Direct Interaction between Scaffolding Proteins RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ Regulates Brain-derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) Transcription*§
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Background: The cAMP/PKA pathway regulates BDNF transcription via nuclear RACK1.
Results: We identified 14-3-3ζ as a RACK1-binding protein. Disruption of RACK1/14-3-3ζ interaction or knockdown of 14-3-3ζ levels inhibits RACK1 nuclear translocation and BDNF transcription.
Conclusion: The 14-3-3ζ/RACK1 complex is necessary for RACK1 nuclear translocation and BDNF transcription.
Significance: BDNF transcription is regulated by RACK1-14-3-3ζ complex.

RACK1 is a scaffolding protein that spatially and temporally regulates numerous signaling cascades. We previously found that activation of the cAMP signaling pathway induces the translocation of RACK1 to the nucleus. We further showed that nuclear RACK1 is required to promote the transcription of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). Here, we set out to elucidate the mechanism underlying cAMP-dependent RACK1 nuclear translocation and BDNF transcription. We identified the scaffolding protein 14-3-3ζ as a direct binding partner of RACK1. Moreover, we found that 14-3-3ζ was necessary for the cAMP-dependent translocation of RACK1 to the nucleus. We further observed that the disruption of RACK1/14-3-3ζ interaction with a peptide derived from the RACK1/14-3-3ζ binding site or shRNA-mediated 14-3-3ζ knockdown inhibited cAMP induction of BDNF transcription. Together, these data reveal that the function of nuclear RACK1 is mediated through its interaction with 14-3-3ζ. As RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ are two multifunctional scaffolding proteins that coordinate a wide variety of signaling events, their interaction is likely to regulate other essential cellular functions.

By means of protein/protein interactions, scaffolding proteins are central components of the cell signaling network that integrate and control the propagation of extracellular signals (1–4). Specifically, scaffolding proteins allow the formation of preassembled or signal-dependent multiprotein complexes that contribute to the accuracy of the spatiotemporal processing and transmission of a signal (1–4). In addition, an appropriate transmission of an intracellular signal can be achieved by the subcellular compartmentalization of signaling proteins that typically requires post-translational modification and/or the association with scaffolding proteins (1–4).

RACK1 is a highly conserved scaffolding protein originally identified as a βII protein kinase C (PKC) anchoring protein (5) and was later reported to bind a wide range of signaling proteins, including kinases, phosphatases, transcription factors, and the cytoplasmic domain of membrane proteins (6). RACK1 belongs to the tryptophan-aspartate (WD) repeat family (6). This globular structure is composed of seven blades (WD1–7 regions), each containing a four-stranded antiparallel β-sheet, connected by loops (6, 8, 9). These compact and multisided structural features allow RACK1 to bind proteins through a wide array of protein domains (6).

By controlling the interaction network between these different families of signaling proteins, RACK1 regulates a wide range of discrete signaling events at the level of several subcellular compartments such as the cytosol (6, 10), the endoplasmic reticulum (11), and the nucleus (12, 13). In addition, translocation of RACK1 from one subcellular location to another has been shown to mediate various cellular responses following a stimulus. For instance, RACK1 accompanies PKCβII to its site of action in response to its activation (14). Furthermore, upon cellular stress such as hypoxia or heat shock, RACK1 is sequestered into cytoplasmic stress granules, preventing the activation of a stress-responsive mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, which in turn leads to cell survival instead of cell apoptosis (15). Moreover, we previously found that activation of the cAMP/protein kinase A (PKA) pathway in various types of cells, including neurons, induces RACK1 translocation to the nucleus, subsequently leading to the gene transcription of the brain-derived neu-
rotrophic factor (BDNF)\(^4\) (12, 16). We further showed that activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway results in the association of RACK1 with the promoter IV region of the BDNF gene, leading to an increase in exon IV-specific BDNF transcription (12). This process is particularly important in the nervous system because BDNF is essential for neuronal development, survival, and function as well as synaptic plasticity (17, 18). Likewise, the cAMP/PKA pathway, a signaling cascade activated by peptides, hormones, and neurotransmitters, contributes to the regulation of various neuronal functions (19, 20). In the present study, we therefore set out to identify the mechanism by which RACK1, a focal node connecting the cAMP/PKA and BDNF signaling pathways, translocates to the nucleus in response to the activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway to regulate BDNF transcription.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—Anti-RACK1 (sc-17754), anti-14-3-3ζ (sc-1019), anti-pan14-3-3 (sc-629), and anti-phospho-ERK (sc-7976-R) antibodies as well as all the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-phospho-GSK3β (catalog number 9323), anti-phospho-S6 (catalog number 2211), anti-GST, and anti-CREB (catalog number 9121) antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-actin antibody (catalog number A5316), DNase (catalog number AMP-D1), forskolin, H-89, bisindolylmaleimide I hydrochloride, and phosphatase inhibitor mixtures 1 and 2 were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. The protease inhibitor mixture and isopropyl \(\beta\)-D-thiogalactopyranoside were purchased from Roche Applied Science. Trypsin, the reverse transcription system, and 2 \(\times\) PCR Master Mix were purchased from Promega. Primers for PCR were synthesized by Sigma-Genosys. Amylose resin and \(\lambda\)-phosphatase were from New England BioLabs. Thrombin, glutathione-Sepharose 4B, Deep Purple\(^\text{TM}\) total protein stain, and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagents were from GE Healthcare. NuPAGE\(^\circledR\) Bis-Tris precast gels and recombinant protein G-agarose were from Invitrogen. Peptides were synthesized by Anaspec, Inc. The purity of the peptides was greater than 90%, and their integrity was analyzed by mass spectrometry.

