The Incidence of Pressure Ulcers and its Associations in Different Wards of the Hospital: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Abstract
Pressure ulcer is a health problem worldwide that is common among inpatients and elderly people with physical-motor limitations. To deliver nursing care and prevent the development of pressure ulcers, it is essential to identify the factors that affect it. This global systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted with the aim of evaluating the incidence of pressure ulcers in observational studies. In this study, databases including Web of Science, Embase, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched to collect data. Articles published from 1997 to 2017 about the factors influencing the incidence of pressure ulcers were retrieved and their results were analyzed using meta-analysis according to the Random-Effects Model. The heterogeneity of studies was investigated using the I² statistic. Data were analyzed using the R and Stata software (version 14). In this study, 35 studies were included in the final analysis. The results showed that the pooled estimate of the incidence rate of pressure ulcer was 12% (95% CI: 10–14). The incidence rates of the pressure ulcers of the first, second, third, and fourth stages were 45% (95% CI: 34–56), 45% (95% CI: 34–56), 4% (95% CI: 3–5), and 4% (95% CI: 2–6), respectively. The highest incidence of pressure ulcers was observed among inpatients in orthopedic surgery ward (18.5%) (95% CI: 11.5–25). According to the final results, better conditions should be provided to decrease the incidence of pressure ulcers in different wards, especially orthopedics, and in patients with diabetes.
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Introduction
Pressure Ulcer is a localized injury to the skin and/or underlying tissue usually over a bony prominence, as a result of pressure, or pressure in combination with shear.[1] Nowadays, pressure ulcers are the third most costly disease after cancers and cardiovascular diseases. The mortality rates from this disease are 2 to 6 times as much as from other diseases, with 60,000 deaths annually due to this complication.[4] Pressure ulcer occurs more frequently in the tissues of the extremities of the body and in bony extensions such as sacrum and heel in inpatients. The most important risk factors for pressure ulcers are low physical activity, decreased consciousness, urinary and fecal incontinence, malnutrition, and advanced age.[3]

It is estimated that about 2.5 million hospitalizations in the United States are due to pressure ulcers.[4] The pressure ulcers have different classifications one of which has been proposed by the National Council/
Patients with pressure ulcers have significant physical-social and self-care dysfunction and may experience certain complications such as depression, pain, topical infection, osteomyelitis, sepsis, and even death.\textsuperscript{10,11}

The incidence of pressure ulcer is different in the clinical setting, but its incidence rate ranges from 4% to 38% in hospitalization wards and the mortality rate due to pressure ulcers and its associated secondary complications among the elderly is approximately 68%.\textsuperscript{12} A study in an elderly care home in Hapan showed that more than 91% of the total study population had pressure ulcer at various intensities.\textsuperscript{13} In the USA, about $11$ billion is spent annually by the healthcare system for the prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers. In the UK, 4% of the total treatment costs are allocated for the treatment of pressure ulcers. In addition to the extra costs spent on the treatment of the pressure ulcers, there is bed reservation and associated costs arising from hospitalization. These ulcers also cause the nursing staff an increase in workload by 50%.\textsuperscript{14} The assumption that external pressure is the only cause of pressure ulcers has led to ignoring other pathogenetic causes of pressure ulcers, which usually lead to failure of the prevention and treatment process. Therefore, identifying the causative agents and preventive measures may lead to implementing more effective interventions.\textsuperscript{13} In order to deliver better nursing care and to reduce the incidence of pressure ulcers, it is important to study its incidence rate and the factors influencing it in different wards, as well as to identify the factors that cause early diagnosis and prevention of the complication.\textsuperscript{14}

Various studies around the world to investigate the incidence of pressure ulcer have had different results. Understanding the current situation is the first step in planning to reduce the incidence of pressure ulcer and control this problem. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to estimate the incidence of each stage of pressure ulcers and highlight the factors involved in its incidence in different wards of a hospital setting.

Materials and Methods

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, the incidence of pressure ulcer based on Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta- Analyses (PRISMA) guideline was assessed.\textsuperscript{15}

Search strategy

In this study, Web of Science, Embase, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar were searched to collect relevant articles. Relevant articles were retrieved according to a systematic search protocol and using search terms, such as pressure ulcers, decubitus ulcer, pressure injury, pressure sore, bedsore, incidence, and as well as all possible combinations. The main outcome of this study is the reported incidence of pressure ulcer. Accordingly, 111 articles were investigated. Irrelevant and duplicate studies were excluded from the analysis and the articles that were published in non-English languages were also excluded. To access more articles, searches were made as backward (i.e., reviewing the reference lists of eligible articles) and forwards (i.e. reviewing papers that were cited in eligible studies).

