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Abstract. The effects of leaf age, guttation, stomata and hydathode characteristics, and wounding on the symptom development of gummy stem blight [Didymella bryoniae (Auer.) Rehm] of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) were studied to develop a useful germplasm screening method. Older cucumber leaves were more susceptible than younger leaves in field, greenhouse, and detached-leaf tests. Compared to seedlings with true leaves, seedlings at the cotyledon stage were less susceptible, had a smaller variance for ratings, and were more likely to escape infection. Stomata density and hydathode counts were not correlated with field ratings; but, stomata length on older leaves was highly correlated with susceptibility. In greenhouse and field tests, susceptibility increased as guttation increased and actively guttating plants were more susceptible than nonguttating plants. Phylloplane moisture and/or nutrition were more important in the infection process than was stomatal opening. Although important, guttation was not necessary for infection. Dawn inoculation of field or greenhouse tests increased leaf symptoms compared with dusk inoculation. The increase was likely due to the free water and nutrients provided by guttation. Genotype ranks and ratings for detached-leaf tests were not correlated with field results. A useful method, highly correlated (r = 0.82 to 0.96) with field ratings, for screening germplasm in the greenhouse was developed.

Methods of artificial inoculation or greenhouse screening of cucumbers (Cucumis sativus) for resistance to gummy stem blight Didymella bryoniae have been reported in at least 15 articles since 1949. Only Wyszogrodzka et al. (1986) indicated that their test was correlated with field resistance. Their report did not specifically measure the correlation between field and greenhouse tests, but found that cultivars (breeding lines, cultivars, and plant introduction accession) resistant in greenhouse tests were usually resistant in field tests. They stated that the variability in greenhouse tests was problematic, and that greenhouse tests failed to detect the intermediate levels of resistance they found in field tests.

The greenhouse screening method reported by Wyszogrodzka et al. (1986) did not account for the effects of phylloplane nutrition on the infectivity of Didymella bryoniae. Hordijk and Goosen (1962), Svedelius and Unestam (1978), and Svedelius (1990) have demonstrated the importance of nutrition external to the leaf in causing infection by D. bryoniae on cucumber. Nutrition external to the leaf stimulates infection by facultative necrotrophs and in some cases is required for infection to occur (Blakeman, 1971). Svedelius (1990) referred to D. bryoniae as a facultative necrotroph. Curren (1969) reported that, like most facultative necrotrophs, D. bryoniae produces host cell-degrading enzymes. Svedelius and Unestam (1978) and Svedelius (1990) showed that cucumber leaves were most susceptible to infection near hydathodes, which exude carbohydrates, salts, and minerals (Ivanoff, 1963). Svedelius and Unestam (1978) speculated that guttation may provide D. bryoniae with increased infectivity. Yarwood (1952) found that infection of broad bean (Vicia faba L.) inoculated with Botrytis cinerea was positively correlated with the amount of guttation. The effects of leaf exudates on the field resistance of cucumbers to D. bryoniae has not been investigated.

There are conflicting reports on the infectability of cucumber cotyledons and on the relative susceptibility of differently aged leaves. Chiu and Walker (1949), Van Der Meer et al. (1978), and Wyszogrodzka et al. (1986) found that cotyledons of cucumber at the seedling stage were resistant to D. bryoniae and that inoculation of cotyledons was unreliable. Lee et al. (1984), however, stated that cucumber cotyledons were regularly infected in their tests, but it is unclear whether the plants tested were at the cotyledon stage or were 1 month old. Van Steekelenburg (1985) concluded that wounding was essential for infection of older leaves but not for young leaves. However, that conclusion was based on tests in which old leaves were not inoculated in the same manner as young leaves. Also, in an earlier paper, Van Steekelenburg (1981) showed that the relative susceptibility of old vs. young leaves depended on the cultivar used. Contrary to Van Steekelenburg’s work, Hordijk and Goosen (1962) found that old leaves were more susceptible to D. bryoniae, and Van Der Meer et al. (1978) showed that the meristem and primordial true leaves were less susceptible than the first true leaf. Also, Prasad and Norton (1967) stated that cucumber leaves become more susceptible to D. bryoniae with age.

Detached-leaf tests that detect field resistance to plant pathogens in a number of crops have been developed (Deadman and Cooke, 1986; Randhawa and Civerolo, 1985; Tedford et al., 1990; Yarwood, 1946). Detached-leaf tests have a number of advantages over other methods such as multi-race or multi-pathogen testing without complications from systemic acquired resistance, the ability to test and maintain susceptible plants (which is impor-
tant in genetic testing), and increased replication (by using more leaves) when testing single plants of unique genotypes. Hordijk and Goosen (1962) briefly mention that detached leaves of cucumbers were susceptible to *D. bryoniae*.

