Dependence of structure factor and correlation energy on the width of electron wires
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Abstract. The structure factor and correlation energy of a quantum wire of thickness $b \ll a_B$ are studied in random phase approximation (RPA) and for the less investigated region $r_s < 1$. Using the single-loop approximation, analytical expressions of the structure factor are obtained. The exact expressions for the exchange energy are also derived for a cylindrical and harmonic wire. The correlation energy in RPA is found to be represented by $\epsilon_c(b, r_s) = \frac{a_B}{r_s} + \beta(r_s) \ln(b) + \eta(r_s)$, for small $b$ and high densities. For a pragmatic width of the wire, the correlation energy is in agreement with the quantum Monte Carlo simulation data.

1 Introduction

The motion of electrons confined in one spatial dimension gives rise to a variety of interesting phenomena with anomalous properties \cite{1}. Recently quasi one-dimensional systems are experimentally realized in carbon nanotubes \cite{2–5}, semiconducting nanowires \cite{6,7} and cold atomic gases \cite{8–10}, edge states in quantum hall liquid \cite{11–13} and conducting molecules \cite{14}. The electrons in one dimension do not obey the conventional Fermi-liquid theory, hence the prospect to observe non-Fermi-liquid features has given a large impetus to both theoretical and experimental research. An appropriate description of the one-dimensional (1D) homogeneous electron gas is provided by the low-energy theory based on an exactly solvable Tomonaga-Luttinger model \cite{15–17}. The random phase approximation (RPA) of the homogeneous electron gas in the high-density limit i.e. at large electron densities $n = 1/(2r_s a_B)$, with $a_B$ being the effective Bohr radius and $r_s$ being the coupling parameter.

We model the interactions by a smoothed long-range Coulomb potential $v(x) \propto (x^2 + b^2)^{-1/2}$, where $b$ is a parameter related to the width of the wire. We also use a harmonic confinement potential. The true long-range character of the Coulomb potential has been studied by Schulz \cite{18} and Fogler \cite{19,20} using a different approximation than RPA in certain domains of $(b, r_s)$. In fact, a considerable amount of theoretical and numerical work has been done in this domain \cite{21–34} using RPA, its generalized version and other methods, but still there is a need to understand the accurate parametrization of correlation energy for thin wires in the high-density limit. Therefore the calculation of the ground-state energy for thin wires in the high-density limit for realistic long-range Coulomb interactions is still an open problem for the 1D homogeneous electron gas.

Recently Lee and Drummond \cite{35} have studied the ground state properties of the 1D electron liquid for an infinitely thin wire, and the harmonic wire using a quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method, and provided a benchmark of the total energy data for a limited range of $r_s$. Furthermore, the harmonic wire with transverse confinement has been investigated with a lattice regularized diffusion Monte Carlo (LRDMC) technique by Casula et al. \cite{36}, and by others \cite{37–39}.

Loos \cite{40} has considered the high-density correlation energy for the 1D homogeneous electron gas using the conventional perturbation theory by taking the smoothed Coulomb potential described above in the limit $b \to 0$ (infinitely thin wire). At $b = 0$ they have reported a correlation energy of $-27.4$ mHartree per electron at $r_s \to 0$. In their calculations the divergences in the integral for small $b$ cancels out exactly. Although there is no direct comparison possible between results of Loos \cite{40} and de Oliveira and Verri \cite{32} with the RPA result due to use of different method of calculations, however, we mention that in RPA the correlation energy diverges for $b \to 0$ and $r_s \to 0$. The exchange energy has been found to be independent of $b$ (leaving the logarithmic thickness $\mathcal{L}$ which may be cancelled with the uniform charge background). Further,
the agreement between the RPA result with QMC for the structure factor [41] ensures that the RPA should recover the normal Coulomb operator.

The purpose of the present paper is to study electron correlation effects in the interacting electron fluid described by RPA at high densities. The dependence of the structure factor and correlation energy on the wire-width is analyzed in the domain of $r_s < 1$ and $b \ll a_g$. In this respect it is noted that RPA is a good approximation in the high density limit $r_s \to 0$. We derive analytical expressions for the static structure factor in the high-density limit. The exact analytical expression for the exchange energy is also obtained for cylindrical and harmonic potentials. It is found that on the basis of theoretical deduction and a logical assumption, the correlation energy can be represented by the formula

$$
\epsilon_r(b, r_s) = \frac{\alpha(r_s)}{b} + \beta(r_s) \ln(b) + \eta(r_s).
$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we calculate the static structure factor within RPA and using the first-order approximation. In Section 3, the RPA ground-state energy formula is given. Subsection 3.1 provides the exact analytical result of exchange energy for cylindrical and harmonic potentials for finite $b$ and $r_s$. The result for small-$b$ limit is also given there. Subsection 3.2 describes the correlation energy partially by an analytical formula and partially through numerical calculation. Then the final result of the correlation energy and its parametrization is presented. In Section 4 the results are discussed.

