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Abstract

Background: Different primary studies in Ethiopia showed the weight of institutional delivery service utilization and the factors associated with it. However, variation among those studies was seen. This study was aimed to estimate the pooled national prevalence and associated factors of Institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia.

Methods: PubMed, Cochrane library, Web of Science, and Google Scholar were searched. A funnel plot and Egger's regression test were used to see publication bias. I-squared statistic was applied to check heterogeneity of studies. A weighted Dersimonian laired random effect model was applied to estimate the pooled national prevalence and the effect size of associated factors.

Result: A total of twenty four studies were included in this review. The pooled prevalence of Institutional delivery service utilization was 31% (95% CI: 0.30, 0.31; I^2 = 0.00%). Attitude towards institutional delivery of 3 studies (AOR=2.83; 95% CI 1.35,5.92 ), maternal age at first pregnancy of 4 studies (AOR= 3.59; 95% CI 2.27,5.69 ), residence setting of 7 studies (AOR= 3.84; 95% CI 1.31, 11.25), educational status of 5 studies (AOR=2.91;95% 1.88,4.52), availability of information source of 6 studies (AOR= 1.80;95% CI 1.16,2.78), ANC follow-up of 13 studies (AOR= 2.57 95% CI 1.46,4.54 ), frequency of ANC follow up of 4 studies (AOR= 4.04;95% CI 1.21,13.46), knowledge of institutional delivery & danger signs during pregnancy of 11 studies (AOR=3.04;95% CI 1.76 ,5.24 )) and place of birth the most recent birth of 4 studies (AOR=8.44;95% CI 5.75,12.39) were identified factors of institutional delivery service utilization.

Conclusions: The findings of this review found several modifiable factors such as empowering women through education, promoting antenatal care to prevent home delivery, increasing awareness of women through mass media and making services more accessible would likely to increase utilization of institutional delivery.

Background

Globally, in the 25 years between 1990 and 2015 a total of 13.6 million women have died due to complications during and following pregnancy and child birth [1]. Majority of maternal health complications and deaths occurred in low and middle income countries. And 75% of the deaths are due to preventable direct obstetric complications [2-6]. Institutional delivery service utilization ensures safe birth, reduce both actual and potential complications and maternal death and increase the survival `of most mothers and newborns. But most deliveries in developing countries occur at home without skilled birth attendants[1, 7]. Even though many developing countries tried their best to optimize key and effective maternal health interventions to improve maternal health[8] the progress made was low.

Behavioral intention (BI) is an indication of a person's readiness to perform a given behavior or action. Intention of pregnant women to utilize institutional delivery is affected by socio-demographic variables; household monthly income, health institution, mother occupation and husband occupation had significant effect on the odds of giving birth at health institutions and five variables (perceived susceptibility, perceived barriers, self-efficacy, being able to make decision on her own and being informed where to deliver were predictors of the probability of giving birth at health institution[9]. Worldwide in 2014, 71 % of women delivered with the support of a skilled birth attendant which is better as compared to 59 % in 1990.

On the other hand, there is little progress in closing the gap in antenatal care between urban and rural women[10]. The risk of maternal death is now increasingly concentrated in sub-Saharan Africa as a result of high fertility rates combined with inadequate access to quality antenatal care and skilled attendance at birth. To achieve the goal of ending preventable maternal, new born and child deaths in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) it will be essential to bring about significant improvements in levels of coverage, and quality of care provided before, during and after birth. The type of assistance a woman receives during childbirth has important health consequences for both the mother and child[11]. Since most maternal deaths and obstetric complications cluster around the time of delivery [7] and cannot be predicted a priori, skilled attendance at birth remains the most important intervention in reducing maternal mortality and complications.
Skilled attendance during labor, delivery and the early post-partum period could reduce an estimated 13-33% of maternal deaths [12]. Recent data, however, suggest that the skilled attendance at birth (SBA) rate is very low in many settings, especially in sub-Saharan African and South Asian countries. A finding from Uttarakh and India shows that only 33% of study participants delivered their index child in health facility[13]. On the other hand, a finding from Ethiopia shows that only 11.3% women were delivered their index child in health facility[14].

In sub-Saharan Africa, a woman's risk of dying from treatable or preventable complications of pregnancy and childbirth over the course of her lifetime is 1 in 22, compared to 1 in 7,300 in the developed regions [15]. Even though the maternal mortality of Ethiopia shows improvement from 2011 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS), still it is one of the highest figures, accounting 412 deaths per 100,000 live births [16].

The health care seeking behavior of a woman regarding institutional delivery affects her chances of accessing and receiving institutional delivery care, particularly in developing countries where an equitable health care system is yet to be set up. Mothers with low health care seeking behavior regarding institutional delivery have a disproportionate burden of maternal deaths. Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis was aimed, firstly, to estimate the pooled prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization and secondly, to estimate the effect size of associated factors of Institutional delivery service utilization (IDSU) in Ethiopian context, thereby making the available evidence accessible for decision makers (Figure 1).

Methods

Review protocol were developed/prepared based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-P), 2015 [17], submitted and published in PROSPERO with an ID = CRD42019124210. Database was searched for the same systematic review to avoid duplications. The website (http://www.library.UCSF.edu), PROSPERO and Cochrane/Wiley library explored to confirm whether previous systematic review or meta-analysis exists.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies were included. Those studies had reported the prevalence and/or at least one associated factors of institutional delivery service utilization and published in English were considered. Studies conducted in all region of Ethiopia (Amhara, Oromiya, SNNPR, Tigray, Bie. Guz, Afar, Gambela, Somalia, Harari, Dirie Dawa and Addis Abeba) since 2010 up to December 30/2018 were considered for the review. The main reason for the year 2010 was selected as the starting point as home delivery free (HDF) strategy (including free maternal services, availability of ambulance etc...) was not clearly available in all parts of Ethiopia prior to this. Citations without abstract and/ or full-text, anonymous reports, editorials, and qualitative studies were excluded from the analysis.

