Analysis of microbial diversity in apple vinegar fermentation process through 16s rDNA sequencing
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Abstract

Based on SPME-GC-MS analysis, it could be found that the production of acetic acid, phenethyl acetate, and isoamyl acetate gradually increased in the apple vinegar fermentation broth with the fermentation time. Consequently, in order to systematically explore the dynamic changes of microbial diversity and metabolites in the process of apple vinegar fermentation, 16S rDNA were sequenced and analyzed in this work. The present results showed that bacterial diversity was rich and exhibited a certain variation during the dynamic fermentation process of apple vinegar. Furthermore, Lactococcus and Oenococcus were the predominant bacteria in the pre-fermentation (alcoholic fermentation) of apple vinegar, while the dominant bacteria in the middle and late fermentation stages (acetic acid fermentation) were Lactococcus and Acetobacter. In addition, during the whole fermentation process of apple vinegar, Lactococcus was the most dominant bacteria, Oenococcus was the unique species in the stage of alcohol fermentation, and Acetobacter increased rapidly in the stage of acetic acid fermentation. In conclusion, our findings provided a theoretical basis for the processing technology of apple vinegar fermentation, and a theory evidence for the safety and health assessment of apple vinegar.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Currently, healthy and safe fruit vinegar beverage is more and more favored by consumers with the improvement of people's living standards. Furthermore, apple vinegar was produced with apple juice through alcohol fermentation and acetic acid fermentation. It is a low-cost and good flavor acid seasoning fruit vinegar beverage with high nutritional values. Additionally, previous works reported that apple vinegar has various potential pharmacological functions, such as antifungal properties, oral inflammation improvement (Mota, de Castro, de Araújo Oliveira, & de Oliveira Lima, 2015), hyperlipidemia prevention (Budak et al., 2011), disaccharide activity inhibition, and diabetes reduction. Studies have also shown that many functions of fruit vinegar drinks closely related to the microbial diversity in the fermentation process.

The 16S rDNA, a gene encoding 16S rRNA (a subunit of ribosomal RNA), includes conserved regions and hypervariable regions. The conserved regions are not significantly different among microbial species, while the hypervariable regions showed species specificity which altered with the difference of affiliation. At present, 16S rDNA sequencing technology has been widely used in microbial diversity...
research on animal flora, such as nematodes (Razia, Karthikraja, Padmanaban, Chellapandi, & Sivaramakrishnan, 2011), pigs (Qin et al., 2016), planthoppers (Yeh, Yang, & Hui, 2005), shrimps (Li, Xu, & Kou, 2014), fish (Pandey & Rajagopal, 2016), cattle and sheep (Gamal, Ahmed, Ahmed, & Teleb, 2016), bacterial and fungal pathogens, such as Cucumber wilt (Du et al., 2017), bacteremia (Alfonso et al., 2013), otitis media (Pritt & Jelena, 2012), as well as soil microbial (Rana, Nidhal, & Abed, 2014), acid mine (Xie et al., 2011), and biological desulfurization (Lv et al., 2016). Besides, 16S rDNA is also considered as an important indicator for the classification of microorganisms in fermented foods such as industrial vinegar (Trček, Lipoglavšek, & Avguštin, 2016; Trček, Mahnič, & Rupnik, 2016), Chinese cereal vinegar (Li et al., 2016), grain vinegar (Wang, Zhang, & Gui, 2015), coconut vinegar (Mohamad, Yeap, & Ky, 2017), wine-soaked vinegar (Trček et al., 2016, 2016), apple vinegar (Štornik, Skok, & Trček, 2016), and other food microbial fermentation products. However, there are few researches about 16S rDNA analysis performed on the microbial diversity during apple vinegar fermentation.

In the present study, we constructed a high-throughput sequencing library by 16S rDNA to identify the diversity of microbial flora during the dynamic fermentation of apple vinegar. Our results provided a theoretical basis for the processing technology of apple vinegar fermentation, and a theory evidence for the safety and health assessment of apple vinegar.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Materials and strains

Fuji apples used in this research collected from Qingyang, Gansu province, China. Yeast strains *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CICC1750 and acetic acid bacteria *Acetobacter pasteurianus* CICC20056 were purchased from China microbial culture preservation Center (Beijing, China).

