Minimal disease activity is a stable measure of therapeutic response in psoriatic arthritis patients receiving treatment with adalimumab

Frank Behrens¹,²,*, Michaela Koehm¹,²,*, Eva C. Schwaneck³, Marc Schmalzing³, Holger Gnann⁴, Gerd Greger⁵, Hans-Peter Tony³,⁴ and Harald Burkhardt¹,²,†

Abstract

Objective. The aim of this study was to evaluate minimal disease activity (MDA) assessments in patients with PsA during routine clinical care.

Methods. We used data from a multicentre observational study of patients with active PsA who initiated treatment with adalimumab during routine clinical practice and continued treatment for at least 6 months to evaluate achievement of MDA, individual MDA criteria (modified to conform to study assessments) and ACR responses during 24 months of therapy. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to evaluate the association between MDA and individual criteria at month 6; regression models were used to determine the influence of baseline MDA criteria on achievement of MDA at month 6.

Results. A total of 1684 patients were included in these analyses; most had long-standing disease. MDA was achieved by 597 patients (35.5%) at month 6. This proportion increased to 45.5% at month 24 in patients remaining on therapy. MDA status was stable over time; >75% of patients with MDA at month 6 recorded MDA at subsequent visits. Pain was the most difficult individual criterion to achieve, and enthesitis was the least difficult. Higher functional status and fewer tender joints at baseline predicted achievement of MDA at month 6. About half of patients (51.5%) with an ACR20 response at month 6 achieved MDA.

Conclusion. In this observational cohort of patients with long-standing disease, MDA provided a stable and valid assessment of clinical status over 24 months.
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Introduction

Low disease activity is a critical goal in the management of patients with rheumatological diseases, including PsA. Close monitoring of disease activity provides clinicians with information that guides the escalation or de-escalation of therapy. In 2010, Coates et al. [1] published minimal disease activity (MDA) criteria for PsA, which required the achievement of five of the seven following criteria: tender joint count (TJC) ≤1, swollen joint count (SJC) ≤1, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index ≤1 or body surface area (BSA) ≤3, patient pain visual analogue score (VAS) ≤15, patient global disease activity (PGA) VAS
were required to have active disease (DAS28 ≤20, HAQ-Disability Index (HAQ-DI) ≤0.5 and tender enthesal points ≤1. Subsequently, these criteria were validated using data from phase 2/3 trials of a TNF inhibitor (infliximab) in patients with PsA [2]. This validation study found that MDA reflected therapeutic response and was associated with a significant reduction in radiological progression. Similar support for MDA has come from the ADalimumab Effectiveness in Psoriatic Arthritis trial of another TNF inhibitor, adalimumab [3]. More recently, MDA has been successfully used in the open-label Tight Control of Inflammation in Early Psoriatic Arthritis study as the benchmark for the decision to escalate therapy in newly diagnosed patients [4]. Tight control of disease activity was found to result in significant improvements in joint outcomes at 48 weeks.

Although clinical trials are the gold standard for evaluating drug efficacy and safety, they are less successful in providing insights into real-world clinical usage. Observational studies can supplement clinical trials by providing data on the effectiveness of a drug during routine clinical use and over longer durations than typically employed in randomized trials [5]. Unlike randomized clinical trials, non-interventional studies do not have selection criteria for patients and do not regulate or restrict the dose of drug or its use in patients with comorbid conditions or concomitant medications. Although MDA has been proposed as a means of guiding treatment decisions during daily clinical practice [1, 2, 4], the use of these criteria in a large non-selected, multicentre population with long-standing disease has not been fully analysed. The availability of data from a large PsA cohort in an observational study of routine clinical care offered a unique opportunity to explore the stability and validity of MDA assessments during routine clinical care. The goal of this study was to investigate the potential value of MDA as an instrument for steering treatment decisions during daily clinical practice.

