Pathogenomics Characterization of an Emerging Fungal Pathogen, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* in Greenhouse Tomato Production Systems
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In recent years, greenhouse-grown tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants showing vascular wilt and yellowing symptoms have been observed between 2015 and 2018 in North Carolina (NC) and considered as an emerging threat to profitability. In total, 38 putative isolates were collected from symptomatic tomatoes in 12 grower greenhouses and characterized to infer pathogenic and genomic diversity, and mating-type (*MAT*) idiomorphs distribution. Morphology and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) markers confirmed that all isolates were *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (FOL) and most of them were race 3. Virulence analysis on four different tomato cultivars revealed that virulence among isolates, resistance in tomato cultivars, and the interaction between the isolates and cultivars differed significantly (*P* < 0.001). Cultivar ‘Happy Root’ (*I-1, I-2, and I-3* genes for resistance) was highly resistant to FOL isolates tested. We sequenced and examined for the presence of 15 pathogenicity genes from different classes (*Fmk1, Fow1, Ftf1, Orx1, Pda1, PelA, PelD, Pep1, Pep2, elf-3, Rho1, Scd1, Snf1, Ste12, and Sge1*) and 14 secreted *In Xylem* (SIX) genes to use as genetic markers to identify and differentiate pathogenic isolates of FOL. Sequence data analysis showed that five pathogenicity genes, *Fmk1, PelA, Rho1, Sge1*, and *Ste12* were present in all isolates while *Fow1, Ftf1, Orx1, Peda1, Pep1, elf-3, Scd1*, and *Snf1* genes were dispersed among isolates. Two genes, *Pep2 and PelD*, were absent in all isolates. Of the 14 SIX genes assessed, *SIX1, SIX3, SIX5, SIX6, SIX7, SIX8, SIX12, and SIX14* were identified in most isolates while the remaining SIX genes varied among isolates. All isolates harbored one of the two mating-type (*MAT-1* or *MAT-2*) idiomorphs, but not both. The *SIX4* gene was present only in race 1 isolates. Diversity assessments based on sequences of the effector *SIX3*- and the translation elongation factor 1-α encoding genes *SIX3* and *tef1*-α, respectively were the most informative to differentiate pathogenic races of FOL and...
INTRODUCTION

The soil-borne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht. (anamorph) is a species complex and varies considerably in morphological and pathologic characteristics (Booth, 1971; O'Donnell et al., 2009). Members of this species complex infect many important host plants worldwide and typical symptoms are characterized by chlorosis of leaves, necrosis of vascular systems, and even death of colonized plants (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1975; Gordon and Martyn, 1997). Strains are classified into forma specialis (f. sp.) based on specialization to parasitize specific hosts (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1981; Katan, 1999). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (FOL) (Sacc.) W. C. Snyder and H. N. Hans is a xylem-colonizing fungus and has long been known to cause wilt on tomato in the United States (Walker, 1971; Nelson et al., 1983) and worldwide. *Fusarium* wilt of tomato has been commonly managed by planting resistant cultivars in fields (Stall, 1961; Anonymous, 1985; Jones et al., 1991).

The disease symptoms caused by FOL have been seen in multiple greenhouses in North Carolina (NC) before the current study. Based on our surveys, disease incidence ranged from 10 to 90% depending on tomato cultivars and greenhouse sites. Previous studies have documented genetic variations in FOL populations from fields (Volin and Jones, 1982; Davis et al., 1988; Chellemi et al., 1992; Marlatt et al., 1996; Bost, 2001), but pathogenic and genomic diversity of FOL isolates from greenhouses has not been investigated yet.

Traditionally, the identification and classification of pathogenic races of FOL were based on testing pathogenicity on different tomato cultivars that harbor different immunity (I) genes to confer resistance (R) (Takken and Rep, 2010). Three distinct pathogenic races have been reported worldwide (Armstrong and Armstrong, 1981; Mes et al., 1999). Race 1 is avirulent on tomato genotypes that have the I-1 gene, race 2 is virulent on I-1 genotypes but avirulent on I-2 genotypes, and race 3 is virulent on I-1 and I-2 genotypes but avirulent on I-3 and I-7 genotypes (Mes et al., 1999; Gonzalez-Cendales et al., 2016). Race 1 (Booth, 1971) and race 2 (Alexander and Tucker, 1945) were first reported in 1886 and 1945, respectively. In 1978, race 3 was detected in Australia (Grattidge and O’Brien, 1982). Subsequently, race 3 was found in several states in the United States (Volin and Jones, 1982; Davis et al., 1988; Chellemi et al., 1992; Marlatt et al., 1996; Bost, 2001), and Mexico (Valenzuela-Ureta et al., 1996). Although cultivar-specific pathogenicity was useful, this test was slow and laborious. To complement the conventional methods, molecular techniques have been used to investigate genetic differentiation, genetic diversity, phylogeny, and classification of pathogenic variants of *Fusarium oxysporum* to host plants.

One subset of genes includes pathogenicity genes. Such genes are directly involved in disease development under natural conditions, but these genes are not necessary to complete the life cycle of a pathogen *in vitro* (Schäfer, 1994; Idnurm and Howlett, 2001). Furthermore, these genes are classified according to their roles in the formation of infection structures, cell wall degradation, suppression of plant immunity, ability to respond to the host environment, production of toxins, and in signal cascades (Idnurm and Howlett, 2001; Jones and Dangl, 2006; van der Does and Rep, 2007; Cross, 2008; Hogenhout et al., 2009).

The term fungal effector refers to any protein synthesized by a pathogen that is exported to a potential host, which has the effect of making the host environment more beneficial to the pathogen (Lo Presti et al., 2015). Pathogenic strains of *Fusarium oxysporum* produce *Secreted In Xylem* (**SIX**) genes, and 14 **SIX** genes have been reported so far (Rep et al., 2004; Houterman et al., 2007; Lievens et al., 2009; Takken and Rep, 2010; Schmidt et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2016). The products of these genes are small cysteine-rich proteins secreted by FOL in infected-tomato plants (Houterman et al., 2007; van Dam et al., 2016). The molecular markers developed from these genes have provided robust PCR-based methods for identifying the host specificity of FOL isolated from plant tissues (Lievens et al., 2009; Jelinski et al., 2017).

