Molecular cloning, characterization and expression analysis of a heat shock protein 10 (Hsp10) from *Pennisetum glaucum* (L.), a C₄ cereal plant from the semi-arid tropics
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**Abstract** Heat shock proteins (Hsp10) belong to the ubiquitous family of heat-shock molecular chaperones found in the organelles of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Chaperonins assist the folding of nascent and stress-denaturation proteins. A cDNA clone encoding a 10 kDa Hsp was isolated from pearl millet, *Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) by screening a heat stress cDNA library. The full-length *PgHsp10* cDNA consisted of 297 bp open reading frame (ORF) encoding a 98 amino acid polypeptide with a predicted molecular mass of 10.61 kDa and an estimated isoelectric point (pI) of 7.95. *PgHsp10* shares 70–98% sequence identity with other plant homologs. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that *PgHsp10* is evolutionarily close to the maize Hsp10 homolog. The predicted 3D model confirmed a conserved eight-stranded β-barrel with active site between the β-barrel comprising of eight-strands, with conserved domain VLLPEYGG sandwiched between two β-sheets. The gene consisted of 3 exons and 2 introns, while the position and phasing of these introns were conserved similar to other plant *Hsp10* family genes. In silico analysis of the promoter region of *PgHsp10* presented several distinct set of *cis*-elements and transcription factor binding sites. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis showed that *PgHsp10* gene was differentially expressed in response to abiotic stresses with the highest level of expression under heat stress conditions. Results of this study provide useful information regarding the role of chaperonins in stress regulation and generated leads for further elucidation of their function in plant stress tolerance.
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**Abbreviations**

*Pg* *Pennisetum glaucum*  
*PgHsp10* *Pennisetum glaucum* heat shock protein 10  
ABA Abscisic acid  
SA Salicylic acid  
Cpn Chaperonin

**Introduction**

Molecular chaperons are a part of cellular machinery that assists folding of newly synthesized proteins to their native state. Chaperonins are unique, highly molecular weight cylindrical complexes which aid protein folding that is unmanageable by simpler chaperon systems [1]. The term chaperonin was first suggested [2] to describe proteins homologous to *Escherichia coli* GroEL, which belongs to a class of molecular chaperones found in prokaryotes and in the mitochondria and plastids of eukaryotes [3]. Most important examples of chaperonins are the prokaryotic GroEL and the corresponding eukaryotic Hsp60. Chaperonins are classified into two subfamilies, the GroE chaperonins (Group I) found in bacteria, mitochondria and plastids (e.g. GroE and chCpn60) and the CCT chaperonins (Group II), found in Archaea and in the cytosol of eukaryotes (e.g. trigger factor 55, thermosomes and the...
TCP-1 ring complex) [4]. Group I Cpn60 (also known as Hsp60) acts in the company of a co-chaperonin Cpn10 (Hsp10) in an ATP-dependent manner. While in bacteria, the Cpn10 is encoded by a single gene groES, in algae and plants, the plastid Hsp10 is encoded by multiple genes [5]. In plants, Hsp10 or Cpn10 can be classified as a member of the chaperonin family [6]. PgHsp10, homologous to Hsp10 genes found in other plant species such as Arabidopsis thaliana (Gene ID: 838063) and Zea mays (Gene ID: 100193174) can thus be categorized as a co-chaperonin. Additionally, Hsp10 as co-chaperonins have been identified from plants such as Oryza sativa [7], Hordeum vulgare [8], Triticum aestivum, Phaseolus vulgaris and Pisum sativum [9].

Although the bacterial Hsp10 is a ~10 kDa polypeptide, a ~20 kDa homologue comprising of two subunits is found in plastids. The two subunits are joined by a TDDVKD-linker sequence in head to tail fashion [10]. Hsp10 functions with Hsp60 as double-ring assemblies composed of back-to-back stacked rings of closely related rotationally symmetrical subunits [11], assisting in folding, assembly and sorting of proteins. The functions of Hsps in response to abiotic stress have been unraveled in organisms such as bacteria, yeast, insects, animals, plants and cell cultures [12]. Recent studies shed light on the role of chaperonins with regards to abiotic stress tolerance in plants [13]. Function of heat shock proteins such as Hsp70, Hsp60, Hsp90, Hsp100 and small Hsps belonging to various Hsp genes from Oryza sativa [7], Hordeum vulgare [8], Triticum aestivum, Phaseolus vulgaris and Pisum sativum [9].

