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Introduction

The striatum is the input nucleus of the basal ganglia, a neuronal network crucial for action selection and motor control [1–3]. The vast majority of neurons that form the striatum are GABAergic projection neurons called medium spiny neurons (MSNs). It is well accepted that MSNs send their axons along two parallel and mostly exclusive pathways: either to the external segment of the globus pallidus via the indirect pathway, or to the substantia nigra pars reticulata and the internal segment of the globus pallidus via the direct pathway [4–7]. As their name implies, MSNs express a high density of dendritic spines with synapses [8]. MSNs also receive important inputs from dopaminergic (DAergic) neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta [9–12]. Although the extent and relevance of co-expression of D1 and D2 receptors in MSNs is still the subject of considerable debate [13,14], MSNs that form the direct pathway have consistently been found to express high amounts of D1 dopamine (DA) receptors and very little D2 DA receptors. Conversely, MSNs of the indirect pathway express high amounts of D2 DA receptors and very little D1 DA receptors [14–22]. Much of the available data on D1/D2 co-expression in MSNs has been obtained in mature animals, leaving the establishment of the DA receptor segregation through development mostly unexplored [7]. In addition, although MSN neurons in primary culture are a commonly used in vitro model, whether D1/D2 segregation is faithfully maintained in culture is unclear. For example, some groups have reported very high colocalization of D1 and D2 receptors in vitro with either binding assays [23] or immunolabeling [14,24–26], suggesting a loss of segregation in vitro [7]. Due to limitations in the sensitivity and selectivity of the techniques used to detect DA receptors in these previous studies, an examination of D1 and D2 gene expression using approaches such as transgenic reporter mice is warranted and could greatly clarify the issue.

Transgenic animals expressing reporter genes that encode fluorescent proteins, represent invaluable tools in the investigation of individual MSN populations [27–29]. In the present study, we crossed drd1a-tdTomato and drd2-GFP bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) transgenic mice to examine D1 and D2 reporter gene expression both in vivo and in vitro. We found that in vivo, at embryonic day 18 (E18), at birth (P0) and at postnatal day 14 (P14), there is never more than about 10% colocalization between the D1 and D2 reporter genes. Furthermore, we find a gradual decrease in the percentage of colocalization from E18 to P0 and P14, reaching levels under 5%. Additionally, we demonstrate that this segregation is maintained in vitro, independently of the identity of neuronal populations interacting with MSNs (mesencephalic and/or cortical neurons).
Materials and Methods

Animals

BAC transgenic drd1a-tdTmato [30] and drd2-GFP [31] mice, backcrossed on a C57BL/6 background, were maintained in individual colonies. Hemizygous animals from each strain were crossed to yield double-transgenic animals at the expected ratio of 25% per litter. For C57BL/6 animals (WT) were used in single-cell RT-PCR experiments. All experiments were approved by the Université de Montréal animal ethics committee (CDEA) (protocol #11–191). All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

Brain Slice Preparation

The rationale for selecting E18, P0 and P14 was as follows: P0 and P14 were used in order to establish a general comparison with primary cultures, which were obtained from P0 mouse brains and kept 2 weeks in culture before use; E18 was the earliest time point at which it was still possible to reliably dissect the dorsal striatum. For embryonic animals, gestating mice were anesthetized with halothane and quickly decapitated. Embryos were extracted and maintained at room temperature for 15 min before fixation at 4°C. For embryonic brains were incubated for 30 min in 4% PFA. For embryonic brains were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C. For embryonic brains, the striatal tissue block was incubated for 20 min at 37°C with trypsin in an ice-cold PBS solution containing (in mM): 140 NaCl, 2 KCl, 0.5 CaCl2, 2 MgCl2, 10 HEPES, 6 sucrose and 10 glucose, adjusted at pH 7.35. P0 pups were cryoanesthetized, and P14 pups were anesthetized with halothane. All brains were quickly harvested upon anesthesia and maintained in ice-cold saline solution for assessment of genotype under a fluorescence microscope; only pups showing both red (tdTomato) and green (GFP) fluorescence were selected for experiments. Double-transgenic brains were immediately fixed in 4% PFA for 48 hours at 4°C.

