Field and Agroinoculation Screening for Resistance against MYMV in Mungbean Backcross Populations
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Abstract

Mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek] known as green gram is one of the major fast-growing, warm-season crop that is primarily cultivated in developing countries of Asia. The production of mungbean is severely constrained by mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) caused by begomoviruses, which is transmitted by whitefly, Bemesia tabaci. The absence of resistant/tolerant sources against MYMV disease leads to tremendous crop yield losses. To identify the sources of resistance in mungbean against MYMV and to utilize in further breeding programme, 115 BC²F₁ lines were screened under natural conditions and categorized into different reaction groups using rating scale against MYMV. In the current study, the screened lines were also re-evaluated under greenhouse conditions using agroinoculation screening technique in order to confirm the sources of resistance against MYMV disease incidence. Out of them, 10 were categorized as resistant (R) in field level screening and 6 were re-confirmed through agroinoculation screening. These results can be further utilized to validate the molecular markers to facilitate marker-assisted selection for the development of MYMV resistant breeding lines.

Keywords
Mungbean [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]
MYMV disease

Article Info
Accepted: 17 March 2019
Available Online: 10 April 2019

Introduction

Pulses are mean to rich sources of quality protein comes under the family Fabaceae. The pulse cultivation accounts for about 32 per cent of the area and 23 per cent of the production around the world. Apart from its nutritional security pulses also play a major role in symbiotic nitrogen fixation by the beneficial soil bacterium Rhizobium spp., through crop rotation (Senanayake et al., 1987 and Zapata et al., 1987). Among pulses, mungbean (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek) known as green gram is one of the major fast-growing, warm-season crop that is primarily cultivated in developing countries of Asia for their rich source of quality proteins, vitamins and minerals. Despite of its importance, the crop undergo several production constrains due to climatic changes, pest /disease...
problems and macro/ micronutrients deficiencies. Among them, viral diseases are widely devastating and cause heavy yield losses (Paul et al., 2013) and the most important damage amongst the virus is Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV) which belongs to begomovirus, the largest genus of the family Geminiviridae (Dhakar et al., 2010) that are transmitted by white fly (Bemisia tabaci) (Sidhu et al., 2009). MYMV is made up of bipartite genome which consists of DNA A and DNA B respectively. The genomes of Gemini viruses can undergo high levels of mutation, recombination and reassortment to increase viral diversity (Duffy et al., 2008; Harkins et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2011; Lima et al., 2012).

The first occurrence of mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) was spotted by Nariani in 1960’s. A typical MYMV symptom includes the presence of mosaic pattern that exist in the form of alternate green and yellow patches on the leaves, reduction in floral size and production of shrivelled seeds (Habib et al., 2007).

Development of MYMV resistant mungbean cultivars has long been a major objective in disease resistance mungbean breeding. MYMV field screening procedures for identification of resistance has been shown to be largely inefficient, as many plants escape infection, even under heavy inoculation pressure (Vidaysky et al., 1998). Since a reliable laboratory screening protocol for assessing resistance/susceptibility of mungbean accessions against MYMV, was founded out to be new innovative technique called “Agroinfection” developed by Rogers et al., (1986). With the above background, in the present study, backcross lines obtained from mungbean parents were screened under natural conditions and were re-evaluated under greenhouse conditions, in order to identify the resistant sources to be used further in breeding programs.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

Plant materials obtained from previous breeding programme namely BC$_2$F$_1$ derived from the cross [VRM (Gg1 x BC$_1$F$_1$ (VRM (Gg1) x VGGRU1)] were utilized in this study. VGGRU 1 is an interspecific derivative developed from the cross between mungbean and rice bean which is a resistant one and VRM (Gg) 1 is a susceptible variety of mungbean that is highly prone to MYMV.

Previously crosses has been generated to introgress the MYMV segment (chromosome loci which consist of resistance) from VGGRU1 to VRM (Gg) 1. In the present study the breeding materials generated were screened for MYMV resistance using infector row technique and were confirmed further.

Field experiment

115 BC$_2$F$_1$ lines developed from the crosses [VRM (Gg1) x BC$_1$F$_1$ (VRM (Gg1) x VGGRU1)] were evaluated in randomized complete block design along with their parents. All the recommended cultivation packages were followed except spraying of plant protection chemicals such that the whitefly population can be maintained.

In our study the parent ‘VRM (Gg1)’ is itself a highly susceptible cultivar, hence it was used as spreader row for MYMV infection. Every row of backcross lines were alternated with cultivar ‘VRM (Gg) 1’.