**Cell Culture**—SHSY5Y human neuroblastoma cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) supplemented with non-essential amino acid solution. Cells were incubated in a low serum medium containing 1% FBS for at least 24 h before treatment.

**Preparation of Primary Rat Hippocampal Neurons**—A litter of Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan) was obtained on either the day of birth or the 1st postnatal day (postnatal days 0–1), and rats were killed by decapitation. The hippocampi were collected, pooled, and digested in a solution containing 20 units/ml papain ( Worthington) for 30 min at 37 °C. Cells were mechanically dissociated by pipette trituration and spun down for 5 min at 500 \(\times\) g, and digestion was stopped by brief incubation with a trypsin inhibition solution (Sigma–Aldrich). Cells were plated on poly-D-lysine-treated plates. On day 1, half of the medium was changed, 10 \(\mu\)M cytosine arabinoside was added to inhibit growth of glial cells, and half of the medium was changed again 3 days later. Neurons were then maintained in culture for 3 weeks in Neurobasal-A medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with B-27 and GlutaMAX supplements (Invitrogen) as well as penicillin and streptomycin.

**RACK1 Immunoprecipitation and Gel Staining**—SHSY5Y cells were treated with 10 \(\mu\)M forskolin (FSK) for 30 min and then lysed in immunoprecipitation (IP) buffer (1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, and protease and phosphatase inhibitor mixtures). Lysate was precleared and incubated with RACK1 antibody or IgG. After overnight incubation at 4 °C, recombinant protein G-agarose was added, and the mixture was further incubated at 4 °C for 2 h. The agarose resin was extensively washed with IP buffer, and immunoprecipitated proteins were eluted in loading buffer (2% SDS, 23% \(\beta\)-mercaptoethanol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.1% bromphenol blue) and resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE. Gels were stained with Deep Purple total protein stain according to the provider’s instructions and scanned with a Typhoon\(^\text{TM}\) scanner (GE Healthcare) (excitation, 532 nm; emission, 610BP filter).

**Mass Spectrometry Identification of Proteins**—The whole lanes (IgG versus RACK1) of interest were manually excised from a representative gel and cut into small pieces. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed by the University of California, San Francisco Sandler-Moore Mass Spectrometry Core Facility. Proteins were subjected to trypsin digestion, and mixtures of proteolytic peptides were separated by nano-LC utilizing an Eksigent two-dimensional LC NanoLC system (Eksigent/Applied Biosystems Sciex) interfaced with a QStar XL mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems Sciex). ProteinPilot\(^\text{TM}\) Software 4.0 that utilizes the Paragon\(^\text{TM}\) algorithm (Applied Biosystems Sciex) was used for peak detection, mass peak list generation, and database searches. Protein identifications based on multiple peptides were accepted using a cutoff score of 1.69897 that represented more than 98% confidence. However, in cases of identities based on a single peptide, a cutoff score of 2.0 representing more than 99% confidence was used. Additional information is provided in the supplemental Methods.

**Western Blot Analyses**—Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5% (w/v) nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline and 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 (TBS–T) and then incubated overnight at 4 °C in the blocking solution including the appropriate antibody. After extensive washes with TBS–T, bound primary antibodies were detected with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody and visualized by ECL. The optical density of the relevant immunoreactive band was quantified using the NIH Image 1.63 program.

**Recombinant Proteins**—Maltose-binding protein (MBP), MBP-RACK1, and glutathione S-transferase (GST) were described previously (21, 22). GST-14-3-3ζ and GST-14-3-3θ constructs (containing a thrombin cleavage site flanked by GST

---

\(^4\)The abbreviations used are: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; Adv, adenovirus; CREB, cAMP/PKA response element-binding protein; CT, control; FSK, forskolin; MBP, maltose-binding protein; Bis-Tris, 2-[bis(2-hydroxyethyl)aminoo]-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol; IP, immunoprecipitation; ANOVA, analysis of variance; FAK, Focal Adhesion Kinase; CCTa, CTP:phosphocholine cytidylyltransferase α.
and 14-3-3ζ or 14-3-3θ sequences) were generously obtained from Yun-Cai Liu (La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology). Recombinant proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* by induction with 1.5 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 4 h, and cells were lysed by successive freeze/thaw cycles and sonication.

**Affinity Column Assay**—Crude extracts of MBP fusion proteins were incubated with 1 ml of prewashed amylose suspension, and the column was washed extensively with column buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.002% sodium azide, and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol). One milligram of SHSY5Y lysate at 1 μg/μl in IP buffer was applied to the column for 1 h at room temperature. After extensive washes with a solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.1% polyethylene glycol (M, 15,000–20,000), 1.2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.2 mM NaCl, bound proteins were eluted three times with 500 μl of column buffer supplemented with 50 mM maltose and resolved by SDS-PAGE. Proteins were stained with colloidal Coomassie Blue (G-250) as described previously (23) or further analyzed by Western blot.

**GST Pulldown**—GST pulldown was performed in 1 ml of IP buffer containing 500 μg of SHSY5Y lysate and 10 μg of GST or GST-14-3-3ζ immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose. After overnight incubation at 4 °C, beads were extensively washed with IP buffer. Bound proteins were eluted with loading buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95 °C, and resolved by SDS-PAGE.

