Volatiles from the Mandibular Gland Reservoir Content of *Colobopsis explodens* Laciny and Zettel, 2018, Worker Ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)
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**Abstract:** Forty-five volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were identified or annotated in the mandibular gland reservoir content (MGRC) of the Southeast Asian ant *Colobopsis explodens* Laciny and Zettel, 2018 (Hymenoptera: Formicidae), using headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and liquid extraction combined with GC-MS. In extension of previous reports on VOCs of *C. explodens*, members of different compound classes, such as alkanes, aliphatic and aromatic carboxylic acids, and phenolics, were detected. The ketone 2-heptanone and the biochemically related phenolics benzene-1,3,5-triol (phloroglucinol, PG), 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxyphenyl)ethanone (monoacetylphloroglucinol, MAPG), 5,7-dihydroxy-2-methylchromen-4-one (noreugenin), and 1-(3-Acetyl-2,4,6-trihydroxyphenyl)ethanone (2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol, DAPG) dominated the GC-MS chromatograms. The identities of the main phenolics MAPG and noreugenin were further verified by liquid chromatography-high resolution-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS/MS). A comparative study of MGRC samples originating from three distinct field expeditions revealed differences in the VOC profiles, but the presence and relative abundances of the dominating constituents were largely consistent in all samples. Our study considerably extends the knowledge about the number and type of VOCs occurring in the MGRC of *C. explodens*. Based on the type of the detected compounds, we propose that the likely irritant and antibiotic phenolic constituents play a role in defense against arthropod opponents or in protection against microbial pathogens.
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1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are small molecules with high vapor pressure at room-temperature and boiling points between 50 and approximately 260 °C at atmospheric pressure [1]. A large diversity of VOCs can be produced by eukaryotic plants, insects, and fungi as well as by prokaryotes [2–6]. Since VOCs mediate interactions among organisms, they have attracted great attention in pharmacy, agriculture, and in the food and perfumery industries [7–11].

VOCs present in secretions of insects have diverse roles, especially as pheromones and defense compounds [12–14]. In the family Formicidae, a wide range of VOCs, such as terpenes, formic acid or alkanes has been described to be utilized as alarm pheromones [14–16]. It is likely that such metabolites were originally used as defense compounds, before they have become relevant for communication between organisms [14,17]. Many alarm- and defense-related VOCs are known and have been found in diverse ant species [14,18–21]. However, for the vast majority of VOCs, their function(s) has (have) not been elucidated so far [22]. This also holds true for the compounds found in the special defensive secretion of *Colobopsis explodens* Laciny and Zettel, 2018, investigated in this study.

*Colobopsis explodens* belongs to the *Colobopsis cylindrica* (COCY) species group (Formicidae: Hymenoptera) and has recently been described in detail as a model species of Borneo’s “exploding ants” [23]. Within the COCY group, *C. explodens* can be recognized by its chiefly reddish-brown color, relatively short appendages, and the bright yellow mandibular gland secretion of minor workers [23]. Females of *C. explodens* can be assigned to three morphologically distinct castes. Queens (gynes) measure over 1 cm in body length, possess plug-shaped heads and are adapted for flight and reproduction. The slightly smaller major workers (“doorkeepers”) resemble the queens in head-shape and use these heads to plug the entrance holes of wooden nests against intruders (phragmosis) [23]. Minor workers exhibit a considerable size range of 4-7 mm and possess hypertrophied mandibular glands (MGs) the reservoirs of which extend through almost the ants’ whole bodies [23–25]. In a typical one-to-one confrontation with another arthropod, a *C. explodens* minor worker can suicidally rupture its gastral integument to release the sticky mandibular gland reservoir content (MGRC) onto the body of the opponent, which is subsequently detained by the glue-like secretion and usually dies after the attack [24,26–28]. Although the composition of VOCs of different COCY species has been investigated, the knowledge about their chemical structures is still scarce. In the few studies investigating the composition of the MGRC of COCY ants, mostly aliphatic and phenolic compounds have been reported [27,29–32]. Jones et al. analyzed the MG products in a small collection of whole specimen of already “exploded” *C. explodens* minor workers by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and reported the aliphatics n-undecane, n-heptadecane, tetradecan-1-ol, hexadecan-1-ol, octadecan-1-ol, heptan-2-one and methyl-3,5-dioxohexanoate plus the phenolic compounds 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxyphenyl)ethanone (monoacetylphloroglucinol, MAPG), 5,7-dihydroxy-2-methylchromen-4-one (noreugenin) and 1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone (2,4-dihydroxyacetophenone, DHAP) [30] (*C. explodens* sp.n. herein designated as *Camponotus*(cylindricus group) sp. “02-108”).

Under both natural and laboratory conditions, it has frequently been observed that the MGRC constituents are used in defense against other ants [25,29,30]. Based on the assumption that the MGRC of *C. explodens* plays a crucial role for survival of this ant species, the objective of this study was to elucidate the VOCs present in the MGRC of *C. explodens* minor workers. For this purpose, a large number of ants was collected during three different sampling expeditions to Brunei. Individual specimens were dissected, and the VOCs contained in their MGRC were analyzed by GC-MS and LC-HRMS/MS.