## 2 Structure factor

The structure factor $S(q)$ is now calculated within the RPA and its first-order version, where it is possible to obtain the result analytically. The RPA density response function $\chi(q, \omega)$ is given by [42],

$$
\chi(q, \omega) = \frac{\chi_0(q, \omega)}{1 - V(q) \chi_0(q, \omega)},
$$

where $V(q)$ is the Fourier transform of the inter-electronic interaction potential. For harmonically trapped electron wires, and for cylindrical wires [26], it is given respectively by $V(q) = \frac{2}{\pi^2 \epsilon_0} E_1(b^2 q^2) e^{b^2 q^2}$ and $V(q) = 2 \frac{2}{\pi^2 \epsilon_0} K_0(bq)$, where $E_1$ is the exponential integral and $K_0$ the modified Bessel function of 2nd kind.

The static structure factor is defined through the fluctuation-dissipation theorem as

$$
S(q) = -\frac{1}{\pi n} \int_0^\infty d\omega \chi''(q, \omega),
$$

where $\chi''(q, \omega)$ is the imaginary part of the density response function (1). The integral in (2) can be re-written using the contour integration method [1] as,

$$
S(q) = -\frac{1}{\pi n} \int_0^\infty d\omega \chi(q, i\omega),
$$

where $n = (k_F g_s) / \pi$ is the linear electron number density, $g_s$ is the spin degeneracy factor and $k_F$ is the Fermi wave vector. Using the high-density expansion

$$
\chi(q, i\omega) = \chi_0(q, i\omega) + \chi_0(q, i\omega) V(q) \chi_0(q, i\omega),
$$

where,

$$
\chi_0(q, i\omega) = \frac{g_s m}{2\pi q} \ln \left[ \frac{\omega^2 + \left( \frac{q^2}{m} - \frac{g_k F}{m} \right)^2}{\omega^2 + \left( \frac{q^2}{m} + \frac{g_k F}{m} \right)^2} \right],
$$

the structure factor (3) can be calculated for $r_s \to 0$ using (4) and (5). The zeroth-order static structure factor is easily calculated

$$
S_0(q) = -\frac{1}{n \pi} \int_0^\infty \chi_0(q, i\omega) d\omega = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{2k_F}, & q < 2k_F \\ 1, & q > 2k_F \end{cases}.
$$

The first-order correction to the structure factor can be obtained by substituting $\chi_0(q, i\omega)$ in the second term of (4), and then using it in (3). The resulting integral can be performed analytically and the result for $q < 2k_F$ reads

$$
S_1(q) = -v(q) \frac{g_s^2 r_s 2k_F}{\pi^2 q} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{q}{2k_F} \right) \ln \left( 1 - \frac{q}{2k_F} \right) \\
+ \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2k_F} \right) \ln \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2k_F} \right) \right].
$$

Similarly, for $q > 2k_F$ one obtains

$$
S_1(q) = -v(q) \frac{g_s^2 r_s 2k_F}{\pi^2 q} \left[ \left( \frac{q}{2k_F} - 1 \right) \ln \left( \frac{q}{2k_F} - 1 \right) \\
+ \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2k_F} \right) \ln \left( 1 + \frac{q}{2k_F} \right) - \frac{q}{k_F} \ln \frac{q}{2k_F} \right].
$$

Here and in the following we use $V(q) = v(q)e^{2q^2/4\pi\epsilon_0}$. In the limit of small $q$, $q$ around $2k_F$ and large $q$, the $S_1(q)$ takes the simpler forms

$$
S_1(q) = \begin{cases} -v(q \to 0) \frac{g_s^2 r_s 2k_F}{2\pi q^2}, & q \ll 2k_F \\
-v(q \to 2k_F) \frac{g_s^2 r_s 2k_F}{2\pi q^2} A(z), & q \to 2k_F, \\
-v(q \to \infty) \frac{g_s^2 r_s 2k_F}{2\pi q^2}, & q \gg 2k_F \end{cases},
$$

where $A(z) = (8 \ln(2) - 2z + 2|z| \ln|z| - 3|z|^2)$ and $z = \frac{q}{2k_F}$. It can be easily seen that for harmonic wires the interaction potential approaches

$$
v(q) = \begin{cases} -\gamma - 2 \ln(bq) & \text{for } bq \to 0 \\
1/(bq)^2 & \text{for } bq \to \infty \end{cases},
$$

with the Euler Gamma constant $\gamma$. 
For a cylindrical potential the corresponding results are,

\[ v(q) = \begin{cases} 
-\gamma + \ln(2) - \ln(bq) & \text{for } bq \to 0 \\
 e^{-bq} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2bq}} & \text{for } bq \to \infty
\end{cases} \quad (11) \]

Both potentials behave similarly at the small \( bq \) limit, but at large \( bq \) they differ. Substituting values of \( v(q) \) from (10) in (9), the corresponding leading term agrees with Fogler [20].

