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Abstract

Introduction
As high blood pressure (HBP) is often considered an adult disease in Africa, studies on and services for HBP focus on adults to the near-exclusion of adolescents. The dearth of information about the burden of HBP does not favour much attention being paid to it. We, therefore, prepared this protocol to estimate the prevalence and awareness of HBP in adolescents in Africa through a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods
We will search several major databases for published and unpublished articles on population-based studies on adolescents living in Africa, aged 10–19 years produced from the year 2000 to date. The included articles will be those that define HBP according to international guidelines using the blood pressure cut-offs of the 95th percentile for age, sex and height or of 130/90 mmHg, depending on the age of the subjects. The study selection as well as the evaluation of the quality of the included articles will be done independently by two reviewers, in line with best practices. We will pool together the prevalence across studies using random effects analysis and assess heterogeneity using meta-regression analysis and sub-group analysis. Sensitivity analysis using a leave-one-out analysis and an evaluation of reporting bias will also be performed. Reporting of our findings will conform to the recommended reporting guidelines.

Conclusion
The findings from our comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis will provide an up-to-date information on the prevalence of HBP and its awareness among adolescents in Africa and its sub-regions. They could be used to advocate for increased priority to life course approach to the prevention of cardiovascular diseases.
Systematic review and meta-analysis protocol on adolescent high blood pressure in Africa

Systematic review registration
PROSPERO CRD42020197946.

Introduction
Globally, systematic reviews and meta-analysis of studies on hypertension have been undertaken in different age groups. Among children ≤19 years, the global prevalence of high blood pressure (HBP) and prehypertension was 4.0% and 9.7% respectively [1]. Another global meta-analysis estimated a prevalence of 11.2% of HBP in adolescents aged 10–19 years [2]. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been undertaken in populous countries among children aged 1–20 years in China [3], those aged 4–19 years in India [4], those aged 3–18 years in Iran [5] and among those aged 10–19 years in Brazil [6] and in India [7].

While systematic reviews and meta-analysis on the prevalence of hypertension in Africa have focused on adults [8–10] and older adults [9, 11–13] and pregnant women [13], to date, we are aware of only one (published in 2017) which has focused on children and adolescents [14]. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses in African countries are, similarly, scarce with one in Nigeria estimating that 5.1% and 8.2% of its children aged 1–19 years have hypertension and prehypertension respectively [15]. This compares with 5.5% and 12.7% respectively among children and adolescents aged 2–19 years in Africa [14]. The dearth of reviews may be because HBP in adolescents is considered less common than in adults. In many African countries, adolescent health concentrates on sexual and reproductive health with little priority to noncommunicable diseases (NCDs). Thus, the World Health Organization recommendation of a life course approach to NCDs is seldom applied [16]. In view of the evidence that childhood hypertension tracks into adulthood, it is important that adequate information is obtained at this early stage to enable appropriate interventions aimed at preventing hypertension in later life [17, 18]. We, therefore, present this protocol to estimate the prevalence and awareness of HBP in the adolescents in Africa as well as its sub-regions and to identify associated risk factors.

Unlike the Noubiap et al. [14] review, our systematic review and meta-analysis will focus on adolescents aged 10–19 years in Africa and will not limit the meta-analysis to only studies which define HBP based on the fourth report of the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents (NHBEPWG) [19] or the American Academy of Pediatrics [20]. Some included studies in the earlier systematic review were not analyzed because their definition of HBP were considered ‘non-acceptable’ [14] with a potential loss of valuable information. Studies in Africa and elsewhere, not only define HBP and pre-hypertension using the 95th and 90th percentile of blood pressure for age, sex and height respectively, but also them using them using the 130/80 mmHg and 120/80 mmHg as thresholds [6, 15]. The latter cut-offs are actually in line with the recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics for children aged ≥13 years [20]. The systematic review of hypertension in adolescents in Nigeria included studies in which hypertension was defined as BP > 2 standard deviations of the mean [15].

