Pathway of FeEDTA transformation and its impact on performance of NO\textsubscript{x} removal in a chemical absorption-biological reduction integrated process
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A novel chemical absorption-biological reduction (CABR) integrated process, employing ferrous ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Fe(II)EDTA) as a solvent, is deemed as a potential option for NO\textsubscript{x} removal from the flue gas. Previous work showed that the Fe(II)EDTA concentration was critical for the NO\textsubscript{x} removal in the CABR process. In this work, the pathway of FeEDTA (Fe(III)/Fe(II)-EDTA) transformation was investigated to assess its impact on the NO\textsubscript{x} removal in a biofilter. Experimental results revealed that the FeEDTA transformation involved iron precipitation and EDTA degradation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis confirmed the iron was precipitated in the form of Fe(OH)\textsubscript{3}. The iron mass balance analysis showed 44.2\% of the added iron was precipitated. The EDTA degradation facilitated the iron precipitation. Besides chemical oxidation, EDTA biodegradation occurred in the biofilter. The addition of extra EDTA helped recover the iron from the precipitation. The transformation of FeEDTA did not retard the NO removal. In addition, EDTA rather than the iron concentration determined the NO removal efficiency.

Fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic equivalent diameter lower than 2.5 micron (PM\textsubscript{2.5}) causes critical ecological and environmental issues\textsuperscript{1,2}. Nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) are major precursors to form PM\textsubscript{2.5}\textsuperscript{3,4}. NO\textsubscript{x} can also result in other environmental issues such as acid rain, ozonosphere depletion, and urban ozone smoke. Therefore, the emission limitation of NO\textsubscript{x} from thermal power stations, major source of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, is stringent.

To control NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, various technologies, for instance, selective catalytic reduction (SCR), selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR), absorption, and adsorption, have been developed in the past decades\textsuperscript{5–7}. All these technologies cannot meet all the requirements, namely low cost, high efficiency, and non-secondary pollution. The biological technology is regarded as an effective and environmentally friendly approach\textsuperscript{8}. However, its removal efficiency of NO\textsubscript{x} is limited by NO mass transfer from gas phase to the liquid phase because of its high Henry’s constant\textsuperscript{9}. Recently, an innovative chemical absorption–biological reduction (CABR) integrated system, adopting the advantages of both chemical absorption and biological treatment, has been developed\textsuperscript{10–12}. In the CABR process, ferrous ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Fe(II)EDTA) is used as a solvent to absorb NO and hence enhance the NO mass transfer rate. The bound NO, Fe(II)EDTA-NO, is then biologically reduced to N\textsubscript{2} by denitrifiers. Meanwhile, the byproduct Fe(III)EDTA, formed via the oxidation of Fe(II)EDTA by oxygen, is biologically reduced to Fe(II)EDTA by iron-reducing bacteria. The principle of the CABR process has been well documented in our previous work\textsuperscript{13–15}.

It has been reported that the biological reduction rate of Fe(III)EDTA determines the performance of NO removal via the CABR process\textsuperscript{14}. The reduction rate of Fe(III)EDTA was $5.17 \times 10^{-5}$ mol m\textsuperscript{-2} min\textsuperscript{-1}, which was
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only half of that of the bound NO\textsuperscript{15}. Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported that during the operation of the CABR process, the total iron concentration in the recirculated solution dropped gradually in a biofilter. Based on the iron mass balance, the average iron loss in the biofilter was 0.83 M d\textsuperscript{−1} in the first 28 days in presence of 3% (v/v) oxygen\textsuperscript{16}. van der Maas et al.\textsuperscript{17} also showed that 2 mM d\textsuperscript{−1} of EDTA degradation occurred during the long-term operation of NO removal in presence of 3.5–3.9% (v/v) oxygen. They also confirmed that the degradation of EDTA was due to the chemical oxidation by the oxygen in the scrubber rather than in the bioreactor since the dissolved oxygen could only be expected at the gas-liquid interface in the scrubber\textsuperscript{17}. However, the information, how the iron loss and EDTA degradation impact the NO removal, was not available in the literature. Meanwhile, the iron transformation (loss) pathway and EDTA degradation mechanism, which can provide some insight to prevent the FeEDTA (Fe(III)/Fe(II)-EDTA) loss and thus reduce the CABR operation cost, remain unknown.

In this work, we aimed to figure out the FeEDTA transformation pathway and its influence on NO\textsubscript{x} removal performance. Iron transformation and EDTA degradation were determined to identify the fate of FeEDTA in the CABR system with a long-term operation. Meanwhile, a relationship between the FeEDTA transformation and NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency was also determined. This work may provide some insight on how to maintain the Fe(II) EDTA at a certain level and hence sustain the continuous NO\textsubscript{x} removal as well reduce the operation cost for the practical application.

