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1 Introduction

There has been a growing interest during recent years in spatial quantum optical effects, usually called quantum imaging effects [1, 2, 3], as the generation of spatial correlations or spatial squeezing in the transverse plane of laser beams may open the way for new applications in many different areas. Among them are biophotonics, laser physics, astronomy and quantum information. Some pertinent examples are the measurement of small transverse displacement and tilt of a TEM$_{00}$ laser beam below the quantum noise limit [4, 5], detection of weak phase images [1], quantum teleportation of optical information [6], transverse spatial quantum correlation for transmission of images [3] and noiseless image amplification [7]. Multiple spatial modes can also provide advantages in regard to the complexity of quantum information protocols [8] and can allow parallel transfer of quantum information through an optical network. In single photon optics, this has created considerable interest in the use of modes with different angular momentum [9, 10, 11, 12]. An advantage of continuous wave light beams is that close to perfect modulation and detection schemes are available, which is a requirement for the effective use of squeezed and entangled light in quantum information protocols.

Several orthonormal basis are available to describe the spatial properties of laser beams. The most commonly used are the Hermite-Gauss (H-G) and the Laguerre-Gauss basis [13]. In this contribution we will concentrate on the H-G modes, but a similar study could be undergone with another set of modes. The higher order H-G modes are particularly interesting with a cartesian description of the transverse plane, as they are directly related to simple spatial properties of Gaussian beams [14]. The real and imaginary parts of the TEM$_{10}$ mode represent small changes in tilt and position of a TEM$_{00}$ beam [5], whereas the real and imaginary parts of the TEM$_{20}$ mode correspond to a small waist-size and waist-position mismatch [15]. Using electro-optic spatial modulators, the information can be encoded directly into the H-G modes. The information can then be extracted using homodyne detections with adapted transverse profiles for the local oscillator [16, 5]. In this way we can encode and detect parallel quantum information in the transverse plane of continuous wave light beams. In order to apply quantum information protocols to the transverse plane of continuous wave light beams, what was missing until now was a reliable and efficient source for the generation of squeezing in different higher order H-G modes. We propose here a method to fill this gap.

The present paper is devoted to the experimental study of squeezing in higher order H-G modes using an optical parametric amplifier (OPA). In the past OPAs have proven to be...
efficient sources for the generation of quadrature squeezed light. Since the first generation of squeezed light by parametric down-conversion by Wu et al. in 1986 [17], squeezed noise power variances of up to -7 dB [18], and squeezing at side-band frequencies down to sub-kHz frequencies [19] have been demonstrated with OPAs. However squeezing has so far been limited to TEM\(_{00}\) mode operation. We demonstrate here an experimental technique to produce squeezed light in different H-G modes, namely the TEM\(_{10}\) and TEM\(_{20}\) modes, using an OPA. We report -4.0 dB, -2.6 dB and -1.5 dB of observed squeezing for the TEM\(_{00}\), TEM\(_{10}\) and TEM\(_{20}\) H-G modes, respectively. The squeezing is generated in a back seeded OPA with a bulk lithium niobate (MgO:LiNbO\(_3\)) type I nonlinear crystal, pumped by the second harmonic, from a continuous wave solid-state monolithic YAG laser.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a mode-overlap theory for second order nonlinear parametrical interaction with higher order H-G modes, in the thin crystal approximation. Section 3 describes our experimental setup and procedure to generate squeezing in different H-G modes with an OPA. Section 4 addresses experimental issues such as the optimisation of the parametrical interaction. Section 5 presents our squeezing measurements. Finally, we conclude and present an outlook for further work in Section 6.

2 OPTICAL PARAMETRIC AMPLIFICATION AND IDEAL PUMP MODE FOR TEM\(_{n(1)}\) SQUEEZING

An OPA is a second order nonlinear optical device, where three optical beams are coupled parametrically to each other through the second order susceptibility \(\chi^{(2)}\) of a nonlinear crystal, in an optical cavity. A pump photon of energy \(\hbar \omega_p\) incident on an OPA down-converts into two photons, signal and idler, of energy \(\hbar \omega_s\) and \(\hbar \omega_i\), respectively, hence the name parametrical down-conversion [20]. In order to have a significant effect, the nonlinear interaction must satisfy energy \((\omega_p = \omega_s + \omega_i)\) and phase-matching \((k_p = k_s + k_i)\) conservation. In our experiment, the frequency of signal and idler are degenerate, i.e., \(\omega_s = \omega_i\), and the polarisation of the pump is at 90° to the polarisation of the signal and idler, corresponding to a type I phase-matching.

