Myt1l haploinsufficiency leads to obesity and multifaceted behavioral alterations in mice
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Abstract

Background: The zinc finger domain containing transcription factor Myt1l is tightly associated with neuronal identity and is the only transcription factor known that is both neuron-specific and expressed in all neuronal subtypes. We identified Myt1l as a powerful reprogramming factor that, in combination with the proneural bHLH factor Ascl1, could induce neuronal fate in fibroblasts. Molecularly, we found it to repress many non-neuronal gene programs, explaining its supportive role to induce and safeguard neuronal identity in combination with proneural bHLH transcriptional activators. Moreover, human genetics studies found MYT1L mutations to cause intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder often coupled with obesity.

Methods: Here, we generated and characterized Myt1l-deficient mice. A comprehensive, longitudinal behavioral phenotyping approach was applied.

Results: Myt1l was necessary for survival beyond 24 h but not for overall histological brain organization. Myt1l heterozygous mice became increasingly overweight and exhibited multifaceted behavioral alterations. In mouse pups, Myt1l haploinsufficiency caused mild alterations in early socio-affective communication through ultrasonic vocalizations. In adulthood, Myt1l heterozygous mice displayed hyperactivity due to impaired habituation learning. Motor performance was reduced in Myt1l heterozygous mice despite intact motor learning, possibly due to muscular hypotonia. While anxiety-related behavior was reduced, acoustic startle reactivity was enhanced, in line with higher sensitivity to loud sound. Finally, Myt1l haploinsufficiency had a negative impact on contextual fear memory retrieval, while cued fear memory retrieval appeared to be intact.

Limitations: In future studies, additional phenotypes might be identified and a detailed characterization of direct reciprocal social interaction behavior might help to reveal effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on social behavior in juvenile and adult mice.

Conclusions: Behavioral alterations in Myt1l haploinsufficient mice recapitulate several clinical phenotypes observed in humans carrying heterozygous MYT1L mutations and thus serve as an informative model of the human MYT1L syndrome.
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Background

MYT1L has been proposed as a causative gene for intellectual disability (ID) and other phenotypes observed in cases with 2p25.3 deletions, such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [1–4]. In the largest exome sequencing study to date, MYT1L was ranked among the prime candidate
genes associated with ASD, clustering with other risk genes causing neurodevelopmental delay, such as FOXP1 and SETDS5 [5]. Notably, the majority of these genes are involved in gene regulation, extending previous findings obtained in large human genetic studies [6–10]. For instance, in these studies, a de novo loss-of-function variant and a de novo likely damaging missense variant in the MYT1L gene were identified in two unrelated individuals with ASD from 2270 trios [30, 31]. Case studies report that individuals carrying MYT1L mutations present with delays in the development of motor abilities, cognitive functions, and speech. Obesity and hyperphagia are common findings in these patients. Hyperactivity and aggressiveness are prevalent. In addition, hypotonia, motor deficits, sleep problems, and seizures occur in a significant number of cases. Dysmorphic features are rare, yet strabismus is often observed [1–4, 11–22]. Copy number variants affecting the MYT1L gene have also been implicated in schizophrenia (SCZ) [23–25]. Likewise, MYT1L gene polymorphisms were associated with SCZ [26]. A recent review on the role of MYT1L in neurodevelopmental disorders indicates that partial duplications are primarily associated with SCZ, while loss-of-function mutations are primarily associated with ID and ASD [27].

Myt1l is a member of the neural zinc finger (NZF) family of transcription factors, which contain Cys-Cys-His-Cys (C2HC) zinc finger motifs for DNA binding [28–30]. It is the only transcription factor known that is both specifically expressed in neurons and at the same time expressed in virtually all neuronal subtypes [30, 31]. Together with its two family members Myt1 and St18, its expression is induced soon after neurons are born from ventricular progenitor cells, but only Myt1l remains to be expressed into adulthood, whereas Myt1 and St18 are downregulated once neurons have matured [30, 31]. Myt1l is therefore tightly associated with the neuronal lineage and a candidate to maintain neuronal identity.

We previously identified Myt1l as a powerful transcription factor to induce morphological, biochemical, and functional neuronal properties in fibroblasts [32, 33]. In contrast to the proneural bHLH factor Ascl1, which was able to induce neuronal properties alone, Myt1l expression alone was not sufficient to induce neuronal genes in fibroblasts [34]. Of note, expression of only Ascl1 did successfully convert mouse fibroblasts into fully functional neurons, but many cells failed to silence mesodermal programs [35]. Genomic localization studies using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and expression analyses revealed that Myt1l acts as a transcriptional repressor to suppress many non-neuronal programs including the mesodermal programs in fibroblasts infected with just Ascl1 [36]. This finding explains the cooperation of Ascl1 (a transcriptional activator and inducer of neuronal genes) and Myt1l to induce a faithful neuronal identity. These data further suggested that Myt1l might act to safeguard neuronal lineage identity by suppressing various non-neuronal programs, a mechanism exactly inverse of the transcriptional repressor REST, whose function is to suppress the neuronal program specifically [37]. One of the developmental pathways repressed by Myt1l is the Notch signaling pathway, a prominent pathway regulating neurogenesis [36]. Thus, despite the potential redundancy with its two closely related family members Myt1 and St18 during the early stages of neuronal differentiation, this finding could indicate a role during physiologic neuronal specification.

Although these studies have shed light into the molecular properties of Myt1l, its physiological function in the postnatal brain remains largely unexplored. Due to the association of MYT1L mutations with neurodevelopmental phenotypes in patients, we here sought to investigate the behavioral consequences of Myt1l haploinsufficiency in mice. While this paper was under review, a related study by Chen et al. was published, describing a similar mouse model that showed largely overlapping phenotypes [38].

Materials and methods

Animals and housing

Constitutive heterozygous Myt1l<+/-> mutant mice were created by CRISPR/Cas9 targeting Myt1l. To generate the mutant mice, zygotes were isolated and electroporated with Cas9 proteins complexed with a guide sequence directed at exon 6, the first coding exon of the Myt1l gene. Transfected blastocysts were transferred into oviducts of day 0.5 pseudo-pregnant recipient ICR mice. Resulting pups were genotyped by Sanger sequencing of the PCR-amplified Myt1l region around the predicted cut site and several Myt1l indel mutations detected. We observed up to three different mutations in one animal suggesting continued Cas9 activity at least until the 4-cell stage. We focused on a Myt1l<7> base pair (bp) deletion allele because it is predicted to cause a frameshift and thus null allele. Mice containing this deletion 7 allele were bred with wild-type mice to achieve segregation of the various alleles and mice containing only wild-type and deletion 7 were obtained, which were used to start a colony. These Myt1l<7> mice were maintained as heterozygotes on a C57BL6/N background strain by breeding to C57BL6/N (Charles River; Strain Code: 027) mice every 4–5 months. Experimental mice were bred and housed in facilities at the Lorry I. Lokey Stem Cell Research Building.
Genotyping

PCR to detect *Myt1l* frameshift deletion was performed with 50–200 ng of DNA extracted with QuickExtract DNA Extraction Solution (Lucigen, Middleton, WI, USA) from tail biopsies, using Taq polymerase in the Bio-Rad T100 Thermal Cycler. PCR for *Myt1l* deletion was performed using a forward primer for the wild-type allele F1, a separate forward primer that incorporates the deletion allele F2, and a common reverse primer R1 (see also Fig. 1A). The primers were as follows: *Myt1l* wild-type
forward CTG AGG AGA AGC GCC ATC GCA; Myt1l deletion forward CTG AGG AGA AGC GCC ACG GT; and reverse CAC TGG TAC TCT TCT TCC ACG GAA AAT TAC C.

Breeding scheme for embryonic neurobiological characterization
Neurobiological effects of Myt1l deletion were assessed by comparing male and female constitutive homozygous Myt1l–/− mutant mice to wild-type Myt1l+/+ and heterozygous Myt1l+/− littermate controls. To generate the experimental cohort, pairs of male and female heterozygous Myt1l+/− mice were set up in the evening and females were checked for plugs the following morning.

Dissection and immunoblotting
Whole mouse brains were dissected from E18.5 mice. Tissue was homogenized in ice-cold cell lysis buffer consisting of 0.5% Tween-20, 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT with protease inhibitors. The lysate was incubated on ice for 15 min. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 3200 rpm for 1 min at 4 °C, and the pellet was resuspended in NP-40 lysis buffer consisting of 0.5% NP-40, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT with protease inhibitors. Samples were spun at 14,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was pipetted into a new tube. Western blot was run as described [39].

qRT-PCR
RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen) and RNA Clean & Concentrator (Zymo) and reverse-transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen). mRNA levels were quantified by real-time PCR assay using SYBRGreen (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 7 Pro Real-Time PCR system. Expression values were expressed as percent of MAP2 using the formula: 2-CT (target mRNA)/2-CT (housekeeping mRNA) × 100. Primers used: MAP2-F: CGGTCTCCAGGGATGAAAGTG; MAP2-R: ACTTGCTGCTGTGGTTTTC; Myt1l-F: ATGTCTCCACAAACACCACACA; Myt1l-R: TACCGCTTGGCATCGTATA.

