Reduced Expression of Voltage-Gated Sodium Channel Beta 2 Restores Neuronal Injury and Improves Cognitive Dysfunction Induced by Aβ1-42
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1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD), as a sporadic, complex, and age-related progressive neurodegenerative disease, has become the main cause of dementia among elderly [1]. In the context of gradual acceleration of the international aging population, it is estimated that the number of individuals aged over 60 years will globally increase by 1.25 billion by 2050 [2], and the incidence rate of AD is expected to double every 20 years [3]. In addition, the risk of developing AD is approximately 14-fold in people aged 65 to 85 years [4], resulting in an estimated 115 million new-onset dementia patients [5]. This will greatly increase the family, society, and national economic burden and significantly increase the pressure on the healthcare system [6]. Because the pathogenesis of AD has not yet been clarified, it is of urgent practical significance to explore the potential mechanism of AD, and hope that in this way, we can make breakthroughs in patient treatment.

Clinical symptoms of AD patients include progressive cognitive deterioration and loss, short-term memory dysfunction, and progressive impact on other cognitive areas, such as language, logical understanding, executive function,
and judgment [4]. Pathological features mainly include extracellular plaque deposition of amyloid-β (Aβ) (formed by continuous hydrolysis processing of amyloid precursor protein (APP)) [7] and neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) composed of hyperphosphorylation of Tau protein, loss of synapses and neurons, vascular abnormalities, glial dysfunction, and neuroinflammation, among other features [8–11]. These pathological changes integrate and eventually lead to the gradual loss of cognitive function in AD patients.

Voltage-gated sodium channel beta 2 (Navβ2 or Nav2.2, coded by SCN2B mRNA) is a transmembrane glycoprotein that participates in the orientation of sodium channel A subunit (Nav1.1) in the cell membrane and promotes location stabilization [12]. It also plays an important role in signal transduction, voltage-dependent activation and inactivation, regulation of channel protein expression, and interaction with other signaling molecules, such as extracellular matrix and cytoskeleton [13]. Current studies have found that Navβ2 is involved in the pathogenic process of many diseases, including prenatal malnutrition [14], atrial fibrillation [15], Brugada syndrome [16], neuropathic pain [17], arrhythmia [18], and schizophrenia [19].

As the coded gene of Navβ2, SCN2B has proved to be associated with neuronal physiological changes, such as brain senescence [20]. SCN2B participates in maintaining normal physiological functioning of the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus, which may be associated with prefrontal cortex aging and memory decline in senescence-accelerated mouse prone 8 (SAMP8) mice [21]. As a target gene of microRNA-449a, SCN2B is involved in learning and memory decline during brain aging in SAMP8 mice [21]. Further, SCN2B knockdown (kd) by 60.68% has been reported to have improved spatial recognition memory and increased hippocampal synaptic excitability of transgenic aged mice [22]. Given the role of Navβ2 in regulating cell surface expression of Nav1 channel in neurons, it has also been implicated in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis and experimental acute encephalitis (EAE) [23]. Navβ2 pathological cleavage triggered during early stage AD reduces surface Nav1.1a levels, induces aberrant neuronal activity and amyloidogenic processing, and ultimately leads to cognitive deficit [24]. Using APPswe/PS1ΔE9 (APP/PS1) mice with Navβ2-kd, we demonstrate that Navβ2-kd partially reduces the abnormal cleavage of APP, restores the growth and extension of neurites, and increases the content and activity of Aβ-degrading enzyme neprilysin (NEP) in the brain of transgenic mice [25]. Furthermore, Navβ2-kd induces restoration of sodium current density and neuronal activity in hippocampal neurons, cognitive improvement in APP/PS1 transgenic mice, and promotion of the transformation of APP amyloid metabolic pathway to nonamyloid production process [26]. Studies have also revealed that cognitive protection induced by either exercise training or notoginsenoside R1 is associated with the regulation of Navβ2 in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice [27, 28]. Therefore, the evidence has proved that Navβ2 plays crucial roles in cognitive dysfunction induced by brain aging and associated disorders, such as AD. However, the underlying mechanisms are not well understood.

Given that brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is expressed in the cortex, hippocampus, and basal forebrain, which are essential for the normal maintenance of memory, learning, and cognitive functions, it can also enhance synaptic neurogenesis and neurotransmission, promote synaptic growth, and regulate synaptic plasticity [29]. Moreover, significantly decreased levels of NEP mRNA and protein and NEP enzyme activity are associated with the decrease in the number of dendritic spines and the occurrence of neurodegenerative changes such as cognitive impairment [30, 31]. Taken together, it is reasonable to explore whether Navβ2 may improve pathological changes and cognitive function in AD models by regulating APP, BDNF, or NEP.

The aim of this study was to verify whether Navβ2 plays an ameliorative role in Aβ1-42-treated cell or mouse model of AD and to explore its possible mechanism. First, the primary neurons were treated with Aβ1-42 oligomer to establish the neuronal injury model simulating AD in vitro. Simultaneously, C57BL/6J mice with partial symptoms of AD were constructed by injecting Aβ1-42 oligomer into bilateral hippocampus. Following this, Aβ1-42-treated cells or mice were treated with either Navβ2 overexpression or interference lentiviral vectors. Then, 3-(4,5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed to detect the activity of neurons. Western blot was performed to evaluate the possible effects of Navβ2 on the expressions of APP, BDNF, and NEP in model cells and mouse brain tissues, and enzyme activity assay was employed to assess changes in NEP enzyme activity. Dot blot, immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence, Nissl’s staining, and electron microscope detection were also performed to observe the potential morphological alterations in vitro and in vivo. Behavior tests associated with cognitive performance of mice were performed to determine the alterations after regulating Navβ2 expression in the Aβ1-42-induced neuronal injury mouse model.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Approval. All experiments related to the use and care of animals were carried out in accordance with the guidelines published by the National Institutes of Health of the United States [32] and the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals formulated by Yunnan Provincial Medicine Ministry of China. In addition, the Ethics Committee of Kunming Medical University approved the study protocol (License No. KMMU 2018024; Kunming, China).