Materials and methods

Plant material and stress treatments

Pennisetum glaucum (L.) seeds, line 863B (a drought tolerant parental line) and ICMR 1122, ICMR 1086, ICMR 1152 and ICMR 1078 (high resolution cross genotypes) were procured from the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India. The seeds were surface-sterilized and grown in 8” plastic pots filled with 5.5 kg of alfisol mixed with sand and compost mixture under 14/10 h light/dark cycle in a glasshouse. Two sets of plants were grown, wherein one set was treated as control (plants were allowed to grow under normal conditions without subjecting to stress conditions) and the other set was used for various types of stress treatments. For library construction, 14-day-old plants were exposed to heat treatment at 45 °C for different intervals of time. For transcript analysis, 14-day-old seedlings were subjected to different stress treatments for variable time periods. Dehydration stress was administered by withholding irrigation. For cold stress and heat stress, plants were incubated at 4 and 45 °C, respectively. Salt stress was administered via a hydroponic system wherein seedlings were dipped in a tray containing 250 mM salt (NaCl) solution. To induce ABA and SA stress, the seedlings were sprayed with 100 μM ABA/SA solutions. For vapour pressure deficit (VPD) stress treatment at 4.2 kPa, the plants were exposed to high temperature (40 °C) and humidity (38 %). VPD sensitive and insensitive genotypes were selected, based upon our previous studies, wherein, the transpiration rate (Tr, in g cm−2 min−1) was assessed on two pairs of pearl millet genotypes which were initially identified as contrasting for the transpiration response to increasing VPD. These lines belonged to a high resolution cross (HRC), which was developed by crossing one of the most promising near isogenic lines (NILs) (ICMR 01029) [22, 23] to drought sensitive H77/833-2. HRC contrasting genotypes were therefore VPD insensitive (ICMR 1122
and ICMR 1086) and VPD sensitive (ICMR 1152 and ICMR 1078). Thus, these were fine mapping recombinant of a population of pearl millet that segregates for the capacity to restrict transpiration under high VPD conditions [24]. Tissue samples from seedlings, leaf, panicle, mature seeds and roots were also collected at different growth stages of the P. glaucum under glasshouse conditions. Seedlings and root samples were collected at 6 and 20 DAS (days after sowing) and flowers and mature seeds were collected at 40 and 90 DAS, respectively. After treatment, tissue samples were harvested by flash freezing in liquid nitrogen from both stressed and control plants and stored at −80 °C for RNA isolation.

Cloning of the PgHsp10 cDNA and genomic clone

An EST clone exhibiting maximum homology with the Hsp10 chaperonin gene (GenBank Acc: CD725310) was identified from the stress responsive EST database [25]. This clone was used as a probe for screening the Pennisetum heat stress cDNA library using the plaque hybridization method, as described earlier [25]. Plaques showing positive signals were purified to homogeneity following two rounds of screening. The positive recombinant cDNA inserts were prepared for sequencing [26]. Genomic DNA was isolated by using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The PgHsp10 genomic fragment was PCR amplified using 150 ng of forward (5′-ATGGCGAA-GAGGCTGCTCCCGTC-3′) and reverse (5′-TCAGTCCA-CAAGTGTACCCAAGAT-3′) primers along with 200 μM of each dNTPs, 2.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and 150 ng of genomic DNA as template in a 50 μl reaction volume. The PCR cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 2 min for 30 cycles. A product of 1654 bp was cloned into the topo-TA vector. The predicted 3-D structure was visualized and compared with Z. mays Hsp10 (ZmHsp10) by using PyMol. The secondary structure of PgHsp10 was predicted by using PSIPRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) [31].

