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Abstract: Due to its simple mechanical structure and high motion stability, the H-shaped platform has been increasingly widely used in precision measuring, numerical control machining and semiconductor packaging equipment, etc. The H-shaped platform is normally driven by multiple (three) permanent magnet synchronous linear motors. The main challenges for H-shaped platform-control include synchronous control between the two linear motors in the Y direction as well as total positioning error of the platform mover, a combination of position deviation in X and Y directions. To deal with the above challenges, this paper proposes a control strategy based on the inverse system method through state feedback and dynamic decoupling of the thrust force. First, mechanical dynamics equations have been deduced through the analysis of system coupling based on the platform structure. Second, the mathematical model of the linear motors and the relevant coordinate transformation between dq-axis currents and ABC-phase currents are analyzed. Third, after the main concept of inverse system method being explained, the inverse system model of the platform control system has been designed after defining relevant system variables. Inverse system model compensates the original nonlinear coupled system into pseudo-linear decoupled linear system, for which typical linear control methods, like PID, can be adopted to control the system. The simulation model of the control system is built in MATLAB/Simulink and the simulation result shows that the designed control system has both small synchronous deviation and small total trajectory tracking error. Furthermore, the control program has been run on NI controller for both fixed-loop-time and free-loop-time modes, and the test result shows that the average loop computation time needed is rather small, which makes it suitable for real industrial applications. Overall, it proves that the proposed new control strategy can be used in industrial applications that have high-precision and high real-time performance requirements.
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1. Introduction

In the manufacturing industry, some complex curve or special trajectory is needed to produce high-precision industrial products. For instance, planar motion is needed in pick-and-place machines, semiconductor lithography, CNC machine tools and transport devices [1,2]. A traditional platform has normally been driven by a rotatory motor with ball screw, which has numerous disadvantages, such as mechanical drive links, large response lag and nonlinear friction. Linear motor direct drive can avoid unnecessary mechanical links, which thus can improve the positioning accuracy of the
platform. The combination of multiple linear motors has been adopted to achieve movement in multiple degrees of freedom [3]. The H-shaped platform is a Cartesian movement platform with a special structure consisting of three linear motors. It features many advantages, such as simple structure, high precision and high stability. Compared with other linear motors, permanent magnet synchronous linear motor has high control precision and fast dynamic response, thus it has been widely used in H-shaped platform.

On the H-type platform of multiple permanent magnet synchronous linear motors drive, there is inevitable deviation between the two linear motors in the Y direction. If the displacement deviation between them exceeds some limit, the motion accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Moreover, the platform structure and the drive components might be destroyed. Therefore, decreasing the synchronization deviation to be within an acceptable range is one of the major issues in the control of multiple linear motors [4,5]. Over the past decade, the cross-coupled control technology has been majorly used in machine tools and multiple axes motion applications for reducing contour tracking errors [6–9]. In the cross-coupled control, the comparison of two motors’ position or speed signal is calculated and the deviation is then used as the extra feedback signal. The extra feedback is often used as the tracking signal based on which the control system can adjust accordingly to the load change of any motor, and thus it can realize high synchronous control precision. Recently, cross-coupled technology has been incorporated with some well-known adaptive control approaches to solve the synchronous control problem and to improve the control performance [10–12].

Relevant research has also been done for the application of H-shaped platform driven by multiple linear motors. An interval type-2 fuzzy neural network control method has been presented for H-type gantry stage driven by dual linear motors in [13]. The proposed method has set the position error between the two side motors as input, and the powerful self-learning ability of neural network is used to guarantee the synchronous error converges to zero to achieve synchronous control. A cross-coupled intelligent complementary sliding mode control system has been proposed for the synchronous control of a dual linear motor servo system installed in a gantry position stage in [14]. For the problem that a dual linear motor servo system on the X-axis of gantry moving machining centers is not synchronized during the driven process, paper [15] has proposed an improved variable structure control and decoupling control approach, through which not only the synchronization accuracy is upgraded but also the chattering problem of the ideal sliding mode is avoided. The trajectory control of the H-shaped platform in this paper is realized by three linear motors. The above control strategies focus on the synchronous control of two linear motors in the Y direction, which is independent from the control of the third perpendicular motor X. In the above independent control strategies, the platform total positioning error is the combined result of the positioning errors in X and Y directions. With the goal to decrease the total positioning error and improve the control precision, this paper proposes to integrate the three motors into one whole control system instead of performing the control in X and Y directions independently.