2.2. Primary Neuron Cell Culture and Establishment of Neuron Injury Model. Newborn C57BL/6J mice aged 1-2 d (P1-P2) were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Center of Kunming Medical University. Briefly after, the mice were disinfected and anesthetized using isoflurane. Intact brain tissue was then taken out and placed in a high sugar base medium. Following this, the cerebellum was stripped and the cerebral cortex was taken and cut into pieces. The cortex tissue was digested with papain for 20 min at 37°C in an incubator (Roche Co., Ltd., Rs-10108014001, Switzerland).
and shaken every 5 min to facilitate full digestion. Subsequently, 100 μl fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco Co., Ltd., 16141-079, USA) was added to stop digestion. The suspension was filtered using a 75 μm cell filter and centrifuged (1000 rpm, 10 min, repeated twice). After centrifugation, the cells were resuspended and inoculated into a 96-well plate to reach the cell density of 5 × 10^4. Finally, the growth of the neurons was observed under a microscope. Aβ1-42 oligomer was added to the cultured neurons to develop the neuron injury model.

2.3. Preparation of Aβ1-42 Oligomer. Aβ monomer powder (RoyoBiotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was dissolved in hexafluoroisopropyl (HFIP) at the concentration of 1 mmol/l. After keeping the solution at room temperature for 1 h, it was ventilated to fully evaporate and remove HFIP and form a bright peptide film. The peptide film was then fully dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 7 mmol/l, which was diluted to 7 μmol/l and mixed with the neuron medium using a vortex mixer to obtain the Aβ monomer solution. The prepared Aβ monomer solution was then incubated at 37°C for 6 days, followed by centrifugation (14000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C). The supernatant containing Aβ1-42 oligomer was extracted. Subsequently, 166 μl of DMSO (Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) was added to 1 mg of Aβ1-42 oligomer, and the solution was diluted to obtain 6 μg/μl Aβ1-42 oligomer solution.

2.4. Detection of the Kinetics of Aβ-Oligomer Formation

2.4.1. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Detection. TEM detection was performed to assess the formation of Aβ oligomer in vitro. In brief, the prepared 7 μmol/l Aβ1-42 oligomer solution was dropped on a 200-mesh copper grid and dyed with 2% phosphotungstic acid solution for 2 min. Following this, the dried Aβ1-42 oligomer sample was observed via TEM (acceleration voltage 80 kV, magnification 100,000 times, JEOL Co., Ltd., Beijing).

2.4.2. Dot Blot Analysis. Aβ1-42 monomer solution and prepared Aβ1-42 oligomers were applied onto a nitrocellulose (NC) membrane. Subsequently, the bound mono-Aβ and oligomeric Aβ were detected on the membranes using anti-Aβ antibody, 6E10, and oligomer-specific antibody A11, respectively. The anti-Aβ antibody clone 6E10 was obtained from BioLegend (803014). The anti-oligomer antibody A11 was purchased from Invitrogen (product # AHB0052; Camarillo, CA).

2.4.3. Gel Electrophoresis. The Aβ1-42 oligomer preparation described above was subjected to 12.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate- (SDS-) polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) at 60 V for 4 h. The Aβ1-42 monomeric amyloids were run in parallel and served as controls. Proteins of known molecular weight were used as size standards (Coolaber Co., Ltd., DM2001-10T, Beijing). The bands were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., P0063, China) and visualized using Bio-Rad Molecular Imager FX.

2.5. Cell Treatments. In this study, primary neurons were transfected with artificially constructed Navβ2 overexpression (OE-Navβ2) or Navβ2-siRNA expression interference (si-Navβ2) lentiviruses (GeneChem Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Primary neurons were randomly divided into six groups: normal control (normal) group, solvent control (DMSO; cells treated with solvent DMSO) group, Aβ1-42 group (cells treated with Aβ1-42 oligomer solution), Aβ1-42-empty group (Aβ1-42-treated cells transfected with recombinant lentiviruses with Navβ2 expressionional empty vector), Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group (cells incubated with Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 overexpression lentivirus vector), and Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group (cells treated with Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 expression interference lentivirus vector). In the Aβ1-42 group, the Aβ1-42 oligomer solution was diluted to different concentrations (1 μM, 2 μM, 4 μM, 8 μM, and 16 μM) using neuronal medium. The primary neurons were previously inoculated in 6-well plates and cultured for 5 days until the plate was 80% confluent and at a density of 5 × 10^5 /ml. Subsequently, 50 μl of lentivirus (lentivirus titer: 1 × 10^9 l/ml) and 1 ml neuron-specific culture medium were added to the 6-well plate. After 24 h of culture, the medium containing lentivirus was replaced with the normal neuron-specific culture medium, followed by further culture for 72 h. The transfection of lentivirus was observed under an inverted fluorescence microscope (NIKON T1-SM Co., Ltd., Ti-E, Japan), and the transfection efficiency was detected.

