Newtonian cosmology with a quantum bounce
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Abstract
It has been known for some time that the cosmological Friedmann equation deduced from general relativity can also be obtained within the Newtonian framework under certain assumptions. We use this result together with quantum corrections to the Newtonian potentials to derive a set of quantum corrected Friedmann equations. We examine the behavior of the solutions of these modified cosmological equations paying special attention to the sign of the quantum corrections. We find different quantum effects crucially depending on this sign. One such a solution displays a qualitative resemblance to other quantum models like Loop quantum gravity or non-commutative geometry.

1 Introduction
It must have come as a surprise to the physics community when McCrea and Milne \cite{1} derived the cosmological Friedmann equations known from general relativity from Newtonian mechanics assuming the existence of expansion. The interest in this derivation has persisted over years \cite{2–10} paying attention to refine the Newtonian setup and conclusions. In this paper we go one step further and put forward the question of what kind of modified Friedmann equations would emerge if we include quantum corrections to the Newtonian potential which, of course, is one of the main ingredients in the Newtonian derivation of the Friedmann equations. Such corrections have been known for some time \cite{11–25}. As we will show below, it is straightforward to repeat the McCrea–Milne derivation including these quantum corrections and to arrive at the modified Friedmann equations with terms proportional to $\hbar$. Depending on the sign of the quantum corrections (and also on the equation of state) different quantum effects emerge with one of them resembling qualitatively those of other quantum models. This similarity consists in the behavior of the scale factor $R$ describing a universe which has been contracting in the past, reaching a minimal value of $R$ and expanding again after the bounce. For the other sign of the quantum effect, the behavior is qualitatively different as the universe spontaneously appears at $R_{\text{min}}$ close to the Planck length and starts expanding from this point. The primary expansion is accelerated, reminding us of inflation. We do not claim that our modified Friedmann equations give necessarily the correct description of a quantum universe, but it is certainly worthwhile to consider them. For one they give the right Friedmann equation when no quantum corrections are included and as such could contain the right clues and hindsights when we include the latter. Second, we think it is timely to venture one step more in the area of Newtonian cosmologies.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give a brief account of the derivation of the standard Friedmann equation within the Newtonian framework. Next we introduce the quantum corrections and derive the modified Friedmann equations. In Sect. 4 we study the behavior of these new cosmological equations varying the sign of the quantum corrections and choosing different equations of state.

2 Friedmann equations from Newtonian dynamics
There exist different derivations of the cosmological Friedmann equation from the Newtonian dynamics \cite{1–10} and although conceptually such derivations differ \cite{3,10}, in the end they all arrive at the same Friedmann equations. We therefore take here the simplest and original point of view which starts by taking into account the expansion of the universe. This is done by writing

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = HR \quad (1)$$
where \( H \) is the Hubble parameter and \( R \) is a measure of distance. The next step considers the total energy for an object (say, a galaxy) of mass \( m \), which reads
\[
E = \frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{dR}{dr} \right)^2 - \frac{GMm}{R}.
\]
Writing the mass inside the sphere of radius \( R \) as \( M = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \rho \) where \( \rho \) is the density of the universe, Eq. (2) takes the form
\[
\frac{2E}{mR^2} = H^2 - \frac{8}{3} \pi G \rho.
\]
Since \( E \) and \( m \) are constants we define \( k \equiv \frac{2E}{m} \) and obtain the first Friedmann equation
\[
H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{k^2}{R^2}. \tag{4}
\]
To get the second Friedmann equation the argument goes as follows: when the volume \( V \) of the universe expands by \( dV \), the pressure does work equal to \( prdV \), which decreases the energy in \( V \) by that amount. Using energy–mass equivalence one obtains
\[
d \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \right) = -pd \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \right). \tag{5}
\]
On the other hand this is the well-known conservation law which can be cast in the convenient form
\[
R \frac{d\rho}{dr} + 3(\rho + p) \frac{dR}{dr} = 0. \tag{6}
\]
If we write the first Friedmann equation as
\[
\left( \frac{dR}{dr} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho R^2 - kR(t)^2. \tag{7}
\]
By taking a derivative of this equation and replacing \( R \frac{d\rho}{dr} \) from the conservation equation one arrives at the second Friedmann equation
\[
\frac{d^2R}{dr^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3p) R. \tag{8}
\]
From our point of view the crucial ingredient is how the Newtonian potential enters the derivation. Quantum corrections to the latter are known and it makes some sense to try to rederive the cosmological equations by taking this correction into account.

### 3 Quantum corrected Friedmann equations

Several authors have obtained \( \hbar \) corrections to the Newtonian potential by taking gravity as an effective theory and performing one-loop graviton calculations [11–28].
\[
\phi(r) = -\frac{GM_1 M_2}{r} \left[ 1 - \gamma_{q} \frac{G\hbar}{r^2c^3} \right]. \tag{9}
\]

| Year | References | \( \gamma_{q} \) |
|------|-------------|------------------|
| 1994 | [11]        | \( \frac{127}{309} \) |
| 1995 | [12]        | \( \frac{123}{309} \) |
| 1995 | [13]        | \( -\frac{17}{209} \) |
| 1998 | [14]        | \( \frac{107}{165} \) |
| 2002 | [15]        | \( -\frac{121}{199} \) |
| 2003 | [16]        | \( -\frac{41}{199} \) |
| 2003 | [17]        | \( -\frac{167}{209} \) |
| 2007 | [18]        | \( -\frac{41}{199} \) |
| 2007 | [19]        | \( \frac{107}{165} \) |
| 2002 | [20]        | \( \frac{122}{199} \) |
| 2012 | [21]        | \( -\frac{41}{199} \) |
| 2015 | [22]        | \( -\frac{41}{199} \) |

Sometimes the results of the quantum corrected Newtonian potential is given in a different form,
\[
\Phi(r) = -\frac{GM_1 M_2}{r} \left[ 1 + \lambda \frac{G(M_1 + M_2)}{r^2c^2} - \tilde{\gamma} \frac{G\hbar}{r^2c^3} + \cdots \right]. \tag{10}
\]
where \( \lambda \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \) are parameters which take different values depending on the author(s). Partly, we can attribute the reason for these discrepancies to the precise coordinate definition used in the calculation [27]. The question about the ambiguity of this potential due to the lack of clarity on the coordinates has also been risen in some related articles [16,27,29]. It is argued that a redefinition \( r \to r' = r(1 + aGM/r) \) would change the parameter \( \lambda \) without affecting the observables. The general consensus is that we can write the corrected potential as given in Eq. (9). The aforementioned re-parametrization freedom still cannot account for all the discrepancies of the different \( \gamma_{q} \)'s found in the literature. A number of errors have been identified [11,16], but it is not clear if this accounts for all the different values available. It is therefore fair to list some of the results (see Table 1). In the table we have collected the different values for \( \gamma_{q} \), which also vary in sign (we will see that the sign plays the most important role in the cosmology derived from these corrections).

