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Abstract
Extracting relations from plain text is an important task with wide application. Most existing methods formulate it as a supervised problem and utilize one-hot hard labels as the sole target in training, neglecting the rich semantic information among relations. In this paper, we aim to explore the supervision with soft labels in relation extraction, which makes it possible to integrate prior knowledge. Specifically, a bipartite graph is first devised to discover type constraints between entities and relations based on the entire corpus. Then, we combine such type constraints with neural networks to achieve a knowledgeable model. Furthermore, this model is regarded as teacher to generate well-informed soft labels and guide the optimization of a student network via knowledge distillation. Besides, a multi-aspect attention mechanism is introduced to help student mine latent information from text. In this way, the enhanced student inherits the dark knowledge (e.g., type constraints and relevance among relations) from teacher, and directly serves the testing scenarios without any extra constraints. We conduct extensive experiments on the TACRED and SemEval datasets, the experimental results justify the effectiveness of our approach.

Introduction
Relation extraction (RE), defined as the task of detecting semantic relations among two entities in a sentence, is a key component of many natural language processing (NLP) applications, such as knowledge base population (Zhang et al. 2018; Distiawan et al. 2019) and question answering (Deng et al. 2019; Mitra et al. 2019).

Most existing work solves the RE task by fitting the outputs of a model to hard labels (i.e., one-hot vectors) (Zhang et al. 2017; Zhang, Qi, and Manning 2018; Guo, Zhang, and Lu 2019), regardless of the rich semantic correlations among relations. For example, the relation cities of residence is often considered similar to city of birth rather than founded by, yet such information can not be carried by hard labels (Lopez-Paz et al. 2016). With this in mind, using soft labels (i.e., probability distributions containing the relevance over relations) as additional supervision for RE is a natural choose to leverage the rich correlated information among relations. In fact, exploring the supervision with soft labels has been concerned in many machine learning areas, especially computer vision (Park et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2019), these successful prior work have confirmed that soft labels are more informative than hard labels. But to the best of our knowledge, there are few references to explore the supervision with soft labels in the RE task.

As suggested in (Hinton, Vinyals, and Dean 2015; Yim et al. 2017), knowledge distillation is an effective way to explore and incorporate soft labels, which involves a teacher network to provide soft training signals for a student network. However, the performance of teacher typically determines the upper bound of student (Mishra and Marr 2018; Clark et al. 2019). In light of this, it is necessary to train a prominent teacher by introducing extra knowledge to generate better-informed soft labels. Inspired by previous work which improves RE with type constraints (Vashishth et al. 2018; Lei et al. 2018), we decide to enhance the teacher network with such type knowledge. To be specific, every relation puts some constraints on the type of subject and object entities, and vice versa (Koch et al. 2014). For instance, the relation cities of residence can only occur between a person and a city. Nevertheless, most of prevalent approaches acquire such constraints from knowledge base (e.g. Freebase), making it hard to obtain appropriate rules and apply in real testing scenarios, because the target relations may not be found in existing knowledge bases in some cases. For example, all relations in the SemEval dataset cannot be retrieved from existing knowledge base (Hendrickx et al. 2010). To overcome this limitation, in this paper we acquire type constraints directly from the corpus.

Methodologically, we explore soft labels to improve RE from two perspectives: excavate type constraints from the entire corpus to acquire soft rules (the global perspective), and combine soft rules with the teacher network to generate well-informed soft labels for each instance (the local perspective). For a sentence with a relational fact \{subject, relation, object\}, we combine the entity type of subject and object to achieve a pattern. To exploit the type constraints with a global point of view, we first count the co-occurrence number of patterns and relations across the corpus, and then nor-
malize the global statistics such that each pattern has a valid probability distribution over all relations. Finally, a pattern-to-relation bipartite graph is constructed, with one node set is patterns and another is relations. In this way, the weight between pattern $p_i$ and relation $r_j$ can be formulated as the probability that the relation of one sentence with pattern $p_i$ is $r_j$, and the distribution among all relations of one pattern can be further regarded as the soft rule of mapping entity to relation. To capture the relevance among relations locally, we incorporate knowledge distillation into training procedure. Specifically, we first combine soft rules with a Graph Convolution Networks (GCN) model to achieve three versions of knowledgeable teachers with different fusion methods, then employ the teacher to generate well-informed soft labels and guide the optimization of a student. In addition, we present a multi-aspect attention (MAA) mechanism for the student network to mine some latent knowledge in the text by imitating the global branch of teacher. By this means, the student network inherits all the knowledge from teacher and requires no external information any more.

