Does timing matter in radiotherapy of hepatocellular carcinoma? An experimental study in mice
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Abstract
This study investigates whether a chronotherapeutic treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) may improve treatment efficacy and mitigate side effects on non-tumoral liver (NTL). HCC was induced in Per2::luc mice which were irradiated at four time points of the day. Proliferation and DNA-double strand breaks were analyzed in irradiated and nonirradiated animals by detection of Ki67 and γ-H2AX. Prior to whole animal experiments, organotypic slice cultures were investigated to determine the dosage to be used in whole animal experiments. Irradiation was most effective at the proliferation peaks in HCC at ZT02 (early inactivity phase) and ZT20 (late activity phase). Irradiation effects on NTL were minimal at ZT20. As compared with NTL, nonirradiated HCC revealed disruption in daily variation and downregulation of all investigated clock genes except Per1. Irradiation affected rhythmic clock gene expression in NTL and HCC at all ZTs except at ZT20 (late activity phase). Irradiation at ZT20 had no effect on total leukocyte numbers. Our results indicate ZT20 as the optimal time point for irradiation of HCC in mice at which the ratio between efficacy of tumor treatment and toxic side effects was maximal. Translational studies are now needed to evaluate whether the late activity phase is the optimal time point for irradiation of HCC in man.

Keywords
clock genes, hepatocellular carcinoma, Ki67, radiotherapy, γ-H2AX
1 | INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) occupies the fourth cause of cancer death worldwide with mortality rate of 8.2% (782,000 deaths) and 841,080 new cases in 2018. HCC is characterized by high malignancy, fast progression, invasion, and metastasis. Moreover, HCC is highly resistant to antimitotic therapies. Due to this resistance, the antimitotic chemotherapies (e.g., sorafenib) are recently replaced by immunotherapy alone (e.g., immune checkpoint inhibitors: ICIs) or in combination with different agents (e.g., multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor) for the HCC treatment. However, these combinations have severe side effects which may impair life quality of patients and interrupt the treatment.

Localized radiotherapy including stereotactic radiation therapy and selective internal radioembolization as well as radiation in combination with immun- or chemotherapy are widely used for the HCC treatment with varying stages. However, many precautions should be taken into consideration when delivering the radiotherapy due to the increased risk of radiation-induced liver damage which follows the hepatic radiotherapy. Thus, an important question is whether the application of chronotherapy might improve the efficacy of radiotherapy for HCC.

In a recent study with a mouse model of HCC (double transgenic c-myc/TGFα mice), time-dependent differences were shown in proliferation rate as well as DNA damage/repair mechanisms between the HCC and the surrounding non-tumoral liver (NTL). These results suggest that the efficacy and side effects of any antimitotic therapy for HCC may depend on proper timing and define the optimal time point for antimitotic therapies may help to improve the efficacy of HCC treatment. Such a chronotherapeutic approach has been taken in humans for other tumors such as brain metastases, rectal, and cervical cancers but not for HCC.

To test the potential value of a chronotherapeutic approach, we investigated the effect of irradiation at four different Zeitgeber time (ZT) points in a diethylnitrosamine (DEN)-induced HCC mouse model, which closely mimics human HCC, in view of the multistage development of the liver tumors over months prior for them to become established and to progress. HCC was induced in Per2:: luc mice previously used to evaluate the effects of x-rays on the molecular clock in normal liver. In order to evaluate the response to radiotherapy, Ki67 was used as a marker for proliferation and γ-H2AX as a marker for DNA-double strand breaks (DSBs) in HCC and NTL. Ki67 is expressed during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, which is the most critical target phase for radiotherapy. In HCC, the expression of Ki67 is established as an indicator for the response to antimitotic drugs (e.g., tivantinib). In addition, it is well known that during proliferation cells become more sensitive to DNA damage induced by cancer therapies. Thus, γ-H2AX, a histone which accumulates in the damaged sites of DNA-DSBs to start the DNA repair process, is used as an indicator for the sensitivity of tumors and the surrounding healthy tissues to the treatment protocols and helps to control the dose and the efficacy of radiotherapy. In HCC, γ-H2AX was recently used as a marker to predict the efficacy of a combined sorafenib treatment with radiation (RT-SOR).

