A Resistance to Systemic Symptom Expression of Melon Necrotic Spot Virus in Melon
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ABSTRACT. Melon necrotic spot virus (MNSV) has been found affecting melon (Cucumis melo L.) crops. At present the only known resistance in melon is controlled by a single recessive gene, nsv. The presence of nsv in a melon genotype has been correlated with the lack of necrotic lesions on the mechanically inoculated cotyledons. Thus, in a screening program for MNSV resistance, melon genotypes that developed necrotic lesions in the inoculated cotyledons were discarded. However, in this paper we show that some melon accessions mechanically inoculated with MNSV do develop local necrotic lesions, therefore showing the absence of the gene nsv, but fail to develop the systemic symptoms typical of diseased plants under the screening conditions. In some of these accessions the influence of the temperature on the development of systemic symptoms was studied. The results showed that, depending on the accession, temperatures under 25 or 20 °C enhanced the systemic development of the disease. One of the tested varieties, ‘Doublon’, did not develop systemic symptom at any of the tested temperatures (15, 17.5, 20, 22.5, 25, 27.5, and 30 °C). In this variety, the lack of systemic symptoms was correlated to the lack of virus infection of these tissues based upon ELISA results. MNSV was not detected in the uninoculated parts of the plant, and seems to remain confined to the local lesions produced on the cotyledons following the mechanical inoculation. Restriction of viral multiplication and/or cell-to-cell movement could explain the pattern of viral distribution in this variety. This reaction was observed in the ‘Doublon’ plants mechanically inoculated with each of five isolates of MNSV tested, including an isolate that overcomes the nsv gene resistance.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and virus strains. The different melon accessions used in this study as well as their geographical origin are shown in Table 1. MNSV isolates were: M-8-85, collected from melon crops in Almería area (southeastern Spain) during 1985, and MNSV-264, collected on melon plants with an nsv/nsv genotype in Almería in 1999 (Díaz et al., 2002). To validate the results obtained with M-8-85 and MSNV-264 isolates, we used the following MNSV isolates: M-4-88 and M-1-90, both collected in Almería during 1988 and 1990, respectively, and M-8-93 collected in Zaragoza (northeastern Spain) during 1993, all of them from Nsv- melon plants.
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| Accession | Origin            | Symptoms M-8-85 | Symptoms MNSV-264 |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 2624-B1   | USDA (U.S.)       | 9 9 1 S        | 10 10 0 S        |
| 26929-ems3| USDA (U.S.)       | 10 10 0 S      | 10 10 0 S        |
| 52.252    | Turkey            | 9 9 5 S        | 8 8 2 S          |
| 7-A-1-2   | Spain             | 10 10 1 S      | 10 10 2 S        |
| 8/85      | Yugoslavia        | 10 10 0 S      | 10 10 0 S        |
| 9120      | Selection (S.I.A.)| 10 10 0 S      | 10 10 0 S        |
| Agostino  | Jaén (Spain)      | 18 18 1 S      |                  |
| Agrestis  | Unknown           | 9 9 0 S        | 10 10 0 S        |
| Amarillo Alargado | Badajoz (Spain) | 12 12 0 S      | 10 10 1 S        |
| Amarillo Blanco Pitón | Granada (Spain) | 12 12 6 S      | 10 10 1 S        |
| Amarillo Cáscares Pinta | Cáceres (Spain) | 12 12 4 S      |                  |
| Amarillo Exportación | Valencia (Spain) | 8 8 2 S        | 10 10 0 S        |
| Amarillo Oval Tardío | Commercial cultivar | 12 12 2 S      | 10 10 2 S        |
| ANC-103   | Andalucía (Spain) | 9 9 4 S        |                  |
| ANC-117   | Andalucía (Spain) | 11 11 1 S      |                  |
