Global warming and arboviral infections
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Climate change is already expanding the geographic footprint of arboviral infections. In this article we consider the impact of climate change on three arboviruses with particular consideration of the effect on Europe.

Introduction

The earth is warming and there is almost universal consensus that human activities are contributing to this in an unprecedented way.\textsuperscript{1} In view of this, experts have proposed that we are now living in the Anthropocene epoch, an era where human activities are the dominant geophysical influence on the environment.\textsuperscript{2} Climate change is accompanied by altered rainfall patterns, flooding and an increased likelihood of extreme weather events. These phenomena are potentially linked to the expansion of the geographic range of permissive vectors and, thus, the potential increase and spread of a variety of arboviral threats.\textsuperscript{3,4} In contrast, climate change may lead to changes in land use and alterations in human behaviour that may paradoxically reduce the risk of arboviral transmission. In this article, we will consider the implications of climate change on a number of arboviruses with a focus on implications for Europe.

We will discuss viruses transmitted by \textit{Aedes} mosquitoes, focusing on dengue, viruses transmitted by \textit{Culex} mosquitoes, focusing on West Nile virus (WNV), and finally tick borne encephalitis (TBE).

Dengue

Dengue is globally the most important arboviral infection, in terms of number of annual infections and global morbidity and currently endangers half the world’s population.\textsuperscript{5} Dengue is a Flavivirus and is transmitted by \textit{Aedes} mosquitoes. Dengue infection results in a range of clinical presentations ranging from a self-limiting febrile illness through to life-threatening manifestations including shock, organ impairment and occasionally haemorrhage. There are no specific therapeutic agents and currently the only licensed vaccine has limited efficacy, and due to the potential for disease enhancement in flaviviral naïve subjects, most countries have either suspended or are re-evaluating their vaccination strategies.\textsuperscript{6} The principal vector in tropical countries is the highly domesticated urban adapted mosquito, \textit{Aedes aegypti} (Fig 1). However, the past few years has seen an expansion in the range of the competent dengue vector, \textit{Aedes albopictus}. \textit{A albopictus} has been introduced into Europe and has become established in several countries raising the possibility of dengue transmission.\textsuperscript{7} Temperature and humidity are significant factors in influencing dengue transmission dynamics – an increase of these may lengthen the dengue ‘season’ in endemic areas and, potentially, promote the establishment of dengue transmission in new areas such as southern Europe or the southern United States. Prediction models (Fig 2) demonstrate the global limits that temperature places on dengue virus transmission, taking into account seasonal variations in temperature and how this differs for \textit{A aegypti} and \textit{A albopictus}.\textsuperscript{8}

For Europe, risk mapping projects that further expansion of \textit{A albopictus} will occur around southern Europe, especially around the Mediterranean coast spreading east to Greece, Turkey and the Balkan countries. Incorporating climate change in these projections, it is suggested the majority of Europe could sustain \textit{A albopictus} establishment in the next decade, especially countries in western Europe including parts of Germany and the southern UK, due to increased temperatures and rainfall projections. Despite the expansion of \textit{A albopictus} in Europe, the vector implicated in the outbreak of dengue in Madeira in 2012 was \textit{A aegypti}, and despite vector control efforts, it has also become established in this setting.\textsuperscript{9,10}

Key points

- Climate change is already resulting in changing epidemiology of a variety of arboviruses meaning doctors in all clinical settings need to be aware of these infections
- The relationship between climate change and arboviral expansion is not linear – changes in land use and housing design may actually result in a decrease in the incidence of arboviruses
- Climate change is likely to have a significant impact on human and animal movement further adding to the complexity of arboviral emergence
- Tackling the health threat of arboviruses will require a collective approach encompassing vaccines, vector control and the development of therapeutics and diagnostics
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In addition to temperature changes, effects of climate change such as rural flooding may result in significant migration into urban environments. This has the potential to further increase the population density in already overcrowded settings, potentially enhancing the transmission risk.

Comprehensive surveillance systems are required in areas identified to be at risk, combined with innovative vector control measures. Recent advances in biocontrol utilising the intracellular bacterium Wolbachia, which is able to interfere with viral replication of a wide variety of arboviruses, is an exciting area. Successful and sustained introduction of Wolbachia into wild Aedes mosquito populations in field sites in Australasia have been demonstrated. Recent evidence suggests this could have a significant impact on dengue transmission, as, since mass releases started in 2011, there have been no dengue outbreaks despite the increase in imported cases into the area. Results from large-scale trials are awaited from Indonesia and Vietnam.

