Zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) drive highly efficient genome editing by generating a site-specific DNA double-strand break (DSB) at a predetermined site in the genome. Subsequent repair of this break via the nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) or homology-directed repair (HDR) pathways results in targeted gene disruption or gene addition, respectively. Here, we report that ZFNs can be engineered to induce a site-specific DNA single-strand break (SSB) or nick. Using the CCR5-specific ZFNs as a model system, we show that introduction of a nick at this target site stimulates gene addition using a homologous donor template but fails to induce significant levels of the small insertions and deletions (indels) characteristic of repair via NHEJ. Gene addition by these CCR5-targeted zinc finger nickases (ZFNickases) occurs in both transformed and primary human cells at efficiencies of up to ~1%–8%. Interestingly, ZFNickases targeting the AAVS1 "safe harbor" locus revealed similar in vitro nicking activity, a marked reduction of indels characteristic of NHEJ, but stimulated far lower levels of gene addition—suggesting that other, yet to be identified mediators of nick-induced gene targeting exist. Introduction of site-specific nicks at distinct endogenous loci provide an important tool for the study of DNA repair. Moreover, the potential for a SSB to direct repair pathway choice (i.e., HDR but not NHEJ) may prove advantageous for certain therapeutic applications such as the targeted correction of human disease-causing mutations.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
To generate ZFNs with strand-specific nicking activity, we mutated the catalytic domain of a ZFN monomer to generate a catalytically inactivated form. This mutant productively heterodimerizes with the WT ZFN (right, red), resulting in cleavage of a single DNA strand. (C) Illustration of the DNA products following in vitro cleavage with the indicated WT and mutant ZFN combinations relative to the 5′-end-labeled DNA substrate. The position of the WT FokI ZFN binding site(s) is shown as a raised solid block and the anticipated cleavage events indicated. (C) Illustration of the expected digestion patterns following strand-specific nicking when resolved under non-denaturing and denaturing conditions. Strand-specificity is revealed since the cleavage site is off-center and the DNA substrate is labeled at the 5′ end only (see panel B above). (D) In vitro assessment of double-strand DNA cleavage with the D450N/WT and D467A/WT ZFN variants under non-denaturing conditions. (E) In vitro assessment of nicking activity with the D450N/WT and D467A/WT ZFN variants under denaturing conditions. The WT/WT combination provides a positive control for nicking of both strands. Numbers at the bottom of each lane indicate % of cleaved products (indicated by arrows). Numbers in parentheses shown are % cleavage activity (Ave ± SD) relative to the WT/WT combination (set as 100%).

Results

Mutation of the catalytic domain of a ZFN monomer generates a ZFN heterodimer with nicking activity

To generate ZFNs with strand-specific nicking activity, we mutated the FokI catalytic domain in one of the two ZFNs (Fig. 1A) necessary for dimerization and subsequent DNA cleavage (Bitinaite et al. 1998). Specifically, we focused on amino acids D450 and D467, both previously shown to result in catalytically inactive FokI variants when mutated (Waugh and Sauer 1993; Sanders et al. 2009). To eliminate the possibility of activity from homodimers of the catalytically active ZFN, we performed our nicking studies in the context of an obligate heterodimer ZFN architecture (Miller et al. 2007; Szczepak et al. 2007). Specifically, we used ZFN heterodimers encoding the Q486E; I499L variant partnered with the E490K; I538K variant—referred to below as EL or KK, respectively. A detailed description of the different WT and mutant ZFN combinations employed below is provided in Table 1.

To test the activity of ZFNs bearing D450N or D467A point mutations, digests were performed using a radiolabeled linear 292-bp PCR fragment of the CCR5 gene arranged to contain the target site for CCR5-specific ZFNs off center (Fig. 1B,C). Provision of in vitro synthesized CCR5-specific ZFNs (ZFN-L-EL and ZFN-R-KK) resulted in efficient double-strand cleavage of the template DNA into two smaller fragments (>82% cleavage efficiency) regardless of whether the reaction was resolved by PAGE under non-denaturing (Fig. 1D, WT/WT) or denaturing (Fig. 1E, WT/WT) conditions. When coupled with the WT right-hand ZFN (ZFN-R-KK), introduction of the D450N mutation into ZFN-L-EL eliminated double-strand cleavage (Fig. 1D, D450N/WT), while the D467A mutant retained very weak DNA cleavage activity (Fig. 1D, D467A/WT), resulting in a detectable activity (3.4%) in one out of four repeated experiments. To confirm strand-specific nicking activity, the same cleavage products were resolved under denaturing conditions to separate the two single-strand DNA molecules. Strikingly, both the D450N and D467A mutations largely eliminated cleavage of one of the two DNA strands, observed as the persistence of the full-length, linear single-strand template (Fig. 1E). Asymmetry of the ZFN site within the target DNA duplex revealed a digestion pattern consistent with only the WT ZFN (ZFN-R-KK) retaining cleavage activity (Fig. 1B–E). Relative to the WT/WT ZFN-mediated DNA cleavage (set as 100% here), the cleavage efficiency of D450N/WT and D467A/WT variants are 41.1 ± 10.8% and 53.2 ± 6.1% (Ave ± SD, n = 4), respectively, i.e., >80% cleavage of one of the two DNA strands (complete nicking of all double-stranded DNAs will show a read-out of 50% cleavage efficiency in this assay system). Together, these data demonstrate that elimination of cleavage activity in one half of a ZFN pair by introduction of either the D450N or D467A mutations results in the generation of a potent, strand-specific ZFNickase.

