Intraoperative Use of Endoscope, a Valuable, Adjunctive Tool for the Surgical Management of Anterior Communicating Artery Aneurysm Surgery: Our Institutional Experience

Abstract

Background: Anterior communicating (A-com) artery region is very complex; perforators are not always visualized on the microscope. The neuroendoscope with its higher magnification, better observation, and additional illumination can provide us information that may not be available with the microscope in aneurysm surgery. Objective: The objective was to study the use of endoscope in surgical management of A-com aneurysm surgery and its advantages, whether and how it changes operative management. Materials and Methods: We studied 25 serial cases of A-com aneurysm at Bantane Hospital, Fujita University, Japan, from November 2018 to October 2019. Once aneurysm was exposed, we did preclipping indocyanine green (ICG) study and examination with endoscope. After clipping, we again did ICG and endoscopic assessment. Preclipping and postclipping endoscopic information was used and necessary changes were made in the operative decisions. Whether endoscope gives any additional information over microscope and ICG which led to change in the operative decision was assessed. Results: In six out of 25 A-com aneurysm patients, the use of endoscope has given additional information over microscope, and ICG leading to change in the operative plans such as readjustment of the clip/application of the second clip or release of perforator compromise. Conclusion: Simultaneous endoscopic and microscopic guidance can reveal important information hidden from the microscope. Thus, this method increases the safety and durability of the A-com aneurismatic clipping.
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Introduction

The goal of surgical management of intracranial aneurysm is to exclude the aneurysm from the circulation while preserving the parent artery, its branches, and perforators while avoiding damage to the adjacent cranial nerves and brain parenchyma.[1] Hence, it is crucial to achieve a good view of regional anatomic features, including the relationships between the aneurysm neck, wall and parent vessel, branches, perforators, and adjacent cranial nerves before, during, and after clipping. This is not always possible even with most sophisticated microscope available. This problem is overcome by the intraoperative use of endoscope, which permits observation of areas considered blind under the microscope and allows close-up view of regional anatomic features.

To enhance the intraoperative visualization of the regional anatomy, endoscopy was introduced during microneurosurgery for cerebral aneurysms by Fischer and Mustafa.[2] In 1977, Apuzzo et al. applied the side-angled rigid endoscope to neurosurgical procedures and reported its great value for assessing the confirmation of clip placement in a case of the basilar tip aneurysm.[3] Perneczky and Fries elaborated on the general principles of endoscope-assisted microsurgery and described three advantages of the endoscope as follows:[4,5] (1) increased light intensity while approaching an object, (2) clear depiction of details in close-up positions, and (3) extended viewing angles. Therefore, the surgeon can inspect hidden structures, dissect perforators at the back of aneurysm, identify important
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Anterior communicating (A-com) artery anatomy is very complex; there are total of seven major vessels (bilateral A1, bilateral A2, bilateral recurrent Heubners arteries, and A-com artery) and multiple small perforators. A-com aneurysm can have anterior, superior, inferior, and posterior projections hiding these vessels and perforators during pterional craniotomy. Hence, it is very important to have precise anatomical information before and after the clipping of aneurysm which is possible with simultaneous use of microscope and endoscope. Intraoperative use of endoscope in A-com aneurysm surgery is not individually assessed according to our knowledge. Hence, we present our experience with the use of intraoperative endoscopy in A-com aneurysm surgery.

Materials and Methods

We studied 25 serial cases of A-com aneurysm which were operated between November 2018 and October 2019 (all were unruptured aneurysms) in Bantane Hospital, Fujita Health University, Japan. For these patients, intraoperative endoscopy was done.

Instrumentation

We used rigid rod lens endoscope(A81000A, Olympus, Germany) for microsurgical procedures. Rigid endoscope of diameter 2.4 mm and 4.0 mm with angles of 0° and 30° was used. Illumination was provided by the Xenon light source. The endoscope was attached to a three-chip

