Metabolomic, Transcriptional, Hormonal, and Signaling Cross-Talk in Superroot2
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ABSTRACT Auxin homeostasis is pivotal for normal plant growth and development. The superroot2 (sur2) mutant was initially isolated in a forward genetic screen for auxin overproducers, and SUR2 was suggested to control auxin conjugation and thereby regulate auxin homeostasis. However, the phenotype was not uniform and could not be described as a pure high auxin phenotype, indicating that knockout of CYP83B1 has multiple effects. Subsequently, SUR2 was identified as CYP83B1, a cytochrome P450 positioned at the metabolic branch point between auxin and indole glucosinolate metabolism. To investigate concomitant global alterations triggered by knockout of CYP83B1 and the countermeasures chosen by the mutant to cope with hormonal and metabolic imbalances, 10-day-old mutant seedlings were characterized with respect to their transcriptome and metabolome profiles. Here, we report a global analysis of the sur2 mutant by the use of a combined transcriptomic and metabolomic approach revealing pronounced effects on several metabolic grids including the intersection between secondary metabolism, cell wall turnover, hormone metabolism, and stress responses. Metabolic and transcriptional cross-talks in sur2 were found to be regulated by complex interactions between both positively and negatively acting transcription factors. The complex phenotype of sur2 may thus not only be assigned to elevated levels of auxin, but also to ethylene and abscisic acid responses as well as drought responses in the absence of a water deficiency. The delicate balance between these signals explains why minute changes in growth conditions may result in the non-uniform phenotype. The large phenotypic variation observed between and within the different surveys may be reconciled by the complex and intricate hormonal balances in sur2 seedlings decoded in this study.
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INTRODUCTION

The superroot2 (sur2) mutant in Arabidopsis thaliana was initially identified in a forward genetics screen for auxin overproducers (Delarue et al., 1998). As for the sur1 (superroot1) mutant (Boerjan et al., 1995), the name sur2 was assigned to recognize the increased number of lateral roots as the main phenotypic characteristic of high auxin levels (Figure 1A). SUR2 was suggested to control auxin conjugation and thereby regulate auxin homeostasis. Indication of a high auxin phenotype is also the observed cellular expansion of the hypocotyls and lateral and adventitious root formation (Figure 1B). That the phenotype cannot be exclusively ascribed as an auxin phenotype is apparent by the epinastic cotyledons and exces-
cytochrome P450 enzyme CYP83B1 (Bak et al., 2001; Barlier et al., 2000), which catalyzes the conversion of indole-3-acetaldoxime to an S-alkyl-thiohydroximate in the presence of a thiol donor in the indole glucosinolate biosynthetic pathway (Bak et al., 2001). The identification of the SUR2 locus as encoding an enzyme involved in biosynthesis of a secondary metabolite (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001; Bak et al., 2001; Hansen et al., 2001) refuted the hypothesis of SUR2 as a modulator of auxin homeostasis, and demonstrated an unexpected coupling of auxin and indole glucosinolate synthesis (Bak et al., 2001).

Other forward and reverse genetic screens, which were not focused on auxin selection criteria, resulted in the identification of the same locus as RTN1 from the runt size of the rnt1 mutant plants (Bak et al., 2001; Winkler et al., 1998) as ATR4 (altered tryptophan regulation4) based on altered feedback regulation of tryptophan biosynthesis in the presence of the toxic tryptophan analog 5-methyl-tryptophan (Smolen and Bender, 2002) and as RED1 (red light elongated1) based on a screen for perturbed phytochrome B signaling (Hoecker et al., 2004). The large diversity of names assigned to the same locus indicates that SUR2/CYP83B1 is involved in a complex metabolic grid and regulatory network. Furthermore, the irregular physiological phenotype observed between and within the different surveys has, until now, not been understood.

Glucosinolates are secondary metabolites characteristic to the Capparales order (Rodman et al., 1996) and serve as important determinants in plant–insect interactions. Upon cell disruption, glucosinolates are hydrolyzed by myrosinases and the unstable aglycone moieties rearrange into isothiocyanates and nitriles that may deter herbivores (Wittstock and Halkier, 2002). Indole glucosinolates are derived from tryptophan, aromatic from tyrosine and phenylalanine, and aliphatic from methionine. In A. thaliana, only indole and aliphatic glucosinolates are present. Identification of a residual low level of indole glucosinolates in the sur2 knockout mutant, while the levels of aliphatic glucosinolates were unaffected (Bak et al., 2001; Naur et al., 2003), suggested the presence of an additional enzyme catalyzing the same conversion. This enzyme was later identified as CYP83A1 (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001). CYP83A1 preferentially catalyzes the conversion of methionine derived oximes to aliphatic glucosinolates (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001), and exhibits a 50-fold reduced affinity towards indole-3-acetaldoxime in comparison to CYP83B1. Accordingly, indole-3-acetaldoxime is not considered a physiological substrate for CYP83A1 in planta (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001). Knockout lines of CYP83A1 results in plants designated to ref2. These plants have no visual phenotype in comparison to wildtype but were shown to have reduced levels of sinapoyl malate and absence of aliphatic glucosinolates in the leaves, indicating a link between aliphatic glucosinolates and phenylpropanoids in A. thaliana (Hemm et al., 2003). The absence of a high auxin phenotype in ref2/CYP83A1 mutants underpins that indole-3-acetaldoxime is not a physiological substrate for CYP83B1. The SUR2/CYP83B1 catalyzed conversion of indole-3-acetaldoxime results in the formation of a reactive aci-nitro product that is conjugated to an unknown thiol-donor as part of the enzyme reaction (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001; Bak et al., 2001; Halkier and Gershenzon, 2006). The final steps of the indole glucosinolates pathway are catalyzed by SUR1, which is a C-S lyase (Mikkelsen et al., 2004), followed by an S-glucosylation catalyzed by UGT74B1 (Grubb et al., 2004) and finally by a sulfonylation reaction catalyzed by AtSP5a (Piotrowski et al., 2004) (Figure 2).

In A. thaliana, the fundamental role of SUR2/CYP83B1 reflects the importance of indole-3-acetaldoxime (Figure 2). In A. thaliana, indole-3-acetaldoxime is not only a direct precursor for IGS, but may also be converted into a large number of other pathogen-induced metabolites. These include camalexin, the major phytoalexin in A. thaliana (Glawischnig et al., 2004; Nafisi et al., 2007, Böttcher et al., 2009), and several
other indole-metabolites induced as a result of microbial infection (Bednarek et al., 2005; Hagemeier et al., 2001). The carbon skeleton for biosynthesis of indole compounds is derived from the shikimate pathway, which channels up to 20% of the total carbon flux and thus offers high capacity for metabolic reconfiguration (Herrmann, 1995). Despite the pivotal role of auxin in plants, its biosynthesis has not been fully elucidated. Several independent pathways that are not mutually exclusive have been reported (see review by Woodward and Bartel, 2005). In *A. thaliana*, and most likely in all glucosinolate-producing plants, indole-3-acetaldoxime is an intermediate in an auxin biosynthetic pathway (Figure 2). The central position of indole-3-acetaldoxime at a crossroad of several pathways explains why the *SUR2* locus was first related to auxin homeostasis in the *sur2* knockout mutant.

The complex phenotype associated with perturbation of the metabolic indole-3-acetaldoxime grid implies tight regulation at multiple levels and sites to control the flux of metabolites into the different pathways in response to external stimuli. Several transcription factors have recently been shown to be involved in the regulation of this indole-metabolic grid. R2R3 MYB transcription factors of subgroup 12 (Kranz et al., 1998; Stracke et al., 2001) play a major role (Figure 2), and MYB28 and MYB29, belonging to the subgroup 12, have been shown to be involved in regulation of aliphatic glucosinolate biosynthesis (Hirai et al., 2007). Previously, ATR1/AtMYB34 has been demonstrated to control auxin homeostasis by regulating the balance between auxin and indole glucosinolates (Celenza et al., 2005) and AtMYB51 has been identified as a positive regulator of indole glucosinolates biosynthesis, also under stress conditions with no impact on auxin homeostasis (Gigolashvili et al., 2007). AtMYB122 is the closest homolog of AtMYB51 in *A. thaliana*, and an overexpression experiment suggested that AtMYB122 also controls auxin homeostasis partly based on regulation of indole glucosinolates at stress conditions (Skirycz et al., 2006). Finally, the transcription factor AtDof1, which belongs to the DOF family (DNA binding with One Finger), has also been shown to be involved in the regulation of the indole glucosinolates pathway at stress conditions (Skirycz et al., 2006).

Previous analyses of the *sur2* mutant have mainly focused on its high auxin and indole glucosinolate phenotype based on the overflow of indole-3-acetaldoxime into indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) biosynthesis as a result of interruption of the biosynthesis of IGs. Here, we report a global analysis of the *sur2* mutant by the use of a combined transcriptomic and metabolomic approach.

