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Abstract
Childhood maltreatment is a major risk factor for chronic and severe mental and physical health problems across the lifespan. Increasing evidence supports the hypothesis that maltreatment is associated with epigenetic changes that may subsequently serve as mechanisms of disease. The current review uses a systematic approach to identify and summarize the literature related to childhood maltreatment and alterations in DNA methylation in humans. A total of 100 empirical articles were identified in our systematic review of research published prior to or during March 2020, including studies that focused on candidate genes and studies that leveraged epigenome-wide data in both children and adults. Themes arising from the literature, including consistent and inconsistent patterns of results, are presented. Several directions for future research, including important methodological considerations for future study design, are discussed. Taken together, the literature on childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation underscores the complexity of transactions between the environment and biology across development.

Introduction
Childhood maltreatment is a highly prevalent public health problem that often has devastating effects on physical and mental health. There are now numerous studies linking childhood maltreatment and other early adversities to nearly all forms of mental illness, as well as chronic medical conditions that cut across multiple organ systems 1–7. Unfortunately, the negative sequelae of childhood maltreatment often begin early in life, and persist across adulthood 1,6, posing risk for premature mortality. Indeed, adults with numerous adverse experiences in childhood die nearly 20 years earlier than those with no early adversity 1. Understanding the mechanisms of biological influence is therefore critical to developing innovative targets for intervention to enhance health outcomes among highly vulnerable children with this major adverse exposure.

Over the past decade a rapidly growing body of literature has underscored the significant role of epigenetics in the sequelae of childhood maltreatment. Epigenetic processes allow the body to respond to environmental influences by altering gene expression through chemical modifications that regulate chromatin structure and/or DNA accessibility without inducing changes to the DNA sequence 8. DNA methylation is among the most commonly studied epigenetic processes and involves the addition of a methyl group at sites in the DNA where a cytosine nucleotide occurs next to a guanine nucleotide (CpG dinucleotides). Initial work focused on understanding associations of early life stress and DNA methylation examined these processes in rodents and found that low levels of maternal care (licking and
grooming and arched-back nursing) was associated with greater methylation of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) gene in offspring. Furthermore, this work suggested that methylation was a mechanism of the effect of maternal care on the offspring HPA stress response. Emerging from this groundbreaking work with animal models, some of the earliest studies in humans documenting altered DNA methylation in association with childhood maltreatment focused on the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) gene, NR3C1, which modifies responsiveness of the HPA axis to stress exposure. Our group was the first to demonstrate altered leukocyte DNA methylation of NR3C1 in adults with childhood maltreatment, associations of NR3C1 methylation with behavior problems and symptoms in children with early adversity, and maltreatment as a predictor of change in NR3C1 methylation over time. In 2016, we completed a literature review focused on altered methylation of glucocorticoid signaling genes in association with childhood maltreatment. Several other recent reviews have also focused on methylation of glucocorticoid signaling genes, as well as methylation of genes associated with the serotonin system in relation to adverse exposures. We expand upon these prior reviews to consider the recent explosion of research in this area that has examined additional genes as well as epigenome-wide effects.

Cecil and colleagues recently conducted a systematic review of research focused on childhood maltreatment, specifically experiences of abuse and neglect, and DNA methylation. The current review provides an important addition to the literature by utilizing a broader conceptualization of childhood maltreatment that includes any experience that involved potential for harm to the child. Our systematic approach to identify and summarize the literature related to childhood maltreatment and other adversities in association with DNA methylation captures research through March, 2020 and includes research focused on candidate genes and studies that leveraged epigenome-wide data in both children and adults.

Methods
In accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, we conducted a systematic review of human studies investigating the relationship between childhood maltreatment and other adversities and DNA methylation. For the purposes of this review, childhood was defined as events occurring between birth and age 18. Maltreatment was defined as any experience that involved potential for harm to the child, including emotional, sexual, and physical abuse, and emotional and physical neglect. Other adversities included exposure to intimate partner violence or other violence, early parental death or separation, institutional deprivation, and indentured labor. Empirical articles investigating DNA methylation in association with other forms of childhood stress such as low socioeconomic status or famine, without consideration of other adversities, were not included. Studies focusing on experimentally induced stressors were also not included. In addition, because our focus was on childhood maltreatment after birth; we also did not include studies focusing on prenatal stress or substance use and DNA methylation, which have been addressed in other reviews.

Studies were identified by searching PubMed/Medline for empirical articles published in March 2020 or earlier using the following search terms: “(methylation OR epigenetic) AND (child AND (maltreat OR adversity OR trauma OR early life stress OR abuse OR neglect OR ACE))”, filtered by Humans. Additional searches in PubMed/Medline for studies published during this timeframe were also conducted using the following search terms: (1) emotion regulation AND methylation; (2) abuse AND methylation NOT substance NOT alcohol; (3) trauma AND methylation; (4) early life stress AND methylation; (5) maltreatment AND methylation; (6) adversity AND methylation. Additional articles published during this timeframe identified through other sources (Google Scholar alerts) were also included.

Our qualitative synthesis included empirical articles that: (1) examined the relationship between childhood maltreatment/adversity and DNA methylation; (2) provided statistical indicators to examine the impact of maltreatment during childhood on DNA methylation; and (3) described maltreatment/adversity that occurred prior to age 18. Empirical articles were excluded if they: (1) did not provide information on childhood maltreatment/adversity and DNA methylation; (2) childhood maltreatment/adversity was not clearly distinguished from adult adversities in study measurement/hypothesis testing; (3) only quality (or quantity) of parental care or support was included as a predictor; (4) were not written in English; (5) were only conducted with animals; and (6) did not use a quantitative approach to summarize research findings (i.e., were qualitative, reviews, comments, or other editorials). We did not omit articles based on small sample size; this information is included in the tables so that it can be considered when evaluating results. Figure 1 documents the methods of our systematic review to generate the final empirical articles included in our qualitative synthesis. Of note, consistent with PRISMA guidelines, records screened includes screening of both titles and abstracts.

Results
A total of 100 empirical articles were identified in our systematic review focused on the relationship between childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation. This
includes 69 empirical articles focused on candidate genes and 31 empirical articles that leveraged epigenome-wide data. Twenty-eight empirical articles measured DNA methylation in childhood and 72 measured DNA methylation in adulthood. Findings are summarized in Tables 1–4, and examples are described below. Major results of each empirical article are included in the tables, though results of specific CpG sites are not detailed given significant design and analytic variability across studies. Given the focus of the current review, only the major study findings related to the association of childhood maltreatment and other adversities with DNA methylation are included in the tables. Empirical articles that focused on another condition (e.g., parental substance use, psychiatric conditions, as described in Sample description in the tables) but included a result pertaining to maltreatment are presented. Importantly, several empirical articles drew upon overlapping samples. We included information regarding the name of the study in the tables if the study name was provided in the empirical article. However, we were unable to denote all articles that may have utilized overlapping samples as the relevant information was not consistently provided in the literature.

### Childhood maltreatment and methylation of candidate genes

#### Children

As displayed in Table 1, 16 empirical articles focused on childhood maltreatment and methylation of candidate genes in children. Most of these studies involved saliva DNA, but one used buccal cell DNA and several examined DNA from blood. The most commonly studied candidate genes were those that regulate glucocorticoid signaling, including NR3C1 which encodes the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (six studies represented in eight empirical articles) and FKBP5 which modulates sensitivity of the GR24 (two studies represented in three empirical articles).

