min following the preceding cell division event, and by 30-min initiation of replication at all three origins has taken place in the majority of cells. Replication proceeds bi-directionally from all three origins and S-phase is completed by 90-min post-initiation (8). However, while 2D agarose gels and MFA have been of some utility in following replication fork progression, to date no other tools have been developed to accurately detect and map the progression of archaeal replication forks at the single cell level.

Numerous studies over the last few decades have taken advantage of the ability of thymidine salvage pathways to direct incorporation of radiolabelled thymidine or thymidine analogues into newly synthesized DNA (9,10). The key enzyme in this process is thymidine kinase (TK), which converts thymidine to thymidylate. Thymidylate then enters the cellular nucleotide pools. Importantly, TK can also direct the phosphorylation of halogenated analogues of thymidine such as 5-bromo-, 5-chloro- or 5-iodo-2-deoxyuridine (where the 5-methyl group of the thymine base is substituted by the halogen). Incorporation of the halogenated base into DNA can be visualized by detection with specific antisera. More recently, with the development of ‘click chemistry’ it has been possible to direct the incorporation of the synthetic base analogue 5-ethyl-2-deoxyuridine, EdU, into DNA via the TK-mediated salvage pathway (11). EdU has an
alkyne group in place of thymine’s 5-methyl group that, in a copper-catalysed manner, will react with an azide. Thus, incorporation of EdU into DNA can be monitored by conjugation to an azide-containing fluorophore. One potential advantage of EdU over halogenated (halo-dU) base incorporation lies in the ability of the click chemistry to be performed on intact duplex DNA. In contrast, the antibody-mediated detection of 5-halogen deoxyuridine is dependent on the prior denaturation of the DNA to expose single-stranded regions.

Here, we describe the development of strains of *Sulfolobus* that have the capacity to incorporate base analogues via the thymidine salvage pathway. Utilizing the ability to incorporate EdU, we apply this technology to examine the sub-cellular distribution of nascent DNA in *Sulfolobus*. These data, in conjunction with immunolocalization analyses of the distribution of the DNA sliding clamp PCNA, support a model in which there is no obligate spatial linkage of the replisomes emerging from the three replication origins in the cell, indicating that replication is not confined to a single replication cluster. However, there does appear to be a co-association of sister replisomes emerging from a given replication origin. Interestingly, we also detect a significant association of replisome foci with the cell periphery.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell growth, synchronization and flow cytometry**

*Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* MW001, a *pyrEF*− strain derived from *S. acidocaldarius* DSM639, and *S. solfataricus* PBL2025 were used as the parental strains (12,13). Unless otherwise stated, cells were grown at 75°C in Brock’s medium pH 3.2 containing 0.1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.2% (w/v) xylose and 0.025mg/ml uracil. Following transformations, MW001 cultures contained 0.1% NZ-amine as an alternative to tryptone. Following transformations, PBL2025 cultures contained 0.4% lactose without tryptone or xylose. When indicated, l-arabinose was added to 0.4% (w/v). When required, Brock’s medium was solidified with 0.7% Gelrite (GmbH).

SacTK cells were synchronized using the baby machine method as described previously (8) except cells were collected after 5-h elution. Cell synchronization was assessed by flow cytometry as described previously (8).

