Osseointegration of porous titanium and tantalum implants in ovariectomized rabbits: A biomechanical study
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Today, biological fixation of uncemented press-fit acetabular components plays an important role in total hip arthroplasty. Long-term stable fixation of these implants depends on the osseointegration of the acetabular cup bone tissue into the acetabular cup implant, and their ability to withstand functional loads.

AIM
To compare the strength of bone-implant osseointegration of four types of porous metal implants in normal and osteoporotic bone in rabbits.

METHODS
The study was performed in 50 female California rabbits divided into non-ovariectomized (non-OVX) and ovariectomized groups (OVX) at 6 mo of age. Rabbits were sacrificed 8 wk after the implantation of four biomaterials [TTM, CONCELOC, Zimmer Biomet's Trabecular Metal (TANTALUM), and ATLANT] in a 5-mm diameter defect created in the left femur. A biomechanical evaluation of the femur was carried out by testing implant breakout force. The force was...
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The study aim was to carry out a comparative analysis of the strength of the formed bone-metal osseointegration among four types of porous metal implants in an in vivo animal model with both normal bone and after the simulation of osteoporosis. Our hypothesis was that there will be a difference in the strength between the formed bone metal osseointegration between normal and osteoporotic bone models.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals
Fifty female California rabbits 6 mo of age and weighing 4.5-5.0 kg were kept in conditions of 24 °C, 12/12 h light/dark, and 60% humidity, with ad libitum access to food and water, and a standard diet. All surgeries (ovariectomy and implantation of materials) were performed under general intramuscular anesthesia (xylazine hydrochloride 15 mg/kg and ketamine 50 mg/kg). Euthanasia was carried out by overdosing of ketamine (50 mg/kg) and subsequent air embolism. All experiments were performed according to the national guidelines and all appropriate measures were taken to minimize pain or discomfort to the animals. The study design was approved by the local Bioethics Committee.

Study design
Rabbits were randomly divided into healthy control non-ovariectomized (non-OVX) and ovariectomized (OVX) groups of 25 each. To simulate osteoporosis, ovariectomy was performed in the OVX group. After 3 mo, 10 rabbits (5 non-OVX and 5 OVX) were sacrificed to confirm of osteoporosis development. For the remaining rabbits, (n = 40) one of the four types of porous materials were implanted. All rabbits with implants were sacrificed 8 wk after implantation.

Implants
The four types of implants used in this study were of comparable 80% or greater porosity. Three were Ti6-Al4-V titanium alloys: TTM (AK Medical, Beijing, China), CONCELOC (Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN, United States), ATLANT (TITAN-MED, Kyiv, Ukraine). The fourth was porous Zimmer Biomet’s Trabecular Metal (TANTALUM) (Zimmer, Warsaw, United States). The elastic moduli are 3 GPa for TANTALUM, 12.9 for GPa, 4.3 GPa for CONCELOC, and 113 GPa for ATLANT. A 1.2 mm diameter hole was drilled on one side of the implants to allow mounting of the testing jig. The testing jig that was attached to the implant was used to test breaking strength during the study (Figure 1A). Prior to implantation, the biomaterials were sterilized by autoclaving at 132 °C for 20 min.

Surgical procedures
Ovariectomy (n = 25) was performed under general anesthesia by two dorsolateral 2.5 cm incisions of the skin and muscles following the method described by Wanderman et al.

Implantation of materials: Each type of porous material was implanted in 5 healthy and 5 ovariectomized rabbits (n = 40) under general anesthesia under sterile conditions. The surgical field in the proximal part of the left femur was treated with Betadine antiseptic solution, after which the skin was incised from the lateral approach along the anterior femoral region above the greater trochanter. The musculus tensor fasciae latae and musculus quadriceps femoris were bluntly dissected and sequentially fixed. The greater trochanteric area was perforated by a burr to create a bone defect to match the biomaterial samples (diameter of 5 mm, length of 6 mm). After that, the wound was sutured in layers and the skin was treated with Betadine antiseptic. As postoperative pharmacological therapy, benzylpenicillin, dihydrostreptomycin (combikel 40) and meloxicam were administered.

X-ray radiographic evaluation
Radiographic evaluation was performed three times. Three months after ovariectomy to control osteoporosis development in 5 OVX and 5 non-OVX rabbits, immediately after implantation to evaluate the position of implants, and at 8 wk after implantation for all 20 OVX and 20 non-OVX rabbits (Figure 2). In all cases, a digital X-ray diagnostic system (OPERA T90cex; General Medical Merate S.p.A., Italy) was used.
The analysis of cortical thickness index
Radiographs of the femur was obtained in 10 rabbits (5 OVX and 5 non-OVX) before implantation of materials to verify the osteoporosis model (Figure 3)\textsuperscript{[14]}. This method is used as an alternative to measurement of bone mineral density in diagnosis of osteoporosis\textsuperscript{[14]}. Using “X-Rays” software (Kharkiv National University of Radioelectronics, Ukraine)\textsuperscript{[15,16]}, the cortical thickness index was automatically
calculated based on the measurement of the thickness of the cortical layer of the femur under the lesser trochanter in 10 rabbits. This software allows performing a coordinate-brightness analysis of digital radiographs, and provides spatial sampling with 0.042 mm elements and brightness quantization with a grayscale of 256 intensities. The analysis was performed by two independent experts.

