The CTGF -945GC polymorphism is not associated with plasma CTGF and does not predict nephropathy or outcome in type 1 diabetes
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Abstract
The -945GC polymorphism (rs6918698) in the connective tissue growth factor gene promoter (CTGF/CCN-2) has been associated with end organ damage in systemic sclerosis. Because CTGF is important in progression of diabetic kidney disease, we investigated whether the -945GC polymorphism is associated with plasma CTGF level and outcome in type 1 diabetes.

The study cohort consisted of 448 diabetic nephropathy patients and 419 normoalbuminuric diabetic patients with complete data concerning renal function and cardiovascular characteristics. Genomic DNA was genotyped by a QPCR-based SNP assay. We observed no relation between the -945GC polymorphism and plasma CTGF level, and the genotype frequencies were not different in nephropathy patients vs. normoalbuminuric controls. General and cardiovascular mortality, and renal function decline was similar in patients with CC, CG or GG genotypes.

In conclusion, the -945GC SNP does not affect plasma CTGF levels, incidence and prognosis of diabetic nephropathy, and cardiovascular outcome.

Findings
Connective tissue growth factor (CTGF/CCN-2) is a key peptide mediating organ fibrosis [1-3]. Fonseca et al. identified a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) at position -945 upstream from the transcription initiation site of the CTGF gene (-945GC) overrepresented in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) and associated with a higher incidence of lung fibrosis [4]. Subsequent studies have either confirmed or questioned the association of the G allele with incidence and severity of SSc, and its relation with in vivo CTGF expression levels has not been studied to date [5,6]. Also in diabetic nephropathy, CTGF is an important pathogenic factor, and plasma CTGF levels independently predict mortality and end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [7]. A recent study in hemodialysis patients indicated that the -945GC polymorphism might be associated with cardiovascular, but not all-cause mortality [8]. Therefore, we examined the possible relevance of the -945GC polymorphism for plasma CTGF levels, and for nephropathy and associated manifestations in patients with type 1 diabetes.

General characteristics and baseline parameters of patients are summarized in Table 1.

Smoking and body mass index (BMI) did not differ significantly between diabetic nephropathy and normoalbuminuric subjects. Retinopathy, blood pressure, use of antihypertensive medication, and parameters of nephropathy were all higher in the diabetic nephropathy group as compared to the normoalbuminuric subjects.

Genomic DNA was genotyped by a Custom-Taqman-SNP-Genotyping-Assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) for the GC polymorphism at position -945. The distributions of the genotypes were in accordance with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for the entire population (p = 0.52), and the subgroups divided by presence or absence of nephropathy (p = 0.49 and p = 0.10 respectively). Genotype frequencies were very similar between diabetic nephropathy and diabetic normoalbuminuric patients, with a frequency of the G allele of 22.8% in the DN group as compared to 21.9% in the
The power of the study was determined using web-based software (http://www.stat.ubc.ca/~rollin/stats/ssize/b2.html). This showed a power of 95% for detection of a 10% increase in DN patients of the GG genotype frequency, i.e. an increase to 31.9% in DN as compared to the 21.9% in the NA patients which was comparable with the previously observed range of 30 to 20% in diseased vs. control groups [4].

Plasma CTGF levels were determined in a subset of 381 by a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using monoclonal antibodies against two distinct epitopes of human CTGF (FibroGen, San Francisco, CA) as described previously [7]. Diabetic nephropathy was associated with significantly elevated CTGF levels (381.3 pmol/l (270.3-626.4) in DN vs. 235.2 pmol/l (168.1-352.9) in normoalbuminuria, p < 0.0001). However, there was no difference in CTGF levels between genotypes (Figure 1). Also, linear regression analysis could not predict plasma CTGF levels from genotype (not shown).

