Characterization of the Ketosynthase and Acyl Carrier Protein Domains at the LnmI Nonribosomal Peptide Synthetase–Polyketide Synthase Interface for Leinamycin Biosynthesis
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ABSTRACT: Leinamycin (LNM) is biosynthesized by a hybrid nonribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS)—acyltransferase (AT)-less type 1 polyketide synthase (PKS). Characterization of LnmI revealed ketosynthase (KS)—acyl carrier protein (ACP)—KS domains at the NRPS–PKS interface. Inactivation of the KS domain or ACP domain in vivo abolished LNM production, and the ACP domain can be phosphopantetheinylated in vitro. The LnmI KS–ACP–KS architecture represents a new mechanism for functional crosstalk between NRPS and AT-less type 1 PKS in the biosynthesis of hybrid peptide–polyketide natural products.

Many clinically important medicines, such as antibiotics (erythromycin and daptomycin), antitumor drugs (bleomycin and epothilone), and immunosuppressants (cyclomycin and rapamycin), are biosynthesized by polyketide synthases (PKSs), nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs), or hybrid PKS–NRPSs, which have an assembly-line architecture with multifunctional domains organized into modules. Polyketide or peptide intermediates are attached to the acyl carrier protein (ACP) or peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) domains in each of the modules, where the polyketide or peptide chain elongation is catalyzed by a β-ketosynthase (KS) domain in PKSs or a condensation (C) domain in NRPSs. The remarkably efficient transfer of those peptide or polyketide intermediates between modules is mainly mediated by molecular recognition among the ACP, PCP, KS, or C domains or by the linkers, also known as docking domains, that facilitate communications for modules residing on separate proteins.

Leinamycin (LNM), a potent antitumor antibiotic produced by Streptomyces atroolivaceus S-140, is biosynthesized by a hybrid NRPS–acyltransferase (AT)-less type 1 PKS megasynthase featuring many unprecedented modular complexities. One of them is the presence of two KS domains (KS1 and KS2) in LnmI PKS module 3 at the LNM hybrid NRPS–PKS interface (Figure 1). Strikingly, the two KS domains are phylogenetically more similar to KS domains of modular type 1 PKS than those KS domains found at the hybrid NRPS–PKS interface (Figure S1 and Table S3). KS1 is characterized by a mutated catalytic triad (C2090-A2225-H2264), suggesting the lack of a decarboxylation function of a canonical KS domain (C=H−H). How this unique domain architecture at the interface of LNM NRPS–PKS megasynthase contributes to LNM biosynthesis, however, is unknown.

We now report that KS1 and KS2 together mediate the transfer of the peptidyl intermediate from LnmI NRPS module 2 to PKS module 3 at the interface of the LNM hybrid NRPS–PKS in LNM biosynthesis (Figure 1). We have further uncovered an additional ACP domain between KS1 and KS2 and established that KS1, KS2, and the newly discovered ACP1 are all essential for LNM biosynthesis. We finally propose that the KS–ACP–KS domain architecture of LnmI PKS module 3 characterized here represents a new hybrid NRPS–PKS interface for hybrid peptide–polyketide biosynthesis.

We first examined the role of KS1 and KS2 of LnmI PKS module 3 in LNM biosynthesis by site-specifically mutating the active-site residues C2090 of KS1 and C2824 and H2959 of KS2 to Ala in vivo [Figure S4 and the Supporting Information (SI)]. Thus, the lnmI KS1–KS2 locus was first replaced with an apramycin-resistant aac(3)IV gene cassette in S. atroolivaceus S-140 to generate the mutant strain SB3035. Plasmids pBS3118 (C2090A), pBS3119 (C2824A), and pBS3120 (H2959A) (Table S1) containing the designed point mutations were constructed in vitro following standard site-directed mutagenesis protocols (see the SI). Each of the mutant constructs was then introduced into the SB3035 strain by conjugation, first screening for the single-crossover mutants resistant to both apramycin and thioestrepton followed by serial transfer to isolate the double-crossover mutant strains sensitive to both antibiotics, to afford the mutant strains SB3036 (C2090A), SB3037 (H2959A), and SB3038 (C2824A) (Table S2). The genotypes of mutant strains SB3035, SB3036, SB3037, and SB3038 were confirmed by sequence analysis.
Southern analysis (Figure S4). The mutant strains SB3036, SB3037, and SB3038 were fermented under the standard conditions for LNM production, with the *S. atroolivaceus* S-140 wild-type strain as a control.  

