Phycoremediation mechanisms of heavy metals using living green microalgae: physicochemical and molecular approaches for enhancing selectivity and removal capacity
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1. Introduction

Except for a small amount that appeared through geologic time at Earth's impact events, metallic elements as they are known today, have been present on Earth since its formation. These elements have been naturally recycled between the environmental compartments, through different biotic and abiotic processes of the biogeochemical cycles (Garrett, 2000). As they are not biodegradable, metal elements are present at natural background levels in water, soil, sediment and living organisms (Dung et al., 2013). They are considered a contaminant when a particular metallic element is present in an inappropriate biotope at a concentration that exceeds the tolerance of the organisms that compose this ecosystem (Galuszka, 2007). Indeed, inorganic pollution can be the result of a natural phenomenon (Erosion, infiltration, thermal springs activity, and volcanoes...), as well as of anthropogenic sources, such as the large-scale mining operations, irrational use of fertilizers in agriculture, non-hazardous waste management (Tchounwou et al., 2012). In order to distinguish the metallic elements necessary for the functioning of biological processes from those that cause signs of toxicity, a new terminology "Heavy Metal (HM)" was introduced. Among all the natural elements, 53 are considered as HMs, they represent the transition elements, the elements of actinides and lanthanides as well as some elements of the p block of the periodic table (Rahman and Singh, 2019). According to Wang and Chen (2009), HMs can also be classified into three categories: (i) toxic HMs, such as Hg, Cr, Pb, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, As, Co, Sn. (ii) precious metals mainly including Ag, Au, Ru, Pt and Pd, and (iii) radionuclides HMs such as Am, Ra, Th, and U. On the other hand, its commonly recognized that non-essential HMs have varying
degrees of toxicity towards microorganisms, animals, plants, and humans even at very low concentrations (Ali et al., 2019). Thus, the treatment of HMs-contaminated water is a global issue that has piqued the attention of scientists, environmentalists, and legislators. Hence, to protect the environment and subsequently the public health, such contaminants should be removed from industrial wastewater and aquatic ecosystems. Various techniques have been applied to remove HMs, including hydroxide and sulfide precipitation, adsorption, nanofiltration, ultrafiltration and reverse osmosis, electrochemical treatment technologies and biological treatment approaches (Fu and Wang, 2011; Kumar et al., 2015). Indeed, because they are effective, environmentally friendly and inexpensive the use of bioremediation methods appear to be competitive and even advantageous compared to some conventional physicochemical techniques (Chibueze et al., 2016). Phycoremediation is a bioremediation subcategory proposed by John (2003), it refers to the use of algae in the removal or the mitigation of harmful pollutants. The phycoremediation could be mediated by different mechanisms, depending on the HM and its speciation, the used microalgae strain; living or non-living, and the operational condition (Gonzalez-dkila, 1995). The ability of living microalgae to remove and detoxify HMs is the result of several adaptive mechanisms developed over centuries of evolution (Monteiro et al., 2012). Harnessing this natural power in the treatment of HMs contaminated water seems to be a promising strategy. This review provides an in-depth understanding of the mechanisms involved by the living cells of green microalgae for the removal and mitigation of HMs toxicity. It also provides a future outlook for the physicochemical and the genetic modifications that can be applied with a view to improving the phycoremediation capacity, selectivity, and cost-effectiveness of this bioprocess.

2. Mechanisms of HMs phycoremediation using living microalgae

The application of living microalgae for the phycoremediation of HMs can include both extracellular and/or intracellular bioremediation strategies. Figure 1 summarizes the main pathways involved in the bioremediation and mitigation of HMs.

2.1. Extracellular HMs-bioremoval with living microalgae

The extracellular uptake of HMs by living cells of microalgae may occur by biosorption in the cell wall or in the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) formed by microalgae in response to stress conditions. In the first case, HMs-biosorption refers to a physicochemical property of the microalgae cell surface that binds to HMs ions from the solution independently to the cellular metabolism. However, HMs-biosorption into the EPS is a metabolism-dependent process. In response to metallic stress, microalgae cells can not only regulate EPS synthesis, but also they can change the properties of these biopolymers as required (Naveed et al., 2019; Ubando et al., 2021).

2.1.1. Cell walls composition and its role in HMs-biosorption

The cell wall is the interface between the intracellular compartment and the external environment (Macie and Welbourn, 2000). It contains multifunctional macromolecules such as lipids, proteins and carbohydrates, which offer on its surface different negatively charged functional groups such as amino, hydroxyl, carboxyl, sulfhydryl, sulfate, phosphate, phenol... etc. (Javanbakht et al., 2014). These negatively charged groups permit the binding of ions from the surrounding environment, making the outer layer of the cell wall as the first participator in the removal of HMs (Leong and Chang, 2020; Saavedra et al., 2018; Singh et al., 2021). Therefore, understanding the structure, composition, and properties of the cell wall is essential when studying biosorption mechanisms (Podder and Majumder, 2017). In addition, this non-metabolic mechanism depends closely on the operating conditions, the influence of the physicochemical conditions including pH, temperature, presence of other ions and the ratio of adsorbate adsorbent must be controlled (Zeraatkar et al., 2016). In recent years, the most frequently used microalgae strains in the phycoremediation belong to the Chlorophyta phylum, particularly species of genera Chlorella and Scenedesmus (Spain et al., 2021). Nevertheless, even under similar operating conditions, the sensitivity and the biosorption efficiency vary depending on genus and species of microalgae (Kumar et al., 2015). For instance, the growth C. sorokiniana and S. obliquus in media contaminated with Pb(II), Cd(II), Cu(II) and Cr(VI) was significantly different (Danouche et al., 2020). This can be attributed to the physiology of the strain, in particular the cell walls composition and structure. Depending on the species and the growth stage, different structures and compositions of the cell wall have been reported. Within this phyllum, it is ranging from a simple cell membrane as of Dunaliella and Isochrysis species, which consists of a lipid bilayer with integrated and peripheral proteins and sometimes a cap of glycoproteins and glycolipids envelops the outer of the cells surface. To complex multilayer structures with additional intracellular material in vesicles like that of Dinoflagellates strains, or that of euglenophytes and cryptophytes species.

