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CO₂ capture from oceanwater cells

**Supplementary Fig. 1** | (a) Schematic of electrolytic cation exchange module (E-CEM) based on continuous electrodeionization (CEDI) principles (adopted from Ref. 1). The E-CEM consists of an anode compartment, a seawater compartment, and a cathode compartment. Each electrode compartment is separated from the seawater with a cation exchange membrane (CEM). The feed for the unit is the natural Key West seawater that is pre-filtered using spin-down filters. The electrode solution is the fresh water with a conductivity of 200 μS cm⁻¹ processed from reverse osmosis (RO) of the natural Key West seawater. Water oxidation occurs at the anode and the CEM allows the transport of protons (H⁺) from the anode compartment to the seawater compartment. In a simultaneous step, the majority cations (Na⁺) in the seawater compartment are transported to the cathode compartment. (b) Schematic of the 9-cell bipolar membrane electrodialysis (BPMED) unit connected in series (adopted from Ref. 2). Each cell consists of a basified compartment, a bipolar membrane (BPM), an acidified compartment, and an anion exchange membrane (AEM). At each end of the membrane stack, a cation exchange membrane (CEM) is used to separate the membrane stack from the electrode compartment. The seawater is composed of 35.95 g of Instant Ocean® sea salt in 1 liter of deionized water. Each volume element of seawater passes through the system exactly once. The electric field facilitates water dissociation at the BPM and allows H⁺ to transport to the acidified compartment and OH⁻ to transport to the basified compartment. The electrolyte solutions are 0.1 M H₂SO₄/0.25 M Na₂SO₄ and the water splitting reactions take place at the electrodes.
Supplementary Note 1

Multiphysics modeling of the bipolar membrane electrodialysis

A multi-physics 1-dimensional model is developed for the bipolar membrane (BPM) with five calculations domains, cation exchange layer (CEL), junction layer (JL), anion exchange layer (AEL), and two boundary layers (BL) (Supplementary Fig. 2). The length for each domain is listed in Supplementary Table 1.

**Supplementary Fig. 2** | Schematic of the numerical model of the BPM (not to scale) including 1D domains of boundary layers (BL), cation exchange layer (CEL), junction layer (JL), and anion exchange layer (AEL).

**Supplementary Table 1** | Calculation domain lengths.

| Domains | Length |
|---------|--------|
| BL      | 50 um  |
| AEL     | 75 um  |
| CEL     | 75 um  |
| JL      | 10 nm  |

The model considers the electrostatics, species transport, and chemical reactions. The electrostatics and the electrical field of the calculation domains are captured by Poisson equations:

$$\frac{d(\varepsilon E)}{dx} = F(c_{H^+} - c_{OH^-} + c_{Na^+} - c_{Cl^-} + c_{NR_2H^+} \pm c_{fix})$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$-\frac{d\phi}{dx} = E$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric permittivity, $F$ is the Faraday constant, $c_i$ is the concentration of each spices, $c_{fix}$ is the fix charge density in BPM (1 M for both AEL(+) and CEL(-)), $E$ is electrical field, $\phi$ is the electrostatic potential.

The species transport phenomena are modeled using Nernst-Planck formulation:

$$N_i = -\frac{D_i c_i d\mu_i}{RT} \frac{dx}{dx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\frac{dN_i}{dx} = R_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
where $N_i$, species flux, $D_i$ is the diffusion coefficient of each species, $R_i$ is the species mass source term resulting from ionic reactions. $D_i$ in BL are the intrinsic diffusion coefficient in bulk electrolyte which are listed in Supplementary Table 2. While in other domains, $D_i$ are modified using ruggeman approximation with a factor of $f_{el}^{1.5}$ ($f_{el}$ is the fraction of liquid electrolyte in membrane). In this study the liquid electrolyte fraction is assumed to be 17.5%.

Supplementary Table 2 | Intrinsic diffusion coefficient for each species.

| Ion   | Diffusion coefficient       |
|-------|---------------------------|
| $H^+$ | $9.3 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ |
| $OH^-$ | $5.3 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ |
| $Na^+$ | $1.33 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ |
| $Cl^-$ | $2.03 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ |

Three homogeneous chemical reactions are considered in this model including water dissociation reaction (non-catalytical reaction) as well as protonation and deprotonation of weak-based group (catalytical reactions). The electrical potential gradient based water dissociation reaction (Supplementary Eq. (5), R1) is accounted for all domains:

$$H_2O \xrightarrow{k_1, k_{-1}} H^+ + OH^-$$

Both $k_1$ and $k_{-1}$ have strong dependence on the electric field, hence, water dissociation only takes place at the BPM interface where large electric field exists due to the uncompensated fixed charges in the anion exchange layer (AEL) and cation exchange layer (CEL). For the catalytic pathway, the water dissociation is assisted by the protonation and deprotonation of weak base group (-$R_3N$) from the AEL. The homogeneous chemical reactions (Supplementary Eq. (6) and (7), R2 and R3) and for the catalytic pathway are only considered at the junction layer at the BPM interface.

$$R_3N \xleftrightarrow{k_2, k_{-2}} R_3NH^+ + OH^-$$

$$R_3NH^+ \xleftrightarrow{k_3, k_{-3}} R_3N + H^+$$

Note that $k_1$, $k_{-1}$ and $k_2$, $k_{-2}$ have the same electric field dependence, while $k_3$ and $k_{-3}$ are independent of the electric field. The intrinsic reaction rate constants without electrical field for both forward and backward reactions of all three reactions are listed in Supplementary Table 3. The operation temperature of the whole membrane is assumed to be 25 °C and hence the temperature effect is neglected in this model.

Supplementary Table 3 | Intrinsic reaction rates without electrical field.

| Reactions | Forward constant $(k_0$, m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) | Backward constant $(k_0$, m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| R1        | $3.6734 \times 10^{-10}$        | $1.1143 \times 10^8$           |
| R2        | $24.445$                        | $2.1312 \times 10^7$           |
| R3        | $1.8020 \times 10^{-5}$        | $2.1533 \times 10^7$           |
The reaction rates of R1 and R2 are dependent also on the electric field. The enhancement effect of electric field on R1 and R2 is considered by multiplying an enhancement factor ($f_{\text{enhance}}$) to the intrinsic reaction rate constant. The forward ($f_{\text{enhance}, f}$) and backward ($f_{\text{enhance}, b}$) reactions enhancement factors for R1 and R2 is calculated based on empirical correlations:\textsuperscript{3}

\begin{align}
 f_{\text{enhance}, f} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2b)^m}{m!(m+1)!} \cosh(\tau b) \left( \cosh(\tau) \right)^b \\
 f_{\text{enhance}, b} &= 1 + \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{2} \left( \alpha^2 b + 4.97 \frac{\sinh(0.0835\alpha b)}{\cosh(0.0835\alpha b)^2} \right)
\end{align}

Where $\alpha = 0.81$ is dimensionless length based on Bjerrum length, $b = 4.8E$ is the dimensionless electric field, and $\tau = -0.128\ln(\cosh(0.235\alpha)) + 5.72\alpha^2$ is a fitting parameter.

The model is solved numerically using finite element method via Newton method in COMSOL Multiphysics. The relative tolerance is set to be $10^{-4}$ with a mesh number of 6500. The mesh independent study is conducted to minimize the effect of mesh on the results.
Supplementary Note 2

In ocean water, carbon dioxide is stored as dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and exists in three main forms: as dissolved aqueous carbon dioxide, $\text{CO}_2$, as bicarbonate ($\text{HCO}_3^-$), and as carbonate ($\text{CO}_3^{2-}$). The carbonate species are related to the following equilibria:

$$\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (10)$$

For the description of carbonate system in ocean water, stoichiometric equilibrium constants are used:

$$K_1 = \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-][\text{H}^+]}{[\text{CO}_2]} \quad (11)$$

$$K_2 = \frac{[\text{CO}_3^{2-}][\text{H}^+]}{[\text{HCO}_3^-]} \quad (12)$$

where $K_1 (10^{-5.86}, pK_1 = 5.86)^4$ is the first dissociation constant and $K_2 (10^{-8.92}, pK_2 = 8.92)^4$ is the second dissociation constant of carbonic acid.