Our study demonstrates that *C. explodens* accumulates a remarkable number of phenolic compounds in its mandibular gland reservoirs (MGRs) which may be of relevance for the defense against other insects or for protection against microbial pathogens. Interestingly, some of these compounds are only known to be produced by microorganisms so far.
2. Results

2.1. Identification and Annotation of VOCs in the MGRCs of C. explodens minor Workers

HS-SPME-GC-MS and liquid-injection GC-MS measurements of MGRC samples originating from a total of 380 C. explodens minor worker ants (Table S1) resulted in the detection of 151 and 275 compounds, respectively, with an overlap between the two methods of 102 VOCs. Forty VOCs were identified, and five were annotated based on comparison of their retention indices (RI) and mass spectra with authentic reference compounds or GC-MS spectral libraries (Table 1, compound structures shown in Figure 1). It is worth mentioning that the unambiguous identification of all biochemical constituents is a major challenge in untargeted metabolomics approaches and is a matter of current debate. For annotation of chemical structures, different levels of confidence have been suggested by the Metabolomics Standards Initiative (MSI) [33–35]. According to the MSI, compound identification (confidence level 1) requires data from at least two orthogonal techniques such as retention index (RI) and mass spectrum, which are confirmed with an authentic reference standard under the same conditions. In absence of a reference standard, level 2 can be achieved in case of a single remaining candidate or level 3 if RI and mass spectrum match but still allow more than one structure candidate. Here, identification of the detected VOCs was achieved by assignment of spectrum similarity factor and Kovats RI value and their combination to an overall similarity score (OSS) with the help of the MetaboliteDetector software [36]. Based on these criteria and the MSI classification system, 40 VOCs were identified (level 1), one was annotated according to level 2, and four were annotated according to level 3 (Table 1). A detailed list of the achieved scores and corresponding identification/annotation criteria are given in Table S2. For the sake of completeness, a comparison of library and experimental spectra of all 45 identified or annotated compounds are compiled together with their assigned structure formula and chemical identifier (Supplementary File Compounds.pdf). Most of the identified or annotated compounds of our study have been reported for ants or other insects before, as illustrated and exemplified in Table S3.

Table 1. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) identified or annotated in the mandibular gland reservoir content (MGRC) of C. explodens.

| ID | VOC                     | Trivial Name               | PubChem CID | HS-SPME-GC-MS | Liquid-Extraction-GC-MS |
|----|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| 1  | Pentan-2-one 1          | Ethyl acetone              | 7895        | 660 0.96 0.97 | 660 0.96 0.97          |
| 2  | Pentane-2,4-dione 1     | Acetylacetone              | 31261       | 766 1.00      | 767 1.00               |
| 3  | Hept-5-en-2-one or isomer 1,3 | 5363108 | 866 0.93 0.91 | 866 0.92 0.91 |
| 4  | Heptan-2-one 1          |                           | 8051        | 891 0.98 0.90 | 891 0.97 0.93          |
| 5  | Benzaldehyde 1          |                            | 57418027    | 967 0.94 0.96 | 967 0.94 0.96          |
| 6  | Trimethylbenzene isomer 1,3 | possibly 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene | 7947 | 979 0.96 0.96 | 979 0.96 0.96 |
| 7  | Trimethylbenzene isomer 2,3 | possibly 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene | 7247 | 990 0.96 0.98 | 990 0.96 0.98 |
| 8  | n-Decane 1              |                            | 15600       | 1000 0.99 0.95 | 1000 0.99 0.95          |
| 9  | 3-Hexanoyl 1            |                            | 8892        | 1013 0.96 0.91 | 1013 0.96 0.91          |
| 10 | Undec-1-ene 1           |                            | 13190       | 1092 0.96 0.96 | 1092 0.96 0.96          |
| 11 | 2-Methoxyphenol 1       |                            | 460         | 1095 1.00    | 1095 1.00 0.97          |
| 12 | n-Decane 1              |                            | 14257       | 1100 0.99    | 1100 1.00 0.99          |
| 13 | (+)-3,7-Dimethyloct-6-enal 1 | (±)-Citronellal | 7794 | 1157 0.98 0.98 | 1157 0.98 0.98 |
| 14 | Benzoic acid 1          |                            | 243         | 1173 0.93    | 1173 0.93 0.95          |
| 15 | Benzene-1,2-diol 1      |                            | 289         | 1197 0.96    | 1197 0.96 0.97          |
| 16 | n-Dodecane 1            |                            | 8182        | 1200 0.94    | 1200 0.94 0.95          |
| 17 | Methyl 2-hydroxybenzate 1 | Methyl salicylate        | 4133        | 1206 1.00    | 1206 1.00 0.98          |
| 18 | 2-Phenylacetate 1       |                            | 999         | 1255 0.96    | 1255 0.96 0.92          |
| 19 | Nonanoic acid 1         |                            | 8158        | 1271 0.90    | 1271 0.90 0.95          |
| 20 | Tridec-1-ene 1          |                            | 17095       | 1294 0.98    | 1294 0.98 0.98          |
| 21 | n-Tridecane 1           |                            | 12388       | 1300 0.99    | 1300 0.99 0.99          |
| 22 | 1H-indole 1             |                            | 798         | 1308 1.00    | 1308 1.00 1.00          |
| 23 | 3,7-Dimethyl-2,6,4-trienoic acid 1 | Geraniol | 5275520 | 1360 0.91 0.94 | 1360 0.91 0.94 |
| 24 | 5-Methylbenzene-1,3-diol 1 | Methylsalicylate      | 101436      | 1377 0.99    | 1377 0.99 0.96          |
| 25 | 3-Acetyl-4-methylpent-2,4-dione 1 | Dehydroacetic acid | 329033 | 1382 0.98 0.98 | 1382 0.98 0.98 |
| 26 | 1-(2-hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanone 1 |                | 318976 | 1440 0.96 0.95 | 1440 0.96 0.95 |
## Table 1. Cont.