The review questions to be evaluated will include the following:

• What is the prevalence of HBP in adolescents aged 10 to 19 years and living in Africa?
• What are the risk factors associated with HBP in adolescents in Africa?
• What is the level of awareness of HBP among adolescents in Africa, in those with and without HBP?

**Materials and methods**

**Protocol and registration**

This protocol is registered at PROSPERO with registration number, CRD42020197946, and will comply with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA-P) guidelines (S1 Table) [21].

**Study area**

Africa covers 6% of the total earth surface and 20% of the land areas of the earth [22], with nearly 1.3 billion inhabitants as at 2017, representing about 16% of the total global population [23]. The United Nations (UN) estimates that Africa had a population of about 298 million aged 10–19 years representing 22% of the total population in 2019 [24]. The major health problems of adolescents in Africa include HIV, sexual transmitted infections, road injuries, tuberculosis, and diarrhoeal diseases [25].

For statistical convenience, the United Nations Statistics Division categorizes Africa countries into five geographical regions—Eastern, Western, Northern, Southern, and Mid or Central Africa sub-regions, as shown in Table 1.

**Inclusion criteria**

Articles will be included if they:

• Cover apparently healthy adolescent population aged between 10–19 years living in Africa

• Are published or unpublished, original articles, abstracts, or conference proceedings which report the prevalence/incidence of HBP among adolescents, defined as blood pressure (BP) \( \geq 95^{th} \) percentile for age, sex and height for younger children (aged <13 years) or BP \( \geq 130/80 \) mmHg for older children aged \( \geq 13 \) years [20]

• Are population-based, cross-sectional, or prospective studies published from January 2000 to present

For multi-country/regional studies, articles will be included if it is possible to extract distinct information on adolescent HBP pertaining to African countries. For studies published in multiple articles, the article with the most relevant and detailed information will be retained.

**Exclusion criteria**

Articles will, however, be excluded if they:

• Are case reports, expert opinions, review articles, commentaries, results

• Cover raised non-systemic blood pressure (e.g., pulmonary or portal hypertension)

• Involve adolescents who are obviously ill with acute illness or are living with chronic diseases such as HIV or mental disorders

• Cover adolescents living outside the African region
Table 1. United Nations categorization of countries in the African region.

| Eastern Africa                          | Middle Africa                      | Northern Africa   | Western Africa | Southern Africa |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| British Indian Ocean Territory         | Angola                             | Algeria           | Benin          | Botswana        |
| Burundi                                | Cameroon                           | Egypt             | Burkina Faso   | Eswatini        |
| Comoros                                | Central African Republic           | Libya             | Cabo Verde     | Lesotho         |
| Djibouti                               | Chad                               | Morocco           | Côte d’Ivoire  | Namibia         |
| Eritrea                                | Congo                              | Sudan             | Gambia         | South Africa    |
| Ethiopia                               | Democratic Republic of the Congo   | Tunisia           | Ghana          |                |
| French Southern Territories            | Equatorial Guinea                  | Western Sahara    | Guinea         |                |
| Kenya                                  | Gabon                              |                   | Guinea-Bissau  |                |
| Madagascar                             | Sao Tome and Principe              |                   | Liberia        |                |
| Malawi                                 |                                    |                   | Mali           |                |
| Mauritius                              |                                    |                   | Mauritania     |                |
| Mayotte                                |                                    |                   | Niger          |                |
| Mozambique                             |                                    |                   | Nigeria        |                |
| Réunion                                |                                    |                   | Saint Helena   |                |
| Rwanda                                 |                                    |                   | Senegal        |                |
| Seychelles                             |                                    |                   | Sierra Leone   |                |
| Somalia                                |                                    |                   | Togo           |                |
| South Sudan                            |                                    |                   |                |                |
| Uganda                                 |                                    |                   |                |                |
| United Republic of Tanzania            |                                    |                   |                |                |
| Zambia                                 |                                    |                   |                |                |
| Zimbabwe                               |                                    |                   |                |                |

Source: United Nations Statistics Division [26]