Sequence analysis of PgHsp10 gene

To identify genes encoding Hsp10 family members in other plant species, sequence similarity searches were performed using the Blast N, Blast X and Blast P programmes of National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Multiple sequence alignments were performed by using clustalW (MacVector programme). ORF length and intron numbers of the PgHsp10 gene were analyzed and confirmed by comparing the sequences of cDNA and respective genomic clones using the EMBL sequence alignment and MacVector ClustalW programmes. Translated cDNA sequences of different monocot and dicot plant species were used to construct a phylogenetic tree. Theoretical amino acid composition, molecular weight, pl, hydropathy analysis, grand average of hydropathicity, aliphatic index and estimated half-life of the PgHsp10 protein were determined using the Expert protein analysis system (EXPASY) tools (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools).

Structural analysis and homology modeling of PgHsp10 protein

PgHsp10 molecular model was generated using the homology modeling server SWISS-MODEL [30] using the crystal structure of 10 kDa chaperonin 4pj.1.1.Y as template. The predicted 3-D structure was visualized and compared with Z. mays Hsp10 (ZmHsp10) by using PyMol. The secondary structure of PgHsp10 was predicted by using PSIPRED (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) [31].

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from P. glaucum seedlings exposed to different abiotic stress conditions and their corresponding controls using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). First-strand cDNA was synthesized separately from these RNA samples using first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) and used for quantitative PCR amplification using specific oligonucleotide primers (5′-GAGGCTGCTCCCGTC-3′ and 5′-GACTTGACTGCTCCAGCAACGC-3′) for PgHsp10 and 5′-GTGGAGCCATACCAGCCAA-3′ and 5′-GGCAGTGCTGTTGAAGGAGT-3′ for Pg-β-Actin and 5′-AGAAGGCCTGTTACCTCAT-3′ and 5′-CAGTCTGG GTGAGGAATCT-3′ for PgMDH as a housekeeping
reference genes). Quantitative real-time PCR reactions were performed in optical 96-well plated with an iCycler (BioRad, USA) using SYBR® Green. The reaction conditions were programme to 2 min at 95 °C (polymerase activation), 40 cycles of 95–60 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. Amplicon dissociation curves were recorded after cycle 40 by heating from 60 to 95 °C with a ramp speed of 1.9 °C/min. Experiments were performed independently three times, and the average data was considered for further analysis. The relative change in expression levels of \( \text{PgHsp10} \) transcripts in different tissues of the plant or in response to abiotic stress conditions was predicted using REST software [32] using \( \text{Pg-ß-Actin} \) and \( \text{PgMDH} \) as the reference genes [21]. The normalized expressions of \( \text{PgHsp10} \) gene in different tissues were normalized against \( \text{Pg-ß-Actin} \) and \( \text{PgMDH} \) reference genes (qBase plus software (ver: 2.4; Biogazelle, Belgium) [33].

Results

Cloning and sequence analysis of \( \text{PgHsp10} \) gene

The full-length cDNA encoding for \( \text{PgHsp10} \) sequence was isolated by screening a \( \text{P. glaucum} \) cDNA library using \( \text{PgHsp10} \) EST (GenBank Acc: CD725310) as a radioactive probe [20]. The cDNA contained a 297 bp long open reading frame corresponding to the \( \text{PgHsp10} \) gene. The \( \text{PgHsp10} \) protein was found to comprise of 98 amino acids with a theoretical molecular weight corresponding to 10.61 kDa and isoelectric point of 7.95 as predicted using the ProtParam tool from Expasy (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools). The protein was predicted to be localized in the mitochondria using Expasy tools (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools).

Phylogenetic analysis and multiple sequence alignment

The phylogenetic relationship between Hsp10 proteins from \( \text{P. glaucum} \) and other selective monocots and dicots was evaluated by constructing a neighbor-joining tree (Fig. 1a). Evolutionarily, \( \text{PgHsp10} \) was found to be closely related with its homologue from \( \text{Z. mays} \). Also, a distinct relationship between monocot Hsp10 sequences was evident from the branch distances. Multiple sequence alignment indicated that \( \text{PgHsp10} \) exhibited 70–98 % sequence identity with homologous sequences from other monocots such as \( \text{Z. mays} \), \( \text{O. sativa} \), \( \text{Sorghum bicolor} \), \( \text{Hordeum vulgare} \), \( \text{Vitis vinifera} \) and \( \text{Populus trichocarpa} \) (Fig. 1b). VLLPEYGG domain of Hsp10 was found to be conserved throughout all the sequences; moreover, the MAKRLLP domain, a characteristic N-terminus signal peptide was present in Hsp10 sequences of \( \text{P. glaucum} \) and \( \text{Z. mays} \) (Fig. 1b).

