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Magnetocardiography on an isolated animal heart with a room-temperature optically pumped magnetometer

Kasper Jensen1,4, Mark Alexander Skarsfeldt2, Hans Staerkind1, Jens Arnbak1, Mikhail V. Balabas1,3, Søren-Peter Olesen2, Bo Hjorth Bentzen2 & Eugene S. Polzik1

Optically pumped magnetometers are becoming a promising alternative to cryogenically-cooled superconducting magnetometers for detecting and imaging biomagnetic fields. Magnetic field detection is a completely non-invasive method, which allows one to study the function of excitable human organs with a sensor placed outside the human body. For instance, magnetometers can be used to detect brain activity or to study the activity of the heart. We have developed a highly sensitive miniature optically pumped magnetometer based on cesium atomic vapor kept in a paraffin-coated glass container. The magnetometer is optimized for detection of biological signals and has high temporal and spatial resolution. It is operated at room- or human body temperature and can be placed in contact with or at a mm-distance from a biological object. With this magnetometer, we detected the heartbeat of an isolated guinea-pig heart, which is an animal widely used in biomedical studies. In our recordings of the magnetocardiogram, we can detect the P-wave, QRS-complex and T-wave associated with the cardiac cycle in real time. We also demonstrate that our device is capable of measuring the cardiac electrographic intervals, such as the RR- and QT-interval, and detecting drug-induced prolongation of the QT-interval, which is important for medical diagnostics.

The cardiac function is based on conduction of electrical signals, which activate the heart muscle so that blood can be pumped throughout the body. Electrodes placed on the body surface can record this cardiac electrical activity. This method is called electrocardiography (ECG) and is commonly used for diagnosing heart diseases. The electrical signals propagating through the heart generate magnetic fields, which can be detected and imaged with sensitive magnetometers placed outside the body. This method called magnetocardiography (MCG) can be advantageous to use in certain cases including for measurements of the electrical activity in the fetal heart. Detection of the magnetic field from the heart was first done using pick-up coils and later with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, which together with the development of a shielded room has led to improved signal-to-noise ratio of the observed magnetocardiograms. SQUID magnetometers are highly sensitive but have a major drawback which is the requirement for cryogenic cooling. Optically pumped magnetometers (OPMs) can achieve similar high sensitivity but they do not require cryogenics and are considered promising for many applications, in particular for detecting brain activity (magnetoencephalography) and for magnetocardiography on the adult and fetal heart. OPMs based on absorption of light and operating in the so-called spin-exchange relaxation-free (SERF) regime are now commercially available. SERF magnetometers have some disadvantages including an operating temperature of several hundreds of degrees Celsius, which leads to the need for thermal insulation. Also, SERF magnetometers should be operated in zero magnetic field and have a limited operational bandwidth (from DC up to typically 100 Hz) which is suitable for MCG but not for detection of signals with higher frequency components. Our OPM is based on cesium atomic vapor contained in
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Experimental setup. Our magnetometer is based on cesium atomic vapor kept in a paraffin-coated miniature glass container [see Fig. 2(a)] with inner size $5\text{ mm} \times 5\text{ mm} \times 5\text{ mm}$ and $0.7\text{ mm}$ glass-wall thickness. The vapor cell is kept at room- or human body temperature and is placed inside a multi-layer cylindrical magnetic shield. Circularly polarized pump (wavelength $\approx 895\text{ nm}$) and repump (wavelength $\approx 852\text{ nm}$) laser light on resonance with the cesium D1 and D2 transitions, respectively, is used for optical pumping [Fig. 2(b)]. Linearly polarized laser light (wavelength $\approx 852\text{ nm}$) near-resonant with the cesium D2 transition ($\approx 1600\text{ GHz}$ detuning) is employed in biomedical experiments where it was used to detect action potentials from an isolated animal nerve. There the magnetometer was tuned (by adjusting the bias magnetic field) to have maximum response at approximately $0.25\text{ s}$ (RR = $247\text{ ms}$ corresponding to $243\text{ bpm}$).

