Detection of Inflicted Bruises by Alternate Light: Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial*†‡

ABSTRACT: Bruises are often difficult to detect on victims of violence, potentially impacting investigation and prosecution. The purpose of our randomized controlled trial was to measure the effectiveness of an alternate light source (ALS) within visible and long ultraviolet spectrums at improving bruise detection compared to white light over time. We also examined the effects of skin color, age, gender, localized fat, and injury mechanism on bruise detection. Participants included 157 healthy adults with balanced sampling across six skin color categories. Bruises were created under the controlled application of a paintball pellet and dropped weight to one upper and lower arm, respectively. Using a crossover design, both bruises were examined 21 times over 4 weeks. Ten different wavelength (350–535 nm) and filter (yellow, orange, red) combinations were used. Multilevel models were used to analyze 2903 examinations on both upper and lower arms. Results in multivariable models showed after controlling for other covariates 415 and 450 nm using a yellow filter had greater odds of detecting evidence of bruising than white light (Upper Arm: 415 nm: OR = 3.34, 95% CI: 4.35–6.56; 450 nm: OR = 4.08, 95% CI: 3.36–4.96). Under either light source, being female and having more localized fat had increased odds of detecting bruises created by the dropped weight (female: OR = 2.96, 95% CI: 2.37–3.70; fat: OR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.09–1.34). Our results support ALS as an appropriate tool to enhance concurrent physical assessment of bruises in the presence of known history of injury. Future development and evaluation of clinical practice guidelines for ALS application are needed.
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Bruising is one of the most common types of soft tissue injury noted on victims of violence, including intimate partner violence, sexual assault, child abuse, and vulnerable adult abuse (1–4). Such injuries are usually caused by blunt, compressive or squeezing force trauma resulting in damaged blood vessels (5,6). Evidence of bruising is usually identified by forensic clinicians through observed skin discoloration stemming from exsanguinated blood and its associated inflammation (7). Injuries, such as those from strangulation, can significantly impact clinical outcomes if they are not detected (8). Additionally, research indicates injury documentation is associated with greater victim engagement in the criminal justice process (9,10) and may provide corroborative evidence in court (11).

Many factors contribute to being able to observe a bruise under normal lighting conditions, including the amount of extravasated blood (5); location on the body (12); depth (12,13); subject’s age (14,15); bruise’s age (15–17); and skin color (16). When blood is released into the extravascular space, hemoglobin is broken down through enzymatic processes to include bilirubin as one of its byproducts. On spectrophotometric analysis, hemoglobin exhibits the greatest amount of light absorption at a narrow peak of wavelengths around 415 nm, with a secondary, broader peak in light absorption around 543 and 576 nm (17,18). Bilirubin has a broad absorption peak around 460 nm (18). Gross observation of light absorption by these molecules requires the use of alternate light, specific wavelengths which may include the visible (400–700 nm) or long ultraviolet (290–400 nm) spectrums (19). Generally, light is reflected, transmitted, scattered, and/or absorbed by the skin’s surface (20,21). Transmitted light can be absorbed and reflected by deeper structures, with longer wavelengths generally having greater skin penetration (20). Filters (e.g., colored goggles and camera lenses) can then be used to block the reflected light allowing the absorbed light to appear darker by comparison (19).

An alternate light source (ALS) has been suggested by the U.S. Department of Justice as a tool to assist in identifying evidence of “subtle injury” (22). However, prior research on whether an ALS is effective at clinically detecting bruising...
within the visible and ultraviolet spectrums is limited (21,23–25). The few available studies have found use of an ALS enhanced bruise observation, but researchers were unable to control for the potential effects of false positives either through study design (21,24,25) or execution (23). Confounding factors, such as pre-existing skin conditions and topical products, could mimic bruising by producing absorption under alternate light (21,26,27). Additionally, none of the research to date has examined how skin color impacts detection of bruising using an ALS. Given melanin is a major chromophore contributing to skin color, with an absorption spectrum overlapping that of hemoglobin (28), its effect on the ALS performance needs to be understood.

