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ABSTRACT

Hypovascular pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors are uncommon pancreatic tumors and commonly misdiagnosed as pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma or chronic mass-forming pancreatitis. The liver is the organ most commonly affected by neuroendocrine tumor metastases but hepatic neuroendocrine tumor metastases are quite difficult to discriminate from other hepatic metastases and primary hepatic tumors. We describe a case of a 47-year-old man with incidentally detected multiple hepatic lesions on ultrasound. On further imaging technique including computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging, the patient had an abnormal hypoenhancing lesion at the pancreatic tail and multiple hyperenhancing hepatic metastases that were diagnosed as hypovascular pancreatic well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor Grade 2 with multiple hypervascular hepatic metastases after liver biopsy and surgery. Neuroendocrine tumor is a rare etiology among hypoenhancing pancreatic tumors, and must be considered to discriminate from pancreatic adenocarcinomas in cases there are multiple hyperenhancing hepatic metastases on the arterial phase without typical washout on the portal venous phase.
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Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) derive most commonly from midgut organs, such as the small bowel, less commonly from hindgut organs, including the colon and rectum, and rarely from embryonic foregut organs, such as the bronchus, stomach, pancreas, and thyroid. Carcinoid tumors of the pancreas are rare NETs that originate from pancreatic enterochromaf-fin cells. The pancreatic body and tail are common locations of pancreatic NETs [1,2]. Pancreatic NETs typically appear as hypervascular enhancements compared to the adjacent pancreatic parenchyma [3]. Pancreatic NETs can be divided into three grades, low-grade (G1), intermediate-grade (G2), and high-grade (G3), based on the Ki-67 index and mitotic activity [4]. In recent studies, Grade 2 and 3 pancreatic NET were found to demonstrate hypovascular enhancement on both computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which is similar to the imaging characteristics of pancreatic adenocarcinoma and chronic pancreatitis [4].

Metastases from NETs account for approximately 10% of all hepatic metastases, and the liver is the most common site for NET metastases. The most common origin of NETs that metastasize to the liver is the gastrointestinal tract, followed by the pancreas [5]. Metastases from NETs typically appear as multiple hypervascular lesions on CT or MRI imaging. Current research suggests substantial controversy exists regarding how hepatic metastases from NETs should be treated. Primary tumor resection plays a pivotal role in the treatment strategy, and systemic therapies and the roles of interventional radiology methods, such as radiofrequency (RF) ablation or embolization, continue to be evaluated and remain controversial [6].

In this article, we describe a case of atypical hypovascular pancreatic NET with multiple hepatic metastases and review the literature regarding the characteristic imaging features associated with hypovascular pancreatic NETs.

Case report

A 47-year-old man with an insignificant medical history was admitted to the hospital due to symptoms of anal fistula. Inci-dently, on abdomen ultrasonography, multiple heteroeochic hepatic lesions with irregular contours and hypervasculariza-tion at the lesion periphery were observed and evaluated, with the largest lesion located in segment VI (Fig. 1).

On CT imaging, multiple hypodense hepatic lesions with heterogeneously strong enhancement were identified on the arterial phase. On the portal venous phase, some hepatic lesions, including lesions in segment VI, presented as slightly hypotennuating, and smaller hepatic lesions presented as isoattenuating compared with the remaining hepatic parenchyma. In addition, a poorly enhancing region was observed at the pancreatic tail compared with the remaining pancreatic parenchyma, with ill-defined margins and an irregular contour. No enlarged lymph nodes were observed (Fig. 2). On MRI, multiple hepatic lesions were restricted on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and presented heterogeneously strong enhancement on the arterial phase. On the venous phase, some hepatic lesions showed washout at the periphery, whereas other, smaller lesions demonstrated no washout. The lesion observed at the pancreatic tail was restricted on DWI (with similar signal intensity to the other hepatic lesions) and poorly enhancing compared with the remaining pancreatic parenchyma. No evidence of pancreatic duct dilation or pancreatic atrophy was detected (Fig. 3).

A hepatic biopsy was performed, targeting the segment VI lesion, and the histopathological results confirmed a welldifferentiated NET, composed of rounded or ovoid cells with large nuclei and eosinophilic cytoplasm arranged in nests and sheets or around vessels (Fig. 4). Based on the imaging and histopathological results, the patient was diagnosed as pancreatic NET Grade 2 with hepatic metastases. After 1 month,
the patient underwent abdominal surgery, during which distal pancreatectomy and splenectomy were performed, and the segment VI lesion was removed. During the operation, four hepatic lesions in segments IVa, VII, and VIII were treated with ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation.