| ID | VOC | Trivial Name | PubChem CID | HS-SPME-GC-MS | Liquid-Extraction-GC-MS |
|----|-----|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|
|    |     |              |             | RI<sub>Ref</sub> | RI<sub>Exp</sub> | SSS | OSS | RI<sub>Ref</sub> | RI<sub>Exp</sub> | SSS | OSS |
| 27 | 4-Hydroxy-6-methylpyran-2-one<sup>1</sup> | 54679757 | 1442 | 1436 | 0.97 |
| 28 | Pentadec-1-ene<sup>1</sup> | 25913 | 1690 | 1690 | 0.97 |
| 29 | n-Pentadecane<sup>1</sup> | 12391 | 1502 | 1502 | 0.97 |
| 30 | Benzene-1,3,5-triol<sup>1</sup> | 359 | 1593 | 1593 | 0.97 |
| 31 | n-Hexadecane<sup>1</sup> | 11006 | 1601 | 1601 | 0.97 |
| 32 | Heptadec-8-ene<sup>1</sup> | 5064555 | 1680 | 1680 | 0.97 |
| 33 | Heptadec-1-ene<sup>1</sup> | 23217 | 1693 | 1693 | 0.97 |
| 34 | n-Heptadecane<sup>1</sup> | 12398 | 1700 | 1700 | 0.97 |
| 35 | n-Octadecane<sup>1</sup> | 11635 | 1800 | 1800 | 0.97 |
| 36 | Hexadecan-1-al | 984 | 1822 | 1822 | 0.95 |
| 37 | Hexadecan-1-ol<sup>1</sup> | 2682 | 1882 | 1882 | 0.92 |
| 38 | 1-(2,4,6-Trihydroxyphenyl)ethanone<sup>1</sup> | 68073 | 1883 | 1883 | 0.92 |
| 39 | n-Nonadecane<sup>1</sup> | 12401 | 1900 | 1900 | 0.99 |
| 40 | Heptadecan-2-one or isomer<sup>1,3</sup> | 18027 | 1895 | 1895 | 0.90 |
| 41 | 5,7-Dihydroxy-2-methylchromen-4-one<sup>1,3</sup> | 5375252 | 2003 | 2003 | 0.88 |
| 42 | 1-Oxy-2,4,6-trihydroxyphenyl-1,4-butanone<sup>1,3</sup> | 16547 | 2020 | 2020 | 0.96 |
| 43 | Octadecan-1-ol<sup>1</sup> | 8221 | 2089 | 2089 | 0.91 |
| 44 | (Z)-Octadec-9-enoic acid<sup>1</sup> | 445639 | 2130 | 2130 | 0.91 |
| 45 | Octadecan-1-ol<sup>1</sup> | 5281 | 2178 | 2178 | 0.90 |

ID: Numbering of compounds corresponds to the elution order of VOCs in the respective chromatograms as shown in Figure 2; RI<sub>Ref</sub>: RI of reference (standard) compound; RI<sub>Exp</sub>: Experimentally derived RI of compound; SSS: Spectrum similarity score depicting the spectra similarity between experimental and reference compound, as given by MetaboliteDetector software; OSS: Overall similarity score, which combines RI and mass spectrum similarity between experimental and reference compound measured under identical conditions in parallel. This OSS is a measure for the agreement between experimental and literature RI and mass spectrum ([for OSS calculation, see [35,36,37]). For OSS values for each compound in each sample file refer to Table S2<sup>3</sup>.<sup>4</sup> Identity additionally confirmed with LC-HRMS/MS, see 2.2;<sup>1</sup> Annotated compounds (confidence level 2, [35]);<sup>2</sup> Annotated compounds (confidence level 3, [35]); Annotated compounds (confidence level 3, [35]);<sup>3</sup> Annotated compounds (confidence level 3, [35]).<sup>4</sup> Annotation is based on similarities of RIs and mass spectra provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Chemistry WebBook and the Wiley Registry 10th Edition/NIST 2014 Mass Spectral Library implemented in MetaboliteDetector [35, 36, 37]. For this table the RIs and similarity scores as obtained from the two samples of Cexpl_5/2014_1 were used. Exceptions are those for compounds with IDs 13, 19, and 37 (identified after HS-SPME-GC-MS) and with ID 44 (identified after liquid-extraction-GC-MS), for which identification was not possible in samples of Cexpl_5/2014_1. Instead, another sample was chosen to exemplify RIs and similarity scores.

The identified compounds covered diverse substance classes including alkanes, alkenes, ketones, aliphatic and aromatic carboxylic acids, and phenolics. Total ion current (TIC) chromatograms and an overlay of extracted-ion chromatograms (EICs) of the identified or annotated VOCs are shown in Figure 2. Since the constituents with IDs 27, 30, 38, 41, and 42 caused column overloading and exhibited distorted peak shapes after injection of liquid extracts into the GC-MS instrument, an additional “dilution” of extracts by increasing the split ratio to 10:1 (in case of compounds with ID 27, 30, and 42) or to 60:1 (for compounds with IDs 38 and 41) was necessary for proper RI and spectra evaluation (Figure 2c–f).