- **Population/Participants**...... reproductive aged women (15–49 years) who were bringing a child in the last two years and who live in Ethiopia.
- **Interventions/Exposure**......... Institutional delivery
- **Outcome**....... Institutional delivery service utilization
- **Study design**...... Cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies

Searching strategy and information sources

Pub Med, Web of Science, Cochrane library, Google, and Google Scholar were accessed. Articles with incomplete reported data were handled through contacting authors. The core search terms and phrases were [institutional delivery] [health facility delivery] [service] [factors associated] [reproductive age women] [15-49 year’s women] and [Ethiopia]. The search strategies were developed using different Boolean operators.
Particularly, to fit advanced PubMed database, the following search strategy was applied:

```
[(Institutional delivery) [All Fields] OR Institutional delivery [MeSH Terms])] AND [service) [All Fields] OR service [MeSH Terms])] AND [factors) [All Fields] OR factors [MeSH Terms])] AND [reproductive age women) [All Fields] OR reproductive age women [MeSH Terms]) OR 15-49 years women) [All Fields] OR 15-49 years women [MeSH Terms]] AND [Ethiopia].
```

**Data extraction**

Two independent reviewers extracted data using a structured data extraction form. Whenever variations of extracted data observed, the phase was repeated. If discrepancies between data extractors continued, third reviewer was involved. The name of the first author and year, the study region, the study design, the target population, the sample size, prevalence of IDSU, and Adjusted Odd Ratio (AOR) with Confidence interval (CI) of associated factors were collected.

**Study selection**

Retrieved studies were exported to reference manager software, Endnote version 7 to remove duplicate studies; in which 203 articles were removed. Three independent reviewers screened the title and abstract. The disagreement between the reviewers was handled based on established article selection criteria. Fifty four (54) articles/studies title and abstracts’ is not fit (is not relate) with our review i.e. studies title without abstract and or full text so that excluded from full text assessment. Forty three (43) articles were going for full text assessment of the eligibility and 19 of them were excluded from synthesis because the outcome variable and study subject are not the same with our review objectives. Twenty four (24) studies/articles were included in the prevalence and/or associated factor estimation (Figure-2). Three independent authors conducted the abstract and full-text review.

**Quality assessment/critical appraisal**

Three independent authors appraised the quality of individual studies. The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) quality appraisal checklist was used [18, 19]. The disagreement was resolved by the interference of third reviewer. The following items were used to appraise **cohort studies**:

1. Similarity of groups,
2. Similarity of exposure measurement,
3. Validity and reliability of measurement,
4. Identification of confounder,
5. Strategies to deal with confounder,
6. Appropriateness of groups/participants at the start of the study,
7. Validity and reliability of outcome measured,
8. Sufficiency of follow-up time,
9. Completeness of follow-up or descriptions of reason to loss to follow-up,
10. Strategies to address incomplete follow-up, and
11. Appropriateness of statistical analysis. Studies got 50% and above of the quality scale were considered low risk. We have only one cohort/follow up study and got a score of 81.2% of the quality scale, which is low risk and can be included in the study.

The items used to appraise **case-control** studies were:

1. Comparable groups,
2. Appropriateness of cases and controls,
3. Criteria to identify cases and controls,
4. Standard measurement of exposure,
5. Similarity in measurement of exposure for cases and controls,
6. Handling of confounder,
7. Strategies to handle confounder,
8. Standard assessment of outcome,
9. Appropriateness of duration for exposure, and
10. Appropriateness of statistical analysis. Studies got 50% and above of the quality scale were considered low risk. We have only one case control study and got a score of 65% of the quality scale, which is low risk and can be included in the study.

The following items were used to appraise **cross-sectional studies**:
[1] Inclusion criteria,[2] Description of study subject and setting,[3] Valid and reliable measurement of exposure,[4] Objective and standard criteria used, [5] Identification of confounder,[6] Strategies to handle confounder,[7] Outcome measurement, and [8] Appropriate statistical analysis. Studies were considered low risk when it scored 50% and above of the quality assessment indicators. We have twenty two cross-sectional study and all have got a score of above 50% of the quality scale, which is low risk and can be included in the study.

Outcome measurement

Institutional Delivery Service utilization was considered, when women's reported that they gave their most recent birth (within the last two years) at health institution.

Data synthesis and analysis

Synthesis was begun by constructing a clear descriptive summary of the included studies. This was done by tabulating details about name of author, year of study or year of publication, study design, and number of participants.

The data entry and statistical analysis for the quantitative data was carried out using stata-11 software. Tables and figures were used to summarize the selected studies and results descriptively. We also implemented a meta-analysis of studies that provided a comparable classification of the determinants or exposures and the outcome variables.

For the meta-analysis, we considered estimates of adjusted odds ratio with the confidence interval (CI) as the measure of association. The overall effect (pooled estimates of the magnitude and the factors) of institutional delivery service utilization was estimated using a random effect model and measured by the prevalence rates and odds ratio with 95% CI. We selected the random effect model because of heterogeneity due to difference in the study design and study regions. To determine heterogeneity among studies, we calculated the $I^2$ statistic, which describes the percentage of total variation among studies due to heterogeneity rather than to chance. Statistical heterogeneity assessed using Forest plot, Cochrane's Q statistic (P value < 0.1) and I square tests (>50%). Heterogeneity of studies was quantified using the I-squared statistic, in which 25, 50, and 75% represented low, moderate and high heterogeneity respectively [20]. Pooled analysis was conducted using a weighted DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model [21]. Publication bias was checked by funnel plot and more objectively through Egger's regression test [22]. Subgroup analysis was done by the study setting (region), design, and year of publication. Sensitivity analysis was employed to see the effect of single study on the overall estimation (Figure 4). Besides, the time-trend analysis was conducted to check whether variations through time are observed.

Results

Study characteristics and study participants

The search strategy retrieved 104 from PubMed, 23 from Cochrane library, 16 from Web of Science, 55 from Google, 69 from Google Scholar and 05 from other sources. After duplication removed, 97 remained. Finally, 43 studies were screened for full-text review and 24 were included to the prevalence and/ or associated factors analysis (Figure 2).Seven (7) Studies were found in Amhara region [23, 24] [25-29], Nine(9) in Oromia[30-38], two in Afar [39, 40], one in Bienishangul-Gumuz [41],three(3) in Southern Nation Nationalities and Peoples region (SNNPR) [42-44],one in Gambiela [45] and one in Tigray&Oromiya [46].

The design of 22 of the studies examined was cross-sectional, one prospective follow up, and one case control. The year of study publication ranged from 2010 to 2018, five (5) studies were published in 2018, eleven (11) studies were published between 2015 and 2017, and eight (8) studies were between 2011 and 2014. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the 19,969 women's who gave birth within the past two years preceding the survey encompassed by the twenty four included studies and among which 6,672 women gave birth in health institution. All twenty four studies had an enrollment period exclusively after 2010, when institutional delivery service utilization with at least one factor becomes available. All papers
stated the proportions of institutional delivery service utilization. A large proportion of the participants (69%) in these studies were not use institutional delivery for their last birth (Table 1).

