Abstract

Phase transformations and thermal conductivity of three In-Ag alloys with 5, 15, and 45 wt.% of Ag were experimentally investigated in the present work. Phase transition temperatures were measured using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). DSC heating scans were compared with the equilibrium and non-equilibrium solidification paths, calculated by using optimized thermodynamic parameters from literature and calculation of phase diagrams (CALPHAD) method. The flash method was employed for the determination of thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of the investigated alloys in the temperature range from 25 to 100 °C. It has been found that an increase in silver content does not lead to an increase in the thermal conductivity of the investigated alloys. Thermal conductivities for all three investigated In-Ag alloys slightly decrease with temperature increasing.
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Introduction

Indium is a low-melting, highly malleable and ductile metal. It is often used as a strengthening agent in lead-based solder and as a base material in low-melting-temperature solders [1]. Other applications of indium include nuclear reactor control rods, sodium lamps, semiconductors, solar cells [1]. Silver is one of the most frequently used alloying elements in indium-based solders. Silver has superior electrical and thermal conductivity, excellent oxidation and corrosion resistance and good mechanical properties. Silver and its alloys have found broad use in electronic industries, photography, brazing, medicine, bearings, and electrical contacts [2].
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The phase diagram of the Ag-In system is fairly complex. Moser et al. [3] presented a literature review of previously published investigations related to the thermodynamics and phase equilibria in the Ag-In system. They also investigated the phase diagram of the Ag-In system using differential scanning calorimetry and metallographic techniques and performed thermodynamic modeling of this system. The optimized phase diagram of the Ag-In system, constructed using thermodynamic parameters from the COST 531 lead-free solders thermodynamic database [4], is presented in Fig. 1.

![Phase Diagram of Ag-In System](image)

**Fig. 1.** The phase diagram of the Ag-In system [4].

In the In-rich part of the phase diagram, three invariant reactions appear. The In-based In-Ag alloys start to crystallize by reaching the liquidus temperatures with the formation of the primary $\xi$ phase from the melt. At 204.7 °C metatectic reaction appears which causes decomposition of $\xi$ phase to the $\gamma$ and liquid phases according to $\xi \rightarrow \gamma + \text{liquid}$ reaction. With further cooling, at 167.7 °C peritectic reaction $\gamma + \text{liquid} \rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2$ occurs, resulting in the formation of the $\text{AgIn}_2$ phase. Finally, for the alloys with In concentration higher than 66.7 at.%, solidification ends with the appearance of liquid $\rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2 + \text{(In)}$ eutectic reaction at 144.8 °C.

The thermal properties of the In-Ag alloys have been rarely investigated. Moser et al. [3] measured surface tension and density of liquid Ag-In alloys. Kondo et al. [5] investigated thermal conductivity and electrical resistivity of liquid Ag-In alloys. They have found that thermal conductivity of liquid Ag-In alloys is much lower than that of pure liquid Ag (177 W/mK at 1273 K), but almost the same or less than that of liquid In (59.2 W/mK at 1273 K) in all Ag$_{1-x}$In$_x$ ($x=0.2$–$0.8$) alloys at investigated temperatures. Thermal property data for several commercial In-Ag solder alloys (In-3%Ag and In-10%Ag alloys) can be found in the reference literature [1].
As a contribution to a better understanding of properties of the In-Ag alloys in this study, phase transformations and thermal conductivity of several In-rich In-Ag alloys were investigated. The In-Ag alloys with 5, 15, and 45 wt.% of Ag were prepared and characterized using several experimental techniques. Conducted investigations include the determination of phase transition temperatures using the DSC method and thermal conductivity measurements using the xenon-flash technique.

**Experimental**

Three In-Ag alloys were prepared by mixing and melting weighted pieces of pure In (99.999%, Alfa Aesar) and Ag (99.99%, Alfa Aesar) in evacuated quartz tubes. Samples were heated at 1000 °C for 30 min. The melts were stirred by shaking the quartz tubes several times to ensure the homogenization of the molten alloys and slowly cooled inside the furnace. The total masses of the prepared samples were about 4 g. The total mass losses of prepared samples were less than 1 mass%, so the nominal compositions of the alloys were accepted for further analysis.

Phase transformations and their heat effects were investigated by using simultaneous thermal analyzer SDT Q600 (TA Instruments). Experimental parameters were: sample’s mass 50 mg, heating rate 5 °C min⁻¹, empty alumina crucible as reference material. The DSC instrument was calibrated measuring the melting points and the heat of melting of a known mass of standard materials (pure metals: Ag, In, and Zn).

