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The dual bromodomain protein Brd2 is closely related to the basal transcription factor TAFii250, which is essential for cyclin A transactivation and mammalian cell cycle progression. In transgenic mice, constitutive lymphoid expression of Brd2 causes a malignancy most similar to human diffuse large B cell lymphoma. We compare the genome-wide transcriptional expression profiles of these lymphomas with those of proliferating and resting normal B cells. Transgenic tumors reproducibly show differential expression of a large number of genes important for cell cycle control and lymphocyte biology; expression patterns are either tumor-specific or proliferation-specific. Several of their human orthologs have been implicated in human lymphomagenesis. Others correlate with human disease survival time. BRD2 is underexpressed in some subtypes of human lymphoma and these subtypes display a number of similarities to the BRD2-mediated murine tumors. We illustrate with a high degree of detail that cancer is more than rampant cellular proliferation, but involves the additional transcriptional mobilization of many genes, some of them poorly characterized, which show a tumor-specific pattern of gene expression.

Diffuse large cell lymphoma (DLCL)2 is an aggressive B cell malignancy that accounts for 40% of non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas (NHL) and is the most common type of lymphoma in adults (1). NHL incidence rates have roughly doubled since 1970 (2), and 56,000 new cases will be diagnosed in 2006, with 19,000 deaths, making NHL the fifth ranking cause of cancer deaths in the United States (1). Key features of DLCL are its extremely heterogeneous presentation (3–5), which gives rise to significant individual-level differences in responsiveness to therapy (4), and 60% mortality (5), making treatment decisions difficult (3, 5) and making a molecular understanding of the origin of this B cell malignancy a distant goal.

We have published a transgenic (Tg) mouse model for DLCL, based on constitutive (Eμ), B lineage-restricted expression of a newly described oncogene, the double bromodomain protein Brd2 (6), which is related to the basal transcription factor TAFii250 (7), a key participant in the transcriptional control of cyclin A and cell cycle progression (8). The expression of cyclin A is up-regulated in Tg B cells (6), and the cell cycle is destabilized. Tg models make it possible to examine the consequences of DLCL in an inbred animal strain with a single initiating genetic lesion. This feature provides a model for DLCL with the least possible intrinsic diversity. Murine lymphoma models have been well established for some time, such as Eμ-Myc mice (9). However, the Eμ-Myc phenotype includes malignancies at several stages of lymphocyte development and greater cellular diversity than is seen in Eμ-BRD2 (Tg) mice. Unlike Eμ-Myc mice, which frequently develop fatal pre-B or B-cell lymphomas after 6 weeks of age (10), Tg mice develop mature B cell lymphomas with monoclonal immunoglobulin (Ig) genes after 28 weeks (6). The clinical relevance of this model is partly justified by robust in vitro evidence that BRD2 is an oncogene situated within the class II major histocompatibility complex at human chromosome 6p21 (11). Unlike all other genes in this region, BRD2 alone appears not to be involved directly in antigen processing, but has a signal transduction function (11).

Brd2 is a nuclear-localized transcription factor kinase (12) and its Drosophila ortholog is female sterile homeotic (fsh) (7, 13, 14), which encodes a homeotic protein and probable transcription regulator that is an upstream activator of the trithorax locus (15). MLL, the human homolog of trithorax, is disrupted in mixed-lineage (myeloid and lymphoid) human leukemias associated with 11q23 translocations (16, 17). Recent studies suggest that bromodomain-containing proteins provide a scaffold or platform for transcription or chromatin-remodeling complexes, anchoring them to nucleosomes (18–20). Furthermore, oncogenic fusion proteins that contain bromodomains participate in neoplasia, such as the BET protein Brd4/MCAP (21, 22), which is closely related to Brd2, sharing its dual bromodomain and extraterminal (ET) domain structure (21). BRD4 is rearranged in t (15, 19) translocations associated with aggressive carcinomas of the respiratory tract and its fusion partners encode likely oncoproteins (23). Also, translocations that fuse the bromodomain and histone acetyltransferase (HAT) domain of CREB-binding protein (CBP) to MLL (24–26) or to the monocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (27), or that fuse p300 to MLL, create oncoproteins (28) associated with...
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acute leukemias. The bromodomain is required for full transforming activity in at least one of these cases (25). Therefore, inappropriate targeting of HATs to certain promoters can cause lymphoid malignancy.

