Non-surgical and Non-pharmacological Treatment of Knee Pain
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Abstract

Knee pain is an increasingly common presentation to general practitioners both in the United Kingdom and worldwide and is thought to be caused by a combination of the obesity epidemic, the ageing population and continuing worldwide population growth. Two distinct aetiologies of knee pain have been described: a bony-type which is usually degenerative in nature; and a ligamentous-type which usually occurs as a result of acute injury but then predisposes to the development of bony-type in later life. There are a wide variety of treatment options available to the clinician: ranging from conservative to major surgery. Total Knee Replacement (TKR) is often the end-point of many causes of knee pain and is used with increasingly frequency. However, there are a wide variety of problems associated with TKR including ongoing pain, patient dissatisfaction and the need for revision surgery. This review aims to demonstrate that TKR should be avoided unless absolutely necessary and also provides the clinician with an overview of the various evidence-based conservative options available to be utilised to improve patient pain and functional knee capabilities.
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Introduction

Knee pain is one of the most common musculoskeletal presentations to general practitioners in the United Kingdom, with one study showing that 47% of over 50-year-olds experienced knee pain within the previous year and a third of those sought medical help from their General Practitioners (GP) [1]. This represents a large proportion of GP workload and as such the recognition of the aetiology and subsequent provision of optimal management is crucial to providing an effective healthcare service.

Knee pain can be divided into two broad categories: a bony type which is typically osteoarthritis; and a soft tissue type which is predominantly ligamentous in origin. Osteoarthritis is the most common cause of knee pain in the older adult [2] with Arthritis Research UK predicting the prevalence of OA of the knee will increase from 4.7 million in 2010 to 6.5 million in 2020 due to a combination of the obesity epidemic, an ageing population and continuing population growth [3].

Soft tissue injuries most frequently occur as a result of trauma and accidents frequently involving sporting activity or exercise. Peat et al. found that the peak of soft tissue injuries occurs in the 15-19 age group for both males and females, which they reasoned coincided with the highest rates of participation in sport within these age groups [4]. Soft tissue knee injuries while younger are also associated with an almost 3-fold increased risk of developing OA during later life [5].

Problem of Knee Replacements

Severe osteoarthritis can lead to marked pain, deformity and a loss of function of the knee joint. This is turn can have a detrimental effect on mobility and overall quality of life. The eventual treatment for severe OA of the knee is frequently total knee replacement (TKR). Data from the National Joint Registry (NJR) for England, Wales and Northern Ireland found that 103,126 knee replacements were carried out during 2014 with the indication for primary surgery being knee OA in 98% of cases [6]. Despite the high frequency that these operations were carried out, Williams et al. found that around 20% of patients were dissatisfied with their post-surgical outcomes.

In the under 55 age group, over a fifth of patients were left dissatisfied, indicating that current practice and techniques may not be as appropriate for younger more active patients groups [7]. It is well known that younger age of primary TKR is associated with a far greater incidence of the need for revision surgery. Within England, Wales and Northern Ireland the rate of revision for the under 55 age group is six times the rate compared to the over 75 group at 10 years post-operatively [8], which Khan et al. postulate is due to the greater expectations regarding a return to activity following surgery [9].

The need for revision may lead to an increasing level of dissatisfaction with the implant and a reduction in quality of life and mobility. Between 8-34% of patients experience chronic pain or disability follows TKR even with adequate radiographic and clinical evaluations and no evidence of infection [10,11], indicating that surgeons are currently delivering sub-optimal results for their patients. Studies have also shown that many patients experience disappointment due to unrealistic expectations of their abilities and/or recovery post-TKR surgery [12] indicating a lack of sufficient pre-operative patient education and counselling by the surgical team.