To determine the dose to be used in whole animal experiments, organotypic slice cultures (OSC) were irradiated with two different doses (2 and 10 Gy) at four different circadian time points and Ki67 and γ-H2AX immunoreactive cells were analyzed.

Proliferation rate, DNA damage/repair mechanism and the sensitivity to antimitotic treatments are controlled by the molecular clockwork which is based on clock genes that interact through positive and negative transcription-translation feedback loops. The transcription factors CLOCK and BMAL1 represent the positive elements in the loops and activate the expression of Per (Per1 and Per2) and Cry (Cry1 and Cry2) genes which form PER/CRY complexes representing the negative elements. This molecular clockwork controls the expression of more than 3000 so-called clock-controlled genes and, thus, rhythmic cell and organ functions. Disruption or downregulation of clock gene expression leads to genomic instability which increases the cellular proliferation rate and thus promotes carcinogenesis. In a previous study, expression of Per2 and Cry1 was significantly lower and showed an altered rhythm in HCC. To date, little is known about the time-dependent effects of radiotherapy on the molecular clockwork. Therefore, we analyzed whether radiotherapy at different ZTs affects the molecular clockwork in HCC and NTL.

Hematopoiesis is one of the most sensitive systems in the body to radiotherapy and reduction of white and red blood cells is one of the most common side effects of the radiotherapy. Thus, blood cells of HCC-bearing mice with and without irradiation at different ZTs were analyzed as an additional readout for the side effects of the radiotherapy.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Experimental animals and HCC induction

Male transgenic Per2:: luc mice on a C57BL6/J background were used according to accepted standards
of humane animal care and federal guidelines and Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Union. All experiments were approved by the Regierungspräsidium Darmstadt and the Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz NRW (Reference number: AZ 81-02.04.2018-A146). At the age of 2 weeks, the mice were injected intraperitoneally between ZT02 and ZT04 with a single dose of DEN (10 mg/kg body weight; Sigma Aldrich) to induce HCC. Phenobarbital (PB) (Luminal, Desitin) was chronically administered in drinking water with a concentration of 0.05% to accelerate the HCC induction. Food and water containing PB were supplied ad libitum. All mice were kept under the standard light–dark (LD) cycle (12:12). ZT00 defines the onset of the light phase. All experiments during the dark phase were performed under dim red light. At the age of 7–10 months, HCC presented either as a single large tumor or as multiple small tumors (Figure S1). Tumor development was screened via magnetic resonance imaging and post mortem inspection.

### 2.2 Magnetic resonance imaging

For magnetic resonance imaging, mice were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane in a water-saturated gas mixture of 20% oxygen in nitrogen applied at a rate of 75 ml/min by manually restraining the animal and placing its head in an in-house-built nose cone. Respiration was monitored with a pneumatic pillow positioned at the animal’s back. Vital function was acquired by using an M1025 system (SA Instruments) to synchronize data acquisition with respiratory motion. Animals were placed within the resonator so that in z-direction (30 mm) the field-of-view (FOV) covered the abdomen from just below the diaphragm down to the pelvis.

Data were recorded on a Bruker AvanceIII 9.4 Tesla Wide Bore (89 mm) nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer (Rheinstetten) operating at a frequency of 400.13 MHz for 1H. Experiments were carried out using a Bruker microimaging unit (Micro 2.5) equipped with actively shielded gradient sets (capable of 1.5 T/m maximum gradient strength and 150 μs rise time at 100% gradient switching), a linear 1H 25-mm birdcage resonator, and Paravision 5.1 as operating software.

Liver tumors were determined by acquisition of images with a respiratory-gated 2D 1H multi-slice fast low angle snapshot (FLASH) gradient-echo sequence exploiting the native tissue contrast between NTL and tumor tissue (see Figure S2). Data were taken from a FOV of 25.6 × 25.6 mm² with a spatial resolution of 100 × 100 μm² (TE, 1.62 ms; TR, 111.52 ms; slices, 16; slice thickness, 1 mm; averages, 1, acquisition time, 15 s).

