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Understanding the consequences of the gravitational interaction between a star and a planet is fundamental to the study of exoplanets. The solution of the two-body problem shows that the planet moves in an elliptical path around the star and that each body moves in an ellipse about the common center of mass. The basic properties of such a system are derived from first principles and described in the context of detecting exoplanets.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motion of a planet around a star can be understood in the context of the two-body problem, where two bodies exert a mutual gravitational effect on each other. The solution to the problem was first presented by Isaac Newton (1687) in his *Principia*. He was able to show that the observed elliptical path of a planet and the empirical laws of planetary motion derived by Kepler (1609, 1619) were a natural consequence of an inverse square law of force acting between a planet and the Sun. According to Newton’s universal law of gravitation, the magnitude of the force between any two masses $m_1$ and $m_2$ separated by a distance $r$ is given by

$$F = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2} \tag{1}$$

where $G = 6.67260 \times 10^{-11} \text{Nm}^2\text{kg}^{-2}$ is the *universal gravitational constant*. The law is applicable in a wide variety of circumstances. For example, the two bodies could be a moon orbiting a planet or a planet orbiting a star. Newton’s achievement was to show that motion in an ellipse is the natural consequence of such a law. A more difficult task is to find the position and velocity of an object in the two-body problem; this is commonly referred to as *Kepler problem*. In this chapter we derive the basic equations of the two-body problem and solve them to show how elliptical motion arises. We then proceed to solve the Kepler problem showing how motion around the common center of mass of the two-body system can be used to infer the presence of planetary companions to a star. Finally we give a few representative examples among extra-solar planets already detected. For the most part we follow the approach of Murray & Dermott (1999).

2. BASIC EQUATIONS

Consider a star and a planet of mass $m_1$ and $m_2$, respectively, with position vectors $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$ referred to an origin $O$ fixed in inertial space (Fig. 1).

The relative motion of the planet with respect to the star is given by the vector $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1$. The gravitational forces acting on the star and the planet are

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = m_1 \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1 = +G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^3} \mathbf{r}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = m_2 \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_2 = -G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^3} \mathbf{r} \tag{3}$$

respectively. Now consider the motion of the planet $m_2$ with respect to the star $m_1$. If we write $\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{F}_2 - \mathbf{F}_1$ we can use Eq. (1) to obtain

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} + G(m_1 + m_2) \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} = 0. \tag{4}$$

If we take the vector product of $\mathbf{r}$ with Eq. (4) we have $\mathbf{r} \times \ddot{\mathbf{r}} = 0$ which can be integrated directly to give

$$\mathbf{r} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{h} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{h}$ is a constant vector which is simultaneously perpendicular to both $\mathbf{r}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$. Therefore the motion of the planet about the star lies in a plane (the *orbit plane*) perpendicular to the direction defined by $\mathbf{h}$. Another consequence of this result is that the position and velocity vectors will always lie in the same plane (see Fig. 2). Equation (5) is
often referred to as the angular momentum integral and \( h \) represents a constant of the two-body motion.

In order to solve Eq. (4) we transform to a polar coordinate system \((r, \theta)\) referred to an origin centered on the star with an arbitrary reference line corresponding to \( \theta = 0 \). In polar coordinates the position, velocity and acceleration vectors can be written as

\[
\mathbf{r} = r \hat{\mathbf{r}} \\
\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \dot{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} + r \dot{\theta} \hat{\theta} \\
\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = (\dot{r}^2 - r \dot{\theta}^2) \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dt} \left( r^2 \dot{\theta} \right) \right] \hat{\theta}.
\]

where \( \hat{\mathbf{r}} \) and \( \hat{\theta} \) denote unit vectors along and perpendicular to the radius vector respectively. Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (5) gives

\[
\dot{\mathbf{r}} = r \ddot{\mathbf{r}} + r^2 \dot{\theta} \hat{\theta} \text{ and } \dot{\mathbf{r}} = \dot{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} + r \dot{\theta} \hat{\theta}.
\]

This is equivalent to Kepler’s second law of planetary motion which states that the star-planet line sweeps out equal areas in equal times.