Having established the quantum correction we can proceed as before. The total energy receives a new contribution due to the quantum correction in the Newtonian potential, i.e.,
\[
E = \frac{1}{2} m \left( \frac{dR}{dr} \right)^2 - \frac{GMm}{R} + \gamma_{q} \frac{G^2\hbar Mm}{R^3c^3}. \tag{11}
\]
Introducing again the density $\rho$ and the Planck length $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{Gh}{c^3}}$ the above equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{2E}{mR^2} = \frac{1}{R^2} \left( \frac{dR}{dt} \right)^2 - \frac{8}{3} \pi G \rho + \frac{8}{3} \pi G \rho \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R^2}. \quad (12)$$

With the help of (1) the first Friedmann equation with an $h$-correction can be given as

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R^2} - \frac{k}{R^2}. \quad (13)$$

The second corrected Friedmann equation follows from the fact that the conservation law (6) remains unchanged. We can proceed as before to obtain

$$d^2 R \over dt^2 = - \frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3p)R + 4\pi G l_p^2 \gamma_q (\rho + p) \over R. \quad (14)$$

We consider Eqs. (13) and (14) as the quantum corrected Friedmann equations derived within the framework of Newtonian mechanics. We will show below that they imply a quantum bounce or in other words the initial singularity at $R = 0$ is avoided.

For the sake of comparison with other models and a better understanding of similarities and differences between the standard Friedmann equations and Eqs. (13) and (14) we can re-cast the latter in different forms. By re-introducing the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ and taking an initially flat universe with $k = 0$. We have then

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda}{3} - \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R^2}. \quad (15)$$

We note that it is sufficient to put $h \to 0$ to recover the Friedmann equations from general relativity. Making use of the standard definitions $\rho_{\text{crit}}(t) = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G}$ and $\rho_{\text{vac}} = \frac{2\rho_{\text{crit}}}{3l_p^2}$ the first Friedmann equation (with the cosmological constant and the $h$ corrections) is simply

$$1 = \Omega_m \left( 1 - \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R^2} \right) + \Omega_\Lambda. \quad (16)$$

We could also define a new $\rho_{\text{crit}}$, namely

$$\rho_{\text{crit}} = \frac{\rho_{\text{crit}}(t)}{1 - \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R^2}} \simeq \rho_{\text{crit}} \left( 1 + \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R^2} \right), \quad (17)$$

as well as $\dot{\Omega}_m = \rho_{\text{crit}} \rho_{\text{vac}}$. Then we simply have

$$1 = \dot{\Omega}_m + \Omega_\Lambda. \quad (18)$$

If we assume the equation of state of radiation the conservation law gives us

$$\left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{a^2} \quad (19)$$

with $a = R/R_0$. Then it is easy to see that the first Friedmann equation becomes

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \beta^2 \frac{R_0^2}{R^2} \right) = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \beta^2 \frac{1}{a^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{8\pi G}{2} \rho \left( 1 - \beta' \rho \over \rho_0 \right)^{1/2} \quad (20)$$

with $\beta' = \gamma_q l_p^2 / R_0^2$. In the case of positive $\gamma_q$ (positive $\beta'$) it would make sense to introduce a critical density

$$\dot{\rho}_{\text{cr}} = \frac{\rho_0}{(\beta')^2} = \rho_0 \frac{R_0^4}{\gamma_q l_p} \quad \text{constant}, \quad (21)$$

such that $H = 0$ when $\rho = \dot{\rho}_{\text{cr}}$. Although we will make a detailed comparison with other models at the end of the paper we notice already here that in loop quantum gravity the expression is similar, i.e.,

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{crit}}(LQG)} \right). \quad (22)$$

This does not imply that there is quantum bounce only if $\gamma_q$ is positive. Indeed, in the next section by solving explicitly the Friedmann equations that even in the case of $\gamma_q < 0$ the universe has no singularity at $R = 0$. Different scenarios are possible, mostly depending on the sign of $\gamma_q$ and the equation of state.

Some mathematical features of classical and quantum universes are common. In the following steps we will briefly discuss two solutions of the standard Friedmann equations without quantum corrections. First let us consider a toy universe with $\Lambda = 0$, $\gamma_q = 0$ and $k = 0$ filled with radiation. It is an easy exercise to show that the solution to the Friedmann equations for $a = R/R_0$ reads $(a^2 - 1)/2 = \pm \tau \equiv \sqrt{8\pi G \rho_0 / 3(t - t_0)}$. The two branches correspond to

$$a_+ = \sqrt{2 \tau + 1}, \quad \tau > -1/2$$
$$a_- = \sqrt{1 - 2 \tau}, \quad 1/2 > \tau \quad (23)$$

with $a_+(0) = a_-(0)$. The branch $a_-$ is decreasing whereas $a_+$ is growing in time (see Fig. 1). It would be incorrect to try to avoid the singularity by gluing the two branches at $\tau = 0$ discarding the rest. This would lead to an ambiguity in the solution as we would have four possible solutions. This tells us that we can only glue the two branches if we arrive at a unique smooth solution. Second, we take the radiation case with $\Lambda = 0$, $\gamma_q = 0$ and $k = 1$. Due to $H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{k}{R^2}$ the Hubble constant can be zero, but this corresponds to a local maximum as we will see. Indeed, the solutions are

$$a_-(\tau) = \sqrt{- \frac{3}{8\pi G \rho_0} \frac{1}{R_0^2} \tau^2 - 2\tau \sqrt{R_0^4 - \frac{3}{8\pi G \rho_0} R_0^2 + 1}}$$
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Cosmological solutions for the scale parameter $a$ from the standard Friedmann equations. See the text for more explanation.

$$a_+(\tau) = \sqrt{- \frac{3}{8\pi G \rho_0 R_0^2} \frac{\tau^2}{R_0^2} + 2 \frac{2 \pi}{2} \sqrt{R_0^4 - \frac{3}{8\pi G \rho_0} R_0^2 + 1}},$$

(24)

which we plotted in Fig. 1. There is a restriction on $R_0$ in form $R_0 \geq \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi G \rho_0}}$ and on $R$ given us the position of the maximum of $a$. The latter is $R \leq R_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \rho_0}{3\rho_0}} R_0^2$ making the Hubble parameter vanish. In the case of quantum universes as derived in this paper we will see that $H = 0$ will either indicate a local minimum or an absolute minimum.

