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Abstract
There is the close correlation between conjugation and syntagmatic segmentation of speech expressed in certain syntagma typicality. The conjugated syntagma in live Russian speech and language of piece of art are identical on its structural-grammatical models. In the article is carried out an analysis of syntagmatic peculiarities of few Turkic languages, syntagma structures, its spontaneity, its additional functions, universal peculiarities of speech, possibility of syntagmatic segmentations; there was defined that all these peculiarities are more typical for informal language. At the same time there are specified the lexical and grammatical means at determination of the role of syntagma in speech sections conjunction. Among these means it is very important to consider the role of intonation and conjunctive means (prepositions, addresses and so on). The character of intonation-semantic unity in Turkic languages somewhat differs from the other language groups including Slavic or Romanic-Germanic ones. This is expressed in fact that syntagmas conjugated to the main structural-grammatical part of sentence are typified. The modern language processes that are represented first of all in formation of bilingualism have an influence on substantial syntagmas characteristics including Turkic languages.
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1. Introduction
The division of language flows on sensible units shaped phonetically, grammatically and by intonation is very interesting for every language system in spite on number of its bearers. In this aspect syntagma play an exclusive role in shaping of speech, its oral expression, rhetorical possibilities.

Syntagma has several senses:
1. Binomial structure which parts are related as determined (T) and determining (T1) ones and it is not only words but also morphemes; compound sign of speech in which identification-recognition functions are distributed between its components, and there must be two components because of binary character of syntagma.
2. The result of this phrasing is the result of syntactic-stylistic division (segmentation) of phrase [1].

N. Sorokoletova thinks that “combination can be called syntagma only if it corresponds to the language laws. To appreciate joke, to cask parcel, to celebrate wedding, to present book – are true syntagmas. Syntagma (something that is combination what is not segmented) must always be based on the classes of units. If there is no such support, there is no syntagma.

Syntagmas – the natural combination of units are formed by language on the all its levels – from sounds to propositions. The compound propositions are syntagmas formed of propositions. The syntagmas diversity is truly inexhaustible” [2].

2. Survey of problem condition
The terms “conjunctive connection” and “conjunctive construction” were offered by L. V. Scherba [3] and firstly described by V. V. Vinogradov [4] as specific stylistic technique. Conjuction appears as the next word, word combination, proposition that follows the main sentence and expresses the additional idea that sometimes is not in the same plane with the main sentence. The conjugated part adds, specifies (sometimes from unexpected side), develops the previous idea, it can be connected with the main sentence in semantic and grammatical aspect and appears in the process of speech or after it [4].
The essence of conjunction phenomenon is presented in aspect of differentiation between language and speech. Proposition is a unit of language and sentence (phrase) is the unit of speech and these units not always coincide in its limits. This very case of mismatch is observed especially in conjunction of words and propositions.

A. P. Velichuk gives the following definition of conjunctive construction: “Conjunctive constructions are the syntactic units which subjective perspective has two plans and at two and more conjugated elements – has many plans” [5, 6]. According to the author the difference between coordination and conjunction is that “coordination is the method of syntactic consolidation of functionally homogenous units within the limits of communicative unit and conjunction is the method of syntactic consolidation of subjectively different syntagmas as a part of syntagmas of superior order” [6] (according to the author syntagma of superior order is conjunctive construction).

3. Materials and Methods

Syntagma is a conjunctive construction (in other words conjugated part, conjugated element) defined as:
1) Idea that unexpectedly occasionally came to mind;
2) Additional judgment;
3) Explanation of aforesaid;
4) Specification of circumstances of action or qualities of object or person;
5) Construction that expresses an idea absolutely opposite to expected one, so-called "logical jump";
6) Syntactic unities which subjective perspective has two or many plans.

“The problem of conjunctive quality of syntagmas is closely connected with parcelling phenomenon existing in syntactic system of language. Proposition divided on parcels has two sides – conjugating and conjugated ones. Conjugating side is the main one, it is also called basis proposition. It is parcel, it corresponds with topic. In fact conjugative side is the part of conjugating one. As conjugating side is usually structurally incomplete such propositions can be called conjunctive incomplete propositions” [7, 8].

Conjunction is characterized with peculiar rhythmic-melodic pattern. Conjunction is the natural condition of informal speech caused by its spontaneous character.

Conjunction is closely connected with syntagmatic segmentation of speech. Syntagmas which syntactic and intonation integrity is not doubted are conjugated to the main part of sentence. Breaking or crushing of syntagmas and its conjunction is observed rather seldom.

The collected material allows conclude that syntagmas conjugated to the main part of sentence are typified in structural-grammatical aspect.

4. Experimental procedure

In most cases syntagmas are conjugated in intonation way as we saw above. We worked with lexical content of several Turkic languages and with the other sources especially from artistic literature and folklore.

At the same time there are special means in speech that connects conjugated part with the main part of sentence.