Using Eq. (6) and comparing the \( \dot{\mathbf{r}} \) components of Eqs. (4) and (8) gives the scalar differential equation

\[
\dot{r}^2 - r \dot{\theta}^2 = -\frac{G(m_1 + m_2)}{r^2}.
\]

In order to find \( r \) as a function of \( \theta \) we need to make the substitution \( u = 1/r \). By differentiating \( r \) with respect to time and making use of Eq. (9) we can eliminate time in the differential equation. We obtain

\[
\dot{r} = -h \frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2} = -h^2u \frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2}
\]

and hence Eq. (12) can be written

\[
\frac{d^2u}{d\theta^2} + u = \frac{G(m_1 + m_2)}{h^2}.
\]

This is a second order, linear differential equation, often referred as Binet’s equation, with a general solution

\[
u = \frac{G(m_1 + m_2)}{h^2} \left[ 1 + e \cos(\theta - \varpi) \right],
\]

where \( e \) (an amplitude) and \( \varpi \) (a phase) are two constants of integration. Substituting back for \( r \) gives

\[
r = \frac{p}{1 + e \cos(\theta - \varpi)},
\]

where \( p = h^2/(m_1 + m_2) \). This is the general equation in polar coordinates of a set of curves known as conic sections where \( e \) is the eccentricity and \( p \) is a constant called the semilatus rectum. For a given system the initial conditions will determine the particular conic section (circle, ellipse, parabola, or hyperbola) the planet follows. We consider only elliptical motion for which

\[
p = a(1 - e^2),
\]

where \( a \), a constant, is the semi-major axis of the ellipse. The quantities \( a \) and \( e \) are related by

\[
b^2 = a^2(1 - e^2),
\]

where \( b \) is the semi-minor axis of the ellipse (see Fig. 3).

Therefore, for any given value of \( \theta \) the radius is calculated using the equation

\[
r = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos(\theta - \varpi)}.
\]
Hence the path of the planet around the star is an ellipse with the star at one focus; this is Kepler’s first law of planetary motion. Note that in the special case where \( e = 0 \) (a circular orbit), \( r = a \) and the angle \( \varpi \) is undefined.

The angle \( \theta \) is called the true longitude. Equation (19) shows that the minimum and maximum values of \( r \) are \( a(1 - e) \) (at \( \theta = \varpi \)) and \( a(1 + e) \) (at \( \theta = \varpi + \pi \)), respectively. These points are referred to as the periastron and the apoastron, respectively, although for motion around a star they can also be referred to as the periastron and apastron.

The angle \( \varpi \) (pronounced “curly pi”) is called the longitude of periastron or longitude of periastron of the planet’s orbit and gives the angular location of the closest approach with respect to the reference direction. If we define the true anomaly to be the angle \( f = \theta - \varpi \) (see Fig. 3) then \( f \) is measured with respect to the periastron direction and Eq. (19) can be written

\[
r = \frac{a(1 - e^2)}{1 + e \cos f}. \tag{20}
\]

In this case if we define a cartesian coordinate system centered on the star with the \( x \)-axis pointing towards the periastron (see Fig. 3), then the position vector has components

\[
x = r \cos f \quad \text{and} \quad y = r \sin f. \tag{21}
\]

Although we have eliminated the time from our equation of motion, we can relate the orbital period, \( T \), to the semi-major axis, \( a \). The area of an ellipse is \( A = \pi ab \) and this is swept out by the star-planet line in a time, \( T \). Hence, from Eq. (11), \( A = hT/2 \) and so

\[
T^2 = \frac{4\pi^2}{G(m_1 + m_2)} a^3. \tag{23}
\]

This is Kepler’s third law of planetary motion. It implies that the period of the planet’s orbit is independent of \( e \) and is purely a function of the sum of the masses and \( a \). If we define the mean motion, \( n \), of the planet’s motion as

\[
n = \frac{2\pi}{T} \tag{24}
\]

then we can write

\[
G(m_1 + m_2) = n^2 a^3 \tag{25}
\]

and hence

\[
h = na^2 \sqrt{1 - e^2} = \sqrt{G(m_1 + m_2)a(1 - e^2)}. \tag{26}
\]

There is an additional constant of the two-body motion which is useful in calculating the velocity of the planet. Taking the scalar product of \( \dot{r} \) with Eq. (4) and using Eqs. (6) and (7) gives the scalar equation

\[
\dot{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} + G(m_1 + m_2) \frac{\dot{r}}{r^2} = 0 \tag{27}
\]

which can be integrated to give

\[
\frac{1}{2} \dot{r}^2 - \frac{G(m_1 + m_2)}{r} = C, \tag{28}
\]

where \( \dot{r}^2 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} \) is the square of the velocity and \( C \) is a constant of the motion. Equation (28) is called the vis viva integral. It shows that the orbital energy per unit mass of the system is conserved.