2.6. MTT Assay. MTT assay was employed to evaluate the viability of cells with different treatments. According to the instructions of the MTT kit (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., C0009, China), 20 μl MTT reagent was added to each well, followed by incubation at 37°C for 4 h. Finally, 100 μl formazan solution was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. After all crystals were dissolved, as observed under the microscope, the optical density (OD) was measured at 562 nm using an enzyme-labeled instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Multiskan FC, USA). Cell viability was calculated as the ratio of treatment group to normal group or DMSO group for each treatment.

2.7. Mouse Preparation and Grouping. Eight-week-old C57BL/6 male mice weighing 25–30 g were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Center of Kunming Medical University and were raised in a standard environment. The mice were allowed to drink and eat ad libitum and were kept in good condition before surgery.

Mice were then randomly divided into six groups: normal group, DMSO group, Aβ1-42 group, Aβ1-42-empty group, Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group, and Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group (with 7 mice in each group, n = 42). After anesthesia by isoflurane inhalation (gas flow rate: 400 ml/min; induced anesthetic concentration: 3–4%; maintained anesthetic concentration: 1.5%), the mice were placed in prone position on a stereotaxic instrument, the hair on the head of the mice was shaved, and the scalp was cut along the cranial midline to expose the skull. The position of the hippocampus was located (1.7 mm behind the anterior fontanelle, 1.0 mm around the sagittal suture, and 1.0–1.5 mm in depth), and
a small hole was drilled at the hippocampus location. A 5 μl microsyringe was used to inject 2 μl of 6 μg/μl Aβ1-42 oligomer solution at the rate of 0.5 μl/min in the Aβ1-42 group, whereas the same volume of DMSO solution (2 μl) was injected in the DMSO group. In addition to Aβ1-42 oligomer solution, 2 μl of Navβ2 empty vector, OE-Navβ2 lentivirus vector, and si-Navβ2 lentivirus vector were injected into mice to establish the Aβ1-42+empty, Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2, and Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 groups, respectively.

2.8. Passive Avoidance Test (PAT). The PAT test was performed to evaluate cognitive changes and passive avoidance learning in mice. Given that mice had a tendency to avoid light and prefer darkness, the device contained a light and a dark chamber (280 × 155 × 160 mm, XR-XB110, XinRuan Information Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), both of which were divided by a door in the middle.

The first day of the experiment was set as adaptation stage. Each mouse was placed in the light chamber with the door between two chambers open, allowing the mouse to explore the whole space freely for 3 min. The training stage started 24 h after the adaptation stage. The mice were still placed in the light chamber with the door open. However, whenever the mice entered into the dark chamber, they immediately received electrical stimulation (0.3 mA, 2 s), and the latency and frequency for each mouse to enter the dark chamber were recorded. The training stage lasted for 3 min, and 24 h after the end of training, the retention test was performed, which lasted for 5 min. The procedure was the same as mentioned above, except that the mice would not receive electrical stimulation. The time when the mouse reentered the dark box was recorded as latency, and the number of times a mouse entered into the dark box was recorded as error times.

2.9. Novel Object Recognition (NOR) Test. The NOR test was mainly conducted to test the object recognition ability and memory of mice. In brief, the experiment environment contained an empty box of 40 × 40 × 40 cm³, and the experiment was divided into three stages, with each phase lasting for 5 min, and there was a 24 h interval between each phase. The first phase included 5 min of adaptive training, wherein the mice were free to explore the environment without any objects in it. In the second phase, for recognition and memory training, the mice explored two identical objects placed in the apparatus for 5 min. Finally, in the test phase, one of the old objects was replaced with a new object. The mice were free to move and observe different objects. The time spent exploring a new object was recorded as NT and that spent observing an old object as FT. Object recognition index (RI) and object discrimination index (DI) were considered to reflect the memory ability of mice, and these were calculated using following equations: $RI = NT/(NT + FT)$, and $DI = (NT - FT)/(NT + FT)$.

2.10. Ultrastructure Observation. TEM was performed to observe the synaptic ultrastructure in the hippocampus of mice undergoing various treatments. For synaptic ultrastructure observation, 1 mm³ of hippocampal tissue was prepared and fixed with 4% valeraldehyde, followed by treatment with osmium tetroxide. Subsequently, gradient alcohol dehydration was performed, and the tissue was impregnated and embedded in epoxy resin. Ultrathin sections were then made, and the sections were stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Finally, the sections were observed and photographed by TEM.

2.11. Immunofluorescence Analysis. Primary neurons with various treatments were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Followed by rinsing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells were then blocked with 40 μl of blocking solution (10 μl sheep serum, 190 μl PBS, 0.6 μl Triton-100), followed by incubation with 30 μl of β-tubulin (TU11) and NEUN primary antibody or Navβ2 antibody (1:800, Abcam, Ab138064, UK) diluted with 2% sheep serum (1:1000, Abcam, Ab177487, UK) overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, the cells were stained with a secondary antibody at 37°C for 1 h. Finally, the sections were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), which contained anti-fluorescence quenching agent (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., C1006, China), and observed and photographed under a fluorescence microscope (NIKON T1-SM, Ti-E, Japan).

2.12. Nissl’s Staining. In brief, the brain tissue sections of mice in different treatment groups were vitrified with dimethyl I and II, then soaked in gradient alcohol for 5 min each, and finally soaked in sterile water for 2 min. The sections were then stained with Nissl’s dye solution from Nissl’s staining kit (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., C0117, China) and incubated for 30 min at 60°C, followed by washing with sterile water. Subsequently, the slices were put into Nissl’s differentiation solution for 30 s and cleaned with sterile water. The sections were soaked in gradient alcohol for dehydration and again vitrified with dimethyl I and II. Finally, the sections were sealed with Resinence (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., P0081, China). The stained cells were observed under an optical microscope (Leica, DM4000B, Germany) and photographed.

