Purification of Forest Clear-Cut Runoff Water Using Biochar: A Meso-Scale Laboratory Column Experiment
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Abstract: Biochar can be an effective sorbent material for removal of nutrients from water due to its high specific surface area, porous structure, and high cation and anion exchange capacity. The aim of this study was to test a biochar reactor and to evaluate its efficiency in runoff water purification and consecutive nutrient recycling in clear-cut peatland forests. The goodness of the method was tested in a meso-scale (water volume thousands of liters) reactor experiment by circulating runoff water through wood biochar-filled columns and by determining water nutrient concentrations in the column inlet and outlet. The pseudo-first and second order kinetic models were fitted to the experimental data and the adsorption rate ($K_{ads}$) and maximum adsorption capacity ($Q_{max}$) of the biochar reactor were quantified. The concentration of total nitrogen (TN) decreased by 58% during the 8-week experiment; the majority of TN adsorption occurred within the first 3 days. In addition, NO3-N and NH4-N concentrations decreased below the detection limit in 5 days after the beginning of the experiment. The maximum adsorption capacity of the biochar reactor varied between 0.03–0.04 mg g$^{-1}$ biochar for NH4-N, and was equal to 0.02 mg g$^{-1}$ biochar for TN. The results demonstrated that the biochar reactor was not able to adsorb TN when the water TN concentration was below 0.4 mg L$^{-1}$. These results suggest that a biochar reactor can be a useful and effective method for runoff water purification in clear-cut forests and further development and testing is warranted. Unlike traditional water protection methods in peatland forestry, the biochar reactor can effectively remove NO3-N from water. This makes the biochar reactor a promising water protection tool to be tested in sites where there is the risk of a high rate of nutrient export after forest harvesting or drainage.
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1. Introduction

Approximately 30% of the land area in Finland is covered by peatlands [1] and more than half of the peatlands (4.7 Mha) have been ditch drained for forestry [2]. Annually, forestry operations such as clear-cutting, ditch network maintenance and fertilization affect approximately 2%–3% of forest areas in Finland. The sequence of clear-cutting, ditch network maintenance, site preparation and planting is currently the main forest regeneration method in drained boreal peatlands [3]. Following forest regeneration, the export of nutrients and suspended solids to water courses is increased [4–7]. Nitrogen (N) concentration and export load increase in the receiving waters after the clear-cut as tree removal decreases water and nutrient uptake and increases runoff [8–10]. This results in water quality.
degradation and, eutrophication, and enhances formation of harmful algal blooms [11]. In addition, increased soil temperatures following the clear-cut accelerates the decomposition of organic matter and logging residues, and consequently enhances nutrient release and nitrification in soil [12–14]. The impact can be long-term and is most pronounced during the first 3 years after the clear-cut [15–17]. In Finland, more than 50% of N load is produced in agriculture and forestry and these sectors have not been sufficiently successful in reducing N export to watercourses [18]. Finland contributes to almost 10% of the nutrient loading in the Baltic Sea [19]. Recent ecological classification of surface waters in Finland revealed that decreasing river nutrient export is a prerequisite for improving the ecological state of the Baltic Sea [19].

Excess N is recognized as one of the most important pollutants and a major threat to surface and ground water resources globally [20,21]. To ameliorate the adverse effects of peatland forestry sedimentation pits, sedimentation ponds and peatland buffer areas are used as water protection methods. However, such methods are rather ineffective in reducing dissolved nutrient export load [4,22]. Because of plant nutrient uptake, the dissolved nutrient export load can be decreased by peatland buffer areas [23,24]. However, the buffer areas are also ineffective outside the growing season. A characteristic feature of nutrient export from forest areas is high water volume and concentration that is rather low compared to that in wastewater. Therefore, a suitable water protection method must also recover nutrients from a rather low initial concentration, high water volume, and in the presence of high dissolved organic matter concentration. It also must be affordable as peatland forestry typically operates with low profit margins. In chemical and water engineering, there are several methods for water purification [22,25–28] based especially on adsorption that aim to eliminate both organic and inorganic pollutants [29–31].

