Artificial intelligence-based predictions in neovascular age-related macular degeneration
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Purpose of review
Predicting treatment response and optimizing treatment regimen in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) remains challenging. Artificial intelligence-based tools have the potential to increase confidence in clinical development of new therapeutics, facilitate individual prognostic predictions, and ultimately inform treatment decisions in clinical practice.

Recent findings
To date, most advances in applying artificial intelligence to nAMD have focused on facilitating image analysis, particularly for automated segmentation, extraction, and quantification of imaging-based features from optical coherence tomography (OCT) images. No studies in our literature search evaluated whether artificial intelligence could predict the treatment regimen required for an optimal visual response for an individual patient. Challenges identified for developing artificial intelligence-based models for nAMD include the limited number of large datasets with high-quality OCT data, limiting the patient populations included in model development; lack of counterfactual data to inform how individual patients may have fared with an alternative treatment strategy; and absence of OCT data standards, impairing the development of models usable across devices.

Summary
Artificial intelligence has the potential to enable powerful prognostic tools for a complex nAMD treatment landscape; however, additional work remains before these tools are applicable to informing treatment decisions for nAMD in clinical practice.
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INTRODUCTION
Although anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) therapy has been the gold standard for treating neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) for over a decade [1], predicting treatment response and optimizing treatment regimen remain challenging. Newer and emerging therapies are expected to provide additional treatment options for patients [2], increasing complexity of treatment decisions.

Artificial intelligence-based models have the potential to increase confidence in clinical development of new therapeutics, facilitate individual prognostic predictions, and ultimately inform treatment decisions in clinical practice. However, although much progress has been made in applying artificial intelligence to nAMD, significant barriers remain to bringing artificial intelligence-based models to individual patients.

BACKGROUND
Anti-VEGF therapy, notably aflibercept (Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Tarrytown, New York, USA), ranibizumab (Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, California, USA), and off-label use of bevacizumab (Genentech, Inc.), became standard-of-care treatments
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for nAMD [3], following demonstration that dosing on a fixed treatment regimen provided significant vision gains, on average, from baseline in pivotal phase 3 clinical trials [1,4,5]. However, achieving these optimal outcomes involved frequent intravitreal injections; in clinical trials, mean vision gains from baseline in pivotal phase 3 a fixed treatment regimen provided significant vision outcomes while minimizing treatment burden.

- Challenges identified for developing artificial intelligence-based models for nAMD include the absence of OCT data standards, limited number of large datasets with high-quality OCT data, and lack of counterfactual data to inform how individual patients may have fared with an alternative treatment strategy.

In contrast, patients in real-world clinical practice are not, on average, achieving these vision outcomes (Fig. 1) [9,10]. This has been attributed to several factors, particularly differences between real-world and clinical trial patient populations and differences in treatment frequency [11]. Notably, several studies, including large real-world studies [9,10,11,12,13,14] and a recent systematic review [15], found that dose frequency is a consistent indicator of vision outcomes, with real-world studies reporting average vision gains of zero to three letters at 1 year were achieved with approximately 7.5–12 total anti-VEGF injections [4–8].

In year 2 of the VIEW clinical trial, about half of patients had PRN treatment intervals of at least every 12 weeks, with similar vision outcomes as patients requiring more frequent treatment [20]. Although informative on a population level, these traditional analyses based on standard imaging evaluations have not greatly influenced individual treatment decisions.

This gap highlights the overall unmet need to balance anti-VEGF injection frequency and burden in clinical practice. To date, efforts to address this have focused on understanding which baseline characteristics are associated with treatment response and exploring different regimens, particularly as-needed (PRN) and treat-and-extend.

Several studies have identified baseline visual acuity as a consistent predictor of long-term visual outcomes [16–19]; however, it is thought to correlate indirectly with disease severity and anatomical changes of the neurosensory retina. Baseline anatomical features, including larger choroidal neovascularization (CNV) lesion size, ellipsoid zone disruption, external limiting membrane interruption, intraretinal fluid (IRF) presence, subretinal fluid (SRF) absence, and increased choroidal thickness have been associated with worse vision outcomes [16,19]. In a study of treatment frequency, patients with occult CNV, presence of retinal fluid, and fluorescein leakage after 1 year of fixed monthly/bimonthly dosing were less likely to achieve every-12-week dosing in year 2 [20].

