A Leach Collection System to Track the Release of Nitrogen from Controlled-release Fertilizers in Container Ornamentals

Brian A. Birrenkott, Joseph L. Craig and George R. McVey
The Scotts Company, D.G. Scott Research Center, 14310 Scottslawn Road, Marysville OH 43041

Abstract. A leach collection unit (LCU) was assembled to capture all leachate draining from a nursery container. An injection molded 2.8-L nursery container was plastic welded into the lid of a 7.6-L black plastic collection bucket so that the bottom 2.5 cm of the nursery container protruded through the lid. The LCU was designed to track total N release from CRFs without confounding effects of plant uptake or N immobilization. Total N released between any two sampling periods is determined by multiplying the N concentration in a leachate subsample × total leachate volume. The LCU were placed in a container nursery area with overhead irrigation. LCU were thoroughly leached before sampling the leach solution. To study the effects of substrate on N leach rates, Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.9K (8 to 9 months 21 °C) was incorporated at 1.8 kg N/m³ using a locally available, bark-based substrate or medium-grade quartz sand. The experiment was conducted at Scotts Research locations in Apopka, Fla., and Marysville, Ohio. Osmocote incorporated into either a bark-based substrate or sand resulted in similar N release profiles. Although substrate did not affect N leach rate, quartz sand was recommended as the substrate in the leach collection system for polymer-coated CRFs. Quartz sand is chemically and biologically inert, does not immobilize nutrients and has low ion exchange capacity compared to bark-based potting substrates. More than 90% of the total nitrogen applied from Osmocote was recovered from leachate and unreleased N in fertilizer granules. This research has demonstrated the leach collection system as a reliable means to quantify nitrogen release rate of a polymer-coated CRF under nursery conditions. The LCU, when used with a crop plant, allows nutrient budget and nutrient uptake efficiency to be determined for CRFs.

Controlled-release fertilizers (CRFs) are used extensively in container nursery production. Most CRFs used for container ornamentals are derived from polymer-coated fertilizers, in which water soluble fertilizer granules are encapsulated by a polymer. Polymer-coated CRFs are categorized by manufacturers according to their duration of release at specific temperatures. Generally, CRFs are placed in a laboratory dissolution system or a soil incubation system at a constant temperature to determine the duration of release. The amount of fertilizer released can be calculated since a known quantity of fertilizer is used. The CRF release period at the specified temperature is typically established when 80% to 90% of the fertilizer has been released. However, laboratory dissolution tests at constant temperatures cannot provide precise information about CRF release characteristics under field conditions where specific environmental temperatures can fluctuate widely within and between geographical locations. The Virginia Tech Extraction Method (VTEM) and saturated media extract (SME) have been used to assess the nutritional status of container plants under field conditions (Warnke, 1975, 1988; Wright, 1984; Yeager et al., 1983). Nutritional guidelines have been developed based on the nutrient levels measured in the leachate or filtrate from these and other extraction methods (for review, see Peterson and Bilderback, 1986). The values obtained depend on CRF release rate, application rate, extent of leaching and plant nutrient uptake. Therefore, media extract values cannot be attributed solely to CRF release rate. In addition, since extraction methods do not capture all released nutrients from CRFs, the cumulative amount of nutrients released or remaining at any one time cannot be determined.

Container leachate analysis has been used extensively to estimate nutrient efficiency and monitor water quality (Broschat, 1995; Cox, 1993; Mickelson et al., 1994; Hershey and Paul, 1982; Yeager and Cashion, 1993). Leachate analysis to estimate CRF release rates has been used to a lesser degree and has been limited to greenhouse or laboratory conditions. Patel and Sharma (1977) collected leachate from soil columns placed in an incubator to estimate CRF release rates at a constant temperature. Prasad and Woods (1971) used leachate analysis to estimate N release rates from CRFs in peat and sand under greenhouse conditions. Similarly, Cabrera (1997) considered N leach analysis a suitable indicator of CRF release rate using a peat-based substrate under greenhouse conditions. However, a system to evaluate CRF release rates under container nursery field conditions is needed.

The leach collection system involves the collection and analysis of all leachate solution that exists the drain holes of a nursery container. It was developed to combine the quantitative attributes of a laboratory dissolution technique with the field applicability of substrate extraction methods in quantifying release characteristics of CRFs. A CRF release profile can be defined as the response curve generated by plotting release rate vs. time.

The objective of this research was to describe and evaluate the leach collection system for tracking fertilizer release rates under nursery conditions from a polymer-coated CRF.

