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Downregulation of ADAM10 Expression Inhibits Metastasis and Invasiveness of Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma HepG2 Cells
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Objective. This study aims to investigate the effects of ADAM10 expression on metastasis and invasiveness of human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells. Methods. The HepG2 cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10, respectively. Cell migration assay and Transwell invasiveness assay were performed to detect the effects of ADAM10 knockdown on migration and invasiveness of HepG2 cells. Western blotting and real-time RT PCR were performed to investigate the effects of knock-down of ADAM10 on protein and mRNA levels of E-cadherin gene. Results. Cell migration and invasiveness of HepG2 cells transfected with ADAM10 siRNA were significantly decreased, when compared with the cells transfected with the control siRNA, suggesting that the downregulation of ADAM10 expression inhibits cell migration and invasiveness. The Western blotting results suggest that the down-regulation of ADAM10 expression increases E-cadherin protein levels. The real-time RT-PCR results indicated that the mRNA level of E-cadherin is not detectably affected by the knock-down of ADAM10 gene. Conclusions. Expression of ADAM10 may be related to cell migration and invasiveness of human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells via a mechanism related to E-cadherin.

1. Introduction

The migration and invasiveness-related characteristics of hepatoma cells are usually influenced by the expression levels of a variety of cell membrane molecules [1–3]. The invasive growth and metastasis of tumor tissues can be achieved by the escape from the immunologic surveillance [4, 5]. Currently, there is no effective therapy for liver cancer patients, who are diagnosed with distant metastasis or unsuitable for surgery. Therefore, prevention of metastasis is one of the major aims of treatment for primary carcinoma of the liver. And therefore, the molecular targets and novel drugs are necessary to be studied.

Metastasis generally involves shedding of tumor cells from the primary sites and their infiltration into peripheral tissues, after which the cells can enter vasculature and form embolus [6–9]. Tumor infiltration is caused by various factors, where intercellular adhesion is reduced and thus tumor cells adhere to the basal membrane and then move out of the primary site as the extracellular matrix is degraded [10–12]. Cellular adhesion molecules play an important role in tumor metastasis.

The cell adhesion protein E-cadherin is a member of the superfamily of calcium-dependent cell adhesion proteins [13]. Decreased expression level of E-cadherin reduces intercellular adhesion, changes cellular polarity and morphology, and thus dissociates tumor cells, which then makes cells infiltrate into peripheral tissues [14]. It was demonstrated in vitro that when E-cadherin is added into tumor cell culture, the aggregated cells are dissociated with increased invasiveness and enhanced mobility [15]. The mobility of tumor cells is also influenced by extracellular matrix, the dissolution of which
may change the three-dimensional cell structure and result in dedifferentiated morphology and enhanced invasiveness and migration capability of the tumor cells [16]. The metalloproteinase region of the ADAMs family can degrade extracellular matrix and thus influence tumor progression [17, 18]. In this study, the effects of ADAM10-specific siRNAs on the migration capability and invasiveness of HepG2 cells are investigated. It is also determined whether and how the expression of E-cadherin is correlated with the migration and invasiveness of HepG2 cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cells and Reagents. Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells were provided by the Experimental Center of Biomedical Research, Medical College of Xi’an Jiaotong University. The cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich Co., Ltd., Irvine, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C with 5% CO2 and 100% humidity. Lipofectamine 2000 was purchased from Invitrogen Corporation, USA.

2.2. Cell Migration Assay. The Transwell chamber was put into a 24-well culture plate. Single-cell suspension (200 μL) containing HepG2 cells were added into the chamber to incubate for 24 h. The membrane was washed for three times, immersed in methanol, and left for 15 min at room temperature to fix the cells. The membrane was then immersed in Giemsa for 20–30 min at room temperature and washed with water. The cells that had migrated through the pores to the lower surface of the membrane were counted under microscope. The total number of cells in five vision fields, including the center and the four corner squares, was recorded for each sample.