### 2.3 Irradiation of organotypic slice cultures

Mice with HCC were sacrificed at ZT02. The liver was dissected under sterile conditions and stored quickly in ice-cold storage solution (MACS tissue storage solution; Miltenyi Biotec). NTL and HCCs were sliced separately into 600 μm thick sections using a Krumdieck tissue chopper (TSE Systems; Bad Homburg) and kept in ice-cold sterilized Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (Gibco by Life Technologies). Then, the slices were transferred to cell culture inserts (0.4 μm pores; Falcon) which were inserted in six-well plates filled with 1 ml pre-warmed culture medium modified according to previously published protocol. The medium consisted of DMEM, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin, 10 mmol/l HEPES, 1 mg/ml insulin, 8 mg/ml ascorbic acid, and 20 mmol/l sodium pyruvate. The slices from the NTL and HCCs of each mouse were randomly divided into three groups, one nonirradiated and two for irradiation with different doses, and placed in 12 different plates. All slices were cultured in an incubator under constant conditions of 37°C and 5% CO₂. On the next day, at 05:00 AM, the medium was changed and this time point was defined as CT00. Two hours after the medium change (CT02), the plates were removed from the culturing conditions and transferred to the irradiation lab in a cooler to reduce the possible changes in the ambient temperature. The slices were irradiated at four different CTs (CT02, CT08, CT14, and CT20) with two different doses, 2 Gy (at 175 kV and 15 mA, for about 2 min) and 10 Gy (at 175 kV and 15 mA, for about 10 min), using Gulmay RS225 x-ray system (X-Strahl). Nonirradiated slices were transported to the irradiation lab but did not receive irradiation. Within 1 h after irradiation, the slices were returned to regular culturing conditions. The slices were harvested after 48 hours at the same CTs used for irradiation. For immunohistochemistry, the slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4) for 12 h and then cryoprotected with gradually increasing concentrations of sucrose in PBS (15% and 30%). Then the slices were cut into 10 μm thick serial sections using a cryostat (Leica CM).

### 2.4 Irradiation of mice and ex vivo analyses

Forty-eight HCC-bearing mice (7–10 months) were used for ex vivo investigations and randomly divided into two groups: the first group comprised 24 animals which were irradiated with a dose of 10 Gy (irradiated group) at four different ZTs (ZT02, ZT08, ZT14, and ZT20). The second
group comprised 24 mice with HCC which were transported to the irradiation lab together with the animals of the irradiated group at the same ZTs but they were not subjected to irradiation (nonirradiated group) to omit the effect of transportation.

For irradiation, the mice were deeply anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection with a mixture of ketamine (100 mg/kg body weight; Inresa) and xylazine (10 mg/kg body weight, Rompun 2%; Bayer Leverkusen) and then the whole animal's body irradiated with a dose of 10 Gy by fixing the animals on a styrofoam plate so that their ventral side was exposed to the irradiation source. Exposure with 10 Gy irradiation was performed as described above.

After 48 hours, the irradiated and nonirradiated mice (n = 6/ZT in each group) were sacrificed at the same ZTs used for irradiation. Blood was collected from the right atrium in EDTA blood tubes and quickly mixed to avoid coagulation. In addition, the blood cells were analyzed in a control group which did not receive any treatment. The complete blood counts were measured automatically using Scil Vet abc, animal blood count machine (Viernheim).

Each group of mice was randomly divided into two subgroups (n = 3/ZT), which were used for either immunohistochemistry or qPCR analysis. For immunofluorescence, the animals were anesthetized as mentioned above and then perfused transcardially with NaCl (0.9%) for 15 min followed by approximately 100 ml 4% PFA in PBS at RT. The primary antibodies were diluted in 1% bovine serum albumin in PBST. On the next day, sections were incubated with secondary goat anti rabbit antibodies (Alexa Fluor 568 for Ki67 or Alexa Fluor 488 for γ-H2AX) in PBS (1:250; Life Technologies) for 1 h in darkness at RT. For negative control, the primary antibodies were omitted and sections were only incubated with the secondary antibodies. For nuclear staining, all sections were incubated with Hoechst dye diluted in PBS (1:10,000) for 10 min in darkness at RT. The sections were then covered with fluorescent mounting media (Fluoromount-G; Southern Biotech).