Materials and Methods
A leach collection unit (LCU) was assembled by placing a standard nursery container through a 15.2-cm-diameter hole cut in the lid of 7.6-L black poly bucket (Plastican Inc. Dallas, Texas) (Fig. 1A and B). An injection molded 2.8-L nursery container (Poly-tainer #1; Nursery Supplies, Inc., Chambersburg, Pa.) was placed into the lid and slid into position such that about 2.5 cm of the bottom of the nursery container protruded through the lid deep enough so that all drain holes were beneath the collection bucket lid. The nursery container was plastic welded to the lid of the collection bucket using a 0.4-cm-diameter HDPE plastic welding rod and a plastic welding gun (Kamweld Corp., Norwood, Mass.). The container was welded on both the top and bottom sides of the lid. An acrylic disk (15.2 cm diameter × 2.5 cm height) cut from bulk filter media (Patio Garden Pond, Moore, Okla.) was placed in the bottom of the nursery container to cover the drain holes, filter the leachate solution and retain substrate.

Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.9K, an 8 to 9 month product at 21 °C (The Scotts Co., Marysville, Ohio), was used as the polymer-coated CRF. The leach collection system was evaluated at Scotts CRF Nursery Research Areas in Marysville, Ohio, and Apopka, Fla. Locally available bark-based potting substrates were used to evaluate the leach collection system at both locations (Florida Potting Soil Inc., Apopka, 45% pine bark, 45% peat, 10% sand by volume). HDPE sandy medium sand was included for comparison in Florida (Florida Potting Soil Inc., G-1 Greensmox, 0.25 to 0.5 mm, pH 5.9, CEC 1.1) and Ohio (Millwood #7; Central Siltica Co., Zanesville, Ohio; 0.25 to 0.5 mm, pH 8.2, CEC 0.6). Osmocote was hand-mixed into the potting substrate at 25.6 g/pot to provide 1.8 kg N/m³. A 9 × 9 mesh screen (Plifer Wire Products, Tuscaloosa, Ala.) cut into 30 cm squares was placed over each nursery container after the CRF was applied. The mesh screen was secured with a rubber band (17.8 cm length × 0.63 cm width × 0.16 cm).
cm thick, Aero Rubber Company, Inc., Bridgeview, Ill.) to prevent the loss of sand and CRF during heavy rainfall.

The LCU were placed in the nursery research areas on 22 Mar. in Apopka and 10 May 2000 in Marysville. The units were subjected to rainfall and similar volumes of overhead irrigation was applied as that used to produce a crop of adjacent container grown woody ornamentals. Treatments were arranged in a completely randomized design with four replications, one LCU per replication.

After 7 d and 14-d intervals thereafter, the LCU were irrigated by hand with an additional 2.5 cm of water (leaching fraction >0.75) to ensure a final leaching of released nutrients before sampling the leachate solution. The lids of the LCU were removed after allowing the pots to drain for about 1 h, the leachate volume recorded, a 20 mL sample collected before sampling the leachate solution. The concentration of total N in the solution was determined using an elemental analyzer (model 7000; Antek Instruments Inc., Logan, Utah). The LCU in each location. For comparison, a probe was placed in the center of a 5.8-L container filled with bark-based substrate and planted with a Rhododendron × indica ‘Southern Charm’ liner in Florida, and Ilex × meserveae ‘Blue Girl’ liner in Ohio. Plants were grown as part of another study. Azalea and holly plants were fertilized with Osmocote Plus 15–3.9–9.9 (Scotts Co.), using a 12 to 14 and 8 to 9 month (21C) product, respectively, which produced vigorous plant growth throughout the season. Temperatures were recorded every 2 h and the average daily temperature calculated using the 12 values collected each day.

Statistical methods. Leachate curves obtained via replicated testing, under the same experimental conditions, form a sample from the totality of fertilizer release curves associated with the particular experimental setting. The totality of fertilizer release curves can be statistically modeled by Dirichlet processes and more generally mixtures of Dirichlet Processes (Antoniak, 1974). These processes are general enough to encompass the multivariate probabilistic structure and the constraints associated with the data collection scheme employed in the experiment. More specifically, mixtures of Dirichlet processes provide a general modeling framework for grouped data, typically obtained by sampling points from each of a collection of random sample functions from some common family of distribution functions.