**Figure 1.** Electrical recording on an isolated guinea-pig heart placed in a Langendorff setup. (a) Electrocardiogram showing the P-wave, the QRS-complex and the T-wave. Electrographic intervals RR and QT are depicted with arrows. (b) Power spectral density of the electrocardiogram of a single heartbeat lasting approximately $0.25\text{ s}$ (RR = $247\text{ ms}$ corresponding to $243\text{ bpm}$).
is used to probe the spin-polarized cesium atoms. Any magnetic field will affect the atomic spins which in turn will lead to a rotation of the probe light polarization. This rotation is detected with a balanced detection scheme [see Fig. 2(c)] which gives a magnetometer signal from which we can infer the magnetic field (see details below).

Model of the magnetometer. The cesium atoms are described by their total angular momentum $\mathbf{J} = (J_x, J_y, J_z)$ which in the absence of any magnetic field is optically pumped along the x-direction using circular polarized light. A fully spin-polarized ensemble of cesium atoms corresponds to the spin vector $\mathbf{J}_{\text{max}} = (4N_a, 0, 0)$ for $N_a$ atoms in the $F = 4, m_F = 4$ ground state hyperfine sublevel. In a simplified model of the magnetometer, the time-evolution of the spin vector can be described by the following differential equation $30$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{J}}{dt} = \gamma \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} + \Gamma_{\text{max}} \mathbf{J}_{\text{max}} - (\Gamma_{\text{p}} + \Gamma_{\text{pr}} + \Gamma_{\text{dark}})\mathbf{J},$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is the external magnetic field and $\gamma = 2.2 \times 10^{10} \text{rad/(s·T)}$ is the cesium gyromagnetic ratio. In the above, optical pumping happens with a rate $\Gamma_{\text{p}}$ and the spin decays with a total rate $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\text{p}} + \Gamma_{\text{pr}} + \Gamma_{\text{dark}}$ where $\Gamma_{\text{pr}}$ is the probe-induced decay rate and $\Gamma_{\text{dark}}$ is the decay rate in the absence of any light. $\Gamma_{\text{p}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{pr}}$ are proportional to the power of the pump and probe laser light, respectively. The atomic spin and thereby the magnetic field is detected by sending a near-resonant and linearly-polarized probe laser beam through the atoms and recording the light polarization rotation which is proportional to the spin-component $J_y$ along the probe direction $15$.

If we assume that the magnetic field is static or varying slowly on the time-scale set by $T_2 = 1/\Gamma$, we can solve Eq. (1) in the steady-state, and if we furthermore assume that the magnetic field is small $|\mathbf{B}|^2 \ll \Delta B^2$, where $\Delta B = \Gamma/\gamma$, we find that the magnetometer signal $S(t) \propto f_y(t) = I_0 B_y(t)/\Delta B$, where $I_0 = J_{\text{max}} I/\Gamma$ (see Methods section). i.e., the magnetometer signal is proportional to the $y$-component of the magnetic field. On the other hand, if the field is varying on a time-scale comparable to $T_2$ or faster, we find that the magnetometer signal is

$$S(t) = \mathcal{N} \int_{t'=-\infty}^{t'=t} e^{-\Gamma(t-t')} B_y(t')dt',$$

where $c$ is a normalization constant and we again assumed that the magnetic field is small (see Methods section).

