ABSTRACT

Introduction: The G-spot, a putative erogenous area in the anterior vaginal wall, is a widely accepted concept in the mainstream media, but controversial in medical literature.

Aim: Review of the scientific data concerning the existence, location, and size of the G-spot.

Methods: Search on Pubmed, Pubmed Central, Cochrane, clinicaltrials.gov and Google Scholar from inception to November 2020 of studies on G-spot’s existence, location and nature. Surveys, clinical, physiological, imaging, histological and anatomic studies were included.

Main Outcome Measure: Existence, location, and nature of the G-spot.

Results: In total, 31 eligible studies were identified: 6 surveys, 5 clinical, 1 neurophysiological, 9 imaging, 8 histological/anatomical, and 2 combined clinical and histological. Most women (62.9%) reported having a G-spot and it was identified in most clinical studies (55.4% of women); in 2 studies it was not identified in any women. Imaging studies had contradictory results in terms of its existence and nature. Some showed a descending of the anterior vaginal wall, that led to the concept of clitourethrovaginal complex. In anatomic studies, one author could systematically identify the G-spot, while another group did not find it. Studies on innervation of the vaginal walls did not systematically identify an area with richer innervation.

Conclusion: The different studies did systematically agree on the existence of the G-spot. Among the studies in which it was considered to exist, there was no agreement on its location, size, or nature. The existence of this structure remains unproved. Vieira-Baptista P, Lima-Silva J, Preti M, et al. G-spot: Fact or Fiction?: A Systematic Review. Sex Med 2021;9:100435.

Copyright © 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the International Society for Sexual Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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INTRODUCTION

The possible existence of an erotogenic area in the anterior vaginal wall has been referred at least since the 11th century, or even earlier.1,2

In 1950 Gräfenberg published a seminal paper: “The role of urethra in female orgasm”. His main statement was that “an erotic zone always could be demonstrated on the anterior wall of the vagina along the course of the urethra” and that this area swells with sexual stimulation, reaching its maximum at the end of the orgasm. Gräfenberg did not share previous points of view on the “need” of vaginal orgasms and, in fact, wrote that “we can almost say that there is no part of the female body which does not give sexual response, the partner has only to find the erotogenic zones.”3

In 1981, Addiego et al. published a case report of “female ejaculation” associated with an “erotically sensitive spot, palpable...”
through the anterior wall of the vagina." Honoring the paper published 3 decades before, they named that area "Gräfenberg spot." Later it was abridged to "G-spot" by Ladas et al.5−7

The concept of “G-spot” soon gained popularity, especially in the mainstream media. Despite this widespread generalization, in the medical literature it is still shrouded in controversy, with the studies aimed to prove its existence or inexistence often potentially biased by the socio-cultural background.8 In 2012, Ostrzenski dissected the anterior vaginal wall of cadaver and claimed to have found it.9 Nevertheless, it was not the final evidence. For the scientific community the question of whether it exists or is a mere scientific unicorn remains: if it does, there will be surgical implications (identification in urogynecological approach of the anterior vaginal wall, surgeries aiming at increasing the function of the G-spot) and possible new approaches to treat female sexual dysfunction. This structure has even been attempted to be replicated in male-to-female transgenders.10

For the public in general, the idea of the existence of 2 types of orgasm brings back Freudian concepts — and puts pressure upon women who cannot achieve a “vaginal orgasm.” The G-spot, despite supposedly being stimulated through the vagina, seemed to somehow fill this gap in the rhetoric of female liberation.8 Some authors developed the concept of “clitourethrovaginal complex,” referring to a descending of the anterior vaginal wall, that was reported in some imaging studies and which can take the focus of a specific area or anatomic structure.11−13

Independently of the existence of any specific structure or complex, any attempt to reduce the female orgasm to its mere stimulation will always fall short: several complex factors must be considered, including intimacy, the hormone milieu, previous experiences, cultural and religious beliefs, etc. The brain is undeniably the key player in terms of female orgasm.8

The objective of this review was to evaluate the available studies in humans (questionnaires, anatomical, histological, imaging or physiological) on the existence, location, and histological nature of the G-spot and its possible practical implications.

RESULTS

Of the 32 studies included, 6 concerned self-awareness (surveys), 5 digital/instrumental exploration of the vaginal walls, 9 dissection of cadavers/biopsies/evaluation of surgical samples, 9 imaging evaluation (7 ultrasound and 2 magnetic resonance imaging), and 1 neurophysiological evaluation. (Figure 2) Two studies involved both clinical and histological evaluation.15,16

Self-Awareness Studies/Surveys

In the 6 studies of this type, women answered whether or not they believed they had a G-spot and/or more sensitive area in the vagina. Of 5072 participants, 3195 (62.9%) reported to have of such an area. In one study, 1245 also answered if they believed in the existence of an area of higher sensitivity in the vagina: 84.3% did and 65.9% reported having it (7.3% in the posterior vaginal wall).17

All studies except one18 exclusively enrolled heterosexual women. Nearly half of the participants in the surveys were health care professionals.

One study asked if the G-spot was associated with ejaculation: 72.6% answered affirmatively.19

One study evaluated pairs of twins and found no evidence of a genetic factor.20

A recent study associated likelihood to report having a G-spot with higher education and higher Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI).21 (Table 1)

Clinical Evidence

The 7 studies that explored digital or instrumental exploration of the vaginal walls, searching for the G-spot involved 1842 women (1500 from 1 study17). The G-spot was identified in 55.4% (1020/1842) women. In 2 studies it was identified in all women (n = 74)23,24 and in another 2 studies in none (n = 83).25,26

The criteria for identification of the G-spot, depending on the study, could be the report of a more sensitive area and/or a bulging/swelling upon stimulation.

