Siz2 Prevents Ribosomal DNA Recombination by Modulating Levels of Tof2 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
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ABSTRACT Ribosomal DNA (rDNA) recombination in budding yeast is regulated by multiple converging processes, including posttranslational modifications such as SUMOylation. In this study, we report that the absence of a SUMO E3 ligase, Siz2, results in increased unequal rDNA exchange. We show that Siz2 is enriched at the replication fork barrier (RFB) in the rDNA and also controls the homeostasis of Tof2 protein. siz2Δ resulted in increased accumulation of total Tof2 in the cell and a consequent increase in the enrichment of Tof2 at the rDNA. Overproducing Tof2 ectopically or conditional overexpression of Tof2 also resulted in higher levels of rDNA recombination, suggesting a direct role for Tof2. Additionally, our chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) data indicate that the accumulation of Tof2 in a siz2Δ mutant resulted in an enhanced association of Fob1, an RFB binding protein at the rDNA at the RFB. This increased Fob1 association at the RFB may have resulted in the elevated rDNA recombination. Our study thus demonstrates that the Tof2 levels modulate recombination at the rDNA.

IMPORTANCE The genes that encode rRNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are organized as multiple repeats. The repetitive nature and heavy transcription of this region make it prone to DNA breaks. DNA breaks could lead to recombination, which could result in either loss or gain of repeats with detrimental consequences to the cell. Multiple mechanisms operate to maintain the stability of rDNA. Earlier studies reported that the absence of Ulp2, a deSUMOylase, resulted in declining levels of Tof2 and thereby disrupted rDNA silencing. In contrast, our findings suggest that accumulation of Tof2 can also result in increased rDNA recombination, through a mechanism that involves Fob1, an RFB-bound protein. While our study has examined only Tof2, rDNA recombination could be regulated by other proteins through a mechanism similar to this.
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In most eukaryotes, the genes encoding rRNA are present as repetitive sequences clustered in one or more chromosomes. The ribosomal DNA (rDNA) of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is encoded on chromosome XII and consists of 100 to 200 copies of a 9.1-kb repeat that encodes the 5S and 35S rRNA components of the ribosome. The coding sequences are separated by two nontranscribed regions termed NTS1 and NTS2 (1). The 35S rRNA is transcribed by RNA polymerase I, whereas the 5S rRNA is transcribed by RNA polymerase III (2). The intergenic spacer NT5S2 contains the origin of replication (the ribosomal autonomous replicating sequence [rARS]) and cohesin-associated region (3), while NTS1 contains a replication fork barrier (RFB) (4) and a 520-bp RNA polymerase II-dependent bidirectional promoter, E-pro (5). The transcriptions of 35S rRNA and 5S rRNA proceed in opposite directions (Fig. 1a).

During S phase, as replication proceeds bidirectionally from multiple rARS, one of the replication forks moves in the direction opposite 35S rRNA transcription and can thus encounter the transcription machinery of 35S rRNA, leading to collision between...
the replication and transcription complexes (4). Such collisions can result in DNA breaks which would promote recombination and chromosome instability. To prevent a collision between the transcription and replication machineries, a replication fork barrier (RFB) is present at the NTS1 region (4). The RFB contains a 100-bp sequence of DNA that permits movement of the replication fork in the direction of 35S rRNA transcription but not in the opposite direction. This region bound by a replication fork block protein, Fob1, ensures that replication moving in the opposite direction of 35S rRNA transcription is stalled, while the forks proceeding in the direction of 35S rRNA transcription continue (6). Failure to respond to this stalling results in a double-strand break (DSB) and requires homologous recombination to repair the breaks. When the repair is accomplished using equal sister chromatid recombination, that is, the sequence recombines with DNA on the same location of the sister chromatid, the rDNA copy number remains the same (7). Recombination with a misaligned sister chromatid leads to unequal sister chromatid exchange (USCE) that causes an increase in rDNA copy number.