Because \( \varpi \) is a constant, \( \dot{\varpi} = \dot{f} \) and Eq. (7) gives

\[
v^2 = \mathbf{r} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} = r^2 + r^2 \dot{f}^2. \tag{29}
\]

By differentiating Eq. (20) we obtain

\[
\dot{r} = \frac{na}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} e \sin f \tag{30}
\]

and hence, using Eqs. (9) and (16), we have

\[
\dot{r} = \frac{na}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} e \sin f \tag{31}
\]

and

\[
r \dot{f} = \frac{na}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}}(1 + e \cos f). \tag{32}
\]

Therefore we can write Eq. (29) as

\[
v^2 = \frac{n^2 a^2}{1 - e^2}(1 + 2 e \cos f + e^2). \tag{33}
\]

This shows the dependence of \( v \) on \( f \). A little further manipulation gives

\[
v^2 = G(m_1 + m_2) \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right) \tag{34}
\]

which shows the dependence of \( v \) on \( r \).

3. Solution of the Kepler Problem

In the previous section we solved the equation of motion of the two-body problem to show the path of the planet’s orbit with respect to the star. However, in the process we eliminated the time and so although we can calculate \( r \) for a given value of \( \theta \), we have no means of finding \( r \) as a function of time. This is the essence of the Kepler problem.

Our starting point is to derive an expression for \( \dot{r} \) in terms of \( r \). We can do this by using Eqs. (20), (32) and (34) to rewrite Eq. (29) as

\[
\dot{r}^2 = n^2 a^3 \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right) - \frac{n^2 a^3 (1 - e^2)}{r^2}. \tag{35}
\]

This simplifies to give

\[
\dot{r} = \frac{na}{r} \sqrt{a^2 e^2 - (r - a)^2}. \tag{36}
\]
In order to solve this differential equation we introduce a new variable, \( E \), the eccentric anomaly, by means of the substitution
\[
r = a(1 - e \cos E).
\]
(37)
The differential equation transforms to
\[
\dot{E} = \frac{n}{1 - e \cos E}.
\]
(38)
The solution can be written as
\[
n(t - t_0) = E - e \sin E,
\]
(39)
where we have taken \( t_0 \) to be the constant of integration and used the boundary condition \( E = 0 \) when \( t = t_0 \). At this point we can define a new quantity, \( M \), the mean anomaly such that
\[
M = n(t - t_0),
\]
(40)
where \( t_0 \) is a constant called the time of periapsis passage. There is no simple geometrical interpretation of \( M \) but we note that it has the dimensions of an angle and that it increases linearly with time. Furthermore, \( M = f = 0 \) when \( t = t_0 \) or \( t = t_0 + T \) (periapsis passage) and \( M = f = \pi \) when \( t = t_0 + T/2 \) (apoapsis passage). We can write
\[
M = E - e \sin E.
\]
(41)
This is Kepler’s equation and its solution is fundamental to the problem of finding the orbital position at a given time. For a particular time \( t \) we can (i) find \( M \) from Eq. (40), (ii) find \( E \) by solving Kepler’s equation, Eq. (41), (iii) find \( r \) using Eq. (37), and finally \( f \) using Eq. (20).

The key step is solving Kepler’s equation and this is usually done numerically. Danby (1988) gives several numerical methods for its solution. For example, if we define the function
\[
g(E) = E - e \sin E - M
\]
then we can use a Newton-Raphson method to find the root of the non-linear equation, \( g(E) = 0 \). The iteration scheme is
\[
E_{i+1} = E_i - \frac{g(E_i)}{g'(E_i)}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]
(43)
where \( g'(E_i) = d g(E_i) / d E_i = 1 - e \cos E_i \) and the iterations proceed until convergence is achieved. A reasonable initial value is \( E_0 = M \) since \( E \) and \( M \) differ by a quantity of order \( e \) (see Eq. (41)).

