Organic and inorganic elicitors enhance in vitro regeneration of *Rosa canina*
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Rosa canina* is one of the most popular rose species which is widely used as the rootstock for the propagation of rose cultivars. The purpose of the present study is to improve the in vitro propagation efficiency of this valuable plant species using various growth stimulants in a proliferation medium. In this study, in vitro-derived axillary buds of *R. canina* were inoculated in Vander Salm (VS) medium supplemented with varying levels of organic or inorganic elicitors including casein hydrolysate (200, 400, and 600 mg/l), glutamic acid (2, 4, 8, and 12 mg/l), proline (500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 mg/l), and silver nitrate (25, 50, 75, and 100 mg/l), separately. Benzyl amino purine (BAP) as well as naphthalin acetic acid (NAA) were added to all media at a constant rate to promote shoot proliferation.

**Results:** The results indicated that the supplementation of casein hydrolysate to the VS medium markedly stimulated shoot regeneration by 173% in comparison to control. Shoot proliferation was also positively influenced by glutamic acid at all levels, however, at a lesser extent compared to casein hydrolysate. Silver nitrate at 100 mg/l induced the longest shoots (2.52 ± 0.248 cm) and maximum leaf number (8.90 ± 0.276) among all treatments. Although it did not encourage efficient shoot regeneration, the highest quality shoots with maximum growth vigor were observed in this treatment.

**Conclusion:** In this study, the promising role of casein hydrolysate in combination with plant growth regulators has been emphasized for the improved efficiency of *R. canina* regeneration protocol. Moreover, the addition of silver nitrate to the culture medium seems vital for enhancing the quality of regenerated shoots. The results of this study could be beneficial either for the further pharmaceutical or biochemical investigations of *R. canina* or commercial purposes for mass propagation of this specimen.
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**Background**

*Rosa canina*, commonly known as dog rose, is considered as one of the most popular and known species of roses. It grows naturally in many areas of the world, including Asia, the Middle East, Europe, and North America [1]. In Iran, *R. canina* is widely distributed in several regions in north, east, and west of the country. A high genetic diversity of this species has been previously documented in Iran [2]. Fruit of *R. canina* is proved to be rich in vitamin C and antioxidants, making it a valuable source of nutrition with great health benefits for humans [3, 4]. This species is also a popular rootstock for propagation of cut rose cultivars [5]. *R. canina* is typically reproduced through seed in the nature; however, the seed germination rate is reported to be poor in this species. Given the existence of a high level of heterozygosity in rose species, they are generally preferred to be reproduced vegetatively in order to obtain true to type propagules [6]. Today, the tissue culture technique has been broadly employed for clonal propagation of many ornamental plants. This method is a viable tool that allows for the rapid and efficient mass production of uniform and pathogen-free plantlets in a short period of time [7]. So far, many studies have been accomplished considering the generation of appropriate micropropagation protocols for several rose species and
cultivars [8–10]. Regarding R. canina, a number of studies are available on its in vitro regeneration. These investigations have mainly focused on optimization of nodal explant proliferation using various plant growth regulators including BAP, NAA, gibberellic acid (GA), and 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) or some nutritional elements [11–15]. The available regeneration methods and protocols are required to be optimized in order to efficiently achieve higher quality plantlets. The use of growth additives in combination with plant growth regulators appears to be a promising strategy to enhance the efficacy of available protocols [7]. These additives can either comprise individual amino acids such as proline, glutamine, and arginine or they can be more complex substances including casein hydrolysate and coconut water which are combinations of various amino acids. Previous studies revealed the positive impact of growth additives in the regeneration frequency of several plant species. For instance, the use of 25 to 50 mg/l of casein hydrolysate in combination with 4-mg/l BAP significantly improved shoot regeneration in bananas [16]. Similarly, the addition of 500 mg/l casein hydrolysate into the MS medium having 1 μM BAP induced the maximum shoot number in Neem tree [17]. In another study, 200 mg/l glutamine resulted in a considerable increase in bud proliferation in Ficus religiosa [18].