The recombination events are regulated by two key mechanisms: Sir2 dependent and Sir2 independent (8, 9). Sir2 is a conserved NAD⁺-dependent histone deacetylase that is crucial for establishing silent chromatin. Sir2 predominantly localizes to the
nucleolus and heterochromatic loci, namely, the telomeres and silent mating-type loci. At the rDNA, Sir2 exists in a complex with Net1 and Cdc14 (together called the RENT complex) and silences the E-pro promoter found at NTS1, situated near RFB. Transcription from the E-pro promoter produces a noncoding transcript that prevents the association of cohesin to the rDNA at the cohesin-associated region (CAR) (10). Under normal conditions, Sir2 represses transcription of the E-pro region, and therefore, in the absence of noncoding transcripts, cohesin is recruited. Cohesin recruitment promotes equal sister chromatid exchange and subsequent repair of DSB by homologous recombination. In the second mechanism, Fob1 initiates a hierarchal protein recruitment cascade that finally recruits cohesin and condensin that prevent USCE. First, Fob1 that binds at the RFB sequence recruits Tof2. Tof2 recruits the cohibin complex consisting of Lrs4 and Csm1; the cohibin complex recruits cohesin (9). In addition, Fob1 also interacts with and stabilizes Net1 and thus can influence the Sir2-dependent pathway as well. DNA damage due to stalled replication forks at the RFB of the rDNA is also repaired using the homologous sequence of an adjacent repeat (intrachromosomal recombination), where any repeat present between the damaged unit and the donor unit loops out and excises DNA fragments in the form of extra recombinant circles, resulting in reduction in rDNA copy number (11).

Multiple means of regulation of the rDNA copy number converge to ensure the stability of rDNA. When rDNA copy number is low, disassociation of cohesin due to E-pro-mediated transcripts results in an increase in rDNA copy number (10). When brought back to the wild-type levels, Sir2-dependent and cohibin-dependent recruitment of cohesin together maintain stable rDNA copy number (9, 10). The absence of Sir2 and the cohibin complex increases unequal sister chromatid exchange and decreases replicative life span, while deletion of Fob1 reduces intrachromatid recombination, decreases extra recombinant circle production, and extends replicative life span (9, 12–14). Thus, various processes such as transcription, cohesion, replication, and recombination performed by Sir2, the cohibin and cohesin complex, and Fob1 together regulate copy number of rDNA.

Posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation and SUMOylation have evolved as crucial regulators for rDNA stability. For instance, Fob1 phosphorylation promotes Fob1-Fob1 interaction and oligomerization. This increases intrachromatid recombination by promoting DNA interactions between RFBs at rDNA (a mechanism termed chromosome kissing). Further phosphorylation of the C-terminal domain of Fob1 promotes loading of both RENT and Tof2 complexes at the NTS1 (15, 16).

SUMOylation is another posttranslational modification that regulates various processes by changing protein-protein interactions, localization, or levels of target proteins through ubiquitin-mediated degradation. SUMOylation is a reversible posttranslational modification that covalently attaches a SUMO moiety to a target protein in an ATP-dependent mechanism similar to ubiquitination (17). This modification is reversed by deSUMOylases or SUMO-specific proteases which cleave the SUMO from target proteins (18). The yeast genome encodes two deSUMOylases, Ulp1 and Ulp2. Ulp1, apart from deSUMOylating targets, is also essential for maturation of SUMO (19). Ulp2 removes polySUMO chains on targets and prevents their degradation (20). Ulp1 and Ulp2 have different targets partly due to their differential cellular localization. While Ulp1 is localized to the nuclear periphery, Ulp2 is found within the nucleoplasm (21, 22). Sks5/Sks8 is a class of SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligases, which specifically recognize polySUMOylated proteins and divert them for degradation.

Substrate specificity of SUMOylation is brought about by the SUMO E3 ligases, which catalyze the transfer of SUMO from the E2-conjugating enzyme to the lysine residue on the target protein. In budding yeast, Siz1 and Siz2 are the main SUMO E3 ligases that SUMOylate a diverse set of targets (23, 24). Zip3, another SUMO E3 ligase in yeast, is involved in the assembly of synaptonemal complex between homologous chromosomes during meiosis (25), and Mms21 is involved in DNA repair, nucleolar function, and sister chromatid recombination (26–28).

Nucleolus appears to be a major site of SUMO dynamics. For instance, in wild-type
cells SUMO is seen as a diffused signal within the nucleus; in the absence of the deSUMOylase Ulp2, a bulk of SUMO (visualized by using GFP-SMT3) is enriched within the nucleolus which houses the rDNA repeats (29). A reduction in rDNA copy number is seen in a siz1Δ siz2Δ mutant. Overexpression of the SUMO E3 ligase, Siz2, in fact, caused an increase in rDNA copy number, while shutting off the expression of Siz2 resulted in efficient loss of this amplified rDNA (29). Proteins involved in rDNA maintenance have also been shown to be SUMOylated by the yeast SUMO E3 ligases Siz1, Siz2, and Mms21 (29–33).

Recent studies have investigated the importance of SUMOylation of a few targets in rDNA silencing (31). In an ulp2Δ mutant, rDNA proteins Net1, Tof2, and Fob1 are hyperSUMOylated and there is a reduced occupancy at rDNA. This reduction in enrichment is reversed in the absence of Siz5. Ulp2 is recruited at the rDNA by Csm1. ulp2Δ resulted in elevated Tof2 polySUMOylation and a decline in its overall abundance (34, 35). The ulp2Δ mutant also exhibited rDNA silencing defects, possibly due to the degradation of Tof2. Biochemical characterization of SUMOylated proteins using mass spectrometry (MS) identified Ulp1-, Ulp2-, Siz1/Siz2-, and Mms21-specific targets which included Net1, Tof2, and Cdc14 (33).