Most studies of children support the hypothesis that childhood adversity is associated with higher levels of methylation of NR3C1. In our own study of preschoolers, we found that childhood maltreatment status and the number of stressful life events was associated with greater NR3C1 methylation25, and NR3C1 methylation mediated effects of maltreatment and stressful life events on child internalizing behavior problems12. Likewise, in 534 school-aged children with low socioeconomic status, children who experienced maltreatment beginning in
| Author                  | Sample |
|------------------------|--------|
| Cicchetti & Handley,26  | N = 534; 53% exposed to CM Characterization of sample Low-income |
|                        | School age (M = 9.4 years) 49% female 61.2% black, 9.9% white, 8.2% biracial or other, 20.6% Latino |
|                        | NR3C1 Saliva Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervisory and physical neglect via child protection case files coded with the MCS Maltreated children demonstrated hypermethylation compared to non-maltreated children; CM during infancy and/or toddlerhood associated with greater methylation than children with no CM; no difference between children who experienced CM in the preschool period or later and those with no CM; greater chronicity of CM associated with greater methylation; exposure to more CM subtypes associated with greater methylation |
| Hecker et al.,29       | N = 60; 58% with high exposure to CM, 42% with low exposure to CM Characterization of sample Participants resided in Tanzania |
|                        | 9–15 years (M = 11.3 years in high-exposure group; M = 11.8 years in low-exposure group) 60% female in high-exposure group, 56% female in low-exposure group 100% Tanzanian |
|                        | NR3C1 POMC CRH AVP Saliva; blood lymphocytes Physical and emotional abuse measured via the Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure – Pediatric Version, structured interview Children with high CM exposure had higher methylation of POMC and CRH at one CpG site in each gene that survived multiple comparisons correction; differential methylation was also present for several sites in NR3C1, POMC, and AVP but these did not survive multiple comparisons correction |
| Parade et al.,12       | N = 171; 42% exposed to CM Characterization of sample Low-income; enrolled in Kids Markers Study; Follow-up of Tyrka, Parade et al. 2015, to examine association of methylation with symptoms/behavior |
|                        | 3–5 years (M = 4.2 years) 52% female 23% white non-Hispanic, 48% Hispanic, 15% black, 14% other |
|                        | NR3C1 Saliva Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervisory and physical neglect assessed via child protective services records; death or separation of a caregiver, frequent change of residence or homelessness, inadequate food or clothing, witnessing violence via parent contextual stress interview and Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment As expected based on prior work with the Kids Markers sample, adversity composite was associated with greater methylation, and CM, past month stress, and lifetime stress were each individually associated with greater methylation whereas traumatic life events were not associated with methylation; new to this analysis, methylation mediated the effect of adversity exposure on internalizing, but not externalizing symptoms |
| Parent et al.,13        | N = 260; 53% exposed to CM Characterization of sample Low-income; enrolled in Kids Markers Study; Six-month follow-up of baseline results reported in Tyrka, Parade et al., 2015 |
|                        | 3–5 years (M = 4.2 years) 52% female 27.7% white non-Hispanic, 45.6% Hispanic, 16.3% black, 2.19% biracial, 2.7% other races |
|                        | NR3C1 Saliva Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervisory and physical neglect assessed via child protective services records; death or separation of a caregiver, frequent change of residence or homelessness, inadequate food or clothing, witnessing violence via parent contextual stress interview and Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment As expected based on prior work with the baseline data in the Kids Markers sample, CM was associated with higher levels of methylation within 6 months of CM; CM was negatively associated with change in methylation one year after CM, at which point maltreated children demonstrated lower levels of methylation relative to non-maltreated children |
| Author                     | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry                  | Gene(s)    | Tissue type | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Radtke et al., 142         | Participants resided in Germany                                        | 11–21 years (Median = 15 years)  | 61% female | Not reported | NR3C1      | Blood lymphocytes                     | Physical, emotional, and sexual abuse; witnessed violence toward parents; witnessed violence toward siblings; peer physical violence; physical and emotional neglect via a German version of the pediatric Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure interview | CM not associated with average methylation; CM was positively associated with methylation at one of 41 examined CpG sites, after adjusting for multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate |
| Romens et al., 143         | 32% with substantiated physical abuse                                  | 11–14 years (M = 12.1 years)     | 46% female | 66% white; non-Hispanic; 30% black; 4% white | NR3C1      | Whole blood                          | Physical abuse via child protective services records |                                                                                             |
| Tyrka, Parade, et al., 25  | Low-income; enrolled in Kids Markers Study                             | 3–5 years (M = 4.2 years)        | 51% female | 22% white; non-Hispanic; 47% Hispanic; 16% black; 15% other races | NR3C1      | Saliva                                | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervisory and physical neglect assessed via child protective services records; death or separation of a caregiver; frequent change of residence or homelessness; inadequate food or clothing, witnessing violence via parent contextual stress interview and Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment |                                                                                             |
| van der Knaap et al., 144  | Enrolled in the Tracking Adolescents' Individual Lives Survey           | 14–18 years (M = 16.1 years)     | 50% female | 100% Dutch               | NR3C1      | Whole blood                          | Perinatal stress assessed using parent interview and record review; traumatic youth experiences assessed using adolescent retrospective self-report; stressful life events assessed using parent interview (childhood events), child self-report (early adolescence events), Event History calendar (middle adolescence events) | Stressful life events and traumatic experiences associated with greater NR3C1 methylation; Stressful life events in adolescence associated with methylation independent of stressful life events in childhood; Perinatal stress not associated with methylation |
| Non et al., 27             | Institutionalized children, n = 82 non-institutionalized children       | M = 12.5 years                   | 49% female | 63% Romanian; 27% Roma; 9% other | FKBP5; SLC6A4 | Buccal cells                         | Percentage of time spent in institutional care | Greater time spent in institutional care was associated with lower methylation at one of two CpG sites for FKBP5 (effect survived multiple comparison correction for analysis within institutionalized group but not in whole sample); Greater time spent in institutional care was associated with lower methylation at two of six CpG sites for SLC6A4, significant after |
| Author            | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry                              | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Parade, Parent, et al. | $N = 231; 53\%$ exposed to CM Characterization of sample: Low-income, enrolled in Kids Markers Study; Six-month follow-up of baseline results reported in Tyrka, Ridout, et al., 2015 | 3–5 years ($M = 4.3$ years) | 52% female | 40% white, 16% black, 21% biracial, 23% other races | FKBP5    | Saliva      | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervision and physical neglect assessed via child protective services records; death or separation of a caregiver, frequent change of residence or homelessness, inadequate food or clothing, witnessing violence via parent context stress interview and Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment | Multiple comparisons correction; FKBP5 genotype by adversity interaction was not significant. Maltreated children had lower levels of baseline methylation compared to non-maltreated children, but maltreatment did not predict change in methylation over time; when contextual stress was high, maltreated children had consistently low methylation over time whereas non-maltreated children demonstrated a decline in methylation from baseline to follow-up; FKBP5 genotype did not moderate associations of CM and methylation. |
| Tyrka, Ridout, et al. | $N = 174; 40\%$ exposed to CM Characterization of sample: Low-income enrolled in Kids Markers Study | 3–5 years ($M = 4.2$ years) | 52% female | 22% white non-Hispanic, 47% Hispanic, 17% black, 15% other races | FKBP5    | Saliva      | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervision and physical neglect assessed via child protective services records; death or separation of a caregiver, frequent change of residence or homelessness, inadequate food or clothing, witnessing violence via parent context stress interview and Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment | Children exposed to CM had lower levels of methylation at two out of two examined CpG sites; there was a trend-level association of lifetime contextual stress and methylation at one site; an adversity composite, including CM, contextual stress, and traumatic life events was negatively associated with methylation at one site; FKBP5 genotype did not moderate links between adversity exposure and methylation. |
| Timothy et al. | $N = 100; n = 50$ children of alcoholics; $n = 50$ controls, significantly higher adversity in COA group compared to controls Characterization of sample: Resided in urban India | 8–16 years ($M = 11.3$ years in COA group; $M = 112$ years in control group) | 0% female | 100% Indian                      | SLC6A4   | Whole blood | Physical, emotional and sexual abuse, neglect; peer and collective violence; stress of family and friends; pregnancy; problems with alcohol/drugs measured using the WHO Adverse Childhood Experiences Scale | Greater adversity was associated with hypermethylation, particularly in the COA group. |
| van der Knaap et al. | $N = 939$ Characterization of sample: Enrolled in the Tracking Adolescents' Individual Lives Survey | 14–18 years ($M = 162$ years) | 51% female | 100% Dutch                      | SLC6A4   | Whole blood | Perinatal stress assessed using parent interview and record review; traumatic youth experiences assessed using adolescent retrospective self-report; stressful life events assessed using parent interview (childhood events), child self-report (early adolescence events), Event History calendar (middle adolescence events) | More stressful life events associated with higher methylation; effect of stressful life events in adolescence stronger than stressful life events in childhood; 5HTTLPR genotype moderated effect of stressful life events such that this association was only observed in kalleo homozygotes; perinatal adversity and traumatic youth experiences not associated with methylation CM, traumatic life events, and contextual stress were not |
| Author, Sample, Age(s) | Gender | Ancestry | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings |
|------------------------|--------|----------|---------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Parade, Novick, et al., Characterization of sample Low-income; enrolled in Kids Markers Study | 22% other races, 40% Hispanic | | | | neglect assessed via child protective services records; death or separation of a caregiver; frequent change of residence or homelessness; inadequate food or clothing; witnessing violence via parent contextual stress interview and Diagnostic Infant and Preschool Assessment | associated with methylation; genotype moderated contextual stress to predict methylation, such that contextual stress was positively associated with methylation among A homozygotes, negatively associated among G homozygotes, and not associated among heterozygotes |
| Barker et al., N = 785 Characterization of sample Enrolled in ARIES study nested within ALSPAC | 50% female | 100% white | Inflammation-related epigenetic polygenic risk scores (i-ePGS) | Whole blood | Life events (e.g., death in family, accident, and illness), contextual risks (e.g., poor housing conditions and financial problems), parental risks (e.g., parental psychopathology, criminal involvement, and substance use), interpersonal risks (e.g., intimate partner violence and family conflict), and direct victimization (e.g., child bullied by peers or physically hurt) via maternal reports | Postnatal adversity was associated with higher i-ePGS methylation at age 7, which was associated with internalizing symptoms from ages 7–15 |
| Fujisawa et al., N = 85; 52% exposed to CM Characterization of sample Those with CM resided in a child welfare facility; controls were recruited from the community. 6–20 years (M = 129 years) | 35% female | 100% Japanese | OXTR | Saliva | Physical, emotional, sexual abuse, and/or neglect, as determined by the local child welfare facilities | Children who were exposed to CM had higher methylation than children not exposed to CM; methylation was negatively correlated with gray matter volume in the left orbitofrontal cortex; children exposed to CM showed lower gray matter volume compared to the non-CM children |

CM childhood maltreatment, MCS Maltreatment Classification System, COA children of alcoholics, ALSPAC Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children.
infancy or early childhood had greater \textit{NR3C1} methylation than children who had no maltreatment history\textsuperscript{26}. Interestingly, there were no differences between children who experienced maltreatment that started in the preschool period or later, and those children with no maltreatment history. However, more chronic maltreatment and more types of maltreatment, were associated with greater \textit{NR3C1} methylation. Using data from the Bucharest Early Intervention Project, time in institutional care was associated with lower levels of methylation of \textit{FKBP5}, which modulates sensitivity of the GR, when children were 12 years old\textsuperscript{27}. Lower levels of \textit{FKBP5} methylation in association with childhood maltreatment were also observed in our sample of preschoolers\textsuperscript{28}. Another study of Tanzanian children found evidence that maltreatment was associated with hypermethylation of \textit{CRH}, the gene that encodes the hypothalamic corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) and its downstream target, \textit{POMC}, which encodes the pituitary precursor of \textit{ACTH}\textsuperscript{29}. Taken together, these findings indicate that childhood maltreatment may be associated with altered methylation of genes that regulate the child stress response, suggesting a possible mechanism for the effect of maltreatment and other adversities on poor health outcomes.

Epigenetic alterations with childhood maltreatment have been documented in additional candidate genes. Methylation of serotonin signaling genes, including \textit{SLC6A4}, which encodes the serotonin receptor, and \textit{HTR2A}, the gene that encodes the serotonin receptor subtype, 5-HT\textsubscript{2A}, have been investigated in association with childhood maltreatment and other interpersonal adversities\textsuperscript{27,30–32}. Childhood adversity was associated with greater \textit{SLC6A4} methylation in two studies\textsuperscript{31,32}, but lower levels of methylation in association with institutional care\textsuperscript{27}. Using a subsample (\(n = 785\)) of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), Barker et al.\textsuperscript{33} generated an inflammation-related epigenetic polygenic risk score (i-ePGS). Adversity between birth and 7 years of age was related to higher i-ePGS, which, in turn, was an indirect pathway by which adversity was associated with internalizing behavior problems in later childhood. The receptor gene for the pituitary hormone oxytocin (\textit{OXTR}), best known for its role in social behavior and attachment, has also been studied in relation to early adversity in children. Fujisawa et al.\textsuperscript{34} demonstrated greater methylation of \textit{OXTR} in Japanese children and adolescents with a maltreatment history (\(n = 44\)) compared with controls (\(n = 41\)), and found that \textit{OXTR} methylation was negatively associated with gray matter volume in the left orbitofrontal cortex.

\textbf{Adults}

As displayed in Table 2, 53 empirical articles focused on childhood maltreatment and methylation of candidate genes in adults. Most studies involved analysis of DNA from blood, with a handful of studies examining DNA from saliva or buccal cells, and a few studies of DNA from brain tissue. The most commonly studied candidate genes included \textit{NR3C1} (20 empirical articles), \textit{FKBP5} (eight empirical articles), \textit{SLC6A4} (six empirical articles), and \textit{OXTR} (six empirical articles).

Taken together, the majority of studies focused on \textit{NR3C1} methylation observed significant associations of childhood maltreatment and methylation of this gene, but there is considerable variability in the findings. Several studies found increased \textit{NR3C1} methylation with childhood maltreatment, but others found no effect, or reduced methylation with maltreatment. Melas and colleagues\textsuperscript{35} found that childhood adversity was associated with saliva DNA \textit{NR3C1} hypermethylation, with childhood parental loss linked to higher methylation near a transcription factor binding site. Two reports analyzed DNA from the Quebec Suicide Brain Bank in relation to childhood maltreatment retrospectively assessed via psychological autopsy, including a group with suicide and childhood maltreatment, a group with suicide but no maltreatment, and a control group. The suicide with maltreatment group had greater hippocampal \textit{NR3C1} methylation and reduced \textit{NR3C1} expression across exon 1\textsubscript{F}, reduced \textit{NR3C1} gene expression across exons 1\textsubscript{B}, 1\textsubscript{C}, and 1\textsubscript{A}, and reduced \textit{NR3C1} methylation at exon 1\textsubscript{H} in comparison with the other two groups. In contrast, both suicide groups differed from controls in regions of exon 1\textsubscript{A}, with hypermethylation at two CpG sites and hypomethylation at one CpG site\textsuperscript{27}. In a diverse sample of adults, childhood maltreatment was associated with greater methylation of leukocyte \textit{NR3C1}, and maltreatment was associated with lower \textit{NR3C1} gene expression\textsuperscript{36}. Likewise, in two samples with psychiatric disorders, childhood maltreatment was associated with greater \textit{NR3C1} methylation\textsuperscript{39,40}. In contrast, in a recent study, we found that early adversity, and the number of adverse exposures, was associated with lower \textit{NR3C1} methylation in healthy adults\textsuperscript{41}. Other studies found no association of maltreatment and \textit{NR3C1} methylation\textsuperscript{32–46}, but one of these found that \textit{NR3C1} methylation moderated effects of maltreatment on cortisol response to the Trier Social Stress Test.