### 2.2. LC-HRMS/MS for Confirmation of MAPG and Noreugenin.

For confirmation of compounds MAPG and noreugenin, additional LC-HRMS/MS measurements were carried out to compare against authentic standards with selected biological samples. While LC-HRMS enabled the verification of retention time and molecular mass of the protonated molecules (relative mass deviation between 0.7 and 1.4 ppm), both structures were successfully confirmed by LC-HRMS/MS (Figure 3). In all analyzed MGRC extracts, the identities of MAPG and noreugenin were verified in positive and in negative ionization mode.
Figure 1. Structures of compounds identified or annotated in the MGRC of *C. explodens* minor workers. Numbering was chosen according to Table 1.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Based on the GC-MS (liquid extraction, split/splitless injection)-derived EIC profiles, a data matrix consisting of all identified or annotated VOCs and their EIC peak areas obtained for all individual samples was established and subjected to statistical analysis (Table S4). Principal component analysis (PCA) and two-way joining cluster analysis resulted in a separation of the samples according to the sampling time points (Figure 4a,b). A group of MGR constituents (IDs 8, 12, 21, 27, 29, 31, and 34) was more abundant in *C. explodens* minor workers sampled in May 2014 (Cexpl_5/2014) compared to the ones sampled during the two later expeditions in 2015 (Figure 4b). Thereof all except the polyketide with the ID 27 constitute *n*-alkanes with chain lengths between 10 and 17 carbon atoms. In contrast, another major cluster of compounds (IDs 3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 32, 38, 41, and 42) was contained in higher amounts in the samples collected in April 2015 (Cexpl_4/2015) compared to the other two sample groups. Among those, the VOCs with the IDs 9, 14, 18, 19, 23, 25, 44, and 45 constitute carboxylic acids while compounds with the IDs 11, 15, 24, 38, 41, and 42 are phenolics. Further analysis revealed that, despite the clustering of samples into the different sampling time points (Figure 4a,b), the presence and the relative abundances of the constituents within individual VOC profiles were largely consistent over all samples and independent of the sampling time points. Interestingly, the phenolics MAPG (ID 38) and noreugenin (ID 41) dominated the VOC profiles in the MGRC collected irrespective of the sample or sampling time point. Moreover, the biochemically and structurally related compounds with the IDs 27, 30, and 42 were also consistently among the most abundant VOCs in the MGRC of the tested insects (Figure 4c).
Figure 2. Total ion current (TIC) chromatogram and overlaid extracted ion chromatograms (EIC) of VOCs identified or annotated in the MGRC extracts of C. explodens. (a) TIC chromatogram obtained after headspace solid-phase microextraction-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (HS-SPME-GC-MS) measurement. (b) Overlay of EICs obtained after HS-SPME-GC-MS. (c) TIC chromatogram obtained after injection of EtOAc extracts into the gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) instrument. (d) Zoomed overlay of EICs obtained after injection of the extracts in splitless mode. Compounds identified after choosing a GC split ratio of 10:1; Compounds identified after choosing a GC split ratio of 60:1. The intensities at the peak maxima of compounds 38 and 41 were $4.5 \times 10^6$ and $8 \times 10^6$, respectively. (e) TIC chromatogram obtained after choosing a GC split ratio of 10:1, necessary for identification of compounds with IDs 27, 30, and 42 after liquid-injection GC-MS. (f) TIC chromatogram obtained after choosing a GC split ratio of 60:1, necessary for identification of compounds with IDs 38 and 41 after liquid-injection GC-MS. Grey peaks indicate partly coelution of different compounds. For their identification or annotation, spectra deconvolution was inevitable. Peak numbers correspond to IDs given in Table 1.
Figure 3. Liquid chromatography-high resolution-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS/MS) chromatograms and product ion spectra of monoacetylphloroglucinol (MAPG) (a) and noreugenin (b) for pure standard compounds (blue) and Cexpl_5/2014_1 MGRC samples (orange). For both compound mass spectra overlap, the classic score calculated by mzVault, is given. Chromatographic intensity values for the standard compounds were multiplied by factor 50 for better illustration.
Figure 4. Statistical analysis based on GC-MS abundances (i.e., EIC peak areas) of identified or annotated compounds in the MGRC of *C. explodens* after extraction with EtOAc. (a) PCA scores plot. Each dot represents a pooled MGRC sample. The X and Y axis show the principal components 1 (PC1) and 2 (PC2) that explain 47.8% and 27.4% of the total variance, respectively. Dashed circle around sample group “Cexpl_5/2014” was added for better illustration. (b) Two-way joining cluster analysis including sample- and compound-dendrograms. A color gradient, showing increased (red) and decreased (blue) abundance values relative to the average value of all samples, was chosen. The IDs given in brackets next to the compound names correspond to the numbering in Table 1. The column Fold min/max contains the ratios of largest to smallest EIC peak area as calculated for every listed compound. (c) Log10-scaled heatmap of measured EIC peak areas. For *m/z* values of EICs used to calculate the peak areas, see Table 1. The color code refers to arithmetic mean values of replicates and corresponds to compound levels in the measured experimental samples using a logarithmic (log10) color scale. Columns are ordered according to sample numbers and time-points.
3. Discussion

