The role of reverse mentoring in medical education: current insights

Antonia J Clarke¹
Annette Burgess²,³
Christie van Diggele²,³
Craig Mellis¹

¹Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney School of Medicine, Central Clinical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ²Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney School of Medicine, Education Office, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ³Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney School of Medicine, Sydney Health Education Research Network, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

Abstract: Reverse mentoring is a relatively contemporary concept that relies on the reversal of the traditional roles of mentor and mentee and the abolition of the mentorship model as an apprenticeship or hierarchy. Typically, a younger specialist takes on the role of mentor and an older, more experienced specialist the role of mentee. Reverse mentoring is founded in learning and social theories of mentorship and has been practically applied in information technology, business and education fields. However, there is a role for reverse mentoring in medical education and the health sciences, particularly with the inclusion of new technologies in a changing health landscape, and the emphasis on interdisciplinary teamwork and improved workplace culture. Further investigation and analysis of reverse mentoring is warranted, with a particular focus on the implementation of the reverse mentor model in the field of medical education and the health sciences. To assist those considering implementation of mentorship programs in their workplace, this article provides an overview of recent literature, with suggested applications of “reverse mentoring” in the medical education context.
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Introduction

The term “mentorship” is derived from ancient times, first mentioned in Homer’s “Odyssey”. When the King of Ithaca, Odysseus, went to fight in the Trojan War, he entrusted the care of his kingdom and family to Mentor.¹ Mentor provided guidance, counsel and support to Telemachus, Odysseus’ son. Although mentorship is a concept that has long existed, it has only recently been formalized as playing a role crucial to both personal and professional development in medical education.² A variety of definitions of mentorship exist, reflecting the varied contexts in which mentoring is utilized. It is generally accepted, however, that mentorship relies on 1) reciprocity of the mentee–mentor relationship, 2) developmental benefits for the mentee’s career or work, and the mentor’s learning partnership and 3) consistent communication between the mentor and mentee.³

Traditionally, mentorship is considered a process where the mentor, an experienced person, guides the mentee in developing the knowledge and skills required in their professional development. This core concept of mentoring is applicable to a diversity of organizational contexts. Numerous styles of mentoring exist and extend from the classical model to cascading mentoring, group mentoring, network mentoring, spot mentoring and virtual mentoring.¹⁴ Most workplaces use traditional models...
The more experienced specialists are mentored by the less experienced specialists (sometimes, the “millennial”) and junior or mid-career level mentors are engaged with present and future technology. More inexperienced mentors learn from the more experienced specialists, who have extensive knowledge, skills, and experience in their field. Has the capacity to narrow the gap between different employee generations, builds social capital within the workplace, provides a two-way learning process; the mentor and mentee learn from each other, professional skills of mentoring are developed by the more junior mentor, and mentors are recognized for their expertise in particular areas, e.g., IT skills in literature searches, genetic analysis, clinician well-being.

The exchange of knowledge shifts away from the traditional longitudinal mentoring relationship to a focus on learning from the mentor’s specific technical or content expertise.

Theoretical underpinnings of reverse mentoring

Mentorship occurs as part of different theoretical frameworks that help guide the way it is developed and implemented. As outlined by Dominguez and Hager, traditional concepts of mentoring arise from three primary theoretical frameworks: developmental, learning, and social theories. Reverse mentoring, as a classical form of mentoring, arises more from social and learning theories of mentorship than developmental theory.

Developmental theory

Developmental theories of mentorship reflect an inherent hierarchic relationship between mentee and mentor within succeeding phases of an individual’s development. The mentor provides practical support during a mentee’s developmental transitions with effective mentoring relying on matching of academic and occupational strategies. The value is predominantly in the mentee’s personal growth. Examples of the application of developmental theory include peer-to-peer student mentoring and junior-senior faculty mentoring relationships.

Learning theory

There are a multitude of learning theories relating to mentorship. The concept of mentorship as a learning partnership is a common foundation for adult learning (andragogy), behaviorist, cognitivist and constructivist theories as well as transformative, action, and social learning theories. Within learning theories of mentorship, the mentor becomes a facilitator for a mentee’s personal progress. Andragogy theory emphasizes the facilitator role of the mentor, where both the mentor and mentee engage in a mutual learning process through self-reflection and critical thinking.