**Quality of studies**

The studies included in this systematic review and meta-analysis had no considerable risk (has low risk). Therefore, all the studies were considered [23-46] (Table 1).

**Meta-analysis**

**Heterogeneity of studies**

Heterogeneity test for the proportion of the review indicated $I^2 = 0.0\%$, no variability was observed among the included studies hence fixed effect model was assumed in the analysis.

**Prevalence of Institutional delivery service utilization**

The prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization of individual studies was range from 12.1% to 80.1% [28, 29]. The seven included studies from Amhara region, which was conducted at different period of time shows that the prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization was 74.7%, 34.0%, 62.3%, 78.8%, 15.7%, 12.1%, and 80.1% [23-29]. The nine included studies from Oromiya region, which was conducted at different period of time shows that the prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization was 28.7%, 13.9%, 34.2%, 39.7%, 12.3%, 47.0%, 18.2%, 16.4%, and 60.3% [30-38]. Three included studies from SNNPR region, which was conducted at different period of time shows that the prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization was 14.6%, 31.0% and 78.3% [42-44]. Two included studies from Afar region, which was conducted at different period of time shows that the prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization was 35.2% and 18.4% [39, 40]. Three different studies from Bie.Guz, Gambiela and Tigray&Oromiya (one from each) which was conducted at different period of time shows that the prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization was 51.1%, 63.2% and 25.0% respectively [41, 45 and 46].

The estimated overall prevalence of institutional delivery service utilization (IDSU) is presented in a forest plot (Figure 3). The overall pooled prevalence of IDSU was 31% (95% CI: 0.30, 0.31; $I^2 = 0.000\%$).

**Publication bias**

A funnel plot showed a symmetrical distribution (Figure 4). Egger’s regression test p-value was 0.193, which indicated the absence of publication bias.

**Subgroup analysis**

The subgroup analysis based on the region and year of publication was done. Based on this, the prevalence of IDSU found to be 47%, 39% and 24% in Amhara, SNNPR and Oromiya studies respectively, on the other hand the prevalence of IDSU found to be 37% between the year of 2015-2016 and 2017-2018 and 24% between the years of 2011-2014 (Table 2).

**Sensitivity analysis**

There is no any study out of the confidence bound mean that all study has almost equal influence on the pooled proportion (Figure 5).

**Time-trend analysis**
The time-trend analysis showed that the prevalence of IDSU is increased from 24% (95% CI 23%, 25%) in 2011-2014 to 37% (95% CI 36%, 38%) in 2017-2018. However, the pooled prevalence from year to year is increasing significantly (p-value = 0.000) (Figure 6).

**Associated factors**

Based on this review, IDSU in Ethiopian context is associated with the three dynamics factors of Andersen Healthcare Utilization conceptual model i.e. predisposing factors (The socio-cultural characteristics of individuals that exist prior to their illness.), enabling factors (the logistical aspects of obtaining care), and need (The most immediate cause of health service use, from functional and health problems that generate the need for health care services).

**Predisposing factors**

In a family where husbands had been a decision maker on a place where to give birth is 54% (AOR=0.46; 95% CI 0.18, 1.19) less likely to give birth at health institution [32].

**Attitude towards Institutional delivery**

Women who had favorable attitude on institutional delivery service utilization were 2.83 times (AOR = 2.80; 95% CI 1.60, 4.91) more likely to use institutional delivery service as compared to those women having unfavorable attitude [36].

The pooled effects of three studies [28, 36, 39] showed that favorable attitude towards institutional delivery was a significant associated factors with IDSU. The pooled effect of this three studies revealed that those mothers who had favorable attitude towards institutional delivery service utilization were 2.83 times as likely to use the services. Heterogeneity test indicated moderate variability, $I^2=48.4\%$ i.e. moderate heterogeneity, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done, and no change was observed in the overall OR (Figure 7).

**Maternal Knowledge**

Knowledge regarding danger signs during pregnancy and institutional delivery service increased the probability of utilizing health institution for delivery service. Women who had good knowledge on institutional delivery service utilization were 2 times (AOR = 2.10; 95% CI 1.08, 4.09) more likely to use institutional delivery service as compared to those women having poor knowledge [39].

The pooled effect of eleven studies [25, 27-30, 35, 36, 39, 41, 42, 44] showed that women who were knowledgeable were 3 times (AOR=3.04;95%CI 1.76,5.24) more likely to give birth in health institution than those women who were not knowledgeable. Heterogeneity test indicated high variability, $I^2=77.3\%$ i.e. high heterogeneity, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done, and no change was observed in the overall OR (Figure 8).

**Maternal age at first pregnancy**

Women whose age 15-24 (AOR=4.02; 95% CI 2.07-8.55) and 25-34 (AOR=2.21; 95% CI 1.32-3.69) at first pregnancy were more likely to use institutional delivery service[33].

The pooled effect of four studies [27, 28, 33, 41] showed that women who had their first pregnancy between the age of 15-24 years were 3.60 times as likely to give birth in health institution than those who became pregnant after 35 years of age (AOR= 3.59; 95% CI 2.27 5.69). Heterogeneity test indicated $I^2=0.0\%$, no variability was observed among the included studies hence fixed effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done and illustrated stability of overall OR (Figure 9).where as women who had their first pregnancy between the ages of 25-34 years were not became significantly associated factors (Figure 10).
Maternal Education

This review indicated that significant association between women's educational status and utilization of institutional delivery service. Women who can read & write (AOR=1.75; 95% CI 1.21-2.54), Primary level (AOR=2.23;95%CI 1.39,3.59) and secondary & above(AOR=2.40;95%CI 1.05,5.49) more likely to use institutional delivery service [24].

The pooled estimate findings of five [24, 26, 27, 32, 35] review also indicated significant association between mothers' educational status and utilization of institutional delivery service. Mothers who can read and write were 1.62 times as likely to give birth at health institution as compare to who can't read & write(AOR=1.62;95%CI 1.18-2.24). Heterogeneity test indicated $I^2=0.0\%$, hence fixed effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis did not bring significant change in the overall ORs (Figure 11).

Similarly women's who attend Primary school were almost two times as likely to give birth at health institution than who can't read and write (AOR=1.95; 95% CI 1.42-2.69). Heterogeneity test indicated $I^2=0.0\%$, hence fixed effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis did not bring significant change in the overall ORs (Figure 12).

