Urban Women Farmers’ Involvement in Cassava Tuber Processing in Imo State, Nigeria

Nwakwasi R.N., P.C.Umunakwe¹, M.N. Okeke², E.O.Onwuma¹ and S. Nwaozuzu¹

¹Department of Agricultural Extension, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 1526, Owerri, Nigeria.
²Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Igbariam Campus (COOU), Anambra State, Nigeria.

Received: 15 August 2020 / Accepted 30 Oct. 2020 / Publication date: 20 Nov. 2020

ABSTRACT

The rising popularity of cassava as a staple crop has raised its acceptance and cultivation among many households in sub-Saharan Africa. The study investigated the roles urban women played in cassava processing in Imo State, Nigeria. Multistage sampling procedure was used to select a sample of 100 rural women farmers. Data were collected from the respondents using interview schedule. Data were analysed using percentage and mean statistic. The major cassava products available in the area included fufu (91.7%), garri (90.5%), cassava balls (70%) and abacha/tapioca (65.2%). The roles they played included pounding (98.0%), peeling (97.8%), frying (97.0%), cooking (96.7%), sifting (95.0%) and boiling (95.0%). The constraints faced by the women included poor market demand (\(\bar{X} = 3.5\)), unstable power supply (\(\bar{X} = 3.4\)), inadequate information on processing (\(\bar{X} = 3.3\)), the long period of time spent on processing (\(\bar{X} = 3.4\)) and inadequate finance (\(\bar{X} = 3.3\)). It was recommended that credit facilities among other things should be provided to the women to increase their involvement in cassava processing.
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Introduction

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) is a tropical root crop, originally from Amazonia, that provides the staple food of an estimated 800 million people worldwide. Grown almost exclusively by low-income smallholders, it is one of the few staple crops that can be produced efficiently on a small-scale without the need for mechanization or purchased inputs and in marginal areas with poor soils and unpredictable rainfall (Food and Agriculture Organization, FAO, 2013). In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) it is grown mainly by small-holders farmers often on marginal lands where it is productive even on poor soils and under drought conditions (Naziri et al., 2014). This has made it a vital crop for both food security and income generation in developing countries. The International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) and Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) (2000) reported that cassava has historically played an important famine-prevention role in Eastern and Southern Africa where maize is the preferred food staple and drought is a recurrent problem.

Africa is the largest cassava producing region in the world and Nigeria is the world’s leading producer, accounting for about 55% of world’s production (James & Faleye, 2015). The sub-Saharan African region is one of the most producing region in Africa. It produced about 80.9 million metric tons of cassava in 2012, accounting for 54.2% of African global production. Nigeria alone produced 2016 about 4,548 million tons in 2016 (FAO, 2017). Although cultivated mostly in rural areas especially in developing countries, the rising importance of urban farming has seen many urban dwellers cultivating cassava. According to FAO (2013) this resulted from the rising urban poverty and hike in the cost of cereals which has led to intensification in the production and consumption of cassava so as to boost urban food security in Africa.

Cassava utilization patterns vary considerably globally. In Africa, much of the cassava tubers produced (88%) is used for human food, with over 50% used in the form of processed products (Westby, 2002). They are consumed as fresh roots (which may be eaten raw, roasted in open fire or boiled in water or oil), dried roots (which are stored or marketed as chips, balls and flour), pasty products...
(uncooked and steamed pastes), granulated products (garri, attieke and tapioca) and cassava leaves (IFAD & FAO, 2000). Besides, cassava tubers have many industrial uses. Echebiri and Edaba (2008) put the estimates of industrial cassava use in Nigeria as 16.0% as chips in animal feed, 5.0% processed into syrup concentrate for soft drinks and less than 1.0% processed into high quality cassava flour used in biscuit and confectionery, dextrin, adhesives, starch and hydrolysates for pharmaceuticals and seasonings.

In spite of its agronomic and economic importance, cassava production faces numerous challenges. The tubers are bulky with about 70.0% moisture content and therefore transportation of the tubers to urban markets is difficult and expensive. The roots contain cyanide which is toxic to humans and livestock; and is inedible when uncooked. The tubers have a very short shelf life (3 - 4 days) which renders them unpalatable and unmarketable after harvest (Reilly et al., 2007). However, several approaches have been employed to increasing the palatability of cassava tubers and curtail the postharvest losses resulting from the perishability such as underground storage, storage in boxes with moist saw dust, storage in bags combined with use of fungicides, pruning plants before harvest, cold storage and freezing or waxing the roots. But these methods are not appropriate for large volumes of cassava tubers because they are too expensive or complicated (Sanchez et al., 2016).