In order to pump the crystal efficiently for a TEM\(_{00}\) signal mode, the transverse profile of the pump mode must locally match with the square of the signal mode. We use \(\{ u_i \}\) as the notation for the fundamental signal and idler mode basis whose first mode has a waist of \(w_0\), and \(\{ v_i \}\) for the second harmonic pump mode basis whose first mode has a waist of \(w_0/\sqrt{2}\). In the thin crystal approximation and in the case of a TEM\(_{n0}\) signal mode, the optimal pump profile \(E_n(\vec{r})\) is defined by:

\[
E_n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{ni} v_{2i}(\vec{r}).
\]

where \(\vec{r}\) is the transverse beam coordinate and \(\Gamma_{ni}\) describes the spatial overlap between the squared signal and the second harmonic pump modes in the transverse plane, and is given by:

\[
\Gamma_{ni} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u_{ni}^2(\vec{r})}{\alpha_n} v_{2i}(\vec{r}) d\vec{r},
\]

where \(\alpha_n\) corresponds to the normalisation of the squared signal, and is defined by the relation \(\alpha_n^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{ni}^2(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}\). The optimal pump profile has only even components since the TEM\(_{00}\) signal squared profile is necessarily even, and its highest component is of order 2\(n\). This decomposition is finite because the pre-exponential polynomial in the expression of \(u_{ni}^2\) is of order 2\(n\), and therefore does not project onto higher order modes. The common case of using a TEM\(_{00}\) signal mode yields \(\Gamma_{10} = 1\) and corresponds to a perfect spatial overlap as the optimal pump profile is also a TEM\(_{00}\) mode. The presence of several non zero coefficients implies that for all cases, except a TEM\(_{00}\) pump mode, the optimal pump profile does not correspond to the signal intensity distribution. For a TEM\(_{10}\) signal mode resonant in the OPA cavity, the only non zero overlap coefficients calculated from Eq.(2) are given by:

\[
\Gamma_{10} = 0.58, \quad \Gamma_{12} = 0.82.
\]

As they are the only non zero ones, they fulfil \(\Gamma_{10}^2 + \Gamma_{12}^2 = 1\). For a TEM\(_{20}\) signal mode, the only pump modes which have non zero overlap in the cavity are the TEM\(_{00}\), TEM\(_{20}\) and TEM\(_{40}\) modes. The overlap coefficients are given by:

\[
\Gamma_{20} = 0.47, \quad \Gamma_{22} = 0.44, \quad \Gamma_{24} = 0.77.
\]

Again, they fulfil \(\Gamma_{20}^2 + \Gamma_{22}^2 + \Gamma_{24}^2 = 1\). The presence of several non zero coefficients accounts for the multi-mode aspect of the ideal pump mode. Although generating such a complicated mode is in principle possible by using holograms [21] or forcing a laser cavity to emit in these modes [22, 23], we choose as a first step, to use a TEM\(_{00}\) pump mode, as discussed in the next section.

Still using the thin crystal approximation with a multi-mode description of each field as in Ref. [24], we can show that pumping the OPA cavity with a non optimal mode results in an increase of the oscillation threshold. This increase is inversely proportional to the square of the overlap coefficient between the chosen pump mode and the optimal pump mode. For instance, when the signal mode resonant in the OPA is a TEM\(_{10}\) mode, pumping with a TEM\(_{00}\) mode increases the threshold by a factor \(1/\Gamma_{10}^2 \simeq 3\). As for a TEM\(_{20}\) signal mode, pumping with a TEM\(_{00}\) mode increases the threshold by a factor \(1/\Gamma_{20}^2 \simeq 4.5\). Since the threshold is directly related to the local intensity in the crystal, it will be further increased for higher order signal mode operations. Indeed, higher order mode intensity is more spread out in the transverse plane than for the TEM\(_{00}\) mode. Therefore the best conversion efficiency is expected to happen for a TEM\(_{00}\) pump mode, independently from any overlap issue. Still in the thin crystal approximation, the threshold is further increased by a factor of 1.3, and 1.6, respectively for TEM\(_{10}\) and TEM\(_{20}\) signal modes, relative to a TEM\(_{00}\) signal mode operation. The relative theoretical thresholds for the three first H-G modes are presented in Table 1 in Section 4.
We have estimated theoretically the threshold modifications imposed by the operation of an OPA with higher order transverse modes, and will use this knowledge in the next sections to interpret our experimental results.

