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ABSTRACT

The multiwavelength properties of very red objects [VROs; \((I - K) \geq 4\)] are largely unknown since many of these sources are optically faint \((I \geq 24)\) and undetected at most wavelengths. Here we provide constraints on the X-ray (0.5–8.0 keV) properties of VROs using the 1 Ms Chandra exposure of an 8′4 × 8′4 region within the Hawaii flanking-field area containing the Hubble Deep Field North (HDF-N). We find that VROs detected in the hard band (2.0–8.0 keV) have flat X-ray spectral slopes \((\Gamma \approx 0.9)\) and X-ray properties consistent with those expected from luminous obscured AGNs. The fraction of such sources in the \(K \leq 20.1\) VRO population is \(14^{+11}_{-3}\%\). Conversely, the average X-ray spectral slope of VROs detected in the soft band (0.5–2.0 keV) but not in the hard band is comparatively steep \((\Gamma > 1.4)\), and the X-ray emission from these sources is consistent with that expected from less energetic processes (i.e., star formation, low-luminosity AGN activity, normal elliptical galaxy emission); star formation and low-luminosity AGN activity scenarios are favored in those sources with irregular optical morphologies. Stacking analyses of the X-ray emission from VROs not individually detected at X-ray energies yield significant detections (\(\geq 99\%\) confidence level) in the soft band and in the full band (0.5–8.0 keV). We find this X-ray emission is produced predominantly by the optically brightest VROs. The simplest explanation of this result is that we have detected the average X-ray emission from nonactive VROs with low X-ray–to–optical flux ratios (\([\log (f_x/f_i) \approx -2]\)) is consistent with that expected if the majority of these VROs are \(\approx M_*^{0.5}\) elliptical galaxies. A number of VROs are also detected with mid-infrared (15 μm) and radio emission, and we provide constraints on the nature of this emission.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the properties and nature of very red objects (hereafter VROs)\(^1\) remains a challenge to observational cosmology. This is principally because VROs are often optically faint (i.e., \(I \geq 24\) ) and undetected at most wavelengths to faint limits. Although the definition of a VRO varies from study to study, it is generally accepted that a source with \((R - K) \geq 5.3\) or \((I - K) \geq 4\) is a VRO. These colors are consistent with those of a passively evolving elliptical galaxy at \(z \geq 1\) (e.g., Pozzetti & Mannucci 2000; Daddi et al. 2000). Optical and near-IR spectroscopy and morphology studies have indeed shown that \(z \geq 1\) elliptical galaxies appear to make up at least 40\%–50\% of the VRO population (e.g., Cimatti et al. 1999; Moriondo, Cimatti, & Daddi, 2000; Mannucci et al. 2001). However, submillimeter and infrared observations have also demonstrated that the VRO population contains dust-enshrouded galaxies at \(z \geq 1\) (see, e.g., Cimatti et al. 1998; Barvainis, Antonucci, & Helou 1999; Dey et al. 1999; Smail et al. 1999; Afonso et al. 2001; Pierre et al. 2001; Smith et al. 2001; Elbaz et al. 2002). These dusty VROs may dominate the extreme red end of the VRO population [i.e., \((I - K) \geq 5\) (Smail et al. 1999; Smith et al. 2002)], although the current statistics are limited. Because of the extreme faintness of VROs, the earliest studies of source densities were limited to small areas and susceptible to “cosmic variance” (e.g., see Table 1 in Scodellino & Silva 2000). Indeed, recent wide-area VRO surveys have shown evidence for source clustering (e.g., Daddi et al. 2000, 2001).

Deep ROSAT observations first showed that VROs are detectable at X-ray energies (e.g., Newsam et al. 1997; Lehmann et al. 2000, 2001). Deeper X-ray surveys performed with the Chandra X-Ray Observatory (hereafter Chandra; Weisskopf et al. 2000) have uncovered more examples of X-ray–detected VROs (e.g., Alexander et al. 2001; hereafter Paper II; Barger et al. 2001; Brandt et al. 2001b, hereafter Paper IV; Cowie et al. 2001; Hornschemeier et al. 2001, hereafter Paper II; Crawford et al. 2002; Stanford et al. 2002). In the majority of cases, this X-ray emission is consistent with that expected from obscured AGN activity. In Paper II, a 221.7 ks observation of the Chandra Deep Field North (hereafter CDF-N) was used to investigate the X-ray emission from a sample of \(K_s\)-band selected VROs. Four of the 33 sources were individually detected at X-ray energies, although only in the hard band (2.0–8.0 keV), suggesting obscured AGN activity. Conversely, stacking analyses of the X-ray–undetected VROs produced a significant average detection in only the soft band (0.5–2.0 keV). The properties of this X-ray emission were found to be consistent with those expected from normal elliptical galaxies at \(z \approx 1\) (i.e., X-ray binary and thermal gas emission), suggesting a dichotomy in the X-ray properties of VROs. However, the definition of a VRO in Paper II was \((R - K_s) \geq 5.0\), and none of the individually detected X-ray sources had \((R - K_s) \geq 5.3\).

In this study, we provide specific constraints on the X-ray properties of VROs using the stricter VRO definition of \((I - K) \geq 4\) [equivalent to \((R - K) \geq 5.3\)] and the much deeper 1 Ms observation of the CDF-N (e.g., Brandt et al. 2001a, hereafter Paper V). We also exploit the deep and extensive multiwavelength observations within the Hubble Deep Field North (HDF-N) and environs to provide constraints on the multiwavelength properties of VROs. The Galactic column density along this line of sight is \((1.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (Stark et al. 1992). We adopt the
2. VRO SAMPLE DEFINITIONS AND BASIC PROPERTIES

In this study, we have defined three VRO samples with different K-band (or equivalent) magnitude limits. The first sample includes all VROs with $HK' \leq 20.4$ detected in the $8.4' \times 8.4'$ area used in Paper VI (hereafter referred to as the reduced Hawaii flanking-field area) and is referred to as the moderate-depth VRO sample (see § 2.1). The second sample includes all VROs with $K \leq 22$ detected in the $\approx 5.3$ arcmin$^2$ region of the HDF-N itself and is referred to as the deep VRO sample (see § 2.2). These two samples are complete VRO samples and allow us to estimate the fraction of X-ray-emitting VROs within the VRO source population. The final VRO sample is an intermediate-depth, X-ray-detected VRO sample, which covers the same $8.4' \times 8.4'$ area as the moderate-depth VRO sample but only includes X-ray-detected VROs with $HK' \leq 21.4$ (see § 2.3). We have defined this sample to improve the statistics of X-ray-detected VROs and provide a more detailed understanding of the X-ray properties of VROs. We are unable to construct a reliable parent VRO sample at the $\approx 2$ mag limit of this sample without introducing a significant number of spurious sources. See Table 1 for the sample definitions. Thumbnail images of the 42 VROs used in this study are shown in Figure 1.

2.1. Moderate-Depth VRO Sample

As stated above, the area in which the moderate-depth VRO sample is defined is the reduced Hawaii flanking-field area used in Paper VI. However, to reduce the number of spurious VROs, we have further excluded sources within $17''$ of the positions of three bright $HK' < 14$ stars for which we could not reliably identify VROs. Although optical–near-IR catalogs are available for this region (Barger et al. 1999), $^3$ to retain consistency with our previous studies we have used the I- and HK'-band photometric catalogs (with $\approx 2$ mag limits of $I = 25.3$ and $HK' = 21.4$, respectively) produced in Paper VI. As this region also has V-band coverage (Barger et al. 1999), we have produced a V-band catalog of sources down to $\approx 2$ mag of $V = 26.5$ using the SExtractor photometry tool (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and assuming the "Best" magnitude criteria.