4 Newtonian quantum universes

To find the effect of the new term proportional $\bar{h}$ in the Friedmann equations we start from the energy conservation equation and use first an equation of state (EOS) of the form

$$p = (\gamma - 1)\rho$$

(25)

where $\gamma$ is not to be confused with $\gamma_q$. We can solve for $\rho$ in terms of $R$, namely, the standard solution is

$$\rho(R) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{3\gamma} = \rho_0 a^{-3\gamma},$$

(26)

such that $\rho(R_0) = \rho_0$. Inserting this into the first Friedmann equation with $k = 0$, i.e.,

$$\left( \frac{dR}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho(R) R^2 - \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho(R) \beta$$

(27)

with $\beta = l_p^2 \gamma_q$, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{dR}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0 \frac{R_0^{3\gamma}}{R^3} \left[ R^2 - \beta \right].$$

(28)

In the integral form this reads

$$t - t_0 = \pm \frac{1}{R_0^{3\gamma/2}} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3\rho_0}} \int_{R_0}^{R} \frac{R^{3\gamma/2}}{\sqrt{R^2 - \beta}} dR.$$  

(29)

The behavior of the solution depends strongly on the sign of $\beta$ (which is the same as the sign of $\gamma_q$) and on the equation of state ($\gamma$). It therefore makes sense to discuss the different cases separately.

4.1 Case $\beta < 0$

4.1.1 Radiation ($\gamma = 4/3$)

In this case the solution can be given in terms of standard functions, namely

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}(t - t_0)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{R_0} \sqrt{R^2 + |\beta|}$$

$$\mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\beta|}{R_0} \ln \left[ R + \sqrt{R^2 + |\beta|} \right] + D$$

(30)

where $D$ takes care of the initial value $R(t_0) = R_0$. After implementing the initial value we obtain

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0 (t - t_0)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{a^2 + \beta'} - \sqrt{1 + \beta'} \right]$$

$$\mp \frac{1}{2} \beta' \ln \left[ \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 + \beta'}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \beta'}} \right].$$

(31)
Figure 2 shows the solutions according to Eq. (31). To the right and left of the straight line \( \tau = 0 \) we have the different branches due to the \( \pm \) signs in (31). As long as \( |\beta'| < 1 \) we get always a non-singular universe: the expanding universe starts at a nonzero value \( R_{\min} < R_0 \) (below the line \( a = 1 \)) determined by the single-valuedness of \( a \). The mirror universe in such a case is a contracting one ending at the same \( R_{\min} \). This is shown in Fig. 2 for \( \beta' = -0.6 \). For \( |\beta'| > 1 \) we obtain a singular universe starting at \( R = 0 \) and ending at some \( R_{\max} > R_0 \) following one of the signs (the other sign gave the mirror collapsing universe starting at \( R_{\max} \) and contracting to zero. The critical point seems to be \( \beta' = -1 \). If we choose the solution according to one sign and the single-valuedness of the solution we would end up with two expanding universes, one starting from zero and expanding up to \( R_0 \), the other starting from \( R_0 \) and expanding up to infinity (a similar picture emerges for the collapsing branch). However, these two curves merge smoothly at \( R_0 \) and therefore we can construct a unique forever expanding universe starting at zero (and similarly the mirror image).

We conclude that for negative \( \beta' \) with \( |\beta'| < 1 \) the quantum effect is that the universe starts at a finite value of \( R_0 \). In Sect. 5 we solve these equations by including the cosmological constant. The next section is devoted to the comparison with other quantum models. In the last section we draw our conclusions.

4.1.2 Dust (\( \gamma = 1 \))

The integral to solve is now

\[
[8\pi G/3] \rho_0 (t - t_0) = a = R/R_0
\]

which can be rewritten in terms of \( a = R/R_0 \) as

\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}} = \int_0^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}} - \int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}}
\]

Both integrals on the r.h.s. of the above expression can be computed by means of 239.00 in [30] and we find that

\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}} = \int_0^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}} - \int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}}
\]

At this point, it is convenient to split the integral above as

\[
\int_0^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}} = \int_0^{|\beta'|} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}} + \int_0^{1/|\beta'|} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 + |\beta'|^2)}}
\]

where \( F(\varphi, k) \) denotes the elliptic integral of the first kind with amplitude and modulus represented by \( \varphi \) and \( k \), respectively. Finally, we obtain

\[
I(a) = \frac{2}{3} \left[ \sqrt{a(a^2 + |\beta'|)} - \sqrt{1 + |\beta'|} \right] - \frac{|\beta'|^{3/4}}{3} \left[ F\left( \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{|\beta'|^{1/2} - a}{|\beta'|^{1/2} + a} \right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] - \frac{|\beta'|^{3/4}}{3} \left[ F\left( \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{|\beta'|^{1/2} - 1}{|\beta'|^{1/2} + 1} \right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] - \frac{|\beta'|^{3/4}}{3} \left[ F\left( \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{|\beta'|^{1/2} - a}{|\beta'|^{1/2} + a} \right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] - \frac{|\beta'|^{3/4}}{3} \left[ F\left( \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{|\beta'|^{1/2} - 1}{|\beta'|^{1/2} + 1} \right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right]
\]

The results are plotted in Fig. 3. Following one branch, i.e. one sign, we conclude that all universe are singular as they start at zero (or end at zero). We conclude that in order to get a non-singular universe in the case of negative \( \beta' \) the equation of state plays a crucial role. Needless to say that at the beginning of the universe a relativistic equation of state is preferred.
4.2 Case $\beta > 0$

4.2.1 Radiation ($\gamma = 4/3$)

The solution is now given by

$$
\sqrt{8\pi G \rho_0(t - t_0)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} R_0 \sqrt{R^2 - \beta} \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{R}{R_0} \ln \left[ R + \sqrt{R^2 - \beta} \right] + C
$$

(39)

where $C$ is a constant. In terms of $a = R/R_0$ and implementing the initial value explicitly it reads

$$
\tau = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0(t - t_0)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{a^2 - \beta'} - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \beta' \ln \left[ a + \sqrt{a^2 - \beta'} \right].
$$

(40)

We see that in general the case with $\gamma q > 0$ imposes a certain limit upon the value of $R$, namely $R^2 \geq R_{\min}^2 \equiv \beta$ or, equivalently, $a^2 \geq \beta'$. This is clearly reflected in Fig. 4 where we have plotted the solutions.

Since according to (40) we obtain a solution if $\beta' \leq 1$ we note that all universes start (or end) at $R_{\min}$ as expected as long as $\beta'$ is smaller than one. In the case that $\beta' = 1$ is the position of the local minimum. This minimum joins the two branches with different signs smoothly and gives a unique solution. This universe is then different from the others as it “comes” from infinity, reaches a minimum and expands again.

4.2.2 Dust ($\gamma = 1$)

We end up with the computation of the following integral:

$$
\tau = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0(t - t_0)} = \pm I(a),
$$

(41)

If we apply 230.1 form [30] to $I(a)$, we find that

$$
I(a) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 2\sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta') - 2\sqrt{1 - \beta'}} + \beta' \int_{1}^{a} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} \right].
$$

(42)

Since the integrand is real, we must require that $0 < \beta' \leq 1$.

