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Alternative splicing and nonsense-mediated decay regulate telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) expression during virus-induced lymphomagenesis in vivo

Souheila Amor¹, Sylvie Remy¹, Ginette Dambrine¹,², Yves Le Vem²,³, Denis Rasschaert¹*, Sylvie Laurent¹,²

Abstract

Background: Telomerase activation, a critical step in cell immortalization and oncogenesis, is partly regulated by alternative splicing. In this study, we aimed to use the Marek’s disease virus (MDV) T-cell lymphoma model to evaluate TERT regulation by splicing during lymphomagenesis in vivo, from the start point to tumor establishment.

Results: We first screened cDNA libraries from the chicken MDV lymphoma-derived MSB-1 T-cell line, which we compared with B (DT40) and hepatocyte (LMH) cell lines. The chTERT splicing pattern was cell line-specific, despite similar high levels of telomerase activity. We identified 27 alternative transcripts of chicken TERT (chTERT). Five were in-frame alternative transcripts without in vitro telomerase activity in the presence of viral or chicken telomerase RNA (vTR or chTR), unlike the full-length transcript. Nineteen of the 22 transcripts with a premature termination codon (PTC) harbored a PTC more than 50 nucleotides upstream from the 3’ splice junction, and were therefore predicted targets for nonsense-mediated decay (NMD). The major PTC-containing alternatively spliced form identified in MSB1 (ie10) was targeted to the NMD pathway, as demonstrated by UPF1 silencing. We then studied three splicing events separately, and the balance between in-frame alternative splice variants (d5f and d10f) plus the NMD target i10ec and constitutively spliced chTERT transcripts during lymphomagenesis induced by MDV indicated that basal telomerase activity in normal T cells was associated with a high proportion of in-frame non-functional isoforms and a low proportion of constitutively spliced chTERT. Telomerase upregulation depended on an increase in active constitutively spliced chTERT levels and coincided with a switch in alternative splicing from an in-frame variant to NMD-targeted variants.

Conclusions: TERT regulation by splicing plays a key role in telomerase upregulation during lymphomagenesis, through the sophisticated control of constitutive and alternative splicing. Using the MDV T-cell lymphoma model, we identified a chTERT splice variant as a new NMD target.

Background

The telomeric enzyme complex, consisting of a telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) and an RNA template (TR), adds terminal telomeric repeats to the end of the chromosome, to maintain telomere length during cell proliferation [1,2]. Most normal somatic cells lack telomerase activity, whereas telomerase activation is observed in proliferating cells and cancer cells [3,4].

Telomerase activity is highly regulated in lymphocytes, being expressed only in activated lymphocytes [5]. In humans, the hTR transcript is constitutively produced, whereas the production of hTERT is highly regulated at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels [6,7]. Alternative splicing of the hTERT transcript plays a role in this regulation and 10 alternatively spliced sites have been identified in the hTERT gene [8]. Notably, the alpha isoform, corresponding to an in-frame deletion in the RT motif, appears to be a dominant inhibitor of telomerase activity [9,10].
Recent studies have demonstrated that alternative splicing is an important gene regulation mechanism, helping to increase the diversity of proteins by favoring the production of large numbers of isoforms with dominant positive or negative functions [11]. However, almost one third of all alternative transcripts harbor a premature termination codon (PTC) and most are thought to be degraded by the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway [12].

NMD occurs in all eukaryotic cells. This cell surveillance system detects and rapidly degrades aberrant mRNAs containing PTCs (for reviews, see [13,14]). Termination codons are generally considered premature if they occur more than 50 to 55 nucleotides upstream from a final splice site recognized by the exon junction complex (EJC). The EJC serves as a platform for the binding of UPF factors, which are considered to be the conserved core of the NMD machinery. UPF1 is an ATP-dependent RNA helicase and RNA-dependent ATPase activated by phosphorylation. It is a crucial element of the NMD machinery, because silencing of the upf1 gene results in the stabilization of PTC-containing mRNAs in all organisms in which NMD has been investigated. This surveillance mechanism not only eliminates abnormal transcripts, but also controls transcript levels through a global system known as RUST (regulated unproductive splicing and translation) [15,16]. Several recent studies have demonstrated that the role of alternative splicing in gene regulation has been largely underestimated and have shown that this process is involved in homeostatic regulation, pathogenesis [17] and, particularly, in cancers [18,19].