Sections were analyzed using a Keyence BZ-X800 series microscope (Keyence) using x20 objective and the settings were kept constant for each staining. Six representative images at least from each animal/time point/group were analyzed and averaged. The number of immunoreactive (+) cells was counted by an investigator blind to the treatment. The number of positive cells was counted manually in each image in a total area = 0.4 mm².

2.6 | qPCR

Total RNA from ex vivo samples was extracted using a RNeasy Plus Universal Mini Kit (Qiagen). Total RNA concentration and purity were measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. A RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific) was used for the synthesis of the cDNA from 1 μg RNA. Primers for the clock genes Per1, Per2, Cry1, Cry2, Clock, Bmal1, and Rev-erba (Sigma Aldrich, Table 1) and the housekeeping gene, β-actin, were validated using conventional PCR and gel electrophoresis. qPCR was performed using Step One Plus (Applied Biosystems) and SYBR GREEN (Kapa AbiPrism). The relative mRNA expression of the clock genes, normalized to the housekeeping gene, was calculated according to Pfaffl method.26

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Statistics were calculated using Graph Pad Prism 8 software. Significant differences for rhythmic patterns in NTL and HCC were evaluated by RM one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons between different time points. Two-way ANOVA was used to validate differences according to time and treatment followed by Sidak's test for multiple comparisons between groups. The results were represented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) and were regarded as significant at p < 0.05.
### TABLE 1

| Gene   | Primer sequence               |
|--------|------------------------------|
| β-Actin F | 5'-GGCTGTATTCCCTCCATGC-3'    |
| β-Actin R | 5'-CCAGTTGTAACATGCCATGT-3'   |
| mPer1 F  | 5'-TGG TCT AAG TGG CAA TGA GTC-3' |
| mPer1 R  | 5'-GGC TCG AGA TGA CTC TTC ACT-3' |
| mPer2 F  | 5'-CCAAACTGCTTGTTCCAGGC-3'   |
| mPer2 R  | 5'-ACGGCGCTTAGGATCTTCT-3'    |
| mCry1 F  | 5'-CTT CTG TCT GAT GAC CAT GAT GA-3' |
| mCry1 R  | 5'-CCC AGG CCT TTC TTT CCA A-3' |
| mCry2 F  | 5'-AGG GCT GCC AAG TGC ATC AT-3' |
| mCry2 R  | 5'-AGG AAG GGA CAG ATG CCA ATA A-3' |
| mClock F | 5'-CAC CGA CAA AGA TCC CTA CTG AT-3' |
| mClock R | 5'-TGA GAC ATC GCT GGC TGT GT-3' |
| Bmal F   | 5'-GTA CAT GAG GGC ACA GC-3'   |
| Bmal R   | 5'-CCT GTG ACA TTC TGC GAG GT-3' |
| Rev-erb F | 5'-GGT GCC CCT TGC ATC GGT-3'  |
| Rev-erb R | 5'-GGT GTG GCC GCT CAG AAG-3'   |

3 | RESULTS

For the ex vivo experiments reported here, a dose of 10 Gy was selected based on the results from OSC which are presented in the supplementary material (Figures S4, S5).

3.1 | Ki67 and γ-H2AX in HCC and NTL in mice without and with irradiation (10 Gy) at four different ZTs (ex vivo)

In nonirradiated NTL, the number of Ki67+ cells showed a peak in the early light phase (ZT02) which was significantly different from the trough at the early dark phase (ZT14) (p < 0.0001, Figure 1A,C). In HCC, the number of γ-H2AX+ cells was significantly higher at ZT02 and ZT20 (p < 0.0001) as compared with NTL. The number of γ-H2AX+ cells was significantly increased in both the NTL and the HCC (p < 0.01) as compared with the nonirradiated HCC at all ZTs (Figure 2B,D).

