The Dynamic Changes of the Plasma Membrane Proteins and the Protective Roles of Nitric Oxide in Rice Subjected to Heavy Metal Cadmium Stress
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The heavy metal cadmium is a common environmental contaminant in soils and has adverse effects on crop growth and development. The signaling processes in plants that initiate cellular responses to environmental stress have been shown to be located in the plasma membrane (PM). A better understanding of the PM proteome in response to environmental stress might provide new insights for improving stress-tolerant crops. Nitric oxide (NO) is reported to be involved in the plant response to cadmium (Cd) stress. To further investigate how NO modulates protein changes in the plasma membrane during Cd stress, a quantitative proteomics approach based on isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ) was used to identify differentially regulated proteins from the rice plasma membrane after Cd or Cd and NO treatment. Sixty-six differentially expressed proteins were identified, of which, many function as transporters, ATPases, kinases, metabolic enzymes, phosphatases, and phospholipases. Among these, the abundance of phospholipase D (PLD) was altered substantially after the treatment of Cd or Cd and NO treatment. Transient expression of the PLD fused with green fluorescent peptide (GFP) in rice protoplasts showed that the Cd and NO treatment promoted the accumulation of PLD in the plasma membrane. Addition of NO also enhanced Cd-induced PLD activity and the accumulation of phosphatidic acid (PA) produced through PLD activity. Meanwhile, NO elevated the activities of antioxidant enzymes and caused the accumulation of glutathione, both which function to reduce Cd-induced H₂O₂ accumulation. Taken together, we suggest that NO signaling is associated with the accumulation of antioxidant enzymes, glutathione and PA which increases cadmium tolerance in rice via the antioxidant defense system.
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INTRODUCTION

The heavy metal cadmium is a common environmental contaminant with a long biological half-life in the soil and has adverse effects on crop growth and development (Das et al., 1997; Tuan Anh and Popova, 2013; Choppala et al., 2014). Cadmium pollution is caused by the application of phosphate fertilizer and certain industrial processes and poses a critical threat to human health due to its over-accumulation in the soil and in crop food (Prasad, 1995; Das et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2015).

Cadmium is absorbed by plant roots and is quickly transported to the leaves via the xylem. Most plants are very sensitive to trace amounts of cadmium, responding with retardation in growth and development, due to decreased photosynthesis in the leaves. This impairs photosynthetic supply and accelerates apoptosis and necrosis of the leaves. In plants, cadmium can be detoxified by phytochelatins, a class of glutathione-derived peptides containing repeating units of Glu and Cys that function by binding metal ions and transporting them to the vacuole (Howden et al., 1995; Di Toppi and Gabbielli, 1999). Plant cells also can use antioxidant enzymes to degrade over-produced reactive oxygen species (ROS) to diminish damage from cadmium exposure (Cobbett et al., 1998; Singh and Tewari, 2003; Balestrasse et al., 2006). In addition, nitric oxide (NO), an important signaling molecule, participates in the cadmium stress response in plants and protects against cadmium-induced damage in Helianthus (Laspina et al., 2005) and aluminum toxicity in rice (Yang et al., 2013). Furthermore, NO is required for cadmium-induced programmed cell death in Arabidopsis (Balestrasse et al., 2006; De Michele et al., 2009).

The plasma membrane of a plant cell is a highly organized system that mediates the exchange of information and materials between the cell interior and the extracellular environment. The enzymes or molecules located in the plasma membrane play important roles in transferring stress signals in plants. Phospholipases, including phospholipase D (PLD), phospholipase C (PLC), and phospholipase A (PLA), play an important role in lipid hydrolysis of the plasma membrane and in the mediation of the stress response in plants (Wang et al., 2002; Wang, 2006). For example, heavy metal stress from copper ions can trigger PLD activity in wheat roots (Wang et al., 2002; Navari-Izzo et al., 2006). In Arabidopsis, phosphatidic acid (PA) produced by PLD interacts with NADPH oxidase in the plasma membrane to generate H$_2$O$_2$ in response to abscisic acid (ABA; Wang, 2006; Zhang et al., 2009). However, the cross-talk between NO, PLD activity, and the antioxidant system during the stress response to heavy metals remains uncharacterized.

The plasma membrane is the first site of extracellular biotic or abiotic sensing, so an understanding of proteome dynamics may facilitate the development of new strategies for stress resistance in crops (Sussman, 1994; Santoni et al., 1998; Alexandersson et al., 2004). As the primary environmental barrier, the plasma membrane of a plant cell controls many biological processes such as ion transport, endocytosis, cell differentiation and proliferation, and signal transduction. However, functional protein localization is complicated because some membrane proteins are tightly associated with the dual lipid core, whereas others are loosely and reversibly associated (Sussman and Harper, 1989; Sussman, 1994). Technological advances in the extraction of purified plant plasma membrane proteins have made it possible to profile the proteome of the entire plant membrane (Santoni et al., 2000; Alexandersson et al., 2004). Proteome profiling may elucidate which systemic protective responses are initiated in plant plasma membranes exposed to environmental stress.

To investigate the accumulation of proteins localized in the plasma membranes of rice seedlings exposed to cadmium, we conducted an iTRAQ-based quantitative proteomic analysis for proteins in the plasma membrane. We found that cadmium treatment induced a rapid increase in a set of transporter proteins and ATPases, as well as a PLD protein. Subsequent experiments showed that cadmium induced rapid generation of NO and PA (produced presumably through PLD activity). The exogenous addition of either NO or PA with Cd mitigated cadmium toxicity in rice seedlings and augmented synthesis of glutathione that functions to reduce oxidative damage. Based on these results, we propose a model that depicts how NO and PLD improves Cd tolerance in rice seedlings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth of Rice Seedlings and Cadmium Treatment

Seeds of the rice variety (Oryza sativa ssp. Japonica cv Zhonghua11) were surface-sterilized, washed, and germinated on wet filter paper. Seeds were then grown in 1/4 Hoagland’s nutrient solution (pH 5.5) at 23°C and 16 h light/8 h dark conditions. When the third leaves of the seedlings emerged, CdCl$_2$ was added to the culture solution to a final concentration of 10 µM. To examine the impact of NO, rice seedlings were pretreated with a 30 µM solution of S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (SNAP), a spontaneous NO donor, for 2 h prior to cadmium exposure. The SNAP solution was refreshed every 3 days, and the pH of solution was maintained at 5.5. Aliquots of the seedlings were harvested for subsequent assays at the indicated times. For the inhibitor treatment, different inhibitors including 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5- tetra methylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3- oxide (cPTIO), and 1-butanol (1-Bu) were added into the rice culture solution for 2 h prior to cadmium treatment, respectively.

Extraction and Purification of Plasma Membranes from Rice Seedlings

The rice plasma membrane was enriched by aqueous two phase partitioning as previously described (Nohzadeh Malakshah et al., 2007). In brief, about 10 g of rice seedlings were ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in 50 ml of ice-cold 50 mM 3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS)/KOH buffer (pH 7.5) containing 330 mM sucrose, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 5 mM ascorbate, 0.5 mM phenyl-methyl-sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.2% BSA, 0.2% casein, and 0.6% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) at 4°C. The homogenate was centrifuged at 2000 × g for
10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was filtered through a 260-
µm filter. The filtrate was centrifuged again at 12,000 × g for 10
min at 4°C, and the resulting supernatant was centrifuged
at 50,000 × g for 60 min at 4°C to precipitate the microsomal
pellets. To enrich for plasma membranes, the microsomal pellets
were re-suspended in 10 ml of resuspension buffer (330 mM
sucrose, 5 mM potassium phosphate [pH 7.8], 2 mM potassium
chloride, 1 mM DTT, and 0.1 mM EDTA) and were mixed with
a phase mixture containing 6.3% (w/w) Dextran T500 (Sigma-
Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, US), 6.3% (w/w) PEG3350 (Sigma-
Aldrich), 330 mM sucrose, 5 mM phosphate buffer [pH 7.8],
1 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT to yield a 36-g phase
system. After mixing, phase separation was conducted at 4°C.
The upper (PEG) phase containing a second nascent partitioning
was further purified into two sub-phases. The upper phase of
this partition was diluted with wash buffer (25 mM sucrose, 10
mM MOPS) and then centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 60 min.
The resulting plasma membrane pellets were dissolved into
1 ml of sample buffer containing 330 mM sucrose and 50 mM
2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES)/KOH (pH 6.0).
The purity of the isolated plasma membranes was evaluated by
measuring the activities of several marker enzymes for different
membrane fractions.

