Sulfolipids and glycolipid sulfotransferase activities in human renal cell carcinoma cells
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Summary A cell line (SMKT-R3) established from human renal cell carcinoma was characterised for the presence of sulfolipids and glycolipid sulfotransferases. Sulfolipids were found to constitute a large part of the acidic glycolipid fraction in SMKT-R3 cells. These findings were confirmed by metabolic labelling with [35S]-sulfate. These sulfolipids were expressed at the surface of SMKT-R3 cells as ascertained by cytofluorometry using a monoclonal antibody directed to sulfolipids. Furthermore, markedly high activity levels of sulfolipid sulfotransferases were observed in SMKT-R3 cells compared with other cell lines. These results suggest that the increased synthesis of sulfolipids in renal cell carcinoma tissue (Sakakibara et al., 1989. Cancer Res., 49, 335–339) is due to the elevation of the sulfotransferase activities of renal carcinoma cells themselves.

Glycolipids have been known to undergo marked cancer-associated changes (Hakomori, 1985). In particular, acidic glycolipids with sialic acid residues, called gangliosides, have been well studied. On the other hand, reports on cancer-associated changes of the other acidic glycolipids, sulfolipids, which contain sulfate residues, are relatively rare (Siddiqui et al., 1978; Gasa et al., 1979; Yoda et al., 1979; Hattori et al., 1981; Mitsuyama et al., 1983; Hiraiwa et al., 1988; Hiraiwa et al., 1990). The synthesis of sulfolipids is catalysed by PAPS:GalCer sulfotransferase (EC 2.8.2.11) (Balasubramanian & Bachhawat, 1965). Although the sulfotransferase from rat kidney (Tennekoon et al., 1985) and testis (Sakac et al., 1992) has been recently purified, the human enzyme has not.

In our previous studies, sulfolipids were found to increase markedly in human renal cell carcinoma (Sakakibara et al., 1989) but not in Wilms’ tumour (Sakakibara et al., 1991). The increment of the sulfolipid contents in renal cell carcinoma was associated with enhanced activity of glycolipid sulfotransferase in the cancer tissues (Sakakibara et al., 1989). Furthermore, the level of the sulfotransferase appeared to be elevated in sera from patients with renal cell carcinoma (Gasa et al., 1990), and hepatocellular carcinoma (Gasa et al., 1991).

Several cell lines established from mammalian kidney were characterised for sulfotransferase activity (Tadano & Ishizuka, 1979), but there has been no report on the enzyme activity and sulfolipids of renal cell carcinoma cells. This paper describes some properties of sulfolipids and glycolipid sulfotransferase activities in renal cell carcinoma cells.

Materials and methods

Materials

[35S]-PAPS (1.5 Ci mmol⁻¹) and [35S]-sodium sulfate (250–1000 mCi mmol⁻¹) were purchased from New England Nuclear, unlabeled PAPS and p-nitrocatechol sulfate from Sigma.

DEAE-Sephadex A-25 and Sephadex G-25 were obtained from Pharmacia-LKB. GalCer and LacCer were purified in this laboratory from bovine brain and horse red cell membranes, respectively. Other reagents were of analytical grade.

Several human cell lines, A-431 (epidermoid carcinoma), PC-3 (lung adenocarcinoma), HL-60 (acute promyelocyte leukaemia), K-562 (chronic myelogenous leukaemia), were a gift from the Japanese Cancer Research Resources Bank.

Cell culture

SMKT-R3 cells were established from human renal cell carcinoma as described previously (Miyao et al., 1989), and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified essential medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum.

Preparation of glycolipids

Cell monolayers were washed with Tris-buffered saline and harvested by scraping with a rubber policeman. Then the cell suspensions were centrifuged and washed three times with Tris-buffered saline. The cell pellets (approximately 10 mg protein) were extracted with 50 ml of a mixture of chloroform/methanol/water (60:35:5, by volume), and the ratio of the solvent mixture is expressed by volume), and then re-extracted with 50 ml of a mixture of chloroform/methanol/water (30:60:5). The two extracts were combined and subjected to mild alkaline hydrolysis to destroy ester lipids, followed by neutralisation with acetic acid. After evaporation of the solvent, the total lipid extract was desalted with a Sephadex G-25 column. The eluate was concentrated and applied to a DEAE-Sephadex A-25 (acetate form) column. After washing with chloroform/methanol/water (30:60:5), the acidic glycolipid fraction was eluted with chloroform/methanol/1 M CH₃COONH₄ (30:60:8), evaporated, and desalted as above.

Analysis of glycolipids

Glycolipids were chromatographed on precoated Silica Gel 60 HPTLC plates (Merck) using the solvent system: chloroform/methanol/0.2% CaCl₂ (60:35:7). Oricinol, resorcinol, and Azure A reagents were used for detection of hexose-containing glycolipids (Svensenholm, 1956), gangliosides (Svensenholm, 1957), and sulfolipids (Iida et al., 1989), respectively. TLC-immunostaining was performed using an anti-sulfolipid monoclonal antibody, Sulph I (Freedman et al., 1988), and peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse immunoglobulins as described previously (Magnani et al., 1982).
Metabolic labelling of SMKT-R3 cells

Monolayer cultures of SMKT-R3 cells (3 × 10⁶ cells) were labelled with 5 μCi ml⁻¹ ³⁵S-sodium sulfate for 24 h. Labelled acidic glycolipids were prepared, chromatographed as described above, and detected by autoradiography.