Host penetration of *D. bryoniae* on cucumber usually occurs through intercellular spaces around abraded trichomes (Chiu and Walker, 1949) or intercellularly via the stigma or style (de Neergaard, 1989). Direct cell penetration has not been noted (Chiu, 1948) or occurs only rarely (Chiu and Walker, 1949). Stomatal entry of *D. bryoniae* occurred on watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai) rind (Schenck, 1962), and cucumber leaves were most susceptible to infection near hydathodes (Svedelius, 1990; Svedelius and Unestam, 1978), indicating that a possible link may exist between stomata or hydathode characteristics and susceptibility.

Our objectives for this study were to 1) determine the effects of leaf age, guttation, stomata and hydathode characteristics, and wounding on the correlation between field and greenhouse tests and between field and detached-leaf tests for resistance to *D. bryoniae* on cucumber; 2) develop a useful method for screening cucumbers in the greenhouse for resistance to *D. bryoniae*, and 3) investigate the importance of guttation, irrigation, plant size, and wounding on the field resistance of cucumbers to *D. bryoniae*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Inoculum preparation.** For all tests, one pathogenic single spore isolate of *D. bryoniae* (DB-H-23, collected by Charles Averre from diseased cucumber leaves in 1988 in Duplin county, N. C.) was increased on petri plates containing 10 ml of cucumber malt extract agar (CMEA), using mycelial plug inoculation. Each liter of CMEA was made by mixing 250 ml of cucumber puree and 750 ml of distilled water with 30 g of malt extract agar (Difco). The puree, made from whole, freshly harvested cucumbers, was autoclave on 2 consecutive days and then stored at 0°C until needed. Inoculated plates were incubated for 10 to 14 days at 24 ± 2°C under alternating periods of 12 h fluorescent light [40 to 90 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD)] and 12 h darkness until spore-producing pycnidia formed. Inoculum was prepared by flooding plates with 5 to 10 ml of acidified, sterile-distilled water and scraping the surface of the agar with a rubber spatula. The water was acidified to a pH of 3.5 to 4.5 with lactic acid and also contained 20 drops/liter Tween-80, a surfactant. The low pH and the surfactant increased spore discharge from pycnidia and greatly reduced spore agglutination. The liquid from each plate was filtered through four layers of cheesecloth to remove mycelia, pycnidia, and dislodged agar. The spore suspension was standardized to a concentration of ~1 x 10⁷ spores/ml using a hemacytometer. The final pH of the inoculum was unadjusted and ranged from 5.4 to 6.8. The inoculum was held at 5°C for 15 h until use. Immediately before inoculation, Tween-80 (10 drops/liter), case in hydrolysate (0.05% w/v, Sigma), and sucrose or dextrose (0.1% w/v, Sigma) were added to the inoculum, except where noted. Plant symptoms were enhanced equally well with casein hydrolysate combined with either sucrose or dextrose (data not shown).

**Rating scale and variables measured.** In all tests and for all measures of disease symptoms, a linear 0 to 9 scale was used. Each increment of the scale is about equivalent to an 11% increase in symptom area. A rating of 0 indicated no visible symptoms. A rating of 9 indicated maximum symptoms. As an initial guide to leaf area damage, ratings from 1 to 8 were based on the pictorial assessment key of Thompson and Jenkins (1985). Detached leaves were rated for chlorosis and pycnidia formation. Ratings were made on individual leaves and chlorosis and pycnidia formation were rated separately. A chlorosis rating of 9 indicated that the entire leaf was uniformly and intensely chlorotic. Pycnidia formed almost entirely on leaf margins. A pycnidia rating of 9 indicated that the entire leaf margin was uniformly and densely covered with pycnidia. In field tests, plots were rated for leaf and stem necrosis. A leaf rating of 9 indicated that all leaves on all plants in a plot were entirely and uniformly necrotic. Stems were rated only on the lower 30 cm of main stems. A stem rating of 9 indicated that the main stems of all plants in a plot were entirely necrotic. Ratings of 9 for leaves or stems were rarely noted. Plants inoculated at the cotyledon stage were rated 9 if both cotyledons were completely necrotic. Plants inoculated at the first true leaf stage were rated 9 if the first true leaf was completely necrotic. A rating of 9 was assigned if the first and second true leaves were completely necrotic in plants inoculated at the third true leaf stage.

The rating scale data were checked for violations of the assumptions required for the analysis of variance using residual plot analysis as suggested by Fernandez (1992). Residuals were randomly distributed in all experiments; therefore, transformation of the rating scale data was not necessary (Little, 1985).

For all experiments, analysis of variance was performed using PROC GLM (SAS Institute, 1988). Means were tested for significant differences using the LSD option of the means statement in PROC GLM. Preplanned comparisons of specific treatment combinations were tested using single degree of freedom contrasts. Pearson product-moment correlation and Spearman rank correlation coefficients were calculated using PROC CORR (SAS), for the variables measured in this study with the field ratings reported by Wehner and St. Amand (1993).

