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Figure S1. Illustrative map of relative locations of study sites. Source: Google Earth Pro, eye altitude 20.02 km.
Figure S2. Community composition of flower visitor communities at each site within the four land uses (NMDS plot including 95% ellipses; Stress = 0.06).
Figure S3. Effect of visitation by each taxonomic group on plant reproduction.
Figure S4. Modularity plot for the landscape network
Figure S5. Median site metrics for each land use type
Figure S6. Specialisation (d') of all flower visitor species found in each land use type. Asterisks denote significance: *p < 0.05
Figure S7. c-z correlations identifying key connector nodes. Interior lines show the critical thresholds for each metric. We only identified flower visitor nodes as between-module connectors in our network (nodes in the bottom right quadrant).
Figure S8. Pairwise relationships between geographical distance and difference in node metrics for all site pairs.
Figure S9. Individual land use networks for (a) forest, (b) avocado, (c) dairy, (d) potato. Yellow nodes on the top level indicate the pollinator species with the highest node strength for that land use: (a) and (b) Allograpta sp.; (c) and (d) Sphaerophoria sp.
Figure S10. Relationships between node metrics and plant reproduction at each site.
Table S1. Estimates for glm(Visits~Order). Coleoptera as reference category

| Predictor    | Est ± SD | t value | 95% Confidence Intervals |
|--------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|
| Diptera      | 3.53 ± 0.85 | 4.12   | 2.20, 5.80               |
| Hymenoptera  | 1.81 ± 0.91 | 1.99   | 0.29, 4.13               |
| Lepidoptera  | 0.84 ± 1.01 | 0.83   | -1.03, 3.26              |
Table S2. Estimates for glm(response~Land Use). Avocado as reference category

| Response                           | Land Use | Est ± SD   | t value | 95% Confidence Intervals |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Total visits                       | Dairy    | 1.08 ± 0.39| 2.74    | 0.34, 1.90               |
|                                    | Forest   | -0.55 ± 0.52| 1.07    | -1.62, 0.45             |
|                                    | Potato   | 0.281 ± 0.44| 0.64    | 0.07, 1.14              |
| Visitor species richness           | Dairy    | 0.92 ± 0.27| 3.44    | 0.41, 1.46              |
|                                    | Forest   | -0.18 ± 0.31| -0.59   | -0.79, 0.43            |
|                                    | Potato   | 0.60 ± 0.27| 2.20    | 0.07, 1.14             |
| Average proportion of fertilised pods | Dairy  | 0.22 ± 0.43| 0.52    | -0.62, 1.08            |
|                                    | Forest   | -1.39 ± 0.37| -3.84   | -2.11, -0.68          |
|                                    | Potato   | 0.44 ± 0.43| 1.03    | -0.39, 1.28           |
| Average seeds per pod              | Dairy    | 0.40 ± 0.20| 2.06    | 0.02, 0.79             |
|                                    | Forest   | -0.73 ± 0.18| -4.06   | -1.09, -0.38         |
|                                    | Potato   | 0.55 ± 0.17| 3.19    | 0.22, 0.90            |
Table S3. Estimates for ln(response~distance)

| Response                | Distance (Est ± SD) | Adj. R-squared | F (df)         | 95% Confidence Intervals |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Participation coefficient | 0.002 ± 0.003       | -0.003         | 0.521 (1,188) | -0.003, 0.007            |
| d’ (specialisation)    | -0.007 ± 0.003      | 0.019          | 4.593 (1,188) | -0.013, -0.001           |

Response = pairwise Euclidean dissimilarity between metrics per site

Distance = pairwise geographical distance between sites
Table S4. Model selection results for effects of pollinator community metrics on node metrics.