Box 1 Typical characteristics and benefits of reverse mentoring

- The more experienced specialists are mentored by the less experienced specialists (sometimes, the “millennial”)
- Junior or mid-career level mentors are engaged with present and future technology
- More inexperienced mentors learn from the more experienced specialists, who have extensive knowledge, skills, and experience in their field
- Has the capacity to narrow the gap between different employee generations
- Builds social capital within the workplace
- Provides a two-way learning process; the mentor and mentee learn from each other
- Professional skills of mentoring are developed by the more junior mentor
- Mentors are recognized for their expertise in particular areas, e.g., IT skills in literature searches, genetic analysis, clinician well-being

of mentoring aimed at facilitating learning and development of the mentee. With the advent of information technology (IT), followed by the engagement of millennials in the workplace, however, the potential in cross-generational mentorship is increasingly being recognized. This paper provides an overview of the recent literature to assist those considering implementation of mentoring programs in their workplace. In particular, we provide a focus on the new mentoring style of “reverse mentoring” and consider this in the context of medical education.

Reverse mentoring

Reverse mentoring relies on the reversal of the traditional roles of mentor and mentee and the abolition of the mentorship model as an apprenticeship or hierarchy. Ziegler expands the definition of reverse mentoring, outlining the “reciprocal and temporally stable relationship between a less experienced mentor providing specific expert knowledge and a more experienced mentee who wants to gain this knowledge”. The mentor fulfills the traditional role of advice-giver, providing new insight regarding ideas or concepts to the mentee. Crucially, as outlined by Zauchner-Studnicka, reverse mentoring “aims at both the development of the mentors and the mentees”.

There are unique characteristics of the reverse mentoring relationship. First, the partner status of both parties is different from conventional mentoring roles; a more junior specialist takes on the role of mentor and a generally older, more experienced specialist the role of mentee. The mentor provides advice to the mentee, and the mentee gives the mentor a new insight to stimulate growth and reflection in the mentor. Importantly, the reverse mentoring relationship is characterized by a commitment to a shared goal of mutual learning and collaboration. The exchange of knowledge shifts away from the traditional longitudinal mentoring relationship to a focus on learning from the mentor’s specific technical or content expertise. This final characteristic of reverse mentoring reflects its origins in learning and social theories of mentorship. The typical characteristics and benefits of reverse mentoring are summarized in Box 1.
discussion of past experiences and social roles. Similarly, transformative learning theory advocates for active discussion and critical thinking between mentor and mentee to change perspectives on work and identity. The process of mutual development is also seen in social learning theory, which focuses on the process of learning through imitation. Taken together, learning theories of mentorship emphasize the role of the mentee as an active co-construct of development. The basis of reverse mentoring therefore arises from the notion of an involved and contributory mentee.

Social theory
Social theories of mentorship shift the focus from dyadic relationships to a networking perspective with mutual benefits. Social theories of mentorship include socialization, human/social capital, social exchange and social network theories, as well as communities of practice. Within these theories, the mentee is an active contributor to the mentor relationship. In particular, social exchange theory and communities of practice emphasize the importance of information and knowledge exchange within the relationship. Social exchange theory suggests that a successful mentorship relationship arises when there is a clear cost-benefit to both parties, based on the possession of valued characteristics. The concept of communities of practice regards mentorship as a knowledge network where mentoring is more a partnership than apprenticeship. Mentees enter multiple communities with their own set of skills and knowledge, which allow them to contribute as a mentor in one field and learn as a novice in another. Within the educational field, students can bring up-to-date knowledge to the community, while senior colleagues provide traditional guidance with respect to community roles. The construction of mentorship in this way reflects the core foundation of reverse mentoring.

Practical applications of reverse mentoring
The origins of reverse mentoring lie in business and IT sectors and reflect the need for employees to stay current in the workplace. It is particularly utilized in the IT industry where younger generations can advise on the latest in technological advancements. Reverse mentoring is not limited, however, to fields of IT or business. There are examples of the concept in education, economic theories, language skills and innovative ideas. It has become “best practice” for several large corporations including Dell, Procter and Gamble and Time Warner. It can be particularly useful in workplaces that are frequently exposed to the rapid transformation of technology, including social media, such as the public relations industry.

Differences within these contextual arrangements relate to the formulation of the mentor–mentee relationship. In the IT industry, the younger specialist (mentor) provides his or her experience with a new technology to the older mentee, in line with the mission of traditional mentoring relationships. Reverse mentoring in education is less strictly defined and relies more on a duality of roles; learning is a two-way street or “boomerang”. Reverse mentoring in education is therefore not limited to a younger–older interaction. With a focus on specific, content-driven relationships it is important to recognize that reverse mentoring is differentiated from the scenario where a specialist approaches a more junior colleague for assistance with a single issue. There is an established relationship characterized by the mutual exchange of knowledge that continues beyond the static episode. Table 1 provides examples of features that differentiate reverse mentoring from traditional mentoring.