For example, the **SIX**4 gene was able to identify race 1 isolates while sequence variations in the **SIX**3 gene can differentiate race 2 from race 3 isolates of FOL (Lievens et al., 2009). Typically, **SIX**1, **SIX**3, and **SIX**5 can act as avirulence (AVR) genes as they are recognized by immune receptors: I-3, I-2, and I-1, respectively (Rep et al., 2004, 2005; Houterman et al., 2008, 2009; Ma et al., 2015). Some evidence suggests that these genes can also act as virulence factors to promote host colonization through the manipulation of the hormone pathways and modulation of plant immunity (Dodds and Rathjen, 2010; de Sain and Rep, 2015; Ma et al., 2015). The presence of individual **SIX** genes and sequence variations within **SIX** genes have been identified and used to discriminate between isolates and races of FOL and several other formae speciales including *betae*, *canariensis*, *cepae*, *ciceris*, *conglutinans*, *cubece*, *fragariae*, *lili*, *medicaginis*, *melonis*, *niveum*, *passiflorae*, *pisi*, *radicis-cucumerinum*, *radicis-lycopersici*, *raphani*, *vasinfectum* and *zingiberi* (Lievens et al., 2009; Chakrabarti et al., 2011; Meldrum et al., 2012; Covey et al., 2014; Fraser-Smith et al., 2014; Laurence et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2016; van Dam et al., 2016). Besides, FOL, the **SIX**6 gene has been identified in *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *melonis*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-cucumerinum* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*
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(Lievens et al., 2009; Chakrabarti et al., 2011), and the SIX7 gene in *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lili* (Lievens et al., 2009). Although most SIX genes have been detected in field populations of FOL worldwide (Lievens et al., 2009), there is no or little information on the distribution and diversity of the SIX genes in FOL isolates from greenhouses and they may function to discern diversity within local populations.

In filamentous ascomycetes, the mating-type (*MAT*) locus has been cloned (Arie et al., 2000), and dissimilar ‘idiomorphic’ forms were referred to *MAT1-1* and *MAT1-2* genes (Turgeon and Yoder, 2000). Sexual reproduction occurs between individuals of the opposite mating type (Kronstad and Staben, 1997). The reproductive mode and *MAT*-based phylogenetic analyses were used to infer the evolution and genotypic diversity within *Fusarium oxysporum* (Arie et al., 2000; Clay and Kover, 1996) and reported three distinct lineages in FOL possibly occurring due to asexual reproduction (Kawabe et al., 2005). These genes offered information regarding the diversity of FOL greenhouse isolates.

The main goal of the current study was to circumscribe the problem as to the causal agent, differentiate the race(s) responsible, and to identify the presence and diversity of known pathogenicity genes and SIX genes in individual isolates. We characterized the 38 isolates of FOL from 12 tomato greenhouse production systems in NC through sequencing of housekeeping, pathogenicity genes, and SIX genes and compared the presence of these genes with the ability of the isolates to cause disease in tomato cultivars. We further examined the distribution of each *MAT* gene in all isolates of FOL to infer reproductive modes of FOL isolates. The information gained into race dynamics, genomic diversity, and genes associated with pathogenicity in FOL may inform disease management strategies in greenhouse tomato production systems.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection and Isolation of the Pathogen**

Greenhouse tomato growers with known problems, based on historical data from the Plant Disease and Insect Clinic (PDIC), North Carolina State University (NC State), Raleigh, NC, United States were contacted to ascertain current problems. Site visits were conducted to each greenhouse with cultured tomatoes with putative *Fusarium* wilt symptoms. Symptomatic tomato plants were collected from 12 greenhouses from the piedmont and foothills and eastern in NC in 2018; additional isolates were obtained from the PDIC but originally isolated between 2015 and 2017 (Figure 1A). Tomato plants showing typical wilt and yellowing symptoms (Figures 1B,C) were cut with a sterile razor and the presence of pinkish or brown discoloration of the vascular systems was examined (Figure 1D). To detect the causal agent, four stem sections (~3 mm²) were cut from the symptomatic tomato plants and submerged in 70% ethanol for 30 s and rinsed only one time in sterile distilled water. The stem sections were further disinfested within 3% Clorox (Clorox Company, Oakland, CA, United States) for 1 min and rinsed three times in sterile distilled water before plating. The four surface-sterilized sections were transferred with tweezers into Petri-dishes containing an acidified PDA (A-PDA) (4 g of potato starch, 20 g of dextrose and 15 g of agar/L of distilled water and amended with two antibiotics: ampicillin @ 0.06 g/L and rifampicin @ 0.024 g/L of medium). To identify the fungus, pure cultures incubated for 7 days at 28°C were examined using a dissecting microscope and a total of 38 single-spore isolates were recovered from 12 tomato greenhouses in NC (Table 1; note Fu 8 is not included). For long-term storage, mycelial plugs were prepared from each isolate and stored at −80°C.

**Identification of the Fungus**

Single-spore subcultures were grown on A-PDA at 28°C for 7 days in alternating dark and light 12-h photoperiods. Morphological characteristics such as colony growth, colony texture and pigmentation, the appearance of macro- and micro-conidia, shape, and the number of septa in macroconidia were examined as described previously (Booth, 1971; Nelson et al., 1983).

To extract genomic DNA, three fungal plugs were transferred to a 250 mL glass flask containing 50 mL of half-strength potato broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, United States) for 5 days on a shaker at 100 rpm. Mycelia were harvested by filtration with sterile Mira cloth and then frozen. Approximately 100 mg of mycelia were ground into powder under liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle. Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Plant Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. DNA was quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, United States). Each DNA sample was adjusted to 10 ng/µL using nuclease-free water and stored at 4°C. To identify the fungus, we ran the PCR as described previously (O’Donnell et al., 1998). The sequences of the translation elongation factor 1-α-encoding gene *tef1*-α of the test isolates were compared with the sequences from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database GenBank¹ as described below.

**Pathological and Molecular Characterization**

Pathogenicity is the ability of a pathogen to cause disease on a host (i.e., qualitative property) (Shaner et al., 1992; Casadevall and Pirofski, 1999). ‘Bonny Best’, a universal susceptible cultivar was used to test the pathogenicity of the isolates. Inoculum of each isolate was adjusted to approximately 1 × 10⁶ conidia/mL using a hemocytometer and used to inoculate 3 weeks-old tomato seedlings. Three seedlings per isolate were inoculated by root-dipping seedlings in a 100 mL inoculum suspension for 15 min. The negative control was treated with sterile distilled water. Inoculated plants were kept on a greenhouse bench and symptom development was monitored until 21 days after inoculation (DAI). The infected stem tissues exhibiting disease symptoms were brought to the laboratory and sections of stem tissues were plated on A-PDA agar plates to isolate the fungus. To complete Koch’s postulates, a hyphal tip portion of each isolate was transferred aseptically and cultured on an A-PDA plate for

---

¹https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/
FIGURE 1 | Map of North Carolina showing locations from where diseased tomato plants were collected from 12 greenhouses in six counties (A). Tomato plants showing yellow and wilt symptoms (B,C). The presence of pinkish or brown discoloration or browning of the vascular systems (with arrow) caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (FOL) on tomato cultivars that were grown in the greenhouses in North Carolina, and creamy and light pink to purple mycelial grown on acidic potato dextrose agar plate containing isolate Fu 1 of FOL (D).