The sum of the dissolved forms $\text{CO}_2$, $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ and $\text{HCO}_3^-$ is the DIC:

$$\text{DIC} = [\text{HCO}_3^-] + [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] + [\text{CO}_2] \quad (13)$$

The charge balance of the carbonate system in ocean water can be expressed by total alkalinity (TA):

$$\text{TA} = \text{[HCO}_3^-] + 2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] + \text{[B(OH)]}_4^- + \text{[OH}^-] - \text{[H}^+] + \text{minor components} \quad (14)$$

The $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ is counted twice because it has double negative charge. For synthetic ocean water, boron and other minor components can be neglected, and for typical ocean water at pH 8.1, the contribution of water alkalinity ($\text{[OH}^-] - \text{[H}^+]$) is very small. The charge balance of the simplified ocean water at normal conditions can then be expressed by carbonate alkalinity (CA):

$$\text{CA} = [\text{HCO}_3^-] + 2[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] \quad (15)$$

The input ocean water solutions used in the experiments were synthetic ocean water prepared by adding 35.95 g of Instant Ocean® sea salt per liter of deionized water.
**Supplementary Table 4** | The concentration of major constituents of the synthetic oceanwater prepared by adding 35.95 g per liter deionized water as analyzed by ion chromatography (Dionex™) and the concentration major constituents of natural oceanwater.

| Constituents | Instant Ocean® synthetic oceanwater (mM) | Natural oceanwater (mM) |
|--------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Na⁺         | 416.643                                  | 480.61                  |
| Mg²⁺        | 56.678                                   | 54.13                   |
| Ca²⁺        | 11.766                                   | 10.52                   |
| K⁺          | 9.096                                    | 10.46                   |
| NH₄⁺        | 0.122                                    | n.a.                    |
| Li⁺         | 0.09                                     | n.a.                    |
| Sr²⁺        | n.a.                                     | 0.092                   |
| Cl⁻         | 505.333                                  | 559.39                  |
| SO₄²⁻       | 14.789                                   | 28.935                  |
| HCO₃⁻       | 2.69*                                    | 1.891                   |
| CO₃²⁻       | 0.408*                                   | 0.189                   |
| CO₂⁻        | 0.0155*                                  | 0.0133                  |
| Br⁻         | 1.185                                    | 0.863                   |
| NO₃⁻        | 0.111                                    | n.a.                    |
| CH₃COO⁻     | 0.108                                    | n.a.                    |
| F⁻          | 0.026                                    | 0.070                   |

* Determined from carbonate alkalinity

**Alkalinity titration of synthetic oceanwater**

In order to quantify the DIC of the synthetic oceanwater, alkalinity titration was performed on 100 ml oceanwater sample using 0.01 M HCl titrant solution. The titrant was dropped slowly into the sample and the change of pH was monitored and plotted as a function of the added titrant volume (Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Supplementary Fig. 3** | Alkalinity titration curve of synthetic oceanwater (35.95 g of Instant Ocean® sea salt per liter deionized water).
At the second equivalence point, or at pH 4.3 the concentration of $H^+$ is equal to the sum of $[HCO_3^-]$ and $2[CO_3^{2-}]$. For the simplified oceanwater, the number of moles of $H^+$ that is added to neutralize the anions and weak acid is approximately equal to CA:

$$CA = [H^+]_{\text{added}} = [HCO_3^-] + 2[CO_3^{2-}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

To reach pH 4.3, 35 ml of 0.01 M HCl was added to 100 ml of oceanwater sample, and the number of moles per liter of added $H^+$ can be calculated as follows:

$$[H^+]_{\text{added}} = 35 \text{ ml of titrant} \times \frac{1 \text{ L}}{1000 \text{ ml}} \times \frac{0.01 \text{ mol} \ H^+}{1 \text{ L}} \times \frac{1}{0.1 \text{ L oceanwater sample}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

From Supplementary Eq. (17), the $[H^+]$ added to bring the pH of the oceanwater sample down to 4.3 is 3.5 mM, which is equal to the sum of $[HCO_3^-]$ and $2[CO_3^{2-}]$.

Using Supplementary Eq. (11) and (12), the $[HCO_3^-]$ was 2.696 mM, the $[CO_3^{2-}]$ was 0.408 mM and the $[CO_2]$ was 0.016 mM. Using Supplementary Eq. (13), the DIC was calculated to be 3.12 mM.

The concentration of $[HCO_3^-]$, $[CO_3^{2-}]$ and $[CO_2]$ as a function of $[H^+]$ or pH is expressed by the following relationships:

$$[HCO_3^-] = \text{DIC} \left(1 + \frac{[H^+]}{K_1} + \frac{K_2}{[H^+]}ight)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$[CO_3^{2-}] = \text{DIC} \left(1 + \frac{[H^+]}{K_2} + \frac{[H^+]^2}{K_1 K_2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

$$[CO_2] = \text{DIC} \left(1 + \frac{K_1}{[H^+] + \frac{K_1 K_2}{[H^+]^2}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

The concentration of $[HCO_3^-]$, $[CO_3^{2-}]$ and $[CO_2]$ in the synthetic oceanwater as a function of pH is illustrated by Bjerrum plot in Supplementary Fig. 4.

At temperature ($T$) of 25 °C and salinity ($S$) of 35, the logarithmic form of the water dissociation constant ($pK_W$) is 13.22, and is given by:

$$pK_W = \text{pH} + \text{pOH}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)
**Supplementary Fig. 4** | (a) Bjerrum plot of log concentrations (conc.) of HCO$_3^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$, CO$_2$, H$^+$, and OH$^-$ as a function of pH of the synthetic oceanwater; DIC = 3.12 mM, $S$ = 35, $T$ = 25 °C. The circle and square indicate pK$_1$ and pK$_2$, respectively. The value of pK$_W$ is 13.22. (b) CO$_2$ concentration as a function of pH in the synthetic oceanwater.

**Simulated partial current densities across the BPM**

**Supplementary Fig. 5** | Partial current density carried by H$^+$ ($j_{H^+}$), OH$^-$ ($j_{OH^-}$), Na$^+$ ($j_{Na^+}$) and Cl$^-$ ($j_{Cl^-}$) across the BPM as a function of the total current density ($j_{total}$).
**pH vs. current calculation**

The generation rate of H\(^+\) (\(G_{H^+}\), in mol s\(^{-1}\)) and OH\(^-\) (\(G_{OH^-}\)) due to electrical current can be expressed by:

\[
G_{H^+} = \frac{l_{H^+}}{nF} \\
G_{OH^-} = \frac{l_{OH^-}}{nF} \\
G_{H^+} = G_{OH^-}
\]

where \(l_{H^+}\) is the absolute partial current carried by H\(^+\) (in A), \(l_{OH^-}\) is the absolute partial current carried by OH\(^-\), \(n\) is the number of participating electron per generation of one H\(^+\) and one OH\(^-\) in a single stack cell (\(n = 1\)), and \(F\) is the Faraday constant (96485.3329 s A mol\(^{-1}\)).

The number of H\(^+\) that is added (in mol L\(^{-1}\), M) in the acidified stream is given by the rate of generated H\(^+\) divided by the rate of the oceanwater flow (\(Q_{OW}\), in L s\(^{-1}\)) in the acidified compartment of the BPMED cell.

\[
[H^+]_{added} = \frac{G_{H^+}}{Q_{OW}}
\]

The number of H\(^+\) that is required to acidify oceanwater to a certain pH can be estimated by keeping track the charge balance of the carbonate system. Any H\(^+\) that is introduced to the oceanwater will be consumed by HCO\(_3^-\) and CO\(_3^{2-}\) until a new equilibrium is reached, and the oceanwater pH is determined by the equilibrium concentration of H\(^+\). Therefore, the number of added H\(^+\) in the oceanwater is related with the change in concentrations of HCO\(_3^-\), CO\(_3^{2-}\), OH\(^-\) and H\(^+\) from the initial values (i.e., pH\(_{initial}\) = 8.1), as given by:

\[
[H^+]_{added} = \Delta[HCO_3^-] + \Delta2[CO_3^{2-}] + \Delta[OH^-] - \Delta[H^+]
\]

The concentrations of HCO\(_3^-\), CO\(_3^{2-}\), OH\(^-\) as a function of H\(^+\) or pH can be derived from Supplementary Eq. (18), (19), (20) and (21), as also shown in the Bjerrum plot (Supplementary Fig. 4a).

