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Abstract

Objectives: To develop fuzzy set theory and the fuzziness in the inventory problem, the purpose is to find the Revenue for defective quantity corresponds to the total cost and the associated cost of selling value of remodeled product also fuzzy. Methods/Statistical Analysis: This approach is to find the quantity which has the minimum cost with maximum profit. When the profit gained from selling one unit of the item with warranty periods and guaranty period Up process cost and other scrap cost must be gives some percentage of profits. Partial profit of planned operation on stock clearing and effective scrap clearing of the last products has to be done. Findings: Mathematical model has been developed in below ways, 1. To find the fuzzy down process with the fuzzy relevant cost, 2. Revenue related with fuzzy production cost and profit of the different lot sizes of various products, 3. To find the fuzzy up process with the fuzzy screening cost of defective quantity with time dependent relevant cost tends to profits with partial loss and 4. Effective scrap clearing and total profit of all the goods. Applications/Improvements: Our aim is to find total cost and profit with fuzzy sense. Numerical examples are given and sensitivity analysis is carried out to conclude the result.

1. Introduction

The manufacturer had developed a new generation gadgets which is different from the older gadgets. Some ideas observed from used items were reusable in future products. This process in which used components must upgraded and modified to satisfy the exactly same quality or higher quality of new generation products in remodeled way. Companies are applying remaking is the ability to attract more customers due to environment and pocket friendly prices.

The defective items with immediate return analyzed and found the solution of ranking method with fuzzified purchase cost and relevant cost with triangular fuzzy number. The trapezoidal fuzzy demand and additional demand pattern with shortages and backlogged condition. Defective items sold after screening process and deep on that, mostly in trade returns goods goes to manufacturing only. But what is the use of that? Relevant cost and sending the defectives are waste of money, but proper planning for defectives will make an additional profit with partial Loss, developed a trade credit model with multivariable demand and the objective of review the procedure with multivariate demand under diminishing conditions has been solved. Mathematical model for Economic Order Quantity model with immediate return of defective items. A classic EOQ model with fixed values and purchase, relevant costs are added with discounts and partial deterioration costs. Ordering, holding cost and safety stock with fuzzy arithmetic operators. Wellknown
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1.1 Up and Down Process

This process is comparison of products with direct profit and reworked products with indirect profit, addition of this two we will get total profit. Formulation of mathematical model, in inventory problems there are generally multiple objectives. The model is to determine the holding, ordering and purchasing in order to keep the total relevant cost as low as possible. Profit is addition of product selling revenue and returned products revenue also. In addition to that well planned scrap clearing section also gives the revenue. Three different processes have to do, one is direct selling products without any complaints and other one is repairable or serviceable products, so we will get two demands, actual demand and company second sale demand. Good products are get ready with earlier way to the market or repairable goods are late to market with some profit. If we are handling two things in a system it is called mixed system with two process, one is Down process it is used for Good Quality First Sale (GQFS) and second one Up process is Defective Quality Second Sale (DQSS) and remaining defective products goes to scrap clearing section.

First section is materials and methods of the findings, second section is two process are depends Up and Down Process. Third is the mathematical models in fuzzy environment has to solve with Up and Down process. Fourth, five and six section explanation of numerical examples for process is comparision of products with direct profit and return of products with indirect profit, addition of this two we will get total profit and actions should be taken for increase the ownership costs scrap clearing cost also included. Finally section seven, conclusion and future research.

2. Notations and Assumptions

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| ~ | wavy bar indicates fuzzification of parameters. |
| $\hat{D}P$ | is the Down Process. |
| $\hat{U}P$ | is the Up Process. |
| $\hat{S}P$ | is the Scarp Process. |
| $\hat{D}_q$ | is the Fuzzy Demand quantity. |
| $\hat{H}$ | is the Holding cost |
| $\hat{O}$ | is the Ordering or setup cost |
| $\hat{F}$ | is the Order quantity in Down Process |
| $\hat{r^x}$ | is the Order quantity in Up Process |
| $\bar{L}$ | is the Length of the plan. |
\( \tilde{L}_1 \) is the Length of the plan in Down process (90 days).

\( \tilde{L}_2 \) is the Length of the plan in Up process (30 days).

\( \tilde{L}_3 \) is the Length of the plan in clearing process (30 days).

