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Characterization of Magnesium Potassium Phosphate Cement-Based Grouting Material Blended with High Volume Industrial Wastes
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In the present research, industrial wastes, e.g., fly ash (FA), lithium slag (LS), ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), and red mud (RM) were utilized to prepare the magnesium phosphate cement (MPC)-based grouting material by a two-component grouting method. Successive additions of GGBS within 40% (C1–C5) led to reduced fluidity, increased pH value, and shortened setting time. The compressive strength increased first and then decreased. The strength value reached the maximum at a 20% dosage (C3). Increasing the content of RM from 0% (C4) to 25% (C9) resulted in prolonged setting time and an increased pH value. The fluidity and compressive strength increased first and then decreased. The fluidity and strength value became the highest at a 15% additive ratio (C7). GGBS can significantly improve the strength and water resistance at 7 days and 28 days by the potential hydraulic property. RM has a smaller particle size than MP, making the microstructure denser by the pore-filling effect. Thus, the drying shrinkage was increased after adding GGBS, while it was decreased when incorporating RM. The MPC-based grouting material has a controllable short setting of 3–21 min, self-leveling fluidity above 200 mm, a near-neutral pH value, high early strength (1 day compressive strength of 5 MPa), minor drying shrinkage (one-tenth of OPC), and excellent water resistance (over 85%), which is much superior to traditional grouting materials.

1. Introduction

Water inrush is one of the geological disasters that frequently happens during the construction of underground engineering [1]. It consumes time, workforce, materials, equipment, and threatens the life safety of construction personnel [2]. According to the statistics, 50% of underground projects are located in karst areas. Among the disasters involving underground constructions, the water inrush disaster caused by the underground space construction in the karst area ranks first [3, 4].

Grouting is an effective means to control the water inrush. It means injecting the prepared grouting material through the matching equipment and using the suitable grouting process to inject the parts that need to be reinforced [5]. Nowadays, grouting materials adapted to specific engineering needs have become the research hotspot. The existing grouting materials still have various applicability problems [6]. The commonly used cement-based grouting material has an uncontrollable setting time, poor stability, easy layering and segregation, and low strength in the later stages [7, 8]. Although the water glass solution has the advantages of adjustable setting time, controllable strength, and nontoxicity, it has poor water corrosion resistance, poor durability, and high cost [9]. Organic polymer grouting material has better working performance than cement-based slurry. However, its cost is exceptionally high and it is easy to cause environmental pollution, making it unsuitable for shield tunnel construction [10, 11]. Therefore, it is extremely important to develop a grouting material that meets engineering requirements, has adjustable setting time, good...
stability, high early strength, excellent water resistance, and green environmental protection [12, 13].

Magnesium phosphate cement (MPC), as a kind of chemically bonded phosphate ceramics, has the advantages of fast setting, super early strength, minor shrinkage, good volume stability, and low environmental pollution (the slurry pH is close to neutral) [14, 15]. It is widely used in engineering reinforcement [16, 17]. An MPC has a high initial fluidity (self-leveling) and a quick transformation from a fluid state to a plastic body. Thus, it has been applied as a self-leveling repair material, 3D printing ink, and two-component grouting material [18, 19].

Nowadays, more and more solid wastes, e.g., red mud (RM), steel slag (SS), lithium slag (LS), fly ash (FA), and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), are discharged as industrial byproducts, and their disposal and utilization are complex problems [20, 21]. Industrial waste can be well utilized in MPC. They can reduce the cost, optimize the hydration process, and improve the mechanical properties [22]. Previous researchers have found that the active components in industrial waste residues can react in MPC while the inert components of fine particles can play a pore-filling effect [23]. The spherical particles in FA can play a “rolling bearing” effect in the fresh slurry and improve the fluidity [24]. The active aluminum siliceous components in metakaolin can participate in the reaction in MPC to form amorphous aluminum phosphate silica gel and improve the cementitious property of the hardened matrix [25].

Hence, in the present research, industrial wastes (FA, LS, GGBS, and RM) were utilized to prepare the MPC-based grouting material by a two-component grouting method. The effects of GGBS and RM addition were explored by preparing several groups with different mix proportions. Then, the fluidity, pH value, and setting time of the fresh slurry were analyzed. After hardening, the compressive strength, water resistance, and drying shrinkage of hardened samples were measured. The microscopic tests: X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP), were applied to investigate the hydration products and microstructure. The research results enrich the knowledge about large-volume industrial waste-based MPC grouting material, effectively solving the water inrush problem in underground engineering constructions and significantly utilizing industrial solid waste [3, 26].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Raw Materials. The industrial-grade dead burned magnesia powder (MP) was obtained from Haicheng city, Liaoning province. It had a specific surface area of 320 m$^2$/kg. Ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$, ADP) was provided by the Shifang company in Sichuan province. The grains were 100 mesh and had a purity level higher than 95.0%. Borax was used as the retarder. It was of industrial grade, having a purity level above 97.5%.