Protein Digestion, iTRAQ Labeling, and
Protein Quantification

The proteins of the plasma membrane were prepared for iTRAQ
labeling as previously described (Kong et al., 2014), and then was
dissolved in 1% SDS, 100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate,
ph 8.5, followed by reduction, alkylation, trypsin digestion,
and labeling using 8-plex iTRAQ reagent kits, according to
the manufacturer’s instructions (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA).
Labeled samples were lyophilized, and the peptide mixture was
dissolved in a strong cation exchange (SCX) solvent A (25% v/v
acetonitrile, 10 mM ammonium formate, ph 2.8). The resulting
peptides were fractionated on an Agilent HPLC system 1100
with a polysulfolyl A column (2.1 × 100 mm, 5 µm, 300 A,
PolyLC, Columbia, MD). Peptides were eluted at a flow rate
of 200 µL/min with a linear gradient of 0-20% of solvent B
consisting of 25% v/v acetonitrile, 500 mM ammonium formate
for over 50 min, followed by ramping up to 100% solvent B
for 5 min and holding for 10 min. The absorbance at 214 nm
was monitored, and a total of 12 fractions were collected. Each
SCX fraction was lyophilized and dissolved in solvent A (3%
acetonitrile v/v, 0.1% formic acid v/v) and then was analyzed
with a Q-Exact Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer
(Thermo Finnigan Scientific, San Jose, CA). Samples were
separated on a Hypersile Gold C18 column (100 mm × 2.1 mm,
1.9 µm; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Peptides
were eluted with a linear gradient of acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid
from 3 to 50% for 90 min at a flow rate of 250 nL/min. Peptides
were then sprayed into the orifice of the Q-Exactive MS/MS
system with a spray voltage of 2.2 kV. Full-scan mass spectra
were performed over 200–1800 m/z at high resolution at 60,000.
At least the four most intense precursor ions were selected
for collision-induced fragmentation in the linear ion trap with
50–2000 m/z and 30–2000 ms at a resolution of 7500. Dynamic
exclusion was employed within 40 s to prevent repetitive selection
of the peptides.

The raw LC-MS/MS files were analyzed using the software,
Proteome Discoverer 1.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh,
PA), which was connected to the Mascot Search Engine server,
version 2.3 (Matrix Science, Boston, MA). The spectra were
searched against the NCBI protein database (Taxonomy: O. sativa,
which contains 132,343 sequences). Search parameters included
iTRAQ 8-plex quantification, carbamidomethylation of cysteine
was set as a fixed modification, and oxidation of methionine
was set as a variable modification. Trypsin was specified as
the proteolytic enzyme, and one missed cleavage was
allowed. Peptide mass tolerance was set at 10 ppm; fragment
mass tolerance was set at 0.1 Da. An automatic decoy database
search was performed as part of the search. False discovery rates
(FDRs) for peptide identification of all searches were <1.0%.
The data were pre-filtered to exclude MS/MS spectra containing
fewer than three peaks. Mascot results were filtered with the Mascot
Percolator package to improve the accuracy and sensitivity
of peptide identification. For differential analyses, all proteins
identified and quantified with at least four independent peptides
with a high degree of confidence (FDR 1%) were selected. The
quantification was performed by normalizing the results of all
of the measured iTRAQ reported ratios value using the software
Proteome Discoverer 1.3 software. Only the significant ratios
from the replicates were used to calculate the average ratio for
the protein. It should be noted that each p value was generated
based on quantitative information derived from at least three
independent peptides in each replicate. Cut-offs of 1.2- or 0.6-
fold were set to indicate up-regulation or down-regulation of
proteins, and a p < 0.05 was used to indicate significance.

Analyses of Electrolyte Leakage and
Chlorophyll Fluorescence Analyses

One gram of treated rice seedling roots were excised and
transferred to tubes containing 10 ml of deionized water.
The conductivity of the solution was measured after shaking
overnight at room temperature. After measurement, samples
were autoclaved, and the conductivity of the solution was
measured again. The percentage of electrolyte leakage was
then calculated, as previously described (Xia et al., 2009). The
chlorophyll fluorescence was analyzed with a PAM (pulse-
amplitude modulation) Chlorophyll Fluorometer (Heinz-Walz-
GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany) as previously described (Yang et al.,
2013; Ma et al., 2015).

Analyses of H2O2, NO, Glutathione, and
Phytochelatin

H2O2 levels were measured as described previously
(Yang et al., 2013). NO levels were detected using the
NO-specific fluorescence probe, 4-amino-5-methylamino-
2′,7′-difluorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-FMDA), under
epifluorescence microscopy (PCM 2000, excitation 488 nm,
emission 515–560 nm, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) or by using a
hemoglobin assay (Hu et al., 2005). The levels of glutathione and
phytochelatin were analyzed using a reported method (Gupta and Goldsborough, 1991).

**Analyses of Lipid Molecular Species and PLD Activity**

After different treatments, about 1 g of rice seedlings was immediately immersed in 3 mL of isopropanol with 0.01% butylated hydroxytoluene to terminate lipolytic activities. Lipid extraction, ESI-MS/MS analysis, and quantification were done as described previously (Zhang et al., 2009). Five replicates of each treatment were carried out and analyzed. The PLD activity was measured as described (Devaiah et al., 2007).

**Measurement of Antioxidant Enzyme Activity**

Leaves (1–2 g) were homogenized in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1.0 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 and 1% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVPP; 100 mg tissue/mL buffer). For the analysis of APX, the extraction buffer also contained 5 mM AsA. The homogenates were centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, and the resulting supernatant was immediately used for the antioxidant enzyme assays. The total activities of ascorbate peroxidase (APX, EC1.11.1.11), superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC1.15.1.1) and glutathione reductase (GR, EC1.6.4.2) in the supernatants were determined as described previously (Zhang et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2015).

**Plasmid Construction and Transient Transformation**

A reporter protein construct, named GFP-PLDa, comprised of PLD fused with green fluorescent protein (GFP) was generated by amplifying the full-length PLD alpha (PLDa) cDNA (GenBank: NM_001064550) using the primers of 5'GGCCGATTCTgtgcgagagctggcgc3' and 5'GGCCAAGCTTctacgaggt gatatcgggggtca3'. The resulting PCR fragments were digested with EcoRI and HindIII. The digested fragments were inserted into the binary vector pEGAD to fuse with EGFP at C-terminus. The final construct was confirmed by sequencing. The protoplast preparation and transient transformation were manipulated as described previously (Sheen, 2001; Yoo et al., 2007).

