Band Narrowing and Mott Localization in Iron Oxychalcogenides La$_2$O$_2$Fe$_2$O(Se,S)$_2$
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Bad metal properties have motivated a description of the parent iron pnictides as correlated metals on the verge of Mott localization. What has been unclear is whether interactions can push these and related compounds to the Mott insulating side of the phase diagram. Here we consider the iron oxychalcogenides La$_2$O$_2$Fe$_2$O(Se,S)$_2$, which contain an Fe square lattice with an expanded unit cell. We show theoretically that they contain enhanced correlation effects through band narrowing compared to LaOFeAs, and we provide experimental evidence that they are Mott insulators with moderate charge gaps. We also discuss the magnetic properties in terms of a Heisenberg model with frustrating $J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$ exchange interactions on a “doubled” checkerboard lattice.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 71.27.+a, 71.55.-i, 75.20.Hr

Introduction: Iron pnictides are the first non-copper-based compounds to exhibit high-$T_c$ superconductivity \cite{1,2}, and have therefore attracted considerable interest. How strong the electron correlations are in the parent iron pnictides is a subject of extensive current discussion. One viable description places these compounds at the boundary of itinerancy and Mott localization. The motivation for this incipient Mott picture comes from the observed bad metal properties \cite{3,4}, and from first-principles calculations \cite{5,6} and related \cite{7–9} considerations. Optical conductivity has in the meantime shown a sizable suppression of the Drude weight \cite{10,11}, as well as temperature-induced spectral-weight transfer going to energies of the eV range \cite{11,12,13,14}. Inelastic neutron scattering experiments have provided complementary support. They have not only observed zone-boundary high-energy spin waves \cite{13}, but also shown \cite{13,14} that the total (ordered plus fluctuating) spin spectral weight is, for instance, about 1.2 $\mu_B$/Fe in CaFe$_2$As$_2$. Such a large spin spectral weight implies that the low-energy spin degrees of freedom come from electronic excitations not only close to the Fermi energy but also far away from it. All these are defining properties of metals on the verge of Mott localization. To establish the incipient Mott picture, however, it is important to identify the Mott insulating part of the electronic phase diagram in the iron pnictides and related compounds.

Towards this end, we consider the iron oxychalcogenides La$_2$O$_2$Fe$_2$OSe$_2$ and La$_2$O$_2$Fe$_2$OS$_2$. These systems are built from stacking layered units La$_2$O$_2$ and Fe$_2$O(Se,S)$_2$. We will describe the Se case below, with the understanding that the S case is similar unless otherwise stated. Fig. 1 (left panel) shows the crystal structure with space group I4/mmmm as given in Ref. \cite{17}. Each Fe$_2$OSe$_2$ layer contains a square lattice of Fe ions, and this is the same as in an FeAs layer of LaOFeAs or an FeTe layer of the compound FeTe \cite{18,19}. In addition, Fe$^{2+}$ is the nominal valence as in the latter materials. The Fe$_2$OSe$_2$ layer is unique in that the Fe ions in each plaquette are alternatively linked by an in-plane oxygen ion and by two selenium ions buckled on the two sides of the Fe square lattice. The inter-atomic distances are $d_{Fe-Se} = 2.884$ Å, $d_{Fe-O} = 2.039$ Å, and $d_{Fe-Se} = 2.722$ Å.

An important observation is that Fe-square-lattice unit cell of La$_2$O$_2$Fe$_2$OSe$_2$ is slightly larger (by about 1%) than that of LaOFeAs and considerably larger than that of either FeTe (by over 6%) or FeSe (by over 8%) \cite{18,19}. This raises the possibility for a narrower bandwidth ($\times t$) and, correspondingly, a larger strength of the normalized electron correlation, $U/t$. We have further been motivated by the indication of antiferromagnetic ordering (AFM) in the early work of Mayer and co-workers \cite{17}.

Band narrowing: We have determined the electronic structure using the WIEN2k linearized augmented plane wave method \cite{20} based on density functional theory (DFT). A generalized gradient approximation (GGA) \cite{21} was used to treat exchange and correlation.

