ABSTRACT

Objectives To describe the relationships between axial length and intraoperative complications in patients undergoing cataract surgery.

Design Cohort analysis of the Royal College of Ophthalmologists' National Ophthalmology Database (RCOphth NOD).

Setting 110 National Health Service Trusts in England, Health Boards in Wales, Independent Sector Treatment Centres and Guernsey.

Participants 820354 patients, aged 18 years or older, undergoing cataract surgery. Eligible operations were those from centres with at least 50 operations with a recorded axial length measurement and age at surgery between 1 April 2010 and 31 August 2019.

Interventions Phacoemulsification where the primary intention was cataract surgery alone.

Outcome measures Posterior capsule rupture (PCR) and other recorded intraoperative complications.

Results 1211520 eligible operations were performed by 3210 surgeons. The baseline axial length was <21 mm (short eyes) for 17170 (1.4%) eyes, 21–28 mm (medium eyes) for 1182513 (97.6%) eyes and >28 mm (long eyes) for 11837 (1.0%) eyes. The median age at surgery was younger for patients with long eyes than those with short or medium eyes. The rate of any intraoperative complication was higher for short eyes than medium or long with complication rates of 4.5%, 2.9% and 3.3%, respectively (p<0.001). PCR occurred in 1.40% surgeries overall, and in 1.53%, 1.40% and 1.61% of short, medium and long eyes, respectively (p=0.043, not significant at the 1% level).

Conclusions Overall PCR rates for cataract surgery in RCOphth NOD contributing centres are lower than previously reported and there is little change in PCR rates by axial length. Short eyes were more likely to have an intraoperative complication than medium or long eyes.

INTRODUCTION

Cataract surgery is one of the most commonly performed operations with almost half a million surgeries performed by the National Health Service (NHS) in England in 2019–2020. Both first and second eye cataract surgery are cost-effective, and have significant indirect societal and health economic advantages including greater social inclusion and participation, improvements in mental health, reducing falls and incidence of road traffic accidents. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists’ National Ophthalmology Database (RCOphth NOD) was established over a decade ago in the UK to provide a national audit service allowing comparisons of surgery outcomes and complication rates between units and surgeons, and also for research. A number of RCOphth NOD analyses have been published for cataract surgery including...
visual outcomes, complication rates, copathology proportions and equity of access to cataract surgery. A previous analysis of cataract surgery complications by axial length published in 2015 found that overall posterior capsule rupture (PCR) rates (a significant intraoperative complication and one of the main benchmark outcomes) showed little change with ocular axial length except in eyes with axial length <20.0 mm where the risk of PCR was 1.9 times higher and 3.6% overall.

This RCOphth NOD analysis using a sixfold larger dataset (over 1.2 million operations compared 180 thousand) aims to assess the relationship between axial length and intraoperative complications in contributing centres representative of English, Welsh and Guernsey ophthalmological departments undertaking cataract surgery.

In this analysis, PCR has been further subdivided into PCR without vitreous loss (PCR no VL), PCR with VL (PCR +VL), zonule dialysis with VL (ZD +VL) and PCR with ZD with VL (PCR +ZD +VL).

METHODS

The RCOphth NOD receives anonymised data from participating NHS Trusts in England, Health Boards in Wales, Independent Sector Treatment Centres and one centre in Guernsey providing NHS and publicly funded cataract surgery. The data are recorded on electronic medical record systems (EMR) or in-house databases and were submitted annually for cataract operations using phacoemulsification to treat patients aged 18 years or older, where the primary intention was cataract surgery alone. Combined procedures, ‘cataract +other’ surgery, were excluded, unless the ‘other’ surgery formed part of the cataract operation (eg, an operative manoeuvre to increase the size of the pupil). Further information on audit eligible cataract operation can be found on the RCOphth NOD audit website (www.nodaudit.org.uk).

Eligible operations were those that were performed between 1 April 2010 and 31 August 2019 satisfying the eligibility criteria that apply to the RCOphth NOD Cataract Audit, from any contributing centre with at least 50 eligible operations. Operations were excluded if there was no recorded axial length measurement, if the measurement was <18 mm or >40 mm or if there was no age at surgery recorded.