The literature focused on \textit{FKBP5} methylation in adults also demonstrates inconsistent effects. Klengel et al.\textsuperscript{47} found that childhood maltreatment was associated with lower methylation of \textit{FKBP5} in adults with the rs1360780 T risk allele, and this effect was observed in both a subsample of participants from the Grady Trauma Project and a replication sample. This effect was more recently replicated by Tozzi and colleagues\textsuperscript{48} who also reported that childhood maltreatment was associated with lower \textit{FKBP5} methylation among those with the T risk allele of
| Author et al. | Sample | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings |
|--------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Alexander et al. | N = 200; 49% exposed to CM | Participants resided in Germany | 18–30 years (M = 23.7 years) | 50% female | 100% white | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ—Short Form | No difference in mean methylation between CM and control groups; methylation moderated effects of CM on cortisol in response to the Trier Social Stress Test; no association of CTQ score and methylation |
| Barker et al. | N = 94 outpatients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder | Participants resided in South East Scotland | 18–65 years (M = 49.6 years) | 67% female | 76% African American, 17% European American, 7% other | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | After controlling for multiple comparisons, sexual abuse was associated with methylation at 1 of 25 examined NR3C1 loci and emotional abuse was associated with methylation at 1 of 73 examined BDNF loci; CM not associated with OXTR methylation |
| Bustamante et al. | N = 152; 50% exposed to CM | Participants resided in Ireland | M = 496 years | 62% female | 76% African American, 17% European American, 7% other | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, and emotional abuse via the Conflict Tactics Scale and CTQ | Participants with CM exposure showed increased average methylation across four CpG sites, after Benjamin et al. False Discovery Rate correction |
| Farrell et al. | N = 67, n = 33 depressed patients, n = 34 controls | Participants resided in Ireland | ≥ 45 years (M = 53.4 years) | 67% female | 76% African American, 17% European American, 7% other | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Greater severity of emotional abuse was associated with higher NR3C1 methylation in depressed patients at two of five CpG sites; no associations with other forms of CM; no associations between CM and FABPS |
| Fiocco et al. | N = 103; 71% exposed to CM | Participants resided in Zurich | 40–73 years (M = 54.4 years) | 100% female | 100% Caucasian | NR3C1 | Dried capillary blood spots | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the German version of the CTQ | CM not associated with percent NR3C1 methylation; after controlling for multiple testing, participants with emotional abuse had higher percent methylation in Erα than those without, and higher levels of CM were also associated with higher levels of Erα methylation |
| Labonté, Yerko, et al., 2012a | N = 56; n = 21 in CM/suicide group, n = 21 in non-CM/suicide group, n = 14 controls without suicide or CM | Suicide Brain Bank | M = 37 years in CM/suicide group; M = 40.8 years in non-CM suicide group; M = 39.8 years in control group | 0% female | 100% Caucasian of French-Canadian descent | NR3C1 | Brain (Hippocampus, Anterior Cingulate Cortex (ACC)) | Retrospectively assessed sexual abuse, physical abuse and severe neglect via psychological autopsy; including unstructured interviews/chart reviews using the CCEA adapted for psychological autopsy | NR3C1 gene expression reduced in the CM/suicide group across hippocampus and within alternate first exons; no expression differences in ACC; methylation analyses focused on hippocampus; no differences in total % methylation across all CpG sites between groups in hippocampus; differential methylation patterns were observed at different alternate first exons. At exon 1a there was a group by CpG interaction, with increased and decreased methylation at different CpG sites in both suicide groups relative to controls. At exon 1c the group by CpG site interaction was not significant. At exon 1b there was a main effect of group, with significant hypomethylation in the CM/suicide group compared with the other two groups. In contrast to 1b and 1c promoters, 1h promoter methylation was positively associated with gene expression |

**Table 2** Childhood adversity and candidate gene methylation in adult samples.
| Author                         | Sample | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Martin-Blanco et al., 39      | N = 281 patients with borderline personality disorder; 73% exposed to CM; Participants resided in Spain. | M = 294 years | 85% female | 100% Caucasian or European descent | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ-SF | Metylation positively associated with CM, specifically physical abuse                     |
| McGowan et al., 39            | N = 36; n = 12 completed suicide and exposed to CM; n = 12 completed suicide but no CM, n = 12 controls with sudden accidental death and without CM; Characteristics of sample: Quebec Suicide Brain Bank | M = 342 years in CM/suicide group; M = 338 years in no CM/suicide group; M = 35.8 years in control group | 0% female | 100% Caucasian of French-Canadian descent | NR3C1 | Brain (hippocampal tissue) | Retrospectively assessed sexual abuse, physical abuse and severe neglect via psychological autopsies | CM/suicide group had significantly lower NR3C1 expression, and greater NR3C1 methylation across exon 1 compared to the two other groups |
| Melas et al., 35              | N = 176 NR3C1 methylation analysis; n = 93 with depression, 70% exposed to childhood adversity; n = 83 control, 63% exposed to childhood adversity; N = 174 MAOA methylation analysis; n = 82, with depression, 70% exposed to childhood adversity; n = 92 control, 60% exposed to childhood adversity; Characteristics of sample: Quebec Suicide Brain Bank | 29–74 years | 100% female | 100% Swedish nationals | MAOA | Saliva | Retrospectively assessed early parental death, divorce, financial problems or other familial constraints based on self-reported answers provided in the PART study questionnaire | Childhood adversity not associated with MAOA methylation; early parental death and familial constraints were associated with overall NR3C1 hypermethylation; MAOA-L genotype mediated the association of early parental death and methylation |
| Peng et al., 39               | Sample 1: N = 168 (84 male twin pairs), 57% exposed to CM, Sample 2: N = 70 (35 female twin pairs), 43% exposed to CM; Sample 1: Enrolled in Twins Heart Study (THS); Sample 2: Enrolled in Mood and Methylation Study | Sample 1: M = 55 years; Sample 2: M = 36 years | 29% female | Not reported | NR3C1 | Blood monocytes | Sample 1: Whole blood; Sample 2: Blood monocytes | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse and general trauma via the Early Trauma Inventory Self Report—Short Form | Sample 1: Associations (q < 0.10) of exposure to CM/childhood trauma and hypermethylation of individual CpG sites of BDNF, NR3C1, MAOA, and SLCO4A4 after correcting for multiple comparisons | Sample 2: Emotional abuse associated with hypermethylation of NR3C1 |
| Perroud et al., 40            | N = 215; n = 101 subjects with borderline personality disorder, 95% exposed to CM; n = 99 subjects with psychiatric disorders, 30% exposed to CM; n = 15 depressed subjects with history of PTSD, 100% exposed to CM; Characteristics of sample: Participants resided in France and Switzerland | M = 3622 years | 79% female | Not reported | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | CM associated with higher methylation compared to those with no CM; number of types of CM positively correlated with methylation |
| Schür et al., 33              | N = 241; n = 131 healthy controls, n = 13 patients with schizophrenia, n = 43 patients with bipolar disorder; and n = 54 unaffected siblings of patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder; Characteristics of sample: Participants resided in Netherlands/UK | M = 374 years | 40% female | Not reported | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | No association between CM and methylation |
| Shields et al., 44            | 100% female | 100% African American | NR3C1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical and sexual abuse via a 9-item instrument | There was no significant difference in methylation between women with and without CM |
| Author          | Sample Description                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry       | Gene(s) | Tissue type      | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|----------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Steiger et al.  | N = 96; n = 32 with bulimia nervosa and extreme CM, n = 32 with BN and no CM, n = 32 women without BN or CM. Enrolled in Black Women’s Health Study. 43–78 years (Median = 53 years) | 17–48 years (M = 26.05 years in BN group, M = 23.67 years in non-BN group) | 100% female | Not reported   | NR3C1   | Whole blood     | Retrospectively assessed sexual or physical abuse via the Childhood Trauma Interview | CM associated with differential methylation; with both increased (155 DMRs) and decreased (126 DMRs) methylation across the NR3C1 locus | No significant differences between CM-exposed group and nonexposed group. |
| Suderman et al. | N = 24; n = 12 suicide completers exposed to severe CM, n = 12 controls with no CM. Characterization of sample: Quebec Suicide Brain Bank.  Postmortem 100% male French-Canadian origin | 18–59 years (M = 27.3 years) | 100% male | French-Canadian origin | NR3C1   | Hippocampal tissue | Severe sexual and/or physical abuse or severe neglect, as determined by most severe scores in the structured CECA questionnaire adapted for psychological autopsies | CM associated with differential methylation; with both increased (155 DMRs) and decreased (126 DMRs) methylation across the NR3C1 locus | Adversity index, encompassing CM, parental loss, and parental care was positively correlated with methylation at two sites, CM and parental loss individually associated with one or two CpG sites, respectively. |
| Tyka et al.     | N = 99 (57% exposed to adversity) 18–65 years (M = 52.9 years) | 59% female | Not reported | NR3C1   | Whole blood     | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ, loss of a parent via two items | Those with adversity/no mental health disorder and adversity/mental health disorder had lower levels of mean methylation than the no adversity/no disorder group, each adversity type was associated with lower levels of methylation, and the number of adversities was negatively associated with methylation only in participants with no mental health disorder | No associations of childhood trauma and methylation |
| Vangeel et al.  | N = 95; n = 76 in chronic fatigue group, 99% with moderate/severe childhood trauma; n = 19 in control group with no trauma history.  M = 442 years | 100% female | 100% white | NR3C1   | Whole blood     | Retrospectively assessed physical and sexual abuse in 27 participants using the Structured Trauma Interview (Dutch version); retrospectively assessed emotional neglect, emotional abuse, physical abuse, sexual harassment, and sexual abuse in 49 participants using the Traumatic Experiences Checklist and review of medical records | No associations of childhood trauma and methylation | |
| Wang et al.     | N = 149; n = 64 diagnosed with generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), n = 85 healthy controls, 11% of total sample exposed to CM.  M = 354 years in GAD group, M = 33.9 years in control group | 68% female | Chinese Han | NR3C1   | Peripheral blood mononuclear cells | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ—Short Form | CM (present in 11% of the sample) not associated with methylation | |
| Author                        | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry                                      | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bustamante et al.            | Characterization of sample: Participants resided in China; N = 112, 50% exposed to CM; Characterization of sample Enrolled in Detroit Neighborhood Health Study | M = 50.7 years                  | 55% female | 77% African American, 16% European American, 7% other | FKBP5   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual; and emotion abuse via the Conflict Tactics Scale and CTQ | No association between CM and methylation; FKBP5 genotype did not moderate nonsignificant association of CM and methylation in a subset of the participants with genotype data available (n = 100) |
| Harms et al.                 | N = 54, 54% with high levels of stress, 19–23 years (M = 20.5 years) | 52% female | 59% white, 28% African American, 5% Hispanic, 8% Asian | FKBP5   | Saliva      | Prospectively assessed stressful life events via the Youth Life Stress Interview       | Stressful life events in childhood, but not adulthood, were positively correlated with methylation association of early life stress with inhibition-related prefrontal activity was mediated by methylation |
| Kengel et al.                | Sample 1: Grady Trauma Project subsample, N = 76; 61% with high CM, 61% with no CM Sample 2: Conte Center subsample, N = 56, 100% with CM; Characterization of sample: GTP subsample: urban, low-income Conte Center subsample: urban | GTP: M = 41.5 years in CM group; M = 41.0 years in control group; Conte: M = 28.5 years | GTP: 76% female Conte: 100% female | GTP-95% African American 3% Caucasian 1% Mixed 1% Other Conte: 55% African American 30% Caucasian 4% Mixed 11% Other | FKBP5   | Saliva, Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical and sexual abuse via the CTQ and lifetime history of trauma via the Traumatic Events Inventory | CM associated with lower methylation among adults with rs1360780 T risk allele (this result observed in both GTP and Conte Center samples) |
| Klinger-König et al.         | N = 3965, low rates of CM; Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Germany, Enrolled in the Study of Health in Pomerania | 20–79 years (M = 54.2 years) | 52% female | 100% Caucasian | FKBP5   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | No association between CM and methylation, and FKBP5 genotype did not moderate nonsignificant associations |
| Tozzi et al.                 | N = 106; n = 55 depressed patients, n = 50 controls; Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Italy | 18–65 years; M age ranged from 34 years to 40 years across subsamples | 64% female in depressed group; 60% female in control group | Not reported | FKBP5   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Higher CM associated with lower methylation in the high-risk T allele group with depression |
| Yeo et al.                   | N = 29, 55% with high CM and substance dependence | M = 452 years as reported in Roy et al., 2010 | 100% male | 100% African American | FKBP5   | Blood lymphoblast cell lines | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | No association between CM and methylation |
| Reape et al.                 | N = 88 patients with PTSD; n = 43 treated with GSK561679, n = 45 placebo 65% of sample exposed to CM | 18–65 years | 100% female | Not reported | CRHR1   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | CM not associated with baseline CRHR1 methylation; CM interacted with rs110402 genotype to predict change in CRHR1 methylation following GSK561679 treatment |
| Beach et al.                 | N = 192; Characterization of sample: Enrolled in Iowa Adoption Study | 35–69 years | 50% female | Not reported | SLC6A4  | Blood lymphoblast cell lines | Retrospectively assessed child physical or sexual abuse via questions eliciting presence or absence of abuse | Physical and sexual abuse in childhood associated with greater overall methylation of SLC6A4 promoter region for both males and females |
| Beach et al.                 | M = 418 years | 100% female | Not reported | SLC6A4  | Blood lymphoblast cell lines | | | | |
| Author         | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender   | Ancestry | Gene(s) | Tissue type                  | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Beach et al.  | N = 155; 13% exposed to sexual abuse Characterization of sample: Enrolled in Iowa Adoption Study | M = 41.1 years                  | 100% female | 94% white | SLC6A4  | Blood lymphoblast cell lines | Retrospectively assessed child sexual abuse via questions eliciting presence or absence of abuse | Sexual abuse in childhood associated with methylation of the 5HTT promoter region Sexual abuse in childhood positively associated with methylation interaction of genetic load x sexual abuse predicted methylation—main effect of sexual abuse on methylation for those with greater genetic load was strong, but marginal for those with no genetic load |
| Booij et al.  | N = 69; n = 33 patients with depression, n = 36 controls; 52% (depressed + control) exposed to CM Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Ireland | 18–65 years                      | 64% female | Not reported | SLC6A4  | Whole blood                 | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | CM associated with methylation across SLC6A4 promoter region; physical abuse, but not other types of abuse/neglect associated with methylation; 5-HTTR promoter genotype x CM interaction demonstrated greater SLC6A4 methylation relative to carriers with or without CM |
| Kang et al.   | N = 102 patients with depression, 33% exposed to adversity Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Korea | M = 54.9 years                  | 75% female | Not reported | SLC6A4  | Whole blood                 | Retrospectively assessed parental loss, financial hardship, physical abuse, and sexual abuse | Any adversity, parental loss, physical abuse, and sexual abuse were associated with higher methylation average percentage |
| Okada et al.  | n = 50 patients with depression Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Japan | 21–62 years (M = 40.3 years)     | 46% female | 100% Japanese | SLC6A4  | Whole blood                 | Retrospectively assessed early adversity via Early Trauma Inventory Self Report-Short Form | Early adversity was negatively correlated with one CpG site, and positively correlated with another site, of a total of 29 CpG sites and significance set at P < 0.05 |
| Wankerl et al. | N = 133; 13% with CM Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Germany | 18–30 years (M = 23.8 years)     | 47% female | Caucasian | SLC6A4  | Whole blood                 | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect using the CTQ—Short Form | No significant association of CM and mean methylation |
| Wang, Lv, et al. | N = 85 patients with depression Characterization of sample: Participants resided in China | M = 36.7 years                  | 66% female | 100% Chinese Han | HTR1A  | Whole blood                 | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | No association between CM and mean methylation of SHTR1A or SHTR1B; CM inversely correlated with methylation at two of 96 CpG sites within SHTR1B, after correcting for multiple comparisons |
| Perroud et al. | N = 346; n = 122 patients with bipolar disorder, n = 116 with borderline personality disorder, and n = 111 with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Switzerland | M = 45.3 years in BD group, M = 31.5 years in BPD group, M = 37.7 years in ADHD group | BD: 53% female; BPD: 91% female, ADHD: 30% female | 100% European ancestry | SHTR3AR | Whole blood                 | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Physical abuse was positively associated with methylation at two of 11 CpG sites and negatively associated with methylation at one of 11 CpG sites after adjusting for multiple comparisons; CTQ total score and other CM types not associated with individual CpG sites after adjusting for multiple comparisons; patients with highest severity of CM and CC genotype of rs1062613 had the highest methylation methylation at two CpG sites mediated association of physical abuse and history of suicide |
### Table 2 continued

