On Obtaining the Young Modulus from Numerical Analysis of Composite Material Constituent
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Importance and use of composite materials are no longer a subject that should be emphasized. They offer a successful replacement for classical materials in most areas of engineering, conferring similar elastic-mechanical properties to metal or non-metal alloys with several advantages such as reduced mass, chemical resistance etc. Considering this, knowledge of the elastic-mechanical characteristics is of utmost importance. The present article aims to create a finite element model that can predict the longitudinal elastic modulus of a double-layered composite material based on the elastic characteristics of its constituents. For this, the elastic characteristics of the constituents were determined, then used in the finite element analysis thus obtaining the Young’s modulus for the numerical composite material. Also, the longitudinal elastic modulus of the resultant composite was determined experimentally. The results of the finite element model were compared with experimental values.
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In recent years, detailed analyses and experiments regarding the behavioural pattern of composite materials took a turn for faster and cheaper mechanical characterization. Previous methods consisted only of expensive and time-consuming experimental methods for obtaining the elastic characteristics of composite materials. A numerical approach, such as finite element modelling (FEM), offers faster, cheaper and more detailed results for an infinite number of composite materials configurations.

A series of relatively simple mechanical tests were used to evaluate the properties of the material considered. The results are used in engineering design and as a basis for comparing and selecting materials. This approach is presented in paper [1], inferring proper results for tree point bending numerical simulation compared to experimental sets. Dian-sen Li et al., present a parameterized finite element model [2] established for 3-Dimensional five-directional rectangular braided composite. A prediction of the effective elastic properties and the meso-scale mechanical response been presented to verify the validation of the FEM.

Stiffness degradation and failure morphologies obtained from the FEM results were presented in paper [3]. The stress distributions, stress hysteresis and failures of fibre tows and resins at different parts of the 3-D braided composite material have been collected from the FEM calculations to analyse the fatigue failure mechanisms.

The strategy presented in this paper is conceived with the aim of avoiding some of the numerical problems commonly encountered using most of the methodologies proposed in the literature for the mesoscale modelling of ceramic woven composites [4].

A good foundation for a successful FEM analysis consists in proper boundary conditions. A detailed approach is presented in the work [5] of S. J., Jaquez et al. by creating a method for the construction of meso-scale FE models of textile reinforced composites using periodic boundary conditions on multiple part meshes. These practices can be applied even on more complex structures such as sandwich panels as presented in paper [6].

In analogy with the experimental methods presented in the work [7] of Siddiqui, M. Z., Tariq, F. et al., for composite laminates, the Young’s modulus (E), is termed as a quantity for the chord modulus as presented in figure 1, and is calculated as:

\[ E = \frac{P_u - P_l}{A\times(\varepsilon_u - \varepsilon_l)} \]

where:
- \( E \) is the chord modulus as defined in figure 1;
- \( P_u \) is the tensile load at the upper strain limit;
- \( P_l \) is the tensile load at the lower strain limit;
- \( \varepsilon_u \) is the upper strain limit;
- \( \varepsilon_l \) is the lower strain limit;
- \( A \) is the transversal area of the specimen.

In general, the tensile test is performed to evaluate the elastic constants, the strength, the ductility, and the strain hardening of the materials. The modulus of elasticity, \( E \), is determined as a measure of rigidity, the yield strength limit, \( \sigma_y \), and the tensile strength, \( \sigma_t \) [8].

Detailed information regarding the tensile tests on composite materials is presented in paper [9], approaching the experiment at a macro scale of the composite material. A micro scale inquiry is presented in the work [10] of Li, J., Jiao et al., not the subject of current paper but a forecast of...
further investigations. A closer approach to our current interest is presented in paper [11].

Papers from Kabelka [12], Woo et al. [13], Sankar and Marrey [14] presented solutions for 2D analyses of plain weave composites using the assumption of plain-strain state, but these models are not suitable for correctly modelling textile composites.

Considering all of the above, the authors could establish a proper protocol for creating a 3D model and obtaining the Young’s modulus for a fibreglass reinforced polymer composite material. At first, the experimental data, such as the strain-stress curves and Young’s modulus, were obtained for a double layered composite. Constituent data was used for creating a finite element model and the composite data was used for validation of the numerical model.

**Experimental part**

Mechanical tests to determine strain-stress were performed on the Instron 8801 Universal Test Machine, thus evaluating five double layered samples for the longitudinal direction as shown in figure 2 [8].

The results obtained were presented in figure 3 and in table 1. All calculations were machine performed such no alteration could be possible.

For the analytical calculation necessary to determine the Young’s modulus for the constituents. A total of five polyester resin (fig. 4.a) and five fiberglass yarns samples (fig. 4.c) were prepared. All tests were performed on the Instron 8872 universal testing machine, using a strain gauge as depicted in figures 4.b and 4.d. Four aluminium plates, two on each end of the fibreglass yarn, with a 50 mm separation were used in order to attach the strain gauge.

![Fig. 2. Two-layer composite specimens for longitudinal direction](image1)

![Fig. 3. Characteristic curves obtained for fibreglass-polyester resin with two layers in the longitudinal direction](image2)

![Fig. 4. The specimens and setup for experimental determination on constituents](image3)

Figure 5 presents the strain-stress curve for the fibreglass yarn. The prestress difference between filaments, caused by the used aluminium brackets, generates small differences in tensile strength and strain origin for each specimen. These differences, as is shown in table 2, do not generate a large interval of data for the mean Young’s modulus or tensile strength.

Tensile test results for the polyester resin presented in figure 6, almost overlap, thus asserting consistency in sample manufacturing and polymerization time. The obtained values for Young’s modulus and tensile strength, presented in table 3, are consistent with the literature.