The data were recorded on the Medisoft EMR system (Medisoft Ophthalmology, Medisoft Limited, Leeds, UK, www.medisoft.co.uk), the Open Eyes EMR system (www.openeyes.org.uk) or ‘in-house’ data collection systems compliant with the National Cataract Dataset (https://www.rcophth.ac.uk/standards-publications-research/audit-and-data/clinical-data-sets/cataract-national-data-set/).

Axial length was categorised as <21 mm (short eyes), 21–28 mm (medium eyes) and >28 mm (long eyes) and also reported in 1 mm increments. Comparisons for ocular conditions and intraoperative complications across the axial length groups were performed using the Pearson $\chi^2$ test, and a significance level of 1% used to ascertain statistically significant differences.

The EMR systems require the surgeon recording the operation note to specifically indicate a ‘yes/no’ response to whether a surgical complication occurred. At all centres, the EMR record (or its printed copy for the

Figure 1 Histogram of baseline axial length for 1211520 eyes undergoing cataract surgery.
paper notes) constitutes the medicolegal documentation of the patient's operation record.

PCR was defined as in the RCOphth NOD 10 and is subdivided into PCR without VL (PCR no VL), PCR with VL (PCR +VL), ZD with VL (ZD +VL) and PCR and ZD with VL (PCR +ZD +VL).

Recorded intraoperative complications of canalicular trauma, corneal perforation, decentred IOL, epithelial abrasion, infusion cannula in subretinal/suprachoroidal space, operation cancelled, pain, retinal haemorrhage, vitreous haemorrhage and wound leak were all included in the ‘unspecified other’ due to small frequencies.

The grade of operating surgeon was categorised as consultant surgeons, career grade non-consultant surgeons (associate specialists, staff grades and trust doctors), more experienced trainee surgeons (fellows, registrars and specialty trainees/registrars years 3–7), and less experienced trainee surgeons (senior house officer, specialty trainee/registrars years 1–2 and foundation doctors years 1 and 2).

The lead clinician and Caldicott Guardian (responsible nominee for data protection) at each centre provided written approval for anonymised data extraction. Anonymised database analyses of this type do not require ethical permission due to being viewed as audit or service evaluation (see http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/before-you-apply/determinewhether-your-study-is-research/). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the UK’s Data Protection Act.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

### RESULTS

Within the study period, 1 211 520 RCOphth NOD eligible cataract operations were performed on eyes with an axial length measurement between 18 and 40 mm and a recorded age at surgery from 110 centres with at least 50 eligible operations. The operations were performed on 595 786 (49.2%) left eyes and 615 734 (50.8%) right eyes from 820 354 patients, where the median number of operations per centre was 7330 (range: 53–42 637).

