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Abstract

Background: The study aimed to explore the sensitivity and specificity of a novel fast 16S rDNA PCR and sequencing assay for the improved diagnosis of infective endocarditis (IE) in patients with suspected native or prosthetic heart valve (HV) infection over a multi-year period at our cardiovascular center.

Methods: Sixty-eight patients were prospectively enrolled who underwent HV replacement for suspected or confirmed IE between February 1, 2009 and September 1, 2014. Patient demographics, medical co-morbidities, Duke’s criteria, culture results, and antibiotic therapy were collected by detailed chart reviews. Dual-priming oligonucleotide primers targeted to 500 bps of the V1-V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene were used to perform fast broad-range 16S rDNA PCR and Sanger sequencing on ribosomal DNA extracted from HV tissues. The performance/diagnostic efficiency of the molecular test was evaluated against blood cultures and Gram stain and culture of HV tissue in patients with definite IE according to Duke’s criteria.

Results: Fifty patients (73.5 %) had definite IE and another 8 (11.8 %) had possible IE according to Duke’s criteria. Cardiac surgery was delayed an average of 15.4 days from the time of the patient’s last positive blood culture, and appropriate antibiotic therapy was given in the pre-operative period. While 44/50 (88 %) patients had a positive blood culture, HV tissue culture was only positive in 23 (46 %) of them. Molecular testing of all HV tissues had sensitivity, specificity, NPV and PPV of 92, 77.8, 77.8 and 92 % compared to 44, 100, 39.1 and 100 % respectively for culture for diagnosis of definite IE. For prosthetic HV tissue, 16S rDNA PCR had sensitivity of 93 % and specificity of 83 % compared to 35 and 100 % respectively for culture. A literature review showed that the diagnostic accuracy of our novel fast broad-range 16S rDNA PCR assay was similar or better than that of previously published studies.

Conclusions: This novel fast broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing test had superior sensitivity compared to tissue Gram stain and culture for identifying underlying bacterial pathogen in both native and prosthetic valve endocarditis.
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Background

Infective endocarditis (IE) has a high morbidity and mortality, often requiring treatment in an intensive care unit [1, 2]. The Duke criteria are used to diagnose IE and may help determine whether cardiovascular surgery may be required [3], with almost half (44.9%) of patients ultimately requiring valve surgery [2, 4]. Microbiologic diagnosis in IE, which is a cornerstone of the Duke criteria, has classically relied on traditional culture results for isolation of a pathogen(s) from blood or affected heart valve tissue. However, blood culture results are negative in 9–13% of patients and all cultures are negative in 5% of patients [2, 5]. Negative culture results are even more prevalent in patients with atypical organisms and prosthetic valve IE due to difficulties in selecting appropriate tissue [6–10]. The rates of culture-negative IE with atypical or difficult to culture bacteria have recently increased [2]. Identification of the causative pathogen is important for targeting antimicrobial therapy as well as determining prognosis.

Broad-range sequencing of bacterial 16S ribosomal DNA represents an alternative approach for establishing the underlying organism in IE. Since broad-range sequencing does not rely on culturing the underlying organism, it may be particularly useful for identifying pathogens that aren’t readily cultured with current methods [7, 11]. Evidence supporting the use of broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing for molecular diagnosis in some cases series show that heart valve PCR may improve microbiological diagnosis of IE in up to 20% of patients. Some authors have even suggested it be made part of Duke’s diagnostic criteria [12]. The routine molecular diagnosis of IE has so far been evaluated in a small number of patients, primarily in European centers [9, 13–20]. Because broad-range PCR assays are prone to contamination [21], and primers within the 16S rRNA gene may cross-react with human DNA in clinical samples, we developed a novel, fast broad-range 16S rDNA PCR using unique dual-priming oligonucleotide (DPO) primer to try and increase the sensitivity and specificity of molecular diagnosis of IE [22]. The performance of our novel 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing test was then validated by comparing it with the results of blood culture and Gram stain and culture of excision heart valve tissue in patients with and without a clinical diagnosis of IE. A literature review was also done in order to compare our results to other similar published diagnostic accuracy studies of other user-developed PCR assays [9, 13–19, 23–30], and recently published evaluations of commercial PCR tests [31, 32].

