A Sequence-Anchored Linkage Map of the Plant–Parasitic Nematode *Meloidogyne hapla* Reveals Exceptionally High Genome-Wide Recombination
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ABSTRACT Root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) cause major yield losses to many of the world’s crops, but efforts to understand how these pests recognize and interact with their hosts have been hampered by a lack of genetic resources. Starting with progeny of a cross between inbred strains (VW8 and VW9) of *Meloidogyne hapla* that differed in host range and behavioral traits, we exploited the novel, facultative meiotic parthenogenic reproductive mode of this species to produce a genetic linkage map. Molecular markers were derived from SNPs identified between the sequenced and annotated VW9 genome and de novo sequence of VW8. Genotypes were assessed in 183 F2 lines. The colinearity of the genetic and physical maps supported the veracity of both. Analysis of local crossover intervals revealed that the average recombination rate is exceptionally high compared with that in other metazoans. In addition, F2 lines are largely homozygous for markers flanking crossover points, and thus resemble recombinant inbred lines. We suggest that the unusually high recombination rate may be an adaptation to generate within-population genetic diversity in this organism. This work presents the most comprehensive linkage map of a parasitic nematode to date and, together with genomic and transcript sequence resources, empowers *M. hapla* as a tractable model. Alongside the molecular map, these progeny lines can be used for analyses of genome organization and the inheritance of phenotypic traits that have key functions in modulating parasitism, behavior, and survival and for the eventual identification of the responsible genes.
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Despite continued intrusion of agriculture into natural ecosystems, world food production is increasingly falling short of demand. Concomitantly, traditional farmlands are becoming less arable due to salinity, nitrogen pollution, erosion, and many other factors. In a recent analysis, Foley *et al.* (2011) proposed four broad approaches to mitigate the impending global food crisis, including, not surprisingly, increasing crop yield. One direct route to this goal is to control yield-reducing pests. Because of their enormous impact, plant parasitic nematodes are a significant target, although often neglected. Collectively, nematodes are responsible for more than $100 billion annual crop loss worldwide, and root-knot nematodes (RKN; genus *Meloidogyne*) are generally considered the most damaging (Chitwood 2003; Roberts 1995; Sasser and Freckman 1987; Sasser 1980; Trudgill and Blok 2001).

RKN are obligate endoparasites with a specialized life cycle that is characterized by developmental reprogramming of host tissue to form multinucleate giant cells (GC) from which the parasite feeds (Williamson and Gleason 2003). Attempts to identify nematode genes...
responsible for infection and feeding site development and maintenance have focused largely on analysis of secreted molecules and the genes that encode them (Bellafio et al. 2008; Davis et al. 2008). RKN produces an extensive secreted proteome that includes enzymes able to degrade plant cell walls, such as \( \beta-1,4 \)-endoglucanases (cellulase), pectate lyase, and exo-polygalacturonase. These enzymes likely facilitate nematode migration within the roots and additionally may play a role in feeding site formation and maintenance (Davis et al. 2008; Popejus et al. 2000). Other secreted proteins, including chorsimitate, may modulate metabolites, leading to immunity suppression and modified root cell morphology (Lambert et al. 1999). RKN mimics of the recently discovered class of plant peptide hormone called RAR (root architecture regulators) also appear to play a role in directly regulating feeding site formation (D. M. Bird, unpublished data). However, without functional analyses, the role of these genes in the host-parasite interaction remains untested. To redress this shortcoming, we have developed forward genetics for Meloidogyne hapla permitting the identification, mapping, and isolation of genes based on phenotype alone.