**Direct Interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3 Proteins**—Thrombin cleavage of GST-14-3-3ζ or GST-14-3-3θ immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose was carried out with 50 units of thrombin protease in 1 ml of thrombin buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.7, 150 mM NaCl, and 2.5 mM CaCl₂) at room temperature as indicated by the provider. Cleaved 14-3-3ζ or 14-3-3θ was collected in the supernatant, and the digestion was analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by colloidal Coomassie Blue staining. Ten micromolars of cleaved 14-3-3ζ or 14-3-3θ in 1 ml of IP buffer was applied to MBP or MBP-RACK1 immobilized on amylose resin. After a 2-h incubation at room temperature, amylose resin was extensively washed as described above. Bound proteins were eluted with loading buffer or 50 mM maltose and analyzed by Western blot.

**Phosphatase Assay**—Five hundred micrograms of SHSY5Y lysate at a concentration of 1 μg/μl in 1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and 1.5 mM MnCl₂ were incubated for 30 min at 30 °C with 2000 units of Muc. Bound proteins were eluted with loading buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95 °C, and resolved by Western blot using phosphospecific antibodies. The rest of the sample was used to determine the level of 14-3-3ζ binding to the 18-mer single mutated peptides was measured by densitometry and presented as a percentage of the binding of GST-14-3-3ζ to the control “parent” peptide (29, 30). A minimum of a 50% decrease of the binding compared with the par-
fraction. The integrity of the fractionation was verified by lysing in Buffer I (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2% Nonidet P-40, 10% glycerol, and protein and phosphatase inhibitors). Nuclei were collected by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min, and the supernatant was used as the nuclear fraction. The integrity of the fractionation was verified by examining the distribution of the transcription factor CREB within the two fractions.

Data Analysis—Depending on the design of the experiment, data were analyzed with one-tailed unpaired t test, one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA. Significant main effects and interactions of the ANOVAs were further investigated with the Newman-Keuls post hoc test or the method of contrasts (one-tailed unpaired t test). Statistical significance was set at p ≤ 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± S.E.

RESULTS

Identification of 14-3-3ζ as RACK1 Binding Partner—Activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway results in the nuclear translocation of RACK1 (16). As RACK1 functions by means of protein/protein interaction and does not contain a known nuclear localization signal in its amino acid sequence, we hypothesized that a binding partner may mediate its translocation to the nucleus. Therefore, a proteomics approach was implemented to identify RACK1 binding partners following activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway. We used SHSY5Y cells as a model system because we previously showed that RACK1 mediates cAMP/PKA-induced BDNF transcription in this cell line in a fashion similar to that in hippocampal and striatal neurons (12, 16, 33).

SHSY5Y cells were treated with FSK, an activator of adenylyl cyclase; RACK1 was immunoprecipitated; and co-immunoprecipitated proteins were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and revealed by Deep Purple total protein stain (34). Peptide sequences corresponding to two members of the 14-3-3 protein family, 14-3-3ζ and 14-3-3θ, were identified by tandem mass spectrometry in the RACK1 immunoprecipitate but not in the corresponding gel section representing the IgG control. Identification of 14-3-3ζ and 14-3-3θ proteins is based on matching two and three peptides, respectively. Among these peptides, one is common to both isoforms. Evidence of MS-based protein identification is provided in the supplemental material.

Table 1.

| Protein Name | Access number | Molecular Mass (kDa) | Matched peptides |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 14-3-3 protein zeta | P63104 | 27.7 | SVTEGGAESNEER DSTLIMQLR** |
| 14-3-3 protein theta | P27348 | 27.8 | AVTEGGAESNEER YLAEVACGDDRK DSTLIMQLR** |

Identification of 14-3-3ζ and 14-3-3θ as binding partners of RACK1. SHSY5Y cells were treated with 10 μM FSK for 30 min and then lysed in IP buffer. RACK1 was immunoprecipitated from cell lysate, and proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE. The gel was stained with Deep Purple to visualize proteins. Gel slices were in-gel protein-digested, and the resulting peptides were submitted to mass spectrometry (MS/MS) sequencing for protein identification. 14-3-3ζ and 14-3-3θ were identified in the RACK1 immunoprecipitate but not in the corresponding gel section representing the IgG control. Identification of 14-3-3ζ and 14-3-3θ proteins is based on matching two and three peptides, respectively. Among these peptides, one is common to both isoforms. Evidence of MS-based protein identification is provided in the supplemental material. n = 2, *, reflects DNA sequence-based amino acid composition; **, common peptide for both proteins. Access, accession.
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reported to transit in and out of the nucleus (38, 39). Among the 14-3-3 proteins, 14-3-3ζ is widely distributed throughout the brain (40), and the isoform has been reported to display rapid nucleocytoplasmic shuttling properties (39). Thus, we tested the possibility that 14-3-3ζ contributes to RACK1 nuclear shuttling and to the induction of BDNF transcription.