Genomic organization of \( \text{PgHsp10} \)

The structural organization of \( \text{Hsp10} \) genes from various monocot and dicot plants was predicted by comparing the genomic and cDNA sequences (Fig. 2a). The coding region of \( \text{PgHsp10} \) consisted of 3 exons interrupted by 2 introns.
A similar pattern was also observed in the coding regions of Hsp10 from *S. bicolor*, *O. sativa*, *Populus* sp. and *A. thaliana*. Amongst these genomic regions, the length of exon 1 was found to be 105 nucleotides. Similarly, exon number 3 was predicted to be highly conserved, ranging from 47 to 51 nucleotides. However, the genomic region of Hsp10 from *Z. mays* (*ZmHsp10*), the nearest neighbor of *PgHsp10*, lacked introns. All exons had similar starting codons; exon 1 starting with adenine (A) and ending with guanidine (G), and exon 2 starting with cytosine (C) and ending with guanidine (G). Similar pattern was also observed with exon 3 that had initial nucleotide as thymidine (T) and last nucleotide as adenine (A). Since the phasing was conserved in Hsp10 genes from *P. glaucum*, *S. bicolor*, *O. sativa* and *A. thaliana* it suggested that the derivation of these genes was a consequence of duplication of a common ancestral gene.

**Molecular structure and homology modeling of the PgHsp10 protein**

In silico prediction revealed that *PgHsp10* protein had an pI and molecular weight corresponding to 7.95 and 10.615 kDa, respectively. The aliphatic index of *PgHsp10* was 117.35 which defines relative volume occupied by aliphatic side chains like alanine, valine, isoleucine, and leucine. Estimated value of half-life of *PgHsp10* was 30 h (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro). A predicted 3-D structural model was constructed on the basis of available information from a closely related heterologous source. Molecular modeling of *PgHsp10* was carried out using template molecule showing highest identity (54.17 %) on target-template alignment and highest resolution (3.15 Å) (Fig. 2b). Predicted 3D structure confirmed a conserved β-barrel comprising of eight-strands, with conserved domain VLLPEYGG sandwiched between two β-sheets, as shown in Fig. 2b. The template thus selected was 4PJ1, the crystal structure of the human mitochondrial chaperonin. The same template was used for modeling of *ZmHsp10* (Fig. 2c). The two structures were aligned with each other to exhibit 98 % identity and RMSD value of 0.01. While the structural comparison of *PgHsp10* and *ZmHsp10* revealed very high similarity, the differences were observed only in the presence of two residues (Glu and Lys) in the coil at 52nd and 54th position in the former as compared to Ala and Asn in the later.
Promoter analysis

A PCR-based directional genome walking protocol by using Phi29 DNA polymerase was used to clone the promoter of the PgHsp10 gene. This method produced overlapping fragments of whole genomic DNA with unique single-stranded walker adapter on their 5’ ends [26]. Subsequently, a specific primer (5’-GGGCTTCTTCTTGGCGTGCAC-3’) synthesized in the antisense orientation based on the PgHsp10 cDNA sequence information was used to amplify a 0.9 kb region of genomic DNA. In silico analysis of this promoter sequence suggested the presence of a number of putative stress cis-regulatory elements, such as the MYB binding site involved in response to drought, Motif IIB for ABA responsive expression, CGTCA and LTR motif for methyl jasmonate responsiveness and GARE-motif gibberellin responsive expression. Other cis-regulatory elements such as AE box responsible for light response, and GCN4 motif responsible for endosperm-specific expression were also predicted to be present (Fig. 3).