The basic assumption underlying the mixture of Dirichlet Processes models, as it relates to this experimental framework, is that replicated leachate curves are sample distribution functions (i.e., nondecreasing, right-continuous functions), varying around some common parametric distribution function, M(t), which represents the mean release function for the process. For the purposes of this paper, M(t) is assumed to be a mixture of two gamma distributions, each with a shape parameter and a scale parameter. The two distributions in the mixture represent the release functions for imperfectly coated and coated nitrogen, respectively. The final component of M(t) is the proportion of imperfectly coated nitrogen. The five parameters (the shape and scale parameter for each component plus the mixture parameter) of M(t) provide an abundance of flexibility with regard to the shape of M(t). Finally, the degree to which the observed sample leach curves can deviate from M(t) is determined by one last parameter, αp, which is typically referred to as the base-mass for the Dirichlet Process. Values of αp close to 0 allow the observed leachate curves to deviate from M(t) in completely arbitrary ways, thus resulting in a completely flexible model, while values of αp, approaching infinity amount to perfectly smooth leach curves, reducing the flexibility of the model.
Cumulative N leach data for each substrate \times location combination was modeled using the Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling with 25,000 iterates. The model was selected once the mean release time, shape, proportion of imperfectly coated N and the scale parameters converged to specific values. These three quantities completely characterized the estimated fertilizer release distribution. The nitrogen release rate distribution is described as follows:

\[ P_{im}(\mathbf{X}) = (1 - \gamma) + \frac{\lambda \times r \times \gamma}{\Gamma(r)} \int_{0}^{r} \exp(-\lambda x) dx \]

where \( P_{im} \) = proportion of imperfectly coated N, \( \gamma \) = the gamma distribution, and \( r \) is the coated mean release time. For each model, estimates with 95% tolerance bands were determined for coated N mean release time, imperfectly coated proportion and coated N proportion density. Differences between two estimates were not considered significant if either of the two estimates was within the tolerance band of the other estimate.

Results

Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.2K release profiles, defined as the response curve of N leach rate versus time, were similar in sand or bark-based potting substrate in Ohio (Fig. 2) and Florida (Fig. 3). Two components were evident in the Osmocote release profile: 1) an imperfectly coated fraction providing early (within 30 d after potting) N release and 2) a coated fraction providing long term release. The imperfectly coated N proportion did not differ between sand and media in Ohio, about 20% of total N applied (Table 1). In Florida, 16% of the total N from imperfectly coated granules was captured when sand was used, compared to only 7% when the Florida bark substrate was used. The coated N mean release time for Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.2K was 124 DAP using a bark-based substrate in Ohio, similar to 118 DAP when sand was used as the substrate (Table 1). Similarly, substrate did not affect coated N mean release time in Florida, about 105 DAP for both substrates. Overall, coated N mean release time was 2 weeks longer in Ohio than in Florida regardless of potting substrate used. Substrate and location did not affect coated N proportion density (range 9 to 12). Except for the initial N release from the imperfectly coated fraction, N leach rate curves (Figs. 2 and 3B and D) exhibited a bell-shaped pattern and sigmoidal cumulative leach curves (Figs. 2 and 3A and C).

Cumulative N recovered in the leachate was generally lower in bark-based substrates in Ohio and Florida. After 175 DAP in Ohio, cumulative N recovered in the leach solution was 61% with sand as the substrate, and 51% with bark-based substrate. An additional 36% and 29% of the total applied N was recovered in the prills in sand and bark substrate respectively. Total N recovered (cumulative N in leach solution + residual N in prills) in Ohio was 97% and 80% for sand and bark-based substrate, respectively. After 217 DAP in Florida, cumulative N recovered in the leach solution was 88% when sand was used and 70% when bark-based substrate was used.

The average daily temperatures for the sand-filled LCU were similar (daily average max. difference <3 °C) to container-grown plants monitored in adjacent studies in both Florida and Ohio (Fig. 4).

Fig. 2. Nitrogen leach rate from Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.2K in Marysville, Ohio. Cumulative (A) and daily N leach rate (B) in bark-based substrate and cumulative (C) and daily N leach rate (D) in sand. Bold line is model, gray lines are replications.
Discussion

The goal of this research was to describe a device for tracking N release from a polymer-coated CRF under container nursery conditions. The LCU was effective for monitoring the release of CRF-N over an extended period of time. Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.9K had similar N release profiles in both sand and bark-based substrates. The N leach rates, however, were slightly reduced at times in the bark-based substrates compared to sand. Lower N leach rates were not due to decreased N release from Osmocote because granules retrieved from the two substrates in Ohio contained similar amounts of N at the end of the test. Therefore, Osmocote granules released the same amount of total N during the test, but a reduced amount of N was capable of being leached through the bark-based substrate. This was presumably due to N immobilization since bark-based substrates used for container nursery plant production, including those used in this research, are composed largely of uncomposted materials. In addition, Osmocote release rate is driven largely by substrate temperature (Lunt and Oertli, 1962; Oertli and Lunt, 1962). It is not affected by substrate chemical and physical characteristics such as pH, microbial activity, water holding capacity or porosity. Results from this research indicate that sand did not fundamentally change Osmocote N release rate compared to bark-based substrate. This concurs with Prasad and Woods (1971), in which the release rate of Osmocote was unchanged in peat or sand.