3.2 | Clock gene expression in HCC and NTL in mice without and with irradiation (10 Gy) at four different ZTs (ex vivo)

The relative expression of Per1 in nonirradiated NTL and HCC showed a peak at ZT14 which was significantly different from the value at ZT02 (p < 0.05). There were no differences between HCC and NTL among ZTs (p > 0.05). The relative expression of Per1 did not differ when the irradiated NTL and HCC were compared with the nonirradiated samples at all ZTs (p > 0.05, Figure 3A,B).

The relative expression of Per2 in nonirradiated NTL and HCC was higher at ZT14 and ZT20 as compared with ZT08 (p < 0.05). At ZT02, the relative expression of Per2 was lower in HCC than in NTL (p < 0.05). When the mice were irradiated at ZT14, the relative expression of Per2 was significantly increased in both the NTL and the HCC (p < 0.001; p < 0.01, Figure 3C,D).

The relative expression of Cry1 showed a peak at ZT02 in nonirradiated NTL which was significantly different from the value at ZT14 (p < 0.05). In nonirradiated HCC, the relative expression of Cry1 was not different among the ZTs and was significantly lower as compared with nonirradiated NTL at ZT02 (p < 0.01). Irradiation had no effect on the relative expression of Cry1 in HCC or NTL (p > 0.05, Figure 3E,F).

The relative expression of Cry2 had a peak at ZT02 in nonirradiated NTL which showed a tendency to be significantly different from the value at ZT20 (p = 0.08). In nonirradiated HCC, the relative expression of Cry2 was not different among the ZTs. At ZT02, the relative expression of Cry2 was lower in HCC than in NTL (p < 0.01).
the mice were irradiated at ZT14, the relative expression of Cry2 was increased in HCC as compared with the non-irradiated HCC \( (p < 0.05, \text{Figure 3G,H}) \).

The relative expression of Clock in nonirradiated NTL showed a peak at ZT08 which was significantly different from ZT14 to ZT20 \( (p < 0.05) \). In nonirradiated HCC, the relative expression of Clock was not different among the ZTs \( (p > 0.05) \) and was lower at ZT02 \( (p < 0.01) \) and ZT08 \( (p < 0.001) \) as compared with NTL. In NTL irradiated at ZT02 \( (p < 0.01) \) and ZT08 \( (p < 0.001) \), the relative expression of Clock was reduced as compared with the nonirradiated NTL (Figure 4A,B).

The relative expression of Bmal1 in nonirradiated NTL showed a peak at ZT02 which was significantly different from ZT14 \( (p < 0.01) \) and ZT20 \( (p < 0.05) \). In nonirradiated HCC, the relative expression of Bmal1 was not different among the ZTs \( (p > 0.05) \) and was lower at ZT02 as compared with NTL \( (p < 0.01) \). After irradiation at ZT02, the relative expression of Bmal1 was decreased in irradiated NTL and increased in HCC as compared with nonirradiated samples \( (p < 0.05, \text{Figure 4C,D}) \).

The relative expression of Rev-erba showed a peak at \( (\text{ZT08}) \) in nonirradiated NTL \( (p < 0.001) \) and HCC \( (p < 0.05) \) which differed from ZT20. At ZT08, the relative expression of Rev-erba was lower in HCC than in NTL \( (p < 0.0001) \). Irradiation of the mice had no effect on the relative expression of Rev-erba \( (p > 0.05, \text{Figure 4E,F}) \).

The effects of irradiation on NTL and HCC are summarized in Table 2.

### 3.3 Blood cell counts in mice without and with irradiation (10 Gy) at four different ZTs (ex vivo)

Control mice showed a daily variation in the total number of leukocytes with higher levels during the light phase than during the dark phase. In the nonirradiated HCC
group, there was no significant daily variation of leukocytes. At ZT20, the leukocytes and lymphocytes number were significantly higher as compared with the control group ($p < 0.05$). In the irradiated group, the number of leukocytes at ZT02 ($p < 0.05$) and ZT08 ($p < 0.01$) was significantly decreased. In addition, irradiation caused a highly significant decrease at ZT02 and ZT08 ($p < 0.01$), a significant decrease at ZT20 ($p < 0.05$) and nonsignificant decrease at ZT14 in the total lymphocytes number as compared with the nonirradiated HCC mice (Figure 5A,B).

