INTRODUCTION

Tools for functional genomics are critical for understanding the biology of plant pathogens. This is especially true for the oomycete genus Phytophthora, which causes numerous devastating crop diseases. The infamous pathogen Phytophthora infestans, for example, is a limiting factor in potato production and can destroy fields in little more than a week (Leesutthiphonchai et al., 2018). Studies of P. infestans have shed light on oomycete metabolism, spore biology, and pathogenesis, thus helping to advance strategies for battling disease (Abrahamian et al., 2016; Blum et al., 2010; Jahan et al., 2015; Leesutthiphonchai & Judelson, 2018). Yet, the genome of Phytophthora spp. can evolve rapidly, losing sensitivity to chemical agents and overcoming resistance in its hosts. Plasticity of the genome is mediated by a high content of repetitive DNA, which includes long terminal repeat (LTR) retroelements and DNA transposons (Dong et al., 2015; Haas et al., 2009).
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Abstract
Phytophthora infestans is a destructive pathogen of potato and a model for investigations of oomycete biology. The successful application of a CRISPR gene editing system to P. infestans is so far unreported. We discovered that it is difficult to express CRISPR/Cas9 but not a catalytically inactive form in transformants, suggesting that the active nuclease is toxic. We were able to achieve editing with CRISPR/Cas12a using vectors in which the nuclease and its guide RNA were expressed from a single transcript. Using the elicitor gene Inf1 as a target, we observed editing of one or both alleles in up to 13% of transformants. Editing was more efficient when guide RNA processing relied on the Cas12a direct repeat instead of ribozyme sequences. INF1 protein was not made when both alleles were edited in the same transformant, but surprisingly also when only one allele was altered. We discovered that the isolate used for editing, 1306, exhibited monoallelic expression of Inf1 due to insertion of a copia-like element in the promoter of one allele. The element exhibits features of active retrotransposons, including a target site duplication, long terminal repeats, and an intact polyprotein reading frame. Editing occurred more often on the transcribed allele, presumably due to differences in chromatin structure. The Cas12a system not only provides a tool for modifying genes in P. infestans, but also for other members of the genus by expanding the number of editable sites. Our work also highlights a natural mechanism that remodels oomycete genomes.
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Historically, the diplod of oomycetes has limited the utility of classic strategies for genetics such as mutagenesis using chemicals or radiation. Traditional methods for gene knockouts or replacements have failed due to a low rate of homologous recombination. Stable and transient gene silencing has proved useful for assessing gene function but such methods may have drawbacks (Leesuttiphonchai & Judelson, 2018; Yu et al., 2019; Whisson et al., 2005).

CRISPR-based genome editing has revolutionized the functional genomics of many organisms. The most-described system involves CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas9, which complexes with a single-guide RNA (sgRNA) to recognize and cleave a DNA target (Anzalone et al., 2020). This causes indels through nonhomologous end joining or homology-directed repair. However, applying CRISPR/Cas9 to some taxa has been challenging. For example, Cas9 cannot be expressed well in many organisms due to toxicity (Foster et al., 2018; Markus et al., 2019). In some species, the lack of Pol III promoters for expressing the sgRNA necessitated alternatives such as using ribozymes to cleave the sgRNA from a Pol II transcript of the CRISPR RNA (crRNA) precursor (Markus et al., 2019). Not long ago, CRISPR/Cas9 editing was adapted to Phytophthora sojae, but this required the identification of an oomycete nuclear localization signal (Fang & Tyler, 2016). This method has proved to be effective in several oomycetes (Li et al., 2020; Pettongkhao et al., 2020; Situ et al., 2020). However, many groups, including ours, have had a lack of success using that system in P. infestans (van den Hoogen & Govers, 2019).

In this paper we report an editing system for P. infestans. Our data suggested that Cas9 was toxic, causing us to concentrate efforts on CRISPR/Cas12a (Cpf1), which reportedly has fewer off-targets (Zhang et al., 2019). Unlike Cas9, Cas12a has both DNase and RNase activity. The latter enables Cas12a to form its own sgRNA by cleaving at direct repeats (DR) in the crRNA (Fonfara et al., 2016). For a target in our experiments, we selected the gene encoding INF1, a sterol-binding protein that induces defence responses in certain nonhost plants (Du et al., 2015; Kamoun, van West, et al., 1998). We succeeded in achieving editing, with the best success obtained using the intrinsic RNase activity of Cas12a rather than ribozymes to process the crRNA. Although we could observe events in which both alleles of Inf1 were modified, one allele was more refractory to editing. This was attributed to the insertion of a copia-like retroelement that blocked transcription and presumably altered local chromatin structure. In summary, we not only describe the development of CRISPR/Cas12a as an editing tool for oomycetes but also the occurrence of a natural process that shapes oomycete genomes.

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | Expression of Cas9 may be problematic in P. infestans

Following the development of a Cas9 editing system for P. sojae (Fang & Tyler, 2016), we and others (van den Hoogen & Govers, 2019) attempted to use that method to modify genes in P. infestans. However, no success was observed against several targets. To troubleshoot, we first investigated whether the P. sojae RPL41 promoter used to express the guide RNA functioned in P. infestans, as this had not been established previously. A fusion of this promoter to a hygromycin resistance gene yielded drug-resistant transformants at a frequency similar to that obtained using promoters commonly used in P. infestans, such as one from the Ham34 gene of Bremia lactucae (Judelson et al., 1992). This suggested that the lack of editing in P. infestans by Cas9 was not attributable to a failure to transcribe the guide RNA precursor.

