Losoxantrone (CI-941) is an anthrapyrazole cytotoxic drug that acts through DNA intercalation and topoisomerase II inhibition, resulting in single and double stranded DNA breaks (Fry et al., 1985; Showalter et al., 1987; Leteurtre et al., 1994). Unlike anthracyclines, it does not form reactive free radicals with NADPH, and therefore theoretically should have less propensity for cardiotoxicity through this mechanism (Graham et al., 1987). Losoxantrone demonstrated impressive cytotoxic activity in a broad range of tumour cell lines (Leopold et al., 1985). Accordingly, losoxantrone was selected for further development with the anticipated potential to replace anthracyclines through a more favourable therapeutic index.

Although anthracycline mediated cardiotoxicity is multifactorial, the major mechanism is likely free-radical-mediated myocyte damage (Doroshow, 1986; Kesavan et al., 1996). Although initial experiments in a foetal heart model showed less cardiotoxic effects than doxorubicin (Fagan et al., 1984; Graham et al., 1987; Fisher and Patterson, 1992), more recent toxicological data in hypertensive rats showed similar histological features and no significant differences in severity of cardiac damage in the two agents (Herman et al., 1998). In phase I trials the main dose-limiting toxicity was neutropenia, and a dose of 50 mg m\(^{-2}\) q3 weeks bolus infusion was recommended for further testing (Foster et al., 1992). Cardiotoxicity was reported to be uncommon. In phase II trials losoxantrone showed promising clinical activity against advanced breast cancer with a 43–63% response rate (Talbot et al., 1991; Calvert et al., 1994). In these studies, no clinically significant cardiac toxicity was observed. Therefore, further studies to develop losoxantrone were taken to identify active combinations with established agents like paclitaxel (Diab et al., 1999) and cyclophosphamide. Cyclophosphamide in combination with doxorubicin is at present the standard treatment for breast cancer. In addition, cyclophosphamide also has an important role in high dose chemotherapy with stem cell transplantation regimes. These were the basis for conducting this phase I trial of cyclophosphamide combined with losoxantrone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eligibility criteria

The study opened in October 1992 and closed in September 1996 to patient accrual. Patients 18 years or older with histologically or cytologically proven solid malignancies refractory to standard chemotherapy or for which no standard chemotherapy exists were eligible if they fulfilled the other entry criteria which included: World Health Organization (WHO) performance status 0–2, life expectancy at least 8 weeks, adequate renal (serum creatinine \(\leq 2\) mg dl\(^{-1}\)), hepatic (bilirubin \(\leq 1.5\) mg dl\(^{-1}\)), haematologic function (leukocytes \(\geq 3.0 \times 10^9\) l\(^{-1}\), absolute neutrophil count \(\geq 1.5 \times 10^9\) l\(^{-1}\), platelet count \(\geq 100 \times 10^9\) l\(^{-1}\)) and cardiac function (defined by baseline LVEF \(\geq 45\%\) by multiple gated acquisition scan with no decrease \(\geq 10\%\) in the recent 6 months). Patients with myocardial infarction in the past year, congestive cardiac failure, unstable angina, cardiomyopathy, ventricular arrhythmias on treatment or previous treatment with cumulative doses of doxorubicin more than 300 mg m\(^{-2}\) or mitoxantrone more than 125 mg m\(^{-2}\) were excluded. Patients should not have received cytotoxic, hormonal therapy or radiotherapy within 3 weeks of study treatment (6 weeks for nitrosoureas and mitomycin}
C) and should have recovered from drug-induced toxicity from these agents. Other exclusion criteria included severe active medical conditions, pregnancy or lactation. A signed written informed consent was obtained for all patients according to federal and institutional guidelines.

Treatment plan

Both drugs were administered by bolus intravenous infusions over 10 min each, with cyclophosphamide preceding losoxantrone. Treatment was repeated every 3 weeks until tumour progression or occurrence of unacceptable toxicity (as defined by an absolute fall in the left ventricular ejection fraction from baseline >15% or below 40% or grade 4 nonhaematological toxicity) or at the patient’s or physician’s discretion.