Fly ash (FA) was produced by the Xiangtan power plant in Hunan province, and lithium slag (LS) was bought from Jiangli New Material Co., Ltd. in Jiangxi province. The ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) of S95 grade was bought from Shanghai Baosteel Group. The Bayer red mud (RM) fabricated in the alumina extraction in Zhengzhou city, Henan province were used. The specific surface areas of FA, LS, GGBS, and RM were 560 m$^2$/kg, 245 m$^2$/kg, 430 m$^2$/kg, and 570 m$^2$/kg, respectively. The particle size of LM was the largest among these raw materials, and RM was the smallest.

As shown in Figure 1, FA mainly consisted of small spherical-shaped particles, while MP, LS, and GGBS grains were of square shapes. The small RM particles had an irregular shape. The chemical compositions of magnesia powder, FA, LS, GGBS, and RM were presented in Table 1. Magnesia powder had a purity level above 92.0%. FA and LS had a high content of alumina (Al$_2$O$_3$) and silica (SiO$_2$). GGBS and RM mainly consisted of Al$_2$O$_3$, SiO$_2$, and calcium oxide (CaO).

2.2. Two-Part Grouting Process. Table 2 lists the mix proportions of MPC-based grouting materials containing different additions of industrial wastes. It was prepared by a two-component grouting method in a 1:1 mass ratio [27]. In the current research, ADP can directly react with GGBS and RM. FA and LF had a low content of CaO. They were of low reactivity and hardly reacted with ADP. Thus, as shown in Table 2, part A included MP, GGBS, and RM, while part B contained ADP, FA, and LS. In each group, the water to binder (MP, GGBS, RM, ADP, FA, and LS) mass ratio was fixed at 0.40. The borax dosage was 10% of the mass of the alkali components (MP, GGBS, and RM). From C1 to C5, 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, and 40% GGBS were used to replace MP, aiming to study the effect of GGBS in MPC-based grouting material. In C4, C6, C7, C8, and C9, the addition of RM was changed from 0% to 25%, aiming to study the effect of RM.

Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of the two-part grouting method applied in this research. The fabrication process of the MPC-based grouting material was: (1) mixing the preweighed MP, GGBS, RM, and retarder in a mixer for 2 min; (2) adding water and quickly stirring for 2 min; (3) component B was prepared by the same procedure as component A; and (4) mixing up the two components together and stirring at a higher speed for 1 min.

The properties, e.g., fluidity, pH value, and setting time, were tested immediately after mixing. Then, the slurry was poured into the mold and cast. Some samples were cured in air (temperature of 20 ± 5°C and relative humidity of 60 ± 10%) for the compressive strength test, and the others were cured in water (temperature of 20 ± 5°C and relative humidity of 100%) to evaluate the water resistance.

2.3. Testing Methods. The fluidity of the MPC-based grouting material was tested according to GB/T 2419–2005. The setting time was measured based on GB/T 1346–2011. MPC has a short hardening stage, and the final setting time is close to the initial setting time. In this research, only the final
setting time was measured. A PHS-3E acidity meter was used to test the pH value of the fresh slurry, and the instrument had to be calibrated before this measurement.

The compressive strength of the hardened sample was measured according to GB/T 17671–2005. The size of the sample was 40 mm × 40 mm × 160 mm. It had to be split into two sections through the flexural test, and then the compressive strength test was conducted. The photos of the fluidity, pH value, and compressive strength tests are presented in Figure 3.

The water resistance ($W_{wr}$) of the MPC-based grouting material was characterized by comparing the strength of the air-curing and water-curing samples at the same curing age [28]. The calculation formula is

\[
W_{wr} = \frac{f_{w} - f_{a}}{f_{w}} \times 100%
\]

where $f_{w}$ and $f_{a}$ are the compressive strengths of the water-curing and air-curing samples, respectively.

