Chronic pain-related consultations to the emergency department of children with complex pain conditions: A retrospective analysis of healthcare utilization and costs
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ABSTRACT

Background: There is limited information regarding the effects of pediatric chronic pain management on the number and cost of chronic pain–related emergency department (ED) consultations.

Aim: This retrospective study aimed to evaluate the number and costs of chronic pain–related ED consultations of children and adolescents with chronic pain conditions at the Montreal Children’s Hospital (MCH).

Methods: Charts of patients followed by the Edwards Family Interdisciplinary Center for Complex Pain (CCP) of the MCH between April 2017 and December 2018 were reviewed. ED consultations, specialist consultations, medication prescriptions, hospital admissions, and outpatient consultation referrals were assessed for the period of 1 year before and after the patients’ first consultation with the CCP. Associated costs were also calculated.

Results: One-hundred sixty-eight patients were included in the analysis. Fifty-one percent consulted the ED and had 151 chronic pain–related ED consultations within 1 year before their initial CCP consultation. In the year following their first CCP consultation, 52 patients (31%) consulted the ED, of which 24 consultations were chronic pain–related (84% reduction). There was an 81% reduction in the costs associated with chronic pain–related ED consultations within 1 year after CCP management. In addition, there was a significant reduction in ED interventions within 1 year after CCP management, though there was no change in medication prescriptions, hospital admissions, or subspecialist consultations.

Conclusion: Children and adolescents with chronic pain conditions had fewer chronic pain–related ED consultations within 1 year after the first evaluation by an interdisciplinary center for complex pain, contributing to reduced ED costs.

RESUMEN

Context: L’information sur les effets de la prise en charge de la douleur chronique pédiatrique sur le nombre et le coût des consultations liées à la douleur chronique au service des urgences est limitée.

Objectif: Cette étude rétrospective visait à évaluer le nombre et le coût des consultations liées à la douleur chronique des enfants et des adolescents souffrant de douleur chronique au service des urgences de l’Hôpital de Montréal pour enfants.

Méthodes: Les dossiers de patients suivis par le Centre interdisciplinaire de la famille Edwards pour la douleur complexe (CCP) de l’Hôpital de Montréal pour enfants entre avril 2017 et décembre 2018 ont été examinés. Les consultations au service des urgences, les consultations de spécialistes, les ordonnances de médicaments, les admissions à l’hôpital et les références pour consultation externe ont été évaluées pour la période d’un an avant et après la première consultation des patients auprès du CCP. Les coûts associés ont également été calculés.

Résultats: Cent soixante-huit patients ont été inclus dans l’analyse. Cinquante et un pour cent ont consulté le service des urgences dans le cadre de 151 consultations liées à la douleur chronique au service des urgences au cours de l’année précédant leur première consultation au CCP. Dans l’année suivant leur première consultation au CCP, 52 patients (31%) ont consulté le service des urgences.
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Introduction

People who live with a chronic pain condition, including children and adolescents, often present to the emergency department (ED). This most likely occurs in the context of the chronic, relapsing course of chronic pain, which is often associated with pain crises and exacerbations. However, it has been shown that the pain management approach in the ED is not properly tailored to treating people with chronic and complex pain. In addition, frequent ED consultations due to poorly managed chronic pain contribute to ED overcrowding, prolonged wait times, and increased health care costs and do not adequately address the underlying pain disorder responsible for the pain crises. Tumin et al. assessed the prevalence of pediatric chronic pain and the use of health care services. They found that chronic pain diagnoses were associated with an increased use of ED services and were independently associated with increased medical expenditures.

Specialized interdisciplinary chronic pain treatment facilitates promptly administered, effective, and patient-centered care. It also allows for an evaluation of the individual’s specific pain characteristics and thus the crafting of a pain management plan that is specifically tailored to the individual’s pain experience. Studies have been conducted internationally examining health care utilization and costs for pediatric patients with chronic pain. Many such studies have demonstrated a significant reduction in utilization of health care resources and costs following pain management provided by interdisciplinary chronic pain centers. Campbell et al. showed a significant reduction in physician remuneration claims across various health care service departments within the first year of treatment by an outpatient interdisciplinary chronic pain management program, with further decreases over the subsequent 5 years. A study by Mahrer et al. found a significant decrease in ED consultations and in hospital and insurance cost savings, even when taking into account costs of pain clinic services, within the year following chronic pain management program admission.