Although we cannot have an explicit relation between the angles \( f \) and \( M \), from Kepler’s second law (Eq. 9) and (Eq. 26) it is possible to write:
\[
df = n \sqrt{1 - e^2} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^2 dt = \sqrt{1 - e^2} \left( \frac{a}{r} \right)^2 dM.
\]
(44)
The above relation is useful when we want to average any physical quantity over a complete orbit. For instance,
\[
\left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dM}{r^2} = \frac{1}{a^2 \sqrt{1 - e^2}}.
\]
(45)
To complete the set of useful angles we define the mean longitude, \( \lambda \) by
\[
\lambda = M + \varpi.
\]
(46)
Therefore \( \lambda \), like \( M \), is a linear function of time. It is important to note that all longitudes \( (\theta, \varpi, \lambda) \) are defined with respect to a common, arbitrary reference direction.

4. THE ORBIT IN THREE DIMENSIONS

Of the orbital elements we have defined so far, two \( (a \) and \( e) \) are related to the physical dimensions of the orbit and the remaining two \( (\varpi \) and \( f) \) are related to orientation of the orbit or the location of the planet in its orbit. Note that there are many alternatives to \( f \) (e.g. \( \theta, M \) and \( \lambda \)) and the time of periapsis passage, \( t_0 \), can be used instead of \( f \) since the latter can always be calculated from the former. We have already noted that \( \varpi \) is the angular location of the periapsis direction measured from a reference point on the orbit.

Consider the planet’s position vector,
\[
r = (x, y, 0) = x \hat{x} + y \hat{y} + 0 \hat{z}
\]
(47)
in a three-dimensional coordinate system where the \( x \)-axis lies along the major (long) axis of the ellipse in the direction of periapsis, the \( y \)-axis is perpendicular to the \( x \)-axis and lies in the orbital plane, while the \( z \)-axis is mutually perpendicular to both the \( x \)- and \( y \)-axes forming a right-handed triad. By definition orbital motion is confined to the \( x-y \) plane. Consider a standard coordinate system where the direction of the reference line in the reference plane forms the \( X \)-axis. The \( Y \)-axis is in the reference plane at right-angles to the \( X \)-axis, while the \( Z \)-axis is perpendicular to both the \( X \)- and \( Y \)-axes forming a right-handed triad.

Let \( I \) denote the inclination, the angle between the orbit plane and the reference plane. The line formed by the intersection of the two planes is called the line of nodes. The ascending node is the point in both planes where the orbit crosses the reference plane moving from below to above the plane. The longitude of ascending node, \( \Omega \) is the angle between the reference line and the radius vector to the ascending node. The angle between this same radius vector and the periapsis of the orbit is called the argument of periapsis, \( \varpi \). Note that the inclination is always in the range \( 0 \leq I \leq 180^\circ \). An orbit is said to be prograde if \( I < 90^\circ \) while if \( I \geq 90^\circ \) the motion is said to be retrograde. We can also define
\[
\varpi = \Omega + \omega
\]
(48)
where \( \varpi \) is the longitude of periape introduced above but that now, in general, the angles \( \Omega \) and \( \omega \) lie in different planes so that \( \varpi \) forms a ‘dog-leg’ angle.

The orientation angles \( I, \Omega \) and \( \omega \) are illustrated in Fig. 5. It is clear that coordinates in the \( (x, y, z) \) system can be expressed in terms of the \( (X, Y, Z) \) system by means of a series of three rotations: (i) a rotation about the \( z \)-axis through an angle \( \omega \) so that the \( x \)-axis coincides with the line of nodes, (ii) a rotation about the \( x \)-axis through an angle \( I \) so that the two planes are coincident and finally (iii) a rotation about the \( z \)-axis through an angle \( \Omega \). We can represent these transformations by two \( 3 \times 3 \) rotation matrices, denoted by \( \mathbf{P}_x(\phi) \) (rotation about the \( x \)-axis) and \( \mathbf{P}_z(\phi) \) (rotation about the \( z \)-axis), with elements

\[
\mathbf{P}_x(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}
\]