Methods

Study setting and patients

The Calgary Zone is one of the largest integrated healthcare jurisdictions in Canada, which provides patient care services to an urban and rural population of ~1.5 million people. From February 1, 2009 to September 1, 2014, 68 patients with suspected IE underwent valve replacement surgery at the Division of Cardiovascular Surgery, Foothills Medical Center, Calgary Zone, Alberta Health Services, Canada. The cardiovascular service is a tertiary referral centre in the western part of Canada that primarily provides patient care to Southern Alberta, but is also referred patients from other adjacent provinces.

Patients were retrospectively reviewed after surgery using a standardised data collection sheet. Clinical features for all patients were collected, including: age, sex, type of valve tissue, location of valve, all blood culture results, tissue culture results, peak white blood cell count (WBC), peak erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and peak C-reactive protein (CRP). Additionally history collected included; previous IE, prosthetic valve, intravenous drug use, and other predisposing factors. Antibiotic use in the peri-operative period and in relation to culture results was also recorded. We classified patients as definite, possible, or rejected diagnosis of IE based on the modified Duke’s criteria [3].

Laboratory setting and samples

Microbiology testing was performed by the Division of Microbiology, Calgary Laboratory Services (CLS). CLS is a large regional centralized laboratory that performs diagnostic testing for the entire Calgary Zone, including all ambulatory, hospitalized and long-term care patients. CLS Microbiology performs complex testing on >1 million individual patient samples per annum.

Cardiac surgeons collected heart valves under sterile conditions during each operative procedure. Heart valves were immediately placed into a sterile container and promptly transported to the Calgary Laboratory Services (CLS) microbiology laboratory within 2 h after excision. Heart valve tissue was stored at −80 to −86 °C and batched for DNA extraction.

Blood and heart valve cultures

All patients had blood cultures performed prior to the prescription of antibiotics. Two separate sets of blood cultures [BacT/Alert FA (Aerobic) and FN (Anaerobic)] are routinely drawn when adult blood cultures are ordered. Blood cultures were immediately transported to CLS and placed into the BacT/Alert system, and continuously monitored for up to 4-days for growth. Blood culture bottles that flagged positive in the instrument were immediately removed and an aliquot removed, pelleted and plated onto routine media including a Columbia blood agar (BA), chocolate agar (CHOC), MaConkey (MAC) agar, and Brucella blood agar (BBA) for culture of both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria. Isolates were identified using a combination of rapid
Molecular methods

Each heart valve tissue was minced manually with sterile scalpels before being placed into a sterile 1.5 mL micro-centrifuge tube. DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit protocol for tissues (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, the tissue was re-suspended in 180 μL of digestion Buffer ATL (50 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 % SDS, pH 8.5) and 20 μL of 20 mg/mL Proteinase K solution (QIAGEN). The samples were then briefly vortexed and incubated at 56 °C, in a 1400 rpm Eppendorf thermomixer for a minimum of 2 h. DNA in the proteolytic digest was further purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was eluted in 150 μL of Buffer AE. DNA eluate was stored at −20 °C until use.

Broad-range 16S rDNA PCR was performed using dual priming oligonucleotide (DPO) primers previously described by Kommedal et al. [33] including a forward primer 16SDPO_F:AGAgTTTgATCMTGgGCTCA-I-I-I-AACGCT (M = A/C; I = deoxyinosine; lower case letter denotes a locked nucleic acid), and a reverse primer 16SDPO_R:CGCGGCTGCTGCA-I-I-I-A1TTRGC (R = A/G; I = deoxyinosine) purchased from Exiqon (Worburn, MA). PCR was set up in a 25 μL reaction volume with 5 μL of template DNA and 0.5 μL of each primer in a master mix that included 13 μL DNA-free water (Molzym, Bremen, Germany), 2.5 μL of 10× buffer (Minerva BioLabs, Berlin, Germany), 2.5 μL of 2 mM each dNTP mix prepared in-house, and 0.625 μL of 2U/μL Eub polymerase (Minerva BioLabs). Standard Fast PCR was performed in an ABI 9700 or Veriti thermocycler (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) under the following cycling conditions: 5 min. initial denaturation at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min., 62 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min., with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. PCR product was then electrophoresed on a 1.5 % agarose gel containing SYBRsafe (Life Technologies). PCR products displaying a band in the expected ~500–600 base pair region were then purified by Exo-SAP-it (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA).