Overall, the H-shaped platform driven by multiple (three) linear motors is a very complex nonlinear control system. Moreover, the variables are cross-coupled with each other, and thus the dynamic decoupling control of their speeds is necessary. Without being relied on the nonlinear system solution and stability analysis, the inverse system method only deals with the feedback transformation, and thus it can be seen as a more general method. Feedback linearization is used in the inverse system method to realize the linear decoupling of a multi-variable, nonlinear and coupled system. This method has been widely used in control systems because it is highly intuitive and simple, and has high decoupling control performance. Paper [16] has presented a novel control scheme combining the inverse system method and the internal model control, which enhances the control accuracy and dynamic performance of a bearing-less permanent magnet synchronous motor. To radically eliminate the influence of gyroscopic effects on system stability and to improve the performances of high-precision, fast-response for the high-speed magnetically suspended rotor system in a control moment gyro, paper [17] has proposed a control strategy that combines inverse system method
and internal model control. Paper [18] adopts feedback linearization control of three-phase inverter based on inverse system, in which to guarantee symmetric output voltage with asymmetric load for three-phase inverter, a feedback linearization based on inverse system for three-phase inverter has been proposed, which can improve system adaptability and stability under complex load conditions and disturbances.

In order to decrease the synchronous deviation and total positioning error, this paper proposes a new control strategy based on the inverse system method to realize the decoupling control of an H-shaped platform driven by multiple linear motors. Not only can the proposed control method deal with the coupling issue of multiple motors and decrease the total positioning error, but it can also meet the real-time computation requirements in practical industrial applications because classical simple PID (proportional-integral-derivative) control strategy can be used in the pseudo-linear decoupled system.

2. An H-Type Platform Driven by Multiple Permanent Magnet Synchronous Linear Motors

2.1. Platform Structure

The H-type platform driven by multiple permanent magnet synchronous linear motors is shown in Figure 1. This high-precision platform adopts gas guide bearing. The crossbeam is made of light, high-stiffness, low-density alloy materials and the two ends of it are connected to the movers of the two linear motors in Y direction (motor \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \)), which realize the bilateral synchronous drive in Y direction. The stator of the linear motor in X direction is fixed on the crossbeam and the mover of it is connected to the air sliding block, which realizes the motion in the X direction. Both the crossbeam and the slide block are sustained and guided by the air bearing. The planar movement in the XY plane can be realized through the control of the three linear motors. In practical control, there will also be rotation around the axis of \( Z \) due to the deviation between the two linear motors in Y direction. In practical control, the goal is to make the deviation approach zero. It can be seen from the above analysis that there is mechanical coupling between the three linear motors.

![Figure 1. Structure diagram of the H-type platform.](image)

Even though the two linear motors (Motor \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \)) moving in the Y direction and their servo systems can be designed to have the same parameters, in practical control process the synchronization of the two motors cannot be guaranteed due to the position change of the motor moving in the Y direction. The position of the linear motor moving in the X direction also changes in the working process, and thus the distance between it and the two linear motors moving in the Y direction also changes accordingly, which influences the force allocated to each motor. Moreover, the machining error is inevitable in the process of manufacturing and installation. In addition, there is always uncertain disturbance during the operation. Therefore, there is high requirement for the control system to make sure the synchronous deviation is within a certain small range.
2.2. Mechanical Analysis