Our study was motivated by the genome-wide transcriptional profiling of human lymphomas that has revealed previously unsuspected subclasses of DLCL, the transcriptional “fingerprint” of which can be used to classify patients into the most appropriate therapeutic group (3). Some of these human profiles are correlated with the fingerprint of mitogenically activated B cells, and are associated with a more aggressive course and higher mortality rates (a five-year median survival rate of 16%), whereas others are correlated with the fingerprint of germinal center (GC) B cells and are associated with more indolent disease and a 5-year median survival rate of 76% (4). As a result of the DLCL phenotype of Tg mice and the lack of studies suggesting a direct role for alterations in BRD2 expression in human lymphomagenesis or leukemogenesis, we chose to study the gene expression patterns of this malignancy in greater detail. An advantage of the relatively late onset of full blown malignant lymphomas in Tg mice is the ability to collect lymphoid tissue from mice at progressive stages of lymphomagenesis, from very early, “pre-malignant” splenic B cells through to aggressive, end-stage splenic lymphomas associated with peripheral leukemia and organ failure. We compared the genome-wide transcriptional signatures we obtained from malignant cells to normal resting B cells and mitogenically activated B cells from syngeneic mice.

Based on our previous transcriptional profiling in this lymphoma model (6), we hypothesized that we would identify several patterns of differential gene expression, with one group representing a “proliferation signature,” similar to mitogenically activated normal B cells, another group representing a “cancer signature,” comprising genes specific to lymphomagenesis and malignancy. We used Affymetrix arrays that detect the expression of 22,500 murine transcripts and found over 3,000 that are differentially expressed between resting B cells and aggressive Tg lymphomas. Examination of the expression of these genes in earlier stage lymphomas and activated B cells resulted in the identification of clusters of co-expressed genes, and reveals a number of genes that are specifically altered over 3,000 that are differentially expressed between resting B cells, and aggressive Tg lymphomas. We compared the genome-wide transcriptional signatures we obtained from malignant cells to normal resting B cells and mitogenically activated B cells from syngeneic mice.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice—Animals were handled humanely in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), state and Federal regulatory requirements; the Boston University Medical Campus IACUC reviewed and authorized this study. Tg mice developed lymphomas over the course of the experiment and exhibited clinical signs, including failure to nest, hunched posture, loss of appetite, sleepiness, inactivity, and ruffled fur (6).

Flow Cytometry—For analysis of splenocytes from normal or lymphoma mice, single cell suspensions of spleen were dispersed with a 70-μm nylon cell strainer (BD Falcon) in HBSS and stained in PBS supplemented with 0.1% bovine serum albumin. For surface cytofluorimetric analyses, FITC-coupled anti-body against the pan B cell marker B220 and PE-coupled antibodies against mouse CD5, IgM, and IgD were from eBioscience (San Diego, CA). Antibodies against CD25, CD69, B7-1, B7-2, and rat isotype controls were from BD Pharmingen. Cell staining was performed in the presence of Fc receptor blocking antibody (clone 2.4G2, eBioscience), and cells were detected by flow cytometry with a FACSCalibur system (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). Signals were analyzed with Cell Quest software. Viability (>99%) was determined by trypan blue. Cells were stained with B220-FITC antibodies and compared with PE-coupled antibodies for other antigens (6).

B Cell Activation in Vitro—Spleens were isolated and, after erythrocyte lysis, B cells were purified with anti-CD43 negative selection using magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA) in PBS supplemented with 2 mM EDTA and 0.5% bovine serum albumin as previously described (6). B cells were cultured at 37 °C, 5% CO2 and 100% humidity in Na2HCO3-buffered RPMI1640 medium, supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Whittaker Bioproducts, Walkersville, MD), 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin, stimulated for 48 h with 10 μg/ml each of goat anti-mouse IgM F(ab')2 antibody, μ chain-specific (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA), rat anti-mouse CD40 (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA) and 10 ng/ml murine recombinant interleukin-4 (eBioscience). Cell surface markers for B cell activation were measured with flow cytometry as above.