(49)

and

\[
\mathbf{P}_z(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(50)

Consequently

\[
\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_z(\Omega)\mathbf{P}_x(I)\mathbf{P}_z(\omega) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}
\]

(51)

and

\[
\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}_z^{-1}(\omega)\mathbf{P}_x^{-1}(I)\mathbf{P}_z^{-1}(\Omega) \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}
\]

(52)

where \( \mathbf{P}_x^{-1}(\phi) = \mathbf{P}_x(-\phi) \) and \( \mathbf{P}_z^{-1}(\phi) = \mathbf{P}_z(-\phi) \) are the inverse of the matrices of \( \mathbf{P}_x(\phi) \) and \( \mathbf{P}_z(\phi) \), respectively.

5. BARYCENTRIC MOTION

In order to determine the observable effects of an orbiting planet on a star it helps if we consider the motion in the center of mass or barycentric system (see Fig. 5). The position vector of the center of mass of the system is

\[
\mathbf{R} = \frac{m_1\mathbf{r}_1 + m_2\mathbf{r}_2}{m_1 + m_2}.
\]

(56)

From Eqs. (2) and (3) we have

\[
\ddot{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{m_1\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1 + m_2\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_2}{m_1 + m_2} = 0,
\]

(57)

and by direct integration \( \dot{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{V} \) constant. These equations imply that either (i) the center of mass is stationary (the case when \( \mathbf{V} = 0 \)), or (ii) it is moving with a constant velocity (the case when \( \mathbf{V} \neq 0 \)) in a straight line with respect to the origin \( O \). Then, if we write \( \mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{R} \) and \( \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{R} \), we have

\[
m_1\mathbf{R}_1 + m_2\mathbf{R}_2 = 0.
\]

(58)

This implies that (i) \( \mathbf{R}_1 \) is always in the opposite direction to \( \mathbf{R}_2 \), and hence that (ii) the center of mass is always on the line joining \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). Therefore we can write

\[
\mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{r},
\]

(59)

where \( r \) is the separation of \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \), and the distances of the star and planet from their common center of mass are related by \( m_1\mathbf{R}_1 = -m_2\mathbf{R}_2 \) (Eq. 58). Hence

\[
\mathbf{R}_1 = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2}r \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R}_2 = -\frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2}r.
\]

(60)
Therefore each object will orbit the center of mass of the system in an ellipse with the same eccentricity but the semi-major axes are reduced in scale by a factor (see Fig. 6)

$$a_1 = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} a \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} a.$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

The orbital periods of the two objects must each be equal to \( T \) and therefore the two mean motions must also be equal \((n_1 = n_2 = n)\), although the semi-major axes are not. Each mass then moves on its own elliptical orbit with respect to the common center of mass, and the periapses of their orbits differ by \( \pi \) (see Fig. 6b).

We are now in a position to revisit the expression for the radial velocity of the star, \( v_r \). Observers usually take the reference plane \((X, Y)\) to be the plane of the sky perpendicular to the line of sight, the \(Z\)-axis oriented towards the observer (Fig. 7). Thus, the radial velocity of the star is simply given by the projection of the velocity vector on the line of sight. Since \( r_1 = R + r_{1\star} \) this gives

$$v_r = \hat{r}_1 \cdot \hat{Z} = V_Z + \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \dot{Z},$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

where \( V_Z = V \cdot \hat{Z} \) is the proper motion of the barycenter and \( \dot{Z} \) can be obtained directly from Eq. (55):

$$\dot{Z} = \dot{r} \sin(\omega + f) \sin I + r \dot{f} \cos(\omega + f) \sin I,$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

or, making use of Eqs. (31) and (32),

$$\dot{Z} = \frac{na \sin I}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}} (\cos(\omega + f) + e \cos \omega).$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

We can now write

$$v_r = V_Z + K (\cos(\omega + f) + e \cos \omega),$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

where

$$K = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \frac{na \sin I}{\sqrt{1 - e^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

6. APPLICATION TO EXTRA-SOLAR PLANETS

More than 500 extra-solar planets are known to date\(^1\), and the number is continuously rising. Looking at this data, we can admire the wide variety of possible orbital parameters and physical properties: central stars of spectral types from F to M, minimum masses from 2 Earth-masses to more than 20 times the mass of Jupiter, orbital periods of one day to more than fifteen years (the same time as the length of the observations), and eccentricities ranging from perfect circular orbits to extreme values of more than 0.9. There are planets as close as 0.014 AU and as far as 670 AU from their host stars.