Inpatient, intensive interdisciplinary pain treatment programs have also been associated with significant decreases in health care utilization and costs. Evans et al. noted an overall decrease in health care utilization but did not find a statistically significant reduction in ED usage, whereas Ruhe et al. found a decrease in health care utilization but no statistically significant difference in health care costs. These studies included self-report questionnaires, semistructured interviews, physician remuneration and health insurance claims, among other measures used that may have associated biases. In addition, the analysis time periods and types of pain management programs being examined were variable.

Though there are some studies examining healthcare utilization and costs for pediatric patients with chronic pain, only four studies specifically evaluated the costs associated with ED consultations. However, there is a scarcity of research quantifying the impact of the treatment of interdisciplinary complex pain centers specifically on the number of consults and services provided by the ED for children and adolescents with complex pain conditions. Moreover, only a few of these studies provided a detailed analysis of the types of consultations seen in the ED (chronic pain related versus non-chronic pain related) or the costs associated with the different types of chronic pain conditions or differentiated between the types of costs (direct versus indirect) associated with ED consultations. This particular analysis is relevant given that up to 11% of health care costs associated with treating pediatric patients with chronic pain were related to the high number of ED consultations.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the number of ED consultations for patients followed by the Edwards Family Interdisciplinary Center for Complex Pain (CCP) of the Montreal Children’s Hospital (Quebec, Canada) within 1 year before and after the first evaluation by the CCP. We also evaluated the services provided by the ED, as well the fiscal analysis of associated hospital costs. We hypothesized that within 1 year after the first evaluation by the
CCP, there would be a reduction in the number of
consults to the ED as well as a decrease in ED-associated
health care costs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Population and Procedure**

This study was approved by the McGill University Health
Centre (MUHC) Research Ethics Board (2019-4670). Informed patient and/or parental consent was granted in
the context of the nature of the study. It consisted of
a retrospective chart analysis for children between the
ages of 2 and 18 years who lived with a chronic pain
condition and had been admitted to the CCP of the
Montreal Children’s Hospital between April 2017 and
December 2018. The chart analysis covered the year before
and the year after the patients’ initial consultations with the
CCP. The chart analysis was completed using two electro-
nic medical record databases: the OACIS electronic medical
record used in the MUHC institutions and the CCP’s
internal patient database. These databases systematically
document all visits to the ED at the MCH, thus capturing
all consultations a patient had at the institution.

**Description of the CCP**

The outpatient program of the Edwards Family
Interdisciplinary Center for Complex Pain focuses on opti-
mizing physical and psychological function, normalizing
sleep and social function, and increasing levels of activity,
while assisting with the management of the pain. The core
team at each evaluation includes a nurse, psychologist,
social worker, physiotherapist, clinical fellow, and anesthe-
siologist specialized in pain management. During an initial
interdisciplinary face-to-face interview, a formal evaluation
of pain intensity and the impact of the pain condition on
the patient’s physical function, mood, sleep, academic per-
formance, and social life, as well as the patient’s expecta-
tions and goals, is conducted. A detailed physical exam is
conducted by a pain specialist and a physiotherapist.
A psychologist, a social worker, and a nurse clinician inter-
view the patient/caregiver independently. At the end of the
evaluation, the patient and their parents/caregivers discuss
the diagnosis and a personalized treatment plan with the
interdisciplinary team. This treatment plan may include
medications, physiotherapy, psychology services, nursing
services, social services, or interventional procedures,
among various other modalities that are cohesively used
to provide a multidimensional approach to reduce pain
disability. The interdisciplinary program also includes cog-
nitive behavioral therapy and individual and group out-
patient physiotherapy sessions. Medical treatments
performed are based on the results of quantitative sensory
testing and conditioned pain modulation evaluations, in
addition to physical examination findings. Patients
are typically seen for multidisciplinary follow-up visits every
3 months, though they can be seen sooner if issues are
identified or changes to the treatment plan are needed.
Patients and parents can consult the CCP nurse clinicians
during working hours using a dedicated phone number
provided to them. The social workers, physiotherapist, and
psychologists can individually reach the nurse clinicians
and physicians through a team chat, allowing for faster and
more efficient communication within the team. The team
discusses the evolution of specific cases during three multi-
disciplinary team meetings per week. Finally, the CCP team
provides a 24/7 on-call physician consultation service
accessible to the ED and other specialties within the MCH.