**SDS-PAGE and Western Blotting**

Samples (1 g) were ground to a powder in liquid nitrogen, and were homogenized with 10 mL of extraction buffer containing 125 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5), 10% SDS, and 10% mercaptoethanol at 4°C. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 × g at 4°C for 10 min, and proteins of the resulting supernatant were separated by SDS-PAGE. Following electrophoresis, separated proteins were transferred to a Hybond-C nitrocellulose membrane (GE Life Sciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) using the Multiphor II semi-dry blotting apparatus, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (GE Life Sciences). The transferred proteins were visualized using an ECL detection kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), and the antibodies against plant SOD (1:2000), GR (1:1000), and APX (1:2000) were obtained from Agrisera (Agrisera, Vannas, Sweden). To detect PLD proteins in the plasma membrane, the rabbit polyclonal anti-PLDa antibody was prepared by immunizing rabbits with the 14-amino acid N-terminal sequence of the rice PLDa protein (MAHLMHGLDATTI; GenBank: BAD35530) conjugated to the keyhole limpet hemocyanin.

**RESULTS**

**NO Reduces Cadmium Toxicity to Leaf Photosynthesis and Increases Root Growth**

To investigate the effect of cadmium on the growth and development of rice, we first assessed the dose effect of cadmium on 2-week old rice seedlings. As shown in Supplemental Figure 1, increasing the concentration of cadmium decreased shoot and root growth. Seedlings treated with 10 µM of cadmium showed a 50% inhibitory effect on rice growth. Thus the concentration used for cadmium treatment was 10 µM for the subsequent experiments to allow for direct comparisons. As shown in Figure 1A, cadmium treatment for 1 week significantly suppressed rice seedling growth, and caused yellowing of leaves as compared to the control plants. The chlorophyll content in the leaves of rice is an important index to evaluate the photosynthesis capability and plant tolerance to environmental stress. Here we found that Cd treatment for 1 week reduced the chlorophyll content by 59.8% as compared to control plants (Figure 1B). Cd treatment also suppressed the root and stem length by 64.1 and 60.0%, respectively, compared to the control seedlings without cadmium treatment (Figure 1C). The ratio of Fv/Fm and ion leakage is a measurement of the photosynthetic capability and the degree of membrane damage, respectively. Cd treatment decreased Fv/Fm from 0.64 to 0.34 and increased the ion leakage by 13.9-fold (Figure 1D). Cd treatment suppressed the biomass of rice by 48.3% compared with the control lines without Cd treatment (Figure 2A). Phytochelatins were increased with cadmium treatment and peaked after 48 h of Cd treatment (Figure 2B). This molecule plays an important role in heavy metal detoxification in plants. These data indicate that Cd treatment for 1 week damaged the rice seedling viability.

NO signaling is reported to reduce the toxic effects of heavy metals on plants. Therefore we investigated the role of NO in the response of rice seedlings to Cd stress. The NO artificial donor SNAP treatment significantly improved seedling tolerance to cadmium by facilitating growth (Figures 1A,C), reducing ion leakage (Figure 1D), increasing leaf chlorophyll synthesis capability (including the Fv/Fm ratio and chlorophyll content; Figures 1B,D), and increasing biomass and phytochelatin content (Figures 2A,B). However, these SNAP effects were abolished when the NO scavenger, 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4, 4, 5, 5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO), was applied (Figures 1, 2). These data suggest that NO plays an essential role in the rice seedling response to Cd treatment.

In addition, PA treatment also significantly improved seedling tolerance to cadmium (Figures 1A,C), increasing total chlorophyll content (Figures 1A,B), and increasing biomass and phytochelatin content (Figures 2A,B). Moreover, the addition of...
Cd and 1-Bu, an inhibitor of PLD, decreased the total chlorophyll content, stem length, and biomass as compared to control plants (Figures 1A–C, 2A).

To further understand the role of NO during the rice response to Cd treatment, we observed the in-situ NO accumulation in rice roots subjected to Cd treatment. Using a NO-specific fluorescence probe DAF-FMDA staining, we found that cadmium exposure rapidly increased NO production (Figures 3A,B). NO fluorescence was enhanced with the addition of SNAP and abolished in the presence of cPTIO. By directly measuring the NO content in the roots, we found that Cd treatment increased the NO content as compared to control plants, but this increase could be suppressed by the NO scavenger cPTIO treatment (Figure 3B). Consistent with the NO staining fluorescence results, the addition of Cd and SNAP could further increase NO content. These data suggest that rice seedlings treated with Cd induced NO biosynthesis.

When Plants are Treated with Cadmium or Cadmium and NO, Dynamic Changes Occur in Plasma Membrane Proteins

The plant plasma membrane plays an important role during environmental stress, and most of the previous proteomics studies used total plant proteins to investigate the underlying mechanisms of the plant response to Cd treatment. In this study, we focused on the role of the plasma membrane proteins by isolating plasma membrane proteins and comparing the treatments using iTRAQ (isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation) proteomics. To understand the functions of the plasma membrane proteins of rice in stress response, an iTRAQ approach was used to measure the change in plasma membrane proteins isolated from 2-week old seedlings after 12 h or 1 day of 10 µM Cd treatment, or 12 h or 1 day of 10 µM Cd treatment with 30 µM SNAP. The seedlings without Cd or SNAP treatment were used as the control. We isolated and purified the plasma membrane proteins from rice seedlings after Cd treatment using the two-phase partitioning method. The purity of the plasma membrane fraction was evaluated using marker enzymes associated with various subcellular membranes (Natera et al., 2008). Orthovanadate-sensitive ATPase, nitrate-sensitive ATPase, and azide-sensitive ATPase were selected as plasma membrane, vacuolar, and mitochondrial membrane markers, respectively. The ATPase activity of the prepared plasma membrane was primarily sensitive to sodium orthovanadate (Supplemental Table 1). Furthermore, we assessed the purity of the isolated plasma membrane proteins using antibodies against marker proteins corresponding to various subcellular membranes. H⁺-ATPase is a marker protein for the plasma membrane protein fraction, V-ATPase is a tonoplast membrane
protein, and molecular chaperone binding protein (BiP) is a protein of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Compared with the total protein fraction, the stronger immunoblot signal was detected in the PM fraction using the anti-\( H^+\)-ATPase antibody, suggesting that \( H^+\)-ATPase was strongly enriched in the PM fraction (Supplemental Figure 2). Furthermore, the anti-\( H^+\)-ATPase antibody immunoblotting signal was not detected in other vesicles such as tonoplast, mitochondria, or ER (Supplemental Figure 2). These data suggest that our method is sufficient to obtain high-quality plasma membranes to perform a plasma membrane proteomics study.

We determined the ratios of protein abundance for the following four groups: (1) Cd treatment for 12 h (12 h Cd)/the control line without treatment (CK), (2) Cd treatment for 1 day (1 d Cd)/the control line without treatment (CK), (3) Cd treatment for 12 h with SNAP (12 h Cd+SNAP)/the control line without treatment (CK), (4) Cd treatment for 1 day with SNAP (1 d Cd+SNAP)/the control line without treatment (CK), to identify proteins affected by Cd or Cd and NO treatments. With a threshold of fold-change cutoff of 1.2-fold for increased accumulation and <0.6-fold for decreased accumulation, a total of 66 proteins showed differential accumulation (\( p < 0.05 \)).
when subjected to Cd or Cd and SNAP treatment as compared to the control line without treatment (Figure 4, Table 1, Supplemental Table 2). Among these differentially regulated proteins, 27 proteins were upregulated and 17 proteins were downregulated after 12 h of Cd treatment, 30 proteins were upregulated and 23 proteins were downregulated after 1 day of Cd treatment, 29 proteins were upregulated and 13 proteins were downregulated after 12 h of Cd and SNAP, and 31 proteins were upregulated and 24 proteins were downregulated after 1 day of Cd treatment and SNAP treatment. SNAP treatment did not increase the number of proteins showing up-regulation or down-regulation (Figure 4A), but rather only affected the expression intensities for these proteins. These identified proteins were divided into nine groups based on their biological functions (Table 1). The majority of these proteins were plasma membrane transporters (16), including nitrate transporters, phosphate transporters, oligopeptide transporters, a sucrose transporter, an iron transporter, and a monosaccharide transporter etc. followed by ATPases (9) and kinases (9). Additionally, phosphatases and phospholipases (5), metabolism enzymes (6), antiporters (3), structural proteins (6), aquaporins (4), and signal and hormone related proteins (8) showed differential regulation. A hierarchical cluster analysis was conducted to categorize the proteins that showed differential expression profiles during Cd or Cd and SNAP treatment (Figure 4B). We found the expression profile for the identified proteins could be clustered into two groups. The proteins belonging to one group showed up-regulation after Cd treatment and the Cd+SNAP treatment could further enhance their upregulation. The proteins belong to the other group showed down-regulation and Cd+SNAP treatment further suppressed their accumulation. We also found most proteins that were aquaporins or involved in signal transduction and hormone response, were also differentially regulated by Cd or Cd+SNAP treatment (Table 1). Among these identified proteins, we observed one PLD protein that was up-regulated after Cd and Cd+SNAP treatments (Table 1—protein is highlighted in bold). Because it has not been reported before that PLD proteins could be localized to the plasma membrane after Cd or Cd and NO treatments, or that PLD protein accumulation could be regulated by Cd or Cd and NO treatments, these data hint that PLD has a function in the rice seedlings response to Cd stress. Thus, the role of PLD after Cd and Cd and NO treatments was further investigated.

