Contactless photoconductivity-detected electron spin resonance of P donors in isotopically purified Si
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Coherence times of electron spins bound to phosphorus donors have been measured, using a standard Hahn echo technique, to be up to 20 ms in isotopically pure silicon with [P]= 10^{11} cm^{-3} and at temperatures ≤ 4 K. Although such times are exceptionally long for electron spins in the solid state, they are nevertheless limited by donor electron spin-spin interactions. Suppressing such interactions requires even lower donor concentrations, which lie below the detection limit for typical electron spin resonance (ESR) spectrometers. Here we describe an alternative method for phosphorus donor ESR detection, exploiting the spin-to-charge conversion provided by the optical donor bound exciton transition. We characterize the method and its dependence on laser power and use it to measure a coherence time of T2 = 130 ms for one of the purest silicon samples grown to-date ([P]= 5 × 10^{11} cm^{-3}). We then benchmark this result using an alternative application of the donor bound exciton transition: optically polarising the donor spins before using conventional ESR detection at 1.7 K for a sample with [P]= 4 × 10^{12} cm^{-3}, and measuring in this case a T2 of 350 ms.

I. INTRODUCTION

A number of factors are critical in the measurement of long coherence times in solid state spin systems, including instrumental challenges such as stability in the magnetic field and microwave phase, as well as host crystal purity. In the case of silicon, isotopically enriched 28Si crystals [1] have been used to extend the coherence time limits of both nuclear and electron donor spins in bulk samples [2-5], as well as in nanoscale single donor devices [6]. However, while purifying the host environment is important, spin-spin interactions between same-species donors also play a limiting factor, due to the finite donor concentration within the sample. For example, from a 28Si sample with [P]= 10^{14} cm^{-3}, a coherence times of up to ~20 ms was measured [4], and shown to be limited by dipolar interactions between phosphorus donor spins. These interactions cannot be reversed in a standard Hahn echo measurement (this effect is also known as ‘instantaneous diffusion’ [4]), however, their effect can be reduced by artificial reducing the spin-concentration of the sample using a spin echo sequence with shortened refocussing pulse. Such methods enable an estimate for the expected coherence times in more dilute samples, and led to inferred decay times of approximately 1 second [4]. However, the method does not account for other effects which may be present in samples with low donor concentrations, such as donor-acceptor recombination.

Conventional electron spin resonance (ESR) spectrometers are close to their detection limits for spin concentrations in the range of 10^{12} cm^{-3} and above, and thus new detection methods are needed in order to directly measure spin donor concentration times in samples with lower doping densities. Electrically detected magnetic resonance (EDMR) has been shown as a technique to study small numbers of donor electron spins [9] down to the level of 100 donors [10], however, most experiments have relied on coupling to spin-active defects at the Si/SiO2 interface for readout, and in such cases T2 is typically of order 1 µs [11]. EDMR methods have recently been combined with the use of donor bound exciton D0X spectroscopy to measure intrinsic donor spin coherence times [12], leading to a maximum T2 of about 1.5 ms, in that case still limited by the donor concentration.

In this Letter, we report the coherence time measurement of two 28Si samples with [P]= 5 × 10^{11} cm^{-3} and 4 × 10^{12} cm^{-3}, using spin selective ionisation via the donor bound exciton transition D0X to optically polarize the spin ensemble beyond the thermal equilibrium value [12] [13]. We then perform either a conventional ESR experiment (for the higher concentration sample) or measure the donor electron spin state via the spin-dependent photoconductivity following D0X excitation [2] [12] [14], using a contactless technique where the sample is inserted into a parallel plate capacitor. We fully characterise this contactless measurement method, studying the on- and off-resonance conductivity of the sample as a function of laser power. We perform Hahn echo coherence time measurements and find a coherence time of T2 = 130 ms for the lower doped sample (using full (π) refocussing pulses) at 4.5 K. We compare this time with that measured from the higher doped sample using hyperpolarized ESR, where we find a T2 of 350 ms. To our knowledge, these are the longest coherence times reported to date for an electron spin in a solid state away from a clock transition [15], and using full refocussing pulses [4].
II. CONTACTLESS PHOTOCONDUCTIVE D^0X DETECTION