In most cases, digital stimulation was performed, using 1 or 2 fingers by one of the investigators (and the partner in one

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A Pubmed, Pubmed Central, Cochrane, clinicaltrials.gov and Google Scholar literature search was performed, from inception to November 2020, restricted to abstracts in English, French, Portuguese, Spanish, or Italian language. Only studies on humans were included.

The search string used was: (“G-spot” OR “Gräfenberg spot”). Studies referring to self-awareness, clinical, imaging, histological, neurophysiological or anatomical evidence concerning the G-spot were included in the review. All abstracts were checked by 2 of the authors for eligibility.
The existence of the G-spot remains unproved

Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews (PRISMA).

Figure 2. a) Number of studies, according to category, in which the authors concluded for or against the existence of the G-spot; b) number of women, according to category in which some evidence or perception of the existence of the G-spot (regardless of the authors’ conclusions).
| Author                  | Country | Year | Type of study and population                                                                 | N =   | Age range | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------|---------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Davidson J et al.       | USA     | 1989 | Anonymous questionnaire (55% answer rate) Membership lists of the 2 national organizations representing health and counseling professions Heterosexual women Six open-ended and 182 closed-form item                      | 1245  | (mean 38) | 84.3% believed that a sensitive area exists in the vagina 65.9% reported they had a more sensitive area in the vagina (55.1% in the anterior vaginal wall and 7.3% in the posterior wall) |
| Darling C et al.        | USA     | 1990 | Anonymous questionnaire (mailed) (192 open-ended and closed-form items) Asked if they were aware of a “sensitive area located in the vagina” Professional women (health care) | 1230  | 22-82 (mean 38.4) | 65.9% reported having a G-spot (sensitive area) Stimulation of that area led to orgasm in 72.6% Overall, 40% reported ejaculating (82% if reported having a sensitive area in the vagina) Sensitive area associated with multiple orgasms |
| Kratochvil S            | Czech Republic | 1993 | Women treated for neurotic disorders (200) and health professional/counselor (100) Questionnaire | 300   | Unknown | Anterior wall slightly more sensitive than the posterior one One third reported effective stimulation in the depth of the vagina with cervical tapping. Stimulation in the area corresponding to the alleged G spot was acknowledged as effective by 10%–20% |
| Burri AV et al.         | UK      | 2010 | Random exclusively heterosexual twins Postal self-administered questionnaire (TEIQue-SF; TIPI; frequency of orgasm) Questioned “Do you believe you have a so-called G-spot, a small area the size of a 20p coin on the front wall of your vagina that is sensitive to deep pressure?” | 1804  | (902 pairs of twins) | 56% reported having a G-spot Women reporting always having an orgasm or having multiple orgasms with intercourse, or more satisfied with their relationship answered more often they had a G-spot Older women less likely to report it No evidence of a genetic factor “Extraversion” and “openness to new experience” associated with reporting having a G-spot Correlation with different factors (sexual behavior, relationship satisfaction, attitude towards sexuality) showed the assumption of the presence of a G-spot to be a pseudo-phenomenon |
| Wang X et al.           | China   | 2012 | Convenience (online) sampling of WSW Asked if they were aware of the G-spot and if their partners deliberately tried to stimulate it | 184   | 19-46 | 65.2% reported having had their G-spot stimulated 49.2% reported bleeding after sex (vs 12.5% in those not reporting G-spot stimulation, P < .001) |
| Ellibeş Kaya A et al.   | Turkey  | 2018 | Cross sectional Premenopausal, sexually active women >18 y Exclusion criteria included women who desired cosmetic genital surgery Asked participant if they “felt a coin-size sensitive area in the anterior vaginal wall at the time of finger or penis penetration or pressure” FSFI, FGSIS | 309   | 18-54 | 51.5% believed the G spot exists 19.7% indecisive/do not know Higher education levels correlated with reporting G spot existence Sexual dysfunction lower in those who reported its existence Higher mean score in the FSFI orgasm domain in women who reported the G spot exists |

FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index; FGSIS = Female Genital Self-Image scale; TEIQue-SF = Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire-Short Form; TIPI = Ten-Item Personality Index; WSW = Women who have sex with women; * access only to the abstract.
study). In one study, a vibrator was used in cases in which “ejaculation was hard to induce.”

As for location, it was reported to be localized “1 cm deep in the vaginal wall,” or anterior vaginal wall or “connected to the hymen” (below the urethral meatus). The 2 papers that concluded against the existence of the G-spot showed pleasurable spots in different areas of the vagina and in the cervix.

Three papers reported on the swelling of a specific area upon stimulation: absent in one, and always present in 2.

Several biases could be identified, for instance, inclusion of a majority of sex workers, inclusion of only coital anorgasmic stimulation: absent in one, and always present in 2.23,24

The studies using ultrasound used either 2D or 3D flat or transvaginal probes to evaluate the clitoris and anterior vaginal wall, sometimes complemented with doppler evaluation.

The other MRI study retrospectively evaluated MRIs performed for diverse clinical reasons (n = 21), assuming Ostrzenski’s putative location of the G-spot. They described a “G-spot complex” in 62% of women (in all women if vaginal gel had been used and in 3 of 11 if it was not used).