Methods

Study design and patient selection

This study utilized data from a multicentre non-interventional trial of patients with PsA who received adalimumab therapy at the decision of the clinician (Clinicaltrials.gov trial registration NCT01111240), as previously described by Behrens et al. [6, 7]. All patients were under the routine medical care of 279 physicians (rheumatologists: 63%; dermatologists: 14%; internists: 15%; and other specialists, mainly orthopaedic specialists: 8%) in Germany. Adult patients (≥18 years of age) were required to have a diagnosis of active PsA, a clinical indication for treatment with a TNF inhibitor, and no contraindications. Patients were enrolled in this study between August 2005 and January 2013. The objective of the overall observational study was to examine the effectiveness and safety of adalimumab in adult patients with PsA during routine clinical care.

To be included in the analyses reported here, patients were required to have active disease (DAS28 ≥3.2), axial involvement or ≥1 swollen or tender joint, and adequate data for MDA calculations, including complete data for MDA criteria at month 6. Patients who discontinued or were lost to follow-up before month 6 were not included in these analyses. All patients were informed of the objectives of the observational study and provided written consent for their voluntary participation in the study and the anonymous use of personal data in statistical analyses. Because of the non-interventional nature of this study, ethics approval was not required by German law.

Assessments

Data on demographic and disease characteristics were collected at baseline. Criteria used to assess MDA were as previously published [1], with slight modifications as detailed below. Tender and swollen joint counts were performed on 78 and 76 joints, respectively. The study data did not include Psoriasis Area and Severity Index assessments, so skin evaluations were based on BSA as estimated by the examining clinician. Data for baseline BSA evaluations were recorded as pre-specified categories (<3, 3-10, 11-20 and >20%), whereas BSA data at subsequent visits were recorded as an estimated percentage without categorizations. For both the PGA and the patient-reported pain assessment, we used an 11-point categorical scale ranging from 0 (none) to 10 (severe). The PGA cut-off for MDA was ≤2. For pain, the conservative value of ≤1 was chosen as a cut-off (rather than ≤15 on a 100-point VAS as originally published) [1]. Enthesal points were not assessed in our study, so we substituted the criterion of ≤1 tender enthesal points with the absence of enthesitis as judged by the investigator. Instead of HAQ-DI, we used a comparable functional assessment, the self-administered Funktionsfragebogen Hannover (FFbH) patient questionnaire. The FFbH questionnaire indicates the remaining percentage of patient function and is scored on a scale of 0 (total loss of functional capacity) to 100 (maximal functional capacity) [8]; higher scores are better, which is opposite to the HAQ-DI. The FFbH questionnaire has been validated in patients with RA and is highly correlated to the HAQ-DI [9]; an English translation has been published [10]. The MDA criterion of HAQ-DI ≤0.5, which corresponds to functional remission [11, 12], was substituted with the FFbH score for functional remission (≥83%) [13].

We also assessed the proportions of patients achieving ACR response criteria for a 20, 50 or 70% improvement from baseline (ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70) [14]. CRP was used as the acute-phase reactant, and FFbH was used to assess physical function.

Stability of response was determined by evaluating the proportion of patients who maintained a 6-month MDA response at later time points. Validity was evaluated by determining the correlation between MDA and global assessments such as function and PGA, and by measuring the proportion of patients achieving both MDA and ACR responses.
Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Descriptive statistics were computed as appropriate; missing data were not imputed. We used Pearson correlation coefficients to evaluate the association between the achievement of MDA at month 6 and the achievement of individual MDA criteria at month 6 (higher values indicate a closer linear relationship). To better understand the multivariate structure of the data, we used logistic regression analysis to determine the baseline MDA characteristics that influenced the achievement of MDA at month 6 [13, 15].