2.2 | Media preparation

Potato medium: potato 200 g, glucose 20 g, tap water 1,000 ml, pH 6; Liquid medium: glucose 1%, yeast powder 1%, anhydrous alcohol 3%, pH 4.5; Solid medium: glucose 1%, yeast powder 1%, absolute alcohol 3%, agar 2%, pH 4.5.

**FIGURE 1** Physiological and biochemical detection during fermentation. (a) The relationship between alcohol concentration and concentration of acetic acid during the fermentation of apple vinegar. (b) The concentration of ethyl acetate during the fermentation of apple vinegar. (c) The concentration of isomyl acetate during the fermentation of apple vinegar. Same a-f indicates that there is no significant difference between different samples during the fermentation process (P > 0.05), while the difference is significant (P < 0.05).
2.3 | Bacterial activation

The dissolved bacteria suspension was transferred to a tube containing 4–5 ml liquid medium, mix, and take 100 μl transferred to a solid medium, a generation of bacteria to be the extension of training time, transfer to 2–3 generations to restore vitality.

2.4 | Apple juice processing

Fuji apples were crushed after washing, and then, the apple juice was prepared and subsequently prepared by 0.04% pectinase. Then, the apple juice was digested at 45°C for 1 hr and sterilized at 90°C for 1.0 min.

2.5 | Apple vinegar producing technology

After sterilization, the apple juice was filtrates. For the alcohol fermentation stage, 8% activated yeast liquids were added into 1,000 ml apple juice. Then, the mixture samples were fermented statically at 24°C. Then, the alcohol fermentation was stopped until the alcohol concentration was no longer changed (the alcohol content was determined as described in the following part of 2.5), and the acetic acid fermentation was started. The 10% activated acetic acid bacteria solution was added and shaking cultured with 120 rpm/min at 28°C, and the acetic acid fermentation was stopped until the concentration of acetic acid kept stable (the acetic acid content was determined as described in the following part of 2.5).

After 8 days of alcohol fermentation and 8 days of acetic acid concentration, the fermented fluids were filtrated and sterilized. Subsequently, the sterilized fermented fluids were placed statically in airtight container for approximately 7 days to afford the apple vinegar.

2.6 | Alcohol and acetic acid determination using SPME-GC-MS assay

Contents of alcohol and acetic acid were determined by using headspace solid phase micro-extraction gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (SPME-GC-MS) assays according to the method reported by Wang, Wang, Liu, Liu, and Fan, (2012). Briefly, volatile substances including alcohol and acetic acid were extracted by a DVB/CAR/PDMS probe of the TriPlus-RSH-Autosampler-SPME system. A DB-WAX capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) was used for the sample separation, and the temperature program carried out was as follows: the initial temperature was 40°C for 2 min, which was increased to 180°C at 5°C/min; then, the temperature increased to 230°C at 15°C/min. Helium (99.999%) was used as carrier gas kept at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min. The split rate was set as 40:1, and inlet volume was set as 1.0 μl. Electron impact ionization (EI) was used, and the ion energy was set as 70 eV with the mass range scanned was 33.00–350.00 u in full-scan acquisition mode. Alcohol and acetic acid were identified by comparing the mass spectra with the standard references and quantified using internal standard method.