2.13. Immunohistochemical Analysis. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed using the Maxvision™ HRP-polymer anti-mouse/anti-rabbit IHC kit (Maxim Co., Ltd., KIT-5020, China). In brief, the hydrated brain paraffin slices were put into the citrate antigen retrieval solution (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., P0081, China) for 90 s under high pressure for antigen retrieval. The sections were then cooled down to room temperature, followed by being washed with PBS.

The brain sections were then incubated with 3% H₂O₂ for 10 min to inactivate endogenous peroxidase activity. Following this, the sections were rinsed with PBS and incubated with a blocking solution (10 μl sheep serum, 190 μl PBS, 0.6 μl Triton-100) at 37°C for 30 min. The sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody (1:1000). After washing with PBS, the sections were incubated with the secondary antibody at room temperature for 20 min and rinsed with PBS.

Finally, 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride hydrate (DAB reagent, Maxim Co., Ltd., DAB-0031, China) was added
to the tissues. Once the brain tissue turned light brown, the slices were put into sterile water to stop the reaction. Finally, the stained sections were dehydrated with gradient alcohol, vitrified with dimethylbenzene, and sealed with neutral balsam. The staining results were observed and photographed under optical microscope (Leica, DM4000B, Germany).

2.14. Western Blotting. Adherent primary neurons were collected using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, whereas the tissue homogenates of cortical or hippocampal tissues of mice were dissolved in protein lysate (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., P0013C, China). Centrifugation was performed (12000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C), and the supernatant was absorbed as extracted protein.

In all, 40 μg of protein was extracted from each sample and isolated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). The protein was then transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane. The PVDF membrane was placed in a blocking solution to reduce nonspecific binding. Subsequently, the membrane was washed with Tris-buffered saline TWEEN-20 (TBST).

Following this, the membrane was incubated with GAPDH antibody (1:1000, Abcam, Ab9485, UK), APP antibody (1:1000, Abcam, Ab241592, UK), BDNF antibody (1:1000, Abcam, Ab108319, UK), or NEP antibody (1:1000, R&D Systems, AF1182, USA) at 4°C for 1 h, then rinsed with 1x TBST again. All results were photographed using Bio-Rad Gel Imaging System (ChemiDoc™ XRS+). ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, USA) was used for strip quantitative analysis.

2.15. NEP Activity Assay. The neurons and hippocampal tissues of mice from different groups were placed into a mixed cleavage buffer comprising 0.5% Triton X-100 (Biyuntian Co., Ltd., S7795, China), 89.5% 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4, Carnoss Technology Co., Ltd., Kms201612012110, China), and 10% sucrose and incubated at 4°C for 25 min. After incubation, the sample was placed in a mixture of 2 μl anti-NEP antibody (1:500, R&D Systems, AF1182, USA), 5 μM MCA RPPGFSAFK (DNP) OH fluorescent peptide substrate (R&D Systems, ES005, USA), and HEPES buffer (pH 7.4, Invitrogen, 28398, USA) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The OD of the samples in each group was measured at an excitation wavelength of 405 ± 10 nm and emission wavelength of 405 ± 10 nm using iMark fluorimeter and microplate reader (Bio Rad, V111584, USA).

2.16. Detection of Aβ Levels and APP Solution. The homogenates of hippocampal tissues of mice from each experimental group were collected and extracted for the detection of the APP sequential cleavage products. Aβ40 and Aβ42 levels were measured via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA, V-PLEX Plus Aβ40 Peptide (4G8) Kit, no. K1505SG; V-PLEX Plus Aβ42 Peptide (4G8) Kit, no. K1505SLG; Mesoscale Discovery), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sAPPα/sAPPβ multiplex electrochemiluminescence assay (ECLIA; Mesoscale Discovery, no. K15120E) kit was used to quantify sAPPα and sAPPβ. Furthermore, the ratio of Aβ42 to Aβ40 and the ratio of sAPPα to sAPPβ in the brain extract from mice in each group were calculated and compared.

2.17. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis of experimental data was performed using SPSS19.0 version (IBM Corporation, NY, USA). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). T test was used to detect the differences between two different experimental groups. The one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni test were used for statistical analysis between multiple groups of data. P < 0.05 indicated a statistically significant difference.

3. Results

3.1. Establishment of Aβ1-42-Treated Neuron Model. Following the successful culturing of primary neurons (Supplemental Material, Figure S1) and the preparation of Aβ1-42 oligomer (Supplemental Material, Figure S2), it was necessary to determine the optimal concentration of Aβ1-42 oligomer for inducing neuronal injury. Therefore, Aβ1-42 oligomer was diluted to five concentration gradients of 1 μM, 2 μM, 4 μM, 8 μM, and 16 μM.

The results showed that after the addition of different concentrations of Aβ1-42 oligomer, the number of neurons started decreasing, and the axis cylinder showed varying degrees of shortening; these findings showed statistically significant differences compared with the DMSO group (Figure 1(i), *P < 0.05). In addition, the degree of cell damage showed a trend of increasing with increasing Aβ1-42 oligomer concentration (Figure 1).

The MTT assay was then performed to determine the toxicity of Aβ1-42 oligomer to neurons. The results showed that there was no statistical difference in cell viability between the DMSO group and the normal group, indicating that DMSO did not cause damage to neurons (Figure 1(h), P > 0.05). When Aβ1–42 oligomer was added, the cell viability significantly decreased, and when Aβ1-42 oligomer concentration reached 16 μM, the cell viability was the lowest, which was 67% lower than that observed in the DMSO group (Figure 1(h), *P < 0.05).

