The association between SBP and mortality risk differs with level of cognitive function in very old individuals
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Objective: Cognitive impairment and dementia are highly prevalent in very old populations. Cardiovascular disease is a common cause of death in people with dementia. This study investigated whether the association of blood pressure (BP) with mortality differed with respect to minimal mental state examination (MMSE) score in a representative sample of very old individuals.

Methods: The sample consisted of 1115 participants aged 85, 90, and at least 95 years from the Umeå85+/GERonta
dological Regional Database cohort study. The main outcome was all-cause mortality within 2 years according to BP and MMSE score, using Cox proportional-hazard regression models adjusted for sociodemographic and clinical characteristics associated with death.

Results: Mean age, MMSE score, and SBP and DBP were 89.4 ± 4.6 years, 21.1 ± 7.6, 146.1 ± 23.4 mmHg, and 74.1 ± 11.7 mmHg, respectively. Within 2 years, 293 (26%) participants died. BP was not associated independently with mortality risk, except among participants with MMSE scores of 0–10 among whom mortality risk was increased in association with SBP at least 165 mmHg and 125 mmHg or less, compared with 126–139 mmHg (adjusted hazard ratio 4.54, 95% confidence interval 1.12–14.46, respectively). In age and sex-adjusted analyses, SBP 125 mmHg or less was associated with increased mortality risk in participants with MMSE scores at least 18.

Conclusion: In people aged at least 85 years, the association of SBP with mortality appears to differ with respect to MMSE score. Very old individuals with very severe cognitive impairment and low or high BP may have increased mortality risk.
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INTRODUCTION

With an aging population, cognitive decline and dementia are becoming major public health issues [1]. An estimated 35.6 million people were affected by dementia in 2010, and this number is projected to almost double every 20 years [2]. Cognitive decline may involve one or several cognitive domains, such as memory, attention, and language [3], as well as functional abilities, such as activities in daily living (ADLs) [4]. Cognitive decline commonly coexists with cardiovascular disease, which seems to play a major role in the development and progress of cognitive decline and dementia [5–9]. Cardiovascular disease is also the most common cause of death in people with vascular dementia and mixed dementia [10,11]. In very old (age ≥ 80 years) individuals, cognitive impairment is associated with low systolic BP (SBP) [12–15]. As both low SBP and cognitive impairment are separately associated with increased mortality risk [16–21], the mortality risk associated with blood pressure (BP) may differ with respect to level of cognitive function.

Systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials have investigated the effects of antihypertensive therapy in patients with dementia aged at least 65 years [22] and more than 80 years [23], but limited statistical power prevented the authors from drawing definitive conclusions. A population-based study of older people (age ≥ 75 years; mean age, 83 years) revealed an independent association between low BP and increased mortality risk in cognitively impaired participants [24], defined by mini-mental state examination (MMSE) scores less than 24. Other population-based studies with somewhat younger participants (age ≥ 65 years; mean ages, 74 and 76 years) showed associations between diastolic BP (DBP), but not SBP and mortality that differed with respect to MMSE score [25,26]. This discrepancy may be because of age-related changes in SBP level [27,28] and the type of dementia [29–31]. Owing to these processes, extrapolation of results from younger populations to the very old may be misleading. The aim of this study was to investigate whether the association of BP with mortality differs with respect to MMSE score in a representative sample of very old individuals, including those with severe cognitive impairment.
METHODS

Setting
Baseline data for this study were taken from the population-based, prospective Umeå 85+/Geronotological Regional DAtabase (GERDA) study, conducted by Umeå University, Sweden, in collaboration with Abo Akademi University and the University of Vaasa, Finland. The Umeå85+/GERDA study has been described in detail elsewhere [32]. It was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Umeå (99–326, §05–063 mol/l, §09–178 mol/l, §2015-296-31) and the Ethics Committee of Vaasa Central Hospital (§05–87). The objective of the GERDA study is to increase knowledge of the living conditions of very old people, increase quality of life in this population, and provide data to support planning of future eldercare.