**Biomechanical testing**

The implanted materials were rigidly fixed to the testing jig and breakout force testing was performed to detach the implant from the bone tissue (Figure 1B). We applied a breakout force to the implant at a constant speed of 1 mm per minute, which was gradually increased until complete detachment of the implant from the bone. The maximum value of the breakout force (N) was recorded with a strain gauge (SBA-100L) and a CAS type CI-2001A registration device (South Korea) (Figure 4).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were reported as means ± SD. Unpaired t-tests were used to evaluate the effect of osteoporosis on the stability of the same type of implant. Unpaired t-tests were performed for verification of osteoporosis model. To analyze the effect of the type of material on the strength of osseointegration in the non-OVX and OVX groups, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out with the post-hoc Duncan test. The critical level of significance was accepted as 0.05. The analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 19.0 software. The statistical methods were reviewed by Olena Karpinska and Michael Karpinsky of the Department of Biomechanics, Sytenko Institute of Spine and Joint Pathology, National Academy of Medical Sciences of Ukraine.

**RESULTS**

**Analysis of cortical thickness index**

In the OVX group (n = 5), the cortical thickness index of the proximal femur was 1.4 times lower ($P = 0.001$) than that in the non-OVX group (n = 5) (0.482 ± 0.033 vs 0.660 ± 0.007, unpaired t-test).

**Biomechanical testing**

Data were obtained on the maximum breakout force that led to detachment of the implant from the femoral bone in both normal and osteoporotic bone tissue. The strength of the implant attachment in the femoral bone tissue was significantly higher in non-OVX group (n = 20), compared with the OVX group (n = 20) for all materials (Figure 5, unpaired t-test). When evaluating each implanted material, the breakout force was higher in the non-OVX group by a factor of 1.1 for TANTALUM (194.7 ± 6.1 N vs 181.3 ± 2.8; $P = 0.005$); CONCELOC (190.8 ± 3.6 N vs 180.9 ± 6.6 N; $P = 0.019$); and TTM (136.3 ± 1.8 N vs 172.0 ± 8.4 N; $P = 0.043$), and by a factor of 1.3 (104.9 ± 7.0 N vs
Figure 4 Device for recording breakout force with a strain gauge.

Figure 5 Results of breakout force testing of four types of porous materials in ovariectomized (OVX, n = 20) and healthy rabbits (non-OVX, n = 20) 8 wk after implantation. A: Unpaired t-test: Analysis of the effect of osteoporosis (OVX group) on the bone-implant strength and osseointegration for the same type of implant; B: ANOVA with post-hoc Duncan test evaluation of the effect of the implant material on bone-implant strength and osseointegration in ovariectomized and non-ovariectomized rabbits. \(A\)P < 0.05; \(b\)P < 0.01; \(c\)P < 0.001. ns: not significant; non-OVX: non-ovariectomized; OVX: ovariectomized.

78.9 ± 4.5 N; \(P = 0.001\) for ATLANT, compared with the OVX group. TANTALUM implants had the highest breakout strength in osteoporotic bone tissue at a load of 181.3 ± 2.8 N and in normal bone tissue at a load of 194.7 ± 6.1 N (Figure 5). The lowest breakout strength was shown in ATLANT implants. Failure was observed in normal bone tissue at a load of 104.9 N ± 7.0 N and in osteoporotic bone at 78.9 ± 4.5 N. In the OVX group (osteoporotic bone), the breakout forces of TANTALUM, TTM, and CONCELOC did not differ significantly \((P = 0.066, \text{Figure 5, one-way ANOVA})\). On the contrary, The breakout force of ATLANT implants was lower by a factor of 2.3 compared with TANTALUM and CONCELOC and by a factor of 2.2 compared with TTM \((P = 0.001)\). Results in the non-OVX group (normal bone) were similar to those in the OVX group with minor differences (Figure 5, one-way ANOVA). The breakout force for TANTALUM and CONCELOC implants did not differ significantly \((P = 0.239)\). ATLANT implants were significantly different from all other implants, with a reduction in fixation strength of approximately 1.9 times \((P = 0.001)\).

**DISCUSSION**

In this biomechanical study, we examined the strength of osseointegration of three porous titanium and one porous tantalum materials. We studied the breakout strength of the implanted materials 8 wk after their implantation in the metaphysis of the greater trochanter of the femur in an *in vivo* rabbit model. Three of the studied
materials in this study (TANTALUM, CONCELOC, TTM) are used in the manufacture of acetabular components for total hip replacement and are currently used in clinical practice. The fourth studied sample (ATLANT) is a new material used in the manufacture of acetabular cups. A unique aspect of our study is the comparison of the breakout strength among the four studied materials in both normal and osteoporotic bone in a rabbit ovariectomy model.