Next, we tested whether Cas9 protein was produced and delivered to nuclei. In the system developed by Fang and Tyler (2016), the Ham34 promoter is used to express a human codon-optimized form of S. pyogenes Cas9 modified to contain a P. sojae nuclear localization signal (PsNLS) in a plasmid containing the nptII marker gene, which enables selection of transformants on G418. Following some failures to detect Cas9 in transformants by immunoblotting, we conducted more tests using a plasmid expressing a PsNLS-Cas9-green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion. No expression was detected in more than 85 G418-resistant transformants obtained using plasmids bearing multiple genes, it is not uncommon for only the selectable marker to be expressed, presumably due to some form of epigenetic silencing affecting the other transcription units (Ah-Fong & Judelson, 2011).

If Cas9 expression were deleterious, this would be expected to select for transformants in which the Cas9 transcription unit had been inactivated.

Further experimentation further suggested that Cas9 was toxic to P. infestans. Unlike catalytically active Cas9 for which expression was never observed, a catalytically inactive variant (PsNLS-dCas9-GFP) was expressed in the majority of P. infestans transformants. The protein was detected both by immunoblotting (Figure 1a) and fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1b). The latter also indicated that the PsNLS delivered the protein to P. infestans nuclei.

In contrast to Cas9, expression of catalytically active Lachnospiraceae bacterium Cas12a (formerly called LbCpf1) in P. infestans transformants did not appear to be problematic. This involved expressing a version modified to contain a consensus oomycete Kozak sequence and the -PsNLS. A protein of the expected size was detected by immunoblotting in many transformants (Figure 1c). In similar experiments but using a C-terminal GFP tag, the protein was detected in nuclei (Figure 1d).

Based on these results, we shifted our efforts to developing a Cas12a-based editing system. We concentrated on LbCas12a instead of its Acidaminococcus ortholog because LbCas12a reportedly has a broader temperature range (Moreno-Mateos et al., 2017). This method has proved to be effective in several oomycetes such as P. infestans that grow optimally at relatively cool temperatures.
Design of single transcription unit Cas12a vectors

To test whether Cas12a was adaptable to P. infestans, we chose an appropriate recipient strain for experimentation, selected a target gene for our proof-of-concept studies, and designed vectors. Isolate 1306 was chosen for analysis because it is pathogenic on tomato and potato, sporulates well, and is diploid. The latter was established through genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis (Figure 2a). The average frequency of alternate alleles was 50%, which signals diploidy.

As a target, we selected the gene encoding the INF1 elicitor protein (PITG_12551). Previous studies showed that Inf1 is not required for growth and thus editing would not be lethal (Ah-Fong et al., 2008). We confirmed that PITG_12551 was a single-copy gene in isolate 1306 based on read depth analysis (Figure 2b).

In the editing system developed for P. sojae (Fang & Tyler, 2016), Cas9 and sgRNA were expressed from two separate transcriptional units. We and others have observed that some Phytophthora transformants containing plasmids with two or three separate transcription units fail to express all genes (Gamboa-Melendez & Judelson, 2015; Judelson & Whittaker, 1995; van West et al., 1999).

To raise the likelihood of editing we therefore designed vectors in which Cas12a and sgRNA were driven by a single promoter, mimicking a strategy used in plants (Tang et al., 2019). Our constructs utilized the constitutive Ham34 promoter to transcribe an RNA encoding LbCas12a, an array of 73 adenines following the TAA stop codon to promote translation, a cassette for forming sgRNA, and finally the Ham34 transcription terminator (Figure 2c). The LbCas12a variant was the same expressed in the experiment shown in Figure 1d. While the Ham34 promoter is recognized by Pol II, such promoters do allow the crRNA to be processed into sgRNA (Zhong et al., 2017).

Vectors were designed to form the sgRNA through either of two mechanisms. pSTU-1 exploits the ribonuclease activity of Cas12a by flanking the sgRNA sequence with the 21-bp short direct repeats (DRs) of the native Cas12a scaffold (Figure 2c). pSTU-2 processes the sgRNA with ribozymes using the hammerhead (HH) and hepatitis delta virus (HDV) sequences employed by Fang and Tyler (2016).
2.3 | Configuration of guide RNA cassettes

Two sgRNAs matching the 357 nucleotide (nt) Inf1 coding sequence were designed as detailed in Experimental Procedures (Figure 2d and Table S2). Using a TTTV protospacer adjacent motif (PAM), targets were identified having their 3′ ends at nt 33 and 156 of the open reading frame (ORF) (Figure 2d). The corresponding guide RNAs were named sg33 and sg156. The efficiency of sg156 was predicted to be slightly higher by the Deep-Cpf1 program (Kim et al., 2018). We designed 23 nt targets because this was optimal for editing in prior studies (Gao et al., 2018; Zetsche et al., 2015).

Five vectors containing these sgRNAs were constructed (Figure 2e). In pSTU-1A and pSTU-2A, sgRNA156 was cloned between either DR or ribozyme sequences, respectively. In pSTU-1B, a variant of sgRNA156 was tested in which the crRNA region included 20 nt of the target plus four 3′ thymidines. A uridine-rich-tail has been thought to facilitate the maturation and folding of crRNA by some Cas12a proteins (Moon et al., 2018). We also tested arrays of sg156 and sg33 in pSTU-1C and pSTU-2B, using either DR or ribozyme plus DR sequences for processing. A DR was included at the 3′ terminus of the expression cassette in most of our vectors as this may facilitate sgRNA maturation (Zhong et al., 2017).