2.7 | MetaVx™ library construction and Illumina MiSeq sequencing

High-throughput sequencing library construction and sequencing based on Illumina MiSeq platform was carried out by GENEWIZ Corporation (Suzhou, China). DNA samples were tested for concentration using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer, and a sequencing library was constructed using the MetaVx™ library construction kit. Using 30–50 ng of DNA as a template, the PCR primers used were “CCTACGGRBGCASCCKVRVGAAT” sequence and a downstream primer comprising the “GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAATCC” sequence, and two highly variable regions including V3 and V4 on

| Sample | Nucleic acid concentration | Reads | Nochimera | Sample | Nucleic acid concentration | Reads | Nochimera |
|--------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|
| CK_1   | 4.520                     | 119476| 53059     | S4_1   | 0.458                     | 223438| 101334    |
| CK_2   | 0.840                     | 152638| 67470     | S4_2   | 0.366                     | 107226| 47216     |
| CK_3   | 0.418                     | 137928| 60832     | S4_3   | 0.380                     | 96456 | 42367     |
| S1_1   | 3.100                     | 162776| 73809     | S5_1   | 0.458                     | 153152| 68445     |
| S1_2   | 2.040                     | 114578| 50808     | S5_2   | 0.624                     | 108450| 47603     |
| S1_3   | 3.940                     | 109658| 48150     | S5_3   | 0.386                     | 110232| 48772     |
| S2_1   | 0.610                     | 139038| 60656     | S6_1   | 0.120                     | 125710| 56283     |
| S2_2   | 0.542                     | 111092| 48110     | S6_2   | 12.800                    | 198876| 91673     |
| S2_3   | 1.010                     | 95896 | 41801     | S6_3   | 11.100                    | 155922| 71576     |
| S3_1   | 0.398                     | 171454| 75488     |        |                           |       |           |
| S3_2   | 0.390                     | 131512| 58123     |        |                           |       |           |
| S3_3   | 0.510                     | 138950| 61216     |        |                           |       |           |
the 16S rDNA of the prokaryote were amplified, and the end of the PCR product of 16S rDNA Index linker for NGS sequencing. Library quality was detected using an Agilent 2100 bio-analyzer, and library concentrations were detected by a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer. After hybridization of DNA libraries, 2 × 300 bp double-ended sequencing (PE) was performed by Illumina MiSeq and the sequence information was read by MiSeq’s own MiSeq Control Software (MCS).

2.8 Biological information analysis

Based on the OTU analytical results, Shannon, Chao1, and other α-diversity indices were analyzed for each sample separately to obtain the information of species richness and evenness of each sample.

2.9 Statistical analysis

The experiments were repeated a minimum of three times. All data were expressed as means ± SD. Statistical differences between control and treated groups were evaluated using Student’s t test, and differences between groups were considered statistically significant at p value <0.05.

