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Abstract

Motivated by the maximal subgroup problem of the finite classical groups we begin the classification of imprimitive irreducible modules of finite quasisimple groups over algebraically closed fields $K$. A module of a group $G$ over $K$ is imprimitive, if it is induced from a module of a proper subgroup of $G$.

We obtain our strongest results when $\text{char}(K) = 0$, although much of our analysis carries over into positive characteristic. If $G$ is a finite quasisimple group of Lie type, we prove that an imprimitive irreducible $KG$-module is Harish-Chandra induced. This being true for $\text{char}(K)$ different from the defining characteristic of $G$, we specialize to the case $\text{char}(K) = 0$ and apply Harish-Chandra philosophy to classify irreducible Harish-Chandra induced modules in terms of Harish-Chandra series, as well as in terms of Lusztig series. We determine the asymptotic proportion of the irreducible imprimitive $KG$-modules, when $G$ runs through a series groups of fixed (twisted) Lie type. One of the surprising outcomes of our investigations is the fact that these proportions tend to 1, if the Lie rank of the groups tends to infinity.

For exceptional groups $G$ of Lie type of small rank, and for sporadic groups $G$, we determine all irreducible imprimitive $KG$-modules for arbitrary characteristic of $K$.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

This monograph is a contribution to the classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups and the start of the program of classifying imprimitive modules for finite quasisimple groups. The two programs are inextricably linked in a way we now explain. Suppose that we want to study the maximal subgroups of a finite classical group $X$ whose natural module is $V$.

In his 1984 paper on the maximal subgroups of classical groups [1] Aschbacher defines eight collections of geometric subgroups $C_i(X)$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$. His main theorem asserts that if $L$ is maximal in a classical group $X$ with natural module $V$, then either $L$ is an element of $C_i(X)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 8$, or the following are true:

1. $F^* (L)'$ is quasisimple;
2. $F^* (L)'$ acts absolutely irreducibly on $V$;
3. the action of $F^* (L)'$ on $V$ can not be defined over a smaller field;
4. any bilinear, quadratic or sesquilinear form on $V$ that is stabilized by $F^* (L)'$ is also stabilized by $X$.

(Here, $F^* (L)$ denotes the generalized Fitting subgroup of $L$ and $F^* (L)'$ its derived subgroup.) Aschbacher denotes the collection of subgroups of $X$ which satisfy Conditions 1 through 4 above by $S$.

In their recent book [14], Bray, Holt and Roney-Dougal have determined the maximal subgroups of the classical groups with $\dim(V) \leq 12$. This dimension is chosen to complement the work by Kleidman and Liebeck in [75]. In this monograph the authors determine the maximal members of each collection $C_i(X)$, and for $\dim(V) > 12$ they determine those instances where a maximal member of $C_i(X)$ is a maximal subgroup of $X$. In case a maximal member $L$ of some $C_i(X)$ fails to be maximal in $X$, the overgroups of $L$ are also determined. To complete the determination of all maximal subgroups of $X$ one needs to answer the following question. When is a member of $S$ maximal in $X$?

We note that if $L \leq X$ is a member of $S$, then so is $N_X (L)$. Hence in order to investigate the question of the maximality of $L$ in $X$, we may assume that $L = N_X (L)$. Now if $L \leq \tilde{L} \leq X$, then the definition of $S$
implies that $\tilde{L} \not\in \mathcal{C}_i \cup \mathcal{C}_j \cup \mathcal{C}_k \cup \mathcal{C}_s$. If $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{C}_4 \cup \mathcal{C}_7$ then $L$ stabilizes a tensor product decomposition of $V$. Examples of such $(L, V)$ can be found in the paper [94] by Magaard and Tiep, and in the papers [7, 8, 9] by Bessenrodt and Kleshchev. Examples of $(L, V)$ where $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{C}_6$ can be found in a preprint by Magaard and Tiep [95].

The examples $(L, V)$ where $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{S}$ come in several varieties depending on the isomorphism types of $L$, $\tilde{L}$ and $X$. For instance by generalizing work of Dynkin, the examples where $L$, $\tilde{L}$ and $X$ are of Lie type and of the same characteristic, were classified by Seitz and Testerman (see [105, 108, 115]). Liebeck, Saxl and Seitz classified the examples where $L$ and $X$ are of Lie type of equal characteristic, but $\tilde{L}$ is not (see [81]). A list of possible examples where $L$ and $\tilde{L}$ are of Lie type and equal characteristic but of characteristic coprime to $V$ was determined by Seitz in [107]. In [103] Schaeffer Fry has resolved one family of these difficult cases. In recent work Magaard, Röhrle and Testerman produced a possible list of examples where $X$ and $\tilde{L}$ are of Lie type and of equal characteristic, and $L$ is of Lie type but of characteristic coprime to that of $X$ (see [93]). Finalizing this list is work in progress by Magaard and Testerman. The case where $F^*(L)'$ is alternating was the subject of Husen’s thesis and subsequent work. Only one infinite series of examples occurs when $V$ is the $k$th exterior power of the reduced permutation module $W$ of $F^*(L)'$, where $2 \leq k \leq \dim(W)/2$. In this case $X = \Omega(V)$ (if $X$ is quasi-simple) and $F^*(\tilde{L})' = \Omega(W)$. For these results see [66, 67, 68].

The situation to which the results of this monograph apply is when $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{C}_2$, which implies that $L$ acts imprimitively on $V$. In particular this implies that $F^*(L)'$ also acts imprimitively on $V$. As $F^*(L)'$ is quasi-simple, this is precisely the situation we study here.

To introduce our results, let $K$ be a field and $G$ a finite group. A $KG$-module will always be assumed to be finitely generated with $G$ acting from the right.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a $KG$-module $M$ is *imprimitive with block stabilizer $H$*, if and only if there are subspaces $M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n$ with $n = [G : H] > 1$ such that

\[
M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i,
\]

the $M_i$ are transitively permuted by the action of $G$ and $H$ is the stabilizer of $M_1$, i.e., $H = \{g \in G \mid M_1 g = M_1\}$. 

In other words a $KG$-module $M$ is imprimitive with block stabilizer $H$ if and only if $H$ is a proper subgroup of $G$ and there is a $KH$-module $M_1$ such that $M \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(M_1) := M_1 \otimes_{KH} KG$.

Let us now describe the principal results of this monograph in more detail. If a proper subgroup $H$ of $G$ is the block stabilizer of some $KG$-module, then so is any intermediate subgroup $H \leq L \leq G$, since induction is transitive. Thus in order to classify the imprimitive irreducible $KG$-modules, one may restrict attention to the maximal subgroups $H$ of $G$ as potential block stabilizers. We therefore assume that $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ in the following. We also assume that $K$ is algebraically closed. The problem of classifying the imprimitive irreducible modules of quasisimple groups over an arbitrary field $K$ does not appear to have an immediate reduction to the case of algebraically closed fields. With respect to our intended application to maximal subgroups, the restriction to algebraically closed fields $K$ is justified by property (2) of the groups of class $S$, as discussed at the beginning of this introduction.

In Chapter 2 we collect some general results from representation theory which are used to dispose of some subgroups as possible block stabilizers or to compare imprimitivity in different characteristics. We also comment on some aspects of our notation here.

Chapter 3 is devoted to the sporadic simple groups and their covering groups. Let $G$ denote such a group. We determine all imprimitive irreducible $KG$-modules for fields $K$ of arbitrary characteristic (Theorem 3.3). Thus this chapter contains the most complete results.

Next let $G$ be a quasisimple group such that $G/Z(G)$ is an alternating group. There is a complete classification of the imprimitive absolutely irreducible $KG$-modules for fields $K$ of characteristic 0. We cite the corresponding results from the literature in Chapter 4. The results for the alternating groups are due to D. Ž. Djoković and J. Malzan [34, 35] and are about 35 years old. More recent are the results for the covering groups due to D. Nett and F. Noeske [99]. Here, the classification over fields of positive characteristic remains open, although [99] restricts the possible block stabilizers.

The remaining chapters deal with the quasisimple covering groups of the finite groups of Lie type. Thus let $G$ be a quasisimple group with $G/Z(G)$ a finite simple group of Lie type. The classification of the imprimitive irreducible $KG$-modules in the defining characteristic case, i.e., where the characteristic of $K$ equals that of $G/Z(G)$, is due to Gary Seitz. There are only finitely many such instances. We state Seitz’s result for completeness.
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Theorem 1.2. (Seitz) [[106, Theorem 2]] Suppose that $G$ is defined over a field of characteristic $p$. If $M$ is an irreducible and imprimitive $KG$-module and $\text{char}(K) = p$, then $G$ is $\text{SL}_2(5)$, $\text{SL}_2(7)$, $\text{SL}_3(2)$ or $\text{Sp}_4(3)$ and $M$ is the Steinberg module for $G$.

There is one further case not covered by the above theorem, since it involves a group which has two defining characteristics, and is not a simple group of Lie type in the strict sense. Namely, let $G = \mathfrak{G}_2(3)' \cong \text{SL}_2(8)$, and $\text{char}(K) = 3$. Then the three 9-dimensional irreducible $KG$-modules are imprimitive. In turn, the Steinberg module of $\mathfrak{G}_2(3)$ over $K$ is induced from each of two 3-dimensional $KH$-modules, where $H$ is the normalizer of Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}_2(3)$.

From now on we will assume that the defining characteristic of $G/Z(G)$ is different from the characteristic of $K$. In all but finitely many cases, $G$ is itself a finite group of Lie type. The exceptions occur if $G/Z(G)$ has an exceptional Schur multiplier. These cases are treated in Chapter 5, very much in the same way as the sporadic groups. Again, we obtain a complete classification for all characteristics with the only exception if $G/Z(G) = 2E_6(2)$ and $H/Z(G)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$, in which case we obtain this classification only for $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

We are thus left with the cases that $G$ and $G/Z(G)$ are finite groups of Lie type and that the characteristic of $K$ is different from that underlying $G$. Our first main result here is Theorem 6.1: If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ and a block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $KG$-module, then $H$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G$. All of Chapter 6 is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

The remainder of our monograph is then devoted to the determination of imprimitive irreducible $KG$-modules whose block stabilizer is a parabolic subgroup $H$ of $G$. We first prove in this situation that if $M$ is induced from a $KH$-module $M_1$, then the unipotent radical of $H$ acts trivially in $M_1$, in other words, $M$ is Harish-Chandra induced (Proposition 7.1). Thus one can apply the well developed machinery of Harish-Chandra theory. This is exploited in Chapter 7 to give sufficient conditions on irreducible $KH$-modules to induce to irreducible $KG$-modules. These conditions are given in terms of the Harish-Chandra parametrization (Theorem 7.2) and of the Lusztig parametrization (Theorem 7.3) of the relevant irreducible $KH$-modules. These results are powerful enough to determine the asymptotic proportion of the irreducible imprimitive $KG$-modules, when $G$ runs through a series groups of fixed (twisted) Lie type (Theorem 7.5). Moreover, for classical groups, these
proportions tend to 1, if the Lie rank of the groups tends to infinity
(Example 7.6).

Let us comment on a consequence of this result for the problem of
classifying maximal subgroups of a classical group $X$. Suppose that $L$
is a subgroup of $X$ of class $S$ with $N_X(L) = L$ and $G := F^*(L)'$
of Lie type of characteristic different from the characteristic of $X$. Suppose that
the natural module $V$ of $X$ is an imprimitive Harish-Chandra induced
$KG$-module with block stabilizer $P$. If the $G$-conjugacy class of $P$
is $L$-invariant, the Frattini argument implies that $L = N_L(P)G$. Consequently, $V$
is also an imprimitive $KL$-module. Indeed, let $U$ denote
the unipotent radical of $P$. As $V$ is irreducible and Harish-Chandra in-
duced from $P$, there is a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$
such that $V_1 = C_V(U)$, and the $V_i$ are permuted by the action of $G$. As $U$ is fixed
by $N_L(P)$, the $KP$-module $V_i$ is stabilized by $N_L(P)$. It now follows
from $L = N_L(P)G$, that $L$ permutes the $V_i$, and hence $V$ is induced
from the $KN_L(P)$-module $V_1$. Thus $L$ is a $C_2$-type subgroup of $X$, and
as such, not maximal in $X$. Indeed, if $\tilde{L}$ is a maximal subgroup
of $X$ of $C_2$-type such that $F^*(\tilde{L})'$ is quasisimple, then $F^*(\tilde{L})'$ does not
act irreducibly on $V$. Therefore our results imply the surprising fact
that most (in the sense discussed at the end of the previous paragraph)
cross-characteristic irreducible modules for groups of Lie type do not
lead to examples of maximal subgroups of classical groups.

Harish-Chandra theory involves the investigation of representations
of certain Iwahori-Hecke algebras. In case $\text{char}(K) = 0$, this can be
reduced to the investigation of representations of Weyl groups. This
programme is carried out in Chapter 8, where we prove partial con-
verses to the results of Chapter 7. In fact we show that the sufficient
conditions derived there are necessary, if the groups $G$ considered arise
from algebraic groups with connected center (Theorem 8.3), or, more
generally, if the characters considered lie in Lusztig series corresponding
to semisimple elements whose centralizer is connected (Theorem 8.4).
As a consequence, these results can not be applied to all characters of
quasisimple groups of Lie type.

The general results of Chapter 8 are now specialized to the classical
groups in Chapter 9. Again we assume that $\text{char}(K) = 0$, and that the
groups $G$ arise from algebraic groups with connected center. We obtain
a complete classification of the Harish-Chandra imprimitive irreducible
$KG$-modules in terms of Harish-Chandra series (Propositions 9.1 and
9.2) as well as in terms of Lusztig series (Propositions 9.4 and 9.5).

The final Chapter 10 is devoted to the exceptional groups of Lie
type. We obtain a complete, characteristic independent and explicit
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classification of the imprimitive irreducible $K$-$G$-modules if $G$ is an exceptional group of Lie type of small rank, i.e., $G$ is one of $^2B_2(q), ^2G_2(q), ^2F_4(q), G_2(q)$, and $^3D_4(q)$. For the exceptional groups of Types $E$ and $F$ our results require the assumption char$(K) = 0$. 
CHAPTER 2

Generalities

In this chapter we start with some comments on our notation, in particular when it differs from that of our sources. Further notation is introduced as we go along. We also collect a few general results of various types, needed in later chapters. This chapter can be skipped at a first reading as it mainly serves as a convenient reference. Throughout, \( G \) denotes a group and \( K \) a field.

2.1. Comments on the notation

2.1.1. Notation for groups. Let \( G \) be a group. Our group actions are generally right actions. Thus for \( x, y \in G \), we put

\[
x^y := y^{-1}xy
\]

and

\[
[x, y] := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = x^{-1}x^y.
\]

If \( X \) and \( Y \) are subsets of \( G \) we write

\[
[X, Y] := \langle [x, y] \mid x \in X, y \in Y \rangle.
\]

In particular, \([G, G]\) is the commutator subgroup of \( G \). If there is no danger of confusion, we occasionally write \( G' \) for \([G, G]\). We write \( Z(G) \) for the center of \( G \), and \( C_G(H) \) and \( N_G(H) \) for the centralizer and normalizer in \( G \) of a subgroup \( H \), respectively. Suppose that \( G \) is finite. If \( H \) is a subgroup of \( G \), then \([G: H]\) denotes the index of \( H \) in \( G \). As usual, \( F^*(G) \) denotes the generalized Fitting subgroup of \( G \). Also, if \( \ell \) is a prime, \( O_{\ell}(G) \) is the largest normal \( \ell \)-subgroup of \( G \).

For group extensions we occasionally use the Atlas [26] convention, i.e., \( A \cdot B \) denotes a group \( G \) with a normal subgroup \( N \) isomorphic to \( A \) and \( G/N \) isomorphic to \( B \). The symbol \( A:B \) denotes a split extension, \( A \times B \) the direct product of \( A \) and \( B \), and \( A \circ B \) a central product, i.e., a group \( G \) containing normal subgroups \( A \) and \( B \) with \([A, B] = 1\) and \( AB = G \). A cyclic group of order \( n \) is sometimes just denoted by the symbol \( n \).

The alternating and symmetric groups of degree \( n \) are denoted by \( A_n \) and \( S_n \), respectively. The quaternion group of order 8 is denoted by \( Q_8 \). Our notation for the classical groups is more traditional than
that of the Atlas. We follow essentially the notation used by Wilson in [118]. Thus \( \text{GL}_n(F) \), \( \text{SL}_n(F) \), and \( \text{PSL}_n(F) \) denote, respectively, the general linear group, the special linear group and the projective special linear group of degree \( n \) over the field \( F \). If \( F \) is finite with \( q \) elements, we write \( \text{GL}_n(q) \) etc. We write \( \text{GU}_n(q) \) for the unitary group which is a subgroup of \( \text{GL}_n(q^2) \). Our notation for orthogonal groups is as follows. If \( n \geq 2 \) is even, we write \( \text{GO}_n^{\epsilon}(q) \) and \( \text{GO}_n(q) \) for subgroup of \( \text{GL}_n(q) \) preserving a non-degenerate quadratic form on \( F^n \) of Witt index \( n/2 \) and \( n/2-1 \) respectively. If \( n \geq 3 \) is odd, \( \text{GO}_n(q) \) denotes the subgroup of \( \text{GL}_n(q) \) preserving a non-degenerate quadratic form on \( F^n \). If \( n \) is odd and \( q \) is even, by a non-degenerate quadratic form on \( F^n \) we mean a quadratic form whose polar form has a 1-dimensional radical consisting of non-isotropic vectors. For odd \( n \) we write \( \text{GO}_n^0(q) := \text{GO}_n(q) \) so that \( \text{GO}_n^\epsilon(q) \) for \( \epsilon \in \{0,+, -\} \) denotes our three types of orthogonal groups. Let \( \epsilon \in \{0,+, -\} \). If \( q \) is odd, we put \( \text{SO}_n^\epsilon(q) := \text{GO}_n^\epsilon(q) \cap \text{SL}_n(q) \). We also put \( \Omega^\epsilon(q) := [\text{GO}_n^\epsilon(q), \text{GO}_n^\epsilon(q)] \) for the commutator subgroup of \( \text{GO}_n^\epsilon(q) \). Finally, \( \text{Spin}_n^\epsilon(q) \) denotes the corresponding spin groups, i.e., the (generic) Schur covering groups of the \( \Omega^\epsilon_n(q) \). Notice that \( \Omega^\epsilon_n(q) \) is quasisimple if \( n = 3 \) and \( q > 3 \), if \( n = 4 \) and \( \epsilon \neq + \), if \( n = 5 \) and \( q > 2 \), or if \( n \geq 6 \). For \( n = 2 \) we have that \( \Omega_2^\epsilon(q) \) is cyclic of order \( q - \epsilon \cdot 1 \). If \( \Omega^\epsilon_n(q) \) is quasisimple, the central factor group \( \tilde{P}\Omega^\epsilon_n(q) := \Omega^\epsilon_n(q)/Z(\Omega^\epsilon_n(q)) \) is simple. As \( Z(\Omega^\epsilon_n(q)) \) is trivial if \( q \) is even or \( n \) is odd, we just write \( \Omega^\epsilon_n(q) \) for \( \tilde{P}\Omega^\epsilon_n(q) \) in these cases.

Of course, there is also a version of these symbols emphasizing the underlying vector space carrying a form. For example, if \( V \) is a vector space equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form, \( \text{GO}(V) \) denotes the orthogonal group of the quadratic space \( V \), i.e., the automorphisms of \( V \) preserving the given form.

Suppose that \( V \) is a vector space equipped with a bilinear, sesquilinear or quadratic form. A subspace \( W \) of \( V \) is called totally isotropic, if the form is constantly zero on \( W \times W \) or \( W \), respectively.

2.1.2. Notation from linear algebra. If \( n \) is a positive integer, we denote by \( I_n \) the \( n \times n \) identity matrix. We write \( J_n \) for the \( n \times n \) matrix with ones along the anti-diagonal and zeros elsewhere. Finally, \( \tilde{J}_{2n} \) denotes the \( 2n \times 2n \) matrix defined by

\[
\tilde{J}_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix}
0_n & J_n \\
-J_n & 0_n
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( 0_n \) is the \( n \times n \) zero matrix. These matrices are viewed as matrices over the ring currently considered. If \( n \) is clear from the context, we simply write \( I, J \) and \( \tilde{J} \) for \( I_n, J_n \) and \( \tilde{J}_{2n} \), respectively.
2.2. CONDITIONS FOR PRIMITIVITY

If $A$ is a matrix, its transpose is denoted by $A^T$, and $A^{-T}$ is defined by $A^{-T} := (A^{-1})^T$.

If $a, b, c, \ldots$ are elements of a ring or square matrices over this ring (not necessarily of the same degree), we write $\text{diag}(a, b, c, \ldots)$ for the (block) diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $a, b, c, \ldots$.

Let $V$ be a vector space and $G \leq \text{GL}(V)$. We write $I_V$ for the identity element of $\text{GL}(V)$. If $U$ is a $G$-invariant subspace of $V$ and $x \in G$, we write $x_U$ for the restriction of $x$ to $U$, viewed as a linear map on $U$. Moreover, we write $G_U := \{x_U \mid x \in G\}$.

2.1.3. Notation from representation theory. By a $KG$-module we always mean a finite dimensional right $KG$-module. It will be convenient occasionally to consider the characters of $KG$-modules. If $K$ is a splitting field for $KG$ and $\text{char}(K) = \ell$, we write $\text{Irr}(G)$ for the set of characters of the irreducible $KG$-modules if $\ell = 0$, and $\text{IBr}_\ell(G)$ for the set of Brauer characters (with respect to a fixed $\ell$-modular system with residue class field $K$) of the irreducible $KG$-modules, if $\ell > 0$. If $M$ and $N$ are $KG$-modules, we put $[M, N]_{KG} = \dim_K \text{Hom}_{KG}(M, N)$, omitting the subscript $KG$ if there is no danger of confusion.

If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, we write $\text{Ind}_H^G(\cdot)$ and $\text{Res}_H^G(\cdot)$ for induction and restriction of $KH$-modules, respectively $KG$-modules. The same symbols are used for induction and restriction of characters.

2.2. Conditions for primitivity

Since we are interested in imprimitive irreducible $KG$-modules, we collect a few conditions guaranteeing that an induced $KG$-module is reducible. We begin with the most general one.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that $K$ is a splitting field for $KG$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. If $|H|^2 < |G|$, then $\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1)$ is reducible for all $KH$-modules $M_1$.

**Proof.** Let $M_1$ be a $KH$-module. Then
$$\dim_K(\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1))^2 = \dim_K(M_1)^2 |G : H|^2 > \dim_K(M_1)^2 |G| \geq |G|.$$  
As $\dim_K(N)^2 \leq |G|$ for all irreducible $KG$-modules $N$, our assertion follows. $\diamond$

We shall need the following easy consequence of Mackey’s theorem, which was also used implicitly in [34, 35].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Suppose that there exists an element $t \in G \setminus H$ such that $t$ centralizes the intersection $H \cap H^t$. Then $\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1)$ is reducible for all $KH$-modules $M_1$.
\textbf{Proof.} With the notation introduced in Subsection 2.1.3 and the Nakayama relations we have
\begin{equation}
\dim_K(\text{End}_{KG}(\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1))) = [M_1, \text{Res}_H^G(\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1))]_{KH}.
\end{equation}

By Mackey’s subgroup theorem, we have
\begin{equation}
\text{Res}_H^G(\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1)) \cong M_1 \oplus \text{Ind}_{H\cap H'}^H(\text{Res}_{H\cap H'}^H(M_1')) \oplus M_2
\end{equation}
for some $KH$-module $M_2$. Inserting (2.2) into (2.1), we find
\begin{equation}
\dim_K(\text{End}_{KG}(\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1))) \geq 1 + [M_1, \text{Ind}_{H\cap H'}^H(\text{Res}_{H\cap H'}^H(M_1'))]_{KH}.
\end{equation}
As $t$ commutes with $H \cap H'$, we have
\begin{equation}
\text{Res}_{H\cap H'}^H(M_1') \cong \text{Res}_{H\cap H'}^H(M_1).
\end{equation}
Using the Nakayama relations once more, this implies that
\begin{equation}
[M_1, \text{Ind}_{H\cap H'}^H(\text{Res}_{H\cap H'}^H(M_1'))]_{KH} \geq 1.
\end{equation}
Thus the dimension of the endomorphism ring of $\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1)$ is at least two.

The following lemma gives a sufficient condition for the existence of an element $t$ as above.

\textbf{Lemma 2.3.} Suppose that $H$ and $A$ are groups with $H \leq G \leq A$. Let $z \in A$ and put $C = C_G(z)$. Suppose that $s \in G$ such that $H \leq \langle C, s \rangle \leq N_A(C)$. Let $t \in G$ satisfy the following three conditions.

(1) $t \in C_G(s)$;
(2) $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$;
(3) $C^t \cap Cs^i = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $s^i \not\in C$.

Then $t \in C_G(H \cap H')$.

(The assumption $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$ is in particular satisfied if $t$ has odd order and $t^z = t^{-1}$, since the latter implies $[z, t] = (t^z)^{-1}t = t^2$. Assumption (3) is trivially satisfied if $s = 1$.)

\textbf{Proof.} As $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$ we have $t \in C_G(C \cap C^t)$. As $t$ commutes with $s$ we also have that $t$ centralizes $\langle C \cap C^t, s \rangle$. We conclude by showing that $H \cap H' \leq \langle C \cap C^t, s \rangle$.

We have $H \leq \langle C, s \rangle = C(s) = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Cs^i$. Similarly, $H^t \leq \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} C^t s^i$. It follows that $H \cap H^t \leq \cup_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} (Cs^i \cap C^t s^j)$. By our assumption, $Cs^i \cap C^t s^j = \emptyset$, unless $s^{i-j} \in C$. Hence $H \cap H^t \leq \cup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (Cs^i \cap C^t s^j) = \langle C \cap C^t, s \rangle$.

The case $s = 1$ is allowed in the above lemma. In fact this is the version of the lemma that we need to handle the $C_1$-type subgroups. The case $s \neq 1$ is relevant when considering subgroups of types $C_2$, $C_3$ or $C_5$.\[\square\]
2.3. Suppose also that $s$ replace this verification. The following variation describes some favorable circumstances allowing to replace this verification.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume the setup and the first two conditions of Lemma 2.3. Suppose also that $s$ normalizes $\langle z \rangle$, and that $\langle t \rangle$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\langle z, z^t \rangle$. Then $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^t$ if $N_G(\langle t \rangle) = C_G(t)$.

**Proof.** Since $s$ normalizes $\langle z \rangle$, the latter group is also normalized by $H$. Hence $H^t$ normalizes $\langle z^t \rangle$ and thus $H \cap H^t$ normalizes $\langle z, z^t \rangle$. It follows that $H \cap H^t \leq N_G(\langle t \rangle) = C_G(t)$.

We will also need some generalizations of Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let $S$ be a subgroup of $G$. Let $t \in G$ satisfy the following three conditions.

1. $t \in L := \langle S, S^t \rangle$.
2. There is a subgroup $D \leq G$ with $[L, D] = 1$, and there is $s \in G$ normalizing $L$ and $D$ such that $N_G(L) = (L \circ D) \cdot (s)$.
3. $t$ centralizes $N_{(L, s)}(S) \cap N_{(L, s)}(S)^t$.

Then $t$ centralizes $N_G(S) \cap N_G(S)^t$.

**Proof.** Let $H := N_G(S)$ and $x \in H \cap H^t$. Then $x$ normalizes $S$ and $S^t$, hence $L$. As $D$ centralizes $L$, it centralizes $S$ and $S^t$ and thus $D \leq H \cap H^t \leq N_G(L) = (L \circ D) \cdot (s)$. Thus $H \cap H^t \leq (N_{(L, s)}(S) \cap N_{(L, s)}(S)^t, D)$. Also, $t$ centralizes $D$, as $t \in L$. The claim follows as $t$ centralizes $N_{(L, s)}(S) \cap N_{(L, s)}(S)^t$ by assumption.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $Z$ be a subgroup of $Z(G)$ and $t \in G$ of order coprime to $|Z|$. Write $\tilde{\cdot} : G \to G/Z(G)$ for the canonical epimorphism. Then for all $x \in G$ such that $\tilde{t} \in C_G(\tilde{x})$ we have $[x, t] = 1$.

**Proof.** This follows from [76, 8.2.2.(b)].

This lemma has the following simple, but important, corollary.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let $Z$ be a subgroup of $Z(G)$ and let $H \leq G$ with $Z \leq H$. Suppose that $t \in G$ is such that $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{G} = G/Z$ has order coprime to $|Z|$. Then $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$ if $\tilde{t} \in C_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{H} \cap \tilde{H}^t)$.

**Proof.** As $\tilde{t}$ has order coprime to $|Z|$, there is an element $t_1 \in G$ with $\tilde{t}_1 = \tilde{t}$ and $|t_1| = |\tilde{t}|$. As $t_1 = tz$ for some $z \in Z$, the claim follows from Lemma 2.6.

### 2.3. Some results on linear groups of small degree

We collect a couple of results, needed later on, on linear groups of degrees two and three.
Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $q$ is an odd prime power.

(a) Let $G \in \{GL_2(q), GU_2(q)\}$ and let $s \in G$ be a non-central involution. Then there are maximal tori $T_1$ and $T_2$ of $\{A \in G \mid \det(A) = 1\} \cong SL_2(q)$ with $|T_1| = q - 1$ and $|T_2| = q + 1$ such that $s$ inverts $T_1$ and $T_2$.

(b) Let $G = PGL_2(q)$ and let $s \in G$ be an involution. Then there are maximal tori $T_1$ and $T_2$ of $PSL_2(q)$ with $|T_1| = (q - 1)/2$ and $|T_2| = (q + 1)/2$ such that $s$ inverts $T_1$ and $T_2$.

Proof. (a) Suppose first that $G = GL_2(q)$. As $s$ is conjugate in $G$ to the element $s_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we may and will assume that $s = s_0$. Then

$$T_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \right\}$$

is a torus of $SL_2(q)$ of order $q - 1$, inverted by $s$.

Next, let $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ be an element of order $q + 1$. The minimal polynomial of $x$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ has constant term equal to 1, as $x$ and $x^q = x^{-1}$ are its zeroes. Define $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ by $x^2 - ax + 1 = 0$. Then the matrix

$$z_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

represents right multiplication with $x$ on $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with respect to the basis $1, x$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus $T_2 := \langle z_0 \rangle$ is a torus of $SL_2(q)$ of order $q + 1$, which is obviously inverted by $s$.

Now suppose that $G = GU_2(q) \leq GL_2(q^2)$. We let the form defining $G$ have Gram matrix $I_2$. Then $s_0 \in G$. Moreover, every involution of $G \setminus SU_2(q)$ is conjugate to $s_0$ in $G$ (since any two elements of $G$ are conjugate in $G$ if and only if they are conjugate in $GL_2(q^2)$).

The intersection of $T_1$ (defined with respect to $GL_2(q^2)$) with $SU_2(q)$ has order $q + 1$ and is inverted by $s_0$. The general theory of twisting tori (see [23, Section 3.3]) shows that there is $g \in GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^2})$, where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q^2}$ denotes an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, such that $T_1^g (T_1$ defined with respect to $GL_2(q))$ lies in $G$, as well as $s_0^g$. As $s_0^g$ is conjugate to $s_0$ in $G$, the result follows.

(b) It is well known that $PGL_2(q)$ has exactly two conjugacy classes of involutions. If $s \not\in PSL_2(q)$, the claim follows from (a). If $s \in PSL_2(q)$, the assertion follows from the fact that $PSL_2(q)$ has dihedral subgroups of orders $q - 1$ and $q + 1$ (see [64, Sätze II.8.3, 8.4]).
Lemma 2.9. Let $L = \operatorname{PGL}_2(q)$ or $L = \operatorname{SL}_2(q)$ with $q > 3$ and let $S$ be a maximal torus of $L$. If $q = 5$, assume that $|S| = 6$. Then there is $t \in L$ with $L = \langle S, S^t \rangle$ such that $t$ centralizes $N_L(S) \cap N_L(S)^t$. If $q$ is odd, there is such a $t$ of odd order. If also $L = \operatorname{PGL}_2(q)$, then $L' = \langle S \cap L', (S \cap L')^t \rangle$ with $L' := [L, L] \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(q)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $q$ is odd. To begin with, let $L = \operatorname{PGL}_2(q)$. Then $S$ contains a unique involution $z$ and $N_L(S) = C_L(z)$. By Lemma 2.8(b) there is an element $1 \neq t \in L$ of odd order coprime to $q$ such that $z^{-1}tz = t^{-1}$, since one of $q - 1$ or $q + 1$ is not a 2-power. Then $\langle z, z^t \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order $2|t|$ containing $t$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $t$ centralizes $C_L(z) \cap C_L(z)^t = N_L(S) \cap N_L(S)^t$.

Now write $L'$ for the subgroup of $L$ of index 2, i.e., $L' = [L, L] \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(q)$. Then $t \in L'$, as $t$ has odd order. Put $S' := S \cap L'$. Then $|S'| = |S|/2$ and $N_L(S') = N_L(S)$ since $S = C_L(S')$. Thus $t$ centralizes $N_L(S') \cap N_L(S')^t$. As $t$ does not commute with $z \in S$, it follows that $t \not\subset S$, and thus $t \not\subset N_L(S')$. If $q \geq 17$, Dickson’s list of subgroups of $L'$ (see [64] II, Hauptsatz 8.27) now implies that $\langle S', (S')^t \rangle = L'$. Indeed, the assumption on $q$ implies that $S'$ cannot be isomorphic to a subgroup of $A_4$, $S_4$ or $A_5$, nor to a subgroup of $\operatorname{PGL}_2(q_0)$ for a proper divisor $q_0$ of $q$. Any two distinct conjugates of $S'$ have trivial intersection (see [64] Sätze II.8.3, 8.4]), and thus $\langle S', (S')^t \rangle$ has at least $(q - 1)^2/4$ elements. In particular, $\langle S', (S')^t \rangle$ cannot be a cyclic nor a dihedral subgroup of $L'$. Finally suppose that $\langle S', (S')^t \rangle$ is contained in a Borel subgroup $B'$ of $L'$. Then $B' = \langle S', (S')^t \rangle$. Now $B'$ is the stabilizer of a point in the projective space of $\mathbb{F}_q^2$, and $S'$ is the subgroup of $B'$ fixing a further point. As $S'$ and $z$ are contained in the same torus $S$ of $L$, the pair of points of this projective space fixed by $S'$ is also fixed by $z$. Hence $B := \langle B', z \rangle$ is a Borel subgroup of $L$. For the same reason we have $B = \langle B', z^t \rangle$. Thus $\langle z, z^t \rangle \leq B$, a contradiction, since $B$ does not contain any dihedral subgroup of order prime to $q$.

For $q \leq 13$, a computation with GAP shows that there is $t \in L'$ of odd order with $\langle S', (S')^t \rangle = L'$. Since $S \not\subset L'$, we obtain $\langle S, S^t \rangle = L$, proving all our assertions for $q$ odd and $L = \operatorname{PGL}_2(q)$.

Now let $L = \operatorname{SL}_2(q)$ (still assuming that $q$ is odd), and write $- : \operatorname{SL}_2(q) \to \operatorname{PSL}_2(q)$ for the canonical epimorphism. By what we have already proved, there is an element $\bar{t} \in \bar{L}$ of odd order such that $\bar{L} = \langle \bar{S}, \bar{S}^t \rangle$ and such that $\bar{t}$ centralizes $N_L(\bar{S}) \cap N_L(\bar{S})^t$. Let $t \in L$ be a preimage of $\bar{t}$ of odd order. As $L$ is a non-split central extension of $\bar{L}$, we have $L = \langle S, S^t \rangle$. Moreover, $t$ centralizes $N_L(S) \cap N_L(S)^t$ by Corollary 2.7.
Now suppose that \( q \) is even. Then \( \text{PGL}_2(q) \cong \text{SL}_2(q) \) and \( N_L(S) \) is a dihedral group of twice odd order. Let \( z \in N_L(S) \) be an involution. Choose an involution \( t \in L \) centralizing \( z \) and not equal to \( z \). (This is possible since \( q \neq 2 \).) Then \( t \not\in N_L(S) \) since no two distinct involutions of \( N_L(S) \) commute. As \( S \) is a TI subgroup of \( L \), it follows that \( N_L(S) \cap N_L(S)^t = \langle z \rangle \), and thus \( t \) commutes with this intersection.

In order to show that \( \langle S, S^t \rangle = L \), we distinguish two cases. If \( S \) is a Coxeter torus of \( L \), then \( \langle S, S^t \rangle = L \) since any two disjoint Coxeter tori of \( L \) generate \( L \). If \( S \) fixes a line in the natural representation of \( L \) on \( \mathbb{F}_q^2 \), i.e., \( S \) lies in some Borel subgroup \( B \) of \( L \), then \( \langle S, S^t \rangle = L \). Otherwise, \( \langle S, S^t \rangle = B \). But \( z \) normalizes \( \langle S, S^t \rangle = B \), hence \( z \in B \). This is impossible as \( N_B(S) = S \).

**Lemma 2.10.** Let \( G = \text{GL}_3(q) \), and \( S \leq G \) a natural \( \text{SL}_2(q) \)-subgroup. Then there exists a \( t \in L := \text{SL}_3(q) \leq G \) such that \( t \) centralizes \( N_G(S) \cap N_G(S)^t \) and such that \( L = \langle S, S^t \rangle \).

**Proof.** Put \( N := N_G(S) \). Then \( N \) fixes a unique 2-space \( U \) of the natural module \( V \) of \( G \) and a unique 1-space \( \langle v \rangle \) lying outside of \( U \). Conversely, \( N \) is the stabilizer of the pair of subspaces \( U \) and \( \langle v \rangle \).

Now let \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) be a basis of \( V \) and put \( U_1 := \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \), \( U_2 := \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle \), \( v_1 = e_3, v_2 = e_1 + e_3 \). Assume that \( N \) is the stabilizer of \( U_1 \) and \( \langle v_1 \rangle \). If \( t \in G \) is the linear transformation defined by \( v_1 t = v_2, e_2 t = e_2 \) and \( e_1 t = e_3 = v_1 \), then \( U_1 t = U_2 \) and \( v_1 t = v_2 \). Thus \( N^t \) is the stabilizer of \( U_2 \) and \( \langle v_2 \rangle \). It follows that \( N \cap N^t \) stabilizes \( U_1 \cap U_2 = \langle e_2 \rangle, \langle v_1 \rangle \) and \( \langle v_2 \rangle \). Let \( x \in N \cap N^t \) and suppose that \( v_1 x = av_1 \) and \( v_2 x = bv_2 \) for some \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Then \( a = b \), since \( x \) stabilizes \( U_1 \). This implies that \( t \) commutes with \( x \), as the vectors \( e_2, v_1, v_2 \) form a basis of \( V \) and \( v_2 t = v_1 + v_2 \).

Finally we observe that \( S = \text{SL}(U_1) \) and the group generated by \( \text{SL}(U_1) \) and \( \text{SL}(U_2) = S^t \) contains a generating set of transvections for \( L = \text{SL}_3(q) \), and the lemma is proved.

### 2.4. Reduction modulo \( \ell \) and imprimitivity

So far our preliminary results have been independent of the characteristic of \( K \). We will also need the following elementary observations relating imprimitivity of irreducible characters in different characteristics through reduction modulo \( \ell \). Here, we assume that \( K \) is large enough, i.e., that \( K \) is a splitting field for all subgroups of \( G \).

Let \( \ell \) be a prime and let \( \chi \) be an ordinary irreducible character of \( G \), i.e., \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \). The restriction of \( \chi \) to the \( \ell \)-regular conjugacy classes of \( G \) is called the **reduction of \( \chi \) modulo \( \ell \)**. If \( K \) has characteristic \( \ell \), we call an irreducible \( KG \)-module **liftable**, if its Brauer character
(with respect to a suitable $\ell$-modular system) is obtained by reduction modulo $\ell$ of some ordinary irreducible character of $G$. We refer the reader to [78, Section I.14] for more general definitions and properties of reduction modulo $\ell$ and liftability of modules.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $H$ be a proper subgroup of $G$. Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Furthermore, let $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$ and $\vartheta \in \text{IBr}_\ell(G)$. Then the following hold.

1. Suppose that $\varphi$ is the reduction modulo $\ell$ of $\psi$ and that $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$ is reducible. Then $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ is also reducible.

2. Suppose that $\varphi$ lifts to $\psi$ and that $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ is irreducible. Then $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ lifts to the imprimitive irreducible ordinary character $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$.

3. Suppose that $\chi = \text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$, i.e., $\chi$ is imprimitive. If $\vartheta$ is the reduction modulo $\ell$ of $\chi$, then $\vartheta$ is also imprimitive.

4. Suppose that $\psi$ is of $\ell$-defect zero and that $\chi = \text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$. Then $\chi$ is of $\ell$-defect zero and the reduction modulo $\ell$ of $\chi$ is imprimitive.

**Proof.** Parts (1) and (3) are clear since induction of characters commutes with reduction modulo $\ell$, i.e., restriction to $\ell$-regular elements. Part (2) is just a restatement of Part (1) from a different point of view. Finally, Part (4) is a special case of Part (3).

These observations allow us to eliminate many possible block stabilizers $H$ and characters $\psi$. In particular, the linear characters of $H$ remain irreducible in any characteristic (although they may become trivial). Moreover all modular linear characters arise as restrictions of some ordinary linear character. Thus, knowledge of the behavior of the ordinary linear characters of $H$ is sufficient to determine their modular behavior.

We also need a generalization of Lemma 2.11.

**Lemma 2.12.** Let $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ and $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_\ell(H)$ such that $\varphi$ occurs in the reduction modulo $\ell$ of $\psi$. If $\chi(1) < [G:H]\varphi(1)$ for all irreducible constituents $\chi$ of $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$, then $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ is reducible.

**Proof.** Again we use the fact that induction commutes with reduction modulo $\ell$. If $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ were irreducible, it would be a constituent of the reduction modulo $\ell$ of some irreducible constituent $\chi$ of $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$, which contradicts the inequality on degrees.

Finally, we record a lemma on the evaluation of polynomials which will be used later on.

## 2.5. A result on polynomials
Lemma 2.13. Let \( f = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i X^i \in \mathbb{R}[X] \) be a non-zero polynomial of degree \( n \) (i.e., \( a_n \neq 0 \)). Put \( B := \max\{|a_i|/|a_n| \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\} \) and let \( b \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b \geq B + 1 \).

Then \( f(b) \neq 0 \). Moreover, \( f(b) < 0 \) if and only if \( a_n < 0 \).

Proof. We may assume that \( |a_n| = 1 \) and thus
\[
B = \max\{|a_i| \mid 0 \leq i \leq n\}.
\]

Then
\[
\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i b^i \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |a_i| b^i \leq B \frac{b^n - 1}{b - 1} \leq b^n - 1 < b^n.
\]

This implies both assertions. \( \diamond \)
CHAPTER 3

Sporadic Groups and the Tits Group

In this chapter $G$ is a quasisimple group such that $G/Z(G)$ is a sporadic simple group, or $G = 2F_4(2)'$ is the Tits simple group. Also, $H$ denotes a maximal subgroup of $G$ and $K$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$. As our arguments in this chapter are based on characters rather than modules or representations, we work with the irreducible $K$-characters of $H$ and $G$ throughout. We determine all imprimitive irreducible $K$-characters of $G$ and the corresponding block stabilizers $H$. Of course, it suffices to consider the cases $\ell = 0$ and $\ell | |G|$. Let us begin with the Tits group.

**Proposition 3.1.** The Tits group $2F_4(2)'$ does not have any imprimitive irreducible $K$-characters.

**Proof.** The (modular) character tables of the Tits group and of all of its maximal subgroups are available in GAP [42]. It is thus a routine task to check our assertion.

From now on $G$ is such that $G/Z(G)$ is a sporadic simple group, we mostly apply ad hoc methods, although we use the same general approach described below.

Step 1: Using a list of maximal subgroups of $G$ and the ordinary character tables of $G$ as found in the Atlas [26] or GAP [42], we generate a list of all maximal subgroups whose index is smaller than the maximal degree of an ordinary irreducible character for $G$. Note that every possible maximal block stabilizer, in any characteristic, must occur on this list. Moreover we produce an upper bound on the degree of a $K$-character of $H$ which may induce to an irreducible $K$-character of $G$.

Step 2: If the degrees of the modular irreducible characters of $G$ are known, then we refine the list generated in Step 1 by checking whether the index of a given maximal subgroup divides the degree of some irreducible $K$-character of $G$. We also determine the exact degree of a possible imprimitive character.

Step 3: If the character table for the maximal subgroup $H$ is also known, we induce the characters of $H$ to $G$ using GAP and determine if any of the induced characters are irreducible.
Step 4: The remaining groups on our list that can not be approached using Step 3 must be dealt with separately.

More details are given in the proof of Theorem 3.3 below, which is the main result of this chapter. The instances of imprimitive irreducible characters are presented in Table 1. We are now going to describe how to read this table. More explanations on particular entries are given in Remark 3.2 below.

The notation in the table follows, as far as possible, the Atlas, except that we have chosen a more traditional notion for the classical groups. The first two columns describe $G$ and $H$, respectively. The third column, headed $\psi$, indicates the irreducible character of $H$ which induces to the irreducible character $\chi$ of $G$, identified in the sixth column. In those cases where $H$ is given as a direct product $H_1 \times H_2$, $\psi$ is written as an outer product of the form $\psi_1 \boxtimes \psi_2$, with $\psi_i$ an irreducible character of $H_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Whenever the (compound) character tables of $H$ or $H_i$ are contained in the Atlas, we use the Atlas notation to describe $\psi$ or $\psi_i$, respectively. Similarly, the character $\chi$ of $G$ is identified. With $\zeta_i$, we denote a linear $K$-character of order $i$, $i = 2, 3, \ldots$. Some other characters are denoted by their degrees, usually with subscripts to distinguish characters of the same degrees. There should be no problem to match the characters with existing tables. The degrees of $\psi$ and $\chi$ are given in the columns labelled by $\psi(1)$ and $\chi(1)$, respectively.

Given $G$, there is exactly one block of rows for the faithful irreducible imprimitive characters of $G$. These blocks are separated by two horizontal rules. As in the Atlas, a single row can describe more than one irreducible character of $H$ or $G$. This can be detected from the “ind”-columns, giving the Frobenius-Schur indicators and, possibly, the number of the characters in a row. The characteristic is always odd in Table 1, so that these indicators can easily be determined.

The column headed “Primes” lists those characteristics, for which the example described in the respective row exists for one of the reasons described in Lemma 2.11.

Remark 3.2. $M_{11}$: The character $1_3$ of the maximal subgroup $H = 3^2:Q_8.2$ is the non-trivial linear character which has the elements of order 8 of $H$ in its kernel.

$M_{12}$ and $2.M_{12}$: The character $1_3$ of the maximal subgroup $H = M_{10}:2$ of $M_{12}$ is the non-trivial linear character which has the elements of order 10 of $H$ in its kernel.

There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of $M_{12}$ isomorphic to $M_{11}$ and two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to $M_{10}:2$. In
each case, the two conjugacy classes are swapped by the outer automorphism. Since the irreducible imprimitive characters of \( G \) induced from these subgroups are invariant under the outer automorphism, these characters are also induced from the conjugate subgroups.

