Subepithelial tumors (SETs) may arise from deep mucosa to serosa depending on the histological type. SETs are mostly asymptomatic lesions with normal overlying mucosa, which are often incidentally found during endoscopic or radiologic examinations (overall frequency 0.3%). Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) is a method which has led to a demonstrable improvement of the differential diagnosis of SETs, but a definite diagnosis cannot be made on EUS features alone in some cases, especially for hypoechoic lesions. And, although most SETs used to be considered as benign, they do have malignant potential, especially when they originate from the muscularis propria layer such as gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST). In general, a tissue diagnosis may not be necessary for large (>3 cm in diameter) and/or symptomatic lesions that require surgery regardless of the histology. Currently, there are no firm guidelines for the management of small (<3 cm in diameter, <2 cm if GIST is suspected), asymptomatic SETs that originate from the muscularis propria layer. Periodic follow-up examination by endoscopy and EUS remains the recommended management strategy. However, this approach involves issues related to patient compliance, cost-effectiveness, and the risk associated with repeated endoscopic procedures and delayed diagnosis of malignancy. Endoscopic resection of the SETs is another technique to treat them as well as to obtain tissue specimens for accurate histologic diagnosis. Herein, a various endoscopic techniques ranging from simple snare resection to endoscopic submucosal tunnel dissection for the management of SETs will be reviewed.
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STANDARD SNARE RESECTION

SETs which are pedunculated or sessile with less than 1 to 2 cm of base can be resected by using a snare with or without snare.
line injection with diluted epinephrine (1:100,000) and indigo carmine dye into the submucosal layer using an injection needle (Fig. 1). One series included 45 patients with SETs in various parts of the gastrointestinal tract which were resected with a polypectomy snare with or without assistance of a grasping forceps using a double-channel endoscope. Kojima et al.9 reported their experience with polypectomy of 31 SETs with snare electrocautery and a grasping forceps via double channel endoscope with no perforations and 9% of bleeding rate.

ESMR WITH A LIGATING DEVICE (ESMR-L)

A lifted lesion is aspirated into the ligation device, followed by deployment of the elastic band. Snare resection is performed using blended electrosurgical current, and the resected specimen is then removed by aspiration into the cap or retrieved with a net (Fig. 2). The maximum size of a resectable SET is limited because the diameter of ligation devices is usually between 9 and 11 mm. Wehrmann et al.10 demonstrated a successful resection of esophageal SETs 13 mm and less in size using ESMR-L. In another series, ESMR-L was performed in all 25 esophageal SETs in less than 10 minutes of procedure time, and the en bloc resection rate was 100%. There were no serious complications such as perforation or massive bleeding.11

ESMR WITH A TRANSPARENT CAP (ESMR-C)

Transparent cap is attached to the distal tip of the endoscope. A crescent shaped snare is positioned into a distal ridge within the cap. A lifted lesion is sucked into the cap thus creating a pseudo-polyp which is immediately captured by closing the prepositioned EMR-snare. Finally the lesion is removed using electrocoagulation. Kajiyama et al.12 proved that ESMR-C was a safe, effective and less invasive procedure for small esophageal leiomyomas (<2 cm in diameter) derived from the muscularis mucosa.

UNROOFING TECHNIQUE

Lee et al.13 evaluated the diagnostic yield and safety of endoscopic partial resection using the unroofing technique in 16 patients with hypoechoic SETs of <3 cm in diameter, originating from the muscularis propria on EUS (14 gastric and 2 esophageal lesions). The overlying mucosa of the SET was removed using an electrosurgical snare with electrical current under minimal aeration to expose the tumor sufficiently (un-
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Next, the snare grasped the half upper portion of the exposed target lesion and cut the lesion. They showed a high diagnostic yield (93.7%) and no major complications using unroofing technique.

ENDOSCOPIC SUBMUCOSAL DISSECTION USING ELECTROSURGICAL KNIFE

There are a few small case series on endoscopic resection of SETs originating from the muscularis propria by using an insulated-tip knife (Table 1). It must be emphasized that characterization of the layer of origin with EUS is necessary before attempting endoscopic resection because the risk of the procedure, especially perforation, is directly related to the depth of the tumor within the esophageal or gastric wall. It is possible to achieve complete tumor dissection using the insulated-tip knife if the endoluminal SET is loosely adherent to the muscularis propria regardless of their size and shape (Fig. 3). Endoscopic submucosal dissection preserves the in-
ENDOSCOPIC SUBMUCOSAL TUNNEL DISSECTION TECHNIQUE

Inoue et al.\textsuperscript{20} reported a new technique of submucosal endoscopic tumor resection using submucosal tunnel created by the technique of peroral endoscopic myotomy, which was introduced for esophageal achalasia. Following initial mucosal incision approximately 5 cm proximal to the edge of the SET, saline and indigo carmine are injected to create a mucosal bleb. A submucosal tunnel is created by dissecting the submucosal fibers. Submucosal dissection is advanced beyond the distal tumor edge. The SET is dissected from the muscle layer. All muscle bundles that connect to the SET are cut with the triangle-tip knife. The totally mobilized tumor is extracted from the submucosal space through the mucosal incision. Finally, the mucosal entry is tightly closed with hemostatic clips. Because the overlying mucosal layer is kept intact, this technique may be effective in preventing mediastinitis and peritonitis. In recent three reports about this technique, the success rate was high and the complication rate was acceptable (Table 2).\textsuperscript{20-22}

Table 2. Recent Three Publications Reporting Success and Complication Rates of Endoscopic Submucosal Tumor Dissection for Subepithelial Tumors

| First author | Yr  | No. of cases | Median tumor diameter, mm | Layer origin | Success rate, % | Complication rate, % |
|--------------|-----|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Inoue et al.\textsuperscript{20} | 2012 | 9            | 18                        | MP           | 78              | 11 (1 perforation)   |
| Gong et al.\textsuperscript{21}   | 2012 | 12           | 20                        | MP           | 100             | 17 (2 perforations)  |
| Xu et al.\textsuperscript{22}     | 2012 | 15           | 19                        | MP           | 100             | 13 (2 perforations)  |

MP, muscularis propria.

CONCLUSIONS

The endoscopic resection of SETs has been reported in many series and variety of techniques has been introduced. But the efficacy and safety of endoscopic resection for SETs are not established yet. In all series, follow-up was limited and studies have not included examination of surgical or autopsy specimens to confirm complete resection. Therefore, regular...
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follow-up is mandatory after endoscopic resection, and wide resection should be considered if an endoscopically resected SET proves to have been incompletely removed and where the histology report shows the tumor to be a GIST with a high risk of malignancy.
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