Cyclophosphamide dose was fixed at 500 mg m$^{-2}$ and losoxantrone dose was escalated from a starting dose of 30 mg m$^{-2}$ through 40, 45 and 50 mg m$^{-2}$. A minimum of three patients were treated at each dose level. Dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) was defined as grade 4 neutropenia lasting more than 5 days or associated with fever; grade 4 thrombocytopenia; or grade 3 or 4 non-haematological toxicity (excluding nausea and vomiting) occurring in the first or second cycle of treatment. If a DLT was observed, two additional patients were treated at that dose-level. Dose escalation proceeded only if less than three out of five patients had dose-limiting toxicity. The dose level at which three or more patients experienced DLT defined the maximum tolerated dose (MTD). No intrapatient dose escalation was allowed, but patients who experienced DLT were allowed further treatment if clinically indicated with dose reduction to the next lower level. Dose delay for recovery from toxicity was also allowed up to 3 weeks from the scheduled day of treatment. Three stages of the study were planned: Initial stage without G-CSF support, second stage with G-CSF support if neutropenia was dose-limiting, and single-agent losoxantrone with G-CSF support in the third stage. G-CSF was administered subcutaneously at 5 μg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ from day 3 of the cycle until neutrophil recovery past nadir to more than 1.5 × 10$^{9}$ l$^{-1}$.

Drug administration

Losoxantrone was supplied by DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company (Wilmington, DE, USA) in vials containing 25 mg of lyophilized powder. Each vial was reconstituted with 2.5 ml of sterile water. Each vial was reconstituted with 2.5 ml of sterile water. Losoxantrone was supplied by DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company, area-under-the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) extrapolated to infinity, terminal half-life (T1/2), mean residence time (MRT), volume of distribution at steady-state (Vss) and systemic clearance (CL) were calculated. Compartmental methods were used to fit losoxantrone concentrations using the software WINNONLIN (Pharsight Corporation, Cary, NC, USA). The pharmacokinetic parameters of losoxantrone from this combination study were compared (however, no statistical comparisons were made between these across study data) with those obtained in two single agent studies (Allen et al, 1991; Graham et al, 1992), and a study where losoxantrone was administered along with paclitaxel (Diab et al, 1999).

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

Pharmacokinetic sampling for losoxantrone plasma concentrations were obtained at the following time points during the first cycle of chemotherapy: at baseline and 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 65 min and at 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 14, 24, 30, 48, 72 and 96 h after the start of the losoxantrone infusion. The blood samples were drawn from an indwelling intravenous cannula from the arm contralateral to that used for drug infusion into plastic centrifuge tubes containing citrate buffer, and centrifuged at 3300 revolutions per minute for 15 min. The resulting plasma supernatant was then separated and stored at −20°C until analysis. Losoxantrone plasma levels were determined using a validated high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method (Graham et al, 1989). Calibration curves were linear within the concentration ranges relevant to this study, with precision and accuracy within 15% and mean extraction efficiency of 99.7 ± 12.7%.

Non-compartmental and compartmental methods were used to derive pharmacokinetic parameters for losoxantrone (Gibaldi and Perrier, 1982). Using the linear trapezoidal method as implemented in PK-IMS Version 2.0 software (DuPont Pharmaceuticals Company), area-under-the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC) extrapolated to infinity, terminal half-life (T1/2), mean residence time (MRT), volume of distribution at steady-state (Vss) and systemic clearance (CL) were calculated. Compartmental methods were used to fit losoxantrone concentrations using the software WINNONLIN (Pharsight Corporation, Cary, NC, USA). The pharmacokinetic parameters of losoxantrone from this combination study were compared (however, no statistical comparisons were made between these across study data) with those obtained in two single agent studies (Allen et al, 1991; Graham et al, 1992), and a study where losoxantrone was administered along with paclitaxel (Diab et al, 1999).

Pharmacodynamic analysis explored the relationship between losoxantrone exposure and toxicity or response. A sigmoidal $E_{max}$ model was used to fit the percentage change in neutrophils and the losoxantrone AUC using the equation:

\[
\% \text{ drop in ANC} = 100 \times \frac{\text{AUC}^\text{H}}{\text{AUC}^\text{H} + (\text{AUC}_{50})^\text{H}}
\]

where $H$ is the Hill constant that describes the sigmoidicity of the curve, $\text{AUC}_{50}$ is the area-under-the concentration-time curve at half-maximal effect. Percentage fall in LVEF was correlated with cumulative dose per square metre of losoxantrone and actual cumulative dose of losoxantrone using linear regression analysis. The F statistic was tested to determine the significance of correlation.