Secretions of insects can have their defensive potential via (semi-)volatile constituents. Consequently, GC-MS was the method of choice to elucidate putative bioactive metabolites contained in the MGRC of *C. explodens* [40]. Dissection of 380 ants for their MGRCs allowed the analysis of a relatively large number of biological samples. Since the samples used in this work originated from a single *C. explodens* colony, the number of collectable individuals was kept as small as possible to avoid destructive sampling and thus a break-down of the colony. HS-SPME and liquid extraction/injection have been used as complementary extraction/injection methods in this study. Liquid extraction and injection of extracts into a split/splitless injector was used since this approach resulted in the detection of a higher number of compounds, especially of the higher-boiling point phenols, which otherwise would have been missed by SPME. Additionally, this method is suited for the comparative quantification of VOCs. The extraction step is less prone to matrix effects or discrimination of compounds compared to HS-SPME, which is known to be highly dependent on the matrix composition [41]. However, SPME as a complementary extraction technique provides added value as it enables the detection of low boiling/early eluting constituents. Compounds with IDs 1 and 2, for example, cannot be detected in the EtOAc extracts because of the broad solvent peak dominating the early GC-MS chromatogram. Thus, these two compounds were only detected after application of HS-SPME. Overall, six metabolites passed the strict criteria applied for identification and annotation after HS-SPME but not after liquid extraction, while another nine VOCs were exclusively assigned in the EtOAc extracts but not after HS-SPME.

In total, 45 VOCs were identified (confidence level 1 [35]) or annotated according to confidence level 2 or 3 [35] in this study (Table 1). All of these compounds can be assigned to the mandibular gland since dissected MGRC samples were used throughout the study. Seven of the 45 VOCs (IDs 4, 12, 34, 37, 38, 41, and 43) have already been reported for *C. explodens* before [30], while the remaining 38 volatile constituents (IDs 1–3, 5–11, 13–33, 35, 36, 39, 40, 42, 44, and 45) are identified or annotated in this species here for the first time. Three of the previously reported compounds, namely 1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)ethanone, tetradecan-1-ol and methyl-3,5-dioxohexanoate were not detected in the MGRCs. EIC peaks for the first two were close to the limit of detection, thus the data was not suited for tentative structure identification. The third compound, methyl-3,5-dioxohexanoate, is the methyl ester of 3,5-dioxo-hexanoic acid and may had been formed by esterification with methanol, which had been used as solvent in the aforementioned study [30]. In order to exclude formation of methyl derivates from true biochemical constituents by reaction with the extraction solvent, ethyl acetate instead of methanol was used to extract the MGRC constituents. This may be the reason, why methyl-3,5-dioxohexanoate was not detected in our study [42,43]. Instead, the lactone of 3,5-dioxo-hexanoic acid 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyran-2-one (ID 27) was identified among the measured VOCs. This compound has been described to be formed as a polyketide from acetyl-CoA via two subsequent condensations with malonyl-CoA by different microbes, whereby the resulting intermediate (3,5-diketohexanoate thioester) undergoes ring closure to produce 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyran-2-one (ID 27) [44].

To compare the VOC profiles obtained for the samples of the different field expeditions, principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) was carried out. With both unsupervised methods a separation of the samples according to the sampling time points was observed (Figure 4). The MGRCs of *C. explodens* minor workers sampled in 2014 revealed the highest abundances for the compounds with the IDs 8, 12, 21, 27, 29, 31, and 34 compared to the other two sampling times. This cluster contains all alkane compounds (IDs 8, 12, 21, 29, 31, and 34) identified after liquid-injection GC-MS. In general, hydrocarbons are often detected in exocrine secretions of insects and act as semiochemicals with various functions like sex pheromone activity or nest-mate recognition signals [2,16,21]. Moreover, shorter-chained alkanes, which were also identified in the MGRC of *C. explodens* here, show higher volatility and have been associated with alarm- and defense pheromone function or to act as wetting- and spreading agents for other, polar compounds such as formic acid [45–47]. Thus, the elevated abundance of alkanes in the ants collected in May 2014 is presumably
related to the level of stress experienced by the living individuals during sampling. In the sample
group collected in April 2015, the abundances of the carboxylic acids (IDs 9, 14, 18, 19, 23, 25, 44, 
and 45) and phenolics (IDs 11, 15, 24, 38, 41, and 42) revealed to be higher compared to the remaining 
sampling groups. Both compound classes comprise members with reported corrosive and irritant 
properties, which may have an effect in defense against arthropod enemies [30,48]. For some of them, 
also antimicrobial activity has been shown ([49,50]). An interpretation of differing abundance levels of 
the monitored VOCs is not straight forward. It has to be considered that the ants have been collected 
from their natural habitat under real-world conditions. Many unknown environmental factors (biotic 
and abiotic) may well have affected the abundance of some of the detected VOCs. Without a detailed 
knowledge or control of biotic as well as abiotic conditions an interpretation of the differences in 
compound abundances between the different sample groups is therefore not possible.