According to the abovementioned experimental results, 16 μM of Aβ1-42 oligomer was selected as the standard concentration for establishing a neuron injury model in further research.

3.2. Changes in Navβ2 Protein Levels of Neuronal Cells after Lentivirus Transfection. To verify whether neurons were successfully transfected with lentiviral vectors that overexpressed or inhibited Navβ2, Western blotting and immunofluorescence assay were performed to detect changes in Navβ2 protein after lentivirus transfection.

Western blot analysis showed that there was no significant difference in Navβ2 protein levels between normal group and Aβ1-42-empty group (P > 0.05, Figure 2). Nevertheless, compared with the Aβ1-42-empty group, the Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group showed significantly increased levels
of Navβ2 protein (\(*P < 0.05, \text{Figure 2(a)}\)). In contrast, the Navβ2 protein level in the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group was significantly lower than that in the Aβ1-42-empty group (\(*P < 0.05, \text{Figure 2(b)}\)), and the difference was approximately 86%. Immunofluorescence detection of Navβ2 revealed that positive staining of Navβ2 was obviously increased in OE-Navβ2-treated neurons and decreased in si-Navβ2-treated neurons (\(\text{Figure 2(c)}\)). Therefore, lentivirus transfection induced stable Navβ2 upregulation or downregulation in primary neurons.

3.3. Effect of Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 on Cell Viability in Aβ1-42-Treated Primary Neurons. The effect of Navβ2 on the viability of neurons in each treatment group was verified via MTT assay. The results showed that the cell viability of primary neurons treated with Aβ1-42 was significantly decreased compared with that of the control groups (normal and DMSO groups) (\(*P < 0.05, \text{Figure 3}\)).

The cell viability in the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group was significantly increased compared with that in the Aβ1-42-empty group (\(*P < 0.05, \text{Figure 3}\)), whereas there was no significant difference in cell viability between the Aβ1-42+empty group and Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group and Aβ1-42-empty group (\(P > 0.05, \text{Figure 3}\)). In addition, there was no significant difference in cell viability between the Aβ1-42+empty group and Aβ1-42 group (\(P > 0.05, \text{Figure 3}\)).

These results indicated that reduced expression of Navβ2 ameliorated the Aβ1-42-induced decrease in neuronal viability.

3.4. Effects of Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 on the Expression Levels of APP, BDNF, and NEP Enzyme Activity in Aβ1-42-Treated Neurons. In this section, Western blotting was performed to detect the effects of Aβ1-42 on APP, BDNF, and NEP protein expressions in the Aβ1-42-induced neuronal injury model, and the enzyme activity assay was used to detect the changes in NEP enzyme activity.

As is shown in Figure 4, there were no significant differences in APP, BDNF, and NEP protein levels and NEP enzyme activity between the DMSO group and the normal group (\(P > 0.05, \text{Figures 4(b)}–\text{4(f)}\)). In addition, there were also no significant differences in APP, Navβ2, BDNF, and NEP protein levels and NEP enzyme activity between the Aβ1-42-empty group and Aβ1-42 group (\(P > 0.05, \text{Figures 4(a)}–\text{4(f)}\)), proving that the empty vector of lentivirus did not affect the expression of the abovementioned substances in neurons. Further, even after the introduction of Navβ2 overexpression lentivirus vector (Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2),
APP, Navβ2, BDNF, NEP protein levels and NEP enzyme activity in neurons did not change ($P > 0.05$, Figures 4(a)–4(f)). However, compared with the control group, the Aβ1-42 group showed significantly increased levels of APP ($P < 0.05$, Figure 4(c)) and significantly decreased levels of intracellular BDNF ($P < 0.05$, Figure 4(d)). After the neuronal injury model was transfected with the lentivirus showing inhibited Navβ2 expression (Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2), APP levels were
significantly reduced, whereas intracellular BDNF levels increased significantly compared with those in the Aβ1-42 +empty group (*P < 0.05, Figures 4(c) and 4(d)).

After adding Aβ1-42 to the neurons, the NEP protein content did not change significantly, whereas NEP enzyme activity significantly increased (*P < 0.05, Figures 4(e) and 4(f)). However, as the expression of Navβ2 decreased (Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2), the protein content and enzyme activity of NEP significantly increased (*P < 0.05, Figures 4(e) and 4(f)).

3.5. Effects of Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 on the Cognitive Function of Aβ1-42-Treated Mice. As described in the Supplementary Information, the model mice injected with Aβ1-42 oligomer in the bilateral hippocampus showed pathological features of AD (Figure S3, 4). Considering that cognitive dysfunction was one of the most important pathological features of AD, the passive avoidance test was performed to detect cognitive alterations in mice 7 days after modeling. The results showed that after bilateral hippocampal injection of Aβ1-42 oligomer, the error times significantly increased in the Aβ1-42 group, and the latency was significantly shorter than that observed in the normal group (*P < 0.05, Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). In contrast, there was no statistical difference in the abovementioned indices between the DMSO group and normal group (P > 0.05, Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). All these results proved that Aβ1-42 oligomer treatment successfully induced the symptoms of cognitive dysfunction as the cognitive, learning, and memory abilities of mice were significantly decreased after treatment.

To detect whether Navβ2 affected the cognitive and learning abilities of mice, we also established groups with Navβ2 overexpression and Navβ2 inhibition and another group employed with an empty vector as the control.