Overall, more than 60% of patients assisted by the
CCP spent less than 1 year in the ambulatory program
(mean treatment time 257 days, 95% confidence interval
[CI] 223 to 291). The costs of evaluations, treatment, and
follow-up care provided by the CCP, as well as all con-
sults and treatments provided by the ED, are entirely
covered by the Quebec public health system.

**Measures**

The primary endpoints of this study were the number of
chronic pain–related ED consultations and their asso-
ciated costs for children and adolescents followed by the
CCP. The number of ED consultations within the study
period was tabulated by performing a retrospective chart
analysis and identifying all ED consultations within the
analysis period for each individual. These consultations
were then further classified as being “chronic pain
related” or “non-chronic pain related.” Chronic pain–
related consultations were defined according to the
*International Classification of Diseases* definition of
chronic pain, which is pain present or recurring for
greater than 3 months. In practice, a chronic pain–
related consult should be linked to a specific chronic
pain condition that may or may not be associated with
the diagnosis established by the CCP. Examples of
chronic pain–related consultations include a patient
with migraines presenting for severe headache or a
patient with chronic widespread pain presenting for
a musculoskeletal pain crisis. Examples of non-chronic
pain–related consultations are those for acute trauma,
acute infection, new-onset seizures, and surgical consults,
among others. Three team members (M.S., N.M., L.W.)
independently categorized consults as “chronic pain
related” or “non-chronic pain related.” Disagreements
were resolved by cross-checking of both databases by
the first and last authors (M.S., P.I.).
To evaluate the costs associated with these ED visits, the Coût Par Parcours de Séjours et Soins (CPSS) team of the MUHC used the Power Performance Manager system, an international tool used to calculate health care costs. This tool extracts raw data such as patient admissions, transfers, medical imaging, pharmacy, laboratories, and other costs from the MUHC’s internal clinical database. The CPSS team then performs manual calculation of these costs and specific services. Power Performance Manager then allocates these care costs directly to patients using different weights such as by visit, duration of care, and costs of specific supplies, among others. The indirect operating costs of the hospital, such as administration, housekeeping, laundry, building services, and security are also allocated to the “patient care” departments using their services based on internal allocation statistics (e.g., worked hours, expenses) that assign the cost to patients as “indirect” costs. The costs tabulated by the CPSS team presented in this study represent only the costs incurred and covered by the Montreal Children’s Hospital budget. The data analyzed in this study did not include the physicians’ salaries, which are covered by Régie de l’assurance maladie du Québec.

The secondary endpoints of this study include the services provided to pediatric patients with chronic pain within the ED, laboratory and imaging tests ordered, specialist consultations provided, medications received, hospital admissions, outpatient consultation referrals, and their associated costs.

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as frequencies (n) and proportions (%). Median (and 25%–75% interquartile range, IQR) or mean (SD) were used according to the normality distribution as assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk or Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Comparisons between the year before and after the patients’ initial consultations with the CCP were made using Fisher’s exact test for dichotomous variables (patients consulting the ED, patients consulting due to chronic pain, diagnosis of chronic pain). Also, a two-sided Student’s t test or Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables (number of ED consultations, number of chronic pain–related consultations, number of interventions, medication prescriptions, specialist consultations, hospital admissions, direct costs and indirect costs) according to the test of normality. Number of ED consultations and reconsultations was described and analyzed before and after the first CCP consultation. Average number of ED consultations and reconsultations were compared by Mann-Whitney U test. Likewise, the percentage of ED reconsultations before and after first CCP consultations were compared (using chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test). Both ED consultation number and costs were analyzed under diagnosis stratification by group (chronic primary and secondary pain) and specific diagnosis (chronic musculoskeletal pain, chronic widespread pain, chronic postsurgical/posttraumatic pain, chronic headache and orofacial pain, chronic neuropathic pain, chronic visceral pain). Relative risk (RR) and 95% CIs were also calculated. A two-tailed P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with IBM SPSS statistics software v25.