However, despite these slightly differing VOC profiles across sampling time points, the ketone 
with the ID 4 and the phenolics with the IDs 27, 30, 38, 41, and 42 consistently dominated the GC-MS 
profiles. 2-Heptanone (ID 4) is a suggested alarm pheromone from grass-cutting ants, but is also 
reported from honeybees that use the compound to paralyze small pests [51–53]. MAPG (ID 38) can be 
produced by an ectosymbiotic fungus of the ambrosia beetle, Scolytotraphus mikado Blandford, 1893 [54].
The pure culture broth showed antimicrobial activity against some Gram-positive bacteria. After MS 
and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis, the active components were revealed to be DAPG 
(ID 42) and MAPG (ID 38), besides PG (ID 30) [54]. DAPG (ID 42) was also extracted from cultured 
broth of a bacterial symbiont of the white-backed planthopper, Sogatella furcifera Horváth, 1899 which 
showed antibacterial activity against Pseudomonas syringae pv. mori and Corynebacterium michiganense pv. 
michiganense [54]. MAPG (ID 38) and noreugenin (ID 41) revealed to be the most dominant constituents 
in the MGRC of C. explodens analyzed in this study. Both have been identified in this species before 
and are thought to be responsible for the color of the MGRC, which can be seen through the tergites, 
when living C. explodens workers show their raised gasters during foraging [30]. The polyketides with 
the IDs 27, 30, 38, and 42 can also be produced by polyketide synthase enzymes via similar metabolic 
pathways as has been shown in Pseudomonads [55,56]. The bacteria derived and antimicrobial DAPG 
(ID 42) is used in the biological control of plant pathogens, e.g., in the control of take-all disease of 
wheat [57]. Pseudomonads or close relatives thereof are known symbionts in ants and may serve 
nitrogen-recycling or promote disease control in insects [27,55,58,59]. It is noteworthy that, next to the 
main phenolic constituents MAPG and noreugenin together with its biochemically related compounds, 
a number of other phenolic compounds were identified in the scope of our study. 2-Methoxyphenol 
(ID 11) is a known aggregation pheromone released from the feces of desert locusts Schistocerca gregaria 
Forsskál, 1775. In this species, the compound has been reported to be produced by gut bacteria and 
not by the insects themselves [60]. 2-Methoxyphenol (ID 11) is also known from the cyanogenic 
defensive secretions of polydesmid millipedes, in which the phenol arises from the arthropod’s own 
metabolism and not due to symbiotic bacteria [61]. The constituent 5-methylbenzene-1,3-diol (ID 
24), reported from diverse COCY species, has been known from plant, fungal and lichen sources 
and also shows antibacterial and anti-phytopathogenic properties [29,30,62]. In general, phenols 
can be detected widely among insects, such as in Coleoptera, Orthoptera, Isoptera, and Blattodea, 
and provide protection against predators and pathogens [2]. Compounds derived from chlorogluconol 
(ID 30) possess broad spectrum antiviral, antibacterial, antifungal, antihelminthic, and phytotoxic 
properties and may therefore be utilized in protection against pathogens by the ants [35]. The likely 
antibiotic MGR mixture may be applied onto the ants’ bodies by self- or allo-grooming, thus ensuring 
a continuous antimicrobial shield on the cuticle. When the MGRC is ejected after the suicidal rupture 
of C. explodens, the secretion may also act against pathogens introduced by the arthropod opponents 
approaching the nest, thus protecting the colony and its microbiome. A recent study showed that the 
MG content obtained from individuals of an ant species belonging to the COCY species group inhibits 
spore germination of the fungi Ophiocordyceps polyrhachis-furcata and Beauveria bassiana, which points to 
a potential antimicrobial activity of the MGR constituents found in COCY ants [32] (ant species herein
identified as "Colobopsis saundersi Emery, 1889"). Interestingly, GC-MS analysis of the MG content revealed phenolics (as MAPG) to be the major constituents in this species.

Our study demonstrates that the MGRC of C. explodens contains a number of potentially irritant compounds that may be utilized in the defense against arthropod opponents. Since some of the MGRC constituents have reported antimicrobial activity, they may play a role in the modulation of the microbiome of these ants, which is beyond the initially assumed role in defense against arthropods. Due to the polyketide origin of the dominant compounds we propose their potential production by microbial symbionts, thus one has to be aware that the detected constituents might not be synthesized de novo by the ants [63].

More research needs to be done to address i) the biosynthesis of the compounds detected in C. explodens, ii) the proposed antibacterial and antifungal properties of the MGRC and its main constituents, and iii) the role of the MGRC compounds in defense against other arthropods.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Ants

Minor workers of C. explodens were collected with aspirators in the lowland tropical rain forest surrounding the Kuala Belalong Field Studies Center (KBFSC) located in the Temburong District of Brunei Darussalam (4°32′48.2″N 115°09′27.9″E; for species identification see [23]). Ants were killed by freezing them rapidly at −20 °C and transported on dry ice to a −80 °C freezer. Frozen ants were transported on dry ice to Austria and kept at −80 °C until further analysis. Members of the C. explodens model colony to be analyzed by GC-MS were sampled in the course of three field expeditions, as shown in Table S1. To obtain the MGRCs from C. explodens, minor worker ants were dissected under cooled conditions as described in detail in Hoenigsberger et al. [37].

