Developmental MRI markers cosegregate juvenile patients with myoclonic epilepsy and their healthy siblings
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Abstract

Objective
MRI studies of genetic generalized epilepsies have mainly described group-level changes between patients and healthy controls. To determine the endophenotypic potential of structural MRI in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME), we examined MRI-based cortical morphologic markers in patients and their healthy siblings.

Methods
In this prospective, cross-sectional study, we obtained 3T MRI in patients with JME, siblings, and controls. We mapped sulco-gyral complexity and surface area, morphologic markers of brain development, and cortical thickness. Furthermore, we calculated mean geodesic distance, a surrogate marker of cortico-cortical connectivity.

Results
Compared to controls, patients and siblings showed increased folding complexity and surface area in prefrontal and cingulate cortices. In these regions, they also displayed abnormally increased geodesic distance, suggesting network isolation and decreased efficiency, with strongest effects for limbic, fronto-parietal, and dorsal-attention networks. In areas of findings overlap, we observed strong patient–sibling correlations. Conversely, neocortical thinning was present in patients only and related to disease duration. Patients showed subtle impairment in mental flexibility, a frontal lobe function test, as well as deficits in naming and design learning. Siblings’ performance fell between patients and controls.

Conclusion
MRI markers of brain development and connectivity are likely heritable and may thus serve as endophenotypes. The topography of morphologic anomalies and their abnormal structural network integration likely explains cognitive impairments in patients with JME and their siblings. By contrast, cortical atrophy likely represents a marker of disease.
Generalized genetic epilepsies, previously known as primary
generalized or idiopathic generalized epilepsies, refer to
a group of syndromes with known or presumed genetic origin,
with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) being most common.
The syndrome’s clinical hallmark is myoclonus. Most patients
also experience generalized tonic-clonic seizures and more
rarely absences.1 JME is associated with cognitive impairment,
mainly affecting frontal lobe function, together with emo-
tional instability and psychiatric comorbidities.2 While visual
MRI analysis does not reveal obvious structural abnormali-
ties,3 morphometric studies comparing patients to healthy
individuals have shown evidence for subtle cortico-subcortical
structural derangements, particularly in the thalamus and
frontal lobe.2,4 Notably, first-degree relatives of patients with
JME are at risk for epilepsy and may present with similar
cognitive traits, supporting genetic underpinning.5,6 Not-
withstanding a complex polygenetic inheritance suspected in
most patients, mutations in the EFHC1 gene have shown to
be disease-causative.7 In experimental models, loss of function
of this gene regulating cell division and migration leads to
disrupted corticogenesis,8 which may explain abnormal cor-
tical lamination observed in human postmortem studies.9

MRI lends metrics to study the interplay among brain struc-
ture, genes, and environment, thereby providing opportuni-
ties to assess endophenotypes; that is, the intermediate traits
more closely related to the genetic makeup than clinical
manifestations.10 An important characteristic of an endo-
phenotype is its presence in unaffected family members at
a higher rate than in the general population; notably,
studying asymptomatic sibling controls for disease activity
and medication effects while ensuring comparable age and
environmental factors.11 In psychiatric disorders, neuroimaging-
derived endophenotypes have successfully mapped effects of
a number of genetic variants, necessitating smaller samples
than those required in traditional case–control studies.12
In epilepsy, most effort has been dedicated to exploring MRI
endophenotypes in focal syndromes, primarily temporal lobe
epilepsy.13,14 Conversely, structural MRI studies of JME have
so far described group-level changes between patients and
healthy controls, which have been interpreted as disease
effect.2

Our purpose was to assess the endophenotypic potential of
structural MRI in JME. We studied cohorts of patients with
JME, unaffected siblings, and healthy controls and computed
cortical thickness, sulco-gyral complexity, and surface area,
quantitative imaging markers tapping into complementary
aspects of cortical morphology and thought to have different
geneic underpinnings.15 Morphometric assessments were com-
plemented by geodesic distance mapping, a recently
proposed surrogate marker of “wiring cost” that may serve as
a measure of intrinsic cortico-cortical connectivity.16