The results of passive avoidance test showed that there was no significant difference in the error times and latency between the Aβ1-42+empty and the Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 groups compared with the Aβ1-42 group (P > 0.05, Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). However, mice injected with Navβ2 expression interference lentivirus vector (Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2) had fewer errors times and longer latency compared with the mice injected with empty lentivirus vector (Aβ1-42+empty); the differences were statistically significant (*P < 0.05, Figures 5(a) and 5(b)).

To further explore the effects of Navβ2 on the cognitive function of mice, we performed NOR test and detected the object recognition and memory functions of mice in each treatment group. The results showed that the RI and DI indexes of mice injected with Navβ2 overexpression lentivirus vector (Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group) were significantly lower than those of the normal group (*P < 0.05, Figures 5(c) and 5(d)). This proved that Navβ2 upregulation had no effect on the cognitive function of mice. In contrast, mice injected with Navβ2 expression interference lentivirus vector (Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group) had higher RI and DI indexes than mice only injected with Aβ1-42 (Aβ1-42 group) and mice injected with Navβ2 overexpression lentivirus vector (Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group); the differences were both statistically significant (*P < 0.05, Figures 5(c) and 5(d)). This indicated that mice in the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group had better cognitive function.

In conclusion, Navβ2 downregulation could effectively improve the cognitive dysfunction induced by Aβ1-42 in mice.
Figure 4: Continued.
3.6. Effects of Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 on APP, BDNF, and NEP Protein Levels and NEP Enzyme Activity in Aβ1-42-Treated Mice. To explore why reduced Navβ2 expression improved cognitive dysfunction induced by Aβ1-42 in mice, the hippocampus tissue of mice was subjected to Western blotting for the detection of protein expressions of APP, BDNF, and NEP. We also used enzyme activity assay to measure NEP enzyme activity.

The experimental results are shown in Figure 6. No significant difference was observed in Navβ2 and APP levels between the Aβ1-42+empty group and Aβ1-42 group after the addition of different Navβ2 lentivirus vectors (P > 0.05, Figures 6(a)−6(c)). However, Navβ2 expression and APP content in the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group were significantly lower than those in the Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group (*P < 0.05, Figures 6(a) and 6(b); *P < 0.05, Figures 6(a) and 6(c)). Further, Navβ2 expression in the Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group was significantly increased compared with that in the Aβ1-42+empty group (*P < 0.05, Figures 6(a) and 6(b)). The results showed that injection of lentiviral vectors into the hippocampus of mice could regulate the content of Navβ2 in the brain of mice, whereas injection of Aβ1-42 into the hippocampus of mice had no effect on the levels of Navβ2 in the brain.

Compared with the DMSO group, the Aβ1-42 group and Aβ1-42-empty group showed significantly reduced levels of BDNF (*P < 0.05, Figures 6(a) and 6(d)). In contrast, compared with the Aβ1-42-empty group, the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group showed significantly increased the BDNF expression levels (*P < 0.05, Figures 6(a) and 6(d)). The NEP content and NEP enzyme activity in the Aβ1-42 group were significantly increased compared with those in the DMSO group, and the differences were statistically significant (*P < 0.05, Figures 6(e) and 6(f)). Compared with the Aβ1-42+empty group, the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group showed significantly increased NEP protein content and enzyme activity (*P < 0.05, Figures 6(e) and 6(f)). However, there were no significant differences in NEP expression level and enzyme activity between the Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group and Aβ1-42-empty group (P > 0.05, Figures 6(e) and 6(f)).

These results suggested that the downregulation of Navβ2 expression reversed the decrease in BDNF levels induced by Aβ1-42 and further increased NEP protein content and enzyme activity.

3.7. Effects of Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 on Aβ40, Aβ42, sAPPα, and sAPPβ in Aβ1-42-Treated Mice. As shown in Figure 6, reduced Navβ2 expression by siRNA significantly downregulated APP protein expression. To further investigate the effects of Navβ2 on the metabolism of APP, we detected the levels of Aβ40 and Aβ42 in the hippocampus of mice via ELISA and quantified the expression levels of sAPPα and sAPPβ via ECLIA.

The experimental results are shown in Figure 7. After the Aβ1-42 oligomer was injected into the bilateral hippocampus, the levels of Aβ40 and sAPPα in the Aβ1-42 group were...
Figure 5: Effects of Aβ1-42 and Navβ2 on the cognitive and memory function in Aβ1-42-treated mice. (a) Quantitative error times in passive avoidance experiment of mice after introduction of different lentivirus vectors (n = 7). * Versus two different treatment groups, P < 0.05. (b) Quantitative incubation period in passive avoidance experiment of mice after introduction of different lentivirus vectors (n = 7). * Versus two different treatment groups, P < 0.05. (c) Object recognition index (RI) in novel object recognition test of mice after introduction of different lentivirus vectors (n = 7). * Versus two different treatment groups, P < 0.05. (d) Object discrimination index (DI) in novel object recognition test of mice after introduction of different lentivirus vectors (n = 7). * Versus two different treatment groups, P < 0.05. Normal group: normal farmed mice without treatment; DMSO: normal farmed mice injected with DMSO in bilateral hippocampal; empty: empty viral vector; OE: overexpression; si: small interfering.

significantly lower than those in the normal and DMSO groups, whereas the levels of Aβ42 and sAPPβ were significantly increased (P < 0.05, Figures 7(a)–7(d)). Thus, the Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio in the Aβ1-42 group was significantly increased compared with that in the normal and DMSO groups, whereas the sAPPα/sAPPβ ratio was obviously decreased (P < 0.05, Figures 7(e) and 7(f)).

