Detection and verification of malting quality QTLs using wild barley introgression lines

Inga Schmalenbach · Klaus Pillen

Received: 17 September 2008 / Accepted: 9 February 2009 / Published online: 3 March 2009
© The Author(s) 2009. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract  A malting quality quantitative trait locus (QTL) study was conducted using a set of 39 wild barley introgression lines (hereafter abbreviated with S42ILs). Each S42IL harbors a single marker-defined chromosomal segment from the wild barley accession ‘ISR 42-8’ (Hordeum vulgare ssp. spontaneum) within the genetic background of the elite spring barley cultivar ‘Scarlett’ (Hordeum vulgare ssp. vulgare). The aim of the study was (1) to verify genetic effects previously identified in the advanced backcross population S42, (2) to detect new QTLs, and (3) to identify S42ILs exhibiting multiple QTL effects. For this, grain samples from field tests in three different environments were subjected to micro malting. Subsequently, a line × phenotype association study was performed with the S42ILs in order to localize putative QTL effects. A QTL was accepted if the trait value of a particular S42IL was significantly ($P < 0.05$) different from the recurrent parent as a control, either across all tested environments or in a particular environment. For eight malting quality traits, altogether 40 QTLs were localized, among which 35 QTLs (87.5%) were stable across all environments. Six QTLs (15.0%) revealed a trait improving wild barley effect. Out of 36 QTLs detected in a previous advanced backcross QTL study with the parent BC$_2$DH population S42, 18 QTLs (50.0%) could be verified with the S42IL set. For the quality parameters $a$-amylase activity and Hartong 45°C, all QTLs assessed in population S42 were verified by S42ILs. In addition, eight new QTL effects and 17 QTLs affecting two newly investigated traits were localized. Two QTL clusters harboring simultaneous effects on eight and six traits, respectively, were mapped to chromosomes 1H and 4H. In future, fine-mapping of these QTL regions will be conducted in order to shed further light on the genetic basis of the most interesting QTLs.

Introduction

The most important end use of spring barley is the production of malt as substrate for brewing beer and distilling whisky. Malting quality is composed of numerous interacting traits with a high complexity concerning their biochemical and genetic basis (Fox et al. 2003). In most instances, the traits are conditioned by interaction of polygenes, so called quantitative trait loci (QTLs). To accelerate the breeding of cultivars with improved malting quality, molecular markers and genetic linkage maps were used to localize these loci. Thus, in recent years, the elite barley gene pool was extensively used for numerous QTL studies on malting quality (e.g. Marquez-Cedillo et al. 2000; See et al. 2002; Barr et al. 2003a, b; Collins et al. 2003; Emebiri et al. 2003, 2004, 2005; Hayes et al. 2003; Edney and Mather 2004; Rae et al. 2007; Panozzo et al. 2007).

The value of exotic barley germplasm as a source for trait improving alleles was proven by studies targeting...
quantitative traits. Although potentially useful allelic variation regarding important malting quality characteristics was identified in wild barley (Ahokas and Naskali 1990a, b; Zhang et al. 2007), the utilization of unadapted germplasm is, so far, restricted to a limited number of malting quality QTL studies. By means of “advanced backcross” (AB)-QTL studies with germplasm of the wild barley form *Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*, hereafter abbreviated with *Hsp* Pillen et al. (2003, 2004) and Li et al. (2005) detected putative QTLs affecting the parameters friability, protein content, water absorption and malt extract. A further AB-QTL study involving the same *Hordeum* subspecies as exotic parent was performed by von Korff et al. (2008). Here, 301 BC2DH lines of the population S42 (‘Scarlett’ × ‘ISR 42-8’) were genotyped with 98 SSR markers, and, in addition, subjected to a micro malting experiment. Subsequently, the performance of the lines regarding seven malting quality characteristics (e.g. α-amylase activity, fermentability, grain protein content and viscosity) was evaluated. By means of a mixed model analysis of variance, altogether 48 putative QTLs were assessed. At 18 QTLs (37.5%), the exotic allele caused an improved trait performance.

Once QTLs influencing important traits are localized, this information can be exerted for further studies, for instance to investigate gene by gene and gene by environment interactions, pleiotropic effects and to map-based clone strong QTL effects. It is furthermore advisable to transfer favorable exotic QTL alleles into existing varieties, and, thus, to breed improved cultivars. Zamir (2001) recommended the use of introgression libraries for those applications. Each introgression line (IL) within such a library contains a single marker-defined chromosomal fragment of an exotic species, whereas the remaining part of the genome originates from an elite variety. A complete IL library, developed by several rounds of backcrossing and selfing and in parallel marker-assisted selection (MAS), represents the whole donor genome in overlapping introgressions. The great advantage of such an IL set compared to commonly used QTL mapping populations is the low proportion of exotic germplasm present in each line. Thereby, negative effects of donor alleles, i.e. linkage drag, are reduced and the phenotypic variation between the ILs can be attributed with high accuracy to the particular introduced segment. Due to their homozygosity, ILs are a stable resource which can be exerted for a multitude of purposes. Regarding end use quality, introgression libraries were so far evaluated in tomato (Rousseaux et al. 2005), rice (Zheng et al. 2007), wheat (Liu et al. 2007), melon (Eduardo et al. 2007; Fernandez-Trujillo et al. 2007; Obando et al. 2008), and rye (Falke et al. 2008). In barley, Matus et al. (2003) developed a set of 140 recombinant chromosome substitution lines (RCSLs) which contained in most instances multiple exotic segments of the exotic accession ‘Caesarea 26-24’. By association analysis, the trait performance of the RCSLs was evaluated. Matus et al. (2003) observed significant transgressive segregation, and identified several QTL regions for the malting quality parameters α-amylase activity, diastatic power, grain protein content, malt extract and wort β-glucan content. Furthermore, a set of 59 ILs, each harboring a single wild barley introgression in the uniform genetic background of elite barley, was selected and subsequently used for the verification of QTLs affecting disease resistance and agronomic performance (Schmalenbach et al. 2008, 2009).

The present paper reports on the application of a set of 39 wild barley introgression lines (S24ILs), taken from Schmalenbach et al. (2008), to conduct a malting quality QTL analysis. Each line harbors one single introgression of the exotic barley accession ‘ISR 42-8’ (*Hsp* in the genetic background of the elite barley cultivar ‘Scarlett’ (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare*), hereafter abbreviated with *Hv*). For evaluating the malting quality performance of the S42ILs, a micro malting experiment with grain samples from field tests in three different environments was conducted. Subsequently, the resulting data for eight malting quality parameters were analyzed within a line × phenotype association study in order (1) to verify QTL effects previously localized in the population S42 which consists of 301 BC2DH lines (von Korff et al. 2008), (2) to detect new QTLs, and (3) to identify S42ILs exhibiting significant effects on several traits simultaneously.