**Construction of plasmids for integration of TK into *S. acidocaldarius* and *S. solfataricus***

The *S. solfataricus* P2 *pyrEF* genes (SSO0615 and SSO0616) were amplified with primers EF5: CTACGT GTCTTAAATCTCACAAGGCC and TG1: TTAATGT TAGTTTATAAAGACCG. The resulting product was cloned into the SrfI site of pPCR-Script Amp SK(+) generating pEF. Fragments of ~1kb upstream and 1 kb downstream of the *S. acidocaldarius* *amyA* gene (SacI1162) were amplified using primers TG2: TTTGAATTCTGGT ATTATGTTCAAGACTCTG and TG3: CTGCAGCCT AGCAGCTTCTTCCATTCTCC, and TG4: GTCTTAGT CTAAGCTCGAGAAGGAGGTTCTCTGAAATAGC and TG5: GGGATCCATAAGAGAGCTACTG C, respectively. The resulting fragments were then joined by overlap PCR using primers TG2 and TG5, generating a fusion product containing a central PstI site. This product was cloned into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pEF to generate pEFamyA. Primers igd254: CCCCCGGGGGCTTATTTGTCGTCGTCGTCTTTGTAGTC and igd287: CCCCCAAAAGGCGGC CGGCGGGGGCCATGGCCATCACCAACACCGGTCCCG were used to amplify the *S. solfataricus* P2 tf55 promoter and the resulting product was inserted between the SacI and NotI sites in pC (14), generating pIDSB19. The open reading frame for TK (PAE2453) was amplified from *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* genomic DNA using primers igd244: GAGGTGAAATCCATGGG ACTGTGTGATTGTTGGG and igd245: GATCG CGGCGCGTATGTTGCTGCTGCTTGTAGTC TGAGGAATTACATAATAGCCT, which incorporated a FLAG-tag sequence at the C-terminus of the encoded protein (underlined). The fragment was inserted between the NeoI and NotI sites of pIDSB19, generating pIDSB20. Finally, the *P*_{tf55}-TK-FLAG product was amplified from pIDSB20 using primers TG6: GTTCTGCAGTAAAGCCATCAAGAAATCTGC and TG7: GTTCTGCAAGTTTGTTGCTGCTGCTT TTT and the resulting product cloned into the PstI site of pEFamyA to generate pTK3.

To produce vectors for integration into the *S. solfataricus* chromosome, a 5′-region of the *S. solfataricus* *amyA* gene (SSO1172) was amplified from *S. solfataricus* P2 genomic DNA using primers *amyA*Front-F: CCCCCGACCTAGCGATGCTGATGTCGTCGCC and *amyA*Front-R: CCCCCTCAGCTCGCATGGAA TGCAATTGTAGG. The PCR product was inserted into the KpnI and XhoI sites of pPCR-Script Amp SK(+) to generate pIDSB11. Similarly, a 3′-region of *amyA* was amplified with primers *amyA*Back-F: CCCCCGCGGAAC ATTTCCACTTAGTCTATCTACC and *amyA*Back-R: CCCCCAGCTCAATGTGCCCCCTCTTCATTAC, then cloned between the SacI and Clai sites of pIDSB11, resulting in pIDSB12 (which thus contains a deletion mutant of the *amyA* gene). The *lacS* marker from pET2268 (15) was cloned into the NcoI and NotI sites of pIDSB12, yielding pIDSB13. To place the TK gene under the control of the *S. solfataricus* arabinose-inducible *araS* promoter [P_{ara} (16)], the TK PCR product (primers igd244 and igd245, as shown above) was first cloned between the NeoI and NotI sites of pPRS_Sso0909 (17) so as to place TK-FLAG under the control of P_{ara}. The *P*_{ara}TK-FLAG fragment from the resulting plasmid (pIDSB5) was then sub-cloned with SacII and NotI into pIDSB13, yielding pIDSB16.

**Construction of strains SacTK and SsoTK**

*Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* MW001 was transformed as described previously (12) with pTK3, methylated as described in (14). Strains containing integrated plasmids were isolated on solid NZ-amine media, followed by liquid
NZ-amine media. Unmarked mutants were then isolated by second selection using solid NZ-amine media containing 0.25 mg/ml 5-fluoroorotic acid and 0.025 mg/ml uracil. PCR amplification across the amyA locus followed by DNA sequencing was used to confirm the TK-FLAG insertion. *S. solfataricus* PBL2025 was transformed as described previously (13), using DraIII-linearized pIDSB16 and with initial selection in lactose liquid media (18). Single colonies were isolated on solid media and the expected integration at the amyA locus was confirmed by PCR amplification across the amyA locus followed by DNA sequencing. Western blotting was also used to detect production of the TK-FLAG protein, using an anti-FLAG primary antibody (1:2000 dilution; Sigma, F3165) and anti-IgG (mouse) horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody followed by standard chemiluminescence detection.