Targeted nicks stimulate homologous repeat deletion

As an initial test of nick-induced genome editing in living cells, we investigated whether a ZFNickase-induced SSB would stimulate HDR but not NHEJ.
Targeted nicks promote HDR-mediated gene modification at an endogenous locus

Next, we wished to evaluate whether ZFNickases could promote efficient addition of a small DNA patch (as might be performed for gene correction of a specific mutation) at a predetermined endogenous locus in mammalian cells. K562 cells were cotransfected with the CCR5-ZFN/ZFNickase variants (above) and a homologous donor molecule comprising a 51-bp patch of novel sequence containing a BglI site (generating a restriction fragment length polymorphism [RFLP]) flanked by homology arms identical to the sequence immediately surrounding the CCR5 ZFN target site (CCR5-patch donor) (Supplemental Fig. S2A; Fig. 3A). Cotransfection of the CCR5-patch donor with the WT/WT ZFN pair (DSB-inducing) resulted in the transfer of the RFLP to the chromosome at a frequency of 30.6% of the endogenous CCR5 alleles in the absence of selection for the desired event (Fig. 3B). Importantly, K562 cells cotransfected with the D450N/WT or D467A/WT ZFNickase pairs also led to the introduction of the RFLP to the endogenous CCR5 locus at frequencies of 7.3 and 8.0%, respectively. RFLP addition could not be detected in any of the samples treated with a single ZFN (Fig. 3B, ZFN-L-EL WT, D450N, D467A, or ZFN-R-KK). Analysis of single cell-derived clones derived by limiting dilution from the ZFNickase-treated cell pools revealed that >25% of the expanded clones were heterozygous for the RFLP (Table 2; Supplemental Fig. S2B,C)—a result consistent with the presence of at least three CCR5 allelic copies in the largely triploid K562 cell line (karyotype data not shown). Additional experiments (total n = 9) revealed that the D450N/WT ZFNickase is ~sixfold less efficient than the DSB-generating WT/WT ZFN at inducing DNA patch addition into the CCR5 locus in K562 cells (4.0 ± 2.6% vs. 29.6 ± 5.8%, respectively).

To understand whether the CCR5 ZFNickase-induced SBs are also repaired by the NHEJ pathway, we attempted to detect the small insertions and deletions (indels) characteristic of DSB repair via error-prone NHEJ at the ZFN target site. Analysis of the identical ZFN/ZFNickase-treated K562 cell pools (Fig. 3B) using the Surveyor nuclease assay revealed indels in 40.6% of the identical ZFN/ZFNickase-treated K562 cell pools via error-prone NHEJ at the ZFN target site. K562 cells were cotransfected with the D450N/WT or D467A/WT ZFNickase pairs also led to the introduction of the RFLP to the endogenous CCR5 locus at frequencies of 7.3 and 8.0%, respectively. RFLP addition could not be detected in any of the samples treated with a single ZFN (Fig. 3B, ZFN-L-EL WT, D450N, D467A, or ZFN-R-KK). Analysis of single cell-derived clones derived by limiting dilution from the ZFNickase-treated cell pools revealed that >25% of the expanded clones were heterozygous for the RFLP (Table 2; Supplemental Fig. S2B,C)—a result consistent with the presence of at least three CCR5 allelic copies in the largely triploid K562 cell line (karyotype data not shown). Additional experiments (total n = 9) revealed that the D450N/WT ZFNickase is ~sixfold less efficient than the DSB-generating WT/WT ZFN at inducing DNA patch addition into the CCR5 locus in K562 cells (4.0 ± 2.6% vs. 29.6 ± 5.8%, respectively).

To understand whether the CCR5 ZFNickase-induced SBs are also repaired by the NHEJ pathway, we attempted to detect the small insertions and deletions (indels) characteristic of DSB repair via error-prone NHEJ at the ZFN target site. Analysis of the identical ZFN/ZFNickase-treated K562 cell pools (Fig. 3B) using the Surveyor nuclease assay revealed indels in 40.6% of the identical ZFN/ZFNickase-treated K562 cell pools via error-prone NHEJ at the ZFN target site. K562 cells were cotransfected with the D450N/WT or D467A/WT ZFNickase pairs also led to the introduction of the RFLP to the endogenous CCR5 locus at frequencies of 7.3 and 8.0%, respectively. RFLP addition could not be detected in any of the samples treated with a single ZFN (Fig. 3B, ZFN-L-EL WT, D450N, D467A, or ZFN-R-KK). Analysis of single cell-derived clones derived by limiting dilution from the ZFNickase-treated cell pools revealed that >25% of the expanded clones were heterozygous for the RFLP (Table 2; Supplemental Fig. S2B,C)—a result consistent with the presence of at least three CCR5 allelic copies in the largely triploid K562 cell line (karyotype data not shown). Additional experiments (total n = 9) revealed that the D450N/WT ZFNickase is ~sixfold less efficient than the DSB-generating WT/WT ZFN at inducing DNA patch addition into the CCR5 locus in K562 cells (4.0 ± 2.6% vs. 29.6 ± 5.8%, respectively).

