Diel activity patterns of two distinct populations of *Aedes aegypti* in Miami, FL and Brownsville, TX
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The diel biting activity of *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* (L) populations was extensively investigated in the early 1900s to gain more information on the biology of *Ae. aegypti*, and this information was used to devise effective approaches to controlling populations of this species and protect the human population from widespread arbovirus outbreaks. However, few contemporary studies are available regarding the diel activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti*. To assess the diel activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti* in southern Florida and Texas, we conducted 96-h uninterrupted mosquito collections once each month from May through November 2019 in Miami, Florida, and Brownsville, Texas, using BG-Sentinel 2 Traps. The overall diel activity pattern in both cities was bimodal with morning and evening peak activity between 7:00 and 8:00 and between 19:00 and 20:00. There were significant daily, monthly, seasonal, and site-specific differences in activity patterns, but these differences did not affect the overall peak activity times. These differences suggest daily, monthly, seasonal, and site-specific variations in human exposure to *Ae. aegypti*. Our observations can be used in planning and executing *Ae. aegypti* vector control activities in southern Florida and southern Texas, specifically those targeting the adult mosquito populations.

The diel biting activity of *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* (L) populations was extensively investigated in the early part of the twentieth century. The primary aim was to gain more information on the biology of *Ae. aegypti* and use this information to devise effective approaches to controlling populations of this species and protect the human population from widespread urban yellow fever (YF) outbreaks. The bulk of the investigations were conducted in the tropical regions of South and Central America, and East and West Africa. Most of the early studies and the observations were summarized in the monograph by Christophers1. The general observations were that *Ae. aegypti* activity was diurnal and it was widely referred to as a daytime biting mosquito1. However, there were several studies that detected substantial nighttime biting activity in some populations of *Ae. aegypti*. For example, Lumsden2 reported that approximately 34% of the *Ae. aegypti* population in the Southern Province of Tanganyika, in East Africa, were biting at night. In addition, distinct after-dark biting activity peaks were observed indoors but not outdoors suggesting behavioral adaptations of *Ae. aegypti* populations to local environmental conditions. Taken together these observations suggested variations in diel host-seeking activity among geographic populations of *Ae. aegypti*.

Later studies in Tanzania reported that the diel biting activity had two peaks, one in the morning and one in the evening3. Similarly, a study in Trinidad detected morning and evening peaks however, this study also detected
a third peak at 11:00\textsuperscript{4}. This suggested a trimodal pattern of \textit{Ae. aegypti} diel biting activity in Trinidad. The study by Diarrassouba and Dossou-Yovo\textsuperscript{5} pointed out that although the biting activity of \textit{Ae. aegypti} is diurnal in East Africa, the peak biting activity was usually at sunset in West Africa. Furthermore, they reported an unusual diel biting activity in the savannah zone of Côte d'Ivoire in the dry season where \textit{Ae. aegypti} showed an atypical biting activity rhythm in the dry season, and biting activity was throughout the night and peaking at midnight\textsuperscript{5}.

A recent study by Ortega-López et al.\textsuperscript{6} used BG-Sentinel traps and Mosquito electrocuting traps to study host-seeking activity of \textit{Ae. aegypti} in Quinindé, Ecuador. They found that female \textit{Ae. aegypti} had bimodal patterns of host-seeking with a peak in the early morning and another peak in the late afternoon.

There have been very few studies in the contiguous United States (CONUS), and to our knowledge, the only published study from the CONUS is that by Smith et al.\textsuperscript{7}. This study was conducted in Saint Augustine, Florida and determined that the evening activity was the most significant, with peak activity occurring between 17:00 and 19:00, followed by a period of substantial host-seeking activity between 19:00 and 21:00. They also detected a minor peak between 7:00 and 9:00. They noted that there was a gradual increase in activity leading up to the peaks and a gradual decrease in activity from the peak hours.

Following the Zika outbreak in the United States in 2016, there was a realization that not much was known about the distribution and the diel host-seeking activity patterns of \textit{Ae. aegypti} populations in the CONUS. In 2019, we initiated a study with the aim to increase our understanding of the diel host-seeking activity patterns of \textit{Ae. aegypti} in two cities in the southeastern United States. An understanding of the host-seeking diel patterns of mosquitoes can greatly improve the effectiveness of mosquito control by guiding adulticiding and other integrated mosquito control activities.