Figure 1. HMs-phycoremediation mechanisms (modified from García-García et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2015).
that characterized by both intracellular and extracellular material associated to the cell membranes (D’Hondt et al., 2017). Figure 2 illustrates the structure of the cell wall of some microalgae species. Furthermore, species of the same genus may exhibit also variations in the composition of their cell walls. For example, C. vulgaris has an inner layer (Rashidi and Trindade, 2018), while, C. homosphaera and C. zofingiensis both have an inner and an outer layer, and also have the trilaminar version of the outer layer (Rodrigues and Pinto, 2011). In the case of C. trilaminar, the outermost layer is composed by sporopollenin, the middle layer is principally composed by mannose and chitin-like polysaccharides and the innermost layer is a phospholipid bilayer (Dixon and Wilken, 2018).

### 2.1.2. Physicochemical interactions of HMs and cell surface

Understanding the interaction of HMs ions and cell surface of microalgae are challenging, due to the complexity of the cell surface. Several chemical and physical interactions have been reported. The chelation and the complexation of HMs with active groups of the cell wall were the main involved mechanisms. Furthermore, the cell surface of microalgae incorporates ions such as Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺, which can be reversibly substituted by other toxic HMs ions in solution, via a process called ion exchange. The physical forces principally van der Waals and electrostatic interactions, can in turn managed the physical adsorption mechanism of metal binding into the cell surface. On the other hand, the micro-precipitation is a process that can be associated with both passive and active pathways of metal uptake (Navakoudis and Ververidis, 2018).

### 2.1.3. Extracellular polymeric substances interactions with HMs

EPS are high molecular weight extracellular biopolymers that can be produced by a diversity of microorganisms including microalgae species. They include nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, sugar, humic substances and other extracellular inorganic components that can bind to carbohydrates (Xiao and Zheng, 2016). Thus, we can divide the EPS of microalgae into three broad categories, soluble EPS in growth media (SL-EPS), attached to the cells wall or Loosely Bound EPS (LB-EPS), as well as Tightly Bound EPS (TB-EPS), which are gel-like coatings of cells wall (Naveed et al., 2019). Microalgae are usually endangered in aquatic ecosystems by precipitated metal ions on the cell wall. Followed by an active and/or passive transport across the cell wall and plasma membrane to the cytoplasm (Kumar et al., 2015). Indeed, Perez-Rama et al. (2002) reported that the Cd(II)-uptake using Tetraselmis suecica was a biphasic process, assisted in the first phase by an adsorption to proteins or polysaccharides, followed by an energy-dependent accumulation to the cytosol. Furthermore, when the concentration of metal in the extracellular environment is significantly higher than the intracellular concentration, cations can be transported by the negative charged groups of the cell surface to reach the intracellular compartment via active transport across the plasma membrane.

### 2.2. HMs-bioaccumulation mechanisms in microalgae

In contrast to the biosorption process, bioaccumulation is a depending metabolic pathway. It refers to the intracellular accumulation of HMs through the cell membranes of living microalgae based on passive and/or active transport pathways (Chojnacka, 2010). It’s characterized by two successive stages: first, a rapid, passive and non-specific absorption of metal ions on the cell wall. Followed by an active and/or passive transport across the cell wall and plasma membrane to the cytoplasm (Kumar et al., 2015). Indeed, Perez-Rama et al. (2002) reported that the Cd(II)-uptake using Tetraselmis suecica was a biphasic process, assisted in the first phase by an adsorption to proteins or polysaccharides, followed by an energy-dependent accumulation to the cytosol. Furthermore, when the concentration of metal in the extracellular environment is significantly higher than the intracellular concentration, cations can be transported by the negative charged groups of the cell surface to reach the intracellular compartment via active transport across the plasma membrane.

![Figure 2. Schematic view of cell wall structures of some microalgae species (modified from Baudelet et al., 2017; Carvalho et al., 2020). For better interpretation of the color figure, the reader could refer the web version of this article.](image-url)
membrane after binding to thiols molecules primarily cysteine (Monteiro et al., 2012). The other amino acids such as histidine, glutamate and proline can play also a crucial role in metal chelation and detoxification (Hayat et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2015; Leszczyszyn et al., 2010). As most of the HMs are hydrophilic, their transport across the plasma membrane (lipophilic) is mediated mainly through a specific protein (Metal transporters). Thereafter, several detoxification pathways can take place in intracellular compartments (Leong and Chang, 2020; Monteiro et al., 2011).

