Behavior of Plasma-doped Graphene upon High Temperature Vacuum Annealing
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Abstract

Herein, we present the behavior of plasma-doped graphene upon high-temperature vacuum annealing. An ammonia plasma-treated graphene sample underwent vacuum annealing for 1 h at temperatures ranging from 100 to 500 °C. According to Raman analysis, the structural healing of the plasma-treated sample is more pronounced at elevated annealing temperatures. The crystallite size of the plasma-treated sample increases from 13.87 to 29.15 nm after vacuum annealing. In addition, the doping level by plasma treatment reaches $2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and maintains a value of $1.6 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, even after annealing at 500 °C, indicating high doping stability. A relatively large decrease in the pyrrolic bonding components is observed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy as compared to other configurations, such as pyridinic and amino bindings, after the annealing. This study indicates that high-vacuum annealing at elevated temperatures provides a method for the structural reorganization of plasma-treated graphene without a subsequent decrease in doping level.
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I. Introduction

Due to its zero-bandgap character, it is necessary to modify the electronic properties of graphene, such as charge doping level, charge carrier mobility, and bandgap width, to realize extensive electronic applications [1,2]. Some strategies have been reported to control the physical properties of graphene through structural modification and chemical doping [3-5]. For example, Silva et al. reported structural graphitization and edge loop formation of graphene nanoribbons by high-temperature annealing above 2800 °C [4]. A layer-by-layer thinning of multilayered graphene via plasma treatment and consecutive annealing has been reported [5]. However, due to the difficulty of executing precise control over the graphene nanostructure, many studies use thermal- and plasma-based methodologies for physical property modification. Jiao et al. reported the reduction and nitrogen doping of graphene oxide by thermal treatment at 300-1100 °C, using a mixture of H$_2$ and NH$_3$ [6]. Li et al. also demonstrated nitrogen doping of graphene at 900 °C, using NH$_3$ [7]. Plasma doping has also been applied as a quick and low temperature process. Lin et al. demonstrated graphene doping using NH$_3$ plasma at room temperature [8]. Xie et al. also reported the quick hydrogenation of graphene using H$_2$ plasma [9]. However, the structural degradation caused by high energy species during plasma processing remains an inherent problem to overcome for the realization of an efficient, functional doping process and graphene-based device applications. It is very important to develop a method to preserve the structure of graphene during the doping process, or to restore the damaged carbon networks. This is because the performance of a graphene-based device is influenced by the formation of structural defects, when graphene is exposed to plasma or heat during device operation. To systematically investigate the behavior of structural healing and thermal stability of the plasma-doped graphene, plasma doping and subsequent vacuum annealing at elevated temperatures is performed.

II. Materials and methods

A highly crystalline graphene sample was obtained by mechanical exfoliation from kish graphite. The prepared graphene sample was exposed to ammonia plasma for nitrogen doping using parallel plate direct-current plasma system. The ammonia plasma was ignited at 0.3 Torr with an applied bias voltage of 450 V, and the exposure time was fixed to 10 s. The detail process of plasma doping is
described elsewhere [10]. After the plasma treatment, we subsequently purged the chamber twice with ambient Ar, below 10⁻⁶ Torr, using a turbomolecular pump. Then, the substrate was heated to the programmed annealing temperature (ranging between 100-500 °C) for 1 h. The chamber pressure was maintained below 10⁻⁶ Torr.

The plasma-doped and vacuum-annealed graphene was characterized using Raman scattering spectroscopy (Renishaw) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (ThermoVG, Sigma Probe, XPS) in the Central Laboratory of Kangwon National University [11]. For the Raman analysis, the spot size was 1-μm-diameter with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. The laser power was kept under 3.0 mW to avoid heating-induced damage. The XPS spectra were acquired using a monochromated AlKα X-ray source with a spot diameter of 400 μm. The surface topography was measured using AFM (Park Systems XE-70) in tapping mode. The scan rate was maintained in the range of 0.5-1 Hz.