To ensure a low fraction of spurious VROs, we use the $5 \sigma$ mag limit of $HK' = 20.4$. We find 29 VROs with $(I - HK') \geq 3.7$ [equivalent to $(I - K) \geq 4.0$] and $HK' \leq 20.4$ within this $70.3$ arcmin$^2$ region, corresponding to a source density of $1500 \pm 350$ deg$^{-2}$ (see Tables 1 and 2).$^4$ We find this VRO source density to be consistent with that found in other studies (e.g., see Table 1 of Scodoggio & Silva 2000), although the scatter from study to study is large.

$^3$ Since the relationship between the $K$ band and $HK'$ band is $HK' - K = 0.13 + 0.05(I - K)$ (Barger et al. 1999), this is equivalent to $K \leq 20.1$.

$^4$ All errors are taken from Tables 1 and 2 of Gehrels (1986) and correspond to the $1 \sigma$ level; these were calculated assuming Poisson statistics.

2.2. Deep VRO Sample

The deep VRO sample covers the region of the HDF-N itself. We have used the seven-band photometric catalog of Fernández-Soto, Lanzetta, & Yahil (1999) to construct the deep VRO sample, which has a $5 \sigma$ mag limit of $K = 22$. The optical observations used in this catalog were taken with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST; Williams et al. 1996), and the near-IR observations were taken with ground-based telescopes (Dickinson et al. 2000). We find nine VROs with $(I - K) \geq 4$ and $K \leq 22$ within this $\approx 5.3$ arcmin$^2$ region (see Table 3), corresponding to a source density of $6100 \pm 2000$ deg$^{-2}$ (see Table 1).$^5$ There are few constraints on the source density of VROs at these faint K-band magnitudes, and all studies performed to date have covered small areas (e.g., see Table 5 in McCracken et al. 2000). However, our source density is in reasonable agreement with previous studies given the probable large effects of "cosmic variance."

Five sources within the deep VRO sample have K-band magnitudes and colors that fall within the moderate-depth VRO sample definition: two sources are included in both samples, and the other three sources fall just outside the VRO sample definition with the moderate-depth VRO sample photometry. These small differences in source magnitudes are to be expected given the faintness of these VROs. There are no sources within the moderate-depth VRO sample that lie within the HDF-N and are not included in the deep VRO sample; this is probably due to a statistical fluctuation, since there does not appear to be any systematic differences in the photometry of these two samples.

2.3. Intermediate-Depth, X-Ray–detected VRO Sample

The intermediate-depth, X-ray–detected VRO sample covers the same area as the moderate-depth VRO sample and includes all X-ray–detected VROs down to the $\approx 2$ mag limit of $HK' = 21.4$. We are unable to define a parent VRO sample at this magnitude limit without introducing a significant number of spurious sources. However, because of the relatively small fraction of VROs with X-ray counterparts, we significantly reduce the probability of spurious $HK'$ sources by selecting those sources with X-ray detections.

The X-ray data used to produce this sample were taken from the 1 Ms CDF-N catalogs (see Paper V), which contain point sources down to on-axis flux limits of $\approx 3 \times 10^{-17}$ and $\approx 2 \times 10^{-16}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in the soft and hard bands, respectively. Within the reduced Hawaii flanking-field area that defines this sample, there are 141 X-ray sources (see Paper VI). We show the adaptively smoothed full-band (0.5–8.0 keV) Chandra image of this area in Figure 2. These X-ray sources are matched to I- and $HK'$-band counterparts with a matching radius of 1" (in practice all sources had a matching distance less than 0.5") to be consistent with Paper VI; we would conservatively expect 0.2 spurious matches with this criterion. In total, there are 10 X-ray–detected VROs with $(I - K) \geq 4$ and $HK' \leq 21.4$, corresponding to a source density of $500 \pm 150$ deg$^{-2}$ (see Tables 1 and 4 and Fig. 2). Six of these sources are exclusively in the intermediate-depth, X-ray–detected VRO sample (the other four sources

$^5$ We converted the HST F814W-band photometry to the standard $I$ band using $I = -0.39 + AB_{814} - 0.09(AB_{606} - AB_{814})$ (Cowie, Songaila, & Barger 1999).
are also within the moderate-depth VRO sample) and have magnitudes close to the $\approx 2\sigma$ limit of $HK = 21.4$; we would expect 0.3 of these $HK$ sources to be spurious.

Only one source is an extreme VRO [i.e., $(I-K) \geq 5$], although three sources are undetected in the $I$ band and have lower limits on their $I-K$ colors. The fraction of VROs within the X-ray source population at these X-ray depths is $8 \pm 3\%$, and, with the exception of one source, all are optically faint with $I \geq 24$ (see Paper VI).

### 2.4. X-Ray–detected VROs in the Moderate-Depth and Deep VRO Samples

Four of the 29 VROs in the moderate-depth VRO sample are detected at X-ray energies, and none of the nine VROs in the deep sample is detected at X-ray energies (see Tables 1–4). All these X-ray sources were detected by WAVDETECT (Freeman et al. 2002) with a false-positive probability threshold of $10^{-7}$ in at least one X-ray band (Paper V).

However, given the comparatively low source density of VROs, we are able to search for lower significance X-ray sources associated with VROs without introducing a significant number of spurious X-ray sources. We ran WAVDETECT with a false-positive probability threshold of $10^{-4}$ and found two further X-ray sources with positions within $1\arcsec$ of sources in the moderate-depth VRO sample, as well as one X-ray source with a position within $1\arcsec$ of a source in the deep VRO sample (see Tables 1–4 and Fig. 2). We would conservatively expect a total of 0.15 spurious X-ray sources at this threshold. The X-ray–detected sources in the moderate-depth VRO sample had matching distances of less than 0.8, while the X-ray–detected source in the deep VRO sample had a matching distance of 0.9$. This larger matching distance is not unreasonable given the difficulty of source centroid determination when a low number of counts are measured. We inspected these low-significance sources to verify that they are not caused by “cosmic-ray afterglows” (Chandra X-Ray Center 2000, private communication) or background fluctuations.

With these data, we have detected X-ray emission from $21^{+12}_{-6}\%$ of the $HK \leq 20.4$ VRO population and $11^{+26}_{-9}\%$ of the $K \leq 22$ VRO population (see Table 1). All but two of these X-ray–detected sources are optically faint with $I \geq 24$.

Three of the six extreme VROs [i.e., $(I-K) \geq 5$] in the moderate-depth VRO sample are detected with X-ray emission.

Two of these sources are extremely faint X-ray sources and have irregular optical morphologies, suggesting they may be dust-enshrouded galaxies (see § 3.4.1).

### 2.5. Multiwavelength Counterparts and Source Redshifts

In addition to the extremely deep X-ray and moderately deep optical–to–near-IR coverage, the reduced Hawaii flanking-field area has the deepest feasible optical spectroscopy (e.g., Cohen et al. 2000; Dawson et al. 2001), deep 1.4 and 8.5 GHz radio observations (Richards et al. 1998; Richards 2000), and shallow submillimeter observations (Borys et al. 2002). A fraction of this region also has deep 15 $\mu$m and submillimeter coverage (Aussel et al. 1999a; Hughes et al. 1998; Barger, Cowie, & Richards 2000), and the central HDF-N region has some of the deepest multiwavelength observations taken to date (see Ferguson, Dickinson, & Williams 2000). We cross-correlated our VROs to the sources in these catalogs using a matching radius of $1\arcsec$ with the exception of the ISOCAM catalog of Aussel et al. (1999a) and the SCUBA catalogs of Hughes et al. (1998) and Borys et al. (2002); see Tables 2–4. Given the larger uncertainty of the ISOCAM and SCUBA source positions, we used matching radii of $3\arcsec$ and $10\arcsec$, respectively; with these matching distances, there is a nonnegligible probability of misassociations, and we would expect 0.5 spurious ISOCAM matches and 0.6 spurious SCUBA matches. Since all the matches to ISOCAM sources were those included in the ISOCAM supplementary catalog and, with the exception of CXO HDFN J123647.9+621019, all had weak emission ($f_{15\mu m} < 100$ $\mu$Jy), there is also an additional possibility that some of the ISOCAM sources are spurious (see Aussel et al. 1999b). With the exception of the tentative (2.2 $\sigma$) submillimeter counterpart of CXO HDFN J132701.6+621145 (see § 3.4.1), we found no matches to SCUBA sources.