In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- In this paper, for the first time, we propose to supervise RE with soft labels, which is capable of capturing more dark knowledge than one-hot hard labels.
- By distilling the knowledge in well-informed soft labels which contain type constraints and relevance among relations, we free the testing scenarios from a heavy reliance on external knowledge.
- The extensive experiments on two public datasets justify the effectiveness of our approach. The source code can be obtained from https://github.com/zzysay/KD4NRE.

**Preliminaries**

In this section, we first describe how we collect and arrange global type constraints to obtain soft rules, then briefly recall some basics of knowledge distillation.

**Type Constraints**

In RE task, relations have expected types for each argument. Entity types, whether coarse-grained (e.g., from NER tags) or fine-grained (e.g., from Freebase), are important knowledge for making decisions (Koch et al. 2014). When we focus on individual instance, the hard constraint of entity type could help us to filter some irrelevant relations, while the rest relations are treated equally. But in fact, when we zoom out to consider the entire corpus, and count the co-occurrence number of entity types and relations, we will have a more comprehensive view of type constraints: the constraints between entity types and relations can then be represented by its co-occurrence number with relations.

To organize the type constraints between entities and relations globally and structurally, we construct a bipartite graph $\mathcal{G}$. Specifically, for each entity pair $(s, o)$ in the sentence, we combine their types to achieve a pattern $p$. From this step, we obtain the pattern set $\mathcal{P} = \{p_i\}$ and formulate a support set $\mathcal{S}(p_i)$ for each $p_i$. The support set of a pattern contains all entity pairs corresponding to this pattern. In addition, we also get a set of target relations $\mathcal{R} = \{r_j\}$, and the support set $\mathcal{S}(r_j)$ denoting the set of entity pairs having relation $r_j$. The co-occurrence number of pattern $p_i$ and relation $r_j$ is defined as $w_{ij} = |\mathcal{S}(p_i) \cap \mathcal{S}(r_j)|$. In other word, every relational fact $(s, r_j, o)$ with pattern $p_i$ is counted as a co-occurrence of $p_i$ and $r_j$.

However, it is quite difficult to directly utilize the raw co-occurrence counts as soft rules, since these counts have a heavily skewed distribution that spans several orders of magnitude. Following (Su et al. 2018b), for each pattern we normalize its co-occurrence counts to form a valid probability distribution over relations. In the end, the bipartite pattern-to-relation graph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is constructed, with one node set being the patterns, the other node set being the relations, and the weighted edges $\tilde{w}_{ij} = P(r_j|p_i) = w_{ij}/|\mathcal{S}|$, representing the normalized global co-occurrence statistics. Figure 1 shows an example for clarity.

**Knowledge Distillation**

Knowledge distillation is an effective framework to transfer knowledge from a neural network to another, which typically consists of two branches: a teacher $T$, which is usually a complex model or accompanied by some extra knowledge, and a student $S$, which is a small network that learns from the teacher (Hinton, Vinyals, and Dean 2015). In standard knowledge distillation model, the teacher network $T$ is trained with ground-truth (i.e., hard labels) and outputs soft labels $\tilde{P}_T = \text{softmax}(\tilde{Z}_T/\tau)$, in which $\tilde{Z}_T$ is the pre-softmax logits and $\tau$ is the temperature parameter that is normally set to 1. Similarly, one can define $\tilde{P}_S = \text{softmax}(\tilde{Z}_S/\tau)$ for the student network $S$. In the training stage, $S$ is required to match not only the ground-truth one-hot labels, but also the probability outputs of the teacher model:

$$\mathcal{L}_S = (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{L}^S_T + \lambda\mathcal{L}_{KD}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^S_T$ is the ground-truth loss using one-hot labels, $\mathcal{L}_{KD}$ is the knowledge distillation loss using teacher’s soft labels and $\lambda$ is the coefficient to trade off such two terms. Typically, $\mathcal{L}^S_T$ is often the cross entropy loss in classification problems, and $\mathcal{L}_{KD}$ is the Kullback-Leibler divergence to quantify the difference of output distribution from student to teacher:

$$\mathcal{L}^S_T = CE(\tilde{G}, \tilde{P}_S) = -\sum_i \tilde{G}(i) \log \tilde{P}_S(i)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{KD} = KL(\tilde{P}_T||\tilde{P}_S) = \sum_i \tilde{P}_T(i) \log(\tilde{P}_T(i)/\tilde{P}_S(i))$$
The Student Network can easily use other RE methods which may be left presented in Figure 2(b). It is worth mentioning that the base encoder with a GCN-based model, its structure is following Zhang, Qi, and Manning (2018), we implement our base encoder with a GCN-based model, its structure is presented in Figure 2(b). It is worth mentioning that the base encoder can easily use other RE methods which may be left as future work.

Let $\mathcal{X}_i = [x_1, ..., x_n]$ denote a sentence, where $x_i$ is the $i$-th token. A subject entity $s$ and an object entity $o$ correspond to two spans in the sentence: $\mathcal{X}_s = [x_{s1}, ..., x_{sn}]$ and $\mathcal{X}_o = [x_{o1}, ..., x_{ono}]$. Given $\mathcal{X}_s$, $\mathcal{X}_e$, and $\mathcal{X}_o$, the goal of base encoder is to build a sentence representation for the RE task. For each word $x_i$, we transform it to a vector $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_w}$ using a word embedding matrix $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{V}| \times d_w}$, where $|\mathcal{V}|$ is the vocabulary and $d_w$ is the dimension of word embeddings.

Firstly, a BiLSTM layer is adopted to capture the contextual information for each word. We denote all the input vectors as $\mathbf{X} = [x_1; ...; x_n]$. The contextualized word representation is obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{BiLSTM}} = \text{BiLSTM}(\mathbf{X}).$$

(4)

To further capture the dependency structure over input sentence, we adopt the graph convolution operation to model dependency trees by converting each tree into an undirected graph with an adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$, where $A_{ij} = A_{ji} = 1$ if there is a dependency edge between tokens $x_i$ and $x_j$:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}n} = \text{GCN}(\mathbf{H}_{\text{BiLSTM}}, \mathbf{A}).$$

(5)

Note that we add self-loops to each node in the graph, so that the information of corresponding node in the former layer will carry over to the later one directly. Next, the sentence representation is expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{h}_{\text{sent}} = f(\mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}n}).$$

(6)

Here $f: \mathbb{R}^{d_{h}} \times m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_{h}}$ is a max pooling function that maps $m$ hidden vectors to a sentence vector, where $d_{h}$ is the dimension of hidden states. We also obtain subject representation $\mathbf{h}_s$ from $\mathbf{H}_{\text{gen}}$: $\mathbf{h}_s = f(\mathbf{h}_{s1}^{\text{gen}}; ...; \mathbf{h}_{sn}^{\text{gen}})$, as well as object representation $\mathbf{h}_o$, similarly.

Finally, we concatenate the sentence and entity representations as the output vector of our base encoder, which can be used for RE directly with a linear layer followed by softmax function:

$$\mathbf{h}_{\text{base}} = [\mathbf{h}_{\text{sent}}, \mathbf{h}_s, \mathbf{h}_o].$$

(7)
The Teacher Network
The teacher network focuses on improving the performance of RE with soft rules yield from the bipartite graph $G$, which is constructed in the section of type constraints.

In the training stage, for the input sentence $X$ with specified subject and object entities, we first obtain its pattern $p$ using the type of these two entities, and further retrieve the corresponding global probability distribution $G(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$ from $G$, where $d_r$ is the number of relations. Note that the probability of NA (i.e., no relation) in $G(p)$ is always 0, which means that no pattern will point to NA. To eliminate this limitation, we set $G(p)[NA]$ to a constant $C$. In this way, then $G(p)$ can be viewed as global knowledge, while the output of base encoder can be seen as local knowledge. To acquire a knowledgeable teacher with global awareness, we combine them in a regulaable manner:

$$h_{tea} = F(h_{base}) + \gamma \cdot G(p)$$

where $F: \mathbb{R}^{d_h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$ is a linear function and $d_e$ is the number of relations. $\gamma$ is the weight of global probability, which has three different forms of implementation: $\gamma_s$, $\gamma_r$ and $\gamma_v$:

- $\gamma_s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed scalar, which is the most straightforward method to unite the local and global knowledge, although some manual adjustments are needed.