To understand whether the CCR5 ZFNickase-induced SBs are also repaired by the NHEJ pathway, we attempted to detect the small insertions and deletions (indels) characteristic of DSB repair via error-prone NHEJ at the ZFN target site. Analysis of the identical ZFN/ZFNickase-treated K562 cell pools (Fig. 3B) using the Surveyor nuclease assay revealed indels in 40.6% of the identical ZFN/ZFNickase-treated K562 cell pools via error-prone NHEJ at the ZFN target site. K562 cells were cotransfected with the D450N/WT or D467A/WT ZFNickase pairs also led to the introduction of the RFLP to the endogenous CCR5 locus at frequencies of 7.3 and 8.0%, respectively. RFLP addition could not be detected in any of the samples treated with a single ZFN (Fig. 3B, ZFN-L-EL WT, D450N, D467A, or ZFN-R-KK). Analysis of single cell-derived clones derived by limiting dilution from the ZFNickase-treated cell pools revealed that >25% of the expanded clones were heterozygous for the RFLP (Table 2; Supplemental Fig. S2B,C)—a result consistent with the presence of at least three CCR5 allelic copies in the largely triploid K562 cell line (karyotype data not shown). Additional experiments (total n = 9) revealed that the D450N/WT ZFNickase is ~sixfold less efficient than the DSB-generating WT/WT ZFN at inducing DNA patch addition into the CCR5 locus in K562 cells (4.0 ± 2.6% vs. 29.6 ± 5.8%, respectively).
Targeted nicks stimulate homologous repeat deletion. (A) Illustration of the MEL1 reporter assay. A MEL1 reporter yeast strain, carrying the CCR5 ZFN target site introduced between two overlapping fragments of the MEL1 gene (MEL and EL1, respectively), was transformed with ZFN expression plasmids for ZFN-L-EL ([*] catalytically inactive FokI domain) and ZFN-R-KK, whose expression are under the control of galactose-inducible promoter (P_{GAL}). ZFN expression was induced by the addition of galactose to the growth media and a DNA break or nick generated (depending on the constructs used). The repaired MEL1 gene directs the expression of α-galactosidase that can then be measured to determine the relative efficiencies of DNA break- or nick-induced repair. (CYC1) Transcriptional terminator of CYC1 (cytochrome c1) gene, (HIS3) the gene encoding yeast imidazoleglycerol-phosphate dehydratase required for histidine biosynthesis, (LEU2) the gene encoding yeast β-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase (IMDH) required for leucine biosynthesis; HIS3 and LEU2 are used for selection of transformants, (P_{PGK1}) promoter of the phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) gene, (KanMX) dominant resistance module for selection of S. cerevisiae transformants against geneticin (G418) (Voth et al. 2001). (B) Induction of a targeted nick in yeast stimulates homologous repeat deletion and restoration of the reporter gene (MEL1). ZFN expression was induced for 2 to 6 h, and reporter activity was determined as previously described (Doyon et al. 2008). (C) Evaluating direct double-strand DNA cleavage activity of the ZFNickases in yeast. A haploid yeast strain harboring the CCR5 ZFN target site integrated into the HO locus was transformed with the indicated galactose-inducible ZFNikase expression plasmids (D450N/WT, D467A/WT), DSB-inducing ZFN vectors (WT/WT), or a no ZFN control (Control). Tenfold serial dilutions of each cell line were plated on minimal media containing either glucose (ZFN expression off) or galactose (ZFN expression on) and incubated for 3 d. Direct DSB-induction results in a severe reduction in yeast cell viability (compare WT/WT+Glucose with WT/WT+galactose). No loss of viability is observed with the nickases. (D) Western blot to assess the expression levels of the various ZFN variants in panel C. Aliquots of the ZFN-induced cultures were harvested after 6 h of galactose induction. Cell lysates were run on SDS-PAGE, transferred, and blotted with either an anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (Sigma) to detect FLAG-tagged ZFN or anti-H3 monoclonal antibody (Millipore) as loading control. No significant differences in ZFN expression were observed. (E) Induction of a targeted nick in mammalian cells stimulates homologous repeat deletion mediated restoration of reporter gene expression. K562 cells were either untreated or transfected with a GFP reporter DNA plasmid (Supplemental Fig. S1) in the absence (No ZFN) or presence of the indicated ZFN expression plasmids. Cells were collected 3 d post-transfection and subjected to flow cytometry analysis after a 5-min incubation with propidium iodide (PI) to stain nonviable cells (PI+). GFP+PI- cells (gate at top left) represent the number of viable cells which have restored marker gene expression. One representative example from three independent experiments is shown.
WT/WT ZFNs uncovered 70 clones (93.3%) demonstrating HDR-driven targeted gene addition to the CCR5 locus (Table 2). Importantly, 58 of these clones (77.3%) also harbored CCR5 alleles with indels characteristic of repair via NHEJ. In contrast, the clones derived from cotransfection with the D450N/WT ZFNkase pair revealed no indels in any clone, yet 61 (65.6%) of the total 93 clones had undergone addition of the GFP expression cassette to the CCR5 locus (Table 2). Only one out of 95 clones (1.1%) derived from the cells treated with D467A/WT ZFNkase showed indel characteristic of repair via NHEJ at CCR5, whereas 67 clones (70.5%) exhibited targeted gene addition (Table 2). This may be explained by the residual DSB activity that we observed with the D467A/WT ZFNkase (Fig. 1). Together, these data demonstrate that a ZFNkase-induced SSB is sufficient to induce site-specific insertion of a gene-sized piece of DNA at the CCR5 locus. Moreover, this homology-driven gene addition process can occur in the absence of detectable repair via NHEJ.