SUMO ligase and SUMO protease work antagonistically to maintain levels of proteins by controlling STUbL-mediated degradation (31). In this work, we investigated the role of the SUMO E3 ligase Siz2 in preventing UCSE at the rDNA. Although previous studies report a change in rDNA copy number in the siz1Δ siz2Δ mutant, the mechanism through which either of these enzymes acts together or individually has not been studied. Tof2 polySUMOylation and its subsequent degradation were shown to affect rDNA silencing. However, the necessity for this homeostatic control or the consequence of Tof2 accumulation has not been investigated earlier. This study bridges the gap in understanding the role of Tof2 homeostasis in rDNA recombination. We show that Siz2 affects Tof2 protein levels and its recruitment at the RFB. Increasing Tof2 at the RFB resulted in increased Fob1 binding. Thus, Siz2 is important to maintain adequate Tof2 levels and consequently adequate Fob1 at the RFB.

RESULTS

SUMO ligase Siz2 is required to prevent UCSE. In an effort to understand the importance of SUMOylation in rDNA recombination, we tested the requirement for SUMO ligase Siz2 in rDNA recombination. We monitored rDNA recombination based on the loss of an ADE2 gene placed in the rDNA repeats (9). Colonies with half-sectors of red and white which indicate the loss of the ADE2 marker in the first mitotic division on the plate were counted. As shown in Fig. 1b, an increased marker loss indicating elevated recombination at the rDNA is seen in the siz2Δ mutant. Complementation with wild-type Siz2 returned recombination to wild-type levels, whereas complementation with a catalytic mutant of Siz2 did not. These data show that SUMO ligase activity of Siz2 is required for repressing recombination at the rDNA locus.

Earlier studies have shown that Siz2 is associated with several nucleolar proteins, and localization of fluorescently tagged Siz2 suggested a nuclear localization with an additional sequestration at specific parts of the nuclear envelope (29, 36–39). Since our results suggested a role for Siz2 in rDNA recombination, we tested if Siz2 acted directly at the rDNA site or influenced rDNA recombination indirectly. We performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) for Siz2 in strains encoding Siz2 with a C-terminal fusion to 9×Myc epitopes (40). A schematic representation of the primers used for ChIP is shown in Fig. 1a. As shown in Fig. 1c, we found that Siz2 was enriched over 2-fold at the NTS1 region of rDNA. This further suggests that Siz2 may play a role at the rDNA locus.

Siz2 regulates rDNA recombination via Tof2. Recombination at the rDNA is regulated by both Sir2-containing RENT complex and the cohibin complex containing Tof2, Csm1, and Lrs4. As all of these proteins are potentially SUMOylated, they could be targets of Siz2 (31, 33). Therefore, we made double mutants of siz2Δ with each of these components and measured rDNA recombination by the half-sector assay. We found that sir2Δ, lrs4Δ, and cms1Δ mutants had high levels of recombination and the tof2Δ...
Siz2 Controls Tof2 Homeostasis and rDNA Recombination

mutant had a lower recombination, as reported before (9). The double deletion of siz2Δ with each of sir2Δ, irts4Δ, and csm1Δ exacerbated the recombination phenotype, suggesting that these proteins do not act in the same pathway as that of Siz2 (Fig. 2a). However, tof2Δ siz2Δ double mutants had lower frequencies of recombination than either of the single mutants, and thus, tof2Δ suppressed the siz2Δ phenotype. Although the tof2Δ mutant by itself does not have a significant recombination phenotype, it is involved in recruiting the cohibin complex which regulates UCSE as well as the binding of Fob1 and Top1, both of which are important for preventing rDNA recombination (9, 41). Thus, while the absence of cohibin complex and Sir2 exhibited additive effects with siz2Δ, the absence of Tof2 was able to suppress the defect seen in a siz2Δ mutant. We therefore reasoned that perhaps Siz2 regulates rDNA recombination through Tof2. Moreover, to confirm if the defect observed in a siz2Δ mutant was due to a specific function associated with Siz2, we tested if loss of siz1, also known to have a role in rDNA integrity, elevated recombination similarly to that of Siz2. As shown in Fig. 2a, the siz2Δ mutant did not exhibit the recombination phenotype and was comparable to wild type.