RESULTS

Forty-nine patients enrolled in the study, of which 46 were evaluable for toxicity; their characteristics are shown in Table 1. Two ineligible patients did not receive treatment after enrolment due to withdrawal of consent and one was ineligible on the day of first treatment due to elevation of bilirubin. The patient population consisted of patients who were fairly heavily pretreated with chemotherapy, of which seven patients had received prior anthracycline therapy; five patients had prior doxorubicin (maximum 240 mg m$^{-2}$), one patient had liposomal doxorubicin 1200 mg m$^{-2}$, and one patient had received 44 mg m$^{-2}$ of mitoxantrone. Ninety-five cycles of treatment were administered with a median of two cycles per patient over 12 dose levels of losoxantrone, ranging from 30 to 150 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone (Table 2). The cumulative dose of losoxantrone ranged from 60 to 570 mg m$^{-2}$, with a median of 225 mg m$^{-2}$.

Haematological toxicity (Table 2)

Table 2 shows the grade 3 or 4 haematological toxicities at all the dose levels. Dose-limiting neutropenia was observed at doses of 45
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and 50 mg m$^{-2}$, with three out of seven patients and two out of three patients experiencing grade 4 neutropenia lasting more than 5 days, respectively. Therefore the MTD was reached at 45 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone, which is close to its recommended single agent dose. G-CSF ameliorated neutropenia and allowed significant further escalation of losoxantrone dose to 125 mg m$^{-2}$, at which three out of five patients developed febrile neutropenia which defined this dose as the new MTD. The next lower dose cohort treated at 110 mg m$^{-2}$ was expanded to include a total of eight patients, of which three fulfilled the criteria for DLT; two experienced grade 4 neutropenia lasting more than 5 days and one patient had febrile neutropenia. Two further dose levels of losoxantrone without cyclophosphamide, 125 and 150 mg m$^{-2}$ were studied, but the study was terminated before the MTD was reached because of a sponsor decision. However, both patients treated at 150 mg m$^{-2}$ experienced DLT, one with febrile neutropenia and the other with grade 3 fatigue. No DLTs were observed at the next lower dose of 125 mg m$^{-2}$ without cyclophosphamide. Anaemia was more frequent at the higher dose levels, with two patients developing grade 3 anaemia at 110 and 125 mg m$^{-2}$ respectively. Grade 3 thrombocytopenia occurred in one patient at 95 and 110 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone, respectively. A dose intensity analysis using data from at least two cycles of treatment showed that 87–91% of the scheduled dose intensity of losoxantrone could be administered at 80 and 95 mg m$^{-2}$ respectively, supporting the tolerability of these doses (Hryniuk, 1996).

### Nonhaematological toxicity

Fatigue, nausea and vomiting grade 2 or less were observed at most dose levels and ameliorated by symptomatic measures. Grade 3 fatigue was experienced by one patient at 150 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone. A serious but previously unreported toxicity was interstitial lung disease in a 73-year old male patient with metastatic prostatic cancer with no evidence of pulmonary metastases or prior thoracic radiotherapy. He was treated at a dose of 110 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone (cumulative dose of losoxantrone of 330 mg m$^{-2}$) and developed progressive dyspnea, fever, and dry cough 1 week after the third dose. High resolution CT scan of the lung showed diffuse bilateral upper lobe alveolar infiltrates. Transbronchial biopsy did not reveal any infective etiology. Despite steroids and oxygen treatment, the pulmonary process progressed and he died from respiratory failure 3 months after the last dose of losoxantrone. An autopsy performed showed diffuse alveolar damage.

