Italian pediatricians are largely against alternative weaning of the infant: a survey
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Abstract: (1) Background: Parents are increasingly fascinated by alternative weaning methods, such as baby-led or vegetarian weaning. However, international pediatric societies are still cautious towards alternative weaning methods, due to their significant risk of nutritional deficiencies. The aim of this study is to describe the attitude of Italian pediatricians towards unconventional weaning, with particular regard to vegetarian and baby-led. (2) Methods: A 20-question questionnaire was sent to Italian pediatricians, from January to December 2019; (3) Results: Responses were received from 73/1000 (7.3%) pediatricians. The vast majority of surveyed pediatricians (78.1%) is familiar with baby-led and vegetarian weaning, but only 24.7% is in favor of their practice. A significant number of pediatricians (63.0%) received request from parents for an alternative weaning regimen. (4) Conclusions: The survey revealed a significant gap between pediatricians’ attitude and parental demand concerning unconventional weaning. This could significantly impair the alliance between parents and pediatricians with the risk to expose infants and children to severe nutritional deficiencies due to self-management by parents with poor surveillance from health professionals.
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1. Introduction

Weaning is the time period in which breast milk or infant-formula are gradually substituted by complementary foods. Traditionally, babies are first introduced to solid foods using spoon-feeding of suitable thin purées. Afterwards, according to infant’s age and skills, foods gradually shift towards family foods[1].

Lately, the timing for introducing complementary foods and the methods of feeding have significantly changed: for instance, over the last 10 years vegetarian and vegan diets have become significantly popular, with a reported increase in prevalence of 350%[2]. To be more precise, vegetarianism is a multi-faceted regimen that can be further classified as follows:

- Semi-vegetarianism (includes birds and fishes);
- Lacto-ovo-vegetarians (includes dairy products and eggs);
- Lacto-vegetarians (includes dairy products);
- Veganism (total absence of any food of animal origin);
- Macrobiotic diet (requires cooking the food as natural as possible, trying not to lose its properties; it is based on the Japanese philosophy of ying and yang; some meat or animal derivatives may be allowed)[3].

Over the last two decades also Baby-led weaning (BLW) has become particularly popular in the United Kingdom, New Zealand[4] and more recently in Europe[5], without a strong supporting evidence [6] and any mention in WHO’s recommendation[7]. The term “baby-led weaning” was first coined by Gill Rapley in 2005[8] and indicates a method that allows the infant to lead the eating process by encouraging independence in choosing which food and the amount they will eat[9,10]. In this model, foods, preferably those consumed by the family and easy to handle and eat, are offered to the infant as finger foods, allowing the child to feed alone, promoting independence and an intense sensory exploration, unlike the traditional method, in which parents spoon-feed purées to their children (parent-led) and gradually adapt the food texture[11,12]. The key features of BLW are that, from six months of age, infants participate in family mealtimes and they feed themselves since the beginning of complementary feeding[13]. In this way the child himself controls the weaning process (hence the term “baby-led”) and decides what, how much and how quickly to eat. The infant is an active partner in the feeding process, and not a passive recipient filled with food[8]. There is an increasing popularity of this method and BLW supporters suggest that it offers a range of benefits to babies, from better appetite control to a wider diet and better motor skill development, but BLW is not part of any official weaning guidelines, because of the lack of evidence for both efficacy and safety.

While parents are increasingly fascinated by alternative weaning methods[4,14], international pediatric societies are cautious towards both vegetarian and BLW weaning[15,16], due to the significant risk of nutritional deficiencies they may cause as a consequence of the limited variety of foods with lack of medical supervision.

The aim of this study is to describe the attitude of Italian pediatricians towards unconventional weaning, with particular regard to vegetarian and baby-led weaning.

2. Materials and Methods

Italian pediatricians were surveyed by means of a 20-question questionnaire that covered the following areas of interest:

1. Personal beliefs on weaning;
2. Knowledge and attitude towards baby-led and alternative weaning methods;
3. Appropriateness of alternative diets for weaning, in relation to the correct growth of the baby;
4. Precautions, feeding strategies and supplements applied to ensure proper development;
5. Interrelation with parents.