There is a lack of research in the field of health sciences, including academic medicine, relating to reverse mentoring. The benefits of traditional mentoring for mentors have been reported previously. A pilot study by Cotugna and Vickery outlined the benefits of reverse mentoring amongst dietitian students assigned older professionals with limited experience in accessing the internet. There is a strong argument for further empiric research in the field of reverse mentoring within the health sciences. Like education, clinical and academic fields of the health sciences are less clearly defined by a younger–older dynamic. However, in traditional forms of mentoring, it is suggested that for both academic and clinical mentoring relationships, the mentor should be one career stage above a mentee in order to provide the mentee with appropriate research and professional guidance.

Attributes and behaviors of the mentee and mentor in reverse mentoring
The unique structure and purpose of the reverse mentoring relationship require a re-evaluation of the attributes and behaviors required by the mentee and mentor. Tables 2 and 3 below outline the qualities required for successful reverse mentorship.
Is there a role for reverse mentoring in medicine and health education?

The concept of reverse mentoring is particularly relevant within medical education and the health sciences. For example, the flipped classroom and social media for learning are growing within medical education. The key to enhancing student engagement may be in learning from millennials and gaining skills from early career staff. In particular, the advent of new technologies, including e-learning modules, apps, podcasts and online forums, and electronic databases places more junior colleagues at the forefront of an advancing healthcare landscape. Traditional pillars of healthcare are being re-written with the inclusion of new technologies that change the understanding of information exchange as well as core concepts of privacy. For example, the transition to online health records highlights the need for more experienced specialists to be up to date with new technologies in order to access patient information, prescribe medications and...

Table 1 Examples of features that differentiate reverse mentoring from traditional mentoring

| Traditional mentoring | Reverse mentoring |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| **Length of mentoring relationship** | |
| • Usually longitudinal relationships | • Shorter, specific content-driven relationships |
| **Who mentors who** | |
| • More experienced specialist mentors the less experienced specialists | • Less experienced specialist mentors the more experienced specialist |
| **Subject areas and nature of guidance** | |
| • Broader, holistic mentoring, eg, career, professionalism, research, work/life balance | • Often targeted mentoring to improve specific knowledge, eg, e-medicine, use of apps, literature searching, clinician well-being |
| • Mentor fulfills nurturing role | • Mentee can provide nurturing role, behavior to emulate |
| • Navigating institutions, warning of pitfalls | • Mentor provides a new perspective |

Table 2 Attributes and behaviors of effective mentors in reverse mentoring

| Attributes | Behaviors |
|------------|-----------|
| • Patience required in teaching new concepts (eg, genetics, online modules) | • Respects and acknowledges the expertise of the mentee |
| • Generous with their time | • Understands that the mentee may have limited time, and extensive responsibilities (eg, clinical service, health administration) |
| • Enthusiastic in their area of expertise | • Encourages the formation of joint learning objectives and skills outcomes |
| • Responsive to the needs of the mentee | • Provides a comfortable learning environment |
| • Honest and professional in their feedback | • Identifies the resources needed to achieve set goals |
| • Clear and effective communication skills | • Provides opportunities for the mentee to assess their own needs and progress |
| • Values ongoing learning | • Actively listens to the needs of the mentee to improve cross-generational communication |
| • Willingness to share their knowledge and experience | |

Table 3 Attributes and behaviors of effective mentees in reverse mentoring

| Attributes | Behaviors |
|------------|-----------|
| • Open to learning new concepts | • Shows respect for the skills of the mentor |
| • An ability to be taught by those younger, remember that you are the “mentee” | • Articulates their needs clearly to the mentor |
| • Ability to receiving honest feedback | • May require practice and review to improve specific skills |
| • Proactive in identifying specific needs | • Affective-based learning, allowing attitudinal changes |
| • Communication skills | |
communicate with other clinicians. Less experienced clinicians have a role to play in teaching understanding of these technological developments.