Women's who attended secondary and above educational level were almost three times as likely to give birth at health institution as compare to women's who can't read and write (AOR=2.92;95% 1.88-4.52. Heterogeneity test indicated $I^2=0.0\%$, hence fixed effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis did not bring significant change in the overall ORs (Figure 13).

Maternal occupation

Women's who is not house wife in occupation (AOR=2.50; 95 % CI 0.75,8.30) were more likely to use institutional delivery service [26].

The pooled estimate findings of five [24, 26, 27, 33, 35, 37, 42] review showed insignificant association of utilization of institutional delivery service with mothers' occupation (AOR=1.10; 95% CI 0.57,2.09). Heterogeneity test indicated $I^2=65.1\%$, moderate variability hence random effect model was assumed during analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done, and no significant change was noted on overall OR (Figure 14).

Parity

The combined estimate findings of five [27, 32, 33, 40, 43] review shows that the number of children the women delivered was not significantly associated with institutional delivery service utilization(AOR= 1.48; 95% CI 0.75,2.95) and (AOR=1.10;95%CI 0.87,1.39) for women who have one and 2-4 children respectively. Heterogeneity test indicated for women who have one child is $I^2=81.2\%$, hence random effect model was assumed in analysis Sensitivity analysis was done, and no significant change was observed in overall OR (Figure 15), whereas for women who have 2-4 children $I^2=0.0\%$, hence fixed effect model was assumed in analysis.

Enabling factors

Availability of Information Source

The chance of delivering in health institution among those women have the access of information source were 1.8 times higher than those women who have not the access of information source (AOR = 1.80; 95%CI 1.16, 2.78). Heterogeneity test indicated $I^2=71.9\%$, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done and revealed the stability of overall effect size (Figure 16).

Place of residence
The pooled estimated findings of seven [26, 28, 29, 34, 35, 41, 43] review showed that place of residence as defined rural and urban were the enabling factors that determined utilization of institutional delivery service. Women from urban area were 3.84 times as likely to deliver in health institution than women from rural area (AOR= 3.84; 95%CI 1.31, 11.25). Heterogeneity test indicated $\hat{I}^2=91.3\%$, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done, and no change was noted in the overall OR (Figure 17).

Distance to health facility

The findings of five [27, 32, 33, 40, 43] review shows that distance to health facility was not significantly associated with institutional delivery service utilization(AOR= 1.45,95% CI 0.97 2.18). Heterogeneity test indicated $\hat{I}^2=77\%$, hence random effect model was assumed in analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done, and no significant change was observed in overall OR (Figure 18).

Need factors

ANC follow up

The combined finding of thirteen study[24, 25, 28-30, 32-36, 39, 40, 46] review showed that ANC follow up was significantly associated with institutional delivery service utilization. Women who had ANC follow-up 2.6 times as likely to utilize the service than those who did not visited ANC (AOR= 2.57; 95%CI 1.46, 4.54). Heterogeneity test indicated $\hat{I}^2=93.3\%$, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity test was done, and no change was noted on overall OR (Figure 19).

Frequency of ANC follows up

The combined effect of four study [29, 35, 41, 42] shows that attending ANC follow up four or more was significantly associated with institutional delivery service utilization. Women who attended ANC four or more times were 4 times as likely to give birth in health institution than those women who attended ANC service below four or less than four times (AOR= 4.04;95%CI 1.21,13.46). Heterogeneity test indicated $\hat{I}^2=90.6\%$, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity test demonstrated stability of the overall OR (Figure 20).

Place of birth the most recent birth

The pooled effects of four studies [27, 29, 31, 43] showed that women's who have an experience a birth of the most recent birth in health institution were 8.44 times as likely to utilize the service again than those who gave the most recent birth in home (AOR=8.44,95% CI 5.75 12.39). Heterogeneity test indicated $\hat{I}^2=0.0\%$, no heterogeneity, hence fixed effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis did not bring significant change in the overall ORs (Figure 21).

Presence of complication during birth preceding the most recent birth

Finding of review from four studies [25, 38-40] indicated that presence of complication during birth preceding the most recent birth was not significantly associated with institutional delivery service utilization (AOR= 1.00; 95%CI 0.39, 2.70). Heterogeneity test indicated $\hat{I}^2=85.8\%$, high variability, hence random effect model was assumed in the analysis. Sensitivity analysis was done and illustrated stability of overall OR (Figure 22).

Discussion

In this review, twenty four studies comprising a total of 19,969 participants were analyzed to estimate the best available evidence for the prevalence and factors associated with IDSU in Ethiopia. The findings of the review have revealed valuable information which is comparable with all the factors related to the outcome variable across the nation. The factors were
related to the three dynamics factors of Andersen Health care Utilization conceptual model i.e. predisposing factors (The socio-cultural characteristics of individuals that exist prior to their illness), enabling factors (the logistical aspects of obtaining care), and need factors (The most immediate cause of health service use, from functional and health problems that generate the need for health care services).

This meta-analysis was estimated the national prevalence of IDSU in Ethiopia. Accordingly, the national pooled prevalence of IDSU was 31% (95% CI: 0.30-0.312). This result was in line with an analysis study from Four Demographic surveillance sites of South Asia[47]. But less than a study from Haiti 2012 Demographic Health Survey and 2013 service provision assessment survey (39%) [48] and an analysis of Nepal Demographic and Health survey 2011(36.9%) [49]. This difference is probably due to the fact that the way of awareness creation regarding institutional delivery service for the large community, difference in community engagement and with poor access to health services in Ethiopia.

From the subgroup analysis, the prevalence of IDSU was high in Amhara as compared to other regions. Those studies included in this meta-analysis were conducted in community based.

Based on the pooled analysis of three or more AOR of studies, maternal age at first pregnancy (15-24 years), place of residence (Urban), maternal knowledge (good knowledge), maternal educational status (Can read & write, primary level, secondary & above), Attitude towards Institutional delivery (favorable attitude), availability of information system, ANC follow-up, frequency of ANC (4+) follow up and place of birth the most recent birth (health institution) were associated with IDSU.

The odds of women's age 15-24 years were more likely to use institutional delivery service. This finding is consistent with a primary studies conducted in Nepal and three district of Tanzania[49, 50]. The possible explanations might be that younger women are more likely to be literate and more likely to have knowledge on the benefits of health care facility delivery than older women. On the other hand, older women may consider that giving birth at home is not risky as they have previously experienced birth at home.

