Incidence of osteoporosis and ambient air pollution in South Korea: a population-based retrospective cohort study
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Abstract

Background: This study investigated the associations between exposure to ambient air pollutants and the incidence of osteoporosis using the Korean National Insurance Service–National Sample Cohort.

Methods: This nationwide, population-based, retrospective cohort study included 237,149 adults aged ≥40 years that did not have a diagnosis of osteoporosis at baseline between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2015. Osteoporosis was defined as claim codes and prescriptions of bisphosphonates or selective estrogen receptor modulators at least twice annually. After matching values for PM 10, NO2, CO, and SO2 during the 2002–2015 time period and PM 2.5 in 2015 with residential areas, the incidence of osteoporosis was analyzed using a Cox proportional hazards regression model according to the quartile of average yearly concentrations of pollutants.

Results: Overall 22.2% of the study subjects, 52,601 (male: 5.6%, female: 37.6%) adults in total, were newly diagnosed with osteoporosis and treated. Exposure to PM 10 was positively associated with incidence of osteoporosis (Q4: 1798 per 100,000 person-years vs. Q1: 1655 per 100,000 person-years). The adjusted hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) of Q4 in PM 10 was 1.034 (1.009–1.062). The effect of PM 10 on osteoporosis incidence was distinct in females (adjusted sub-HR: 1.065, 95% CI: 1.003–1.129), subjects aged < 65 years (adjusted sub-HR: 1.040, 95% CI: 1.010–1.072), and for residents in areas with low urbanization (adjusted sub-HR: 1.052, 95% CI: 1.019–1.087). However, there was no increase in osteoporosis based on exposure to NO2, CO, SO2, or PM 2.5.

Conclusions: Long-term exposure to PM 10 was associated with newly diagnosed osteoporosis in Korean adults aged ≥40 years. This finding can aid in policy-making that is directed to control air pollution as a risk factor for bone health.
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Background

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by decreased bone mass and deteriorated bone architecture [1]. Osteoporosis is expected to progress continuously with age. A Korean longitudinal 12-year follow-up study reported that the prevalence of osteoporosis increased from 48.4 to 66.1% [2]. In 2008, the proportion of patients with osteoporosis who were aged over 50 years was 20.7% (6.1% among men and 33.3% among women) in the Korean general population. In 2008–2011, the associated health costs increased from USD 3.98 billion to USD 5.13 billion, with an annual increase of 9.2% [3, 4]. Osteoporosis also can lead to fragility fractures, resulting in poor health-related quality of life, high risk of mortality, and increased medical costs [5, 6].
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Some of the well-known risk factors for osteoporosis are malnutrition, lifestyle, medications such as corticosteroids, and inherent characteristics such as old age and female sex [7]. Exposure to ambient air pollution, which includes particulate matter (PM) ≤10 μm (PM10) and ≤2.5 μm (PM2.5) in size, nitrogen dioxide (NO2), carbon monoxide (CO), and sulfur dioxide (SO2), has been recognized as a serious medical issue. Several studies have shown a relationship between air pollution and osteoporosis [8–12]. Ten-year exposure to CO and NO2 in 36,608 Taiwanese individuals and to PM and NO2 in 8033 Chinese rural populations was reported to increase the risk of osteoporosis [8, 9]. However, the associations between air pollutants and the incidence of osteoporosis were heterogeneous according to age, sex, exposure duration, or bone health indicators [10, 11]. In the Oslo Health Study from 2000 to 2001, osteoporosis was not associated with air pollution in women and men 59 to 60 years of age [10]. Air pollution was associated with vitamin D deficiency but not with bone-turnover markers in serum of Tehran adolescents [11]. Long-term exposure to PM2.5 and PM10 among 590 Norwegian older men increased the risk of decreased bone mineral density (BMD) [12]. However, the associations in different ages or women are unknown because the study only evaluated men born between 1924 and 1925. Therefore, there is a need for nationwide population-based studies that include the general population.

This study aimed to determine the association between long-term exposure to air pollutants and newly diagnosed osteoporosis using a national database.

