The functional impact of cancer-associated mutations

Mutations give rise to cancerous cells by affecting genes. For example, 'gain of function' mutations in oncogenes such as EGFR and KRAS promote tumor progression, and 'loss of function' mutations in the tumor-suppressor gene TP53 promote cancer by dysregulating the cell cycle. Mutations that provide a selective growth advantage to the cancer cell are called 'driver' mutations. 'Passenger' mutations, by contrast, are present in cancer genomes but do not give such a growth advantage.

Identifying driver genes is important for clinical applications. If certain mutations are present in specific cancer-associated genes, then the cancer drugs that target these genes and their respective pathways might behave differently, thus affecting the treatment outcome. For example, the BRAF gene encodes a serine/threonine kinase and is known to contain activating somatic mutations in melanomas, colorectal cancer and other cancers [1]. In a metastatic colorectal cancer study, it was reported that none of the patients with BRAF mutations responded to treatment with the drugs panitumumab or cetuximab [2]. Thus, activating somatic mutations can affect drug sensitivities.

Identifying driver and passenger mutations

When sequencing a cancer genome, somatic single base substitutions can number in the tens of thousands [3]. Sifting through these somatic single nucleotide variants (SNVs) to pin down the few driver mutations implicated in cancer is a challenge. Most researchers concentrate on the somatic mutations that cause missense changes in gene products. This focus helps to reduce the number of mutations for further investigation.

To achieve the ultimate goal of distinguishing driver mutations from passenger mutations, one approach is to sequence many cancer samples and then identify the highly mutated genes and/or the recurrent mutations across all of the samples. The disadvantage of this approach is that many cancer samples need to be sequenced, and it is not straightforward to prioritize genes with a small number of somatic mutations. To supplement this approach, one could look at the severity of the mutations in the gene and assess whether they change the gene's function. It may be possible to detect driver genes in addition to the frequently mutated genes by using this supplementary approach. In this way, some of the genes with a smaller number of mutations would gain stronger support as cancer-causing genes as opposed to background noise [4].

In the past, researchers have used tools such as PolyPhen and SIFT to assess the effect of mutations on protein function. Although these tools are generally useful, they are not trained specifically to identify driver mutations in cancer. Recently, prediction tools that evaluate which mutations specifically drive cancer have been developed. In Table 1, we list some of these publicly available cancer-specific tools. For example, CHASM [4] ranks somatic missense SNVs according to their putative tumorigenic impact. CHASM uses a machine-learning algorithm that has been trained on approximately 50 pre-computed features to distinguish drivers from passenger mutations. CHASM uses a specific passenger mutation rate for each type of cancer. Another example is CanPredict [5], which was one of the first tools for predicting cancer-associated mutations and applies gene ontology knowledge.
In this issue of Genome Medicine, Abel Gonzalez-Perez, Jordi Deu-Pons and Nuria Lopez-Bigas [6] have developed a computational method called transFIC (TRANSformed Functional Impact for Cancer) to predict somatic mutations that are putative drivers of tumorigenesis. The authors made the initial observation that cancer-associated genes are less likely to have deleterious germline variation than genes that are not involved in cancer. Based on this observation, transFIC first looks at the scores generated by a missense prediction tool such as SIFT, PolyPhen-2, MutationAssessor [7] or CHASM. It then normalizes the initial prediction scores by taking into account a gene’s tolerance to deleterious germline variation. The transformed scores are used to rank the somatic mutations that have functional effects, and mutations with higher transFIC scores are considered candidate cancer drivers. This process improves the performance of the original scores from pre-existing tools, by approximately a twofold to sevenfold increase in the Matthew’s correlation coefficient, on various datasets.