Apart from the organic substances like amino acids and proteins that have a stimulatory impact on in vitro plant regeneration, inorganic chemicals such as silver nitrate have been documented to encourage multiplication frequency in several plant species [19, 20]. This chemical has also played a significant role in several other tissue culture procedures including somatic embryogenesis [21] in vitro flowering [20], genetic transformation [22], and micrografting [23] in plants. Previous documents evidenced that silver nitrate stimulated in vitro multiplication of some rose species and cultivars through its ethylene inhibitory activity [24, 25]; however, no report is available concerning the impact of this chemical on the regeneration efficacy of R. canina.

The main objective of the present study is to improve in vitro shoot regeneration of R. canina from nodal explants using growth additives including casein hydrolysate, glutamic acid, proline, and silver nitrate as well as to develop an optimized tissue culture protocol for micropropagation of this species.

Methods

Plant material and sterilization

Cuttings of R. canina were collected from their habitat in Razavi Khorassan province, Iran, in April 2018. The voucher specimen (herbarium code: 38414-FUMH) is preserved at the herbarium of Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (FUMH), Iran. The explants were prepared by first removing the thorns from the stems. Then the stems were excised to approximately 2 cm length explant, each containing one single axillary node. The explants were then rinsed with running tap water for 1 h and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water. The explants were then cultured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) [26] basal medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% agar and subsequently disinfected with ethanol (70%) for 30 s, sodium hypochlorite (2.5%) for 15 min, and mercury (II) chloride (0.1%) for 10 min. Finally, the explants were rinsed thoroughly three times using sterile distilled water.

Shoot induction and multiplication

Newly developed shoots on the primary explants were excised after 6 weeks of culture and cut into 1.5 cm length explants each containing one axillary bud. Explants were then incubated in Van der Salm medium (VS) [27] supplemented separately with various levels of casein hydrolysate (200, 400, and 600 mg/l), glutamic acid (2, 4, 8, and 12 mg/l), proline (500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 mg/l), and AgNO3 (25, 50, 75, and 100 mg/l). All of the media contained 1.5 mg/l BAP and 0.1 mg/l NAA to promote shoot proliferation [13]. VS medium including 1.5 mg/l BAP and 0.1 mg/l NAA but devoid of any growth additives was considered as control. Subcultures were made at the similar medium with 4 weeks interval. At the end of the experiment (8 weeks), proliferation indices including a number of regenerated shoots and leaves as well as shoot length were recorded.

Rooting and greenhouse acclimatization

At the end of the proliferation phase, the regenerated shoots (approximately 3 cm length) were separated and transferred to VS medium containing various levels of NAA or IBA (0, 0.3, 0.6, and 0.9 mg/l) for rooting. After 45 days, rooting indices including rooting percentage, root number, and root length were recorded for each treatment. Subsequently, the well-rooted plantlets were transferred to disinfected substrate mixture including cocopeat-perlite (1:1) and maintained in culture room for acclimatization. The acclimatized plants were then transferred to a greenhouse after 10 days and plant survival rates were evaluated after 1 month.

Experimental design and statistical analysis

All of the experiments were arranged in completely randomized design (CRD). Each treatment involved 5 replicates (four explants for each replicate), and the experiments were repeated two times. The results were
expressed as the average of the replications ± standard error (SE). The data was analyzed in SPSS 19 software by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan post hoc test to compare means \((p \leq 0.05)\).

**Results**

**Shoot induction and multiplication**

The average shoot proliferation rate of *R. canina* was significantly \((P \leq 0.05)\) affected by the various growth stimulants after two subcultures (Fig. 1a). The media supplemented with casein hydrolysate or glutamic acid-induced higher regeneration rate in *R. canina* bud explants compared to the rest of treatments. The maximum shoot number of 4.1 ± 0.27 shoots per explant obtained in the medium supplemented with 600 mg/l casein hydrolysate (Fig. 2a). This was 2.5 times higher compared to the shoot number regenerated in the control (1.5 ± 0.22 shoot per explant). All growth indices including shoot number, shoot length, and leaf number with the increase in casein hydrolysate content in the culture medium. Glutamic acid also promoted shoot regeneration in *R. canina* following casein hydrolysate.