Assessing bromodeoxyuridine/EdU incorporation into DNA

Fifty millilitre cultures, containing the indicated amount of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)/EdU, were inoculated with *Sulfolobus* to a starting OD of 0.05 and growth was monitored over time by measuring OD. *S. acidocaldarius* genomic DNA labelled with BrdU for 6 h was extracted using a Nucleospin Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel), digested with PstI, and 750 ng DNA was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. *Sulfolobus solfataricus* cells were labelled with BrdU for 12 h and then prepared for pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) using the agarose plug method described previously to give a cell density equivalent of A of 1 in each plug (8). The cells were lysed, the DNA was purified and then digested with EagI in the plug, as described (8). PFGE was carried out with 1% agarose (SeaKem Gold; FMC BioProducts) in 90 mM Tris-borate, 0.2 mM EDTA (pH 8.2) for 37 h at 5 V/cm at 14°C, with the switching time ramped linearly from 20 to 60 s with included angle of 120°. In both cases, after electrophoresis DNA was transferred to Protran nitrocellulose membrane in 0.5 M Tris–Cl, pH 7.0, 1.5 M NaCl after standard acid/base treatments of the gel. The membrane was blocked with a standard Tris-buffered saline (TBS), 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, 5% (w/v) skin milk powder solution and then probed using an anti-BrdU primary antibody (2.6 μg/ml; Abcam) and anti-IgG (sheep) HRP-coupled secondary antibody, followed by standard chemiluminescence detection. To detect EdU labelled *S. acidocaldarius* DNA, 450 ng genomic DNA was extracted using a Nucleospin Tissue Kit, digested with PstI, cleaned-up using a Nucleospin Extract II kit (Macherey-Nagel) and eluted in 25 μl water. The PstI digestion step was used simply to generate smaller DNA fragments for increased solubility and ease of handling. DNA was then incubated for 30 min with 20 μl Click-iT reaction mix (Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit, Invitrogen), prepared as described by the manufacturer before cleaning-up using a Nucleospin Extract II kit and eluting in 25 μl water. Ten microlitres of this processed DNA was spotted onto Protran nitrocellulose membrane and visualized using a FLA5000 fluorescence imager.

Fluorescence microscopy

Cells collected from the baby machine were fixed for microscopy as described in (17). Where indicated, prior to fixing, cell grow-out was performed at 75°C for the indicated time period, with or without addition of 200 μM EdU. Immunolocalization of PCNA1 was performed as described previously (17) using a 1:4000 dilution of anti-SSO0391 (S. solfataricus PCNA1) primary antibody, which cross-reacts specifically with the *S. acidocaldarius* PCNA1 protein. Coverslips were then incubated with Alexa 488-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody diluted 1:1000. When detecting EdU, this was performed using the Click-iT EdU Imaging Kit (Invitrogen), as per the manufacturer’s instructions. A Metamorph-driven Olympus BX-61 microscope was used to capture 30 image steps of 0.1 μm step size and processed using AutoQuant X to generate deconvolved images. The number of EdU/PCNA1 foci observed in 3D reconstructions was comparable to those observed using central 2D slices (20 cells were assessed), thus deconvolved 2D slices were used for foci counting. Cells in which a single EdU focus comprised more than one quarter of the cell area, or where the shape of the foci was irregular and the number of distinct foci could not be determined, were classified as fully labelled. Similar to the method described by Hediger et al. (19), in order to assess cellular localization of replication foci we first generated a template circle to encompass 100% of the cell area (using a central 2D slices). Based on this, we then generated an inner central circle of 50% area and determined whether EdU or PCNA1 foci were located in the inner or outer 50% of the cell area. A binomial test was performed using Excel to determine the probability of obtaining the observed number of foci in the outer 50% cell area if the localization was random i.e. if the probability of being in the outer 50% of the cell was 50%.

RESULTS

Construction of *Sulfolobus* strains capable of incorporating thymidine analogues

Genes for TK orthologues show a very restricted distribution in the archaeal domain of life. Of 97 fully sequenced archaeal genomes, belonging to the phyla Euryarchaeota, Crenarchaeota, Thaumarchaeota and Korarchaeota, TK superfamily members were found in only 16 species and those were restricted to subsets of the euryarchaeal orders *Thermoplasmales*, *Halobacterales* and *Thermococcales* and to three crenarchaeal species, all members of the *Thermoproteales*; *P. aerophilum*, *P. arsenaticus* and *Thermoproteus neutrophilus* (Supplementary Figure S1). Notably, the *Sulfolobales*, including *S. acidocaldarius* and *S. solfataricus* lack genes for TK. Previous studies in fungi, which also lack endogenous *tk* genes, have shown that introduction of heterologous *tk* genes can generate a thymidine salvage pathway in these organisms (20–22).

