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Abstract

Some patients with ascites due to liver cirrhosis become no longer responsive to diuretics. Once other causes of ascites such as portal vein thrombosis, malignancy or infection and non-compliance with medications and low sodium diet have been excluded, the diagnosis of refractory ascites can be made based on strict criteria. Patients with refractory ascites have very poor prognosis and therefore referral for liver transplantation should be initiated. Search for reversible components of the underlying liver pathology should be undertaken and targeted therapy, when available, should be considered. Currently, serial large volume paracentesis (LVP) and transjugular intrahepatic portasystemic stent-shunt (TIPS) are the two mainstay treatment options for refractory ascites. Other treatment options are available but not widely used either because they carry high morbidity and mortality (most surgical options) rates, or are new interventions that have shown promise but still need further evaluation. In this comprehensive review, we describe the evaluation and management of patients with refractory ascites from the prospective of the practicing physician.
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INTRODUCTION

Ascites means pathological fluid accumulation within the abdominal cavity. The word “ascites” itself is derived from the Greek word “askos,” which means a bag or sack. Cirrhosis accounts for over 75% of patients who present with ascites. Ascites is the most common of the three major complications of cirrhosis; the other complications are hepatic encephalopathy and variceal hemorrhage. Approximately 50%-60% of patients with compensated cirrhosis will develop ascites during 10 years of observation.

Patients with ascites can be divided into the following categories based on their response to treatment. (1) Less than 10% have natural sodium excretion (i.e. without diuretics) more than 78 meq/d. These patients, have relatively preserved liver functions and will respond to dietary salt restriction [88 meq (2000 mg) per day] alone. (2) As liver function deteriorates, patients excrete less sodium in the urine and sodium restriction alone is no longer enough to create a negative sodium balance and control ascites. Most patients will need diuretics combined with sodium-restricted diet. This regimen is effective in about 90% of the patients. Over time, up to 20% of patients that were initially diuretic-responsive will become diuretic-resistant. (3) 5%-10% of patients never respond to this regimen and have refractory ascites.

Development of ascites is associated with a poor quality of life, increased risks of infections and renal failure, and a poor long-term outcome. Furthermore, patients with refractory ascites have worse prognosis and shortened survival.

Cirrhotic patients who develop ascites have a probability of survival of 85% at 1 year and 56% at 5 years without liver transplantation. In patients who...
become resistant to diuretic therapy, the prognosis decreases to 50% survival at 2 years\(^6\).

Patients with refractory ascites have lower sodium excretion compared to sensitive patients. It has been shown that patients with ascites and urinary sodium excretion below 10 meq/d had a mean survival rate of 5-6 mo compared to > 2 years in those with ascites and a higher rate of sodium excretion\(^14\).

**DEFINITIONS**

For the correct diagnosis of true refractory ascites, the patient’s condition should fulfill the following criteria\(^2,15\).

**Diuretic-resistant ascites**
Failure of mobilization or the early recurrence of ascites which cannot be prevented because of a lack of response to sodium restriction and diuretic treatment is called diuretic-resistant ascites.

**Diuretic-intractable ascites**
Failure of mobilization or the early recurrence of ascites which cannot be prevented because of the development of diuretic-induced complications that prevent the use of an effective diuretic dosage is called diuretic-intractable ascites.

**Treatment duration**
Patients must be on intensive diuretic therapy (spironolactone 400 mg/d and furosemide 160 mg/d) for at least 1 wk and on a salt-restricted diet of less than 90 mmol/d.

**Lack of response**
Mean weight loss of less than 0.8 kg over 4 d and urinary sodium output less than the sodium intake.

**Early ascites recurrence**
There is an reappearance of grade 2 or 3 ascites (clinically detectable) within 4 wk of initial mobilization. However, it is important to notice that in patients with severe peripheral edema, reaccumulation of ascites within 2-3 d of paracentesis must not be considered as early ascites recurrence because it represents a shift of interstitial fluid to the intraperitoneal space\(^10\).

**Diuretic-induced complications**
Diuretic-induced hepatic encephalopathy is the development of encephalopathy in the absence of any other precipitating factor. Diuretic-induced renal impairment is indicated by an increase of serum creatinine by > 100% to a value of > 2 mg/dL in patients with ascites otherwise responding to treatment. Diuretic-induced hyponatremia is defined as a decrease of serum sodium by > 10 meq/L to a sodium serum of < 125 meq/L. Diuretic-induced hypo- or hyperkalemia is defined as a change in serum potassium to < 3 meq/L or > 6 meq/L despite appropriate measures.

In addition to this, we should exclude dietary non-compliance (patient taking excess sodium in diet) and exclude the use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which can induce renal vasoconstriction and diminish diuretic responsiveness\(^17,18\).