2.2.1. Metal transporters in microalgae cell membrane

Metal transporters play an important role in the interaction of algae with their surroundings. They represent the first line of osmotic balances control, as well as they manage the intracellular concentration of essential ions for micronutrient homeostasis, in order to cope with the deleterious effects of nonessential HMs (Hanikenne et al., 2005). The involvement of membrane transporters have been characterized in various microalgal species (García-garcía et al., 2012; Rosakis and Köster, 2004). According to Blaby-haas and Merchant (2012), two transporter groups are responsible for the influx and efflux of each metal ions in the algal model C. reinhardtii. Indeed, the transporters group (A) ensures the traffic of HMs from the extracellular environment to the cytosol, including Natural Resistance-Associated Macrophage Proteins (NRAMP), Zrt-Irt-like proteins (ZIP), Fe-Transporter (FTR) and Cu-transporter (CTR) families. This group of transporters has been identified also in the vacuole membrane and has the same function as the assimilative transporters. The second group (B) includes members from the cation diffusion facilitator (CDF), P1B-type ATPases, FerroPortiN (FPN) and the families Ca (II)-sensitive cross-complementer1/Vacuolar iron transporter1 (Ccc1/VIT1)(Figure 4), they decrease the metal concentration in the cytoplasm through active mechanism of efflux of metal ions into the extracellular surroundings, This occurs when the metal concentration exceeds cellular requirements, or if the metal peptide complex starts to affect cellular metabolism (Tripathi et al., 2019). Very
few studies have described the role of membrane transporters in HMs accumulation in microalgae. Thus, future research on this topic is crucial for a deeper understanding of the pathways of metal accumulation in cell of microalgae.

2.2.2. Pathways of intracellular HMs detoxification

Various strategies are used by microalgae to maintain intracellular ion concentrations at the optimal level and protect the cell from non-essential metals (Torres et al., 2008). These strategies include the modification of the permeability of plasma membrane and function of the cell wall, the activation of phytochelatins synthase, the formation of HMs-metallothioneins, HMs-poly-phosphates complexes, the compartmentalization into organelles, as well as the activation of metal efflux systems (García-García et al., 2016; Navakoudis and Ververidis, 2018).

2.2.2.1. Chelation by metallothioneins. MTs are small peptides that can be divided into two groups, gene-encoded proteins (MTs class I and II) and enzymatically synthesized polypeptides (MTs class III) commonly named phytochelatins (PCs) (Gabbett and Goldbrough, 2002). The MTs class II are a super-rich cysteine family located in the cytosol, characterized by low molecular weight (6–7 KDa). These metal-binding proteins are primarily involved in the control of intracellular concentrations of metals at regular levels (Gaur and Rai, 2001). MTs-class-II are little studied in microalgae compared to other organisms. The most known MTs from microalgae are of Chlorella Aureococcus, Symbiodinium, Nanochloropsis, Thalassiosira, and Ostreococcus genera (Balzano et al., 2020). Since microalgae can survive in habitats contaminated with HMs, they have the potential for new forms of MTs. Therefore, future research should focus on the discovery of such new MTs using in silico experiment and experimental researches.

2.2.2.2. Chelation by phytochelatins. In response to metal exposure, microalgae like other organisms synthesize enzymatically PCs rather than MTs that are genetically encoded. The PCs are also thiol-containing peptides consisting of three amino acids: glutamate (Glu), cysteine (Cys) and glycine (Gly), with general structure (γ-Glu-Cys)n-Gly, the sulfhydryl group in the cysteine molecule is responsible for metal binding. Their biosynthetic pathway starts with the formation of γ-Glu-Cys-Gly via γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase (γ-GCS). Afterwards, the glutathione synthetase (GS) catalyzes the production of glutathione (GSH). Next, the transfer of γ-Glu-Cys from GSH to another GSH molecule is followed by the formation of (γ-Glu-Cys)2-Gly (Hirata et al., 2005). Indeed, GSH has been reported to be the key ligand when intracellular metal concentrations are low, while metal detoxification is ensured by PCs when metal concentrations are high (Gaur and Rai, 2001). The synthesis of MTs class III in microalgae strains has been documented in several studies, it was first identified in C. fusca after exposure to Cd(II) ions (Gekeler et al., 1988). Subsequently, numerous researches were aiming to clarify PCs biosynthesis under HMs exposure such as Gómez-Jacinto et al. (2015) who identified Hg-PCs in C. sorokiniana under Hg-exposure. also in S. bijugatus Cu(II)-treated (Nagalakshmi and Prasad, 2001), and Pb (II)-treated Stichococcus bacillaris (Pawlak-skowron, 2002). Furthermore, it has been reported that Cd(II) was the most effective stimulator of PCs synthase of Chlamydomonas species, while other metals have shown less effectiveness in various degrees (Aboud and Wilkinson, 2013; Kobayashi et al., 2006; Li et al., 2021; Suárez et al., 2010). Nevertheless, PC synthesis was mostly strongly induced by Zn in Dunaliella species (Hirata et al., 2001; Tsuji et al., 2003). Recently, Wang et al. (2017) reported that GSH was the main non-protein sulfhydryl compound in D. salina. Its exposure to As(III) and As(V) has induced PCs synthesis indicating therefore their involvement in As-detoxification. The HMs-bound to PCs, they can be stored into organelles of microalgae cells as organometallic complexes.