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264728.t001

Search strategy

Major electronic databases (Medline, Web of Science, CINAHL, Academic Search Ultimate, APA PsycINFO and EMBASE) as well as African Journals Online will be searched for eligible literature. Grey literature will also be searched via Google Scholar. Search terms will be guided by the PICOC approach comprising P for population, “I” for intervention or exposure, “C” for comparator, “O” for outcomes and “C” for context [10, 27]. For the population, the terms will be “children”, “child”, “childhood”, “adolescent”, “paediatric”, “teen”, “teenager”, or “youth”. For the “exposure”, terms will include “prevalence”, “incidence”, “survey”, “risk” or “awareness”. There is no “comparator” term. For the outcome, the search terms will include “hypertension”, “raised blood pressure”, “high blood pressure” or “elevated blood pressure”. For the “context”, the search terms will include “Africa” and the individual names of the African countries (Table 1). The citations yielded from the different search elements will be combined with appropriate Boolean operators “AND” and “OR” (S2 Table).

Where the database permits, age limits will be applied to select the subset of studies pertaining to those aged between 10–19 years. The references of the included articles will be hand-searched to identify further articles of interest.

Study selection

The study selection will be managed independently by two reviewers using the Covidence software [28], which is designed to remove all duplicate articles imported from the different
databases. Titles and abstracts of articles that will emerge from the search strategy will be screened to eliminate ineligible papers (S1 Fig) [21]. All full-text versions of potentially eligible papers will be retrieved and further evaluated to check if they meet the inclusion criteria. The systematic review management software will flag any discordance between the reviewers which will be resolved through mutual consensus.

**Data extraction**

Data including the location of study, year of publication, geographical settings, type of study, language, sample size, technique of sampling, participants characteristics, variables, analysis of data and the major findings of studies will be extracted on to a pre-formatted Microsoft Excel. Other data such as socio-demographics, anthropometrics, blood pressure, prevalence and grades of HBP and awareness of hypertension will also be extracted.

Participants with HBP who report having previously been diagnosed by a health professional will be considered to be aware of their HBP [29]. In addition, studies will be screened to determine what proportion of participants understand the meaning of HBP or know a family member who has been diagnosed with hypertension [30]. Where possible, authors will be contacted to provide any critical missing information. Data will be entered by independently and cross-validated by CA-B and WKB.

**Quality assessment**

Risk of bias will be evaluated independently by two reviewers (CA-B, WKB) using a tool specifically validated for cross-sectional studies [31]. The tool comprises ten questions which assess internal validity (such as the suitability of case definition, reliability of study instrument, and the application of same measurement methods for all subjects) and external validity issues (such as the representativeness of the sample, participation rate, and the sampling technique). Out of a total of ten points, we will consider a study attaining a total score >8 as having a low risk of bias; score > 8, a score of 6–8 as moderate risk and a score of 0–5 as a high risk of bias: score 0–5 [12, 32]. Inconsistencies in the judgment of reviewers will be mutually resolved by consensus.

**Data synthesis**

We will pool the prevalence or awareness of HBP across the included studies using a random-effects model after first stabilizing the variance of the individual studies using the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation in order to minimize the impact of studies with extremely large or small estimates on the pooled prevalence estimate [33]. The heterogeneity between studies will be assessed using the Cochran’s Q chi-squared test statistic [34] and the Higgins and Thompson’s I² statistic [35]. The cut-off I² values of 0%, 25%, 50%, and 75% will respectively represent no, low, moderate, and high level of heterogeneity. The point and 95% confidence interval prevalence estimates of individual studies as well as that of the pooled estimates will be presented in forest plots.