The aim of our study was to determine whether an ALS is more effective than white light at detecting bruises induced on diverse skin tones. Using an experimental design, we induced bruises using two different, published mechanisms, while controlling for factors affecting absorption detection. Eleven different bandwidth and filter combinations within the narrow band visible and ultraviolet spectrums were evaluated through repeated observations over 4 weeks. We hypothesized that wavelengths within the narrow absorption peak of hemoglobin would likely provide the greatest chance of detection.

Methods

Study Design

A randomized controlled trial with a crossover design was used to address the study aim. Longitudinal data were collected over repeated participant visits. The order of light application (ALS or white light) was randomized for each bruise assessment to limit possible detection carryover effect.

Setting and Sample

The study was conducted at two large public universities in different regions of the United States. George Mason University (GMU) in Fairfax, VA and Texas A & M Health Sciences (TAM) in Bryan, TX both have large student populations from diverse backgrounds, providing the opportunity to recruit a convenience sample with a range of skin colors. Inclusion criteria for participation comprised of healthy adults aged 18–65. Exclusion criteria included use of medications and/or health conditions that affected coagulation and/or inflammation; history of prolonged or unusual healing; injuries, lesions, or artifacts visible under white light or ALS on possible bruise induction sites (left and right lateral deltoids, left and right anterior forearms); and upper arm circumference <24 cm.

Quota sampling was used to recruit an equal proportion of subjects across six skin color categories—very light, light, intermediate, tan, brown, and dark. Participants were excluded if quota was met for their given skin color. Skin color was measured on the right lateral deltoid with a spectrophotometer (Minolta® CM-600D; Konica Minolta, Osaka, Japan) using the Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) L*a*b* color space (29). The average of three colorimetry readings was used. Category for skin color was determined by calculating the individual topology angle (ITA) using the L* (lightness) and b* (blue-yellow coloration) values in the following formula (30): 

\[ \text{ITA} = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{L^* - 50}{b^*} \right) \times 180 \div \pi \]

Higher values indicate a lighter skin color, with the following cutoff angles for each category: very light > 55° ≥ light > 41° ≥ intermediate > 28° ≥ tan > 10° ≥ brown > -30° ≥ dark (30).

The spectrophotometer was calibrated as per manufacturer’s recommendations.

Sample size was determined with an a priori power analysis. The baseline area under a receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC = area under curve) was estimated, representing the probability of detecting bruises using white light based on research by Lombardi et al. (23). Assuming 80% power, a level of significance of 0.05, and detection of improved AUC of 10% between white light and ALS, a sample size of 130 subjects was needed. The target sample size was inflated to account for a conservative 20% attrition, resulting in 156 subjects. The planned large number of repeated observations on each subject increased statistical power to assess and quantify multivariable relationships.

Ethics

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at both institutions and conducted in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to screening for eligibility. A data safety monitoring board of independent experts provided ongoing review of data to address any participant safety concerns. Participants were compensated USD$260.

Screening Visit

Potential participants (N = 238) completed a comprehensive screening within 30 days of anticipated bruise induction (see flow diagram in online supplemental material). Both upper and lower arms were screened under white light and ALS for existing lesions or absorption artifacts. Self-reported gender and height were obtained. One lower arm and one upper arm were then randomly selected on each participant using a computerized random number generator (Microsoft Excel, 2015). Arm circumference (AC) of the target arms was measured at the approximate sites of the anticipated bruise inductions: halfway between the elbow and acromion process (upper arm) and 5 cm (2 in) distal to the elbow (lower arm). Using Lange Calipers (Beta Technology, Houston, TX), skinfold (SF) thickness was measured at the triceps and medial aspect of the forearm 5 cm from the elbow. Using the Heymsfield et al. (31) arm muscle area (AMA) equations (AMA = [(AC - SFπ)/4π] – x, where x is 10 for men and 6.5 for women), the Arm Fat Index (AFI), or proportion of fat, was computed as follows: AFI = [(AC^2/4π – AMA)/AC^2/4π]^100 (31,32). No such equation exists for the forearm. Finally, participants were weighed by digital scale (seca, Chino, CA). For safety purposes, participants were instructed to avoid medications that might increase risk of bleeding (e.g., ibuprofen and naproxen) for 72 h before and after bruise induction.