### Results

**Mosquito species captured.** A total of 26 mosquito species were collected, 17 species in Brownsville, TX and 18 in Miami, FL (Table 1). The most frequently captured species at both sites was \textit{Ae. aegypti}, 61.33\% (13,033/21,252) of the collection in Brownville, TX, and 48.74\% (6461/13,257) of the collections in Miami, FL. This is not surprising because the BG-Sentinel 2 traps we used in this study were specifically designed to capture \textit{Ae. aegypti}\textsuperscript{8,9}. The second and third most captured species captured in Brownsville, TX, were \textit{Culex (Culex) quinquefasciatus} Say, 24.55\% (5217/21,252), and \textit{Aedes (Aedimorphus) vexans} (Meigen), 5.39\% (1145/21,252),

| Species                        | Brownsville | Miami |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------|
|                              | Females | Males | Total | Females | Males | Total |
| \textit{Aedes aegypti}        | 7024     | 6009  | 13,033| 4444     | 2017   | 6461  |
| \textit{Aedes albopictus}     | 424      | 424   | 1     | 1       |        |       |
| \textit{Aedes infrimatus}     | 395      | 395   | 2     | 2       |        |       |
| \textit{Aedes sollicitans}    | 58       | 58    |       |         |        |       |
| \textit{Aedes taeniorhynchus} | 420      | 420   | 2580  | 62       | 2642   |       |
| \textit{Aedes theileri}       | 12       | 12    |       |         |        |       |
| \textit{Aedes triseriatus}    |           |       | 6     | 1       | 7      |       |
| \textit{Aedes vexans}         | 1145     |       | 1145  |         |        |       |
| \textit{Aedes zoophilus}      | 1        | 1     |       |         |        |       |
| Anopheles atripalpus          | 1        | 1     |       |         |        |       |
| Anopheles crucians            | 12       | 12    |       |         |        |       |
| Anopheles pseudopunctipennis  | 27       | 27    |       |         |        |       |
| Anopheles quadrimaculatus     | 18       |       | 18    |         |        |       |
| \textit{Culex bisacynensis}   |           |       | 14    | 16      | 30     |       |
| \textit{Culex coronator}      | 332      | 332   | 194   | 23      | 217    |       |
| \textit{Culex erraticus}      | 9        | 9     | 8     | 8       |        |       |
| \textit{Culex nigripalpus}    | 113      | 113   | 91    | 6       | 97     |       |
| \textit{Culex quinquefasciatus}| 4473     | 744   | 5217  | 237     | 175    | 412   |
| \textit{Culex spps}           | 1        | 3     | 4     |         |        |       |
| Dinosirites cancer             |           |       | 16    | 16      |        |       |
| Psorophora ciliata             | 1        | 1     |       |         |        |       |
| Psorophora columbiae           | 33       | 33    | 42    | 3       | 45     |       |
| Psorophora cyanescens          | 28       | 28    |       |         |        |       |
| Toxorhynchites rhithra septentrionalis | 4    | 4     |   |         |        |       |
| Wyeomyia mitchelli             | 11       |       | 11    |         |        |       |
| Wyeomyia vanduzeei             | 2639     | 5     | 2644  |         |        |       |

Table 1. Mosquito species captured by using BG-Sentinel 2 traps during \textit{Aedes aegypti} activity pattern studies in Brownsville, Texas and Miami, Florida in 2019.
respectively. In Miami, FL, *Wyeomyia* (*Wyeomyia*) *vanduzeei* Dyar & Knab 19.94% (2644/13,257) and *Aedes* (*Ochlerotatus*) *taeniorhynchus* (Wiedemann) 19.93% (2642/13,257), were the second and third most captured species respectively (Table 1). Of the 26 species captured, only 9, (34.62%) were captured in both Brownsville and Miami (Table 1). Six species were unique to the Brownsville collections, and 9 species were captured only in Miami (Table 1).

