Voiding Dysfunction

Relationship between Intravesical Prostatic Protrusion and Postoperative Outcomes in Patients with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Jong Woo Lee, Jae Hyun Ryu1, Tag Keun Yoo2, Seok Soo Byun3, Young Jin Jeong4, Tae Young Jung

Department of Urology, Veterans Health Service Medical Center, Seoul, 1Seonyudo Public Health Subcenter, Gunsan, 2Department of Urology, Eulji General Hospital, Eulji University School of Medicine, Seoul, 3Department of Urology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, 4Department of Family Medicine, Veterans Health Service Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

Purpose: To evaluate the significance of intravesical prostatic protrusion (IPP) for predicting postoperative outcomes in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia.

Materials and Methods: A total of 177 patients with a possible follow-up of at least 6 months who were treated with transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) were analyzed. We divided the patients into two groups on the basis of the degree of IPP: the significant IPP group (IPP ≥ 5 mm, n=74) and the no significant IPP group (IPP < 5 mm, n=103). We analyzed postoperative changes in parameters, such as the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS), IPSS quality-of-life (QoL) score, maximum urinary flow rate (Qmax), and postvoid residual urine (PVR). The IPSS was subdivided into voiding (IPSS-v) and storage (IPSS-s) symptoms. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify whether IPP could predict surgical outcomes of TURP.

Results: Preoperative parameters were not significantly different between the two groups except for total prostate volume and transitional zone volume. Postoperative changes in IPSS, IPSS-v, IPSS-s, and QoL score were higher in the significant IPP group than in the group with no significant IPP. Changes in Qmax and PVR were not significantly different between the two groups. Multivariate logistic regression analysis (after adjustment for age, prostate-specific antigen level, total prostate volume, and transitional zone volume) revealed that the odds ratios (95% confidence interval) of decreased IPSS and IPSS-s in the significant IPP group were 3.43 (1.03 to 11.44) and 3.51 (1.43 to 8.63), respectively (p=0.045 and 0.006, respectively).

Conclusions: Significant IPP is an independent factor for predicting better postoperative outcomes of IPSS and IPSS-s.
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INTRODUCTION

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) can cause bothersome lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). The treatment of BPH includes watchful waiting, medical therapy, conventional surgical therapy, and minimally invasive therapy. Recently, photosclectic vaporization of the prostate with a potassium titanyl phosphate laser and Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate have become established as surgical treatment options for LUTS secondary to BPH. However, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is still considered the standard surgical therapy for BPH [1-3]. There is no doubt that accurate prediction of surgical out-
Intravesical prostatic protrusion (IPP) is known as a useful non-invasive method for estimating the outcome of a trial without catheter (TWOC) in men with acute urinary retention (AUR) [9,10], for predicting clinical progression of benign prostatic enlargement in patients receiving medical treatment, and [11], especially, for evaluating BOO [12-14]. Thus, we evaluated the significance of IPP for predicting postoperative outcomes in patients with BPH.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We reviewed the medical records of 249 patients who underwent TURP, conducted by 3 surgeons, at 3 centers between January 2008 and December 2009. Before initiating this study, we obtained an approval from the institutional review board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital. Indications for TURP were AUR, maximum flow rate (Qmax) less than 15 ml/s, postvoid residual urine (PVR) exceeding 100 ml, bladder stones, and upper urinary tract complications from chronic BOO. In all patients with an increased serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level ≥ 4 ng/ml, prostate biopsy was undertaken to exclude prostate cancer. Patients with a history of prostate cancer, urethral stricture, neurogenic bladder, and previous prostate or urethral surgery were excluded.

IPP was measured by the vertical distance from the tip of the protruding prostate to the base of the urinary bladder in the sagittal plane of transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS) (Fig. 1).

We divided the patients into two groups on the basis of IPP dichotomized at a median of 5 mm: the significant IPP group (IPP ≥ 5 mm, n=74) and the no significant IPP group (IPP < 5 mm, n=103). We collected preoperative data for each group including the patient’s age, PSA level, International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS), IPSS quality-of-life (QoL) score, total prostate volume (TPV), transitional zone volume (TZV), Qmax, and PVR. IPSS was subdivided into voiding (IPSS-v) and storage (IPSS-s) symptoms. TPV and TZV were calculated by use of the following formula: \( \pi / 6 \times \text{transverse diameter} \times \text{anteroposterior diameter} \times \text{longitudinal diameter} \) measured by TRUS. We assessed postoperative changes in parameters such as IPSS, IPSS-v, IPSS-s, QoL score, Qmax, and PVR at 6 months after the operation.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS ver. 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and statistical significance was defined as a p-value of < 0.05. Student’s t-test was carried out to assess the preoperative characteristics and the postoperative changes in clinical outcomes. The odds ratios for improving surgical outcomes in the significant IPP group were calculated by univariate and multivariate logistic regression models after adjustment for age, PSA, TPV, and TZV.

RESULTS

Among 249 patients who underwent TURP, 177 patients with a possible follow-up of at least 6 months fulfilled the protocol. The preoperative characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Of the 177 patients, 74 presented with significant IPP and 103 presented with no significant IPP. The TPV and TZV in the significant IPP group were significantly larger than in the group with no significant IPP (p=0.016 and 0.013, respectively). The significant IPP group had a mean (±SD) TPV of 64.2 (±35.9) ml and a mean (±SD) TZV of 37.1 (±23.9) ml. In the no significant IPP group, the mean (±SD) TPV was 51.9 (±29.3) ml and the mean (±SD) TZV was 28.1 (±23.2) ml. No significant differences were found between the two groups in other preoperative factors, such as patient age, PSA, IPSS, IPSS-v, IPSS-s, QoL score, Qmax, and PVR.
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After TURP, the mean changes in IPSS, IPSS-v, IPSS-s, and QoL score of the significant IPP group were greater than those of the group with no significant IPP (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p=0.004, and p=0.030, respectively). Changes in Qmax and PVR did not differ significantly between the two groups (Table 2).

