Unraveling the Atomic Structure of Ultrafine Iron Clusters
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Unraveling the atomic structures of ultrafine iron clusters is critical to understanding their size-dependent catalytic effects and electronic properties. Here, we describe the stable close-packed structure of ultrafine Fe clusters for the first time, thanks to the superior properties of graphene, including the monolayer thickness, chemical inertness, mechanical strength, electrical and thermal conductivity. These clusters prefer to take regular planar shapes with morphology changes by local atomic shuffling, as suggested by the early hypothesis of solid-solid transformation. Our observations differ from observations from earlier experimental study and theoretical model, such as icosahedron, decahedron or cuboctahedron. No interaction was observed between Fe atoms or clusters and pristine graphene. However, preferential carving, as observed by other research groups, can be realized only when Fe clusters are embedded in graphene. The techniques introduced here will be of use in investigations of other clusters or even single atoms or molecules.

Ultrafine clusters, containing less than a few hundred atoms (diameters of 1–3 nm), have size-dependent effects in catalytic processes and electronic structures1,2. The starting point to explore these properties is to unravel the atomic structures of the ultrafine clusters. Clusters with diameters larger than 3 nm have been extensively studied by atomic resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) over the past few decades3–13. However, unambiguous determination of the three-dimensional (3D) atomic structure of ultrafine clusters remains a challenge. Because of the active nature of low-coordinated particles14,15, the free energy may be overwhelmed by the chemical bonding to the support during TEM imaging, which leads to substantial changes in the atomic arrangement3,5,9,12,16. Besides, the elastic strain induced through the contact also causes structural modifications to the small energy barriers in various configurations5–10. The consequences of these factors are further exaggerated by the excitation from the high-energy (beyond 200 keV) incident electron beam. Heat absorption from inelastic electron-nucleus scattering leads to structural instability and fluctuations via atomic rearrangement. The consequential morphology change has long been the subject of debate. Some argue that it occurs through a melting-recrystallization process, whereas others suggest that it is through solid-solid transformation1,3,7–11. Although high resolution TEM imaging benefits from the high-energy electron source, and the uniform and low background of amorphous substrates, the high transferred energy and the unknown surface structure of the support significantly affect the behavior of ultrafine clusters and introduce extra complexity in resolving atomic structures under electron irradiation. Until now, there is no clear-cut TEM observation showing direct evidence of the atomic structure of ultrafine clusters.

In this paper, we seek to resolve with atomic resolution the three-dimensional shape, atomic arrangement and structural transformation of ultrafine Fe clusters on graphene supports by using monochromated and aberration-corrected low-voltage TEM. The ultrafine Fe clusters, containing a few tens to hundreds of atoms, have close-packed atomic structures and take stable planar shapes of equilateral triangles, isosceles trapezoids or parallelograms with internal angles of 60° or 120°. The transition between configurations is assisted by local atomic shuffling, which is different from any known mechanism. Melting-like behavior was also observed due to the large disorder induced by bonding to the random dangling C atoms along the graphene edge, which indicates that contradictions in early TEM studies may arise from unknown interactions in the substrates2,5,7,10,11. We believe that detailed structural information will be useful to investigating the catalytic reactions of Fe clusters, which are now finding more and more applications because of their low cost and environmental benignity. We
also note that the techniques introduced here will be of use in investigations of other clusters or even single atoms or molecules

Results
Free-standing graphene was used as the substrate (Figure S1a), which is known to be a strong and thin continuous film with a honeycomb lattice. The excellent electrical and thermal conductivity of graphene minimize both the charging and heating effects. In addition, its chemical inertness induces the least disturbance to the cluster via van der Waals interactions. We deposited the iron clusters by pulsed laser deposition in an ultrahigh vacuum chamber. Particles, ranging from single atoms to clusters with a few hundred atoms, were ejected from the target surface during laser ablation with a strong forward-directed velocity. The bombardment induced various defects to the free-standing graphene, which helped to bind the clusters and inhibit agglomeration. The as-prepared sample was transferred to a TEM equipped with monochromator and objective lens spherical aberration corrector, which enabled a resolution better than 1.4 Å at 60 kV (Figure S1b,c). The maximum energy transferred to C atoms was 11.6 eV, far below the knock-on threshold energy (17 eV) for ejection of an in-lattice atom. Electron energy loss spectra on the clusters were collected to identify the chemical composition (Fig. S2). The indiscernible O edge, as compared to the Fe edge, indicates no oxidation formation. The experimental details are further described in the method section.