Results

We analyzed the data of 168 individuals (80% female) with a median age at time of first consultation with the CCP of 15 years old (25%–75% IQR = 13–16). The race of the included patients was predominantly white (n = 151, 90%). Due to the low frequency of some racial groups, races typically identified by Statistics Canada as a visible minority group (Indigenous, South Asian, black, Latin American, Arab, and mixed race) were collapsed into a single category that included 17 patients (10%). The diagnoses of the individuals included in the analysis are summarized in Table 1, with 96 patients (57%) having a chronic primary pain diagnosis and 72 patients (43%) having a chronic secondary pain diagnosis.

ED Consultations

There was a significant reduction in both the total number of ED consultations (46%) and specifically in the number of chronic pain–related ED consultations (84%) within the year after the first consultation with the CCP. The mean number of consults per patient was 2.8 ± 0.5 within 1 year before the first evaluation by the CCP and 2.5 ± 0.4 within 1 year afterward. In addition, there was a meaningful reduction in the number of chronic pain–related ED consults from 151 consults within 1 year before CCP evaluation to 24 consults within 1 year after (P < 0.01), as outlined in Table 2. The median number of
Table 2. Number of consultations to the ED and number of patients consulting the ED within 1 year before and 1 year after initial evaluation by the CCP.

|                          | Within 1 year after CCP | Within 1 year after CCP | Difference, n (%) | RR (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| ED visits                | 242                      | 131                      | 111 (46)**         | 0.66 (−1.11 to −0.21) |
| Chronic pain-related ED visits | 151                      | 24                       | 127 (84)*          | 0.76 (−1.03 to −0.48) |
| Patients consulting the ED, n (%) | 86 (51)                  | 52 (31)                  | 34 (40)*           | 0.61 (0.43−0.81) |
| Patients consulting due to pain, n (%) | 65 (39)                  | 17 (10)                  | 48 (74)*           | 0.26 (0.15−0.43) |
| Patients consulting due to primary chronic pain, n (%) | 37 (39)                  | 10 (10)                  | 27 (73)*           | 0.34 (0.17−0.65) |
| Patients consulting due to secondary chronic pain, n (%) | 28 (39)                  | 7(10)                    | 21 (75)*           | 0.25 (0.11−0.54) |

Data are presented as number of consults, number of patients, %, RR and 95% CIs.
*P < 0.001. **P = <0.01.

Table 3. Patients consulting the emergency department due to chronic pain–related concerns within 1 year before and after initial evaluation by the CCP.

| Pain diagnosis                        | Patients consulting within 1 year before CCP, n (%) | Patients consulting within 1 year after CCP, n (%) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Chronic primary pain                  |                                                    |                                                   |
| Chronic widespread pain               | 15/44 (34)                                         | 4/44 (9)*                                         |
| Chronic musculoskeletal pain          | 10/31 (32)                                         | 2/31 (7)*                                         |
| Chronic headache and orofacial pain   | 10/12 (83)                                         | 4/12 (33)*                                        |
| Chronic visceral pain                 | 2/9 (22)                                           | 0/9 (0)                                           |
| Chronic secondary pain                |                                                    |                                                   |
| Chronic musculoskeletal pain          | 13/36 (36)                                         | 2/36 (6)*                                         |
| Chronic postsurgical/posttraumatic pain | 5/17 (29)                                    | 3/17 (18)                                         |
| Chronic neuropathic pain              | 5/14 (36)                                          | 1/14 (7)                                          |
| Chronic headache and orofacial pain   | 3/3 (100)                                          | 0/3 (0)                                           |
| Chronic visceral pain                 | 2/2 (100)                                          | 1/2 (50)                                          |

Data are presented as number of patients and %.
*P < 0.05.

Table 4. Interventions, medication prescriptions, hospital admissions, and subspecialist evaluations during and after the consultations to the ED.

| Intervention                                         | Within 1 year before CCP | Within 1 year after CCP | Difference (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Interventions                                       | 127                      | 51                       | 60*            |
| Prescription of medications during the ED admission  | 139                      | 70                       | 50             |
| Admission to the hospital                           | 19                       | 15                       | 21             |
| Evaluation by other specialties in the ED            | 35                       | 23                       | 35             |
| Consultation for an outpatient evaluation by another specialty after discharge from the ED | 84                       | 46                       | 45             |

Interventions include imaging studies, nerve blocks, or other miscellaneous procedures. Data are presented as absolute numbers and net reduction (%) of interventions, medication prescriptions, hospital admissions, and subspecialist evaluations 1 year before and 1 year after initial consultation by the CCP.
*P < 0.0001.

reconsultations for chronic pain–related ER visits was 3 (IQR = 2 to 6) within 1 year before and 0 (IQR = 0 to 1) within 1 year after the first CCP consultation (P < 0.0001).

