The tendons unite and transmit the strength of the muscles to the bones, allowing movement dexterity, the distribution of the strength of the limbs to the digits, and an improved muscle performance for a wide range of locomotor activities. Tissue differentiation and maturation of the structures involved in locomotion are completed during the juvenile stage; however, few studies have investigated the ontogenetic variation of the musculoskeletal-tendinous system. We ask whether all those integrated tissues and limb structures growth synchronically between them and along with body length. We examined the ontogenetic variation in selected muscles, tendons and bones of the forelimbs in seventy-seven specimens belonging to seven anuran species of different clades and of three age categories, and investigate the relative growth of the forelimb musculoskeletal-tendinous structures throughout ontogeny. Ten muscles and nine tendons and their respective large bones (humerus and radioulna) were removed intact, and their length was measured and analyzed through a multivariate approach of allometry. We obtained an allometry coefficient, which indicates how the coefficient departures from isometry as well as allometric trends. Our data suggest that along with the post-metamorphic ontogeny, muscles tend to elongate proportionally to bone length, with a positive allometric trend. On the contrary, tendons show a negative allometric growth trend. Only two species show different patterns: *Rhinella granulosa* and *Physalaemus biligonigerus*, with an isometric and positive growth of muscles and bones, and most tendons being isometric.
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Abstract
The tendons unite and transmit the strength of the muscles to the bones, allowing movement dexterity, the distribution of the strength of the limbs to the digits, and an improved muscle
performance for a wide range of locomotor activities. Tissue differentiation and maturation of
the structures involved in locomotion are completed during the juvenile stage; however, few
studies have investigated the ontogenetic variation of the musculoskeletal-tendinous system. We
ask whether all those integrated tissues and limb structures growth synchronically between them
and along with body length. We examined the ontogenetic variation in selected muscles, tendons
and bones of the forelimbs in seventy-seven specimens belonging to seven anuran species of
different clades and of three age categories, and investigate the relative growth of the forelimb
musculoskeletal-tendinous structures throughout ontogeny. Ten muscles and nine tendons and
their respective large bones (humerus and radioulna) were removed intact, and their length was
measured and analysed through a multivariate approach of allometry. We obtained an allometry
coefficient, which indicates how the coefficient departures from isometry as well as allometric
trends. Our data suggest that along with the post-metamorphic ontogeny, muscles tend to
elongate proportionally to bone length, with a positive allometric trend. On the contrary, tendons
show a negative allometric growth trend. Only two species show different patterns: Rhinella
granulosa and Physalaemus biligonigerus, with an isometric and positive growth of muscles and
bones, and most tendons being isometric.
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Introduction

The musculotendinous system is particularly active in the general limb movements. The tendons
unite and transmit the strength of the muscles to the bones, allowing movement dexterity, and the
distribution of the strength of the limbs to the digits (Kardong, 2002). The release of the elastic
energy of muscular aponeuroses and ligaments amplifies the power and reduces muscle work
The capacity for the differential jump between frog species is related to the relative amount of musculature of the hindlimb and the use of the energy stored in tendons and ligaments (Emerson, 1978). Further, tendons improve muscle performance for a wide range of locomotor activities (Roberts, 2002).

During ontogeny, the characteristics of muscle architecture and connective tissue vary according to the body length increase, and to the increase of daily activity functional demands. Thus, many of the evolutionary and developmental transformations in structures related to the locomotor function in anurans occur during larval stages and metamorphosis (Ročkova & Roček, 2005; Púgener & Maglia, 2009; Manzano et al., 2013; Fabrezi et al., 2014). Studies on anuran ontogeny reveal that locomotor modes (walking, jumping, and swimming) are achieved before the acquisition of the pelvis-sacral-urostil complex coordination, and the hindlimbs are acting as a unit (Fabrezi et al., 2014). Although metamorphosis has been considered as the period in which the most critical anatomical characteristics for adult locomotion are developed (for example, those related to girdles and limbs; Fabrezi et al., 2014), it is known that tissue differentiation and maturation of the structures involved in locomotion are completed during the juvenile stage (Vera, Ponssa & Abdala, 2015).