Women's knowledge on danger sign of pregnancy and IDSU was found to be one of the determinants in this review (AOR=3.04; 95% CI 1.76, 5.24). This finding was in agreement with primary study findings from Kenya [51] and Southern Tanzania that illustrated nearly threefold increase in skilled birth attendance when women has knowledge about risks during pregnancy (AOR=2.95 ; 95% CI 1.65,5.25) [52]. Knowledge is an important factor that affects attitude, intention and behavior. Women who have sufficient knowledge about delivery danger signs might have perceived service benefits of a health institution, like complication management by skilled health care workers in time of labor.

Educational status of women's was found to be one of the determinants in this review i.e. women's who can read & write, who attend Primary school, secondary & above were more likely to use institutional delivery service as compare to women's who can't read & write. This finding is consistent with study from countries; an analysis from the Four Demographic surveillance sites of South Asia women's who attend secondary in Bangladesh (AOR=2.46) [47], from 2012 Haiti demographic Health Survey & 2013 Haiti service provision assessment survey who attend primary in rural Haiti (AOR=1.47), who attend secondary and above in rural Haiti (AOR=2.06) [48], who attend secondary & above in Guatemala, Mexico and panama (AOR=1.36) [53], an analysis from Bangladesh Demographic Health Survey 2011 who attend Primary school (AOR=1.26), Secondary & above(AOR=2.07)[54] and an analysis of 2013 Nigeria DHS data set who attend primary and secondary & above (AOR=1.48 & 2.54 respectively) [55] and a primary study in Guinea women's who can read & write (AOR=2.4)[56]. As education makes mothers to be more concerned for institutional delivery service utilization their health and have more autonomy, their ability and freedom to make decisions about their own health is more favorable, which eventually enhance their health-seeking behavior. Education also improves the ability of educated women to afford the cost of medical health care service.
Women's with adequate exposure to the media had more odds of institutional delivery than mothers with inadequate exposure. This finding was supported by a primary cross sectional study in a remote mountains district of Nepal [57]. Having adequate exposure to the media might increase the woman's concern and awareness of her pregnancy related issue and the need for professional help and having adequate exposure to the media increasing their familiarity with medical personal which expose the women to more health education and counseling which are more likely to increase the utilization of delivery service.

Place of women's residence was significantly associated with the utilization of institutional delivery service. This finding was consistent with an analysis of 2011 Demographic health survey of Nepal, which showed that urban/rural differences had significant associations with institutional delivery service utilization (AOR=2.42; 95%CI 1.83 3.19) [49] and with an analysis of 2011 Bangladeshi Demographic Health Survey(AOR=1.84)[54]. This might be explained in terms of the characteristics of the urban residents, namely more proportion of educated mothers, more proportion of knowledge on institutional delivery service, availability of health care services nearby, and better access to information than rural mothers.

The result of this review revealed that attendance of four or more ante natal visits increases the likelihood of health facility delivery. This finding is consistent with a primary studies conducted in Kenya (AOR=3.01; 1.74,5.20) [58], Tanzania (AOR=1.97;95% CI 1.12-3.47) [59],an analysis of 2013 Nigeria DHS data set (AOR=2.16) [55],an analysis of Nepal Demographic and Health survey 2011(AOR=4.94)[49] and 2011 Bangladeshi Demographic Health survey (AOR=3.639) [54]. Making four or more ANC visits were the recommended ANC visit by WHO and which might reflect the woman's concern of her pregnancy related issue and the need for professional help and visiting ANC frequently increasing their familiarity with medical personal which expose the women to more health education and counseling which are more likely to increase the utilization of delivery service.

**Strength and limitation**

This systematic review and meta-analysis is the national estimation conducted in Ethiopia. The strength of this review includes all of the included studies used women who had given birth in the two years preceding the survey as study participants and they may not have been subject to recall bias, able to conduct a methodologically rigorous meta-analysis, report an adjusted odds ratio, sensitivity analysis, and sub-group analysis and the employment of a quality indictor to select only sound publications has ensured the quality of the research findings. Few of the limitations were; ninety percent of the included studies are cross-sectional in nature, which limits our ability to assess cause-effect relationship, we are unable to show the pooled estimates for all variables associated with institutional delivery because the included studies classify the variables in different ways.

**Policy implications**

This review suggests that increasing women's participation in different health and health care activities will have a long-term positive effect on institutional delivery service utilization. Institutional delivery services and home delivery preventative activities in general, should be higher on the healthcare agenda. We also recommend further research into women's perceptions of institutional delivery services.

**Conclusions**

This systematic review and Meta-analysis revealed that the prevalence of Institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia remains low. It also showed that attitude towards institutional delivery, maternal age at first pregnancy, residence setting, educational status of mothers, availability of information source, ANC follow-up, frequency of ANC follow up, knowledge of institutional delivery & danger signs during pregnancy and place of birth the most recent birth as factors positively and significantly associated with institutional delivery service utilization. However, maternal occupation, parity, distance to
health facility and presence of complication during birth preceding the most recent birth was not associated with institutional delivery service utilization.

This review may help policy-makers and program officers to design appropriate interventions on institutional delivery service utilization.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the University of Gondar, Ethiopia for providing a full PhD scholarship for the corresponding author and full access of the internet while we are working this document.

Funding

There is no received grant from any fund agency.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Authors’ contributions

AN conceived and designed the study. TA and MY established the search strategy. All the authors read the manuscript before they have given the final approval for publication.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable because no primary data were collected.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declared that they have no competing interest.

Abbreviations

ANC: Antenatal care
AOR: Adjusted Odd Ratio
CI: Confidence interval
DF: Degree of freedom
D-L: Dersimonian and Laird
EDHS: Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey
IDUS: Institutional delivery service utilization
References

1. Organization, W.H., Trends in maternal mortality: 1990-2015: estimates from WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division: executive summary. 2015, World Health Organization.

2. Say, L., et al., Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. The Lancet Global Health, 2014. 2(6): p. e323-e333.

3. Hodorogea, S. and V. Friptu, The Moldovan experience of maternal death reviews. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 2014. 121: p. 81-85.

4. Paily, V., et al., Confidential review of maternal deaths in Kerala: a country case study. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 2014. 121: p. 61-66.

5. CSA AA, E., Ethiopia Mini Demographic and Health Survey. 2014.

6. Lomazzi, M., B. Borisch, and U. Laaser, The Millennium Development Goals: experiences, achievements and what's next. Global health action, 2014. 7(1): p. 23695.

7. Kinney, M.V., et al., Sub-Saharan Africa's mothers, newborns, and children: where and why do they die? PLoS medicine, 2010. 7(6): p. e1000294.