\( M_{22} \): Note that the example in characteristic 3 arises from the reduction modulo 3 of the character \( \chi_{40} \) of \( 3.M_{22} \) (Atlas notation). Since this reduction is not faithful anymore, we have introduced it in an extra row of Table 1.

\( 3.M_{22} \): The characters \( \chi_{13} \) and \( \chi_{14} \) are the inflations to \( 3.(2^4 \cdot A_6) \) of the characters of degree 3 of \( 3.A_{10} \).

\( \text{Co}_2 \): The character \( \psi_3' \) is (the inflation to \( 2^{10} : (M_{22} : 2) \)) of \( \psi_3 \) with the property that its value on class 2B equals \(-3\).

**Theorem 3.3.** (1) The following groups have no irreducible and imprimitive characters in any characteristic: \( J_1, 2.J_2, M_{23}, 3.J_3, \text{He}, 2.\text{Ru}, 6.\text{Suz}, \text{Co}_3, \text{HN}, \text{Ly}, \text{Th}, \text{Fi}_{23}, J_4, 2.B, \text{and} M \).

(2) All examples of irreducible imprimitive characters for the groups \( M_{11}, 2.M_{12}, 12.M_{22}, 2.\text{HS}, M_{23}, 3.\text{McL}, 3.\text{O'N}, \text{Co}_2, 6.\text{Fi}_{22}, 2.\text{Co}_1 \) and \( 3.\text{Fi}'_{24} \) are known and are listed in Table 1.

**Proof.** Our proof proceeds by completing Steps 1–4 above for all of the sporadic simple groups and their covering groups. In this proof, by an *example* we always mean an imprimitive irreducible character of \( G \). Characters can be ordinary or modular characters, and \( \ell \) denotes the characteristic of the underlying field \( K \), where \( \ell = 0 \) is allowed. If \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \), a (hypothetical) character of \( H \) inducing to an irreducible character of \( G \) is denoted by \( \varphi \), if \( \ell \) is not specified, and by \( \psi \), if \( \ell = 0 \).

\( G \in \{ M_{11}, 2.M_{12}, 2.J_2, M_{23}, 2.\text{HS}, 3.\text{McL}, \text{He} \} \): All the character tables are known in GAP for both \( G \) and for all maximal subgroups on the list generated in Step 2. Here all examples can be determined using GAP.

\( G = J_1 \) or \( G = 3.J_3 \): The list of maximal subgroups for \( G \) generated in Step 2 is empty, hence no examples exist.

\( G = 12.M_{22} \): The modular character tables of \( 12.M_{22} \) modulo all primes are known as well as the ordinary character tables of all maximal subgroups of \( 12.M_{22} \). It is easy to check using GAP and Lemma 2.11 that the examples of imprimitive irreducible characters given in Table 1 for the various central quotient groups of \( 12.M_{22} \) are correct.

There are a few candidates for imprimitive irreducible characters of \( G \) which do not yield examples. However, the potential characters which could possibly induce to irreducible characters of \( G \) have small
Table 1. Sporadic group examples

| $G$      | $H$        | $\psi$ | ind | $\psi(1)$ | $\chi$ | ind | $\chi(1)$ | Primes  |
|---------|------------|--------|-----|-----------|--------|-----|------------|---------|
| $M_{11}$| $M_{10}$   | $\zeta_2$ | $+$  | 1          | $\chi_5$ | $+$ | 11         | 0, 5, 11 |
|         | $3^2:Q_8:2$ | $\lambda_3$ | $+$  | 1          | $\chi_{10}$ | $+$ | 55         | 0, 5, 11 |
| $M_{12}$| $M_{11}$   | $\psi_3$ | $\circ 2$ | 10       | $\chi_{13}$ | $+$ | 120        | 0, 5    |
|         | $M_{10}:2$ | $\lambda_3$ | $+$  | 1          | $\chi_{11}$ | $+$ | 66         | 0, 5, 11 |
| $2.M_{12}$| $2 \times M_{11}$ | $\zeta_2 \otimes \psi_1$ | $+$  | 1          | $\chi_{18}$ | $+$ | 12         | 0, 5, 11 |
|         | $2 \times M_{11}$ | $\zeta_2 \otimes \psi_2$ | $+$  | 10        | $\chi_{24}$ | $+$ | 120        | 0, 5    |
|         | $2 \times M_{11}$ | $\zeta_2 \otimes \psi_3$ | $+$  | 9          | $\chi_{108}$ | $+$ | 108        | 11      |
| $M_{22}$| $2^4:A_6$  | $\psi_3$ | $\circ 2$ | 15       | $\chi_{15}$ | $+$ | 330        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
|         | $3.PSL_3(4)$ | $\psi_3$ | $\circ 2$ | 15       | $\chi_{15}$ | $+$ | 330        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
|         | $3.(2^2:A_6)$ | $\psi_3$ | $\circ 2$ | 3        | $\chi_{3}$ | $+$ | 231        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
|         | $3 \times (2^2:S_5)$ | $\psi_3$ | $\circ 2$ | 9        | $\chi_{3}$ | $+$ | 231        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
| $4.M_{22}$| $4_1.PSL_3(4)$ | $\psi_10$ | $\circ 2$ | 8        | $\chi_{8}$ | $+$ | 176        | 0, 11   |
|         | $4_1.PSL_3(4)$ | $\psi_20$ | $\circ 2$ | 8        | $\chi_{8}$ | $+$ | 176        | 0, 11   |
| $6.M_{22}$| $6 \times (2^2:SL_3(2))$ | $\psi_6$ | $\circ 2$ | 1        | $\chi_{1}$ | $+$ | 330        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
| $2.HIS$ | $2.M_{22}$ | $\psi_{13}$ | $\circ 2$ | 10       | $\chi_{10}$ | $+$ | 1000       | 0, 3, 5 |
|         | $PSU_3(5):(2 \times 2)^2$ | $\zeta_6$ | $\circ 2$ | 1        | $\chi_{1}$ | $+$ | 177        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
| $M_{24}$| $2^6:3.S_6$ | $\zeta_2$ | $+$  | 1          | $\chi_{1}$ | $+$ | 177        | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
| $McL$   | $PSU_4(3)$ | $\psi_3$ | $+$  | 35         | $\chi_{35}$ | $+$ | 9625       | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
|         |          | $\psi_4$ | $+$  | 35         | $\chi_{35}$ | $+$ | 9625       | 0, 5, 7, 11 |
| $3.O'N$ | $3 \times (PSL_3(7):2)$ | $\psi_3 \otimes \psi_2$ | $\circ 2$ | 1        | $\chi_{1}$ | $+$ | 122760     | 0, 5, 11, 31 |
| $Co_2$  | $PSU_5(2):2$ | $\psi_7$ | $\circ 2$ | 560      | $\chi_{560}$ | $+$ | 1288000    | 0, 5, 7, 23 |
|         |          | $2^2:(M_{22}:2)$ | $\psi_7$ | $\circ 2$ | 45        | $\chi_{45}$ | $+$ | 2095875    | 0, 3, 5, 23 |
| $6.Fi_{22}$| $6 \times 11_S(2):S_3$ | $\zeta_6 \otimes \chi_1$ | $\circ 2$ | 1        | $\chi_{1}$ | $+$ | 61776      | 0, 5, 11, 13 |
|         | $6 \times 11_S(2):S_3$ | $\zeta_6 \otimes \zeta_2$ | $\circ 2$ | 1        | $\chi_{1}$ | $+$ | 61776      | 0, 5, 11, 13 |
|         | $6 \times 11_S(2):S_3$ | $\zeta_6 \otimes \psi_2$ | $\circ 2$ | 2        | $\chi_{2}$ | $+$ | 123552     | 0, 5, 11, 13 |
| $2.Co_1$| $2 \times Co_2$ | $\zeta_2 \otimes \psi_{12}$ | $\circ 2$ | 10395    | $\chi_{10395}$ | $+$ | 1021620600 | 0, 7, 11, 13 |
| $3.Fi_{24}$| $3 \times Fi_{23}$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_{15}$ | $\circ 2$ | 837200   | $\chi_{837200}$ | $+$ | 256966819200 | 0, 5, 7, 13, 23, 29 |
|         | $3 \times Fi_{23}$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_{16}$ | $\circ 2$ | 837200   | $\chi_{837200}$ | $+$ | 256966819200 | 0, 5, 7, 13, 23, 29 |

degrees, and these hypothetical cases are easily ruled out by ad hoc arguments. We only give one such argument here. The group $G = 2.M_{22}$ has two faithful irreducible characters of degree 154 in characteristic $\ell = 3$. Let $\vartheta$ be one of them, and let $H = 2.(2^4:A_6)$ be the maximal subgroup of $G$ of index 77. Suppose that $\varphi$ is an irreducible character of $H$ of degree 2, inducing to $\vartheta$. Then $Z(G)$ is not in the kernel of $\varphi$. All ordinary irreducible characters of $H$ with $Z(G)$ not in their kernels...
are faithful (see GAP). Now \( \varphi \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linear combination of such ordinary irreducible characters (restricted to the 3-regular classes of \( H \)). Since \( H \) does not have any non-trivial normal 3-subgroup, this implies that \( \varphi \) is faithful. Since the character table of \( H \) has only quadratic irrationalities, this would yield an embedding of \( H \) into \( \text{GL}_2(9) \), which is absurd.

\( G = M_{24} \): The ordinary character tables of the maximal subgroups of \( M_{24} \) are available in GAP, as well as enough of the modular character tables, so that the result can be derived with the help of Lemma 2.11.

\( G = 2.\text{Ru} \): The only maximal subgroups that could lead to examples are \( 2^2F_4(2)' \) and \( 2^6 : \text{PSU}_3(3) : 2 \). Moreover, all degrees of irreducible 2.Ru characters are known. We find that the only possibilities are for a 13 or 26-degree character of \( 2^2F_4(2)' \) in characteristic 0 and 7. As 7 is coprime to the order of \( 2^2F_4(2)' \), we only need to check the ordinary characters using GAP. Here we find no examples.

\( G = 6.\text{Suz} \): The available character tables in GAP and Lemma 2.11 leave only one possibility for a potential imprimitive irreducible character of \( G \), a faithful character \( \vartheta \) of degree 68 640 in characteristic \( \ell = 5 \), with block stabilizer \( H \) of index 22 880, i.e., \( H \) is the second largest maximal subgroup of \( G \). Suppose that \( \varphi \) is an irreducible character of \( H \) inducing to \( \vartheta \). Then \( \varphi(1) = 3 \). Since \( \vartheta \) is faithful, \( Z(G) \) is faithfully represented on a module with character \( \varphi \). But \( Z(G) = Z(H) \leq H' \) (see GAP) implies that the degree of \( \varphi \) is divisible by 6, a contradiction.

\( G = 3.\text{O'N} \): The only subgroup with an index which is small enough is \( 3 \times (\text{PSL}_3(7) : 2) \). Moreover, the only character that may work is linear. Checking the ordinary characters, we see that the two linear characters of \( 3 \times (\text{PSL}_3(7) : 2) \) of order 6 induce to irreducible characters of 3.O’N. The corresponding ordinary irreducible imprimitive characters of 3.O’N have degree 122 760. Since these two characters are reducible modulo \( \ell \) for \( \ell \in \{2, 3, 7, 19\} \), and irreducible modulo all other primes, the results of Table 2 follow from Lemma 2.11.

\( G = \text{Co}_3 \): The modular character tables of \( G \) are known, as well as the ordinary character tables of all maximal subgroups of \( G \). Using this information, we find that only the largest maximal subgroup McL.2 can possibly be a block stabilizer. But all modular character tables for McL.2 are known as well, so that this case is easily ruled out.

\( G = \text{Co}_2 \): The four possible maximal block stabilizers are \( \text{PSU}_6(2) : 2 \), \( 2^{10} : M_{22} : 2 \), McL and \( 2^{1+8} : \text{Sp}_6(2) \). The modular character tables of \( \text{PSU}_6(2) : 2 \) are available in GAP, which makes it easy to complete the proof in this case. For \( H \in \{2^{10} : M_{22} : 2, \text{McL}, 2^{1+8} : \text{Sp}_6(2)\} \), we find
that the only characters in any characteristic that could induce to irreducible characters are irreducible restrictions of characteristic 0 examples. Using Lemma 2.11 and GAP, we find the examples in these cases.

\[ G = 6.\text{Fi}_{22} \]: All modular character tables for \( G \) are known (see [56, 100]). Only the five largest maximal subgroups remain after Step 1, and the smallest of these, \( M_5 \) say, of index 142 155, does not give any candidates inducing to faithful characters of \( G \). In fact the only candidates for \( M_5 \) have degree 6, and this possibility only occurs for \( \text{Fi}_{22} \) and 3.\( \text{Fi}_{22} \) and in characteristics \( \ell \neq 2 \). Now \( M_5 \) has a normal subgroup \( N \) isomorphic to 2.10, and any \( \ell \)-modular irreducible character of \( M_5 \) with \( N \) not in its kernel has degree larger than 6 by Clifford theory. Hence the candidates for \( M_5 \) have \( N \) in their kernel and are thus irreducible \( \ell \)-modular characters of \( M_{22} \) or 3.\( M_{22} \), respectively. But these groups do not have irreducible \( \ell \)-modular characters of degree 6.

The ordinary character tables of the four largest maximal subgroups are also available in GAP, as well as their modular characters. This allows us easily to deduce the result.

\[ G = \text{HN} \]: Here, the only subgroups with an index smaller than the largest irreducible character of \( G \) are \( A_{12} \) and 2.\( \text{HS} \). Moreover, the upper bound for the degree is 5 for an \( A_{12} \)-character and 3 for a 2.\( \text{HS} \)-character. Thus, an example can arise only from a linear character of 2.\( \text{HS} \). Checking the characteristic 0 case and using Lemma 2.11, we find that there are no examples for this group.

\[ G = \text{Ly} \]: The only possibilities for \( H \) are \( G_2(5) \) and 3.\( \text{McL} \). Moreover, the upper bound for the degree of an \( H \)-character is 8 in both cases. If \( H = 3.\text{McL} \), then only linear characters are possible. Checking the characteristic 0 case and using Lemma 2.11, we see that \( H \) is not a block stabilizer.

If \( H = G_2(5) \), then a character \( \varphi \) inducing irreducibly to \( G \) must be the character of the minimal module for \( G_2(5) \) in characteristic 5. Now there is a conjugate \( H' \) of \( H \) such that \( H' \cap H = \text{PSU}_3(3) \) (see [26, p. 174]). Moreover the restriction to this intersection of the minimal module for \( H \) is irreducible. Although \( \text{PSU}_3(3) \) has three 5-modular characters of degree 7, the restriction of the minimal character of \( H \) has value 0 on all 12-elements of \( \text{PSU}_3(3) \), but no other 5-modular character of degree 7 of \( \text{PSU}_3(3) \) has this property (irreducible or reducible). In particular, \( \text{Res}_{H' \cap H}(\varphi) = \text{Res}_{H' \cap H}(\varphi) \), which eliminates this case as well.

\[ G = \text{Th} \]: The only subgroup that may provide an example is 3\( D_4(2) : 3 \) with a linear character. Using the ordinary character tables and Lemma 2.11, we see that there are no examples for this group.
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$G = \text{Fi}_{23}$: According to the “Improvements to the Atlas” in [71, Appendix 2], the list of maximal subgroups of $\text{Fi}_{23}$ given in [26, p. 177] is complete, except that the last maximal subgroup has to be replaced by $\text{PSL}_2(23)$ (see [71, p. 304]). A potential block stabilizer is among the first six maximal subgroups. We consider each of these possibilities in turn, starting with the smallest one. In all cases, we denote by $\varphi$ an irreducible $K$-character inducing irreducibly to $G$.

Suppose first that $H = 2^{11}.\text{M}_{23}$. Then $\varphi(1) \leq 2$ and, since $H$ is perfect, we have $\varphi(1) = 2$. Since $H$ does not have any irreducible character of this degree, this subgroup does not provide any example.

Next let $H = 3.S_3 \times \Omega_7(3)$. Here, $\varphi(1) \leq 3$. Since the smallest degree of a non-trivial irreducible character of $\Omega_7(3)$ is 7, we must have $\Omega_7(3)$ in the kernel of $\varphi$, i.e., $\varphi$ is a character of $S_3$. In $S_3$, every modular irreducible character lifts to an ordinary irreducible character. By the first part of Lemma 2.11, it suffices to check the ordinary character tables. This does not give any example.

If $H = \text{Sp}_8(2)$, we have $\varphi(1) \leq 7$, but a non-trivial irreducible character of $H$ has degree at least 8. Thus we do not find an example with block stabilizer $\text{Sp}_8(2)$.

Now let $H = 2^{2}.\text{PSU}_6(2).2$. In this case $\varphi(1) \leq 10$. To show that only characters of degree 1 satisfy this condition, it suffices to look at the subgroup $2^{2}.\text{PSU}_6(2)$ of $H$. This group does not have any faithful irreducible characters, and a non-trivial irreducible character of $2.\text{PSU}_6(2)$ has degree at least 20. Hence $\varphi(1) = 1$. Checking the ordinary character table and using Lemma 2.11, we find no example for this subgroup either.

Next let $H = P\Omega_8(3)^+ : S_3$. Put $F := \text{Fi}_{24}$ and let $u, v, w$ be 3-transpositions of $F$ such that $uw = vu$, but $w$ does not commute with $u$ and $v$. Then $G = C_F(u)$, and $H = C_G(uw) = C_F(u, w)$ (see [5, (16.12), (15.14), (25.9)]). Put $L := C_{F'}(u, v, w)$. Then $L \cong \Omega_8^+(2) : S_3$ by [5] (25.6)]. Put $s := uv$. Then $s \in \langle u, v, w \rangle$ and thus $s$ centralizes $L$. It follows that $L = H \cap H^s$ since $L$ is a maximal subgroup of $H$ (see [26, p. 140]). Hence $H$ is not a block stabilizer by Lemma 2.2.

Finally, let $H = 2.\text{Fi}_{22}$, the largest maximal subgroup of $G$. Let $t \in G$ be an element of the 3A-conjugacy class of $G$. Then $N := N_G(\langle t \rangle) = \langle t, s \rangle \times L$ with $\langle t, s \rangle \cong S_3$ and $L \cong \Omega_7(3)$ (see [26, p. 177]). Thus $s$ is an involution in class 2A, since no other involution centralizer is divisible by $|L|$. We choose $H = C_G(s)$. Then $L \leq H^t \cap H$. Since $\langle s \rangle \times L$ is a maximal subgroup of $H$ (see [26, p. 163]), and since $s^t \notin H$, we have $L = H^t \cap H$. In particular, $t$ centralizes $H^t \cap H$. Hence $H$ is not a block stabilizer by Lemma 2.2.
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$G = 2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ or $G = \text{Co}_1$: Suppose first that $\ell = 0$. Step 1 leaves the seven largest maximal subgroups as candidates for block stabilizers, but only the largest and the fifth largest maximal subgroup remain after Step 2. For the latter subgroup the only candidates have degree 1. Now the subgroup $2^{1+8}_+\cdot\Omega^+_8(2)$ of $\text{Co}_1$ is perfect and thus cannot be a block stabilizer. Moreover, $2^{1+8}_+\cdot\Omega^+_8(2)$ is not a subgroup of $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$, as can be shown with GAP. Hence $2.(2^{1+8}_+\cdot\Omega^+_8(2)) \leq 2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ is perfect as well, and so it cannot be a block stabilizer either.

Next let $H = 2 \times \text{Co}_2$ be the largest maximal subgroup of $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$. The only candidates have degrees 1080, 5313 and 10395, and since 1080 and 5313 are not character degrees for $H$, only 10395 remains. These candidates yield the characteristic 0 examples of Table 1. The modular character tables of $H$ and of $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ are known for $\ell = 7, 11, 13$ and 23 (see [55] and [15]). This allows us to conclude that the degree 10395 characters also yield examples for $\ell = 7, 11$ and 13 and that there are no more examples with block stabilizer $H$ in these characteristics.

We are now going to show that there are no more examples of irreducible imprimitive characters. Assume from now on that $\ell \neq 0$. We consider the seven maximal subgroups of $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ in turn, starting with the smallest one.

This is $H := 2.(A_4 \times G_2(4)) : 2)$. Here, $\varphi(1) = 1$ and thus $\varphi$ is liftable. But $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ does not have an ordinary irreducible character of degree equal to the index of $H$. Thus $H$ does not give any example.

Next, let $H = 2.(\text{PSU}_6(2) : S_3)$. Here, $\varphi(1) \leq 13$. Since the non-split extension $2\cdot\text{PSU}_6(2)$ is not a subgroup of $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ (use GAP to show this), it follows that $H$ has a normal subgroup $L$ of index 12, isomorphic to $\text{PSU}_6(2)$. The smallest non-trivial character degree of $\text{PSU}_6(2)$ in any characteristic is larger than 13 (see [15]). Hence $\varphi$ has $L$ in its kernel and is thus liftable. Moreover, $\varphi(1) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. But $2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ does not have an ordinary irreducible character of degree equal to $d \cdot [2\cdot\text{Co}_1 : H]$ for $d = 1, 2, 3$, and so $H$ does not give any example.

Now let $G = \text{Co}_1$ and $H = 2^{1+8}_+\cdot\Omega^+_8(2)$. Then $H = C_G(x)$ for an element $z$ in class $2A$ of $G$. By computing class multiplication coefficients we see that there is a conjugate $y$ of $z$ such that $yz$ lies in class $3B$. Hence there is an element $t$ of order 3 such that $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$. Lemma[2.3] and Corollary[2.7] imply that neither $H$ nor its inverse image in $2.G$ are block stabilizers of imprimitive irreducible representations of $G$, respectively $2.G$.

Next let $G = 2\cdot\text{Co}_1$ and $H = 2 \times \text{Co}_3$. All modular character tables of $H$ are known. From these we conclude that the only candidates $\varphi$ which are not liftable have degree 22, and occur for $\ell = 2$ and 3. In
Lemma 2.5 implies that \( t \) out the cases \( \ell \) faithful characters of \( G \) this case, \( \varphi \) is a constituent of the reduction modulo \( \ell \) of one of the two ordinary characters of \( H \) of degree 23. We can use Lemma 2.12 to show that \( H \) is not a block stabilizer.

Now let \( G = 2.C01 \) and \( H = 2.(2^{11}: M_{24}) \). Here, \( \varphi(1) \leq 123 \). Using Clifford theory and the known modular character tables of \( M_{24} \), we find that the only non-liftable Brauer characters \( \varphi \) of degrees at most 123 have degrees 22 if \( \ell = 3 \), and 11 and 44 if \( \ell = 2 \). Again, an application of Lemma 2.12 rules out these candidates.

Next, let \( G = C01 \) and \( H = 3.Suz.2 \). Here, \( H = N_G(\langle z \rangle) \), where \( z \) is an element of class 3A of \( G \) (see [26, p. 183]). A computation of class multiplication coefficients shows that there is a conjugate \( z' \) of \( z \) such that \( zz' \) is an involution in Class 2B. It follows that \( L := \langle z, z' \rangle \) is isomorphic to an alternating group \( A_4 \), and \( N_G(\langle z, z' \rangle) \cong (A_4 \times G_2(4)) : 2 \) (again, see [26, p. 183]). Thus \( N_G(L) = (L \times D) : \langle s \rangle \) with \( D \cong G_2(4) \), and \( s \) an involution normalizing \( L \) and \( D \). Now \( \langle L, s \rangle \cong S_4 \).

A computation in \( S_4 \) shows that there exists an involution \( t \in L \) such that \( L = \langle z, z' \rangle \) and such that \( t \) centralizes \( N_{L, s}(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_{L, s}(\langle z' \rangle) \). Lemma 2.23 implies that \( t \) centralizes \( H \cap H^t \).

Now, take \( G = 2.C01 \) and \( H = 6.Suz.2 \). It suffices to consider faithful characters of \( G \) and so we may assume that \( \ell \) is odd. Ruling out the cases \( \ell = 7, 11 \) and 13 with the known modular character tables for \( G \), we may assume that \( \ell = 3 \) or 5. Here, \( \varphi(1) \leq 660 \). The \( \ell \)-modular character tables and decomposition numbers for \( H \) are known. If \( \ell = 5 \), there are just two non-liftable irreducible Brauer characters of degrees at most 660. Both of them have \( Z(G) \) in their kernel, so they do not induce to irreducible characters by what we have already proven. Now let \( \ell = 3 \). Using Lemma 2.12 it is easy to rule out all but two potential examples. The remaining Brauer characters that could possibly induce to irreducible characters have degree 12. Let \( \varphi \in \text{IBr}_3(H) \) with \( \varphi(1) = 12 \). Then \( \bar{\varphi} \neq \varphi \), and \( \bar{\varphi} + \varphi \) lifts to an ordinary irreducible character \( \psi \) of degree 24. There is exactly one irreducible constituent \( \chi \) of \( \text{Ind}^G_H(\psi) \) with \( \chi(1) \geq 12[H:G] \), and \( \chi \) occurs with multiplicity 1 in \( \text{Ind}^G_H(\psi) \). Moreover, \( \chi \) is real valued. (In fact, \( \chi = \chi_{132} \) in Atlas notation.) Now if \( \text{Ind}^G_H(\varphi) \) were irreducible, so would be \( \text{Ind}^G_H(\bar{\varphi}) \), and both would be contained in the reduction modulo 3 of \( \chi \). However, \( 24[H:G] > \chi(1) \), so that this possibility does not occur.

Finally, let \( G = 2.C01 \) and \( H = 2 \times C02 \) if \( \ell = 3 \) or 5, and let \( G = C01 \) and \( H = C02 \) if \( \ell = 2 \). The \( \ell \)-modular character tables and decomposition numbers for \( H \) are known. Using Lemma 2.12 we can easily rule out \( H \) as a block stabilizer. We only comment on the critical
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cases. Suppose first that $\ell$ is odd. Then the only candidates arise from the liftable Brauer characters of $H$ of degree 10395. The lifts of these induce to the irreducible ordinary character $\chi_{167}$ of $G$ of largest degree. However, this character is neither irreducible modulo 5 (see [15]) nor modulo 3. In each case, the restriction of $\chi_{167}$ to the $\ell$-regular elements is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of restricted ordinary characters of smaller degrees. Now let $\ell = 2$. Then there exists $\varphi \in \text{IBr}_2(H)$ with $\varphi(1) = 748$. The complex conjugate character $\bar{\varphi}$ is distinct from $\varphi$, and $\varphi$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ are both constituents of the reduction modulo 2 of $\psi \in \text{Irr}(H)$ with $\psi(1) = 1771$. There is exactly one irreducible constituent $\chi$ of $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$ with $\chi(1) \geq 748 \cdot [G:H]$, and $\chi$ occurs with multiplicity 1 in $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$. Moreover, $\chi$ is real valued. (In fact, $\chi = \chi_{59}$ in Atlas notation.) Now if $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)$ were irreducible, so would be $\text{Ind}_H^G(\bar{\varphi})$, and both would be contained in the reduction modulo 2 of $\chi$. However, $2 \cdot 748 \cdot [G:H] > \chi(1)$, so that this possibility does not occur.

This completes our proof for 2. Co1.

$G = J_4$: Only the largest maximal subgroup $H = 2^{11}:M_{24}$ of $J_4$ arises in Step 1. Suppose first that $\ell$ is odd or $\ell = 0$. Then the two non-trivial orbits of $M_{24}$ on the set of irreducible characters (ordinary or $\ell$-modular) of the normal subgroup $2^{11}$ of $H$ have lengths 759 and 1288, respectively (see the ordinary character table of $H$ in [42]). By [71], a non-trivial character of $M_{24}$ has dimension at least 22. But $22 \cdot [J_4:H]$ is larger than the largest degree of an ordinary irreducible character of $J_4$. Suppose then that $\ell = 2$. The 2-modular character table of $H$ is the same as the 2-modular character table of $M_{24}$. The only characters of $H$ that can possibly induce to an irreducible character of $J_4$ are the two characters of degree 11. They arise as constituents of the ordinary irreducible character $\psi$ of $M_{24}$ of degree 23. Inducing $\psi$ from $H$ to $J_4$ using [42] we find

$$\text{Ind}_{J_4}^J(\psi) = \chi_{29} + \chi_{30} + \chi_{45} + \chi_{51}.$$ 

Each of these characters has degree less than $11 \cdot [J_4:H]$. We are done with Lemma 2.12.

$G = 3 \cdot \text{Fi}'_{24}$: Step 1 leaves the six largest maximal subgroups as candidates for block stabilizers. If $\ell = 0$, however, only $3 \times \text{Fi}_{23}$ and $3.((3 \times P\Omega_8^+(3):3):2)$ remain after Step 2. We now consider these six maximal subgroups in turn, starting with the largest one.

If $G = 3 \cdot \text{Fi}'_{24}$ and $H = 3.(3^{1+10}.\text{PSU}_5(2):2)$, then $\varphi(1) = 1$. Hence, $\varphi$ is liftable. By Lemma 2.11 there are no such examples.

Next, let $G = 3 \cdot \text{Fi}'_{24}$ and $H = 3.(3^7.\Omega_7(3))$. Here, $\varphi(1) \leq 3$. The smallest degree of a non-trivial projective character of $\Omega_7(3)$ is, in any
characteristic, larger than 3. It follows from Clifford theory, applied to
\(O_3(H)\), that \(\varphi\) is liftable. Again, Lemma 2.11 rules out this possibility.

In case \(G = 3\.Fi'_{24}\) and \(H = 3 \times \Omega^+_1(2)\), we must have \(\varphi(1) \leq 8\),
and a similar argument as above disposes of this possibility.

Next, let \(G = Fi'_{24}\) and \(H = (3 \times \Omega^+_8(3):3):2\). In this case
\(H = N_G(\langle z \rangle)\) for an element \(z\) in the conjugacy class \(3A\) of \(G\) (see \([26\), p. 207]). A computation of class multiplication coefficients shows that
there is a conjugate \(z'\) of \(z\) such that \(zz'\) is an involution in Class \(2A\).
It follows that \(L := \langle z, z' \rangle\) is isomorphic to an alternating group \(A_4\), and
\(N_G(\langle z, z' \rangle) \cong (A_4 \times \Omega^+_8(2):3):2\) (again, see \([26\), p. 207]). Thus
\(N_G(L) = (L \times D):\langle s \rangle\) with \(D \cong \Omega^+_8(2):3\), and \(s\) an involution normalizing \(L\) and \(D\). Now \(\langle L, s \rangle \cong S_4\). A computation in \(S_4\) shows that there exists an involution \(t \in L\) such that \(L = \langle z, z' \rangle\) and such that \(t\)
centralizes \(N_L(s)(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_L(s)(\langle z' \rangle)^t\). Lemma 2.5 implies that \(t\) centralizes \(H\) and thus \(H\) is not a block stabilizer in \(G\). Finally, Corollary 2.7 excludes \(3.H\) as a block stabilizer in \(3.G\).

Next, let \(G = Fi'_{24}\) and \(H = 2.Fi_{22}.2\). In this case, \(H = C_G(z)\) for
a \(2A\)-element \(z\). A class multiplication coefficient computation shows
the existence of a conjugate \(y\) of \(z\) such that \(zy\) lies in class \(5A\). Hence
there is an element \(t\) of order 5 such that \(t \in \langle z, z' \rangle\). Lemma 2.5
and Corollary 2.7 imply that neither \(H\) nor its inverse image in \(3.G\)
are block stabilizers of imprimitive irreducible representations of \(G\),
respectively \(3.G\).

Let us finally consider the largest maximal subgroup. Suppose first
that \(G = Fi'_{24}\) and \(H = Fi_{23}\). Now \(H = C_G(z)\) for an element \(z \in Fi_{24}\)
lying in conjugacy class \(2C\) (see \([26\), p. 207]). There is an element \(z'\)
conjugate to \(z\) such that \(t := zz'\) has order 3. Hence \(t \in \langle z, z' \rangle\), and
there are no irreducible characters of \(G\) induced from \(H\) by Lemma 2.3
Suppose then that \(G = 3\.Fi'_{24}\) and \(H = 3 \times Fi_{23}\). Using GAP, we
find exactly the characteristic 0 examples given in Table 1. The character
\(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \chi_{15}\) of \(H\) is of degree 837200 and is thus of defect 0 for
\(\ell = 5, 7, 13, 23, 29\). This yields the examples in these characteristics by
Lemma 2.11. If \(\ell = 11\) or \(\ell = 17\), then \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \chi_{15}\) is irreducible modulo \(\ell\)
\([55\), p. 298 and 302], but \(\chi_{241}\) is not \([55\), p. 366 and 378]). The reduction of \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \chi_{15}\) modulo 2 is reducible \((58\), and modulo 3 it
is not faithful. Suppose that \(\ell \neq 2, 3\). All \(\ell\)-modular character tables
of \(H\) are known. By applying Lemma 2.12 we find no other examples
if \(\ell\) is odd. Since \(G\) has no faithful irreducible characters for \(\ell = 3\),
we may now assume that \(\ell = 2\). In this case, the modular character
table of \(H\) is also known \((58\). Applying Lemma 2.12 once more,
only the irreducible Brauer characters of degrees 1 494 and 94 588 could
possibly induce to irreducible characters of $G$. These Brauer characters are faithful, lying in two dual blocks of $H$. Each of these blocks contains one irreducible character of degree 1 494 and two irreducible characters of degree 94 588. To rule out these cases we need a refinement of the argument of Lemma 2.12. Let $\varphi_1$ denote one of the irreducible Brauer characters of $H$ of degree 1 494, and let $\varphi_2$ be the Brauer character of $H$ obtained by restricting the ordinary irreducible character of degree 274 482 (in the same block as $\varphi_1$) to the 2-regular elements. Then $\varphi_2$ contains each of the two irreducible Brauer characters of degree 94 588 (in the same block as $\varphi_1$) as constituents (see [58]). Thus $\varphi_2 = 94 588_1 + 94 588_2 + \varphi_3$ for some Brauer character $\varphi_3$. Put $\Phi_i := \text{Ind}_G^H(\varphi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. We now express $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ in terms of suitable ordinary characters, restricted to the 2-regular elements of $G$. The result is given in Table 2. The first column of this table gives the degrees of the restricted ordinary characters, the second and third column give the multiplicities of these restrictions in $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$, respectively. Thus the degree of any modular constituent of $\Phi_1$ is at most equal to 330 032 934 < 1 494 × 306 936, and the degree of any modular constituent of $\Phi_2$ is at most equal to 21 842 179 632 < 94 588 × 306 936. Since the second of these factors is the index $[G:H]$, the characters $\text{Ind}_H^G(1 494)$ and $\text{Ind}_H^G(94 588_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are reducible. This completes the proof for 3.Fi'24.

$G = 2.B$: There are only four maximal subgroups of $2.B$ in the list generated in Step 1, namely $2^2.2E_6(2):2$, $2.2^{1+22}Co_2$, $2 \times \text{Fi}_{23}$ and $2.(2^{2+16}:\text{Sp}_8(2))$. If $H = 2^2.2E_6(2):2$ or $2.(2^{1+22}Co_2)$, then the image of $H$ in $B$ is the centralizer of a $2A$ or $2B$ element $z \in B$, respectively (see [26], p. 217). In each case, there is a conjugate $z'$ such that

**Table 2. Decomposition of some induced Brauer characters**

| Degree   | $\Phi_1$ | $\Phi_2$ | Degree   | $\Phi_1$ | $\Phi_2$ |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 783      | -1       | -5       | 203 843 871 | -1       | -6       |
| 64 584   | -1       | 0        | 216 154 575  | 1        | 4        |
| 306 153  | 1        | 6        | 216 154 575  | 1        | 2        |
| 6 724 809| 1        | 6        | 330 032 934  | 1        | 8        |
| 19 034 730| -1      | -7       | 1 050 717 096 | 0        | 2        |
| 25 356 672| 0       | 1        | 2 801 912 256 | 0        | 6        |
| 43 779 879| -2      | -8       | 8 993 909 925  | 0        | 2        |
| 195 019 461| 0   | 7        | 21 842 179 632 | 0        | 2        |
⟨z, z^t⟩ ∼ S_3. (This is easily checked using structure constants.) We may thus assume that t is a 3-element in ⟨z, z^t⟩. Moreover, as t is a 3-element, there is a 3-element in the preimage of t in 2.B. Thus, H can not be a block stabilizer by Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.7.

If H = 2 × Fi_{23}, then ϕ(1) ≤ 29. Therefore ϕ must be linear. Checking the characteristic 0 case, we see that the corresponding linear character induces to a reducible character.

Finally suppose that H = 2.(2^{9+16}.Sp_8(2)). Then ϕ(1) < 7 if Z(G) is in the kernel of ϕ, and ϕ(1) < 12, otherwise. The ordinary character table of the image ˇH of H in B is available in GAP. (It has been computed by Eamonn O'Brien.) We find that ˇH is perfect. Moreover, GAP shows that ˇH is not a subgroup of 2.B. Thus ˇH is perfect as well. Suppose first that ℓ = 2. In this case every irreducible 2-modular character of H is a 2-modular character of Sp_8(2). However, the smallest degree of a non-trivial 2-modular character of Sp_8(2) equals 8. Thus there is no imprimitive irreducible 2-modular character of G, since this would have Z(G) in its kernel. Suppose then that ℓ > 2 or ℓ = 0 and let ϕ be an irreducible character of H of degree smaller than 12. Put N := O_2(H) and let λ be an irreducible constituent of Res^H_N(ϕ). If λ were not invariant in H, the degree of ϕ would be at least equal to the index of a proper subgroup of Sp_8(2), hence at least equal to 120. Thus λ is invariant in H. Since the Schur multiplier of Sp_8(2) is trivial, and since a non-trivial character of Sp_8(2) has degree at least 35, we conclude that ϕ is an extension of λ. Suppose now that ℓ ≠ 0. The restriction of ϕ to N equals λ, which lifts to characteristic 0, since N is an ℓ'-group. Thus ϕ also lifts to an ordinary character ψ, say, namely to the extension to H of the lift of Res^H_N(ϕ). But no ordinary character degree of 2.B is divisible by the index of H in G. In particular, Ind^G_H(ψ) is reducible. Then Ind^G_H(ϕ) is reducible as well by Lemma 2.11(1). This completes the proof for 2.B.

G = M: It follows from the known information on the maximal subgroups of M (see [117]), that the only maximal subgroup which is large enough is 2.B. Now H = 2.B is the centralizer of a 2A-element z ∈ M (see [26] p. 234). Using structure constants one checks that there is a conjugate z' of z such that t := zz' has order 3. Hence t ∈ ⟨z, z^t⟩ and thus, by Lemma 2.3, the subgroup 2.B does not give rise to imprimitive irreducible characters of M.

⋄
CHAPTER 4

Alternating Groups

In this chapter $G$ is an alternating group or the double cover of an alternating group (or possibly the triple or sixfold cover of $A_6$ or $A_7$). The results presented here are known, but included for the sake of completeness. Again, $K$ denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$, and the results are formulated in terms of $K$-characters.

We first consider the groups $A_n$ for small values of $n$. The examples are given in Table 1, where we use similar conventions as for Table 1.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $G$ be a covering group of $A_n$ with $5 \leq n \leq 9$. Then the irreducible imprimitive $K$-characters of $G$ are exactly those described in Table 1.

**Proof.** The result is easily obtained with the help of GAP. These groups have also been treated in [35] and [99] in case char($K$) = 0.

If $n$ is large enough, the possible maximal block stabilizers are rather restricted.

**Proposition 4.2.** [Nett-Noeske [99]] Suppose that $n \geq 10$ and let $G = 2.A_n$ denote the twofold cover of $A_n$. Let $H \leq G$ be the inverse image of a maximal, transitive subgroup of $A_n$. If $H$ is the block stabilizer of an irreducible imprimitive non-trivial $K$-character, then $n = 2m$ is even and $H/Z(G) = (S_m \wr S_2) \cap A_n$.

The next result gives all generic examples in case of char($K$) = 0.

**Theorem 4.3.** [Djoković-Malzan, Nett-Noeske, Schur] Suppose that char($K$) = 0. Then the irreducible imprimitive $K$-characters of $A_n$ or $2.A_n$, $n \geq 10$ are exactly those given in Table 2.

The group $E$ in the middle column and second row of this table is a group of order 4; it is elementary abelian, if $m$ is even, and cyclic, otherwise. The characters $1_3$ and $1_4$ in the same column are characters of degree 1 which have $A_m \times A_m$ in their kernel, but not $(S_m \times S_m) \cap A_m$.

The Frobenius-Schur indicators in this table are computed as follows:

$$
\iota_m = \begin{cases} 
+ & \text{if } m(m - 1)/2 \text{ is even}, \\
\circ & \text{if } m(m - 1)/2 \text{ is odd};
\end{cases}
$$
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Table 1. Small alternating group examples

| $G$  | $H$          | $\psi$ | $\lambda$ | $\mu$ | $\kappa$ | $\nu$ | $\lambda$ | $\mu$ | $\kappa$ | $\nu$ | $\lambda$ | $\mu$ | $\kappa$ | $\nu$ |
|------|--------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| $A_5$ | $A_4$        | $\zeta_3$ | 1         | 1 $\chi_5$ | $+$ | 5   | 0,5      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| 2.$A_5$ | 5:4         | $\zeta_4$ | 1         | 1 $\chi_9$ | $-$ | 6   | 0,3      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| $A_6$ | $3^2:4$      | $\zeta_4$ | 1         | 1 $\chi_7$ | $+$ | 10  | 0,5      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| 2.$A_6$ | $3^2:8$     | $\zeta_8$ | 1         | 1 $\chi_{12}$ | $-$ | 10  | 0,5      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| 3.$A_6$ | $3 \times A_5$ | $\zeta_3 \boxtimes 1$ | $\circ$ 2 | 1 $\chi_{16}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 6   | 0,5      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| 6.$A_6$ | $3 \times 2.A_5$ | $\zeta_3 \boxtimes 2_1$ | $\circ$ 2 | 2 $\chi_{21}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 12  | 0        |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| 3.$A_7$ | 3.$A_6$      | $\psi_{14}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 3 $\chi_{21}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 21  | 0,7      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
|         | $3 \times \text{SL}_3(2)$ | $\zeta_3 \boxtimes 1$ | $\circ$ 2 | 1 $\chi_{19}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 15  | 0,5      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
|         | $3 \times S_5$ | $\zeta_3 \boxtimes 2_2$ | $\circ$ 2 | 2 $\chi_{22}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 12  | 0        |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| $A_8$ | $2^4: \text{SL}_3(2)$ | $\psi_{2}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 3 $\chi_{10}$ | $\circ$ 2 | 45  | 0,3,5    |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
|         | $2^4:(S_3 \times S_3)$ | $\zeta_2 \boxtimes 1$ | $\circ$ 2 | 1 $\chi_{9}$ | $+$ | 35  | 0,3,5,7  |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
|         | 2$^4:(S_3 \times S_3)$ | $\zeta_2 \boxtimes 2$ | $\circ$ 2 | 2 $\chi_{14}$ | $+$ | 70  | 0,5,7    |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |
| $A_9$ | $\text{SL}_2(8):3$ | $\zeta_3$ | 1         | 1 $\chi_{14}$ | $+$ | 120 | 0,5      |         |          |       |            |        |          |       |

$\kappa_m = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \circ, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$

$\lambda_m = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } m(m-1)/2 \equiv (0 \mod 8), \\ -, & \text{if } m(m-1)/2 \equiv (4 \mod 8), \\ \circ, & \text{if } m(m-1)/2 \equiv (2 \mod 4); \end{cases}$

$\nu_m = \begin{cases} +, & \text{if } m(m-1)/2 \equiv (0,6 \mod 8), \\ -, & \text{if } m(m-1)/2 \equiv (2,4 \mod 8). \end{cases}$

Proof. The result for the simple groups is contained in [35], the result for the covering groups in [99]. The degrees of the characters involved can be computed from the hook formulae ([70] Theorem 2.3.31].
and [60 Theorem 10.7]). The indicators of the characters are determined from [70, Theorem 2.5.13] and [104, Sätze IV, V].

Table 2. Generic alternating group examples (characteristic 0)

| $G$       | $A_{m^2+1}, m \geq 3$ | $A_{2m}, m \geq 5$ | $2.A_n, n = \frac{m(m+1)+2}{2}, \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ even |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| $H$       | $A_{m^2}$              | $(A_m \times A_m): E$ | $2.A_{n-1}$                                     |
| $\psi$    | $[m^m]_1$              | $1_3$               | $< m, m - 1, \ldots, 2, 1 >_1$                  |
|           | $[m^m]_2$              | $1_4$               | $< m, m - 1, \ldots, 2, 1 >_2$                  |
| $\text{ind}$ | $\iota_m$            | $\kappa_m$          | $\lambda_m$                                      |
| $\psi(1)$ | $\frac{(m^2)!}{2m^m \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} i(2m-i)!}$ | $1$                | $2^{m(m-1)/4-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{m!} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{(i+1)!}{(2i+1)!}$ |
| $\chi$    | $[m+1, m^{m-1}]$      | $[m+1, 1^{m-1}]$    | $< m + 1, m - 1, \ldots, 2, 1 >$                |
| $\text{ind}$ | $+$                    | $+$                 | $\nu_m$                                          |
| $\chi(1)$ | $(m^2 + 1)\psi(1)$    | $\left( \frac{2m - 1}{m} \right)$ | $n \cdot \psi(1)$                                |
CHAPTER 5

Exceptional Schur Multipliers and Exceptional Isomorphisms

In this chapter $K$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$ and we use, once more, the language of $K$-characters. We determine the irreducible imprimitive $K$-characters for all quasisimple groups $G$ of Lie type, such that $G/Z(G)$ has an exceptional Schur multiplier or such that $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to a simple group of Lie type of a different characteristic, with the only restriction that char($K$) = 0 if $G/Z(G) = ^2E_6(2)$.

The simple groups of Lie type with an exceptional Schur multiplier are listed in Table 1 (cf. [47, Table 6.1.3]). There are two entries for the groups $\text{PSL}_2(9)$ and $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$, although these groups are isomorphic. The reason is that each of them has an exceptional Schur multiplier, one as a group of Lie type of characteristic 3, the other as a group of Lie type of characteristic 2. On the other hand we have not listed the group $\text{PSp}_4(3)$ isomorphic to $\text{SU}_4(2)$, since $\text{PSp}_4(3)$ does not have an exceptional multiplier as group of Lie type of characteristic 3. For the same reason we have not listed $\text{PSL}_2(5)$ nor $\text{PSL}_2(7)$.

Table 1. The simple groups of Lie type with exceptional Schur multiplier

| $\text{SL}_2(4)$ | $\text{PSL}_2(9)$ | $\text{SL}_3(2)$ | $\text{PSL}_3(4)$ | $\text{SL}_4(2)$ | $\text{SU}_4(2)$ |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $\text{PSU}_4(3)$ | $\text{PSU}_6(2)$ | $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$ | $\text{Sp}_6(2)$ | $\Omega_7(3)$ | $\Omega_8^+(2)$ |
| $^2B_2(8)$ | $G_2(3)$ | $G_2(4)$ | $F_4(2)$ | $^2E_6(2)$ |

There are exactly six exceptional isomorphisms between simple groups of Lie type of different characteristics (see [47, Theorem 2.2.10]). We give these isomorphisms in Table 2. Of these groups, only $^2G_2(3)' \cong \text{SL}_2(8)$ and $G_2(2)' \cong \text{SU}_3(3)$ do not occur in Table 1.