Gallid herpesvirus 2 (GaHV-2), also known as Marek’s Disease Virus (MDV), is an avian oncogenic alphaherpesvirus that induces T-cell lymphomas in chickens. These tumors develop within six weeks of infection in birds, making this model a unique asset for studies of the kinetics of disease induction and progression in a natural host system. This model has already proved valuable for studies of human lymphoma [20]. Indeed, Marek’s disease is the only naturally occurring model for human lymphomas involving the overexpression of CD30 [21]. GaHV-2 is also the only virus harboring a viral homolog of the telomerase RNA template (vTR), with a sequence 88% identical to that of the chicken telomerase RNA (chTERT). Functional analysis has shown that vTR can reconstitute telomerase activity by interacting with chicken TERT (chTERT) more efficiently than chTERT [22]. Furthermore, vTR expression increases during GaHV-2 lymphomagenesis and this increase is correlated with the upregulation of telomerase activity, which is not associated with an upregulation of chTERT transcription [23,24]. Telomerase is also activated by a number of human oncogenic viruses [25], but investigations in this case are obviously restricted to in vitro analyses of cell lines derived from tumors or transformed in vitro. The chicken telomerase has a number of key features in common with the human enzyme, in terms of the organization of the TERT and TR genes and the regulation of telomerase activity [26]. Furthermore, as for hTERT, many alternative variants of chTERT have been identified and are thought to play a role in the regulation of telomerase activity [26,27].

In this study, we investigated the alternative splicing of chTERT in the MDV lymphomagenesis model. We report the identification of 27 alternative transcripts of chicken TERT cloned during a comparison of three different chicken cell lines including MSB-1, a MDV tumor cell line. Five alternative transcripts were in-frame transcripts, whereas the other 22 were found to harbor a PTC. We then demonstrated that the in-frame transcripts generated proteins with no telomerase activity in vitro, whereas telomerase activity was detected for the products of constitutively spliced transcripts. The major PTC-containing variant found in the MDV cell line was shown to be a target of NMD in an upf1 silencing test. Finally, investigation of the splicing-mediated regulation of chTERT in vivo, in MDV-infected chickens, demonstrated that basal telomerase activity in normal T cells was associated with a high ratio of in-frame non-functional isoforms to functional constitutively spliced chTERT. During the upregulation of telomerase associated with lymphomagenesis, an increase in active constitutively spliced chTERT transcript levels was observed that coincided with a switch in alternative splicing from the in-frame type to the NMD type. These results suggest that the regulation of telomerase activity is partly dependent on the fine regulation of splicing of chTERT, regulating the abundance of functional chTERT mRNA.

Results

Complex profile of chTERT alternative transcripts

We first compared the pattern of alternative chTERT transcript production from the MDV T- MSB-1 cell line with that of two other cell lines: the DT40 B cell line, and the epithelial LMH cell line. Three cDNA libraries encompassing the T and RT regions of chTERT were generated (Figure 1). The ratio of constitutively to alternatively spliced TERT transcripts was the first major difference identified between cell lines. Constitutively spliced transcripts accounted for about half of all transcripts in both lymphoid cell lines (52.4% and 47.6% for MSB1 and DT40, respectively), but only 24.4% of transcripts in the LMH cell line. However, no significant differences in telomerase activity were observed between the three cell lines (telomerase activity of 152272 for MSB1, 165818 for...
DT40 and 151115 for LMH) and there was therefore no clear correlation between this ratio and telomerase activity. In addition to constitutively spliced chTERT, we identified 27 alternative transcripts generated through the five typical splicing events: exon skipping, inclusion of a new exon cassette, cases of intron retention, use of alternative splice donor sites and use of alternative splice acceptor sites. Most of the alternative transcripts were generated by one of the four frequent splicing events: i7ec, i10ec, d5f and d8f. Each cell line displayed a number of rare specific transcripts generated by a specific combination of the four major events or a combination of one or more of these events with a rare splicing event (Figure 1).

The LMH cell line displayed a preferential deletion of exons, (Figure 1). By contrast, lymphoid cell lines were characterized by the insertion of introns generated by exonization (inclusion of intronic sequences in constitutive transcripts), through the formation of exon cassettes or the retention of all or part of an intron (Figure 1). Nevertheless, we observed differences in the distribution of splicing events between MSB1 T cells and DT40 B cells. For instance, major differences were seen for one of the major splicing events, i10ec (alone or in association with other splicing events), which was more frequently detected in MSB-1 than in DT40 cells (28.3% versus 8.2%).