The role of early career clinicians in the reverse mentoring relationship is not limited to the field of technology, however. As outlined by Robinson, junior health professionals can help to drive a workplace culture where “concerns can be raised and learning thrives”. Trainees and junior health professionals can provide new perspectives on issues such as clinician health and well-being and exemplify a non-discriminatory approach to patients. In this way, reverse mentoring can assist with the breakdown of the traditionally regimented and hierarchical structure of medicine. In particular, reverse mentoring between senior clinicians and more junior staff from ethnic minority backgrounds can aid in the breakdown of cultural biases and stereotypes, improving diversity. At a societal level, early career staff can enter debate and provide insight into the changing role of healthcare within communities.

Additionally, there is a current emphasis on interdisciplinary teamwork. With increasingly complex healthcare systems, members of the health service delivery team need to collaborate more closely with each other to improve patient safety. Reverse mentoring has the potential to provide a useful means to learn about aspects of others’ professions without placing an additional burden on senior clinical staff. Early career staff (resident medical officers, junior physiotherapists, speech therapists, pharmacists and dentists) can provide relevant insights into the organizational structure and learning models of their particular fields and the knowledge, skills and values that are held within their professions. Within medical education, staff usually work across multiple institutions, including hospitals, research centers and universities – here, reverse mentoring affords the opportunity for early career staff, who may have more time, to provide unique insights into other domains.

Reverse mentoring in medical education may also be useful in career transitions across these domains. For example, senior clinicians, who are experts in their medical field, may move into teaching and research roles within medical education. The millennials already working in medical education may provide a closer connection to current trends in the field, have more time, and have a greater enthusiasm than the more experienced medical educationalists. In some regards, reverse mentorship emphasizes the need for continual professional development, where one identifies the gaps in their own knowledge, and seeks to improve specific knowledge and skills.

Introducing reverse mentoring in medical education

The authors (a neurology advanced trainee (AC), two educationalists (AB and CVD) and a pediatric respiratory consultant (CM) all experienced in working across the university and hospital sectors) posit that given the increased use of IT in medical education, there is a place for reverse mentoring in medical education and the health sciences. Often there is a lack of formal relationship between hospital, university and research institutions. Engagement in a reverse mentoring program provides a formal avenue for staff to move between their interests. The development of such a program requires communication and cooperation between senior leaders of all institutions, as well as leadership and input from early career staff to establish a program that functions across institutions, as outlined in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Flowchart illustrating the activities and input required for a reverse mentorship program.
Benefits of reverse mentoring
The benefits of mentorship are widespread and have been evaluated specifically in the field of health sciences. In particular, mentorship is linked to significant mentee benefits, including facilitating specialty choice, career advancement, as well as productivity. The presence of a mentor is associated with higher reports of career satisfaction. Mentoring, in its traditional sense, also holds benefits for the mentor. Through discussion and collaboration, mentors are given the opportunity to share their wisdom and experience, leading to a sense of personal fulfillment and a renewed sense of awareness of their own professional skills and workplace practices. Similarly, a reverse mentoring relationship holds benefits for both parties.

Benefits for mentors
For a less experienced employee in the role of mentor, the benefits relate predominantly to the development of professional skills, improved organizational culture through the opening of communication lines, and enhanced clinical and academic opportunities due to the exchange of new knowledge. The opportunity to work closely with a more experienced colleague facilitates the development of skills necessary to be a good mentor. The mentor can develop his or her professional skills in tandem with the provision of a new set of ideas or knowledge to the mentee. The exchange of ideas can aid in the development of cross-generational leadership, important in a changing healthcare landscape. Thus, a portion of the knowledge sharing provided by mentees fulfills the traditional concept of mentorship. Indeed, Lee et al propose that mentees have an obligation to “return on investment”. In the case of reverse mentoring, the return on investment includes the sharing of experience and “role modeling” the professional skills necessary for the development of less experienced colleagues. For example, within medicine, role modeling by clinicians plays a key role in shaping trainees’ and students’ professional competencies, values and attitudes. In the reverse mentorship relationship, clinical skills and knowledge, teaching skills and personal qualities are areas that may be exhibited by the more experienced mentee, and emulated by the less experienced mentor.

For less experienced mentors, the opportunity to engage in a relationship that is more partnership than apprenticeship facilitates open communication. In the traditionally hierarchical field of health sciences, discussion and exchange of ideas can contribute to a mentor’s feeling of empowerment and job satisfaction. Previous studies in traditional mentorship in clinical medicine describe junior employees being afraid to approach a senior colleague about mentorship. The abrogation of traditional learner-teacher models can facilitate an improved organizational culture and workplace. The mentor may feel more like a peer than a junior colleague, an important motivator for millennial employees. Carrying this forward, reverse mentoring can extend mentors social capital, improving access to the resources of others.