Processing reduces perishability and toxicity. The International Food Information Council Foundation (2010) stated that processing converts food substances into more useful, shelf-stable and palatable foods or portable beverages for human consumption. Van Boekel et al. (2010) opined that it improves quality, extends shelf life, improves bioavailability, removes harmful microbes and toxins and improves the safety of food. Nyirenda et al. (2011) stated that cassava processing improves palatability, increases shelf-life, facilitates transport and most importantly, detoxifies cassava roots by removing cyanogens.

In Nigeria, women play key roles in the production, harvesting, processing and marketing of agricultural produce. They contribute about 58.0% of the total agricultural labour in Southwest, 67.0% in the Southeast and 58.0% in the Central zones (Onyemuwa, 2012). Research focus has dwelt so much on the activities of rural farmers unlike their urban counterparts, thus creating a gap in knowledge. This study was designed to fill the gap by identifying the roles urban women farmers played in cassava processing in Imo State, Nigeria.

Objectives of the study

The main objective of the study was to identify the roles of urban women farmers in cassava processing among rural women farmers in Imo State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study sought to:
1. Describe the socioeconomic characteristics of the women farmers;
2. Identify processed cassava products in the area;
3. Ascertain the level of involvement of the women in cassava processing;
4. Determine the roles the women performed in cassava processing; and
5. Identify the constraints they faced in cassava processing in the area.

Methodology

The study was conducted in Imo State, Nigeria. It is located within longitude 5°29’N 5°58’N and longitude 7°20’E 7°03’E (en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Imo_State). It has boundaries with Abia State on the east, River Niger and Delta Stat to the west, Anambra State on the north and Rivers State to the south. It has a population of 4.7 million people and occupies an area of 5,530 Km². It is divided into 27 local government areas. The area has two distinct seasons – rainy which begins in March and ends in October and dry which begins in November and ends in March. The temperature of the area is usually high throughout the year with no monthly average below 70°C and annual range of about 50°C. The hottest period is between January and April just before the rain sets in. Relative humidity is about 90%, except in December to February when the harmattan brings in dry conditions. Rainfall is the most vital climatic factor in the area because the majority of the people depend on it for agriculture. Annual rainfall is heavy, about 2,400mm in most places. Vegetation of the area is rainforest and this supports cassava production (Chikwendu et al., 2017).

The population for the study comprised all women cassava farmers living in urban areas in Imo state. Multistage sampling procedure was used to select the sample. Stage one was the purposive
selection of five urban communities in the state. The second stage was the selection of 20 farmers who cultivate cassava.

Processed cassava products in the study area was measured by providing a list of common cassava products in Nigeria and asking them to indicate the ones available to them and their responses recorded as yes or no. The level of involvement was measured using the nominal scale of highly involved, moderately involved and not involved. The roles performed by women in cassava processing were measured by listing activities involved in cassava processing and the women were asked to indicate the ones they were involved in by tickling ‘yes’ or ‘no’. The constraints faced by the women in cassava processing were determined by providing a list of likely constraints to cassava processing and asking the women to indicate the ones applicable to them and their responses recorded on a 3-point Likert-type scale of very serious = 3, serious = 2 and not serious = 1. The mean of the scale was determined by adding the values of the scale (i.e. 3+2+1) and dividing by the number of scale (6/3) to obtain the discriminating index, 2.0. So, any item with a score ≥ 2.0 would be taken as a constraint to cassava processing in the area. Data were collected using structured questionnaire and were analyzed using mean score and percentages.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows that the majority (58.0%) of the women was aged between 41 and 60 years and the mean age was 47.6 years. The majority (51.1%) were married, the majority (76.0%) received one form of formal education or the other; the majority (56.0%) had farming experience of 16-25 years and had spent an average of 12.8 years in farming. The result implies that the farmers are aging and may not efficiently engage in cassava processing especially as it is mostly done manually in many developing countries like Nigeria.