Design
Every other inhabitant of eight municipalities in northern Sweden and western Finland aged 85 years, and all of those aged 90 and at least 95 years, as listed in national population and tax registers, were invited to participate. All participants provided informed oral consent and relatives also provided informed oral consent when appropriate. Baseline data for Swedish participants in the present study were collected in three rounds, commencing in the years 2000, 2005, and 2010, and those for Finnish participants were collected in one round commencing in 2005. Trained assessors with medical education collected data using a standardized questionnaire and assessments at participants’ homes or care facilities and medical records. Data were also collected from care personnel and relatives of cognitively impaired individuals as proxy respondents when possible. The questionnaire included subjective and objective questions and covers sociodemographic, socioeconomic, and medical factors; quality of life; and attitudes toward aging, participants’ current situation, and the current situation with eldercare.

Participants
Participants in the Umeå85+/GERDA study from whom SBP measurements and MMSE scores were collected during home visits were included in the present study. For those who participated in more than one round of data collection, the first sets of data from home visits were included in this study. All participants were followed to determine survival status after 2 years.

Measures
The study outcome was all-cause mortality within 2 years. Dates of death were collected from death certificates, population registers, and medical records. Information on cohabitation, education, and smoking status was collected from the respondent. Information on diagnoses, medical conditions, and drug prescriptions collected from the respondent was verified and complemented using medical records from hospitals, general practitioners, and care facilities. A specialist in geriatric medicine verified and complemented diagnoses using all collected data, including assessments, tests, pharmacological treatments, and medical records. Dementia and depression were diagnosed according to the ‘Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,’ fourth edition, text revision [33] criteria. Participants’ height and weight were measured to calculate BMI (kg/m²). The Barthel ADL index was used to assess dependency in daily activities on a scale of 0–20, with a score of 20 indicating total independence in personal ADLs [34].

BP was measured using a calibrated manual sphygmomanometer and stethoscope after 5 min rest in a supine position. Pulse pressure was calculated by subtracting DBP from SBP. To allow for detection of nonlinear associations with mortality, SBP, and pulse pressure were classified in quintiles (≤125, 126–139, 140–149, 150–164, and >165 mmHg) and ≤55, 56–65, 66–75, 76–90, and >90 mmHg, respectively. DBP was classified in quartiles because of its narrower distribution (<70, 70–74, 75–80, and >80 mmHg).

Cognitive impairment was assessed using the MMSE, a validated and commonly used screening tool for cognitive impairment [35,36]. In community and primary care settings, the MMSE has 82–88% sensitivity for dementia and 86% specificity [37,38] in pooled analyses (most common cutoff value, 23/24). MMSE scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating better cognitive function. Participants were classified into four subcohorts based on MMSE score (0–10, 11–17, 18–23, and 24–30). MMSE score cutoff values of 17 or less and at least 24 are commonly used to differentiate severe, mild, and no cognitive impairment, respectively [36]. To investigate differences within the MMSE score = 0–17 group, an additional cutoff value of 10 or less designating very severe cognitive impairment was used; this threshold has been used previously to indicate severe dementia [39,40].

Statistical analysis
Associations between all-cause mortality and categorized BP variables were analyzed using Cox proportional-hazard regression models. The BP category with the lowest risk was used as reference. Two models were developed: a basic model, including only age, sex, and BP (model 1) and a fully adjusted model, including age, sex, baseline characteristics associated with death, and BP (model 2). BP variables (SBP, DBP, and pulse pressure) were entered separately into each model. Baseline characteristics potentially associated with death (Table 1) were identified from previous research. Associations between baseline characteristics and 2-year mortality were tested using Student’s t-test and Pearson’s χ² test. Bivariate correlations were tested between all baseline characteristics associated with death, and the dementia and antidepressants variables were removed because of strong correlations (r > 0.6) with MMSE score and depression, respectively. The BMI variable was removed because of missing values. Remaining baseline characteristics associated with death at a significance level of P ≤ 0.15 were entered in a multivariate analysis using Cox proportional-hazard regression models. To reduce the number of variables [41], only baseline characteristics associated with death at a significance level of P ≤ 0.05 in the multivariate analysis were entered into
model 2, together with BP. The Schoenfeld residuals test was used to identify time-dependent variables [42]. Interaction effects between BP and MMSE score were tested by entering an interaction term into each model. These analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics software (version 22.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA). Associations of mortality with SBP and MMSE score in the total sample were explored graphically with flexible parametric models (knots in default position) using STATA statistical software (release 12; StataCorp 2011, College Station, Texas, USA). Enterprising an interaction term into each model. These analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics software (version 22.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA). Comparing with the study population, individuals who declined participation or from whom no SBP measurement or MMSE score was obtained did not differ significantly in age (89.8 ± 4.8 vs. 89.4 ± 4.6 years, P = 0.064), but the proportion of women was larger (75.0 vs. 66.5%, P < 0.001).