III. Results and discussion

Raman scattering spectroscopy is a very useful tool to characterize not only the structural quality but also the chemical doping of graphene. In general, there are specific peaks in the Raman spectrum of graphene, namely the D-, G-, Dʹ- and 2D-bands at 1350, 1580, 1620 and 2675 cm⁻¹, respectively [12,13]. The D- and Dʹ-bands are related to structural defects in graphene and the G-band presents in-plane tangential vibration of sp² carbon atoms. Thus, the intensity ratio of the D- to G-bands (I_D/I_G) and Dʹ- to G-bands (I_Dʹ/I_G) can be used as an indicator of graphene crystallinity. The 2D-band, also called the G’-peak, is of second order to the D-band. This is a single Lorentzian peak in single layer graphene, and splits into four peaks in bilayer graphene, reflecting the evolution of the electron band structure. The number of graphene layers can be estimated by the intensity ratio of G- and 2D-bands (I_G/I_D), and also by the peak position of the 2D-band [12,13].

Figure 1(a) shows the Raman spectra for the as-fabricated and NH₃ plasma-treated graphene. The insert shows an optical microscope image of the as-fabricated graphene. (b) and (c) AFM images show surface morphology of the as-fabricated and plasma-treated graphene. Line profiles are inserted as dashed lines.

To investigate the effect of annealing temperature systematically, several graphene samples were plasma-doped and successively vacuum-annealed at different temperatures. Representative Raman spectra recorded from the same location are shown in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the existence of D- and Dʹ-bands is confirmed as well as the increase in the I_D/I_G and I_D/I_D values after plasma treatment. A three-stage model can be employed to predict the evolution of the graphite structures [14,15]. According to the model, the intensity ratio of I_D/I_G in the Raman spectra increases when the graphite structure transforms into a nanocrystal graphite structure during stage 1 (as is the case in this study). In addition, the crystallite size (Lₓ) of the structures can be estimated using Eq. 1 [15,16].

\[ Lₓ = \left( 2.4 \times 10^{-10} \right) \times \lambda ^{4} \times (I_G/I_D) \]  

where, \( \lambda \) is the excitation wavelength of the Raman laser. The variations of the I_D/I_G and I_D/I_D values estimated from Raman spectra in Fig. 2 are summarized in Figs. 3(a) and (b). The I_D/I_G and I_D/I_D values increase after the plasma treatment due to the structural defects induced by plasma ion bombardment. Then, the I_D/I_G and I_D/I_D values decrease after vacuum annealing. It is interesting that the reduction in the I_D/I_G and I_D/I_D values becomes greater as the annealing temperature increases. For instance, the I_D/I_G and I_D/I_D values for graphene annealed at 100 °C are 0.47 and 0.63, respectively, while the same values for graphene annealed at 500 °C decrease to 0.12 and 0.38, respectively. According to Eq. 1, \( Lₓ \) of the plasma-treated sample is...
13.87 nm and increases to 14.73 and 29.15 nm after vacuum annealing at 100 and 500 °C, respectively. This implies that the plasma-treated graphene might be healed structurally by high vacuum annealing and the higher annealing temperature would be suitable for structural reorganization of damaged graphene.

In addition to the structural damage and recovery, it is known that a change in G-band (Δω_G) and full width at half maximum of G-band (FWHM_G) are closely related to charge doping and structural change, respectively. These factors from the Raman spectra, Δω_G and FWHM_G have been adopted to estimate the level of charge-doping and annealing-induced structural recovery. Yan et al. also reported the upshift of ω_G and an increase in the FWHM_G in electrically-doped graphene [17]. The doping level can be calculated using the relationship between the Δω_G and the Fermi level (E_F) as follows:

\[
\hbar \omega_G - \hbar \omega_G^0 = \lambda \left| E_F \right| \frac{\hbar \omega_G}{4} \ln \left( \frac{2 \left| E_F \right| - \hbar \omega_G}{2 \left| E_F \right|} \right)
\]

