Unfolding innate mechanisms in the cancer microenvironment: The emerging role of the mesenchyme
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Innate mechanisms in the tumor stroma play a crucial role both in the initial rejection of tumors and in cancer promotion. Here, we provide a concise overview of the innate system in cancer and recent advances in the field, including the activation and functions of innate immune cells and the emerging innate properties and modulatory roles of the fibroblastic mesenchyme. Novel insights into the diverse identities and functions of the innate immune and mesenchymal cells in the microenvironment of tumors should lead to improved anticancer therapies.

Introduction

It is now well established that solid tumors are populated by a variety of different cell types, which constitute the tumor microenvironment or stroma and play significant roles in cancer initiation, progression, and metastasis (Hanahan and Coussens, 2012). These include cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and endothelial and immune cells. The latter are important components of the tumor microenvironment and display both anti- and protumorigenic roles, as they are responsible for the initial immune-mediated rejection of tumors (Gajewski et al., 2013) and chronic inflammation that enables carcinogenesis (Greten and Grivennikov, 2019).

In this context, innate immunity plays a critical role in all aspects of tumorigenesis, including cancer initiation, proliferation, angiogenesis, and immunosuppression. Supporting the antitumor properties of immune cells and alleviating immunosuppression has been of particular interest as a therapeutic target in recent years with the development of immunotherapies (Mellman et al., 2011). However, it is now known that immunotherapeutic regimes are efficient only in a subset of patients, and development of resistance is common (Chen and Mellman, 2017). Innate immunity plays an important role also in this setting, as well as in the acquisition of resistance to other anticancer therapies. It is thus of great importance to better understand the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying its functions in cancer and how it can be manipulated for therapeutic purposes.

In this review, we provide a concise overview of the recent literature on the relationship between the innate stroma and cancer, including innate immune cell types, the stimuli that lead to their recruitment and activation, and their functions. We focus on recent data highlighting the innate properties of mesenchymal cells and the heterogeneity of the innate tumor microenvironment. Finally, we briefly discuss the potential manipulation of innate mechanisms as a strategy for anticancer therapy.

Innate immune cells in cancer

Innate immunity is mediated by myeloid cells, including macrophages, neutrophils, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and dendritic cells (DCs), as well as innate lymphoid cells (ILCs). Macrophages are the most abundant myeloid cells in the tumor, and together with neutrophils, they can be found in different polarization states, originally designated as antitumorigenic M1/N1 and protumorigenic M2/N2, depending on the cancer type, tumor stage, and microenvironmental milieu (Noy and Pollard, 2014; Shaul and Fridlender, 2019), although recent studies have shown that at least macrophage activation actually presents a continuum of functional differentiation states (Azizi et al., 2018; Chung et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2017b; Wagner et al., 2019). Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) are considered mostly protumorigenic and have been associated with poor prognosis, while the neutrophil/leukocyte ratio has been proposed as a biomarker in cancer (Shen et al., 2014; Templeton et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2012). MDSCs are immature myeloid cells that display immunosuppressive functions against T and natural
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Recruitment of innate immune cells in tumors
Innate cells in tumors can originate either from the bone marrow or through the proliferation and activation of resident immune cells. Myeloid cells, and especially macrophages and neutrophils, are recruited and infiltrate the tumor site through chemotactants, mainly cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors that are produced by both cancer cells and the surrounding stroma, including CAFs (Fig. 1; Shalapour and Karin, 2019). Genetic deletion, cell-specific ablation, or chemical inhibition of their respective receptors, as reported for example for CCR2, CCR5, CXCR2, and CSFRI, results in reduced macrophage and neutrophil/MDCS infiltration and reduced inflammation and tumorigenesis in animal models of cancer (Ijichi et al., 2011; Jamieson et al., 2012; Katoh et al., 2013; Pyonteck et al., 2013).