Expression profile of PgHsp10 gene under different experimental conditions

The expression profiles of PgHsp10 transcript in response to different abiotic stress conditions such as cold, salt, drought, heat, high VPD and phytohormone treatments were analysed. The relative expression levels of PgHsp10 gene in tissue subjected to stress was compared with its corresponding control tissue using Relative Expression Software Tool (REST). PgHsp10 demonstrated varied expression profiles in response to abiotic stress conditions where its expression decreased gradually with time during cold stress and reducing up to 50 % within 24 h (Fig. 4a). As opposed to this, PgHsp10 transcripts increased in abundance with time when subjected to salt and drought stresses. Although a steady upregulation was observed in response to drought stress, salt stress induced rapid expression after 24 h. An interesting expression pattern was observed when the plants were exposed to high temperatures of 40 and 48 °C, respectively (Fig. 4b). At 40 °C, the gene was upregulated 24-fold in the initial 1 h of heat shock, and down regulated as the plant acclimatized to the temperature. However, at a higher temperature of 48 °C, the transcript abundance of PgHsp10 slowly increased up to ~6-fold that of control within 4 h. This might point towards the incapability of PgHsp10 with respect to countering exposure to high temperature. Furthermore, during high VPD treatment, high levels of PgHsp10 expression were observed in the VPD insensitive genotypes, that are, ICMR 1122 and ICMR 1086, whereas the VPD sensitive genotypes (ICMR 1152 and ICMR 1076) showed down-regulation of the gene. Also, the expression in leaves was higher than that in root tissue in all the tested genotypes except for ICMR 1152 (Fig. 5a).

The expression patterns of PgHsp10 in response to stress induced by treatment with ABA and SA hormones lacked any specific pattern (Fig. 4c). Plants treated with ABA showed a transient down-regulation at 8 h, while those treated with SA showed transient up-regulation at 4 h that did not deviate significantly from the normalized expression at other time intervals. In addition to these studies, the expression of Hsp10 in various plant tissues such as seedling, leaf, panicle, mature seed and root were also studied. The normalized expression was found to be approximately 2.5-fold enhanced in the roots followed by 1.6- and 1.3-fold in leaf and panicle, respectively. The seedling and mature seed exhibited slight upregulation in the expression of Hsp10 (Fig. 5b).

---

**Fig. 3** Promoter sequence of the PgHsp10 gene (upstream region ~0.9 kb). Various putative cis-regulatory elements have been marked in the upstream region of the PgHsp10 gene.
Pennisetum glaucum (Pearl millet) is a robust annual crop belonging to the family Poaceae, grown mostly in the semi-arid regions where it is prominently subjected to heat and drought stress conditions. Such abiotic stress conditions result in over 50 % crop yield losses across the world [34]. To counter these constraints, it is important to elucidate the mechanisms of stress tolerance, since many physiological and molecular stress response mechanisms...
function synergistically to uphold cellular homeostasis and conclude the plant reproduction cycle [34, 35]. This crop is known to withstand heat stress by achieving rapid growth under high temperature conditions where factors such as root growth, and physiological processes required for growth are stimulated at higher temperatures [36]. As pearl millet exhibits adaptation to heat stress, it is believed to possess a variety of genes necessary to thrive in heat stress. Earlier studies point towards a range of abiotic stress alleviating genes such as chaperonins, heat shock factors and dehydrins, that have been found in the pearl millet genome [19, 20, 37, 38].

Hsps are predominantly involved in signal transduction during high temperature, followed by their role as chaperonins in protein folding and transport. Hsps help the native proteins to retain their functional conformation and avoid the aggregation of non-native proteins, thereby aiding survival under stress conditions. Generally, the expression of Hsps is induced in response to a variety of stress conditions such as extreme temperatures, drought, salinity, wounding and oxidative stress [39]. A large number of ESTs corresponding to stress responsive Hsps have been reported in P. glaucum [25]. Particularly, in the present study, the expression profile of Hsp10 that acts by forming a complex with Hsp60 has been evaluated in response to abiotic stress conditions. As reported earlier [40], Hsp60 exhibited enhanced expression under heat stress in Z. mays. As Hsp60 and Hsp10 function together, the later was believed to demonstrate similar expression profile.

In the present study, cDNA and genomic sequences encoding Hsp10 chaperonin from P. glaucum have been isolated and cloned. Analysis of the nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences indicated a close relation between PgHsp10 and Hsp10 genes from other plants, particularly with ZmHsp10, showing a 98% sequence identity. The genomic organization of the Hsp10 coding region is highly similar across monocots such as rice and sorghum. The lack of introns in ZmHsp10 may be attributed to the subcellular localization of this protein, as opposed to the mitochondrial localization of Hsp10 proteins from other plants. The three-dimensional structures of PgHsp10 and ZmHsp10 shed light upon the structural conservation of these two proteins that comprise of 8 antiparallel β-sheets, a structural characteristic displayed by Hsp10 proteins isolated from Thermus thermophilus and human mitochondria [41]. The 98 amino acid protein was found to be localized in the mitochondrion that is evident by the presence of an N-terminus signal peptide (MAKRLLLP).

