Bats are reservoir hosts of several emerging zoonotic RNA viruses (1). In particular, bats host a range of lyssaviruses, as has been reported from different continents (2). Presently, 7 species are recognized within the genus *Lyssavirus* (order Mononegavirales, family Rhabdoviridae): *Rabies virus* (RABV), *Lagos bat virus* (LBV), *Mokola virus* (MOKV), *Duvenhage virus* (DUVV), *European bat lyssavirus*, types 1 and 2, and *Australian bat lyssavirus*. Another 4 lyssaviruses, all isolated from bats, have been assigned to the genus as putative species: Aravan virus, Khujand virus, Irkut virus, and West Caucasian bat virus (WCBV) (3).

RABV infection of bats is known in the Americas, but not in the Old World. Four lyssavirus species have been documented in Africa. Of these, RABV and MOKV have been isolated exclusively from terrestrial mammals, whereas LBV and DUVV are associated with bats and have been isolated only occasionally from other mammals (4). On the basis of this diversity and on the serologic cross-reactivity of MOKV with African non-lyssa rhabdoviruses, it has been hypothesized that Africa is the continent of the origin and initial evolution of members of the genus *Lyssavirus* (5). However, this hypothesis has been called into question by the isolation of WCBV in southeastern Europe. WCBV is the most divergent member of the genus *Lyssavirus* to date and has long genetic distances and lack of serologic cross-reactivity to other lyssaviruses (6,7). Our study objective was to enhance pathogen discovery for zoonotic agents in African bats, with particular focus on lyssavirus surveillance in Kenya.

**The Study**

During 2006–2007, bats of at least 30 species were collected from 25 locations in Kenya (Figure 1, panel A; online Appendix Table, available from www.cdc.gov/EID/content/14/12/1887-appT.htm). The sample numbers and collection protocol were approved by the National Museum of Kenya and the Kenya Wildlife Service. Of the 1,221 bats collected, only 12 were sick or dead; the others appeared healthy. Captured animals were anesthetized by an intramuscular injection of ketamine hydrochloride (0.05–0.1 mg/g) and euthanized under sedation in compliance with a field protocol approved by the Animal Institute Care and Use Committee of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Bat size, sex, and species were identified. When phenotypic species determination was not possible, DNA specimens were submitted for identification to the University of Guelph (Ontario, Canada), where partial sequences of the cytochrome oxidase gene were generated and compared with those available from the Barcode of Life Data Systems (www.boldsystems.org).

The brain and other organs of bats were collected into sterile plastic tubes. Oral swabs were collected and placed in tubes containing Minimum Essential Medium (MEM-10, Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) as described elsewhere (10). Previous tests for lyssavirus antigen (8) were not performed. When histology and immunohistochemistry (fluorescent antibody test) were negative, the 277 brains that were collected in 2006 were homogenized and tested for virus isolation by the intracerebral mouse inoculation test as described elsewhere (9). Virus isolation was attempted for only a subset of brain samples (n = 210) from the specimens collected during 2007.

Total RNA was extracted from the oral swabs (n = 931) and subjected to nested reverse transcription–PCR, as described previously (10). We used primers designed for the nucleoprotein genes of LBV, MOKV, and WCBV. The virus neutralizing antibodies in bat serum samples were determined by a modification of the rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test. We used 4-well (6-mm) Teflon-coated glass slides (Cel-Line, Erie Scientific, Portsmouth, NH, USA) as described elsewhere (10). Previous tests for RABV neutralizing antibodies have demonstrated that re-
The neutralizing activity of each serum sample was determined against LBV, MOKV, DUVV, RABV, and WCBV. All samples were initially screened in dilutions of 1:10 and 1:25. Samples that showed reduced fluorescence or no fluorescence were subjected to additional titration in dilutions of 1:10 to 1:1,250. The 50% end-point neutralizing titers were calculated by the method of Reed and Muench (11). The samples that had a 50% end-point neutralizing titer >1 log10 were considered positive.