2.2.2.3. Chelation by poly-phosphates. In nature, orthophosphate polymers (polyp) are abounding in both euakaryotic and prokaryotic organisms. Numerous algal research report the accumulation of polyp body in acidocalcisomes founded mainly in granules of specific vacuoles in the trans-Golgi that show diverse variants according to their disposition, composition, and consistency (Goodenough et al., 2019; Tsednee et al., 2019). However, polyp can also be localized in other cellular compartments including the cell wall, cytoplasm, mitochondria, and endoplasmic reticulum and nucleus (Sanz-luque et al., 2020; Werner et al., 2007). For instance, the metabolism of polyp in C. reinhardtii can be regulated via enzymatic reaction of exopolyphosphatase, or through compartmentalization mechanisms, mainly by the acidocalcisome membrane transporters (Ruiz et al., 2001). HMs sequestration and detoxification are among the various functions and cellular responses where polyp are implicated including cycling phosphorus (P) in oceans, P reservoir, acclimatation to nutrient deprivation as well as in response to osmotic and heat stress conditions (Sanz-luque et al., 2020). It has been reported that polyp formation facilitates the accumulation of HMs and storage (Wang and Dei, 2006). In fact, the crucial function of acidocalcisomes and polyps in maintaining cellular homeostasis of essential ions underlines the hypothesis that this role could be extended to the regulation of levels of toxic HMs in the intracellular compartment bioaccumulation via chelation and compartmentalization (García-García et al., 2016).

2.2.3. HMs compartmentalization in the vacuole, chloroplast and mitochondria

As previously mentioned, the sequestration of the MTs-HMs complex in particular cell organelles, especially vacuoles, chloroplasts, and mitochondria has prompted researchers to develop hypotheses about metal bioaccumulation pathways, and the tolerance mechanisms associated. Various biophysical techniques can be used for studying the intracellular localization and the analysis of HMs or their complex (Polyp-HMs, MT-HMs PCs-HMs), mainly by using transmission electron microscope (TEM) with added techniques and accessories, such as energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI), and atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Tripathi et al., 2019). In plant species, vacuolar compartmentalization has been reported as an indispensable component of HMs detoxification (Sharma et al., 2016). In contrast, metal sequestration has been identified in different cell organelles. For example, Shanab et al. (2012) found by means of TEM analysis, an electron-dense dark spherical bodies accumulated in the vacuoles of Pseudochlorococcum typicum exposed to Pb ions. Volland et al. (2012) located also the accumulation of Cr (IV) using TEM coupled with EELS and ESI inside cells of Microastersia denticulata as chromium-iron-oxygen compound and increased vacuolation. In contrast, Hanikenne et al. (2009), described that the main storage location of PCs–Cd(II) complexes in C. reinhardtii was in the chloroplast. Likewise, Mendoza-co and Moreno-sa, (2005) found that more than 60% of the accumulated Cd(II) resides inside the chloroplast of Euglena gracilis. Similarly, Soldo et al. (2005) reported that the intracellular Cu(II) was detected in the thylakoids and the pyrenoid of O. nephrocytioides cells. In addition, Mendoza-co et al. (2005) demonstrated the accumulation of Cd(II) and Cd(II)-Mt (III) complexes in chloroplast and mitochondria of E. gracilis.

2.3. Biotransformation and mitigation of HMs by microalgae

The broad sense of biotransformation refers to the pathway by which xenobiotic or endobiotic chemicals are metabolized into products, which vary in their activity (activation vs deactivation), excretability (hydrophobic vs hydrophilic), and toxicity (detoxification vs toxification) (Rourke and Sinal, 2014). In the context of HMs-phycoremediation, the term “biotransformation” may apply to a variety of detoxification pathways, primarily enzymatic and biochemical transformation of toxic HMs.

2.3.1. Enzymatic biotransformation

The enzymatic biotransformation of HMs can be defined as chemical transformation from a high toxic form to a less harmful through oxidation
reduction reactions. In fact, HMs cannot be degraded, but they can be transformed from one oxidation state to another in an inorganic complex form, in order to mitigate their toxic impact. Only few studies have emphasized the role of oxidoreductase enzymes in the detoxification of HMs by microalgae. The main redox enzymes reported in microalgae are chromate reductases, mercuric reductase and arsenate reductase (Leong and Chang, 2020). It has been reported by Lee et al. (2017) and Yen et al. (2017) that C. vulgaris strains have the potential to convert Cr(VI) to Cr(III) through an enzymatic reaction catalyzed by the chromate reductase. Also, Kelly et al. (2007) reported that the microalgae strains of Selenastrum minutum, C. fusca and Galdiera sulphuraria have the ability to catalyze the bio-transformation of Hg^{2+} into elemental Hg0 and metal-cinnabars (β-HgS) through mercuric reductase. The arsenate reductase has also been found in the green microalgae C. reinhardtii (Yin et al., 2011). Figure 5 depicts the intracellular and extracellular mitigation pathways for Cr (VI) by microalgae.