In plants, the cis-regulatory elements (CREs) are considered to play an important part in regulation of transcription, especially during stress conditions [42]. To evaluate the contribution of CREs in stress induced expression of PgHsp10 gene, the 5’ flanking region of the gene was analyzed using the PLACE and PlantCare suite. In agreement with earlier studies on PgLEA proteins [20], drought, ABA and methyl jasmonate responsive CREs were found in the sequence upstream of the PgHsp10 gene. Thus, it can be said that various CREs, combined with their corresponding transcription factors regulate the expression of PgHsp10 transcript under different abiotic stress conditions.

Hsp10, which belongs to multigenic category, exhibits high sequence similarity amongst its family members. Owing to this, monitoring the expression of individual genes belonging to Hsp10 family by Northern analysis proves to be difficult due to cross hybridization. To date, we lack information on the expression of Hsp10 family genes under wide range of abiotic stress conditions in millets, especially in pearl millet. Under abiotic stress conditions, the typical protein folding and transport patterns in an organism are disturbed. Stress conditions trigger incorrect folding or degradation of proteins, thereby necessitating the presence of molecular chaperons to achieve a functional structure. Thus, during stress conditions, the expression levels of heat shock proteins may be enhanced to compensate for protein degradation. Hsp10 acts as a co-chaperonin in complex with Hsp60. Thus, to enhance the formation of this complex, an acute increase in the expression of Hsp10 is predictable. As widely known, heat shock proteins regulate the folding and transport of proteins under stress conditions. Under abiotic stress conditions, plants incline towards completion of their reproduction cycle at a premature stage [43]. The consequence is very high water uptake and transport through roots, requiring high activity of water transport proteins in the root tissue [44]. This might attribute to high expression of Hsp10 in roots of plants subjected to stress, especially high VPD. Though PgHsp10 showed high expression in response to heat stress, its up-regulation was not significant at very high temperatures (48 °C). This might point towards the incapability of PgHsp10 with respect to countering exposure to very high temperature. Also, the panicle being an important organ in reproduction shows significantly high Hsp10 expression.

Conclusion

The cDNA sequences of a gene (PgHsp10) encoding for mitochondrial Hsp10 polypeptide from Pennisetum glaucum was successfully cloned and characterized. By homology modeling and phylogenetic analysis studies, it was recognized that PgHsp10 shared 98% sequence identity with maize Hsp10 homolog and is evolutionarily
close to the Z. mays Hsp10. The coding region of PgHsp10 was governed by three exons and two introns. PgHsp10 gene was differentially expressed in response to abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, abscisic acid, salicylic acid, cold and heat with the highest level of expression under heat stress. This expression profile may attribute to counteract the stress-induced protein damage in P. glaucum. Plant responses to salinity, dehydration and temperature fluctuations are very complex and facilitated through different protein components involved in stress induced damage repair processes. This study provides useful insights on structural features and response of PgHsp10 when plants are subjected to different abiotic stress conditions in different developmental stages.

Acknowledgments This work was supported partially by the Department of Biotechnology (Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India) to MKR. PSR acknowledges the Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India for the fellowship and research grant through the INSPIRE Faculty Award No. IFALSPA-06 and Young Scientist Scheme SB/YS/LS-12/2013. This work has been undertaken as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Dryland Cereals.

