An Expert System of Chicken Disease Diagnosis by Using Dempster Shafer Method
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Abstract

Chicken is an animal that can provide many benefits for human life, meat and eggs can be used as food to fulfill the needs of human food, the excrement can be made fertilizer, and frequently its be used as a farm animal. Although it can provide many benefits, but for chicken farmers, the maintenance of chicken meet some obstacles that must be faced such as disease, poor environmental sanitation, and the production of eggs are declining. From some of the obstacles that have been mentioned, the most frequently encountered are animals infected with the disease. Based on the results of interviews that have been done to some chicken farmers, it can be said that the knowledge of chicken farmers against chicken disease and its handling is still very lacking. But the number of experts who understand and know about the type of chicken disease and the way of handling is limited, then it takes an expert system that can simulate knowledge and understanding of experts to overcome the problem. Based on the study of the libraries, the method suitable for use in the expert system is the Dempster Shafer method by processing the value of belief in a disease. Dempster Shafer method is a method used to calculate uncertainty due to the addition or reduction of new facts that will change the existing rules. Based on tests in 40 cases using an expert system applying the Dempster Shafer method, obtained the percentage of diagnostic compatibility result given by experts and system is 95%.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Chicken is a beneficial animal for humans to fulfill the needs of animal proteins. According to data from Badan Pusat Statistik [1], chicken is one of the many food needs in the consumption of each province in Indonesia. So, in every province there is a chicken farm to meet these needs. In the maintenance of chickens there are obstacles that must be faced such as disease attacks, poor environmental sanitation and egg production is declining.

The most commonly encountered obstacle is the recurrence of disease in chickens. Retno, F. D et al [2] did a research on chicken diseases that found that some viral and bacterial animal husbandry can cause death in farm animals. The attack of this disease if it is not detected from the beginning then it will cause a huge loss in the present day. Causes of the rate of chicken mortality due to the incubation period of chicken against the disease is very short so that a quick treatment is required.

Interviews conducted on several breeders concluded. Farmer knowledge about chicken disease is still minimal, so if there are chickens that sick farmers do not know the disease that is in the pain of chickens. During this time, farmers rely on field worker officers (PPL) who routinely check on the condition of the farm. PPL is not a veterinarian but rather a staff who is given the task to check out farm animals. Because of the limited members who understand about chicken disease so it takes an expert system to overcome it.

The expert system is one of the branches of artificial intelligence that uses knowledge – a special knowledge owned by an expert to solve a particular problem [3]. This system is used to duplicate or simulate expert-owned skills to solve problems. This system stores expert expertise in a knowledge base and uses reasoning that is possessed by experts to solve problems. This expert system helps solve problems by diagnosing the symptoms of the user. With a system of expert users can diagnose the disease by answering questions that are based on symptoms. In the diagnosis, the user consult a doctor.

Research on the diagnosis of disease in chickens has been done before. In the study used the certainty factor (cf) method to perform the tracking process in its conclusion. The results obtained from the research are less satisfactory because the weight CF In conclusion is worth 1.2 that does not meet the rules of the weight value CF [4]. Various factors that can affect the CF weight include low weight value, fault in system and probability factor after calculating.

Withdrawal of conclusions to obtain the results of the diagnosis sometimes has a difference between one expert and another, that is because there is difference knowledge among experts who are one with other experts. In addition, the difference in the results of the diagnosis can be caused by the presence of a type of disease that has symptoms similar to other diseases, such as Colibacillus, cholera, and Salmonellosis disease, the disease has a similar symptom of one with the other. So, in the withdrawal of conclusions have a difference.

The study of the libraries that have been done, methods on the expert system suitable for use is the method of Dempster Shafer by processing the value of belief in a disease. Dempster Shafer Method is a method used to calculate uncertainty due to the addition or reduction of new facts that will change the existing rules. Dempster Shafer method can perform data processing of more than two data by using combination method without having to perform repeated processing.

A conclusion for the diagnosis of the disease by considering the data that is input without having to check against all diseases. So, this study proposed the application of Dempster Shafer Method for chicken disease diagnosis expert system. This system receives data derived from expert knowledge and disease case data. From that data the system will do inference and display the diagnosis of chicken diseases.