2.3.2. Biochemical transformations of HMs

During the phytoremediation process, cells of microalgae may use biochemical mechanisms for HMs-mitigation. For example, the reaction of chromium reduction from the hexavalent oxidation state to the trivalent form is catalyzed by the transfer of electrons to the reduced form of GSH (Yen et al., 2017) (Figure 5). Moreover, the mitigation of the toxicity of inorganic arsenic can be the result of several other detoxification mechanisms (Hasegawa et al., 2019; Karadjova et al., 2008; Levy et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2015b). In fact, a variety of microalgae species seem to be able to reduce As(V) to As(III). For example, Karadjova et al. (2008) indicated that after 72 h of exposure of C. salina to As(V), 32% of total intracellular concentration of As(V) was converted to As (III). According to Hasegawa et al. (2001), the initial concentration of As(V) in the medium was firstly converted to As(III) by C. aciculare, and reached a peak concentration during the exponential growth. Generally, As-species are distributed among different cellular fractions of cells of microalgae including the lipid, cytosolic, cell membranes and debris fractions. The detoxification pathway begins with the reduction of As(V) into As(III) form, and then methylation to monomethylarsionate (MMA(V)) via oxidase and S-adenosylmethionine (SAM). The produced MMA is converted to dimethylarsinate (DMA(V)), that is further reduced to DMA(III), next its conversion to a range of organoarsenicals such as, arsenolipids, arsensugars, arseneobetaine, and arseneribosides (Arora et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2015b). Figure 6 shows the pathways of biotransformation of As(V and III) by microalgae.

2.3.3. Other biotransformation mechanisms of HMs

In addition to the intracellular HMs-biotransformation mechanism previously described, the biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles can occur both extracellularly and intracellularly, depending on the location of NP biosynthesis and the reductive agents (Hamida et al., 2020). It has been reported that microalgae have the potential to remodel toxic HMs into malleable forms, such as via their combination with protein, lipids, carbohydrates, pigments, and other antioxidants molecules which can reduce the charge of the metal ion to a zero-valence state (Chaudhary et al., 2020). Also in the extracellular surroundings, the charged functional groups of the cells surface as well as the EPS, the binding sites, organic ligands may contribute to speciation changes of the toxic HMs via redox reactions (Naveed et al., 2019). Indeed, Priyadarshini et al. (2019) reported that the extracellular biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles appears to be simpler compared to the intracellular environment, where the algae extract contains polysaccharides, proteins and pigments that act as reducing agents that stabilize metal ions and metal nanoparticles. On the other hand, biologically and non-biologically volatilization of mercury can be used by E. gracilis as another biotransformation mechanism for the mitigation of the toxic effect of mercury (Devare et al., 2000). Besides, Deng et al. (2006) reported that the Cr(VI)-biotransformation with C. vulgaris was managed by a photoreduction pathway.

3. Future outlook for enhancing the phycoremediation process

Numerous research studies have demonstrated the feasibility of using green microalgae in metal remediation, both technically and economically. In addition to optimizing the factors that influence the phycoremediation process, various physicochemical and biotechnological approaches can be used to (i) improve the extracellular uptake and selectivity for a target metal (Precious elements or rare earths), to (ii)

![Figure 5. Proposed biotransformation pathways of Cr(VI) developed from the finding of (Deng et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2017; Rahman and Thomas, 2021; Yen et al., 2017).](image-url)
increase the intracellular bioaccumulation capacity, or to (iii) enhance the biosorption and mitigation capacity.

3.1. Cell manipulation for enhancing the biosorption capacity and selectivity

As detailed above, the biosorption of HMs occurs mainly in the cell surface. Thus, modifying their composition and their physicochemical properties can improve the interaction with ions of HMs, increasing thereby the biosorption performance.

3.1.1. Physicochemical approaches

3.1.1.1. Cell surface functionalization. According to Pearson acid-base concept, the affinity of the cell surface towards species of HMs considerably depends on the functional groups. For instance, the biosorption capacity of Pb(II), Cd(II), Zn(II), Cu(II), and Ni(II) into Neochloris minuta and N. alveolaris was related to the HSAB theory in terms of their biomass compositions and the type of hard or soft metal acid based (Giarikos et al., 2021). Indeed, changing the nature and density of functional groups at the cell surface, regulated the affinity and sorption behavior for specific metal species. The functionalization of the cell surface can be achieved by introduction of active functional groups (binding sites) using chemical reaction, or by inhibiting functional groups that have a negative impact on the target metal’s biosorption (Yang et al., 2015).

3.1.1.2. Chemical pretreatment. Many pretreatment reagents can be used to change the physicochemical properties of the cell wall, and remove impurities on the surface, as well as to expose metal binding sites by eliminating blocking ions. These reagents include acid, alkali, organic solvents (alcohol, acetone and toluene), and inorganic salts (NaCl and Na2CO3) (Nagase et al., 2005). Besides the use of acid that may dissolve solvents (alcohol, acetone and toluene), and inorganic salts (NaCl and eliminating blocking ions. These reagents include acid, alkali, organic impurities on the surface, as well as to expose metal binding sites by changing the physicochemical properties of the cell wall, and remove thereby the biosorption performance.