Molecular identification was done by Sanger sequencing of the 16S rDNA product with the same DPO primers used for PCR and BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Life Technologies) on an ABI Prism 3130×L sequencer (Life Technologies). A BLAST search against the IDNS Bacteria database (SmartGene IDNS, Lausanne, Switzerland) was done to provide a definitive identification of the organism to the species- or genus-level using the identity scores outlined by CLSI MM-18 [34].

Ethics

This study was reviewed and approved by the Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board, University of Calgary (Ethics ID No: REB14-0588).

Data analysis

Data was analyzed according to standard descriptive statistics. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated using the clinical diagnosis of IE based on the modified Duke criteria as the gold standard for diagnosis [3]. A true positive result was defined as one in which the valve culture or PCR sequencing was positive in a patient with a clinical diagnosis of IE. A false-positive result was one in which a microorganism was recovered from a patient without IE. A true negative culture was defined as a negative culture or PCR result in a patient without IE. A false-negative culture occurred when the PCR or culture result in a patient without IE. A false-negative culture was negative in a patient with IE.

Results

Patient characteristics

Sixty-eight patients were included in this study. Table 1 outlines key patient characteristics. Most of the patients were male (n = 52, 76.5 %), with mean age 53. One-third of the patients had a prosthetic valve (n = 23, 33.8 %).
According to the modified Duke’s criteria, 50 patients (73.5%) were diagnosed with definite IE and another 8 patients were classified as having possible IE. The remaining ten patients were controls and didn’t have IE.

**Blood and heart valve culture**

All patients had at least three sets of blood cultures drawn. Overall, any positive blood culture had a sensitivity of 77.6% (45/58) and specificity of 100% (10/10). In patients with negative blood cultures, PCR identified the microbiologic agent in 7/13 (54%) patients. In patients with definite IE, any positive blood culture had a sensitivity of 88% (44/50) and specificity of 94% (17/18). In patients with definite IE and negative blood cultures, PCR identified the microbiologic agent in 5/6 (83%) patients (Staphylococcus lugdunensis, Streptococcus san
guinis, Streptococcus dysgalactiae, Propionibacterium acnes, and Escherichia coli).

**Molecular testing of heart valve tissue**

Table 2 shows the results of each patient’s blood culture, and heart valve tissue Gram stain, culture and 16S rDNA PCR result. Aortic valve replacement (40, 69%) was more common in our patients than mitral valve surgery (18, 31%). A total of 23 (33.8%) patients had a prosthetic valve. The organisms causing IE in our patient cohort included: Streptococcus viridans group (21; 42%), Streptococcus agalactiae (3; 6%), Enterococcus faecalis (10; 20%), Staphylococcus spp. (6; 12%), Haemophilus parainfluenzae (2; 4%), Gram-negative bacilli (2; 4%), Propionibacterium acnes (1; 2%), and Cardiobacterium hominis (1; 2%). For patients with definite IE, broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequence agreed with blood or tissue culture results in 37 (74%), and resulted in a new microbiologic diagnosis in 3 patients with identification of; Streptococcus dysgalactiae, Propionibacterium acnes, and Staphylococcus lugdunensis. Additionally, 3 patients with possible IE but negative blood and heart valve tissue cultures had a positive PCR/sequencing results including; Delftia tsuruhatensis, Streptococcus mitis, and Acinetobacter junii. Clinical review of these 3 patients determined that they had IE, rather than these being false-positive PCR results. Finally, in one patient with a rejected diagnosis of IE, PCR sequencing suggested Enterococcus faecalis. In one patient with definite endocarditis, blood cultures suggested coagulase negative Staphylococcus but sequencing identified Enterococcus faecalis. This change would be expected to impact clinical management. Finally, in 13 patients with definite endocarditis the causative organism was clarified however the change would not be expected to impact the management plan. Overall, bacterial broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing contributed to the microbiologic diagnosis of 31% of patients.

Table 3 shows the diagnostic performance of broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequence compared to Gram stain and heart valve bacterial culture in patients with and without IE based on a definite diagnosis of IE. Molecular testing of heart valve tissue was positive in 46 (92%) patients and negative or inconclusive in 4 (8%) patients with definite IE. Most patients (n = 14; 78%) who didn’t fulfill criteria for clinical IE had a negative ribosomal broad-range PCR result. Broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing had a sensitivity of 92% (46/50) and specificity of 78% (14/18). Tissue culture was positive in 23 patients and negative in 28, yielding a sensitivity of 46% (23/50). Tissue gram stain was positive in 13 (26%) of patients with confirmed IE.