Assay of glycolipid sulfotransferase activities and identification of the reaction products

The cell pellets, which were prepared as above, were resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Lubrol PX and sonicated on ice. Glycolipid sulfotransferase activities of the cell homogenate, the protein concentration of which was adjusted to approximately 1 mg ml⁻¹, were assayed using GalCer and LacCer separately as substrates by a previously described method (Kawano et al., 1989). The minimal detectable level of the assay was 30–50 pmol h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein. The synthesised products were isolated, desalted, chromatographed on a TLC plate, and scanned for radioactivity, according to a previous procedure (Kawano et al., 1989).

Assay of arylsulfatase A activity

Arylsulfatase A activity of the cell homogenate was assayed by the method of Baum et al. (1965).

Cyttofluorometric analysis

SMKT-R3 cells were incubated for 24 h in the culture medium with or without 0.5 mM sodium selenate. The cells were harvested, washed, and stained by the indirect immunofluorescence method; the cells were reacted with Sulph I as the first antibody and subsequently with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated F(ab')₂ fragment of rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulins (DAKO) as the second antibody. Fluorescence profiles were determined with a FACScan (Becton Dickinson).

Figure 2 Detection of cell surface expression of sulfolipids by flow cytometry. SMKT-R3 cells were cultured in the presence (lower) or absence (upper) of sodium selenate. The cells were reacted with the monoclonal antibody Sulph I, and fluorescein-conjugated F(ab')₂ to mouse IgG, followed by flow cytometry. The solid line indicates reactivity with Sulph I; the dotted line, reactivity with nonspecific isotype mouse IgG.

Figure 1 Thin-layer chromatogram of acidic glycolipids from SMKT-R3 cells. O, origin. a, Lanes 1, 3, and 5, sulfolipid standards: SM4, SM3, SM2, and SB2 from the top to the bottom; lanes 2, 4 and 5, acidic glycolipids from SMKT-R3 cells, each corresponding to 1 mg of cell protein. Glycolipids were chromatographed and visualised with an orcinol reagent (lanes 1 and 2), or with an azure A reagent (lanes 3 and 4) or by immunostaining (lanes 5 and 6) as described under 'Materials and methods'. b, Lane 1, sulfolipid standards stained with an orcinol reagent as shown in a. Lane 2, autoradiogram of a TLC plate of labelled SMKT-R3 cell lipids. The cells were metabolically labelled with ³⁵S-sulfate. Acidic glycolipids extracted from the cells, corresponding to 300 μg of cell protein were chromatographed and detected by autoradiography. Minor sulfolipids are marked with asterisks.
Results

Acidic glycolipids from human renal cell carcinoma cells

When acidic glycolipid fractions extracted from SMKT-R3 cells were analysed by TLC, a number of glycolipids were detected as shown in Figure 1a. Three of them, co-migrating with authentic SM4, SM3, and SM2, were found to be negative with resorcinol reagent (data not shown) but positive with Azure A reagent as well as with orcinol reagent. The monoclonal antibody Sulph I, which recognises non-reducing terminal galactose-4-sulfate (Freedman et al., 1988), reacted specifically with the cell glycolipids consistent with standard SM4 and SM3. Taken together, the three glycolipids were identified as sulfolipids. These sulfolipids appeared as doublets, probably due to heterogeneity of the lipid moiety. Thus sulfolipids constituted a large part of the acid glycolipid fraction in SMKT-R3 cells. These observations were confirmed by metabolic labelling with 35S-sulfate as shown in Figure 1b. Five sulfolipids were detected by autoradiography of the thin-layer chromatogram of the acidic glycolipid extract from the cells. In addition to the sulfolipids corresponding to reference SM4, SM3 and SM2, two minor, more slowly migrating sulfolipids (asterisks) were also detected, but they remain to be characterised.

Cytofluorometric analysis of SMKT-R3 cells

In order to ascertain sulfolipid expression on the cell surface, SMKT-R3 cells were analysed with a fluorescence-activated cell sorter using the monoclonal antibody Sulph I. As shown in Figure 2 (upper), Sulph I gave good cell surface reactivity with SMKT-R3 cells. Incubation of the cells with sodium selenate, which inhibits the synthesis of sulfolipids (Aruffo et al., 1991), resulted in a reduction of expression of these sulfolipids (Figure 2 lower).

Characterisation of glycolipid sulfotransferase of SMKT-R3 cells

We previously established a rapid procedure for the determination of glycolipid sulfotransferase activity using rat kidney tissue as an enzyme source (Kawano et al., 1989). SMKT-R3 cell homogenates were examined to detect the sulfotransferase activity through this assay procedure. When GalCer and LacCer were separately used as substrates, the products co-migrated with authentic SM4 and SM3, respectively, confirming the presence of glycolipid sulfotransferase activities and the validity of utilising this assay method (Figure 3). The effect of substrate concentration on the sulfotransferase activities of SMKT-R3 cells is shown in Figure 4. The Km values of the enzyme for GalCer and LacCer calculated from a Lineweaver-Burk plot were 43.2μM and 358μM, respectively.