Figure 2 shows a simplified diagram of force imposed on the crossbeam when there is a small rotation angle $\delta$. It should be noted that the rotation angle $\delta$ in Figure 2 is presented to be unreasonably large for the purpose of simple understanding. In practice, the angle $\delta$ needs to be controlled within an extremely small range (less than 0.1°). The two linear motors, motor $Y_1$ and motor $Y_2$, can produce horizontal forces $f_{Y1}, f_{Y2}$. Not only can the two forces contribute to the motion in $X$ and $Y$ direction, but also the difference between them can contribute to the rotation movement around $Z$-axis. With the forces generated by the three linear motors projected on $X$-axis and $Y$-axis, we can get the following equations:

$$\begin{align*}
F_X &= f_{Y1} \sin \delta + f_{Y2} \sin \delta + f_X \cos \delta \\
F_Y &= f_{Y1} \cos \delta + f_{Y2} \cos \delta + f_X \sin \delta \\
T_Z &= (f_{Y2} - f_{Y1}) \frac{l}{2}
\end{align*}$$

(1)

Based on Newton’s motion law, we can further get the following equations:

$$\begin{align*}
m \ddot{X} &= f_{Y1} \sin \delta + f_{Y2} \sin \delta + f_X \cos \delta \\
m \ddot{Y} &= f_{Y1} \cos \delta + f_{Y2} \cos \delta + f_X \sin \delta \\
J \ddot{\delta} &= (f_{Y2} - f_{Y1}) \frac{l}{2}
\end{align*}$$

(2)

where $m$ is the mass, $J$ is rotary inertia, and $l$ represents the distance between $f_{Y1}$ and $f_{Y2}$. According to the control requirement, $\delta$ is constrained to be less than 0.1°, thus $\cos \delta$ approaches 1 and $\sin \delta$ approaches 0. The above equations can be then further simplified as:

$$\begin{align*}
m \ddot{X} &= f_X \\
m \ddot{Y} &= f_{Y1} + f_{Y2} \\
J \ddot{\delta} &= (f_{Y2} - f_{Y1}) \frac{l}{2}
\end{align*}$$

(3)

3. Pre-Analysis for Permanent Magnet Synchronous Linear Motor Control System

3.1. ABC Coordinate System to d-q Coordinate System

The three-phase AC motor can be seen as a DC motor through coordinate transformation. The three-phase currents can be transformed into force current $i_q$ (proportional to the electromagnetic force) and magnetic field excitation current $i_d$. The transformation can be divided into two steps.
First, ABC coordinate system is transformed to the static $\alpha$-$\beta$ coordinate system:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
i_\alpha \\
i_\beta
\end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{2/3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\
0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_A \\
i_B \\
i_C
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Second, the static coordinate system is then further transformed to the dynamic $d$-$q$ coordinate system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_d \\
i_q
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\pi/2 X) & -\sin(\pi/2 X) \\
\sin(\pi/2 X) & \cos(\pi/2 X)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_\alpha \\
i_\beta
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Based on the above two transformations, the inverse transformation is shown as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_A \\
i_B \\
i_C
\end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{2/3} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\pi/2 X) & -\sin(\pi/2 X) \\
\cos(\pi/2 (X-\frac{2}{3})) & -\sin(\pi/2 (X-\frac{2}{3})) \\
\cos(\pi/2 (X+\frac{2}{3})) & -\sin(\pi/2 (X+\frac{2}{3}))
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_d \\
i_q
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Through Equation (6), it can be known that the needed three-phase currents can be calculated from the desired $i_d$ and $i_q$.

### 3.2. Mathematical Model

In $dq$-axis reference frame, the voltage and flux link relations of the permanent magnet synchronous linear motor are shown in the following equations:

$$u_d = R_s i_d + P \psi_d - \omega_r \psi_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$u_q = R_s i_q + P \psi_q + \omega_r \psi_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\psi_d = L_d i_d + \psi_f$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\psi_q = L_q i_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$\omega_r = P \frac{\pi}{\tau} \nu$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $u_d, u_q, i_d, i_q, \psi_d, \psi_q, L_d, L_q$ are $dq$-axis voltage, current, flux linkage, and inductance respectively. $R_s$ is the primary resistance; $\psi_f$ is the permanent flux linkage; $\nu$ is the mover’s velocity; $P$ is the number of pole pairs [19–21].

