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Abstract
DNA replication origins strikingly differ between eukaryotic species and cell types. Origins are localized and can be highly efficient in budding yeast, are randomly located in early fly and frog embryos, which do not transcribe their genomes, and are clustered in broad (10-100 kb) non-transcribed zones, frequently abutting transcribed genes, in mammalian cells. Nonetheless, in all cases, origins are established during the G1-phase of the cell cycle by the loading of double hexamers of the Mcm 2-7 proteins (MCM DHs), the core of the replicative helicase. MCM DH activation in S-phase leads to origin unwinding, polymerase recruitment, and initiation of bidirectional DNA synthesis. Although MCM DHs are initially loaded at sites defined by the binding of the origin recognition complex (ORC), they ultimately bind chromatin in much greater numbers than ORC and only a fraction are activated in any one S-phase. Data suggest that the multiplicity and functional redundancy of MCM DHs provide robustness to the replication process and affect replication time and that MCM DHs can slide along the DNA and spread over large distances around the ORC. Recent studies further show that MCM DHs are displaced along the DNA by collision with transcription complexes but remain functional for initiation after displacement. Therefore, eukaryotic DNA replication relies on intrinsically mobile and flexible origins, a strategy fundamentally different from bacteria but conserved from yeast to human. These properties of MCM DHs likely contribute to the establishment of broad, intergenic replication initiation zones in higher eukaryotes.
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Introduction

We review here recent progress in understanding how MCM proteins, which form the core of the eukaryotic replicative helicase, are loaded onto chromatin and redistributed along the genome to specify the location and activation time of eukaryotic DNA replication initiation sites. DNA replication is required for the faithful transmission of genetic information from mother to daughter cells. The selection of replication initiation sites (origins) is developmentally regulated in metazoan cells with possible consequences on developmental programs and genome stability. Replication errors due to endogenous or exogenous causes can lead to cancer or genetic diseases, and several DNA replication proteins including MCMs are used as cancer biomarkers. Conversely, many efficient antibacterial, antiviral, or anticancer drugs act by targeting DNA replication. Finally, origin selection influences the fate of DNA introduced into cells for biotechnological or therapeutic purposes or during natural DNA transfer processes. Understanding the control of replication initiation is therefore a fundamental endeavor critical to genome manipulation and to the understanding and treatment of human disease. We summarize basic mechanisms of replication initiation in bacteria and eukaryotes and then elaborate on why eukaryotic replication origins are fundamentally different from, and more flexible than, those of bacteria.

The bacterial model for replication fork assembly

With the exception of RNA viruses, all living organisms use DNA to encode their genetic information, and all replicate it by the replication fork mechanism, in which the two DNA strands are separated by a replicative helicase then copied by DNA polymerases. In bacterial chromosomes, strand separation typically initiates at a single site, called the replication origin, through binding of a protein factor called the initiator (DnaA in Escherichia coli, Figure 1a). The initiator recognizes and unwinds origin DNA, then together with DnaC loads two inversely oriented copies of a ring-shaped homohexameric replicative helicase (DnaB) around single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). The ability of each helicase complex to translocate in one direction along ssDNA, and to recruit RNA primases, DNA polymerases, and accessory factors, converts the origin DNA into two replication forks that travel and replicate DNA in opposite directions. The multiprotein complex that powers the replication fork is referred to as the replisome.