Calibrating the magnetometer. The magnetometer is calibrated before any biomagnetic measurements. Even though the magnetometer is placed inside a magnetic shield, there will be a small residual static field. Three sets of coils are used to apply magnetic fields along the $x$-, $y$-, and $z$-directions in order to cancel the residual field such that the total field is approximately zero. In order to measure the temporal profile $e^{-\Gamma t}$ of the magnetometer response function, an extra coil is used to apply a short square pulse (100 $\mu$s duration) of magnetic field along the $y$-direction. The observed magnetometer signal [solid line in Fig. 3(a)] increases rapidly and then decays exponentially with time-constant $T_2 = 4.0$ ms and is in good agreement with the model given by Eq. (1). Notice that due to power broadening by the probe, pump and repump light, the decay-time is significantly shorter than the coherence time in the dark $T_2^{\text{dark}} = 1/\Gamma_{\text{dark}} > 50$ ms. For our type of vapor cell, a long $T_2^{\text{dark}}$ is possible due to the
paraffin-coating inside the vapor cell which preserves the spin of the moving cesium atoms during collisions with the glass walls.

We then apply a magnetic field pulse with the temporal shape of a single sinusoidal oscillation with 10 ms period and independently calibrated amplitude. By numerically deconvolving the measured signal [dashed line in Fig. 3(a)] with the un-normalized response function $e^{-\Gamma t}$ and comparing the amplitude of the deconvoluted signal with the independently calibrated amplitude, we can extract the normalization constant $c$, and calculate the magnetic fields in nT or pT as shown Fig. 3(b).

We also record the magnetometer background noise when there is no magnetic field pulse applied. The corresponding noise spectrum is shown in Fig. 3(c). At frequencies above 1 kHz the noise is white, i.e. independent of frequency, and is mainly due to the shot noise of the probe light. At lower frequencies the noise level is higher (see Discussion section). The noise spectrum in magnetic field units found by deconvolution is shown in Fig. 3(d). We achieve the best sensitivity of around 120 fT/Hz for frequencies in the 100–500 Hz range, and around or better than 300 fT/Hz for frequencies in the wider range 5–1000 Hz.

We note that the atomic bandwidth $1/(2\pi T_2)$ can be widely tuned by adjusting the power of the probe and/or pump lasers. For our specific settings, the atomic bandwidth is 40 Hz. The advantage of the deconvolution procedure is that it allows one to correctly find the temporal profile of magnetic fields with much higher frequency components up to the one half times the data-acquisition sample-rate which in our case is $\frac{1}{2} \times 10$ kHz = 5 kHz. The magnetic field from the heart is expected to have frequency components in the DC–200 Hz range which is outside the atomic bandwidth. The deconvolution procedure is therefore necessary in order to correctly find the temporal profile of a magnetocardiogram, and in particular for finding the correct amplitudes of the QRS-complex and the P-wave which are the fastest-varying features of the cardiogram. The deconvolution procedure also amplifies high frequency noise, and in case the signal is small, additional low-pass or bandpass filtering may be required in order to obtain a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio. When recording the magnetic field from the heart, we choose to low-pass filter the deconvoluted signals using a Type I Chebyshev filter with a cut-off frequency of either 250 Hz or 500 Hz. A cut-off frequency of 250 Hz or 500 Hz is high enough such that one can correctly capture the temporal profiles and amplitudes of the QRS-complex and P-wave and low enough to supress high-frequency noise and thereby improve the signal-to-noise ratio.

**Magnetic field from the isolated guinea-pig heart.** During the biomagnetic measurements, the spontaneously beating guinea-pig heart is put in a watertight acrylic container [see Fig. 4(a)] and placed close to the vapor cell. The position of the heart relative to the vapor cell can be set using a three-axis translation stage with travel range of 25 mm. The smallest possible distance between the heart and the center of the vapor cell is 5.2 mm
(2 mm thickness of acrylic container, 2.5 mm inside radius of vapor cell and 0.7 mm glass-wall thickness). Our magnetometer measures the $y$-component of the magnetic field (see Fig. 2c) which is a component of the field parallel to the surface of the heart.

An example of a real-time recording of the magnetometer signal is shown in Fig. 4(b). We note that it is possible to see the P-wave, QRS-complex and T-wave in real-time without the use of averaging. The magnetic field found by deconvolution is shown in Fig. 4(c). The QRS complex has duration $\approx 25 \text{ ms}$ and is varying on a time-scale comparable to $T_2$. The deconvolution procedure is therefore necessary to correctly find the QRS amplitude which for this particular magnetocardiogram is approximately 55 pT peak-to-peak.