---

**Table 1: Chemical compositions of raw materials.**

| Raw material | MgO  | Al$_2$O$_3$ | CaO  | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | K$_2$O | Na$_2$O | SiO$_2$ | SO$_3$ | P$_2$O$_5$ |
|--------------|------|------------|------|-------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|
| MP           | 92.03| 0.51       | 2.81 | 0.34        | 0.01   | 0.01    | 3.65    | 0.01   | 0.56      |
| FA           | 1.66 | 26.13      | 6.21 | 6.01        | 1.35   | 0.87    | 53.22   | 1.22   | 0.78      |
| LS           | 2.01 | 21.87      | 8.71 | 2.31        | 1.01   | 7.11    | 47.52   | 5.40   | 0.21      |
| GGBS         | 5.44 | 13.01      | 43.25| 0.23        | 0.22   | 0.11    | 34.22   | 1.71   | 0.02      |
| RM           | 1.01 | 25.44      | 18.91| 15.33       | 2.86   | 8.02    | 22.56   | 0.03   | 0.36      |

---

**Figure 1:** SEM observation of raw materials: (a) MP; (b) FA; (c) LS; (d) GGBS; and (e) RM.
In this formula, $W_{rn}$ is the water resistance of the MPC-based grouting material at $n$ days, $f_n$ is the compressive strength of the hardened sample cured in water for $n$ days, and $F_n$ is the compressive strength of the hardened sample cured in air for $n$ days.

$$W_{rn} = \frac{f_n}{F_n} \times 100\%.$$  \hfill (1)

The drying shrinkage of the MPC-based grouting material was tested with reference to JC/T 603–2004. At 3 h, the length of each sample was measured as the initial length ($L_0$), and the length ($L_t$) of the sample after curing 1, 3, 7, 14, 28, and 60 days was recorded. The drying shrinkage can be calculated as

$$\varepsilon_t = \frac{L_t - L_0}{250}.$$  \hfill (2)
In this formula, 250 is the effective length (mm) of the sample.

Before XRD, SEM, and MIP analysis, the collected samples after curing 28 days were terminated the hydration process by immersing in isopropyl alcohol for 7 days. Then, they were dried in a vacuum desiccator. For the XRD test, the dried samples had to be ground into fine powders. A D8-ADVANCE (Bruker) X-ray diffractometer machine, with the Cu-Kα radiation of 40 mA/40 kV, was applied to analyze the hydration product from 5° to 70° at a 2°/min scanning rate. For the SEM test, the samples had to be gold coated and observed by an SEM machine (Thermo APREO S A5-112). MIP was used to test the porosity and pore structure distribution of samples (particle size of 2-3 mm). An Auto Pore IV 9500 mercury injection machine was used.

3. Experimental Results

3.1. Working Performance of Fresh MPC-Based Grouting Material. Table 3 lists the pH value of the fresh MPC-based grouting material. The pH value of the fresh slurry increased continuously with the increase of GGBS content, from 6.10 (C1-0% GGBS) to 8.11 (C5-40% GGBS). As shown in Table 1, GGBS contains a higher percentage of CaO than MP. CaO has higher alkalinity and reactivity than MgO, leading to an increased pH value [29]. Based on C4, when increasing the dosage of RM from 0% to 25%, the pH value of the fresh slurry slightly changed from 7.61 (C4-0% RM) to 7.98 (C9-25% RM). In Table 1, RM contains 18.91% CaO, 8.02% Na₂O, and 2.86% K₂O. It is more alkaline than MP but still lower than GGBS. Thus, adding GGBS leads to a higher pH value increase rate than incorporating RM.

Figure 4 presents the fluidity and setting time of the fresh MPC-based grouting material with different additions of GGBS. Successive additions of GGBS led to a continuously decreased fluidity and reduced setting time. In C1 (0% GGBS), the fluidity and setting time were 245 mm and 21 min, respectively. They changed to 208 mm and 3 min, significantly, after adding 40% GGBS (C5). Because the reactivity of GGBS is higher than MP, the incorporation of GGBS can promote the early hydration rate in MPC-based grouting material, resulting in a shortened setting time and decreased fluidity [30, 31].