3.1.1.3. Magnetic modification. The magnetically modification of microalgae with magnetic nano- and micro particles have been used in a variety of algae biotechnology research areas, including the removal of HMs ions (Safarik et al., 2020). According to Lalhmunsiama et al. (2017), cells of C. vulgaris coated with magnetic iron oxide NPs has successfully removed ions of Cd(II) and Pb(II) from aqueous solutions. Thus, their simultaneous biosorption was completed by distinguished binding sites. Pb(II) ions were chemically bound to amino groups, while Cd(II) ions were bound to dissociated hydroxyl or carboxyl groups through weak electrostatic forces. Likewise, Ferraro et al. (2018) reported that cells of native Chlorella sp. flocculated with polyethylenimine-coated magnetic have exhibited a high removal efficiency of Zn (II) ions. Although physicochemical modification can improve the binding performance of cellular metals, it can also present some drawbacks. Firstly, they can lead to a decrease in selectivity towards the targeted metal species. Secondly, washing the cells could lead to a loss of biomass, and finally, chemical and magnetic modifications are costly for large-scale applications (Cheng et al., 2019).

3.1.2. Bioengineering of cell-surface approaches

Adsorption-based processes have a high potential for HMs absorption, but their common weakness is a lack of selectivity for the absorption of target metal from heterogeneous metal ions solutions (Shen et al., 2017). The advancement of bioengineering of living microorganisms has recently enabled the construction of new biosorbents by adapting the metallosorption properties of the cell surfaces, in order to increase the adsorption selectivity for target metal species (Wang et al., 2021). This molecular technique is called cell surface engineering or cell surface display. It consists of expressing proteins of interest (passenger protein) such metal-binding proteins (MTs or PCs) on the cell surface by a translational fusion with anchoring motif (carrier protein), which allows the passenger protein to be exported across the cell envelope and anchors it to the cell surface (Kuroda and Ueda, 2011; Yang et al., 2015). Artificial proteins with new activities and/or imitative roles such as high metal-binding and pre-programmed properties for the removal of HMs in theory that can be located in any specific cellular compartment may be created through genetic and protein engineering (Agapakis and Silver, 2009). Figure 7 illustrates the cell surface engineering procedure for a target metal biosorption. First, the coding DNA of the target metal-binding peptide or protein previously was obtained via whole sequence synthesis or PCR amplification from genomic or plasmid DNA. Next it can be transformed to the host in the form of fusion of a protein
under specific induction. The metal-binding protein/peptide can be displayed in the form of fusion of an anchor protein after transfection, translation and translocation. The secretory vesicles encompassing the passenger and carrier proteins pass through the cell membrane and anchor the passenger proteins to the surface of cell wall (Kuroda and Ueda, 2011; Li and Tao, 2013; Wang et al., 2021). There are considerable published works on genetically-engineered biosorbents for removal of HMs using bacteria, yeast and fungi (Wang et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2015). However, to our knowledge, there are not yet studies using microalgae as host cells for the surface display of target metal binding. He et al. (2011) showed that the removal capacity of Hg (II) using a transgenic C. reinhardtii (2AMT-2) designed to express a membrane-anchored MT polymer, was at high levels that could be released into the aqueous HMs. Indeed, several benefits can be derived from overexpression of metal-binding-proteins into the cell surface of green microalgae. Firstly, due to the increase of the ligand on the surface of the cell, the processing time will be greatly shortened. Second, such a modification would increase the selectivity for a target metal. This adsorbed metal can thereby be easily recovered with mild pickling reagent, instead of breaking the cell wall to recover the metals inside the cell. This makes such biosorbent recyclable and economical. Finally, the surface adsorption is independent of metabolism and the dead biomass can therefore be used.

3.2. Microalgae genetically engineered for intracellular recovery of HMs

Application of genetic engineering strategy for the enhancement of the intra-cellular uptake of HMs encompass the genetic modification of genes encoding for: metals membrane transporters, high-affinity HM binding proteins such as genetically encoded chelators, enzymes that catalyze the reduction of toxic metals via redox transformations, and enzymes that scavenge reactive oxygen species (Rajamani et al., 2007). In fact, the genetic manipulation of microalgae for enhancing the bioaccumulation capacity typically comprises two techniques: gene overexpression and the construction of transgenic algae by introducing exogenous DNA into cells of microalgae (Cheng et al., 2019).