To date, genetic resources for parasitic nematodes have been limited (Sommers and Streit 2011). Because they have a free-living stage that can be maintained in vitro, certain Strongylid species have been proposed as genetic models, but various limitations have hindered the development of these systems (Viney and Lok 2007). More progress has been made with plant-parasitic nematodes, particularly cyst nematodes species, where screening for progeny controlled crosses with molecular markers has allowed production of genetic maps (Atibalentja et al. 2005; Dong and Opperman 1997; Rouppe van der Voort et al. 1999). However, these maps are low resolution, and the genetic systems they underpin are limited in utility due to biological barriers, such as the obligate outcrossing reproductive mode and inbreeding depression of the nematode species used. In contrast, most populations of M. hapla are diploid and reproduce by facultative meiotic parthenogenesis; sexual crosses can occur, but parthenogenetic progeny are also produced. The ability to experimentally toggle between these modes empowers M. hapla as a genetic model. Beyond that, M. hapla is a major parasite of tomato, potato, carrot, lettuce, alfalfa, onion, and a multitude of other crops (Mikowski et al. 2002), and it causes significant damage in temperate regions worldwide. Wild isolates of M. hapla display extensive phenotypic differences germane to the host-parasite interaction, providing the sources of variability crucial to genetic analysis. Examples include differences in host range and pathogenicity (Liu and Williamson 2006) and the ability to overcome resistance in diverse species, including alfalfa (Medicago sativa) (Griffin and McKenney 1989), Solanum spp. (Janssen et al. 1997; Van der Beek et al. 1998), and common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) (Chen and Roberts 2003). Comparison of M. hapla strains using AFLP (Vos et al. 1995) showed that approximately 4% of fragments were polymorphic between strains (Liu and Williamson 2006); thus, observed phenotypic diversity reflects an underlying molecular variability and provides the basis for our comprehensive genetic assessment. Genetic studies have shown segregation patterns in M. hapla consistent with monogenic inheritance for a trait conferring ability to reproduce on common bean carrying a nematode-resistant gene (Chen and Roberts 2003) and for a trait modulating clumping behavior (Clm) (Wang et al. 2010).

For M. hapla, as for many RKN species, sex is environmentally influenced (Triantaphyllou 1973). At hatch, RKN are determined to become oocyte-producing adults with a female soma. Under favorable conditions for the nematode, males are rare or absent and reproduction is asexual. Male development is initiated after infection and is promoted by unfavorable conditions, such as crowding and poor nutrition. Motile adult males exit the root and mate with sedentary females. Sperm are stored in the spermatheca and are competent to fertilize oocytes, stimulating meiotic maturation and resulting in production of hybrid, diploid progeny (Figure 1A). In the gonads of unfertilized females, meiosis occurs, but meiotic progression is prolonged (Figure 1B). Restoration of diploidy occurs by rejoicing of sister chromosomes of a single meiosis to produce a cell that is functionally equivalent to a zygote (Liu et al. 2007; Triantaphyllou 1966).

The development of two highly inbred M. hapla strains, viz., VW8 and VW9, which differ in pathogenicity phenotypes and DNA markers, has been described elsewhere (Liu and Williamson 2006); a high-quality draft sequence of the VW9 genome is publicly available (www.hapla.org) (Opperman et al. 2008). As a starting point for genetic analysis, we performed a cross between VW8 and VW9 (Liu et al., 2007). A set of 183 F2 lines was established, each descended from the brood of a single F2 female (Figure 1C). These lines were maintained as separate cultures on tomato plants. Analysis of AFLP marker segregation in these lines resulted in the production of a genetic map distributed over 15 linkage groups (LG) (Opperman et al. 2008). Here we report two strategies to anchor the genetic map to the sequence scaffolds, viz., sequencing mapped AFLP fragments and mapping single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) that differ between parental strains. This integrated map reveals that M. hapla has an unusually high recombination rate. Additionally, the integrated genetic genomic map and F2 lines provide powerful tools for identifying and cloning genes conferring functional differences in parasitism and other traits of interest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nematode cultures

The inbred strains of M. hapla and 183 F2 lines from the cross VW8xVW9 (Liu et al. 2007) were maintained on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) cultivar VFNT in a greenhouse at the University of California, Davis, CA. Nematode eggs were isolated from roots, cleaned and DNA extracted as previously described (Liu and Williamson 2006).

Isolation and sequencing of AFLP fragments

AFLP fragments were excised from dried polyacrylamide gels produced in previous F2 analysis (Opperman et al. 2008) using a protocol described in Liu and Williamson (2006). For weak or small fragments, the DNA was further concentrated using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). In the final step, the DNA was eluted in 20 μL of TE, pH 8.0, or water and stored at −20°C. The isolated DNA fragments were reamplified by PCR as previously described (Liu and Williamson 2006). PCR products were separated on 1.2% agarose gel and purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction kit, and then cloned into the pGEM-T easy vector (Promega Corp, Madison, WI). Clones with the expected insert size were sequenced at the UC Davis, College of Biological Sciences Sequencing Facility. AFLP marker sequences were compared with the VW9 genome sequence using BlastN with low complexity filtering turned off and a significance threshold of 1.0e−10. Only sequenced AFLP fragments that were unique to the genome sequence and matched genomic sequence along their entire length were assigned to contigs.