Figure 1 a–f show a series of TEM micrographs extracted from Movie S1, capturing the structural evolution of a typical Fe cluster sitting on a monolayer graphene encircled by bilayer regions of graphene over a time span of 10 min. The cluster takes the shape of a regular triangle with one side in contact with the zigzag edge of the top graphene layer. The covalent bonding between the metal atoms and the graphene edge, as determined by density functional theory (DFT), prevents translational motion of the cluster and, therefore, improves TEM imaging through the use of a slow-scan, high-resolution CCD camera. As shown in the figure, the iron atoms assemble into a close-packed structure, similar to the piling of cannonballs with the highest packing density, which is different from the body-centered cubic (BCC) lattice in its bulk form. Two different stacking sequences of the close-packed atomic layers give the same packing density, but result in different symmetries, i.e., face-centered cubic (FCC) and hexagonal close-packed (HCP) stacking. Relative to a reference layer with positioning A, two more positionings, B and C, are possible (Fig. S3). FCC stacking is in the order of ABCABC, while HCP stacking has the sequence ABAB. To distinguish between the two stacking orders, high-resolution TEM image simulations were performed for various packing structures with 1 to 4 atomic layers (Fig. 1 g–i, Fig. S4 d–f, Fig. S5). Only the simulation of the three-layer HCP structure (Fig. 1g–i) matches the TEM observations. Close comparison between Fig. 1h and 1i suggests that the background, induced by the monolayer graphene, has no discernible interference with the Fe clusters. Direct counting of the TEM image indicates that the intact edge consists of 7 Fe atoms, which gives 53 atoms in total for the cluster. The nearest neighbor distance is measured to be 2.7 ± 0.15 Å by using the inherently built atomic scale of the C–C bond length of 1.42 Å (Inset to Fig. S1c). This value is close to the nearest neighbor distance (2.58 Å) of bulk FCC iron (PDF 52-0513).

Other configurations, such as a bilayer triangle and a trilayer trapezoid with HCP or FCC stacking, have been identified in our TEM study using graphene as a support (Fig. 2a–i, Fig. S6, Fig. S7). Surprisingly, all ultrafine Fe clusters have planar close-packed structures, which is different from the predicted equiaxial shape, such as an icosahedron, decahedron or cuboctahedron, by both DFT and molecular dynamics studies. The experimentally observed unique shape may be attributed to the HCP structure in which the basal plane has the lowest surface energy. Iron clusters are attached to the graphene support, which is divided into regions of monolayer and bilayer graphene. The white dashed line delineates the boundary in (b). Scale bar: 1 nm. See also the recorded video in supplementary Movie S1. The red arrow heads in (e) and (f) point to single Fe atoms trapped on the edge. The cluster in (f), as marked by the white arrow, combines with the adsorbate on graphene and no longer retains its shape of a regular triangle. (g) An atomic model of the cluster with 53 atoms in a three-layer hexagonal close-packed structure. Corresponding TEM simulations of the model (h) with and (i) without a monolayer graphene support were performed by using the software MacTempasX incorporated with the multislice method.

Discussion
A striking feature is the stability of the ultrafine iron clusters under electron irradiation with a beam current density of about 7×10<sup>9</sup> e·s·cm<sup>−2</sup> (i.e. 100 A/cm<sup>2</sup>). In a previously reported TEM investigation of metal clusters, a structural fluctuation on the time scale of seconds was generally observed among different configurations, such as icosahedra, decahedra or multi-twinned structures. Numerous hypotheses were proposed to explain the non-static nature, including soft configurational energy surfaces and quasi-molten states. It has even been suggested that the structural fluctuation is intrinsic due to the finite size effect. In our sample, the cluster almost retains the shape of a triangle for a time span of ~8 min (Movie S1), except for local rearrangements of atoms near the vertex when it comes into contact with the irregular graphene edge (Fig. 1c–e). It is known that the irradiation effect comes into play only if the maximum transferred energy via electron-nucleus scattering is higher than the threshold energy in removing an atom from its original site. Under the current imaging conditions, the maximum transferred energy is 2.5 eV for Fe atoms. The threshold in the displacement of atoms is related to the cohesive energy of small iron clusters, which
has been found to monotonically increase with cluster size and is about 3 eV for an Fe cluster with 55 atoms. It is therefore not surprising that electron irradiation does not lead to substantial morphological changes in ultrafine iron clusters, given that no other factors, such as charging or beam heating, come into play. Also, there is no discernible interaction with the pristine graphene. Iron clusters have been observed to move freely on perfect graphene once it is detached from the edge due to the transferred momentum from high-energy electrons. No defects were introduced to the originally perfect graphene under the iron cluster after prolonged irradiation (Fig. 1f). In contrast, strong bonding has been observed between Fe atoms and dangling C atoms, which confines the movements of Fe atoms to the edge (Fig. 1e, f).

