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Abstract

Crossostephium chinense is a traditional Chinese medicinal herb and it is often cultivated as an ornamental plant. Previous studies on this species mainly focused on its chemical composition and it was represented rarely and marginally in genetic studies, which limited knowledge about its genetic background, and thus genomic resources remain scarce. To develop both chloroplast and nuclear polymorphic microsatellites for C. chinense, potential microsatellites were screened from genome skimming data of two individuals of C. chinense. Sixty-four and 63 cpSSR markers were identified from two chloroplast genomes of C. chinense. This study performed for the first-ever study on employment of genome skimming data and CandiSSR, consequently a total of 133 polymorphic nSSRs were developed. Ten nSSRs were randomly selected to test their transferability across 35 individuals from three populations of C. chinense and 20 individuals each of Artemisia stolonifera and A. argyi. Cross-amplifications were successful done for C. chinense, and were partially successful amplified for both Artemisia species. The number of alleles varied from two to nine. The observed heterozygosity and expected heterozygosity per locus ranged from 0.000 to 0.286 and from 0.029 to 0.755, respectively. These genomic resources will be valuable for population genetics and conservation studies in C. chinense and Artemisia.

Introduction

Crossostephium chinense (L.) Makino (Asteraceae) forms a monotypic genus [1], or it is alternatively classified as Artemisia chinensis L. placed in the Artemisia subg. Pacifica C.R. Hobbs & B.G. Baldwin [2]. Whole plants of C. chinense are usually used for traditional Chinese medicinal treatments [3], and are widely cultivated for ornamental purposes [4, 5]. In the wild, the populations of C. chinense are restricted to the Southern region of China (Zhejiang, Fujian, Guangdong, and Taiwan), and the Ryukus of Japan [6]. This pattern is congruent with the results of ecological niche modeling [2] and 215 occurrences available in the GBIF database (GBIF, https://doi.org/10.15468/39oime). The narrow distribution range may be a consequence of its coastal zone habitat limited to raised coral outcrops [6], as well as its physical adaptation to regional microclimates [2]. Personal observations found that each site forms an isolated population, especially in islands or the coast regions. Following WFO's red-listed C. chinense as a threatened species [7], this has raised public awareness on the importance and conservation of these rare populations. Previous studies of C. chinense mainly focused on its phytochemical composition [3, 8, 9]. The genetic studies by using fragment chloroplast and nuclear DNA of C. chinense have been partly carried out, nevertheless this species is always treated as a boundary species for other clades clarification in studies devoted to Asteraceae systematics [10]. Scarcity of the genetic information may hinder its effective utilization and protection, therefore there is a need for further studies.

Chloroplast genomes that possess an intermediate level of nucleotide substitution rate, are more conserved than nuclear and mitochondrial genomes [11]. Apart, its' non-recombinant nature and generally uniparental inheritance has led to the increasing utilization of the cp genome as a useful tool to understand the evolutionary history [12], as well as the genetic resources to develop abundant molecular markers such as chloroplast hotspot regions and chloroplast SSRs (cpSSRs) [13]. Unlike cpSSRs, nuclear SSRs (nSSRs) are highly polymorphic, codominant and biparentally inherited, making it widely applied for the evaluation of genetic variation [14], construction of genetic linkage maps [15], and conservation of the genetic resources [16]. The huge availability of genome database at reasonable costs, simultaneously coupled with a series of developed bioinformatics tools [17] had twisted the defect for large-scale investigations of molecular markers as compared to the traditional polymorphic SSR markers screening [18]. Including bioinformatics pipelines such as CandiSSR, is applied to detect candidate polymorphic SSRs from the next generation sequencing data [18].

The de novo or references-guided assembled chloroplast genomes of two C. chinense accessions are available on NCBI, nevertheless the microsatellites of C. chinense have never been studied. Thus, this paper is specifically aimed on utilizing the genome skimming data of C. chinense to develop cpSSRs and nSSRs markers. A set of randomly selected nSSRs markers was further used to validate the cross-amplification in 35 individuals collected from three populations of C. chinense, as well as 20 individuals each of species Artemisia stolonifera and A. argyi.

Materials And Methods

Plant material and DNA extraction

A total of 35 fresh young leaves were sampled from three populations of C. chinense for assessing and validation of genetic markers (Table S1). Genomic DNA was extracted using Plant DNAzol Reagent (LifeFeng, Shanghai) following the manufacturer's protocol. After isolation the material was frozen prior to the next downstream analyses.