Adsorption processes using activated carbon as an adsorbent are widely used in water purification. Recently, biochar has been considered as a potential surrogate for activated carbon in environmental remediation and water treatment due to its low cost, material availability and good sorptive ability [32]. Thermochemical processes used in producing biochar are gasification, slow pyrolysis, and fast pyrolysis. The physiochemical properties of biochars produced from each of these processes can vary and are mostly influenced by the process reaction residence time and temperature, parent feedstock material, and activation technique [33]. The characteristics of biochar are rather similar to those of activated carbon. Biochar is a stable, carbon-rich, highly aromatic material that is produced by the thermal decomposition of biomass under a low level of oxygen at a temperature below 700 °C [34,35]. Biochar is capable of adsorbing nutrients and various dissolved compounds from water because of its porous structure, large specific surface area, and high cation exchange capacity [36,37]. The high adsorption capacity of biochar is due to its expanded microporous and aromatic structure [38–40]. Consequently, biochar can provide a lower cost alternative to activated carbon [41,42]. Biochar has many applications in environmental management and has been used in soil conditioning, soil remediation and carbon sequestration [43–45]. In recent years, there has been increasing interest in using biochar in contaminant removal from agriculture and urban runoff water [46]. Until now, however, only a few attempts have been made to use biochar for nutrient reclamation from forest runoff water. The chemical composition of peatland forest runoff differs markedly from agricultural or urban area runoff [47]. As multiple compounds compete simultaneously for the adsorption places in the adsorption process [48], all dissolved compounds can affect biochar performance in recovering harmful substances from water. Therefore, the results of previous studies from agricultural and urban areas are not directly applicable for forest areas.

This study was part of a research project aimed at developing biochar-based water protection tools for forestry. Different scales, such as a small laboratory experiment (water volume in the order of liters), meso-scale laboratory experiment (water volume in order of thousands of liters), and a full-field scale study (where biochar reactors were installed into ditch drains), were applied during the project. The aim of the current study was to test a biochar reactor in N recovery from forest runoff water using a meso-scale laboratory experiment. The specific research aims were as follows:
1. To quantify purification of total N (TN), nitrate N (NO₃-N), ammonium N (NH₄-N) and dissolved organic N (DON) in a meso-scale column experiment where through-flow rate of natural runoff water corresponds to field conditions.

2. To determine the adsorption rate and capacity for different N fractions.

3. To study whether the concentration of incoming runoff water affects the adsorption process.

In the current study, runoff water was circulated through wood biochar-filled columns and water nutrient concentrations in the column inlet and outlet were monitored. The reduction in different N fractions was quantified, and relevant adsorption isotherms were calculated. The adsorption results were evaluated in the context of upscaling the biochar-based water purification to field scale.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study Site and Water Collection

The study site was located in Heinävesi, Eastern Finland (62°29’56.0” N, 28°35’56.0” E). The mean annual temperature and precipitation in the area are 3.6 °C and 638 mm, respectively [49]. The site was a drained fertile spruce fen [1] that was clear-cut in August 2018. In the clear-cut, a total of 319 m³ (124 m³ ha⁻¹) Norway spruce (Picea abies Karst.) and 239 m³ (93 m³ ha⁻¹) silver birch (Betula pendula Roth.) were harvested. Total catchment area was 31.72 ha and the size of the clear-cut area was 2.57 ha. The depth of the peat layer was 0.5–0.7 m and was underlain by clay.

Water for the biochar column experiment was collected from a main ditch that collected all the runoff from the clear-cut area on 21 January 2019. A total of 1000 L water was pumped into two plastic containers and transported to the laboratory. At the beginning, water was further pumped into the laboratory water tank (tank size 3 m³) on 22 January 2019 and the experiment was started. This initiated the first phase of the experiment. After 3 weeks (14 February 2019), 850 L of runoff water was collected from the same ditch, transported to the laboratory, and added into the laboratory tank, starting the second phase of the experiment. The experiment continued until 22 March 2019.