Using the relationship between \(j_{H^+}\) and \(j_{total}\) in Supplementary Fig. 5, and using Supplementary Eq. (22), (25) and (26), the pH of the oceanwater can be plotted as a function of the electrical current. By normalizing the absolute current with the oceanwater input flow rate, the oceanwater pH is independent of the membrane and electrode active area, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 6.
Supplementary Fig. 6 | The experimental and calculated acidified stream pH as a function of electrical current normalized with oceanwater flow rate.
Supplementary Note 3

Electrodialysis energy consumption and CO₂ output flow rate calculations

The electrochemical energy consumption ($E_{\text{electrochemical}}$, in kWh kg⁻¹ CO₂) used for the contour plot was estimated by the following relationship:

$$E_{\text{electrochemical}} = \frac{VI}{[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{pH}}Q_{\text{OW}}M_{\text{CO}_2}} \quad (27)$$

where $V$, the cell voltage determined from the experimental voltage–current density characteristic of the cell containing 0.4 M K₃/K₄-Fe(CN)₆ redox couple electrode solution, $I$ is the absolute current, $[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{pH}}$ is the concentration of CO₂ (in mol L⁻¹) at the given applied current density or pH according to Supplementary Eq. (20), (22), (25) and (26), and $M_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the molar mass of CO₂ (0.044 kg mol⁻¹).

The calculation steps to determine the electrochemical energy consumption are outlined in the Supplementary Fig. 7.

Supplementary Fig. 7 | Flowchart outlining calculation steps of electrochemical energy consumption.

The generation rate of $\text{H}^+$ required to acidify oceanwater to a desired pH at the given oceanwater flow rate was determined by Supplementary Eq.(25) and (26). The electrical current needed to generate $\text{H}^+$ at the desired rate was calculated based on the partial current density and the total current density relation in Supplementary Fig. 5 and using Supplementary Eq. (22). The electrochemical power consumption was determined from the required total current density and the voltage from the experimental voltage–current density curve. The electrochemical energy consumption was approximated using Supplementary Eq. (27).
The ideal CO₂ output rate \( Q_{\text{CO₂, ideal}} \) depends on the concentration of dissolved CO₂ in oceanwater at the given pH and the oceanwater flow rate, as given in the following relationship:

\[
Q_{\text{CO₂, ideal}} = [\text{CO₂}]_{\text{pH}} Q_{\text{OW}} \frac{RT}{P}
\]

where \( R \) is the gas constant \((0.082057 \text{ L atm K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1})\), \( T \) is the temperature \((\text{in K})\) and \( P \) is the pressure \((1 \text{ atm})\).

The experimental electrochemical energy consumption \( E_{\text{electrochemical, exp}} \) in kWh kg⁻¹ CO₂ was determined from the following equation:

\[
E_{\text{electrochemical, exp}} = \frac{V_{\text{exp}} I_{\text{exp}}}{Q_{\text{CO₂, measured}} M_{\text{CO₂}} P \frac{RT}{P}}
\]

where \( V_{\text{exp}} \) is the observed cell voltage, \( I_{\text{exp}} \) is the experimental applied absolute current, and \( Q_{\text{CO₂, measured}} \) is the measured output of CO₂ flow rate.

The CO₂ capture efficiency \( \eta_{\text{capture}} \) was calculated by dividing the measured rate of the CO₂ output with the rate of DIC \( Q_{\text{DIC}} \) at the given oceanwater flow rate:

\[
\eta_{\text{capture}} = \frac{Q_{\text{CO₂, measured}}}{Q_{\text{DIC}}} = \frac{Q_{\text{CO₂, measured}}}{[\text{DIC}] Q_{\text{OW}} \frac{RT}{P}}
\]

The membrane contactor efficiency \( \eta_{\text{MC}} \) was calculated as follows:

\[
\eta_{\text{MC}} = \frac{Q_{\text{CO₂, measured}}}{Q_{\text{CO₂, ideal}}}
\]

**BPMED cell voltage at 3.3 mA cm⁻²**

![Cell voltage graph](image)

**Supplementary Fig. 8** | Cell voltage of the BPM electrodialysis unit recorded during CO₂ capture experiment at an operating current density of 3.3 mA cm⁻².
CO₂ capture performance with vacuum stripping at the BPMED cell outlet only

Supplementary Fig. 9 | (a) Total gas output rate and the CO₂ output rate, (b) membrane contactor and CO₂ capture efficiency, and (c) concentration of CO₂ output of the system that use 3 membrane contactors at the outlet of the BPMED cell only and no vacuum stripping stage prior to the BPMED, measured at an operating current density of 3.5 mA cm⁻² and oceanwater flow rate of 40 ml min⁻¹ as a function of time. The blue dash line in panel (a) indicates the ideal rate of CO₂ output at these operating conditions (at pH 4.7 and an oceanwater flow rate of 40 ml min⁻¹).
Supplementary Fig. 10 | (a) The total gas output rate and the CO₂ output rate, and (b) the CO₂ capture efficiency of the system that used 1 membrane contactor at the inlet and at the outlet of the BPMED cell at an oceanwater flow rate of 37 ml min⁻¹ acidified to pH 3.7 as a function of time. At this pH, all DIC had converted to dissolved CO₂. The red dash line in panel (a) indicates the ideal rate of CO₂ output at these operating conditions.

Supplementary Fig. 11 | (a) The total gas output rate and the CO₂ output rate, and (b) the CO₂ capture efficiency of the system that used 2 membrane contactors at the inlet and at the outlet of the BPMED cell at an oceanwater flow rate of 38 ml min⁻¹ acidified to pH 3.7 as a function of time. At this pH, all DIC had converted to dissolved CO₂. The red dash line in panel (a) indicates the ideal rate of CO₂ output at these operating conditions.
**CO₂ capture with vacuum stripping through three membrane contactors at the inlet and outlet of the BPMED cell**

Supplementary Fig. 12 | (a) The total gas output rate and the CO₂ output rate, and (b) the CO₂ capture efficiency of the system that used 3 membrane contactors at the inlet and at the outlet of the BPMED cell with oceanwater flowing at a rate of 37 ml min⁻¹ and acidified to pH 3.7 as a function of time. At this pH, all DIC had converted to dissolved CO₂. The red dash line in panel (a) indicates the ideal rate of CO₂ output at these operating conditions.
Supplementary Note 4

At an oceanwater flow rate of 37 ml min\(^{-1}\), a current density of 3.3 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the pH of the acidified stream was 4.7, and the calculated \([\text{CO}_3^{2-}] = 2.92 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}, [\text{HCO}_3^-] = 2.02 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] = 1.22 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}, [\text{H}^+] = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M} \text{ and } [\text{OH}^-] = 3.02 \times 10^{-9} \text{ M}.

At 76% membrane contactor efficiency, 76% of dissolved \([\text{CO}_2]\) at pH 4.7 was removed from the acidified stream, and the remaining \([\text{CO}_2] = 7.01 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}\). The removal of \(\text{CO}_2\) from the oceanwater allowed the carbonate species to re-equilibrate and prompted the pH to adjust according to the new equilibrium condition. Using Supplementary Eq. (11), the new equilibrium concentration of \(\text{H}^+\) was 4.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}, the resulting pH was 5.32 and the new DIC concentration was 9.02 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}.