The mathematical expression relating the thrust force to the current for a salient permanent magnet synchronous linear motor is given as:

$$F_T = \frac{3 \pi}{2 \tau} [\psi_f i_q + (L_q - L_d) i_d i_q]$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $F_T$ is the electromagnetic thrust force; and $\tau$ is the pole pitch.

For a surface mounted synchronous motor, $L_d = L_q$ and thus the equation above can be simplified into equation:

$$F_T = \frac{3 \pi}{2 \tau} \psi_f i_q$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

It can be known from Equation (13) that the horizontal electromagnetic force can be controlled by $i_q$, current in $q$-axis, with other parameters fixed.

### 3.3. PID Controller

PID controller (see Figure 3) is one of the simplest and most robust control methods; thus, it has been widely used in numerous industrial applications. Due to its simple algorithm, PID controller
can be very time-efficient in terms numerical computation and thus it has been adopted in this paper. PID controller is a classical controller whose time domain expression and transfer function are:

\[ u(t) = K_p e(t) + K_i \int_0^t e(t)\,dt + K_d \frac{de(t)}{dt} \]  

(14)

\[ K_{PID}(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s} + K_d s \]  

(15)

where \( K_p, K_i, K_d \) are the proportional gain, integral gain and differential gain, respectively. The system input can be seen as the sum of the proportional component, integral component and differential component. The proportional component is essential for decreasing the general control error; the integral component makes the stable state error approach zero and the differential component can improve the dynamic trajectory following performance especially when there is a sudden (high-frequency) change for the system output.

![Figure 3. Structure diagram of PID controller.](image)

As a classical linear control method, PID can be used in this control system because the inverse system model compensates the original nonlinear coupled system into a pseudo-linear decoupled linear system. The inverse system method will be introduced in the next part of the paper.

4. Inverse System Model and Control System Design

The basic idea of inverse system model can be described as follows: For a given system, \( \alpha \)-th order integration inverse system model can be built through the feedback method based on the original system model. The inverse system model compensates the original system into a new linear and decoupled normalized system that is often called a pseudo-linear system. Then, all the linear control theories can be used to integrate the above pseudo-linear system. It is called pseudo-linear because the compensated system has a linear transfer function but the relationship inside the internal variables might still be nonlinear.

4.1. Inverse System Decoupling

4.1.1. Inverse System Theory

For a given nonlinear system \( \Sigma \), multivariable state equation is shown as:

\[ \Sigma : \begin{cases} x = f(x, u) \\ y = h(x, u) \end{cases} \]  

(16)
where \( u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) is the input vector, \( y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r \) is the output vector, \( x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state variable, and the initial condition is \( x(t_0) = x_0, \forall t \leq m \).

For the output function \( y = h(x, u) \), we can deduce the \( \alpha_i \)-th order derivative of \( y_i \) to time variable \( t \), which is shown as:

\[
\dot{y}_i^{(\alpha_i)} = g(x, u) \tag{17}
\]

where the value of \( \alpha_i \) \((1 \leq i \leq r)\) is defined as:

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(L_{ij}^k \dot{h}_j \right) & \equiv 0, (k = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha_i - 1) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(L_{ij}^k \dot{h}_j \right) & \neq 0, (k = \alpha_i)
\end{cases} \tag{18}
\]

In the above equation, for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), we have \( \alpha_i < \infty \), otherwise it is not derivable. Based on Equation (17) the inverse system can be derived as:

\[
u = H(x, Y^\alpha) \tag{19}\]

where

\[
\begin{cases}
Y^\alpha \triangleq \left[ y_1^{(\alpha_1)}, \ldots, y_r^{(\alpha_r)} \right]^T \\
H \triangleq \left[ g_1, \ldots, g_r \right]^T
\end{cases} \tag{20}
\]