Chloroplast genome marker (cpSSRs) development

To develop the chloroplast genome markers and to show the intraspecific variations in C. chinense, the two assembled chloroplast genome of C. chinense [19] were aligned and then adjusted manually in Geneious v8.1.7 [20]. The cpSSRs markers and single nucleotide substitutions (SNPs) for the C. chinense chloroplast genome were identified. Six types of repeats with minimum numbers of repetition, of which mononucleotide (10) > dinucleotide (5) > trinucleotide (5) > tetranucleotide (3) > pentanucleotide (3) > hexanucleotide (3) were implemented using Msatcommander v0.8.2 [21]. SNPs (nucleotide diversity [Pi]) were calculated using DNASP v5 software [22].

Polymorphic nuclear SSRs (nSSRs) development and validation

The genome skimming data of two C. chinense individuals we obtained previously were used to develop polymorphic nuclear SSRs markers [19]. The raw data were filtered and assembled into contigs using the CLC de novo assembler beta 4.06 (CLC Inc. Rarhus, Denmark). The chloroplast and mitochondria contigs from both C. chinense sequences were removed using the search engine on BLAST (NCBI BLAST v2.2.31). This was done by comparing to the chloroplast sequence of C. chinense (NCBI accession number: MH708561) and mitochondria sequence of Helianthus annuus (NCBI accession number: CM0007908). Then,
Ten developed polymorphic nSSRs markers were randomly selected to test the transferability to 35 individuals (three populations) of *C. chinense* collected from three different localities (Table S1). These ten nSSRs markers were also used for cross-amplification on *Artemisia stolonifera* and *A. argyi* (n = 20 respectively; Table S1). PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 10μL, which contained 1μL of genomic DNA, 5μL 2×Taq MasterMix (CWBIO, China), 0.1μM each of both forward and reverse fluorescently labeled universal primer (FAM, HEX, TAMRA; Table 1). The PCR conditions involved a single initial denaturation stage at 94°C for 1 min; followed by 28 cycles of denaturing, annealing and extending reactions respectively set at 94°C for 30s, 50-59°C for 30s, and 72°C for 30s. PCR reaction was completed with a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Fragment lengths of PCR products were analyzed on an ABI PRISM 3720xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Genotypes were scored by using the software GeneMarker v2.2.0 (SoftGenetics, LLC, State College, PA, USA). Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were tested through GENEPOP v4.2 [24]. We estimated genetic diversity parameters such as the number of alleles, observed and expected heterozygosity using CERVUS v3.0 [25].

**Results**

**Chloroplast genome markers (cpSSRs) development**

Sixty-four cpSSRs markers were identified from the *C. chinense* ZJWZ cp genome. Among them, 51 markers were located in the LSC regions, whereas SSC and IR regions possess seven and six copies, respectively (Figure 1a-A). Of the genes and intergenic spaces of *C. chinense* ZJWZ (NCBI accession number: MH708561), nine cpSSRs each were present in the protein-coding regions and in the introns, whereas 46 were identified from the intergenic spacer regions (Figure 1a-B). Among the lengths of repeated sequences, 48 cpSSRs are mononucleotides, 11 are dinucleotides, and five are tetrancleotides (Figure 1a-C). For the *C. chinense* JPB (NCBI accession number: MH708560), 63 cpSSRs markers were detected. Fifty cpSSRs were detected in the LSC regions, whereas 7 and 6 were located in the SSC and IR regions, respectively. Among these markers, 47 are mononucleotides, 11 are dinucleotides and five are tetrancleotides. The distributions and types of cpSSRs in *C. chinense* JPB is shown in Figure 1b. All types of repeats were ATC-rich (Figure 1). Comparative analyses between the two *C. chinense* chloroplast genomes shown that nine cpSSRs loci are polymorphism, of which eight are located in the intergenic regions and one in the coding region (Table 1).