**Figure 2.** Schematic Representation of Indole Glucosinolate Pathway Showing the Importance of Indole-3-Acetaldoxime as a Central Metabolite for Indole Secondary Metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. R can either be a glucose moiety or an amino acid. Known positive regulators of transcription involved in this grid are indicated in magenta.
revealing pronounced effects on metabolites outside the indole metabolic grid. Metabolic and transcriptional cross-talk between glucosinolates and phenylpropanoids in sur2 was found to be regulated by complex interactions between both positively and negatively acting transcription factors. The complex phenotype of sur2 may thus not only be assigned to elevated levels of auxin, but also to ethylene and abscisic acid responses as well as drought responses in the absence of a water deficiency. The delicate balance between these signals explains why minute changes in growth conditions may result in non-uniform phenotype.

RESULTS

Phenotypic Characteristics
This study is based on the comparison of wild-type and SUR2/CYP83B1 knockout plants 10 d after germination and growth on agar in vertically positioned square Petri dishes under a 16-h light regime. Under these conditions, the previously reported mutant phenotype (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001; Delarue et al., 1998) ascribed to overproduction of auxin was observed (Figure 1). The mutant attributes are a more developed secondary root system often initiated from the hypocotyl, extended hypocotyls, and epinastic cotyledons. As previously reported (Bak and Feyereisen, 2001; Delarue et al., 1998), the phenotype was not uniform. Some seedlings developed slowly and remained relatively smaller than others (Figure 1, white arrow)—a phenomenon that has not previously been explained (Delarue et al., 1998). In this study, we observed a number of additional phenotypic traits: longer primary roots indicative of a response to drought stress and thickened hypocotyls and hyponastic petioles, two features that are characteristic ethylene responses. The thickened hypocotyls were the result of radially expanded cortical cells and not additional cell layers (Figure 1B).

Knockout of SUR2 Has a Profound Impact on the Transcriptome
A global analysis was carried out to provide a general overview of the biological processes perturbed in sur2 to resolve how sur2 copes with loss of a functional CYP83B1.

To reveal alterations in the global transcriptome, the gene expression pattern of the sur2 mutant was compared to that of wild-type seedlings and monitored using the Agilent Arabidopsis 2 Oligo Microarray containing 21 500 different 60-mer oligonucleotide probes (Supplemental Data 1 and Supplemental Figure 1). According to The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR7 release), this micro array covers ~80% of the coding genome of A. thaliana and thus offers a good global view of the transcriptome. Based on a cut-off p-value of 0.001 (Supplemental Data 1), 301 genes were selected as being differentially expressed in sur2. These 301 genes represent approximately 1.1% of the predicted proteins encoded by the A. thaliana genome. As expected, the top-ranked gene was SUR2 because its mRNA is lacking in the mutant. The majority (66%) of the top-ranking differentially expressed genes were up-regulated in the sur2 mutant. The relative fold changes observed using the micro array data and by real-time PCR were very similar (Supplemental Table 1).

To elucidate which biological processes are mostly affected, the 301 top-ranked genes with altered expression levels were sorted according to their putative involvement in different biological processes using the gene ontology program BINGO (Biological Network Gene Ontology) (Maere et al., 2005). BINGO provides an unbiased approach to identify groups of genes involved in related biological processes or responses that are significantly over- or under-represented. BINGO analysis is based on the information available in Gene Ontology Annotations (GO) and computes significant alterations in the representation of those groups. As the gene annotation is far from complete, only a subset of the 301 high-ranked genes was annotated in GO and thus retained in the BINGO analysis performed. Of the 175 genes that were retained in the BINGO analysis (Supplemental Data 2 and Supplemental Figure 1), 121 genes were up-regulated and 54 were down-regulated. The results of the BINGO analysis clearly demonstrate that genes in a number of specific processes and responses are significantly over-represented in the sur2 mutant, while only a few are significantly under-represented. Based on the BINGO analysis, five subgroups of up-regulated processes in the sur2 transcriptome were formed and graphically represented (Figure 3). The most significantly over-represented GO group in the sur2 mutant was general stimuli responses, which could be further divided into chemical, biotic, abiotic, hormone, and specifically abscisic acid responses (Figure 3, dashed oval). This demonstrates extensive re-programming of signaling processes in sur2. Secondary metabolism was also notably altered in sur2 mutant, with up-regulation of genes involved in synthesis of indole and other aromatic compounds and down-regulation of genes involved in phenylpropanoid synthesis (Supplemental Data 2 and Supplemental Figure 1; Figure 3). A group of eight genes involved in the GO group carbohydrate metabolism and related to cell wall elongation and was found to be significantly over-represented in the sur2 mutant (Supplemental Data 2). Finally, a small subset of genes involved in the GO group hormone-mediated signaling was identified as being over-represented in the mutant, essentially related to abscisic acid (Supplemental Data 2). The 54 down-regulated genes were related to the GO groups lignin biosynthesis and metabolism, amino acid-derived metabolism, and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis and metabolism.

Multiple Transcription Factors Are Affected
Transcription factors (TFs) are important for the coordination of gene expression in response to endogenous and external stimuli and enable regulation of key enzymes in different metabolic and signaling pathways to optimize growth and development, and to counteract environmental challenges. TFs are particularly important in regulation of secondary metabolism.
to optimize the profile and levels of secondary metabolites in response to abiotic and biotic stress (Endt et al., 2002). Accordingly, the altered expression levels of TFs signify and may facilitate elucidation of alterations in secondary metabolism. In the sur2 mutant seedlings, 23 TFs belonging to 11 different classes were found among the 301 high-ranked genes with significantly altered expression \( p \)-value, 0.001 (Table 1).

In A. thaliana, TFs constitute \( \sim 6\% \) of the coding genome (Riechmann and Ratcliffe, 2000). Accordingly, the total number of TFs is neither over- nor under-represented among the 301 top-ranked genes with altered expression in the sur2 mutant. The affected TFs were not uniformly distributed between the different classes of TFs. A strong prevalence of R2R3 MYB (five genes), WRKY (four genes), NAC (four genes), and HDZip (three genes) families (Table 1) was identified.

The R2R3 MYB TFs are of particular interest because their main function is to regulate secondary metabolism in plants (Stracke et al., 2001). Five R2R3 MYB TFs in the sur2 mutant were identified as up-regulated \( (P < 0.001) \) (Table 1), of which four have been ascribed a function. AtMYB34/ATR1 was up-regulated 2.1 times. This is in agreement with that MYB34 has previously been shown to function as an activator of the indole glucosinolates pathway by regulating the coordinated expression of CYP79B2, CYP79B3, and CYP83B1 (Celenza et al., 2005). Similarly, AtMYB4 was up-regulated 1.7-fold and has previously been characterized as a negative regulator of the phenylpropanoid pathway repressing the expression of C4H/CYP73A5 (Jin et al., 2000). As a consequence, knockout of AtMYB4 results in plants that are more resistant to UV light because they produce and accumulate more of the sun-protectants sinapoyl malate and sinapoyl glucose (Jin et al., 2000). AtMYB7 belongs to the same subgroup as AtMYB4 (Stracke et al., 2001) and was up-regulated 2.0-fold. AtMYB4, as well as AtMYB7, possess the motif 'PDLNL', a motif that is associated with a negative regulator (Kazan, 2006; Preston et al., 2004). AtMYB45 belongs to a different subfamily and was up-regulated 2.0-fold. Phylogenetic analyses show that MYB34 (up-regulated 2.1-fold) clusters in the same subgroup as AtMYB18 (Ballesteros et al., 2001; Stracke et al., 2001). The latter two MYB transcription factors are known to be involved in shoot axillary meristem initiation (Muller et al., 2006). AtMYB36 was identified as up-regulated. The function of this TF is currently unknown, but based on phylogenetic analyses, AtMYB36 is a close paralog to AtMYB37 and AtMYB38. The latter two MYB transcription factors are known to be involved in shoot axillary meristem initiation (Muller et al., 2006). AtMYB36 is expressed in roots (Muller et al., 2006) and thus may be involved in axillary meristem initiation in roots. Cytokinins are regarded as negative regulators of axillary meristem initiation in roots. This effect is mediated by TFs
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belonging to the type-A ARR class. These are negative regulators of cytokinin signaling (To et al., 2004). Ten type-A ARR TFs are encoded by the A. thaliana genome. In sur2, ARR17 was 2.0-fold down-regulated. Although the function of ARR17 is currently unknown, down-regulation of an ARR TF involved in cytokinin signaling is in agreement with the elongated root phenotype of sur2.

The WRKY TFs constitute a large class of plant-specific TFs with 74 members in A. thaliana (Kalde et al., 2003). Three of them were identified in our analysis of alterations in the expression patterns between wild-type and the sur2 mutant (Table 1). WRKY23 was up-regulated 1.7-fold, whereas WRKY54 and WRKY3 were down-regulated 1.7 and 2.2-fold, respectively. Of these three WRKY TFs, only WRKY54 has been studied previously and shown to control reactions associated with stress and pathogen attack (Kalde et al., 2003).