Results

Patients

A total of 1684 patients were included in the analyses presented here (supplementary Fig. S1, available at Rheumatology online). All of these patients completed at least 6 months of therapy and had data necessary for MDA calculation at month 6; patients who did not meet these criteria were excluded from the analyses reported here. Baseline characteristics of patients in this study were comparable to those in the overall population (data not shown). At baseline, most patients had long-standing disease (mean of 10 and 18 years for arthritis and skin symptoms, respectively) and extensive joint and skin involvement (Table 1). Adalimumab was the first biologic therapy for >80% of patients. During the 24 months of the study, 359 patients (21.3%) withdrew from the study and 472 (28.0%) were lost to follow-up. For patients with documented withdrawals, 203 (56.5%) withdrew due to lack of efficacy, 48 (13.4%) for adverse effects, three (0.8%) for both lack of efficacy and adverse effects, and 105 (29.2%) for other unspecified reasons. The numbers of patients assessed at months 12 and 24 were 1257 (74.6%) and 912 (54.2%), respectively (supplementary Table S1, available at Rheumatology online). As with all observational studies, missed visits were common, and not all assessments were performed at each visit. As a result, the number of patients with data for a given outcome varied within and among visits.

Patients who achieved MDA at month 6 varied in several key baseline characteristics compared with patients who did not achieve MDA at month 6, including lower values for DAS28, joint counts and pain, and improved function (Table 1). Patients who achieved MDA at month 6 were also more likely to be male and naïve to biologic therapy.

Achievement of MDA and stability of response over time

Of the 1684 patients who initiated treatment with adalimumab and continued treatment for 6 months, 597 (35.5%)
Table 2: Achievement of MDA and individual MDA criteria at each visit

| Variable               | Month 0 | Month 3 | Month 6 | Month 9 | Month 12 | Month 18 | Month 24 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| MDA                    | 0.9     | 27.0    | 35.5    | 37.3    | 41.3     | 43.3     | 45.5     |
| (14/1578)              | (402/1488) | (597/1684) | (456/1223) | (454/1098) | (398/820) | (348/764) |
| TJC ≤1                 | 4.2     | 39.6    | 46.6    | 50.5    | 52.5     | 54.4     | 57.8     |
| (71/1684)              | (647/1635) | (785/1684) | (696/1377) | (658/1253) | (594/1091) | (525/909) |
| SJC ≤1                 | 19.1    | 64.0    | 70.1    | 71.9    | 75.2     | 75.5     | 81.1     |
| (321/1684)             | (588/1635) | (1180/1684) | (990/1377) | (942/1253) | (824/1091) | (737/909) |
| BSA ≤3%a               | 34.4    | 57.0    | 64.8    | 66.7    | 69.5     | 70.7     | 70.8     |
| (546/1587)             | (863/1513) | (1901/1684) | (838/1257) | (781/1123) | (666/924) | (558/788) |
| Pain ≤1                | 2.3     | 17.1    | 21.1    | 22.6    | 23.9     | 24.0     | 24.5     |
| (39/1684)              | (276/1614) | (353/1671) | (307/1361) | (293/1228) | (258/1073) | (218/890) |
| PGA ≤2                 | 0.6     | 31.5    | 40.1    | 42.3    | 45.1     | 47.2     | 49.3     |
| (10/1674)              | (513/1629) | (676/1684) | (581/1374) | (564/1251) | (515/1092) | (450/912) |
| Functional remissionb  | 29.5    | 46.4    | 47.4    | 49.4    | 54.0     | 52.4     | 55.1     |
| (493/1674)             | (750/1618) | (798/1684) | (670/1356) | (662/1226) | (562/1072) | (489/888) |
| No enthesitis          | 72.7    | 87.1    | 88.8    | 90.3    | 90.3     | 90.6     | 92.0     |
| (1225/1684)            | (1425/1636) | (1496/1684) | (1254/1389) | (1135/1257) | (997/1100) | (839/912) |

Data are presented as percentage of patients (number of patients who achieved criterion/number of patients with data for the specified outcome at this visit). aBaseline (month 0) MDA assessments were based on BSA <3% due to the use of pre-specified categories (<3, 3–10, 11–20 and >20%) for baseline BSA evaluations. Subsequent MDA assessments were based on BSA ≤3% as per the published MDA criterion due to BSA assessments at post-baseline visits as an estimated percentage without pre-specified categorizations. bFFbH ≥83% assessed on a scale of 0 (total loss of functional capacity) to 100 (maximal functional capacity). BSA: body surface area; FFbH: Funktionsfragebogen Hannover; MDA: minimal disease activity; PGA: patient global disease activity assessment; SJC: swollen joint count; TJC: tender joint count.