After different lentivirus vectors were introduced, the contents of Aβ40, Aβ42, sAPPα, and sAPPβ and the ratios of Aβ42/Aβ40 and sAPPα/sAPPβ showed no statistically significant differences among the Aβ1-42, Aβ1-42+empty, and Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 groups (P > 0.05, Figures 7(a)–7(f)). However, compared with the Aβ1-42, Aβ1-42+empty, and Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 groups, the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group showed significantly decreased contents of Aβ42 and sAPPβ and significantly increased levels of sAPPα (P < 0.05, Figures 7(a)–7(d)). Meanwhile, the Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio was significantly lower in the Aβ1-42+si-Navβ2 group than in the Aβ1-42 group, Aβ1-42+empty group, and Aβ1-42+OE-Navβ2 group, and the sAPPα/sAPPβ ratio was significantly increased (P < 0.05, Figures 7(e) and 7(f)). Nevertheless, no significant difference in Aβ40 levels was observed between all lentivirus-treated groups (P > 0.05, Figure 7(a)).

In summary, our results suggested that the downregulation of Navβ2 expression effectively altered the levels of the APP metabolites, such as Aβ42, sAPPα, and sAPPβ. The neuroprotection induced by si-Navβ2 may be associated with the regulation of Aβ42/Aβ40 and sAPPα/sAPPβ ratios.
Figure 6: Continued.
thus facilitating the metabolic direction of APP proceeding into the nonamyloid pathway.

4. Discussion

At present, AD is the most common type of senile dementia. Because of its increasing morbidity with age [33, 34], it has become one of the most common causes of death among elderly [35]. The complex pathogenesis of AD, including excessive production of Aβ1-42, impaired cholinergic function, increased oxidative stress, and excessive expression of inflammatory mediators, plays a central role in the disease process [36, 37]. In addition, interactions between pathological changes associated with AD are also induced by Aβ oligomers [38, 39], which has become the largest obstacle for the development of promising treatments for AD. Moreover, there is a broad international consensus that once AD progresses to the advanced stage of dementia, it is irreversible and cannot be effectively treated. Therefore, the current basic and clinical research on AD is shifting toward early diagnosis of the transition from normal aging to MCI and dementia [40]. Elucidating the relevant pathological mechanism in the early stage of the disease and screening for molecular markers with diagnostic and predictive value are of great practical significance for the early treatment of AD.

Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to clarify the possible roles and related molecular mechanisms of Navβ2 in the progression of AD using an Aβ1-42-induced injury model both in vivo and in vitro and provide novel molecular targets for the early diagnosis of AD. The results revealed that by introducing Navβ2 expression interference lentivirus, neuronal cell viability was restored, and the levels of BDNF and NEP in neurons were increased, whereas the activity of NEP enzyme was upregulated. In addition, APP expression in the Aβ1-42-treated neurons decreased. Similar results were observed in the mouse model of AD induced by Aβ1-42 injection, showing that the cognitive impairment of Aβ1-42-treated mice started to ameliorate after Navβ2 downregulation. Moreover, Navβ2 knockdown decreased the levels of Aβ42 and sAPPβ and altered the levels of APP metabolites. These findings suggest that a decreased expression of Navβ2 may play a neuroprotective role in the Aβ1-42-induced neuronal injury model, and this role may be associated with the regulation of APP (both expression and metabolism pathway), NEP, and BDNF by Navβ2.

The application of Aβ1-42 oligomer in primary neurons to simulate AD in vitro has become a widely used modeling method worldwide [41, 42]. Therefore, in this study, Aβ1-42 oligomer was prepared to induce primary neuron injury, and MTT assay was employed to detect changes in neuronal viability. The results showed that when the concentration of Aβ1-42 oligomer reached 16 μM, the activity of neurons significantly decreased and was only 67% that of normal neurons, proving that the Aβ1-42-induced injury model was successfully established. Subsequently, we constructed lentiviral vectors that overexpressed or interfered with the
**Figure 7: Continued.**

### (a)

| Condition              | Relative Expression of Aβ40 Protein (ng/ml) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Normal                 | 1.0 (±0.0)                                 |
| DMSO                   | 0.5 (±0.0)                                 |
| Aβ1-42                 | 0.5 (±0.0)                                 |
| Aβ1-42 + empty         | 0.5 (±0.0)                                 |
| Aβ1-42 + OE-Navβ2      | 0.5 (±0.0)                                 |
| Aβ1-42 + si-Navβ2      | 0.5 (±0.0)                                 |

### (b)

| Condition              | Relative Expression of Aβ42 Protein (pg/ml) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Normal                 | 15 (±0.0)                                   |
| DMSO                   | 10 (±0.0)                                   |
| Aβ1-42                 | 10 (±0.0)                                   |
| Aβ1-42 + empty         | 10 (±0.0)                                   |
| Aβ1-42 + OE-Navβ2      | 10 (±0.0)                                   |
| Aβ1-42 + si-Navβ2      | 10 (±0.0)                                   |

*Indicates statistical significance compared to the control group.
Figure 7: Continued.
expression of Nav2 to transfect Aβ1-42-treated neurons with an aim to explore the possible roles of Nav2 in Aβ1-42-treated neurons.

Previous studies have confirmed that intracellular APP levels (either mRNA or protein levels) of cultured cerebrovascular smooth muscle cells [43], epithelial cells [44], endothelial cells [45], and midbrain neuron cells [46] increased after treatment with Aβ peptide [46–48]. These findings are consistent with the present findings that APP protein levels significantly increased following Aβ1-42 treatment. It was suggested that the neuronal damage induced by Aβ1-42 oligomer was associated with the upregulation of APP levels. After introducing Nav2 expression interference lentivirus, the neuronal viability was significantly improved,
whereas APP protein levels were simultaneously significantly decreased. These results suggested that Navβ2 regulates the expression of APP and thus ameliorates the neuronal injury induced by Aβ1-42.