The studies using ultrasound used either 2D or 3D flat or transvaginal probes to evaluate the clitoris and anterior vaginal wall, sometimes complemented with doppler evaluation.

One descriptive study reported a hyperechoic area between the clitoris and the vagina and two lateral hypoechoic areas (cavernous veins) in another one, the thickness of the urethrovaginal space was positively correlated with vaginal orgasm (specially for the distal segment).

Battaglia et al. described the existence of a gland-like structure (“female prostate”) in the urethrovaginal space, fed by small vessels in 2 studies (n = 87). Dimensions of these structures were described to be bigger in women who reported vaginal orgasms and to correlate with androgens’ levels and time since intercourse. The authors did not assume this area to be the G-spot. A previous study (n = 5) reported the absence of glandular structures in that area.

In 3 studies a close relationship between the root of the clitoris and the anterior vaginal wall during perineal contraction and/or vaginal penetration and/or stimulation was described. In one of the studies, the authors assumed the root of the clitoris to be the G-spot; Buisson et al. assumed the G-spot was the “clitourethrovaginal complex.” The descent of these structures had previously been described by Foldes et al. (Table 2)

**Imaging Evidence**

Imaging evaluation of the possible existence of the G-spot was performed in 9 studies: 2 using MRI (n = 23) and 7 using ultrasound (n = 116; one study did not report the number of involved subjects).

One of the MRI studies involved women with midthoracic complete spinal cord injury (n = 2); brain PET-MRI showed activation of the region of the nucleus of the solitary tract. The authors concluded for the existence of a possible by-pass of the spinal cord, via vagus nerve and activated by vaginal stimulation.

The other MRI study retrospectively evaluated MRIs performed for diverse clinical reasons (n = 21), assuming Ostrzenski’s putative location of the G-spot. They described a “G-spot complex” in 62% of women (in all women if vaginal gel had been used and in 3 of 11 if it was not used).

The studies using ultrasound used either 2D or 3D flat or transvaginal probes to evaluate the clitoris and anterior vaginal wall, sometimes complemented with doppler evaluation.

One descriptive study reported a hyperechoic area between the clitoris and the vagina and two lateral hypoechoic areas (cavernous veins); in another one, the thickness of the urethrovaginal space was positively correlated with vaginal orgasm (specially for the distal segment).

Battaglia et al. reported the existence of a gland-like structure (“female prostate”) in the urethrovaginal space, fed by small vessels in 2 studies (n = 87). Dimensions of these structures were described to be bigger in women who reported vaginal orgasms and to correlate with androgens’ levels and time since intercourse. The authors did not assume this area to be the G-spot. A previous study (n = 5) reported the absence of glandular structures in that area.

Anatomical and Histological Evidence

The histological nature of the G-spot was evaluated in 7 studies (biopsies/surgical specimens/autopsies), for a total of 244 women. In the largest study (n = 175) it was identified in 47.4% of women. In this study, it was described as being composed of epithelial, glandular and erectile tissue.

In Ostrzenski’s first paper (dissection of one cadaver) the G-spot was described as a fibroconnective sac, containing erectile-like tissue (no histological examination performed). Later, in 2 other papers he described it as a vein like structure, with ability to expand. This description does not match the usual histology of erectile tissues (arteries, vascular shunts, venous sinusoids and a matrix of connective tissue and smooth muscle).

Li et al. described an increased density of microvessels and small nerves in the distal third of the anterior vaginal wall; Song et al. found higher density of terminal nerve branches at the second distal one-fifth of the anterior vaginal wall. Two other studies found no differences in the innervation of the anterior vaginal wall, but with one showing increased innervation along the urethra (which the authors did not consider evidence of the existence of the G-spot).

D’Amati evaluated the presence of type 5-phosphodiesterase (PDE5) in the anterior vaginal wall and found it expressed in smooth muscle of vessels, which formed a pseudocavernous tissue in the vaginal wall and endothelium. The authors concluded that, similarly to males, the system nitric oxide synthase-PDE5 may also contribute to sexual female arousal.

Hoag et al. found no macroscopic structure in the putative location of the G-spot, namely “spongy tissue.”