As *Sulfolobus* species are hyperthermophiles, growing at 80°C, we wished to identify a *tk* gene from a similarly
thermostable organism and to use it to attempt to confer thymidine salvage capability on *Sulfolobus* cells. Accordingly, we amplified the *tk* gene (Gene Locus PAE2453) from *P. aerophilum* (23) and cloned it downstream of the strong arabinose-inducible promoter (*P_{ara}*) or TF55 promoter (*P_{tf55a}*; expression in *S. solfataricus* or *S. acidocaldarius*, respectively (16,24). We then used homologous recombination to direct integration of the relevant cassette into the non-essential α-amylase genes of *S. solfataricus* PBL2025 and *S. acidocaldarius* MW001 (Figure 1A) (12,25). Integrants were confirmed by PCR amplification across the *amyA* locus followed by sequencing of the resultant product and TK expression was verified by western blotting, employing a FLAG tag incorporated at the C-terminus of the TK protein (Figure 1B and C). Next, *tk+* cells were incubated in the presence of various concentrations of BrdU and the effect on growth monitored. As can be seen in Figure 1D and E, the *tk+* cells show a dose-dependent inhibition of growth by BrdU. In contrast, the parental strains show no inhibition even at the highest concentration of BrdU tested.

The incorporation of BrdU into DNA was confirmed by Southern blotting of DNA recovered from cells incubated with BrdU, followed by detection with an anti-BrdU antibody. As can be seen in the right-hand panels of Figure 1D and E, incubation of *tk+* strains with BrdU resulted in a dose-dependent incorporation of BrdU into genomic DNA, whereas there was no detectable incorporation of BrdU in the parental strains.

**Visualization of labelled DNA in *Sulfolobus* cells**

We initially attempted to visualize BrdU incorporation using the available antisera against this moiety. However, the treatments required to generate the single-stranded DNA recognized by these antibodies appeared to grossly perturb the morphology of the

---

**Figure 1.** *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* and *S. solfataricus* strains expressing FLAG-tagged TK from *P. aerophilum* incorporate BrdU into genomic DNA. (A) The TK-FLAG gene, expressed from a *tf55a* promoter, was integrated into the *amyA* locus of *S. acidocaldarius* MW001 using a ‘pop-in pop-out’ approach. Double crossover homologous recombination was used to integrate the TK-FLAG gene, expressed from an arabinose-inducible promoter, into the *amyA* locus of *S. solfataricus* PBL2025. The *lacS* marker confers growth to PBL2025 in lactose minimal media. The regions flanking the *amyA* gene are labelled *amyA*-up and *amyA*-down. (B) Western blot analysis of whole cell extracts from exponential cultures of parental strains (MW001 or PBL2025) and TK-FLAG integration strains (SacTK and SsoTK) using an anti-FLAG antibody, and anti-TBP antibody as a control. *S. solfataricus* cultures were grown in the absence or presence of 0.4% L-arabinose (L-Ara). (C) PCR amplification across the *amyA* locus using genomic DNA template generated 4.8 and 2.7 kb products in the parental strains MW001 and PBL2025, respectively, and 3.2 and 4.1 kb products in the mutant strains SacTK and SsoTK, respectively, indicating replacement of *amyA* with the *tf55a*-TK-FLAG constructs. (D) MW001 (dashed lines) and SacTK (solid lines) cells were grown in medium containing the indicated concentrations of BrdU and growth was monitored by A_{595}. Genomic DNA was extracted from 6 h samples, digested with *PstI* and separated by electrophoresis. DNA was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with an anti-BrdU antibody. (E) PBL2025 (dashed lines) and SsoTK (solid lines) cells were grown in medium containing 0.4% L-Ara and the indicated concentrations of BrdU, and growth was monitored by A_{595}. Genomic DNA was extracted from 12 h samples, digested with *EagI* and separated by PFGE. DNA was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with an anti-BrdU antibody.
nucleoid, resulting in compaction and often resulting in disruption of cells (not shown). We therefore sought to utilize the EdU labelling technology, which allows visualization of the nucleotide analogue in the context of non-denatured double-stranded DNA. As can be seen in Figure 2A and B, cells expressing TK readily incorporated EdU and incubation with 100 μM EdU for 180 min resulted in >70% of cells showing strong labelling throughout the nucleoid (Figure 2B).