Table 1: Endoscopic observations

| Age yrs | Sex | Size mm | Observation on Endoscope | Change in operative Plan |
|---------|-----|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 69      | M   | 3       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 70      | F   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 62      | F   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 79      | F   | 3       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 79      | M   | 2       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 69      | F   | 8       | Residual aneurysm under first clip visualised | 2nd clip applied. |
| 79      | M   | 2       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 58      | F   | 6       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 74      | M   | 8       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 42      | M   | 2       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 74      | F   | 4       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 65      | M   | 3       | Clip tip compressing on optic nerve | Readjustment of clip |
| 69      | M   | 6       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 67      | F   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 44      | F   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 69      | F   | 8       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 81      | M   | 3       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 70      | F   | 3       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 75      | F   | 2       | Remnant aneurysm below first clip visualised | Readjustment of clip |
| 70      | F   | 5       | Perforator clearly visualised preclipping, Residual aneurysm below first clip. | 2nd clip applied and first clip readjusted to avoid perforator. |
| 41      | M   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 73      | F   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |
| 79      | F   | 7       | Perforator compromise     | Release of perforator    |
| 71      | F   | 7       | 2 clips applied, 2nd clip tip not across aneurysm, incomplete clipping | Readjustment of 2nd clip |
| 47      | M   | 5       | Complete clipping         | No                       |

Table 2: Age group

| Age     | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------|-----------|------------|
| <50     | 4         | 16         |
| 51-60   | 1         | 4          |
| 61-70   | 10        | 40         |
| >70     | 10        | 40         |

Table 3: Size group

| Size Group | P (0.428) | Endoscopic Findings | Total |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|
| Abnormal   | Normal    |                     |       |
| ≤ 3        | 2(22.2%)  | 7(77.8%)            | 9     |
| 4 - 5      | 1(11.8%)  | 8(89.9%)            | 9     |
| > 5        | 3(42.9%)  | 4(57.1%)            | 7     |
| Total      | 6         | 19                  | 25    |

Table 4: Change in operative plan

| Change in operative plan | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Yes                      | 6         | 24         |
| No                       | 19        | 76         |
camera and the images were viewed on video monitor (Olympus, Germany).

**Operative technique**

The pterional craniotomy was done in the usual manner. The initial exposure was performed under the microscope. The endoscope was then introduced into the surgical field with observation through microscope, and the endoscopic image is viewed on the monitor. An initial view of the aneurysm and surrounding structures is obtained with the endoscope for the assessment of regional anatomy. Further, dissection and exposure of the aneurysm was performed under the microscope. Once aneurysm was exposed, we did preclipping indocyanine green (ICG) and examination with endoscope. The aneurysm neck was then clipped usually with microscopic observation and occasionally with endoscopic observation assistance. After aneurysm clipping, ICG study and endoscopic inspection were repeated. The inspection includes assessment of the completeness of aneurysm clipping, inadvertent inclusion of the parent vessel in the clip, and pressure on surrounding vital structures such as the cranial nerves. If any of these are encountered, necessary changes were made in operative decisions such as readjustment of the clip, application of the second clip, or release of perforator compromise.

**Results**

Of 25 A-com aneurysm patients operated, ten patients were male, while 15 patients were female (male-to-female ratio of 1:1.5) with an average age of 67 years. Following observations were made [Table 1]. The distribution of patients according to the age and size of aneurysm is as shown in Tables 2 and 3. In cases with aneurysm size >5 mm, we found more percentage of abnormal findings (42.9%) on endoscope which lead to change in the intraoperative management [Table 3]. However, this difference was not found statistically significant ($P = 0.428$).

In six out of 25 patients, endoscope has given additional findings (42.9%) on endoscope which lead to change in the operative plan [Table 4]. In three patients after clip application, there was residual aneurysm visualized below the clip on endoscope (intraoperative ICG showed complete obliteration of aneurismal sac). Hence, the second clip application or readjustment of the clip was done in those patients. In one patient, incomplete clipping (distal tip of the clip was not across the aneurysm) was visualized on endoscope (intraoperative ICG did not show any obvious refilling of aneurysm) and needed readjustment of the clip. In one patient, distal tip of the clip was seen compressing on the optic nerve on endoscopic inspection and needed readjustment of the clip. In one patient, the clip was seen compressing the perforator on endoscopy, and hence, perforator was separated and clip readjustment was done. Postoperative computed tomography angiography did not reveal any neck remnant, and no patients have required further interventional or surgical treatment.