It is convenient to rewrite the integral above as follows:

$$
\int_{1}^{a} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \int_{\sqrt{\beta'}}^{\sqrt{1 - \beta'}} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} - \int_{\sqrt{1 - \beta'}}^{1} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}}.
$$

(43)

The integrals appearing on the r.h.s. of the above expression can be evaluated by means of 237.00 in [30] and we obtain

$$
\int_{1}^{a} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \sqrt{2} (\beta')^{1/4} \left[ F \left( \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{a - \sqrt{\beta'}}{\alpha}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) - F \left( \sin^{-1} \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{\beta'}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right].
$$

(44)
The results are presented in Fig. 5. In the case of positive \( \beta \) (i.e., Friedmann equations as in Fig. 4), but for dust. See the text for more explanation.

The integral \( I(\alpha) \) can be computed to be

\[
I(\alpha) = \frac{2}{3} \left( \sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')} - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} (\beta')^{3/4} \\
\times \left[ F \left( \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{a - \sqrt{\beta'}}{a}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) \\
- F \left( \sin^{-1} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\beta'}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) \right].
\]

(45)

The results are presented in Fig. 5. In the case of positive \( \beta' \) there is no much difference if we change the equation of state. Therefore, the interpretations are similar to the radiation case and \( \beta' = 1 \) is again a special case.

In passing, we make a remark on the curvature scalar. The general theory of relativity is defined by the Einstein equations. Our derivation of the modified Friedmann equation lies outside these equations, but we can still interpret \( a(t) \) derived from the Newtonian scheme as a model for \( a(t) \) appearing in the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker–Lemaître (FRWL) metric

\[
ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right].
\]

(46)

The latter is a metric based on symmetries as homogeneity and isotropy. In such a case, we are also allowed to calculate the curvature scalar \( \mathcal{R} \). Alone from the FRWL metric one obtains [31]

\[
\mathcal{R} = 12 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 \right).
\]

(47)

Given our modified Friedmann equations for \( k = 0 \) and \( \Lambda = 0 \) for the radiation case \((\rho(a) = \rho_0 a^{-4})\),

\[
\left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G \rho_0}{3 a^4} - \frac{8\pi G \rho_0 l_p^2 \gamma_q}{3 a^4 R_0^2 a^2},
\]

(48)

\[
\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{8\pi G \rho_0}{3 a^4} + \frac{16\pi G \rho_0 l_p^2 \gamma_q}{3 a^4 R_0^2 a^2},
\]

(49)

the Ricci scalar takes the simple form

\[
\mathcal{R} = 16\pi G \frac{\rho_0 \beta'}{a^6}.
\]

(50)

where \( \beta' = \frac{l_p^2 \gamma_q}{R_0^2} \). As long as \( a \neq 0 \) we do not have an initial singularity. We know that the case that reproduces a smooth bounce is the one in which we have \( \beta > 0 \) (\( \beta' > 0 \) and thus \( \gamma_q > 0 \)) and this particular case has a bound for the value of \( \beta' \), namely \( \beta' \leq 1 \), thus we have an upper bound on the curvature given by

\[
R \leq 16\pi G \frac{\rho_0}{a^6}.
\]

(51)

An upper bound expression for the curvature is also found in loop quantum cosmology [32] where the curvature can never be larger than \( 31/l_p^2 \).

5 Cosmological perturbations

The question how to handle cosmological perturbations with the Newtonian (or related) scheme is an important one. Indeed, it has been addressed in a number of papers [33–38] without invoking the \( h \)-correction present in our Friedmann equations.

The adequate theory of cosmological perturbations consistent with general relativity requires a set up which goes beyond the Newtonian approach presented in this paper even in the case \( h \to 0 \). This is to say, the derivation of the Friedmann equation does not proceed as we have outlined it in the beginning of our paper, but is based on a set of three equations [39]. The first one is a version of the standard Poisson equation for the gravitational potential, the second one a version of the continuity equation for the mass density and supplemented by an equation of motion (Euler equation). In the above we used the phrase “version” since several different schemes seem to co-exist (see [38] for a brief review). What we could call the purely Newtonian approach starts with

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} - \nabla \phi
\]

(52)

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho
\]
and upon setting \( \nabla p = 0 \) (justified by homogeneity and isotropy) leads to the Friedmann equations for matter as known from general relativity. However, the perturbation theory does not agree with general relativity [34,40]. For this reason a Neo-Newtonian approach has been attempted which is also not unique. The Neo-Newtonian type I approach is based on the following equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [(\rho + p)\mathbf{v}] = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho + p} - \nabla \phi
\]  

(53)

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G (\rho + 3P),
\]

which again, given the fact that \( \nabla p = 0 \) give rise to the same standard Friedmann equations with \( k = 0 \) as well as the continuity equation. When perturbation theory is applied with this scheme the results agree with corresponding ones in general relativity only if we restrict ourselves to the large scale regime [36]. A better prediction is provided by the Neo-Newtonian theory of type II based on a slightly different set of equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) + p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho + p} - \nabla \phi
\]  

(54)

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G (\rho + 3P),
\]

which, given our argument for the choice of \( \nabla p = 0 \), just yields the classical Friedmann and continuity equations for \( k = 0 \). When perturbation theory is applied to the type II Neo-Newtonian approach, the results are consistent with general relativity under the condition of adiabatic pressure perturbations and a constant equation of state parameter [37]. Finally, the Hwang–Noh approach starting from [33]

\[
\dot{\rho} + \nabla \left[ \left( \rho + \frac{P}{c^2} \right) \mathbf{v} \right] = \frac{2}{c^2} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla p
\]

\[
\dot{\mathbf{v}} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla \phi - \frac{1}{\rho + \frac{P}{c^2}} \left( \nabla p + \frac{\dot{\rho}}{c^2} \right)
\]  

(55)

\[
\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho
\]

leads to the standard continuity equation and a modified Friedmann equation of the form

\[
\dot{H} + H^2 = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{1}{\rho + \frac{P}{c^2}} H \dot{\rho}.
\]  

(56)

There exists also a modified Hwang–Noh [36] approach whose Friedmann equation reads

\[
\dot{H} + H^2 = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} \rho (1 + 3\omega) - \frac{H \dot{\rho}}{\rho c^2 + P}.
\]  

(57)

The results of perturbation theory in the Hwang–Noh schemes match the general relativistic perturbations in the small scale limit [36].

Having briefly outlined the state of art of the cosmological perturbations within a Newtonian or Neo-Newtonian scheme the question arises which one is suitable when we switch on the \( \hbar \)-corrections. Moreover, it is a priori not obvious how we could implement these quantum corrections within the Neo-Newtonian theory. Since we are talking here about corrections we suspect that the outcome of the analysis of the perturbations will be similar to the results obtained without the quantum corrections. But a detailed analysis which is beyond the scope of the present paper would be in order.

