Control of light-atom solitons and atomic transport by optical vortex beams propagating through a Bose-Einstein Condensate
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We model propagation of far-red-detuned optical vortex beams through a Bose-Einstein Condensate using nonlinear Schrödinger and Gross-Pitaevskii equations. We show the formation of coupled light/atomic solitons that rotate azimuthally before moving off tangentially, carrying angular momentum. The number, and velocity, of solitons, depends on the orbital angular momentum of the optical field. Using a Bessel-Gauss beam increases radial confinement so that solitons can rotate with fixed azimuthal velocity. Our model provides a highly controllable method of channelling a BEC and atomic transport.

Solitons are localized fields that maintain their spatial profile as they propagate. They have been investigated and realized in fields as diverse as optical fibres, hydrodynamics, ferromagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic systems, superconductors, and even cosmology. Bright, dark and lattice solitons have also been observed in Bose-Einstein Condensates (BECs). In nonlinear optics, spatial optical solitons arise when the diffraction of a Gaussian beam is carefully balanced by self-focusing due to a Kerr nonlinear medium. However, when the optical field carries orbital angular momentum (OAM), it fragments into solitons, with the number of formed solitons depending, generally, on the OAM index, m.

In this letter we use coupled nonlinear Gross-Pitaevskii and Schrödinger equations to describe the propagation of far-detuned optical fields through a cigar-shaped BEC. We start by confirming that, for weakly repulsive atomic interactions, our model captures the formation of coincident patterns seen in for light that is red-detuned from a diode laser, is incident on a spatial light modulator (SLM) with an “m”-forked diffraction grating, which can convert it to an optical vortex beam carrying OAM of mh per photon. This optical field then propagates through a cigar-shaped BEC moving at velocity v_a, that is suspended by additional horizontal and vertical trapping fields, before being focused onto a detector.

We use a model that describes the mutual spatio-temporal dynamics of an optical field and an ultracold Bose gas of two-level atoms of average velocity v_a equal to the recoil velocity in the mean-field approximation. We consider fields of the form \( \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \psi(\mathbf{r}) \exp \left[ i (k_a z - \omega_a t) \right] \) and \( E(\mathbf{r}, t) = F(\mathbf{r}) \exp \left[ i (k_L z - \omega_L t) \right] \), where \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( F(\mathbf{r}) \) are the slowly varying amplitudes of the BEC wavefunction and optical field, respectively, with wavenumbers \( k_a = m_a v_a / h \) and \( k_L = 2\pi/\lambda \). Here \( m_a \) is the atomic mass, \( v_a \) is the mean/center-of-mass velocity of the atomic beam and, for simplicity, we assume that \( k_a \approx k_L \). Such an atomic beam velocity \( v_a \) could be applied to the BEC using approaches based around Refs. [18, 19].

Our numerical model is similar to that of [16], which from a diode laser, is incident on a spatial light modulator (SLM) with an “m”-forked diffraction grating [17], which can convert it to an optical vortex beam carrying OAM of mh per photon [2]. This optical field then propagates through a cigar-shaped BEC moving at velocity \( v_a \), that is suspended by additional horizontal and vertical trapping fields, before being focused onto a detector.

We use a model that describes the mutual spatio-temporal dynamics of an optical field and an ultracold Bose gas of two-level atoms of average velocity \( v_a \) equal to the recoil velocity in the mean-field approximation. We consider fields of the form \( \Psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \psi(\mathbf{r}) \exp \left[ i (k_a z - \omega_a t) \right] \) and \( E(\mathbf{r}, t) = F(\mathbf{r}) \exp \left[ i (k_L z - \omega_L t) \right] \), where \( \psi(\mathbf{r}) \) and \( F(\mathbf{r}) \) are the slowly varying amplitudes of the BEC wavefunction and optical field, respectively, with wavenumbers \( k_a = m_a v_a / h \) and \( k_L = 2\pi/\lambda \). Here \( m_a \) is the atomic mass, \( v_a \) is the mean/center-of-mass velocity of the atomic beam and, for simplicity, we assume that \( k_a \approx k_L \). Such an atomic beam velocity \( v_a \) could be applied to the BEC using approaches based around Refs. [18, 19].