When considering either definite or possible IE, broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing has a sensitivity of 84.4% (49/58) and specificity of 90.0% (9/10) compared to 37.9% (22/58) and 100% (10/10) for tissue culture respectively. Diagnostic accuracy for broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing was 85.3% compared to 44.1% for tissue culture. There were 17 patients with definite or possible prosthetic valve IE and PCR had sensitivity of 59% (10/17) and specificity of 83% (5/6), while tissue culture had respective values of 35% (6/17) and specificity of 100% (7/7).

**Effect of peri-operative antibiotic treatment**

All patients with definite or possible IE were on appropriate antibiotics during the peri-operative period. On average, cardiac surgery was delayed an average of 15.4 days from the time of the patient’s last positive
Table 2 Comparison of bacterial culture and ribosomal sequencing results

| Duke's criteria | Cardiac tissue | Tissue gram stain | Tissue culture | Blood culture | Fast DPO PCR | Bacterial 16S sequencing identification | Diagnostic impact |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| Definite        | MV             | GPC                | No growth      | No growth     | Positive     | Staphylococcus lugdenensis            | New              |
|                 | MV             | Negative           | No growth      | No Growth     | Positive     | Streptococcus dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis | New              |
|                 | PAV            | GPB                | Cons; broth only | No growth     | Positive     | P. acnes                               | New              |
|                 | MV             | GP                | S. Mitis       | No growth     | Positive     | Streptococcus sanguinis                | Change           |
|                 | MV papillary leaflet | Negative       | S. bovis group | S. salivarius | Positive     | S. galolyticus subsp. pasteurianis     | Change           |
| Definite        | AV             | GPC                | No growth      | S. bovis      | Positive     | S. galolyticus subsp. pasteurianis     | Change           |
|                 | MV Vegetation  | Negative           | No growth      | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. oralis/sanguinis                    | Change           |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | No growth      | S. salivarius | Positive     | S. mitis group (S. cristatus/oralis/ mitis) | Change           |
|                 | MV             | Negative           | No growth      | S. salivarius | Positive     | S. mitis group (S. cristatus/oralis/ infants) | Change           |
|                 | AV vegetation  | Negative           | S. bovis group | S. bovis      | Positive     | S. galolyticus subsp. galolyticus      | Change           |
|                 | AV vegetation  | Negative           | No growth      | GGS           | Positive     | S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis     | Change           |
|                 | PAV            | Negative           | E. faecalis/CONS; broth only | S. agalactiae | Positive     | S. agalactiae                          | Change           |
|                 | AV vegetation  | Negative           | No growth      | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. sanguinis                           | Change           |
|                 | PMV            | GPC                | S. mitis group | Group C Strep | Positive     | S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis     | Change           |
|                 | AV             | GPC                | S. mitis       | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. sanguinis                           | Change           |
|                 | PAV            | Negative           | No growth      | CoNS          | Positive     | E. faecalis                            | Change           |
|                 | MV             | Negative           | CoNS broth only | CoNS          | Positive     | S. epidermidis                         | Change           |
|                 | MV Vegetation  | Mixed GPC          | No growth      | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. mitis                              | None             |
|                 | MV             | Negative           | S. agalactiae, broth only | S. agalactiae | Positive     | S. agalactiae                          | None             |
|                 | AV abscess     | Negative           | No growth      | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. mitis group (mitis/tigirinus)       | None             |
|                 | AV abscess     | Negative           | Enterococcus faecalis; broth only | E. faecalis | Positive     | E. faecalis                           | None             |
|                 | MV             | Negative           | No growth      | Haemophilus parainfluenzae | Positive     | H. parainfluenzae                      | None             |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | No growth      | Streptococcus pneumonia | Positive     | S. pneumoniae                          | None             |
|                 | AV Vegetation  | Positive           | E. faecalis    | E. faecalis   | Positive     | E. faecalis                           | None             |
|                 | MV             | GPC                | No growth      | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. mitis                              | None             |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | E. faecalis    | E. faecalis   | Positive     | E. faecalis                           | None             |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | No growth      | E. faecalis   | Positive     | E. faecalis                           | None             |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | No growth      | E. faecalis   | Positive     | E. faecalis                           | None             |
|                 | Aortic lesion  | Negative           | No growth      | Granulicatella adiacens | Positive     | G. adiacens                           | None             |
|                 | AV             | GPC                | No growth      | E. faecalis   | Positive     | E. faecalis                           | None             |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | S. lugdenensis | S. lugdenensis | Positive     | S. lugdenensis                        | None             |
|                 | AV             | GPC                | No growth      | S. mutans     | Positive     | S. mutans                             | None             |
|                 | Aortic annulus | Negative           | No growth      | S. mitis      | Positive     | S. mitis                              | None             |
|                 | AV             | Negative           | Cardiobacterium hominis | C. hominis   | Positive     | C. hominis                            | None             |
|                 | AV leaflet     | Negative           | No growth      | S. agalactiae | Positive     | S. agalactiae                          | None             |
|                 | MV             | Negative           | S. lugdenensis | S. lugdenensis | Positive     | S. lugdenensis                        | None             |
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Table 2 Comparison of bacterial culture and ribosomal sequencing results (Continued)