### 6 The case with a cosmological constant

It is of some interest to treat the full Friedmann equation with the quantum corrections and spatial flatness. Including the cosmological constant \( \Lambda \), the Friedmann equations read

\[
H^2 = \frac{8}{3} \pi G \rho + \frac{1}{3} \Lambda - \frac{8}{3} \pi G \rho l_p^2 \gamma_0 R^2
\]

\[
\frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3p) R + \frac{1}{3} \Lambda R + 4\pi G l_p^2 \gamma_0 (\rho + p) R.
\]  

(58)

We will solve this case perturbatively.

#### 6.1 Radiation (\( \gamma = 4/3 \))

The integral to be solved in the radiation case and nonzero positive cosmological constant is

\[
t - t_0 = \pm \frac{1}{R_0^2} \int_{R_0}^R \frac{R^2dR}{\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0(R^2 - \beta)} + \frac{1}{3} \Lambda \frac{R^6}{R_0^6}}
\]  

(59)

which we can also rewrite this in terms of \( a(t) \) and \( \rho_{\text{vac}} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} \) as follows:

\[
\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0 (t - t_0)} = \pm I(a), \quad I(a) = \int_1^a d\tau f(\tau),
\]

\[
f(\tau) = \frac{\tau^2}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta + \epsilon \tau^6}}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{\rho_{\text{vac}}}{\rho_0}.
\]  

(60)

Since \( \epsilon \ll 1 \), the integrand appearing in \( I(a) \) can be expanded in powers of the small parameter \( \epsilon \). Hence, we have

\[
f(\tau) = \frac{\tau^2}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta}} - \frac{\tau^8}{2(\tau^2 - \beta)^{3/2}} \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).
\]  

(61)
Taking into account that

\[ F_0(a) = \int_1^a \frac{\tau^2}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta}} \, d\tau = \frac{\beta}{2} \ln \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2 - \beta}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta}} \]

and

\[ F_1(a) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^a \frac{\tau^8}{(\tau^2 - \beta)^{3/2}} \left( 35 \beta^3 + \frac{\beta}{8} \right) \ln \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \beta}}{a + \sqrt{a^2 - \beta}} \div \frac{a}{4\sqrt{a^2 - \beta} - \beta} \times \frac{a}{\beta} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1 - \beta} - \beta} \left( \frac{35}{8} \beta^3 - \frac{35}{24} (\beta')^2 - \frac{7}{12} \beta' - \frac{1}{3} \right), \]

we find that \( a(t) \) is given at the first order in \( \epsilon \) by the following expression:

\[ \tau = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}} \rho_0(t - t_0) = \pm [F_0(a) + F_1(a)\epsilon] + O(\epsilon^2). \]  

### 7.2 Dust (\( \gamma = 1 \))

In this case the integral to be solved has the form

\[ \int \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_0(t - t_0) = \pm S(a), \quad S(a) = \int_1^a d\tau g(\tau), \]

where

\[ g(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau^3}{\tau^2 - \beta} - \frac{\tau^5}{2(\tau^2 - \beta)} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^3}{\tau^2 - \beta}} \epsilon} = \frac{\rho_{vac}}{\rho_0}. \]

Expanding the integrand in powers of the small parameter \( \epsilon \) yields

\[ g(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\tau^3}{\tau^2 - \beta}} - \frac{\tau^5}{2(\tau^2 - \beta)} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^3}{\tau^2 - \beta}} \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2). \]

First of all, observe that

\[ \int_1^a d\tau \sqrt{\frac{\tau^3}{\tau^2 - \beta}} = I(a) \]

with \( I(a) \) given by (A.9). Let

\[ G(a) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^a d\tau \frac{\tau^5}{(\tau^2 - \beta)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau^3}{\tau^2 - \beta}} \]

and

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^a d\tau \frac{\tau^7}{(\tau^2 - \beta)^{3/2} \sqrt{(\tau^2 - \beta)}} \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^a d\tau \frac{\tau^7}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta}} \left[ \tau^5 + \beta' \tau^3 + (\beta')^2 \tau + \frac{(\beta')^3}{2(\tau - \sqrt{\beta})} + \frac{(\beta')^3}{2(\tau + \sqrt{\beta})} \right]. \]

Then the solution can be written as

\[ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}} \rho_0(t - t_0) = \pm [I(a) + G(a)\epsilon] + O(\epsilon^2). \]

The way to compute \( G \) is long and we give all the details in the appendix.

### 7.3 Comparison with other models

#### 7.1 Loop quantum gravity and Friedmann equations

For this section we will follow closely Refs. [41,42]. Let us consider the mini-superspace approach to classical general relativity for the \( k = 0 \) case. After defining appropriate Ashtekar variables, \( c \) and \( p \), which inherit the Poisson bracket given by \( \{c, p\} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \beta B_{11} (\beta B_{11}) \) is the Barbero–Immirzi parameter and \( G \) is Newton’s constant, the gravitational Hamiltonian constraint acquires the usual form

\[ \mathcal{H}_G = -\frac{6}{\beta_{11}^2} c^2 \sqrt{|p|}. \]  

(70)

The contribution for a massless and free scalar field with a Hamiltonian constraint given by

\[ \mathcal{H}_\phi = 8\pi G \frac{p^2_{\phi}}{|p|^{3/2}}. \]  

(71)

Therefore, defining the Hubble parameter as \( H = \dot{p}/(2p) \) and the matter density for the scalar field as \( \rho = p^2_{\phi}/(2|p|^{3}) \), we get the total Hamiltonian constraint as \( 16\pi G (\mathcal{H}_G + \mathcal{H}_\phi) \), from which the usual Friedmann equation,

\[ H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho, \]  

(72)

which predicts the usual big-bang singularity (the volume of the universe goes to zero at \( t = 0 \)) can be recovered.

To proceed with quantization we have to promote \( \mathcal{H}_G \) to a quantum operator. The impossibility lies in the fact that there is not quantum operator associated to \( c \). The usual way to circumvent this problem is called polymerization (see the [42] and references therein for technical details on the procedure).

\[ a^2 = p \quad \text{and} \quad c = \dot{a}. \]

\[ 1 \quad \text{The value} \beta_{11} \approx 0.2375, \quad \text{as suggested by black hole physics, will be considered along the rest of the manuscript.} \]
The important point is that the equations of motion derived from certain $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}$, given by $\dot{p} = \{\rho, \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}\}$, can be expressed as a modified Friedmann equation, in the form

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_C^{(\text{LQG})}}\right), \quad (73)$$

where the critical density is given by

$$\rho_C^{(\text{LQG})} = \frac{3}{8\pi G\beta_1^2\mu_0^2} \approx 0.41 \rho_p.$$ \quad (74)

The key point is that, in essence, the modified Friedmann equation leads to a non-singular evolution. Moreover, $\dot{a}$ vanishes at $\rho_{\text{crit}}$ and the universe bounces. In the limit $\mu_0 \to 0$, which corresponds to $G\hbar \to 0$, the critical density becomes infinity and the classical singularity appears.

