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Abstract: Background: Burnout carries a potential negative effect on the individual’s psychological and physical health, as well as an organization’s effectiveness. Therefore, it is recognized worldwide as a major challenge to workers’ health and the functioning of their organizations. Objectives: Determine the prevalence of burnout among physicians in the primary health care facilities and identify the physical predictors of burnout syndrome. Participants & Methods: The study was a cross sectional study conducted on 76 physicians in El Kanater El Kharaia distract PHC units & centers in Qalubia Governorate, Egypt. It was conducted in the context of 10 months. All the participants were interviewed using Maslach burnout inventory. Results: Nearly 66.7% of the general practitioners (GPs) had high burnout while only 26.7% of specialists had high burnout. Emotional exhaustion was higher in GPs than family physician and specialist. It was 80.7%, 75% and 46.7% respectively (p value < 0.001). High depersonalization was in GPs resembling 89.5% compared to 50% and 40% in family physician and specialist respectively (p value < 0.001). Nearly two third of the specialists had high personal accomplishment in comparison to 40.3% and 22% in GPs & family physicians. Multivariate regression analysis showed that the most relevant risk factor for burnout was low income and the most relevant physical predictors for burnout were being angry and being hurry (p value < 0.05). Conclusion: Primary care physicians suffer from burnout more than family physicians and specialists. High burnout was prevalent with those under 30 years old and less than 5 years of experience.
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1. Introduction

Burnout has been defined as a psychological syndrome that may emerge when employees are exposed to a stressful working environment with high job demands and low resources [1] [2] [3]. Burnout is a physical, mental, and emotional response to constant high levels of stress and ineffective or inadequate coping methods [4]. It is typically conceptualized as a syndrome characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment [5].

Medical practice is stressful. This is because medical personnel must respond to the needs of patients and families very quickly. Any medical errors or mistakes may be costly, harmful to a patient’s life and sometimes irreversible. Moreover, night work, shift work and long work hours are also very common in medical professions [6]. The identified factors for the etiology of burnout among healthcare workforce include inadequate staff, long working hours, financial constraints, inadequate supervision or leadership skills of departmental heads, witnessing death and dying in practice, frequent conflicts among healthcare professionals, stressors in private life and lack of social support [7] [8] [9].

The most commonly used tool for assessing burnout is the 22-item Maslach Burnout Inventory–Human Services Survey (MBI–HSS) [10]. The impacts of burnout not only affect the suffering physicians but extend to affect their patients. Loss of job satisfaction, high rates of turnover in addition to depressive disorders, poor job performance and high rates of absenteeism are among the numerous impacts of burnout on physicians [11].

1.1. Objectives

Determine the prevalence of burnout among physicians in the primary health care facilities and identify the physical predictors of burnout syndrome.
1.2. Subjects and Methods

The study was a cross-sectional study. It was conducted in the context of a time frame of 10 months (starting on the 1st of August, 2014 till the end of April of 2015) with all the physicians working in the family health centers and units in El kanater el khariaa district, Kaluobia governorate, Egypt. It embraces 5 family health centers and 16 family health units in El kanater el khariaa District.

Human rights and ethical considerations were followed during the study, with total confidentiality of any obtained data. The Menoufia Faculty of Medicine Committee for Medical Research Ethics reviewed and formally approved the study before it began. A written consent form was taken from the local health care authorities in the studied centers as well as from all participants after explaining the aim of the study.

A pilot study was done on 10 participants. They were interviewed using a semi-structured questionnaire with the following sections:

First part: Physician socio-demographic data to obtain: Name, age, sex, occupation, marital status, number of children, income, years of experience, and the weekly hours of work.

Second part: contains an Arabic valid reliable version of Maslach burnout inventory (MBI) [12]. A 22 items of MBI were asked to assess the three domains of burnout syndrome; emotional exhaustion (EE), depersonalization (DP), and personal accomplishment (PA) then the burnout score was calculated [10].

Third part: contains questions to assess the physical and emotional symptoms, as physical predictors. No modifications were done on the tools and the number was added to the study.

All the physicians working in the selected area were invited to participate in the study. The registered working number during the period of the study was 83 physicians. Only 13 physicians resembling 8.4% of the registered physicians refused to share in the research. The 76 participants were divided into three groups:

1) General practitioners were 57 participants accounting 75%
2) Family physicians were 4 participants resembling 5%
3) Specialists were 15 participants resembling nearly 20%

2. Statistical Analysis

The results were collected, tabulated, and analyzed statistically using Microsoft Excel and SPSS, version 17 software programs (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data were described as frequencies (number of cases) and relative frequencies (percentages) when appropriate. It was analyzed applying Chi-square test to compare more than two qualitative groups, Odds ratio to determine significant risk factors and Step wise regression test to test association between variables and detection of risk factors. A probability value (P-value) less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

![Fig. 1. Total burnout among the studied groups.](image-url)
Table 1. Levels of burnout among studied group (N=76).