**Discussion**

Pancreatic NETs account for 1% to 2% of all pancreatic neoplasms, occur most commonly in individuals aged 40 to 60 years, and can be divided into nonfunctioning and functioning tumors. Histopathologically, pancreatic NETs can be divided into three groups: well-differentiated endocrine tumors (G1), well-differentiated endocrine carcinomas (G2), and poorly differentiated endocrine carcinomas (G3) [3]. Pancreatic NETs are typically hyperenhancing on the arterial and portal venous phases due to the existence of rich capillary networks; however, up to 41.5% of pancreatic NETs can show atypical hypovascular enhancement [7]. Pancreatic NETs can present with homogeneous, ringlike, or heterogeneous enhancement, with ringlike and heterogeneous enhancement typically observed in large lesions with cystic degeneration or necrosis [1,3,8]. Calcification in these tumors is unusual [2]. Although previous studies have shown that high-grade pancreatic NETs are more likely to be hyperenhancing than lower grade pancreatic NETs, the correlation between tumor grade and enhancement remains controversial [9,10]. According to Guo et al. [11], compared with G1 pancreatic NETs, G2 and G3 pancreatic NETs were more commonly associated with ill-defined margins, local invasions, or metastases and presented with hyperenhancement on the arterial phase and restricted diffusion on MRI imaging. Compared with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, pancreatic NETs usually present with well-defined margins, less severe pancreatic duct dilatation, and a lower degree of distal parenchymal atrophy. According to Jeon et al. [10], when applying the features of a well-circumscribed margin and hyper- or isoenhancement on the portal venous phase, 64% sensitivity and 99% specificity were achieved for the discrimination of non-hypervascular pancreatic NETs from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Compared with chronic mass-forming pancreatitis, pancreatic NETs more commonly present with well-defined contours and are less frequently associated with calcifications and any history of pancreatitis [12]. In our case, the patient with G2 pancreatic NET showed ill-defined contours, and the pancreatic NET was hyperenhancing on the arterial and the portal venous phases, without calcification or ductal dilatation, which was difficult to distinguish from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

NETs commonly metastasize, and the liver is the most common location for metastasis. Typically, the imaging findings of hepatic NET metastases include multiple solid lesions that are hypervascular. The cystic degeneration of a metastatic hepatic NET can be observed due to necrosis [13,14]. In hepatic NET metastases, calcification is uncommon, and hepatic tumors with a fluid-fluid level are highly suggestive of NET metastases [5]. According to Gulpinar et al. [14], arterial phase imaging is especially crucial in patients with NET metastases from the pancreas because 100% of patients with NETs metastases were hyperattenuating on the arterial phase, whereas 80.2% of patients were isooattenuating on the portal venous phase. According to Abdallah et al. [5], the enhancement pattern can suggest the site of the primary NET: a hypervascular tumor without washout on the portal venous phase suggests that the pancreas is the primary tumor site, whereas a hypervascular lesion with washout on the portal venous phase indicates a gastrointestinal origin. In our case, multiple hepatic lesions were heterogeneously hyperenhancing on the arterial phase, demonstrating both slight washout and no washout on the portal venous phase.

The treatment strategy for pancreatic NETs with hepatic metastases should be multidisciplinary and be personalized, according to the features of general health indicators, comorbidities, tumor stage, and prognostic factors. Therapeutic methods available of pancreatic NETs include surgical treatment, loco-regional therapies, and pharmacological
treatments. Liver-directed therapies, including radiofrequency ablation (RFA), cryoablation, alkalization, transarterial embolization (TAE), and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), can be considered for unresectable hepatic metastases [15]. Pancreatic NETs have a better prognosis, with enhanced long-term survival compared with common forms of pancreatic adenocarcinomas [1].

Conclusion

NETs are rare etiologies for hypoenhancing pancreatic tumors. Pancreatic NETs can be discriminated from pancreatic adenocarcinomas when multiple heterogeneously hyperenhancing hepatic metastases are identified, although they tend to be hypoenhancing relative to the adjacent pancreatic parenchyma.
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