| Author | Sample | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings |
|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Checkxita et al. | $N = 194, n = 131$ patients from antidepressant substance abuse clinic, $n = 40$ siblings of clinic patients, $n = 23$ healthy controls | $M = 22$ years | 60% female | Not reported | MAOA | Saliva | Retrospectively assessed physical abuse via the Conflict Tactics Scales and sexual abuse via the Sexual Experience Survey, Sexual and Physical Abuse Questionnaire, and McNair Community Violence Instrument | Among females, CM was associated with higher methylation for one of three components of the region of interest of MAOA, among males, no differences in methylation of ROI between those with and without CM. |
| Gouin et al. | $N = 46, 52\%$ with high CM | $27$ years | 50% female | 100% Caucasian of Western European ancestry | OXTR | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical abuse using Parent-Child Conflict Tactics Scale and sexual abuse using adaptations of Adverse Childhood Experiences Questionnaire and the Sexually Victimized Children Questionnaire | No overall methylation difference between high and low CM groups; among females, the high CM group had significantly higher methylation at one of 16 CpG sites after adjusting for multiple comparisons. |
| Kogan et al. | $N = 358$ | $M = 219$ years | 100% male | 100% African American | OXTR | Saliva | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ—Short Form | No association between CM and methylation; CM indirectly associated with contemporary prosocial ties, which predicted elevated methylation. |
| Kogan et al. | $N = 309$ | $M = 219$ years | 100% male | 100% African American | OXTR | Saliva | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ—Short Form | No association between CM and methylation; CM positively associated with socioeconomic instability, which predicted elevated methylation. |
| Smeetsman et al. | $N = 393, 49\%$ exposed to CM | $18–77$ years ($M = 41$ years) | 71% female | 100% African American | OXTR | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | No association between CM and methylation; CM did not mediate association between CM and psychiatric symptoms; CM and methylation interacted to predict depression and anxiety at three CpG sites. |
| Womenley et al. | $N = 63, 59\%$ with emotional neglect, 70\% of sample diagnosed with social anxiety disorder (many with comorbid or other diagnoses) | $25–41$ years (Median = 31 years) | 56% female | 100% Caucasian | OXTR | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed emotional neglect via the CTQ—Short Form | No association between emotional neglect and OXTR methylation. |
| Janusik et al. | $N = 34$ | $18–25$ years ($M = 20.2$ years) | 100% male | 100% African American | L-6 | Peripheral blood mononuclear cells | Retrospectively assessed emotional, physical, and sexual abuse, emotional and physical neglect, parental substance abuse, parental mental illness, violent treatment of mother or stepmother, parental separation or divorce, and criminal behavior in the household via the CTQ and ACE study questionnaire | Increased CM associated with reduced methylation. |
| Perroud et al. | $N = 167, n = 115$ borderline personality disorder group, $n = 52$ controls recruited from the School of Dentistry at the University of Geneva | $M = 33.6$ years | 79% female | Not reported | BDNF | Whole blood | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Methylation was associated with greater number of childhood traumas. |
| Thaler et al. | $N = 96, n = 32$ with bulimia nervosa and extreme CM, $n = 32$ with BN and 17–48 years ($M = 26.1$ years for BN) | 100% female | Not reported | BDNF | Whole blood | Participants with BN and physical abuse had greater percentage of methylation | |
| Author                   | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry     | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| no CM; \( n = 32 \) women without BN or CM | groups; \( M = 23.7 \) years for non-BN group |                                 |        |              |         |             | Retrospectively assessed sexual and physical abuse via the Childhood Trauma Interview | than controls across all CpG sites, with five of 30 examined sites demonstrating significantly greater methylation, participants with BN and sexual abuse had greater percentage of methylation at one of 30 examined sites. CM negatively associated with mean methylation |
| Wang, Zhang, et al.    | \( N = 85 \) patients with depression                                 | \( M = 36.7 \) years             | 66% female | 100% Chinese Han | BDNF    | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, and physical neglect via the CTQ | CM not associated with prospective changes in methylation in military group; methylation did not mediate association between CM and cortisol reactivity in control group |
| Boks et al.            | \( N = 93 \) military personnel; Participants resided in the Netherlands | \( M = 27.5 \) years for military group, \( M = 33 \) years for control group | 0% female in military group, 51% female in control group | 100% European Caucasian | SKA2    | Whole blood | Military: Retrospectively assessed general trauma, physical abuse, emotional abuse, and sexual abuse via the Early Trauma Inventory-Self Report Control: Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | |
| He et al.              | \( N = 141; \ n = 50 \) patients with bipolar disorder, \( n = 91 \) healthy controls | \( M = 43.5 \) years in bipolar group, \( M = 33.5 \) years in control group | 49% female | 100% Caucasian, with 3 or more Dutch grandparents | KITLG   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | CM was positively associated with methylation in the control group, but not in the bipolar disorder group |
| Wigglesworth, et al.   | \( N = 142; \ 15\% \) exposed to physical or sexual abuse, \( 20\% \) exposed to parental death | 65–80 years (\( M = 69.7 \) years) | 49% female | Not reported | KITLG   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed 25 adverse events including physical abuse, sexual abuse, and parental death via the Childhood Adversity Questionnaire | No association between childhood adversity and methylation |
| Berent et al.          | \( N = 303; \ n = 176 \) patients with alcohol use disorder, \( n = 127 \) healthy controls | \( M = 434 \) years in alcohol use disorder group, \( M = 39.5 \) years in control group | 24% female | 100% Polish Caucasian | SSRT4   | Buccal cells | Retrospectively assessed 13 ACE's physical, verbal, and sexual abuse, neglect, loss of parent, domestic violence, parental mental illness, parental alcohol abuse, parental drug abuse, parental incarcereation, witnessing family member's suicide attempt, witnessing family member's death due to any cause, and witnessing stranger's death due to any cause | No association between adversity and methylation |
| Lutz, Gross, et al.    | \( N = 94; \ n = 60 \) depressed adults who died by suicide, \( 50\% \) with severe CM, \( n = 34 \) psychiatrically healthy controls with sudden accidental death | Postmortem, \( M = 43.4 \) years in suicide with CM group, \( M = 47.1 \) years in suicide without CM group, \( M = 463 \) years in control group | 18% female | Not reported | Kappa   | Brain (Anterior insula tissue) | Retrospectively assessed severe physical, sexual abuse, and neglect via adapted version of CEMA interview, validated using medical charts, coroner files, and reports from child protective services | CM associated with decreased DNA methylation in one of six examined genomic regions of Kappa, and selective reduction of DNA hydroxymethylation, as well as decreased Kappa gene expression |
| Thomas et al.          | Tuebingen Cohort; \( N = 151 \) patients with depression and healthy controls, \( 58\% \) exposed to CM | Tuebingen Cohort: \( M = 32.7 \) years PReDICT Cohort: \( M = 402 \) years | Tuebingen Cohort: 67% female PReDICT Cohort: | Tuebingen Cohort 100% Caucasian PReDICT Cohort Not | M0RC1   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | CM not associated with mean methylation in any cohort, nor methylation at any individual CpG site. (12 sites assayed in PReDICT and Grady, 50 in Tuebingen) |
| Author          | Sample                                                                                                                                       | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender     | Ancestry                  | Gene(s) | Tissue type | Adversity measurement          | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PreDICT Cohort  | N = 299 patients with depression; 66% exposed to CM Characterization of sample: Enrolled in the PreDICT project Grady Cohort: N = 310, 51% exposed to CM Characterization of sample: Low-income; enrolled in Grady Trauma Project | M = 420 years                   | 58% female | reported                  |         |             |                                 | Lower levels of mean methylation in individuals with no ACEs compared to individuals with four or more ACEs |
| Lapp 164        | N = 90; 37% with 1–3 ACEs, 29% with 4 or more ACEs Characterization of sample: Participants recruited from University of Massachusetts and the greater Boston area | M = 321 years                   | 48% female | 51% white, 22% African American, 14% Asian, 7% Hispanic, 6% other/multiple | MT-ND6  | Buccal cells | Retrospectively assessed ACEs using the Adverse Childhood Experiences Survey | First episode schizophrenia patients with CM demonstrated significantly less LINE-1 methylation compared with first episode schizophrenia patients and healthy controls without CM; CM was not predictive of LINE-1 methylation in healthy controls; there were no significant differences in BAGE methylation between first episode schizophrenia patients with/without CM and healthy controls without CM; higher general trauma score predicted lower BAGE methylation and trend associations of BAGE methylation, physical punishment, and total trauma in healthy controls |
| Misiak et al. 165 | N = 96; n = 48 patients with first episode schizophrenia, n = 48 healthy controls; 36% of first episode schizophrenia patients and 4% of healthy controls exposed to childhood trauma Characterization of sample: Participants resided in Poland | M = 26 years                    | 54% female | Not reported              | BAGE (B-melanoma antigen) LINE-1 | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed general trauma, physical punishment, emotional and sexual abuse via Early Trauma Inventory Self Report – Short Form |                                                                                                               |