**Results and Discussion**

**Iron concentration profile during the long-term operation for NO\textsubscript{x} removal.** Figure 1 shows the profile of Fe(II) concentration, total iron concentration, NO removal efficiency and pH value during the 102 h operation of CABR system under the conditions of 5 mM FeEDTA, 3% O\textsubscript{2} (v/v), and 400 ppm NO. Fe(II) concentration was the sum of the concentrations of Fe(II)EDTA and Fe(II)EDTA-NO. The new system used in this work reached steady-state within 8 hours (details can be seen in Figure S1, Supporting information). In the absence of oxygen, the maximum iron reducing rate in this biofilter was about 1.87 mM h\textsuperscript{−1} (Figure S1), which was almost twice of that reported in our previous study\textsuperscript{18}, indicating the high activity of microbes applied in this work.

The total iron concentration showed a decreasing trend in the first 72 h, as shown in Fig. 1. The concentration of Fe(II) increased from 0 to 3.85 mM due to the reduction of Fe(III) and reached the plateau after 24 h. When additional Fe(III) (as the form of FeCl\textsubscript{3}∙6H\textsubscript{2}O) was supplied, Fe(II) concentration was fluctuant as the same pattern as the total Fe. Although the total iron gradually decreased from 20 to 48 h, the concentration of Fe(II) almost kept constant during the same timeframe, resulting in a constant NO removal efficiency (~99%). These results confirmed that the NO removal efficiency was influenced by Fe(II)EDTA concentration rather than the total iron concentration in the solvent\textsuperscript{14}, because it was the former that complexed with NO.

**Pathway of iron transformation.** In the solution, the distribution of iron is determined by the following equilibriums:

\[ \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{EDTA}^{4−} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(III)EDTA}^{−} \]  \hspace{2cm} (R1)

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{EDTA}^{4−} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(II)EDTA}^{2−} \]  \hspace{2cm} (R2)

\[ \text{Fe}^{3+} + 3\text{OH}^{−} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{3} \]  \hspace{2cm} (R3)

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{OH}^{−} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(OH)}_{2} \]  \hspace{2cm} (R4)

![Figure 1. Profile of Fe(II), total iron, NO removal efficiency, and pH during the operation of CABR system.](image-url)
Based on the thermodynamic analysis (see details in the supporting information), it is possible to form Fe(OH)$_3$ via the reaction R3 under the typical CABR conditions. To that end, the solids located in storage tank and packing materials, labelled as S-D and S-B respectively, were collected for XPS analysis. As depicted in Figure S2, the samples S-D and S-B contained Fe (2p), O (1s), N (1s), C (1s), and P (2p). Besides those elements, S-B also contained S (2p). The atomic ratios of different elements and their corresponding binding energies are listed in Table S1.

Figure 2 shows the XPS spectra of Fe2p3/2 for the samples S-D and S-B. The Fe2p3/2 spectra for S-D consisted of two peaks, one at the binding energy of 710.72 eV and the other at 712.23 eV. As reported$^{19-22}$, the major peak located at 712.23 eV corresponds to lattice Fe(III)-O in Fe$_2$O$_3$ (65.5%), and the peak located at 710.71 eV is due to (O)Fe-OH species in Fe(OH)$_3$ (34.5%). It should be noted that Fe$_2$O$_3$ can not be formed in the solution. The detected Fe$_2$O$_3$ was the product of the dehydration of Fe(OH)$_3$ during the XPS pretreatment. The formation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ may also occur because of the aging of the Fe(OH)$_3$ during the long-term operation, e.g. several month-operation. On the other hand, the peaks of the Fe2p3/2 spectra in S-B sample located at 712.62 eV and 710.12 eV, which were assigned for Fe(III)-O (41.78%) and (O)Fe-OH (58.22%)$^{19-22}$. It should be noted that the solubility product of Fe(OH)$_3$ is twelve magnitude order lower than that of Fe(OH)$_2$$^{23}$. Thus, in this system, Fe$^{3+}$ deposited prior to Fe$^{2+}$. Overall, the iron loss in the CABR system occurred via the formation of Fe(OH)$_3$. XPS results also confirmed that no FeS was formed during the operation of CABR process.