Antibodies
The following antibodies were used for western blot: rabbit anti-Myt1l (1:500; Millipore ABE2915) and mouse anti-β-Actin (1:10,000; Sigma A5441). Rabbit anti-Tbr2 (1:500; ab23345), rat anti-Ctip2 (1:500; ab18465), and rabbit anti-Sox2 (1:250; Millipore AB5603) were used for immunofluorescence.

Histology in embryonic tissue
The morning the plug was observed was designated embryonic day 0.5. Embryos from deeply anesthetized pregnant dams were collected at E15.5 or E18.5. Uterine horns were placed in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The heads of the embryos were drop-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 3 h, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose overnight, fresh frozen in powdered dry ice, embedded in O.C.T. Compound (VWR), and stored at −80 °C until use. 40 μm free-floating coronal sections were cut using Leica CM3050 S into 1X phosphate buffer (P.B.) containing 0.02% sodium azide. Thionin staining was performed as described [40]. For immunofluorescence, sections were incubated in 10 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.0 for 10 min at 85 °C for antigen retrieval. They were washed three times with 1X PBS and then blocked for 1 h with 1X PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (Tx-100) and 5% normal goat serum (NGS). Sections were incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. On the following day, after three washes in 1X PBS, sections were incubated in the appropriate Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) diluted 1:1,000 in blocking solution for 2 h at room temperature. The sections were counterstained with DAPI in PBS for 10 min, washed three times in 1X PBS, mounted on slides, and cover-slipped (Antifade Gold, Life Technologies).

Quantitative image analysis
Brain anatomy and immunofluorescent labeling were assessed using three mouse triplets (Myt1l+/+, Myt1l+/−, and Myt1l−−) from three different litters. Nissl staining embryos at age E18.5 were analyzed in slices corresponding to sections 125 (rostral) and 155 (caudal) of the Allen Atlas of the Developing Mouse Brain. Images were taken on an Olympus Slide Scanner VS200 using the 20× objective. In the rostral section, we measured the diameter of the cortical plates (CP) at motor cortex and barrel cortex positions, the diameter of the corpus callosum, as well as the area of striatum and septum. In the caudal section, we measured the diameter of the pallium at motor cortex and barrel cortex positions, the diameter of the hippocampus from the alveus-CA1-dentate-gyrus, as well as the area of thalamus and hypothalamus.

Immunofluorescence was imaged using a Nikon A1R laser scanning confocal and 40× oil immersion objectives. Sox2 expression was assessed by measuring the area of the Sox2-positive signal in a 100 × 100 μm square region of the ventricular zone (VZ) (age E15.5, primary motor cortex). The borders of the VZ and CP were determined using the Sox2 and DAPI signals. Trb2 expression and Ctip2 expression were used to measure the diameters of the proximal VZ (Trb2-negative), the distal VZ...
(Tbr2-positive and Tbr2-negative cells), the multipolar cell accumulation zone (MAZ, part of subventricular zone, all cells Tbr2-positive), the distal subventricular zone (some isolated Tbr2-positive cells), the intermediate zone (no Tbr2 signal, no/weak Ctip2 signal), the CP (band of strong Ctip2 signal), and the marginal zone. Ctip2 positive cells were counted in a 50 × 100 µm rectangle in the CP.

General overview on behavioral phenotyping
Behavioral effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency were tested by comparing male and female constitutive heterozygous Myt1l+/− mutant mice to wild-type Myt1l+/+ littermate controls. C57BL6/N dams were bred to Myt1l+/− sires to generate the experimental cohort. The day of birth was defined as postnatal day (PND) 0. In order to avoid litter effects, only litters with both genotypes were included in the experiments. After weaning on PND 21, same-sex littersmates of mixed genotypes were socially housed in groups of 2–5 mice in individually ventilated cages with corn cob bedding (Universal Euro II Type Long; 522.6 cm² floor space, 5653.5 cm³ living space, 12.7 cm height; Innocage; Innovive, San Diego, CA, USA). Mice were maintained on a 12-h light/dark cycle and were provided food (irradiated laboratory animal diet, 18% protein; Teklad; Madison, WI, USA) and water ad libitum. Mice were identified by paw tattoo, using non-toxic animal tattoo ink (Ketchum Green Animal Tattoo Ink Paste, Ketchum Manufacturing Inc., Brockville, Canada). The ink was gently inserted subcutaneously through a 30 gauge hypodermic needle tip into the center of the paw at PND 10. Mouse tail snips for genotyping were collected at 14 months. IPTT-300 transponders (Bio Medic Data Systems, Seaford, Delaware, USA) were injected subcutaneously and longitudinally above the shoulder. Mice were monitored for infection and allowed to recover over 3 weeks, after which the body temperature was read with the IPTT 5515 handheld reader by holding it over a freely moving mouse (DAS-7007S; Bio Medic Data Systems, Seaford, Delaware, USA). The behavioral cohort consisted of N = 30 Myt1l+/− mice (females: N = 12; males: N = 18) and N = 26 Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (females: N = 9; males: N = 17).

All behavioral experiments were carried out between 7 am and 7 pm during the light phase of the 12-h light/dark cycle. All behavioral assays were conducted and analyzed blind to genotype.

Homing test and isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations
For assessing sensory and motor abilities as well as isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations, pups were isolated from their mother and littermates for 10 min at room temperature (21–23 °C), using a modified protocol [44]. Individual pups were transferred to a cage with corn cob bedding (Universal Euro II Type Long; Innocage; Innovive). A new cage with clean bedding was used for each test to avoid olfactory cues. Soiled corn cob bedding from the home cage was evenly spread on one side (1/3 of the cage, nest area), while the rest of the cage was covered with clean bedding (2/3 of the cage, clean area). The soiled zone was counterbalanced across individual mouse pups. The pup was placed in the middle of the cage and video-recorded for 10 min. The floor of the arena was virtually subdivided into three zones, i.e., soiled nest zone, clean center zone, and clean no nest zone, to allow behavioral scoring using The Observer XT 11 software (Noldus, Wageningen, The Netherlands). Behavioral scoring included locomotor activity by counting line crossings. Homing performance was scored as latency to reach and the time spent in the soiled nest zone. Emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations was monitored by an UltraSoundGate Condenser Microphone CM16 sensitive to frequencies of 15–180 kHz (flat frequency response between 25 and 140 kHz; ±6 dB; Avisoft Bioacoustics, Berlin, Germany). The microphone was placed in the roof of the cage lid, ~12 cm above the floor. The microphone was connected via an UltraSoundGate 416 USG audio device (Avisoft Bioacoustics) to a computer, where acoustic data were recorded with a sampling rate of 250,000 Hz (16 bit) by Avisoft RECORDER (version 2.97). For acoustic analysis, recordings were transferred to Avisoft SASLab Pro (version 5.2.12) and a fast Fourier transform was conducted (512 FFT length, 100% frame, Hamming window, and 75% time window overlap), resulting in spectrograms with 488 Hz of frequency
and 0.512 ms of time resolution. Call detection was provided by an automatic threshold-based algorithm (amplitude threshold -65 dB; hold time 10 ms; high-pass filter 30 kHz). Accuracy of call detection was verified by an experienced user. When necessary, missed calls were marked manually to be included in the automatic parameter analysis. Total numbers of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations were calculated for the entire session and in 60-s time bins to visualize the time course of the ultrasonic vocalization response. Additional parameters included latency to start calling, call duration, peak frequency, peak amplitude, and frequency modulation. Finally, the temporal organization of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations emission was assessed through sequential analyses and call subtypes were determined by means of density plots. After the 10-min isolation period, body temperature and weight were determined. For body temperature determination, a Testo 110 thermometer with surface sensor (Testo AG, Lenzkirch, Germany) was used. Body weight was measured using a palmscale (PS7-200; precision: 0.01 g; MyWeigh Europe, Hückelhoven, Germany).