| Burnout subscale | Studied group | χ² | P value |
|------------------|---------------|----|---------|
| Emotional exhaustion | GP (n=57) | Family physician (n=4) | Specialist (n=15) | | |
| No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| High | 46 | 80.7 | 3 | 75.0 | 7 | 46.7 | 17.6 | 0.001 |
| Moderate | 9 | 15.8 | 1 | 25.0 | 2 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 0.05 |
| Low | 2 | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 40.0 | 1.4 | 0.24 |
| Depersonalization | High | 51 | 89.5 | 2 | 50.0 | 6 | 40.0 | 7.7 | 0.01 |
| Moderate | 6 | 10.5 | 2 | 50.0 | 9 | 60.0 | 2.7 | 0.09 |
| Accomplishment | High | 23 | 40.3 | 1 | 22.0 | 10 | 66.7 | 18.6 | 0.001 |
| Moderate | 30 | 52.6 | 3 | 75.0 | 5 | 33.3 | 0.1 | 0.74 |
| Total burnout | High | 38 | 66.7 | 2 | 50.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 29.1 | 0.001 |
| Moderate | 12 | 21.1 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 0.1 | 0.74 |
| Low | 7 | 12.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 73.3 | 3.5 | 0.03 |

Table 2. Relationship between total burnout and socio demographic characters of studied group (N=76):

| Socio demographic | Burnout | χ² | P value |
|-------------------|---------|----|---------|
|                  | High (n=41) | Moderate(n=17) | Low (n=18) | |
| No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Age/years: | - ≤30 | 29 | 70.7 | 8 | 47.1 | 4 | 22.2 | 12.3 | 0.002 |
| | - >30 | 12 | 29.3 | 9 | 52.9 | 14 | 77.8 | 0.05 | 0.82 |
| Gender: | Female | 21 | 51.2 | 11 | 64.7 | 8 | 44.4 | 1.5 | 0.47 |
| | Male | 20 | 48.8 | 6 | 35.3 | 10 | 55.6 | 0.04 | 0.84 |
| Number of children: | <3 | 37 | 90.2 | 13 | 76.5 | 13 | 72.2 | 3.5 | 0.17 |
| | ≥3 | 4 | 9.8 | 4 | 23.5 | 5 | 27.8 | 0.2 | 0.62 |
| Marital state: | Single | 20 | 48.8 | 12 | 70.6 | 16 | 88.9 | 9.16 | 0.01 |
| | Married | 21 | 51.2 | 5 | 29.4 | 2 | 11.1 | 0.1 | 0.74 |
| Occupation: | GP | 38 | 92.7 | 12 | 70.6 | 7 | 38.9 | 0.85 | 0.36 |
| | Family physician | 2 | 4.9 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.74 |
| | Specialist | 1 | 2.4 | 3 | 17.6 | 11 | 61.1 | 0.2 | 0.62 |
| Income: | <2000 | 33 | 80.5 | 11 | 64.7 | 5 | 27.8 | 15.1 | 0.001 |
| | >2000 | 8 | 19.5 | 6 | 35.3 | 13 | 72.2 | 0.04 | 0.84 |
| Years of experience: | <5 | 31 | 75.6 | 9 | 52.9 | 5 | 27.8 | 12.2 | 0.002 |
| | ≥5 | 10 | 24.4 | 8 | 47.1 | 13 | 72.2 | 0.04 | 0.84 |

Table 3. Binary logistic regression analysis of socio demographic risk factors of burnout.

| Socio demographic characters | Wald | P value | Odds ratio | 95% CI |
|------------------------------|------|---------|------------|--------|
| Age/years: | *-<30 | 1.55 | 0.21 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 1.40 |
| | ->30 | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 1.40 |
| Sex: | *-Female | 2.35 | 0.12 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 1.22 |
| | - Male | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 1.22 |
| Marital state: | *-Married | 0.18 | 0.66 | 1.33 | 0.36 | 4.88 |
| | - Single | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 1.22 |
| Number of children: | */=3 | 0.01 | 0.92 | 1.07 | 0.26 | 4.49 |
| | */<3 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 1.22 |
| Occupation: | */-GP and Family physician | 1.50 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 1.42 |
| | - Specialist | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 1.22 |
| Income: | */<-2000 | 5.19 | 0.02 | 3.12 | 1.17 | 8.32 |
4. Discussion

Nearly two third of the general practitioners had high burnout which is in agreement with Youssef et al., 2006 who found that 63.1% of the residents meeting the burnout criteria [13]. This is in agreement with a cross-sectional survey of family physicians that was conducted in 12 European countries using maslash burnout inventory human services survey (MBI-HSS) where out of the responding 1393 physician, 43% scored high for emotional exhaustion (EE) burnout, 35% for depersonalization (DP) and 32% for personal accomplishment [14]. This figure is similar to other figures in the area e.g.: 63.2% in Yemen [15]. The complex nature of providing accessible, continuing, and comprehensive care to patients and their families and managing ethical dilemmas, puts family physicians at high risk of depletion of their emotional resources and developing burnout. This is opposing studies on primary care doctors or general practitioners in several western European countries, including Switzerland, Italy and France which reported prevalence rate ranging from around 20% to more than 50% in some studies [16].

