Bio-signature of Ultraviolet-Radiation-Resistant Extremophiles from Elevated Land
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Abstract Microorganisms with the ability to survive high doses of radiation are known as radiation-resistant extremophiles. This study attempts to demonstrate the diversity of microorganisms resistant to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) in the natural environment in order to investigate the molecular and physiological mechanisms by which these microorganisms survive under extreme radiation. We hypothesized that topsoil from elevated land (hills) would reveal a diverse variety of UVR-resistant extremophiles with modulated proteins/enzymes. A total of 10 different UV-C (UV subtype-C)-resistant extremophiles—UVP1, UVP3, UVP4, UVRI, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20hr, YLP1, and BR2—were isolated and identified using 16S rRNA sequences for nearest homologues. All the isolates showed prolonged resistance against UV-C: 3.44 x 10⁵ - 2.74 x 10⁶ J/m². Phylogenetic analysis between and within the UVR isolates revealed their relationship with other soil microorganisms using different outgroups. A unique pattern of protein expression at 25-50kDa was observed on SDS-PAGE under UVR and non-UVR from six prominent UVR isolates. Current studies are finding extreme UV-C-resistant in naturally occurring microorganisms found in stress-free environments.
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1. Introduction

Some habitats have environmental conditions, e.g. acidic, alkaline, high and low temperatures including intense ultraviolet radiation (UVR) requiring extreme endurance from the microorganisms that colonize them. Microorganisms thriving under these environments are referred as extremophiles [1,2,3]. Radiation prone environment (e.g. ultraviolet type C or gamma radiation) could be a good source for microorganisms with altered phenotypic and genotypic characteristics [2,3]. A number of physiological and biochemical processes have been reported to be affected by UVR [2,3,4]. In bacteria, UVR-mediated stress may increase resistance to the error-prone repair mechanism that led to cellular survival in the presence of unrepaiMed lesions during DNA replication [5,6].

UVR causes a variety of harmful health effects including premature aging and skin cancer in humans [2,7]. Genomic redundancy is supposed to be good for radiation resistance [8]. In addition, the oxidative damages due to UVR led to damage of vital biomolecules, including proteins, in most cellular types. However, some bacteria adapt to survive under extreme irradiation, which is otherwise lethal to the environment. In the past UVR-resistant bacteria that have been isolated include Bacillus horneckiae, isolated from spacecraft assembly [9], Hymenobacter tibetensis [10], Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Exiguobacterium sp., and Staphylococcus sp. [11]. Recent studies has shown occurrence of UV-C tolerant bacteria on ground [48]. However, the diversity of UVR-resistant bacterial on ground is yet to be explored.

Microbial ability to survive in extreme UVR is reviewed to connect with their genome stability [2,41,47,49]. Several other UVR-resistant bacteria have been revealed to produce metabolites of primary and secondary metabolism in their defense [2,12]. However, these metabolites have yet to be investigated for their mechanistic intervention. The modern biotechnological applications may assist to pinpoint the microbial strategy of self-engineering to withstand under extreme UVR. Hence, it is required to demonstrate the diversity of UVR-resistant microorganisms from the natural environment on earth to investigate the physiological mechanisms by which they survive under extreme UVR. We hypothesized that the elevated land (hills) would reveal a diverse variety of UVR-resistant extremophiles with modulated proteins/enzymes. Therefore, we aimed to isolate and characterize the diversity of microorganisms resistant to UVR subtype C (UV-C) in soil at the Tracy Ridge recreational area located in Northern Pennsylvania, USA, 2245 ft above the sea level. The 16S rRNA and detailed
biochemical characteristics of the 10 isolates demonstrated unique diversity of UVR extremophiles among other soil microorganisms. Further, studies showed prolonged survival among six UV-C isolates with unique protein expression profiles.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Isolation of UVR-resistant Extremophiles