Among the large class of NAC TFs, ATAF1 was up-regulated 1.4-fold. ATAF1 was one of the first NAC TFs identified in A. thaliana and is known as a drought-responsive gene suggested to negatively regulate the expression of stress-responsive genes under drought stress (Lu et al., 1996). The functions of the three other NAC TFs that are up- or down-regulated in the sur2 mutant are currently unknown.

RAV1 was up-regulated 1.7-fold in the sur2 mutant. RAV1 belongs to a small family of TFs, composed of only 11 members in A. thaliana (Table 1). CARAV1 is the ortholog of RAV1, a TF in pepper that triggers resistance to bacterial infections and tolerance to osmotic stresses (Sohn et al., 2006).

---

Table 1. Transcription Factors Significantly Affected in their Expression in sur2 Knockout Mutant.

| Name | Gene ID   | p-value  | Fold |
|------|-----------|----------|------|
| MYB7 | AT2G16720 | 7.62E-05 | +2.0 |
| MYB45| AT3G48920 | 7.84E-05 | +1.9 |
| MYB34| AT5G60890 | 1.57E-04 | +2.1 |
| MYB36| AT5G57620 | 5.34E-04 | +1.7 |
| MYB4 | AT4G38620 | 1.00E-03 | +1.7 |
| WRKY54 | AT2G40750 | 9.24E-05 | –1.7 |
| WRKY23 | AT2G47260 | 1.64E-04 | +1.7 |
| WRKY3  | AT2G03340 | 3.65E-04 | –2.2 |
| NAC family (74 members according to Kalde et al. (2003))
| ANAC034/ANAC035 | AT2G02450 | 1.78E-04 | –1.8 |
| ANAC087 | AT5G18270 | 2.65E-04 | +1.6 |
| ANAC029 | AT1G69490 | 4.18E-04 | –1.7 |
| NAC/ATAF1 | AT1G01720 | 9.25E-04 | +1.4 |
| HB12 | AT3G61890 | 2.00E-04 | +2.0 |
| HB7 | AT2G46680 | 2.65E-04 | +2.0 |
| HB53 | AT5G66700 | 8.66E-04 | +1.9 |
| bZip family (73 members according to http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB)
| bZIP37/ABF3 | AT4G34000 | 1.10E-04 | +1.8 |
| bZIP55/GBF3 | AT2G46270 | 8.23E-04 | +1.8 |
| HDZip family (47 members according to Henriksson et al. (2005))
| HB29 | AT1G69600 | 1.51E-04 | +1.8 |
| ARR family (15 members according to http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB)
| ARR17 | AT3G56380 | 3.70E-04 | –2.0 |
| RAV family (11 members according to http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB)
| RAV1 | AT1G13260 | 4.06E-04 | –1.7 |
| SBP family (15 members according to http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB)
| SPL3 | AT2G33810 | 5.60E-04 | –1.6 |
| GeBP family (16 members according to http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB)
| AT4G00250 | 8.07E-04 | –2.1 |
| bHLH family (161 members according to http://Arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB)
| bHLH135 | AT1G74500 | 8.49E-04 | +1.6 |

Transcription factors with a known function are shown in bold.
HBZip genes are plant-specific TFs that regulate developmental processes and several have been shown to mediate responses to external stimuli (Henriksson et al., 2005). Three TFs belonging to this family, HBZip7, -12 and 53 showed 2.0, 2.0, and 1.9-fold up-regulation in the sur2 mutant, respectively, and have all been described as regulators of growth under conditions of water deficiency (Henriksson et al., 2005; Olsson et al., 2004) and probably respond to ABA. Two TFs of the bZIP family, bZIP55 and bZIP37, were both up-regulated 1.8-fold. They belong to the class of basic leucine zipper TFs and have been described as involved in abscisic acid responses (Kang et al., 2002; Lu et al., 1996). The classes of TF that are differentially expressed concur well with the phenotype as well as with the up-regulation of gene products belonging to the LEA protein (late embryogenesis abundant) family (AT2G35300, AT2G42560, AT3G17520), GDSL-motif lipase/hydrolase protein, olesoin (AT2g5890), LTP (Lipid Transfer Proteins), and other proteins (AT4G11650, AT4G15910) that are all characterized as being induced by drought—all of which were found among the 301 ranked genes (Supplementary Data, drought and ABA). However, ABA-biosynthetic genes are not differentially expressed in genes (Supplementary Data, drought and ABA). However, ABA-biosynthetic genes are not differentially expressed in sur2, even when the top 1000 genes are considered. This suggests that ABA responses are elicited without stimulation of ABA-biosynthesis.

**Auxin and Ethylene**

The sur2 knockout mutant was initially isolated based on its high auxin phenotype. Transcriptomic analysis of 10-day-old seedlings identified five up-regulated genes belonging to the known early-auxin-responsive genes (Abel and Theologis, 1996) within the 301 genes with a p-value below 0.001 (Supplemental Data 1; light-yellow table fill indicates top 301 genes). Three GH3 genes: GH3.1 (AT2G14960, 4.7-fold), GH3.3 (AT2G23170, 6.5-fold), GH2.5 (AT4G27260, 3.4-fold), and one Aux/IAA gene: IAA17 (AT1G04250, 1.7-fold) were identified (note the unfortunate ambiguity in naming: the GH3-genes mentioned here are not members of the GH3 family of glycosyl hydrolases). To further the analysis of the dataset, the p-value cutoff was adjusted to 0.008. This identified two additional GH3 genes: GH3.6 (AT5G54510, 1.8-fold) and GH3.17 (AT1G28130, 1.5-fold), and four Aux/IAA genes: IAA1 (1.8-fold), IAA19 (AT3G15540, 1.7-fold), IAA20 (AT2G46990, 1.6-fold), and IAA29 (AT4G32280 1.6-fold), indicative of major changes in expression of early-auxin-responsive genes in agreement with the observed visual phenotype.

In 5–8-day-old sur2 seedlings, the level of reversible IAA conjugates is decreased and the level of free IAA is increased (Delarue et al., 1998)—an observation that formed the original hypothesis of SUR2 as a regulator of free IAA by controlling the amount of hydrolysable IAA conjugates (Delarue et al., 1998). Later, the levels of irreversible IAA catabolites, such as oxindole-3-acetic acid conjugates and IAA-Asp amide conjugates, were shown to be increased in 3-week-old seedlings (Barlier et al., 2000), indicating that the sur2 mutant modulated its metabolism towards irreversible catabolism of IAA to cope with the high levels of free IAA (Ostin et al., 1998). Whereas IAA-Asp cannot be hydrolyzed to free IAA in vivo, IAA–Leu is considered a hydrolysable conjugate (Bartel and Fink, 1995). In agreement with the observed shift in sur2 towards formation of irreversible IAA catabolites, we identified repressed expression of ILL1 (At5g56650, 1.6-fold) and ILL2 (At5g56660, 1.8-fold, respectively), encoding an IAA amino acid hydrolases with very high activity toward several reversible IAA conjugates (Bartel and Fink, 1995; LeClere et al., 2002). Both ILL1 and ILL2 were found beyond the top 301 differentially expressed genes (p-value 0.005), but their combined suppression suggests that sur2 attempts to inhibit the release of free auxin from the pool of reversible amino acid conjugated IAA by reducing the levels of the IAA-conjugate hydrolases.

Enzymes involved in conjugating amino acids to auxin and therefore involved in controlling auxin homeostasis are encoded by the GH3 genes listed above as early auxin-response genes (Staswick et al., 2005). Free IAA can also be conjugated to glucose and based on in vitro studies, several UGTs have been implied to be involved in this process (Jackson et al., 2002). The most prominent candidate found was UGT84B1, whereas UGT75D1 was not found to glucosylate IAA. UGT75D1 has previously been annotated as an IAA UGT (Graham and Thornburg, 1997) (www.cazy.org/fam/GT1.html). We have evaluated the ability of UGT75D1, as well as others of the differentially expressed UGTs, to glucosylate IAA in in vitro assay using heterologous expressed UGTs, and observed that UGT75B1 possesses a high activity with IAA as acceptor substrate (Table 2). In agreement with this, UGT75B1 was up-regulated whereas UGT75D1 was not (Table 3).