The mean follow-up time in the diabetic nephropathy group was comparable to that in the normoalbuminuric group, 9.0 ± 3.3 and 8.6 ± 3.2 years, respectively. At follow-up, the presence of renal and cardiovascular endpoints was compared with genotype. There were no

| Table 1 Patient characteristics at baseline |
|--------------------------------------------|
| **Patient characteristics**                |
| N (% male)                                  |
| 448 (60.9)                                  |
| 419 (54.4)                                  |
| p = 0.02                                    |
| Age (years)                                 |
| 42.2 ± 10.5                                 |
| 45.3 ± 11.5                                 |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| Duration of DM (years)                      |
| 28.2 ± 8.7                                  |
| 27.8 ± 10.1                                 |
| p = 0.025                                   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                 |
| 24.2 ± 3.3                                  |
| 24.1 ± 2.9                                  |
| p = 0.7                                     |
| Retinopathy (nil/simplex/ proliferative)    |
| 7/135/306                                   |
| 151/159/109                                 |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| Antihypertensiva (no/yes)                   |
| 95/308                                      |
| 351/68                                      |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| Smokers (%)                                 |
| 46                                          |
| 39                                          |
| p = 0.05                                    |
| **Glycemic control**                        |
| Blood glucose (mmol/l)                      |
| 11.0 ± 5.4                                  |
| 9.4 ± 4.7                                   |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| HbA1c (%)                                   |
| 9.4 ± 1.5                                   |
| 8.4 ± 1.1                                   |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| **Parameters of Nephropathy**               |
| UAE (mg/24 h)                               |
| 593.1 (250.0-1519.5)                        |
| 7.0 (4.0-120)                               |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)                  |
| 102 (82.0-136.3)                            |
| 79 (53-81)                                  |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| GFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)                        |
| 66.1 ± 27.7                                 |
| 87.4 ± 14.9                                 |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| ESRD (%)                                    |
| 24 (70.8)                                   |
| 0                                           |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| Systolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)             |
| 144.3 ± 21.8                                |
| 133.8 ± 18.6                                |
| p < 0.001                                   |
| Diastolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)            |
| 82.5 ± 12.2                                 |
| 76.1 ± 9.6                                  |
| p < 0.001                                   |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, median (interquartile range), or N (%). The study was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethical committee of Copenhagen County. All patients gave informed consent.

| Table 2 Distribution of genotype and allele frequencies for the CTGF promoter polymorphism at -945 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Genotype frequencies (%)**                                                                   |
| **Diabetic Nephropathy**                                                                       |
| **Normoalbuminuria**                                                                            |
| **Total**                                                                                       |
| **P-value**                                                                                    |
| **CTGF -945GC polymorphism**                                                                    |
| CC                                                |
| 126 (28.1)                                      |
| 126 (30.1)                                      |
| 252 (29.0)                                      |
| 0.481                                                                                           |
| CG                                                |
| 220 (49.1)                                      |
| 201 (48.0)                                      |
| 421 (48.6)                                      |
| GG                                                |
| 102 (22.8)                                      |
| 92 (21.9)                                       |
| 194 (22.4)                                      |
| **Total**                                                                                       |
| 448 (100)                                       |
| 448 (100)                                       |
| 867 (100)                                       |
| **Allele frequencies (%)**                                                                     |
| **Odds ratio**                                   |
| **P-value**                                                                                    |
| **Allele C**                                     |
| 472 (52.7)                                      |
| 453 (54.0)                                      |
| 1.057                                                                                           |
| 0.596                                                                                           |
| **Allele G**                                     |
| 424 (47.3)                                      |
| 385 (46.0)                                      |

P-values were calculated using Fisher's exact test and Chi-square analysis respectively. There is no difference in genotype or allele frequencies between diabetic nephropathy patients and normoalbuminuric patients at baseline.
significant differences between the CC, CG and GG genotypes in terms of mortality or development of ESRD in the total population under study (Table 3). Also, in separate analyses of normoalbuminuric patients and patients with nephropathy there was no difference in incidence of ESRD, total mortality, cardiovascular mortality, or non-fatal cardiovascular events between the different genotypes (all p > 0.05). None of the normoalbuminuric patients developed ESRD over the studied period.

Altogether, this makes it doubtful that the -945GC polymorphism plays a major role in susceptibility to developing DN. Apparently, the association of the CTGF -945GC SNP with disease is not the same in all patient groups and categories, as has been noted in previous studies that could not always confirm the originally observed association of the -945GC SNP with Ssc [4,5]. Although, theoretically, population differences might affect the apparent contribution of SNPs to disease manifestations, one of these reports examined a large number of patients of diverse nationality and ethnicity but could not replicate the association of the G allele with SSc [6].