2.3. Transwell Invasiveness Assay. Matrigel including laminin, heparin sulfate proteoglycan, TGF-β, entactin, and fibroblast growth factor was diluted with FBS-free DMEM with a ratio of 1:50. A volume of 50 μL of the diluted Matrigel was added to the Transwell bottom chamber. A volume of 50 μL of DMEM was also added to the chamber. After 30 min, HepG2 cells at exponential growth stage were treated with 0.25% trypsin and added with DMEM to prepare 1 × 10⁶/mL single-cell suspension. A Transwell chamber was put into a 24-well plate, and 600 μL of DMEM containing 10% FBS was added. A volume of 200 μL of the prepared single-cell suspension was also added into the Transwell chamber. The cells were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 24 h. All of the liquid was removed from the Transwell chamber and the bottom chamber. The cells on the upper surface of the Transwell membrane were also removed. The membrane was washed with PBS for three times, immersed in methanol and kept for 15 min at room temperature. The membrane was then immersed in Giemsa for 30 min at room temperature and washed with water. The cells that have migrated through the pores to the lower surface of the membrane were counted under microscope.

2.4. siRNA Interference. The human HepG2 cells were transfected with medium, the empty cDNA3.1 vector, or 80 pmol of siRNA against the human ADAM10 message (5'-AGACAUUAGAGAGAUATT-3') or a negative control siRNA (5'AGGUAGUUAUUGCCUUGTTT3') using X-tremeGENE (Roche, USA). Two days after delivery of the siRNA, the cells were transfected with 20 pmol ADAM10 siRNA (or control siRNA) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA). After 2 days, total protein and total RNAs were harvested and subjected to immunoblot or real-time RT-PCR analyses. The control siRNA and the ADAM10 siRNA were provided by Shanghai Allcare Biomedical Development Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.5. Real-Time Reverse Transcription-PCR (RT-PCR). Total RNAs were harvested from cells using the Trizol RNA extraction kit (Costar, USA). The RT-PCR reaction kit was purchased from Takara Biological Engineering Company (Japan). RNA (1 μL) was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using random primers in a Reverse Transcription system according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Expression of E-cadherin mRNAs was quantified by quantitative PCR using an ABI Prism Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, USA). An assay reagent containing premixed primers and a VIC-labeled probe (Applied Biosystems; cat# 4310884E) was used to detect expression of endogenous β-actin mRNA. Template-negative and RT-negative conditions were used as controls. Amplification of E-cadherin cDNAs and the endogenous β-actin cDNA were monitored by levels in FAM and VIC fluorescent intensities, respectively, using the ABI 7900 software. The relative amounts of E-cadherin transcript were normalized to the amount of β-actin mRNA in the same sample. The levels (mean value) of E-cadherin transcripts in cells were calculated (mean ± SD). E-cadherin primers (forward primer, 5' GCTCATCAATAAGCGGTA; backward primer, 5' GTTATGCGGATCTTAT) were synthesized by Shanghai Allcare Biomedical Development Co., Ltd. The RT-PCR experiments were repeated at least 3 times.

2.6. Western Blot Analysis. Total proteins were harvested from HepG2 cells, separated on 10% SDS/PAGE gels, and then subjected to immunoblot analyses. The primary antibodies against E-cadherin and β-actin were purchased from Santa Cruz, CA, USA (anti-E-cadherin, cat# sc-21791, 1:200; anti-ADAM10, cat# sc-28358, 1:50; anti-β-actin, cat# sc-130301, 1:10,000). Secondary antibody used in this study was goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (cat# sc-2005, 1:10,000, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Bound antibodies were detected using the ECL system (Pierce Biotechnology). The experiments were performed for at least 3 times.