To be reconciled with earlier TEM observations, the interactions between particles and the support should be taken into account. Though these interactions have long been known to be important in determining both morphologies and structures, they remain nearly untouched in most experiments, theoretical modeling and simulations, due to the involved complexities and uncertainties in the surface structures of common TEM grid membranes made of amorphous carbon, SiO₂, Si₃N₄ and Al₂O₃. Light elements and amorphous structures are useful in providing low and uniform back-illumination for mapping the surface structures dynamic and cannot be captured in common TEM observations. The instabilities can be transferred to the metal atoms or clusters by forming or breaking bonds at the surface, as demonstrated in our investigation of the dynamic behaviors of single Au atoms on a graphene edge. The unstable structure of ultrafine Fe clusters on defective graphene clearly reveals the strong influence imposed by substrates (Movie S2). Figure 3 shows a series of extracted video frames. Structural distortion starts from the vertex of the iron triangle (Fig. 3b–c, indicated by an arrow), implying preferential bonding to the defect underneath. For about 1 min, the iron cluster totally loses its original shape and embeds itself into a hole that was catalytically etched under electron irradiation (Fig. 3d–f). The dangling C atoms on the edge have lower binding energies, ranging from 5.5 eV to 10.5 eV, than the maximum transferred energy of 11.6 eV, and, therefore, have a non-zero displacement rate. The hole is enlarged by prolonged irradiation (Fig. 3g–i), leading to dynamic contact with the iron cluster. The preferential bonding to the dangling C atoms changes both the morphology and atomic arrangement of the cluster on a time scale of a few seconds (Movie S2). The snapshots in Fig. 3 capture both ordered and disordered configurations, termed “molten” and “crystallized” states in the literature. We note that more stable structures with the close-packed configuration are easily formed on the zigzag edge (Fig. 3i), which is known to be relatively stable under electron irradiation.

It is interesting to observe that the iron cluster preferentially carves the graphene along the armchair direction ($<110>$) if it is embedded in the graphene (Fig. 4). We note that both the hydrogenation-assisted Fe channeling and the thermally activated Ag etching are along the zigzag directions, suggesting that different operating mechanisms are at work. In the high vacuum ambient environment of a TEM column, no H or O is expected to assist the preferential etching process, as reported in Ref 30 and 31. The underlying mechanism may reside in the strong C-Fe bonding and associated catalytic effect, which lowers the vacancy formation energy as according to results from DFT. As revealed by Movie S3, the structure evolves...

Figure 2 | Morphologies and structures of ultrafine Fe clusters. (a) Bilayer triangular, (b) trilayer trapezoid HCP and (c) trilayer trapezoid FCC Fe clusters supported by graphene. (b) and (c) show the same Fe cluster but different stacking orders. Vacancies in the cluster in (a) are indicated by red arrow heads. The white dashed lines in (b) and (c) delineate the boundaries between monolayers and bilayers of graphene. Scale bar: 1 nm. (d–f) Corresponding atomic models showing the stacking configurations. There are 36 atoms in (d) and 112 atoms in (b) and (c). (g–i) The corresponding TEM simulations of models in (d–f). (j) A schematic diagram showing the slip systems in HCP or FCC stacking. $<110>$ is the in-plane close-packed direction.

Figure 3 | Interactions between ultrafine Fe clusters and defective graphene. (a–i) An aberration-corrected TEM image sequence of the structural evolution of an ultrafine Fe cluster sitting on a defective monolayer graphene. The red arrow head in (b) indicates that a defect may exist underneath the cluster. The white dashed lines in (g–i) delineate the boundaries of a hole. The yellow line indicates in (i) the zigzag direction. Scale bar: 1 nm. See also the recorded video in supplementary Movie S2.
under electron irradiation, leading to bonding formation or breakage. Carbon atoms are prone to be removed from the front interface and replaced by Fe atoms. Row-by-row removal of the front atoms leads to preferential etching along the \(110\) direction. The channel edge is not atomically smooth, though it is along certain low-energy crystallographic orientations. The roughness comes from the vibration due to the irradiation-induced wiggling motion of the Fe clusters.

A deformed lattice is also observed due to straining (Fig. S6b) or the curved boundary (Fig. S6c), which is tolerated because of the negligible contribution of elastic energy to the total energy on such a small scale. The stability of the cluster is mostly determined by the graphene edges or adsorbates. The major effect from electron irradiation at low voltage is to overcome energy barriers and enhance atomic diffusion to achieve more stable configurations. The edge or vertex Fe atoms have lower coordination numbers and are relatively easily displaced from the original sites to nucleate surface vacancies in the clusters, as indicated by the arrows in Fig. 2a and Fig. S6e-f. Also, the stacking order may be altered by the continuous agitation from the incident beam. Figures 3b and c show snapshots of the same Fe cluster but with HCP and FCC stacking, respectively. Due to the limited temporal resolution, no information can be obtained from uncovering the detailed transition process. However, it is well known that a stacking fault can be easily generated by the slipping of adjacent layers by a relative vector of \(1/6\langle112\rangle\) (Fig. 2j), which may imply a collective motion of atoms on the small scale.