Exogenous NO Treatment Promotes the Translocation of PLD from the Nucleus to the Plasma Membrane

To understand the role of PLD during the rice response to Cd stress, we analyzed PLD localization in rice protoplasts transiently transformed with the vector expressing the pUBI@GFP-PLDa fusion gene. Prior to cadmium treatment, we observed strong GFP fluorescence in the nuclei before Cd treatment, indicating that GFP-PLDa was sequestered to the nuclei of transformed protoplasts before Cd treatment (Figure 5A). After 12 h of Cd treatment, a strong GFP fluorescence were also observed in the nuclei and around the plasma membrane, suggesting Cd treatment affected the expression of GFP-PLDAs after Cd treatment (Figure 5A). We then found that addition of Cd and SNAP treatment further increased GFP fluorescence around the plasma membrane (Figure 5A). This effect was diminished by the NO scavenger cPTIO pretreatment. These data suggest that NO promotes the localization of PLDAs to the plasma membrane, which is consistent with the proteomic data that Cd and Cd and SNAP treatment increased the accumulation of PLD in the plasma membrane (Table 1). Additionally, the anti-PLDa antibody was used to analyzes PLDAs protein accumulation in the total extracted protein or in the plasma membrane fraction from the seedlings after Cd and Cd+SNAP treatment. We found that Cd or Cd+SNAP treatments increased the level of PLD protein in the plasma membrane (Figure 5B) and partially increased the total PLD protein level in the total protein (Figure 5C). This increase in PLDAs could be decreased in the PM and total protein fraction by pretreatment with cPTIO (Figures 5B, C).

We further measured PLD activity after Cd or Cd and SNAP treatment. Cd treatment induced PLD activity and PLD activity was highest between 12 and 24 h after Cd treatment (Figure 5D). Cd+SNAP treatment could further enhance the PLD activity, and the Cd+SNAP+cPTIO treatment (cPTIO is a NO metabolism scavenger) could suppress Cd or Cd+SNAP-induced increase in PLD activity (Figure 5E). These data corroborated to our previous
### TABLE 1 | List of proteins differentially regulated by Cd and Cd+SNAP in the plasma membrane as determined by using iTRAQ analysis.

| Accession numberb | Protein names                        | Cd (12 h): Control$^b$ | Cd (1 day): Control$^f$ | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control$^d$ | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control$^p$ |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                   |                                      | Rep 1 p-value | Rep 2 p-value | Rep 3 p-value | Average p-value | Rep 1 p-value | Rep 2 p-value | Rep 3 p-value | Average p-value | Rep 1 p-value | Rep 2 p-value | Rep 3 p-value | Average p-value |
| ATPases           |                                      |              |              |              |                |              |              |              |                |              |              |              |                |
| gi|218179  | H-ATPase                             | 1.664 0.037   | 1.625        | 1.769 0.013  | 1.760          | 1.926 0.038  | 1.866        | 1.932          | 0.004          | 1.940          |              |              |                |
| gi|20302439 | Plasma membrane H-ATPase             | 1.292 0.017   | 1.319        | 1.384 0.021  | 1.455          | 1.493 0.033  | 1.463        | 1.669          | 0.046          | 1.685          |              |              |                |
| gi|31432331 | AAA family ATPase                    | 0.811 0.041   | 0.531        | 0.971 0.003  | 0.706          | 0.986 0.034  | 1.273        | 1.510          | 0.003          | 1.550          |              |              |                |
| gi|50252047 | Putative calcium-transporting ATPase | 0.850 0.006   | 0.510        | 0.956 0.016  | 0.881          | 0.850 0.029  | 0.929        | 1.611          | 0.042          | 1.616          |              |              |                |
| gi|20302437 | Plasma membrane H-ATPase             | 0.945 0.034   | 0.880        | 1.194 0.004  | 1.248          | 1.265 0.021  | 1.384        | 1.552          | 0.021          | 1.651          |              |              |                |
| gi|544586339| Type III Ca$^{2+}$-ATPase            | 1.589 0.035   | 1.563        | 1.661 0.021  | 1.646          | 1.651 0.021  | 1.791        | 1.651          | 0.012          | 1.607          |              |              |                |
| gi|7552962  | Calcium-transporting ATPase 4        | 1.451 0.011   | 1.441        | 1.702 0.010  | 1.754          | 1.515 0.034  | 1.539        | 1.750          | 0.030          | 1.725          |              |              |                |
| gi|31432100 | Calcium-transporting ATPase 13       | 1.318 0.016   | 1.342        | 1.530 0.042  | 1.559          | 1.685 0.029  | 1.862        | 1.887          | 0.016          | 1.869          |              |              |                |
| gi|122248711| ATP-citrate synthase alpha chain protein 2 | 0.554 0.030   | 0.581        | 0.445 0.021  | 0.447          | 0.554 0.005  | 0.369        | 0.554          | 0.006          | 0.369          |              |              |                |
| TRANSPORTERS      |                                      |              |              |              |                |              |              |              |                |              |              |              |                |
| gi|23600439 | Putative phosphate transporter OsPT1 | 0.777 0.017   | 0.564        | 0.573 0.043  | 0.517          | 0.854 0.027  | 0.708        | 0.829          | 0.009          | 0.800          |              |              |                |
| gi|52550769 | Phosphate transporter 7              | 0.762 0.011   | 0.861        | 0.798 0.048  | 0.576          | 0.678 0.036  | 0.657        | 0.474          | 0.010          | 0.488          |              |              |                |
| gi|52550767 | Phosphate transporter 5              | 0.514 0.003   | 0.487        | 0.434 0.017  | 0.407          | 0.505 0.006  | 0.505        | 0.480          | 0.038          | 0.505          |              |              |                |
| gi|20279475 | Putative ABC transporter             | 1.093 0.039   | 1.077        | 1.569 0.019  | 1.657          | 1.467 0.026  | 1.434        | 1.765          | 0.022          | 1.764          |              |              |                |
| gi|37548736 | Sucrose transporter SUT2             | 1.356 0.044   | 1.431        | 1.519 0.035  | 1.519          | 1.517 0.013  | 1.515        | 1.656          | 0.029          | 1.627          |              |              |                |