Methods

Data sources

This was a nationwide, population-based, retrospective, cohort study that used data from the Korean Health Insurance Service (NHIS)–National Sample Cohort (NHIS–NSC). The mandatory social National Health Insurance Service includes almost the entire Korean population (97.2%, approximately 50 million individuals). For this study, we used NHIS–NSC data with a systematic five-digit codes that were designated as "Do," "Si," "Gu," and "Gun" were used to match the location of the air pollution surveillance stations. We calculated the quartile of average yearly concentrations of all pollutants. PM10 and PM2.5 were measured using a β-ray attenuation system (PM – 711D, DONGIL GREENSYS, Seoul, Korea). NO2 was measured using a chemiluminescence instrument (CM2041, APM ENGINEERING CO., LTD, Gyeonggi-do, Korea). CO was measured using a non-dispersive infrared sensor (ZKJ, DONGIL GREENSYS, Seoul, Korea). SO2 was measured using an ultraviolet (UV) fluorescence system (CM2050, APM ENGINEERING CO., LTD, Gyeonggi-do, Korea). Measurements of all air pollutants were performed according to the standard operating procedure of the Korean Air Pollutants Emission Service of the National Institute of Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Codes. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Konkuk University Medical Center, Seoul, Korea (KUH 2019–05-017).

Study participants

We included 246,885 participants after excluding those younger than 40 years in the NHIS–NSC data in 2002 (n = 500,643), those with a residence change during 2002–2015 (n = 149,564), and those determined to be ineligible due to factors such as death or emigration (n = 128,248), because the NHIS–NSC replaces disqualified people with infants. A total of 237,149 individuals was included in the final analysis after excluding participants with missing data on income level (n = 5712) and those who had an osteoporosis diagnosis in 2002 (n = 4024) (Fig. 1).

Index date was defined as the date of the first diagnosis of osteoporosis. Osteoporosis was defined as a confirmed diagnosis by a physician (ICD-10; M80–M82) and subsequent treatment with either bisphosphonate such as alendronate, risedronate, ibandronate, or zoledronate or selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) such as raloxifene or bazedoxifene, at least twice annually between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2015.

Air pollutant variables

We determined the average concentrations of PM10, NO2, CO, and SO2 measured every hour from 2002 to 2015, at the Korean Air Pollutants Emission Service. Because PM2.5 has been measured in Korea since 2015, we used the average concentration in 2015. The air pollutants were measured at 268 nationwide surveillance stations, which cover most living areas of the South Korean population, except mountains or green areas. Residential five-digit codes that were designated as “Si,” “Do,” “Gun,” and “Gu” were used to match the location of the air pollution surveillance stations. We calculated the quartile of average yearly concentrations of all pollutants.
Environmental Research (Incheon, South Korea). The levels of air pollutants and data for meteorological parameters (2003–2015), including annual average temperature, total rainfall, and wind speed, are presented in Table 1.

Other variables
Age was calculated from the participant’s birth year until 2002. Insurance units were divided into 11 categories (10: national health insurance, one: medical aid) based on income status and was divided into two groups (low: 1–5 and medical aid, high: 6–10) and considered as income divisions. The changes in average health insurance level were negligible during the study period [13]. The residential area was classified into two groups for subgroup analysis: 1) Seoul and the six biggest cities were classified as “high urbanization” areas, and 2) other areas were classified as “less urbanization.”

Table 1 Air pollutants and meteorological data

| Variables                  | Mean  | SD   | IQR  | Percentiles                        |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|------------------------------------|
|                            |       |      |      | Minimum   | 25th | 50th | 75th | Maximum |
| Air pollution              |       |      |      |          |      |      |      |         |
| PM_{2.5}, μg/m³            | 23.1  | 4.4  | 7.0  | 16.0      | 20.3 | 22.0 | 27.3 | 30.0    |
| PM_{10}, μg/m³             | 53.0  | 4.9  | 9.1  | 44.8      | 48.5 | 52.4 | 57.6 | 61.0    |
| CO, 10 ppm                 | 5.9   | 0.7  | 1.1  | 5.2       | 5.3  | 5.6  | 6.4  | 7.0     |
| SO_{2}, ppb                | 5.7   | 0.5  | 0.7  | 4.7       | 5.3  | 5.7  | 6.0  | 6.4     |
| NO_{2}, ppb                | 25.3  | 1.9  | 2.1  | 23.0      | 24.0 | 25.0 | 26.1 | 29.1    |
| Annual average weather conditions |     |      |      |          |      |      |      |         |
| Temperature, °C            | 12.8  | 0.6  | 1.1  | 12.0      | 12.2 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 13.6    |
| Rainfall, mm               | 1486  | 395  | 444  | 792       | 1320 | 1451 | 1764 | 2044    |
| Wind speed, m/s            | 2.48  | 0.24 | 0.3  | 2.0       | 2.4  | 2.45 | 2.7  | 2.8     |