4.2. Chemical Analysis of MGRC VOCs

4.2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Ethyl acetate (EtOAc, ≥99.8% purity) was obtained from Carl Roth GmbH + Co. KG (Karlsruhe, Germany). Acetonitrile (ACN, HPLC-grade) and benzoic acid (≥99%) were purchased from VWR (Vienna, Austria). Water was purified successively by reverse osmosis and an ELGA Purelab Ultra-AN-MK2 system from Veolia Water (Vienna, Austria). Hexanoic acid (98%), 2-methoxyphenol (98%), hexadecan-1-ol (>97%), undec-1-ene (99.5%, analytical standard), hexadecan-1-ol (>98%), 5-methylbenzene-1,3-diol (>98%), pentane-2,4-dione (>99%), tridec-1-ene (>95%), 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxyphenyl)ethanone (MAPG, >98%), octadecan-1-ol (>98%), pentadec-1-ene (>99.0%, analytical standard), 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (>98%), benzene-1,2-diol (>99%) and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (>97%) were bought from TCI Europe N.V. (Eschborn, Germany). 3-Acetyl-6-methylpyran-2,4-dione (98%) and 1-(2-hydroxy-4,5-dimethylphenyl)ethanone (98%) were obtained from Alfa Aesar (now: Thermo Fisher Scientific, Karlsruhe, Germany). Benzene-1,3,5-triol (≥99%), indole (≥99%), 4-hydroxy-6-methylpyran-2-one (≥99%) and n-hexane (GC-grade) were bought from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Nonanoic acid (≥97%) was obtained from Sigma (Vienna, Austria). Heptan-2-one (99%), pentan-2-one (≥99%), benzaldehyde (≥99%), 2-phenylacetic acid (99%), heptadec-1-ene (98%), heptadec-8-ene (≥96%), (Z)-octadec-9-enoic acid (≥99%) and (±)-3,7-Dimethyl-6-octenal (≥95%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). 3,7-Dimethyl-6-octenal (85%) was purchased from Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). 5,7-Dihydroxy-2-methylchromen-4-one (noreugenin, ≥99%) was obtained from MollPort (Riga, Latvia). Methyl-2-hydroxybenzoate (≥99.5%) and n-alkane standard solutions (C8–C20: 40 mg L−1 each in hexane and C21–C40: 40 mg L−1 each in toluene) were bought from Fluka (Vienna,
Austria). Methanol (MeOH, LC-MS Chromasolv, HPLC grade) was obtained from Honeywell Riedel de Haën, (Seelze, Germany).

4.2.2. GC-MS

For HS-SPME-GC-MS analysis, 10 mL HS-vials were used. For qualitative analysis of the MGR products by HS-SPME-GC-MS, the MGRCs (for detailed sample description see Table S1) were isolated by dissection and weighed directly into cooled HS vials before vials were sealed with 1.3 mm silicone/PTFE septa containing screw caps (La-Pha-Pack, Langerwehe, Germany; distributed by Markus Bruckner Analysentechnik, Linz, Austria). For HS-SPME-GC-MS measurements, the method described in Weingart et al. [64] was used with the following adaptions: For SPME, the samples were equilibrated for 40 min and extracted for 60 min, both at 50 °C. The gas chromatograph was fitted with a 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm cross-linked 5%-phenyl 95%-methyl polysiloxane capillary column (HP-5MS UI, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The oven program started with 35 °C (hold 2 min), followed by an increase of 20 °C min⁻¹ to 205 °C, followed by a ramp of 10 °C min⁻¹ to 250 °C, and then 25 °C min⁻¹ to 300 °C (hold for 5 min). MS scan range was m/z 45–500 at a scan rate of approximately 3 scans sec⁻¹.

Sample preparation for the qualitative analysis of the MGRC compounds via liquid-injection GC-MS was carried out similar to Hoenigsberger et al. [37]. Briefly summarized, the analytical samples, each consisting of MGRCs of 10 ants (Table S1), were extracted with ice-cold (to prevent chemical modifications) EtOAc in a ratio of 1 + 15 (w/v) by vortexing for 5 min under cooled conditions (laboratory thermoregulated to 7 °C), followed by centrifugation of the extraction mixture (10 min, 4400 rpm, 7 °C). For GC-MS measurement, 1 μL- aliquots of the obtained supernatants were injected into the split/splitless injector of an Agilent 7890 A gas chromatograph, fitted with an HP-5MS UI column coupled to an Agilent 5975 C mass selective detector. The same GC-MS parameters as for HS-SPME-GC-MS were used, but a solvent delay of 4.5 min was chosen. Qualitative analyses were carried out in splitless mode, as well as with split ratios of 10:1 and 60:1.

4.2.3. Preparation of Standards, Retention Index (RI)-Calibrants, and Blanks

Individual standard stock solutions with a concentration of 1000 mg L⁻¹ in ACN or EtOAc were prepared from the pure standard compounds and stored at −20 °C until further use. The standard stock solutions were diluted with ACN/H₂O (1 + 1, v/v) in case of HS-SPME-GC-MS or with EtOAc in case of liquid-injection GC-MS to concentrations that resulted in narrow peak shapes during GC-MS analysis (1–100 mg L⁻¹), depending on the components). For HS-SPME, 20 μL of the respective standard solutions were transferred into 10 mL HS-vials and analyzed as described for the MGRCs above. The RI values were established on the basis of a series of n-alkanes. Different volumes of the RI calibrant solutions and parameter settings for the SPME step were necessary to obtain narrow peak shapes for the RI calibrants: C₈–C₂₀: 8 μL in 10 mL HS-vial, 20 min incubation, and 10 min extraction both at 90 °C; C₂₁–C₄₀: 13 μL in 10 mL HS vial, 30 min incubation, and 60 min extraction both at 120 °C. For the C₈–C₂₀ mix, a solvent delay of 4.2 min and for the C₂₁–C₄₀ mix, a solvent delay of 6.5 min was chosen. For liquid-injection, the RI calibrant solution was prepared as follows: n-alkane standard solution C₈–C₂₀ + n-alkane standard solution C₂₁–C₄₀ + hexane (1 + 1 + 6 v/v/v). Empty vials, as well as vials containing 20 μL ACN/H₂O (HS-SPME) or EtOAc (liquid-injection-GC-MS), were used to record background (blank) chromatograms with the aim to recognize substances originating from the ambient air, vials, septa, SPME fiber, solvents, or the GC-MS system.