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study population according to MMSE score. Age and prevalence of dementia showed increasing trends with decreasing MMSE score, whereas BP, pulse pressure, and Barthel ADL index showed decreasing trends. Individuals with MMSE scores of 0–10 showed the highest prevalence of invitations, of whom 293 (26%) participants died within 2 years (mean ± SD, 1.73 ± 0.53 years). The numbers of deaths were about equally distributed among MMSE score subcohorts, but larger proportions of participants in the lower than in the higher MMSE score subcohorts died (mortality rates: MMSE = 0–10, 0.593; MMSE = 11–17, 0.506; MMSE = 18–23, 0.239; MMSE = 24–30, 0.120). Compared with the study population, individuals who declined participation or from whom no SBP measurement or MMSE score was obtained did not differ significantly in age (89.8 ± 4.8 vs. 89.4 ± 4.6 years, P = 0.064), but the proportion of women was larger (75.0 vs. 66.5%, P < 0.001).

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study population according to MMSE score. Age and prevalence of dementia showed increasing trends with decreasing MMSE score, whereas BP, pulse pressure, and Barthel ADL index showed decreasing trends. Individuals with MMSE scores of 0–10 showed the highest prevalence of

---

**TABLE 1. Baseline sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the study population**

| Characteristic | Total (n = 1115) | 0–10 (n = 118) | 11–17 (n = 166) | 18–23 (n = 289) | 24–30 (n = 542) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Age (years)   | 89.4 ± 4.6      | 92.5 ± 4.8     | 91.1 ± 4.9     | 89.8 ± 4.4     | 87.9 ± 3.9     |
| Sex (female)  | 742 (67)        | 99 (84)        | 113 (68)       | 184 (64)       | 346 (64)       |
| Living alone  | 875 (79)        | 108 (92)       | 142 (86)       | 229 (80)       | 396 (73)       |
| Education > 8 years | 791 (73) | 88 (85) | 120 (77) | 230 (80) | 353 (65) |
| Current smoker | 35 (3)         | 1 (1)          | 2 (1)          | 10 (4)         | 22 (4)         |
| Former smoker | 324 (29)        | 16 (14)        | 44 (27)        | 84 (29)        | 180 (33)       |

**Diagnoses and medical conditions**

- Diabetes: 18 (15) vs. 16 (14), P = 0.05
- Congestive heart failure (n = 1114): 46 (41) vs. 42 (41), P = 0.30
- Atrial fibrillation: 44 (43) vs. 42 (42), P = 0.72
- MI in the previous year: 30 (27) vs. 27 (27), P = 0.20
- Angina pectoris: 30 (27) vs. 27 (27), P = 0.20
- Cancer in the previous 5 years: 26 (25) vs. 25 (25), P = 0.10
- COPD: 27 (25) vs. 25 (25), P = 0.10
- Dementia: 30 (27) vs. 25 (25), P = 0.10
- Hip fracture: 20 (18) vs. 17 (17), P = 0.20
- COPD in the previous 5 years: 18 (16) vs. 15 (15), P = 0.10
- Cancer in the previous 5 years: 14 (13) vs. 12 (12), P = 0.10

**Routine prescription medications**

- ACE inhibitors: 30 (27) vs. 25 (25), P = 0.10
- β-blockers: 24 (21) vs. 19 (18), P = 0.10
- Calcium channel blockers: 13 (13) vs. 9 (9), P = 0.10
- Diuretics: 20 (18) vs. 16 (16), P = 0.10
- Benzodiazepines: 25 (23) vs. 20 (20), P = 0.10
- Anticoagulants: 20 (18) vs. 15 (15), P = 0.10
- NSAIDs: 20 (18) vs. 15 (15), P = 0.10
- Paracetamol: 19 (17) vs. 14 (14), P = 0.10
- Statins: 17 (15) vs. 12 (12), P = 0.10
- Warfarin: 16 (15) vs. 11 (11), P = 0.10

**BMI, MMSE score, and other assessments**

- BMI (mean ± SD): 25.4 ± 4.4 vs. 24.6 ± 4.6, P = 0.05
- MMSE score (range, 0–30): 21.1 ± 1.6 vs. 20.4 ± 1.6, P = 0.05
- Barthel ADL index (range, 0–100): 65.0 ± 15.0 vs. 62.0 ± 15.0, P = 0.05
- SBP (mmHg): 146.1 ± 12.3 vs. 143.1 ± 12.3, P = 0.05