Then a new system equation can be derived as:

\[
\sum \ni \ni u \ni y \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni \ni
\end{cases} \tag{21}
\]

The system \( \Sigma \) can be seen as the \( \alpha \)-th order inverse system of the original system \( \Sigma \). It can be seen that the pseudo-linear system has a linear transfer function between the input and the output which are decoupled. As shown in Figure 4, the output of the \( \alpha \)-th order integration inverse system is connected to the input of the original system; \( y_d(t) \) is the expected (reference) output of the original system and, \( y(t) \) is the real output of the original system. The state variables of the original system are used in the \( \alpha \)-th order integration inverse system through feedback. The expected output value can then be the input of the system controller.

![Figure 4. Decoupled linearization based on α-th order inverse system.](https://example.com/figure4.png)

4.1.2. Inverse System Condition

Obviously, not every system has its inverse system because some conditions need to be satisfied. It can be known from Equation (16) that the nonlinear system has relative order \( \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \} \) at \( (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{u}_0) \). Two basic conditions need to be satisfied as follows.
1. At \((x_0, u_0)\), the following equation is satisfied:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \left( L_k^i h_i \right) = 0, \quad (1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq r, k \leq \alpha_i - 1)
\]  

(22)

where \(L_k^i h_i\) is the \(k\)-th order Lie derivative.

2. The \(r \times m\) order matrix shown as follows is nonsingular, which indicates the rank of the matrix \(\text{rank}(A) = r\).

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial L^i_1 h_1}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L^i_1 h_1}{\partial u_m} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial L^i_r h_r}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L^i_r h_r}{\partial u_m}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(23)

A given system is invertible in a range around \((x_0, u_0)\) when the above \(\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_r\}\) exists and they meet the requirements of the following equation where \(n\) is the number of system state variables:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \leq n
\]

(24)

4.2. H-Shaped Platform State Equation

The basic idea of controller design can be explained as follows. Based on the mathematical model of the dual linear motor control system, the \(\alpha\)-th order integral inverse system can be derived from the previous system via feedback linearization. The inverse system turns the previous nonlinear and coupling system into a pseudo-linear and decoupling system, which can be analyzed by the traditional linear control theory.

The state variables of the system are set to be

\[
x = [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]^T = [x, \dot{x}, y, \dot{y}, \delta, \dot{\delta}]^T
\]

(25)

The output variables of the system are set to be

\[
y = [y_1, y_2, y_3]^T = [x, y, \delta]^T
\]

(26)

The input variables are set to be

\[
u = [u_1, u_2, u_3]^T = [i_{qY1}, i_{qY2}, i_{qX}]^T
\]

(27)

Based on Equations (3) and (13), we can get

\[
\begin{cases}
m \ddot{X} = C_1 i_{qX} \\
m \ddot{Y} = C_1 (i_{qY1} + i_{qY2}) \\
\ddot{\delta} = \frac{i_{qY2} - i_{qY1}}{2}
\end{cases}
\]

(28)

where

\[
C_1 = \frac{3 \pi}{2 T} \Psi_f
\]

(29)
Combining Equation (28) with the state variables, we can get

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \frac{C_1}{m} i_q x \\
\dot{x}_3 &= x_4 \\
\dot{x}_4 &= \frac{C_1}{m} (i_q y_1 + i_q y_2) \\
\dot{x}_5 &= x_6 \\
\dot{x}_6 &= \frac{C_1}{J_2} (i_q y_2 - i_q y_1)
\end{align*}
\]  

(30)

4.3. Decoupling Design

It can be seen from Equations (28)–(30) that the H-shaped platform is a nonlinear coupled system with three inputs and three outputs. It remains to be checked whether the system is invertible. We can first get the following equation through calculating the derivative of \( y = [y_1, y_2, y_3]^T \) and it contains \( u = [u_1, u_2, u_3]^T \).