There were no differences in the total number of monocytes and granulocytes in both the control group and the HCC mice. After irradiation, no differences were observed in their numbers regardless of the time point of irradiation as compared with the nonirradiated HCC mice (Figure 5C,D). There were no differences in the number of erythrocytes, platelets and the hemoglobin concentration in control, nonirradiated, and irradiated mice (Figure S7A–C).

**DISCUSSION**

This study addresses the question whether chronotherapy may be beneficial for radiotherapy of HCC in a DEN-induced HCC mouse model, which closely mimics human HCC, in view of the multistage development of the liver tumors over months prior for them to become established and to progress. Prior to radiotherapy of whole animals, in vitro experiments were performed to determine the efficient dose (10 Gy).

**4.1 Proliferation and DNA damage in nonirradiated animals**

As expected, proliferation was much higher in HCC than in NLT and the daily variation differed between HCC and NLT. The number of Ki67+ cells showed one peak at ZT02 in NTL, while two peaks were found in HCC: a
maximum at the late activity phase (ZT20) and a second peak in the early inactivity phase (ZT02). Two proliferation peaks were also observed in HCC of double transgenic c-myc/TGFβ11 and this seems to be a characteristic feature of fast-growing tumors.27 The daily variations of cell proliferation in HCC and the NTL result from the circadian oscillation of the cell cycle molecules which either promote or inhibit cell cycle proliferation (e.g., CycD1 and c-Myc).28,29

DNA damage also showed a daily variation in NTL and HCC. In NTL, the number of γ-H2AX+ cells showed only one peak at ZT02 while in HCC they had an additional peak at ZT20. The increase of γ-H2AX+ cells in HCC during the second half of the dark phase is consistent with previous findings.11

4.2 | Proliferation and DNA damage in irradiated animals

Irradiation inhibited proliferation in NTL during the light phase, but had little effects during the dark phase. In HCC, irradiation inhibited proliferation at all time points with the strongest effect observed at ZT20. Importantly, the effect of irradiation was most pronounced when applied at the proliferation peaks which were different between the HCC and NTL. Notably, irradiation at ZT20 had a low antiproliferative effect on NTL but had the highest antiproliferative effect on the HCC. With regard to DNA damage, irradiation led to an increase in the number of γ-H2AX+ cells at all four ZTs in HCC and NTL as compared with nonirradiated samples. In NTL, the number of...
\( \gamma \)-H2AX+ cells in NTL was higher at ZT02 and ZT14 than at ZT08 and ZT20. In HCC, irradiation caused an increase in the number of \( \gamma \)-H2AX+ cells at all ZTs. The increase in the number of \( \gamma \)-H2AX+ cells after irradiation confirms that the irradiation induces the DNA-DSBs repairing mechanism and contributes to the \( \gamma \)-H2AX response. 17,30 Importantly, radiotherapy treatment during the late activity phase (ZT20) had the lowest effect on DNA-DSBs damage in NTL and caused effective damage in HCC. From these data it can be inferred that the late activity phase is the optimal time point for radiotherapy of DEN-induced HCC in mice.

### 4.3 Effects on blood cells of irradiated animals

As expected irradiation did not affect hemoglobin concentration and erythrocyte numbers since erythrocytes circulate for 120 days in the blood stream and a reduction of their numbers is a long-term side effect of irradiation.

Irradiation caused a significant reduction in the total number of leukocytes and lymphocytes which conforms to data on white blood cell counts in patients\(^3\) and the observation that antimitotic therapy led to a dramatic decrease in the number of circulating lymphocytes independent of functional molecular clockwork. 32 The reduction in lymphocyte numbers may reflect an enhanced lymphocyte apoptosis due to increased glucocorticoid levels recently demonstrated in irradiated mice with HCC. 33 Notably, irradiation at ZT14 and ZT20 had no significant effect on the total leukocyte numbers. Thus, these time points might be preferable for radiotherapy in terms of reducing this severe side effect.