2.2. Biochar Reactor and Experimental Design

TN, NO₃-N, NH₄-N, and DON removal using a biochar reactor was tested in meso-scale laboratory experiment using a large volume of runoff water circulating through three horizontally-oriented biochar-filled columns (here referred to as biochar reactors). The biochar was produced from Norway spruce (Picea abies) wood chips by Carbofex Ltd. (Tampere, Finland) under continuous pressurized slow pyrolysis conditions at 600 °C (Table 1). Biochar pH and electric conductivity were measured using a 1:2.5 v:v biochar/water solution. The specific surface area was measured using the N₂ adsorption method [50]. The particle size of biochar was less than 8 mm. The reactor carbonizes 400–500 kg of wood chips per hour (residence time is 5–10 min), which in turn, produces 100–140 kg of EBC-certified biochar. The process yields 25%–30% biochar, 12% pyrolysis oil and 20% pyrolysis gas. The biochar reactor was built using PVC plastic pipes (inner diameter 15 cm, length 150 cm), each of which were filled to full volume without compressing with biochar (column volume 0.0265 m³), dry bulk density of biochar 200 kg m⁻³, equivalent to 5.3 kg of biochar) and covered from both sides by PVC caps (Figure 1). Adjustable valves installed at the caps were used to control the water inflow and outflow. Water samples were collected from hoses attached to each of the three outlets and from the inlet hose (Figure 1). The water tank was located 3 m above the columns. Initial characteristics of the water sample are shown in Table 2. In the first part of the experiment, 1000 L of runoff water circulated through the system. After 21 days, we observed that the mineral N was already recovered from the water, and therefore we added another 850 L of runoff water into the tank and continued the study. The total duration of the experiment was 60 days. During the first week, water samples (50 mL) were taken three times per day, in the second week two times per day, and once a day during the remainder of the experiment. The water samples were filtered through Filtration Assembly with Whatman GF/F Glass Microfiber Filters (pore size 0.45 μm, GE Healthcare.
Bio-Sciences, Marlborough, MA, USA). Finally, the samples were stored at a temperature of +4 °C for further analysis. The mineral N fractions were measured within 1 week and TN within 1 month from the sampling.

### Table 1. Characteristics of the biochar used in the study.

| Parameter                        | Biochar | Norway Spruce |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------------|
| Pyrolysis temperature (°C)       | 600     |               |
| pH (1:2.5 v:v biochar/water solution) | 9.75 (0.02) |       |
| Electric conductivity (μS cm⁻¹) (1:2.5 v:v biochar/water solution) | 163 (3) |       |
| Specific surface area (m² g⁻¹)   | 320     |               |
| Dry matter (%) (105 °C, 48 h)    | 72.69 (2.74) |       |

Values are mean (± SD).

Figure 1. The experimental set-up of the biochar reactor.

### Table 2. Initial characteristics of the collected water sample.

| Parameter | Value     | Parameter | Value     |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| pH        | 7.24      | Mg (mg L⁻¹) | 1.208     |
| [H⁺]      | 5.75 × 10⁻⁸ | Na (mg L⁻¹) | 1.984     |
| EC        | 168       | P (mg L⁻¹)  | 0.026     |
| TOC (mg L⁻¹) | 0.0319 | Pb (mg L⁻¹)  | 0.225     |
| TN (mg L⁻¹) | 0.828   | S (mg L⁻¹)  | 3.271     |
| NH₄⁺-N (mg L⁻¹) | 0.066 | Si (mg L⁻¹)  | 7.210     |
| NO₃⁻-N (mg L⁻¹) | 0.251 | Zn (mg L⁻¹)  | 0.247     |
| Al (mg L⁻¹) | 0.313   | K (mg L⁻¹)  | 3.668     |
| Ca (mg L⁻¹) | 7.215   | Fe (mg L⁻¹)  | 0.406     |
| Mn (mg L⁻¹) | 0.087   | -           | -         |

The electrical conductivity (EC) and pH of water and biochar (in a 1:2.5 v:v biochar/water solution) were measured using WTW pH/cond 340i and WTW pH 340i (WTW GmbH, Weilheim,
Germany), respectively. The specific surface area of the biochar sample was determined by the physical adsorption of a gas on the surface of the solid and by calculating the amount of adsorbate gas corresponding to a monomolecular layer on the surface using Micromeritics Flowsorb II 2300 surface area analyzer. The dry matter of the biochar sample was determined in an oven at 105 °C for 48 h.

2.3. Measurements and Analyses

The water flow rate \( (F_w, \text{L min}^{-1}) \) though the columns was measured daily using a graduated cylinder and a stopwatch. The flow was adjusted using the valves if the observed flow rate was outside the range of 0.5–1 L min\(^{-1}\) (Figure 2a,b). This corresponds to a flow velocity range of 0.001–0.002 m s\(^{-1}\) and results in a residence time of 12–23 min inside the reactor. This was chosen to represent low water flow velocities that are common in ditch drains of forested peatlands [51]. In the first phase of the study, the 1000 L of the tank water had passed 23 times through the reactor; in the second phase 1850 L of the water passed through 15 times. Recirculation allows observation of the cumulative effects of the purification and provides another valuable viewpoint in the system where the concentration changes between the inlet and outlet may be small.