(Continued)
| Accession number | Protein names                        | Cd (12 h): Control | Cd (1 day): Control | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                  |                                     | Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average |
| g|49388481 | POR-like ABC transporter  | 0.439 0.300 0.444 0.669 0.023 0.557 0.660 0.021 0.680 0.622 0.011 0.699 0.724 0.001 0.738 |
| g|6785080 | Putative oligopeptide transporter | 0.841 0.021 0.850 0.642 0.025 0.722 0.649 0.019 0.781 0.753 0.010 0.824 0.159 0.020 0.757 0.077 0.790 0.010 |
| g|44963685 | Iron transport protein 2 | 0.557 0.019 0.620 0.343 0.016 0.374 0.493 0.020 0.532 0.513 0.010 0.466 0.028 0.402 0.025 0.426 |
| g|10871111 | Oligopeptide transporter 3 | 0.659 0.020 0.678 0.556 0.013 0.596 0.598 0.014 0.542 0.527 0.006 0.449 0.027 0.406 0.029 |
| g|3377509 | Auxin transport protein REH1 | 0.413 0.006 0.428 0.627 0.009 0.657 0.449 0.026 0.471 0.469 0.027 0.333 0.023 0.382 |
| g|11991114 | Monosaccharide transporter 3 | 1.494 0.012 1.598 1.597 0.009 1.630 1.534 0.009 1.534 1.764 0.016 1.749 |
| g|7574982 | Nitrate transporter NTL1 | 1.243 0.007 1.230 1.454 0.026 1.558 1.443 0.029 1.439 1.843 0.007 1.640 |
| g|3907260 | High affinity nitrate transporter | 1.154 0.300 1.277 1.454 0.013 1.456 1.529 0.025 1.582 1.729 0.029 1.727 |
| g|6409176 | Nitrate transporter | 1.238 0.012 1.300 1.545 0.012 1.690 1.638 0.020 1.640 1.838 0.001 1.852 |
| g|4052964 | Putative nitrate transporter | 1.418 0.011 1.380 1.623 0.032 1.696 1.585 0.002 1.557 1.785 0.014 1.754 |
| g|8547358 | Phosphate transporter | 0.649 0.030 0.639 0.798 0.048 0.776 0.549 0.030 0.536 0.454 0.006 0.471 |

**KINASES**

| Accession number | Protein names                        | Cd (12 h): Control | Cd (1 day): Control | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                  |                                     | Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average Rep 1 p-value Rep 2 p-value Rep 3 p-value Average |
| g|122247193 | Benzothiadiazole-induced MAP kinase 1 | 1.319 0.031 1.286 1.565 0.014 1.616 1.519 0.018 1.533 1.619 0.007 1.630 |
| g|82654925 | Calcium-dependent protein kinase isoform 1 | 0.554 0.018 0.318 0.454 0.029 0.463 0.654 0.029 0.654 0.676 0.018 0.518 |
| g|75148536 | Probable calcium-binding protein CML7 | 1.319 0.010 1.292 1.445 0.012 1.442 1.519 0.003 1.526 1.619 0.012 1.645 |
| g|28564579 | Putative receptor-like kinase | 1.294 0.028 1.283 1.489 0.021 1.494 1.394 0.015 1.381 1.594 0.015 1.562 |
| Accession number^a | Protein names                                      | Cd (12 h): Control² | Cd (1 day): Control² | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control² | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control² |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                     |                                                   | Rep 1 | p-value | Rep 2 | p-value | Rep 3 | p-value | Average | p-value | Rep 1 | p-value | Rep 2 | p-value | Rep 3 | p-value | Average | p-value | Rep 1 | p-value | Rep 2 | p-value | Rep 3 | p-value |
| gi|37534762   | Putative serine/threonine-specific kinase like protein | 0.547 | 0.005 | 0.539 | 0.667 | 0.018 | 0.646 | 0.547 | 0.013 | 0.539 | 0.434 | 0.028 | 0.443 |
| gi|50725798   | Putative protein serine/threonine kinase           | 0.757 | 0.013 | 0.560 | 0.747 | 0.026 | 0.796 | 0.847 | 0.022 | 0.654 | 0.412 | 0.005 | 0.448 |
| gi|50938053   | Putative serine/threonine-specific protein          | 1.776 | 0.026 | 1.772 | 1.476 | 0.016 | 1.468 | 1.876 | 0.010 | 1.876 | 1.656 | 0.027 | 1.674 |
| gi|348988856  | Serine/threonine kinase receptor precursor-like     | 0.881 | 0.016 | 0.861 | 0.881 | 0.033 | 0.441 | 0.981 | 0.026 | 0.965 | 0.454 | 0.007 | 0.464 |
| gi|38679443   | Benzothiazole-induced somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase | 1.234 | 0.003 | 1.238 | 1.454 | 0.033 | 1.443 | 1.434 | 0.023 | 1.450 | 1.634 | 0.016 | 1.668 |
| PHOSPHATASES AND PHOSPHOLIPASES |
| gi|51090926   | Phospholipase D                                    | 0.554 | 0.020 | 1.693 | 0.434 | 0.007 | 1.244 | 0.554 | 0.018 | 1.869 | 0.554 | 0.001 | 1.269 |
| gi|34898886   | Putative protein phosphatase 2C                    | 0.554 | 0.016 | 1.220 | 0.654 | 0.021 | 1.654 | 0.554 | 0.014 | 1.550 | 0.454 | 0.031 | 1.645 |
| gi|12669878   | Phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C          | 1.519 | 0.020 | 1.452 | 1.761 | 0.008 | 1.755 | 1.619 | 0.012 | 1.863 | 1.819 | 0.032 | 1.830 |
| gi|229635541  | Probable protein phosphatase 2C                    | 1.519 | 0.014 | 1.352 | 1.656 | 0.032 | 1.661 | 1.519 | 0.025 | 1.526 | 1.619 | 0.005 | 1.636 |
| gi|42408807   | Putative phosphoprotein phosphatase PP7            | 0.554 | 0.023 | 0.857 | 0.554 | 0.008 | 0.631 | 0.554 | 0.011 | 0.461 | 0.444 | 0.028 | 0.340 |
| METABOLISM ENZYMES |
| gi|20374      | Sucrose synthase                                  | 0.554 | 0.026 | 0.557 | 0.554 | 0.026 | 0.524 | 0.654 | 0.005 | 0.654 | 0.435 | 0.006 | 0.431 |
| gi|22748323   | Putative beta-1,3-glucanase                      | 1.519 | 0.022 | 1.451 | 1.719 | 0.017 | 1.696 | 1.519 | 0.001 | 1.566 | 1.675 | 0.024 | 1.669 |
| gi|39492210   | Putative callose synthase                         | 0.554 | 0.007 | 0.320 | 0.454 | 0.027 | 0.459 | 0.554 | 0.012 | 0.584 | 0.754 | 0.005 | 0.764 |
| gi|50934423   | Putative 3-glucanase                              | 1.319 | 0.012 | 1.322 | 1.633 | 0.019 | 1.619 | 1.519 | 0.013 | 1.563 | 1.619 | 0.007 | 1.630 |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Accession number⁵ | Protein names                              | Cd (12 h): Control⁶ | Cd (1 day): Control⁶ | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control⁶ | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control⁶ |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                   |                | Rep 1    | Rep 2    | Rep 3    | p-value | Average | Rep 1    | Rep 2    | Rep 3    | p-value | Average | Rep 1    | Rep 2    | Rep 3    | p-value | Average | Rep 1    | Rep 2    | Rep 3    | p-value | Average |
| gi|50935131 | Cellulose synthase-4 | 1.519 | 0.028 | 1.456 | | 1.675 | 0.030 | 1.669 | | 1.619 | 0.007 | 1.626 | 0.003 | 1.719 | 0.015 |
| gi|41268009 | Glyoxalase I | 1.319 | 0.014 | 1.285 | | 1.666 | 0.032 | 1.693 | | 1.519 | 0.025 | 1.563 | 0.005 | 1.719 | 0.009 |
| gi|22831004 | Plasma membrane intrinsic protein | 0.718 | 0.009 | 0.543 | | 0.818 | 0.021 | 0.837 | | 0.618 | 0.023 | 0.633 | 0.008 | 0.818 | 0.021 |
| gi|31193906 | Putative plasma membrane intrinsic protein | 0.719 | 0.029 | 0.689 | | 0.454 | 0.001 | 0.468 | | 0.719 | 0.020 | 0.729 | 0.028 | 0.919 | 0.028 |
| gi|3135543 | Aquaporin | 0.584 | 0.015 | 0.523 | | 0.784 | 0.014 | 0.757 | | 0.584 | 0.019 | 0.560 | 0.033 | 0.498 | 0.033 |
| gi|108712233 | Aquaporin PIP2.8 | 0.798 | 0.002 | 0.760 | | 0.798 | 0.008 | 0.519 | | 0.898 | 0.018 | 0.837 | 0.005 | 0.515 | 0.025 |
| gi|110269403 | Aquaporin NiP5.1 | 0.725 | 0.014 | 0.701 | | 0.515 | 0.024 | 0.479 | | 0.425 | 0.032 | 0.445 | 0.005 | 0.525 | 0.002 |