Microarray Analysis—RNA was isolated from purified B cells as above, extracted with acid phenol and precipitated from 2-propyl alcohol, quantified, examined by nucleic acid electrophoresis for purity and integrity, labeled and hybridized in accordance with standard Affymetrix protocols to Murine Genome 430A Arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). These arrays contained representative sequences for ~22,500 transcripts that were identified from the UniGene data base (Build 107, June 2002).

Whole genome expression profiles were generated for 26 samples. Probe hybridization intensities were linearly scaled to a mean probe hybridization intensity of 500 units for each array using standard Affymetrix protocols to Murine Genome 430A Arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The signal intensities from the twenty-two probes in each probeset were then used to determine an overall expression level for each transcript and a measure of sequence-specific hybridization. These data and the probe level data have been submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (29) under accession number GSE6136. To eliminate expression measurements of genes that are not expressed in B cells, we identified 5,561 probesets among the 22,690 tested that failed to exhibit significant sequence-specific hybridization in any of the twenty-six samples using the detection calls generated by MAS 5. The hybridization intensities of the remaining 17,129 probesets were log10 transformed and used in subsequent analyses.

For some analyses, hybridization intensities were further Z-Score normalized such that each probeset had a mean intensity of 0 with a standard deviation of 1. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with these normalized data in DecisionSite for Functional Genomics (Spotfire, Somerville, MA). Student’s t-tests were performed using Excel (Microsoft,
Redmond, WA). A false discovery rate (FDR) for each \( t \) test \( p \) value was calculated (30), and the smallest \( p \) value that gave an FDR > 0.05 (\( p \approx 0.01 \)) was used as the threshold \( p \) value for evidence of significant differential expression. Hierarchical clustering was performed with normalized data and Ward’s method (31) in DecisionSite. Fold changes for each probe set are expressed as \( \log_2 \), relative to the average hybridization intensity across all samples.

Comparison with Human Lymphoma Datasets—Mouse probe sets for transcripts orthologous to human genes known to be involved in lymphomagenesis were identified in a multi-step procedure (see supplemental Methods). We used the Monti et al. dataset (32) to determine the expression of human genes orthologous to mouse genes that are differentially expressed during Tg lymphomagenesis in a collection of human clinical lymphoma samples. We first processed the dataset to remove probe sets with sequence-specific hybridization in less than 9 of the 176 human lymphoma samples (<5% of samples) and \( \log_{10} \) transformed the remaining hybridization intensities. The resulting dataset was then searched with NetAffx (33) to identify probe sets that detect expression of transcripts orthologous to various mouse genes of interest.

Analyses of variance were used to identify genes that vary between the three DLCL lymphoma classes identified by Monti et al. (32) and were performed in PartekPro (Partek). Pearson correlation between gene expression and patient survival time was performed using the cor.test function in the stats package of R 2.2.1.3.3

RESULTS

We characterized B cell lymphomas as they occurred sporadically in breeding lines of Tg mice over 2 years. We performed phenotypic analyses of splenic pathology, using flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry, and classified each tumor according to its stage of advancement, and confirmed our previously published histopathology of spleen (6). After we had assembled a well characterized tumor bank, we performed microarray analysis of RNA extracted from purified B cells of tumors or controls. Microarray analysis generated a dataset to compare cell types and draw conclusions about molecular characteristics of the tumors.

Splenocytes were isolated from normal mice and mice with advanced lymphoma, then subjected to flow cytometry analysis. Forward versus side scatter profiles show a shift to larger cell size in lymphoma (Fig. 1A, FSC). Immunophenotype was consistent with a B-1 cell identity (Fig. 1A, CD5, sIgM), as previously reported (6). B cell surface markers also show that the lymphoma cells were activated (Fig. 1A, panels B7-1 and B7-2). B cell surface markers defined two in vitro control conditions for interpretation of transcriptional signatures, independent of the microarray measurements themselves: “resting” cells from spleens of normal, non-Tg female (6–8 weeks) mice, mitogenically unstimulated (Fig. 1B, open histograms) or stimulated to become “activated” (closed histograms). Activated cells showed increased CD25, CD69, B7-1, and B7-2 expression, and decreased IgM and IgD (Fig. 1B), as expected (6). We later used total RNA from these B cells to define the transcriptional signatures “resting” and “activated,” against which we compared the signatures of B cell lymphomas by microarray analysis, described below.