**Greenhouse methods.** Seeds of each cultigen were planted in standard, 100-mm (500-ml) round, plastic pots filled with a soil-less mix of peat, vermiculite, and perlite (Metro Mix 220, Grace–Sierra, Milpitas, Calif.) in greenhouses at Raleigh, N.C. Where indicated, a soluble complete fertilizer (Peters 20-20-20; Grace–Sierra), was applied as a soil drench 1 and 2 weeks after seeding at a rate of 10 g/liter of water. Except for detached-leaf tests and field tests, inoculum was sprayed on the entire plant as a very fine mist using an air brush (model 100; Badger Air Brush Co., Franklin Park, Ill.) at ~140 kpa (20 psi) and at a distance of ~20 cm. Plants were sprayed nearly to the point of runoff, allowing guttation fluids to remain.

| Cultigen       | Mean foliar rating |
|----------------|--------------------|
| PI 164433      | 1.8                |
| Slice          | 2.9                |
| NCSU M 17      | 3.2                |
| PI 200818      | 4.2                |
| Poinsett 76    | 4.2                |
| Wisconsin SMR 18 | 5.7              |
| Straight 8     | 5.8                |
| Marketmore 76  | 6.6                |
| PI 205995      | 8.0                |
| Colet          | 8.1                |

Means were used in calculations of phenotypic and rank correlations between field resistance and the detached-leaf and greenhouse tests described in this study. Rating scale was from 0 (no necrosis) to 9 (complete necrosis) in 11% increments of necrotic area.
Immediately after inoculation, plants were placed into a chamber maintained at 95% to 100% relative humidity (RH) and 22 to 26°C with no light for 48 h. The chamber doors were removed after 48 h and the plants remained in the open chamber for an additional 24 h, allowing the plants to gradually re-acclimate to the ambient greenhouse environment. Before and after inoculation, plants in all treatments were watered daily with equal amounts of water using overhead sprinklers.

Field data for correlations. Field data from Wehner and St. Amand (1993) were used in calculations of correlations between field resistance and the detached-leaf and greenhouse tests described in this study. Means from that study span 4 years and two locations and provide the best information available on the field resistance of resistant and susceptible cucumber cultigens. Leaf susceptibility in their study was rated from 0 to 9, as described above for the field test in this study. Cultigens used in the experiments below are listed in Table 1 along with their mean leaf rating for field resistance to D. bryoriae as reported by Wehner and St. Amand (1993).

Detached-leaf test

Plant material and treatments. The effect of leaf age on resistance and on the correlation between a detached-leaf test and field resistance was tested using six cultigens ranging from resistant to susceptible. Cultigens used, in order from most field resistant, were ‘Slice’, NCSU M 17, PI 208018, ‘Wisconsin SMR 18’, ‘Straight 8’, and ‘Marketmore 76’.

Greenhouse temperature ranged from 25 to 35°C and RH ranged from 55% to 95%. Beginning on 4 Feb. 1992 for Expt. 1 and on 9 Apr. 1992 for Expt. 2, five pots were seeded for each cultigen every 3 days for four planting dates to ensure that all plants were at the same developmental stage when testing began, regardless of germination and growth rates. Plants in this study were fertilized. For each cultigen, three plants at the fourth true leaf stage were chosen for use in the study. Plants were selected if the fourth true leaf had a width of 6 ± 1 cm. All four leaves of each plant were removed by cutting the petioles from the stem. Leaves were collected at dusk when nutrient and carbohydrate levels are highest (Yarwood, 1946). Leaves were rinsed in distilled water and cut latitudinally to form triangular leaf piece, which, including the leaf tip, was 6 ± 1 cm long.

Leaf pieces were inoculated by submerging for 2 sec in inoculum prepared as above, except that casein hydrolysate and sucrose were not added. Adding nutrients to the inoculum for leaf pieces promoted profuse secondary pathogen growth. Noninoculated controls were submersed in distilled water with Tween-80 (10 drops/liter). Leaves were rinsed in distilled water and cut latitudinally to form triangular leaf piece, which, including the leaf tip, was 6 ± 1 cm long.