The basis for the hyperpolarization and the spin-to-charge conversion used here is the donor bound exciton transition. Neutral donors in silicon can be optically excited to the bound exciton (D^0X) state in which two electrons and a hole bind to the donor. In the (D^0X) ground state the two electrons form a spin singlet and the hole spin (\( J = \frac{3}{2} \)) determines the Zeeman splitting in an external magnetic field, as depicted in Fig. 1(c). The long bound exciton lifetime (270 ns [16]) and relatively small inhomogeneous broadening result in D^0X optical transitions that are sufficiently narrow to enable the excitation of the donor selectively on its electron spin state [12] (and in low-strain 28Si, even the donor nuclear spin state can be resolved [2, 3]). The D^0X recombines via an Auger process, ejecting an electron into the conduction band and leaving behind the ionized donor, producing a change in sample conductivity.

We capacitively measure the conductivity change on D^0X resonance using the setup shown in Fig. 1(a): The silicon crystal (2 mm × 2 mm × 10 mm) is mounted between two PCBs separated by two teflon spacers to minimize any applied stress to the sample, all placed within a quartz tube of 5 mm diameter. The copper electrodes of the PCB face outward to avoid direct electrical contact with the sample and are contacted via two cryogenic stainless steel coaxial cables. The probe stick is inserted into a dielectric ring microwave resonator and cooled to 4.5 K. We measure the capacitance \( C \) and loss tangent \( D \) = \( \text{Re}(Z)/|\text{Im}(Z)| \) of the sample capacitor with an Agilent E4980D LCR meter (\( V_{AC} = 1 \text{V}, V_{DC} = 0 \text{V}, \) no resulting avalanche carrier generation), at some modulation frequency, \( f_m \), and excite the D^0X transition using a NKT Boostik fibre laser with a nominal linewidth of 70 kHz.

Figure 1(d) shows a typical D^0X spectrum under an applied magnetic field. The six dipole-allowed D^0X transitions are observable both as a change in capacitance and as a change of the loss tangent \( D \). We find that depending on the modulation frequency, we either observe an increase or decrease of the loss tangent on-resonance, and explore this behaviour in more detail. Figure 2 plots the capacitance and loss tangent of the sample as a function of modulation frequency at \( B = 0 \text{mT} \), both on- and off-resonance with the D^0X transition and for multiple differ-
ent laser powers. We observe a step-like transition from a higher to a lower capacitance value with increasing frequency, coinciding with a peak in the loss tangent. This is a clear indication of a resonant phenomenon and we term this resonant frequency the ‘switching frequency’, *f*\_s in the following discussion. Increasing the applied laser power and bringing the laser on-resonance with the D\textsuperscript{0}X transition are both characterised by an increase in *f*\_s. These observations explain the modulation frequency dependent behaviour of the D\textsuperscript{0}X spectrum shown in Fig. 4(d), i.e. that *C* always increases on-resonance with the D\textsuperscript{0}X transition, while *D* either increases or decreases depending on whether the modulation frequency is larger or smaller than *f*\_s.

