The NF-κB Transcriptional Footprint Is Essential for SARS-CoV-2 Replication
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ABSTRACT SARS-CoV-2, the etiological agent of COVID-19, is characterized by a delay in type I interferon (IFN-I)-mediated antiviral defenses alongside robust cytokine production. Here, we investigate the underlying molecular basis for this imbalance and implicate virus-mediated activation of NF-κB in the absence of other canonical IFN-I-related transcription factors. Epigenetic and single-cell transcriptomic analyses show a selective NF-κB signature that was most prominent in infected cells. Disruption of NF-κB signaling through the silencing of the NF-κB transcription factor p65 or p50 resulted in loss of virus replication that was rescued upon reconstitution. These findings could be further corroborated with the use of NF-κB inhibitors, which reduced SARS-CoV-2 replication in vitro. These data suggest that the robust cytokine production in response to SARS-CoV-2, despite a diminished IFN-I response, is the product of a dependency on NF-κB for viral replication.

IMPORTANCE The COVID-19 pandemic has caused significant mortality and morbidity around the world. Although effective vaccines have been developed, large parts of the world remain unvaccinated while new SARS-CoV-2 variants keep emerging. Furthermore, despite extensive efforts and large-scale drug screenings, no fully effective antiviral treatment options have been discovered yet. Therefore, it is of the utmost importance to gain a better understanding of essential factors driving SARS-CoV-2 replication to be able to develop novel approaches to target SARS-CoV-2 biology.
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The cellular response to virus infection has evolved to encompass two defensive strategies, an immediate call to arms and a secondary call for reinforcements. The initial response generally focuses on the direct inhibition of virus replication by generating a cellular environment that is restrictive to high levels of transcription, translation, and cellular transport (1). This early response is largely coordinated by cytokines belonging to the type I interferon family (IFN-I) (2). IFN-I production is initiated following the detection of viral RNA and other pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) (3). Members of the IFN-I family, most notably interferon β, are released into the extracellular milieu and can signal in both an autocrine and paracrine manner (4).
IFN-I signaling results in the assembly of an additional transcription factor complex, termed interferon-stimulated gene factor 3 (ISGF3), which is responsible for the upregulation of ~200 antiviral genes collectively referred to as interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) (5). Concomitant with this response, cellular detection of virus infection also induces a call for reinforcements. This strategy includes distinct classes of cytokines with chemoattractant properties called chemokines, which create biological gradients to attract immune cells involved in both innate and adaptive immunity (6, 7).

Cellular engagement of these two antiviral strategies relies largely on two families of transcription factors. Notably, the induction of IFN-I requires the concurrent activation of members of the interferon regulatory factors (i.e., IRF3 and IRF7) as well as NF-κB transcription factor members (i.e., RelA/p65 and p50) (4, 8). Activation of these two pathways in response to virus infection is coordinated by pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) that activate the IKK and IKK-related kinases (9). Direct phosphorylation of IRF3 and IRF7 by these kinases catalyzes their dimerization and association with histone acetyltransferases to induce gene transcription (10). In contrast to the IRFs, NF-κB activation is induced indirectly by phosphorylation, which leads to degradation or cleavage of an inhibitor to enable nuclear translocation and transcriptional activation. Moreover, unlike the IRFs, NF-κB activation is induced by many cellular stresses and is not restricted only to the engagement of PRR by viral infection (11).

The NF-κB family consists of five members defined by so-called Rel homology domains and includes NF-κB1 (p105/p50), NF-κB2 (p100/p52), RelA (p65), RelB, and c-Rel (12). NF-κB1 and NF-κB2 are unique in this family, as they are synthesized as larger precursors, p105 and p100, that are posttranslationally processed to p50 and p52, respectively. Classical activation of NF-κB is induced by the phosphorylation and subsequent degradation of IκBα, an inhibitor of p50:p65 heterodimers that are retained in the cytoplasm as a result of IκBα. Loss of IκBα exposes a nuclear localization domain to enable their transport and transcriptional potential (13). Classical engagement of NF-κB has been documented to be transient and activated by diverse stimuli, including cytokines, PAMPs, and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) (14). In contrast, nonclassical activation is slower, relying on de novo synthesis of NF-κB inducing kinase (NIK), and occurs through processing of p105 directly, which associates with RelB and also induces the transcription of a wide array of cytokines (15). IRFs and the classical NF-κB pathway cooperate to engage the IFN-I system, activating members of the STAT family (i.e., STAT1 and STAT2), which, along with IRF9, form the ISGF3 complex and induce hundreds of ISGs and, thus, enable the call to arms (16). In addition to this, NF-κB alone can induce many proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, thus initiating the call for reinforcements (11).

In general, viruses that cause human disease have evolved mechanisms to antagonize both defensive strategies described (17). Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that unlike many viral pathogens that infect humans, SARS-CoV-2 appears to selectively inhibit IFN-I signaling while allowing chemokine production to proceed mostly unabated (18). Numerous studies have now implicated a wide array of SARS-CoV-2 transcripts that participate in the suppression of the IFN-I response, including PRR-mediated activation of NF-κB activation (19–24). Despite the antagonistic potential of SARS-CoV-2, here we show that infection culminates in NF-κB activation, presumably mediated by one of the many cellular stress responses. Even though NF-κB activation results in recruitment of the immune response, normally targeted by viruses, here we demonstrate that the transcriptional footprint of NF-κB is essential for virus replication. Together, this series of events creates a cytokine-mediated inflammatory environment in the absence of a robust IFN-I response that culminates in the pathology associated with COVID-19.