There are numerous studies on anuran ontogeny (Hanken & Hall, 1984; De Sá, 1988; Hall & Larsen, 1988; Banbury & Maglia, 2006; Handrigan & Wassersug, 2007; Handrigan, Haas & Wassersug, 2007; Manzano et al., 2013; among many others); and on the relationship between different ontogenetic trajectories and modes of life (Haas, 1999; Haas & Richards, 1998; Ročková & Roček, 2005; Púgener & Maglia, 2009; Manzano et al., 2013; Fabrezi et al., 2014; Soliz & Ponssa, 2016). However, considerably less attention has been given to the changes occurring through juveniles and metamorphic stages (Ponssa & Vera Candioti, 2012). Juveniles
of most species must maneuver in the same environment and avoid the same predators as adults, but they undergo ontogenetic changes that allow them to sprint and jump almost as fast and far as adults. The allometric changes in juveniles include relatively longer limbs, muscular forces, and relatively greater contractile speeds and higher muscular mechanical advantage (Carrier, 1995; Ponssa & Vera Candioti, 2012).

Several researchers found that subtle structural differences in the proportion of hindlimbs would facilitate functional diversity, allowing for wide-ranging exploitation of environments (Duellman & Trueb, 1994; Emerson, 1978; 1979; 1983; 1985; Emerson & De Jongh, 1980; Nauwelaerts, Ramsay & Aerts, 2007). Ontogenetic processes have been considered responsible of the morphological variability among species and could have a profound impact on the shape of morphological structures (Thompson, 1942; Gould, 1977; Alberch, Gould & Wake, 1979; Calder, 1984; Schmidt-Nielsen, 1984; Emerson & Bramble, 1993; Reilly, Wiley & Meinhardt, 1997; Vukov et al., 2018). However, few studies have investigated the ontogenetic variation of the musculotendinous system, which could be responsible for generating and transmitting force to produce and control body movements. This active force is produced by the muscle fibers and is transmitted to the bones through aponeuroses and tendons (Biewener, 1998; Böl, Leichsenring & Siebert, 2017).

Here, we examined the ontogenetic variation in selected muscles, tendons, and bones of the forelimbs in seven anuran species. Our main goal was to investigate the relative growth of the forelimb musculoskeletal-tendinous structures throughout ontogeny. All the ontogenetic stages considered here belong to the fully functional category (Muntz, 1976), implying that all tissues and limb structures are integrated. We ask whether all those integrated tissues and limb structures growth synchronically between them and along with body length, and hypothesize that
our data will show a general allometric pattern of increased growth rate with larger body length.

The study of the effect of the ontogeny onto the longitudinal growth of the musculoskeletal-tendinous structures of frogs represents a starting point to a more global analysis considering other variables such as volume or cross-sectional areas.

**Materials and Methods**

The right forelimb of seventy-seven specimens belonging to six species encompassing different clades of the anuran phylogeny (Duellman et al. 2016 and Pyron and Wiens, 2011) were dissected (Table 1). Then, 10 muscles and 9 tendons, and their respective large bones (humerus and radioulna) (Table 2) were removed intact, and their length was measured (Fig. 1). The specimens were staged in three estimated categories according to Gosner (1960): metamorphic 46 (2); juvenil (3); and adult (4). Dissections of the anatomical traits were performed between the origin and insertion points under a binocular microscope (Nikon SMZ645), and were measured in mm with digital callipers (±0.01 mm; Mitutoyo CD-15B, Mitutoyo Corp., Kure, Japan). When referring to muscles, abbreviation "m." before muscle names was added; otherwise names refer to tendons associated with those muscles (Table 1). The terminology used follows Přikryl et al. (2009), Abdala & Diogo (2010), Diogo & Ziermann (2014). Data of muscles measurements, body length and number of individuals used per species are detailed as Table S1. All the examined specimens are deposited in systematic collections, and listed in Table 1.