| N (column %) | Baseline axial length | Short (<21 mm) | Medium (21–28 mm) | Long (>28 mm) | Total | P value |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------|---------|
| Number of operations/eyes | 17 170 | 11 825 13 | 11 837 | 1 211 520 | N/A |
| Grade of operating surgeon | 13 063 (76.1) | 795 566 (67.3) | 905 373 (76.5) | 817 682 (67.5) | <0.001 |
| Consultant surgeon | 13 063 (76.1) | 795 566 (67.3) | 905 373 (76.5) | 817 682 (67.5) | <0.001 |
| Career grade non-consultant surgeon | 13 063 (76.1) | 795 566 (67.3) | 905 373 (76.5) | 817 682 (67.5) | <0.001 |
| More experienced trainee surgeon | 25 10 (14.6) | 229 581 (19.4) | 18 288 (15.4) | 233 919 (19.3) | <0.001 |
| Less experienced trainee surgeon | 292 (1.7) | 43 034 (3.6) | 14 3 (1.2) | 43 469 (3.6) | 0.001 |
| Individual copathology/known PCR risk factor (n column %) | | | | | |
| Age-related macular degeneration | 1749 (10.2) | 122 641 (10.4) | 684 (5.8) | 1 25 074 (10.3) | <0.001 |
| Glaucoma | 2593 (15.1) | 102 793 (8.7) | 786 (6.6) | 106 172 (8.8) | <0.001 |
| Diabetic retinopathy | 8675 (5.0) | 72 439 (6.1) | 184 (1.6) | 73 490 (6.1) | <0.001 |
| Brunescent/White/Mature cataract | 1094 (6.4) | 55 275 (4.7) | 537 (4.5) | 56906 (4.7) | <0.001 |
| Corneal pathology | 898 (5.2) | 45 759 (3.9) | 375 (3.2) | 47 032 (3.9) | <0.001 |
| Other macular pathology | 397 (2.3) | 30 792 (2.6) | 561 (4.7) | 31 750 (2.6) | <0.001 |
| Previous vitrectomy surgery | 79 (0.5) | 20 843 (1.8) | 875 (7.4) | 21 797 (1.8) | <0.001 |
| Amblyopia | 1547 (9.0) | 17 925 (1.5) | 818 (6.9) | 20 290 (1.7) | <0.001 |
| No fundal view/vitreous opacities | 368 (2.1) | 18 499 (1.6) | 177 (1.5) | 19 044 (1.6) | <0.001 |
| Pseudoexfoliation/phacodenesis | 210 (1.2) | 12 501 (1.1) | 70 (0.6) | 12 781 (1.1) | <0.001 |
| Other retinal vascular pathology | 185 (1.1) | 12 176 (1.0) | 74 (0.6) | 12 435 (1.0) | <0.001 |
| Uveitis/synechiae | 288 (1.7) | 942 (0.8) | 84 (0.7) | 9793 (0.8) | <0.001 |
| Optic nerve/CNS disease | 94 (0.5) | 5 459 (0.5) | 51 (0.4) | 5604 (0.5) | 0.227 |
| Previous trabeculectomy surgery | 92 (0.5) | 5 294 (0.4) | 40 (0.3) | 5 426 (0.4) | 0.045 |
| Fuch’s endothelial dystrophy | 56 (0.3) | 2 278 (0.2) | 6 (<0.1) | 2340 (0.2) | <0.001 |
| Inherited eye disease | 74 (0.4) | 16 07 (0.1) | 35 (0.3) | 1716 (0.1) | <0.001 |
| Other unspecified ocular copathology | 3066 (17.9) | 82 312 (7.0) | 12 76 (10.8) | 86 654 (7.2) | <0.001 |

CNS, central nervous system; PCR, posterior capsule rupture.
The operations were performed by 3210 surgeons, 1049 of whom had data for >1 grade, where 1505 consultant surgeons performed 817682 (67.5%) operations, 486 career grade non-consultant surgeons performed 116450 (9.6%) operations, 1704 more experienced trainee surgeons performed 233919 (19.3%) operations and 564 less experienced trainee surgeons performed 43469 (3.6%) operations.

**Patient demographics**

Of the 820354 patients, 349749 (42.6%) were male, 470605 (57.4%) were female and 391166 (47.7%)...
patients had cataract surgery to both their eyes during the analysis period, including 1275 patients who had immediate simultaneous bilateral cataract surgery (ISBCS).

ISBCS surgery was performed on 468 (36.7%) male patients and 807 (63.3%) female patients. The median age at surgery was 74.0 years (range; 22.5–100.2 years), 143 (11.2%) patients were unable to lie flat, 115 (9.0%) were unable to cooperate with the surgery and 205 (16.1%) had diabetes mellitus.

First treated eye surgery was performed on 715 952 patients (excluding ISBCS patients), where 304 642 (42.6%) patients were male and 411 310 (57.4%) patients female. The median age at surgery was 76.2 years (range: 18.0–113.2 years), 12 403 (1.7%) patients were unable to lie flat, 19 389 (2.7%) were unable to cooperate with the surgery and 135 525 (18.9%) had diabetes mellitus.

Second treated eye surgery was performed on 493 018 patients (excluding ISBCS patients), where 201 938 (41.0%) patients were male and 291 080 (59.0%) patients female. The median age at surgery was 77.2 years (range: 18.0–113.2 years), 7301 (1.7%) patients were unable to lie flat, 12 959 (2.6%) were unable to cooperate with the surgery and 98 749 (20.0%) had diabetes mellitus.