RESULTS

Kinetics of early SARS-CoV-2 infection. To delineate the molecular basis for the imbalanced host response, we performed high-resolution kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 (USA-WA1/2020) infection in clonal human lung epithelial A549 cells stably expressing the
SARS-CoV-2 entry receptor ACE2 (25, 26). Poly(A)-enriched sequencing of total RNA extracts (here denoted RNA-seq) was performed at different multiplicities of infection (MOI) over a time course of 48 h postinfection (hpi). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) indicated that the transcriptional response to SARS-CoV-2 infection was initiated at 8 hpi at an MOI of 1.0, whereas no discernible response was observed at lower MOIs at this time point (Fig. 1A). By 16 hpi, a robust host response was detected at all MOIs, which plateaued at the 36-hpi time point. Aligning this RNA-seq data to the genome of SARS-CoV-2 demonstrated that the host response to infection correlated with viral RNA levels (Fig. 1B). This also correlated with robust nucleocapsid (N) and spike (S) protein expression as detected by Western blot analysis (Fig. 1C). To gain a better sense of how viral load relates to the host response, we performed additional RNA-seq analyses on a more refined kinetics using a high MOI to ensure that all cells were infected and relatively synchronized (Fig. 1D). In agreement with our earlier studies, these data demonstrated that the transcriptional response to viral infection was initiated between 6 and 9 hpi, which persisted and steadily increased until 24 hpi (Fig. 1D).

Relative SARS-CoV-2 transcript abundance at each of these time points suggested that a significant host response to infection trails peak levels of virus replication by approximately 3 h at a high MOI (Fig. 1D and E). These data are distinct from RNA-seq profiles from environments in which only a subset of cells is infected, suggesting that many differentially expressed genes are derived from uninfected bystander events (Fig. 1A and B). This correlation between host response and viral reads was further corroborated by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) for subgenomic nucleocapsid transcripts (sgN) and genomic envelope (E) viral RNA (Fig. 1F). Western blot analysis also corroborated robust production of nucleocapsid and the spike proteins beginning at 9 hpi (Fig. 1G). Furthermore, immunofluorescent staining for double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), a common PAMP generated in response to virus infection, demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 produces significant amounts of this inflammatory RNA during the course of infection, in agreement with other independent studies (Fig. 1H) (27).

**SARS-CoV-2 induces an early NF-κB transcriptional signature.** Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) of these data sets revealed that transcripts associated with tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) signaling via NF-κB were the most upregulated starting at 9 hpi, remaining high for the duration of the infection (Fig. 2A). Consistent with prior results, we failed to observe a significant IFN-I signature despite robust induction of NF-κB activity and inflammation. Examining enriched gene annotations, we observe the induction of both an inflammatory and an NF-κB signature comprised of overlapping chemokines (e.g., CXCL8, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CCL20) in addition to proinflammatory cytokines such as IL1A and IL-6 (Fig. 2B and C). In contrast to the induction of NF-κB signaling and inflammation early in infection, a small subset of IFN-I-related genes did show modest transcriptional induction at the latest time point (Fig. 2D). RNA-seq data could be further validated by qRT-PCR, which showed upregulation of NFKBIA in the relative absence of an ISG response, as measured by MX1 (Fig. 2E). Finally, Western blot analyses confirmed these results at a protein level, demonstrating a general lack of IFN-I engagement, as neither MX1 expression nor phosphorylation of STAT1 or IRF3 was evident in response to SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2F). However, we did observe phosphorylation of IκBα, indicative of NF-κB activation, as well as NF-κB p65 itself, which is consistent with our RNA-seq data and gene enrichment predictions (Fig. 2F). Protein expression and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, including CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL8, CCL2, CCL20, and IL-6, into the cell culture media after SARS-CoV-2 infection was also confirmed by ELISA (Fig. 2G).

**NF-κB transcriptional signature dominates transcriptome of infected cells.** To assess whether virus directly or indirectly activates NF-κB signaling, we performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on A549-ACE2 cells, identifying infected and naive bystander cells based on the presence of multiple viral RNA transcripts (Fig. 3A and B). In agreement with what others have previously described, SARS-CoV-2 causes a significant reduction in host mRNAs, resulting in a large percentage of viral transcripts accounting for total RNA per infected cell (Fig. 3C) (28). Consistent with our previous
FIG 1 (A) Volcano plots depicting differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq analysis of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.01/0.1/1) for the indicated amount of time compared to uninfected cells. Red dots indicate genes with a log2(fold change) greater than 2 or less than −2 and an adjusted P value of less than 0.05. (B) Mean percentage of viral reads over total mapped reads in RNA-seq from SARS-CoV-2-infected A549-ACE2 cells. Error (Continued on next page)
observations, gene set enrichment testing comparing total unique molecular indices (UMIs) between infected and bystander cells revealed that transcripts associated with TNF-α signaling via NF-κB were evident in both populations but dominated the transcriptome of infected cells (Fig. 3D and E). These data implicate NF-κB signaling as the dominant driver of the host transcriptional response in virus-infected cells and a likely contributor to the underlying inflammation observed in COVID-19.

NF-κB activation shapes the host response in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells. To better understand how the host response to SARS-CoV-2 is orchestrated at the transcriptional level, we next analyzed the epigenetic status of the cell in response to virus infection. To this end, assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq) was performed on mock- and SARS-CoV-2-infected A549-ACE2 cells. We observed chromatin opening at most NF-κB signaling targets, including CXCL2, NFKBIA, and TNF loci (Fig. 4A). In response to infection, the chromatin landscape was most altered by infection in the range of 20 to 200 kb from a given transcriptional start site (TSS) rather than at promoters, suggesting dynamic accessibility of regulatory elements (Fig. 4B). Indeed, newly accessible (SARS-CoV2 opening) and inaccessible (SARS-CoV2 closing) sites were enriched for chromatin locations that, based on A549 ENCODE data, corresponded to known poised (H3K4me1 H3K27ac−) and active (H3K4me1 H3K27ac+) enhancers, respectively (Fig. 4C to E). These data suggest that the response to SARS-CoV-2 infection is to repress normally active enhancers while activating enhancers that are normally poised.