2.4 | Cas12a enables editing in P. infestans

Editing was observed in transformants using four of the five vectors, based on sizing and sequencing a PCR fragment spanning the
target sites. Most events generated heterozygotes containing wild-type and edited alleles, as illustrated in Figure 3a, where the indicated transformant contains both the 522-nt wild-type allele and a smaller edited band. Such events resulted in double peaks in Sanger sequencing chromatograms, as illustrated in Figure 3c for transformant T90 (obtained using sg33) and Figure 3d for T74 and T251 (obtained using sg156). We observed 18 cases of heterozygous events (i.e., one wild-type and one mutated allele) out of 292 independent transformants.

Only in about 6% of the edited transformants were both alleles altered. An example is shown in Figure 3b, where transformant T171 lacks the wild-type 522-nt PCR band. Shown in Figure 3c is sequence analysis of its two alleles, which contain deletions at different sites. This is unlike the situation observed frequently in

**FIGURE 3** Detection of editing. (a) Representative PCR assay that identified a heterozygous event. The arrow points out transformant T180, which has a deletion in one allele of *Inf1* (WT/Δ). (b) Example of biallelic editing. Marked by the arrow is T171, which contains deletions in both alleles (Δ/Δ). (c) Sequencing chromatograms of *Inf1* in strains edited using sg33. Shown is wild-type isolate 1306 (unedited), transformant T90, with double peaks indicating a mixture of wild-type (WT) and edited alleles, and two edited alleles from T171 (Δ1, Δ2). The data from 1306 and T90 comes from uncloned PCR products, while T171 data comes from cloned alleles. The wild-type and sgRNA sequence are shown at the top of the panel; arrows indicate the 5’ border of the deletion. (d) Same as panel c but using sg156, showing transformants T251 and T74.
In about one-third of cases, the peaks in the sequencing chromatograms signalling editing represented less than 50% of the total signal, which suggested heterokaryosis. Such heterokaryons presumably occur when editing takes place after the first nuclear division after transformation. Based on comparing areas under the peaks in the chromatograms, the ratio of edited to unedited nuclei was calculated to range from 1:1 to 6:1, with a median of 2.6:1. In several cases heterokaryosis was confirmed by single-zoospore (i.e., single-nuclear) derivatives of the primary transformant.

The rates at which editing occurred with each vector are recorded in Figure 2e. These results pool data obtained from transformations performed on two separate days. Most events were generated by vectors that used the self-processing ability of Cas12a with the DR sequences, not the ribozyme system. For example, three out of 62 transformants obtained with DR-based sg156-containing pSTU-1A (5%) were edited compared to zero of 67 with ribozyme-based pSTU-2A. Also, while six edited events were obtained with pSTU-2B, five involved sg156 which was flanked by DRs, and only one involved sg33 which relied on the HDV sequence for its maturation. The difference in the frequency of editing between DR and ribozyme-based constructs was significant (p = .02). We cannot exclude the possibility that sequences within the sg33 or sg156 regions impaired ribozyme activity, as flanking sequences are known to influence their function (Wang, Wang, et al., 2018).

The highest frequency of editing (13%) was obtained using pSTU-1C, which expressed both guide RNAs and relied on the DR for crRNA processing. A lower rate (5%) was observed using pSTU-1A, which only contained sg156. Whether the rate of success using two versus one sgRNAs was significantly different was borderline based on statistical tests, however (p = .12).

The presence of a four-base uridine tail of the sgRNA did not cause a large increase in editing. More mutated transformants were generated by pSTU-1B compared to pSTU-1A (7% versus 5%). However, the distinction was not statistically significant (p = .46).

Besides editing events suggestive of repair by nonhomologous end-joining, one transformant included a 121-nt insertion within the site targeted by the sgRNA. The insert matched part of the plasmid used for transformation. This suggested that the repair event initiated by Cas12a had incorporated a fragment of plasmid DNA generated by P. infestans nuclease after the transformation procedure. Such events have been described in other systems, being particularly common in *Chlamydomonas* (Jiang et al. 2014).

To assist users of the system, the sequences of pSTU-1 and pSTU-2 are presented in Appendix S1, and the DNA fragments used for cloning the crRNA regions are listed in Table S1. In addition, a more detailed description of crRNA design in our optimal constructs is given in Figure S1. We also made counterparts using the hygromycin phosphotransferase (*hpt*) gene for hygromycin selection, which is popular for some members of the genus. Editing of *Inf1* was observed in an experiment using the *hpt*-encoding counterpart of pSTU-1A (pSTUH-1), confirming the function of that vector.

### 2.5 Variation in size of editing events

Studies in other systems indicated that mutations caused by Cas12a tend to be larger than those resulting from Cas9 (Kim et al., 2016). The same seems to hold true for *P. infestans*. Based on the detailed analysis of 23 mutations generated with the *nptII* and *hpt* vectors, insertions and deletions represented 10% and 90% of the events, respectively. These ranged in size from 1 to 140 nt with a median of 13 nt (Figure 4a). An alignment of these events is shown in Figure S1.

One difference in the effects of Cas12a in *P. infestans* compared to other organisms involved the site of target cleavage. This was typically 18–26 bp downstream of the PAM in previously studied species using sgRNAs ≥ 20 nt (Zhang et al., 2019). In contrast, in
We witnessed editing 7 to 21 nt from the PAM, with a median of 14 nt (Figure 4b).