To see the effect of thickness \( b \) of the wire we calculate the structure factor from (3) by using (1) and (5), for \( r_s < 1 \) and plot them in Figure 1. It is seen from Figure 1a that as \( b \) decreases, the structure factor \( S(q) \) also decreases. A similar trend is also obtained for other \( r_s \). To see the validity of the first-order structure factor we plot in Figure 1b for \( r_s = 0.1, S_0(q) + S_1(q) \) and RPA for \( b = 0.025 \). These are compared with diffusion quantum Monte Carlo simulation [43] for an infinitely thin wire, as implemented in CASINO code [44]. All three curves match perfectly. It is noted that in the high density limit \( (r_s \ll 1), \) the structure factor is more or less the one of a non-interacting gas of electrons. This is due to the fact that in this region, the kinetic energy is the dominant contribution to the total energy leading the system to behave as a gas of non-interacting electrons as conjectured by Fogler [20].

### 3 Ground state energy

The ground-state energy can be obtained by the density-density response function in conjunction with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem as [1],

\[ E_g = E_0 + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{q \neq 0} v(q) \]

\[ \times \left( -\frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_0^\infty \chi(q, i\omega; \lambda) d\omega \right). \quad (12) \]

It further simplifies into a sum of kinetic energy of the non-interacting gas with the exchange energy and the residual energy (i.e. correlation energy) as,

\[ E_g = E_0 + E_x + E_c, \quad (13) \]

where

\[ E_x = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{q \neq 0} v(q) \]

\[ \times \left( -\frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_0^\infty \chi_0(q, i\omega) d\omega - 1 \right), \quad (14) \]

\[ E_c = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{q \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^1 d\lambda \right) \]

\[ \times \left( \int_0^\infty \lambda v(q)^2 \chi_0^2(q, i\omega) d\omega \right). \quad (15) \]

### 3.1 Exchange energy

In this section the exchange energy for a cylindrical as well as for a harmonic electron wire is obtained analytically, by integrating (14). Specifically for cylindrical wire it turns out to be,

\[ E_x = -\frac{Nk_f}{\pi} \left( \frac{2k_F b K_1[2k_F b]}{2(k_F b)^2} - 1 \right) + \pi K_0[2k_F b] L_{-1}[2k_F b] \]

\[ + \pi K_1[2k_F b] L_0[2k_F b] \]. \quad (16) \]

where \( K_n(x) \) is \( n \)th order modified Bessel function of 2nd kind, and \( L_n(x) \) is modified Struve function [45]. Similarly, the exchange energy can also be obtained for a harmonic wire of finite thickness given as

\[ E_x = -\frac{Nk_F}{2\pi} \left( G_{2,3}^2 \left( 4b^2 k_F^2 \right) | 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2} \right) \]

\[ - \left( \ln(4b^2 k_F^2) + e^{4b^2 k_F^2} \Gamma(0, 4b^2 k_F^2) + \gamma \right) \frac{4b^2 k_F^2}{4b^2 k_F^2}. \quad (17) \]
where $G_{2,3}^2(4b^2k_F^2|0,0,-\frac{1}{2})$ and $\Gamma(0,4b^2k_F^2)$ are the Meijer G function [46] and the incomplete gamma function, respectively. As it is difficult to visualize the former function, it is desirable to write it in its integral form

$$
G_{2,3}^2(4r^2|0,0,-\frac{1}{2}) = \int_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{x}{2}\right) e^{r^2x} E_1(r^2x^2) \, dx + \log(4r^2) + e^{4r^2} \Gamma(0,4r^2) + \gamma
$$

(18)

For thin harmonic wires $b \ll a_B$ the exchange energy can be simplified to be,

$$
E_x = -\frac{Nk_F}{2\pi} \left(-1 - \gamma - \ln(4) - 2 \ln(k_F b) - 2 \psi^{(0)}(1/2) + 2 \psi^{(0)}(3/2)\right),
$$