| ANC-13    | Andalucía (Spain) | 10 10 0 S      | 10 10 0 S        |
| ANC-138   | Andalucía (Spain) | 11 11 4 S      |                  |
| ANC-157   | Andalucía (Spain) | 8 8 7 S        |                  |
| ANC-25    | Andalucía (Spain) | 11 11 2 S      |                  |
| ANC-32    | Andalucía (Spain) | 11 11 2 S      |                  |
| ANC-37    | Andalucía (Spain) | 12 12 0 S      |                  |
| ANC-41    | Andalucía (Spain) | 10 10 9 S      |                  |
| ANC-42    | Andalucía (Spain) | 12 12 12 S     | 12 12 1 S        |
| ANC-44    | Andalucía (Spain) | 11 11 2 S      |                  |
| ANC-45    | Andalucía (Spain) | 10 10 2 S      |                  |
| ANC-52    | Andalucía (Spain) | 11 11 2 S      |                  |
| ANC-64    | Andalucía (Spain) | 12 12 10 S     |                  |
| ANC-71    | Andalucía (Spain) | 10 10 0 S      |                  |
| ANC-9     | Andalucía (Spain) | 10 10 3 S      |                  |
| ANC-93    | Andalucía (Spain) | 10 10 9 S      |                  |
| Banda de Godoy | Badajoz (Spain) | 10 10 5 S      | 10 10 4 S        |
| Baza      | Granada (Spain)   | 12 12 0 S      | 10 10 0 S        |
| Bolín     | Toledo (Spain)    | 12 12 3 S      | 9 9 1 S          |
| Caña Dulce| Jaén (Spain)      | 12 12 5 S      |                  |
| Casero Rayado | Salamanca (Spain) | 12 12 10 S     |                  |
| Castellanos | Ciudad Real (Spain) | 9 9 4 S      |                  |
| CC140884-1C| Cáceres (Spain)   | 8 8 2 S        |                  |
| CC-17     | Cataluña (Spain)  | 10 10 0 S      |                  |
| CC-21     | Cataluña (Spain)  | 11 11 0 S      |                  |
| Comín     | Teruel (Spain)    | 12 12 3 S      |                  |
| CUM 122   | Tunisia           | 10 10 4 S      |                  |
| CUM 170   | Russia            | 10 10 5 S      |                  |
| CUM 172   | Georgia           | 9 9 1 S        |                  |
| CUM 204   | Unknown           | 10 10 9 S      |                  |
| CUM 230   | Hungary           | 10 10 7 S      |                  |
| CUM 241   | Russia            | 10 10 0 S      |                  |
| CUM 263   | Mongolia          | 10 10 5 S      |                  |
| CUM 299   | Italy             | 10 10 7 S      |                  |
| CUM 31    | Germany           | 10 10 4 S      |                  |
| CUM 333   | Turkey            | 10 10 5 S      |                  |
| CUM 334   | Turkey            | 10 10 5 S      |                  |
| CUM 335   | USA               | 9 9 4 S        |                  |
| CUM 338   | Mongolia          | 10 10 6 S      |                  |
| CUM 355   | Irak              | 10 10 8 S      |                  |
| CUM 363   | Italy             | 10 10 0 S      |                  |
| CUM 366   | Russia            | 7 7 0 S        |                  |
| CUM 372   | Italia            | 10 10 10 S     |                  |
| CUM 375   | Georgia           | 8 8 3 S        |                  |
| CUM 376   | Georgia           | 9 9 1 S        |                  |
| CUM 378   | Georgia           | 10 10 3 S      |                  |
| CUM 379   | Georgia           | 10 10 8 S      |                  |
| CUM 443   | Canada            | 10 10 9 S      |                  |
| CUM 468   | USA               | 9 9 0 S        |                  |
| CUM 474   | USA               | 9 9 6 S        |                  |
| CUM 479   | USA               | 10 10 2 S      |                  |
| CUM 481   | Albania           | 9 9 9 S        |                  |
| CUM 483   | Italy             | 10 10 7 S      |                  |
| CUM 484   | Italy             | 8 8 8 S        |                  |
| CUM 496   | Croatia           | 10 10 1 S      |                  |
| CUM 85    | Greece            | 9 9 9 S        |                  |
Table 1. Continued.