The new equilibrium concentrations of the \(\text{CO}_2, \text{HCO}_3^-, \text{CO}_3^{2-}, \text{H}^+\) as a function of pH can be calculated using Supplementary Eq. (18), (19) and (20). The equilibrium concentration of \(\text{OH}^-\) was calculated using Supplementary Eq. (21) with a \(K_W\) value of 13.22 for oceanwater.\(^4\)

During the experiment, the decarbonized acidified oceanwater was supplied to the base compartment where it was basified. The \([\text{OH}^-]\) that is introduced to the basified stream due to water dissociation at the BPM under applied electrical current is related to the change in concentrations of \(\text{CO}_2, \text{HCO}_3^-, \text{H}^+\) and \(\text{OH}^-\) from the pre-basified values (i.e., \(pH_{\text{pre-basified}} = 5.32\)), as given by the carbonate acidity, as follows:

\[
[\text{OH}^-]_{\text{added}} = 2\Delta[\text{CO}_2] + \Delta[\text{HCO}_3^-] + \Delta[\text{H}^+] - \Delta[\text{OH}^-] 
\]

At a current density of 3.3 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and an oceanwater flow rate of 37 ml min\(^{-1}\), the number of \([\text{OH}^-]\) that was generated in the base compartment was equal to the number of \([\text{H}^+]\) that was generated in the acid compartment, and was calculated to be 3.3\times 10^{-3} \text{ M}. The new equilibrium concentrations of the carbonate species, \(\text{H}^+\) and \(\text{OH}^-\) of the basified stream should therefore be \([\text{CO}_2] = 6.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ M}, [\text{HCO}_3^-] = 2.52 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}, [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] = 8.77 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, [\text{H}^+] = 3.45 \times 10^{-11} \text{ M} \text{ and } [\text{OH}^-] = 1.75 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}, \) and the resulting pH of the basified stream should be 10.46.

However, measurements of oceanwater pH of the basified stream indicated a value of 8.5, close to the pre-acidified condition (\(pH_{\text{pre-acidified}} = 8.1\)). This discrepancy was attributed to the presence of non-negligible amounts of \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) in the synthetic oceanwater, which preferentially reacted with \(\text{OH}^-\) and formed white precipitates of \(\text{Mg(OH)}_2\) and \(\text{Ca(OH)}_2\).

In order to support this hypothesis, separate experiments were performed using simplified oceanwater that contained only 0.5 M NaCl and 0.25 mM NaHCO\(_3\), and synthetic oceanwater that was prepared by adding 35.95 g Instant Ocean®海塩 per liter deionized water, containing approximately 0.42 M NaCl, 3.12 mM DIC, 56.7 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) and 11.8 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) (as listed in Supplementary Table 4). During each experiment, the fresh oceanwater (either containing NaCl/NaHCO\(_3\) or Instant Ocean® sea salt) was supplied to both the acid and base compartments of the BPMED. Figure 13a shows that in the absence of the \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), the pH of the basified stream reached pH > 10 when the acidified stream pH < 5, in excellent agreement with the calculated values. In contrast, in the presence of \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) as in the Instant Ocean® synthetic oceanwater, the pH of the basified stream was well below the theoretical values (Supplementary Fig. 13).
The experimentally measured (exp.) and calculated (calc.) pH as a function $[H^+]$ added for the acidified stream, and as a function of $[OH^-]$ added for the basified stream from the BPMED using (a) simplified oceanwater containing only 0.5 NaCl and 2.5 mM NaHCO$_3$, and (b) Instant Ocean® synthetic oceanwater containing approximately 3.12 mM DIC, 56.7 mM Mg$^{2+}$ and 11.8 mM Ca$^{2+}$. The calculated pH values in the panel (b) do not take into account the preferential reaction of OH$^-$ with Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$. The $[H^+]$ and $[OH^-]$ added were determined by dividing the calculated generation rate of H$^+$ (in the acid compartment) and OH$^-$ (in the base compartment) as a result of applied current with the oceanwater flow rate (Supplementary Eq. (22) and (23), respectively). A $pK_w$ value of 13.69 was used to calculate the pH of the solution containing 0.5 M NaCl,$^7$ and a $pK_w$ value of 13.22 was used to calculate the pH of the Instant Ocean® synthetic oceanwater.$^4$ Values of $pK_1 = 5.86$ and $pK_2 = 8.92$ for carbonate system were assumed to be the same for both cases.
**Supplementary Note 5**

The cost of BPM (in $ kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}) can be calculated using the following relationship:

\[
\text{BPM cost} \left( \frac{$}{\text{kg}} \right) = \frac{\text{BPM cost} \left( \frac{$}{\text{cm}^2} \right)}{\frac{j (A/\text{cm}^2)}{n F (A \text{s/mol})} \eta_{MC} (\%) \left[ \frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{H}^+} \right] 3600 \left( \frac{s}{\text{hour}} \right) M_{\text{CO}_2} \left( \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mol}} \right) \text{lifetime}}
\]

(33)

where the BPM cost per area unit is $0.06 cm\textsuperscript{-2} as quoted from industry partners, \( j \) is the current density, \( n \) is the number of participating electron (1), \( F \) is the Faraday constant (96485.3 A s mol\textsuperscript{-1}), \( \eta_{MC} \) is the membrane contactor efficiency, \( M_{\text{CO}_2} \) is the molar mass of \( \text{CO}_2 \), the BPM lifetime is 3 years,\(^8\) and \( [\text{CO}_2]/[\text{H}^+] = 2.09 \text{mM}/2.39 \text{mM} \) is the ratio between the \( \text{CO}_2 \) produced and the \( \text{H}^+ \) introduced in the acidification process of the BPMED for natural oceanwater with a DIC concentration of 2.2 mM, and is the highest at pH 4.6 (Supplementary Fig. 14).

**Supplementary Fig. 14** | The ratio between \( \text{CO}_2 \) produced and \( \text{H}^+ \) added as a function pH, determined using 2.2 mM DIC as in natural oceanwater.

The cost of CEM (in $ kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}) was assumed to be 1/3 the cost of BPM.

The cost of electrochemical energy ($ kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}) can be determined by the following relationship:

\[
\text{Energy cost} \left( \frac{$}{\text{kg}} \right) = \frac{VI \text{ (kW)} \text{ electricity price } \left( \frac{$}{\text{kWh}} \right)}{\frac{l (A)}{n F (A \text{s/mol})} \eta_{MC} (\%) \left[ \frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{H}^+} \right] 3600 \left( \frac{s}{\text{hour}} \right) M_{\text{CO}_2} \left( \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{mol}} \right)}
\]

(34)
The electricity price was assumed to be $0.06 \text{ kWh}^{-1}. The voltage is a function of the current density, and was estimated using the simulation (Supplementary Fig. 15)

Supplementary Fig. 15 | Simulated voltage-current density characteristics of the water dissociation reaction at the BPM of the electrodialysis unit, taking into account the resistance in the oceanwater.

The trade-offs between the electrochemical energy cost and the membrane cost in the overall capture cost of CO$_2$ in the BPMED system at different current densities is shown in Supplementary Fig. 16.

Supplementary Fig. 16 | The cost of CEM, BPM and electrochemical energy (in $ \text{kg}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$) as a function of applied current density. CEM costs reflect the major capital cost of the electrodialysis unit and the electrochemical energy reflects the major operating cost. The inset highlights the cost at low current densities operation.
Electrochemical conversion of CO₂ from oceanwater

Supplementary Fig. 17 | (a) Process flow of electrochemical conversion of CO₂ captured from oceanwater. The CO₂ output from the BPMED cell was fed through tandem electrochemical cells. The first O₂ reduction reaction (ORR) pre-electrolysis cell was used to eliminate any O₂ residue from flowing into the CO₂ reduction (CO₂R) cell. (b) Schematic illustration of the vapor fed cell used for ORR pre-electrolysis and CO₂R, containing a gas diffusion layer (GDL) coated with a thin catalyst (Cu or Ag) as the working electrode, an anion exchange membrane (AEM), a Pt mesh as the counter electrode, and an anolyte.

Pre-electrolysis and bulk electrolysis current densities

Supplementary Fig. 18 | (a) ORR pre-electrolysis current density of Ag catalyst–based gas diffusion electrode (GDE) in a vapor fed cell at an applied potential of −0.6 V vs. RHE, measured as a function of time. The initially high current density indicates the high O₂ concentration in the gas feed, which decreased over time as the remaining air in the gas stream line was evacuated by the vacuum pump. (b) CO₂R bulk electrolysis current density of Cu-based gas diffusion electrode in a vapor fed cell at an applied potential of −1.14 V vs. RHE as a function of time. The vertical red dash line in panel (a) and (b) represents the time at which the CO₂R bulk electrolysis started.
**Supplementary Fig. 19** | (a) ORR pre-electrolysis current density of Ag catalyst–based gas diffusion electrode (GDE) in a vapor fed cell at an applied potential of −0.6 V vs. RHE, measured as a function of time. (b) CO₂R bulk electrolysis current density of Ag-based gas diffusion electrode in a vapor fed cell at an applied potential of −0.6 V vs. RHE as a function of time. The initially low current densities were attributed to the equilibration period for the anion exchange membrane (AEM) to fully wet which took approximately 20 minutes. The vertical red dash line indicates the time at which the CO₂R bulk electrolysis started.

