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Cardiac surgery, a right target for hyperoxia?
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Abstract

In perioperative cardiac surgery period, supra-physiological arterial oxygen partial pressures is common practice, although there is no clear evidence of any benefit. Smit et al. have shown that a “conservative” approach did not improve hemodynamics, decrease oxidative stress or myocardial tissue damage, but was not associated with major deleterious event either. Here, we outline major oxygen friend or foes properties, which may partly explain the study results, and place the clinical trial from Smit et al. in a global context.

Main text

Recently, Smit et al. [2] investigated whether a “conservative” oxygen approach targeting a “near-physiological” PaO2 of 130–150 and 80–100 mmHg during CPB and in the first 12 hours of ICU stay, respectively, would improve hemodynamics, reduce oxidative stress, and attenuate myocardial damage and visceral organ dysfunction. The control group received standard care; that is, PaO2 targets were 200–220 and 130–150 mmHg during CPB and early ICU stay, respectively. The main results were that the “conservative” approach did not improve hemodynamics, and neither attenuated oxidative stress (assessed by the plasma isoprostane levels and the ex-vivo ROS release in polymorphonuclear leukocytes) nor myocardial tissue damage (assessed by troponin-T levels and myocardial creatinine kinase (CK-MB) activity). However, the “conservative” strategy was not associated either with major hyperlactatemia, visceral organ dysfunction (assessed by plasma creatinine levels), or hypoxic events (defined by PaO2 < 55 mmHg).

Hyperoxia has “friend-and-foe” properties, both in critically ill patients in general [3] and specifically in cardiac surgery patients [1]. Perioperative hyperoxia per se can improve oxidative killing of bacteria [3], since bactericidal properties of neutrophils depend on the PO2 in the contaminated tissue. In fact, the most recent meta-analysis on this subject including nine studies comprising 5103 patients concluded that perioperative hyperoxia may reduce surgical site infection, in particular in patients undergoing colorectal surgery [4]. Nevertheless, given the negative result of the large-scale, multicenter, randomized “Supplemental Oxygen and Complications After Abdominal Surgery” (PROXI) trial, the most recent Cochrane review [5] concluded that, so far, robust evidence is lacking to recommend the use of high FiO2 during the perioperative period, and may even be responsible for adverse events. In fact, the use of perioperative hyperoxia has been cautioned by the data on the long-term follow-up (up to 3 years) of the PROXI trial:
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Hyperoxia increased long-term mortality, and this was due to the subgroup of patients undergoing cancer surgery [6], who showed a reduction in cancer-free survival [7].

Perioperative hyperoxia might also allow for myocardial ischemia-preconditioning in cardiac surgery [8]. Conversely, it may exacerbate the transitory CPB-related pulmonary injury due to increased oxidative stress and aggravated systemic inflammatory response [9]. Finally, hyperoxia may also affect hemodynamics during and after cardiac surgery due to systemic vasoconstriction, decreased heart rate, and direct negative inotropic effects, overall decreasing cardiac output [2, 3]. It is well established that hyperoxia-induced vasoconstriction is particularly pronounced in the coronary circulation [10], thus possibly increasing susceptibility to myocardial ischemia and ischemia–reperfusion-induced injury in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) [2, 3]. In nonhyoxemic patients, the AVOID trial [11] showed that high-flow O₂ therapy during ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction increased CK-MB activity, which coincided with higher Troponin-I levels (p = 0.12) and infarct size (p = 0.06). Interestingly, albeit not significantly different (p = 0.11), mortality at hospital discharge was 2.5-fold higher in the normoxia group. Hyperoxia pre-treatment (as during cardiac surgery) may prolong the “window of opportunity” prior to myocardial ischemia: high-flow O₂ increased the time to onset of both pacing-induced and exercise-induced myocardial ischemia in CAD patients [12, 13].

What do we learn from the study by Smit et al. [2]? The “conservative” approach did not beneficially affect the parameters assessed, but had no deleterious side effects either. Signs of possible tissue hypoperfusion (i.e., lactate levels) only showed a mild increase (maximum 2 mmol/l) independently of the group assignment, and none of the patients showed major organ dysfunction. It remains unclear whether the “conservative” approach substantially altered DO₂ and/or VO₂: the cardiac index and total hemoglobin content were comparable, and the time-weighted PaO₂ values (220 vs. 157 mmHg, 214 vs. 147 mmHg, and 107 vs. 90 mmHg) during CPB, aortic-cross clamping, and ICU stay, respectively) suggest that there were no major differences in hemoglobin O₂ saturation. Since neither glycaemia nor data on insulin requirements are reported, it remains open whether there was any difference in metabolic substrate utilization: increasing FiO₂ was reported to reduce O₂ uptake in critically ill patients and, eventually, switch energy metabolism to preferential carbohydrate use [3]. Finally, as the authors acknowledge themselves, the systematic dexamethasone administration after induction of anaesthesia may have mitigated any further effect of the different O₂ strategy. Nevertheless, the results presented by Smit et al. are of major interest and clinical importance given the current practice comprising administration of high FiO₂ levels and targeting supra-physiological PaO₂ values in cardiac surgery. Previous studies have already suggested that more conservative O₂ targets might be beneficial [14, 15]. However, in these studies the “hyperoxic” groups had PaO₂ levels of 400–500 mmHg during CPB, and the “lower”, so-called “normoxic” groups were titrated to PaO₂ levels of 140 mmHg [14] and 200–250 mmHg [15], respectively; that is, close to the targets of the hyperoxic “control” arm in the present investigation. Moreover, PaO₂ strategies were only modified during CPB, whereas Smit et al. also targeted the early ICU stay.

Conclusion

Despite some study limitations, Smit et al. have to be commended for performing an elegant clinical trial on routine procedures that had so far never undergone rigorous testing under randomized, controlled conditions. The results of their much larger “Optimal Oxygenation in the Intensive Care Unit (O2-ICU)” trial (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02321072) comparing high-normal vs. low-normal (120 vs. 75 mmHg) PaO₂ targets in ICU patients is therefore eagerly awaited.
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