The Role of Nuclear Medicine for COVID-19: Time to Act Now

TO THE EDITOR: As every medical department worldwide is bracing for the impact the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic will pose on daily routines, the scientific community starts to excel in exploring the different facets this novel disease bears for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. Nuclear medicine, touting itself “molecular medicine” as well as “theranostic medicine” may not fall short here, as we have much to offer.

Although it may be wise for individual nuclear medicine departments to focus on taking precautions for themselves and their most vulnerable patients, with oncologic and cardiovascular or neurologic “predispositions,” to the risks of exposure to COVID-19-bearing individuals (1), we should still motivate ourselves and the academic nuclear medicine community to actively participate in improving care for COVID-19 patients.

Accumulating evidence suggests that some of the detrimental effects seen in patients with severe COVID-19 are attributed to an overly host antiviral defense as seen in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), leading to hyperinflammatory reactions or cytokine storm syndrome, sometimes also affecting the central nervous system (CNS) (2,3).

Until now, however, existing knowledge regarding supportive care and adjunctive pharmacologic therapy is limited. Even worse, a subgroup of COVID-19 patients that seems to do well after getting out of the intensive care unit dies of acute respiratory syndrome just several days later, without clinical signs indicating their imminent deterioration. This situation may be one of the first places where nuclear medicine should tune in: With 18F-FDG PET/CT for decades being well evaluated for its sensitivity in detecting inflammatory disease (4), we should start to prospectively collect data in a well-defined group of patients at given time intervals during the course of COVID-19 infection to better understand the inflammatory component of the disease and maybe find early prognostic signs that warrant proactive antiinflammatory treatment in patients at risk. Until now, only anecdotal data exist (5). As a nuclear medicine community, we ought to team up and establish protocols suitable for multicentric evaluation as soon as possible, meeting the requirements for controlled trials. Serial examinations should include both cohorts of patients with proven COVID-19 with different severity and extended follow-up after recovery as well as symptomatic patients with radiographic findings typical for COVID-19, but without initial proof of infection, and should not only focus on pulmonary inflammation but also address possible inflammatory involvement (e.g., of myocardium, pericardium, vasculature, muscles, intestine, and the CNS).

At academic sites providing research facilities including cyclotron and radiopharmacy production, the research into the inflammatory cascade could go even further. There are well-established radiopharmaceuticals suitable as inflammatory biomarkers at an intracellular level, for example, targeting the purinergic P2X7 receptor (6). Although initially designed to quantify neurominflamation, they can easily be repurposed for imaging the inflammatory component of the disease and maybe address possible inflammatory involvement (e.g., of myocardium, pericardium, vasculature, muscles, intestine, and the CNS).
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We read with great interest the recent publication entitled “Dr. Li Wenliang and the Time of COVID-19” (1). As mentioned in this article, Dr. Li was reprimanded initially for “disrupting public order” in China when he first reported the outbreak in Wuhan. As a matter of fact, on December 30, 2019, Dr. Li warned in an online chat group on WeChat that he had seen a report showing positive test results of SARS for 7 patients. However, he did not formally report the outbreak to the authorities.

Dr. Zhang Jixian is considered the first doctor to report the novel coronavirus before its outbreak. A senior couple living in the residential community near Dr. Zhang’s hospital went to see her for their fever and cough on December 26, 2019. When she observed their CT thorax images, Dr. Zhang found differences from pneumonia caused by common viruses. Zhang’s experience during the 2003 SARS outbreak, when she worked as a medical expert investigating suspected patients in Wuhan, made her sensitive to signs of an epidemic. After reading the CT images of the elderly couple, she summoned their son, demanding a CT scan of him too. It was Zhang’s insistence that brought her the second piece of evidence: the son’s lungs showed the same abnormalities as those of his parents. Also on December 27, the hospital received another patient who also developed symptoms of coughing and fever and showed the same lung images in the CT scan. The blood tests of the 4 indicated viral infections.

“Usually, a family comes to the hospital and there is little chance for all the family members to have the same disease except for infectious diseases,” said Dr. Zhang, who gave the couple’s family and the patient from the seafood market tests denying the possibility of flu.

As the situation continued to confuse Zhang, she reported it to the head of the hospital on December 27, and the hospital then reported it to the Center for Disease Control (CDC) in the Jianghan district of Wuhan. Back then, knowledge of the virus was scarce. After filing the report, Dr. Zhang cordoned off an area in the department’s ward to hospitalize the 4 patients described above. She then demanded medics in the ward to beef up self-protection. Epidemiologic investigations and tests were arranged quickly by the CDC. Within 2 d, the hospital continued to receive more similar patients, and Dr. Zhang promptly reported to the hospital. Before the CDC expert group paid attention to this epidemic, Dr. Zhang had set up a 9-bed isolation ward for the patients and taken measures to isolate them. She also bought 30 pieces of canvas as protective clothing. “If it is delivered uniformly by the hospital, it needs to be customized” she said, “if it is online shopping, they can receive the goods very quickly.”

At 1:00 PM on December 29, the vice president of the hospital reported directly to the disease control department of the provincial and municipal health and Health Commission. Although December 29 is not a working day, the provincial and municipal health commission disease control department immediately responded to the report and came to the hospital to formally begin the epidemiologic investigation.

At 3:10 PM on December 30, Wuhan Municipal Health and Health Commission issued the official document “emergency notice on reporting the treatment of pneumonia of unknown causes.” The National Health Commission (NHC) dispatched a working group and an expert team in the wee hours of December 31 to Wuhan to guide epidemic response and conduct on-site investigations. Not only was Dr. Zhang the first to sound an alarm for epidemic prevention and control, but she was also the “leader”