All the sources in the deep VRO sample have photometric redshifts in the Fernández-Soto et al. (1999) catalog of $z = 1.0$–1.8 (see Table 3); this redshift range is consistent with that found in other VRO studies to date (e.g., Cimatti et al. 1999; Martini 2001). The comparatively blue near-IR

---

**Table 1**

| VRO Sample | Magnitude Limit$^a$ | Area$^b$ | VRO Definition | $N^d$ | Source Density$^d$ | $N_X^e$ | X-Ray Fraction$^f$ (%) | Source Density$^g$ |
|------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Moderate-depth.......................... | $HK \leq 20.4$ | 70.3     | $(I-K) \geq 4$ | 29   | $1500^{+350}_{-150}$ | 6$^b$  | 21$^+12_{-7}$         | 300$^{+200}_{-150}$ |
| Deep ..................................... | $K \leq 22.0$  | 5.3      | $(I-K) \geq 5$ | 6    | $300^{+200}_{-250}$ | 3$^b$  | 50$^+22_{-7}$         | 150$^{+150}_{-100}$ |
| Intermediate-depth, X-ray–detected .... | $HK \leq 21.4$ | 70.3     | $(I-K) \geq 4$ | 9    | $6100^{+200}_{-250}$ | 1$^b$  | 11$^+2_{-1}$          | 700$^{+250}_{-150}$ |

$^a$ For the $HK$–selected samples, the conversion to $K$-band magnitudes is $K = HK - [0.13 + 0.05(I-K)]$ (Barger et al. 1999).

$^b$ Sample area (arcmin$^2$).

$^c$ Number of VROs.

$^d$ Source density of VROs (deg$^{-2}$).

$^e$ Number of X-ray–detected VROs.

$^f$ Fraction of X-ray–detected VROs.

$^g$ Source density of X-ray–detected VROs (deg$^{-2}$).

$^h$ X-ray sources detected by WAVDETECT with false-positive probability threshold $10^{-4}$.

$^i$ X-ray sources detected by WAVDETECT with false-positive probability threshold $10^{-7}$.

---

6 The reduced Hawaii flanking-field area also has additional optical coverage (e.g., Hogg et al. 2000); however, we found the Barger et al. (1999) images to provide superior resolution and depth.
colors of these sources \([J-K] < 2.3\) are consistent with those expected for elliptical galaxies at \(z = 1-2\) (Pozzetti & Mannucci 2000), and the best fitting spectral energy distribution (SED) template for the majority of these sources is that of an elliptical galaxy.

We are unable to provide reliable photometric redshifts for the sources in the moderate-depth VRO sample and the intermediate-depth, X-ray–detected VRO sample because of a limited number of optical–to–near-IR bands.

3. CONSTRAINTS ON THE NATURE OF VROs

In this section, we provide constraints on the nature of VROs from an analysis of their multiwavelength properties, focusing on the X-ray emission characteristics of VROs. Since most of our X-ray–detected VROs do not have redshifts, we have also defined an X-ray–detected VRO literature sample that includes all X-ray–detected VROs from the literature with spectroscopic or photometric redshifts (Fabian et al. 2000; Barger et al. 2001; Cowie et al. 2001; Lehmann et al. 2001; Crawford et al. 2002); this sample is clearly not complete and is only included to provide a comparison with the properties of our VROs.

3.1. Optical–to–Near-IR Constraints

Deep X-ray surveys have shown a correlation between the optical faintness and optical–to–near-IR color of X-ray
sources (e.g., Hasinger et al. 1999; Giacconi et al. 2001; Lehmann et al. 2001). In Paper VI, we showed that this correlation exists for the X-ray sources in the reduced Hawaii flanking-field area with greater than 99.99% confidence. In Figure 3a, we plot the mean $I-K$ colors for X-ray sources with $I\leq 23$ showing this correlation. Clearly, the majority of the X-ray–detected VROs continue this trend, suggesting that they are the extension of the X-ray source population to very red optical–to–near-IR colors. The only X-ray–detected VROs that do not follow this trend are the two ($I-K$) $> 5.5$ VROs that may be dust-enshrouded galaxies and different from the majority of the X-ray source population (see §3.4.1). In Figure 3b, we show the mean redshifts for X-ray sources with $I\leq 23$ and compare them with those expected for $M_B^*$ galaxies; we determined $M_B^*$ using the $M_B^*$ magnitudes given in Folkes et al. (1999) and the $B-I$ colors given in Mannucci et al. (2001). The redshifts of our X-ray–undetected VROs are $z \approx 1–2$ (see Table 3), consistent with the few known spectroscopic and photometric redshifts of VROs to date (e.g., Cimatti et al. 1999; Martini 2001; Willott, Rawlings, & Blundell 2001); on average, these sources appear to reside in approximately $M_B^*$ galaxies. The redshifts of the VROs in the X-ray–detected VRO literature sample cover $z = 1–3$, similar to that found for X-ray–undetected VROs.

We can also use the $I$-band observations to place further constraints on the properties of X-ray–detected VROs. In Figure 3c, we show the $V-I$ versus $I-K$ colors of all the VROs and compare them with those expected for different galaxy types. All the VROs in the deep VRO sample have

### Table 2: Moderate-Depth VRO Sample

| $\alpha$ (J2000.0) | $\delta$ (J2000.0) | $I$ | $HK$ | $I-K$ | $V$ | $K$ |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|
| 12 36 13.36        | +62 17 15.0     | 24.8| 19.9 | 5.2   | 26.5|     |
| 12 36 14.55        | +62 13 44.0     | 23.8| 20.0 | 4.1   | 26.0|     |
| 12 36 18.73        | +62 16 01.6     | 24.5| 20.0 | 4.7   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 20.49        | +62 12 37.5     | 24.7| 20.4 | 4.6   | 27.0|     |
| 12 36 20.93        | +62 16 07.3     | 23.9| 20.2 | 4.0   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 24.14        | +62 09 11.5     | 24.6| 20.3 | 4.6   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 25.32        | +62 10 35.8     | 24.0| 20.2 | 4.1   | 25.0|     |
| 12 36 27.63        | +62 15 33.9     | 24.0| 19.8 | 4.5   | 26.3|     |
| 12 36 30.75        | +62 15 13.5     | 24.3| 20.2 | 4.4   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 34.24        | +62 14 00.4     | 24.1| 19.6 | 4.8   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 35.73        | +62 10 32.9     | 23.7| 19.9 | 4.1   | 27.5|     |
| 12 36 38.83        | +62 14 51.7     | 25.2| 20.2 | 5.2   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 40.89        | +62 15 04.9     | 23.8| 20.1 | 4.0   |     |     |
| 12 36 41.37        | +62 11 29.7     | 22.6| 18.5 | 4.4   |     |     |
| 12 36 41.41        | +62 11 42.6     | 23.6| 19.4 | 4.5   | 24.1| R, Z|
| 12 36 42.99        | +62 09 58.9     | 25.7| 19.8 | 6.2   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 43.91        | +62 16 21.0     | 24.2| 19.9 | 4.7   | 25.4|     |
| 12 36 44.12        | +62 12 44.9     | 24.2| 19.7 | 4.8   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 53.79        | +62 11 18.0     | 24.8| 20.2 | 4.9   | >26.5|     |
| 12 36 58.80        | +62 10 22.6     | 24.1| 20.4 | 4.0   | 26.5|     |
| 12 37 01.57        | +62 11 46.1     | 24.8| 19.5 | 5.6   | >26.5| X, R, I, Z|
| 12 37 03.75        | +62 13 52.0     | 23.4| 19.5 | 4.2   |     | I    |
| 12 37 05.67        | +62 11 13.7     | 25.7| 20.1 | 5.9   | >26.5|     |
| 12 37 07.20        | +62 14 08.1     | 25.0| 20.4 | 5.0   | 26.3| X, R|
| 12 37 11.17        | +62 09 33.1     | 23.1| 19.4 | 4.1   | >26.5|     |
| 12 37 12.08        | +62 10 50.8     | 23.6| 19.7 | 4.2   | 25.0|     |
| 12 37 12.46        | +62 09 44.4     | 24.8| 20.2 | 4.9   | >26.5|     |
| 12 37 14.04        | +62 09 16.8     | 23.9| 20.2 | 4.0   | 25.8| X    |
| 12 37 23.88        | +62 14 00.5     | 24.3| 20.3 | 4.2   | >26.5|     |