Our numerical model is similar to that of [16], which
For typical parameter values we find incident laser beam and $I$ in the case of pure Kerr media \cite{26}. In the Kerr case to prevent soliton collapse in two transverse dimensions and the propagation of the optical field along the length $L$ describing three-body loss \cite{22}. As mentioned, we also employ a term $L|\psi|^2\psi$ which describes light-induced focusing/defocusing due to the dipole interaction. As defined in \cite{10}, $\beta \text{col}$ is directly proportional to the interatomic scattering length of interactions of ground-state atoms, $a_{gg}$, and thus the term $\beta \text{col}|\psi|^2\psi$ describes attractive or repulsive interactions depending on the sign of $a_{gg}$. Typical scattering parameter values are given in Table \ref{tab:1} using atomic parameters from \cite{21}. For clarity we have chosen BEC parameters to match those found for a BEC of weakly repulsive Caesium atoms ($a_{gg} = 15.7 a_0$, with $a_0$ the Bohr radius), giving $\beta \text{col} = 3.5$, but we emphasise that our analysis is applicable over a wide range of scattering lengths, accessible around the Feshbach resonance \cite{22}. As mentioned, we also employ a term $L_3|\psi|^4\psi$ describing three-body loss ($L_3 \approx 10^{-4}$) to arrive at an accurate description of the evolution of the BEC wavefunction (matter-wave) in high-density regimes \cite{23,24}. The selected value of $L_3$ is in agreement with estimations for Caesium \cite{22,25}.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
Species & $a_{gg}$ [Bohr radius, $a_0$] & $\beta \text{col}$ \\
\hline
Lithium & -27.6 & -8.22 \\
Sodium & 260 & 117 \\
Rubidium \cite{87} & 110 & 21.6 \\
Caesium & -500 \rightarrow 500 & -110 \rightarrow 110 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Typical ground state scattering parameters $a_{gg}$ of various BEC species with corresponding $\beta \text{col}$ values.}
\end{table}

Eq. \ref{eq:1}, which describes the evolution of the atomic field, is a reduction of the 3D Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) to 2D \cite{20}. In Eq. \ref{eq:1} the transverse Laplacian term ($\nabla^2$) represents the kinetic energy contributions and the $s|F|^2\psi$ term describes light-induced focusing/defocusing due to the dipole interaction. As defined in \cite{10}, $\beta \text{col}$ is directly proportional to the interatomic scattering length of interactions of ground-state atoms, $a_{gg}$, and thus the term $\beta \text{col}|\psi|^2\psi$ describes attractive or repulsive interactions depending on the sign of $a_{gg}$. Typical scattering parameter values are given in Table \ref{tab:1} using atomic parameters from \cite{21}. For clarity we have chosen BEC parameters to match those found for a BEC of weakly repulsive Caesium atoms ($a_{gg} = 15.7 a_0$, with $a_0$ the Bohr radius), giving $\beta \text{col} = 3.5$, but we emphasise that our analysis is applicable over a wide range of scattering lengths, accessible around the Feshbach resonance \cite{22}. As mentioned, we also employ a term $L_3|\psi|^4\psi$ describing three-body loss ($L_3 \approx 10^{-4}$) to arrive at an accurate description of the evolution of the BEC wavefunction (matter-wave) in high-density regimes \cite{23,24}. The selected value of $L_3$ is in agreement with estimations for Caesium \cite{22,25}.