The engagement of reverse mentors may guide research selection and involvement in new directions. In traditional mentoring relationships, the mentor acts as a “sponsor” for the mentee. As a sponsor, the mentor gives and shares opportunities in research and provides constructive critique to guide maturity in the research product. In contrast, reverse mentors, armed with new knowledge at the forefront of innovation, may play a more partisan role in the development of ideas. In turn, this can drive a more collaborative and productive mentoring relationship in the field of education and research. Table 4 summarizes the potential benefits for mentors in reverse mentoring.

Benefits for mentees
The more experienced mentee in a reverse mentoring relationship stands to gain benefits, predominantly an exposure to the newest content or technical skills, as well as an enhancement of existing leadership skills and renewed enthusiasm.

It has long been accepted that mentoring is a “two-way street”. A more experienced mentee stands to gain tangible benefits from a successful reverse mentorship. These include improved research output and the inclusion of new ideas in the mentee’s work. Mentees may also gain insight into other fields within the healthcare spectrum. In an era dominated by advances in IT, mentees can also benefit from a renewed understanding of practical skills in clinical medicine, particularly the increasing role of e-medicine and online learning tools.

Table 4 Potential benefits for mentors in reverse mentoring

- Increased job satisfaction
- Learning a new professional skill
- Access and links to a wider network, which may include those in leadership roles
- Practice in providing honest feedback to senior colleagues
- Greater opportunities to be included in research
- Opportunity to role model from leaders with qualities you admire
For more experienced mentees, engagement in reverse mentoring can cultivate existing leadership skills, enhancing communication across generations in the workplace. Mentees stand to gain improved social capital through increased intra-organizational support and knowledge exchange. Additionally, Tobin argues that the gain of “youthful energy” from an engaged and contributory young person is a “major benefit” of mentorship. Talented, albeit less experienced, mentors can bring new ideas and renewed enthusiasm to a mentee’s existing work. This may renew interest in a more experienced mentee’s personal career. The potential benefits for mentees in reverse mentoring are summarized in Table 5.

Disadvantages of reverse mentoring
The disadvantages of reverse mentoring lie in the foundation of the construct. The mentor as a younger or less experienced contributor to the relationship may be plagued by a lack of confidence and experience. This reflects a traditional imbalance in the concepts of power and may be exacerbated by the overlapping roles between mentor and mentee in a reverse mentorship.

In traditional concepts of mentorship, there is an inherent imbalance of power between mentee and mentor which is well recognized, particularly in the traditionally hierarchical fields of academic and clinical medicine. Reverse mentoring aims to eliminate these traditional roles by elevating the less experienced colleague to the role of mentor. By contributing new and innovative knowledge to the relationship, the mentor’s status is raised, and the mentor and mentee are considered equals and learn from each other. However, the mentor may lack prior experience in the role of mentor, limiting his or her ability to fulfill this role adequately. Where mentors lack confidence in their interaction, information exchange is curtailed. In order to overcome this potential limitation, reverse mentoring relationships must be characterized by mutuality, reciprocity and mutual respect.

The view of reverse mentorship as a community of practice, characterized by the sharing of knowledge, can lead to a lack of clarity in the role of the mentor and mentee. Overlapping roles can blur the boundaries of the traditional mentor–mentee exchange. Traditional mentoring relationships have typically advocated for self-identification of mentors by mentees, rather than the formal assigning of mentors. There is a lack of literature regarding the most useful way to assign roles in a reverse mentoring relationship, and whether the engagement of mentors and mentees would also benefit from self-identification.

Conclusion
The importance of mentoring in medical education and the health sciences is well documented. However, as outlined by Sambunjak et al, there is an absence of experimental research about mentorship, particularly with regards to theoretical and conceptual perspectives on mentorship. Sheri et al advocate for further longitudinal reviews of mentoring efficacy. In particular, the relatively contemporary concept of reverse mentoring stands to benefit from further research. In a changing healthcare and medical education landscape, the role of junior colleagues as mentors will increase, particularly with the advent of concepts such as electronic health data and online platforms, and as improved interactions within the workplace and across disciplines are emphasized. More senior colleagues can benefit from their junior colleagues’ enhanced understanding of these concepts and involvement in new research. There has been some exploration of these benefits in the IT, business and educational literature. Further investigation and analysis of reverse mentoring in the field of medical education and health sciences is warranted. Research should focus on the role of the mentor and content of mentorship, including the assigning of mentors, as well as adverse outcomes of mentorship.
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