No difference was obvious in the size or location of editing events involving sg156 and sg33. However, editing was more common with sg156 compared to sg33, which was consistent with the relative efficiencies of the two sgRNAs predicted by the Deep-Cpf1 algorithm. This may also explain why transformants obtained with pSTU-1C and pSTU-2B usually showed evidence of editing by sg156 but not sg33.

2.6 | Confirmation of editing at the protein level

We verified editing by examining silver-stained gels of extracellular proteins. This was possible because INF1 is the major protein secreted by P. infestans, being translated as a 118 amino acid preprotein that is processed to 98 amino acids after removal of the signal peptide. As expected, the wild-type 10.2 kDa INF1 band was not detected in transformant T171 in which editing mutated both alleles (Figure 5a). These two mutations should have resulted in the production of proteins of 3.2 and 5.5 kDa, which would have run off the bottom of the gel. Also consistent with expectations, small in-frame mutations resulted in slightly smaller INF1 variants. This is illustrated in Figure 5b, where T140 and T203 contain mutations that removed six and two amino acids from the middle of the wild-type protein, respectively.

An unexpected finding was that transformants bearing one wild-type and one edited allele also failed to make INF1. This is illustrated by the two transformants labelled WT/Δ in Figure 5a. We also saw that transformants such as T140 or T203 did not express a broader INF1 band (or doublet), which was anticipated if both normal and slightly truncated versions were being produced. This led us to hypothesize that expression of Inf1 in isolate 1306 is monoallelic, and that editing had occurred on the expressed allele. As described below, this hypothesis proved to be correct.

2.7 | SNP analysis indicates that one Inf1 allele is not transcribed in 1306

To investigate why transformants bearing only one edited copy of Inf1 lacked detectable levels of the protein, we used SNPs to test if only one allele was transcribed in isolate 1306. As stated previously, copy number analysis based on read depth was consistent with Inf1 having two alleles in 1306 (Figure 2b). To identify SNPs, Illumina DNA reads were aligned to the Inf1 region of our 1306 consensus genome assembly (Pan et al., 2018). An A/C SNP in the 3′ UTR was discovered 482 nt from the start codon. This served to distinguish alleles that we name A and B (Figure 6b). As expected for a diploid, the alternate bases occurred at similar frequencies in the library (24 A, 28 C).

Reads containing cytidine at nt 482 were never detected in Illumina RNA libraries from isolate 1306. For example, the ratio of the A:C SNPs in hyphal libraries was counted at 148:0. This suggested that allele B was not transcribed. We considered whether mRNA from allele B was produced but degraded, possibly through a small RNA pathway. However, no small RNAs containing the C SNP were detected in a library of 33 million reads.

To assess if strains exhibiting monoallelic expression were common in P. infestans, we examined Illumina data generated by our laboratory for strains representing the US-1, US-8, US-11, US-22, US-23, and US-24 clonal lineages as well as isolates 511, 550, and 618 from Mexico, the presumed centre of origin of the species. We also analysed strains for which both DNA and RNA reads were available in the NCBI Short Read Archive, and the T30-4 reference assembly. This analysis was usually uninformative due to a lack of SNPs in the transcribed region. In nearly all strains the ORF, 564 nt of sequences
5′ of the ORF, and 482 nt of sequences 3′ of the ORF were identical to allele A of isolate 1306. Regions farther up- or downstream were often polymorphic but these were composed of repetitive DNA.

Only for one isolate was it possible to score both alleles for expression. Mexican isolate 618, a diploid (Matson, 2018), contained an A/G SNP in its 5′ untranslated region (UTR) (alleles C and D, Figure 6a). Both occurred at similar frequencies in DNA and RNA data sets, which indicated that isolate 618 bears two transcribed \textit{Inf1} alleles.

In other organisms, monoallelic transcription does not always affect mRNA level due to dosage compensation (Eckersley-Maslin & Spector, 2014). To test whether this was the case for \textit{Inf1}, its transcript abundance in hyphae of isolates 1306 and 618 was scored by RNA-Seq. The level of \textit{Inf1} RNA in 1306 was about half that of 618, indicating a lack of dosage compensation (Figure 6c). A similar conclusion came from studying 30 \textit{F}_{1} hybrids of 1306 and 618, which we genotyped using the SNPs in Figure 6a. Although variation was observed within each genotypic class, the average mRNA level of progeny with two functional alleles, that is, genotypes AC or AD, was about twice that of the progeny that had inherited the nontranscribed B allele. An examination of RNA-Seq reads from the progeny indicated that allele B (SNP 482C) was never expressed, identical to the situation in the 1306 parent.

We also exploited the A482C SNP to assess which allele had been altered in transformants having one edited and one normal \textit{Inf1} allele. Based on Sanger sequencing, only the expressed allele (allele A) had been mutagenized. This presumably reflects the fact that transcribed loci are more amenable to editing in \textit{P. infestans}, as has been reported in plants and animals (Kim et al., 2017).

### 2.8 Monoallelic expression can be explained by a \textit{copia}-like element

The possibility that the transcriptional dormancy of allele B resulted from a SNP in a transcription factor binding site was considered. We did identify SNPs between the A and B alleles at nt 376 and 418. However, based on past studies of \textit{P. infestans} promoters we suspected that these were too far upstream to affect transcription (Ah-Fong et al., 2007; Tani & Judelson, 2006). As an alternative, we speculated that B might contain a large indel not found in our earlier analysis that relied on mapping DNA reads to the 1306 consensus assembly. Indeed, a search of PacBio reads revealed major heteromorphism in the \textit{Inf1} promoter: a 6,310-nt insert resided 224 bases 5′ of the transcription start site in the B allele (Figure 7a). The
existence of the insert was confirmed by identifying Inf1-copia hybrid reads in an Illumina DNA library. It is reasonable to assume that this large insertion would interfere with the transcription of Inf1.