Most of the detected alternative transcripts (22/27) harbored premature termination codons (PTCs) (Figure 1).
1). Nineteen of these 22 transcripts harbored a PTC located more than 50 nucleotides upstream from the 3’ splice junction and were predicted to result in NMD-sensitive transcripts. Of the five remaining transcripts encoding in-frame isoforms of chTERT, only the i7ec transcript, corresponding to an inclusion of 12 amino acids (aa) between regions A and B of the protein, preserved the catalytic site of TERT, the four remaining transcripts involving the deletion of exon 5 (d5f), resulting in the deletion of amino acids from motifs 2 and A (Figure 1 and 2).

None of the in-frame isoforms of chTERT is functional

We assessed the telomerase activity of three reconstituted in-frame isoforms (Figure 2). Isoforms i7ec and d5f were identified as alternative transcripts (Figure 1) and isoform d10f was reconstructed for individual testing of the effect of the d10f splicing event, on which we subsequently focused specifically. We inserted the cDNAs of the isoforms downstream from the T7 promoter, for the assessment of telomerase activity in an in vitro assay [28]. Telomerase activity was measured in the presence of in vitro transcribed vTR or chTR. Consistent with published results [28], the full-length chTERT transcript reconstituted an efficient telomerase complex with both TRs with vTR that was 58% more efficient than chTR, whereas none of the in-frame spliced isoforms reconstituted telomerase activity. Thus, i7ec, despite the preservation of its RT motifs, displayed no telomerase activity and, as expected, in-frame isoforms with deletions in the RT domains were not able to reconstitute telomerase activity. As exon 5 (d5f) was deleted from all the four remaining in-frame isoforms other than i7ec, (Figure 1), we can conclude that all the in-frame isoforms are inactive. We then assessed the dominant negative function of these isoforms on telomerase activity when produced together with the active full-length chTERT (Figure 2C). All the isoforms acted as negative regulators, with inhibition levels of 74% for d5f to 58% for d10f when compared with the exclusive

Figure 2 chTERT in-frame isoforms are non-functional in the in vitro telomerase assay. (A) Schematic diagram of the 3 reconstituted in-frame isoforms of chTERT inserted into pcDNA under the control of the T7 promoter. Amino acids deleted or inserted in each isoform are indicated and those belonging to the RT motif are underlined. Plasmids were used to produce recombinant in vitro-translated proteins that were incubated in the presence of in vitro-transcribed vTR or chTR before the TRAP assay. (B) The histogram shows telomerase activity relative to the value obtained with vTR in the presence of the full-length constitutively spliced chTERT transcript arbitrary set at 100%. (C) Histograms show telomerase activities for a mixture (1:1) of in vitro-translated full-length chTERT and the indicated isoform in the presence of vTR or chTR. The values obtained with the mixture of full-length chTERT and isoform are expressed relative to the value for the full-length chTERT, arbitrarily set at 100%. The histogram shows the mean and standard deviation obtained from 3 biological analyses.
expression of full-length chTERT with vTR. No significant difference was seen between chTR and vTR, demonstrating that the inhibition mechanism did not depend on the nucleotide differences identified between vTR and chTR [28]. Thus, all the chTERT isoforms tested seemed to have dominant negative effects on telomerase activity, whether with chTR or vTR.

The i10ec variant undergoes NMD

The i10ec transcript was the most frequently represented transcript with predicted sensitivity to NMD in the MSB1 cell line. We therefore followed this transcript during lymphomagenesis. We evaluated its sensitivity to NMD by blocking the NMD pathway, using siRNA to target UPF1, the major NMD factor in the transfected LMH cell line. We chose to study LMH cells for technical reasons, essentially linked to transfection efficiency. Indeed MSB1 (or DT40) cells are lymphocytes and are difficult to transfect (2 to 5% transfection efficiency associated with high mortality rates of 70-90%). As i10ec was not detected in chTERT alternative transcripts of LMH (Figure 1), we first determined whether transcripts harboring the i10ec splice event and thus defined as variant i10ec were detectable by PCR on LMH cDNA followed by fragment capillary electrophoresis analysis assays (CEAA) (see additional file 1: comparison of cDNA library and fragment analysis). This more sensitive technique, focusing on the specific amplification, by PCR, of short fragments, led to detection of the i10ec variant at a frequency of 1 to 5% with respect to full-length chTERT, thus rendering silencing experiments feasible. We observed significant increases in relative i10ec levels of 1.6 and 1.7 when the NMD pathway was inhibited with 25 and 50 pmol of siRNA against UPF1, respectively, as shown by comparisons with a non-silenced sample used as a control and normalized to 1 (Figure 3A). The effective targeting of UPF1 by siRNA was also confirmed by monitoring UPF1 mRNA levels. UPF1 was strongly downregulated by 25 and 50 pmol of siRNA UPF1 (71 and 81%, respectively) (Figure 3B). These results demonstrate that the i10ec variant was efficiently detected and degraded by NMD.