Patients Consulting the ED

There was also a meaningful reduction in the total number of patients followed by the CCP who consulted the ED within 1 year after initial CCP consultation and in the number of patients consulting specifically due to chronic pain, the latter of which decreased from 65 patients to 17 patients. The reduction in the proportion of patients consulting the ED and in the number of ED consultations was similar among patients with primary and secondary pain conditions (Table 2).

There was meaningful reduction in patients with chronic widespread pain, chronic musculoskeletal pain...
(both primary and secondary), and chronic primary headaches and orofacial pain consulting the ED due to chronic pain–related problems (Table 3).

**Course in the ED**

During the chronic pain–related ED consultations, there was a meaningful reduction in the number of interventions for visits within 1 year following CCP management. There were no significant reductions in the number of medication prescriptions, subspecialist evaluations in the ED, hospital admissions, and referrals to ambulatory subspecialty consultations between the analyzed periods (Table 4).

**Costs**

There was a significant reduction in the total costs associated with ED consultations within 1 year following the first evaluation by the CCP. The total cost of the ED consultations in the year before CCP consultation was CA$51,218, compared with CA$41,280 within 1 year after the first evaluation by the CCP (net reduction 19%, \( P < 0.0001 \)). There was a significant reduction in the direct costs before (CA$35,992) compared with after (CA$28,801) the first evaluation with the CCP (net reduction 20%, \( P < 0.0001 \)). The difference between the total indirect costs before (CA$15,226) and after (CA$12,479) the first evaluation with the CCP was not significant (\( P > 0.05 \)).

There was an 81% net reduction in the costs associated with chronic pain–related ED consultations when comparing the total cost of these consultations within 1 year before (CA$34689) and within 1 year after (CA$6634) the first evaluation by the CCP (\( P < 0.001 \)). The mean chronic pain–related ED consultation cost was CA$228 ± CA$167 within 1 year before and CA$276 ± CA$164 within 1 year after the first evaluation by the CCP.

**Discussion**

This study evaluated the number of ED consultations, stratified into chronic pain–related and non-chronic pain–related consultations, services, and associated costs for pediatric patients with chronic pain within 1 year before and after the first evaluation by an outpatient interdisciplinary chronic pain management program. Within 1 year after the first evaluation by the CCP, patients with chronic pain conditions consulted the ED less often, independent of the cause for the consultation (i.e., chronic pain–related or non-chronic pain–related consultations). We found a meaningful reduction in the number of patients consulting the ED for those with both primary and secondary pain conditions. More specifically, patients with chronic widespread pain, chronic musculoskeletal pain, and chronic primary headaches and orofacial pain were found to have significant reductions in the number of consultations to the ED. There was a significant reduction in the number of interventions in the ED within 1 year following first CCP evaluation but not in the number of medication prescriptions, hospital admissions, and referrals to other specialties. Finally, the fiscal analysis showed a reduction in the total and direct costs associated with ED consultations as well as the costs associated with chronic pain–related ED consultations.

The decrease in chronic pain–related ED visits may be due to several reasons. These include the interdisciplinary nature of the CCP services, the patient-tailored treatment plan, the pain-specific management expertise of the CCP team, and the ongoing support for patients and parents provided by the CCP team. The CCP provides specific counseling to patients and their families regarding what to do in the event of pain crises that may have previously led them to visiting the ED. Patients managed by the CCP receive a clear action plan in the event of pain crises and anxiety or depressive mood and are instructed to consult the ED only if such measures fail to manage the pain.

The decrease in the total number of ED visits (i.e., including non-chronic pain–related ED consultations) observed within 1 year following the first CCP evaluation may also be due to improved communication with and access to health care professionals. The CCP team guides patients and their families on common health issues and appropriate treatment venues, including consultations to family physicians and pediatricians. The high interprofessional connectivity within the CCP team may also prevent unnecessary consultations to the ED. The three interdisciplinary team meetings per week may also help anticipate the need for urgent consultations before they result in ED visits. The effective communication with the team members may improve the overall perception of inclusion in a safety network and the confidence of patients and families to manage their conditions without consulting the ED.

