Dumbbell-Shaped Rhabdomyosarcoma: Two-Stage Surgical Resection
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Abstract

Female neonate admitted to our hospital with an abdominal mass and a thigh mass that were connected as a single dumbbell-shaped mass. CT was done on admission that showed cystic swelling in the thigh with intra-abdominal extension passing under inguinal ligament, most probably lymphangioma.
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Introduction

A female neonate aged 28 days was admitted to our hospital by huge swelling in the thigh.

Antenatal history: a full-term neonate with 37-week gestational age was delivered through cesarean section because of cystic swelling in the thigh, in an otherwise uncomplicated pregnancy or delivery. The neonate was the first with positive consanguinity between parents.

On admission, clinically, the patient had a history of a rapidly enlarging tumor in abdomen and left thigh. On examination, the abdomen was severely distended with visible abdominal veins and abdominal mass measuring 65 × 60 mm. The neonate also had a left thigh mass measuring 85 × 50 mm which seemed impending to rupture. The two masses were connected as a single dumbbell-shaped mass with heterogeneous firm consistency.

Routine laboratory tests were done which were normal except urine analysis which showed high power field cells > 100 and serum α-fetoprotein = 760 ng/mL. Computed tomography done on admission showed cystic swelling in the thigh with intra-abdominal extension passing under inguinal ligament, most probably lymphangioma in origin (► Fig. 1). Bilateral ureteric compression and back pressure changes were also evident.

The patient was assessed by pediatric surgeons and practitioners in the pediatric oncology department, and debulking of the tumor with subsequent chemotherapy was diagnosed, given the aggressive progression of the disease and increased abdominal tension signs which refuted the possibility of a benign lesion. Subsequently, the patient was prepared for surgery (► Fig. 2). The abdominal part was tackled first. The mass was overridden by the common iliac vessels. It was found to have solid components, which were very necrotic. Complete excision of the mass was done with sparing of the ureters bilaterally and ligation of feeding vessels to the tumor. Intraoperative duplex was done and all distal pulses in both legs were recorded. The intraoperative blood loss was 80 cm, and the anesthesia team requested to abort the procedure after excision of the abdominal part. Patient was transferred to surgical neonatal intensive care unit intubated.

After blood transfusion and resuscitation, the patient was extubated the same day. The abdominal drain had 50 mL of serosanguineous fluid, and bilaterally, lower limb pulses were maintained at a warm temperature.

Two days later, the neonate was scheduled for operation of the thigh mass. No intraoperative complications were observed. Distal lower limb pulses were intact evidenced by duplex all through the surgery. The mass seemed to arise in medial compartment of the thigh and was excised completely. Postoperatively, the patient was vitally stable and wound drain was removed. The patient was referred to oncology hospital.
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The pathology report showed rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) of the embryonal type.

Discussion

Incidence

Neonatal RMS is a rare tumor (0.4–1% of RMS). Nearly, 50% of tumors occurring in neonates are observed at birth; another 20 to 29% of tumors become evident within the first week of life. The abnormal behavior related to the extension into the thigh in our case suggests that the tumor probably originated from the iliopsoas muscle, given its pelvic origin and lower limb insertion with passage under inguinal ligament. This behavior in neonates is rarely noted in literature, although a similar case was operated upon for a primary paratesticular tumor in a 13-year-old boy. Approximately, half of neonatal RMS arise in the bladder, vagina, testicular, and sacrococcygeal regions. In a multi-institutional Children’s Cancer Group (CCG) study reported in 1995, a common characteristic of neonatal RMS was its aggressive biological behavior as half of the patients had widespread disease at the time of diagnosis.

Pathology

The predominant histologic subtype in RMS presenting in neonates is embryonal subtype. These lesions are associated with allelic loss of the 11p15 region, and also coinciding with our established pathology.

Management

Surgical debulking remains our mainstay of treatment of malignant neoplasms unlike lymphatic malformations which are scheduled for watchful follow-up clinically and radiologically and are excised if complicated, especially in neonates. In this case, the progression from debulking to complete resection was attempted after careful identification and sparing of neurovascular structures followed by complete excision of both masses. However, the treatment of RMS is multidisciplinary, involving surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy.
coordination. This coincides with various reviews that advocate surgery as definitive treatment modality in most neonates with solid tumors taking into account the physiologic and metabolic needs of the neonate. Following resection, the most effective chemotherapy regimen is considered to be vincristine, actinomycin D, and cyclophosphamide. Complete resection of nonmetastatic primaries is recommended if it can be accomplished with acceptable morbidity. Radiotherapy is reserved for infants with gross or microscopic residual disease.

**Prognosis**

Prognosis of RMS in infants younger than 1 year appears to be comparable with that of older children. A study compared prognosis of tumors diagnosed in the first 28 days of life with those diagnosed after 28 days. The prognosis was worse in infants diagnosed in the first 28 days of life. The late presentation to our hospital was attributed to the late diagnosis of the abdominal part.

Prognosis depends on stage at presentation, histologic characteristics of the lesion, and the location of the primary tumor. Infants with embryonal histology and complete surgical resection do well, with cure rates higher than 90%.

In a study with a median follow-up of 76 months, local recurrence was the major reason for treatment failure. In particular, the local recurrence rate was high in patients who warranted radiotherapy but received none due to their age. Completeness of surgery and nodal involvement were the most significant prognostic factors.

**Conclusion**

Complex neonatal malignancies require multidisciplinary management between surgery, radiology, and oncology to tailor the ideal management of each lesion. More data are needed to setup a protocol for the management of RMS.
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