The underlying origin of the observed resonant behaviour can be traced back to a change of sample conductivity with laser power. We employ the circuit model shown in Fig. 1(b) with the silicon sample modelled as a parallel circuit of some resistance *R*\_Si and capacitance *C*\_Si, sandwiched between two PCB (of capacitance *C*\_PCB) and a parasitic capacitance *C*\_p in parallel. Under this model, we expect a larger measured capacitance for lower modulation frequencies because *R*\_Si shorts the reactance associated with *C*\_Si and thus the total capacitance is determined by the series connection of two *C*\_PCB. As the frequency increases, the reactance associated with *C*\_Si becomes smaller, until the capacitive reactance dominates the sample impedance. Thus, for higher frequencies the total capacitance is lower since it is the series capacitance of 2 *C*\_PCB and *C*\_Si. This transition occurs when the resistance *R*\_Si and reactance *X*\_Si = 1/(\*ω*\*C*\_Si) are equal, leading to the switching frequency condition *f*\_s = *σ*\_Si/(2πω*ε*\_S), where *σ*\_Si is the sample conductivity and *ε*\_S = 11.45 is the dielectric constant for Si at 4.5 K \[17, 18\]. Using this circuit model we can fit the whole data set (both *C*(\*ω*) and *D*(\*ω*) simultaneously) reasonably well with a single value for *C*\_p = 290\text{\,}\text{\textalpha}\text{f}\text{\,}\text{\textalpha}\text{F} and *C*\_PCB = 220\text{\,}\text{\textalpha}\text{f}\text{\,}\text{\textalpha}\text{F}. These values fit the expected parallel-plate capacitance by geometric considerations and secondly predict and match well the measured reduction of probe stick capacitance after sample removal.

In Figure 3 we plot the extracted sample conductivity *σ*\_Si against laser power for two samples with different P concentrations, both on-resonance with the D\textsuperscript{0}X transition (open dots) and off-resonance (filled dots). We find a linear relationship between conductivity and laser power over five orders of magnitude, for both samples. The saturation of conductivity for laser powers smaller than 0.1 mW/mm\textsuperscript{2} is likely due to background radiation leaking through the cryostat window. The conductivity is consistently larger by 5–8 times under on-resonant illumination compared to off-resonant illumination.

We first discuss the origin of conductivity when illuminating on-resonance with the D\textsuperscript{0}X transition. Using the known oscillator strength \[19\] and the measured inhomogenous linewidth (1 GHz at 0 mT) of the D\textsuperscript{0}X transition we can estimate the steady-state D\textsuperscript{0}X carrier generation rate *G*\textsubscript{D\textsuperscript{0}X}, which is linearly dependent on the laser intensity *I*\_L (see Appendix). The steady state photocarrier density is then given by *n* = *G*\textsubscript{D\textsuperscript{0}X}\*τ\_n, where *τ*\_n is the carrier lifetime, such that the conductivity under illumination is *σ* = *e*\*\*\*μ*\_n\*\*\*τ\_n = *e*\*\*\*\textsubscript{D\textsuperscript{0}X}\*μ*\_n\*\*\*τ\_n. From the linear dependence of conductivity with laser power we thus deduce that both *τ*\_n and *μ*\_n are approximately constant for the laser intensities studied here. Furthermore, taking a silicon mobility of *µ*\_n = 7 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cm\textsuperscript{2}(Vs)\textsuperscript{−1} appropriate at this temperature for these donor and acceptor concentrations \[20\], the slope in Figure 3 (dotted line) can be fit to give *τ*\_n = 7 ns. The photo-carrier lifetime is expected to be limited by a capture process of the conduction band electron by an ionised donor. The constant value of the carrier lifetime which we observe can be understood by considering the significant boron concentration in this material (in the region of 10\textsuperscript{14} cm\textsuperscript{−3}), which results in a substantial ionised donor concentration, even in the absence of any illumination. Indeed, the carrier concentration *n* is estimated to be 5 × 10\textsuperscript{10} cm\textsuperscript{−3} for *I*\_L = 10 mW/mm\textsuperscript{2} (see Appendix), such that the optically-induced ionised donor concentration is negligible compared to that arising from the compensation in the material. Using the capture recombination coefficient \[21, 22\] \(B_{N^+} = 6.9 \times 10^{-6} \text{cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}\), we infer a constant ionized donor concentration of *N*\_\textsuperscript{+} = ∼2 × 10\textsuperscript{13} cm\textsuperscript{−3} during illumination (see Appendix), consistent with the sample boron concentration \[23\].