Diel activity patterns. The site-specific diel activity patterns for *Ae. aegypti* populations in Miami, FL and Brownsville, TX are summarized in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Data analysis showed significant differences in the *Ae. aegypti* diel activity patterns within trap locations and within seasons (Table 2, Figs. 1, 2, 3). However, these variations did not affect the overall diel activity patterns within the cities (Fig. 4). Overall, *Ae. aegypti* activity was continuous throughout the day and throughout the night with significantly elevated activity peaks in the mornings and the evenings (Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4). The morning peaks were between 7:00 and 8:00 in both Miami, FL and Brownsville, TX (Fig. 4). The evening peaks were between 19:00 and 20:00 in both Miami, FL and Brownsville, TX (Fig. 4). Multivariate analysis indicated that both morning and evening peaks were significant: Peak Start Time *P* value = 0.043, Peak Max *P* value = 0.006, suggesting consistent elevated activity at these times. In addition, the multivariate analysis indicated that the morning peak was significantly different between cities; the peak in Brownville, TX was significantly higher than that in Miami, FL (*P* value = 0.001) (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Our results show that the average diel activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti* populations in both Miami, FL and in Brownsville, TX were very similar; they both had two peaks, one in the early morning and the other in the evening, and the average host-seeking peaks are between 7:00 and 8:00 and between 19:00 and 20:00 (Fig. 4). Similar observations were previously reported by several investigators and the bimodal diel activity pattern is the most frequently reported for *Ae. aegypti* populations worldwide. However, variations between peak activity have been detected between populations. In East Africa, for instance, Trpis et al. reported peak activity at 7:00 and at 19:00, whereas McClelland reported peak activity two or three hours after sunrise (9:00 or 10:00) and one
or two hours before sunset (17:00 or 16:00). Similarly, in the United States, Smith et al. observed a bimodal diel activity pattern for *Ae. aegypti*, but the evening peak was earlier, between 17:00 and 19:00. Despite these variations, the spacing of the peaks is similar in all these studies despite the fact that these studies were conducted in ecologically and climatically diverse locations.

The activity patterns observed at site 3 in Brownsville (Fig. 2) and at site 1 in Miami (Fig. 1) were trimodal. In Brownsville, the trimodal activity peaks were between 6:30 and 7:30, 9:30 and 10:30, and 18:30 and 19:30 (Fig. 2), and in Miami the trimodal peaks were between 7:00 and 8:00, 9:00 and 10:00 and between 19:00 and 20:00 (Fig. 1). Interestingly, the timing of the third peak was similar in both Brownsville site 3 and Miami site 1 suggesting similar underlying factors despite geographic distance, different ecology, and different climate. Brownsville, Texas, is in the Lower Rio Grande Alluvial Floodplain ecoregion. The climate is humid subtropical and urbanization has removed most of the indigenous palm trees and floodplain forests vegetation. (https://www.

![Figure 2.](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/) Diel activity patterns for *Ae. aegypti* populations at each of the 4 sampling sites in Brownsville, Texas. “Mean” on the graphs represent the mean number of female *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes captured per trap/hour. The thick black line is the mean number of *Ae. aegypti* female mosquitoes captured per trap/hour throughout the study period from May to November 2019.

| Covariate        | F value | Pr>F |
|------------------|---------|------|
| City             | 1.73    | 0.1894 |
| Season           | 5.71    | 0.0038 |
| City(Season)     | 49.22   | <.0001 |
| Area(City*Season)| 7.74    | <.0001 |
| Time             | 48.62   | <.0001 |

Table 2. Results of the GLIMMIXED regression with a generalized Poisson estimator and log link to assess the effect of geographic location, seasonality, and time in the diel activity of *Ae. aegypti*. Bold values indicate statistically significantly different.
epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-05/documents/brownsvilletex.pdf). Miami is in the Tropical Florida Ecoregion. Similar to Brownsville, Texas, urbanization and agriculture has replaced most of the indigenous Pine Rockland vegetation. Trimodal biting patterns for *Ae. aegypti* have been observed before in Trinidad by Chadee and Martinez\(^4\), but the middle peak was observed at 11:00 which is half an hour to an hour later than what we observed in Miami and Brownsville, respectively (Figs. 1 and 2). While the morning and evening peaks coincide with human outdoor activity, the middle peak occurs during high heat conditions and the factors that lead to this peak or its importance in the epidemiology of *Ae. aegypti*-borne arboviral diseases are currently not known. The studies by McClelland\(^13\) observed multiple activity peaks in an East African population of *Ae. aegypti*. The significance of the different activity patterns to the epidemiology of *Ae. aegypti*-borne arboviral diseases are currently unknown and we think they need more investigation especially since *Ae. aegypti*-borne arboviral infections have been rising in the recent past\(^14,15\).