---

**Figure 1.** Replication initiation in bacteria (a) and eukaryotes (b). In bacteria (a), the binding of the initiator (DnaA) to the replication origin leads to DNA melting (top). The initiator then recruits the replicative DNA helicase (DnaB) in an active form around single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). This is followed by replisome assembly and the start of DNA synthesis. Since DnaB translocates in the 5’ to 3’ direction along the DNA, it encircles the lagging-strand template. In eukaryotes (b), the initiator (Orc1-6) loads the replicative DNA helicase (Mcm2-7) in an inactive, double-hexameric form around double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) during the G1-phase. Activation of the helicase is temporally separated from helicase loading and only occurs during S-phase by recruitment of Cdc45 and GINS to form the active Cdc45/Mcm2-7/GINS (CMG) holo-helicase. Since CMG translocates in the 3’ to 5’ direction along the DNA, it encircles the leading strand template.
In the replication fork mechanism, DNA synthesis occurs concomitantly on both template strands as they are unwound. Since the two template strands are antiparallel and DNA polymerases synthesize DNA only in the 5' to 3' direction, the direction of synthesis on one template must be opposite to that of fork movement (Figure 1). This is accomplished by the repeated initiation of short RNA primed nascent DNA chains, referred to as “Okazaki fragments”, that eventually join the 5' ends of long nascent DNA chains. When DNA replication proceeds bidirectionally from specific sites, a transition from discontinuous to continuous synthesis occurs across the origin. Okazaki fragments are complementary to one template strand on one side of the origin and to the other template strand on the other side (Figure 1, Figure 2a).

Archaeal chromosomes can replicate from a single origin or multiple origins using a machinery that is much more closely related to that of eukaryotes than to that of bacteria. Archaeal and bacterial DNA replication initiation has been reviewed elsewhere and will not be discussed here further.

Identification of eukaryotic DNA replication origins

Eukaryotic chromosomes contain multiple replication origins that are activated (fire) at different times during S-phase. Eukaryotic origins were first isolated from budding yeast as short (100–200 bp) DNA sequence elements that are able to promote autonomous plasmid replication. Named ARSs (autonomously replicating sequences), these elements were shown by physical analysis of replicating DNA to coincide (at a ~1 kb resolution) with replication initiation sites in yeast plasmids and chromosomes. Yeast ARSs require two separate elements for function, a degenerate T-rich ARS consensus sequence (ACS) and an A-rich nucleosome-excluding sequence downstream of the ACS. The ACS is bound by the origin recognition complex (ORC), a conserved heterohexameric AAA+ ATPase required for replication initiation in all tested eukaryotes. The ACS is bound by the origin recognition complex (ORC), a conserved heterohexameric AAA+ ATPase required for replication initiation in all tested eukaryotes. The nucleosome-free region (NFR) adjacent to the ORC binding site is believed to provide space for the association of additional replication factors (see below). High-resolution analysis of leading strand synthesis at ARS1 identified a single start site for each leading strand within this NFR.

**Figure 2. Okazaki fragment sequencing delineates initiation and termination sites.** (a) Relationship between replication fork direction and Okazaki fragment strandedness. Leftward- and rightward-moving forks synthesize the Watson (W) and the Crick (C) strand, respectively, in the form of Okazaki fragments (short red and blue arrows). (b) Expected strandedness of Okazaki fragment synthesis along a single copy of a chromosomal DNA segment containing the indicated origins and terminus. Note that Okazaki fragments (≈0–200 nt) have been drawn to a much larger size than proportional to replication units (≈40 kb in yeast, ~200 kb in human cells). (c, d) Typical abundance pattern of Crick (red) and Watson (blue) Okazaki fragments (short arrows) in asynchronously growing yeast (c) and human (d) cell populations (c, d, top) and interpretation (c, d, bottom). Long arrows indicate the movement of replication forks in individual chromosomal copies. Watson-to-Crick shifts in Okazaki fragment strandedness, diagnostic of initiation events, are abrupt in yeast (c), indicating efficient usage of site-specific origins, but gradual in human cells (d), indicating inefficient usage of multiple, dispersed origins within a broad zone (10–100 kb). Crick-to-Watson shifts, indicative of termination events, are gradual in both yeast and human cells, indicating that termination is dispersive in the cell population owing to a variable position and/or firing time of adjacent origins and/or a variable rate of fork progression. Yeast termination zones are most often relatively confined, clearly separated from origins by zones of unidirectional fork progression. In contrast, human termination zones are much broader and frequently contiguous with initiation zones.
In contrast to yeast, autonomous replication assays failed to isolate metazoan ARSs. Plasmid replication does not require any specific DNA sequence and initiates at random sequences in Xenopus eggs\textsuperscript{47-49} or in cultured mammalian cells\textsuperscript{50-52}. Consistently, metazoan ORCs do not show any DNA binding sequence preference \textit{in vitro}\textsuperscript{53-55}. Replication of metazoan chromosomes initiates at random sequences in early fly\textsuperscript{56} and frog\textsuperscript{57} embryos, in which the genome is transcriptionally silent. In later embryos, however, the onset of zygotic transcription is accompanied by a circumscription of replication initiation to intergenic zones consisting of multiple inefficient initiation sites\textsuperscript{58}. Broad replication initiation zones delimited by active transcription units were also observed at a few loci in mammalian somatic cells\textsuperscript{59-62}.