| ID    | Taxonomy                                                                 |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OTU01 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Lactobacillales; f__Lactobacillaceae; g__Lactobacillus; s__Lactobacillus_farraginis |
| OTU02 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Gammaproteobacteria; o__Enterobacteriales; f__Enterobacteriaceae |
| OTU03 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Lactobacillales; f__Lactobacillaceae; g__Lactobacillus; s__Lactobacillus_plantarum |
| OTU04 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Lactobacillales; f__Lactobacillaceae; g__Lactobacillus; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU05 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Alphaproteobacteria; o__Rhodospirillales; f__Acetobacteriaceae; g__Acetobacter; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU06 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Lactobacillales; f__Leuconostocaceae; g__Oenococcus; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU07 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Gammaproteobacteria; o__Enterobacteriales; f__Enterobacteriaceae; g__Escherichia-Shigella; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU08 | k__Bacteria |
| OTU09 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Lactobacillales; f__Lactobacillaceae; g__Lactobacillus; s__Lactobacillus_casei |
| OTU10 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Gammaproteobacteria; o__Enterobacteriales; f__Enterobacteriaceae |
| OTU11 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Gammaproteobacteria; o__Enterobacteriales; f__Enterobacteriaceae |
| OTU12 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Lactobacillales; f__Lactobacillaceae; g__Lactobacillus; s__Lactobacillus_salivarius |
| OTU13 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Betaproteobacteria; o__Burkholderiales; f__Comamonadaceae; g__Varioroxa; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU14 | k__Bacteria; p__Firmicutes; c__Bacilli; o__Bacillales; f__Bacillaceae; g__Bacillus |
| OTU15 | k__Bacteria; p__Gemmationadetes; c__SO134_terrestrial_group; o__uncultured_Gemmationadetes_bacterium; f__uncultured_Gemmationadetes_bacterium; g__uncultured_Gemmationadetes_bacterium; s__uncultured_Gemmationadetes_bacterium |
| OTU16 | k__Bacteria; p__Chlorobi; c__Chloriobiales; o__Chloriobiales; f__OPB56 |
| OTU17 | k__Bacteria; p__Actinobacteria; c__Actinobacteria; o__Frankiales; f__Sporichthyaceae; g__hgcI_clade |
| OTU18 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Betaproteobacteria; o__Burkholderiales; f__Burkholderiaceae; g__Limonobacter |
| OTU19 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Betaproteobacteria; o__Methylphilales; f__Methylphilaceae; g__Candidatus_Methylomurimax; s__uncultured_bacterium |
| OTU20 | k__Bacteria; p__Fusobacteria; c__Fusobacteriia; o__Fusobacteriales; f__Fusobacteriaceae; g__Cetobacterium |
| OTU21 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Gammaproteobacteria; o__Pseudomonadales; f__Moraxellaceae; g__Acinetobacter |
| OTU22 | k__Bacteria; p__Bacteroidetes; c__Flavobacteria; o__Flavobacteriales; f__Flavobacteriaceae; g__Chryseobacterium; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU23 | k__Bacteria; p__Actinobacteria; c__Thermoleophilia; o__Solirubrobacterales |
| OTU24 | k__Bacteria; p__Verrucomicrobia; c__Opitutae; o__Opitutales; f__Opitutaceae; g__Opitutus; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU25 | k__Bacteria; p__Bacteroidetes; c__Flavobacteria; o__Flavobacteriales; f__Flavobacteriaceae; g__Myroides; s__uncultured_bacterium |
| OTU26 | k__Bacteria; p__Bacteroidetes; c__Flavobacteria; o__Flavobacteriales; f__Flavobacteriaceae; g__Acinetobacter |
| OTU27 | k__Bacteria; p__Proteobacteria; c__Alphaproteobacteria; o__Rickettsiales; f__Rickettsiales_Incertae_Sedis; g__Candidatus_Finniella; Ambiguous_taxa |
| OTU28 | k__Bacteria; p__Bacteroidetes; c__Bacteroidetes_Incertae_Sedis; o__Order_III; f__BlgI5; g__uncultured_bacterium; s__uncultured_bacterium |
| OTU29 | k__Bacteria; p__Bacteroidetes; c__Sphingobacteriia; o__Sphingobacteriales; f__NS11-12_marine_group; g__uncultured_bacterium; s__uncultured_bacterium |
3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Results of biochemical and physiological factors assay during apple vinegar fermentation

Alcohol and acetic acid contents were determined in different periods during the fermentation of apple vinegar by CK (0d), S1 (3d), S2 (7d), S3 (9d), S4 (10d), S5 (12d), and S6 (14d). Results indicated that the highest alcohol content appeared at S1 and then declined sharply while the acetic acid concentration gradually increased and reached peak at S6, which showed that the alteration of alcohol concentration and acetic acid concentration showed a negative correlation during the fermentation of apple vinegar (Figure 1a). This phenomenon mainly caused by the interaction of various microorganisms in the process of apple vinegar fermentation, which regulated alcohol fermentation and acetic acid fermentation of the entire vinegar production phase by turning glucose into alcohol at first, and then oxidizing alcohol into acetic acid. We also found that phenylethyl acetate (Figure 1b) and iso-amyl acetate (Figure 1c) production was significantly increased with the extension of fermentation time, indicating that S6 contains the most characteristic aroma components and flavors.