**Illustrative cases**

**Case 1: Residual aneurysm under the clip**

A 69-year-old female was diagnosed with A-com aneurysm [Figure 1a] with left A1 dominance. The patient was operated with left pterional craniotomy with a transsylvian approach. Intraoperatively, aneurysm was found as shown in Figure 1b. After application of the first clip [Figure 1c], ICG was done suggestive of complete occlusion of the aneurismal sac [Figure 1d] and no residual. After doing intraoperative endoscopy, we found a residual aneurysm below the first clip [Figure 1e], hence, the second clip application was needed for residual aneurysm [Figure 1f].

**Case 2: Perforator compromise**

A 79-year-old female presented with A-com aneurysm with right A1 dominance [Figure 2a]. The patient was operated with right pterional craniotomy with transsylvian approach, and aneurysm was visualized as shown in Figure 2b. Preclipping endoscopy was done [Figure 2c] which showed small perforator adherent to dome of the aneurysm, but the aneurysm neck was free. Aneurysm was clipped under the microscope [Figure 2d], but no perforator compromise was noticed, however, after doing postclipping endoscopy [Figure 2e], we found that perforator is kinked and part of its wall is caught in the clip, hence, the readjustment of the clip was done [Figure 2f] and perforator was separated.

**Incomplete clipping**

A 71-year-old female presented with A-com aneurysm with right A1 dominance [Figure 3a]. The patient was operated with right pterional craniotomy and transsylvian approach, and aneurysm was visualized, as shown in Figure 3b. Preclipping endoscopy was done [Figure 3c], which was showing multiple perforators at the neck of aneurysm which were separated and aneurysm was clipped with two clips [Figure 3d]. Postclipping endoscopy was done [Figure 3e], which was showing the second clip was not across aneurysm suggestive of incomplete clipping, hence, the readjustment of the second clip was done [Figure 3f].

**Discussion**

**History**

The first endoscopic neurosurgical procedure was performed in 1910 by V.L.L’Espinasse, an urologist from Chicago. He used a small rigid cystoscope to cauterize the choroid plexus of two hydrocephalic infants. In 1922, Dandy coined the term “ventriculoscope” and described the use of a rigid Kelly cystoscope to inspect the lateral ventricles of two hydrocephalic infants. In 1923, Mixter described the first successful third ventriculostomy procedure.
Figure 1: (a) Preoperative three-dimensional computed tomography scan showing anterior communicating aneurysm. (b) Intraoperative microscopic image showing aneurysm (shown by black arrow), ipsilateral A1 and A2. (c) Intraoperative microscopic image showing completely clipped anterior communicating aneurysm. (d) Intraoperative microscopic post indocyanine green image showing completely clipped aneurysm with no indocyanine green in aneurismal sac. (e) Intraoperative endoscopic image showing residual aneurysm sac under the clip. (f) Intraoperative microscopic image showing second clip applied for residual aneurysm.

Figure 2: (a) Preoperative three-dimensional computed tomography scan showing anterior communicating aneurysm with the right A1 dominance. (b) Intraoperative microscopic image showing aneurysm (a), right A1, left A1, and perforator (as shown by arrow). (c) Intraoperative endoscopic image showing perforator (shown by arrow) adherent to dome of the aneurysm. (d) Intraoperative microscopic image showing clipped aneurysm. (e) Intraoperative endoscopic view showing perforator getting kinked and part of its wall caught in the clip. (f) Intraoperative endoscopic view showing perforator (shown by black arrow) separated from the clip.

Figure 3: (a) Preoperative three-dimensional computed tomography scan showing anterior communicating aneurysm with the right A1 dominance. (b) Intraoperative microscopic view of aneurysm (a). (c) Intraoperative endoscopic view clearly showing perforator at the neck of aneurysm (shown by black arrow). (d) Intraoperative microscopic view showing two clips applied. (e) Intraoperative endoscopic view showing second clip not across the aneurysm suggestive of incomplete clipping. (f) Intraoperative endoscopic view showing clip position after the second clip readjustment.
procedure. In 1930, Tracy Putnam working with the urethroscope described by Mixter, treated a series of children with communicating hydrocephalus through choroid plexus coagulation. [9] Fukushima introduced his ventriculofiberoscope in 1973. Fukushima [10] described three important phases in the development of the application of endoscopic devices. The first phase (from 1910 to 1950s) involved endoscopic diagnosis and coagulation of choroid plexus to treat hydrocephalus; the second phase (initiated in the early 1970) involved the application of flexible fiber endoscopes; and the third phase involves the recent revival of endoscope assisted, minimally invasive surgical procedures.