The increase in telomerase activity during the lymphomagenesis induced by MDV is related to the upregulation of constitutively spliced chTERT at the expense of alternatively spliced in-frame isoforms

Finally, we investigated the contribution of chTERT alternative splicing to the regulation of telomerase activity during the course of the lymphomagenesis induced by MDV. As it is difficult to amplify cDNA from the relatively long chTERT mRNA fragment present at low abundance in PBLs, we developed two independent fragment capillary electrophoresis analysis assays for investigating the dynamics of chTERT splicing regulation in vivo. In our assay, we chose to monitor changes in the ratio of the constitutively spliced transcript to variants resulting from two major splicing events, d5f and i10ec, identified in the MSB1 cell line, currently used as the reference cell line in investigations of Marek’s disease

![Figure 3 Downregulation of the i10ec variant by NMD](image-url)

**Figure 3 Downregulation of the i10ec variant by NMD.** (A) Upf1 depletion increases levels of the chTERT splice variant i10ec. Levels of i10ec are expressed relative to total chTERT levels. Peak areas of i10ec were detectable by capillary electrophoresis analysis of the PCR products targeting exon 10, generated from cDNA from 1 well of P6 transfected with 25 pmol or 50 pmol of siUPF-1. The histogram shows the mean and standard deviation obtained from 4 biological analyses. All values are expressed as a fold difference with respect to “no silencing” (NS), for which the value was fixed at 1. (B) siUpf1 knockdown of Upf1. The histogram shows Upf1 mRNA levels, calculated by RT-PCR analysis. Upf1 levels in each sample were normalized with respect to GAPDH levels for the corresponding sample. The values obtained for “no silencing” (NS) LMH cells were set at 100%.
lymphoma and displaying some of the characteristics of lymphoma cells in vivo. Variant d5f encodes a non-functional isoform of chTERT and i10ec, generating a variant targeted by the NMD pathway. Furthermore, the assay detecting the i10ec variant also detected the d10f variant, which would also be expected to generate a non-functional isoform, as demonstrated by the in vitro telomerase assay (Figure 2). Our assays were first optimized with the CD4+ sorted MSB1 cell line. The results obtained in fragment capillary electrophoresis analysis assays of cDNA samples were similar to those obtained for library analysis, although the ratios differed very slightly (see additional file 1: comparison of cDNA library and fragment analysis). These results confirm that fragment analysis is an appropriate technique for studying spliced chTERT and is suitable for use with sorted chicken CD4+ T cells.

We first measured telomerase activity at five previously identified crucial time points (d0, d7, d14, d22 and d28) [24](Figure 4). The results obtained with CD4+ T cells were similar to those obtained with PBL: (i) basal telomerase activity was detected in CD4+ T cells from non infected chickens (67527), (ii) a slight induction of telomerase activity was first observed at 7 days (71750), corresponding to the phase of primary semi-productive infection (iii), this induction peaked at 14 days p.i. (107800), corresponding to the expected time of lymphoma onset and (iv) persisted until 28 days p.i. (135310). Capillary electrophoresis analysis of splicing variants 5 and 10 was then performed on cDNA extracted from the same CD4+ T-cell samples. Variants 5 and 10 had three major features in common: i) almost all the chTERT transcripts detected in CD4+ T cells from non infected chickens in tests for variants 5 and 10 were alternatively spliced (99.8% and 99.3% respectively), ii) in detection tests for both these variants, constitutively spliced transcripts became detectable from day 7 p.i., and their detection coincided with the increase in telomerase activity, iii) constitutively spliced transcript levels increased throughout infection (Figure 4).