**Structural Proteins**

| Accession number⁵ | Protein names                              | Cd (12 h): Control⁶ | Cd (1 day): Control⁶ | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control⁶ | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control⁶ |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| gi|27548553 | Auxin Efflux Carrier family protein | 0.662 | 0.008 | 0.699 | | 0.928 | 0.016 | 0.558 | | 0.617 | 0.021 | 0.622 | 0.016 | 0.451 | 0.016 |
| gi|541905597 | Auxin efflux carrier 1b | 0.676 | 0.033 | 0.690 | | 0.520 | 0.016 | 0.473 | | 0.701 | 0.023 | 0.728 | 0.016 | 0.818 | 0.021 |
| gi|22831256 | Putative plasma membrane integral protein | 0.724 | 0.012 | 0.518 | | 0.454 | 0.032 | 0.455 | | 0.624 | 0.013 | 0.632 | 0.007 | 0.824 | 0.001 |
| gi|985718822 | ATP/ADP translocator protein | 0.696 | 0.007 | 0.674 | | 0.696 | 0.002 | 0.529 | | 0.796 | 0.019 | 0.686 | 0.002 | 0.432 | 0.002 |

**AQUAPORINS**

| Accession number⁵ | Protein names                              | Cd (12 h): Control⁶ | Cd (1 day): Control⁶ | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control⁶ | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control⁶ |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| gi|3135543 | Aquaporin | 0.584 | 0.015 | 0.523 | | 0.784 | 0.014 | 0.757 | | 0.584 | 0.019 | 0.560 | 0.033 | 0.498 | 0.033 |
| gi|108712233 | Aquaporin PIP2.8 | 0.798 | 0.002 | 0.760 | | 0.798 | 0.008 | 0.519 | | 0.898 | 0.018 | 0.837 | 0.005 | 0.515 | 0.025 |
| gi|110269403 | Aquaporin NiP5.1 | 0.725 | 0.014 | 0.701 | | 0.515 | 0.024 | 0.479 | | 0.425 | 0.032 | 0.445 | 0.005 | 0.525 | 0.002 |

(Continued)
results and suggest that Cd and Cd+SNAP treatment could increase PLD protein levels and increase PLD enzyme activity.

**The Cross Talk of NO and PLD-Mediated PA Accumulation in Rice Seedlings under Cadmium Stress**

It has been previously shown that PLDα was activated in the ABA response and that phosphatidylcholine (PC) was hydrolyzed to PA in leaf protoplasts labeled with fluorescent PC (Zhang et al., 2004). Our above results showed that Cd and Cd and SNAP treatments increased PLD activity (**Figures 5D,E**). To further characterize the PA change in response to Cd or Cd and SNAP, we used electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS) to analyze PA species in rice leaves. Cd induced a gradual increase in total PA, with a maximum increase occurring at 12 h after Cd treatment (**Figure 6A**). The addition of Cd and SNAP could further enhance total PA content but the effect could be suppressed by Cd+SNAP+cPTIO treatment (**Figure 6B**). Further analysis of PA molecular species revealed the distinguishable changes after Cd or Cd and SNAP treatment. The major molecular species of PA responsive to Cd treatment in rice seedlings were 34:2 (16:0-18:2), 34:3 (16:0-18:3), 36:4 (18:2-18:2), 36:5 (18:2-18:3), and 36:6 (18:3-18:3; **Figure 6C**). The level of

| Accession number | Protein names | Cd (12 h): Control | Cd (1 day): Control | Cd+SNAP (12 h): Control | Cd+SNAP (1 day): Control |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| g|10289278 | Aquaporin PIP2.4 | 0.785 | 0.026 | 0.540 | 0.885 | 0.017 | 0.854 | 0.685 | 0.013 | 0.583 | 0.464 | 0.020 | 0.661 |
| g|52076930 | Putative cyclic nucleotide gated channel homolog | 1.474 | 0.003 | 1.480 | 1.674 | 0.007 | 1.666 | 1.474 | 0.016 | 1.469 | 1.412 | 0.010 | 1.401 |
| g|20190 | Calmodulin | 0.765 | 0.004 | 0.769 | 0.783 | 0.016 | 0.763 | 0.876 | 0.030 | 0.869 | 0.454 | 0.003 | 0.463 |
| g|7271253 | 14-3-3-like protein | 0.454 | 0.026 | 0.459 | 0.765 | 0.026 | 0.761 | 0.554 | 0.041 | 0.550 | 0.754 | 0.024 | 0.754 |
| g|34897868 | Putative extra-large G-protein | 1.519 | 0.015 | 1.452 | 1.787 | 0.023 | 1.732 | 1.519 | 0.017 | 1.533 | 1.719 | 0.031 | 1.730 |
| g|13195452 | GTP-binding protein | 1.419 | 0.026 | 1.385 | 1.666 | 0.006 | 1.673 | 1.519 | 0.026 | 1.536 | 1.719 | 0.005 | 1.703 |
| g|6983881 | Putative gibberellin response modulator | 0.554 | 0.010 | 0.583 | 0.754 | 0.029 | 0.758 | 0.554 | 0.012 | 0.557 | 0.454 | 0.002 | 0.444 |
| g|75131369 | Thioredoxin-like 4 | 0.552 | 0.014 | 0.552 | 0.767 | 0.011 | 0.765 | 0.552 | 0.015 | 0.557 | 0.454 | 0.002 | 0.444 |
| g|57899336 | Thioredoxin family Trp26-like protein | 0.519 | 0.012 | 0.526 | 0.389 | 0.009 | 0.382 | 0.819 | 0.021 | 0.837 | 1.519 | 0.019 | 0.796 |

