The effect of animal assisted therapy on participants with dementia in a community respite program
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ABSTRACT

Cognitively impaired older adults pose challenges that include communication barriers that may contribute to social isolation of the individual and frustration by both the individual and caregivers. Healthcare professionals must be prepared to speak to the distinctive requirements of this population. Innovative strategies are needed to improve the ability of caregivers and health professionals to establish effective communication. Animal Assisted Therapy (AAT) is a complementary therapy that shows promise in providing emotional and social benefits to older adults in both clinical and community settings. This project aims to describe the benefits of incorporating AAT within a community respite program to enhance social engagement of cognitively impaired adults. In this project a group of subjects were exposed to two situations in an unsystematic order, visits with a dog and visits without a dog. The purpose was to compare each visit and its effect in improving engagement in those attending a community respite program. Throughout the study, respite attendees were encouraged to engage with dogs or the human visitor. In this study, AAT enhanced social engagement.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The number of older adults is rising. This rise has an associated increase in those with dementia. Dementia and all types of intellectual deficiency have been shown to produce a negative outcome on the value of living. Dementia develops slowly and is depicted by a decrease in the cognitive, executive and function of memory while remaining in a state of full alertness. Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the predominant type of cognitive impairment. AD is an incurable, progressive disease and because there are no preventative measures or cure, interventions must focus on improving function and quality of life.[1] Dementia or cognitive impairments challenge communities, caregivers, and medical personnel to individualize health education and health promotion for the older adults to meet their complex health needs.[2–4] Currently more than 5 million people live with Alzheimer’s or other dementias and by 2050, this number could increase to 14 million.[5] With the population aging and many afflicted with cognitive impairments, family, friends, healthcare providers, and the community are in a position to care for the elderly and assist with activities of daily living that are cost effective and meaningful to the individual.[2–4] Cognitively impaired older adults pose challenges of social isolation of the individual and frustration by both the individual and caregivers. Healthcare professionals must be prepared to address the unique needs of this population. Innovative strategies are needed to promote social engagement among this vulnerable population and improve the ability of caregivers and health professionals to establish effective communication. Animal-assisted therapy (AAT) shows promise in providing
emotional and social benefits to older adults in both clinical and community settings. This project aims to describe the benefits of incorporating AAT within a community respite program to enhance social engagement of cognitively impaired adults.

1.1 Meaningful activities

Dementia is a costly disease in the United States with estimates of around $50,000 annually per case relating to dementia care. Over 16 million caregivers provide voluntary care to those living with Alzheimer’s or other dementias totaling over $230 billion in 2017. Due to high associated cost to care for those presenting with this disease, cost effective activities are imperative to implement into dementia care programs.

Providing meaningful activities for older adults, especially those with cognitive impairments, is paramount for healthy aging. Studies show engaged participation leads to less boredom, depression, and loneliness. Also, engagement in activities has shown to improve psychological and physical well-being and slow the progression of the disease. More community programs are needed to provide activities to enhance communication, social behavior engagement, and improve quality of life. Support services exist and respite in an adult day program provides safe environments with improved patient outcomes.

The diagnosis of cognitive impairment is life altering. Even in early stage dementia, physical and psychological changes become problematic for self-care and quality of life. Family, friends, and healthcare providers often seek activities to enhance life satisfaction, yet studies suggest underutilization of programs. A survey of 113 caregivers confirmed the burden and stress involved in a client with cognitive impairment living at home, yet many caregivers believed using respite programs would result in negative outcomes.

Highlighting the benefits to community respite programs which incorporate activities to stimulate those inflicted with early cognitive impairment could increase utilization. Although not every activity will appeal to every older person nor should the older person be engaged in a stimulating activity all the time, there is a need to facilitate participation in meaningful activities. Persons living with dementia often lack opportunities especially with daytime activities and social companionship. Han et al. completed a synthesis of 34 qualitative studies. One common theme discovered was the desire to continue engagement and activities that support habits and leisure activities to promote talking of past experiences. A survey of 216 caregivers found that persons with dementia enjoyed the same activities as the general older population. Findings from these studies suggest a need to support activity that are diverse and pleasurable, tailor activities to the level of function to build on personal abilities, and focus on cost-benefit of the programing.