**EVALUATION OF PATIENT WITH REFRACTORY ASCITES**

The aim of the work-up is to confirm the diagnosis and exclude other conditions that can be misdiagnosed as refractory ascites.

Exclude causes of ascites other than cirrhosis that are not responsive to diuretic therapy. This includes malignant ascites due to peritoneal carcinomatosis (but not due to massive hepatic metastasis)\(^19\) and nephrogenic ascites, which develop in patients with end-stage renal disease\(^20\). This is important because about 5% of patients with ascites have more than one underlying etiology (mixed ascites)\(^21\), for example, a patient may have cirrhosis in addition to peritoneal carcinomatosis and be misdiagnosed as having true refractory ascites. The importance of this is that lines of therapy are different.

Patient should have ultrasound with portal vein Doppler and serum alpha fetoprotein level to exclude the presence of hepatocellular carcinoma or portal vein thrombosis\(^4\), because these conditions are associated with lack of response to diuretics in patients with cirrhosis, while true refractory ascites represents actual progression of the liver disease\(^5\) (discussed below).

Confirm compliance to dietary sodium restriction because patient may not be responding to diuretics simply because of the lack of dietary compliance. Therefore, the diagnosis of refractory ascites is not complete until it is proven that the patient has low urinary sodium excretion on the diuretic doses mentioned before\(^17\). This can be done through the following.

**Twenty-four-hour urinary sodium**: Patients who gain weight despite excreting more than 78 meq sodium per day are not compliant with the diet. The value of 78 meq sodium per day is derived from the difference between sodium intake (2 gm/d = 88 mEq) and non-urinary loss (10 mEq/d)\(^22\). The drawback of the 24-h urinary collections is that they are labor-intensive for patients and staff alike. Verbal and written instructions should be given to the patient in order to assure accurate collection. Completeness of collection can be assessed by measurement of urinary creatinine. Urinary creatinine excretion per day should be more than 15 mg of creatinine per kg of body weight for men and more than 10 mg/kg for women\(^23\). Samples with less creatinine indicate incomplete collection that may affect the results. However, this may not be very accurate because patients with advanced cirrhosis have muscle wasting and therefore lower creatinine excretion in urine even with complete collection\(^24,25\).

**Sodium in spot urine specimen**: Measuring sodium in a spot urine specimen should be easier and more
convenient for the patient but lack of accuracy is the problem as excretion of sodium is not uniform throughout the day. Random urinary sodium concentrations are of value when they are 0 mmol/L (meaning low sodium excretion and lack of diuretic response) or greater than 100 mmol/L (means either adequate response to diuretics or diet non compliance) but are not helpful when they are intermediate\[22\].

Random urinary Na/K ratio: Random urinary Na/K ratio may be as helpful as 24-h urinary sodium collection, with accuracy rates of 86% according to one study and 90% according to another. A ratio of more than 1 is equivalent to 24 h sodium more than 78 mmol Na/d. This test is easier for the patient as it does not involve collection of 24-h urine.

Furosemide-induced natriuresis: Furosemide-induced natriuresis is another alternative where a single intravenous 80-mg dose of furosemide is given and urinary sodium is measured in the next 8h. Patients with diuretic-resistant ascites have sodium excretion less than 50 mEq/8 h\[36,37\]. Another advantage of this test is that it allows more rapid identification of diuretic-resistant patients without the need to follow them up for weeks with increasing doses of diuretics.

PATHOGENESIS OF ASCITES

Currently, the most widely accepted theory of ascites formation is the forward theory which is based on the peripheral arterial vasodilatation hypothesis of renal dysfunction in cirrhosis\[29,30\]. According to this theory, the initial step is the development of sinusoidal portal hypertension\[31,32\]. This leads to systemic vasodilation and reduction in systemic vascular resistance, which is most evident in splanchnic blood vessels\[33\]. Portal hypertension causes vasodilation through increased release of local vasodilators such as nitric oxide (which seems to be the primary mediator\[34\]), glucagon\[31,32\], prostacyclins\[35\], vasoactive intestinal peptide, substance P and platelet activating factor\[36\]. Splanchnic vasodilation leads to a forward increase in filtration across splanchnic capillaries\[37\]. In patients with decompensated cirrhosis, the lymphatic system is not capable of returning back all the filtered fluid and causes accumulation of ascites fluid\[38\]. Besides this, systemic vasodilation causes systemic vascular underfilling, which stimulates the sodium-retaining neurohumoral mechanisms in order to refill the dilated vascular bed; these mechanisms include mainly the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, sympathetic nervous system, and antidiuretic hormone\[39\]. This leads to sodium retention, water retention (with dilutional hyponatremia\[39,40\]) correlate with worsening survival in cirrhotic patients.