### Cardiac toxicity

Twenty-three patients had paired MUGA assessments, having received at least two cycles of chemotherapy treatment. Figure 1 shows the change of the LVEF as a function of the cumulative dose of losoxantrone. Drop in the left ventricular ejection fraction of more than 10% from the baseline was observed in seven (30%) patients at dose levels 50, 65, 125 and 150 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone. In one patient, clinically apparent cardiac failure developed 2 months after cessation of losoxantrone treatment. This patient was a 48-year old female with thyroid cancer and no prior anthracycline therapy who received a cumulative dose of 570 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone, the highest in this study. Transthoracic echocardiogram showed an estimated LVEF of 20% and global hypokinesia, which was a significant drop from the baseline of 59%. Linear

### Table 1 Patient characteristics (n=46)

| Characteristic                  | Number |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Age Median (range)              | 55 (40 – 77) |
| Sex M                           | 32     |
| F                               | 14     |
| PS 0                            | 24     |
| 1                               | 18     |
| 2                               | 4      |
| No. of doses                    | 32     |
| Median (range)                  | (1 – 8) |
| Colon                           | 13     |
| Prostate                        | 5      |
| Head and neck                   | 1      |
| Thyroid                         | 1      |
| Unknown primary                 | 3      |
| Bile duct/gallbladder           | 2      |
| NSCLC                           | 7      |
| Leiomyosarcoma                  | 1      |
| SCLC                            | 3      |
| Bladder                         | 1      |
| Breast                          | 1      |
| Liver                           | 2      |
| Mesothelioma                    | 1      |
| Gastric                         | 1      |
| Thyroid                         | 2      |
| Soft tissue sarcoma             | 1      |
| Oesophageal                     | 1      |

### Table 2 Worst haematological toxicity by dose level – number of patients (grade of toxicity)

| Dose level of losoxantrone | Number of patients evaluable | Leucocytes | Neutrophils | Platelets | Hb | DLTs |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|----|------|
| 30                          | 3                            | 1(3)       | 1(3)        |           |    |      |
| 40                          | 3                            | 1(3), 1(4) | 1(3), 1(4)  |           |    |      |
| 45                          | 7                            | 3(3), 3(4) | 1(3), 5(4)  | 1(3)      | 3  | Gr 3 |
| 50                          | 3                            | 2(3)       | 2(4)        |           |    |      |
| 50G                         | 3                            |            |             |           |    |      |
| 65G                         | 3                            | 1(3)       |             |           |    |      |
| 80G                         | 3                            | 1(4)       | 1(4)        |           |    |      |
| 95G                         | 3                            | 2(3), 1(4) | 1(3), 2(4)  | 1(3)      | 1  | Gr 3 |
| 110G                        | 8                            | 1(3), 7(4) | 8(4)        | 1(3)      | 2  | Gr 3 |
| 125G                        | 5                            | 2(4)       | 1(3), 2(4)  |           |    |      |
| 125G*                       | 3                            | 1(3), 2(4) | 1(3), 2(4)  |           |    |      |
| 150G*                       | 2                            | 1(3), 1(4) | 2(4)        |           |    |      |

Gr=grade. G=granulocyte-colony stimulating factor. *Losoxantrone without cyclophosphamide.
regression analysis showed a significant relationship between cumulative dose per m² \( (r=0.62, P=0.002) \) and actual dose \( (r=0.55, P=0.007) \) with percentage change in LVEF. However, this positive relationship was strongly dependent on the data point of the patient with severe cardiac failure at a cumulative dose of 570 mg m⁻² of losoxantrone. There was no significant relationship between the change in LVEF and the cumulative dose of prior anthracycline therapy \( (r=0.14, P=0.2) \).

Response

No objective responses were observed in this study. Only one patient had breast cancer that had prior treatment with cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil and paclitaxel, and the patient had progressive disease after two treatment cycles on this study.

Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analyses

First cycle losoxantrone pharmacokinetics were studied in 31 patients; all dose levels were studied except doses above 110 mg m⁻². The pharmacokinetic parameters of losoxantrone are listed in Table 3. A three compartmental model with intravenous infusion input was found to optimally fit data for estimating the half-lives \( t_{1/2} \), \( t_{1/2} \beta \) and \( t_{1/2} \gamma \); and the central compartment volume of distribution (Vc). Losoxantrone pharmacokinetics were characterised by a rapid distribution phase into tissue with a \( t_{1/2} \) of \( ~6–8 \) min, \( t_{1/2} \beta \) of \( ~1 \) h and with a prolonged terminal half-life \( t_{1/2} \gamma \) of \( ~36 \) h. Clearance was rapid (average of \( 526±190 \) ml min m⁻² and range of 442 to 735 ml min m⁻²), and steady state volume of distribution was large (average of \( 989±583 \) l m⁻² and range of 771 to 1465 l m⁻²). There was large interpatient variability (CV ranged from 30 to 60%) in the pharmacokinetics. Clearance appeared to be linear across dose levels, as clearance did not correlate with dose \( (r=0.16, P=0.38) \). A sigmoidal Emax model was used to demonstrate the correlation between the per cent change in neutrophil count at nadir in the first cycle and losoxantrone AUC, with and without G-CSF support. As shown (Figure 2), there was a shift of the curve to the right with G-CSF support, with approximately tripling in the value of AUC in from 464 to 1474 ng h ml⁻¹.