Nineteen SNPs, which also known as the mutational hotspots were detected from the pairwise alignment of both *C. chinense* chloroplast genomes. This include nine SNPs in the intergenic regions, two in the intron regions and eight SNPs in the coding sequences (Table 2). All the SNPs marker were located in the large and small single copy regions (LSC and SSC). All regions contained one substitution type, except the SNPs marker of *atpA-trnR, ndhA*, and *ycf1* which contained two substitution types. Among the six substitution types, shifting from the T to G and A to C had the highest frequencies. Overall, the transition to transversions (Ts/Tv) marked ratio for 0.32. With the SNPs marker distinguish by LSC and SSC regions, the Ts/Tv values were 0.31 and 0.33 respectively. Meanwhile for category by gene types, the intron possesses Ts/Tv values of 0.5, while the spacer and exon respectively owned for 0.22 and 0.38. Further, narrow nucleotide diversity was examined for the sixteen SNPs marker, ranging from 0.0160 to 0.57.10%, 39.90%, 1.50%, 0.75% and 0.75%, respectively (Figure 2). Ten selected primers for cross-amplication successfully amplified the nSSRs loci of 35 accessions including *A. absinthium* (32 accessions) and suggested the markers *accD* and *ycf1* may represent the potential markers to be tested for the whole Asteraceae. Recognition of these two markers seem to be line with several other studies on the genera in Asteraceae, where either one of both markers were observed in the suggestion list [31, 32]. The marker *ycf1* is included among the 19 divergent hotspots although it possesses a lower nucleotide diversity (Pi = 0.0004), imply the potential application of these markers over all species of Asteraceae. Overall, narrow nucleotide diversities for *C. chinense* are observed (Table 2), likely because of comparative analyses was made within species that sampling differently from two localities. The highly divergent hotspots usually are identified between closer species [30, 31, 32]. Furthermore, the chloroplast genomes of same species were relatively conserved, exhibited in less remarkable polymorphism. Thus, the listed mutational hotspots regions in this study, though with low nucleotide diversity, could still apply for inter-population genomic study and phylogeographic study to test the biogeography origin.
Nine polymorphism cpSSRs observed from both genome of *C. chinense* ZJWZ and JPBB are mononucleotide tandem repeats with intraspecific variation of polyA (polyadenine) represents the most repeated motif in six primer sets (Table 1). Overall, the repeat motif is varied between 10 and 12 nucleotides, with either polyA or polyT shown as the content. Among reported Asteraceae, the identified loci with abundance A/T content were also present for *A. scoparia* [28]. Moreover, mononucleotide SSRs were also the most frequent identified sequence in *Artemisia* species [28, 29], though multiple-nucleotide type SSRs may sometime present in least frequency. A distribution pattern of continual repeat sequences of polyT (polythymine) following by polyA are occurred in *atpA-trnR* (Table 1). Among the cpSSRs markers, three primer sets of which *rpoC2*: *ps2* (cpSSR2), *atpA-trnR* (cpSSR4), and *ycf1* (cpSSR9) were also suggested for mutational hotspots (Table 1). Repeat sequences have been proven crucial in chloroplast genome arrangement and sequence variation [27]. Further, the variable repeat sequences between lineages allow it significances used as microsatellites markers for genetic diversity, and population genetics studies of plant species [28, 33].

In this study, the employment of genome skimming data using CandiSSR represents the first-ever study in Asteraceae to identify the appropriate polymorphic nSSRs for *C. chinense*. Estimation on the expected heterozygosity that shown significant deviation on four loci (CC19, CC32, CC55 and CC66) may not only due to the presence of an excess homozygotes. Other factors including Wahlund effect, inbreeding, null alleles, and sampling effect are also the potential causes to the deviation [34, 35]. Attempts of 10 selected nSSRs tested for the transferability of loci among the populations of *C. chinense* is perfectly successful, whereas only four nSSRs is applicable for *Artemisia stolonifera* and *A. argyi* (Table 4). Verification of transferability loci onto other species would allow further understanding of phylogenetic relationship at both inter and intra level [36]. Thus, it is believed that more transferability markers could be select from the remaining 123 markers in this study. The approaches of applying nSSRs from various employment have been developed in Asteraceae for *Chresta* [37], *Solidago* [38], as well as to study the hybridization of two *Tithonia* species [39]. Application of nSSRs were also used for other plant species such as transferability test in *Sanguinaria* [40], and genetic structures studies in *Salix* [41], *Euptelea* [42], and *Engelhardia* [43]. The 133 successfully developed polymorphic nucleotide microsatellite markers can be further applied to reveal the genetic diversity, population structure, and to develop effective conservation as well as management strategies for *C. chinense*. This approach is applicable to other plants species.

**Conclusions**

In summary, 133 polymorphic nucleotide microsatellite markers were developed successfully and can be applied to reveal the genetic diversity, population structure and possible intra- and inter- population gene flow of *C. chinense*. It could also apply for effective conservation as well as management strategies for *C. chinense*. Moreover, our study confirms the suitability used of nSSRs across species and is applicable to *Artemisia*. This imply the potential use of these nSSRs for robust genetic studies.
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### Tables