The thickened hypocotyl phenotype of sur2 is indicative of increased exposure to ethylene (Figure 1B). This feature of sur2 has not previously been reported, but is not entirely unexpected because auxin concentrations beyond the normal physiological range stimulate ethylene evolution, as auxin is known to induce ACC-oxidase. In agreement with this, ACC-oxidase (At2g19590) catalyzing the last step in ethylene biosynthesis is up-regulated by a factor of 1.6 (p-value 0.002) in the mutant, while none of the ACC-synthases is (the ACC-synthases considered are listed in Supplemental File 1). De Paepe et al. (2004) and Millenaar et al. (2006) have identified a set of ethylene-induced genes. Thirty-three of the top 301 differentially regulated genes were found to be influenced by ethylene by these authors. An additional 65 ethylene-responsive genes are identified in sur2 if the list is extended to the 1000 most significantly differentially expressed genes (p-value < 0.008; see Supplemental Data 1, ‘ethylene differentially’). The radially expanded stem phenotype is known to be associated with increased expression of cell wall hydrolases (Maclachlan et al., 1968). To further the analysis of genes differentially expressed in sur2, a comparison to the list of carbohydrate-active enzymes compiled at www.cazy.org was done. Several members of glycosyl
members of the GH35 family have been implicated in cell ex-
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Table 2. In vitro screening of heterologously expressed UGTs that showed the most significant changes in expression level between sur2 knock-out mutant and wild-type towards a set of six commercially available indole and phenylpropanoid compounds.

| Name              | Group | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 |
|-------------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| UGT73B2*          | D     | ++ | (+)| (+)| ++ | +  | (+)|
| UGT73B3           | D     | (+)| –  | –  | +++| (+)| (+)|
| UGT73B5           | D     | (+)| –  | –  | –  | –  | –  |  
| UGT72B1           | E     | (+)| –  | –  | –  | –  | –  |  
| UGT72E2           | E     | –  | –  | –  | –  | –  | –  |  
| UGT71C3*          | E     | ++ | –  | –  | +  | ++ | (+)|
| UGT74F1           | L     | ++ | (+)| (+)| ++ | – | –  |  
| UGT75B1           | L     | +++| –  | –  | –  | –  | –  |  
| UGT84A2           | L     | ++ | (+)| –  | –  | ++ | +++|
| UGT84A3           | L     | ++ | (+)| –  | –  | –  | +++|

S1: indole-3-carboxylic acid; S2: indole-3-acetic acid; S3: indole-3-carboxaldehyde; S4: coniferyl alcohol; S5: sinapoyl-alcohol; S6: sinapic acid.
* UGTs that were not identified based on their direct up-regulation, but were selected because of their homology to other up-regulated UGTs (Table 3). Based on the intensity of the signal corresponding to the formation of a glucosylated product, a relative scale was established: ++: high conversion; +:+: medium conversion; +: low conversion; (+): minute conversion; : no conversion.

hydrolase (GH) families GH16, GH28, GH35, and GH36 as well as polysaccharide lyase (PL1) and carbohydrate esterase (CEB) were indeed up-regulated and found among the top 301 differentially regulated genes (Supplemental Data 1, 1000 genes versus CAZy), and may be responsible for the observed radial expansion. However, none of the genes encoding these enzymes is known to respond uniquely to ethylene. Increased levels of enzymes belonging to the GH16 family may as well be indicative of auxin action.

Cell Wall Biosynthesis and Turnover

It has previously been reported that in sur2, the hypocotyl cortical and epidermal cells disintegrate and peel off (Bak et al., 2001). The elongated and thickened hypocotyls and cortical cells suggest changes in cell wall turnover. This may be ascribed to an auxin effect, as seedlings engaged in auxin-stimulated growth may be expected to display an increased rate of cell wall turnover (Labavitch and Ray, 1974). There are no indications of up-regulated cell wall biosynthesis among the top 301 differentially expressed genes in sur2 (Supplementary Data/1000 genes versus CAZy) with the probable exception of the up-regulated arabinogalactan proteins (AGP), hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins (HPRG), and proline-rich glycoproteins (PRG) (Supplemental Data 1, Other cell wall proteins).

Several glycosyl hydrolases (GHs) are up-regulated in sur2. GH-catalyzed turnover of cell wall polysaccharides is also an intrinsic property of expanding cell walls. Two β-galactosidase members of the GH35 family have been implicated in cell ex-

Table 3. List of UDP Glucosyl-Transferases Identified as Up- or Down-Regulated in sur2 Knockout Mutant by a Microarray Experiment (Sorted by Group).

| AGI entry Name | Group | Rank | p-value |
|---------------|-------|------|---------|
| At2g29290 UGT79B8 | A     | 13   | 8.48E-02|
| At2g16890 UGT90A1 | C     | 8    | 6.65E-02|
| At4g34131 UGT77B3 | D     | 1    | 2.25E-04|
| At2g15490 UGT73B4 | D     | 11   | 7.88E-02|
| At2g15480 UGT73B5 | D     | 3    | 1.60E-02|
| At4g01070 UGT72B1 | E     | 9    | 7.03E-02|
| At1g07250 UGT71C4 | E     | 7    | 4.91E-02|
| At5g66690 UGT72E2 | E     | 2    | 9.17E-03|
| At2g43840 UGT74F1 | L     | 12   | 7.93E-02|
| At1g05560 UGT75B1 | L     | 10   | 7.78E-02|
| At4g14090 UGT75C1 | L     | 5    | 2.91E-02|
| At3g21560 UGT84A2 | L     | 4    | 2.71E-02|
| At4g15490 UGT84A3 | L     | 6    | 4.24E-02|

Table 3. List of UDP Glucosyl-Transferases Identified as Up- or Down-Regulated in sur2 Knockout Mutant by a Microarray Experiment (Sorted by Group).

| AGI entry Name | Group | Rank | p-value |
|---------------|-------|------|---------|
| At1g50580 UGT79B5 | A     | 11   | 5.07E-02|
| At5g03490 UGT89A2 | B     | 5    | 1.31E-02|
| At1g10400 UGT90A2 | C     | 10   | 4.51E-02|
| At2g36760 UGT73C2 | D     | 1    | 6.55E-05|
| At2g36800 UGT73C5 | D     | 3    | 2.17E-03|
| At2g29750 UGT71C1 | E     | 8    | 4.23E-02|
| At2g29740 UGT71C2 | E     | 9    | 4.46E-02|
| At1g30530 UGT78D1 | F     | 2    | 9.13E-04|
| At5g17030 UGT78D3 | F     | 4    | 8.92E-03|
| At3g55700 UGT76F2 | H     | 7    | 2.32E-02|
| At2g28080 UGT86A2 | K     | 12   | 6.23E-02|
| At4g15500 UGT84A4 | L     | 6    | 1.91E-02|

Ranks are given for UGTs according to the microarray experiment after statistical analysis.

Indole and Phenylpropanoid Content Is Perturbed in sur2

To gain an overall picture of metabolic re-routing and perturbation of the metabolome, 10-day-old sur2 and wild-type seedlings were extracted with 85% MeOH and the MeOH extracts were analyzed by LC–MS. Such LC–MS-based protocols enable the detection of several hundred metabolites (e.g. De Vos et al., 2007; Kristensen et al., 2005). To detect significant changes, 10 independent replicates of the LC–MS profiles of sur2 and wild-type seedlings were generated and analyzed using MetAlign. MetAlign allows background subtraction, alignment, and normalization to reveal statistically significant accumulating metabolites in LC–MS datasets (Kuzina et al.,
To allow direct comparison and to be able to directly link changes in the metabolome with changes in the transcriptome, analysis of the metabolite content of *sur2* mutant and wild-type seedlings was performed using seedlings grown under the very same conditions as those used for the transcriptome profiling. After background subtraction, normalization, and alignment of the LC–MS profiles, 228 masses were detected that could be identified as being differentially accumulated in at least nine of the 10 replicates of either *sur2* or wild-type (Supplemental File 2). Subsequently, significant differences in the 228 masses identified between the two plant lines were identified using a *t*-test (Supplemental Data 3).

Out of the initially 228 masses detected by MetAlign, 23 masses, each corresponding to a specific metabolite, were selected for further analysis based on compliance with the following criteria: (1) a more than two-fold difference in intensity between mutant and wild-type, and (2) obtained signal sizes significantly distinct from the background (Table 4). Seventeen out of 23 secondary metabolites were present in higher amounts in the *sur2* mutant compared to wild-type (Figure 4), underpinning the activation of secondary metabolite synthesis in the mutant, as predicted from the gene expression analyses. The metabolites M16 and M13 in wild-type were not abundant in *sur2* and the metabolites M4, M18, and M15 in *sur2* were not abundant in wild-type, suggesting that they may represent metabolites that are only present in either wild-type or *sur2*.

To obtain further information on the 23 masses identified (Table 4 and Figure 4), their distribution in roots and above-ground tissues was determined. Of the 23 masses, eight accumulated preferentially in the roots of the *sur2* mutant. M3 and M10 accumulated preferentially in the above-ground parts of wild-type plants reflecting down-regulation of the biosynthesis of these two metabolites in the *sur2* mutant shoots (Figure 1 and Table 4). These results show that the major differences in the metabolite profiles of mutant and wild-type seedlings may be ascribed to differences in secondary metabolite content in mutant and wild-type roots.

