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Abstract
The increased demand for Li-ion batteries has prompted the scientific community to improve recycling routes in order to reuse the valuable materials in batteries. After their end-of-life, the batteries are collected, discharged, and mechanically disintegrated, generating plastic and metallic streams that are recycled directly; this leaves behind a small particle size fraction known as black mass (BM). BM is composed mainly of graphite and Li-metal complex oxides. Pyrometallurgy is a route known for recycling of BM, in which identifying the BM’s behavior at high temperatures is essential. In this study, two types of BM are characterized in two fractions of 150–700 µm and smaller than 150 µm. The thermal behavior of the BM is studied with thermal analysis techniques. The analyses demonstrate that the mineralogical and morphological properties of the two fractions do not significantly differ, while the amounts of C and organic materials might vary. When the BM was thermally treated, the binders decomposed until a temperature of 500 °C was reached, where the volatilization of hydrocarbons was observed, although F mostly persisted in the BM. The Li-metal oxide was partially reduced to lower oxides and Li carbonate at ~ 600 °C, and the main mass loss was caused by carbothermic reduction immediately thereafter. As the products of this process, metallic Co and Ni phases were formed, and part of the graphite remained unreacted. Regarding the Li behavior, it was observed that in the presence of Al, AlLiO2 is the most likely composition to form, and it changes to LiF by increasing the F concentration in the composition.
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Introduction

Since the beginning of the 1990s, Li-ion batteries (LIBs) have been regarded as the most promising energy storage solution for various applications due to their high energy density, low memory effect, low self-discharge, and long lifespan. Due to the increasing demand in fossil-free energy, a large amount of LIBs is produced today. It is predicted that the number of electric vehicles will increase from 4 million in 2018 to 900 million in 2048 [1–4]. By 2025, it is expected that ~ 850,000 tons of LIBs will need to be recycled globally. In the regulatory proposal concerning batteries and waste batteries published by the European Commission, special attention should be given to recycling of end-of-life (EOL) batteries and closing the material loops. The regulation states that the recycling efficiency of LIBs should exceed 65% by 2025 [5]. The use of eco-friendly and resource-efficient methods for handling LIB components after EOL relieves environmental contamination pressure, generates remarkable economic and social benefits, and reduces the dependency on primary materials [6, 7].

After their EOL, LIBs are mechanically collected, discharged, and disintegrated. This generates plastic and metallic streams, which can be recycled directly by physical separation, leaving behind a small particle size fraction, known as black mass (BM) [8, 9]. The BM contains anode materials (mainly graphite), cathode materials (e.g., LiMeO2), binders (usually polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)), conductive additives (acetylene black), traces of electrolyte (typically Li salt dissolved in an organic solvent), and electrode current collector residuals (Cu and Al) [1, 10, 11].

The process for recycling of BM typically starts with a pretreatment process, to liberate the battery components, and enhance the efficiency of target elements recovery.
According to the literature, thermal pretreatment at 500–600 °C can be used to evaporate organic materials in BM (e.g., electrolyte, separator, and PVDF residuals) that contain F, which is known as a hazardous and corrosive element [10, 12–14]. Chemical and thermal procedures can be employed separately to remove binders and facilitate BM particle separation. Flotation, which is a physical pretreatment method, is used to separate the graphite particles in the BM based on their wettability properties [10, 15]. Pyro- and hydrometallurgical processes, as the main methods for extracting metals, usually follow the pretreatment step. In pyrometallurgy, valuable metals are recovered at high temperatures based on their physical and chemical properties [4], while in hydrometallurgy, the process of metal recovery is performed based on aqueous chemistry at low temperatures, in which a combination of different techniques, e.g., leaching, solvent extraction, and precipitation, are performed [16–20]. These two major methods have pros and cons, such as the emission of hazardous gas in pyrometallurgy and the production of wastewater in hydrometallurgy processes. The higher efficiency of the hydrometallurgical routes makes them more popular for the recycling of LIBs. This effect stands out in particular in the recovery of Li, of which most is lost in the slag in almost all commercial pyrometallurgical processes, such as Umicore and Inmetco. However, in hydrometallurgical recycling processes, LIB pretreatment, such as passivation, is often a prerequisite to facilitate processes like leaching [9]. The main advantage of the pyrometallurgical methods is their industrial simplicity, e.g., the technology is currently ready, and there is no obligation to apply passivation steps [9–11, 17]. The use of pyrometallurgical methods, under efficient conditions, would be an easier option for the recycling of LIBs. To develop such a method, the initial stage is to determine the high-temperature properties and behavior of the material—in this case, BM. There are various battery types that generate different BM compositions. In this regard, some studies have been performed to investigate the effect of high-temperature treatment on different battery compositions both on the cathode/anode active material, a mix of them, or unaltered BM.