### Axial length

The method of axial length measurement was optical for 1 100 064 (90.8%) eyes, ultrasound for 73 971 (6.1%) eyes and not recorded for 37 485 (3.1%) eyes, with similar proportions of methods used for small, medium and large eyes. The axial length measurements were approximately normally distributed around a mean of 23.5 mm and an SD of 1.4 mm, although with an extended right tail (figure 1). The median baseline axial length measurement was 23.4 mm (IQR: 22.7–24.1 mm). The baseline axial length was <21 mm (short eyes) for 17 170 (1.4%) eyes, between 21 and 28 mm (medium eyes) for 1 182 513 (97.6%) eyes and >28 mm (large eyes) for 11 837 (1.0%) eyes.

### Table 2

**Recorded intraoperative complications of cataract surgery for short, medium and long eyes**

| Intraoperative complications | Baseline axial length |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
|                             | Short (<21 mm) | Medium (21–28 mm) | Long (>28 mm) | Total | P value |
| Number of operations/eyes   | 17 170     | 1 182 513 | 11 837     | 1 211 520 | N/A   |
| Number of eyes with         |            |            |            |        |       |
| No complication             | 16 400 (95.5) | 1 147 866 (97.1) | 11 452 (96.7) | 1 175 718 (97.0) | <0.001 |
| Any complication            | 770 (4.5)  | 34 647 (2.9) | 385 (3.3) | 35 802 (3.0) |       |
| Individual complications    |            |            |            |        |       |
| Overall PCR*                | 263 (1.53) | 16 497 (1.40) | 191 (1.61) | 16 951 (1.40) | 0.043 |
| PCR no VL                   | 43 (0.25)  | 3 669 (0.31) | 34 (0.29) | 3 746 (0.31) | <0.001 |
| PCR +VL                     | 158 (0.92) | 10 801 (0.91) | 131 (1.11) | 11 090 (0.92) |       |
| ZD +VL                      | 37 (0.22)  | 11 747 (0.10) | 9 (<0.10) | 12 220 (0.10) |       |
| PCR +ZD + VL                | 25 (0.15)  | 853 (<0.10)  | 17 (0.14) | 895 (<0.10)  |       |
| Overall zonule dialysis†    | 137 (0.8)  | 4 996 (0.4)  | 57 (0.5)  | 5 190 (0.4)  | <0.001 |
| Corneal epithelial abrasion  | 88 (0.5)   | 3 238 (0.3)  | 35 (0.3)  | 3 363 (0.3)  | <0.001 |
| Zonule dialysis no VL       | 75 (0.4)   | 2 969 (0.3)  | 31 (0.3)  | 3 075 (0.3)  | <0.001 |
| Torn iris/damage from the phaco | 103 (0.6)   | 2 849 (0.2)  | 9 (<0.1)  | 2 961 (0.2)  | <0.001 |
| Endothelial damage/Descemet’s tear | 47 (0.3)  | 1 299 (0.1)  | 7 (<0.1)  | 1 353 (0.1)  | <0.001 |
| Lens exchange required/other IOL problems | 38 (0.2) | 1 038 (<0.1) | 32 (0.3) | 1 108 (0.1) | <0.001 |
| Corneal oedema              | 34 (0.2)   | 9 566 (<0.1) | 10 (<0.1) | 1 000 (<0.1) | <0.001 |
| Hyphaema                    | 18 (0.1)   | 599 (<0.1)   | 6 (<0.1)  | 623 (<0.1)   | 0.008  |
| Iris prolapse/trauma        | 27 (0.2)   | 5 80 (<0.1)  | 4 (<0.1)  | 611 (<0.1)   | <0.001 |
| Phaco burn/wound problems   | 12 (<0.1)  | 553 (<0.1)   | 6 (<0.1)  | 571 (<0.1)   | 0.377  |
| Choroidal/suprachoroidal haemorrhage | 12 (<0.1) | 315 (<0.1) | 6 (<0.1) | 333 (<0.1) | 0.001 |
| Anterior capsular tear      | 5 (<0.1)   | 188 (<0.1)   | 4 (<0.1)  | 197 (<0.1)   | 0.130  |
| Other                       | 102 (0.6)  | 5 301 (0.4)  | 68 (0.6)  | 5 471 (0.5)  | 0.002  |