Recently, Okazaki fragment sequencing has been used to measure replication fork directionality (RFD) and delineate initiation and termination sites genome-wide in budding yeast\textsuperscript{63} and in human cells\textsuperscript{64} (Figure 2). Okazaki fragment sequences indicate their strandedness (Figure 2a) and therefore the direction of their fork of origin (Figure 2b). This allows one to determine the frequency with which a locus is replicated rightward or leftward in a cell population (Figure 2c, 2d). In yeast, abrupt left-to-right RFD inversions typical of punctate initiation sites are observed at consistent locations with pre-existing origin identifications\textsuperscript{65} (Figure 2c). In human cells, however, smooth leftward-to-rightward RFD inversions are observed, revealing thousands of broad (10–100 kb) replication initiation zones\textsuperscript{66} (Figure 2d). About one-half of the replication initiation zones are bordered by active transcription units on one or both sides, and these fire early in S-phase. The remainder are scattered in large non-expressed portions of the genome and fire predominantly late in S-phase. The mechanism specifying the boundaries of initiation zones in the absence of flanking active genes is unclear, although both types of initiation zones share open chromatin marks typical of active or poised enhancers\textsuperscript{67}. Termination occurs over broad zones of rightward-to-leftward RFD inversion in both yeast\textsuperscript{68} and human\textsuperscript{69} cells, at positions dictated by the firing time distributions of flanking origins (Figure 2c, 2d).

The broad and gradual changes in Okazaki fragment strandedness observed in human initiation zones set up the need for broadly distributed potential start sites that may exceed the number of ORC binding sites. Overall, in agreement with pioneering studies of the DHFR locus\textsuperscript{70,71}, these results indicate that in metazoan cells, the entire genome is a potential substrate for stochastic initiation but the efficiency of individual sites is epigenetically and developmentally regulated, in coordination with transcriptional activity.

**Replisome assembly at eukaryotic DNA replication origins and disassembly at termination sites**

The control of replisome assembly at eukaryotic replication origins relies on a strict temporal separation of replicative helicase loading and activation (Figure 1b)\textsuperscript{72,73}. From late mitosis to the late G1-phase of the cell cycle, the ORC together with Cdc6, another AAA+ ATPase, and Cdt1 load the ring-shaped, Mcm2-7 replicative helicase motor in the form of a catalytically inactive head-to-head dimer around double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). This process, called origin licensing or pre-replicative complex (preRC) assembly, has been reconstituted with purified budding yeast proteins\textsuperscript{74,75}. Origins are then activated during S-phase, which is triggered by a rise in Clb5,6- and Dbf4-dependent protein kinase (CDK and DDK) activities\textsuperscript{76}. In this complex process, the Mcm2-7 double hexamer (MCM DH) associates with helicase cofactors Cdc45 and GINS and a number of other initiation factors\textsuperscript{77}, resulting in the formation of two active Cdc45/Mcm2-7/GINS (CMG) holo-helicases\textsuperscript{78} that encircle ssDNA\textsuperscript{79} and seed replisome assembly. The head-to-head configuration of the MCM DH thus provides a molecular mechanism for the establishment of bidirectional DNA synthesis at eukaryotic origins. Once cells enter the S-phase, several well-studied mechanisms prevent \textit{de novo} MCM loading onto origins\textsuperscript{80}. More elusive are the mechanisms that eliminate unfired MCMs from chromatin as DNA synthesis proceeds\textsuperscript{81}. Recent work shows that when converging forks meet and terminate replication, converging CMGs pass one another and leading and lagging strands are rapidly ligated\textsuperscript{82}, then CMGs are disassembled by ATPase p97 following ubiquitylation of MCM\textsuperscript{71,72}. Passage through mitosis and G1-phase is then required for a new round of origin licensing prior to DNA replication.

