The Microbiome of Neotropical Water Striders and Its Potential Role in Codiversification
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Simple Summary: Insects host a highly diverse bacterial community. Although we have a good understanding of the role that this microbiome plays in insects, the composition and diversity of microbiomes associated with Neotropical freshwater insects is virtually unknown. Here, we describe, for the first time, the microbiome associated with six species of Neotropical water striders in Panama. We also performed phylogenetic analyses to explore potential codiversification or coevolution between water strider species and their associated microbiome. We found a diverse microbiome associated with the six species of water striders, with the dominant bacterial taxa belonging to the phyla Proteobacteria and Tenericutes. Although some bacterial lineages were shared across species, some lineages were also uniquely associated with different water strider species. Our results suggest that both environmental variation and host phylogenetic identity are important drivers of the microbiome associated with water striders. Understanding the evolution of the host-microbiome interaction is crucial to our understanding of Neotropical freshwater ecosystems.

Abstract: Insects host a highly diverse microbiome, which plays a crucial role in insect life. However, the composition and diversity of microbiomes associated with Neotropical freshwater insects is virtually unknown. In addition, the extent to which diversification of this microbiome is associated with host phylogenetic divergence remains to be determined. Here, we present the first comprehensive analysis of bacterial communities associated with six closely related species of Neotropical water striders in Panama. We used comparative phylogenetic analyses to assess associations between dominant bacterial lineages and phylogenetic divergence among species of water striders. We found a total of 806 16S rRNA amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), with dominant bacterial taxa belonging to the phyla Proteobacteria (76.87%) and Tenericutes (19.51%). Members of the α- (e.g., Wolbachia) and γ- (e.g., Acinetobacter, Serratia) Proteobacteria, and Mollicutes (e.g., Spiroplasma) were predominantly shared across species, suggesting the presence of a core microbiome in water striders. However, some bacterial lineages (e.g., Fructobacillus, Fluvicola and Chryseobacterium) were uniquely associated with different water strider species, likely representing a distinctive feature of each species' microbiome. These findings indicate that both host identity and environmental context are important drivers of microbiome diversity in water striders. In addition, they suggest that diversification of the microbiome is associated with diversification in water striders. Although more research is needed to establish the evolutionary consequences of host-microbiome interaction in water striders, our findings support recent work highlighting the role of bacterial community host-microbiome codiversification.
Insects host a highly diverse microbiome, which plays a crucial role in insect life. This bacterial community is involved in a variety of functions, ranging from food processing [1,2], to protection against pathogens [2–6] and regulation of developmental and life cycles [2,7]. In addition, recent studies have highlighted the contribution of the microbiome to diversification [8–10], including the evolution of reproductive isolation between species [10–12]. For instance, the presence of bacterial taxa such as Wolbachia, Rickettsia and Cardinium have been associated with mating incompatibility [13–17] and speciation in many insects (e.g., the plant-sap feeding Hemiptera and gall wasp (Cynipidae) [12,18–20]. Thus, understanding the nature and consequences of host-microbiome interactions in insects is crucial to our understanding of diversification in nature. However, despite the functional and evolutionary consequences of host-microbiome interactions [9,16,21], the composition and diversity of the bacterial community associated with Neotropical freshwater insects remains unexplored. In addition, questions regarding the evolution of host-microbiome interaction and its potential association with diversification in Neotropical freshwater insects have received little attention to date. Here, we advance these issues by assessing bacterial community composition and diversity associated with six closely related species of Neotropical water striders in Panama. We also use a comparative phylogenetic approach to test for associations between dominant bacterial lineages and genetic divergence among species of water striders.

Water striders (family Gerridae) are a conspicuous group of semi-aquatic insects that are typical of freshwater and estuarine ecosystems. They are found in a variety of environments including rivers, streams, lakes and even the open ocean [22,23]. A prominent feature of water striders is their ability to walk on water via specialized hydrophobic legs that distribute their weight over a large surface area and take advantage of the high surface tension of water [22,23]. Water striders are dominant predators, providing a crucial functional role to aquatic ecosystems [23–27]. Taxonomically, they are highly diverse, with nearly 450 known species [23], and many more remaining to be described, particularly in the Neotropics. We have taken advantage of this diversity and specialized life strategy to explore host-microbiome associations in Neotropical water striders.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling Sites