| Response                        | (Intrc) | AllVisits | Richness | Other | Hymenoptera | Lepidoptera | Coleoptera | Syrphidae | df  | logLik | AICc | delta | weight |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|-------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----|--------|------|-------|--------|
| Participation Coefficient      | 0.0793  |           |          |       |             |             |            |           |     | 11.474.| -15.4| 0      | 0.531  |
|                               | 0.02347 | 0.02868   |          |       |             |             |            |           |     | 9.929  | -12.4| 3.09   | 0.113  |
|                               | 0.07112 |           |          |       |             | 0.003152    |            |           |     | 9.907  | -12.3| 3.13   | 0.111  |
|                               | 0.1219  |           |          |       |             | 0.009191    |            |           |     | 9.752  | -12   | 3.44   | 0.095  |
|                               | 0.1568  |           |          |       |             |             |            |           |     | 7.686  | -10.7| 4.78   | 0.049  |
|                               | 0.08727 | 0.001219  |          |       |             |             |            |           |     | 9.013  | -10.5| 4.92   | 0.045  |
|                               | 0.1244  |           |          |       |             |             | 0.01082    |           |     | 8.924  | -10.3| 5.1    | 0.041  |
|                               | 0.1685  |           |          |       |             |             |            | -0.0007485|     | 7.829  | -8.2 | 7.29   | 0.014  |
| Participation Coefficient      | 0.602   |           |          |       |             |             |            |           |     | 4.114  | -3.5 | 0      | 0.32   |
|                               | 0.6569  |           |          |       |             |             |            | -0.002019 |     | 4.703  | -1.9 | 1.62   | 0.143  |
|                               | 0.6341  |           |          |       |             | -0.005137   |            |           |     | 4.499  | -1.5 | 2.02   | 0.116  |
|                               | 0.5843  |           |          |       |             | 0.001134    |            |           |     | 4.343  | -1.2 | 2.34   | 0.1    |
|                               | 0.6183  |           |          |       |             | -0.0002866  |            |           |     | 4.162  | -0.8 | 2.7    | 0.083  |
|                               | 0.6107  |           |          |       |             | -0.00188    |            |           |     | 4.12   | -0.7 | 2.78   | 0.08   |
|                               | 0.6002  |           |          |       |             | 0.0004684   |            |           |     | 4.117  | -0.7 | 2.79   | 0.079  |
|                               | 0.6011  |           |          |       |             | 0.0002928   |            |           |     | 4.115  | -0.7 | 2.79   | 0.079  |
Table S5. Model selection results for effects of landscape composition metrics on node metrics.

| Response                | (Intrc) | Same LU 250m | Same LU 100m | LU richness 250m | LU richness 100m | df | logLik | AICc | delta | weight |
|-------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|----|--------|------|-------|--------|
| Participation coefficient | 0.1568  |              |              |                  |                  | 2  | 7.686  | -10.7|       | 0.472  |
|                         | 0.09585 |              |              |                  |                  | 3  | 8.063  | -8.6 | 2.04  | 0.17   |
|                         | 0.2034  | 0.03936      |              |                  |                  | 3  | 7.738  | -8   | 2.69  | 0.123  |
|                         | 0.1643  | 0.002583     |              |                  |                  | 3  | 7.691  | -7.9 | 2.79  | 0.117  |
|                         | 0.1586  | -0.001839    |              |                  |                  | 3  | 7.686  | -7.9 | 2.79  | 0.117  |
| d'                      | 0.602   |              |              |                  |                  | 2  | 4.114  | -3.5 | 0     | 0.465  |
|                         | 0.3449  | 0.2755       |              |                  |                  | 3  | 4.389  | -1.3 | 2.24  | 0.151  |
|                         | 0.5301  | 0.02478      |              |                  |                  | 3  | 4.385  | -1.3 | 2.25  | 0.151  |
|                         | 0.6201  | -0.01171     |              |                  |                  | 3  | 4.137  | -0.8 | 2.75  | 0.118  |
|                         | 0.5889  | 0.01745      |              |                  |                  | 3  | 4.117  | -0.7 | 2.79  | 0.115  |