| Accession      | Origin          | Symptoms | Reacttion | Symptoms | Reacttion |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| De Buena Clase | Huesca (Spain)  | 5        | 0         | S        | 10        |
| De La Marina   | Granada (Spain) | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| Del País       | Córdoba (Spain) | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| Doublon        | France          | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| EC-10          | Extremadura (Spain) | 7   | 7         | S        | 10        |
| EC-16          | Extremadura (Spain) | 8   | 8         | S        | 10        |
| EC-18          | Extremadura (Spain) | 9   | 9         | S        | 10        |
| EC-19          | Extremadura (Spain) | 8   | 8         | S        | 10        |
| EC-25          | Extremadura (Spain) | 12  | 12        | S        | 10        |
| EC-29          | Extremadura (Spain) | 10  | 10        | S        | 10        |
| EC-46          | Extremadura (Spain) | 12  | 12        | S        | 10        |
| El Encin 4078  | Badajoz (Spain) | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| El Encin 45    | Granada (Spain) | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| El Encin 64    | Madrid (Spain)  | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| El Encin 69    | Navarra (Spain) | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Eseto          | Murcia (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Franceset      | Valencia (Spain) | 12      | 12        | S        | 10        |
| GR-091084-1C   | Granada (Spain) | 8        | 8         | S        | 10        |
| Hale's Best    | Commercial      | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Hidalgo        | Commercial      | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Inverniz       | Málaga (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| J-2211-13-C    | Jaén (Spain)    | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| J-2811-1-C     | Jaén (Spain)    | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Kasapno会计nº27 | Greece          | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Kogane Nashi | Makawa        | 9        | 9         | S        | 10        |
| Loperano       | Salamanca (Spain) | 11    | 11        | S        | 10        |
| Loperos        | Córdoba (Spain) | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Maduro Amarillo| Badajoz (Spain) | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Maduro Negro   | Badajoz (Spain) | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| Melba          | Poland          | 8        | 8         | S        | 10        |
| Menovi Moupeckio| Greece         | 9        | 9         | S        | 10        |
| Mohuellos      | Huelva (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Molleres 1     | Lérida (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Molleres 2     | Lérida (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Molleres 3     | Lérida (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Molleres 7     | Lérida (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Moscatel       | Cádiz (Spain)   | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| MUC-28         | Murcia (Spain)  | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| MUC-36         | Murcia (Spain)  | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| MUC-47         | Murcia (Spain)  | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| MUC-5          | Murcia (Spain)  | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| Negro          | Málaga (Spain)  | 11       | 11        | S        | 10        |
| Negro de Elche | Commercial cultivar | 12   | 12        | S        | 10        |
| Ogen           | Commercial cultivar | 10   | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Olivers        | Poland          | 10       | 10        | S        | 10        |
| Pedroso        | Salamanca (Spain) | 11   | 11        | S        | 10        |
| PI 161375      | Korea           | 12       | 0         | 0        | 10        |
| PI 17.187      | India           | 10       | 10        | 0        | 10        |
| PI 434723      | India           | 12       | 12        | 0        | 10        |
| Pintasapo      | Murcia (Spain)  | 8        | 8         | S        | 10        |
| Pilionet       | Lérida (Spain)  | 12       | 12        | S        | 10        |
| PMR-45         | USA             | 14       | 14        | S        | 10        |
| PMR-5          | USA             | 12       | 0         | 0        | 10        |
| PSH            | Selection (S.I.A.) | 13   | 13        | 0        | 10        |
| SH-021-1       | Huelva (Spain)  | 10       | 10        | 1        | 10        |
| Tendral Verde  | Barcelona (Spain) | 10  | 10        | 0        | 10        |
| TGR-1551       | Zimbawe         | 7        | 7         | S        | 7        |
| VC-108         | Valencia (Spain) | 9        | 9         | 0        | 10        |
| VC-112         | Valencia (Spain) | 10       | 10        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-115         | Valencia (Spain) | 11       | 11        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-116         | Valencia (Spain) | 10       | 10        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-123         | Valencia (Spain) | 11       | 11        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-136         | Valencia (Spain) | 11       | 11        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-43          | Valencia (Spain) | 11       | 11        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-67          | Valencia (Spain) | 11       | 11        | 0        | 10        |
| VC-68          | Valencia (Spain) | 11       | 11        | 0        | 10        |
| Véndose        | Spain           | 9        | 9         | 0        | 10        |
Table 2. Reaction of different melon accessions after mechanical inoculation with the M-8-85 isolate of MNSV and incubation at 20, 25 or 30°C. The results are expressed as number of plants showing systemic symptoms / total number of plants tested.