Achieved MDA at month 6. The mean (s.d.) time to achieving MDA in this group was 4.4 (1.6) months. The proportion of patients achieving MDA increased slightly at visits after month 6 to a maximum of 45.5% in patients remaining on therapy at month 24, perhaps due to responder bias (Table 2).

We evaluated the stability of MDA status in patients who did or did not achieve MDA at month 6. In patients with available data who remained on therapy (Table 1A), >75% of the group of patients with MDA at month 6 recorded an MDA response at subsequent visits (months 9, 12, 18 and 24). Of the 214 patients who achieved MDA at month 6 and had data available for all four subsequent visits, 118 (55.1%) had MDA at four visits and 43 (20.1%) had MDA at three visits. For the patient group who did not achieve an MDA response at month 6 and remained on therapy (Fig. 1B), an increasing proportion achieved MDA between month 9 (13.2%) and month 24 (26.0%).

Contribution of individual MDA criteria to achievement of MDA and correlations among MDA criteria

The individual MDA criterion that was most frequently met was the absence of enthesitis (~90% of patients from months 6–24), followed by SJC ≤1 (70–80%) and BSA ≤3% (65–70%); Table 2). The individual MDA criterion that was least frequently met was pain (21–25% of patients from months 6–24). For TJC and SJC, the proportion of patients achieving that criterion increased by >10% between months 6 and 24, suggesting that achievement of these outcomes might require a longer duration of therapy in some patients.

Among the patient population as a whole, fulfillment of the criterion of PGA ≤2 had the highest correlation with the achievement of MDA at month 6 as evaluated by Pearson correlation coefficients (r = 0.72), followed by TJC ≤1, pain and functional remission (Table 3). The absence of enthesitis had the lowest correlation with achievement of MDA (r = 0.21), followed by BSA ≤3%. Among individual MDA criteria, the highest correlation was between pain ≤1 and PGA ≤2. Pain ≤1 was also moderately correlated with functional remission, and PGA was correlated with TJC ≤1 and functional remission. The two joint criteria, TJC ≤1 and SJC ≤1, showed a moderate correlation with each other. Overall, the absence of enthesitis had the weakest correlations with other MDA criteria. Correlations between BSA ≤3% and other individual criteria were also weak.

Predictors of MDA in patients initiating adalimumab therapy

We evaluated the impact of baseline MDA characteristics on the likelihood of achieving MDA at month 6 (Table 4). Patients with better function at baseline and those with lower TJC were significantly more likely to achieve MDA at month 6. Other baseline characteristics included in MDA assessments (BSA, pain, SJC, PGA and enthesitis) were not significantly associated with achievement of MDA at month 6.

Achievement of ACR vs MDA responses at month 6

Of the 1166 patients at month 6 with sufficient data for calculation of an ACR response, 699 (59.9%) had an ACR20 response, 435 (37.3%) had an ACR50 response.
and 221 (19.0%) had an ACR70 response. We assessed the rates of MDA achievement at month 6 in each of these ACR groups (Fig. 2A) and found increasing rates of MDA achievement with more stringent ACR criteria. Of patients with an ACR20 response at month 6, approximately half (51.5%; 360/699) also achieved MDA. MDA achievement occurred in 70.6% of patients with an ACR50 response and 93.2% of patients with an ACR70 response.

We also performed the corresponding analysis of ACR response rates in patients who achieved MDA at month 6. Of the 597 patients who achieved MDA at month 6, 394 had sufficient data for assessment of ACR response. Of these patients, 360 (91.4%) achieved an ACR20 response at month 6, 77.9% achieved an ACR50 response and 52.3% achieved an ACR70 response (Fig. 2B). In the 772 patients who did not achieve MDA at month 6, ACR response rates were 43.9%, 16.6% and 1.9% for achievement of ACR20, ACR50 and ACR70, respectively.