We observed that the host-seeking activity peaks were consistent between 5:45 and 7:30 and between 18:00 and 20:45 (Figs. 1 and 2). These observations are important in planning and conducting control operations directed at the adult *Ae. aegypti* female populations. During the 2016 Zika outbreak, there was no specific information on the host-seeking activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti* in Miami Dade County and the adulticide treatment implemented as part of an integrated approach targeted the morning activity\(^16\). The integrated approach effectively reduced the vector population and interrupted the transmission of the Zika virus; however, it highlighted the need for site-specific information on the diel activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti* in Miami Dade County in particular and the CONUS in general. There have been sporadic *Ae. aegypti*-borne arboviral disease outbreaks in Miami Dade County, FL and the city of Brownsville, TX\(^17–21\), in the future we will be better prepared to conduct effective adulticide applications with the current knowledge of the diel activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti* in these areas. Furthermore, we are now better equipped to educate the public on how to minimize exposure to *Ae. aegypti*-borne arboviral diseases by avoiding outdoor activities during peak biting activity periods.

In our studies, we used BG-Sentinel 2 traps and monitored them every hour, twenty-four hours a day over 96 h, a method with some similarities to that used by Smith et al.\(^7\). In the past, diel biting activity studies were carried out using human landing catches following the methods primarily established by Haddow\(^22\). To our knowledge, only two studies have previously used sampling procedures not based on human landing catches to study the biting activity patterns of *Ae. aegypti*; the study by Ortega-Lopez et al.\(^6\) used mosquito electrocuting traps, and the study by Smith et al.\(^7\) used a mechanical rotator mosquito trap. In the present study, the use of BG-Sentinel II traps had the advantage that it was specifically designed to capture female host-seeking *Ae. aegypti*\(^8,9\). In addition, attached BG-Counter devices can keep track of the number of mosquitoes captured per specified unit time and environmental conditions, and store the information in a cloud server. However, the BG-Sentinel 2 traps collected a wide variety of mosquito species, (Table 1), and to keep track of specific species captured each hour, we had to monitor them every hour.

Overall, we present data on the diel activity of *Ae. aegypti* populations in two cities in the southern United States. In both cities the activity patterns were bimodal; there were peaks of activity in the mornings and the evenings. The significance of these observations is that these peaks can be targeted to improve the effectiveness of adulticide treatments aimed at controlling *Ae. aegypti* adult populations. Using BG-Sentinel 2 traps eliminates...
individual variations associated with human landing catches and the associated danger of infections from wild mosquitoes especially during ongoing outbreaks.

**Methods**

**Study Sites.** Miami, FL (25.7617° N, 80.1918° W) and Brownsville, Texas (25.9017° N, 97.4975° W) were selected as study sites; these cities have a history of *Ae. aegypti* arboviral outbreaks\(^{17-21}\). Four study sites were selected in each city (Fig. 5). All sites were in front or back yards in private homes and selected after obtaining permission from the owners.