**Statistical Analysis**

To estimate the scaling of muscles and tendons throughout postnatal ontogeny of the forelimb we performed a multivariate allometric tests based on the generalized allometric equation proposed
by Jolicoeur (1963). We performed a principal component analysis (PCA) to obtain the 1st PC eigenvector that expresses the scaling relationships among all variables with the latent size regarded as a latent variable affecting all measured variables simultaneously (Giannini, Abdala & Flores, 2004; Giannini et al., 2010; Segura & Prevosti, 2012). This eigenvector is extracted from a variance-covariance matrix of log_{10}-transformed variables and scaled to unity (Jolicoeur, 1963). The significance of multivariate allometry coefficients was tested using a resampling strategy based on jackknife. Each specimen was removed from the sample at a time, generating n pseudovalues to calculate confidence intervals (CIs) for the original coefficients (Giannini et al., 2004; Flores, Giannini & Abdala., 2006). If the interval excluded an expected value of isometry, the variable was considered positively or negatively allometric. For all multivariate coefficients of allometry, the expected value of isometry, which depends only on the number of variables (p), is calculated as $1/p^{0.5}$ (0.21) for our set of 22 variables).Trimming the largest and smallest m pseudovalues (with m=1) for each variable may significantly decrease the standard deviations calculated under jack-knife, and allow for more accurate allometric estimations (Manly, 1997; Giannini et al., 2004). Here, untrimmed and trimmed calculations are reported, but the chosen results are those with either lower average standard deviation, or lower bias (with the latter defined as the difference between observed and jackknifed allometry coefficient; Giannini et al., 2004).

Results

Individual values of the analysed morphological variables are shown in Table S1. Scaling analyses describing ontogenetic growth in length and width of forelimb muscles and tendons in seven frog species are shown in Table 3. Results of allometry multivariate analyses are given in
Table 3. We report untrimmed (m=0) as well as trimmed (m=1) calculations of confidence intervals, opting for the results with lower average standard deviation or bias, trimmed with CI 95% which combines the conservative safety of interval and estimated bias in these analyses.

**Musculoskeletal-tendinous allometry in *Rhinella arenarum***

Trends obtained with untrimmed and trimmed values differed only in relation to five variables: radioulna length (RUL), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), humeralis medialis tendon (HmedT) and m. coracoradialis (C). The greatest departure was observed in two variables, m. flexor digitorum communis (Fdc) and m. flexor carpi ulnaris (Fcul) lengths (-0.213 and -0.208, respectively). Two variables showed the smallest observed departure from isometry: m. flexor carpi radialis (FcrT) and flexor carpi ulnaris tendon lengths (FculT) (0.061 and -0.068, respectively). Based on this result, twelve variables significantly departed from isometry: radioulna length (RUL), m. humeralis lateralis (Hlat), m. extensor digitorum (Edig), m. extensor carpi ulnaris (Ecul), m. flexor digitorum communis (Fdc) and extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), and body length (BL), all positively allometric. M. coracoradialis (C), humeralis medialis tendon length (HmedT), flexor digitorum communis tendon length (FdcT), flexor carpi ulnaris tendon length (FculT) and flexor carpi radialis tendon length (FcrT) were all negatively allometric (Table S2).

**Musculoskeletal-tendinous allometry in *Rhinella granulosa***

Trends obtained with untrimmed and trimmed values differed only in relation to five variables: scapularis medialis tendon length (SMT), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), m. coracoradialis (C), m. flexor carpi ulnaris (Fcul) and m. flexor carpi radialis (Fcr). The
greatest departure was observed in two variables, m. extensor carpi ulnaris (Ecul) and extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT) (0.320 and 0.308, respectively). The smallest departure observed from isometry was found in scapularis medialis tendon length (SMT) and humeralis lateralis tendon length (HlatTL) (0.108 and 0.082, respectively). Based on this result, six variables significantly departed from isometry: humeralis lateralis tendon length (HlatT), scapularis medialis tendon length (SMT), and m. coracoradialis (C; all negatively allometric); and extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), m. flexor carpi ulnaris (Fcu) and m. flexor carpi radialis (Fcr; all positively allometric) (Table S3).

Musculoskeletal-tendinous allometry in *Trachycephalus typhonius*

Trends obtained with untrimmed and trimmed values differed only in relation to seven variables: humerus length (HL), humeralis lateralis (Hlat), m. extensor carpi radialis (Ecr) and tendon length (EcrT), m. extensor carpi ulnaris (Ecu) and tendon length (EcuT) and flexor digitorum communis tendon length (FdcT) (Table 3). The greatest departure was observed in three variables, m. scapularis medialis (SM) (0.272), extensor carpi radialis (Ecr) (0.259) and extensor digitorum communis (Edc) (0.265) (Table 3). The smallest observed departure from isometry was in extensor carpi ulnaris tendon length (EculT) and humeralis medialis tendon length (HmedT) (0.07 and 0.107, respectively). Based on this result, ten variables significantly departed from isometry: humeralis medialis tendon length (HmedT), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), extensor carpi ulnaris tendon length (EcuT) and flexor digitorum communis tendon length (FdcT; all negatively allometric); and humerus length (HL), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), m. flexor carpi ulnaris (Fcu) and m. flexor carpi radialis (Fcr; all positively allometric) (Table S4).
Musculoskeletal-tendinous allometry in *Physalaemus biligonigerus*