The following theorem is the main result of this chapter.
Theorem 5.1. Let $G$ be a quasisimple group such that $G/Z(G)$ is one of the groups occurring in Tables 1 and 2, and let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$. In case $G/Z(G) = 2E_6(2)$ and $H/Z(G)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$, assume that $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

If $\chi$ is an irreducible faithful $K$-character of $G$ such that $\chi = \text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$ for some $K$-character $\psi$ of $H$, then $\chi$ is as listed in one of the Tables 3 – 9. In the first two of these tables, $H/Z(G)$ is a non-parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$, in the last five of these tables, $H/Z(G)$ is parabolic.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is given in Section 5.2 below. Since we only consider faithful irreducible characters of $G$, the center $Z(G)$ is cyclic in all cases, even if the Schur multiplier of the simple group $G/Z(G)$ is non-cyclic. Also, if $\text{Ind}_H^G(\psi)$ is faithful, the restriction of $\psi$ to $Z(G) \leq H$ is faithful as well.

5.1. Description of the tables

We employ the same conventions as in Table 1 for the sporadic groups. There are a couple of additions to the notations used there. If $H$ is of the form $L.2$ and $\psi$ is an extension of an irreducible character $\psi_i$ of $L$, where $i$ is the number in the compound character table of the Atlas, we write $\psi = \psi'_i$ or $\psi''_i$. An example occurs in Table 3, in the entry for $G = 2.Sp_6(2)$ and $H = 2.SU_4(2):2$. Here, the group $L := 2.SU_4(2)$ has a faithful irreducible character of degree 20 and Frobenius-Schur indicator $-$, denoted $\chi_{23}$ in [26]. The two extensions of $\chi_{23}$ to $H$ are denoted by $\psi'_{23}$ and $\psi''_{23}$ in Table 6. A similar convention is used for characters which are just denoted by their degrees. A further addition concerns the tables with the parabolic examples. Suppose that $G$ is of Lie type of characteristic $\ell$ (i.e., $Z(G)$ is a quotient of the generic multiplier of $G/Z(G)$) and that $H$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ is of the form $U:L$, with $U = O_\ell(H)$, the unipotent radical of $H$. In this situation $U$ is in the kernel of $\psi$ by Proposition 7.1 and we give the Atlas name of $\psi$ as a character of $L$. Consider, for example, the entry for $G = SL_4(2)$ and $H = 2^3:SL_3(2)$ in Table 5.
Here, the character $\psi_2$ denotes the irreducible character of the simple group $\text{SL}_3(2)$ with Atlas name $\chi_2$.

Here, we also have an additional column headed “Nts” which contains further information of various kind, which we are now going to describe in more detail.

1. Let $p$ be the defining characteristic of $G$. There is an isomorphism of $G$ with a group of Lie type $G'$ of characteristic $p' \neq p$, which maps $H$ to a parabolic subgroup of $G'$. ($H$ is a parabolic subgroup in the wrong characteristic.)

2. There are two $K$-characters $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ of $H$ with $\text{Ind}^G_H(\psi_1) = \chi = \text{Ind}^G_H(\psi_2)$.

3. This is an example with char $(K') = \ell = p$, where $p$ is the defining characteristic of $G$. It also occurs in the result of Seitz cited above (Theorem 1.2).

4. There is more than one maximal block stabilizer for $\chi$ (up to conjugation in $G$).

5. This is an example where $\chi$ is not liftable to characteristic 0.

6. This is a non-canonical “parabolic” example, i.e., $H/Z(H)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$, but $G$ itself is not a group of Lie type.

---

**Remark 5.2.** Table 3. Entry for 2.$\text{SU}_4(2)$: The two characters 2$_2$ and 2$_3$ of $H = 3^3: \text{GL}_2(3)$ denote the two faithful characters of $\text{GL}_2(3)$ of degree 2, inflated to $H$.

Table 4. (1) Entries for 3$_1$. $\text{SU}_4(3)$ = 12$_1$.PSU$_4(3)$, 3$_2$.SU$_4(3)$ = 12$_2$.PSU$_4(3)$: The subgroup 4$\circ$Sp$_4(3)$, the central product of $Z(\text{SU}_4(3))$ with Sp$_4(3)$ (see [75, Table 3.5 B]) is isoclinic to 2$\times$2.$\text{SU}_4(2)$. The characters $\psi'_{21}$ and $\psi'_{22}$ denote extensions of the two characters of degree 4 of Sp$_4(3)$ to $H$. There are two conjugacy classes of subgroups isomorphic to 4$\circ$Sp$_4(3)$ in each of 3$_1$.SU$_4(3)$ and 3$_2$.SU$_4(3)$. In 3$_1$.SU$_4(3)$, only one of these conjugacy classes contains block stabilizers. In 3$_2$.SU$_4(3)$
the two conjugacy classes are swapped by an outer automorphism of \(3_2.SU_4(3)\) (see [26] pp. 52–53), and so both conjugacy classes contain block stabilizers.

(2) At various places in Table 4 the following phenomenon occurs. There is an orbit of length four of Galois conjugate irreducible characters of \(H\), but only two of the four induce to irreducible characters of \(G\). Consider, for example, the entry for \(G = 3_2.PSU_4(3)\) and \(H = 3 \times SU_4(2)\). There are four irreducible characters of degree 5 which restrict to faithful characters of \(Z(G)\), namely \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_2\), \(\bar{\zeta}_3 \boxtimes \psi_2\), \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_3\), and \(\bar{\zeta}_3 \boxtimes \psi_3\), but only two of these, a pair of complex conjugates, induce to irreducible characters of \(G\). This happens exactly in the following cases, described in the form \((G, H, \psi)\), where \(\psi\) is one of the four characters in the Galois orbit.

| \(G\)            | \(H\)            | \(\psi\)         |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| \(3_2.PSU_4(3)\)  | \(3 \times SU_4(2)\) | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_2\) |
| \(6_2.PSU_4(3)\)  | \(6 \times SU_4(2)\) | \(\zeta_6 \boxtimes \psi_2\) |
| \(3.\Omega_7(3)\)  | \(3 \times PSL_4(3):2_2\) | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \zeta_2\) |
| \(2.SU_6(2)\)     | \(6 \times SU_5(2)\) | \(\zeta_6 \boxtimes \psi_3\) |

Table 4 (1) Entries for \(PSL_3(4)\) and \(SL_3(4)\): The character denoted by 3 is the inflation to \(H\) of the reduction modulo 5 of the two ordinary characters \(\psi_2\) and \(\psi_3\) of \(A_5\).

(2) Entry for \(4_2.SL_3(4) = 12_2.PSL_3(4)\): The character denoted by 4 is one of two faithful characters of \(4.2^4.A_5\) of degree 4, inflated to \(H\).

Table 5 (1) Entries for \(PSU_4(3)\) and \(G_2(3)\): The two characters 2_2 and 2_3 of \(H = 3_1^{1+4}:2.S_4\), respectively \(H = (3_1^{1+2} \times 3^2):2.S_4\) denote the two faithful characters of the Levi subgroup \(L = 2.S_4\) of \(H\) of degree 2, inflated to \(H\). These two characters are determined by the fact that \(Z(H)\) is not in their kernel and that they are not rational.

(3) Entry for \(SU_4(3) = 4.PSU_4(3)\): The two characters denoted by 1_3 and 1_4 are linear characters of order 8. The characters denoted by 3_4 and 3_5 denote two faithful characters of the Levi subgroup \(L = 4.(2.S_4)\) of \(H\) of degree 3, inflated to \(H\).

Table 6 (1) Entries for \(\Omega_7(3)\): The two characters 2_5 and 2_6 of \(H = 3_1^{1+6}:2.(A_4 \times A_4)\) denote the two non-rational irreducible characters of the Levi subgroup \(L = (2.A_4 \times A_4).2\) of \(H\) of degree 2, inflated to \(H\). Moreover, 6_3 and 6_4 are the two faithful irreducible characters of degree 6 of \(L\) inflated to \(H\).
(2) Entries for $2.\Omega_7(3)$: The two characters $2_7$ and $2_8$ of $H$ denote the two non-rational irreducible characters of the Levi subgroup $L = 2.(2.A_4 \times A_4).2$ of $H$ of degree 2, which do not have $Z(H)$ in their kernel, inflated to $H$. Moreover, $6_5$ and $6_6$ are the two non-rational irreducible characters of degree 6 of $L$, which do not have $Z(H)$ in their kernel, inflated to $H$. 
### Table 3. Non-parabolic examples

| $G$              | $H$   | $\psi$ | $\text{ind}$ | $\psi(1)$ | $\chi$ | $\text{ind}$ | $\chi(1)$ | Primes | Nts |
|------------------|-------|--------|--------------|----------|--------|--------------|----------|--------|-----|
| $2 \cdot \text{SL}_2(4)$ | 5:4   | $\frac{\zeta_4}{\zeta_4}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_9$  | -           | 6        | 0,3    | 1,2 |
| $\text{SL}_3(2)$   | 7:3   | $\frac{\zeta_3}{\zeta_3}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_6$  | +          | 8        | 0,2    | 1,2,3|
| $2 \cdot \text{SL}_3(2)$ | 7:6   | $\frac{\zeta_6}{\zeta_6}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{11}$ | -        | 8        | 0     | 1,2 |
| $3 \cdot \text{PSL}_2(9)$ | $3 \times A_5$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes 1$ | o         | 2        | $\chi_{16}$ | o         | 11       | 0,5    | 4    |
| $3 \cdot \text{SL}_2(9)$ | $3 \times 2.A_5$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_6$ | o         | 2        | $\chi_{21}$ | o         | 12       | 0     | 4    |
| $2 \cdot \text{Sp}_4(2)'$ | $3^2:4$ | $\frac{\zeta_4}{\zeta_4}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_7$  | +          | 10       | 0,5    | 1,2 |
| $2 \cdot \text{Sp}_4(2)'$ | $3^2:8$ | $\frac{\zeta_8}{\zeta_8}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{12}$ | -         | 10       | 0,5    | 1,2 |
| $3 \cdot \text{Sp}_4(2)'$ | $3 \times A_5$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes 1$ | o         | 2        | $\chi_{16}$ | o         | 12       | 0     | 4    |
| $6 \cdot \text{Sp}_4(2)'$ | $3 \times 2.A_5$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_6$ | o         | 2        | $\chi_{21}$ | o         | 12       | 0     | 4    |
| $2 \cdot G_2(3)'$  | $2^3:7$ | $\frac{\zeta_7}{\zeta_7}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_7$  | +          | 9        | 0,3    | 1,2 |
| $2 \cdot G_2(3)'$  | $2^3:8$ | $\frac{\zeta_7}{\zeta_7}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_8$  | +          | 9        | 0,3    | 1,2 |
| $G_2(2)'$         | $3^{1+2}:8$ | $\frac{\zeta_8}{\zeta_8}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{11}$ | o         | 28       | 0,7    | 1,2 |
| $G_2(2)'$         | $3^{1+2}:8$ | $\frac{\zeta_8}{\zeta_8}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{12}$ | o         | 28       | 0,7    | 1,2 |
| $2 \cdot \text{SL}_4(2)$ | $2.A_7$ | $\frac{6_1}{6_2}$ | -         | 6        | $\varphi_{15}$ | -         | 48       | 3,5    |    |
| $4 \cdot \text{PSL}_3(4)$ | $4 \times A_6$ | $\zeta_4 \otimes 1$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{21}$ | o         | 56       | 0,5    | 7,4  |
| $4 \cdot \text{SL}_3(4)$ | $12 \times \text{PSL}_2(7)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes 1$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{52}$ | o         | 120      | 0,5    | 4    |
| $2 \cdot \text{SU}_4(2)$ | $3^{2} \cdot \text{GL}_2(3)$ | $\frac{2_2}{2_3}$ | o         | 2        | $\chi_{34}$  | -         | 80       | 0,5    | 1,2 |
| $2 \cdot \text{Sp}_6(2)$ | $2 \cdot \text{SU}_4(2):2$ | $\psi_{23}$ | o         | 20       | $\chi_{42}$  | +         | 560      | 0,5    | 7,2  |
| $2 \cdot \text{SU}_3(3):2$ | $2 \cdot \text{SU}_3(3):2$ | $\frac{\zeta_2 \otimes \zeta_2}{\zeta_2}$ | o         | 1        | $\chi_{37}$  | +         | 120      | 0,5    | 7,2  |
| $2 \cdot \text{SU}_3(3):2$ | $2 \cdot \text{SU}_3(3):2$ | $\frac{\zeta_2 \otimes \psi_2}{\zeta_2}$ | o         | 6        | $\chi_{43}$  | +         | 720      | 0,5    | 2    |
### Table 4. Non-parabolic examples (continued)

| $G$       | $H$                     | $\psi$ | ind | $\psi(1)$ | $\chi$ | ind | $\chi(1)$ | Primes | Nts |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------|-----|------------|--------|-----|------------|--------|-----|
| $3_1.\text{PSU}_4(3)$ | $3 \times \text{SU}_4(2)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_2$ | $\otimes 2$ | $5$ | $\chi_{68}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $630$ | $0, 5, 7$ | $2, 4$ |
| $3_2.\text{PSU}_4(3)$ | $3 \times \text{SU}_4(2)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes 1$ | $\otimes 2$ | $1$ | $\chi_{102}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $126$ | $0, 5, 7$ | $4$ |
| $6_1.\text{PSU}_4(3)$ | $6 \times \text{SU}_4(2)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_3$ | $\otimes 2$ | $5$ | $\chi_{107}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $630$ | $0, 5, 7$ | $4$ |
| $6_2.\text{PSU}_4(3)$ | $6 \times \text{SU}_4(2)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes 1$ | $\otimes 2$ | $1$ | $\chi_{75}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $126$ | $0, 5, 7$ | $4$ |
| $3_1.\text{SU}_4(3)$ | $3 \times (4 \circ \text{Sp}_4(3))$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_{21}$ | $\otimes 4$ | $4$ | $\chi_{95}$ | $\otimes 4$ | $504$ | $0, 5, 7$ | $2$ |
| $3_2.\text{SU}_4(3)$ | $3 \times (4 \circ \text{Sp}_4(3))$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_{22}$ | $\otimes 4$ | $4$ | $\chi_{128}$ | $\otimes 4$ | $504$ | $0, 7$ | $4$ |
| $3.\text{G}_2(3)$ | $3 \times (\text{SU}_3(3) : 2)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes 1$ | $\otimes 2$ | $1$ | $\chi_{28}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $351$ | $0, 13$ | $4$ |
| $3 \times (\text{SL}_3(3) : 2)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \zeta_2$ | $\otimes 2$ | $1$ | $\chi_{29}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $351$ | $0, 7, 13$ | $1$ |
| $2.\text{G}_2(4)$ | $(2 \times \text{SU}_3(4)) : 2$ | $\zeta_4$ | $\otimes 1$ | $\chi_{43}$ | $-$ | $2016$ | $0, 3, 7$ | $2$ |
| $3.\Omega_7(3)$ | $3 \times \text{PSL}_4(3) : 2$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \zeta_2$ | $\otimes 2$ | $1$ | $\chi_{92}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $378$ | $0, 5, 7, 13$ |
| $6.\Omega_7(3)$ | $3 \times \text{SL}_4(3) : 2$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_{30}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $40$ | $\chi_{127}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $15120$ | $0, 5, 7$ | $2$ |
| $2 \times 3.\text{G}_2(3)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_{25}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $27$ | $\chi_{134}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $29160$ | $0, 5$ | $4$ |
| $2.\text{SU}_6(2)$ | $6 \times \text{SU}_5(2)$ | $\zeta_6 \otimes 1$ | $\otimes 2$ | $1$ | $\chi_{122}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $672$ | $0, 5, 7, 11$ |
| $2.\text{SU}_6(2)$ | $6 \times \text{SU}_5(2)$ | $\zeta_6 \otimes \psi_3$ | $\otimes 2$ | $11$ | $\chi_{130}$ | $\otimes 2$ | $7392$ | $0, 5, 7, 11$ |
### Table 5. Parabolic examples

| $G$         | $H$          | $\psi$ | ind | $\psi(1)$ | $\chi$ | ind | $\chi(1)$ | Primes | Nts |
|-------------|--------------|--------|-----|-----------|--------|-----|------------|--------|-----|
| SL$_2$(4)   | $2^2 : 3$ | $\hat{\zeta}_3$ | o    | 1          | $\chi_5$ | +   | 5           | 0, 5   | 2, $f_5$ |
| SL$_3$(2)   | $2^2 : SL_2(2)$ | $\zeta_2$ | +    | 1          | $\chi_5$ | +   | 7           | 0, 3, 7 | 4, $f_7$ |
| PSL$_2$(9)  | $3^2 : 4$ | $\hat{\zeta}_4$ | o    | 1          | $\chi_7$ | +   | 10          | 0, 5   | 2   |
| SL$_2$(9)   | $3^2 : 8$ | $\hat{\zeta}_8$ | o    | 1          | $\chi_{12}$ | - | 10          | 0, 5   | 2   |
| SL$_4$(2)   | $2^4 : SL_3(2)$ | $\psi_2$ | o2   | 3          | $\chi_{10}$ | +2 | 45         | 0, 3, 5 | 4   |
|             | $3^2 : 4$ | $\psi_3$ | +    | 3          | $\varphi_{10}$ | + | 45         | 7      | 4   |
|             | $2^4 : (SL_2(2) \times SL_2(2))$ | $\hat{\zeta}_8 \otimes 1$ | +   | 1          | $\chi_9$ | + | 35         | 0, 3, 5, 7 | 2   |
|             |             | $\hat{\zeta}_8 \otimes 2$ | +   | 1          | $\chi_{14}$ | + | 70         | 0, 5, 7 | 2   |
| PSL$_3$(4)  | $2^4 : A_5$ | $\psi_2$ | +    | 3          | $\chi_8$ | + | 63         | 0, 3, 7 | 4   |
|             |             | $\psi_3$ | +    | 3          | $\varphi_8$ | + | 63         | 0, 3, 7 | 4   |
| SL$_3$(4)   | $2^4 : (3 \times A_5)$ | $\hat{\zeta}_3 \otimes 1$ | o2   | 1          | $\chi_{35}$ | +2 | 21         | 0, 5, 7 | 4   |
|             |             | $\hat{\zeta}_3 \otimes 2$ | o2   | 3          | $\chi_{38}$ | +2 | 63         | 0, 7   | 4   |
|             |             | $\hat{\zeta}_3 \otimes 3$ | o2   | 3          | $\chi_{39}$ | +2 | 63         | 0, 7   | 4   |
|             |             | $\hat{\zeta}_3 \otimes 4$ | o2   | 3          | $\varphi_{30}$ | +2 | 63         | 5      | 4   |
|             |             | $\hat{\zeta}_3 \otimes 5$ | o2   | 4          | $\chi_{40}$ | +2 | 84         | 0, 7   | 4   |
| 4$_2$.SL$_3$(4) | $3 \times (4.2^4 : A_5)$ | $\hat{\zeta}_3 \otimes 4_2$ | o4   | 4          | $\chi_{58}$ | +4 | 84         | 0, 7   | 4, 6 |
### 5.1. DESCRIPTION OF THE TABLES

#### Table 6. Parabolic examples (continued)

| $G$ | $H$ | $\psi$ | ind | $\psi(1)$ | $\chi$ | ind | $\chi(1)$ | Primes | Nts |
|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----------|-------|-----|------------|--------|-----|
| SU$_4$(2) | $2^1: A_5$ | $\psi_2 \psi_3$ | +   | 3          | $\chi_{20}$ | +   | 81          | 0, 3   | 2, $f_3$ |
| $^2$B$_2$(8) | $2^3+3: 7$ | $\zeta_7$ | $\circ$ | 1         | $\chi_8$     | +   | 65          | 0, 5, 13 | 2   |
|   |          | $\zeta_3$ | $\circ$ | 1         | $\chi_9$     | +   | 65          | 0, 5, 13 | 2   |
|   |          | $\zeta_2$ | $\circ$ | 1         | $\chi_{10}$  | +   | 65          | 0, 5, 13 | 2   |
| Sp$_6$(2) | $2^6: SL_3(2)$ | $\psi_2 \psi_3$ | $\circ$ | 3         | $\chi_{28}$ | +   | 405         | 0, 3, 5 | 2   |
| PSU$_4$(3) | $3^4: PSL_2(9)$ | $\psi_4 \psi_5$ | +   | 8          | $\chi_{20}$ | +   | 896         | 0, 2, 7 | 2   |
|   | $3^{1+4}: 2.S_4$ | $\frac{2_2}{2_3}$ | $-$ | 2         | $\chi_{16}$ | $-$ | 560         | 0, 5, 7 | 2   |
| 2.PSU$_4$(3) | $3^4: (2 \times PSL_2(9))$ | $\zeta_2 \otimes \psi_4 \zeta_2 \otimes \psi_5$ | +   | 8          | $\chi_{39}$ | +   | 896         | 0, 7   | 2   |
|   | $3^{1+4}: 2.(2.S_4)$ | $\frac{2_1}{2_5}$ | $\circ$ | 2         | $\chi_{35}$ | +   | 560         | 0, 5, 7 | 2   |
| SU$_4$(3) | $3^4: 2.(2 \times PSL_2(9))$ | $\psi_{10} \psi_{11}$ | $\circ$ | 2         | $\chi_{65}$ | $\circ$ | 896         | 0, 7   | 2   |
|   | $3^{1+4}: 4.(2.S_4)$ | $\frac{1_3}{1_4}$ | $\circ$ | 2         | $\chi_{47}$ | $\circ$ | 280         | 0, 5, 7 | 2   |
|   |               | $\frac{3_4}{3_5}$ | $\circ$ | 3         | $\chi_{54}$ | $\circ$ | 840         | 0, 5, 7 | 2   |
| G$_2$(3) | $(3^{1+2} \times 3^2): 2.S_4$ | $\frac{2_2}{2_4}$ | $\circ$ | 2         | $\chi_{19}$ | +   | 728         | 0, 7, 13 | 2, $\dagger$ |
| O$_8^+$ (2) | $2^6: A_8$ | $\psi_6 \psi_7$ | $\circ$ | 21        | $\chi_{47}$ | +   | 2,835       | 0, 7   | 2, $\dagger'$ |
|   |               | $\psi_{10} \psi_{11}$ | $\circ$ | 45        | $\chi_{53}$ | +   | 6,075       | 0, 3, 5 | 2, 4 |
### Table 7. Parabolic examples (continued)

| $G$          | $H$            | $\psi$       | ind | $\psi(1)$ | $\chi$ | ind | $\chi(1)$ | Primes | Nts |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----|-----------|--------|-----|-----------|--------|-----|
| $G_2(4)$     | $2^{2+8}:(3 \times A_5)$ | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_2$ | 3   | $\chi_{26}$ | +      | 4095| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\zeta_3 \otimes \psi_3$ | 3   | $\chi_{27}$ | +      | 4095| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $2^{4+6}:(A_5 \times 3)$ | 1   | $\chi_{16}$ | +      | 1365| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_2 \otimes \zeta_3$ | 3   | $\chi_{24}$ | +      | 4095| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_3 \otimes \zeta_3$ | 3   | $\chi_{25}$ | +      | 4095| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_4 \otimes \zeta_3$ | 4   | $\chi_{32}$ | +      | 5460| 0, 7, 13   | 2      |
| $\Omega_7(3)$ | $3^{3+3}:SL_3(3)$ | $\psi_4$ | 16  | $\chi_{55}$ | +      | 17920| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_6$ | 16  | $\chi_{54}$ | +      | 17920| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $3_{1+6}:(2.A_4 \times A_4).2$ | 2   | $\chi_{41}$ | +      | 7280 | 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{63}$ | 6   | $\chi_{57}$ | +      | 21840| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{64}$ | 6   | $\chi_{57}$ | +      | 21840| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
| $2.\Omega_7(3)$ | $3^5:(2.SU_4(2):2)$ | $\psi_{25}'$ | 20  | $\chi_{69}$ | +      | 7280 | 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{30}$ | 60  | $\chi_{87}$ | +      | 21840| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{33}$ | 64  | $\chi_{88}$ | +      | 23296| 0, 7, 13   | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{34}$ | 16  | $\chi_{85}$ | +      | 17920| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{39}$ | 16  | $\chi_{84}$ | +      | 17920| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{40}$ | 16  | $\chi_{84}$ | +      | 17920| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $3_{1+6}:(2.A_4 \times A_4).2$ | 2   | $\chi_{70}$ | +      | 7280 | 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{65}$ | 6   | $\chi_{86}$ | +      | 21840| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
|              |                | $\psi_{66}$ | 6   | $\chi_{86}$ | +      | 21840| 0, 5, 7, 13 | 2      |
Table 8. Parabolic examples (continued)

| G  | H                      | ψ  | ind | ψ(1) | χ  | ind | χ(1) | Primes | Nts |
|----|------------------------|----|-----|------|----|-----|------|--------|-----|
| PSU₆(2) | 2⁹ : PSL₃(4) | ψ₆/ψ₇ | o  | 45   | χ₄₆ | +   | 40 095 | 0, 3, 5, 11 | 2   |
|      | 2⁴⁺₈ : (SL₂(2) × SL₂(4)) | 1 ⊠ ψ₂/1 ⊠ ψ₃ | +  | 3    | χ₃₈ | +   | 18 711 | 0, 3, 7, 11 | 2   |
|      |                        | 2 ⊠ ψ₂/2 ⊠ ψ₃ | +  | 6    | χ₄₅ | +   | 37 422 | 0, 7, 11  | 2   |
| 3.PSU₆(2) | 2⁹ : SL₃(4) | ψ₃₆/ψ₃₇ | o 2 | 45   | χ₁₂₀ | o 2 | 40 095 | 0, 5, 11  | 2   |
|      | 2⁴⁺₈ : (3 × SL₂(2) × SL₂(4)) | ζ₂ ⊠ ψ₂/ζ₂ ⊠ ψ₃ | o 2 | 3    | χ₁₁₂ | o 2 | 18 711 | 0, 7, 11  | 2   |
|      |                        | ζ₃ ⊠ ζ₂ ⊠ ψ₂ | o 2 | 3    | χ₁₁₁ | o 2 | 18 711 | 0, 7, 11  | 2   |
| F₄(2) | [2²⁰] : (SL₂(2) × SL₃(2)) | ζ₂ ⊠ ψ₂/ζ₂ ⊠ ψ₃ | o 2 | 6    | χ₁₁₉ | o 2 | 37 422 | 0, 7, 11  | 2   |
### Table 9. Parabolic examples (continued), char\((K) = 0\)

| \(G\) | \(H\) | \(\psi\) | ind | \(\psi(1)\) | \(\chi\) | ind | \(\chi(1)\) | Nts |
|-------|-------|----------|-----|-------------|--------|-----|-------------|-----|
| \(2^3E_6(2)\) | \(2^{8+16}: \Omega_8^-(2)\) | \(\psi_{16}\) | + | 1071 | \(\chi_{94}\) | + | 24748759035 | 2 |
| | | \(\psi_{17}\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\psi_{23}\) | + | 2142 | \(\chi_{115}\) | + | 49497518070 | 2 |
| | | \(\psi_{24}\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\psi_{29}\) | + | 2835 | \(\chi_{121}\) | + | 65511420975 | 2 |
| | | \(\psi_{30}\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\psi_{31}\) | + | 2835 | \(\chi_{122}\) | + | 65511420975 | 2 |
| | | \(\psi_{32}\) | | | | | | |
| \([2^{29}]: (SL_2(2) \times PSL_3(4))\) | | \(\zeta_2 \boxtimes \psi_6\) | o | 45 | \(\chi_{116}\) | + | 53033055075 | |
| | | \(\zeta_2 \boxtimes \psi_7\) | | | | | | |
| \([2^{31}]: (SL_2(4) \times SL_3(2))\) | | \(\psi_2 \boxtimes \psi_2\) | o | 9 | \(\chi_{103}\) | o | 31819833045 | 2 |
| | | \(\psi_3 \boxtimes \psi_3\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\psi_2 \boxtimes \psi_2\) | o | 9 | \(\chi_{104}\) | o | 31819833045 | 2 |
| \(3^3E_6(2)\) | \(2^{8+16}: (3 \times \Omega_8^-(2))\) | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{16}\) | o2 | 1071 | \(\chi_{287}\) | o2 | 24748759035 | 2 |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{17}\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{23}\) | o2 | 2142 | \(\chi_{323}\) | o2 | 49497518070 | 2 |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{24}\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{29}\) | o2 | 2835 | \(\chi_{335}\) | o2 | 65511420975 | 2 |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{30}\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{31}\) | o2 | 2835 | \(\chi_{336}\) | o2 | 65511420975 | 2 |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_{32}\) | | | | | | |
| \(2^{29}]: (SL_2(2) \times SL_3(4))\) | | \(\zeta_2 \boxtimes \psi_{36}\) | o2 | 45 | \(\chi_{325}\) | o2 | 53033055075 | |
| | | \(\zeta_2 \boxtimes \psi_{37}\) | | | | | | |
| \(2^{31}]: (3 \times SL_2(4) \times SL_3(2))\) | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_3 \boxtimes \psi_2\) | o2 | 9 | \(\chi_{299}\) | o2 | 31819833045 | 2 |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_3 \boxtimes \psi_3\) | | | | | | |
| | | \(\zeta_3 \boxtimes \psi_3 \boxtimes \psi_2\) | o2 | 9 | \(\chi_{300}\) | o2 | 31819833045 | 2 |
5.2. The proofs

The proof of Theorem 5.1 follows the same line as the one of Theorem 3.3 for the sporadic groups. We will thus be rather sketchy here. Let $G$ be a quasisimple group such that $G/Z(G)$ is one of the simple groups of Tables 1 or 2. With a few exceptions, the ordinary and modular character tables of $G$ and all of its relevant maximal subgroups are available in GAP. Many of the character tables of the maximal subgroups have been computed by Sebastian Dany in his Diploma thesis [29]. For the construction of some of the subgroups involved, Rob Wilson’s Atlas of Group Representations [117] was used. With all this information at hand, the proof is tedious but straightforward. For the results in the case of $\text{char}(K) = \ell > 0$, Lemma 2.11 is invoked most of the time. If $G$ is such that $G/Z(G)$ is an alternating group, i.e., isomorphic to one of $A_5$, $A_6$ or $A_8$, some of our results are also contained in [35] and [99], and, of course, in Chapter 4.

In the following we comment on those groups $G$ where some additional arguments were needed. This is the case if either some modular character table of $G$ is not (completely) available or some character tables of relevant subgroups of $G$ are missing.

As before, if $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$, by $\varphi$ we denote a $K$-character of $H$ such that $\chi := \text{Ind}^G_H(\varphi)$ is irreducible.

5.2.1. Induction from non-parabolic subgroups. We begin with the cases where $H/Z(G)$ is a non-parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$.

$G = 12_1.\text{PSU}_4(3)$ or $12_2.\text{PSU}_4(3)$: Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ such that some $K$-character of $H$ induces to an irreducible $K$-character of $G$. Using the known (modular) character tables of $G$, one easily checks that this implies $[G:H] = 126$, and thus $H = 12.\text{SU}_4(2)$. (For a more precise description of the structure of $H$ see Remark 5.2.) We also find that every modular character of $H$ which could possibly induce to an irreducible character of $G$ must be liftable. In view of Lemma 2.11 it suffices to look at the ordinary characters of $H$. Since these are available in GAP, the results given in Table 4 are readily obtained.

$G = 2.G_2(4)$: There are three non-parabolic subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ whose index is small enough to be possible block stabilizers. These are $2.J_2$, $2.(\text{SU}_3(4):2)$, and $2.(\text{PSL}_3(4):2_3)$. If $H = 2.(\text{PSL}_3(4):2_3)$, then $[G:H] = 2080$, and there is exactly one ordinary irreducible character of $G$, whose degree is a multiple of this index. This degree equals $2 \cdot 2080$, but $H$ does not have an irreducible character of degree 2. The only candidate degree in characteristics $\ell > 0$ occurs for $\ell = 13,$
and is the same as above. Since 13 does not divide $|H|$, this subgroup does not give rise to an imprimitive irreducible character of $G$.

Next, let $H = 2.(\text{SU}_3(4):2)$, where $[G:H] = 2016$. The only multiple of 2016 which is equal to the degree of an irreducible $K$-character of $G$ is 2016, and exactly one such character exists for $\ell = 0, 3, 7$. The subgroup $H$ is isoclinic to but not isomorphic to $2 \times (\text{SU}_3(4):2)$. (GAP does not find a possible fusion of conjugacy classes of the group $2 \times (\text{SU}_3(4):2)$ into $G$.) Let $K$ have one of the above characteristics.

Finally, let $H = 2.J_2$. The only possible degree of a $K$-character inducing to an irreducible character of $G$ is 14. This can only happen if $\ell = 0, 7, 13$. One checks with GAP that the two characters of degree 14 induce to reducible characters of $G$ if $\ell = 0$. This also rules out the cases $\ell = 7, 13$.

$G = 2.F_4(2)$: According to the “Improvements to the Atlas” in [71], p. 297–327, the list of maximal subgroups of $2.F_4(2)$ given in [26], p. 170] is complete. There are only three non-parabolic maximal subgroups $H$ of $G$ with $|H|^2 \geq |G|$, namely $2 \times \text{Sp}_8(2), 2.(\Omega_8^+(2):S_3)$ and $2.(3D_4(2):3)$. Let $H$ be one of these groups.

By the result of Seitz cited above (see Theorem 1.2), we may assume that char($K$) $\neq 2$. Suppose in addition that char($K$) $\neq 3$. Using the ordinary character table and the known (modular) character tables of $G$ (see [52]), we check that no irreducible $K$-character of $G$ has degree divisible by the index $[G:H]$, except possible if $H = 2.\text{Sp}_8(2)$. In this case, however, the modular character tables of $H$ are also known. It is then routine to rule out this possibility as well.

Finally, let char ($K$) $= 3$. If $H = 2.(3D_4(2):3)$ or $2.(\Omega_8^+(2):S_3)$, a $K$-character $\varphi$ of $H$ inducing irreducibly to $G$ has degree at most 5. It follows (as in the proof of Theorem [3.3] in the case $G = 2.C0_4$ and $H = 2.(\text{PSU}_6(2):S_3)$) that $\varphi$ is liftable. Since there are no examples in characteristic 0, we are done for these two subgroups by Lemma 2.11.

It remains to consider the subgroup $H = 2 \times \text{Sp}_8(2)$. Here, the only candidates for the character $\varphi$ have degrees 1, 35, 50, 118, 135, or 203. We rule out these possibilities using the decomposition matrix of $H$ modulo 3 and Lemma 2.12.

$G := 6^2E_6(2)$: According to the “Improvements to the Atlas” in [71], p. 297–327, the list of maximal subgroups of $6^2E_6(2)$ given in [26], p. 191] is complete (up to a correction of the structure of one of the maximal subgroups which does not affect its order). If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ such that $H/Z(G)$ is not a parabolic subgroup of $6^2E_6(2)$, then $H/Z(G)$ is one of $F_4(2)$, $\text{Fi}_{22}$ or $\Omega^-_{10}(2)$. By a result of
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Seitz (see Theorem [1.2]), we may and will assume that \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2 \) in the following. We will also make use of the ordinary character table of \( G \) computed by Frank Lübeck (see [87]).

Suppose first that \( H/Z(H) = F_4(2) \). Then \( H \) is one of \( 6 \times F_4(2) \) or \( 3 \times 2.F_4(2) \) and \( \varphi(1) \leq 4478 \). All irreducible \( K \)-characters of these groups of degrees smaller than or equal to 4478 are known (see [116, 52]). In case of \( \text{char}(K) \neq 0 \), all of these characters are liftable, except for two characters of degree 1104 for \( \text{char}(K) = 7 \), and one character of degree 1366 for \( \text{char}(K) = 13 \). Using GAP, we find that no ordinary irreducible character of \( H \) induces to an irreducible character of \( G \). This also rules out the cases of the liftable characters by Lemma 2.11. The non-liftable cases are ruled out using Lemma 2.12.

Suppose next that \( H/Z(G) = \Omega_{10}^- \) (2). Then \( H = 6 \times \Omega_{10}^- \) (2). \( \varphi(1) \leq 87 \). This implies that \( \varphi(1) \in \{ 77, 78 \} \) (see [55, 59, 100]), and thus that the elements of order 3 of \( Z(G) \) lie in the kernel of \( \varphi \). This case is now easily ruled out using Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12. Suppose finally that \( H/Z(G) = \Omega_{10}^- \) (2). Then \( \varphi(1) \leq 33 \). Since \( \Omega_{10}^- \) (2) has a trivial Schur multiplier, we have \( H = 6 \times \Omega_{10}^- (2) \). By [77], every irreducible \( K \)-character of \( H \) has degree larger than 33 if \( \text{char}(K) \neq 2 \).

5.2.2. Induction from parabolic subgroups. Here we consider the cases where \( H/Z(H) \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G/Z(G) \).

\( G = 2.(^2B_2(8)) \): Every irreducible \( K \)-character of \( G \) whose degree is divisible by 65 has \( Z(G) \) in its kernel. Thus it suffices to consider the simple group \( G/Z(G) \), and its maximal parabolic subgroup. The claimed result follows from Harish-Chandra theory (or with GAP).

\( G = 2.\text{Sp}_6(2) \): The same arguments as above give the desired results.

\( G = 12_1.\text{PSU}_4(3) \) or \( 12_2.\text{PSU}_4(3) \): There are two maximal subgroups \( H \) of \( G \) such that \( H/Z(G) \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G/Z(G) \). Let us first consider the subgroup \( H \) of index 112 in \( G \), i.e., \( H/Z(G) \cong 3^4: \text{PSL}_2(9) \). There are no faithful irreducible \( K \)-characters of \( G \) whose degree is divisible by 112. The analogous statement holds for the group \( 3_2.\text{PSU}_4(3) \). The faithful irreducible characters of \( 3_1.\text{PSU}_4(3) \) of degrees divisible by 112 have degree \( 3 \cdot 112 = 336 \) and exist exactly in characteristics 0, 5 and 7. It turns out that the inverse image of \( 3^4: \text{PSL}_2(9) \) in \( 3_1.\text{PSU}_4(3) \) does not have irreducible characters of degree 3 in these characteristics. Now consider the central quotient \( 4.\text{PSU}_4(3) = \text{SU}_4(3) \) of \( G \). This is a finite group of Lie type, and thus we only have to consider Harish-Chandra induced representations from
the parabolic subgroup $3^4 \cdot 2(2 \times PSL_2(9))$ (see Proposition 7.1). It is not difficult to construct the character table of this subgroup and check that only the examples given in Table 6 exist.

Next, let $H$ be the maximal subgroup of index 280, i.e., $H/Z(G) \cong 3_4^{1+4} \cdot 2S_4$. Since $H$ is solvable, every modular irreducible character of $H$ is liftable. By Lemma 2.11 it suffices to look at the ordinary characters of $H$. The groups $12_2.PSU_4(3)$, $3_2.PSU_4(3)$ and $3_1.PSU_4(3)$ do not have any faithful irreducible characters of degrees divisible by 280. For $G = 12_1.PSU_4(3)$, there are such characters for $\ell \in \{0, 5, 7\}$. All of these have degree $3 \cdot 280 = 840$. There are faithful irreducible characters of $H$ of degree 3, but all of these induce to reducible characters of $G$. The same arguments as for the first parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$ now give the desired results.

$G = 3.G_2(3)$: There are two conjugacy classes of maximal parabolic subgroups of $G/Z(G) = G_2(3)$, conjugate by an outer automorphism of $G/Z(G)$. The index of these subgroups is 364. There is no faithful irreducible $K$-character of $G$ whose degree is divisible by 364. Thus it suffices to consider the simple group $G/Z(G)$, and any one of its maximal parabolic subgroups. The only possible degree for an induced irreducible $K$-character of $G/Z(G)$ is 728, and this can only occur for $\text{char}(K) \in \{0, 7, 13\}$. Using GAP, it is easy to find the example given in Table 6.

$G = 2.O_8^+(2)$: First, let $H \leq G$ be any maximal subgroup of index 135. The possible degrees for $\varphi$ are 21, 24 and 45. If $\varphi(1) = 21$ or 45, then $\text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)(1) = 2835$ or 6075. Any irreducible $K$-character of $G$ of one of these degrees has $Z(G)$ in its kernel, and we may thus look at the simple Chevalley group $O_8^+(2)$. In this case we easily find the examples given in Table 3. The possibility $\varphi(1) = 24$ only occurs if $\text{char}(K) = 0$ or 3. However, there is no ordinary irreducible character of $H$ of degree 24. Using MOC (see [53]), we can show that there is no irreducible 3-modular character of degree 24 of $H$. Next, let $H \leq G$ have index 1575. The only possible degree for $\chi$ turns out to be 1575. Then $\chi$ has $Z(G)$ in its kernel and we may consider the parabolic subgroup $2_3^4 \cdot 8: (S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3)$ of $O_8^+(2)$. Using GAP, one shows that no character of degree 1 of this parabolic subgroup induces to an irreducible character of $G$.

$G = 2.G_2(4)$: There is no faithful irreducible $K$-character of $G$ whose degree is divisible by the index of a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G/Z(G)$. Again, it suffices to consider the simple group $G/Z(G) = G_2(4)$. Using the known (modular) character tables and GAP, one easily obtains the result.
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$G = 6 \Omega_7(3)$: Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $6 \Omega_7(3)$ such that $H/Z(H)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\Omega_7(3)$. The ordinary and modular character tables of $6 \Omega_7(3)$ are known and available in GAP. We use these to check that, with a few possible exceptions, no faithful irreducible character of $6 \Omega_7(3)$ or of $3 \Omega_7(3)$ has a degree divisible by the index of $H$ in $6 \Omega_7(3)$. The exceptions occur for the largest parabolic subgroup $H = 6.(3^5:(SU_4(2):2))$ of index 364 and only if char$(K) \neq 2, 3$. Let char$(K) \in 0, 5$; then a possible faithful character of $H$ inducing to an irreducible character of $6 \Omega_7(3)$ has degree 54. But $H$ does not have any faithful irreducible $K$-character of this degree. (The ordinary character table of $H$ is available in GAP, and one checks that every ordinary irreducible faithful character of $H$ has degree divisible by 108.) Next, let $H$ denote the maximal subgroup of index 364 of $3 \Omega_7(3)$. In this case, a faithful character of $H$ inducing to an irreducible character of $3 \Omega_7(3)$ has degree 27 and can only occur for char$(K) = 0$. However, the ordinary irreducible characters of $H$ of degree 27 induce to reducible characters of $3 \Omega_7(3)$.

We are thus left with the group of Lie type $G = 2 \Omega_7(3)$. The character tables of all maximal subgroups of $G$ are contained in GAP. It is then routine to obtain the results of Table 7. Alternatively, one can use Harish-Chandra theory.

$G = 6 \cdot \text{PSU}_6(2)$: All ordinary and modular character tables of $G$ are available in GAP. From this it follows that the central elements of order 2 of $G$ are in the kernel of every irreducible $K$-character of $G$ which is induced from a character of a subgroup $H$ such that $H/Z(G)$ is parabolic in $\text{PSU}_6(2)$. Thus it suffices to investigate the group $3 \cdot \text{PSU}_6(2) = \text{SU}_6(2)$, which is a finite group of Lie type. By Proposition 7.1 we may restrict to Harish-Chandra induced characters. Using in addition the ordinary character tables of the maximal parabolic subgroups of $\text{SU}_6(2)$ as well as the modular character tables of their Levi subgroups, we obtain the results from Table 8.

$G = 2 \cdot F_4(2)$: Let us first consider a maximal (parabolic) subgroup $H$ of index 69615. Then $H/Z(G)$ is the centralizer in $F_4(2)$ of an involution $z \in F_4(2)$ in class $2A$ or $2B$. A computation of a class multiplication coefficient shows that there is a conjugate $y$ of $z$ such that $zy$ lies in class $3A$, respectively $3B$, of $F_4(2)$. Hence there is an element $t \in F_4(2)$ of order 3 such that $t \in \langle z, z' \rangle$. Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.7 imply that neither $H/Z(G)$ nor $H$ are block stabilizers of imprimitive irreducible modules of $G/Z(G)$, respectively $G$. Next we consider a maximal subgroup $H$ with $H/O_2(H) \cong \text{SL}_2(2) \times \text{SL}_3(2)$. There are no faithful irreducible characters of $G$ whose degree is a multiple of $[G:H]$. (Although the 3-modular character table of $G$ is not
completely known, the results of \[52\], Theorem 2.2] are sufficient to prove the above claim even if $\text{char}(K) = 3.$ Thus we may consider the Chevalley group $G/Z(G)$ and its parabolic subgroup $H/Z(H)$. By Theorem \[1.2\] we may assume that $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. No 7-modular irreducible character of $G/Z(G)$ has degree divisible by $[G:H]$. In the remaining characteristics, the only possible degree for $\phi$ is 3. (Once more, in characteristic 3 the incomplete results of \[52\] suffice to see this.) The results of Table 8 now follow from Proposition \[7.1\] and Harish-Chandra theory.

\[ G := 6.2E_6(2): \] Here, we assume that $\text{char}(K) = 0$. The ordinary character table of $G$ has been computed by Frank Lübeck (see \[87\]). Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ such that $H/Z(G)$ is one of the four maximal parabolic subgroups of $G/Z(G) = 2E_6(2)$. As a first step we show that the central element of order 2 of $G$ is in the kernel of every $K$-character of $H$ inducing to an irreducible $K$-character of $G$.

First, let $H$ be the largest of these four subgroups, so that $H/Z(H) = 2^{1+20}:\text{PSU}_6(2)$. The image $\bar{H}$ of $H$ in the factor group $\bar{G} := 3.2E_6(2)$ is equal to the centralizer of an involution $z$. Using class multiplication coefficients one can show that $z$ together with some conjugate generates a dihedral group of order 6. Thus $\bar{H}$ and $H$ cannot be block stabilizers in $\bar{G}$, respectively $G$ by Corollary \[2.7\] and Lemma \[2.3\]. Now let $H$ be one of the remaining three maximal subgroups considered here. Then there is no faithful irreducible $K$-character of $G$ whose degree is divisible by the index $[G:H]$. The analogous statement holds for the factor group $\bar{G} := 2.2E_6(2)$ with one exception. This occurs for the second maximal subgroup $\bar{H}$ of $\bar{G}$, where $H/Z(\bar{G}) = 2^{8+16}:\Omega_8^-(2)$. In this case, the only possible degree for $\phi$ is 4480. Using Clifford theory it is not hard to show that $\bar{H} = 2.(2^{8+16}:\Omega_8^-(2)) = 2.(2^{8+16}:\Omega_8^- (2))$ does not have an irreducible character of this degree.