Table 2. Contrast mean squares for the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) and final rating (rating on day 10) for chlorosis and pycnidia ratings of cucumber inoculated with Didymella bryoriae. The first four true leaves from six cultigens differing for resistance to D. bryoriae were tested as detached leaves in petri plates. Ratings were measured every other day for 10 days and ranged from no symptoms (0) to complete chlorosis (9) or to leaf margins completely covered with pycnidia (9). The experiment had three replications and was performed twice.

| Contrasts                  | df | AUDPC | Final rating | AUDPC | Final rating |
|----------------------------|----|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|
| Leaf 1 vs. leaf 2          | 1  | 4.34* | 2.25**       | 5.33* | 2.73**       |
| Leaf 2 vs. leaf 3          | 1  | 297.02* | 1.17**  | 1133.71*** | 51.80***  |
| Leaf 3 vs. leaf 4          | 1  | 836.94** | 156.50*** | 345.14*** | 58.09***  |

*: **: ***: ****: Nonsignificant or significant at P = 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.
ranged from 55% to 95%. Plants were fertilized as described above. Plants were selected if the third true leaf had a width of 6 ± 1 cm. The first, second, and third true leaf of each plant was removed and examined for stomata density, stomata length, and hydathode number. Stomata density was measured by direct counts on fresh leaves at a magnification of 80x. Stomata length was measured using an ocular micrometer at a magnification of 360x. Hydathocles were counted without magnification by counting the number of primary, secondary, and tertiary vein endings per centimeter of leaf margin in the most deeply lobed region of each leaf.

Experimental design. For stomata density and length, three plants of each cultigen were examined. The adaxial and abaxial surfaces of the first three true leaves were examined at two locations. The center location was within 1 cm of the leaf midrib in the center of the leaf and in the center of an areole. The edge location was within 5 mm of the leaf margin and within 1 cm of the most deeply lobed portion of the leaf and in the center of an areole. Two samples were taken at each location. For hydathode counts, three plants of each cultigen were examined. The first three true leaves were examined on each leaf half. A completely nested design was used.

Greenhouse methods

Plant material and treatments. The effects of time of inoculation, leaf age, and wound status on the resistance of greenhouse grown plants and on the correlation with field resistance were tested using six cultigens ranging from resistant to susceptible. Cultigens used, in order from most field resistant, were ‘Slice’, PI 200818, NCSU M 17, ‘Wisconsin SMR 18’, ‘Straight 8’, and ‘Wisconsin SMR 18’. Cultigens were randomized within sub-subplots. The experiment was run twice. Leaf necrosis was rated, as above, 10 to 14 days after inoculation.

Field methods

Plant material and treatments. The effects of irrigation, plant size, wound status, and time of inoculation on leaf and stem resistance were tested in five field environments using three cultivars ranging from resistant to susceptible. Cultivars used, in order from most field resistant, were ‘Slice’, ‘Dasher II’, and ‘Straight 8’.

Plants were grown in five environments as follows: 1) Castle Hayne, N.C., in Spring 1991, 2) Castle Hayne in Summer 1991, 3) Castle Hayne in Spring 1992, 4) Castle Hayne in Summer 1992, and 5) Clayton, N.C., in Summer 1992. For the period between inoculation and rating, total precipitation and average temperature at each environment were as follows: environment 1, 0 mm and 26°C; environment 2, 1.3 mm and 27°C; environment 3, 3.8 mm and 23°C; environment 4, 0 mm and 28°C; and environment 5, 0 mm and 24°C. Seasons may be considered as environments because they have been shown to contribute greatly to environmental variation for yield in cucumber (Wehner, 1987) and disease reactions vary greatly between seasons (Wehner and Horton, 1992). Standard cultural practices were used in each environment (Hughes et al., 1983). Irrigation treatments consisted of applying 15 to 30 mm of water per hectare by overhead sprinklers either zero, one, or three times per week postinoculation for 2 weeks. Duration of leaf wetness was not recorded. No method was used to prevent irrigation by rain. Before inoculation and within each environment, all treatments received the same amount of irrigation, and all treatments were irrigated at the above rate 10 to 16 h before inoculation. There were two planting dates at each environment, differing by 4 to 6 weeks, which by the time of inoculation provided plants either smaller or larger than the vine-tip-over stage (four to six true leaves). Plants were wounded 1 to 7 h before inoculation or left unwounded. Wounding was applied by swinging a 10 × 40-cm wooden plank three times through the plant canopy of each plot at a rate of ≈2 m·s⁻¹. Wounding injured leaves and stems in a manner similar to that of multiple hand harvesting. Plants were inoculated at dawn or dusk. Inoculum with casein hydrolysate and sucrose was prepared as above. Inoculum was sprayed on leaves and stems as a very fine mist using a Solo backpack sprayer at 140 to 200 kPa (20 to 30 psi). Plants were sprayed nearly to the point of runoff, allowing guttation fluids to remain.