**Faradaic efficiency**

**Supplementary Fig. 20** | Faradic efficiency of the Ag-catalyzed gas diffusion electrode in a vapor-fed-cell at −0.6 V vs. RHE with flowing captured gas feed directly from the BPMED (mixture composition of CO₂ 93%, O₂ 1.5%, N₂ 6.5%). More than 80% of electrons were missing due to the preferential O₂ reduction reaction on the Ag catalyst. During the measurement, the current density varied from 14.25 to 15.35 mA cm⁻².
Linear sweep voltammetry of pre-electrolysis electrode

Supplementary Fig. 21 | Linear sweep voltammetry of Ag-based ORR pre-electrolysis gas diffusion electrode, showing a mass transported limit region for ORR between −0.6 and −0.8 V vs. RHE.
Supplementary Note 6

In order for the capture and conversion systems to be operationally matched, the rate of the CO$_2$ captured by the BPMED ($G_{BPMED}$) should be equal to the rate of CO$_2$ reduction in the electrolysis unit ($K_{CO_2R}$), as given by:

$$\frac{G_{BPMED}}{K_{CO_2R}} = \frac{j_{BPMED}A}{n_{BPMED}F \eta_{MC} \frac{[CO_2]}{[H^+]} \eta_{MC}} = 1$$

(35)

where $j_{BPMED}$ is the electrochemical current density of the electrodialysis unit, $A$ is the active area (equal for the electrodialysis and electrolysis unit), $n_{BPMED}$ is the number of participating electron to dissociate water in the BPMED ($n_{BPMED} = 1$), $F$ is the Faraday constant, $\eta_{MC}$ is the membrane contactor efficiency (assumed to be 80%), $[CO_2]/[H^+]$ is the ratio between the CO$_2$ produced and the proton introduced in the acidification process of the BPMED (0.85 at pH 4 of natural oceanwater at DIC of 2.2 mM), $j_{CO_2R}$ is the CO$_2$ reduction reaction current density, $n_{CO_2R}$ is the number of participating electron for CO$_2$ reduction ($n_{CO_2R} = 6$ for CO$_2$ reduction to ethylene or methanol) $U_{CO_2R}$ is the CO$_2$ utilization in the electroreduction cell (assumed to be 100%), and $FE$ is the Faradaic Efficiency (assumed to be 100%). The current density ratio factor for CO$_2$ reduction electrolysis relative to electrodialysis is therefore $j_{CO_2R} = 4.08 j_{BPMED}$. 


The energy penalty for a stand-alone ocean capture includes the pumping energy for oceanwater intake from the ocean, pumping energy for oceanwater filtration, pumping energy for electrodialysis process, vacuum pump energy to separate CO\textsubscript{2} the acidified stream and the cooling energy to condense the captured gas.

**Oceanwater intake**

A large-scale commercial system of indirect ocean capture can be co-located with a water desalination plant where the energy penalty for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping is paid by the desalination plant, leading to a great reduction of the capital cost, as described by Eisaman et al.\textsuperscript{9} In the case of a stand-alone plant where this penalty must be included, the oceanwater intake energy intensity ($E_{IN}$, in kWh kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}) for water desalination can be used to determine the energy requirement to pump water from the place of intake to the plant location, as follows:

\[
E_{IN} = Q_{OW} \frac{\rho g h}{(3.6 \times 10^6) \eta_p \eta_m \dot{m}_{CO_2}}
\]

where $Q_{OW}$ is the oceanwater flow rate (in m\textsuperscript{3} h\textsuperscript{-1}), $\rho$ is the oceanwater density (1025 kg m\textsuperscript{-3}), $g$ is the acceleration of gravity (9.81 m s\textsuperscript{-2}), $h$ is the total dynamic head loss (TDH, typically between 30 m and 100 m for a 1500 m long pipe). A TDH value of 50 m is used in this calculation, $\eta_p$ is the pump efficiency (94%), $\eta_m$ is the motor efficiency (82%), and $\dot{m}_{CO_2}$ is the mass flow rate of CO\textsubscript{2} captured (in kg h\textsuperscript{-1}). Assuming 78.91% capture efficiency, $Q_{OW}/\dot{m}_{CO_2} = 13.1$ m\textsuperscript{3} kg\textsuperscript{-1} for natural oceanwater with a DIC concentration of 2.2 mM. Using this equation, the energy consumption for oceanwater intake ($E_{IN}$) was calculated to be 2.37 kWh kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}.

**Pre-treatment**

The oceanwater received from the intake is sent through multimedia filter (including disc filter and cartridge filter), followed by ultrafiltration. During these two steps, algae, organic particles, sand particles, smaller impurities and other particles are removed.\textsuperscript{11}

The pre-treatment energy ($E_{PT}$, in kWh kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}) can be estimated by determining the pump energy required to flow the oceanwater through these multistep filtration processes, as given by the following relationship:

\[
E_{PT} = Q_{OW} \frac{\Delta P_{CF} + \Delta P_{DF} + \Delta P_{UF}}{36 \eta_p \eta_m \dot{m}_{CO_2}}
\]

where $\Delta P_{CF}$ is the pressure drop in the cartridge filter (0.3 bar), $\Delta P_{DF}$ is the pressure drop in the disc filter (0.22 bar) and $\Delta P_{UF}$ is the pressure drop during ultrafiltration (3 bar). Using this equation, the calculated energy for oceanwater pre-treatment ($E_{PT}$) was found to be 1.66 kWh kg\textsuperscript{-1} CO\textsubscript{2}.

**Centrifugal pump**

Centrifugal pumps are used to flow the pre-treated oceanwater to the acidification and degasification unit. The energy consumption of the centrifugal pump ($E_p$, in kWh kg\textsuperscript{-1}) is equal to the energy required to
overcome the pressure drop in the BPM electrodialyzer ($\Delta P_{\text{BPMED}}$) and the pressure drop in the membrane contactor ($\Delta P_{\text{MC}}$), as follows:

$$E_p = Q_{\text{OW}} \frac{(\Delta P_{\text{BPMED}} + \Delta P_{\text{MC}})}{36\eta_p\eta_m\dot{m}_{\text{CO2}}}$$

(38)

Here $\Delta P_{\text{BPMED}}$ was assumed to be 0.5 bar (at maximum operating flow rate) and $\Delta P_{\text{MC}}$ was assumed to be 0.55 bar (at 70% maximum flow rate). The energy required for centrifugal pump was calculated to be 0.495 kWh kg$^{-1}$ CO$_2$.

**Vacuum pump**

The energy consumption for the CO$_2$ degasification vacuum pump ($E_{\text{VP, CO2}}$, in kWh kg$^{-1}$ CO$_2$) is expressed as follows:\(^{12}\)

$$E_{\text{VP, CO2}} = \frac{F_{\text{m,CO2}}RT\kappa_{\text{CO2}}}{(3.6 \times 10^6)(1 - \kappa_{\text{CO2}})\eta_{\text{VP}}\eta_m\dot{m}_{\text{CO2}}} \left[ \left( \frac{P_{\text{VP, out}}}{P_{\text{VP, in}}} \right)^{(\kappa_{\text{CO2}} - 1)/\kappa_{\text{CO2}}} - 1 \right]$$

(39)

where $F_{\text{m,CO2}}$ is the molar flow rate of CO$_2$ saturated with water vapor (in mol h$^{-1}$), $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is the temperature (in K) and $\kappa_{\text{CO2}}$ is the adiabatic constant of CO$_2$ (1.3). The $P_{\text{VP, in}}$ and $P_{\text{VP, out}}$ are the permeate side pressure (assumed to be 0.08 atm) and the atmospheric pressure, respectively. The $\eta_m$ is the motor efficiency (82%), and $\dot{m}_{\text{CO2}}$ is the mass flow rate of CO$_2$ captured (in kg h$^{-1}$). The efficiency of the vacuum pump ($\eta_{\text{VP}}$) is given by:\(^{12}\)

$$\eta_{\text{VP}} = 0.1058 \ln \left( \frac{P_{\text{VP, out}}}{P_{\text{VP, in}}} \right) + 0.8746 = 60.74\%$$

(40)

The $E_{\text{VP, CO2}}$ was calculated to be 0.059 kWh kg$^{-1}$ CO$_2$.