Innate immune sensing and activation
Both recruited and resident myeloid cells are influenced by tumor-specific signals to undergo “reprogramming” or activation (Fig. 1). This innate immune activation usually occurs in response to pathogen-associated molecular patterns or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) that act through their binding to pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and downstream activation of adapter molecules and intracellular signaling pathways to induce the expression of cytokines, chemokines, and type I IFNs, as well as immunoregulatory molecules, such as MHC class II, CD40, CD80, and CD86 on DCs (Rakoff-Nahoum and Medzhitov, 2009). In cancer, PRR activation usually occurs by tumor-specific endogenous molecules, which are the result of genetic and epigenetic changes in tumor cells, can resemble DAMPs, and act as neoantigens (Woo et al., 2015). These are either expressed by tumor cells or are more frequently released upon cell death. Cancer cell death is commonly induced by therapeutics and is referred to as immunogenic cell death, as it can lead to antitumor immune responses (Galluzzi et al., 2017). Major DAMPs in this case include the translocation of calreticulin to the cell surface, the secretion of ATP, and the release of high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) protein (Elliott et al., 2009; Garg et al., 2012; He et al., 2017; Obeid et al., 2007).

An important source of innate signals and DAMPs is the extracellular matrix (ECM), which is composed of structural and matricellular proteins, including collagens, glycoproteins, glycosaminoglycans, and proteoglycans, and is capable of modulating differentiation, migration, infiltration, and polarization of immune cells. In addition, cleavage of matrisome proteins generates various bioactive peptides, called matrikines, which act as chemokines, cytokines, or DAMPs (Eble and Niland, 2019; Frevert et al., 2018). A representative example is versican, which interacts with TLR2/6 to activate macrophages, leading to cytokine production and increased metastatic potential in a Lewis lung carcinoma model (Kim et al., 2009). Versikine, a versican-derived matrikine, promotes differentiation of DCs, which are critical for antitumor immunity (Hope et al., 2016, 2017). Besides these mechanisms, the ECM also modulates innate immune migration and function through mechanical forces (Huse, 2017).

Nucleic acids derived from tumor cells can also trigger innate immune responses. In this case, necrotic or apoptotic cancer cells are phagocytosed by macrophages and/or DCs, and the released tumor DNA can induce intracellular DNA recognition mechanisms. In recent years, the stimulator of IFN genes (STING) has been recognized as an important innate immune cytoplasmic DNA sensor that senses cyclic guanosine monophosphate–adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP) and, through IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) activation and type I IFN production, leads to antitumor immune responses (Corrales et al., 2015, 2016; Woo et al., 2014). Type I IFNs, in general, are considered crucial for the activation, migration, and cross-presentation of DCs (Diamond et al., 2011; Fuertes et al., 2011), activation of NK cells (Müller et al., 2017a), and polarization of neutrophils to an antitumor phenotype (Jablonska et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2015).

Importantly, activation of PRRs can also be mediated by microbiota, at least in organs that are in direct contact with them, such as the intestine. Excellent recent reviews describe how microbes influence tumorigenesis and response to therapy (Dzutsev et al., 2017; Helmingk et al., 2019).

Other soluble mediators, such as cytokines and growth factors, produced by either cancer cells or the stroma, including CAFs, also influence the activation of innate cells. The cytokines IL-4 and IL-13, produced by immune cells, and CAF-secreted chitinase-3-like-1 (Chi3L1) are important for the differentiation of macrophages toward a tumor-promoting phenotype (Cohen et al., 2017; DeNardo et al., 2009). IL-10 and TGF-β also affect macrophage, neutrophil, and DC activation and functions (Ruffell et al., 2014). TGF-β specifically promotes myeloid cell survival and protumorigenic, immunosuppressive lineage commitment (Fridlender et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2017; Gonzalez-Junca et al., 2019). In addition to TAM recruitment, CSF1, along
with CSF2 and CSF3, drives the survival and differentiation of macrophages and granulocytes and the expansion and activation of MDSCs (Gabrilovich et al., 2012; Hagemann et al., 2006; Strauss et al., 2015), while CSF2 and FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (Flt3L) play an important role in DC differentiation (Liu et al., 2009). MDSC generation is in general induced by the continuous presence of proinflammatory signals that drive myelopoiesis but are not adequate for complete differentiation to activated neutrophils and monocytes. NKG2D ligands originating from tumor cells after DNA damage response, along with cytokines like IL-12, IL-18, and IL-15 and the cell surface adhesion molecule LFA-1, lead to activation of NK cells (Lakshmikanth et al., 2009; Soriani et al., 2009). ILC1s are also activated in IL-15–enriched environments, while TGF-β–rich environments convert NK cells into ILC1-like cells with a reduced ability to control tumor growth and metastasis (Dadi et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2017). IL-12 and IL-33 play an important role in ILC3 and ILC2 activation, respectively (Jovanovic et al., 2014; Trabanelli et al., 2017).

