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Introduction

The idea of hot carrier solar cell was proposed by Ross and Nozik in attempt to overcome Shockley–Queisser efficiency limit of 31% at 1 Sun (or 41% at full concentration) in a simple photovoltaic device [1]. Conceptual implementations of Würfel and other researchers are known [2, 3] and are presented in the following in some detail. Figure 1 shows the classical hot carrier cell concept consisting of light absorber with hot electron gas and two semiconductors with energy selective contacts (ESC) at the interfaces. The ESC filter out carriers having certain energies. The carrier cooling is suppressed, but Auger and radiative recombination mechanisms are considered. The doping concentration in the outer semiconductors can be chosen such that the carrier density in the bands at the energies of selective contacts is sufficient to maintain the same flux in both directions through the energy selective contacts. At this condition, there is no energy flow through the energy selective contacts, so that the electron gas in the absorber is only heated by the Sun but can be cooled only by photonic reemission. The heating will stop at the thermodynamic equilibrium with the Sun, when the radiative recombination reverts the radiation to the Sun (through the concentrator optics not shown in the Figure) in each arbitrary spectral region in accordance with detailed balance principle. The Auger recombination does not change the energy of the gas in the absorber, because all the particles involved remain in the absorber. Similarly, the outer semiconductors maintain the same temperature as the ambient. In the Carnot process, the gas is at thermodynamic equilibrium in turn with the heater and with the cooler during the isothermal processes. Similarly, the process in Figure 1 is reversible process and has the Carnot efficiency. Therefore, Figure 1 represents a perfect solar cell with the thermodynamic efficiency never to be topped because the Carnot efficiency cannot be exceeded. The photovoltaic efficiency is achieved when a reversible heat engine is optimally driven off equilibrium, resulting in the photovoltaic efficiency limit.
of at least 85% (Fig. 2A, [4]). This fact is the reason for a continued work at hot carrier solar cell concept throughout the last three decades. Unfortunately, no experimental proof of this hot carrier concept operating at room temperature was attained so far. We modified this concept by replacing the ideal energy selective contacts with the energy barriers being the band offsets at the interfaces (Fig. 2B, [5, 6]). The modified process is, however, thermodynamically nonreversible. A narrow band gap absorber harvests solar radiation in a wide spectral range, and the output voltage is larger than the band gap energy of the absorber semiconductor.

The cell operation is usually described from the point of view of the kinetic transport theory by consideration of quasi-equilibrium thermal emission of the hot carriers from the absorber material into the energy filtering structure. This emission may be described in simple cases by the thermionic emission theory [3, 6–10], being a kinetic transport theory. Kinetic theories are in principle well-suited to describe charge transport in the solar cell; however, the description is rather complex in various specific cases.

**Seebeck Theory of Hot Carrier Solar Cell**

From the point of view of the thermodynamics, a hot carrier solar cell is a typical thermoelectric device. One can imagine a solar cell being a black body absorber attached to a thermoelectric converter (Fig. 3A). Typical efficiencies of the thermoelectric converters are below 10%, limiting the efficiency of this solar thermal cell. In the solar thermal cell, the lattice of the absorber material is heated by the Sun, and the electron gas of a thermocouple gets heated from the lattice. This circumstance has two
A theoretical question arises whether it is possible to describe Seebeck effect from the point of view of thermionic emission at a heterojunction. We consider thermionic emission in a simple heterojunction without band bending at open circuit condition by calculation of flux \( \Phi \) of carriers in both directions through the interface and over an energy barrier (Fig. 2C):

\[
\lvert \Phi_{1-2} \rvert = \lvert \Phi_{2-1} \rvert, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{n_1 \langle v_i \rangle}{4 \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}}} = \frac{n_2 \langle v_i \rangle}{4 \sqrt{\frac{m_1}{m_2}}} \exp \left( \frac{-\Delta E}{kT_2} \right). \tag{2}
\]

Here \( n_{1,2} \) are electron concentrations, \( m_{1,2} \) are effective masses in each of the materials, \( \langle v_i \rangle \) is mean thermal velocity of cold electrons at a temperature \( T_1 \), \( \langle v_i \rangle \) is mean thermal velocity in the hot electron gas at a temperature \( T_2 > T_1 \), \( \Delta E_C \) is energy barrier for electrons.

