The Combination of Periostin Overexpression and Microvascular Invasion Is Related to a Poor Prognosis for Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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INTRODUCTION

Periostin, also known as osteoblast-specific factor 2, is an extracellular matrix protein that has been shown to be an important regulator of bone and tooth formation and maintenance. Periostin first identified in bone is a molecule secreted from the mouse osteoblastic cell line MC3T3-E1. The molecular structure of periostin is particularly highly homologous to βig-h3, which is known to promote cell adhesion and the spread of fibroblasts. Cancer progression is recognized not only by aberrant events occurring only in cancer cells but also by substances surrounding cancer cells secreted by various cells in the tumor microenvironment and signaling pathways induced by cancer and other cells.

In recent human cancer studies, periostin has also been reported to be related to metastatic potential and poor prognosis in many kinds of cancer. Previous reports indicate that overexpression of periostin in tumor tissues can promote angiogenesis and invasion in various human cancers, including colon, head and neck, oral, nasopharyngeal, thyroid, breast, and pancreatic cancers. However, reports that support the association of periostin expression with the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and its clinical significance in HCC are lacking. We therefore aimed to analyze periostin expression in HCC and determine its implication in the prognosis of HCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients and tissue specimens

We evaluated 149 HCC patients who underwent surgical resection as first-line treatment in Kyungpook National University...
Hospital between 2006 and 2010. HCC was diagnosed and treated according to the American Associated for the Study of Liver Diseases guidelines. We excluded patients who had received prior treatments such as local ablation therapy and transarterial chemoembolization. We also excluded HCC patients who had portal vein thrombosis and radiologic vascular invasion, which are not indicated for surgical resection. For more than one nodules distributed in one lobe, we could perform surgical resection. Clinical data were obtained by reviewing the patients’ medical records, including age, sex, tumor size, tumor number, laboratory results, and etiology of underlying liver disease. All of the patients were followed-up by using multiphasic dynamic computed tomography and serum α-fetoprotein (AFP) measurement every 3 months. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyungpook National University Hospital (KNUH-2014-04-056-001).

2. Immunohistochemical staining

All tissue specimens were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. We built sets of tissue microarrays from surgical specimens of HCC and nontumorous tissue for immunohistochemistry. Anti-Periostin antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was used for immunohistochemistry. Periostin expression was assessed according to staining intensity, and was scored as 1 to 3 as follows: (1) weakly positive; (2) moderately positive; and (3) strongly positive. For statistical analyses, the immunohistochemical staining scores were categorized as low (1 and 2) or high (3). Two specialized pathologists blinded to the patients’ clinical data independently reviewed histopathological and immunohistochemistry results three times, for tumor histology, capsule invasion, microvascular invasion, and periostin expression. Microvascular invasion was defined as microscopic tumor invasion or tumor emboli within the central, portal, or hepatic vein or large capsular vessels.

3. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20.0 software for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The chi-square or Fischer exact test was used to analyze the relationship between periostin expression level and clinicopathological char-

![Fig. 1. Immunohistochemical staining of periostin (original magnification, ×200) in normal (A) and hepatocellular carcinoma tissues (B, weakly positive; C, moderately positive; D, strongly positive).](image-url)
acteristics. Recurrence-free survival and overall survival were calculated from date of surgical resection to local or distant relapse, death, or last follow-up and plotted as Kaplan-Meier curves. The log-rank test was used for evaluating differences in overall and recurrence-free survivals. We included as variables periostin expression, microvascular invasion, combination of periostin expression and microvascular invasion, age, sex, modified Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) stage, tumor size, tumor number, presence of capsule, and AFP in the univariate analysis. In the multivariate analysis, we included the variables in the univariate analysis, but excluded the components of combination of periostin expression and microvascular invasion and modified UICC stage. The components were periostin, microvascular invasion, tumor number, and tumor size. The multivariate analyses were performed according to the Cox regression model.

RESULTS

1. Expression pattern of periostin in clinical tissue specimens

We analyzed periostin expression by immunohistochemistry in 149 surgically resected HCC tissues using tissue microarrays (Fig. 1). Periostin was predominantly expressed in HCC cells compared with corresponding normal hepatocytes, which was mainly observed in cytoplasmic area of HCC cells.

2. Periostin expression in HCC tissues and its correlations with clinicopathological characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. There were 111 male patients (74.5%) and 38 female patients (25.5%), with a median age of 56 years (range, 14 to 78 years). The median tumor size was 3.5 cm (range, 1.2 to 14.5 cm). The causes of underlying liver disease were hepatitis B virus infection (n = 115, 77.2%), alcohol consumption (n = 14, 12.1%), hepatitis C virus infection (n = 13, 8.7%), and cryptogenic (n = 7, 2.0%). The mean follow-up period was 48.5 months (range, 6 to 60 months).