Functions of innate immune responses in cancer

Innate immunity plays a crucial role in limiting initial cancer growth through either direct cytotoxicity against cancer cells or support of antitumor immune responses mediated predominantly by ILCs and DCs (Fig. 2).

NK cells are able to recognize and eliminate nascent transformed cells through expression of perforin and granzyme, as well as death ligands, such as TNF-regulated apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) and Fas ligand (Finnberg et al., 2008; Glasner et al., 2018; Smyth et al., 2000), while ILCs also express granzyme under specific conditions (Dadi et al., 2016). Both NK cells and ILCs activated in IL-15–rich environments produce TNF, IFN-γ, or CSF2, which have antitumor activity by modulating macrophage function while, along with the accumulation of adenosine and lipids and decreased pH, lead to impaired antigen presentation and suppressed DC-mediated antitumor responses (Colecgio et al., 2014; Cubillos-Ruiz et al., 2015; Herber et al., 2010; Veglia et al., 2017). Increased uptake of lipids by MDSCs also leads to an increase in their immunosuppressive capacity (Al-Khami et al., 2017).
leukocyte function (Dadi et al., 2016). NK cells also express chemokines, such as CCL5 and XCL1, that recruit DCs into the tumor (Böttcher et al., 2018). ILC3s, similarly to NK cells and ILC1s, contribute to antitumor immunity by releasing TNF, IL-8, and IL-2 after IL-12 stimulation and promoting leukocyte recruitment and proliferation (Carrega et al., 2015; Eisenring et al., 2010).

DCs activated by DAMPs are able to efficiently present tumor antigens to naive antigen-specific T cells, leading to their priming and generation of cytotoxic effector CD8+ T cells (Brott et al., 2014; Roberts et al., 2016; Salmon et al., 2016). This is mediated through expression of MHC class II, CD40, CD80, and CD86 and production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines that are crucial for the recruitment and function of CD8+ T cells, NK cells, and ILCs in tumors, as well as type I IFNs, which serve as a link between innate and adaptive immune responses (Mikucki et al., 2015; Ruffell et al., 2014; Tesone et al., 2013; Wendel et al., 2008).

Finally, both macrophages and neutrophils can also have antitumor functions. IFN-γ–activated M1 macrophages can directly kill tumor cells as well as recruit and activate cytotoxic CD8+ and NK cells (Hanna et al., 2015). TANs have been shown to recognize tumor cells through Cathepsin G or in an antibody-dependent manner and produce H2O2 (Granot et al., 2011), TNF-regulated apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), chemokines, IL-6, and IFN-γ as well as express costimulatory molecules, especially during the early stages of cancer (Eruslanov et al., 2014; Granot, 2019).

**Regulation of adaptive responses: Immunosuppression**

Despite their antitumor functions, innate cells and especially myeloid cells are considered protumorigenic once the tumor is...
IDO (Gajewski et al., 2013). This reversal is mediated by either a phenotypic switch or an inhibition of their functions, orchestrated by signals originating either from cancer cells or the cancer-“educated” stroma. Typical examples are macrophages and neutrophils that acquire protumorigenic roles and DCs, which are considered dysfunctional due to impaired activation (Demoulin et al., 2013). This most commonly leads to overexpression of proinflammatory molecules that result in increased tumor-promoting inflammation and modulation of adaptive immune responses driving immunosuppression. The effect of innate immune cells on immunosuppression is extensively studied and involves the production of immunosuppressive effectors, induction of regulatory T cells (T reg cells) metabolic starvation of T cells, and expression of immune checkpoint proteins (Fig. 2).

The production of immunosuppressive effectors involves molecules produced primarily by TAMs and TANs/MDSCs, such as IL-6, IL-10, TGF-β, FGE2, COX2, inductive nitric oxide synthase, CD40, and galectin 1. TAMs and TANs/MDSCs also produce ROS, which induce T cell apoptosis, reduction of TCR-ζ chain expression, and production of peroxynitrite, leading to impaired T cell signaling and anergy (Gabrilovich, 2017; Mantovani et al., 2017). ILC2s also have an immunosuppressive role through the production of amphiregulin and type 2 cytokines, such as IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, and IL-13 (Jovanovic et al., 2014; Trabanelli et al., 2017). Defective activation of DCs results in reduced type I IFN production along with lower costimulatory molecule expression, thus generating a tolerogenic phenotype (Sisirak et al., 2012).