TABLE 3  | Collation of alpha diversity results

| Sample | Chao 1 | Shannon | Simpson | GC | Sample | Chao 1 | Shannon | Simpson | GC |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|-----|--------|--------|---------|---------|-----|
| CK_1   | 8.0    | 0.033   | 0.006   | 1   | S4_1   | 6.0    | 1.127   | 0.427   | 1   |
| CK_2   | 3.0    | 0.006   | 0.001   | 1   | S4_2   | 6.0    | 0.968   | 0.355   | 1   |
| CK_3   | 5.0    | 0.009   | 0.001   | 1   | S4_3   | 6.0    | 1.218   | 0.458   | 1   |
| S1_1   | 14.0   | 1.212   | 0.520   | 1   | S5_1   | 8.0    | 1.101   | 0.406   | 1   |
| S1_2   | 13.0   | 1.233   | 0.527   | 1   | S5_2   | 8.0    | 1.069   | 0.398   | 1   |
| S1_3   | 14.0   | 1.219   | 0.524   | 1   | S5_3   | 12.0   | 0.968   | 0.354   | 1   |
| S2_1   | 8.0    | 1.332   | 0.526   | 1   | S6_1   | 7.0    | 0.862   | 0.300   | 1   |
| S2_2   | 10.0   | 1.160   | 0.461   | 1   | S6_2   | 22.0   | 1.152   | 0.354   | 1   |
| S2_3   | 8.0    | 1.359   | 0.558   | 1   | S6_3   | 20.5   | 0.903   | 0.273   | 1   |
| S3_1   | 7.0    | 0.994   | 0.378   | 1   |        |        |         |         |    |
| S3_2   | 15.0   | 0.865   | 0.314   | 1   |        |        |         |         |    |
| S3_3   | 6.0    | 0.959   | 0.362   | 1   |        |        |         |         |    |

GC: good coverage.
3.2 | **16S rDNA sequencing**

Samples of CK, S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6 in the fermentation process of apple vinegar were used to construct the 16S rDNA clone library for bioinformatics analysis. V3 and V4 regions of 16S rDNA gene during the fermentation of apple vinegar were sequenced and performed data amount and quality optimization statistics (Table 1). All sequences were clustered into 29 OTU, and all the OTU were classified as bacterial community by using Qiime (1.9.1) and Vsearch (1.9.6) analysis software (Table 2).

3.3 | **Species annotation**

To obtain the taxonomic information of OTU, a representative sequence was selected for each OTU, and the representative sequence was annotated by species using RDP classifier. Then, the community composition of each sample was obtained by Qiime (1.9.1) software analysis. The result showed that the dominant bacteria in the dynamic fermentation of apple vinegar are *Lactococcus*, *Oenococcus* and *Acetobacter*. Among them, there are obvious differences in the...
composition of microbial community between the control group and the treatment group; however, there is also certain regularity (Figure 2). Compared to control CK, the main bacterial species in treatment groups S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6 was Lactococcus, and its number tended gently with the increase in fermentation time, which showed that Lactococcus is the key microorganisms in the fermentation process of apple vinegar. However, Oenococcus, uniquely contained at S1, is also the dominant bacterium, indicating that the early fermentation of apple vinegar belongs to alcoholic fermentation. There is Acetobacter with strong acid-producing ability in S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6 samples, which quantity increased sharply and then decreased slightly with the prolongation of fermentation time. Acetobacter was multiplied in the middle of acetic acid fermentation, indicated that it was the secondary dominant bacterium in the fermentation of apple vinegar. Specially, the species in S6 was the most abundant; which indicated that there are many kinds of microorganisms in the late fermentation of acetic acid, which is of great significance to the unique taste and scent of apple vinegar.

3.4 Sample complexity analysis

In community ecology, α-diversity is mainly concerned with the single sample diversity analysis, which can reflect the number of species in the microbial communities. The species richness and diversity of environmental communities can be estimated by the analysis of Chao1, Shannon, Simpson, and Good’s coverage indices (Table 3). Compared with the control group, the Chao1 index of the S6 sample of apple vinegar was significantly higher than that of the other samples, while the Simpson index was significantly lower than that of the other samples, indicating that the microbial community differed greatly in the later stage of apple vinegar fermentation. The Shannon index of sample S2 was significantly higher than that of other samples, indicating that there was a great difference in microbial community in sample S2. These results were consistent with the results of subordinate level classification statistics and species distribution heat map analysis. The Good’s coverage index of all the samples is 1, that is, the coverage of the sample reaches 100%, indicating that the coverage of the sample library is very large, and the probability that the sample has not been detected in this sequence is very low, which indicates that this sequencing library has very good representation.