| Definite     | AV leaflet | Negative | E. faecalis | E. faecalis | Positive | E. faecalis | None |
|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------|
| Definite     | MV vegetation | Negative | S. lugdenensis; broth only | S. lugdenensis | Positive | S. lugdenensis | None |
| Definite     | AV; left atrial lesion | Negative | S. aureus; broth only | S. aureus | Positive | S. aureus | None |
| Definite     | AV         | Negative | No growth | S. mitis | Positive | S. mitis/sanguinis | None |
| Definite     | PAV        | Negative | No growth | S. salivariscus | Positive | S. salivariscus | None |
| Definite     | AV         | Negative | No growth | E. coli | Positive | E. coli | None |
| Definite     | MV vegetation | GPB | H. parainfluenzae | H. parainfluenzae | Positive | H. parainfluenzae | None |
| Definite     | MV         | Negative | CoNS; broth only | No growth | Negative | Not Done | None |
| Possible     | PAV        | Negative | No growth | No Growth | Positive | Acinetobacter junii | New |
| Possible     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Positive | Delftia tsuruhatensis | New |
| Possible     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Positive | S. infantis | New |
| Possible     | PAV        | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Possible     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Possible     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Negative     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Positive | E. faecalis | New |
| Negative     | MV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Negative     | MV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Negative     | PMV        | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Negative     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Negative     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | Negative | None |
| Negative     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Positive | Mixed | None |
| Negative     | MV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Negative | None |
| Negative     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Positive | Mixed | None |
| Negative     | AV         | Negative | No Growth | No Growth | Positive | Mixed | None |

AV aortic valve, CoNS coagulase negative Staphylococcus, GBS group B Streptococcus, GCS group C Streptococcus, GGS group G Streptococcus, GPC gram positive cocci, GPB gram positive bacilli, MV mitral valve, PAV prosthetic aortic valve, PMV prosthetic mitral valve. New indicates a new microbiologic diagnosis was made based on bacterial sequencing results. Change indicates that the microbiologic agent was changed or clarified.

Table 3 Performance of Gram stain and bacterial culture of heart valve tissue compared to 16S broad range PCR/sequencing for diagnosis of endocarditis

| Method                      | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV     | NPV     | Diagnostic efficiency |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|------------------------|
| Gram Stain of Heart Valve Tissue | 26.0 % (15.1–40.6) | 100 % (78.1–100.0) | 100 % (71.7–100.0) | 32.7 % (21.0–46.8) | 45.6 % (33.8–57.4) |
| Heart Valve Tissue Culture  | 46 % (33.0–59.6) | 100.0 % (83.1–100.0) | 100.0 % (83.1–100.0) | 41.3 % (27.0–56.7) | 60.2 % (50.8–68.0) |
| 16S Broad Range PCR/Sequencing | 92.0 % (84.6–96.5) | 77.8 % (57.4–90.4) | 92.0 % (84.6–96.5) | 77.8 % (57.4–90.4) | 88.2 % (77.4–94.9) |

Diagnostic performance of tissue culture and 16S Broad Range PCR sequencing in 68 samples (i.e. 50 cases had Definite IE, 8 cases had Possible IE, and 10 Cases were controls with no clinical or laboratory evidence of IE). Calculations are based on a definite diagnosis of endocarditis by the Duke’s criteria as the gold standard for diagnosis. 95 % CI in brackets. PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value.
blood culture. Figure 1 shows that the prescription of antibiotic therapy had no effect on the bacterial isolate recovery from HV culture, or the ability of PCR/sequencing to detect the presence of bacterial DNA in excised heart valve tissue.