The dissociations were performed according to a previously described protocol [32]. Briefly, a block of dorsal striatum was manually dissected from double-transgenic brains that were harvested in the same manner as for slice preparation. For E18 and P0 brains, the striatal tissue block was incubated for 20 min at 37°C in papain (Worthington Biochemicals) and then mechanically dissociated into a cell suspension. Striatal blocks from P14 brains were incubated for 30 min at 30°C with trypsin in an oxygenated piperazine-N,N-bis(2-ethane sulfonic acid) (PIPES) solution containing (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2 KCl, 0.5 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 25 glucose, and 20 PIPES at pH 7.0. These blocks were then washed 2 times with an oxygenated PIPES solution containing 10% fetal bovine serum, then left to rest at room temperature in an oxygenated PIPES solution for 1 h before subsequent mechanical dissociation into a cell suspension. All striatal cell suspensions (E18, P0 and P14) were seeded on polyethyleneimine (PEI)-coated glass coverslips at a density ranging from 250 000 to 500 000 cells/mL, depending on yield, and maintained at room temperature for 15 min before fixation for 30 min in 4% PFA.

Acutely Dissociated Neurons
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Acutely Dissociated Neurons

The dissociations were performed according to a previously described protocol [32]. Briefly, a block of dorsal striatum was manually dissected from double-transgenic brains that were harvested in the same manner as for slice preparation. For E18 and P0 brains, the striatal tissue block was incubated for 20 min at 37°C in papain (Worthington Biochemicals) and then mechanically dissociated into a cell suspension. Striatal blocks from P14 brains were incubated for 30 min at 30°C with trypsin in an oxygenated piperazine-N,N-bis(2-ethane sulfonic acid) (PIPES) solution containing (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2 KCl, 0.5 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 25 glucose, and 20 PIPES at pH 7.0. These blocks were then washed 2 times with an oxygenated PIPES solution containing 10% fetal bovine serum, then left to rest at room temperature in an oxygenated PIPES solution for 1 h before subsequent mechanical dissociation into a cell suspension. All striatal cell suspensions (E18, P0 and P14) were seeded on polyethyleneimine (PEI)-coated glass coverslips at a density ranging from 250 000 to 500 000 cells/mL, depending on yield, and maintained at room temperature for 15 min before fixation for 30 min in 4% PFA.

Postnatal Primary Neuron Cultures

Cultures were prepared according to a previously described protocol [33]. Four different types of cultures were prepared. Briefly, dissociated neurons from the dorsal striatum (double-transgenic) and/or cortex (wild-type) and/or ventral mesencephalon (wild-type) of P0-P2 animals were seeded on a monolayer of cortical astrocytes (wild-type) grown on poly-L-lysine-coated glass coverslips. The total seeded neuron density was always 240,000 cells/mL broken down as follows (in cells/mL): 240,000 striatal cells for monocultures (Mono); 140,000 striatal cells plus 100,000 cortical cells for co-cortical cultures (CoCs); 140,000 striatal cells plus 100,000 mesencephalic cells for co-mesencephalic cultures (CoMs); 40,000 striatal cells plus 100,000 mesencephalic cells, plus 100,000 cortical cells for triple cultures (3x). The objective of using such ratios was to maintain a constant proportion of cortical and mesencephalic neurons between CoCx, CoMs and 3x, such that the influence of each population on DA receptor expression by MSNs would be relatively similar; the amount of striatal cells was therefore adjusted accordingly to maintain the same total cell density. These cultures, incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2, were maintained in Neurobasal medium enriched with 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% Glutamax, 2% B-27 supplement and 5% fetal bovine serum.

Immunostaining and Image Quantification

Brain sections, acutely dissociated neurons and primary cultured neurons were immunolabeled for tdTomato (D1-MSNs) and GFP (D2-MSNs) with the following antibodies: rabbit anti-RFP (Rockland, Gilbertsville, PA, USA, 1:500) detected with ALEXA 546 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA, 1:500) and chicken anti-GFP (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA, 1:1000) detected with ALEXA 488 goat anti-chicken (Molecular Probes, 1:500). Images were captured with a laser scanning confocal microscope (FV1000 MPE, Olympus) equipped with multi-argon and helium/neon lasers. For brain sections (P14 only), 6 microscopic fields of the dorsal striatum (3 per hemisphere, see Figure 1) were averaged together to obtain a single value (n) of each parameter (D1-labelled, D2-labelled, D1/D2-labelled) per section. Similarly, 5 and 6 images per coverslips of acutely dissociated or cultured neurons were averaged together (2 separate experiments for acutely dissociated, 4 for cultured neurons) to obtain each individual value (n). All quantifications were performed blindly by first evaluating neurons in individual detection channels to assess the distribution of D1 and D2 MSN populations, followed by merging the single images to identify and count doubly-labeled neurons. Double-labeled neurons are thus included in the D1 and D2 MSN counts. The values shown always represent a percentage of the total number of counted fluorescent neurons, as only D1- and/or D2-labeled cells were counted. To obtain the normalized intensity distribution curves of orthogonal planes (Fig. 1C–E), a straight line (width = 1 pixel) was first drawn across one typical neuron of each phenotype. For each channel, the intensity of all pixels in the line were then averaged together, normalized with the maximal intensity value of that channel and plotted for all planes of the Z-series.