In 2019, Wang et al. roasted the cathodes of LCO batteries (cathode material: LiCoO2—anode material: graphite) that consisted of Al foil, PVDF, acetylene black, and LiCoO2. Roasting was performed under an Ar atmosphere, where the formation of Li2O, AlLiO2, and CoO plus incomplete degradation of PVDF was observed after reaching a temperature of 600 °C. This study revealed that Al acts as the primary reductant of LiCoO2 to CoO [21]. Lombardo et al. showed that pyrolysis of BM from NMC batteries (cathode material: LiNi0.33Mn0.33Co0.33O2—a manganese material: graphite) at 600 and 700 °C completely decomposed the cathode active material via a carbothermic reaction to its constituents, including Co, Ni, and Mn3O4, and Al remained unreacted. In analyzing the released gas from the samples, no F-containing compounds were detected [22]. Incineration of these batteries was also investigated by these authors, where F was detected in the gaseous products and byproducts after thermal treatment [23]. Kwon and Sohn studied the thermokinetics of LiCoO2 and graphite up to 1500 °C in an Ar atmosphere and reported a temperature range of 880–1200 °C as the optimal temperature for the recovery of Li and Co. They stated that part of LiCoO2 is reduced to Co3O4 at 500 °C and that the total transformation to CoO and Li2CO3 occurs at 607–689 °C. Li2CO3 decomposes to Li2O and CO2 at 689–882 °C, and Co forms as the product of CoO reduction at 882–1128 °C. The mass loss at higher temperatures was assigned to the Boudouard reaction toward producing CO in this study [24]. The literature mentions that heating the LFP cathode material (LiFePO4) at 450–650 °C would remove PVDF (up to 96.85 wt%), in which the F content decreases from 8.51 wt% (before pyrolysis) to 1.67% (collected oil after pyrolysis). In this study, the decrease in F content was attributed to the evaporation of HF [13].

To develop a sustainable pyrometallurgical method that enables efficient BM recycling, a thorough understanding of its behavior at high temperatures is needed. In terms of cathode material decomposition, there are some contradictory comprehensions of the reactions that occur, and the following work aims to clarify these reactions. Moreover, an element of importance at high temperature is F and its behavior, which is poorly understood. Special attention is devoted to this aspect in the current work, mainly by tracking F in the solid-state. In this study, two types of BM obtained from commercial spent LIBs via mechanical separation routes were characterized with focus on their thermal behavior.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

The BM from the following two types of LIBs were considered in this study: LCO batteries from portable mobile phones and NMC batteries from car batteries (LiNi0.33Mn0.33Co0.33O2 as the cathode active material in this study). The as-received BM samples were produced, mechanically separated, and heat treated at 250–300 °C (to remove the electrolyte) by Stena Recycling International AB, Sweden. The samples were in two particle size ranges: particles smaller than 150 µm and particles between 150 and 700 µm.

To more deeply investigate the LiCoO2 transformation, LiCoO2 (99.8%, Aldrich) together with graphite (~300 mesh, 99%, Alfa Aesar, and APS 7–11 micron, 99%, Alfa Aesar), Al (+325 mesh, 99.5%, Alfa Aesar), and PVDF (HSV 900, Arkema) were used to investigate the effect of
some elements, i.e., C, Al, and F, in the BM on the decomposition of LiCoO$_2$.

**Characterization**

A variety of methods were employed to chemically, mineralogically, and morphologically characterize BM at both room and high temperatures. For chemical analysis, inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (ICP–MS) was used to analyze most of the elements. The procedure was performed according to SS EN ISO 17294–2:2016 and EPA method 200.8:1994. In addition, measurement of the C content was conducted by combustion analysis using a LECO CS230. The ion selective electrode (ISE) method was utilized to analyze the amount of F in the samples, applying EPA method 9214. To employ this method in analyzing the solid samples, 0.5 g of the sample was mixed with $\sim 2$ g Na$_2$O$_2$ in a Ni crucible and covered with $\sim 1$ g Na$_2$O$_2$. The mixture was subsequently melted at 800 °C for 8 min and after cooling in room temperature for 10 min, 20 mL of deionized water was added to entirely dissolve the solid sample. The solution was thereafter adjusted to 1000 mL with the addition of deionized water. 20 mL of the new solution was transferred to another beaker and the pH of the solution was regulated to neutral with acetic acid using phenolphthalein as an indicator, and then 20 mL of TISAB buffer solution was added. The titration was performed using a Metrohm 888 Titrobit.

Mineralogical characterization was performed by particle size distribution (PSD) (Retsch Camsizer XT) and X-ray diffraction (XRD). A PANalytical Empyrean X-ray diffractometer in θ-θ geometry with Cu-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.154184$ nm), a beam current of 40 mA, and a beam voltage of 45 kV was used for the measurement. The XRD pattern was measured in the 2$\theta$ range of 20°–90° with a step size of 0.026°/s. A curved graphite crystal monochromator mounted in front of a PXcel 3D detector was used to remove the fluorescence due to the presence of Fe in the samples. The measured data were evaluated for phase identification using HighScore Plus (v4.7, PANalytical B.V., Almelo, The Netherlands) software, and FIZ-NIST Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) and Crystallography Open Database (COD) databases. The morphology of the as-received BM samples was investigated under a Zeiss Merlin field emission gun scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an Oxford Instruments X-Max energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) detector with AZtec software.