Currently, only a fraction of the total number of different secondary metabolites present in plants are known and structurally characterized by LC–MS, because commercially available standards are generally lacking, and this limits...
structural identification of individual components in plant LC–MS chromatograms (De Vos et al., 2007; Lehmann et al., 2009). Compounds like indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and indole-3-acetaldoxime cannot be detected due to their low abundances in the ng/gfw range. To further the understanding of the biological significance of the altered metabolite profiles in mutant and wild-type, efforts were made to determine the chemical structure of those 23 metabolites that were significantly up- or down-regulated in \( \text{sur2} \) compared to wild-type. This was accomplished for seven metabolites (Figure 4 and Table 4, metabolites marked with *) by combining accurate mass detection to identify the elemental composition, by UV–VIS spectral analyses to assess the presence of aromatic residues or other diagnostic features, and by mass fragmentation to obtain diagnostic fragment ions (Supplemental Figure 2).

The most significant differences identified in the metabolite content of \( \text{sur2} \) and wild-type seedlings were found within the indole derivatives and phenylpropanoid metabolites (Table 4 and Figure 5). The indole derivatives indole-3-acetaldoxime glucoside (M5), indole-3-carboxy glucose (M2), and indole-3-acetic acid glucoside (M20) as well as the monolignol glucosides syringin (M8) and coniferin (M1) were up-regulated in the mutant, while the major phenylpropanoids sinapoyl malate (M3) and sinapoyl glucose (M10) were down-regulated. These results correlate with the demonstrated altered expression of the R2R3 MYB transcription factors in \( \text{sur2} \) (Table 1) that serve as positive and negative regulators of these two pathways.

UDP Glucosyl Transferases Involved in Metabolite Homeostasis of \( \text{sur2} \)

MS2 spectra of the metabolites M1, M5, M6, M10, and M11 indicated that these were glucosides (Supplemental Figure 2). This assignment was based on the observation that soft fragmentation of these metabolites in each case provided a characteristic fragment ion with a mass of 185, indicative of a glucoside (Kristensen et al., 2005). Glucosylation provides a direct route to regulate homeostasis of secondary metabolites. The genome of \( \text{A. thaliana} \) encodes/contains 112 UDP-glucosyltransferases (UGTs) (Paquette et al., 2009, 2003) (www.p450.kvl.dk/UGT.shtml). The function of the majority of these UGTs remains unknown (e.g. Gachon et al., 2005) but the multigene family encoding the UGTs provides an array of enzymes to glycosylate a large number of low-molecular-mass compounds. Glycosylation by UGTs serves to modify and control the solubility and the chemical reactivity of a large number of plant secondary metabolites, to detoxify xenobiotics and to secure hormone homeostasis (Jones and Vogt, 2001; Vogt and Jones, 2000).
To identify the UGTs involved in the formation of the glucosides M1, M2, M5, M8, M11, and M20, a second microarray experiment was designed. A small focused microarray encompassing all *A. thaliana* UGTs was selected to perform the screen, because it has been shown that such microarray experiments are more sensitive to measure variation in gene expression of relatively lowly expressed genes compared to a global microarray (Kristensen et al., 2005). The UGT enzymes can be sorted into 14 subgroups according to the phylogenic study by Ross et al. (2001). Among the UGTs most affected in their expression in the *sur2* mutant were UGTs within the D, L, E, and F groups (Table 3).

Group D contains 13 members and is known to be involved in stress responses (Langlois-Meurinne et al., 2005), suggesting that a stress signal in the *sur2* mutant is responsible for their altered expression in *sur2*. Within group D, UGT73B3, UGT73B4, and UGT73B5 were up-regulated while UGT73C2 and UGT73C5 were down-regulated. The expression of the UGT73B subfamily has been extensively characterized. *UGT73B*s are induced by abiotic stress such as oxidative stress, wounding, and UV light, and biotic stress such as upon infection by *Pseudomonas syringae*. Likewise, mendadione induced oxidative stress of roots of *A. thaliana* resulted in early transcriptional up-regulation of *UGT73B3, UGT73B4*, and *UGT73B5* (Lehmann et al., 2009). *UGT73B3* and *UGT73B5* knockout mutants are characterized as exhibiting a decreased resistance to *P. syringae* (Langlois-Meurinne et al., 2005). This suggests an involvement of the UGT73B subfamily in the formation of glucosidic metabolite(s) with an important function in plant defense. Within the subfamily of UGT73Cs, UGT73C5 has been shown to mediate inactivation of brassinosteroids by catalyzing 23-O-glucosylation of these hormones (Poppenberger et al., 2005).

Group L was the second most affected group, with six UGTs showing altered expression in the *sur2* knockout mutant (Table 2). Several enzymes belonging to the L group have been characterized: UGT75C1 has been described as an anthocyanin 5-O-glucosyltransferase (Tohge et al., 2005) and UGT84A2 as a sinapic acid UDP glucosyltransferase (Sinlapadech et al., 2007) involved in the biosynthesis of the UV filter sinapoyl malate.

The group E was also affected in its expression with up-regulation of *UGT72E2, UGT771C3* and *UGT771C3, UGT72B1* and down-regulation of *UGT71C1* and *UGT71C2*. The physiological function of most of the UGTs in Group E is unknown except for UGT72E2, which is involved in monolignol-4-glycosylation in the phenylpropanoid pathway (Lanot et al., 2006; Lim et al., 2005). The function of the remaining UGTs that were identified as being differentially expressed (Table 2) is currently unknown. In conclusion, UGTs involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway seem strongly affected in their expression. Because the physiological function of the majority of the up-regulated UGTs was unknown, an *in vitro* screen of the heterologously expressed up-regulated UGTs was carried out to determine which of these might catalyze the formation of the glucosidic metabolites observed to accumulate in *sur2*.

**Screening for Activity towards Indole and Phenylpropanoid Derivates**

UGTs are known for their relatively broad *in vitro* regiospecificity (e.g. Hansen et al., 2003; Lim et al., 2003; Modolo et al., 2007). As a consequence, it is often difficult to identify the physiological function of a UGT solely on the basis of its *in vitro* activity. Nevertheless, integrated approaches using transcriptomic and metabolomic experiments, supported by *in vitro* studies, have successfully identified the substrates of a number of UGTs (e.g. Lim et al., 2003; Osmani et al., 2008; Tohge et al., 2005). This is the approach also undertaken here.

To identify which UGTs are involved in the formation of the glucosides identified using MetAlign, the 13 most significantly up-regulated UGTs in the *sur2* mutant were assayed *in vitro* using radiolabeled UDP-glucose as a sugar donor in the presence of different commercially available indole and phenylpropanoid substrates (Table 2). Active enzyme preparations could not be achieved for all 13 UGTs and all possible substrates were not available. However, this approach provided an insight of the potential substrates and substrate specificity of those UGTs. Some UGTs are known to be able to glycosylate...
more than one substrate in in vitro assays as opposed to in planta substrate specificity, and, accordingly, a number of substrates have to be evaluated to identify strong leads for in planta substrates. As expected, UGT84A2 and UGT84A3 preferentially glucosylate sinapic acid, UGT72B1 preferentially glucosylated sinapoyl alcohol, while UGT73B3 was found to preferentially glucosylate coniferyl alcohol. Indole-3-carboxy-aldehyde was not a good substrate for any of the UGTs tested. As glucosides of indole-3-carboxyaldehyde were not detected by the LC–MS analysis of sur2/5YP83B1 seedlings, this was to be expected. UGT75B1 was found to preferentially glucosylate indole-3-acetic acid and indole-3-carboxylic acid. While indole-3-acetic acid was only glucosylated by UGT75B1, indole-3-carboxylic acid was glucosylated by UGT73B2, UGT71C3, UGT74F1, UGT75B1, and UGT84A2. Based on previous published data, UGT84B1 has been identified as an IAA glucosyltransferase (Jackson et al., 2002), but was not included in our screening, as UGT84B1 was not identified as being up-regulated (Table 3). An additional in vitro screening including UGT84B1 and UGT73B5 identified indole-3-acetaldoxime as a good substrate for UGT84B1, while indole-3-acetaldoxime was not glucosylated by any of the other UGTs tested. UGT84B1 was included in this screen as it has previously been published as an IAA glucosyltransferase (Jackson et al., 2002), and it is phylogenetically closely related to UGT84A2 and UGT84A3, which was identified as up-regulated in sur2 (Table 3). That multiple UGTs were found to glucosylate several substrates in vitro emphasizes the possible pitfalls encountered in assigning in planta substrates for some UGTs based solely on an in vitro screen. As UGTs constitute a multigene family with many close paralogs, often with partially overlapping substrate specificities, analysis of single knockout lines cannot be used to pinpoint in planta substrates, especially with respect to detoxification.

**DISCUSSION**

The majority of the previous studies of the sur2 mutant have mainly focused on forward and reverse genetic approaches. Each study has emphasized a specific aspect of the complex phenotypic changes observed in this mutant. To gain a more coherent understanding of the molecular background for the phenotypic changes observed in sur2, we have characterized the mutant using a combination of transcriptomics and metabolomics approaches. As outlined in the Results, this approach to analyze sur2 revealed the induction of different hormonal-dependent processes, perturbation in indole and phenylpropanoid composition, alteration in cell wall metabolism, as well as induction of stress-related responses.