The flux density \( n \langle v_i \rangle \) is known from kinetic molecular theory of ideal gas, applicable to electrons within effective mass approximation. The exponent term describes the probability for carriers to pass a barrier \( \Delta E_C \) in approximation of Boltzmann distribution. One readily arrives at the known Richardson formula for thermionic emission by substituting the mean thermal velocity and a classical expression for the electron concentration in the nondegenerated semiconductor within the effective mass approximation [12] into equation (2)

\[
\langle v_i \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{8kT}{\pi m}}, \tag{3}
\]

\[
n = \frac{2(2\pi mkT)^{3/2}}{h^2} \exp \left( -\frac{E_F - E_C}{kT_2} \right). \tag{4}
\]

Here \( h \) is Planck’s constant, \( E_C \) and \( E_F \) are energy of the conduction band edge and the Fermi level. An additional correction term (square root of the effective mass ratio) is necessary in equation (2) in order to account for a change in the carrier mass after penetration through the interface, an effect not observed for molecules of the ideal gas. The form of the correction term results from consideration of (1) at equilibrium.

If \( T_1 \neq T_2 \), the electron Fermi level splits at the interface, whereas the split is equal to \( qV_{OCE} \):

\[
E_{F1} = E_{F2} + qV_{OCE}. \tag{5}
\]

Here \( V_{OCE} \) is part of the open circuit voltage due to hot electrons. As it follows from the band diagram in Figure 6:

\[
E_{C1} = E_{C2} + \Delta E_C. \tag{6}
\]
By substitution equations (3)–(6) into (2) for \( m_1 \neq m_2, T_1 \neq T_2 \) and resolving the equation for \( V_{OCE} \) we obtain:

\[
V_{OCE} = -\frac{\Delta T}{q} \frac{E_{C1} - E_{C2}}{kT} - 2\frac{kT}{q} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta T}{T} \right).
\] (7)

Here \( \Delta T = T_2 - T_1 \). If \( \Delta T \ll T_{1,2} \), which is rather common for contemporary hot carrier solar cells, Taylor’s series expansion of the logarithmic function up to the linear term results in:

\[
V_{OCE} = -\frac{k}{q} \left[ \frac{E_{F1} - E_{C1}}{kT} \right] + 2 \left[ \frac{\Delta T}{T} \right] = S = \Delta T.
\] (8)

Equation in square brackets in (8) is a specific case of Pisarenko relation for Seebeck coefficient of the nondegenerated electron gas [13, 14]:

\[
S = -\frac{k}{q} \left[ \frac{E_{F1} - E_{C1}}{kT} \right] + \left( r + \frac{5}{2} \right).
\] (9)

Here \( r \) is the exponent of the energy in the expression for the carrier relaxation time from approximation of the collision term in the Boltzmann kinetic equation:

\[
r(E) = CE^r,
\] (10)

and \( C \) is a constant. The value of \( r = -1/2 \) results from a model of free carriers of a constant scattering cross section, for which the thermal velocity is proportional to the square root of the kinetic energy. In conclusion, the open circuit voltage through thermionic emission of the hot electrons in a heterostructure is equivalent to Seebeck effect in a narrow temperature range, valid at least for the nondegenerated semiconductors.