SNP marker development and genotyping

The Illumina GoldenGate Assay (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA), BeadXpress platform, was used for SNP genotyping of DNA (Fan et al., 2003). To identify SNP polymorphisms, a 2.3x coverage (125 Mb)
Sequence was produced using the 454 GS FLX platform from DNA extracted from a pool of 10 randomly selected F2 lines from the VW8 × VW9 cross. Pooled DNA was used rather than parental DNA to more directly reflect the alleles present in the F2 lines. SNP candidates that had at least two iterations of the deduced VW8 sequence and that were flanked by 60 nt of nonpolymorphic sequence were selected. Candidate SNPs were selected to include those on large sequence contigs or contigs containing genes of interest. In several cases, more than one SNP was chosen per contig. Candidate SNPs were assigned a "designability" rank score by Illumina, and only those with a score of >0.6 were selected to be included in the oligo pool assay. DNA from the same set of F2 lines used in genetic map construction (Liu et al. 2007; Opperman et al. 2008) was used for SNP genotyping. Samples were aliquoted into two 96-well plates with one F2 line in duplicate in each plate to verify genotype reproducibility. Assays were carried out at the UC Davis Genome Center, DNA Technologies Core Facility. Scoring of SNP genotypes was performed using the Illumina BeadStudio 3.2 genotyping software. Genotype calling for each SNP was rechecked manually and rescored if necessary to optimize clustering of progeny categories. Candidate SNPs that were monomorphic, failed to amplify, or did not form well-resolved genotypic groupings were excluded. SNP markers are annotated with the letter “C” followed by the contig number and the position of the polymorphism on the contig (www.hapla.org).

**Segregation analysis and linkage map generation**

Linkage maps were produced using JoinMap v4.0 (Stam 1993; Van Ooijen 2006). As none of the available data type options were a match for our segregating population type, we used the data type DH1 (double haploid) as a best approximation. Individuals were genotyped as “A” when they were homozygous for the maternal parent (VW8) allele and “B” when homozygous for the parental male (VW9) allele. Because DH1 does not accommodate heterozygotes, we assigned “U” (missing data) for markers that were scored as heterozygotes. The markers were assigned to LGs based on the logarithm of odds (LOD) ratio for each marker pair. A minimum threshold LOD value of 3.0 was initially used to generate LGs and the final groupings were at LOD ≥ 7.0. Default mapping parameters were used to order markers in LGs, and the Kosambi mapping function was used to convert the recombination frequencies into map distances in centimorgans (cM). MapChart v2.2 software (Voorrips 2002) was used for the graphical visualization of the linkage groups. Fit to 1:1 segregation was assessed using a chi-square goodness-of-fit ($\chi^2$) test, and the $P$ value was recorded.
RESULTS

Identification of sequence-anchored markers
A total of 80 unique DNA fragments spanning AFLP markers were isolated and sequenced. Of these, 12 were removed because they did not align to genomic sequences along their entire length or consisted of low complexity sequences. The remaining 68 sequenced AFLP markers were assigned to contigs, but because 15 of these were codominant (allelic) markers, this corresponded to 53 loci (supporting information, Table S1).

As a second strategy to obtain anchored markers, we identified SNPs between the parental strains and genotyped SNP alleles in the F2 lines by comparison of the annotated VW9 genome sequence to a 2.3× sequence of 10 pooled F2 lines. This strategy identified more than 21,000 putative SNPs between the two parental strains. A total of 192 candidate SNPs were processed using the Illumina GoldenGate platform and 132 markers were successfully genotyped (Table S2). Consistent with our previous findings using a limited number of codominant AFLP markers (Liu et al. 2007), genotyping of F2 lines revealed that SNP markers displayed predominantly a two-grouping pattern, indicating that heterozygotes were vastly underrepresented and most loci were homozygous for one or the other parental type. The fraction of heterozygotes ranged from 0 to 10.9% (mean = 3.9%), depending on the SNP marker (Table S2).