**Thermal Analysis**

To detect the high-temperature behavior of BM in a reductive atmosphere, a Netzsch STA 409 instrument with a detection limit of 1 µg was utilized to conduct thermogravimetric/differential thermal analysis (TGA/DTA) under an Ar atmosphere (flow rate of 100 mL/min) and with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The gases that evolved during the experiments were continuously monitored using a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) for qualitative analysis.

Additionally, the phases that developed upon heating were identified by the same XRD instrument used for the mineralogical characterization, which was equipped with an Anton Paar HTK 1200 N high-temperature chamber that was used to heat the sample to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min and He flow rate of 10 mL/min. To analyze the sample at the desired temperature, the sample was held at the set temperature for 1 min before acquiring the XRD pattern, which was measured in the 2$\theta$ range of 15°–65° with a step size of 0.083°/s.

**LiCoO$_2$ Reduction**

The transformation of LCO BM to its components was simulated by the synthetic materials as listed in Table 1. The proportions were set based on the LCO BM composition. The heating trial, which was applied to the LCO BM, was repeated in these experiments to perform TGA/DTA. For the last experiment with LCO + Gr + Al + PVDF, the graphite that was used had the particle size of 7–11 µm.

**F Behavior**

To monitor whether F evaporates during heating in the pretreatment step, the BM was analyzed by the ISE method on the following occasions: (i) before heating at 250–300 °C (see “Materials” section); (ii) the as-received BM; (iii) BM after pyrolysis at 700 °C in an Ar atmosphere for 1 h; and (iv) BM after incineration at 700 °C (in a synthetic air atmosphere) for 1 h.

| Sample          | (g) LiCoO$_2$ | Graphite | Al | PVDF | (wt%) LiCoO$_2$ | Graphite | Al | PVDF |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|----|------|-----------------|----------|----|------|
| LCO             | 55.9         | –        | –  | –    | 100.0           | –        | –  | –    |
| LCO + Gr        | 55.9         | 35.4     | –  | –    | 61.2            | 38.8     | –  | –    |
| LCO + Gr + Al   | 55.9         | 35.4     | 0.9| –    | 60.6            | 38.4     | 1.0| –    |
| LCO + Gr + Al + PVDF | 55.9   | 35.4     | 0.9| 4.42 | 57.9            | 36.6     | 0.9| 4.6  |
Thermodynamic Modeling

To identify the reaction mechanisms and evaluate/support the experimental results, a set of calculations using the Equilib module in the thermodynamic software FactSage 8.0 were conducted [25]. The FactPS and FToxid databases were used in the present calculations. When using FactSage, the low-concentration elements were omitted. The FToxid-SLAGA, FToxid-SPINA, FToxid-MeO_A, FToxid-NASHb, and FToxid-NASlB solution phases were selected together with the ideal gas phase and pure solids species. To simulate the synthetic air atmosphere, the O2 activity was set to 0.2.

Results and Discussion

Chemical Analysis

The chemical compositions of both BM types in the coarse and fine fractions are listed in Table 2. The data reveal that for the LCO BM, the composition of the fine and coarse fractions is approximately the same. In addition to Li, Co, and C, some traces of Al, Cu, P, and F are detected. In contrast, a difference in the compositions of the two fractions is observed for the NMC BM. The main difference is the amount of C, which is much higher in the coarse fraction, while there is a lower amount of the other main elements—e.g., Li, Co, and Ni. The amounts of the other elements are approximately the same in both fractions.

The Li-to-Co ratio in the LCO case (both fractions) is the same as the Li-to-Co ratio in LiCoO2, the cathode material in the LCO batteries. In the NMC BM, the ratio between the Li, Co, Ni, and Mn amounts is equivalent to the LiNi0.33Mn0.33Co0.33O2 phase. The high amount of C in the compositions of both types of BM is attributed to the graphite in the anode. In the NMC case, the C content is much higher in the coarse fraction, leading to a lower proportion of cathode material (Li, Co, Ni, and Mn). In other words, for NMC, C accumulates in the coarse fraction, while the LiNi0.33Mn0.33Co0.33O2 ratio in the fine fraction is higher. The Al and Cu traces likely originate from current collector residuals in the BM. Si and P are from the additive materials in the anode, and F is the corrosive element that exists mainly in PVDF, but there are also some other F sources, such as electrolyte and anode additives [17, 26].

Mineralogical and Morphological Investigation

The PSD results of the LCO BM show d10, d50, and d90 values of 0.02, 0.06, and 0.14 mm in the fine fraction and 0.15, 0.31, and 0.71 mm in the coarse fraction, respectively. In the NMC BM, the results were < 0.01, 0.04, and 0.13 mm for d10, d50, and d90 in the fine fraction, and 0.15, 0.34, and 0.71 mm in the coarse fraction, respectively. The analysis indicates that in each fraction, the d10, d50, and d90 values are almost equal in the LCO and NMC BM. The main difference might stem from the d10 of the fine fractions, which has a much smaller particle size in the NMC BM.