Experimental design. The effects of irrigation, plant size, wound status, and time of inoculation on leaf and stem field resistance were tested using a split-plot design with three replications. Whole plots were irrigation treatments, subplots were randomly assigned

| Table 3. Contrast mean squares for leaf rating of cucumber leaves inoculated with Didsymella bryoniae. Cultigens differing for resistance to D. bryoniae were tested in a greenhouse at the third true leaf stage. Time of inoculation was at dawn or dusk. Growth regulator treatments were kinetin, 2,4-D, or none. Ratings were measured 10 days after inoculation and ranged from no symptoms (0) to complete necrosis (9) in 1170 increments of necrotic area. The experiment had four replications and was performed twice. |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|----------------|
| Contrasts                                    | df | Mean square    |
| Dawn-inoculated vs. dusk-inoculated           | 1  | 350.4***       |
| Kinetin vs. no growth regulator              | 1  | 57.6***        |
| No growth regulator vs. 2,4-D                | 1  | 102.4***       |
| Dawn, no growth regulator vs. dusk, 2,4-D    | 1  | 12.8*          |
| Dawn, kinetin vs. dusk, 2,4-D                | 1  | 16.2           |

***, **, *Nonsignificant or significant at P = 0.05 or 0.001, respectively.
Results

Detached leaf test. Ratings on day 10 after inoculation or for the AUDPC for chlorosis \( (P \leq 0.01) \) and pycnidia \( (P \leq 0.05) \) formation were significantly different among cultigens in the detached-leaf test. However, ratings were not correlated with the field ratings shown in Table 1, as indicated by nonsignificant correlations ranging from -0.56 to 0.08 for all variables.

Susceptibility increased with leaf age for all cultigens tested for chlorosis and pycnidia formation (data not shown). Averaged over cultivars, all ratings on the oldest leaf (leaf 1) were 2.5 to 3.7 times greater than that of the mean rating for the youngest leaf (leaf 4). Single degree of freedom contrasts indicate that ratings were significantly different between the two youngest leaves (leaf 3 and leaf 4) for all variables (Table 2). The same was true for leaves 2 and 3 except for the final chlorosis rating. There were no differences between the two oldest leaves for any variable. Even though the greatest source of variation was due to experiments, interactions involving experiments were either nonsignificant or had no change in treatment ranks, indicating that results were consistent between experiments.

Guttation and resistance. Greenhouse grown plants inoculated at dawn were guttating heavily and those inoculated at dusk had no visible signs of guttation. The effect of time of inoculation was highly significant \( (P \leq 0.001) \). Dawn inoculated plants were more susceptible than dusk inoculated plants. The mean leaf rating was 6.6 for plants inoculated at dawn and 4.7 for plants inoculated at dusk.

In this study, 2,4-D visibly increased guttation slightly, and kinetin visibly decreased guttation slightly compared to plants receiving no growth regulator treatment. However, the amount of guttation was not measured. Growth regulators significantly affected the leaf rating of plants inoculated with \( D. bryoniae \) as indicated by contrasts (Table 3). The mean leaf rating of plants treated with 2,4-D was 6.9. Those plants receiving no growth regulator had a mean leaf rating of 5.3, and plants treated with kinetin had a mean leaf rating of 4.1.

Time of inoculation interacted significantly with growth regulator treatments, but changes in the ranking of treatment combinations did not occur. The mean leaf rating of plants treated with no growth regulator and inoculated at dawn was not significantly different from that of plants treated with 2,4-D and inoculated at dusk (Table 3). The mean leaf rating (5.3) of plants treated with kinetin and inoculated at dawn was significantly lower than (6.2) that of plants treated with 2,4-D and inoculated at dusk (Table 3). Uninoculated control plants sprayed with growth regulators had no necrotic lesions.

Leaf rating was significantly different among cultivar \( (P \leq 0.001) \). Correlations between greenhouse leaf ratings and the field leaf ratings in Table 1 were calculated for each of the six combinations of time of inoculation by growth regulator treatment. Averaged over experiments, mean leaf ratings for cultivars treated with no growth regulator and inoculated at dawn were highly correlated \( (r = 0.92, P \leq 0.05) \) for field ratings, as were rankings \( (r = 0.90, P \leq 0.05) \). Rankings for cultivars treated with 2,4-D and inoculated at dusk were slightly lower \( (r = 0.82, P \leq 0.05) \) with field rankings, but the mean leaf ratings were not significantly correlated \( (r = 0.86, P \geq 0.05) \) for field ratings. Cultivars treated with 2,4-D and inoculated at dawn had mean leaf ratings that were highly, but nonsignificantly, correlated \( (r = 0.83, P \geq 0.05) \) with field ratings, as were rankings \( (r = 0.82, P \geq 0.05) \). All other treatment combinations had low and nonsignificant correlations with field ratings.