Single-cell RT-PCR

Collection of acutely dissociated neurons was performed as previously described [34] from the dorsal part of the dorsal striatum of P0 and P14 WT mice. Half of the cDNA was used to amplify D1 mRNA. The other half was used to amplify D2S (short isoform) and/or D2L (long isoform) mRNAs. cDNA synthesis was performed as previously described [34]. A first round of PCR was carried out by using half of the RT reaction and 30 cycles at 55°C of annealing temperature. A second round of PCR was performed using 10% of the first PCR and 31 cycles at 55°C of annealing temperature. Primers were synthesized by AlphaDNA (Montreál, Québec). Nested PCRs were performed during the second round of amplification. The identity of PCR products was confirmed by sequencing. Primers were as follows: D1:5’-cctggttgctgccgtcctta-
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3' and 5' - ecagtaggctttcgcgtg -3'; D1 nested (232 bp): 5'-
tctcctcgatccctcc -3' and 5'- tcctcctccgtgagtcctttc -3'; D25-
ctgccctgcctcct -3' and 5'- actcagccccgctdtgccctct -3'; D2
nested (D2:417 bp, D2s: 330 bp): 5'- tctcctcctctgccct -3' and
5'- atcctcctcctcttct -3'. PCRs were resolved in 1.5%
agarose gels.

Statistical Analysis
Data are presented as mean ± SEM. The level of statistical
significance was established at p<0.05 in one-way and two-way
ANOVAs. Statistical outliers were excluded when they differed by
more than two standard deviations above or below the mean (one
P14 brain slice, two coverslips of acutely dissociated neurons and
two coverslips of neuronal cultures were thus excluded from final
analyses).

Results
Quantification of Labeled Neurons in Striatal Sections
We first characterized tdTomato (D1-positive neurons) and
GFP (D2-positive neurons) immunostaining in coronal brain
sections of double-transgenic mice at E18, P0 and P14. In sections
from P14 mice (Fig. 1A), 61.70±1.03% of dorsal striatal neurons
were D1-positive and 39.01±1.01% were D2-positive (Fig. 1B,
n = 7). Only a very small proportion of those were D1/D2-positive
(1.51±0.22%). In brain sections prepared from E18 and P0 mice,
the tdTomato signal to noise ratio in neuronal cell bodies was
typically too low to obtain reliable quantifications of the
proportion of immunopositive neurons (Fig. 1A). To validate our
counting method in P14 slices, we qualitatively compared the
orthogonal distribution of the average signal intensity across
typical D1-, D2 and D1/D1-labeled neuron. Neurons positive for
only one reporter protein (Fig. 1C and 1D) display little overlap in
the normalized signal intensity distribution, while a doubly-labeled
neuron displays a very similar peak and overall distribution,
arguing for a high degree of colocalization (Fig. 1E).