5. Results. Discussion

The received results were grouped in several directions based on the means of connection and expression.

Means of coordinative words connection:
1) Adversative intonation: (Azerb.) Dinmdım. Qonşunun məni çağırmasına başmayaraq (danışq dildə); Samitin vəjına olmadı. Professor qazırları çatdı anın çənərəsdrə da (Ə. Valiyev) (Türk) Öyrenən jəvəp verəməyərdi. Öyremen konuşmaya da.
2) Coordinating and adversative conjunctions da.-do, həm, lakin (lakin), amma (ama), faqat: (Azerb.) Çarkız həyəjan keçərirdi. Bu xəbləri eşidən döşən da (İ. Şixl); Bulaqq görünürdü. Yərmənnin özü do, gözləri do (İ.Məlikzadə); (Tureə) Men də köyə gedəyorum. Arkaşdaşım da; (Tatar) Men buğən də... Haman şul uk köyəş. Ay da şul uk. Yoldızlar da, ike tau arasindaşı çıxışlar da...İ. Qazi).

Syntagmas conjunction with the help of special means. The most part of studied syntagmas is conjugated to the main sentence with the help of means which semantics determines its function.
There are words ınəkə, ınəkənlə, ınəkəlan and so on which conjunction function is consisted in connection with the main sentence. (Azerb.) Büyük Atatürk oğlanlıqlarını da, qızlarını da ýaxşı tanırdı. Xüsusiň do maləkon (Ə. Cəfərzadə).

There are also syntagmas with intensifying meaning shaped with has, has, xatta: (Azerb.) Qonqon heyvanlarına parçalanı cananaları da mıv etdiler. Ḥatta toyuq-çücünü yeyən tülküleri do (Ə.Valijev); (Turey): ʻÇit-çitʼ diye içimizde kirlanlar da var! Ḥatta kurban giderər do (o Riziq qəzetində); (Tatar) Čın ir üzênen yaraların bütünərəq kürəstəni. Xatta xatına da (Q. Absalyamov).

Quite often conjugated syntagmas are shaped by words həmcinin, ınəkəlan, yani, yaqni, bilqele, albatta, elbette ve so on. (Turkish.) Bu bölənin Rizəden daha faźla günəş görməsi çaya tam da tırayərin sevdiyi burak tədi veriyor. Toprak, günəş ve elbette Karadenizin yüksek dağıları... (Riziq qəzetində); (Azerb.) Orxan yuxudan oyanan kimü, bu qırara gəldi ki, Çaranqıyadan gətisin. Gəzəmlə, gərmiyl yerlər nə çox. Dost-tanış da həmcinin. (İli Malikzadə); Bu barədə həc düşünülmüşdə. Yani dünənki səhəbat barədə (İli Məlikzadə); (Tatar) Ô mənə tələn yanna maturlik ta kilep östənsə. Masələn, biznən Laylədaq qəbeb! (İ. Qazi); Min annı bernində yaxnən kürəstir. Yaqni yaxuña buenoja (N. Fattax).

As a conclusion it can be noted that conjunction to the main sentence is realized by specific intonation. At the same time the means of coordinating and subordinating words connection that is coordinating and subordinating conjugations and conjunctions words play an important role in conjunction of words and constructions to the basis proposition. The more active are the means that explain relations between words (masələn, xatta, has, Əziz, ə, da, də i t.d.) Uşaq yatışdı. Əziz ə üzüqoyul çol (Ə.Nijat)

Functional-semantic characteristics of conjugated syntagmas. The conjugated syntagma functions as a separate part of proposition. But the possibilities of conjunction of separate proposition parts are different as it will be considered below.

Conjunction of subject syntagmas. Subject can be the conjugated syntagma rather seldom. The existing singular examples are not typical: (Azerb.) Dünən yuxarı getmişdə: Şəfi müəllim, Fərhad (S. Əhmədov); Dağların, bağların, əya qoşq ağajlarının altında min il bundan əvvəl atılmış, lakin hala soyumamış, əzaq sürən top gülüslə ki yaxşılırdı. Günəş (Mır J zal); (Turey) Həpsə evedyidilər. Ömer de (N.Hikmet); (Tatar) Borıştən avr sostav kürəndə. Poşka-poşkə kib. «Pobeda» paravoz (M.Maqdeev).

Conjunction of predicate syntagmas. As the bearer of semantic load and predication kernel the predicate is separated from it also seldom. M.Z. Zakiev thinks that if this phenomenon takes place it certainly forms an incomplete proposition (8, page 199) but not a conjunction.

We can say about conjunction of predicate syntagmas only if it is specifying or homogenous to the predicate of basis sentence: (Tatar) Bolar bar da səozal-demokrat çumaş. Orlov aqtiäiws (T. Qızzat); (Azerb.) Qozbel arik ağajının budaqlarında tumurjuqlar doğşənə laq ap qaça düyən yəlini kimə şışmişdi. Əzirməsil (Ə. Əmirli).