A remarkable recent achievement is the reconstitution of helicase loading followed by helicase activation and DNA synthesis with purified budding yeast proteins (16 factors made of 42 polypeptides)\textsuperscript{83}. Even though DNA synthesis in this system does not yet fully recapitulate normal leading and lagging strand replication, this work defines the minimum set of factors required for origin-dependent replication initiation and sets the stage for complete reconstitution of chromosome replication. Other important recent achievements are a crystal structure of the Drosophila ORC\textsuperscript{84} and a cryo-EM, near atomic structure of the MCM DH purified from yeast G1 chromatin\textsuperscript{85}. The ORC structure suggests that ORC can switch between autoinhibited and active conformations, exposing a gap in the ORC ring where DNA can bind and be trapped by joining of Cdc6 prior to MCM loading. In the MCM DH structure, the two single hexamers are twisted and tilted to form a kinked central channel. The kink, located at the DH interface, is proposed to deform DNA and act as a nucleation center for DNA unwinding. DDK-dependent opening of the rings at the MCM2-MCM5 interface may create an expanded central chamber for strand separation through which ssDNA may loop out and become accessible to the copying process.

**Mcm2-7 DHs are loaded in excess to origins**

In contrast to the bacterial mechanism of replication initiation, neither the binding of ORC to DNA nor the loading of the MCM DH during G1 results in any detectable ssDNA formation (Figure 1)\textsuperscript{76}. Furthermore, once MCM DHs are loaded, ORC, Cdc6, and Cdt1 are no longer required for replication initiation\textsuperscript{73,77,78}. The CMG helicase, whose assembly is restricted to S-phase, is solely responsible for DNA unwinding at origins and at moving forks. Therefore, activated MCM DHs, not ORC, ultimately determine where replication can initiate.

Importantly, studies in budding yeast\textsuperscript{79} and metazoans\textsuperscript{80-82} revealed that MCM proteins are bound to chromatin in G1-phase at levels that far exceed (by a factor of 10 to 50) the number of active replication origins and ORC. This raises questions about the loading mechanism and the location and function of these abundant
MCMs. Early experiments with model DNA substrates in *Xenopus* egg extracts\(^{83-85}\) suggested that many copies of MCM could be loaded, and initiate DNA replication, over a large region around ORC, suggesting a mechanism for the formation of broad initiation zones from a single ORC (Figure 3). A single MCM DH encircles \(\sim 60\) bp of dsDNA\(^{63}\). Presumably, MCMs loaded next to the ORC would need to spread through the surrounding chromatin to liberate space for reiterated MCM loading. *In vitro* reconstitution of yeast origin licensing has shown that MCM DHs can passively slide along dsDNA\(^{63}\), which may facilitate their spreading around the ORC. The punctate nature of budding yeast replication initiation sites suggests that if MCM spreading also occurs in yeast, ORC-proximal MCMs are favored for initiation, which is in contrast to the situation in *Xenopus*.