The UVR-resistant microorganisms were isolated as described by Gabani et al. [13]. Briefly, the soil samples collected at the Tracy Ridge recreation area, 2245 feet above the sea level, in the Allegheny National Forest of northwestern Pennsylvania, USA in the month of August. The soil samples (1g) were enriched aerobically in a wide-mouthed glass bowls (105 x 40 mm) in 50 mL nutrient broth (NB) medium, at 37°C. The bowls were exposed to germicidal UV light subtype C (UVC) at an intensity of 9.5 W/m². The enriched soil samples were subjected to germicidal UV light subtype C (UVC) at an intensity of 9.5 W/m². The enriched soil samples were subjected to serial dilutions, and determined for Colony Forming Unit (CFU)/mL on nutrient agar (NA) medium. After exposure to UVC, the rate of survival was determined at regular time intervals at 9.5 J/m²/sec using CFU. The UVR-resistant microorganisms were obtained at UV exposure 3.44 x 10⁵ J/m² to 4.10 x 10⁶ J/m² and were designated as UVP1, UVP3, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20hr, YLP1, and BR2. After UV exposure, the growth of individual isolates were determined at OD₆₀₀. 16S rRNA sequencing and biochemical analysis was performed to identify and characterize the UVR isolates for their phylogenetic relationship among other closest relatives.

2.2. Sequence Alignments and Phylogenetic Tree Analyses

The genomics DNA from single cell purified colonies was extracted using PureLink™ Genomic DNA MiniKit K1820-01 (Invitrogen Corp., USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. The 16S rRNA gene sequences from UVR resistance isolates were amplified using universal primer (F-518: CCAGCAGCGGCGGTATACG, R-800: TACCCAGGTATCTCAATCC) and sequenced at Macrogen Service Center (Rockville, MD, USA). The obtained sequences were computed for closest relatives from EzTaxon Server Version 2.1 (www.eztaxon.org) and Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) release 10 (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/index.jsp). The phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor-joining method (NJ) with pairwise deletion of gaps in the RDP database. The NJ method was used to construct the phylogenetic trees and study the diversity among UVR resistance extremophiles with naturally occurring microorganisms.

2.3. Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers

The 16S rRNA sequences of all pure cultures were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers JQ348903, JQ348901, JQ348902, KC866375, KC866376, KC866377, KC866378, KC866379, KC866380, KC866381 for Cellulosimicrobium cellulans UVR1, Enterobacter sp.UVP3, Bacillus pumilus UVP4, Raoultella planticola UVR1, Bacillus stratosphericus UVR3, Aeromonas eucrenophila UVR4, Arthrobacter myosorens UVR5a, Micrococcus yunnanensis UV20hr, Stenotrophomonas YLP1, and Brevundimonas olei BR2 respectively.

2.4. Morphological and Physiological Characterization

Isolates were examined for colony morphology on NA medium at 37°C for 3-5 days. The cellular morphology was investigated using light microscopy (Zeiss) at x1000 magnification. The mobility motility among isolates was determined as described by Skerman [14]. Gram reaction was determined using the Gram Stain Kit (Carolina Biological Supply, Burlington, NC, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. The biochemical test i.e. Physiological tests [15], catalase activity and urea hydrolysis [16], hydrolisis of casein, gelatin, elastin, Tween 80 and starch [17] were performed in respective methods. The oxidase activity [17], acid production using bromocresol purple as an acid/base indicator was also determined [18]. The antibiotics sensitivity was tested using antibiotic-susceptibility discs supplied by (Carolina Biological Supply, Burlington, NC, USA). Isolates UVP1, UVP3, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20HR, YLP1, and BR2 were subjected to other biochemical tests using the Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology [19], shown in the supplementary material (S1).

2.5. UV Radiation Tolerance

The UVR resistance among isolates was characterized as described by Gabani et al. [13]. Briefly, the seed cultures (1%) of each isolate (OD₆₀₀ 1.25) were inoculated in a glass bowl (105 x 40 mm) containing 50 mL NB medium. The medium was exposed to germicidal UV-C lamp at radiation dosages of 3.44 x 10⁵ J/m² to 4.10 x 10⁶ J/m² at 37°C. Under sterile condition, the culture medium then transferred in to 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask and incubated in dark at 120 rpm at 37°C. The microbial growth was monitored at regular time intervals at OD₆₀₀. Three sets of experiments were accompanied with controls performed under similar conditions for UV- and non-UV exposure, selecting UV-sensitive E. coli as the control.