**Discussion**

In controlled clinical trials, MDA has been shown to be a valuable tool for assessing the need for therapeutic changes in patients with PsA; however, the applicability of this evaluation to routine clinical care has not been well studied. Our findings indicate that MDA is a stable and valid assessment of disease activity during ‘real-world’ care; patients with PsA who achieved MDA at month 6 after initiation of adalimumab tended to maintain this status for at least 24 months. MDA, in conjunction with clinical judgement, thus appears to have the potential to provide a solid basis for decisions concerning therapeutic

---

Fig. 1 Stability of MDA status

Stability of MDA status at subsequent visits in (A) patients who achieved an MDA response at month 6 (n = 597) and remained on therapy and (B) patients who did not achieve an MDA response at month 6 (n = 1087) and remained on therapy. Data are presented as percentage of patients with available data for the given visit. MDA: minimal disease activity.
In this large observational study of 1684 patients with long-standing active PsA who initiated treatment with adalimumab and completed 6 months of therapy, 35.5% achieved MDA at month 6 as assessed by modified criteria. These results are in agreement with post hoc analyses from a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of adalimumab in patients with PsA, in which 39% of patients treated with adalimumab achieved MDA at month 6 vs 7% of patients who received placebo [3]. Compared with patients who did not achieve MDA at month 6, those who achieved MDA at month 6 in our study were more likely to be men and have less severe disease. Other studies have also found that male patients with PsA are more likely to achieve MDA than female patients [16–19]; the reasons for this discrepancy remain unclear.

As in other studies of patients with PsA treated with TNF inhibitors [20, 21], we noted an increase in the proportion of patients achieving MDA over time in patients remaining on therapy (from 35.5% at month 6 to 45.5% at month 24). Among patients who did not achieve MDA at month 6 and remained on therapy, 26% achieved MDA at month 24. These results may be due in part to responder bias, as patients benefitting from the drug are more likely to stay in the study. However, other studies also suggest that a longer treatment duration may be required to achieve MDA in some patients, including a retrospective study of patients treated with TNF inhibitors in clinical practice that reported a mean time to MDA of 1.30 years [19]. We observed particularly large increases (>10%) in the proportion of patients meeting MDA criteria for joints (SJC and TJC ≤ 1) between 6 and 24 months. In contrast, the proportion of patients meeting criteria for skin and pain showed minimal increases over this time period. These findings suggest >6 months may be required to realize the full effect of adalimumab on joints in some patients.

More than 75% of patients with MDA at month 6 who stayed on therapy had MDA at subsequent visits, indicating that MDA status at month 6 is a stable indicator of therapeutic response over the following 18 months. There is evidence that sustained MDA is critical for optimal patient outcomes, as achievement of MDA at ≥3 visits (minimum of 38 weeks) was significantly associated with reduced radiographic progression in a study of the TNF inhibitor golimumab [20].

In agreement with Lubrano and colleagues [21], who evaluated 124 patients with PsA receiving TNF inhibitor therapy, we found that the achievement of MDA was highly correlated with PGA ≤ 2. We further found that TJC ≤ 1, pain ≤ 1, and functional remission (FFbH ≥ 83%) were highly correlated with MDA. The high correlations between MDA and global assessments such as
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**Table 3** Association (Pearson correlation coefficients) between month 6 MDA and individual MDA criteria (N = 1684)