In Table 1 we report two examples of extreme values for the eccentricity, obtained using the radial velocity technique. The first example (HD 156846b) corresponds to a highly eccentric orbit, while the second one (HD 83443b) shows an almost circular orbit. At present, both planets are in single-planet systems, which allows us to apply directly the formulae derived in previous sections to the analysis of their motion.

6.1. HD 156846b

HD 156846 has been observed with the CORALIE spectrograph at La Silla Observatory (ESO) from May 2003 to September 2007. Altogether, 64 radial velocity measurements with a mean uncertainty of 2.8 m/s were gathered. Figure 8a shows the CORALIE radial velocities and the corresponding best-fit Keplerian model. The resulting orbital

---

\(^1\) The Extrasolar Planets Encyclopedia. http://exoplanet.eu/
parameters are $T = 359.51\, \text{d}$, $e = 0.847$ and $K = 464\, \text{m/s}$ (Table 1). Details on the data analysis using radial velocities are given in Chapter 3.

Assuming a stellar mass $m_1 = 1.43 M_{\odot}$ (Tamuz et al., 2008), Eqs. (25) and (66) can be used to derive a companion minimum mass of $m_2 \sin I = 10.45 M_{\text{Jup}}$, orbiting the central star with a semi-major axis $a = 0.99\, \text{AU}$. With the radial velocity technique it is impossible to determine the inclination $I$, and therefore we are unable to describe the orbit in three dimensions and to determine the exact mass of the planet. Nevertheless, the orbit in two dimensions (orbital plane) can be completely characterized. Astrometry is the only observational technique that can provide the full, three-dimensional orbit of the planet, but at present few planets have been observed by this method (Chapt. 6).

In Figure 8b we have drawn the orbit of HD 156846b. Because of its high eccentricity, the orbit is very elongated. As a consequence, the separation between the planet and the star ranges from 0.15 AU at periapse to 1.83 AU at apoapse. In our Solar System comets are the only objects that present such large variations in their position relative to the Sun. The origin of such high eccentricities is unknown, but a possible explanation is through close encounters between very massive bodies during the formation process (Ford and Rasio, 2008).

The angle $\omega = 52.2^\circ$ corresponds to the argument of periapse, that is measured from the nodal line between the plane of the sky and the orbital plane of the planet. For HD 156846b this quantity is well defined, because the orbit is so eccentric. According to Eq. (34), at periapse the orbital velocity is maximal and therefore it shows an easily identifiable peak in the observational data (Fig. 8).

The planet is at periapse whenever

$$t = t_0 + kT \quad \text{with} \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \quad (67)$$

where $t_0 = \text{JD} 2453998.1$ (19 Sep. 2006 at 14h 24m UT) is the time of periapse passage. In fact, because the orbit is periodic, any instant of time, $t_0$, given by Eq. (67) can be used as the time of periapse passage. This is true for the two-body problem, but no longer valid if additional bodies are present in the system. Indeed, mutual planetary perturbations will disturb the orbits and the time of two successive periapse passages is no longer given exactly by Eq. (67) (see Chapt. 10). Although observers often use $t_0$ as a parameter to characterize orbits, for multi-planet systems it is meaningless. A better option is to use the mean anomaly $M$ (Eq. 40) or the mean longitude $\lambda$ (Eq. 46). The observer fixes a date $t_f$ and then provides the value of $M = M_0$ computed for that date (Eq. 40):

$$M_0 = n(t_f - t_0). \quad (68)$$

A practical choice of $t_f$ is to use $t_f = t_0$, since $M_0 = 0$ (and $\lambda = \omega$). For multi-planetary systems, the planet will still be at periasthon whenever $M = 0$, but the time of successive periapse passages will no longer be given by Eq. (67).