2.6. SDS-PAGE of UV-modulated Protein Expression

The protein modulation among UVR resistant extremophiles was characterized as described by Gabani et al. [13]. Briefly, total soluble protein was extracted and purified using a B-PER bacterial protein extraction reagent kit from Pierce (IL, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions from UV and non-UV exposed microorganisms. The soluble protein in cellular lysate was determined for total protein concentration using a RC DC protein assay kit (BIO-Rad, CA, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions. Protein samples were aliquoted and stored at -80°C until used. Required sample of total protein (75µg) was denatured in sample buffer (60 mM Tris (pH 6.8), 25% glycerol, 2%SDS, 14.4mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.1% bromophenol blue). The samples were cooled on ice and centrifuges prior loading
on to 10% Tris-glycine gel along with pre-strained molecular weight marker. Gel electrophoresis was performed using the Bio-Rad Mini Protein gel system at a constant voltage of 60 V for 30 min followed by 120V for 120 min or until the blue dye front reached the bottom of the gel. The resolved proteins were visualized by standard gel staining procedure using Coomassie Brilliant Blue dye (BIO-Rad, CA, USA) and imaged by regular HP scanner for further analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Occurrence of Microorganisms at Higher Altitudes and UVR

Following long-term exposure of the soil samples to 9.5 J/m²/sec UV-C radiation, the overall number of bacterial colony-forming units (CFUs) in samples exposed to UVR was significantly lower than in the samples not exposed to UV-C radiation over the whole study period (*P < 0.01) (Figure 1). The initial dose of UV-C (3.44 x 10⁴ J/m²) was found to be the most lethal (3.27 x 10⁵ CFUs under UV-C vs. 6.60 x 10¹⁴ CFUs under no radiation). CFU counts of UVR-resistant organisms consistently increased up to 6.89 x 10⁵ J/m² and stayed nearly constant up to 1.54 x 10⁶ J/m² UV-C exposures; however, the CFUs significantly decreased at 2.06 x 10⁶ J/m² of UV-C and beyond (Figure 1).

The average survivability of the UVR-resistant organisms was found to be 0.12% over the course of UV exposure at 4.10 x 10⁶ J/m². The average survivability peaked at 7.43% with 6.89 x 10¹⁵ J/m² UV-C dose.

The soil from the elevated land (Tracy Ridge recreation area) contained a wide diversity of UV-C-resistant microorganisms. Based on their physical appearances (i.e., color and colony morphology), a total of 10 different microorganisms were isolated from the nutrient-broth-enriched soil samples under UV-C and designated as UVP1, UVP3, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20hr, YLP1, and BR2 over a wide range of UV-C dosage (Table 1). The microbial flora in identified microbial community from elevated land contained microorganisms resistant to a wide range of UV-C radiation ranging from 3.44 x 10⁵ J/m² to 4.10 x 10⁶ J/m². The strain UVR1 was found to be the most resistant to UV-C radiation at 4.10 x 10⁶ J/m² dosage.

Table 1. 16S rRNA sequence homologues of Ultraviolet radiation (UV subtype –C) resistant isolates from elevated land

| Isolates | UVR resistance (J/m²) | GenBank Accession Number | Closest related Species | 16S rRNA similarity Score* |
|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| UVP1     | 1.03 x 10⁵ J/m²        | JQ348903                 | Cellulosimicrobium cellulans | 0.998                    |
| UVP3     | 1.03 x 10⁵ J/m²        | JQ348901                 | Enterobacter sp.        | 0.998                    |
| UVP4     | 3.44 x 10⁵ J/m²        | JQ348902                 | Bacillus pumilus        | 0.999                    |
| UVR1     | 4.10 x 10⁵ J/m²        | KC866375                 | Raoultella planticola   | 1.000                    |
| UVR3     | 2.74 x 10⁵ J/m²        | KC866376                 | Bacillus stratosphericus| 1.000                    |
| UVR4     | 1.54 x 10⁶ J/m²        | KC866377                 | Aeromonas eucrenophila  | 0.998                    |
| UVR5a    | 6.84 x 10⁵ J/m²        | KC866378                 | Arthrobacter myorens    | 0.996                    |
| UV20HR   | 6.84 x 10⁵ J/m²        | KC866379                 | Micrococcus yunnanensis | 0.997                    |
| YLP1     | 2.05 x 10⁶ J/m²        | KC866380                 | Stenotrophomonas sp.    | 0.967                    |
| BR2      | 1.54 x 10⁶ J/m²        | KC866381                 | Brevundimonas olei      | 0.995                    |