The origin of the enhanced sample conductivity under off-resonant laser illumination is most likely the direct ionisation of donors into the continuum of the conduction band, creating high energy (hot) electrons. The ionization cross-section for this process \[22\] is on the order...
of $\sigma_{N_0 \rightarrow N_+} \approx 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$, which would result in the solid blue line shown in Fig. 3 (following similar arguments to those given above and using the same $\tau_n \approx 7$ ns). In this way, both the on-resonant and off-resonant signal can be explained using known values for the generation rate and a common photocarrier lifetime. For completeness, a second mechanism that could produce similar observed behaviour is phonon-assisted excitation across the band-gap. The photon-energy at 1078 nm is below the silicon band-gap [24], requiring the absorption of a phonon for such across-gap excitation. However, at low temperatures the phonon bath is frozen out, leading to very small absorption coefficients below the band-gap [25][26].

The ratio of on- to off-resonant conductivity is between 5–8 for both donor concentrations studied here. This ratio is given by the relative magnitude of the D$^0$X generation rate versus the direct ionisation rate of a donor. An outstanding question is the fact that the photoconductivity and laser intensity dependence we measure for two samples [P] = $2 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $3 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ are similar (see Fig. 2). The lower carrier generation rate expected for the sample with lower donor concentration may be somewhat compensated by a larger mobility and longer carrier lifetime, and may also be influenced by relatively small differences in the boron concentration (and thus ionised donor concentration) for which precise values are not known in these samples. A further study with a wider range of samples would be required to test this in more detail.

### III. COHERENCE TIME

Having characterised the change in sample conductivity upon excitation of the D$^0$X transition, we now use this as a readout mechanism to measure electron spin coherence times in $^{28}$Si material with donor concentration below the sensitivity limits of conventional ESR. ([P] = $5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$, [B] = $10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$). We used a sequence of microwave and laser pulses, shown in Fig. 3(a), with the laser tuned to the $m_s = +1/2 : m_h = +1/2$ D$^0$X transition (see Fig. 1), addressing the spin $|\uparrow\rangle$ ground state. Through optical pumping, the first laser pulse of 400 ms therefore polarizes and initializes the donor electron into the spin $|\downarrow\rangle$ state. A microwave Hahn echo pulse sequence follows, with a $(+/−)\pi/2$ pulse applied at the time of the electron spin echo formation to project the refocused coherent electron spin state into $|\uparrow\rangle$ or $|\downarrow\rangle$, respectively. The final ‘read out’ laser pulse creates a transient conductivity signal which depends on the $|\uparrow\rangle$ population remaining at the end of the microwave pulse sequence. The time-resolved sample conductivity is measured using a lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research Systems SR830, $V_{AC} = 1$ V, $f\approx 20$ kHz), whose phase-sensitive current output is captured on an oscilloscope. As seen in Fig. 3(b)), only if some donor $|\uparrow\rangle$ population has been generated by the microwave pulse sequence is a distinct conductivity transient observed during the ‘read-out’ pulse — this originates from the Auger electrons produced following laser-induced D$^0$X generation. The transient decays with a time constant of $\sim 40$ ms, characteristic of the D$^0$X excitation rate for our D$^0$X linewidth (200 MHz) and laser intensity (0.2 mW/mm$^2$). We maximized the signal by adjusting the lock-in phase and integrated over the first 30 ms of transient photoconductivity response to produce a unitless measure for the population of the $|\uparrow\rangle$ state, normalising the result using that measured in the same experiment with a short (10 $\mu$s) evolution time.