8. Baral, Y., et al., Determinants of skilled birth attendants for delivery in Nepal. Kathmandu University Medical Journal, 2010. 8(3): p. 325-332.

9. Mendoza-Chuctaya, G., et al., Características y Prevalencia de Partos Domiciliarios en un distrito Rural de la Sierra del Perú, 2015-2016. Revista chilena de obstetricia y ginecologia, 2018. 83(4): p. 377-385.

10. Unicef, The state of the world's children 2012: children in an urban world. 2012, eSocialSciences.

11. Demographic, E., Health survey 2011 central statistical agency Addis Ababa. Ethiopia ICF International Calverton, Maryland, USA, 2012.

12. Baral, Y., et al., Determinants of skilled birth attendants for delivery in Nepal. Kathmandu Univ Med J, 2010. 8(31): p. 325-32.

13. Chimankar, D.A. and H. Sahoo, Factors influencing the utilization of maternal health care services in Uttarakhand. Studies on Ethno-Medicine, 2011. 5(3): p. 209-216.

14. Eskezaiw Abebe, A.S., Getnet Gedefaw, Zelalem T. Haile and Gillian Ice, Association between antenatal care followup and institutional delivery service utilization: analysis of 2016 Ethiopia demographic and health survey. BMC Public Health, 2019. 19: p. 1472.

15. UNDo., E., The Millennium Development Goals Report 2008. United Nations Publications, 2008.

16. Survey, E.D.a.H., Central Statistical Agency. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2016: p. 29.

17. Moher, D., et al., Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic reviews, 2015. 4(1): p. 1.
18. Mu oola S, M.Z., Tufanaru C, Aromataris E, Sears K, Sfetcu R, Currie M, Qureshi R, Mattis P, Lisy K, MP-F., Chapter 7: Systematic reviews of etiology and risk. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors).

19. Munn, Z., et al., Methodological guidance for systematic reviews of observational epidemiological studies reporting prevalence and cumulative incidence data. International journal of evidence-based healthcare, 2015. 13(3): p. 147-153.

20. Higgins, J.P. and S.G. Thompson, Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Statistics in medicine, 2002. 21(11): p. 1539-1558.

21. DerSimonian, R. and N. Laird, Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled clinical trials, 1986. 7(3): p. 177-188.

22. Sterne, J.A. and M. Egger, Funnel plots for detecting bias in meta-analysis: guidelines on choice of axis. Journal of clinical epidemiology, 2001. 54(10): p. 1046-1055.

23. Gedefaw, G., et al., Institutional Delivery Service Utilization and its Factors Influencing Among Mothers Who Gave Birth in Woldia Town, Ethiopia. A Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study. Gynecol Obstet (Sunnyvale), 2018. 8(484): p. 2161-0932.1000484.

24. Kidanu, S., G. Degu, and T.Y. Tiruye, Factors influencing institutional delivery service utilization in Dembecha district, Northwest Ethiopia: A community based cross sectional study. Reproductive health, 2017. 14(1): p. 98.

25. Bayu, H., et al., Pregnant women's preference and factors associated with institutional delivery service utilization in Debra Marksos Town, North West Ethiopia: a community based follow up study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2015. 15(1): p. 15.

26. Abeje, G., M. Azage, and T. Setegn, Factors associated with Institutional delivery service utilization among mothers in Bahir Dar City administration, Amhara region: a community based cross sectional study. Reproductive Health, 2014. 11(1): p. 22.

27. Wolelie, A., M. Aychiluhm, and W. Awoke, Institutional delivery service utilization and associated factors in Banja District, Awie zone, Amhara regional sate, Ethiopia. Open Journal of Epidemiology, 2014. 4(01): p. 30.

28. Teferra, A.S., F.M. Alemu, and S.M. Woldeyohannes, Institutional delivery service utilization and associated factors among mothers who gave birth in the last 12 months in Sekela District, North West of Ethiopia: A community-based cross sectional study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2012. 12(1): p. 74.

29. Asmamaw Limenih, N.D.a.A.B., Assessing the Magnitude of Institutional Delivery Service Utilization and Associated Factors among Mothers in Debre Berhan, Ethiopia. Journal of Pregnancy and Child Health, 2016. 3(3).

30. Kifle, D., et al., Maternal health care service seeking behaviors and associated factors among women in rural Haramaya District, Eastern Ethiopia: a triangulated community-based cross-sectional study. Reproductive health, 2017. 14(1): p. 6.

31. Wako, W.G. and D.H. Kassa, Institutional delivery service utilization and associated factors among women of reproductive age in the mobile pastoral community of the Liban District in Guji Zone, Oromia, Southern Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2017. 17(1): p. 144.

32. Ejeta E, N.T., Determinants of Skilled Institutional Delivery Service Utilization among Women Who Gave Birth in the Last 12 Months in Bako District, Oromia, Ethiopia, (Case–control Study Design). J Gynecol Obstet., 2015 3(2): p. 36-42.

33. Tekelab T, Y.B., Melka AS., Antenatal care and women's decision making power as determinants of institutional delivery in rural area of Western Ethiopia. . BMC research notes. , 2015. 8(1): p. 769.

34. Amano A, G.A., Birhanu Z., Institutional delivery service utilization in Munisa Woreda, South East Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth., 2012 Dec. 12(1): p. 105.

35. Odo D, S.D., Institutional delivery service utilization and associated factors among child bearing age women in Goba Woreda, Ethiopia. J Gynecol Obstet., 2014. 2(4): p. 63-70.

36. Mulumebet Abera, A.G.m., Tefera Belachew, PREDICTORS OF SAFE DELIVERY SERVICE UTILIZATION IN ARSI ZONE, SOUTH-EAST ETHIOPIA. Ethiop J Health Sci. , August, 2011. 102[21].

37. Taye Shigute, S.T.a.L.T., Institutional Delivery Service Utilization and Associated Factors among Women of Child Bearing Age at Boset Woreda, Oromia Regional State, Central Ethiopia. Journal of Women's Health Care, 2017. 6(5).
38. Fikre AA, D.M., Prevalence of institutional delivery and associated factors in Dodota Woreda (district), Oromia region state, Ethiopia. Reproductive health., 2012 Dec. 9(1): p. 33.

39. Assefa L, A.M., Debie A., Magnitude of institutional delivery service utilization and associated factors among women in pastoral community of Awash Fentale district Afar Regional State, Ethiopia. BMC research notes., 2018. 11(1): p. 162.