Methods
Participants
In this cross-sectional study, we studied 29 consecutive
patients with JME, 16 unaffected siblings (related to 11
patients), and 20 healthy controls. Patients were recruited
from epilepsy outpatient clinics at University College London
Hospitals between 2007 and 2013. Siblings were recruited
with the consent of the related patient. Groups were com-
parable for age (mean ± SD: patients = 35.7 ± 11.1; siblings = 38.9 ± 13.0; controls = 32.6 ± 8.5); analysis of variance
[ANOVA], p = 0.2) and sex (male: 41%, 38% siblings, 30%
controls; χ² = 0.66, p = 0.7). All participants underwent
a structured interview in regard to chronic neurologic con-
ditions and a brief neurologic examination. Healthy controls
and unaffected siblings had no significant neurologic history
and all participants had a normal neurologic examination.
In all participants, MRI scans were reviewed by a neuroradiolo-
gist and reported as normal. Patients had a typical history of
JME with myoclonic jerks, generalized tonic-clonic seizures,
and absence seizures in one third (10/29), with disease onset
at 14.0 ± 3.6 years. At the time of the MRI acquisition, disease
duration was 22.1 ± 11.8 years and time since last seizure was
1,031.5 ± 1,379.9 days; patients were treated on average with
1.6 ± 0.64 antiepileptic drugs. In all, routine scalp EEG
showed generalized polyspike wave complexes. No sibling
had ever experienced unprovoked seizures.

All participants underwent neuropsychological testing on the
day of scanning, which evaluated verbal IQ, verbal compre-
hension and expressive language, verbal and nonverbal
learning, and psychomotor speed. For higher frontal lobe
functions, we assessed working memory, mental flexibility,
and fluency (table).

Standard protocol approvals, registrations,
and patient consents
The Ethics Committee of the University College London
Institute of Neurology and University College London Hos-
pitals approved the study and written informed consent was
obtained from all participants in accordance with the stand-
ards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

MRI acquisition and image processing
T1-weighted structural MRI data were obtained on a 3T
General Electric (Boston, MA) Excite HD scanner using a 3D
fast spoiled gradient echo (repetition time 7.2 ms, echo
time 2.8 ms, inversion time 450 ms, flip angle = 20°,
MRI data were processed using FreeSurfer (surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/; version 5.3). Preprocessing included bias field correction, registration to stereotaxic space, intensity normalization, skull-stripping, and hemispheric white matter segmentation. A triangular surface tessellation fitted a deformable mesh model onto the white matter volume, providing gray–white and pial surfaces with >160,000 corresponding points (or vertices). Individual surfaces were registered to an average template surface with a spherical representation, improving the correspondence of measurement points with regards to sulcation. Surface extractions were visually verified, and topologic defects manually corrected.

### Computation of morphologic features

#### Cortical thickness

The thickness of the cortex reflects various cellular-level features including size, density, and arrangement of neurons, as well as neuroglia and nerve fibers. We measured thickness as the distance of corresponding vertices between the gray–white matter and pial boundaries.

#### Curvature

Sulco-gyral folding of the neocortex occurs primarily between the 26th and 36th week of gestation. To quantify cortical folding complexity, we estimated mean curvature by computing the maximum and minimum principal curvatures (i.e., inverse of radius of an inscribed circle), and averaging them at each vertex between the pial and gray–white matter interface. This analysis was carried out using mris_curvature, a built-in FreeSurfer function. As curvature might be affected by variations of cortical thickness, we statistically adjusted this metric at every vertex by the corresponding thickness measure.

#### Surface area

Surface area is thought to reflect the relative expansion or compression of cortical columns within a given area. As in previous work, we measured the average surface area determined by 6 triangular meshes surrounding each vertex along the gray–white matter interface. To account for interpolation effects during surface registration, we computed this metric based on the surface resampled to the template (i.e., fsaverage).