Spreading of MCM DHs along chromosome arms is expected to require the displacement of nucleosomes, as the channel in the MCM DH is not wide enough to accommodate nucleosomal particles. Genome-wide measurements of nucleosome turnover in *Drosophila* cells suggest that turnover is high around ORC binding sites and proportional to ORC binding\(^{46}\). High nucleosome turnover may facilitate ORC binding, and perhaps MCM loading and spreading around the ORC. Among the many factors reported to promote preRC assembly, the histone H4 acetylase HBO1\(^{87}\), the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeler SNF2H\(^{88}\), and the novel histone-binding protein GRWD1\(^{89}\), which are all recruited to chromatin by interaction with Cdt1, have been proposed to promote MCM loading in human cells. One possible model is that H4 acetylation by HBO1 promotes the recruitment of SNF2H and/or GRWD1, which cooperatively increase chromatin fluidity to facilitate MCM loading.

It may be less necessary to invoke MCM spreading if ORC can bind at multiple locations throughout broad initiation zones. Metazoan ORC binds weakly and cycles on and off DNA quickly\(^{60}\), whereas MCM DHs are highly stable once loaded\(^{69}\), which may explain the large excess of MCM in relation to ORC on G1 chromatin. However, human genome-wide analysis suggests that ORC binding sites, unlike initiation sites, are not uniformly distributed through initiation zones but concentrate at their borders\(^{89}\), consistent with ORC preference for the promoters of active genes\(^{90}\). Furthermore, the broad initiation zones reconstituted in *Xenopus* experiments do reflect MCM spreading from ORC, since DNA hypermethylation was used to prevent ORC binding through the template except in a small, low-CpG-density DNA region\(^{84}\).

In *Xenopus*, the redundancy of potential origins afforded by excess MCMs has been proposed to ensure a reliable S-phase completion time by allowing initiation to increase late in S-phase inside long inter-origin gaps\(^{85,92,93}\). A comparable time-dependent increase in initiation rate has been observed in widely divergent eukaryotes and proposed as a universal feature of S-phase kinetics\(^{84}\). When MCMs are depleted by up to 90%, although S-phase progression is not obviously altered, progressive accumulation of DNA damage is observed\(^{95}\). This genotoxic effect is strongly potentiated by treatment with drugs that slow fork progression. Cells from several metazoan organisms can activate extra origins to maintain a normal rate of S-phase progression in response to fork slowing, but

---

**Figure 3. Model for broad replication initiation zones in metazoan cells.** During origin licensing, multiple Mcm2-7 double hexamers (MCM DHs) are loaded onto a large region surrounding the origin recognition complex (ORC). Only a small fraction of MCM DHs is then activated in any one S-phase. Thus, initiation can potentially occur at any of a large number of sites in a broad zone around the ORC.

---
this response is abolished when MCMs are depleted by >90%.[95-97]. However, clear evidence for a similar role of excess MCMs as back-up origins has not been reported in budding yeast.

**Single and reiterative MCM DH loading**

In nuclear extracts derived from G1-arrested yeast cells, the ORC can load at least four MCM complexes on a 1 kb fragment containing a single copy of the early firing origin ARS1.[98] Importantly, an orc 4 mutation that blocks ATP hydrolysis (but not ATP binding) by the ORC allows a single round of MCM loading in this system.[98] ATP hydrolysis by the ORC is therefore required for reiterated MCM loading. According to a recent study of origin licensing using real-time, single molecule imaging of fluorescently labeled ORCs, MCMs, and origin DNA, the ORC is released from the origin after the assembly of a single MCM DH.[99] If so, the ORC would need to cycle on and off origin DNA to load multiple MCM DHs. Conceivably, ATP hydrolysis may facilitate ORC release from loaded MCM DHs and ADP/ATP exchange would reactivate the ORC for another round of loading. Reiterative loading was detected only at a high concentration of purified proteins in reconstitution experiments[63,99] and was far less efficient than with extracts.[98] Extracts may contain factors that promote ADP/ATP exchange by the ORC or that actively push loaded MCM DHs along dsDNA to liberate space for repeated MCM loading at the same site.