**The Phenotype**

The morphology of the sur2 mutant seedlings is not reminiscent of a clear-cut high auxin status. Rather, the slightly hypostomatic petioles of the first true leaves and the thickened hypocotyls (Figure 1) are indicative of a high ethylene status. Indeed, we found that ACC-oxidase catalyzing the last step in ethylene production is up-regulated in the mutant. Exposure to auxin concentrations beyond the normal physiological range has long been known to promote ethylene biosynthesis, as IAA is known to activate ACC oxidase. As early as 1966, Burg and Burg proposed that effects observed due to excessive auxin levels might be brought about by enhanced levels of ethylene. The auxin influence on ethylene biosynthesis has since been substantiated by molecular data (e.g. Abel et al., 1996; Yip et al., 1992). Auxin generally promotes root branching, whereas high auxin concentrations are inhibitory to root elongation. The long primary roots with many side roots of the mutant seedlings may be indicative of a drought response. Ethylene acts agonistically with abscisic acid on root growth (Benschop et al., 2007). The role of abscisic acid in adaptation to drought stress is well established. However, abscisic acid responses have not previously been associated with the sur2 phenotype and none of the genes encoding enzymes known or assumed to be specifically involved in abscisic acid synthesis (Table 1 in Xiong and Zhu, 2003) is found among the 1000 most significantly differentially expressed genes (p-value < 0.008). This indicates that genes related to abscisic acid are exclusively found down-stream of abscisic acid sensing, or that sur2 responses to abscisic acid are not mediated through transcriptional regulation.

**Auxin and Cell Wall Changes**

High and variable levels of free auxin have been observed in the sur2 seedlings (Barlier et al., 2000; Delarue et al., 1998; Sorin et al., 2006). The activation of the GH3 and AUX/IAA auxin-early responsive proteins (Abel and Theologis, 1996) is in agreement with the high auxin content in sur2 but, as outlined above, the phenotype of the sur2 seedling cannot merely be ascribed to high auxin. Auxin-promoted growth of the mutant seedling hypocotyls might be expected to lead to enhanced transcription of genes involved in cell wall biogenesis. Two different observations enable us to rationalize why the transcription of cell wall genes remained relatively unaffected. First, while auxin is able to restore cell wall synthesis to normal levels following down-regulation, such as by stress, auxin treatment does not stimulate cell wall biosynthesis above normal levels in expanding cells (Ray, 1973). Second, the phenotype of the seedlings is not characterized by hypocotyls that elongate excessively at the expense of hypocotyl diameter as would have resulted from auxin-promoted growth. We conclude that these hypocotyls are not in a state of auxin-stimulated elongation. Rather, the hypocotyls are radially expanded as typically follows ethylene treatment.

**Auxin Conjugation and Inactivation**

In Arabidopsis, only ~1% of the total pool of IAA is present as free IAA. The major portion is found as hydrolysable conjugates that may serve as storage forms of IAA or as irreversible conjugates (Tam et al., 2000). The main function of these conjugates is to regulate IAA activity as well as transport...
(Woodward and Bartel, 2005). The observed up-regulation of five members of the GH3 family indicates that sur2 is clearly trying to reduce the pool of free IAA. In agreement with our transcriptome analysis, GH3s protein levels were identified as up-regulated in a proteomic study of sur2 (Sorin et al., 2006).

Free IAA can also be conjugated to glucose by family 1 UDP-glucosyl transferases (Jackson et al., 2002). Based on in vitro studies, four UGTs belonging to group L have previously been characterized by their ability to form such conjugates in vitro (Jackson et al., 2002). Interestingly, among the UGTs that were up-regulated in sur2, four UGTs belonging to the group L were able to glucosylate IAA in vitro (Table 5). In agreement with the transcriptome data, indole-3-acetic acid glucoside (M20) was increased four-fold in sur2 (Table 3).

Modulation of auxin action can also be mediated by regulation of IAA transport. Flavonoids are generally known as light scavengers, but have also been reported as inhibitors of IAA transport (Besseau et al., 2007; Buer and Muday, 2004). Our transcriptome data suggest that sur2 does indeed activate the biosynthesis of flavonoids, since the transcription factor AtMYB12 and its target genes FH3 (AT3g51240, 1.5-fold p-value 0.001), F3’H (AT5G07990, 1.3-fold p-value 0.006), and FLS (AT5G08640, 1.5-fold p-value 0.0006) were up-regulated (Supplemental Data 1) (Mehrtens et al., 2005). In agreement with our transcriptomic data, AtMYB12 has been reported to be mainly expressed in roots and to strongly activate expression of F3H and FLS1 (Stracke et al., 2007).

**Indole-3-Acetaldoxime Regulation in sur2**

Despite the pivotal role of IAA, its biosynthesis has not been completely elucidated. In A. thaliana, a specific pathway that includes indole-3-acetaldoxime as an intermediate has been reported (Figure 2) (Woodward and Bartel, 2005). Our transcriptome data show that in sur2 mutant, the production of indole-3-acetaldoxime is up-regulated as a result of increased transcription of MYB34 and its target genes CYP79B2 and CYP79B3, which encode the two cytochromes P450 that catalyze the conversion of tryptophan into indole-3-acetaldoxime. The reason why the biosynthetic genes converting tryptophan to indole-3-acetaldoxime are up-regulated at first appears counterproductive, as this increases the flux of indole-3-acetaldoxime into IAA, and thus would be expected to contribute to a more pronounced phenotype. A plausible hypothesis is that sur2/CYP38B1 apparently tries to increase the level of indole glucosinolates to reduce the flux of indole-3-acetaldoxime into IAA by increasing the expression of CYP79B2 and CYPB3. Indole-3-acetaldoxime could not be directly monitored at the metabolic level due to its inherent instability and because of the minute amount expected to accumulate due to the high degree of channeling of these pathways (Jorgensen et al., 2005; Nielsen et al., 2008). However, a glucoside of indole-3-acetaldoxime (M5) was identified as significantly up-regulated (10 times) in sur2 (Figure 5 and Table 4), demonstrating the presence of UGT(s) able to glucosylate indole-3-acetaldoxime. Identification of a minute amount of the indole-3-acetaldoxime glucoside in the wild-type plant suggests a new level of regulation in this pathway, comprising the ability of plants to synthesize a pool of indole-3-acetaldoxime-glucoside that may be hydrolyzed upon demand. Based on our UGT in vitro screening, we identified UGT84B1 as the UGT that most efficiently catalyzes the conversion of indole-3-acetaldoxime to the corresponding glucoside (data not shown). UGT84B1 has previously been identified as an IAA glucosyl transferase (Jackson et al., 2002). The ability of CYP84B1 to glucosylate IAA as well as indole-3-acetaldoxime in vitro underpins the regiospecificity of UGTs and the difficulty in identifying physiological substrates based on in vitro screens (Osmani et al., 2008). It is clear that glucosylation of IAA is catalyzed by several UGTs in vitro (Jackson et al., 2002) (Table 2). However, our data suggest that UGT75B1 is preferentially responsible for auxin glucosylation under high-auxin conditions in seedlings. Whether the expression of different UGTs with the ability to glucosylate IAA is organ-specific or overlapping needs further investigation. Furthermore, it remains to be elucidated whether UGT84B1 preferentially glucosylates indole-3-acetaldoxime or IAA in planta.

**Cross-Talk between Ethylene and Auxin in sur2**

It is well known that auxin has a regulatory effect on ethylene production, and that it can be difficult to distinguish which morphological effects are related to auxin and which are related to ethylene. In our transcriptome analysis, the auxin response factors ARF7 and ARF19, known to control lateral root formation (Okushima et al., 2007), were identified as up-regulated in sur2-seedlings. In roots, ARF19 expression is known to be induced by both auxin and ethylene. Accordingly, arf19 mutants have ethylene-insensitive roots (Li et al., 2006). Similarly, PIN2/EIR1 (At3g23240) identified in our array data and first described as Ethylene Insensitive Root1 (Roman et al., 1995) was later described as being involved in auxin transport (Luschnig et al., 1998). Furthermore, crosses of sur2 with either wei2 or wei7 suppress the phenotype (Stepanova et al., 2005). WEI2 and WEI7 are induced by ethylene, and encode α and β subunits of anthranilate synthase, respectively, and hence are important for tryptophan and IAA biosynthesis.