### Materials and methods

#### Plant material

Altogether 39 selected wild barley introgression lines (named S42IL-101 to S42IL-139) were subjected to a malting quality analysis. The S42ILs were developed based on an initial cross between the German spring barley cultivar ‘Scarlett’ and the Israeli wild barley accession ‘ISR 42-8’. Subsequently, three rounds of backcrossing with the recurrent parent, repeated selfing and, in parallel, marker-assisted selection (MAS) were conducted. Finally, the S42ILs were selected in BC3S4 generation. Each S42IL harbors a single SSR marker-defined chromosomal segment of the exotic parent, whereas the remaining genome is derived from the elite parent. The construction and genotypic characterization of the lines, as well as their application to verify QTL effects for disease resistances, are described in detail in Schmalenbach et al. (2008).
Field cultivation of the introgression lines

The 39 S42ILs were cultivated at three different locations in Germany during the season 2007 to evaluate agronomic performance (Schmalenbach et al. 2009) and to obtain seed material for micro malting. The locations were the experimental research station Dikopshof (D07, University of Bonn, western Germany), and the breeders’ experimental field stations in Gudow (G07, Nordsaat Saatzucht, northern Germany) and Herzogenaurach (H07, Saatzucht Josef Breun, southeastern Germany). The introgression lines were grown in three replications (blocks) per location. As a control, the elite parent ‘Scarlett’ was tested in four replications per block. Growing conditions such as net plot sizes (4.5–6.0 m²), seed density (300–390 kernels/m²), nitrogen fertilization (30–80 kg N/ha) and field management followed local practice. Further details are given in Schmalenbach et al. (2009).

Malting quality analysis

Micro malting and malting quality analysis were conducted in the laboratory of Nordsaat Saatzucht (Böhnshausen, Germany), using 100 g of grain of the sieving fraction > 2.5 mm. The malting process and the determination of α-amylase activity and raw protein content were performed as described in von Korff et al. (2008). Both traits as well as the quality characters fine-grind extract of malt, friability of malt, and viscosity of wort were measured using the methods recommended by the European Brewery Convention (EBC). The traits Kolbach index and Hartong 45°C were determined according to the methods of the Mitteleuropäische Brautechnische Analysenkommission (MEBAK). The investigated traits are explained in Table 1. The exotic parent ‘ISR 42-8’ was not included in the experiment since, in general, wild barley exhibits no malting quality, and, in addition, sufficient number of seeds for micro malting was not available.

Statistical analyzes

Statistical analyzes were performed with SAS Enterprise Guide 4.1 (SAS Institute 2006). Genetic correlations between trait values were determined with the least squares means (LSMEANS) for each of the 39 S42ILs averaged across all replications and environments. The LSMEANS were computed with the general linear model (GLM) procedure and used for calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) with the CORR procedure.

For identification and verification of QTL effects, the phenotype data of the S42ILs was subjected to a line x phenotype association study. First, the following two-factorial mixed model analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out with the GLM procedure:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + L_i + E_j + L \times E_{ij} + \epsilon_{k(ij)}, \]

where \( \mu \) is the general mean, \( L_i \) is the fixed effect of the \( i \)th line, \( E_j \) is the random effect of the \( j \)th environment, \( L \times E_{ij} \) is the random interaction effect of the \( i \)th line and the \( j \)th environment, and \( \epsilon_{k(ij)} \) is the error of \( Y_{ijk} \).

When the analysis revealed significant \( (P < 0.05) \) differences between lines or line x environment interactions, a Dunnett test was conducted (Dunnett 1955). Here, the LSMEANS of each S42IL and of ‘Scarlett’ as a control were tested for significant differences. When the LSMEANS of a particular S42IL was significantly \( (P < 0.05) \) different from ‘Scarlett’ across all three environments and/or in a particular environment, the presence of a QTL was assumed. It was detected either as line main effect or as line x environment interaction effect or as

Table 1 List of eight malting quality traits evaluated for 39 S42ILs in three environments

| Abbr. | Trait                           | Units                      | Reference/method of measurement | Breeding goal |
|-------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| AA    | x-amylase activity of malt      | Dextrinizing units (DU)    | EBC 4.13                        | +             |
| FGE   | Fine-grind extract of malt      | % dry mass                 | EBC 4.5.1                       | +             |
| FRI   | Friability of malt              | %                          | EBC 4.15                        | +             |
| GPC   | Grain protein content           | % dry mass                 | EBC 3.3.1                       | -             |
| GSF   | Grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm  | %                          | MEBAK 2.3.1                     | +             |
| KOL   | Kolbach index (soluble protein/total protein ratio) | % | MEBAK I 4.1.4.5.2 (soluble protein), MEBAK I 4.1.4.5.3 (Kolbach index) | + |
| VIS   | Viscosity of wort               | mPas                       | EBC 4.8                         | -             |
| VZ45  | Hartong 45°C, extract at 45°C    | %                          | MEBAK I 4.1.4.11                | +             |

a EBC and MEBAK: see reference list
b The breeding goals are defined in order to select improved malting barley, where (−) indicates that a reduction, and (+) that an increase of the trait is desired
both effects simultaneously. If several lines, which carry overlapping or flanking introgressions, revealed a significant effect of the same direction (increase or reduction of trait value), it was assumed that these lines harbored the same QTL. Introgressions do overlap, if they possess at least one common $Hsp$ allele. They flank each other, if they have adjacent $Hsp$ alleles. The relative performance of a particular S42IL was calculated as follows: $RP_{[S42IL]} = (\text{LSMEANS } [S42IL] - \text{LSMEANS } [\text{Scarlett}]) \times 100 / \text{LSMEANS } [\text{Scarlett}]$, where for each trait the LSMEANS were calculated across all replications and environments.

**Data storage**

For general use, all genotype and phenotype data of the S42IL set will be archived in the public IL data base ‘Phenom Networks’ (http://phn.huji.ac.il/RTQ/).

**Results**

**Trait performances of ‘Scarlett’ and the S42IL set**

In Table 2, the parameters mean, minimum, maximum, and coefficient of variation specify the performance of the recurrent parent ‘Scarlett’ and the S42IL set for each trait. The values are indicated per environment and across all three environments. For all traits and environments, except for grain protein content in environment D07, the S42ILs revealed a higher coefficient of variation than ‘Scarlett’. Across all environments, the recurrent parent as well as the S42ILs, exhibited the highest coefficient of variation for the traits $\alpha$-amylase activity (22.0 and 22.1%, respectively) and grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm (13.6 and 14.9%, respectively). Low variation in performance was assessed for fine-grind extract, viscosity and grain protein content. For almost all traits and environments ‘Scarlett’ showed a higher average performance than the S42IL set. As listed in Table 2, an exception is grain protein content where the mean is slightly lower for the recurrent parent than the S42ILs in each environment. The same observation was detected for viscosity in environments D07 and G07.

**Genetic correlations**

Altogether 27 significant correlations were assessed between the eight investigated parameters (Table 3). Solely $\alpha$-amylase activity and fine-grind extract showed no significant correlation. Twenty-two genetic correlations were highly significant ($P < 0.001$). Strong positive correlations were detected between VZ45 and the traits Kolbach index, $\alpha$-amylase activity and friability ($r = 0.92$, 0.83 and 0.73, respectively). The latter two traits were also highly positively correlated with Kolbach index ($r = 0.86$ and 0.82, respectively) and among each other ($r = 0.69$). Finally, a strong positive correlation of 0.73 was measured between grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm and viscosity. Grain protein content revealed negative correlations with friability, Kolbach index, Hartong 45°C, fine-grind extract and $\alpha$-amylase activity ($r = -0.69$, $-0.69$, $-0.67$, $-0.52$ and $-0.44$, respectively). In addition, friability and Kolbach index exhibited negative correlations of $-0.64$ and $-0.59$, respectively, with viscosity which was also negatively correlated with $\alpha$-amylase activity ($r = -0.55$). The trait grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm correlated negatively to Kolbach index, $\alpha$-amylase activity, friability and Hartong 45°C ($r = -0.60$, $-0.55$, $-0.48$ and $-0.46$ respectively), but positively to fine-grind extract and grain protein content ($r = 0.46$ and 0.22, respectively).