3.5 Rarefaction curve

The rarefaction curve is widely used to determine whether sample size is adequate to estimate species richness. The results showed that as the depth of sequencing increases, the number of OTUs increases and the rarefaction curve tends to be flat and eventually reached the plateau which sequencing data can reflect the dynamic process of apple vinegar bacterial diversity (Figure 3).

3.6 Significant analysis of differences in the structure of community groups

Significant difference analysis of species composition among groups could be performed according to the community abundance data.
of different groups, and the strict statistical method can be used to
detect the classification of abundance difference between the two
microbial communities. The multiple hypothesis tests and false dis-
ccovery of rare and the frequency data rate (FDR) analysis can assess
the significance of the observed differences. From the Metastats
difference map (Figure 4), we know that there are significant differ-
ences in the abundance of Lactococcus, Oenococcus and Acetobacter
among the dominant species in all the samples compared with the
control group. There are also insignificant differences in abundance,
which is consistent with the results of species distribution heat map.

3.7 | Multi-sample comparative analysis

Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) method is a data anal-
ysis method that simplifies the research object in multidimensional
space to location, analysis and classification in low-dimensional
space while preserving the original relationship between objects.
Results indicated that the difference became larger with decreasing
of the similarity. S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6 samples are almost stacked to-
gether, indicating that the similarity between them is higher and the
difference is smaller. It shows that NMDS can accurately reflect the
degree of difference between samples when stress < 0.2 (Figure 5a).

Based on the Bray-Curtis distance matrix, samples were clus-
tered using UPGMA to analyze microbial community differences.
We found that all samples were copolymerized into two catego-
ries (Figure 5b). The first category is the control CK group, and the
second category includes alcohol fermentation process of S1 and
S2 and acetic acid fermentation process S3, S4, S5, and S6. Among
the second category, S1 is clustered alone, indicating that the mi-
croorganisms diversity in the pre-alcoholic fermentation are rela-
tively high compared with other fermentation stages, and S2 was
also clustered together, indicating that microbial diversity in the late
stage of alcoholic fermentation was larger than that in the acetic
fermentation stage. S2 and S6 are clustered together, indicating that
the microorganisms in the group have low similarity and large
difference. However, the branches in S4 and S5 groups are slightly
interspersed, indicating that there is a high similarity between the
microbial communities in acetic acid fermentation medium with a
small difference.

4 | CONCLUSION

The present results of SPME-GC-MS showed that the produc-
tion of acetic acid, phenethyl acetate, and isoamyl acetate in the
apple vinegar fermentation broth gradually increased with the
fermentation time of apple vinegar. Analysis of microbial diversity
in apple vinegar fermentation process, which performed through
16S rDNA high-throughput sequencing, showed that the bacterial
diversity of apple vinegar during the dynamic fermentation pro-
cess is rich and has some changes. Lactococcus and Oenococcus
were the predominant bacteria in the early stage of apple vinegar
fermentation (alcoholic fermentation). The number of Lactococcus
tended to be gentle after the rapid increase with the prolonga-
tion of fermentation. The main dominant bacteria in the middle
and late stages of fermentation (acetic acid fermentation) were
Lactococcus Acetobacter. In addition, the number of Lactococcus
and Acetobacter decreased slightly after a sharp increase with the
prolongation of fermentation. These findings explained the po-
tential reasons of the gradual increase in acetic acid, phenethyl
acetate, and isoamyl acetate during the dynamic fermentation of
apple vinegar. Besides, we think the main reason might be that a
variety of microorganisms synergistically formed the unique taste
and flavor of the fermented vinegar products. In conclusion, our
present study systematically investigated the microbial diversity
during the dynamic fermentation of apple vinegar and provided
some theoretical basis for the health and safety evaluation of the
vinegar and its products.
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