From this data it is evident that TKR should be delayed for a long as reasonably possible in younger patients with OA or soft tissue cartilage injuries. Fortunately there are a wide range of conservative non-surgical options available to the clinician which this article will aim to cover. Other reviews have already covered the breadth of conventional pharmacological options available so will not be reviewed here.
Management Options

Weight loss

The climbing obesity epidemic is undoubtedly one of the main factors leading to an increasing prevalence of knee OA. Biomechanical principles tell us that the greater the load acting on a joint, the greater the compressive forces within the joint. This leads to a greater amount of degenerative damage and subsequent development of OA. Fortunately several studies have shown that this is a reversible process and that each unit of weight loss leads to between 2-4 units of force reduction acting within the knee joint [13,14]. However, more recent work by DeVita et al. found that behavioral adaptations following weight loss lead to increased stride length and stride velocity and that as a result the reduction in knee forces was attenuated to a 1:1 ratio [15]. This is still significant and clinicians should encourage overweight patients with knee OA to lose weight regardless for many other health benefits such as a reduction in cardiovascular disease and diabetes prevalence. Atukorala et al. also demonstrated a dose-dependence between weight loss and a reduction in knee pain and symptoms during an 18 week programme, indicating this is an important and valid lifestyle intervention to treat knee pain [16].

Physiotherapy

Physiotherapy of the knee aims to re-balance the muscle strength in the upper leg which is often dominated by the quadriceps. These methods form the core of the current management to reduce knee pain in both OA and in ligamentous injuries, utilising different methods to achieve the same overall goal. Knoop et al. found that the increase in quadriceps and hamstring strength was associated with a reduction in pain, improvements in the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) and improved performance in the get-up-and-go test [17]. Physiotherapy is also widely used in the conservative management of ligamentous knee injuries to increase muscle strength, improve proprioception and reduce swelling: ultimately aiming for a full return to physical activity. Smith et al. systematically reviewed operative versus non-operative treatment for ACL injuries and found no difference in functional outcomes [18], indicating that surgery should only be performed when clinical instability and limitations are present.

Taping

Another intervention that has gained a foothold in conservative management of knee pain is taping, of which there are various methods. Some of these methods aim to support the ligaments of the knee or to unload the patellar in instances of patellofemoral instability [19] and are frequently applied by athletes before training or participating with a mild soft tissue injury to good effect. However, the potential beneficial use of kinesiological taping methods for adults with osteoarthritis has seen divided opinion within the literature. Kinesiology taping has been shown to lead to short term improvements in pain, walking ability and range-of-motion (ROM) in adults with knee OA [20,21] and Cho et al. add that knee joint proprioception is also improved [22]. In contrast other similar studies found no beneficial effects in kinesiology taping in pain reduction or functional status compared to sham taping [23,24]. However, both groups noticed that sham taping led to improved outcomes in all groups. This may indicate that kinesiology taping does not specifically improve outcomes and that all taping regimes could hold promise in the treatment of knee OA; or that there is a psychological benefit from gained from taping that leads to subjective improvements. It is very difficult to standardise taping methodologies across studies however this field could clearly benefit from a systematic review examining the differences in opinion.

Braces

Knee braces are a simple but effective adjunct in the treatment of knee pain. The simplest designs involve a single sleeve made of neoprene that encases the knee joint; these are readily available over the counter. There are a variety of benefits for the use of these type of braces such as reduced pain and postural sway [25], and while this study demonstrated no increase in joint proprioception Herrington et al. found a significant proprioceptive benefit as a result of brace wearing [26], albeit their study measured proprioception in healthy participants without knee pathology. These changes in pain and proprioception are thought to be mediated by activation of type A delta sensory fibres from skin mechanoreceptors [27].