Validation of 14-3-3ζ as RACK1 Binding Partner—First, we set out to confirm the mass spectrometry identification of 14-3-3ζ as a protein that co-immunoprecipitates with RACK1 and tested whether this association was dependent on cAMP/PKA signaling. After verification that FSK treatment did not affect the global amount of both RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ in SHSY5Y cells (supplemental Fig. S2), endogenous RACK1 was immunoprecipitated from SHSY5Y cell lysate treated with vehicle or FSK, and the level of co-immunoprecipitated 14-3-3ζ was examined by Western blot analysis. We found that endogenous RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ interacted in the presence but also in the absence of cAMP/PKA pathway activation (Fig. 2A). Next, we verified that the interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ is specific. Recombinant MBP or MBP-RACK1 was immobilized on an amylose resin column and incubated with SHSY5Y lysate that was previously treated with FSK, and the association of endogenous 14-3-3ζ protein with the eluted MBP-RACK1 or MBP was determined by Western blot analysis. We observed that endogenous 14-3-3ζ bound MBP-RACK1 but not MBP (Fig. 2B, middle panel). GAPDH, which was used as an additional control, did not interact with either MBP or MBP-RACK1 (Fig. 2B, middle panel). Next, we examined the association between RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ using the reciprocal interaction test. To do so, GST or recombinant 14-3-3ζ protein fused to GST was immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads and incubated with SHSY5Y lysate previously treated with vehicle or FSK, and the association of endogenous RACK1 protein with recombinant GST-14-3-3ζ was determined by Western blot analysis. In agreement with the data described above, we found that GST-14-3-3ζ, but not GST, pulled down endogenous RACK1 from SHSY5Y cell lysate in the presence but also in the absence of cAMP/PKA pathway activation (Fig. 2C, top panel). Taken together, these data indicate that the interaction between 14-3-3ζ and RACK1 is specific and constitutive.

Interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ Is Direct and Phosphoindependent—Next, we aimed to test whether the interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ is direct. First, we performed an in vitro binding assay in which recombinant MBP and MBP-RACK1 were immobilized on an amylose resin column and then incubated with purified recombinant GST-14-3-3ζ. After extensive washing and elution steps, we found that recombinant MBP-RACK1, but not MBP, was eluted together with GST-14-3-3ζ (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the interaction between the two proteins is direct. To rule out the possibility that the interaction of MBP-RACK1 with GST-14-3-3ζ was due to nonspecific binding of GST to RACK1, GST was cleaved from 14-3-3ζ by thrombin treatment (Fig. 3B) prior to incubation with MBP or MBP-RACK1 immobilized on amylose resin (Fig. 3C). In agreement with the results described above, we observed that recombinant MBP-RACK1, but not MBP, was eluted together with 14-3-3ζ previously digested by thrombin (Fig. 3C). As 14-3-3ζ was also identified by tandem mass spectrometry in the RACK1 immunoprecipitate (Fig. 1 and supplemental Fig. S1), we set out to determine whether this isoform also interacts with RACK1 in vitro. To do so, recombinant GST-14-3-3ζ was purified, and GST was cleaved off by thrombin treatment (supplemental Fig. S3). As shown in Fig. 3D, we found that MBP-RACK1, but not MBP, bound recombinant 14-3-3ζ. Taken together, these data suggest that recombinant 14-3-3ζ and 14-3-3ζ isoforms interact with recombinant RACK1 via a direct protein/protein interaction.

The majority of 14-3-3 family binding partners contain the consensus sequence RXpSXP or RX(Y/F)XpSXP (where pS is phosphoserine), allowing a phosphoserine-dependent interaction (35–37). An alternative binding motif, (pS/pT)XpS(X)−2−COOH (where pT is phosphothreonine), implicating a serine or threonine at the carboxyl terminus of the 14-3-3 partners, was also proposed (41). These binding motifs are not found in the primary sequence of RACK1 (see Scansite), and as the E. coli...
produced proteins bind each other, we hypothesized that RACK1 and 14-3-3 interact in a phosphorylation-independent manner. We tested this possibility by determining whether 14-3-3 co-immunoprecipitates with RACK1 from SHSY5Y lysate treated with λ-phosphatase. As shown Fig. 3E (middle panels), λ-phosphatase treatment of cell lysate led to the efficient dephosphorylation of well characterized phosphorylated residues of glycogen synthase kinase 3β (42), extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1/2), and ribosomal S6 (43) in the cell lysate. However, dephosphorylation failed to affect the co-immunoprecipitation of 14-3-3 with RACK1 (Fig. 3E, top panels). Together, these findings indicate that...
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the association of 14-3-3 \( \zeta \) with RACK1 does not require a phosphorylated amino acid.

**Mapping of 14-3-3 \( \zeta \) Binding Site on RACK1**—To identify the binding site of 14-3-3 \( \zeta \) on RACK1, we took advantage of a RACK1 peptide array that we previously used to map the binding sites of PP2A, \( \beta \)1 integrin, and focal adhesion kinase on RACK1 (29, 30). Specifically, a library of overlapping peptides (18-mers), each shifted by three amino acids and encompassing the entire sequence of RACK1, was spot-synthesized on nitrocellulose membranes to generate RACK1 peptide arrays (supplemental Fig. S4). Bound peptides were then overlaid with recombinant GST or GST-14-3-3 \( \zeta \), and binding of 14-3-3 \( \zeta \) to the RACK1-derived peptides was detected by anti-GST antibody. As reported previously (29), GST did not bind to any of the peptide spots on the RACK1 array (Fig. 4A and supplemental Fig. S4). However and in agreement with the results above showing a direct interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3 \( \zeta \), GST-14-3-3 \( \zeta \) bound to RACK1 peptides 28–33 and 58–60 (Fig. 4A and supplemental Fig. S4). Together, these data led to the identification of two candidate regions within the RACK1 sequence that contribute to a 14-3-3 \( \zeta \) binding interface, namely residues in the sequences 82–114 and 172–195 that span propeller blades WD2–3 and WD4–5, respectively (Fig. 4, B and C).