However, the changes in splicing pattern differed between variants 5 and 10. For the d5f variant, we
observed a progressive increase in the levels of constitutively spliced transcripts from day 7 (2.3%), peaking at d22 (63.9%) (Figure 4A). By contrast, with variant 10 (encompassing both d10f and i10ec), the levels of constitutively spliced transcript increased considerably between d0 and d7 (from 0.7% to 66.4%) and remained high until day 28 (92.4%) (Figure 4B). Investigation of the ratio of d10f to i10ec showed a clear shift from d10f to i10ec at d7 (Figure 4C). Thus, at d0, the d10f variant, was, with d5f, almost the only alternatively spliced variant detectable. Then, beginning on d7, the proportion of the i10ec variant, which was predicted to be sensitive to NMD, increased strongly (>60%). These results indicate that (i) variants encoding in-frame non functional isoforms (d5f and d10f) predominate in uninfected CD4+ T cells and are associated with basal levels of telomerase activity (ii) the activation of telomerase is accompanied by an increase in the proportion of constitutively spliced transcripts and a decrease in the proportion of in-frame alternatively spliced transcripts, favoring the predicted NMD-sensitive transcript.

Discussion
The regulation of telomerase activity is a complex process involving several steps operating at both the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels. In recent years, TERT splicing has been extensively studied in many types of human cells, tissues and tumors and in other organisms, such as plants, nematodes and ciliates [29]. A number of different alternative transcripts have been described, but little is known about the cause of splicing variant production and the ways in which splicing variant levels are regulated [29]. This is particularly true for the regulation of splicing during the cell transformation process and the dynamics of this process in vivo. In this study, we carried out RT-PCR fragment analysis to study the dynamics of the ratio of the constitutively spliced productive form of chTERT to three alternatively spliced non productive forms – one NMD-sensitive transcript and two in-frame transcripts – in chicken CD4+ T cells, during a lymphomagenic process induced by MDV, an oncogenic herpes virus, in its natural host. In our model, lymphoma was observed on day 28 p.i. in 100% of infected chickens, facilitating analyses of the dynamics of gene regulation during oncogenesis in vivo [24]. Another major and unique advantage of our model is that we were able to control the start of tumorigenesis through the inoculation of chickens with the virus. Using this approach, we have previously shown that telomerase activation begins seven days after infection and peaks 22 days after infection, this time point being correlated with the first detection of the tumor in animals. Furthermore, telomerase activation and lymphomagenesis were correlated with upregulation of the vTR transcript, but no upregulation of the chTR and chTERT transcripts was seen [23,24]. In this study, we investigated telomerase regulation in more detail, by following the regulation of chTERT splicing in this model. Constitutively spliced transcript was almost undetectable at d0 in CD4+ T lymphocytes, but its levels gradually increased, peaking 22 days after infection, on the day of lymphoma onset. These data are the first to be obtained in vivo and are consistent with previous in vitro observations after the v-Rel transformation of spleen cells from chickens [27]. Moreover, the regulation of telomerase activity in human T lymphocytes seems to be similar, as full-length hTERT is undetectable in normal T cells and an increase in telomerase activity in activated T cells is associated with the induction of full-length hTERT mRNA production [30]. We also confirmed the results obtained for in vitro analyses of human tissues, with telomerase activation involving the induction, or a switch to production of the full-length hTERT mRNA, as shown in various tissues [31-34].

Studies on spliced hTERT transcripts have generally focused on two major isoforms of hTERT: alpha (in-frame isoform with a 36 bp deletion in motif A) and beta (isoform introducing a PTC in exon 10) [35]. The in-frame alpha isoform of hTERT has been shown to regulate telomerase activity negatively, acting as a dominant negative inhibitor [9,10]. We identified five in-frame isoforms of chTERT, all of which had modifications affecting the RT motifs of telomerase. We have shown that three reconstituted in-frame isoforms, i7ec, d5f and d10f, are inactive, being unable to reconstitute telomerase activity in vitro with either vTR or chTR. These isoforms also seem to act as negative regulators, as the association of the full-length chTERT transcript with an in-frame isoform decreased telomerase activity by a factor of 2 to 2.5 in the in vitro telomerase assay (Figure 2C). We can therefore hypothesize that the low basal levels of telomerase activity in normal T lymphocytes are controlled by in-frame non-functional isoforms, as these are almost the only isoforms detected (>99%). The basal telomerase activity observed at d0 (Figure 4) was associated with very low levels of constitutively spliced active chTERT transcripts (0.5%), consistent with a previous study [36] demonstrating that telomerase-positive cell lines contain only a few molecules of functional hTERT mRNA.