Nick-induced gene addition is portable to primary human cells

To determine the utility of nick-induced targeted gene addition in primary human cells, we attempted to modify primary human fibroblasts, often employed as the starting cell for the generation of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). Using the CCR5-targeted D450N/WT pair and the CCR5-patch donor (Supplemental Fig. S2A), we observed ∼1.4% site-specific gene addition (Fig. 3D, D450N/WT) in the absence of selection for the desired events. Nick-induced targeted gene addition was markedly lower than the WT/WT DSB-inducing ZFNs (Fig. 3D, WT/WT) in this cell type, whereas site-specific gene addition was undetectable in cells treated with the CCR5-patch donor alone (Fig. 3D, No ZFN). These data suggest that the strategy of using targeted ZFNkases to mediate HDR-driven genome editing may be applicable to a variety of different cell types, including primary cells, albeit with lower efficiency.

ZFNickases-induced SSB bias repair against error-prone NHEJ

The results above suggest that a targeted nick may represent a pathway-specific stimulator of DNA repair. To confirm this result, we sought to maximize our assay sensitivity for the detection of mutations caused by NHEJ. We, therefore, performed Illumina deep sequencing of the CCR5 target locus from ZFN (WT/WT), ZFNkase (D450N/WT)-, or control (no ZFN or single ZFN)-treated K562 cells (Table 3). In the first experiment, we obtained between

| Donor ZFN | UnSorted pools | Sorted GFP* pools |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| R5-Patch D450N/WT | 283 73 25.8% ND ND | R5-GFP WT/WT 75 70 93.3% 58 77.3% |
| R5-Patch D467A/WT | 284 86 30.3% ND ND | R5-GFP D450N/WT 93 61 65.6% 0 0.0% |
| R5-GFP D467A/WT 95 67 70.5% 1 1.1% |

Genotyping of single cell clones derived from unsorted (CCR5-patch donor) or sorted (CCR5-GFP donor) pools are summarized. K562 cells were co-transfected with the indicated donor DNA and combinations of ZFN expression plasmids: WT/WT, D450N/WT, or D467A/WT. Unsorted or sorted pools were subjected to single cell cloning by limiting dilution. Single cell-derived clones were selected under a microscope, expanded, and then genotyped by PCR analysis. (ND) Not done.
K562 cells were transfected with the CCR5-patch donor DNA and the ZFN combinations as indicated in the table. Cells were collected to prepare genomic DNA 3 or 10 d later for Illumina deep sequencing as described in the Methods. Only sequence reads of high quality (>99% confidence for each base) were included in the analysis to classify sequences as wild-type (WT), or insertions or deletions (Indels). The sequences from the control cells (no ZFN or single ZFN-treated) were used to establish the background level of mutations in the assay. Under these conditions, any NHEJ-like indels are assumed to be false positives.

485,000 and 1.7 million high quality sequence reads (>99% confidence for each base) per sample. The WT/WT ZFN-treated sample contained 263,418 of 739,549 sequences (35.6%), with indels characteristic of repair by NHEJ (Table 3; Supplemental Table S1). In sharp contrast, treatment with the D450N/WT ZFN Nickase revealed just 43 of 944,824 sequences (0.0046%), with indels consistent with repair by NHEJ representing a >7800-fold reduction. The low frequency of indels detected in the D450N/WT ZFN Nickase-treated sample is similar to that detected in single WT ZFN monomer-treated samples (WT/none, 0.0058%; and none/WT, 0.0035%) and slightly above the background present in the non-ZFN-treated (none/none, 0.0017%) or single D450N ZFN-treated (D450N/none, 0.0000%) samples. Similar data were obtained in a second experiment in which the D450N/WT ZFN Nickase revealed 7800-fold reduction in indel formation compared to WT/WT ZFN Nickase (Table 3).

Genome-wide assessment of DSB induction following ZFN nickase treatment

To further assess the fidelity of ZFN Nickase-mediated genome editing, we determined DSB levels genome-wide following treatment with either WT/WT ZFNs or the two different ZFN nickase variants (D450N/WT or D467A/WT). We used two well-validated assays for visualizing DNA double-strand breaks that involve antibody-mediated detection of proteins associated with sites of DNA damage. Our initial studies used detection of the phosphorylated histone H2AFX (γH2AFX), a marker of DNA damage that forms foci at the sites of double-strand breaks (Rogakou et al. 1998, 1999; Stiff et al. 2004). FACS-analysis revealed significant phosphorylated histone H2AFX signal in cells treated with WT/WT ZFNs (14.70% γH2AFX+) at 2 d post-transfection (Fig. 4A, WT/WT), while the D450N/WT samples showed only 0.33% γH2AFX+ cells (Fig. 4A, D450N/WT). Slightly higher γH2AFX staining (4.34% γH2AFX+) was observed in cells treated with D467A/WT (Fig. 4A, D467A/WT), consistent with our previous observations, suggesting that the D467A mutant retains low DNA cleavage activity (Fig. 1; Table 2).