Impaired IFN production by DCs, along with IL-10 and TGF-β produced by TAMs and TANs, is also involved in increased T reg cell expansion (Batlle and Massagué, 2019; Shalapour and Karin, 2019; Sisirak et al., 2012). Other important mediators that promote the recruitment or induction of T reg cells are inducible T cell costimulatory ligand (ICOSL) and OX40L produced by DCs (Aspord et al., 2013; Conrad et al., 2012; Faget et al., 2013), CCL22 produced by TAMs, and CCL17 produced by TANs (Maolake et al., 2017; Mishalian et al., 2014).

Metabolic starvation involves the expression of enzymes that catalyze essential metabolites or the release of toxic metabolites by TAMs, TANs/MDSCs, and DCs. The most important enzymes are arginase 1 (ARG1) and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO). ARG1 converts L-arginine into L-ornithine and urea, thus limiting the availability of L-arginine, which is necessary for T cell proliferation and function (Geiger et al., 2016; Rodriguez et al., 2007). ARG1 is also the substrate of nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) and leads to production of nitric oxide, which suppresses T cells functions (Caldwell et al., 2018; Molon et al., 2011). IDO expressed by MDSCs and DCs catalyzes L-tryptophan into 3-formylkynurenine, thus depleting tryptophan and leading to cell cycle arrest and anergy in T cells, as well as T reg cell differentiation. IDO activity also leads to TGF and 3-hydroxykynurenine, which inhibits T and NK cell survival and proliferation and drives differentiation to T reg cells (Munn and Mellor, 2016; Pallotta et al., 2011).

TAMs, MDSCs, and DCs additionally up-regulate programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) and PD-L2, which provide a negative costimulatory signal to T cells and promote T cell anergy and apoptosis (Lu et al., 2016; Salmon et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). In addition, B7-H4 and V-domain Ig suppressor of T cell activation expression also have similar effects (Wang et al., 2011; 2016b).

**Tumor initiation and proliferation**

Cancer cell proliferation is a hallmark of cancer, and deregulated cell proliferation is a prerequisite for neoplastic cell transformation. Production of ROS and nitrogen intermediates by TAMs and TANs/MDSCs promotes tumor initiation through their contribution to genetic instability in neoplastic cells (Canli et al., 2017). Innate myeloid cells also produce proinflammatory cytokines and growth factors, such as IL-6, IL-11, IL-1β, and EGFR, which play an important role in both the initiation and progression of tumorigenesis, especially in inflammation-induced cancer (Greten and Grivennikov, 2019). IL-6 and IL-11 in particular have been shown to promote cancer cell proliferation and survival and inhibit their apoptosis through activation of the downstream STAT3 signaling pathway in tumors (Johnson et al., 2018). TANs can also promote cancer cell growth and proliferation through production of elastase through activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and/or MAPK signaling pathways (Gong et al., 2013; Houghton et al., 2010; Lerman et al., 2017). ILC3s have been shown to induce abnormal epithelial growth in a IL-22-dependent manner (Kirchberger et al., 2013; Fig. 2).

Both TAMs and MDSCs can also affect cancer stem cells (CSCs). TAMs are important components of the CSC niche and have been found to directly interact with CSCs through binding to Thy1 and ephrin type-A4 (EphA4) receptors (Lu et al., 2014), while MDSCs have been shown to enhance stemness and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of CSCs through regulation of C-terminal–binding protein-2 (CTBP2; Cui et al., 2013; Panni et al., 2014).

**Angiogenesis**

Angiogenesis is crucial for tumor progression, as it is both a source of nutrients and oxygen and the route of waste disposal and metastatic dissemination. Infiltrating TAMs and TANs/MDSCs promote angiogenesis through the production of proangiogenic factors, such as VEGF-A, VEGF-C, EGF, FGF, TGF-β, CCL2, CXCL8, CXCL12, IL-8, and TNF (Bruno et al., 2014). TGF-β-rich environments convert NK cells into ILC1-like cells, which can also secrete proangiogenic factors (Gao et al., 2017). TAMs and TANs/MDSCs also affect angiogenesis through the production of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and in particular MMP9, which mediates the release of VEGF-A from the ECM (Deryugina et al., 2014; Kuang et al., 2011). TANs and MDSCs also produce prokinetin1/Bv8, which promotes angiogenesis through MAPK activation in endothelial cells (Shojaei et al., 2007, 2008). Interestingly, lipocalin expressed by TAMs in response to sphingosine 1-phosphate (SIP) was shown to promote endothelial proliferation, leading to subsequent lymphangiogenesis and metastasis in mice (Jung et al., 2016; Fig. 2).