Water quality variables were measured to determine the nutrient recovery from the biochar reactor. TN concentration was determined with a Multi N/C® 2100 (Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany). NO\(_3\)-N and NH\(_4\)-N concentrations were determined colorimetrically using the methods of Miranda et al. (2001) and Fawcett and Scott (1960), respectively [52,53]. The detection limit was considered as 0.02 mg L\(^{-1}\) for NO\(_3\)-N and NH\(_4\)-N; values lower than this limit were converted to half of the detection limit value [54]. The values for the last 5 days for NO\(_3\)-N and NH\(_4\)-N were missing from the data as the measurement device was occupied by another research group and the samples were outdated.

2.4. Adsorption and Kinetic Modeling

Adsorption kinetics reflect the evolution of the adsorption process in time. The analysis assumes that any reduction in N content is due to adsorption. However, there are no details of the known interactions of N fractions and PVC. No blank or control data without biochar is presented. Commonly used pseudo-first- and second-order kinetic models were used for the quantification of TN adsorption [55]. The integral forms of pseudo-first order Equation (1) and pseudo-second order Equation (2) are as follows [56]:

\[
Q_t = Q_{\text{max}}(1 - e^{-k_{\text{ad,1}}t})
\]

\[
Q_t = \frac{k_{\text{ad,2}} Q_{\text{max}}^2 t}{1 + k_{\text{ad,2}} Q_{\text{max}} t}
\]

where \( Q_{\text{max}}, Q_t \) are maximum adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg g\(^{-1}\) biochar) and cumulative adsorption (mg g\(^{-1}\) biochar) at time \( t \) (h), respectively. \( k_{\text{ad,1}} \) is adsorption rate (h\(^{-1}\)) in pseudo-first order model and \( k_{\text{ad,2}} \) is adsorption rate in the pseudo-second order model (mg g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)) [31].

3. Results

The quality of the water circulating through the reactor was monitored using two approaches for 60 days. Due to water circulation, the N concentration change in the column inlet describes the cumulative water purification, which facilitates observation of small concentration changes over the course of time. The instantaneous concentration difference between the column inlet and outlet enables the construction of adsorption isotherms. At the beginning of the experiment, TN concentration was approximately 0.8 mg L\(^{-1}\) and decreased to 0.56 mg L\(^{-1}\) during the first 3 days; thereafter TN concentration decreased slowly (Figure 2a). TN concentration increased when 850 L of new water was added into the water tank feeding the biochar reactor (Figure 2a). The TN concentration decreased from 0.8 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 0.35 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the first phase of the experiment, corresponding to 56% purification efficiency. DON concentrations decreased from an initial 0.5–0.6
mg L\(^{-1}\) to 0.3–0.4 mg L\(^{-1}\) shortly after the addition of water, both in the first and in the second phase of the experiment (Figure 2b). A high rate of NO\(_3\)-N and NH\(_4\)-N concentration decrease was observed in the beginning of the experiment; the initial NO\(_3\)-N and NH\(_4\)-N concentrations were 0.18 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 0.066 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively, and decreased below the detection limit within 5 days (Figure 2c,d). The mean water flow rate ranged between 0.45 and 0.8 L min\(^{-1}\). Before and shortly after the addition of water, the flow rate increased and the concentration of TN, DON, and NH\(_4\)-N increased simultaneously, indicating that the residence time may affect the adsorption. The concentration difference between the inlet and outlet (indicating net adsorption) was greatest when the incoming N concentration was high (Figure 2). This suggests that adsorption was dependent on N concentration (Figure 3). Even when the difference between the inlet and outlet did not indicate significant adsorption, the time series of the inlet water concentration indicated a slow rate of water purification. The adsorption after the addition of water revealed that the biochar was not saturated in the second phase of the experiment.

**Figure 2.** Total nitrogen (a), dissolved organic nitrogen (b), nitrate nitrogen (c), and ammonium nitrogen (d) in the column inlet (purple line) and outlet (green line). Mean ± standard deviation of outlet concentration is shown as green area. Mean ± standard deviation of water flow through the columns are shown as blue line and area. The vertical dashed black line represents the time of water addition to the laboratory tank and the horizontal dashed green line represents the detection limit. Time interval between the ticks is 1 week.
Figure 3. Total nitrogen (TN) adsorption as a function of water TN concentration in biochar reactor.