**Experimental**

**Prototype fabrication**

Double side polished epi-ready (100) ZnTe 10 × 10 × 1 mm\(^3\) wafers doped by phosphorus to a hole concentration of 1 – 1.2 × 10\(^{17}\) cm\(^{-3}\) were purchased from JX Nippon Mining & Metals. The wafers were split in 5 × 5 × 0.4 mm\(^3\) chips. Each chip substrate was etched for 20 sec in 0.4 % bromine (Alfa Aesar, 99.8 %, CAS: 7726-95-6) solution in methanol (Carl Roth, ≥99.95 %, CAS: 67-56-1) prior to evaporation of a silver (Carl Roth, ≥99.9 %, CAS: 7440-22-4) back contact. Silver was evaporated in a vacuum deposition system B30.2 (VEB Hochvakuum, Jevatec GmbH, Jena, Germany) at P = 3 × 10\(^{-5}\) mbar. The top surface of ZnTe substrates was sequentially polished with 0.1 μm diamond paste and 20 nm SiO\(_2\) slurry. Then the ZnTe chips mounted on a copper tape conductor were temporary sealed by polyimide tape with Ø 3 mm aperture. Open ZnTe surface was etched for 20 sec in the bromine-methanol solution, rinsed in the double deionized (σ < 0.1 μS) water and then placed into an electrochemical cell under an anodic potential. The aqueous electrolyte contained 0.05 M Pb(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) + 0.001 M Se + 0.5 M Cd(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) at pH = 2 corrected by HNO\(_3\). It was deaerated with nitrogen in a three-electrode electrochemical cell prior to the deposition. The chemicals were at least 99.9% grade purchased from Carl Roth and Alfa Aesar. The potential of the working electrode was controlled by a potentiostat (VersaSTAT 4, Princeton Applied Research, Oak Ridge, TN, USA) in the three-electrode cell and measured against the saturated silver–silver chloride electrode (Ag/AgCl/KCl\(_{sat}\)). A Ø 3.5 mm × 50 mm glassy carbon rod (Alfa Aesar) was used as the auxiliary electrode. The electrochemical deposition was performed at a potential slightly more positive than that of bulk lead reduction. The rate of cathodic reaction of PbSe formation was limited by the Se concentration. Since the PbSe formation requires electrons on p-ZnTe surface, the deposition was performed under the illumination of a light emission diode (8.7 × 10\(^{-4}\) W, 460 nm). The temperature of the electrolyte was stabilized at room (24°C) temperature. Potential of the p-ZnTe substrate as the working electrode was varied in time for controlling the PbSe film composition. Analysis of the film structure, chemical composition and crystalline properties was performed using a scanning electron microscope (Ultra 55, Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany) with a field electron emitter, equipped with EDX and EBSD units (Bruker Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). Acceleration voltage was 30 kV. A composition ratio of Pb:Se = 1:1 was confirmed by EDX analysis of thicker (300–600 nm) PbSe films. The top transparent electrode and the second heterojunction were produced by sputtering 100 nm of n-ZnO on top of the p-ZnTe/PbSe structure. A gauze of Ø 135 μm stainless steel wires resulting in 530 × 530 μm\(^2\) cells was used as a hard mask for structuring of top n-ZnO layer. The ZnO(99) Al(1)wt% target with 3N5 purity was purchased from FHR Analgebau GmbH, Ottendorf-Okrilla, Germany. The magnetron sputtering of ZnO, combined with the bonding of back silver contact to the massive copper plate, was done at an argon pressure of 2 × 10\(^{-3}\) mbar, at an RF power of 150 W and at a substrate temperature of 200°C.

**Optoelectrical measurements**

The individual cells were contacted by a spring loaded pin in all electrical measurements. The I-V measurements were done using Keithley 2401 source meter controlled by ReRa Tracer software (ReRa Solutions BV, Nijmegen, Niederlands). For the illuminated I-V measurements, the
natural sunlight was focused by a 61 mm diameter glass lens with a focal length of 145 mm. The sunlight intensity was monitored by a calibrated reference solar cell type RS-00-1 (Fraunhofer ISE, Freiburg, Germany). Due to particular optical absorption in the atmosphere, related to the weather conditions, the spectral power density function continuously changes in time. Some part of this optical absorption that is due to N₂ and O₂ molecules is constant and is always present. Additional scattering may originate from eventual water droplets (“clouds”). On a sunny day, when the spectral power density is at its maximum, with the elevation of Sun of 41.8°, the “air mass” is 1.5 and the conditions are close to the “terrestrial 1 Sun” fixed in the Standard Test Conditions for solar cells. At these conditions, any calibrated solar cell will produce its rated short circuit current. If additional scattering due to moisture in the atmosphere is present, the short circuit current of the reference solar cell will be below the rating and the spectral power density function will randomly deviate from the standard test conditions. In our experiments we assured that the output of the reference solar cell was close to its rating, meaning that the spectral power density function is close to the standard one. The open circuit voltage versus temperature was measured using a digital voltmeter, whereas the temperature was controlled by a Peltier element and measured by a digital thermometer. The measurement was taken in an electrically shielded desiccator. Samples were illuminated by a 658 nm laser diode module, equipped with a focusing optics (Thorlabs, Dachau/Munich, Germany) and a silicon monitor diode. The laser module output power was calibrated according to the absolute thermal reference measurements.