Next, to identify specific amino acids that are crucial for the interaction of RACK1 with 14-3-3 \( \zeta \), we used peptide-scanning
arrays derived from parent peptides 28, 30, 32, and 59 (Fig. 4B) in which successive amino acids were individually substituted by alanine. This alanine-scanning peptide array approach was previously used successfully to identify specific residues contributing to the RACK1 binding sites for PP2A and focal adhesion kinase (29, 30). Using the progeny array derived from peptides 28, 30, and 32, we found that individual alanine substitutions for Arg99, Arg100, and Lys106 significantly decreased the binding of GST-14-3-3ζ (Fig. 5A). Alanine substitution of a single residue, Lys185, within peptide 59 in the region spanning RACK1 blades WD4–5 also compromised binding to GST-14-3-3ζ (supplemental Fig. S5). These data suggest that amino acid residues that span the propeller blades WD2–3 and WD4–5 of RACK1 mediate the interaction with 14-3-3ζ, although a higher number of contacts are located within the WD2–3 region than the WD4–5 propeller blade (Fig. 4C).

If amino acids Arg99, Arg100, Lys106, and Lys185 within the RACK1 sequence contribute to the direct interaction with 14-3-3ζ, they should be present on the outer surface of RACK1. As yet, the x-ray crystal structure for human RACK1 has not been solved. However, inspection of the structure of the highly homologous RACK1A protein from Arabidopsis thaliana (9) allowed us to assess the likelihood of surface exposure of the candidate 14-3-3ζ-interacting residues. We observed that the RACK1A sequence 93AAAGVSTRRFVGHTK106 corresponding to RACK1 93TTGTTTRRFVGHTK106, which contains the conserved Arg99, Arg100, and Lys106 residues, is part of the outer edge of propeller blade WD2 and the loop of blade WD3, and all three amino acids are prominently exposed within this region (Fig. 5, B and C). In addition, we observed that the cognate residue Lys185 (Arg187 in RACK1A) is also exposed on the outer β-strand of the propeller blade WD4 (Fig. 5C). Together, these results strongly suggest that these amino acids are important for the interaction of the two scaffolding proteins.

Disruption of Interaction between 14-3-3ζ and RACK1 Inhibits cAMP-mediated BDNF Transcription—Previously, we showed that the cAMP/PKA-mediated translocation of RACK1 to the nucleus results in the induction of BDNF transcription (12, 16). If the binding of 14-3-3ζ to RACK1 is necessary for this process, then the disruption of the direct interaction between these two proteins should attenuate BDNF transcription. To test this possibility, the peptide 93TTGTTTRRFVGHTK106 identified above as a 14-3-3ζ binding site on RACK1 was synthesized. To allow for intracellular transduction, the RACK1 peptide was conjugated via a disulfide bond to the cell-penetrating peptide TAT (44) (Fig. 6A). First, we confirmed that TAT-RACK1-(93–106) efficiently blocked the direct interaction between GST-14-3-3ζ and spots 28–33 of the array (Fig. 6A). Next, SHSY5Y cells were pretreated with TAT-RACK1-(93–106) or the TAT peptide for 30 min prior to the activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway by FSK for 1 h, a time point after which increased BDNF mRNA levels can be detected (12). We observed that preincubation of SHSY5Y cells with the TAT-RACK1-(93–106) peptide, but not the TAT peptide, inhibited the induction of BDNF transcription in response to FSK (Fig. 6B). To test for the specificity of the effect of the TAT-RACK1-(93–106) peptide, SHSY5Y cells were also preincubated with TAT-RACK1-(75–84) (75GQFALSGSWD84), a peptide derived from a region of RACK1 that does not interact with 14-3-3ζ (see spots 23, 24, and 25 in supplemental Fig. S4). Importantly, unlike TAT-RACK1-(93–106), preincubation of SHSY5Y cells with TAT-RACK1-(75–84) peptide did not alter the induction of BDNF transcription in response to FSK (Fig. 6C). Together, these results suggest that the protein/protein interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ is essential for the induction of BDNF transcription.

The RACK1-derived peptide 93RFFVGHHTKDV108 disrupts the interaction between PKCBII and RACK1 (45). Because RACK1 binds activated PKCBII (5, 14), we tested whether PKC activity is required for the cAMP/PKA-dependent transcription of BDNF. As shown in Fig. 6D, we observed that the PKA inhibitor H-89, but not the PKC inhibitor bisindolylmaleimide I hydrochloride (46), blocked FSK-induced BDNF transcription. This finding indicates that PKC does not participate in cAMP/PKA-dependent BDNF transcription, and therefore a disruption of PKCBII and RACK1 interaction is unlikely to account for the inhibitory effect of TAT-RACK1-(93–106) peptide on cAMP/PAK-dependent transcription of BDNF.

Next, to verify a specific role for 14-3-3ζ in the molecular mechanism underlying cAMP/PAK-induced BDNF transcription, the 14-3-3ζ gene was silenced, and the level of BDNF mRNA in the presence and absence of FSK was examined. Adenovirus-mediated delivery of a previously described shRNA 14-3-3ζ sequence (Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-a) (25), but not a virus expressing a nonspecific shRNA sequence (Adv-shCT), resulted in a significant knockdown of the 14-3-3ζ protein in SHSY5Y cells (Fig. 7A). Importantly, we observed that shRNA-mediated knockdown of 14-3-3ζ inhibited the induction of BDNF transcription in response to FSK treatment in SHSY5Y cells (Fig. 7B) and in hippocampal neurons (Fig. 7, C and D). Finally, to rule out the possibility of off-target effects, a second recombinant adenovirus expressing another sh14-3-3ζ RNA sequence (Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-b) was constructed. We observed that down-regulation of 14-3-3ζ using Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-b also inhibited BDNF transcription following FSK treatment in SHSY5Y cells (Fig. 7E). Together, the data described above suggest that the association of 14-3-3ζ to RACK1 is necessary for the transcriptional regulation of BDNF expression in response to activation of cAMP/PAK signaling.