TN adsorption kinetics were calculated for the first phase of the study on the basis of the instantaneous concentration differences between the column inlet and outlet. In this approach, there was rapid adsorption over the first few days, after which the adsorption slowed down (Figure 4). $Q_{\text{max}}$ fitted by both pseudo-first and second order models was 0.02 mg g$^{-1}$ biochar for TN. The $k_{\text{a1}}$ in the pseudo-first and $k_{\text{a2}}$ in the second order model were 0.15 h$^{-1}$ and 19.83 mg g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, respectively. The adsorption model was not able to capture the slow decrease in N concentration (Figure 2a, weeks 2–3) as shown in the following computation: in the first phase (1000 L of water), the TN concentration decreased from 0.8 mg L$^{-1}$ to 0.35 mg L$^{-1}$, and in the second phase (1850 L of water) the concentration decreased from 0.81 mg L$^{-1}$ to 0.41 mg L$^{-1}$, indicating adsorption of 1190 mg of TN. With a total of 15.9 kg of biochar, this ends up to adsorption of 0.07 mg g$^{-1}$ biochar. This is 3.5 times greater than the calculated $Q_{\text{max}}$ suggested.

Figure 4. The adsorption of TN into the biochar reactor. The pink line is pseudo-first order and the green line is pseudo second order kinetic model fitted to the data. $K_{\text{a1}}$ is adsorption rate in pseudo-first order model (h$^{-1}$) and in pseudo-second order model (mg g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$). $Q_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg g$^{-1}$ biochar).
4. Discussion

4.1. Evaluation of the Experiment

A biochar reactor for the purification of runoff water from a clear-cut peatland forest was set up and tested in a meso-scale laboratory experiment lasting for 2 months. The results supported our hypothesis that a biochar reactor can adsorb both organic and inorganic N from the runoff water. The biochar reactor reduced water TN concentration by 58%, whereas NO₃-N and NH₄-N concentrations fell below the detection limits within the first 5 days. The rate of TN concentration decrease was highest at the beginning of the experiment, when the mineral N fractions were also recovered from water. Despite the depletion of the mineral N, DON concentration steadily decreased during the first phase of the study. After the rapid initial decrease, the NH₄-N concentration started to rise after 15 days (Figure 2d). This might reflect a process where NO₃-N replaces NH₄-N from the adsorption places or organic N decomposes and releases NH₄-N. This process may also be due to flow velocity rise within the column. The results indicated that the biochar adsorption capacity is strongly dependent on N concentrations in water (Figure 3). Results showed that TN adsorption does not occur if water TN concentration is less than 0.4 mg L⁻¹. This suggests that the initial concentration of TN affects the performance of the biochar reactor. The concentration dependence is consistent with the review by Yin et al. (2017) [46], which showed that the adsorption capacity of oak wood biochar could exceed 100 mg g⁻¹ biochar if the initial concentration was 1000 mg NH₄N L⁻¹. In this study, the water NO₃-N and NH₄-N concentrations dropped close to zero, and therefore, the real maximum adsorption capacity was not achieved and is probably much higher than observed in this study.

In the current study, we used Norway spruce biochar in the columns. The N adsorption capacity of the biochar reactor can be significantly affected by the biochar feedstock, treatment temperature, and initial concentration [57]. Under high initial N concentration, the adsorption capacity of wood biochars can reach up to 50–125 mg NH₄N g⁻¹ biochar and 2–8 mg NO₃N g⁻¹ biochar [46]. Coleman et al. (2019) [58] showed that addition of biochar to a woodchip reactor (10%–30% of volume) significantly improved the NO₃-N removal in 5 days with horizontally-oriented columns. Pluer et al. (2016) [59] reported that biochar-amended beds improved the performance of field-scale bioreactors in NO₃-N removal. Gao et al. (2015) [60] showed that low-temperature cotton stalks biochar was effective in NH₄-N adsorption with maximum adsorption capacities varying between 40.5–45.1 mg g⁻¹ biochar. Adsorption rates of 0.018 mg g⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 0.66 h⁻¹ were observed by pseudo-second and first order kinetic models, respectively.

Adsorption is the main process in the removal of N from water. In the adsorption, ion exchange and electrostatic adsorption occurs between surface functional groups and N fractions [57].

