Structure is a key determinant of function, with the nervous system being no exception. For example, in the nervous system the physiological properties of different synapses may be understood by comparing their structures. However, it is not clear whether specific structural properties of some neurons might play a role in driving their selective removal during chronic neurodegeneration or whether the structural properties might underpin why particular types of synapses or other neuronal compartments are more susceptible to degeneration (i.e., become dysfunctional) in certain brain regions than in others. Our recent study of the ultrastructure of the hippocampus and the cerebellum revealed that early synaptic loss is not a ubiquitous event in a brain undergoing chronic neurodegeneration. The prominent structural differences in proximity of the synaptic environment that are brought about by a degree of synaptic ensheathment by glial cells may help explain why Purkinje cell synapses remain intact, while pyramidal cell synapses progressively degenerate. The intrinsic structural organization of the hippocampal neuropil could contribute to the susceptibility of synapses to extracellular protein misfolding by a relatively higher degree of synaptic exposure to the extracellular environment. We suggest that neuronal structure may determine more than function; it might also predict dysfunction.

The morphology of a neuron, with its capacity for structural rearrangement, is an example of how structure and function work together to engineer cellular diversity and adaptability in living organisms. In humans, during nervous system development, different neuronal populations are formed and integrated into neuronal networks; later, during the natural aging process, individual neurons may become redundant and are eliminated. However, it is not clear what drives the selection process of neuronal structure removal during chronic neurodegeneration. In this context, it would be important to know whether particular structural properties of some neuronal populations might play a role in determining the onset and outcome of neurodegeneration. Furthermore, to determine the neuronal compartments in different brain regions that are more likely to be targeted by pathological agents (for example, neurotoxic peptide oligomers such as soluble amyloid-β) based on their structural organization might provide new insights into the mechanism underlying the development of certain types of neuronal dysfunction.

Structural and functional abnormalities of hippocampal synapses are a widely recognized hallmark of neurodegeneration in various animal models of pathological protein misfolding. In our recent study, we demonstrated that, within a brain undergoing chronic neurodegeneration, region-selective processes involve distinct neuronal compartments. Following a focal brain injection of the mouse-adapted 22L scrapie prion strain, the ultrastructure of the hippocampus and the cerebellum revealed that synaptic loss is not a ubiquitous outcome of chronic brain insult. In contrast to early synaptic changes in the stratum radiatum of the hippocampus, which are virtually identical to those...
Purkinje cells have been reported. In and reduction of dendritic arbors of Sträussler-Scheinker syndrome. However, another human prion disease Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker pathology in a mouse model of degeneration was described as a feature of agreement with the studies above, dendrite (ME7), dendritic rather than synaptic detected with a different prion strain (RML) in TG3 mice, a transgenic expressing exclusively in astrocytes. The mouse line in which the prion protein was strain (RML) in TG3 mice, a transgenic of degeneration in CA1 or CA3 neurons.10 The most conspicuous correlate of synaptic degeneration was a progressive change of synaptic geometry, with an aberrant curvature of spine postsynaptic density, which suggests a process of engulfment of the presynaptic element by the dendritic spine, rather than phagocytosis by activated microglia. However, dendritic pathology is absent in the hippocampus at an early stage, and only a few degenerating dendrites have been observed in late-stage disease. Neuronal function including synaptic transmission emerges only from the coordinated activities of neurons and glia. One approach to understanding the physiological differences between synapses has been to compare their anatomical structures. This approach might be used to understand why synapses or other neuronal compartments are more susceptible to degeneration in certain brain regions than in others depending on their structural organization, including glial arrangement. A distinct structural feature of type I Purkinje synapses in the molecular layer of the cerebellum is a degree of glial ensheathment, a property that is not shared by all neurons. In fact, Purkinje cells are the only neurons in the cerebellar cortex that are a source of metabolic and physical support for neurons and it is clear that they are involved in direct neuronal signaling, also detected with a different prion strain (ME7), dendritic rather than synaptic disintegration was observed in the cerebellum, even in late-stage disease. Similar levels of protease-resistant pathological prion protein have been found in the hippocampus and in the cerebellum of ME7 and 22L prion strains. In Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, the most common prion disease in humans, cerebellar alterations are well documented; notably, flattening and reduction of dendritic arbors of Purkinje cells have been reported. In agreement with the studies above, dendrite degeneration was described as a feature of cerebellar pathology in a mouse model of another human prion disease Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker syndrome. However, synaptic degeneration occurred in the cerebellum infected with a different prion strain (RML) in TG3 mice, a transgenic mouse line in which the prion protein was expressed exclusively in astrocytes. The hippocampus and the cerebellum are both structurally regular, with a well-defined synaptic circuitry; unmyelinated parallel axons innervating Purkinje neurons in the cerebellum and CA1 pyramidal neurons in the hippocampus are both glutamatergic, and both contact dendrites of the principal cells via dendritic spines. Using either of the prion strains, the glutamatergic, asymmetric type I synapses in the stratum radiatum of CA1 were selectively reduced in their numbers in comparison to age-matched controls. The synaptic terminals and their postsynaptic sides degenerated, with clear-cut abnormalities detected at 12 weeks postinjection, and without other obvious ultrastructural signs of degeneration in CA1 or CA3 neurons. The most conspicuous correlate of synaptic degeneration was a progressive change of synaptic geometry, with an aberrant curvature of spine postsynaptic density, which suggests a process of engulfment of the presynaptic element by the dendritic spine, rather than phagocytosis by activated microglia. However, dendritic pathology is absent in the hippocampus at an early stage, and only a few degenerating dendrites have been observed in late-stage disease.
locally at the synapses. Astrocytes integrate, process, and control synaptic information and by being in direct physical contact with neurons astrocytes are able to shape the synaptic environment. Astrocytic processes contain glutamate transporters; therefore, the degree of synaptic enshainment as a structural measure is crucial to understanding their contribution to synaptic activity. The glutamate receptors on the glial processes are indispensable for proper structural and functional relationships between Bergmann glia and glutamatergic synapses of Purkinje neurons. A study showed that conversion of Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable \(\alpha\)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptors into Ca\(^{2+}\)-impermeable receptors in the Bergmann glia triggered morphological changes in the fine glial processes enveloping Purkinje cell synapses. Moreover, it prolonged the kinetics of glutamatergic synaptic transmission and caused multiple it prolonged the kinetics of glutamatergic synaptic transmission and caused multiple

In conclusion, the intrinsic structural properties of the hippocampal synaptic environment could contribute to the relative susceptibility of synapses to a pathological protein misfolding or other similar types of brain insults. In this context, neuronal structure may influence more than function; it might, in fact, determine the onset and development of certain types of neuronal dysfunction.
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