Among these groups, C4 had a fluidity of 220 mm and a setting time of 5 min, which was suitable for application in the construction field. Based on C4, another industrial waste RM was tried to be utilized in MPC-based grouting material. Figure 5 displays the fluidity and setting time of the fresh MPC-based grouting material with different RM additions. The fluidity of the slurry first increased from 220 mm (C4-0% RM) to 231 mm (C7-15% RM), and further additions of RM resulted in a slightly decreased fluidity. Considering that the particle distribution of RM is different from that of MP, adding an appropriate amount of RM can improve the particle gradation of raw materials and increase fluidity [32]. When RM was excessive, the fluidity was further reduced. As shown in Figure 5, successive additions of RM led to a slightly prolonged setting time. In C4 (0% RM), the setting time was 5 min. It increased to 10 min after adding 25% RM (C9). Because the reactivity of RM is lower than that of MP, the incorporation of RM could decrease the early hydration rate in MPC-based grouting material, resulting in a prolonged setting time [33]. All these groups had fluidity higher than 180 mm, reaching the level of self-leveling.

Compared with the traditional cement and water glass grouting materials (pH value >12.0), the pH value of the MPC-based grouting material applied in the current experiment is close to neutral [32, 33]. The novel MPC grouts containing a large volume of GGBS and RM are eco-friendly and high-performance. Besides, the MPC-based grouting material can achieve an adjustable setting time from 3 min to 21 min, which meets the engineering need.
3.2. Mechanical Property of Hardened MPC-Based Grouting Material. Figure 6 presents the effects of GGBS content on the compressive strength of MPC-based grouting material. GGBS has a significant impact on compressive strength. At 1st day, the compressive strengths from C1 (0% GGBS) to C5 (40% GGBS) were 6.5 MPa, 5.4 MPa, 4.6 MPa, 4.0 MPa, and 3.5 MPa, respectively. The early strength (1 day) was continuously reduced with the successive addition of GGBS. When cured from 1 day to 7 days, the strength growth rate of the group containing GGBS was higher than the basic group C1. The compressive strengths of the five groups at 7 days were 10.2 MPa, 10.5 MPa, 11.2 MPa, 11.0 MPa, and 10.5 MPa, respectively, in which C3 (20% GGBS) had the highest strength value. After curing for 28 days, the compressive strength of C3 increased to 15.7 MPa, which was still the highest among the five groups.

The incorporation of GGBS significantly shortens the setting time, and the fresh slurry will harden quickly, resulting in insufficient crystal growth, uneven distribution of hydration product, more internal pores, and a decreased early strength [34]. GGBS has a potential hydraulic property [35]. When incorporated into MPC-based grouting material, it can react quickly with phosphate and be activated in the intense exothermic environment during hardening, which enhances the later stage compressive strength [36]. The results in Figure 6 indicate that a proper amount of GGBS will enhance the mechanical property of the grouting material, and when its content exceeds 20%, the mechanical property will also decrease.

Figure 7 presents the effects of RM content on the compressive strength of MPC-based grouting material. RM has a noticeable influence on compressive strength. The strength value increased when the RM content increased from 0% (C4) to 15% (C7). As the RM content increased from 15% (C7) to 25% (C9), the strength value gradually decreased. At 28 days, the strength values of C4, C6, C7, C8, and C9 were 15.4 MPa, 16.0 MPa, 16.8 MPa, 16.1 MPa, and 15.3 MPa, respectively. A suitable amount of RM within 15% increases the compressive strength of the hardened sample. RM has finer particles than MP. Adding the proper amount of RM can make the microstructure denser [37, 38]. When RM is excessive, it will reduce the main hydration product formed in the hardened matrix, which weakens the overall gelation. Thus, the compressive strength decreases.

3.3. Durability of Hardened MPC-Based Grouting Material. Figure 8 displays the effects of GGBS content on the drying shrinkage of the MPC-based grouting material. Within the initial 14 days, the drying shrinkage increased rapidly, and the value at 14 days reached about 70% of that at 60 days. Generally, the dry shrinkage of OPC-based materials can reach 1000 microstrains in 60 days [39]. The dry shrinkage of MPC-based grouting material was only about 50 microstrains at 60 days, which is only one-tenth of OPC. It is the biggest advantage that MPC-based materials have when they are used in engineering repairs. Actually, MPC is formed by the ionic bonds between the metal cations and phosphate anions, while OPC is bonded by van der Waals forces. Because ionic bonds are stronger than van der Waals forces, MPC has better volume stability than OPC. As shown in Figure 8, the drying shrinkage of C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5 at 60 days was 51.2 microstrains, 55.1 microstrains, 58.2 microstrains, 60.3 microstrains, and 64.1 microstrains, respectively. Adding GGBS increased the drying shrinkage of the MPC-based grouting material. GGBS has a strong chemical reactivity in MPC, which improves the hydration degree in the MPC system and increases the shrinkage value [40, 41].