\( D_f \) is the percentage of defective items.

Let \( \tilde{r} = (1 - D_f)\% \) is the quantity of good items.

\[ \tilde{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \] is the defective quantity.

Let \( b \) is the clearing / scrap quantity.

Assume, \( D_f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (5, 10, 15, 20)\% \)

R1 is the Testing and dismantling (depreciation cost).

R2 is the Labor cost.

R3 is the Additional Material cost/ Upgrading and quality test cost.

R4 is the Scrap cost.

Cc is the Clearing Charges.

\( SV_1 \) is the Selling value of good items in down process per unit.

\( SV_2 \) is the Selling value of Defective items in Up process per unit.

\( SV_3 \) is the Selling value of Scrap items in clearing section per unit.

\( R_{v_1} \) is the Revenue in Down Process.

\( R_{v_2 UP} \) is the Revenue in Up Process.

\( R_{v_3 RC} \) is the Revenue in Recycling Process.

\( P_{v_1} \) is the gain in Down Process.

\( P_{v_2} \) is the gain in Up Process.

\( P_{v_3} \) is the gain in Recycling scrap Process.

\( TDN \) is the Total Cost in Down Process.

\( TUP \) is the Total cost in Up Process.

\( TRC \) is Total cost of Recycling/scrap Process.

### 3. Mathematical Model Formulations

#### 3.1 To find Purchase Cost

Let \( F_{uc} = (P_{u_1}, P_{u_2}, P_{u_3}, P_{u_4}) \) and \( \tilde{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \) are Trapezoidal fuzzy numbers, then

\[
\tilde{PC} = \left( P_{u_1} \otimes r_1, P_{u_2} \otimes r_2, P_{u_3} \otimes r_3, P_{u_4} \otimes r_4 \right)
\]

\[
\tilde{PC} = \left( P_{u_1} \otimes r_1, P_{u_2} \otimes r_2, P_{u_3} \otimes r_3, P_{u_4} \otimes r_4 \right)
\]

**Holding Cost in Fuzzy Sense**

\[
\tilde{HC} = \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{L}_1 \quad (2)
\]

**Ordering cost in fuzzy sense**

\[
\tilde{OC} = \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{L}_3 \quad (3)
\]

Total cost is addition of holding cost and Setup cost.

#### 3.2 To find Total Cost in Down Process

Let \( D = (\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2, \tilde{D}_3, \tilde{D}_4) \),

\( H = (\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3, \tilde{H}_4) \), \( \tilde{D} = (\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2, \tilde{D}_3, \tilde{D}_4) \)

are Trapezoidal fuzzy numbers 21, 22, Then, From Equations (1), (2) and (3),

**Total cost in fuzzy**

\[
\tilde{TC} = \tilde{r} \otimes (\tilde{H} \otimes \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{L}_1) \otimes (\tilde{D} \otimes \tilde{r}) \quad (4)
\]

### 3.2 To find Total Cost in Down Process

Let

\( D = (\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2, \tilde{D}_3, \tilde{D}_4) \),

\( H = (\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3, \tilde{H}_4) \), \( \tilde{D} = (\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2, \tilde{D}_3, \tilde{D}_4) \)

are trapezoidal fuzzy numbers 21, 22, Then,

**Total cost in fuzzy**

\[
\tilde{TC} = \tilde{r} \otimes (\tilde{H} \otimes \tilde{r} \otimes \tilde{L}_1) \otimes (\tilde{D} \otimes \tilde{r}) \quad (4)
\]

**Repairable and recyclable scrap and Up process total cost**

\[
\text{Total cost} = \text{Holding cost} + \text{Testing and Dismantling (depreciation cost)} + \text{Labor cost} + \text{Additional Material cost} + \text{Upgrading and quality test cost} + \text{Scrap cost} + \text{Clearing charges}.
\]
3.3 To find Total Cost in Up Process