**FIGURE 5.** Alanine-scanning array analysis of RACK1 peptides 30 and 32. A, arrays in which the 18 amino acids in RACK1-derived 18-mer peptides 30 and 32 (defined in Fig. 4B) were sequentially substituted with alanine were probed using GST-14-3-3ζ. The binding of GST-14-3-3ζ to each alanine-substituted RACK1 peptide was detected by anti-GST antibody and quantified by densitometry and is presented here as a percentage relative to the binding of GST-14-3-3ζ to the unsubstituted parent peptides (Co). n = 2. B, a surface rendition of the homologous A. thaliana RACK1A structure (9) with the cognate sequence (93AAAGVSTRRFVGHTK106) shown colored reveals that the residues have prominent exposure on the edge of propeller blade WD2 and connecting loop to blade WD3. C, structure of RACK1A protein from A. thaliana (9) showing prominently surface-exposed side chains of basic residues Arg99, Arg100, and Lys106 (indicated by *) and cognate residue Lys185 (Arg187 in RACK1A) from the 14-3-3ζ binding locus on RACK1.
FIGURE 6. TAT-RACK1-(93–106) peptide blocks cAMP-mediated induction of BDNF transcription. A, RACK1-derived 18-mer peptides 26–35 were incubated with GST-14-3-3 /H9256 in the presence of 100 µM TAT or TAT-RACK1-(93–106) peptide for 2 h at room temperature. Binding of 14-3-3 /H9256 was detected (green spots) by anti-GST antibody and Alexa Fluor 800-coupled secondary antibody using a green channel of an Odyssey infrared image scanner. Peptide autofluorescence in the red channel was used to control the presence of immobilized 18-mer peptide spots 26–35 on both arrays.

B and C, SHSY5Y cells were incubated with 10 µM TAT, TAT-RACK1-(93–106) (B), or TAT-RACK1-(75–84) (C) peptide for 30 min prior to treatment with 10 µM FSK for 1 h. The levels of BDNF and actin mRNAs were analyzed by RT-PCR. The histogram depicts the mean ratio of BDNF to actin expressed as the percentage of control ± S.E. In B, n = 8–9. Two-way ANOVA showed a main effect of treatment (F(1,31) = 8.41, p = 0.007) and peptide (F(1,31) = 6.62, p = 0.015) but no interaction (F(1,31) = 2.99, p = 0.094). Subsequent analysis using the method of contrasts (one-tailed unpaired t test) detected a significant difference between vehicle and FSK in the TAT peptide group (**, p = 0.008) but not in the TAT-RACK1-(93–106) peptide group (ns, p = 0.114). In C, n = 5–6. Two-way ANOVA showed a main effect of treatment (F(1,19) = 23.68, p = 0.001) but no effect of the peptide (F(1,19) = 2.81, p = 0.11) or interaction (F(1,19) = 0.01, p = 0.756). Subsequent analysis using the method of contrasts (one-tailed unpaired t test) detected a significant difference between vehicle (Veh) and FSK in both TAT peptide group (**, p = 0.003) and TAT-RACK1-(75–84) peptide group (**, p = 0.004). D, SHSY5Y cells were incubated with 1 µM bisindolylmaleimide I hydrochloride (Bis) or 5 µM H-89 for 30 min before treatment with 10 µM FSK for 1 h. The levels of BDNF and actin mRNA were analyzed by RT-PCR. The histogram depicts the mean ratio of BDNF to actin expressed as the percentage of control ± S.E. n = 3; **, p = 0.005; ##, p = 0.002 (one-tailed unpaired t test). Numbers refer to the treatment indicated in the image and histogram.
14-3-3ζ Is Necessary for cAMP-mediated Translocation of RACK1 into Nucleus—Given the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling property of 14-3-3ζ (39), we hypothesized that 14-3-3ζ is required for the nuclear translocation of RACK1, a prerequisite for the activation of BDNF transcription upon activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway (12, 16). To test this possibility, we first examined the subcellular localization of both 14-3-3ζ and RACK1 following FSK treatment in SHSY5Y cells. As reported previously (16, 47, 48), we observed that the activation of the cAMP/PKA-mediated signaling pathway resulted in RACK1 translocation from the cytosolic fraction to the nucleus (Fig. 8A). Importantly, we found that 14-3-3ζ was also translocated...
to the nucleus in response to FSK treatment and that the time course of the movement of both scaffolding proteins was similar (Fig. 8A).

Finally, we examined whether 14-3-3ζ was necessary for RACK1 nuclear translocation upon activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway. To do so, we determined the fate of RACK1 localization after silencing the 14-3-3ζ gene. As shown in Fig. 8B, shRNA-mediated knock-down of 14-3-3ζ attenuated cAMP/PKA-induced RACK1 translocation to the nucleus. Taken together, our results suggest that 14-3-3ζ mediates BDNF transcription by promoting RACK1 translocation from the cytosol to the nucleus upon cAMP/PKA pathway activation.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we set out to identify the mechanism of RACK1 nuclear translocation in response to the activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway, a key step leading to BDNF transcription (12, 16). Using a proteomics strategy, we identified the scaffolding protein 14-3-3ζ as a binding partner of RACK1. We found that the interaction between the two scaffolding proteins was direct but did not require the prior phosphorylation of RACK1 on consensus 14-3-3ζ binding sites. Importantly, we provide evidence to suggest that 14-3-3ζ is necessary for the shuttling of RACK1 to the nucleus and the subsequent activation of BDNF transcription.