Sequence analysis indicated that the 6.3-kb insert was a Ty1/copia-like retrotransposon. It contained 216-nt long terminal repeats (LTR), polypurine tract (PPT), and translated region (grey) that includes GAG (pfam14223), GAG-pre-integrase (GP; pfam13976), integrase (INT, pfam00665), reverse transcriptase (RT; pfam07727), and RNase H (RH; pfam00075) domains. (b) Mapping of RNA-Seq reads across the element, placed in 75-nt bins. RNA for this analysis was taken from mycelia of isolate 1306 grown in rye-sucrose broth. (c) Expression level determined by RNA-Seq in nonsporulating hyphae from rye medium, preinfection stages (sporangia, chilled sporangia, zoospores, germinating cysts), and infected potato tubers and tomato leaves. The plant samples are from presporulation (1.5 day postinoculation [dpi] tubers, 2 dpi leaves) and postsporulation stages (4 dpi tubers, 5 dpi leaves). (d) Mapping of small RNA reads to the element. (e) Size and orientation of small RNAs matching the element.

**FIGURE 7** Copia-like element in Inf1 promoter. (a) Location of the element in allele B. Indicated are the 5′ untranslated region (UTR), open reading frame (ORF), and 3′ UTR of Inf1; 5-nucleotide (nt) target site duplication (TSD) flanking the copia-like insertion; features of the element including the 216-nt long terminal repeat (LTR), polypurine tract (PPT), and translated region (grey) that includes GAG (pfam14223), GAG-pre-integrase (GP; pfam13976), integrase (INT, pfam00665), reverse transcriptase (RT; pfam07727), and RNase H (RH; pfam00075) domains.

2.9 **Copia_LTR_12B is ancient but the insertion is recent**

Not counting the Inf1-linked copy, other isolates of *P. infestans* contain a similar complement of copia_LTR_12B sequences based on investigating 46 strains represented in the NCBI Short Read Archive. However, the disruption of Inf1 is unique to isolate 1306 based on searching for chimeric copia-Inf1 reads. One strain lacking the insert was DDR7602, which does not produce INF1 despite containing Inf1 coding sequences (Kamoun, van der Lee, et al., 1998); its failure to make the protein thus seems due to a phenomenon other than
disruption by this transposable element. Because only 1306 contained the copia_LTR_12B insert and few SNPs had accumulated in the promoter or ORF of the nonfunctional allele, the apparent retrotransposition event is likely to have occurred relatively recently in the history of the species.

Several relatives of P. infestans bear sequences resembling copia_LTR_12B, suggesting that it predates the expansion of the genus. In Phytophthora Clade 1, which includes P. infestans (Yang et al., 2017), we detected the element in Phytophthora mirabilis and Phytophthora ipomoea. Their LTRs have 99% and 98% identity, respectively, with those of P. infestans; LTRs are commonly used in phylogenetic studies of retroelements due to their relatively fast evolution (SanMiguel et al., 1998). The element was also found in another Clade 1 species, Phytophthora cactorum, but similarity to the P. infestans LTR was limited to its right-most 132-nt. Another Clade 1 species, Phytophthora parasitica, contained copia-like sequences but their LTRs did not resemble copia_LTR_12B. In other clades, the element had a limited distribution. Nevertheless, Phytophthora cinnamomi, a member of the fairly distant Clade 7, a relative was observed with an LTR 68% identical to that of P. infestans.

In P. infestans, transcripts matching the copia-like element were detected. A 10-fold increase in mRNA was observed during early stages of tomato leaf and potato tuber infection compared to late infection, mycelia from artificial media, sporangia, zoospores, and germinating zoospore cysts (Figure 7c). However, nearly all reads from mRNA-Seq libraries matched the 3’ half of the LTR, with few mapping internal to the element (Figure 7b). Reads from a small RNA library aligned across the retrotransposon albeit with a majority also mapping internal to the element (Figure 7b). Reads from a small RNA library aligned across the retrotransposon albeit with a majority also mapping internal to the element (Figure 7b). Reads from a small RNA library aligned across the retrotransposon albeit with a majority also mapping internal to the element (Figure 7b). Reads from a small RNA library aligned across the retrotransposon albeit with a majority also mapping internal to the element (Figure 7b).

Because copia_LTR_12B may be transcribed, we considered whether additional retrotransposition events had occurred in the 1306 × 618 progeny. In other organisms, sexual hybridization can derepress transposable elements (Henault et al., 2020). However, no new insertion sites were detected in the progeny. This conclusion was drawn by mapping Illumina reads split between the LTR and other genomic DNA to P. infestans chromosomes; all locations in progeny were also in the parents.

3 | DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first report of gene editing in P. infestans and the first using Cas12a in an oomycete. Central to our success was the use of Cas12a instead of Cas9, as the latter seemed to be toxic to P. infestans. Unlike the Cas9 system developed for P. sojae, which expresses the nuclease and sgRNA from separate promoters, our vectors express them in a single transcript to increase the likelihood that both would be produced in transformants. Another difference is that we also exploited the innate RNase activity of Cas12a to mature the sgRNA, instead of ribozyme sequences. Our vectors also allow a single plasmid to produce multiple sgRNAs. While not essential for our experiments with Inf1, the ability to multiplex sgRNAs can be useful because often several need to be tested in order to achieve editing (Wang, Mao, et al., 2018).