Bruise Induction

Prior to bruise induction, target arms were re-assessed under white light and ALS to assure no new artifacts or injuries were present since the screening visit. Bruise inductions (N = 164 participants) were performed by the researchers and trained research nurses using two different mechanisms. First, the lower arm bruises were induced by dropping a 6-oz ball bearing (Boca Bearing, Boynton Beach, FL) down a vertical, 1.5 m (5 ft) polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe, a method adapted from Lombardi et al. (23). Participants were positioned on a chair with the target arm placed horizontally on a table, palm-side up. The vertically secured PVC pipe was placed above the skin surface, 5 cm from...
the antecubital fossa and avoiding any visible vasculature. In order to identify the target area in future assessments, four permanent ink dots were placed on the arm approximately 6 cm from center of target using a transparent, rectangular template. The weight was then dropped on the lower arm and participants asked to rate their pain on impact using a 0 to 10 scale (0 = no pain; 10 = the worst pain imaginable).

Using a method developed by Scafide et al. (16), the second bruise was induced on the upper arm with a paint-filled projectile (paintball) fired at 6.1 m (20 feet) from a compressed air gun (Planet Eclipse GTEK, Manchester, UK). The gun was mounted on a stand and directed by a laser. Participants stood behind a full coverage, plywood barrier with their lateral aspect of their arm placed flush against a 5 by 10 cm cutout covered with two layers of 20 mil rubber. The paintball pellet was then discharged without warning (to prevent muscle tightening), and the participants were immediately asked to rate the pain of the impact. Participants were excluded if paintball did not directly impact skin or if abrasion occurred that prevented further assessment. The bruised area was marked in a same manner as the lower arm using the previously described template.

**Bruise Assessments**

The target arm sites were assessed for evidence of bruising 21 times over 4 weeks postbruise induction on a set schedule (Figure 1 and online supplemental material). The first bruise assessment (Visit 1) occurred 30 min postbruise induction to allow time for the initial histamine response to subside (13). Bruise age at assessment was measured in hours since induction to accommodate variation in time between visits. The target arm sites were assessed for detection of bruising at each visit using two light sources: a dimmable 5600-Kelvin white light LED panel (SpectroLED Essential 240 Daylight; Genaray, New York, NY) and a multiwavelength alternate light device (Handscope® HSX-5000; Horiba, Piscataway, NJ). The order of the control and treatment light sources was randomized using an online data collection platform (Qualtrics, Provo, UT). The combinations of wavelengths and filters used during the assessment are presented in Table 1. Bruise detection was defined as the presence of any discoloration under the skin visible under white light or darkened area in contrast to the surrounding skin as viewed using the ALS at the point of trauma. Permanent ink dots were reapplied as necessary, and participants were queried whether their arms had been injured between visits.

Fourteen researchers and trained research nurses conducted bruise assessments (6 GMU, 8 TAM). Observers were screened for corrected visual acuity of at least 20/30 (Snellen Chart) and color blindness (Ishihara Test). Inter-rater checks were conducted throughout the study to evaluate and support consistency of detection between observers (white light: Kappa 0.65; ALS: Kappa = 0.76).