**sur2 Displays a Drought Response Phenotype and Transcriptome**

The root phenotype of the sur2 mutant is indicative of a drought response. However, as the plantlets were grown on agar in closed containers, they were not exposed to water deficits in agreement with no up-regulation of ABA-synthesis. Nevertheless, several gene products that are all characterized as being induced by drought are up-regulated in the mutant. This suggests that ABA-dependent drought responses were induced neither by abscisic acid nor by water stress, but by intrinsic factors probably operating via cross-talk through ethylene signaling.
Cross-talk between drought signaling and ethylene has recently been investigated by Manavella et al. (2006), who overexpressed the sunflower Hahb-4 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. Hahb-4-expression was strongly stimulated by ethylene in the transgenic plants harboring the Hahb-4 gene under the control of its native promoter. The transformants were markedly drought-tolerant and the transcriptome significantly affected, with one of the observed effects being a down-regulation of genes involved in ethylene biosynthesis (Manavella et al., 2006). This is indicative of a negative feedback loop. Interestingly, the two A. thaliana transcription factors HB7 and HB12 were identified as putative Hahb-4 orthologs, and their presence among the up-regulated genes in our data supports the operation of such a negative feedback loop in the sur2 mutant.

Abscisic acid homeostasis is very important to properly control different physiological responses in planta. Abscisic acid inactivation occurs by two different pathways, either by 8'-hydroxylation or by glucosylation. The hydroxylation step was recently attributed to CYP707A1 and CYP707A3 (Kushiro et al., 2004; Saito et al., 2004). In agreement with this, our microarray data identified CYP707A1 as up-regulated. In adzuki bean seedlings, glucosylation of abscisic acid has been shown to be mediated by a specific UGT (Xu et al., 2002). Our UGT in vitro screening platform identified several UGTs that were able to glucosylate abscisic acid, including UGT73B1 (data not shown). Whether UGT73B1 is a UGT responsible for in planta glucosylation of abscisic acid is currently an open question, which requires further studies to be resolved. Based on these observations, it is likely that the endogenous concentration of free abscisic acid in sur2 is unaltered. Contrary wise, the sur2 mutant must experience a high ethylene status, because radial expansion of hypocotyls (Figure 1) is an IAA-induced ethylene-specific phenotype and no other hormone has been shown to interact agonistically or otherwise modify this process. The expression profile of genes involved in ethylene biosynthesis partly corroborates this suggestion. ACC-oxidase was significantly up-regulated in sur2 whereas the isoforms of ACC-synthase were not. It is usually assumed that ACC oxidase catalyzes the rate-limiting step in ethylene biosynthesis, but in silique maturation, for example, ethylene production is obviously controlled by the rate of conversion of ACC to ethylene (Child et al., 1998). Whether the ACC-oxidase is rate-limiting in sur2/cpy83b1 seedlings is not known.

Co-Regulation of the Indole Glucosinolate and Phenylpropanoid Pathways

In a previous study of the ref2/cyp83a1 mutant, an unexpected link was established between the pathways for aliphatic glucosinolate and phenylpropanoid synthesis (Hemm et al., 2003). The low levels of the phenylpropanoids sinapoyl malate and sinapoyl glucose in ref2/cyp83a1 was the result of a mutation in the glucosinolate pathway preventing the expression of the gene CYP83A1, the closest paralog of CYP83B1 (Figure 2). To explain this observation, it was proposed and verified in vitro that oximes inhibit caffeic acid-O-methyltransferase (COMT) enzymes involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway. In parallel to the observations with the ref2/cyp83a1 mutant, sur2 exhibits significant changes in phenylpropanoid content in comparison to wild-type seedlings. Identification of a 10-fold increase in the concentration of indole-3-acetaldoxime glucoside in sur2 strongly suggests that the free indole-3-acetaldoxime pool is also increased in the mutant. As shown for aliphatic oximes (Hemm et al., 2003), indole-3-acetaldoxime may also inhibit the flux into phenylpropanoids. Since the phenylpropanoid and indole glucosinolates pathways are both dependent on precursors obtained via the shikimate pathway (Figure 2), the flux of carbon through these two major pathways in A. thaliana must somehow be subjected to co-regulation to facilitate synthesis of balanced amounts of indole glucosinolates and phenylpropanoids. This contention is supported by the analysis of MYB transcription factors in sur2.

R2R3 MYB transcription factors have been grouped into 12 subgroups based on conserved motifs (Kranz et al., 1998). The MYB transcription factor AtMYB34 belongs to subgroup 12, and has been shown to activate the indole glucosinolates pathway (Celenza et al., 2005). MYB transcription factors of subgroup 4, including MYB 4, MYB 7, which are up-regulated in sur2, contain a negative regulator motif. MYB4 is known to repress cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (CYP73) and thereby represses the phenylpropanoid pathway. MYB34 and MYB4 thereby provide a mechanism to co-regulate the two pathways. Similarly, five of the six MYB factors in subgroup 12: MYB 28, MYB 29, MYB 34, MYB 51, and MYB 76, have been characterized as regulators of glucosinolate biosynthesis (Celenza et al., 2005; Gigolashvili et al., 2007; Hirai et al., 2007). In a parallel manner, the subfamily 4 may serve as negative regulators of phenylpropanoid synthesis. Currently, only AtMYB4 has been clearly characterized as a negative regulator of cinnamic acid 4-hydroxylase (CYP73) (Jin et al., 2000), but the complexity of the phenylpropanoid pathway certainly needs several levels of regulation. The identification of the target genes of AtMYB7 and AtMYB32 will help to clarify the regulation of this pathway. In agreement with this hypothesis, Preston et al. (2004) suggests a negative regulation of dihydroflavonol-4-reductase and cyanidin synthase and up-regulation of caffeic acid-O-methyltransferase mediated by MYB32, belonging to subgroup 4. While three negative regulators, possibly controlling the flux of phenylpropanoids, were up-regulated, one involved in the activation of flavonoid synthesis, AtMYB12, was activated with its target genes flavone 3-hydroxylase–flavone synthase, revealing a reprogramming rather than a total blockage of the phenylpropanoid pathway. By activation of the first steps of the flavonoid pathway and down-regulation of the subsequent conversion to pelargonidin and cyanidin, the sur2 mutant apparently tries to increase the flavonoid content. However, the reduction of sinapoyl malate and sinapoyl glucose suggests a major reduction of the flux in the phenylpropanoid pathway in order to...
re-distribute shikimate flux into the indole glucosinolates pathway. Identification of the signals controlling expression of these transcription factors will help understand the complete relationship between the indole-glucosinolate and phenylpropanoid pathways.

**Accumulation of Monolignol Glucosides in the Root of sur2**

In sur2, the concentration of two monolignol glucosides identified as coniferin (M1) and syringin (M8) were up-regulated 13.9 and 2.7 times, respectively (Table 4 and Figure 5). Further analysis revealed that those two metabolites almost exclusively accumulated in the root (Table 4). UGT72E2 was the second most up-regulated UGT in the focused array (Table 3), and has previously been identified as the main monolignol glucosyltransferase expressed in roots of *A. thaliana* (Lanot et al., 2006). This demonstrates that the metabolism in roots is re-programmed independently of the metabolism in leaves, where a reduction in the phenylpropanoid pathway flux towards sinapate esters was observed. Interestingly, Hemm et al. (2003) have previously reported an accumulation of coniferin, syringin, and flavonoids in *A. thaliana* roots exposed to light and proposed a role of these secondary metabolites as defense compounds. The results obtained suggest that roots of sur2 are more light-sensitive than wild-type roots or that biosynthesis of monolignol glucosides is stimulated by light and ethylene in sur2.

**Altered Biosynthesis of UV Filtering Compounds in sur2**

Sinapoyl malate is the main UV filter present in leaves of *A. thaliana* and is derived from sinapoyl glucose. Both sinapoyl malate (M3) and sinapoyl glucose (M10) were identified among the main metabolites with a decreased content (2.5 and 1.7-fold, respectively) in sur2 (Table 4). A similar reduction of sinapoyl malate has previously been reported in 4-week-old sur2 mutant leaves (Hemm et al., 2003). In this context, it was unexpected to observe that UGT84A2 and UGT84A3, the two main UGTs involved in sinapoyl metabolism (Table 2) (Sinlapadech et al., 2007), were identified as up-regulated in sur2 (Table 2). This may reflect an attempt of the sur2 mutant to compensate for the lack of sinapoyl malate and sinapoyl glucose imposed by the reduced flux of carbon into phenylpropanoids mediated by MYB4. This demonstrates that UGT84A2 and UGT84A3 are under control of transcription factors independent of those controlling indole glucosinolates, sinapoyl malate and sinapoyl glucose synthesis.