XRD measurements were conducted on the two fractions of LCO and NMC BM provided. The XRD data obtained from the LCO BM (Fig. 1a) reveal that the active cathode material is mainly LiCoO2 and that the anode material primarily consists of graphite (shown as Gr in the figures). LiNi0.33Mn0.33Co0.33O2 (denoted by NMC* in the figures) is the primary active cathode material detected in the NMC BM (Fig. 1b). The XRD results from the different fractions (coarse and fine) are similar in terms of the phase types, while they exhibit a slight difference in the intensity of detected peaks in the BM fractions.

As shown in Fig. 2, the SEM images from the as-received LCO BM show irregular and sheet-like particles in a wide size range. The SEM images also show that larger particles are actually agglomerates of smaller particles. The agglomeration could be caused by the residual binder or could be connected to the material’s physical properties (size and surface). EDS analyses show that the sheet-like particles mainly consisted of C (a1 and b3 spectra), while the other types of particles were rich in Co (a2, a3, b1, and b2). Li was not detectable by EDS but based on XRD data it can be assumed that the particles rich in Co could represent the LiCoO2 phase.

The SEM images of the BM samples from NMC batteries (Fig. 3) show a large number of small spheres in the range of ~ 3–7 µm. Higher magnification revealed that each sphere

| Sample (wt%) | Li  | Co  | Ni  | Mn  | Al  | Cu  | Si  | P   | F   | C   |
|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| LCO < 150 µm| 3.8 | 31.4| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 43.8|
| LCO 150–700 µm| 4.0 | 32.3| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 35.4|
| NMC < 150 µm| 6.3 | 17.3| 15.5| 15.1| 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 12.8|
| NMC 150–700 µm| 3.6 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 43.2|
were comprised of much smaller particles (a2 and a3). This assembly possibly originated from the cathode materials as these particles are rich in cathode material elements, i.e., Co, Ni, and Mn. A similar cathode composition is also observed in b1 and b4 in the NMC coarse fraction (see Fig. 3). Irregularly shaped particles were found in all samples with a wide range of sizes from a few microns up to a 100 µm or slightly more. The small particles were aggregated into irregular agglomerates with a size range of several hundred microns. According to the EDS results, the matrix is rich in C, e.g., a1, b2, and b3. In addition, F was detected with EDS both in LCO and NMC (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) supporting the results from the chemical analysis showing the presence of F-containing compounds (e.g., PVDF) in the as-received BM.

Fig. 1 XRD pattern of a LCO and b NMC BM

Fig. 2 SEM(SE)-EDS images of two fractions of the as-received LCO BM: a < 150 µm and b 150–700 µm
**Thermal Analysis**

**BM from LCO Batteries**

The TGA, DTA, and QMS results of the BM from LCO batteries are plotted in Fig. 4. To explain the behavior during heating, the heating profile was divided into three sections according to the mass loss slope and the occurring reactions. In section I, the mass loss, in the fine fraction, begins at ~200–300 °C and continues until 5 wt% of the original mass is lost, while in the coarse fraction, it continues even further to approximately 10 wt% mass loss. Section I is associated with the production of hydrocarbons \((C_xH_y)\), together with \(H_2O\) and \(CO_2\), as depicted in the QMS graph. In the middle of this section, a breakpoint (for both fractions) is observed, which synchronizes with a slight bump in the DTA graph that is even more apparent in the coarse fraction. Section I is followed by a gentle slope in section II, where an exothermic reaction takes place at ~600 °C. This reaction is not accompanied by any mass loss at that temperature, while sharp peaks of \(H_2O\) and \(CO_2\) arise immediately thereafter (at slightly higher temperature) which synchronizes with a steeper slope in section III and continues until ~900 °C. The main mass loss, which is approximately 15–20 wt%, occurs in section III. At ~900 °C, an endothermic peak appears in the DTA graph accompanied by a \(CO_2\) peak in the QMS graph.

In Fig. 5, XRD patterns obtained before and after reduction are shown. The as-received LCO BM mainly consists of LiCoO\(_2\) and graphite, while the main phases in the reduced BM were Co and graphite. High-temperature XRD was also conducted to better comprehend the exo/endothermic reactions noticed in the DTA graphs (Fig. 6). Similar to the as-received LCO BM in Fig. 5, the pattern at 25 °C shows LiCoO\(_2\) and graphite as the main phases, along with the less intense peaks of \(SiO_2\) and \(Al_2O_3\). When increasing the temperature to 550 °C, CoO peaks arise, and when a temperature of 700 °C is reached the LiCoO\(_2\) peaks disappear entirely and AlLiO\(_2\) and Co phases appear. At 800 °C, all CoO peaks disappear. When a temperature of 1000 °C is reached, a shift to the left in the peaks can be observed, an affect that could be attributed to lattice parameter changes by increasing temperature [27, 28]. After cooling the LCO BM from 1000 °C, graphite, Co, \(SiO_2\), and \(AlLiO_2\) are the peaks recognized in the XRD pattern.