Generating a genetic linkage map
A genetic linkage map was generated using (a) 132 SNP markers that were successfully genotyped in the 183 F2 lines, (b) AFLPs anchored to contigs, and (c) additional AFLP markers, which were individually selected to increase the marker density between SNPs and to allow comparison between the previous AFLP-based map and the current map (Figure 2). The phenotypic trait for clumping (Cln) that segregated in the F2 lines was also included (Wang et al. 2010). The resultant map displays 16 LGs with 8 or more markers, corresponding to the haploid complement of 16 chromosomes predicted by karyotyping (Liu and Williamson 2006). Three additional groupings, each with only 2–3 markers were identified and presumably represent orphaned fragments of the larger linkage groups. Linkage groups were numbered to correspond to the previously published AFLP-based map (Opperman et al. 2008). Linkage groupings were maintained between the two map versions with only a few exceptions. Although the marker density varies within and between linkage groups, there are only two gaps of >20 cM, both on LG11. Differences in marker density may be partially explained by the differences in chromosome size. Measurements of pachytene chromosomes in a M. hapla female indicated that chromosomes vary over 3-fold in length (Goldstein and Triantaphyllou 1978).

Anchoring the physical and genetic linkage maps
The M. hapla genome assembly is represented by 1523 scaffolds (www.hapla.org); scaffolds are sets of ordered contigs connected by contiguous sequence, although not all of that sequence may be known (Opperman et al. 2008). By placing 182 markers on the map (Table 1), we anchored 138 sequenced contigs (representing 117 scaffolds). Among the 132 mapped SNP markers, only 3 did not map to the same genetic position as other markers on the same scaffold, reflective of the integrity of the genetic and physical maps (Table S2). Although genome-wide correlative data are limited due to the relatively few integrated markers and gaps in the genome assembly, comparisons so far indicate that the genetic and physical maps are colinear. For example, all of the sequenced markers on LG15 are on contigs present in the same order as on the 655,672 bp Scaffold 0, the longest sequence scaffold in the genome, and all anchored markers identified as being on Scaffold 0 map to LG15 (Figure 3). Similarly, the 5 anchored contigs on Scaffold 3 map to LG8 in the same order (Figure 3).

Estimates of recombination rate
The genotype analysis included 22 pairs of SNPs at physically separated positions on long contigs that map to 12 different linkage groups. To estimate the recombination rate between each pair of SNPs, we calculated the percentage of recombinant chromosome intervals from the genotypes of individuals in the F2 population (Table 2). Using the percentage recombination as an estimate of the genetic distance in cM and the known physical distance between the SNPs, we calculated local recombination rates for these intervals ranging from 34.6 to 372 cM/Mb (Table 2).

Positioning genes on linkage groups using the integrated map
As a demonstration of the utility of the integrated genetic map/genome sequence, we assessed the organization of two gene families hypothesized to have been acquired by horizontal gene transfer (HGT; Scholl et al. 2003): the gene families that encode the pectate lyases (PL) and β-endoglucanase. The M. hapla genome encodes 22 PL genes, some of which are grouped on contigs in patterns consistent with tandem duplication (Opperman et al. 2008). Phylogenetic reconstruction of PLs in M. hapla as well as other plant parasitic nematodes, bacteria, fungi, and oomycetes suggests that progenitors were acquired by nematodes from bacteria through at least two independent HGT events (Opperman et al. 2008; Danchin et al. 2010). By comparing the annotated position on a sequence scaffold of each PL gene to the anchored markers, we were able to localize 16 PL genes to linkage groups (Figure 4). Eight of the genes mapped to LG2 and five mapped to LG13, with copies belonging to the same clade found predominantly on the same linkage group (Figure 4). The genetic clustering of clades is consistent with the hypothesis that expansion of the gene family occurred by duplications preferentially on the same linkage group. Using the same strategy, we positioned 6 cellulase genes on the genetic map (Figure 4). At least 4 of these map to LG6, again suggesting localized duplication events were involved in the expansion of these gene families. We note that these two gene families, although both are likely involved in parasitism, do not colocalize in the nematode genome. As the map becomes more marker-dense, additional information on genome-wide organization of parasitism genes as well as information on their patterns of expansion in the genome will become available.