Note.—Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

$^a$ Optical coordinates.

$^b$ Vega-based magnitude.

$^c$ Calculated $I-K$ color. The $HK$-band magnitudes are converted to $K$-band magnitudes following Barger et al. 1999 (see Table 1).

$^d$ Source has a multiwavelength counterpart: (X) X-ray counterpart (see Table 4), (R) radio counterpart (Richards et al. 1998; Richards 2000), (I) mid-infrared counterpart (Aussel et al. 1999a), and (Z) spectroscopic redshift (Cohen et al. 2000; Dawson et al. 2001).

$^e$ Source used in stacking analysis (see §3.3.1).

$^f$ Source is detected in the $I'$-band, but a magnitude could not be accurately determined because of the close proximity of another source.

---

$^7$ We converted the $HST$ F606W-band photometry to the standard $V$ band using $V = 0.16 + AB_{0.6} + 0.4(AB_{0.6} - AB_{1.2})$ (Cowie et al. 1999).
V-band detections, and almost all lie within the region between the Sa and E galaxy tracks. The only X-ray-detected source in the deep VRO sample appears to be an elliptical galaxy in the HST images and lies between the predicted tracks for \( z \approx 1 \) Sa and E galaxies (see § 3.2.2 and Figs. 3c and 3d). Because of the shallower \( V \)-band coverage of the moderate-depth VRO sample, only 15 of the 29 sources are detected, restricting the constraints we can place on the host galaxy types of these VROs. With the exception of the two \((I - K) > 5.5\) VROs, which are very faint in the optical band and may be dust-enshrouded galaxies (see § 3.4.1), all the X-ray–detected VROs are also detected in the \( V \)-band; however, the average \( V - I \) colors of these sources are consistent with those found for the X-ray–undetected VROs with \( V \)-band detections. Unfortunately, the depth and resolution of these images are not sufficient to determine accurately the host galaxy types of these sources.

### 3.3. X-Ray Constraints for X-Ray–detected VROs

Key distinctions between AGNs and “normal” galaxies can be made at X-ray energies. AGNs are generally distinguishable by having moderate-to-high X-ray luminosities, high X-ray-to-optical flux ratios, and, in the case of obscured AGNs, flat X-ray spectral slopes. In Figure 4a, we show the hard-band flux versus the X-ray band ratio, defined as the ratio of hard-band to soft-band count rate, for all the X-ray–detected VROs. The range of spectral slopes for the nine VROs detected in the hard band is \(-0.3 < \Gamma < 1.5\), and the origin of the X-ray emission from these sources is almost certainly obscured AGN activity (see § 3.2.1). There are, however, four VROs undetected in the hard band; we argue below that at least some of these sources are not obscured AGNs (see § 3.2.2).

#### 3.2.1. Hard-Band-detected VROs

The constraints on the X-ray spectral slope for the nine hard-band–detected VROs are poor in most cases. However, we can derive an average X-ray spectral slope by stacking the individual sources using the stacking technique presented in § 3.3 of Paper VI. We find a mean band ratio of \( 0.94 \pm 0.07 \), corresponding to a mean spectral slope of \( \Gamma \approx 0.9 \). This is very similar to that found for the optically faint X-ray source population (Paper VI), suggesting that these X-ray–detected VROs are simply the extension of the optically faint X-ray source population to very red optical–to-near-IR colors. Such a flat X-ray spectral slope is strongly indicative of obscured AGN activity and, assuming the observed X-ray spectral slope is due to obscuration of \( \Gamma = 2.0 \) power-law emission, corresponds to an intrinsic absorption column density at \( z = 1 - 3 \) (the probable redshift range of these sources; see § 3.1) of \( N_H \approx (5 - 35) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). Three of the VROs are undetected in the soft band and have inverted spectra \((\Gamma < 0.0)\); these characteristics can be indicative of Compton-thick absorption. We can derive an average spectral slope for these sources by stacking the individual sources in the same manner as performed above; this gives a mean band ratio of \( 4.2^{+1.3}_{-1.0} \) corresponding to a mean spectral slope of \( \Gamma \approx -0.4 \) and an average intrinsic column density at \( z = 1 - 3 \) of \( N_H \approx (0.2 - 1.2) \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). The upper limit absorption estimate is just below that expected for Compton-thick absorption \((1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2})\). However, we note that this column density range should be considered a lower limit since additional components other than the intrinsic AGN emission (e.g., scattered AGN emission and star formation) can raise the soft-band flux.

Another key signature of AGN activity is luminous X-ray emission. In determining source luminosities, we have corrected for apparent intrinsic absorption in each VRO by assuming that the observed X-ray spectral slope is due to obscuration of \( \Gamma = 2.0 \) power-law emission; the equivalent \( z \approx 0 \) absorbing column densities for a given band ratio are...
given in Figure 4a. For those sources that do not have a measured redshift, we have assumed \( z = 1 \) and \( z = 3 \). The estimated rest-frame unabsorbed 0.5–8.0 keV luminosities of all the X-ray–detected VROs are given in Table 4. The range in X-ray luminosity for the hard-band–detected VROs is \( \approx 3 \times 10^{42} \) to \( \approx 3 \times 10^{44} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\), and two sources (CXOHDFN J123615.8+621515 and CXOHDFN J123714.0+620916) would be obscured quasars (QSOs; i.e., X-ray luminosity greater than \( 3 \times 10^{44} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\)) at \( z \approx 3 \) (see also Paper VI). Since star formation activity is rarely more luminous than \( 10^{42} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\) in the X-ray band (see, e.g., Moran, Lehnert, & Helfand 1999 and references therein), it is probable that all the hard-band–detected VROs are obscured AGNs; these results are consistent with those found in Paper II. If we assume that we have detected all luminous AGN sources in the moderate-depth VRO sample, then the fraction of such sources within the \( K \leq 20.1 \) VRO population is \( 14_{-7}^{+11}\% \).

Further evidence that these sources host AGN activity is found from their X-ray–to–optical flux ratios (see Fig. 4b). With the exception of one source, all the VROs detected in the hard band lie within the boundaries expected for typical AGNs (i.e., \( -1 < \log (f_X/f_I) < +1 \); Akiyama et al. 2000; Paper VI). The only source found to lie outside these boundaries is CXOHDFN J123615.8+621515. This source is optically blank \( (I > 25.3) \) and has \( \log (f_X/f_I) > 1 \), an inverted X-ray spectral slope \( (\Gamma < -0.3) \), and a \( z = 3 \), rest-frame, unabsorbed, 0.5–8.0 keV luminosity of \( \approx 4 \times 10^{44} \) ergs s\(^{-1}\). This source may be an obscured QSO; see § 6.2 in Paper VI for further discussion of this source.