Quantification of Labeled Neurons after Acute
Dissociation
We immunostained acutely dissociated striatal neurons to
further examine D1 and D2 reporter gene expression at earlier
developmental time points. We found that in acutely dissociated
P14 MSNs, 60.73±0.66% of dorsal striatal neurons were D1-
positive and 42.70±0.85% were D2-positive (Fig. 2). Only
34.3±0.61% of those were D1/D2-positive. Although not
statistically significant, there was a tendency for a more efficient
detection of D1/D2-expressing MSNs in acutely dissociated
neurons in comparison to brain sections (p = 0.053 for slices versus
acutely dissociated, two-way ANOVA, n = 7), most likely because
of the better signal to noise ratio. Extending this analysis to P0 and
E18 tissue, we observed a significant decrease of the proportion of
D1-expressing neurons as a function of age (79.99±1.92% at E18,
67.20±1.21% at P0 and 60.73±0.66% at P14, p<0.001, one-way
ANOVA, Fig. 2B). The opposite effect was observed for D2-
expressing MSNs, with the proportion increasing as a function of
age (29.46±1.76% at E18, 38.03±1.59% at P0 and 42.70±0.85%
at P14, p<0.001, one-way ANOVA, Fig. 2C). The proportion of
D1-expressing MSNs at E18 was significantly higher than at P0
and P14, and P0 was also higher than P14, while the proportion of
D2-expressing MSNs at E18 was significantly lower than at P0
and P14 (p<0.001 for D1 at E10 versus P0 and P14, p<0.05 for D1 at
P0 versus P14, p<0.01 for D2 at E10 versus P0 and P14,
Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test, n = 8 for E18, 15 for P0
and 7 for P14). We also observed a decrease in the percentage
of doubly-labeled D1/D2-expressing neurons at P0 and P14
compared to E18 (9.45±0.75% at E18, 5.24±0.65% at P0 and
3.43±0.61% at P14; p<0.001 in one-way ANOVA; p<0.001 for
E18 versus P0 and P14 in Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test,
n = 8 for E18, 15 for P0 and 7 for P14, Fig. 2D). Overall, our
results indicate that there are more neurons that express D1 than
D2 reporter constructs in double-transgenic mice at each time
points examined, with a more important difference at the earliest
time point (E18). Moreover, we find that the level of D1/D2 co-
expression is already relatively low at E18 and continues to
decrease during early postnatal development.

To validate our data obtained from reporter gene analysis, we
performed single-cell RT-PCR detection of D1 and D2 mRNA in
dissociated striatal neurons obtained from P0 and P14 WT
mice. Although the proportions of D1 and D2 expressing neurons
were not identical with the two approaches, single-cell RT-PCR
analysis confirmed the three main conclusions reached by
evaluating reporter gene expression, namely that (1) there was a
much larger proportion of D1-positive compared to D2-positive
neurons (D2L) at both ages, (2) there was a decrease in D1-positive
and increase in D2-positive neurons from P0 to P14 (35.71% D1-
and 14.29% D2-positive neurons at P0, 71.88% D1- and 28.13%
D2-positive neurons at P14, n = 14 for P0 and 32 for P14, Fig. 2E-
F) and (3) neurons expressing both mRNA were very infrequent
(none detected out of 14 neurons at P0 and 1/32 neurons at P14,
Fig. 2F).

Quantification of Labeled Neurons in Culture
The preservation of D1-D2 segregation in vitro has been
previously questioned on many accounts [14,23–26,35]. To
resolve this controversy, we next examined reporter gene
expression in primary cultured MSNs prepared from P0 double-
transgenic mice. In order to determine if D1-D2 segregation was
further influenced by neuronal interactions, we compared four
different culture conditions: striatal neurons alone (Mono), striatal
neurons with mesencephalic neurons (CoMs) or striatal neurons with
mesencephalic and cortical neurons (CoCx). Neurons were fixed at 14
days in vitro (DIV) and processed for tdTomato and GFP immuno-
tochemistry to count neurons that expressed either D1- or D2-
driven fluorescent reporter proteins (Fig. 3A).

First, we observed that there was no significant difference in the
percentage of tdTomato (D1)- and GFP (D2)-expressing neurons in
the different culture conditions (D1: p = 0.56, Fig. 3B; D2:
p = 0.63, Fig. 3C; one-way ANOVA, n = 7–8 culture coverslips per
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group). In fact, the approximate 60:40 ratio of tDTomato (D1) and GFP (D2) expressing neurons seen in brain sections and in acutely dissociated neurons at P14 was fully maintained between different culture conditions in vitro (Fig. 3). Second, the extent of fluorescent reporter colocalization in cultured neurons was very limited across culture types in vitro (Fig. 3D), with values similar to those observed in acutely dissociated neurons at P14 (Fig. 2D). Although a tendency for reduced coexpression in cultures containing mesencephalic dopamine neurons was observed, statistical analysis showed that there was no significant effect of the culture type (one-way ANOVA; \( p = 0.40 \), \( n = 7–8 \) culture coverslips per group). These results show that the segregation of D1 and D2 gene expression is essentially preserved in vitro.