*Based on Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) release 10 (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/index.jsp)

Microbial life is known to be transported across the globe via atmospheric strata, therefore it is common to observe a variety of microbial life in different atmospheric layers, mostly radiation-prone environment [20,21]. Microorganisms (i.e. Bacteria and fungi) have been found at altitudes of up to 85 km [22], stratospheric air samples collected at 41 km [23] and 24 km above the earth [24]. A number of microbial species have been isolated from the NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratories Spacecraft Assembly Facility (JPL-SAF) [25], and Mars Odyssey spacecraft [26]. Microorganism Cellulosimicrobium cellulans was isolated from Antarctic snow and showed marked variability in its cellular composition and metabolic capabilities compared to its mesophilic counterpart [27].

In order to develop new therapies, it is vital to study organisms that can thrive under extreme UVR on Earth. UVR-resistant microorganisms have shown tremendous stable biotechnological implications [2,3,29,30]. The short exposure of UV is generally known for random mutagenesis, however microbial reversion may produce unstable mutants with commercially viability. The prolonged exposure to UVR in certain microorganisms may bring stable changes to their genomes, creating resistance by modifying their metabolic routes to thrive in a high-UVR environment.
Figure 2. Growth of selective UVR resistant isolates. The isolates UVP1, UVP4, UVR1, UVR4, YLP1, BR2, UVP3, UVR3, UVR5a, and UV20HR were grown in nutrient broth after exposing under UV-C radiation dosage of $1.03 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, $3.44 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^2$, $4.10 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, $1.54 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, $2.05 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, $1.54 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^2$, $1.03 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, $2.74 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, $6.84 \times 10^6 \text{ J/m}^2$, and $6.84 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^2$. The growth of isolates under UV-C (red), non-UV (blue) including UV sensitive *E. coli* as a control under UV-C (purple) and non-UV (green) was determined at regular interval at OD$_{600}$.

The limits of UV tolerance were investigated in natural soil microbial flora (bacteria) found in the Tracy Ridge recreational area in Pennsylvania, USA (Figure 1, Figure 2). The Tracy Ridge recreational area is only 2245 ft above sea level and thus not a good environment for high dosages of UV-C radiation. The isolates presented here may have accumulated mutations through natural causes (solar radiation, seasonal changes, etc.) that allow them to withstand higher levels of UV-C despite the absence of the selective pressure of high UVR in their natural habitat. Microorganisms resistant to UVR have previously been found in samples from very high elevations such as the Laguna Azul [31], aquatic environments, halophilic environments [8], and spacecraft assembly [26,32].
However, for the first time, current studies are finding UV-C-resistant microorganisms in stress-free environments.

3.2. Tolerance and Survivability of UVR-Resistant Extremophiles

Radiation tolerance among the isolates was determined by exposing each isolate to the UV-C radiation dosage at which they were isolated: UVP1 at 1.03 x 10^6 J/m², UVP4 at 3.44 x 10^3 J/m², etc. (Table 1). The growth was measured (OD₆₀₀) at regular intervals for 24 hours (Figure 2). The UV tolerance of each isolate was compared to that of unexposed isolates and the growth of UV-sensitive E. coli. A typical Lag, Exponential, Stationary, and Decline (LESD) curve of microbial growth was observed for all the isolates, both exposed and unexposed to UV-C radiation (Figure 2). Varied lag phases (2-10 hrs) were observed among the isolates. The culture of UV-sensitive E. coli did not grow under UV irradiation, but showed a normal LESD curve under non-UVC conditions.