**Fig. 1** Effect of various growth additives on *a* regenerated shoot number, *b* shoot length, and *c* leaf number of *Rosa canina* nodal explants in vitro condition. Mean values with different letters are significantly different at \(p < 0.05\)
Twelve milliliters/liter glutamic acid regenerated 80% more shoots as compared to the control. No significant differences were observed in various levels of glutamic acid in shoot proliferation. Nodal explant treated with various concentrations of proline or silver nitrate did not show any significant increase in shoot regeneration compared to the control. The number of regenerated shoots remained minimum in explants incubated in the control as compared to the rest of the treatments.

Silver nitrate in the culture medium allowed for the regeneration of the longest shoot so that at 100 mg/l AgNO₃, the shoots with an average 2.5 cm length were formed (Fig. 2b). This was approximately 6 times longer than the control (0.42 cm). After the silver nitrate, explants treated with glutamic acid produced the longest shoots compared to control which formed the smallest shoots (Fig. 1b). The maximum number of leaves was obtained in a medium supplemented with 600 mg/l casein hydrolysate (Fig. 1c). This was not significantly different with the leaf numbers produced in media supplemented with either levels of silver nitrate. It should be noted that the most vigorous plant with the highest quality shoots was obtained in the media containing silver nitrate.

**Root induction and greenhouse acclimatization**

Following proliferation, regenerated shoots were excised and transferred to rooting media containing varying levels of NAA or IBA. After 1 month, only 3% of the shoots incubated in auxin-free medium (control) were able to regenerate root, whereas, considerably more roots were regenerated in the rest of the treatments possessing various levels of either NAA or IBA (Table 1).

Lower concentrations of NAA and IBA proved to be better in rhizogenesis of regenerated shoots of *R. canina* compared to higher concentrations. Shoots treated with 0.3 mg/l NAA displayed the maximum rooting percentage and root number, 43.32 ± 3.07% and 3.9 ± 0.27, respectively (Fig. 2c), while the shoots subjected to 0.3 mg/l IBA mediated the longest roots (1.36 ± 0.17 cm) in *R. canina* shoots. Rooted plantlets were successfully acclimatized and established in the greenhouse condition with more than 95% survival rate (Fig. 2d).

**Discussion**

In the present study, the effects of growth stimulants including casein hydrolysate, proline, glutamic acid, and silver nitrate on shoot proliferation of *R. canina* were investigated. These substances are generally utilized as
potential additives besides plant growth regulators during various stages of in vitro plant propagation for the purpose of improving the plant quality and regeneration rate and thereby enhance the efficacy of this process [28]. In this study, plants treated with casein hydrolysate displayed the maximum regeneration rate in *R. canina*. Casein hydrolysate is a mixture of organic substances including low molecular weight proteins, amino acids, vitamins, and growth-stimulating agents that enhance plant growth through facilitating nitrogen availability for the plants [7]. It has been shown that plant cells have a higher ability to metabolize and transfer nitrogen from organic rather than inorganic sources [29]. Casein hydrolysate has been reported to be effective in various plant developmental processes including somatic embryogenesis [30, 31], seed germination and seedling growth [32], and callus proliferation [33]. Additionally, the positive role of casein hydrolysate, as a reduced nitrogen form *in vitro* shoot regeneration of many plant species has been documented previously [34–36].

A range of negative symptoms including shoot tip necrosis and vitrification [37], as well as growth retardation [34, 36], has been reported in some plant species at the high levels of casein hydrolysate (200–500 mg/l). In contrast, none of these responses was observed in our experiment when we used the highest level of casein hydrolysate (600 mg/l). The plants maintained their quality in the maximum level of this substance.