Activity box
Locomotor activity, exploratory behavior, and anxiety-related behavior were assessed under direct white light (~20 lx) in an activity box (ENV-510, 27.31 × 27.31 × 20.32 cm; Med Associates, Fairfax, VT, USA), using a modified protocol [45]. The activity box was housed within a sound-attenuating chamber, equipped with a ventilation fan and illuminated by a single overhead light. Mice were allowed to freely explore the activity box on two consecutive days for 30 min each day. The position of the mouse within the arena was tracked in three dimensions by arrays of infrared light beams connected to a computer running Activity Monitor software (Med Associates, Fairfax, VT, USA). This software was used to calculate distance traveled and the number of rearings during 1-min time bins, which were summed together to calculate total values throughout the entire 30-min test session. The activity box was thoroughly cleaned between each mouse using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Open field
Locomotor activity, exploratory behavior, and anxiety-related behavior were also measured under more anxiogenic conditions under direct bright white light (~200 lx) in a white open field (34 × 34 × 40 cm), using a modified protocol [46]. At the beginning of the test, individual mice were placed into one corner of the open field. Mice were allowed to freely explore the open field for 10 min. Distance traveled and time spent in the center were recorded and analyzed using Viewer III tracking software (Biobserve, Bonn, Germany). The center area was defined as the 28 × 28 cm central section of the open field. Fecal bolus were counted at the end of test session. The open field was thoroughly cleaned between each mouse using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Elevated plus maze
Anxiety-related behavior in the elevated plus maze was measured under indirect white light (~50 lx), using a modified protocol [46]. The gray maze was elevated 50 cm above the floor and consisted of four arms, i.e., two open arms and two closed arms with 15-cm-high walls, each arm measuring 35 cm long and 5 cm wide. Individual mice were initially placed in the center of the maze, facing an open arm. Mice were then allowed to freely explore the maze for 5 min. The amount of time spent in each arm, number of entries into each arm, total distance traveled, and average velocity were recorded and analyzed using Viewer III tracking software (Biobserve, Bonn, Germany). The elevated plus maze was thoroughly cleaned between each mouse using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Y-maze
Spatial working memory in the Y-maze was measured under indirect red light conditions, using a modified protocol [46]. A gray plastic Y-maze was used to evaluate spontaneous alternation reflecting spatial working memory. The maze consisted of three arms that were spaced 120° angle from each other (dimensions of each arm 40 × 10 × 17 cm). Mice were individually placed in the distal end of one arm and allowed to freely explore the entire maze for 10 min. A completed arm entry was defined as the entering of the mouse with all four limbs. The sequence of arm entries was recorded and analyzed using the Viewer III tracking system (Biobserve, Bonn, Germany). Visiting all three different arms consecutively was termed a ‘correct’ triad, and visiting one arm twice in three consecutive entries was termed a ‘wrong’ triad. Correct alternation percentage was calculated using the following formula: %Alternation = (Number of Alternations/[(Total number of arm entries – 2)] × 100. The Y-maze was thoroughly cleaned between each mouse using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Social approach assay
Social motivation was evaluated in a three-chamber box (60 × 30 × 30 cm³) made of transparent polycarbonate under indirect red light conditions, using a modified protocol [47]. Retractable doorways built into the two dividing walls controlled access to the side chambers. The test session began with a 10-min habituation session during
which lack of an innate side preference was confirmed. The subject mouse was then briefly confined to the center chamber, while a clean novel object, an empty metal enclosure, was placed in one of the side chambers. A novel stimulus mouse previously habituated to the enclosure was placed in an identical metal enclosure located in the other side chamber. The side containing the novel object and the novel stimulus mouse alternated between the left and right chambers across subject mice. After both stimuli were positioned, the two side doors were simultaneously lifted and the subject mouse was allowed access to all three chambers for 10 min. C57BL6/N mice served as stimulus mice. Stimulus mice were age-matched and of the same sex as the subject mouse. The amount of time spent exploring the metal enclosures, the amount of time spent in each chamber, number of entries into each chamber, and total distance traveled were recorded and analyzed using Viewer III tracking software (Biobserve, Bonn, Germany). The three-chamber box and the metal enclosures were thoroughly cleaned between each mouse using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Interaction-induced ultrasonic vocalizations

Emission of interaction-induced ultrasonic vocalizations was assessed during direct reciprocal social interaction in a test chamber (50 × 25 × 30 cm³) made of transparent polycarbonate under indirect red light conditions, using a modified protocol [48]. A transparent polycarbonate lid containing 16 holes (diameter 1.3 cm) was placed on the top of the social interaction chamber to reduce background noise. Clean corn cob bedding was evenly spread on the floor. Male–female and female–female pairs of mice were allowed to socially interact for 5 min after the subject mouse was habituated to the test environment for 1 min. Age-matched C57BL6/N mice served as stimulus mice. Stimulus mice were tail-marked. Interaction-induced ultrasonic vocalizations emitted by pairs were monitored by an UltraSoundGate Condenser Microphone CM16 (Avisoft Bioacoustics) placed in the roof of the lid, ~ 30 cm above the floor. The microphone was connected via an UltraSoundGate 416 USG audio device (Avisoft Bioacoustics) to a computer, where acoustic data were recorded with a sampling rate of 250,000 Hz (16 bit) by Avisoft RECORDER (version 2.97). For acoustic analysis, recordings were transferred to Avisoft SASLab Pro (version 5.2.12) and a fast Fourier transform was conducted (512 FFT length, 100% frame, Hamming window, and 75% time window overlap), resulting in spectrograms with 488 Hz of frequency and 0.512 ms of time resolution. An experienced user counted the number of ultrasonic vocalizations in 1-min time bins. The social interaction chamber was thoroughly cleaned between each pair of mice using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Accelerated rotarod

Motor coordination and motor learning was tested under white room light using a five-station rotarod treadmill (ENV-575 M, Rota-Rod software; Med Associates, Fairfax, VT, USA), as previously described [45]. Testing consisted of three trials per day, separated by at least 60 min each, over the course of 4 days, i.e., 12 trials in total. On the first day of testing, mice were acclimated to the apparatus by placement on the stationary rotarod for 30 s. Two versions of the rotarod task were used. First, the standard task with an accelerating rod from 4 to 40 rpm within 300 s was applied for 2 days, i.e., 6 trials in total. Then, the standard range of acceleration from 4 to 40 rpm was expanded using custom hardware purchased from the vendor, allowing us to test an acceleration of 8–80 rpm, while maintaining a constant rate of acceleration over 300 s. The version with an acceleration of 8–80 rpm was also applied for 2 days, i.e., 6 trials in total. In both versions, the latency to fall off and the latency to make one complete revolution while hanging on were measured. A trial was stopped after 300 s (maximum speed, no further acceleration). The rotarod was thoroughly cleaned between each trial using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Nest building

Nest building was measured under white room light, using a modified protocol [49]. After mice were habituated for 15 min to a novel cage with corn cob bedding but no other nest material (Universal Euro II Type Long; Innocage; Innovive), a 5 × 5 cm square of pressed cotton (Nestlet; Ancare, Bellmore, NY) was placed in a random cage corner, and the net increase in nest width and nest height was measured after 30, 60, and 90 min. At the end, nest quality was scored.

Repetitive behavior

Repetitive behavior was measured under indirect white light (~40 lx), using a modified protocol [49]. After mice were habituated for 10 min to a novel cage with corn cob bedding but no other nest material (Universal Euro II Type Long; Innocage; Innovive), self-grooming of all body regions was recorded for 10 min from the side (Brio 4 K ultra HD webcam; Logitech Europe S.A.) and analyzed by a trained observer using The Observer XT 11 software (Noldus).
Spatial learning and reversal learning
Spatial learning and reversal learning were assessed in a Barnes maze under direct bright white light (~200 lx), using a modified protocol [50]. The white maze consisted of a brightly lit circular open platform (92 cm diameter) with 20 equally spaced holes (5 cm diameter) along the perimeter. Underneath the designated target hole, an escape box (7 cm deep, 7 cm width, and 10 cm length) was placed. Underneath the remaining holes, false escape boxes were placed, made of the same material as the escape box. Extra-maze cues were placed on the surrounding walls to serve as reference cues to learn the position of the target escape hole. At the beginning of the test, individual mice were placed in the center of the maze in a holding chamber (15 × 15 cm) for 30 s. Once the chamber was lifted, the mice were allowed to freely explore the maze for 90 s with 19 of the 20 holes closed and were assayed for their ability to spatially navigate the maze to find the target escape hole. The target escape box was positioned underneath the maze as a small, dark, recessed chamber, which the mice naturally sought out, taking advantage of their desire to escape brightly lit and exposed environments. During the initial, consecutive four-day training period, the mice learned the spatial location of the target hole, with four trials conducted per day (~2 h inter-trial interval). For reversal learning, the target hole was rotated by ~180°. The mice were again trained for four consecutive days, with four trials conducted per day (~2 h inter-trial interval). The maze was thoroughly cleaned between each trial using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues. Data acquisition and analysis were performed using the Viewer III tracking system (Biobserve, Bonn, Germany). During spatial learning and reversal learning, the latency needed to reach the target hole was measured. During the last spatial learning day, the number of visits of the target hole and adjacent holes was quantified and used as a preference measure. Similarly, the number of visits of the initial target hole, the reversal target hole, and adjacent holes was quantified during the first reversal learning day. Affinity was determined by measuring the time spent within the initial target hole and the time spent within the reversal target hole. For hole visit and affinity, an entry was defined as the mouse entering the hole with the snout or major parts of the body. Primary errors were also calculated as entering the wrong hole before reaching the target hole.