Glycolipid sulfotransferase and arylsulfatase A activities in various human cell lines

Various human cell lines were evaluated to determine whether the sulfotransferase activities were characteristic of renal cell carcinoma cells or not. Interestingly, the sulfotransferase activities could not be detected in the cell lines other than SMKT-R3 under our assay conditions (Table I). The specific activities of glycolipid sulfotransferase toward GalCer and LacCer were 8690 pmol h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein and 3015 pmol h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein, respectively. On the other hand, the activities of arylsulfatase A, which catalyses hydrolysis of sulfolipids, were not significantly different in these cell lines (Table I). Therefore, it was suggested that the accumulation of sulfolipids in SMKT-R3 cells was due to their increased synthesis, and that the elevated sulfotransferase activities were unique to renal cell carcinoma cells.

Discussion

In our previous study, a significantly elevated level of glycolipid sulfotransferases associated with accumulation of sulfolipids was demonstrated in human renal cell carcinoma tissues (Sakakibara et al., 1989). These findings were confirmed and carried forward by the present study, where sulfolipids and glycolipid sulfotransferases were found to be expressed in renal cell carcinoma cells themselves.

The glycolipid patterns and the sulfotransferase activities of other human renal cell carcinoma cell lines, SMKT-R1 and SMKT-R2, (Miyao et al., 1989) were similar to those of SMKT-R3 (data not shown). Glycolipid sulfotransferase activities could be detected only in renal cell carcinoma cell lines as far as we could examine, although there are reports documenting the expression of sulfolipids in other tumour cell lines including HL-60 cells (Hiraiwa et al., 1988; Krivan et al., 1989; Hiramaw et al., 1990; Aruffo et al., 1991). When acidic glycolipid fractions from the other cell lines than the renal cell carcinoma cells were examined on TLC, sulfolipids could not be detected (data not shown). Our observations are consistent with the fact that the preferential expression of sulfated glycolipids is tissue-specific and relatively restricted to brain, kidney and small intestine (Makita & Taniguchi, 1985).

The specific activity of sulfotransferase towards GalCer in SMKT-R3 cells was 50-fold greater than that in normal
human kidney tissue, and 8-fold greater than that in renal cell carcinoma tissue as shown in our previous report (Sakakibara et al., 1989). Similar results were obtained as to LacCer sulfotransferase activity (Sakakibara et al., 1989). Since human renal cell carcinoma is thought to originate from proximal tubular cells (Tannenbaum et al., 1971), one of the reasons could be the specific activities of renal cell carcinoma tissues and cells that the assays contain other histological cells such as stromal cells that do not express the sulfotransferase activities. Furthermore, the specific activities for the sulfotransferase in SMKT-R 3 cells are much greater than those in MDCK cells and JTC-12 cells, which were isolated from dog and monkey kidney, respectively (Tadano & Ishizuka, 1979), although the assay conditions were slightly different. Taken together, it is suggested that the sulfotransferase activities are characteristic of renal cells, and that the elevation of the enzymes is caused by the malignant changes of renal cells.

The sulfotransferase preparation from SMKT-R 3 cells could sulfate GalCer and LacCer. Competition studies have suggested that GalCer and LacCer are sulfated by a single enzyme in MDCK cells and JTC-12 cells (Tadano & Ishizuka, 1979). Similar results were obtained from rat and rabbit testis sulfotransferases (Handa et al., 1974; Lingwood, 1985). The Km value for GalCer of the sulfotransferase from SMKT-R 3 cells was smaller than that for LacCer (Figure 4), in good concordance with those from MDCK cells and JTC-12 cells (Tadano & Ishizuka, 1979). Therefore, the sulfotransferase appears to prefer GalCer to LacCer as a substrate. In fact, the specific activity for GalCer was higher than that for LacCer in SMKT-R 3 cells within a limited amount of substrate.

The SM 3 content was much greater than that of SM 4 (Figure 1), although more monohexosylceramides than dihexosylceramides were contained in the neutral fraction of SMKT-R 3 cells (data not shown). Human kidney contains GalCer and GlcCer as monohexosylceramide with a higher content of GlcCer, and the ratio of GlcCer in renal cell carcinoma tissues increases compared with that in uninvolved tissues (Sage et al., 1990). Therefore, the amount of precursor glycolipids may regulate the synthesis of sulfolipids. One other explanation for the discrepancy may be that SM 4 is more easily degraded by hydrolases, including arylsulfatase A, than SM 3 in renal carcinoma cells.

Sulfolipids have been demonstrated to have a variety of biological interactions with extracellular matrix and blood coagulation modulators, etc. (Roberts, 1987). SMKT-R 3 cells provide a useful model system for studying such sulfolipid functions as well as various aspects of glycolipid sulfotransferases and the sulfolipid metabolism in renal cancer cells.
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