### Table: Neuropsychological measures

| Cognitive function       | Test                  | JME       | SIB       | CTR       | F test | Post hoc |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| IQ                       | NART                  | 108.7 (11.1) | 105.2 (12.1) | 112.0 (5.6) | 0.68   | NS       |
| Verbal comprehension     | Vocabulary            | 49.9 (9.3) | 43.5 (13.4) | 52.1 (5.2) | 0.37   | NS       |
|                          | Similarities          | 24.7 (4.3) | 23.2 (4.0) | 27.9 (2.2) | 0.28   | NS       |
| Working memory           | Digit Span            | 19.5 (4.5) | 20.5 (3.9) | 18.0 (4.7) | 1.07   | NS       |
|                          | Arithmetic            | 15.0 (4.0) | 13.4 (4.2) | 15.4 (3.7) | 0.15   | NS       |
| Fluency                  | Letter fluency        | 13.6 (3.3) | 15.1 (3.5) | 14.2 (3.1) | 0.54   | NS       |
|                          | Categorical fluency   | 18.5 (4.2) | 19.3 (3.8) | 18.3 (1.5) | 0.98   | NS       |
| Expressive language      | Graded naming test    | 18.1 (4.1) | 20.9 (4.3) | 22.1 (2.0) | 4.72   | JME vs CTR, p = 0.01<sup>a</sup> |
|                          |                       |           |           |           |        |          |
| Verbal learning          | List learning         | 55.5 (8.9) | 55.0 (8.2) | 60.3 (7.6) | 1.35   |          |
| Nonverbal learning       | Design learning       | 33.1 (10.0) | 34.5 (7.8) | 39.7 (6.7) | 2.42   | JME vs CTR, p = 0.02<sup>a</sup> |
| Psychomotor speed        | Trail-Making Test A   | 28.8 (7.8) | 24.7 (7.0) | 29.3 (8.0) | 1.98   |          |
| Mental flexibility       | Trail-Making Test B–A | 33.4 (14.9) | 30.4 (20.8) | 17.0 (7.2) | 3.29   | JME vs CTR, p = 0.01<sup>a</sup> |

Abbreviations: CTR = healthy controls; JME = juvenile myoclonic epilepsy; NART = National Adult Reading Test; SIB = siblings. Neuropsychometry data are presented as mean and SD for patients with JME, SIB, and CTR. All variables are reported as raw items, except for Trail-Making Test (time in seconds) and verbal IQ points. Tests of verbal comprehension and working memory are based on Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III; verbal and nonverbal learning are based on the Adult Memory and Information Processing Battery. Analysis of variance assessed group differences in behavioral measures, followed by post hoc t tests when main effects (F test) were significant. p Values are reported uncorrected.

<sup>a</sup> Indicates trends.

matrix = 256×256, 176 sagittal slices, voxel size = 1.1×1.1×1.1 mm<sup>3</sup>. MRI data were processed using FreeSurfer (surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/; version 5.3). Preprocessing included bias field correction, registration to stereotaxic space, intensity normalization, skull-stripping, and hemispheric white matter segmentation. A triangular surface tessellation fitted a deformable mesh model onto the white matter volume, providing gray–white and pial surfaces with >160,000 corresponding points (or vertices). Individual surfaces were registered to an average template surface with a spherical representation, improving the correspondence of measurement points with regards to sulcation. Surface extractions were visually verified, and topologic defects manually corrected.
Evaluation of cortico-cortical connectivity
We measured geodesic distance, representing the shortest path between any 2 points (or surface vertices) along the cortical mantle, a feature that has been related to intrinsic cortico-cortical connectivity. As in previous work, this metric was calculated using an approach invariant to mesh configuration. For each vertex, we calculated the average distance to all other vertices, generating a mean geodesic distance map per individual. The resulting distances were corrected for total white matter volume.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out using SurfStat for MATLAB (math.mcgill.ca/keith/surfstat/). Prior to analysis, surface-based measurements were blurred using a diffusion kernel (full width at half maximum = 20 mm) that respects surface topology and z-normalized at each surface point with respect to the corresponding distribution in healthy controls.