**Sample collection.** We used BG-Sentinel-2 traps (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany) equipped with BG Counters (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany). The traps were baited with BG Lures and dry ice as a source of carbon dioxide\(^{23,24}\). These traps were used as substitutes for human hosts; they use lures which are blends of...
mosquito attractants consisting of lactic acid, ammonia, and caproic acid, substances which are also found on human skin. The lures imitate human odor and the carbon dioxide imitates human breath. Owing to the lack of automatic collecting devices for BG-Sentinel traps, the traps were physically monitored every hour for 96 h (4 days) at each location once every month from May to November 2019. Because of workforce limitations we did not have field teams dedicated to specific traps, rather a single team visited all four traps to collect the mosquitoes captured and reset each trap once every hour. To accomplish this the field crews worked either in 12-h shifts (two teams) or eight-hour shifts (three teams). On each visit, the BG-Sentinel collection bag was removed from the trap and replaced with a fresh one. The removed mosquitoes were sorted and identified. Figure 5. Maps of sampling sites in Brownsville, Texas (A) and Miami Dade County, Florida, (B). In Brownsville, the traps were moved from 2A to 2B and from 3A to 3B because mosquito caught dipped markedly at sites 2A and 3A. The traps were moved to the more productive sites 2B and 3B. In Miami, the trap was moved from site 2A to site 2B for the same reason as in Brownsville. The figure was produced using ArcGIS 10.2 (Esri, Redlands, CA), using freely available layers from the Miami-Dade County’s Open Data Hub—https://gis-mdc.opendata.arcgis.com/.
bag was placed into a gallon ziplock bag labeled with the trap site and the collection time and taken to the lab on ice in a cooler. In the lab, the mosquitoes were identified to species on chill tables by using the keys of Darsie and Ward35, placed in labeled cryovials, and shipped on dry ice to the CDC lab in Fort Collins, CO for arbovirus testing. The species and number of mosquitoes collected were recorded on Excel spreadsheets.

In June, three traps (one in Miami and two in Brownsville) resulted in low Ae. aegypti catch so they were relocated to more productive sites within the same neighborhood to better evaluate diel activity patterns. Trapping was conducted from May 2019 through November 2019. On each sampling, trap data was collected hourly for 4 days (96 h). In Miami, collections were conducted from 5/7/19 to 5/11/19, 6/3/19 to 6/7/19, 7/7/19 to 7/11/19, 8/4/19 to 8/8/19, 9/3/19 to 9/7/19, 10/6/19 to 10/10/19, and 11/11/19 to 11/14/19. In Brownsville, collections were conducted 5/20/19 to 5/24/19, 6/13/19 to 6/17/19, 7/21/19 to 7/25/19, 8/18/19 to 8/22/19, 9/15/19 to 9/19/19, 10/7/19 to 10/11/19 and 11/22/19 to 11/27/19.

Data analysis. The data within trap locations were considered the unit identifier in a Multi-level Multi-variable Longitudinal Model. The repeated measure was time in hours within each trap location date. Then, we used the GLIMMIXED in SAS 9.4 and transformed the data as log(Aedes aegypti + 1) with a generalized Poisson estimator and log link with only linear time and no random intercept as the random effect. The covariates Linear Time*City*Season and Linear Time* Trap Location*City*Season were not significant and were removed from the model. We then used a multivariate analysis to analyze peak differences in the data, days with no peaks were removed and the morning peak (before 1500 h) and evening peak (after 1500 h) were analyzed separately using the Peak Start Time and Peak Max using the peak detection and measurement spreadsheet (available at: https://terpconnect.umd.edu/~toh/spectrum/PeakFindingandMeasurement.htm).

Received: 1 November 2021; Accepted: 24 January 2022
Published online: 29 March 2022