To confirm the γH2AFX results, we repeated these studies using antibodies for an alternative DNA damage marker, tumor protein p53 binding protein 1 (TP53BP1), which localizes to sites of DNA damage and forms foci that may be visualized by immunofluorescence microscopy (Schultz et al. 2000; Anderson et al. 2001; Rappold et al. 2001). The numbers of TP53BP1 foci per nucleus were counted after immunostaining at various time points

| Table 3. Evaluation of indels characteristic of NHEJ by Illumina deep sequencing of unsorted pools following nick-induced targeted integration (TI) at the CCR5 locus |
|-----------------|---------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| ZFN-L/R         | Total   | Indels | Indels (%) | TI (%) | TI/Indels |
| Experiment #1   |         |        |            |        |         |
| None/none       | 1,772,559 | 31     | 0.0017     | 0.0    | 0.0     |
| WT/WT           | 739,549  | 263,418| 35.6187    | 30.6   | 0.9     |
| D450N/WT        | 944,824  | 43     | 0.0046     | 7.3    | 1604.0  |
| WT/none         | 549,850  | 32     | 0.0058     | 0.0    | 0.0     |
| D450N/none      | 495,879  | 0      | 0.0000     | N/A    | N/A     |
| None/WT         | 485,253  | 17     | 0.0035     | 0.0    | 0.0     |
| Experiment #2   |         |        |            |        |         |
| None/none       | 2,313,390| 9      | 0.0004     | 0.0    | 0.0     |
| WT/WT           | 566,139  | 211,868| 37.4233    | 25.3   | 0.7     |
| D450N/WT        | 520,660  | 28     | 0.0054     | 2.8    | 520.7   |
| WT/none         | 1,289,952| 6      | 0.0005     | 0.0    | 0.0     |
| D450N/none      | ND      | ND     | ND         | ND     | N/A     |
| None/WT         | 1,196,113| 17     | 0.0006     | 0.0    | 0.0     |

Figure 4. Genome-wide evaluation of DSB formation in ZFN Nickase-treated cells by γH2AFX and TP53BP1 staining. K562 cells were transfected with the CCR5-patch donor in the absence (No ZFN) or presence of the indicated ZFN/ZFN nickase combinations. Cells were collected at 2 d (A,B) or at the indicated time (C) post-transfection and subjected to anti-γH2AFX (A) or anti-TP53BP1 (B,C) antibody staining for flow cytometry analysis (A) or immunofluorescence microscopy (B,C). Numbers of TP53BP1+ foci were counted using the SimplePC6 software (Compix) and expressed as average TP53BP1+ foci/nucleus (C) based on counting of at least three randomly selected fields. (*) A more than twofold difference in average TP53BP1+ foci/nucleus and p < 0.05 in comparison to the non-ZFN-treated control sample (Student’s t-test).
post-transfection (Fig. 4B,C). Again, we observed an increase in TP53BP1 foci in cells treated with WT/WT ZFNs (6.09 ± 1.07 foci/cell) compared to cells treated with the D450N/WT pair (0.84 ± 0.17 foci/cell) or no ZFN control samples (0.82 ± 0.22 foci/cell). The D467A/WT ZFN nickase pair, as expected, revealed a small but measurable increase in the number of TP53BP1 foci (1.77 ± 0.33 foci/cell). Across all samples tested, the γH2AFX and TP53BP1 levels returned to background within 7 d post-transfection (Fig. 4C; Supplemental Fig. S4). Together, the absence of markedly increased levels of γH2AFX and TP53BP1 confirms that the D450N/WT ZFN nickase does not drive an increase in the number of DSBs genome-wide. These data support the notion that CCR5 ZFN nickases achieve targeted gene addition by directly inducing a SSB. Moreover, the absence of direct DSB generation further highlights the repair pathway bias obtained with an induced nick, thus avoiding potential mutations driven by error-prone NHEJ-mediated repair.

Discussion

We show here that a targeted DNA nickase built upon the zinc-finger nuclease obligate heterodimer architecture (Miller et al. 2007) supports homology-directed site-specific gene addition (and correction) at an endogenous human gene in transformed and primary human cells. Importantly, gene addition occurs in the absence of a significant increase in the number of indels characteristic of error-prone NHEJ-mediated DNA repair. Application of ZFN nickases thus results in marked repair pathway bias, effectively forcing the cell to employ a homology-directed repair pathway. Given the vastly different genome editing outcomes supported by HDR (e.g., gene addition/correction) and NHEJ (e.g., gene disruption), the ability to restrict the products of repair to bias the desired editing event has the potential to further improve the precision of nuclease-induced genome engineering.