Siz2 controls Tof2 protein levels. Recent work had shown that levels of Tof2 protein decreased in the absence of Ulp2, a SUMO protease that removes polySUMO chains, and this in turn impacts silencing at the rDNA locus (34, 35). Siz2 is a known polySUMOylating enzyme, and therefore, we tested if Tof2 protein levels were affected in siz2Δ cells. We compared the levels of Tof2 protein in wild-type and siz2Δ cells and found that, indeed, Tof2 protein levels were higher in the siz2Δ mutant (Fig. 2b, i and ii). Under the same conditions, protein levels of Csm1 and Sir2 were not altered, further suggesting that Tof2 is a likely target for Siz2 at the rDNA (Fig. 2b, iii to vi). We next asked if this increase in Tof2 levels was also reflected in the increased association of Tof2 with the rDNA. Consistent with our observations for recombination and protein levels, Tof2 enrichment at the RFB was increased in the siz2Δ mutant, while Csm1 was unaffected (Fig. 2c).

Siz2 is epistatic to Ulp2 in regulating rDNA recombination and Tof2 protein levels. As earlier work had shown that Tof2 homeostasis depended on Ulp2, and that the ulp2Δ mutant exhibited a loss in silencing at the rDNA, we tested the effect of ulp2Δ on rDNA recombination (34). We find that loss of Ulp2 leads to elevated recombination rates, and fittingly, the siz2Δ ulp2Δ double mutant had reduced frequency of rDNA recombination compared to that of an ulp2Δ mutant. The increased recombination seen in an ulp2Δ mutant was suppressed by the deletion of siz2. In fact, the recombination frequency in the siz2Δ ulp2Δ mutant was similar to that of the siz2Δ mutant (Fig. 3a). It is possible that the suppression of recombination in the siz2Δ ulp2Δ mutant could be independent of Tof2 levels. To test this, we introduced Tof2-Myc in the siz2Δ ulp2Δ double mutant and compared the total Tof2 protein levels. The recombination frequency correlated with the levels of Tof2, wherein the ulp2Δ mutant showed decreased levels of Tof2 as shown before (34), and siz2Δ ulp2Δ restored Tof2 to levels similar to those of the siz2Δ mutant, reflecting the recombination phenotype seen in a siz2Δ ulp2Δ mutant (Fig. 3b and c). Thus, siz2Δ is epistatic to ulp2Δ in regulating both Tof2 protein levels and rDNA recombination frequency. Taken together, these data indicate that Tof2 protein is maintained at a steady-state level by the opposing actions of Siz2 and Ulp2.

Tof2 modulates recombination at rDNA. The results described above establish that rDNA recombination is regulated by both Siz2 and Ulp2, and these proteins regulate protein levels of Tof2 (our results and reference 34). Based on these data, we hypothesized that rDNA recombination was regulated by balanced association of Tof2 at RFB. If this was indeed the case, one prediction that can be made is that overproducing Tof2, independent of perturbations to Siz2 or Ulp2, should increase recombination. Tof2 was overproduced to mimic accumulating Tof2 protein in a siz2Δ mutant by expressing Tof2 under a strong TEF promoter in a 2μ vector. As shown in Fig. 4a, overexpressing Tof2 in wild-type and siz2Δ strains increases the recombination frequency.
FIG 2  Siz2 controls rDNA recombination through Tof2. (a) Recombination frequency per 1,000 cells was measured for the following: wild-type a (KRY 486), wild-type α (KRY 2129), siz2Δ (KRY 1821), csm1Δ (KRY 487), lrs4Δ (KRY 488), tof2Δ (KRY 489), sir2Δ (KRY 1542), sir2Δ siz2Δ (KRY 1906), csm1Δ siz2Δ (KRY 1813), lrs4Δ siz2Δ (KRY 1816), tof2Δ siz2Δ (KRY 1819), siz1Δ (KRY 2108), and siz1Δ tof2Δ (KRY 2107) strains. Strains were grown (Continued on next page)
Two strains that express Tof2 at different levels under the inducible Gal1 promoter were created by Geil et al. (Tof2#1, moderately overexpressed; Tof2#2, highly overexpressed) (42). The same strains were crossed with an RDN1::ADE2 strain to create the moderately overexpressed and highly overexpressed Tof2 containing the ADE2 at the FIG 2 Legend (Continued) in selective medium, plated onto SC-Ade plates containing minimal adenine, and incubated for 2 to 3 days.

(b) Total protein was extracted from indicated strains; undiluted extract and 5-fold and 10-fold dilutions of the extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE, and Western blotting assays were performed with antibodies to Myc epitope to detect Tof2 and Csm1 or antibodies to Sir2. All blots were probed with antibodies to Pgk1 to confirm equal loading. The Western blot was developed using antibodies to Myc to detect Tof2 and Pgk1 to confirm equalized protein levels. Lanes of relevant samples from the same blot were spliced for clarity.