**Data Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize all study measures. The study data from this crossover randomized controlled trial entailed a complex multilevel structure. We anticipated 72,072 data points (312 bruises [156 upper arms, 156 lower arms] × 21 bruise assessment visits × 11 observations [white light and 10 ALS wavelengths]). Multiple assessments of each bruise were taken over time and at each visit resulting in multilevel and correlated data. Advanced statistical techniques using multilevel models were applied to account for this complex data structure. Marginal models with generalized estimating equations were used to model the dichotomous detection outcome. A three-level model was fit, with assessments nested in wavelength nested in bruise. Each model included a fixed effect for wavelength and any other covariates known based on theory to be associated with bruise detection. Skinfold and arm circumference were included as fixed effects in models for lower arm bruise and arm fat index for the upper arm bruise. A level of significance of 0.05 was used. The SAS Software System 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for statistical analyses.
Random arm selection for bruise induction resulted in equal number of left and right upper arms (left \( n = 79 \), 50.3%) and an oversampling of the left lower arm (\( n = 95 \), 60.5%). The 157 subjects were examined on average 19 times over the course of the experiment totaling in 2903 bruise assessment visits. At each visit, the upper arm and forearm bruised areas were assessed under white light and 10 ALS wavelength/filter combinations, providing a total of 31,621 observations on the upper arm and 31,509 on the lower arm. Participants rated pain of paintball induced bruises compared to white light at the first assessment (30 min postinduction). The dropped weight mechanism received a lower average impact pain score of 2.8 (range 0–7) with 86.6% (\( n = 136 \)) of participants developing a bruise visible under white light during the study. A delay in first detection of some lower arm bruises by white light was noted; 20.5% (\( n = 28 \)) of the injuries were first identified beyond the day of bruise induction.

**Results**

Between June 2017 and March 2019, 157 subjects participated in this study. Only 8 withdrew early prior to study completion, resulting in a 95% retention rate. Their data were retained for analysis. Recruitment was relatively equal between study sites (\( n = 81 \) at GMU, and \( n = 76 \) at TAM). The sample was mostly young (mean 23.9 years, SD 7.6) female \( (n = 114 \), 73%) and nearly equally distributed between all six skin color categories (Table 2). Skin color varied between and within reported race/ethnicity designations. Body composition characteristics are presented in Table 3. Most participants were right-handed \( (n = 141 \), 90%).

Table 4 shows the frequency of bruise detection over the total number of visits for all participants during the course of the study. Absorption was detected under alternate light more frequently than visible discoloration under white light for both upper and lower arms. Using the ALS, evidence of bruising was most frequently observed under 415 nm or 450 nm with the yellow filter (Figure 2). Of the 126 participants with recorded observations at 4 weeks postinduction, more bruises were visible on the upper arm under ALS \( (n = 103 \), 81.8%) than white light \( (n = 64 \), 50.8%).

Results of multivariable marginal models are presented in Table 5. For both trauma mechanisms, alternate light wavelengths of 415 and 450 nm using a yellow filter had greater odds of detecting a bruise than white light while controlling for subject characteristics (upper arm: 415 nm yellow: OR = 5.34, 95% CI: 4.35–6.56; 450 nm yellow: OR = 4.08, 95% CI: 3.36–4.96). The orange filter was also effective at detecting absorption but only on the paintball induced bruises using 415 and 450 nm wavelengths (415 nm: OR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.20–1.68; 450 nm: 1.77, 95% CI: 1.50–2.10). All other wavelength (i.e., UV and 475–535 nm) and filter combinations had lower odds of detecting bruises compared to white light while controlling for participant and bruise characteristics (Table 5).

Multivariable modeling results also identified several subject factors affecting the likelihood of bruise detection regardless of light source used. Across both bruise mechanisms, controlling for other characteristics, the odds of detection were higher with increasing skin lightness (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.02–1.03) and subject age (OR = 1.05, 95% CI: 1.04–1.06). Similarly, odds were almost 3 times greater for detecting the dropped weight

**Table 3**—Mean (SD) of body composition characteristics stratified by sex \((n = 157)\).

| Sex          | Female | Male | Total |
|--------------|--------|------|-------|
| Age, years   | 24.9 (8.3) | 22.5 (5.4) | 24.2 (7.7) |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 27.1 (7.4) | 24.8 (4.4) | 26.3 (6.7) |
| Upper arm    |        |      |       |
| Skinfold thickness, mm | 25.3 (9.5) | 15.8 (9.3) | 21.6 (10.1) |
| Arm circumference, cm | 31.5 (6.1) | 31.7 (4.0) | 31.4 (5.6) |
| Arm Fat index, % | 50.3 (12.0) | 41.3 (14.8) | 47.8 (13.4) |
| Lower arm    |        |      |       |
| Skinfold thickness, mm | 20.1 (8.0) | 19.4 (11.4) | 20.0 (9.0) |
| Arm circumference, cm | 24.9 (2.9) | 27.4 (2.8) | 25.5 (3.1) |