The apparent toxicity of Cas9 to P. infestans is not entirely surprising because this problem has also been reported in many other eukaryotes and prokaryotes (Foster et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2014; Markus et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). The most common explanation given for toxicity is inability of the recipient cell to cope with DNA damage caused by off-target cleavage. While high Cas9 expression is often correlated with more editing, an excess of the protein can cause cleavage at PAM sites in the absence of sgRNAs (Markus et al., 2019). Other factors may also cause toxicity based on problems reported with dCas9 in Chlamydomonas and bacteria (Jiang et al., 2014; Zhang & Voigt, 2018). Myriad strategies for reducing the deleterious effects of Cas9 have been developed. These include expressing the nuclease from an inducible promoter, using a transient expression system, regulating the level of active nuclease using a photoactivatable split protein system, and controlling the translation of Cas9 mRNA using a ligand-binding riboswitch (Jiang et al., 2014; Nihongaki et al., 2015; de Solis et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019). Another approach used to attenuate toxicity has been to boost ATP levels, ostensibly to support DNA repair (Wang et al., 2019). Toxicity has also been avoided by delivering Cas9 in a ribonucleoprotein complex or using base editor versions of the protein (Anzalone et al., 2020). Our strategy of expressing the sgRNA and nuclease in a single transcription unit may also reduce toxicity by balancing their expression (Markus et al., 2019).

Despite precedents of toxicity in other taxonomic groups, our apparent difficulty with Cas9 in P. infestans was unanticipated considering its successful use in some other members of the genus. This might reflect biological differences between the species. For example, Cas9 could be more toxic to P. infestans if its DNA repair system was less efficient, or if its genome contained more off-target cleavage sites. Variation in the nature of DNA-mediated transformation may also be to blame. Cas9 protein might be more abundant and thus more toxic in P. infestans if the Ham34 promoter was more active, if transgene copy numbers were higher, or if transgene expression was more durable. If true, then modifications to the gene transfer procedure or vector might make Cas9 more serviceable in P. infestans. We do not claim that using Cas9 in P. infestans is impossible; we only state that we and others (van den Hoogen & Govers, 2019) failed to achieve editing after screening several hundred transformants using multiple sgRNAs, and Cas9 toxicity is a plausible explanation.

Even for Phytophthora spp. for which success with Cas9 is reported, our Cas12a system provides a useful alternative. Cas12a recognizes a different PAM motif, thus providing additional sites for editing (Zetsche et al., 2015). Cas12a is more sensitive to mismatches in the guide RNA than Cas9 and thus off-target cleavage is lower (Kim et al., 2016). Compared to Cas9, the Cas12a crRNA is shorter (c.44 nt) and does not require a trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA); this makes constructing crRNAs less expensive and facilitates the
assembly of multiplex editing arrays. The larger deletions caused by Cas12a may also augment the frequency of loss-of-function events (Kim et al., 2016). Moreover, LbCas12a is known to function at a broader temperature range, which may make it more useful for some species (Fernandez et al., 2018; Moreno-Mateos et al., 2017).

Meaningful comparisons of the frequency of editing that we observed with Cas12a to those witnessed in other species with Cas9 are challenging because different genes were targeted and due to the unusual nature of Inf1 in isolate 1306. Still, the 13% rate obtained with our optimal vector was within ranges reported for LbCas12a in other organisms (Tang et al., 2019; Wolter & Puchta, 2019). One notable difference between our results and those described for P. sojae is that the latter often resulted in homozygous mutants (Fang & Tyler, 2016), while here only Inf1 allele A was usually altered. We propose that the infrequent modification of allele B is attributable to inaccessible chromatin resulting from its lack of transcription or adjacency to the retroelement. Nucleosomes are known to impair digestion by editing nucleases (Isaac et al., 2016). Moreover, a lack of transcription is understood to suppress gene conversion (Kim & Jinks-Robertson, 2012), which appears to contribute to the homozygous edits in P. sojae (Fang & Tyler, 2016). Although conversion events have been described for P. infestans (Matson et al., 2015), how the overall rate of gene conversion in P. infestans and P. sojae compares is unknown. It is nevertheless interesting to speculate that methods known to stimulate targeted gene conversion in other species (Liu et al., 2009) might prove to be a useful addition to editing studies in Phytophthora. This could be tested in future experiments along with variables associated with editing such as incubation temperature, and whether Cas12a will enable homology-directed repair in P. infestans. Apparently due to its ability to produce staggered breaks in DNA, Cas12a was shown to promote homology-directed repair more than nonhomologous end-joining in plants and animal cells (Alok et al., 2020; Moreno-Mateos et al., 2017).