7 days. Following sporulation, conidia were harvested and used to re-inoculate 3 weeks-old-tomato seedlings of ‘Bonny Best’ as described above. To further confirm *Fusarium* forma specialis, re-inoculated plants were selected 21 DAI, and crowns were cut with razor blades to check vascular discoloration, and crown and root rot symptoms. These stem tissues were used to re-isolate FOL isolates. Seven-days after incubation, the plates were examined for colony growth and color, and conidia morphology as described above.

The term virulence herein is used to describe the capacity of a pathogen to infect a host genotype (i.e., quantitative property) (Shaner et al., 1992; Casadevall and Pirofski, 1999) possessing *I*-genes for resistance to FOL. To identify the race of each isolate of FOL, four differential tomato cultivars were selected and used (Figure 2). The test cultivars included ‘Bonny Best’ (susceptible to races 1, 2, and 3 and used as a susceptible check), ‘Miracle Sweet’ (*I*-1 gene for resistance to race 1), ‘Red Defender’ (*I*-1 and *I*-2 genes for resistance to races 1 and 2), and ‘Happy Root’ (*I*-1, *I*-2, and *I*-3 genes for resistance to races 1, 2, and 3). The seed of the differential cultivars was purchased from Harris Seeds, Rochester, NY. Tomato seedlings were raised in a sandy soil substrate for 2 weeks. The root inoculation method (Williams, 1981) was used. Before inoculation, seedlings were uprooted and washed carefully with tap water to remove excess sand particles. Spore suspensions from seven-day-old cultures (1 × 10^6 conidia/mL) were used for inoculation. Roots of each cultivar were submerged in the inoculum for 15 min and transplanted to plastic pots containing a sterile 1:1 mixture of sand and soil. Seedlings dipped in sterile distilled water served as negative controls. Twelve seedlings of each cultivar were tested for each isolate and the control. The inoculated seedlings were placed in humidified chambers for 24 h and subsequently grown on a greenhouse bench, where day and night temperatures averaged 36° and 22°C, respectively. The experiments were laid out as a split-split plot design with isolate as the main plot and cultivar as the sub-plot. There were three replications (pots) per isolate and four plants per pot. Disease severity was assessed 7, 14, and 21 DAI as described previously (Marlatt et al., 1996). The area
### TABLE 1 | Descriptions of the 38 isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* collected from greenhouse tomato in North Carolina and used in this study.

| Isolate | Host cultivar from which isolated | Geographic origin (county) | Greenhouse Number | Year collected | PCR-based identification | Mating type | MtSSU gene<sup>b</sup> | Clade<sup>c</sup> |
|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Fu 1    | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 1                 | 2017           | +<sup>d</sup> | -<sup>e</sup> | + | - | 3 | 1 | - | A |
| Fu 2    | Brandy Boy                        | Alamance                  | 2                 | 2017           | + | - | + | + | 1 | 1 | - | B |
| Fu 3    | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 3                 | 2017           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | - | C |
| Fu 4    | Primo                             | Sampson                   | 4                 | 2017           | + | - | + | - | 1 | 1 | + | D |
| Fu 5    | Better Boy                        | Lee                        | 5                 | 2015           | + | - | + | - | 1 | 1 | + | B |
| Fu 6    | Unknown                           | Caldwell                   | 6                 | 2017           | + | - | + | + | 2 | + | n/a<sup>f</sup> |
| Fu 7    | Trolls                            | Wilkes                     | 7                 | 2015           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 8    | Big Dena                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2016           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 10   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 11   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | C |
| Fu 12   | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | n/a |
| Fu 13   | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 14   | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 15   | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 16   | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 17   | Geronimo                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | n/a |
| Fu 18   | Big Dena                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | + | A |
| Fu 19   | Big Dena                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | - | A |
| Fu 20   | Big Dena                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | - | A |
| Fu 21   | Big Dena                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | - | A |
| Fu 22   | Big Dena                          | Harnett                   | 8                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 1 | - | D |
| Fu 23   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 24   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 25   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 26   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 27   | Margureti                         | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 28   | Margureti                         | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 29   | Margureti                         | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 30   | Margureti                         | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 31   | Trust                             | Harnett                   | 9                 | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 32   | Unknown                           | Harnett                   | 10                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 33   | Unknown                           | Harnett                   | 10                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 34   | Unknown                           | Harnett                   | 10                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | D |
| Fu 35   | Unknown                           | Harnett                   | 10                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | D |
| Fu 36   | Fedrick                           | Harnett                   | 11                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 37   | Taymyr                            | Harnett                   | 11                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 38   | Muchoo                            | Harnett                   | 11                | 2018           | + | - | + | + | 3 | 2 | + | C |
| Fu 39   | Sungold                           | Harnett                   | 12                | 2018           | + | - | + | - | 1 | 1 | + | B |

<sup>a</sup>Presence of a gene was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay and sequencing. Uni primers amplified a 670 bp fragment from *F. o. f. sp. lycopersici* and *F. o. f. sp. radicis-lycopersici*; sprl primer amplified 947-bp fragment from *F. o. f. sp. radicis-lycopersici*; Sp13 primers amplified a 445 bp fragment from *F. o. f. sp. lycopersici* races 1 and 3, and Sp23 primers amplified a 518 bp fragment from *F. o. f. sp. lycopersici* races 2 and 3 (Hirano and Arie, 2006).

<sup>b</sup>Presence of a gene mitochondrial small subunit (mtSSU) by PCR assay and sequencing.

<sup>c</sup>Each isolate sequence was analyzed, and clade was determined based on the amplification of the SIX3 effector gene in Figure 6.

<sup>d</sup>+ = The presence of each gene was confirmed by PCR assay and sequencing.

<sup>e</sup>- = The absence of each gene was confirmed by PCR assay.

<sup>f</sup>n/a = data were not included for these isolates due to short or missing sequences.
under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated from disease severities (Shaner and Finney, 1977). Two independent experiments were conducted for virulence analysis. The virulence tests were conducted between October 2018 and August 2019. Data from both experiments were combined and analyzed using a PROC general linear model in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States). Differences in virulence among isolates, disease reactions on tomato cultivars, and the interaction between isolates and cultivars were calculated based on AUDPC values and significant differences were estimated at $P < 0.001$ or 0.0001. Virulence of the isolate was determined by the presence or absence and extent of host damage.