In Fig. 2 we plot the projected density of states (DOS). In both compounds, we find that the 3$d$ electrons on Fe contribute most to the DOS near the Fermi energy. The Fe $d$-electron DOS is mostly confined between $-2$ eV and $1.2$ eV. This represents considerable narrowing of the Fe $d$-electron bands compared to LaFeAsO, where it occurs between $-2.2$ eV and $2$ eV \cite{22}. (There are also some differences between the two systems in the DFT-derived DOS near the Fermi energy, but the effective $U/t$ primarily depends on the overall 3$d$-electron bandwidth.) The results for La$_2$O$_2$Fe$_2$OS$_2$ (dashed line of Fig. 2a) are similar. A comparable degree of 3$d$-electron band narrowing exists when the iron oxychalcogenides are com-
pared to the iron chalcogenides FeTe and FeSe \(2\underline{2}\). 

**Mott insulating behavior:** The narrower Fe 3d electronic bands point to enhanced correlation effects. To explore this, we have synthesized these materials following a similar procedure to that taken in Ref. \[17\] and measured their transport and magnetic properties. Polycrystalline samples with nominal composition \(\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_2\) (M = S or Se) were prepared by conventional solid state reaction using high purity \(\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\), Fe and S (or Se) powder as starting materials. The samples were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) (right panel of Fig. 1) with Cu Kα radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å}\)) at room temperature.

The electrical resistivity as a function of temperature is shown in Fig. 2(a). For both M = Se and M = S, the behavior is insulating with activation energy gaps \(\{\text{cf. inset of Fig. 2(a)\}}\) of about 0.19 eV and 0.24 eV, respectively. Figure 2(b) shows the temperature dependence of the bulk magnetic susceptibility \(\chi(T)\), which exhibits a broad maximum around 120 K. The rapid decrease, at 93 K and 105 K, for M = Se and M = S respectively, is naturally ascribed to antiferromagnetic ordering in the Fe \(d\)-electron moments. At the lowest measured temperatures, \(\chi(T)\) shows a small increase for \(\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{Se}_2\) but a small decrease for \(\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_2\). This may be due to different impurities, whose amounts must be small as there is no trace of them in the XRD patterns.

The results provide evidence that both \(\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{Se}_2\) and \(\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_2\) are Mott insulators, \(i.e.\) correlation-induced insulators with low energy spin excitations that order antiferromagnetically at low temperatures.

**Magnetism:** To investigate the Mott insulating state, we extend our DFT calculations to the cases of magnetically ordered states using the GGA+U method. We consider seven magnetic ground states, the building blocks for which are illustrated in Fig. 3. Each crystalline unit cell consists of two layers of \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_2\text{Se}_2\), whose coordinating geometry is rotated with respect to each other by 90° along the \(c\)-axis. Correspondingly, the seven spin states are formed with the spin alignment as follows: FM ((a)+(a)), AFM1 ((b)+(b)), AFM2 ((c)+(a)), AFM3 ((d)+(a)), AFM4 ((e)+(e)), AFM5 ((f)+(f)), and AFM6 ((e)+(e)). The notation ((spin1)+(spin2)) represents only the spin configuration rather than the underlying atomic configuration within each layer and the symbol \(\langle e\rangle\) represents the spin configuration obtained by rotating the configuration (e) in Fig. 3 by 90°. The energy differences between these spin ordered states for various on-site Hubbard interaction \(U\) are summarized in Table 1. (None of the magnetically-ordered states at \(U = 0\) has a gap in the DOS at the Fermi energy. Within GGA+U, an insulating gap does develop for sufficiently large value of \(U\), for some of the magnetic ordering patterns.) Based on considerations similar to the FeAs case \(3\underline{26}\underline{28}\), we model the spin Hamiltonian in terms of nearest-neighbor (n.n.) exchange interaction \(J_1\) and the next-nearest-neighbor (n.n.n.) exchange interaction across an Fe-square plaquette with two buckled Se ions \(J_2\) and the n.n.n. interaction across an Fe-square plaquette containing the O-ion \(J_2'\). Even though the spin system is ex-
nian is board lattice shown in Fig. 5(a). The classical Hamiltonian defined on the two-dimensional doubled checkerboard lattice for \( \mathbf{O} \) atoms can be extracted by fitting the ground-state energy over two sets of inter-penetrating plaquettes shown for \( \mathbf{O}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{Fe}_2 \) atoms. The three open points, measured at 2 K, 50 K, and 150 K, respectively, are determined from the slope of the M(H) curves.

**FIG. 3:** (Color online) (a) The electrical resistivity (\( \rho \)) vs. temperature (\( T \)) for \( \mathbf{La}_2 \mathbf{O}_2 \mathbf{Fe}_2 \mathbf{OSe}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{La}_2 \mathbf{O}_2 \mathbf{Fe}_2 \mathbf{Se}_2 \). Inset: \( \ln \rho \) vs. \( 1/T \); (b) The temperature dependence of susceptibility, \( \chi \), measured at 3 Tesla. The three open points, measured at 2 K, 50 K, and 150 K, respectively, are determined from the slope of the M(H) curves.