In the clinic, physicians make treatment decisions for individual patients, while treatment paradigms are traditionally based on average treatment response of a cohort. A key unsolved challenge is identifying the optimal treatment regimen for each individual, with the least burden and maximum visual gains, particularly because need for frequent treatment [20–22] and anti-VEGF treatment response under real-world conditions (Fig. 2; Supplemental Movie, http://links.lww.com/COOP/A43) vary greatly. For example, in the HARBOR clinical trial, treatment required by patients on a PRN regimen ranged from 3 to 24 injections over 2 years, with a nearly flat distribution [22].

Development and Application of Artificial Intelligence Models for Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Artificial intelligence, and particularly the subfield of deep learning, has the potential to identify features prognostic for individual patient outcomes. However, most advances in applying artificial intelligence to nAMD have focused on development and application of models to facilitate image analysis, particularly for automated segmentation, extraction, and quantification of imaging-based features from optical coherence tomography (OCT). Key artificial intelligence-based models for OCT image analysis and recent applications of artificial intelligence to nAMD are discussed herein.

Training, tuning, and testing of artificial intelligence-based algorithms typically require large, high-quality datasets. Nonetheless, in nAMD, multiple research groups have developed artificial intelligence-based algorithms using relatively few datasets, of which HARBOR and the Moorfields Eye Hospital real-world age-related macular degeneration (AMD) database stand out in the literature.
The phase 3 HARBOR trial (NCT00891735) assessed ranibizumab for 1097 patients with treatment-naïve nAMD, comparing two dosages and monthly and PRN treatment regimens [8,22]. Notably, HARBOR was the first major clinical trial for nAMD to use spectral-domain OCT, which allows for high-sensitivity feature extraction.

Moorfields Eye Hospital, a tertiary referral retinal center in the United Kingdom, maintains a real-world database of electronic medical records and

FIGURE 1. Mean change in visual acuity score from baseline over time for all patients by country: (a) Germany, France, United Kingdom, Italy, and the Netherlands, and (b) Canada, Ireland, and Venezuela in the AURA retrospective, observational, multicenter study of patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration who started treatment with ranibizumab between January 1, 2009 and August 31, 2009 [10]. Data based on effectiveness analysis set using a last observation carried forward (LOCF) approach. The mean number of injections received in 2 full years was: United Kingdom, 9.0; the Netherlands, 8.7; France, 6.3; Germany, 5.6; Italy, 5.2; Ireland, 11.0; Canada, 9.9; Venezuela, 3.2. Figure reprinted from Ref [10].
associated OCT images from patients with AMD treated with at least one ranibizumab or aflibercept injection from 2008 to 2018 and with at least 1 year of follow-up [23]. Altogether, the Moorfields AMD dataset includes 8174 eyes of 6664 patients; a de-identified version of the segmentation results is openly available to the research community [23, 24].

A key model for OCT image segmentation and disease classification, developed by De Fauw and colleagues [25], uses a deep learning-based framework with two independent networks to perform automated diagnosis of retinal diseases on OCT scans. This methodology has been applied to investigating imaging biomarkers and visual outcomes [24*, 26**]. Following this, another group developed a novel automated segmentation model using a convolutional neural network [27*]. This model was built using a large, real-world electronic medical records-based dataset from the United Kingdom, annotated by clinical experts with 13 of the most common AMD biomarkers on OCT, including IRF, SRF, and pigment epithelial detachment (PED) [27*].

The Notal OCT Analyzer [28] and Medical University of Vienna artificial intelligence-based Fluid Monitor [29] are fully automated tools for fluid detection and quantification on OCT images. These have facilitated quantitative measurements across multiple large datasets [30*] and been applied to questions investigating retinal fluid measurements and visual outcomes [17, 30*, 31, 32*, 33*, 34*, 35], particularly to more precisely quantify and map changes in IRF and SRF over time.