Pathology Groups—As expected in intermediate stages of splenic lymphoid malignancy, B cell Ig genes no longer showed polyclonal phenotypes, but an oligoclonal phenotype emerged, which was replaced by a monoclonal phenotype in many advanced cases (6). The features of histopathology, Ig gene clonality, and surface markers allowed us to define five pathology groups for microarray analysis (Table 1). Group 1 (P1) comprised normal resting B cells from asymptomatic Tg or non-Tg mice (6–8 weeks) without a premalignant phenotype. These samples were tentatively grouped together in P1, because histology, FACS, and Ig analysis indicated that there were no detectable differences between asymptomatic Tg and non-Tg B cells. This supposition was later borne out with genome-wide transcriptome analysis, wherein PCA, shown below, confirmed that asymptomatic Tg B cells had a very similar signature to non-Tg B cells. Group 2 (P2) comprised normal activated B cells from asymptomatic non-Tg mice. Group 3 (P3) comprised B cells of Tg mice that showed early clinical signs and spleen enlargement of 1.25–2.0 times normal weight, premalignant expansion of peritoneal B cells and B cell hyperplasia by flow cytometry and histology (Fig. 1 and Ref. 6). About 90% of the samples in P3 showed oligoclonal Ig genes. Group 4 (P4) comprised Tg mice with more severe clinical signs and pathology, including severe splenomegaly (2.0–10.0+ times normal weight), B cell lymphoma and disruption of splenic architecture (6) as well lymphadenopathy and B cell infiltration of bone marrow. B cells of P4 and P5 were frequently monoclonal for Ig genes. Group 5 (P5) included Tg mice with end-stage pathologies and always showed additional leukemic infiltrates in liver, lung, and kidney. Heart and brain were the only organs that did not show infiltrates, the appearance of which infiltrates coincided with peripheral B cell leukemia and severe anemia (Results not shown).

Ig Gene Sequences Discarded—To gain insight into the molecular mechanisms associated with lymphomagenesis in Tg mice, we compared the genome-wide gene expression patterns of the P1–P5 categories of B cells from Table 1. As we have previously reported for this Tg B cell lymphoma (6), the majority of gene expression changes reflected a decrease in mouse immune cell markers, particularly Igk light chain sequences. Many of these markers were decreased between 50- and 100-fold, which we interpreted to be a hallmark of the emergence of clonality in the B cell lymphomas. Given this reason for differential expression of Ig genes, we removed probesets for detecting the expression of these genes from the dataset.

Principal Component Analysis—First, we sought to understand the general similarities and differences in gene expression between P1 and P5 by PCA of expression of all genes in the filtered dataset (Fig. 2A). The most important observation was that aggressive lymphomas (P5) have a pattern of gene expression more similar to activated B cells (P2) than resting B cells (P1). Intermediate-stage lymphomas (P3 and P4) have a pattern

---

3 R Development Core Team (2005) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3–900051–07–0.
of gene expression intermediate between resting B cells (P1) and aggressive lymphomas (P5), consistent with pathology. Second, to identify specific genes that are differentially expressed in aggressive lymphomas, we used the Student’s t test to identify genes differentially expressed between P1 and P5. This analysis identified 3,342 significantly differentially expressed probesets, using a $p$ value threshold of $\leq 0.01$ to maintain an overall FDR of 5%.

We then repeated the PCA using only these probesets to determine if the genes that are affected by lymphomagenesis are changed similarly in B cell activation (Fig. 2B). Two patterns might be expected: variation between P1, P2, and P5 could be collinear, implying that lymphomagenesis is essentially a mode of proliferation; or variation between P1 and P5 could be orthogonal to variation between P1 and P2, implying that genes affected by lymphomagenesis are tumor-specific. In fact, both patterns were observed: PCA of genes differentially expressed between resting B cells and aggressive lymphomas indicates that this group contains both tumor-specific and proliferation-specific genes, as indicated by the similar location of P5 and P2.
relative to P1 along the first principal component, as well as the distinct location of P5 relative to P2 along the second principal component. PCA also indicates that the intermediate expression phenotype of intermediate grade lymphomas (P3 and P4) is also preserved for this gene list.