**Metastasis**

The ability of cancer cells to metastasize is a hallmark of cancer and defines disease progression and patient survival. Innate...
immune cells, especially macrophages and neutrophils/MDSCs, have been implicated in the promotion of metastasis (Swierczak and Pollard, 2019; Fig. 2). As mentioned above, both TAMs and TANs/MDSCs promote angiogenesis and tumor cell intravasation, which are necessary for the initial steps of metastasis (Arwert et al., 2018; Bald et al., 2014; Harney et al., 2015), while chemokines, such as CXCL2 and CXCL8, and growth factors, such as EGF, increase the invasiveness of cancer cells (DeNardo et al., 2009). Inflammation-activated neutrophils have been shown to drive dormant cancer cell awakening through the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps, which cleave laminin and activate integrin α3β1 signaling (Albrengues et al., 2018). Both TAMs and MDSCs also promote epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition through TGF-β, nitric oxide, and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) production (Ouzounova et al., 2017). In addition, they can increase tumor-cell dissemination through the production of proteolytic enzymes such as MMPs that are responsible for the digestion and remodeling of the ECM, a key player in metastasis (Bausch et al., 2011; Kai et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2018).

Besides these effects on primary tumors, innate immune cells are also found in premetastatic sites, where they play an important role in cancer dissemination, survival, and growth through a variety of mechanisms, including angiogenesis (Mazzieri et al., 2011), extravasation of cancer cells (Qian and Deng, 2009; Srivastava et al., 2014), support of the survival and proliferation of metastatic cancer cells (Coffelt et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2018; Steele et al., 2016; Wculek and Malanchi, 2015), and immunosuppression (Kitamura et al., 2018). Notably, low-level generalized inflammation also affects metastasis, as shown for the increased lung metastasis associated with obesity-induced neutrophilia (Quail et al., 2017; Fig. 2).

**Innate functions of fibroblastic mesenchymal cells in cancer**

Mesenchymal cells in tumors or CAFs are a heterogeneous stromal population present in most solid tumors. CAFs contribute to a variety of protumorigenic functions, such as tumor growth, angiogenesis, immunoregulation, ECM remodelling, cancer stemness, invasion, metastasis, and chemoresistance, in an organ-specific manner and have been associated with poor prognosis (Kalluri, 2016; Öhlund et al., 2014; Turley et al., 2015). In the last decade, their immunomodulatory roles have been of particular interest and have been recently reviewed (Monteran and Pollard, 2019). Here, we will focus on their functions in innate immune sensing and response in the tumor microenvironment (Fig. 3).

CAFs originate from different cell types, but resident mesenchymal cells are considered the major source (Kalluri, 2016). TGF-β plays a crucial role in their activation and differentiation to myofibroblastic CAFs and the concomitant production of effecter molecules, including chemokines, cytokines, growth factors, ECM components, and remodeling enzymes. TGF-β specificity and function on mesenchymal cells is regulated by its availability, which depends on the location of mesenchymal cells, as well as its efficient release from the ECM that is mediated both by proteolysis and mechanical tension (Batlle and Massagué, 2019; Öhlund et al., 2017; Pickup et al., 2013). Notably, a TGF-β signature in mesenchymal cells has been correlated with poor prognosis, immune cell exclusion, and resistance to immunotherapy (Calon et al., 2015; Mariathasan et al., 2018; Tauriello et al., 2018). Besides TGF-β, a variety of stimuli, including innate signals, have been shown to induce their activation.

The activation of CAFs by DAMPs is indicative of their ability to respond to innate immune stimuli. IL-1α is an important such danger signal that is released by cancer cells and promotes the activation of inflammatory CAFs in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) through the JAK/STAT pathway. Notably, IL-1α is antagonized by TGF-β toward the differentiation of myofibroblastic CAFs (Biffi et al., 2019). IL-1β has also been shown to induce proinflammatory gene expression that affects tumorigenesis (Erez et al., 2010). Recently, breast cancer CAFs were shown to sense DAMPs through the NLRP3 inflammasome and in response induce proinflammatory gene expression and IL-1β release that promoted tumor growth and metastasis (Ershaid et al., 2019). Interestingly, ECM matrix proteins and matrikines that can activate innate immunity could also induce CAF activation and immunoregulation (Eble and Niland,
One such example is osteopontin, which activates fibroblasts in breast cancer to promote inflammation and tumor growth (Sharon et al., 2015).