Circulation of LBV was detected in fruit bats *Eidolon helvum* and *Rousettus aegyptiacus* as described previously (10). No other viruses were isolated during the study, and no lyssavirus RNA was identified in oral swabs. However, virus-neutralizing activity against WCBV was detected in serum of *Miniopterus* insectivorous bats (Figure 2) from 4 of the 5 locations where these species were collected (online Appendix Table). Among 76 serum samples with WCBV-neutralizing activity (Figure 1, panel B), only 1 sample additionally neutralized DUVV, but no cross-neutralization to other lyssaviruses was detected. This observation supported specificity of the reaction and reliability of the selected cutoff threshold. The seroprevalence varied by roosts, 17% to 26% (95% confidence interval 17–27).

In general, seroprevalence among females (n = 201; seroprevalence 26%) was greater than that among males (n = 112; seroprevalence 19%). Although statistically insignificant ($\chi^2 = 2.38; p = 0.12$), this difference was consistent across locations 1, 13, and 20. Only females were available from location 8. At all locations, *Miniopterus* bats shared caves with other species of insectivorous and fruit bats. However, no serologic activity against WCBV was detected in these other species. Of note, serum from fruit bats *R. aegyptiacus* that shared caves with *Miniopterus* bats neutralized LBV but not WCBV. Conversely, serum from *Miniopterus* bats neutralized WCBV but not LBV. This finding suggests that bats of different species, even those roosting in the same caves, do not readily expose each other to lyssaviruses.

Conclusions

We found WCBV-neutralizing antibodies in bats in Africa. Because limited serologic cross-reactivity between lyssaviruses and other rhabdoviruses has been demonstrated (12), the WCBV seroprevalence we detected may have been caused by some other serologically related virus. However, to date no other agent that could cross-neutralize WCBV is known (7).

We cannot explain why 1 WCBV-neutralizing sample additionally neutralized DUVV. This finding could indicate nonspecific virus inhibition, or it could be evidence of coexposure of the bat to several lyssaviruses. Our inability to isolate viruses in this study is not surprising because lyssavirus prevalence in bat populations is usually low (<1%), even when seroprevalence is as high as 40%–70% (10,13,14). Indeed, the seroprevalence may reflect past exposures and peripheral virus activity rather than survival after clinical lyssavirus infection.

WCBV was first isolated in 2002 in southeastern Europe from *Miniopterus schreibersii* (6) bats, and only 1

---

**Figure 1.** A) Map of Kenya showing locations of the bat collections, numbered in order of collection. B) Antibody titers to West Caucasian bat virus (WCBV) in *Miniopterus* bats from 4 of the locations. A modified rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test for WCBV-neutralizing antibodies was used. Bats with 50% end-point neutralizing titers >1 log$_{10}$ were considered seropositive. Numbers of negative bats for each location are circled below the cutoff line.
isolate is available to date. Seroprevalence to this virus in African Miniopterus bats is intriguing. Perhaps WCBV and similar viruses are specifically associated with Miniopterus bats and distributed quite broadly. Miniopterus bats are common in the tropics and subtropics of the Old World (15). They segregate into large colonies in caves. For example, in Kenya, Miniopterus colonies consisted of thousands of bats. Many of these caves are regularly visited by local residents and by tourists. Although no data exist for WCBV pathogenicity in humans, the absence of reliable vaccine protection against this virus and the ability of WCBV to cause fatal encephalitis in animal models (7) suggest the need for improved surveillance and public education to avoid exposure to bats.

Acknowledgments

We thank Evelyne Mulama, Heather Burke, Dorine Bonyo, Edwin Danga, Leonard Nderitu, and Solomon Gikundi for excellent logistical support and for providing the laboratory facilities during our field trials. We appreciate the exceptional technical support Lydia Kigo provided during bat sampling. We are grateful to Alex Borisenko, Natalia Ivanova, and other staff of the University of Guelph as well as to Sergey Kruskop for assistance with bat species identification.

The study was supported in part by the Global Disease Detection program of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in Atlanta. J.C.B. received funds from the O.C. Hubert Fellowship (Atlanta); W.M. received funds from the National Research Foundation (South Africa).

Dr Kuzmin is an Associate Service Fellow in the rabies program, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. His interests include rabies epidemiology, ecology, and evolution, with special attention to bat lyssaviruses.