Patients with more advanced cirrhosis have a marked degree of circulatory dysfunction and marked neurohumoral activation. This results in renal vasoconstriction and enhanced sodium reabsorption in the renal tubule and very low urinary excretion of sodium (even with high doses of diuretics), which is how refractory ascites develops\[37\]. Hepatorenal syndrome has a pathogenesis similar to that of ascites\[41\]; refractory ascites is considered a pre-hepatorenal state and actually refractory ascites is a usual manifestation of type 2-hepatorenal syndrome\[42\].

TREATMENT OF REFRACTORY ASCITES

The ideal treatment of ascites should be effective in mobilization of ascites and prevention of recurrence, should improves patient’s quality of life and survival, and should be acting directly on one or more steps in the pathogenesis of ascites and not just the mechanical removal of the fluid\[42\].

Currently, the main lines of treatment for refractory ascites are serial large volume paracentesis (LVP), transjugular intrahepatic portasystemic stent-shunt (TIPS), liver transplantation and peritoneovenous shunt\[42\]. We will also discuss promising new therapies that are currently being evaluated.

LVP

LVP with administration of intravenous albumin represents the standard therapy for refractory ascites\[43\]. Several studies have shown its effectiveness and safety\[44-46\]. Beside rapid control of ascites, it may decrease the risk of variceal bleeding because it is associated with reductions in the hepatic venous pressure gradient and intravascular pressure\[47,48\].

Frequency of LVP: Therapeutic paracentesis is a local therapy that does not modify the mechanisms that lead to ascites formation. Therefore ascites will always recur in patients with refractory ascites unless there is an improvement in liver disease, as in alcoholic liver disease when patients stop drinking, or after liver transplantation\[49,50\]. Two weeks are considered a reasonable interval between paracentesis sessions in patients with refractory ascites\[50,51\]. Less frequent sessions are needed in the patient with some sodium excretion and more frequent sessions are required in patients who are not compliant with dietary sodium restriction. The explanation requires knowing some details related to sodium balance in patients with ascites. The sodium concentration of ascitic fluid is approximately equivalent to that of plasma in these patients: 130 mmol/L. A 10-L paracentesis removes 1300 mmol (130 × 10). If the patient is adherent to the diet, he/she will consume 88 mmol sodium every day, and excrete 10 mmol/d in non-urinary loss and excrete nothing in the urine if there is no urinary sodium.
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predispose to ascitic fluid infections with clinically evident fibrinolysis as 19.000 procedures with INR up to 8.7 and platelet counts as low beyond it. According to one study, patients tolerated the profile cut-off value that paracentesis should be avoided to avoid severe bleeding. Also, there is no coagulation of the visible dilated abdominal wall veins in order to risk of bleeding.

There is no evidence in clinical trials that transfusion of or iatrogenic infection is only about 1 per 1000 hematoma is about 1% and the risk of hemoperitoneum coagulopathy. Albumin infusion has been studied for the prevention of PCD[58,60]. Incidence of PCD following LVP reaches 80% when albumin is not used and albumin infusion reduces the incidence to 15%-20%[40]. However, some controversy still exists related to albumin infusion. The reasons behind this are: lack of direct survival advantage with albumin infusion[64]; albumin is very expensive and some studies state that albumin infusion inhibits synthesis of albumin and stimulates albumin degradation inside the body[68,69]. Another reason for the controversy is that the circulatory changes that can follow LVP may not be related to a decreased intravascular volume due to rapid accumulation of ascitic fluid as was thought before[42], but it is actually due to accentuation of the arterial vasodilatation already present in these patients[38].

A number of measures can be applied to prevent PCD.

**Albumin infusion:** Albumin infusion has been studied for the prevention of PCD[58,60]. Incidence of PCD following LVP reaches 80% when albumin is not used and albumin infusion reduces the incidence to 15%-20%[40]. However, some controversy still exists related to albumin infusion. The reasons behind this are: lack of direct survival advantage with albumin infusion[64]; albumin is very expensive and some studies state that albumin infusion inhibits synthesis of albumin and stimulates albumin degradation inside the body[68,69]. Another reason for the controversy is that the circulatory changes that can follow LVP may not be related to a decreased intravascular volume due to rapid accumulation of ascitic fluid as was thought before[42], but it is actually due to accentuation of the arterial vasodilatation already present in these patients[38].

The current American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) guidelines state that post-paracentesis albumin infusion may not be necessary for a single paracentesis of less than 4-5 L. For LVP, an albumin infusion of 8-10 g per liter of fluid removed can be considered[32].

Albumin should be given once the session is completed[44]. Some authors recommend giving one half of the plasma expander immediately after the paracentesis and the other half 6 h later[66,71]. Others say this is unwarranted and converts an otherwise simple procedure with diuretic therapy, but this is of special concern in diuretic-sensitive patients while in refractory ascites, diuretics are no longer an option.