3 THE EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental squeezing setup is illustrated in Figure 1. To ensure stable squeezing, 6 locking loops are implemented in the experiment. For locking we use the Pound Drever Hall locking technique, where a phase-modulation is imparted on the optical beams with electric-optic modulators (EOM). The generated error-signals are then fed back to the cavities through piezo-electric elements (PZT) [25]. All cavities in the experiment are therefore held at resonance at the same time. The experiment stays locked for longer than 20 minutes. The only limiting factor is temperature fluctuations in the laboratory.

The experimental procedure for producing squeezing is as follows. The OPA is back seeded with a misaligned TEM$_{00}$ beam. The cavity is locked to the fundamental TEM$_{00}$ mode and pumped with a second harmonic TEM$_{00}$ beam. The TEM$_{00}$ squeezed beam is analysed using a homodyne detection (HD), whose TEM$_{00}$ component of the squeezed beam is extracted only the information of the TEM$_{00}$ mode. The pump beam from the internal frequency doubler passes through an optical isolator (ISO: isolation > 40 dB) and is carefully mode-matched (95%) into the OPA. Working at pump powers below threshold, the seed is either amplified or de-amplified depending on the relative phase between the pump and the seed. This relative phase is stably locked to de-amplification in order to generate an amplitude quadrature squeezed beam.

We used a concentric hemilithic cavity design for the OPA, consisting of a 2 x 2.5 x 6.5 mm$^3$ lithium niobate (MgO:LiNbO$_3$) type I nonlinear crystal doped with 7% of magnesium. The birefringence of the crystal is highly temperature dependent; accurate temperature control of the crystal, typically at the milli-Kelvin level, is therefore required to achieve optimal phase-matching, as shown in Figure 2.

The back surface of the crystal is polished so that it has a 8 mm radius of curvature and is high reflectance coated for both wavelengths. The output coupler has 96% reflectivity for 1064 nm and 10% reflectivity for 532 nm, has a radius of curvature of 25 mm, and is placed 23 mm from the front-end of the crystal. The cavity is therefore near concentricity with a waist of 24 µm for the 1064 nm cavity and 19 µm for the 532 nm cavity. The OPA has a finesse of approximately 165 with a free spectral range of 10 GHz and a cold cavity bandwidth of 60 MHz. The TEM$_{00}$ squeezed beam generated at the output of the OPA and the pump beam are separated with dichroic mirrors. The squeezed beam is then analysed using a homodyne detection with a TEM$_{00}$ local oscillator (LO), thus extracting only the information of the TEM$_{00}$ component of the squeezed beam. The LO is created with a ring cavity, used as a mode transferring cavity (MTC) and designed to prevent any transverse mode degeneracy when locked to resonance on the TEM$_{00}$ mode.

Before describing the measured squeezing we focus on the classical behaviour of the OPA.

4 OPTIMISING THE PARAMETRIC INTERACTION: GAIN AND THRESHOLD MEASUREMENTS

In order to have large parametric interaction we need the best possible mode overlap between pump and seed modes. To achieve this, different issues have to be addressed such as mode-matching and alignment of pump and seed into the cavity. A useful tool for optimising the parametric interaction - and at the same time the possible amount of squeezing to be extracted - is to measure the classical gain factor of the seed.

Since our OPA is not a cavity for the pump beam, the output coupler has only 10% reflectivity for 532 nm, we can envision three different cases for pumping the OPA efficiently, knowing that we are restricted to a TEM$_{00}$ pump mode operation, as discussed in the previous section. We can match the pump profile to the TEM$_{00}$ mode defined through the infrared mode; we can de-focus the pump; or, we can misalign the pump to match with one lobe (one side) of the infrared mode, in the TEM$_{10}$ mode case for instance. In each case we
have tuned the crystal temperature to maximise the gain and find that the most efficient option is to pump with a TEM\textsubscript{00} mode that is aligned with the cavity axis and optimally mode matched for maximum coupling to the cavity, as we would do for if we wanted to produce TEM\textsubscript{00} squeezing. The disadvantage of this method was that the maximum pump power that could be delivered to the system was set by the threshold power of the TEM\textsubscript{00}.