Regions with distorted segregation
Segregation of some markers deviated from a 1:1 ratio in F2 lines in the previous AFLP-based map (Opperman et al. 2008), but the scope of that analysis was limited due to the paucity of codominant markers. In the current study, χ² analysis of genotype frequencies revealed that about a third of the loci deviate significantly from the 1:1 ratio (P < 0.05) (Figure 2). These loci are clustered on several linkage groups. Interestingly, loci on LG6 are biased toward the female parent (M. hapla strain VW8), whereas those on LG2, 5, 8, and 16 are biased toward the male parent (VW9).

DISCUSSION
Despite considerable effort spent in attempting to establish tractable genetic systems for parasitic nematodes, previous success has been...
Figure 2 Genetic linkage map of Meloidogyne hapla based on SNP and AFLP markers. This map is based on segregation of markers in 183 F2 lines; LGs were generated using JoinMap 4.0. Markers anchored to genome sequence are shown in red and are listed in Table S1 and Table S2. Markers showing segregation ratios that deviate significantly from 1:1 are indicated with $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, or $P < 0.001$. Volume 2 July 2012 | Genetic Map of Root-Knot Nematode | 819
limited. The system described herein contains a nearly complete set of genetic tools for examination of parasite biology and host interaction, and it represents a major advance in the study of parasitic nematodes.

The availability of a robust genome sequence draft combined with an integrated genetic map establishes *M. hapla* as model system to study fundamental questions pertaining to parasitism. With the use of codominant SNP-based markers, we have produced a robust genetic map showing excellent congruence in marker order between the map and genome assembly. This map is a highly developed resource, and it has begun to yield information on the genome organization, such as we show here for the PL and cellulase gene families.

To explain the paucity of heterozygotes, we previously suggested, based on limited data with AFLP markers, that *M. hapla* has a novel mechanism of chromosome resolution in which recombination occurs on all four strands at similar locations (Liu *et al.* 2007). Examination of codominant SNP markers flanking crossovers on the same contig in individual F2 lines revealed that in the majority of cases these markers are homozygous (Table 2), supporting the widespread occurrence of four-strand crossovers. We also suggested previously that the crossovers occur at similar, but not identical, locations for the two pairs of sister chromosomes. Genotype data from SNP pairs in F2 lines supports this model, as heterozygous alleles are more prevalent adjacent to crossovers than in the genome on average (Table 2). However, four-strand crossovers such as we have proposed have the potential to be detrimental to chromosome cohesion and thus could lead to improper segregation in meiosis I. In addition, other explanations, also largely unprecedented, such as premeiotic recombination (e.g. before meiotic chromosome replication), could produce results similar to what we have found. Additional studies will be required to clarify the mechanisms responsible for the observed recombination patterns. On

### Table 1 Summary of linkage group features

| LG | # Sequenced AFLP Loci | # SNP Loci | # Contigs Anchored | Mb Spanned by Contigs | # Scaffolds Anchored | Mb Spanned by Scaffolds |
|----|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 1  | 6                     | 19         | 22                 | 1.83                  | 18                  | 2.93                   |
| 2  | 2                     | 9          | 10                 | 0.84                  | 7                   | 1.48                   |
| 3  | 1                     | 8          | 8                  | 0.83                  | 6                   | 0.99                   |
| 4  | 13                    | 7          | 13                 | 0.93                  | 10                  | 2.00                   |
| 5  | 4                     | 5          | 6                  | 0.63                  | 5                   | 0.86                   |
| 6  | 8                     | 13         | 14                 | 0.97                  | 15                  | 2.25                   |
| 7  | 4                     | 4          | 7                  | 0.30                  | 7                   | 0.57                   |
| 8  | 2                     | 12         | 11                 | 1.02                  | 9                   | 1.65                   |
| 9  | 1                     | 4          | 4                  | 0.38                  | 4                   | 0.58                   |
| 10 | 1                     | 3          | 2                  | 0.31                  | 2                   | 0.37                   |
| 11 | 3                     | 8          | 9                  | 0.91                  | 8                   | 1.17                   |
| 12 | 1                     | 1          | 2                  | 0.04                  | 2                   | 0.19                   |
| 13 | 0                     | 14         | 10                 | 1.05                  | 8                   | 1.55                   |
| 14 | 4                     | 3          | 5                  | 0.19                  | 4                   | 0.29                   |
| 15 | 1                     | 7          | 4                  | 0.52                  | 1                   | 0.66                   |
| 16 | 1                     | 6          | 5                  | 0.65                  | 5                   | 0.82                   |
| 17 | 0                     | 3          | 3                  | 0.51                  | 3                   | 0.83                   |
| 18 | 0                     | 3          | 2                  | 0.19                  | 2                   | 0.32                   |
| 19 | 0                     | 1          | 1                  | 0.07                  | 1                   | 0.15                   |
| Total | 52               | 130        | 138                | 12.17                 | 117                 | 19.66                  |