We also observed that telomerase activation associated with an increase in constitutively spliced transcript levels was accompanied by a switch in the profile of alternative transcripts from in-frame transcripts encoding non functional isoforms to NMD-sensitive transcripts. The ratio of the NMD-sensitive i10ec to the in-frame d10f increased markedly with the upregulation of
telomerase activity, from d7 onwards (Figure 4). The PTC of i10ec was located 74 nucleotides upstream from the last exon-exon junction, consistent with the definition of PTCs targeted by the NMD pathway. Nevertheless, the NMD sensitivity of the PTC-containing i10ec transcript was definitively confirmed by UPF1 silencing, which increased i10ec levels by a factor of up to 1.7 (Figure 3), consistent with previous reports of a increase in mRNA levels by a factor of 1.8 for PTC-containing COL alpha-2 [37] or H-ras [38]. The chTERT i10ec splice variant was thus identified as a new NMD target. These results confirm the hypothesis put forward by Chang H and Delany M. E. (2006) in a study identifying 19 chTERT spliced variants in different cell types, 16 of which (including i10ec) were predicted to have a PTC leading to degradation by the NMD pathway. The change in the ratio of d10f to i10ec strongly suggests that differential splicing in favor of the NMD-sensitive transcript may be regulated by splicing activators or repressors, leading to the overrepresentation of constitutively spliced transcripts with respect to in-frame negative regulator isoforms. Recent studies have indicated that NMD is a sophisticated tool in physiological autoregulatory gene expression [12]. Lewis et al. [15] suggested that the coupling of splicing and NMD results in RUST, the mechanism regulating the ratio of productive and unproductive spliced forms of many genes, as demonstrated for the splicing factor SC35 [39]. Figure 5 schematically represents a model accounting for our observations. In lymphocytes, in which the ratio of constitutively spliced and in-frame negative regulator isoforms of TERT must be tightly controlled to maintain basal telomerase activity, the ratio of NMD-sensitive transcripts may be a major sensor (Figure 5). Moreover, with telomerase upregulation, the switch to NMD-sensitive transcripts may contribute to downregulation of the in-frame isoform acting as a negative regulator (Figure 5). Global regulation of this type, involving both an in-frame isoform and an NMD-sensitive transcript, has been described for serum response factor (SRF) [40]. In this system, the abundance of SRF is reduced by RUST, but SRF gene expression is also regulated by an in-frame isoform of SRF repressing transcription of the SRF gene itself. In our model, this feedback mechanism not only makes use of the active transcript ratio, but is also based on the negative regulator ratio. Interestingly, this model has also been proposed for regulation of the abundance of SR protein [41].

**Conclusion**

Our findings strongly suggest that the regulation of TERT splicing plays a key role in the upregulation of telomerase activity in vivo, by controlling the proportion of active constitutively spliced transcripts with an
frame negative regulator and a third partner, an NMD-sensitive transcript. Regulation of the ratio of NMD-sensitive transcript to in-frame transcript encoding the negative regulator isoform also plays a key role in suppressing telomerase activity in normal cells, in which negative regulator isoforms predominate, whereas NMD-sensitive transcripts become predominant when telomerase is upregulated during oncogenesis.

Methods

Cell line culture and experimental assay in vivo
Three avian cell lines were used: GaHV-2 lymphoma-derived MSB-1 T cells [42] currently used as the reference cell line in Marek’s disease investigation, the B-cell line DT40 [43] and the LMH hepatocellular carcinoma cell line [44]. Six-week-old White Leghorn specified pathogen-free susceptible B13B13 chickens were infected with GaHV-2 RB-1B virus [45]. Blood was obtained from two birds at 7, 14 and 22 days post-infection (dpi) and from one bird at 28 dpi, as the other bird had already died. The first time point (0 dpi), corresponded to the pooling of 2 ml blood samples from each of the chickens, taken before infection. All experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with approved protocols for the use of animals in research.

Transient transfection with siRNA
Transient transfection with siRNA was carried out in six-well plates. LMH cells were transfected with 25 or 50 pmol of siRNA per well, in the presence of Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were harvested 48 hours later for RT-PCR analysis of UPF1, GAPDH and chTERT splicing variant i10ec. The siRNA targeting UPF1 (siUPF1) [GGUGGAAGAUGUAAUUAUU] was designed and purchased from Eurogentec.