Interestingly, when we examined motifs enriched among opening sites, there was a profound enrichment of the NF-κB-related DNA-binding motifs for REL, NFKB1, and RELA but not for IRF3 or IRF7, which would otherwise be associated with an antiviral response (Fig. 4F). Consistent with this, our ATAC-seq showed that the greatest increase in genomic accessibility correlated with binding sites for members of the NF-κB family (i.e., REL, RELA, RELB, NFKB1, and NFKB2), while the greatest decrease in accessibility correlated with binding sites for transcription factors of the TEAD signaling pathway (Fig. 4G). These alterations in enhancer sites corresponded to a transcriptional impact as measured by RNA-seq (Fig. 4H). Most notably, in our ATAC-seq data we detected in these dynamics a dramatic enrichment of TNF-α/NF-κB-associated genes and associated enhancers to the exclusion of IFN-I signatures (Fig. 4I to K), in keeping with our earlier findings in the transcriptional dynamics associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Furthermore, enhancers belonging to these NF-κB-inducible genes were among those displaying the greatest dynamics in chromatin accessibility (Fig. 4L).

NF-κB is essential for SARS-CoV-2 biology. To determine the interplay between NF-κB signaling and SARS-CoV-2 infection, we next silenced RelA (p65) or NF-κB1 (p105/p50) and assessed the impact on virus-host dynamics. Surprisingly, we observed that diminished RelA expression correlated with a significant decrease in viral nucleocapsid protein levels (Fig. 5A). Moreover, silencing of NF-κB1 resulted in a complete loss of detectable nucleocapsid levels comparable to targeting viral subgenomic nucleocapsid transcripts itself (Fig. 5A). This inhibition of viral replication, as measured by viral protein expression, following short interfering RNA-mediated silencing of RelA or NF-κB1 was further confirmed by quantification of cells expressing nucleocapsid protein, as measured by immunofluorescence staining, showing significant loss of infected cells following targeting of NF-κB1, RelA, or nucleocapsid directly (Fig. 5B). To ensure

FIG 1 Legend (Continued)

bars represent the standard deviations from three independent biological replicates. (C) Western blot analysis for SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) and nucleocapsid (N) as well as human GAPDH of whole-cell lysates from A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2. (D) Volcano plots depicting differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq analysis of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 2) for the indicated amount of time compared to uninfected cells. The red lines mark a log2(fold change) greater than 2 or less than −2. The green lines mark an adjusted P value of less than 0.05. (E) Mean percentage of viral reads over total mapped reads in RNA-seq from SARS-CoV-2-infected A549-ACE2 cells (MOI, 2). (F) qRT-PCR analysis of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 2). The graph depicts the relative mean accumulation of SARS-CoV-2 envelope genomic RNA (E) and nucleocapsid subgenomic RNA (sgN) normalized to human β-actin mRNA levels (n = 3). (G) Western blot analysis for SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) and nucleocapsid (N) as well as human ACE2 and GAPDH of whole-cell lysates from A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 2). (H) Immunofluorescence microscopy of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 1, 24 hpi). Cells were stained for dsRNA, nucleocapsid, and nuclear DNA.
FIG 2 (A) Dot plot visualization of enriched GO terms after RNA-seq analysis of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 2) or treated for 12 h with 100 U/ml IFN-β or 100 ng/ml TNF-α. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed against the GO data sets for biological processes. The color of the dots represents the false discovery rate (FDR) value for each enriched GO term. The size of the dots represents the enrichment signal strength as a percentage of genes included in the complete gene set. (B) Heat map analysis of the log2(fold change) expression levels of differentially expressed genes involved in the inflammatory response after RNA-seq of SARS-CoV-2 infected (MOI, 2) to uninfected A549-ACE2 cells. (C) Heat map analysis of the log2(fold change) expression levels of differentially expressed genes involved in TNF-α signaling via NF-κB after RNA-seq of SARS-CoV-2 infected (MOI, 2) to uninfected A549-ACE2 cells. (D) Heat map analysis of the log2(fold change) expression levels of differentially expressed genes involved in the IFN-α response after RNA-seq of SARS-CoV-2 infected (MOI, 2) to uninfected A549-ACE2 cells. (E) qRT-PCR analysis of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 2). The graph depicts the relative mean accumulation of NFKBIA and MX1 mRNA normalized to human β-actin mRNA levels (n = 3). (F) Western blot analysis for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N), Mx1, IRF3, p-IRF3, STAT1, p-STAT1, IkBα, p-IκBα, RelA/p65, p-RelA/p65, and actin of whole-cell lysates from A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 2). (G) Multiplexed ELISA analysis on cell culture supernatants from infected A549-ACE2 cells (MOI, 0.5) for the indicated amounts of time for CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL8, CCL2, CCL20, and IL-6.
FIG 3  (A) UMAP of single-cell RNA-seq of A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.01, 24 hpi) showing infected and bystander cells.  (B) Single-cell resolution heatmap of SARS-CoV-2 ORFs in infected and bystander cells from single-cell RNA-seq of SARS-CoV-2-infected A549-ACE2 cells (MOI, 0.01, 24 hpi). (C) Violin plots of total RNA UMIs, host RNA UMIs, and virus RNA UMIs for both bystander cells and infected cells from single-cell RNA-seq. (D) Gene set enrichment testing of infected versus bystander cells in scRNA-seq data set (FDR < 0.001). (E) Single-cell resolution heatmap of the genes in hallmark TNF-α signaling via NF-κB gene set for infected and bystander cells from downsampled data.
FIG 4 (A) Genome browser view of chromatin accessibility at the indicated loci (CXCL2, NFKBIA, TNF) in mock- or SARS-CoV-2-infected (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi) ACE2-A549 cells. Also shown are ENCODE tracks for ChIP-seq data of H3K4me1, H3K4me3, and H3K27ac in A549 cells. (B) Fraction of ATAC-seq peaks either... (Continued on next page)
that loss of NF-κB signaling was the molecular basis for decreased viral replication, we transiently expressed a chimeric transcription factor comprised of the DNA-binding domain of RelA fused to the transcriptional activator VPR (VP64:p65-Rta tripartite activator) (29) to agnostically induce gene activation based on enhancer availability, as previously described in wild-type or RelA knockout epithelial cells constitutively overexpressing ACE2 (Fig. 5C). As a control for RelA activation, we also expressed a chimeric transcription factor comprised of the DNA-binding domain of IRF3 (IRF3-VPR) or green fluorescent protein (GFP-VPR) fused to VPR (Fig. 5C). SARS-CoV-2 infection in RelA knockout compared to wild-type cells showed a dramatic loss in viral protein production that could be rescued following reconstitution of RelA/p65 activity (Fig. 5C). In contrast, constitutive IRF3 signaling, which leads to engagement of the IFN-I response, as denoted by IFIT1 expression, inhibited viral replication, which is in accordance with the known IFN sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 5C) (30, 31). Together, these data suggest that SARS-CoV-2 replication requires the transcriptional output of the NF-κB signaling pathway.

