Molecular Dynamics Investigation on Thermal Conductivity and Photon Behaviors of Graphene With Sierpinski Carpet Fractal Defects
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The thermal conductivity (TC) of graphene with Sierpinski carpet fractal (SCF) and regular carpet (RC) defects is numerically studied by the non-equilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD) method. The influences of porosity, fractal levels, and types of defects on the TC of graphene are clarified, and the underlying mechanisms of phonon behaviors are uncovered. The numerical results indicate that the defects in graphene induce the atoms that have the heat transfer blockage effect, and thus, the TC of defective graphene decreases with increasing porosity. With the increase in fractal levels, more atoms have the heat transfer blockage effect, which induces the TC of graphene with SCF defects to sharply decrease. Moreover, compared with the graphene with RC defects, more atoms participate in the heat transfer blockage under the graphene with SCF defects, which leads to the lower TC of graphene with SCF defects.
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INTRODUCTION

Owing to the quantum effect at the nanoscale, graphene has high carrier mobility, excellent thermal conductivity (TC), and ultra-high strength, which has a potentially huge application value in semiconductors (Novoselov et al., 2004; Balandin et al., 2008; Ghosh et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2008; Wei et al., 2011; Aldrigo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018; Han et al., 2019b). The TC of graphene is a key parameter for thermal management in nanoelectronic devices (Balandin et al., 2008; Ghosh et al., 2008; Anno et al., 2017), which has attracted increasing interest in both academia and industry. Therefore, it is of great significance to study the tuning of the thermal property of graphene to meet the thermal management we need.

The TC of graphene can be changed by constructing the structures of graphene (Yarifard et al., 2017); introducing defects into graphene is an available method to adjust the graphene structure (Hao et al., 2011). As a good way to study the thermal mechanisms of graphene and the impacts of influence factors (Chen et al., 2015; Chen and Deng, 2017), the effects of defects on the TC of one-dimensional material were numerically investigated by molecular dynamics (MD) simulation (Cui et al., 2016; Bazrafshan and Rajabpour, 2017; Hu et al., 2017; Han et al., 2019a; Han et al., 2019b). The numerical results indicate that increasing the ratio of defects can decrease the TC of defective graphene (Han et al., 2019a). The silicon nanowires with surface defects have higher TC and average phonon participation than the silicon nanowires with inner defects (Li et al., 2021). Considering the structure of self-similarity in nature, nanofabrication technologies are adopted to construct self-similar structures (Zhang et al., 2014; Deng et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020). As one of the self-similar...
set, the Sierpinski carpet fractal (SCF) structure is introduced to construct the defective graphene (Kang et al., 2018; Han et al., 2019a; Han et al., 2020). The SCF defects in graphene can significantly increase the boundary scattering of phonon and thus significantly reduce the TC (Zhang et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2015; Shao et al., 2018). When the fractal level increases from 0 to 3, the TC of the SCF graphene monolayer decreases from 164.4 to 19.6 W/mK (Kang et al., 2018).

In summary, many investigations have been conducted to study the regular and vacancy defects of graphene. However, the phonon behaviors in defective graphene, including the phonon density of states and the participation ratio were not well analyzed in previous studies, which was not sufficient to clarify the mechanisms underlying the thermal transport of defective graphene. In this article, to study the controlled method of the TC of graphene, the effect of fractal structure on the TC of graphene is clarified. Additionally, the phonon behaviors, including the phonon participation ratio and phonon density of states are analyzed to reveal the mechanisms underlying the phonon transport of the graphene with SCF and regular carpet (RC) defects.

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL**

Removing or replacing some carbon atoms on a graphene monolayer is a common method to construct defective graphene. As shown in Figures 1A,B, the SCF graphene (fractal level, \( k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) and the RC graphene with a size of 27 nm \( \times \) 27 nm are constructed in the simulation.

To investigate the influence of defect structure on the TC of graphene, the porosity \((p)\) of the graphene with SCF and RC defects under the same porosity is defined as

\[
P = \frac{S_{\text{defect}}}{S_{\text{total}}} \tag{1}
\]

where \(S_{\text{defect}}\) is the area of defects and \(S_{\text{total}}\) is the total volume of graphene monolayer. The corresponding porosities under different fractal levels are 8.42, 15.9, 22.69, and 27.04\%, respectively.

The non-equilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD) method is adopted to investigate the TC of graphene monolayer with SCF and RC defects. As shown in Figure 1C, the heat flux is imposed in the direction from the hot bath to the cold bath. Each layer of graphene monolayer has a thickness of 2 nm with 1,000 atoms, which can guarantee the reliability of the numerical results.

The initial temperature of the system is 500 K, and the time step is 0.5 fs. The simulations first are performed in NVT for \(1 \times 10^6\) steps and then in NVE for \(4 \times 10^8\) steps. The increasing energy in the hot bath and decreasing energy in the cold bath are set as 2.5 to establish the temperature gradient. Therefore, the TC of graphene is calculated as

\[
\kappa = \frac{Q}{S \nabla T} \tag{2}
\]

where \(Q\) is the increasing heat flux from heat bath or decreasing heat flux from the cold bath, \(\nabla T\) is the temperature gradient, and \(S\) is the cross-sectional area.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Thermal Conductivity of Defective Graphene**

The TC of material in the macroscale is a physical parameter that has no change with the material structure. However, the TC of graphene is relevant to the structure of the atomic system as a low-dimensional material. Therefore, the TC of graphene with SCF and RC defects under different porosity calculated by Eq. 1 is plotted in Figure 2. The TC of graphene with SCF defects has a
sharp decrease with increasing porosity. When the porosity increases from 8 to 27%, the TC of graphene with SCF defects decreases from 627.4 W/mK to 93.65 W/mK, and the TC of graphene with RC defects decreases from 513.8 W/mK to 159.4 W/mK. This result indicates that the decreasing effect of porosity on the TC of graphene with SCF defects is stronger than that of graphene with RC defects.