The optimal temperature of the nonlinear crystal to produce squeezing differs for each TEM\textsubscript{0n}, due to the different Gouy phase-shifts between H-G modes \cite{27}. The temperature has thus to be re-optimised for each experiment. A direct manifestation of the shift in optimised phase-matching temperature is shown on Figure 2. This figure shows the classical gain factor measured as a function of the crystal temperature, for signal profiles given by the three first H-G modes, still using the best pumping option. We find that the optimal phase-matching temperature are 62.1°C, 61.6°C and 60.6°C for the TEM\textsubscript{00}, TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} modes, respectively. The width (FWHM) for optimal phase-matching temperature is approximately 1°C for all three cases.

The measured amplification gain curves for TEM\textsubscript{00}, TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} H-G modes are presented in Figure 3. We measured a maximum amplification of 300, 23, 5 for the TEM\textsubscript{00}, TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} H-G modes, respectively. The best measured de-amplification factors are 0.30, 0.56 and 0.70 for the TEM\textsubscript{00}, TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} H-G modes, respectively. On one hand, the de-amplification of 0.30 for the TEM\textsubscript{00} indicates that the system is close to the oscillation threshold, since the theoretical value for de-amplification from a back seeded OPA at threshold is 0.25. On the other hand, the threshold is far from being reached for the TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} modes cases. The reason why the de-amplification curves are flat and do not reach the theoretical value of 0.25 is due to mode-mismatch between the pump and seed which induces phase noises and losses.

We have estimated the threshold for each operation of the OPA on higher order modes, which will allow a calculation of the maximum amount of squeezing that can be generated by the system in the next section.

The oscillation threshold is measured to be 260 mW of pump power when the OPA is resonant for the TEM\textsubscript{00} signal mode. However, the threshold for higher order modes cannot be accessed experimentally because the system starts to oscillate on the TEM\textsubscript{00} as soon as the pump power reaches approximately 350 mW, even when the crystal temperatures are optimised for an operation on the TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} modes. Nevertheless, we can use the gain curves obtained experimentally for the TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} modes with a TEM\textsubscript{00} pump in order to estimate the threshold for the TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} modes. A linear fit of the first couple of data points gives the relative gain slopes between the TEM\textsubscript{10}, TEM\textsubscript{20} and TEM\textsubscript{00} modes, yielding an estimate of the relative threshold. We find the thresholds for the TEM\textsubscript{10} and TEM\textsubscript{20} OPA operation regimes to be approximately 1000 mW and 1600 mW, respectively. These values can be compared with a theoretical calculation of the thresholds introduced in Section 2, taking into account the imperfect spatial overlap between the infrared mode resonant in the cavity and the TEM\textsubscript{00} pump mode and the lower local intensity for higher order modes. This comparison is presented in Table 1, showing a very good agreement between theory and the experimental measurements.

| Mode | Experimental | Theoretical |
|------|--------------|-------------|
| TEM\textsubscript{00} | 1 | 3.9 ± 0.5 |
| TEM\textsubscript{10} | 4 | 6.2 ± 0.8 |
| TEM\textsubscript{20} | 7 | |
5 TEM$_{00}$, TEM$_{10}$ and TEM$_{20}$ SQUEEZING

The experimental squeezing curves in the TEM$_{00}$, TEM$_{10}$ and TEM$_{20}$ modes are shown in Figure 4. The squeezing spectra are recorded on a spectrum analyser with a resolution bandwidth of 300 kHz and video bandwidth of 300 Hz at a detection frequency of 4.5 MHz. All traces are normalised to the quantum noise level (QNL). The QNL given by trace ii) is measured by blocking the squeezed beam before the homodyne detector. Trace i) is obtained by scanning the phase of the LO, and trace iii) by locking the LO phase to the squeezed amplitude quadrature. The smooth line is the theoretical fit of the noise variance assuming the actual experimental parameters. We measured $-4.0 \pm 0.2$ dB of squeezing and $+8.5 \pm 0.5$ dB of anti-squeezing for the TEM$_{00}$ mode, $-2.6 \pm 0.2$ dB of squeezing and $+5.4 \pm 0.4$ dB of anti-squeezing for the TEM$_{10}$ mode, and $-1.5 \pm 0.3$ dB of squeezing and $+2.7 \pm 0.4$ dB of anti-squeezing for the TEM$_{20}$ mode. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of higher order transverse mode squeezing using an OPA. These values have been corrected for electrical noise, which is 9.1 ± 0.1 dB below the QNL, and is mostly due to the amplifiers in the photo-detectors.