Stomata, hydathodes, and resistance. Cultigens \( (P \leq 0.001) \) and

| Table 4. Contrast mean squares for stomata density per square millimeter, stomata length in millimeters, and hydathode count per centimeter leaf margin in a completely nested design for six cucumber cultigens grown in a greenhouse. Stomata counts and length were sampled twice at two locations (leaf center and margin), nested in leaf surface (top or bottom), nested in leaf (first, second, or third true leaf), and nested in plants of each cultigen. Hydathode counts were sampled twice at the leaf margin in the most deeply lobed section of leaves. |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Contrasts             | Stomata | Stomata | Hydathode | count |
|                       | df     | density        | length         |
| Leaf 1 vs. leaf 2, averaged over cultigens | 1 | 101.250** | 4.5* | 2.0*** |
| Leaf 2 vs. leaf 3, averaged over cultigens | 1 | 690.312*** | 2374.8*** | 11.7*** |

**,** ***Nonsignificant or significant at \( P = 0.001 \), respectively.

| Table 5. Contrast mean squares for leaf rating of cucumber leaves inoculated with \( D. bryoniae \). Cultigens differing for resistance to \( D. bryoniae \) were tested in a greenhouse. Time of inoculation was at dawn or dusk. Plant size was cotyledon, first, or third true leaf stage. Wound status was wounded or not wounded before inoculation. Ratings were measured 14 days after inoculation and ranged from no symptoms (0) to complete necrosis (9) in 11% increments of necrotic area. The experiment had four replications and was performed twice. |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Contrasts             | df     | Rating |
| Dawn-inoculated, not wounded vs. dusk-inoculated, not wounded | 1 | 62.0*** |
| Dawn-inoculated, wounded vs. dusk-inoculated, wounded | 1 | 4.1*** |
| Cotyledon, not wounded vs. leaf 1, not wounded | 1 | 57.3*** |
| Cotyledon, wounded vs. leaf 1, wounded | 1 | 6.2*** |
| Leaf 1, not wounded vs. leaf 3, not wounded | 1 | 13.3* |
| Leaf 1, wounded vs. leaf 3, wounded | 1 | 7.2*** |

**,** ***Nonsignificant or significant at \( P = 0.05 \) or 0.001, respectively.
the first three true leaves ($P \leq 0.05$ to $0.001$) were significantly different for stomata density, stomata length, and hydathode count. Mean stomata density ranged from 307/mm$^2$ for PI 164433 to 875/mm$^2$ for 'Wisconsin SMR 18'. Stomata length ranged from 14.8 mm for NCSU M 17 to 24.7 mm for PI 205995. Hydathode counts ranged from 2.5/cm for PI 164433 to 6.4/cm for 'Slice'. For all cultivars tested, stomata length increased and hydathode counts decreased with increasing leaf age, and the greatest difference occurred between the second and third true leaves (Table 4). No trends were associated with leaf age for stomata density. On average, stomata occurred more frequently near the center of leaves (699/mm$^2$) compared with leaf margins (560/mm$^2$). Stomata length at the center of the leaf was not significantly different from that found near the leaf margin. Stomata were more frequent (750/mm$^2$) and longer (19.9 mm) on the abaxial leaf surface compared with the adaxial surface (508 per mm$^2$ and 18.4 mm).

Pearson product-moment and Spearman rank correlations were calculated between the field leaf rating data of Wehner and St. Amand (1993) and all three variables measured in this test to investigate possible relationships between field resistance and stomata or hydathode characteristics. Stomata density and hydathode count were not correlated with field resistance. When averaged over all three true leaves, stomata length was not correlated with field ratings; however, for the oldest leaf (leaf 1), stomata length was highly correlated ($r = 0.89$, $P \leq 0.05$) with field leaf ratings and field ranking ($r = 0.83$, $P \leq 0.05$).

Greenhouse methods. Plants inoculated at dawn were significantly ($P \leq 0.01$) more susceptible (5.1) than those inoculated at dusk (4.6). Droplets of guttation exudates were abundant at the leaf margins of plants inoculated at dawn, and the leaf blades were covered with a thin film of moisture due to guttation or condensation. Leaves inoculated at dusk appeared to be completely dry. Plant size and wound status at inoculation were both highly significant effects ($P \leq 0.001$). Plants at the cotyledon stage were significantly less susceptible (4.4) than those at the first (5.0) or third (5.3) true leaf stage. The youngest leaves on seedlings with three true leaves were usually the least susceptible. Plants were more susceptible if wounded (6.2) before inoculation compared with nonwounded plants (3.4). Wound status interacted with time of inoculation and plant size ($P \leq 0.01$). Single degree of freedom contrasts (Table 5) indicate that, for nonwounded plants, dawn-inoculated plants were more susceptible (4.1) than dusk-inoculated plants (3.1), and plants at the cotyledon stage (2.6) were less susceptible than those at the first true leaf stage (3.7). Plants at the first true leaf stage (3.7) were less susceptible than those at the third true leaf stage (4.3) (Table 5). Wounded plants, however, showed no significant difference for inoculation time or plant size (Table 5).