Surface-wise Student t tests separately compared curvature, surface area, cortical thickness, and geodesic distance between patients with JME and controls, and between siblings and controls. Given that curvature and surface area are markers of brain development, to signify the overall load of anomalies, we repeated the above comparisons based on their multivariate combination using the Hotelling t test. To address possible confounds of gray matter atrophy, we repeated the analysis of curvature and surface area, after statistically controlling for thickness at each surface point. Analyses were corrected using random field theory, controlling the family-wise error at $P_{FWE} < 0.05$.

Data availability
Surface-based features for all analyses are available upon request.

Results
Analysis of morphologic markers
Compared to healthy participants, patients with JME and siblings exhibited increased curvature and surface area in orbitofrontal, anterior cingulate, and temporal cortices ($P_{FWE} < 0.05$; figure 1A). In areas of overlap, multivariate linear models directly assessed the relationship between each patient and his or her siblings, showing marked patient–sibling correlation ($r = 0.72$, $p = 0.012$; figure 1B). The analysis of cortical thickness revealed thinning of fronto-central and occipital cortices in patients with JME compared to healthy participants ($P_{FWE} < 0.03$; figure 2A), while no differences were observed between siblings and controls. Repeating the univariate and multivariate analysis of curvature and surface area, while controlling for cortical thickness variations at each surface point, did not modify results (figure 2B).

Figure 1 Analysis of curvature and surface area
(A) Univariate group analysis shows regions of increased curvature and surface area comparing patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) to controls, and siblings to controls. (B) Multivariate analysis assesses the joint distribution of curvature and surface area. In regions of overlap (outlined in green), linear models show positive correlation between each patient and his or her siblings. Significant clusters corrected for multiple comparisons using random field theory at $P_{FWE} < 0.05$ are outlined in black.
Analysis of geodesic distance

Relative to controls, both patients with JME and siblings showed increases in mean geodesic distance in prefrontal (orbitofrontal, ventrolateral, inferior premotor), anterior cingulate, as well as temporo-polar cortices to the remaining neocortex, suggesting decreased network efficiency ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$; figure 3A). In areas of overlap, linear models revealed a significant patient–sibling relationship ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.05$; figure 3B).

In a post hoc analysis (figure 3C), we computed the geodesic distance between the clusters of findings and each of 7 well-established functional networks (i.e., visual, sensorimotor, dorsal attention, salience, limbic, frontoparietal, and default mode networks). For each target network, a linear model compared groups. In JME, we found increased geodesic distance from the clusters of findings to all canonical networks, except to the visual and default mode network ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni-corrected); most marked effects were seen in limbic, fronto-parietal, and dorsal attention networks. Except for limbic and visual networks, siblings showed similar effects ($p < 0.05$, Bonferroni-corrected).

Assessing specificity of MRI endophenotypes

To test the robustness of the observed endophenotypes (i.e., curvature, surface area, and geodesic distance), and dispel possible low-level intersubject correlation, we used permutation testing. To this end, we randomly selected 2 groups of healthy controls, each with the same sample size as patients with JME and their siblings ($n = 11$) and repeated the correlation analyses. Across 1,000 iterations, neither the multivariate combination of curvature and surface area nor geodesic distance showed correlation coefficients higher than in the original analyses, further supporting their significance as endophenotypes.

Clinical correlation analysis

With respect to neuropsychological testing, ANOVA showed main effects of groups on expressive language ($F = 4.7$, $p = 0.01$), mental flexibility ($F = 3.3$, $p = 0.04$), and trends for design learning ($F = 2.4$, $p = 0.09$). Post hoc comparisons revealed slightly poorer performance of these tests for JME compared to controls than siblings compared to controls, but results remained at a trend level after correcting for multiple comparisons (table).