40. Mohammed Ahmed, M.D., Araya Abhra Medhanyie, Alemayehu and Y.B. Worku., Utilization of Institutional Delivery Service in a Predominantly Pastoralist Community of Northeast Ethiopia. Ethiopian journal of health sciences., 2018. 28(4).

41. Weldemariam S, K.A., Welday M., Utilization of institutional delivery service and associated factors among mothers in North West Ethiopian. BMC research notes., 2018. 11(1): p. 194.

42. Lamaro, N.T.a.T., Utilization of institutional delivery service and associated factors in Bench Maji zone, Southwest Ethiopia: community based, cross sectional study. BMC Health service research, 2017. 17(101).

43. Demissie, F.H.a.M., Magnitude and factors associated with institutional delivery service utilization among childbearing mothers in Cheha district, Gurage zone, SNNPR, Ethiopia: a community based cross sectional study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2015. 15(299).

44. Hailemariam, G.D.a.T., Utilization of Institutional Delivery Services and Associated Factors among Mothers in Semi-pastoralist, Southern Ethiopia. Women's Health Care, 2015. 4(7).

45. Serawit Mekonnen Jinka, L.T.W.a.G.A., Predictors of institutional delivery service utilization, among women of reproductive age group in Dima District, Agnua zone, Gambella, Ethiopia. Medical Practice and Review, 2018. 9(2): p. 8-18.

46. Seifu Hagos, D.S., Meselech Assegid, Alemayehu Mekonnen, Mesganaw Fantahun Afework and a.S. Ahmed., Utilization of institutional delivery service at Wukro and Butajera districts in the Northern and South Central Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2014. 14(178).

47. Das, S., et al., Institutional delivery in public and private sectors in South Asia: a comparative analysis of prospective data from four demographic surveillance sites. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2016. 16(1): p. 273.

48. Wang, W., M. Winner, and C.R. Burgert-Brucker, Limited Service Availability, Readiness, and Use of Facility-Based Delivery Care in Haiti: A Study Linking Health Facility Data and Population Data. Global Health: Science and Practice, 2017. 5(2): p. 244-260.

49. Karkee, R., A.H. Lee, and V. Khanal, Need factors for utilisation of institutional delivery services in Nepal: an analysis from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey, 2011. BMJ open, 2014. 4(3): p. e004372.

50. Exavery, A., et al., Access to institutional delivery care and reasons for home delivery in three districts of Tanzania. International journal for equity in health, 2014. 13(1): p. 48.

51. Wanjira, C., et al., Delivery practices and associated factors among mothers seeking child welfare services in selected health facilities in Nyandarua South District, Kenya. BMC public health, 2011. 11(1): p. 360.

52. Mpembeni, R.N., et al., Use pattern of maternal health services and determinants of skilled care during delivery in Southern Tanzania: implications for achievement of MDG-5 targets. BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 2007. 7(1): p. 29.

53. Colombara, D.V., et al., Institutional delivery and satisfaction among indigenous and poor women in Guatemala, Mexico, and Panama. PloS one, 2016. 11(4): p. e0154388.

54. Yaya, S., G. Bishwajit, and M. Ekholuentale, Factors associated with the utilization of institutional delivery services in Bangladesh. PLoS One, 2017. 12(2): p. e0171573.

55. Dahiru, T. and O.M. Oche, Determinants of antenatal care, institutional delivery and postnatal care services utilization in Nigeria. Pan African medical journal, 2015. 21(1).

56. Brazier, E., et al., Rethinking how to promote maternity care-seeking: factors associated with institutional delivery in Guinea. Health care for women international, 2014. 35(7-9): p. 878-895.
57. Joshi, D., et al., *Universal institutional delivery among mothers in a remote mountain district of Nepal: what are the challenges?* Public health action, 2016. 6(4): p. 267-272.

58. Gitonga, E. and F. Muiruri, *Determinants of health facility delivery among women in Tharaka Nithi county, Kenya.* The Pan African Medical Journal, 2016. 25(Suppl 2).

59. Bishanga, D.R., et al., *Factors associated with institutional delivery: Findings from a cross-sectional study in Mara and Kagera regions in Tanzania.* PloS one, 2018. 13(12): p. e0209672.

**Tables**

**Table 1: Characteristics and quality status of the studies**
| First author/year | Study region/Location | Study design | Participants/Subjects | Sample size | Prevalence (%) | Quality status by using JBI checklist | Remark |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Assefa et al/2018 | Afar                  | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 423         | 35.2           | Low risk                             |        |
| Gedefaw et al/2018| Amhara                | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 360         | 74.7           | Low risk                             |        |
| Weldemariam et al/2018 | Bie.Guz         | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 427         | 51.1           | Low risk                             |        |
| Tadele et al/2017 | SNNPR                | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 765         | 78.3           | Low risk                             |        |
| Kifle et al/2017  | Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 561         | 28.7           | Low risk                             |        |
| Kidau et al/2017  | Amhara               | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 674         | 34.0           | Low risk                             |        |
| Solicha et al/2017| Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 791         | 13.9           | Low risk                             |        |
| Ejeta et al/2015  | Oromiya              | CC           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 380         | 34.2           | Low risk                             |        |
| Bayu et al/2015   | Amhara               | Cohort       | 2nd and 3rd trimester pregnant women | 393         | 62.3           | Low risk                             |        |
| Tekelab et al/2015| Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 798         | 39.7           | Low risk                             |        |
| Abte et al/2015   | SNNPR                | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 816         | 31.0           | Low risk                             |        |
| Hagos et al/2014  | Tigray&Oromiya       | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 4949        | 25.0           | Low risk                             |        |
| Abeje et al/2014  | Amhara               | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 481         | 78.8           | Low risk                             |        |
| Wolelie et al/2014| Amhara               | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 394         | 15.7           | Low risk                             |        |
| Amano et al/2012  | Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 855         | 12.3           | Low risk                             |        |
| Bogale et al/2014 | Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 562         | 47.0           | Low risk                             |        |
| Shimeka et al/2012| Amhara               | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 371         | 12.1           | Low risk                             |        |
| Fikre et al/2012  | Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 506         | 18.2           | Low risk                             |        |
| Abera et al/2011  | Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 1074        | 16.4           | Low risk                             |        |
| Ahmed et al/2018  | Afar                  | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 1842        | 18.4           | Low risk                             |        |
| Dejene et al/2015 | SNNPR                | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 756         | 14.6           | Low risk                             |        |
| Limenih et al/2016| Amhara               | CS           | women who gave birth in the last two years | 404         | 80.1           | Low risk                             |        |
| Shigute et al/2017| Oromiya              | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 589         | 60.3           | Low risk                             |        |
| Mekonnen et al/2018| Gambiela           | CS           | women who gave birth in the last one years | 798         | 63.2           | Low risk                             |        |