Eq. \ref{eq:2} is a nonlinear Schrödinger equation describing the propagation of the optical field along the length of the atomic medium. Here the transverse Laplacian, $\nabla^2$, describes diffraction, the term $s|F|^2 F$ describes a focusing/defocusing proportional to the atomic density, and $\sigma \text{sat}$ describes optical saturation, which is critical to prevent soliton collapse in two transverse dimensions in the case of pure Kerr media \cite{26}. In the Kerr case $\sigma \text{sat} = (4P_L)/(3I_\text{sat}w_F^2)$, where $P_L$ is the power of the incident laser beam and $I_\text{sat}$ is the saturation intensity \cite{13}. For typical parameter values we find $\sigma \text{sat} \approx 10^{-3}$ \cite{10}. Finally we note that higher order terms corresponding to dipole-dipole forces have been neglected since they only marginally affect the system dynamics and do not alter any of the results presented here.

In both \ref{eq:1} & \ref{eq:2} the parameter $s = \pm 1$ provides a control for the nature of the BEC-optical field dipole coupling. For $s = +1$, the optical field is blue-detuned, and the BEC can be described as ‘dark-seeking’ with relation to the optical field. For $s = -1$, the optical field is red-detuned, and the BEC can be described as ‘light-seeking’, and behaves like a self-focusing medium \cite{10}. We numerically integrate Eq. \ref{eq:1} and \ref{eq:2} using a split-step Fourier method, and include noise at 1\% of the amplitude on the initial fields.

The initial wave-function of the BEC is a Thomas-Fermi (TF) distribution of amplitude $A_\psi$ and transverse width $w_\psi$ with any negative values set to zero:

$$\psi(\xi, \eta, \zeta(0)) = A_\psi [1 - (\xi^2 + \eta^2)/2w_\psi^2].$$

Here we choose $w_\psi = 50.0 \mu m$, corresponding to an experimentally realisable BEC with a transverse diameter of 100\mu m, and we assume a longitudinal length of around 2mm \cite{27,28}. We choose a TF distribution to match typical experimental BEC distributions \cite{29}, but this specific shape of atomic distribution is not critical, with simply a requirement of a broad enough distribution of BEC atoms with respect to the initial optical field for the dynamics we report to occur. We consider an optical field with wavelength $\lambda = 720$ nm and initial Laguerre-Gaussian profile of amplitude $A_F$ and OAM $m$ at the beam waist $w_F$ \cite{20}:

$$F(r, \varphi, \zeta(0)) = A_F LG^m_0 (r, \varphi) / \max |LG^m_0|,$$

where $LG^m_0 (r, \varphi) = r^{|m|} e^{-r^2/2} e^{im\varphi}$, with $r = \sqrt{(\xi^2 + \eta^2)}$. Fig. \ref{fig:1} (b) shows transverse cross-sections of typical initial fields ($\zeta = 0$). Panel (i) shows the amplitude of the Thomas-Fermi BEC with $w_\psi = 50.0 \mu m$. Panels (ii) & (iii) show the amplitude and phase, respectively, of a Laguerre-Gauss optical field with $m = 1$ and beam waist $w_F = 10 \mu m$ chosen so that the beam propagates for several Rayleigh ranges inside the atomic medium ($z_R \approx 0.44$ mm).

We start by confirming that our model accurately reproduces the results shown in \cite{10,31} for the red-detuned case, $s = -1$. To maximise the area in which the patterns can form, the initial optical field is a Gaussian ($m = 0$) with the same beam waist, $w_F = 50 \mu m$, as the BEC. The normalised field amplitudes are $A_F = 6$ and $A_\psi = 6$, corresponding to input powers on the order of mW and a total atom number of $\sim 10^5$, respectively. Fig. \ref{fig:2} shows the expected formation of coincident filament structures at $\zeta = z_R$ arising from a modulational instability due to the dipole interactions between the coupled BEC (left) and optical (right) fields \cite{10}. Soon after the formation of filaments one observes on-axis collapse in both fields.
as the focusing nonlinearities overwhelm the system dynamics, akin to the BEC collapse experimentally studied in \cite{32}. Although outwith the scope of this letter, our model also confirms similar results seen in 1D \cite{33} that show that on-axis collapse can be avoided when the amplitude of the BEC is significantly different to that of the optical field. In that case the dominant dynamics are linear rather than the highly nonlinear dynamics which we report here, with the optical field acting more as a potential on the BEC rather than a coupled field.