**West Nile virus**

WNV is another Flavivirus, however its predominant vector is Culex mosquitoes, in particular Culex pipiens. Although the predominant route for transmission is through the bite of an infected mosquito, transmission can also occur through handling of blood or tissues of infected animals and also through organ transplant and blood transfusions. There are no antivirals for WNV, and only an equine vaccine exists. WNV is maintained through a zoonotic cycle between mosquito and birds. Various species of bird are reservoir hosts and most species do not display symptoms, however it can be pathogenic for certain species particularly members of the crow family. Humans and horses are dead-end hosts, meaning they can become infected but do not contribute to the transmission cycle. More than 80% of infections are asymptomatic, and the remaining usually present with a self-limiting infection with symptoms of fever, headache, vomiting and lymphadenopathy. Neuroinvasive infection occurs in less than 1% of cases but is associated with a high morbidity and a significant mortality rate. Neuroinvasive disease includes a spectrum of syndromes from meningencephalitis through to flaccid paralysis. Severe illness can occur at any age, but more frequently occurs in older age groups and immunocompromised patients, particularly transplant recipients. WNV was prevalent throughout Africa, parts of Europe, Middle East, West Asia and Australia, and since 1999, when it was introduced into the USA, the virus spread throughout the American continent and is now widely established from Canada through to Venezuela. WNV is already established in parts of Europe (Fig 3) and competent vectors are present throughout the continent. Recent outbreak sites have been on major birds’ migratory routes, with the largest recent outbreaks in the last two decades occurring in Greece, Israel, Romania, Russia and USA. It is possible that changes in bird migratory patterns secondary to climate change may result into changes to WNV epidemiology, in addition to the expansion of the Culex mosquito vector. Risk projection maps estimate large parts of Europe will be suitable for West Nile virus transmission by 2050 (Fig 4). Robust surveillance systems are essential for the real-time monitoring of WNV cases to ensure that public health systems receive early warnings about the onset of a potential outbreak and any unusual seasonal patterns, to trigger local outbreak response teams and vector control.

**Tick-borne encephalitis**

TBE is a Flavivirus transmitted by Ixodes ticks, principally *Ixodes ricinus*. TBE typically causes a biphasic illness with an initial viraemic phase that is indistinguishable from other viral illnesses.
followed by a secondary phase where neurological features predominate. TBE is endemic in Europe and it is likely that climate change has already impacted its transmission dynamics. Higher temperatures result in faster tick development cycles and higher population densities. In addition, warmer climates lengthen the duration of tick active periods and promote the expansion of the vector into higher altitudes. This has been seen in Sweden where there has been an increase in TBE cases since the mid-1980s – this increase correlates with shorter, warmer winters and, thus, an increase in the potential disease transmission season. In addition, in the Czech Republic, TBE transmission is occurring at higher altitudes – again, this is linked to increased temperatures that support the establishment of the vector in new, previously hostile areas. However the impact of global warming and TBE transmission is not entirely clear-cut. I. ricinus typically feeds on roe deer. Sweden had a very harsh winter in 2010–2011 which resulted in a reduction in the deer population. This meant that ticks fed on small rodents that were more likely to be TBE viraemic and thus infectious to ticks. This resulted in an increased number of TBE cases. In addition, the expansion of ticks closely correlates with the expansion of roe deer which is partly due to the demands of game hunters. While there is little doubt that climate change has increased the rates of TBE transmission, it is clear that the dynamic is more complex than a simple case of cause and effect.

**Conclusions**

Successful arboviral transmission requires optimal environmental conditions for both vector and virus. Climate change is likely to contribute to the expansion of the outer limits of arboviral transmission. Using the most extreme climate scenarios modelling experiments suggest that there may be dengue transmission in the UK summer by 2100. What is perhaps more likely is occasional autogenous transmission events resulting from a viraemic individual travelling to a non-endemic area inhabited by permissive vectors. This has already been seen with outbreaks of dengue and chikungunya in parts of southern Europe. Additionally, climate change is likely to result in significant human movement beyond infectious diseases. While climate change is certainly a factor in the increased rates of arboviral transmission it should not be viewed in isolation – other contributing factors such as human behaviour, human movement and land use (which may themselves be affected by climate change) are also likely to play a role. ■

**Conflicts of interest**

The authors receive consulting fees from Janssen Pharmaceuticals for their work on dengue antiviral development.