We collected water striders from three sites located in Llano de Catival on the Western Azuero Peninsula on the Pacific coast of Panama (Figure 1A). The three sites are relatively close to each other (4 to 5 km), but vary in salinity levels due to sea water intrusion. Playa Reina lagoon (PR; 7°37′31.1″ N, 81°00′16.7″ O) has salinity levels ranging from 0.4 to 11 ppt, sandy substrate, and is surrounded by a mix of mangrove (Rizhophora mangle) and cativo (Prioria copaifera) forest. Rio Angulito (RA; 7°38′22.0″ N, 80°58′17.0″ O) represents a typical estuarine site with salinity levels ranging from 0.1 to values >10 ppt. This site has a combination of rocky and sandy substrate, and is surrounded by mangrove and cativo trees and secondary forest. Rio Negro (RN; 7°38′22.0″ N, 80°58′36.6″ O) is a typical freshwater river, with salinity levels ranging from 0 to 0.4 ppt. This site has gravel substrate and is surrounded by secondary forest.
2.2. Water Strider Species

We visited each site in December 2018 or January 2019 and collected a minimum of three adult individuals of six species of water striders: *Platygerris assimetricus* (RN), *Potamobates horvathi* (RA, RN), *Potamobates tridentatus* (RA), *Rheumatobates bergrothi* (PR), *Rheumatobates ornatus* (PR) and *Telmatometra withei* (PR, RA, RN) (Figure 1B–G; Table 1). Of the six water strider species sampled across sites, only three species were present at any given site, and only one species (*T. withei*) was present at all three sites. This pattern of species assemblage is likely due to habitat preference [22,23,28], given that our sampling sites included both fresh and brackish water sites (Figure 1A).

**Figure 1.** Sampling sites (A) and water striders species *Platygerris assimetricus* (Hungerford, 1932; B), *Potamobates horvathi* (Esaki, 1926; C), *Potamobates tridentatus* (Esaki, 1926, D), *Rheumatobates bergrothi* (Meinert, 1895; E), *Rheumatobates ornatus* (Polhemus and Cheng, 1976; F), *Telmatometra withei* (Bergroth, 1908; G). Photo credits: Pamela Polanco and Anakena Castillo.
Table 1. Number of individuals of six water strider species sampled at three sites on the Western Azuero Peninsula, Panama.

| Site              | Species                      | Number |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| Playa Reina lagoon | Rheumatobates bergrothi      | 5      |
|                   | Rheumatobates ornatus        | 3      |
|                   | Telmatometra withei         | 5      |
| Rio Angulito      | Potamobates horvathi         | 4      |
|                   | Potamobates tridentatus      | 3      |
|                   | Telmatometra withei         | 5      |
| Rio Negro         | Platygerris assimetricus     | 5      |
|                   | Potamobates horvathi         | 4      |
|                   | Telmatometra withei         | 5      |

2.3. DNA Extraction and Amplification

Before DNA extraction, we surface-sterilized each insect by submerging it in 70% ethanol for 1 min, then rinsing three times in sterile water [29]. Whole individuals were then immersed in 0.01 M solution of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 1× for 5 min [29] and macerated with a pestle in a 1.5 mL tube. DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s protocol, with a final elution volume of 100 µL in buffer AE (buffer AE (elution buffer for genomic DNA)).

To characterize the bacterial community associated with water striders, we used 16S rDNA primers (515 F and 806 R) [30] to amplify a 251 bp portion of the V4 region, which is one of the most effective regions for assessing bacterial diversity [31]. Triplicate PCR amplifications were prepared in 11 µL reaction volumes, containing 4.0 µL of molecular water, 5 µL of Platinum 2× Mastermix (ThermoFisher, Foster City, CA, USA), 0.5 µL primers 515F and 806R which included a partial Illumina adapter on their 5′ ends, and 1 µL DNA extract. Reaction conditions included a denaturation step of 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min, and elongation at 72 °C for 1.5 min, followed by a 10 min final elongation at 72 °C. We ran 2 µL of the PCR products on an agarose gel to verify amplification.

2.4. Library Preparation

We pooled our PCR triplicates and performed a second PCR to add barcode indexes and Illumina adapters in 12 µL reactions using 4 µL of molecular grade water, 5 µL of Platinum™ master mix (Thermo Fisher 2×), 0.5 µL of each index (Forward and Reverse) and 2 µL of pooled PCR product. PCR started with a denaturation step of 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 6 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C, for 45 s, annealing at 50 °C for 1 min and elongation at 72 °C for 1.5 min, and ending with a 10 min final elongation at 72 °C. All resulting PCR reactions were cleaned and normalized with PCR purification and normalization plates (Charm Biotech, San Diego, CA, USA). All samples were combined and the library was concentrated and clean using KAPA pure beads (Kapa BioSystems, Wilmington, MA, USA). Final library concentration was determined using a Qubit fluorometer (Turner BioSystems, Foster city, CA, USA) and quality was checked on a BioAnalyzer (Agilent). Finally, the library was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), on a 2 × 250 bp pair end run.