3.2.1. Metal-transporters transition in microalgae

Identification of microalgae genes encoding metalloregulatory proteins is critical for genetic engineering for phyto Remediation purposes. As detailed in the previous section (2.1.1), metal transporters play an important role in the interaction of microalgae with their surroundings. They constitute the second line of protection after the cell wall, via the control of the perturbations in cellular and subcellular homoeostasis of metal (Blaby-Haas and Merchant, 2012). Despite the fact that this approach may allow both increased accumulation of metals in the cell and increased tolerance to metals, such as by transferring a toxic metal out of the cytosol and into an internal compartment, genetic manipulation of metal transporters towards HMs phycoremediation is still quite limited. Ibuot et al. (2017) reported that the overexpression of metal tolerance protein (MTP) in C. reinhardtii led to a significant increase in resistance to Cd(II) toxicity as well as bioaccumulation efficiency. This was proposed to be due to increased transfer and storage of Cd(II) into vacuoles. According to Ibuot et al. (2020), the expression of a plant Cd and Zn transporter (AtHMA4) known to bind metals in C. reinhardtii, either as a full-length protein or only as the C-terminal tail, had led to increase the bioaccumulation and internalization of both Cd(II) and Zn(II) ions, with expression of either the FL protein or the CT domain. This suggests that the enhancement of metal bioaccumulation was primarily the result of increased metal binding rather than metal transport. Ramírez-Rodríguez et al. (2019) evaluated the As-bioremoval capability of Acr3-modified C. reinhardtii strain produced by transforming the wild-type strain with Agrobacterium tumefaciens using the construct pARR1, including a synthetic, optimized acr3 gene from Pteris vittata, reported to have two homologs proteins PvACR3 and PvACR3 localized in the membrane of vacuolar possible ensure the sequestration of As(III) by effluxing into the vacuole (Indriolo et al., 2010). The result of this study indicates that the acr3-modified strain removed 1.5 to 3 times more arsenic compared to the wild-type.

3.2.1. Molecular manipulation for AA and PCs biosynthesis

As previously mentioned in the section (2.2.2), the metal chelator is primarily responsible for the intracellular bioaccumulation of HMs. Genetic manipulation of the biosynthesis and metabolism of certain AA, and PCs seems to be an optimal strategy to increase the capacity of HMs bioaccumulation into microalgal cultures. However, despite the great potential for involvement of AA and the PCs biosynthesis in the HMs-tolerance and detoxification, the number of microalgae mutants developed for this purpose is still limited. Zheng et al. (2013) reported that overexpressing of HSN1 gene (Coding for phosphoribosylformimino-5-aminoimidazole carboxamide ribonucleotide isomerase) in C. reinhardtii induces a high tolerance towards Ni, with moderate increase of histidine accumulation in comparison to the wild type. Furthermore, Siripornadulsil et al. (2002) suggest that the expression of the P5CS (mothbean Δ1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase) gene in C. reinhardtii induces proline buildup compared to wild type, and increases their tolerance against Cd(II) ions. Similarly, the expression of the HAL2 gene in C. reinhardtii, which regulates

![Figure 7. Procedure of cell surface engineering for a target metal biosorption.](image-url)
cystine synthesis increased the Cd-carrying capacity of transgenic cells compared to wild-type cells (Rajaman et al., 2007). Regarding the transgenic manipulation for the transformation of C. reinhardtii with a foreign MTs(II), it was firstly documented by Cai et al. (1999) that the cell density of C. reinhardtii cells expressing a foreign MTs(II) was higher compared to the wild type when exposed to Cd(II) ions.

3.2.3. Genetic transformation for HMs enzyme biocatalysts

The mitigation of toxic HMs by living microorganisms can also be improved using genetically engineered enzymes (Diep et al., 2018). For instance, the transformation of Chlorella spp. DT, by mercuric reductase (merA) gene from Bacillus megaterium, improved reduction of bioremoval Hg(II) up to twofold compared to the wild type (Huang et al., 2006). As previously noted in the section (2.2.2), the biosynthesis of GSH occurs via an enzymatic reaction catalyzed by γ-GCS. Recently, Piña-olavide et al. (2020) reported that the transformation of C. reinhardtii with a synthetic gene (gsfA) encoding for γ-GCS has significantly increased Cd(II) bioaccumulation. Furthermore, Wang et al. (2015a) studied the transformation of C. reinhardtii with CrGNAT gene encoding for acetyltransferase, they reported also that such modification regulates excess Cu(II) bioaccumulation and tolerance. The acetyltransferase can be involved in the production of cysteine, glutathione and PCs under Cd(II) stress (Howarth et al., 2003).

4. Conclusion

Based on the above considerations, we can infer that the strains of green microalgae has multifaceted mechanisms for removing toxic HMs, including extracellular biosorption, EPS-complexation, intracellular bioaccumulation and compartmentalization, enzymatic reduction, biomethylation, and volatilization. Although the process of phycoremediation of HMs is still on the lab scale, the analysis of the involved mechanisms provides insights for improving efficiency, selectivity, and reduces processing costs. Recently, physicochemical and molecular modifications of the microbial cell surface have demonstrated their applicability for the enhancement of bioremediation performance. In fact, several microorganisms with innovative cell surface properties have been bioengineered. In contrast, there are still limited examples of genetic manipulation of microalgae for HMs-removal purposes. Further research in the fields of genetic engineering, pre-treatment, immobilization techniques and a combination of other physicochemical strategies will allow phycoremediation processes to be used on a large scale.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

All authors listed have significantly contributed to the development and the writing of this article.

Funding statement

This work was supported by Moroccan Foundation for Advanced Science, Innovation and Research (MAFICR), and Regional University Centre of Interface (CURI), Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University.

Data availability statement

Data included in article/supplementary material/referenced in article.

Declaration of interests statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.
Gonzalez-dikila, M., 1995. The role of phytoplankton cells on the control of heavy metal concentrations in seawater. Mar. Chem. 48, 215–236.