Total cost in Up process = Holding cost + Testing and Dismantling cost + Labor cost + Additional Material cost/Upgrading and quality test cost

\[ \left[ \mathcal{TUP} \right] = \left[ \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R} \right] \circ \left[ \frac{L}{2} \right] \otimes \left( \mathcal{R}_1 \oplus \mathcal{R}_2 \right) \otimes (r^*) \]

\[ \otimes \left[(R_3) \otimes \left( r^* \sim r^{**} \right) \right] \]

\[ \left[ \mathcal{H} \right] \otimes \left[ \frac{L}{2} \right] = \left[ \mathcal{H} \right] \otimes \left[ \frac{L}{2} \right] \]

\[ \oplus \left[(R_1 \otimes R_2) \otimes D_{f_h} \right] \otimes \left[(R_3) \otimes \left( r^* \oplus b \right) \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_1) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \otimes \left[ (H_2) \right] \]

\[ \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \oplus \left[ (R_{14} \otimes (r^* \cdot \nu)) \right] \otimes \left[ (R_{13}) \otimes (a) \right] \]

\[ \mathcal{P} \]

3.4 To find the Total Cost of Recycling/Clearing Scrap Process

\[ R_4 \otimes \left( r^{**} \right) \otimes \left( Cc \otimes \left( r^{**} \right) \right) \]

\[ \left[ (H_1) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_2) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_3) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_4) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_{13}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (R_{14}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (T_{RC}) \right] = \left[ (H_1) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (R_{14}) \otimes (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (Cc) \otimes (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_{12}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (R_{14}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_{12}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (R_{14}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_{12}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (R_{14}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

\[ \left[ (H_{12}) \right] \otimes \left[ (r^* \cdot \nu) \right] \otimes \left[ (L) \right] \otimes \left[ 3 \right] \otimes \left[ 2 \right] \]

3.5 To find the Revenue in Down Process

Let \( V = V_1, V_2, V_3 \) in three revenue periods up, down and Scrap clearing sections,

\[ \left[ \mathcal{S} \right] = \left[ (S_1, S_2, S_3) \right] \]

are trapezoidal Fuzzy numbers then,

\[ \left[ \mathcal{R}_{ev} \right] = \left[ \mathcal{S} \otimes (r) \right] \]

\[ \left[ \mathcal{R}_{ev} \right] = \left[ \mathcal{S} \otimes (r) \right] \]

\[ \left[ \mathcal{R}_{ev} \right] = \left[ \mathcal{S} \otimes (r) \right] \]

3.6 To find the Gain in Down Process

Let \( F = F_1, F_2, F_3 \) are the profit in three places are Down, Up and Scrap clearing sections.

Profit = Revenue - Total Cost

\[ \left[ \mathcal{P}_{ev} \right] = \left[ \mathcal{R}_{ev} \otimes \left( \mathcal{T} \right) \right] \]
3.10 To find the Gain in Recycling/Scrap Process

\[ \text{Gain}_{\text{Recycling/Scrap}} = [SV_c \times r^{-1}] \]

\[ \text{Gain}_{\text{Recycling/Scrap}} = [(SV_{1c} \times SV_{2c} \times SV_{3c} \times SV_{4c}) \times (r_1^{-1} \times r_2^{-1} \times r_3^{-1} \times r_4^{-1})] \]

3.7 To find the Revenue in Up Process

Let \( r = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \) and \( ST_D = (SV_{1d}, SV_{2d}, SV_{3d}, SV_{4d}) \)
are trapezoidal Fuzzy numbers then,

\[ R_{\text{UP}} = [SV_D \times r] \]

\[ R_{\text{UP}} = [(SV_{1d} \times SV_{2d} \times SV_{3d} \times SV_{4d}) \times (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)] \]

3.8 To find the Gain in Up Process

\[ P_{\text{UP}} = R_{\text{UP}} \]

\[ P_{\text{UP}} = [SV_D \times r] \]

\[ P_{\text{UP}} = [(SV_{1d} \times SV_{2d} \times SV_{3d} \times SV_{4d}) \times (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)] \]

3.9 To find the Revenue in Clearing/Recycling Process

Let \( r = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \) and \( ST_c = (SV_{1c}, SV_{2c}, SV_{3c}, SV_{4c}) \)
are trapezoidal Fuzzy numbers then,

\[ R_{\text{RC}} = [SV_c \times r^{-1}] \]

\[ R_{\text{RC}} = [(SV_{1c} \times SV_{2c} \times SV_{3c} \times SV_{4c}) \times (r_1^{-1} \times r_2^{-1} \times r_3^{-1} \times r_4^{-1})] \]