**Literature review**

Table 4 summarizes the results for most of the previously published evaluation of user-developed broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing for patients with definite IE according to Duke’s criteria [9, 13–19, 23–28]. Only two studies that focused on patients with blood culture-negative endocarditis (BCNE) are included [29, 30]. In addition, there are two small evaluations of commercial molecular assays [31, 32]. A total of 824 patients with definite IE, 759 patients with blood culture-negative endocarditis, and 482 controls without IE have been studied using a molecular diagnostic approach, mainly in European centers. Although most of the patients in these studies were on antibiotics at the time of heart valve excision, only a few documented the median duration of pre-operative antibiotic treatment given prior to surgery. However, our patients’ experience was similar to those in other studies; at least two-weeks of antibiotics therapy had been given prior to cardiac surgery. Although some studies found that the duration of pre-operative antibiotics negatively effects heart valve culture results [18, 25], that has not been confirmed by others [9]. Pre-operative blood cultures are positive in most studies in approximately two-thirds of patients (range 33.3–87.7 %) which was confirmed in our patient population. However, heart valve culture had less optimal range of recovery of a pathogen (range 11.2–32.3 %) across these studies compared to either blood cultures or broad-range 16S rDNA PCR on heart valve tissue. User-developed broad-range 16S assays have also had a wide range of performance in patients with definite IE with a sensitivity (range = 41.2–100 %), specificity (range = 61.5–100 %). PPV (range = 79–100 %) and NPV (range = 34.4–100 %). Some of this variability may be explained by differences in gold standards for diagnosis. In studies where histology has also been reported, the highest correlation occurred between the presence of IE on histology and the HV PCR result [13, 15].

A wide range of primers that have different nucleotide positions in the *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene have been used in user-developed assays, which is part, may explain the wide range in performance in previously reported studies (Table 4). However, both commercial assays also showed similar performance variability (i.e., sensitivity 61 vs. 95 %) albeit the Septifast (Roche) and UDM™ (Molyzym) assays have different configurations, and have only been evaluated in very small numbers of patients [31, 32].

**Discussion**

This is the first evaluation of a novel fast broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing assay in a Canadian patient population, and the first study of the clinical utility of DPO primers for the routine molecular analysis of heart valve tissue in consecutive patients with and without infective IE. Additionally, our study had the advantage of extensive clinical information to better delineate the Dukes minor criteria for all patients. Our study confirms the higher sensitivity of molecular heart valve testing compared to tissue culture [35–38]. However, our novel assay has one of the highest reported sensitivities of a user-developed broad-range 16S rDNA PCR to date. Although pre-operative blood cultures make a microbiologic diagnosis in approximately two-thirds of patients suspected of having IE, subsequent molecular analysis of heart valve tissue contributed to the microbiologic diagnosis of 31 % of our patients. However, the change in diagnosis would only be expected to contribute to the clinical management of 13 % of patients. There was a significant delay between first culture results and the time of surgery, during which patients were universally on antibiotics. This is known to interfere with culture results but did not seem to significantly impair the diagnostic utility of molecular sequencing [39]. Broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing seems particularly useful in patients with culture-negative IE, which has also been reported recently by another center [38]. Additionally, sequencing to the subspecies level, as we have done, has important clinical implications in a small but important subset of patients [40]. Therefore, bacterial broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing has a complementary role with traditional culture techniques in the diagnosis of potential IE.

In our study the specificity of broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing was relatively lower than previous reports, despite the use of DPO primers that have been shown to substantially decrease cross-reactivity with human DNA [35–38]. This may reflect occult infection in patients who otherwise did not meet the Duke criteria for infective endocarditis. This further supports the suggestion of the addition of molecular diagnostics to the Duke criteria [12]. Alternatively, this could reflect sample contamination at the time of collection prior to sequencing, or within one of the molecular test components. Until the clinical role for broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing is clarified positive PCR results in patients who otherwise do not meet criteria for IE should therefore be interpreted within the full clinical context and correlated with all other laboratory results.