Ostrzenski described the G-spot as having a diagonal orientation, measuring 8 ± 5 mm of longest dimension, making a diagonal angle with the urethra and often sided (more often to the left side), 4.5–5.5 cm from the urethral meatus. (Table 2)
| Author                  | Country      | Year | Type of study                                                                 | N  | Age range     | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------|--------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Perry J et al.          | USA          | 1981 | Vaginal and uterine myograph to evaluate EMG levels                           | 47 | 21-63 (mean 34)| • G-spot identified in all subjects in the anterior wall (most at 12 o’clock)                                                                                                                                 |
|                        |              |      | Women recruited by sex therapists, educators and counselors                   |    |               | • 1 cm deep in the vaginal wall                                                                                                                                                                        |
|                        |              |      | Questionnaire (Likert scale, Sexual Arousal Inventory)                        |    |               | • Swelling upon stimulation (can take more than 1 minute)                                                                                                                                               |
|                        |              |      | Digital examination of the pubococcygeus muscle                              |    |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|                        |              |      | Two finger palpation of the whole anterior wall (G-spot), sometimes with abdominal pressure |    |               |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Goldberg D et al.       | USA          | 1983 | Self-recruited women (news-papers, talk shows)                              | 11 | 24-61         | • G-spot identified in 4/11 (36%) women                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • No differences between ejaculators and nonejaculators                                                                                                                                                 |
| Alzate H               | Colombia     | 1985 | Digital exploration of both vaginal walls                                   | 27 | (mean 24,1±4,2)| • 89% had an orgasmic response in response to vaginal stimulation (not a specific area) — findings do not support the existence of a G-spot, but rather the existence of clitoral and vaginal orgasms                  |
|                        |              |      | Healthy women (82% sex workers)                                             |    |               | • 85% reported it in the posterior wall (mostly in the lower half) and 74% in the anterior one                                                                                                           |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • Of the ones who had erotic sensitivity in the anterior wall, 100% referred it in the upper half and 60% in the lower half of the vagina                                                                 |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • No perception of “swollen structures”                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • Some of the women who reached orgasm with stimulation of the posterior wall also did it with anorectal stimulation                                                                               |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • No evidence of ejaculation                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • (Bias: mostly sex workers)                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Hoch Z(25)             | Israel       | 1986 | Women with coital anorgasmia but orgasmic with external genitalia stimulation | 56 | 19-64         | • No evidence of a G-spot                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                        |              |      | Israeli Minnesota Multiphasic Psychological Inventory, Bem Sex-Role Inventory, Sim-Fam game, anthropometric measures of sexual dimorphism, detailed sociological questionnaire, Lif and Ebert Sexual Performance Evaluation Questionnaire |    |               | • 96% with pleasure upon exploration of the entire anterior vaginal wall, including the deeper situated urinary bladder, periurethral tissues and Halban’s fascia                                                      |
|                        |              |      | Sexual examination of the vagina (finger) — performed by the investigator and partner |    |               | • 6% with exploration of the cervix, 3% in the posterior wall of the vagina and 2% in the lateral vaginal walls (4–8 h)                                                                                |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • 64% orgasmic with stimulation of the anterior vaginal wall                                                                                                                                              |
|                        |              |      |                                                                              |    |               | • (Bias: anorgasmic women)                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Zaviatic M et al.       | Czechoslovakia| 1988 | Mostly women referred for infertility                                        | 27 | 20-40         | • G-spot identified in all the participants, in the anterior vaginal wall                                                                                                                                  |
|                        |              |      | Questionnaire                                                                |    |               | • Tumescence of the spot upon stimulation                                                                                                                                                               |
|                        |              |      | Digital stimulation (1-2 fingers) (In 2 “hard-to-induce expulsions” cases a vibrator was used) |    |               | • 37% ejaculated                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Whipple B et al.        | USA          | 2002 | Women with midthoracic complete spinal cord injury                           | 2  | women with SCI and one for control | • All had activation of the region of the nucleus of the solitary tract, via vagus nerve (by-pass of the spinal cord)                                                                                  |
|                        |              |      | Brain PET-MRI during cervical and vaginal self-stimulation                   |    |               | • POE5 immunoreactivity was mostly localized in the smooth muscle of vessels, forming a pseudocavernous tissue in the vaginal wall and endothelium                                                          |
| D’Annati G et al.       | Italy        | 2002 | Immunohistochemistry (antibodies against 5 phosphodiesterase [PDE5]) performed on vaginal tissue obtained at autopsy and on scraped cells | 14 | 18-40 (mean 30.0±3.6) 24-38 years | • POE5 immunoreactivity was mostly localized in the smooth muscle of vessels, forming a pseudocavernous tissue in the vaginal wall and endothelium                                                          |
## Table 2. Continued

| Author          | Country | Year | Type of study                                                                 | N = | Age range       | Results                                                                 |
|-----------------|---------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shafik A et al.30 | Egypt   | 2003 | Healthy volunteers, Electrovaginogram and manometry                           | 24  | 26-52 (mean 28.6±8.2) | Electrical waves detected in the proximal vagina (pacemaker)             |
| Foldes P et al.31| France  | 2007 | Healthy volunteers, Vaginal ultrasound with doppler, Placement of a hyperecogenic stick in the supposed area of the clitoris | Not referred | 38-48 | Identification of a hyperecogenic area between the clitoris and the vagina and two lateral hyperecogenic areas (cavernous veins) Descending movement of these structures with the contraction of the levator ani. |
| Gravina G et al.32 | Italy  | 2009 | Healthy women with and without vaginal orgasm, Urodynamic study, Ultrasound for evaluation of the urethrovaginal space thickness | 20 (9 with vaginal orgasms, 11 without vaginal orgasm) | 29-36 | The urethrovaginal space and distal, middle, and proximal urethrovaginal segments were thinner in women without vaginal orgasm Correlation between thickness and vaginal orgasm (higher for the distal segment) Excellent correlation between observers |
| Thabet S(16)   | Egypt   | 2009 | Evaluation of women with circumcision and anterior vaginal surgery in the function of the G-spot, Preoperative "sexual examination" to map the G-spot, Pre and post-operative sexual function assessment (Kasr El Aini Sexual Assessment Sheet), Histological examination of surgical specimens | 175 (125 circumcised and 50 noncircumcised) | 25-35 | Functional evidence of the presence of the G-spot in 82.3% Anatomical evidence in 65.9% Histological evidence (epithelial, glandular and erectile tissue) in 47.4% Ejaculation in 12.6% Sex scores higher in women with histological evidence of the G-spot Significant drop in sex scores after anterior vaginal wall surgery Circumcision rarely affects the G-spot function |
| Song Y et al.33 | Korea   | 2009 | Microdissection and protein gene product (PGP) 9.5 immunohistochemistry of the vagina of fresh cadavers | 7   | 50-81 (mean 66.03±12.10) | Terminal nerve branches in the vaginal wall were most dense at the second 1/5 partition from the inferior anterior wall Lower density at the fourth partition and scarcity in the fifth 1/5 partitions from the bottom The mucosa and the vaginal muscle were thicker in the distal third of the vagina Small and large nerve fibers more common in the distal third of the vagina The second 1/5 partition of the distal anterior wall had significantly richer innervation The authors assume the 1/5 partition of the distal anterior wall as the G-spot |
| Foldes P et al.34| France  | 2009 | Dynamic sonographic of the clitoris (flat and vaginal probe), Healthy, heterosexual, sexually active women reporting vaginal orgasm and having a G-spot, No contraception, Observation between day 4—12 of the cycle | 5    | 34               | During perineal contraction and finger penetration, the coronal planes demonstrated a close relationship between the root of the clitoris and the anterior vaginal wall (descending movement of 2.5—5 mm) The G-spot may be the root of the clitoris |