Goodenough, J., Hein, J., Funch, K., Leth, L., 2019. Acidoclinomes: ultrastructure, biogenesis, and distribution in microbial eukaryotes. Protist 170, 277–313.

Hamida, R.S., Ali, M.A., Redhwan, A., Bin-Meferji, M.M., 2020. Cyanobacteria—a promising platform in green nanotechnology: a review on nanoparticles fabrication techniques and prospects. Int. J. Nanosci. Water cadmium stress in yeast, prokaryotes and plants. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 29, 653–671.

Mendoza-do, C., Loza-tovar, H., Herna, A., Moreno-ra, R., 2005. Sulfur assimilation and glutathione metabolism in the chloroplast of Euglena gracilis. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1706, 88–97.

Monteiro, C.M., Castro, P.M.L., Malacar, F.X., 2011. Microalgae-mediated biocontrol of heavy metal–contaminated surface waters. In: Biomimetic of Metal-Contaminated Soils. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 365–385.

Naveed, S., Atique, A.T., Zilouei, H., 2014. Mechanisms of heavy metal removal using microalgae (transgenic Chlamydomonas reinhardtii). Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 29, 19–27.

Nazarovsk, A.M.E., Verdeser, K.F.P., 2018. Microalgae: a potential tool for remediating aquatic environments from toxic metals. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 15 (8), 1815–1830.

Naveed, S., Li, G., Li, X., Chen, S., Yin, B., Zhang, C., Ge, Y., 2019. Microalgae extracellular polymeric substances and their interactions with metal(loid)sis: a review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 1769–1802.

Pavolav, R., Paz-maldonado, L.M.T., Torre, M.C.A. La, García-soto, M.J., Ramirez-rodíguez, A.E., Rosales, A., Batuelos-herández, B., De, R.F.G., Batuelos-herández, B., Ferrando, R., Increased, G., De, 2020. Increased removal of cadmium by Chlamydomonas reinhardtii modified with a synthetic gene for γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase 1-4. Int. J. Phytoremediation 1–7.

Rodriguez, J., Singh, V.P., 2019. The relative impact of toxic heavy metals (THMs) (arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr)(VI), mercury (Hg), and lead (Pb)) on the total environment: an overview. Environ. Monit. Assess. 191.

Rahman, Z., Thomas, L., 2021. Chemical-assisted microbially mediated chromium (Cr) VI reduction under the influence of various electron donor, redox mediators, and other Additives: an outlook on enhanced (CrVI) removal. Front. Microbiol. 11, 1–19.

Rajamani, S., Siripornadulsil, S., Falco, V., Torres, M., Coleplocito, S., Raye, S., 2007. Phycoremediation of heavy metals: In: Transgenic Microalgae as Green Cell Factory, pp. 99–109.

Ramirez-rodriguez, A.E., Batuelos-herández, B., Mariano, J., Govea-alonso, D.G., Rosales-mendoza, S., Catalina, M., Arsenic, L.M.T.P., Ramírez-rodríguez, B., Monreal-escalante, E., Arsenic, L.M.T.P., 2019. Arsenic removal using Chlamydomonas reinhardtii modified with the synthetic gene for γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase 1-4 and enhancement of its performance by decreasing phosphate in the growing media. Int. J. Phytoremediation 1–7.

Rashidi, B., Trindade, L.M., 2018. Detailed biochemical and morphological characteristics of the green microalgae Neochloris oleobundans cell wall. Algal Res. 35, 152–159.

Rodrigues, A., Pinto, E., 2011. Evaluation of Chlorella (Chlorophyla) as source of fermentable sugars via cell wall enzymatic hydrolysis. Enzym. Res. 2011.

Rosakis, A., Koster, W., 2004. Transition metal transport in the green microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii genomic sequence analysis. Res. Microbiol. 155, 201–210.

Rourke, J.L., Sinal, C.J., 2014. Biotransformation/metabolism In: Encyclopedia of Toxicology, third ed., 2. Elsevier, pp. 289–294.

Rizan, T., Fanchencini, N.E., Lim, J., Tempo, P., 2001. The polyphosphate bodies of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii possess a proton-pumping pyrophosphatase and are similar to acidocalcisomes. J. Biol. Chem. 276 (49), 46196–46203.

Saavedra, R., Muñoz, R., Taboada, M.E., Vega, M., Bolado, S., 2018. Comparative uptake study of arsenic, boron, copper and cadmium in freshwater microalgae from different environmental conditions. Chemosphere 217, 273–283.

Safa, H. B., Dalldorf, K., 2020. Nanoparticles: a multifunctional metabolite in cyanobacteria and algae. Front. Microbiol. 11, 1–21.

Shahab, S., Shabab, S., 2016. Bioremoval capacity of three heavy metals by freshwater microalgae species (Cryptophyta isolates). Plant Signal. Behav. 7.

Sharma, S.S., Dietz, K., Mirmiran, M., 2016. Vascular Compartmentalization as indispensable Component of Heavy Metal Detoxification in Plants, pp. 1112–1126.

Shiu, H., Biringu, Z.S., Chiwa, E.M., 2017. Selective biosorption of precious metals by cell-surface engineered selective biosorption of precious metals by cell-surface engineered microalgae. Chem. Eng. Trans. 61.