**Reiterative MCM DH loading and replication timing regulation**

One possible function of excess MCM loading is in regulating origin firing time. A computational analysis of genome-wide replication kinetics in budding yeast shows that earlier-firing origins have a tighter firing time distribution and a higher potential efficiency than later-firing origins.[31] Furthermore, analysis of several ChIP-seq experiments suggests that MCM peaks are on average stronger at early origins than at late origins[33], although no such correlation between ORC or MCM levels and origin efficiency was observed in another study[31] that used different ChIP-seq datasets[100]. The observed correlation suggests a model in which origins fire stochastically but are loaded with a variable number of MCMs so that origins that have more MCMs fire on average earlier and at a more precise time than origins with fewer MCMs (Figure 4).[31] Recent quantitative ORC and MCM Western blots on purified plasmid origins detected, on average, three MCM DHs at early origin ARS1, two at early origin ARS305 but fewer than one.

---

**Figure 4.** The multiple-MCM model for regulating origin firing efficiency and time. If a variable number of Mcm2-7 double hexamers (MCM DHs) are loaded at origins and each MCM DH has a constant probability of firing per unit time, origins with more MCM DHs have a higher probability of firing and an earlier mean firing time.
at late origin ARS306. Finally, an ARS1 mutation that reduced MCM loading 6-fold without affecting ORC binding strongly delayed ARS1 firing time. These results support the multiple-MCM model for replication timing regulation.

ORC occupancy, chromatin context, and a number of trans-acting factors might all determine MCM multiplicity at origins, and some of these factors may also regulate origin firing time by affecting the activation of MCMs after they have been loaded. In Drosophila, ORC-rich origins show a higher rate of nucleosome exchange than ORC-poor origins. In yeast, early origins have a wider NFR, and a higher occupancy and better positioning of adjacent nucleosomes, than late origins. Mutations in chromatin remodelers and histone-modifying enzymes might be expected to affect MCM spreading and consequent origin efficiency. However, correlative evidence suggests that the Rpd3 histone deacetylase, the Ku telomere binding protein, the Fkh1 transcription factor, or the Ctf19 kinetochores, which all affect replication timing by modifying chromatin structure in yeast, do so independently of MCM number. The ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes Swi2 and Ino80, which promote yeast DNA replication specifically in the late-replicating regions, apparently do so by facilitating replication fork progression but not late origin firing. Therefore, chromatin remodelers that specifically increase MCM spreading and multiplicity in budding yeast remain to be identified. Rbr1, the yeast homolog of GRWD1, a histone-binding protein that facilitates MCM loading in human cells, is a possible candidate.

Fine mapping of MCM DHs and initiation sites at ARSs

The multiple-MCM model predicts multiple potential initiation sites at early origins (Figure 3), in apparent conflict with the identification of a single start site for each leading strand within the NFR of ARS1. However, sequences outside the NFR were not examined in the mapping of ARS1 initiation sites. A recent genomewide study, in apparent conflict with both the multiple-MCM model and the fine mapping of leading strand start sites at ARS1, suggests that a single MCM DH is loaded per origin but not at the NFR. In this work, micrococcal nuclease (MNase) footprinting of wild-type and orc1 mutant cells reveals ORC-dependent footprints at one-half of all (800) putative origins previously identified in a plasmid assay. ORC footprints extend downstream from the ACS into the NFR and are surrounded by well-positioned nucleosomes. When MNase footprints are compared with ChIP-seq data, ORC is found to coincide with the ACS, as expected. However, MCMs do not map to the NFR but to either the upstream or the downstream nucleosome, with which they likely form a complex protecting a total of ~210 bp of DNA. Genome-wide mapping of replication initiation sites at nucleotide resolution would be required to further evaluate whether they coincide with ARS NFRRs or flanking nucleosomes. Although a single MCM DH per origin is detected in these experiments, additional MCM DHs may escape detection if they are not complexed with nucleosomes and translocate off DNA during MNase digestion or if they are too heterogeneously scattered to form ChIP-seq peaks. These results may thus be reconciled with the large body of evidence for an excess of chromatin-bound MCMs to ORCs.