DISCUSSION

Neutropenia is the dose-limiting toxicity of a 3-weekly short infusion schedule of cyclophosphamide and losoxantrone in this study. We found that with a fixed dose of cyclophosphamide of 500 mg m⁻², a losoxantrone dose of 45 mg m⁻² appeared to be tolerable. G-CSF support allowed significant dose escalation of losoxantrone beyond its single-agent recommended phase II dose. MTD was reached at a dose of 125 mg m⁻² of losoxantrone, with

![Figure 1](https://example.com/f1.png) Plot of cumulative dose of losoxantrone against % change in left ventricular ejection fraction. Solid line represents the line of best fit.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/f2.png) Sigmoidal Emax model of % change in neutrophil count at nadir vs AUC of losoxantrone.

| Parameter* | Losoxantrone dose (mg m⁻²) in combination with 500 mg m⁻² cyclophosphamide | Losoxantrone dose (mg m⁻²) in combination with 500 mg m⁻² cyclophosphamide and G-CSF |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AUC        | 1.1±0.2, 1.5±0.9, 2.0±1.0, 1.5±0.6 | 20±0.5, 2.1±0.7, 3.1±0.1, 2.3±0.6, 40±0.2 |
| CL         | 1482±1008, 973±257, 455±222, 614±281 | 819±346, 571±179, 470±158, 735±171, 497±155 |
| Vc         | 50±29, 27±8, 32±17, 30±17 | 50±29, 27±8, 32±17, 30±17 |
| T1/2        | 51±23, 33±10, 40±9, 41±19 | 41±8, 34±4, 33±24, 24±2, 26±2 |
| MRT         | 10.0±0.06, 0.13±0.02, 0.16±0.02, 0.15±0.01 | 0.14±0.03, 0.10±0.06, 0.18±0.02, 0.04±0.02, 0.16±0.09 |
| Vc         | 140±5.4, 145±6.2, 159±10.1, 142±5.7 | 122±4.9, 125±8.8, 17.1±1.3, 87±11.6, 17.1±5.9 |

*Values are expressed and mean ± s.d. \( ^{1} \)Half-lives are expressed as harmonic mean ± pseudo s.d. of the jack-knife variance.
three patients out of a cohort of five patients experiencing DLTs (neutropenic fever) based on toxicities observed in the first two cycles. The next lower dose was therefore expanded to include eight evaluable patients. However, myelosuppression was also significant at this dose level, and one death occurred after the third cycle due to interstitial lung disease possibly attributable temporally to chemotherapy. According to the definition of DLT in this study, three of these toxic events were considered dose-limiting: two patients developing grade 4 neutropenia lasting more than 5 days and one patient with febrile neutropenia. Dose levels of 95 and 80 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone were tolerated based on limited number of patients treated. On this basis, we recommend a losoxantrone dose of 95 mg m$^{-2}$ when combined with cyclophosphamide at a dose of 500 mg m$^{-2}$ and G-CSF support for further studies in phase II clinical trials. However, because the study was terminated early due to a decision made by the sponsor, we did not have the opportunity to gain more experience with these dose levels. Without cyclophosphamide but with G-CSF, the limited toxicity data on the three patients treated at 125 mg m$^{-2}$ of losoxantrone suggested tolerability at this dose. Therefore, G-CSF allows significant extension of the therapeutic index of losoxantrone.

Uncommon but severe delayed nonhaematological toxicities were encountered, consisting of one patient with overt cardiac failure and another with acute alveolitis respectively. Although it was not definite that losoxantrone was solely responsible for the acute respiratory failure, histological changes found at autopsy was reported as consistent with drug-induced alveolar damage.