14-3-3ζ was also identified by mass spectrometry as a potential RACK1 binding partner, and we found that the isoform directly interacted with RACK1 in vitro. This finding is in agreement with the fact that 14-3-3ζ isoforms share direct binding partners. It is also well recognized that 14-3-3 proteins function as homo- and heterodimers (35–37). Therefore, our data put forward the possibility that RACK1 can interact with a 14-3-3ζ/14-3-3ζ heteromer.

Using a RACK1-derived peptide array approach, we identified two putative binding sites for 14-3-3ζ, 95TTGTTRRFVGHTK106 and 178NLANCKLKTNH189, located on the WD2–3 and WD4–5 propeller blades, respectively, of RACK1. The fact that we identified two binding regions for 14-3-3ζ on the RACK1 sequence is not surprising because both proteins have been shown to make multiple contacts with their various binding partners (6, 35–37). However, the alanine-scanning array used to identify amino acids

within the RACK1 sequence that mediate the interaction between RACK1 and 14-3-3ζ implicated a cluster of three residues (i.e. Arg99, Arg100, and Lys106), suggesting that the 99TTGTTRRFVGHTK106 region is likely to be the high affinity 14-3-3ζ binding site for RACK1. Importantly, the x-ray structure of RACK1α protein from *A. thaliana* that shares 66% identity with human RACK1 (9) showed that the 99TTGTTRRFVGHTK106 sequence of RACK1 is exposed to the surface. Furthermore, this region has been reported previously to mediate other RACK1 interactions such as with PKCβII whose interaction with RACK1 is inhibited by 99RRFGHTK106 peptide (45). The fact that PKCβII and 14-3-3ζ share a binding region on RACK1 is of particular interest because multiple 14-3-3 isoforms, including 14-3-3ζ, are also known to directly interact with several PKC isoforms (49–52). However, whereas RACK1 binds activated PKC (5, 14), 14-3-3 binding to PKC inhibits its activity (36, 50–52). Therefore, it is plausible that a competition between PKC and 14-3-3ζ for RACK1 binding might also regulate PKC signaling.

Strikingly, although 14-3-3 proteins typically bind their partners through phosphorylated motifs (35–37), our data provide evidence that this is not the case for the interaction with RACK1. First, the amino acid sequence of RACK1 does not contain the 14-3-3 phosphobinding motifs, and the RACK1 amino acids we identified to be crucial for the interaction of the two proteins are basic residues. Furthermore, *E. coli*-expressed non-phosphorylated 14-3-3ζ and RACK1 proteins interact with each other in vitro. In line with these findings, we also observed that 14-3-3ζ bound to non-phosphorylated RACK1-derived peptides using an in vitro overlay assay. Finally, dephosphorylation of cellular proteins with the general phosphatase α-phosphatase did not alter the association of 14-3-3ζ with RACK1. Therefore, we propose that RACK1, like proteins such as the human telomerase (53), the amyloid β-protein precursor intracellular domain fragment (54), and the potassium channel Kir6.2 (55), does not require a phosphorylated amino acid to directly bind 14-3-3ζ.

RACK1 is known to regulate signaling cascades by means of both constitutive (e.g. phosphodiesterase PDE4D5 and focal adhesion kinase) and signal-dependent protein association (e.g. PKC) and dissociation (e.g. Fyn) (6). Likewise, 14-3-3 proteins have been reported to have both constitutive (e.g. Raf-1) and

**FIGURE 7.** Down-regulation of 14-3-3ζ expression inhibits cAMP-induced BDNF transcription. A, control (Adv-shCT) and Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-a recombinant adenoviruses were used to infect SHSY5Y cells for 3 and 4 days. The 14-3-3ζ protein level was analyzed by Western blot. The histogram depicts the mean ratio of 14-3-3ζ to actin expressed as the percentage of control ±S.E. n = 3; **p < 0.007; *p = 0.016 (one-tailed unpaired t test). B, SHSY5Y cells were infected with recombinant Adv-shCT or Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-a for 3 days and treated with 10 μM FSK for 1 h. The levels of BDNF and actin mRNAs were analyzed by RT-PCR. The histogram depicts the mean ratio of BDNF to actin expressed as the percentage of control ±S.E. n = 3. Two-way ANOVA showed an interaction between the treatment and the virus (F(1,8) = 9.16, p = 0.016). **p < 0.001; *p = 0.007; ns = 0.26 (Newman-Keuls post hoc analysis). C and D, down-regulation of 14-3-3ζ inhibits cAMP/PKA-mediated induction of BDNF transcription in hippocampal neurons. After 14 days in culture, rat hippocampal neurons were infected with recombinant Adv-shCT or Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-a. Seven days later, neurons were treated with vehicle or 10 μM FSK (1 h). C, the levels of BDNF, 14-3-3ζ, and GAPDH mRNAs were analyzed by RT-PCR. n = 3; D, the levels of BDNF and GAPDH mRNAs were analyzed by TaqMan RT-PCR. The histogram depicts the mean ratio of BDNF to GAPDH expressed as the percentage of control ±S.E. n = 3. Two-way ANOVA showed an effect of both treatment (F(1,19) = 51.12, p = 0.001) and virus (F(1,19) = 5.51, p = 0.03) but no interaction (F(1,19) = 3.57, p = 0.074). Subsequent analysis using the method of contrasts (one-tailed unpaired t test) detected a significant difference between Adv-shCT and Adv-sh14-3-3ζ within the FSK-treated group (*, p = 0.030). E, SHSY5Y cells were infected with recombinant Adv-shCT or Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-b for 3 days and treated with 10 μM FSK for 1 h. The levels of BDNF, 14-3-3ζ, and GAPDH mRNAs were analyzed by RT-PCR. The histograms depict the mean ratio of BDNF or 14-3-3ζ to GAPDH expressed as the percentage of control ±S.E. n = 5–6. Two-way ANOVA detected no interaction (F(1,19) = 3.67, p = 0.070). Subsequent analysis using the method of contrasts (one-tailed unpaired t test) detected a significant difference between vehicle (–) and FSK (+) within the Adv-shCT group (*, p = 0.038) but not within the Adv-sh14-3-3ζ-b group (ns). A two-way ANOVA was used to analyze 14-3-3ζ knockdown and showed no effect of the treatment (F(1,16) = 0.001, p = 0.975) but did show an effect of the virus (F(1,16) = 4.58, p = 0.048). n = 4–6. Numbers refer to the treatment indicated in the image and histogram.
RACK1, 14-3-3, and BDNF Transcription