Darwan [5] conducts diagnostic research on chicken disease caused by viruses by using the certainty factor method to handle the imposition and use of forward chaining inference machines. The results of expert systems have not the same accuracy. Achmadi [6] directly uses the certainty factor method to detect viral infections, an expert system can be used to detect
diseases. Meanwhile, Setioyadi [7] and Gunawan [8] use the same method that generates accuracy of 90%-93%.

Research system experts using Dempster Shafer method has been done by some people. Trismayanti [9] did research by implementing VCIRS and Dempster Shafer methods. The VCIRS method is used as the rule of uncertainty that has been made based on the expert data. The Dempster Shafer method is used to seek uncertainty due to the addition and reduction of new facts. The results of the study resulted in 100% accuracy.

Rusdi [10] was in his research using forward chaining expert systems as well as the method of uncertainty Dempster Shafer. This research provides information on the diagnosis of gastrointestinal endoparasitism, parasitic life-cycle and therapeutic advice given to animals belonging to the disease. Michel [11] and Luthfi [12] with the same method yielded 90%-96% accuracy.

2. METHODS

2.1 Dempster Shafer Method

The dempster theory was introduced in the book Mathematical Theory Of Evidence in 1976 by the Shafer [3]. The Dempster Shafer theory is used to solve uncertainty problems. This uncertainty is due to the addition of new facts. Dempster-Shafer Theory Of Evidence shows a way to give the confidence of the beliefs in accordance with the facts collected. The Dempster-Shafer theory is a representation, combination and propagation of uncertainty, wherein this theory has some characteristic that intuitive according to how an expert thinks, but a strong mathematical basis. In general, the Dempster-Shafer theory is written in an interval: [Belief, Plausibility] [13].

Dempster-Shafer uses a probability theory, in which the evidence is attributed to one possible event while in evidence Dempster-Shafer is associated with several events. Probability is used to declare levels or degrees of trust. Probability values are between 0 and 1. P (A | B) interpreted as the degree or belief level that A is true with the given B [3]. Dempster-Shafer The level of belief of a evidence is called the degree of belief assumed as mass (m). Mass function (m) in the theory of Dempster-Shafer is the level of belief of a evidence, often referred to as evidence measure so that it is narrated by m. The goal is to associate the belief size of θ elements. Not all of the evidence directly supports each element. Therefore, there needs to be a probability of density function m. The value of m not only defines the elements of θ only, but also all its subsets. So if θ contains n elements, then a subset of θ is 2n. The sum of all m in a subset of θ equals 1. If there is no information to select the hypothesis, then the value: m{θ} = 1 and 0. In the process of combination evidence allows for the occurrence of a conflict between evidence. Conflict of evidence occurs when the absence of elements produced in the cross product between evidence, for example a combination of evidence m {A} and m {B} that does not result in a ∅ element. To solve this problem, a process of normalization of evidence is required by defining evidential conflict (k) into the Dempster's Rule of Combination in Equation 1 [3].

\[
m_1 \oplus m_2 (z) = \frac{\sum_{x \cap y = z} m_1 (x) m_2 (y)}{1 - \sum_{x \cap y = \emptyset} m_1 (x)m_2 (y)}
\]

(1)

Where:

\(m_1 \oplus m_2 (Z)\) : Results of the combination of evidence (X) and Evidence (Y)

\(m_1 (X)\) : Mass function of Evidence (X), which is derived from the value of belief Of the evidence multiplied by the disbelief value

\(m_2 (Y)\) : Mass function of Evidence (Y), which is derived from the value of belief Of the evidence multiplied by the disbelief value
2.2 Certainty Factor Method

The Certainty Factor method is one of the methods used to resolve uncertainty problems, developed for the MYCIN expert system by Shortliffe [3]. The value given by MYCIN to demonstrate its large level of certainty is the Certainty Factor (CF). Here's the CF equation that MYCIN developed is demonstrated by [3].

\[
CF(H, E) = MB(H, E) - MD(H, E)
\]  
(2)

Where:
- \(CF(H, E)\): Certainty factor of the H hypothesis which is influenced by evidence E
- \(MB(H, E)\): Measure of increased confidence in the H hypothesis that was influenced by evidence E
- \(MD(H, E)\): Measure the rise of distrust towards the H hypothesis influenced by evidence E

Suppose another rule concluded the same hypothesis but with different certainty factors. The certainty factor rules that include the same hypothesis are calculated from the combination functions for the certainty factor shown in Equation 3 [3].