| Accessions | Temp (°C) |
|------------|-----------|
|            | 20        | 25       | 30       |
| 8-85       | 3/10      | 3/9      | 0/9      |
| 9120       | 5/9       | 4/9      | 0/10     |
| Agrestis   | 0/6       | 0/11     | 0/9      |
| ANC-42     | 12/12     | 1/12     | 0/10     |
| De la marina | 1/11     | 1/9      | 0/10     |
| Doublon    | 0/10      | 0/10     | 0/10     |
| El Encín 45 | 0/12     | 0/10     | 0/10     |
| El Encín 69 | 0/12     | 0/9      | 0/10     |
| Invernizo  | 4/10      | 0/10     | 0/10     |
| Mollerusa 1| 1/10      | 0/10     | 0/10     |
| Mollerusa 3| 2/12      | 0/10     | 0/11     |
| Negro      | 8/10      | 2/10     | 0/10     |
| PMR-5      | 0/10      | 0/10     | 0/10     |

When seedlings reached the two cotyledons growth stage, several plants of each line or variety were mechanically inoculated with the MNSV isolates.

For mechanical inoculation of test plants, 1 g of inoculated melon cotyledons exhibiting necrotic local lesions was harvested 4 or 5 d after inoculation and ground with 4 mL of 0.03 m Na2HPO4 solution containing 0.2% sodium diethyldithiocarbamate. The extracted juice was mixed with 400-mesh carborundum (0.375 g·mL–1) and activated charcoal (0.375 g·mL–1) and then was rubbed on the cotyledons of 7- to 10-d-old seedlings.

**Virus detection.** MNSV detection was performed by means of a double-antibody sandwich–enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (DAS-ELISA) technique (Clark and Adams, 1977), using a commercial polyclonal MNSV antisera (Loewe Phytodiagnostica, Otterling, Germany). ELISA tests were performed following the manufacturer’s recommendation. The procedure was carried out in polystyrene plates using 100 µL per well of each of the solutions, antigen, IgGs, alkaline phosphatase-conjugated IgGs and substrate solution. Tissue samples (a maximum of 0.3 g) were ground in ELISA extraction buffer at 1/10 (v/w) dilution. The absorbance (405 nm) was measured with a Titertek Multiskan Plus (Labsystems, Finland) spectrophotometer. Samples were considered as positive when their absorbance values exceeded three times the values observed in healthy plants used as negative controls.

**Temperature effects.** The germplasm inoculation with MNSV in a greenhouse revealed that for some accessions, although necrotic local lesions were observed in the cotyledons systemic symptoms were not observed consistently (Table 1).

Nine of these accessions (Table 2) were selected because they did not show systemic symptoms when inoculated either during spring or during autumn, when the environmental conditions were the most suitable for systemic symptoms development. These accessions were inoculated with the M-8-85 isolate and were grown in a growth chamber either at 20, 25 or 30 °C, 60% RH and 14 h photoperiod (300 µmol·m–2·s–1). As test plants, we used varieties with differential reaction to M-8-85 inoculation under greenhouse conditions: ‘Doublon’ carrying the MNSV gene, ‘Doublon’ which did not show any systemic symptoms, ‘Invernizo’ showing systemic symptoms on approximately 50% of the inoculated plants and ‘ANC-42’ which developed systemic symptoms on all inoculated plants at 20 °C (Table 1). In another experiment, 10 plants of each of those varieties were inoculated with the MNSV isolate M-8-85 and maintained in growth chambers at 15, 17.5, 20, 22.5, 25, 27.5, and 30 °C (at conditions mentioned above). Plants were observed during 30 d, and presence or absence of local and systemic symptoms were recorded.

**Dynamic of virus infection.** A total of 24 plants of each of the varieties ‘Doublon’, ‘ANC-42’, and ‘PMR-5’ were studied. Sowings were done at different days, in a way that allow us to inoculate six plants per variety at the right inoculation stage (two cotyledons) each 3 d. We used the MNSV isolate M-8-85 and the inoculated plants were divided into two groups and maintained in two growth chambers at 20 or 27.5 °C (at conditions mentioned above). Three days after the last inoculation, the roots, hypocotyl, cotyledons, and apical leaves of each plant were analyzed for MNSV presence by ELISA. As controls, 10 plants from each variety that were not inoculated were used.