(c) Quantification of Tof2 in the indicated strains from 3 different experiments which demonstrates the restoration of Tof2 protein levels similar to that of a siz2Δ mutant. ns, P > 0.05; *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; ****, P ≤ 0.0001.

Two strains that express Tof2 at different levels under the inducible Gal1 promoter were created by Geil et al. (Tof2#1, moderately overexpressed; Tof2#2, highly overexpressed) (42). The same strains were crossed with an RDN1::ADE2 strain to create the moderately overexpressed and highly overexpressed Tof2 containing the ADE2 at the FIG 3 Siz2 and Ulp2 control rDNA recombination through Tof2 protein homeostasis. (a) ADE2 marker loss assay was carried out in wild-type (KRY 486, KRY 2129), ulp2Δ (KRY 1919), siz2Δ (KRY 1821), ulp2Δ siz2Δ (KRY 1920), siz2Δ (KRY 2108), and ulp2Δ siz1Δ (KRY 2111) strains. Strains were grown in selective medium, plated onto SC-Ade plates containing minimal adenine, and incubated for 2 to 3 days.

(b) Wild-type (KRY 2129), siz2Δ (KRY 1924), ulp2Δ (KRY 1929), and siz2Δ ulp2Δ (KRY 1938) strains containing 13×Myc-tagged Tof2 were grown in YPD, and proteins were extracted. Protein concentration was equalized and loaded. The Western blot was developed using antibodies to Myc to detect Tof2 and Pgk1 to confirm equalized protein levels. Lanes of relevant samples from the same blot were spliced for clarity.

(c) Quantification of Tof2 in the indicated strains from 3 different experiments which demonstrates the restoration of Tof2 protein levels similar to that of a siz2Δ mutant. ns, P > 0.05; *, P ≤ 0.05; **, P ≤ 0.01; ****, P ≤ 0.0001.
rDNA to test for recombination frequency. The differential protein levels in these two strains were confirmed by Western blotting (data not shown) to test for rDNA recombination. The respective strains, when grown in glucose, exhibit wild-type levels of recombination. When grown in galactose, they exhibit high recombination mimicking the siz2/H9004 mutant (Fig. 4b). The recombination phenotype was in accord with the levels of Tof2 being overexpressed, where Tof2#2 exhibited a much more severe rDNA recombination phenotype than Tof2#1.

Tof2 potentially controls Fob1, the key recruiter of both RENT and cohibin. Although decreased levels of Tof2 were earlier shown to correlate with reduced rDNA silencing, the downstream target for Tof2 is not known. We hypothesized that Fob1 could be a possible target for Tof2 based on several previous observations in literature. One, it was shown that polySUMOylated Fob1 accumulates in the ulp2/H9004 mutant and its binding was reduced by 50% in the ulp2/H9004 mutant (31). Second, although RFB is present across all 100 to 200 repeats, not all of them efficiently stall the approaching replication fork. Moreover, an approaching fork does not stall at an RFB that is not bound by Fob1, indicating that Fob1 is a major limiting factor. Third, Fob1 when overexpressed led to more efficient stalling on 10 successive RFBs placed on a minichromosome (43). Based on these observations, we first tested if Fob1 protein levels were also affected by siz2/H9004. However, we find that, as shown in Fig. 5a (i and ii), total Fob1 protein level remains unchanged.

While Fob1 is essential for recruiting Tof2 and the cohibin complex at NTS1 (9), it was also shown that, in the absence of Tof2, Fob1 association at the RFB was reduced by half (41). Thus, an increase in Tof2 at the RFB might result in increased enrichment of Fob1 that leads to increased numbers of stalled RFBs and that induces hyperrecombination. ChIP was performed to test the Fob1 enrichment in wild-type and siz2/H9004 strains. As shown in Fig. 5b, the enrichment of Fob1 at the RFB was higher in the siz2/H9004 mutant than in the wild type. Thus, loss of siz2 does not affect Fob1 protein level; instead, possibly through Tof2, siz2/H9004 increases Fob1 levels at the RFB.