3.2.2. Hard-Band–undetected VROs

The four X-ray–detected VROs that are undetected in the hard band are also faint in the soft band, limiting the X-ray spectral constraints we can provide. We can, however,
| α(J2000.0) | δ(J2000.0) | FB | HK | I–K | I / K | FB / SC | SC / HB | Band Ratio | Γ | FB* | SC* | HB* | z = 1 | z = 3 | Other λ | VRO Sample |
|------------|-------------|----|-----|-----|------|--------|--------|-----------|----|-----|------|------|--------|------|---------|------------|
| 12 36 15.89... | +6 25 15.5 | >25.3 & 21.4 & >4.2 & >26.5 | 81.5 ± 18.7 | <20.7 | 71.9 ± 20.7 | >3.52 | <−0.26 | 2.19 & <0.10 & 2.48 | 2.28 | 43.45 | R | I |
| 12 36 20.52... | +6 22 38.1 | 24.7 & 20.4 & 4.6 & 27.0 | 29.4 ± 7.9 | 16.3 ± 5.8 | 15.0 ± 6.3 | 0.93±0.46 | 0.88 | 0.49 & 0.09 & 0.44 | 0.40 | 7.64 | ... | I, M |
| 12 36 33.76... | +6 23 13.8 | 24.7 & 20.7 & 4.3 & >26.5 | 40.3 ± 8.3 | <9.8 | 35.6 ± 7.9 | >3.67 | <−0.29 | 1.06 & <0.05 & 1.19 | 1.11 | 21.14 | ... | I |
| 12 36 35.27... | +6 21 51.7 | 24.5 & 20.8 & 4.1 & 27.4 | 23.9 ± 7.0 | 9.9 ± 4.8 | 12.7 ± 5.8 | 1.29±0.73 | 1.40 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 4.79 | ... | I |
| 12 36 39.65... | +6 22 36.7 | >25.3 & 21.1 & >4.5 & >26.5 | 14.3 ± 6.9 | 9.5 ± 5.3 | <12.1 | <−1.29 | 1.40 | 0.19 & 0.06 | <0.33 | 0.17 | 3.17 | ... | I |
| 12 36 42.89... | +6 20 58.5b | 25.7 & 19.8 & 6.2 & >26.5 | <11.8 & 4.1 ± 2.0 | <9.8 | <2.40 | 1.40 & <0.16 & 0.02 & <0.27 | <0.15 | <2.90 | ... | M |
| 12 36 47.95... | +6 20 19.9 | >25.3 & 21.0 & >4.6 & >26.5 | 36.2 ± 8.3 | 11.6 ± 5.3 | 24.4 ± 7.2 | 2.11±0.91 | 0.10 | 0.25 & 0.07 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 17.20 | I | I |
| 12 36 51.97... | +6 22 25.3b | 23.7 & 20.3 & 4.0 & 26.9 | <7.3 & 3.6 ± 2.0 | <4.0 | <1.12 | 1.40 & <0.08 & 0.02 & <0.09 & <0.03 | ... | R, Z | D |
| 12 36 54.57... | +6 21 11.0 | 25.3 & 21.4 & 4.2 & 26.0 | 30.7 ± 7.7 & 12.1 ± 5.2 | 20.6 ± 6.7 | 1.70±0.36 | 0.28 | 0.77 & 0.07 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 13.32 | ... | I |
| 12 36 58.83... | +6 20 22.3 | 24.1 & 20.4 & 4.0 & 26.5 | 42.6 ± 8.9 | <10.3 | 39.9 ± 8.6 | >3.87 | <−0.34 | 1.20 & <0.05 | 1.41 | 1.28 | 24.39 | ... | I, M |
| 12 37 01.66... | +6 21 45.9b | 24.8 & 19.5 & 5.6 & >26.5 | 11.8 ± 4.4 | 7.2 ± 2.8 | <7.4 | <1.03 | 1.40 | 0.14 & 0.04 & <0.18 | 0.04 | ... | R, I, Z | M |
| 12 37 07.23... | +6 20 05.0 | 25.0 & 20.4 & 5.0 & 26.3 | 54.7 ± 9.3 | 24.2 ± 6.4 | 32.2 ± 7.7 | 1.35±0.43 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 16.75 | R | I, M |
| 12 37 14.07... | +6 20 17.0 | 23.9 & 20.2 & 4.0 & 25.8 | 333.2 ± 21.2 | 223.7 ± 16.8 | 111.2 ± 13.3 | 0.50±0.07 | 0.07 | 1.46 | 3.67 & 1.11 & 2.58 | 2.44 | 46.50 | ... | I, M |

- α X-ray coordinates.
- δ Vega-based magnitude.
- Calculated I–K color. The HK-band magnitudes are converted to K-band magnitudes following Barger et al. 1999 (see Table 1).
- Source counts and errors taken from Paper V for those sources detected with a false-positive probability threshold of 10⁻⁷ and taken from WAVDETECT for those sources detected with a threshold of 10⁻⁴. FB indicates full band (0.5–8.0 keV), SB indicates soft band (0.5–2.0 keV), and HB indicates hard band (2.0–8.0 keV).
- Ratio of the count rates between the 2.0–8.0 and 0.5–2.0 keV bands. The errors were calculated following the “numerical method” described in § 1.7 of Lyons 1991.
- Photon index for the 0.5–8.0 keV band calculated from the band ratio. Taken from Paper V for those sources detected with a false-positive probability threshold of 10⁻⁷. The photon indices for the X-ray sources detected with a threshold of 10⁻⁴ and those with a low number of counts have been set to Γ = 1.4, a value representative of the X-ray background spectral slope (see Paper V).
- Fluxes are in units of 10⁻¹⁵ ergs cm⁻² s⁻¹. These fluxes have been taken from Paper V, and they have not been corrected for Galactic absorption.
- Predicted rest-frame unabsorbed 0.5–8.0 keV luminosities in units of 10³⁰ ergs s⁻¹ at z = 1 and z = 3. The effect of absorption has been corrected for using the technique described in § 3.2.1.
- Source has a multiwavelength counterpart: (R) radio counterpart (Richards et al. 1998; Richards 2000), (I) mid-infrared counterpart (Aussel et al. 1999a), and (Z) spectroscopic or photometric redshift (Fernández-Soto et al. 1999; Cohen et al. 2000).
- VRO sample from which the source has been taken: (M) moderate-depth sample (see Table 2), (D) deep sample (see Table 3), and (I) intermediate-depth, X-ray–detected VRO sample.
- X-ray counterpart detected with WAVDETECT with false-positive probability threshold of 10⁻⁴. When a source is not detected in a given band an upper limit is calculated following § 3.2.1 in Paper V.
- The HK magnitude has been converted from the K-band following Barger et al. 1999 (see Table 3).
- The rest-frame 0.5–8.0 keV luminosity is given at the source redshift and is calculated assuming Γ = 2.0 and no intrinsic absorption (see § 3.2.2).
derive an average X-ray spectral slope by stacking the individual sources using the technique given in §3.2.1. This still does not provide an average detection in the hard band, although it places a constraint on the average band ratio of less than 0.56, corresponding to $C > 1.4$. This X-ray spectral slope is considerably steeper than that found for the hard-band–detected VROs, suggesting the X-ray emission mechanisms of these sources are different. Since we have redshift determinations for two of these VROs (CXOHDNFN J123651.9+621225 and CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145), we can provide better constraints on their X-ray emission mechanism.