The univariate and multivariate associations between significant IPP and improved surgical outcomes are shown in Table 3. In the univariate logistic analysis, the odds ratio (OR) of decreased IPSS-s in the significant IPP group was 3.23 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.38 to 7.57; p=0.007). After adjustment for age, PSA level, TPV, and TZV, the ORs of decreased IPSS and IPSS-s in the significant IPP group were 3.43 (95% CI, 1.03 to 11.44) and 3.51 (95% CI, 1.43 to 8.63), respectively (p=0.045 and 0.006, respectively). That is, significant IPP remained independently associated with decreased IPSS and IPSS-s.

### DISCUSSION

IPP occurs as the prostate expands into the bladder along the plane of least resistance and is generally caused by enlargement of the median lobe with or without enlargement of the lateral lobes [10-12]. This protrusion of the prostate may lead to a ball-valve type of obstruction, which disrupts the funneling effect of the bladder neck to increase urethral resistance and causes dyskinetic movement of the bladder during voiding [10,12,13,15].

There have been some reports about IPP and its clinical importance. Chia et al. [12] showed that an IPP of more than 10 mm was associated with a higher BOO index than an IPP of 10 mm or less in patients with BOO confirmed by pressure-flow study; thus, the IPP correlated well with the severity of obstruction. Furthermore, they reported that IPP was a better and more reliable predictor of BOO than were the other variables assessed, such as age, IPSS, QoL score, Qmax, PVR, and prostate volume. Tan and Foo [9] reported that patients with an IPP of 5 mm or less might benefit from a TWOC, but that patients with an IPP of 10...
mm or more were less likely to do so and would require a more definitive surgical procedure. Similarly, Mariappan et al. [10] suggested that the IPP appeared to strongly predict the outcome of a TWOC in AUR patients receiving alpha-blockers before a TWOC.

Lee et al. [11] considered the IPP as a predictor of clinical progression in benign prostatic enlargement for men undergoing nonsurgical treatment. Keqin et al. [13] also concluded that BOO and impaired detrusor function in patients with significant IPP (greater than 10 mm) are more severe, and men of this group with AUR were more likely to benefit from early surgical treatment. The results of Lieber et al. [16] indicated that the IPP was significantly correlated with greater prostate volume, higher obstructive symptoms, and lower peak urinary flow rates, which suggests that it might have clinical usefulness in predicting the need for treatment. However, we could not find any report that referred to the relationship between IPP and surgical outcomes.

Commonly, not all patients who undergo an operation for BPH obtain results that are personally satisfactory. If the postoperative improvement in symptoms could be predicted before surgery, it would be very helpful for making plans for surgical therapy. It is widely accepted that some preoperative factors such as symptomatic large prostatic adenoma and urodynamically obstructive BPH can predict a satisfactory surgical outcome, and that other factors such as a small adenoma, uncertain irritative symptoms, and detrusor underactivity make the symptoms become worse [6]. We expect that together with traditionally accepted preoperative parameters, the IPP can help to predict a better surgical result. In the present study, TURP in the group with significant IPP (≥5 mm) resulted in a reduction of IPSS (-12.7 points), IPSS-v (-8.6 points), IPSS-s (-4.2 points), and QoL (-2.4 points) scores on average, showing statistical differences with the group with insignificant IPP. Postoperative changes in Qmax and PVR were not significantly different between the two groups, however (Table 2). In general, TURP is known to result in substantial improvements in Qmax and PVR as well as in the IPSS and QoL [17-21]. In our study, although there was a certain improvement in Qmax and PVR in both the significant IPP group and the no significant IPP group after TURP, IPP did not predict a better surgical outcome of Qmax and PVR. Also, in the multivariate analysis, significant IPP was chiefly associated with the symptoms score rather than the uroflow variables. There was particularly a close connection between significant IPP and improvement in the IPSS and IPSS-s (OR, 3.43 and 3.51; p=0.045 and 0.006, respectively) (Table 3). We propose that the strong relationship between significant IPP and decreased IPSS-s may be because irritation of the bladder neck and trigone by intravesical protrusion might worsen the prominent storage symptoms [22].

The question that must be asked is how TPV and TZV exerted an influence on the present results, because TPV and TZV were shown to differ significantly between the two groups in the comparison of preoperative characteristics (Table 1). When the relationship between significant IPP and improved surgical outcomes was analyzed by multivariate logistic analysis, adjustment for TPV and TZV could make this analysis independent of an influence of TPV and TZV.

There were several limitations to our study. First, because this was a multicenter and retrospective study, the operative procedures for each patient were not the same. Moreover, the measurements made by use of TRUS, voiding uroflowmetry, and bladder scans might have been inconsistent among the institutions, although we believe that the measurements were within acceptable error ranges. Second, we could not collect information on side effects, such as urinary incontinence and erectile dysfunction. It might be valuable to inquire into the relation between IPP and postoperative side effects. Last, our results were elicited from a 6-month follow-up period, not long-term follow-up. Because the symptomatic improvement provided by TURP is known to deteriorate gradually with time [23], further study with long-term follow-up is needed.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, IPP is an independent parameter for predicting postoperative outcomes in BPH patients who undergo TURP. Therefore, surgeons can expect better postoperative outcomes in terms of changes in IPSS and IPSS-s in patients with significant IPP.
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