The TGA curves in Fig. 4b show that the mass reduction of the coarse fraction is slightly higher than that of the fine fraction (Fig. 4a). Even so, the thermal behaviors of the two fractions are almost similar in the two fractions; subsequently, the following explanations apply to both (fine and coarse LCO BM).

The chemical composition (Table 2) gives an indication that the amount of LiCoO\(_2\) is the same in the fine and coarse

---

**Fig. 3** SEM(SE)-EDS images of two fractions of the as-received NMC BM: a < 150 µm and b 150–700 µm
fractions of LCO BM, while more C was present in the fine fraction. Moreover, the XRD results (Fig. 5) demonstrate that graphite remains in the reduced coarse fraction, which has a lower amount of C. This implies that more C was present than what was needed to ultimately reduce LiCoO$_2$. In conclusion, more C in the fine fraction would result in more remaining C after the reduction and finally a lower total mass reduction.

In Fig. 4 section I, the evolved gases (C$_x$H$_y$, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O) indicate dissociation or decomposition of an organic compound, which could be the binder and/or residual solvents. PVDF, as a binder, is spread on the particles to maintain a bond between the cathode/anode active material, current collectors, and acetylene black [29, 30]. With the decomposition of PVDF, it is possible for the acetylene black to oxidize. Since compared to graphite, acetylene black oxidizes at a lower temperature [31], the breakpoint in the TGA curve (section I) can be addressed to the reduction reaction of LCO in the presence of acetylene black. This reaction reduces the cathode active material metals from a higher oxidation state to a lower oxidation state [32], followed by the formation of H$_2$O and CO$_2$ in the QMS results. This can also be verified by the high-temperature XRD patterns (Fig. 6), where CoO peaks appear at 550 °C while LiCoO$_2$ is still present. This implies that LiCoO$_2$ has been partially altered to its components.

In Fig. 4 section II shows a plateau in the mass loss curve and an exothermic peak at approximately 600 °C in the DTA graph. The XRD patterns at 550 and 700 °C (Fig. 6) are literally measured before and after this reaction. Given these patterns, LiCoO$_2$ has totally transformed to its components, of which one is CoO, and according to the QMS data CO$_2$ and H$_2$O also release. Consequently, the exothermic reaction at ∼ 600 °C (Fig. 4) can be interpreted as a LiCoO$_2$
transformation to simpler components. In addition, an \( \text{H}_2 \) peak is observed in the QMS data that can be interpreted as the product of the secondary devolatilization of organic materials/binder or residual plastics [33]. The diffractogram at 700 °C shows the beginning of CoO reduction where a Co phase forms.

To determine the nature of this reaction, chemical-grade LiCoO\(_2\) reduction trials were performed as explained in the Experimental “LiCoO\(_2\) Reduction” section. The results are plotted in Fig. 7. It should be mentioned that the temperature difference (\( \sim 40 \) °C) of the exothermic reaction in the LCO + Gr + Al + PVDF sample is due to the smaller graphite particle size, which improves the kinetics of the reaction.

First of all, LiCoO\(_2\) was placed in an inert atmosphere and heated to 1100 °C and the figure shows that it did not decompose until the temperature reached almost 1100 °C; however, when graphite was added, the decomposition began at a much lower temperature (630–670 °C). The same scenario is observed by the addition of Al and PVDF. The DTA graphs show that an exothermic reaction occurs at 630–670 °C. The notable point is that the TGA graph shows no mass loss, implying that the reduction has not started at this point.

S. Kuzuhara et al. (2020) reported that this exothermic reaction can be due to Eq. 1, which has no accompanying mass loss and is thermodynamically feasible at temperatures higher than 488 °C [34].

\[
2\text{LiCoO}_2 + C \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{Co} + \text{CoO} \quad (1)
\]

Increasing the temperature to \( \sim 680 \) °C, the mass loss begins. It can be concluded that the reaction occurring at 630–670 °C (Eq. 1) facilitates reduction at higher temperatures (680 °C). It is worth mentioning that the difference in reaction temperature between the synthetic LiCoO\(_2\) mixture and LCO BM may be related to kinetic reasons such as the surface area and size of particles. The LiCoO\(_2\) phase transformation is followed by the main mass loss. Considering Fig. 6 with the high-temperature XRD patterns (Fig. 6), it can be concluded that the reduction of Co oxides to metallic Co begins after the LiCoO\(_2\) phase transformation.

The endothermic peak observed at \( \sim 800 \) °C is synchronized with a slope change in the mass loss. This reaction can be addressed to the decomposition of Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) to Li\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\). This reaction can also be expected in the LCO BM, where CO\(_2\) peaks are rising at this temperature (Fig. 4); however, Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) peaks were not detected by high-temperature XRD (Fig. 6). This may be because during LCO BM reduction, Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) forms at a temperature that is close to its melting point (733 °C [35]). Accordingly, the loss of the crystal structure at that temperature range might be the reason that Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\) peaks are not identified in the XRD pattern.