We will perform sub-group analysis and meta-regression analyses to explore the potential sources of heterogeneity. The sub-groups to be analysed will include age group, sex, study design, urban-rural residence and African sub-region. Univariate and multivariate meta-regression analyses will be performed with restricted maximum likelihood estimation and will include study year, publication year, percentage obesity, sample size, definition of HBP and the type of BP device as covariates. Meta-regression analysis requires that there are at least ten studies available for each variable in the model [36].
To assess the robustness of our estimates, we will perform sensitivity analyses in which we will study the effect of omitting one study at a time on the pooled estimate [37]. If there are more than ten included studies, we will evaluate the presence of reporting bias through funnel plot asymmetry and Egger’s test [38]. Funnel plot asymmetry is widely used in meta-analyses of prevalence studies as providing visual evidence of publication bias [39]. The chart is usually a plot of the effect sizes plotted against their standard errors or precisions (the inverse of standard errors). However, it may be inaccurate for assessing publication bias in meta-analyses of proportion studies with low proportion outcomes where plot asymmetry could be mistakenly attributed [40]. In such situations, assessment could be based on funnel plots of study size against log odds or statistical tests such as the Egger or Peter test. Except for the leave-one-out influence analysis to be performed using OpenMeta (analyst) software [41], all statistical analyses will be performed using Stata version 16 [42] with significance level set at 5%.

Discussion

This protocol seeks to provide an up-to-date estimate of the prevalence of adolescent HBP in Africa. To our knowledge, our study is the first to exclusively estimate the prevalence of HBP in adolescents aged 10–19 years in Africa. While we will include studies with different definitions of HBP, we will estimate a pooled prevalence only among sets of studies which use identical standard definition. Restricting the inclusion criteria to only studies which use a specific definition could lead to potential loss of studies which use acceptable definition of HBP [14]. As a secondary outcome, we will provide an estimate of the level of awareness of hypertension among these adolescents of Africa. We will report the variation in the prevalence by African sub-region (East, West, North, South and Mid/Central). Our search strategy, the choice of databases and the independent screening of studies will ensure that we locate as many studies as are available. We will employ robust methods in the meta-analysis supported by sensitivity analyses. Our analyses will also show regions or countries in which studies on adolescent HBP are scant or lacking.

We anticipate some major study limitations. Significant heterogeneity has been identified in previous meta-analysis of HBP in children and adolescents conducted at the national, regional or global level [1, 14]. In addition, many individual studies tend to measure BP in adolescents at a single visit, and so cannot on the basis of this visit, characterize HBP as hypertension [20]. Nonetheless, HBP and hypertension are frequently used interchangeably in the literature.

Overall, we expect our results on the estimated prevalence and awareness of HBP in the adolescents to draw attention to the cardiovascular health of this population group. In the light of the call for primordial prevention of hypertension in children [43–45], our study will highlight the importance of the life course approach to the prevention and control of hypertension in Africa [46].

Supporting information

S1 Table. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) checklist.
(DOCX)

S2 Table. Search strategy for Ovid Medline and Ovid Embase databases.
(DOCX)

S1 Fig. Flow chart for selection of study articles.
(TIF)
Acknowledgments
The authors thank colleagues for their moral support for this endeavour.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Cecilia Amponsem-Boateng, William K. Bosu.
Methodology: Cecilia Amponsem-Boateng, William K. Bosu.
Project administration: Cecilia Amponsem-Boateng, William K. Bosu.
Software: William K. Bosu.
Writing – original draft: Cecilia Amponsem-Boateng, William K. Bosu.
Writing – review & editing: Cecilia Amponsem-Boateng, William K. Bosu.