The questionnaire was submitted from January 2019 to December 2019 to one thousand Italian primary care pediatricians that were randomly selected from the mailing list of the Italian Federation of Pediatricians (Federazione Italiana Medici Pediatri, FIMP). An invitation email was sent out to the selected pediatricians to participate anonymously in a larger study assessing also weaning methods in ex-preterm infants[17] and prevalence of unconventional weaning regimens among their patients[14].
The questionnaire was produced on the basis of national and international guidelines provided by the most influencing pediatric societies (European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition – ESPGHAN; Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics -ADA, American Academy of Pediatrics – AAP, and Italian Pediatric Society – SIP).

Data were uploaded as spreadsheet and analyzed using Microsoft Excel, version 16.30. Categorical variables were reported as proportions.

The participation was voluntary and written consent was not needed. Ethical approval was not sought since all data were anonymous.

3. Results

We received 73/1000 (7.3%) questionnaires filled out by pediatricians from several Italian regions. Questionnaires were evaluated by two researchers (R.P. and M.C.). The main findings are reported below. (Tables 1-4)

Table 1. General attitude

| Item                                                      | Response, % (n) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Solid food introduction**                               |                 |
| • Before 4 months                                         | 0 (0)           |
| • Between 4 and 6 months                                  | 83.6 (61)       |
| • After 6 months                                          | 16.4 (12)       |
| **Number of times recommended to feed after weaning**     |                 |
| • Less than 2                                             | 6.8 (5)         |
| • Between 2 and 4                                         | 24.7 (18)       |
| • Between 4 and 6                                         | 52.1 (38)       |
| • More than 6                                             | 0 (0)           |
| • Based on child’s request                                | 16.4 (12)       |
| **Breastfeeding duration**                                |                 |
| • Up to the term set by the pediatrician in agreement with the mother | 31.5 (23) |
| • Up to child’s request                                   | 68.5 (50)       |
| **In favor of having the child at the table with parents** |                 |
| • Yes                                                     | 93.2 (68)       |
Pediatricians were surveyed on which foods they usually discourage. The most mentioned were cod, fish, and egg; but also tomato, strawberry, legumes, red meat, dried fruit, foods with gluten, fresh fruit, chocolate, foods with excess fiber, and salt were often discouraged.

Table 2. Attitude towards alternative weaning methods (baby-led and vegetarian weaning)

| Item                                    | Response, % (n) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Knowledge of the practice of alternative weaning |                 |
| • Yes                                    | 78.1 (57)       |
| • No                                     | 21.9 (16)       |
| For or against alternative weaning methods? |                 |
| • In favor                               | 24.7 (18)       |
| • Against                                | 75.3 (55)       |
| Willing to supervise an alternative weaning regimen for their patients | |
| • Yes                                    | 26.0 (19)       |
| • No                                     | 74.0 (54)       |

As for the dietary regimen, 42.5% are in favor of the use of foods in which children show interest, whereas 31.5% are in favor of the use of foods chosen according to the parents’ desires. One fourth of pediatricians (26.0%) declined to respond.

Table 3. Appropriateness of alternative diet in relation to the correct growth of the infant

| Item                                    | Response, % (n) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Appropriateness to ensure correct weaning |                 |
The sample was also surveyed on the strategies applied to ensure proper growth and development of their patients. Nearly two thirds of pediatricians (68.5%) suggest monitoring critical micronutrients and treat deficiencies, whereas the remaining 31.5% prefers to prevent any deficiency by supplementing all children on an alternative.

When asked about the most critical micronutrients to monitor, pediatricians’ responses were: Vitamin B12 86.3% (63); Iron 82.2% (60); Vitamin D 51.2% (41); Calcium 42.5% (31); Essential Amino-acids 41.1% (30); Omega-3 27.4% (20); Zinc 20.5% (15).

The most recommended foods to increase protein intake in cases of children on alternative diets were: Legumes 80.8% (59); Egg yolk 50.7% (37); Cereals 45.2% (33); Egg white 35.6% (26); Cheese 32.9% (24); Cow’s milk and derivatives 31.5% (23); White Meat 28.8% (21); Red meat 32.9% (24).