### 4.4 Expression of clock genes in NLT and HCC of nonirradiated animals

Disruption or mutation of clock genes is associated with genomic instability and increased proliferation rate, both favorable conditions for carcinogenesis. 2,22 Thus, we analyzed the expression of seven core clock genes in HCC and NTL. In NTL, all clock genes showed a time-dependent variation consistent with previous observations. 11 In HCC, expression of clock genes, Cry1, Cry2, Clock, and Bmal1...
**TABLE 2** Effects of irradiation on NTL and HCC. Statistical analysis by means of Sidak’s multiple comparisons test

| Time point | Mean NTL ± SE | Mean HCC ± SE | Significance |
|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| **Ki67**   |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 3.92 ± 0.91   | 30.99 ± 8.18  | *p = 0.0001*** |
| ZT08       | 2.72 ± 0.16   | 11.85 ± 3.73  | *p = 0.2569   |
| ZT14       | 2.96 ± 0.29   | 11.65 ± 2.42  | *p = 0.2979   |
| ZT20       | 2.94 ± 0.4    | 11.55 ± 1.31  | *p = 0.3072   |
| **γ-H2AX** |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 86.95 ± 14.79 | 395.0 ± 46.42 | *p < 0.0001**** |
| ZT08       | 45.11 ± 6.56  | 264.3 ± 35.05 | *p = 0.0014**  |
| ZT14       | 71.54 ± 1.24  | 285.4 ± 56.32 | *p = 0.0017**  |
| ZT20       | 33.52 ± 12    | 390.9 ± 49.32 | *p < 0.0001**** |
| **Per1**   |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 2.71 ± 0.56   | 2.08 ± 0.42   | *p = 0.9783   |
| ZT08       | 5.35 ± 0.77   | 5.36 ± 0.66   | *p > 0.9999   |
| ZT14       | 9.99 ± 1.73   | 8.80 ± 1.99   | *p = 0.8810   |
| ZT20       | 4.49 ± 0.14   | 3.69 ± 0.43   | *p = 0.9699   |
| **Per2**   |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 0.90 ± 0.14   | 0.78 ± 0.06   | *p = 0.9847   |
| ZT08       | 0.56 ± 0.04   | 0.65 ± 0.15   | *p = 0.9973   |
| ZT14       | 2.95 ± 0.52   | 1.79 ± 0.26   | *p = 0.0053** |
| ZT20       | 1.14 ± 0.07   | 1.22 ± 0.05   | *p = 0.9985   |
| **Cry1**   |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 0.67 ± 0.13   | 0.67 ± 0.17   | *p = 0.9997   |
| ZT08       | 0.16 ± 0.03   | 0.20 ± 0.02   | *p = 0.9995   |
| ZT14       | 0.44 ± 0.16   | 0.37 ± 0.04   | *p = 0.9958   |
| ZT20       | 0.64 ± 0.19   | 0.46 ± 0.2    | *p = 0.8832   |
| **Cry2**   |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 0.60 ± 0.11   | 0.67 ± 0.12   | *p = 0.9938   |
| ZT08       | 0.55 ± 0.13   | 0.38 ± 0.09   | *p = 0.8719   |
| ZT14       | 0.53 ± 0.16   | 0.94 ± 0.26   | *p = 0.2184   |
| ZT20       | 0.67 ± 0.03   | 0.39 ± 0.12   | *p = 0.5594   |
| **Clock**  |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 0.16 ± 0.03   | 0.16 ± 0.03   | *p > 0.9999   |
| ZT08       | 0.1 ± 0.01    | 0.11 ± 0.02   | *p = 0.9980   |
| ZT14       | 0.15 ± 0.05   | 0.11 ± 0.02   | *p = 0.8575   |
| ZT20       | 0.18 ± 0.05   | 0.09 ± 0.03   | *p = 0.2566   |
| **Bmal1**  |               |               |              |
| ZT02       | 0.58 ± 0.10   | 0.74 ± 0.16   | *p = 0.8093   |
| ZT08       | 0.16 ± 0.03   | 0.27 ± 0.03   | *p = 0.9664   |
| ZT14       | 0.13 ± 0.05   | 0.26 ± 0.08   | *p = 0.9442   |
| ZT20       | 0.43 ± 0.19   | 0.35 ± 0.17   | *p = 0.9915   |
| **Rev-erba** |              |               |              |
| ZT02       | 0.64 ± 0.14   | 0.43 ± 0.03   | *p = 0.9755   |
| ZT08       | 2.68 ± 0.69   | 0.87 ± 0.34   | *p = 0.0035** |
| ZT14       | 0.89 ± 0.16   | 1.81 ± 0.48   | *p = 0.2182   |
| ZT20       | 0.64 ± 0.17   | 0.29 ± 0.11   | *p = 0.9120   |
showed a time-dependent decrease as compared with NTL. Clock gene expression is differently altered in various tumors for multiple reasons. One major reason is a hypoxia and the hypoxia transcription factors (e.g., HIF-1α and HIF-1β) with many consequences such as a lack of access to circadian resetting cues in the blood and inhibition of the transcription of clock genes. In addition, hyper-methylation of clock gene promoter regions is discussed as possible reason for clock gene dysregulation in tumors.