**Analysis of local infection.** Thirty plants of each of the accessions ‘Doublon’, ‘PMR-5’ and ‘Maduro Negro’ (susceptible control developing systemic symptoms) were kept in a growth chamber at 20 °C (at conditions mentioned above). At the two cotyledons growth stage, 10 and 20 plants of each accession were inoculated with the isolates M-8-85 and MNSV-264 respectively. Eight days after the inoculation, samples of each plant were collected from the following parts: local lesions (A1) (if present), cotyledonar tissue between local lesions (A2), and uninoculated apical leaves (A3). Presence of MNSV in the collected and in equivalent samples from uninoculated controls was analyzed by ELISA (see before).

**Results.**

**Response of inoculated melon germplasm.** The results of the inoculations performed in this study are summarized in Table 1. According to Pitrat and Leuco (1984) criteria, only the accessions ‘PI 161375’ and ‘PMR-5’ did not develop necrotic local lesions on inoculated cotyledons and therefore were resistant to the isolate M-8-85 of MNSV, whereas none of the accessions tested were resistant to isolate MNSV-264. However, some variability in the development of systemic symptoms on inoculated plants could be observed. Thus, 41 of the 138 accessions that exhibited necrotic local lesions on cotyledons when inoculated with the M-8-85 isolate did not show any systemic symptom and the same behavior was observed in 41 of the 60 accessions inoculated with the MNSV-264 isolate. In general, MNSV-264 was less efficient in inducing systemic symptoms on mechanically inoculated plants than M-8-85, as shown in Table 1. Local symptoms consisted in small necrotic lesions that appeared on inoculated cotyledons ≤4 d after inoculation. When present, systemic symptoms consisted of foliar mosaic with small chlorotic spots that become necrotic

Table 3. Number of plants showing systemic symptoms after inoculation of 10 plants of different varieties of melon with the MNSV isolate M-8-85, and incubation at different temperatures.

| Temp (°C) | Invernizo | ANC-42 | Doublon | PMR-5 |
|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|
| 30        | 0         | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 27.5      | 0         | 0      | 0       | 0     |
| 25        | 0         | 1      | 0       | 0     |
| 22.5      | 0         | 6      | 0       | 0     |
| 20        | 4         | 10     | 0       | 0     |
| 17.5      | 7         | All died | 0     | 0     |
| 15        | All died | All died | 0     | 0     |
a few days after appearance, and necrotic streaks along the stems and petioles.

Temperature and isolate effects. Ten accessions that do not develop systemic symptoms in greenhouse conditions were mechanically inoculated at different temperatures (20, 25, and 30 °C). The results showed that in six of these accessions some plants developed systemic symptoms, mainly at the lowest temperature tested of 20 °C, whereas ‘Agrestis’, ‘Doublon’, ‘El Encin 45’ and ‘El Encin 69’ never developed systemic symptoms (Table 2). More accurate experiments indicated a temperature dependence of systemic symptoms development. Thus, on ‘ANC-42’ temperatures under 25 °C enhanced systemic symptom development and below 20 °C plants started wilting and finally died, in some of them without previous exhibition of systemic symptom typical of MNSV infection (Table 3). On ‘Invernizo’, systemic symptoms appeared only when temperatures were under 20 °C, and plants wilting occurred under 17.5 °C (Table 3). When the plants started wilting positive ELISA tests indicated the presence of MNSV in all cases. Interestingly, ‘PMR-5’ showed neither local nor systemic symptoms and ‘Doublon’ did not show systemic symptoms at any of the temperatures tested (Table 3). This two varieties were inoculated with five MNSV isolates at 20 °C, using ‘ANC-42’ as inoculation control. All plants of ‘ANC-42’ developed necrotic local lesions and systemic symptoms, appeared in all of them except with MNSV-264. ‘Doublon’ plants developed only local symptoms and ‘PMR-5’ did not react with any of the MNSV isolates used except with MNSV-264, that induced local necrotic lesions in the inoculated cotyledons (Table 4). Similar to ‘ANC-42’, only a few plants of ‘PMR-5’ developed systemic symptoms when inoculated with MNSV-264.