Like sinapoyl malate, flavonoids play a role in protection against UV light. The biosynthesis of flavonoids is induced by light, and MYB transcription factors such as AtMYB12 have been identified as positive regulators of phenylpropanoid and flavonol synthesis (Mehrtens et al., 2005; Stracke et al., 2007). In agreement with this, myb11 myb12 myb111 triple knockout seedlings do not form flavonols, while accumulation of anthocyanins was not affected. Likewise, several genes of the phenylpropanoid and flavonoid pathways were downregulated in the myb11 myb12 myb111 triple knockout mutant (Stracke et al., 2007), including several of the UGTs involved in flavonoid biosynthesis such as UGT75C1 involved in 5-O-glycosylation, and UGT78D1 and UGT78D3, which are closely related to UGT78D2 known to be involved in 3-O-glycosylation (Jones et al., 2003; Tohge et al., 2005). In sur2, the data obtained from the focused array demonstrate that MYB12 as well as its target genes F3H and FLS1 are induced (Supplementary Files). In conjunction with the overexpression of the above-mentioned UGTs (UGT75C1, UGT78D1, and UGT78D3) (Table 3), this demonstrates that the sur2 mutant re-directs part of the carbon flux within the phenylpropanoid pathway towards flavonoid biosynthesis. This serves to provide an alternative set of UV filters and thereby counteracts the low levels of sinapate esters present in sur2.

**Concluding Remarks**

Our transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses of the sur2 mutant reveal several new aspects of this mutant, and documents that the sur2 phenotype cannot be merely ascribed to high IAA levels. The study illustrates the plasticity of plant metabolism (Figure 6). In the sur2 mutant, a very high number of genes are significantly altered in their expression. Nevertheless, the mutant is able to survive and to set seeds. The pathways related to the formation of indole and phenolic compounds are the main pathways altered as documented by major changes in the metabolome of sur2 (Figure 5). A number of MYB transcription factors orchestrate a coordinated regulation of these two pathways, rendering internal rearrangements of fluxes within the phenolic and glucosinolate pathways possible. The high auxin concentration in sur2 caused by the mutation is clearly beyond the physiological range and neither the morphology nor the transcriptome of the mutant seedlings is typically high-auxin. The phenotype shows that sur2 is pushed into a realm in which signaling via ethylene plays a predominant role. This observation corroborates the emerging evidence for ethylene signaling in drought responses and cross-talk to abscisic acid signal transduction. The drought response is set off neither by external stress nor by enhanced abscisic acid biosynthesis, but by...
a set of intrinsic factors of which the ethylene signaling has been documented in the present study.

**METHODS**

**Plant Culture Conditions and Collection**

Plants were grown in controlled conditions in order to avoid stresses that could trigger changes in gene expression or metabolic biosynthetic or catabolic pathways. After surface sterilization and careful washing, seeds were sown on square Petri dishes (120 × 120 × 12 mm) containing 50 mL of agar (0.9%), Murashige Skoog medium with vitamins (1), and sucrose (2%). The Petri dishes were stored vertically at 4°C for 48 h in the dark to vernalize the seeds. The Petri dishes were sealed to avoid water loss and were kept in a vertical position during seedling growth (16 h light, 22°C, 110 μE light intensity). After 10 d, seedlings were collected at the end of the light period and directly frozen in liquid nitrogen.

**Transcriptome Studies**

Microarray experiments were carried out using two types of arrays. The first array was the global Agilent Arabidopsis 2 Oligo Microarray, containing 21 500 different 60-mer probes, and was purchased from Agilent Technologies. The second microarray was based on custom-designed manually annotated 50-mers and encompassed 453 probes representing the P450 family and family 1 UGTs as previously described (Ekstrom et al., 2004, 2005; Glawischnig et al., 2004; Kristensen et al., 2005). Total RNA from three biological replicates were extracted in a two-step procedure. Seedlings (200 mg) were ground in the presence of liquid nitrogen and 2 mL of Trizol extracted in a two-step procedure. Seedlings (200 mg) were selected with the 1164 up-regulated and 301 down-regulated genes, which roughly corresponds to 1% of the genes. A more classical adjusted p-value of 0.005 would select 207 genes. Of the 301 up-regulated genes with a p-value cut-off of 0.001, a total number of 121 genes could be assigned to GO ontologies and used for BiNGO analysis (Supplemental File 4) using a hypergeometric test with a traditional Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction and a post-correction selection significance level of 0.01. The dataset was also analyzed using SAM (Significance analysis of microarrays) (Tusher et al., 2001), and the ranking of genes based on Limma and SAM were comparable (data not shown) and, for simplicity, only the ranking based on Limma is shown.

**Comparing the Transcriptome of the sur2 Mutant to Transcriptomes of Hormone-Treated WT Arabidopsis**

AUXIN: up-regulated genes among the 1000 most significantly differentially expressed genes were compared to Table 4 of Supplementary Data in Overvoorde et al. (2005; Supplemental File 1).

Ethylene: the top-1000 list was compared with the array and cDNA-AFLP data of De Paepe et al. (2004), and the up- and down-regulated genes separately with the 1164 up-regulated and 1306 down-regulated genes retrieved (Dr A.J.M. Peeters, personal communication) from the array experiment in Millenaar et al. (2006; Supplemental File 1).

**Metabolomics**

Extraction of semi-polar metabolites from individual seedlings was performed with boiling 85% methanol (vol/vol) during 2 min. Ten sur2 seedlings and 10 10-day-old wild-type seedlings, shoots, and roots, respectively, were extracted, and after filtration (0.22 μm), the clarified methanol extracts were analyzed by LC–MS as described previously (Hansen et al., 2003; Kristensen et al., 2005). The recorded chromatograms were analyzed with MetAlign (www.pri.wur.nl/UK/products/MetAlign/) in order to align and normalize the two datasets. Only metabolites with intensity higher than two times the noise level and present in nine out of 10 chromatograms were retained and subjected to a t-test, 95% cut-off, to identify metabolites that accumulated significantly in the datasets (Supplemental File 2).

Metabolites were identified based on a combination of accurate mass, UV spectra, fragmentation patterns, as well as by comparison to the in vitro generated glucosides obtained...
by incubation of indole-3-carboxylic acid, indole-3-acetic acid, indole-3-carboxaldehyde, indole-3-acetaldoxime, abscisic acid, coniferyl alcohol, sinapoyl alcohol, and sinapic acid with a range of UGTs as described below. Accurate mass measurements of peaks of interest were determined on a Bruker micrOTOF-Q instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany), coupled to an Agilent 1200 Series LC (Agilent Technologies, Germany). An XTerra MS C18 column (Waters, Milford, MA; 3.5 μm, 2.1 × 100 mm) was used at a flow rate of 0.2 mL min⁻¹. The mobile phases were: A water; B acetonitrile. The gradient program was: 0–20 min, linear gradient 5–100% B; 20–23 min, isocratic 100% B. The mass spectrometer was run in electrospray mode, observing positive ions. Sodium formate clusters created at the beginning and end of the chromatogram were used for calibration. Mass spectral data were handled with the native DataAnalysis software.

Expression of Glycosyltransferases

The glycosyltransferase enzyme preparations used for the in vitro glycosylation assays were produced either in the yeast Pichia pastoris or in Escherichia coli expressed with a HIS-tag. Expression in Pichia pastoris was exerted essentially as described in the EasySelect™ Pichia Expression Kit manual from Invitrogen™ (Carlsbad, CA), using strain KM71H and vector pPICZαA (without HIS-tag) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), except that expression was induced at 20°C for 5 d. The medium was treated with the weakly basic anion-exchanger Amberlite IRA-95 (Supelco), prior to a 50X concentration step and buffer-exchange (10 mM Tris, pH 8, 5 mM DTT) by Amicon Ultra with 30-kD cut-off (Millipore). For bacterial expression, E. coli strain XJb (DE3) Autolysis™ (Zymo Research) and expression vector pET30a+ (Novagen) were used. Enzymes from 250 mL bacterial cultures were purified using HIS-Select™ Nickel Affinity Gel (Sigma), according to the manufacturer’s protocol, resulting in 1 mL final volume of purified enzyme. The enzymes were stored in 50% glycerol.

Activity Measurements Using the Glycosyltransferase Platform

The general assay mixture (total volume: 15 μL) for monitoring glycosylation contained 100 mM Tris, pH 8, 8.2 μM 14C-UDP-glucose (Amersham Biosciences), 100 μM substrate (15 mM stock in DMSO), 3 μg BSA, and 0.5–2 μg enzyme preparation. After incubation (30°C, 30 min), aliquots (5 μL) of the reaction mixture was applied onto TLC aluminum sheets (Silica gel 60 F254, Merck) and developed in a solvent system consisting of ethylacetate: acetone: dichloromethane: methanol: water (20:15:6:5:4 (vol./vol./vol./vol./vol.)). Radiolabeled glucosides formed, were monitored following exposure of the TLC plates on phosphor imager screens (Amersham Biosciences) and phosphor imager analysis (STORM 840 phosphor imager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA)). Structural elucidation of glucosides formed was carried out using LC–MS/MS analysis and aliquots withdrawn from incubation mixtures (50 μL) composed of 100 mM Tris, pH 8, 200 μM UDP-glucose, 100 μM substrate (15 mM stock in DMSO), 10 μg BSA, and 0.5–2 μg enzyme produced in E. coli. Negative controls without UDP-glucose were included. After incubation (30°C, 30 min), 50 μL MeOH was added, precipitate removed by centrifugation, and supernatants analyzed by LC–MS/MS.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at Molecular Plant Online.
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