To explore patients’ heterogeneity, we subdivided them with respect to median disease duration (16 years) into short ($n = 16$) and long ($n = 13$). We found that patients with a median disease duration >16 years had more widespread cortical thinning, particularly in limbic cortices, including the insula and cingulate (figure 4). However, there was no significant difference for lifetime medication load (i.e., total number of antiepileptic agents over disease course; mean and SD: $3.5 \pm 2.2$ vs $3.6 \pm 1.7$; $t = -0.17$, $p = 0.9$) and days since last seizure ($1,134 \pm 1,493$ vs $653 \pm 881$; $t = 1.01$, $p = 0.3$) when comparing short to long duration, respectively.

Discussion

We assessed the endophenotypic potential of structural MRI in JME by analyzing surface-based morphology and cortico-cortical connectivity in patients and their unaffected siblings. Both cohorts showed increased curvature and surface area in...
Prefrontal and cingulate cortices. In these regions, they also displayed abnormally increased geodesic distance, suggesting network isolation and decreased efficiency, with strongest effects for limbic, fronto-parietal, and dorsal-attention networks. Altogether, our results indicate that these MRI markers of brain development and connectivity are likely heritable and thus relate to the underlying disease neurobiology. Strong patient–sibling correlations, in addition verified with permutation testing, further support their role as endophenotypes. By contrast, cortical atrophy likely represents a marker of disease.

Increased sulco-gyral complexity has been documented in mild forms of cortical dysplasia and polymicrogyria, developmental malformations thought to arise during late stages of corticogenesis. Surface area, a marker of cortical expansion, has been linked to early tangential migration of neurons, as well as postnatal local synaptogenesis, cortical myelination, and dendritic arborization. Thus, our findings of increased curvature and surface area likely result from abnormal post-migrational development. Notably, these anomalies were mainly localized in prefrontal and cingulate cortices, higher-order polymodal association regions known to undergo late maturation. Late developmental stages are critical for system-level network organization and area specialization through formation of intrahemispheric and interhemispheric fiber connections. Disruptions at this stage likely affect regional integration and segregation, which may represent a mechanistic explanation for aberrant structural and functional connectivity between primary motor and cognitive networks observed in JME.

Geodesic distance has been proposed as a measure of global wiring costs pertaining to intrinsic (i.e., cortico-cortical)

Figure 3 Analysis of geodesic distance

(A) Univariate group analysis shows regions of increased mean geodesic distance between patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) and controls, and siblings and controls. Significant clusters corrected for multiple comparisons using random field theory at $p_{\text{FWE}} < 0.05$ are outlined in black. (B) In regions of overlap, linear models show positive correlation of geodesic distance ($z$-normalized with respect to the corresponding distribution in healthy controls) between each patient and his or her siblings. (C) Spider plots display the $z$-normalized distance from clusters of significant differences to functional networks relative to healthy controls. Cohen $d$ effect sizes of group differences for each target network in patients and siblings are as follows: visual (0.6/0.4), sensorimotor (0.8/0.7), dorsal attention (0.9/0.7), salience (0.8/0.7), limbic (0.8/0.5), fronto-parietal (0.8/0.7), default mode (0.6/0.5).
synaptic connections and serves as a proxy for large-scale network organization. Short connectional length minimizing wiring costs is associated with increased network efficiency. In vitro data and in vivo MRI studies have implicated abnormal intrinsic connections in several neurodevelopmental conditions. In our patients with JME, increased mean geodesic distance in the prefrontal, cingulate, and temporopolar cortices may ultimately translate into atypical cortico-cortical connectivity, with additional wiring costs needed to maintain function. While anomalies were more severe in patients, siblings showed a similar degree and topography of changes relative to controls. As demonstrated in the post hoc analysis, these regions appear to be relatively isolated from the rest of the brain and exhibit increased distance to virtually all functional networks, with more prominent effects observed for limbic, fronto-parietal, and dorsal attention networks. This may relate to less efficient information transfer contributing to abnormal cortico-subcortical connectivity observed in JME. Moreover, our findings dovetail with functional imaging, demonstrating abnormal connectivity between motor and fronto-parietal cognitive networks in patients with JME and their siblings.