The energy consumption for the stripping N$_2$ and O$_2$ from the fresh oceanwater was calculated using the assumption that $G_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_2}$ is 25% of $G_{\text{CO}_2}$, in accordance with the experimental data. The $\kappa_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_2}$ is 1.4, as given by:

$$\kappa_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_2} = \kappa_{\text{N}_2}y_{\text{N}_2} + \kappa_{\text{O}_2}y_{\text{O}_2}$$

(41)

where $\kappa_{\text{N}_2}$ is 1.41 and $\kappa_{\text{O}_2}$ is 1.4. The mole fraction of N$_2$ ($y_{\text{N}_2}$) and O$_2$ ($y_{\text{O}_2}$) in oceanwater are assumed to be 65% and 35%. Using the same equation (Supplementary Eq. (39)), the energy consumption for N$_2$ and O$_2$ pre-degasification ($E_{\text{VP, N}_2\text{O}_2}$) was found to be 0.014 kWh kg$^{-1}$ CO$_2$.

**Cooling**

The gas is cooled upstream the vacuum pump using a vacuum pump cooling system. The energy required for cooling can be calculated as a function of the vacuum pump efficiency, as is given by:\(^{12}\)
\[ E_{\text{cooling}} = 0.054 \eta_{VP} E_{VP, CO_2} \]  

The calculated \( E_{\text{cooling}} \) was 0.002 kWh kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\).

**Total energy penalty**

The energy penalty for the balance of system of a CO\(_2\) capture system from oceanwater in a stand-alone plant equals to the sum of the energy consumption of the individual components, as given by:

\[ E_{\text{penalty, stand-alone}} = E_{IN} + E_{PT} + E_{P} + E_{VP, CO_2} + E_{VP, N_2/O_2} + E_{\text{cooling}} = 4.6 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1} \text{ CO}_2 \]  

For a CO\(_2\) capture system that is co-located with a water desalination plant, the energy cost for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping is assumed to be paid by the desalination plant. Thus, the energy for a co-located plant is given by:

\[ E_{\text{penalty, co-located}} = E_{VP, CO_2} + + E_{VP, N_2/O_2} + E_{\text{cooling}} = 0.075 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1} \text{ CO}_2 \]  

**Supplementary Table 5** | List of energy penalty for an indirect ocean capture system that is co-located with a water desalination plant and for a stand-alone system.

| Energy description         | Co-located system penalty (kWh kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\)) | Stand-alone system penalty (kWh kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\)) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Oceanwater intake          | 2.370                                                  |                                                      |
| Pre-treatment              | 1.660                                                  |                                                      |
| Pumping                    | 0.495                                                  |                                                      |
| Vacuum pump CO\(_2\) stripping | 0.059                                              | 0.059                                                |
| Vacuum pump pre-degasification O\(_2\)/N\(_2\) | 0.014                                           | 0.014                                                |
| Cooling                    | 0.002                                                  | 0.002                                                |
| Total                      | 0.075                                                  | 4.6                                                  |

**Electrochemical energy**

The electrochemical energy consumption for CO\(_2\) capture from oceanwater system is given by:

\[ E_{\text{electrochemical}} = \frac{VI}{(3.6 \times 10^6) \frac{I}{nF} \eta_{MC} \left[\frac{\text{CO}_2}{\text{H}^+}\right] M_{\text{CO}_2}} \]  

where \( V \) is the voltage (V), \( I \) is the current (A), \( n \) is the number of participating electron \((n = 1)\), \( F \) is the Faraday constant \((96485.3329 \text{ A s mol}^{-1})\), \( \eta_{MC} \) is the membrane contactor efficiency (assumed to be 80%), \( \left[\text{CO}_2]/[\text{H}^+]\right] \) is the ratio between CO\(_2\) produced and H\(^+\) added in the acid compartment of the BPMED \((0.85 \text{ at pH 4 for natural oceanwater with a DIC concentration of 2.2 mM})\) and \( M_{\text{CO}_2} \) is the molar mass of CO\(_2\) \((0.044 \text{ kg mol}^{-1})\). Commercial systems should operate at pH 4 to compensate for the high cost of membrane contactors and oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping (Supplementary Note 8). At an industrial-scale operating current density of 200 mA cm\(^{-1}\), the voltage was estimated to be 1.316 V (Supplementary Fig. 15), and the electrochemical energy consumption was calculated to be 1.22 kWh kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\).
Supplementary Note 8

A technoeconomic analysis of ocean capture was carried out to evaluate the viability of the proof-of-concept system using cost information that are available in the literature. The scale of the plant was set to 880 kg-CO$_2$ h$^{-1}$ or equivalent to 20 kmol-CO$_2$ h$^{-1}$, in accordance to the readily available equipment costs for CO$_2$ capture from reverse osmosis concentrate reported previously by Eisaman et al. The equipment size, quantity and CO$_2$ capture component were adjusted to an industrial scale that captures CO$_2$ at a rate of 880 kg h$^{-1}$ from natural oceanwater with a DIC concentration of 2.2 mM at 78.91% capture efficiency (or membrane contactor efficiency of 80% at acidified stream pH 4.), which corresponds to an oceanwater flow rate of 276,498 m$^3$ day$^{-1}$. Note that the cost analysis presented herein only considers the cost of electrodialysis and gas stripping and ignores the cost for oceanwater pre-treatment to prevent scaling. Softening the entire oceanwater volume using ion exchanger units would be cost prohibitive at the present scale. Alternatively, feeding the basified chamber with higher flow rate of oceanwater in relative to the acidified chamber and controlling the pH of the basified chamber so that a critical pH would never be reached would minimize the divalent cation scaling in the system. Other membrane related anti-scaling materials and processes would also play an important role in the future deployment of this technology.

Supplementary Table 6 | Major equipment list for CO$_2$ capture from oceanwater, adjusted from a similar system previously reported by Eisaman et al. The maximum oceanwater input flow for each membrane contactor is 80 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$.

| Equipment description | Qty | Inflation-adjusted cost ($ per unit) | Cost factor (\(-\)) | Purchase cost ($) |
|-----------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Membrane contactor    | 290 | $7,196                              | 2.9                 | $6,051,701       |
| Electrodialyzer       | 4   | $438,915                            | 1.4                 | $2,457,925       |
| Water condenser       | 2   | $21,806                             | 3.3                 | $143,917         |
| Vacuum pump           | 2   | $328,434                            | 3.7                 | $2,430,409       |
| Vacuum pump chiller   | 2   | $124,603                            | 2.3                 | $573,175         |

Ocean capture co-located with water desalination plant

The CO$_2$ capture equipment purchase cost summarized in Supplementary Table 6 reflects the direct cost. The indirect cost was assumed to be 13% of the equipment cost. Engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) was the sum of direct and indirect cost and was assumed to occur over one-year construction period. Contingency and owner’s costs were assumed to be 25% and 5% of the EPC, respectively. The sum of EPC, contingency and owner’s cost was equal to the total overnight cost (TOC) or the capital expenditure (CapEx). The operation and maintenance (O&M) cost was assumed to be 3.12% of CapEx per year. Major replacements include membrane contactors every 5$^{th}$ year, electrodialyzer stacks every 5$^{th}$ year, and vacuum pumps every 10$^{th}$ year. The electrodialyzer stack replacement cost was assumed to be 1.5 membrane costs for a system that operates at 200 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Supplementary Eq. (33)). The electrochemical energy consumption at this current density was calculated to be 1.22 kWh kg$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Eq. (45)) and the electricity price range was assumed to be between $0.03 and $0.06 kWh$^{-1}$. The plant was assumed to have a capacity factor of 90%. For an ocean capture system that is co-located with a water desalination plant, the energy costs for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping were assumed to be paid by the desalination plant, and the energy penalty for such a system only includes the energy consumption for the vacuum pump and cooling which was calculated to be 0.075 kWh kg$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Eq. (44)). The labor cost was assumed to be equal to the previously reported full-time equivalents (FTE) to operate a 20 kmol-CO$_2$/hr plant, with a total of $210,000 year$^{-1}$. Property taxes and insurance was assumed to be 2% of the TOC/year.
The operating costs or operating expenditure (OpEx) and product revenues depend on the levelized cost of CO₂ and were discounted to the year of construction at a discount rate of 6.5%.