DNA SSB/nicks may be repaired by a rapid process involving: SSB detection by poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP1), DNA end processing by various enzymes, DNA gap filling by DNA polymerases, and DNA ligation by DNA ligases (for review, see Caldecott 2008). However, firm evidence for a different role for SSB/nicks has also emerged over the years. In yeast, SSBs induced by the gene II protein (gIIp) can stimulate HDR (Galli and Schiestl 1998). In mammalian cells, the “nick-only” mutants of the RAG promoters for V(D)J recombination were shown to be potent stimulators of homologous recombination (Lee et al. 2004). More recently, it was demonstrated that mammalian cells can efficiently utilize HDR to repair single-strand DNA gaps opposite an abasic site or benzo[a]pyrene adduct (Adar et al. 2009). DNA nicks generated by adeno-associated virus (AAV) Rep78 and Rep68 proteins can greatly enhance HDR (van Nierop et al. 2009). Furthermore, a “nick-only” version of the I-Anil homing endonuclease was also shown to stimulate HDR-mediated DNA repair in mammalian cells using a reporter assay system engineered to contain the I-Anil target site (Smith et al. 2009; Metzger et al. 2011). The ability to introduce a site-specific DNA nick at a predetermined site in the human genome as presented here will be an important tool for the further molecular dissection of these repair pathways.

Mechanistically, we present several lines of evidence that the homology-directed repair process proceeds via an initial SSB. First, ZFNs carrying the D450N mutation demonstrate no measurable DNA cleavage activity in vitro (Fig. 1), while the D467A mutant retains a small amount of catalytic activity. Second, measurement of γH2AFX and TP53BP1 foci (Fig. 4) reveals no increase in genome-wide DSB formation for the D450N mutant (and a low but measurable increase for D467A). Third, expression of either the D450N/WT or D467A/WT ZFN combinations in yeast does not result in the loss of cell viability observed for direct DSB-generating WT/WT ZFNs (Fig. 2C). Finally, using an ultradeep sequencing approach capable of detecting mutations at a frequency of ~1 in 20,000 events, we observe vanishing low levels of indels characteristic of DSB repair via NHEJ in the D450N/WT ZFN nickase-treated sample (Table 3). Compared to the WT/WT ZFNs (direct DSB-inducing), this represents a ~7000-fold reduction of indel mutations at the target locus. Last but not least, the levels of targeted gene addition driven by the ZFN nickases (i.e., 4.0 ± 2.6% of alleles without selection for the desired event) in the absence of significant levels of indels (NHEJ) are difficult to explain through direct DSB generation since NHEJ is generally more efficient than HDR for DSB repair in human cells (Mao et al. 2008). Thus, instead of direct DSB generation, it is more likely that the ZFN nickase initially induces only SSBs to stimulate DNA repair.

While the current studies do not provide direct information on the mechanism by which an induced SSB results in the stimulation of homologous repeat deletion or targeted gene addition, the most obvious models progress via the conversion of the SSB to either a single DSB end (DSE) (Cox 2001; Michel et al. 2001; Hellday 2003; Delacote and Lopez 2008) or a DSB (Kuzminov 2001; Saleh-Gohari et al. 2005) during DNA replication due to collapsed replication forks. Perhaps, therefore, the most surprising feature of the data we present here is the extent to which such a DSE or DSB is not a substrate for NHEJ (at least as determined by indel frequency).

The fact that DSB repair is so highly coordinated with the cell cycle, i.e., NHEJ occurring primarily in G1, while HDR takes place predominantly during S and G2/M (Ayton and Kupiec 2005; Barlow and Rothstein 2010), combined with the replication-dependent generation of the DSE or DSB (from a targeted SSB), provides at least one potential explanation for the marked preference for HDR that we observe. Independent of mechanism, the degree of repair pathway bias obtained with a targeted DNA nick adds to the tool box of methods for genome editing. ZFN nickases based upon the D450N/WT combination support HDR—yet we were unable to drive any significant increase in the frequency of indels characteristic of DSB repair by NHEJ. This result has particular relevance in settings where the avoidance of off-target ZFN action is of paramount importance, such as the potential therapeutic use of a genetically modified cell. To this end, we show that ZFN nickases are functional in primary human fibroblasts, a popular starting cell for the generation of iPSCs (Fig. 3D). This said, we have found that a ZFN nickase-induced SSB is less efficient at stimulating homologous recombination than its ZFN-induced DSB relative, likely reflecting the need for SSB to DSB conversion during S phase before proceeding via the HDR pathway.

To begin to determine the potential generality of the results with the CCR5-specific ZFN nickases, we generated a second pair of ZFN nickases targeting a distinct target site, namely the PPP1R12C gene (also known as the AAVS1 locus). D450N/WT variants of the AAVS1-specific ZFNs were able to generate a nick but not a DSB when presented with AAVS1 target DNA in vitro (Supplemental Fig. S5A). Moreover, the AAVS1 D450T/WT ZFN nickases also stimulated HDR (resulting in the addition of a novel HindIII site) at the endogenous AAVS1 locus with a significantly (20-fold) lower efficiency (Supplemental Fig. S5B). Illumina deep sequencing results confirmed that the AAVS1 D450N/WT ZFN nickase-treated sample had a 1160-fold reduction in the percentage of NHEJ-driven de-
lections compared to the WT/WT ZFN-treated samples under conditions of repeat transfection (Supplemental Table S3). Interestingly, detailed analysis of the WT/WT ZFN-treated samples revealed a preferred repair event "GCCA-duplication" that comprised 82% of all NHEJ-driven insertions in the WT/WT ZFN-treated sample. In contrast to the deletion data, ZFNNickase-treated samples revealed a 45-fold reduction in insertions compared to the WT/WT ZFN-treated sample, perhaps suggesting that such insertions may be created through a different DNA repair mechanism more compatible with initiation via an SS8 (Kvískstad et al. 2007; Russell and Hirata 2008). Excluding these "jackpot" GCGA-duplication events, deep sequencing analysis of samples treated with AAVS1 D450/WT ZFNickases revealed that the HDR repair pathway is markedly preferred over the classic NHEJ pathway causing undefined insertions and deletions (TI/ und_in del = 9.76 compared to 1.08 in the WT/WT ZFN-treated sample) (Supplemental Table S3).