**References**

1. IPCC. Climate change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of working group II to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
2. Steffen W, Persson A, Deutsch L et al. The anthropocene: from global change to planetary stewardship. Ambio 2011; 40: 739–61.
3. Semenza JC, Menne B. Climate change and infectious diseases in Europe. Lancet Infect Dis 2009; 9: 365–75.
4. Baylis M. Potential impact of climate change on emerging vector-borne and other infections in the UK. Environ Health 2017; 16(Suppl 1): S28.
5 Simmons CP, Farrar JJ, Nguyen VV, Wills B. Dengue. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1423–32.
6 Sridhar S, Luedtke A, Langevin E et al. Effect of dengue serostatus on dengue vaccine safety and efficacy. N Engl J Med 2018;379:327–40.
7 Caminade C, Medlock JM, Ducheneve E et al. Suitability of European climate for the Asian tiger mosquito Aedes aegypti: recent trends and future scenarios. J R Soc Interface 2012;9:2708–17.
8 Brady OJ, Goldberg N, Pigott DM et al. Global temperature constraints on Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus persistence and competence for dengue virus transmission. Parasit Vectors 2014;7:338.
9 Almeida AP, Goncalves YM, Novo MT et al. Vector monitoring of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in the autonomous region of Madeira, Portugal. Euro Surveill 2007;12:E071115.6.
10 Sousa CA, Clairouin M, Seixas G et al. Ongoing outbreak of dengue type 1 in the autonomous region of Madeira, Portugal: preliminary report. Euro Surveill 2012;17:20333.
11 Medlock JM, Depoux A, Schutte S et al. Climate migration and health: conference report. In: Puig-Malet S (ed), Climate migration and health. Paris: Universite Sorbonne Paris Cite/Centre Virchow-Villerme for Public Health, 2016.
12 Yacoub S, Kotit S, Yacoub MH. Disease appearance and evolution against a background of climate change and reduced resources. Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci 2011;369:1719–29.
13 Ye YH, Carrasco AM, Frentiu FD et al. Wolbachia reduces the transmission potential of dengue-infected Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2015;9:e0003894.
14 Hoffmann AA, Montgomery BL, Papović J et al. Successful establishment of Wolbachia in Aedes populations to suppress dengue transmission. Nature 2011;476:654–7.
15 Ritchie SA. Wolbachia and the near cessation of dengue outbreaks in northern Australia despite continued dengue importations via travellers. J Travel Med 2018;25.
16 Anders KL, Indriani C, Ahmad RA et al. The AWED trial (applying Wolbachia to eliminate dengue) to assess the efficacy of Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments to reduce dengue incidence in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial. Trials 2018;19:302.
17 Kosmer LD, Li, Ji Shi PY. West Nile virus. Lancet Neurol 2007;6:171–81.
18 Sejvar JJ, Haddad MB, Tierney BC et al. Neurologic manifestations and outcome of West Nile virus infection. JAMA 2003;290:511–5.
19 Kilpatrick AM. Globalization, land use, and the invasion of West Nile virus. Science 2011;334:323–7.
20 Gubler DJ. The continuing spread of West Nile virus in the western hemisphere. Clin Infect Dis 2007;45:1039–46.
21 Lindquist L, Vapalahti O. Tick-borne encephalitis. Lancet 2008;371:1861–71.
22 Randolph SE. Evidence that climate change has caused ‘emergence’ of tick-borne diseases in Europe? Int J Med Microbiol 2004;293(Suppl 37):5–15.
23 Zeman P, Bene C. A tick-borne encephalitis ceiling in central Europe has moved upwards during the last 30 years: possible impact of global warming? Int J Med Microbiol 2004;293(Suppl 37):48–54.
24 Cognacci F, Bolzoni L, Rosa R et al. Effects of deer density on tick infestation of rodents and the hazard of tick-borne encephalitis. I: empirical assessment. Int J Parasitol 2012;42:365–72.
25 Liu-Helmersson J, Quann M, Wilder-Smith A et al. Climate change and Aedes vectors: 21st century projections for dengue transmission in Europe. EBioMedicine 2016;7:267–77.
26 Hauschild JM, Young JJ, Gossner CM et al. Early start of the West Nile fever transmission season 2018 in Europe. Euro Surveill 2018;23.
27 Semenza JC, Tran A, Espinosa L et al. Climate change projections of West Nile virus infections in Europe: implications for blood safety practices. Environ Health 2018;15(Suppl 1).S28.

Address for correspondence: Dr James Whitehorn, Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK.
Email: jswhitehorn@gmail.com