In addition, CAFs express innate recognition (TLRs) and respond to the relevant stimuli by secreting cytokines, chemokines, MMPs, and ECM components. The prognostic value of these expression patterns seems to be organ and cancer-type specific; TLR7 and TLR9 expression in CAFs is associated with enhanced survival in breast and esophageal squamous cell cancer (González-Reyes et al., 2010; Ni et al., 2015; Sheyhidin et al., 2011), while TLR4 and TLR9 expression in colorectal and hepatocellular carcinoma CAFs, respectively, is associated with poor prognosis (Eiró et al., 2013, 2014). We recently provided direct evidence for a pathophysiological role of innate sensing by CAFs in inflammatory, and the immune microenvironment to promote intestinal cancer.

Besides TLR4, TLR9 activation has also been shown to induce pancreatic stellate cells to become fibrogenic and secrete chemokines that promote epithelial cell proliferation and immune-suppressive effects in PDAC (Zambirinis et al., 2015). Interestingly, it has recently been shown, using single-cell transcriptomics and functional assays, that there is an inflammatory CAF subtype in both human and mouse PDAC tumors (Elyada et al., 2019; Öhlund et al., 2017). In addition, a new population of CAFs termed “anti-tumor” CAFs has been identified that expresses MHC class II and CD74 and may thus be able to present antigens to CD4^+ T cells, albeit in the absence of costimulation, and modulate the immune response in PDAC, although formal proof of this immunosuppressive mechanism of CAFs is still pending (Elyada et al., 2019). CAFs have also recently been shown to be able to sample, process, and cross-present antigens, killing CD8^+ T cells in an antigen-specific, antigen-dependent manner via PD-L2 and Fas ligand (Lakins et al., 2018).

Most of the innate CAF responses described above are mediated through the induction of an inflammatory and immuno-suppressive gene expression profile. Besides these mechanisms, CAFs are the main producers of both ECM components and remodeling enzymes and can thus modulate immune cell trafficking by altering the biochemical and biophysical properties of the ECM in response to innate stimuli (Chakravarthy et al., 2018; Eble and Niland, 2019; Kalluri, 2016). Additionally, CAFs undergo metabolic changes that involve the activation of aerobic glycolysis and production of metabolites, such as pyruvate, lactate, ketone bodies, and fatty acids, which in turn support cancer cell proliferation and the promotion of an immunosuppressive milieu (Singer et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2017). This property is commonly induced by stress factors produced by cancer cells and TGF-β leading to loss of caveolin-1 (CAV1), although innate immune signals could also play a role.

The above studies indicate that innate recognition mechanisms are present in CAFs, which respond by secreting mediators capable of shaping the tumor microenvironment, thus contributing to the recruitment of both innate and adaptive immune cells and the establishment of a proinflammatory and immunosuppressive milieu.

**Heterogeneity of the innate tumor microenvironment**

The expansion of single-cell methodologies, either at the transcriptomics level using single-cell RNA sequencing or using proteomics with mass cytometry, has enabled the in-depth characterization of immune infiltrates in disease, including cancer (Papalexi and Satija, 2018). Single-cell RNA sequencing has identified unprecedented heterogeneity in tumor cell types, referring both to cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment, including immune cells, CAFs, and endothelial cells (Elyada et al., 2019; Lambrechts et al., 2018; Li et al., 2017α; Puram et al., 2017; Tirosh et al., 2016). As mentioned above, CAFs were recently shown to be divided into myofibroblastic, inflammatory, and antigen-presenting populations in PDAC (Elyada et al., 2019). Accordingly, in breast cancer, two of four CAF subtypes identified by FACS analysis were described as myofibroblastic and showed immunoregulatory activity through different mechanisms (Costa et al., 2018). Studies in lung and renal cancer have revealed a vast heterogeneity in TAMs, with previously undescribed populations and distinct gene expression signatures, which is interestingly conserved between mice and humans (Chevrier et al., 2017; Zilionis et al., 2019). In addition, Cassetta et al. (2019) identified transcriptional diversity among TAMs, monocytes, and macrophages, which was further affected by the tumor location and stage. Similar experiments in gliomas and breast cancer have also shown variability in tumor cell composition between patients and correlation with immunosuppression, as well as TAM populations that simultaneously express M1 and M2 signatures, suggesting plasticity and the presence of different intermediate activation states (Azizi et al., 2018; Chung et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2017b; Wagner et al., 2019).