*PCR was defined as in the RCOphth NOD (www.nodaudit.org.uk) and is subdivided into PCR without vitreous loss (PCR no VL), PCR with VL (PCR + VL), ZD with VL (ZD + VL) and PCR and ZD with VL (PCR + ZD + VL). The RCOphth NOD publicly reports PCR results to two decimal places.

†The overall ZD group includes ZD +VL, PCR +ZD + VL and ZD no VL.

PCR, posterior capsule rupture; RCOphth NOD, Royal College of Ophthalmologists’ National Ophthalmology Database; VL, vitreous loss; ZD, zonule dialysis.
The axial length measurements of the eyes operated on by each grade of surgeon were very similar, with a median for each grade of 23.4 mm and an IQR of around 22.7–24.2 mm (for each grade). Only less experienced trainee surgeons did not perform operations on eyes with an axial length <19 mm. In respect to the overall proportion of operations performed by each grade of surgeon, consultant surgeons performed a higher proportion of operations in short or long eyes than in medium eyes, with the opposite for the other three grades of surgeons (table 1).

The median age at surgery was approximately 8–9 years and 10–13 years younger for patients with long eyes than patients with short eyes and medium eyes respectively; median age for first eye surgery 66.3 vs 75.7 and 76.3 years, median age for second eye surgery 67.1 vs 75.8 and 77.3 years, median age for left eye ISBCS 61.7 vs 69.9 and 74.7 years and median age for right eye ISBCS 61.8 vs 71.5 and 74.7 years for long vs short and medium eyes, respectively (online supplemental table 1).

Ocular copathology and known PCR risk factors

The prevalence of glaucoma and unspecified other copathology were approximately 2–3 times higher in short eyes than in medium or long eyes. Age-related macular degeneration, pseudoexfoliation/phacodenesis and other retinal vascular pathology were approximately two times more prevalent in short or medium eyes than in long eyes. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy was higher in short or medium eyes than long eyes. A higher percentage of long eyes had previously undergone vitrectomy surgery, and other macular pathology was more prevalent in long eyes than short or medium eyes (table 1). All individual ocular copathology and known PCR risk factors showed a statistically significant difference at the 1% level between short, medium and long eyes except for optic nerve/CNS disease (p=0.227) and previous trabeculectomy surgery (p=0.045). For the four most common copresent eye diseases in eyes undergoing cataract surgery, the prevalence variation over the axial length range is illustrated in figure 2.

Operative complications

The proportion of eyes that experienced any intraoperative complication was higher for short eyes than medium or long eyes, with complication rates of 4.5%, 2.9% and 3.3%, respectively (p<0.001).

The proportion of eyes that experienced PCR was 1.53%, 1.40% and 1.61% for short, medium and long eyes, respectively (p=0.043, not significant at the 1% level). When PCR was separated into constituent parts, the slightly higher trend observed for short and long eyes were due to the cases of ZD + VL and PCR + VL, respectively, and not PCR no VL or PCR + ZD + VL, the rates for which were fairly stable across the axial length range (figure 3).

A higher proportion of short eyes experienced corneal epithelial abrasion, ZD no VL, torn iris/damage from the phaco, endothelial damage/Descemet’s tear, corneal oedema or iris prolapse/trauma than medium or long eyes. A lower proportion of medium eyes experienced