In order to perform these measurements, we used the following pump powers which were maximising the amount of squeezing: 100 mW for the TEM$_{00}$ mode and 300 mW for TEM$_{10}$ and TEM$_{20}$ modes. We were unable to pump the OPA with higher power, as the system was starting to oscillate on the TEM$_{00}$ mode at 300 mW, even when the cavity was locked to another mode, as discussed in the previous section.

We can calculate an upper limit for the measurable noise variance of the amplitude $V^-$ and phase $V^+$ quadratures at zero frequency, using the following simple analytical expression [28]:

$$V^ \pm (0) = 1 \pm \eta_{av} \frac{4 \sqrt{P/P_{th}}}{(1 + \sqrt{P/P_{th}})^2},$$

(5)

where $P$ and $P_{th}$ correspond to pump and threshold power, respectively; $\eta_{av} = T/(T + L) $ is the escape efficiency, where $L$ is the intra-cavity loss and $T$ is the transmittance of the output coupler. The estimated intra-cavity losses for our OPA is approximately $L = 0.0043$, where we consider absorption in the material (0.1%/cm) and scattering at the mirror and crystal. This gives a cavity escape efficiency of approximately $\eta_{av} = 0.89$. The calculated squeezing and anti-squeezing for the different modes, using Eq.(5) are shown in Table 2c.

From Figure 4, we can see that the noise spectrum from the OPA is far away from the minimum uncertainty state predicted for a lossless OPA. We will in the following estimate and characterise the losses in our experiment.

The total detection efficiency of our experiment, is given by: $\eta_{total} = \eta_{det} \eta_{prop} \eta_{cd}$, where $\eta_{prop} = 0.97 \pm 0.02$ is the propagation efficiency, $\eta_{det} = 0.93 \pm 0.05$ is the photodetector (Epitaxx ETX500) efficiency. $\eta_{cd}$ is the homodyne detection efficiency. By carefully optimising the homodyne detection efficiency we measured $\eta_{cd}(\text{TEM}_{00}) = 0.98 \pm 0.02$, $\eta_{cd}(\text{TEM}_{10}) = 0.95 \pm 0.02$ and $\eta_{cd}(\text{TEM}_{20}) = 0.91 \pm 0.02$ for the different modes. The mode dependence is firstly due to the larger spatial extension of higher order modes, which are more apertured by the optics. Moreover, the fringe visibility drops for higher order modes because of the additional transverse degree of freedom compared to the TEM$_{00}$ case. Finally, a small mode mismatch has more dramatic effects on the fringe visibility for a complex intensity distribution.

The total estimated detection efficiencies for our experiment are therefore $\eta_{total} = 0.79 \pm 0.04$, $\eta_{total} = 0.76 \pm 0.04$ and $\eta_{total} = 0.73 \pm 0.04$ for the TEM$_{00}$, TEM$_{10}$ and TEM$_{20}$, respectively. From these efficiencies we can infer squeezing and anti-squeezing values, see Table 2. In order to compare these efficiencies, we can calculate the total theoretical detection efficiency from the squeezing spectrum. The theoretical detection efficiency for a lossy OPA is given by:

$$\eta = \frac{V_{\text{SQL}} + V_{\text{ASQL}} - 1}{V_{\text{SQL}} + V_{\text{ASQL}} - 2},$$

(6)

where $V_{\text{SQL}}$ is the measured squeezing, $V_{\text{ASQL}}$ is the measured anti-squeezing and $\eta$ is the total efficiency of the experiment. The calculated efficiencies can be seen in Table 3.

| Mode | TEM$_{00}$ | TEM$_{10}$ | TEM$_{20}$ |
|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| a) Corrected | -4.0 | +8.5 | -2.6 | +5.4 | -1.5 | +2.7 |
| b) Inferred | -5.1 | +9.0 | -3.2 | +5.9 | -1.9 | +3.1 |
| c) Calculated | -7.6 | +11.0 | -6.8 | +9.1 | -5.4 | +6.5 |

Table 2 TEM$_{00}$ mode squeezing and anti-squeezing a) Corrected for electronic noise. b) Inferred by taking detection and propagation losses into account. c) Calculated taking the cavity escape efficiency and relative pump power into account.