For the molecular-based identification and genetic diversity analysis, all primers were synthesized at IDT Inc., Coralville, IA, United States (Supplementary Table S1). Fusarium species-specific PCR assays were performed to identify species and forma specialis (Hirano and Arie, 2006). The Uni primers were used to confirm *Fusarium oxysporum* while the sprl primers were used to discriminate between *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (FOL, causes Fusarium wilt) and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* (FORL, causes Fusarium crown and root rot). To identify pathogenic races, sp13, and sp23 primers and PCR conditions were used as described previously (Hirano and Arie, 2006). The PCR products were separated on 1.5% (wt/vol) agarose (Applied Biological Materials, Inc., Richmond, BC, Canada) gel in 1× TAE buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, and 1 mM EDTA) containing 0.001% (v/v) Gel red (Biotium, Inc., Union City, CA, United States). A 100 bp DNA marker (Invitrogen™) was loaded in each gel to determine the amplified fragment size. The gel was run under 100 volts for 90 min and photographs were taken using a molecular gel imager (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, United States).

**Characterization of Genomic Regions Underlying the Pathogenicity Genes and Effector Genes**

Fifteen pathogenicity genes involved in signaling pathways, cell-wall degradation, and transcriptional factors regulating gene expression and conferring virulence to *F. oxysporum* (Idnurm and Howlett, 2001; Husaini et al., 2018) and described previously in other *F. oxysporum* f. spp. (Covey et al., 2014; Ellis et al., 2016) were examined. The 15 pathogenicity genes included the Fusarium transcription factor (*Ftf1*), putative oxidoreductase 1 (*Orx1*), pea pathogenicity peptide 1 and 2 (*Pep1* and *Pep2*), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 (*eIF-3*), ras-like GTP-binding protein (*Rho1*), stearoyl-CoA desaturase (*Scd1*), carbon catabolite-derepressing protein kinase (*Snf*), and transcription factor involved in pheromone response (*Ste12*), mitogen-activated protein kinase (*Fmk1*), a mitochondrial carrier protein (*Fow1*), pisatin demethylase 1 (*Pda1*), pectate lyase A (*PelA*), pectate lyase D (*PelD*), and nuclear protein or SIX gene expression 1 (*Sge1*) (Supplementary Table S1; Covey et al., 2014; Ellis et al., 2016). The 14 SIX genes were assessed to detect the presence of these genes associated with virulence as described previously (Lievens et al., 2009; Meldrum et al., 2012; Covey et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2016). The confirm the amplification, 5 µL amplified PCR product of each sample was run on a 1.5%
(wt/vol) agarose gel stained with Gel red. The remaining 6 μL of each sample was sequenced at the Genomic Sciences Laboratory (GSL), North Carolina State University (NC State), Raleigh, NC, United States.

Phylogenetic Analysis
To investigate phylogenetic relationships, the mitochondrial small subunit (mtSSU), internal transcribed spacer (ITS), tef1-α, and SIX3 genes were selected based on our preliminary results and PCR was performed as described previously (White et al., 1990; O’Donnell et al., 1998; Covey et al., 2014). Sequences of each gene were edited by using Geneious v.11.1.4 (1 May 2018, Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand). Consensus nucleotide sequences of each gene of each isolate were obtained and used for phylogenetic analysis. Sequences of the FOL representative isolates BFOL-51, and IPO3 and MM10 were included from GenBank as positive controls for race 3 and race 1, respectively, and F. odoratissimum isolate Ara1 was used as the outgroup member. The sequences of each gene were analyzed using the Tamura-Nei genetic distance model (Tamura and Nei, 1993). Bootstrap analysis was used to determine the statistical support for each branch of trees generated with 1,000 replications.

Comparison of the Translation Elongation Factor 1-α Encoding Gene tef1-α Sequences Between the Current Isolates From Greenhouse Tomato Production Systems and Field Isolates of FOL
The DNA sequences of the translation elongation factor 1-α-encoding gene tef1-α of each isolate of FOL were trimmed and aligned using MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). To further compare the phylogenetic relationships between the isolates from the greenhouses in NC and field populations, tef1 gene sequences of field isolates of FOL and other F. oxysporum f. spp. and Fusarium spp. were downloaded from GenBank2 (Table 2). Sequences of the field populations of FOL included strains from the United States (isolate MM10 from Arkansas; CA92/95, FOLR2, and DF0-23 from California; BE1, JB53, MN-24, DA-1, MN-0805, and NRRL 26037 from Florida and OSU-451 from Ohio), Australia (isolate 14844), Israel (isolate 24L), The Netherlands (isolate E175) and South Korea (isolate TF103). Also, the tef1-α gene encoding the translation elongation factor sequences of 19 other forma specialae of F. oxysporum such as albedinis, batatas, callistephi, cepae, cubense, dianthi, fabae, heliotropii, lactucae, lini, matthiolae, medicaginis, melonis, narcissi, phaseoli, radicis-lycopersici, rhois, spinaciae, and vasinfectum, and four Fusarium spp. (e.g., commune, foetens, hostae, and redolens) were included as outgroup members from GenBank2. Phylogenetic relationships were analyzed using the maximum-likelihood (ML) method based on the Jukes-Cantor model (Jukes and Cantor, 1969) with 1,000 replications. Phylogenetic trees were assembled with each isolate of FOL and reference isolates of other oxysporum f. spp. and Fusarium spp. using the T-BAS v.2.0 (Carbone et al., 2017). The tree was drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. A bootstrap value of 80% was considered as the threshold for good confidence.

Mating Type Analysis
To determine the MAT gene, genomic DNA from the 38 isolates was amplified with mating-type (MAT) primer combinations. All PCR conditions and cycles were performed (Supplementary Table S1) as described previously (Yun et al., 2000; Ellis et al., 2014). The PCR products were visualized and sized using the 100 bp DNA marker (New England BioLabs Inc., Ipswich, MA, United States).

RESULTS
Identification of the Fungus
All isolates exhibited taxonomic features similar to Fusarium oxysporum as described previously (Booth, 1971; Nelson et al., 1983). Mycelia were dense, and color and pigmentation of the isolates varied between white to creamy and light pink to purple (Figure 1D). All isolates produced both macroconidia and microconidia. Macroconidia were sickle-shaped but the color, size, and seaptate of macroconidia varied among isolates. To further confirm the forma specialis, the spr1 primer did not amplify any DNA sample but the uni primers amplified 670 bp fragments from all isolates tested. All 38 isolates were putatively identified as FOL and used for further studies.