Sixty-two patients (41.6%) showed high periostin expression levels in HCC tissues, whereas 87 patients (58.4%) showed low periostin expression levels in HCC tissues. To determine the association between the periostin expression level and clinical characteristics, differences in baseline clinicopathological characteristics according to the periostin expression level are described in Table 1. A high periostin level was observed more frequently in case of multiple tumors (odds ratio [OR], 2.826; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.224 to 6.527; p = 0.013), positive microvascular invasion (OR, 2.974; 95% CI, 1.431 to 6.181; p = 0.003), and an advanced modified UICC stage (OR, 3.032; 95% CI, 1.424 to 6.452; p = 0.003). Age, sex, etiology of underlying liver disease, Child-Pugh class, tumor size, Edmondson grade, AFP level, and existence of a tumor capsule were not correlated with the periostin expression level.

Table 1. Periostin Expression Levels and Clinicopathologic Features of 149 Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cases

| Clinicopathologic data | No. of cases | Periostin expression | p-value |
|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age, yr                |              |                      |         |
| ≤56                    | 75           | 44                   | 31      |
| >56                    | 74           | 43                   | 31      |
| Sex                    |              |                      |         |
| Male                   | 111          | 61                   | 50      |
| Female                 | 38           | 26                   | 12      |
| Etiology               |              |                      |         |
| HBV                    | 115          | 69                   | 46      |
| HCV                    | 13           | 6                    | 7       |
| Alcohol                | 18           | 10                   | 8       |
| Cryptogenic            | 3            | 2                    | 1       |
| Child-Pugh class       |              |                      | 1.000*  |
| A                      | 147          | 61                   | 86      |
| B                      | 2            | 1                    | 1       |
| Tumor size, cm         |              |                      | 0.236   |
| ≤5                     | 104          | 64                   | 40      |
| >5                     | 45           | 23                   | 22      |
| Tumor no.              |              |                      | 0.013†  |
| Single                 | 120          | 76                   | 44      |
| Multiple               | 29           | 11                   | 18      |
| Microvascular invasion |              |                      | 0.003†  |
| Yes                    | 43           | 17                   | 26      |
| No                     | 106          | 70                   | 36      |
| Edmondson grade        |              |                      | 0.560   |
| I–II                   | 93           | 56                   | 37      |
| III–IV                 | 56           | 31                   | 25      |
| Serum α-fetoprotein, ng/mL |          |                      | 0.578   |
| ≤200                   | 102          | 58                   | 44      |
| >200                   | 47           | 29                   | 18      |
| Modified UICC stage    |              |                      | 0.003†  |
| I–II                   | 110          | 72                   | 38      |
| III–IV                 | 39           | 15                   | 24      |
| Tumor capsule          |              |                      | 0.806   |
| Yes                    | 92           | 53                   | 39      |
| No                     | 57           | 34                   | 23      |

HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; UICC, Union for International Cancer Control.

*Fischer exact test; †p<0.05.
respectively. Patients with a high periostin expression level had significantly (p=0.002) lower overall survival rates (90.3%, 66.1%, and 56.2% at 1-, 3-, and 5-year) than did those with a low periostin expression level (97.7%, 85.1%, and 77.5% at 1-, 3-, and 5-year). Similarly, patients with a high periostin expression level had significantly (p=0.006) lower overall survival rates (83.9%, 42.8%, and 34.6% at 1-, 3-, and 5-year) than did those with a low periostin expression level (92.0%, 62.7%, and 50.9% at 1-, 3-, and 5-years) (Fig. 2).

4. Combination of periostin expression and microvascular invasion is an independent prognostic factor for survival in HCC patients

The periostin expression level and microvascular invasion were significantly and negatively correlated with overall survival and recurrence-free survival, respectively. Therefore, we developed another variable, periostin expression level×microvascular invasion, with a low periostin expression level and negative microvascular invasion scored as 0, a high periostin expression level and negative microvascular invasion scored as 1; a low periostin expression level and positive microvascular invasion scored as 2; and a high periostin expression level and positive microvascular invasion scored as 3.

Tables 2 and 3 display the results of the univariate and multivariate analyses for identifying prognostic factors that affect the overall survival and recurrence-free survival of the 149 HCC patients. In a univariate analysis, the high periostin expression level (p=0.021), positive microvascular invasion (p=0.001), high periostin expression level×positive microvascular invasion (1 vs 0, p=0.001; 2 vs 0, p=0.001; 3 vs 0, p<0.001), male sex (p=0.014), large tumor size (>5 cm, p=0.018), and an advanced modified UICC stage (III vs I, p=0.011) were significant prognostic factors for the overall survival of the HCC patients (Table 2). A high periostin expression level (p=0.026), positive microvascular invasion (p=0.005), high periostin expression level×positive microvascular invasion (3 vs 0, p=0.001), male sex (p=0.043), multiple tumor number (p=0.013), and an advanced modified UICC stage (III vs I, p=0.011) were significant prognostic factors of the recurrence-free survival of the HCC patients (Table 3).