(19)

where $\psi^{(0)}(1/2)$ and $\psi^{(0)}(3/2)$ are polygamma functions [45]. We now use the simpler expansion of the polygamma function as $2 \psi^{(0)}(1/2) = -2\gamma - 2\ln(4)$ and $2 \psi^{(0)}(3/2) = 4 - 2\gamma - 2\ln(4)$ in the above equation. Equations (16) and (17) can also be written for a polarized gas by defining $k_{F_{1}}(\pm) = k_F(1 \pm p)$, $N_{1}(\pm) = N(1 \pm p)/2$ and $k_F = \pi/(2g_s r_s a_B)$. Explicitly for thin cylindrical wires $b \ll a_B$, the exchange energy per particle can be obtained by expanding equation (16) as

$$
\epsilon_x = -\frac{1}{4g_s r_s} \left((1+p)^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln\left(\frac{\pi(1+p)}{2g_s r_s}\right)\right] + \mathcal{L}\right) + (1-p)^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln\left(\frac{\pi(1-p)}{2g_s r_s}\right) + \mathcal{L}\right].
$$

(20)

Similarly for harmonic wires, equation (19) gives

$$
\epsilon_x = -\frac{1}{4g_s r_s} \left((1+p)^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln(2) - \ln\left(\frac{\pi(1+p)}{2g_s r_s}\right)\right] + \mathcal{L}\right) + (1-p)^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln(2) - \ln\left(\frac{\pi(1-p)}{2g_s r_s}\right) + \mathcal{L}\right]
$$

(21)

where $\mathcal{L} = \ln(a_B/b)$. It is noted that equations (16) and (17) are new results and for special cases they reduce to (20) and (21). It is worth mentioning that the logarithmic thickness of the wire is defined by $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$. For polarized ($g_s = 1$ and $p = 1$) and unpolarized fluids ($g_s = 2$ and $p = 0$), the exchange energy of a cylindrical wire is obtained respectively to be

$$
\epsilon_x = -\frac{\ln(r_s)}{r_s} - \frac{1}{r_s} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln(\pi) + \mathcal{L}\right],
$$

(22)

and

$$
\epsilon_x = -\frac{\ln(4r_s)}{4r_s} - \frac{1}{4r_s} \left[\frac{3}{2} - \gamma - \ln(\pi) + \mathcal{L}\right].
$$

(23)

These are in agreement with Fogler’s results [19].

### 3.2 Correlation energy

The integration over the coupling constant $\lambda$ is easily done in (15) and the correlation energy becomes

$$
E_c = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{q \neq 0} \frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \{v(q) \chi_0(q, i\omega) + \ln[1 - v(q) \chi_0(q, i\omega)]\} \, d\omega.
$$

(24)

The above equation can be written further as,

$$
\epsilon_c = \epsilon_{c1} + \epsilon_{c2},
$$

(25)

where

$$
\epsilon_{c1} = -\frac{g_s}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty v(q) S_0(q) \, dq,
$$

(26)

$$
\epsilon_{c2} = \frac{g_s}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \ln\{1 - v(q) \chi_0(q, i\omega)\} \, d\omega\right) \, dq.
$$

(27)

The first term $\epsilon_{c1}$ can be integrated analytically for the cylindrical potential,

$$
\epsilon_{c1} = -\frac{g_s^2 r_s a_B^2}{b^2 \pi^2} + \frac{g_s a_B}{b \pi} \left(\frac{b}{a_B g_s r_s}\right) + \frac{a_B}{2br_s}
$$

$$
\times \left[-g_s r_s + \pi(b/a_B) L_1\left(\frac{b}{a_B g_s r_s}\right) K_0 \left(\frac{b}{a_B g_s r_s}\right) \right]
$$

$$
+ \pi b L_0 \left(\frac{b}{a_B g_s r_s}\right) K_1 \left(\frac{b}{a_B g_s r_s}\right).
$$

(28)
Equation (28) is further simplified for an infinitely thin wire $b \to 0$ for any finite $r_s$ as
\[
\epsilon_c = \frac{-g_s}{2(b/a_B)} + \frac{1}{2r_s} \left[ \frac{3}{2} - \gamma + \ln \left( \frac{a_B}{b} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{\pi}{2g_s r_s} \right) \right].
\] (29)