Another problem with large volume paracentesis is post-paracentesis circulatory dysfunction (PCD). Circulatory changes after LVP can be described as follows. (1) Immediately after paracentesis, there is an improvement in circulatory function in regard to increased cardiac output and suppression of the renin-angiotensin and sympathetic nervous systems. This effect is mostly due to mechanical factors that mainly increase venous return due to reduced intraabdominal pressure[42]. (2) After about 12 h, there are opposite hemodynamic changes, including a reduction in cardiac output to baseline values and marked activation of the renin-angiotensin and sympathetic nervous systems over the levels before paracentesis[58,60]. These changes are not spontaneously reversed as once plasma renin activity and plasma norepinephrine concentration increase, this elevation persists[58]. PCD has been defined as a 50% increase in plasma renin activity over baseline on the sixth day after treatment, up to a value greater than 4 ng/mL per hour[58,62,64]. Despite being asymptomatic, PCD adversely affects the clinical course of the disease with higher incidences of hyponatremia, and renal impairment. In patients who develop PCD, it is severity correlates inversely with patient survival[60]. Severity of the circulatory dysfunction correlates with the amount of fluid removed in paracentesis being most significant when it exceeds 51[60].

A number of measures can be applied to prevent PCD.

**Complication associated with LVP:** It is considered a safe procedure associated with very low incidence of serious complications even in patients with coagulopathy[35,58]. The risk of developing a large hematoma is about 1% and the risk of hemoperitoneum or iatrogenic infection is only about 1 per 1000[57]. There is no evidence in clinical trials that transfusion of plasma or platelets before the procedure decreases the risk of bleeding[58]. However, one should avoid puncture of the visible dilated abdominal wall veins in order to avoid severe bleeding. Also, there is no coagulation profile cut-off value that paracentesis should be avoided beyond it. According to one study, patients tolerated the procedures with INR up to 8.7 and platelet counts as low as 19000[59]. It may be that the only condition when the procedure should be avoided due to high bleeding risk is the presence of disseminated intravascular coagulation with clinically evident fibrinolysis[58].

One problem with repeated LVPs is ascitic fluid protein and complement depletion, which may predispose to ascitic fluid infections[61], in comparison with diuretic therapy, but this is of special concern in diuretic-sensitive patients while in refractory ascites, diuretics are no longer an option.
outpatient procedure into an all-day clinic visit\textsuperscript{67}. It has been suggested that reducing the flow rate of ascites extraction may help prevent PCD\textsuperscript{72}, however, this may need further evaluation before being applied in practice.

Other alternatives to albumin for prevention of PCD: Many trials were carried out to find less expensive alternatives to albumin therapy, however none is accepted currently to replace albumin. Some of the alternatives to albumin infusion include synthetic colloids, extracorporeal ultrafiltration and reinfusion, and vasoconstrictors.

(1) Synthetic colloids. Studies comparing replacement of albumin with dextran 70 or polygeline showed no survival advantage\textsuperscript{73} and PCD was much less common with albumin administration in patients where $\geq 5$ L of fluid were removed\textsuperscript{86}. Saline also has been tried but without showing any survival advantage\textsuperscript{73}. This is mostly related to the half-life of the colloid used, being highest with albumin (21 d), this may explain its effectiveness in prevention of PCD\textsuperscript{84}. Some authors state that paracentesis of $< 5$ L can be followed by synthetic plasma expander and albumin is not required in this setting\textsuperscript{74}.

One important point is that hydroxyethyl starch can increase portal pressure as it fills Kupffer cells (lysosomal storage) and this may increase the risk of variceal bleeding\textsuperscript{75}.

(II) Extracorporeal ultrafiltration and reinfusion. This procedure involves ultrafiltration of ascitic fluid and intraperitoneal or intravascular reperfusion\textsuperscript{74}. Advantages compared to albumin are the reduced expenses and avoidance of depletion of complement lost with paracentesis\textsuperscript{73}. The main problem reported is the development of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) in some patients, and this may be why it is not approved for use now; however, one simplified method is suggested that limits the incidence of DIC\textsuperscript{74}. One point is that only a few patients have been studied until now therefore, better evaluation with larger studies is needed.

(III) Vasoconstrictors. Administration of vasoconstrictors may decrease the development of PCD and may prevent complications associated with a decrease in effective arterial blood volume as with the plasma volume expander albumin\textsuperscript{84}. This may be due to the fact mentioned before, as the pathogenesis of PCD is accentuation of splanchnic vasodilation rather than depletion of intravascular volume.