More complex brace designs are focused on supporting ligamentous laxity and include rigid bars and straps as a protective mechanism. These braces are designed specifically to protect a certain ligament therefore it is the vital the correct diagnosis is made before the prescription of the knee brace. Misdiagnosis and incorrect prescription could lead to an exacerbation of the original injury if the ligament is not protected adequately. ACL braces are the most commonly prescribed and Pierrat et al. found that these designs are able to resist anterior drawer in an equal fashion to a native ACL at low forces, making them suitable for individuals rehabilitating from ACL injuries or sprains [28]. They also found by testing several braces that the most effective brace varied between individuals, highlighting the need for personalised fitting and testing regimes. These braces can also be worn during higher intensity physical activity and Hanzlikova et al. found that they significantly reduced knee valgus and tibial internal rotation when compared to not wearing a brace [29]. However Smith et al. concluded that current ACL brace designs do not sufficiently improve long term outcomes and rates of re-injury and state more research is needed into optimum brace design [30].

Braces are also available for PCL injuries however Jansson et al. found that none currently available offer the optimum biomechanical properties required to mimic the native PCL [31]. They also found despite the recommendation of the use of PCL braces by physicians the evidence base is slim in respect to their effectiveness. There are several PCL braces designed to be used in return to sporting activities which apply anterior force to the posterior tibia and a posterior force to the distal femur, thus aiming to protect the healing ligament; though these have not been effectively validated from either a biomechanical or clinical perspective. These braces also do not confer any protection against the subsequently common development of knee OA after PCL rupture [32]. Despite these apparent shortcomings several studies have demonstrated the benefits of PCL bracing leading to improvements in knee functional capabilities and a reduced posterior sag following bracing for four months [33]. The recent generation of PCL Brace (Figure 1) has a more robust control on the anterior and posterior translation of the tibia and therefore can give better biomechanics controls. Braces to support the other ligamentous structures in the knee such as the MCL and LCL are also available through similarly are not as well researched as ACL braces (Figure 1).
Different kinds of braces have also been designed specifically for the relief of pain in knee OA (Figure 1). The unloader type of knee brace is designed to treat unicompartmental knee OA by reducing the amount of stress and force passing through the affected compartment. Valgus braces are used to treat medial compartment OA but directing forces away from the medial side by reducing the varus/adduction moment passing through the knee [34]. This leads to a widening of the joint space on the medial compartment and a subsequent reduction in the pain presenting from medial compartment OA. Raja and Dewan reviewed the clinical outcomes as a result of knee bracing and found that while TENS and sham-TENS combined with knee exercise led to a significant improvement in knee function or performance in the get up and go tests [45]. One study in their analysis by Vance et al. found a reduction in pain in both TENS and placebo, but no significant difference between the groups [46]. However from their meta-analysis Chen et al. concluded there was no significant improvement in knee function or performance in the get up and go tests [45]. They found that while TENS appears to be effective at reducing pain, other methodologies are required as adjuncts to improve the functional capabilities of the knee. In contrast other individual RCTs were able to show significant improvements in knee function and exercise involvement when comparing TENS to placebo [47]. The same group also demonstrated that patients receiving TENS continued to use the device after the cessation of the trial period due to the symptomatic relief and that these patients also reduced the number and frequency of analgesic medications they were taking. Palmer et al. found that while TENS and sham-TENS combined with knee exercise and education led to improvements in pain and function, there were no significant differences in the control group of just knee exercise and education [48]. TENS may be a useful adjunct to treat knee pain in OA however there is uncertainty in the literature regarding the exact benefits and the work by Palmer et al. indicates that knee exercise and education should remain a staple of treatment [48]. It would be interesting if this group had also used a group solely with TENS and no additional treatment to compare the effects and this could potentially be investigated in the future.

Neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) is slightly different from TENS and has the goal of eliciting muscle contractions to strengthen the quadriceps and hamstring muscles. Knee OA is associated with atrophy of the thigh muscle groups and pain and stiffness are often limiting factors in conventional exercise therapy. Following a period of NMES usage, Vaz et al. demonstrated that this treatment led to increased vastus lateralis muscle thickness, fascicle length, maximal knee extensor torque and was associated with a subsequent reduction in knee pain, stiffness and improvements in functional status [49]. Laufer et al. demonstrated that after finishing a 12 week programme of NMES, the results are partially maintained for a further 12 week period with respect to pain reduction and functional status; however the muscle strength, up-and-go test results and stair

Figure 1: Different types of medical grade knee brace.