Trends obtained with untrimmed and trimmed values differed only in relation to seven variables: body length (BL), scapularis medialis (SM), humeralis medialis (Hlat), m. extensor digitorum (Edig), m. extensor carpi radialis (Ecr), m. coracoradialis (C) and flexor carpi radialis tendon length (FcrT) (Table 3). The greatest observed departure from isometry was observed radioulna length (RUL) and coracoradialis tendon length (CT) (0.278 and 0.277, respectively). The smallest departure was observed in three variables: extensor carpi ulnaris tendon length (EcuT) (0.069), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT) (0.082) and humeralis medialis tendon length (HmedT) (0.109). Based on this result, six variables significantly departed from isometry: humeralis medialis tendon length (HmedT), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), extensor carpi ulnaris tendon length (EcuT; all negatively allometric), and humerus length (HL), radioulna length (RUL), coracoradialis tendon length (CT; all positively allometric) (Table S5).

Musculoskeletal-tendinous allometry in *Leptodactylus chaquensis*

Trends obtained with untrimmed and trimmed values differed only in relation to eight variables: radioluna (RUL), m. scapularis medialis (SM), scapularis medialis tendon length (SMT), humeralis medialis tendon length (HlatT), humeralis lateralis tendon length (HmedT), m. coracoradialis (C), extensor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT), and flexor carpi ulnaris tendon length (FcuT) (Table 3e). The greatest observed departure from isometry was found in scapularis medialis tendon length (0.29), m. extensor carpi ulnaris (0.295) and extensor carpi radialis tendon length (0.315). The smallest departure was observed in four variables: humeralis medialis
tendon length (0.09), flexor digitorum communis tendon length (0.02), flexor carpi ulnaris
tendon length (EcuT) (0.068) and flexor carpi radialis tendon length (EcrT) (0.061). Based on
this result, ten variables significantly departed from isometry: body length (BL), m. humeralis
lateralis (Hlat), humeralis medialis (Hmed), m. extensor digitorum (Edig), m. extensor carpi
ulnaris (Ecul), m. flexor digitorum communis (Fdc), m. flexor carpi radialis (Fcr; all positively
allometric); and flexor carpi radialis tendon length (FcrT), flexor carpi ulnaris tendon length
(FcuT), and flexor digitorum communis tendon length (FdT; all negatively allometric) (Table
S6).

Musculoskeletal-tendinous allometry in Pleurodema borellii

Trends obtained with untrimmed and trimmed values differ only in relation to five variables:
scapularis medialis tendon length (SMT), humeralis lateralis tendon length (HmedT), m.
coracoradialis (C), flexor digitorum communis tendon length (FdcT) and m. flexor carpi ulnaris
(Fcu) (Table 3). The greatest observed departure from isometry was observed in m. humeralis
lateralis and m. extensor digitorum (0.262 and 0.301, respectively). The smallest departure was
observed in two variables: extensor carpi ulnaris tendon length (EcuT) and flexor digitorum
communis tendon length (FdcT) (0.05 and 0.11, respectively). Based on this result, five variables
significantly departed from isometry: radioulna length, m. humeralis lateralis (Hlat), m. extensor
digitorum (Edig), m. extensor carpi ulnaris (Ecul; all positively allometric), and m. extensor
carpi ulnaris (Ecu; negatively allometric) (Table S7).

Discussion
The main goal of the present study was to investigate the relative growth patterns of the forelimb musculoskeletal-tendinous system in seven anuran species of three age categories. The general allometric growth patterns inferred from our data indicate that along with the post-metamorphic ontogeny of most studied anuran species, muscles tend to elongate proportionally to bone length, with a positive allometric trend. On the contrary, tendons show a negative allometric growth trend. Only two species show different trends: *Rhinella granulosa* and *Physalaemus biligonigerus*, with an isometric and positive growth of muscles and bones, and most tendons being isometric. This trend represents a synchronic growth of all structures, which is an interesting pattern. Overall, the couple antagonist-agonist muscles do not present the same tendency in the surveyed species. For example, the triceps generally grows with positive allometry, and the coracoradialis (biceps) with negative allometry. On the contrary, in the forearm of *Rhinella* and *Physalaemus*, agonist and antagonist muscles presented the same trend. Taken together, these data might indicate that the differential growth of the musculoskeletal-tendinous system might be related to the intrinsic nature of each tissue e.g., tendons increase their lengths by apposition of collagen fibril segments in a complex and hierarchical process (Zhang et al. 2005), meanwhile bone growth in length is mainly achieved through the action of chondrocytes in the proliferative and hypertrophic zones (Rauch, 2005).