It suffices now to consider the group $\bar{G} = 3.2E_6(2)$. Note that $\bar{G}$ is a finite group of Lie type. Let $\hat{H}$ denote the image of $H$ in $\bar{G}$. By Proposition \[7.1\] the unipotent radical of $\hat{H}$ is in the kernel of $\phi$, and we may thus use Harish-Chandra theory to obtain the results of Table 6. We omit the details (but see Chapters \[7\] \[8\] \[10\]).
CHAPTER 6

Groups of Lie type: Induction from non-parabolic subgroups

In this chapter $G$ is a quasisimple covering group of a simple group of Lie type. By the latter we understand any finite simple group which is not isomorphic to a sporadic simple group nor to an alternating group $A_7$ or $A_n$, $n \geq 9$. Throughout this chapter we also assume that $G/Z(G)$ does not have an exceptional multiplier, and that $G/Z(G)$ is not isomorphic to a simple group of Lie type of a different defining characteristic. The cases excluded here were treated in Chapter 5. Moreover, $K$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$, but not equal to the defining characteristic of $G$. In Proposition 3.1 we have already observed that the Tits simple group $2F_4(2)'$ does not have any imprimitive irreducible module over $K$. For this reason we also exclude the Tits group from our considerations here. In particular, $G$ is a finite group of Lie type. The main result of this chapter is the following reduction theorem.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let $G$ be a quasisimple covering group of a simple group of Lie type subject to the restrictions formulated above, and let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ and a block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $K^G$-module. Then $H$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G$.

The proof of this theorem is given in Sections 6.2 and 6.3.

6.1. Outline of the strategy

Our starting point is the observation that the index of a block stabilizer is at most equal to the dimension of an absolutely irreducible $K^G$-module. Convenient upper bounds for the latter are due to Seitz.

**Lemma 6.2 (Seitz).** Let $M$ be an absolutely irreducible $K^G$-module and $B$ a Borel subgroup of $G$. Then $\dim(M) \leq [G : B]c_G$ where either $c_G = 1$ or is as given below.

$$c_G = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(q^2 - 1)}{(q^2 - q + 1)}, & \text{if } G \cong SU_n(q), \ n \text{ odd}, \\
\frac{(q - 1)}{(q - \sqrt{2q} + 1)}, & \text{if } G \cong 2B_2(q), \ q = 2^{2m+1}, \\
\frac{(q - 1)}{(q - \sqrt{3q} + 1)}, & \text{if } G \cong 2G_2(q), \ q = 3^{2m+1}, \\
\frac{(q - 1)^2}{(q - \sqrt{2q} + 1)^2}, & \text{if } G \cong 2F_4(q), \ q = 2^{2m+1}.
\end{cases}$$
Proof. This is due to Seitz [107, Theorem 2.2].

In order to prove Theorem 6.1, we may assume that $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$. In a first step we determine those non-parabolic maximal subgroups $H$ of $G$ for which $[G : H] \leq [G : B]|_{cG}$, since no other $H$ can be the block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $KG$-module. When $G$ is a classical group, we treat the various non-parabolic subgroups $H$ according to their Aschbacher classes. Given $G$ and $H$, we usually produce a lower bound $b$ for the order of a Borel subgroup $B$ of $G$, and a strict upper bound $h$ for the order of $H$. Then $|H|_{cG} / |B| < hc_{cG} / b$, and thus $hc_{cG} / b \leq 1$ implies $[G : H] > [G : B]|_{cG}$. In the Tables 1–6, the columns headed $bc_{-1}G$ and $h$ give lower and upper bounds for $|B|_{c-1}G$ and $|H|$, respectively. The column headed $\tilde{H}$ describes groups closely related to $H$, usually overgroups of $H$ (in overgroups of $G$); in any case, $|H| \leq |\tilde{H}|$, and $h$ is an upper bound for $|\tilde{H}|$. These are derived from the following easily obtained upper bounds for the orders of certain classical groups:

\[
|\text{GL}_n(q)| \leq q^{n^2};
\]

\[
|\text{GU}_n(q)| \leq (q + 1)q^{n^2 - 1};
\]

\[
|\text{Sp}_n(q)| \leq q^{n(n+1)/2};
\]

\[
|\text{GO}_n^\epsilon(q)| \leq q^{n(n-1)/2}, \quad \epsilon \in \{0, +, -\}.
\]

For those $H$ surviving the first step, we attempt to construct an element $t \in G \setminus H$ such that $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^t$, in which case Lemma 2.2 rules out $H$ as possible block stabilizer. At this point very few possibilities for $G$ and $H$ remain. These are disposed of by character theoretic methods similar to those from Chapters 3 and 5. Here, Frank Lübeck’s data base [86] containing the ordinary character degrees of groups of Lie type of small rank is particularly helpful.

6.2. The classical groups of Lie type

For further reference, we collect the groups considered here in the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 6.3. Throughout this section $G$ denotes one of the following quasisimple classical groups.

(a) $\text{SL}_n(q)$ with $n = 2$ and $q \geq 11$ or $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 2)$;
(b) $\text{SU}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$, and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (3, 3), (4, 2), (4, 3), (6, 2)$;
(c) $\text{Sp}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 4$ even, and $(n, q) \neq (4, 2), (4, 3), (6, 2)$;
(d) $\text{GO}_n(q)$ with $q$ odd and $n \geq 7$ odd and $(n, q) \neq (7, 3)$;
(e) $\Omega^+_n(q)$ with $n \geq 8$ even and $(n,q) \neq (8,2)$;
(f) $\Omega^-_n(q)$ with $n \geq 8$ even.

The spin groups, which are excluded from our list, are treated as follows. Suppose that $G = \Omega^e_n(q)$ is one of the orthogonal groups, and that $H$ is a non-parabolic subgroup of $G$. If the conclusion of Theorem 6.1 for $G$ and $H$ is due to the fact that $[G : H] > [G : B]$ (notice that $c_G = 1$ in this case), then the inverse image of $H$ in $\text{Spin}^e_n(q)$ is not a block stabilizer either. If $\text{Spin}^e_n(q) \neq \Omega^e_n(q)$ and we don’t prove that $[G : H] > [G : B]$, we find an element $t \in G$ of odd order such that $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^t$. Then the statement of Theorem 6.1 for the inverse image of $H$ in $\text{Spin}^e_n(q)$ follows from Corollary 2.7.

The natural module for $G$ is denoted by $V$. Thus, if $G$ is the special unitary group $SU_n(q)$, then $V = F^n_q$, and $V = F^n_q$ if $G$ is one of the other groups. Notice that by our restrictions on $(n,q)$, each of the classical groups considered above has a unique natural module.

Recall from the introduction that the subgroups of $G$ are grouped into Aschbacher classes. We use the definitions of these classes established in Kleidman-Liebeck’s book [75], slightly adapted to our situation, where we only consider maximal subgroups of $G$. In the sequel, we consider each Aschbacher class in turn. The respective subgroups of $G$ are roughly described in Tables 1–6 below. These tables are based on [75, Tables 3.5A–3.5F], except that we suppress most of the restrictions on the parameters given there. Whenever such restrictions are relevant here, they will be discussed in our proofs.

6.2.1. The case $H$ is of type $C_1$ but not parabolic. In this subsection either $G$ is one of the groups defined in Hypothesis 6.3 or $G = \Omega_n(q)$ with $n \geq 5$ odd, where $q$ can be any prime power, but $(n,q) \neq (5,2),(5,3),(7,2),(7,3)$. Of course, the natural $n$-dimensional module for the groups $\Omega_n(q)$ considered here is also denoted by $V$. The reason for enlarging the collection of groups considered in this subsection will be explained below.

We call a subgroup $H$ of $G$ of $C_1$-type, if $H$ is the stabilizer in $G$ of a subspace $W$ of $V$, for which we write $H = N_G(W)$. The $C_1$-type subgroup $H = N_G(W)$ is called parabolic, if $G$ is linear and $W$ is any subspace of $V$, if $G$ is unitary or symplectic and $W$ is totally isotropic (i.e., $W \leq W^\perp$), or if $G$ is orthogonal and $Q(v) = 0$ for every $v \in W$, where $Q$ denotes the quadratic form defining $G$.

We define a $C^*_1$-type subgroup of $G$ to be a non-parabolic subgroup of type $C_1$. Clearly, if $G$ is linear, then it does not have any $C^*_1$-type subgroups. We have included the groups $\Omega_n(q)$ for $n \geq 5$ odd and $q$ any prime power (subject to the restrictions listed above), since we wish
to deal with a certain $C_7$-type subgroup of $G = \text{Sp}_4(q)$ as a $C_1^*$-type subgroup of $\Omega_5(q)$ (see Lemma 6.20 and Proposition 6.9), and with certain $C_8$-type subgroups of $G = \text{Sp}_n(q)$, $q$ even, as $C_1^*$-type subgroups of $\Omega_{n+1}(q) \cong G$ (see 6.2.7).

We begin by describing the configurations we have to consider. Although the result is well known, we sketch its proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 6.4.** Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_1^*$-type. Then one of the following occurs.

1. We have $G = \Omega_n^*(q)$ with $n \geq 8$ even and $q$ even, and $H$ is the stabilizer of a hyperplane $Y$ of $V$ with $\dim(Y \cap Y^\perp) = 1$. In particular, $H = \Omega_{n-1}(q) \cong \text{Sp}_{n-2}(q)$.

2. We have $G = \Omega_n(q)$ with $n \geq 5$ odd and $q$ even, and either $H$ is isomorphic to a maximal $C_1^*$-type subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{n-1}(q)$ through the isomorphism $G \cong \text{Sp}_{n-1}(q)$, or $H$ is the stabilizer of a non-degenerate hyperplane $Y$ of $V$. In the latter case, $H = \text{GO}_n^*(q)$, where $\epsilon$ depends on the Witt index of $Y$.

3. Otherwise $H$ stabilizes a pair of complementary, mutually orthogonal, non-isometric, non-degenerate subspaces $X$ and $Y$ of $V$.

**Proof.** If $G$ is orthogonal, let $Q$ denote the quadratic form on $V$ defining $G$. Suppose that $H$ stabilizes the proper subspace $0 \neq W \leq V$. Then it also stabilizes $W^\perp$ and $\text{rad}(W) := W \cap W^\perp$ (if $G$ is orthogonal, $\text{rad}(W)$ is defined with respect to the polar form of $Q$). If $\text{rad}(W) = 0$, i.e., if $W$ is non-degenerate, we are in Case (3).

Suppose first that $q$ is odd or that $G$ is not orthogonal. As $H$ is maximal and not parabolic, it follows that $W = 0$. This implies that $\text{rad}(W)$ is 1-dimensional.

Now suppose that $q$ is even, that $G$ is orthogonal and that $\text{rad}(W) \neq 0$. Put

$$U := \{ v \in \text{rad}(W) \mid Q(v) = 0 \}.$$  

Then $U$ is an $H$-invariant subspace of $V$. As $H$ is maximal and non-parabolic, it follows that $U = 0$. This implies that $\text{rad}(W)$ is 1-dimensional.

Now suppose that $n$ is even. As $H$ stabilizes $Y := \text{rad}(W)^\perp$, we are in Case (1).

Finally suppose that $n$ is odd. Then $\text{rad}(V)$ is 1-dimensional. If $W + \text{rad}(V) = V$, we are in the second alternative of Case (2). Thus suppose that $W + \text{rad}(V) \neq V$. As $W + \text{rad}(V)$ is $H$-invariant, we find that $\text{rad}(V) \leq W$ and $\text{rad}(V) = \text{rad}(W)$. It follows that $\text{rad}(V) \neq W$. Now let

$$^- : V \to \bar{V} := V/\text{rad}(V)$$
denote the canonical epimorphism. This gives rise to the isomorphism
\[ \Omega(V) \to \text{Sp}(\bar{V}). \]

As \( \bar{H} \) is a non-parabolic subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(\bar{V}) \), it follows that \( \bar{W} \) is a non-zero, proper, non-degenerate subspace of \( \bar{V} \). This completes the proof. \( \diamond \)

We first treat the case of odd defining characteristic.

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( H \) be a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_1^* \)-type and suppose that \( q \) is odd. Then there exists an element \( t \in G \setminus H \) of odd order centralizing \( H \cap H^t \).

**Proof.** As \( q \) is odd, we are in Case (3) of Lemma 6.4. Using the notation of that lemma, we choose \( z \in \text{GL}(V) \) so that it acts via \( -I_X \) on \( X \) and via \( I_Y \) on \( Y \). Evidently \( z \) is an involution and \( H \leq C_G(z) \).

We first assume that \( q \neq 3 \) and pick non-zero vectors \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \). Thus with respect to the basis \( \{x, y\} \), the element \( z \) acts diagonally on \( U := \langle x, y \rangle \) with eigenvalues \( \pm 1 \).

If \( G \) is symplectic, \( x \) and \( y \) are necessarily isotropic and perpendicular, as \( Y = X^\perp \). Consequently \( U \) is totally isotropic. Choose \( x' \in X \) and \( y' \in Y \) so that \( (x, x') \) and \( (y, y') \) are hyperbolic pairs, and put \( U' := \langle x', y' \rangle \). Then \( U \oplus U' \) is a non-degenerate subspace of \( V \). Put \( P_U := N_G(U) \). As \( U \) is totally isotropic, \( P_U \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G \), and \( L_U := N_{P_U}(U') \) is a Levi subgroup of \( P_U \). As \( z \in G \) stabilizes \( U \) and \( U' \), we have \( z \leq L_U \). Now \( L_U \) contains a \( z_U \)-invariant direct summand isomorphic to \( \text{GL}(U) \cong \text{GL}_q(q) \). By Lemma 2.8, there are maximal tori \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) of \( \text{SL}(U) \) of orders \( q-1 \) and \( q+1 \), respectively, inverted by \( z_U \). As \( q > 3 \), there is a non-trivial element \( t_0 \in T_1 \cup T_2 \) of odd order. Let \( t \in G \) be the element which acts as \( t_0 \) on \( U \) and as the identity on \( (U \oplus U')^\perp \). Then \( z \) inverts \( t \) and hence \( t \in C_G(H \cap H^t) \) by Lemma 2.3.

If \( G \) is unitary or orthogonal we pick \( x \) and \( y \) so that they are non-isotropic with respect to the form defining \( G \). Since \( X \) and \( Y \) are non-degenerate, this is always possible. Thus, as \( x \) and \( y \) are perpendicular, \( U \) is non-degenerate with respect to the form defining \( G \). Now if \( G \) is unitary, \( \text{SU}(U) \times \text{SU}(U^\perp) \leq N_G(U) \) and \( \text{SU}(U) \) is \( z_U \) invariant. Using Lemma 2.8, an argument analogous to the one in the symplectic case shows that there exists a \( t \in C_G(H \cap H^t) \) with \( t \) of odd order.

If \( G \) is orthogonal, \( G = \Omega(V) \cong \Omega^\perp(q) \), we adjust the choice of \( y \) so that the order of \( \text{SO}(U) \) is twice an odd number. Since \( q \neq 3 \) this is always possible. Now \( \text{SO}(U) \times \text{SO}(U^\perp) \leq \text{SO}(V) \cong \Omega^\perp(q) \). Since \( z_U \in \text{GO}(U) \setminus \text{SO}(U) \), we have \( \langle z_U, \text{SO}(U) \rangle = \text{GO}(U) \); the latter is a dihedral group. Let \( t \in \text{SO}(U) \) be a nontrivial element of odd order,
viewed as an element of SO(V), by letting it act as the identity on $U^\perp$. As $t$ has odd order, it lies in $\Omega(V) = G$. Since $z$ inverts $t$, our claim follows from Lemma 2.3.

We now consider the case where $q = 3$ and $G$ is symplectic. The group $\text{Sp}_4(3)$ contains a unique class of non-central involutions, and a subgroup isomorphic to $S_3$. We choose a non-degenerate subspace $U \leq V$ of dimension 4, whose intersections with $X$ and $Y$ are 2-dimensional. Then $z_U$ is a non-central involution in $\text{Sp}(U) \cong \text{Sp}_4(3)$. Let $t \in G$ be an element of order 3 fixing $U$ such that $t_U$ is inverted by $z$ and such that $t$ acts as the identity on $U^\perp$. Then $z$ inverts $t$ and we are done by Lemma 2.3.

We now consider the case where $q = 3$ and $G = \text{SU}_n(3)$, $n \geq 5$. We may assume that $\dim(X) \geq 2$ and choose a non-degenerate subspace $U \leq V$ of dimension 3, whose intersection with $X$ is 2-dimensional. Then $z_U$ is an involution in $\text{SU}(U) \cong \text{SU}_3(3)$. The latter group contains a unique class of involutions, and a subgroup isomorphic to $S_3$. Let $t \in G$ be an element of order 3 fixing $U$ such that $t_U$ is inverted by $z$ and such that $t$ acts as the identity on $U^\perp$. Then $z$ inverts $t$ and we are done by Lemma 2.3.

We now consider the case where $q = 3$ and $G$ is orthogonal. The group $\Omega_5(3)$ has two classes of involutions, distinguished by their centralizer order. Let $z_0$ be an involution in $\Omega_5(3)$ whose centralizer has order $2^6 \cdot 3^2$. (The centralizer of an involution in the other class has order $2^5 \cdot 3$.) A computation of class multiplication coefficients shows that there is a conjugate $z'_0$ of $z_0$ such that the product $z_0 z'_0$ has order 3. In particular, $z_0$ inverts an element of order 3. These facts can easily be checked using the known character table of $\Omega_5(3) \cong \text{PSp}_4(3)$ (see [42]). As we have excluded the case $(n, q) = (5, 3)$, we have $n \geq 7$, and may thus assume that $\dim(X) \geq 4$. We now choose a non-degenerate subspace $U \leq V$ of dimension 5, whose intersection with $X$ is 4-dimensional. Now $z_U \in \Omega_5(3)$ since $-I_{U \cap X}$ is contained in $\Omega_4^+(3)$. Hence $z_U$ is a non-central involution in $\Omega_5(3)$, and, by the above, inverts an element of order 3. Let $t \in G$ be an element of order 3 fixing $U$ such that $t_U$ is inverted by $z$ and such that $t$ acts as the identity on $U^\perp$. Then $z$ inverts $t$ and we are done by Lemma 2.3. ⊤

Next we deal with the groups of even characteristic, where we distinguish various cases.

**Lemma 6.6.** Let $G = \Omega_n(q)$ with $n \geq 8$ even and $q$ even, and suppose that $H$ is the stabilizer of a hyperplane $Y$ of $V$ with $\dim(Y \cap Y^\perp) = 1$. Then there is $t \in G \setminus H$ such that $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$.
6.2. THE CLASSICAL GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

Proof. There is an involution \( z \in \GO_6^\epsilon(2) \leq \GO_6^\epsilon(q) \) with \( H = C_G(z) \). Suppose first that \( G = \Omega_6^+(q) \). Now \( \GO_6^+(2) \cong S_8 \) and there is \( z' \in \GO_6^+(2) \) conjugate to \( z \) such that \( t := zz' \in \Omega_6^+(2) \leq G \) has order 3. An analogous construction works for \( \Omega_n(q) \) since \( \GO_n^-(2) \cong SU_4(2) : 2 \). The claim follows from Lemma 2.3.

The following lemma deals with the generic case.

Lemma 6.7. Let \( G \) be one of the groups \( SU_n(q), n \geq 3, q \) even, \( Sp_n(q), n \geq 4, q \) even, or \( \Omega_n(q), n \geq 8 \) even and \( q \) even. Suppose that \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( C^*_1 \)-type, fixing a pair of non-degenerate, mutually orthogonal, complementary subspaces \( X \) and \( Y \). Then there exists an element \( t \in G \setminus H \) such that \( t \in C_G(H \cap H^t) \).

Proof. For any subspace \( W \leq V \), write \( W' := W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \) and view \( GL(V) \) as a subgroup of \( GL(V') \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \) be an element of order 3, and let \( z \in GL(V') \) be the element that acts as \( \alpha I \) on \( X' \) and as \( \alpha^2 I \) on \( Y' \). Then \( H = C_G(z) \).

First we assume that both \( X \) and \( Y \) are at least 2-dimensional, and pick 2-dimensional subspaces \( X_0 \) and \( Y_0 \) of \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively such that \( U := X_0 \oplus Y_0 \) is a non-degenerate subspace of \( V \). If \( G \) is orthogonal, we assume in addition that both \( X_0 \) and \( Y_0 \) are of plus-type. (The case where this is not possible will be treated later on.) If \( G \) is orthogonal, we choose bases of \( X_0 \) and \( Y_0 \) consisting of hyperbolic pairs. In the other cases we may choose bases of \( U \) so that the bilinear, respectively sesquilinear form of \( G \) restricted to \( U \) is represented by \( J_4 \) (which, in the orthogonal case represents the polar form of the quadratic form restricted to \( U \)). We may also assume that \( z_{U'} \) is represented by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now let

\[
t = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then \( t \in G \) and \( t = [z, (z^t)^{-1}] \) and thus \( t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle \). Our claim follows from Lemma 2.3.

Next assume that \( G \) is unitary and that \( X \) is 1-dimensional. As \( X \) is non-degenerate and \( \dim(Y) \geq 2 \), we can find a non-degenerate subspace \( Y_0 \) of \( Y \) of dimension 2, such that the Gram matrix of the form
defining $G$, restricted to $U := X \oplus Y_0$ equals $J_3$ (with respect to a suitable basis of $U$). With respect to the same basis, we define $t_0 \in \text{SU}(U)$ by the matrix

$$t_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Let $t \in G$ be such that $t_U = t_0$ and $t_{U^\perp} = I_{U^\perp}$. Again $t = [z, (z^t)^{-1}] \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$, so that our claim follows from Lemma 2.3.

Finally assume that $G$ is orthogonal and that $X$ is 2-dimensional and totally anisotropic, i.e. of minus-type. As $\dim(Y) \geq 6$, there is a non-degenerate 2-dimensional subspace $Y_0 \leq Y$ of plus-type. Put $U := X \oplus Y_0$. We identify $X$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, equipped with the norm form. Then $\Omega(X)$ equals the set of right multiplications by elements of norm 1. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ be an element of order $q + 1$. Then multiplication by $x$ is represented by the matrix

$$z_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the $\mathbb{F}_q$-basis $\{1, x\}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Choose a hyperbolic pair $\{y_1, y_2\}$ of $Y_0$, and an ordered basis of $V$ of the form $\{y_1, x_1, x_2, y_2, \ldots\}$, where the dots indicate a basis of $U^\perp$, and where $x_1, x_2 \in X$ correspond to $1, x$, respectively, in our identification of $X$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Let $z \in G$ be the element represented by the matrix

$$z := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to this basis. Then $H = N_G(\langle z \rangle) = \langle C_G(z), s \rangle$, where $s \in G$ is an involution swapping the basis elements $x_1, x_2$ of $X$ and leaving fixed $y_1$ and $y_2$. Now let $t$ be the element

$$t := \begin{pmatrix} t_0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where $t_0$ is the matrix

$$t_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{y_1, x_1, x_2, y_2\}$ of $U$. Then $t \in G$. 

Let $t \in G$ be such that $t_U = t_0$ and $t_{U^\perp} = I_{U^\perp}$. Again $t = [z, (z^t)^{-1}] \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$, so that our claim follows from Lemma 2.3.
6.2. THE CLASSICAL GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

The fixed space of \( z \) and of \( z^t \) equals \( \langle y_1, Y_1 \rangle \), where \( Y_1 \) denotes the orthogonal complement of \( Y_0 \) in \( Y \). Hence \( H \cap H^t = N_G(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_G(\langle z^t \rangle) \) stabilizes \( \langle y_1, Y_1 \rangle \) and in turn also \( \langle y_1, Y_1 \rangle^\perp = \langle y_1, X \rangle =: U_0 \). Thus an element \( g \in H \cap H^t \) has the form

\[
(6.1) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( A \) is a \( 4 \times 4 \)-matrix, \( B \) a \( 4 \times (n - 4) \)-matrix whose first three rows are zero, and \( C \) is an \((n - 4) \times 4 \)-matrix whose last three columns are zero.

Now

\[
g'^t = \begin{pmatrix} t_0^{-1}At_0 & t_0^{-1}B \\ Ct_0 & D \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The properties of \( C \) and \( D \) mentioned above imply \( t_0^{-1}B = B \) and \( Ct_0 = C \). Our claim follows once we can show that \( t_0 \) commutes with the matrices \( A \) arising in (6.1).

We have already observed above that \( H \cap H^t \) stabilizes \( U_0 \). It also stabilizes \( X \cap X^t = \langle x_1 + x_2 \rangle \), and hence \( x_1 + x_2 \). As \( H \cap H^t \) also fixes \( y_1 \), it follows that \( (H \cap H^t)_{U_0} = \langle s_{U_0} \rangle \). As \( H \) stabilizes \( X \) and \( Y = X^\perp \), the matrices \( A \) arising in (6.1) are of the form

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

or

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

for suitable elements \( b \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Such elements obviously commute with \( t_0 \). This completes our proof. ☜

We finally consider the orthogonal groups of odd dimension and even characteristic.

**Lemma 6.8.** Let \( G = \Omega_n(q) \) with \( n \geq 5 \) odd and \( q \) even, and suppose that \( H \) is the stabilizer of a non-degenerate hyperplane \( Y \) of \( V \). Then there is \( t \in G \setminus H \) such that \( t \) centralizes \( H \cap H^t \).

**Proof.** Notice that \( Y \) is a complement to \( X := V^\perp \). Choose a non-degenerate subspace \( Y_0 \) of \( Y \) of dimension 2 of plus-type, i.e., \( Y_0 \) contains isotropic vectors. Let \( Y_1 \) denote the orthogonal complement of \( Y_0 \) in \( Y \), and put \( U := X \oplus Y_0 \).
Choose a hyperbolic pair \( \{y, y'\} \) of \( Y_0 \) and a non-zero element \( x \in X \). Let \( t_0 \) be the element of \( \Omega(U) \) defined by the following matrix with respect to the basis \( \{x, y', y\} \) of \( U \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Extend \( t_0 \) to all of \( V \) by letting it act as the identity on \( Y_1 \). In particular, \( t \) fixes \( y \) and \( x \). Now \( H \cap H^t \) stabilizes \( Y \cap Y^t \). As \( y \in Y \cap Y^t \), we have \( Y \cap Y^t = Y_1 \oplus \langle y \rangle \), with \( \langle y \rangle = \text{rad}(Y \cap Y^t) \). In particular, the elements of \( H \cap H^t \) fix \( y \) and \( x \).

Consider the basis \( \{x, y', y_3, \ldots, y_n\} \) of \( V \), where \( \{y_3, \ldots, y_n\} \) is a basis of \( Y_1 \). Let \( g \in H \cap H^t \), written with respect to this basis as

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( A \) is a 3 \( \times \) 3-matrix. Then

\[
g' = \begin{pmatrix}
t_0^{-1}At_0 & t_0^{-1}B \\
Ct_0 & D
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As \( g \) fixes \( y \) and \( x \), the first and last row of \( B \) consist of zeroes, and thus \( t_0^{-1}B = B \). As \( g \) stabilizes \( \langle y \rangle \oplus Y_1 \), the first two columns of \( C \) consist of zeroes, and thus \( Ct_0 = C \).

Using the fact that \( g \) fixes \( x \) and \( y \), and applying the invariance of the polar form to the pair \( (y, y') \), we see that \( A \) is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \beta \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

for some \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \). It follows that \( D \) commutes with \( t_0 \), proving our assertion.

We summarize the results of this subsection.

**Proposition 6.9.** Let \( G \) be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis 6.3.

(b) A group \( G = \text{Spin}^\epsilon_n(q) \), \( n \geq 5 \), \( q \) any prime power \( \epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \) and \( (n, q) \neq (5, 2), (5, 3), (7, 2), (7, 3) \).

Let \( H \) be a non-parabolic maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_1 \)-type. (If \( G = \text{Spin}^\epsilon_n(q) \), this means that \( H \) is the inverse image of a maximal subgroup of \( \Omega^\epsilon_n(q) \) of \( C_1 \)-type.) Then \( H \) is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible \( KG \)-module.
PROOF. The possibilities for $H$ are described in Lemma 6.4. If $q$ is odd and $G$ is a spin group, our claim follows from Lemma 6.5 in conjunction with Corollary 2.7. The other cases are treated in Lemmas 6.5, 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8.

6.2.2. The case $H$ is of type $C_2$. In this subsection we consider the case where $H$ is a $C_2$-type subgroup of $G$. By definition, this means that there is a direct sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ with $k \geq 2$ such that the factors $V_i$ are permuted by $G$. The relevant bounds for $|H|$ and $|B|c_G^{-1}$ are given in Table 1. The parameter $k$ in Table 1 is the same as above, and $n = mk$. We also assume that $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$.

Lemma 6.10. Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3.

(a) Let $h$ and $bc_G^{-1}$ be as in Table 7 and suppose that $k = n$. Then $hc_G/b < 1$ in any of the following cases:

(i) The group $G$ is linear or unitary and $n \geq 5$, $q \geq 3$ or $q = 2$ and $n \geq 7$.

(ii) The group $G$ is symplectic or orthogonal and $n \geq 6$, $q \geq 5$, or $q = 3, 4$ and $n \geq 9$ or $q = 2$ and $n \geq 18$.

(b) If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_2$-type such that $H$ stabilizes a decomposition of $V$ into $n$ blocks, then $H$ is not the block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $KG$-module.

Proof. (a) In case $G = SU_n(q)$ our estimate is

$$\frac{hc_G}{b} \leq \frac{(q + 1)^{n+1}n!}{(q^2 - q + 1)q^{n(n-1)/2}(q^2 - 1)^{(n-3)/2}} =: f(n, q).$$

For fixed $q \geq 2$, the function $f(n, q)$ is decreasing in $n$. This is easily verified by considering the quotient $f(n+1, q)/f(n, q)$ of two consecutive terms. Now $f(5, q) < 1$ for all $q \geq 3$ and thus $f(n, q) < 1$ for all $q \geq 3$ and $n \geq 5$. Also, $f(7, 2) < 1$ and thus $f(n, 2) < 1$ for all $n \geq 7$. The case $G = SL_n(q)$ is treated similarly. We omit the details.

Suppose now that $G$ is orthogonal. Here, $h = 2^nn!$, and, using the smallest of the three lower bounds for the orders of the Borel subgroups, our estimate is

$$hc_G/b < \frac{2^{n+1}n!}{(q - 1)^{(n-1)/2}q^{(n-1)^4/4}} =: f(n, q).$$

For fixed $q \geq 3$ the function $f(n, q)$ is decreasing in $n$ for $n \geq 6$ (in fact for $n \geq 4$). Since $f(6, q) < 1$ for all $q \geq 5$, we have $f(n, q) < 1$ for all $n \geq 6$ and $q \geq 5$. Also, $f(9, 3), f(9, 4) < 1$ and and hence $f(n, q) < 1$ for all $n \geq 9$ and $q = 3, 4$. Finally, $f(18, 2) < 1$ and our claim follows. The case $G = Sp_n(q)$ is treated similarly.
(b) We begin with the case $G = SU_n(q)$. For $n = 3, 4$ we use the exact values for $|H|, |B|$ and $c_G$ to prove that $|H| c_G / |B| < 1$ for all values of $q$ not excluded in Hypothesis 6.3. By (a), it remains to consider the cases $q = 2$ and $3 \leq n \leq 6$, of which only the case $(n, q) = (5, 2)$ is not excluded in Hypothesis 6.3. The latter case is ruled out using the character table of $SU_5(2)$ available in GAP [42]. This concludes the case where $G$ is unitary. The case of $G = SL_n(q)$ is treated similarly. Note that the case $G = Sp_n(q)$ does not occur, since $m$ must be even in this case.

Suppose now that $G$ is orthogonal. This case only occurs when $q$ is odd (see [75, Table 3.5]), which we assume from now on. By (a)(ii), we only have to consider the cases $q = 3$ and $n = 7, 8$, the first of which is excluded in Hypothesis 6.3. The cases $q = 3$ and $n = 8$ are treated by using the exact values for the orders of $B$ and $H$ (notice that $G = \Omega_8^+(3)$ in this case; see [75, Table 3.5.F]).

**Lemma 6.11.** Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3. If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_2$-type such that $H$ stabilizes a decomposition of $V$ into $k$ blocks with $k > 2$, then $H$ is not the block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $K$-module.

**Proof.** We fix $k \geq 3$. First assume that $G$ is one of the groups listed in Lemma 6.10(a). We show $h c_G / b < 1$ by induction on $m$. By this lemma, this assertion is true for $m = 1$. Now assume that the assertion holds for all $1 \leq m \leq j$ for some $j \geq 1$. Setting $e = 1$ if $G$ is linear or unitary and $e = 2$, otherwise, we see that increasing $m$ by 1 increases $h$ by at most a factor of

$$\Delta_h := q^{(2km+2k)/e}.$$ 

On the other hand, the estimate for $b$ increases by at least a factor of

$$\Delta_b := (q - 1)^{k/2} q^{k(2km+k-2)/2e}.$$ 

One easily checks that $\Delta_h / \Delta_b \leq 1$ for all $k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$, implying our claim.

To finish the proof, we have to consider the groups satisfying our hypothesis ($k \geq 3$), that are excluded in Lemma 6.10(a). First assume that $G = Sp_n(q)$, Since $k \geq 3$, we have $n \geq 6$. If $n = 6$, only $k = 3$, $m = 2$ is possible. In this case, $h / b < 1$ for all $q \geq 3$. So we are left with the case $q = 2, 6 \leq n \leq 18$. Here, $h$ is smallest for $m = 2$. In this case,

$$h / b \leq \frac{(n/2)!}{2^{n(n-6)/4}} < 1.$$
for all \( n \geq 8 \). As \( \mathrm{Sp}_6(2) \) is excluded by Hypothesis 6.3, we are left with the case \( G = \mathrm{Sp}_8(2) \) and \( m = 2 \), which is ruled out using the exact value for \(|H|\).

Now assume that \( G \) is not symplectic. By Lemma 6.10(b), we may assume that \( m \geq 2 \), and thus \( n \geq 6 \). As \( \mathrm{SU}_6(2) \) is excluded by Hypothesis 6.3, we are left with \( G = \mathrm{SL}_6(2) \) or \( G \) orthogonal. The former case yields \( h/b < 1 \). Now suppose that \( G \) is orthogonal with \( n \geq 8 \) even. Here, the bound for \( h \) is largest if \( m = 2 \). Using the smallest valued for \( b \), we obtain

\[
\frac{h}{b} \leq \frac{2(n/2)!}{q^{3(n-6)/4}} < 1
\]

for all \( n \geq 10 \). Now \( \Omega_3^+(2) \) is excluded by Hypothesis 6.3, and \( \Omega_3^-(2) \) is ruled out using its ordinary character table. If \( G \) is orthogonal and \( n \) odd, we only have to consider the case \( G = \Omega_9(3) \), and \( m = k = 3 \), which again yields \( h/b < 1 \). The proof is complete.

\[
\text{Lemma 6.12. Let } G \text{ be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3. If } H \text{ is a maximal subgroup of } G \text{ of } C_2\text{-type such that } H \text{ stabilizes a decomposition}
\]

| \( G \) | \( b_{c_G}^{-1} \) | \( H \) | \( h \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| \( \mathrm{SL}_n(q) \) | \( q^{n(n-1)/2}(q-1)^{n-1} \) | \( \mathrm{GL}_m(q) \uplus S_k \) | \( q^{km^2}k! \) |
| \( \mathrm{SU}_n(q) \) \( n \geq 3 \) | \( \frac{q^2-q+1}{q^2-1}q^{n(n-1)/2}(q^2-1)^{n/2}(q+1)^{-1} \) | \( \mathrm{GU}_m(q) \uplus S_k \) \( \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q^2).2 \) | \( (q+1)^kq^{km^2}q^{k(m^2-1)/k} \) |
| \( \mathrm{Sp}_n(q) \) \( n \geq 4 \) | \( q^{n^2/4}(q-1)^{n/2} \) | \( \mathrm{Sp}_m(q) \uplus S_k \) \( \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q).2 \) | \( q^{km(m+1)/2}k! \) |
| \( \Omega_n(q) \) \( 2 \nmid qn \) | \( \frac{1}{2}q^{n(n-1)/4}(q-1)^{(n-1)/2} \) | \( \Omega^+_m(q) \uplus S_k \) \( \Omega^+_1(q) \uplus S_n \) \( \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q).2 \) \( \mathrm{GO}_{n/2}(q)^2 \) | \( 2^kq^{كم(m-1)/2}k! \) |
| \( \Omega^+_n(q) \) \( n \geq 8 \) | \( \frac{1}{2}q^{n(n-2)/4}(q-1)^n \) | \( \Omega^+_m(q) \uplus S_k \) \( \Omega^+_1(q) \uplus S_n \) \( \mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(q).2 \) \( \mathrm{GO}_{n/2}(q)^2 \) | \( 2^kq^{km(m-1)/2}k! \) |
| \( \Omega^-_n(q) \) \( n \geq 8 \) | \( \frac{1}{2}q^{n(n-2)/4}(q-1)^{(n-2)/2}(q+1) \) | \( \Omega^-_m(q) \uplus S_k \) \( \Omega^-_1(q) \uplus S_n \) \( \mathrm{GO}_{n/2}(q)^2 \) | \( 2^kq^{km(m-1)/2}k! \) |
of the natural module of $G$ into two blocks, then there exists an element $t \in G \setminus H$ such that $t \in C_G(H \cap H')$. Moreover, if $q$ is odd, there exists such a $t$ of odd order.

**Proof.** We first observe that $H$ stabilizes a pair of complementary and isometric subspaces $X$ and $Y$ of $V$ and moreover there exists an involution $s \in \text{GL}(V)$ which normalizes $H$ and interchanges the two subspaces $X$ and $Y$. To prove our lemma we achieve the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3.

With respect to a suitable basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ for $X$ and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ for $Y$ we choose

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

unless $q$ is odd, $G$ is symplectic, and $X$ and $Y$ are totally isotropic, in which case we choose

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $q = 2, 3$, we put $\mathbb{F}' := \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Otherwise, let $\mathbb{F}' := \mathbb{F}_q$. For any subspace $W \leq V$, write $W' := W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}'$ and view $\text{GL}(V)$ as a subgroup of $\text{GL}(V')$. For $q \neq 2, 3$ we choose $\alpha$ to be a primitive element in the prime field of $\mathbb{F}_q$. For $q = 2, 3$, we choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}'$ of order 3 and 8, respectively. Then $\alpha \neq \alpha^{-1}$.

Let $z \in \text{GL}(V')$ be the element that acts as $\alpha I_{X'}$ on $X'$ and as $\alpha^{-1} I_{Y'}$ on $Y'$ i.e., with respect to any pair of bases of $X'$ and $Y'$ we have

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} I \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Evidently $H \leq \langle C_G(z), s \rangle$, respectively $H \leq \langle C_G(z), s_1 \rangle$ and so we have achieved part of the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3. To find the required element $t \in C_G(s)$, respectively $t \in C_G(s_1)$, we need to further analyze the centralizers of $s$, respectively $s_1$ in $G$, for our possible groups $G$.

Our strategy is to fix $X$, $Y$ and $s$, respectively $s_1$ and to adjust the form defining $G$ in such a way as to guarantee that our $s$ respectively $s_1$ and $t$ lie in $G$ and $X, Y$ are non-degenerate or totally isotropic.

We observe that in the $x_i, y_i$ basis above, the elements of $C_{\text{GL}(V')}(s)$ have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

and the elements of $C_{\text{GL}(V')}(s_1)$ have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
Next we exhibit elements $t \in C_G(s)$, respectively $t \in C_G(s_1)$ such that $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$ for the various situations described above.

If $G$ is linear let

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} I & N_{m,1} \\ N_{m,1} & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where $N_{m,1}$ is the $(m \times m)$-matrix with entry 1 at position $(m, 1)$, and zeroes, elsewhere. As $N_{m,1}^2 = 0$ we have

$$t^k = \begin{pmatrix} I & kN_{m,1} \\ kN_{m,1} & I \end{pmatrix},$$

for all integers $k$ and hence $t$ is an element of prime order, equal to the characteristic of $G$. We also find that $[z^{-1}, z^t] = t^k$ with $k = 2 - \alpha^2 - \alpha^{-2}$. As $2 - \alpha^2 - \alpha^{-2}$ is not equal to 0 and lies in the prime field of $\mathbb{F}'$, we conclude that $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$. Clearly, $t$ commutes with $s$, and thus the first two hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied.

To deal with the unitary case, we assume that $n = 2m \geq 4$, and define the $(m \times m)$-matrix

$$N(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{m-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & -b^q & a^q \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ such that $a + a^q = 0$ and $a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} = 0$, and where $0_{m-2}$ denotes the $(m - 2) \times (m - 2)$ zero matrix. One checks that $N(a, b)N(a^q, b^q)^T = 0 = N(a, b) + N(a^q, b^q)^T$. In particular this implies that $N(a, b)^2 = -N(a, b)N(a^q, b^q)^T = 0$. We put

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} I & N(a, b) \\ N(a, b) & I \end{pmatrix}$$

and find $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$, as in the case $G = \text{SL}_n(q)$.

Finally we pick the defining unitary form of $G = \text{SU}_n(q)$ to be represented by the identity matrix respectively the matrix $s$ depending on whether $X$ and $Y$ are to be non-degenerate respectively totally isotropic subspaces of $V$, and observe that in both cases $s, t \in G$.

If $G = \text{Sp}_n(q)$ is symplectic, $n = 2m \geq 4$ and $X$ and $Y$ are non-degenerate, then we define $t$ as in the linear case, that is

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} I & N_{m,1} \\ N_{m,1} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we pick the defining symplectic form of $G$ to be represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{J} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{J} \end{pmatrix}.$$
To see that \( t \in G \) we observe that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I & N_{m,1} \\
N_{m,1} & I
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{J} & 0 \\
0 & \tilde{J}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
I & N_{m,1} \\
N_{m,1} & I
\end{pmatrix}^T =
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{J} & N_{m,1} \tilde{J} \\
N_{m,1} \tilde{J} & \tilde{J}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
I & N_{m,1}^T \\
N_{m,1}^T & I
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{J} + N_{m,1} \tilde{J} N_{m,1}^T \\
N_{m,1}^T \tilde{J} + N_{m,1} \tilde{J} N_{m,1}^T + N_{m,1} \tilde{J}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As \( N_{m,1} \tilde{J} N_{m,1}^T = 0 = \tilde{J} N_{m,1}^T + N_{m,1} \tilde{J} \) we have our desired conclusion.

If \( G = \text{Sp}_n(q) \) is symplectic, \( n = 2m \geq 4 \) and \( X \) and \( Y \) are totally isotropic, then we define \( t \) as
\[
t = \begin{pmatrix} I & N_{m,1} \\ -N_{m,1} & I \end{pmatrix}.
\]
The commutator \([z^{-1}, z^t]\) shows once more that \( t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle \). Again we check that \( s_1, t \in G \), where the form defining \( G \) is represented by the matrix \( \tilde{J}_{2m} \).

If \( G \) is orthogonal and \( n = 2m \geq 8 \), then we define \( t \) as
\[
t = \begin{pmatrix} I & N \\ N & I \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( N = N_{m-1,1} - N_{m,2} \). Now \( N^2 = 0 \) as \( m \geq 4 \), and we conclude as before that \( t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle \).

To deal with the case that \( X \) and \( Y \) are totally isotropic, we define the quadratic form \( Q \) on \( V \) via the formula \( Q(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x_i + b_i y_i \). To deal with the case that \( X \) and \( Y \) are non-degenerate, we define the quadratic form \( Q \) of plus-type on \( V \) via the formula \( Q(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x_i + b_i y_i \). (As \( X, Y \) are both totally isotropic or both non-degenerate, the form \( Q \) is necessarily of plus-type.)

Then \( s \in G \). Moreover for our \( t \) and \( v \in V \) we have \( Q(vt) = Q(v) \). Indeed, \( vt = (a_1 + b_{m-1})x_1 + (a_2 - b_m)x_2 + a_3 x_3 + \cdots + a_m x_m + (b_1 + a_{m-1}) y_1 + (b_2 - a_m) y_2 + b_3 y_3 + \cdots + b_m y_m \). Now if \( X, Y \) are non-degenerate, we have \( Q(vt) = (a_1 + b_{m-1}) a_m + (a_2 - b_m) a_{m-1} + \sum_{i=3}^{m} a_i a_{m-i+1} + (b_1 + a_{m-1}) b_m + (b_2 - a_m) b_{m-1} + \sum_{i=3}^{m} b_i b_{m-i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i a_{m-i+1} + b_i b_{m-i+1} = Q(v) \). The calculation for the case \( X, Y \) totally isotropic is very similar. Our claim is now proved.

Put \( C := C_G(z) \). We finally show that \( C^s \cap C \emptyset = \emptyset \) in each case. The elements of \( C \) are of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{pmatrix},
\]
and thus the elements of $C_s$ are of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & A \\
B & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now
\[
t = \begin{pmatrix} I & N \\
N & I \end{pmatrix},
\]
for a matrix $N$ with $N^2 = 0$, and thus the elements of $C^t$ are of the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A - NBN & AN - NB \\
BN - AN & B - NAN
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

If such an element also lies in $C_s$, then $A - NBN = 0$ and $B - NAN = 0$. This implies $AN = NA = 0$ and $BN = NB = 0$, a contradiction. A similar calculation shows $C^t \cap C_s^1 = \emptyset$ for the $t$ we have chosen in this case. Thus all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied, which, together with Corollary 2.7 proves our claim.

In all cases we have chosen $t$ to be a unipotent element of $G$, and thus $t$ has odd order if $q$ is odd. ⋄

We summarize the results of this subsection.

**Proposition 6.13.** Let $G$ be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis 6.3.

(b) A group $G = \text{Spin}^*_n(q)$, $n \geq 7$, with $q$ odd, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $(n, q) \neq (7, 3)$.

Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_2$-type. Then $H$ is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible $K^G$-module.

**Proof.** If $G$ is not a spin group, the result follows from Lemmas 6.11 and 6.12. If $G$ is a spin group, our claim follows from these lemmas together with Corollary 2.7. ⋄

**6.2.3. The case $H$ is of type $C_3$.** Here we assume that $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of type $C_3$. This means that $H$ stabilizes an $F'$-structure of $V$, where $F'$ is an extension field of degree $r$ of the natural field of $G$, with $r$ a prime and $n = mr$ for some integer $m$. A more precise description is given below in the case of $r = 2$. In contrast to Aschbacher’s original definition [11], we impose the somewhat stricter condition of [75, Definition in §4.3]. Table 2 shows the possible pairs $(G, H)$ that need to be considered. In this table, the parameter $r$ has the same meaning as above. We begin by reducing to the case $r = 2$.

**Lemma 6.14.** Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3 and let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_3$-type.
If \( r \geq 3 \) or if \( r = 2 \) and both \( G \) and \( H \) are orthogonal, then the maximal dimension of an irreducible \( KG \)-module is smaller than \([G:H]\).

**Proof.** The assertion for \( r \geq 3 \) is easily established by showing \(|H|c_G|/|B|\leq 1\), using the bounds given in Table 2.