Figure 3 Colinearity of genetic and physical maps. The genetic map of LG15 is compared to Scaffold 0. At more than 650 kb, Scaffold 0 is the longest in the current assembly and contains contigs C0 to C10. Contigs are numbered in ascending order based on their relative physical position on the scaffold. Comparison of map of LG8 to Scaffold 3, which contains contigs C33 to C41. For both Scaffold 0 and Scaffold 3, the physical order of the contigs corresponds to their genetic order, supporting colinearity of the genetic and physical maps. Recombination rates between anchored markers are indicated on the LG according to the color key.
| LG | Marker 1     | Marker 2     | JoinMap Distance (cM) | Physical Distance (Kb) | Number of Lines of Each Genotype (Marker 1/Marker 2) | Calculated Genetic Distance (cM) | Rec. Rate (cM/Mb) |
|----|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 1  | C168.5288    | C168.146718  | 10.5                  | 141.4                  | AA/AA 78  BB/BB 5  AB/AB 14  AA/BB 6  BB/AA 3  AB/BB 5  BB/AB 5  AA/AB 5  BB/AB 0 | 15.8                      | 111.7           |
| 1  | C53.13503    | C53.123334   | 0.2                   | 109.8                  | 79  89  0  1  1  1  5  1  2  5  0 | 4.6                     | 41.9            |
| 3  | C138.53755   | C138.105743  | 7.2                   | 51.9                   | 66  88  0  6  7  3  3  1  9  0 | 11.4                     | 264.0           |
| 4  | C42.52764    | C42.157061   | 1.8                   | 104.3                  | 63  110 1  3  1  0  2  3  0  0 | 3.6                    | 34.6            |
| 6  | C147.37305   | C147.140245  | 1.0                   | 102.9                  | 124  47  0  2  0  0  6  4  0  0 | 3.8                    | 36.9            |
| 6  | C134.55910   | C134.136730  | 4.9                   | 80.8                   | 118  44  6  4  3  3  0  2  3  0 | 6.0                    | 74.2            |
| 6  | C160.52553   | C160.82675   | 7.0                   | 30.1                   | 90  58  3  11  1  3  2  6  5  4 | 11.2                   | 372.1           |
| 8  | C34.32670    | C34.55296    | 4.9                   | 22.6                   | 79  83  0  9  0  1  6  5  0  0 | 8.2                    | 362.9           |
| 8  | C41.17536    | C41.79152    | 0.6                   | 61.6                   | 78  90  1  3  0  7  2  0  2  0 | 4.6                    | 74.7            |
| 10 | C210.97763   | C210.146222  | 7.2                   | 48.4                   | 72  87  9  10  3  0  0  0  2  0 | 8.2                    | 169.4           |
| 11 | C88.4336     | C88.334290   | 33.3                  | 329.9                  | 39  74  1  12  4  3  3  1  7  0 | 33.9                   | 103.0           |
| 13 | C107.8086    | C107.54888   | 5.7                   | 46.8                   | 58  100 1  7  2  7  1  0  7  0 | 9.0                    | 192.3           |
| 13 | C353.66743   | C353.103086  | 1.2                   | 36.3                   | 67  105 0  4  0  0  4  2  0  1 | 3.8                    | 104.7           |
| 13 | C33.399      | C33.95597    | 0.4                   | 95.1                   | 68  90  1  0  1  8  6  4  5  0 | 6.8                    | 71.5            |
| 13 | C163.45265   | C163.146394  | 2.4                   | 101.1                  | 84  75  7  4  0  2  3  1  7  0 | 5.7                    | 56.4            |
| 14 | C357.12993   | C357.81930   | 2.6                   | 68.9                   | 71  99  0  0  5  6  0  0  2  0 | 4.9                    | 71.1            |
| 15 | C9.7090      | C9.34032     | 1.0                   | 26.9                   | 67  97  2  2  1  5  1  0  7  1 | 5.2                    | 193.3           |
| 15 | C7.28131     | C7.117426    | 1.39                  | 89.2                   | 60  75  7  7  11  3  7  5  8  0 | 16.1                   | 180.5           |
| 15 | C2.15780     | C2.61906     | 8.0                   | 46.1                   | 74  81  2  9  1  1  8  4  3  0 | 9.8                    | 212.6           |
| 16 | C309.87693   | C309.131622  | 1.2                   | 43.9                   | 62  111 1  0  3  0  0  1  4  1 | 3.0                    | 68.3            |
| 16 | C118.75881   | C118.165879  | 7.0                   | 89.9                   | 50  107 4  6  7  1  5  3  0  0 | 9.6                    | 106.8           |
| 18 | C515.11261   | C515.97333   | 3.7                   | 86.1                   | 50  111 2  3  2  6  1  3  1  4 1 | 6.5                    | 75.5            |