Chekene (2015) reported that farming work force in Nigeria is dominated by farmers above 40 years of age. Age could influence a farmer’s ability to perform tasks requiring physical strength. It could also influence a farmers’ willingness to adopt innovations as younger farmers are less conservative than aged farmers (Agbamu, 2004). Marriage encourages synergy among farm family members. This could promote the spread of innovations on cassava processing as every member of the farm family is a potential source of information. It could also encourage division of labour among the farm family members thus reducing the cost of labour and maximizing the use of time.

The acquisition of formal education could enhance decision making ability among farmers. Educated farmers can access agricultural information from an array of sources since they could read and write unlike their uneducated counterparts and this could enhance the cassava processing enterprise. The result however showed that the farmers have been into cassava production for a relatively long period of time. This period of time could provide them with a wealth of experience valuable in cassava processing. More so, the number of years they have spent in processing would have enabled them save some money that would enhance their adoption of cassava processing innovations. Oluwatosin (2011) found that access to credit reduces inefficiency as it enables farmers adopt better technologies.

The result further revealed that the majority (60.0%) of the farmers had household sizes of 6 - 10 persons with an average of eight people; the majority (66.0%) were members of social organizations; the majority (60.0%) had a farm size of 1-2 hectares with a mean of 1.2 hectares; a greater proportion (47.0%) had a monthly income of less than ₦20,000.00 and a mean income of about ₦40,000.00. The result also showed that inheritance (67.0%) was the major means of access to land among the farmers.

The result implies that the study area is dominated by farmers with relatively large household sizes. Large households entail a greater number of people who are potential sources of labour and useful agricultural information. Membership of social organizations promotes diffusion of innovations and interactions among farmers and extension agents. It could also enhance cooperative activities among farmers like the popular thrift societies. Also, the result reveals that the farmers are smallholders. This could be attributed to the land tenure system prevalent in Africa. According to FAO (2011) the patriarchal setting in many countries in Africa whereby male dominates on decisions concerning land makes it difficult if not impossible for women to access or own land in their own right. Small land holding could negatively influence a farmer’s productivity which could impair his willingness to adopt innovations. Finally, the monthly income regime of the farmers suggests that they could afford some
traditional implements required for cassava processing. However, this ability may be limited by demands on the income resulting from their relatively large household sizes.

Table 1: Distribution of women according to socioeconomic characteristics

| Socioeconomic characteristics       | %  | μ    |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|
| **Age (Years)**                     |    |      |
| 1 - 20                              | 2.0|      |
| 21 – 40                             | 27.0|     |
| 41 – 60                             | 58.0| 47.6 |
| > 60                                | 14.0|      |
| **Marital status**                  |    |      |
| Single                              | 16.0|      |
| Married                             | 51.0|      |
| Divorced                            | 10.0|      |
| Widowed                             | 23.0|      |
| **Level of Education**              |    |      |
| No formal education                 | 24.0|     |
| Primary school                      | 22.0|      |
| Secondary school                    | 33.0|      |
| Tertiary Education                  | 21.0|      |
| **Experience in processing**        |    |      |
| < 5                                 | 11.0|      |
| 6 – 15                              | 17.0| 12.80|
| 16 – 25                             | 56.0|      |
| > 25                                | 16.0|      |
| **Household Size (Number of Persons)**|    |      |
| < 5                                 | 21.0|      |
| 6 – 10                              | 60.0| 8    |
| > 10                                | 19.0|      |
| **Membership of social Organizations**|    |      |
| Yes                                 | 66.0|      |
| No                                  | 34.0|      |
| **Nature of farm Business**         |    |      |
| Full Time                           | 41.0|      |
| Part Time                           | 59.0|      |
| **Farm Size (Hectares)**            |    |      |
| < 1                                 | 20.0|      |
| 1 – 2                               | 60.0| 1.2  |
| > 2                                 | 20.0|      |
| **Monthly Income (₦'000)**          |    |      |
| ≤20,                                | 47.0|      |
| 21 – 40                             | 14.0| 39.633.1|
| 41 – 60                             | 19.0|      |
| > 60                                | 20.0|      |
| **Land tenure system**              |    |      |
| Inherited                           | 76.0|      |
| Purchased                           | 40.0|      |
| Rented                              | 50.0|      |
| Leased                              | 28.0|      |