\[
CF_{combination}(CF_1, CF_2) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{CF_1 + CF_2}{1 - \min\{1, |CF_1 + CF_2|\}}, & CF_1 > 0 \text{ dan } CF_2 > 0 \\
\frac{CF_1 + CF_2}{1 - \min\{1, |CF_1 + CF_2|\}}, & CF_1 < 0 \text{ atau } CF_2 < 0 \\
CF_1 + CF_2 (1+CF_1), & CF_1 < 0 \text{ dan } CF_2 < 0 
\end{cases}
\]  
(3)

2.3 Expert system Architecture

The main parts that make up the expert system are the development environment and the consultation environment [14]. The development environment is used by experts to incorporate expert knowledge into expert systems. The consulting environment is used by users to gain knowledge from experts through an expert system. The expert system components can be shown in Figure 1.

![Expert System Architecture Diagram]

Figure 1 Expert System Architecture
2.4 Dempster Shafer Method Flowchart

The process of taking diagnosis of chicken disease can be seen in Figure 2. In designing this expert system using dempster shafer method, which is starting from: Users enter facts about the symptoms of chicken disease. Furthermore, the checking of the symptoms that are inputed if the number of symptoms (= 1), then will be found diagnosis of disease \{X\}, but if the answer to the number of symptoms (> = 2), then the calculation of each symptom. Further checking of the disease intersection in the symptoms, if the intersection is not an empty set (\{\}), then proceed with the combination process, otherwise obtained the result "disease not found". After the many symptoms (= 2), the calculation process is done with the combination function, for the X subset of θ, With m₁ as its density function and Y is also a subset of θ, With m₂ as its density function, then it can be formed function combinations of m₁ and m₂ as m₃. Checked for all symptoms, if finished, the largest value of mass function, so that the result of diagnosis with the biggest value of density, otherwise it will be checked again to the symptoms until the process is completed.

![Figure 2 Dempster Shafer Method Flowchart](image-url)
2.5 Illustration of the Dempster Shafer Method

Illustrations of Dempster Shafer Method are obtained from the symptoms which are then carried out calculation of the resulting disease. Example of calculation on the Dempster Shafer Method when the symptoms of paralysis (G15), tremor head to neck (G37) and decreased egg production (G25).

Symptom 1: Paralysis (G15)
The first step is to calculate the value of belief and the ceiling of the symptoms of paralysis which is a diagnosis of the disease of Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) with a value of belief (0.9).

\[ m_1\{ \text{AE} \} = 0.9 \]
\[ m_1\{ \emptyset \} = 1 - m_1\{ \text{AE} \} = 1 - 0.9 = 0.1 \]

Symptom 2: Tremor on the head and neck (G37)
Then found a new fact is to calculate the value of belief and the ceiling of the symptoms of tremor on the head and neck which is a diagnosis of diseases of Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) with a value of belief (1).

\[ m_2\{ \text{AE} \} = 1 \]
\[ m_2\{ \emptyset \} = 1 - m_2\{ \text{AE} \} = 1 - 1 = 0 \]

Table 1: Illustration of beliefs values against two symptoms

|             | \( m_2\{ \text{AE} \} \) | \( m_2\{ \emptyset \} \) |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| \( m_1\{ \text{AE} \} \) | 0.9                      | 0.9                      |
| \( m_1\{ \emptyset \} \) | 0.1                      | 0.1                      |

Next based on table 1 calculate the level of confidence that has been combined. Hence the value of his belief as follows.

\[ m_3\{ \text{AE} \} = \frac{m_1\{ \text{AE} \} + m_2\{ \text{AE} \} + m_1\{ \emptyset \}}{1 - m_1\{ \emptyset \}} = 1 \]
\[ m_3\{ \emptyset \} = \frac{0}{1 - 0} = 0 \]

Symptom 3: Decreased egg production (G25)
Then found a new fact is to calculate the value of belief and the ceiling of the decline in egg production which is a diagnosis of diseases of Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) with a value of belief (0.8).

\[ m_4\{ \text{AE} \} = 0.8 \]
\[ m_4\{ \emptyset \} = 1 - m_4\{ \text{AE} \} = 1 - 0.8 = 0.2 \]
### Table 2 Illustration of combination confidence value

|          | m₄{AE} 0.8 | m₄{θ} 0.2 |
|----------|------------|-----------|
| m₃{AE} 1 |            |           |
| m₃{θ} 0  |            |           |

Next based on table 2 calculates the level of confidence that has been combined. Hence the value of his belief as follows.

\[
m₅\{AE\} = \frac{0.8 + 0.2 + 0}{1 - 0} = 1
\]

\[
m₅\{θ\} = \frac{0}{1 - 0} = 0
\]

After the calculation of the combination of the three symptoms, the biggest belief is obtained by Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) with a value of 1. If there are cases that have more than one symptom it will use a combination calculation that will seek the confidence level of both rules. If obtained the highest value of the combination then used to determine the diagnosis of disease in chickens.