Suppose now that \( r = 2 \) and that \( G \) and \( H \) are orthogonal. Then the bounds in Table 2 give \( h/b \leq 4/|T| \) where \( T \) is a maximal split torus of \( G \). As \( n \geq 7 \), we again obtain \(|H|c_G|/|B|\leq 1\) if \( q > 2 \). Now suppose that \( 2 = q \). In this case \( n \) is divisible by 4 (see \([75, \text{Tables 3.5}]\)), and \( H \leq \text{GO}_{n/2}(4):2 \), if \( G = \text{O}_n^+(2) \), \( \epsilon \in \{1, -1\} \). One easily proves that \(|H|^2 < |G|\) if \( n \geq 12 \), and thus we are done with Lemma 2.1. The result for \( n = 8 \) (and \( G = \text{O}_8^-(2) \)) is derived from the character table given in the Atlas \([26, \text{p. 88}]\).

\[\Box\]

**Table 2.** Bounds for \( C_3 \)-type groups; \( n = mr \), \( r \geq 2 \)

| \( G \) | \( bc_G^{-1} \) | \( \hat{H} \) | \( h \) |
|--------|----------------|---------|------|
| \( \text{SL}_n(q) \) | \( q^{n(n-1)/2}(q-1)^{n-1} \) | \( \text{GL}_m(q^r).r \) | \( rq^{rn^2} \) |
| \( \text{SU}_n(q) \) | \( \frac{q^2-q+1}{q-1}q^{n(n-1)/2}(q^2-1)^{n/2}(q+1)^{-1} \) | \( \text{GU}_m(q^r).r \) | \( (q+1)q^{rn^2-1} \) |
| \( \text{Sp}_n(q) \) | \( q^{n^2/4}(q-1)^{n/2} \) | \( \text{Sp}_m(q^r).r \) | \( rq^{rm(m+1)/2} \) |
| \( \text{GU}_n(2) \) | | \( \text{GU}_{n/2}(q).2 \) | \( 2(q+1)q^{n^2/4-1} \) |
| \( \text{GO}_n(2) \) | | \( \text{GO}_{n/2}(q^r).r \) | \( 2rq^{rm(m-1)/2} \) |
| \( \text{GO}^+_n(q) \) | | \( \text{GO}^+_m(q^r).r \) | \( (q+1)q^{n^2/4-1} \) |
| \( \text{GO}^+_n(2) \) | | \( \text{GO}^+_{n/2}(q^2) \) | \( 2rq^{rn(m-1)/2} \) |
| \( \text{GO}^-_n(q) \) | | \( \text{GO}^-_m(q^r).r \) | \( (q+1)q^{n^2/4-1} \) |
| \( \text{GO}^-_n(2) \) | | \( \text{GO}^-_{n/2}(q^2) \) | \( 2qq^{rn(m-1)/2} \) |

We now make a few general remarks about \( C_3 \)-type subgroups where \( r = 2 \). Then \( G = \text{SU}_n(q) \) is excluded by Table 2 so that we may assume that our ground field is \( \mathbb{F}_q \). We begin with an \( m \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \)-vector space \( V \) and basis \( v_1, \ldots, v_m \). Let \( x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q \). Then 1, \( x \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_q \) basis of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \) and \( v_1, xv_1, v_2, xv_2, \ldots, v_m, xv_m \) is an \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-basis of \( V \). In the following, elements of \( \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V) = \text{GL}(V) \) are written with respect to this basis, unless explicitly stated otherwise. Now assume
that \( x^{q+1} = 1 \) and define \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \) by \( x^2 = ax - 1 \). Then the polynomial \( X^2 - aX + 1 \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) (with roots \( x \) and \( x^q \)).

Let

\[ z_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & a \end{pmatrix} \]

and observe that the minimal polynomial of \( z_0 \) equals \( X^2 - aX + 1 \), and that \( z_0 \) is the matrix representing right multiplication with \( x \) in \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \) with respect to the basis 1, \( x \).

Define \( z \) to be the \((n \times n)\)-block diagonal matrix all of whose diagonal blocks are equal to \( z_0 \). We have the following well known fact.

**Lemma 6.15.** If \( t \in \text{GL}(V) \) and \( M \) is the matrix representing \( t \) with respect to the basis \( v_1, xv_1, v_2, xv_2, \ldots, v_m, xv_m \), then \( t \) is \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \)-linear if and only if \( zM = Mz \).

Thus \( z \) defines an \( \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \)-structure on \( V \), and a subgroup \( H \) of \( \text{GL}(V) \) is of \( C_3 \)-type (with respect to this structure), if \( H \leq N_{\text{GL}(V)}(\langle z \rangle) \).

**Lemma 6.16.** Let \( G \) be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3. Suppose that \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_3 \)-type defined over a field extension of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) of degree 2, and that \( H \) is not orthogonal if \( G \) is orthogonal. Then there exists an element \( t \in G \setminus H \) such that \( t \in C_G(H \cap H^t) \). Moreover, if \( G \) is orthogonal and \( q \) is odd, there exists such a \( t \) of odd order.

**Proof.** Notice that Table 2 excludes the case that \( G \) is unitary. By Lemma 6.15 we may assume \( H = N_G(\langle z \rangle) \). Moreover, if \( s \in \text{GL}_n(q) \) with \( z^s = z^{-1} \) and \( G^s = G \), then \( s \) induces a field automorphism of order two on \( C_G(z) \) and \( H = N_G(\langle z \rangle) = \tilde{H} \cap G \) with \( \tilde{H} := \langle \tilde{C}, s \rangle \) where \( \tilde{C} := C_{\text{GL}_n(q)}(z) \).

Put

\[ s_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

and let \( s \) be the \((n \times n)\)-block diagonal matrix all of whose diagonal blocks are equal to \( s_0 \). Then \( z^s = z^{-1} \). As in the previous subsection we see that any matrix that commutes with \( s \) is a block matrix all of whose blocks must have the form

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \]

with \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \).

Suppose first that \( G \) is linear, i.e., \( G = \text{SL}_n(q) \). Then \( G^s = G \) and thus \( H = \tilde{H} \cap G \). Define \( t \) to be a block diagonal matrix with diagonal
blocks $t_0$ and $I_{n-4}$ where

$$t_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ s_0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

Then $t \in G \setminus H$ and $t$ commutes with $s$. A straightforward calculation shows that the upper left $(4 \times 4)$-block of $[z^{-1}, z^t]$ equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2 \\ s_0(2I_2 - z_0^{-2} - z_0^2) & I_2 \end{pmatrix}. $$

Now $2I_2 - z_0^{-2} - z_0^2 = (4 - a^2)I_2$ and thus $[z^{-1}, z^t] = t^{4-a^2}$. As $a \neq \pm 2$ and $t$ is of prime order, it follows that $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$. So the first two hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied. We now verify its third hypothesis. We view the elements of $\tilde{C}$ as block matrices $(A_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$, where each block $A_{ij}$ is a $(2 \times 2)$-matrix commuting with $z_0$. Let $(A_{ij})$ be an element of $\tilde{C}$. Then the first row of $(2 \times 2)$-blocks of $(A_{ij})^t$ consists of the matrices $A_{1,1} - A_{1,2}s_0, A_{1,2}, \ldots, A_{1,m}$. On the other hand, the first row of $(A_{ij})^t$s consists of the matrices $A_{1,1}s_0, A_{1,2}s_0, \ldots, A_{1,m}s_0$. One easily checks that a $(2 \times 2)$-matrix $M$ commuting with $z_0$ and satisfying $M = Ms_0$ is the zero matrix or $a = -2$. Since $a \neq \pm 2$, the latter case cannot occur. Thus $\tilde{C}^t \cap \tilde{C} = \emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $t$ commutes with $H \cap H^t$, hence $t$ commutes with $H \cap H^t$.

Now let $G$ be symplectic, i.e., $G = \text{Sp}_n(q)$. In this case $H$ is either symplectic or unitary. To deal with the case that $H$ is unitary, let $F$ be the block diagonal matrix with blocks

$$J_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, $$

and assume that $F$ represents the form defining $G$. Then $z \in G$ and thus $C_G(z)$ is unitary, and we may take $H = N_G(\langle z \rangle)$.

In the following, we choose a non-degenerate, $2k$-dimensional, $z$-invariant subspace $X$ of $V$, e.g., $X := \langle v_1, xv_1, \ldots, v_k, xv_k \rangle$ in the notation introduced before Lemma 6.15] and put $S := \{ \alpha \in \text{Stab}_G(X) \mid \alpha_{X^\perp} = I_{X^\perp} \}$. Then $S \cong \text{Sp}(X) \cong \text{Sp}_{2k}(q)$. We view $z_X$ as an element of $S$.

First assume that $q > 3$. Here, we let $k = 1$, and thus $S \cong \text{SL}_2(q)$ and $\langle z_0 \rangle$ is a torus of order $q + 1$ of $S$. Put $N := N_S(\langle z_0 \rangle)$. By Lemma 2.9] there is an element $t \in S$ such that $t$ centralizes $N \cap N^t = Z(S)$ and $\langle z_0, z_0^t \rangle = S$. As $S$ is perfect, we find that $S$ is the derived subgroup of $\langle z, z^t \rangle$. Now $H \cap H^t \leq N_G(\langle z, z^t \rangle)$, and thus $H \cap H^t$ normalizes $S$. It follows that $H \cap H^t \leq N_G(S) = \text{Sp}(X) \times \text{Sp}(X^\perp)$. By construction, $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$.

When $q = 3$, we let $k = 2$. Then $S \cong \text{Sp}(X) \cong \text{Sp}_4(3)$. We note that $z_X$ corresponds to an element of order 4 in $\text{Sp}_4(3)$ which squares
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to $-I_4$. Using GAP, we find an element $t$ of order 5 in $S$ which is
inverted by $z_X$, and such that $t \in C_S(N_S(\langle z_X \rangle) \cap N_S((z_X)^t))$. The
first of these properties implies that $t \in \langle z, z^t \rangle$, and that $t$ generates
a normal Sylow 5-subgroup of $\langle z, z^t \rangle$. As an element of order 5 of $S$
clearly does not fix any non-trivial vector of $X$, the fixed space of $\langle t \rangle$
equals $X^\perp$. Thus $H \cap H^t \leq N_G(\langle z, z^t \rangle) \leq N_G(\langle t \rangle)$ fixes $X^\perp$. The
second property of $t$ now implies that $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$.

Finally suppose that $q = 2$. This time we let $k = 3$, which is
possible as $n \geq 8$ by Hypothesis 6.3. Then $S \cong \text{Sp}(X) \cong \text{Sp}_6(2)$.
Using GAP, we find an element $t \in S$ such that $\langle z_X, z_X^t \rangle$ is isomor-
phic to the simple group $\text{SL}_8(2)$. Moreover, $t$ can be chosen such that
$t \in C_S(N_S(\langle z_X \rangle) \cap N_S((z_X)^t))$. (In fact $t$ can be chosen to be an in-
volution whose centralizer in $S$ has order 384.) These choices imply
that the derived subgroup of $\langle z, z^t \rangle$ is equal to $\langle z_X, z_X^t \rangle \cong \text{SL}_8(2)$. The
maximal subgroup $\text{SL}_2(8):3$ of $\text{Sp}_6(2)$ acts irreducibly on the natural
6-dimensional module of $\text{Sp}_6(2)$, and the restriction of this module to
$\text{SL}_2(8)$ is also irreducible. It follows that the fixed space of $\langle z_X, z_X^t \rangle$
on $V$ equals $X^\perp$. Hence $H \cap H^t \leq N_G(\langle z, z^t \rangle) \leq N_G(\langle z_X, z_X^t \rangle)$ stabi-
lizes $X^\perp$. In turn, this implies that $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$.

We now consider the case where $H$ is symplectic. In this case
$n = 2m = 4k$ and $H$ is of type $\text{Sp}_{2k}(q^2).2$. We choose the $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-bilinear
symplectic form on $V$ stabilized by $H$ in such a way that its Gram
matrix with respect to our $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ is the block diagonal
matrix whose diagonal blocks are

$$J_2 := \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Composing this $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$-bilinear form with the trace $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \to \mathbb{F}_q$, we obtain an
$\mathbb{F}_q$-bilinear symplectic form on the $2m$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space $V$.
We take $G$ to be the group stabilizing this latter from. With respect
to the $\mathbb{F}_q$-basis $\{v_1, xv_1, \ldots, v_m, xv_m\}$, the Gram matrix of this latter
form is a block diagonal matrix consisting of $k$ diagonal blocks of the
$(4 \times 4)$-matrix $E_0$ with

$$E_0 := \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 2 & a \\
0 & 0 & a & a^2 - 2 \\
-2 & -a & 0 & 0 \\
-a & -a^2 + 2 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

To simplify our calculations we change our $\mathbb{F}_q$-basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, resulting in
a change of the $\mathbb{F}_q$ basis of $V$.

Recall that the minimal polynomial of $z_0$ is $X^2 - aX + 1$. Whenever
possible, we choose $z_0$ such that $a \not\in \{0, 1\}$. Such a choice is possible if
q \neq 2, 3, 5. When q = 2, 5 we choose a = 1 and when q = 3 we choose a = 0. If q \neq 2, 3, 5 the base change matrix we use is

\[ B_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ -u & u \end{pmatrix} \]

where \( u = (1 - a)^{-1} = -(a - 1)^{-1} \). Note that \( B_0 z_0 = z_0 B_0 \) and that the new Gram matrix has block diagonal form with each diagonal block equal to

\[ F_0 := (1 + u) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 - u & -2u \\ 0 & 0 & -2u & 1 - u \\ u - 1 & 2u & 0 & 0 \\ 2u & u - 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

If q = 2, 5 the base change matrix we use is

\[ B_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = z_0, \]

and the new Gram matrix has block diagonal form where each diagonal block is equal to

\[ F_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

If q = 3 the base change matrix we use is

\[ B_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Again we note that \( B_0 z_0 = z_0 B_0 \) and that the new Gram matrix has block diagonal form where each diagonal block is equal to

\[ F_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We now view \( s \) as an element of GL(\( V \)), written with respect to our new \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-basis of \( V \). Then \( s \in G \) and \( H = N_G(\langle z \rangle) = \langle C_G(z), s \rangle \). Let \( t \in GL(V) \) be the element represented, with respect to the new basis, by the block diagonal matrix \( \text{diag}(t_0, I_{n-4}) \) with

\[ t_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ s_0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then \( t \in G \setminus H \). As in the linear case we find that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied.
We now consider the cases where $G$ is orthogonal. Here $H$ is either orthogonal or unitary. The first of these cases is excluded by the hypothesis of the lemma, so let us assume that $H$ is unitary.

We may assume that the quadratic space $V$ is the orthogonal direct sum of the 2-dimensional, $z$-invariant, anisotropic spaces $V_1, \ldots, V_m$, with $V_i := \langle v_i, xv_i \rangle$, where the form on $V_i$ is given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha^2 + a\alpha\beta + \beta^2$ with respect to the basis $\{v_i, xv_i\}$. Let $V = X \oplus Y$ with $X := V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_4$ and $Y := V_5 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$. Then $X$ is a non-degenerate 8-dimensional subspace of plus-type, invariant under $z$, and $Y = X^\perp$.

Our aim is to produce an element $t \in G$ acting trivially on $Y$, such that $t \in C_G(H \cap H')$.

We choose a new basis $w_1, \ldots, w_8$ of $X$ such that $(w_i, w_{9-i})$ is a hyperbolic pair for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, such that the totally isotropic subspaces $X_0 := \langle w_1, \ldots, w_4 \rangle$ and $X'_0 := \langle w_5, \ldots, w_8 \rangle$ of $X$ are $z$-invariant, and such that the matrix of $z_X$ with respect to $\{w_1, \ldots, w_8\}$ equals $\text{diag}(z_0, z_0^*, z_0^*)$ with

$$z_0^* = J_2^{-1} z_0^{-T} J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

To see that such a basis of $X$ exists, let $w'_1 := (1, 0, \alpha, \beta)$ and $w'_2 := (0, 1, -\beta, \alpha + a\beta)$ be elements of $V_1 \oplus V_2$, written with respect to the basis $\{v_1, xv_1, v_2, xv_2\}$ of $V_1 \oplus V_2$. Here, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfy $\alpha^2 + a\alpha\beta + \beta^2 = -1$. Then $\langle w'_1, w'_2 \rangle$ is a totally isotropic subspace of $V_1 \oplus V_2$, and $w'_1 z = w'_2$ and $w'_2 z = -w'_1 + aw'_2$. Next, choose an isotropic vector $w'_3 \in V_1 \oplus V_2$, orthogonal to $w'_1$ and with scalar product 1 with $w'_2$. In other words, $(w'_2, w'_3)$ is a hyperbolic pair of $V_1 \oplus V_2$, orthogonal to $w'_1$. By subtracting a suitable scalar multiple of $w'_1$, we may assume that $w'_3$ is of the form $w'_3 = (0, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon)$. Now put $w'_4 := -w'_3 z$. Then $(w'_1, w'_4)$ is a hyperbolic pair orthogonal to $(w'_2, w'_3)$, and $w'_4 z = w'_3 + aw'_4$ (notice that $z^2 = az - I_V$). Producing an analogous basis for $V_3 \oplus V_4$ and rearranging the basis vectors, we obtain the desired basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_8\}$ of $X$.

By replacing the first eight elements of $\{v_1, xv_1, \ldots, v_m, xv_m\}$ by $\{w_1, \ldots, w_8\}$, we obtain a new basis of $V$. Elements of $\text{GL}(V)$ are now written with respect to this new basis. Again, we view $s$ as an element of $\text{GL}(V)$, written with respect to the new basis. Then $s \in G$ and $H = N_G(\langle z \rangle) = \langle C_G(z), s \rangle$.  

\section{6.2. The Classical Groups of Lie Type}
Now assume that $q \neq 3$. Then $a \neq 0$. Define $t_0 \in \Omega(X)$ by the matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\
R & 0 & I_2 & 0 \\
0 & -R & 0 & I_2 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]
with
\[
R := \begin{pmatrix}
-2a^{-1} & 1 \\
1 & -2a^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
The cyclic group $Z := \langle \text{diag}(z_0, z_0, z_0^*, z_0^*) \rangle$ of order $q + 1$ acts linearly on the 4-dimensional space of matrices
\[
U := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\
S & 0 & I_2 & 0 \\
0 & S' & 0 & I_2 \\
\end{pmatrix} \mid S \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2 \times 2}, S' = -J_2 S^T J_2 \right\}
\]
by conjugation. As an $\mathbb{F}_q Z$-module, $U$ is the direct sum $C_U(Z) \oplus [U, Z]$. The space $C_U(Z)$ is 2-dimensional, hence so is $[U, Z]$. It is easy to see that $t_0 \in [U, Z]$, in fact $t_0 = [u, z_X]$ with
\[
u := \begin{pmatrix}
I_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_2 & 0 & 0 \\
S & 0 & I_2 & 0 \\
0 & S' & 0 & I_2 \\
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where
\[
S := \begin{pmatrix}
2a^{-1} & 0 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Moreover, $t_0$ and $[t_0, z_X]$ are linearly independent in $[U, Z]$. Thus $[U, Z]$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q Z$-module. It follows that $\langle z_X, z_X^{t_0} \rangle = \langle z_X, [t_0, z_X] \rangle = \langle z_X, t_0 \rangle = Z[U, Z]$. In particular, $t_0 \in \langle z_X, z_X^{t_0} \rangle$. Also, the derived subgroup of $\langle z_X, z_X^{t_0} \rangle$ equals $[U, Z]$, whose fixed space on $X$ equals $X_0$. Now let $t \in G$ be the element which acts as $t_0$ on $X$ and as $I_Y$ on $Y$. Then the fixed space of the derived subgroup of $\langle z, z' \rangle$ equals $X_0 \oplus Y$. As $H \cap H^t \leq N_G((z, z'))$, it follows that $H \cap H^t$ fixes $X_0 \oplus Y$ and $X_0 = (X_0 \oplus Y)^\perp$. Now let
\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{pmatrix}
\]
be an element of $H \cap H^t$, written with respect to our new basis of $V$, where $A$ is an $(8 \times 8)$-matrix. Then
\[
g' = \begin{pmatrix}
t_0^{-1} A t_0 & t_0^{-1} B \\
C t_0 & D
\end{pmatrix}.
Since \( g \) stabilizes \( X_0 \), the first four rows of \( B \) are zero, and thus \( t_0^{-1}B = B \). Similarly, as \( g \) stabilizes \( X_0 + Y \), the last four columns of \( C \) are zero, and hence \( Ct_0 = C \). It also follows that \( A \) is invertible, and thus \( A \in N_{GL_n(q)}(\langle z_X \rangle) \cap N_{GL_n(q)}(\langle z_X^t \rangle) \). Now \( N_{GL_n(q)}(\langle z_X \rangle) = \langle C_{GL_n(q)}(z_X), s_X \rangle \), and \( s_X \) commutes with \( t_0 \). Thus, by Lemma 2.3, in order to prove that \( A \) commutes with \( t_0 \), we are left to show that \( C_{GL_n(q)}(z_X)^{t_0} \cap C_{GL_n(q)}(z_X)s_X = \emptyset \). This is done in a similar way as in the proof for the linear case.

Now let \( q = 3 \). Using GAP, we find that the conjugacy class of \( z_X \) in \( GO(X) \cong GO_8^+(3) \) is characterized by the property that \( |z_X| = 4 \) and \( z_X^2 = -I_X \). Using GAP, one can show that there is an element \( t_0 \in GO(X) \) of order 5, centralizing \( N_{GO(X)}(\langle z_X \rangle) \cap N_{GO(X)}(\langle z_X^t \rangle) \), such that \( \langle z_X, z_X^t \rangle \) has order 20 and such that its derived subgroup is spanned by \( t_0 \) (in particular, \( t_0 \in \langle z_X, z_X^{t_0} \rangle \)). Moreover, \( t_0 \) does not have any non-trivial fixed vector on \( X \). Now let \( t \in G \) be defined by letting it act as \( t_0 \) on \( X \) and as \( I_Y \) on \( Y \). Then the fixed space of the derived subgroup of \( \langle z^t, z^t \rangle \) equals \( Y \). As \( H \cap H^t \leq N_G(\langle z, z^t \rangle) \), it follows that \( H \cap H^t \) stabilizes \( Y \) and hence also \( X \). As \( (H \cap H^t)_X \) equals \( N_{GO(X)}(\langle z_X \rangle) \cap N_{GO(X)}(\langle z_X^{t_0} \rangle) \), it follows that \( t \) centralizes \( H \cap H^t \).

In any case, the element \( t \) constructed for \( G \) orthogonal and \( q \) odd has odd order.

Remark 6.17. As \( Sp_4(2) \cong S_6 \) is not simple, this group has been excluded in Lemma 6.16. Nevertheless, there is an overfield subgroup \( H = Sp_4(4.2) \cong S_6 \), and our argument in the proof of this lemma shows that \( S_6 \) has an element \( t \) such that \( t \in C_{S_6}(H \cap H^t) \). However \( A_6 \) contains no such element.

We summarize the results of this subsection.

Proposition 6.18. Let \( G \) be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis 6.3.
(b) A group \( G = Sp_n^\epsilon(q) \), \( n \geq 7 \), with \( q \) odd, \( \epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \) and \( (n, q) \neq (7, 3) \).

Let \( H \) be a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_3 \)-type. Then \( H \) is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible \( KG \)-module.

Proof. If \( G \) is not a spin group, the result follows from Lemmas 6.14 and 6.16. If \( G \) is a spin group, our claim follows from these lemmas together with Corollary 2.7. 

6.2.4. The case \( H \) is of type \( C_4 \) or \( C_7 \). Here we assume that \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of type \( C_4 \) or \( C_7 \). This means that \( H \)
stabilizes certain tensor product decompositions of $V$. For the precise description see [75] Definitions in §4.4, §4.7. Table 3 gives the relevant estimates for $bc_G^{-1}$ and $h$.

**Lemma 6.19.** Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis [6.3]. If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_4$-type, then $hc_G/b < 1$.

**Proof.** We may assume that $m$ and $n/m$ are distinct, since otherwise $H$ is contained in a $C_7$-type subgroup. Hence $n \geq 6$. Fix $n$ and hence $b$ (as a function of $q$). We first identify the values of $m$ for which $h$ and consequently $hc_G/b$ is maximal.

If $G \neq Sp_n(q)$, then we observe that the exponent of $q$ in $h$ is of the form $t(x) + t(n/x)$ where $t$ is a quadratic polynomial in $x$ which is positive and increasing on the interval $[2, n-2]$. So by symmetry we see that on the interval $[2, n-2]$ the function $t(x) + t(n/x)$ attains a minimum at the point $x = n/x$ and maxima at the end points. Evidently the endpoint maxima are equal. If $G = Sp_n(q)$, the exponent of $q$ in $h$ is of the form $t(x) + s(n/x)$, where $t(x) = t(x) - x$. Here we see that the absolute maximum occurs at the right end point, i.e., when $x = n/2$.

For example, if $G = SU_n(q)$ and $m = n/2$, the exponent of $q$ in $h$ is $n^2/4 + 2$, whereas the exponent of $q$ in $b$ is $n(n-1)/2$. Thus, as $n \geq 6$, we find

$$hc_G/b \leq \frac{(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)^3q^{n^2/4+2}}{(q^2 - q + 1)(q^2 - 1)^{n/2}q^{(n(n-1)/2)}} \leq \frac{1}{q(n^2-2n-8)/4} < 1.$$  

Similar calculations for the other cases prove our claim. ⋄

The case of $C_7$-type subgroups is easily reduced to the previous case.

**Lemma 6.20.** Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis [6.3]. If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_7$-type, then $|H|c_G/|B| < 1$ unless $G = Sp_4(q)$. In the latter case $H$ is a $C_1$-type subgroup (which has been ruled out as a block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $KG$-module in Proposition [6.9]).

**Proof.** Here, $H$ is the stabilizer of a tensor product decomposition

$$V = V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_t$$

with $m$-dimensional subspaces $V_1, \ldots, V_t$, where $t, m \geq 2$. Now consider the maximal $C_4$-type subgroup $H_4$ of $G$ stabilizing the tensor decomposition $V = V_1 \otimes W$ with $W = V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_t$.

Let $h_4$ and $h$ denote the upper bounds for $|H_4|$ and $|H|$ given in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. If $t \geq 3$, then $|H| < h_4$. This is proved by showing $h < h_4$, except in the case $G = Sp_8(q)$ with $t = 3, m = 2$. In
Table 3. Bounds for $C_4$-type groups; $n = ms$, $m \neq s$

| $G$          | $bc_G^{-1}$                                      | $H$                               | $h$                      |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| $SL_n(q)$    | $q^{n(n-1)/2}(q - 1)^{n-1}$                     | $GL_m(q) \otimes GL_s(q)$        | $q^{m^2+s^2}$            |
| $SU_n(q)$    | $\frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{q^{n-1}}q^{n(n-1)/2}(q^2 - 1)^{n/2}(q + 1)^{-1}$ | $GU_m(q) \otimes GU_s(q)$        | $(q + 1)^2q^{n^2-1+s^2-1}$ |
| $Sp_n(q)$    | $q^{n^2/4}(q - 1)^{n/2}$                        | $Sp_m(q) \otimes GO_s(q)$        | $2q^{(m(m+1)+s(s-1))}/2$ |
| $\Omega_n(q)$ | $\frac{1}{2}q^{(n-1)^2/4}(q - 1)^{(n-1)/2}$ | $GO_m(q) \otimes GO_s(q)$        | $4q^{(m(m-1)+s(s-1))}/2$ |
| $\Omega_n^+(q)$ | $\frac{1}{2}q^{(n-2)^2/4}(q - 1)^{n/2}$ | $Sp_m(q) \otimes Sp_s(q)$        | $q^{(m(m+1)+s(s+1))}/2$ |
| $\Omega_n^-(q)$ | $\frac{1}{2}q^{(n-2)^2/4}(q - 1)^{(n-2)/2}(q + 1)$ | $GO_m(q) \otimes GO_s(q)$        | $4q^{(m(m-1)+s(s-1))}/2$ |

The latter case, we use the exact value of $|\bar{H}|$ as an upper bound for $|H|$ and the fact that $q$ is odd (see [75, Table 3.5.C]). Now $|H|c_G/|B| \leq |H|c_G/b < h_4c_G/b$, and thus Lemma 6.19 establishes our claim in this case. If $t = 2$ and $m \geq 3$, then $hc_G/b < 1$ and we are done.

If $t = 2 = m$, then $n = 4$ and so $G$ is not orthogonal. If $G$ is symplectic, then $G$ is isomorphic to a 5-dimensional spin group and $H$ corresponds to $C_1$-type of this spin group. If $G$ is linear or unitary, we use the exact values of $|\bar{H}|$ (see Table 4) as upper bounds for $|H|$. These give $|H|c_G/|B| < 1$.

We summarize the results of this subsection.

Proposition 6.21. Let $G$ be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis 6.3.
(b) A group $G = Spin_n^\epsilon(q)$, $n \geq 7$, with $q$ odd, $\epsilon \in \{-1,0,1\}$ and $(n,q) \neq (7,3)$.

Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_4$-type or $C_7$-type. Then $H$ is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible $KG$-module.

Proof. The result follows from Lemmas 6.19 and 6.20.

6.2.5. The case $H$ is of type $C_5$. Suppose now that $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of type $C_5$. This means that $H$ is the normalizer in $G$ of a subgroup that can be defined over a subfield $F_{q_0}$ (respectively...
Table 4. Bounds for $C_7$-type groups; $n = m^t$

| $G$        | $bc_G^{-1}$                        | $H$            | $h$                  |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| $SL_n(q)$ | $q^{n(n-1)/2}(q-1)^{n-1}$        | $GL_m(q) \wr S_t$ | $q^{tm^2} t!$        |
| $SU_n(q)$ | $\frac{q^2-1}{q-1}q^{n(n-1)/2}(q^2-1)^{m/2} (q+1)^{-1}$ | $GU_m(q) \wr S_t$ | $(q+1)^t q^{2m(m-1)} t!$ |
| $Sp_n(q)$ | $q^{n^2/4}(q-1)^{n/2}$           | $Sp_m(q) \wr S_t$ | $q^{tm(m+1)/2} t!$  |
| $\Omega_n(q)$ | $\frac{1}{2}q^{(n-1)/2}(q-1)^{(n-1)/2}$ | $GO_m(q) \wr S_t$ | $2^t q^{tm(m-1)/2} t!$ |
| $\Omega_n^+(q)$ | $\frac{1}{2}q^{(n-2)/4}(q-1)^{n/2}$ | $Sp_m(q) \wr S_t$ | $q^{tm(m+1)/2} t!$  |
| $n \geq 8$ |                                                   | $GO^+_m(q) \wr S_t$ | $2^t q^{tm(m-1)/2} t!$ |

$F_{q_0}$ of the natural field for $G$. For the precise description see [75].

Definition in §4.5. Table 5 gives the relevant estimates for $b$ and $h$.

**Lemma 6.22.** Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3. If $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_7$-type, then $|H|c_G/|B| < 1$ unless $G = SU_n(q)$ and $H$ is symplectic.

**Proof.** Suppose that $G = SL_n(q)$. We first consider the case $n = 2$. Then $|H| \leq 2|SL_2(q_0)|$. Hence

$$\frac{|H|}{|B|} \leq \frac{2q_0(q_0^2 - 1)}{q_0^2(q_0^2 - 1)} = \frac{2}{q_0^2 - 1} < 1$$

if $q_0 \neq 2$ or $q_0 = 2$ and $k \geq 3$. As $SL_2(4)$ is excluded by Hypothesis 6.3, our claim follows in this case. Now let $n = 3$. Then $|H| \leq 3|SL_3(q_0)|$. As $3|SL_3(q_0)|$ is largest for $q_0 = \sqrt{q_0}$, we find

$$\frac{|H|}{|B|} \leq \frac{3q_0^3(q_0^2 - 1)(q_0^2 - 1)q_0^2(q_0^2 - 1)^2}{q_0^2(q_0^2 - 1)^2} = \frac{3(q_0^2 + q_0 + 1)}{q_0^2(q_0 + 1)} < 1$$

for all $q_0 \geq 2$. Next, let $n = 4$. Here, we use the obvious bound $|H| \leq |GL_4(q_0)|(q-1)$. Again, we may assume that $q = q_0^2$, and so

$$\frac{|H|}{|B|} \leq \frac{(q_0 - 1)(q_0^2 - 1)(q_0^2 + 1)}{q_0^2} < 1$$

for all $q_0 \geq 2$. Suppose now that $n \geq 5$. Then $h$ is maximal if $q = q_0^2$. In this case

$$\frac{h}{b} = \frac{q_0^n}{(q_0^2 - 1)^{n-2}} < 1$$
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if $q_0 \geq 3$ or $q_0 = 2$ and $n \geq 6$. The case $q_0 = 2$ and $n = 5$ can be ruled out using the bound $|H| \leq |\text{GL}_5(2)|3$.

Let $G = \text{SU}_n(q)$ and $H$ unitary. Then $q = q_0^k$ with $k \geq 3$ (see [75, Table 3.5.B]). Clearly, $h$ is maximal if $k = 3$. Suppose first that $n = 3$. Here we use the sharper bound $|H| \leq 3|\text{SU}_3(q_0)|$ and the exact value $|B| = q^3(q^2 - 1)$ to obtain

$$
\frac{|H|_{cG}}{|B|} \leq \frac{3q_0^3(q_0^2 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)}{q^3(q^2 - 1)(q^2 - q + 1)} \leq \frac{3(q_0^2 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)}{q_0^6(q_0^6 - q_0^3 + 1)} \leq \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 9}{64 \cdot 57} < 1
$$

for all $q_0 \geq 2$. For $n \geq 4$ we have

$$
\frac{hc_{cG}}{b} = \frac{(q + 1)^3}{q_0^{(n^2-3n+2)/2}(q^2 - 1)^{[n/2]-1}(q^2 - q + 1)} \leq \frac{(q_0^3 + 1)^2}{q_0^{(n^2-3n+2)/2}(q_0^6 - 1)^{[n/2]-1}} \leq \frac{(q_0^3 + 1)^2}{q_0^6(q_0^6 - 1)} \leq \frac{g^2}{8 \cdot 7 \cdot 9} < 1
$$

for all $q_0 \geq 2$.

If $G = \text{SU}_n(q)$ and $H$ is neither unitary nor symplectic then

$$
\frac{hc_{cG}}{b} \leq \frac{2(q + 1)}{(q^2 - 1)^{[n/2]-1}} < 1
$$

for all $n \geq 4$. For $n = 3$ our claim can be checked by using the exact value for $|B|$.

If $G = \text{Sp}_n(q)$, then $h$ is maximal if $q = q_0^2$ and $H$ is symplectic. In this case

$$
\frac{h}{b} = \frac{2q_0^{n/2}}{(q_0^2 - 1)^{n/2}} \leq \frac{2^{(n+2)/2}}{3^{n/2}} < 1
$$

for all $n \geq 4$.

If $G$ is orthogonal, then $h$ is maximal if $q = q_0^2$. In this case

$$
\frac{h}{b} = \frac{4q_0^{[n/2]}}{(q_0^2 - 1)^{[n/2]}} < 1
$$

for $n \geq 8$ and $n = 7$, $q_0 \geq 3$, which implies our claim. Our proof is now complete. \(\diamond\)
Lemma 6.23. If $G = \text{SU}_n(q)$ and $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ of symplectic $C_5$-type, then there exists an element $t \in G \setminus H$ with $t \in C_G(H \cap H')$.

Proof. Here $n = 2m$ and we may define $G$ as

$$G := \{ A \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q^2) \mid A^T \hat{J} \rho(A) = \hat{J} \},$$

where $\rho$ is the automorphism of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q^2)$ raising every matrix entry to its $q$th power, and

$$\hat{J} := \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Notice that $\hat{J}$ defines a skew Hermitian form on $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ if $q$ is odd. In this case we choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $\alpha^q = -\alpha$. Then $\alpha \hat{J}$ defines a non-degenerate Hermitian form with isometry group $G$.

In this setting, we put

$$C := \{ A \in G \mid \rho(A) = A \},$$

i.e. $C = C_G(\rho)$. Then $H = N_G(C)$. In fact, $H = \langle C, s \rangle \cap G$ with $s = \beta s_0$, where

$$s_0 := \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & I_m \\ I_m & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

and $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ of order $2(q + 1)$ if $q$ is odd, and of order $q + 1$ if $q$ is even. In the latter case, $H = C \times Z(G)$.

We choose

$$t = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(A)^{-T} \end{array} \right),$$

with

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m-1} \end{array} \right),$$

if $q$ is even, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a non-trivial element with $\lambda^{q+1} = 1$, and

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{m-2} \end{array} \right),$$

if $q$ is odd, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a non-zero element with $\lambda + \lambda^q = 0$. Then, in any case, $\rho(t) = t^{-1}$. Thus, $\langle t, \rho \rangle$ is a dihedral subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ of twice odd order. In particular, $t$ is a power of $\rho^t \rho = t^2$, and hence $t \in \langle \rho, \rho^t \rangle$. Our claim follows from Lemma 2.3 in case $q$ is even. If $q$ is odd, we let $\bar{\rho}$ denote the natural homomorphism $G \to G/Z(G)$, and $\bar{\rho}$ the automorphism of $G$ induced by $\rho$. Then $H = C_G(\bar{\rho})$. By
Lemma 2.3, we may conclude that \( \bar{t} \in C_G(\bar{H} \cap \bar{H}^t) \). As \( \bar{t} \) has order prime to \( q + 1 \), our assertion follows from Corollary 2.7.

\[ \diamond \]

### Table 5. Bounds for \( C_5 \)-type groups; \( q = q_0^k \)

| \( G \)         | \( bc_G^{-1} \)                  | \( H \)                  | \( h \)                  |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| \( \text{SL}_n(q) \) | \( q^{n(n-1)/2}(q - 1)^{n-1} \) | \( \text{GL}_n(q_0).(q - 1) \) | \( q_0^2(q - 1) \)                  |
| \( \text{SU}_n(q) \), \( n \geq 3 \) | \( \frac{q^2 - q + 1}{q^2 - 1}q^{n(n-1)/2}(q^2 - 1)^{[n/2]}(q + 1)^{-1} \) | \( \text{NGU}_n(q)(\text{SU}_n(q_0)) \) | \( q_0^{n^2-1}(q + 1)^2 \) \( 2q^{n(n-1)/2}(q + 1) \) \( q^{n(n+1)/2}(q + 1) \) |
| \( \text{Sp}_n(q) \), \( n \geq 4 \) | \( q^{n^2/4}(q - 1)^{n/2} \) | \( \text{Sp}_n(q_0).2 \) | \( 2q_0^{n(n+1)/2} \)                  |
| \( \Omega_n(q) \), \( 2 \nmid qn \) | \( \frac{1}{2}q^{(n-1)^2/4}(q - 1)^{(n-1)/2} \) | \( \text{GO}_n(q_0) \) | \( 2q_0^{n(n-1)/2} \)                  |
| \( \Omega_n^+(q) \), \( n \geq 8 \) | \( \frac{1}{2}q^{(n-2)/4}(q - 1)^{n/2} \) | \( \text{GO}_n^{-1}(q_1/2) \) | \( 2q_0^{n(n-1)/4} \)                  |
| \( \Omega_n^-(q) \), \( n \geq 8 \) | \( \frac{1}{2}q^{n(n-2)/4}(q - 1)^{(n-2)/2}(q + 1) \) | \( \text{GO}_n^{-1}(q_0) \) | \( 2q_0^{n(n-1)/2} \)                  |

We summarize the results of this subsection.

**Proposition 6.24.** Let \( G \) be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis 6.3.

(b) A group \( G = \text{Spin}^\epsilon_n(q) \), \( n \geq 7 \), with \( q \) odd, \( \epsilon \in \{ -1, 0, 1 \} \) and \((n,q) \neq (7,3)\).

Let \( H \) be a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_5 \)-type. Then \( H \) is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible KG-module.

**Proof.** The result follows from Lemmas 6.22 and 6.23.

\[ \diamond \]

### 6.2.6. The case \( H \) is of type \( C_6 \). Here we consider maximal subgroups \( H \) of \( G \) of \( C_6 \)-type. This means that \( H = N_G(R) \), where \( R \) is a group of extraspecial type (we use the notation and terminology of [75, §4.6]). In particular, \( R \) is an \( r \)-group for some prime \( r \), and \( R \) is the largest normal \( r \)-subgroup of \( H \).

**Lemma 6.25.** Let \( G \) be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3. If \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_6 \)-type, then \( |H|c_G/|B| < 1 \).
6. Induction from Non-Parabolic Subgroups

**Proof.** Let \( R \) be an \( r \)-group of extraspecial type such that \( H = N_G(R) \).

If either \( r \) is odd, or \( Z(R) \) is of order 4, then \( H/RZ(G) \cong \text{Sp}_{2m}(r) \) and \( G = \text{SL}_n(q) \) or \( \text{SU}_n(q) \) with \( n = r^m \) (see [75, Table 4.6.B, p. 150]). If \( r = 2 \), then \( r \leq q \) and \( c_G = 1 \) as \( n \) is even. If \( r \) is odd, then \( r \mid q - 1 \) or \( r \mid q + 1 \) and \( c_G \leq (q^2 - 1)/(q^2 - q + 1) \leq q \). Thus, unless \( r = q + 1 \) is a Fermat prime, we have \( |H|c_G \leq q^{2+2m}q^{2m^2+m}|Z(G)| \). Also, \( |B| \geq q^{n(n-1)/2}|Z(G)| \). Considering exponents we see that \( |H|c_G/|B| < 1 \) whenever

\[
2m^2 + 3m + 2 < r^m(r^m - 1)/2.
\]

The latter is true unless \( m = 1 \) and \( r = 2, 3 \), or \( m = 2 = r \) or \( m = 3 \) and \( r = 2 \). Using sharper estimates for \( |B| \) and \( |H| \), we find that \( |H|c_G/|B| < 1 \) in these cases as well. The case that \( r = q + 1 \) is a Fermat prime is ruled out using similar considerations.

If \( Z(R) \) is of order 2, then \( r = 2 \) and \( H/R \) is isomorphic to a subgroup of \( \text{GO}^+_{2m}(2) \) with \( m \geq 1 \), and \( G = \text{Sp}_n(q) \) or \( \Omega^+_{n}(q) \) with \( n = 2^m \) and \( q \) odd (see [75, Table 4.6.B, p. 150]). If \( m = 1 \), then \( G = \text{Sp}_2(q) \) with \( q \) odd, and \( H \) is the normalizer of a quaternion group of order 8. As \( |H| \leq 48 \) and \( q \geq 11 \) by assumption, we have \( |H| < q(q-1) = |B| \). If \( m = 2 \), then \( G = \text{Sp}_4(q) \) with \( q \) odd (the case \( G = \Omega^+_{4}(q) \) is excluded by our assumptions on \( G \)), and \( H \leq 2^{1+4}\text{GO}^+_{4}(2) \). Thus \( |B| = q^{4}(q-1)^2 \) and \( |H| \leq 32 \cdot 72 \). As \( q > 3 \), it follows that \( |H|/|B| < 1 \).

If \( m \geq 3 \), then \( G = \text{Sp}_{2m}(q) \) and \( H \leq 2^{1+2m}\text{GO}^+_{2m}(2) \) or \( G = \Omega^+_{2m}(q) \) and \( H \leq 2^{1+2m}\text{GO}^+_{2m}(2) \). Using our standard bounds for \( |H| \) and \( |B| \), we find

\[
\frac{|H|}{|B|} \leq \frac{2^{2m^2+m+1}}{(q-1)^{2m^2+m}(2^{2m^2+1}-1)}.
\]

The latter is clearly smaller than 1 if \( q \geq 5 \) or if \( q = 3 \) and \( m \geq 4 \). The case \( q = 3 \) and \( m = 3 \) can be settled using better estimates for \( |H| \).

We summarize the results of this subsection.

**Proposition 6.26.** Let \( G \) be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis [6,5].

(b) A group \( G = \text{Spin}^e_{n}(q) \), \( n \geq 7 \), with \( q \) odd, \( \epsilon \in \{−1, 0, 1\} \) and \( (n, q) \neq (7, 3) \), or \( G = \text{Spin}^e_{6}(q) \).

Let \( H \) be a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( C_6 \)-type. Then \( H \) is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible \( KG \)-module.

**Proof.** The result follows from Lemma [6.25].

6.2.7. The case \( H \) is of type \( C_8 \). Suppose that \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of type \( C_8 \). This means that \( H \) is the normalizer in \( G \) of
6.2. THE CLASSICAL GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

a classical subgroup on \( V \). Table 6 displays the possible pairs \((G, H)\) that need to be considered, but there are further conditions (see \([75\text{ Table 3.5.A}]\)) on \( n \) and \( q \) if \( G \) is linear. The parameter \( d \) in Table 6 is defined only for \( n \) even and equals \( \gcd(n/2, q - 1) \). Notice that the case \( G = \text{Sp}_n(q) \) and \( q \) even has already been treated in the subsection on \( C_1 \)-type subgroups (cf. Lemma 6.8).

**Lemma 6.27.** Let \( G = \text{SL}_n(q) \) with \( n \geq 2 \), where \( n \) and \( q \) are subject to the restrictions formulated in Hypothesis 6.3(a). Suppose that \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of orthogonal or unitary \( C_8 \)-type; if \( H \) is orthogonal, assume that \( q \) is odd, and if \( H \) is unitary, assume that \( q \) is a square. Then \( h/b < 1 \), unless \( n = 2 \) and \( H \) is unitary.

**Proof.** This is clear from the estimates in Table 6. \( \diamond \)

**Lemma 6.28.** If \( G = \text{SL}_n(q) \) with \( n = 2m \geq 4 \) even and if \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of symplectic \( C_8 \)-type, then there exists an element \( t \in G \setminus H \) with \( t \in C_G(H \cap H^t) \).

**Proof.** By \( \sigma \) we denote the automorphism of \( G \) sending \( A \) to \( J^{-1}A^{-T}J \). Then \( C := C_G(\sigma) = \text{Sp}_{2m}(q) \). We have \( N_{\text{GL}_n(q)}(C) = \langle C, s_\lambda \rangle \) with

\[
s_\lambda := \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a generator of \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \). Let \( d := \gcd(m, q - 1) \) and put \( s := s_\lambda^{(q-1)/d} \). Then \( s \) has order \( d \) and determinant 1, and \( H = N_G(C) = \langle C, s \rangle \).

If \( q \) is even and \( m = 2, 4 \), or if \( q = 2 \), we let

\[
t = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & JAJ^T \end{pmatrix},
\]

with

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m-2} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( A_0 \in \text{SL}_2(q) \) has order \( q + 1 \). In all other cases we put

\[
t = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( A \) is chosen as follows. If \( q \) is even (and hence \( m \neq 2, 4 \) and \( q > 2 \)), we let \( A \) be a diagonal matrix of determinant 1 and order \( q - 1 \) such that the eigenspaces of \( A \) for different eigenvalues have distinct
dimension, and such that $J^{-1}A^{-T}J = A^{-1}$. If $q$ is odd, we put
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & I_{m-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then $\sigma(t) = t^{-1}$ and thus $\langle t, \sigma \rangle$ is a dihedral subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$ of twice odd order. In particular, $t$ is a power of $\sigma^t \sigma = t^2$, and hence $t \in \langle \sigma, \sigma^t \rangle$. As $t$ commutes with $s$, the first two hypotheses of Lemma 2.3 are satisfied.

Clearly, $\langle t \rangle$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\langle z, z^t \rangle$. In the even $q$ case we have $N_G(\langle t \rangle) = C_G(t)$ by our conditions on the eigenvalues. Thus $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^t$ by Lemma 2.4.

Suppose now that $q$ is odd. In this case we verify Condition (3) of Lemma 2.3. Let $X \in C$ such that $t^{-1}Xt = Ys^i$ for some $Y \in C$ and some $0 < i < d$. Thus $t^{-1}Xts^{-i} \in C$.

Now $\sigma(t) = t^{-1}$ and $\sigma(s) = \nu^{-1}s$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ of order $d$, and so $t^{-1}Xts^{-i} = \sigma(t^{-1}Xts^{-i}) = \nu^i t^i s^{-i}$. It follows that $t^2X\nu^i = Xt^2$.