Manzano et al. (2013) stressed that the tendon is the last tissue to form during limb ontogeny in anurans and that it needs a fully functional limb to reach complete maturity. Our data shows that this delay in tendon appearance and growth occurs after reaching the fully functional stage, as evidenced by its negative allometric growth. On the contrary, muscles and bones show the same positive allometric trend. This coordinated growth trend contrasts with the previous morphogenetic processes in which muscular differentiation seems to be extremely fast compared
to the differentiation of the limb skeletal element (Manzano et al., 2013). Our results on postnatal growth are concordant with the observations made by Huang et al. (2015) in mouse mutants [Splotch delayed (Spd) mice (Vogan et al., 1993)]. These authors found that the first stage of tendon development – in which muscles span the zeugopodium anchor to autopodium induced tendons – might be better described through positive allometric muscle growth and a negative allometric tendon elongation, and a subsequent reversal of this trend. Thus, the correct assembly of the musculoskeletal-tendinous complex of a limb segment as unity is regulated by differential growth, in a similar way to that proposed by Eilam (1997). In that study, a heterochronic process was suggested as the critical factor to explain body morphology divergence in several rodent taxa.

Heterochrony is a central process driving morphological diversity in mammals (Ravosa, Meyers & Glander, 1993; Maunz & German, 1997; Richardson et al., 2009), which also seems to modulate musculoskeletal-tendinous growth in anurans. The synchronous muscle-bone growth combined with a negative allometric growth of tendon length results in a segment highly occupied by muscle fibers. On the contrary, a positive allometric growth of the tendons length combined with a synchronous and negative muscle-bone growth would result in a segment highly occupied by tendons. This process could explain, for example, the differences between tendon length of the forearm of a bat or a horse and that of a rat, and would provide a simple mechanism to account for their highly specialized locomotor types.

When the relative growth of the arm and forearm structures of the analyzed anuran species are compared, interesting trends emerge. In the forearm, there is a general trend of a positive bone and muscle allometry, including extensors and flexors, and the already reported delayed tendon growth. In the arm, there is a trend to a positive humerus and triceps allometry, combined with a
negative coracoradialis allometry. Strikingly, the coracoradialis tendon presents isometric
growth. The described growth of the coracoradialis tendon, combined with negative allometric
muscle growth, indicates the presence of a forearm flexor layer with long tendons. Interestingly,
the pattern highlighted by Bobbert (2001) as an intriguing design aspect of the human
musculoskeletal system (distal muscle-tendon complexes spanning the distance between origin
and insertion, with long tendons and very short muscle fibers) was only recorded for the
coracoradialis. The longer tendon compared with the muscle length indicates a segment with less
force but faster reaction, which could compensate for the great force with slower reaction
indicated by the relative growth of the triceps.

In conclusion, our data indicate that the musculoskeletal-tendinous growth is different than
posed in our initial hypothesis: limb bones and muscles tend to develop synchronically, with
tendons exhibiting a delayed growth.
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Figure 1

Figure 1. Muscles and tendons of the right forelimb of anuran species, and their respective large bones

A: dorsal view. B: ventral view. Light grey muscles and dark gray tendons. C: Coracoradialis. CT: Coracoradialis tendon. Ecr: Extensor carpi radialis. EcrT: Extensor carpi radialis tendon. Ecul: Extensor carpi ulnaris. EculT: Extensor carpi ulnaris tendon. EDig: Extensor digitorum. Fcr: Flexor carpi radialis. FcrT: Flexor carpi radialis tendon. Fcul: Flexor carpi ulnaris. FculT: Flexor carpi ulnaris tendón. Fdc: Flexor digitorum comunis. FdcT: Flexor digitorum comunis tendon. H: humerus. Hlat: Triceps humeralis lateralis. HlatT: Triceps humeralis lateralis tendon. Hmed: Triceps humeralis medialis. HmedT: Triceps humeralis medialis tendon. RU: radioulna. SMT: Triceps scapularis medialis. SMTT: Triceps scapularis medialis tendon. Scale bars: 5mm
Table 1 (on next page)