Pathological and Molecular Characterization
All isolates (except one isolate: Fu 6) caused typical wilt and chlorosis symptoms on the susceptible cultivar ‘Bonny Best’ (Figure 2) and were like those observed on the original diseased plants. Re-isolated cultures that were inoculated on the susceptible cultivar ‘Bonny Best’ developed typical chlorosis and vascular wilt, thus fulfilling Koch’s postulates. All isolates were nonpathogenic on cv. ‘Happy Root’ (Figure 3). Isolate Fu 4 produced 35, 18, and 26 AUDPC values on ‘Bonny Best’, ‘Miracle Sweet’, and ‘Red Defender’, respectively. Six isolates, Fu 2, Fu 5, Fu 31, Fu 34, Fu 37, and Fu 39 did not induce symptoms or had low AUDPC values on ‘Miracle Sweet’ or ‘Red Defender’. AUDPC values for the remaining isolates ranged from 621 to 1418 on ‘Bonny Best’, 18 to 1085 on ‘Miracle Sweet’, and 53 to 630 on ‘Red Defender’ (Figure 3). Of the 38 isolates assessed, 3 isolates were assigned to race 1, and 34 isolates were race 3 (Table 2). One isolate Fu 6 caused no symptoms in any cultivars tested and was judged to be a nonpathogenic isolate. To further analyze race 3 isolates, ANOVA indicated a significant (P < 0.0001) difference in virulence among race 3 isolates and resistance among tomato cultivars, and the interaction between race 3 isolates and cultivars was also significant (F value = 3.11 at P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table S2). The sp13 primers amplified a DNA fragment of 445 bp from all isolates of

2https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/
### TABLE 2 | The geographic location of origins, accession numbers, and isolates of *F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici* (FOL) sampled from greenhouse tomato production systems in North Carolina (NC) and FOL field and other *Fusarium* spp. isolates in GenBank.

| Species | Isolate no. | Race | State | Country | Accession no. |
|---------|-------------|------|-------|---------|---------------|
| *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici* isolates from greenhouses in NC | Fu 1 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917748 |
| | Fu 2 | 1 | North Carolina | United States | MK917749 |
| | Fu 3 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917750 |
| | Fu 4 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917751 |
| | Fu 5 | 1 | North Carolina | United States | MK917752 |
| | Fu 6 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917753 |
| | Fu 7 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917754 |
| | Fu 9 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917755 |
| | Fu 10 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917756 |
| | Fu 11 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917757 |
| | Fu 12 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917758 |
| | Fu 13 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917759 |
| | Fu 14 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917760 |
| | Fu 15 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917761 |
| | Fu 16 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917762 |
| | Fu 17 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917763 |
| | Fu 18 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917764 |
| | Fu 19 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917765 |
| | Fu 20 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917766 |
| | Fu 21 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917767 |
| | Fu 22 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917768 |
| | Fu 23 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917769 |
| | Fu 24 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917770 |
| | Fu 25 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917771 |
| | Fu 26 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917772 |
| | Fu 27 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917773 |
| | Fu 28 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917774 |
| | Fu 29 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917775 |
| | Fu 30 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917776 |
| | Fu 31 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917777 |
| | Fu 32 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917778 |
| | Fu 33 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917779 |
| | Fu 34 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917780 |
| | Fu 35 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917781 |
| | Fu 36 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917782 |
| | Fu 37 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917783 |
| | Fu 38 | 3 | North Carolina | United States | MK917784 |
| | Fu 39 | 1 | North Carolina | United States | MK917785 |
| *F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici* from fields | MM10 | 3 | Arkansas | United States | FJ790393 |
| | DF0-23 | 2 | California | United States | HM057295 |
| | OSU451 | 2 | Ohio | United States | HM057335 |
| | FOLR2 | n/a | California | United States | DQ837692 |
| | CA92/95 | 3 | California | United States | FJ90387 |
| | BE1 (5397) | 3 | Florida | United States | HM057293 |
| | JBF5 | 3 | Florida | United States | HM057315 |
| | MN-24 | 3 | Florida | United States | HM057331 |
| | DA-1 | 3 | Florida | United States | HM057333 |
| | MN-0805 | 3 | Florida | United States | HM057288 |
| | NRRL 26037 | 3 | Florida | United States | AF08498 |
| | TF103 | 3 | South Korea | United States | KC419184 |
| | FOL-24L | 2 | Israel | United States | FJ90383 |
| | E175 | 1 | Netherlands | United States | FJ790391 |

(Continued)
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Species                        | Isolate no. | Race | State   | Country     | Accession no. |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|---------|-------------|---------------|
| F. o. f. sp. radicis-lycopersici | HE-0616     | 3    | Florida | United States | FJ790386     |
| F. o. f. sp. albedinis        | NRRL 26622  |      |         |              | DQ837688     |
| F. o. f. sp. batatas          | NRRL 22535  |      |         |              | DQ837678     |
| F. o. f. sp. callistephi      | NRRL 25231  |      |         |              | DQ837680     |
| F. o. f. sp. cepae            | NRRL 22538  |      |         |              | DQ837681     |
| F. o. f. sp. callistephi      | NRRL 25231  |      |         |              | DQ837680     |
| F. o. f. sp. cibicapsina      | E421A3      |      | Florida | United States | KP964892     |
| F. o. f. sp. cibicapsina      | R207        |      |         |              | KP964896     |
| F. o. f. sp. fabae            | NRRL 26411  |      |         |              | DQ837684     |
| F. o. f. sp. heliotropii      | BMP1300     |      |         |              | DQ837658     |
| F. o. f. sp. lactucae         | S1          |      |         |              | DQ837657     |
| F. o. f. sp. lactucae         | FK09701     |      |         |              | DQ837694     |
| F. o. f. sp. lini             | FOLIN       |      |         |              | KP964895     |
| F. o. f. sp. matthiolae       | NRRL 22545  |      |         |              | DQ837682     |
| F. o. f. sp. medicaginis      | NRRL 22546  |      |         |              | DQ837690     |
| F. o. f. sp. melonis          | TX388       |      |         |              | DQ837696     |
| F. o. f. sp. narcissi         | FOXN139     |      |         |              | KP964902     |
| F. o. f. sp. phaseoli         | NRRL 26445  |      |         |              | DQ837686     |
| F. o. f. sp. rhois            | NRRL 26227  |      |         |              | DQ837683     |
| F. o. f. sp. spinaciae        | NRRL 26871  |      |         |              | DQ837677     |
| F. o. f. sp. vassinfectum     | NRRL 22536  |      |         |              | DQ837679     |
| F. o. f. sp. vassinfectum     | FOV14       |      |         |              | DQ837695     |
| F. commune                    | NRRL 28387  |      |         |              | HM057338     |
| F. foetens                    | NRRL 31852  |      |         |              | HM057337     |
| F. hostae                     | NRRL 29889  |      |         |              | HM057340     |
| F. proliferatum               | 31X4        |      |         |              | DQ837697     |
| F. redolens                   | NRRL 31075  |      |         |              | HM057339     |

a*Based on the Translation elongation factor 1-α-encoding gene tEF1-α sequences.* b n/a = not available.

race 1 and race 3, while the sp23 primers amplified a DNA fragment of 518 bp from only isolates belonging to race 3. PCR assay confirmed that three isolates (Fu 2, Fu 5, and Fu 39) belonged to race 1 while the remaining 35 isolates were race 3 (Table 1).