**Blood pressure, cognition, and mortality**

- SBP (mmHg): 146.1 ± 12.3 vs. 143.1 ± 12.3, P = 0.05
- DBP (mmHg): 72.0 ± 20.0 vs. 72.0 ± 20.0, P = 0.05
- Pulse pressure (mmHg): 63.0 ± 17.7 vs. 63.0 ± 17.7, P = 0.05
cerebrovascular disease, hip fracture, and angina pectoris and the lowest prevalence of atrial fibrillation. Individuals with MMSE scores of 11–17 had the highest prevalence of depression, atrial fibrillation, and congestive heart failure, and the highest mean number of prescribed drugs.

Figure 2 shows survival curves based on flexible parametric models according to SBP and MMSE score in the total sample. As can be seen, mortality risk was increased primarily among individuals with low SBP (Fig. 2a) or MMSE score less than 21 (population mean, Fig. 2b). The survival curve according to MMSE score had a sigmoidal shape; maximum risk was approximately 3.4, among individuals with MMSE scores less than 5.

Model 2 included age, sex, atrial fibrillation, depression, and Barthel ADL index. No time-dependent variables were identified. Interaction effects between MMSE score subcohorts and SBP categories were borderline significant in models 1 \((P = 0.069)\), and 2 \((P = 0.068)\). Interaction effects between MMSE score subcohorts and DBP and pulse pressure categories respectively were not significant in model 1 or 2 (data not shown).

Table 2 presents hazard ratios for death according to SBP category and MMSE score subcohort. Survival curves based on Cox proportional-hazard regression models are shown in Fig. 3. Among participants with MMSE scores of 0–10, SBP at least 165 and 125 mmHg or less were associated with increased mortality risk [model 2: hazard ratio 4.54, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.52–13.60 and hazard ratio 2.23, 95% CI = 1.12–4.45, respectively], compared with SBP of 126–139 mmHg in the fully adjusted model. In model 1, SBP 125 mmHg or less was associated with increased mortality risk also among participants with MMSE scores of 18–23 and 24–30, compared with SBP at least 165 mmHg (hazard ratio 2.81, 95% CI = 1.20–6.54 and hazard ratio 2.19, 95% CI = 1.09–4.40, respectively). For DBP and pulse pressure, the only significant associations were with MMSE scores of
18–23 in model 1; increased mortality risk was observed for DBP less than 70 mmHg compared with 75–80 mmHg (hazard ratio 1.96, 95% CI = 1.04–3.70) and pulse pressure 55 mmHg or less compared with more than 90 mmHg (hazard ratio 2.84, 95% CI = 1.08–7.45).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study of 1115 very old individuals, the association between SBP and mortality differed with respect to MMSE score. BP was not associated independently with mortality risk, except among participants with MMSE scores of 0–10. Among these participants, high (≥165 mmHg) and low (<125 mmHg) SBP were associated independently with mortality risk, compared with intermediate (126–139 mmHg) SBP. In age and sex-adjusted analyses, low SBP was also associated with increased mortality risk in participants with MMSE scores at least 18.

To our knowledge, the association of BP and mortality has not previously been analyzed separately in individuals with MMSE scores 10 or less. In contrast to those with higher cognitive function, these individuals seem to be vulnerable to the harmful effects of high and low SBP, indicating a nonlinear association. Larger studies are needed to confirm the risks associated with high and low SBP in people with very severe cognitive impairment, but this finding indicates that BP research in general very old populations may not be extrapolated to those with very severe cognitive impairment. Previously, an independent association of low SBP with 5-year mortality was shown to pertain exclusively to very old individuals with MMSE scores less than 24, as opposed to those with scores at least 24 [24]. The results of the present study indicate that the association of SBP with mortality pertains to individuals with much lower cognitive function than previously known, and that BP may not be an individual risk factor for 2-year mortality in individuals with MMSE scores more than 10, which is the great majority of the population. The previous findings of independent associations between low DBP and mortality risk that differed with respect to MMSE score [24–26] were not replicated in the present study.