CXOHDNFN J123651.9+621225 (optical identification J23652.0+621226) lies within the HDF-N itself and has a photometric redshift in the Fernández-Soto et al. (1999) catalog of $z = 1.0$; three independent photometric redshift studies also place this galaxy at $z = 1.0–1.2$ (see Hughes et al. 1998). We made our own determination using the publicly available photometric redshift code HYPERZ version 1.1 (Bolzonella, Miralles, & Pelló 2000). In performing the photometric redshift fitting, we used only the Bruzual & Charlot (1993) spectral templates and allowed up to 1.6 mag of visual extinction. The best-fitting model was found to be $z = 1.00^{+0.02}_{-0.06}$ elliptical galaxy with an age of 6.5 Gyr and 0.3 mag of visual extinction; the uncertainty in the redshift determination corresponds to the 90% confidence level.

Indeed, based on optical morphology, this source is clearly an elliptical galaxy and has $V–I$ versus $I–K$ colors consistent with that of an elliptical at $z = 1$ (see Figs. 3c and 3d). Assuming $\Gamma = 2.0$, the rest-frame 0.5–2.0 keV luminosity is $\approx 9 \times 10^{40}$ ergs s$^{-1}$, and the rest-frame 0.5–8.0 keV luminosity is less than $3 \times 10^{41}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. Furthermore, with a $K$-corrected $B$-band magnitude of $M_B = –20.7$, the X-ray emission from this source is consistent with that found for local elliptical galaxies (e.g., O’Sullivan, Forbes, & Ponman 2001; S. Pellegrini 2001, private communication).

10 The HYPERZ code is available at http://webast.ast.obs-mip.fr/hyperz/.
CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 (optical identification 123701.5+621146) is an optically faint microjansky radio source (Richards et al. 1999) and has a spectroscopic redshift of $z = 0.884$, based on a single emission line assumed to be [O II] $\lambda$3727 (Cohen et al. 2000).\(^\text{11}\) Adopting $\Gamma = 2.0$, the rest-frame 0.5–2.0 keV luminosity is $\approx 1 \times 10^{41}$ ergs s\(^{-1}\), and the rest-frame 0.5–8.0 keV luminosity is $\approx 4 \times 10^{41}$ ergs s\(^{-1}\). Since the irregular morphology of this source (van den Bergh et al. 2000) clearly indicates that it is not an elliptical galaxy, the X-ray emission is probably produced by either luminous star formation or low-luminosity AGN activity; we provide further constraints in \S 3.4.1.

We do not have detailed constraints for the other two hard-band–undetected VROs, CXOHDFN J123639.6+620936 and CXOHDFN J123642.8+620958. However, their irregular $HK$-band morphologies and extremely faint optical magnitudes ($I \gtrsim 25$) suggest that they may be similar to CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 and not normal elliptical galaxies. The extreme optical–to–near-IR color of CXOHDFN J123642.8+620958 ($[I−K] = 6.2$) and the lower limit optical–to–near-IR color of CXOHDFN J123639.6+620936 ($[I−K] > 4.5$) provide further support for this interpretation.

Figure 4.—Hard-band flux vs. (a) X-ray band ratio, defined as the ratio of hard-band to soft-band count rate, and (b) $I$-band magnitude. The symbols have the same meaning as in Fig. 3. The open circles and open triangles indicate the stacking analysis results for the X-ray–undetected sources from the moderate-depth VRO sample and deep VRO sample, respectively. We converted the reported X-ray fluxes and count rates in the X-ray–detected moderate-depth VRO sample and deep VRO sample, respectively. We indicate the stacking analysis results for the X-ray–undetected sources from the moderate-depth VRO sample and one of the eight X-ray–undetected VROs in the deep VRO sample that lay within 10$''$ of a high-significance X-ray source (i.e., detected with a WAVDETECT false-positive probability threshold of $10^{-7}$) or within 3$''$ of a low-significance X-ray source (i.e., detected with a WAVDETECT threshold of $10^{-4}$ but undetected with a WAVDETECT threshold of $10^{-7}$); these could be affected by the low-level wings of the Chandra point-spread function (see Tables 2 and 3). In all the stacking analyses, we used the 30 pixel aperture and restricted ACIS grade set defined in Paper IV. To determine the significance of the stacked X-ray emission, we performed Monte Carlo stacking simulations using the technique given in Appendix A of Paper IV. The Monte Carlo simulations consisted of 10,000 trials, in which we stacked 18 random positions (seven random positions in the case of the deep VRO sample) within each VRO sample area using the same photometric aperture as for the stacking analyses. We verified the significances determined from these simulations using Poisson statistics; all significant detections (i.e., $\geq 99\%$ confidence level) were found to be so with both methods. The results of the stacking analyses are given in Table 5; we have assumed $\Gamma = 2.0$ when determining all fluxes and luminosities.

3.3. X-Ray Constraints for X-Ray–undetected VROs

The majority of our VROs do not have detectable X-ray emission. We can, however, search for evidence of X-ray emission from these sources, on average, by stacking the individually undetected sources in the same manner as performed in previous studies (e.g., Papers II, IV, and VI). We conservatively excluded from the stacking analyses five of the 23 X-ray–undetected VROs in the moderate-depth VRO sample and one of the eight X-ray–undetected VROs in the deep VRO sample that lie within 10$''$ of a high-significance X-ray source (i.e., detected with a WAVDETECT false-positive probability threshold of $10^{-7}$) or within 3$''$ of a low-significance X-ray source (i.e., detected with a WAVDETECT threshold of $10^{-4}$ but undetected with a WAVDETECT threshold of $10^{-7}$); these could be affected by the low-level wings of the Chandra point-spread function (see Tables 2 and 3). In all the stacking analyses, we used the 30 pixel aperture and restricted ACIS grade set defined in Paper IV. To determine the significance of the stacked X-ray emission, we performed Monte Carlo stacking simulations using the technique given in Appendix A of Paper IV. The Monte Carlo simulations consisted of 10,000 trials, in which we stacked 18 random positions (seven random positions in the case of the deep VRO sample) within each VRO sample area using the same photometric aperture as for the stacking analyses. We verified the significances determined from these simulations using Poisson statistics; all significant detections (i.e., $\geq 99\%$ confidence level) were found to be so with both methods. The results of the stacking analyses are given in Table 5; we have assumed $\Gamma = 2.0$ when determining all fluxes and luminosities.

3.3.1. Results from the Moderate-Depth VRO Sample

The effective Chandra exposure time achieved from the stacking analyses of the moderate-depth VRO sample is 15.8 Ms. We find significant detections ($\geq 99\%$ confidence level) in the soft and full bands corresponding to average fluxes of $6.0 \times 10^{-18}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{-17}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively.

We may expect the optically brightest sources to contribute more to the stacked X-ray emission, since for a given X-ray–to–optical flux ratio, these sources would have brighter X-ray fluxes. To investigate this possibility, we performed separate stacking analyses for the $I \leq 24.1$ and $I > 24.1$ VROs; the $I = 24.1$ threshold was chosen to give equal numbers of VROs in both subsamples. The effective Chandra exposure times from these stacking analyses are similar (8.1 Ms for the $I \leq 24.1$ subsample and 7.7 Ms for

\(^{11}\) The SED of CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 provides corroborating evidence for this redshift (see \S 3.4.1).
the I > 24.1 subsample) and allow for a relatively unbiased comparison. We find significant detections in the soft and full bands and a tentative (96.4% confidence level) detection in the hard band for the I ≤ 24.1 VROs; there are no significant detections for the I > 24.1 VROs in any X-ray band (see Table 5). This clearly shows that the I ≤ 24.1 VROs are contributing the majority of the stacked X-ray emission, and deeper Chandra observations should preferentially detect the optically brightest VROs. Given the average soft-band flux (8.7 × 10^{-18} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}) and mean I-band magnitude (I = 23.7 ± 0.3) of the I ≤ 24.1 VROs, the mean X-ray–to–optical flux ratio of log (f_X/f_O) = −1.9 is consistent with that expected from normal elliptical galaxies. Furthermore, given the mean I-band magnitude for the I > 24.1 sources (I = 24.8 ± 0.6) and assuming the same X-ray–to–optical flux ratio, the expected soft-band flux of 3.3 × 10^{-18} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1} is consistent with our nondetection of the I > 24.1 VROs.