Taken together, these studies demonstrate that the D450N mutant described here can be used as a general approach to the generation of ZFNickases with in vitro DNA-nicking activities. Application of these enzymes in vivo demonstrates the anticipated reduction/elimination of indel generation. Interestingly, however, the in vivo efficiency of ZFNickase-induced gene addition through the HDR pathway was markedly dependent on the specific ZFNickase used. While beyond the scope of the current study, these data suggest that, in contrast to ZFN deployment, the general application of nickases will require the elucidation of additional factors (beyond the choice of FokI domain itself) that gate the activity of nick-driven targeted gene insertion.

In summary, the data reported here demonstrate that ZFNNickase-stimulated gene addition can occur at endogenous human loci in the absence of significant mutagenesis by the error-prone NHEJ repair pathway. These data provide a first step toward controlling the outcomes of either gene addition/correction or gene disruption via the appropriate use of homologous donor DNA and the targeted introduction of either a single- or double-strand break, respectively.

Methods

Zinc-finger nuclease constructs

The amino acid sequences of the base CCR5 ZFN-L and ZFN-R are as described in Perez et al. (2008), and the full amino acid sequences are included in Supplemental Figure S6. The indicated mutations were introduced into the FokI cleavage domain using the QuickChange mutagenesis kit (Stratagene/Agilent Technologies). The residue number of each mutation refers to its position in the full-length FokI endonuclease.

In vitro DNA cleavage assay

A 2.5-kb fragment of the CCR5 locus containing the ZFN target site was cloned into the pcR4-Topo vector (Invitrogen), and used as a template for PCR amplification of a 292-bp fragment of CCR5 using primers: 5'-AAGATGGATTTCAGGTCAGAGATGGCCAGGTTG-3' and 5'-CAAGTGCACCTGGGCG-3'. The resulting PCR product was end-labeled with [γ-32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) as per the manufacturer's protocols. Unincorporated nucleotides were removed with a G50 spin column (GE Healthcare), and the resulting mixture was diluted to 1 ng/µl in FokI buffer consisting of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 10 µM ZnCl2, 0.5 mg/mL BSA, and 1 mM DTT. The ZFNs were in vitro synthesized using the TNT-Quick coupled transcription/translation system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's recommendations, except that the incubation time was increased to 2 h. The appropriate ZFNs and the radiolabeled 292-bp target DNA were mixed in FokI buffer and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The radiolabeled DNA was then extracted with a phenol/chloroform mixture and either untreated (double-stranded DNA) or treated (single-stranded DNA) with a glyoxal/DMSO solution [1.0 M glyoxal, 10 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, pH 7.0, ~50% vol/vol DMSO] at 50°C for 1 h (McMaster and Carmichael 1977). Double-stranded or single-stranded DNAs were then separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel, the gel was dried, and cleavage quantified by phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics).

Yeast assays

MEI reporter assays were performed as described (Doyon et al. 2008, 2011) using a MEI reporter construct containing a 1-kb EcoRI fragment of the CCR5 gene containing the CCR5 ZFN target site (Fig. 2A). The left arm (MEI) is 750 bp long, whereas the right arm (EL1) is 1810 bp long. There is a 450-bp overlap (identical sequence). To assay direct DSB-inducing activity of ZFNs in yeast (Fig. 2C), the CCR5 ZFN target site was integrated into the HO locus of the BY4741 strain using HO-poly-KanMX4-HO (Voth et al. 2001). The yeast strain was transformed with the various ZFN expression constructs, individual colonies were picked and grown in raffinose minimal media until they reached a density of 1 OD600nm. Tenfold serial dilutions of the cells were then plated on minimal media containing glucose (repressing) or galactose (inducing) and incubated for 3 d at 30°C.