**Discussion**

In this study, we characterized D1 and D2 reporter gene expression in striatal neurons of *drd1a*-tdTomato/*drd2*-GFP double-transgenic mice of different ages and in several striatal culture conditions to obtain an estimation of D1-D2 DA receptor coexpression. Our first main result is that there is a globally higher proportion of neurons expressing the D1 than the D2 gene at all time points examined. Second, we found a decrease in the

![Figure 2. Distribution of D1 and D2 reporter proteins in acutely dissociated mouse striatal sections reveals an age-dependent increase in segregation.](https://example.com/figure2)

**Figure 2. Distribution of D1 and D2 reporter proteins in acutely dissociated mouse striatal sections reveals an age-dependent increase in segregation.** Characterization of tdTomato (D1, red) and GFP (D2, green) immunolabeling in neurons acutely dissociated from E18, P0 and P14 striatum from double-transgenic mice. A: Examples of acutely dissociated striatal neurons immunolabeled for tdTomato (D1, red) and GFP (D2, green). B–D: Summary diagrams presenting the results of quantifications performed from E18, P0 and P14 mice (tdTomato in B, GFP in C and both proteins in D). E: Single-cell RT-PCR from freshly dissociated P14 WT striatal cells. In this example (wells 2–14), nine collected neurons expressed D1 receptor mRNA and two collected neurons expressed D2L receptor mRNA. One neuron collected expressed both receptors mRNA (well 11). Positive control: whole mesencephalon RNA; negative control: water. F: Table summarizing the results of single-cell RT-PCR experiments performed with P0 and P14 WT mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067219.g002

![Figure 3. Segregation of D1 and D2 reporter proteins is maintained in postnatal striatal neurons in primary culture.](https://example.com/figure3)

**Figure 3. Segregation of D1 and D2 reporter proteins is maintained in postnatal striatal neurons in primary culture.** Four types of culture conditions were compared: striatal neurons alone (Mono), striatal neurons cultured with cortical neurons (CoCx), striatal neurons cultured with mesencephalic neurons (CoMs), or mixed cultures containing striatal neurons, mesencephalic neurons and cortical neurons (3x). A: Examples of MSNs in different culture conditions labeled for tdTomato (D1, red) and GFP (D2, green) at 14 days in vitro. B–D: Summary diagrams showing the results of quantifications. B: tdTomato, C: GFP, D: both proteins.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067219.g003
percentage of purely D1-expressing neurons to the profit of an increase of purely D2-expressing neurons in the striatum of P0 and P14 compared to E18 animals. Our third main result is that the shift from D1 to D2 reporter gene expression is accompanied by a decrease in the percentage of D1/D2 doubly-labeled neurons. Finally, we show that D1/D2 reporter protein segregation is fully maintained in postnatal MSNs in primary culture, with colocalization of the fluorescent markers remaining below 3%.

Over the years, there has been much debate over the exact degree of segregation between the direct and indirect projection pathways of MSNs, particularly concerning their selective expression of DA receptor subtypes [15–21]. Although striatal neurons expressing both receptors exist, leading to D1-D2 heteroreceptor formation with novel pharmacological properties [14–22], the general consensus that has gradually emerged is that in the adult animal, most direct pathway striatogniral MSNs express high levels of D1 receptor while most indirect pathway striatopallidal MSNs express high levels of D2 receptor [7]. Studies performed since the introduction of BAC ddr2-GFP and dda-tomato transgenic mice have confirmed the high degree of D1-D2 dopamine receptor segregation in striatal neurons [30,36]. However, the initial establishment of this segregation during development had never been described before the present study.