**Table 4**—Sample by skin color category \((n = 157)\).

| Skin Color* | Very Light | Light | Intermediate | Tan | Brown | Dark | Sample Total (%) |
|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|-----|-------|------|------------------|
| Frequency, \( n \) (%) | 26 (17) | 27 (17) | 25 (16) | 27 (17) | 26 (17) | 26 (17) | 56 (100) |
| Sex, \( n \) | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Age, years | 22 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 16 | 22 | 16 |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.1 |
| Upper arm | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Skinfold thickness, mm | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 |
| Arm circumference, cm | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 |
| Arm Fat index, % | 50.3 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 50.3 |

*Skin color category determined by \( L^* \) and \( b^* \) colorimetry values based on Individual Typology Angle (29): \[ \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{L^* - 50}{b^*}\right) \times 180/\pi. \]
bruses on women than on men (OR = 2.96, 95% CI: 2.37–3.70). Also, more localized fat contributed to increased odds of bruise detection using the dropped weight mechanism (OR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.09–1.34). While controlling for other characteristics, the odds of detecting bruises created by either mechanism and viewed under any light source decreased by 12% for every additional 24 h postinjury (OR = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.88–0.89).

Discussion

For the last five years, forensic science practitioners of the National Institute of Justice’s Forensic Science Technology Working Group (TWG) have repeatedly requested to make detection of subtle injuries a research and development priority (33). Alternate light technology is already widely used in forensic science to identify latent or barely visible evidence at crime scenes and during victim examinations (19). However, research investigating its application in the clinical detection of bruising has been limited in both quantity and quality. Our study has advanced the science by attempting to use a more rigorous approach in both methodology and data analytics to better understand major factors associated with ALS identification of injuries on diverse skin tones.

As hypothesized, ALS wavelengths of 415 and 450 nm viewed through a yellow filter provided the best chances of bruise detection compared to white light. These results are consistent with the narrow absorption peak of hemoglobin, which fell within these bandwidths (17). The findings also support existing cadaver (34) and clinical research (23,24), with only one exception. In their retrospective medical record review of 159 strangulation cases, Holbrook and Jackson (21) noted using an orange filter provided more frequent absorption detection than yellow. Their predominately African American sample (69%) may explain this phenomenon; theoretically, melanin’s broad absorption spectrum could be preventing any light reflection from being visible using the yellow filter (28). However, when we controlled for the effect of skin color in our analysis, the odds of detecting a bruise using the orange filter were less than yellow.

Not surprisingly, the ability to detect bruises under any light source diminished generally with time. However, we were able to establish through our repeated measures and modeling that regardless of bruise age in the first 4 weeks postinjury, 415 and 450 nm with a yellow filter outperformed white light in detecting evidence of bruising. Subsequent work should further investigate whether the age of bruises moderates the ability of specific ALS wavelengths to enhance visualization of these injuries. Our analysis is not designed to comment on the aging of bruises nor has other ALS research to date supported that possibility (35).

We examined several factors contributing to bruise detection under white and alternate light assessments. Because depth of bleeding could potentially alter the penetration and reflection of transmitted light, we chose to evaluate two different methods of bruise induction. Randeberg et al. (13) describe the use of paintball as a bruising mechanism being consistent with being struck by a whip given its high velocity and low mass. A dropped weight bruise mechanism was reported by Lombardi et al. (23) for the purpose of creating more subtle injuries with a heavier weight (4-oz in their case) and slower speed. Though we could not confirm this as fact, the bruise caused by the dropped weight mechanism should, theoretically, be deeper as a result of its likely longer contact duration. The delay in time for which the dropped weight bruises became visible on some participants suggests bleeding may not have been readily superficial.

The results of the two GEE models also differed—the odds of detecting bruises using the dropped weight method were greater with increasing localized fat or by being female. Subcutaneous fat, which is vascular, contributes in large part to the bleeding observed in bruise discoloration (15) as well as its size (16). Thus, our results may suggest the amount of fat could play a role in whether bleeding is visible for suspected deeper injuries to be detected. Alternatively, the force of the dropped weight may not have caused enough vessel damage on individuals with less subcutaneous fat to result in detectable bruising. The significance of sex as a factor may be associated with the higher fat distribution associated with being female.