References
1. Song P, Zhang Y, Yu J, Zha M, Zhu Y, Rahimi K, et al. Global Prevalence of Hypertension in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatrics. 2019; 173(12):1154–63. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2019.3310 PMID: 31589252
2. de Moraes ACF, Lacerda MB, Moreno LA, Horta BL, Carvalho HB. Prevalence of high blood pressure in 122,053 adolescents: a systematic review and meta-regression. Medicine (Baltimore). 2014; 93(27): e232–e. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000002132 PMID: 25501086
3. Wang L, Song L, Liu B, Zhang L, Wu M, Cao Z, et al. Trends and Status of the Prevalence of Elevated Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents in China: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2019; 21(11):88. Epub 2019/10/11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-019-0992-1 PMID: 31599364.
4. Meena J, Singh M, Agarwal A, Chauhan A, Jaiswal N. Prevalence of Hypertension among Children and Adolescents in India: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Indian J Pediatr. 2021. Epub 2021/04/03. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-021-03686-9 PMID: 33796994.
5. Akbari M, Moosazadeh M, Ghahramani S, Tabrizi R, Kolahdooz F, Asemi Z, et al. High prevalence of hypertension among Iranian children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of hypertension. 2017; 35(6):1155–63. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000001261 PMID: 28151773
6. Gonçalves VS, Galvão TF, de Andrade KR, Dutra ES, Bertolini MN, de Carvalho KM, et al. Prevalence of hypertension among adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. Rev Saude Publica. 2016; 50:27. Epub 2016/06/03. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1518-8787.2016050006236 PMID: 27253903.
7. Daniel RA, Haldar P, Prasad M, Kant S, Krishnan A, Gupta SK, et al. Prevalence of hypertension among adolescents (10–19 years) in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. PLoS One. 2020; 15(10):e0239929. Epub 2020/10/07. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239929 PMID: 33022021.
8. Adeloye D, Owolabi EO, Ojji DB, Auta A, Dewan MT, Olanrewaju TO, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in Nigeria in 1995 and 2020: A systematic analysis of current evidence. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2021; 23(5):963–77. Epub 2021/02/19. https://doi.org/10.1111/jch.14220 PMID: 33600784.
9. Ataklte F, Erqou S, Kaptoge S, Taye B, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB, Kentge AP. Burden of undiagnosed hypertension in sub-saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hypertension. 2015; 65 (2):291–8. Epub 2014/11/12. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04394 PMID: 25385758.
10. Bosu WK, Bosu DK. Prevalence, awareness and control of hypertension in Ghana: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE. 2021; 16(3):e0248137. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0248137 PMID: 33667277
11. Bosu WK, Reilly ST, Aheto JMK, Zucchelli E. Hypertension in older adults in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2019; 14(4):e0214934. Epub 2019/04/06. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214934 PMID: 30951534.
12. Kaze AD, Schutte AE, Erqou S, Kentge AP, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB. Prevalence of hypertension in older people in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens. 2017; 35(7):1345–52. Epub 2017/03/08. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000001345 PMID: 28267038.
13. Berhe AK, Kassa GM, Fekadu GA, Muche AA. Prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2018; 18(1):34. Epub 2018/01/20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-1667-7 PMID: 29347927.

14. Noubiap JJ, Essouma M, Bigna JJ, Jingi AM, Aminde LN, Nansseu JR. Prevalence of elevated blood pressure in children and adolescents in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health. 2017; 2(8):e375–e86. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30123-8 PMID: 29253478.

15. Ejike CE. Prevalence of hypertension in Nigerian children and adolescents: a systematic review and trend analysis of data from the past four decades. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics. 2017; 63(3):229–41. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kfw087 PMID: 28082664.

16. Aboderin I, Kalache A, Ben-Shlomo Y, Lynch JW, Yajnik CS, Kuh D, et al. Life course perspectives on coronary heart disease, stroke and diabetes: key issues and implications for policy and research: summary report of a meeting of experts, 2–4 May 2001. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.

17. Chen X, Wang Y. Tracking of blood pressure from childhood to adulthood: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Circulation. 2008; 117(25):3171–80. Epub 2008/06/19. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.730366 PMID: 18559702.

18. Yang L, Sun J, Zhao M, Liang Y, Bovet P, Xi B. Elevated blood pressure in childhood and hypertension risk in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens. 2020; 38(12):2346–55. Epub 2020/07/11. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJH.0000000000003250 PMID: 32649636.

19. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2004; 114(2 Suppl 4th Report):555–76. Epub 2004/08/03. PMID: 15286277.

20. Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, Blowey D, Carroll AE, Daniels SR, et al. Clinical practice guideline for screening and management of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2017; 140(3).

21. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic reviews. 2015; 4(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-4-1 PMID: 25554246.

22. NourEldeen N, Mao K, Yuan Z, Shen X, Xu T, Qin Z. Analysis of the spatiotemporal change in land surface temperature for a long-term sequence in Africa (2003–2017). Remote Sensing. 2020; 12(3):488.