Source: Field Survey Data, 2015

Processed cassava products in the area

Figure 1 reveals that *fufu* (91.7%), *garri* (90.5%), cassava balls (70.0%) and *abacha/tapioca* (65.2%) were the available cassava products in the study area. This implies that cassava is
predominantly used as human food in the area. The dominance of these products might imply cultural preference of the products since cassava is processed into different products in different locations. Westby (2002) stated that the majority (88.0%) of cassava tubers produced in Africa is used for human food, with over 50.0% used in the form of processed products. This finding concurs with the reports of Onyemauwa (2010) and the Presidential Initiative on Cassava (2006) that garri, fufu, starch, lafun, chips and pellets constitute the primary cassava products in Nigeria. The processing of cassava into more storable forms basically offers farmers the opportunity of overcoming the perishability of the fresh roots. It could also enhance their financial returns, add value, reduce drudgery and environmental impacts.

![Processed cassava products](image)

**Fig. 1:** Bar chart showing available cassava products

**Level of involvement in cassava processing**

Figure 2 reveals that most (72.3%) of the women were highly involved in cassava processing while 26.7% was moderately involved. The result no doubt affirms the significant role women play in agriculture in Africa. Cassava is culturally designated women’s crop in Nigeria and thus its production and processing are carried out mostly by women. This may be due to cultural, social and economic factors surrounding the crop. The notion that it is women’s crop might take men’s attention away to other crops considered men’s crops. Similarly, the consideration of the crop as more of a food crop than a cash crop might reduce men’s involvement in its production. Men tend to show more interest in the production of cash crops than food crops. Okoroafor and Nwaobiala reported that women dominated cassava production and processing in Abia State, Nigeria.

![Level of involvement in cassava processing](image)

**Fig. 2:** Level of involvement in cassava processing
Roles performed by women in cassava processing

Figure 3 shows that women performed many activities involved in cassava processing in the study area which include pounding (98.1%), peeling (97.9%), frying (96.9%), cooking (96.9%), sifting (95.9%), boiling (95.1%), soaking (90.1%) and milling (85.1%). However, grating (44.2%) and pressing (31.1%) were the least of roles performed by the women in the study area. This showcases the significant involvement of women in agro-processing. Bello et al. (2013) found that the majority (89.9%) of the women surveyed were involved in cassava processing. The dominance of women in cassava processing could be attributed to the traditional perception of the crop as a ‘woman crop’ (Ani, 2004). In line with this perception, men may show no much interest in the activities involved in it from cultivation to processing. Nweke et al. (2002) reported that women perform most of the tasks involved in cassava processing while men increase their labour input in cassava producing areas where cassava is mainly produced for cash and for urban consumers. COSCA study (1996) showed that in 76.0% of the villages selected in six African countries, processing was carried out by women.
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**Fig. 3:** Bar chart showing the roles performed by women in cassava processing

Pounding is a way of preparing fine, smooth and soft mash from fermented cassava tubers using mortar and pestle. It is usually a strenuous activity as it is still done manually in most Africa families where cassava is consumed. Women and children often perform this task as it is most times seen as cooking but men get involved especially when it is for the market. The indication of pounding as the most performed activity by women in the area is in line with the preference for *fufu* as the most available cassava product.

Peeling involves the removal of the outer covering of cassava tubers. Tubers are often subjected to this stage after harvesting. However, when the tubers are used for *fufu* production, this activity may be skipped but must be thoroughly washed to avoid interference with the colour of the product. Peeling is still done manually in most African societies by children and women. Frying involves constant heating of the sundried/pressed cassava with constant stirring in wide, shallow, non-sticky metal pans till it becomes light or crisp *garri*. During the process of heating, red oil may or may not be added which prevents the burning of the garri during the process of heating and gives light yellow colour to the finished product, thus yielding yellow or white *garri*. This may be time consuming as it is done bit by bit. Soaking is synonymous with *fufu* preparation. It involves the peeling, washing and cutting of cassava tubers and putting them in containers filled with water to stay for some days to ferment after which it is sieved. Grating and pressing are very tedious and mostly done by men. Grating could be
done manually or mechanically. However, in recent times, it is done mechanically whereby people take their tubers to the mills for grating. Pressing is also being done mechanically in recent times. However, some farmers still employ the local method of tying with sticks to promote dehydration. In some places, heavy stones are used for the pressing in which case they are placed on the pulp contained in a bag and allowed to last for some days. This is usually done by men due to its strenuous nature.