Low SBP was a marker for increased mortality risk in individuals with MMSE scores at least 18. These results are consistent with some previous BP research among very old individuals, the majority of which have no or mild cognitive impairment [19–21]. Specifically, atrial fibrillation, depression, and dependency in ADLs seem to account for mortality risk associated with low SBP in the present study, as the associations were not robust against adjustments for these factors. Notably, low SBP was not a marker or risk factor for mortality risk in individuals with MMSE scores of 11–17, in contrast to those with higher and lower scores. This inconsistency across MMSE score subcohorts may imply that different mechanisms underlie the mortality risk associated with low SBP in very old individuals with MMSE scores less than 11 and more than 17.

The increased mortality risk with high and low SBP in individuals with very severe cognitive impairment may be mediated by cerebrovascular disease, such as microinfarctions, hemorrhages, and white matter hyperintensities. Cerebrovascular disease accounts for 12–17% of
cardiovascular causes of death in people with vascular or mixed dementia [11]. Individuals with very severe cognitive impairment are more likely to have reduced cerebral autoregulation of BP because of severe dementia [43,44]. This reduced autoregulation may predispose individuals to further cerebrovascular damage, caused by dissociations in cerebral blood flow and demand [10,45–49]. Individuals with high or low SBP in combination with reduced cerebral autoregulation of BP may be at greater risk of such cerebrovascular damage [49,50], particularly in the presence of arterial stiffness [51].

The strengths of the present study include the use of specially trained assessors, who maintained the high quality of data collection and home visitation to minimize healthy user bias. The classification of BP values and MMSE scores using multiple categories allowed for interpretation of non-linear associations, but resulted in potentially limited statistical power of some analyses and the inability to examine the impacts of age, sex, and BP treatment. The study has other limitations. Single measurement of MMSE score and BP did not capture variability in these measures over time, but was likely sufficient for group-level statistical analysis. A 24-h BP monitoring could have given valuable information about BP variability and episodic hypertension [52]. BP was measured with participants in a supine position, which may have yielded higher values relative to a seated position because of the high prevalence of orthostatic hypotension in very old people [53]. The proportion of women was smaller in the study sample compared with individuals who declined participation or from whom no SBP measurement or MMSE score was obtained, which may impede the generalizability of the results. The underrepresentation of women in the study sample has probable consequences for the generalizability of the results, such as the lower-than-average prevalence of conditions with female predominance (e.g. dementia) [54]. Because the MMSE has higher sensitivity for severe than for mild cognitive impairment, people with mild cognitive impairment may have been misclassified [36], potentially interfering with comparability among subcohorts. The validity of MMSE scores in terms of cognitive impairment is affected by several factors that may be relevant for our study population, such as age, length of

FIGURE 3 Survival curves according to SBP (mmHg) in mini-mental state examination score subcohorts (a) 0–10, (b) 11–17, (c) 18–23, and (d) 24–30, based on Cox proportional-hazard regression models adjusted for age, sex, atrial fibrillation, depression, and Barthel Activities of Daily Living index.
education, verbal fluency, sensory impairment, cultural background, institutional living, and depression [36,55,56].

In conclusion, in very old individuals, the association between SBP and mortality appears to differ with level of cognitive function. Very old individuals with very severe cognitive impairment and low or high SBP may have increased mortality risk. Low SBP may be a marker for increased mortality risk in individuals with no or mild cognitive impairment. Larger studies are needed to confirm these results, but the results of BP research in the general very old population should not be extrapolated to those with very severe cognitive impairment. To better target groups potentially benefitting from intervention, we need to define easily distinguishable groups within this heterogeneous population with and without increased risk for negative outcomes of high or low BP. The issue of treatment individualization is particularly important in very old people, who are especially susceptible to adverse drug reactions and polypharmacy.
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Reviewer’s Summary Evaluation

Reviewer 2

This observational study aimed at investigating the association of BP with all cause mortality in subjects over 85 years with different MMSE scores (four subgroups). Subjects were a representative sample of the population in Sweden and Finland (GERDA study), including 1115 individuals. After 2 years follow-up 26% of subjects had died. The higher mortality risk was observed among those with the most severe dementia. Mortality risk in subjects with MMSE 10 or less was not linear and increased in patients with SBP of 125 mmHg or less, or at least 165 mmHg compared with intermediate SBP (126–139 mmHg) values following a ‘J’-shaped curve. Despite several limitations (BP measured in supine position: underestimates BP values; SBP significantly related to age, education, gender and place of residence: a cross-sectional study among the French National Alzheimer database. PLoS One 2014; 9:e105630.