** FIG 4 Overexpression of Tof2 elevates recombination. (a) ADE2 marker loss assays were performed in wild-type (KRY 2129) and siz2/H9004 (KRY 1821) strains transformed with pTEF-TOF2 (CKM 610) or pTEF (CKM 274). Strains were grown in selective medium, serially diluted, plated onto Sc-Leu Ade containing minimal adenine, and grown at 30°C for 2 to 3 days. (b) Tof2 protein levels were checked in strains expressing different levels of Tof2 protein. KRY 1966 (#1) and KRY 1973 (#2) overexpressing Tof2 under the inducible Gal1 promoter and a control strain without Tof2 under the inducible promoter (KRY 1965) were grown in Sc-galactose or Sc-glucose medium, plated after dilution onto Sc-galactose/Sc-glucose plates containing minimal adenine, and incubated for 2 to 3 days. **, \( P \leq 0.01 \); ****, \( P \leq 0.0001 \).
DISCUSSION

Studies have so far established the antagonistic actions of Ulp2 and Slx5 in protein homeostasis of rDNA-bound proteins. Our findings suggest that a similar opposing action of Siz2 and Ulp2 also regulates the homeostasis of Tof2, an rDNA-bound protein, and hence rDNA recombination.

Absence of Siz2 led to higher recombination frequency at rDNA (Fig. 1b). We tested the possible pathways through which Siz2 might act, by creating double mutants of siz2Δ with sir2Δ, lrs4Δ, csm1Δ, and tof2Δ. We show that only tof2Δ suppressed the recombination phenotype seen in a siz2Δ mutant, indicating that the siz2Δ-induced recombination requires Tof2 (Fig. 2a). Earlier studies reported that Tof2 is SUMOylated and that polySUMOylated Tof2 accumulated in the ulp2Δ mutant, which in turn is targeted for STUbL-mediated degradation (31, 34). Thus, while existing evidence has established the detrimental role of reduced Tof2 for rDNA, this work was able to establish that it is, in fact, a balance of Tof2 levels that is essential to prevent rDNA recombination.

We hypothesized that Siz2 possibly polySUMOylates Tof2 and that lack of polySUMOylation in the absence of Siz2 leads to accumulation of Tof2, as it cannot be diverted for degradation. Indeed, the siz2Δ mutant exhibited increased levels of Tof2 (Fig. 2b, i and ii). This accumulation of Tof2 also caused an enrichment of Tof2 at the RFB (Fig. 2c) within the NTS1 region of the rDNA, which is a hot spot for recombination. Ulp2, by removing the polySUMO chains, protects Tof2 from degradation and maintains the cohibin complex at the RFB. The complementary role of Siz2 and Ulp2 in Tof2 homeostasis was established in this study when the recombination phenotype in an...
ulp2Δ mutant was suppressed in the siz2Δ ulp2Δ mutant, which correlated with the changes in protein levels as well (Fig. 3a to c). Thus, the effect of ulp2Δ on UCSE at the rDNA through Tof2 protein levels is dependent on Siz2 function.

To confirm if this accumulation was the sole contributing factor for rDNA recombination, Tof2 was overproduced by cloning it into a high-expression vector and by placing it under an inducible Gal1 promoter (Fig. 4a and b). Increased expression of Tof2 under both conditions exhibited increased USCE even in a wild-type strain. In fact, overexpressing Tof2 at different protein levels using the inducible Gal promoter led to an equivalent increase in rDNA recombination. The amount of Tof2 was directly proportional to the severity of rDNA recombination phenotype. PolySUMOylated Tof2 is usually targeted for degradation by the Slx5/Slx8-mediated ubiquitin pathway. The excessive degradation is then prevented by Ulp2 that removes polySUMO chains. This ensures that there is sufficient Tof2 to recruit the cohibin complex and thereby prevent rDNA recombination. We speculate that the roles of Ulp2 and Slx5/Slx8 at the rDNA in Tof2 homeostasis are possibly dependent on Siz2 activity.

Further, to understand the molecular mechanism by which increased accumulation of Tof2 at NTS1 causes increased USCE, possible targets were examined. Tof2 is important for localization of the cohibin complex (Csm1/Lrs4), Top1 cleavage complex, and Fob1 (9, 41). The increased USCE observed with increased levels of Tof2 bound at the rDNA is possibly due to increased accumulation of one of these proteins. Increased accumulation of Tof2 did not affect Csm1 enrichment at the RFB region of rDNA, ruling out the possibility that the cohibin complex is the direct target of Tof2 that led to rDNA recombination.

We reasoned that Fob1 could be the downstream effector for the Tof2-induced elevated recombination in a siz2Δ mutant due to its dual roles in maintaining rDNA recombination. Fob1 prevents rDNA recombination by recruiting the RENT complex and cohibin complex, thus allowing only equal sister chromatid recombination (9). On the other hand, Fob1 is also the initiating factor for rDNA recombination, since it is the stalling of RFB induced by Fob1 and Fob1-mediated chromosome kissing that induces intrachromosomal hyper-recombination (15). Absence of Tof2 led to a more than 50% decrease in Fob1 binding at the RFB (41). We demonstrate that while Fob1 protein levels remain unchanged, Fob1 protein at the NTS1 was enriched (Fig. 5a and b). This enrichment could cause an increase in DSBs at the rDNA and, hence, cause increased USCE. This is possibly why not all RFBs are occupied by Fob1. This study was able to uncover a direct link between Tof2 abundance and Fob1 association at RFB to prevent USCE at the rDNA.