While the original goal of this study was to develop a tool for editing genes in P. infestans, we also encountered a natural phenomenon that alters genomes: insertional mutagenesis by mobile DNA. In other organisms, transposons affect genes by disrupting coding or promoter sequences and less directly via small RNAs that target elements adjacent to genes (Hollister et al., 2011). Transposon-like sequences represent about 74% of the P. infestans genome (Haas et al., 2009). Most are Gypsy retroelements (about 29% of the genome), DNA transposons (17%), and copia-like sequences (3.5%). Repeated DNA is thought to have enabled Phytophthora genomes to expand, and transposon-like fragments have been found adjacent to or within many genomic sequences (Dong et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2005; Qutob et al., 2009). Most mutagenic events caused by repeats in Phytophthora are believed to have resulted from illegitimate recombination and not transposition, as evidence for recent transpositions is scant. However, a copia-like element flanked by target site duplications, PSCR, was discovered near Avr4/6 of P. sojae; while the polyprotein gene contained several frameshift mutations, these could have arisen after transposition (Basnayake et al., 2009). Transposon-derived transcripts were observed to increase during the growth of Phytophthora ramorum on certain hosts, and was correlated with chromosome instability (Kasuga et al., 2016). Whether transposition was occurring was unknown, however.

The copia-like element identified here (which lacks significant nucleotide identity with PSCR of P. sojae) has maintained all of the structural features of an active element. Nevertheless, we detected little evidence of ongoing retrotransposition by comparing the genomes of 1306 × 618 progeny with the parents. While copia_LTR_12B appears to be capable of retrotransposition, the abundance of small RNAs that map to the element signals that its activity may be suppressed by the RNA interference system of P. infestans. It was interesting, nevertheless, to note that mRNAs matching the element rose 10-fold during the biotrophic stages of plant infection. The derepression of transposable elements during plant infection has also been reported in fungi (Fouche et al., 2020).

A final technical point from this study is the importance of having comprehensive genomic resources for the organism of interest. When we chose Inf1 and isolate 1306 for our studies, we were careful to examine the copy number of the gene and ploidy of the isolate. Such checks are important because in Phytophthora many genes have near-identical paralogs, and polyploidy and trisomy are common (Aguayo et al., 2016; Ah-Fong et al., 2017; Yoshida et al., 2013); both would magnify the number of targets to edit. We also confirmed that alternate alleles of the Inf1 coding sequences lacked polymorphisms that would prevent sgRNA binding. That one allele had been rendered inactive by the copia-like element was unforeseen, however. Such events would typically not be evident in a consensus genome assembly. While the frequencies of interallelic structural and transcriptional polymorphisms in Phytophthora are not well-characterized, there have been reports of hemizygous regions in several species (Dobrowolski et al., 2002; Jiang et al., 2006; Lamour et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2013; Randall et al., 2003). Studying these on a genome-wide basis could yield more insight into the origins of variation.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.1 | Manipulations of P. infestans

P. infestans strains were cultured at 18 °C on rye-sucrose medium. Isolate 1306, an A1 mating type strain, originated from infected tomato in San Diego County, California in 1982. Isolate 618 was of the A2 mating type and had been isolated from potato in Mexico in 1987 (Goodwin et al., 1994). Progeny from a 1306 × 618 cross were obtained and their hybrid nature confirmed by scoring SNPs as described (Matson et al., 2015). Single-zoospore purifications of transformants (to reduce heterokaryons to homokaryons) involved stimulating sporangia to produce zoospores by chilling, removal of sporangia by passage through 15 μm nylon mesh, and plating the purified zoospores at low density on rye-sucrose medium.

Attempts to detect expression of Cas9 and Cas12a used both the protoplast and electroporation transformation methods
Candidates were checked against the assembly of isolate 1306 to avoid off-targets. We also tried to avoid sgRNA candidates in which more than three consecutive bases were paired as assessed using RNASTRucture (Bellousof et al., 2013).

DNA oligonucleotides encoding the crRNAs were synthesized, annealed, and cloned into the BsaI sites of STU-1 and STU-2. These fragments consisted of the Cas12a scaffold followed by the target sequence (Table S1). A more detailed guide for assembling the sgRNA regions is in Appendix S2.

4.4 Detection of editing

Genomic DNA was extracted from transformants by resuspending 1 cm² of tissue scraped from a 9-day culture in 300 μl of 0.2 M Tris pH 8.5, 0.25 M NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 2% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS). After boiling for 5 min, 300 μl of 1:1 phenol-chloroform was added. The mixture was vortexed for 5 min, spun at 18,000 × g for 5 min, and 0.75 vol of isopropanol was added to the supernatant. The tube was spun for 10 min, the supernatant discarded, and then pellet washed with 70% alcohol and air-dried for 15 min. The pellet was resuspended in 30 μl of 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 containing 0.1 mM EDTA, heated at 65 °C for 5 min, and used for PCR using primers INFR2 and F1. Editing was identified by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose or Sanger sequencing of the amplicons. To confirm editing, some PCR products were cloned and sequenced.

4.5 Protein analysis

INF1 protein was detected as described (Ah-Fong et al., 2008). In brief, hyphae were grown for 14 days in modified Plich medium at 18 °C. Culture supernatants were then resolved by electrophoresis on an SDS-15% polyacrylamide gel and visualized by silver staining.

Immunoblots were performed essentially as described (Abrahamian et al., 2016). In brief, protein was extracted by grinding mycelia from rye-sucrose medium in extraction buffer (40 mM phosphate pH 7.0, 5 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT]) containing protease inhibitors (Sigma P9599), spin-clarified, resolved on a SDS-8% polyacrylamide gel, and electroblotted to nitrocellulose. The membranes were then incubated with primary antibody followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, developed using chemiluminescence, and digitally imaged. Primary antibodies were against Cas9 (Novus Biologicals NBP2-36440), GFP (Novus Biologicals NB100-56401), or LbCas12a (Millipore Sigma MABE1824).