The actual shape or morphology of the magnetocardiogram depends on the position of the heart relative to the magnetometer. To illustrate this, we measured MCGs at $4 \times 4 = 16$ different relative positions covering a $15 \text{ mm} \times 15 \text{ mm}$ area close to the heart (see Supplementary Figure S1). The relative position was changed by translating the plastic chamber containing the heart in the $y$-$z$-plane (see Fig. 2c) in steps of 5 mm. In Supplementary Figure S2, we clearly see that the temporal shape of the magnetocardiograms depend on the position. This is even more apparent in the zoom-ins of the QRS-complexes shown in Supplementary Figure S3. For instance, it seems like the magnetic field changes direction/sign depending on whether the magnetometer is in front of the right or left side of the heart. Also the amplitude of the QRS-complex depends on position. In those measurement, the peak-to-peak amplitude varied in the range 50–120 pT. We note that because we only use a single magnetic field sensor, and not a whole magnetometer array, measurements at different positions are recorded at different times. This is a problem if the heart is not beating at the same frequency over the whole scan period, something which is challenging to achieve because the heart is isolated from the guinea-pig, spontaneously beating and is sensitive to temperature and flow/pressure fluctuations of the Krebs-Henseleit buffer (see Methods section) used to perfuse the heart. Despite such possible issues, our magnetometer can indeed be placed close to the heart and be used to measure the magnetic field around the heart with high spatial resolution and good signal-to-noise ratio. The spatial resolution of the magnetometer is here defined to be 5 mm, as the magnetometer measures the average magnetic field inside the $(5 \text{ mm})^3$ volume of the vapor cell.

For one of the positions in the $y$-$z$-plane, we also varied the distance between the heart and the magnetometer from 6–16 mm (along the $x$-direction, see Fig. 2c). In those measurements, the QRS amplitude dropped from $\approx 100 \text{ pT}$ peak-to-peak to less than 15 pT peak-to-peak as seen in Fig. 5. The QRS amplitude as a function of distance was fitted to a function $B_{\text{pp}}^{\text{QRS}} \propto x^{-n}$, where the fitted value $n = 1.7(2)$ was obtained. The fit can be used to estimate the signal at larger distances relevant for fetal magnetocardiography. For instance, for $x = 50 \text{ mm}$, the extrapolation of the fitted curve gives $B_{\text{pp}}^{\text{QRS}}(50 \text{ mm}) = 2.4 \text{ pT}$ which is $\approx 40$ times smaller than the field at $x = 6 \text{ mm}$.

The magnetic field close to the heart varies significantly on the 5 mm length scale (see Figs 5 and S2 and S3). For detection of the field close to the heart, it may therefore be an advantage to use a magnetometer with a smaller sensing volume. Note however that the sensitivity of an optically pumped magnetometer depends on the sensing distance.
volume (see Methods) and that a smaller magnetometer would have worse sensitivity. For future measurements of the fetal heartbeat which will be performed at ≥5 cm sensor-heart distance, a larger vapor cell may be optimal.

**Drug-induced prolongation of the QT interval.** We pharmacologically mimicked inherited LQTS using a potent ion channel blocker (E-4031), that in sub-micro molar concentrations blocks the rapid delayed rectifying repolarizing current (IKr), and results in prolongation of the QT-interval (see Methods section). Before the measurements, the isolated hearts were allowed to stabilize in the watertight container for approximately 30 minutes. Then, a baseline measurement of the MCG was performed before adding QT-prolonging drug (E-4031) to the perfusion fluid. The effect of the QT-prolonging drug is not instantaneous so for that reason we measured MCGs every 5th minute for a 20-minute time frame [see example MCGs in Fig. 6(a)] to ascertain the full effect was achieved. Throughout the experiment, the coronary artery flow was kept constant by adjusting the perfusion pressure in order to ensure a stable physiological temperature of the heart. We repeated the experiment using in total five isolated guinea-pig hearts. As seen in Fig. 6(b,c), we are able to detect the QT-prolongation of 0.06 μM E-4031 and detect a decrease in heart rate using our optically pumped magnetometer.