**Detection of QTL effects**

In order to assess QTL effects on malting quality, the 39 S42ILs were subjected to a line x phenotype association study. For all eight investigated traits, the two-factorial mixed model ANOVA revealed significant line effects ($P < 0.05$). Significant line x environment interactions ($P < 0.05$) were detected for all traits with the exception of fine-grind extract and viscosity (data not shown).

As presented in Table 4, altogether 68 significant line x phenotype associations were exhibited by the subsequently performed Dunnett test. For 45 associations, both, the line main effect and the line x environment interaction effect were significant, whereas for eleven associations solely the line main effect was significant. The remaining 12 associations were detected solely as line x environment interaction effect. Due to the overlapping or flanking of several introgressions, the associations were summarized to a total of 40 QTLs. At 30 QTLs the line main as well as the line x environment interaction effect was significant. At six out of the 40 QTLs (15.0%), the exotic introgression was associated with an improved trait performance compared to the control ‘Scarlett’. Those favorable $Hsp$ effects were detected for the traits $\alpha$-amylase activity, grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm and Kolbach index. In the following, the detected QTL effects are specified for each trait separately (see Table 4).

**$\alpha$-amylase activity (AA)**

For AA, altogether ten S42ILs on all chromosomes except 2H and 3H exhibited a significant line x phenotype association, among which four lines harbor an exotic segment on chromosome 1H. Taking into account that some lines carry
| Trait and environment<sup>a</sup> | ‘Scarlett’ | S42ILs | S42ILs | S42ILs |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
|                           | N<sup>b</sup> | Mean<sup>c</sup> | Min<sup>d</sup> | Max<sup>d</sup> | CV<sup>e</sup> | N<sup>b</sup> | Mean<sup>c</sup> | Min<sup>d</sup> | Max<sup>d</sup> | CV<sup>e</sup> |
| AA                        |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 382.8  | 355.0  | 439.0  | 7.8     | 117      | 377.4  | 203.0  | 513.0  | 17.4    |
| G07                       | 12       | 411.5  | 338.0  | 522.0  | 14.1    | 117      | 394.9  | 258.0  | 561.0  | 16.9    |
| H07                       | 12       | 572.3  | 481.0  | 691.0  | 12.1    | 117      | 527.0  | 361.0  | 734.0  | 13.9    |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 455.6  | 338.0  | 691.0  | 22.0    | 351      | 433.1  | 203.0  | 734.0  | 22.1    |
| FGE                       |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 81.0   | 79.8   | 82.3   | 0.9     | 117      | 80.4   | 77.8   | 82.5   | 1.1     |
| G07                       | 12       | 82.4   | 80.7   | 84.2   | 1.2     | 117      | 81.6   | 78.9   | 85.3   | 1.5     |
| H07                       | 12       | 81.8   | 80.2   | 84.3   | 1.5     | 117      | 80.8   | 77.7   | 83.4   | 1.6     |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 81.7   | 79.8   | 84.3   | 1.4     | 351      | 80.9   | 77.7   | 85.3   | 1.6     |
| FRI                       |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 71.6   | 61.0   | 83.0   | 8.9     | 117      | 67.9   | 48.0   | 86.0   | 11.7    |
| G07                       | 12       | 70.0   | 62.0   | 83.0   | 8.3     | 117      | 68.0   | 45.0   | 86.0   | 11.0    |
| H07                       | 12       | 81.0   | 73.0   | 91.0   | 6.3     | 117      | 80.2   | 59.0   | 94.0   | 8.6     |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 74.2   | 61.0   | 91.0   | 10.1    | 351      | 72.0   | 45.0   | 94.0   | 13.1    |
| GPC                       |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 12.4   | 11.7   | 13.1   | 3.9     | 117      | 12.5   | 11.7   | 13.7   | 3.4     |
| G07                       | 12       | 11.9   | 11.4   | 12.5   | 2.6     | 117      | 12.1   | 10.8   | 13.7   | 5.0     |
| H07                       | 12       | 11.5   | 10.7   | 12.5   | 5.2     | 117      | 11.6   | 10.0   | 13.0   | 5.4     |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 11.9   | 10.7   | 13.1   | 4.9     | 351      | 12.1   | 10.0   | 13.7   | 5.6     |
| GSF                       |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 81.1   | 72.3   | 87.4   | 4.6     | 117      | 77.7   | 64.5   | 89.9   | 7.3     |
| G07                       | 12       | 91.3   | 88.5   | 93.0   | 1.6     | 117      | 89.9   | 73.1   | 96.4   | 4.8     |
| H07                       | 12       | 66.6   | 60.6   | 77.2   | 6.7     | 117      | 65.6   | 47.9   | 86.1   | 11.5    |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 79.7   | 60.6   | 93.0   | 13.6    | 351      | 77.7   | 47.9   | 96.4   | 14.9    |
| KOL                       |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 41.7   | 40.0   | 43.3   | 2.3     | 117      | 40.2   | 34.0   | 45.0   | 5.4     |
| G07                       | 12       | 42.0   | 39.5   | 43.7   | 3.0     | 117      | 41.2   | 35.7   | 46.8   | 5.2     |
| H07                       | 12       | 48.7   | 45.6   | 51.6   | 3.0     | 117      | 48.1   | 40.7   | 54.1   | 5.9     |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 44.1   | 39.5   | 51.6   | 7.9     | 351      | 43.2   | 34.0   | 54.1   | 9.8     |
| VIS                       |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 1.46   | 1.43   | 1.50   | 1.6     | 117      | 1.47   | 1.41   | 1.61   | 2.5     |
| G07                       | 12       | 1.54   | 1.51   | 1.59   | 1.6     | 117      | 1.55   | 1.48   | 1.72   | 3.0     |
| H07                       | 12       | 1.44   | 1.41   | 1.47   | 1.6     | 117      | 1.43   | 1.39   | 1.53   | 1.9     |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 1.48   | 1.41   | 1.59   | 3.4     | 351      | 1.48   | 1.39   | 1.72   | 4.2     |
| VZ45                      |          |        |        |        |         |          |        |        |        |         |
| D07                       | 12       | 42.0   | 38.6   | 43.8   | 3.7     | 117      | 40.5   | 34.7   | 46.8   | 6.8     |
| G07                       | 12       | 46.1   | 43.4   | 49.4   | 3.5     | 117      | 46.0   | 39.5   | 54.3   | 6.6     |
| H07                       | 12       | 55.3   | 51.8   | 58.5   | 3.1     | 117      | 54.0   | 45.6   | 62.0   | 6.9     |
| Across all env.           | 36       | 47.8   | 38.6   | 58.5   | 12.2    | 351      | 46.8   | 34.7   | 62.0   | 13.7    |

<sup>a</sup> Trait and environment abbreviations are listed in Table 1 and “Materials and methods”, respectively
<sup>b</sup> Number of observations
<sup>c</sup> Average trait performance
<sup>d</sup> Minimum and maximum trait performance
<sup>e</sup> Coefficient of variation
overlapping or flanking Hsp segments, the associations were summarized to seven putative QTLs, among which five QTLs revealed a significant line main and line × environment interaction effect simultaneously. At two QTLs on chromosome 4H, QAa.S42IL-4H.a and QAa.S42IL-4H.b, a favorable Hsp effect was detected. Here, the α-amylase activity of the S42ILs -116 and -124 was enhanced by 20.0 and 16.2%, respectively, relative to the recurrent parent ‘Scarlett’. A maximum reduction of trait performance of -32.5% was measured at QAa.S42IL-5H.a for S42IL-125 containing an exotic introgression in the region 5H, 43–69 cM (Table 4).