High surface area and numerous adsorption places enable the mass transfer process from the high N concentration in solution to the biochar surface. However, many researchers have concluded that N adsorption is not solely dependent on the surface area of biochar [58,61,62]. In several cases, different types of biochar exhibit a similar N adsorption capacity although their surface areas differ considerably: Takaya reported that biochar with a large surface area (280 m² g⁻¹) did not have a better NH₄-N adsorption capacity than biochar with a small surface area (2.5 m² g⁻¹) [61]. This finding suggests that physisorption related to surface area is not the only factor that affects N sorption capacity [61]. Many functional groups (e.g., carbonyl, carboxyl, hydroxyl, and phenolic hydroxyl) are important in N adsorption, because ion exchange occurs between NO₃-N, NH₄-N and the functional groups [62]. The removal efficiencies of NO₃-N and NH₄-N are positively correlated with the amount of acidic and basic functional groups, respectively [63]. In low pH, H⁺ ions may replace NH₄-N from the adsorption places, and therefore N adsorption typically increases with a higher pH [64,65]. The interaction between the adsorbents and adsorbates at equilibrium can be described using pseudo-first and second-order kinetic models, which consider chemical adsorption mechanisms involving electron sharing or transfer between the adsorbate and adsorbent [66,67]. In the current study, both models showed rather similar performance against the experimental data (Figure 3).

Adsorption parameters are important for the design of a biochar reactor. Regardless of the nature of adsorbents and the type of contaminant, kinetics and thermodynamics are playing a key
role in adsorption mechanism. Nonlinear models can better represent experimental kinetics and isotherm data than linear models [68–74], both in column experiments and in a variety of systems that involve fluids transfer [27].

4.2. Biochar as a Water Protection Tool

The most commonly used water protection methods, such as sedimentation ponds, sedimentation pits, overland flow fields, constructed wetlands, and peak-flow control methods, can retain suspended solids; but are not effective in retaining soluble nutrients [75–77]. Although ponds have been shown to efficiently remove coarse-textured mineral soil particles from discharge waters, their effect on fine-textured materials and peat particles may be negligible [78]. Due to collapse of the pond walls, the efficiency of ponds may also be poor. In addition, the construction of ponds is expensive and must be maintained and emptied regularly where the loads of suspended sediments from the upstream drainage areas or clear-cut sites are high. Buffer zones have also been considered as an inexpensive and maintenance-free means of improving water quality from peatlands drained for forestry purposes [79]. However, the cost-efficiency of buffer zones decreases drastically if we consider the value of the forest stand in the area that becomes ruled outside economic use [80]. Riparian buffer zones around a receiving water body may retain soluble nutrients in the soil and vegetation [24,81–83]. In peatland buffer areas, the retention efficiency of inorganic N has been reported to be 58%–93% [24] but requires rather large areas and is not applicable inside intensively drained peatlands [76]. The problem with water protection methods that are based on biological activity is that the function is strongly temperature-dependent and therefore efficiency outside the growing season is low. This can be especially observed in increased NO₃-N concentrations outside the growing season [84].

Biochar shows a great potential for use in the removal of inorganic N from water bodies. The intrinsic physical and chemical characteristics of biochar exert a significant influence on its adsorption capacity, which is mainly determined by types of biomass, pretreatment methods, and pyrolysis conditions [58].

The results suggest that biochar reactors can be a useful and effective method for runoff water purification in clear-cut forest areas and warrant further development and testing. The biochar reactor can also effectively remove NO₃-N from the water. NO₃-N is poorly retained in the soil and easily leached because NO₃-N is negatively charged. Sulphate and (to a lesser degree) phosphate are anions that may compete with NO₃-N for the adsorption sites of biochar in peatland-dominated catchments [5,85]. A biochar reactor is a promising water protection tool that may be used in sites where there is a risk of a high rate of nutrient export after forest harvesting or drainage. After biochar is saturated with nutrients, it can be used for soil amendment. Biochar addition improves soil properties, increases carbon sequestration, reduces the need for fertilizers and improves the growth of trees [45,86–88]. Thus, biochar could offer an innovative, inexpensive, renewable and 100% recyclable material for water purification and nutrient recycling, thereby allowing the responsible utilization of natural resources.

5. Conclusions

Biochar was able to recover both organic and inorganic N from peatland runoff water containing a high concentration of dissolved organic material. The adsorption was dependent on water N concentration; no adsorption occurred if the TN concentration was below 0.45 mg L⁻¹. The adsorption was a rapid process—particularly for the mineral N fraction—which suggests that a biochar reactor can be effective even with low water residence time, given that the incoming concentration is sufficiently high. Therefore, biochar can be a complementary tool in water protection especially outside the growing season when traditional, biological activity-based methods are inefficient.
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