\[
\text{Operating Cost PV} = \sum_{i=1:n} \frac{OC_i}{(1 + r)^i} \tag{46}
\]

\[
\text{Product revenue PV} = \sum_{i=1:n} \frac{PR_i(\text{CO}_2 \text{ levelized cost})}{(1 + r)^i} \tag{47}
\]

where PV is the present value, OC is the operating cost, PR is the product revenue, \(r\) is the discount rate (12%), and \(n\) is the amortization period (25 years).

A pre-tax environment was assumed, and thus depreciation was not applied to any capital assets. The levelized cost of CO₂ was calculated by adjusting its value such that the net present value of the capital and operating expenses and product revenue summed to zero. The project was assumed to be amortized over 25-year lifetime.

\[\text{NPV} = 0 = \text{Product Revenue PV (CO}_2 \text{ levelized cost)} - \text{Operating Cost PV} - \text{Capital Expense} \tag{48}\]

The specific costs (in $ kg⁻¹) of the operating expenses were calculated by dividing the specific operating expense component (e.g. O&M, labor, electricity and replacements) present value with the sum of CapEx and the present value of all operating expenses, and multiplied with the levelized cost of CO₂.

The levelized cost of CO₂ for a system that is co-located with a water desalination plant was calculated to be in the range from $0.50 to $0.54 kg⁻¹ CO₂. Supplementary Fig. 22a and b show the cost breakdown and the CapEx cost fraction of the co-located system, assuming an electricity price of $0.03 kWh⁻¹.

**Supplementary Fig. 22** | (a) Total CO₂ cost energy breakdown and (b) Equipment cost fraction of indirect ocean capture system that is co-located with a water desalination plant, assuming an electricity price of $0.03 kWh⁻¹.
Stand-alone ocean capture plant

In a stand-alone ocean capture, the capital and operating costs for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping were added to the previously calculated cost for a co-located plant. In principle, the processes to pump oceanwater from the place of intake to the plant location and pre-treatment units are the same as those for the water desalination, and therefore all the cost functions used for the technoeconomic analysis of a stand-alone system was assumed to be the same as those for a desalination plant. The correlation of combined oceanwater intake and pre-treatment (OWIP) capital cost ($C_{C_{OWIP}}$, in 1995 USD) has been widely used:\textsuperscript{13–17}

$$C_{C_{OWIP}} = 12659.84 Q_{OW}^{0.8}$$

(49)

where $Q_{OW}$ is the flow rate of the oceanwater feed (11.521 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ assuming a natural oceanwater DIC concentration of 2.2 mM, a CO$_2$ capture efficiency of 78.91\%, and a plant scale of 880 kg-CO$_2$ h$^{-1}$).

Centrifugal pumps are used to feed the BPMED with the pre-treated oceanwater. The purchase cost of the centrifugal pump ($C_{P_{P}}$) was estimated by the following cost function:\textsuperscript{18}

$$C_{P_{P}} = F_{T_{P}}F_{M}C_{B_{P}}$$

(50)

where $F_{T_{P}}$ is the pump type factor ($F_{T_{P}} = 2$ for flow rate range of 250–5000 gallons per minute (gpm), pump head range 50–500 feet (ft) and maximum motor power 250 horsepower (HP), $F_{M}$ is the material construction factor ($F_{M} = 2$ for stainless steel), and $C_{B_{P}}$ is the pump base cost, as given by:

$$C_{B_{P}} = \exp\{9.171 - 0.6019 [\ln(S)] + 0.0519 [\ln(S)]^2\}$$

(51)

Here $S$ (valid for $S = 400$ to $S = 100000$) is the size factor:

$$S = Q(H)^{0.5}$$

(52)

where $Q$ is the flow rate through the pump in gpm and $H$ is the pump head in ft of fluid flowing.

A centrifugal pump is typically driven by an electric motor whose cost is added to the pump cost (Supplementary Eq. (50)). The purchase cost of electric motor ($C_{P_{M}}$) is given by:

$$C_{P_{M}} = F_{T_{M}}C_{B_{M}}$$

(53)

where $F_{T_{M}}$ is the motor type factor ($F_{T_{M}} = 1.4$ for totally enclosed, fan-cooled, 1 to 250 HP, 3600 rpm) and $C_{B_{M}}$ is the motor base cost, as given by:

$$C_{B_{M}} = \exp\{5.8259 + 0.13141 [\ln(P_{C})] + 0.053255 [\ln(P_{C})]^2 + 0.028628 [\ln(P_{C})]^3 - 0.0035549 [\ln(P_{C})]^4\}$$

(54)
Here $P_C$ is the motor power consumption that is determined from the theoretical horsepower of the pump ($P_T$), the pump efficiency ($\eta_P$), and the electric motor efficiency ($\eta_M$):

$$P_C = \frac{P_T}{\eta_P \eta_M} = \frac{P_B}{\eta_M} = \frac{QH\rho}{33000\eta_P \eta_M}$$

(55)

where $P_B$ is the pump brake horsepower and $\rho$ is the ocean water density in pounds per gallon. The $\eta_P$ is a function of the volumetric flow rate, and $\eta_M$ is a function of the pump brake horsepower:

$$\eta_P = -0.316 + 0.24015 (\ln Q) - 0.01199 (\ln Q)^2$$

(56)

for $Q$ in the range of 50 to 5000 gpm, and:

$$\eta_M = 0.8 + 0.0319 (\ln P_B) - 0.00182 (\ln P_B)^2$$

(57)

for $P_B$ in the range of 1 to 1500 HP.

The centrifugal pump and electric motor cost functions above are described in 2006 dollars with an average cost index of 500 (CE = 500), and were adjusted to 2019 dollars using an average cost index of 607.5 (CE = 607.5).

The centrifugal pump capital cost ($CC_P$) is then given by the sum of the purchase cost of pump and motor:

$$CC_P = C_{P, P} + C_{P, M}$$

(58)

The ocean water waste described in this work was assumed to have almost the same mineral composition as the fresh ocean water, except restored alkalinity, and therefore may be discharged to open water body or near-shore zone without the need for post-treatment, concentrate management, construction of lengthy outfalls and costly diffuser structures. Additionally, the ocean water output from the BPMED was assumed to carry a residual pressure of 2 bar, and therefore no additional pumping is required for surface water discharge.

The total capital cost for ocean water intake, pre-treatment and pumping ($CC_{OWIPP}$) is expressed by the sum of these cost functions, multiplied with 1.441 to represent the indirect cost:

$$CC_{OWIPP} = 1.441 (CC_{OWIP} + CC_P)$$

(59)

The annual operating costs ($OC$) for ocean water intake, pre-treatment and pumping include the cost of electricity ($OC_{electricity}$), insurance ($OC_{insurance}$), maintenance ($OC_{maintenance}$) and labor ($OC_{labor}$), as given by:

$$OC_{electricity} = 365(E_{IN} + E_{PT} + E_P)\dot{m}_{CO_2}C_{ef}$$

(60)
\[ OC_{\text{maintenance}} = 0.01 \times 365 Q_{\text{OW}} f_c \] (61)

\[ OC_{\text{insurance}} = 0.005 C_{\text{OWIPP, total}} \] (62)

\[ OC_{\text{labor}} = 0.01 \times 365 Q_{\text{OWIP}} f_c \] (63)

where \( E_{IN}, E_{PT}, E_P \) are the energy for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping, respectively, as described in Supplementary Note 7 (Supplementary Eq. (36), (37) and (38)). The \( \dot{m}_{CO_2} \) is the mass flow rate of the CO\(_2\) output or the CO\(_2\) production scale of the plant (880 kg h\(^{-1}\)), \( C_e \) is the cost of electricity (assumed to be between $0.03 and $0.06 kWh\(^{-1}\)) and \( f_c \) is the capacity factor (90%).