The endothermic reaction detected at \( \sim 900 \) °C is attributed to the gasification of C (Boudouard reaction) [14] or Li\(_2\)O volatilization [21]. According to the FactSage calculations performed in this study, the emitted gas phase has a very low content of Li\(_2\)O, while the CO\(_2\) peak after this reaction can be seen in the QMS graphs (Fig. 4). Hence, the gasification of C seems to be more feasible.

Total mass loss values of \( \sim 23 \) and \( \sim 29 \) wt% were observed in the case of fine and coarse fractions, respectively. To conclude, heating the LCO BM to 1100 °C in an inert atmosphere results in the production of Co as a metallic part, leaving behind excess unreacted graphite.

The equilibrium was calculated with FactSage (Fig. 8), which shows that the most abundant stable phases at 1100 °C would be the Co(s), graphite, and gas phases. The slag phase (referred to liquid/glass solution in FactSage) would mainly consist of Li\(_2\)O, which was not detected by XRD. AlLiO\(_2\),
LiF, and SiO₂ are also thermodynamically probable at this temperature. The thermodynamically estimated behavior of BM upon heating in an inert atmosphere is in line with the experimental results, except for Li-containing compounds. It seems that the Al content in the high-temperature XRD sample was higher than the average chemical composition, which made the AlLiO₂ the abundant Li-containing phase during heating. This difference might be due to the inhomogeneity of BM that commonly contains large current collector particles (Al and Cu), which might change the local chemical composition and make it difficult to obtain a representative sample.

To calculate the mass reduction by theoretical stoichiometry equations, the amount of O in the LCO BM should be considered. Theoretically, 32.7 wt% O exists in the LiCoO₂. To eliminate O, the C amount needed depends on the gas produced, i.e., CO or CO₂, which can be studied by the Boudouard reaction (Fig. 9). Therefore, it can be deduced that the CO:CO₂ ratio in the temperature range of LCO BM carbothermic reactions (600–900 ℃) is approximately 3:1.

The total reduction of the cathode material by graphite (as the main reductant in the BM), considering the thermodynamics calculations, can be simplified to Eq. 2. In this case, the mass loss was ~ 23 wt%, which agrees with the total mass loss in the TGA curves. It is worth mentioning that in comparing the theoretical and experimental results, the mass loss ratio should be calculated after reaching a temperature of 500 ℃ because the mass loss before that is related to PVDF decomposition, which is not included in Eq. 2.
LiCoO$_2$ + 1.2C $\rightarrow$ 0.5Li$_2$O + Co + 0.9CO + 0.3CO$_2$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

**BM from NMC Batteries**

Figure 10 depicts the TGA, DTA, and QMS results from the NMC BM during heating. Similar to the LCO BM, the mass loss trend has been divided into the following three sections: the mass loss begins in section I, slope plateaus form in section II, and section III begins with a steeper slope. Prior to section I and at its beginning, C$_x$H$_y$ peaks arise at $\sim$300 °C most likely attributed to the decomposition of PVDF. Fine and coarse fractions of NMC BM show four exothermic reactions at $\sim$ 300, $\sim$ 400, $\sim$ 600, and $\sim$ 800 °C. The two latter reactions are followed by intense CO$_2$ and H$_2$O peaks in the QMS graphs.

The XRD patterns of the NMC BM before and after heating are illustrated in Fig. 11. The main phases in the as-received BM are LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$ and graphite with a weak peak. The intensity of the graphite peak does not match the C content analyzed by combustion analysis. Hence, part of the C amount can be accounted for by acetylene black, whose main XRD peak is in the same 2$\theta$ as graphite, while, compared to graphite, its main peak is weak and broad [36]. This assumption will be discussed later in this section. The XRD pattern indicates that LiF and metallic Co and Ni are formed in addition to graphite after reduction.

The high-temperature XRD patterns in this case (Fig. 12) include a broad hump that is more discernible at room temperature and disappears gradually with increasing temperature. This bump might be related to an amorphous phase such as PVDF [22]. The phases detected at 25 °C were previously identified (Fig. 11) in the as-received BM XRD pattern (Fig. 11). There were also Cu peaks originating from the current collector residuals in the BM. At 550 °C, SiO$_2$ was identified alongside LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$, graphite, and Cu. At 700 °C, the Co/Ni and MnO peaks replaced the LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$ peaks. The pattern remained almost constant at 900 and 1000 °C. After cooling from 1000 °C to room temperature, the final phases can be summarized as SiO$_2$, Co/Ni, graphite, MnO, LiF, and Cu.

Unlike the BM from LCO, the NMC BM fractions showed significant variations in their compositions with fractionation (Table 2). This difference is distinguishable in the mass loss curves in Fig. 10, where the coarse fraction with the higher amount of C has a lower total mass reduction. The limited amount of reducible oxygen can explain the lower mass loss in the coarse fraction compared to the fine fraction. The total mass loss amounts were found to be $\sim$ 33 and $\sim$ 25 wt% for the fine and coarse fractions, respectively. The same TGA trend as for the LCO BM is observed in section I of the TGA curve for NMC BM, where PVDF decomposition ($\sim$ 300 °C) and acetylene black oxidation.