- $\gamma_r \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$ is a trainable vector. It gives different weight to different dimension of $G(p)$ and is optimized via gradient back-propagation automatically.

- $\gamma_r \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$ is a trainable relation-related vector corresponding to current gold relation $r$. $\gamma_r = \Gamma(R)$ and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e \times d_r}$ is a relation embedding matrix. Unlike the above two label-independent methods, each relation has its unique weight vector, since $\Gamma$ fully considers the distinctions between relations.

In that case, the teacher network has three different versions according to the different implementations of $\gamma$, we denote them as Teacher-S, Teacher-V and Teacher-R, respectively. Although Teacher-R relies on gold relation labels and is not suitable for testing scenarios, it is still an ingenious way to generate well-informed soft labels.

The Student Network
Recall that the mission of teacher is to generate soft labels, while student serves testing scenarios where extra knowledge and annotations are missing. Therefore, we hope student could tap the deep potential of raw text with the guidance of knowledgeable teacher. As shown in Figure 2(c), we present a multi-aspect attention (MAA) mechanism for the student network, which evaluates relative contribution of each word with the consideration of multiple aspects and further generates updated sentence representation.

Here, we define four aspects to measure the importance of each word. Following Zhang et al. (2017), we obtain position embeddings $p_i = [p_i^s; p_i^e]$ for each word $x_i$, using a shared position embedding matrix $\mathbf{P}$, based on the relative distances from $x_i$ to subject and object entities. Besides, named entity recognition (NER) tag is also a very useful shallow grammatical information, which can be treated as coarse-grained entity type. We obtain NER tag embedding $\text{ner}_i$ for $x_i$, using a NER tag embedding matrix $\mathbf{N}$. In addition, we define a summary vector $q = h_{base}$, which encodes information about the entire sentence and two entities. Finally, we use above information from different four angles to develop the multi-aspect attention and further acquire the updated sentence representation:

$$h_{maa} = MAA(H^{gen}, P, \text{NER}, q)$$

where $P = [p_1; ...; p_n]$ and $\text{NER} = [\text{ner}_1; ...; \text{ner}_n]$ are the embedding sequences of position and NER tag respectively. The function $MAA(\cdot)$ refers to the multi-aspect attention, which can be formulated as follows:

$$u_i = \mathbf{v}^T \tanh(W_i h_i^{gen} + W_p p_i + W_n \text{ner}_i + W_q q)$$

$$a_i = \frac{\exp(u_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp(u_j)}$$

$$h_{maa} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i h_i^{gen}$$

Here $W_h \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_h}$, $W_p \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times 2d_p}$, $W_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_n}$, $W_q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_h \times d_q}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_q}$ are learnable parameters, where $d_p$ and $d_n$ are the dimensions of position and NER tag embeddings respectively. Additional parameters of the network include embedding matrices $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2l-1) \times d_s}$ and $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times d_n}$, where $l$ is the maximum sentence length and $V$ is the set of NER tags generated from the Stanford CoreNLP toolkit (Manning et al. 2014). These two embedding matrices are initialized randomly.

Finally, $h_{maa}$ is fed into a linear layer and integrated with the original logits:

$$h_{stu} = F(h_{base}) + F(h_{maa})$$

We name $F(h_{maa})$ as auxiliary logits, it is designed to imitate the global logits $\gamma \cdot G(p)$ of the teacher network in the training stage. In other words, we hope that MAA has the ability to capture some dark knowledge related to the type constraints between entities and relations.

Objective Functions
This subsection illustrates the objective functions of teacher and student networks, we introduce two additional loss functions to help our model efficiently transfer dark knowledge (i.e., the global type constraints and the relevance among relations) from teacher to student.