Our data indicate that action of Siz2 and Ulp2 maintains a balanced level of Tof2 protein. This protein homeostasis is important, and shifting the balance in either direction is deleterious. For instance, excessive degradation of Tof2 and Net1 brought about by polySUMOylation and STUbl-mediated degradation causes inefficient binding of both Net1, which recruits the RENT complex, and Tof2, which recruits the cohibin complex at the rDNA, thus increasing USCE (31). Similarly, in siz2Δ cells, accumulation of Tof2 and its subsequent enrichment at the RFB are potentially due to lack of Siz2-mediated polySUMOylation. We speculate that the balanced levels of Tof2 prevent excessive recruitment of Fob1 at the RFBs to maintain genome stability at the rDNA. This work provides insight into why maintenance of Tof2 level is critical: too much or too little changes the Fob1 association at RFB, thus modulating recombination, and suggests a dual role for Tof2 in preventing rDNA recombination.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Strains and plasmids.** Strains and plasmids used in the study are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. All knockouts described here are full-length open reading frame replacements unless otherwise stated. To construct Tof2 in p425-TEF (CKM 610), Tof2 open reading frame (ORF) from CKM 609 was digested using enzymes SpeI and XhoI and was subcloned into CKM 274. Standard yeast manipulation methods were followed. Yeast strains were grown in yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) or selection medium at 30°C.

**rDNA recombination assay.** Recombination at rDNA was determined by measuring the loss of the ADE2 gene inserted at a single rDNA at the rDNA loci (9). Loss of ADE2 leads to the accumulation of a red pigment causing the cells/colony to become either pink or red. This method uses this phenomenon
for a color-based sector assay. During plating at the time of cell division, loss of ADE2 placed at the rDNA due to a recombination event results in a colony that is half red and half white. Such half-sectored colonies are scored as a single recombination event. The recombination frequency is calculated by counting the total number of colonies and the number of half-sectored colonies and plotted as number of half-sector per 1,000 cells. Completely red colonies are excluded for the estimation of recombination frequency. A total of 5,000 to 20,000 colonies from 3 or more independent colonies for each genotype were counted. Strains were grown in appropriate medium and plated onto Sc-Ade plates containing 5 µg/ml adenine. Strains used for the rDNA recombination assay were all ADE2 except KRY 488, KRY 489, KRY 487, and KRY 486 except TOF2 MYC, respectively.

### TABLE 1 List of strains used in this study

| Strain no. | Genotype | Source |
|------------|----------|--------|
| KRY 2      | MATa W3031a | Rod Rothstein (44) |
| KRY 3      | MATa W3031b | Rod Rothstein (44) |
| KRY 486    | KRY 2 except RDN1::ADE2 RAD5 | Angelika Amon (44) |
| KRY 487    | KRY 2 except csm1Δ::KAN Mx | Angelika Amon (44) |
| KRY 488    | KRY 2 except lsr4Δ::KAN Mx | Angelika Amon (44) |
| KRY 489    | KRY 2 except tof2Δ::KAN Mx | Angelika Amon (44) |
| KRY 1542   | KRY 2 except sir2Δ::KAN Mx | This study |
| KRY 1671   | W1588-4C siz2::MYC::TRP1 MATa | X. Zhao (40) |
| KRY 1800   | KRY 2 except CSM1 9::MYC::TRP1 | Angelika Amon (46) |
| KRY 1802   | KRY 3 except FOB1 13::MYC::HIS3 | Angelika Amon (46) |
| KRY 1813   | KRY 486 except csm1Δ::KAN Mx siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1816   | KRY 2129 except lsr4Δ::KAN Mx siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1819   | KRY 2129 except tof2Δ::KAN Mx siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1821   | KRY 2129 except siz2Δ::HIS3 mata | This study |
| KRY 1898   | KRY 2 except CSM1 9::MYC::TRP1 siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1906   | KRY 2129 except siz2Δ::HIS3 sir2Δ::KAN Mx | This study |
| KRY 1919   | KRY 2129 except ulp2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1920   | KRY 486 except ulp2Δ::HIS3 siz2Δ::KAN Mx | This study |
| KRY 1929   | KRY 3 except ulp2Δ::HIS3 TOF2 13::MYC::KAN TEL VII::URA3 | This study |
| KRY 1923   | KRY 2 except TOF2 MYC::KAN Mx ADE2 | This study |
| KRY 1924   | KRY 3 except TOF2 13::MYC::KAN Mx siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1933   | KRY 3 except FOB1 13::MYC::HIS3 siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 1938   | KRY 3 except ulp2Δ::HIS3 siz2Δ::KAN Mx TOF2 13::MYC::KAN MX | This study |
| KRY 1965   | KRY 2129 except LEU2::pGAL1-Tcyc1-LEU2 rad5-535 | This study |
| KRY 1966   | KRY 2129 except LEU2::pGAL1-TOF2 13::MYC::Tcyc1-LEU2 rad5-535 (#1, moderately overexpressed) | This study |
| KRY 1973   | KRY 2129 except LEU2::pGAL1-TOF2 13::MYC::Tcyc1-LEU2 rad5-535 (#2, highly overexpressed) | This study |
| KRY 2107   | KRY 486 except siz2Δ::HIS3 tof2Δ::KAN Mx | This study |
| KRY 2108   | KRY 486 except siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 2111   | KRY 2129 except ulp2Δ::HIS3 siz1Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 2129   | KRY 486 except MATa | This study |
| KRY 2131   | KRY 3 except TOF2 13::MYC::KAN Mx siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |
| KRY 2132   | KRY 3 except TOF2 13::MYC::KAN Mx siz2Δ::HIS3 | This study |