We now consider the effect of adding OAM to the optical beam. With respect to our previous initial conditions, the main difference is that the optical field now has a $0 \rightarrow 2m\pi$ azimuthal phase and the corresponding on-axis vortex produces a ring-like intensity profile, as shown in Fig. 1 (b) for $m = 1$. We use $A_F = A_\psi = 9.5$, and choose $w_F = 10 \mu m$ so that the beam propagates for several Rayleigh ranges inside the atomic medium ($z_R \approx 0.44 \text{ mm}$), but emphasise that similar behaviour is obtained over a wide range of initial conditions.

Fig. 3 shows the resultant optical and atomic fields after numerical integration of (1)-(2) with optical beams carrying OAM of $m = -1, 1, 2, \& 3$. We find that adding OAM has a profound effect on the dynamics: the light-seeking atoms now move radially towards the optical ring after which the dynamics of the BEC is closely coupled to that of the light and both fields start to form distinct solitons rather than narrow filaments, in spite of repulsive BEC interactions. Although both atomic density and light intensity increase significantly within these peaks, there is no collapse of the wave function even with negligible three-body loss. Moreover, we have verified that ring-shaped optical intensity profiles \emph{without} the optical vortex, do undergo collapse. Panels (a)-(d) and (i)-(l) show the formation of these $2|m|$ BEC and optical soliton peaks, respectively, at $\zeta = z_R$.

Once the atoms have moved to the ring we see two distinct regimes of atomic motion, both depending on the OAM, $m$, of the optical field. In the first regime, the OAM leads to an azimuthal motion of the atomic peaks around the ring, analogous to persistent currents
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**FIG. 2.** Coincident pattern formation in both BEC and optical fields for Gaussian input profiles as in Ref. \cite{10}. Transverse scales as in Fig. 1. Parameters: $\beta_{col} = 3.5, L_3 = 0.00022, s = -1, w_F = 50 \mu m, A_F = 6, w_\psi = 50 \mu m, A_\psi = 6$.
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**FIG. 3.** Panels (a)-(d) & (i)-(l): Transverse amplitude cross-section of BEC and optical fields, respectively, for $m = -1, 1, 2, 3$ (top to bottom) at $\zeta = z_R$. Panels (e)-(h) & (m)-(p): superimposed images of transverse BEC and optical amplitude distributions, respectively, $\zeta = 0.5 \rightarrow 4z_R$. Parameters as in Fig. 2 with $w_F = 10 \mu m, A_F = 9.5, A_\psi = 9.5$.

We find that the angular velocity of the solitons is inversely proportional to $m^2$ and that, in general, this “atomic current” lasts for around $0.75z_R$. This suggests a means of realising atomic currents within a BEC over a wide range of longitudinal propagation distances as determined by the optical Rayleigh range.

The atoms then enter a second regime where diffractive dynamics begins to dominate and the peaks are ejected tangentially to the ring, thus carrying away the angular momentum. This is demonstrated in panels (e)-(h) and (m)-(p) by overlaying a succession of transverse amplitude distributions from $\zeta = 0.5z_R$ to $4z_R$. We superimpose rainbow contours to highlight the propagation distance (blue at $\zeta = 0.5z_R$, red at $\zeta = 4z_R$). We find that the solitons move with a constant transverse velocity that is inversely proportional to $m$. This is particularly evident for the $m = -1$ and $m = 1$ cases where the solitons move in opposite directions and agrees very well with previous studies of fragmentation of OAM beams propagating in Kerr self-focusing media, predicted in \cite{10,11} and more recently demonstrated experimentally in \cite{13}. The number of atomic solitons formed, and their tangential velocity (again scaled by the Rayleigh range), depends on the OAM of the optical input field meaning that it is possible to realise these controllable atomic transport dynamics across a wide range of longitudinal propagation distances, transverse field sizes and OAM values.
Bessel-Gauss (BG) mode: placing the Laguerre-Gauss mode with an equivalent and decrease the transverse motion of the solitons by re-