**Results and Discussion**

We fabricated a double heterostructure according to the idea of Figure 2B on a (100) p-doped zinc telluride (ZnTe) substrate. ZnTe has zincblende structure type with a lattice constant of 0.6103 nm [15] and a band gap of 2.27 eV at 300 K [16]. A lead selenide (PbSe) film of 20 nm thickness, according to the Faraday law, was deposited by the electrochemical epitaxy [6, 17]. PbSe has the rock salt structure type, with a lattice constant of 0.6117 nm [18], and a band gap of 0.28 eV [19]. Figure 4 shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) micrographs of the circular region where PbSe film was deposited. The region is visible at low magnification due to a mass difference of the constituting atoms in the substrate and in the film. The surface of the film remains microscopically rather smooth, so that the origin of the contrast is not related to an eventual change in the surface morphology. Pole figure, obtained by the electron backscattering diffraction (EBSD) shown in Figure 4B as the inset, confirms the epitaxial growth of the film.

The negative transparent contact was deposited by the magnetron sputtering of 100 nm ZnO doped with 1% Al₂O₃. ZnO layer having a band gap of 3.37 eV [19] was deposited through a mask, forming 0.24 mm² square areas (Fig. 5B). The areas in the middle part of the sample are located on top of the deposited PbSe layer, whereas ZnO was deposited directly on ZnTe at the circumference of ZnTe substrate. Both area types were illuminated by the solar radiation at various concentrations. The intensity of the solar radiation was monitored by short circuit current of a silicon reference solar cell. The measured current was 77–91% of the standard current corresponding to one Sun. The cell under investigation was contacted at the rear side by an evaporated silver layer and bonded at 200°C in vacuum/argon to a massive copper plate. During the I-V measurements, the temperature of the copper plate was in the range 49–55°C. At these test conditions, I-V characteristics shown in Figure 5C were measured.
The band offsets at ZnTe/PbSe and PbSe/ZnO interfaces [19, 20] are shown in Figure 6. The band diagram implements the idea of the hot carrier solar cell in Figure 2B. Despite the small absorption in the thin PbSe film, there is a distinct improvement of the photo response, the major contribution originates from carriers in PbSe. Photocarriers originating from the ZnTe substrate may contribute to the photovoltage, if the prototype is excited in the spectral region at a wavelength below the absorption edge of ZnTe (520 nm). One of the I-V characteristics in Figure 5C was measured under concentrated solar illumination with the shortwave portion of the spectrum below 600 nm blocked by a filter. The solar cell continues to operate also at the filtered illumination conditions. We illuminated the solar cell with 1885 nm laser radiation and also measured a small photovoltage by using a lock-in amplifier. The open circuit voltage of the device under concentrated sunlight, 0.33 V, exceeds the bulk band gap of the PbSe material at room temperature, being 0.28 eV [19]. The quantum confinement in a 20-nm-wide quantum well is expected to result in a band gap evolution up to 0.318 eV [21]. This phenomenon can be explained by the diffusion of the hot carriers out of the absorber material prior to their cooling. The characteristic length $L_c$ of hot carrier diffusion process is the hot carrier cooling length $L_c$ with respect to the carrier cooling time $\tau_c$, so that $L_c = \sqrt{D_c \tau_c}$, where $D_c = \mu_c kT / q$ is carrier diffusion coefficient and $\mu_c$ is carrier mobility. Assuming a temperature of 300 K, a carrier cooling time of 10 ps and a carrier mobility of $\mu_c = 1000$ cm$^2$/V·s, one can obtain an $L_c$ (PbSe) = 160 nm for both electrons and holes. Hot carrier cooling length is thus significantly larger than the thickness of the PbSe absorber in the prototype (20 nm). Therefore, the hot carriers are expected to leave the absorber before cooling down. The photovoltage due to hot carriers depends on the Seebeck coefficients in ZnTe and ZnO at their specific doping levels, and on the carrier temperature in the PbSe absorber.
The upper graph in Figure 7 shows the quantum efficiency of complete double heterostructure ZnTe/PbSe/ZnO under small illumination intensity, whereas the lower graph shows the same for a reference heterostructure ZnTe/ZnO without the PbSe absorber layer. The absorption region of ZnTe is below 560 nm, resulting in additional strong photoresponse due to carrier collection in ZnTe. The lower photoresponse of ZnTe without PbSe may be related to sputtering damage of ZnTe during ZnO deposition. The structure with PbSe absorber shows at least one order of magnitude larger photoresponse in the infrared region, proving the existence and role of the absorber layer in the carrier collection, although the escape of cold carriers from the absorber is hindered by the band offsets.