Terlipressin: More than one study showed that terlipressin may be as effective as intravenous albumin and well tolerated in preventing paracentesis-induced circulatory dysfunction in patients with cirrhosis after therapeutic paracentesis\textsuperscript{79,82}. One study recommended a dose of terlipressin (1 mg every 4 h for 48 h)\textsuperscript{79}, while another study suggested that a total dose of 3 mg terlipressin should be administered as an intravenous bolus of 1 mg terlipressin at the onset of paracentesis and then 8 and 16 h after the first bolus\textsuperscript{83}. A problem with using terlipressin is that it requires hospital admission for a simple outpatient procedure, as it is given as intravenous injections for up to 48 h after the procedure.

Midodrine: One study carried out on 40 patients showed that midodrine may be as effective as albumin\textsuperscript{83}, while another one carried out on 24 patients showed that PCD developed in six patients of the midodrine group (60%) and in only four patients (31%) of the albumin group\textsuperscript{64}. The dose given was 12.5 mg every 8 h post-paracentesis for 2 d. Being much cheaper than albumin and terlipressin and much easier to administer, midodrine may be worth more trials to assess its use instead of albumin.

Noradrenaline: Another study showed noradrenaline to be as effective as albumin in the prevention of PCD\textsuperscript{84}. Noradrenaline was suggested as a less expensive alternative to albumin but no further studies were done to confirm this.

TIPS

TIPS is a side-to-side portacaval shunt by which an intrahepatic communication between the portal and the hepatic vein is created\textsuperscript{84}. It is a non-surgical procedure performed under local anesthesia by an interventional radiologist. A catheter is advanced through the jugular vein into a hepatic vein and into a main branch of the portal vein. There an expandable stent is introduced connecting hepatic and portal systems, which allows shunting of blood from the high-pressure portal circulation (splanchnic and sinusoidal beds) to the low-pressure systemic circulation (hepatic vein)\textsuperscript{85}.

The mechanism by which TIPS helps control ascites is decompression of the portal circulation and reduction in the portacaval gradient and the portal venous pressure\textsuperscript{88}. As mentioned before, portal hypertension is essential in ascites formation so that cirrhotic patients with portal venous pressure less than 12 mm Hg do not develop ascites\textsuperscript{25,30}, and ascites in these patients disappears if portal venous pressure drops below 12 mm Hg\textsuperscript{66,87}. Another mechanism is that the blood volume pooled in the dilated splanchnic vascular bed is transferred to the systemic circulation through the shunt, therefore, it corrects the systemic vascular underfilling and causes a decrease in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and thereby improves renal sodium excretion\textsuperscript{86}.89

Several studies showed that TIPS is highly effective in controlling ascites\textsuperscript{84}. According to these studies, ascites was controlled in 27%-92%\textsuperscript{80,90}, with 75% of cases showing complete resolution\textsuperscript{81}. It takes about 1 -3 mo for ascites to resolve after TIPS procedure\textsuperscript{89}. One important point is that diuretic therapy will still be required in about 95% of patients. The explanation is that TIPS produces partial resolution of ascites pathogenesis, so portal pressure and renin and aldosterone levels, although they are markedly reduced after TIPS, they are not back to normal as in healthy subjects\textsuperscript{88}.

In patients with cirrhosis, TIPS may have some advantages beside ascites control. Improvement in
renal function is seen in these patients in the form of increased urine volume, increased sodium excretion[94,95] and even a reduction in serum creatinine level which is a delayed effect seen after 6 mo according to one study.[93] Another advantage is the improvement in the nutritional status (in the form of an increase in dry weight and total body nitrogen)[98,94] and improvements in quality of life,[93]; however, these effects (nutrition and quality of life) may be simply due to improved eating when ascites is controlled[44].