The significant reduction in ED interventions for chronic pain–related visits within 1 year following CCP management may also be due to several factors. The treating ED team can easily reach the CCP team nurses during working hours and the on-call CCP physician at all times for consultation and for advice regarding the personalized treatment of specific pain conditions. The access to a formal diagnosis through the patients’ electronic medical records may limit the need for extensive diagnostic workup and imaging to
look for a source of pain. Finally, most patients have had previous imaging and laboratory studies performed during prior ED visits, which may preclude the need for additional tests.

We did not find significant reductions in the number of medication prescriptions, subspecialist evaluations in the ED, hospital admissions, and referrals to ambulatory subspecialty consultations between the analyzed periods. This may demonstrate that treating ED physicians were not changing their clinical management of pain crises despite outpatient management by the CCP. The impact of interdisciplinary chronic pain management on the medical decision making applied by ED physicians deserves further attention and research.

The significant reduction in direct costs but not indirect costs for chronic pain–related ED visits is also an expected finding. The indirect costs represent operating costs incurred by the hospital, which are likely to remain constant because they are allocated to the “patient care” departments using their services based on internal allocation statistics and then assigned to patient care costs. The most effective way to prevent indirect costs of ED consultations remains the prevention of the ED consults.

The similar reduction in the number of patients consulting the ED for those with primary and secondary pain conditions was also expected. Even if most patients’ treatment plans were tailored to their individual pain experience, most patients receive multimodal interventions within the interdisciplinary pain treatment program. The mean treatment time with the CCP is 9 months, with more than half of patients being discharged from the program within 1 year. The timing of the benefits of CCP interventions is likely to similarly impact patients with primary and secondary pain conditions.

The reduction in ED consultations represented a decrease in utilization of certain health care resources and provided a reduction of the burden of ED overcrowding, a serious health care issue in pediatric emergency rooms. It also saved the health care system economic resources. However, the cost savings related to ED consultations were not compared with data regarding the costs associated with CCP management, thus precluding us from commenting on the cost savings in comparison to CCP costs for managing these patients. Hospital administrators may interpret these data as demonstrating that outpatient interdisciplinary chronic pain management programs may represent a promising avenue to reducing the burden of pediatric chronic pain and decreasing chronic pain–related ED overcrowding and associated costs. This may provoke changes to the funding of such programs, though future studies evaluating its cost efficiency are still necessary. In addition, this may promote more training of ED physicians and pediatric pain specialists, because this is a relatively small subspecialty not available in all communities.

Our findings are consistent with previous studies that have examined the impact of interdisciplinary pediatric chronic pain management programs on health care utilization and associated costs. Our data complement the results of Campbell et al. and Mahrer at al., who also examined outpatient-based programs. We included hospital- and medication-related costs and stratified ED consultations into chronic pain related versus non-chronic pain related, providing further insights on the effect of specialized chronic pain management on chronic pain–specific ED consultations. We consider this stratification in ED consultations a strength of our study.

Our study findings are also consistent with studies of intensive, inpatient-based interdisciplinary pain management programs, such as those evaluated in the studies by Evans et al., Hechler et al., Ruhe et al., and Lopez Lumbi et al. These studies showed a decrease in overall health care utilization, which they examined through measures such as frequency of inpatient hospitalizations, pain-related ED visits, and specialist consultations, among other endpoint measurements examined over varying time periods. These studies also showed a reduction in health care costs as examined through measures such as subjective financial burden, health insurance claims, and cost estimate calculations using health care cost data tools. Similar to these studies, our study showed a decrease in health care utilization, specifically ED utilization, and costs following management by a specialized pain management program. However, it is difficult to compare our findings to the findings of these studies because the nature of intensive inpatient-based pain management programs is different from that of the outpatient-based CCP.