The importance of bone quality for osseointegration of porous implants has been shown both in cadaver studies\(^8\)\(^{21}\) and in an animal model\(^{22}\). Beckmann \textit{et al.}\(^{23}\) reported the results of a biomechanical study (multi-axial testing machine) that examined the mobility of an acetabular titanium cup with a porous surface in 10 cadaveric pelvises. They found an inverse relationship between the bone mineral density (BMD) of the femoral neck and the mobility of the acetabular cup. Similar data were obtained when using an additional acetabular porous augment\(^8\). The differences in osseointegration and breakout strength between different commercial acetabular cup materials are especially important in patients with low BMD. It has been found that patients with low BMD have an increased risk of migration of un cemented hydroxyapatite-coated titanium alloy acetabular cups 3–12 mo after total hip arthroplasty (THA) compared with patients with normal BMD\(^{21}\). According to a clinical study of 283 patients evaluated 2 years after revision THA, it was found that porous tantalum acetabular cups were more stable than porous titanium cups in patients with low BMD\(^{21}\). However, the long-term survivorship of acetabular cups in patients with osteoporosis is poorly understood in comparison with patients with normal BMD\(^{21}\).

According to our data, the stability of implants in the OVX group was lower for all materials studied compared with the non-OVX group. Similar results were obtained by Fujimoto \textit{et al.}\(^{26}\) when evaluating titanium implants in an experimental model of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in rabbits. Similar to our results of the non-OVX group, Duan \textit{et al.}\(^{27}\) presented biomechanical testing (push-out test) of medical Ti-6Al-4V substrates with titanium and tantalum coated implants. Their results showed that at 9 wk after implantation, the titanium and tantalum implants had similar push-out strengths when evaluated in New Zealand white rabbit femurs.

Our findings of similar breakout forces in tantalum (TANTALUM) and titanium implants (TTM and CONCELOC) in the OVX group may have occurred because the evaluated titanium implants had similar porosity, highlighting the importance of high porosity percentage in these implants. It has been shown that high porosity improves osseointegration compared to nonporous implants\(^{28,29}\). Pore size is also an important factor affecting osseointegration of the implant\(^{28,29}\). These variables probably explain the lower values of breakout force exhibited by the ATLANT component material compared with the other implants in both OVX and non-OVX models. A recent study in rabbits that compared titanium implants manufactured by additive technology and with three different pore sizes (500 μm, 700 μm and 900 μm)\(^{29}\) showed that the best interfacial strength was achieved when the pore size was 700 μm, when evaluated by a push-out test at weeks 4 and 12 after implantation. This emphasizes the importance of the material pore size for its osseointegrative qualities. This knowledge may help manufacturers design materials made with similar technology and from different alloy materials. Nevertheless, when comparing tantalum and titanium implants with the same 500 μM pore size and 70% porosity in a rabbit model, the authors did not find any differences in the push-out test indices at 2 wk, 4 wk, and 8 wk after implantation\(^{21}\). The same results were reported in a similar study by Su \textit{et al.}\(^{27}\) when comparing tantalum and titanium implants with the same pore size.

A limitation of our study was the use of one type of test to evaluate implant stability. However, our study is one of the few studies comparing tantalum and titanium materials in a low bone-mass model. Thus, these results will help expand the current knowledge of the stability of the studied materials in cases of osteoporosis.

CONCLUSION

TANTALUM, TTM and CONCELOC had equal bone-implant osseointegration in both healthy and osteoporotic bones. ATLANT showed a significant decrease in osseointegration (\(P = 0.001\)) in both healthy and osteoporotic bone.
ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background
Highly porous metal acetabular components are widely used in patients with low bone mass, but the strength of osseointegration may differ.

Research motivation
There is a need to perform studies to compare the strength of osseointegration of new porous metal biomaterials used in total hip arthroplasty of patients with low bone mass.

Research objectives
The objective of this study was to compare the strength of the formed bone-metal osseointegration among four types of porous metal biomaterials in an in vivo animal model with both normal bone and after simulation of osteoporosis.

Research methods
The experimental study was performed in a rabbit model of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Biomechanical evaluation of the femur was carried out by testing the implant breakout force 8 wk after implantation of four types of biomaterials: TTM, CONCELOC, Zimmer Biomet's Trabecular Metal (TANTALUM), and ATLANT. The force was gradually increased until complete detachment of the implant from the bone.

Research results
The breakout force needed for implant detachment was significantly higher in healthy controls, compared with the ovariectomized group for all implants. The breakout force for ATLANT in the ovariectomized group was lower than that observed with TANTALUM, CONCELOC and TTM.

Research conclusions
TANTALUM, TTM and CONCELOC had equal bone-implant osseointegration in healthy and osteoporotic bones. ATLANT showed a significant decrease in osseointegration in healthy and osteoporotic bone.

Research perspectives
Further studies on the use of other biomechanical methods will expand the knowledge of the strength of osseointegration of modern porous materials, which will help in choosing optimal materials for acetabular implants when performing total hip arthroplasty in patients with osteoporosis.
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