Complications associated with TIPS: (1) Technical complications. Estimated technical success rate is reported in the range of 93%-100%.[90,91] Procedure-related mortality is very low (1%-2%) according to one study,[93] and it was due to hemoperitoneum, hemobilia, hemolysis, and sepsis. The procedure-related complication rate is around 9%, with intraperitoneal hemorrhage and acute renal failure (mostly due to contrast media) being the most frequent.[92] Complications also include those of sedation and arrhythmia if the catheter enters the right atrium or right ventricle,[90], and transient right bundle branch block, which may be significant in patients already with left bundle branch block as it may lead to complete heart block. The liver capsule is frequently punctured (reported frequency around 33%[93]), especially if the liver is shrunken but intraperitoneal bleed only occurs in 1%-2% of the cases.[90] (2) Hepatic encephalopathy occurs in about 30% of patients after TIPS.[90,91]. Factors associated with the development of encephalopathy that can be used for patient selection are increasing age, advanced liver failure, and a history of encephalopathy before TIPS insertion.[91,100]. Encephalopathy usually becomes clinically apparent 2-3 wk after TIPS insertion.[99]. According to one study,[100] encephalopathy starts to develop about 10 d after insertion, and then begins to decline (as measured by the portosystemic encephalopathy index) at 6 mo, however, it remained significantly higher than the baseline values. A possible explanation for this decline may be shunt stenosis with time. Treatment is medical in most of the cases and consists of controlling any precipitating factor, lactulose and non-absorbable antibiotics (neomycin or rifaximin).[100]. In case of medical therapy failure, the TIPS can be occluded[103] or the diameter of the shunt can be narrowed in some types with a “wasp waist” constrictor.[104]. (3) Shunt occlusion. These problems occur in 22% to 50% of patients.[90,105,106]. This occurs due to growth of collagenous fibrils and endothelial cells (pseudointima) inside the stent.[107]. It can diffuse all over the whole length (type 1) or localized to the hepatic venous end (type 2); however, both have the same management.[108]. The incidence of this complication increases with time, according to one study, all patients surviving more than 2 years had shunt stenosis.[108]. Shunt stenosis or occlusion presents as a recurrence of portal hypertension or variceal bleeding.[100]. TIPS patency should be followed up after insertion, however, the best strategy for follow-up is not yet defined. Methods used to monitor patency include venography (which is the best test but not usually used because is invasive and carries a high cost), but Doppler sonography is the most frequently used (although it is less sensitive than venography).[109]. Helical CT angiography also can be used; one study showed a 92% correlation with venography.[111]. One recommended approach for surveillance is duplex ultrasonography every 3 mo and venography annually. Venography can be done earlier if shunt obstruction is suspected clinically.[110]. Treatment is redilatation of the shunt done by interventional radiology.[107]. New stents covered with polytetrafluoroethylene (Goretex) showed lower rates of occlusion and stenosis.[112,113]. Using antplatelet therapy was evaluated in the prevention of shunt stenosis[114] and it showed some efficacy, but it is not used in practice because of the increased risk of bleeding, as those patients already have bleeding tendencies and thrombocytopenia. (4) Haemolysis occurs in about 10% of patients and it is believed to be due to direct mechanical trauma to the red blood cells when they pass through the metallic stent.[115]. This may be why spontaneous resolution is seen in most patients after 8-12 wk with covering of the metallic stent by pseudointima.[104]. In most patients, the anemia is mild with less than 2 g/dl. reduction in hemoglobin level starting 1-2 wk after placement. Blood smears may show schistocytes in patients who develop severe anemia.[115]. (5) Infection. According to one study, infection occurred weeks to months after placement and presented with fever, continuous bacteremia and presence of vegetations or thrombi in the stent. It was treated with intravenous antibiotics[117]. (6) Portosystemic myelopathy (PSM, also called shunt myelopathy) is a rare syndrome that includes spastic paraparesis with intact sensation occurring in patients with surgical portosystemic shunts and also described after TIPS placement.[118,119]. A possible explanation is accumulation of ammoniakal substances (that bypass the liver through the stent), leading to loss of motor neurons in the spinal cord. According to one study,[118] carried out on 212 patients, four patients (1.89%) had this progressive spastic paraparesis starting to appear between 5 wk and 5 mo after stent placement. (7) Deterioration of cardiac function. TIPS increases the cardiac preload, and hence it may precipitate heart failure in those with pre-existing heart disease.[120]. Echocardiography is usually done before the procedure to exclude patients with subtle heart failure; usually, patients with ejection fraction less than 60 are excluded.

TIPS versus paracentesis

Several studies have compared TIPS to repeated LVP plus albumin, but the detailed discussion of these studies is beyond the scope of this article. However, the conclusion from these studies is: (1) TIPS controls ascites effectively and is associated with a lower rate of ascites recurrence[106,121,122], (2) patients with ascites who undergo TIPS improve their nutritional status as mentioned before; (3) there is a higher incidence of side effects, mainly hepatic encephalopathy and shunt dysfunction in the
group treated with TIPS; and (4) there is no proven effect for TIPS on survival. In one study, TIPS had no effect on survival\cite{123,124}, while others have reported both reduced mortality as well as improved survival\cite{106,121,122} compared with therapeutic paracentesis. For these reasons, we believe repeated LVP plus albumin should be considered the first-line therapy for refractory ascites, and TIPS should be used as a second line of management\cite{135,125,205}. TIPS should be considered in appropriately selected patients who meet the following criteria.

Patients with very rapid recurrence of ascites (those who require paracentesis > 3 times/mo) and preserved liver function [bilirubin < 3 mg/dL, serum sodium level >130 mEq/L, Child-Pugh score < 12, model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score < 18], aged < 70 years, without hepatic encephalopathy, central hepatocellular carcinoma, or cardiopulmonary disease\cite{15,127}.