In the present work, based on the analysis of reporter genes driven by DA receptor promoters, we reproduce previous data showing a general segregation between D1 and D2 receptor expression in the striatum. Our experimental samples always contained the entire striatal neuronal population, including around 90–95% of MSNs and 5–10% of various interneurons subpopulations, all of which express significant amounts of DA receptors [10]. We did not attempt to examine separately the small contingent of GABAergic or cholinergic interneurons; considering the small proportion of non-MSN neurons, the results presented here should represent a fair assessment of the MSN population, despite the obvious possibility of a modest error. We demonstrate that there is a higher percentage of MSNs labeled with tdTomato than with GFP, and that the difference is greater at E18 than P0 or P14. We also show the same basic tendency by single-cell RT-PCR measurements of D1 and D2 mRNA in acutely dissociated striatal neurons from P0 and P14 WT mice. These results may appear to be in contradiction with a previous report showing that D2 receptor mRNA is more abundant than D1 receptor mRNA in the developing mouse striatum [37]. However, it should be emphasized that our analysis was restricted to the percentage of cells that expressed D1- and D2-driven reporter proteins in D1 and D2 mRNA, while the actual global mRNA and protein levels were not quantified. Interestingly, we noted that purely GFP-positive neurons at E18 generally exhibited much stronger fluorescence signal intensity than that seen in tdTomato-positive neurons (results not shown), suggesting that at early time points, although there are less D2-expressing neurons, those that express the D2 receptor could do so at a higher level than the D1 receptor in D1-positive neurons. Our data also show that the decrease in the percentage of D1-positive neurons was accompanied by a gradual increase in D2-positive neurons and a decrease in D1/D2 fluorescent reporter protein colocalization from E18 to P0 and P14. Taken together, these results suggest the possibility that newly differentiated MSNs might express mostly the D1 receptor early on in development, until some of them start expressing gradually more of the D2 receptor and less of the D1, to eventually become purely D2 over time. An investigation of neurons prior to E18 would be useful to further evaluate this hypothesis.

What signals drive and maintain the differentiation of D1- and D2-expressing MSNs is presently undetermined. Many non-mutually exclusive possibilities should be considered, including the existence of an intrinsic genetic program, the production of local signals from cell populations inherent to the striatum or afferent innervation, or signals deriving from the establishment of MSN efferent projections to various target regions. Given the very early stage of segregation onset observed in the present study, the implication of intrinsic or local factors appears likely, but the presence of DAergic terminals in the striatum during embryonic development [38–40] and the fact that the DA release machinery is already functional at birth [41] certainly raise the possibility that DA could be involved in the segregation mechanism. However, our observation that cultured MSNs, whether in monoculture or in mixed cultures with mesencephalic and/or cortical neurons, maintain D1-D2 segregation, is compatible with the hypothesis that DA receptor expression pattern is intrinsic to MSNs or at least becomes hardwired soon after its onset. Importantly, although our findings concerning DA receptor segregation in culture are in agreement with other similar but qualitative observations made using the same double transgenic model [42], they are in apparent contradiction with other studies showing colocalization of D1 and D2 receptors in a range spanning from 22 to 90% of cultured striatal neurons from either embryonic or postnatal rats [14,23–26,35]. In particular, a recent published paper demonstrated a stable DA receptor phenotype in rat striatal neurons through 4, 11 and 25 days in culture, with approximately 90% of D1-D2 colocalization [26]. Two main explanations can be considered for such differences. A first possibility is that this contradiction results from a species difference: perhaps rat striatal neurons show less receptor segregation than mouse striatal neurons. Arguing against this possibility, previous in situ hybridization studies performed in rat provided strong evidence for extensive segregation of D1 and D2 receptor mRNA in separate cell populations [13,20,43]. Therefore, it is to be expected that neuronal cultures derived from rat striatum should also show extensive segregation. A second possibility, perhaps more likely, is that the higher rate of coexpression found in the studies performed in rat cultures may have resulted from a high rate of false positive signal caused by the limited specificity of the classical immunocytochemistry or fluorophore-labeled receptor antagonist techniques used. In the present study, the distinction between cell bodies positive or negative for the reporter proteins was relatively straightforward and was facilitated by the accumulation of the fluorescent reporter proteins inside neuronal cell bodies. Because of our counting strategy that involved the use of an intensity threshold, it is possible that colocalization occurring in neurons that express relatively low levels of the reporter proteins may have been missed. However, our single-cell RT-PCR data argue against such a possibility. The high level of similarity between the results obtained in brain sections, acutely dissociated and cultured neurons, analyzed using the very same approach, argues in favor of an overall maintenance of DA receptor segregation in vitro.

Lastly, the comparison between D1 and D2 reporter expression across four different types of postnatal cultures revealed a small tendency for reduced coexpression in cultures containing mesencephalic dopamine neurons. Although this did not reach statistical significance, this observation suggests a possible influence of DAergic neurons on the process of segregation. Further experiments will be required to evaluate this hypothesis, but our results make it clear that the presence of DAergic or cortical neurons is not required for the general maintenance of DA receptor expression pattern after birth.
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