**PDOS of Defective Graphene**

The physical mechanisms of heat transfer in defective graphene can be expounded by the phonon behaviors, which are analyzed by the phonon density of states (PDOS). The concentration of phonons in the low-frequency region demonstrates that graphene has a high thermal resistance. In order to explain the influence of the SCF and RC defects on the TC of graphene, PDOS of two defective graphene is analyzed, which can be calculated by taking the Fourier transform of the velocity autocorrelation function (Mortazavi et al., 2016)

\[
g(\omega) = \lim_{\Delta \omega \to 0} \frac{\Delta n}{\Delta \omega},
\]

where \( \Delta n \) is the modulus of the lattice vibration in the interval \( \Delta \omega \), which can be calculated as

\[
\Delta n = \frac{V_c}{(2\pi)^3} \int ds dq |
\]

where \( dq \) is the vertical distance between the two equal frequency planes and \( ds \) is the area element.

The total modulus and total degrees of freedom are equal, and a simple crystal has \( N \) atoms

\[
\int_0^{\omega_m} g(\omega)d\omega = 3N,
\]

where \( \omega_m \) is the maximum frequency. Combining the above equations, the PDOS can be obtained by

\[
g(\omega) = \frac{3p}{N} \frac{V_c}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{\omega_m} \frac{ds}{|\nabla q\omega(q)|}.
\]

Figure 3A shows the PDOS of graphene with SCF defects \( k = 2, 3, 4 \), and Figure 3B shows the comparison between the PDOS of graphene with SCF and RC defects (RC defects and SCF defects with \( k = 4 \) have the same porosity). As shown in Figure 3A, the corresponding frequency peaks of PDOS concentrate in the low-frequency region with increasing \( k \). The frequency peaks of PDOS for SCF graphene with \( k = 2 \), 3, and 4 are respectively about 30, 26.7, and 20 HTz. The concentration of frequency peaks in the low-frequency region demonstrates that the influence of boundary scattering of phonons on the heat transfer is enlarged during the heat transfer, which leads to the decrease in TC. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 3B, the concentration of frequency peaks in the low-frequency region for graphene with SCF defect is more obvious than that for graphene with RC defects. This result indicates that the heat transfer blockage effect of SCF defects is stronger than that of RC defects. It explains why the TC of graphene with SCF defects is lower than that with RC defects under the same porosity.

**Phonon Participation Ratio**

In order to get a better insight into the suppression of TC, the phono participation ratio \( (P) \) is used to reveal the influence of defects on TC. The value of \( P \) represents the number of atoms participating in the motion. If only one atom among \( N \) atoms in the system participates in the heat transfer, \( p = 1/N \). If all the atoms participate in the heat transfer, \( p = 1. \) \( P \) can be calculated as (Yang et al., 2013; Shao et al., 2018; Han et al., 2019b):

\[
P = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} u_{i\alpha\lambda} u_{i\alpha\lambda}^* \right)^2},
\]
where \( N \) is the total number of atoms and \( u_{\alpha,k} \) is the eigenvector component of mode \( \lambda \) in the \( \alpha \) direction of the \( i \)th atom. Figure 4A illustrates the \( P \) of graphene with SCF defects \((k = 2, 3, 4)\), and Figure 4B illustrates the comparison between the \( P \) of graphene with SCF and RC defects (graphene with RC defects and SCF defects \( k = 4 \) have the same porosity). As shown in Figure 4A, \( P \) has more peaks with decreasing \( k \), which indicates the number of atoms that have the heat transfer blockage effect increases and these atoms have no participation in the heat transfer. Additionally, Figure 4B shows that more atoms that have the heat transfer blockage effect occur in the graphene with SCF defects than that in the graphene with RC defects. Therefore, the heat transfer blockage effect of the graphene with SCF defects on TC is stronger than that of the graphene with RC defects.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the heat transfer of graphene with SCF and RC defects is numerically investigated by the NEMD method. The phonon behaviors are analyzed to reveal the underlying mechanisms of the heat transfer of graphene with different defects. The main conclusions are as follows:

1) The defects of graphene induce the atoms that have the heat transfer blockage effect, which leads to the decrease in TC. Additionally, the TC of defective graphene decreases with increasing porosity.

2) As the increase of fractal levels, the frequency peaks concentrate in the low-frequency region, and the number of atoms that have the heat transfer blockage effect significantly increases, which leads to the TC of graphene with SCF defects sharply decreasing with increasing fractal levels.

3) Under the same porosity, the TC and frequency peaks of graphene with SCF defects are lower than that of graphene with RC defects; this is attributed to the fact that more atoms have the heat transfer blockage effect under the graphene with SCF defects.
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