As $\nu^i \neq 1$, this implies that $X = 0$, a contradiction. $\diamond$

| $G$ | $b$ | $H$ | $h$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $\text{SL}_n(q)$ | $q^{n(n-1)/2}(q-1)^{n-1}$ | $\text{Sp}_n(q).d$ | $dq^{n(n+1)/2}$ |
| | | $\text{GO}_n(q)$ | $2q^{n(n-1)/2}$ |
| | | $\text{GO}^\pm_n(q)$ | $2q^{n(n-1)/2}$ |
| | | $\text{GU}_n(q^{1/2})$ | $(q^{1/2} + 1)q^{(n^2-1)/2}$ |
| $\text{Sp}_n(q)$ | $q^{n^2/4}(q-1)^{n/2}$ | $\text{GO}^\pm_n(q)$ | $q^{n(n-1)/2}$ |
| $n \geq 4$, $q$ even | | | |

We summarize the results of this subsection.

**Proposition 6.29.** Let $G$ be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3(a) or (c).

Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $C_8$-type, where the restrictions of [75] Tables 3.5A, 3.5C apply. Then $H$ is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible $KG$-module.
6.2.8. The case \( H \) is of type \( S \).

In this subsection we let \( G \) be one of the groups of Hypothesis 6.3. In [75, p. 3], Kleidman and Liebeck give a definition of the class \( S \) of subgroups of the simple group \( G := G/Z(G) \). If \( \hat{G} \) is a quasisimple central extension of \( G \), we define the \( \mathfrak{S} \)-type subgroups of \( \hat{G} \) to be the inverse images of the \( \mathfrak{S} \)-type subgroups of \( G \). The definition of Kleidman and Liebeck implies in particular, that subgroups of \( G \) of type \( \mathfrak{S} \) are not of type \( \mathfrak{C}_8 \).

Suppose that \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) from class \( \mathfrak{S} \). Then \( Z(H) = Z(G) \) and we write \( \bar{H} := H/Z(G) \). Also, \( \bar{H} \) is almost simple and thus \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is a non-abelian finite simple group. Finally, \( F^*(\bar{H})' \) is quasisimple and acts absolutely irreducibly on \( V \).

Recall that \( V \) is the natural vector space of \( G \) and that \( B \) denotes a Borel subgroup of \( G \). In this situation the main theorem of Liebeck [79, Theorem 4.1] states that either \( |\bar{H}| \leq |V|^3 \) or \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is an alternating group and \( V \) is the reduced permutation module for \( F^*(\bar{H}) \). We consider each possibility in turn.

In the first case we easily obtain the following estimate.

**Lemma 6.30.** If \( |\bar{H}| \leq |V|^3 \), then \( |H|_{CG}/|B| < 1 \) unless \( G \) is linear and \( \dim(V) \leq 7 \), or \( G \) is unitary or symplectic and \( \dim(V) \leq 12 \), or \( G \) is orthogonal and \( \dim(V) \leq 14 \).

**Proof.** This is proved using \( |\bar{H}| \leq q^{3n} \), respectively \( |\bar{H}| \leq q^{6n} \) if \( G \) is unitary, together with the lower bounds for \( |B| \) given in Table 1 for example.

Now the tables in Hiss-Malle [57] and Lübeck [55] leave only the following list of possibilities.

**Lemma 6.31.** If \( H \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) of \( \mathfrak{S} \)-type and either \( G \) is linear and \( \dim(V) \leq 7 \), or \( G \) is unitary or symplectic and \( \dim(V) \leq 12 \), or \( G \) is orthogonal and \( \dim(V) \leq 14 \), then one of the following is true.

1. If \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is a simple group of Lie type, cross characteristically embedded into \( G \), or if \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is a sporadic group, then \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is one of the following groups: \( \text{PSL}_2(q) \) with \( q \leq 29 \), \( \text{PSL}_3(3) \), \( \text{PSL}_4(4) \), \( \text{PSp}_4(3) \), \( \text{PSp}_4(5) \), \( \text{PSp}_6(2) \), \( \text{PSp}_6(3) \), \( \text{PSU}_3(3) \), \( \text{PSU}_3(4) \), \( \text{PSU}_4(3) \), \( \text{PSU}_5(2) \), \( \Omega^+_8(2) \), \( G_2(3) \), \( G_2(4) \), \( ^2B_2(8) \), \( M_i \) where \( i \in \{11,12,22,23,24\} \), \( J_1 \), \( J_2 \), \( J_3 \), \( \text{Suz} \).

2. If \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is a simple group of Lie type of characteristic equal to that of \( G \), then \( F^*(\bar{H}) \) is of type \( A_1 \), of type \( A_2 \) or \( ^2A_2 \) and \( \dim(V) \in \).
\{6, 7, 8, 10\}, of type \(A_3\) or \(2A_3\) and \(\dim(V) \in \{10, 14\}\), of type \(A_4\) or 
\(2A_4\) and \(\dim(V) = 10\), of type \(B_2\) and \(\dim(V) \in \{10, 12, 13, 14\}\), of type 
\(B_2\) and \(\dim(V) = 4\), of type \(B_3\) and \(\dim(V) \in \{8, 14\}\), of type \(C_3\) and 
\(\dim(V) \in \{8, 13, 14\}\), or of type \(G_2\) or \(2G_2\) and \(\dim(V) \in \{6, 7, 14\}\).

(3) If \(F^*(H)\) is alternating and \(V\) is not the reduced permutation module, then 
\(F^*(H)' = A_n\) or \(2.A_n\) with \(n \leq 8\), or \(F^*(H)' = 2.A_9\) or  
\(2.A_{10}\) and \(\dim(V) = 8\).

To deal with the case where \(G\) and \(F^*(H)\)' are of Lie type of equal 
characteristic, we need the following lemma, whose formulation and 
proof is due to Frank Lübeck. For the notation used see [85] Section 2.

**Lemma 6.32.** Let \(p\) be a prime and \(\mathbb{F}\) an algebraic closure of the 
finite field \(\mathbb{F}_p\) with \(p\) elements.

Let \(H\) be a simple, simply connected algebraic group over \(\mathbb{F}\), defined 
over \(\mathbb{F}_p\), and let \(F\) denote the corresponding standard Frobenius map. 
Furthermore, let

\[ \Psi : H \to GL_n(\mathbb{F}) \]

be an irreducible rational representation of \(H\) with highest weight \(\lambda\).

Let \(r\) be a positive integer, \(q := p^r\), and put \(H := H^{F^r}\). Assume 
that \(\lambda\) is \(q\)-restricted such that \(Res_H^H(\Psi)\) is irreducible by Steinberg’s 
theorems [112] Theorems 7.4, 9.2]. Let \(\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1 + \cdots + p^{r-1}\lambda_{r-1}\) 
be the \(p\)-adic decomposition of \(\lambda\) (i.e., the \(\lambda_i\) are \(p\)-restricted, \(0 \leq i \leq r - 1\)).

Let \(s\) be a positive integer and write \(i'\) for the smallest non-negative 
remainder of \(s + i\) modulo \(r\) for all \(0 \leq i \leq r - 1\). Suppose that 
\(\Psi(H) \leq GL_n(p^s)\). Then \(\lambda_i = \lambda_{i'}\) for all \(0 \leq i \leq r - 1\)

In particular, \(s \geq r\), if \(\lambda = p^i\lambda_i\) for some \(0 \leq i \leq r - 1\).

**Proof.** By Steinberg’s tensor product theorem [112] Theorem 1.1], 
the representation \(\Psi\) is equivalent to a representation on the twisted 
tensor product

\[ L(\lambda) \cong L(\lambda_0) \otimes L(\lambda_1)^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\lambda_{r-1})^{(r-1)}, \]

where the tensor products are taken over \(\mathbb{F}\). The fact that \(\Psi(H) \leq GL_n(p^s)\) implies that \(Res_H^H(L(\lambda))\) is isomorphic to \(Res_H^H(L(\lambda)^{(s)})\). Now 
\(L(\lambda)^{(s)} \cong L(\lambda_0)^{(s)} \otimes L(\lambda_1)^{(s+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\lambda_{r-1})^{(s+r-1)}\). The restriction 
of the latter module to \(H\) is isomorphic to the restriction to \(H\) of 
\(L(\lambda_0)^{(0)} \otimes L(\lambda_1)^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\lambda_{r-1})^{(r-1)}\). The assertion follows from 
the uniqueness result of Steinberg’s theorems [112] Theorems 7.4, 9.2].

\[\diamond\]

The examples that still need closer inspection are collected in the next 
lemma.
Lemma 6.33. Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $S$-type. If $|\bar{H}| \leq |V|^3$ and $\dim(V) \leq 14$, then $|H|c_G/|B| < 1$ unless one of the following holds.

1. If $F^*(\bar{H})$ is of Lie type, cross characteristically embedded into $G$, or if $F^*(\bar{H})$ is a sporadic group, then $(G,H) = (\Omega^+_8(3), 2\Omega^+_8(2))$.

2. If $F^*(\bar{H})$ is of Lie type of characteristic equal to that of $G$, then $(G,H)$ is one of $(\text{Sp}_6(q), G_2(q))$, $(\Omega^+_8(q), \text{Sp}_6(q))$ with $q$ even, or one of $(\Omega^+_7(q), \text{Spin}_7(q))$, $(\Omega^-_7(q), G_2(q))$ with $q$ odd.

3. If $F^*(\bar{H})$ is alternating and $V$ is not the reduced permutation module, then $(G,H) \in \{(\text{SU}_3(5), 3.A_7), (\Omega^+_8(2), A_9)\}$.

Proof. For each simple group $S$ from the lists in Lemma 6.31, we consider the quasisimple classical groups $G$ possibly containing a maximal subgroup $H$ of type $S$ with $F^*(\bar{H}) = S$. We then use the precise values for the orders of $\text{Aut}(S)$ and sharp bounds for the orders of the Borel subgroups of $G$ to exclude further possibilities.

The first and third part are straightforward computations. For the second part we use Lemma 6.32 to bound the order of the underlying field of $G$ from below.

The second alternative of Liebeck’s result is treated in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.34. If $F^*(\bar{H}) = A_m$ and $V$ is the reduced permutation module then $|H|c_G/|B| < 1$ unless $q = 2$ and $n \leq 14$ or $q = 3$ and $n \leq 7$.

Proof. By definition, $n \in \{m - 1, m - 2\}$, and $V$ carries a non-degenerate quadratic or symplectic form. In particular, $c_G = 1$. Writing $\bar{B} := B/Z(G)$ we thus have $|\bar{B}| \geq q^{(n-2)/4}$. Also, $|\bar{H}| \leq m! \leq (n + 2)!$ unless $m = 6$. Notice that $|\bar{H}|/|\bar{B}| = |H|/|B|$. Put

$$f(n, q) := \frac{(n + 2)!}{q^{(n-2)/4}}.$$ 

Then

$$f(n + 1, q)/f(n, q) = \frac{n + 3}{q^{(2n-1)/4}} < 1$$

for all $q \geq 2$ and all $n \geq 8$. Thus $f(n, q)$ is increasing for fixed $q \geq 2$ and $n \geq 8$.

We have $f(8, 4) < 1$, hence $f(n, q) < 1$ for all $n \geq 8$ and $q \geq 4$. Using better bounds for $|\bar{H}|$ and $|\bar{B}|$ we show that $|\bar{H}| < |\bar{B}|$ for all $q \geq 4$ and all $3 \leq n \leq 7$.

We have $f(10, 3) < 1$, and hence $f(n, 3) < 1$ for all $n \geq 10$. The case $q = 3$ and $n = 8$ cannot occur, and for $q = 3$ and $n = 9$ we use sharper bounds for $|\bar{H}|$ and $|\bar{B}|$ to obtain our result.
We finally have $f(16, 2) < 1$ and thus $f(n, 2) < 1$ for all $n \geq 16$. The case $q = 2$ and $n = 15$ cannot occur.

**Lemma 6.35.** Suppose that $(G, H)$ occurs in the conclusion of Lemma 6.33 but

$$(G, H) \notin \{(\text{SL}_2(11), 2.A_5), (\text{SU}_3(5), 3.A_7), (\text{Sp}_6(q), G_2(q)), q \text{ even}\}.$$

If $G = \Omega_7(q)$ or $\Omega^+_8(q)$ with $q$ odd, we write $\hat{G}$ for the corresponding spin group and $\hat{H}$ for the inverse image of $H$ in $\hat{G}$.

Then there is $t \in G \setminus H$ respectively $\hat{t} \in \hat{G} \setminus \hat{H}$ such that $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$, respectively $\hat{t} \in C_{\hat{G}}(\hat{H} \cap \hat{H}^t)$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $(G, H) = (\Omega^+_8(3), 2.\Omega^+_8(2))$. The following results are easily obtained by a computation in GAP in the permutation representation of $\hat{G}$ on the cosets of $\hat{H}$. The number $|H \setminus G/H|$ of double cosets of $H$ in $G$ equals 6. The largest double coset is of size 22400. The corresponding point stabilizer $H \cap H^x$ contains a Sylow 3-subgroup of $H$. No other double coset point stabilizer contains a Sylow 3-subgroup of $H$. The centralizer $C_G(H \cap H^x)$ is cyclic of order 6 and contains an element $t$ of order 3 which does not lie in $H$. Thus $H \neq H \cap H^t \geq H \cap H^x$. So in fact $H \cap H^t = H \cap H^x$, as $HxH$ is the unique double coset whose point stabilizer contains a full Sylow 3-subgroup of $H$. Thus $t \in C_G(H \cap H^t)$ which is our claim. The corresponding result for Spin$_8^+(3)$ follows from the above in conjunction with Corollary 2.7

If $(G, H)$ occurs in conclusion (2) and if $H \neq G_2(q)$, then $G = \Omega^+_8(q)$ and $H = \text{Spin}_2(q) \cong \text{Sp}_6(q)$ if $q$ is even. There is a triality automorphism $\tau$ of $\hat{G} = P\Omega^+_8(q)$ sending $\hat{H}$ to a $C^*_t$-type subgroup $\hat{H}^\tau$ of $\hat{G}$ (see [72] Proposition 2.2.4]). Write $H_1$ for the inverse image of $H^\tau$ in $G$. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6 there is an element $t_1 \in G \setminus H_1$ such that $t_1$ centralizes $H_1 \cap H_1^t$. Moreover, if $q$ is odd, $t_1$ has odd order. Write $\bar{t}_1$ for the image of $t_1$ in $\hat{G}$. Then $\bar{t}_1 \notin \hat{H}^\tau$ and $\bar{t}_1$ centralizes $\hat{H}^\tau \cap (\hat{H}^\tau)^{t_1}$.

Put $\bar{t} := \bar{t}_1^{-1}$. Then $\bar{t} \in \hat{G} \setminus \hat{H}$ and $\bar{t} \in C_{\hat{G}}(\hat{H} \cap \hat{H}^t)$. If $q$ is even, $\hat{G} = G$, and we are done. If $q$ is odd, $\bar{t}$ has odd order, and the result for $(G, H)$ and for $(\hat{G}, \hat{H})$ follows from Corollary 2.7.

Suppose now that $(G, H) = (\Omega_7(q), G_2(q))$ and $q$ is odd. The group $H \cong G_2(q)$ contains maximal subgroups $H^\epsilon \cong SL_5(q)$, $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, such that $H^\epsilon$ stabilizes a vector $v_\epsilon \in V$ whose stabilizer in $G \cong \Omega_7(q)$ equals $SO_6^+(q)$ (see [2]). Choose $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $q \equiv \epsilon \mod 4$. Then, by [114] p. 168, $G$ contains an involution $t$ which fixes $v_\epsilon$ and acts as $-I$ on $\langle v_\epsilon \rangle^\perp$. Now $t$ is not in $H$, as $t$ centralizes $H^\epsilon = C_H(v_\epsilon)$.
Thus $C_H(v_t)$ is contained in $H \cap H^t \neq H$. So by the maximality of $C_H(v_t)$ in $H$ we obtain equality and so $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^t$.

The element $t$ lifts to an element $\hat{t}$ of order 4 in $\text{Spin}_\tau(q)$. In fact, $\hat{t}$ generates the center of $\text{Spin}_6^6(q) \cong \text{SL}_4^2(q)$, hence commutes with the inverse image of $H^c$. Thus our claim also holds for $\hat{G} = \text{Spin}_\tau(q)$. This completes our proof.

**Lemma 6.36.** Suppose that $(G, H)$ occurs in the conclusion of Lemma 6.32. Then $G$ does not have an irreducible imprimitive cross characteristic representation with block stabilizer $H$.

**Proof.** If $(G, H)$ occurs in the conclusion of Lemma 6.32, then $F^*(\hat{H})$ is an alternating group, and $V$ is the reduced permutation module. If $q = 3$, then $n \leq 7$. If $n = 7$, then $\hat{G} \cong \Omega_7(3)$, a case excluded by Hypothesis 6.3. If $n = 6$, then $\hat{G} \cong P\Omega_6^+ (3) \cong \text{PSU}_4(3)$, again excluded by Hypothesis 6.3. The case $n = 5$ does not occur. If $n = 4$, then $\hat{H} \cong A_6$ and $\hat{G} \cong P\Omega_4^-(3) \cong A_6$.

If $q = 2$ then $n$ is even and $4 \leq n \leq 14$. Let $V$ denote the reduced permutation module for $A_{n+2}$. Then $V$ restricts to the reduced permutation module for $A_{n+1}$. Also, $V$ carries a non-degenerate, $A_{n+2}$-invariant quadratic form, if and only if $n = \dim(V)$ is not divisible by 4 (see [75, p. 187] or [48, p. 637]). In this case, this form is also $S_{n+2}$-invariant, but the elements of $S_{n+2} \setminus A_{n+2}$ do not lie in $\Omega(V)$. If $4 | n$, then we get an embedding of $A_{n+1}$ as a maximal subgroup of $\Omega_n^\epsilon(2)$ for $\epsilon \in \{+,-\}$, and an embedding of $S_{n+2}$ as a maximal subgroup of $\text{Sp}_n^\epsilon(2) = \text{PSp}_n^\epsilon(2)$.

For $n = 4$ we obtain embeddings of $A_5$ into $\Omega_4^-(2) \cong \text{PSL}_2(4) \cong A_5$ and of $A_6$ into $\text{PSp}_4(2)$, so this case need not be considered. The case $n = 6$ leads to $\hat{G} \cong \Omega_6^+(2) \cong \text{PSL}_4(2) \cong A_8$, so again we are done. If $n = 8$, we get an embedding of $A_9$ into $\Omega_8^+(2)$ and of $S_{10}$ into $\text{PSp}_8(2)$. The former case is excluded by Hypothesis 6.3. In the latter case we use the known ordinary and modular character tables of $\hat{G} = \text{PSp}_8^-(2)$ to show that no irreducible $KH$-module induces to an irreducible $KG$-module. If $n = 10$ we obtain an embedding of $A_{12}$ into $\Omega_{10}^0(2)$. All character tables of $\Omega_{10}^0(2)$ are available in GAP (except for the 2-modular table, which is not relevant in this case by the global assumption at the beginning of this chapter). It is then easy to check that $[\hat{G}:\hat{H}]$ does not divide the degree of any irreducible cross characteristic representation of $\Omega_{10}^0(2)$. Next, let $n = 12$. Suppose that $H = A_{13}$, embedded in the 12-dimensional orthogonal group $\hat{G} = \Omega_{12}^0(2)$. As $[13!/2^{31}] = 2$, it follows that only 1-dimensional $KH$-modules can possibly induce to irreducible $KG$-modules. As $H = A_{13}$ is perfect, the only 1-dimensional $KH$-module induces to a reducible
KG-module. We also have an embedding of $H = S_{14}$ as a maximal subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{12}(2)$. The ordinary character table of $\text{Sp}_{12}(2)$ is available in GAP, so that it is trivial to check that the largest dimension of an irreducible $K\Gamma G$-module is smaller than the index of $S_{14}$ in $\text{Sp}_{12}(2)$. Finally, suppose that $n = 14$. Then $A_{16}$ embeds as a maximal subgroup into $\Omega^1_{14}(2)$. As $[16!/2^{43}] = 2$, we are done as in the case $n = 12$. Our proof is complete now.

Lemma 6.37. If $q > 2$ is even and $(G, H) = (\text{Sp}_6(q), G_2(q))$, then $G$ does not have an irreducible imprimitive $K\Gamma G$-module with block stabilizer $H$.

Proof. According to our assumption at the beginning of this chapter, $\text{char}(K)$ is odd, as $q$ is even. Then the smallest dimension of a non-trivial irreducible $K\Gamma H$-module equals $q^3 - 1$ (see [51], Section 9.2, p. 126). We have

$$\frac{[G : H](q^3 - 1)}{[G : B]} = \frac{q^3(q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)}{(q + 1)^3(q^2 + 1)(q^4 + q^2 + 1)} = \frac{1}{q^4(q - 3) - q - 1} > 1$$

for $q > 4$. Hence, by Lemma 6.2 no nontrivial $K\Gamma H$-module induces to an irreducible $K\Gamma G$-module. Clearly, the trivial $K\Gamma H$-module does not induce to an irreducible module, and our claim follows.

We finally rule out the remaining possibilities.

Lemma 6.38. If $(G, H) \in \{(\text{SL}_2(11), 2.A_5), (\text{SU}_3(5), 3.A_7)\}$, then $G$ does not have an irreducible imprimitive $K\Gamma G$-module with block stabilizer $H$.

Proof. If $(G, H) = (\text{SU}_3(5), 3.A_7)$, then $[\text{SU}_3(5) : 3.A_7] = 50$ but $G$ does not have irreducible ordinary or $\ell$-modular characters whose degree is divisible by 50 (see [71]), hence the conclusion. If $(G, H) = (\text{SL}_2(11), 2.A_5)$, then $[G : H] = 11$ and $G$ has a unique irreducible character of degree 11 for $\ell \neq 2, 3$, and no irreducible character of degree divisible by 11 for $\ell = 2, 3$ (again see [71]). However $H$ is perfect and again we get the desired conclusion.

We summarize the results of this subsection.

Proposition 6.39. Let $G$ be one of the following groups.

(a) A group as in Hypothesis 6.3.

(b) A group $G = \text{Spin}_n^\epsilon(q)$, $n \geq 7$, with $q$ odd, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $(n, q) \neq (7, 3)$.

Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ of $S$-type. Then $H$ is not the stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of an irreducible $K\Gamma G$-module.
6.3. The exceptional groups of Lie type

Here, we prove Theorem 6.1 for quasisimple groups $G$ of exceptional Lie type, where we exclude the groups already considered in Chapter 5, i.e.,

$$G \not\in \{^2B_2(8), ^2G_2(3)', G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), ^2F_4(2)', F_4(2), ^2E_6(2)\}.$$ 

The Suzuki group $^2B_2(2)$ is excluded as it is not quasisimple. Throughout, $H$ denotes a non-parabolic maximal subgroup of $G$.

6.3.1. The Case $G_2(q)$. Let $G = G_2(q)$, $q > 4$. The maximal subgroups of $G$ have been classified, see Aschbacher [2], Kleidman [73], Cooperstein [27] and Migliore [98]. The ordinary character degrees of $G$ are also known (see the web page [86] by Frank Lübeck). By inspection we see that the only possibilities for our block stabilizer $H$ are $\text{SL}_3(q).2$ and $\text{SU}_3(q).2$. Moreover, inspection of the character degrees of $G$ and $H$ shows that if $M_1$ is an irreducible $KH$-module such that $\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1)$ is irreducible, then $M_1$ is 1-dimensional, and hence $\dim_K(\text{Ind}_H^G(M_1)) = [G : H]$. If $\text{char}(K) = 0$, we use the table of character degrees of $G$ to show that there is no irreducible character $\chi$ of $G$ with $\chi(1) = \frac{1}{2} q^3 \left( q + 1 \right) \left( q^2 - q + 1 \right)$ or $\frac{1}{2} q^3 \left( q - 1 \right) \left( q^2 + q + 1 \right)$, i.e., such an $M_1$ does not exist. By Lemma 2.11 this implies that no such $M_1$ exists for $K$ of any characteristic.

6.3.2. The Case $F_4(q)$. Let $G = F_4(q)$, $q > 2$. The non-parabolic subgroups $H$ whose index is smaller than the largest character degree of $G$ are $\text{Spin}_9(q)$, $N_G(\text{Spin}_9^+(q))$, and $^3D_4(q).3$ (see Liebeck-Saxl [80] in conjunction with Lemma 6.2).

We first consider the case $G = F_4(q)$, $q$ odd, and $H = \text{Spin}_9(q)$. Here $H = C_G(a)$ for an involution $a \in G$ whose trace on the 26-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_qG$-module $N$ is $-6$. It is well known that $G$ contains exactly two classes of involutions which are distinguished by their traces on $N$. It is also known that $G$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\text{SO}_3(q) \times G_2(q)$ whose action on $N$ is $(N_3 \otimes N_7) \oplus N_5$, where $N_3$ and $N_7$ are the natural modules for $\text{SO}_3(q)$ and $G_2(q)$, respectively, and $N_5$ is the $\text{SO}_3(q)$-module of bivariate homogeneous polynomials of degree 4 (and on which $G_2(q)$ acts trivially). For these facts see [4, p. 60]. Thus the trace of an involution from $\Omega_3(q)$ on $N$ is $-6$, and hence it is conjugate to $a$. Thus every involution in $\Omega_3(q)$ is conjugate to $a$. Now $\Omega_3(q) \cong \text{PSL}_2(q)$ contains two involutions whose product has odd order $\neq 1$. By Lemma 2.3 (with $s = 1$), an irreducible $KH$-module will never induce to an irreducible $KG$-module.
In case \( q \) even and \( H = \text{Spin}_6(q) = \text{Sp}_8(q) \), there does not exist an element \( t \) such that \( t \in C_G(H^t \cap H) \); thus we argue as follows. The index of \( H \) in \( G \) equals \( q^8(q^8 + q^4 + 1) \).

Suppose that \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \) or odd, and let \( M_1 \) be an irreducible \( KH \)-module with \( M := \text{Ind}_H^G(M_1) \) irreducible. Since \( H \) is perfect, the dimension of \( M_1 \) is larger than 1. Also, \( \dim(M_1) < (q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)q^2 \), since otherwise \( \dim(M) > [G:B] \), where \( B \) denotes a Borel subgroup of \( G \), contradicting the upper bound of Seitz given in Lemma 6.2.

Hence, by the result \([50]\) Theorem 1.1] of Guralnick and Tiep, \( M_1 \) is a Weil module.

Suppose now that \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \). The degrees of the ordinary Weil characters of \( H \) are given in \([50]\) Table 1] as polynomials (over the rationals) in \( q \). The degrees of the ordinary irreducible characters of \( G \) can be computed from Lusztig’s Jordan decomposition of characters. These degrees, again as polynomials in \( q \), are explicitly given on the web site \([86]\) of Frank Lübeck. Using these data together with Lemma 2.13, one can easily show that no ordinary irreducible character \( \chi \) of \( G \) satisfies \( \chi(1) = [G:H]\psi(1) \) for some ordinary Weil character \( \psi \) of \( H \).

Hence \( \text{char}(K) = \ell \neq 0 \). Let \( \varphi \) denote the Brauer character of \( M_1 \). By \([50]\) Table 1], there is an ordinary Weil character \( \psi \) of \( H \) such that \( \varphi \) occurs in the reduction modulo \( \ell \) of \( \psi \) and such that \( \varphi(1) = \psi(1) \) or \( \varphi(1) = \psi(1) - 1 \). If \( \varphi(1) = \psi(1) \), the character \( \varphi \) is liftable to \( \psi \), and thus \( \text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi) \) is reducible by Lemma 2.11 and by the above result in the \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \) case. Thus \( \varphi(1) = \psi(1) - 1 \).

Since induction commutes with reduction modulo \( \ell \), there is an irreducible constituent \( \chi \) of \( \text{Ind}_H^G(\psi) \) such that the irreducible Brauer character \( \text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi) \) of \( M \) is a constituent in the reduction modulo \( \ell \) of \( \chi \).

Hence

\[
(6.2) \quad [G:H](\psi(1) - 1) \leq \text{Ind}_H^G(\varphi)(1) \leq \chi(1) \leq [G:H]\psi(1).
\]

Using Lemma 2.13 once more, one can show that no ordinary irreducible character \( \chi \) of \( G \) satisfies (6.2). This contradiction proves that \( H \) is not a block stabilizer of some imprimitive irreducible \( KG \)-module.

Next, let \( H = N_G(\text{Spin}^+_8(q)) = \text{Spin}^+_8(q).S_3 \). Using the result of Seitz given in Lemma 6.2, together with the bounds on minimal degrees by Landazuri and Seitz \([77]\) for \( \text{Spin}^+_8(q) \), we find that if \( M_1 \) is an irreducible \( KH \)-module such that \( \text{Ind}_H^G(M_1) \) is irreducible, then \( \text{Spin}^+_8(q) \) is in the kernel of \( M_1 \), i.e., \( M_1 \) may be viewed as a \( KS_3 \)-module and \( \dim_K(M_1) \leq 2 \). Since \( S_3 \) is solvable we may assume that \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \) in order to rule out \( H \) as a block stabilizer (cf. Lemma 2.11). If \( q \) is not divisible by 2 or 3, then \( q^{12} \) divides \([G:H]\). In any case \( q^{11} \) divides...
this index. Using Frank Lübeck’s explicit list of character degrees of \( G \) (see [86]), we find that no degree of an ordinary irreducible character of \( G \) is divisible by \([G:H]\).

Finally, suppose that \( H = 3D_4(q).3 \). We choose a subgroup \( Z \) of \( H \) isomorphic to \( G_2(q) \). We note that \( Z \) is unique up to conjugacy in \( H \) and that \( C_H(Z)Z \) is the unique maximal overgroup of \( Z \) in \( H \) (see [74]). Also, \( C_H(Z) = \langle z \rangle \) is cyclic of order 3. We claim that \( C_G(Z) \cong SO_3(q) \). To see this note that the action of \( H \) on the 27-dimensional module \( V \) of \( E := \gcd(3, q - 1) \cdot E_6(q) \) is described in [4] Lemma 7.3. In particular we see that \( \dim(C_V(H')) = 3 \), every nonzero vector in \( C_V(H') \) is centralized by a subgroup of \( E \) isomorphic to \( G \), and \( [H', V] \) is an irreducible \( H' \)-module of dimension 24, but not absolutely irreducible. In fact it is the 8-dimensional module of \( H' \) over the field of order \( q^3 \). Thus \( \dim(C_{H', V}(Z)) = 3 \) and hence \( \dim(C_V(Z)) = 6 \).

Now [4] Lemma 7.1 implies that \( C_V(Z) \) can not consist entirely of dark points. Cohen-Cooperstein [25] p. 467] describe the \( E \)-orbits on the one-dimensional subspaces of \( V \). In their terminology the dark points are the black points. The singular points of Aschbacher are the white points, whereas Aschbacher’s brilliant non-singular points are the gray points. The gray and white points are distinguished by the \( E \)-invariant map \( \# : V \to V \). A simple calculation using the representative \( e_1 + e_2 \) shows that \((e_1 + e_2)^\# = e_3\), a white point. Translating this back into Aschbacher’s language we see that if \( Z \) fixes a point in \( V \) which is not dark, then \( Z \) fixes a singular point. Thus \( C_V(Z) \) contains singular points. The stabilizer of a singular point \( v \in C_V(Z) \) is a parabolic subgroup \( P \) of \( E \) of type \( D_5 \). Now \( q^2 : SL_2(q) \leq C_P(Z) \) and thus, as \( C_E(Z) \geq C_E(H') \), which is cyclic of order \( q^2 + q + 1 \), we see that \( C_E(Z)Z \) is the maximal subgroup of \( E \) listed in [82, Table III].

The action of \( C_E(Z)Z \) on \( V \) is described explicitly in [4] 5.7. In particular, we see in [4] 4.5.7, that \( C_V(Z) \) is an irreducible \( C_E(Z) \)-module when \( q \) is odd. A six dimensional \( C_E(Z) = SL_3(q) \)-module is necessarily a Galois twist of the symmetric square of the natural \( SL_3(q) \)-module. Using the notation in [4] 4.5, the vector \( d = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \) has the property that \( G = C_E(d) \). Now \( d \) represents a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form of the natural \( C_E(Z) \)-module and hence \( C_Z(E) \cap G = SO_3(q) \).

When \( q \) is even, then we see, using [4] 4.5.7, that \( Z \leq C_G(v_1) \leq G \), and \( C_G(v_1) \cong Sp_6(q) \cong Spin_6(q) \). Thus \( C_{C_G(v_1)}(Z) \cong SL_2(q) \cong SO_3(q) \) as \( q \) is even. The centralizer of \( Z \) in \( G \) does not grow. To see this, using the notation of [4] 4.5.7, we note that \( G = C_E(d) \), with \( d = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \) and that \( Q(d, -) \) (for the definiton of \( Q(x, y) \) see [3]}
Section 2]) restricted to the $C_E(Z)$-module $\langle w_1, w_2, w_3 \rangle$, see [4, 5.7.8], is a nondegenerate quadratic form.

Thus our claim is established, i.e., $C_G(Z) \cong SO_5(q)$. Since $q > 2$, we can choose $1 \neq t \in C_G(Z)$ such that $C_H(Z) \cap C_H(Z)^t = 1$. We claim that $H \cap H^t = Z$. If not, $H \cap H^t = Z \times \langle z \rangle$, and thus $z \in H^t$. It follows that $z \in C_{H^t}(Z) = \langle z \rangle^t$, a contradiction. We conclude that $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^t$ and thus, as $t \not\in H$, no irreducible $KH$-module is induced from a $KH$-module.

### 6.3.3. The Case $E_6(q)$ or $^2E_6(q)$

Let $G = dE_6(q)$ with $d = \gcd(3, q-1)$ or $G = d^2E_6(q)$ with $d = \gcd(3, q+1)$ and $q \neq 2$. Again, by Lemma 6.2 and the results of Liebeck and Saxl [80], the non-parabolic subgroups $H$ of $G$, whose index is smaller than the largest character degree of $G$ are $Z(G)F_4(q)$ and, in case $G = d^2E_6(q)$, also $N_G(Spin_{10}(q))$. (To rule out the particular case $G = E_6(2)$ and $H = SL_2(2) \times SL_6(2)$ we use the ordinary character degrees of $G$ available in GAP [42].)

Let $H = Z(G)Z$ with $Z \cong F_4(q)$. We may assume that $Z = C_G(\sigma)$ for the graph automorphism $\sigma$ of $G$ (see [47 Table 4.5.2 and Proposition 4.9.2(b)(4)]). There is a $\sigma$-invariant Levi subgroup $L$ of $G$ of type $A_5(q)$ or $^2A_5(q)$, respectively, and $\sigma$ acts as a graph automorphism on $L$. Next, there is a non-trivial element $t \in L$ of order prime to 6, which is inverted by $\sigma$; for example we can choose $t$ to be a non-trivial element of order prime to 6 of a $\sigma$-invariant Singer cycle of $L$. Now write $\bar{\sigma} : G \to G/Z(G)$ for the canonical epimorphism. As $\bar{\sigma} \not\in \bar{H}$, Lemma 2.3 (with $s = 1$) shows that no irreducible $KH$-module can induce to an irreducible $KG$-module. By Corollary 2.7, the same conclusion holds for $H$.

Now let $G = d^2E_6(q)$ and $H = N_G(Spin_{10}(q))$. If $q$ is odd, $H = C_G(a)$, where $a$ is an involution of the subgroup $F_4(q) \leq G$ with centralizer $Spin_3(q)$. In Subsection 6.3.2 we have constructed two conjugates of $a$ in $F_4(q)$ whose product has odd order $\neq 1$. Thus we are done with Lemma 2.3 (with $s = 1$).

If $q$ is even, $H = Spin_{10}(q) \times (q + 1)$ (see [82, Table 5.1]). Using Lemma 6.2 together with the Landazuri and Seitz bounds [77] for $Spin_{10}(q)$, we find that if $M_1$ is an irreducible $KH$-module inducing to an irreducible $KG$-module, then $\dim_K(M_1) = 1$. Now use the table of the irreducible character degrees of $G$ provided on Frank Lübeck’s web page (see [86]), to prove that $[G:H]$ does not divide any such degree if $\text{char}(K) = 0$. The same conclusion then holds for any characteristic of $K$ by Corollary 2.7.

### 6.3.4. The Case $E_8(q)$

Let $G = E_8(q)$. The non-parabolic subgroups $H$ whose index is smaller than the largest character degree of $G$
are \(H = (\text{SL}_2(q) \circ E_7(q)).2\) if \(q\) is odd, and \(H = \text{SL}_2(q) \times E_7(q)\) if \(q\) is even (see Liebeck-Saxl \[80\] in conjunction with Lemma \[6.2\]). The first factor \(S\) of \(F^*(H)\), isomorphic to \(\text{SL}_2(q)\), is generated by long root subgroups. Now let \(L\) be a subgroup of \(E_8(q)\) isomorphic to \(\text{SL}_3(q)\), generated by long root subgroups and containing \(S\). It is known (see e.g., \[47\] Table 4.7.3A]) that \(N_G(L) = L \times E_6(q)\) if \(q \not\equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)\) and \(N_G(L) = (L \circ E_6(q)) : \langle s \rangle\), otherwise, where \(s\) induces a diagonal automorphism of order 3 on each factor. Clearly \(L\) respectively \(S\) is isomorphic to a subgroup of \(\text{GL}_3(q)\) and \(N\) existence of an element \(t \in L\) such that \(t\) centralizes \(N_L(S) \cap N_L(S)^t\) respectively \(N_{(L,s)}(S) \cap N_{(L,s)}(S)^t\). Thus the hypotheses of Lemma \[2.5\] are satisfied (with \(s = 1\) if \(q \not\equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)\)), showing that \(t\) centralizes \(H \cap H^t\). Thus \(H\) is not the block stabilizer of an imprimitivity decomposition of any irreducible \(KG\)-module.

### 6.3.5. The Case \(E_7(q)\)

Let \(G = d.E_7(q)\) with \(d = \text{gcd}(2, q - 1)\). To simplify the exposition, we put \(E_6^+(q) := \text{gcd}(3, q - 1).E_6(q)\), and \(E_6^-(q) := \text{gcd}(3, q + 1).E_6(q)\). Using once more the result of Liebeck and Saxl \[80\] together with Lemma \[6.2\] we find that the non-parabolic subgroups \(H\) whose index is smaller than the largest character degree of \(G\) are \(H = N_G(E_6^\epsilon(q)), \epsilon \in \{+, -\}\), and \(H = N_G(S)\), where \(S \cong \text{SL}_3(q)\) is generated by long root subgroups of \(G\). If \(H = N_G(S)\), then the argument from Subsection \[6.3.4\] goes through verbatim with one minor difference. Namely that now \(C_G(L)\) is \(A_5(q)\) rather than \(E_6(q)\).

Let \(H = N_G(E_6^\epsilon(q))\). Then \(H = (S \circ E_6^\epsilon(q)).\langle \sigma \rangle = N_G(S)\), where \(S\) is a cyclic torus of order \(q - \epsilon - 1\), and \((S \circ E_6^\epsilon(q))\) is a (not necessarily split) Levi subgroup of \(G\) (see \[80\] Table 1]; notice that we have incorporated the \(e_{\pm 1}\) of \[80\] Table 1] in our notation for \(E_6^\epsilon(q)\)). Moreover, \(\sigma\) induces the graph automorphism on \(E_6^\epsilon(q)\). This follows, e.g., from the fact that \(\langle \sigma \rangle\) is the relative Weyl group of the Levi subgroup \(S \circ E_6^\epsilon(q)\), and the action of \(\langle \sigma \rangle\) on the latter can be determined by a computation in the Weyl group \(W\) of \(G\). Indeed, the stabilizer in \(W\) of the set of six fundamental roots forming a subsystem of type \(E_6\), is of order 2 and acts as the graph automorphism on this set of six roots. As already observed in Subsection \[6.3.3\] we have \(Z := C_{E_6^\epsilon(q)}(\sigma) \cong F_4(q)\). Let \((- : G \rightarrow G/Z(G))\) denote the canonical epimorphism. We identify \(Z\) with its image in \(G\). Then \(N_G(Z) = L \times Z\) with \(L \cong \text{PSL}_2(q)\). For \(q > 3\) this follows from \[83\] Theorem 2(e)]. For \(q = 2, 3\), we may apply the same theorem to the groups \(E_7(q^2)\) and consider the fixed points of the field automorphism. As \(Z\) centralizes \(Z\), we have \(S \leq L\). Now suppose that \(q > 3\). If \(q = 5\), assume that \(\epsilon = -\). Then Lemma \[2.9\] guarantees the existence of \(t \in L\) with \(L = \langle \tilde{S}, \tilde{S}^t \rangle\) and \(t\) centralizing
$N_L(S) \cap N_L(S)'$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $t$ centralizes $H \cap H'$, and so no irreducible $KH$-module induces to an irreducible $KG$-module. As we may choose such a $t$ of odd order if $q$ is odd, the same conclusion holds for $H$ and $G$ by Corollary 2.7.

Now suppose that $q \in \{3, 5\}$ and $\epsilon = +$. (The case $q = 3$ and $\epsilon = -$ will be treated below.) If $q = 3$, we have $\bar{H} = E_6(3).2$, and if $q = 5$ we have $\bar{H} = (E_6(5) \times 2).2$. We thus have $\bar{H} = (E_6(q) \times \langle x \rangle).\{\bar{\sigma}\}$, where $q = 3$ or 5, and $x \in C_G(E_6(q))$ is trivial if $q = 3$ and has odd order $2$, otherwise. Now $N_G(Z) = L \times Z$ with $\langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle \leq C_G(Z) = L \cong PSL_2(q)$. Clearly, there is an element $t \in L$ of order 3 such that $\langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle \cap \langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle^t$ is trivial. As $t \not\in \bar{H} = N_G(\bar{H'})$, the intersection $\bar{H} \cap \bar{H'}$ does not contain $\bar{H}' \cong E_6(q)$. As $C_H(Z) = \langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle$ and $Z \times C_H(Z)$ is the unique maximal subgroup of $\bar{H}$ containing $Z$ but not $\bar{H}'$, we have

$$\bar{H} \cap \bar{H'} \leq Z \times C_H(Z) \leq Z \times L = N_G(Z)$$

and

$$\bar{H} \cap N_G(Z) = Z \times \langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle.$$  

This implies

$$\bar{H} \cap \bar{H'}^t = \bar{H} \cap \bar{H'}^t \cap N_G(Z)$$

$$= [\bar{H} \cap N_G(Z)] \cap [\bar{H'}^t \cap N_G(Z)]$$

$$= Z \times [\langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle \cap \langle x, \bar{\sigma}\rangle^t]$$

$$= Z$$

by our choice of $t$. As $t$ centralizes $Z$, it follows that $t \in C_G(\bar{H} \cap \bar{H'})$. Since $t$ has order 3, Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.7 show that $H$ is not the block stabilizer of an imprimitive irreducible $KG$-module.

Next, assume that $q = 3$ and $\epsilon = -$. Then $H = (^2E_6(3) \times 4).2$ and $\bar{H} = C_G(x)$ for an involution $x \in G$. There is a subgroup $Y \leq Z \leq ^2E_6(3)$ with $Y \cong G_2(3)$, such that $C_G(Y) \cong PSp_6(3)$ (this follows from [S3 Theorem 1(b), Theorem 2(e)]). A computation of class multiplication coefficients shows that there is a conjugate $x'$ of $x$ in $C_G(Y)$ such that the product $xx'$ has order 3. Putting $t := xx'$, Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.7 prove that $H$ is not a block stabilizer in $G$.

Finally, suppose that $q = 2$. Then $G = E_7(2)$ and $H = E_6(2).2$ or $H = (3, ^2E_6(2)): S_3$ (see [80 Table 1] or [26 p. 219]). The case $H = E_6(2).2$ is settled as follows. We have $N_G(Z) = Z \times L$ with $L \cong GL_2(2)$, and $N_H(Z) = Z \times \langle \sigma \rangle$. Let $t \in L$ be an element of order 3. Then $t \not\in H$, and thus $H \cap H' = Z$. As $t \in C_G(Z)$, we are done. Now let $H = (3, ^2E_6(2)): S_3$. Then $H = N_G(\langle z \rangle)$, where $z$ is an element of order 3 in the center of $F^*(H) = 3, ^2E_6(2)$. By the tables of conjugacy classes of elements of order 3 given on Frank Lübeck’s web page (see [86]), $z$ is the
unique element of order 3, up to conjugacy, whose centralizer contains an element of order 13. Now $G$ contains a subgroup $D$ isomorphic to $^{3}D_{4}(2)$ centralizing a subgroup $L$ isomorphic to $\text{SL}_2(8)$ (see [82, Table 5.1] or [26, p. 219]). As $D$ contains an element of order 13, we may assume that $z \in L$. We have $N_{G}(L) = (L \times D, \langle s \rangle)$ with $s$ an element of order 3 normalizing $L$ and $D$ and acting non-trivially on each factor. In particular, $\langle L, s \rangle \cong \text{Aut}(\text{SL}_2(8)) \cong ^{2}G_{2}(3)$. A computation inside $^{2}G_{2}(3)$ shows that there exists an element $t \in L$ such that $L = \langle z, z^{t} \rangle$ and such that $t$ centralizes $N_{\langle L, s \rangle}(\langle z \rangle) \cap N_{\langle L, s \rangle}(\langle z \rangle)^{t}$. Lemma 2.5 implies that $t$ centralizes $H \cap H^{t}$ and thus $H$ is not a block stabilizer in $G$.

6.3.6. The remaining cases. Finally we consider the Steinberg triality groups $^{3}D_{4}(q)$, the Suzuki groups $^{2}B_{2}(2^{2m+1})$, $m \geq 1$, and the Ree groups $^{2}F_{4}(2^{2m+1})$, $m \geq 1$, and $^{2}G_{2}(3^{2m+1})$, $m \geq 1$. (Recall that the Tits group $^{2}F_{4}(2)^{\prime}$ has already been considered in Proposition 3.1.) The maximal subgroups of the Steinberg triality groups were determined by Kleidman [74], those of the large Ree groups by Malle [97], those of the small Ree groups by Kleidman [73] and those of the Suzuki groups by Suzuki [113]. In every case the smallest index of a non-parabolic maximal subgroup exceeds the bound of Seitz given in Lemma 6.2 eliminating these cases.
Groups of Lie type: Induction from parabolic subgroups

Here, we begin the investigation of imprimitive irreducible modules whose block stabilizers are parabolic subgroups. First we show that such an irreducible module is Harish-Chandra induced. Then we give two sufficient conditions for the irreducibility of a Harish-Chandra induced module. Our first condition is in terms of Harish-Chandra theory, and the second one in terms of Deligne-Lusztig theory. The two conditions are sufficient to show that the bulk of all cross-characteristic irreducible modules of groups of Lie type are in fact Harish-Chandra induced, hence imprimitive.

7.1. Harish-Chandra series

In this section we let $G$ be a finite group with a split $BN$-pair of characteristic $p$, satisfying the commutator relations (see e.g., [28, §§65,69] for the definition and principal results arising from this set of axioms). By a parabolic subgroup, respectively Levi subgroup of $G$ we mean some $N$-conjugate of a standard parabolic subgroup, respectively standard Levi subgroup of $G$. As above, we let $K$ denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$, with $\ell \neq p$.