References
1. Christophers, S. R. *Aedes aegypti* (L) the Yellow Fever Mosquito: Life History (Cambridge University Press, 1960).
2. Lumsden, W. H. R. The activity cycle of domestic *Aedes* (Stegomyia) *aegypti* (L.) (Diptera, Culicidae) in Southern Province, Tanzania. *Bull. Ent. Res.* **48**, 769–782 (1957).
3. Tripis, M., McClelland, G. A. H., Gillett, J. D., Teesdale, C. & Rao, T. R. Diel periodicity in the landing of *Aedes aegypti* on man. *Bull. World Health Org.* **48**, 623–629 (1973).
4. Chadee, D. D. & Martinez, R. Landing periodicity of *Aedes aegypti* with implications for dengue transmission in Trinidad, West Indies. *J. Vector Ecol.* **25**, 158–163 (2000).
5. Diarrassouba, S. & Dossou-Yovo, J. Atypical activity rhythm in *Aedes aegypti* in a sub-Sudanian savannah zone of Côte d’Ivoire. *Bull. Soc. Pathol. Exot.* **90**, 361–363 (1997).
6. Ortega-López, L. D. et al. The mosquito electrocuting trap as an exposure-free method for measuring human-biting rates by *Aedes* mosquito vectors. *Parasit. Vectors* **13**, 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-3887-8 (2020).
7. Smith, M., Dixon, D., Bibbs, C., Autry, D. & Xue, R. D. Diel patterns of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) on the Florida Keys. *Mem Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **104**, 321–325 (2009).
8. McClelland, G. A. H. Observations on the mosquito, *Aedes Stegomyia* (L.) in East Africa I. The biting cycle in an outdoor population at Entebbe, Uganda. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **50**, 227–235 (1959).
9. Boorman, M. A. Studies on the biting habits of the Mosquito *Aedes Stegomyia* (L.) Lin, in a West Africa village. *W. Afr. Med. J.* **9**, 111–122 (1960).
10. Dosset, G. et al. Improving the efficiency of the BG sentinel 2 trap to assess the activity of *Aedes* (Stegomyia) *aegypti* (Linnaeus, 1762) in Senegal. *Acta Trop.* **222**, 106065 (2021).
11. McClelland, G. A. H. Observations on the mosquito *Aedes Stegomyia* (L.) in East Africa II. The biting cycle in a domestic population on the Kenya Coast. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **50**, 687–696 (1960).
12. Rogers, D. J., Wilson, A. J., Hay, S. I. & Graham, A. J. The global distribution of yellow fever and dengue. *Adv. Parasitol.* **62**, 181–220 (2006).
13. Bhattacharya, S. et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* **496**, 504–507 (2013).
14. McAllister, J. C. et al. Mosquito control activities during local transmission of Zika virus, Miami-Dade County, Florida, USA. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **26**, 881–890 (2016).
15. Ramos, M. M. et al. Epidemic dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever at the Texas-Mexico border: results of a household-based seroepidemiologic survey, December 2005. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **78**, 364–369 (2008).
16. Likos, A. et al. Local mosquito-borne transmission of Zika virus - Miami-Dade and Broward Counties, Florida, June-August 2016. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **65**, 1032–1038 (2016).
17. Duffy, J. Yellow fever in the continental united states during the nineteenth century. *Bull NY Acad. Med.* **44**, 687–701 (1968).
18. Adalja, A. A., Sell, T. K., Bouri, N. & Franco, C. Lessons learned during dengue outbreaks in the United States, 2001–2011. *Emerg Infect Dis.* **18**, 608–614 (2012).
19. Bouri, N. et al. Return of epidemic dengue in the United States: implications for the public health practitioner. *Public Health Rep.* **127**, 259–266 (2012).
20. Darsie, R. E. Jr. & Ward, R. A. Identification and Geographic Distribution of the Mosquitoes of North America, North of Mexico 2nd edn. (University Press Publishing, 2005).
Acknowledgements
We thank the following for assistance with the eight and 12 hours shifts monitoring mosquito traps and assistance in the laboratory; in Miami, Florida: Melissa Anderson, Yadira Diaz, Teresa Belledent, Johana Medina, and in Brownsville, Texas, Francisco Reyes, Fernando Arellano Jr, Carolina Karavasilis, Pedro Montana, Maria Torres, and Edwin Valdez. This work was supported in part by Cooperative Agreement Number U01CK000512 and 1U01CK000510-05, funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. This work was also supported by the Miami-Dade Mosquito Control Division, the City of Brownsville Department of Public Health, Southeastern Regional Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases and the Gateway Program. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the Department of Health and Human Services.

Author contributions
J.P.M., C.V., G.L.H. and C.J.V., conceived of and designed the study. E.O., C.V., A.C., H.P., J.R., F.B., J.J., M.M., E.C., X.E., J.M., T.R., and J.P.M. were responsible for the mosquito collection and taxonomic identification. J.P.M. and G.L.H. developed the study methodology. B.B.W., G.C., J.C.B. and J.P.M. developed the data analysis methodologies. J.P.M., A.R., J.R., F.B, W.D.P., C.V., H.P., E.C., X.E., J.M., T.R., G.L.H. and C.J.V. were responsible for the implementation of the study. A.B.B.W., G.C., and J.P.M. compiled and analyzed the data and prepared the original figures. J.P.M. wrote the original draft of the paper. All authors contributed to reviewing and editing the paper. J.P.M., C.V., and H.P were responsible for the project administration and supervision.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.-P.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2022
Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”). Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial. These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

onlineservice@springernature.com