To further validate the roles of Navβ2 in the process of AD, we injected lentiviral vectors with Navβ2 overexpression or knockdown into the bilateral hippocampus of Aβ1-42-treated mice. Subsequently, the effects of Navβ2 on cognitive dysfunction in mice were detected through behavioral experiments. The hippocampal tissues of mice were then collected for Western blotting and/or enzyme activity detection to verify the effects of Navβ2 on APP, BDNF, and NEP levels in mice. APP metabolism and morphological alterations were also assessed. Intrahippocampal injection of Aβ1-42 oligomer is one of the most recognized artificial animal models suitable for studying AD and has been used in numbers of related studies [49–52]. This animal model can simulate some pathological changes and behavioral characteristics of AD in human patients [80-84]. Studies have confirmed that an injection of Aβ1-42 oligomer into the hippocampus can cause cognitive decline in mice [50–52], which is consistent with our present results. Furthermore, the pathology of AD is characterized by the formation of plaques composed of aggregated Aβ peptides [53]. The immunohistochemistry results reported that insoluble Aβ plaques appeared in the brain of mice after the injection of Aβ1-42 oligomer into the hippocampus, further demonstrating the success of modeling Aβ1-42-induced injury in mice.

In previous studies, we have reported that the cognitive function of APP/PS1 mice was improved after Navβ2 knockdown, which could also lead to the transformation of APP from amyloid metabolism to nonamyloid metabolism [26]. In addition, the amelioration of cognitive impairment in APP/PS1 mice by exercise training was also related to Navβ2 regulation [27]. In this study, following interference of the hippocampal expression of Navβ2 in mice, the Aβ1-42-induced cognitive impairment significantly reduced, whereas increased expression of Navβ2 had no obvious effect on the cognitive dysfunction. A previous study has also proved that aged SAMP8 mice with SCN2B knockdown showed significantly improved learning and memory function [21], which was consistent with the present findings. Therefore, the abovementioned results prove that Navβ2 plays important roles in the progression of cognitive dysfunction induced by pathological changes associated with brain aging, for example, accelerated amyloid metabolism of APP and amyloid deposition.

Navβ2 downregulation also contributed to increases in the levels of NEP and BDNF, as well as NEP enzyme activity, in Aβ1-42-induced injury model in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, we speculated that Navβ2 downregulation exerted its neuroprotective effects by interfering with the expression and activity of NEP, as well as by regulating the expression of BDNF.

NEP and NEP2 (neprilysin family) are plasma membrane glycoproteins weighing 90-110 kDa. They belong to the neutral zinc endopeptidase family and are Aβ-degrading enzymes. As a complete membrane-bound metallopeptidase, these enzymes have a broad spectrum of substrates and a variety of physiological functions [54–56]. Previous studies have confirmed a link between cerebral Aβ levels and NEP activity [57–59]. In studies involving AD patients, the activity of NEP was reported to increase in brain tissues [54]. Changes in the somatostatin system in the temporal lobe of AD patients may impair the normal regulation of NEP, resulting in insufficient activity and ultimately Aβ accumulation in the brain [60]. Thus, it has been proved that changes in NEP expression and activity were involved in the AD progression. Furthermore, experiments using NEP-knockout mice and rats carrying long-term NEP inhibitors showed that NEP loss could lead to approximately twofold increase of endogenous Aβ40 and Aβ42 levels in different brain regions and also cause defects in exogenous degradation of Aβ42 [57]. In contrast, injection of Aβ into the brain induced an increase in the levels of NEP, further supporting the relationship between NEP expression and Aβ levels [61]. In summary, decreased expression levels and activity of NEP lead to a deficiency in amyloid clearance function, which has been considered to be one of the main pathogenic factors associated with sporadic AD [62, 63]. Therefore, the recovery of NEP expression and activity may become a promising direction for the treatment of AD.

In vivo results showed that NEP levels and enzyme activity increased after bilateral hippocampus injection of Aβ1-42. The expression level of NEP and enzyme activity were further upregulated when Navβ2 expression was decreased. Studies have shown that in wild-type and APP/PS1 mice, reduced NEP levels lead to higher Aβ levels, impaired synaptic plasticity, and abnormal cognitive function. In contrast, increased NEP levels have led to reduced Aβ levels in AD mouse models, accompanied by improved behavioral test performances [64], which was consistent with the findings of our study.

Furthermore, we found that reduced Navβ2 expression caused the upregulation of APP levels in the hippocampus. Thus, APP intracellular domain (AICD), one of the lysis products of APP, may be involved in the transcriptional regulation of NEP [64]. Interestingly, previous studies have found that Navβ2 knockdown increases AICD binding to NEP promoters [25]. On collectively considering the previous work and the findings of this study, we speculate that Navβ2 regulates the transcription process of NEP and affects the metabolic degradation of APP, thus contributing to changes in the cognitive function of AD mice.

Literature has confirmed the vital roles of neurotrophic factors (NTFs) in the development and progression of AD. BDNF, a member of the NTF family [53], is involved in regulating the survival and differentiation of neurons during neuronal development [65]. Studies have proved that BDNF plays an important role in maintaining cell viability, spontaneous bioelectricity, calcium network activity [66], neurogenesis, synapse formation and functional regulation, learning and memory, and other adaptive responses of neuronal circuits, including brain aging [62, 67, 68]. With the pathological progression of AD, the BDNF levels start to alter [52]. Studies have shown that BDNF levels in AD patients decreased to a certain extent [55], whereas increasing the
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