**FIG. 4:** (Color online) Schematic representation of the spin building blocks for the magnetically ordered states of \( \mathbf{La}_2 \mathbf{O}_2 \mathbf{Fe}_2 \mathbf{OSe}_2 \). To be consistent with the effective model, only \( \mathbf{Fe} \) atoms are shown. The arrows represent the spin orientation. The black solid, green dashed, and blue solid lines stand for \( J_1 \), \( J_2 \), and \( J'_2 \) spin exchange paths.

Expected to be largely Heisenberg-type, the exchange parameters can be extracted by fitting the ground-state energies in terms of the Ising counterpart \[ H = -(J_2 + J'_2)N S^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=A,B} \sum_{u,v} (J_{1m}) \sum_{i=1}^4 (S_i)^2 + J_{2m}[\sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i + S_{i+3}^3)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 (S_i + S_{i+3}^3)^2], \] where \( J_{1A} = \alpha J_1, J_{1B} = (1-\alpha)J_1; J_{2A(B)} = J_2(J'_2) - J_{1A(B)} \) and the collinear (\( \pi, 0 \))/(0, \( \pi \)) ordered AFM [for \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( J_2 > \alpha J_1, J'_2 < (1-\alpha)J_1 \)] and the collinear (\( \pi, 0 \))/(0, \( \pi \)) ordered AFM [for \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( J_2 > \alpha J_1, J'_2 > (1-\alpha)J_1 \)] states. Unlike the square lattice \( J_1-J_2 \) model, there also exists a plaquette (\( \pi/2, \pi/2 \)) AFM phase, which is stable for \( J_2(J'_2) > J_1 \) and \( J_2(J'_2) < 0 \). The transition between any two of the four ordered regions in the phase diagram is first order. Interestingly, we find that along the red solid boundaries in Fig. 5(b), the model loses any long-range magnetic order since the ground-state is infinitely degenerate. Along this boundary, \( J_2 = J'_2 = 1/2 \) corresponds to the maximally frustrated point of the \( J_1-J_2 \) model, and \( J_2(J'_2) = 0 \) corresponds to the checkerboard AFM model. The phase diagram for \( J_1 < 0 \) can also be obtained by rotating all the spins in one sublattice of the underlying square lat-
tice (see Fig. 5(a)) $S_i \rightarrow -S_i$ and sending $J_1 \rightarrow -J_1$. It is similar to the one for $J_1 > 0$. The only difference is that the Néel ordered $(\pi, \pi)$ phase is replaced by the FM phase ordered at $(0,0)$.

The magnetic ground states expected from the exchange couplings given in Table II are marked in Fig. 5(b). For $U = 1.5$ eV and $U = 3.0$ eV, the ground state is the $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ plaquette AFM state, while for larger $U$, i.e., $U = 4.5$ eV, the standard $(\pi, \pi)$ Néel state is energetically favorable. Taking into account the stacking structure of the material, we see that these results are consistent with the DFT energies listed in Table I: the interstitial structure of the material, we see that these results are consistent with the DFT energies listed in Table I: the Mott insulating phase in systems closely related to the iron pnictides/chalcogenides possess too far away from Mott localization.

To summarize, we studied the iron oxychalcogenides $\text{La}_2\text{O}_2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}(\text{Se},\text{S})_2$, whose Fe-square lattice is expanded compared to that of the usually considered iron pnictides and chalcogenides. We have theoretically demonstrated that their Fe 3$d$-electron bands are narrower than the usual cases. The corresponding enhancement of correlation effects promotes the Mott insulating state, and this is demonstrated experimentally. Our demonstration of a Mott insulating phase in systems closely related to the iron pnictides extends the electronic behavior of these systems to a new regime. In addition, our results support the notion that the iron pnictides/chalcogenides possess intermediately strong electron correlations and are not too far away from Mott localization.
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**FIG. 5:** (Color online) (a): The doubled checkerboard lattice for the Hamiltonian defined in Eq. (I). It consists of two sets of inter-penetrating plaquettes, indicated as blue (A) and red (B) dashed squares. It can be decomposed into two (red and black circle) sublattices. (b): The $T = 0$ phase diagram. The occupied symbols mark the ground states with exchange couplings listed in Table II with $U = 1.5$ eV (square); $U = 3.0$ eV (triangle); $U = 4.5$ eV (circle).