The overall behaviour of the system is summarised well in Fig. 4, which shows in 3D the re-distribution of the atoms as the far-red-detuned light propagates along the length of the BEC for the case of \( m = 2 \). The atoms, initially in a TF distribution, are focused onto a ring before splitting into four channels that twist as they propagate.

We find that the coupled off-axis soliton formation process is robust across a wide range of OAM values, initial field amplitudes, beam sizes, and BEC scattering parameters for both weakly attractive and repulsive interactions in the range \(-20a_0 < a_{gg} < 50a_0\) corresponding to \(-4 < \beta_{col} < 11\). We note that three-body loss contributions are negligible for repulsive scattering, \( \beta_{col} > 0\), but become more important for increasingly attractive scattering interactions. In particular, we find that both optical and atomic solitons propagate tangentially with little change to their shape or amplitude until they reach the transverse limits of the BEC.

FIG. 4. Three-dimensional BEC distribution for the \( m = 2 \) case of Fig. 3 \( \zeta = 0 \rightarrow 2.5\pi R \). Transverse scales as in Fig. 1. Parameters as in Fig. 3.

We can extend the duration of the azimuthal rotation and decrease the transverse motion of the solitons by replacing the Laguerre-Gauss mode with an equivalent Bessel-Gauss (BG) mode:

\[
F_{BG}(r, \varphi, 0) = J_m(kR)e^{-\frac{r^2}{2}}e^{im\varphi},
\]

where \( J_m \) represents the \( m \)th order Bessel function and we choose \( k \) such that the size of the central ring of the BG mode matches that of the equivalent LG mode. BG beams are solutions to the paraxial wave equation that, by controlling the width of the Gaussian, encompass as limiting cases the diffraction-free Bessel beam and the Gaussian beam \([35,36]\). Typically, these can be made in the lab by utilising a circular slit to transform a plane wave \([37]\), or (specifically for a BG setup) by using an axicon lens to focus a Gaussian beam \([38]\).

As before, we find that \( 2|m| \) solitons form. For the weakly repulsive scattering regime \((\beta_{col} = 3.5)\), the diffraction-less nature of the BG beams increases the length that the atoms are confined to the ring to \( \approx 1.2z_R \) and decreases the radial spread of the solitons at \( 4z_R \) by \( \approx 1.5 \) times. If we move to weakly attractive interactions \((\beta_{col} = -1.5)\) we find that, for \( m = 1, 2 \), the solitons rotate azimuthally with constant velocity along the entire length of the atomic medium, thus producing a form of controllable persistent current.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the formation of coupled optical and atomic solitons carrying angular momentum when far-red-detuned light carrying OAM propagates through a BEC. Despite fundamental differences between the coupled BEC-light model and the pure Kerr case, we find that both optical and atomic fields break into \( 2|m| \) solitons as in the Kerr case \([10,11]\). These rotate azimuthally around the ring of maximum intensity of the light before breaking away and moving tangentially such that angular momentum is conserved. The number of solitons and their transverse velocity can be controlled by the OAM of the optical beam, with potential applications in atomic transport. By using a Bessel-Gauss beam of equivalent radius and OAM, and moving to weakly attractive interactions we are able to transversely confine the solitons so that they continue to rotate azimuthally for the entire length of the BEC. This has the potential for realising controllable persistent currents in a BEC without the introduction of complex trapping potentials.

The data presented in this publication can be openly accessed through the University of Strathclyde KnowledgeBase \([39]\).
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