To quantify the amount of the iron loss during the long-term operation, a mass balance of iron was determined. As shown in Table 1, the iron inlet included the amount of iron added at time = 0 h and time = 72 h. The iron outlet was cataloged into: 1) iron retained in the solution, 2) iron precipitated in the storage tank, and 3) iron loss due to the sampling. The total difference between iron inlet (39.550 mmol) and outlet (35.762 mmol) was 3.788 mmol, accounting for 9.58% of the iron inlet. The imbalance of the iron in this work may be due to the iron precipitation in the pipeline and on the packing materials since the biofilter was washed using the natural basal medium rather than

$$4\text{Fe(OH)}_2 + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow 4\text{Fe(OH)}_3$$ (R5)
the acid solution. Amongst the iron outlet, the iron precipitation, regarded as main product of iron loss during the operation, accounted for 44.2% of the total iron inlet. Theoretically, the iron loss can be only 37% as determined by the thermodynamic analysis if no EDTA degradation occurs (see Supporting information), indicating that EDTA may be degraded in the CABR process and thus facilitates the iron precipitation.

**EDTA degradation facilitating iron precipitation.** As shown in the Fig. 3(a), EDTA degradation occurred during the operation of the CABR process. The concentration of EDTA decreased from 10 to 2.46 mM during the 72 hours of operation. On the other hand, the dosage of extra 5 mM EDTA into the solution resulted in an increase of total iron concentration from 5.79 to 7.72 mM, indicating the iron precipitation can be recovered with the aid of EDTA. However, the supplement of Fe(III) could not mitigate the EDTA degradation, see Fig. 3(b). The degradation of EDTA accelerated the formation of Fe$^{3+}$ ion in the solution as described in R1 and in turn enhanced the reaction of R3, resulting in an increase of Fe(OH)$_3$ formation.

The average EDTA degradation rate in 72 hours was ~1.0 mM d$^{-1}$ at 50°C and 5 mM FeEDTA in presence of 3% (v/v) oxygen (Fig. 3(b)). As the initial concentration of FeEDTA increased from 5 to 10 mM, the average EDTA degradation rate increased from 1.0 to 2.54 mM d$^{-1}$, indicating the EDTA degradation rate enhanced as the initial FeEDTA concentration increased. Moreover, as depicted in Fig. 4, the average degradation rate of EDTA was also accelerated as the O$_2$ concentrations increased. The average degradation rate during 72 h operation increased from 2.54 to 3.84 mM d$^{-1}$ as the oxygen concentration in the feeding gas increased from 3% to 9% (v/v) in presence of 10 mM FeEDTA.

O$_2$ played an important role in EDTA degradation as shown in Fig. 4. It has been reported that EDTA degradation can occur via chemical oxidation and biological degradation$^{24,25}$. Chemical oxidation of EDTA took place in the biofilter because it was unavoidable in presence of O$_2$. Radical formation occurred via iron-mediated Haber–Weiss reactions as follows$^{24}$:

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2^{-} \quad \text{(R6)}$$

$$2\text{O}_2^{-} + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R7)}$$

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \text{HO}^{-} \quad \text{(R8)}$$

The EDTA degradation via chemical oxidation occurred in presence of the produced radicals. Furthermore, iron-chelate complexes were thought to be capable of forming strong oxidants during the autoxidation. The oxidant formed during autoxidization of Fe(II)EDTA was more active than a free hydroxyl radical, suggesting some type of hypervalent iron complex was formed$^{26}$. As reported, the chemical oxidation of EDTA may induce formations of small-molecular organics, such as ethylenediamine triacetic acid, iminodiacetic acid, and acetic acid$^{27,28}$.

Besides chemical oxidation of EDTA, biological degradation of EDTA can also occur under aerobic condition$^{27,29,30}$. In this work, the mixed culture for inoculation was enriched from the sewage sludge, while EDTA biodegradation was observed in the conventional wastewater treatment plant$^{29,31}$. Therefore, it can be inferred that the biofilm in the biofilter had the ability of EDTA degradation. To confirm this speculation, the microbial community distributions under different oxygen concentrations were investigated. It has been confirmed that the bacteria *Bacillus* can degrade EDTA$^{32,33}$. As shown in Fig. 5, the amount of genus *Bacillus* was increased from 0.23%, 1.15%, to 9.90% while O$_2$ concentration was increased from 0%, 6%, to 10%. As a result, the biodegradation rate of EDTA was accelerated. On the other hand, some gram-negative, including an *Agrobacterium radiobacter* strain$^{14}$, *Escherichia coli* BL21$^{35}$, *Pseudomonas* sp. A1$^{36}$, DSM 9103$^{35,37,38}$ can use EDTA as nitrogen source. Thus, the gram-negative bacteria, such as *Escherichia/Shigella*, *Cupriavidus*, *Petrimonas*, and *Chelatococcus*, in the biofilter may also contribute to the EDTA degradation. Overall, both chemical oxidation and biological degradation were contributed to the EDTA degradation under the tested conditions in this work.