It is likely that tolerance of UVR in different microbial types depends on their strategies for adapting to the slow progression of radiation prone environment. Of the types of solar radiation UV-C (180-280 nm) is eliminated by the stratospheric ozone layer and UV-B (280-320 or 315 nm) reaches partway to the surface, while there is complete exposure to UV-A (315-400 nm). However, the steady increase in greenhouse gases and ozone depletion in the stratosphere is enforcing further microbial adaptation in exposure to UV-A (315-400 nm). However, the steady increase in greenhouse gases and ozone depletion in the stratosphere is enforcing further microbial adaptation in exposure to UV-A (315-400 nm). The isolates obtained under UV-C irradiation were characterized and identified according to their closest homologue from the 16S rRNA gene that was amplified and sequenced from genomic DNA. The 16S rRNA sequencing revealed 947 bp, 957 bp, 954 bp, 526 bp, 954 bp, 530 bp, 961 bp, 959 bp, 948 bp, and 471 bp sequences of UVP1, UVP3, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20HR, YLP1, and BR2 respectively. The sequences were compared with those of other closely related taxa retrieved from GenBank in the ribosomal database and the EzTaxon online search engine. The 16S rRNA sequences’ homology and topology of the phylogenetic tree indicated that the radiation-resistant isolates—UVP1, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20HR, YLP1, and BR2—were phylogenetically related to the members of the family Promicromonosporaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Bacillaceae, Aeromonadaceae, Micrococaceae (UVR5a and UV20HR), Xanthomonadaceae, and Caulobacteraceae, respectively (Figure 3A-J). Isolates UVP3 and YLP1 had the nearest relationship with Enterobacter sp. and Stenotrophomonas sp., respectively (Figure 3B and I). The phylogenetic analysis revealed prominent phylogenetic relationships among isolates using three common extremophiles as outgroups (Salmonibacterium lutum, Saccharoroccus thermophilis, and Bacillus subtilis) (Figure 4A-C). 16S rRNA sequences of each isolate were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers JQ348903, JQ348901, JQ348902, KC866375, KC866376, KC866377, KC866378, KC866379, KC866380, and KC866381 for Cellulosimicrobium cellulans UVP1, Enterobacter sp. UVP3, Bacillus pumilus UVP4, Raoultella planticola UVR1, Bacillus stratosphericus UVR3, Aeromonas eucrenophila UVR4, Arthrobacter myersonis UVR5a, Micrococcus yunnanensis UV20hr, Stenotrophomonas YLP1, and Brevundimonas olei BR2 respectively.

3.3. Identification and Phylogenetic Relationship of UVR-resistant Organisms

The isolates obtained under UV-C irradiation were characterized and identified according to their closest homologue from the 16S rRNA gene that was amplified and sequenced from genomic DNA. The 16S rRNA sequencing revealed 947 bp, 957 bp, 954 bp, 526 bp, 954 bp, 530 bp, 961 bp, 959 bp, 948 bp, and 471 bp sequences of UVP1, UVP3, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20HR, YLP1, and BR2 respectively. The sequences were compared with those of other closely related taxa retrieved from GenBank in the ribosomal database and the EzTaxon online search engine. The 16S rRNA sequences’ homology and topology of the phylogenetic tree indicated that the radiation-resistant isolates—UVP1, UVP4, UVR1, UVR3, UVR4, UVR5a, UV20HR, YLP1, and BR2—were phylogenetically related to the members of the family Promicromonosporaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Bacillaceae, Aeromonadaceae, Micrococaceae (UVR5a and UV20HR), Xanthomonadaceae, and Caulobacteraceae, respectively (Figure 3A-J). Isolates UVP3 and YLP1 had the nearest relationship with Enterobacter sp. and Stenotrophomonas sp., respectively (Figure 3B and I). The phylogenetic analysis revealed prominent phylogenetic relationships among isolates using three common extremophiles as outgroups (Salmonibacterium lutum, Saccharoroccus thermophilis, and Bacillus subtilis) (Figure 4A-C). 16S rRNA sequences of each isolate were deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers JQ348903, JQ348901, JQ348902, KC866375, KC866376, KC866377, KC866378, KC866379, KC866380, and KC866381 for Cellulosimicrobium cellulans UVP1, Enterobacter sp. UVP3, Bacillus pumilus UVP4, Raoultella planticola UVR1, Bacillus stratosphericus UVR3, Aeromonas eucrenophila UVR4, Arthrobacter myersonis UVR5a, Micrococcus yunnanensis UV20hr, Stenotrophomonas YLP1, and Brevundimonas olei BR2 respectively.
Figure 3. 16S rRNA gene sequence based neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree showing relationship between UVR radiation resistant isolates (A-J) showing close proximity with respective homologues. As revealed in A-J, different out groups were used for each UVR isolates. Numbers at the nodes indicate levels of bootstrap support based on neighbor-joining analysis of 1000 resampled datasets. GenBank accession numbers are given in parenthesis. Bar, 5 substitution for 1000 nucleotide positions. (Figure 3A and Figure 3C adopted and modified from Singh et al., 2012 with permission)
Based on the 16S rRNA sequence homologues, the isolates were subjected to biochemical characterization for further identification that matched to 16S rRNA sequence homologues. The identities of all the isolates were confirmed by morphological, cultural, and standard biochemical tests using Bergey’s manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Vol 1, 1984) as shown in supplementary material (S1).