2.5. Data Analysis

We used the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME 2.0) pipeline to process all raw bacterial 16S rRNA sequences associated with water striders [32]. In brief, we used Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm (DADA2) [33], as implemented in R package version 4.0.2 (Kongens Nytorv, Denmark) to dereplicate and quality filter sequences. Then, we imported the sequence table into
QIIME2 for following analysis. Representative amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were assigned taxonomic classification with the SILVA database [34,35]. All ASVs assigned to mitochondrial and chloroplast sequences as well as those with less than 10 counts were removed from the dataset. Finally, the all data files generated with Qiime 2 were uploaded to the R software [36], for further statistical analyses and plotting.

2.5.1. Bacterial Diversity and Community Composition

Sequences were rarefied to a depth of 9000 sequence per sample before performing diversity estimates. To estimate alpha diversity based on ASVs, we calculated Faith’s phylogenetic diversity (Faith’s PD), followed by nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis to examine statistical differences among site and species. We then quantified beta diversity among sites and species within sites based on weighted UniFrac distance and visualized it using principle coordinates analyses (PCoA) as implemented in the ggfortify and ggplot2 package [37,38].

To quantify variation in bacteria community composition across sites and species, as well as among species within site, we performed ANOSIM analyses in the vegan package [39]. We ran 1000 permutations for each analysis. Given our low sample size (3–5 individual per species/site; Table 1), and the fact that not all water strider species were present at each sampling site, we were not able to include the species vs. site effect into a single variance analysis. To further explore the effect of site, we tested (using ANOSIM) for variation in the bacterial community associated with *T. withei*, the only species present at all three sampling sites. Finally, the number of shared and unique bacterial taxa across water strider species and sites were visualized with a Venn diagram using the VennDiagram package [40].

2.5.2. Exploring Phylogenetic Associations between Water Striders and Associated Microbiome

To determine phylogenetic relationships among water strider species, we amplified the Cytochrome oxidase I (COI) region from each of the six species of water striders, using the primers set LCO1490/HCO2198 [41] and dgLCO1490/dgHCO2198 [42]. We followed similar PCR protocols as in De León et al., 2020 [43]. We aligned the sequences using MAFFT, and then built a phylogenetic tree following RA × ML bootstrapping with 1000 iterations in Geneious 10.0.6 [44], and following the model GTR GAMMA. Then, we mapped the relative abundance of ASVs of the 29 most common bacterial taxa onto the phylogeny of the six water strider species using the vegan [39] and gplots packages [45].

3. Results

After trimming and filtering we obtained a total of 738,729 bacterial sequences, with an average of 18,941 ± 937 per sample. A rarefaction curve at a sequencing depth of 9000 showed the majority of bacterial diversity associated with water striders was captured at a relatively low number of reads (Figure S1).

3.1. Community Composition and Diversity of Water Strider Microbiomes

After quality filtering, we found 806 ASVs associated with the six species of water striders. These ASVs were classified into 31 phyla, 59 classes, 138 orders, 222 families and 373 genera. Overall, the most abundant bacterial taxa were represented by the Phyla Proteobacteria (80.89%) and Tenericutes (13.81%), including the classes α- and γ-Proteobacteria and Mollicutes (Figure 2A,B). Other less abundant phyla such as Actinobacteria (Actinobacteria), Bacteroidetes (Bacteroidia) and Firmicutes (Bacilli) were also associated with some sites and water strider species (Figure 2A,B). Nine genera (Actinobacteria Sp. 1, Geobacillus, Candidatus cardinium, Weeksellaceae Sp. 1, Wolbachia, Acinetobacter, Serratia, Enterobacteriaceae (unknown) and Spiroplasma) were abundant across sites and species (Figure 2C,D).
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Figure 2. Relative abundance of dominant bacteria taxa associated with water striders. Abundance was estimated at the level of bacterial class across sites (A) and species within sites (B), as well as at the level of genus across sites (C) and species within sites (D). Only bacterial taxa with >5% sequence abundance are shown for both taxonomic levels.