Figure 4 Complication rates for 1 mm increments of axial length, for 1211520 eyes undergoing cataract surgery. VL, vitreous loss.
### Table 3  Intraoperative complication rates for first and second eye surgery by patient’s factors, for the five most frequently recorded complications, excluding ISBCS patients

| Row percentage | Posterior capsule rupture | Corneal epithelial abrasion | ZD no VL | Torn iris/damage from the phaco | Endothelial damage/Descemet's tear |
|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **First eye surgery (N=715952)** |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Grade of operating surgeon |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Consultant Surgeon (N=488177) | 1.2                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| Career grade non-consultant surgeon (N=67373) | 1.5                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| More experienced trainee surgeon (N=136091) | 2.3                       | 0.3                        | 0.4       | 0.4                           | 0.2                               |
| Less experienced trainee surgeon (N=24311) | 2.7                       | 0.6                        | 0.4       | 0.4                           | 0.2                               |
| Able to lie flat |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Yes (N=703549) | 1.5                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| No (N=12403) | 2.0                       | 0.3                        | 0.4       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| Able to cooperate |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Yes (N=696563) | 1.5                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| No (N=19389) | 1.6                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| Age at surgery |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| <70 (N=199130) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.1                           | <0.1                              |
| 70–74 (N=123281) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | <0.1                              |
| 75–79 (N=147788) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| 80–84 (N=138095) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| 85–89 (N=80522) | 1.8                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.5                           | 0.2                               |
| ≥90 (N=27136) | 2.2                       | 0.2                        | 0.4       | 0.6                           | 0.2                               |
| Gender |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Male (N=304642) | 1.6                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| Female (N=411310) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| **Second eye surgery (N=493018)** |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Grade of operating surgeon |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Consultant Surgeon (N=327480) | 1.0                       | 0.2                        | 0.2       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| Career grade non-consultant surgeon (N=48945) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| More experienced trainee surgeon (N=97481) | 2.0                       | 0.3                        | 0.4       | 0.3                           | 0.2                               |
| Less experienced trainee surgeon (N=19112) | 2.4                       | 0.6                        | 0.3       | 0.4                           | 0.2                               |
| Able to lie flat |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Yes (N=485717) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.2       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| No (N=7301) | 1.5                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.4                           | 0.2                               |
| Able to cooperate |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Y (N=480059) | 1.3                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| No (N=12959) | 1.5                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.2                               |
| Age at surgery |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| <70 (N=117851) | 1.2                       | 0.3                        | 0.2       | 0.1                           | <0.1                              |
| 70–74 (N=83457) | 1.1                       | 0.3                        | 0.2       | 0.2                           | <0.1                              |
| 75–79 (N=106543) | 1.2                       | 0.3                        | 0.2       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |
| 80–84 (N=103102) | 1.4                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| 85–89 (N=61448) | 1.5                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.4                           | 0.2                               |
| ≥90 (N=20617) | 1.9                       | 0.2                        | 0.4       | 0.5                           | 0.2                               |
| Gender |                           |                            |          |                               |                                   |
| Male (N=201938) | 1.3                       | 0.3                        | 0.2       | 0.3                           | 0.1                               |
| Female (N=291080) | 1.2                       | 0.3                        | 0.3       | 0.2                           | 0.1                               |

ISBCS, immediate simultaneous bilateral cataract surgery; VL, vitreous loss; ZD, zonule dialysis.
lens exchange required/other IOL problems than short or long eyes, otherwise the proportion of short, medium or long eyes with individual intraoperative complications were very similar. All individual intraoperative complications showed a statistically significant difference at the 1% level between short, medium and long eyes except for phaco burn/wound problems (p=0.377) and anterior capsular tear (p=0.130, table 2).

The rates of corneal epithelial abrasion, ZD no VL, torn iris/damage from the phaco and endothelial damage/Descemet’s tear were higher for shorter eyes and generally decrease as the axial length increases, except for corneal epithelial abrasion and ZD no VL, which did increase again for axial length measurements >26mm (figure 4).

For the five most frequently recorded intraoperative complications (excluding ISBCS patients), the proportion of eyes that experienced these were similar or lower for second treated eye surgery than for first treated eye surgery for each grade of operating surgeon and in respect to the patients age, gender, ability to lie flat and ability to cooperate with the surgery, with exceptions for the patients ability to lie flat or cooperate for torn iris/damage from the phaco and the patients ability to cooperate for endothelial damage/Descemet’s tear (table 3).