**Discussion**

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that our optically pumped magnetometer can be used for real-time monitoring of the heartbeat of an isolated guinea-pig heart. We have furthermore imaged the magnetic field around the heart, measured how the field decays with distance, and showed that the magnetometer can be used in biomedical studies and to diagnose cardiac repolarization abnormalities such as LQTS. The measurements are possible due to the high sensitivity of the magnetometer and its operating temperature and small size which make it possible to place the magnetometer in contact with or at just a few mm distance from a biological object. The current sensitivity of the magnetometer is around 120–300 fT/√Hz for a wide frequency range 5–1000 Hz. The sensitivity is ultimately limited by the quantum spin-projection noise of the cesium atoms which is estimated to be 4 fT/√Hz (see discussion of the sensitivity in the Methods section). Achieving this sensitivity would lead to an improved signal-to-noise ratio of a factor 30–75 which would make the quality of the recorded MCGs outstanding. Such an improved sensitivity would also allow for real-time recordings of MCGs at larger distances. Based on our measurements on the guinea-pig heart, we conclude that real-time detection of the heartbeat of a human fetus at gestational age of 18–22 weeks, where the heart-sensor distance is estimated to be ≥5 cm, should be possible. We also note, that our OPM works at physiological temperatures, which would allow for probes designed for use inside the human body. The advantage with that approach is that signals would be much larger when the sensor is close to the object under investigation.

Further development of an array of OPMs operating at physiological temperature would be beneficial as this would allow for fast and simultaneous recording of the field at many positions. This would enable 3D-reconstruction of the distribution of ionic currents flowing inside the heart, similar to what is done in neuroscience when a SQUID magnetometer array is used to image brain activity. Note however, that the cardiac intervals such as RR and QT do not depend on the position of the magnetometer with respect to the heart. This means that for diagnosing elevated heart rate or QT-prolongation, only a single sensor is required. In fetal-magnetocardiography, using a few sensors will be beneficial: one sensor would record the fetal-MCG, another sensor the mother’s MCG, and a few other sensors would monitor and correct for drifts in the background field. An array of OPMs working at physiological temperatures may also be interesting for biomedical or pre-clinical studies. It would allow for monitoring the propagation of action potentials with high spatial resolution in for instance isolated nerves or brain tissue. The combination of an OPM array and a guinea-pig heart could also be interesting for imaging and studying the local electrical conductivity of the heart. In conclusion, we believe that OPMs are promising devices for biomedical and pre-clinical studies, and that OPMs will have clinical use as a new diagnostic tool that will allow clinicians to do better diagnostics and prenatal care.

**Figure 5.** Peak-to-peak value of the QRS complex as a function of distance between the heart and magnetometer.
Methods

Evolution of the spin vector in a magnetic field. The evolution of the cesium atomic spins in an external magnetic field is modeled using Eq. (1). If we assume that the magnetic field is static or varying slowly on the time-scale set by $1/\Gamma$, we can solve the equation in the steady-state and find the solution

$$J_x^s = J_0 \frac{B_0^2 + (\Delta B)^2}{|B|^2 + (\Delta B)^2},$$

(3)

$$J_y^s = J_0 \frac{B_y B_x - B_x \Delta B}{|B|^2 + (\Delta B)^2},$$

(4)

$$J_z^s = J_0 \frac{B_x B_z + B_y \Delta B}{|B|^2 + (\Delta B)^2},$$

(5)

where $|B|^2 = B_0^2 + B_x^2 + B_y^2$, $\Delta B = \Gamma/\gamma$ and $J_0 = \Gamma_{max}/\Gamma$. If we furthermore assume that the magnetic field is small $|B|^2 \ll \Delta B^2$, we find the steady state spin vector $J^s \approx J_0(1, -B_x/\Delta B, B_y/\Delta B)$.