4. Problem Calculations

In a good reputed organization with four fast moving gadgets, Purchase cost is (15,000, 12,000, 9,000,
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6000), 40% marginal profit and ordering cost is Rs. 0.5 per unit price, holding cost Rs. 2 per unit hold, selling value of four categories are in stages with $D_f(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (5, 10, 15, 20)\%$ for defectives, losing amount of 25% depreciation cost in the repairing process and 93% of losing value in scrap process. 0.53%, 2.7 %, 1.33%, 4% and 2% are the testing, labor, additional materials, scrap and clearing charges respectively. Here, (4000, 3000, 2000, 1000) are the number of gadget and guaranty, warranty and scrap periods are 90, 30 and 30 days respectively. Find the total profit.

4.1 For Down Process Table 1.

| $F$ | $\bar{F}$ | $\bar{F}_{ct}$ | $\bar{F}_{c}$ | $\bar{D}$ | $\bar{H}$ | $\bar{T_DN}$ | $\bar{NPP}$ | $\bar{Sv}_N$ | $\bar{Sv}_{DN}$ | $\bar{F}_{DN}$ |
|------|----------|----------------|---------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------------|-------------|
| $\alpha$ | 4000 | 15000 | 60000000 | 2000 | 360000 | 362000 | 3800 | 25000 | 95000000 | 34638000 |
|        | 3000 | 12000 | 36000000 | 1500 | 270000 | 271500 | 2850 | 20000 | 57000000 | 20728500 |
|        | 2000 | 9000 | 18000000 | 1000 | 180000 | 181000 | 1900 | 15000 | 28500000 | 10319000 |
|        | 1000 | 6000 | 60000000 | 500 | 90000 | 90500 | 95 | 10000 | 95000000 | 34095000 |
| $\beta$ | 4000 | 15000 | 60000000 | 2000 | 360000 | 362000 | 3600 | 25000 | 90000000 | 29638000 |
|        | 3000 | 12000 | 36000000 | 1500 | 270000 | 271500 | 2700 | 20000 | 54000000 | 17728500 |
|        | 2000 | 9000 | 18000000 | 1000 | 180000 | 181000 | 1800 | 15000 | 27000000 | 8819000 |
|        | 1000 | 6000 | 60000000 | 500 | 90000 | 90500 | 90 | 10000 | 90000000 | 29095000 |
| $\gamma$ | 4000 | 15000 | 60000000 | 2000 | 360000 | 362000 | 3400 | 25000 | 85000000 | 24638000 |
|        | 3000 | 12000 | 36000000 | 1500 | 270000 | 271500 | 2550 | 20000 | 51000000 | 14728500 |
|        | 2000 | 9000 | 18000000 | 1000 | 180000 | 181000 | 1700 | 15000 | 25500000 | 7319000 |
|        | 1000 | 6000 | 60000000 | 500 | 90000 | 90500 | 85 | 10000 | 85000000 | 24095000 |
| $\delta$ | 4000 | 15000 | 60000000 | 2000 | 360000 | 362000 | 3200 | 25000 | 80000000 | 19638000 |
|        | 3000 | 12000 | 36000000 | 1500 | 270000 | 271500 | 2400 | 20000 | 48000000 | 11728500 |
|        | 2000 | 9000 | 18000000 | 1000 | 180000 | 181000 | 1600 | 15000 | 24000000 | 5819000 |
|        | 1000 | 6000 | 60000000 | 500 | 90000 | 90500 | 800 | 10000 | 80000000 | 19095000 |

4.2.1 Graphical Representation: 1

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ in Down process

![Graphical Representation](image-url)
5.1 Up Process Table 2.

Table 2. Up process

| $\bar{\alpha}$ | $N \bar{\alpha}$ | $\bar{H}$ | $\bar{W}_D$ | $R_1$ | $R_2$ | $R_3$ | $(R_1 + R_2) \bar{\alpha} + R_3(\alpha)$ | $[\bar{R}_{\text{UP}}]$ | $[R_{\text{LUP}}]$ | $[P_{\text{UP}}]$ |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 200            | 160             | 6000    | 18750       | 20000 | 10000 | 40000 | 160000                                   | 166000         | 3000000       | 2834000       |
| 150            | 120             | 4500    | 15000       | 15000 | 75000 | 30000 | 120000                                   | 124500         | 1950000       | 1825500       |
| 100            | 80              | 3000    | 11250       | 10000 | 50000 | 20000 | 80000                                    | 83000          | 900000        | 817000        |
| 50             | 40              | 1500    | 7500        | 5000  | 25000 | 10000 | 40000                                    | 41500          | 300000        | 258500        |