Microscopy was performed using hyphae from young rye- sucrose broth cultures. Assays used Leica SP5 confocal or Evos fluorescence microscopes (Thermo Fisher). For the former, we used the fluorescein isothiocyanate (488 nm) channel for excitation and an emission window of 510–535 nm. For the latter, we used the manufacturer’s GFP light cube package.

4.2 Vectors for transformation

Vectors were constructed using the plasmids, oligonucleotides, dsDNAs, and PCR primers listed in Table S1. pYF2-PsNLS-Cas9-GFP was altered to express a catalytically inactive Cas9-GFP fusion by replacing the SpeI and KfiI fragment encoding Cas9 with a PCR-amplified fragment from pAC154-dual-dCas9VP160-sgExpression. The latter contains mutations that block activity, and was obtained using primers dCASF and R. Our Cas12a-GFP expression plasmid, pYF2-Cas12a-GFP, was made by amplifying the PsNLS from pYFS15 using primers PsNLSF and R followed by cloning into the XcaII/SpeI sites of pYF2. These primers also add a Kozak sequence. We then used primers Cas12F and R to amplify human codon-optimized LbCas12a from pSTU1665, and cloned that fragment into AflII/SpeI sites downstream of PsNLS.

To construct vectors with Cas12a and the crRNA system in a single transcript, PsNLS-Cas12a sequences were excised from pYF2-Cas12a-GFP using XmaI and AflII and inserted in the Stul/AflII sites of pYFS15 to create pYFS15-1. This was modified to remove the Ham34 promoter, neomycin phosphotransferase gene (nptII), and Ham34 terminator by digestion with BsrG1 and BamHI and religating the ends. The nptII gene was then amplified from pTOR using NPTF and R and cloned into the Nhel/Agel sites of pYS15-1, downstream of the Rpl41 promoter. Subsequent modifications generated vectors where the target sequences are flanked by direct repeats (pSTU1-1) or ribozymes (pSTU-2). This entailed inserting synthetic dsDNA fragments PolyA1 and PolyA2 into the AflII/Apal sites of pYS15-1. These contain polyA-BsaI-BsaI-DR and polyA-HH-BsaI-BsaI-HDV sequences, respectively. The final vector sequences are provided in Appendix S1. Similar vectors containing the hygromycin resistance marker were constructed by amplifying hpt from pGFPH (Ah-Fong & Judelson, 2011) with HPTF and R, which was cloned into the Nhel/Agel sites of STU-1 and STU-2 to replace nptII.

4.3 sgRNA design and cloning

Cas12a targets within Inf1 were identified using the EuPaGDT, CRISPOR, and Deep-Cpf1 programs (Concordet & Haeussler, 2018; Kim et al., 2018; Peng & Tarleton, 2015). Criteria used to select sgRNAs included using the more restrictive PAM TTTT instead of TTTN, a GC content of 30%–70%, and high on-target efficiency.
4.6 | RNA-Seq, DNA-Seq, and SNP detection

RNA was extracted from cultures grown in rye broth by grinding tissue to a powder under liquid nitrogen, followed by extraction using the Spectrum Total Plant RNA kit (Sigma). Four biological replicates were used for 1306 and 618 and two for progeny analysis. Indexed libraries were prepared using the Illumina TrueSeq v.2. Paired-end libraries were multiplexed and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq550 to generate 75-nt single-end reads with 25 million per sample. Data were analysed using systemPiper (Backman & Girke, 2016). This filtered and trimmed reads using ShortRead, aligned reads to the P. infestans genome allowing for one mismatch using HiSat2, calculated the reads mapped to the P. infestans genome, and made expression calls with edgeR. Transcription levels of the copia-like family were determined by counting hits using BlastN, followed by normalization to library size taking into account the fraction of reads mapped to the genome.

For small RNA analysis, RNA was extracted using phenol/chloroform, size-selected by polyacrylamide-urea gel electrophoresis, and used to generate a library using the Small RNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs). Using a HiSeq2500, 31.6 million 50-bp single-end reads were obtained and submitted to Trim Galore to remove adaptors and low-quality reads. The resulting reads were mapped to the copia-like element using BlastN.

DNA was extracted from rye broth cultures using phenol/chloroform or the GeneJET Plant Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher). Libraries were prepared using the Illumina DNA PCR-free kit. For 1306 and 618, sequencing was performed to >50-fold coverage using 50- and 250-nt paired-end reads from the Illumina HiSeq2500 and MiSeq, respectively. Progeny were sequenced to 15- to 30-fold coverage using 100- or 150-nt paired-end reads on a HiSeq4000 or NextSeq500, respectively.

Genome-wide SNPs were identified as follows. Illumina DNA-Seq files were trimmed using Sickle (github.com/najoshi/sickle) and aligned to the 1306 assembly using bwa-mem (Li & Durbin, 2009) with default settings. Variants were called using GATK UnifiedGenotyper, selecting loci if they had a total read depth >8, and an indel size of fewer than 6 nt. This identified 275,041 heterozygous loci. Allele read ratios were generated by dividing the alternate read depth into the total read depth per site. The distributions were modelled using fitdistrplus and mixtools (Delignette-Muller & Dutang, 2015), and plotted using ggplot2 (Benaglia et al., 2009). SNPs in Inf1 were identified by matching its sequence against reads in RNA-Seq and DNA-Seq libraries.

Other genomic resources included Phytophthora sequences deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra). Searches for copia-like sequences were executed using BLAST utilities within Fungidb (https://fungidb.org) and Ensemble Protists (https://www.ensemble.org).
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