Though many of the previous studies demonstrated a decrease in health care utilization and costs following interdisciplinary chronic pain management services, a study by Wager et al. demonstrated that though pediatric patients with chronic pain treated with intensive inpatient chronic pain management had a high likelihood of recovering from their chronic pain condition by adulthood, they continued to display increased health care utilization irrespective of whether they were still experiencing chronic pain or not. This highlights that pediatric patients with chronic pain are likely to experience increased healthcare utilization even several years following management of their pain, thus contributing to high healthcare costs.
Strengths and Limitations

This study has several strengths. Firstly, it is based on a relatively large sample size of patients analyzed in daily clinical practice. Secondly, it provides the stratification of ED consultations into chronic pain related versus non-chronic pain related for each ED consultation. With this information, we can further tease out the changes specifically to chronic pain–related ED consultations and costs that we would expect to be affected by CCP management. The use of a real-time, high-fidelity systematic database ensures a high degree of reliability of the results and avoidance of biases such as recall bias that can be observed with other types of methodologies evaluating health care utilization. In addition, the analysis period of 1 year before and after pain management program intervention is consistent with the average treatment period of patients in the CCP program. Our data provide concrete fiscal evidence that governmental organizations and donors can refer to when making decisions regarding funding of health care services, such as chronic pain management programs.

This study had several limitations. First, the analysis period can be viewed as relatively short compared to some other studies that utilized a longer analysis period of several years. Second, we cannot be certain that the reduction in ED consultations is the result of interventions by the CCP, because we did not include a comparator group of patients with chronic pain who were not managed by the CCP. As such, it was not possible to estimate the potential effects of natural healing or improvement of patients with chronic pain conditions. A control group of patients on a waiting list may help to evaluate the hypothesis of the potential natural improvements associated with a reduction in the number of ED consults. Almost all studies evaluating the cost of health care utilization in children with chronic pain compared the costs before and after an intervention or described the cost utilization of patients with chronic pain conditions without including control groups. Hechler et al. included a waiting list group as a control. However, due to the waitlist design, the original randomization did not have any effect on the follow-up analyses of the economic impact of the treatment that was not calculated. Another limitation to consider is that the database used captured only visits to the one institution (MCH) and did not capture any visits to other clinics or hospitals a patient may have consulted during the same period. Moreover, the costs analyzed in this study represent costs incurred by the Montreal Children’s Hospital in relation to the care provided. We did not include physician salaries, which are covered by another governmental office (Régie de l’assurance maladie du Québec) and are not available for analysis. This limitation makes our cost data results difficult to compare with those of other institutions that include physician expenses in their analyses. In addition, the health care costs tabulated in this study did not include other health care–related costs that may have been incurred by patients and their families, including but not limited to costs associated with travel to and from the hospital, private health care, or alternative medicine costs, among other possible costs. Finally, the limited diversity in the sample population, given that the majority of included patients are white, is a limitation to generalizability of the results to patients of varying backgrounds.

Future avenues in this field of research include evaluating the efficacy of interdisciplinary pediatric complex pain management using a control group of chronic conditions not associated with chronic pain. In addition, cost analysis over a more extended period of time would provide a longitudinal analysis on the transition to adult care. Further evaluation of which chronic pain diagnoses are correlated with the greatest utilization of healthcare services would be useful as well to understand where to centralize pain management improvement efforts. Further research is also necessary to evaluate for confounding factors contributing to the reduction in ED consultations following management by a complex pain center.

In conclusion, pediatric patients assisted by a complex pain center visited the ED less frequently within 1 year following the first evaluation by an interdisciplinary complex pain program. The reduction in the number of consultations was associated with meaningful reductions in the use of healthcare resources and costs.

Author Contributions

All authors provided substantial contribution to its preparation, through a combination of design conception, data acquisition, data analysis, and article revision. All authors discussed the results in this article and reviewed and approved the final version of the article to be published.

Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Funding

The clinical and research activities at the Edwards Family Interdisciplinary Center for Complex Pain are supported by an unrestricted grant of the Louise and Alan Edwards
References

1. Dépelteau A, Racine-Hemmings F, Lagueux É, Hudon C. Chronic pain and frequent use of emergency department: a systematic review. Am J Emerg Med. 2020;38(2):358–63. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2019.158492.

2. Tumin D, Drees D, Miller R, Wrona S, Hayes D Jr, Tobias JD, Bhalla T. Health care utilization and costs associated with pediatric chronic pain. J Pain. 2018;19(9):973–82. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.03.012.

3. Odell S, Logan DE. Pediatric pain management: the multidisciplinary approach. J Pain Res. 2013 Nov 11;6:785–90. doi:10.2147/JPR.S37434.