Virus accumulation in different parts of the plant. Virus accumulation in different parts of the plant, based on absorbance values obtained in ELISA tests, was influenced by variety and temperature (Fig. 1). In the susceptible ‘ANC-42’, MNSV was detected in all parts of the plant and higher virus accumulation occurred at 20 °C except in the cotyledons. However, no virus was detected in any part of the plants of ‘PMR-5’. In ‘Doublon’, MNSV was only detected in cotyledons (inoculated area), but no MNSV was detected in the roots, hypocotyl or leaves. Concentration of MNSV in the cotyledons of ‘Doublon’ was significantly higher at 20 °C than at 27.5 °C, although lower than in the equivalent part of ‘ANC-42’.

Virus accumulation in necrotic local lesion and adjacent tissues. When the M-8-85 MNSV isolate was inoculated (Fig. 2), the virus was found in the local lesions, cotyledon tissue between lesions, and uninoculated leaves of all plants of the susceptible variety tested (‘Maduro Negro’), the concentration of the virus was highest in the necrotic local lesions (A1), intermediate in the area between lesions (A2), and lowest in the uninoculated leaves (A3). Nevertheless, in ‘Doublon’ plants, the virus was only de-

![Fig. 1. MNSV accumulation after mechanical inoculation with isolate M-8-85, measured as absorbance at 405 nm in DAS-ELISA analysis, in four parts of the plant (R = root, H = hypocotyl, C = cotyledon, L = leaf) in three melon lines (‘ANC-42’, ‘Doublon’, and ‘PMR-5’) grown in growth chambers at two temperatures (20 and 27.5 °C). The bars show the mean absorbance of three plants. * on the bars means indicate that significant differences occur between the absorbances at the two temperatures tested (Student’s t test, 0.05).](image)

![Fig. 2. MNSV accumulation, after mechanical inoculation with isolate M-8-85, measured as absorbance at 405 nm in DAS-ELISA analysis, in A1 = local lesions, A2 = area between lesions, A3 = uninoculated leaves, of three melon lines (‘Maduro Negro’, ‘Doublon’ and ‘PMR-5’) grown at 20 °C. The bars show the mean absorbance value of 10 plants exhibiting similar symptoms.](image)

Table 4. Reaction of ‘ANC-42’, ‘Doublon’ and ‘PMR-5’ plants after mechanical inoculation of ten plants per variety with several MNSV isolates and incubated at 20 °C.

| Isolate | ANC-42 | Doublon | PMR-5 |
|---------|--------|---------|-------|
| M-8-85  | LL, SS | LL      | 0     |
| M-1-90  | LL, SS | LL      | 0     |
| M-4-88  | LL, SS | LL      | 0     |
| M-8-93  | LL, SS | LL      | 0     |
| MNSV-264| LL (SS)| LL      | LL (SS) |

*LL = local necrotic lesions; SS = systemic symptoms. Brackets indicate that symptoms were not observed in all inoculated plants.
the genotypes tested the maximum virus accumulation occurred and no virus was detected in uninoculated tissues (Fig. 3). In all conditions, systemic symptoms were never observed in this variety. Infections in the inoculated cotyledons and therefore should have systemic symptoms although they developed necrotic local lesions. MNSV, we observed that several accessions failed to develop systemic symptoms when inoculated with MNSV-264, generally a larger number of plants showed systemic symptoms than when inoculated with MNSV-264. This may be due to the fact that resistance-breaking strains, like MNSV-264, can be less fit in other attributes necessary for spread or persistence (Hammond, 1998).

The reaction of 'Doublon' differed from that of the other accessions. This variety, although exhibiting necrotic local lesions in the inoculated cotyledons never showed systemic symptom at any of the temperatures tested, including those below 20 °C that appeared to be the most suitable for MNSV systemic symptom development.

Following the criteria for the nsv gene, ‘Doublon’, similar to ‘ANC-42’ and ‘Maduro Negro’, should be discarded as not carrying the gene because all plants showed local lesions after mechanical inoculation with all MNSV isolates and temperatures tested. In contrast, other varieties, such as ‘PMR-5’, did not develop local lesions and therefore are considered to carry the nsv resistance gene following the same criteria. The latter, confirmed as MNSV-264, a MNSV isolate reported to overcome the nsv gene resistance (Diaz et al., 2002), was able to infect ‘PMR-5’.