Figure 3(c) plots this measured $|\uparrow\rangle$ population as a function of free evolution time, $2\tau$, in the Hahn echo experiment, providing a measure of the electron spin coherence time. Three distinct time scales can be observed in the evolution: For $2\tau \lesssim 1$ ms, the microwave $\pm \pi/2$ pulses consistently project the echo signal into the opposite spin states ($|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$), as expected, indicating negligible decay in electron spin coherence on this timescale. For 1 ms $\lesssim 2\tau \lesssim 100$ ms the echo signal appears randomly projected between the $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ states, indicating there is a macroscopic coherent state across the electron spin ensemble but its phase varies randomly from one measurement to the next. This ‘phase-noise’ effect is commonly observed in ESR electromagnets for $2\tau \gtrsim 1$ ms and can be attributed to fluctuations of the external magnetic field on a time scale of $\sim 1$ kHz which impact the net phase acquired by the spin ensemble during an experiment [27]. In a conventional ESR measurement employing detection of both quadrature channels, the effects of such phase noise can be mitigated by recording the magnitude across the two quadrature channels for each experimental shot [27]. In contrast, when using a projective read-out method (such as the photoconductivity measurement used here), the effects of phase noise are manifest as random projections into the $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ states, as we observe. Nevertheless, the maximum value of these randomly projected states can, with a sufficient number of measurements, provide a reasonable measure of the overall echo intensity [3]. This phase noise is believed to be limited by the instrumentation and could in principle be improved with superconducting magnets in persistent mode or permanent magnets with magnetic shielding [28]. For $2\tau > 100$ ms the echo intensity collapses due to electron spin decoherence. The timescale of this collapse can be extracted from a fit to the maximum data points (red diamonds in Fig. 3(d)) and we find a coherence time ($T_2$) of $130 \pm 30$ ms.

The $T_2$ we measure above is somewhat shorter than expected for this sample. Instantaneous diffusion is not expected to play a role since the concentration is too low ([P] $\approx 5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$). The coherence time is not limited by a $T_1$ process or by donor-acceptor recombination [23], as an inversion recovery measurement gives a lower bound for such processes as $T_1 > 2$s. Instead, we expect the intrinsic $T_2$ to be limited by spectral diffusion from the residual 47 ppm of $^{29}$Si nuclear spins at $T_{2, sd} \approx 0.5–1$ s [29][30]. The appar-
FIG. 4. The pulse sequence and results of the photoconductive coherence time measurement. (a) Applied control sequence, consisting of an initialisation laser pulse (also serving as the read-out pulse for the previous experiment), the microwave (mw) pulse sequence and the read-out laser pulse (also serving as initialisation for the next experiment). (b) The photocurrent time trace during the readout laser pulse when applying a single microwave $\pi$ pulse with (i) $B$ on-resonance (dark blue), (ii) $B$ off-resonance (orange) and (iii) $B$ on-resonance with no microwave pulse (yellow). (c) Two-pulse echo decay measured by integrating a photocurrent during the read-out laser pulse as in (b) plotted against the interpulse delay, $2\tau$ (sample $[P] = 5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ at 4.5 K. (d) The selected (maximum) data points from (c) and accompanying exponential fit to the data indicating $T_2 = 130 \pm 30$ ms.