---

**Table 1. Available cancer missense mutation prediction tools**

| Tool          | User interface          | URL                                      | User input                                                                 | Highlights                                                                 | Output                                 |
|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| CHASM [4]     | Stand-alone software, website | http://wiki.chasmsoftware.org/index.php/Main_Page and http://www.cravat.us/ | Genomic coordinates in space or Tab-delimited format. RefSeq, CCDS or Ensembl identifiers, together with the respective amino acid change | Passenger mutation rate information is available for specific types of cancer | Gene annotation CHASM score COSMIC annotation |
| CanPredict [5] | Website                 | http://research-public.gene.com/Research/genentech/canpredict | Protein sequence and a list of amino acid changes or A list of RefSeq accession identifiers with amino acid changes | Users can simultaneously analyze various combinations of mutations in a single protein sequence Batch submission is available only with protein RefSeq identifiers | Impact prediction SIFT score and alignment Pfam domain and GO analysis |
| transFIC [6]  | Web service, stand-alone, website | http://bg.upf.edu/transfic | Genomic coordinates or Protein coordinates | Users can upload up to 300 mutations at a time and run up to 20 jobs (on the website) | Gene annotation Transformed prediction scores from SIFT, PolyPhen-2, MutationAssessor and CHASM COSMIC and/or dbSNP annotations |
| MutationAssessor [7] | Website, Web API | http://mutationassessor.org | Genomic coordinates or Protein coordinates | Users can analyze a list of mutations (on the website) Batch submission is available | Functional Impact score Link to three-dimensional protein structure UniProt and RefSeq identifiers Cancer Gene Census and COSMIC annotations Gene and protein domain annotations |
| MuSiC [8]     | Stand-alone              | http://gmt.genome.wustl.edu/genome-music | Mapped reads from a set of tumor and normal sample pairs in BAM format Predicted or validated SNVs and indels from the cohort in MAF format Regions of interest to users (such as exon-intron boundaries) in BED format Any available clinical information | Users can analyze whole genomes and/or exomes | Significantly mutated genes and/or pathways Annotations for known databases Links mutations to user-provided clinical information |

*This list is not exhaustive. API, Application Programming Interface; BAM, binary SAM; BED, Browser Extensible Data; CCDS, Consensus CoDing Sequence Project; CHASM, Cancer-specific High-throughput Annotation of Somatic Mutations; COSMIC, Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer; dbSNP, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism database; GO, Gene Ontology; indel, insertion/deletion; MAF, Mutation Annotation Format; MuSiC, Mutational Significance in Cancer; PolyPhen, Polymorphism Phenotyping; RefSeq, Reference Sequence; SIFT, Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant; SNV, single nucleotide variant; transFIC, TRANSformed Functional Impact for Cancer; UniProt, Universal Protein Resource; URL, Uniform Resource Locator.*
In summary, the transFIC prediction tool reported by Gonzalez-Perez et al. has many user-friendly features to discriminate cancer driver mutations from mutations that are neutral. TransFIC could be of great use to the cancer research community because it improves the functional impact scores of four well-known tools and uses these transformed scores to prioritize mutations. This tool also has the potential to be useful in cancer resequencing projects to predict the functional impact of somatic cancer mutations.

**Beyond driver mutations**

The validation of driver mutations will be easier in the future because lower sequencing costs will allow the deep sequencing of tumor samples. Because driver mutations are expected to occur early in the development of cancer cells, these mutations will tend to present at higher frequencies in a cancer sample than passenger mutations, which occur later. Deep sequencing provides better estimates of mutation frequencies compared to sequencing at medium coverage and therefore deep sequencing helps distinguish driver and passenger mutations.

After distinguishing these two types of mutations, it is crucial to pinpoint the key cancer-causing genes and pathways. Software packages such as MuSiC [8] and MutSig [9] aid in this step by prioritizing genes that are significantly mutated. These packages identify frequently mutated genes, pathways and gene families across a group of patients for various cancer types, and they also highlight clinically relevant mutations. This entire process could allow better treatment. For example, the My Cancer Genome website [10] captures cancer variation and the reported drug responses for various cancers. This information can then make doctors aware of the outcome of a patient’s drug response based on the patient’s genotype. Discovery and distribution of this knowledge will lead to improved personalized cancer treatment.
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