SD: Standard deviation, IQR: Interquartile range. Levels of particulate matter < 10 μm (PM_{10}), sulfur dioxide (SO_{2}), nitrogen dioxide (NO_{2}), and carbon monoxide (CO) were measured between 2002 and 2015. Particulate matter < 2.5 μm (PM_{2.5}) was measured in 2015. Temperature, rainfall, and wind speed were measured between 2003 and 2015. Korea Meteorological Administration, Seoul, Korea (https://data.kma.go.kr/climate/extremum/selectExtremumList.do?pgmNo=103)
significant comorbidities related to osteoporosis were surveyed based on physician diagnosis before the first diagnosis of osteoporosis using ICD-10 codes: peptic ulcer disease (K25), diabetes mellitus (E10–E14), cerebrovascular disease (I63, I64), peripheral vascular disease (I73), chronic pulmonary disease (J44), liver disease (K74), hemiplegia (G81–G83), and chronic kidney disease (N18). Mental disorders were classified as sensitive information and masked (F*). Therefore, based on the sensitive and masked information, mental disorders could not be differentiated in detail.

Statistical analyses
Continuous variables are presented as mean with standard deviation, and categorical variables are presented as number and percentage. We compared the characteristics of study subjects between the osteoporosis and non-osteoporosis groups using the t-test and chi-square test. We calculated the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for newly diagnosed osteoporosis cases using the Cox proportional hazard regression model after adjusting for age, sex, income, urbanization, comorbidities, and meteorological data. We also calculated p-interaction values to compare the subgroup-HR for age (divided by 65 years), sex (male and female), and urbanization (high: Seoul and the six biggest cities in Korea, and low: the other areas) to find an effect modification of these risk factors by adding an interaction term (level of risk factor [age, sex, and residence] X presence of osteoporosis). All analyses were performed using SAS software 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results
The characteristics of the 237,149 subjects enrolled in this study are shown in Table 2. During the observation period, 52,601 patients with osteoporosis (22.2%) were newly diagnosed and treated. The incidence rate was 5.6% in males and 37.6% in females. The osteoporosis patient group was older and had a higher proportion of females, less urbanization, and more comorbidities than the non-osteoporosis group. However, there was no

| Table 2 Baseline characteristics (n = 237,149) | No osteoporosis (n = 184,548) | Osteoporosis (n = 52,601) | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age, year | 50.1 ± 8.7 | 56.7 ± 9.6 | < 0.001 |
| Sex | < 0.001 |  |  |
| Male | 107,764 (94.4) | 6394 (5.6) |  |  |
| Female | 76,784 (62.4) | 46,207 (37.6) |  |  |
| Income levels |  |  | 0.824 |  |
| Lower | 58,269 (77.8) | 16,635 (22.2) |  |  |
| Upper | 126,279 (77.9) | 35,966 (22.1) |  |  |
| Urbanization |  | < 0.001 |  |  |
| High | 87,979 (79.5) | 22,723 (20.5) |  |  |
| Low | 96,569 (76.4) | 29,878 (23.6) |  |  |
| Peptic ulcer disease | 22,698 (12.3) | 10,236 (19.5) | < 0.001 |  |
| Diabetes mellitus | 20,930 (11.3) | 8,479 (16.1) | < 0.001 |  |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 3555 (1.93) | 1,988 (3.78) | < 0.001 |  |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 3146 (1.70) | 1,981 (3.77) | < 0.001 |  |
| Chronic pulmonary disease | 1727 (0.94) | 926 (1.76) | < 0.001 |  |
| Mental disorder | 1711 (0.93) | 1042 (1.98) | < 0.001 |  |
| Congestive heart failure | 790 (0.43) | 544 (1.03) | < 0.001 |  |
| Myocardial infarction | 513 (0.28) | 188 (0.36) | 0.003 |  |
| Malignancy | 391 (0.21) | 159 (0.30) | < 0.001 |  |
| Liver disease | 379 (0.21) | 131 (0.25) | < 0.001 |  |
| Hemiplegia | 354 (0.19) | 147 (0.28) | < 0.001 |  |
| Chronic kidney disease | 304 (0.16) | 101 (0.19) | 0.181 |  |

Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (percentage). P values were obtained by t test or chi-square test.
Table 3 shows the incidence rate per 100,000 person-years, HR, and 95% CI for newly diagnosed osteoporosis cases according to the levels of air pollutants. Higher concentrations of PM10 were associated with increased incidence of osteoporosis ($p = 0.021$), but the result was not dose dependent. The HRs (95% CI) of osteoporosis based on exposure to NO2 and SO2 increased, but a significant difference was not observed. No association was found between exposure to CO or PM2.5 and osteoporosis.

HRs and 95% confidence interval (CI) were obtained by the Cox-proportional hazard model after adjusting for age, sex, income levels, urbanization, comorbidities, and meteorological data.

Figure 2 shows the association between PM10 and newly diagnosed osteoporosis according to sex, age (65 years), and urbanization. We found an association between osteoporosis and quartile of PM10 in female subjects (adjusted sub-HR [Q4]: 1.065, 95% CI: 1.003–1.129), those aged < 65 years (adjusted sub-HR [Q2]: 1.040, 95% CI: 1.010–1.072), and those of low urbanization (adjusted sub-HR [Q4]: 1.052, 95% CI: 1.029–1.079).

Table 3 The hazard ratios (HRs) of osteoporosis incidence according to the levels of air pollutants.

| Quartile for air pollutants | Case/ participants | Annual incidence rate per 100,000 | Total (n = 237,149) | HR | 95% CI | P for trend |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----|--------|------------|
| PM10                        | Q1                | 12,805/59,520                   | 1655               | 1  | 1.007  | 0.838–1.201|
| Q2                          | 12,450/56,657     | 1690                            | 1.038 1.009 1.062  |
| Q3                          | 12,699/58,297     | 1682                            | 1.023 1.000 1.050  |
| Q4                          | 14,647/62,675     | 1798                            | 1.035 1.009 1.063  |
| NO2                         | Q1                | 11,270/56,710                   | 1529               | 1  | 0.984  | 0.953–1.018|
| Q2                          | 11,047/55,175     | 1540                            | 0.997 0.980 1.011  |
| Q3                          | 13,423/58,788     | 1756                            | 1.015 0.988 1.042  |
| Q4                          | 16,861/66,476     | 1951                            | 1.021 0.992 1.051  |
| SO2                         | Q1                | 12,542/57,916                   | 1666               | 1  | 1.000  | 0.973–1.029|
| Q2                          | 13,523/59,347     | 1753                            | 0.998 0.975 1.022  |
| Q3                          | 12,249/58,741     | 1604                            | 0.976 0.951 1.000  |
| Q4                          | 14,287/61,145     | 1797                            | 1.001 0.974 1.026  |
| CO                          | Q1                | 12,324/56,262                   | 1685               | 1  | 0.988  | 0.965–1.012|
| Q2                          | 13,856/61,355     | 1737                            | 1.001 0.977 1.026  |
| Q3                          | 14,176/62,220     | 1753                            | 1.007 0.981 1.034  |
| Q4                          | 12,245/57,312     | 1643                            | 0.998 0.971 1.023  |
| PM2.5                       | Q1                | 748/52,226                      | 1433               | 1  | 0.998  | 0.971–1.023|
| Q2                          | 718/51,355        | 1399                            | 0.993 0.965 1.022  |
| Q3                          | 957/68,450        | 1398                            | 0.983 0.956 1.010  |
| Q4                          | 984/65,118        | 1511                            | 1.007 0.985 1.029  |

Discussion

This nationwide, retrospective, population-based Korean cohort study used claims data and found that long-term exposure to PM10 was positively associated with incidence of osteoporosis. Notably, we confirmed that the effect of PM10 on newly diagnosed osteoporosis was more evident in females, subjects aged < 65 years, and those who resided in a non-metropolitan area (low urbanization). The association between air pollution and osteoporosis has not been studied widely because it has been considered an intrinsic factor [14]. However, this study confirmed that exposure to air pollutants might be an independent risk factor for osteoporosis in the general population.