Thermal diffusivity and specific heat capacity were measured on the Discovery Xenon Flash (DXF-500) instrument over a range of temperatures from 25 to 100 °C. The cast In-Ag samples were shaped into round disks (12.6 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick with plane-parallel ground end faces) using hydraulic pressing and aged at 80 °C to relieve internal stresses created during plastic deformation. Samples were placed in a vacuum furnace and heated at a constant rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ to a measurement temperature. When the furnace temperature was sufficiently stable (temperature changes of less than 1° for 60 s) the front surface of the specimen was heated by an energy pulse from the xenon-lamp. Temperatures of the rear face of the samples were monitored using the nitrogen-cooled IR detector [6].

**Results and discussion**

*Investigation of melting behavior*

Experimentally obtained results were compared with the calculated equilibrium and non-equilibrium Scheil solidification paths using the optimized thermodynamic parameters from the COST 531 lead-free solder database [4] and PANDAT software [7]. Equilibrium solidification path corresponds to the phase diagram of the Ag-In system i.e. to the conditions of very (infinitely) slow cooling/heating of the alloy. Scheil model corresponds to the conditions of very fast cooling/heating of the alloy. The basic assumption of the Scheil model is that during cooling/heating diffusion in solid phases is not occurring and diffusion in the liquid phase is infinitely fast [8]. The onset temperature of the DSC peak was considered as the temperature of the invariant reaction, and the peak temperature of the last thermal effect on heating was selected as the liquidus temperature [8].
Fig. 2 shows the calculated equilibrium solidification path (Fig. 2a), non-equilibrium Scheil solidification path (Fig. 2b), and related DSC heating curve (Fig. 2c) for the investigated In-5\%Ag alloy.
Fig. 2. In–5%Ag alloy: (a) Equilibrium solidification path; (b) Non-equilibrium (Scheil) solidification path; (c) DSC heating curve.

Under the equilibrium assumption, the solidification sequence is: L→γ, γ+L→AgIn₂ (invariant), L→AgIn₂, L→AgIn₂+(In) (invariant). At the room temperature microstructure of the alloy consists of (In) solid solution (84% phase fraction) and AgIn₂ phase (16% phase fraction). Under Scheil condition, the solidification sequence is: L→γ, L→AgIn₂, L→AgIn₂+(In) (invariant). It can be seen the Scheil solidification path does not include a peritectic γ+liquid→AgIn₂ reaction and includes only eutectic liquid→AgIn₂+(In) reaction. DSC heating curve exhibits one pronounced peak with onset temperature 145.8 °C which is slightly higher than the calculated temperature of the liquid →AgIn₂+(In) reaction 144.8 °C. The second detected a small peak at 184.4 °C is related to the alloy liquidus temperature.

Fig. 3 presents the calculated equilibrium solidification path (Fig. 3a), non-equilibrium solidification path (Fig. 3b), and DTA heating curve (Fig. 3c) for the investigated In-15%Ag alloy.
(a)

(b)
The calculated equilibrium solidification path for the In-15Ag alloy (Fig. 3a) includes three invariant reactions at 204.7, 167.7, and 144.8 °C. An invariant reaction at 204.7 °C is metatetic $\xi \rightarrow \gamma + \text{liquid}$ reaction. The horizontal part of the solidification path at 167.7 °C is related to the peritectic $\gamma + \text{liquid} \rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2$ reaction and the horizontal part of the solidification path at 144.8 °C represents eutectic liquid $\rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2 + (\text{In})$ reaction. According to the results of calculation, solidification of the alloy starts at 305.1 °C with the formation of $\xi$ phase from the melt and finishes at 144.8 °C with the occurrence of eutectic liquid $\rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2 + (\text{In})$ reaction. At room temperature microstructure of the alloy consists of (In) solid solution (52% phase fraction) and AgIn$_2$ phase (48% phase fraction).

The calculated non-equilibrium solidification path according to the Scheil model is presented in Fig. 3b. It can be seen the Scheil solidification path does not include metatetic $\xi \rightarrow \gamma + \text{liquid}$ and peritectic $\gamma + \text{liquid} \rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2$ reactions and includes only eutectic liquid $\rightarrow \text{AgIn}_2 + (\text{In})$ reaction. Although metatetic and peritectic reactions are quite similar to the eutectic reaction from the thermodynamic point of view, they are very different in their diffusion kinetics [9]. Both metatetic and peritectic reactions involve significant solute diffusion in solid phases at the reaction temperature, which is generally very slow comparing to the diffusion in the liquid phase. For the In-15%Ag alloy, the primary measuring DTA signal (the temperature difference between the sample and reference in $\mu$V (thermal voltage)) (Fig. 3c) has shown better sensitivity than the DSC signal (heat-flux difference in mW). Four phase transition temperatures for the In-15%Ag alloy were identified and they are in reasonable agreement with the results of thermodynamic calculations. The onset temperature of the first and largest peak (146.1 °C) is close to the calculated temperature of eutectic $\text{AgIn}_2 + (\text{In}) \rightarrow \text{liquid}$ reaction.
(144.8 °C). The onset temperature of the second detected sharp peak (168.7 °C) is related to the peritectic AgIn₂→γ+liquid reaction at the calculated temperature of 167.7 °C. Third, a very small heat effect at 198.8 °C, corresponds to the metatectic γ +liquid →ξ reaction. The fourth peak with a peak temperature of 290.3 °C is associated with the end of the melting process.