The search strategy for the Pubmed database was as follows: (((“pressure ulcer”[MeSH Terms] OR (“pressure”[All Fields] AND “ulcer”[All Fields]) OR “pressure ulcer”[All Fields] OR (“pressure”[All Fields] AND “ulcers”[All Fields]) OR “pressure ulcers”[All Fields]) AND tiab[All Fields]) OR (“pressure ulcer”[MeSH Terms] OR (“pressure”[All Fields] AND “ulcer”[All Fields]) OR “pressure ulcer”[All Fields] OR (“decubitus”[All Fields] AND “ulcer”[All Fields]) OR “decubitus ulcer”[All Fields]) AND tiab[All Fields]) OR “pressure injury”[All Fields] OR (“pressure ulcer”[MeSH Terms] OR (“pressure”[All Fields] AND “ulcer”[All Fields]) OR “pressure ulcer”[All Fields] OR (“pressure”[All Fields] AND “sore”[All Fields]) OR “pressure sore”[All Fields]) AND tiab[All Fields]) OR “bed sore”[All Fields]) AND (“epidemiology”[Subheading] OR “epidemiology”[All Fields] OR “incidence”[All Fields] OR “incidence”[MeSH Terms]).

Selection of studies and data extraction

At first, all articles in which the incidence of pressure ulcer was noted among were collected by two independent researchers. The inclusion criteria were: observational studies that reported a pressure ulcer, access to full text of articles, and publication of articles in English. To minimize the risk of bias assessment, searching for articles, selecting studies, evaluating the methodological quality of articles, and extracting data independently were done by two researchers, and any disagreement was resolved by discussion. Exclusion criteria included lack of addressing the risk factors for the incidence of pressure ulcers, being a duplicate, and review articles. A form was used to record the selected information, including the name of the first author, year of publication, geographical location of the study, ward, type of scale, sample size, and the mean age of patients. We assessed the methodological quality of articles based on the ten selected items of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) checklist (title and abstract, goals and hypotheses, research environment, inclusion criteria, sample size, statistical methods, descriptive data, interpretation of findings, research limitation, and funding).\textsuperscript{16}

Statistical analysis

The variance of each study was calculated using the binomial distribution formula and the weight for each study equals the reciprocal of the variance. We evaluated the heterogeneity between the studies.
by Q-Cochran test with a significant level less than 0.1 and I² index. The I² index of heterogeneity was classified into less than 25% (low heterogeneity), 25% to 75% (moderate heterogeneity), and more than 75% (high heterogeneity). The incidence of pressure ulcers in different wards was investigated by using the subgroup analysis, and the results of the studies were combined using the random-effects meta-analysis. Meta-regression was used to investigate the relationship between the incidence of PU and year of publication and mean age of patients.

The funnel plot based on the Begg’s regression test was used to determine the publication bias. Data analysis was performed using the Stata software (version 11.2) and R statistical software.

**Results**

In the present study, 35 articles of adequate quality relevant to the incidence of pressure ulcers and the associated factors in different wards published from 1997 to 2017 were reviewed. The process of selecting and screening articles is presented in the following flowchart [Figure 1].

A total of 37,971 patients, of which 53% were males and 47% females, were included in the studies and thus were included in the final analysis. The general information of the articles included in the current review is presented in Table 1.

The results of this study showed that the overall incidence of pressure ulcer in different wards across the world is 12% (95% CI: 10–14). In Figure 2, the incidence rates of pressure ulcers in different wards reported by various studies based on the Random-Effects Model are shown.

The incidence rates of the pressure ulcers of the first, second, third, and fourth stages were 45% (95% CI: 34–56), 45% (95% CI: 34–56), 4% (95% CI: 3–5), and 4% (95% CI: 2–6), respectively [Table 2].

The most commonly affected area was sacrum with a frequency of 44% (95% CI: 28–59), followed by buttocks with a frequency of 15% (95% CI: 10–20), heel with a frequency of 15% (95% CI: 12–18), and trochanter with a frequency of 4% (95% CI: 2–6). The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in patients developing pressure ulcer was 20% (95% CI: 10–31). The highest incidence of pressure ulcers was observed in patients in orthopedics wards (18.5%, 95% CI: 11.5–25) and the least was in nephrology wards (2.6%, 95% CI: 2.5–7.7) [Table 3]. Meta-regression results showed that there is no relationship between the incidence of pressure ulcers and the age of patients and the year of publication ($P > 0.05$).