Implications

The population of our study consisted of adults known to have experienced an injury to the area being assessed. In this context, information gathered during a proper medical-legal physical examination and interview can help support and/or eliminate possible alternative causes of light absorption. For example, we noted several instances of scars, tattoos, hyperpigmented areas, nevi, acn, and other lesions that demonstrated absorption under alternate light but distinguishable from bruising.

---

**TABLE 4—Frequency of bruise detection by light source and filter.**

| Light Source         | Detected, n (%) | Not Detected, n (%) | Detected, n (%) | Not Detected, n (%) |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| **Paintball (n = 2903)** |                 |                     |                 |                     |
| White light          | 2490 (85.8)     | 413 (14.2)          | 516 (17.8)      | 2377 (81.9)         |
| Alternate light      | 2810 (96.8)     | 93 (3.2)            | 922 (31.8)      | 1971 (67.9)         |
| UV                   | 2033 (70.0)     | 868 (29.9)          | 1971 (70.9)     | 2661 (91.7)         |
| 415 Yellow           | 2781 (95.8)     | 122 (4.2)           | 721 (24.8)      | 2170 (74.8)         |
| 415 Orange           | 2576 (88.7)     | 326 (11.2)          | 389 (13.4)      | 2502 (86.2)         |
| 450 Yellow           | 2751 (94.8)     | 151 (5.2)           | 849 (29.3)      | 2042 (70.3)         |
| 450 Orange           | 2623 (90.4)     | 280 (9.7)           | 372 (12.8)      | 2520 (86.8)         |
| 475 Orange           | 2428 (83.6)     | 460 (15.9)          | 235 (8.1)       | 2646 (91.2)         |
| 495 Orange           | 2309 (79.5)     | 594 (20.5)          | 182 (6.3)       | 2710 (93.4)         |
| 515 Orange           | 2176 (75.0)     | 725 (25.0)          | 120 (4.1)       | 2772 (95.5)         |
| 515 Red              | 1172 (40.4)     | 1730 (59.6)         | 44 (1.5)        | 2849 (98.1)         |
| 535 Red              | 1470 (50.6)     | 1429 (49.2)         |                 |                     |
| **Dropped Weight (n = 2903)** |                 |                     |                 |                     |
| White light          | 2490 (85.8)     | 413 (14.2)          | 516 (17.8)      | 2377 (81.9)         |
| Alternate light      | 2810 (96.8)     | 93 (3.2)            | 922 (31.8)      | 1971 (67.9)         |
| UV                   | 2033 (70.0)     | 868 (29.9)          | 1971 (70.9)     | 2661 (91.7)         |
| 415 Yellow           | 2781 (95.8)     | 122 (4.2)           | 721 (24.8)      | 2170 (74.8)         |
| 415 Orange           | 2576 (88.7)     | 326 (11.2)          | 389 (13.4)      | 2502 (86.2)         |
| 450 Yellow           | 2751 (94.8)     | 151 (5.2)           | 849 (29.3)      | 2042 (70.3)         |
| 450 Orange           | 2623 (90.4)     | 280 (9.7)           | 372 (12.8)      | 2520 (86.8)         |
| 475 Orange           | 2428 (83.6)     | 460 (15.9)          | 235 (8.1)       | 2646 (91.2)         |
| 495 Orange           | 2309 (79.5)     | 594 (20.5)          | 182 (6.3)       | 2710 (93.4)         |
| 515 Orange           | 2176 (75.0)     | 725 (25.0)          | 120 (4.1)       | 2772 (95.5)         |
| 515 Red              | 1172 (40.4)     | 1730 (59.6)         | 44 (1.5)        | 2849 (98.1)         |

Frequencies/percentage may not add up to 2903 (or 100%) due to missing data.
under direct white light assessment. In addition, washing the skin before ALS application, as we did, may remove topical products (i.e., cosmetics and sunscreen) known to cause light absorption (26,27). Performing follow-up assessments in clinical practice may also capture changes in absorption over time more consistent with a healing bruise. However, in the absence of trauma-related history or other physical findings consistent with bruising, caution should be used when interpreting light absorption in isolation. Lombardi et al. noted low specificity of latent injuries on individuals providing no history of trauma (23).