Fine-grind extract (FGE)

A total of seven significant line × phenotype associations on chromosomes 1H, 4H, 6H and 7H, summarized to four QTL effects, were detected for FGE. At all QTLs, both, the line main and the line × environment interaction effect were significant, and the Hsp introgression was associated with a decreased FGE compared to ‘Scarlett’. A maximum reduction of trait performance of -32.5% was measured at QAa.S42IL-5H.a for S42IL-125 containing an exotic introgression in the region 5H, 43–69 cM (Table 4).

Friability (FRI)

For FRI, six significant line × phenotype associations were detected on chromosomes 1H and 4H. In two cases, represented by S42ILs -118 and -119, the effect was solely significant in environment D07 (Table 4). The six significant associations could be summarized to two QTL effects. The exotic introgression reduced the trait value by a maximum of -20.0% in S42IL-102, carrying a Hsp segment in the chromosomal region 1H, 0–85 cM.

Grain protein content (GPC)

For GPC, six significant line × phenotype associations, summarized to four putative QTLs, were identified on chromosomes 1H, 4H, 6H and 7H. Five associations showed both, a significant line main and a line × environment interaction effect, whereas S42IL-128 exhibited solely a significant line main effect. At QGpc.S42IL-6H.a the Hsp segment of S42IL-129, present in the region 6H, 96–112 cM, caused a maximum increase of GPC of 8.9%, relative to the control. Other strong exotic increasing effects were measured at QGpc.S42IL-4H.a and QGpc.S42IL-7H.a (7.7 and 7.4%, respectively).

Grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm (GSF)

Seventeen significant line × phenotype associations were identified for GSF on all chromosomes with the exception of 5H and 6H. Due to the overlapping or flanking of several introgressions, a total of ten putative QTLs were identified, among which three QTLs each were mapped to chromosomes 2H and 4H. At seven loci the line main and the line × environment interaction effect were significant. In contrast, two QTLs were solely detected as line main effects, and one QTL (QGsf.S42IL-3H.a) only as interaction effect in environment D07. At QGsf.S42IL-2H.a, QGsf.S42IL-2H.c and QGsf.S42IL-4H.a, the exotic introgression caused a favorable increase of GSF by 8.4, 6.7 and 6.2%, respectively. The strongest unfavorable effect on GSF was exhibited by S42IL-122, carrying an Hsp segment on chromosome 4H, 125–132 cM. Here, the trait performance was reduced by 14.1%.

Kolbach index (KOL)

Altogether eleven S42ILs on all chromosomes except 2H revealed a significant line × phenotype association for KOL. Due to the overlapping or flanking of several

Table 3 Pearson correlation coefficients (r) between eight malting quality traits in 39 S42ILs

| Traits | FGE | FRI | GPC | GSF  | KOL | VIS  | VZ45 |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|
| AA     | 0.06| 0.69***| -0.44***| -0.55***| 0.86***| -0.55***| 0.83***|
| FGE    | 0.30***| -0.52***| 0.46***| 0.18*  | 0.22* | 0.25**|
| FRI    | -0.69***| -0.48***| -0.69***| -0.64***| 0.73***|
| GPC    | 0.22* | -0.60***| -0.69***| -0.18*  | -0.67***|
| GSF    | -0.60***| -0.69***| -0.69***| -0.64***| 0.73***|
| KOL    | -0.59***| -0.59***| -0.67***| -0.64***| -0.46***|
| VIS    | -0.37***| -0.37***| -0.67***| -0.64***| -0.46***|

The malting quality traits are defined in Table 1. For calculating correlation coefficients, the least squares means of the trait performance of each IL were averaged across replications and environments. The r values are significant with * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 or *** P < 0.001.
| Trait | QTL in S42IL | Chr.<sup>b</sup> | Introg <sup>c</sup> (in cM)<sup>d</sup> | Bin range<sup>e</sup> | Introgression line<sup>f</sup> | Effect<sup>g</sup> | LSMEANS<sup>h</sup> (IL<sup>i</sup>) | Diff<sup>g</sup> | RP [S42IL (%)]<sup>j</sup> | QTL in parent population S42<sup>k</sup> | Candidate genes<sup>l</sup> |
|-------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| AA    | QAa.S42IL-1H.a | 1H              | 0–14           | 1–2             | S42IL-101     | L + I          | 373.0              | -82.6           | -18.1             | QGa.S42.LH.a        |                      |
|       | QAa.S42IL-1H.b | 1H              | 0–85           | 1–9             | S42IL-102     | L + I          | 332.0              | -123.6          | -27.1             | QGa.S42.LH.a        |                      |
|       | QAa.S42IL-4H.a | 4H              | 14–31          | 2–4             | S42IL-116     | L + I          | 546.9              | 91.3             | 20.0              | QGa.S42-4H.a       |                      |
|       | QAa.S42IL-4H.b | 4H              | 170–190        | 12–13           | S42IL-124     | L + I          | 529.4              | 73.9             | 16.2              | QGa.S42-4H.c       | Bmy1<sup>1</sup>      |
|       | QAa.S42IL-5H.a | 5H              | 43–69          | 5–7             | S42IL-125     | L + I          | 307.7              | -147.9           | -32.5             | QGa.S42-5H.b       | Dhn1/Dhn2<sup>2</sup> |
|       | QAa.S42IL-5H.b | 5H              | 40–112         | 3–9             | S42IL-126     | L + I          | 361.6              | -94.0            | -20.6             | QGa.S42-5H.b       | Dhn1/Dhn2<sup>2</sup>, HvChf3<sup>3</sup> |
|       | QAa.S42IL-6H.a | 6H              | 112–135        | 9–10            | S42IL-130     | L + I          | 387.7              | -67.9            | -14.9             | QGa.S42-6H.a       | Amy1<sup>4</sup>       |
|       | QAa.S42IL-7H.a | 7H              | 40–112         | 3–9             | S42IL-128     | L + I          | 379.7              | -117.8           | -28.6             | QGa.S42-7H.a       | Amy1<sup>4</sup>       |
| FGE   | QFge.S42IL-1H.a | 1H              | 0–85           | 1–9             | S42IL-102     | L + I          | 79.1               | -2.6             | -3.2              | QFge.S42-1H.a,     | Hor genes<sup>5</sup> |
|       | QFge.S42IL-4H.a | 4H              | 80–95          | 7–8             | S42IL-121     | L + I          | 79.6               | -2.1             | -2.6              | QFge.S42-1H.b      |                      |
|       | QFge.S42IL-6H.a | 6H              | 40–112         | 3–9             | S42IL-128     | L + I          | 79.8               | -1.9             | -2.3              | QFge.S42-4H.a      |                      |
|       | QFge.S42IL-7H.a | 7H              | 40–112         | 3–9             | S42IL-126     | L + I          | 79.8               | -1.9             | -2.3              | QFge.S42-4H.a      |                      |
| FRI   | QFri.S42IL-1H.a | 1H              | 0–85           | 1–9             | S42IL-102     | L + I          | 59.3               | -14.9            | -20.0             | QFri.S42-1H.a      | Gib1<sup>6</sup>       |
|       | QFri.S42IL-4H.a | 4H              | 31–57          | 4–6             | S42IL-118     | L + I          | 58.7<sup>0.007</sup> | -12.9           | -18.0             | QFri.S42-4H.a      |                      |
|       | QFri.S42IL-6H.a | 6H              | 31–95          | 4–8             | S42IL-119     | L + I          | 58.0<sup>0.007</sup> | -13.6           | -19.0             | QFri.S42-6H.a      |                      |
|       | QFri.S42IL-7H.a | 7H              | 40–112         | 7–8             | S42IL-121     | L + I          | 65.6               | -8.6             | -11.6             | QFri.S42-7H.a      |                      |
| GPC   | QGpc.S42IL-1H.a | 1H              | 0–85           | 1–9             | S42IL-102     | L + I          | 12.6               | 0.7              | 5.9               | QGpc.S42-1H.a,     | Hor genes<sup>5</sup> |
|       | QGpc.S42IL-4H.a | 4H              | 31–57          | 4–6             | S42IL-118     | L + I          | 12.7               | 0.8              | 6.6               | QGpc.S42-4H.a      |                      |
|       | QGpc.S42IL-6H.a | 6H              | 31–95          | 4–8             | S42IL-119     | L + I          | 12.8               | 0.9              | 7.7               | QGpc.S42-6H.a      |                      |
|       | QGpc.S42IL-7H.a | 7H              | 146–166        | 8–11            | S42IL-137     | L + I          | 12.8               | 0.9              | 7.4               | QGpc.S42-7H.a      |                      |
### Table 4 continued