\[
A(u) = \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{y}_1 \\
\dot{y}_2 \\
\dot{y}_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{C_1}{m} u_3 \\
\frac{C_1}{m} (u_1 + u_2) \\
\frac{C_1}{J_2} (u_2 - u_1)
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(31)

It can be seen from the above equation that

\[
\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \frac{C_1}{m} \\
\frac{C_1}{m} & \frac{C_1}{m} & 0 \\
-\frac{C_1}{J_2} & \frac{C_1}{J_2} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(32)

The rank of \( \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u} \) is equal to 3 and thus it is non-singular. The relative derivative orders \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3] = [2, 2, 2] = 6 \), which is equal to the number of state variables. Thus, the system is invertible. Suppose:

\[
A(u) = \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{y}_1 \\
\dot{y}_2 \\
\dot{y}_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\phi_1 \\
\phi_2 \\
\phi_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(33)

We can get the state feedback equation

\[
\begin{align*}
u_1 &= \frac{(m \phi_2 - \frac{J_2 \phi_3}{\alpha_1})}{\alpha_2} \\
u_2 &= \frac{(m \phi_2 + \frac{J_2 \phi_3}{\alpha_1})}{\alpha_2} \\
u_3 &= \frac{m \phi_3}{\alpha_1}
\end{align*}
\]  

(34)

Based on the state feedback described as in Equation (31) it can be known that the new system is a linear system without coupling, denoted as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{X} &= \phi_1 \\
\bar{Y} &= \phi_2 \\
\bar{\delta} &= \phi_3
\end{align*}
\]  

(35)

4.4. Control System Structure

Based on the above analysis, the control system of the H-shaped platform is as shown in Figure 5. The control system includes PID controller, inverse system model, control current coordinate transformation and the H-shaped platform itself.
As Figure 5 shows, the control system has three reference signals, which are the given position $X_r$, position $Y_r$ and rotation angle around $Z$-axis $\delta_r$. The feedback actual values are compared with the given values and the difference between them will be used for PID position regulation. The regulation results are used to compare with the state feedback values, and the difference between them is further used as the input of the inverse system model. Vector control with $i_q = 0$ is chosen as the control strategy because the magnetic field is kept constant and only $i_d$ is used to control the thrust force of the linear motor. The needed force-generating current $i_d$ can then be calculated through the inverse model. After the coordinate transformation, the $dq$-axis current can be transformed into the three-phase currents, which will be fed to the linear motor after the power amplifier.

5. Simulation and Analysis

The proposed control strategy has been validated in Matlab/Simulink. First, the synchronous deviation between the two linear motors $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ is analyzed. Second, the proposed control method is compared with the traditional method in terms of its performance for the mover trajectory under disturbance. Lastly, the program has been implemented on NI controller which is a typical industrial controller. The motor in this paper is a maglev permanent magnet linear synchronous motor, whose basic electromagnetic model parameters are show in Table 1.

Table 1. Electromagnetic model parameters.

| Parameter                        | Value   | Unit  |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Pole pitch $\tau$                | 16      | Mm    |
| Flux of permanent magnet $\psi_f$| 0.211   | Wb    |
| Winding resistance of each phase $R$ | 2.1     | $\Omega$ |
| Air gap $h$                      | 1       | Mm    |
| $q$-axis inductance $L_q$        | 0.0163  | $H$   |
| $d$-axis inductance $L_d$        | 0.0163  | $H$   |
| Mass of mover                    | 0.6     | Kg    |
| Rotational inertia $J$           | 0.382   | kg·m$^2$ |
| force arm in $Y$ direction $l$   | 0.42    | M     |
5.1. Synchronous Deviation Analysis