(2)

Figure 4(a) displays the Raman spectra showing a magnified G-band of the as-fabricated, plasma-treated and vacuum-annealed graphene. The red-shift of the G-band and the decrease in the FWHM_G are observed after plasma treatment. Their quantitative variation is summarized in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). Specifically, the ω_G and FWHM_G of the as-fabricated graphene are 1582 and 15.1 cm⁻¹, respectively, with an average shift of 5.36 cm⁻¹ (Δω_G) and 4.40 cm⁻¹ (ΔFWHM_G) after plasma treatment. Based on Eq. 2, the
doping level of the plasma-treated graphene under optimum conditions can be estimated to be $2.2 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-2}$. This value is very similar to other doping results reported indicates the method used here is effective for graphene doping [18,19].

After vacuum annealing, it should be noted that the $\omega_G$ and FWHM$_G$ values are similar to the initial values of pristine graphene, implying de-doping of the doped species. However, based on the large difference in $\omega_G$ and FWHM$_G$ values between the pristine and annealed samples, it can be assumed that most of the doping effect remains after vacuum annealing. Interestingly, the $\Delta \omega_G$ increases and $\Delta$FWHM$_G$ decreases with increasing annealing temperature. For instance, $\Delta \omega_G$ gradually increases to 3.12, 4.32 and 4.78 cm$^{-1}$ after 100, 300 and 500°C annealing, respectively. In addition, $\Delta$FWHM$_G$ continually decreases to 3.71, 3.27 and 2.57 cm$^{-1}$ after 100, 300 and 500°C annealing, respectively. To sum up, it can be concluded that plasma-doped graphene can be structurally recovered, while maintain its doping effect, even after high temperature annealing at 500 °C.

To investigate the bonding configuration of the nitrogen atoms to the carbon network, an XPS analysis was performed using a graphene sample prepared via chemical vapor deposition, owing to the small size of exfoliated graphene [20]. There are subpeaks corresponding to graphitic N (401.7 eV), pyrrolic N (400.1 eV), amino groups (399.1 eV), and pyridinic N (398.5 eV), corresponding to nitrogen bonding to carbon networks. The N1s XPS peaks from the as-fabricated, plasma-treated, and vacuum-annealed graphene are presented in Fig. 5. For the plasma-doped graphene, the peaks for pyrrolic, pyridinic, and amino bonds are pronounced. Generally, it has been known that pyrrolic N is formed more easily damaged graphene than pyridinic and graphitic N because there are many dangling bonds of carbon atoms in the damaged graphene structure. Interestingly, the peak area for pyrrolic N is greatly reduced in contrast to the stable pyridinic component after vacuum annealing at 500 °C. This is direct evidence of the healing of damaged graphene structures due to plasma treatment. Furthermore, based on the theoretical results of Schiros et al. [21], the annealed graphene would be still electron-doped, as the pyridinic component acts as an electron donor. The doping level can also be estimated from the XPS spectra, through the intensity ratio of the N 1s peak to the C 1s peak. The intensity ratio of N 1s/C 1s after plasma treatment increases to 6 % and still maintains 5 % after the annealing, even at 500 °C, indicating the stability of the doping components.

### IV. Conclusions

The behavior of plasma-doped graphene on high temperature vacuum annealing has been demonstrated in this study. Graphene samples were vacuum annealed with ammonia plasma-treatment at elevated temperatures. It was found that the crystallite size of the plasma-treated samples increases after vacuum annealing and the degree of the structural healing is enhanced with increased annealing temperatures. The nitrogen doping level after annealing is similar to the plasma-doped sample, indicating the high doping stability. After vacuum annealing, the presence of a pyrrolic binding component greatly decreases with respect to the other configurations. Finally, it can be concluded that the plasma-doped graphene can be structurally restored by high vacuum annealing at elevated temperatures, without the loss of the functional doping effect.
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