‘Doublon’ although developing necrotic local lesions in the inoculated cotyledons appeared to behave differently than the other susceptible varieties such as ‘ANC-42’ and ‘Maduro Negro’. We never observed the development of systemic symptoms nor detected MNSV in noninoculated parts of this variety at any of the conditions tested. This suggested the presence of a resistance trait in ‘Doublon’ different from that provided by the nsv gene. In this variety, MNSV was only detected in the necrotic local lesions that appeared after mechanical inoculation (Figs. 1 to 3). However, the level of the virus in the lesions was lower than in susceptible controls (Figs. 2 and 3), probably indicating some restriction to virus replication and/or cell-to-cell spread in initially infected tissues of ‘Doublon’. This reaction resembles the hypersensitive resistance response to TMV controlled by the N gene in tobacco that determines inhibition of multiplication of the avirulent strain (Fraser, 1992). The existence of some restriction of cell-to-cell spread in ‘Doublon’, is also supported by the nondetection of MNSV outside the necrotic local lesion. Atabekov and Dorokhov (1984) suggested that as observed here, resistance of plants to viruses can result from the inhibition of the virus transport from infected to healthy cells. After initial infection viruses need to spread locally, from cell-to-cell through plasmodesmata and then distantly through the vascular system (Hull, 1989). In ‘Doublon’, the resistance to systemic infection may be the result of restriction of cell-to-cell movement, as reported in other incompatible virus-plant interactions, such as with barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV) in oat plants (Zhen and Edwards, 1990), turnip crinkle virus (TCV) in Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Dijon (Simon et al., 1992) or subterranean clover mottle virus (SCMoV) in highly for systemic symptoms development in some of them (Table 2). Thus, temperatures under 25 °C for ‘ANC-42’ and under 20 °C for ‘Invernizo’ enhanced systemic development of the disease caused by MNSV. So, in most cases the absence of systemic symptoms development may be due to unfavorable environmental testing conditions for symptoms expression. These results agreed with the observations of Lecoq and Pitrat (1982) that MNSV symptoms may not appear in all plants if the environmental conditions are not adequate, appearance being generally favored by low temperatures. Additionally, we also observed that variability in the development of systemic symptoms can occur depending on the inoculated MNSV isolate. Thus, comparing isolates M-8-85 and MNSV-264, generally a larger number of plants showed systemic symptoms when inoculated with M-8-85, which induced similar or more severe symptoms than when inoculated with MNSV-264. This may be due to the fact that resistance-breaking strains, like MNSV-264, can be less fit in other attributes necessary for spread or persistence (Hammond, 1998).

The bars show the mean absorbance value of all the plants exhibiting similar symptoms among twenty plants tested.
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resistant cultivars of subterranean clover (Njeru et al., 1995). Nevertheless, our results do not allow us to discard the existence of some restriction to virus replication or long-distance transport. Therefore, these aspects should be further studied.

We conclude therefore that a novel resistance mechanism to MNSV infection is present in ‘Doublon’. It is interesting that this resistance is also effective against MNSV isolates that overcome the only reported resistance to MNSV conferred by the nsv gene, such as MNSV-264. Studies are in progress to determine the genetic control of this resistance as well as its behavior when inoculation is performed using the natural vector of MNSV, the fungus *O. bornovanus*. Preliminary results (data not shown) indicated that when ‘Doublon’ plants were inoculated with *O. bornovanus*, MNSV was only detected on the roots and on the base of the hypocotyl, and no virus could be recovered from any aerial part of the plants.

**Literature Cited**

Atabekov, J.G. and Y.L. Dorokhov. 1984. Plant virus-specific transport function and resistance of plant to viruses. Adv. Virus Res. 29:313–364.

Avgelis, A. 1985. Occurrence of melon necrotic spot virus in Crete (Greece). Phytopathologische Zeitschrift 114:365–372.

Avgelis, A. 1989. Watermelon necrosis caused by a strain of melon necrotic virus. Plant Pathol. 38:618–622.

Avgelis, A. 1991. Melon necrotic spot virus in plastic houses on the island of Crete. Acta Hort. 287:349–354.