---

*a Number of individuals for which marker genotypes were not available.

*b Genetic distance estimates derived by calculating the percent recombinants in the F2 lines.

*c The recombination rate shown uses the calculated values for genetic distance.
of loci on a linkage group. We used the Kosambi mapping function option to convert the recombination frequencies into centimorgans. We also explored several different mapping parameter and population type options of JoinMap; all produced very similar gene order and none produced genetic distances that reflected the calculated local recombination distances. As more data become available from additional crosses and marker analysis, we should be able to better optimize mapping parameters to more accurately reflect genetic distances for our system.

Using the average of the calculated recombination rates for 22 SNP pairs distributed over 12 linkage groups, we estimate the genome-wide recombination rate to be 135 cM/Mb. This estimate assumes that these 22 local rates represent a more or less random sampling of the genome. In Caenorhabditis elegans, recombination rates show a pattern of high rates in chromosome arms and low, relatively uniform rates in the central region of the chromosome (Barnes et al., 1995; Rockman and Kruglyak 2009). Although the local rates that we see for M. hapla differ by as much as 10-fold, we see no obvious correlation of rate with linkage group. However, due to gaps in the physical assembly, we are not yet able to carry out an analysis of chromosome-wide distribution of recombination rates. Examination of recombination rates between anchored markers in the two sequence scaffolds for which we have the most information suggests that the chromosome-wide pattern of recombination will be complex, with intermixing of high and low rates (Figure 3). Analysis of a denser SNP map and additional lines will be necessary to obtain an accurate picture of the distribution of crossover frequencies along the chromosomes. If the estimate of 135 cM/Mb in fact approximates the genome-wide recombination rate, M. hapla has the highest recombination rate for any metazoan reported to date. For comparison, the mean genome-wide recombination rate in C. elegans is 2.7 cM/Mb, for Drosophila, it is 1.6 cM/Mb, and for the honeybee, Apis mellifera, which has the highest previously reported value for a metazoan, the genome-wide recombination rate is only 22 cM/Mb, although recombination rate in honeybee also ranges as high as 143 cM/Mb in certain areas of the genome (Beye et al. 2006; Solignac et al. 2007).

Similar recombination rate values to M. hapla have been reported for parasitic protozoans, including Plasmodium falciparum (Su et al. 1999), Trypanosoma brucei (Macleod et al. 2005), and Theileria parva (Katzer et al. 2011), suggesting the intriguing possibility that this is an adaptation to the obligate parasite lifestyle. It has been suggested that organisms with extended asexual periods of reproduction could benefit from increased recombination (Wilfert et al. 2007). Adaptive evolution of recombination frequency provides several advantages to the obligate parasite, including increasing diversity in the short term to benefit a population with restricted migration and population mixing. Increased local recombination can also reduce linkage drack, which in turn may enhance adaptation and multilocus selection (Hill and Robertson 1966). Whether the novel mode and mechanism of chromosome recombination that we see in this M. hapla cross extends to other RKN species remains to be determined. However, cytological studies show that meiotic parthenogenesis occurs in several other Meloidogyne species (Van der Beek et al. 1998; Triantaphyllou and Hirschmann 1980). Although so far our understanding about how and when recombination occurs and how crossovers are resolved in these species is limited, on a practical level, the high recombination frequency will facilitate localizing and cloning genes corresponding to phenotypes of interest that segregate in F2 lines.

The small genome size together with the relatively large chromosome complement may contribute to the high recombination rate of M. hapla. The average chromosome size is predicted to be ~3 Mb.
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