Dispersive MCM DH loading and non-canonical budding yeast origins

In ChIP-seq experiments, most MCM peaks coincide with ORC peaks and with ARSs. However, it seems difficult to account for the 10–20-fold excess of MCMs to ORCs in G1-phase yeast chromatin by the close packing of 5–10 MCM DHs in the immediate vicinity of each ARS. Only two or three MCM DHs were observed in vivo at plasmid-borne ARS305 and ARS1. Therefore, the ChIP-seq peak signal at origins may represent only a fraction of loaded MCMs while the rest may be too heterogeneously spread to form detectable peaks. It is also possible that, at steady state, ORC is bound to only a fraction of ARS because it cycles on and off rapidly. Having 3 MCM DHs and 0.3 ORCs per ARS would give an MCM/ORC ratio of 20. However, ORC occupancy at ARS1 has been reported to be high in vivo.

In principle, yeast MCMs may spread from the ORC over large distances, as demonstrated in human cells, in Xenopus egg extracts, and recently in Drosophila cells. The spreading mechanism is unknown. An ORC bound to an ARS may load MCMs at distal sites by chromosomal looping. However, a DNA loop is not obviously compatible with the coaxial alignment of ORC and MCM rings observed in origin licensing intermediates. Alternatively, MCMs loaded next to an ORC may spread through chromatin by nucleosome displacement and remodeling. Finally, the ORC may occasionally bind DNA and load MCMs elsewhere than at ARSs. In vitro experiments show that the yeast ORC can direct functional MCM DH loading on plasmid DNA devoid of ARSs. Since ARSs are strictly required for plasmid maintenance in vivo, but are dispensable in vitro for replication of DNA not occluded by nucleosomes, one may speculate that in vivo the ORC sometimes binds and loads MCM DHs opportunistically at NFRRs not associated with ARSs.

It was reported years ago that a yeast chromosome III derivative entirely devoid of ARS elements still replicates and segregates correctly 97% of the time and that the ORC is required for its maintenance. The location of the putative non-canonical initiation events responsible for maintenance was not determined. Recently, an origin-deficient derivative of yeast chromosome VI was also found to replicate robustly, and initiation was observed at non-canonical loci located around deleted origins. The ability to direct replication from non-canonical sites in an ORC-dependent manner is consistent with the loading of MCM DHs elsewhere than at ARSs. Further work is required to evaluate the prevalence of non-canonical initiation events in normal S-phase as well as in conditions of replicative stress and their effect on yeast chromosomal replication robustness.

MCM spreading by transcription

Recently, MCM loading and distribution have been quantified at different points in the cell cycle of Drosophila Kc cells. This important work provides the first genome-wide view of MCM distribution in a higher eukaryote and reveals a dramatic reorganization of MCMs during late G1 (Figure 5). As expected, cells arrested at the G1/S transition with hydroxyurea (HU) had a robust
accumulation of MCMs on chromatin. However, ∼10-fold fewer MCMs were loaded in cells arrested in late G1 by overexpression of Dacapo, a cyclin E/Cdk2 inhibitor, or by RNAi against cyclin E or Cdk2. When Dacapo-arrested cells were released back into the cell cycle, a ∼10-fold increase in MCM chromatin association was observed coincident with entry into S-phase. Both the early and late G1-phases of MCM loading required Cdc6 and Cdt1, a signature of the canonical origin licensing pathway.

ChIP-chip was used to localize ORCs in asynchronous cells and MCMs in G1 or G1/S-arrested cells. ORCs and MCMs in cyclin E RNAi-treated cells were highly concordant with each other and localized to early origins. In HU-arrested cells, in contrast, a binary pattern of broad, MCM-containing chromosomal regions alternating with MCM-free regions was observed through the genome. Therefore, the full complement of MCMs was loaded and redistributed throughout the genome in late G1/early S (Figure 5).