(continued)
| Author                  | Country          | Year | Type of study                                                                 | N = | Age range | Results                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------|------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Battaglia C et al.     | Italy and Spain  | 2010 | 2D and 3D translabial ultrasound evaluation of the clitoris and urethrovaginal space, without sexual stimulation | 39 women: 19 with vaginal orgasm | 24-30 | The 3D reconstruction showed the presence of a gland-like structure ("female prostate") in the urethrovaginal space, with small vessels feeding it. Length and volume of structures contained in the urethrovaginal space were significantly higher in women who experienced vaginal orgasms. Volume of these structures correlated with time since intercourse, levels of testosterone and androstenedione. The authors do not assume this area as the G-spot, but rather as a system of glands and ducts. |
| Battaglia C et al.     | Italy and Spain  | 2010 | 2D and 3D translabial ultrasound evaluation of the clitoris and urethrovaginal space, without sexual stimulation | 48 women: 23 with PCOS 25 eumenorrheic | 18-35 | The 3D reconstruction showed the presence of a gland-like structure ("female prostate") in the urethrovaginal space, with small vessels feeding it. This structure was larger in women with PCOS. Size of the "female prostate" correlated with time since intercourse, length of the urethrovaginal space and levels of testosterone. Middle portion of the urethra significantly thicker in women with PCOS. No differences in terms of vascularization or clitoral body volume. No differences in terms of vaginal orgasm (56 vs. 52%). The authors do not assume this area as the G-spot, but rather as a system of glands and ducts. |
| Buisson O et al.       | France           | 2010 | Ultrasound of the anterior vaginal wall during coitus                           | 1 couple | Not referred | The penis inflated the vagina and stretched the root of the clitoris, thus becoming in a very close relationship with the anterior vaginal wall (clito-urethrovaginal complex), moving and pressuring it against the pubic symphysis. This could explain the pleasurable sensitivity of this anterior vaginal area. The authors assume the clito-urethrovaginal complex is the G-spot. |
| Ostrzenski A.          | USA              | 2012 | Stratum-by-stratum vaginal wall dissection on a fresh cadaver                   | 1   | 83        | The G-spot has a distinguishable anatomic structure that is located on the dorsal perineal membrane, 16.5 mm from the upper part of the urethral meatus. The lower pole and the upper pole were located 3 and 15 mm next to the lateral border of the urethra. Appearance of a well-delineated sac with walls that resembled fibroconnective tissues and resembled erectile tissues. |
Table 2. Continued

| Author | Country       | Year | Type of study                                    | N = | Age range | Results                                                                                                                                 |
|--------|---------------|------|-------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thabet S.15 | Egypt | 2013 | Prospective, randomized Clinical examination, operative findings and histopathological examination of surgical specimens (n=350) Kasr El Aini Sexual Questionnaire Sheet | 1500 women | 20-35 | Upon opening the sac blue grape-like anatomic compositions of the G-spot emerged with dimensions of 8.1 × 3.6–15 × 0.4 mm (stretchable to 33 mm). The G-spot structure had three distinct areas From the distal tail, a rope-like structure (vessel) emerged, which was seen for approximately 1.6 mm and then disappeared into the surrounding tissue. Limited mobility together with the dorsal perineal membrane on which the G-spot was situated |
| Buisson O et al.12 | France, Italy | 2013 | Ultrasound evaluation of the "clitorourethrovaginal complex" using a vaginal (sagittal plane) and a linear probe Functional sonography of the stimulated clitoris (manual self-stimulation of the external clitoris or during vaginal penetration with a wet tampon) Heterosexual women, sexually active, in a stable relationship | 3 | 27-33 | The sagittal scans obtained during external stimulation and vaginal penetration demonstrated that the root of the clitoris is not involved with external clitoral stimulation (vaginal wet tampon immobile when external clitoral stimulation was performed) During vaginal stimulation, the whole CLV complex and the clitoral roots in particular are involved The color signal indicating flow speed in the veins mirrored the anatomical changes. |
| Li T et al.37 | China | 2014 | Biopsies of the distal- and proximal-third of the anterior vagina Women with stress urinary incontinence or pelvic organ prolapse H&E, neural marker protein gene product 9.5 and smooth muscle actin | 32 | 41-77 | Increased density of small nerves and microvessels in the distal-third of the anterior vaginal wall Small nerve fibers detected in the lamina propria and muscle layers of distal- and proximal-third areas. Nerve bundles less abundant in the muscle layer and rarely appeared in the lamina propria. No small vessels in the lamina propria and few in the muscle layer. |
| Ostrzenski A et al.38 | USA, Poland | 2014 | Fresh human cadavers Anterior vaginal wall dissections and G-spot All specimens stained with haematoyxin and eosin Two random cases selected at random for immunohistochemical staining | 8 | 37-68 | The G-spot was identified in all women and was in a diagonal plane The G-spot complex was located within the distal anterior vaginal wall (average 4.5 cm from the urethral meatus) and has 3 fused parts In seven (87.5%) it was on the left side and in one (12.5%) on the right side Angle with the urethra ranging between 18-35° The G-spot was intimately fused with vessels. |

