Post-mastopexy galactorrhoea with a prosthesis: a case report
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ABSTRACT

Mastopexy is the plastic surgery responsible for correcting breast ptosis, a problem characterized by a discrepancy between the volume of the breast and its skin layer. The complications most commonly reported in the immediate postoperative period are suture dehiscence, seroma, edema and infection, while others such as galactorrhoea are considered rare. The case in question consists of a 52-year-old patient who underwent bilateral mastopexy with prosthesis inclusion and developed galactorrhoea with hyperprolactinemia 30 days after the procedure. The diagnosis was suspected of increased volume, associated with postoperative breast pain or discomfort, followed by milky discharge. Galactocele after mastopexy with a prosthesis may or may not be associated with hyperprolactinemia, but little is known about the real pathophysiology of the development of this condition. Treatment was done with cabergoline 0.5mg in two doses, improving the condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast ptosis is characterized by the disproportion between the skin of the breast and its contents. This change has mastopexy as a surgical procedure aiming to correct the breast problem1. Its association with flaccidity and hypomania is common, which makes its surgical approach difficult2-4. In 1969, Goulian and Conway suggested placing breast implants next to the mastopexy, aiming at a better aesthetic effect in these cases5. However, the combined procedure adds complications related to the presence of the prosthesis to complications related to the mammoplasty itself6.

For a better aesthetic result, the choice of the nipple-areolar pedicle, implant location, type of glandular resection, type of incision and implant format should be taken into account5,6.
The most frequent complications of this surgical approach are ptosis recurrence, hypertrophic scars, keloids, flattening of the mammary cone, loss of sensation, inadequate positioning or distortion of the areola, nipple flattening, infections, hematomas, seromas and suture dehiscence. However, some unusual outcomes can also occur, such as galactocele or galactorrhea. Little is known about the pathophysiology of these atypical results, but it is believed to be due to the manipulation of breast tissue during the surgical procedure.

There are few reports in the literature about galactorrhea or galactocele after mastopexy with the placement of breast implants, which is a rare complication of this procedure.

**OBJECTIVE**

In this sense, the present study aims to report and discuss a case of post-mastopexy galactorrhea with a prosthesis.

**CASE REPORT**

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee and has CAAE number: 40146720.7.0000.5549. Female patient, 53 years old, G2P2A0 and two periods of previous breastfeeding, lasting 2 years each. She is undergoing hormone replacement treatment using dienogest and estradiol valerate—no other comorbidities. On physical examination, breasts with no scars and/or nodulations, ptosis classified as Regnault grade II.

On August 24, 2019, in the city of Patos de Minas (MG), he underwent bilateral mastopexy associated with the inclusion of 260ml, textured, round, high-projection prostheses of the Motiva brand, with an inverted “T” scar and positioned in the subfascial plane. In the same procedure, blepharoplasty was also performed. The surgical time was 4h30, and the patient was discharged within 24 hours.

In the immediate postoperative period, the patient reported pain and unilateral swelling in the left breast. Cefadroxil monohydrate 500mg and celecoxib 200mg 12/12 hours for six days, 1g dipyrone, 1g effervescent vitamin C and dextran and hypromellose eye drops were prescribed due to blepharoplasty. In addition to the medication, manual lymphatic drainage was also started to reduce the edema.

Nine days after the surgery, due to the maintenance of symptoms, an ultrasound of the breasts was requested, performed on 09/05/2019. The report confirmed a discrete peri-implant fluid collection (seroma) in the left breast. Control ultrasounds were performed 7 and 14 days after the first one, confirming the presence of a discreet, anechoic, homogeneous fluid collection around the implant, better identified in the left breast's superolateral quadrant of the left breast.

On the 30th postoperative day, the patient developed galactorrhea. Cabergoline 0.5mg was prescribed, one tablet, single-dose, without improvement in one week. The treatment was repeated once more in a single dose. However, she continued to report mastalgia and edema on the left.

Follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), ultrasensitive TSH, macroprolactin and prolactin tests were requested. The results were, respectively, 34.66mUI/mL, 3.25microUI/mL, 37.06ng/ml with a recovery percentage of 75% and 37.1ng/ml. Thus, alterations in the results of macroprolactin and prolactin are observed, which led to the confirmation of galactorrhea due to hyperprolactinemia.

After 15 days of using the second dose of 0.5mg cabergoline, galactorrhea was interrupted, evolving to an asymptomatic condition, with an improvement of pain and edema.

Control ultrasound corroborated the findings, showing only silicone breast implants without signs of peri-implant fluid collection and BIRADS-2. The patient evolved without any other symptoms.

**DISCUSSION**

Like any other surgical approach, augmentation mammoplasties are subject to complications. However, mastopexies have a higher degree of difficulty due to the characteristics of the patient’s skin, greater sagging and little elasticity. Among the different complications in the postoperative period of breast correction, galactorrhea has few literary reports.

In the clinical case presented, there is milky secretion after the surgical procedure and symptoms of pain, discomfort and unilateral breast enlargement, defining asymmetry. Different studies indicate that surgical techniques that manipulate the lactiferous ducts may be related to the phenomenon of galactorrhea. To reduce the disturbance of these ducts, some surgeons opt for an inframammary incision when there is no need to perform a mastopexy.

Galactocele is not always associated with hyperprolactinemia. When serum prolactin concentrations are normal, galactorrhea is considered physiological and transient due to excessive stimulation of breast tissue. Patients undergoing augmentation mammoplasty have nipple stimulation by abrupt distension and compression due to the breast implant, becoming contributing factors for the secretion of prolactin.
When we understand the physiology of lactation, we understand how sulpiride and other dopamine inhibitors that interact in this hormonal cycle can stimulate milk production and be involved in the formation of the galactocele. On the other hand, dopamine agonists, such as bromocriptine and cabergoline, act by inhibiting prolactin and, consequently, lactation, essential in the treatment of galactocele13.

The occurrence of lactation is a rare complication of breast plastic surgery. The pathophysiology of this complication may be associated with inadequate secretion of prolactin in the surgical context. Factors favoring this complication would be the number of pregnancies, a recent and extensive breastfeeding history, and the intake of certain medications, such as an estroprogestational pill. The main symptom of this complication is the occurrence of unilateral or bilateral galactorrhea, on average 6 to 12 days after surgery. The main differential diagnosis is a postoperative infection.

Depending on the case, treatment may vary from simple surveillance to the association of dopamine agonists, antibiotic therapy and surgical revision. Dopamine agonists are the first choice, as long-term follow-up of patients has proven their effectiveness in reversing gonadal changes linked to hyperprolactinemia and reducing pituitary adenomas14,15.

Cabergoline - medication used in the case reported - is a dopamine agonist derived from Ergot (a common contaminating fungus of rye and other cereals, or by the excessive or misguided use of ergoline-derived drugs) with long action after oral administration, used in the treatment of hyperprolactinemia, in addition to idiopathic disorders, pituitary adenomas, amenorrhea, oligomenorrhea, anovulation and galactorrhea14.

The recommended starting dose of cabergoline is 0.5mg per week, given in one or two doses per week. The weekly dose should be increased gradually, preferably by adding 0.5mg weekly at monthly intervals, until an optimal therapeutic response is achieved. The therapeutic dose is normally 1mg per week but can range from 0.25mg to 2mg per week. Cabergoline doses of up to 4.5mg per week have been used in hyperprolactinemic patients14. In the case reported, cabergoline was used at a dose of 0.5mg, in two doses one week apart, totaling 1mg.

This therapeutic regimen was effective in inhibiting lactation after bilateral mastopexy associated with the inclusion of prostheses in the present case.

**CONCLUSÃO**

Post-mastopexy galactocele with prosthesis is a rare complication and may or may not be associated with hyperprolactinemia, but little is known about the actual pathophysiology of the development of this condition.

When galactorrhea begins in the postoperative period of breast surgery, it is mandatory to carry out hormone measurements to identify the increase in prolactin. Lactation inhibitors are used as a treatment, with good results and established protocols. It was unnecessary to perform invasive procedures to control and resolve the case, only using oral medication.
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