DISCUSSION
In this analysis of more than 1.2 million eyes undergoing cataract surgery in England, Wales and Guernsey, there was little change in PCR rates by axial length with rates of 1.53%, 1.40% and 1.61% for short, medium and long eyes, respectively. The overall PCR rate for this much larger RCoPhth NOD dataset was 1.40% and lower than 1.95% reported in the previous RCoPhth NOD analyses with recent single year analyses having even lower rates – 1.14% in the 2018–2019 report.

Additionally, there are differences in how intraoperative complications such as zonular dialysis may translate to the overall PCR headline rates, for example, zonular dialysis without VL was more common in short eyes (zonular dialysis without VL is not considered to be ‘PCR’), however, the rates of zonular dialysis with VL were similar across groups, which again may reflect surgeon experience at managing intraoperative complications as consultant surgeons performed a higher proportion of operations in short (and long eyes). Multivariate analysis would be needed to investigate potential interactions of specific PCR risk factors. Also the 0.4% zonular dialysis no VL rate for eyes with an axial length <21.0 mm in this RCoPhth NOD analysis was much lower than expected with a rate of 4.9% (5/103) reported in a 2013 analysis of outcomes in eyes with microphthalmos and nanophthalmos (defined as axial length <21.0 mm). This RCoPhth NOD analysis excluded eyes with axial lengths <18 mm and reports on a vastly larger sample of eyes with an axial length <21 mm. There is a lot of variability in the definitions of microphthalmos and microphthalmos with values of <20.0 mm and <21.0 mm now being accepted based on the lower 2 and lower 3 SD from population values. Consequently ‘short’ eyes in this RCoPhth NOD analysis include both microphthalmos and nanophthalmos.

In this RCoPhth NOD analysis the rates of brunescent/white/mature cataracts were approximately a third higher in short eyes than medium or long eyes. In the previous RCoPhth NOD analysis, eyes with brunescent/white/mature cataracts were more common in both very short (<20 mm) and very long axial lengths (>30.0 mm), suggesting surgeons may now be more comfortable intervening at early stages of cataract development in long eyes than previously. This is also supported by the median age at surgery being approximately 8–9 years younger for patients with long eyes than patients with short eyes and 10–13 years younger for patients with medium eyes. Rates of ocular copathologies differed by axial length group with glaucoma and age-related macular degeneration being more common in short eyes. This finding was similar to that in a previous RCoPhth NOD analysis.

This analysis is from the largest RCoPhth NOD dataset to date, however, the results for each intraoperative complication including the sub-division of PCR are influenced by small event rates in a large sample. In this analysis, 47.7% patients had both eyes undergo cataract surgery, which introduces patient-level correlation that impacts on the statistical comparisons. This is further complicated by potential ocular level correlation from certain ocular conditions that can develop as bilateral disease, and the possibility that age-related ocular conditions are more prevalent in second treated eyes. The comparator groups formed from the axial length measurements are affected by the 5196 (1.3%) patients with data for both eyes who did not have both eyes in the same axial length group, that is, one short eye and one medium eye. It is not possible to account for all the sources of variation between centres, patients and possible correlations, consequently the interpretation of p values require caution as they are likely to be too low, especially for some covariates with extremely low event rates. It is possible that not all recorded first treated eye operations were the patients actual first eye surgery, as the patients could have their first eye surgery prior to a centre adopting an electronic data collection system, or performed in a different centre, and at present the RCoPhth NOD cannot link patients’ data if collected at different centres. There may also be reporting bias as clinicians are required to select the presence of ocular copathologies and also report the occurrence of surgical complications.

Overall, this RCoPhth NOD analysis is from the largest dataset of more than 1.2 million cataract surgeries and the findings are representative of those anticipated in English, Welsh and Guernsey ophthalmic departments with data from traditional NHS hospitals and the independent sector. Overall PCR rates are lower than previously reported and showed little change by axial length. The rate of any intraoperative complication was higher for short eyes than medium or long eyes and was mainly driven by zonule weakness, iris trauma or endothelial/
Descemet’s membrane damage, and not anterior capsule tears (or PCR). Detailed multivariate analysis is needed to investigate for interactions of specific PCR risk factors.
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