We can also solve Eq. (1) for the case where the magnetic field is varying on a time-scale comparable to $1/\Gamma$ or faster. We will assume that the field can be written as $\delta B(t) = B_0 \delta t + \delta B(t)$, where $B_0$ is a static field pointing in the $x$-direction and $\delta B(t) = (\delta B_x(t), \delta B_y(t), \delta B_z(t))$ is a small time-varying magnetic field which can be treated as a perturbation. To first order in $\delta B(t)$, we find the magnetometer signal

$$S(t) \propto J_x^s(t) = \gamma J_0 \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\Gamma(t-t')} \left[ \cos[2\pi \nu L(t-t')] \delta B_x(t') - \sin[2\pi \nu L(t-t')] \delta B_y(t') \right] dt',$$

(6)

where $\nu_L = \gamma B_0/(2\pi)$ is the Larmor frequency in Hz. We see that the magnetometer signal is a convolution of $\delta B_x(t)$ with the magnetometer response function $e^{-\Gamma t} \cos[2\pi \nu L t]$ plus the convolution of $\delta B_y(t)$ with the response function $e^{-\Gamma t} \sin[2\pi \nu L t]$. Therefore, the magnetometer will mainly be sensitive to magnetic fields which are transverse to the static field and which have frequency components that are within a certain bandwidth set by $\Gamma$ around the Larmor frequency $\nu_L$. Both $\nu_L$ and $\Gamma$ are widely tunable such that the magnetometer can be optimized for detection of specific time-varying magnetic fields. In our previous work we used non-zero static $B_0$-fields for detection of radio-frequency magnetic fields\(^{14}\) and magnetic fields from animal nerve impulses\(^{15}\). Here, we instead choose a static field close to zero as the heartbeat has frequency components mainly in the range from DC-200 Hz. For $B_0 = 0$, Eq. (6) simplifies to the expression

$$S(t) \propto J_x^s(t) = \gamma J_0 \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\Gamma(t-t')} \delta B_x(t') dt',$$

(7)

We see that for $B_0 = 0$, the magnetometer is only sensitive to the $y$-component of the magnetic field, as opposed to the case $B_0 \neq 0$ where the magnetometer is sensitive to both the $y$- and $z$-components of the field.

It is also instructive to calculate the response of the atomic system to a small oscillating magnetic field along the $y$-direction. If $B(t) = (0, B_{AC} \cos(\omega t), 0)$, then we find the signal

$$S(t) \propto J_x^s(t) = \gamma J_0 B_{AC} \frac{\Gamma \cos(\omega t) + \omega \sin(\omega t)}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2} = \gamma J_0 B_{AC} \frac{\cos(\omega t - 0)}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2}},$$

(8)
where \( \tan \phi = \omega / \Gamma \). We see that the signal has components both in-phase (the term oscillating as a cosine) and out-of-phase (the term oscillating as a sine) with the applied magnetic field and that the signal drops significantly when the angular frequency \( \omega \) of the oscillating magnetic field is much larger than \( \Gamma \).