**Table 1**

| Locus   | Sample ID     | Start BP | Repeat motif | End BP | Sample ID     | Start BP | Repeat motif | End BP | Position       |
|---------|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|---------------|----------|--------------|--------|----------------|
| cpSSR1  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 9640     | (A)\(_{12}\) | 9652   | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 9640     | (A)\(_{13}\) | 9653   | trnC-petN      |
| cpSSR2  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 23059    | (A)\(_{11}\) | 23070  | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 23060    | (A)\(_{12}\) | 23072  | rpoC2-rps2     |
| cpSSR3  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 25928    | (T)\(_{11}\) | 25939  | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 25930    | (T)\(_{12}\) | 25942  | atpI-atpH      |
| cpSSR4  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 29439    | (T)\(_{11}\) | 29450  | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 29442    | (T)\(_{10}\) | 29452  | atpA-trnR      |
| cpSSR5  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 29451    | (A)\(_{10}\) | 29461  | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 29453    | (A)\(_{12}\) | 29465  | atpA-trnR      |
| cpSSR6  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 34538    | (T)\(_{11}\) | 34549  | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 34541    | (T)\(_{10}\) | 34551  | psbC-trnS      |
| cpSSR7  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 72932    | (A)\(_{12}\) | 72944  | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 72966    | (A)\(_{11}\) | 72977  | psbB-psbT      |
| cpSSR8  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 115011   | (A)\(_{10}\) | 115021 | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 115044   | (A)\(_{11}\) | 115055 | ndhD-psaC      |
| cpSSR9  | *C. chinense*-ZJWZ | 123986   | (A)\(_{12}\) | 123998 | *C. chinense*-JPBB | 124060   | (A)\(_{11}\) | 124071 | ycf1           |

*Note: BP = base pair*
Table 2
The patterns of SNP marker in the *Crossostephium chinense* chloroplast genome.

| Position | Gene Type | Gene Region | Substitution Type | C. chinense-ZJWZ | C. chinense-JPBB | No. of mutations | Region length (bp) | Nucleotide diversity |
|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| trnK     | Intron    | LSC         | T/C               | C                | T                | 1               | 2,616             | 0.0004            |
| psbM-trnD| Spacer    | LSC         | T/G               | G                | T                | 1               | 667               | 0.0015            |
| rpoC2    | Exon      | LSC         | A/C               | A                | C                | 1               | 4,152             | 0.0002            |
| rps2     | Exon      | LSC         | A/C               | A                | C                | 1               | 711               | 0.0014            |
| atpA-trnR| Spacer    | LSC         | A/T               | T                | A                | 2               | 126               | 0.0160            |
|           | Spacer    | LSC         | A/C               | C                | A                |                 |                   |                   |
| trnT     | Exon      | LSC         | A/G               | G                | A                | 1               | 68                | 0.0147            |
| psaA     | Exon      | LSC         | T/G               | T                | G                | 1               | 2,253             | 0.0004            |
| ycf3-trnS| Spacer    | LSC         | T/G               | T                | G                | 1               | 841               | 0.0012            |
| trnF-ndhJ| Spacer    | LSC         | A/C               | A                | C                | 1               | 707               | 0.0014            |
| psaI-ycfA| Spacer    | LSC         | T/C               | T                | C                | 1               | 373               | 0.0027            |
| trnP-psaJ| Spacer    | LSC         | C/G               | C                | G                | 1               | 308               | 0.0033            |
| rp20-rps12| Spacer  | LSC         | A/G               | A                | G                | 1               | 726               | 0.0005            |
| ndhF     | Exon      | SSC         | A/G               | A                | G                | 1               | 2,226             |                   |
| ndhA     | Exon      | SSC         | T/G               | T                | G                | 2               | 2,176             | 0.0009            |
|          | Intron    | SSC         | A/C               | C                | A                |                 |                   |                   |
| ndhH-rps15| Spacer  | SSC         | T/G               | G                | T                | 1               | 91                | 0.0110            |
| ycf1     | Exon      | SSC         | T/C               | C                | T                | 2               | 5,037             | 0.0004            |
|          | Exon      | SSC         | A/T               | A                | T                |                 |                   |                   |
Table 3
Characteristics of the ten selected polymorphic nucleotide microsatellite markers for *Crossostephium chinense*.