CM childhood maltreatment, CTQ Childhood Trauma Questionnaire, CECA Childhood Experience of Care and Abuse, DMR differentially methylated region, FDR False Discovery Rate, BN bulimia nervosa, GTP Grady Trauma Project, BD bipolar disorder, BPD borderline personality disorder, ADHD attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, PTSD post-traumatic stress disorder.
the rs1360780 SNP. In contrast, Harms et al.49 found significant positive associations between stress in childhood and methylation of FKB5 in young adulthood using a prospective longitudinal design, and a number of studies did not find significant associations among childhood maltreatment and FKB5 methylation50–53, or moderation by FKB5 risk allele50,51.

Turning to the serotonin system, several studies have examined whether childhood maltreatment is associated with methylation of SLC6A4, the gene for the serotonin transporter. Most studies have found a positive association of childhood maltreatment with methylation of regions of this gene54–58 although some studies show equivocal or trend-level effects59,60 or no effect61 of maltreatment on SLC6A4 methylation.

Based on the hypothesis that oxytocin may play a protective role in the biological response to stress and trauma62, five studies investigated methylation of the oxytocin-receptor-gene (OXTR) in adulthood; all five reported no overall association of childhood maltreatment with OXTR methylation. A number of these studies did report indirect or moderation effects. In a sample of 309 African American men, childhood adversity had a significant indirect effect on OXTR methylation through socioeconomic instability38. No significant direct effect of childhood adversity on OXTR methylation was observed. Likewise, while Smearman and colleagues63 did not observe simple associations of child abuse history and OXTR methylation when accounting for multiple comparisons in their sample of 393 African American adults, OXTR methylation moderated the association of childhood abuse and psychiatric symptoms.

Several other candidate genes have been examined in individual studies. For example, childhood trauma was associated with lower methylation of the proinflammatory IL-6 promoter, and lower methylation in turn was associated with greater salivary IL-6 in response to the Trier Social Stress Test64. Although this study drew upon a relatively small sample, the findings are consistent with other work demonstrating effects of childhood trauma and maltreatment on inflammatory processes.

Children maltreatment and epigenome-wide association studies

Arising in part out of replication inconsistencies as well as interest in identifying novel variation, the past decade has included an increase in the number of Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS), which are agnostic by design and interrogate genetic variation across millions of common genetic variants the entire genome. However, a limitation of GWAS is the extremely large samples needed to detect effects after adjusting for multiple testing. Similar to GWAS approaches, epigenome-wide association studies interrogate markers across the entire genome.

Consideration of the biology of DNA methylation as well as optimization of power has led to the development of new frameworks for analyzing multiple markers, including examination of CpG sites that are in close proximity to one another (differentially methylated regions, DMRs), examination of DNA methylation in biological pathways known to be involved in the condition, and application of analytic tools that use a ranking approach rather than relying on p values. Researchers have also developed quality control standards and approaches for addressing both concerns with Type I error as well as population stratification that may arise in diverse samples65.

Given that biological processes function as part of a larger interrelated system, research has increasingly focused on how either systems or patterns of alterations in methylation may be important in efforts to understand epigenetic modifications. Studies have begun to explore DNA methylation as a measure of molecular aging, with evidence that this epigenetic “clock” is associated with age-related disorders and mortality66–70 as well as with age-related development such as menopause71,72. Several analytical methods have been developed to measure epigenetic age as indicated by epigenome-wide methylation profiles72–77. This emerging area of research has the potential to provide exciting evidence for understanding the impact of early adversity on molecular age.

Children

As displayed in Table 3, 12 empirical articles focused on epigenome-wide effects of maltreatment and other adversities in children. These studies suggest that maltreatment is associated with variation in methylation across the genome, and several differentially methylated regions and genes have been identified. In a racially and ethnically diverse sample of 548 children, Cicchetti et al.78 found differential whole-genome methylation in children with a maltreatment history relative to children with no maltreatment. Maltreated children had higher levels of methylation at sites where methylation was generally low, and lower methylation at sites where methylation was generally high, compared to non-maltreated children. Using data from a subsample (n = 774) of the ALSPAC, Dunn et al.79 examined associations among childhood adversity and epigenome-wide methylation in children at 7 years of age. Thirty-eight differentially methylated CpG sites were identified in association with early adversity, and the developmental timing of adversity was the most salient predictor of methylation. Neither the simple presence/absence of adversity, recency of adversity, nor accumulation of adverse experiences was associated with altered methylation.

Studies of early adversity and epigenetic age are just emerging in samples of children. Very recently in a sample of 247 children who were 8–16 years of age, threat-related
| Author | Sample | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings |
|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Cecil et al. | N = 124; 68% exposed to CM | Inner city youth in London | 16–24 years | 53% female | Buccal cells | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Physical and sexual abuse, and physical neglect associated with epigenetic variation; no significant association between emotional abuse and neglect and epigenetic variation; top loci (e.g., GABBR1, GRIN2D, CACNA2D4, PSEN2) include markers implicated in stress-sensitive outcomes; gene ontology supports some common epigenetic signatures related to growth and neural development |
| Cicchetti et al. | N = 548; 54% exposed to CM | Low-income School age (M = 9 years) | 48% female | 68% black, 21% white, 12% biracial or other, 21% Latino | Saliva | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, supervisory and physical neglect via child protection case files coded with the MCS | Maltreated children had higher levels of methylation at sites where methylation was generally low, and lower methylation at sites where methylation generally high, compared to non-maltreated children. Additional site-specific analyses using a candidate approach examined AKHD2, ANKK1, and NR3C1; maltreated girls had lower methylation of ALDH2 than non-maltreated girls, and maltreated boys had higher ALDH2 methylation than non-maltreated boys; boys with early, but not recent, maltreatment had higher methylation of ALDH2 than non-maltreated boys; early maltreated children also had higher ANKK1 methylation than non-maltreated children |
| Dunn et al. | N = 774; 67% exposed to adversity | Enrolled in ARIES study nested within ALSPAC | 7 years | 50% female | Cord blood at birth and peripheral blood at age 7 | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse; maternal psychopathology; single parent; family instability; financial stress/poverty; neighborhood disadvantage measured via maternal report from a single item or from psychometrically validated standardized measures | Nearly all types of adversity exposure in early childhood (age 3 or younger) associated with methylation differences at age 7; only physical and sexual abuse in middle childhood associated with methylation differences at age 7; accumulation and recency of adversity did not explain variance in methylation; findings supported gene ontology pathways involving growth, axon development, and neuron apoptotic processes |
| Esposito et al. | N = 83; 60% institutionalized in Eastern Europe or Russia and adopted in the U.S.; 40% raised with biological families in the U.S. | 12–18 years in adopted group (M = 15.7 years), 13–17 years in non-adopted group (M = 15.4 years) | 52% female | 100% European descent | Peripheral blood mononuclear cells | 46 stressful life events within the past year via the Life Events Checklist—Child/Adolescent version; history of institutionalization | Children adopted from institutions of abandoned or orphaned children in Eastern Europe or Russia had higher levels of methylation compared to children of similar ancestry raised by biological parents in the United States, although after correction for cell type and multiple covariates; adaption groups were not associated with methylation, observed leftward skew supported a separate step of filtration to remove sites with no variation and genes with differentially methylated sites included TMEM200C, PPIK, RGR, Q1ATL2, CYPIA1, and miR-324; however differential methylation in CYPIA1 may be due to adopted children having had higher early life exposures to cigarette smoke, or current smoking |
| Jovanovic et al. | N = 101 | 6–13 years (M = 9.7 years) | 55% female | 100% African American | Saliva | 9 items capturing direct violence exposure and 13 items measuring witnessing violent events via the Violence Exposure Scale for Children-Revised, a cartoon-based self-report interview of children’s lifetime exposure to violence | Exposure to direct violence was positively associated with epigenetic age acceleration (the residual between DNA methylation age and chronological age); the group with the most age acceleration had the most trauma |
| Author et al. | Sample | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings |
|--------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Kumsta et al. | N = 49, n = 16 with extended institutional deprivation; n = 17 with limited institutional deprivation; n = 16 control with no institutional deprivation | Characterization of sample: Enrolled in ERA study; children adopted from Romania into families residing in England | 11–15 years | Not reported | 100% Romanian in institutional deprivation groups; 100% UK nationals in control group | Buccal cells | Duration of institutional deprivation categorized as none, less than, or greater than six months | Children exposed to more than 6 months in Romanian institutions (extended institutional deprivation) showed elevated methylation; methylation of early adopted Romanian children (limited institutional deprivation) was not significantly different from control group with no institutional deprivation |
| Maenni et al. | N = 975; 13% exposed to physical abuse, 49% exposed to family instability | Characterization of sample: Enrolled in ALSPAC | 7.5 years | 50% female | 97% white | Whole blood | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse; maternal psychopathology; single parent; family instability; financial stress/poverty; neighborhood disadvantage measured via maternal report from a single item or from psychometrically validated standardized measures | Financial hardship associated with epigenetic age acceleration; using Hannum’s epigenetic clock, sexual or physical abuse at 3 years associated with older epigenetic age, financial hardship and neighborhood disadvantage at 7 years associated with acceleration in epigenetic aging; no associations emerged using Horvath’s epigenetic clock |
| Naumova et al. | N = 28; n = 14 raised since birth in institutional care, n = 14 raised by biological parents | Participants resided in northwest region of the Russian Federation | 7–10 years (M = 8.1 years in institutionalized group, M = 8.4 years in control group) | 36% female in institutionalized group, 29% female in control group | Predominantly Slavic | Whole blood | General trauma, physical punishment, emotional and sexual abuse prior to age 18 assessed using the Early Trauma Inventory Self-Report-Short Form | The institutionalized group demonstrated overall proportionally greater methylation relative to the controls; analysis of pathway enrichment supported enrichment of the upmethylated (increased methylation) genomes of institutionalized children in regions related to cellular signaling and immune response; functional annotation implicated methylation profile differences in genes related to brain function (including genes in the dopaminergic system, glucocorticoid and steroid biosynthesis, serotoninergic system, etc.) |
| Papale et al. | N = 22; 50% exposed to high stress | Characterization of sample: 27% below poverty line | 9–12 years (M = 10.9 years) | 100% female | 50% Caucasian | Saliva | Life stress measured by the Youth Life Stress Interview | High stress exposure was associated with variability in methylation |
| Sumner et al. | N = 247 | | 8–16 years (M = 12.7 years) | 48% female | 39% white, 28% black, 2% other, 12% Hispanic | Saliva | Physical, sexual, and emotional abuse; domestic violence exposure; exposure to other interpersonal violence; emotional neglect; food insecurity via child interview and self-report measures | Exposure to threat associated with accelerated DNA methylation age and advanced pubertal stage, but exposure to deprivation was not; threat exposure affected depressive symptoms through DNA methylation age |
| Weder et al. | N = 190; 50% exposed to CM | | 5–14 years (M = 10.2 years) | 58% female | 17% European American, 38% Hispanic, 39% African American, 15% bricial | Saliva | CM assessed via child protection records, parent and child reports of trauma using the KSADS interview, child reports on the CTQ, maternal reports of domestic violence on the Partner Violence Inventory | Differences in methylation between children with CM and those with no CM were observed in methylation sites in the regions of 8q21, 8p23, 9p23 |
| Yang et al. | N = 192; 50% exposed to CM | | 5–14 years (M = 10.2 years) | 58% female | 17% European American, 38% Hispanic, 30% African American, 15% bricial | Saliva | CM assessed via child protection records, parent and child reports of trauma using the KSADS interview, child reports on the CTQ, maternal reports of domestic violence on the Partner Violence Inventory | Differential methylation at 2868 CpG sites between children with CM and those with no CM; children with CM had higher methylation at CpG sites with low to mid-range methylation, and lower methylation at sites with high methylation than children with no CM |