### Characterization of Genomic Regions Underlying the Pathogenicity Genes and Effector Genes

Tests for the presence of the 15 pathogenicity genes by PCR assays revealed considerable variation among isolates. For example, Fmk1, PelA, Rhol, Sge1, and Ste12 genes were present in all isolates, while five genes, Fow1, Ftf1, Orx1, Snf1, and eIF-3 were not detected in several isolates (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table S3). Two genes, Scd1 and Pep1 were detected in nearly 74% and 45% of isolates, respectively. The presence (5.3%) of the Pda1 gene was associated with one nonpathogenic isolate Fu 6 and another pathogenic race 3 isolate Fu 21. In contrast, two genes, PelD and Pep2 were absent in all isolates tested (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table S3). Of the 14 SIX genes analyzed, SIX1, SIX2, SIX3, SIX5, SIX6, SIX7, SIX8, SIX10, and SIX12 were detected in more than 95% of the isolates while SIX9, SIX11, SIX13, and SIX14 genes were identified in 70% to 92% of the isolates (Figure 4B). The SIX4 gene was detected only in three race 1 isolates (Fu 2, Fu 5, and Fu 39) (Figure 4B). In contrast, SIX5 and SIX7 genes were absent in the nonpathogenic isolate Fu 6.

### Phylogenetic Analysis

Sequence data analysis showed the mtSSU gene product was amplified from nearly 61% of the isolates and these sequences were less informative to discriminate among FOL isolates (Table 1). Although the ITS gene region separated the FOL isolates into three clades, this gene was also not useful for distinguishing FOL races due to low taxonomic resolution (Figure 5). The SIX3 gene-based tree analysis revealed four clades and demonstrated the relationship between the SIX3 gene and race groupings (Figure 6). For example, clade A had 11 race 3 isolates while clade B contained only three race 1 isolates (Fu 2, Fu 5, and Fu 39) (Figure 6). Clade C consisted of 17 race 3 isolates while clade D contained 4 race 3 isolates and one weakly virulent isolate Fu 4. Within each clade, the SIX3 gene sequences showed 98 to 100% nucleotide similarity.
Comparison of the Translation Elongation Factor 1-α Encoding Gene tef1-α Sequences Between the Current Isolates From Greenhouse Tomato Production Systems and Field Isolates of FOL

In our initial study, the translation elongation factor 1-α-encoding gene tef1-α was successfully amplified from all FOL isolates recovered from greenhouses. The BLAST analysis of the tef1-α gene sequence data supported the morphological identification, whereby the closest match (99-100% similarity) in the NCBI GenBank database was found to be FOL. Furthermore, this locus was chosen because in previous studies this gene revealed the greatest nucleotide diversity when compared with the other loci tested to date within the F. oxysporum f. spp. complex (O’Donnell et al., 2009; Ellis et al., 2014; Nirmaladevi et al., 2016). The sequences of the tef1-α gene were obtained for each isolate and other F. oxysporum f. spp. and Fusarium species and deposited in the NCBI GenBank database (Table 2).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that FOL consisted of at least three major clades. Among them, clade 1 contained three-race 1 isolates from the greenhouses. These isolates were grouped with field race 1 and race 2 isolates and three other F. oxysporum f. spp. such as batas, callistephi and vasinfectum, which was supported by a bootstrap value of 86% (Figure 7). The nonpathogenic isolate Fu 6 from greenhouse formed a distinct clade with F. oxysporum f. spp. lini, melonis, spinaciae and vasinfectum with a bootstrap value of 95%. One weakly aggressive ‘race 3’ isolate Fu 4 clustered with F. o. f. sp. radicis-lycopersici. Interestingly, all 33 FOL isolates recovered from greenhouses and known to be race 3 were clustered into clade 2 and clade 3. Clade 2 contained 14 race 3 isolates from greenhouses in NC along with six race 3 field isolates from Florida (BE1, JBF5, and NRRL 26037), California (CA92/95), Australia (14844), and Israel (24L). This clade 2 was supported by the bootstrap value of 83% and was 98 to 100% identical to tef1 gene sequences. ML analysis resulted in clade 3 and all strains appeared nearly identical with a similar bootstrap value of 82% (Figure 7). Clade 3 consisted of 19 race 3 isolates recovered from greenhouses and four previously reported race 3 isolates from fields in Florida (DA-1, MN-24, and MN0805), South Korea (TF103), and from Arkansas (MM10).

Mating Type Analysis

As expected, a single DNA fragment matching either the MAT1-1 or MAT1-2 allele was amplified in each isolate. Based on PCR amplification, a fragment of 593 bp was present for MAT1-1 and a fragment of 229 bp was amplified for MAT1-2. In total, 18 isolates had the MAT1-1 locus and 20 isolates had the MAT1-2 locus (Figure 5 and Table 1). The presence of both MAT loci was not detected in any isolate tested.

Pathogenic and Genetic Variation of FOL Isolates Within a Greenhouse

Fu 9 to Fu 22 were isolated from greenhouse # 8 and three different cultivars (Table 1). However, variation existed within this population. The majority were mating type 1 except Fu 9 and Fu 22. Most of the isolates clustered within rDNA clade 3 except...
FIGURE 4 | The presence of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays targeting the pathogenicity genes and SIX genes in the 38 isolates of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici collected from the 12 tomato greenhouses in North Carolina. The presence was defined as the detection of PCR amplicons and sequences of each gene. The presence of 15 pathogenicity genes (A), and the presence of 14 SIX genes (B).