At this point some comments are in order. First of all, let us recall that the $\hbar$-corrections to the Friedmann equation for the $k = 0$ case can be written as

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \left(1 - \beta_1 q_1 a^2\right). \quad (75)$$

Therefore, some similarities and differences with the LQG-corrected Friedmann equation, given by Eq. (73), are present. For positive $\gamma_q$, as commented before, a constant critical density can be obtained within our model considering radiation, although in this case the Friedmann equation is not completely similar to the LQG case. But qualitative similarities persist. For negative $\gamma_q$ given the different sign which appears in Eq. (75) compared to that of Eq. (73), an immediate comparison between both predictions for the critical density is not evident. Nevertheless we find a quantum effect for negative $\gamma_q$ as the expanding universe starts at a finite nonzero value for $R$. The crucial sign of $\gamma_q$ will get also reflected in comparison with models other than loop quantum gravity.

7.2 The generalized uncertainty principle.

Snyder-deformed algebra and Friedmann equations

As we have briefly commented, quantum corrections to the Friedmann equation can be implemented by considering Planck-scale modifications to the Hamiltonian constraint, which lies at the heart of LQG. However, a different approach can be considered. What is the effect, if there is any, of deforming the usual Poisson brackets structure instead of deforming the Hamiltonian constraint.

Without introducing Ashtekar variables, interestingly, the generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) provides a theoretical framework where this deformation appears and a consequence of the existence of a minimum length [43].

The starting point is the formulation of ordinary canonical dynamics in FRW geometries. This dynamics is summarized in the scalar constraint

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{2\pi G}{3}\rho a^2 - \frac{3}{8\pi G} a k + a^3 \rho = 0. \quad (76)$$

Isotropy makes $\{a, \rho_a\} = 1$ the only non-vanishing Poisson bracket.

The equations of motion (in particular the Hubble equation) are easily deduced from the scalar constraint equation (76) and from $\dot{a} = \{a, \mathcal{H}_E\}$ and $\dot{\rho}_a = \{\rho_a, \mathcal{H}_E\}$, where the extended Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_E \approx \frac{2\pi G}{3} N\rho_a^2 + \frac{3}{8\pi G} N a k - N a^3 \rho = 0 + \lambda \Pi. \quad (77)$$

Here, $N = N(t)$ is the lapse function, $\lambda$ is a Lagrange multiplier and $\Pi$ is the momenta conjugate to $N$.

In the GUP framework, up to the first order in the deformation parameter, $\alpha$, the new Poisson bracket is $\{a, \rho_a\} = 1 - 2a\rho_a$. Using the new Hamilton’s equations and again Eq. (76), the GUP-corrected Hubble equation acquires the form [44]

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{k}{a^2}\right) \times \left[1 - 2\alpha a^2 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi G}} \left(\rho - \frac{3}{8\pi G} k a^2\right)^{1/2}\right]. \quad (78)$$

In particular, for the flat case ($k = 0$), the modified Hubble equation reads

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \left(1 - 2\alpha a^2 \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi G}} \rho^{1/2}\right). \quad (79)$$

At this point, it is important to recall that GUP gives place to a minimum length which, is case, and taking $\alpha > 0$, is associated with the scale factor, $a(t)$. Therefore the critical density given by

$$\rho_c = \frac{2\pi G}{12\alpha^2 a^4} \quad (80)$$

remains finite (this situation is reminiscent of the appearance of a remnant mass in the GUP case for $\alpha > 0$).

At this point it is interesting to consider some specific models for matter in Eq. (79).

- radiation ($\gamma = 4/3$): In this case, Eq. (79) reads $H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \left(1 - 2\alpha \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi G}} \rho_0^{1/2}\right)$ and the critical density is given by $\rho_{\text{crit}}^{\text{GUP}} = \pi G/6\alpha^2$.

- dust ($\gamma = 1$): In this case, Eq. (79) reads $H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho \left(1 - 2\alpha \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi G}} \rho_0^{1/2} \rho^{-1/6}\right)$ and the critical density is given by $\rho_{\text{crit}}^{\text{GUP}} = \left(\frac{3}{2\pi G}\right)^\frac{1}{3} (2\alpha)^\frac{1}{6} \rho_0^{1/3}$. A similar result can be obtained by invoking Snyder’s non-commutative space, which gives place also to a deformed Heisenberg algebra. In particular, the authors of Ref. [45],
after replacing the usual Poissonian structure between $a$ and $\rho_0$ by $[a, \rho_0] = \sqrt{1 - \alpha \rho a^2}$, obtained the following modified Friedmann equation:

$$H^2 = \left( \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{k}{a^2} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi G} a^2 \left( a^2 \rho - \frac{3}{8\pi G} k \right) \right].$$

(81)

Again considering the flat case the authors deduce

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - a^4 \frac{\alpha \rho}{\rho_c} \right),$$

(82)

where $\rho_c = \frac{2\pi G}{3\alpha} \rho_p$. In this last step it is also assumed, as a consequence of the deformed algebra, the existence of a minimum length. Let us note again that $\alpha > 0$ is necessary to smooth out the singularity.

After considering Eq. (81) for radiation and dust matter, we obtain

- radiation ($\gamma = 4/3$): $H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi G} \rho_0 \right)$ and $\rho_{\text{crit}}^{\text{Sny}} = 2\pi G / 3\alpha$.
- dust ($\gamma = 1$): $H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left( 1 - \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi G} \rho_0^{4/3} \rho^{-1/3} \right)$ and $\rho_{\text{crit}}^{\text{Sny}} = \frac{3\alpha}{2\pi G} \rho_0^{4/3}$.

Apart from comparing the critical density of our model, both in the dust and radiation cases, with those present in the previously mentioned approaches, it would also be interesting to show if our modified Friedmann equation (in the flat case) can be expressed in any of the forms predicted by LQG, Snyder or GUP, for certain polytropic fluid.