In a multivariate analysis, only high periostin expression level×positive microvascular invasion (1 vs 0, p=0.002; 2 vs 0, p=0.001; 3 vs 0, p=0.006) was relevant to overall survival (Table 2). In addition, only high periostin expression level×positive microvascular invasion (3 vs 0, p=0.025) was relevant to recurrence-free survival (Table 3). The 1-, 3-, and 5-year cumulative overall survival rates were 96.7%, 90.0%, and 79.7% for the low periostin expression level×negative microvascular invasion patients, 89.5%, 57.9%, and 42.1% for the high periostin expression×positive microvascular invasion patients. The 1-, 3-, and 5-year cumulative recurrence-free survival rates were 90.0%, 30.0%, and 0.0% for the low periostin expression level×negative microvascular invasion patients, 47.4%, 10.5%, and 0.0% for the high periostin expression×positive microvascular invasion patients. High periostin expression level×positive microvascular invasion patient group showed significantly lower overall survival rates (p<0.001) and recurrence-free survival rates (p=0.010) than low periostin expression×positive microvascular invasion patient group showed (Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

A high periostin expression level is known to be associated with a poor prognosis of many cancers. Their molecular mechanism is not fully understood, but recent studies revealed that periostin binding to integrins activates the focal adhesion kinase (FAK)- and Akt (also known as protein kinase B, PKB)-mediated
Table 2. Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of Factors Associated with Overall Survival

|                      | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | HR (95% CI)         | p-value               | HR (95% CI)         | p-value               |
| Periostin expression level (high vs low) | 1.982 (1.111–3.536) | 0.021*               | 3.754 (1.621–8.693) | 0.002*               |
| MVI (yes vs no)      | 2.657 (1.488–4.741) | 0.001*               |                      |                      |
| PO×MVI†              |                     |                       | 3.754 (1.621–8.693) | 0.002*               |
| 0                    |                     |                       | 3.754 (1.621–8.693) | 0.002*               |
| 1                    | 4.126 (1.804–9.437) | 0.001*               | 4.833 (1.928–12.119) | 0.001*               |
| 2                    | 5.597 (2.271–13.792) | <0.001*              | 4.833 (1.928–12.119) | 0.001*               |
| 3                    | 4.681 (1.971–11.118) | <0.001*              | 3.452 (1.424–8.366) | 0.006*               |
| Age, yr (>56 vs ≤56) | 0.963 (0.529–1.753) | 0.903                 |                      |                      |
| Sex (female vs male) | 0.313 (0.124–0.793) | 0.014*               | 0.390 (0.149–1.020) | 0.055                 |
| Tumor size, cm (>5 vs ≤5) | 2.025 (1.130–3.629) | 0.018*               |                      |                      |
| Tumor no. (multiple vs single) | 1.572 (0.814–3.037) | 0.178                 |                      |                      |
| Tumor stage (modified UICC) |                     |                       | 3.754 (1.621–8.693) | 0.002*               |
| I                    |                     |                       | 3.754 (1.621–8.693) | 0.002*               |
| II                   | 5.818 (0.788–42.94) | 0.084                 | 5.939 (0.791–44.563) | 0.083                 |
| III                  | 13.44 (1.802–100.18)| 0.011*               | 7.679 (1.003–58.815) | 0.050                 |
| Presence of capsule (yes vs no) | 1.081 (0.594–1.967) | 0.800                 |                      |                      |
| AFP, ng/mL (>200 vs ≤200) | 0.750 (0.388–1.449) | 0.392                 |                      |                      |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MVI, microvascular invasion; PO, periostin; UICC, Union for International Cancer Control; AFP, α-fetoprotein.

* p<0.05; † 0: low PO×negative MVI; 1: high PO×negative MVI; 2: low PO×positive MVI; 3: high PO×positive MVI.