Based on our TEM observations of graphene-supported ultrafine Fe clusters, the well-defined geometries may lead to the conclusion that energy minimization dominates the shape of the structures. Using macroscopic concepts as a guide, the total energy can be written as

\[
U_{\text{tot}} = u_B N_B + u_S N_S + u_E N_E + u_V N_V + u_I N_I
\]

where \(u_a\) is the specific energy per atom with subscript “a” being B (bulk), S (surface), E (edge), V (vertex) and I (interface), and \(N_a\) is the corresponding number of atoms. The adhesion energy, as indicated by the interfacial term \((u_I N_I)\), characterizes the substrate effect in inducing metastable phases in metal clusters. It is noted that the graphene surface put a less constraint than other typical well-defined metal oxide surfaces, such as MgO(001)\(^{33–35}\). Early TEM observations\(^{24}\) have revealed local epitaxial relation between ultrafine metal clusters and the oxide substrate surface. Consequently different atomic arrangements may present with or without a substrate, as suggested by DFT calculations\(^{31–36}\). Our DFT study shows that the close-packed structure of ultrafine Fe clusters is metastable with or without the presence of graphene substrates (Fig. S8). This is consistent with our TEM observation that the ultrafine Fe cluster takes the same structure when sitting on the edge of the hole in a graphene (Fig. S6a). For regular planar shapes, the equal-energy configurations...
can be identified, as shown in Figs. 2a and c, or 2e and g. The transition from an isosceles trapezoid to a parallelogram and vice versa are clearly caused by local atomic shuffling on a time scale of seconds (Fig. 5, see also Movie S4), while the other part remains undisturbed during the process. This observation is consistent with the solid-solid transformation hypothesis 10.

In summary, we unambiguously unraveled the atomic structure of ultrafine Fe clusters on graphene supports for the first time. Its monolayer thickness, chemical inertness, mechanical strength, and electrical and thermal conductivity render graphene an ideal substrate for high-resolution TEM imaging. Moreover, the state-of-the-art aberration-corrected TEM technology enables electron microscopy with atomic resolution at low voltage, which further minimizes the disturbance and helps to uncover the atomic structures in the original state. Taking advantage of both the graphene support and the technology made it possible that the ultrafine Fe clusters, containing only a few tens to hundreds of atoms, were rather stable under high-flux electron irradiation. We resolved the atomic arrangement to be a close-packed stacking structure taking planar shapes of equilateral triangles, isosceles trapezoids or parallelograms with internal angles of 60° or 120°. The change in morphology was realized by local atomic shuffling, which suggests that the solid-solid transformation hypothesis is correct. We also note that this technique can be applied to investigations of other clusters or even single atoms or molecules.

Methods
Sample preparation. Single-layer graphene was grown on a 25 μm-thick copper foil (Alfa Aesar, item No. 13382) in a flow-type low-pressure reactor. The recipe was adapted from 7. The substrate was heated to 1035 °C under a pressure of 640 mTorr with a mixed gas flow of CH4/H2. The graphene transferring technique was adapted from 7. Monolayer or bilayer graphene was obtained by transferring to the TEM grid once or twice. More details about the characterization and the recipe can be found in the supporting materials of Reference 18. The sample was heated to 400 °C under vacuum for the purpose of cleaning before deposition of the Fe clusters. The deposition was realized in a pulsed laser deposition (PLD) chamber with a background pressure of 100 mTorr. The graphene transferred to the TEM grid was placed in the upright position to the target a distance of 100 mm. A pulsed high-power laser (400 mJ/pulse and 40 ns/pulse) was used to eject particles from the target surface, which were then deposited on the free-standing graphene.

TEM imaging and simulations. TEM imaging was carried out using an aberration-corrected and monochromated FEI Titan 80–300 microscope with a typical electron beam current density of about 7×10^7 e−·nm²·s⁻¹ (~100 A/cm²). The third-order spherical aberration was carefully tuned to about 1 μm. The microscope was operated at 60 kV to minimize the knock-on damage to the graphene. Since the point resolution and the information limit were more sensitive to the chromatic aberration at lower operation voltages, the gun monochromator has been excited to 1.8 to reduce the electron energy spread to <0.2 eV. Images were recorded on a charge coupled device (CCD) camera (2 × 2 × 2 k, Gatan UltraScanTM 1000) with a binning two mode. The read-out time was 0.5 s. The HRTEM images were taken with an exposure time of 2 s and a spatial sampling of 0.20 Å/pixel. HRTEM image simulations were performed using the commercial software MacTempas. The input microscope parameters were: an acceleration voltage of 60 kV, a spherical aberration of 1 μm, a chromatic aberration of 1.5 mm, a focal spread of 2.5 mm and a convergence angle of 0.1 mrad.
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