**Impact of FeEDTA transformation on NO$_x$ removal performance.** To determine the impact of the FeEDTA transformation on the NO$_x$ removal performance, the biofilter was operated for 180 h without adding extra FeEDTA in the solution as described in R1 and in turn enhanced the reaction of R3, resulting in an increase of Fe(OH)$_3$ formation.

### Table 1. Mass balance of iron during the operation of CABR integrated system.

| iron inlet | iron outlet |
|------------|-------------|
| iron inlet amount/mmol | iron outlet amount/mmol |
| iron inlet | iron outlet |
| inlet time/h | iron retained in the solution | iron precipitated in the storage tank | iron loss due to the sampling |
| 0 | 21.200 | 17.99 |
| 72 | 18.350 | 17.472 | 0.300 |
| total | 39.550 | 35.762 | 3.788 |

To determine the impact of the FeEDTA transformation on the NO$_x$ removal performance, the biofilter was operated for 180 h without adding extra FeEDTA in the solution as described in R1 and in turn enhanced the reaction of R3, resulting in an increase of Fe(OH)$_3$ formation.
noticeably impact the NO removal efficiency and the CABR can maintain high NO removal efficiency even at low concentration of Fe(II)EDTA. Thus, dosage of extra FeEDTA is not necessary to maintain the NO removal efficiency in the practical application.

To identify the critical component (Fe(II) or EDTA) in the Fe(II)EDTA that determines the NO removal efficiency, the biofilter was operated at conditions of low concentration of iron and EDTA, e.g., 0.78 mM total iron and 0.085 mM EDTA with a feeding gas of 400 ppm NO and 6% (v/v) oxygen. In the first 17 days' operation, see Fig. 6(b), NO removal efficiency of the CABR integrated system maintained above 80%. When the EDTA concentration was below $1.75 \times 10^{-2}$ mM, the NO removal efficiency dropped sharply from 90% to 70%. On the other hand, in the first 17 days' operation, the total iron amount was not noticeably changed which may be due to the thermodynamic equilibrium of iron reached in the biofilter. The system performance was recovered after adding another 1 mM Fe(III)EDTA into the system, proving that the drop in NO removal efficiency was due to the limited accession of the EDTA in the system. When the EDTA amount dropped below $1.75 \times 10^{-2}$ mM again at days 33, the NO removal efficiency dropped to ~70%. Thus, to maintain high NO removal efficiency, it is critical to keep the concentration of EDTA above a certain level rather than the iron.

Conclusions

The biofilter used in this work presented a high Fe(III)EDTA reduction rate compared with our previous work. XPS results confirmed that the pathway of iron loss was via formation of Fe(OH)$_3$. Mass balance analysis of iron showed that 44.2% of iron precipitated during the 102 hours' operation. The degradation of EDTA, which occurred via both chemical oxidation and biological degradation, induced the iron precipitation. The NO removal efficiency...
was not impacted by the FeEDTA transformation at the typical operation conditions. Moreover, it was EDTA rather than iron concentration that determined the NO removal efficiency. These results provided important information for the operation of the CABR process to achieve high NO removal efficiency and low operation cost in the practical application.

**Experimental Chemicals.** \( \text{Na}_2\text{EDTA} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (99%), \( \text{FeSO}_4 \cdot (\text{NH}_4)\text{SO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (99.5%), \( \text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (99%), and D-glucose (99.5%) were acquired from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). NO (5% in \( \text{N}_2 \), v/v), \( \text{N}_2 \) (99.999%), \( \text{CO}_2 \) (99.999%) and \( \text{O}_2 \) (99.999%) were provided by Zhejiang Jingong Gas Co. (Hangzhou, China). All other chemicals are analytical-reagent grade, commercially available and used without further purification.

**Medium and organism.** The basal medium consisted of following components (mg L\(^{-1}\)): Glucose, 1000; \( \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \), 300; \( \text{MgCl}_2 \), 100; \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_3 \), 70; \( \text{CaCl}_2 \), 20; trace elements, 2. The composition of the trace elements for the bacteria growth was as follows (mg L\(^{-1}\)): \( \text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \), 990; \( \text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O} \), 250; \( \text{CoCl}_2 \), 240; \( \text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \), 190; \( \text{ZnCl}_2 \), 100; \( \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \), 14.

The denitrifying and Fe(III)EDTA reducing bacteria used in this work were enriched from the activated sludge of denitrifying reactor in Hangzhou Qige sewage treatment plant by modifying the nutrient content of the culture medium. The enrichment cultures without further isolation were used for the inoculation of the biofilter. The details on the enrichment of those two types of bacteria can be found in our previous work\(^3^9\). It should be note that microorganisms applied in this work were two kinds of mixed strains with desired function.