Prosthetic valve IE accounts for up to 20 % of cases of IE and is associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality [41], making microbiologic diagnosis especially critical. As implantation of prosthetic valves increases,
| Study (Reference) | Location      | Median duration of pre-operative antibiotic treatment (days) | Cases/ Controls<sup>a</sup> | Cases with positive pre-operative blood culture(s) | Nucleotide position of primers in E. coli 16S rRNA gene | Agreement of HV culture and 16S PCR | HV culture sensitivity/ specificity | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV | NPV |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| 1 (Goldenberger, [8]) | Switzerland  | NR<sup>d</sup>                                              | 18                          | 14/18 (77.8 %)                                    | 8-806                                                | 2/18 (11.1 %)                    | 11.1/100 %                        | 93.3 %      | 66.7 %      | 66.7 % | 66.7 % |
| 2 (Gauduchon, [13]) | France        | 31.5 (range, 8 to 150)                                      | 29/23<sup>c</sup>           | 21/29 (72.4 %)                                    | 911-930 and 1390-1371                                | 27/29 (93.1 %)<sup>c</sup>       | NR                                             | ND          | ND          | ND    | ND    |
| 3 (Lang, [19]) | UK             | NR<sup>d</sup>                                              | 28/61                       | 20/28 (71.4 %)                                    | 1522-1540 and 1170-1189                               | 14/20 (70 %)                     | NR                                             | ND          | ND          | ND    | ND    |
| 4 (Breitkopf, [23]) | Germany       | NR                                                         | 51/16                       | 7/21 (33.3 %)                                     | 8-27 and 907-926                                     | None                             | 7.8/93.7 %                        | 100 %       | 100 %       | 34.8 % | 34.8 % |
| 5 (Greub, [15]) | France         | NR                                                         | 127/118                     | 57/127 (44.9 %)                                   | 536-1050                                             | NR                               | ND                                             | ND          | ND          | ND    | ND    |
| 6 (Royer, [24]) | France         | 19.5 (range, 1–150)                                         | 147                         | NR                                                | 536-1050                                             | 64/95 (67 %)<sup>g</sup>         | NR                                             | ND          | ND          | ND    | ND    |
| 7 (Kotilainen, [18]) | Finland      | 19.6 (range 1–58d)                                         | 28/18                       | 20/28 (71.4 %)                                    | 1054-1077 and 1950-1926                               | 18/25 (72 %)                     | 13.1/100 %                        | 100 %       | 100 %       | 58.1 % | 58.1 % |
| 8 (Marin, [9])  | Spain          | 10 (range, 1–25)                                            | 35/120                      | 31/35 (88.6 %)                                    | 783-806 and 1389-1370                                | 16/35 (45.7 %)                   | 24.3/56.4 %                        | 95.3 %      | 98.4 %      | 88.5 % | 88.5 % |
| 9 (Volstedlund, [25]) | Denmark      | 19.3 (range, 0–90)                                         | 57/10                       | 50/57 (87.7 %)                                    | 341-534                                              | 19/57 (33.3 %)                   | 26/62 %                          | 100 %       | 100 %       | 62 %    | 62 %    |
| 10 (Fournier, [30]) | France        | NR                                                         | 549/191/19                  | All patients had negative blood culture            | Same as study (2) 536F and RP2                       | 45.6 %                          | 45.7 %/NR                        | 69.2 %      | ND          | ND    | ND    |
| 11 (Miyaizato, 2011) | Japan         | 19                                                         | 15/19 (79 %)                | 8UA and 148SB                                     | None: 79 % had a positive Gram stain                 | None were positive              | 100 %                          | 100 %       | 79 %        | 100 % | 100 % |
| 12 (Vondracek, [27]) | Sweden        | NR                                                         | 57/61                       | 48/57 (84 %)                                      | 334-939                                              | 20/57 (35.1 %)                   | 23/87 %                          | 77 %         | 100 %       | 87 %   | 87 %   |
| 13 (Boussier, [28]) | France        | NR                                                         | 31                          | 23/31 (74.2 %)                                    | Same as (2) and 8-27 and 1510-1492                  | 5/31 (16.1 %)                    | 32.3/100 %                        | 100 %       | 100 %       | 61.5 % | 61.5 % |
| 14 (Kemp, [17])   | Denmark        | NR                                                         | 56/36                       | 36/56 (64.3 %)                                    | 8-534                                                | 7/42 (16.7 %)                    | 16.7/100 %                        | 88 %         | 61.5 %      | 88 %   | 57.1 % |
| 15 (Sadaka, [29]) | Egypt          | NR                                                         | 19                          | All blood cultures were negative                   | 1522-1540 and 1170-1189                              | 5/6 (83.3 %)                     | 62.5 %/NR                        | ND          | ND          | ND    | ND    |
| 16 (Harris, [16]) | Ireland, UK    | NR                                                         | 47                          | 35/47 (74.5 %)                                    | 16S Fa, 16S Fb and 16SR (320 bp)                     | 29/47 (61.7 %)                   | NR                                             | 67 %         | 91 %        | 46 %   | 46 %   |
| 17 (Leli, [31]<sup>h</sup>) | Italy        | NR                                                         | 20                          | NR                                                | Septifast (Roche)                                    | 3/19 (15.8 %)                    | 15.8/100 %                       | 100 %       | 100 %       | 83.3 % | 83.3 % |
| 18 (Marsch, [32]) | Germany        | NR                                                         | 46                          | NR                                                | UMD™, Molzym                                         | 27/46 (56.7 %)                    | 32.1/100 %                        | 61 %         | ND          | ND    | ND    |