| Protein names | Rep 1 | p-value | Rep 2 | p-value | Rep 3 | p-value | Average | p-value | Rep 1 | p-value | Rep 2 | p-value | Rep 3 | p-value | Average | p-value | Rep 1 | p-value | Rep 2 | p-value | Rep 3 | p-value | Average | p-value |
|---------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aquaporin PIP2.4 | 0.785 | 0.026 | 0.540 | 0.712 | 0.017 | 0.233 | 0.866 | 0.020 | 0.745 | 0.010 | 0.465 | 0.021 |
| Putative cyclic nucleotide gated channel homolog | 1.474 | 0.003 | 1.480 | 1.468 | 0.029 | 1.012 | 1.666 | 0.033 | 1.468 | 0.026 | 1.356 | 0.015 |
| Calmodulin | 0.765 | 0.004 | 0.769 | 0.765 | 0.004 | 0.776 | 0.719 | 0.029 | 0.867 | 0.033 | 0.460 | 0.032 |
| Putative extra-large G-protein | 1.519 | 0.015 | 1.452 | 1.414 | 0.023 | 1.674 | 0.013 | 1.534 | 0.011 | 1.714 | 0.003 |
| GTP-binding protein | 1.419 | 0.026 | 1.385 | 1.414 | 0.032 | 1.667 | 0.020 | 1.514 | 0.014 | 1.714 | 0.032 |
| Putative gibberellin response modulator | 0.554 | 0.010 | 0.583 | 0.643 | 0.024 | 0.552 | 0.014 | 0.555 | 0.017 | 0.434 | 0.026 |
| Thioredoxin-like 4 | 0.555 | 0.005 | 0.530 | 0.555 | 0.007 | 0.552 | 0.013 | 0.555 | 0.017 | 0.334 | 0.024 |
| Thioredoxin family Trp26-like protein | 0.519 | 0.012 | 0.526 | 0.714 | 0.000 | 0.645 | 0.024 | 0.878 | 0.012 | 1.656 | 0.013 |
FIGURE 5 | NO promotes cadmium-induced PLD protein migration and its enzyme activity. (A) GFP fluorescence in rice protoplasts transiently expressing PLDa-GFP fusion protein. Control, untreated; Cd, treated with 10 µM cadmium for 12 h; Cd+SNAP, treated with 10 µM cadmium plus 30 µM SNAP for 12 h; Cd+SNAP+cPTIO, treated with 10 µM cadmium plus 30 µM SNAP and 30 µM cPTIO for 12 h. From left to right: Flu, GFP fluorescence; BF, bright field; Merge (Flu+BF), merged image of FLU and BF. The GFP fluorescence intensity in the nucleus and membrane were quantified by Image J software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/download.html). (B,C) Western blotting and an anti-PLD antibody assay to detect PLDa accumulation in the enriched plasma membrane protein (B) and the total proteins (C). Third-leaf-stage rice seedlings were treated with 10 µM cadmium with or without 30 µM SNAP or 30 µM cPTIO for 1 day. The enriched plasma membranes proteins and total proteins were isolated for PLD content analysis. (D,E) The rice seedling at three leaf stage were treated with 10 µM cadmium, the time course of PLD activity with (closed circle) or without Cd stress (open circle) were measured (D), and the effect of different chemicals on PDL activity were measured after 1 day of treatment (E). Values reflect means ± SEs of three independent experiments (n = 10/experiment). Different symbols above the bars indicate significant differences (Tukey’s test, p < 0.05).

these PAs gradually increased after Cd treatment and reached the highest level after 12 h of treatment and decreased at 24 h after treatment (Figure 6C). Similarly, Cd and SNAP treatment could enhance, while Cd+SNAP+cPTIO treatment could suppress the Cd-induced increase of these PAs (Figure 6D). These results show that Cd and Cd and NO could induce 34:2, 34:3, 36:4, 36:5, and 36:6 PA accumulation through increased PLD activity.

NO Reduces Cadmium-Induced H$_2$O$_2$ Generation and Increases Glutathione Accumulation

Heavy metal treatments can induce the production of large amounts of ROS, which damages cell viability (Shahid et al., 2014). NO treatment can reduce the damaging effects of ROS (Beligni and Lamattina, 2002). We then examined whether NO treatment could inhibit cadmium toxicity in rice seedlings by increasing antioxidative levels and reducing ROS damage. We measured H$_2$O$_2$ and glutathione levels in rice roots following cadmium or Cd and NO treatments. Cadmium exposure sharply increased H$_2$O$_2$ production (Figure 7A). The addition of Cd+SNAP reduced cadmium-induced H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and sharply increased glutathione accumulation. This effect was abolished with Cd+SNAP+cPTIO pretreatment (Figure 7A). 1-Butanol (1-Bu) is the special inhibitor of PLD and suppressed PA production. We found that the addition of 1-Bu treatment increased the Cd-induced H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and decreased glutathione accumulation. PA produced by PLD plays an essential role in many plant physiological process, such as stomatal closure, root growth, plant tolerance to salinity and water deficits, and nitrogen deficiency stress (Zhang et al., 2009). Here, we found that Cd and PA (mainly 16:0-18:2 PA) treatment also reduced Cd-induced H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and enhanced glutathione accumulation. This suggests that NO and PA prevent Cd induced H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation and increase glutathione accumulation. The glutathione-ascorbate pathway is essential for scavenging ROS in plant cells (Foyer and Noctor, 2011). APX and GR are enzymes of the glutathione-ascorbate pathway. SOD also plays a role in reducing ROS over-accumulation. The addition of cadmium increased GR, SOD, and APX activities as compared to untreated plants (Figure 7B). We found that Cd and PA (16:0-18:2) and Cd and SNAP treatments further increased cadmium-induced activities of GR, SOD, and APX enzymes except for Cd and PA treatment for GR activity where the effect was not significant (Figure 7B). Additionally the Cd+SNAP+cPTIO and Cd and 1-Bu treatments reduced the cadmium-induced antioxidant enzyme activities (Figure 7B).
Furthermore the enzyme levels were examined using antibodies to the APX, SOD, and GR enzymes (actin was used as a control; Figure 7C). As compared to the control plants, levels of APX, SOD, and GR increased with Cd, Cd+PA, and Cd+SNAP treatments. This increase in protein levels was abolished by the treatments Cd+SNAP+cPTIO and Cd and 1-Bu. Thus NO and PLD are required for the Cd-induced increase in these antioxidant enzymes.

We found that Cd treatment damaged rice seedling viability, including yellowish leaves, lower Fv/Fm and chlorophyll content, shorter shoot and root lengths, and higher ion leakage. However, the addition of NO or PA to cadmium treated rice seedlings could increase total chlorophyll content in leaves and reduce the negative effects on growth (Figures 1B,C). Suppressing PLD activity with 1-Bu, or NO (using SNAP) with cPTIO could increase Cd induced damage on cell viability (Figure 1A), including increasing ion leakage and further reducing Fv/Fm (Figure 1D), decreasing leaf chlorophyll content (Figure 1B), and decreasing the stem and root length (Figure 1C). These data suggest that both NO and PA play a role in relieving Cd damage to rice seedlings.

DISCUSSION

Cadmium is readily deposited into human and animal bodies after absorption and can damage the nervous system (López et al., 2006). In plants, cadmium reduces the absorption of nitrates and iron, damages photosynthetic capabilities, inhibits stomatal opening, and induces oxidative stress (Das et al., 1997; Lombi et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2007). Previous studies have primarily focused on physiological processes in the cytosol during cadmium stress in plants. The role of the plasma membrane in this process has received less attention, despite it being the initial site of cadmium sensing. We isolated and purified plasma membranes from 2-week-old rice seedlings and applied the quantitative iTRAQ method to investigate protein accumulation patterns following cadmium stress. Classification of the differentially accumulated proteins revealed these proteins were mainly membrane transporters, ATPases, and kinases and involved in catalytic function, suggesting the proteins involved in transport of ions and other molecules across membranes play an essential role during the response of rice seedlings to Cd stress.