Specifically, it can be shown that our modified (spatially flat) Friedmann equation corresponds to

- Snyder’s Friedmann when $\gamma = 2$
- GUP’s Friedmann when $\gamma = 8/3$
- LQG’s Friedmann when $\gamma = 2/3$

The plausibility of the matter content is usually addressed with the help of the energy conditions. Introducing the variable $\omega = \gamma - 1$, the energy conditions corresponding to the fluids with an equation of state of the form $p = \omega \rho$, for which $\omega = c_s^2$ (the sound speed associated with this equation of state), are [46]:

- weak: $\rho + p \geq 0, \rho \geq 0 \iff \omega \geq -1$
- strong: $\rho + p \geq 0, \rho + 3p \geq 0 \iff \omega \geq -1/3$
- dominant: $\rho \geq p \iff -1 \leq \omega \leq 1$

In particular, our model reproduces Snyder’s corrections to the Friedmann equation when $\omega = 1$. This corresponds to a ultrastiff or incompressible fluid which has been proposed as a possible description of the very early universe [46]. Moreover, this fluid is equivalent to a free massless scalar [47]. For this fluid, all the energy conditions are satisfied. In case of dealing with a $\omega = 5/3$ fluid, the GUP case is reproduced. In this situation, the dominant condition is violated. Finally, our model reproduces the LQG-corrected Friedmann equation when $\omega = -1/3$. Interestingly, again in this situation, which corresponds to certain dark-energy model [48], all the energy conditions are satisfied.

### 7.3 Entropy corrections and Friedmann equations

In recent years, quantum corrections to the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy have been shown to be either logarithmic or power-law. While the first kind of corrections usually arises from a minimum length scenario (such as LQG, GUP, etc.) (see [43] and references therein), the second one deals with the entanglement of quantum fields inside and outside the horizon [49]. Moreover, the deep connection between gravity and thermodynamics, reinforced by Jacobson [50] and Padmanabhan [51], made some authors [52] derive modified Friedmann equations by using corrections to the entropy in addition with the ideas explored in Refs. [50] and [51]. In addition, the entropy approach developed by Verlinde [53] has been employed [54], assuming power-law corrections to the entropy, to obtain corrections to Friedmann equations.

Although the authors of [52] derive corrections to the Friedmann equations, they depend on the detailed gravity–thermodynamics connection. Even more, their main result (regarding our work), which is for the flat case, can be expressed as their Eq. (10), which reads

$$H^2 \left[ 1 + g(\alpha, H) \right] = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho,$$

(83)

where $g$ is a complicated function of $\alpha$, which is either the parameter that goes with the log-correction (for instance, $\alpha = -1/2$ in LQG) or the power of the entropy correction, and $H$. However, in spite of the formal similitude between Eqs. (75) and (83), the dependence of Eq. (83) on $H$ makes the comparison between both approaches very difficult to establish, unless some specific matter contents are considered.

In the case of power-law entropic corrections [54], the key point is to notice that the Newtonian force gets corrected as

$$F = -\frac{GMm}{R^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \frac{r_c}{R} \right)^{\alpha - 2} \right],$$

(84)

where $r_c$ is some crossover scale model-dependent and $\alpha$ is, as in the previous case, the power of the entropy correction. For the flat case, the authors of Ref. [54] obtain

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho \left[ 1 - \beta_{PL} \left( \frac{r_c}{R} \right)^{\alpha - 2} \right],$$

(85)

where, assuming again an equation of the state of the form $p = \omega \rho$, $\beta_{PL}$ is given by $\beta_{PL} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{3\omega + 1}{3\omega + 1 - \alpha}$. Therefore, in
spite of the similarities, Eqs. (75) and (85) are not equivalent under any circumstances ($a = 0 \rightarrow \beta_{PL} = 0$).

8 Conclusions

In this paper we have attempted a quantum cosmology based on the quantum corrections to the Newtonian potential and repeating the derivation of Friedmann equation within the Newtonian formalism. The latter is well known to reproduce the correct Friedmann equations. This is one or the reasons why we believe that the quantum corrected equations might hint toward what one might call the full fledged quantum cosmology. Indeed, with a certain choice of the sign of the quantum correction we qualitatively agree with other models of a quantum universe. In such a case a collapsing universe bounces off a minimum length proportional to the Planck length and begins to expand again. Other quantum effects, for the opposite sign of this correction, manifest themselves in a spontaneously created universe at nonzero scale factor again close to the Planck length. We believe that, at least qualitatively, these results go in the right and expected direction.
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Appendix: Evaluation of integrals

We need to compute five integrals. Employing 230.01 in [30] yields

\[ \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} d\tau = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 2a^3 \sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')} - 2\sqrt{1 - \beta'} + 7\beta' \right] \times \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} d\tau. \]  

(A.1)

Applying 230.01 in [30] to the last integral in the above expression leads to

\[ \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} d\tau = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 2a \sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')} - 2\sqrt{1 - \beta'} + 3\beta' \right] \times \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} d\tau. \]  

(A.2)

Concerning the last integral appearing on the r.h.s. in the expression above, we rewrite it as follows:

\[ \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} d\tau = \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta'}} d\tau - \int_{1/\sqrt{\beta'}}^{1} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta'}} d\tau. \]  

(A.3)

and by applying 237.04 in [30] we find that

\[ \int_{1}^{a} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \beta'}} d\tau = \sqrt{2}(\beta')^{1/4} \left[ \int_{0}^{a} du \, nc^2 u - \int_{0}^{a} du \, nc^2 u \right]. \]  

(A.4)

Here, $\text{nc} u = 1/cn u$ where $cn$ is one of the Jacobian elliptic functions and the associated amplitudes and moduli are given by

\[ \varphi = \text{am} u_1 = \sin^{-1} \frac{a - \sqrt{\beta'}}{a}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \text{am} \tilde{u}_1 \]

\[ = \sin^{-1} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \beta'}}, \quad k^2 = \frac{1}{2} = \tilde{k}^2. \]  

(A.5)

Invoking 313.02 in [30] we find that

\[ \int du \, nc^2 u = \frac{1}{k} \left[ k^2 u - E(\varphi, \tilde{k}) + dn u \, \text{tn} u \right] \]  

(A.6)

where $E$ denotes the elliptic integral of the second kind, $\tilde{k} = \sqrt{1 - k^2}$ is the complementary modulus, $dn u$ and $\text{tn} u = \text{sn} u/cn u$ are the Jacobi elliptic functions. Taking into account that 111.00 and 122.01 in [30] imply that $E(0, k) = 0 = E(0, \tilde{k})$, $dn 0 = 1$, $\text{tn} 0 = 0$ and, moreover, $k = \tilde{k} = 1/\sqrt{2}$, we obtain

\[ \int_{0}^{u_1} du \, nc^2 u - \int_{0}^{\tilde{u}_1} du \, nc^2 u \]

\[ = u_1 - \tilde{u}_1 - 2 \left[ E(\varphi, k) - E(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{k}) \right] + 2 (dn u_1 \text{tn} u_1 - dn \tilde{u}_1 \text{tn} \tilde{u}_1). \]  

(A.7)

On the other hand, 121.01 in [30] implies that $u_1 = F(\varphi, k)$ and $\tilde{u}_1 = F(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{k})$ with $F$ denoting the elliptic integral of the first kind. Moreover, 120.01 allows also to find that

\[ dn u_1 = \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin^2 \varphi = \frac{a + \sqrt{\beta'}}{2a}. \]

\[ dn \tilde{u}_1 = \sqrt{1 - k^2} \sin^2 \tilde{\varphi} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\beta'}}{2}. \]