Assessing the impact of BrdU/EdU on cell-cycle progression

As growth of the tk+ cells was inhibited after 4-h growth (approximately one doubling time) in the presence of BrdU or EdU (Figures 1 and 2), we used flow cytometry to assess the impact of the nucleoside analogues on the cell cycle. As shown in Figure 3, addition of EdU did not significantly alter the cell-cycle profile of the parental strain, MW001 (top three panels). In contrast, treatment of SacTK with EdU or BrdU resulted in an increased S-phase population, indicating a slowed progression through S-phase or an S-phase arrest. This effect was not visible after 1-h incubation with BrdU/EdU (data not shown), but was clearly detected after 3 h, by which time there was an accumulation of S-phase cells (containing between 1n and 2n DNA content) (Figure 3). Strikingly, as EdU concentration was increased, the peak of accumulated cells occurred at lower fluorescence, indicating an increasingly early S-phase arrest (Figure 3). Following release from EdU-mediated cell-cycle arrest, SacTK cells retained a limited, dose-dependent capacity to resume progression through the cell cycle (Supplementary Figure S2).

At the highest concentration of EdU tested (1000 μM), there was no significant change in the cell-cycle profile of either MW001 or SacTK (Figure 3). Under these conditions, cell growth was previously shown to be severely inhibited in both MW001 and SacTK (Figure 2A). There is no evidence that EdU is incorporated into the DNA of MW001, suggesting that the growth impact at very high EdU concentrations may occur via a mechanism that is independent of DNA incorporation. It should be noted that, in our hands, the absolute concentration of EdU required to reach the threshold at which cell growth was completely arrested, and cell-cycle profile was unperturbed, was variable between experiments.
(1000–2000 μM), potentially due to differences between batches of EdU supplied by the manufacturer. Finally, BrdU addition resulted in a less marked effect on the cell cycle, with 1000 μM BrdU eliciting an approximately similar effect to 40 μM EdU (Figure 3).

**Visualization of DNA replication in synchronized Sulfolobus cells**

Next we sought to label a synchronized cell population taking advantage of the previously described ‘Baby Machine’ technique (8). This method generates an enriched G1 population of cells that then undergoes synchronous progression through S-phase and the later stages of the cell cycle. Synchronized populations were grown for 20 min with 200 μM EdU to allow uptake of the nucleoside analogue and its incorporation into nascent DNA. The localization of this newly incorporated alkynecontaining DNA was then monitored by *in situ* detection with an azide-containing fluorescent probe. We observed cells with either a single fluorescent focus or with two or three foci (Figure 4A and B). We only very rarely detected cells with four foci (0.4%; two cells out of 509 cells counted) and did not observe any cells with more than four foci (Figure 4C). Notably, in many instances the focus appeared to be at the periphery of the cell (Figure 4D). Indeed, quantitation revealed that 70% of the foci were fully contained in the outer 50% of the 2D image’s area, demonstrating a statistically significant localization to the outer region (n = 145; P = 7.2 × 10⁻⁷). This analysis is complicated by the small size (~1 μm) of Sulfolobus cells coupled with their coccoidal shape and lack of cellular reference points. We then carried out image deconvolution and 3D reconstruction with a Z-series of images; this revealed that some central foci on the 2D images were located on the top or bottom surface of the cell as viewed and thus were located at the cell periphery (see Supplementary Movies S1–S5).

**Localization of replisomes in synchronized Sulfolobus cells**

Next, we sought to visualize the location of replisomes within the cell. We accomplished this by using immunolocalization with anti-sera generated against the PCNA1 protein. PCNA is widely used as a marker for replisome position in eukaryotic cells, and biochemical and genetic experiments have demonstrated that this protein also functions in Sulfolobus DNA replication (26,27). Cells were synchronized in G1 with the baby machine and grown in the absence of EdU for 20, 40 or 60 min prior to harvesting and use in immunolocalization. As may be seen in Figure 5 and Supplementary Movies S6–S8, the majority of labelled cells contained one, two or three foci. From a total of 1192 cells counted, we observed 49 cells (4%) with four foci, three cells (0.25%) with five foci and one cell (0.08%) with six foci (Figure 5B and D). Many cells had peripheral localization of the PCNA foci; at each time-point, a statistically significant proportion of cells possessed foci in the peripheral 50% of the cell’s image area: 80.1% at 20 min (n = 216; P = 4.3 × 10⁻²⁰), 72.3% at 40 min (n = 202; P = 6.0 × 10⁻¹¹), 74.7% at 60 min (n = 178; P = 9.1 × 10⁻¹²). We also detected similar levels of peripheral localization when considering the total number of foci within the cell: 76.2% of foci in single-focus cells (n = 181; P = 2.8 × 10⁻¹³), 77.0% in two-focus cells (n = 230; P = 3.0 × 10⁻¹⁷), 76.0% in three-focus cells (n = 129; P = 9.4 × 10⁻¹⁰) and 69.6% in four-focus cells (n = 56;
In addition, we did not observe a consistent relative positioning of, or relative distance between, foci in multi-foci cells (Supplementary Figure S3).