1.2 Animal assisted therapy

Animal assisted therapy shows promise as a means to enhance social engagement for the cognitively impaired older adult. AAT is “a goal oriented, planned, and structured therapeutic intervention directed and/or delivered by health, education, or human service professionals” (p. 416). Animals are found to assist in relationship building and calm people. Older adults that own a pet report less loneliness and have increased activity. Unfortunately, many living with dementia are unable to care for a pet. Pets can be costly to those already on a fixed income and as the disease progresses, many will not be able to care for a pet, therefore, interventions and therapy that incorporates animals has grown in popularity. AAT has been shown to enhance communication between patient and caregiver and provide comfort and support for patients. Animals stimulate sensory perception and provide emotional support without poly-pharmacological and high co-morbidity treatments. There is rising awareness in non-pharmacological interventions for those living with dementia that should be considered for all types and severities. AAT is a good form of treatment as the participant interacts with a trained animal and handler. This is seen in a crossover study conducted among 44 nursing home residents living with dementia where observations of animal and human engagement were documented. Engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of distress was seen as well. A decrease in voiced aggression and a rise in the evidence of gratification was realized in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human. A decreased amount of engagement and behavior did establish affirmative alterations in AAT over visits from only a human.
provement in the quality of life and depression with animal assisted interventions compared to the control group. The control group’s symptoms slowly exacerbated during the study.[25]

Early and accessible community services to promote well-being are needed[26] yet dementia care is financially debilitating for patient and caregiver.[6, 15] Community stakeholders and caregivers seek activities to enhance life satisfaction,[13] improve psychological and physical well-being,[8] and can be utilized throughout all types and severities of dementia without being too costly.[27] AAT is a beneficial activity that is not costly to the end user. Many trained dog-handler teams volunteer their time to visit community groups and participants without payment.[28] AAT is a meaningful and cost effective activity to utilize in a community respite program.

2. Method

In this project a group of subjects were exposed to two situations in an unsystematic order, visits with a dog and visits without a dog. The purpose was to compare each visit and its effect in improving engagement in those attending a community respite program. Throughout the study AAT, members were encouraged to engage with dogs or the human visitor.

2.1 Ethical considerations

Institutional Review Board (IRB) and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approval were obtained for the project. Approval was also acquired from the contributing community respite program. Participants’ relatives were communicated with by investigators to conclude if they were interested in participation in the program. After agreement, an in person conference was made by investigators with each family to describe the program and discuss issues. Consent was then obtained from relatives. Explanation was given to the participants about the program and those that were qualified gave spoken acceptance. Members also agreed to participate at each therapy session or it was not conducted.

2.2 Participants

Fourteen participants in a community respite program with a diagnosis of cognitive impairment; 8 males and 6 females, from 65 to 86 years old, were observed during human interaction visits and AAT visits. Confirmation of their diagnosis was completed through interviews with participants families. The level of dementia along the spectrum from mild to severe, but all participants were required to tend to their own toileting.

2.3 Study depiction

Members were observed in random order for animal visits or human interface. Regardless of type of visit as listed above, observations were for 5 minutes during an allotted time during the 15-minute interaction visit. A discretely positioned, trained observer recorded the positive and negative social behaviors and engagement at 1 minute intervals over a 5-minute time frame using the Social Behaviors Check.[29] The overall level of engagement during the session will be noted by the observer using a Likert Scale ranging from low to high engagement.

2.4 Animal intervention

Three AAT trained dogs, ages one, eight and ten years old, were used to involve the participants. The program was completed using dogs between 40 and 70 pounds. Animals used in the AAT portion of this study were examined by the program veterinarian to certify they were free from disease and that all immunizations were up-to-date. The dogs were bathed and groomed each day before participation. Throughout the study, an animal handler accompanied the canine during the period, but did not attempt engage the participant in conversation other than to encourage involvement with the dog. AAT took place in the social room. The dogs were permitted to involve the participants any way the participant wanted. The human-animal bond was used to guide the visits, based on the mental and physical capabilities ability of participants.

2.5 Human visits

The human visits consisted of a student engaging the participant in verbal interaction. This offers equivalent personal attention and is practical. Where engagement proves impossible, the student remained with the participant and follow whatever they do for the required period. The student was a junior/senior leveling nursing student under the supervision of a faculty member.

2.6 Instruments

The Social Behaviors Check List was a direct observational tool with proven validity in persons demonstrating cognitive impairment.[29] It was modified for a previous AAT study with elderly nursing home residents by AUSON faculty and recently published.[22] This instrument contains 13 social behaviors (six positive and seven negative). These behaviors are witnessed and recorded in one-minute periods and a total social behavior score was calculated by measuring the variance between constructive and unconstructive behaviors noted during the canine visit. The social behaviors scores were statistically compared between the clients who interacted with AAT to those who just experienced student nurse
interaction.

2.7 Data collection
After agreement and prior to appointments, the participant and/or relative completed a survey consisting of gender, age range, and previous or past pet ownership to include pet type. For all visits, dog and human, an inconspicuously positioned observer used the Social Behaviors tool to record their surveillance during the intervention. To attain valid and reliable data the observers were given specific project training before the gathering of data.