Insoles
In a similar fashion to knee braces, insoles are designed to shift force away from the damaged compartment of the knee and reduce joint stress. Laterally wedged insoles are currently used as an adjunct for the treatment of medial compartment knee OA by reducing knee adduction and the subsequent load on the medial side of the knee [41]. Hsu et al. also add that long term use of laterally wedged insoles leads to a permanent change in gait, with reduced knee adduction even without wearing insoles [42]. Several studies have also demonstrated efficacy in reducing pain experienced by knee OA patients immediately and also over a longer duration of 8 weeks [43]. Therefore the use of insoles may be a useful adjunct to treating knee OA non-conservatively.

Electrical therapy
Currently there are two broad classes of electrical therapy that are routinely used for OA of the knee. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) is a neuromodulation technique (based on the 'Gate Control Theory' [44] which aims to reduce pain and improve function and has been shown to be very promising novel treatment. Chen et al. performed a systematic review and meta-analysis and found that the majority of studies demonstrated a significant reduction in knee OA pain following TENS usage [45]. One study in their analysis by Vance et al. found a reduction in pain in both TENS and placebo, but no significant difference between the groups [46]. However from their meta-analysis Chen et al. concluded there was no significant improvement in knee function or performance in the get up and go tests [45]. They found that while TENS appears to be effective at reducing pain, other methodologies are required as adjuncts to improve the functional capabilities of the knee. In contrast other individual RCTs were able to show significant improvements in knee function and exercise involvement when comparing TENS to placebo [47]. The same group also demonstrated that patients receiving TENS continued to use the device after the cessation of the trial period due to the symptomatic relief and that these patients also reduced the number and frequency of analgesic medications they were taking. Palmer et al. found that while TENS and sham-TENS combined with knee exercise and education led to improvements in pain and function, there were no significant differences in the control group of just knee exercise and education [48]. TENS may be a useful adjunct to treat knee pain in OA however there is uncertainty in the literature regarding the exact benefits and the work by Palmer et al. indicates that knee exercise and education should remain a staple of treatment [48]. It would be interesting if this group had also used a group solely with TENS and no additional treatment to compare the effects and this could potentially be investigated in the future.
climbing ability were diminished [50]. This indicates that NMES is required to be used continually in patients with knee OA to maintain the beneficial effects of the treatment. Several other studies have demonstrated promises with this type of electrical therapy in the treatment of knee pain [51,52].

While TENS and NMES are the most frequently used electrical modalities for treating knee OA pain, Zeng et al. concluded that another form of therapy, interferential current (IFC), was the most effective at relieving pain [53]. IFC delivers alternating medium-frequency currents in a similar fashion to TENS to reduce pain. IFC can penetrate deeper into the tissues and appears to block peripheral nerves rather than the sensory and motor nerves that TENS acts on. However there is still very little useful data on the subject of IFC for treatment of knee OA and more research needs to be carried out before this modality is offered as a usual adjunct to the general public.

**Conclusions**

Chronic knee pain is a widespread complaint in the worldwide community and has been forecast to increase dramatically due to the ageing population and the obesity epidemic. Fortunately for the physician there are a wide variety of options available from the more conventional lifestyle modification and physiotherapy; to the newer methods such as electrical therapy and intra-articular injections. The key to effective treatment is to get the correct aetiology whether it be soft tissue-type or bony-type. Current management options demonstrated thus far have not been shown to be disease-modifying but are beneficial to the patient by improving knee symptoms and functional status. It is also crucial to delay TKR for as long as is acceptable to the patient in order to avoid the need for revision surgery and its associated complications, especially in the younger patient presenting with OA.
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