Fu 9 and Fu 11 which clustered in clades 2 and 1, respectively (Figure 5). These two isolates also clustered within clade 3 based on the tef1 gene sequences and all other isolates clustered within clade 3 (Figure 7). Likewise, most isolates were clustered within clade A based on the SIX3 gene sequence, except Fu 9 and Fu 11 that were in clade C and Fu 22 that clustered in clade D (Figure 6). ANOVA analysis using data from pathogenicity assays on ‘Bonny Best’ only (data not shown) revealed Fu 14 was the most virulent (value of 1330) and was similar to Fu 20, Fu 11, Fu 13, and Fu 15 (AUDPC values in descending order). These were significantly more virulent than the least virulent isolates (Fu 22, Fu 9, Fu 18, and Fu 19, ordered in descending order of AUDPC values) (Supplementary Table S4). The nine isolates from greenhouse #9 all had the same mating type and were present in the same SIX3 clade and tef1-α clade. Fu 26 and Fu 25 were more virulent on ‘Bonny Best’ than Fu 30 and Fu 23; the remaining isolates from greenhouse #9 were intermediate (Supplementary Table S4). The four isolates (Fu 32, Fu 33, Fu 34 and Fu 35) from greenhouse #10 had identical profiles, including virulence on ‘Bonny Best’, but two isolates (Fu 32 and Fu 33) were within the SIX3 gene clade C and two isolates (Fu 34 and Fu 35) within clade D. The three isolates (Fu 36, Fu 37 and Fu 38) from greenhouse #11 were also identical to one another (mating type 2, SIX3 gene clade C, tef1-α gene clade 3) except Fu 36 were more virulent on ‘Bonny Best’ than Fu 38 while Fu 37 was intermediate (Supplementary Table S4).

DISCUSSION

Fusarium wilt has not been a major problem in greenhouse tomato production systems in NC due to the deployment of host resistance to known races of FOL. However, multiple reports of wilting and dying greenhouse-tomato plants occurred within a very short and recent period, implying an emerging disease problem, and prompting the need for this study. Isolates were recovered from 12 greenhouses and multiple isolates were cultured, and in some cases, from multiple tomato cultivars, which lack genes for resistance to FOL. The emergent nature of this problem compelled a detailed analysis of the causal agents. All pathogenic isolates were classified as Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici. The data showed a strong cultivar × isolate interaction and mainly races 1 and 3 were present in the greenhouses in NC. Race 1 isolates originated from greenhouses in Alamance, Harnett, and Lee counties while most FOL isolates were race 3 from greenhouses in Harnett, Sampson, and Wilkes counties. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of race 3 in greenhouse tomato production systems in NC. Disease
assays documented a significant difference in the severity of symptoms and this pathogenic diversity was complemented by assessing the diversity of pathogenicity genes and effector genes within the population.

The 14 SIX genes have been identified and investigated (Rep et al., 2004; Houterman et al., 2007; Lievens et al., 2009; Takken and Rep, 2010; Taylor et al., 2016) and their presence or absence, and the gene sequence of SIX3, were documented for the isolates in this study. Among these, eight genes (SIX1, SIX3, SIX5, SIX6, SIX7, SIX8, SIX10, and SIX12) were detected in the majority of the greenhouse isolates while the remaining five genes (SIX2, SIX9, SIX11, SIX13, and SIX14) were present in some isolates. We found the SIX4 gene (also known as AVR1) only in race 1 isolates and it was absent in all race 3 isolates analyzed (Houterman et al., 2009). SIX4 or AVR1 was likely recognized by the I-1 gene to confer specific resistance in tomato cultivar ‘Miracle Sweet’ to race 1 (Houterman et al., 2008). Two AVR2 and AVR3 genes also act as virulence factors in the absence of I-genes (Rep et al., 2004; Houterman et al., 2009). Most race 3 isolates identified in this study contained both SIX3 and SIX5 or AVR2 and SIX1 or AVR3, which were recognized by the I-2, and I-2 and I-3 genes containing cultivar ‘Red Defender’ and ‘Happy Root’, respectively (Rep et al., 2004; Houterman et al., 2009). As a result, cultivar ‘Happy Root’ (I-1, I-2, and I-3 genes) exhibited resistance to both races 1 and 3 isolates of FOL.

The presence of the remaining SIX genes in this study has similarly been associated with the pathogenicity of *F. oxysporum* isolates on tomato (SIX1-SIX7 genes; Lievens et al., 2009), cotton, soybean, common bean (SIX6 gene; Chakrabarti et al., 2011; Ellis et al., 2016), and banana (SIX1, SIX7, and SIX8 genes; Meldrum et al., 2012). SIX1, SIX3, SIX4, SIX5, and SIX6 have all been shown to make a direct contribution to virulence (Rep, 2005; Houterman et al., 2009; Takken and Rep, 2010; Thatcher et al., 2012; Gawehns et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015). Interestingly, some of these genes can also evade host immunity by suppressing R gene-mediated resistance (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Husaini et al., 2018). For example, SIX1 contributes directly to root penetration and invasion of xylem vessels (Rep et al., 2004, 2005; van der Does et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2010). Remarkably, interactions between FOL SIX genes and tomato cultivars with corresponding resistance genes have been useful to distinguish pathogenic races in FOL (Houterman et al., 2008; Takken and Rep, 2010). SIX8 is a multi-copy gene in FOL but has been detected in formae speciales of cucurbits (van Dam et al., 2016). There are no reports of the function of SIX7, SIX9, SIX13, and SIX14, awaiting additional research.

Of the 15 pathogenicity genes assessed, five genes (*Fmk1, Pel1, Rho1, Sge1, and Ste12*) were present in most isolates, suggesting that these genes may be contributing to pathogenicity of individual isolates of FOL. However, the presence of eight genes (*Fowl, Ptf1, Orx1, Ped1, Pep1, elf-3, Scd1, and Snfl*) showed variation, indicating a partial association of the individual genes with pathogenicity in FOL. These genes also have very close homologs in other *F. oxysporum* f. spp. (Inoue et al., 2002; Martinez-Rocha et al., 2008; Michielse et al., 2009; Rispail and Di Pietro, 2009; Wong Sak Hoi and Dumas, 2010) and have been used to discriminate pathogenic and nonpathogenic isolates, with mixed success (Covey et al., 2014; Ellis et al., 2016).
Two genes, Pep2 and PelD, were not amplified in all isolates tested, suggesting that these genes do not have a specific role in pathogenicity on tomato. Our finding showed that one isolate (Fu 6) was nonpathogenic on tomato cultivars but appeared to belong to race 3 using race-specific DNA markers (Hirano and Arie, 2006). Although we were able to detect putative genes that may be contributing to pathogenicity to tomato, we were unable to identify a single genetic marker to differentiate this nonpathogenic isolate from other race 3 isolates of FOL.