Acoustic startle reactivity and pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle
Acoustic startle reactivity and pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle were measured using the Kinder Scientific startle reflex system (Kinder Scientific, Poway, CA, USA), as previously described [46]. Data were analyzed with the Startle Monitor II software (Kinder Scientific). Mice were individually placed in a small cage atop a force plate within a sound attenuation chamber without light. Background noise was set at 65-decibel (dB). The startle response, defined as the change in amplitude of force in response to an unexpected acoustic stimulus, was measured. The peak values of the absolute force mice placed on the bottom of the cage were measured as the startle response. For the acoustic startle reactivity experiment, 50 ms noise at 75, 85, 95, 105, and 115 dB was presented. Each stimulus was repeated 10 times. For the pre-pulse inhibition experiments, 50 ms noise at 115 dB was presented, with preceding noise at 0, 68, 71, or 77 dB. The acoustic startle reactivity experiment had three phases. First, the startle response was determined by presenting 10 consecutive 115 dB pulse trials. The following trials were then presented 10 times each in pseudorandom order: 115 dB pulse with 0 dB pre-pulse, 68 dB pre-pulse, 71 dB pre-pulse, and 77 dB pre-pulse. The 115 dB pulse followed each pre-pulse at a 100-ms onset–onset interval. Then, the startle response was again determined by presenting 10 consecutive 115 dB pulse trials. The percent inhibition of the startle amplitude displayed during pulse trials was calculated for each pre-pulse/pulse pair. For both experiments, mice were given 3 min of habituation time before the sound was delivered. Stimulus sequence and inter-stimulus intervals were both pseudorandomized. The cage was thoroughly cleaned between each trial using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues.

Fear conditioning
Fear conditioning was conducted using the Coulbourn fear conditioning system (Coulbourn Instruments, Holliston, MA, USA), as previously described [46]. Data were analyzed with the FreezeFrame software (Actimetrics Software, Wilmette, IL, USA). On the training day, individual mice were placed in the fear conditioning chamber (18.5 × 18.5 × 21.5 cm; H10-11 M-TC; Coulbourn Instruments) outfitted with a metal grid floor and located in the center of a sound-attenuating cubicle with white house light (~20 lx; Coulbourn Instruments). The conditioning chamber was cleaned using 70% ethanol to provide background odor. A ventilation fan provided background noise at ~55 dB. As the conditioned stimulus (CS), a 2 kHz tone was presented at 85 dB for 30 s. As the unconditioned stimulus (US), a 0.75 mA foot shock was applied for 2 s through the Coulbourn precision animal Shocker (Coulbourn Instruments). The foot shock co-terminated with the tone. After a 2-min habituation period, three CS–US pairings separated by 1-min inter-stimulus intervals (ISI) were delivered. Mice remained in the conditioning chamber for another 60 s before being returned to their home cages. In the context test,
24 h after training, mice were placed back into the original conditioning chamber for 5 min to assess contextual recall. The conditioning chamber was thoroughly cleaned between each mouse using 70% ethanol to avoid olfactory cues. During the altered context test, 48 h after training, the conditioning chamber was modified by changing its metal grid floor to a plastic sheet, white metal side walls to plastic walls decorated with stripes of various colors, and the background odor of 70% ethanol to 1% vanilla. Mice were placed in the altered chamber for 5 min. After this 5-min period, the CS was delivered for 1 min to assess cued recall. Freezing behavior was defined as the absence of motion lasting 1 s or longer was recorded and analyzed automatically in 30-s time bins using the FreezeFrame software.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (IBM Statistics SPSS, version 26, Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Software (GraphPad Software, version 9.1.0, San Diego, CA, USA). SigmaPlot (Systat Software GmbH, version 13, Erkrath, Germany), BioRender, Adobe Creative Suite 6 Photoshop and Illustrator were used to create figures. The comparison between the observed genotype distribution and expected Mendelian distribution was assessed by the chi-square goodness-of-fit test. A significant trend among the survival curves was assessed using a logrank Mantel–Cox test. For the other statistical comparisons, primarily analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used. Specifically, to compare developmental profiles and behavioral phenotypes, ANOVAs with the between-subject factors genotype and sex or ANOVAs for repeated measurements with the between-subject factors genotype and sex and relevant within-subject factors, such as age, time, trial, or preference, were conducted. ANOVAs were followed by post hoc testing using individual ANOVAs or paired and unpaired t tests when appropriate. A p < 0.050 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Generation of Myt1l mutant mice
We generated a predicted loss-of-function allele of Myt1l in mice (Fig. 1A) by electroporating zygotes with CRISPR/Cas9 proteins pre-complexed with guide RNAs targeting exon 6, the first coding exon of the Myt1l gene, as described [51]. Resulting newborn pups were genotyped and showed different indel mutations. One of them was a 7 bp deletion in exon 6 leading to a frameshift mutation at c.28 of the Myt1l coding sequence resulting in predicted stop codon at c.231 (resulting in 77 amino acids). Mice containing this allele were bred with wild-type mice to establish Myt1l<sup>−/−</sup> mice, which were viable and fertile. When mice heterozygous for this mutation were interbred, we obtained newborn mice of all three genotypes (albeit not at expected ratios, see below) as determined by PCR analysis using wild-type and deletion-specific primers (Fig. 1B). When brains from mice with the three different genotypes were analyzed by Western blot analysis, we could confirm that the full-length, ~170 kDa Myt1l band present in wild-type Myt1l<sup>+/−</sup> mice was absent in homozygous Myt1l<sup>−/−</sup> mice and decreased in heterozygous Myt1l<sup>+/−</sup> mice by about 25% (Fig. 1C). Quantitative immunoblotting showed that the intensity of the Myt1l band is decreased in Myt1l<sup>+/−</sup> mice (Additional file 1: Figure S1A, B). As expected, the 7 bp deletion did not affect the levels of Myt1l mRNA produced by neurons (Additional file 1: Figure S1C). Surprisingly, however, we detected a band stained by two independent Myt1l antibodies corresponding to a ~158 kDa protein that appeared in mutant cells (Fig. 1C). Since our deletion produces a frame shift, the variant protein must be generated from an alternative start codon. There happens to be another Methionine codon about 99 amino acids downstream of the known start codon, which may be utilized as translational initiation in the mutant mRNA resulting in a protein of about ~158 kDa.

Myt1l is essential for postnatal survival
While Myt1l<sup>−/−</sup> embryos were present at the approximate expected Mendelian ratios up to embryonic age 18.5 (E18.5) (WT: 26%, N = 22; HET: 46%, N = 39; KO: 28%, N = 24), they fell significantly below 25% at postnatal day (PND) 0 (WT: 34%, N = 29; HET: 54%, N = 45; KO: 12%, N = 10) (Fig. 2A). Although neonatal Myt1l<sup>−/−</sup> mice appeared normal, any surviving Myt1l<sup>−/−</sup> mouse pups died within 24 h after birth (Fig. 2B), showing that Myt1l is essential for postnatal survival.

Myt1l-deficient mice exhibit overall normal neurogenesis and brain structure
Given Myt1l’s involvement in neuronal specification by reprogramming and in particular its ability to repress neural progenitor programs contributing to neuronal differentiation, we characterized cortical neurogenesis of E15.5 midgestation embryos. We stained for Sox2 to label VZ progenitor cells, for Tbr2 to label basal progenitor cells and Ctip2 to label postmitotic neurons in the developing CP (Fig. 3A, D). Qualitative epifluorescence microscopy initially appeared to show an enhanced Tbr2 signal, but quantitation of confocal microscopic sections showed no significant changes between mutant and wild-type brains (Fig. 3A–F). Consistent with the apparently normal neurogenesis, Myt1l mutant mice did not exhibit any histopathological abnormalities following Nissl
staining of E18.5 serial brain sections (Fig. 3G, H; Additional file 2: Figure S2).

**Intact maternal odor preference in the homing test**

Next, we performed a comprehensive behavioral characterization (see Additional file 6: Table S1 for a detailed overview on the results of the statistical analyses). We first applied the homing test and asked whether Myt1l haploinsufficiency affects the preference that mouse pups typically display for the area with soiled bedding from the home cage containing maternal odor. A clear preference for the area with soiled bedding was evident in Myt1l+/- mouse pups and Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (Fig. 4A). Area crossings reflecting locomotor activity did not differ between genotypes (Fig. 4B). This indicates that social odor processing, social motivation, and basic motor
functions are intact in Myt1l+/+ mouse pups. Body weight and temperature did not differ between genotypes during the homing test at PND 10 (Fig. 4C, D).