The fainter I-band magnitudes of the I > 24.1 VROs could be due to these sources lying at higher redshifts than the I ≤ 24.1 VROs. Although we do not have redshifts for the majority of these VROs, assuming they are M_{AB} elliptical galaxies the average redshifts of the I ≤ 24.1 and I > 24.1 sources are z = 1.1 and z = 1.4, respectively. At z = 1.1, the average rest-frame 0.5–2.0 and 0.5–8.0 keV luminosities of the I ≤ 24.1 VROs are 5.0 × 10^{40} and 1.2 × 10^{41} ergs s^{-1}, respectively. This X-ray emission is at the level expected from normal elliptical galaxies, although it could also be produced by star formation and low-luminosity AGN activity. At z = 1.4, the average rest-frame 0.5–2.0 keV and 0.5–8.0 keV luminosity upper limits of the I > 24.1 VROs are less than 6.2 × 10^{40} ergs s^{-1} and less than 2.1 × 10^{41} ergs s^{-1}, respectively. Both of these X-ray luminosity upper limits are higher than those determined for the I ≤ 24.1 VROs, and therefore the nondetection of X-ray emission from the I > 24.1 VROs is consistent with these sources lying at higher redshifts. Deep imaging in multiple optical–to–near-IR bands is required to determine source morphologies and photometric redshifts to test these hypotheses (see § 4.2).

3.3.2. Results from the Deep VRO Sample

The effective Chandra exposure time achieved from the stacking analyses of the deep VRO sample is 6.5 Ms. We find a significant detection (≥ 99% confidence level) in the soft band corresponding to an average flux of 6.5 × 10^{-18} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}. At the mean photometric redshift of these sources (z = 1.5 ± 0.2; see Table 3), the rest-frame 0.5–2.0 keV luminosity is 9 × 10^{40} ergs s^{-1}, the same as that found for the one X-ray–detected VRO in the deep VRO sample (CXOHDFN J123651.9+621225 at z = 1.0; see § 3.2.2). Since CXOHDFN J123651.9+621225 is the lowest redshift source in the deep VRO sample, this suggests that the individual nondetections of the other VROs are mostly due to these sources lying at higher redshifts. This result is entirely consistent with that suggested in § 3.3.1 based on the stacking analyses of X-ray–undetected sources in the moderate-depth VRO sample, suggesting that deeper Chandra observations should detect more examples of X-ray–emitting normal elliptical galaxies in the VRO population.

Since only seven VROs were used in these stacking analyses, we are unable to investigate distinctions in average X-ray properties with optical brightness or redshift.

3.4. Multiwavelength Constraints

3.4.1. Broadband SED of CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145

Most of our VROs are only detected at optical–to–near-IR wavelengths; however, CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 is remarkable in that it is detected at most of the wavelengths investigated here. This source is an extreme VRO [i.e., (I − K) ≤ 5], has an irregular morphology (van den Bergh et al. 2000), and has a spectroscopic redshift of z = 0.884 (Cohen et al. 2000; see also § 3.2.2). The extreme VRO colors and irregular morphology suggest this source may be a member of the dust-enshrouded VRO population.

In Figure 5, we compare the SED of CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 with that of the archetypal nearby dusty starburst galaxy Arp 220. The fluxes of Arp 220 have been adjusted to z = 0.884 to show the relative luminosities of both sources from radio to X-ray wavelengths; however, to produce the fit shown, we had to reduce the luminosity of the Arp 220 model by a factor of 2.2. Clearly, CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 has a similar SED to Arp 220. The bolometric luminosity of CXOHDFN J123701.6+621145 is lower than that of Arp 220, although the X-ray luminosity is ≈ 2.5 times higher (see § 3.2.2). The X-ray emission from Arp 220 is consistent with that produced by both a star formation component and a hard X-ray power-law component (Iwasawa et al. 2001); this latter component could be due to AGN emission or a population of X-ray binaries. We do not have sufficient X-ray information to determine the
origin of the X-ray emission from CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145; although we note that it is less luminous than that found for NGC 3256 (Moran et al. 1999; Lira et al. 2002), the most X-ray luminous local starburst.

The similarity of the I–K colors and X-ray properties of CXOHDNFN J123639.6+620936 and CXOHDNFN J123642.8+620958 (see § 3.2.2) with those of CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145 suggests they may also be dust-enshrouded galaxies.

3.4.2. Radio Detections of VROs

Five VROs have radio counterparts, four of which are also detected at X-ray energies. This high matching fraction between radio and X-ray sources is consistent with that found in other studies (i.e., Papers II and IV; Bauer et al. 2002a), suggesting an association between the production of X-ray and radio emission. Only three of the five VROs with radio counterparts have well-defined radio spectral slopes; two sources (123641.4+621143 and CXOHDNFN J123707.2+621408) have flat radio spectra (i.e., $\alpha_R \approx 0.3$), while a third source (CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145) has a steep radio spectrum ($\alpha_R = 0.82^{+0.09}_{-0.1}$) and is described in § 3.4.1. The origin of the radio emission in the sources with flat radio spectra can be either AGNs or recent starburst activity (see, e.g., Condon 1992; Richards 2000). The flat X-ray spectrum of CXOHDNFN J123707.2+621408 favors the former case for this object. The source 123641.4+621143 is undetected in all the X-ray bands; given a full-band upper limit of $\approx 2 \times 10^{-16}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, its rest-frame 0.5–8.0 keV luminosity can be as high as $3 \times 10^{42}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ at $z = 1.524$ (Dawson et al. 2001). Since this source has a disk-like morphology, it is most likely a star-forming galaxy or a low-luminosity AGN.

3.4.3. ISOCAM Detections of VROs

Four of the 14 VROs in the ISOCAM region of Aussel et al. (1999a) have 15 $\mu$m counterparts, two of which are also detected at X-ray energies (see Tables 2 and 4). This infrared radiation can be due to either dust or starlight emission. In Figure 5, we show the SEDs of both a dusty starburst (Arp 220) and a starlight-dominated galaxy (a giant elliptical galaxy) normalized to the HK$^\prime$-band emission of CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145. The predicted 15 $\mu$m emission from starlight is an order of magnitude below that expected from the dusty starburst, and clearly the origin of the infrared radiation in CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145 is dust emission. Since CXOHDNFN J123701.6+621145 is the brightest ISOCAM-detected VRO in the HK$^\prime$-band, this suggests that starlight emission at 15 $\mu$m for HK$^\prime > 19.5$ VROs at $z \approx 1$ is less than 1 $\mu$Jy. This is 1–2 orders of magnitude fainter than the 15 $\mu$m flux limit, and the origin of the infrared emission in all the ISOCAM-detected VROs is likely to be dust emission.

3.5. VRO Clustering

Wide-area VRO studies have shown that VROs are strongly clustered (e.g., Daddi et al. 2000, 2001; McCarthy et al. 2001). The solid angles covered by our VRO samples are too small to perform a detailed analysis of source clustering; the results of a two-point correlation analysis on the moderate-depth VRO sample with the estimator of Landy & Szalay (1993) did not show significant evidence for source clustering.