| Trait | QTL in S42IL Chromosome | Chr | Intergression (in cM) | Bin range | Intergression line | Effect | LSMEANS (IL) | Diff. | RP [S42IL] (%) | QTL in parent population S42 | Candidate genes  |
|-------|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------|--------------|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| GSF   | QGsf.S42IL-1H.a         | 1H  | 0–85                 | 1–9       | S42IL-102         | L + I  | 69.5         | -10.1| -12.7         |                             | Hor genes `4` |
|       |                         | 1H  | 39–70                | 6–7       | S42IL-103         | L + I  | 74.6         | -5.1 | -6.4          |                             |                 |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-2H.a         | 2H  | 17–42                | 2–4       | S42IL-107         | L + I  | **86.4**     | **6.7**| **8.4**       |                             | Ppd-H1 `6` |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-2H.b         | 2H  | 17–92                | 2–8       | S42IL-108         | I      | 85.7 `9`     | -5.6 | -6.1          |                             | Ppd-H1 `6` |
|       |                         | 2H  | 67–92                | 6–8       | S42IL-109         | L + I  | 70.1         | -9.6 | -12.0         |                             |                 |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-2H.c         | 2H  | 80–86                | 7–8       | S42IL-110         | L      | **85.0**     | **5.3**| **6.7**       |                             |                 |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-3H.a         | 3H  | 130–175              | 10–15     | S42IL-114         | I      | 72.8 `9`     | -8.3 | -10.2         |                             | sdw1 (dense) `7` |
|       |                         | 3H  | 155–190              | 13–16     | S42IL-115         | I      | 72.9 `9`     | -8.1 | -10.0         |                             |                 |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-4H.a         | 4H  | 31–95                | 4–8       | S42IL-119         | L      | **84.6**     | **4.9**| **6.2**       |                             |                 |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-4H.b         | 4H  | 80–95                | 7–8       | S42IL-121         | I      | 80.5 `9`     | -10.9| -11.9         |                             |                 |
|       |                         | 4H  | 125–132              | 9–10      | S42IL-122         | L + I  | 68.5         | -11.2| -14.1         |                             |                 |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-4H.c         | 4H  | 170–190              | 12–13     | S42IL-123         | L + I  | 73.3         | -6.4 | -8.0          |                             |                 |
|       | GSF                      | 7H  | 62–75                | 5         | S42IL-134         | L + I  | 73.7         | -5.9 | -7.5          |                             | Vrn-H2 `7` |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-7H.a         | 7H  | 75–155               | 5–10      | S42IL-135         | L      | 74.4         | -5.3 | -6.6          |                             | HvCO1 `9` |
|       |                         | 7H  | 146–166              | 8–11      | S42IL-137         | L + I  | 71.5         | -8.2 | -10.3         |                             | eps `7L` |
|       | QGsf.S42IL-7H.b         | 7H  | 166–181              | 11–12     | S42IL-138         | L + I  | 73.6         | -6.1 | -7.7          |                             |                 |
|       | KOL                      | 1H  | 0–85                 | 1–9       | S24IL-102         | L + I  | 39.1         | -5.1 | -11.5         |                             | Hor genes `4` |
|       |                         | 1H  | 39–70                | 6–7       | S24IL-103         | L + I  | 41.1         | -3.1 | -7.0          |                             |                 |
|       | QKol.S42IL-1H.a         | 1H  | 70–85                | 7–9       | S24IL-105         | L + I  | 40.5         | -3.6 | -8.2          |                             |                 |
|       |                         | 3H  | 155–190              | 13–16     | S42IL-115         | I      | 38.8 `9`     | -2.9 | -7.0          |                             | CepB `9` |
|       | QKol.S42IL-4H.a         | 4H  | 14–31                | 2–4       | S42IL-116         | L      | **46.4**     | **2.2**| **5.1**       |                             |                 |
|       | QKol.S42IL-4H.b         | 4H  | 80–95                | 7–8       | S42IL-121         | L + I  | 39.7         | -4.5 | -10.1         |                             |                 |
|       | QKol.S42IL-5H.a         | 5H  | 43–69                | 5–7       | S42IL-125         | L + I  | 40.9         | -3.2 | -7.3          |                             |                 |
|       |                         | 5H  | 69–85                | 7–8       | S42IL-126         | L + I  | 41.0         | -3.1 | -7.1          |                             |                 |
|       | QKol.S42IL-6H.a         | 6H  | 96–112               | 5–9       | S42IL-129         | L + I  | 41.3         | -2.8 | -6.4          |                             |                 |
|       |                         | 6H  | 112–135              | 9–10      | S42IL-130         | I      | 38.3 `9`     | -3.7 | -8.8          |                             |                 |
|       | QKol.S42IL-7H.a         | 7H  | 50                   | 3         | S42IL-133         | I      | 37.6 `9`     | -4.5 | -10.6         |                             |                 |
|       | VIS                      | 1H  | 0–85                 | 1–9       | S42IL-102         | L + I  | 1.55        | 0.07 | 5.0          | QVis.S42-1H.a              | Gib1 `10`      |
|       |                         | 1H  | 39–70                | 6–7       | S42IL-103         | L + I  | 1.56        | 0.08 | 5.6          | QVis.S42-1H.a              |                 |
|       |                         | 1H  | 70–85                | 7–9       | S42IL-105         | I      | 1.52 `9`     | 0.07 | 4.5          | QVis.S42-1H.a              |                 |
|       | QVis.S42IL-6H.a         | 6H  | 112–155              | 9–10      | S42IL-130         | I      | 1.62 `9`     | 0.09 | 5.6          | QVis.S42-6H.a              | Amy1 `2`       |
Table 4 continued