The most recommended supplements were: Vitamin D 56.2% (41); Breast milk 49.3% (36); Vitamin B12 Supplements 47.9% (35); Iron Supplements 47.9% (35); DHA 32.9% (24); Iron-fortified Cereals 24.7% (18); Vitamin A, C and zinc in lower percentages.

Table 5. Relationship between the pediatrician and parents

| Item                                                        | Response, % (n) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Received request from parents for an alternative regimen    |                 |
| • Yes                                                       | 63.0 (46)       |
| • No                                                        | 37.0 (27)       |
| Dealt with children who follow an alternative diet without health professional supervision |                 |
| • Yes                                                       | 42.5 (31)       |
| • No                                                        | 57.5 (42)       |
| For or against allowing to follow an alternative diet       |                 |
| • For                                                       | 26.0 (19)       |
| • Against                                                  | 74.0 (54)       |
4. Discussion

The present survey showed that 78.1% of pediatricians who answered to the questionnaire is familiar with alternative weaning practices such as baby-led weaning (BLW) and vegetarian weaning, however only 24.7% is in favor of their practice. Apparently, it is increasingly common to find parents who require unconventional weaning: in our survey, the pediatricians who received request from parents for an alternative regimen were 63.0% of the whole sample, a significant and unexpected number. Nonetheless, the overall low response rate (7.3%) may have biased this finding, since it could be argued that only pediatricians who are more sensitive to the topic due to parental requests accepted to respond.

Most of the interviewed pediatricians (91.8%) thinks that alternative diets are not adequate to ensure the correct weaning of the infant and 86.3% of them believes that it is not even appropriate to guarantee the correct growth of the child.

A significant number of pediatricians (93.2%) suggests having the child sit at the table with parents during meals, according to one of the basic principles of BLW.

Although BLW is not especially mentioned in WHO’s recommendations[7], its popularity is constantly growing, since parents appreciate that infants experience the family diet and participate in family mealtimes[18].

It is very important that an adult closely supervise the food offered and the entire feeding process to prevent any risk of choking, for example by avoiding crisp hard vegetables and whole nuts[19].

Regarding vegetarian weaning, it is known that people following vegetarianism or veganism are gradually increasing, as well as the number of children on a vegetarian or vegan diet[2].

A recent review conducted on 360 Italian families showed that 8.6% of mothers were vegetarian or vegan and 9.2% of infants were weaned according to a vegetarian or vegan diet[14]. In this review, Baldassarre et al showed that vegetarian/vegan mothers are more numerous than fathers (8.6% vs 2.8%) and closer to the percentage of infants weaned according to alternative feeding regimens (9.2%). Maternal eating habits are more likely to influence the weaning of infants, as evidenced by the fact that among alternative weaned infants vegetarian/vegan mothers are 51.5% compared to 27.3% of fathers.

To date, there are no data to support safety and feasibility of alternative weaning methods. The absence of clear guidelines and the fact that many scientific societies[15,16] discourage alternative weaning methods because of the risk of nutritional deficiencies determine that the vast majority of pediatricians stands against novel practices such as BLW and vegetarian weaning[20]. It is well known that the smaller the variety of foods, the higher the risk of clinical or sub-clinical nutritional deficiencies in infants of vegetarian/vegan women or in children who follow alternative weaning methods[21,22].

The main drawback of this negative and erratic attitude of pediatricians towards alternative weaning regimens is the increasing number of families not considering their pediatrician as a reliable nutritional guide for this delicate process, with lack of supervision on child’s health.
Both BLW and vegetarian weaning, especially if self-managed without the supervision of the pediatrician, can cause severe nutritional deficiencies and complications, e.g. failure-to-thrive and possible serious health consequences[22]. An attitude of distrust towards the pediatrician is not uncommon from parents who choose alternative regimens, so in many cases families decide to follow an alternative diet without pediatric consultation[14].

The lack of nutritional knowledge of alternative diets and of pediatrician’s control may be responsible of the increased risk of serious nutritional deficiencies for infants and young children undertaking alternative weaning regimens. It should be emphasized that alternative weaning methods are not suitable for ex preterm infants, a more vulnerable population [23,24].

Our survey, regarding breastfeeding, shows that 68.5% pediatricians suggested continuing it according to child’s request, whereas 31.5% set a fixed term in agreement with the mother.