Flow cytometry analysis and cell sorting
Peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) were isolated from blood [46] and immunolabeled for the sorting of CD4+ T cells. The CD4 antigen was detected by incubation with the monoclonal antibody (Ab) CD4-UNLB, 8210-01 (Southern Biotechnology), followed by goat antimouse Ig conjugated to R-phycocerythrin (PE) (Jackson Immunoresearch). The cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry and PE-labeled cells were sorted for RNA and protein extraction, with a MoFlo™ apparatus (Beckmann Coulter, Fort Collins, USA) and a high-speed cell sorter. The sorting speed was around 35,000 cells per second.

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification
Total RNA was extracted from 2 × 10^6 cells, with the RNAbale Kit (Eurobio). The cDNA was synthesized with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), using oligo (dT) priming (Eurogentec), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RT region of the chTERT gene, and gapdh and upf1 genes were amplified by PCR in Ready Master Mix 1.1X (Abgene), using three pairs of primers: TERT-e3 with TERT-e16 (Table 1, Figure 1A), GAPDHs (GCCTCTCTGGCAAAAGTCCAAG) with GAPDHR (CCACACATACCTCAGCCTG) designed from the Gallus gallus gapdh sequence (NCBI genebank number M11213.1) and UPF1s (GGGCT CCGAGTTCGAGTTCA) with UPF1r (CAGAATGC CATCCGGTCCAT) from the predicted sequence of the Gallus gallus upf1 gene (NCBI reference sequence NC_006115.2). The PCR products for UPF1 and GAPDH were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Adobe Photoshop 7.0 software (Adobe Systems, USA) was used to calculate the signal intensities for UPF1 relative to the GAPDH loading control.

Generation and screening of cell-line cDNA libraries
PCR products corresponding to the chTERT RT region were inserted into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) and positive clones were randomly selected after screening, for the presence of the insert by PCR. Individual selected clones were then screened for the type of alternative transcript, by running PCR with a panel of primer pairs (Table 1) covering each of the exons (4 to 15) of the chTERT cDNA. For the validation of exon PCR screening, 13 representative clones were also completely sequenced (MWG Biotech). Sequences were analyzed with DNASTAR sequence analysis software (Lasergene).

PCR fragment analysis of chTERT splicing variants 5 and 10
The ratios of constitutively to alternatively spliced transcripts from cell lines and sorted CD4+ T cells were determined by fluorescent fragment analysis [23,24]. Nested-PCR was performed on the first PCR amplification product of the chTERT RT region, in Ready Master Mix 1.1X (Abgene), with specific tetrachlorofluorescein phosphoramidite-labeled forward primers and unlabeled reverse primers specific for exon 5 or 10 (Table 1). Amplification products were analyzed with an automated ABI Prism 310 fragment analyzer (Perkin Elmer Life Sciences) and the ratio of spliced transcripts was determined as a percentage of the peak area for constitutively (C) versus alternatively (A) spliced transcripts. Each assay was performed at least three times.

Reconstitution in vitro of the telomerase complex
The three cDNAs for alternative transcripts of chTERT – d5f, d10f, and i10ec – were inserted into pcDNA 3 and the telomerase complex was reconstituted [28]. We incubated 1 μg of vTR or chTR RNA transcribed in
vitro with T7 polymerase, and 2 μl of alternatively spliced and full-length chTERT transcripts expressed in the TNT system (Promega). We assessed the inhibition of telomerase activity by the isoforms with 2 μl of in vitro-translated full-length isoform and 2 μl of in vitro-translated isoform. Aliquots of ribonucleoprotein assembly products were used for the assessment of telomerase activity in the telomere repeat amplification protocol (TRAP) assay [28].

Telomere repeat amplification protocol (TRAP) assay
The telomerase activity of sorted CD4+ T cells from chicken in in vivo infection assays and of in vitro reconstituted complexes was quantified as previously described [28,24]. Briefly, telomerase activity was quantified with 500 ng of protein extracted from CD4+T cells [24] or 0.3 μl of in vitro ribonucleoprotein assembly products [28]. PCR was carried out with the 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine-labeled forward primer TS (5'-AATCCGTGCAGCAGAGTT-3') and CX-extend (5'-CCCTAACCCTAACCCTAACT-3') as the reverse primer. An internal amplification standard (ITAS) was added to the PCR mixture in quantitative TRAP assays or was used independently as a PCR control. PCR products were analyzed by capillary electrophoresis (ABI Prism 310; PerKinElmer Life Sciences). The telomerase activity of each protein extract was estimated by adding together the integrated values for each telomerase elongation product of at least 60 bp.