Standard deviations were used as a measure of the range of ratings for each treatment and for each treatment combination. The actual range was not used because in many cases the range was the same. Also, individual outliers can affect the range greatly, but only minimally affect the standard deviation. Wound status was the factor with the largest effect on the range of plant ratings. Wounding decreased the standard deviation for ratings from 2.38 for nonwounded plants to 2.04. Plant size and time of inoculation, as main effects, had little effect on the range of plant ratings. Interactions between the three factors, however, had large effects on the range of plant ratings. The treatment combination of dawn-inoculated, nonwounded plants at the first true leaf stage had the largest standard deviation (2.72). Dawn-inoculated, nonwounded plants at the third true leaf stage had the second largest standard deviation (2.47), and dusk-inoculated, nonwounded plants at the cotyledon stage had the smallest standard deviation (1.59).

Correlations between field and greenhouse ratings were calculated for each treatment combination. Correlations were usually low and nonsignificant, except for dawn-inoculated, nonwounded plants at the third true leaf stage. Correlations with field ratings for that treatment combination were high ($r = 0.88$, $P \leq 0.05$ in Expt. 1 and $r = 0.96$, $P \leq 0.01$ in Expt. 2). Rank correlations were also high for that treatment combination ($r = 0.83$, $P \leq 0.05$ in Expt. 1 and $r = 0.89$, $P \leq 0.05$ in Expt. 2).

Field methods. Single degree of freedom contrasts indicate that summer-grown cucumbers were significantly ($P \leq 0.001$) more susceptible than spring-grown plants for leaf, stem, and index ratings (Table 6). Plants grown at Castle Hayne, were more susceptible ($P \leq 0.001$) than those grown at Clayton for leaf (6.7 vs. 4.5), stem (4.9 vs. 3.3), and index (5.8 vs. 3.9) ratings. Irrigation treatments did not affect ratings. Large plants were significantly more susceptible than small plants for all three variables. Inoculating plants at dawn or wounding plants prior to inoculation, increased ratings for leaves and the index, but not for stems. Plant size interacted with wounding, but larger plants were more susceptible, regardless of wound status. The cultivar 'Slice' was the most resistant and 'Straight 8' was the most susceptible cultivar for all three variables. Several factors had significant interactions with cultivars (data not shown), but none caused a change in the rank of cultivars.

Discussion

Detached leaf tests and greenhouse tests both indicated that young leaves were less susceptible to D. bryoniae than were old leaves, at least for seedlings up to the fourth true leaf stage. The greatest differences in disease ratings occurred between the youngest leaves. Our results conflict with those of Van Steekelenburg (1985), who reported that wounding was necessary for infection of the second and third true leaf. Van Steekelenburg (1985) did not add sucrose or casein hydrolysate to the leaf surface or to the inoculum before inoculation. Work by Svedelius and Unestam (1978) demonstrated the importance of phylloplane nutrients in the infection process of D. bryoniae on cucumber. Our greenhouse tests did include supplemental nutrients in the inoculum and that is likely the reason for the different results. As in detached-leaf tests and greenhouse tests, younger tissue was less susceptible than older tissue in field tests. Leaves and stems both were more susceptible on plants larger than the vine tip over stage compared with plants having fewer than eight true leaves.

In greenhouse tests, seedlings at the cotyledon stage were less susceptible than seedlings with true leaves. Also, seedlings at the cotyledon stage had a smaller range of ratings and were more likely than larger seedlings to escape infection. These observations confirm the assertion of Wyszogrodzka et al. (1986) that resistance tests using cotyledon stage seedlings are unreliable.

Detached-leaf tests were convenient to conduct and usually had smaller coefficients of variation than greenhouse tests but were not correlated with field results. Inoculum used in the detached-leaf tests did not have supplemental nutrients, as in the greenhouse screening, due to the stimulating effect that nutrients had on the growth of secondary organisms. Rinsing detached leaves before inoculation to reduce the number of surface organisms probably removed guttation exudates from leaves and maybe responsible for the lack of correlation between field and detached-leaf ratings. Testing nonrinsed detached leaves was unreliable, due to the growth of numerous secondary organisms.
Infrequent entrance of *D. bryoniae* through the stomata of watermelon rind has been noted by Schenck (1962). However, it was assumed by Svedelius and Unestam (1978) that stomatal entrance was not an important mode of entry for *D. bryoriae*. In this study, stomata density and hydathode counts were not correlated with susceptibility, but stomata length on older leaves was highly correlated with susceptibility. Also, stomata length increased with leaf age and older leaves were more susceptible. Our results indicate that stomata entry may be an important mode of entry for *D. bryoniae* on cucumber leaves and that further work on the mode of entry should proceed.