In the control process, when disturbance is imposed on one motor (either $Y_1$ or $Y_2$) causing the synchronous deviation, the controller will control both $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ to decrease the deviation between them. The simulation time is set to be 0.2 s. The given reference position for the two linear motors $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ is 1000 µm. The load force on $Y_1$ and $Y_2$ are 100 N, and at 0.08 s the load force imposed on $Y_1$ is increased to 150 N. The electromagnetic force on $Y_1$ and displacement of $Y_1$ is shown in Figure 6. The result for $Y_2$ is shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 6. $Y_1$ Linear Motor: (a) Electromagnetic force; (b) Velocity; (c) Displacement in Y direction.](image-url)
Figure 6a shows that the load disturbance is imposed at 0.08 s, causing a displacement change of up to about 1 μm for linear motor \( Y_1 \) as shown in Figure 6c. To keep synchronous with \( Y_1 \), the electromagnetic force and displacement of \( Y_2 \) also changes. The position control of the motor consists of three major phases: acceleration phase, deceleration phase and positioning/stable phase. It can be seen from Figures 6a and 7a that the thrust torque at the start phase approaches 300 N (the maximum motor thrust force), which corresponds to the velocity increase phase in Figures 6b and 7b. When the motor approaches the reference position, it enters the deceleration phase, which occurs at about 0.01 s after the start. Finally, the motor arrives at the given position and its speed also decreases to zero, which indicates the motor is in the positioning or stable phase. In the positioning phase, the position of the motor keeps constant and the thrust force is equal to the load force.

Figure 8 shows the synchronous deviation between \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \) when it is under the disturbance force of 20 N, 35 N and 50 N. It shows the maximal synchronous deviation is 0.025 μm, 0.05 μm, when the load force is 20 N and 35 N respectively. The recovery time under different disturbances is 0.02 s, while the synchronous deviation increases nonlinearly with the increase of disturbance. For the disturbance of 50 N (half the rated load force), the maximal synchronous deviation between them is 0.1 μm with a recovery time of 0.02 s. It proves that the proposed control strategy can meet the high-precision and fast-response dynamic requirements in planar positioning applications.
process, it means the proposed control strategy can guarantee the platform mover moves along the given reference trajectory with only a tiny deviation even under the sudden short-period disturbance in X reference position. Figure 9a shows that the total trajectory error, which is the combination of that new control strategy integrates them into one control model. The smaller deviation from the given traditional independent PID control strategy. The simulation result shows that the trajectory in X and Y directions are both 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). Load force disturbance lasting a short period is very common in the practical control process. The control system should show strong robustness under such disturbance force at random direction. At 0.08 s the load disturbance forces of 100 N (half the sum of the rated forces for the two linear motors \( Y_1 \) and \( Y_2 \)) in the Y direction and 50 N (half the rated force for linear motor X) in the X direction, both of them lasting for 0.005 s, are imposed on the platform mover to simulate such random disturbance forces. Figure 9 shows the simulation result for both the proposed new control method and traditional PID method.

Figure 9a shows the trajectory of the H-shaped platform adopting the proposed inverse system-based PID control strategy, while Figure 9b shows that for the H-shaped platform adopting traditional independent PID control strategy. The simulation result shows that the trajectory in Figure 9a has a significantly smaller deviation from the given reference position than that in Figure 9b. Traditional PI strategy controls the X and Y direction movement independently. However, the proposed new control strategy integrates them into one control model. The smaller deviation from the given reference position in Figure 9a shows that the total trajectory error, which is the combination of that in X and Y direction, in the proposed new control model is smaller. In practical manufacturing process, it means the proposed control strategy can guarantee the platform mover moves along the given reference trajectory with only a tiny deviation even under the sudden short-period disturbance.
forces. Thus, compared with the traditional independent PID, the proposed control strategy has better dynamic and robust performance.

![Figure 9](image1.png)

**Figure 9.** Comparison of platform trajectory: (a) proposed control model based on inverse system; (b) traditional control model.