Fermentation cultures were subjected to HPLC and electron-spray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis. LNM production was completely abolished in all three mutant strains SB3036, SB3037, and SB3038 (Figure 2A, panels I–III).

We next constructed an *lnmI* expression plasmid to complement the three mutant strains of KS1 and KS2 in trans (see the SI). Thus, a 14,756 bp DNA fragment containing the intact *lnmI* gene was placed under the control of the constitutively expressed *ErmE* promoter and subcloned into an integrative plasmid pSET152 to afford pBS3121 (Table S1). Introduction of pBS3121 into SB3036, SB3037, and SB3038 by conjugation, followed by selection of exconjugants resistant to apramycin, afforded the complementation strains SB3045, SB3046, and SB3047, respectively (Table S2). These strains were fermented under the standard LNM production conditions, with the *S. atroolivaceus* wild-type strain as a control, and the fermentation cultures were similarly analyzed by HPLC and ESI-MS. LNM production was restored in all three complementation strains (Figure 2A, panels IV–VI), unambiguously establishing that both KS1 and KS2 are essential for LNM biosynthesis. Taken together, these results establish that both KS1 and KS2 are involved in LNM biosynthesis, most likely catalyzing the peptidyl intermediate transfer (KS1) and elongation (KS2) at the *lnmI* hybrid NRPS–PKS interface from NRPS module 2 to PKS module 3 (Figure 1).

Inspired by the finding that both KS1 and KS2 are essential for LNM biosynthesis, we re-examined LnmI PKS module 3 closely and uncovered an additional ACP domain (i.e., ACP1) between KS1 and KS2, which was confirmed to be essential for LNM biosynthesis (Figure 1). Although ACP1 is indistinguishable phylogenetically from ACPs of canonical modular type I PKSs (Figure S3), ACP1 is very unusual, featuring two putative phosphopantetheine attachment motifs (highlighted in red), and the S2581 residue is experimentally established to be essential and sufficient for LNM biosynthesis.
The role of ACP1 in LNM biosynthesis was first studied through site-directed mutagenesis of the putative P-pant attachment sites in vivo \( (\text{Figure 1B}) \). The fact that both SB3039 \( (\text{S}2580, \text{S}2581) \) and SB3041 \( (\text{S}2580\text{A}2581-\text{A}2599\text{A}2600) \) are essential for LNM biosynthesis (\( \text{Figure 1B} \)).

We finally confirmed S2581 as the P-pant attachment site of ACP1 by phosphopantetheinylation selected recombinant ACP1 proteins in vitro using CoA in the presence of Sfp, a known promiscuous phosphopantetheinyl transferase from \( \text{Bacillus subtilis} \) \( (\text{see the SI}) \). Expression plasmids pBS3139 for the wild-type ACP1 domain \( (\text{S}2580\text{S}2581-\text{S}2599\text{S}2600) \) and pBS3143, pBS3141, pBS3142, and pBS3140 for four mutant variants, ACP1 \( (\text{D}2580\text{S}2581-\text{S}2599\text{S}2600) \), ACP1 \( (\text{S}2580D2581-\text{A}2599\text{S}2600) \), ACP1 \( (\text{S}2580\text{A}2581-\text{A}2599\text{A}2600) \), and ACP1 \( (\text{D}2580\text{A}2581-\text{A}2599\text{A}2600) \), were constructed \( (\text{Table S1}) \) and introduced into \( \text{Escherichia coli} \) BL21 (DE3). The wild-type and mutant variants of ACP1 were overproduced in their apo forms and purified as described in the methods. Under assay conditions, Sfp catalyzed rapid phosphopantetheinylation of apo-ACP1, quantitatively converting apo-ACP1 to holo-ACP1 in 15 min \( (\text{Table 1, entry 6}) \), while omitting Sfp or CoA in the assays led to no formation of detectable amounts of holo-TcmM. \( ^{4b} \) Compared with apo-TcmM, apo-ACP1 and its mutant variants are relatively poor substrates for Sfp, with only 12–33% conversion to the corresponding holo-ACPs even after prolonged incubation for 120 min \( (\text{entries 1–4}) \). This is not surprising considering the atypical P-pant attachment motif \( (\text{Figure 1B}) \). Among the four possible Ser residues, i.e., \( (\text{S}2580\text{S}2581) \) and \( (\text{S}2599\text{S}2600) \), within the two putative P-pant attachment motifs of ACP1, \( \text{S}2580\text{GVSST}2601 \), and \( \text{S}2578\text{GLSSR}2582 \), only one phosphopantetheinylation was observed, as evidenced by the observed 340 molecular mass increase in the resultant holo-ACP1 products. In agreement with the in vivo studies, the fact that ACP1 \( (\text{D}2580\text{S}2581-\text{A}2599\text{A}2600) \), but not ACP1 \( (\text{A}2580\text{A}2581-\text{S}2599\text{S}2600) \), was specifically phosphopantetheinylated in vitro conclusively established S2581 as the site of P-pant attachment \( (\text{Figure 1B}) \). Taken together, these results show that ACP1 is a novel ACP with an atypical P-pant attachment motif \( \text{[GLSSR]} \) that plays an essential role in mediating peptidyl transfer at the hybrid NRPS–PKS interface for hybrid peptide–polyketide biosynthesis \( (\text{Figure 1}) \).