Our estimates of alpha diversity did not show significant differences among sites or species (Kruskal-Wallis H, \( p > 0.05 \); Figure 3A,B). By contrast, beta diversity analyses based on weighted Unifrac distance showed significant differences among sites across species (ANOSIM statistic: \( R = 0.09, p < 0.05 \); Figure 3C), and among water strider species across sites (\( R = 0.36, p < 0.001 \); Figure 3D). However, in both cases the ANOSIM R statistic suggested that the microbial community share many taxa. Similarly, we found significant differences among species in Río Angulito and Negro (RA: \( R = 0.43, p < 0.05 \), and RN: \( R = 0.38, p < 0.01 \). By contrast, we did not find significant differences within Playa Reina lagoon (PR: \( R = 0.20, p > 0.05 \), and the bacterial communities associated with T. withei across the three sites (\( R = 0.02, p > 0.05 \), (Figure 3D).
The majority of ASVs (77.7%) were unique to a site and only 7.4% were shared among all sites, with both brackish water sites (Playa Reina lagoon and Río Angulito) showing the largest number of unique ASVs (Figure 4A). In addition, we found a large proportion of ASVs that were unique to each water strider species (Figure 4): 15.1–44.9% in Playa Reina lagoon (Figure 4B), 7.01–46.8% in Río Angulito (Figure 4C), and 18.4–41.9% in Río Negro (Figure 4D). Overall, however, few (2.4–5.8%) ASVs were shared among species within sites (Figure 4B,D).
3.2. Phylogenetic Associations

Our results showed that some bacterial taxa were uniquely associated with different water strider species (sequence found in at least 40% of the samples for given species). For instance, *P. assimetricus* showed bacterial taxa such as *Fructobacillus* (Figure 5). *P. tridentatus* showed unknown (Diplorickettsiaceae/Gammaproteobacteria) (Figure 5). *R. ornatus* hosted *Fluviicola*, *Chryseobacterium* and Bacteroidia Sp. 1 (Figure 5). Finally, *T. withei* showed *Vibrio* and *Rickettsiella* (Figure 5). Overall, less than 24% of ASVs were shared among water strider species, and each water strider was often associated with a different bacterial cluster (Figure 5).
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Figure 5. Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) of dominant bacterial taxa associated with the phylogeny of water striders. Only bacteria with a relative abundance of >0.5% were included in the analysis.

4. Discussion

The unique ecological niche occupied by water striders represents a fascinating opportunity to explore the evolution of host-microbiome interactions in freshwater and estuarine environments. Here, we assess, for the first time, the bacterial community associated with six closely related species of Neotropical water striders in Panama. We also explore potential phylogenetic associations between these bacterial communities and water strider species.

4.1. Bacterial Diversity and Core Microbiome of Water Striders

Overall, we found 806 ASVs of bacterial lineages associated with the six species of water striders. The most common and abundant bacterial taxa included phyla such as Proteobacteria and Tenericutes. These phyla were dominated by facultative endosymbionts, nonsymbiont, and pathogenic bacteria such as α- (e.g., Wolbachia) and γ- (e.g., Acinetobacter, Serratia and Enterobacteriaceae) Proteobacteria and Mollicutes (e.g., Spiroplasma). Given their high frequency across sites and species, these bacterial taxa represent the core microbiome of Neotropical water striders. These taxa have also been previously associated with both aquatic and terrestrial insects [46–51], suggesting that they are a common component of the microbiome of insects in general, and play important functional roles in their insect
hosts [3,6,46,52]. For example, a recent study found that the genus Wolbachia is widespread in aquatic Hemipteran, including Gerridae, from Southwest Cameroon [53]. In addition, the genera Wolbachia and Spiroplasma are known to influence host ecology and evolution [1,3,6,53], and could be involved in diversification in water striders (see below).

We also found bacterial taxa that have not been previously associated with water striders. These include Fructobacillus, which was associated with P. assimetricus. This bacterial genus has also been reported in terrestrial insects [54–57], with some species offering protection against pathogens in bees such as the American foulbrood [58]. Rickettsiella, which was found in T. withei, is known to reduce mortality and decreases fungal sporulation in insects [59], however the genus is also an important pathogen in arthropods [60]. Vibrio, which was also associated with T. withei, is considered a pathogen of aquatic organisms [61,62], and can cause high mortality and severe economic losses in marine fisheries [61]. Chryseobacterium, which was found in R. ornatus, has been reported in other insects [63], and some of species can be pathogenic to humans and other animals [64]. However, the role of these genera in water striders is currently unknown.