List of anuran specimens examined. Acronyms for Argentinian institutions where specimens are deposited and personal catalogs: MCN: Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Salta, FML: Fundación Miguel Lillo. MS: field number of Mónica Soliz to be deposited at FML.
| Species                     | N  | Specimen number               |
|-----------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| *Rhinella arenarum*         | 18 | MCN594, MCN849, MCN858, MCN579, MCN776 |
| *Rhinella granulosa*        |  9 | MCN775, MCN994, MCN779, MS0130, MS0131, MS0132 |
| *Pleurodema borellii*       | 29 | MCN707, MCN592, MCN844, MS0133, MS0134, MS0135 |
| *Physalaemus biligonigerus* | 11 | MCN731, MS0137, MS136           |
| *Leptodactylus chaquensis*  | 12 | MCN327, MCN166, MCN327, MCN583, MCN789 |
| *Trachycephalus typhonius*  | 11 | FML29062, FML29133, FML29167, FML29168, MS0129 |
Table 2 (on next page)

List of abbreviations of forelimb muscle, tendons, bones and body length of de measured specimens
| Muscles | Abbreviations | Tendon | Abbreviations | Group |
|---------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------|
| **Extensor** | | | | |
| Triceps scapularis medialis | SMT | x | SMTT | Triceps |
| Triceps humeralis lateralis | Hlat | x | HlatT | Triceps |
| Triceps humeralis medialis | Hmed | x | HmedT | Triceps |
| Extensor carpi radialis | Ecr | x | EcrT | Extensor of the forearm |
| Extensor carpi ulnaris | Ecul | x | EculT | Extensor of the forearm |
| Extensor digitorum | EDig | | | Extensor of the forearm |
| **Flexor** | | | | |
| Coracoradialis | C | x | CT | Flexor of the arm |
| Flexor carpi radialis | Fer | x | FcrT | Flexor of the forearm |
| Flexor carpi ulnaris | Fcul | x | FculT | Flexor of the forearm |
| Flexor digitorum comunis | Fdc | x | FdcT | Flexor of the forearm |
| **Bones** | | | | |
| Humerus length | HL | | | Arm |
| Radioulna length | RUL | | | Forearm |
| Body length | BL | | | |
Table 3 (on next page)

Summary of allometric trends in the seven species of anuran for 20 variables investigated. The used symbols are: “+” (accelerated with respect to overall size or positive allometric), “-” (respect to overall size or negative allometric), “=” (isometric
| Species   | Rhinella arenarum | Rhinella granulosa | Trachycephalus typhonius | Physalaeum biligonigerus | Leptodactylus chaquensis | Pleurodema borelli |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Variables | Untrimmed | Trimmed | Untrimmed | Trimmed | Untrimmed | Trimmed | Untrimmed | Trimmed | Untrimmed | Trimmed | Untrimmed | Trimmed |
| BL        | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | +       | +           | +       | =           | =       |
| HL        | =         | =       | =           | =       | +           | =       | +           | +       | +           | +       | =           | =       |
| RUL       | =         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | +       | +           | +       | =           | =       |
| SM        | =         | +       | =           | =       | +           | +       | =           | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| SMTL      | =         | +       | -           | =       | =           | =       | =           | +       | =           | =       | -           | =       |
| Hlat      | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | +       |
| HlatTL    | =         | -       | =           | =       | =           | =       | -           | =       | -           | =       | -           | =       |
| Hmed      | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | +       |
| HmedTL    | =         | -       | =           | =       | =           | =       | -           | =       | =           | =       | -           | =       |
| Edig      | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | +       | =           | =       | +           | +       |
| Ecul      | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | +       |
| EculT     | =         | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| Ecr       | =         | =       | =           | =       | +           | =       | -           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| EcrT      | =         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | -           | =       | -           | =       | =           | =       |
| C         | =         | -       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| CTL       | =         | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| Fdc       | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | +           | =       |
| FdcT      | =         | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| Fcul      | =         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| FculT     | =         | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| Fcr       | +         | +       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |
| FcrT      | =         | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       | =           | =       |