**CM**: childhood maltreatment, **CTQ**: Childhood Trauma Questionnaire, **MCS**: Maltreatment Classification System, **ALSPAC**: Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, **KSADS**: Kiddie-SADS—Lifetime Version.
early adversity (including abuse and violence exposure), but not deprivation (including neglect), was associated with accelerated DNA methylation age. Furthermore, threat-related early adversity exerted a significant indirect effect on depressive symptoms through accelerated DNA methylation age, suggesting that this measure of early adversity is clinically relevant to psychiatric outcomes. This is consistent with Jovanovic et al. that demonstrated that violence exposure was associated with greater DNA methylation age acceleration in African American children who were 6–13 years of age.

**Adults**

As displayed in Table 4, 19 empirical articles focused on epigenome-wide effects of childhood maltreatment in adults. Collectively, these studies suggest that childhood maltreatment exerts epigenetic effects across the genome, yet these studies differed in their overall focus and methodology. In the ALSPAC and the MRC National Survey of Health and Development, Houtepen et al. identified nine differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in the genome that replicated across the two cohorts and were associated with childhood adversity. No individual CpG sites in their epigenome-wide analysis replicated across the cohorts. O’Donnell et al. used a different methodological approach to describe variation in DNA methylation, and found that childhood maltreatment was associated with variation in methylation at 27 years of age utilizing principal components scores to describe variation in methylation. Lutz et al. examined genome-wide DNA methylation and gene expression in postmortem brain samples of adults with a history of depression who died by suicide. This study found that child abuse history was associated with differential methylation specifically in oligodendrocytes in the cingulate cortex, as well as expression of myelin-related genes.

Investigations using epigenome-wide data to explore effects of early adversity on accelerated epigenetic aging have shown mixed outcomes. Lawn et al. used the ALSPAC and the MRC National Survey of Health and Development to examine associations of childhood psychosocial adversity, including abuse and neglect, and DNA methylation age acceleration. Childhood sexual abuse was associated with methylation age acceleration in ALSPAC, and this effect remained significant when controlling for socioeconomic position. Data regarding sexual abuse was not available in the MRC survey, however Tamman et al. also found that childhood sexual abuse was associated with increased DNA methylation age. Neither individual adversity types nor a cumulative measure of adversity were associated with methylation age acceleration in ALSPAC and the MRC National Survey of Health and Development. Han et al. also reported a positive association between a history of childhood trauma and epigenetic aging in adults with MDD. DNA methylation age was also examined in the sample of 27 year olds described above, with analyses revealing no differences in epigenetic age as a function of childhood adversity. Although there have been more studies focused on childhood adversity and accelerated epigenetic age in adults, few studies overall have been completed.

**Discussion**

This systematic review examined associations of childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation in children and adults. One hundred empirical articles focused on humans were identified. These studies included both candidate gene and epigenome-wide approaches. Strengths of the literature included: (1) rigorous approaches to measure childhood maltreatment in studies focused on DNA methylation in children, including record review methods; (2) several racially and ethnically diverse samples in the child studies; (3) diverse and innovative approaches to measuring epigenome-wide effects of maltreatment, including exploration of how early adversity may lead to epigenetic age acceleration; and (4) several replication studies focused on childhood maltreatment and methylation of glucocorticoid signaling genes in children and adults. Collectively, these studies provide evidence that childhood maltreatment and other adversities are associated with DNA methylation. Genes and pathways observed to have altered methylation in relation to childhood maltreatment, and the expected epigenetic pathways from maltreatment to health and mental health outcomes, are displayed in Fig. 2.

Studies of childhood adversity and DNA methylation often focused on methylation of candidate genes that regulate glucocorticoid signaling including NR3C1 and FKBP5. Most studies in children demonstrated increased NR3C1 methylation with maltreatment. In adults, several studies documented greater NR3C1 methylation in those exposed to childhood maltreatment, whereas others demonstrated no associations of maltreatment and NR3C1 methylation. Furthermore, in our own recent work we found lower NR3C1 methylation in association with childhood adversity, and other studies have identified CpG sites in NR3C1 that are hypomethylated in association with maltreatment or suicide and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). For FKBP5, Klengel and colleagues first published findings of an interaction of childhood maltreatment and FKBP5 genotype such that maltreatment was associated with lower FKBP5 methylation in adults with the T risk allele. Lower FKBP5 methylation with maltreatment—although not interacting with genotype—has been seen in the two studies with published data in children. The maltreatment by genotype interaction predicting lower FKBP5 methylation in adults was recently replicated, but other studies have not
Table 4  Childhood adversity and studies leveraging epigenome-wide methylation arrays in samples of adults.