2.6 Expert system implementation

A built-in system of experts is a web-based system with the foundation of its creation using the PHP programming language. Whereas, the database on the system uses a MySQL database. Implementing the implementation of the system uses a flowchart as well as DFD that has been designed in the planning analysis chapter. The interface implementation of the expert system can be seen in Figure 3. The page that appears first when accessing the expert system is the home page. The home page on the user and admin view has the same view.

![Figure 3 Expert System interface](image)
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At this stage is outlined about the testing comparison of certainty factor methods and the Dempster Shafer methods by input the same symptoms for both methods. Weights to determine certainty value and belief value using medical record of the disease.

On the Dempster Shafer method use the value of confidence to determine the disease. This method uses disease-related symptoms without calculating the whole disease. The result of the combination of symptoms will result in conclusions with the highest percentage used in conclusion of the disease.

Conduct trials against 40 cases by entering the same symptoms. The comparison results can be seen in table 3. After the trial in 40 cases were obtained a higher percentage of Dempster Shafer methods compared to certainty factor method. In the case of 19, 28, 29 and 40 certainty factor methods have different results with the results that experts have given.

Table 3 Comparison of Certainty Factor and Dempster Shafer method results

| No | Symptoms                                                                 | Experts                              | Certainty Factor                      | Level Certainty | Dempster Shafer | Level Belief |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1  | Breathable open mouth, nasal congestion mucus (pileks)                    | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)    | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)     | 81%             | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD) | 90%          |
| 2  | Skinny body, dull fur, breathable open mouth                             | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)    | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)     | 70%             | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD) | 70%          |
| 3  | Lean body, nasal congestion (pileks), dehydration                        | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)    | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD)     | 87%             | Chronic Respiratory Disease (CRD) | 78.4%        |
| 4  | Mata Swollen eyes, fishy eyes, no appetite                               | Infectious Coryza (SNOT)             | Infectious Coryza (SNOT)              | 93%             | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | 100%         |
| 5  | Swollen eyes, dehydration, dull fur                                     | Infectious Coryza (SNOT)             | Infectious Coryza (SNOT)              | 60%             | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | 74.4%        |
| 6  | Can not stand, thin skin, no appetite                                    | Gout                                 | Gout                                  | 86%             | Gout             | 100%         |
| 7  | Can not stand, red color on the skin, not appetite                       | Gout                                 | Gout                                  | 86%             | Gout             | 100%         |
| 8  | Swollen femur joints, red color on the skin                              | Gout                                 | Gout                                  | 91%             | Gout             | 94%          |
| 9  | Paralysis, decreased egg production                                       | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE)         | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE)          | 67%             | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | 80%          |
| 10 | Paralysis, Tremor head to neck                                           | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE)         | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE)          | 93%             | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | 100%         |
| 11 | Grayed-out Bungkul, respiratory disorders                                | Chickenpox (CA)                      | Chickenpox (CA)                       | 48%             | Chickenpox (CA) | 54%          |
| 12 | Bungkul under the airways, respiratory disorders, there is a Kerompeng   | Chickenpox (CA)                      | Chickenpox (CA)                       | 90%             | Chickenpox (CA) | 100%         |
| 13 | Diarrhea, lethargy, abnormal fur growth                                  | Helicopeter Desease (HD)             | Helicopeter Desease (HD)              | 86%             | Helicopeter Desease (HD) | 100%         |
| 14 | Dirty Cloaca area, dehydration                                           | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD)      | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD)       | 86%             | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) | 100%         |