Finally, we performed an EdU labelling experiment in which cells were synchronized and then grown in the absence of label for 15 min followed by a 10-min pulse with EdU prior to collection and processing for microscopy (Figure 5E). Under these conditions, where replication forks have the potential to separate prior to labelling, 28.4% of cells contained a single focus, 31.4% had two foci and 10.6% of cells had three foci. Only 1.6% of cells contained more than three foci.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we have described the application of nucleoside analogue-based DNA labelling technologies to perform the first examination of the localization of DNA replication within individual archaeal cells. In our engineered strains the artificial nucleosides BrdU and EdU were incorporated rapidly and quantitatively into genomic DNA of growing cells. The strains and plasmids developed here should have broader application, and they significantly expand the genetic toolbox for *Sulfolobus* research. For example, it will now be possible to perform chromosome combing experiments using synchronized pulse-labelled cells, or cells incubated with BrdU/EdU following DNA damage, to investigate the in vivo dynamics of DNA replication and repair on single chromosomes for the first time in archaea.

Extended growth of *Sulfolobus* in the presence of the artificial nucleosides resulted in obvious growth retardation after >4 h (approximately one doubling time), and flow cytometry indicated that, by 3 h, incorporation of BrdU/EdU into *S. acidocaldarius* DNA had resulted in slowed or arrested progression through S-phase in a significant fraction of cells. This dose-dependent effect was more pronounced in the presence of EdU than BrdU. These observations suggest that BrdU and EdU are

Figure 5. Localization of replisomes in synchronized *S. acidocaldarius* cells. SacTK cells were synchronized and then grown at 75°C for 20, 40 or 60 min before fixing. Images show DIC, DAPI staining of DNA (blue), antibody labelling of PCNA1 (red; images were obtained in the FITC channel and false coloured red) and merged images. (A) A representative image of cells and (B) representative images of cells scored as showing 1–6 PCNA1 foci, or fully labelled, are shown. (C) Cell synchronization was assessed using flow cytometry. (D) The number of PCNA1 foci detected was counted from cells fixed at the indicated time after release, and the percentage of cells in each category is shown. (E) Synchronized SacTK cells were grown at 75°C for 15 min and then labelled with 200 μM EdU for 10 min before fixing. The number of EdU foci detected was counted (500 cells total) and the percentage of cells in each category is shown.
incorporated efficiently during DNA replication, but cells later encounter difficulty in DNA replication with a BrdU- or EdU-containing DNA template. EdU has been shown to have similar effects on growth of fission yeast, where it was found to cause cell arrest via activation of the rad3-dependent checkpoint after one cell cycle (22). Toxicity of EdU has also been reported in mammalian cells (28). Therefore, while EdU is suitable for pulse-labelling DNA, it may not be suitable for studies requiring continuous long-term growth, and this should be considered when designing future experiments utilizing EdU in archaea or other systems. In our hands, BrdU is not suitable for Sulfolobus studies that require downstream microscopy as the conditions required to generate single-stranded DNA, essential for BrdU detection, grossly altered the structure of the nucleoid.

A previous study in our laboratory used MFA to assess origin firing within a population of synchronized S. acidocaldarius cells. That work revealed that all three origins fired in every cell in each cell cycle. MFA also indicated that two of the origins (oriC1 and oriC3) fire within a temporal window of ~20 min from the onset of S-phase, while the third (oriC2) appears to have slightly more relaxed temporal constraints, with the vast majority of cells having fired all three origins after 30 min from the beginning of S-phase (8). Those studies were performed on whole populations of cells and so the relative timing of firing of the three origins in a single cell was unknown. In the current study, pulse-labelling of G1-synchronized S. acidocaldarius cells with EdU for 20 min during initiation of replication resulted in cells containing one (27.3%), two (21.0%) or three (9.0%) foci. We interpret these foci as the locations of DNA synthesis arising from the three known replication origins in Sulfolobus. The number of cells classified as containing three foci may be slightly under-represented due to the difficulties in resolving multiple foci within the small Sulfolobus cells. In addition, although origin firing is detected in some cells immediately following collection of the synchronized population, it takes ~30 min for all three origins to have fired in every cell in the culture (8) which may contribute to an under-representation of cells containing three foci. Labelling of Sulfolobus cells for longer than 20 min resulted in essentially global labelling of the nucleoid.