Active genes had no or very little MCM signal, whereas inactive genes and intergenic DNA exhibited an elevated signal. When two cell lines were compared, genes active in only one cell line were depleted of MCMs only in that cell line. Although it is plausible that HU-stalled forks contributed to MCM redistribution, the transcription-dependent, biphasic pattern of MCM binding was observed at both early and non-early origins. Therefore, MCMs loaded in late G1 are displaced from transcribed genes by active transcription and cannot be re-established or translocate in these regions after the G1/S transition (Figure 5). These results fit nicely with the widespread detection of broad replication initiation zones bounded by active transcription units in human cells.

A recent study in budding yeast has analyzed how MCM DHs respond to collisions with transcription complexes (Figure 6). MCM DHs were reconstituted on an ARS plasmid carrying a T7 RNA polymerase (RNAP) promoter. In the presence of T7 transcription, MCM DHs remained stably bound to circular but not linear DNA molecules. Therefore, T7 RNAP did not disassemble the MCM DHs but pushed them off the ends of the DNA. Importantly, T7 RNAP transcription did not interfere with the ability of circular templates to replicate in S-phase extracts. However, MCM DHs and initiation sites were shifted by up to several kbp. Given that many yeast origins are located downstream of protein-coding genes, the effect of RNAP collisions with origins was examined in vivo in yeast cells harboring a thermosensitive mutation in the
transcription termination factor Rat1. After two hours of asynchronous growth at non-permissive temperature, ORC ChIP-seq peaks were not altered, whereas MCM peaks were broadened and shifted by up to a few kbp in the direction of transcription. Okazaki fragment sequencing revealed that frequent shifts in origin position correlated with the shift in MCM distribution. Loss of origin efficiency was also observed, which could be due to several factors including 1) dispersive origin redistribution, 2) displacement of MCM DHs at sites not permissive for initiation, or 3) prevention of origin licensing by invading transcription. Collisions between RNAP and MCM DHs may contribute to the displacement of MCMs from transcription units in late G1 and the establishment of replication initiation zones bounded by active transcription units in higher eukaryotes.

These recent results highlight the passive spreading of MCM DHs along chromosome arms by the transcription machinery as a mechanism to specify replication initiation sites on euchromatic chromosomes. A similar mechanism has been previously proposed for the relocation of the ring-shaped cohesin complex along yeast chromosomes. Differently from MCM DHs, however, cohesin rings appear not to be displaced but traversed by the replisome, which results in cohesion between nascent sister chromatids. What distinguishes the replisome from the transcription apparatus so that it does not push cohesin, but may slide through it, is unclear. Might cohesin assist MCM spreading? Cohesin loading is independent of preRC proteins in budding yeast, colocalizes with ORC independently of other preRC proteins in Drosophila, and is dependent on chromatin-bound MCMs in Xenopus egg extracts. Given that cohesin appears to have functions beyond sister chromatid cohesion by entrapping DNA segments of the same chromosome, it is interesting to consider, in addition to collision and pushing by RNAP, that MCM DHs may be loaded away from ORC via cohesin-mediated chromatid looping.

Conclusions and perspectives

There is increasing evidence that the spreading of multiple MCM DHs around the ORC, as first reported in metazoan cells, also occurs in budding yeast and that MCM multiplicity regulates origin firing time and safeguards the genome against incomplete replication. Multiple mechanisms that involve various DNA translocation and nucleosome eviction machineries probably contribute to this spreading. MCM DHs indeed respond to collisions with transcription complexes by sliding along the template yet remain functional for replication initiation, which partly explains how transcription programs shape the replication landscape of metazoan cells.

As mentioned above, the mechanisms that eliminate unfired MCM DHs from chromatin as DNA synthesis proceeds are unclear. Do replication forks collide with unfired MCM DHs or are such collisions avoided by anticipated removal of MCM DHs ahead of forks? Removing MCM DHs in advance of such collisions seems counterproductive, as it would deplete unreplicated DNA segments from backup origins and increase their vulnerability to fork stalling. If collisions occur, are collided MCM DHs disassembled, or are they pushed ahead of the elongating replisome to serve as “portable” rescue origins? No doubt the coming years will bring answers to some of these fascinating questions.
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