For a given $r_s$ the above equation has a functional dependence on $b$ (in atomic unit) as
\[
\epsilon_c(b, r_s) = \frac{\alpha_1(r_s)}{b} + \beta_1(r_s) \ln(b) + \eta_1(r_s),
\] (30)
where $\alpha_1(r_s), \beta_1(r_s)$ and $\eta_1(r_s)$ can be read from (29). Equation (27) cannot be integrated analytically, therefore we solve it numerically. Anticipating that the correlation energy $\epsilon_c$ for $b \to 0$ and $r_s \to 0$ turns out to be a constant, $\epsilon_{c2}$ may also be represented by (30) but with the coefficients $\alpha_2(r_s), \beta_2(r_s)$ and $\eta_2(r_s)$. Therefore we represent $\epsilon_{c2}$ by,
\[
\epsilon_{c2}(b, r_s) = \frac{\alpha_2(r_s)}{b} + \beta_2(r_s) \ln(b) + \eta_2(r_s),
\] (31)
and fit it to the numerical result. The coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \eta$ for $\epsilon_{c2}$ and $\epsilon_c$ are given in Table 1, for $r_s=0.1, 0.01$ and $0.001$. Note that the coefficients for $\epsilon_{c2}$ are analytically known. Also the same formula as for $\epsilon_{c2}$ is assumed for $\epsilon_c$. To estimates the accuracy of the fit with the numerical calculation, we have provided the statistical analysis with different methods: $\chi^2$, $R^2$ adjusted for the number of model parameters, AdjustedRSquared (ARS), Akaike information criterion (AIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and coefficient of determination $R^2$ (RSq). The fitted parameters by the statistical analysis in Table 1 reflect the quality of the function $\epsilon_{c2}(b, r_s)$ and $\epsilon_c(b, r_s).

The correlation energies per particle $\epsilon_{c1}$ (lower curve) and $\epsilon_{c2}$ (upper curve) are plotted in Figure 2a, as obtained analytically and numerically, and are shown as green continuous curve and green dots, respectively. The fitted $\epsilon_{c2}$ from representation (31) is shown by the black continuous line. It is clearly seen that there is a perfect fit of $\epsilon_{c2}$, as also inferred above from the statistical analysis. It is seen that there is no cancellation between the two curves for $r_s = 0.1$. The resulting sum is plotted for the same $r_s$ in Figure 2b. Total correlation energy for $r_s = 0.01$ and $r_s = 0.001$ are also plotted in Figures 2c and 2d respectively. These figures show that there is no indication that the correlation energy approaching a constant value for very small $r_s$ for an infinitely thin wire.

For a pragmatic width of the wire, the correlation energy for a polarized fluid is reported in Table 2. The correlation energy at high densities $r_s \leq 0.1$ and $b = 0.1$, is in agreement with the quantum Monte Carlo simulation [36,37] for polarized fluids.

To check the consistency of our result of the correlation energy for $b \to 0$ and $r_s < 1$, we plot it in Figure 3 as a function of $r_s$ for small values of $b$. It is seen that as $b$ decreases, the correlation energy increases.
The correlation energy per particle versus $r_s$ for different thicknesses $b$ of a cylindrical wire.

| $r_s / b$ | Correlation energy $\epsilon_c$ (mHartree) |
|-----------|------------------------------------------|
| 0.001     | -5.1925                                  |
| 0.01      | -267.9063                                |
| 0.1       | -1675.0533                               |
| 0.2       | -2250.7232                               |
| 0.3       | -2543.4151                               |
| 0.4       | -2714.8034                               |
| 0.5       | -2815.2034                               |

We have also obtained new analytical expressions for the exchange energy of both cylindrical and harmonic electron wires. In the small-thickness limit the expressions simplify considerably and are more or less the same for both wires. This has been also worked out for polarized gases, from which the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases can easily be obtained. The exchange energy for a fully polarized gas agrees with Fogler [19].

In the present paper the total correlation energy in RPA is found to be fitted by

$$\epsilon_c(b, r_s) = \frac{\alpha(r_s)}{b} + \beta(r_s) \ln(b) + \eta(r_s),$$

with the parameters given explicitly. This correlation energy is the sum of two terms which only partially cancel. The first term is calculated analytically exactly by the expression (32) where the values of $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\eta$ are precisely known. The second term has been calculated numerically. It perfectly fits with the expression of (32) but with different parameters.

This findings clearly indicate that the correlation energy is diverging in the limit of $b \to 0$ and $r_s \to 0$. Further, the correlation energy as a function of $r_s$ for various $b$, again points out that the correlation energy increases as $b$ decreases for $r_s \to 0$. The Coulomb correlations are enhanced, and the interacting electron gas behaves structure-less in the ultra-thin and high-density domain of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} \ll r_s \ll 1$ like a strongly-interacting electron gas.
named Coulomb-Tonks gas [19,20]. Further, we find that the correlation energy does not approach a constant value for an infinitely thin wire and \( r_a \to 0 \) within the RPA.
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