Conjunctive syntagmas characterizes person. At describing person there are used typified constructions that can be considered as conjunctive ones. It functions as subjects or predicates.

a) The largest group includes conjunctive syntagmas that designates person’s profession, post, status, occupation, specialty and so on: (Turkish) Çalışıtorum. Hürriyet Gazetesinin yayın müdüri («Riziq» qəzetində); Hedefim budur. Pilot olmaq («Riziq» qəzetində); (Azerb.) Kənddə işılaydır. Orta maktəbdə müəllim; (Tatar) Min pioner laqərənda. Pedaqoq (Q.Muxəmatşin).

b) The other rather big part of syntagmas being conjugated designates person’s names and surnames: (Azerb.) Tanış olduğum adam. Ḥasan; (Tatar) Tanışım: berse bezne əkətap çıxarqan uktuçu. Zaynəp apa (A.Bayanov).

c) The third group of conjugated syntagmas expresses object or person but with time, place, relationship and so on on characteristics: (Turkish) Çok seviyordum. Evimin yanında olan köyümüş («Riziq» qəzetində), (Turey) İdam etdiler.On yedi sene evvel «Riziq» qəzetində; Sizi takip edeşək ve bir gün sizi silipsuggest, Ələniyye kader («Riziq» qəzetində); (Turey) Allah korusun. Memleketi («Riziq» qəzetində); (Turey) Numara təşəbbürləri uğurlamasıla təşəbbəx numaraları həndə operatörə olduğunun müəssisələrən tarafindan nəsən qəllənlənəldiyə konusunda bir bilgiləndirmə yapma ihtiyəj dəydik. Son dönməde («Riziq» qəzetində); (Azerb.) Doğuluşum. 1955 in oktyabrında (Dansq dilindən); (Tatar) Bu minem yuladım Ayyat. Kazan eqe (Q. Muxəmatşin); (Turk.) Ol ok. Turkmen oba xojalıq institutunun suv qurluşsık bölməinde (A.Qarxev).
Conjunction of attribute syntagmas. As it is known attribute with defined word forms the one syntagma. But the necessity of concretization, specification that is so typical for informal speech compels the speaker to describe object even with delay [9, 10]. So we have an actualization of attributes, acquisition of the new quality. (Azerb.) Ortoboylu qız idi. *Yumruşūfât*. (A. Məmmədov), Dağ gövdəli adamlar vardi. *Enliküroq, uja*; (Tatar) –Ütken peke bu, malay! *Balıklı!* (Q. Başirov).

Conjunction of object syntagmas. Conjunction of direct and indirect objects that are necessary for completeness of sentence is observed much more seldom than attributes, adverbal modifiers of time and place. For example: (Azerb.) Səidə göy üzünü gördü. *Ay, ulduzlari* (Ə. Muğanlı), (Tatar). Ök...ale ona ukip beterde ul. *Un klassni* (R.İşmuratov).

Conjunction of syntagmas designating time and place. Conjunction of such syntagmas is most easy and it is observed much more frequently than syntagmas characterized with other meanings: cause, aim, measure, degree and so on.

a) Conjunction of syntagmas designating time. These syntagmas can be absolutely different on volume: it can consist of one word, word combination, sometimes of compound subordinate clause.

1) Syntagmas that consist of one word conjugated to the main sentence are most often the adverbs of time: (Azerb.) Hamid aşgərlərdən gəldi. *Payızda* (Danışqili dilində); (Tureü) Başkəndə evləndi. *Dün* (*Rize*) qəzetindən; (Tatar) Ütkənər şəülə...*Ay-əy ožak gəzərlədlər* alar mine! *Sonnaman da...* (A.Bayanov).

2) The conjugated time syntagmas are shaped by the participle form -anda, -manda, -qanda: (Azerb.) Bizim elədə yaz olur. *San golonda*; Yeş yumşayib, toxum səpib, taξıl skydayım. *Yaz gənüsü saçlarını düzə y yawanda* (S.Vurğun); (Tatar) Anı basmacılar totp aşəbdən. *Razvedkaqə barganda* (Ş.USmanov).

b) Conjunction of syntagmas designating place. The frequency of use of conjugated syntagmas designating place corresponds with frequency of time syntagmas conjunction. For example: (Azerb.) Vaxtı la onu sərgünə gəndərməsilər. *Şibira* (Ə.Valiyev); (Tatar) Politekniklar institutunda uky. *Maskxüdə* (A.Rasix); (Tureü) Sərmüşdüler. *Uzak Kitra*.

At last conjunction most often consists of one syntagma. But the succession of speech chain causes also an appearance of chain of conjugated syntagmas both homogenous and heterogenous ones.

6. Conclusions

There is a close connection between conjunction and syntagmatic segmentation of speech expressed in certain typicality of syntagmas. Conjugated syntagmas in the live Russian speech and in language of pieces of art are identical on its structural-grammatical models.
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