The density of the two constituents was determined by sample weighing and measuring the sample displaced volume (table 4).

![a. Resin specimens](image4)

![b. Experimental setup for resin](image5)

![c. Fiberglass specimens](image6)

![d. Experimental setup for fiberglass](image7)

**Table 1**

| Specimen | E (MPa)     | σ (MPa)   |
|----------|-------------|-----------|
| S1       | 1.54E+04    | 265.15    |
| S2       | 1.59E+04    | 253.31    |
| S3       | 1.50E+04    | 227.88    |
| S4       | 1.51E+04    | 233.15    |
| S5       | 1.53E+04    | 240.21    |
| Medium value | 1.52E+04 | 243.21    |
Finite element modelling part

After detailed measurement of the fiberglass yarn, woven pattern and the double-layered specimen dimensions, a meso-scale a 3D model was created using SolidWorks CAD software, with details at constituent level. The entire 3D model was created as solid bodies without the use of surface or beam approximations. In figure 7, the 3D model of the specimen was presented.

Considering the repeatability of component pattern and part positioning for a computer-generated 3D model, only a small fraction from the whole was sufficient for our proposed analysis. Also, in the following image (fig. 8), two symmetry planes were identified, thus allowing a more detailed mesh without overloading the computational machine. In figure 9.a a detail on the resin component was presented and figure 9.b shows a detail of the fibreglass component.

In order to create and solve the finite element model, the 3D generated specimen was imported in Ansys Workbench v.19, using the Transient Structural setup.

Considering the premises of this analysis the boundary conditions (fixed support and symmetry) were applied as is shown in figure 10. Thus, A and B represent the symmetry plane boundary condition where A locks the displacement on X axis and B locks the displacement on Y axis. C represents the fixed support.

A total displacement of 0.1 mm was imposed on the Z axis on the yellow marked area presented in figure 11. Considering the transient structural analysis setup, the displacement was applied in ten 0.01 mm steps, thus obtaining an evolution of the reaction force from the fixed support.

A successful mesh, on the simplified by symmetry model, was obtained using 10 node tetrahedron elements with an average size of 0.1 mm. A total number of 414757 nodes and 220401 elements were obtained as presented in figure 12. Higher mesh density was achieved in proximity of yarn top and bottom (not depicted) side.
Results and discussions

After a successful solve of the finite element model, a first set of data was extracted from the results. In figure 13, the reaction force from the fixed support is presented alongside the force values for each step along the global axes. The non-zero values of force from X and Y axes were caused by the woven pattern and position inside the polyester matrix. Our interest was focused on the Z axis force reaction values. These data were used in order to determine the tensile stress, for each timestep.

The second set of data to serve our interest was the normal elastic strain on Z axis located on the YZ symmetry plane of the model section. Figure 14 presents the averaged values of strain variation on model section. The side graph presents strain variation over time steps, for maximum, average and minimum values. Higher strain values were obtained in the matrix and lower values for the fibreglass yarns, corresponding to the different rigidities of the constituents.

The obtained data from FEM were summed up in table 5 alongside the calculated results on each timestep for normal Z axis stress (obtained by dividing the reaction force by the 5 mm\(^2\) cross-section area) and Young's modulus. Considering the linear elastic domain of the analysis, the proportionality between stress (reaction force) and strain was maintained resulting in a constant Young's modulus throughout timesteps.

Figure 15 presents the Strain-Stress curve for the virtual composite specimen. The proportionality relation between stress and strain highlighted by the chart. The slope calculation of the chart represents the Young's modulus.

Comparing the numerical model result for Young's modulus (1.29E+04 MPa) with the same experimental result (1.52E+04 MPa) generates a difference of 15.13%.
Table 5
NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR FINITE ELEMENTS METHOD

| Applied Displ. [mm] | Reaction Force [N] | Stress [MPa] | Strain [%] | Young's Modulus [MPa] |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| 0.1                 | 28.274              | 5.6548       | 4.40E-04   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.2                 | 56.548              | 11.3096      | 8.80E-04   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.3                 | 84.822              | 16.9644      | 1.32E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.4                 | 113.1               | 22.82        | 1.76E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.5                 | 141.37              | 28.274       | 2.20E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.6                 | 159.54              | 33.923       | 2.64E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.7                 | 197.92              | 39.584       | 3.08E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.8                 | 226.19              | 45.238       | 3.52E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 0.9                 | 254.47              | 50.894       | 3.96E-03   | 1.29E-04             |
| 1                   | 282.74              | 56.548       | 4.40E-03   | 1.29E-04             |

Conclusions
The present article proposes a finite element model that tends to predict the longitudinal elastic modulus of a bicomponent composite material with polyester matrix and fibreglass reinforcement, based on elastic characteristics of constituents.

Validation of the numerical model was achieved, with 15% margin for error.

Causality for this error could consist in the approximation of multiple fibreglass threads to single volume yarn, thus not taking into consideration the individual strain of the thread.

Another error generating factor could be represented by the manual fabrication of specimens, including, but not limited to, test preparation, alignment of woven fabric, symmetry boundary conditions etc.

An advantage of this approach is that the pattern can be scaled to the number of layers of fabric desired as well as to different layer directions. Also, the model can be
parameterized so that the volume ratio of fibreglass fabric/polyester resin varies according to the designer’s needs.

Other advantage consists in the possibility of extrapolating the method to any kind of fabric and resin and could be subject of further research.

Future investigations shall seek to create a model capable of predicting all the elastic characteristics required in engineering design, starting from the elastic characteristics of the constituents. It is also a goal to validate the model using the numerical method of calculation with finite differences as schematized in [15].

A possible application for this method could be in the area of vehicle safety and crashworthiness as is presented in the work [16] of Jiga G. et al.
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