| Variable | MDA | TJC ≤ 1 | SJC ≤ 1 | BSA ≤ 3% | Pain ≤ 1 | PGA ≤ 2 | FFbH ≥ 83%a | No enthesitis |
|----------|-----|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| MDA      | 1.00| 0.61    | 0.42    | 0.31     | 0.60     | 0.72    | 0.59        | 0.21         |
| TJC ≤ 1  | 1.00| 0.98    | 0.45    | 0.14     | 0.36     | 0.44    | 0.32        | 0.15         |
| SJC ≤ 1  | 1.00| 1.00    | 0.12    | 0.09     | 0.20     | 0.33    | 0.22        | 0.16         |
| BSA ≤ 3% | 1.00| 1.00    | 0.09    | 0.17     | 0.17     | 0.26    | 0.14        | 0.16         |
| Pain ≤ 1 | 1.00| 1.00    | 0.51    | 0.46     | 0.51     | 0.51    | 0.46        | 0.06         |
| PGA ≤ 2  | 1.00| 1.00    | 0.51    | 0.46     | 0.51     | 1.00    | 1.00        | 0.10         |
| FFbH ≥ 83%a | | | | | | | | |
| No enthesitis | | | | | | | | |

For Pearson correlation coefficients, higher values indicate a closer relationship. All P < 0.0001, except for the correlation between BSA and FFbH (P = 0.0002), pain and enthesitis (P = 0.0093) and FFbH and enthesitis (P = 0.0050). aFunctional remission (FFbH ≥ 83%), FFbH is assessed on a scale of 0 (total loss of functional capacity) to 100 (maximal functional capacity). BSA: body surface area; FFbH: Funktionsfragebogen Hannover; MDA: minimal disease activity; PGA: patient global disease activity assessment; SJC: swollen joint count; TJC: tender joint count.
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**Table 4** Influence of baseline MDA characteristics on achieving MDA at month 6 in stepwise regression models

| Significant predictors of MDA at month 6 | Odds ratio* (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| FFbHb                                   | 1.042 (1.035, 1.050) | <0.0001 |
| TJCb                                   | 0.974 (0.965, 0.984) | <0.0001 |
| Non-significant variables               |                      |         |
| BSA (by categories)d                    | 0.986 (0.972, 1.000) | 0.0561  |
| Pain                                   | 0.947 (0.885, 1.012) | 0.1096  |
| SJCd                                   | 1.007 (0.994, 1.020) | 0.2886  |
| PGA                                    | 1.042 (0.962, 1.127) | 0.3129  |
| Enthesitis                             | 0.891 (0.685, 1.159) | 0.3896  |

aOnly patients with complete data sets (n = 1578) were included in the model. CIs and P-values were determined using the Wald test. Odds ratios are expressed as the ratio for a 1-U difference (risk equals the odds ratio to the power of x) except for enthesitis (ratio for presence vs absence). bFFbH is assessed on a scale of 0 (total loss of functional capacity) to 100 (maximal functional capacity). c76-joint count. dBased on pre-specified baseline categories of < 3, 3–10, 11–20 and > 20. e78-joint count. fBased on pre-specified baseline categories of < 3, 3–10, 11–20 and > 20. gFunctional remission (FFbH ≥ 83%), FFbH is assessed on a scale of 0 (total loss of functional capacity) to 100 (maximal functional capacity). BSA: body surface area; FFbH: Funktionsfragebogen Hannover; MDA: minimal disease activity; PGA: patient global disease activity assessment; SJC: swollen joint count; TJC: tender joint count.

The table shows the influence of baseline MDA characteristics on achieving MDA at month 6 in stepwise regression models. Significant predictors of MDA at month 6 include FFbH and TJC. The odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for these predictors are provided, along with P-values. For non-significant variables, the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals are also provided.
pain and functional remission support the validity of this instrument in routine daily care. Pain was the most difficult criterion to meet. Only 2.3% of patients had pain at baseline; this percentage increased to 21.1% at month 6. Pain was also the most commonly unmet MDA criterion in a study from the Biologic Treatment Registry Across Canada registry [22]. The influence of pain on therapeutic response in PsA is consistent with findings from our study of patients with RA, in which pain showed a high correlation with therapeutic response as assessed by DAS28 [23], and with a recent study of 83 patients with PsA who presented to a hospital clinic in Argentina, which also found that the pain score criterion was the least likely to be achieved (29% of patients overall and 56% of patients with MDA) [24]. In contrast, BSA 3% and enthesitis showed only low correlations with MDA and did not correlate well with other MDA criteria. The most frequently met individual MDA criteria were absence of enthesitis, SJC 1, and BSA 3%. These findings may be relevant to future revisions of MDA criteria for PsA. For instance, in our study, the assessment of enthesitis appeared to have minimal contributions to MDA classification, perhaps due to difficulties in accurately assessing this disease characteristic.