4.3. Annotation and Identification of MGRC Compounds

Peak detection, spectrum deconvolution, RI calibration, and comparison of RIs and mass spectra against either an in-house or the Wiley Registry 10th Edition/NIST 2014 Mass Spectral Library [39] were carried out with the open source software MetaboliteDetector [36] version 3.1.Lisa20170127Ra-Linux current version available at [65]. The parameter settings as described in Hoenigsberger et al. [37] were
used with the following modifications for spectrum deconvolution: a) Peak Settings: peak threshold 5 and b) minimum peak height 5. Based on literature search for volatile metabolites already described in defensive secretions of ants, e.g., the Pherobase [66] and initial GC-MS measurements, an in-house library consisting of RIs and mass spectra of 132 authentic reference standards was created by the use of MetaboliteDetector. Compounds detected in the MGRC extracts of C. explodens for which the overall similarity score (OSS, [36]), considering a combination of spectrum similarity and RI similarity score, was equal or greater than 0.9 compared to the in-house library entries, were designated as identified. Since OSS calculation of unknown compounds not included in the in-house library was not supported by MetaboliteDetector, annotation for compounds not matching to any of the in-house library entries was based on a separate comparison of a) spectrum similarity with the implemented Wiley Registry (Version 2.1; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA). If the spectrum similarity score was equal or greater than 0.9 and the reference RI for the compound listed in the NIST Chemistry Webbook (for similar stationary phase, film thickness, and column diameter) matched to the experimentally derived RI (deviation maximum ± 1%), the compound was designated as annotated. In cases of multiple literature RI values, the median RI of that respective substance was used for comparison. Only compounds for which the criteria for identification or annotation were fulfilled in ≥50% of the tested analytical samples are mentioned in this manuscript.

4.4. Confirmatory Analysis of MAPG and Noreugenin by LC-HRMS/MS.

MGRC extracts obtained after first extraction with EtOAc (see 4.2.2) were further diluted 1 + 999 (v/v) with methanol/water/formic acid (1 + 1 + 0.1%, v/v/v) and analyzed with a high resolution mass spectrometer Orbitrap Q Exactive HF (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA) equipped with a heated electrospray ionisation (HESI) source coupled to a Liquid Chromatography (LC) system (Vanquish, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation was carried out using a reversed-phase XBridge C18 column (2.1 mm × 150 mm, 3.5 μm particle size, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), which was preceded by a C18 4 × 3 mm security cartridge (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The column temperature was kept constant at 25 °C. The mobile phase consisted of water + 0.1% formic acid (eluent A) and MeOH + 0.1% formic acid (eluent B), and the flow rate was set to 0.25 mL min⁻¹. After 2 min at 10% B, a linear gradient up to 100% B was reached within 30 min and 100% B were kept constant for 5 min. Then, the column was re-equilibrated at 10% B for 8 min. Samples were kept at 10 °C in the autosampler unit. Then, 2 μL-aliquots of the diluted samples were injected into the LC-HRMS system. The HESI-source was operated in fast polarity switching mode (positive/negative ionization). Mass spectra were recorded in full MS mode as well as the data dependent MS/MS mode using an inclusion list where MS/MS spectra were only recorded if predefined ions of the inclusion list ([M + H]⁺ (m/z 169.0495) and [M – H]⁻ (m/z 167.0350) for MAPG, and [M + H]⁺ (m/z 193.0495) and [M – H]⁻ (m/z 191.0350) for noreugenin) were detected in the preceding full scan. Settings: a) full scan: scan range, m/z 100–1000; R = 120,000 at m/z 200; profile mode; b) MS/MS: fragmentation in HCD cell with stepped collision energy (CE 25, 35, 45); R = 15,000 at m/z 200; profile mode. A standard solution containing the two compounds MAPG and noreugenin (2 mg L⁻¹ in methanol/water/formic acid (1 + 1 + 0.1%)) was injected within the same sequence for confirmation. Data evaluation was performed using the vendor Thermo XCalibur software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA). To test the similarity of the MS/MS spectra overlap between samples and standard solutions the classic score, that displays a ratio of the unknown spectrum (i.e., sample spectrum) to the library spectrum (i.e., standard spectrum), was calculated with the help of the software mzVault (Version 2.1; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA).
4.5. Statistical Analysis of Compounds Identified after Liquid-Injection GC-MS

For statistical analysis of the constituents identified and annotated after liquid-injection GC-MS, their GC-MS abundance values (i.e., EIC peak areas) determined by the batch quantification tool of MetaboliteDetector (see also 4.3.), were used. The abundance values of the compounds identified after operation of the gas chromatograph in split mode were multiplied with the respective split ratio, and missing values in the data matrix \( n = 1 \) were replaced with zero. The resulting matrix was autoscaled and mean-centered [67]. Then, a principal component analysis (PCA) and a hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) (squared Euclidean distance, Ward linkage) were constructed. To generate the log10-scaled heatmap, all abundance values of the imputed data matrix were increased by one and transformed with the common logarithm (log10). All calculations were performed in the R environment (v. 3.1.0) for statistical calculations [68].

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/24/19/3468/s1. Table S1. C. explodens samples analyzed in this study; Table S2. Overall similarity scores for identified or annotated VOCs in single sample files as obtained by MetaboliteDetector data evaluation; Table S3. Identified or annotated VOCs in the MGRC of C. explodens reported from ants or other insects in the literature; Table S4. Data matrix used for statistical analysis of VOCs identified or annotated after liquid-injection GC-MS. Characteristics (structures, RIs, mass spectra, identifier, etc.) of compounds mentioned in Table 1 are compiled in the Supplementary File Compounds.pdf.
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