The publication bias was not significant ($P = 0.08$; Figure 3).

**Discussion**

The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis show that the overall incidence of pressure ulcers in inpatients is 12% worldwide. Most studies in this field have been conducted in European countries. In a study that was conducted in three countries, the Netherlands, Germany, and Italy, including 177 hospitals, 11.1% of inpatients were reported to have pressure ulcer, which is consistent with our findings. Meanwhile, extensive studies in the United States have estimated the frequency of pressure ulcers to be...
The incidence of pressure ulcers is higher in the hips, sacrum, and heels than in other areas. The previous studies also confirm this finding so that according to the results of Pokorny et al. (2003), diabetes mellitus, along with certain factors such as obesity and high blood pressure, is among the most common risk factors for incidence of pressure ulcers. The results of Liu et al. (2012) study also show that diabetic patients are five times more likely to develop pressure ulcers than healthy individuals. However, the results of the present study also indicated that most of the ulcers were of first and second stages, which is in agreement with other studies which have shown that the majority of the samples suffered from first and second stage ulcers. The results of this study showed that the most commonly affected area by pressure ulcer is sacrum, followed by buttocks, heels, and trochanters. Given the fact that the amount of pressure exerted on the ulcer is very effective, studies have shown that, depending on the patient’s sleeping position or the use of wheelchairs, pressure ulcers can be developed at certain points, so that in patients laying on the back or sitting on a wheelchair, the possibility of development of ulcers is higher in the hips, sacrum, and heels than in other areas. Regarding diabetes, the data show that the incidence of pressure ulcers in diabetic patients is almost twice as much as that in patients without diabetes mellitus, which indicates a direct correlation between diabetes and incidence of pressure ulcer.

### Table 1: General information of reviewed articles

| Author | Year | Place | Mean age±SD | Sample size | Incidence | Unit | Scale Type |
|--------|------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|------|------------|
| Cox et al.[18] | 2011 | United States | 69±17 | 347 | 18.7 | ICU | Braden |
| Schindler et al.[21] | 2011 | United States | - | 5346 | 10.2 | ICU | Braden |
| Boyle and Green[39] | 2001 | Australia | 57.5 | 534 | 5.2 | ICU | Waterlow |
| Webster et al.[38] | 2010 | Australia | 65.3 | 274 | 4.4 | ICU | Waterlow |
| Gunningberg et al.[21] | 2017 | Sweden | 81±8.5 | 190 | 1.3 | Internal | Norton |
| Dhand et al.[22] | 2015 | India | - | 228 | 16.39 | Orthopedic | Braden |
| James et al.[32] | 2010 | UK | 80 | 581 | 13.9 | Orthopedic | Braden |
| Mallah et al.[23] | 2015 | United States | 44.69±30.07 | 420 | 6.63 | General | Braden |
| Palese et al.[24] | 2017 | Italy | 82.2 | 1464 | 8.5 | Emergency | Braden |
| Sanada et al.[25] | 2007 | Indonesia | 50.9±17 | 105 | 33.3 | ICU | Braden |
| Sanada et al.[26] | 2008 | Japan | 55.2±18.4 | 253 | 27 | ICU | Suriai and Sanada |
| Sayar et al.[27] | 2009 | Turkey | 55.7 | 140 | 14.3 | ICU | Waterlow |
| Schoonhoven et al.[28] | 2002 | Netherlands | - | 208 | 21.2 | ICU | Braden |
| Sebastián-Viana et al.[29] | 2016 | Spain | 60.4 | 9220 | 6 | Surgical | modified Norton |
| Shahin et al.[30] | 2009 | Germany | - | 121 | 3.3 | Nephrological ICU | Braden |
| Deng et al.[31] | 2017 | China | 57.81±16.72 | 468 | 20.1 | ICU | Braden |
| Cremasco et al.[32] | 2013 | Brazil | 55.5±18.8 | 160 | 34.4 | ICU | Braden |
| Fuji et al.[33] | 2010 | Japan | 32.5 | 81 | 16 | ICU | Braden |
| González-Méndez et al.[34] | 2017 | Spain | 59.76±14.3 | 335 | 8.1 | ICU | Braden |
| Kattani et al.[35] | 2010 | Japan | 62.3±16.1 | 98 | 11.2 | ICU | Braden & Bergstrom |
| Lamm et al.[36] | 2012 | Germany | 66.4±14.7 | 2237 | 14.9 | nephrological ICU | Braden & Bergstrom |
| Ranzani et al.[37] | 2016 | Brazil | - | 9605 | 3.33 | ICU | Charlson |
| Tsaras et al.[38] | 2016 | Greece | 58.9±18.8 | 210 | 2 | ICU | Cubbin and Jackson |
| Nijs et al.[39] | 2009 | Belgium | 64 | 520 | 20.1 | Nephrological ICU | Norton |
| Bååth et al.[40] | 2016 | Sweden | 86.3±7.2 | 183 | 14.6 | ICU | Braden |
| Perneger et al.[41] | 2002 | Switzerland | 61.4±19.1 | 1190 | 14.3 | General | Norton and Braden |
| Becker et al.[42] | 2017 | Brazil | 63.1±18.1 | 332 | 13.6 | ICU | Braden |
| Webster et al.[43] | 2011 | Australia | 62.6±19.3 | 1231 | 6.8 | Internal | Waterlow |
| Baumgarten et al.[44] | 2006 | United States | - | 201 | 6.2 | Emergency | Braden |
| Manzano et al.[45] | 2010 | Spain | 60±17 | 299 | 16 | Surgical | Braden |
| Olsson et al.[46] | 1996 | United States | 63±16.6 | 38 | 2.6 | Nephrology | Braden |
| Yatabe et al.[47] | 2013 | Japan | 85±7.6 | 422 | 7.1 | ICU | Braden |
| Maida et al.[48] | 2008 | Canada | 72±13.2 | 415 | 22.4 | Oncology | Braden |
| Hendrichova et al.[49] | 2008 | Italy | 74 | 414 | 6.7 | Oncology | Karlofsky |
| Gunningberg et al.[50] | 2001 | Sweden | 84.4±7.2 | 101 | 29 | Orthopedic | Braden |