Table 3. Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of Factors Associated with Recurrence-Free Survival

|                      | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | HR (95% CI)         | p-value               | HR (95% CI)         | p-value               |
| Periostin expression level (high vs low) | 1.645 (1.062–2.548) | 0.026*               | 1.491 (0.844–2.635) | 0.169               |
| MVI (yes vs no)      | 1.908 (1.214–3.000) | 0.005*               |                      |                      |
| PO×MVI†              |                     |                       | 1.491 (0.844–2.635) | 0.169               |
| 0                    |                     |                       | 1.491 (0.844–2.635) | 0.169               |
| 1                    | 1.713 (0.980–2.993) | 0.059                 | 1.730 (0.846–3.537) | 0.133               |
| 2                    | 1.816 (0.909–3.627) | 0.091                 | 2.029 (1.095–3.759) | 0.025*              |
| 3                    | 2.731 (1.534–4.861) | 0.001*               |                      |                      |
| Age, yr (>56 vs ≤56) | 0.952 (0.606–1.495) | 0.831                 | 1.775 (0.794–3.971) | 0.317               |
| Sex (female vs male) | 0.561 (0.320–0.983) | 0.043*               | 0.635 (0.354–1.137) | 0.127               |
| Tumor size, cm (>5 vs ≤5) | 1.362 (0.857–2.162) | 0.191                 |                      |                      |
| Tumor no. (multiple vs single) | 1.874 (1.139–3.083) | 0.013*               |                      |                      |
| Tumor stage (modified UICC) |                     |                       | 1.491 (0.844–2.635) | 0.169               |
| I                    |                     |                       | 1.491 (0.844–2.635) | 0.169               |
| II                   | 1.007 (0.490–2.070) | 0.984                 | 0.851 (0.405–1.791) | 0.671               |
| III                  | 2.623 (1.243–5.535) | 0.011*               | 1.775 (0.794–3.971) | 0.162               |
| Presence of capsule (yes vs no) | 0.952 (0.609–1.486) | 0.828                 |                      |                      |
| AFP, ng/mL (>200 vs ≤200) | 0.931 (0.581–1.491) | 0.765                 |                      |                      |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; MVI, microvascular invasion; PO, periostin; UICC, Union for International Cancer Control; AFP, α-fetoprotein.

* p<0.05; † 0: low PO×negative MVI; 1: high PO×negative MVI; 2: low PO×positive MVI; 3: high PO×positive MVI.
HCC. An especially high periostin expression level was correlated with overall survival and recurrence-free survival in patients with HCC. An especially high periostin expression level was correlated with overall survival and recurrence-free survival in patients with HCC.

Fig. 3. Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (A) and recurrence-free survival (B) curves of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma based on the combination of periostin expression levels and microvascular invasion. PO, periostin; MVI, microvascular invasion.

signaling pathways which promote tumor angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis. According to the laboratory finding from one study, periostin promotes tumor angiogenesis and metastasis through upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor 2 expression. In addition, HCC in the periostin-positive group showed higher VEGF expression levels and higher microvascular density than HCC in the periostin-negative group, which is supported by the fact that VEGF stimulates endothelial cell proliferation and induces the formation of new blood vessels in HCC.

We think that the correlation of the periostin expression level with microvascular invasion is probably the consequence of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Periostin is a mesenchymal marker of cancer cells with other marker proteins such as vimentin, fibronectin, and N-cadherin. Similarly, a previous study showed that liver-intestine cadherin expression predicts microvascular invasion and a poor prognosis in hepatitis B virus-positive HCC. Periostin probably exerts its pro-tumorigenic effect through its binding to the integrins and the consequent activation of intracellular pathways, which determine enhanced invasiveness. This should be further studied with HCC cell lines.

In this study, we found that the periostin expression level was correlated with overall survival and recurrence-free survival in HCC. An especially high periostin expression level was correlated with microvascular invasion. Microvascular invasion has been regarded in many studies as an independent predictor of recurrence and poorer survival after hepatic resection for HCC. In our study, we found that even if microvascular invasion did not exist, the periostin expression level could predict prognosis. In addition, a combination of the two factors could predict overall and recurrence-free survival better than the two factors considered alone.

We reviewed a total of 149 HCC cases, a relatively large number of cases compared with that in previously published studies. However, this study has several limitations. First, this was a retrospective study, so clinical data were based on medical records. Patients with missing medical records were excluded from the analysis. Second, this was a single-center study, and hence, the results might not be generalizable to a broader population. In addition, the etiology of liver disease being predominantly hepatitis B virus infection limits the generalization of the results. Third, tissue periostin can be used as a biomarker for prognosis prediction, but tissue samples were obtained through percutaneous liver biopsy or liver resection, which is invasive and increases the risk to patients. Other noninvasive diagnostic approaches for the determination of periostin expression are needed to verify its validity as a prognostic marker. Fourth, our study was performed to investigate the relationship between periostin expression and patient prognosis, and not to reveal the mechanism of angiogenesis by periostin. Further studies on this issue are needed.

In conclusion, a high periostin expression level in HCC tissues suggested a poor prognosis and was correlated with microvascular invasion, multiple tumors, and an advanced modified UICC stage. Especially, the combination of periostin expression level and microvascular invasion is a better predictor of prognosis of HCC patients.
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