**Altered emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in the homing test**

During the homing test, mouse pups emitted isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations (Fig. 5A, B). The latency to start calling, the total time spent calling, and the number of ultrasonic vocalizations emitted were not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency (Fig. 5C, D). Likewise, acoustic features, such as call duration, peak frequency, peak amplitude, and frequency modulation, were not affected (Additional file 3: Figure S3). Call emission was nonrandom, and high temporal organization was evident in both genotypes (Additional file 4: Figure S4). However, call clustering was affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency (Fig. 5E, F). Detailed spectrographic analyses of individual ultrasonic vocalizations revealed multiple clusters of call subtypes (Fig. 5G–L). One prominent cluster was characterized by relatively low peak frequencies roughly between 50 and 70 kHz. A second cluster was characterized by relatively high peak frequencies roughly between 80 and 100 kHz. Myt1l+/+ mouse pups emitted more ultrasonic vocalizations of the high-frequency subtype than Myt1l+/+ littermate controls. The emission of low-frequency ultrasonic vocalizations was not affected by genotype. Alterations in call clustering were not associated with gross developmental measures, and the emission of low-frequency and high-frequency ultrasonic vocalizations was not correlated with body weight and temperature measures obtained during the homing test. Together, this suggests mild alterations in early socioaffective communication in mouse pups before weaning.

**Increased body weight gain but intact body temperature regulation after weaning**

As opposed to body weight data during early development, body weight gain was strongly affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency after weaning (Fig. 6A). This effect was sex-dependent (Fig. 6B). In males, Myt1l+/+ mice gained body weight faster and plateaued at a higher level than Myt1l+/+ littermate controls, yet the overall effect was small (Fig. 6C). In females, however, body weight gain was substantially higher in Myt1l+/+ mice compared to Myt1l+/+ littermates. In fact, female Myt1l+/+ mice gained so much body weight during late adulthood that they reached body weight levels of male Myt1l+/+ littermates. In Myt1l+/+ littermates, in contrast, the expected sex difference was present, with males weighing more than females (Fig. 6C). Body temperature at 14 months of age was not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency, irrespective of sex (Fig. 6D).

**Increased locomotor activity and impaired habituation learning in the activity box**

At the behavioral level, Myt1l haploinsufficiency led to hyperactivity. During the first exposure to the activity box for 30 min, Myt1l+/+ mice displayed higher levels of locomotor activity than Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (Fig. 7A). Increased locomotor activity was due to a habituation deficit. While Myt1l+/+ littermates displayed prominent habituation and locomotor activity substantially decreased toward the end of testing, within-session habituation was weak in Myt1l+/+ mice and locomotor activity remained high. The elevated level of locomotor activity was paralleled by increased rearing behavior (Fig. 7B). Myt1l+/+ mice displayed more rearing behavior than Myt1l+/+ littermates. During the second exposure to the activity box the next day, locomotor activity levels of Myt1l+/+ mice remained higher than the ones displayed by Myt1l+/+ littermates (Fig. 7C). While Myt1l+/+ littermates displayed prominent habituation and locomotor activity was substantially lower during the second exposure than during the first exposure, between-session habituation was weak in Myt1l+/+ mice and locomotor activity remained high. The increase in locomotor activity was again paralleled by elevated levels of rearing behavior (Fig. 7D). Rearing behavior was lower during the second exposure than during the first exposure in both genotypes. Genotype effects were similar in males and females, and sex had only a minor modulatory role.
Fig. 3  (See legend on previous page.)
Reduced anxiety-related behavior but enhanced nest building activities

We next assessed anxiety-related behavior. When tested in a large open field under aversive conditions with bright light for 10 min, Myt1l haploinsufficiency did not exert prominent effects on locomotor activity (Fig. 8A) and the time spent in the center did not differ between Myt1l+/− mice and Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (Fig. 8B). The number of fecal boli did not differ between genotypes. In the elevated plus maze, however, Myt1l haploinsufficiency led to reduced anxiety-related behavior and Myt1l+/− mice spent more time in the open arms than Myt1l+/+ littermates (Fig. 8C). The number of open and closed arm entries did not differ between genotypes, suggesting that the increased time spent in open arms is not a simple reflection of hyperactivity (Fig. 8D). Social interaction behavior in adulthood appeared not to be affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. The emission of ultrasonic vocalizations during social interactions was intact (Fig. 8E, F). During male–female social interactions, emission of ultrasonic vocalizations was high in pairs irrespective of the genotype of the male mouse (Fig. 8H). Relatively low levels of ultrasonic vocalizations were seen during female–female social interactions, and there was no evidence for genotype differences (Fig. 8I). In fact, social approach behavior in the three-chamber assay was seen in Myt1l+/− mice and Myt1l+/+ littermates (Fig. 8G).

When assessing repetitive behavior during exposure to a novel cage with corn cob bedding, self-grooming did not differ between genotypes (Fig. 8J). Likewise, spatial working memory in the Y-maze was not affected. Myt1l+/− mice performed similarly to Myt1l+/+ littermate controls, and the alternation quotient did not differ between genotypes (Fig. 8K). Both genotypes displayed the expected preference for sequentially visiting each of the three arms over visiting one arm twice in three consecutive entries, reflecting intact spatial working memory. Nest building behavior, in contrast, was affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency (Fig. 8L). When exposed to a square of pressed cotton, Myt1l+/− mice used the cotton to build wider nests than Myt1l+/+ littermates. Nest width increased faster and to higher levels in Myt1l+/− mice as compared

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 Effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on maternal odor preference in the homing test. A Maternal odor preference is intact in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. Repeated-measures ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S) and the within-subject factor preference (P). P: F_{5,12} = 214.668, p < .001; all other p > 0.050. B Area crossings are unchanged in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); all p > 0.050. C Body weight is unchanged in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); all p > 0.050. D Body temperature is not altered in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); all p > 0.050. All data are means ± SEM, combined across males and females; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001

Fig. 5 Effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on the emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in the homing test. A, B Emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations is altered in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. Representative spectrograms showing sequences of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations (A, Myt1l+/+ littermate control; B, Myt1l+/− mouse pup). Please note the higher peak frequency that is characteristic for many isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations emitted by Myt1l+/− mouse pups. C Total calling time is unchanged in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); all p > 0.050. D Call number is not altered in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); all p > 0.050. E Number of calls in the low-frequency cluster is not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); all p > 0.050. F Number of calls in the high-frequency cluster is increased in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S); G: F_{5,12} = 5.760, p = .020; all other p > 0.050. G–L Density plots depicting the distribution of individual isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (G–I, ~20,000 calls) and Myt1l+/− mouse pups (J–L, ~30,000 calls). Color coding reflects frequencies as percentages. All data are means ± SEM, combined across males and females; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001
Fig. 5 (See legend on previous page.)
to Myt1l+/− littermates. This led to higher nest quality ratings in Myt1l+/− mice (Fig. 8M). Of note, nest height was not affected by genotype.

**Reduced motor performance but intact motor learning on the accelerated rotarod**

Two versions of the rotarod task were used. First, we applied the standard task with an accelerating rod from 4 to 40 rpm within 300 s (Fig. 9A). Myt1l haploinsufficiency had a prominent effect on motor performance. Although motor performance gradually improved across trials in both genotypes on the first day of training in line with intact motor learning, overall motor performance was lower in Myt1l+/− mice than Myt1l+/+ littermate controls. The genotype difference was particularly prominent on the second day, where the latency to fall was lower in Myt1l+/− mice than Myt1l+/+ littermates during all three trials. Importantly, genotypes did not differ in the latency to make one complete revolution while hanging on the rotarod, meaning that Myt1l+/− littermates were able to
remain on the rotarod for longer periods of time under challenging conditions than Myt1l+/+ mice. This is also reflected in a higher number of turns before falling in Myt1l+/+ littermates than Myt1l+/− mice. To test this further, we next expanded the standard range of acceleration from 4–40 rpm to 8–80 rpm within 300 s (Fig. 9B). As expected, the latency to fall was clearly lower in the challenge task compared to the standard task. Similar to the standard task, however, motor performance was lower in Myt1l+/+ mice than Myt1l+/− littermates, as reflected in a lower latency to fall. The latency to make one complete revolution did not differ between genotypes. Again,

See figure on next page.