| $\beta$        | $N \beta$       | $\bar{H}$ | $\bar{W}_D$ | $R_1$ | $R_2$ | $R_3$ | $(R_1 + R_2) \bar{\beta} + R_3(\beta)$ | $[\bar{R}_{\text{UP}}]$ | $[R_{\text{LUP}}]$ | $[P_{\text{UP}}]$ |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 400            | 320             | 12000   | 18750       | 40000 | 20000 | 80000 | 320000                                   | 332000         | 6000000       | 5884000       |
| 300            | 240             | 9000    | 15000       | 30000 | 15000 | 60000 | 240000                                   | 249000         | 3600000       | 3351000       |
| 200            | 160             | 6000    | 11250       | 20000 | 10000 | 40000 | 160000                                   | 166000         | 1800000       | 1634000       |
| 100            | 80              | 3000    | 7500        | 10000 | 50000 | 20000 | 80000                                    | 83000          | 600000        | 517000        |

| $\gamma$       | $N \gamma$      | $\bar{H}$ | $\bar{W}_D$ | $R_1$ | $R_2$ | $R_3$ | $(R_1 + R_2) \bar{\gamma} + R_3(\gamma)$ | $[\bar{R}_{\text{UP}}]$ | $[R_{\text{LUP}}]$ | $[P_{\text{UP}}]$ |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 600            | 480             | 18000   | 18750       | 60000 | 30000 | 120000| 480000                                   | 498000         | 9000000       | 8502000       |
| 450            | 360             | 13500   | 15000       | 45000 | 22500 | 90000 | 360000                                   | 373500         | 5400000       | 5026500       |
| 300            | 240             | 9000    | 11250       | 30000 | 15000 | 60000 | 240000                                   | 249000         | 2700000       | 2451000       |
| 150            | 120             | 4500    | 7500        | 15000 | 75000 | 30000 | 120000                                   | 124500         | 900000        | 775500        |

| $\delta$       | $N \delta$      | $\bar{H}$ | $\bar{W}_D$ | $R_1$ | $R_2$ | $R_3$ | $(R_1 + R_2) \bar{\delta} + R_3(\delta)$ | $[\bar{R}_{\text{UP}}]$ | $[R_{\text{LUP}}]$ | $[P_{\text{UP}}]$ |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 800            | 640             | 24000   | 18750       | 80000 | 40000 | 160000| 640000                                   | 664000         | 12000000      | 11336000      |
| 600            | 480             | 18000   | 15000       | 60000 | 30000 | 120000| 372000                                   | 390000         | 7200000       | 6702000       |
| 400            | 320             | 12000   | 11250       | 40000 | 20000 | 80000 | 320000                                   | 332000         | 3600000       | 3448000       |
| 200            | 160             | 6000    | 7500        | 20000 | 10000 | 40000 | 160000                                   | 166000         | 1200000       | 1034000       |

5.2 Graphical Representation: 2

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ in Up process

![Graphical Representation](image-url)
6.1 Scrap/Clearing Process Table 3.