Given the dominant NF-κB transcriptional signature generated during SARS-CoV-2 infection alongside its apparent necessity for viral replication, we next sought to determine whether NF-κB constitutes a viable therapeutic target by targeting it with different small-molecule inhibitors known to affect NF-κB signaling. To that end, we treated A549-ACE2 cells with BAY11-7082 (an inhibitor of IκBα phosphorylation), MG115 (a proteasome inhibitor preventing proteolytic degradation of IκBα), parthenolide (an inhibitor of IκBα phosphorylation), or p-xylene selenocyanate (an inhibitor of p50 DNA-binding activity) prior to infection with SARS-CoV-2, and both cell viability and efficacy in inhibiting viral replication were measured 24 hpi (Fig. 5D). For each compound, inhibition of NF-κB resulted in a modest to significant reduction of infected cells, with no or minimal cytotoxic effects at low drug concentrations. To further validate these findings, we analyzed cells treated with BAY11-7082 or MG115 prior to infection with SARS-CoV-2 by Western blotting (Fig. 5E) or qRT-PCR (Fig. 5F) and observed a significant inhibition in BAY11-7082-treated cells and a near complete loss of viral protein and RNA expression in response to MG115. Consistent with these data, RNA-seq analysis of SARS-CoV-2-infected A549-ACE2 cells treated with BAY11-7082 or MG115 compared to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) treatment demonstrates efficient inhibition of viral reads detected (Fig. 5G) as well as NF-κB-related genes, such as CXCL2, NFKBIA, JUN, and JUNB (Fig. 5H). In addition, we observed a significant reduction of secreted proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection in A549-ACE2 cells after BAY11-7082 compared to DMSO treatment (Fig. 5I).

**DISCUSSION**

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has imposed a significant burden on global health. In an effort to better understand the underlying biology of COVID-19 and identify potential
FIG 5  (A) Western blot analysis of whole-cell lysates of siRNA-treated A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi). (B) Quantitation of siRNA-treated A549-ACE2 cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi) exhibiting nucleocapsid staining in immunofluorescence microscopy. Bar graphs shows the mean percentage of nucleocapsid-positive cells normalized to cells treated with a nontargeting control siRNA. Error bars indicate the standard deviations from eight independent biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by an unpaired two-sample two-tailed t test (***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001). (C) Western blot analysis of whole-cell lysates of HeLa-ACE2 or HeLa-p65-KO-ACE2 cells transiently expressing the transcriptional activator VPR fused to the RelA/p65 or IRF3 DNA-binding domains or a GFP control infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi). (D) Dose-response analysis of A549-ACE2 cells treated with BAY11-7082, MG115, parthenolide, or p-xyleneselenocyanate at the indicated concentrations and infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi). Viral infection and cell viability were quantified and normalized to DMSO-treated samples from 3 independent biological replicates. Percent infection (magenta) and cytotoxicity (black) are shown. (E) Western blot analysis of A549-ACE2 cells treated with DMSO, 10 μM BAY11-7082, or 1 μM MG115 and infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi). (F) qRT-PCR analysis of A549-ACE2 cells treated with DMSO, 10 μM BAY11-7082, or 1 μM MG115 and infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi). The graph depicts the relative mean accumulation of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid subgenomic RNA (sgN) (Continued on next page)
novel therapeutic strategies, we characterized the cellular inflammatory response to SARS-CoV-2 infection in human lung epithelial cells. These efforts identified NF-κB as a central transcriptional node responsible for much of the inflammation induced by SARS-CoV-2. More specifically, we show that SARS-CoV-2 infection drives NF-κB signaling at epigenetic, transcriptional, protein, and posttranslational levels, and that NF-κB is required for viral replication and the resulting cytokine response. The kinetics of NF-κB activation was found to be dependent on viral load, suggesting that the accumulation of PAMPs, such as viral dsRNA or misfolded proteins, are responsible for inducing a cellular stress response culminating in the degradation of IκBa and subsequent translocation of the p65:p50 heterodimer to the nucleus (14). The results of our scRNA-seq analysis further corroborated the activation of NF-κB and demonstrated that this signature is derived directly from infected cells, indicating that the process of virus replication was responsible for IKK activation. These results are in agreement with previously published scRNA-seq data by other groups showing that infected primary human airway cells also demonstrate a strong NF-κB signature (32, 33). The data are also consistent with the observed stress response seen in scRNA-seq of SARS-CoV-2-infected Vero E6 cells and in scRNA-seq of dissociated tumors unrelated to SARS-CoV-2 work (20, 34). Regardless of what aspect of virus replication is responsible for IKK activation, nuclear translocation of NF-κB engages cognate enhancers and induces a broad array of target genes that include the proinflammatory cytokines TNF, IL-1, and IL-6, among others (12). Based on the silencing of p65 and p50, we demonstrate that active NF-κB signaling is critical for effective viral replication in vitro. We further corroborate these findings when enabling constant RelA/p65 signaling by expressing a VPR transcriptional activator fused to the RelA DNA-binding domain, which successfully rescued virus replication in cells devoid of NF-κB biology. While these data do not exclude the possibility of an IKK-mediated mechanism of posttranslational modification of viral proteins, they suggest that SARS-CoV-2 replication requires the upregulation of one or more NF-κB target genes. While our RNA-seq analysis demonstrates that small-molecule targeting of NF-κB by BAY11-7082 or MG115 effectively inhibits induction of cytokines and other classical NF-κB-target genes, it remains difficult to deconvolute the molecular basis for what gene(s) is responsible for this phenotype.