Clusters of Gene Expression—Next, we used hierarchical clustering to identify distinct patterns of gene expression across the different B cell states for genes that vary between P1 and P5. We defined seven clusters (C1–C7; Fig. 3A). As expected from the similar pattern of gene expression seen in P2 and P5 (Fig. 2B), many clusters (C1, C3–C6) contain genes that are similarly differentially expressed in P2 and P5, relative to P1, and are thus “proliferation-specific” in this context (see supplemental Table S1 and supplemental File 1). C1 contains genes that are highly expressed in P2 and P5 relative to P1 and intermediate lymphomas. C1 should be considered in parallel with the down-regulated genes of C6, which share a roughly inverse pattern. C2 genes were highly expressed in P5, but not in P1 or P2; C2 therefore identifies genes that are “lymphoma specific” in this context. C7 genes that were expressed at lower levels in lymphoma and thus represent another pattern of lymphoma-specific gene expression; C7 is roughly the inverse of C2. The genes in clusters C2 and C7 are potential tumor-specific diagnostic markers and potential targets for therapeutic intervention.

C3 contains genes that are transcriptionally up-regulated in all pathology classes except P1. C3 should be considered an inverse of C4 and C5. C4 and C5 comprise the two largest groups of differentially expressed genes: genes that are down-regulated in all classes relative to P1, suggesting that C4 and C5 include genes that maintain quiescence. Consistent with this idea, several C5 genes involved in signal transduction are negative regulators of mitogenic signaling such as \( \text{Rhoh} \), \( \text{Pten} \), and \( \text{Crk} \). For many clusters, lymphomas of intermediate pathology (P3 and P4) exhibit a level of gene expression that is intermediate between P1 and P5. Some probesets were expressed at levels very similar to P1 in intermediate lymphomas (e.g. probesets in C1 and C6), suggesting that differential expression of these genes occurs specifically in P2 and P5. The lymphoma samples with intermediate pathology exhibit a more heterogeneous pattern of gene expression, suggesting that lymphomagenesis might occur along several pathways that converge on a more uniform terminal phenotype. A complete list of all the genes in each cluster is supplied in supplemental File 1.

Clinical Relevance—We compared our results with three large-scale gene expression profiling studies of clinical lymphoma samples that have defined gene expression signatures for human lymphoma subtypes: Shipp et al. (3), Alizadeh et al. (5), and Monti et al. (32). We expected to find that differentially expressed genes in the Tg mouse model are orthologous to human genes known to be important in lymphomagenesis. First, for the Shipp et al. (3) dataset that compares activated B cell-like and GC cell-like DLCL, 40% of probesets (27/68) for

![FIGURE 2. Global differences in gene expression during Tg lymphomagenesis.](image)

A, PCA of gene expression measurements from all genes with sequence-specific hybridization intensity in Tg and non-Tg mice, showing that the Tg does not dramatically perturb gene expression in resting (P1) and activated (P2) B cells; but that B cell activation and Tg-mediated lymphomagenesis result in a similar pattern of gene expression changes. B, PCA of gene expression measurements from 3,342 probesets that vary significantly between resting B cells (P1) and aggressive Tg lymphomas (P5) shows that the patterns of gene expression that are activated upon lymphomagenesis and upon B cell activation have both similarities and differences. The number in parentheses on each axis is the fraction of the total variation in gene expression that is accounted for by each principal component.
mouse genes orthologous to human genes that distinguish these human lymphoma subtypes were differentially expressed in P5 relative to P1 (Fig. 3B). Second, for the Alizadeh et al. (5) dataset that compares normal B cells, DLCL, FL and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells, 28% of probesets (139/495) in their proliferation signature and 59% (17/29) in their GC signature were differentially expressed in P5 relative to P1 (Fig. 3B). Third, for the Monti et al. (32) dataset of DLCL cells, 22% of probesets (26/118) that distinguish Oxidative Phosphorylation (OP), B Cell Receptor/proliferation (BCR) and Host Response/immune (HR) subtypes were differentially expressed in P5 relative to P1 (Fig. 3B).