2.7. Statistical Analyses. The results were analyzed with the statistical analysis software SPSS 13.0. The values were given as mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA was used for multigroup comparison with Student’s t-test. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
Figure 1: Downregulation of ADAM10 expression affects migration of HepG2 cells. The HepG2 cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10. The in vitro migration assay was performed. (a) The effect of ADAM10 knockdown on the migration capability of HepG2 cells. (b) Diagram of the effects of ADAM10 knock-down on the migration capability of HepG2 cells. The membrane was then immersed in Giemsa for 20–30 min at room temperature and washed with water. The cells that had migrated through the pores to the lower surface of the membrane were counted under microscope. The total number of cells in five vision fields including the center and the four corner squares was recorded for each sample. Migration ratios of HepG2 cells were calculated relative to the medium control. ∗P < 0.05 when compared with the medium control.

3. Results

3.1. Downregulation of ADAM10 Expression Inhibits Migration of HepG2 Cells. To determine if downregulation of ADAM10 protein affects migration of human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells, the cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10. As shown in Figure 1, the results of in vitro migration assay showed that there was no significant difference in the number of cells moving through the bottom pores among the blank group (transfected with medium only), the empty vector group, and the negative control siRNA group. However, the number of cells moving through the bottom pores in the ADAM10 siRNA group was reduced by 70.2%, 68.2%, and 71.3%, when compared with the blank group, the empty vector group, and the negative control group, respectively. The differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). These results suggest that down-regulation of ADAM10 expression inhibits migration of HepG2 cells.

3.2. Down-Regulation of ADAM10 Expression Inhibits Invasiveness of HepG2 Cells. To determine if the knock-down of ADAM10 by siRNA affects expression of E-cadherin, the cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10. As shown in Figure 2, the result of the cell invasiveness assay using Transwell basement membrane kit showed that there was no significant difference in the number of cells that had passed through the simulated basement membrane among the blank group, the empty vector group, and the negative control siRNA group. However, the number of cells that had passed through the simulated basement membrane in the ADAM10 siRNA group was reduced by 74.4%, 72.9%, and 69.3%, when compared with the blank group, the empty vector group, and the negative control group, respectively. The differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). These results suggest that down-regulation of ADAM10 expression inhibits invasiveness of HepG2 cells.

3.3. Down-Regulation of ADAM10 Expression Results in Increased Level of E-Cadherin Protein. To determine if the knock-down of ADAM10 by siRNA affects expression of E-cadherin, the cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10. The total proteins were extracted at 72 h after transfection, and Western blot analysis was performed. As shown in Figure 3, the levels of ADAM10 were decreased by about 30% upon transfection of siRNA against ADAM10 when compared with the medium control condition. Transfection of empty vector or control siRNA did not alter the ADAM10 levels significantly. There were no significant differences in E-cadherin protein expression level at 72 h after transfection among the cells transfected with medium, the empty vector, or the control siRNA. However, E-cadherin protein expression level in the cells transfected with the ADAM10 siRNA was significantly increased when compared with the levels in the cells transfected with medium, the empty vector, or the control siRNA. These results suggest that the down-regulation of ADAM10 expression increases E-cadherin protein levels.
3.4. Knockdown of ADAM10 by siRNA Does Not Affect mRNA Level of E-Cadherin. To determine if the knock-down of ADAM10 by siRNA affects expression of E-cadherin, the cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10. The total RNAs were extracted at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h after transfection and real-time RT-PCR was performed. In RT-PCR detection, relative quantification method was used for the determination of E-cadherin mRNA changes with \( \beta \)-actin serving as an internal reference. As given in Table 1, there were no significant differences of E-cadherin mRNA expression levels among cells transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10 at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h after transfection (\( P > 0.05 \)). These results suggest that knock-down of ADAM10 by siRNA does not affect mRNA level of E-cadherin.