Endogenous gene modification

The donor plasmids used to introduce either a BglI restriction enzyme site (CCR5-Patch) or eGFP (CCR5-GFP) at the endogenous CCR5 gene are shown in Supplemental Figures S2A and S3A. K562 cells were cultured in RPMI1640 media (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin. Cells were nucleofected with ZFN and donor plasmids using either the Amaxa nucleofector or 96-well shuttle system (Amaxa Biosystems/Lonza) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For nucleofection of 1–2×106 cells with the Amaxa nucleofector, 1.25–2.5 µg of each ZFN expression plasmid and 12.5–25.0 µg of the donor constructs were used. For nucleofection of 1–2×106 cells with the Amaxa 96-well shuttle system, 0.4 µg of each ZFN expression plasmid and 0.8 µg of the donor constructs were used. Cells were collected 3 d post-transfection or as indicated in the figure legends. Genomic DNA was extracted with the DNeasy Tissue kit (Qiagen) according to the supplier's instructions. Frequency of gene modification by NHEJ was evaluated by the Surveyor nuclease assay as described previously (Perez et al. 2008; Guschin et al. 2010), except when samples were analyzed after also being exposed to the CCR5-patch donor. For these samples, a modified protocol was exploited in which we first gel-purified a 2.5-kb CCR5 "outside" PCR product which uses primers that bind to sequences that are outside the region present in the donor DNA. This parental PCR product was then used as a template to amplify a 163-bp fragment of CCR5 using the inside primers (5'-CACATGGAGCACGAGGCG-3' and 5'-CAGGGTGAGAGATGGCG-3'). Samples with the patch integrated at CCR5 also contain an additional 209-bp PCR product. The 163-bp product resulting from amplification with the "inside" PCR primers were gel-purified and then processed as described previously (Miller et al. 2007; Perez et al. 2008; Guschin et al. 2010).
Frequency of gene modification by homologous recombination was evaluated by a restriction fragment length polymorphism assay and flow cytometric analysis as described below. The RFLP assay was performed based on the insertion of a unique BglII site between the CCR5 ZFN binding sequences within the CCR5 gene. Briefly, a pair of CCR5 primers (5' -CTGCCTCTAAAGTTGCTTGGCTAAG-3' and 5' -CCAGCAAATGATGACAATTCCACCTGTATTCC-3') located outside the region of homology encoded by the CCR5 donor molecules was used to PCR-amplify a 2.5-kb CCR5 fragment in the presence of [α-32P]dATP and [α-32P]dCTP. PCR products were passed through a G-50 column and digested with BglII. The products were resolved on a 10% polyacrylamide gel; the gel was dried and RFLP knock-in quantified using a phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics). The frequency of targeted integration was calculated by measuring the ratio of cleaved to total product.

To construct the GFP reporter for testing homologous repeat deletion, two fragments of the eGFP open reading frame were ligated using sticky-end restriction enzymes. The digested products were gel-purified and used as templates for PCR reactions using a nested set of primers containing BpmI and XhoI restriction enzyme sites (5' -CTACTCACTGGTGTTCAT-3' and 5' -CTGCCTCTAAAGTTGCTTGGCTAAG-3'). The resulting PCR products were subjected to Illumina genomic DNA sequencing to begin as close to the putative ZFN cleavage sites as possible. The digested amplicons were digested with BpmI and XhoI to remove 16 bp at the 5' end of the PCR products, thus allowing sequencing to begin as close to the putative ZFN cleavage sites as possible. The digested products were gel-purified and ligated to adaptors (with or without 3-nt "bar code" unique to the experiment) which also exploits the BpmI- or XhoI-DNA overhangs (Supplemental Table S2). Adaptor-ligated PCR products were then gel-purified and PCR amplified using Illumina Genomic DNA Primers (Illumina). The resulting PCR products were subjected to Illumina deep sequencing using an Illumina Genome Analyzer instrument located at the California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences, University of California (Berkeley, CA). A read length of 36 bp was used and a custom-written computer script was used to extract all high-quality sequence reads and then classify high-quality reads based on their alignment with the WT template sequence. A quality score cutoff of 20 was used to exclude sequences with at least one base call with a score below 20. Sequences that did not contain any insertions or deletions and matched the wild-type sequences at 33 or more of the 36-bp read length were classified as wild type. Sequences that contained a deletion of two or more contiguous bases and no other changes relative to the wild-type sequence were classified as NHEJ-mediated deletions. Sequences that contained an insertion of two or more contiguous bases consistent with NHEJ-mediated insertion (i.e., a duplication of nearby sequence resulting from fill-in of single-stranded overhangs) and no other changes relative to wild type were classified as NHEJ-mediated insertions.

Transduction of primary human fibroblast cells
Primary human fibroblast cells derived from normal neonatal foreskin were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and cultured in Minimum Essential Medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin. For delivery to primary cells, we employed a replication-defective chimeric adenoviral vector (Ad5/F35) to express the ZFNs/ZFNickases (as described previously in Perez et al. 2008), combined with a lentiviral vector (LV) for delivery of the donor DNA (CCR5-patch), also generated as previously described (Lombardo et al. 2007), in which the CCR5 homologous arms and package sequence are the same as described in Supplemental Figure S2A. This combination of vectors has previously proven very effective in mesenchymal stem cells (Benabdallah et al. 2010). For targeted integration, cells were infected with the lent-i-CCR5-patch vector for 6 h, followed by addition of Ad5/F35 ZFN vectors. Cells were collected 3–5 d later, and genomic DNA was prepared for the RFLP and Surveyor nuclease assay as above.

Measurement of genome-wide DSB formation by γH2AFX and TP53BP1 staining
For intracellular staining of phosphorylated histone H2AFX, cells collected at the indicated time points post-nucleofection were permeabilized with perm/wash buffer (0.05% Saponin, 2.5% FBS, and 0.02% NaN3 in PBS) and then incubated with anti-γH2AFX monoclonal antibody (Upstate), followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor®488-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin (Ig) (Invitrogen). Cells were then analyzed using a Guava EasyCyte single cell analysis system (Guava Technologies). For TP53BP1 immunocytochemistry, cells were collected to prepare slides by cytospin (Thermo Scientific) and stained with anti-TP53BP1 rabbit polyclonal antibodies (Bethyl Laboratories), followed by photog-raph using a CCD camera connected to an immunofluorescence microscope (Nikon) as described before in detail (Perez et al. 2008).

Data access
All Illumina deep sequencing data have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (http://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi) under accession number SRA050213.
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