| 15 | Dirty Cloaca area, no appetite                                           | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD)      | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD)       | 80%             | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) | 100%         |
|   | Symptoms                                                                 | Disease 1          | Disease 2          | Disease 3          | Disease 4          |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|16 | Swelling of the eye next to, diarrhea, inflammation under the skin       | Colibacillosis (CO)| Colibacillosis (CO)| 91%                | Colibacillosis (CO)| 100%               |
|17 | Diarrhea, inflammation under the skin                                    | Colibacillosis (CO)| Colibacillosis (CO)| 83%                | Colibacillosis (CO)| 100%               |
|18 | Dull fur, green diarrhea                                                | Cholera (K)        | Cholera (K)        | 83%                | Cholera (K)        | 100%               |
|19 | Dull fur, diarrhea, respiratory disorders                                | Cholera (K)        | Chickenpox (CA)    | 48%                | Cholera (K)        | 50.4%              |
|20 | Sluggish, lazy move, peck slimy feces                                   | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | 79%  | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | 90% |
|21 | Diarrhea, feces sticking to the cloaca, no appetite                      | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | 83%  | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | 100% |
|22 | Dehydration, diluted diarrhea rotten smell, joints and soles of the feet swollen | Salmonellosis (S) | Salmonellosis (S) | 94%  | Salmonellosis (S) | 100% |
|23 | Dull fur, green diarrhea                                                | Salmonellosis (S)  | Salmonellosis (S)  | 90%  | Salmonellosis (S) | 100% |
|24 | Dull fur, diarrhea, respiratory disorders                                | Salmonellosis (S)  | Salmonellosis (S)  | 91%  | Salmonellosis (S) | 100% |
|25 | Diarrhea, lethargic, lazy move, abnormal fur growth                      | Helicopiter Disease (HD) | Helicopiter Disease (HD) | 90%  | Helicopiter Disease (HD) | 100% |
|26 | Decreased egg production, head to neck Tremor                           | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | 74%  | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | 100% |
|27 | Decreased egg production, head Tremor to Leherparalysis, decreased egg production, Tremor head to neck | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | 91%  | Avian Encephalomyelitis (AE) | 100% |
|28 | Dehydration, no appetite                                                | Salmonellosis (S)) | Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) | 40%  | Infectious Coryza (SNOT), Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD), Salmonellosis (S) | 60% |
|29 | Diarrhea, no appetite                                                   | Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | Colibacillus (CO) | 20%  | Infectious Coryza (SNOT), Necrotic Enteritis (NE) | 30% |
|30 | Dehydration, fishy eyes                                                  | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | 96%  | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | 100% |
|31 | Dull feather, fishy eyes                                                | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | 84%  | Infectious Coryza (SNOT) | 100% |
|32 | A gray-red bunker, there is a Kerompeng                                  | Chickenpox (CA)    | Chickenpox (CA)    | 100% | Chickenpox (CA) | 100% |
|33 | A gray-red bunker, there is a Kerompeng, blackish color                  | Chickenpox (CA)    | Chickenpox (CA)    | 97%  | Chickenpox (CA) | 100% |
|34 | Diarrhea, lethargic, abnormal fur growth                                 | Helicopiter Disease (HD) | Helicopiter Disease (HD) | 90%  | Helicopiter Disease (HD) | 100% |
|35 | Dirty Cloaca area,                                                        | Infectious Bursal | Infectious Bursal | 83%  | Infectious Bursal | 100% |
Expert system testing that has been built by incorporating symptoms of chicken disease. If there is a case that has more than one symptom it will use a combination calculation that will find the confidence level of both rules. If obtained the highest value of the combination then used to determine the diagnosis of disease in chickens.

Perform other tests by testing 40 cases, if the test results found on an expert system have the same value as an expert, it will be given a value of 1. If the test result has a different value the meal will be given a value of 0. If the belief value of the disease above 50% then the disease is the conclusion of the symptoms in the input. Comparative table of Expert results with the results of the expert system indicated by table 3. After testing, a 40-case result was produced. From the test, the amount of accuracy is as follows:

\[
Akurasi = \frac{38}{40} \times 100% = 95%
\]

The result of the accuracy of the test conducted in 40 cases was 95%.

4. CONCLUSIONS

From the research conducted and discussion of previous chapters, it can be concluded that: Dempster Shafer method is used successfully to determine uncertainty in taking conclusions on chicken disease, Expert system can help farmers to see diseases suffered by chickens due to the limitation of experts and Based on the tests conducted in 40 cases, obtained the percentage yield of 95%.
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