Calculated equilibrium solidification path, non-equilibrium solidification path, and DSC heating curve for the investigated In-45%Ag alloy are shown in Fig. 4.
According to the equilibrium solidification path (Fig. 4a), solidification of the alloy starts at 486.3 °C (liquidus temperature) with the crystallization of primary ξ phase. With further cooling, the phase fraction of the ξ phase increases and the phase fraction of the liquid phase at the same time decreases. At 204.7 °C metatectic reaction appears, which causes decomposition of all ξ phase into the γ and liquid phases according to the ξ → γ + liquid reaction. Further cooling causes additional formation of γ phase from the liquid. Solidification of the alloy finishes at 167.7 °C, with appearance of γ+liquid→AgIn₂ peritectic reaction. Metatectic and peritectic reactions are represented with the corresponding horizontal lines at the calculated solidification path curve. At room temperature microstructure of the alloy includes γ phase (40% phase fraction) and AgIn₂ phase (60% phase fraction).

As can be noticed from the calculated non-equilibrium (Scheil) solidification path, the assumption of no solid diffusion in the Scheil model completely prevents the occurrences of metatectic and peritectic reactions at 204.7 and 167.7 °C. When crossing the metatectic and peritectic temperatures during solidification, the freezing process merely switches from L→ξ to L→γ and further to L→AgIn₂. Solidification of the alloy is not terminated at the peritectic temperature 167.7 °C, as in the case of equilibrium conditions. It continues with the crystallization of AgIn₂ from the melt and is finally finished with the occurrence of L→AgIn₂+(In) eutectic reaction at 144.8 °C. It can be seen that experimental DSC heating curve represents intermediate to these two extreme solidification models. It includes DSC peak related to the eutectic reaction predicted by the Scheil non-equilibrium solidification model but also includes DSC peaks related to the peritectic and metatectic reaction that correspond to the equilibrium conditions.
Investigation of thermal conductivity

Thermal conductivity is an important thermal property involved in development and application of various simulation models such as casting and soldering.

Thermal conductivity of the In-Ag alloys is not fully known yet. The values of thermal conductivity for pure constitutive metals In and Ag can be found in reference literature [10]. Silver has the highest thermal conductivity of all metals (428.0 \(\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}\) at 20 °C). Indium has moderately high thermal conductivity (83.7 \(\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}\) at 20 °C). Thermophysical properties of pure metals Ag and In are given in Table 1.

| Metal | Melting point (°C) | Latent heat of fusion (Jg\(^{-1}\)) | Density (gcm\(^{-3}\)) | Specific heat capacity (Jg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)) | Thermal conductivity (Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Ag    | 961.9             | 104.2                             | 10.49 at 20 °C         | 0.235 at 25 °C, 0.239 at 127 °C | 428 at 20 °C                     |
| In    | 156.61            | 28.47                             | 7.30 at 20 °C          | 0.233 at 25 °C                  | 83.7 at 20 °C                    |

In this study, the thermal conductivity of solid In-Ag alloys has been determined within the temperature range from 25 to 100 °C using the flash method. The flash technique directly measures thermal diffusivity. It can also be used for the measurement of specific heat capacity although the uncertainty of the measured values is usually high [11].

Xenon lump (xenon-flash method) as a heat source supplies energy pulse to the front face of a thin disk specimen, and the temperature as a function of time at the rear face is automatically recorded. Determination of thermal diffusivity is based on following equation proposed by Parker et al. [12]:

\[
\alpha = \frac{1.37L^2}{\pi^2t_{1/2}} = 0.1388 \frac{L^2}{t_{1/2}}
\]

where \(L\) represents the thickness of the sample and \(t_{1/2}\) is the half-rise time, defined as time interval required for the back-face temperature to reach half of the maximal temperature value.

Thermal conductivity of the investigated samples was determined using the following relation:

\[
\lambda = \alpha \cdot \rho \cdot C_p
\]

where \(\lambda\) is thermal conductivity (Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)), \(\alpha\) is thermal diffusivity (m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\)), \(\rho\) is density (kgm\(^{-3}\)), and \(C_p\) is specific heat capacity (Jg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)). The density values of the alloys at room temperature were derived from the measured mass and determined volume of the samples. They were assumed to be constant for calculation of thermal conductivity within the temperature interval 25-100 °C. Taking into account rather small investigated temperature range, it can be assumed that this approximation does not have a significant effect on the calculated values of thermal conductivity. Specific heat capacities of the
studied alloys in the temperature range from 25 to 100 °C were calculated using the thermodynamic database [4].