Although N release from Osmocote in bark-based substrates was similar to sand, we recommend sand as the substrate in the leach collection system. Sand provides a more consistent substrate material so that CRF release curves from various years and locations can be compared without the potential confounding factor of substrate variability. In addition, total N recovered in the leachate solution routinely

Table 1. Markov chain model estimates and 95% tolerance limits for cumulative nitrogen leached from Osmocote 18N–2.6P–9.9K (8 to 9 months 21 °C) placed in a leach collection system using bark-based and sand potting substrates in Marysville, Ohio and Apopka, Fla.

| Parameter                              | Ohio                   | Florida                |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                                        | Estimate | Lower | Upper  | Estimate | Lower | Upper  |
| Imperfectly coated N proportion (% of total N applied) |          |       |        |          |       |        |
| Bark                                   | 19.2     | 16.7  | 21.8   | 7.4      | 6.1   | 9.0    |
| Sand                                   | 20.8     | 16.8  | 24.8   | 16.5     | 14.5  | 18.6   |
| Coated N mean release time (days after potting) |          |       |        |          |       |        |
| Bark                                   | 123.6    | 117.8 | 129.4  | 106.3    | 104.2 | 108.3  |
| Sand                                   | 118.4    | 111.3 | 125.2  | 104.2    | 101.6 | 106.6  |
| Coated N proportion density            |          |       |        |          |       |        |
| Bark                                   | 12.2     | 9.1   | 15.5   | 12.4     | 11.2  | 13.8   |
| Sand                                   | 11.0     | 7.8   | 16.2   | 9.1      | 8.0   | 15.2   |

Fig. 3. Nitrogen leach rate from Osmocote 18.0N–2.6P–9.9K in Apopka, Fla. Cumulative (A) and daily N leach rate (B) in bark-based substrate and cumulative (C) and daily N leach rate (D) in sand. Bold line is model, gray lines are replications.
exceeds 90% if the test duration is sufficient to achieve complete CRF release, or if the unreleased CRF-N is determined. Finally, N leach rate in sand is a better estimate of the actual N release from the CRF since immobilization or ion exchange is minimal. Since microbial activity could be reduced in sand relative to bark-based substrates, the release characteristics of microbial-dependent CRFs, such as sulfur-coated urea, polymer-coated sulfur coated urea, and methylene ureas, may be altered in sand compared to a bark-based substrate.

Although the sand LCU were somewhat more exposed to direct sunlight compared to plants monitored in the study, the average substrate temperature of the sand LCU was similar to potted azalea or holly plants. This agrees with past years of monitoring LCU substrate temperatures and various potted plant substrate temperatures (data not shown). The LCU can also be placed among container-grown plants to reduce possible microsite environmental conditions.

A technique to quantify the nutrient release rates of polymer-coated CRFs in nursery conditions provides many benefits. Manufacturers can use this information to 1) develop new CRF products based on quantitative field data, 2) substantiate product claims regarding product longevity, 3) quantify fertilizer release rates under field conditions, and 4) develop quality assurance specifications based on field results. Growers can use this information to better interpret values from substrate extraction procedures (i.e., VTEM, SME), determine appropriate fertilizer re-application intervals as well as gain greater confidence in CRFs by knowing the nutrient release characteristic based on field data rather than laboratory data.

This research has demonstrated the leach collection system as a reliable means to quantify the N release of a temperature dependent, commercially available polymer-coated CRFs under nursery conditions. This system may also be applied to quantify the release profiles of other nutrients from polymer-coated CRF. In many cases, CRF release characteristics, particularly the duration of release, have been determined by the growth of selected plants in the nursery. This has caused confusion about the actual field CRF release characteristics due to the many variables that affect plant response, such as the growth habit of the plant, its responsiveness to fertilizer, rate of CRF application, leaching fraction, etc. The leach collection system eliminated the confounding factors while approximating a plant-substrate system. It provides detailed, quantitative information about the overall shape of the release profile and the quantity of CRF material remaining at any given time. The response of container plants to various CRF release curves can then be determined, rather than using the response of container plants to determine the fertilizer release characteristic. Finally, crop nutrient content can be estimated by comparing nutrient content of leachate from LCU with and without plants. A historical record would guide nursery managers as to the best time for efficient CRF application or release profile.
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