| Trait| QTL in S42IL | Chr.b | Introgession (in cM)c | Bin ranged | Introgession line | Effecte | LSMEANS (IL)f | Diff.g | RP [S42IL] (%)h | QTL in parent population S42i | Candidate genesj |
|------|-------------|-------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| VZ45 | QVZ45.S42IL-1H.a | 1H    | 0–85                 | 1–9         | S42IL-102        | L + I   | 43.1           | −4.7   | −9.8           | QVZ45.S42-1H.a              | Hor genesd       |
|      |             | 1H    | 39–70                | 6–7         | S42IL-103        | L + I   | 42.8           | −5.0   | −10.5          | QVZ45.S42-1H.a              |                  |
|      |             | 1H    | 70–85                | 7–9         | S42IL-105        | L + I   | 44.2           | −3.7   | −7.6           | QVZ45.S42-1H.a              |                  |
|      | QVZ45.S42IL-4H.a | 4H    | 80–95                | 7–8         | S42IL-121        | L + I   | 44.5           | −3.3   | −6.9           | QVZ45.S42-4H.a              |                  |
|      | QVZ45.S42IL-5H.a | 5H    | 43–69                | 5–7         | S42IL-125        | L + I   | 43.0           | −4.9   | −10.1          | QVZ45.S42-5H.b              |                  |
|      |             | 5H    | 69–85                | 7–8         | S42IL-126        | L + I   | 43.8           | −4.0   | −8.4           | QVZ45.S42-5H.b              |                  |
|      | QVZ45.S42IL-6H.a | 6H    | 40–112               | 3–9         | S42IL-128        | L       | 44.6           | −3.3   | −6.8           | Amy1d                      |                  |

Favorable QTL effects of exotic introgressions are given in bold

- **a** Abbreviations of traits, see Table 1
- **b** Chromosomal location of the target introgression
- **c** Chromosomal extent of the target introgression in centiMorgans
- **d** Bin range of the exotic introgression of the respective S42IL according to information by Kleinhofs and Graner (2001) and by the OWB population (Costa et al. 2001, http://barleyworld.org)
- **e** In the two-factorial ANOVA, significant line × phenotype associations ($P < 0.05$) were detected as line main effect (L) or line × environment interaction effect (I)
- **f** If a line main effect (L) was detected, the LSMEANS [IL] across all environments is listed. If solely a line × environment interaction effect (I) was identified, the LSMEANS [IL] in the particular environment (D07, G07 or H07) is assigned
- **g** Score difference = LSMEANS [IL] − LSMEANS [Scarlett]
- **h** Relative performance: $RP \ [S42IL] = (LSMEANS \ [S42IL] − LSMEANS \ [Scarlett]) \times 100/LSMEANS \ [Scarlett]$
- **i** Reference: von Korff et al. (2008); The traits GSF and KOL were not tested in von Korff et al. (2008)
- **j** References: 1Clark et al. (2003); 2Choi et al. (2000); 3Choi et al. (2002); 4Rostoks et al. (2005); 5Distelfeld et al. (2008); 6Tumer et al. (2005); 7Laurie et al. (1995); 8Griffiths et al. (2003); 9Guerin et al. (1994); 10MacLeod et al. (1991)
Detection and verification of QTL effects

The objectives of this study were (1) to verify QTLs affecting malting quality parameters which were previously detected within the parent population S42 (von Korff et al. 2008), (2) to identify new QTLs, and (3) to assess S42ILs revealing multiple QTL effects. By association analysis, altogether 68 significant line × phenotype associations, summarized to 40 putative QTLs, were identified using the S42IL set. Since only at six out of 40 QTLs (15.0%) the exotic introgression was associated with an improved trait performance, a strong selection on favorable malting quality alleles during the breeding process can be assumed. This observation is in contrast to a previous QTL study where the agronomic performance of the S42IL set was evaluated. In the latter case, a high portion of the detected QTLs (40.4%) for yield related traits revealed a favorable Hsp effect (Schmelenbach et al. 2009). This discrepancy possibly indicates that the selective breeding for malting quality might have been stronger than for agronomic performance. Alternatively, the genetic diversity in exotic barley might be higher for agronomic parameters than for malting quality.

The six traits AA, FGE, FRI, GPC, VIS and VZ45 were investigated within the S42ILs as well as in the parent population S42. In addition, the S42ILs were evaluated with regard to GSF and KOL. In population S42, von Korff et al. (2008) identified a total of 45 putative QTLs for the six corresponding traits, among which one-third showed a favorable effect of the exotic allele. Thirty-six out of the 45 QTLs (80.0%) are located in chromosomal regions which are represented by Hsp segments in S42ILs. Hence, these 36 QTL effects are comparable with QTLs detected in the S42IL set. To enable the verification of the remaining QTLs of population S42, introgression lines carrying the donor allele in these regions are currently under construction and will in future be subjected to malting quality analysis. As listed in Table 4, half of the 36 QTLs of the parent population S42 were confirmed by the evaluated S42ILs. For the traits AA and VZ45 all QTLs except one were verified, whereas for VIS and FRI two out of seven QTLs (28.6%) were confirmed. At 15 out of the 18 verified QTLs (83.3%), an Hsp effect of the same direction, i.e. either an increase or a reduction of the trait value caused by the exotic genotype, was measured in both populations. For 12 out of these 15 consistent QTLs (80.0%), at least one S42IL revealed a higher relative trait performance in comparison to the control ‘Scarlett’ than assessed for the corresponding QTL in population S42 (see also von Korff et al. 2008).

For traits AA, FGE, GPC and VZ45, altogether eight new QTL effects, previously not assessed in population S42, were identified in the S42IL set. All these QTLs exhibited an unfavorable Hsp effect. In addition, a total of 17 QTLs affecting the newly investigated traits GSF or KOL were mapped by S42ILs. At four QTLs (23.5%), the exotic introgression was associated with a favorable increase of the trait value compared to ‘Scarlett’.

Inconsistent results of the two compared studies might be explained by different hypotheses. It could be that interactions between non-linked Hsp alleles, present in the S42 population, are no more existent in the S42ILs. Since
the S42ILs carry single exotic segments, whereas the BC$_3$DH lines of population S42 contain several independent introgressions, potential epistatic effects are expected to be reduced in the S42ILs. Furthermore, contradictory results of the two compared studies might be attributed to genotype x environment interactions.

In the following, the QTL results of the present study are discussed in detail. They are compared to significant effects, detected in population S42 (von Korff et al. 2008) and in other malting quality QTL studies, and are related to possible candidate genes which are located in the same chromosomal region.

### \( \alpha \)-amylase activity

In population S42 von Korff et al. (2008) detected six putative QTLs which map to chromosomal regions represented by exotic introgressions of S42ILs. All these QTLs, with the exception of QAa.S42-4H.b, were confirmed by S42ILs, and at four out of the five verified QTLs an effect with the same direction was assessed in both populations. In contrast, at QAa.S42IL-6H.a/ QAa.S42-6H.a the Hsp genotype caused a decrease of \( \alpha \) in S42IL-130, but a favorable increase in population S42 (−14.9 and 16.0% relative to ‘Scarlett’, respectively). Two favorable exotic QTL effects, stable across all tested environments in both populations, were localized on chromosome 4H (Table 4). Here, \( \alpha \)-amylase activity was enhanced by a maximum of 20.0% at QAa.S42IL-4H.a in S42IL-116, and by 16.3% at QAa.S42-4H.c in population S42. The region 4H, 170–190 cM, harboring QAa.S42IL-4H.b and QAa.S42-4H.c, corresponds to the locus of Bmy1, coding for \( \beta \)-amylase (Clark et al. 2003). Different hypotheses, possibly explaining the association between QTLs for \( \alpha \)-amylase activity and Bmy1 are discussed by von Korff et al. (2008). For instance, it could be assumed that this gene might have a pleiotropic effect. In both studies compared, the strongest unfavorable Hsp effect, stable across all environments, was mapped to the region 5H, 43–69 cM (QAa.S42IL-5H.a and QAa.S42-5H.b, respectively). Here, the exotic genotype was associated with an enzyme activity reduced by −32.5% in S42IL-125 and −21.5% in population S42. Several stress related genes like Dhn1/Dhn2 (Choi et al. 2000) and HvChf3 (Choi et al. 2002) also map to this region on chromosome 5H. They might be associated with a high thermostability of \( \alpha \)-amylase, and, thus, with a preserved enzyme activity during the mashing process. As shown in Table 4, a further decreasing Hsp effect on AA was identified in both populations in the chromosomal region 6H, 112–135 cM, which coincides with Amy1 encoding for one of the two known forms of \( \alpha \)-amylase (Rostoks et al. 2005).