Anthracenediones like mitoxantrone were developed as part of the anthracycline analogue programme to identify agents with less cardiotoxicity that maintained antitumour activity (Murdock et al, 1979). Mitoxantrone lacked the sugar moiety of anthracyclines, and was selected on the basis of reduced capacity for free radical production (Doroshow and Davis, 1983; Kharasch and Novak, 1983; Nguyen and Gutierrez, 1990). However, subsequent clinical studies reported cardiotoxicity clearly associated with mitoxantrone use (Unverferth et al, 1983; Coleman et al, 1984; Mather et al, 1987). Anthrapyrazoles were also developed along this same strategy of reduced cardiotoxicity through biochemical structural modification. However, the first developed anthrapyrazole, piroxantrone, was reported to have significant cardiotoxicity in phase II trials in breast cancer (Ingle et al, 1994). In a phase II study of an anthrapyrazole piroxantrone conducted by the Southwest Oncology Group in advanced sarcoma, five of 23 patients had reduced cardiac output after treatment, of which one was fatal from congestive cardiac failure (Zalupski et al, 1993). Losoxantrone has also been shown to be potentially cardiotoxic in clinical trials, both as a single agent and in combination with paclitaxel. No relationship could be demonstrated between cumulative doses of losoxantrone and change in LVEF in these prior reports. In our study, a correlation between cumulative dose of losoxantrone and reduction in LVEF was found. There are statistical flaws in this analysis, as the trial was not designed to elucidate the effect of losoxantrone dose on changes in LVEF, the sample size was limited, most patients only had two doses of treatment, and that patients would have stopped the drug if a significant drop in LVEF had been detected. Above this, the relationship was also dependent on a single outlier. Therefore, it is too preliminary to conclude that cardiac toxicity from losoxantrone results from cumulative exposure, and to suggest a cumulative dose at which this occurs. However, this data we present would support the existing suspicion that losoxantrone may also be cardiotoxic with cumulative doses. Therefore, anthrapyrazoles have thus far not fulfilled the role of replacing doxorubicin as an active DNA intercalator with minimal or no cardiotoxicity.

The pharmacokinetics of losoxantrone in this study were similar to previous reports. Overall losoxantrone pharmacokinetics was characterized by rapid plasma clearance and a slow rate of elimination suggesting extensive tissue distribution. There was significant interindividual variability in clearance at the various dose levels, as was noted in previous phase I clinical trials of losoxantrone, with or without paclitaxel. No evidence of saturation in kinetics was observed over the range of losoxantrone doses studied. In a single agent phase I study in 23 patients (Graham et al, 1992), where losoxantrone dose ranging from 5–55 mg m$^{-2}$ was administered, the plasma clearance averaged 417±194 ml min m$^{-2}$. In another single agent study in 13 patients (Allen et al, 1991), where losoxantrone dose ranged from 4–36 mg m$^{-2}$, the plasma clearance averaged 220±89 ml min m$^{-2}$. In the current study where losoxantrone was given with cyclophosphamide, clearance averaged 526±190 ml min m$^{-2}$ and was linear over the dose range of 30 to 110 mg m$^{-2}$. In another phase I study of paclitaxel at 135 mg m$^{-2}$ and losoxantrone at 40 mg m$^{-2}$, 13 patients were studied and the clearance of losoxantrone was 341 ml min m$^{-2}$ (Diab et al, 1999). These wide discrepancies in the clearance of losoxantrone are likely due to large interindividual variability. Indeed, this variability in losoxantrone clearance contributed to the unsuccessful utilization of pharmacokinetically guided dose escalation in the dose escalation process in the single agent phase I studies (Graham et al, 1992). The reason for the larger interindividual variability of pharmacokinetics is not obvious and deserves further study. Possible contribution from polymorphisms of metabolizing enzymes like the glutathione-S-transferases which are known to exhibit polymorphism and are involved in cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin metabolism, or variability in protein or tissue binding deserves further study.

The per cent reduction in neutrophil nadir could be fit in a sigmoidal E$_{max}$ model against AUC. Using this model, we could show that addition of G-CSF allowed an approximately triple AUC$_{50}$ in a sigmoidal E$_{max}$ model of the per cent reduction of neutrophil nadir against AUC. This effect of G-CSF in improving the therapeutic index of the combination may suggest a possible future role for this combination in high dose chemotherapy protocols. Further investigation of this appears warranted and may revive interest in losoxantrone.
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