Table 1 Primers used in the study

| Oligonucleotide | Localization | Sequence | Screening |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| tert e3-M331s   | e3 (2210-39) | CTGTTCCTGCTATAGAACATTGTTACCGTG chTERT cDNA |
| tert e3M331-s   | e3 (2260-80) | CTATACGTCTGATGGAATCC exon 4 |
| M139s           | e4 (2480-500) | CATCAAGGCTCCTTGCCATTC exon 5 |
| M141s           | e5 (2680-700) | GGAGAGATGATATCAGCCGGAGATC exon 6 |
| M142r           | e5 (2700-680) | CTTGATGATATCATGCTCTC exon 4 |
| M143s           | e6 (2815-35) | GTGGAAAGTATATACACCGTG exon 7 |
| M144r           | e6 (2835-15) | GACCTGATATACACTTCCCa exon 5 |
| M145s           | e7 (2974-92) | GTGTGCACGCCTTGACAGA exon 8 |
| M146r           | e7 (2992-74) | TCTCTGGAACCTTGCCACAC exon 6 |
| M147s           | e8 (3040-60) | AATGAGAACACGTCCTCCACCTG exon 9 |
| M148r           | e8 (3060-40) | CAGGGTGAAGCTGGTCAATT exon 7 |
| M149s           | e9 (3172-92) | AGCTTATGCTACGAGCGAGT exon 10 |
| M150r           | e9 (3192-72) | CATGCTCCTCCGCTACATGCTC exon 8 |
| M151s           | e10 (3258-79) | GCTGGTATCGACCACATTTAAGT exon 11 |
| M152r           | e10 (3279-58) | GCTTAATGCGTGCCAACCGC exon 9 |
| M153s           | e11 (3373-93) | GAATGATACCCCCCGGATGC exon 12 |
| M154s           | e11 (3393-73) | GGAACACCTCCTGGATACATC exon 10 |
| M155s           | e12 (3556-78) | TGCAAAATGACTGACCCCTCC exon 13 |
| rM156r          | e12 (3576-58) | GAGAGACTGCAGTCAATGC exon 11 |
| M157s           | e13 (3618-42) | CAGGCTTGGAGAGCTTAATTACAC exon 14 |
| M158r           | e13 (3692-18) | GTTAAATGACCTCCTGAGCGCCTC exon 12 |
| M159s           | e14 (3707-47) | CACGTCTCCCTCCTTCTGAGGATC exon 15 |
| M160r           | e14 (3747-27) | GATCTTGGAGAAATACAGCGC exon 13 |
| M162r           | e15 (3870-50) | ATGGTGAGCAGCCATTTGC exon 14 |
| M163r           | e16 (3996-76) | CACCGTTTCAAGCAGTCCATC exon 15 |
| tert e16-M316-r | e16 (4041-07) | TTAGCTTGGACTATGTTITGAAATCTTGACAGC exon 15 |
| TET-tert e4     | e4 (2554-78) | TET-CAGAAACTCAAGGAAAGCAGACG exon 5 |
| tert e6         | e6 (2874-55) | CACACCTTTTTCATAGACAG exon 5 |
| TET-tert e9     | e9 (3170-92) | TET-GAGCTTATGCTACGAGGACATG exon 10 |
| tert e11        | e11 (3361-42) | TCAACACGTCTCTTGCC exon 10 |

1 name of the primer with TET labeling indication,
2 localization in the chTERT cDNA sequence AY626231 (NCBI n°)
3 written in the 5'-3' orientation
Additional material

Additional file 1: Comparison of estimates of the levels of splicing variants 5 and 10 by cDNA cloning and fragment electronophoresis. Proportions of constitutively spliced chTERT transcript (in blue) and alternatively spliced variants d10f (in green), 10ec (in orange) and dsf (in green). The proportions correspond to the peak area obtained by capillary electronophoresis analysis (CEAA) of PCR targeting variant 10 (A) or 5 (B), performed on cDNA extracted from LMH or MSB1 cells (3 biological analyses) or obtained from cDNA analysis by estimation of the percentage of all alternative transcripts harboring splicing event 5 or 10 (Figure 1).

Abbreviations

TERT: telomerase reverse transcriptase; TR: telomerase RNA; NMD: nonsense-mediated decay; PTC: premature termination codon; TRAP: telomerase repeat amplification protocol
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