As an illustration, application of the Notal OCT Analyzer to a real-world dataset demonstrated that, by quartile, larger fluctuations in IRF, SRF, PED, central subfield thickness, and total fluid during the anti-VEGF maintenance phase were associated with worse visual acuity at 2 years [34*]. Other exploratory studies applying the artificial intelligence-based Fluid Monitor supported differential impact of IRF and SRF on vision outcomes. In both the HARBOR and FLUID trials, increased IRF, but not SRF, volumes in the central 1 mm were negatively associated with visual acuity [letters per 100 nl fluid, IRF: –4.00 and –2.84; SRF: +1.10 and +1.43 (not significant), respectively] [32*, 33*]. Similarly, a stronger association of IRF than SRF with visual acuity was found by applying the De Fauw et al. [25] methodology to the Moorfields AMD database [24*].

FIGURE 2. Illustration of individual responses to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration, stratified by baseline VA from a real-world large electronic medical records-extracted database [47]. Each faint line represents the VA (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study [ETDRS] letter score) from one patient (one eye) over 5 years of time, with one line, representing one patient, bolded for illustration in each panel. Black lines represent the mean. See also Supplementary Movie, http://links.lww.com/COOP/A43. VA, visual acuity.
Other studies have developed and applied artificial intelligence-based models to OCT image analysis for a diverse set of research questions, including determining whether visual acuity can be predicted from OCT [36]; extracting higher-order features, such as ellipsoid zone integrity and subretinal hyperreflective material volume [37]; facilitating correlational analysis among multiple features on OCT [35]; comparing ‘typical’ nAMD with polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy [38,39]; and clustering patients based on CNV features using unsupervised machine learning [39].

PREDICTING NEOVASCULAR AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION TREATMENT RESPONSE WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Several groups have begun to develop algorithms for predicting treatment response and treatment frequency needs. Within the parameters of our literature search, three studies to date specifically examined treatment response using artificial intelligence, with each approaching and defining response differently.

Two studies focused on the anatomic response to anti-VEGF treatment on OCT. The first developed a novel method with convolutional neural networks, using data from a real-world cohort at Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University. The study found that effectiveness of anti-VEGF treatment on CNV or cystoid macular edema could be predicted with area under the curve of 0.81 using baseline OCT images [40]. However, ‘effective’ appeared to be a binary treatment response that was not clearly defined. In the other study, a conditional generative adversarial network was used to develop a deep learning model capable of generating posttreatment OCT images [41**]. This model, trained on a real-world retrospective dataset from Konkuk University Medical Center, was designed to generate OCT images representing 1 month after completion of three monthly anti-VEGF loading doses. A model including baseline OCT, fluorescein angiography, and indocyanine green angiography images, rather than OCT images alone, performed best in its prediction of each of IRF, SRF, PED, and subretinal hyperreflective material [41**].

To explore predictive ability of quantitative OCT parameters for posttreatment visual outcomes, Fu et al. [26**] applied De Fauw et al.’s [25] deep learning method to the Moorfields AMD database. Together, baseline visual acuity and OCT parameters had a predictive accuracy for 3 months post injection and 12 months post baseline of $R^2 = 0.49$ and 0.38, respectively, which improved to $R^2 = 0.79$ and 0.63 by incorporating previous treatment response (incremental visual acuity and OCT changes).

Finally, one group developed an end-to-end deep learning model for predicting treatment requirements for patients receiving anti-VEGF on a PRN regimen per investigator discretion; the specific patient population was not identified [42**]. OCT images were analyzed based on previous models [29] for fluid quantification to exclude patients for whom model and investigator decisions disagreed on more than three noninjection events over 2 years. Based on longitudinal images, the model categorized patients as having ‘low,’ ‘intermediate,’ and ‘high’ treatment requirements (up to five, five to 15, and ≥16 injections, respectively). Although the model did not perform well classifying patients in the intermediate group, area under the curve of 0.85 and 0.81 was achieved in binary classifications of low versus all or high versus all treatment requirements [42**]. However, this study did not ultimately correlate these treatment requirements with vision outcomes [42**].

CHALLENGES FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED MODEL DEVELOPMENT IN NEOVASCULAR AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION

Highlighting challenges in the field to date, no studies were identified in our literature search that evaluated whether artificial intelligence-based models could predict the treatment regimen required for an ‘optimal’ visual response for an individual patient. Thus far, studies have largely explored anti-VEGF treatment response, either indirectly, by studying association between OCT parameters and vision outcomes, or directly, by approaching the question of whether treatment response could be predicted based on retinal images.