While there are many broadly acting small-molecule inhibitors of the NF-κB pathway, there are no specific FDA-approved inhibitors of NF-κB. In this study, we tested several compounds and molecular strategies to target different components of the NF-κB signaling pathway, ranging from inhibition of IKK activity and subsequent phosphorylation of IκBa to inhibition of DNA-binding activity of the NF-κB transcription factor. As a result, we found that SARS-CoV-2 replication can be effectively blocked or reduced by targeting NF-κB biology. An added theoretical benefit of this strategy is the simultaneous blocking of the release of proinflammatory cytokines, which are also largely regulated by NF-κB and are a hallmark of COVID-19 pathology. However, the complex nature of NF-κB, a key regulator of many cellular processes, including cell survival and proliferation, also makes it a difficult pathway to target, which is reflected by the lack of FDA-approved inhibitors of NF-κB (35).

Due to the central role of NF-κB in orchestrating the innate and adaptive immune response, it is unsurprising that many virus families have evolved mechanisms of suppressing NF-κB signaling (36). For example, members of the Picornaviridae family, such as coxsackievirus, hepatitis A virus, and foot-and-mouth disease virus, possess 3C and

FIG 5 Legend (Continued)

normalized to human β-actin mRNA levels from three independent biological replicates with error bars representing the standard deviations. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired two-sample two-tailed t tests (*, $P < 0.05$; ***, $P < 0.001$). (G) Mean percentage of viral reads over total mapped reads in RNA-seq from SARS-CoV-2-infected A549-ACE2 cells (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi) treated 10 μM BAY11-7082 or 1 μM MG115 compared to DMSO from three independent biological replicates. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired two-sample two-tailed t tests (*, $P < 0.05$; ****, $P < 0.0001$). (H) Volcano plots depicting differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq analysis of SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1, 24 hpi) infected A549-ACE2 cells treated with DMSO compared to 10 μM BAY11-7082 or 1 μM MG115. Red dots indicate genes with a log_{10}(fold change) greater than 2 or less than −2 and an adjusted P value of less than 0.05. (I) Multiplexed ELISA analysis for CXCL5, CXCL8, CCL2, and IL-6 on cell culture supernatants from infected A549-ACE2 cells (MOI, 0.5) treated with DMSO or 10 μM BAY11-7082.
3C-like proteases, which can cleave critical host proteins required for the activation of NF-κB, including MAVS, TRIF, TAK, and NEMO (37–39). Another example is porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV), a member of the Coronaviridae family, whose Papain-like protease prevents polyubiquitination of IκBα, which is required for NF-κB activation (40). This strategy is also common among multiple herpesviruses, such as human betaherpesvirus (HCMB), herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1), and Kaposi’s sarcoma herpesvirus (41–43). Other mechanisms of suppression of NF-κB activation include inhibition of the phosphorylation of IKKα and IKKβ by vaccinia virus, human cytomegalovirus, and influenza A virus (44–49), direct binding of the p50 and p65 subunits by viral proteins to prevent nuclear translocation or activation, such as HSV-1 (50), competitive binding to the nuclear import factors importin-α and importin-β to prevent nuclear translocation of NF-κB, e.g., Japanese encephalitis virus or Hantaan virus (51, 52), and prevention of the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of IκBα by molecular mimicry of an IκBα motif by poxvirus protein A49 (53).

However, with the exception of oncogenic viruses, it is much rarer that viruses rely on NF-κB for essential proviral functions. Oncogenic viruses induce persistent activation of NF-κB, which contributes to the oncogenic transformation of cells, best exemplified by human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) (54). In another example, all enhancer regions of primate lentiviral long terminal repeats, including for HIV-1, contain one or more NF-κB binding sites, which recruit p50:p65 heterodimers to the integrated provirus and enable transcription of viral genes by the host cell machinery (55). In fact, early during HIV-1 and HIV-2 infections, it has been reported that the immediate-early viral gene product Tat directly induces NF-κB activation by promoting p65 DNA binding and inhibiting the NF-κB repressor IκBα (56). While a critical role of NF-κB for oncoviral or lentiviral propagation is easily explained, the finding that influenza A virus (IAV) also has been shown to depend on NF-κB is more surprising. IAV replication was significantly diminished in vitro using BAY11-7082, BAY11-7085, and SC75741, drugs targeting NF-κB activation (57–59), as well as using dominant-negative mutants of IKKβ or IκBα (60). These findings were recapitulated in vivo as well using BAY11-7085 in mouse models, although we were unable to recapitulate these data using SARS-CoV-2 in our hamster model (61). Despite all these studies suggesting a role for NF-κB in IAV replication, the mechanism underlying these observations remains unclear.

We demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 infection induces a strong and persistent NF-κB transcriptional response that mediates the induction of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines as well as one or more NF-κB-driven genes that are essential for viral replication. These data provide a biological basis for the imbalanced host response observed in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells and COVID-19 patients as driven by dependencies of the virus for efficient replication. While it has been shown that SARS-CoV-2 is susceptible to the actions of type I IFN (62, 63) and therefore has evolved several mechanisms to suppress the IFN-I response (19–24), we have also previously shown (18) that this antagonized IFN-I response corresponds to an exuberant inflammatory host response. Here, we demonstrate that this is orchestrated by the NF-κB family of transcription factors, despite the negative implications of inducing a strong NF-κB-mediated innate and adaptive immune response for the virus. These results further explain the pathogenicity of COVID-19, which is characterized by cytokine-driven hyperinflammation of tissues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture.** Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)-expressing human adenocarcinoma alveolar basal epithelial cells (A549-ACE2) have been described previously (26). African green monkey kidney Vero E6 cells (CRL-1586) were purchased from the ATCC. Human adenocarcinoma cervical epithelial HeLa cells (ab255448) and RELA knockout HeLa cells (ab255425) were purchased from Abcam. ACE2-expressing wild-type (HeLa-ACE2) and RELA knockout HeLa (HeLa-p65-KO-ACE2) cells were generated by lentiviral integration as previously described for HEK293T cells (64). AS49-ACE2, HeLa-ACE2, and Vero E6 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal...
TABLE 1 Primer sequences used for qPCR

| Gene          | qPCR primers                          | Reverse (5’ to 3’) |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| TUBA1A        | CGTCACCAACTGGGACGACA                   | CTTCCTCGGGTTGGCCTTGG |
| ACTB          | CGTCACCAACTGGGACGACA                   | CTTCCTCGGGTTGGCCTTGG |
| NFKBIA        | CAATGCTCAAGGACCGCTGAA                 | TCTGGTGAACATCGACGCCGAC |
| IFNB1         | GTACAGATGGAAATCTCAAG                   | ACAGCATTCTGTAGTTGAAG |
| MX1           | GTGGTGGTAAAGCAACCTGTG                 | GGCATCTGGTACGATCCC |
| SARS-CoV-2 TRS-N | CTTCTTGATAGCTTGTCTTAAAGCACC         | GTGCCCAAAAGTAAATGGG |
| SARS-CoV-2 NSP14 | TGGGGYTTACRGGTAACCT                   | AACRGCGTAAACAGGCACTC |
| SARS-CoV-2 E  | ACAGGTACGTAAATAGTTAATAGCGT            | ATATTGCAGCAGTCGACACA |

Monoclonal antibody purification. Monoclonal antibody 1C7 was generated using bacterially expressed recombinant SARS-CoV (Urbani strain) nucleocapsid as an immunogen in BALB/c mice. Hybridomas were produced and screened for binding to purified fusion protein by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Binding of 1C7 (IgG2a) to the native protein was confirmed by flow cytometry and immunofluorescence on transfected and permeabilized HEK-293T cells, by Western blot analysis using whole-cell lysates from transfected HEK-293T cells and from SARS-CoV-infected cells, and by immunohistochemistry on transfected and infected cells. Cross-reactivity of 1C7 with SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid was confirmed by flow cytometry, Western blotting, and immunohistochemistry.

Virus preparation. SARS-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), isolate USA-WA1/2020 (NR-52281), was deposited by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH. SARS-CoV-2 was propagated at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001 in Vero E6 cells in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and 100 μg/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Viral stocks were cleared from cellular debris by centrifugation (4,000 × g, 10 min, 4°C) prior to three buffer exchanges in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) using Amicon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter units (100-kDa molecular size cutoff). Infectious titers were determined by plaque assay in Vero E6 cells in minimum essential medium supplemented with 2% FBS and 0.7% agarose as described before (18). Virus infections for experiments were performed in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and 100 μg/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin for the indicated times and MOIs. For drug treatments, cells were pretreated at the indicated concentrations for 1 h at 37°C prior to SARS-CoV-2 infection. All work involving live SARS-CoV-2 was performed in the CDC/USDA-approved biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) facility of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai in accordance with institutional biosafety requirements.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol (Invitrogen) and Direct-zol RNA miniprep plus kit (Zymo Research) and treated with DNases I (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription was performed using SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and oligo(dT) primers. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using a KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR master mix kit (Kapa Biosystems) on a LightCycler 480 Instrument II (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer sequences used are detailed in Table 1. To quantify viral replication as measured by single guide RNA synthesis, primers specific for the TRS-L and TRS-B (N) sites were used. Delta-delta-cycle threshold (ΔΔCt) values were determined relative to ACTB and normalized to the average from mock-infected samples. Error bars indicate the standard deviations of the means from three biological replicates.

Western blot analysis. Whole-cell lysates were obtained through lysis of cells in radioimmunoprecipitation (RPPM) lysis buffer containing 1% SDS prior to safe removal from the BSL-3 facility. Lysates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Proteins were detected using monoclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (1C7), monoclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike (2B3E5), monoclonal anti-FLAG (M2) (F1804; Sigma-Aldrich), polyclonal anti-RelA/p65 (ab16502; Abcam), monoclonal anti-p105/p50 (E381) (ab32518; Abcam), monoclonal anti-IRF3 (EPR2418Y) (ab68481; Abcam), monoclonal anti-IFNβ (EPR2418Y) (ab8481; Abcam), monoclonal anti-IFIT1 (D2X9Z) (14769; Cell Signaling), monoclonal anti-Mx1 (EPR19967) (ab16502; Abcam), monoclonal anti-ACE2 (EPR4435(2)) (ab239924; Abcam), monoclonal anti-IκBα (E130) (ab32518; Abcam), polyclonal anti-RelA/p65 (ab16502; Abcam), monoclonal anti-IFIT1 (D2X92) (14769; Cell Signaling), monoclonal anti-IFNβ (phospho Ser32) (EPR3148) (ac92700; Abcam), monoclonal anti-RelA/p65 (phospho Ser536) (EPR2294Y) (ab76493; Abcam), monoclonal anti-IFNβ (phospho Tyr701) (S8D6) (9167; Cell Signaling). Primary antibodies were detected using fluorophore-conjugated secondary goat anti-mouse (IRDye 680RD, 926-68070; IRDye 800CW, 926-32210) and goat anti-rabbit (IRDye 680RD, 926-68070; IRDye 800CW, 926-32211) IgG antibodies or horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (NA934V; Cytiva). Fluorescent signals were detected using a LI-COR Odyssey CLx imaging system and analyzed by Image Studio software (LI-COR). Chemiluminescence was detected using SuperSignal West Femto maximum sensitivity substrate.
Immunofluorescence staining. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h prior to safe removal from the BSL-3 facility. Fixed cells were permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, cells were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS. Cells were immunostained for dsRNA using a mouse monoclonal anti-dsRNA antibody/clone r22 (MABE1134; Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 4°C. After three washes in PBS, primary antibodies were stained for with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat polyclonal anti-mouse IgG antibody (A-10129; Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, cells were stained for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid with a directly conjugated Alexa Fluor 594 anti-SARS-N antibody (1C7) and for nuclear DNA using 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, cells were imaged by fluorescence microscopy using an EVOS M5000 imaging system (Invitrogen).