Glutamic acid at 12 mg/l enhanced shoot regeneration and leaf number compared to the control. Glutamic acid is a type of amino acid which is involved in cell function maintenance in plants [38]. It has been effective in induction, maturation, and germination of somatic embryos in a number of plant species [39, 40]. Glutamic acid is the precursor of L-glutamine, which has been frequently reported to have a stimulatory impact on in vitro plant growth, shoot proliferation [38, 39], and somatic embryogenesis [31]. L-glutamine and glutamic acid are directly involved in NH₄⁺ assimilation in plants. The direct incorporation of these amino acids into culture medium enhances the usage of ammonium and nitrate in plant and thereby facilitate their conversion into amino acids [7]. In the present study, all concentrations of glutamic acid showed a better response in terms of shoot regeneration and length in *R. canina* compared to the controls. These results are consistent with earlier reports confirming the beneficial role of these amino acids on in vitro shoot growth and proliferation on various plant species [41–43].

Proline had no visible impact on the shoot proliferation of nodal explant of *R. canina*. This amino acid was previously reported to be effective in somatic embryogenesis in certain plant species [44, 45]. Although proline at the maximum concentration (2 g/l) improved shoot length and leaf number, it failed to stimulate shoot regeneration in the nodal explant of *R. canina* and thus it is not suggested to be used for direct in vitro regeneration of this plant.

Silver nitrate, although not promoted multiple shoot regeneration in *R. canina*, produced plants with the highest leaf number and shoot length. Moreover, the plants were of the most quality in this treatment. Silver nitrate has been shown to mitigate ethylene biosynthesis while it promotes internal polyamines which consequently enhance cell division and proliferation in plants [46]. Moreover, this substance declines the vitrification rate in plants through encouraging water loss and increasing antioxidant activity [47]. These factors may allow for the regeneration of higher quality plantlets in *R. canina* in the presence of silver nitrate. So far, silver nitrate has been reported to favor plant regeneration from leaf explant of *Rosa x hybrida* [24], shoot proliferation in *R. multiflora* [48], and *Rosa x hybrida* [49] as well as alleviating leaf chlorosis and necrosis in *R. clinophylla* [50]. In the present study, silver nitrate markedly enhanced plant quality and shoot length. These results are in line with the finding of Ozden et al. (2005) [51] who reported the longer shoots of pistachio in response to addition of silver nitrate in culture medium. In contrasts to our findings, silver nitrate proved to significantly increase in vitro shoot regeneration in certain woody plant species like Jojoba [47] and Prunus [52].

Previous studies indicated that the medium devoid of plant growth regulators was appropriate for in vitro
rooting of *Rosa x hybrida* cultivars [24]; however, our study indicated that a low concentration of auxin is essential for root regeneration of *R. canina* as poor rooting was observed in auxin-free medium. Ambros et al. (2016) [14] indicated that 1 mg/l IAA was effective in root induction of *R. canina*. Contrastingly, our findings showed that NAA at 0.3 mg/l was the best for the highest root regeneration of this species. IBA or NAA at various concentrations had been effective in in vitro rooting of other rose species so far [50, 53]. These synthetic auxins have been reported to act as synergistic agents with IAA as natural auxin in plants [14].

**Conclusion**

This study is the first report where an efficient method for micropropagation of *Rosa canina* was described using organic as well as inorganic growth additives in combination with plant growth regulators. Inclusion of casein hydrolysate in proliferation media increasingly enhanced shoot regeneration in this species. In addition, our study proved that silver nitrate plays a significant role in improving the quality of regenerated shoot in vitro condition. The developed regeneration system in this study could contribute to the commercial production of this economically and medicinally valuable species irrespective of seasonal restriction. Moreover, this system would be of benefit to in vitro breeding purposes of *R. canina* to provide sufficient plant materials for further pharmaceutical, physiological, and biochemical investigations.
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