A well-known issue in machine learning is that artificial intelligence-based models reflect the biases inherent to the datasets used to develop them. Unfortunately, in nAMD, few large datasets with high-quality spectral-domain OCT data are available, and these same datasets have been utilized by multiple groups for training, tuning, and testing of artificial intelligence-based models. This has also limited the nAMD population characteristics included in the models to date. Clinical trial populations, defined by specific inclusion and exclusion criteria, are generally more homogenous and less demographically diverse than real-world populations. In contrast, real-world patient populations, such as the Moorfields AMD database [23], have larger variability in demographics, disease state
and severity, treatment approaches, and OCT imaging schedule and protocols.

Lack of counterfactual data is another significant limitation for both model development and judging a model’s ability to predict treatment needs. In the context of nAMD, each patient is unique in their disease, baseline clinical presentation, and treatment response; it may be argued that a specific pretreatment state cannot be recreated. Therefore, it may not be possible to assess how that patient may have fared with an alternative treatment strategy or to ascertain their best-achievable vision outcomes. A potential strategy to mitigate this limitation is to ensure that large, diverse patient populations with accurate, thorough data are used for model development. Absenting that, artificial intelligence-based models may not accurately apply to individual patients and will carry forward biases of the datasets used to build them.

Finally, absence of OCT data standards [43] impacts both availability of high-quality datasets for artificial intelligence model development and generalizability of these models. As a result, models created to date are generally device specific, impairing their broader application to clinical practice where different OCT devices are in use. To be useful in clinical practice, artificial intelligence-based models will need to be designed for functionality and interpretability outside of controlled research settings.

**APPLICATIONS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED NEOVASCULAR AGE-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION TREATMENT PREDICTIONS**

Artificial intelligence-based nAMD treatment predictions have potential applications for both clinical research and clinical practice, with the goal of achieving the best visual outcome for each individual patient.

In clinical research, artificial intelligence-based models could improve clinical trial design, including patient identification, selection, and randomization, as well as adjustments in trial analysis. Artificial intelligence can also improve efficiency and standardization of image grading, enabling analysis on a larger and more detailed scale than possible with current practices and standard technologies. For smaller, early-stage studies, or those with heterogeneous populations, application of artificial intelligence-based models could improve understanding of treatment responses and increase confidence in decision making. Artificial intelligence can also create ‘synthetic’ treatment arms, that is, hypothetical, simulated comparator arms that could be used to model additional patient populations or alternative treatments for clinical trials, including sham arms [44,45].

In clinical practice, considering treatment options for nAMD currently available, physician decisions are limited by the optimal treatment regimens for maximum visual gains and least treatment burden. As an extreme example, physicians following a monthly treatment regimen would not have a compelling motivation to use an artificial intelligence-based prediction model. However, in the near future, complexity of treatment decisions is expected to increase with the expansion of the nAMD treatment landscape to potentially include new mechanisms of action, long-acting delivery options, and gene therapy [2].

Within the field of retina, and particularly OCT image analysis, artificial intelligence has the potential to assist physicians in elucidating individual needs as quickly and accurately as possible, thereby improving patient care, in several ways. First, artificial intelligence can equip physicians with better models for efficient image analysis, which could expand the information readily available for making treatment decisions. Also, given the variety of clinical expertise, artificial intelligence could raise the bar of standard of care by providing insights into pathology that may fall outside a particular physician’s day-to-day experience. Finally, artificial intelligence can extract features beyond what an expert can discern on individual images; for example, a deep learning model was developed to create OCT angiography-like images from structural OCT [46].

**CONCLUSION**

Artificial intelligence-based models can potentially improve clinical research and clinical practice in nAMD, enabling best visual outcomes with least treatment burden for each individual patient. Artificial intelligence has facilitated analysis of OCT and multimodal image datasets on a scale not previously possible, furthering knowledge of the disease and response to treatment. Furthermore, artificial intelligence has a number of applications to clinical trial design, implementation, and analysis, which could improve the process of clinical development at all stages and improve confidence in decision making, particularly for early-stage clinical trials. Artificial intelligence also has the potential to create powerful tools to inform point-of-care treatment decisions in a treatment landscape for nAMD of increasing complexity. However, a large gap remains between application of artificial intelligence to research and application to treatment decisions in clinical practice. A key limitation toward this goal is the shortage of large, robust datasets that represent the
heterogeneity of the patients, their disease, and treatment response.
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