---

*Figure 4. Relationship between \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration and EDTA loss rate. ([\( \text{Fe(III)EDTA} \)]_0, 10 mM; \( \text{CO}_2 \), 15% (v/v); NO, 400 ppm; \( G \), 1 L min\(^{-1} \); \( V_1 \), 40 L h\(^{-1} \); \( T \), 50 °C; pH, 6.8–7.0).*

*Figure 5. Microbial community distribution under different oxygen concentrations.*
Microorganism acclimation in the biofilter. Figure 7 shows the schematic of CABR integrated system. A biofilter with an inner diameter of 0.08m and an effective volume of 2 L was used for the microorganism acclimation. 1.5 L of polyvinyl chloride cross ring (Pengxiang xingfeng chemical packing Co., Ltd., China) with a specific surface area of 1200 m$^2$ m$^{-3}$ was packed into the biofilter. The temperature of the biofilter was controlled by a water jacket. Detailed description of this set-up can be found in our previous work$^{40}$.

4 L of basal medium, containing 20 mM Fe(III)EDTA and 0.15 g DCW L$^{-1}$ iron reducing bacteria with a pH value of 6.8, was used as initial solution for the inoculation of iron reducing bacteria. At the first period, 15% (v/v) CO$_2$ and balanced N$_2$ were fed into the biofilter at a flow rate of 1 L min$^{-1}$. Meanwhile, 2 L of the circulated solution was replaced by fresh medium daily until the biofilm was visible on the packing. Once the Fe(III)EDTA reduction rate reached 1 mM h$^{-1}$, the concentration of O$_2$ in the feeding gas was gradually raised to 3% (v/v). After the inoculation of iron reducing bacteria, acclimation of Fe(II)EDTA-NO reducing bacteria was proceeded via the addition of 0.15 g DCW L$^{-1}$ denitrifying bacteria under the conditions of 100–500 ppm NO and 3-6% (v/v) oxygen. A steady NO removal efficiency above 95% was used as an indicator of the completion of the inoculation of the denitrifying bacteria.

Experimental procedures. After the acclimation of the biofilter, the performance of long-term NO removal was conducted. In a typical test, the biofilter was loaded with simulated flue gas containing NO (0–500ppm), O$_2$ (0–12%, v/v), CO$_2$ (15%, v/v) and balanced N$_2$ under the conditions of a gas flow rate of 1 L min$^{-1}$, a liquid flow rate of 40 L h$^{-1}$, and 5–10 mM of Fe(III)EDTA. In order to explore the FeEDTA transformation, two main components of FeEDTA, iron and EDTA, were monitored during the operation of the biofilter. Meanwhile, the precipitations in the storage tank and on the packing materials were collected for iron analysis. To investigate the influence of the EDTA on the iron loss, 5 mM of extra EDTA was added into circulated solution after 72 hours of operation. After 102 hours of operation, the precipitation and the solution in the storage tank were separated. The precipitation in the storage tank was dissolved with hydrochloric acid for the measurement of the iron amount. The reactor was then washed with fresh basal medium to ensure that the pH value of the solution in the tank was ~6.8. In addition, three microbial samples were collected for the analysis of the microbial community distribution while steady-state achieved as long as 4 days under different oxygen concentrations (0%, 6% and 10% (v/v)).
Analytical methods. The concentrations of ferrous ions and the total iron were measured by a modified 1,10-phenanthroline colorimetric method at 510 nm\(^{41}\). The concentration of ferric ions was determined by the difference between total iron and ferrous ions concentration. A liquid chromatography (Shimadzu, LC-20AT) was used for EDTA concentration measurement. The liquid chromatography was equipped with a Zorbax C8 column. The eluent consisted of 12.5% CH\(_3\)OH, 0.26% tetrabutylammonium hydroxide, and 0.088% HCOOH. The retention time was 9 min. The inlet and outlet concentration of NO were measured via a chemiluminescent NO\(_x\) analyzer (Thermo, model 42i-HL). The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on a RBD upgraded PHI-5000C ESCA system (Perkin-Elmer) with Mg K\(_\alpha\) radiation (\(h\nu = 1253.6\) eV), and binding energies were calibrated by using the containment carbon (C1s = 284.6 eV). The high-throughput sequencing (HTS) technology was used for genus classification. The details on the DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing can be found in the Supplementary Information. The sequencing data processing and analyses employed the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP), a classifier software based on Bergey's taxonomy.
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