Fig. 8 Effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on anxiety-related behavior, social behavior, interaction-induced ultrasonic vocalizations, self-grooming behavior, spatial working memory, and nest building. A Distance traveled in the open field. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S). S: F1,52 = 8.198, p = 0.006; all other p > 0.05. B Time spent in the center of the open field. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S). S: F1,52 = 18.534; p < 0.001; all other p > 0.05. C Time spent in the open arms of the elevated plus maze. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S). G: F1,52 = 8.747; p = 0.005; S: F1,52 = 7.494; p = 0.008; all other p > 0.05. D Entries into open and closed arms of the elevated plus maze. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S). S: F1,52 = 5.382; p = 0.024; all other p > 0.05. E, F Emission of female-induced ultrasonic vocalizations is not altered in Myt1l+/+ mice. Representative spectograms showing sequences of female-induced ultrasonic vocalizations (A: Myt1l+/+ littermate control, B: Myt1l+/− mouse). Please note the presence of low-frequency noise due to locomotor activity. G Social approach behavior is intact in Myt1l+/+ mice. Repeated-measures ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S) and the within-subject factor preference (P). P: F1,52 = 45.199; p < 0.001; all other p > 0.05. H Call number is not altered during male–female social interaction in Myt1l+/+ mice. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G), all p > 0.05. I Call number is not altered during female–female social interaction in Myt1l+/+ mice. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G), all p > 0.05. J Self-grooming. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S), all p > 0.05. K Alternation quotient Y-maze. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S), all p > 0.05. L Nest width. Repeated-measures ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S) and the within-subject factor time (T), followed by unpaired t tests when appropriate. T: F1,520 = 25.511; p < 0.001; G: F1,52 = 5.591, p = 0.022; all other p > 0.05. M Nest quality. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S). G: F1,52 = 9.644, p = 0.003, all other p > 0.05. All data are means ± SEM, combined across males and females if not otherwise indicated, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, †p < 0.05 versus 50% chance level.
Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)
Myt1l−/+ littermates made a higher number of turns before falling than Myt1l+/− mice. Together, this suggests that reduced motor performance in Myt1l+/− mice occurs irrespective of task difficulty, both in the standard task and in the challenge task. The effect appears to be driven by higher motivation and/or capacity of Myt1l+/+ littermates to remain on the accelerating rotarod after a complete revolution.

### Intact spatial learning and reversal learning

We next studied spatial learning and reversal learning. First, we examined the effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on spatial learning abilities in the Barnes maze under direct bright white light conditions (Fig. 10A, upper part). As expected, the mice naturally sought out the target escape hole, driven by their desire to escape brightly lit and exposed environments. During the consecutive four-day training period, the mice learned the spatial location of the target hole and the latency needed to reach the target hole decreased across trials (Fig. 10B, left). The decrease in latency displayed by Myt1l+/+ mice was slightly weaker but overall similar to Myt1l+/− littermate controls, indicating an intact ability to spatially navigate the maze to find the target escape hole. Moreover, a clear preference for the target hole was evident during the last spatial learning day. This was reflected in the time spent inside or in close proximity to the target hole. In fact, affinity for the target hole was high irrespective of genotype, albeit slightly lower in Myt1l+/− mice than in Myt1l+/+ littermates (Fig. 10C). A clear preference for the target hole was also reflected in the pattern of hole visits. The target hole was visited clearly more often than adjacent holes, reflecting intact spatial memory with high resolution. The preference for the target hole was particularly strong in Myt1l+/+ littermates but weaker in Myt1l+/− mice (Fig. 10D). Primary errors did not differ between genotypes (Fig. 10E).

Next, we tested reversal learning abilities by rotating the target hole by ~180° (Fig. 10A, lower part). The mice were again trained for four consecutive days. As expected, the latency needed to reach the reversal target hole was high at the beginning, but decreased across trials (Fig. 10B, right). The decrease in latency displayed by Myt1l+/+ mice was again slightly weaker but overall
similar to Myt1l+/+ littermates, indicating intact reversal learning abilities. Moreover, a preference for the reversal target hole emerged already during the first reversal learning day and affinity for the reversal target hole was evident irrespective of genotype, albeit the level of affinity was slightly lower in Myt1l+/+ mice than in Myt1l+/+ littermates. When compared to the initial target hole, the affinity for the reversal target hole was higher in Myt1l+/+ littermates but did not reach statistical significance in Myt1l+/+ mice (Fig. 10F). While affinity for the reversal target hole indicates intact reversal learning abilities, the pattern of hole visits reflects strong competing memory traces associated with the location of the initial target hole. In fact, the pattern of hole visits indicates that a clear preference for the initial target hole was still evident. Although a secondary preference for the reversal target hole rapidly developed (Fig. 10G), the initial target hole was visited clearly more often than the reversal target hole and the difference between initial target hole and adjacent holes was a lot more prominent than the difference between reversal target hole and adjacent holes (Fig. 10H). Importantly, these preferences occurred irrespective of genotype. Primary errors did not differ between genotypes (Fig. 10I). Together, this indicates that spatial learning and reversal learning are intact in Myt1l+/+ mice, despite minor evidence for worse performance.

Enhanced acoustic startle reactivity but intact sensorimotor gating
Myt1l haploinsufficiency led to increased acoustic startle responses (Fig. 11A). This effect was sex-dependent. In males, prominent genotype differences were seen and startle reactivity was potentiated in Myt1l+/+ mice during the exposure to pulses with moderate and high sound intensities of 95, 105, and 115 dB, possibly reflecting
higher sensitivity and/or stronger fear response to loud sound. No differences were seen in response to low sound intensities of 75 and 85 dB (Fig. 11B). In females, Myt1l+/− mice displayed acoustic startle responses similar to Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (Fig. 11C). Effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency were also evident during assessment of pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle (Fig. 11D, D'). Replicating genotype differences in startle reactivity, males but not females displayed a potentiated startle response during presentation of a pulse of 115 dB in the absence of a pre-pulse. However, pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle was not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency irrespective of sex, albeit pre-pulse inhibition tended to be slightly stronger in male Myt1l+/− mice than Myt1l+/+ littermates after correcting for baseline differences in startle reactivity (Fig. 11E, E'). No such effect was seen in females (Fig. 11F, F'). This shows that sensorimotor gating is intact in Myt1l+/− mice.

Reduced fear acquisition and contextual fear memory retrieval

Finally, we applied a fear conditioning paradigm and quantified fear-related freezing behavior during acquisition, cued recall, and contextual recall. On the
training day, freezing behavior increased with tone-shock pairings during acquisition, as expected. This increase in freezing behavior was affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. Genotype differences emerged with repeated tone-shock pairings and were most prominent toward the end of acquisition (Fig. 12A). In both sexes, Myt1l+/− mice displayed less freezing behavior than Myt1l+/+ littermate controls (Fig. 12B, C). While no prominent differences were seen during tone presentations, relatively low levels of freezing behavior were seen in Myt1l+/+ mice between tone presentations (Fig. 12A′), irrespective of sex (Fig. 12B′, C′). During contextual recall 24 h after training, freezing behavior was likewise affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. When placed back into the original conditioning chamber, Myt1l+/− mice displayed less freezing behavior than Myt1l+/+ littermates (Fig. 12D, D′). This effect was particularly prominent in males (Fig. 12E, E′), while not as clear in females, possibly because of lower freezing levels in females than in males (Fig. 12F, F′). In contrast to contextual recall, cued recall was not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. Irrespective of sex, Myt1l+/− mice did not differ from Myt1l+/+ littermates during tone presentation (Additional file 5: Figure S5). This suggests that Myt1l haploinsufficiency has a negative impact on contextual fear memory retrieval, while cued fear memory retrieval appears to be intact. However, it has to be noted that surprisingly high levels of freezing were evident in the altered context, without the typical sharp increase in freezing observed at the onset of the cue. This seems to indicate a robust generalization of the fear response to the new context, rather than specific cue-dependent fear learning. Nevertheless,
**Discussion**

To investigate the consequences of the genetic deletion of *Myt1l* in mice, we generated a Myt1l-deficient mouse line using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. Our Myt1l-deficient mouse line differs in important aspects from another recently described mouse model for *Myt1l* haploinsufficiency that was generated independently from ours by Chen et al. [38]. First, the kind of genetic mutation introduced differs substantially. While we produced a frameshift mutation in the first coding exon predicting a truncated protein of just 77 amino acids, Chen et al. inserted a G at position c.2130 of the mouse coding sequence, corresponding to a c.3005 mutation in the human gene found in a patient. The Chen et al. mutation results in a stop codon at c.2142 resulting in a predicted truncated protein of 713 amino acids. The predicted molecular weight of these 713 amino acids is 80.6 kDa, and Chen et al. correctly report that no band is visible in this size range in their mutant mice. Given that the 1187 amino acid long full-length protein is predicted to be only 132.9 kDa, it runs, however, at around ~170 kDa, it is very possible that the 713 amino acid fragment has a molecular weight over 100 kDa. Indeed, upon closer inspection of the Western blot published by Chen et al. (their Suppl. Fig. S1I), there could be an additional band in the mutant brains just above 100kD, yet several unspecific bands in that blot complicate the interpretation.