In lung adenocarcinoma, single-cell analysis has revealed alterations in the immune landscape even in the early stages, with changes in myeloid cell subsets, including depletion of CD14^+ DCs, reduced and impaired NK cells, and enrichment of PPAR-γ^hi macrophages, which correspond to impaired antitumor T cell immunity (Lavin et al., 2017). Further analysis at the single-cell level is expected to lead to the identification of more specialized distinct stromal subpopulations and characterization of their origin, activation trajectories, and potential plasticity while aiding in the characterization of the molecular mechanisms underlying their functions, which is especially relevant for low-abundant populations, such as MDSCs (Valdes-Mora et al., 2018).

**Therapeutic potential of targeting the innate system in cancer**

The prognostic relevance of innate cells, along with their important functions in tumor initiation, progression, and especially immunosuppression, has led to the development of multiple therapeutic strategies. Approaches to manipulate the innate immune responses have been extensively reviewed recently (Cassetta and Pollard, 2018; Chiossone et al., 2018;
 Briefly, the most promising approaches (accompanied by representative references) include (i) the depletion of innate immune cells, especially TAMs and TANs/MDSCs (Qin et al., 2014; Ries et al., 2014); (ii) the inhibition of innate immune cell recruitment by targeting the chemoattractants responsible for immune cell infiltration in the tumors (Halama et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017b); (iii) the reprogramming of innate cells toward an antitumor phenotype (Panni et al., 2019; Ring et al., 2017); (iv) the targeting of effector molecules, usually secreted by innate immune cells or activated by innate immune pathways, such as IL-6, IDO, VEGF, neutrophil elastase, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2)/prostaglandin E2 (PGE2; Incio et al., 2018); and (v) therapeutic IDO, VEGF, neutrophil elastase, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2)/geting of effector molecules, usually secreted by innate immune
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cells or activated by innate immune pathways, such as IL-6, IDO, VEGF, neutrophil elastase, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2)/prostaglandin E2 (PGE2; Incio et al., 2018); and (v) therapeutic strategies aiming at manipulating NK cell antitumor functions (Hodgins et al., 2019). Many of these therapeutic approaches have shown efficacy in preclinical settings and/or clinical trials either alone or in combination with other anticancer drugs (DeNardo et al., 2011; Nawa et al., 2012; Salvagno et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016a; Weizman et al., 2014). Of particular interest is the combination of checkpoint inhibition with TAM/TAN manipulation, which by reducing immunosuppression could increase efficacy of checkpoint immunotherapy (Highfill et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2017).

CAFs have also been proposed as promising targets for cancer therapy using similar approaches, including cell ablation, targeting of the mechanisms that drive their activation, inhibition of secreted effector mediators, and their potential reprogramming. Additional strategies for the manipulation of the ECM and the targeting of matrikines have also been proposed as therapeutics for cancer and for the improvement of drug delivery (Kobayashi et al., 2019; Monboisse et al., 2014; Öhlund et al., 2014). New findings pointing toward an innate role for CAFs, along with their immunomodulatory properties, suggest new potential anticancer therapeutic targets, while novel CAF-specific innate mechanisms and their relationship with corresponding functions in innate immune cells should be taken into account when predicting compensatory responses and potential combinatorial strategies.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Innate immune cells play an important and dual role in carcinogenesis, as they are found to both support initial rejection of tumors and promote tumor initiation, growth, and metastasis following immune evasion and depending on context. Their protumorigenic properties are mediated by signals from the growing tumor and the evolving tumor-educated stroma, which drive their activation, resulting in immunosuppression, increased proliferation, and angiogenesis. Besides immune cells, mesenchymal non-hematopoietic cells in the tumor microenvironment, specifically CAFs, are also able to respond to innate stimuli and affect cancer outcome. A better understanding of the cellular players and their identities, developmental trajectories, and potential plasticity, as well as the molecular mechanisms underlying innate functions in the tumor microenvironment, remains to be exploited and should be important in the design of new or improved immune-targeting therapies. Future studies should address the allocation of functions to specific cell populations within tumors and the identification of potential compensatory mechanisms between the plethora of cell states that mediate innate functions.
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