**Advantages of endoscope in aneurysm surgery**

The endoscope with its higher magnification, better observation, and additional illumination can provide us with information that may not be available with the microscope. It can look around corners and allow observation of areas considered blind under the microscope. [6]

The endoscope provides a second perspective on the regional anatomic features and enables surgeons to develop a mental three-dimensional image of the aneurysm and its surroundings. During aneurysm surgery, maneuvers such as retraction or unnecessary dissection performed under inadequate microscopic observation can be dangerous. The straight line of view imposed by microscope results in inadequate observation of structures that lie behind the aneurismal sac. The use of endoscope during aneurysm surgery can overcome this “microscopic blind eye” and avoid disastrous complications. During endoscope-assisted aneurysm surgery, the endoscope can be used to inspect hidden structures, dissect perforators at the back of the aneurysm, identify important vessel segments without retraction of the aneurysm or arteries, and check for the completeness of clipping. [5]

Perneczky and Fries enumerated five ways in which microscopic and endoscopic images can be observed at the same time. [4] We connect the scope to a three-chip camera and the image is viewed on the monitor placed in front of the surgeon. The microscopic image is simultaneously monitored on a video monitor placed next to the endoscopic image monitor.

**Other authors experience**

In our experience, the use of endoscope changed the operative plan in six out of 25 cases (24% cases) of A-com aneurysm. In a series of 54 cases reported by Taniguchi et al., [11] the endoscope clarified the detailed additional regional anatomy in 9 cases (16.7%), and the surgeons reapplied the clip on the basis of endoscopic information obtained after the initial clipping in 5 cases (9.3%). In a series of studies by Kalavakonda et al., [1] the endoscope was used to observe the clip position in 75 of 79 cases (95%) and anatomic features in 26 (33%). In 15 (19%) aneurysms, key information such as parent artery, branches, perforators, the neck and back wall of the aneurysm, and completeness of clipping of the neck and inclusion of the parent artery in the clip could be visualized through the endoscope. The clip repositioned because of residual neck or inclusion of the parent artery in six cases, and the clip position was readjusted because of compression of the optic nerve in one case.

In a report by Fischer et al., [12] the endoscope was used to gain additional topographic information before clipping in 150 of 180 cases (83%). Depending on the endoscopic findings, rearrangement of the applied clip or additional clipping was found to be necessary in 26 of 130 cases (20%). In recent report by Galzio et al., [13] the endoscope provided additional information in 147 cases (71.4%), and clipping under the endoscopic view was done in 42 cases (20.4%). Reapplication of the clip was required in 42 cases (20.4%).

Our study findings coincide with these reports regarding application or readjustment of the clip rate (24%). Our study specifically deals with the use of endoscope in A-com aneurysm, for which no data are available in current literature. Apart from the use of endoscope for inspection of aneurysm, it could be also used for endoscopic ICG video angiography as described by Yoshioka and Kinouchi. [14]

**Complications**

We encountered no major complication related to the use of endoscope. Taniguchi et al. [11] encountered one patient with transient oculomotor palsy and one patient with an asymptomatic cerebral contusion in a series of 48 patients with 54 aneurysms. Van Lindert et al. [15] observed accidental rupture of four aneurysms in a series of 197 aneurysms. Yamada et al. [16] described the single-center experience for the intraoperative use of endoscope in aneurysm surgery, they did not report any complication related to the use of endoscope. The morbidity in their case series was not related to use the endoscope.

**Disadvantages**

Some disadvantages of the endoscope have been reported as follows: [11]

1. the endoscope can cause rupture of the aneurysm during initial inspection, 
2. three-dimensional views are not possible, 
3. when there is blood in the operative field, the endoscope is useless, 
4. there is still a lack of instrumentation specifically designed for endoscopic surgery, and 
5. bimanual surgery is impeded when the scope is handhold.

**Conclusion**

Simultaneous endoscopic and microscopic guidance can reveal important information hidden from the microscope and it can avoid potential complications. This method increases the safety and durability of the A-com aneurysmal clipping.
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