*All strains used in the study were isogenic with W303a (leu2-3, 112 his3-11, 15 URA3-1 ade2-1 trpl-1 can1-100 rad5-535) or W1588-4C, a RAD5 derivative of W303.

### TABLE 2 List of plasmids used in this study

| Plasmid no. | Description | Reference or source |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| CKM 230     | pSIZ2 in yCP Lac111 | 47 |
| CKM 330     | pCH-SIZ2 in yEp Lac181 | 47 |
| CKM 274     | p425-TEF | 48 |
| CKM 609     | pGAL1-TOF2-tCYC1-LEU2 | W. Seufert (42) |
| CKM 610     | pTEF-TOF2 | This study |
and used as input DNA. Samples were incubated overnight with primary antibody at 4°C with constant rotation. Twenty microliters of protein A-DynaMag beads were added to the chromatin-antibody mixture and incubated for 2 h at 4°C with constant rotation. Protein A-DynaMag beads were washed with 1 ml each of lysis buffer, lysis 500 buffer, LCI-detergent solution, and TBS buffer. Chromatin immunoprecipitate was eluted first with 100 μl of 1% SDS in Tris-EDTA (TE) and then with 150 μl of 0.67% SDS in TE buffer by incubation at 65°C for 10 min. DNA from bound and unbound chromatin (input sample) was purified by phenol-chloroform-isomyl alcohol extraction and ethanol precipitation after RNase and proteinase K digestion. DNA from ChIP experiments was analyzed by real-time PCR using Sybr green master mix on a Quant Studio 3 real-time PCR machine. The primers used are located in the NTS1 and NTS2 regions of the rDNA locus as described in reference 45. NTS1 P1 corresponds to the RFB region at the rDNA (Fig. 1a). The enrichment was calculated at the respective loci and plotted as percent input. An average from three or six independent trials was plotted with standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical significance between wild-type and siz2 strains across the three primer sets was calculated using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

**Protein extract preparation and Western blotting.** Total protein was isolated using the trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation method. Overnight culture was taken, and cells were harvested by centrifuging them for 2 min at 4,000 rpm. The cell pellet was resuspended in 200 μl of 20% TCA, and a 200-μl volume of glass beads was added and vortexed for 1 min at high speed at room temperature (RT). Cell suspension was transferred into a new 1.5-mL centrifuge tube. Glass beads were washed twice with 200 μl of 5% TCA, and the washes were added to the previous suspension. Cell pellet was collected by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min and resuspended in 200 μl of 1× laemml buffer. Twenty to 30 μl of M-Tris base (no pH adjustment) was added until it turned blue. The sample was boiled for 5 min and centrifuged again at 3,000 rpm for 5 min. Protein sample was transferred to a new microcentrifuge tube, and the pellet was discarded. Protein was run on 8% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Anti-Myc staining was done with Ab9106 (Abcam) to detect Mcp-Tof2, Csm1, and Fob1, and anti-Sir2 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology was used to detect Sir2. The total protein obtained after the TCA precipitation method was diluted before loading onto the gel such that the difference in protein in a wild-type strain and a mutant strain can be compared across the various dilutions. Statistical significance for the quantification data between wild-type and siz2 mutant strains was determined using Mann-Whitney test with at least three or more independent biological replicates.

**Data availability.** ChIP data have been deposited at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.10296542.v2.
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