It has been observed that CTGF levels are higher in Ssc patients as compared to healthy controls [9], but a possible association of serum or plasma CTGF levels with genotype has not been assessed. This hampers an adequate interpretation of the effect of the polymorphism on in vivo CTGF transcription and translation. Therefore, we compared genotype differences for the -945CG polymorphism with plasma CTGF levels in DN and NA patients with diabetes. We found that plasma CTGF levels were not associated with this polymorphism, which further questions its relevance in diabetic kidney disease. In contrast, it has recently been shown that the G allele of an SNP (with a population frequency of around 5%) at -20 in the promoter region of the CTGF gene was associated with an increased risk

| Table 3 Association of the CTGF promoter polymorphism at -945 with clinical outcomes at follow-up |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                             | CC (%) | CG (%) | GG (%) | Total (%) | P-value |
| Diabetic nephropathy Mortality     |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 42 (30) | 62 (44) | 36 (26) | 140 (100) | 0.369   |
| No                           | 84 (27) | 158 (51) | 66 (22) | 308 (100) |         |
| Cardiovascular (CV) death     |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 20 (27) | 35 (48) | 18 (25) | 73 (100) | 0.915   |
| No                           | 106 (28) | 185 (49) | 84 (23) | 375 (100) |         |
| Non-fatal CV event           |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 40 (35) | 50 (43) | 25 (22) | 115 (100) | 0.173   |
| No                           | 86 (26) | 170 (51) | 77 (23) | 333 (100) |         |
| End-stage renal failure      |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 29 (30) | 46 (47) | 23 (23) | 98 (100) | 0.886   |
| No                           | 97 (28) | 174 (50) | 79 (22) | 350 (100) |         |
| Normoalbuminuria Mortality    |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 14 (40) | 15 (43) | 6 (17) | 35 (100) | 0.394   |
| No                           | 112 (29) | 186 (48) | 86 (22) | 384 (100) |         |
| Cardiovascular (CV) death     |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 4 (31) | 6 (46) | 3 (23) | 13 (100) | 0.991   |
| No                           | 122 (30) | 195 (48) | 89 (22) | 406 (100) |         |
| Non-fatal CV event           |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 15 (37) | 20 (49) | 6 (14) | 41 (100) | 0.415   |
| No                           | 111 (29) | 181 (48) | 86 (23) | 378 (100) |         |
| Microalbuminuria Mortality    |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 21 (38) | 25 (45) | 9 (16) | 55 (100) | 0.306   |
| No                           | 105 (29) | 176 (48) | 83 (23) | 364 (100) |         |
| Development of nephropathy    |        |        |        |           |         |
| Yes                          | 0 (0) | 1 (100) | 0 (0) | 1 (100) | 0.581   |
| No                           | 126 (30) | 200 (48) | 92 (22) | 418 (100) |         |

There is no effect of the -945GG genotype on either mortality, fatal or non-fatal cardiovascular events, or development of renal disease. P-values are calculated using Fisher’s exact test.
towards developing micro- and macroalbuminuria via increased CTGF promoter activity depending on Smad1 [10]. It will be interesting to learn whether this SNP affects plasma CTGF levels.

Studies regarding other SNPs in the CTGF promoter have been published before, and most of these deny a contribution of CTGF SNPs to human disease. Three other potentially functional SNPs in the CTGF gene (at positions -650, -484 and 247) have been reported not to be associated with diabetic nephropathy [11]. A large study using transmission equilibrium testing revealed no relationship with diabetic nephropathy at yet another SNP (rs9493150) in the CTGF gene [12]. In a study from Thailand, an SNP at position -447 was analysed in the context of biliary atresia and no association was observed with either incidence of biliary atresia or occurrence of postoperative jaundice [13]. Finally, none of six CTGF gene polymorphisms (including the -945GC SNP) studied in chronic hepatitis C infection was associated with the severity of hepatic fibrosis [14]. However, a recent study in a French population did show that the frequency of the rs9399005 TT genotype was lower in SSc than in control patients, and that the T allele was associated with altered mRNA stability [15]. This is an interesting finding awaiting validation in independent studies of SSc patients, given the large discrepancies between different studies on polymorphisms even in the same disease. To conclude, in our cohort of 867 Northern European type 1 diabetes patients, the previously described -945GC SNP appears not to have a major impact on plasma CTGF levels, incidence and prognosis of nephropathy, and cardiovascular outcome.
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