This analysis indicates many tumor-specific and proliferation-specific genes we identified have not yet been implicated in human lymphomagenesis. Given the preponderance of mouse-specific genes, we next sought to determine if Tg lymphomas are generally analogous to clinically relevant human lymphomas. We found that BRD2 is expressed in the OP class of DLCL at approximately one-half the level observed in BCR or HR classes (32) (Fig. 4A; \( p \approx 0.001 \)). This result suggests that BRD2 function might be modulated in the OP class. Therefore, we tested genes that vary between different human DLCL classes for overlap with genes that vary between P1 and P5. Analysis of variance identified 4,120 probesets in the Monti et al. (32) dataset that vary between human DLCL classes with \( p \approx 10^{-5} \). 827 probesets to orthologous murine genes are significantly differentially expressed between P1 and P5 (Fig. 4B). The majority of genes that are more highly expressed in the OP class of DLCL compared with BCR or HR classes tend to be more highly expressed in P5 compared with P1 (Fig. 4C). The converse is also true: genes expressed at lower levels in the OP class tend to be expressed at lower levels in P5 compared with P1. Both of these trends are statistically significant (\( \chi^2 p \ll 0.001 \)).
These results suggest that the human lymphomagenesis pathway that gives rise to the OP class of DLCL has a pattern of gene expression that overlaps significantly with BRD2-driven murine lymphomagenesis.

Tg lymphomagenesis causes aggressive, transplantable disease with median survival time of 28 days (6); we therefore investigated whether Tg lymphomagenesis affects the expression of genes correlated with human DLCL survival time. We identified 120 probesets in the Monti et al. (32) dataset that were significantly positively or negatively correlated with patient survival time (Pearson correlation; \( p < 0.005 \); corresponding to FDR < 0.10). Of these, 20 probesets were significantly differentially expressed between P1 and P5 (Fig. 5). Genes that are negatively correlated with survival tend to be more highly expressed in P5 compared with P1. The converse is also true: genes positively correlated with survival tend to be expressed at lower levels in P5 compared with P1. This trend is borderline statistically significant (Fisher-Exact \( p = 0.066 \)). These parallels in survival-time gene expression may be independent of the similarities between OP and Tg lymphomas, because there is no apparent difference in the mean survival time of OP class DLCL patients (results not shown).

These results suggest that similar molecular mechanisms may determine DLCL survival time in both humans and mice, and also that BRD2-mediated murine lymphomas may be an appropriate model system for studying factors that influence human DLCL outcomes.

Lastly, we focused our attention on tumor specific genes that are of known importance in lymphoid biology. Targeted therapies for lymphoma are most likely to be successful if they are directed at genes that are differentially expressed along the tumor specific axis described above, orthogonal to the proliferation specific axis. The proliferation signature genes (supplemental Table S1, Clusters C3 and C6) are therefore of interest to studies of proliferation, but the tumor signature genes (supplemental Table S1, Clusters C2 and C7) are of deeper interest for the development of therapeutic targets, which we intend next to pursue. The most strongly differentially expressed genes of C2 and C7 that have important functions in lymphoid biology are shown in Fig. 6.
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FIGURE 6. Tumor-specific genes of particular importance in lymphocyte biology. Genes of particular importance in lymphocyte biology that are up-regulated in aggressive lymphomas (P5) relative to both resting and activated normal B cells (P1, P2) from cluster C2 are identified in the upper block. Genes that are downregulated in aggressive lymphomas relative to both resting and activated normal B cells from cluster C7 are identified in the lower block. Samples from lymphomas with intermediate pathology (P3, P4) showed intermediate levels of expression for these genes.

cyte activation), Gng3 (the γ3 subunit of a G protein), and Cdkn2a (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A; p16^{INK4a}, which shows increased expression in certain tumors). C7 genes included Prkcn, Satb1, Pkib, Stat4, Vav3, and Cr2 (Cd21, also called complement receptor 2).

DISCUSSION

The cellular phenotype of Tg lymphoma is more closely related to the activated B cell DLCL phenotype of NHL than to the GC or FL phenotype, consistent with the aggressive course of the malignancy (6). Dual bromodomain proteins such as Brd2 contribute to cyclin A transcriptional control; Brd2 multiprotein complexes contain E2F proteins (35), histone modification enzymes (36) and Swi/Snf-dependent nucleosome remodeling machinery (37). Apart from cyclin A transactivation, constitutive expression of a double bromodomain protein such as Brd2 might conceivably affect many loci, given the reported binding of Brd2 bromodomains to acetylated histone H4 (38). Furthermore, mutations in chromatin-related transcriptional control proteins often have broad epigenetic effects; swi/snf mutations in yeast transcriptionally repress many genes but activate many others (34).