Bos, L., H.J.M. Van-Dorst, H. Huttinga, and D.Z. Maat. 1984. Further identification, seed transmission, and host range pathogenicity of a California isolate of melon necrotic spot virus. Phytopathology 69:340–345.

Coudriet, D.L., A.N. Kishaba, and G.W. Bohn. 1980. Inheritance of resistance to muskmelon necrotic spot virus in a melon aphid-resistant breeding line of muskmelon. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 106:789–791.

Cuadrado, I.M., J. Gómez, and P. Moreno. 1993. El virus de las manchas necróticas del melón (MNSV) en Almería. I. Importancia del MNSV como causa de la muerte súbita del melón. Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal. Plagas 19:93–106.

Díaz, J.A., C. Nieto, E. Moriones, and M.A. Aranda. 2002. Spanish melon necrotic spot virus isolate overcomes the resistance conferred by the recessive nsv gene of melon. Plant Dis. 86:694.

Efrati, R.S.S. 1992. The genetics of plant-virus interactions: Implications for plant breeding. Euphytica 63:175–185.

Furuki, I. 1981. Epidemiological studies on melon necrotic spot. Shizuoka (Japan) Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 14.

González-Garza, R., D.J. Gumpf, A.M. Kishaba, and G.W. Bohn. 1979. Identification, seed transmission, and host range pathogenicity of a California isolate of melon necrotic spot virus. Phytopathology 69:340–345.

Hammond, R.W. 1998. Resistance to plant viruses: An overview. p. 163–171. In: A. Hadidi, R.K. Khetarpal, and H. Koganezawa (eds.). Plant virus disease control. Amer. Phytopathol. Soc., Madison, Wis.

Hull, R. 1989. The movement of viruses in plants. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 27:213–240.

Juárez, M., A. Ortega, and C. Jordá. 1994. Variabilidad del virus del críbrado. Hortofruiticultura 11:37–40.

Kishi, K. 1966. Necrotic spot of melon, a new virus disease. Ann. Phytopath. Soc. Jpn. 32:138–144.

Lecocq, H. and M. Pitrat. 1982. Note sur les virus des cucurbitacées présents en France. Inst. National de la Recherche Agronomique, Centre de Recherches Agronomiques d’Avignon-Montfavet-Aix-Marseille.

Luis-Arteaga, M. 1986. Virosis de Cucurbitáceas. I Jornadas Nacionales de Cultivos Protegidos. Almería (España). 14–17 May.

Maestro, C. 1992. Résistance du melon aux virus. Interaction avec les pucerons vecteurs. Analyse génétique sur des lignées haplodiploïdes. Thèse Docteur Sciences Université d’Aix-Marseille.

Marrou, J. and G. Risser. 1967. La criblure du melon: étude préliminaire d’une nouvelle maladie à virus du melon en culture sous serre. Annales des Epiphytologies 18:193–203.

Njeru, R., R.A.C. Jones, K. Sivisathamparam, and M.G.K. Jones. 1995. Resistance to subterranean clover mottle virus in subterranean clover results from restricted cell-to-cell movement. Austral. J. Agr. Res. 46:633–643.

Pitrat, M. and H. Lecocq. 1984. A rapid method to test muskmelons for several virus resistances. EUCARPIA. Cucumis and melon 633–643.

Ryden, K. and P. Person. 1986. Melon necrotic spot — A new virus disease in Sweden. Vaxtskyddsnotiser 50:130–132.

Simon, A.E., X.H. Li, J.E. Lew, R. Stange, C.X. Zhang, M. Polacco, and C.D. Carpenter. 1992. Susceptibility of resistance of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to turnip crinkle virus. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 5:496–503.

Tomassoli, L., G. Siddu, A. Carta, G. Di-Lernia, and M. Barba. 1999. Infezione da ‘melon necrotic spot virus’ su melone in Sardegna. Informatore Fitopatologico 10:39–41.

Tomlinson, J.A. and B.J. Thomas. 1986. Studies on melon necrotic spot virus disease of cucumber and on the control of the fungus vector (*Olpidium radicale*). Ann. Appl. Biol. 108:71–80.

Zhen, Y. and M.C. Edwards. 1990. Expression of resistance to barley stripe mosaic virus in barley and oat protoplast. J. Gen. Virol. 71:1865–1868.