The obtained values of thermal diffusivity, specific heat capacity, and thermal conductivity for the In-Ag alloys investigated in the temperature range from 25 to 100 °C are given in Table 2. The standard uncertainty (0.68 level of confidence) for the thermal diffusivity measurements is ± 2% [13]. The total standard uncertainty (0.68 level of confidence) for the thermal conductivity is estimated to be ± 8% [13].

Table 2. Specific heat capacity, density, thermal diffusivity, and thermal conductivity of the investigated In–Ag eutectic alloys obtained in the present study.

| Alloy (wt.%) | Temperature, (°C) | Calculated specific heat capacity, \(C_p\) (Jg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)) | Thermal diffusivity, \(\alpha\) (mm\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\)) | Thermal conductivity \(\lambda\) (Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| In95Ag5     | 25                | 0.242                                           | 44.68                           | 78.73±6.30                      |
|             | 50                | 0.251                                           | 42.69                           | 78.02±6.24                      |
|             | 100               | 0.259                                           | 39.56                           | 74.60±5.97                      |
| In85Ag15    | 25                | 0.245                                           | 41.49                           | 77.19±6.18                      |
|             | 50                | 0.248                                           | 41.21                           | 77.61±6.21                      |
|             | 100               | 0.255                                           | 38.69                           | 74.92±5.99                      |
| In55Ag45    | 25                | 0.236                                           | 29.32                           | 57.69±4.62                      |
|             | 50                | 0.238                                           | 28.95                           | 57.45±4.60                      |
|             | 100               | 0.243                                           | 27.29                           | 55.29±4.42                      |

From Table 2 it can be seen that, for all three investigated alloys, specific heat capacity increases with increasing temperature. Calculated specific heat capacity values are in fair agreement with the experimentally determined values obtained by using the xenon-flash method. For example, for the In-15% Ag alloy calculated value of specific heat capacity at 25 °C is 0.245 Jg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) (Table 3) and related measured value is 0.245 Jg\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\). Thus, it can be concluded that specific heat capacities calculated by using the thermodynamic database are highly accurate. Specific heat capacities of In–Ag alloys are very close to the specific heat capacities of Sn–Ag–Cu solders [14]. Higher \(C_p\) means that more energy will be needed to raise the temperature of the solder.

The values of thermal conductivity of In-5% Ag and In-15% Ag alloys are very close to each other and slightly lower than the thermal conductivity of pure In (83.7 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) at 20 °C) while In-45% Ag alloy possesses significantly lower thermal conductivity than previous two (Fig. 5). Thermal conductivity of the In-5% Ag at 100 °C (74.60±5.97Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)) is in a close agreement with the published value of thermal conductivity for the In-3% Ag solder alloy at 85 °C (73.0 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\)). It is important to note that the addition of Ag does not cause an increase in alloy thermal conductivity. In contrast, there is a decrease in thermal conductivity, which is especially noticeable for the In-45% Ag alloy. This finding is in agreement with the results of Kondo et al. [5] for the liquid In–Ag alloys and published thermal conductivity data for the In-3% Ag and In-10% Ag at 85 °C [1]. It is known that the thermal conductivity of intermetallic phases is much lower than the thermal conductivity of the constitutive metals [15]. Thus, it can be
concluded that the thermal conductivity of In-Ag alloys decreases with increasing the amounts of AgIn$_2$ and μ phases.

Fig. 5. Thermal conductivity of investigated In-Ag alloys as a function of temperature and composition.

Conclusions

In the present study phase transformations and melting behavior of the In-Ag alloys were investigated using the DSC method. The interpretation and analysis of DSC heating scans were performed with the assistance of equilibrium and non-equilibrium solidification paths computed from thermodynamic data. Due to the complexity of the In-Ag phase diagram and the occurrence of metatectic and peritectic solid-state diffusion reactions, calculated equilibrium and non-equilibrium Scheil solidification paths considerably differ from each other for all three investigated alloy compositions. Experimental DSC results are in general agreement with the calculated phase transition temperatures although some additional peaks predicted by Scheil model appear also. This indicates that fully equilibrium conditions were not reached during DSC measurements. Thermal conductivity for the three investigated alloys was determined in the temperature range from 25 to 100 °C. Thermal conductivities of the investigated alloys are less than the thermal conductivity of pure In and gradually decrease with increasing temperature and Ag content.
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