Figure 4. 16SrRNA gene sequence based neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree showing relationship within UVR radiation resistant isolates (A-C) reveal phylogenetic relationship among themselves with respective out groups. Numbers at the nodes indicate levels of bootstrap support based on neighbor-joining analysis of 1000 resampled datasets. GenBank accession numbers are given in parenthesis. Bar, 5 substitution for 1000 nucleotide positions

3.4. 1D Proteome of UVR-resistant Microorganisms

The cellular-survivability-responsive unique radiation-sensitive proteins/enzymes were resolved on one-dimensional (1D) SDS-PAGE. The total protein profiles of UVR-resistant isolates (UVP1, UVR4, UVRI, UVP4, BR2, and YLP1) revealed unique protein bands expressed at similar molecular weight ranges, 25–100 kDa, compared with those in the absence of UVR (Figure 5). The radiation-sensitive species-specific protein expression is clearly visible in dominance among isolates grown under UV conditions at 37°C (Figure 5A) compared to isolates that were not exposed to UVR (Figure 5B). Isolates UVP1, UVR4, and UVP4 revealed unique protein expression under UV versus non-UVR (Figure 5A and B) at different molecular weights ranging from 25 to 50 kDa. Protein identification using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is subject to further research into protein- and enzyme-based survivability among UVR-resistant isolates.

The total protein profile using SDS-PAGE (Figure 5A and B) vary in protein expression based on the respective molecular weight in the presence and absence of UVR for respective UV-C intensity (Table 1). Among the UVR-resistant isolates (UVP1, UVR4, UVRI, UVP4, BR2, and YLP1), unique protein bands were expressed at similar molecular weight ranges, 25–100 kDa, compared with non-UV-C-exposed bacteria in Coomassie blue-stained gel images (Figure 5A and B).

The genomic integrity of bacteria have been reported to be affected by UVR in *Chroococcidiopsis* and *Deinococcus radiodurans* [35,43]. The genomic instability should reveal alterations in the downstream targets, i.e., proteins and enzymes. In a proteomics analysis of gamma-radiation-resistant *Bacillus* sp. HKG 112, two proteins—38 kDa flagellin and 86.5 kDa S-layer protein—showed significant changes after radiation exposure [44]. Liedert et al. [45] reported that in *D. geothermalis*, there were 34 abundant proteins that had no known function; these might relate to the extreme stress tolerance of the organism. Another comparative proteomic analysis of *D. radiodurans* and *D. deserti* revealed that the histone-like DNA-binding protein HU was the most abundant protein among the nucleoid-associated proteins [46]. In the current study, isolates UVP1, UVR4, and UVP4 showed unique protein expression under UV versus non-UVR (Figure 5A and B) at different molecular weights ranging from 25 to 50 kDa. Protein identification using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is subject to further research into protein- and enzyme-based survivability among UVR-resistant isolates.
Figure 5. Differential protein expression profile of UVR resistant isolates. (Total (75µg) cytosolic protein from UVR isolated was extracted and resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE as detailed in methods. The differences in protein expression profile revealed induction of proteins after UV irradiation in UVR isolated (A) compared to non-UV (B) (Lane information: MW- molecular weight marker (kD); 1- UVP-1; 2- UVR-4; 3- UVR-1; 4- UVP-4; 5- Br-2; 6- YLP1-1)