![Fig. 10 TGA/DTA/QMS graphs of two fractions of the NMC BM: a < 150 µm and b 150–700 µm](image-url)
The mass loss in section II continues with a different slope, and QMS peaks corresponding to CO$_2$ and H$_2$O are observed immediately after the exothermic reaction at $\sim 600$ °C (Fig. 10). The XRD patterns at 550 and 700 °C in Fig. 12 show that LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$ transformed to metallic Co and Ni and MnO in that temperature interval. Accordingly, at $\sim 600$ °C, the transformation of LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$ in the BM occurs, which has also been reported by Vishvakarma and Dhawan [14]. Unlike in the LCO BM, mass loss can also be observed at $\sim 600$ °C for NMC BM, where the main transformation of LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$ occurs. Moreover, as discussed, it seems that a significant part of the C in the BM is acetylene black, whose oxidation was detectable (because of its content) at $\sim 400$ °C in the DTA graph. Therefore, it can be concluded that part of the transformation and reduction of the NMC cathode material begins at $\sim 400$ °C, where acetylene black acts as the reductant.

Section III, including the highest mass loss rate, begins immediately after phase transformation at $\sim 600$ °C. A bump between 700 and 800 °C is observed in the DTA curve, which is more obvious in the fine fraction (Fig. 10a). Similar to the discussion in the LCO BM section, it can be accounted for by the decomposition of Li$_2$CO$_3$ into Li$_2$O and CO$_2$. After reduction, the Co/Ni phase forms, while part of the graphite remains unreacted. MnO and SiO$_2$ remain in the sample, which agrees with the FactSage calculations (Fig. 13), and part of the MnO remains unreacted even at 1100 °C. Moreover, there are LiF peaks that do not exist in the LCO XRD pattern. In Table 2, the F amounts are reported as 2.6 and 6.5 wt% in the LCO and NMC BM, respectively. Hence, the higher concentration of F in the NMC BM might lead to the formation of a detectable amount of LiF in the XRD pattern.

The experimental data obtained were compared to the FactSage modeling results (Fig. 13). FactSage shows that a higher amount of F in NMC resulted in the formation of some fluorides—e.g., LiF, MnF$_2$, and SiF$_4$. In the other words, the thermodynamic calculations demonstrated that MnO and Li$_2$O partially react with F and form Mn and Li fluorides.

In the mass balance calculations, the reduction was assumed to be performed with C as the main reductant. In that regard, a CO:CO$_2$ ratio of 3:1 was selected as the composition of the produced gas, as previously explained. Since the total mass loss is the concern of this calculation, the formation of Mn/Li fluorides are considered as reduced Mn.
and Li in the equations. Accordingly, three types of equations can be considered based on their products, as follows:

- Co, Ni, Mn, and Li (Eq. 3);
- Co, Ni, Mn, and Li₂O (Eq. 4); and
- Co, Ni, MnO, and Li₂O (Eq. 5).

\[
\text{LiNi}_{0.33}\text{Mn}_{0.33}\text{Co}_{0.33}\text{O}_2 + 1.6\text{C} \rightarrow 0.33\text{Ni} \\
+ 0.33\text{Mn} + 0.33\text{Co} + \text{Li} + 1.2\text{CO} + 0.4\text{CO}_2
\]

(3)

\[
\text{LiNi}_{0.33}\text{Mn}_{0.33}\text{Co}_{0.33}\text{O}_2 + 1.2 \rightarrow 0.33\text{Ni} + 0.33\text{Mn} \\
+ 0.33\text{Co} + 0.5\text{Li}_2\text{O} + 0.9\text{CO} + 0.3\text{CO}_2
\]

(4)

\[
\text{LiNi}_{0.33}\text{Mn}_{0.33}\text{Co}_{0.33}\text{O}_2 + 0.9\text{C} \rightarrow 0.33\text{Ni} \\
+ 0.33\text{MnO} + 0.33\text{Co} + 0.5\text{Li}_2\text{O} + 0.7\text{CO} + 0.2\text{CO}_2
\]

(5)

The experimental mass loss percentages were calculated after a temperature of 500 °C (PVDF decomposition) was reached and they were 20.4 wt% in the coarse fraction and 29.5 wt% in the fine fraction. The calculated mass loss percentages in Eqs. 3, 4, and 5 were 28.2 wt%, 21.1 wt%, and 15.6 wt%, respectively. Then, it can be speculated that in the coarse fraction, Ni and Co were fully reduced; however, Li and Mn oxides partially reacted with F. Regarding the fine fraction, the high mass loss can be attributed to the late devolatilization of organic materials (binders).