Cells containing fewer than three EdU foci may represent cells in which two or more replication foci are co-localized, forming replication clusters reminiscent of those observed in eukaryotes. [In the following discussion we shall refer to paired sister replisomes emerging from a single replication origin as replication factories; higher-order associations of replication factories will be termed replication clusters (29)]. However, we did not observe a consistent pattern of labelling intensity; for example, cells containing two EdU foci did not consistently show one focus with double the intensity of the other, and we regularly saw cells in which the three foci were of different intensities. Therefore, although we do not rule out formation of some replication clusters, we believe that the variation in focus number is likely to represent a partial asynchrony of origin firing within the more general initiation stage of replication. Furthermore, our data strongly suggest that there is no requirement for all replisomes to be maintained in a single higher-order replication cluster. This conclusion is supported by our immunolocalization studies of the replisome component PCNA. In agreement with our EdU labelling studies, we observed cells in which variable numbers of replisomes were visible, supporting a model in which there is no obligate spatial linkage of the replisomes emerging from the three replication origins in the cell. Further, the peripheral bias in the localization of PCNA foci correlates with the position of EdU foci. The PCNA localization experiments were performed in the absence of EdU. This, therefore, indicates that, despite the growth effects of prolonged incubation with high concentrations of EdU, in this study EdU incorporation has not perturbed the localization of the Sulfolobus replisomes. This is consistent with a recent study in Escherichia coli in which origin localization as determined by EdU pulse labelling was identical to data obtained using live-cell imaging of fluorescently labelled replisomes (30).

When assessing the number of PCNA1 foci using immunolocalization, we observed a trend towards higher numbers of foci over time, in agreement with our previous data revealing that all three origins fire in each cell cycle. However, even after 60 min growth, we observed relatively few examples of >3 PCNA1 foci. This suggests that the two sister replication forks arising from initiation at a single origin may remain directly associated in a replication factory or within a confined region that makes them irresolvable by light microscopy. Furthermore, in G1-synchronized cells grown for 15 min into S-phase prior to EdU labelling we still observed the majority of cells with only three or fewer foci. Thus these data also suggest that sister replisomes do not rapidly segregate after initiation of replication in Sulfolobus. This contrasts with the situation in the model bacterium E. coli where the replisomes move around the chromosome independently in opposite cell halves, before converging near mid-cell where termination occurs (31). Thus, Sulfolobus appears more similar to budding yeast, in which sister replisomes remain associated during replication (32). Replication factories or close spatial positioning of replisomes have also been observed in the bacteria Bacillus subtilis and Caulobacter crescentus (24,27). In this regard, we note that an analysis of the genomes of seven distinct strains of Sulfolobus islandicus, revealed high levels of synteny between the genomes. However, one strain, Y.N.15.51 possesses a clear inversion of around 600 kbp symmetrically centered on oriC2 (33 and Supplementary Figure S4). The existence of such a symmetrically positioned inversion has been proposed to be indicative of recombination in the vicinity of paired replisomes derived from the central replication origin (34).

Finally, we observed that EdU and PCNA1 foci were preferentially located at the periphery of S. acidocaldarius cells and this localization was still evident 60 min after release from synchronization, when the replisomes are expected to have moved away from the origins of replication. In E. coli, oriC is located at mid-cell during initiation of replication, although specific proteins anchoring the
region at mid-cell have not been found (35). In contrast, in 
*Caulobacter crescentus*, which also acts as a model for 
bacterial DNA replication, the origin region is anchored to 
the cellular envelope at the cell pole via the PopZ and 
ParB proteins (36). In yeast, some correlation between 
nuclear localization and timing of origin firing has been 
observed, with late-firing origins preferentially localizing 
to the nuclear periphery. However, a variety of factors 
affecting chromatin structure appear to be involved, and 
sub-nuclear localization per se does not appear to 
determine replication timing (33). In light of our observations, 
it seems possible that components of the *Sulfolobus* 
replication apparatus may be tethered to the cell 
membrane and this is an area that will be explored in 
future studies. In this regard it may be significant that 
we have previously observed that perturbation of cell 
division results in mis-regulation of DNA replication in 
*Sulfolobus* cells (17).
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