The Teacher Network In vanilla knowledge distillation, the teacher network is trained to fit one-hot labels. However, the ultimate goal of teacher is to provide better guidances for student, rather than achieve high accuracy simply. Inspired by Yang et al. (2019), we introduce a top score difference (TSD) loss to make the teacher’s distribution softer. More concretely, we first pick up $K$ classes with the highest confidence scores from the teacher’s output, and then compute the gap between the confidence scores of the primary class and other $K-1$ classes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{TSD} = \rho_1 - \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=2}^{K} \rho_k$$

where $\rho_k$ is the confidence scores of class $k$. This loss encourages the teacher to provide softer labels, which helps the student to learn better from the teacher's output.
where $\rho_k$ refers to the value of $k$-th largest element in the output distribution of teacher. Based on the global statistics, $K$ is set to 3 empirically. We add the penalty term to standard ground-truth loss $L^T_{GT}$ when training the teacher, facilitating it to distribute confidence to a few secondary relations:

$$L_T = L^T_{GT} + L_{TSD}$$

(15)

**The Student Network** Typically, knowledge distillation transfers dark knowledge from the final output of teacher. Chen et al. (2017) demonstrate that using the intermediate representation of teacher as hint can stabilize the training process and improve the final performance of student. Here, we utilize the Kullback-Leibler divergence to measure the differences of corresponding branches between the teacher and student networks as hint learning loss:

$$L_{HT} = KL(L_T^g || L_S^g) + KL(L_G^t || L_H^t)$$

(16)

where $L_T^g$ and $L_G^t$ are the local and global logits of the teacher network respectively, $L_S^g$ and $L_H^t$ are the local and auxiliary logits of the student network respectively. Intuitively, it encourages the results of MAA to be similar with the scaled global logits of the teacher network. The loss of knowledge distillation is calculated as the sum of $L_{HT}$ and $L_{KD}$ with a weight factor $\lambda_{ht}$. As a result, the updated loss of student network is defined as follows:

$$L_S = (1 - \lambda_{kd})L^T_{GT} + \lambda_{kd} \hat{L}_{KD}$$

(17)

$$\hat{L}_{KD} = L_{KD} + \lambda_{ht} L_{HT}$$

(18)

### Experiments

**Experimental Settings**

**Datasets** We conduct experiments on two widely used benchmark datasets: (1) TACRED (Zhang et al. 2017): It is the currently largest benchmark dataset for supervised RE, which contains 41 relations and a specially no relation class. Mentions in TACRED are typed, in which subject entities fall into 2 categories, and object entities are categorized into 16 types. We report micro-averaged Precision, Recall and F1 scores on this dataset as is conventional. (2) SemEval (Hendrickx et al. 2010): The SemEval (i.e., SemEval 2010 task 8) dataset contains 18 directed relations and a no relation class, all relations in this dataset cannot be retrieved from existing knowledge base. On SemEval, we follow the convention and report the macro-averaged F1 scores.

**Implementation Details** We tune all hyper-parameters according to the results on dev sets. For the base encoder, we use the same configure with C-GCN (Zhang, Qi, and Manning 2018). Beyond that, we set the NA probability $C$ to 0.2, the temperature of knowledge distillation $\tau$ to 1, the weight factor of hint learning $\lambda_{ht}$ to 1.8, the weight factor of type constraints in Teacher-S $\gamma_s$ to 0.8. The size of position embedding $d_p$ and NER tag embedding $d_n$ in MAA are both set to 30. Inspired by Clark et al. (2019), we adopt the teacher annealing strategy: Let $\lambda_{kd}$ increases from 0 to 1 linearly throughout the training stage of student. GloVe (Pennington, Socher, and Manning 2014) vectors are used as the initialization for word embeddings. Following Zhang et al. (2017) and Zhang, Qi, and Manning (2018), we augment the input with part-of-speech (POS) and named entity recognition (NER) embeddings, which are initialized randomly. For the SemEval dataset, we use Stanford CoreNLP toolkit to generate dependency parse trees, POS and NER annotations.

| Dataset  | #Train | #Dev | #Test | #Relation |
|----------|--------|------|-------|-----------|
| TACRED   | 68,124 | 22,631| 15,509| 42        |
| SemEval  | 7,500  | 500  | 2,717 | 19        |

Table 1: Statistics of the TACRED and SemEval datasets.
be applied to the testing stage.