Bones can serve as a reservoir for sequestration of air pollutants [14]. Therefore, pollutants directly can affect the bone and have an indirect effect through decreased vitamin D level, decreased osteoblasts, and increased osteoclasts [14]. Air pollution can absorb Ultraviolet B (UVB) photons that can restrict the amount of solar UVB radiation reaching the Earth’s surface. Air pollution also diminishes the cutaneous photosynthesis of vitamin D by elevating parathyroid hormone levels, increasing bone resorption, and decreasing total body BMD [15, 16]. A low air quality index in Isfahan, Iran, was associated with reduced UVB radiation and vitamin D deficiency in young children despite the region having high sunlight exposure [17]. We confirmed that PM10 was the only significant source of air pollution related to incidence of osteoporosis in Korean adults aged ≥40 years. One report indicated that PM concentrations directly decreased the serum level of parathyroid hormone and BMD in 692 middle-aged men in the USA [18]. Our study could not show a causal relationship between PM10 and osteoporosis because we were not able to access individuals’ serum vitamin D or parathyroid hormone levels in the claims data; however, based on the results from previous studies, we hypothesize underlying mechanisms [15–18].

One important finding that often is neglected is consideration of differences for patients with chronic renal failure, which impacts vitamin D metabolism, although the result was not statistically significant [16, 19]. The effects of vitamin D metabolism on renal function cannot be concluded but also cannot be ruled out. One study reported that renal function was not a risk factor for osteoporosis after adjusting for age and other confounding factors in 776 postmenopausal Chinese women, similar to the results of our study [20]. Therefore, we can consider the independent role of vitamin D,
not through kidney dysfunction, in the association between exposure to air pollutants and incidence of osteoporosis. A cross-sectional US population-based study indicated that the parathyroid hormone levels did not differ according to amount of air pollution [11]. In addition, exposure to air pollutants can lead to increased systemic inflammation through specific pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, and IL-17, which affect osteoblast and osteoclast differentiation and function [21, 22]. An increase in oxidative damage with advancing age represents a general pathophysiological mechanism for age-related osteoporosis [23]. However, osteoporosis that results from exposure to air pollutants can increase further in those younger than 65 years due to frequent social engagements compared to older people aged 65 or older. Therefore, it is necessary to carefully interpret the mechanism for these findings based on further research on the association between air pollution and incidence of osteoporosis.

In this study, only PM10 among the surveyed pollutants showed an association with incidence of osteoporosis. There are two possible reasons for this association. First, an area-based approach, which assigned exposures at the level of participant community, county, postcode, or census tract, might not be suitable for evaluating the effects of NO2, SO2, and CO, which are affected by local sources and exhibit small-scale gradients in concentrations compared to that of PM, which has a relatively homogenous dispersion across space [24]. Second, the concentration of NO2 determined in our study might be too low to have a sufficient effect on incidence of osteoporosis. The NO2 level reported in the Taiwan study, which showed evidence of an association between NO2 level and osteoporosis incidence, was higher than the NO2 level determined in our study (highest quartile: > 9825.1 ppb in the Taiwan study vs. > 26.1 ppb in our study) [8].

PM2.5 has lower source contributions from soil dust and natural sources than does PM10. It also has higher source contributions from secondary aerosol sources due to atmospheric chemical reaction of gaseous pollutants such as SO2 and NO2, which are associated with greater health hazards [25]. However, PM2.5 showed no association with incidence of osteoporosis. This result is likely because the mean PM2.5 level was lower in this study than in the study in rural China that showed a correlation with incidence of osteoporosis (mean ± SD: 23.1 ± 4.4 μg/m³ vs. 72.1 ± 1.9 μg/m³) [9]. The reason for this finding might be a threshold effect at a low level of air pollutants or that the association between PM and osteoporosis might not be clear or the relationship might be non-linear particular cut-off values of air pollutants [26]. Furthermore, the results might not have reflected the regional deviation for that year because we only measured the average level of PM2.5 in 2015, and
observed the incidence of osteoporosis in the same period. Therefore, we cannot confirm if PM_{2.5} is associated with osteoporosis, and future research should reassess the associations for more than 1 year.