A significant relation between age and high EE was identified, the data about the relationship between age and burnout reported in other studies, are conflicting. Some studies among physicians in USA and Canada found out that younger physicians are more susceptible to burnout and some found a negative correlation between age and burnout [17]. hypothesized that the reason for higher level of burnout among younger people is working experiences, so the risk of burnout is greater at the beginning of career.

Some studies showed that there is a higher level of burnout among female physicians, which could be due to the responsibility of women for the house hold and their families, especially if they have children. [17]. A higher percentage of female physicians reported burnout which may be attributed to the fact that women tend to enter generalist professions (family medicine and internal medicine) rather than the lower-stress subspecialties. Women may also have more conflicts between work and home, particularly if they have children [18].

The current study had showed that there was a highly significant difference in age, marital state, occupation, income, years of experience and total burnout score. High burnout was distinguished in participants less than 30 years old, married, works as general practitioner, with an income

| Socio demographic characters | Wald  | P value | Odds ratio | 95% CI  |
|-----------------------------|-------|---------|------------|---------|
|                             |   |         |            | Lower   | Upper   |
| Age <2000 Years of experience |   |         |            |         |         |
| Age < 5 years               | 0.18| 0.36    | 1.92       | 0.47    | 7.76    |
| Age > 5 years               |   |         |            |         |         |

| Table 4. Binary logistic regression analysis of physical predictors of burnout. |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| physical predictors           | Wald    | P value | Odds ratio | 95% CI  |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Headache                      | 1.43    | 0.232   | 2.72       | 0.52    | 14.1    |
| Being angry                   | 9.52    | 0.002   | 0.09       | 0.02    | 0.42    |
| Appetite changes              | 1.50    | 0.220   | 0.21       | 0.01    | 2.47    |
| Increased blood pressure      | 0.001   | 0.996   | 1.004      | 0.21    | 4.64    |
| Pain in back and neck         | 0.003   | 0.956   | 1.04       | 0.25    | 4.19    |
| Increased smoking             | 0.358   | 0.550   | 0.61       | 0.12    | 3.02    |
| Infections                    | 0.001   | 0.977   | 1.02       | 0.26    | 3.90    |
| Lack of concentration         | 1.11    | 0.291   | 0.31       | 0.03    | 2.69    |
| Dyspepsia                     | 1.48    | 0.223   | 0.33       | 0.05    | 1.94    |
| Being hurry                   | 8.72    | 0.003   | 0.09       | 0.02    | 0.45    |

Fig (1): Shows the burnout among the studied group. There was a significant difference among the studied groups where high burnout was noted in 66.7% of general practitioners and 50% in the family physicians while only 6.7% of the specialist had high burnout.

Table (1): This table showed that there was a statistically significant difference in burnout subscales. Emotional exhaustion and depersonalization were higher in general practitioner than family physician and specialist. Nearly two third resembling 66.7% of general practitioner had high total burnout.

Table (2): This table showed that the socio-demographic data of the studied groups working in the primary health care units and centers in EL-kanater distract. Nearly half of the studied group were females. The mean age of the participants was 33.7 years. There was a highly significant difference in age, marital state, occupation, income, years of experience and total burnout score. High burnout was in age less than 30, married, general practitioner, doctors with income less than 2000 LE and years of experience less than 5 years old.

Table (3) this table shows the binary logistic regression analysis of socio demographic risk factors of burnout.

Table (4): This table showed the multivariate regression analysis of the most relevant physical predictors for burnout among doctors. physical predictors for burnout among doctors reveals that the most significant physical predictors is angry and hurry, while some physicians suffer from headache, appetite changes and lack of concentration, but with less significant value.
less than 2000 L. E and their years of experience was less than 5 years (P value < 0.001). This is in a concordance with a new survey conducted by Medscape. It showed that nearly half of family physicians younger than 35 years feel burned out. This is a substantial increase over the rates documented in the 2013 Medscape survey [19].

This also in agreement with a study conducted in Saudi Arabia by Selaihem, 2013. It showed that High burnout was found to be strongly associated with several of the variables under study, especially low job satisfaction, expressed intention to change job, tobacco consumption and use of psychotropic medication, younger age, recent graduation and married ones [20].

With multivariate regression analysis of the most relevant risk factor for burnout among doctors revealed that the most significant risk factor was low income, p value < 0.05.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

It seems that primary care physicians suffer from burnout more than family physicians and specialists. The presence of burnout is a serious phenomenon, because it can lead to psychosomatic complaints, work-associated withdrawal behavior, and a lower quality of care at the primary health care units and centers. training plans about coping strategies with burnout.
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