**SURGICAL OPTIONS**

Peritoneo-venous shunt is a surgically inserted shunt that drains ascitic fluid from the peritoneal cavity into the internal jugular vein. It has limited indications because there is no survival advantage in addition to frequent complications including bacteremia, small bowel obstruction and volume overload leading to variceal bleeding\cite{10}. The use of the peritoneo-venous shunt is limited to patients with refractory ascites who are not candidate for TIPS or liver transplantation, and has a lot of abdominal scars that makes frequent paracentesis unsafe\cite{22,28}. One study described percutaneous placement of a peritoneovenous shunt by interventional radiology which may carry less complications than surgery however further studies are needed to confirm this\cite{128}.

A more simple method of peritoneovenous drainage was described, the sapheno-peritoneal anastomosis\cite{129,130,131,132}. Advantages over the ordinary peritoneovenous shunt are simpler and less expensive and use a biological shunt instead of a prosthetic one. Also, one study described the possibility of doing the procedure under local anesthesia\cite{129}, which is an advantage in cirrhotic patients. Patients had reduction in admissions and paracentesis, however, no survival advantage was noted.

Portosystemic shunt works, similar to TIPS, through decompression of the portal circulation; however, mortality is higher ranging from 12% to 39% and encephalopathy rates are more than 50%\cite{112}.

One study described a technique of peritoneal-urinary drainage of the fluid using a surgically implanted pump\cite{133}.

**MEASURES THAT MAY IMPROVE THE RESPONSE TO DIURETICS**

Several medications have been suggested that attack certain step(s) in the pathogenesis of ascites.

**Aquaretics**

Aquaretics are vasopressin receptor antagonists that act on the distal tubule of the kidney so as to increase the excretion of solute-free water\cite{134}. They are already approved for management of hyponatremia due to syndrome of inappropriate anti-diuretic hormone secretion (SIADH), and are being evaluated for management of hyponatremia in cirrhosis and in refractory ascites to be combined with diuretics to improve response\cite{134}.

Vasopressin receptors are V1a, V1b and V2. The oral forms of aquaretics (e.g. lixivaptan and satavaptan) are selective for V2 receptors, which mediate the antidiuretic response of vasopressin. While, the intravenous forms (conivaptan) works on V2 and V1a receptors and V1a mediates the vasconstrictor response of vasopressin\cite{135}. Although conivaptan is the only approved one right now (used in SIADH), it cannot be used in ascites as it may cause variceal bleeding when it blocks the vasoconstrictor effect of the anti diuretic hormone\cite{136}.

One study carried out in 110 patients with cirrhosis and ascites receiving satavaptan or placebo in addition to diuretics\cite{137}. Those receiving satavaptan had significant decrease in abdominal girth and more weight reduction without significant side effects. However, because of the short follow-up duration in this study (14 d), more studies are needed to evaluate the use of this drug in patients with ascites before they are approved for use in practice.

**Vasoconstrictors**

Vasoconstrictors may theoretically improve the action of diuretics as they improve the systemic vasodilation, so as to reduce the antinaturetic factors described before\cite{138}. They are already used in hepatorenal syndrome based on a similar mechanism of action\cite{139}.

**Terlipressin:** A potent vasoconstrictor approved for use in the acute control of variceal hemorrhage and hepatorenal syndrome. In one study in 15 patients with cirrhosis and ascites without hepatorenal syndrome\cite{139}, eight of them had refractory ascites and received terlipressin. This group had significant decreases in plasma norepinephrine and renin in addition to an increase in urinary sodium.

**Octreotide:** According to a case report\cite{140}, octreotide treatment improved renal function and diuretic response in two patients with refractory ascites. Octreotide administration has been associated with arterial splanchnic vasoconstriction, which is mediated by a reduction in glucagon secretion. In addition, octreotide inhibits the release of renin and aldosterone in both normal humans and cirrhotic patients, possibly through a direct effect on renin-producing cells and adrenals.

**Midodrine:** One study carried out in 39 cirrhotic patients\cite{141} evaluated the effects of a 7-d treatment with midodrine. It showed a significant increase in mean arterial blood pressure and urine volume and decrease in plasma renin and aldosterone activity in those with ascites treated with midodrine.

One study evaluated the combination of midodrine
and octreotide\textsuperscript{[142]}, another one evaluated both drugs given with albumen\textsuperscript{[143]}.

**Clonidine**

Several studies evaluated the response of diuretics in cirrhotic patients with ascites\textsuperscript{[144,147]}. It is a centrally acting \( \alpha \)-2-agonist, therefore, it decreases sympathetic over activity which increases renal sodium reabsorption and stimulates the renin-angiotensin-aldoosterone system\textsuperscript{[148,149]}. One study was carried out in 32 alcoholic cirrhotic patients with ascites to compare the effect of spironolactone, clonidine and the combination of both in control of ascites\textsuperscript{[147]}. After 10 d of spironolactone and clonidine, patients had a significant decrease in plasma renin and aldosterone, decrease in body weight and increase in natriuresis without adverse effects.