RNA-seq. Total RNA from infected and mock-infected cells was lysed in TRIzol (Invitrogen) and extracted and DNase I treated using a Direct-zol RNA miniprep kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA-seq libraries of polyadenylated RNA were prepared using the TruSeq stranded mRNA library prep kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 platform. Raw reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) using the RNA-Seq Alignment App on Basespace (Illumina, CA), following differential expression analysis using DESeq2 (65). To diminish the noise introduced by different culture times, our differential expression analyses were always performed by matching each experimental condition with a corresponding mock-treated sample that was collected at the same time. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were characterized for each sample (|Log2 FC| > 1, adjusted P value of < 0.05) and were used as the query to search for enriched biological processes (gene ontology BP) and network analysis of protein interactions using STRING (65). Heatmaps of gene expression levels were constructing using heatmap.2 from the gplots package in R (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/index.html). Volcano plots and dot plots were constructed using ggplot2 and custom scripts in R (66). Heatmaps in Fig. 1 were constructed on DEGs belonging to the following Hallmark gene set annotations: MS890, MS911, and MS932 (67). Alignments to the SARS-CoV-2 genome (GenBank accession no. NC_045512.2) were performed using bowtie2 (68). All non-RNA-seq statistical analyses were performed as indicated in figure legends using prism 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA; https://www.graphpad.com/).

Multiplexed cytokine ELISA. Cytokine levels in the cell culture supernatant were evaluated using multiplexed ELISA for the following cytokines: CCL2/MCP-1, CCL20/MIP3a, CXCL1/GROα, CXCL2/GROβ, CXCL5/ENA-78, CXCL8/IL-8, and IL-6. All antibodies and cytokine standards were purchased as antibody pairs from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN) or Peprotech (Rocky Hill, NJ). Individual magnetic LumineX bead sets (Lumirex Corp., CA) were coupled to cytokine-specific capture antibodies according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Samples were analyzed on a LumineX MAGPIX platform and quantified using a standard curve. For each bead region, >50 beads were collected per analyte. The median fluorescence intensity of these beads was recorded for each bead and was used for analysis using a custom R script and a 5P regression algorithm.

ATAC-seq. For ATAC-seq analysis, A549-ACE2 cells were infected at an MOI of 0.1 for 24 h. Upon harvest, cells were trypsinized and collected as a single-cell suspension in complete DMEM. A total of 50,000 cells were isolated and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. Nuclei from washed cell pellets were extracted using lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.1% IGEPAL CA-630). Transposition was performed at 37°C for 30 min using the Nextera DNA library prep kit (Illumina), and transposed DNA was purified using the Qiagen MinElute PCR purification kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were analyzed on an Illumina MAGPIX platform and quantified using a standard curve. For each bead region, >50 beads were collected per analyte. The median fluorescence intensity of these beads was recorded for each bead and was used for analysis using a custom R script and a 5P regression algorithm.

scRNA-seq of viral infections. A549-ACE2 cells were infected at an MOI of 0.01 with SARS-CoV-2 for 24 h in DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. At 24 h postinfection, infected cells were trypsinized with 0.25% trypsin and collected as a single-cell suspension. Cells were then washed twice with ice-cold 1× PBS and filtered using a 40-μm Flowmi cell strainer (Bel-Art Scienceware). Cell count and viability were determined using trypan blue stain and a Countess II automatic cell counter (Thermofisher Scientific). Based on this cell count, a target cell input volume of 3,000 cells was loaded into a Chromium Controller using Chromium Next Gem (gel bead-in-emulsion) single-cell 5’ library & gel bead kit v1.1 (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer's
Percentage, cell cycle phase score, and G2M cell cycle phase score were regressed during gene expression principal-component analysis using the developer cell cycle scoring, highly variable gene selection, gene expression scaling, and dimensional reduction by expression less than 5% or greater than 40%, count data were subject to natural logarithm normalization, than 4,000 UMIs (empty droplets) or greater than 65,000 UMIs (doublets) and percent mitochondrial gene cell counts matrices were analyzed using Seurat (v4.0.1). After an initial to re

TABLE 2 Primer sequences used for ATAC-seq sample barcoding

| Sample | Primer sequencea |
|--------|------------------|
| Ad1_noMX | AATGATACGGCAACCGAGATCTACCTACGTGCAGCCAGCGTGAGATGATG |
| Ad2_TAAAGGCGA | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_CGTACTAG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_AGCGAGAA | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_TCTGAGAC | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_5_GGACTTCT | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_6_GATGCTAG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_7_CTCTCAC | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_8_CAGAGAGG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_9_GCTGCGTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_10_CAGAGGCTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_11_AAGAGGCA | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_12_ITAGAGAGA | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_13_GTCTGCGTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_14_CCGTCTTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_15_GGACTTCT | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_16_GATGCTAG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_17_CGTACTAG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_18_AAGAGGCA | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_19_GTCTGCTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_20_ITAGAGAGA | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_21_GTCTGCTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_22_CCGTCTTG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_23_GGACTTCT | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |
| Ad2_24_CGTACTAG | CAACCAAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATGCTGCTGTCGGCTGAGATG |

instructions. After GEMs were generated, library preparation of all samples was performed using the Chromium single-cell 5’ library kit v1.1 (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The library was then sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500.