2.8 Data analysis
The study compared the positive and negative behaviors of the participants when they were with human only or with dogs and human. Data was scored using descriptive statistics and paired samples t-test.

3. RESULTS
Results indicated that participants’ positive behaviors presented more when they were accompanied with dogs and human altogether, especially they laughed more \((t(12) = 3.21, p = .01, \text{with large effect size Cohen’s } d = 0.88)\), they learned more \((t(12) = 3.75, p = .003, \text{with large effect size Cohen’s } d = 1.04)\), and they touching more \((t(12) = 5.25, p < .001, \text{with large effect size Cohen’s } d = 1.46)\). As for the negative behaviors, participants did not present any spitting, biting, throwing, cursing, kicking, or grabbing during the observation. Participants’ other negative behaviors presented no differences when they were or were not accompanied with dogs (see Table 1).

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics and paired samples t-Test results

| Behavior                              | Dog M      | SD  | Human M  | SD  | \(t\) (df = 12) | \(p\) | Cohen’s \(d\) | Effect Size |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-----|-----------|-----|----------------|-------|--------------|-------------|
| Positive                              |            |     |           |     |                |       |              |             |
| Smiling                               | 0.68       | 0.33| 0.55      | 0.31| 2.11           | .06   | 0.58         |             |
| Laughing                              | 0.38       | 0.31| 0.24      | 0.19| 3.21           | .01** | 0.88         |             |
| Looking                               | 0.69       | 0.21| 0.67      | 0.19| 0.28           | .78   | 0.08         |             |
| Leaning                               | 0.46       | 0.29| 0.14      | 0.16| 3.75           | .003**| 1.04         |             |
| Touching                              | 0.52       | 0.30| 0.09      | 0.09| 5.25           | < .001***| 1.46         |             |
| Verbalizing/Crying                    | 0.62       | 0.27| 0.66      | 0.26| -0.47          | .65   | -0.13        |             |
| Listening                             | 0.56       | 0.26| 0.51      | 0.29| 0.93           | .37   | 0.26         |             |
| Negative                              |            |     |           |     |                |       |              |             |
| Screaming/Yelling                     | 0.002      | 0.01| 0.00      | 0.00| 1.00           | .34   | 0.28         |             |
| Spitting                              | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00      | 0.00| ---            | ---   | ---          |             |
| Biting                                | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00      | 0.00| ---            | ---   | ---          |             |
| Throwing Something                    | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00      | 0.00| ---            | ---   | ---          |             |
| Cursing                               | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00      | 0.00| ---            | ---   | ---          |             |
| Kicking                               | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00      | 0.00| ---            | ---   | ---          |             |
| Pushing/Swatting                      | 0.003      | 0.01| 0.00      | 0.00| 1.00           | .34   | 0.28         |             |
| Making Strange or Negative Noises/Crying | 0.00   | 0.00| 0.003     | 0.01| -1.00          | .34   | -0.28        |             |
| Grabbing                              | 0.00       | 0.00| 0.00      | 0.00| ---            | ---   | ---          |             |
| Withdrawal/Purposeful Turning Away/Verbalize Not Wanting to Interact | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.55 | .59 | 0.15 |

**\(p \leq .01; ***p \leq .001.**

4. DISCUSSION
Improving the quality of life for senior adults is a priority in many communities. AAT is an affordable means of improving the quality of life with this population.\[28\] Although AAT and human interaction were successful in this study, AAT outperformed human interaction. But, as seen in other studies, both animal and human visits resulted in the increase in positive social behaviors.\[20–23\]

Respite programs are often understudied. This understudied nature is due in part to the family’s belief that the program will result in negative outcomes.\[4\] A control trial using stuffed dogs resulted in increased gratification and awareness in adults in a Alzheimer’s daycare. Also noted in the trial...
were decreased sadness and anxiety, as well as a reduction in sadness and anxiety in Alzheimer’s patients at an adult day center was observed.\[29\] The interactions seen with this study using AAT in a respite program were positive and participants were more engaged. In addition, the existing program also noted a significant rise in enjoyment. Negative behaviors were not present with either interaction; human or human with canine. Lastly, interventions that are cost effective are needed for this population.\[25\] No cost was incurred by the respite program. The canine-handler teams volunteered their time to visit respite participants.

5. CONCLUSION

With a growth in the elderly population there is an associated increasing population of those with dementia. This greatly affects the quality of life for these individuals as well as their families. AAT performed according to the national recommendations and following ethical principles is an effective, affordable, supportive, complimentary treatment to improve the engagement and value of life in people living with cognitive impairment.
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