Key: CS=Cross-sectional; CC=Case Control

Table 2: The pooled proportion of IDSU, 95% CI and heterogeneity estimate with a p-value for the subgroup analysis
| Variables                        | Characteristics | No of studies | Pooled proportion | (95% CI)   | Weight | $I^2$ (P-value) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|--------|----------------|
| By region (Fixed)               | Afar            | 2            | 0.21              | 0.19 0.22  | 12.09  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Amhara          | 7            | 0.47              | 0.46 0.49  | 15.81  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Bie.Guz         | 1            | 0.51              | 0.46 0.56  | 1.46   | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | SNNPR           | 3            | 0.39              | 0.37 0.40  | 12.33  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Oromiya         | 9            | 0.24              | 0.23 0.25  | 32.77  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Tigray&Oromiya  | 1            | 0.25              | 0.24 0.26  | 22.61  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Gambiela        | 1            | 0.63              | 0.60 0.66  | 2.94   | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Over all        | 24           | 0.31              | 0.30 0.31  | 100.00 | 0.00 % (0.000) |
| By year of Publication (Fixed)  | 2017-2018       | 10           | 0.37              | 0.36 0.38  | 34.66  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | 2015-2016       | 6            | 0.37              | 0.35 0.38  | 16.39  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | 2011-2014       | 8            | 0.24              | 0.23 0.25  | 48.95  | 0.00 % (0.000) |
|                                 | Over all        | 24           | 0.31              | 0.30 0.31  | 100.00 | 0.00 % (0.000) |

**Figures**

**Predisposing factors**
- Attitude of mothers
- Maternal Knowledge
- Maternal age at 1st preg
- Maternal Educational status
- Maternal Occupation
- Parity

**Enabling Factors**
- Availability of information source
- Place of residence
- Distance to Health facility

**Need factors**
- ANC follow-up
- Frequency of ANC Follow-up
- Place of previous birth the most recent
- Presence of complication during birth preceding the most recent birth

**Institutional delivery service utilization (IDSU)**
Figure 1

Conceptual framework of factors associated with the utilization of institutional delivery services in Ethiopia

Figure 2

Study selection process
Figure 3

Forest plot of the Proportion of IDSU with corresponding 95% CIs

Figure 4

Funnel plot for publication bias.
Figure 5

The sensitivity analysis showed the pooled proportion when the studies omitted step by step.

Figure 6

Time-trend analysis of the pooled prevalence of Institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia from 2011 to 2018.
Figure 7

The pooled effects of maternal attitude on Institutional delivery service utilization.
Figure 8

Association of knowledge of women’s with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D–L, Dersimonian and Laird.

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis
### Figure 9

Association of age at first pregnancy (15-24yrs) with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2014. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom Inverse Variance.
Figure 10

Association of age at first pregnancy (25-34yrs) with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018.
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; Inverse Variance.
Figure 11

Association of educational statuses (can read and write) of the women with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; I-V, Inverse Variance.
Figure 12

Association of educational statuses (Primary school) of the women with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; Inverse Variance.
**Figure 13**

Association of educational statuses (Secondary school & above) of the women with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; I-V, inverse variance.

| Study ID | ES (95% CI) | Weight |
|----------|-------------|--------|
| Tadele et al (2017) | 0.30 (0.08, 1.07) | 13.04 |
| Kidau et al (2017) | 0.21 (0.06, 0.74) | 13.11 |
| Tekelal et al (2015) | 1.42 (0.94, 2.15) | 23.08 |
| Abebe et al (2018) | 2.50 (0.75, 8.30) | 13.76 |
| Wolele et al (2014) | 2.60 (0.75, 9.02) | 13.30 |
| Bogolo et al (2014) | 0.60 (0.01, 26.00) | 2.39 |
| Shigute et al (2017) | 1.90 (0.99, 3.54) | 20.72 |
| Overall (I² = 65.1%, p = 0.009) | 1.10 (0.57, 2.09) | 100.00 |

*NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis*

**Figure 14**

Association of Occupation of the women with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D–L, Dersimonian and Laird.
Figure 15

Association of parity (Parity=1) with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D-L, D–L, Dersimonian and Laird.
**Figure 16**

Association of availability of information source with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D-L, D–L, Dersimonian and Laird.
Figure 17

Association of place of residence with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D–L, Dersimonian and Laird.
**Figure 18**

Association of distance to health facility with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D-L, D-L, Dersimonian and Laird.
Figure 19

Association of ANC follow up with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D-L, D−L, Dersimonian and Laird.

| Study ID | ES (95% CI) | % Weight |
|----------|-------------|----------|
| Assefa et al (2018) | 3.19 (1.51, 6.72) | 7.89 |
| Kiflie et al (2017) | 3.63 (1.50, 8.78) | 7.48 |
| Kidau et al (2017) | 38.47 (22.22, 66.61) | 8.41 |
| Ejeta et al (2015) | 0.19 (0.09, 0.42) | 7.72 |
| Bayek et al (2015) | 1.97 (1.08, 3.62) | 8.26 |
| Tukele et al (2015) | 1.55 (1.07, 2.25) | 8.78 |
| Hagos et al (2014) | 1.77 (1.43, 2.19) | 9.01 |
| Amano et al (2014) | 4.18 (2.51, 6.96) | 8.50 |
| Bogale et al (2014) | 2.69 (1.38, 5.24) | 8.11 |
| Shimekia et al (2012) | 4.26 (1.08, 16.81) | 5.95 |
| Abraha et al (2011) | 4.48 (2.21, 9.08) | 8.00 |
| Ahmed et al (2018) | 1.11 (0.82, 1.50) | 8.90 |
| Limenih et al (2016) | 1.65 (0.11, 24.45) | 2.98 |
| Overall (I-squared = 93.3%, p = 0.000) | 2.57 (1.46, 4.54) | 100.00 |

NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis.
Figure 20

Association of Frequency of ANC follow up with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D-L, D-L, Dersimonian and Laird.
Figure 21

Association of Place of birth the most recent birth of the women with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; I-V, inverse variance.
Figure 22

Association of Presence of complication during birth preceding the most recent birth with institutional delivery service utilization in Ethiopia, 2010–2018. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; D–L, D-L, Dersimonian and Laird.
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