4. Peng P, Stinson JN, Choiniere M, Dion D, Intrater H, Lefort S, Lynch M, Ong M, Rashiq S, Tkachuk G, et al, and STOPPAIN Investigators Group. Les centres pluridisciplinaires de prise en charge de la douleur consacrés aux enfants au Canada: état actuel. Can J Anaesth. 2007;54(12):985–91. doi:10.1007/BF03016632.

5. Gatchel RJ, Okifuji A. Evidence-based scientific data documenting the treatment and cost-effectiveness of comprehensive pain programs for chronic nonmalignant pain. J Pain. 2006;7(11):779–93. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2006.08.005.

6. Campbell F, Stinson J, Ouellette C, Ostapets V, Salisbury G. The association between pediatric chronic pain clinic attendance and health care utilization: a retrospective analysis. Can J Pain. 2018;2(1):30–36. doi:10.1080/24740527.2017.1415701.

7. Evans JR, Benore E, Banez GA. The cost-effectiveness of intensive interdisciplinary pediatric chronic pain rehabilitation. J Pediatr Psychol. 2016;41(8):849–56. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsv100.

8. Hechler T, Ruhe AK, Schmidt P, Hirsch J, Wager J, Dobe M, Krummenauer F, Zernikow B. Inpatient-based intensive interdisciplinary pain treatment for highly impaired children with severe chronic pain: randomized controlled trial of efficacy and economic effects. Pain. 2014;155(1):118–28. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2013.09.015.

9. Ho IK, Goldschiener KR, Kashkar-Zuck S, Kotagal U, Tessman C, Jones B. Healthcare utilization and indirect burden among families of pediatric patients with chronic pain. J Musculoskelet Pain. 2008;16(3):155–64. doi:10.1080/1058245802161853.

10. Mahrer NE, Gold JJ, Luu M, Herman PM. A cost-analysis of an interdisciplinary pediatric chronic pain clinic. J Pain. 2018;19(2):158–65. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2017.09.008.

11. Ruhe AK, Frosch M, Wager J, Linder R, Pfennig I, Sauerland D, Zernikow B. Health care utilization and cost in children and adolescents with chronic pain: analysis of health care claims data 1 year before and after intensive interdisciplinary pain treatment. Clin J Pain. 2017;33(9):767–76. doi:10.1097/AJP.0000000000000460.

12. Zernikow B, Ruhe AK, Stahlschmidt L, Schmidt P, Staratzke T, Frosch M, Wager J. Clinical and economic long-term treatment outcome of children and adolescents with disabling chronic pain. Pain Med. 2018;19(1):16–28. doi:10.1093/pm/pnx06.

13. Lopez Lumbi S, Ruhe AK, Pfennig I, Wager J, Zernikow B. Economic long-term effects of intensive interdisciplinary pain treatment in paediatric patients with severe chronic pain: analysis of claims data. Eur J Pain. 2021;25(10):2129–39. doi:10.1002/ejp.1825.

14. Groenewald CB, Essner BS, Wright D, Fesinmeyer MD, Palermo TM. The economic costs of chronic pain among a cohort of treatment-seeking adolescents in the United States. J Pain. 2014;15(9):925–33. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2014.06.002.

15. Vega E, Beaulieu Y, Gauvin R, Ferland C, Stabile S, Pitt R, Gonzalez Cardenas VH, Ingelmo PM. Ingelmo PM. Chronic non-cancer pain in children: we have a problem, but also solutions. Minerva Anestesiol. 2018;84(9):1081–92. doi:10.23736/S0375-9393.18.12367-4.

16. Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, Cohen M, Evers S, Finnerup NB, First MB, et al. Chronic pain as a symptom or a disease: the IASP classification of chronic pain for the international classification of diseases (ICD-11). Pain. 2019;160(1):19–27. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001318.

17. Powerpervent Manager. Manage hospital costs, hospital revenue with timely reporting. PowerHealth Solutions website; Updated 2020 [accessed 2020 Dec 20]. https://www.powerhealthsolutions.com/ppm

18. IBM Corp. IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. 2022. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

19. Wager J, Ruhe AK, Stahlschmidt L, Leitsch K, Claus BB, Häuser W, Brähler E, Dinkel A, Kocalevent R, Zernikow B. Long-term outcomes of children with severe chronic pain: comparison of former patients with a community sample. Eur J Pain. 2021;25(6):1329–41. doi:10.1002/ejp.1754.