Importantly, in our allele we certainly do find an unexpected additional band by Western analysis of a size of about 158 kDa. We confirmed this extra band with another independent antibody. At position 99, there is another Methionine which could serve as alternative start codon in our mutant allele, which would result in a N-terminally truncated Myt1l protein of about 10% shorter sequence and thus compatible with the ~13% observed decreased size.

With regards to phenotypic characterization, the two studies are complementary but some assays were similar. Most notably, we demonstrate that the neuron-specific transcription factor Myt1l is critical for survival beyond the first day of life in mice. This finding was also reported by Chen et al. despite the different *Myt1l* mutation [38]. However, our allele did not produce any substantial neurogenesis defects as determined by quantitative image analysis of Sox2 + VZ progenitors, Tbr2 + basal progenitors, and Ctip2 + neurons. In contrast, Chen et al. reported a decrease in Sox2 + cells with normal numbers of Tbr2 + cells and Tbr1 + neurons. They also reported a decreased EdU incorporation consistent with reduced Sox2 staining, which is very interesting because Myt1l is not expressed in these proliferative cells. The overall histological brain organization of our *Myt1l* mutant mice was normal. Chen et al. do not report detailed histological analyses but report decreased volumes of the corpus callosum and cortex based on magnetic resonance imaging-based fractional anisotropy and diffusion tensor imaging. The smaller brain structures produced by the Chen et al. allele may be well explained by the observed decreased progenitor cell proliferation. In our mice, however, we do not find any neurogenesis defects; hence, Myt1l's requirement for organismal postnatal survival must be related to Myt1l's function in postmitotic neurons where it is physiologically expressed.

By means of our newly generated Myt1l-deficient mouse line, we further provided deeper insights into the role of Myt1l during postnatal development and showed that *Myt1l* haploinsufficiency led to obesity and multifaceted behavioral alterations in mice. Specifically, *Myt1l* haploinsufficiency caused a prominent increase in body weight gain after weaning and first reliable differences in body weight were evident at around 4 months of age.

While body weight differences were small in male mice, body weight gain was substantial in females, in line with the findings recently obtained in the other mouse model for *Myt1l* haploinsufficiency by Chen et al. [38]. By about 8 months of age, female *Myt1l* heterozygous mice gained so much weight that they reached weight levels typically seen in males. Apparently, the difference in body weight gain was not associated with alterations in basic body functions, such as temperature regulation, as body temperature was not affected by *Myt1l* haploinsufficiency. Fecal boli quantified following open field testing did not differ between genotypes. Obesity is consistent with clinical phenotypes in humans [1–4, 11–15, 17–19, 22]. A recent overview on over 50 known individuals carrying MYT1L mutations indicates that about 70% of them were overweight or obese [4]. Obesity is probably driven by polyphagia [1], with reports on increased aggressiveness when food is denied [14]. In a zebrafish model, knockdown of the *MYTIL* orthologues resulted in altered development of the hypothalamus, most notably a loss of oxytocin expression in the preoptic neuroendocrine area [1]. Given that the preoptic neuroendocrine area was reported to be functional equivalent to the paraventricular nucleus in mammals and lesions of it were shown to cause hyperphagic obesity [52], this might provide a potential mechanism underlying obesity. In future studies, it would thus be interesting to apply metabolic cages and to assess drinking and feeding behavior, circadian activity patterns, and calorimetric measures, such as $O_2$
consumption and CO₂ production, in Myt1l heterozygous mice.

First behavioral effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency were seen during early development. When separated from mother and nest, mouse pups emit isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations [53]. Such isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations serve important communicative functions [54] and induce maternal caregiving behavior [55]. As the first measure of communication in mice, they are commonly assessed in mouse models for ASD and alterations in call emission, acoustic features, and call clustering were repeatedly obtained in relevant model system [56]. In fact, isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations were also assessed in the mouse model for Myt1l haploinsufficiency by Chen et al. and evidence for enhanced call emission was obtained [38]. While call emission rates and basic acoustic features were not affected in our mouse model for Myt1l haploinsufficiency, detailed spectrographic analyses not performed in the other model revealed prominent effects on call clustering. In mouse pups, typically two main clusters of call subtypes can easily be distinguished, i.e., a first cluster characterized by relatively low peak frequencies between 50 and 70 kHz and a second cluster characterized by relatively high peak frequencies between 80 and 100 kHz [57]. Remarkably, Myt1l heterozygous mouse pups emitted more high-frequency ultrasonic vocalizations in response to separation from mother and nest. Such changes in call clustering were not associated with gross developmental measures, and the emission of high-frequency ultrasonic vocalizations was not correlated with body weight and temperature. Alterations in call clustering are paralleled by changes in acoustic features known to be important for categorical perception of ultrasonic vocalizations and are thus predicted to alter their communicative function and, consequently, the interaction between mother and pup [55]. Previous studies showed that call clustering is altered in multiple models with relevance to neurodevelopmental disorders, such as ASD [58–60]. Importantly, altered emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations was detected despite intact maternal odor preference in the homing test. A clear preference for the area with soiled bedding from the home cage containing maternal odor was evident, and area crossings reflecting locomotor activity did not differ between genotypes. This indicates that social odor processing, social motivation, and basic motor functions during early postnatal development are not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. Therefore, alterations in call clustering do not appear to be driven by nonspecific effects. Together, this suggests that Myt1l haploinsufficiency causes mild alterations in early socioaffective communication in mouse pups before weaning.

In humans, MYT1L mutations were strongly associated with speech delay and language impairments, such as echolalia and palilalia [1–4, 11–14, 16–18, 20–22]. While there was no evidence for changes in locomotor activity during early development, Myt1l haploinsufficiency led to hyperactivity in adulthood. This is in line with the recent observations made by Chen et al. [38]. Our findings now indicate that the increased locomotor activity was due to impaired habituation learning, often called the simplest form of learning [61]. In fact, both within-session and between-session habituation were weak in Myt1l heterozygous mice and locomotor activity remained high throughout testing in an activity box. Elevated locomotor activity was paralleled by increased rearing behavior. The view that increased locomotor activity is driven by impaired habituation learning is supported by the observation that locomotor activity was found to be increased when assessed for 30 min in the activity box but not when tested in an open field for 10 min or other behavioral assays, such as homing test and elevated plus maze. Of note, hyperactivity was seen before obesity emerged and it is unclear whether locomotor activity remained high later during development despite obesity. In humans, hyperactivity is commonly seen in individuals carrying MYT1L mutations, but to our knowledge habituation learning was not assessed [1–4, 11–13, 17, 18, 20, 22]. However, ASD and ADHD, both frequently diagnosed in individuals carrying MYT1L mutations, were linked to impaired habituation learning [62], suggesting that hyperactivity could be driven by impaired habituation learning in humans as well.

While locomotor activity was enhanced, motor performance on the accelerated rotarod was reduced in Myt1l heterozygous mice despite intact motor learning. In the standard task with an accelerating rod from 4 to 40 rpm, motor performance gradually improved across trials in line with intact motor learning, yet overall motor performance was reduced and the latency to fall was low in Myt1l heterozygous mice. Reduced motor performance on the accelerated rotarod could be driven by a lower motivation and/or capacity to remain on the rotating accelerating rod and might be associated with emerging obesity and/or muscular hypotonia. This is suggested by the fact that genotypes did not differ in the latency to make one complete revolution while hanging on the rotarod but that Myt1l heterozygous mice were less able to remain on the rotarod under challenging conditions, as reflected in less time on the rotating accelerating rod after a complete revolution and a lower number of turns before falling. Consistent findings were obtained in the challenge task with an accelerating rod from 4–40 rpm to 8–80 rpm, reflecting the robustness of the phenomenon.
Evidence for muscular hypotonia was also obtained in other relevant assays by Chen et al. [38]. In humans, muscular hypotonia but also motor deficits, such as ataxic gait, clumsiness, and problems with balance, were repeatedly observed in individuals carrying MYT1L mutations [1–4, 11, 16, 18–20, 22].

Anxiety-related behavior was also altered. While the time spent in the center of the open field was not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency, the time spent in the open arms of the elevated plus maze was enhanced, reflecting reduced anxiety-related behavior. The effect is not driven by hyperactivity because the number of arm entries did not differ between genotypes. In humans, little is still known about the effects of MYT1L mutations on anxiety levels. While there are a few case studies reporting increased anxiety [14, 17], most studies do not report altered anxiety levels and others report happy demeanor with frequent smiling and outbursts of laughter [22]. Moreover, Myt1l haploinsufficiency led to enhanced acoustic startle reactivity, in line with findings obtained in the other mouse model for Myt1l haploinsufficiency [38]. Our results, however, suggest that this effect was sex-dependent. In males but not females, prominent genotype differences were observed and startle responses were potentiated in Myt1l heterozygous mice during the exposure to pulses with moderate and high sound intensities. Pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle was not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency irrespective of sex, suggesting intact sensorimotor gating, in line with the other Myt1l haploinsufficiency mouse model [38]. In light of the reduced anxiety-related behavior in the elevated plus maze, it appears unlikely that enhanced acoustic startle reactivity is driven by a stronger fear response to loud sound. Higher sensitivity to loud sound is thus favored as causal mechanism. In fact, albeit rarely assessed in humans, increased noise sensitivity was reported before in individuals carrying MYT1L mutations in a number of independent studies [2–4].