The functions of these genes in disease susceptibility have been investigated in the past using molecular, genomic, proteomic, and high-throughput-sequencing approaches. For examples, the Fmk1 gene was found to be involved in the signal transduction pathway, which can regulate various infection processes of *F. oxysporum* such as the formation of infection hyphae, root attachment and penetration, vascular colonization, and invasive growth on the living plant tissue (Di Pietro et al., 2001). Another gene, Rho1 was required for structural alterations in the cell walls and virulence (Martinez-Rocha et al., 2008). Target mRNA produced by the Ftf gene was tested in *F. oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli* and FOL using RNAi gene silencing and attenuation of *Ftf* gene expression resulted in a marked reduction in virulence, indicating that the *Ftf* gene acts as a regulator of virulence of *F. oxysporum f. sp.* (Ramos et al., 2007; Niño-Sánchez et al., 2016; van der Does et al., 2016). *Pda1* encodes a pisatin demethylase that detoxifies the phytoalexin pisatin produced in the roots of pea (Han et al., 2001). *Ste12* encodes a homeodomain transcription factor that regulates invasive growth downstream of the *Fmk1* pathway (Rispail and Di Pietro, 2009). Importantly, *Sge1* can regulate the expression of *SIX* genes, which is required for colonization of the xylem system and disease development (Michielse et al., 2009). Recently, the homolog of the *Sge1* transcription factor has been identified in *F. oxysporum f. sp. cubense* TR4, which was involved in colonization of banana roots and pathogenicity (Michielse et al., 2009).

Sequence analysis of the *tef1-α* gene was helpful to understand the diversity of isolates found in NC greenhouses compared to others isolated from various regions of the world. Previous analyses of field populations of FOL identified three races (1, 2, and 3) in the United States including NC (Stall, 1961; Jones and Litrell, 1965; Booth, 1971; Volin and Jones, 1982; Cai et al., 2003). As with the *SIX3* gene analysis, the *tef1-α* gene diversity enabled grouping of race 1 isolates and these were clustered among race 1 and race 2 strains from various regions of the world. Comparative analysis of the *tef1-α* gene sequences between greenhouse isolates and field populations demonstrated that the...
race 3 clades from greenhouses formed two distinct clades that genetically overlapped with previously identified race 3 field isolates from California and Florida, and even from Australia, Israel, and South Korea. In the United States, FOL race 3 was first reported in Manatee County, Florida (Volin and Jones, 1982) and subsequently, it was disseminated to other tomato-producing states including NC from Florida (Gale et al., 2003). The high level of overlap demonstrates the greenhouse isolates are part of a global population and therefore it is difficult to attribute the source of inoculum to any one source. However, the source of inoculum from an epidemiological perspective is of great importance to the industry. The emergence of the disease, caused predominantly by race 3, indicates introduced inoculum into the greenhouse systems through multiple and independent events. Elucidating the source of this inoculum is an important goal for future studies. Prevention of FOL introductions into a closed greenhouse system is one of the most important integrated disease management (IPM) tactics growers can implement. The tef1-α gene sequences also clustered the weakly virulent isolate Fu 4 with *F. o. f. radicis-lycopersici* and another nonpathogenic isolate Fu 6 was clustered with the members of other formae specialis such as *F. o. f. lini*, *F. o. f. melonis*, *F. o. f. spinaciae*, and *F. o. f. vasinfectum*. These data suggest Fu 4 and Fu 6 are FOL strains.

An important goal in our study was to discern if the emerging problem was due to the same haplotype, suggesting a common source of inoculum. The sequences of the SIX3 gene were the most informative, partitioning the population into four distinct clades; clade B comprised the race 1 isolates and the race 3 isolates were grouped into three additional clades. These data indicate the isolates do not have a monophyletic origin. In fact, in greenhouse #8 from where multiple isolates were secured, several genotypes were discovered representative of each race 3 clades. These data suggest there were multiple introductions of inoculum. In contrast, isolates from greenhouse #9 were identical to one another, suggesting clonal multiplication of the isolate after an introduction. However, additional work is needed to include larger sample collections from wide geographic regions and more greenhouses to correlate the population structure of the pathogen within each greenhouse with an emerging problem.

**FIGURE 7** The Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees of the 38 isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (FOL) sampled from tomato in the greenhouses in North Carolina generated from the translation elongation factor 1-α encoding gene tef1-α sequences. For comparison purposes, publicly available the tef1-α gene sequences of field isolates of FOL and other formae specialis of *F. oxysporum* and *Fusarium* spp. from the United States and other countries were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information database GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/). The tree topology was obtained through maximum-likelihood with 1,000 replications using the Jukes-Cantor model. Phylogenetic trees were assembled with each test isolate using the T-BAS v.2.0 (Carbone et al., 2017). Innermost ring refers to principal component analysis and the numerical values above branches are bootstrap values. Outer ring represents FOL isolates sampled from greenhouses and field isolates from the United States and other countries as well as other formae specialis of *F. oxysporum* and *Fusarium* spp. Asterisk (*) before the isolate number indicates previously reported FOL isolates from fields (Table 2). The isolates joined by dotted lines within the ring indicate these isolates are genetically similar while solid lines represent high nucleotide polymorphisms. Parsimony bootstrap values (~80%) are shown above the branches.
and to validate phylogenetic analysis within races using the SIX3 and tef1-α gene markers.

This study also demonstrated one locus, either MAT1-1 or MAT1-2, but both loci were not found in each isolate. We postulated that the FOL isolates reproduced asexually and had either the MAT1-1 or MAT1-2 gene that was introduced from the fields into the greenhouses. We did not find any direct relationships between the MAT genes, or pathogenicity genes or SIX effectors and pathogenic races. Some other F. oxysporum spp. carry functional mating-type genes (Arie et al., 2000; Yun et al., 2000). For example, in F. oxysporum f. sp. cubense, both MAT genes were found, and sterile sexual-like structures called ‘perithecia’ were produced (Fourie et al., 2009), indicating that sexual recombination might occur in this fungus (Taylor et al., 1999).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, pathogenic and genomic diversity was robustly documented among FOL isolates recovered from tomato in greenhouses. Both races 1 and 3 were found and race 3 was predominant. The tef1-α and SIX3 genes were useful to investigate the genetic diversity among isolates of FOL. Cultivar ‘Happy Root’ was highly resistant to both races 1 and 3 and cultivars or rootstocks that have the I-3 gene should confer control of the race 3 problem. Several growers converted to grafted tomato plants once this study identified the emergent problem as FOL race 3 based on known grafting protocols (Louws et al., 2010). Multiple genes associated with pathogenicity and effectors were also characterized within the population and future work on pathogenesis, complemented with research on host genetics, should lead to additional knowledge and methods to limit future emergence of pathogenic variants and losses due to FOL.
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