The Seebeck coefficients were estimated in both ZnTe and ZnO. The ZnTe crystal of the same charge as used for the deposition was contacted by a copper foil at room temperature and by a hot metallic electrode at 550 K at its corner. A Seebeck coefficient of 430 μV/K was estimated. A ZnO film was sputtered on the soda lime glass at the identical conditions as during deposition of the heterostructures. Similarly measured Seebeck coefficient in ZnO was −67 μV/K. The difference of the Seebeck coefficients amounts to 500 μV/K. Under assumption that the open circuit voltage results only from the thermionic nature of the current flow (Fig. 8B). However, the intercepts at 0 K strongly depend on the photon fluence. The magnitude of the intercepts is close to the smaller of the measured band offsets. The photon energy in this experiment was chosen smaller than the band gap in ZnTe, so that the carrier generation took place predominantly in PbSe layer. This experimental result may be considered from the kinetic point of view by consideration of different thermionic emission situations. If the carrier temperature is the same throughout the structure, the quasi-Fermi levels for electrons are the same in PbSe and in ZnO, whereas the quasi-Fermi levels for holes are the same in PbSe and in ZnTe (Fig. 8D left). The open circuit voltage, also extrapolated to 0 K, cannot exceed the band gap of PbSe in electronvolts (we expect no population inversion in PbSe through simple optical excitation in this material). At a larger illumination intensity, the carrier temperature in PbSe may become higher than both in ZnTe and ZnO, so that the three layers are out of thermodynamic equilibrium and the electrochemical potential (quasi-Fermi levels) exhibits an offset at the interfaces (Fig. 8D right). The potential barrier height for the current of the thermionic emission flowing across the barriers at the interfaces includes additional energy of the band offsets, so that it is larger than the band gap in PbSe. Therefore, the linear function Voc versus temperature extrapolates to the voltages higher than the band gap in absorber in electronvolts. This is another evidence of the hot carrier filtering in the structure. On the other hand, the open circuit voltage represents a thermoelectric.
voltage, showing linear dependence on the temperature difference according to the Seebeck coefficients:

\[ V_{OC} = S (T_H - T_L) \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The hot carrier temperature \( T_H \) can thus be calculated from the measured lattice temperature \( T_L \), if the thermovoltage \( V_{OC} \) and the difference of the Seebeck coefficients \( S \) are known. Figure 8C shows, that the hot carrier temperature depends linearly on the lattice temperature:

\[ T_H = AT_L + B. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Here, \( A \) is the slope and \( B \) is the intercept of the linear dependence of \( T_H \) on \( T_L \), both being functions of the illumination intensity. Substitution of equation (12) into (11) shows that \( V_{OC} \) still depends linearly on the lattice temperature, but with the slope being dependent on the illumination intensity:

\[ V_{OC} = S (A-1) T_L + SB. \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

This behavior is indeed observed in experiment in Figure 8B.

Close to linear I-V characteristics like that in Figure 5C are a typical feature of thermoelectric converters in general. We performed \( \text{suns-}V_{OC} \) measurements [25] in order to exclude the influence of the series resistance and still obtained close to linear characteristic (Fig. 9 lower plot). A narrow gap semiconductor in the middle of a p-n diode represents an interface recombination path, dominating in the carrier transport. The ideality factor in a wide-gap heterojunction of Type II, dominated by the interface recombination, is expected to be [26] close to 2. An ideality factor of 2.3 may be deduced from a
semi-logarithmic plot of the same dataset (Fig. 9 upper plot). This example illustrates two points of view on the same experiment.

Summary

In summary, we have demonstrated two equivalent approaches to describe operation and properties of hot carrier solar cells. While the common approach considers the structure as a diode where the thermionic emission takes place, an alternative approach of a thermoelectric device based on Seebeck effect is also valid. Theoretical consideration on the thermionic emission over a barrier in a heterostructure leads to a known Pisarenko relation for Seebeck coefficient. It follows from the thermoelectrical approach, that open circuit voltage of a hot carrier solar cell does not depend on interface properties of the heterostructure like band offsets, but is determined by the difference of bulk Seebeck coefficients in the energy selective contacts. A successful operation of the hot carrier solar cell prototype, based on the double heterojunction design, was demonstrated at the room temperature. The open circuit voltage of the prototype under concentrated sunlight exceeded the band gap in the bulk absorber material. The activation energy of the carrier transport is not constant, but depends on the excitation intensity. Surprisingly, the carrier temperature increases with decreasing lattice temperature at high excitation intensity. The shape of the I-V characteristics is close to linear, being common for thermoelectric devices.
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