Metal ions such as Cd disrupt the plasma membrane by binding to the proteins and lipids of the plasma membrane and can replace calcium ions in the membrane. This disruption to the plasma membrane increases non-specific membrane permeability and decreases specific transporting activities (Janicka-Russak et al., 2012). In this study, phosphate, iron, oligopeptide transporters in the plasma membrane were down-regulated whereas sucrose, monosaccharide, and nitrate transporters were up-regulated (Table 1). Ion homeostasis is regulated by the ATP dependent proton pump of the plasma membrane and Cd can reduce the enzyme activity of the H\(^{+}\)ATPase (Janicka-Russak et al., 2012). H\(^{+}\)ATPase, when in an active state, is bound to a 14-3-3 protein. In this study four H\(^{+}\)ATPase proteins and a 14-3-3 protein in the plasma membrane were differentially regulated by Cd.
FIGURE 7 | NO and PA reduce cadmium-induced H₂O₂ accumulation and increase antioxidant accumulation. (A) The effects of cadmium and different treatments on H₂O₂ and glutathione accumulation in rice seedlings. Rice was treated with cadmium, Cd and SNAP, Cd+SNAP+cPTIO, Cd+PA, and Cd+1-Bu. The content of H₂O₂ and glutathione were measured after 3 days of treatment. (B) The effects of cadmium, Cd and SNAP, Cd+SNAP+cPTIO, Cd+PA, and Cd+1-Bu on SOD, APX, and GR antioxidant enzymes activities. The asterisk in (A,B) indicates that the mean value is significantly different from that of the control without any treatment (P < 0.05). Values reflect means ± SEs of three independent experiments (n = 5/experiment). (C) The effects of cadmium, Cd and SNAP, Cd+SNAP+cPTIO, Cd+PA, and Cd+1-Bu on enzyme protein accumulations. The antioxidant enzyme activities and protein accumulation were measured after 3 days of treatments. Anti-actin antibodies were used as the loading control.

Exposure to Cd is known to increase the levels of jasmonate, abscisic acid, ethylene, auxin, and salicylic acid (Dalcorso et al., 2008; Chmielowska-Bań et al., 2014). In this study an auxin transport protein REH1, two auxin efflux carrier proteins, and one gibberellin response modulator were all down-regulated after Cd treatment. This suggests that in rice seedlings that auxin or gibberellin may play a role in the Cd stress response in the plasma membrane.

In this study, six aquaporins in the plasma membrane were repressed by Cd stress. Water transportation through aquaporins might be involved in the tolerance and accumulation of Cd in pea (Pisum sativum L.; Belimov et al., 2015). The role of aquaporins in response to Cd may need further examination.

In response to Cd, ROS production can be triggered by calmodulin, protein kinases, phospholipase C, and phospholipase D (Chmielowska-Bań et al., 2014). These phospholipases, through PA, activate secondary messengers such as lipid and protein kinases. Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) can affect transcription factors and thereby altering gene expression.

In this study calmodulin, phospholipase C, phospholipase D, protein kinases including MAPK, and calcium dependent were differentially regulated in the plasma membrane. Based on the plasma membrane proteomic data, we propose that the rice cell initiated multiple strategies at the plasma membrane in response to Cd stress.

Previous studies showed that NO enhances the tolerance to cadmium stress in Arabidopsis and rice (Zhang et al., 2012; Yuan and Huang, 2016). PLD-dependent PA accumulation is also reported to alter plant response to salt, heat, and aluminum stress (Mishkind et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2011). PLD activity is functional downstream of NO to control ABA-induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis (Distefano et al., 2012). Our proteomic data showed a PLD protein was upregulated substantially following cadmium treatment, and additional NO donor SNAP treatment could enhance PLD activity (Figure 5E). Western blotting confirmed that inhibiting NO generation reduced cadmium-induced accumulations of PLDa (Figure 5B), supporting the possibility of cross-talk between PLD and NO.
during cadmium exposure. Our physiological experiments have demonstrated that cadmium induces rapid generation of NO and the PLD product PA (Figures 3, 6). When NO accumulation was reduced by the NO scavenger cPTIO, cadmium-induced PA also was reduced (Figure 6). Applying rice with NO donor SNAP or PA reduced Cd toxicity to rice (Figures 1, 2), suggesting the critical roles of NO and PLD-mediated PA for rice tolerance to Cd stress. Interestingly, when PA was added to Cd treated seedlings, nitric oxide levels increased (Figure 3B) suggesting that the level of PA (via PLD) may affect the generation of NO. We also found that NO treatment promoted the translocation of GFP-PLDa from the nucleus to the plasma membrane. Such an effect could be abolished by NO scavenger CPTIO treatment. Furthermore, it is possible that NO promotes the localization of PLD to the plasma membrane, which is efficient strategy for the PLD product PA to easily bind to other important proteins. It is reported that PA may bind to NADPH oxidase to strictly controlling the ROS in Arabidopsis (Zhang et al., 2009). Thus PLD also plays a role in controlling ROS production in rice subjected to Cd stress.

ROS can induce cell death in Arabidopsis, of which, such effect could be reduced by adding PA (Zhang et al., 2003). Exogenous NO depletes Cd-induced toxicity by eliminating oxidative damage (Liu et al., 2015). Cd stress also caused the over accumulation of ROS in rice (Wang et al., 2015). Consistent with these reports, our data found that Cd treatment induced significant accumulation of H2O2, which damaged cell viability as shown by a reduction in Fv/Fm, biomass and phytochelatins. NO was rapidly induced by Cd treatment. NO also enhanced Cd-induced PLD activity and PA accumulation. Increases of NO or PA to cadmium-treated rice seedlings could increase antioxidant enzymes activities associated with glutathione-ascorbate pathway, including APX and GR activity, as well as the SOD activity, and reduced Cd-induced accumulation of ROS. Suppressing NO signal by a NO scavenger or PA generation by suppressing PLD activity increased the damage by Cd to rice seedlings. It also compromised the activities of APX, GR and SOD and their proteins accumulations. We also found increasing levels of NO or PA increased the content of glutathione (Figure 7). Glutathione was reported to contribute to control redox homeostasis under toxic metal and metalloid stress (Hernández et al., 2015). It is also the precursor of phytochelatins, which is induced by Cd stress in our study (Figure 2). These data coincide with previous studies and demonstrated that both NO and PA could reduce Cd stress by enhancing antioxidant protein accumulation and enzyme activities.

Based on the proteomics and physiological results, we propose a model to explain the central roles of NO and PLD during the rice response to cadmium stress (Figure 8). Upon cadmium exposure, NO generation was rapidly induced to activate PLD activity and increase its accumulation, and accelerated its migration from the cell nucleus to the plasma membrane, which possibly lead to increased PA synthesis at the plasma membrane. Then PA acts as a signal to prevent the production of ROS and further induce the accumulation of antioxidant proteins and increase their activities. The accumulation of antioxidants and thus the reduction in ROS effectively protect against cadmium-induced toxicity. Altogether, our data support the multiple roles of the plasma membrane proteins during the rice response to Cd stress, and demonstrates the essential functions of NO and PLD-mediated signaling during this process. These findings should be useful to enhance rice tolerance to Cd stress through genetic modification of NO and PLD signaling.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, a quantitative proteomic approach was applied to obtain a comprehensive proteomic description of the plasma membrane from rice seedlings in response to cadmium stress or combining cadmium and NO treatment. Among the 66 differentially regulated proteins identified in the plasma membrane of rice seedlings, the majority of proteins were enzymes involved in metabolism, transporters, ATPases, kinases, phosphatases, and phospholipases. Damage symptoms induced by Cd stress, including morphological and biomass changes can be alleviated by NO or PA application. Addition of NO or PA resulted in the accumulation of glutathione and increased the activities of ROS scavenging enzymes, to alleviate cadmium-induced damage to rice plants. Addition of NO to Cd treated plants increased the synthesis of the Cd-responsive PA molecular species. Similarly, addition of PA to Cd treated plants increases nitrate oxide production. Taken together NO and PA serve a protective role in rice seedlings treated with Cd.

![Proposed model for the roles of NO and PA in facilitating rice seedling tolerance to cadmium stress.](image-url)
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