**Performance with Knowledge Distillation** Next, we investigate the performance of our student network, which is hoped to inherit both local and global knowledge from the teacher network by knowledge distillation. From Table 2, we can see that: (1) Student-R outperforms other models in all settings, which justifies the philosophy for choosing well-informed soft labels as additional supervision for RE. (2) A better teacher can educate a better student, which is a response to the point we mentioned earlier: incorporating additional knowledge when training teacher is an efficient approach to improve the performance of student. (3) Student-S and Student-V outperform Teacher-S and Teacher-V slightly, indicating that knowledge in soft labels has been passed on from the teacher network to the student network. Thanks to the teacher annealing strategy, the student can make further progress beyond teacher, since it ensures the student gets rich training signals early in training, but is not limited to only imitating the teacher. However, Student-R does not have such consistent gain over Teacher-R. The reason behind such phenomenon is still under investigation, we presume that the student network do not has enough capability to imitate such remarkable label knowledge.

Overall, our approach achieves quite impressive results (+1.4% on F1) compared with AG-GCN on the TACRED dataset. Similar results can be found on SemEval, we omit the specific analysis due to space limitations.

**Ablation Study**

To study the contribution of each component in Student-R, we run an ablation study on the TACRED dataset (see Table 4). From these ablations, we can observe that: (1) TSD loss is a necessary component that contributes 0.8% gain of F1 to the ultimate performance, we attribute this gain to the softer distribution of the teacher’s output, and softer means more informative. (2) The use of hint learning is crucial, since the F1 drops drastically by 1.2% if it is removed, which can be interpreted that it provides an effective guidance to clone the teacher’s structure for student. (3) The type constraints contributes about 1.6% F1, which indicates that it is important to let our model aware of the global type knowledge. (4) Removing MAA hurts the result by 1.3% F1, it shows that the participation of multi-aspect information can indeed help students to excavate some knowledge from raw texts. (5) When we remove the type constraints and MAA and use a vanilla knowledge distillation model, the score drops by 1.8%. Conversely, when we remove the knowledge distillation and let the student learn from hard labels, the score drops by 2.1%, which justifies the effectiveness of using knowledge distillation in RE.

**Effectiveness of Well-informed Soft Labels**

From cases in Figure 3, we attribute the performance gain to two design choices: (1) The introduction of soft type constraints. Intuitively, the global statistics over examples provide more signal than independent sentence. In this way, combining with the soft rules can help neural networks generate reasonable and high-confidence predictions for the instances with complicate semantics. (2) The application of knowledge distillation. It is effective because that the soft output of class distribution from the knowledgeable teacher may carry additional information (e.g., the global awareness and cross-category relationship), such knowledge in soft labels can be successfully transferred from the teacher to student by leveraging knowledge distillation. Overall, our main claims about using soft labels instead of hard labels is that

| Model | P   | R   | F1  |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| SDP-LSTM (Xu et al. 2015) | 66.3 | 52.7 | 58.7 |
| PA-LSTM (Zhang et al. 2017) | 65.7 | 64.5 | 65.1 |
| C-GCN (Zhang, Qi, and Manning 2018)† | 69.9 | 63.3 | 66.4 |
| SA-LSTM (Yu et al. 2019) | 69.0 | 66.2 | 67.6 |
| ERNIE (Zhang et al. 2019) | 70.0 | 66.1 | 68.0 |
| AG-GCN (Guo, Zhang, and Lu 2019) | **73.1** | 64.2 | 68.2 |

Teacher-S (ours) | 69.5 | 66.3 | 67.9 |
Teacher-V (ours) | 71.6 | 66.0 | 68.7* |
Student-S (ours) | 68.5 | 67.6 | 68.1 |
Student-V (ours) | 71.1 | 66.7 | 68.8 |
Student-R (ours) | **71.4** | **67.9** | **69.6*** |

| Model | F1  |
|-------|-----|
| SDP-LSTM (Xu et al. 2015) | 85.7 |
| PA-LSTM (Zhang et al. 2017) | 82.7 |
| C-GCN (Zhang, Qi, and Manning 2018)† | 84.8 |
| AG-GCN (Guo, Zhang, and Lu 2019) | 85.7 |

Teacher-S (ours) | 85.4 |
Teacher-V (ours) | **85.9*** |
Student-S (ours) | 85.5 |
Student-V (ours) | 85.9 |
Student-R (ours) | **86.8*** |

Table 2: Results on the TACRED dataset, bold marks highest number among all models. † marks the base encoder of our model. * marks statistically significant improvements over AG-GCN with p < 0.01 under a bootstrap test.