Combining all the expenses for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment, pumping and the costs for the electrodialysis and gas stripping units, and assuming a discount rate of 6.5% for a plant lifetime of 25 years with 90% capacity factor, the levelized cost of CO\(_2\) was calculated to be $1.87 and $2.05 kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\) for an electricity price of $0.03 and $0.06 kWh\(^{-1}\), respectively.

**Cost breakdown of stand-alone ocean capture**

![Pie chart showing cost breakdown]

**Supplementary Fig. 23** | CO\(_2\) cost energy breakdown of a stand-alone indirect ocean capture plant, including the CapEx and OpEx for electrodialysis, gas stripping, oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and pumping, at an electricity price of $0.03 kWh\(^{-1}\).

**Supplementary Table 7** | Summary of the cost breakdown of the co-located and stand-alone systems.

| Ocean capture scenario | CapEx ($ kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\)) | OpEx ($ kg\(^{-1}\) CO\(_2\)) | Levelized cost CO\(_2\) ($ kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Co-located             | 0.18                        | 0.05                        | 0.04\(^a\)-0.08\(^b\)            | 0.50\(^a\)-0.54\(^b\) |
| Stand-alone            | 1.07                        | 0.18                        | 0.18\(^a\)-0.35\(^b\)            | 1.87\(^a\)-2.05\(^b\) |

\(^a\) Electricity price of $0.03 kWh\(^{-1}\).

\(^b\) Electricity price of $0.06 kWh\(^{-1}\).
Supplementary Note 9

A recent analysis by Eisaman suggests that a stand-alone land-based ocean capture (OC) is less energetically and economically advantageous than direct air capture (DAC).\textsuperscript{10} This is primarily due to the higher density of oceanwater compared to air, meaning that more energy is required to pump oceanwater than to pump air. For example, the energy required for CO\textsubscript{2} capture process ($E$) can be simplified into the following equation:

$$E = E_p + E_Z = \frac{Q \rho gh}{m_{CO_2} \eta} + E_Z$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

where $E_p$ is the pumping energy and $E_Z$ process specific energy. $Q$ is the volumetric flow rate (in m$^3$ h$^{-1}$) of the CO\textsubscript{2} source medium (air or oceanwater), $\rho$ is the density of oceanwater or air ($\rho_{oceanwater} = \rho_{air} = 1.225 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), $g$ is the acceleration of gravity (9.81 m s$^{-2}$), $h$ is the pump head loss ($h_{OC}^{DAC} = 50 \text{ m for intake + 35 m for pre-treatment + 10.5 m for feed pumping = 95.5, m and } h_{DAC}^{DAC} = 67. \text{ Pa/1.2 kg m}^{-3} \times 9.81 \text{ m s}^{-2} = 5.8 \text{ m}$), $m_{CO_2}$ is the mass flow rate of the CO\textsubscript{2} captured (in kg h$^{-1}$), $\eta$ is the pumping efficiency (assumed to be equal for OC and DAC, $\eta_{OC} = \eta_{DAC}$) and $E_Z$ is the electrochemical energy for OC ($E_Z^{OOC} = 1.25 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ at } 200 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$), and regeneration energy for DAC ($E_Z^{DAC} = 5.25 \text{ GJ t}^{-1} \text{ CO}_2 = 1.46 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1} \text{ CO}_2$).\textsuperscript{21} Note that Supplementary Eq. (64) only considers the most important energy and does not take into account the non-negligible energy needed in the process. Supplementary Eq. (64) can be modified as follows:

$$E = \frac{\rho gh}{D \eta} + E_Z$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

where $D$ is the mass density of CO\textsubscript{2} in oceanwater and in air ($D_{OC}^{DAC} = 0.0968 \text{ kg-CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-3}$ of oceanwater and $D_{DAC}^{DAC} 0.000733 \text{ kg-CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-3}$ of air). The individual parameter factors of OC relative to DAC are given by: $\rho_{OC} = 836.7\rho_{DAC}, h_{OC}^{DAC} = 16.5 h_{DAC}^{DAC}, D_{OC}^{DAC} = 132D_{DAC}^{DAC}, \eta_{OC} = \eta_{DAC}$ and $E_Z^{OOC} = 0.86 E_Z^{DAC}$.

Therefore, the energy consumption for OC relative to DAC can be expressed by:

$$E^{OC} = 104.6E_p^{DAC} + 0.86E_Z^{DAC}$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

Supplementary Eq. (66) indicates that the energy penalty for OC mostly arises from the energy consumption for pumping oceanwater. This is primarily due to the higher density of oceanwater relative to air ($\rho_{OC} = 836.7\rho_{DAC}$) outweighs the mass concentration of CO\textsubscript{2} in oceanwater ($D_{OC}^{DAC} = 132D_{DAC}^{DAC}$), and thus more energy required to capture CO\textsubscript{2} in oceanwater than in air.

That said, ocean capture can be energetically competitive against direct air capture if the ocean capture system is co-located with a water desalination plant where the energy for oceanwater intake, pre-treatment and feed pumping is paid by the desalination plant, resulting in $E^{OC} = 0.86E_Z^{DAC} - E_p^{DAC}$. 
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Supplementary Note 10

The thermodynamic potential limit to acidify ocean water to a mild pH of 4.6 is given by $V_{\text{limit, direct}} = 0.059$ (pH 10.6 − pH 4.6) = 0.354 V, where pH 10.6 represents the restored alkalinity pH of the basified stream, assuming maximum CO$_2$ removal from the acidified stream, and pH 4.6 represents the acidified stream pH at which the ratio between [CO$_2$] produced and [H$^+$] added ([CO$_2$/[H$^+$]]) in the acid compartment of the BPMED is the highest (Supplementary Note 5).

The thermodynamic energy requirement for a direct CO$_2$ capture from ocean water by BPMED is then given by:

$$E_{\text{limit, direct}} = \frac{V_{\text{limit, direct}} I}{G_{\text{CO}_2}} = \frac{0.354 \text{ (V)} I \text{ (A)} \times 10^{-3}}{\text{kg CO}_2 / \text{h}} = 0.25 \text{ kWh/kg CO}_2$$

where $V$ is the voltage, $I$ is the current, $n$ is the number of participating electron ($n = 1$), $F$ is the Faraday constant (96485.3329 A s mol$^{-1}$), [CO$_2$/[H$^+$]] is the ratio between CO$_2$ produced and H$^+$ added in the acid compartment (0.87 at pH 4.6 for natural ocean water with a DIC concentration of 2.2 mM) and $M_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the molar mass of CO$_2$ (0.044 kg mol$^{-1}$).

For indirect process, where 1 M HCl and 1 M NaOH are separately generated to acidify and basify ocean water using BPMED, the thermodynamic potential limit is given by $V_{\text{limit, indirect}} = 0.059$ (pH 14 − pH 0) = 0.826 V. Hence the energy requirement for the indirect acidification process BPMED is:

$$E_{\text{limit, indirect}} = \frac{V_{\text{limit, indirect}} I}{G_{\text{CO}_2}} = \frac{0.826 \text{ (V)} I \text{ (A)} \times 10^{-3}}{\text{kg CO}_2 / \text{h}} = 0.6 \text{ kWh/kg CO}_2$$

where $V$ is the voltage, $I$ is the current, $n$ is the number of participating electron ($n = 1$), $F$ is the Faraday constant (96485.3329 A s mol$^{-1}$), [CO$_2$/[H$^+$]] is the ratio between CO$_2$ produced and H$^+$ added in the acid compartment (0.87 at pH 4.6 for natural ocean water with a DIC concentration of 2.2 mM) and $M_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the molar mass of CO$_2$ (0.044 kg mol$^{-1}$).
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