The discrepancy between this and the measured value is likely due to instrument limitations, in particular sample vibrations within an inhomogeneous magnetic field (caused for example by the gas flow in the He cryostat). To explore this hypothesis, we compare the results above with measurements of coherence times observed on a higher doped sample ($[P] = 4 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ submerged in superfluid helium at 1.7 K, and detected by conventional ESR combined with optical hyperpolarisation via the $D_0^X$ transition (using a 200 ms laser pulse). Here, vibrations are significantly reduced and a coherence time of 350 ms was measured (see Fig. 4(d)), consistent with the product of a known instantaneous diffusion term ($T_{2, id} = 600$ ms [7]), and a fitted spectral diffusion term $T_{2, sd} = 530$ ms, in accordance with literature values for the nuclear spin spectral diffusion of 47 ppm $^{29}$Si [20]. Another difference between the measurements is the delay time between the end of the polarizing laser pulse and the beginning of the Hahn echo sequence, which was 900 ms for the echo-detected experiments and 200–450 ms for the photoconductivity-detected ESR experiments. A (de-)charging of traps and resulting Stark-field noise during the dark period could give rise to additional coherence. While these effects have so far not been studied systematically, it should be noted that no difference in photoconductivity-measured $T_2$ has been observed for the two delay times 200 ms and 450 ms.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we used contactless capacitive measurements to characterize the photoconductivity of doped silicon samples under resonant $D_0^X$ excitation. We have shown how the spin-dependent $D_0^X$ photoconductivity can be used as a method to detect pulsed ESR on samples with doping levels below the sensitivity of conventional ESR, with a single shot signal-to-noise ratio of about 10 for spin ensembles with concentration $5 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$. However, efforts must be taken to minimise sample vi-
brations in order to observe coherence times on the timescale of seconds or longer. We find evidence that off-resonant contributions to photo-conductivity arise primarily from direct ionization of donors. As a result this photoconductivity-detected ESR scales down to much smaller ensembles because the ratio of on- to off-resonant photoconductivity, a key factor in the signal-to-noise ratio, would be independent of the donor ensemble size.
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Appendix A: Electron carrier generation rates under illumination

The on-resonance carrier generation rate \( G_{D^0X} \) can be calculated from the Einstein coefficient \( B_{12} \) (related to the oscillator strength [16]) of the \( D^0X \) transition and its measured lineshape function \( g(f, f_0) \) as:

\[
G_{D^0X} = N^0 B_{12} \int_0^\infty g(f, f_0) \rho(f) \, df \quad (A1)
\]

Here \( N^0 \) is the density of neutral donors and \( \rho(f) = I_L/(c/n_{Si}) \delta(f_0) \) is the \( \delta \)-like power spectral density of the laser within the silicon sample due to a laser with intensity \( I_L \). The linewidth of the laser is much smaller than the \( D^0X \) linewidth \( \Delta f \), and hence the integral yields:

\[
G_{D^0X} = N^0 B_{12} \frac{I_L}{c/n_{Si}} \frac{2}{\pi \Delta f} \quad (A2)
\]

The carrier generation rate due to resonant bound exciton generation is thus proportional to the laser intensity, the donor density and inversely proportional to the \( D^0X \) linewidth. \( B_{12} = 3.1 \times 10^{16} \text{ J}^{-1} \text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-2} \) for P and \( c/n_{Si} \) is the speed of light in silicon = \( 8.1 \times 10^7 \text{ms}^{-1} \).

Off resonance, the direct ionisation rate of the laser — assumed to be the main cause of extrinsic photoconductivity — scales with the capture cross-section \( \sigma_{N^0\rightarrow N^+} \) according to [32]:

\[
G_{\text{direct}} = N^0 \frac{I_L}{h\omega} \sigma_{N^0\rightarrow N^+} \quad (A3)
\]

Data for \( \sigma_{N^0\rightarrow N^+} \) of phosphorus at a wavelength of 1078 nm are scarce, but can be extrapolated from the figures presented in [22]. By comparing the two equations, it can be observed that the ratio of on- to off-resonant excitation is independent of donor density and laser intensity.

Finally, we present a few remarks regarding the band-to-band excitation of electrons from the valence band into the conduction band. As discussed in the main text, the required phonon-absorption is heavily suppressed due to the low temperature and hence very small absorption coefficients are measured for silicon below the band-gap and at low temperatures [25]. Data close to the band-gap are scarce but unpublished measurements of R.Nawrodt (Friedrich Schiller University Jena) give an upper limit for the intrinsic absorption of \( \alpha_{Si}(3\text{K},1.15\text{eV}) \approx 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^{-1} \), although the exact origin of the absorption for this wavelength remains unclear and could still be of extrinsic origin. Still, the carrier generation rate associated with this upper limit for intrinsic absorption is much smaller than the expected \( G_{\text{direct}} \) at the donor densities studied here. We thus do not expect intrinsic absorption to contribute to the photoconductivities presented in this Letter.