Author contribution Conceived and designed the experiments: PSR, VV and MKR. Performed the experiments: RN, RY, PSR. Analyzed the data: PSR, KKR, VV. Wrote the manuscript: PSR, RN, RY, MKR and KKS.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Spiess C, Meyer AS, Reissmann S, Frydman J (2004) Mechanism of the eukaryotic chaperonin: protein folding in the chamber of secrets. Trends Cell Biol 14(11):598–604
2. Hemmingsen SM, Woolfard C, van der Vies SM, Tilly K, Dennis DT, Georgopoulos CP, Hendrix RW, Ellis RJ (1988) Homologous plant and bacterial proteins chaperone oligomeric protein assembly. Nature 333(6171):330–334
3. Hartl FU (1996) Molecular chaperones in cellular protein folding. Nature 381(6583):571–580
4. Ranson NA, White HE, Saibl HR (1998) Chaperonins. Biochem J 333(Pt2):233–242
5. Schroda M (2004) The Chlamydomonas genome reveals its secrets: chaperone genes and the potential roles of their gene products in the chloroplast. Photosynth Res 82(3):221–240
6. Hill JE, Hemmingsen SM (2001) Arabidopsis thaliana type I and II chaperonins. Cell Stress Chaperones 6(3):190
7. Jung KH, Ko HJ, Nguyen MX, Kim SK, Ronald P, An G (2012) Genome-wide identification and analysis of early heat stress responsive genes in rice. J Plant Biol 55(6):458–468
8. Hartman DJ, Dougan D, Hoogenraad NJ, Hoj PB (1992) Heat shock proteins of barley mitochondria and chloroplasts Identification of organelar hsp 10 and 12: putative chaperonin 10 homologues. FEBS Lett 305(2):147–150
9. Viitanen PV, Schmidt M, Buchner J, Suzuki T, Vierling E, Dickson R, Soll J (1995) Functional characterization of the higher plant chloroplast chaperonins. J Biol Chem 270(30):18158–18164
10. Trosch R, Muhlhau P, Schrda M, Willmud F (2015) ATP-dependent molecular chaperones in plastids—more complex than expected. Biochim Biophys Acta 9:872–888
11. Buka B, Horwich AL (1998) The Hsp70 and Hsp60 chaperone machines. Cell 92(3):351–366
12. Parsell DA, Lindquist S (1993) The function of heat-shock proteins in stress tolerance: degradation and reactivation of damaged proteins. Annu Rev Genet 27(1):437–496
13. Wang WX, Vinocur B, Shoseoyov O, Altman A (2004) Role of plant heat-shock proteins and molecular chaperones in the abiotic stress response. Trends Plant Sci 9(5):244–252
14. Zou J, Liu A, Chen X, Zhou X, Gao G, Wang W, Zhang X (2009) Expression analysis of nine rice heat shock protein genes under abiotic stresses and ABA treatment. J Plant Physiol 166(8):851–861
15. Sun L, Liu Y, Kong X, Zhang D, Pan J, Zhou Y, Wang L, Li D, Yang X (2012) ZmHSP16.9, a cytosolic class I small heat shock protein in maize (Zea mays), confers heat tolerance in transgenic tobacco. Plant Cell Rep 31(8):1473–1484
16. Islam SM, Tuteja N (2012) Enhancement of androgenesis by abiotic stress and other pretreatments in major crop species. Plant Sci 182:134–144
17. Xu Q, Qin Y (2012) Molecular cloning of heat shock protein 60 (PHSP60) from Portunus trituberculatus and its expression response to salinity stress. Cell Stress Chaperones 17(5):589–601
18. Reddy PS, Mallikarjuna G, Kauf T, Chakravathar T, Mishra RN, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2010) Molecular cloning and characterization of gene encoding for cytoplasmic Hsc70 from Pennisetum glaucum may play a protective role against abiotic stresses. Mol Genet Genomics 283(3):243–254
19. Rao JL, Reddy PS, Mishra RN, Gupta D, Sahal D, Tuteja N, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2010) Thermo and pH stable ATP-independent chaperone activity of heat-inducible Hsp70 from Pennisetum glaucum. Plant Signal Behav 5(2):110–121
20. Reddy PS, Thirulogachandar V, Vaishnavi CS, Aakrati A, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2011) Molecular characterization and expression of a gene encoding cytosolic Hsp90 from Pennisetum glaucum and its role in abiotic stress adaptation. Gene 474(1–2):29–38
21. Reddy PS, Reddy DS, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Sharma KK, Vadez V (2015) Cloning and validation of reference genes for normalization of gene expression studies in pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] by quantitative real-time PCR. Plant Gene 1:35–42
22. Serraj R, Hash CT, Rizvi SMH, Sharma A, Yadav BS, Bidinger FR (2005) Recent advances in marker-assisted selection for drought tolerance in pearl millet. Plant Prod Sci 8:334–337