Breast milk is the main caloric source for the newborn during alternative weaning, so maternal nutrition should include vitamin B12 supplementation and the right intake of vitamin D, calcium and essential fatty acids.

In case of formula feeding during vegetarian weaning, rice or soy milk is able to provide the right amounts of vitamin B12, calcium, iron, zinc, iodine and DHA[15].

The questionnaire also investigated possible strategies and advice to be implemented if an alternative weaning or feeding regimen is undertaken and 68.5% pediatricians suggest monitoring micronutrients such as iron, vitamin B12, vitamin D and essential amino acids over time, in order to diagnose and treat possible deficiencies early. The remaining 31.5% prefer to give supplements to all children as a preventive measure. According to the present survey, the most recommended supplements were Vit. D (56.4%), Vit. B12 (47.9%), iron supplements (47.9%), breast milk (49.3%), and DHA (32.9%).

To increase protein intake, the main choices were: legumes (80.8%), egg yolk (50.7%), and cereals (45.2%).

In summary, our survey shows that Italian pediatricians follow some of the main criteria for a well-planned alternative diet in infancy[25], namely:

- Consume various plant foods;
- Limit the fiber intake;
- Choose vegetable fats favoring sources of omega-3 fatty acids and monounsaturated oils, and limiting trans-saturated fats and tropical oils;
- Consume calcium-rich foods (e.g. dairy products);
- Supplement vitamin D (1000–1200 IU/day if breastfed, or 600-800 IU/day if formula fed with vitamin D-enriched formula);
- Consume adequate amounts of vitamin B12 or supplement the lactating mother and the infant.

These criteria are meant to reduce the risk of nutritional deficiencies, especially of micronutrients, such as iron, zinc and vitamin B12. Since the high consumption of fiber and phytates inhibits the absorption of such micronutrients, their intake should be limited. In
case of vegetarian/vegan weaning, meat should be replaced with protein-rich foods, such as legumes, soy and soy derivatives (milk, yogurt, tofu). Dried fruits or oilseeds should be added to baby food and yogurt (soy yogurt if vegan diet) to increase protein variety and caloric intake[14].

Another problem is the limited availability of commercial baby foods for vegetarian or vegan weaning. Moreover, as some commercial vegetarian/vegan foods contain animal-derived gelatin, meat, and fish oil, vegetarian parents often prefer to make their own baby foods, with the risk of exposing the child to unbalanced preparations, with high sodium content and reduced intake of energy, proteins, fats, iron and zinc[26]. It is rather advisable to increase the consumption of soy products, dried beans, wheat germ, avocado, ground nuts, and nut butters, all of which are good sources of these nutrients.

To sum up, at the start of weaning, baby foods should be creamy, easy to chew, with iron- and zinc-enriched cereals and no fibers in order to increase absorption. As far as flours are concerned, those enriched with iron and calcium (iron: 10 mg/100g; calcium: 400-560 mg/100 g) should be preferred to reduce the risk of iron-deficiency anemia and altered bone metabolism. To increase iron absorption it is useful to add few drops of lemon, as a source of vitamin C.

The strength of our survey is to provide a general overview on the attitude of Italian pediatricians towards an emerging trending topic, i.e. alternative weaning regimens. However, our results must be interpreted with caution due to the retrospective nature of this study and the low response rate, which may impair the soundness of our findings.

5. Conclusions

The survey revealed a significant gap between pediatricians attitude, not univocal and standardized, and parental demand regarding unconventional weaning with possible reduced capacity to cope with the needs and requests of families. This leads to less satisfaction of many families concerning their relationship with the pediatrician and negative effects on the therapeutic alliance.

A prepared pediatrician, capable of providing the right answers to the requests of parents and explaining the pros and cons of the various methods, is absolutely needed to ensure the best growth and health of infants.

Alternative weaning should not be allowed as a parents’ self-determination. Pediatricians have to guide highly motivated families following an alternative diet to provide all nutritional requirements. Furthermore, a careful follow-up of the infant is needed, considering that the greater the food restrictions, the greater the risk of deficit.

During breastfeeding and in general during childhood, as well as during pregnancy, correct information from an expert pediatrician or nutritionist is essential, to improve nutritional care, monitor any nutritional deficiencies and prescribe supplements if necessary.
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