An increased risk of osteoporosis with higher air pollution levels was observed in female subjects, while there was no association in male subjects. Although little is known about the effect on sex in the association between air pollutants and osteoporosis, the reason for the difference between males and females is attributable to decreased estrogen level due to air pollutants can cause bone loss by upregulation of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL), osteoblast proliferation, or osteoprotegerin production [21]. In contrast with the results of this study, the Oslo Health Study showed no association between long-term exposure to PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} from 1992 to 2001 in women and negative associations in men aged 75 or 76 years [10]. Because our study was conducted and focused on the incidence of osteoporosis, the decreased estrogen effects caused by air pollutants might have a strong influence in menopausal women rather than women in their 70s, as reported in the Oslo Health Study. Furthermore, our study reported higher levels of PM_{10} and PM_{2.5} (53.0 μg/m^3 and 23.1 μg/m^3) than those reported in the Oslo Health Study (14.9 μg/m^3 and 12.5 μg/m^3). The effects of the different bone health indicators: self-reported forearm fracture and bone mineral density vs. osteoporosis diagnosis and treatment have to consider the associations between air pollution and osteoporosis incidence [10].

According to level of urbanization, bone health tends to be indicated by lifestyle differences in the amount and type of physical activity and dietary intake of calcium and vitamins. However, lifestyle might not influence BMD directly or relatively influence bone size [27]. Additionally, osteoporosis diagnoses have been confirmed earlier in rural areas than in urban areas [28]. We found a more hazardous effect of PM_{10} on osteoporosis incidence in less urbanized areas. The composition of air pollution caused by burning wood and coal in less urbanized areas should be controlled to compare according to urbanization level [9]. Furthermore, the levels of vitamin D in indoor/outdoor life and air pollutants should be considered when these results are interpreted.

This study reported the associations after adjusting for a wide range of comorbidities that contribute to osteoporosis. Peptic ulcer disease is an independent risk factor for osteoporosis regardless of calcium intake [29]. Additionally, chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease [30], diabetes mellitus [31], liver disease [32], musculoskeletal deformity [33], depression [34], and pulmonary disease [35], which had been diagnosed before osteoporosis and had similar mechanisms, were controlled. Therefore, PM_{10} can be understood as an independent risk factor for osteoporosis, although this study did not measure directly patient lifestyle or bodyweight.

This study had several limitations. First, we defined the osteoporosis group based on ICD-10 codes and prescription data and did not include BMD measurement, which is a marker for bone resorption or formation, or serum vitamin D level. Therefore, it is possible that the study subjects do not accurately reflect data for real patients [3, 4]. However, the prevalence of osteoporosis defined by BMD measurement in the last survey was similar to the results of this study [36]. Second, our data lacked information on the severity of osteoporosis and risk factors, including body mass index, diet pattern, estrogen replacement, smoking, alcohol consumption, education, and physical activity. This study was performed based on population groups and did not reflect individual factors. Additionally, the effects of meteorological variables on air pollution were important to consider because negative associations of temperature, wind speed, and humidity with PM_{10} have been confirmed [37]. Although the actual impacts of meteorological variables on air pollution are unclear in current long-term analysis because the relative changes are minimal [38], further studies considering various meteorological factors are needed. Third, individual concentrations of air pollution are not available to estimate the effect in the same administrative districts. Consequently, the air pollution level of an administrative district could be influenced by the adjacent sources of air pollution (e.g., transportation, industry). Therefore, this study has limitations that do not reflect individual exposure concentrations, and there are challenges with applying these data to interpolation analysis. Fourth, we targeted only newly diagnosed osteoporosis cases to assess the effects of air pollution and were not able to account for the associations between osteoporotic fracture, osteosarcopenia, and air pollution. Finally, it is important to consider biases that can arise from exclusion of subjects due to non-matching because of the location of air pollution surveillance stations and residence area. In spite of these limitations, this study contributed to the understanding and clinical considerations for bone health because there are only a limited number of studies on the relationship between air pollution and osteoporosis in the general population with long-term exposure to PM_{10}, NO_{2}, SO_{2}, and CO.

In conclusion, we found an association between long-term exposure to PM_{10} and newly diagnosed osteoporosis in Korean adults aged ≥40 years using nationwide population-based data and air pollution measurements from nationwide surveillance stations. The association between exposure to PM_{10} and incidence of osteoporosis
differed according to participant characteristics. However, PM_{2.5}, NO\textsubscript{2}, CO, and SO\textsubscript{2} did not affect the incidence of osteoporosis. The development of environmental policies to control air pollution can improve human health, including bone health. Further studies are warranted to identify the associations of severity of osteoporosis with treatment effect and air pollution, considering individual confounding factors.
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