23. Vadez V, Kholova J, Zaman-Allah M, Belko N (2013) Water: the most important ‘molecular’ component of water stress tolerance research. Funct Plant Biol 40:1310–1322
24. Kholova J, Nepolean T, Hash CT, Supriya A, Rajaram V, Senthivel S, Kakker A, Yadav R, Vadez V (2012) Water saving traits co-map with a major terminal drought tolerance quantitative trait locus in pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] by quantitative-time PCR. Plant Breed 30(3):1337–1353
25. Mishra RN, Reddy PS, Nair S, Markandeya G, Reddy AR, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2007) Isolation and characterization of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from subtracted cDNA libraries of Pennisetum glaucum seedlings. Plant Mol Biol 64(6):713–732
26. Reddy PS, Nair S, Mallikarjuna G, Kauf T, Markandeya G, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2008) A high-throughput, low-cost method for the preparation of sequencing-ready’ phage DNA template. Anal Biochem 376:258–261
27. Reddy PS, Mahanty S, Kauf T, Nair S, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2008) A high-throughput genome walking method and its use for
cloning unknown flanking sequences. Anal Biochem 381:248–253
28. Higo K, Ugawa Y, Iwamoto M, Korenaga T (1999) Plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE) database: 1999. Nucleic Acids Res 27(1):297–300
29. Lescot M, Dehais P, Thijs G, Marchal K, Moreau Y, Van de Peer Y, Rouze P, Rombauts S (2002) PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 30(1):325–327
30. Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T (2006) The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics 22(2):195–201
31. Jones DT (1999) Protein secondary structure prediction based on position-specific scoring matrices. J Mol Biol 292(2):195–202
32. Pfaffl MW, Horgan GW, Dempfle L (2002) Relative expression software tool (REST) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 30(9):e36
33. Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J (2007) qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome Biol 8(2):R19
34. Wang W, Vinocur B, Altman A (2003) Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. Planta 218(1):1–14
35. Zhu JK (2001) Plant salt tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 6(2):66–71
36. Ashraf M, Hafeez M (2004) Thermotolerance of pearl millet and maize at early growth stages: growth and nutrient relations. Biol Plant 48(1):81–86
37. Reddy RA, Kumar B, Reddy PS, Mishra RN, Mahanty S, Kaul T, Nair S, Sopory SK, Reddy MK (2009) Molecular cloning and characterization of genes encoding *Pennisetum glaucum* ascorbate peroxidase and heat-shock factor: interlinking oxidative and heat-stress responses. J Plant Physiol 166(15):1646–1659
38. Singh J, Reddy PS, Reddy CS, Reddy MK (2015) Molecular cloning and characterization of salt inducible dehydrin gene from the C4 plant *Pennisetum glaucum*. Plant Gene 4:55–63
39. Pareek A, Singla SL, Grover A (1995) Immunological evidence for accumulation of two high-molecular-weight (104 and 90 kDa) HSPs in response to different stresses in rice and in response to high temperature stress in diverse plant genera. Plant Mol Biol 29(2):293–301
40. Prasad TK, Stewart CR (1992) cDNA clones encoding Arabidopsis thaliana and *Zea mays* mitochondrial chaperonin HSP60 and gene expression during seed germination and heat shock. Plant Mol Biol 18(5):873–885
41. Shimamura T, Koike-Takeshita A, Yokoyama K, Masui R, Murai N, Yoshida M, Taguchi H, Iwata S (2004) Crystal structure of the native chaperonin complex from *Thermus thermophilus* revealed unexpected asymmetry at the cis-cavity. Structure 12(8):1471–1480
42. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2005) Organization of cis-acting regulatory elements in osmotic- and cold-stress-responsive promoters. Trends Plant Sci 10(2):88–94
43. Jain D, Chattopadhyay D (2010) Analysis of gene expression in response to water deficit of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties differing in drought tolerance. BMC Plant Biol 10:24
44. Maurel C, Chrispeels MJ (2001) Aquaporins. A molecular entry into plant water relations. Plant Physiol 125(1):135–138