**Proposition 7.1.** Let $M$ be an irreducible $KG$-module which is imprimitive with block stabilizer $P$, where $P$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G$. Then $M \cong R^G_P(M_1)$ for some $KL$-module $M_1$, where $L$ is a Levi complement in $P$. In other words, $M$ is Harish-Chandra induced.

**Proof.** In this proof we write $[X,Y] := \dim_K \text{Hom}_{KH}(X,Y)$ for subgroups $H \leq G$ and $KH$-modules $X$ and $Y$.

Let $M_2$ be an irreducible $KP$-module such that $\text{Ind}^G_P(M_2) \cong M$. Let $Q$ be the parabolic subgroup opposite to $P$. Thus $P \cap Q = L$ is a Levi complement of $P$ and of $Q$. If $M_1$ is an irreducible constituent of the head of $\text{Res}^P_L(M_2)$, then $[\text{Res}^P_L(M_2), M_1] > 0$. Denote the inflation of $M_1$ to a $KQ$-module by $\tilde{M}_1$. Let $D$ be a set of double coset representatives, containing 1, for the $P$-$Q$-double cosets of $G$. By Mackey’s...
theorem,
\[
[\text{Ind}_P^G(M_2), \text{Ind}_Q^G(M_1)] = [\text{Res}_Q^G \text{Ind}_P^G(M_2), \tilde{M}_1]
\]
\[
= \sum_{x \in D} [\text{Ind}^Q_{P \cap Q} \text{Res}^P_{P \cap Q} (M_2^x), \tilde{M}_1]
\]
\[
= \sum_{x \in D} [\text{Res}^P_{P \cap Q} (M_2^x), \text{Res}^Q_{P \cap Q} (\tilde{M}_1)].
\]

Our choice of \( M_1 \) implies that the summand corresponding to \( x = 1 \) in the last line of the formula above is non-zero. It follows that \([\text{Ind}_P^G(M_2), \text{Ind}_Q^G(M_1)] > 0.\)

The latter implies that \( \text{Ind}_P^G(M_2) \) is isomorphic to a submodule of \( \text{Ind}_Q^G(M_1) \), as \( \text{Ind}_Q^G(M_2) \) is irreducible. On the other hand, our choice of \( M_1 \) and the fact that \( P \) and \( Q \) have the same order, implies that \( \dim_K (\text{Ind}_Q^G(M_1)) \leq \dim_K (\text{Ind}_P^G(M_2)) \). Thus \( \text{Res}^P_L(M_2) = M_1 \) and \( \text{Ind}_P^G(M_2) \cong \text{Ind}_Q^G(M_1) \). Since \( R_L^G(M_1) \cong \text{Ind}_Q^G(M_1) \), the claim follows.

\[\phi\]

An ordinary irreducible \( KG \)-module is called Harish-Chandra imprimitive, if it is Harish-Chandra induced from a proper Levi subgroup of \( G \). Otherwise it is called Harish-Chandra primitive. By the above proposition, an irreducible \( KG \)-module is imprimitive with parabolic block stabilizer, if and only if it is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

We now apply Harish-Chandra theory to produce Harish-Chandra imprimitive irreducible modules. If \( L \) is a Levi subgroup of \( G \) we denote Harish-Chandra induction from \( L \) to \( G \) by \( R_L^G \). Thus if \( M \) is a \( KL \)-module, then \( R_L^G(M) = \text{Ind}_P^G(M) \), where \( P \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G \) with Levi complement \( L \), and \( M \) is the inflation of \( M \) to \( P \). It is known that, up to isomorphism, \( R_L^G(M) \) is independent of the choice of the parabolic subgroup \( P \) with Levi complement \( L \) (see \([32, 63]\)). If \( M \) is irreducible and cuspidal, \( W_L^G(L, M) := (N_G(L, M) \cap N)L/L \) denotes the ramification group of \( R_L^G(M) \) (here, \( N \) is the subgroup of \( G \) specified by the \( BN \)-pair; see \([46, \text{Section 3}]\)).

**Theorem 7.2.** Let \( L_0 \) be a Levi subgroup of \( G \) and let \( M_0 \) be an irreducible cuspidal \( KL_0 \)-module. Suppose that \( L \) is a Levi subgroup of \( G \) with \( L_0 \leq L \) and \( W_L(L_0, M_0) = W_L(L_0, M_0) \). Then \( R_L^G(M_1) \) is irreducible for every irreducible quotient or submodule \( M_1 \) of \( R_{L_0}^G(M_0) \).

**Proof.** The dimension of \( \text{End}_{KG}(R_{L_0}^G(M_0)) \) equals the order of \( W_G(L_0, M_0) \) (see e.g., \([33, \text{Theorem 2.9}]\)). Moreover, \( \text{End}_{KL}(R_{L_0}^G(M_0)) \) is embedded into \( \text{End}_{KG}(R_{L_0}^G(M_0)) \) as a unital subalgebra (see \([49, 2.5]\)). Since the two algebras have the same dimension, they are isomorphic.
The \((L_0, M_0)\) Harish-Chandra series of \(L\) consists of the set of irreducible submodules of \(R^L_{L_0}(M_0)\) (up to isomorphism), and this set is equal to the set of irreducible quotients of \(R^L_{L_0}(M_0)\) (see [44, 2.2] for more explanations and references). The result now follows from [46, Proposition 2.7].

\[ \diamond \]

Notice that the assumption of the theorem is satisfied for every intermediate Levi subgroup \(L_0 \leq L \leq G\) if \(W_G(L_0, M_0) = \{1\}\).

### 7.2. Lusztig series

Now we give a sufficient condition for Harish-Chandra imprimivity of an irreducible module of a finite group of Lie type in terms of Deligne-Lusztig theory, more precisely, in terms of Lusztig series of characters. We introduce the relevant notation. Our basic references for the terminology and notation in this section are [23, 31]. Let \(G\) be a connected reductive algebraic group over the algebraic closure of the prime field \(\mathbb{F}_p\) and let \(F\) be a Frobenius morphism of \(G\). Let \(G = G^F\) denote the corresponding finite group of Lie type.

Let \(G^*\) denote the group dual to \(G\) in the sense of Deligne and Lusztig (see [23, Chapter 4]). By abuse of notation, the Frobenius morphism of \(G^*\) induced by \(F\) is also denoted by \(F\). The finite group in duality with \(G\) is \(G^* := G^{*F}\). The set of ordinary irreducible characters of \(G\) is partitioned into rational Lusztig series \(\mathcal{E}(G, [s])\), where \([s]\) ranges over the \(G^{*F}\)-conjugacy classes of semisimple elements of \(G^{*F}\) (see [31, Proposition 14.41]).

An \(F\)-stable Levi subgroup of \(G^*\) is called split, if it is the Levi complement of an \(F\)-stable parabolic subgroup of \(G^*\). The \(F\)-fixed points of split Levi subgroups of \(G^*\) are Levi subgroups of \(G^*\) in the sense of groups with split BN-pairs.

Let \(s\) be a semisimple \(\ell\)-regular element in \(G^*\). It was shown by Broué and Michel ([19, Théorème 2.2]), that the set

\[ \mathcal{E}_\ell(G, [s]) := \bigcup_{t \in C_{G^*}(s)_\ell} \mathcal{E}(G, [ts]), \]

where \(C_{G^*}(s)_\ell\) is the set of \(\ell\)-elements of \(C_{G^*}(s)\), is the union of the ordinary irreducible characters in a set of \(\ell\)-blocks of \(G\).

**Theorem 7.3.** Let \(s \in G^*\) be semisimple such that \(C_{G^*}(s)\) is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup \(L^*\) of \(G^*\). Let \(L\) be a split Levi subgroup of \(G\) dual to \(L^*\).

Then every ordinary irreducible character of \(G\) contained in \(\mathcal{E}(G, [s])\) is Harish-Chandra induced from a character of \(\mathcal{E}(L, [s])\).
If $s$ is $\ell$-regular for some prime $\ell$ not dividing $q$, then every irreducible $\ell$-modular character of $G$ contained in $E_\ell(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra induced from a Brauer character lying in $E_\ell(L, [s])$.

**Proof.** Under our assumption on $s$, the Lusztig map $\varepsilon_G \varepsilon_L R^G_L$ induces a bijection between $E(L, [s])$ and $E(G, [s])$ (see [31, Theorem 13.25(ii)] and [10, Théorème 11.10]). Since $L$ is split, the signs $\varepsilon_G$ and $\varepsilon_L$ are equal (see [23, Corollary 6.5.7]) and the map $R^G_L$ is just Harish-Chandra induction (see [31, p. 81]).

This proves the first part of the theorem. By a result of Broué ([16, p. 62, Remarque]), Harish-Chandra induction from $L$ to $G$ induces a Morita equivalence between the unions of $\ell$-blocks $E_\ell(L, [s])$ and $E_\ell(G, [s])$. In particular, irreducible Brauer characters are mapped to irreducible Brauer characters.

7.3. Asymptotics

The above theorem implies that a large proportion of the irreducible characters of a finite group of Lie type in cross-characteristic are Harish-Chandra induced. To make this statement more precise, we have to talk about infinite families of groups, in our case of series of finite groups of Lie type. That is, we fix the type of the group and vary the underlying field. The formal setting for considerations of this type is that of a *generic finite reductive group* as introduced by Broué and Malle in [17]; see also [18, Section 1.A].

A generic finite reductive group $G = (\Gamma, F_0)$ consists of a root datum $\Gamma = (X, R, Y, R^\vee)$ and an automorphism $F_0$ of $\Gamma$ of finite order. The components $X$ and $Y$ of $\Gamma$ are free abelian groups of the same finite rank, the *rank of $G$*. They are called “character group” and “cocharacter group” of $G$, respectively. According to the usage in [17], we choose notation such that $F_0$ acts on $X$ (contrary to the usage in [18, Section 1.A], where $F_0$ acts on $Y$). The Weyl group $W$ of $\Gamma$ also acts linearly on $X$. Extending scalars we obtain actions of $W$ and of $F_0$ on the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $X_\mathbb{R} := X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$.

Assume that $G$ is not equal to one of the particular types described in [17] (drc.t2), (drc.t3)) giving rise to the Suzuki and Ree groups. Then any prime number $p$ determines a connected reductive group $G$ over the algebraic closure of the prime field of characteristic $p$, together with a maximal torus $T$, such that $\Gamma$ is the root datum associated to the pair $(G, T)$. The Weyl group $W$ of $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the Weyl group $N_G(T)/T$ of $G$, and the first component $X$ of $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the character group of $T$, the isomorphism being compatible with the actions of $N_G(T)/T$ and $W$. Any power $q > 1$ of $p$ determines a
Frobenius morphism $F$ of $G$ such that $T$ is $F$-stable. In particular, $F$ acts on the character group of $T$, inducing the action $qF_0$ on $X$. We shall write $G(q)$ for the finite reductive group $G^F$ determined in this way by $q$. The set of groups $\{G(q) \mid q > 1$ a prime power$\}$ is also called a *series of finite groups of Lie type*. For example, $\{\text{SL}_n(q) \mid q > 1$ a prime power$\}$ (for fixed $n$) or $\{^2E_6(q) \mid q > 1$ a prime power$\}$ are series of finite groups of Lie type.

The Suzuki and Ree groups, excluded in the theorem below, will be dealt with in a later chapter.

**Lemma 7.4.** Let $G = (\Gamma, F_0)$ be a generic finite reductive group of rank $m$. Assume that $G$ is not equal to one of the particular types described in [17] (drc.t2), (drc.t3)]. We let $W$ denote the Weyl group of $G$ and write $X$ for the character group of $\Gamma$, i.e., the first component of the root datum $\Gamma$.

Then there is a bound $M$ (depending only on $G$) such that for all prime powers $q$ the following holds: If $(G, F)$ is the pair of a connected reductive group $G$ and a Frobenius morphism $F$ of $G$ determined by $G$ and $q$, and if $T$ is an $F$-stable maximal torus of $G$, then

$$|\{s \in T^F \mid C_G(s)^F \neq T^F\}| \leq M(q + 1)^{m-1}.$$ 

**Proof.** Let $q$ be a prime power, and let $(G, F)$ and $T$ be as in the statement of the lemma.

An element $s \in T := T^F$ is *regular*, if its connected centralizer $C_G(s)^0$ equals $T$. By Part (3) of the proof of [84] Theorem 2.1, the number of non-regular elements in $T$ is at most equal to $m^2 \cdot 2^m \cdot (q + 1)^{m-1}$.

Suppose that $s \in T$ is regular, but that $C_G(s)^F$ strictly contains $T$. Then, by [23] Theorem 3.5.3, there is a non-trivial $F$-stable $w \in N_G(T)/T = W$ centralizing $s$. Thus $s$ is contained in the closed $F$-stable subgroup $T' = \{t \in T \mid \hat{w}^{-1}tw = t\}$ of $T$, where $\hat{w}$ is an element of $N_G(T)$ mapping to $w$ under the natural epimorphism.

To estimate the order of $T'^F$, we introduce the following notation. If $A \leq X$ is a subgroup of $X$, we write $\hat{A}$ for the smallest pure subgroup of $X$ containing $A$. Following [23] Section 1.12, we put $A^\perp := \{t \in T \mid \chi(t) = 1$ for all $\chi \in A\}$. This is a closed subgroup of $T$ and it is a sub-torus if $A = T$. Moreover, for subgroups $A \leq B \leq X$ such that $B/A$ is finite, we have $A^\perp/B^\perp \cong (B/A)_{p'}$, where $p$ is the prime dividing $q$ (see [11] Chapter III for proofs of these facts).

For $v \in W$, let $[v, X]$ denote the image of $v - I_X$ in $X$. Then $T' = [w, X]^\perp$. Since $w$ is $F$-stable, $[w, X]$ and $\hat{[w, X]}$ are $F$-stable as
well and \([-w, X]\) is an \(F\)-stable sub-torus of \(T'\). Since \(w \in W\) is non-trivial, the rank of \([-w, X]\), and hence that of \([-w, X]\) is at least equal to 1. By [84, Proposition 2.4], the set of \(F\)-stable elements of the torus \([-w, X]\) has at most \((q + 1)^{m-1}\) elements.

Let \(M_0\) be the largest order of a group of the form \([-v, X]/[-v, X]\), where \(v\) runs through the elements of \(W\). Then the order of \(T'/[-w, X]\) is at most equal to \(M_0\). Hence \(|T' F| \leq M_0(q + 1)^{m-1}\) and the claim follows by summing up over all \(w \in W\).

**Theorem 7.5.** Let \(G = (\Gamma, F_0), W \text{ and } X\) be as in Lemma 7.4. Denote by \(X'\) the root lattice of \(G\), i.e., the sublattice of \(X\) spanned by the roots \(R\) in \(X\). (Note that \(F_0\) and \(W\) act on \(X'\) and hence on \(X'_R := X' \otimes \mathbb{Z} R\).)

(a) Put \(W_{\text{split}} := \{w \in W \mid F_0 \circ w \text{ has an eigenvalue } 1 \text{ on } X'_R\}\). Then the number of absolutely irreducible ordinary characters of a group \(G(q)\) in the series \(\{G(q) \mid q > 1 \text{ a prime power}\}\) equals \(q^m + O(q^{m-1})\), and

\[
\frac{|W_{\text{split}}|}{|W|} q^m + O(q^{m-1})
\]

of these characters are Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

(b) Let \(\ell\) be a prime number and let \(e\) be a positive integer dividing \(\ell - 1\). If \(q\) is a prime power, we write \(e(q, \ell) = e\), if \(\ell\) does not divide \(q\) and if \(e\) equals the order of \(q\) mod \(\ell\) in \(\mathbb{F}_\ell^*\). Let \(W_{(e, \ell)}\) denote the set of \(w \in W\) for which \(F_0 \circ w\), acting on \(X'_R\), has no eigenvalue of order \(\ell^i e\) for some non-negative integer \(i\). Put \(W_{\text{split},(e, \ell)} := W_{\text{split}} \cap W_{(e, \ell)}\). Then the number of absolutely irreducible Harish-Chandra imprimitive \(\ell\)-modular Brauer characters of a group in the set \(\{G(q) \mid q > 1 \text{ a prime power with } e(q, \ell) = e\}\) is at least

\[
\frac{|W_{\text{split},(e, \ell)}|}{|W|} q^m + O(q^{m-1}).
\]

**Proof.** Choose a prime power \(q\), hence a group \(G\) and a Frobenius morphism \(F\) and write \(G := G(q) = G^F\). Let \(G^*, F^*\) be the dual pair. A geometric conjugacy class of \(G^* := G^{*F}\) is the intersection of a conjugacy class of \(G^*\) with \(G^*\), if this intersection is not empty. We have a partition of the set of irreducible characters \(\text{Irr}(G)\) of \(G\) into Lusztig series,

\[
\text{Irr}(G) = \bigcup_{(s)} \mathcal{E}(G, (s)),
\]
where \((s)\) runs through the geometric conjugacy classes of semisimple elements of \(G^*\) (see \cite{31} Proposition 13.17]). For each semisimple element \(s \in G^*\), there is a bijection between \(\mathcal{E}(G, (s))\) and \(\mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s), (1))\), the unipotent characters of \(C_{G^*}(s)\) (see \cite{31} Theorem 13.23]). Moreover, the latter are parameterized independently of \(q\). These results are due to Lusztig; for groups \(G\) with a connected center they are contained as a special case in \cite{91} 4.23 Main Theorem, and for groups \(G\) with non-connected center, they are proved in \cite{92}.

Our strategy is to count the geometric conjugacy classes of semisimple elements \(s \in G^*\) for which \(C_{G^*}(s)\) is a maximal torus of \(G^*\). For such an \(s\), the set \(\mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(s), (1))\) just consists of the trivial character, and hence \(\mathcal{E}(G^*, (s))\) contains a unique element. Asymptotically, the elements lying in such Lusztig series account for nearly all irreducible characters of \(G\).

Up to conjugation in \(G^*\), the number of maximal tori in \(G^*\) equals the number of \(F\)-conjugacy classes of \(W\) (see \cite{23} Proposition 3.3.3]). It follows from Lemma \(7.3\) applied to the dual generic reductive group \(G^*\), that the number of geometric conjugacy classes of semisimple elements \(s \in G^*\) such that \(C_{G^*}(s)\) is not a maximal torus of \(G^*\) is at most a constant (independent of \(q\)) times \((q+1)^{m-1}\). Since the total number of geometric conjugacy classes of semisimple elements of \(G^*\) is of the form \(q^m + O(q^{m-1})\) (see \cite{23} Theorem 3.7.6(i)]), we have proved the first assertion of Part (a) of the theorem.

To prove the second, let \(T_0^*\) be a maximally split torus of \(G\). Let \(T_0^*\) be the dual torus of \(G^*\). We choose a set of representatives for the \(F\)-conjugacy classes of \(W\), and for each representative \(w\) an \(F\)-stable maximal torus \(T_w^*\) of \(G^*\) obtained from \(T_0^*\) by twisting with \(w\) (see \cite{23} p. 85)). By \cite{23} Theorem 3.5.3], an \(F\)-stable element \(s\) in \(T_w^*\) is regular and satisfies \(C_{G^*}(s) = T_w^F\) if and only if its orbit under \(N_{G^*}(T_w^*F)\) has maximal possible length, namely \(|N_{G^*}(T_w^*F)/T_w^F|\). The latter number equals \(|C_{W,F}(w)|\), the order of the \(F\)-centralizer \(C_{W,F}(w)\) of \(w\) (see \cite{23} Proposition 3.3.6]). Thus \(T_w^*\) gives rise to \(([W:C_{W,F}(w)]/|W|) q^m + O(q^{m-1})\) conjugacy classes of regular elements of \(G^*\) whose centralizer in \(G^*\) equals \(T_w^F\). Note that the number in the numerator of the coefficient at \(q^m\) in the above formula equals the number of elements in the \(F\)-conjugacy class of \(W\) containing \(w\).

To conclude, we have to observe that \(T_w^*\) is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of \(G^*\) if and only if \(F_0 \circ w\) has an eigenvalue 1 on \(X'_R\). Indeed, \(T_w^*\) is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of \(G^*\) if and only if it contains a non-trivial and non-central \(F_0\)-split torus of \(G^*\) (see \cite{17} Section 3.D]). This is the case if and only if \(T_w^*/Z^0(G^*)\) contains
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a non-trivial \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-split torus of \( G^*/Z^0(G^*) \), where \( Z^0(G^*) \) denotes the connected component of the center of \( G^* \). Using the considerations in [18] Section 1.A, we find that \( T_s^*/Z^0(G^*) \) contains a non-trivial \( \mathbb{F}_q \)-split torus, if and only if \( F_0 \circ w \) has an eigenvalue 1 on \( X'_R \).

To prove Part (b), assume that \( s \) satisfies \( e(q, \ell) = e \). Let \( s' \in G^* \) be a semisimple \( \ell \)-element such that \( C_{G^*}(s) \) is a maximal torus. By the main result of Broué and Michel [19], the (unique) ordinary character in \( \mathcal{E}(G^*(s)) \) remains irreducible on reduction modulo \( \ell \). Moreover, if \( s' \) is another such element not conjugate to \( s \) in \( G^* \), then the two irreducible \( \ell' \)-modular characters arising from \( \mathcal{E}(G^*(s)) \) and \( \mathcal{E}(G^*(s')) \) are distinct. We thus have to count the number of \( G^* \)-conjugacy classes of semisimple \( \ell' \)-elements of \( G^* \) whose centralizer is a maximal torus.

Let \( T_w^* \) be a maximal torus of \( G^* \) as above. All non-central elements in \( T_w^* \) are \( \ell' \)-elements if \( \ell \) does not divide the order of \( (T_w^*/Z^0(G^*))^F \). This order is given by evaluating the characteristic polynomial of \( F_0 \circ w \), acting on \( X'_R \), at \( q \) (see [23] Proposition 3.3.5)). The characteristic polynomial is a product of cyclotomic polynomials \( \Phi_j \). Now \( \Phi_j(q) \) is divisible by \( \ell \) if and only if \( j = \ell e \) for some non-negative integer \( i \) (see, e.g., [65] Lemma IX.8.1)). This completes the proof.

Let us consider some examples.

**Example 7.6.** Let \( q \) be a power of the prime \( p \), and let \( \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \) denote an algebraic closure of the prime field \( \mathbb{F}_p \).

1. Let \( G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \). The Weyl group \( W \) of \( G \) (defined with respect to the maximal torus \( T_0 \) of \( G \) consisting of the diagonal matrices) is isomorphic to the symmetric group on \( n \) letters and \( X'_R = X_R \) is the reduced permutation module for \( W \). The rank of \( G \) equals \( n - 1 \).

   (a) Suppose first that \( F \) is the standard Frobenius morphism of \( G \) (raising every entry of a matrix to its \( q \)-th power), so that \( G = \text{SL}_n(q) \). Then \( F_0 = 1 \). Now \( w \in W \) has eigenvalue 1 on \( X_R \) if and only if it has more than one cycle (in its action as a permutation on \( n \) points). Hence \( |W|_{\text{split}} = n! - (n - 1)! \). It follows that for fixed \( n \) the proportion of (Harish-Chandra) imprimitive characters of \( \text{SL}_n(q) \) tends to \( 1 - 1/n \) as \( q \) tends to infinity. Moreover, this asymptotic value tends to 1, as \( n \) tends to infinity.

   (b) Now suppose that \( F \) is a Frobenius morphism giving rise to the unitary group, e.g., \( F((a_{ij})) = J_n(a_{ij})^{-T}J_n \), for a matrix \( (a_{ij}) \in G \). (For the notation see Subsection 2.1.2) This \( F \) yields \( G = G^F = \text{SU}_n(q) \). Let \( w_0 \) denote the longest element in \( W \), and let \( w \in W \). One checks that \( F_0 \circ w \) has eigenvalue 1 on \( X_R \) if and only if \( -w_0w \) has eigenvalue 1 on \( X_R \). A straightforward computation shows that \( -w_0w \) has eigenvalue 1 on \( X_R \), if and only if \( w_0w \) has at least one cycle of
even length (in its action as a permutation on \( n \) points) i.e., \( w_0w \) has even order. Thus \(|W_{\text{split}}| = |\{ w \in W \mid w \text{ has even order} \}|.

From \[89\] Lemma 4.2(a)], which is based upon \[6\] Theorem 2.3(b)], we get
\[
1 - \frac{3}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{|W_{\text{split}}|}{|W|} < 1 - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{n}}.
\]
Again, the asymptotic value (for \( q \to \infty \)) of the proportion of (Harish-Chandra) imprimitive characters of \( SU_n(q) \) tends to 1, as \( n \) tends to infinity.

(2) Let \( G = \text{Sp}_{2m}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \) or \( G = \text{Spin}_{2m+1}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \), \( m \geq 2 \), and let \( F \) denote the standard Frobenius morphism of \( G \). Then \( F_0 = 1 \) and \( G = \text{Sp}_{2m}(q) \) or \( G = \text{Spin}_{2m+1}(q) \).

As \( G \) is semisimple, we again have \( X'_R = X_R \). The Weyl group \( W \) of \( G \) is a Coxeter group of type \( B_m \), and \( W \) permutes the \( 2m \) elements \( \pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_m \) for some basis \( e_1, \ldots, e_m \) of \( X_R \). A cycle of an element \( w \in W \) on the set \( \{ \pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_m \} \) is called negative, if it contains \( e_j \) and \( -e_j \) for some \( 1 \leq j \leq m \). Otherwise, the cycle is called positive. It is easy to see that \( w \in W \) has eigenvalue 1 on \( X_R \), if and only if at least one cycle of \( w \) is positive. Put \( f(m) := |W \setminus W_{\text{split}}|/|W| \), the proportion of elements of \( W \) with only negative cycles. It has been shown by Frank Lübeck that
\[
(7.1) 
\begin{align*}
    f(m) &= \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m - 1)}{|W|}, \\
\end{align*}
\]
and that this proportion tends to 0, as \( m \) tends to infinity (see \[88\] Proposition 2(a), Remark 3)).

(3) Let \( G = \text{Spin}_{2m}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \), \( m \geq 4 \), and let \( F^\epsilon \) be a Frobenius morphism such that \( G^\epsilon := G^{F^\epsilon} = \text{Spin}_{2m}(q) \) for \( \epsilon \in \{ +, - \} \).

Again, \( X'_R = X_R \), and the latter may be identified with the group \( X_R \) arising in Part (2) above. Moreover, the Weyl group \( \tilde{W} \) of \( G \) is a Coxeter group of type \( D_m \). We may embed \( \tilde{W} \) into the Weyl group \( W \) of type \( B_m \) as a subgroup of index 2 such that the action of \( \tilde{W} \) on \( X_R \) is the restriction of the action of \( W \) (with the above identification of the vector spaces \( X_R \)). In fact, \( \tilde{W} \) consists of the elements of \( W \) which have an even number of negative cycles.

If \( \epsilon = + \), we may assume that \( F_0 = 1 \). In this case,
\[
\frac{|\tilde{W} \setminus \tilde{W}_{\text{split}}|}{|W|} = f(m) \frac{2m - 2}{2m - 1}
\]
by \[88\] Proposition 2(b)], where \( f(m) \) is as in Equation (7.1).
If $\epsilon = -\)), we may assume that $F_0$ acts on $X_R$ as an element of $W \backslash \tilde{W}$. In this case,

$$\frac{|\tilde{W} \backslash \tilde{W}_{\text{split}}|}{|W|} = f(m) \frac{2m}{2m - 1}$$

by [88] Proposition 2(c)], where $f(m)$ is as in Equation (7.1). Clearly, these proportions tend to 0 as $m$ tends to infinity.
CHAPTER 8

Groups of Lie type: \( \text{char}(K) = 0 \)

In this chapter we assume that \( G \) is a finite group of Lie type (not necessarily quasisimple). We also assume that \( K \) is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. If, in addition, \( G \) arises from an algebraic group with connected center, we can prove a converse to Theorem 7.2 and thus obtain a classification of the irreducible imprimitive \( KG \)-modules with parabolic block stabilizers. Under less restrictive assumptions, we obtain a corresponding result about Lusztig series (Theorem 8.4). As a consequence of the latter, all unipotent characters of \( G \) are Harish-Chandra primitive.

8.1. Some results on Weyl groups

In the following, \( W_m \) denotes a Weyl group of type \( B_m \). The maximal parabolic subgroups of \( W_m \) are of the form \( W_{m-k} \times S_k \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq m \). Here, \( S_k \) denotes a symmetric group on \( k \) letters. The ordinary irreducible characters of \( W_m \) are parameterized by bi-partitions of \( m \), and we write \( \chi^\alpha \) for the irreducible character of \( W_m \) labelled by the bi-partition \( \alpha \). Similarly, \( \zeta^\beta \) denotes the irreducible character of \( S_k \) labelled by the partition \( \beta \) of \( k \). For partitions \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \) of \( m-k \), \( k \), and \( m \), respectively, we write \( g_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma} \) for the multiplicity of \( \zeta^\gamma \) in the induced character \( \text{Ind}_{W_{m-k} \times S_k}^{W_m} (\chi^\beta \times \zeta^\gamma) \).

**Lemma 8.1.** Let \( m \geq 2 \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq m \) be positive integers. Moreover, let \( \gamma \) be a partition of \( k \), and let \( \beta = (\beta^0, \beta^1) \) be a bi-partition of \( m-k \). Then

\[
\text{Ind}_{W_{m-k} \times S_k}^{W_m} (\chi^\beta \times \zeta^\gamma)
\]

has at least three distinct irreducible constituents. If \( k > 1 \) and \( (m,k) \neq (2,2), (3,3) \), then \( \text{Ind}_{W_{m-k} \times S_k}^{W_m} (\chi^\beta \times \zeta^\gamma) \) has at least five distinct irreducible constituents.

**Proof.** Suppose first that \( k = 1 \). The irreducible constituents of \( \text{Ind}_{W_{m-1}}^{W_m} (\chi^{\beta^1}) \) are of the form \( \chi^\alpha \) with bi-partitions \( \alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1) \) of \( m \) satisfying \( \alpha^0 = \beta^0 \) or \( \alpha^1 = \beta^1 \). In the first case \( \alpha^1 \) is obtained from adding a node to \( \beta^1 \), and in the second case \( \alpha^0 \) is obtained from \( \beta^0 \) in this way. (This is a special case of the general formula below.)
Since \( m \geq 2 \), at least one of \( \beta^0 \) or \( \beta^1 \) is not the empty partition. If \( \beta^0 \) is not the empty partition, there are at least two distinct partitions which can be obtained from \( \beta^0 \) by adding a node. The result follows in this case.

Now suppose that \( k > 1 \). If \((m,k) = (2,2) \) or \((3,3) \) we use the CHEVIE [45] share package of GAP [42] to show that (8.1) has at least three distinct irreducible constituents (in fact in these cases it has at least four). Thus suppose in addition that \((m,k) \neq (2,2),(3,3) \). For a bi-partition \((\alpha^0,\alpha^1)\) of \( m \), put \( j := |\alpha^0| - |\beta^0| \). Then the multiplicity of \( \chi_{\beta} \times \zeta_{\gamma} \) in the restriction of \( \chi_{\alpha} \) to \( W_{m-k} \times S_k \) is equal to 0 unless \( 0 \leq j \leq k \). In the latter case this multiplicity equals

\[
\sum_{\delta^0} \sum_{\delta^1} g_{\delta^0 \gamma} \gamma^\delta_{\beta^0 \delta^1} g_{\delta^0 \beta^1},
\]

where \( \delta^0 \) and \( \delta^1 \) run through the partitions of \( j \) and \( k-j \), respectively. Formula (8.2) follows from [101, Theorem 6] and an application of the Littlewood-Richardson rule (see [70, 2.8.14]) for symmetric groups. For a detailed deduction of the formula see [102, Lemma 3.5.2]).

Let \( \delta = (\delta^0,\delta^1) \) be one of the following bi-partitions of \( k \):

\[
(8.3) (\gamma,\cdot), (\cdot,\gamma), (1,\mu), (\mu,1), (\nu,\kappa),
\]

where \( \mu, \nu, \) and \( \kappa \) are partitions of \( k-1,2, \) and \( k-2 \), respectively, such that \( g_{\gamma(1),\mu} \neq 0 \) and \( g_{\nu(1),\kappa} \neq 0 \).

For each \((\delta^0,\delta^1)\) as above, there is a bi-partition \( \alpha = (\alpha^0,\alpha^1) \) of \( m \) such that \( g_{\delta^0 \alpha^0} \neq 0 \) and \( g_{\delta^1 \beta^1} \neq 0 \). By (8.2) this implies that \( \chi^\alpha \) occurs in (8.1) as a constituent. If \( k \geq 4 \), then the five \( \delta \)’s of (8.3) give rise to five distinct \( \alpha \)’s. Indeed, letting \( \beta^0 \) be a partition of \( m_0 \), the first component \( \alpha^0 \) of \( \alpha \) is a partition of \( m_0, m_0+k, m_0+1, m_0+k-1, \) and \( m_0+2 \), respectively. If \( k = 2 \) or \( 3 \), then \( m-k > 0 \) by assumption. Thus at least one of \( \beta^0 \) or \( \beta^1 \) is not the empty partition. Assume without loss of generality that \( \beta^0 \) is not empty. Then, by the Littlewood-Richardson rule, there are at least two distinct partitions \( \alpha^0 \) of \( m_0+k \) with \( g_{\delta^0 \gamma} \neq 0 \) and two distinct partitions \( \alpha^0 \) of \( m_0+1 \) with \( g_{\delta^0(1)} \neq 0 \). For \( \alpha^0 = \beta^0 \) we also have \( g_{\beta^0(\cdot)} \neq 0 \), and we are done.

**Lemma 8.2.** Let \( W \) be a finite Weyl group and let \( W_0 \) be a proper parabolic subgroup of \( W \) (for this notation see e.g. [23, Section 2.3]). Then \( \text{Ind}_{W_0}^W(\psi) \) is reducible for every ordinary irreducible character \( \psi \) of \( W_0 \).

**Proof.** We may assume that \( W \) is irreducible and that \( W_0 \) is a maximal parabolic subgroup of \( W \). Suppose first that \( W \) is not of type
A_m, D_m, or E_6. Then w_0, the longest element in W (see Proposition 2.2.11) is contained in the center of W (see Planches I–IX]). Since w_0 is not contained in W_0, the result follows from Lemma 2.2.

This argument is due to Meinolf Geck. (Of course, the desired result for W of type B_m also follows from Lemma 8.1.)

It remains to consider the cases that W is of type A_m, m ≥ 2, D_m, m ≥ 4, and E_6. The latter case is easily checked with CHEVIE. If W is of type A_m, the Littlewood-Richardson rule (see 70, 2.8.14) shows that no irreducible character of W_0 induces to an irreducible character of W.

In case W is of type D_m, m ≥ 4, we proceed as follows. We write ˜W_m for the Weyl group of type D_m, and embed ˜W_m into a Weyl group W_m of type B_m of index 2 (exactly as in the first of the two embeddings described in 91, 4.6). A maximal parabolic subgroup of ˜W_m is of the form ˜W_m−k × S_k for some 1 ≤ k ≤ m. This is embedded into W_m−k × S_k by embedding ˜W_m−k into W_m−k.

Now let ψ be an irreducible character of ˜W_m−k × S_k inducing to an irreducible character χ of ˜W_m. Then Ind^{W_m}_{W_m−k × S_k} ψ) induce to characters of W_m with at most two irreducible constituents. This contradicts Lemma 8.1.

8.2. Harish-Chandra series

Our next aim is to prove a converse of Theorem 7.2 for groups of Lie type arising from an algebraic group with connected center. More specifically, let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over the algebraic closure of F_q and let F be a Frobenius morphism of G. Let G = G^F be the corresponding finite group of Lie type. Assume that the center of G is connected. We exclude the pairs (G, F) that give rise to the Suzuki and Ree groups, i.e., we do not allow G to be one of 2B_2(q), 2G_2(q), 2F_4(q). The latter groups will be treated in Section 10.2.

Theorem 8.3. For G as above, let M be an irreducible imprimitive K G-module whose block stabilizer is a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi complement L. Then there exists a Levi subgroup L_0 of L, an irreducible cuspidal KL_0-module M_0 with W_G(L_0, M_0) = W_L(L_0, M_0), and an irreducible constituent M_1 of R^L_{L_0}(M_0) such that M ≅ R^G_{L_0}(M_1).

Proof. By Proposition 14 there is an irreducible KL-module M_1 such that M ≅ R^G_{L_0}(M_1). Let L_0 be a Levi subgroup of L and M_0 an irreducible cuspidal KL_0-module such that M_1 is a composition factor of R^L_{L_0}(M_0).
By the results of Lusztig in [91, 8.3–8.5]), the ramification group $W_G(L_0, M_0)$ is a Weyl group and $W_L(L_0, M_0)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $W_G(L_0, M_0)$. By the comparison theorem of Howlett and Lehrer [62, Theorem 5.9], there are irreducible characters $\psi$ of $W_L(L_0, M_0)$ and $\chi$ of $W_G(L_0, M_0)$ corresponding to $M_1$ and $M$ respectively, such that the multiplicity of $M$ in $R^G_L(M_1)$ equals the multiplicity of $\chi$ in the induced character $\text{Ind}^{W_L(L_0, M_0)}_{W_G(L_0, M_0)}(\psi)$. The first of these multiplicities being 1, this is true for the second as well. By Lemma 8.2, this implies that $W_L(L_0, M_0) = W_G(L_0, M_0)$ and we are done.

\section{8.3. Lusztig series}

Here we give a characterization of the irreducible Harish-Chandra imprimitive $KG$-modules in terms of Lusztig series of characters, thus proving a converse to Theorem 7.3 in characteristic 0. Let $G$, $F$ and $G = GF$ be as in Section 8.2 above. We do no longer, however, assume that the center of $G$ is connected. As in Section 7.2, we let $(G^*, F)$ be the pair dual to $(G, F)$, and we write $G^* = G^{*F}$.

**Theorem 8.4.** Let $s \in G^*$ be semisimple such that $C_{G^*}(s)$ is connected. If $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ contains a Harish-Chandra imprimitive element, then $C_{G^*}(s)$ is contained in a proper split $F$-stable Levi subgroup of $G^*$, and thus every element of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

**Proof.** First assume that the center of $G$ is connected. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ be Harish-Chandra induced from the Levi subgroup $L = LF$ of $G$. Suppose that $\chi$ lies in the $(L_0, \psi)$-Harish-Chandra series for some Levi subgroup $L_0^F = L_0 \leq L$ and some cuspidal irreducible character $\psi$ of $L_0$. We let $L_0^*$ and $L^*$ denote split $F$-stable Levi subgroups of $G^*$ in duality with $L_0$ and $L$ respectively. As Harish-Chandra induction preserves Lusztig series (see [22, Proposition 15.7]) we may assume that $s \in L_0^* \leq L^*$.

We now use [91, (8.5.7) and (8.5.8)]. This implies that $W_G(L_0, \psi)$ is a Coxeter group with canonical generators in bijection to the $F$-orbits on $S_{C_{G^*}(s)} \setminus S_{C_{L^*}(s)}$. Here, $S_{C_{G^*}(s)}$ denotes the set of fundamental reflections of the Weyl group of $C_{G^*}(s)$. Now $W_G(L_0, \psi) = W_L(L_0, \psi)$ by Theorem 8.3, and hence the number of $F$-orbits on $S_{C_{G^*}(s)} \setminus S_{C_{L^*}(s)}$ is zero. Since $C_{L^*}(s)$ is a split Levi subgroup of $C_{G^*}(s)$, it follows that $C_{G^*}(s) = C_{L^*}(s)$, i.e., that $C_{G^*}(s) \leq L^*$.

Now we deal with the general case. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote a connected reductive algebraic group over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$, equipped with a Frobenius endomorphism $F$, such that the following conditions
are satisfied. The group $G$ is a closed, $F$-stable subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, and the Frobenius map on $G$ is the restriction of $F$ to $G$. Moreover, the derived subgroups of $G$ and of $\tilde{G}$ coincide and $\tilde{G}$ has connected center. Such a group always exists (see [22, Section 15.1]).

By duality, there is a surjective, $F$-equivariant homomorphism of algebraic groups,

$$(8.4) \quad \tilde{G}^* \to G^*,$$

whose kernel is connected and contained in the center of $\tilde{G}^*$.

Let $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{G}^*$ denote an $F$-stable element mapping to $s$ under $(8.4)$. (The existence of such an element follows from [10, Corollaire 2.7].) As $C_{\tilde{G}}^*(s)$ is connected, we obtain a bijection

$$(8.5) \quad E(\tilde{G}, [\tilde{s}]) \to E(G, [s]), \quad \tilde{\chi} \mapsto \text{Res}_{\tilde{G}}^G(\tilde{\chi}).$$

This follows from the main result of Lusztig's paper [92]. Now suppose that $\chi \in E(G, [s])$ is Harish-Chandra imprimitive. Thus there is an $F$-stable split proper Levi subgroup $L$ of $G$, and an irreducible character $\psi$ of $L$ such that $\chi = R_{L,G}^G(\psi)$. Let $L^*$ denote an $F$-stable split Levi subgroup of $G^*$ dual to $L$. As above we may assume that $s \in L^*$, and thus $\tilde{s} \in \tilde{L}^*$, where $\tilde{L}^*$ is the inverse image of $L^*$ under the homomorphism $(8.4)$. Let $\tilde{L}$ denote an $F$-stable split Levi subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ dual to $\tilde{L}^*$ and with $L \leq \tilde{L}$. As the center of $\tilde{G}$ is connected, so is $C_{\tilde{G}}^*(\tilde{s})$ (see [23, Theorem 4.5.9]) and thus $C_{L^*}(\tilde{s}) = \tilde{L}^* \cap C_{\tilde{G}}^*(\tilde{s})$ is connected as well. By $(8.5)$, applied to $L \leq \tilde{L}$, there is an irreducible character $\tilde{\psi} \in E(\tilde{L}, [\tilde{s}])$ with $\text{Res}_{\tilde{L}}^\tilde{L}(\tilde{\psi}) = \psi$.

We have

$$\text{Res}_{\tilde{G}}^G(R_{L,G}^G(\tilde{\psi})) = R_{L,G}^G(\text{Res}_{\tilde{L}}^\tilde{L}(\tilde{\psi})) = \chi,$$

by [22, Equation (15.5)]. As every irreducible constituent of $R_{L,G}^G(\tilde{\psi})$ is contained in $E(\tilde{G}, [\tilde{s}])$, we conclude that $R_{L,G}^G(\tilde{\psi})$ is irreducible from the bijection $(8.5)$. As $\tilde{L} \neq \tilde{G}$ is a split Levi subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, it follows from the first part of the proof that $C_{\tilde{G}}^*(\tilde{s})$ contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $\tilde{G}^*$. Now $C_{G^*}(s)$ is the image of $C_{\tilde{G}}^*(\tilde{s})$ under the homomorphism $(8.4)$ (see [31, Proposition 2.3]). The result follows, as the split Levi subgroups of $\tilde{G}^*$ and of $G^*$ are in bijection via the map $(8.4)$.

The last assertion follows from Theorem 7.3.

Notice that $C_{G^*}(s)$ is connected for every semisimple element $s \in G^*$, if the center of $G$ is connected (see [23, Theorem 4.5.9]).

**Corollary 8.5.** The unipotent characters of $G$ are Harish-Chandra primitive.
Proof. The unipotent characters are the elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, [1])$, and $C^*_G(s) = G^*$ is connected. $\diamond$
Here we apply the results of the preceding chapters to certain classical groups for which we obtain explicit descriptions of their Harish-Chandra induced irreducible characters. Since we are only dealing with representations over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0, it seems appropriate to use the language of characters rather than modules. A character thus means a $K$-character, where $K$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

We begin with a list of groups satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 8.3.

9.1. The groups

For the remainder of this section we let $G$ be one of the following finite classical groups:

(a) a general linear group $\text{GL}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 2$,
(b) a general unitary group $\text{GU}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$,
(c) a symplectic group $\text{Sp}_n(q)$ with $q$ even and $n \geq 4$ even,
(c') a conformal symplectic group $\text{CSp}_n(q)$ with $q$ odd and $n \geq 4$ even,
(d) a Clifford group $\text{D}^0_{n+1}(q)$ with $q$ odd and $n \geq 4$ even,
(e') an orthogonal group $\text{O}^\pm_n(q)$ with $q$ even and $n \geq 8$ even,
(e) a conformal special orthogonal group $\text{CSO}^\pm_n(q)$ with $q$ odd and $n \geq 8$ even,
(f) a Clifford group $\text{D}^\pm_n(q)$ with $q$ odd and $n \geq 8$ even.

For the definition of these groups we refer to [23, Sections 1.19] and [12, Chapter IX, § 9, n°5]. The groups in (c) and (d) are dual groups in the sense of Deligne and Lusztig, as well as the groups of types (e) and (f) (see [90, 8.1]). As before, we denote the natural $n$-dimensional (projective) module for $G$ by $V$.

9.2. Harish-Chandra series

The aim in this section is a characterization of the ordinary irreducible Harish-Chandra imprimitive characters of the above classical groups in terms of Harish-Chandra theory.
Let $W$ denote the Weyl group of $G$ (as finite group with $BN$-pair). Thus, for example, the Weyl group of $GU_{2m}(q)$ is the Weyl group of type $B_m$. The set of fundamental reflections of $W$ is denoted by $S$. The Weyl groups and root systems of the groups in 9.1 are irreducible of types $A, B, C$ and $D$.

For every subset $J \subseteq S$ there is a standard Levi subgroup $L_J$ of $G$, whose Weyl group is the parabolic subgroup $W_J \leq W$, generated by the reflections in $J$. As before, a Levi subgroup of $G$ is an $N$-conjugate of some standard Levi subgroup, where $N$ denotes the monomial subgroup of the $BN$-pair of $G$. The relative Weyl group $W_G(L)$ of the Levi subgroup $L$ is defined as $W_G(L) := (N_G(L) \cap N)/L$.

**9.2.1. General linear groups.** We first investigate the easy case of the general linear group $G = GL_n(q)$. In this case $W$ may be identified with the subgroup of permutation matrices in $G$, and $S$ with the subset $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$, where $s_i$ corresponds to the transposition $(i, i+1), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

By a composition of $n$ we understand a sequence $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ of positive integers summing up to $n$. Let $J$ be a proper subset of $S$. By putting $\lambda_1 := \min\{k \mid 1 \leq k \leq n-1, s_k \notin J\}$, and $\lambda_{j+1} := \min\{k \mid 1 + \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \leq k \leq n-1, s_k \notin J\} - \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i$, for $j \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i < n$, we inductively associate a composition $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ of $n$ to $J$. The standard Levi subgroup $L_J$ of $G$ is of the form

\[ L_J \cong GL_{\lambda_1}(q) \times GL_{\lambda_2}(q) \times \cdots \times GL_{\lambda_r}(q), \]

with the natural diagonal embedding of the right hand side of (9.1) into $G$.