As shown in Table 3, a strong positive genetic correlation of 0.86 was assessed between AA and KOL. This coincides with the co-localization of five QTLs on all chromosomes except 2H and 3H, all revealing an Hsp effect of the same direction on both traits. Furthermore, two QTLs on chromosome 1H and 5H were associated with a reducing Hsp effect on AA as well as on VZ45. These consistent effects correspond to a positive correlation of 0.83 between both traits.

### Fine-grind extract and Hartong 45°C

For FGE, altogether four QTL effects were localized in population S42 on chromosomes 1H, 3H and 7H. All QTLs are located within genomic regions which are covered by exotic introgressions of S42ILs. The two QTLs QFge.S42-1H.a and QFge.S42-1H.b were confirmed by QFge.S42IL-1H.a, which showed significant line x phenotype associations for S42ILs-102, -103 and -105 (Table 4). In this QTL region, a maximum reduction of trait performance caused by the Hsp genotype was assessed in population S42 as well as in the S42ILs (−2.2 and −3.2%, respectively). Furthermore, the QTLs were stable across all environments in both populations. In the same region, Thomas (2003) revealed a QTL “hot-spot” harboring QTLs for the malting parameter hot water extract from five different barley crosses.

In addition to the confirmed QTLs, altogether three new significant effects on FGE were identified on chromosomes 4H, 6H and 7H by S42ILs. The location of QFge.S42IL-6H.a coincides with the Amy1 locus (Rostoks et al. 2005) as well as with a second QTL cluster for hot water extract which was mapped on the ‘Steptoe’ × ‘Morex’ map (Thomas 2003). The locus of Amy2, coding for the second form of \( \alpha \)-amylase, corresponds to the newly identified QTL QFge.S42IL-7H.a (Rostoks et al. 2005).

In population S42, three putative QTLs affecting VZ45 were mapped to chromosomal regions which are already represented by S42ILs. Two QTL effects (66.7%) were confirmed within the present study. In both populations, the exotic genotype caused a maximum decrease of VZ45 on the top of chromosome 1H (−9.1% at QVZ45.S42-1H.a and −10.5% at QVZ45.S42IL-1H.a). Within the S42IL set, two new QTLs were localized in the regions 4H, 80–112 cM and 6H, 40–112 cM. Both effects were stable across all three tested environments and exhibited an unfavorable Hsp effect.

In the present study, a low positive correlation between FGE and VZ45 was measured \((r = 0.25)\). In contrast, the traits exhibited a strong positive correlation \((r = 0.58)\) in population S42 (von Korff et al. 2008) which was expected since both parameters characterize the activity of cytolytic and proteolytic malt enzymes, and, thus, are closely linked.
As shown in Table 3, VZ45 indeed revealed high positive correlations with KOL, AA and FRI in the S42IL study \((r = 0.92, 0.83 \text{ and } 0.73, \text{ respectively})\). This coincides with multiple QTL effects on these traits, which are predominantly located on chromosomes 1H and 5H (Fig. 1). One QTL cluster, localized in the region 1H, 0–85 cM, corresponds to the map location of several Hor genes coding for different forms of hordein, the major storage protein in barley (Rostoks et al. 2005).

**Friability and viscosity**

Von Korff et al. (2008) assessed seven QTLs for FRI which are located in chromosomal regions represented by S42ILs. As listed in Table 4, two out of these seven QTLs (28.6%) were confirmed in the present study and revealed a reducing Hsp effect on trait performance in both populations. At QFri.S42-1H.a/QFri.S42IL-1H.a, the exotic genotype decreased FRI by a maximum of 19.4 and 20.0\%
in population S42 and S42IL-102, respectively. In both verified QTL regions on chromosomes 1H and 4H, Li et al. (2005) identified a QTL effect on friability. Here, the allele of the Hsp accession ‘HS213’ was associated with an increased trait value.

In population S42, seven QTLs affecting VIS were mapped to chromosomal regions, which are already represented by the S42IL set. Two out of these seven QTLs (28.6%) were confirmed by S42ILs. QVis.S42IL-1H.a and QVis.S42IL-1H.a are located within the chromosomal region 1H, 0–85 cM, which exhibited multiple QTL effects on several traits simultaneously. As described above, one QTL for FRI, associated with a reducing Hsp effect, also maps to this region. This coincides with the strong negative correlation between FRI and VIS (r = −0.64, Table 3). Both traits, FRI and VIS, are cytolytic parameters which are mainly affected by the breakdown of β-glucan, the major constituent of barley endosperm cell walls. Indeed, Han et al. (1995) and Zwickert-Menteur et al. (1996) mapped several QTLs for β-glucan content and β-glucanase activity in the ‘Steptoe’/‘Morex’ population to the described region on chromosome 1H. These findings correspond to the locus of Glbl encoding for (1→3, 1→4)-β-glucan 4-glucanohydrolase (MacLeod et al. 1991). The second verified QTL for VIS was mapped to the genomic region 6H, 112–155 cM, and revealed an Hsp effect with an opposed direction in the two compared populations. This could be due to the fact, that in the present study this effect was significant solely in environment G07.

Grain protein content and Kolbach index

Grain protein content is one of the major determinants of malting quality. For GPC, von Korff et al. (2008) identified nine QTLs which were located within chromosomal regions already covered by S42ILs. Indeed, five out of these nine QTLs (55.6%) were confirmed by the present study, all exhibiting an increasing Hsp effect. As mentioned above, the region 1H, 0–85 cM, harboring QPro.S42-1H.b and QGpc.S42IL-1H.a, corresponds to the map position of several Hor genes (Rostoks et al. 2005). A different organization of these genes could possibly explain the variation in GPC between the exotic and the elite genotype. Pelger et al. (1993) detected differences regarding the number of segregating Hor loci both between two different wild barley species and between them and several forms of H. vulgare.

In the S42ILs as well as in population S42, QTL effects on GPC were localized on chromosome arm 6HS. These findings coincide with the localization of QTLs for GPC, nitrogen storage, and nitrogen remobilization detected in a RIL population which was derived from a cross between high- and low-GPC barley cultivars (See et al. 2002; Mickelson et al. 2003). As See et al. (2002) reported, a major QTL for GPC was localized near SSR marker HvM74. In our present study, this marker maps to the chromosomal region showing the strongest QTL effect on this trait (QGpc.S42IL-6H.a). Based on different studies conducted in wheat and barley, Distelfeld et al. (2008) assumed that sequence polymorphism in the barley NAC transcription factor HvNAM-1 could be a possible explanation for this QTL. The wheat ortholog of HvNAM-1 was cloned by Uauy et al. (2006) and proved to be responsible for the regulation of grain protein content in wheat. The exotic HvNAM-1 gene, which was mapped onto the S42ILs -128 and -129 (data not shown) is thus a strong candidate to explain the observed effect on GPC in our study. Further transformation experiments will be conducted to test for this hypothesis.