| Author                | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry       | Tissue type | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Han et al.87          | N=1130; 72% depressed Participants resided in the Netherlands; enrolled in the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study | 18-65 years (M=42 years)         | 65% female | Not reported   | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed trauma via the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study | Childhood trauma was positively associated with epigenetic aging within the depressed group; differences between depressed and control groups for DNA methylation age were replicated in postmortem brain samples |
| Houtepen et al.114     | N=85 for discovery sample (buccal); N=45 for replication sample (blood) Characterization of sample Enrolled in ALSPAC | 18-69 years (M=33 years) in discovery sample; 19-45 years (M=28 years) in replication sample | 51% female in discovery sample; 80% female in replication sample | 100% Caucasian in discovery sample; 38% Caucasian in replication sample | Buccal cells in discovery sample; Whole blood in replication sample | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ short form; age of onset via the Early Trauma Inventory | Within the discovery (buccal cell) sample, although no sites survived corrections for multiple testing, CM was associated with increased methylation at KITLG locus; KITLG methylation mediated link between CM and cortisol reactivity; within the replication (blood) sample, CM was associated with increased KITLG methylation and cortisol reactivity only in Caucasians; there was no influence of age of onset on methylation |
| Houtepen et al.110     | N=780 in ALSPAC, 66% exposed to adversity; N=552 in NSHD, 66% exposed to adversity | 47 years in ALSPAC; 53 years in NSHD | 100% female | Not reported   | Whole blood in ALSPAC; Buccal cells in NSHD | In NSHD, 5 ACEs prospectively measured via interviews and questionnaires by participants' mothers, including parental physical illness, parental mental illness, parental death, parental separation, and childhood illness; suboptimal maternal bonding and childhood maltreatment were retrospectively self-reported; in ALSPAC 5 additional ACEs retrospectively assessed via questionnaire: physical, sexual, and emotional abuse, physical and emotional neglect for a total of 11 ACEs | Although no individual CpG sites replicated across cohorts; after correction a total of 97 DMRs were associated with ACE measures in ALSPAC and 134 DMRs were associated with ACE measures in NSHD; even after adjusting for smoking nine differentially methylated regions were associated across both cohorts such that cumulative ACE score associated with methylation variance, as was parental mental illness, parental physical illness, and parental death |
| Khulan et al.173       | N=83 men separated from parents in childhood during wartime and N=83 non-separated controls Characterization of sample Enrolled in Helsinki Birth Cohort Study | M=64 years for separated group; M=62.9 years for non-separated group | 0% female | Born in Finland | Whole blood | Childhood wartime parental separation according to the Finnish National Archives' register | No association of childhood parental separation and methylation; methylation was associated with the development of depressive symptoms; hypomethylated genes included those with roles in brain development, brain function |
| Labonté, Suderman, et al.2012b186 | N=41; n=25 completed suicides and exposed to severe CM; n=16 controls with no suicide and no CM; n=20 completed suicides with no CM used for validation Characterization of sample Quebec Suicide Brain Bank | M=37.3 years in CM/suicide group; M=40.6 years in non-CM/suicide group; M=51.3 years in control group | 0% female | 100% Caucasians of French-Canadian descent | Brain (hippocampal tissue) | Retrospectively assessed sexual abuse, physical abuse and severe neglect via psychological autopsy, including structured interviews/chart reviews using the CECA adapted for psychological autopsies | CM associated with genome-wide promoter epigenetic alterations; 362 differentially methylated promoters identified in individuals with CM compared with controls; 248 showed hypemethylation and 114 showed hypomethylation; observed functional clusters of differentially methylated genes were validated against non-CM suicide completers and were shown to be involved in cellular/neuronal plasticity, with potential candidate marker Alsin (ALS2) observed to be differentially methylated |
| Author          | Sample Description                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry            | Tissue type          | Adversity measurement                                                                 | Adversity-related findings                                                                 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lawn et al.     | N = 989 in ALSPAC, 23% exposed to CM; N = 773 in NSHD, 7% exposed to CM              | Two timepoints in ALSPAC: 29 and 47 years; 53 years in NSHD | 100% female | Not reported | Whole blood in ALSPAC; Buccal cells in NSHD | In NSHD, 5 ACEs prospectively measured via interviews and questionnaires by participants’ mothers, including parental physical illness, parental mental illness, parental death, parental separation, and childhood illness; suboptimal maternal bonding and childhood maltreatment were retrospectively self-reported; in ALSPAC, 5 additional ACEs retrospectively assessed via questionnaire: physical, sexual, and emotional abuse, physical and emotional neglect for a total of 11 ACEs. | Sexual abuse associated with higher DNA methylation age in ALSPAC at both timepoints (no sexual abuse data available in NSHD); cumulative adversity was not associated with DNA methylation age in ALSPAC or NSHD. |
| Lutz et al.     | N = 78 depressed adults who died by suicide; 39% with severe CM                     | Postmortem                       | Not reported | Not reported | Anterior cingulate cortex | In NSHD, 5 ACEs prospectively measured via interviews and questionnaires by participants’ mothers, including parental physical illness, parental mental illness, parental death, parental separation, and childhood illness; suboptimal maternal bonding and childhood maltreatment were retrospectively self-reported; in ALSPAC, 5 additional ACEs retrospectively assessed via questionnaire: physical, sexual, and emotional abuse, physical and emotional neglect for a total of 11 ACEs. | Hyper- and hypomethylation was detected in the group exposed to abuse compared to the control group; the three most significantly differentially methylated regions intersected with genes directly related to myelin and oligodendrocytes: LINGO3, POU3F1, and ITGB1. |
| Marinova et al. | N = 45; n = 30 former indentured child laborers, n = 15 controls                     | M = 75.9 years in experimental group, M = 72.8 years in control group | 47% female in experimental group, 53% female in control group | Not reported | Buccal cells | Retrospectively reported former indentured child laborer status | Differential methylation between the two groups, with the strongest difference in SNAP2. |
| Marzi et al.    | N = 2232 twins; 28% with severe victimization experiences                            | 18 years                         | Not reported | Not reported | Whole blood | Prospectively assessed exposure to domestic violence; physical, sexual, emotional abuse and emotional and physical neglect; bullying; family violence; cyber victimization; exposure to crime via dossiers that included information from home visit staff, mothers, children, family doctors, and child protection agencies; child completion of Juvenile Victimization Questionnaire | Methylations associated with adversity exposure overlapped with tobacco smoking, thus could not be differentiated. |
| Mehta et al.    | N = 169; n = 108 with no PTSD (31% with CM), n = 61 with PTSD (52% with CM)          | M = 43.25 years in total sample; M = 44.23 years in CM with no PTSD group; M = 39.56 years in CM + PTSD group; M = 43.69 years in no CM + PTSD group | 72% female | 89% African American, 11% other | Whole blood | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse and emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Gene-expression profiles of PTSD patients with CM were nearly nonoverlapping with CM-exposed controls; these gene expression changes were associated with methylation changes in the same loci in the CM group. Functional annotation analyses supported enrichment of central nervous system development and in immune-related tolerance induction pathways in the PTSD group with CM whereas apoptosis and growth rate networks were enriched in the PTSD group without CM. |
| O'Donnell et al.| N = 188; n = 99 control group, n = 89 Nurse Family Partnership                        | 27 years                         | 53% female in control group, | 85% Caucasian in control group | Whole blood | Child abuse and neglect retrieved from substantiated reports from child | CM, and participation in NFP, were associated with variation in methylation. |
| Author            | Sample                                                                 | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Prados et al.     | N = 188; n = 96 borderline personality disorder patients with high CM, n = 93 depressed patients with low CM | M = 36.7 years                   | 78% female | Not reported | Whole blood | Physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Differential methylation observed in individuals with BPD and high CM compared to individuals with depression and low CM. Patterns of methylation also differed with respect to the severity of CM in an examination of 60 genes previously identified in the literature, CpG sites in BDNF and OXTR were associated with both PTSD and total life stress; differences in methylation profiles as a function of CM did not survive experiment-wide correction for multiple testing. |
| Roberts et al.    | N = 34; 50% with high CM exposure, 35% with no CM exposure            | 23–29 years                      | 100% male | 92% white in no CM group, 100% white in medium CM group, 88% white in high CM group | Sperm        | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse via the CTQ and Conflict Tactic Scales | Differential methylation between those exposed to CM and those that were not; adulthood trauma exposure and mental health partially mediated the association between CM and methylation. |
| Smith et al.      | N = 110; n = 25 with PTSD without CM, n = 25 with PTSD and CM, n = 26 with OM, n = 34 without OM | M = 41.3 years                   | 40% female | 100% African American | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed sexual, physical, and emotional abuse via the CTQ; stressful life events (e.g., interpersonal stressors, crime, divorce) in the last year or ever via the Stressful Events Questionnaire | No change in global methylation levels in participants with CM or with increased total life stress; methylation was inversely associated with total life stress at one CpG site; no CpG site was associated with CM; in an examination of 60 genes previously identified in the literature, CpG sites in BDNF and OXTR were associated with both PTSD and total life stress; differences in methylation profiles as a function of CM did not survive experiment-wide correction for multiple testing. |
| Suderman et al.   | N = 40; 30% exposed to CM Characterization of sample: From 1958 British Birth Cohort | 45 years                         | 100% male | Not reported | Whole blood and lymphoblast cell lines | Retrospectively assessed verbal, emotional, physical, and sexual abuse via a questionnaire including items derived from the Parental Bonding Instrument, the British National Survey of Health and Development and the US National Comorbidity Survey | Differential methylation associated with CM – both hyper- and hypomethylation of the differentially methylated genes that perform some regulatory function, most were hypomethylated in CM sample. |
| Tamman et al.     | N = 212 veterans                                                      | 22–93 years                      | 100% male | 100% European American | Saliva       | Retrospectively assessed trauma, including child sexual abuse, via the Trauma History Screen | Childhood sexual abuse associated with increased DNA methylation age; greater |
Table 4 continued

| Author | Sample | Age(s) at methylation assessment | Gender | Ancestry | Tissue type | Adversity measurement | Adversity-related findings |
|--------|--------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Zannas et al. | N = 392 Characterization of sample Participants enrolled in the Grady Trauma Project | 18–77 years (M = 41.3 years) | 71% female | 100% African American | Whole blood | Retrospectively assessed physical, sexual, emotional abuse, emotional and physical neglect via the CTQ | Number of lifetime traumas associated with increased DNA methylation age. Cumulative lifetime stress (including childhood events) was associated with acceleration of epigenetic aging. Childhood abuse exposure alone was not significantly associated with markers of epigenetic aging. Childhood adversity associated with hypermethylation of seven individual CpG sites (ALDH1A1, CART, CHRNAS, HTR1B, OPR1, PENK, and RGS19) in European American participants with and without alcohol dependence; associations of childhood adversity and methylation in African American participants were not replicated across those with and without alcohol dependence. |
| Zhang et al. | N = 518, 29% with childhood adversity, 52% with diagnosis of alcohol dependence | Mean age ranged from 33–43 years across groups stratified by alcohol dependence and childhood adversity | 56% female | 54% African American, 46% European American | Whole blood | Exposure to childhood adversity assessed using four questions from the Semi-structured Assessment for Drug Dependence and Alcoholism | Childhood trauma associated with greater FKBP5 methylation, which in turn mediated effects of early stress on prefrontal brain activity. |

CM childhood maltreatment, CTQ Childhood Trauma Questionnaire, ALSPAC Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, NSHD MRC National Survey of Health and Development, PTSD post-traumatic stress disorder, NFP Nurse Family Partnership, BPD borderline personality disorder, FDR False Discovery Rate.

Fig. 2 Conceptual diagram representing the epigenetic pathway from maltreatment to health and mental health outcomes. Note that alterations in epigenetic regulation may mediate the relationship between maltreatment and health outcomes. Epigenetic modifications can affect gene expression, leading to changes in protein levels and functional outcomes. This diagram illustrates how epigenetic changes may contribute to the development of various health and mental health outcomes following maltreatment.

Table 4 provides a summary of studies examining the relationship between childhood adversity and epigenetic markers. The table includes information on the authors, samples, age(s) at methylation assessment, gender, ancestry, tissue type, adversity measurement, and adversity-related findings. The studies highlight the complex interactions between childhood adversity, epigenetic changes, and health outcomes.

Although the potential risk for statistical artifacts provide overview system genes, given findings of indirect or moderation effects in the absence of simple effects, the modification effects in the absence of simple effects is included in the discussion. The integration of gene expression and methylation data can provide insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying the association between childhood adversity and health outcomes.

Many studies in adults utilized samples with chronic disease and mental health diagnoses and did not exclude participants with consistent medication use, which may have influenced the findings. Overall levels of methylation and gene expression may differ based on genetic ancestry, and complex interactions among participant characteristics (including gene products) can moderate effects in the absence of simple effects. The manuscript explores further
important cautions to investigations exploring interaction effects, interactions and conditional effects are known to occur in biological systems and may be appropriate to examine in large samples and/or using stratification or model invariance strategies. Methylation of serotonin signaling genes was also examined in multiple studies. Most studies of adults and some work in children found elevated methylation of \textit{SLC6A4} with maltreatment, but there are also reports of lower \textit{SLC6A4} methylation at some CpG sites with adversity, or no difference between adversity groups. Differences in epigenetic findings in \textit{SLC6A4} as well as other candidate genes may also be related to inconsistencies in the ways that the number of differentially methylated regions are assessed. For example, \textit{SLC6A4} has 81 CpG sites with studies adopting a range of approaches to assessing this large region, including selection of target sites based on the literature, multiple testing of sites, and strategies for binning methylation at multiple sites.\textsuperscript{95} Given evidence for ancestry-related differences in methylation, differences across studies related to ancestry may also potentially contribute to apparent inconsistencies.\textsuperscript{96}

Studies using agnostic approaches to exploring multiple markers across the epigenome also suggested that maltreatment is associated with variation in methylation, though these epigenome-wide studies have generally failed to identify commonly studied candidate genes, leading to questions about candidate approaches. Several reasons for these inconsistencies have been advanced, including large effect sizes needed to detect an effect after multiple testing corrections, biological considerations such as the potential combinatorial impact of genotype and DNA methylation (see ref.\textsuperscript{83} for an example of integration of genotype and epigenetic influences), interactions among systems of genes, and differences in aspects of the phenotyping and heterogeneity of different studies. Due to traditional approaches to corrections for multiple testing, studies that analyze methylation of multiple markers across the genome tend to require large samples which are often heterogeneous and may have less intensive phenotyping and measurement of maltreatment and other relevant exposures. Promising markers identified with epigenome-wide approaches show some consistency in terms of their roles in neural cell development (\textit{BDNF}, \textit{KITLG}, and \textit{POLU3F1}), signaling and apoptosis (\textit{LINGO3} and \textit{2NPFF2}), neural influences on movement (\textit{ALS2}), neuroinflammation (\textit{ITGB1}), and some tentative evidence for immune markers (\textit{CXCL1}).

A recent area of epigenome-wide research involves DNA methylation as an indicator of molecular age. A number of adult and child studies find age acceleration among individuals exposed to maltreatment (meaning that DNA methylation age is older than the chronological age). Childhood maltreatment and other adverse exposures alter epigenome-wide profiles, thereby likely contributing to chronic disease and physical aging.\textsuperscript{97–101} Interestingly, the particular markers observed to be relevant in methylation age acceleration research may point toward biological systems implicated in the link between maltreatment and disease. For example, the method described by Horvath includes CpG sites enriched for glucocorticoid response elements.\textsuperscript{99} New methods for capturing DNA methylation age continue to be developed, and very recently Belsky and colleagues\textsuperscript{102} reported a new algorithm that was derived from longitudinal data of 18 biomarkers of organ-system integrity to capture the rate of aging up to the time of measurement. This new algorithm, but not other previously established measures of DNA methylation age, was associated with childhood maltreatment in sample of 1658 young adults who were longitudinally followed since childhood. As new methods to capture age acceleration continue to develop, systematic reviews and meta-analytic approaches will continue to be important to synthesize associations of child maltreatment and the range of measures of methylation age.