scRNA-seq analysis. Sequencing data were processed with CellRanger v4.0.0 (10X Genomics, Inc.). Reads were mapped to a combined human (GRCh38) and SARS-CoV-2 (WuhHCoV, NC_045512.2, modified to reflect the USA-WA1/2020 strain, MT246667.1) genome reference using CellRanger count. Raw gene × cell counts matrices were analyzed using Seurat (v4.0.1). After an initial filter to remove cells with fewer than 4,000 UMIs (empty droplets) or greater than 65,000 UMIs (doublets) and percent mitochondrial gene expression less than 5% or greater than 40%, count data were subject to natural logarithm normalization, cell cycle scoring, highly variable gene selection, gene expression scaling, and dimensional reduction by principal-component analysis using the developer’s defaults. UMIs, mitochondrial gene expression percent, and cell cycle phase score were repressed during gene expression scaling. Further processing included unsupervised clustering analysis using the FindClusters function (resolution, 0.4) and visualization with Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (developer’s defaults). All gene expression violin plots were plotted using natural logarithm normalized counts and heatmaps with z-scaled counts. Cells were classified as infected or uninfected by performing hierarchical clustering using Ward’s minimum variance method on a distance matrix of z-scaled, log-normalized viral gene expression per cell with k set to 2. Comparing total viral UMIs per cluster separated cells into high and low viral gene expressing cells, and the cluster with higher viral gene expression was classified as infected.

Differential gene expression (DGE) analyses were conducted using edgeR v3.30.3 (78), with additional modifications for scRNA-seq data (71). Gene × cell count matrices were extracted from Seurat objects with infection information as metadata. SARS-CoV-2 viral genes were excluded from all differential gene expression analyses and cell cycle scores were calculated using Seurat’s CellCycleScoring function. For all analyses, genes expressed (i.e., greater than or equal to 1 UMI) in less than 10% of cells for at least one group were excluded from differential gene expression testing. To identify the transcriptional signature in infected cells, differential expression analysis was conducted by comparing bystander cells to infected cells. To mitigate the dramatic differences in host gene expression between infected cells and bystander cells, transcript counts from infected and bystander cells were randomly downscaled to the median transcript count/cell of the infected cell group. All cells with counts below this value were excluded from differential expression analyses. edgeR linear models included factors for cell cycle score (S phase and G2M phase scores), cellular gene detection rate, and infection status. The resulting significant differentially expressed genes were defined by an adjusted P value of <0.0001 and an absolute log, fold change of ≥1. Gene set enrichment testing was conducted using the HALLMARK gene sets from the Molecular Signatures database (MSigDB) with the CAMERA function (72). The parameter use.ranks was set to TRUE to minimize assumptions about data structure of scRNA-seq data compared to bulk RNA-seq or microarray data. Gene set enrichment contrasts were set to compare infected cells versus bystander cells, and significantly enriched gene sets were identified using an adjusted P value of less than 0.001.
siRNA-mediated silencing of NF-κB. RelA/p65 or NF-κB1 components of NF-κB were silenced in A549-ACE2 cells by siRNA-mediated RNAi. A549-ACE2 cells were transiently transfected with 36 nM siRNA pools targeting RelA/p65 (L-003533-00-0005; Horizon Discovery), p105/p50 (L-003520-00-0005; Horizon Discovery), or a nontargeting control pool (D-001810-10-20; Horizon Discovery) using RNAMax according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At 48 h posttransfection, cells were retransfected with 36 nM siRNA pools as before. At 24 h posttransfection, cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 for 24 h in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS. At the time of sample collection, cells were either lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with 1% SDS and analyzed by Western blotting or fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and immunostained.

Quantitative immunofluorescence staining. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h prior to safe removal from the BSL-3 facility. Fixed cells were permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, cells were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 3% BSA in PBS. Cells were immunostained for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid using a mouse monoclonal anti-SARS-N antibody (clone 1C7) overnight at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, cells were stained with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat polyclonal anti-mouse IgG antibody (A-11029; Invitrogen) and DAPI for 1 h at room temperature. After three washes in PBS, cells were imaged and quantified on a Celigo imaging cytometer (Nexelcom Bioscience). Graphs depict the average percentage of infected cells normalized to the control from eight biological replicates. Error bars depict the standard deviations.

Plasmid transfections. Approximately 2.4 × 10⁶ HeLa-ACE2 cells were transiently transfected with 0.5 μg of pCAGGS-GFP-VPR, pCAGGS-RelA/p65(dbd)-VPR, or pCAGGS-IRF3(dbd)-VPR using Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfected cells were treated with 500 nM ruxolitinib to block an antiviral IFN response caused by plasmid transfection. At 24 h posttransfection, medium was changed on the cells in the absence of ruxolitinib. At 48 h posttransfection, cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 for 24 h in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS. At the time of sample collection, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with 1% SDS and analyzed by western.

Viral growth and cytotoxicity in the presence of NF-κB inhibitors. Approximately 4 × 10⁴ A549-ACE2 cells were seeded in 96-well plates. The next day, cells were treated with either DMSO or drugs resuspended in DMSO at six different concentrations (0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, and 50 μM) in eight replicates per condition. To determine cytotoxic effects of drugs, cell viability was measured 24 h posttreatment using the CellTitre-Glo luminescent cell viability assay (Promega). Cell viability was quantified and normalized to the DMSO control. To determine inhibition of viral replication, 1 h posttreatment cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 for 24 h. Cells were subsequently fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde 24 hpi overnight at 4°C and immunostained for viral nucleocapsid and quantified as described above. The percentage of infected cells was calculated and normalized to the DMSO control.

For Western blot analysis and RNA-seq analysis of drug-treated infected cells, cells were pretreated with DMSO or 10 μM BAY11-7082 or 1 μM MG115 for 1 h before infection with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI, 0.1) for 24 h. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with 1% SDS or TRizol and analyzed as described previously.

Data availability. The raw sequencing data sets generated during this study are available on the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) server under accession number GSE184536.
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