(continued)
### Table 2. Continued

| Author          | Country          | Year | Type of study | N  | Age range | Results |
|-----------------|------------------|------|---------------|----|-----------|---------|
| Ostrzenski A(34)| USA              | 2014 | Prospective case series on fresh female cadavers | 11 | 27-83     |
|                 |                  |      | Anterior vaginal wall stratum-by-stratum macro-dissections |    |           |
|                 |                  |      | The G-spot tissues were stained with hematoxilin and eosin for histology |    |           |
| Maratos YK et al. | France, Germany | 2016 | MRI study | 21 | 17-72     |
|                 |                  |      | Observational, retrospective, single center |    |           |
|                 |                  |      | Consecutive women |    |           |
|                 |                  |      | MRI performed for clinical reasons |    |           |
|                 |                  |      | With or without vaginal gel opacification |    |           |
|                 |                  |      | Assumed Ostrzenski’s previous papers as reference of the anatomical description of the G-spot |    |           |
| Australia, Canada |                  | 2017 | Dissection of the anterior vaginal wall in cadavers | 13 (8 fixed and 5 fresh) | 32-97 |
|                 |                  |      |                |    |           |

- Sac thickness < 2 mm
- A vein-like vascular structure with a few smaller feeding arteries was identified
- A band-like structure protruded from the tail of the G-spot.
- A vein-like vascular structure with a few smaller feeding arteries was identified
- The size of the G-spot was variable (mean 7 mm) and could expand on average of 5 times
- Histologically, the G-spot was determined as a neurovascular complex structure within a fibroadipose tissue bed.
- The neural component contained abundant peripheral nerve bundles and a nerve ganglion.
- The vascular component comprised large vein-like vessels and smaller feeding arteries and resembled arteriovenous malformations.
- The vascular component did not resemble erectile tissue
- Circular and longitudinal muscles covered the G-complex.
- The cylindrical G-spot complex was identified in all subjects on the distal vagina
- More often on the left side of the margin of the urethra
- Localized laterally to the urethra (distance between tail and urethra 3.1 to 5.7 mm)
- 5.5 cm from the urethral meatus
- Mean size 8.4 ± 5 mm, expanding on average 5 times when released from the sac
- Diagonal orientation plane with an angle of 18°-35°
- Sac wall with a thickness of 1.6 ± 0.4 mm and merges with the anterior vaginal wall
- At the lower pole of the G-spot, a tiny band-like structure was identified, grossly resembling a vascular structure
- Blood vessels with ability to expand
- The G-spot complex expansion elevated the anterior vaginal walls in all subjects (vascular distention)
- The autonomic parasympathetic nervous system was the dominant division at the time of female subject sudden death.
- No secretory glands, ducts, cavernous, spongiosum or erectile tissues were identified.
- “G-spot complex” present in the anterior vaginal wall in 62% (13/21)
- 10/10 cases in which gel opacification used
- 3/11 cases in which gel was not used
- No macroscopic structure other than the urethra and vaginal wall

(continued)
DISCUSSION

Main Findings
We were unable to identify agreement regarding the existence of the G-spot, on its location, size or nature. Therefore, we must conclude that its existence remains to be scientifically proven.

Interpretation
The discussion on the existence of the G-spot has been polarized in 2 extremes and rich in letters and rebuttals to each paper published, as well as reviews aimed at supporting one view or the other. Ostrzenski (for) and Puppo (against) have spearheaded these discussions.45,46 Reviewing the literature it easily becomes apparent that few groups have published original investigation regarding this topic.

The surveys on self-awareness on this topic clearly show that the majority of women believe in its existence and that they consider themselves to have this special erotogenic area. However, this belief may be biased by the current assumption that it does exist. Also, can one assume that the source of pleasure and/or orgasm is independent of clitoral stimulation? Also, the psycho-relational aspects of orgasm at least as important as any genital stimulus cannot be ignored.8 Some studies concluded that women with higher education levels and better sexual function were more likely to report having a G-spot, which can be the consequence of a higher exposition to the concept.