To further compare the anti-disturbance performance of the proposed control strategy and the traditional PID control strategy in terms of the trajectory tracking, a circle reference trajectory is given as the input to both control models. The reference circle trajectory is set in the XY plane with the radius of 20 μm. The random disturbance force with the amplitude of 50 N (half the rated load force) is imposed on both X and Y directions. The simulation result under such random disturbance forces is shown in Figure 10. Figure 10a shows the circle trajectory tracking result for the proposed control model, while Figure 10b shows that for the traditional PID model. It can be seen from Figure 10 that for both control models, the output trajectory can follow the reference circle trajectory. However, the proposed model has better tracking performance because it has less coupling between the X- and Y-axes as analyzed in the 4.3 Decoupling Design.

![Figure 10](image2.png)

**Figure 10.** Circle trajectory tracking analysis: (a) proposed control model; (b) traditional PID control model.
5.3. System Real-Time Performance Validation

The proposed inverse system-based PID control model has been programmed and run on a NI real-time platform to verify its real-time performance. The real-time control platform consists of an embedded controller, a plug-in I/O module, a PC and a display monitor. The PC connects to the real-time controller via Ethernet cable. The PC is used to design LabVIEW program and download it to the NI controller. NI Controller needs to complete the real-time computation of the program, read data from I/O board and its relevant data is then uploaded to the PC for result visualization. It is common to set a fixed-loop-time for practical industrial control. Within a loop, the output of the controller keeps constant and the controller output changes per fixed-loop-time on average. In most practical real-time industrial applications, the real-time performance requires that the program loop computation time needed is less than 1 ms (or more than 1000 times per second). The fixed program loop time in this test is set to be 200 µs. Figure 11 shows the measured running time needed for each loop. It can be seen that the average run time of the proposed inverse system-based model is close to 200 µs, which indicates that most loops can be completed within the set fixed time period 200 µs. More specifically, the maximum loop run time is 215 µs and the minimum one is 177 µs. Under the fixed mode, the loop will be abandoned if its computation time exceeds the set fixed-loop-time to some extent. To further explore the real computation time for each loop, the above process is replicated under the free-loop-time mode, the result of which is shown in Figure 12. It can be seen from Figure 12 that the average (real) loop time is about 180 µs, with 250 µs and 160 µs as the maximum and minimum loop times, respectively. The test result shows that the proposed control strategy can be used in high-precision industrial applications even taking real-time performance into account.

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Figure 11.** System run time needed for each computation loop with fixed-loop-time.

![Figure 12](image2.png)

**Figure 12.** System run time needed for each computation loop with free-loop-time.
6. Conclusions

Based on the inverse system method, this paper successfully achieves dynamic decoupling control of an H-shaped platform driven by multiple linear motors, which has multi-variable, nonlinear and coupling characteristics. The inverse system model compensates the original system into a pseudo-linear decoupled linear system, and thus PID controller (typical linear controller) can be used to integrate the control system. To validate the proposed control method, simulation has been done in MATLAB/Simulink to explore the dynamic performance under load force disturbances. The simulation result shows that the displacement deviation between the two linear motors in the Y direction has been controlled to be within a very small range (maximum 0.1 µm) with a recovery time of 0.02 s under the disturbance of half the rated load force, which indicates high synchronization performance. Different from the traditional PID method which controls the X and Y direction movement independently, the proposed inverse system-based PID controller controls the platform mover trajectory in one control system, with the aim to decrease the total positioning error. This has also been validated through the simulation result that the trajectory tracking error under the disturbance of half the rated disturbances is significantly decreased compared with that in the traditional PID method. Extra circle trajectory tracking comparison further validates the above analysis. It indicates that the control system can move along a given reference trajectory under random load disturbance. Furthermore, the designed control system has been run on NI controller under both fixed-loop-time and free-loop-time modes. The result shows the needed loop computation time is about 200 µs, significantly smaller than the required 1 ms in high-precision industrial applications.

In conclusion, the proposed inverse system has high performance for the two main challenges in the control of the H-shaped platform, synchronous deviation and total positioning error. It has also met the real-time requirements, which makes it suitable for real high-precision industrial applications.
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