An irreducible cuspidal character $\psi$ of $L_J$ as above is of the form

\[ \psi = \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_r, \]

where $\psi_i$ is an irreducible cuspidal character of $GL_{\lambda_i}(q)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Let us shortly describe $W_G(L_J)$ and $W_G(L_J, \psi)$ for $L_J$ and $\psi$ as above. For every pair $(i, j), 1 \leq i < j \leq r$ such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$, there is an element $\tau_{ij} \in W_G(L_J)$ swapping $GL_{\lambda_i}(q)$ with $GL_{\lambda_j}(q)$ and centralizing the other factors of $L_J$. In fact, with respect to a suitable basis of $V$, the natural (projective) module for $G$, the element $\tau_{ij}$ is represented by the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I_{\lambda_i} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{\lambda_i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_c
\end{pmatrix},
\]
with \( a = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \lambda_k \), \( b = \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} \lambda_k \), and \( c = \sum_{k=j+1}^{d} \lambda_k \) (recall that \( I_d \) denotes the \( d \times d \) identity matrix). By \([61]\) we have \( W_G(L_J) = \langle \tau_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq r, \lambda_i = \lambda_j \rangle \). Moreover, \( W_G(L_J, \psi) = \langle \tau_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq r, \lambda_i = \lambda_j, \psi_i = \psi_j \rangle \). This follows from one of the main results of Chapter 8 of Lusztig’s book (namely \([91], (8.5.13)\)).

**Proposition 9.1.** Let \( G = \text{GL}_n(q) \), \( n \geq 2 \), let \( L_0 = L_J \) be a proper Levi subgroup of \( G \) as in \([9.1]\), and let \( \psi \) be a cuspidal irreducible character of \( L_0 \) as in \([9.2]\).

If \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_r \) and \( \psi_1 = \psi_2 = \cdots = \psi_r \), then every irreducible constituent of \( R_{L_0}^G(\psi) \) is Harish-Chandra primitive. Otherwise every such constituent is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

**Proof.** Suppose first that \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_r \) and \( \psi_1 = \psi_2 = \cdots = \psi_r \). Then \( W_G(L_0, \psi) = W_G(L_0) \) is isomorphic to the symmetric group on \( r \) letters. Let \( L \) be a proper Levi subgroup of \( G \) such that \( L_0 \) is a Levi subgroup of \( L \). Then some \( W_G(L_0) \)-conjugate \( L_1 \) of \( L \) is a standard Levi subgroup of \( G \). Obviously, \( W_{L_1}(L_0) \) is a proper subgroup of \( W_G(L_0) \). In particular, \( W_G(L_0, \psi) \neq W_{L_1}(L_0, \psi) \) and so \( W_G(L_0, \psi) \neq W_L(L_0, \psi) \). It follows from Theorem \([8.3]\) and Harish-Chandra theory (see \([28], (70.15A)\)), that no irreducible constituent of \( R_{L_0}^G(\psi) \) is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

Suppose now that \( \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \) for some \( 1 \leq i, j \leq r \), or that \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_r \), but \( \psi_i \neq \psi_j \) for some \( 1 \leq i, j \leq r \). By conjugating with a suitable element of \( W \) we may assume that there is some \( i < r \) such that, in the first case, \( \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_i \), but \( \lambda_j \neq \lambda_1 \) for all \( j > i \), and, in the second case, \( \psi_1 = \cdots = \psi_i \), but \( \psi_j \neq \psi_1 \) for all \( j > i \). (Such a conjugation changes \( L_0 \) and \( \psi \), but it does not change \( R_{L_0}^G(\psi) \) by \([28], (70.11)\)). Put \( k = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_j \) and \( m = n - k \). Let \( L \cong \text{GL}_k(q) \times \text{GL}_m(q) \) be the standard Levi subgroup corresponding to the composition \((k, m)\) of \( n \). Then \( L_0 \leq L \) and \( W_G(L_0, \psi) = W_L(L_0, \psi) \) by the remarks preceding the proposition. It follows from Theorem \([7.2]\) that every constituent of \( R_{L_0}^G(\psi) \) is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

**9.2.2. Other classical groups.** We now aim at a similar result for the other classical groups, excluding the groups of 9.1(f). If \( G \) is one of the groups of \([9.1](b),(c),(d)\) or one of the orthogonal groups or Clifford groups of \([9.1]e'(e)\) of \(+\) type, we put \( m := \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \). In the remaining cases we put \( m := n/2 - 1 \). Then \( m \geq 1 \). The Weyl group \( W \) of \( G \) is of type \( B_m \), \( m \geq 1 \), of type \( C_m \), \( m \geq 2 \), or of type \( D_m \), \( m \geq 4 \), and we write \( S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\} \) for the set of fundamental reflections of \( W \). If \( W \) is of type \( B_m \) or \( C_m \) with \( m \geq 3 \), we choose notation so that \( s_2, \ldots, s_m \) are conjugate, but \( s_1 \) is not conjugate to \( s_2 \). If \( W \) is
of type $B_2$ or $C_2$, we let $s_1$ correspond to a short root or a long root, respectively. If $W$ is of type $D$ we let $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_m$ be the end nodes of the Dynkin diagram with $s_1$ and $s_2$ of distance 2 (if $m$ is larger than 4, this uniquely determines the set $\{s_1, s_2\}$).

Let $J$ be a proper subset of $S$. Let $k := m + 1 - \min\{1 \leq i \leq m \mid s_i \not\in J\}$. We call the subset $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{m-k}\}$ of $J$ its component of type $B$, $C$ or $D$, respectively (in the latter case only if $s_1$ and $s_2$ are contained in $J$). The subset $\{s_{m-k+2}, \ldots, s_m\} \cap J$ of $\{s_{m-k+2}, \ldots, s_m\}$ determines a composition $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)$ of $k$ as above (in case of the general linear group). The standard Levi subgroup $L_J$ of $G$ is of the form

$$L_J \cong G_{n-2k}(q) \times \mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_1}(q^\delta) \times \mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_2}(q^\delta) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_r}(q^\delta).$$

Here, $\delta = 2$ if $G = \mathrm{GU}_n(q)$, and $\delta = 1$, otherwise. If $G$ is not one of the Clifford groups, an explicit description of the embedding of the right hand side of (9.4) as a standard Levi subgroup of $G$ can be found, e.g., in [54, 4.4]. For the Clifford groups use duality as in [91, 8.5]. The group $G_{n-2k}(q)$ is of the same type as $G$ and corresponds to the component of $J$ of type $B$, $C$ or $D$, respectively; the index $n - 2k$ indicates the dimension of the natural (projective) module of $G_{n-2k}(q)$. It may happen that the component of type $B$ (respectively $D$) of $J$ is empty, in which case $n - 2k \in \{0, 1\}$; in this case the group $G_{n-2k}(q)$ is a cyclic torus.

Let $\psi$ be an irreducible cuspidal character of $L := L_J$. Then

$$\psi = \psi_0 \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \psi_r,$$

where $\psi_0$ is an irreducible cuspidal character of $G_{n-2k}(q)$, and $\psi_i$ is an irreducible cuspidal character of $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_i}(q^\delta)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Suppose first that $s_1$ and $s_2$ are contained in $J$ if $W$ is of type $D_m$. Then there is, for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, an involution $\sigma_i \in W_G(L)$ which centralizes every direct factor $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_j}(q^\delta)$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, of the decomposition (9.4) except $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_i}(q^\delta)$. If $G = \mathrm{GU}_n(q)$, the map induced by $\sigma_i$ on $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_i}(q^2)$ is the Frobenius morphism $F$ of Example 7.6(b) (restricted from $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_i}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_i}(q^2)$). In the other cases $\sigma_i$ induces (modulo an inner automorphism), the transpose inverse automorphism on $\mathrm{GL}_{\lambda_i}(q)$. With respect to a suitable basis of $V$ (recall that $V$ is the natural (projective) module of $G$), some preimage of $\sigma_i$ in $N$ is represented by the
matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I_{\lambda_i} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I & 0 \\
0 & I_{\lambda_i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & I_a
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(9.6)

with \( a = \sum_{j=i+1}^{r} \lambda_j \). Also, \( \tilde{I}^2 = I \) with \( b = n - 2k + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \lambda_j \). Note that \( \sigma_i \) need not normalize \( G_{n-2k}(q) \) (see Example 9.3(a)).

Now suppose that \( W \) is of type \( D_m \) and either \( s_1 \) or \( s_2 \) is not contained in \( J \). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( s_1 \notin J \).

(Indeed, if \( s_1 \in J \) but \( s_2 \notin J \), consider \( J' := (J \cup \{ s_2 \}) \setminus \{ s_1 \} \); then \( J' \) is conjugate to \( J \) in \( W \), and thus \( L_J \) and \( L_{J'} \) give rise to the same Harish-Chandra induced characters of \( G \) (see [28] (70.11)).) In this case the Levi subgroup \( L_J \) is as in (9.4) with \( \delta = 1 \), \( k = m \) and \( G_0(q) \) a cyclic group of order \( q - 1 \). Fix an \( i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). If \( \lambda_i \) is even, there still is an involution \( \sigma_i \in W_G(L) \) as in the general case above. It is represented on \( V \) by the matrix (9.6) with identity matrix \( \tilde{I} \). If \( \lambda_i \) is odd, then there is, for every \( 1 \leq j \neq i \leq r \) with \( \lambda_j \) odd, an involution \( \sigma_{ij} \in W_G(L) \) inducing the inverse transpose automorphism (modulo an inner automorphism) simultaneously on \( GL_{\lambda_i}(q) \) and on \( GL_{\lambda_j}(q) \), and centralizing the other direct factors \( GL_{\lambda_h}(q) \) of \( L_J \). The matrix of \( \sigma_{ij} \) on \( V \) is the product of the matrices for \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma_j \) (which do not lie in \( G \), but their product does).

Let us now return to the general case. For every pair \((i,j), 1 \leq i < j \leq r \) such that \( \lambda_i = \lambda_j \), there is an element \( \tau_{ij} \in W_G(L) \) swapping \( GL_{\lambda_i}(q^\delta) \) with \( GL_{\lambda_j}(q^\delta) \) and centralizing the other factors of \( L \). The elements \( \sigma_i \) and \( \tau_{ij} \) (respectively \( \sigma_i, \sigma_{ij}, \) and \( \tau_{ij} \)) generate \( W_G(L) \) by the results of Howlett [61].

The \( \sigma_i \) and the \( \tau_{ij} \) (respectively \( \sigma_i, \sigma_{ij}, \) and \( \tau_{ij} \)) arise as the non-trivial elements of subgroups of \( W_G(L) = (N_G(L) \cap N)L/L \) of the form \( (N_{L_1}(L) \cap N)L/L \) for Levi subgroups \( L_1 \geq L \) of \( G \) such that \( (N_{L_1}(L) \cap N)L/L \) has order 2. Such Levi subgroups \( L_1 \) are groups of \( F \)-fixed points of admissible subgroups in the sense of Lusztig [91, p. 255]. The \( \sigma_i \) (respectively the \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma_{ij} \)) are distinguished from the \( \tau_{ij} \) by the fact that the former arise from admissible Levi subgroups \( L_1 \) for which the rank of the component of the root system of type \( B, C \) or \( D \), respectively is larger than the corresponding rank for \( L \). Note that the \( \sigma_i \) (respectively the \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma_{ij} \)) and the \( \tau_{ij} \) are also distinguished by the fact that the \( \sigma_i \) (respectively the \( \sigma_i \) and \( \sigma_{ij} \)) normalize the direct factors \( GL_{\lambda_1}(q^\delta), \ldots, GL_{\lambda_r}(q^\delta) \) of \( L \).
Again by [91 (8.5.13)], the group $W_G(L, \psi)$ is generated by the elements $\sigma_i$ and $\tau_{ij}$ (respectively $\sigma_i$, $\sigma_{ij}$, and $\tau_{ij}$) that leave $\psi$ invariant.

**Proposition 9.2.** Let $G$ be one of the classical groups from [9.1(b)–(e)], let $L_0 = L_1$ be a proper Levi subgroup of $G$ as in [9.4], and let $\psi$ be a cuspidal irreducible character of $L_0$ as in [9.5].

(a) In case $G$ is an orthogonal group of + type, assume in addition that $n - 2k \geq 4$ (this is equivalent to the assumption that $s_1$ and $s_2$ are contained in $J$).

Then if $\sigma_i \in W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, every irreducible constituent of $R_{L_0}^G(\psi)$ is Harish-Chandra primitive. Otherwise every such constituent is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

(b) Let $G$ be an orthogonal group of + type, and assume that $n - 2k = 0$ (this is equivalent to the assumption that $s_1$ is not contained in $J$; recall that we do not need to consider the case $s_1 \in J$, $s_2 \notin J$).

Then if $\sigma_i \in W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ with $\lambda_i$ even, and if for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ with $\lambda_i$ odd, there is some $1 \leq j \neq i \leq r$ with $\lambda_j$ odd such that $\sigma_{ij} \in W_G(L_0, \psi)$, every irreducible constituent of $R_{L_0}^G(\psi)$ is Harish-Chandra primitive. Otherwise every such constituent is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

**Proof.** We only prove (a); the proof of (b) is similar. Suppose first that $\sigma_i \in W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $L$ be a proper Levi subgroup of $G$ such that $L_0$ is a Levi subgroup of $L$. Then for some $i$, no preimage of $\sigma_i$ is contained in $L$. In particular, $W_G(L_0, \psi) \neq W_L(L_0, \psi)$. It follows as in the proof of Proposition [9.1] that no irreducible constituent of $R_{L_0}^G(\psi)$ is Harish-Chandra imprimitive.

Suppose now that $\sigma_i \notin W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. By conjugating with a suitable element of $W$, we may assume that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{i+1} = \cdots = \lambda_r$, and that $\tau_{ij} \in W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for all $j > i$, but $\tau_{i',i} \notin W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for all $i' < i$ with $\lambda_{i'} = \lambda_i$. Then $\sigma_j \notin W_G(L_0, \psi)$ for all $j > i$, since $\sigma_i = \tau_{ij} \sigma_j \tau_{ij}$. Put $k' = \sum_{j=i}^r \lambda_j$, and let $L = G_{n-2k'}(q) \times \text{GL}_{k'}(q^8)$. Then $L \neq G$ and $W_G(L_0, \psi) = W_L(L_0, \psi)$. The assertion now follows from Theorem [7.2].

Let us look at an example to see what the conditions in the two propositions really mean.

**Example 9.3.** For $a \in \text{GL}_n(q)$ write $F'(a) := J_n a^{-T} J_n$. (For the notation see Subsection [2.1.2])

(a) Let $G = \text{CSO}_{16}^+(q)$ with odd $q$. Take $J = \{s_2, \ldots, s_5, s_7, s_8\}$. Then $L := L_J = G_0(q) \times \text{GL}_5(q) \times \text{GL}_3(q)$ (so that $r = 2$, $\lambda_1 = 5$ and $\lambda_2 = 3$). We may choose an embedding of the direct product above into $G$ in such a way that $(z, a, b)$ with $z \in \mathbb{F}_q^* = G_0(q)$, $a \in \text{GL}_5(q)$,
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$b \in \text{GL}_3(q)$ is represented by the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  b & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & a & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & zF'(a) \\
  0 & 0 & zF'(b)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Thus $\sigma_{12}$ maps $(z, a, b)$ to $(z, zF'(a), zF'(b))$. Now let $\psi_0$, $\psi_1$, and $\psi_2$ be irreducible cuspidal characters of $G_0(q)$, $\text{GL}_{\lambda_1}(q) = \text{GL}_5(q)$, and $\text{GL}_{\lambda_2}(q) = \text{GL}_3(q)$, respectively. Suppose that the linear characters of the centers of $\text{GL}_5(q)$ and $\text{GL}_3(q)$ induced by $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ are $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$, respectively.

It is easy to check that $\psi = \psi_0 \otimes \psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ is invariant under $\sigma_{12}$, if and only if $\xi_1 = \xi_2^{-1}$ and $\psi_i(c) = \psi_i(c^{-T})$ for all $c \in \text{GL}_{\lambda_i}(q)$ and $i = 1, 2$.

(b) Next let $G = \text{CSO}^+_8(q)$ with odd $q$. We wish to determine all irreducible Harish-Chandra imprimitive characters of $G$ corresponding to the Levi subgroup $L_0 = \text{CSO}^+_4(q) \times \text{GL}_2(q)$ (i.e., $L_0 = L_J$ with $J = \{s_1, s_2, s_4\}$). We may choose an embedding of the direct product into $G$ in such a way that $(a, b)$ with $a \in \text{CSO}^+_4(q)$, $b \in \text{GL}_2(q)$ is represented by the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  b & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & a & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \mu_a F'(b)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where $\mu_a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is the multiplier of $a$.

Again, let $\psi_0$ and $\psi_1$ be irreducible cuspidal characters of $G_1(q) = \text{CSO}^+_4(q)$ and $\text{GL}_{\lambda_1}(q) = \text{GL}_2(q)$, respectively. We have to determine the exact conditions under which $\psi := \psi_0 \otimes \psi_1$ is invariant under $\sigma_1$. Now $\sigma_1$ maps $(a, b)$ to $(a, \mu_a F'(b))$. It is easy to check that $\psi$ is invariant under $\sigma_1$ if and only if the center of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ is in the kernel of $\psi_1$ and $\psi_1(b) = \psi_1(b^{-T})$ for all $b \in \text{GL}_2(q)$.

9.3. Lusztig series

Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic classical group over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with connected center, and let $F$ be a Frobenius morphism of $G$, such that $G = G^F$ is one of the groups introduced in [9.1(a)–(e)]. As in Section 7.2 we let $(G^*, F)$ be the pair dual to $(G, F)$, and we write $G^* = G^{*F}$. The natural modules for $G$ and $G^*$ are denoted by $V$ and $V^*$, respectively.

Let $s \in G^*$ be semisimple. Since the center of $G$ is connected, the ordinary irreducible characters of $G$ lying in $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ can be labelled as $\chi_{s, \lambda}$, where $\lambda$ is a unipotent character of $C_{G^*}(s)$.
9.3.1. General linear groups. Again we begin with the general linear groups $G = \text{GL}_n(q)$ where we may and will identify $G$ with $G^\ast$. An element of $\text{GL}_n(q)$ is called irreducible if and only if it acts irreducibly on the natural module of $\text{GL}_n(q)$.

**Proposition 9.4.** Let $G = \text{GL}_n(q)$. Let $s \in G$ be semisimple, and let $\lambda$ be a unipotent character of $C_G(s)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(a) The irreducible character $\chi_{s,\lambda}$ of $G$ is Harish-Chandra primitive.
(b) The minimal polynomial of $s$ is irreducible.
(c) There are $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $md = n$ such that $s$ is conjugate in $G$ to a block diagonal matrix with $m$ blocks, all equal to some irreducible matrix.
(d) There are $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $md = n$ such that $C_G(s) \cong \text{GL}_m(q^d)$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \text{GL}_n(\overline{F}_q)$ with standard Frobenius map $F$ so that $G = G^F$. Now $\chi_{s,\lambda} \in E(G, [s])$. Thus $\chi_{s,\lambda}$ is Harish-Chandra imprimitive if and only if $C_G(s)$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G$ (Theorem [7.3]). This is the case if and only if $C_G(s)$ stabilizes a non-trivial proper subspace of $V$, i.e., if and only if $C_G(s)$ acts reducibly on $V$.

Let $\mu$ denote the minimal polynomial of $s$, and let $\mu_1$ be an irreducible factor of $\mu$. Then $\ker(\mu_1(s))$ is invariant under $C_G(s)$. Hence if $\chi_{s,\lambda}$ is Harish-Chandra primitive then $\mu = \mu_1$ is irreducible. Thus (b) follows from (a). The implications from (b) to (c) and from (c) to (d) are clear (with $d$ the degree of $\mu$). Also, if $C_G(s) \cong \text{GL}_m(q^d)$ for $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $md = n$, then a Coxeter torus of $C_G(s)$ is a Coxeter torus of $G$, and so $C_G(s)$ acts irreducibly on $V$. It follows that $C_G(s)$ is not contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G$ so that $\chi_{s,\lambda}$ is Harish-Chandra primitive.

Two particular cases are worth noting. The case $d = 1$ corresponds to the central elements $s \in G$, the Harish-Chandra primitive characters arising in this way are the unipotent characters of $G$ (for $s = 1$), and multiples of unipotent characters with linear characters (for $s \neq 1$). The case $d = n$ gives rise to the cuspidal irreducible characters of $G$.

Note also that Proposition [9.4](d) gives the degrees of the irreducible Harish-Chandra primitive characters of $\text{GL}_n(q)$, via Lusztig’s Jordan decomposition of characters.

9.3.2. Other classical groups. We now prove a similar result for the other classical groups. If $\mu$ is a monic irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, we write $\mu^\ast$ for the monic irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ whose roots are the $(-q)$th powers of the roots of $\mu$, i.e., if $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \alpha_i)$
with $\alpha_i \in \bar{F}_q$, then $\mu^* = \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \alpha_i^{-q})$. Note that if $\mu \in \bar{F}_q[X]$, then $\mu^*$ is the monic polynomial whose roots are the inverses of the roots of $\mu$. If $G^*$ is one of the Clifford groups, i.e., a group as in [9,1(d) or (f), we have surjective homomorphisms $G^* \to G^*$, defined over $\bar{F}_q$, where $G^* = \text{SO}_n(\bar{F}_q)$. In these cases we write $\bar{s}$ for the image of $s \in G^*$ in $G^* := \bar{G}^*$. In the other cases we let $G^* = G^*$ and $\bar{s} = s$ for $s \in G^*$. Note that the natural module $V^*$ of $G^*$ is also the natural module of $G^*$.

**Proposition 9.5.** Let $G$ be one of the classical groups of [9,1(b)–(e). Let $s \in G^*$ be semisimple and let $\lambda$ be a uniton character of $C_{G^*}(s)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) The irreducible character $\chi_{s,\lambda}$ of $G$ is Harish-Chandra primitive.

(b) Every irreducible factor $\mu$ of the minimal polynomial of $\bar{s}$ (acting on the natural module $V^*$ of $G^*$) satisfies $\mu = \mu^*$.

(c) If $G$ is a unitary group, there are nonzero $m_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i d_i = n$ such that $C_{G^*}(s) \cong \text{GU}_{m_1}(q^{d_1}) \times \cdots \times \text{GU}_{m_r}(q^{d_r})$.

If $G$ is one of the other classical groups, there are nonzero $m_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i d_i \leq n$ such that $C_{-1} \times C_1 \times \text{GU}_{m_1}(q^{d_1}) \times \cdots \times \text{GU}_{m_r}(q^{d_r})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $C_{G^*}(s)$ of index at most 2. Here, for $\zeta = -1, 1$, the group $C_{\zeta}$ is defined by $C_{\zeta} = C_{G^*}(V^*_\zeta)(\bar{s}_\zeta)^F$, where $V^*_\zeta$ denotes the $\zeta$-eigenspace of $\bar{s}$ on $V^*$, $\bar{s}_\zeta$ is the map induced by $\bar{s}$ on $V^*_\zeta$, and $G^*(V^*_\zeta)$ is the same type of group as $G^*$, however acting on $V^*_\zeta$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\mu$ is a monic irreducible factor of the irreducible polynomial of $\bar{s}$ with $\mu \neq \mu^*$. If $G^* = G^*$, i.e., if $G^*$ is not a Clifford group, then $\text{Ker}_{V^*}(\mu(\bar{s}))$ is a totally isotropic subspace of $V^*$. Since $\text{Ker}_{V^*}(\mu(\bar{s}))$ is invariant under $C_{G^*}(s)$, it follows that $C_{G^*}(s)$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G^*$, and thus (a) does not hold. In case $G^*$ is a Clifford group, let $\prod_i \bar{s}_i$ denote the primary decomposition of $\bar{s}$, the $\bar{s}_i$ acting on the subspaces $V^*_i$ of $V^*$ (cf. [41 (1.10)]). Put $C_i := C_{\text{SO}(V^*_i)}(\bar{s}_i)$. By what we have already proved above, there is an $i$ such that $C_i$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $\text{SO}(V^*_i)$. Thus the product $\prod_i C_i$, embedded in the natural way into $G^*$, is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G^*$. By [41 (2B)], the centralizer $C_{G^*}(s)$ is the inverse image of $\prod_i C_i$ under the surjection from $G^*$ to $G^*$. It follows that $C_{G^*}(s)$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G^*$. Hence (a) implies (b).

If (a) does not hold then $C_{G^*}(s)$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G^*$. This implies that $\bar{s}$ is contained in a proper split Levi subgroup of $G^*$, and thus fixes a non-trivial totally isotropic subspace
of $\mathbf{V}^*$. The restriction of $\bar{s}$ to this subspace has a minimal polynomial all of whose irreducible factors $\mu$ satisfy $\mu \neq \mu^*$. Thus (b) implies (a).

The equivalence of (b) and (c) follows from the description of the centralizers of semisimple elements in the classical groups as given in [40, Proposition (1A)] and [41, (1.13)].

9.4. Examples for the restriction to commutator subgroups

The results above are valid for finite groups of Lie type arising from an algebraic group with connected center. In general, the groups obtained this way are not quasisimple. We therefore have to investigate the descent from a group as above to its commutator subgroup. We include an example, a special case of a much more general example shown to us by Cédric Bonnafé, to give a flavor of the phenomena that can occur.

Example 9.6. Let

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I_2$ denotes the identity matrix of dimension 2. We view $s$ as element of $G = \text{GL}_4(q)$ for some odd $q$. We then have $C_G(s) = \text{GL}_2(q) \times \text{GL}_2(q)$. Hence $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ contains the four characters $\chi_{s,1} \otimes 1$, $\chi_{s,1} \otimes q$, $\chi_{s,q} \otimes 1$, and $\chi_{s,q} \otimes q$, corresponding to the four unipotent characters of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ by their degrees. Since $C_G(s)$ is a split Levi subgroup of $G = \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the characters in $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ are Harish-Chandra induced from the characters of $\mathcal{E}(C_G(s), [s])$ by Theorem 7.3.

Let $z$ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $\text{GL}_1(q)$. We identify the maximally split torus $L_0$ of $G$ with the direct product $\text{GL}_1(q) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}_1(q)$, so that $L_0 = \{(z^i, z^j, z^k, z^l) \mid 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq q - 1\}$. Let $\psi$ be the linear complex character of $L_0$ defined by $\psi(z^i, z^j, z^k, z^l) = (-1)^{k+i}$. Then the Harish-Chandra series of $(L_0, \psi)$ is exactly $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$. Note that $W_G(L_0, \psi)$ is generated by the two permutation matrices corresponding to the transpositions $(1,2)$ and $(3,4)$.

Now let $\tilde{G} = \text{SL}_4(q)$ and $\tilde{L}_0 = \tilde{G} \cap L_0$. The restriction $\tilde{\psi}$ of $\psi$ to $\tilde{L}_0$ is cuspidal and irreducible. However, $W_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{L}_0, \tilde{\psi})$ is a dihedral group of order 8, since $\tilde{\psi}$ is also invariant under the simultaneous exchange of the first two with the last two coordinates. Thus $R_{\tilde{L}_0}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\psi})$ has exactly five irreducible constituents, one occurring with multiplicity 2. These characters also occur as the irreducible constituents of the restrictions to $\tilde{G}$ of the elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$, since the restriction of $R_{L_0}^{G}(\psi)$ to $\tilde{G}$ equals $R_{\tilde{L}_0}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\psi})$ (see, e.g., [31, Proposition 13.22]).
It follows from Clifford theory that the two characters $\chi_{s,1 \otimes q}$ and $\chi_{s,q \otimes 1}$ of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ restrict to the same irreducible character of $\tilde{G}$, whereas each of the other two elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ splits into two conjugate irreducible characters of $\tilde{G}$.

Since only the 2-dimensional irreducible character of $W_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{L}_0, \tilde{\psi})$ is induced from a proper subgroup, there is at most one irreducible constituent of $R_{\tilde{L}_0}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\psi})$ which is Harish-Chandra imprimitive. In fact, the restriction of $\chi_{s, 1 \otimes q}$ to $\tilde{G}$ is imprimitive, being Harish-Chandra induced from an irreducible character of degree $q$ of the Levi subgroup $C_{\tilde{G}}(s)$ of $\tilde{G}$. Thus the other four irreducible constituents of $R_{\tilde{L}_0}^{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{\psi})$ are Harish-Chandra primitive (hence primitive by Theorem 6.1).

Let $\bar{s}$ denote the image of $s$ in $\text{PGL}_4(q)$. The irreducible constituents of the restriction of the elements of $\mathcal{E}(G, [s])$ to $\tilde{G}$ are contained in $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{G}, [\bar{s}])$ (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 11.7]). Thus, unlike for groups arising from algebraic groups with connected center, a rational Lusztig series can contain primitive and imprimitive characters at the same time.
CHAPTER 10

Exceptional groups

In addition to the classical groups introduced in Section 9.1, we here investigate exceptional groups of Lie type. The ground field $K$, assumed to be algebraically closed as always, is now allowed to have any characteristic $\ell \geq 0$, but different from the defining characteristic of the group considered. By a character we always mean a $K$-character.

10.1. The exceptional groups of type $E$ and $F$

Assume that $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Let $G$ be a simple adjoint algebraic group with a Dynkin diagram of type $E$ or $F$. Moreover, we assume that $F$ is a Frobenius morphism of $G$ such that, in the respective cases, $G := G^F$ is a finite group of type $E_i(q)$, $i = 6, 7, 8, 2E_6(q)$ or $F_4(q)$. In the cases $E_8(q)$ and $F_4(q)$, the group $G$ is simple. In the other cases, the commutator subgroup $G'$ of $G$ is simple.

Since $G$ is adjoint, its center is trivial and we may thus apply Theorem 8.4. By this and Theorem 7.3, in order to find the Harish-Chandra imprimitive irreducible characters of $G$, we have to determine the semisimple elements $s \in G^*$ such that $C_{G^*}(s)$ is not contained in any proper split $F$-stable Levi subgroup of $G^*$. This property only depends on the $G^*$-conjugacy class of $C_{G^*}(s)$, hence is a property of the semisimple class type of $s$. (Two semisimple elements $s_1, s_2 \in G^*$ belong to the same class type, if and only if $C_{G^*}(s_1)$ and $C_{G^*}(s_2)$ are conjugate in $G^*$; see [45, Subsection 4.2].) The semisimple conjugacy classes of the groups considered here have been determined explicitly by Shoji [111] and Shinoda [109] for type $F_4$, and by Fleischmann and Janiszczak [38, 39] for type $E$.

The semisimple elements in question can be read off from the tables by Fleischmann and Janiszczak as follows. These tables give, for each semisimple element $s \in G^*$, the order of $Z^\circ(C_{G^*}(s))^F$ as a polynomial $f_s(q)$ in $q$. (As in the proof of Theorem 7.3 we write $Z^\circ(C_{G^*}(s))$ for the connected component of the centre of $C_{G^*}(s)$.) Then $C_{G^*}(s)$ is contained in a proper split $F$-stable Levi subgroup of $G^*$, if and only if $f_s(q)$ is divisible by $q - 1$ (as a polynomial in $q$). To see this, first note that the split $F$-stable Levi subgroups of $G^*$ are exactly the centralizers
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of split tori, i.e., $F$-stable tori of $G^*$ whose order polynomial is of the form $(X - 1)^a$ for some non-negative integer $a$ (see [17] Section 3.D); for the concept of order polynomial see [17, Définition 1.9].) If $Z^*(C_G^*(s))$ contains a split torus $S^*$, then $C_G^*(s)$ is contained in the split $F$-stable Levi subgroup $C_G^*(S^*)$. On the other hand, if $C_G^*(s)$ is contained in the split $F$-stable Levi subgroup $L^*$, then $Z^*(L^*)$ is contained in $Z^*(C_G^*(s))$ and so the latter group contains a split torus.

10.2. Explicit results on some exceptional groups

Here we give explicit results on those exceptional groups of Lie type, for which (partial) generic ordinary character tables are available in the literature. These are the groups $2B_2(q), 2G_2(q), 2F_4(q), G_2(q),$ and $3D_4(q)$. We obtain a complete classification of the imprimitive irreducible $K$-characters of these groups. The following observation essentially reduces to the case of char($K$) = 0.

**Lemma 10.1.** Suppose that char($K$) = $\ell > 0$, and let $G$ be a finite group with a split $BN$-pair of characteristic $p \neq \ell$. If the irreducible $K$-characters of every proper Levi subgroup $L$ of $G$, are liftable, then the irreducible Harish-Chandra imprimitive $K$-characters of $G$ are liftable to ordinary Harish-Chandra imprimitive characters of $G$.

**Proof.** The claim is a reformulation of Lemma 2.11(2). $\diamond$

We note that the assumption of the Lemma above is satisfied for the groups $2B_2(q), 2G_2(q), G_2(q),$ and $3D_4(q)$. The proper split Levi subgroups of the listed groups are abelian or of type $A_1$. By the results of Burkhardt [20] and James [69], the irreducible characters (in cross-characteristics) of groups of the latter type are all liftable. The group $2F_4(q)$ has a Levi subgroup $2B_2(q) \times (q - 1)$, for which the assumption on liftablity is not satisfied. The exceptions can be treated with Theorem 7.2.

If $G = G_2(q)$ or $G = 3D_4(q)$, then $G = G^F$, where the algebraic group $G$ satisfies the hypothesis of Section 8.3 (see [23, 1.19]). In these cases we can use Theorems 7.3 and 8.4. Occasionally we sketch alternative arguments for some of the results. For the groups $2B_2(q)$ and $2G_2(q)$ we use Theorem 7.2 and its converse, which is clear for these groups, since they have only one proper split Levi subgroup.

For the irreducible characters we use the notation of the original sources for the character tables. We also write $\Phi_m$ for the value at $q$ of the $m$th cyclotomic polynomial, $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m > 0$.

We begin with the Suzuki groups.
Proposition 10.2. Suppose that char($K$) = 0. Let $G = \mathbb{2}B_2(q)$ with $q = 2^{2m+1}$, $m \geq 1$ be a simple Suzuki group. Then exactly the irreducible characters of degree $q^2 + 1$ are imprimitive. They are Harish-Chandra induced from certain linear characters of a Borel subgroup of $G$. We have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q + 3$ and $q/2 - 1$ of these are imprimitive.

We now consider the simple Ree groups of characteristic 3.

Proposition 10.3. Suppose that char($K$) = 0. Let $G = \mathbb{2}G_2(q)$ with $q = 3^{2m+1}$, $m \geq 1$ be a simple Ree group (for the group $\mathbb{2}G_2(3)' \cong SL_2(8)$ see Chapter 5). Then exactly the irreducible characters of degree $q^3 + 1$ are imprimitive. They are Harish-Chandra induced from certain linear characters of a Borel subgroup of $G$. We have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q + 8$ and $(q - 3)/2$ of these are imprimitive.

We next consider the simple Ree groups of characteristic 2. The unipotent characters of these groups have been computed by Malle [96]; the complete character table is available in CHEVIE [45].

Proposition 10.4. Let $G = \mathbb{2}F_4(q)$ with $q = 2^{2m+1}$, $m \geq 1$ be a simple Ree group (of characteristic 2).

1. Suppose that char($K$) = 0. Using the CHEVIE notation for irreducible characters of $G$, and denoting the maximally split torus of $G$ by $T_1$, Table 7 lists the imprimitive irreducible characters of $G$. The column headed “Levi” indicates the smallest Levi subgroup of $G$ of which the irreducible characters are Harish-Chandra induced.

   We have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q^2 + 4q + 17$, and $9/16q^2 + 11/8q - 5$ of these are imprimitive.

2. Suppose that char($K$) = $\ell > 2$. If $\ell | q - \sqrt{2q} + 1$, every imprimitive irreducible $K$-character of $G$ is liftable. Otherwise, there is a series of $(q - 2)/2$ non-liftable imprimitive $K$-characters of degree $\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_4\Phi_6\Phi_12$. These characters are $\ell$-modular constituents of the ordinary characters $\chi_{25}$ (notation from Table 4), and arise by Harish-Chandra induction from irreducible $K$-characters of degree $q^2 - 1$ of the Levi subgroup $\mathbb{2}B_2(q) \times (q - 1)$.

Proof. (1) We use the notation of Shinoda [110] and Malle [97] for the maximal tori of $G$. Four of them, $T_1, \ldots, T_4$ lie in proper Levi subgroups of $G$. The corresponding irreducible Deligne-Lusztig characters are the characters $\chi_{28}, \chi_{34}, \chi_{36}$, and $\chi_{35}$, respectively.

   Multiplying the trivial character of $SL_2(q)$ with a non-trivial character of the torus $q - 1$ we obtain an irreducible character of the Levi subgroup $SL_2(q) \times (q - 1)$ whose Harish-Chandra induction is a character of type $\chi_{26}$. Similarly, the Steinberg character of $SL_2(q)$ gives rise to the characters of type $\chi_{27}$. In exactly the same manner we obtain
the characters \( \chi_2, \chi_5, \chi_3 \) and \( \chi_4 \) from the unipotent characters of the Suzuki group \( 2B_2(q) \).

The other irreducible characters of \( G \) are primitive, since their degrees do not match any product of the index of a proper parabolic subgroup of \( G \) with the degree of an irreducible character of the corresponding Levi subgroup. This has been checked using CHEVIE.

(2) The decomposition numbers of the Suzuki groups \( 2B_2(q) \) in odd characteristic have been determined by Burkhardt [21]. All irreducible \( K \)-characters of \( 2B_2(q) \) are liftable unless \( \ell \mid q - \sqrt{2q} + 1 \).

In the latter case, there is a unique non-liftable irreducible \( K \)-character, which is cuspidal and has degree \( q^2 - 1 \). Multiplying this with a non-trivial character of the cyclic factor \( q - 1 \) of \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \), we obtain an irreducible, cuspidal \( K \)-character of \( G \) through Harish-Chandra induction (see Theorem [7.2]). This yields \((q - 2)/2 \) distinct imprimitive irreducible \( K \)-characters of \( G \) of the asserted degree; these characters are non-liftable, as \((q + 1)^3 \) does not divide the order of \( G \).

The above result is also true for the smallest of the characteristic 2 Ree groups \( 2F_4(2) \), in the sense that none of its irreducible characters is Harish-Chandra induced. This fact can easily be checked with the Atlas [26]. There are of course imprimitive irreducible characters of \( 2F_4(2) \), whose block stabilizer is the Tits group \( 2F_4(2)' \). For the latter group see Proposition [3.1].

Table 1. Imprimitive, ordinary irreducible characters of Ree’s groups \( 2F_4(q) \), \( q = 2^{2m+1} \), \( m \geq 1 \)

| Char. | Degree | Number | Levi |
|-------|--------|--------|------|
| \( \chi_28 \) | \( \Phi_2^2\Phi_6^2\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{10}(q - 2)(q - 8) \) | \( T_1 \) |
| \( \chi_34 \) | \( \Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_4^2\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{10}q(q - 2) \) | \( \text{SL}_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_35 \) | \( \Phi_1\Phi_2^2\Phi_6^2\Phi_4\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{5}(q - 2)(q - \sqrt{2q}) \) | \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_36 \) | \( \Phi_1\Phi_2^2\Phi_6^2\Phi_4\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{5}(q - 2)(q + \sqrt{2q}) \) | \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_26 \) | \( \Phi_2\Phi_4^2\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{3}(q - 2) \) | \( \text{SL}_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_27 \) | \( q\Phi_2\Phi_4^2\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{3}(q - 2) \) | \( \text{SL}_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_22 \) | \( \Phi_2^2\Phi_4\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{3}(q - 2) \) | \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_25 \) | \( q\Phi_2^2\Phi_4\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{3}(q - 2) \) | \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_23 \) | \( \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2q}\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_4^2\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{3}(q - 2) \) | \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |
| \( \chi_24 \) | \( \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2q}\Phi_1\Phi_2^2\Phi_4\Phi_6\Phi_{12} \) | \( \frac{1}{3}(q - 2) \) | \( 2B_2(q) \times (q - 1) \) |

\( \Phi'_6 = q + \sqrt{2q} + 1 \), \( \Phi''_6 = q - \sqrt{2q} + 1 \)
We now investigate the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$.

**Proposition 10.5.** Suppose that $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Let $G = G_2(q)$ be a simple Chevalley of type $G_2$, where $q$ is any prime power larger than 2 (for the group $G_2(2)' \cong SU_3(3)$ see Chapter 5).

Using the notation for irreducible characters of $G$ introduced by Chang and Ree in [24], Tables 2 and 3 list the imprimitive irreducible characters of $G$. The column headed “Levi” indicates the smallest Levi subgroup of $G$ of which the irreducible characters are Harish-Chandra induced.

If $q$ is odd and not divisible by 3, we have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q^2 + 2q + 9$. For $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)$, there are $7/12 q^4 + 1/3 q - 71/12$ imprimitive irreducible characters, whereas for $q \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3)$, there are $7/12 q^4 + 1/3 q - 17/4$ of them.

If $q$ is a power of 3, we have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q^2 + 2q + 8$, and there are $7/12 q^4 + 1/3 q - 17/4$ imprimitive irreducible characters.

If $q$ is even, we have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q^2 + 2q + 8$. If $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)$, there are $7/12 q^4 + 1/3 q - 14/4$ imprimitive irreducible characters, whereas if $q \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3)$, there are $7/12 q^4 + 1/3 q - 3$ of them.

**Proof.** The irreducible characters of $G$ can be found in [37], if $q$ is even, in [36], if $q$ is a power of 3, and in [24], in the general case. Explicit tables are also given in [51], as well as in CHEVIE, where a dictionary is given to compare the various notations in these papers.

### Table 2. Imprimitive, ordinary irreducible characters of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$, $q$ odd

| Char. | Degree | Number $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)$ | Number $q \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3)$ | Levi |
|-------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| $X_1$ | $\Phi_2^3 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q^2 - 8q + 19)$ | $\frac{1}{12}(q^2 - 8q + 15)$ | $(q - 1)^2$ |
| $X_{a,b}$ | $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q^2 - 2q + 1)$ | $\frac{1}{12}(q^4 - 2q + 1)$ | $GL_2(q)$ |
| $X'_{1a}$ | $\Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 5)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 5)$ | $GL_2(q)$ |
| $X_{1a}$ | $q \Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 5)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 5)$ | $GL_2(q)$ |
| $X'_{1b}$ | $\Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 3)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 3)$ | $GL_2(q)$ |
| $X_{1b}$ | $q \Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 3)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q - 3)$ | $GL_2(q)$ |

Finally we consider Steinberg’s triality groups $^3D_4(q)$.

**Proposition 10.6.** Suppose that $\text{char}(K) = 0$. Let $G = ^3D_4(q)$ be a Steinberg triality group, where $q$ is any prime power. Using the notation for irreducible characters of $G$ introduced in [30], Table 4.
Table 3. Imprimitive, ordinary irreducible characters of the Chevalley groups of type $G_2(q), q > 2$ even

| Char. | Degree | Number $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ | Number $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ | Levi |
|-------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| $X_1$ | $\Phi_2^3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{12}(q^2 - 8q + 16)$ | $\frac{1}{12}(q^2 - 8q + 12)$ | $(q-1)^2$ |
| $X_a, X_b$ | $\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q^2 - 2q)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q^4 - 2q)$ | $\text{GL}_2(q)$ |
| $X_{1a}$ | $\Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 4)$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 2)$ | $\text{GL}_2(q)$ |
| $X_{1a}$ | $q\Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 4)$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 2)$ | $\text{GL}_2(q)$ |
| $X_{1b}$ | $\Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 2)$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 2)$ | $\text{GL}_2(q)$ |
| $X_{1b}$ | $q\Phi_2 \Phi_3 \Phi_6$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 2)$ | $\frac{1}{3}(q - 2)$ | $\text{GL}_2(q)$ |

lists the imprimitive irreducible characters of $G$. The column headed “Levi” indicates the smallest Levi subgroup of $G$ of which the irreducible characters are Harish-Chandra induced.

If $q$ is even, we have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 5$, and of these, $$\frac{7}{12}q^4 + \frac{1}{6}q^3 - \frac{5}{6}q^2 - \frac{2}{3}q - 3$$ are imprimitive. If $q$ is odd, we have $|\text{Irr}(G)| = q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q + 6$, and of these, $$\frac{7}{12}q^4 + \frac{1}{6}q^3 - \frac{5}{6}q^2 - \frac{2}{3}q - \frac{17}{4}$$ are imprimitive.

**Proof.** There are three maximal tori lying in proper Levi subgroups, namely $T_0$, $T_1$ and $T_2$ (in the notation of [30]). The corresponding irreducible Deligne-Lusztig characters are the characters named $\chi_6$, $\chi_8$, and $\chi_{11}$, respectively.

The characters of type $\chi_{3,1}$ and $\chi_{3,St}$ are obtained by Harish-Chandra inducing suitable characters of $T_0$, the maximally split torus of $G$. If $\lambda$ is such a linear character of $T_0$, we have $R^G_{T_0}(\lambda) = \chi_1 + \chi_{St}$, with $\chi_1$ of type $\chi_{3,1}$ and $\chi_{St}$ of type $\chi_{3,St}$. If $L$ denotes the Levi subgroup $\text{SL}_2(q^3) \times (q - 1)$, then $R^L_{T_0}(\lambda) = \psi_1 + \psi_{St}$, with $\psi_1$ of degree $1$ and $\psi_{St}$ of degree $q^3$. It follows that $\chi_1 = R^G_L(\psi_1)$ and $\chi_{St} = R^G_L(\psi_{St})$. The assertion for the characters of type $\chi_{5,1}$ and $\chi_{5,St}$ is proved similarly.

The numbers of the irreducible characters of each type can be found in [30].

The other irreducible characters of $G$ are primitive, since their degrees do not match any product of the index of a proper parabolic
subgroup of $G$ with the degree of an irreducible character of the corresponding Levi subgroup. This has been checked using CHEVIE \[45\].

\[\diamond\]

Table 4. Imprimitive, ordinary irreducible characters of Steinberg’s triality groups $^3D_4(q)$

| Char. | Degree | Number $q$ even | Number $q$ odd | Levi |
|-------|--------|-----------------|----------------|------|
| $\chi_6$ | $\Phi_2^2\Phi_3\Phi_6^2\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{12}(q^4 - 4q^3 + 2q^2 - 2q + 12)$ | $\frac{1}{12}(q^4 - 4q^3 + 2q^2 - 2q + 15)$ | $(q^3 - 1) \times (q - 1)$ |
| $\chi_8$ | $\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_3\Phi_6^2\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(q^4 - 2q)$ | $\frac{1}{4}(q^4 - 2q + 1)$ | SL$(q) \times (q^3 - 1)$ |
| $\chi_{11}$ | $\Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_3^2\Phi_6\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(q^4 - 2q^3)$ | $\frac{1}{4}(q^4 - 2q^3 + 1)$ | SL$(q^3) \times (q - 1)$ |
| $\chi_{3,1}$ | $\Phi_2\Phi_3\Phi_6\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q - 2)$ | $\frac{1}{2}(q - 3)$ | SL$(q^3) \times (q - 1)$ |
| $\chi_{5,St}$ | $q^3\Phi_2\Phi_3\Phi_6^3\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{4}(q - 2)$ | $\frac{1}{4}(q - 3)$ | SL$(q^3) \times (q - 1)$ |
| $\chi_{5,1}$ | $\Phi_2\Phi_3\Phi_6^2\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q^3 - q^2 - q - 2)$ | $\frac{1}{7}(q^3 - q^2 - q - 3)$ | SL$(q) \times (q^3 - 1)$ |
| $\chi_{5,St}$ | $q\Phi_2\Phi_3\Phi_6^2\Phi_{12}$ | $\frac{1}{6}(q^3 - q^2 - q - 2)$ | $\frac{1}{6}(q^3 - q^2 - q - 3)$ | SL$(q) \times (q^3 - 1)$ |
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