Furthermore, by means of 121.00 in [30] we obtain

\[ \text{tn} u_1 = \sqrt{\frac{a - \sqrt{\beta'}}{\sqrt{\beta'}}}, \quad \text{tn} \tilde{u}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \sqrt{\beta'}}{\sqrt{\beta'}}}. \]

Hence, we conclude that

\[ \int_{0}^{u_1} du \, nc^2 u - \int_{0}^{\tilde{u}_1} du \, nc^2 u = F(\varphi, k) - F(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{k}) \]
\(-2 [E(\varphi, k) - E(\tilde{\varphi}, k)] \\
+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\beta')^{1/4}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{a^2 - \beta'}{a}} - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right) . \tag{A.8}\)

This implies that
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = 2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{a(a^2 - \beta')}{a}} - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right) \\
+ \sqrt{2} \beta')^{1/4} \left\{ F(\varphi, k) - F(\tilde{\varphi}, k) \\
- 2 [E(\varphi, k) - E(\tilde{\varphi}, k)] \right\}. \tag{A.9}\]

and it is straightforward to verify that
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau(\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \frac{2(a^2 + 3 \beta')}{5a} \sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')} \\
\frac{2}{5} (1 + 3 \beta') \sqrt{1 - \beta'} + \frac{3}{5} \sqrt{2} (\beta')^{5/4} \left\{ F(\varphi, k) \\
- F(\tilde{\varphi}, k) - 2 [E(\varphi, k) - E(\tilde{\varphi}, k)] \right\}. \tag{A.10}\]

Finally, we find that
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \frac{10a^3 + 14 \beta'(a^2 + 3 \beta')}{45a} \\
\times \sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')} - \frac{10 + 14 \beta'(1 + 3 \beta')}{45a} \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \\
+ \frac{7}{15} \sqrt{2} (\beta')^{9/4} \left\{ F(\varphi, k) - F(\tilde{\varphi}, k) \\
- 2 [E(\varphi, k) - E(\tilde{\varphi}, k)] \right\}. \tag{A.11}\]

Moreover, using 230.03 in [30] yields
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{(\tau - \sqrt{\beta'}) \sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \frac{1}{2 \beta'} \left[ 2\sqrt{1 - \beta'} - 2\sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')} \\
+ \int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} \right]. \tag{A.12}\]

Rewriting the integral appearing in the r.h.s. of the above expression as
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\beta'}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau - \sqrt{\beta'}}{\tau + \sqrt{\beta'}}} \\
- \int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\beta'}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau - \sqrt{\beta'}}{\tau + \sqrt{\beta'}}} \tag{A.13}\]

and applying 237.03 in [30] lead to
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{(\tau - \sqrt{\beta'}) \sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \sqrt{2} (\beta')^{1/4} \\
\times \left[ \int_0^{a_1} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{na \tau - \beta'}} - \int_0^{\tilde{a}_1} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{na \tau - \beta'}} \right] \tag{A.14}\]

with \(a_1, \tilde{a}_1\) and \(\tilde{a}_1\) defined as in (A.5). By means of 316.02 in [30] we find that
\[
\int_0^{a_1} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{na \tau - \beta'}} - \int_0^{\tilde{a}_1} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{na \tau - \beta'}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\beta')^{1/4}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{a(a^2 - \beta')}{a}} - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right) + 2 [E(\tilde{\varphi}, k) - E(\varphi, k)] , \tag{A.15}\]

and hence
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{\tau - \sqrt{\beta'}} \sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = 2 \left( \sqrt{\frac{a(a^2 - \beta')}{a}} - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right) \\
+ \sqrt{2} (\beta')^{1/4} \left\{ E(\tilde{\varphi}, k) - E(\varphi, k) \right\} . \tag{A.16}\]

Finally, we obtain
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{(\tau + \sqrt{\beta'}) \sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = - (a - 1) \sqrt{\frac{a(a^2 - \beta')}{a \beta'}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\beta^{3/4}} \left\{ E(\tilde{\varphi}, k) - E(\varphi, k) \right\} . \tag{A.17}\]

Observe that
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{(\tau + \sqrt{\beta'}) \sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} \tag{A.18}\]

By means of 237.13 in [30] and taking into account that \(sn_0 = 0\) and \(cd_0 = 1\) by 122.01 in [30], we get
\[
\int_1^a \frac{d\tau}{(\tau + \sqrt{\beta'}) \sqrt{\tau (\tau^2 - \beta')}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\beta')^{3/4}} \]

\[
\times \left[ u_1 - \tilde{u}_1 + E(\tilde{\varphi}, k) - E(\varphi, k) + \frac{1}{2} (sn_1 cd_0 - sn_0 cd_1) \right] \tag{A.19}\]

with \(u_1, \tilde{u}_1\), and \(k\) given by (A.5). By means of the relation \(sn^2 u + cn^2 u = 1\), it is not difficult to verify that \(cn_1 = (\beta')^{1/4}/\sqrt{a}\) and \(cn_1 = (\beta')^{1/4}\) and hence, we have
\[
cd_0 = \frac{cn_1}{dn_1} = \frac{\sqrt{2} (\beta')^{1/4}}{\sqrt{a + \sqrt{\beta'}}} \tag{A.20}\]
\[
cd_0 = \frac{cn_1}{dn_1} = \frac{\sqrt{2} (\beta')^{1/4}}{\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{\beta'}}} \tag{A.20}\]
At this point it is straightforward to verify that
\[
\int_1^a \frac{dr}{(\tau + \sqrt{\beta'})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau^2 - \beta')^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]
\[= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta'}} \left( a(a^2 - \beta') - \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \right) \]
\[+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(\beta')^{3/4}} \left[ F(\varphi, k) - F(\tilde{\varphi}, k) + E(\varphi, k) - E(\varphi, k) \right]. \]

(A.21)

With the help of (A.9), (A.10), (A.11), (A.17), and (A.21) we find that
\[G(\alpha) = -\frac{\sqrt{a(a^2 - \beta')}}{a} \times \left[ \frac{20a^4 + 64\beta'^2 - 45(\beta')^2 a + 417(\beta')^2}{180} + \frac{(\beta')^3}{4(a + \sqrt{\beta'})} \right] \]
\[+ \sqrt{1 - \beta'} \left[ \frac{31}{15} (\beta')^2 + \frac{16}{45} \beta' + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{(\beta')^3}{4(a + \sqrt{\beta'})} \right] \]
\[-\frac{77}{60} \sqrt{2}(\beta')^{9/4} \left[ F(\varphi, k) - F(\tilde{\varphi}, k) - 2[E(\varphi, k) - E(\varphi, k)] \right]. \]

This completes the derivation.
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