**Discussion of magnetometer sensitivity.** The sensitivity of our magnetometer is around \( 120 \frac{\text{fT}}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}} \) for frequencies in the 100–500 Hz range, and around or better than \( 300 \frac{\text{fT}}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}} \) for frequencies in the wider range 5–1000 Hz [see Fig. 3(d)]. Improving the sensitivity will be beneficial for biomedical experiments. Our current magnetometer is based on free-space laser beams with \( \approx 1 \) m propagation distances, and for that reason the setup is quite sensitive to vibrations and air-flows. A more compact magnetometer is expected to be crucial for improving the sensitivity. 50 Hz noise and possibly low-frequency (DC-200 Hz) magnetic field noise are also problematic. Active stabilization of the background magnetic field would improve those noise sources. The sensitivity is ultimately limited by the quantum spin-projection noise of the cesium atoms \(^{11}\) which at room-temperature is estimated to be \( 1/(\sqrt{2}T_2n^2) = 8 \frac{\text{fT}}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}} \) using the density of atoms \( n = 3.0 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ m}^{-3} \) (for \( T \approx 20^\circ \text{C} \)), sensing volume \( V = (5 \text{ mm})^3 \) and \( T_2 = 4 \text{ ms} \). At human-body temperature \( 37^\circ \text{C} \), the density of atoms is four times higher leading to a quantum-limited sensitivity of \( 4 \frac{\text{fT}}{\sqrt{\text{Hz}}} \). Our type of magnetometer has previously achieved sub-femtotesla sensitivity mainly limited by quantum noise for detection of radio-frequency magnetic fields \(^{16}\).

**Isolated guinea pig heart preparations.** The experiments were approved by the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration, Ministry of Environment and Food of Denmark under License No. 2014-15-2934-01061 and were carried out in accordance with the European Community Guidelines for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. The experiments were performed using female Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs (300–450 g, Charles River, Saint-Germain-Nuelle, France). Guinea pigs were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital 200 mg/ml and lidocaine hydrochloride 20 mg/ml (Glostrup Apotek, Denmark), dose 0.150 ml/100 g BW. A tracheostomy was performed and the guinea pigs were ventilated (3.5 ml/60 strokes/min) through a rodent ventilator (7025 Rodent ventilator, Ugo Basile, Italy). The hearts were cannulated in situ through an incision of the aorta and connected to the Langendorff retrograde perfusion set-up (Hugo Sachs Elektronik, Harvard Apparatus GmbH, March, Germany). The hearts were retrogradely perfused at a constant perfusion pressure of 60 mmHg with a 5 °C, pH 7.8, cardioplegia buffer (in mM: NaCl 110, NaHCO\(_3\) 25, KCl 16, CaCl\(_2\) 1.2, MgCl\(_2\) 1.6). At cardiac arrest the hearts were submerged in 5 °C cardioplegia buffer and transported to a different laboratory where the magnetometer was located. Here, the hearts were revived by a retrogradely perfusion of 37 °C Krebs-Henseleit buffer (in mM: NaCl 120, NaHCO\(_3\) 25, KCl 16, MgSO\(_4\) 0.6, NaH\(_4\)PO\(_4\) 0.6, CaCl\(_2\) 2.5, glucose 11) saturated with 95% O\(_2\) and 5% CO\(_2\). The hearts were continuously perfused with a coronary flow ranging from 9–14 ml/min. The spontaneously beating hearts were mounted in a custom made watertight acrylic container (inner size 35 mm, wall thickness 2 mm) during the following measurements. The aortic perfusion pressure was determined with an ISOTEC transducer (Hugo Sachs Elektronik), and the coronary flow was measured with an ultrasonic flowmeter (Transonic Systems INC, USA). Both were connected to an amplifier (Hugo Sachs Elektronik). Perfusion pressure and coronary flow signals were sampled at a frequency of 2 kHz and converted by a 16/30 data acquisition system from PowerLab systems (ADInstruments, Oxford, UK) and monitored by using LabChart 7 software (ADInstruments).

**Pharmacology.** The hearts were perfused with 0.06 μM E-4031 (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), a class III anti-arrhythmic drug, that blocks the repolarizing I\(_{\text{Kr}}\)/hERG/K\(_{\text{v}}\) current, and thereby prolong the ventricular action potential demonstrated by prolonged QT-intervals.

**Size of guinea-pig hearts.** Six isolated guinea-pig hearts were used for the measurements presented here. The size of the hearts are given in Supplementary Table S1. The mean biventricular diameter was 16.8 mm and the mean length was 23.3 mm. The size of the hearts are similar to that of a human fetus at gestational age of 18–22 weeks\(^{34}\).

**Data Availability**
The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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