In the 1980’s several clinical studies were conducted, searching for the G-spot. In some studies, it was systematically identified while in others it could not be found. Combining all studies, it was identified in more than half of the women. When identified, the report of a swelling in the anterior vaginal wall was often reported. Replication of these studies, currently, would raise ethical concerns (investigator sexually stimulating the subjects). In case of G-spot existence, it would be expected that some (but not all) women would be inhibited and less likely to have an excitatory sexual response in an experimental environment, in accordance with the Bancroft’s Dual Control Model of Sexual Response.17 Some of the criticisms to this kind of studies include

Table 2. Continued

| Author          | Country    | Year | Type of study                                                                 | N = | Age range | Results                                                                 |
|-----------------|------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mazloomdoost D et al.42 | USA        | 2017 | En bloc removal of 4 female fresh-frozen cadaveric pelvises                    | 4   | 67-97     | - Lining in the location of the putative G-spot                        |
|                 |            |      | 18 to 25 serial sections obtained from each                                   |     |           | - No erectile or "spongy" tissue in the anterior vaginal wall          |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - In 1 specimen, a small amount of vascular tissue was noted in the    |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | subepithelial space                                                    |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - Systematic high density of veins in the lateral to the urethral and   |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | vaginal walls (number and thickness variable)                          |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - Epithelial, lamina propria, and muscular layer surrounded the        |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | urethral lumen in all specimens                                        |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - Innervation and vasculature concentrated in the lamina propria       |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - No differences in nerve distribution along the anterior vaginal wall  |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - Differences in the innervation and vascularization along the urethra  |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | - No evidence of any structure corresponding to a possible G-spot      |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | described                                                             |
| Aydin S et al.42 | Turkey     | 2020 | Specimens were taken from women with anterior vaginal wall prolapse undergoing | 17  | 33-70     | - Vaginal nerve fibers in the lamina propria and muscularis have a     |
|                 |            |      | colporrhaphy anterior repair                                                   |     |           | fairly even distribution in the anterior vaginal wall                  |
|                 |            |      | 15 mm proximal to the external urethral orifice                               |     |           | - Vaginal small vessel vascularization and microvascularization also    |
|                 |            |      |                                                                               |     |           | evenly distributed                                                     |
|                 |            |      | Immunohistochemically stained, actin, smooth muscle Ab-1 and S300 Protein Ab-1|     |           |                                                                        |
|                 |            |      | Microvessels and nerves in the lamina propria and muscularis were counted in   |     |           |                                                                        |
|                 |            |      | five consecutive high-power fields of a light microscope                      |     |           |                                                                        |
|                 |            |      | Comparisons with proximal, distal, right and left paravaginal microvessel and|
|                 |            |      | nerve fiber density                                                           |     |           |                                                                        |

EMG = electromyography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; PET-MRI = positron emission tomography—magnetic resonance imaging; PCOS = polycystic ovarian syndrome.
that both subjects and investigators are aware of the objectives of the experiment, and the fact that genital stimulation can lead to arousal and orgasm.\textsuperscript{11,26} We could not find any study on the male perception of the question.

The imaging studies dominated since the beginning of the XXI century. The available data derives from a few investigation groups and premise that the G-spot exists.\textsuperscript{39} Ultrasound, due to its dynamic and real time evaluation seems to be a good tool to explore this question. The data derived from these studies is conflicted, specially on the nature of a possible G-spot: some found glandular structures (without assuming it to be the G-spot), other reported it as vascular, others just found a thickening in the area or no structures in the area apart from the ones already consecrated in the anatomy books. The studies that described a thickening, associated it with capacity of vaginal orgasm and with androgen levels.\textsuperscript{32,35,36} However, in the literature there is a lack of support to an association between androgen levels and sexual function.\textsuperscript{48,49} The use of ultrasound brought to light the lack of support to an association between androgen levels and sexual function.\textsuperscript{48,49} The use of ultrasound brought to light the finding that during vaginal penetration there is a descended movement of the anterior vaginal wall, increasing the contact between this region and the penis/fingers/object. From this, derived a new concept: the clitourethrovaginal complex. This concept could harmonize the role of the anterior vaginal wall and clitoris with orgasms.\textsuperscript{40} Earlier, in 2003, Levin already supported that the concept of G-spot should shift to that of “anterior wall erogenous complex,” encompassing the urethra-clitoral-G area-Halban’s fascia.\textsuperscript{50} This complex can be seen more as a functional than anatomical entity; the stimulation of this area can be the key for vaginally activated orgasms (of which the clitoris would still be among its triggers), by stimulation through the anterior vaginal wall. Hoag et al. described a merging between the anterior aspect of the distal vaginal wall and the clitoris. In that point, individualization of the clitoris, urethra and vagina was hard to achieve.\textsuperscript{40} Ostrzenski criticized the dissection technique used by this group and assumed that it could explain the different findings from his own work.\textsuperscript{51} For some authors, the concept of clitourethrovaginal complex became a synonymous of G-spot. Puppo et al. disagreed from this concept, as they believe the penis cannot come in contact with the clitoris or the venous plexus of Kobelt during vaginal intercourse.\textsuperscript{52}

Ostrzenski announced to the scientific community the finding of the G-spot during the dissection of a cadaver of an 83-year woman in 2012.\textsuperscript{9} He described a structure with 3 sections, with a capsule of fibroconnective tissue, containing what seemed to be erectile tissue. Later, in larger series he systematically described it again, and included histological evaluation (considered it a neurovascular structure). Interestingly, he found that usually this structure was not in the midline.\textsuperscript{43,38} The descriptions of Ostrzenski have been largely criticized in the literature, by both scholars pro and against the existence of such an anatomical/functional structure. Hoag et al., in a well conducted and documented study, could not replicate these findings. Also, previous exhaustive anatomical studies about the clitoris never described the existence of the G-spot.\textsuperscript{40}

The studies on innervation of the vaginal wall again were contradictory: while some found increased innervation in a specific area of the anterior vaginal wall and others a pacemaker effect, responsive to pressure, others found an even distribution in the anterior vaginal wall.\textsuperscript{30,33,42} The findings of D’Amati, while encouraging for a role of PDE5 in female sexual arousal, did not compare the immunoreactivity of the anterior vaginal area with other areas.\textsuperscript{29}

In a rat model, it was shown that vaginal innervation density is higher in the distal half of the vagina and that surgical menopause led to a decrease in both proximal and distal vaginal innervation, that could be reversed with estrogen treatment.\textsuperscript{53} Nevertheless, possible interspecies differences do not allow generalizing the conclusions to humans.