### 6.2. HD 83443b

HD 83443b is a short period Jupiter-size planet, therefore belonging to the class of “Hot-Jupiters”. It was first announced at the Manchester IAU Symp. 202 as a resonant 2-planet system with periods $T_1 = 2.986\, \text{d}$ and $T_2 = 29.85\, \text{d}$, but subsequent observations could not confirm the presence of the companion around 30 d. The origin of the transient signal is not clear yet, but an appealing possibility is to attribute the effect to activity of the star (Mayor et al., 2004). As a consequence, the HD 83443 star has been monitored many times. After 257 radial velocity measurements using the CORALIE spectrograph with a mean uncertainty of 8.9 m/s (taken from March 1999 to March 2003), the short-period planet at $T = 2.986\, \text{d}$ was found to revolve alone in an almost circular orbit ($e \sim 0$) with $K = 58.1\, \text{km/s}$ (Table 1). In Fig. 9a we show the CORALIE radial velocities and the corresponding best-fit Keplerian model.

Assuming a stellar mass $m_1 = 0.90 M_{\odot}$ (Mayor et al., 2004), a companion minimum mass $m_2 \sin I = 0.38 M_{\text{Jup}}$

### Table 1

**Two Examples of Extreme Orbital Eccentricities for Extra-Solar Planets.**

|                | HD 156846 b | HD 83443 b |
|----------------|-------------|------------|
| discovery year | 2007        | 2002       |
| data ref.      | Tamuz et al. (2008) | Mayor et al. (2004) |
| $V_2$ [km/s]   | $-68.540 \pm 0.001$ | $29.027 \pm 0.001$ |
| $T$ [d]        | $359.51 \pm 0.09$ | $2.98565 \pm 0.00003$ |
| $K$ [km/s]     | $0.464 \pm 0.003$ | $58.1 \pm 0.4$ |
| $e$            | $0.847 \pm 0.002$ | $0.013 \pm 0.013$ |
| $\omega$ [°]   | $52.2 \pm 0.4$ | $11 \pm 11$ |
| $t_0$ [JD-2.45×10^6] | $3998.1 \pm 0.1$ | $1497.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| $m_1 [M_{\odot}]$ | 1.43        | 0.90      |
| $m_2 \sin I [M_{\text{Jup}}]$ | 10.45    | 0.38   |
| $a$ [AU]       | 0.9930      | 0.03918   |
Fig. 8.— (a) Radial-velocity measurements as a function of Julian Date obtained with CORALIE for HD 156846, superimposed on the best Keplerian planetary solution (Table 1). (b) Keplerian orbit of HD 156846 b and reference angles.

and semi-major axis $a = 0.039$ AU has been derived. Again, it is impossible to determine the inclination $I$, and the orbit can only be characterized in terms of its orbital plane (Fig. 9b).

Because the orbital eccentricity is small and uncertain ($e = 0.013 \pm 0.013$), so too is the argument of the periapse ($\omega = 11^\circ \pm 1^\circ$). Indeed, for perfect circular orbits ($e = 0$) the argument of the periapse is not defined since the distance from the planet to the star is constant. Therefore, it is also meaningless to provide the time of periapse passage ($t_0 = JD \, 2451497.5 \pm 0.3$, i.e. 15 Nov. 1999 at 0h 0m UT). The fact that the error bar is only 0.3 d, suggests (erroneously) that it is small. However, since the orbital period of the planet is only 2.986 d, an uncertainty of $\pm 0.3$ d is equivalent to a 20% uncertainty in $t_0$.

For circular orbits the parameters $\omega$ and $t_0$ are not defined (because $e = 0$) and the same is also true for the mean anomaly $M$ (angle between the periastron and the planet). However, the orbit of the planet is still well determined (Fig. 9a) and we should be able to provide accurate positions of the planet on its orbit. The correct parameter for that purpose is the sum $M + \omega$ or the mean longitude $\lambda = M + \omega$ (Fig. 9b). Indeed, fixing the date at $t_f = JD \, 2453000.0$ we obtain $\lambda = 91^\circ \pm 1^\circ$, which has a relative error of about 0.3%, much better than the 100% of uncertainty in $\omega$.

Fig. 9.— (a) Phase-folded radial-velocity measurements obtained with CORALIE for HD83443, superimposed on the best Keplerian planetary solution (Table 1). (b) Keplerian orbit of HD 83443 b and reference angles.
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