Figure 9 displays the effects of RM content on the drying shrinkage of the MPC-based grouting material. The drying shrinkage of C4, C6, C7, C8, and C9 at 60 days was 60.3 microstrains, 58.1 microstrains, 57.2 microstrains, 56.3 microstrains, and 57.8 microstrains, respectively.
microstrains, and 55.1 microstrains, respectively. Adding RM reduces the drying shrinkage of the MPC sample slightly. It is believed that the hydration degree of MPC is the main factor affecting the value of drying shrinkage [42, 43]. Adding RM makes the microstructure of the MPC-based grouting material denser, which will reduce the drying shrinkage.

Different from OPC, MPC has the disadvantage of poor water resistance. Long-term immersion of MPC in water will cause dissolution of reaction products, resulting in increased pores, loose structure, and decreased strength of the hardened paste [44, 45]. Table 4 lists the water resistance ($W_{r_n}$) of the hardened MPC-based grouting material. Compared with the sample mixed with GGBS, the basic group-C1 had a higher $W_{r_n}$ value at 7 days (96.1%). Due to the shortened setting time of the sample containing GGBS, the internal microstructure is loose at an early age and the crystal has not grown well [30, 46]. Therefore, when immersed in water, the water resistance is poor. GGBS has a potential hydraulic property and can react continuously to enhance the bonding performance in the MPC matrix, leading to increased strength. During the period from 7 days to 28 days, the water resistance of the samples mixed with GGBS significantly improved. The group containing 20% GGBS (C3) increased from 71.4% to 86.8%. However, after 28 days of soaking, the basic group (C1) had the lowest $W_{r_n}$ value among these groups, which was only 63.2%. It can be seen that the water resistance of the MPC-based grouting material without modified materials is obviously insufficient, and GGBS can significantly enhance the water stability.

Based on C4, the effect of RM on the water resistance of MPC-based grouting material was investigated. As presented in Table 4, the $W_{r_n}$ value of C4 (0% RM) was 66.3% and 91.2% after immersion in water for 7 days and 28 days. When 20% RM (C8) was blended, the $W_{r_n}$ values at 7 and 28 days were 73.2% and 93.5%, respectively. It exhibits better water resistance than C4 at 7 days and 28 days. Adding metakaolin or alumina into MPC can form some aluminosilicate phases, helping to improve the water resistance [47, 48]. Compared with alumina, RM has a better effect on the long-term water resistance, which is attributed to the newly formed Mg-Al-Fe phosphate gels [31]. Besides, the particle size of RM is finer than that of MP. It can fill the microcracks in the hardened sample and make the microstructure denser [28]. The $W_{r_n}$ values from group C3 to C9 were above 85.0%, which reflects good water resistance and meets the engineering requirements.

### 4. Mechanism Discussion

#### 4.1. Hydration Product Analysis

Figure 10 presents the XRD patterns of C1, C4, and C7 after curing for 28 days. The samples mixed with GGBS and RM did not appear with new characteristic peaks. All three groups can only detect the diffraction peak of MgO and struvite ($\text{MgNH}_4\text{PO}_4\cdot\text{6H}_2\text{O}$) [49]. As shown in these three patterns, the peak intensity of struvite did not change significantly, indicating that the incorporation of GGBS and RM did not hinder the formation of struvite. It is consistent with the compressive strength results. Apart from these crystal phases, MPC contained more than half of the amorphous intermediate product [31]. The hydration products formed by GGBS and RM might be of the amorphous phase, which cannot be detected by XRD.

#### 4.2. Microstructure Evolution

Figure 11 displays the MIP results of hardened MPC-based grouting material after curing for 28 days. As shown in the figure, the total porosity of C1, C2, C4, and C7 was 15.52%, 15.41%, 15.11%, and 13.99%, respectively.

The porosity of the sample added with 0%, 10%, and 30% GGBS was 15.52%, 15.41%, and 15.11%, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, GGBS had a smaller particle size than MP. Adding a suitable amount of fine GGBS...
particles modified the microstructure of MPC-based grouting material by its chemical effect, leading to increased strength [50]. Calcium oxide contained in GGBS had a higher reactivity than MP in the MPC system. It had a faster reaction rate with ADP than magnesia. Besides, GGBS has potential hydraulic properties and can itself undergo hydration reactions in MPC. Hence, the porosity of MPC-based material was continuously reduced with successive additions of GGBS [20].