The discrepancy between the calculated and the estimated efficiencies, suggests that additional losses are present in the...
system. A common extra possible loss factor is given by green induced infra-red absorption (GRIIRA) [29]. But according to Furakawa et al. no effect of GRIIRA should be seen in our setup as we have chosen a 7% MgO doped LiNbO₃ crystal. Nevertheless, we find that the losses seem to be induced by the pump and are dependent on the H-G mode intensity distribution and are therefore more important in an OPA pumped with a non-optimal pump. Eq.(3) and Eq.(4) show that the mode-overlap between the TEM₀₀ pump and the TEM₁₀ and TEM₂₀ seed are only 0.58 and 0.47, respectively. Therefore more losses are induced and degrade the measurable squeezing for the higher order H-G modes. This is also what we find from the theoretical calculated efficiency, Eq. (6). The comparison suggest that we have a decrease in the cavity escape efficiency due to absorption in the crystal to $\eta_{cav,00} = 0.76 \pm 0.02$, $\eta_{cav,10} = 0.62 \pm 0.02$ and $\eta_{cav,20} = 0.53 \pm 0.02$ for the TEM₀₀, TEM₁₀ and TEM₂₀, respectively. This indicates that the absorption is getting larger for higher order H-G modes due to the decrease in mode-overlap between the (non-optimal) pump mode and the higher order H-G signal mode resonant in the OPA, which is in good agreement with the simple mode-overlap model.

| TABLE 3 Comparison between estimated and calculated detection efficiency in our system. |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Mode | Estimated Eff. | Calculated Eff. |
| TEM₀₀ | 0.79 ± 0.04 | 0.67 ± 0.03 |
| TEM₁₀ | 0.76 ± 0.04 | 0.53 ± 0.03 |
| TEM₂₀ | 0.73 ± 0.04 | 0.40 ± 0.03 |

Losses are at this point limiting the amount of measurable squeezing in the higher order H-G modes. However, we believe that there is a potential for further improvement. A next experimental proposal would be to generate optimal pump profiles in order to pump the OPA more efficiently. As previously discussed, a way of achieving perfect mode-matching between the TEM₁₀ seed and the pump is by creating a “multi-mode” pump beam consisting of a mixture of TEM₀₀ and TEM₂₀. For the TEM₂₀ mode, the pump mode should be a mixture of TEM₀₀, TEM₂₀ and TEM₄₀ modes. This multi-mode pump mode can be synthesised using a spatial light modulator or by using a series of mode transferring cavities. The later method for synthesis the multi-mode is experimental very challenging.

**6 CONCLUSION**

In this contribution we demonstrate the generation of squeezed light in the TEM₀₀, TEM₁₀ and TEM₂₀ modes. To our knowledge this is the first demonstration of higher order transverse mode squeezing using an OPA. Losses in the material limit us presently to noise suppressions of 4 dB, -2.6 dB and -1.5 dB. However, we can infer about -7 dB and -5 dB of noise suppression inside the OPA. This is similar to the degree of squeezing observed in conventional CW quantum optic experiments and we believe that future improvements of our setup will allow us to increase the observed amount of squeezing. We propose a method to maximise the measurable squeezing of the present system using a spatial light modulator to synthesise the multi-mode pump mode to generate the optimal pump profiles for pumping the OPA more efficiently and to minimise the nonlinear intra-cavity losses.

Using the transverse spatial properties of laser beams, we are now able to produce all the required tools for higher order continuous laser quantum optics experiments. The key components are the ability to generate the H-G modes selectively with high efficiency and the availability of simple and fully efficient modulation and detection techniques. The way for parallel quantum information processing with continuous variables in the transverse plane of a laser beam using the basis of H-G modes is now open. In order to test parallel quantum information protocols, we plan for future experiments to produce spatial entanglement using two higher H-G mode squeezers. Other types of experiments that could follow are dense coding of spatial information, teleportation of spatial information and spatial holography.
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