We determined that the biology of Tg lymphomagenesis bears some gene expression features of mitogenically activated, primary splenic B-2 cells, as well as changes in gene expression that are tumor-specific. We found that a number of genes previously reported to be involved in human lymphomagenesis are differentially expressed in Tg lymphomas, and that these genes were primarily those that we found to be differentially expressed in both activated B cells and Tg lymphomas (Cluster C3 in Fig. 2A).

To explore other parallels between Tg lymphomagenesis and human disease, we examined the expression of BRD2 in a data set of 176 DLCL samples that had been categorized into three classes by genome-wide expression profiling (32) and found that BRD2 is expressed at ~2-fold lower levels in a human DLCL class (termed OP) that is characterized by the increased expression of genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation, mitochondrial function, and negative regulators of apoptosis. Gene expression differences in both Tg lymphomas and the OP class of DLCL are similar suggesting that BRD2 constitutive expression in mice triggers a pathway that at least partially mimics human OP-like lymphomagenesis.

It is surprising that the gene expression patterns of OP-DLCL and Tg lymphomas are similar given the decreased expression of BRD2 in OP relative to other classes of human DLCL. There are several possible scenarios to account for these results. 1) Constitutive expression of BRD2 in the Tg model may cause a dominant negative phenotype. 2) Because there is no evidence that the OP phenotype is directly caused by lowered expression of BRD2, an OP-modulated regulator of lymphomagenesis and constitutive expression of BRD2 might similarly activate an OP/BRD2-responsive pathway that results in down-regulation of BRD2 expression. 3) Both constitutive- and underexpression of BRD2 might cause a similar phenotype. 4) A defect in the cell cycle regulation of BRD2 in OP tumors could cause an OP/BRD2 lymphoma phenotype and also overall lower steady-state levels of BRD2 transcript. Although we are unaware of measurements of BRD2 expression in the Alizadeh et al. dataset (5), examination of the Shipp et al. dataset (3) revealed that BRD2 expression is slightly (but significantly) lower in DLCL compared with FL (analysis not shown). This decreased expression of BRD2 in DLCL is particularly intriguing because the Tg lymphomas have a phenotype that is more DLCL- than FL-like and this pattern is similar to the pattern observed for the OP class of DLCL.

BRD2-mediated lymphomagenesis results in the increased expression of genes associated with poor prognosis and the decreased expression of genes associated with long-term survival in humans, which is consistent with the aggressive nature of the Tg tumors. Experiments that modulate the expression of these genes in combination with Eμ-BRD2 may help to determine if any of these genes are critical regulators of lymphoma severity or survival. Our analysis also identifies clusters of genes (supplemental Table S1) that may be biomarkers of aggressive NHL, which have functions throughout signal transduction, including cytokine function, kinase cascades, and transcription factors, and several poorly characterized proteins with Drosophila homologs.

It is likely that the activated B cell expression signature is partially dependent on the mitogenic stimulus. For example, our choice of anti-IgM, anti-CD40 and interleukin-4 as mitogens is likely to create a different transcriptional outcome than polyclonal mitogenic activation with lipopolysaccharide, or endogenous B cell receptor engagement through the immunological synapse in the spleen. Gene expression profiles of normal and Tg B cells with different mitogenic stimuli may there-
fore permit further insight into the mechanisms by which lymphoma cells become highly proliferative. Likewise, the B-1 identity of the aggressive Tg tumors (6) may subsume gene expression patterns that depart intrinsically from the identity of normal, activated B-2 cells.

Given the genetically well controlled nature of this Tg model for DLCL, we are able to conclude that lymphomagenesis involves changes in the expression of genes that are important for proliferation, such as cell cycle genes, and a different set of genes that are specific to malignancy. The tumor-specific class is most likely to include useful therapeutic targets and should be examined further within the context of human lymphomagenesis.
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