References

1. Calisher CH, Childs JE, Field HE, Holmes KV, Schountz T. Bats: important reservoir hosts of emerging viruses. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2006;19:531–45. DOI: 10.1128/CMR.00017-06
2. Kuzmin IV, Rupprecht CE. Bat rabies. In: Jackson AC, Wunner WH, editors. Rabies. 2nd ed. New York: Academic Press; 2007. p. 259–307.
3. Tordo N, Bennmansour A, Calisher C, Dietzgen RG, Fang RX, Jackson AO, et al. Rhabdoviridae. In: Fauquet CM, Mayo MA, Maniloff J, Desselberger U, Ball LA, editors. Virus taxonomy. VIIIth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. London: Elsevier; 2005. p. 623–44.
4. Nel LH, Rupprecht CE. Emergence of lyssaviruses in the Old World: the case of Africa. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2007;315:161–93. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-70962-6_8
5. Shope RE. Rabies-related viruses. Yale J Biol Med. 1982;55:271–5.
6. Kuzmin IV, Hughes GJ, Botvinkin AD, Orciari LA, Rupprecht CE. Phylogenetic relationships of Irkut and West Caucasian bat viruses within the Lyssavirus genus and suggested quantitative criteria based on the N gene sequence for lyssavirus genotype definition. Virus Res. 2005;111:28–43. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2005.03.008
7. Hanlon CA, Kuzmin IV, Blanton JD, Weldon WC, Manangan JS, Rupprecht CE. Efficacy of rabies biologics against new lyssaviruses from Eurasia. Virus Res. 2005;111:44–54. DOI: 10.1016/j.virusres.2005.03.009
8. Dean DJ, Abelseth MK, Atanasiu P. The fluorescent antibody test. In: Meslin FX, Kaplan MM, Koprowski H, editors. Laboratory techniques in rabies. 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1996. p. 88–93.
9. Koprowski H. The mouse inoculation test. In: Meslin FX, Kaplan MM, Koprowski H, editors. Laboratory techniques in rabies. 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1996. p. 80–6.
10. Kuzmin IV, Niezgoda M, Franka R, Agwanda B, Markotter W, Beagley JC, et al. Lagos bat virus in Kenya. J Clin Microbiol. 2008;46:1451–61. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00016-08
11. Aubert MFA. Methods of the calculation of titers. In: Meslin FX, Kaplan MM, Koprowski H, editors. Laboratory techniques in rabies. 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1996. p. 445–56.
12. Calisher CH, Karabatsos N, Zeller H, Digoutte JP, Tesh RB, Shope RE, et al. Antigenic relationships among rhabdoviruses from vertebrates and hematophagous arthropods. Intervirology. 1989;30:241–57.
13. Constantine DG, Tierkel ES, Kleckner MD, Hawkins DM. Rabies in New Mexico cavern bats. Public Health Rep. 1968;83:303–16.
14. Steece R, Altenbach JS. Prevalence of rabies specific antibodies in the Mexican free-tailed bat (Tadarida brasiliensis mexicana) at Lava Cave, New Mexico. J Wildl Dis. 1989;25:490–6.
15. Miller-Butterworth CM, Murphy WJ, O’Brien SJ, Jacobs DS, Springer MS, Teeling EC. A family matter: conclusive resolution of the taxonomic position of the long-fingered bats, Miniopterus. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24:1553–61. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msm076

Address for correspondence: Ivan V. Kuzmin, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop G33, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA; email: ikuzmin@cdc.gov
## Appendix Table. Lyssavirus and WCBV-neutralizing antibody detection in bat tissues, Kenya, 2006–2007*