Despite DOJ recommendations for the use of ALS (22), no evidence-based clinical practice guidelines exist for using ALS during injury assessment. Future development of standardized methods of alternate light application and documentation for this purpose must take into consideration the various stakeholders

| Skin Color   | White Light | 415nm Yellow Filter | White Light | 415nm Yellow Filter |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Very Light   | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         |
| Light        | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         |
| Intermediate | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         |
| Tan          | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         |
| Brown        | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         |
| Dark         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         | ![Image](#) | ![Image](#)         |

FIG. 2—Examples of bruises on different skin colors observed 30 min (Visit 1) and 4 weeks (Visit 21) after bruise induction. Digital images taken using Canon T6i SLR with 50 mm fixed lens F2.2 ISO 400 with variable shutter speed and yellow filter (GG455; http://www.edmundoptics.com). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
impacted (e.g., patients, clinicians, police and attorneys). ALS is not a diagnostic tool for bruising. Users must be sufficiently trained on both the science and techniques (particularly photography) to use the equipment safely and properly interpret its findings. Purchase of violet or blue ALS flashlights may be a cost-effective option for conducting bruise assessments. However, when selecting any ALS, other factors, such as lumens, should be considered, given the considerable variation available (36). More research on how variations in ALS devices could impact bruise assessment is needed. Finally, future studies should evaluate the impact of programmatic implementation of ALS on both clinical and criminal justice outcomes.

**Limitations**

Our study had several limitations. First, it was not feasible to blind observers to where the arm was injured given the bruises were not intended to be latent. To address this challenge, we used a randomized, crossover design to reduce the carryover effect of comparing one light source to the other. Additionally, we chose to measure bruise detection through direct assessments of the injury instead of through ALS photography to be more consistent with clinical skin assessment practices. Image analysis could have potentially provided more objective measures of detection; yet, analysis of our inter-rater concordance was good. Our bruise assessments were limited to observation without consideration of other clinically relevant findings (e.g., presence of induration and pain).

During bruise induction, the speed of the paintball and dropped weight were not controlled. However, the comparison of alternate light to white light occurred within the same bruise (i.e., each arm was being compared to itself) and, thus, should be less impacted by variations between bruises. Also, using different locations for the two bruise mechanisms may have confounded the comparison between models given the anatomical variations between the upper and lower arms. Our decision to model the two mechanisms separately was based on the substantially fewer visible bruises created using the dropped weight mechanism. Future use of this particular bruise induction method is not recommended given the inability to noninvasively confirm latent bruising. Finally, our sample was mostly young adults. Further research on an older population is needed to fully understand the effect of age on alternate light detection of bruising.

**Conclusion**

The need for better forensic techniques to identify and document subtle bruises, particularly on victims who are of color, is well established. Clinical application of alternate light for the purposes of bruise detection has been proposed given the light absorption properties of hemoglobin and its breakdown products. Our randomized controlled trial sought to examine the effectiveness of an ALS compared to white light on a diverse sample using a large data set of repeated measures. We determined alternate light wavelengths consistent with hemoglobin absorption, 415 and 450 nm viewed through a yellow filter, provided five times greater odds of detecting bruises than white light. Other factors such as sex, localized fat, age, injury mechanism, and bruise age all contributed to whether a bruise was detected by either light source. Our results support findings from previous studies while advancing the science through more rigorous design and analysis. ALS, used in conjunction with an appropriate physical assessment and history, may enhance forensic documentation of bruising in cases of reported injury. However, development and evaluation of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for ALS implementation are needed.
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Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Calendar of typical 4-week bruise assessment schedule modified to start on a Monday or Tuesday. Visits 1–9 occurred at least 4 h apart from appointment start time.

Figure S2. Flow-diagram of sample participant recruitment