The individuals were screened for resistance to MYMV under field condition using 1-9 scale rating (Singh et al., 1992) (Table 1). Seeds were harvested from the lines which showed maximum resistance to MYMV was used for further greenhouse screening.
Green house screening using agroinoculation

The infectious clone constructed from MYMV genome named VA 239 (KA30 DNA A + KA27 DNA B) obtained from Balaji et al., (2004) was used in this study for screening. Agroinoculation was done on 2 days old sprouted seeds of field resistant lines by protocol suggested by Jacob et al., (2003). Agroinoculated plants were maintained in a growth chamber at 25°C with 60–70% of relative humidity for a photoperiod of 16/8 h. Twice a week for proper growth and development, the plants were sprayed with Hoagland’s solution. After 15th day from inoculation the symptom appearance was recorded in the trifoliate leaves. The occurrence of yellow mosaic symptoms at a given point in time was scored as susceptible and the nonappearance was scored as resistance against the disease. The uninoculated plants of each line were maintained as control. The experiment was repeated across replications.

PCR confirmation

The infectivity was checked by PCR assay using coat protein gene specific primer of MYMV (FP1 5’GCGGAATTACGATA CGGCC3’ and RP1 5’GATGCATGAG TACATGCC3’) (Richa Maheswari, 2009) by using the temperature cycles as follows: 5 min at 94°C followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 56°C and 1 min at 72°C. The final elongation step was extended to 10 min at 72°C and finally maintained at 4°C. The amplicons were observed on ethidium bromide pre-stained 1.2% agarose gel and are visualized on Alpha Imager 1200 (Alpha Innotech Corp., USA).

Results and Discussion

In the present investigation, an attempt was made to identify MYMV incidence and scoring was recorded in the field periodically for the resistance or susceptibility against MYMV from initial flowering to harvesting by weekly intervals. The scorings were done using 0-9 disease rating scale. Yellow mosaic disease were observed to be on 25-30 days after planting in the spreader row along with the backcross check lines under natural conditions. As a start small yellow specks of mild intensity were observed on young leaves and later transformed into alternate yellow and green patches with irregular margins.

| Rating | Percentage foliage affected | Infection category |
|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| 1      | No visible symptoms or minute yellow specks covering 0.1 -5 % leaf area | Resistant (R) |
| 3      | Mottling of leaves covering 5.1 -15 % leaf area | Moderately resistant (MR) |
| 5      | Yellow mottling and discoloration of 15.1 -30 % leaf area | Moderately Susceptible (MS) |
| 7      | Pronounced yellow mottling and discoloration of leaves, pods reducing in leaf size, stunting of plants, 30.1 -75 % foliage affected | Susceptible (S) |
| 9      | Severe yellow mottling and discoloration of leaves, stunting of plants, failure of flowering and fruit setting 75.1 -100 % foliar affected | Highly susceptible (HS) |

Table.1 Rating scale used for scoring against Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV)
Table 2 The resistant lines which were observed after agroinoculation and field level screening

| S.no | Total no of lines | Lines | Infection category | Field/Agroinoculated |
|------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | 10                | 5,16,18,26,54,72,80,81,95,112 | Resistant (R) | Field |
| 2    | 6                 | 5,16,18,26,80,95 | Resistant (R) | Agroinoculated |

Fig.1 PCR amplification of agroinoculated lines using CP gene specific primer. From left to right 1 - 1Kb ladder, 2 to 11- lines which are field resistant chosen for agroinoculation screening (lines were mentioned in Table 2)

The disease severity increased with the passage of time. During field screening resistant response against MYMV was observed in 10 lines (Table 2), while on contrast 32 lines showed moderately resistant response, 54 lines displayed susceptible response and 9 lines were found to exhibit high susceptibility response against MYMV. Similar results were also substantiated by Mohan et al., (2014) and Manivannan et al., (2001).

The lines which were scored as resistant during field level screening were taken into agroinoculation screening. On agroinoculation contrast results were found to be seen. Among the 10 resistant lines 4 were found to be moderately susceptible. Symptoms were found to seen on those lines in three leaves after 15 -17 days from the date of sowing. The above results clearly indicated that some of the “field-resistant” lines were found to be susceptible in agroinoculation. The resistance exhibited at the field level may be due to some mechanisms which prevent the entry of the virus through insect vectors.

The bottleneck under field conditions is that, the natural infection may not produce accurate results even if the fields are surrounded with high vector populations due to environmental factors. The level of infectivity of these field-resistant genotypes after agroinoculation ranged between 0 to 100 percent. The representative lines which are resistant in agroinoculation screening are shown in (Table 2).

Usharani et al., (2005) conducted infectivity analysis of *Mungbean yellow mosaic virus* in soybean isolate by agroinoculation and obtained similar results, i.e. about 70–95 percent infectivity of MYMV which is similar to the present study. The agroinoculated lines were subjected to PCR confirmation using CP gene specific primers and correlating to the above result, amplification was also seen only on the lines which were found to susceptible (Fig. 1).

Hence, concluded, on future prospects the obtained resistant lines might be utilized as parents for the upcoming breeding
programmes to facilitate marker-assisted selection for the development of MYMV resistant breeding lines.
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