### 4.5 Expression of clock genes in NLT and HCC of irradiated animals

To date, little is known about the effects of radiotherapy on the molecular clockwork which controls several rhythmic cell functions and thus affecting tolerability and efficacy of anticancer treatments. Mice with mutations/deletion in the clock genes, Clock/Bmal1 and Per1/2, showed enhanced chemotherapy- or gamma radiation-induced toxicity in the healthy tissue. An effect of gamma irradiation on clock gene expression in liver has been reported before in OSC and with the whole animals. Most remarkably, the clock gene expression pattern in the tumor predicts the response of tumor patients to chemo-radiotherapy, emphasizing the role of the molecular clockwork in the efficacy of cancer treatment. Our results showed that irradiation resulted in a downregulation in expression of Clock (ZT02,08) and Bmal1 (ZT02) and an upregulation in expression of Per2 (ZT14) in NLT. Importantly, irradiation at ZT20 had no effect on clock gene expression in NTL. This is consistent with a low impact of irradiation on proliferation and DNA-DSBs in NTL at this time point. In turn, DNA damages which followed the ionizing radiation may induce phase shifting of the molecular clockwork as shown in Rat-1 fibroblasts. This indicates the close connection between DNA damage/repar mechanism and circadian clock and may explain the dysregulation of the molecular clockwork in NTL after irradiation at all ZTs except ZT20 which had the lowest effect on DNA-DSBs.

### 5 Conclusion and translational aspects

All results of this study on DEN-induced HCC in mice indicate the late activity phase as optimal time point for radiotherapy at which the ratio between efficacy of tumor treatment and toxic side effects was maximal. Other studies, however, reported that radiation tolerance assessed by
body weight loss and bone marrow suppression, increased in rodents in the late inactivity phase.54,46 Most likely, these differences are due to the tumor type and organ-dependent radiation tolerance. Differences in times of sensitivity/resistance to irradiation were also observed in many clinical studies reviewed in.47,48 Thus, morning radiotherapy tended to be best tolerated by the oral mucosa patients.49 Patients with breast cancer who received radiotherapy early morning showed less skin reaction as compared with the group who received the radiotherapy late afternoon (after 3 PM).50 On the other hand, patients with prostate adenocarcinoma showed less side effects (GI complications) when the radiotherapy was applied in the late activity phase (before 5 PM) as compared with the early inactivity phase (after 5 PM)51 and patients with cervical carcinoma revealed less intestinal mucositis when the radiotherapy was applied after 6 PM as compared with the morning group.52 An important question is whether the data of this study are helpful to determine the optimal time point for radiotherapy of HCC in humans. Time points which are determined in nocturnal species (mouse) might be easily transferred to diurnal species (e.g., human), since chronotherapeutic strategies and antimitotic therapies seem to have the same phase relation with the rest-activity cycle in mice and humans.41,53– 55 We defined the late activity phase as the optimal time point to apply the radiotherapy for HCC-bearing mice and future clinical studies should clarify whether the late activity phase is the optimal time point to apply the radiotherapy for HCC patients. These studies should also consider that in humans, the organization of the circadian system has interindividual differences resulting in different chronotypes.
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