**F Behavior**

NMC had a larger amount of F than LCO, and based on that, it was selected as the primary sample in this part of the study. The F content after four different thermal treatments is listed in Fig. 14. The primary NMC BM had only 2.91 wt% F, while it increased to 6.46 wt% by removal of electrolyte at 250–300 °C. After pyrolysis at 700 °C, there was 7.19 wt% F in the BM, whereas it increased to 12.30 wt% after incineration at the same temperature.

As explained before, after transformation of the cathode material, Li₂CO₃ forms, decomposing into Li₂O and CO₂ at higher temperatures. The possible reactions that might occur with F and the oxides can be summarized as Eqs. 6 and 7, as simulated by FactSage:

\[
2\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + 4\text{F} \rightarrow 4\text{LiF} + 2\text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_2
\]

(6)

\[
2\text{Li}_2\text{O} + 4\text{F} \rightarrow 4\text{LiF} + \text{O}_2
\]

(7)

The QMS results in Fig. 10 show that hydrocarbons \((\text{C}_x\text{H}_y)\) were released in section I—i.e., at temperatures lower than 500 °C—which is a sign of PVDF decomposition in the BM. Comparing those data with the variation in F content in Fig. 14 indicates that heat treatment, even at 700 °C, might produce hydrocarbons but does not remove F at that temperature. In addition, it seems that incineration results in a higher final F content than pyrolysis. It should be taken into account that in an oxidative atmosphere (incineration), graphite reacts with oxygen in the atmosphere and produces CO/CO₂. Thus, the BM mass loss in the air (~ 52 wt%) is higher than that in the Ar atmosphere (~ 19 wt%). Normalizing the F content after heating at 700 °C based on the mass loss in both the pyrolysis and incineration processes demonstrates that the F amount removed from 100 g of BM are approximately 0.63 and 0.56 g in Ar and air atmospheres, respectively. This reveals that there might be some emission of CₓHₓ, but it does not significantly affect the absolute F content.

The FactSage calculations, in line with the experimental results, show that F accumulated in the solid-state and did not evaporate in this range of working temperatures. It can
be observed in Table 3 that the experimental and calculated data agree. Based on these thermodynamic calculations, F accumulates in the solid-state in the form of LiF after pyrolysis, and after incineration, LiF and Li$_2$SiO$_3$(LiF)$_2$ are supposed to form. According to thermodynamic modeling, 79% of F in the solid-state is in the form of LiF, and the rest is in the Li$_2$SiO$_3$(LiF)$_2$ phase.

In this study, it was shown that regardless of the BM particle size, the morphological and mineralogical properties of the BM were almost the same. The main difference was in the amount of binders and graphite, which had a direct effect on the mass loss. The amounts of Li and F in the solid-state were also analyzed and tracked in the XRD measurements and the thermodynamic calculations. During thermal treatment of the BM, 600 °C was found to be a critical temperature for breaking the complex structure of the cathode material, which was followed by carbothermic reduction of the metallic oxides in the BM to obtain metallic Co and Ni (in the case of the NMC BM). Li was found in the form of AlLiO$_2$ after heat treatment at a low concentration of F, while the Li-containing phase changed to LiF at a high F content in the BM. F persisted in the BM, even after PVDF decomposition and the emission of hydrocarbons, regardless of whether the heat treatment was conducted in an inert or an oxidative atmosphere.

### Conclusion

A characterization routine including the BM thermal behavior was investigated based on chemical, morphological, mineralogical, and thermal analyses. ICP seems to be the most appropriate tool to quantify the main elements in the BM, such as Co, Ni, Mn, and Li. The ISE method was found to be a reliable technique to quantify F. The volatile content in the BM was determined utilizing TGA coupled with QMS. Although the total volatile content can be quantified, it was not possible to obtain detailed information on the volatile composition using the existing analytical tools. The presence of residual binder was identified in the SEM images, and its quantity along with acetylene black was further determined by TGA in the temperature range of 200–500 °C. It was found that 5–10 wt% of the BM consisted of residual binders (mainly PVDF) and acetylene black. During thermal analysis, an exothermic peak at ~ 600 °C in both BM types was attributed to the transformation of LiCoO$_2$ and LiNi$_{0.33}$Mn$_{0.33}$Co$_{0.33}$O$_2$ to their constituting metal oxides/lower oxides. Transformation in both BM types was followed by the main mass loss, which indicated that reduction was possible only after the transformation of complex oxides to simpler oxides. The XRD results confirmed this observation at high temperatures. In the BM from NMC batteries, where F is higher in concentration than in the BM from LCO batteries, LiF formation was also observed. All observations were thermodynamically calculated and confirmed through FactSage software.

By following the F behavior during heating as a pretreatment and employing the ISE method for the analysis of F in the solid sample, it was proven that although hydrocarbons were emitted from binder decomposition, F remained in the sample even after heat treatment at 700 °C in both oxidative and inert atmospheres.

Based on this study, it can be stated that a considerable amount of graphite in the BM can be utilized as a reducing agent, and Co-based alloys can be successfully produced through simple pyrometallurgical treatment of BM. A study on the addition of external oxygen to the BM to efficiently utilize excess graphite will be performed later.
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