The predominant topography of morphologic anomalies in prefrontal cortices and their abnormal structural network integration with limbic, fronto-parietal, and dorsal attention likely explains the cognitive impairments reported in patients with JME and, to a lesser extent, their siblings, and are possibly related to altered developmental trajectories. Indeed, these regions are critical hubs for higher-order processes, including working and prospective memory, emotional processing, impulsivity, and risk-taking behavior. In JME, sociocognitive dysfunction is known to contribute to “real-life problems” including treatment incompatibility, social impulsiveness, and poor psychosocial outcomes, such as unemployment and social isolation. These deficits are often present despite good seizure control.

In line with previous literature, our JME cohort showed subtle impairment in mental flexibility, a frontal lobe function test. Deficits beyond frontal lobe functions, such as naming and design learning observed here, have also been reported and underlie heterogeneity. For mental flexibility, siblings’ performance fell between patients and controls. Similar results have been shown in previous studies on JME siblings, supporting the notion that these cognitive traits are heritable and part of the broader disease phenotype. Our study did not have institution approval to include EEG recordings in asymptomatic siblings. Subclinical EEG anomalies may be present in about 30% of unaffected siblings in the absence of a history of unprovoked seizures, suggesting that epileptiform discharges, similar to cognitive traits, may be part of a wider disease spectrum.

While anomalies of curvature and surface are suggestive of late, abnormal postmigrational processes, atypical geodesic distance may reflect early disruption. This is supported by experimental models in EFHC1/Myoclonin1 gene variants accounting for about 8% of JME cases. Mutations in EFHC1, a microtubule-associated protein, lead to disruption of cell proliferation and neuronal migration, possibly correlating with reports of mild malformations of cortical development in this condition. Atypical cortico-cortical network integration, as supported by increases in cortical geodesic distance, may thus mirror findings from gene-level experimental models demonstrating abnormal connections through overgrown dendrites with expanded synapse complexity. Moreover, excessive spontaneous transmitter release could be responsible for hyperexcitability, underscoring disease causality of EFHC1 gene variants.

In our study, atrophy in fronto-central and occipital cortices was only present in patients with JME but not in their siblings. Similarly, previous work in temporal lobe epilepsy failed to identify MRI-derived cortical thickness changes as a structural endophenotype, while other morphologic features, including...
localized contractions of cerebral surface area, showed correlated topologic alterations between patients and their first-degree relatives. In healthy people, cortical thickness is believed to be largely determined by prenatal and postnatal developmental processes. Progressive cortical atrophy associated with longer disease duration and increased seizure frequency, a phenomenon often reported in epilepsy, likely represents a marker of disease severity. Similarly, post hoc analysis in our study demonstrated more widespread cortical thinning, particularly involving limbic cortices, in patients with longer disease duration compared to controls, further supporting a modulatory effect of disease severity on cortical thickness.

In JME, previous work has shown variable patterns of increased or reduced cortical thickness; disparities with our study may relate to differences in age, disease duration, and clinical phenotypes. Notably, fronto-cortical anatomical anomalies have been related to cognitively triggered myoclonus. Further evidence that fronto-cortical atrophy may be disease-defining stems from studies in epileptic baboons, a natural JME model. Though baboons were sacrificed after short disease duration with sporadic seizures and no antiepileptic therapy, neuronal loss was most pronounced in the primary motor cortex, particularly the hand area. This may represent reduced axonal and dendritic connections and U-fibers leading to local hyperexcitability, ultimately facilitating myoclonic jerks in a JME-typical somatotopic distribution.
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