Our most striking result was the high proportion of bacterial ASVs that were uniquely associated with different water strider species, with only 2.4–5.8% of ASVs being shared among species at each site. Although the functional consequences of this microbiome disparity is currently unknown, this finding suggests that species identity is likely a major factor driving microbiome diversity in water striders. Unfortunately, our small sample size prevented us from determining if this pattern is consistent across species or if some bacterial taxa show a stronger contribution to microbiome diversity than others. Microbiome composition could also be influenced by habitat type [65–70], particularly because we sampled one fresh and two brackish-water sites. Indeed, we found significant differences in the number of ASVs across sites, with brackish-water sites showing the highest number of unique bacterial taxa. This is consistent with previous work showing that some bacteria taxa belonging to α, γ and β-Proteobacteria present affinities for different levels of salinity, and that saline environments often host a higher bacterial diversity than freshwater habitats [67]. However, we believe that common environmentally derived bacterial taxa were infrequent in our samples, in part because we sterilized the external body of our water strider specimens before DNA extraction (i.e., our sampling was focused on the internal body). Thus, differences in microbiome composition across sites are likely confounded by the strong species effect (i.e., different water strider species were present at different sites), but more data are needed to disentangle these effects statistically.

Another factor influencing microbiome diversity is host diet [71], but we currently know little about the diet of our water strider species. However, given that water striders are opportunistic predators that feed mostly on insects that fall on the water surface [23], we may expect low variation in diet across species. Moreover, the fact that T. withei, the species that was present at all sites, showed low variation in microbiome composition across sites suggests that both diet and habitat type are less important in determining water strider microbiomes. However, further research is needed to confirm this possibility, particularly because some closely related species of aquatic Hemiptera (Veliidae and Gerridae) show differences in prey capture and feeding behavior [72].

4.2. Codiversification between Water Striders and Their Microbiome

Our phylogenetic analyses showed that some of the most abundant bacterial taxa were uniquely associated with different water strider species (Figure 5). In addition, it appears that some closely related species of water striders also host closely related bacterial microbiome (Figure 5). This was particularly evident for some bacterial taxa such as Actinobacteria (sp.1 and sp.2), Geobacillus and Wolbachia, which were hosted by P. horvathi and P. tridentatus (Figure 5). The results are consistent with recent studies showing strong associations between host phylogenetic divergence and phylogenetic divergence of the associated microbiome in several taxa, including humans [72,73], mice [74], birds [75], lizards [76] and insects [77].
Thus, codiversification between hosts and associated microbiomes appears to be a common evolutionary consequence of host-microbiome interaction. This is a tantalizing possibility in water striders, given that they host a high diversity of bacterial taxa that are known to affect several aspects of the reproductive biology in insects—including reproductive isolation. For example, we found a high abundance of Wolbachia, which has been associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in other insect taxa [13,14,19,20].

Of particular interest are the genera Wolbachia and Spiroplasma which are common in insects [14,78,79], and are involved in a variety of functions [3,6]. This includes fecundity in some species of beetles (Family Curculionidae) [80,81], parthenogenesis [82], feminization [14,82,83], as well as cytoplasmic incompatibility [13,14]. Wolbachia has also been implicated in reproductive isolation and speciation in insects [78,84,85]. On the other hand, Spiroplasma is associated with male-killing [47,53,86]. Although more work is need to assess the evolutionary consequences of host-microbiome interaction in water striders, our results suggest the possibility that some bacterial taxa, such as Wolbachia and Spiroplasma, are involved in codiversification in water striders. Thus, future work should assess the diversity of these bacterial taxa in a larger number of water strider species. Experimental analyses are also necessary to confirm the potential role of these taxa in driving reproductive isolation.

5. Conclusions

In summary, our findings show that Neotropical water striders host a diverse bacterial community. Some of these bacterial taxa are uniquely associated with different water strider species, and these associations are likely influenced by both environmental context and host phylogenetic history. This suggests that diversification in water strider microbiomes is likely associated with host phylogenetic divergence. Assessing these associations is crucial to our understanding of the evolution host-microbiome interaction and its role in diversification and codiversification Neotropical freshwater organisms.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2075-4450/11/9/578/s1, Figure S1: Rarefaction curves of bacterial phylogenetic diversity (Faith’s PD, ±SE) associated with (A) our three sampling sites, and (B) six species of Neotropical water striders across the three sites. Raw sequence data and metadata are available at: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12855158.
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