23. Kazeem Y. More than half of the world’s population growth will be in Africa by 2050 [cited 2021 13 June]. https://qz.com/africa/1016790/more-than-half-of-the-worlds-population-growth-will-be-in-africa-by-2050/.

24. World Population Prospects 2019, Online Edition. Rev. 1. [Internet]. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. 2019 [cited 25 June 2021]. https://population.un.org/wpp/.

25. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Results [Internet]. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). 2020 [cited 13 June 2021]. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool.

26. Standard country or area codes for statistical use (M49) [Internet]. Statistics Division. 2021 [cited 25 June 2021]. https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methodology/m49/.

27. Booth A, Sutton A, Papaioannou D. Systematic approaches to a successful literature review. 2nd ed. London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2016.

28. Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software. Melbourne, Australia2018 [cited 2018]. www.covidence.org.

29. Bosu WK, Aheto JMK, Zucchelli E, Reilly S. Prevalence, awareness, and associated risk factors of hypertension in older adults in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol.(Report). Systematic reviews. 2017; 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0585-5 PMID: 28978355.

30. Ampomsem-Boateng C, Oppong TB, Zhang W, Boakye-Yiadom J, Wang L, Acheampong K, et al. Screening of hypertension, risks, knowledge/awareness in second-cycle schools in Ghana. A national cross-sectional study among students aged 12–22. Journal of Human Hypertension. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41371-021-00502-x PMID: 34111136.

31. Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, March L, Bain C, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 2012; 65(9):934–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.11.014 PMID: 22742910.

32. Fokoua-Maxime CD, Lontchi-Yimagou E, Cheuffa-Karel TE, Tchato-Yann TL, Pierre-Choukem S. Prevalence of asymptomatic or “silent” myocardial ischemia in diabetic patients: Protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2021; 16(6):e0252511. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252511 PMID: 34111136

33. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled Clinical Trials. 1986; 7(3):177–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2 PMID: 3802833
34. Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. Biometrics. 1954; 10(1):101–29.
35. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2003; 327(7414):557–60. Epub 2003/09/06. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557 PMID: 12958120.
36. Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses, Chapter 9. In: Higgins J, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 501. Chichester, West Sussex, England: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2008.
37. Steichen T. METANINF: Stata module to evaluate influence of a single study in meta-analysis estimation. EconPapers. Chestnut Hill, MA, USA: Boston College Department of Economics; 2001.
38. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 1997; 315(7109):629–34. Epub 1997/10/06. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629 PMID: 9310563.
39. Migliavaca CB, Stein C, Colpani V, Barker TH, Munn Z, Falavigna M. How are systematic reviews of prevalence conducted? A methodological study. BMC medical research methodology. 2020; 20(1):1–9.
40. Hunter JP, Saratizis A, Sutton AJ, Boucher RH, Sayers RD, Bown MJ. In meta-analyses of proportion studies, funnel plots were found to be an inaccurate method of assessing publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol. 2014; 67(8):897–903. Epub 20140429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.003 PMID: 24794697.
41. Wallace BC, Dahabreh IJ, Trikalinos TA, Lau J, Trow P, Schmid CH. Closing the gap between methodologists and end-users: R as a computational back-end. J Stat Softw. 2012; 49(5):1–15.
42. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16 College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2019.
43. Falkner B, Lurbe E. Primordial prevention of high blood pressure in childhood: an opportunity not to be missed. Hypertension. 2020; 75(5):1142–50. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.14059 PMID: 32223379
44. Noubiap JJ. Hypertension in children in sub-Saharan Africa: primordial prevention is crucial. The Pan African Medical Journal. 2020; 37. https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2020.37.341.27387 PMID: 33738029
45. Chiolero A, Bovet P. Hypertension in children: from screening to primordial prevention. The Lancet Public Health. 2017; 2(8):e346–e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(17)30137-5 PMID: 29253470
46. Olsen MH, Angell SY, Asma S, Boutouyrie P, Burger D, Chirinos JA, et al. A call to action and a life-course strategy to address the global burden of raised blood pressure on current and future generations: the Lancet Commission on hypertension. The Lancet. 2016; 388(10060):2665–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31134-5 PMID: 27671667