<sup>a</sup>Only data for definite IE cases is included. Some studies (3, 7, and 9) also enrolled a small number of cases with possible IE according to Duke's criteria. Controls were patients undergoing cardiac surgery for non-infective reasons.<br><sup>b</sup>Not reported (NR)<br><sup>c</sup>Definite IE was diagnosed by histology in the 52 cases; 29 definite IE cases were included and 23 cases with no evidence of IE on histology of heart valve tissue. PCR results were compared to histology and not culture in this study.<br><sup>d</sup>Cases divided into an active group (n = 19) that was on antimicrobial therapy at the time of surgery, and the resolved group (N = 9) who had completed treatment (time period 3 months to 7 years after treatment at the time of cardiac surgery, and nine others with possible IE)<br><sup>e</sup>Bacterial DNA was amplified by PCR significantly more often (64/95, 67 %) in HV with histological evidence of IE than valves that had no histological evidence of IE (21/55, 38 %) (p = 0.001)<br><sup>f</sup>This study used a two-step PCR procedure: first, a real-time method, then a conventional end-point PCR was applied to HV samples to improve the sensitivity of molecular detection from 38 to 58 %<br><sup>g</sup>Both of these studies only enrolled patients with culture negative endocarditis (i.e., all patients had clinical evidence of IE but negative blood cultures)<br><sup>h</sup>PCr on heart valve tissue was performed using a commercial real-time PCR assay (Septifast, Roche Molecular Systems, Mannheim Germany)<br><sup>i</sup>PCR of heart valve tissue was performed using a commercial assay (UDM™, Molzym, Bremen, Germany) that uses both 16S rRNA bacterial primers and 18S rRNA fungal primers
this group of patients will become increasingly important [42]. Previous investigations looking at PCR sequencing in patients with mechanical or bio-prosthetic valves showed poor diagnostic performance [5, 36]. Given the limited specimen available from prosthetic valves, sampling error is an important source of diagnostic error. Sequence amplification requires smaller samples, making sequencing potentially less prone to sampling errors than bacterial culture or histologic analysis [5]. In our study, the sensitivity of broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing in prosthetic valves was lower compared to its performance in native valves but still outperformed tissue culture.

Our study has several important limitations. We are reporting the experience from a single centralized laboratory that has accrued experience in the development and implementation of complex user designed molecular assays. The results reported herein may not reflect the accuracy of the utilization of this technology in jurisdictions without expertise in broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing. Additionally, our case series is limited by selection bias, since only patients undergoing valve surgery were included in our study. While this limits the generalizability of our study, it accurately reflects routine sequencing of excised heart valve tissue. Finally, the Duke criteria that we used as the gold standard for diagnosis are reliant on tissue and blood culture as diagnostic criteria, which may lead to overestimates of their diagnostic performance.

Conclusion
Identification of the underlying microbial pathogen in endocarditis is crucial to determining length of therapy and appropriately targeting anti-microbial. Bacterial sequencing to the subspecies level improves pathogen identification, and has important clinical implications. Broad range sequencing performed well as a diagnostic test, contributing the clinical care of 13 % of patients, compared to a gold standard of clinical diagnosis based on Dukes criteria. This procedure should be considered an essential step in the management of all patients with suspected or possible infective endocarditis, particularly in patients with culture negative IE.
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