After adding 15% RM, the total porosity was decreased from 15.11% to 13.99%. Although the reactivity of RM was lower than that of GGBS, RM had a much smaller particle size than MP and GGBS [51]. A suitable amount of RM can improve the particle distribution of raw powder grains and compact the microstructure. This makes the microstructure denser by the pore-filling effect.

The main mechanism of GGBS and RM in improving the mechanical properties of MPC-based material was different. GGBS led to the formation of more hydrates, while RM had a more significant pore-filling effect. In cement-based materials, the drying shrinkage is inversely proportional to the total amount of hydration products [52]. As shown in the current research, adding GGBS increased the drying shrinkage, while the MPC-based grouting material incorporated with RM had a lower drying shrinkage. The MIP results were consistent with the above analysis.

Figure 12 displays the SEM images of C1, C2, C4, and C7 after curing for 28 d. In the basic group C1, large lumps of particles were observed. They were the residual MgO crystals. Around these particles, struvite crystals in hexagonal shapes were observed. After adding GGBS, the amount of MgO particles decreased. Many small lumpy grains were distributed on the surface of struvite, which was the phase formed by the reaction of GGBS and ADP [53]. Compared with C1, C2 and C4 had denser microstructures. Because GGBS can hydrate and react with ADP, the amorphous hydration product has a large surface area and has strong gelling properties that tightly bond the internal particles of MPC to form a denser microstructure. As shown in Figure 12(d), the number of small particles increased after adding FM, which were the RM grains [54]. These particles were tightly embedded in the MPC-based grouting material. The hydrates were grown between MgO crystals and RM particles, which were distributed widely in the microstructure. Adding a suitable amount of RM can improve the compressive strength by promoting the hydration rate in MPC-based material [31]. The incorporation of 15% RM improved the particle size distribution of the grains. Besides, the alkali component RM reacted with ADP, which promoted the hydration degree and increased the property of the cement matrix.

Table 4: The water resistance of the hardened sample.

| Group | C1 (%) | C2 (%) | C3 (%) | C4 (%) | C5 (%) | C6 (%) | C7 (%) | C8 (%) | C9 (%) |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 7 days| 96.1   | 78.1   | 71.4   | 66.3   | 65.4   | 69.3   | 71.5   | 73.2   | 72.5   |
| 28 days| 63.2   | 83.4   | 86.8   | 91.2   | 90.0   | 92.1   | 92.8   | 93.5   | 91.8   |

Figure 10: XRD patterns of C1, C4, and C7 at 28 days.

Figure 11: MIP results of hardened MPC-based grouting material after curing for 28 days.
As shown in Figure 12(d), more gel phases of small-square shape were formed in the sample containing 15% RM (C7). Although RM has a lower reactivity than GGBS, the compressive strength of the hardened sample containing RM increased from the initial 1 d. It is the synergistic promotion effect between RM and GGBS, resulting in better mechanical properties.

5. Conclusion

(1) Successive additions of GGBS within 50% (C1–C5) led to reduced fluidity, increased pH value, and shortened setting time. The compressive strength increased first and then decreased, reaching the maximum when the dosage is 20% (C3). In C3, the fluidity, setting time, pH value, and 28 days compressive strength were 226 mm, 10 min, 7.04, and 15.6 MPa, respectively.

(2) Increasing the dosage of RM from 0% (C4) to 25% (C9) resulted in a prolonged setting time and increased pH value. The fluidity and compressive strength increased first and then decreased. The fluidity and strength value reached the maximum at a 15% dosage (C7). For C7, the fluidity, setting time, pH value, and 28 days compressive strength were 231 mm, 8 min, 7.85, and 16.8 MPa, respectively.

(3) GGBS can significantly improve the later stage strength (7 days, 28 days) and water resistance by the potential hydraulic property. It can react and improve the bonding performance in the matrix. RM has a smaller particle size than MP, making the microstructure denser by the pore-filling effect. Thus, the drying shrinkage is increased after adding GGBS, while it is decreased by adding RM.

(4) The MPC-based grouting material has a controllable short setting of 3–21 min, self-leveling fluidity above 200 mm, a near-neutral pH value, high early strength (1 day compressive strength of 5 MPa), minor drying shrinkage (one-tenth of OPC), and excellent water resistance (over 85%), which is much superior to traditional grouting materials.

Characterizing MPC-based grouting materials blended with high volume industrial wastes is important to the practical engineering of such materials. However, the present studies are not directly related to real engineering, and there are various other issues that need to be analyzed, e.g., the design of high-efficiency two-component grouting machine, the construction technology, and more investigations need to be carried out to verify the suitability of MAPC for field construction.
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