### Table 2: Incidence rates of pressure ulcers of different stages

| Pressure ulcer stage | Incidence rate (95% CI) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Stage I              | 45 (95% CI: 34%-56%)    |
| Stage II             | 45 (95% CI: 34%-56%)    |
| Stage III            | 4 (95% CI: 3%-5%)       |
| Stage IV             | 4 (95% CI: 2%-6%)       |

In terms of the stage of ulcers, the results of the present study also indicated that most of the ulcers were of first and second stages, which is in agreement with other studies which have shown that the majority of the samples suffered from first and second stage ulcers. The results of this study showed that the most commonly affected area by pressure ulcer is sacrum, followed by buttocks, heels, and trochanters. Given the fact that the amount of pressure exerted on the ulcer is very effective, studies have shown that, depending on the patient’s sleeping position or the use of wheelchairs, pressure ulcers can be developed at certain points, so that in patients laying on the back or sitting on a wheelchair, the possibility of development of ulcers is higher in the hips, sacrum, and heels than in other areas.

---
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In addition, decreased blood flow in these patients is effective in increasing the incidence of pressure ulcers due to the formation of athermanous plaques. Our findings among inpatients in different wards have shown that the highest frequency of pressure ulcers is observed among inpatients in orthopedics wards, followed by those in oncology wards and ICUs, and the least frequency of incidence is observed in nephrology wards. Given that previous studies have shown that lack of movement is one of the main risk factors for the development of pressure ulcers, it seems that patients in the orthopedic wards who are comparatively less able to move are more likely to develop pressure ulcers. In a study conducted on orthopedic patients, the results showed that 16% of patients with hip fractures also developed pressure ulcer. With regards to inpatients in the oncology ward, because the majority of patients admitted to this department are in the final stages of the disease and have clinically inappropriate conditions, they have the most risk factors for pressure ulcer. The use of opioid drugs is higher in hospitalized cancer patients, which can be effective in reducing their movement and increasing the likelihood of developing pressure ulcers. ICU patients are also more likely to develop pressure ulcer due to lack of movement and prolonged hospital stay, so there is a direct correlation between the duration of hospital stay and the incidence of pressure ulcers. Other factors contributing to the development of pressure ulcers in ICU patients include dehydration and increased body temperature.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the least frequency of pressure ulcers was observed among inpatients in nephrology wards. Future studies are recommended to simultaneously address risk factors such as age, weight, and anemia along with the data obtained in this study, and also to closely examine underlying illnesses of people with pressure ulcer to obtain better results. Since pressure ulcers may lead to death, prolongation of treatment, increase in treatment costs and in general, irreparable complications for the patient and the family, the study of their incidence rate, causative factors, and prevention along with efficient training of workforce should be incorporated into the priorities of health care systems across the globe.

**Limitations of the study**

Lack of access to the full text of some articles and lack of reporting the necessary information in some other articles were the main limitations of this study.
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