Studies of intermediate channeling in modular PKSs, NRPSs, and hybrid PKS–NRPSs continue to reveal new mechanistic details of these remarkable megasynthases. \( ^{25} \) In this study, we discovered that the K1–ACPI–K2 domain of Lnm1l PKS module 3 at the Lnm1l NRPS–PKS interface is required for LNM biosynthesis. Site-directed mutagenesis of the critical residues of the active sites of the KS1, ACP1, and KS2 domains in vivo all abolished LNM production (e.g., SB3036 and SB3038, \( \text{Figure 2A, panels I and III} \) and SB3042, \( \text{Figure 2B, IV} \)), while mutation

### Table 1. In Vitro Phosphopantetheinylation of apo-ACP1 and Its Variants by Sfp upon ESI-MS Analysis

| apo-ACP variant | apo-ACP (SS-SS) | holo-ACP (SS-SS) |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| ACP1 (SS-SS)  | 19259/19258    | 19596/19598     | 16 |
| ACP1 (DS-SS)  | 19287/19286    | 19626/19626     | 33 |
| ACP1 (DS-AA)  | 19254/19254    | 19593/19594     | 25 |
| ACP1 (SS-AA)  | 19226/19226    | 19568/19566     | 12 |
| ACP1 (AA-DS)  | 19254/19254    | n.d.\(^{a}\)     | 0  |
| TcmM          | 12312/12312    | 12652/12652     | 100 |

\( ^{a} \)See Figure 3 for ACP1 and its mutant variants. \( ^{4b} \)Not detected.
biosynthetic machineries, e.g., chivosazol, rhizoxin, rhizopodin, characterized experimentally at the NRPS for hybrid peptide interface, in fact, is present in other hybrid peptide machinery for natural product structural diversity. Strategies to engineer hybrid peptide and polyketide natural products (Figure 1).3,9 The LnmI, represents a new general mechanism for functional its would increase our toolbox of acyltransferases for combinatorial strategies.5,9 The current study, to our knowledge, features the mutated catalytic triad [C-A-H], as exemplified by ChdK-S10,98 RhbK-S2,96 RzD-KS11,96 and CalC-KS7,96, consistent with their proposed role as acyltransferases to catalyze peptidyl transfer only (Table S3 and Figure S2). These findings therefore support the proposal that the KS–ACP–KS domain architecture at the NRPS–PKS interface, as exemplified by LnmI, represents a new general mechanism for functional crosstalk between NRPS and PKS in biosynthesis of hybrid peptide and polyketide natural products (Figure 1).3,9 The removal of the decarboxylation function from KSs by mutation of its first active-site His has been observed previously.10 These KSs are functionally equivalent to acyltransferases but catalyze acyl or peptidyl transfer between ACPs or PCPs, engineering of which would increase our toolbox of acyltransferases for combinatorial biosynthesis. Taken together, our findings should inspire new strategies to engineer hybrid peptide–polyketide biosynthetic machinery for natural product structural diversity.
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