signal-dependent (e.g. histone deacetylase (56)) binding partners. Our data indicate that RACK1 and 14-3-3 interact is constitutive as it could be detected in resting cells. This raises the possibility that these two multifunctional scaffolding proteins take part in a preassembled signaling framework that may integrate and disseminate cellular signaling events (2). In agreement with this possibility, both RACK1 (22, 57) and 14-3-3 proteins (36) are able to form dimers and to simultaneously bind several proteins (6), providing a way to tether multiple signaling molecules in close proximity to each other. Furthermore, we observed that the association between RACK1 and 14-3-3 was not dramatically affected in response to activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway by FSK. However, it is noteworthy that cAMP/PKA signaling is highly spatially compartmentalized (58, 59). Therefore, a localized increased concentration in cellular cAMP/PKA is expected to affect only a small fraction of the total signaling proteins, making it difficult to detect any modification in the level of the constitutive interaction between the two highly expressed proteins RACK1 and 14-3-3.

We showed that RACK1 and 14-3-3 were both translocated to the nucleus following activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway and that 14-3-3 gene silencing attenuated RACK1 nuclear compartmentalization. In agreement with these findings, the 14-3-3 family of proteins has been reported to display nucleocytoplasmic shuttling properties (38, 39). This occurs primarily by the interaction of 14-3-3 proteins on their binding partner at or in close proximity to a nuclear localization signal or a nuclear export signal, which consequently hinders their function (60). In addition, signal-dependent nuclear translocation of 14-3-3 proteins has been described upon treatment of different types of cells with calcium (61) or tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) (62). Interestingly, in lung epithelial cells, 14-3-3 acts as an escort in the calcium-dependent nuclear import of CTP:phosphocholine cytidylyltransferase α, which in turn regulates phosphatidylcholine lipid synthesis (61). As RACK1 does not display in its sequence a known nuclear localization signal, our data provide evidence to suggest that the cAMP/PKA-mediated nuclear shuttling of RACK1 is assisted by its interaction with 14-3-3. Furthermore, RACK1 and 14-3-3 are preassembled in a complex prior to the activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway. For these reasons, it is also plausible that the binding of a third component to the complex is controlled directly or indirectly by PKA and that the phosphorylated protein drives the translocation of the RACK1/14-3-3 complex. One intriguing possibility of such binding partner is the actin regulatory protein coflin. We identified coflin as another RACK1 binding partner in the same screen in which 14-3-3 was identified, and interestingly, phosphocofilin was shown previously to interact with 14-3-3 (63). Cofilin is phosphorylated by LIM kinase (64), and PKA phosphorylation is required for LIM kinase activity and thus for coflin phosphorylation (65). This possibility will be explored in future studies.

Once it entered into the nucleus in response to the activation of the cAMP/PKA pathway, RACK1 localized at the BDNF promoter IV region where it regulates chromatin modification, ultimately leading to BDNF transcription (12). Interestingly, Aguiler et al. (62) reported previously that upon treatment of HEK cells with TNFα, 14-3-3 proteins translocate to the nucleus and associate with several TNFα-responsive promoters to control gene transcription. These findings are in agreement with the fact that 14-3-3 proteins can interact with histones (66–68) and mediate transcriptional activation (69, 70). Therefore, a potential model would predict that after cAMP/PKA-mediated translocation into the nucleus, 14-3-3 participates in chromatin modifications at the level of BDNF promoter IV by recruiting chromatin and/or histone remodeler complexes (70, 71).

RACK1 and 14-3-3 proteins are two multifunctional proteins that participate in a wide range of signaling events in different

---

5 J. Neasta and D. Ron, unpublished data.
tissues. Therefore, besides cAMP/PKA-induced BDNF transcription, it is likely that RACK1/14-3-3 interaction also regulates other relevant cellular processes. In agreement with this possibility, RACK1 and 14-3-3 lates other relevant cellular processes. In agreement with this transcription, it is likely that RACK1/14-3-3 interaction also regulates other relevant cellular processes. In agreement with this possibility, RACK1 and 14-3-3
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Therefore, it is possible that this functional cooperation relies at least in part on RACK1/14-3-3 interaction.

In summary, our data show that RACK1 and 14-3-3 are binding partners and that their direct association results in cAMP/PKA-mediated RACK1 translocation and BDNF expression. These results are likely to have implications in cellular functions that are regulated via the cAMP/PKA pathway as well as in neuronal functions that are controlled by BDNF.
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