In contrast, learning and memory were only mildly affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency and most pronounced effects were seen during fear conditioning, consistent with recent observations made in the other mouse model for Myt1l haploinsufficiency [38]. Firstly, spatial working memory in the Y-maze was intact. Likewise, there were no prominent effects on spatial and reversal learning abilities in the Barnes maze, albeit performance was slightly reduced in Myt1l heterozygous mice. Most notably, the affinity for the reversal target hole was higher compared to the initial target hole during reversal learning in wild-type littermates but did not reach statistical significance in Myt1l heterozygous mice. While this suggests mild effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency, a different pattern with more prominent genotype differences was evident during fear conditioning. Genotype differences emerged with repeated tone-shock pairings and were most prominent toward the end of acquisition. In both sexes, Myt1l heterozygous mice displayed less freezing behavior than wild-type littermates. When placed back into the original conditioning chamber 24 h after acquisition, Myt1l heterozygous mice displayed less freezing behavior during contextual recall than wild-type littermates. This effect was particularly prominent in males, while not as clear in females, possibly because of lower freezing levels in females than in males. In contrast to contextual recall, cued recall was not affected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. This suggests that Myt1l haploinsufficiency has a negative impact on contextual fear memory retrieval, while cued fear memory retrieval appears to be intact. Given the increased levels of locomotor activity together with the lower levels of anxiety-related behavior displayed by Myt1l heterozygous mice, however, it appears possible that this led to a reduction in freezing behavior. Freezing might be confounded by hyperactivity and/or low levels of anxiety. However, the fact that freezing behavior did not differ between Myt1l heterozygous mice and wild-type littermates during cued recall in an altered context speaks against a potential confound.

Finally, social behavior and socio-affective communication through ultrasonic vocalizations in adulthood appeared mostly unaffected by Myt1l haploinsufficiency. Although the sender emitting ultrasonic vocalizations could not be determined during social interactions of pairs, their emission seemed intact. During male–female social interactions, emission of ultrasonic vocalizations was high in pairs irrespective of the genotype of the male mouse. Relatively low levels of ultrasonic vocalizations were seen during female–female social interactions, and there was no evidence for genotype differences. In the three-chamber assay, social approach behavior was seen in Myt1l heterozygous mice and wild-type littermates. Nest building activities were enhanced in Myt1l heterozygous mice, resulting in higher nest quality ratings. Overall, this is mostly consistent with the findings obtained by Chen et al. [38]. There, mild deficits in social behavior were detected, such as reduced sociability in the three-chamber social approach assay and more submissive behavior in the social dominance tube test. Social novelty preference, however, was reported to be intact, and social reward seeking, i.e., nose-poking for getting access to a conspecific, was evident irrespective of genotype.

In summary, our findings in the newly generated mouse model for Myt1l haploinsufficiency are consistent with key elements of the clinical phenotype reported in humans, most notably obesity, hyperactivity, and reduced motor performance. However, the lack of prominent behavioral alterations with relevance to human ASD core
symptoms might appear surprising. In humans, MYT1L loss-of-function mutations were repeatedly associated with ASD and MYT1L is ranked as a top ASD candidate gene [5–10]. A recent overview over 50 known individuals carrying MYT1L mutations reports that about 40% of them were diagnosed with ASD or displayed relevant phenotypes without formal diagnosis [4]. When focusing their analysis on mutations affecting MYT1L only, i.e., on microdeletions and single-nucleotide polymorphisms, the number reached almost 70%, while it was roughly 20% for larger microdeletions not only affecting MYT1L. In mice, in contrast, core domains of ASD were only mildly affected. Firstly, maternal odor preference in the homing test and social approach in the three-chamber test were intact. Nest building was enhanced. Secondly, socio-affective communication through ultrasonic vocalizations was mildly affected in pups but not in adulthood. Thirdly, no evidence for repetitive and stereotyped patterns of behavior was evident. Self-grooming behavior was not affected and reversal learning abilities appeared to be intact, despite minor evidence for worse performance. Finally, higher sensitivity to loud sound might be relevant in the context of ASD.

Limitations
In future studies, a detailed characterization of direct reciprocal social interaction behavior might help to reveal effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on social behavior in juvenile and adult mice because this provides increased sensitivity and higher ethological validity than the three-chamber assay [48]. Given that Myt1l haploinsufficiency affected call clustering but not call emission rates, detailed spectrographic analyses of ultrasonic vocalizations emitted by adult mice might be beneficial [63]. Extending the analysis of repetitive behaviors by including other types of behaviors in addition might be beneficial as well [64]. Finally, more conservative statistical approaches need to be applied in future confirmatory studies, albeit the fact that the behavioral phenotypes obtained in the two independently generated mouse models for Myt1l haploinsufficiency are consistent speaks for the robustness of the findings [38].

Summary and conclusions
Myt1l is not needed for neuronal specification but is essential for normal brain function and organism survival. Myt1l haploinsufficiency led to obesity and multifaceted behavioral alterations in mice. In mouse pups, Myt1l haploinsufficiency caused mild alterations in early socio-affective communication, while social odor processing, social motivation, and basic motor functions appeared to be intact. In adults, Myt1l heterozygous mice displayed hyperactivity due to impaired habituation learning. Motor performance was reduced in Myt1l heterozygous mice despite intact motor learning, possibly due to muscular hypotonia. While anxiety-related behavior was reduced, acoustic startle reactivity was enhanced, in line with higher sensitivity to loud sound. Pre-pulse inhibition of acoustic startle was not altered, suggesting intact sensorimotor gating. Social behavior and socio-affective communication appeared to be intact during direct reciprocal social interactions in adult mice. Repetitive behavior quantified through self-grooming was not evident. Nest building activities were enhanced. Spatial memory and reversal learning appeared to be intact. Myt1l haploinsufficiency had a negative impact on contextual fear memory retrieval, while cued fear memory retrieval appeared to be intact. Despite smaller inconsistencies, the consistency across the two recently generated mouse models for Myt1l haploinsufficiency is remarkable. Most of the behavioral phenotypes replicate—and importantly, behavioral alterations in heterozygous, loss-of-function Myt1l mouse recapitulate several clinical phenotypes observed in humans carrying MYT1L mutations and thus serve as an informative model of the human MYT1L syndrome.
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Additional file 1. Figure S1: Molecular characterization of Myt1l expression in mutant mice. (A) Western blot analysis of Myt1l expression in WT or Myt1l HEt mouse brains. RabGDI was used as a loading control. (B) Quantification of Myt1l protein expression. The Myt1l bands signal intensity was normalized to that of RabGDI and then to the value of 1.0 for WT (N=3/genotype, one sample t test; P=0.022; error bar, s.d.). (C) qRT-PCR measuring Myt1l transcript levels in brains of WT and HEt males (16 month old), normalized to MAP2 (N=3/genotype, t test; ns, not significant, P>0.05; error bars, s.d.). (D) Uncropped Western blot of the blot shown in Suppl. Figure S1A. (E) Uncropped Western blot of the blot shown in Figure 1C.

Additional file 2. Figure S2: No overt morphological differences between wild-type and Myt1l mutant mouse brains. Nissl-stained sections from three animal triplets (Myt1l+/+, Myt1l+/−, Myt1l−/−), aged E18.5, from three different litters (N=3/genotype). Examples depict slices corresponding to section 125 (rostral) and 155 (caudal) of the Allen Atlas of the Developing Mouse Brain.

Additional file 3. Figure S3: Effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on the emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in the homing test – Acoustic features. (A) Call duration is not altered in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S), all p values > 0.050. (B) Peak frequency is unchanged in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S), all p values > 0.050. (C) Frequency modulation is not affected in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S), all p values > 0.050. (D) Peak amplitude is unchanged in Myt1l+/− mouse pups. ANOVA with the between-subject factors genotype (G) and sex (S), all p values > 0.050. All data are means ± SEM, combined across males and females.

Additional file 4. Figure S4: Effects of Myt1l haploinsufficiency on the emission of isolation-induced ultrasonic vocalizations in the homing test – Temporal Organization. (A–C) Sequential analysis of the durations.
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