4. Conclusions

Despite the harmful effects of radiation on humans, current studies reveal different microorganisms have found ways to survive under high levels of radiation. The ability of the organisms isolated in our study to withstand high dosages of UV-C radiation could help us to explore the potential benefits on Earth including bioremediation of radioactive wastes [47] and bioenergy [13] and life in extraterrestrial environments. A total of 10 different UV-C-resistant isolates were identified. Based on our methods of isolating the microorganisms, it is proposed that direct UV irradiation of natural samples can be used to isolate additional UV-resistant organisms from the environment. The ability of isolates to survive in UVR needs to be further investigated to identify the roles of the metabolites and pigments in biotechnology and therapeutics.
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Supplementary Material

S1: Morphological, physiological and biochemical tests of UVR resistant microorganisms

| Tests          | UVP1 | UVP3 | UVP4 | UVr1 | UVr3 | UVr5 | UV20hr | YLP1 | BR2 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|------|-----|
| Morphological tests:                              |
| Colony morphology                                |      |      |      |      |      |      |        |      |     |
| Configuration                     | Round | Round | Round | Round | Round | Round | Round   | Round |     |
| Margin                        | Entire | Entire | Entire | Entire | Entire | Entire | Entire   | Entire |     |
| Elevation                      | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex | Convex   | Convex |     |
| Surface                        | Smooth | Smooth | Rough | Smooth | Rough | Smooth | Smooth   | Smooth |     |
| Pigment                        | Cream | Cream | Reddish tinch | Cream | Cream | Cream | Yellowish   | Yellowish | Yellowish | Brown |
| Opacity | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque | Opaque |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Gram’s reaction | -ve | -ve | +ve | -ve | +ve | -ve | +ve | -ve | -ve | -ve |
| Cell shape | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods | Rods & Coccus | Cocci | Rods | Rods |
| Arrangement | Singly | singly | Singly | Single | Singly | Singly curve | Rods & Coccus cycle | Singly and Tetrads | Single | Single |
| Spore(s) | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shape | Oval | - | Oval | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Position | Central | Central | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Motility | - | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | + |
| Fluorescence | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Physiological tests: |
| Growth at temperatures |
| 4°C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| 10°C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| 15°C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30°C | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 37°C | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 42°C | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55°C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 65°C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Growth at pH |
| pH 5.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| pH 6.0 | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| pH 7.0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| pH 8.0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + |
| pH 9.0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - |
| pH 11.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Growth on NaCl (%) |
| 2.5 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 5.0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 7.0 | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 8.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Biochemical tests: |
| Growth on MacConkey agar | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| Indole test | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| Methyl red test | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Voges-Proskauer test | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - |
| Citrate utilization | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| H₂S production | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Gas production | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Casein hydrolysis | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Gelatin hydrolysis | - | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | + |
| Starch hydrolysis | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | + |
| Urea hydrolysis | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
|                  | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Nitrate reduction |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Catalase test    | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Oxidase test     | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| OF test          | *F | F | F | F | F | F | F | - | *O |
| Arginine         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| dihydrolysis     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Lysine           | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ornithine        | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Decarboxylase    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Acid             |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Production from  |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Glucose          | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Lactose          | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | + |
| Maltose          | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | + |
| Adonitol         | + | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | - |
| Inositol         | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | + |
| Sorbitol         | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rhamnose         | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | + |
| Cellulose        | + | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | + |
| Inulin           | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Trehalose        | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | - |
| Galactose        | - | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | - |
| Melibiose        | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dulcitol         | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Raffinose        | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + |
| Sucrose          | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | + |

*F: Fermentative  *O: Oxidative  ±: weak reaction