| Locus  | Primer sequences (5′–3′) | Repeat motif | Allele size range (bp) | Ta (°C) | Fluorescent dye |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| CC6-8  | F: TCGAGCCAAACATGCTGAGA  | (AC)_{10}    | 152–156                | 56.6    | FAM             |
|        | R: TCCAAATAAGTGTGCTAGCT  | (AC)_{8}     |                        |         |                 |
| CC8-11 | F: ACCCGAGCTTCAAACCTTC   | (AC)_{11}    | 109–111                | 55.0    | TAMRA           |
|        | R: TGACGGGTATGTGAGTCAA   | (AC)_{10}    |                        |         |                 |
| CC16-24| F: CCAATCTCCAACCTCCGAGCT| (AC)_{7}     | 139–144                | 55.0    | TAMRA           |
|        | R: TCCCTACGATCCTGCAAGCC  | (AC)_{8}     |                        |         |                 |
| CC19-30| F: TGGACGTGGGGAGAGAACA   | (AC)_{9}     | 143–153                | 56.5    | TAMRA           |
|        | R: GTGTCTCACCCAAAGTACGA  | (AC)_{8}     |                        |         |                 |
| CC32-34| F: AGGCCAGTTTCACGAACCAA  | (AG)_{7}     | 155–159                | 55.2    | TAMRA           |
|        | R: TTGGCGACACACACAGCC    | (AG)_{6}     |                        |         |                 |
| CC33-50| F: CCTCGAAGTGAAGGGGTGCT  | (AG)_{8}     | 189–207                | 55.4    | FAM             |
|        | R: CACCAGTACCCACACCTCA   | (AG)_{6}     |                        |         |                 |
| CC54-95| F: CCGATCGATCCAAAGCCTT   | (CAA)_{11}   | 167–190                | 54.9    | FAM             |
|        | R: GCTGCTGAAGTTCTGCTGC   | (CAA)_{13}   |                        |         |                 |
| CC55-96| F: CACGTCAATATCCACGGCAA  | (CAA)_{14}   | 173–181                | 55.8    | HEX             |
|        | R: GTCGACGGATCATTGGTT    | (CAA)_{12}   |                        |         |                 |
| CC62-110| F: CGAAGAGGATCAGAAGCGAA | (CAC)_{5}    | 145–152                | 57.1    | TARMA           |
|        | R: ATCTGGGTGGCTGGAGTG    | (CAC)_{7}    |                        |         |                 |
| CC66-118| F: TCTCATACCCCACATACCAC | (CAT)_{8}    | 181–193                | 56.9    | HEX             |
|        | R: AGTGGGTGGTGTCACGAATC  | (CAT)_{6}    |                        |         |                 |
Table 4
Characteristics of the selected ten polymorphic nuclear microsatellite markers in three populations of *C. chinense* and two species of *Artemisia*.

| Locus | *Crosostephium chinense* | *Artemisia stolonifera* | *Artemisia argyi* |
|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
|       | ZJWZ (N=11) | ZJPY (N=17) | JPSD (N=7) | All (N=35) | AHJH (N=20) | ZJHZ (N=20) |       |
| A     | Hₐ | Hₑ | A     | Hₐ | Hₑ | A     | Hₐ | Hₑ | A     | Hₐ | Hₑ | A     | Hₐ | Hₑ | A     | Hₐ | Hₑ |
| CC6   | 2  | 0.091 | 0.087 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.029 | 0.029 | 6  | 0.450 | 0.565*** | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| CC8   | 2  | 0.000 | 0.298*** | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.000 | 0.388 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| CC16  | 2  | 0.091 | 0.087 | 2  | 0.059 | 0.057 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3  | 0.057 | 0.057 | 3  | 0.400 | 0.436* | 3  | 0.150 | 0.526*** |
| CC19  | 3  | 0.455 | 0.368 | 2  | 0.059 | 0.057 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4  | 0.171 | 0.585*** | /  | /  | /  | /  | /  |
| CC32  | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.059 | 0.057 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.029 | 0.506*** | /  | /  | /  | /  | /  |
| CC33  | 6  | 0.364 | 0.512*** | 7  | 0.294 | 0.519* | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 9  | 0.257 | 0.755 | /  | /  | /  | /  | /  |
| CC54  | 5  | 0.545 | 0.450 | 3  | 0.235 | 0.213 | 2  | 0.000 | 0.490** | 7  | 0.286 | 0.537 | /  | /  | /  | /  | /  |
| CC55  | 3  | 0.182 | 0.169 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.143 | 0.133 | 4  | 0.086 | 0.535*** | /  | /  | /  | /  | /  |
| CC62  | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.059 | 0.057 | 1  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2  | 0.029 | 0.029 | /  | /  | /  | /  | /  |
| CC66  | 4  | 0.545 | 0.665* | 3  | 0.235 | 0.403 | 2  | 0.000 | 0.490** | 5  | 0.286 | 0.646*** | 3  | 0.400 | 0.329 | 2  | 0.050 | 0.049 |

Note: A = number of alleles per locus; Hₑ = expected heterozygosity; Hₒ = observed heterozygosity; N= number of individuals sampled.

*a* Locality and voucher information are available in Appendix 1.

*b* Significant deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001, respectively.