4. Discussion

The present study has investigated changes of the invasiveness and migration capability of HepG2 cells and the changes in the expression levels of the cell adhesion molecule of E-cadherin when ADAM10 expression was down-regulated. Cell migration assays were performed to investigate the effect of the inhibited ADAM10 expression on the mobility of HepG2 cells. The results suggested that migration of HepG2 cells was decreased upon knock-down of ADAM10. The reduced expression level of ADAM10 may decrease the interaction between its disintegrin region and integrins, thus decreasing the migration of tumor cells. Decreased expression level of ADAM10 may decrease the degradation of extracellular matrix, and thus restoring the three-dimensional structure of the matrix. It is therefore indicated that ADAM10 may play a role in the migration of HepG2 cells.

This study also indicated possible correlation between the migration of HepG2 cells and the expression level of E-cadherin. The protein expression level of E-cadherin in cells transfected with siRNA against ADAM10 was significantly higher (\( P < 0.05 \)) than that in the other 3 groups (cells transfected with medium, the empty vector, or the control siRNA). The result suggested a possible correlation between the decrease of ADAM10 protein expression and the increase of E-cadherin protein expression, which may be possibly explained by the decreased degradation of the cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin by the metalloproteinase region of ADAM10. However, the expression of E-cadherin was unchanged at the level of mRNAs, which indicated that the regulation of E-cadherin by ADAM10 should be performed at the posttranscriptional level.

Schirrmeister et al. [19] discovered that the dedifferentiation of gastric cancer cells and the decrease of intercellular adhesion were significantly correlated to hydrolysis of E-cadherin, where ADAM10 played an important role. Dittmer et al. [20] also found that down-regulation of ADAM10 expression could decrease the migration capability and adhesion between breast cancer cells, which was accompanied with decrease of E-cadherin level. The increased ADAM10 expression was also demonstrated to regulate the release of other intercellular adhesion molecules and thus to influence the behavior of the cells, and was significantly correlated to changes of tumor cell mobility in multiple
Table 1: E-cadherin mRNA levels (mean ± SD) in different groups of HepG2 cells transfected with ADAM10 siRNA or the control siRNA.

| Cells harvested at different time points | No transfection | Empty vector | Negative control siRNA | ADAM10 siRNA |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| HepG2 (24 h)                            | 1.0 ± 0.201     | 0.998 ± 0.205| 0.973 ± 0.255          | 0.938 ± 0.281|
| HepG2 (48 h)                            | 1.0 ± 0.304     | 0.976 ± 0.234| 0.948 ± 0.321          | 0.929 ± 0.264|
| HepG2 (72 h)                            | 1.0 ± 0.219     | 0.969 ± 0.294| 0.957 ± 0.285          | 1.012 ± 0.279|
| HepG2 (96 h)                            | 1.0 ± 0.229     | 0.958 ± 0.302| 0.968 ± 0.315          | 0.976 ± 0.322|

Figure 3: Down-regulation of ADAM10 expression results in increased level of E-cadherin protein. HepG2 cells were transfected with medium only, the empty vector, the control siRNA, or siRNA against ADAM10. The total proteins were prepared and immunoblot analysis was performed to analyze the expression of E-cadherin, ADAM10, and β-actin. The cellular β-actin served as a loading control. (a) Representative blots were shown. (b) The relative E-cadherin protein levels were given. The Experiments were repeated for more than 3 times. *P < 0.05 when compared with the level of E-cadherin in the medium control. #P < 0.05 when compared with the level of ADAM10 in the medium control.

Transwell simulated basement membrane assays were performed to investigate the effect of the inhibited ADAM10 expression on the invasiveness of HepG2 cells. The result showed that down-regulation of ADAM10 expression inhibits invasiveness of HepG2 cells. The change in invasiveness of tumor cells is subject to the effects of a variety of factors in human body. In this study, the decrease of cell invasiveness in the ADAM10 siRNA group may be related to less dissolution of the basement membrane and less destructuring of extracellular matrix caused by decreased level of ADAM10 expression. Meanwhile, the increased expression level of E-cadherin protein may also play a role in the alteration of invasiveness of HepG2 cells. It is reported [23] that E-cadherin expression levels in low-invasive tumor cells are significantly higher than in the high-invasive tumor cells.
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