| Location | Species | Tissue samples, no. positive/no. tested |
|----------|---------|----------------------------------------|
|          |         | Brains† | Oral swab‡ | Serum§ |
| 1        | Miniopterus spp. | 0/155 | 0/110 | 33/125 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/31 | 0/24 | 0/16 |
|          | Rousettus aegyptiacus | 0/76 | 0/75 | 0/76 |
| 2        | Hipposideros ruber | 0/4 | 0/4 | 0/3 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 |
|          | Rousettus aegyptiacus | 0/56 | 0/54 | 0/54 |
| 3        | Chaerophon pumilus | 0/8 | 0/8 | 0/8 |
|          | Eidolon helvum | 0/18 | 0/17 | 0/18 |
|          | Epomophorus labiatus | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/5 |
| 4        | Chaerophon pumilus | 0/3 | – | 0/4 |
|          | Chaerophon spp. | 0/8 | – | 0/8 |
| 5        | Eidolon helvum | 0/86 | 0/86 | 0/79 |
| 6        | Hipposideros ruber | 0/2 | – | 0/2 |
|          | Lissonycteris angolensis | 0/10 | – | 0/11 |
|          | Miniopterus inflatus | 0/12 | – | 0/11 |
| 7        | Chaerophon spp. | 0/17 | – | 0/16 |
|          | Neoromicia tenuipinnis | 0/4 | – | 0/4 |
| 8        | Miniopterus spp. | 0/47 | 0/50 | 9/47 |
|          | Rhinolophus hildebrandtii | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 |
|          | Rhinolophus landeri | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/4 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 |
| 9        | Rhinolophus landeri | 0/9 | 0/8 | 0/6 |
| 10       | Otomops martiensseni | 0/19 | – | 0/19 |
| 11       | Pipistrellus spp. | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/6 | – | 0/6 |
| 12       | Epomophorus wahlbergi | 0/3 | – | 0/3 |
|          | Epomophorus labiatus | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
| 13       | Miniopterus africanus | 0/29 | 0/31 | 5/29 |
|          | Rhinolophus hildebrandtii | 0/21 | 0/16 | 0/19 |
|          | Rhinolophus landeri | 0/2 | 0/2 | 0/2 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/4 | 0/4 | 0/4 |
| 14       | Pipistrellus spp. | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 |
| 15       | Chaerophon pumilus | 0/13 | 0/13 | 0/12 |
|          | Epomophorus wahlbergi | 0/2 | 0/2 | 0/2 |
|          | Nycteris spp. | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
|          | Neoromicia spp. | 0/2 | 0/2 | 0/2 |
| 16       | Coleura afra | 0/12 | 0/12 | 0/13 |
|          | Rhinolophus landeri | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/15 | 0/13 | 0/14 |
| 17       | Epomophorus wahlbergi | 0/7 | 0/8 | 0/8 |
|          | Nycteris spp. | 0/1 | 0/1 | 0/1 |
|          | Pipistrellus spp. | 0/2 | 0/2 | 0/1 |
| 18       | Chaerophon pumilus | 0/6 | – | 0/6 |
|          | Coleura afra | 0/18 | – | 0/2 |
|          | Taphozous spp. | 0/2 | – | 0/2 |
| 19       | Cardioderma cor | 0/12 | – | 0/11 |
|          | Species unidentified | 0/4 | – | 0/4 |
| 20       | Coleura afra | 0/5 | 0/2 | 0/1 |
|          | Hipposideros commersoni | 0/6 | 0/4 | 0/6 |
|          | Miniopterus minor | 0/134 | 0/120 | 29/112 |
|          | Nycteris hispida | 0/4 | 0/4 | 0/4 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
|          | Rousettus aegyptiacus | 0/107 | 0/106 | 0/93 |
|          | Triaenops persicus | 0/16 | 0/18 | 0/12 |
| 21       | Coleura afra | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
|          | Hipposideros commersoni | 0/10 | – | 0/10 |
|          | Rhinolophus spp. | 0/2 | – | 0/2 |
|          | Taphozous hildegardiae | 0/3 | – | 0/2 |
|          | Cardioderma cor | 0/14 | – | 0/13 |
| 22       | Pipistrellus spp. | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
|          | Rousettus aegyptiacus | 0/106 | 0/117 | 0/116 |
|          | Scotophilus spp. | 0/1 | – | 0/1 |
| 23       | Eidolon helvum | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| 24       | Chaerophon spp. | 0/20 | – | 0/19 |
| 25       | Total | 0/1,182 | 0/931 | 76/1,062 |

*WCBV, West Caucasian bat virus.
†Both the direct fluorescent antibody test and the mouse inoculation test were used for 487 samples; the direct fluorescent antibody test was used only for the remaining 695 samples.
‡Oral swabs tested by nested reverse transcription–PCR.
§Tested by rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test for WCBV–neutralizing antibody.