In published studies, several baseline variables, including shorter symptom or disease duration, male sex, younger age, higher inflammatory marker levels and lower BMI [16–19, 22, 25, 26], have been associated with the achievement of MDA. Our method for evaluating predictors of MDA was different from these studies, as we confined our analyses to baseline characteristics included in subsequent MDA assessments. In agreement with other reports [16–18, 20], we found that a better functional status at baseline was a key predictor of MDA at month 6. It is possible that patients with better function have less irreversible bone damage and thus have a greater likelihood of benefiting from effective therapy. We also identified lower TJC at baseline as a predictor of MDA at month 6. The fact that only two of the seven MDA characteristics are significant predictors of MDA at month 6 suggests that baseline characteristics do not have an insurmountable influence on treatment outcome as assessed by MDA.
Accordingly, even patients with extensive skin and SJC involvement may be able to achieve MDA during adalimumab treatment.

Responses as assessed by MDA and ACR measure two distinct, but overlapping aspects of disease activity. MDA assesses the absolute level of disease activity, whereas ACR responses measure improvement from baseline in disease activity. These two types of evaluations are affected in opposite directions by high baseline disease activity: disease activity criteria such as MDA are more difficult to achieve with high baseline disease activity, whereas responder criteria are easier to achieve [27]. ACR criteria also differ from MDA in that they do not include skin or enthesitis. Our evaluation of the rate of MDA achievement in patients with ACR responses found that only approximately half of patients with an ACR20 response at month 6 also achieved MDA at this time point. This finding suggests that an ACR20 response does not signify effective control of disease activity; even an ACR50 response was not associated with MDA in almost 30% of patients. Conversely, over 90% of patients with an MDA response at month 6 also had an ACR20 response and 78% had an ACR50 response, supporting the validity of MDA in reflecting meaningful improvements. Although MDA and ACR may serve as complementary assessments during clinical trials, MDA appears to provide a better option for tracking disease activity during routine clinical care. In particular, it may provide a more objective perspective on patient health beyond clinical opinion. In a recent study conducted in the Netherlands, 35% of patients with PsA who were considered by their clinician to have an acceptable disease state did not fulfill criteria for MDA [28], a finding that ‘should challenge clinicians to incorporate these simple assessments into their routine patient care and strive for better outcomes for all patients’ [29].

Limitations of this study include reductions in the number of patients during the course of the study due to patients withdrawing or being lost to follow-up. In addition, in order to utilize data available for this population, MDA criteria were modified slightly. In particular, the criteria for pain used in this study (pain ≤1) was more stringent than the published MDA criterion of pain ≤1.5 cm [1]. However, a sensitivity analysis of the proportion of patients achieving the less stringent criterion of pain ≤2 found that even with this definition, pain continued to be the most difficult MDA criterion to achieve (5.8% of patients at baseline and 36.3% of patients at month 6). We therefore feel confident that our use of a modified pain criterion did not substantially alter the MDA results.

In conclusion, MDA provides a stable assessment of disease activity in patients initiating treatment with adalimumab. Our findings thus support the use of MDA to help guide treatment decisions during routine clinical care. However, it is important to note that some patients may take longer than 6 months to achieve MDA. For patients who are tolerating therapy and showing signs of improvement but have not yet achieved MDA, it may be appropriate to consider continuing treatment for >6 months before suggesting a change in therapy, particularly if pain and skin parameters have improved but joint counts have not yet reached MDA status.
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