**Constraints to cassava processing**

Table 2 indicates that unstable power supply ($\bar{X} = 3.4$), inadequate poor supply ($\bar{X} = 3.3$), length of time involved ($\bar{X} = 3.0$), lack of storage facilities ($\bar{X} = 3.4$), poor government policies ($\bar{X} = 3.3$), inadequate information on processing of cassava products ($\bar{X} = 3.3$), lack of sophisticated equipment ($\bar{X} = 3.1$), high physical energy requirement ($\bar{X} = 2.8$) and poor extension coverage ($\bar{X} = 2.8$) were the constraints faced by the women farmers in cassava processing. These could affect the quantity of cassava produced thus adversely affecting food security and poverty reduction. Unstable power supply (electricity) could compel farmers into looking for alternative sources of energy for processing which may be costly and unsustainable. For, example resorting to the use of charcoal or fuelwood could have some environmental costs such as deforestation and climate change.

Several studies have reported the need for credit among rural farmers in Africa (Bolarinwa & Oyeyinka, 2005; Ekwere & Edem, 2014; Olagunju & Adeyemo, 2008) and this is more among women farmers whose access to credit is limited by socio-cultural norms. Inadequate capital could prevent investments in cassava processing such as the ownership of mechanical graters, frying pans etc. even the access to other sources of energy apart from electricity. Lack of storage facilities may reduce the quantity of cassava processed since unprocessed products face the risk of spoilage. Lack of sophisticated equipment could lead to drudgery in cassava processing and may increase wastage of cassava products. Poor extension coverage could lead to poor access to information on processing. Mogues et al. (2009) reported a relatively lower access to extension service among women farmers in Ethiopia. These findings concur with a report by Ogunleye et al. (2008) and Muhammad-Lawal et al. (2013) which identified these factors as constraints to cassava processing in Nigeria.

**Table 2**: Distribution of respondents according to constraints in cassava processing

| Constraints                                      | X   | S.D  |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Inadequate finance                               | 3.29*| 0.2947 |
| High cost of labour                              | 2.34| 0.2249 |
| Inadequate transport facilities                   | 3.09*| 0.1850 |
| Unstable power supply                            | 3.44*| 0.2390 |
| Lack of sophisticated equipment                   | 3.06*| 0.1794 |
| Lack of storage facilities                        | 3.40*| 0.2343 |
| Poor government policies                          | 3.26*| 0.2121 |
| Poor market demand for processed products         | 2.20| 0.2038 |
| Poor access to market information                 | 2.79*| 0.1260 |
| Lack of rural feeder roads                        | 2.20| 0.0121 |
| Inadequate information on processing              | 3.33*| 0.2234 |
| Fluctuations in weather                           | 2.54| 0.0100 |
| Manual processing takes time                      | 3.41*| 0.0247 |
| High physical energy requirement                  | 2.79*| 0.0127 |
| Dominance of men in decision                      | 2.09| 0.0140 |
| Poor extension coverage                           | 2.78*| 0.0126 |
| Long period of time spent on processing           | 3.00*| 0.0172 |
| Price instability                                 | 3.34*| 0.2249 |

**Source**: Field Survey Data, 2015
Conclusion and Recommendations

Cassava is an important staple and is transforming into an important cash crop in Nigeria. It is available in such products as garri, fufu and cassava balls. Urban women play actively roles in cassava processing. However, its processing is constrained by a lot of factors such as unstable power supply, inadequate finance, length of time involved and lack of storage facilities. Until, these constraints are adequately addressed, the potentials of cassava as a food security crop will remain largely untapped in urban areas in Nigeria. In order to avoid that, the following recommendations are therefore made:

1. Loans should be made available to farmers by the government and other relevant agencies. This could be achieved through the revival of the moribund agricultural banks and encouraging farmers to belong to cooperative societies for easy access to the loans.

2. Farmers should be provided with modern cassava processing equipment such as pressing machine and grating machine at subsidized rates by the government and other development agencies. This can also be achieved through the formation of cooperative societies to enable farmers pool their resources and potentials together.

3. The agricultural extension agencies should organize capacity building programmes on cassava processing for farmers to enhance their capability in cassava processing.
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