Table 3. Scrap/clearing process

| $\gamma$ | $H$ | $SV_{st}$ | $R_i$ | $C_i$ | $[T_{RC}]$ | $[R_{ev_{2}}RC]$ | $[P_{2RC}]$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\alpha$ | 40 | 1200 | 1250 | 2000 | 1000 | 4200 | 50000 | 45800 |
| | 30 | 900 | 1000 | 1500 | 750 | 3150 | 30000 | 26850 |
| | 20 | 600 | 750 | 1000 | 500 | 2100 | 15000 | 12900 |
| | 10 | 300 | 500 | 500 | 250 | 1050 | 5000 | 3950 |
| $\beta$ | 80 | 2400 | 1250 | 4000 | 2000 | 8400 | 100000 | 91600 |
| | 60 | 1800 | 1000 | 3000 | 1500 | 6300 | 60000 | 53700 |
| | 40 | 1200 | 750 | 2000 | 1000 | 4200 | 30000 | 25800 |
| | 20 | 600 | 500 | 1000 | 500 | 2100 | 10000 | 7900 |
| $\gamma$ | 120 | 3600 | 1250 | 6000 | 3000 | 12600 | 150000 | 137400 |
| | 90 | 2700 | 1000 | 4500 | 2250 | 9450 | 90000 | 80550 |
| | 60 | 1800 | 750 | 3000 | 1500 | 6300 | 45000 | 38700 |
| | 30 | 900 | 500 | 1500 | 750 | 3150 | 15000 | 11850 |
| $\delta$ | 160 | 4800 | 1250 | 8000 | 4000 | 16800 | 200000 | 183200 |
| | 120 | 3600 | 1000 | 6000 | 3000 | 12600 | 120000 | 107400 |
| | 80 | 2400 | 750 | 4000 | 2000 | 8400 | 60000 | 51600 |
| | 40 | 1200 | 500 | 2000 | 1000 | 4200 | 20000 | 15800 |

6.2 Graphical Representation: 3
6.3 Comparison of Lot Size and Profit with Down, Up and Scrap Process. Table 4.

Table 4. Comparison of lot size and profit with Down, Up and Scrap process

| Lot Size | \( D_1 \) | \( \bar{D} \) | \( \bar{U} \) | \( \bar{S} \) | Profit |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Lot 1    | \( \alpha \) 34638000 | 3000000  | 45800    | 37683800 |
|          | \( \beta \) 29638000 | 6000000  | 91600    | 35729600 |
|          | \( \gamma \) 24638000 | 9000000  | 137400   | 33775400 |
|          | \( \delta \) 19638000 | 12000000 | 183200   | 31821200 |
| Lot 2    | \( \alpha \) 20728500 | 1950000  | 26850    | 22705350 |
|          | \( \beta \) 17728500 | 3600000  | 53700    | 21382200 |
|          | \( \gamma \) 14728500 | 5400000  | 80550    | 20209050 |
|          | \( \delta \) 11728500 | 7200000  | 107400   | 19035900 |
| Lot 3    | \( \alpha \) 10319000 | 900000   | 12900    | 11231900 |
|          | \( \beta \) 8819000 | 1800000  | 25800    | 10644800 |
|          | \( \gamma \) 7319000 | 2700000  | 38700    | 10057700 |
|          | \( \delta \) 5819000 | 3600000  | 51600    | 9470600  |
| Lot 4    | \( \alpha \) 3409500  | 300000   | 3950     | 3713450  |
|          | \( \beta \) 2909500  | 600000   | 7900     | 3517400  |
|          | \( \gamma \) 2409500  | 900000   | 11850    | 3321350  |
|          | \( \delta \) 1909500  | 1200000  | 15800    | 3125300  |

6.3.1 Graphical Representation. 4

A fuzzy inventory model for demand with constant selling price, ordering cost and holding cost has been developed with fuzzy sense. Trapezoidal fuzzy models are found for profit/loss. A numerical example is also given in support the theory. A future research is to extend the model under uncertain demand with different profit margins.

7. Conclusion

Loss of money occurs due to defective goods sometimes reaches assumed partial loss while others considered full loss. In real life, all buyers wait or go the next product. Then it is called total loss otherwise all the buyers will left the system however, in certain situations some customers will be able to wait for the next order in order to satisfy their demands during the warranty period (or) guaranty period while others so not wish to or cannot wait hence, they meet their demands from the other sources (partial loss case). From the Table 4 heavy loss can be avoided with Up process and addition to that scrap revenue also filled the gap of the gain percentage. Moreover, graphical representations shows that complete loss reaches to profit percentage.

From the Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4, it can be observed that,

• Revenue decreases when in the Down Process.
• Profit is moderate when in the Down process.
• Good quality lot size decreases when in down process but profit percentage increases.
• Again lot size decreases in the scrap process but total profit percentage increases slightly.
• Selling price is stable when Down process.
• Selling price decreases when in Up process.
• Selling price highly decreases in scrap process.
• Profit increases when in the Up process instead of loss.
• Partial loss increases when in the scrap process instead of full loss.
• Revenue is increases when in Up, Down and scrap process with partial loss.
• Ordering and holding costs are same in all tables with respect to lot size but profit percentage is varies due to defectives and losing the selling price and brand value.
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