Based on the premise that heritability can be shown in genuine anatomical traits, Burri et al. (2010) questioned 902 pairs of twins about their own perception of the existence of a G-spot to conclude that it is secondary pseudo-phenomenon to life experiences, without a genetic background.\textsuperscript{20}

Two years later, Ostrzensky wrote that “the G-spot gene has been identified and been incorporated into the Affymetrix GeneChip microarrays of probes to match specified genes.”\textsuperscript{46} However, upon consulting the supporting reference, it is clear that there was a misinterpretation: the “G-spots” referred in that paper are probes that contain 4 or more guanines.\textsuperscript{54} In conclusion, up to now, there is no evidence from a hereditary or genetic point of view supporting the existence of the G-spot.

Assuming the existence of a suburethral erogenous structure, it is fair to assume that anterior vaginal wall and urinary incontinence surgeries (specially midurethral slings) may interfere with it. The available evidence does not support that these procedures deteriorate sexual function.\textsuperscript{35} Kuhn et al. evaluated 18 women with pain after placement of a sling for urinary incontinence and showed that its removal did not improve orgasmic function.\textsuperscript{56} One study that placed the G-spot in the vestibule, related vaginal surgery with worsening of sexual function.\textsuperscript{16} If that was the case, vestibulectomies (used to treat some women with localized provoked vulvodynia) would have an ominous impact, which is not the case.\textsuperscript{57}

Based on the finding of unequal nerve distribution in the vagina, Song et al. recommend that when possible approach of the posterior wall may be preferable.\textsuperscript{35} There are reports of patients refusing prolapse surgery due to fear that the G-spot could be affected.\textsuperscript{55}

Part of the success of the concept of G-spot is due to the flourishing market around it, ranging from specially designed dildos to stimulate it, to more or less complex surgeries aiming at increasing its size or function.\textsuperscript{20,40}

Treatment for female sexual arousal disorder, by applying the vasodilator alprostadil (prostaglandin E1) to the clitoris and the
The existence of the G-spot remains unproved. A recent study on 52 women showed improvement of sexual function following sessions of platelet-rich plasma administration at the G-spot (no control group).

Besides augmentation (with fat or hyaluronic acid), which some classify as a form of genital mutilation, more complex procedures, such as the “g-spotplasty” have been proposed, without clear evidence of efficacy.

The available data does not support the efficacy of these procedures and those are not recommended, namely by the ACOG, SOGC, and ISSVD. The “augmentation of the G-spot” is a mere marketing term, as the available studies are anecdotal. In a survey among medical doctors and students, 71.0% indicated that there is never or rarely an indication for those procedures (56.0% if only plastic surgeons were considered).

The separation between a clitoral and a vaginal (G-spot) orgasm seems to be reminiscence of the Freudian concepts — the pressure is the same: those who can only achieve it through “direct” clitoral stimulation are considered to be in a more immature sexual stage. There is no evidence that vaginal and clitoral orgasms are different; pure vaginal stimulation during coitus probably does not happen. The concept of vaginal vs. clitoral orgasm strengthens the male role (penetration) in detriment of the independence gained by localizing the center of female sexual pleasure at the clitoris.

In a 2019 review, Ostrzenski notes that “a physiological response cannot exist without an anatomical basis,” however the fact that the perceived stimulation of the anterior vaginal wall elicits orgasm does not imply the existence of a particular anatomical structure.

This review shows the need for further studies on this topic, including reassessing women’s opinions and their partner’s, stimulation studies, and larger anatomical studies, including women of different ages and ethnic groups. While out of the scope of this review, animal studies are scarce, which is surprising given how much can be learned from phylogenetics. The answer to this question may lie, for instance, in the reflex ovulator species, to whom female orgasm is part of survival.

While the G-spot is a field of debate, other erotogenic spots have already been added to the list, despite the lack of evidence: A or T (anterior fornix of the vagina), U (above and lateral to the urethral opening) and more recently C (clitourethrovaginal complex).

Strengths and Limitations

This was a comprehensive review of the literature, including several perspectives of the question. However, the level of evidence available is low to very low, weakening the possible conclusions of the review. Since only G-spot/Gräfenberg spot was searched, it is possible that relevant anatomical studies, addressing the anterior vaginal wall were missed.

CONCLUSIONS

Female sexuality, including orgasm, is much more complex than a mere formula including hormones, psychological aspects, culture, religion, anatomy, and previous experience.

Most studies published so far about the G-spot favor its existence, but there is substantial disagreement even between these. This disagreement starts with its location: most authors describe it as a suburethral structure, but some place its location in the vulva.

Unanswered questions remain: does it exist? If so, where is it located, what size is it, what is its histological nature, what is its role in female sexuality, is it associated with female ejaculation?

Pressure put on women on the need to have a G-spot — those who cannot find it may feel “inadequate or abnormal.” On the other hand, if it indeed exists, neglecting it may be equivalent to denying women the way to pleasurable experiences.

The clitoris is still an unexplored continent, but the G-spot may just be another Atlantis.
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