**Chronic albumin infusion**

Some studies showed better diuretic response when combined with albumin; this is noticed as decreased recurrence and shorter hospital admissions\textsuperscript{[149-151]}. One study showed improved survival in patients receiving chronic albumin infusion\textsuperscript{[152]}. Because of cost and lack of definite survival benefit, albumin infusion is not routinely recommended in patients with ascites. Currently, the accepted indications of albumin infusion in liver patients with ascites are with LVP to prevent PCD (discussed before), patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis\textsuperscript{[153,154]} and those with hepatorenal syndrome\textsuperscript{[155,156]}.

**Splenic artery embolization**

One case report described the successful control of refractory ascites with splenic artery embolization\textsuperscript{[157]}. This was a 32-year-old female who developed refractory ascites due to portal vein thrombosis after liver transplantation due to Budd Chiari syndrome. With further evaluation, this can be an alternative for patients with refractory ascites who cannot tolerate TIPS or surgical shunts.

**Mannitol**

In one study\textsuperscript{[158]}, a dose of 100 mL 20\% mannitol was given as infusion followed by the usual dose of diuretics taken by the patient. Increase in urine volume and urinary sodium was noticed. Therefore, mannitol may be used in refractory ascites to improve response to diuretics.

The measures described above target mainly mobilization of ascites. In addition, as part of comprehensive strategy of managing refractory ascites, one can aim to prevent other complications of cirrhosis and also improve liver function by either treating the underlying liver disease or liver transplantation. (1) Prevention of other complication of cirrhosis as patients with ascites due to liver cirrhosis are liable to other complications\textsuperscript{[7].} This includes portal hypertensive bleeding (prevention using either prophylactic banding or propranolol\textsuperscript{[159]}, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (using prophylactic antibiotics in patients with acute variceal bleeding or ascitic fluid protein less than 1 gm/dL\textsuperscript{[160]} and hepatorenal syndrome (using albumin infusion in patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis\textsuperscript{[154]} and using pentoxyfillin in patients with severe alcoholic hepatitis\textsuperscript{[160]}. (2) Correction of liver function through either liver transplantation or treatment of the underlying liver pathology: some causes of liver cirrhosis have a reversible element; this is most evident in patients with alcoholic liver disease in which stopping alcohol consumption can lead to improvement of portal hypertension and ascites control\textsuperscript{[161,162]}. There is also some evidence of similar improvement in patients with cirrhosis due to hepatitis B (treated with antiviral therapy)\textsuperscript{[163]} and patients with autoimmune hepatitis treated with steroids or azathioprine\textsuperscript{[164,165]}.

**LIVER TRANSPLANTATION**

As mentioned above, patients with ascites have a poor long-term outcome and survival is shortened in those who become refractory to diuretic therapy. The 12-mo survival rate for patients with ascites refractory to medical therapy is only 25\%\textsuperscript{[166]}. The survival rate for liver transplantation is much higher\textsuperscript{[167]}. Therefore, those who develop refractory ascites ideally should be on the transplantation list already.

After liver transplantation, portal hypertension reversed immediately and completely; however, ascites disappearance may take 3 to 6 mo\textsuperscript{[13]}. The reason for this is not fully understood, but some studies showed that the systemic vasodilation and hyperdynamic circulation persist for months after transplantation\textsuperscript{[167,168]}. Priority of receiving liver transplant is based upon the MELD score. Some authors suggested that it may not be accurate enough for all patients with ascites, particularly for those with persistent or refractory ascites, who may have a poor prognosis despite low MELD scores\textsuperscript{[169]}. One possible explanation is that the MELD score includes serum bilirubin, serum creatinine, and the INR, and some patients with refractory ascites might have a near-normal serum creatinine (as a result of low endogenous production), despite a low glomerular filtration rate and this may affect the accuracy of MELD score in this setting\textsuperscript{[169]}. Therefore, some studies suggested that addition of serum sodium to the MELD score may improve its accuracy\textsuperscript{[169-171]}, but one point is that serum sodium is a not as steady as other parameters of the MELD score; it can change rapidly with diuretics or fluid administration, so this change may not reflect an actual change in the prognosis\textsuperscript{[16]}. Further evaluation is needed before modified MELD (with serum sodium added to it) replaces the current MELD score in allocation of liver transplantation.

**CONCLUSION**

Refractory ascites is a relatively common condition in patients with liver cirrhosis. Wrong diagnosis may occur sometimes therefore, certain criteria should be fulfilled with exclusion of dietary non-compliance, which can...
be done through a variety of tests. Different treatment options are available, although definitive treatment is liver transplantation. An algorithmic approach to patients with refractory ascites is available (Figure 1). Other treatment options are not listed in the algorithm because they are still being evaluated and include mainly vasoconstrictor agents.
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