Studies of maltreatment and methylation in children were often characterized by careful measurement of adverse exposures using structured record review techniques and in-depth interview methods, and they often utilized prospective and longitudinal designs. In children, DNA methylation was most frequently measured in saliva or buccal epithelial cells, with fewer studies measuring methylation in blood. Many of the samples were racially and ethnically diverse, and these studies covered the full developmental spectrum, from early childhood through adolescence. In contrast, nearly all the adult studies drew upon retrospective reports of childhood maltreatment. Utilization of prospective longitudinal designs and record review techniques would address a significant gap in the literature. Furthermore, in contrast to studies of maltreatment and methylation of candidate genes in children, most adult studies measured methylation in leukocyte DNA.

Moving beyond simple effects of maltreatment on DNA methylation, several studies highlighted the importance of the developmental timing of exposure to adversity.\textsuperscript{106–107,108} More research is needed to understand how early adversity at each developmental epoch may be associated with differences in epigenetic marks. Moreover, longitudinal research is needed to explore whether epigenetic changes secondary to early adversity may “reset” during later developmental periods or perhaps may be impacted by later experiences, which either attenuate or compound early adversity. Retrospective research with
adults who report childhood adversity has numerous benefits in terms of cost- and time-effectiveness; however, reliance on retrospective reports of childhood adversity present important methodological challenges related to recalling the timing of childhood events, ability to recall very early life events, and systematic recall biases, introducing measurement limitations that are difficult to overcome. Many studies use the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire or other self-report measures. Some self-report measures, such as the Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire and the Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure, include trauma characteristics such as the age or frequency of occurrence, which may be important determinants of epigenetic and phenotypic outcomes. Future research may also benefit from interview approaches that can help with retrospective recall such as participant-tailored anchoring.

Although many adult studies reviewed here relied on recall, much can be learned from the few studies that capitalized on longitudinal cohorts. For example, Harms et al. assessed stress when children were 9–13 years old and then methylation approximately 10 years later. O’Donnell et al. assessed childhood maltreatment in the first 15 years of life and methylation at age 27. Future research should capitalize on existing studies of children that utilized rigorous measures of the environment as they develop over time to expand the literature in adults. Likewise, very few studies drew upon repeated assessments of DNA methylation over time. In our own research, we demonstrated that childhood maltreatment and other adversities are associated with change in methylation of glucocorticoid signaling genes over time in early childhood. Future research should further examine maltreatment as a predictor of change in methylation across development.

Importantly, the majority of studies focused on exposure to childhood maltreatment without consideration of the prenatal environment. Prenatal exposures exert epigenetic effects on several biological systems, particularly the development of the child stress response. For example, intimate partner violence in pregnancy is associated with increased NR3CI in late childhood and adolescence. Smoking and depression in pregnancy have also been associated with altered methylation of placental stress-related genes, such as NR3CI and HSD11B2, which encodes the enzyme that inactivates cortisol. Associations of childhood maltreatment and DNA methylation may be partially accounted for by prenatal environmental factors. Conversely, maltreatment may exert a unique and independent effect on DNA methylation above and beyond prenatal exposures. Barker et al. found that child adversity between birth and 7 years of age was associated with an inflammation-related epigenetic polygenic risk score (i-ePGS) at age 7, but there was no association of prenatal adversity and the i-ePGS. Future research should disentangle prenatal exposures and adversities experienced in childhood to better understand effects of maltreatment on DNA methylation in both childhood and adulthood.

Very few studies examined sex differences in the effects of childhood maltreatment on DNA methylation. Studies focused on in utero stress exposure often find sex differences in epigenetic pathways and observed outcomes in both preclinical and human models. For example, Braithwaite et al. found that maternal depressive symptoms in pregnancy were associated with greater methylation of NR3CI in male, but not female, infants. Stroud et al. found that the moderating effects of placental HSD11B2 methylation on links between prenatal major depressive disorder and infant cortisol response emerged most strongly for newborn daughters, whereas direct and moderating effects of SLC6A4 gene expression were evident only for sons. In one of the few studies identified in this review that examined sex differences, Cicchetti et al. found that boys and girls showed different directions of the effect of maltreatment on methylation of ALDH2, a gene that encodes a key enzyme in the metabolism of alcohol. Sex differences were also observed in the effect of the developmental timing of adversity. As we have shown in a meta-analysis with ADCYAP1R1, the adenylyte cyclase activating receptor gene associated with PTSD, depending on the functional outcomes of the gene(s), sex and developmental differences are reasonable to expect. Future work on maltreatment and DNA methylation should consider the role of child sex to ensure that important moderation effects are not being overlooked.

An additional future direction is to move from association to causal inference. Maltreatment is often confounded with additional measures of adversity, including poverty and other sociodemographic factors, as well as personality factors, and potentially genetic factors. Many studies reviewed utilized statistical control for potential confounding factors; however, true random assignment designs are not ethical in humans. Thus, synthesizing across preclinical studies (where random assignment is possible) and human association studies will be critical. Additionally, innovative designs in human studies, including random assignment to interventions to reduce maltreatment, and control groups that are demographically and psychosocially matched to maltreatment groups, may allow the field to move closer to causal inference. Research considering intervention effects on change in methylation over time may also address this gap in knowledge. Indeed, we found that service utilization was associated with increases in FKBP5 methylation over time in preschoolers with early adversity. More recently, Vinkers et al. observed changes in methylation...
among soldiers successfully treated for PTSD such that changes in methylation were observed among soldiers who had reductions in PTSD symptoms. Taken together, this work provides initial evidence that psychosocial interventions exert influence on the epigenome.

DNA methylation has been measured in several tissue types, including blood, saliva, and buccal cells. Yet, the majority of studies focused on DNA methylation in children utilized saliva and buccal cells, and fewer examined methylation in blood. Although some researchers have questioned the value of peripheral markers in research aimed at understanding psychosocial outcomes believed to be related to central brain processes, with some researchers suggesting that psychiatric epigenetic research should be limited to brain tissue, a number of studies point to the value of peripheral indicators. Research has shown reasonable concordance between gene-expression signals in blood and brain\textsuperscript{119–121} and primate research has identified correspondence in DNA methylation profiles in the brain and blood\textsuperscript{122}. Similar gene expression was found in the cerebellum and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) across 4000 unique genes\textsuperscript{123}. Correspondence between DNA methylation in PBMCs and postmortem brain tissue was identified with respect to a marker of reward and stress-induced responses\textsuperscript{124}. Recent research showed good correspondence of DNA methylation in blood and saliva\textsuperscript{125}. Studies of methylation age have also shown consistency across tissue types\textsuperscript{75}. Interestingly, there is some evidence\textsuperscript{126} that saliva samples may be more strongly associated with brain methylation than blood samples, although correlations of methylation in the brain with saliva, blood, and buccal cell DNA were all observed to be high and the strength of these associations appear to depend on the genomic region of interest\textsuperscript{127}. These studies have provided helpful signals regarding the utility of blood, brain, saliva, and buccal cells, and Epigenomic Roadmap datasets now provide some insights into the ways that peripheral methylation profiles may map on to those in brain tissue\textsuperscript{128,129}. Nonetheless, cell type heterogeneity remains a significant challenge for epigenetic research that has been addressed using a number of strategies such as cell counts, cell separation and examination of single cells, and analytic strategies that account for cell type\textsuperscript{130–133}. Another concern involves the technology and analytic strategies used to interrogate genome methylation. Power to detect true differences and false positives are both major concerns, so large samples sizes and replication samples are required, but very large studies may not be able to provide rich data on maltreatment. Researchers have also described the importance of considering reliability of BeadChip technology, the most frequently applied technology for interrogating the genome, as a function of: (a) sample type, with lower reliability and replicability in dried blood spots, (b) tissue type, with some probes demonstrating greater cross-tissue concordance, (c) platform (such as Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip with nearly 500,000 sites vs Illumina MethylationEPIC BeadChip with nearly 850,000 sites), and (d) observed variability at each site, with lower reliability at sites with less variability\textsuperscript{134–137}. Research is needed to carefully document reliability considerations for proper assessment of reproducibility; interested readers are directed to a thorough discussion of reliability, replication, and reproducibility in DNA methylation measurement (see ref. \textsuperscript{134}). In addition to the importance of clear site level documentation of findings in publications, suggestions for addressing reliability concerns in ongoing research include analytic approaches such as dropping CpG sites with low observed variability, replicating findings with procedures such as pyrosequencing, and integrating preanalysis reliability metrics\textsuperscript{134,136,137}. Focusing the analyses on regions with more variability across participants reduces the likelihood of false positives, and also reduces the number of comparisons, thereby increasing power. It is also important to note that methylation arrays assess only CpG sites; this yields fewer sites than whole-genome bisulfite sequencing approaches, and some research suggests some important variability occurs outside of these CpG islands\textsuperscript{137,138}. Standards in this field continue to be refined, and researchers should follow these developments closely. At a minimum, researchers should implement strategies to address quality control (including thorough evaluations of normalization procedures and strategies for addressing batch effects), cell heterogeneity, and sample ancestry\textsuperscript{65,139–141}.

Our systematic review examined associations of maltreatment and other interpersonal adversities during childhood with DNA methylation in children and adults. We identified 100 studies, including studies utilizing both candidate gene approaches and epigenome-wide analyses. Several strengths of the literature were identified, as well as directions for future research. Key challenges facing the field and associated recommendations are described in Fig. 3. We included both studies focused on childhood maltreatment and other adversities, as well as studies that were not focused specifically on early adversity but included measurement of adversity in their examination of another topic (e.g., psychiatric or physical health diagnosis). Inclusion of these studies represents both a strength and limitation due to selection biases inherent to these designs, as well as confounds such as the presence of medical conditions and medications that may influence methylation. We acknowledge several other limitations to the current review. Specifically, (1) the present review focused on DNA methylation and did not include studies addressing other epigenetic modifications (e.g., microRNA, histone modifications); (2) the review did not address the functional impact (e.g., gene expression, proteins) of DNA methylation
alterations in various studies; and (3) although systematic, the review was qualitative, and thus, does not provide information regarding effect sizes or heterogeneity of included studies. Meta-analytic reports of the most commonly studied candidate genes and EWAS results would build upon our qualitative analysis; however, the extensive number of individual CpG sites both within each candidate gene and across the EWAS studies poses a significant challenge. Furthermore, a critical limitation of the literature is that the location of individual CpG sites is often not consistently identified in empirical manuscripts, further precluding meta-analytic approaches. Future research should more carefully detail the precise location of CpG sites to facilitate future meta-analysis efforts.

In less than two decades, there has been an explosion of research in childhood maltreatment and epigenetics. Mirroring trends observed in genetic research, studies have increasingly focused on sampling methylation across the genome. As with genetic research, advantages of this approach include opportunities to identify key mechanisms that would otherwise have been overlooked. However, this approach generally requires larger samples to account for multiple testing which in turn has been criticized for less nuanced phenotyping of samples permitted in smaller cohorts. Some of these concerns may be somewhat addressed through collaborations such as efforts undertaken by the Psychiatric Genetics Consortium working groups, particularly when care is taken to balance genomic inflation/deflation and to address concerns that can arise with diverse samples interrogated on differing platforms. Researchers have also begun to explore ways that methodological approaches may improve understanding of epigenetic factors and adversity outcomes such as repeated measurement, sampling across tissues, twin/family approaches, and psychological/pharmacological treatments. Epigenetic approaches are also perhaps best understood in combination with thorough assessments of genotype, epigenome, and gene expression. Many factors related to the type and timing of adversity, availability and quality of buffers to adversity, and new events and time since early adversity are likely critically...
important influences on negative outcomes of adversity. Ongoing recognition of the complexity of biological and environmental factors as well as phenotypic nuances is critically needed—apparent inconsistencies do not necessarily negate findings from either of the divergent studies but rather may point to important considerations for ongoing research and theoretical conceptualizations.
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