Table 3: Results on the SemEval dataset, bold marks highest number among all models. † marks the base encoder of our model. * marks statistically significant improvements over AG-GCN with p < 0.05 under a bootstrap test.

Table 4: Results on the TACRED dataset to investigate the influence of different model architectures.
no relation [0.42] org:subsidiaries [0.65] org:parents [0.07]
org:subsidiaries [0.35] no relation [0.26] org:parents [0.03]
per:employee of [0.51] per:employee of [0.91]
per:employee of [0.41] per:schools attended [0.08]
no relation [0.07] no relation [0.01]
no relation [0.96] no relation [0.95]
no relation [0.02] no relation [0.03]
no relation [0.01] per:schools attended [0.01]

Figure 3: Outputs of Baseline (C-GCN) and Student-R on samples from TACRED, underscore marks the ground truth.

Figure 4: Visualization of soft rules on the TACRED dataset. Blocks are highlighted with different degrees according to the probabilities from pattern to relation.

a lot of helpful information can be carried in soft labels that could not possibly be encoded with one-hot vectors.

Visualization of Soft Rules

We visualize the bipartite pattern-to-relation graph to further show how the soft type constraints help RE boost the performance. From Figure 4, we can clearly see that soft rules is the refinement of hard type constraint, which can also be regarded as some prior knowledge and help model rectify some wrong cases and keep the right ones. For example, the probability of the relation between a person and an organization being employee of is 0.92. It is intuitive, when we talk about a person and an organization, our immediate reaction is that the man is a employee of the organization, then make further judgments based on the specific statements.

Related Work

In this paper, we deal with the supervised relation extraction (RE) problem, which is also known as relation classification (RC). Recently, various models are proposed based on different neural architectures, such as convolutional neural networks (Zeng et al. 2014; 2015), recurrent neural networks (Xu et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2017), graph convolutional networks (Zhang, Qi, and Manning 2018; Guo, Zhang, and Lu 2019) and transformers (Alt, Hübner, and Hennig 2019; Zhang et al. 2019). But overall, existing approaches mostly use hard labels (e.g., one-hot vectors) as the optimization objective in training, ignoring rich semantic relevance among relations. Although Liu et al. (2017) introduced a soft-label method, they aims to alleviate the wrong label problem during training and neglect the cross-category relationships too. Besides, there are also some efforts aimed at using external information to boost the performance of RE, including entity description (Ji et al. 2017), entity type (Vashishth et al. 2018) and relation-specific constraint (Lei et al. 2018). However, they tend to focus on the distantly supervised relation extraction (DSRE) and acquire information from knowledge base (KB), such heavy reliance on KB limits their scalability. For example, all relations in the SemEval dataset cannot be retrieved from existing KB (Hendrickx et al. 2010). To get rid of the limitation of KB, Su et al. (2018a) explored to consider the dependency of relation within an entity pair for DSRE. Su et al. (2018b) proposed to combat the wrong label problem in DSRE with global statistics. However, there is no prior study has explored to count soft labels from text corpus with a global perspective, which is a new opportunity to further improve the performance for RE.

Our work is also related to knowledge distillation (Hinton, Vinyals, and Dean 2015). Yim et al. (2017) concluded that it can bring three benefits: fast optimization, knowledge transfer and performance improvement. Recently, it shines brilliantly in various fields, especially for computer vision (Chen et al. 2017; Mishra and Marr 2018; Park et al. 2019). Nevertheless, in the natural language processing area, it is still in infancy stage and used for few tasks (Liu, Chen, and Liu 2019; Tan et al. 2019; Clark et al. 2019). In this paper, we employ knowledge distillation to help us mine soft labels and transfer knowledge for RE.

Conclusions and Future Work

In conclusion, we explore a new viewpoint of utilizing soft labels to boost the performance of RE. Specifically, we first construct a bipartite graph to discover soft rules between entity types and relations from entire corpus, and then combine soft rules with a GCN-based model to achieve knowledgeable teacher. Furthermore, we present a multi-aspect attention mechanism to help student mine the potential of raw text and adopt knowledge distillation to transfer dark knowledge from teacher to student. Finally, experimental results on two
public datasets prove the effectiveness of our model. In the future, we plan to explore soft labels with other forms and adapt our model to other NLP tasks.
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