We also took an alternative approach and searched for VRO overdensities in the vicinities of three known moderate-redshift (i.e., $z \approx 1$) clusters and cluster candidates (see Fig. 2). Three VROs lie within the $\approx 45''$ radius region of the cluster-candidate X-ray source CXOHDNFN J123620.0+621554 (Bauer et al. 2002b, hereafter Paper IX) when we would expect 0.9 VROs in the case of a uniform distribution. This unusual X-ray source also contains an overdensity of optically faint X-ray sources and optically faint microjansky radio sources, and it is likely to be a poor cluster at $z \gtrsim 0.7$ (see Paper IX for further discussion). We found one VRO within 45'' of the center of the $z = 0.85$ cluster CIJ 1236+6215 discovered by Dawson et al. (2001) and two VROs (excluding the VROs in the deep VRO sample) within 45'' of the center of the $z = 1.01$ cluster or group associated with the FR I radio galaxy VLA J123644.3+621133 (e.g., Richards et al. 1998; Paper IX). The evidence for VRO clustering within the vicinities of all these sources is not significant, although we are limited by statistics. If we combine these results, we find six VROs when we would expect 2.7 in the case of a uniform distribution; the evidence for VRO clustering is significant at the 94% confidence level.

4. SUMMARY AND FURTHER WORK

4.1. Summary of Main Results

We have used the 1 Ms CDF-N observation of an $8'' \times 8''$ region within the Hawaii flanking-field area to provide constraints on the X-ray emission from very red objects [VROs; $(I-K) \geq 4$]. We defined three VRO samples: a moderate-depth VRO sample ($HK' \leq 20.4$) and an inter-
mediate-depth, X-ray–detected VRO sample \((HK^* \leq 21.4)\), both covering the \(70.3\ \text{arcmin}^2\) reduced Hawaii flanking-field area, and a deep VRO sample \((K \leq 22)\) covering the \(5.3\ \text{arcmin}^2\) region of the HDF-N itself. Our main results are the following:

1. We detected X-ray emission from 13 VROs down to 0.5–2.0 and 2.0–8.0 keV point-source fluxes of \(3 \times 10^{-17}\) and \(2 \times 10^{-16}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Six \(21^{\pm12}\%\) of the 29 VROS within the moderate-depth VRO sample and one \(11^{\pm9}\%\) of the nine VROS within the deep VRO sample were detected with X-ray emission (see §§ 2.3 and 2.4).

2. The \(I–K\) color versus \(I\)-band magnitude of all the \((I–K) < 5.5\) X-ray–detected VROS follows the trend found for optically brighter \((I \leq 23)\) X-ray sources, suggesting the VROS are the \(z \geq 1\) very red analogs of the optically bright X-ray source population. The two \((I–K) < 5.5\) X-ray–detected VROS have redder \(I–K\) colors than those expected given their \(I\)-band magnitudes and may be dust-enshrouded VROS (see §§ 3.1 and 3.4.1).

3. The hard-band–detected VROS have flat X-ray spectral slopes \((\Gamma \approx 0.9)\) and X-ray properties consistent with those expected from obscured AGNs; three of these VROS are undetected in the soft band, and the absorption to the X-ray nucleus in some of these sources may be Compton thick. Assuming all the hard-band–detected VROS lie at \(z = 1–3\), they have rest-frame, 0.5–8.0 keV, unabsorbed luminosities of \(3 \times 10^{42}\) to \(5 \times 10^{44}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\); the two brightest sources would be obscured QSOs (i.e., X-ray luminosity greater than \(3 \times 10^{46}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\)) if they lie at \(z \approx 3\). Assuming these hard-band–detected VROS make up all the luminous AGNs within the moderate-depth VRO sample, the fraction of such sources in the \(HK^* \leq 20.4\) VRO population is \(14^{\pm11}\%\). Unfortunately, the depth and resolution of the optical–to–near-IR images are not sufficient to determine the host galaxy types of these sources (see § 3.2.1).

4. The hard-band–undetected VROS have steeper X-ray spectral slopes and are more likely to contain less energetic X-ray emission processes such as star formation, low-luminosity AGNs, and normal elliptical galaxy emission (i.e., X-ray binary activity and thermal gas emission). One of these sources appears to be a normal elliptical galaxy at \(z \approx 1\), while another source is a \(z = 0.884\) \((I–K) > 5.5\) VRO that has an SED very similar to that of the archetypal dusty starburst galaxy Arp 220. The X-ray emission from this source is likely to be due to either luminous star formation or low-luminosity AGN activity. The irregular morphologies and optical–to–near-IR colors of the other hard-band–undetected VROS are similar to those of the \(z = 0.884\) extreme VRO, and the X-ray emission from these sources is also likely to be produced by the same processes. Assuming the hard-band–undetected sources with irregular morphologies in the moderate-depth VRO sample are luminous star-forming galaxies, the fraction of such sources within the \(K \leq 20.1\) VRO population is \(7^{\pm8}\%\) or larger (see §§ 3.2.2 and 3.4.1).

5. Stacking analyses of VROS not individually detected with X-ray emission produced significant detections \((\geq 99\%\) confidence level) in the 0.5–2.0 keV band for both the moderate-depth and deep VRO samples and a significant detection in the 0.5–8.0 keV band for the moderate-depth VRO sample. These average X-ray detections are consistent with the emission expected from normal elliptical galaxies, star formation, or low-luminosity AGN activity at \(z \approx 1\). We found that the optically brightest VROS contribute the majority of this X-ray emission. The simplest explanation of these results is that we have detected the X-ray emission from nonactive VROS with low X-ray–to–optical flux ratios \([\log (f_X/f_\text{opt}) \approx -2]\). This is consistent with expectations if the majority of these VROS are \(\approx M_7^{el}\) elliptical galaxies (see § 3.3).

6. Five VROS have radio counterparts, and four of these are detected with X-ray emission. This high matching rate between radio and X-ray sources is consistent with that found from other deep X-ray and radio studies (see § 3.4.2).

7. Four of the 14 VROS within the ISOCAM HDF-N region have \(15\ \mu m\) counterparts, and two of these are detected with X-ray emission. The origin of the infrared radiation from all these ISOCAM sources is likely to be dust emission (see § 3.4.3).

4.2. Further Work

Given our results, we can provide suggestions for further work to extend the understanding of X-ray emission from VROS. Arguably the most important issue to address is identifying the differences between X-ray–detected and X-ray–undetected VROS. There are three main approaches that may provide useful constraints in this regard: (1) galactic host types and environments, (2) redshift distributions, and (3) large-scale clustering properties.

The determination of galactic host types and environments will be most effectively pursued with high-resolution deep (i.e., \(I \approx 27\)) optical near-IR imaging; the excellent angular resolution of HST is preferable in this regard. Since the photometric redshift technique will probably be the only viable method of determining the redshifts for most VROS, deep imaging in multiple optical–to–near-IR bands will be necessary to determine redshift distributions. However, given that many of the X-ray–detected VROS are probably AGNs, deep optical spectroscopy may successfully detect high equivalent width emission lines in some sources. It is becoming clear that VROS are strongly clustered, although the clustering properties of X-ray–detected VROS are almost completely unknown. The advantage of an investigation into the clustering properties of X-ray–detected VROS is that it can be undertaken with comparatively moderate depth optical–to–near-IR imaging (i.e., \(I \approx 25\)). However, to place useful constraints and construct statistically significant samples, imaging observations over a large area (i.e., a few hundred arcmin\(^2\)) would be required.

The 1 Ms Chandra observation used in the present study has shown that VROS without obvious AGN activity are probably only detected at faint X-ray fluxes (i.e., 0.5–2.0 keV fluxes less than \(10^{-16}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and are therefore only detectable in extremely deep X-ray surveys. Our ultimate aim with the CDF-N survey is to reach a Chandra exposure time of \(\approx 5\) Ms. Such an observation should reach 0.5–2.0 keV fluxes of \(\approx 6 \times 10^{-18}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) and will be deep enough to detect a large number of VROS without obvious AGN activity.
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