In addition to the verified QTLs, one new QTL for GPC, revealing an unfavorable exotic effect, was mapped to chromosome 7H (QGpc.S42IL-7H.a). In this region, a further QTL for protein content, also exhibiting an unfavorable Hsp effect, was assessed by Li et al. (2005).

For the newly investigated parameter KOL, seven QTL effects, among which one was associated with a favorable increasing effect of the exotic introgression, were mapped to all chromosomes except 2H. The four QTLs QKol-S42IL-1H.a, QKol.S42IL-3H.a, QKol.S42IL-4H.a and QKol.S42IL-5H.a were localized within the same genomic regions as QTLs for KOL identified in the populations ‘Dictoo’/‘Morex’ and ‘Harrington’/‘Morex’ (Oziel et al. 1996; Marquez-Cedillo et al. 2000). The parameter Kolbach index is conform to the soluble protein/total protein ratio and provides an indication of the proteolytic enzyme content of malt. In fact, QKol.S42IL-3H.a maps to the same chromosome arm as CepB, a gene encoding for malt endopeptidase 1 which hydrolyses hordein (Guerin et al. 1992, 1994).

Grain sieving fraction > 2.5 mm

For the newly investigated trait GSF, altogether ten putative QTLs were identified by S42ILs, where three QTLs exhibited a favorable exotic effect. For nearly all these regions coincident QTLs can be found in the literature. In region 1H, 0–85 cM containing QGsf.S42IL-1H.a, several QTLs for grain size were identified in the populations ‘Blenheim’/‘E224/3’ and ‘Harrington’/‘Morex’ (Thomas et al. 1995; Powell et al. 1997; Marquez-Cedillo et al. 2000). Since grain size is strongly influenced by the accumulation of storage material, like carbohydrates and proteins, during the grain-filling phase of the post-anthesis period (Coventry et al. 2003), different Hor loci, located within the same region, could be assumed to affect GSF. Furthermore, grain size is indirectly influenced by the
pre-anthesis period which length is affected by responses to photoperiod and temperature. Thus, as Coventry et al. (2003) underlined, different response genes might be involved in the determination of grain size. Indeed, the major photoperiod response gene of barley, \( \textit{Ppd-H1} \) (Turner et al. 2005), maps to the same genomic region as \( \text{QGsf.S42IL-2H.a and QGsf.S42IL-2H.b} \) (Table 4). Moreover, the location of \( \text{Vrn-H2} \), one of the barley vernalization response genes (Laurie et al. 1995), corresponds to \( \text{QGsf.S42IL-4H.c} \). On chromosome 4H, two further QTL effects (\( \text{QGsf.S42IL-4H.a and QGsf.S42IL-4H.b} \)) were identified in the present study, and are consistent with QTLs for kernel plumpness assessed in the populations ‘Harrington’/‘Morex’ and ‘Harrington’/‘TR306’ (Marquez-Cedillo et al. 2000; Mather et al. 1997). The barley photoperiod response gene \( \text{HvCO1} \) (Griffiths et al. 2003) and the ‘earliness per se’ gene \( \text{eps7L} \) (Laurie et al. 1995) could be assumed to have an effect on GSF at \( \text{QGsf.S42IL-7H.a and/or QGsf.S42IL-7H.b} \). Laurie et al. (1995) demonstrated a delay in flowering time in barley caused by the dwarfing gene \( \text{sdw1} \) (previously named \( \text{denso} \)), which maps to the long arm of chromosome 3H and, thus, could be a candidate gene for GSF at \( \text{QGsf.S42IL-3H.a} \). Here, a QTL effect on GSF was also detected by Thomas et al. (1995) and Powell et al. (1997).

Identification of malting quality QTL clusters

As illustrated in Fig. 1, several chromosomal regions exhibited multiple QTL effects. Two QTL clusters mapping to region 1H, 0–85 cM and 4H, 80–95 cM are evidently of particular interest as they harbor QTL effects for eight and six malting quality parameters simultaneously. In previous studies using the S42IL set, additional significant effects on the traits grains per ear, days until heading, plant height, lodging at harvest, and resistance to powdery mildew were also identified in both regions (Schmalenbach et al. 2008, 2009). In the present study, QTLs affecting seven or eight malting quality traits in region 1H, 0–85 cM were assessed in S42ILs-102, -103 and -105 (Fig. 1). In contrast, S42ILs-101 and -104 whose introgressions (1H, 0–14 cM and 1H, 52–70 cM, respectively) are completely represented by S42IL-102 revealed one and no significant effect, respectively. Due to these facts, it could be assumed that the exotic segment present in S42IL-103 is further extended towards the centromere than expected on the basis of SSR genotype data, whereas the introgression of S42IL-104 has the expected size. Thus, introgressions of S42ILs-102, -103 and -105 would cover the same genomic region harboring the QTL cluster. However, it is required to verify this hypothesis by genotyping the S42ILs with additional markers, and thus to define the extension of exotic introgressions more precisely.

One hypothesis explaining the occurrence of QTL clusters might be the presence of relatively few genes with pleiotropic effects. Several studies investigating the ‘domestication syndrome’ in different crop species have supported this assumption by identifying major QTLs as well as major genes directly associated with the domestication process (Bomblies and Doebley 2006; Koinange et al. 1996; Weeden 2007). In contrast, it could be proposed that numerous genes affecting different traits are closely linked within QTL complexes and were fixed during the domestication and breeding process (Xiong et al. 1999). It is advisable to dissect the malting quality QTL clusters identified in the present study and thus to unravel their genetic basis. So far, two malting quality QTL complexes located near the chromosome 4H telomere and in the chromosome 7H centromere region were fine-mapped using two sets of barley isolines (Gao et al. 2004; Han et al. 1997, 2004). By means of these lines, which carried either ‘Steptoe’ or ‘Morex’ segments of different size in the target region, the QTL complexes on chromosome 4H and 7H were resolved to intervals of 0.7–27.9 cM and 2.0–6.4 cM, respectively. A similar study could be conducted using the described S42ILs on chromosomes 1H and 4H as initial material for the development of so called SUB-ILs which possess smaller exotic introgressions.

Future prospects

Exemplified by malting quality, the present study indicates the usefulness of wild barley introgression lines for the localization and verification of QTLs. In future, additional S42ILs harboring exotic introgressions in so far missing genomic regions will be constructed. They could enable the detection of further QTL effects for malting quality as well as for agronomic parameters and disease resistances. In addition, the complete S42IL set will be extensively evaluated with regard to abiotic stress tolerance, i.e. tolerance to drought stress and nutrient deficiency. Through genotyping with Illumina SNPs (Rostoks et al. 2006) or Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) markers (Wenzl et al. 2006), a more precise characterization of the lines on the genome level is also intended. This will facilitate the selection of SUB-ILs with smaller \( \text{Hsp} \) introgressions, which could be a valuable resource for fine-mapping of QTL clusters and map-based cloning of promising QTL effects. As described above, clustered QTL effects for malting quality which were detected in S42ILs-102, -103, -105, and -121 on chromosomes 1H and 4H, respectively, would be of special interest for such high-resolution mapping approaches. Other studies will focus on the investigation of epistatic effects by constructing S42ILs carrying two or several exotic introgressions simultaneously. The first step towards such a set of lines has
already been done by crossing selected S42ILs. These lines could also be used for QTL pyramiding.
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