Guttation in seedlings was reduced by kinetin treatments and increased by 2,4-D treatments. Kinetin acts within 3 h directly on stomata to increase stomatal aperture and increase transpiration (Incoll and Jewer, 1987), and 2,4-D induces stomatal closure and reduces transpiration (Davies and Mansfield, 1987). In this study, susceptibility increased as guttation increased and actively guttating plants were more susceptible than non-guttating plants, indicating that guttation is important in the disease process. Guttation and turgidity greatly increased in a mutant tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.*). plant, when normal stomata closure was restored using exogenously applied abscisic acid (Imber and Tal, 1970). It is likely that guttation was increased in this study due to higher plant turgor induced by closed stomata for plants treated with 2,4-D. The opposite was true for plants treated with kinetin: guttation and susceptibility decreased presumably due to open stomata. Although applying 2,4-D to seedlings increased guttation and susceptibility, its effect on plants is to close stomata. Therefore, high levels of infection are possible with apparently closed stomata on nonwounded plants if guttation is occurring. Also, low levels of infection are possible with apparently open stomata on nonwounded plants if guttation does not occur. It appears that phylloplane moisture and nutrition are more important in the infection process of *D. bryoniae* on cucumber leaves than is stomatal opening. Svedelius and Unestam (1978) concluded that nutrients on leaf surfaces were more important than wound openings for infection of cucumber by *D. bryoniae*. Guttation is increased by soil fertilization (Ivanoff, 1963; Blakeman, 1971) and the nutrient content of guttation exudates are affected by soil fertility (Curtis, 1944). Van Steekelenburg (1982) found that external fruit susceptibility increased with increasing nitrogen fertilization of cucumbers, and Van Steekelenburg and Welles (1988) reported that Ca fertilization levels influence susceptibility.

Increasing leaf moisture in field tests by increasing the frequency of irrigation did not increase disease ratings as expected. It is possible that irrigation treatments were not frequent enough to achieve constant free water. Several workers have indicated that free water is required for infection (Olsen and Stanghellini, 1981; Van Steekelenburg, 1983; and Van Steekelenburg, 1985). Dawn inoculation did increase leaf susceptibility compared with dusk inoculation. The increase was likely due to the free water and nutrients provided by guttation.

Wyszogrodzka et al. (1986) reported that the correlation between Wisconsin and Florida field tests for cultigen rank was low to moderate \((r = 0.43)\). St. Amand and Wehner (1993) reported moderate to high \((r = 0.48 \text{ to } 0.93)\) correlations between years for field tested cucumbers. Compared to field tests, a greenhouse test would facilitate breeding efforts to locate or improve field resistance if it were highly correlated with field tests. In this study, a useful greenhouse screening method, which is highly correlated with field ratings and provides a wide range of ratings, was developed. The best results were obtained by inoculation of seedlings at the third true leaf stage with 1 × 10^7 spores/ml of inoculum, using inoculum amended with sucrose and casein hydrolysate, applying inoculum to guttating plants at dawn, applying inoculum as a fine mist with minimal guttation exudate runoff, inoculating inoculated plants in the dark at 25C and 95% to 100% for 48 h, acclimating dark incubated plants to greenhouse conditions for 24 h, and rating plants for foliar necrosis 10 to 14 days after inoculation. Our greenhouse screening test should be useful for progeny testing of cucumber germplasm.

A better understanding of the relationship between guttation and infection and the possible and preferred modes of entry of *D. bryoniae* on cucumber leaves and stems will likely lead to improved screening methods and provide a basis for understanding cucumber resistance to *D. bryoniae*.

### Table 6. Mean rating of main effects for three cucumber cultivars tested in five environments, with supplemental irrigation zero, one, or three times a week, for plants smaller or larger than the vine tip over stage, with or without wounding, and inoculated at dawn or dusk with *Didymella bryoniae*. Leaves and stems were rated 10 to 14 days after inoculation and whole plot ratings ranged from no symptoms (0) to complete necrosis (9) in 11% increments of necrotic area. Index rating is the average of leaf and stem ratings for each plot.

| Factor          | Level   | Leaf | Stem | Index |
|-----------------|---------|------|------|-------|
| Environment     | Spring  | 4.0 b| 2.7 b| 3.4 b |
|                 | Summer  | 6.1 a| 4.4 a| 5.2 a |
| Irrigations     | Zero times | 5.2 a| 3.7 a| 4.5 a |
|                 | One time | 5.1 a| 3.6 a| 4.4 a |
|                 | Three times | 5.3 a| 3.7 a| 4.5 a |
| Plant size      | Large   | 5.9 a| 4.3 a| 5.1 a |
|                 | Small   | 4.5 b| 3.2 b| 3.8 b |
| Wound status    | Wounded | 5.7 b| 3.8 a| 4.7 a |
|                 | Nonwounded | 4.7 b| 3.6 a| 4.2 b |
| Inoculation     | Dawn    | 5.5 a| 3.7 a| 4.6 a |
|                 | Dusk    | 4.9 b| 3.6 a| 4.3 b |
| Cultivar        | Straight 8 | 6.4 a| 4.0 a| 5.2 a |
|                 | Dasher II | 5.3 b| 3.9 a| 4.6 b |
|                 | Slice   | 3.9 c| 3.3 c| 3.6 C |

Within main effects and rating variable, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at \(P = 0.05\) probability level based on LSD tests (or contrasts for environments).
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