Organic Coatings with Low IR Emission
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Abstract: A series of methods were employed to assess the performances of advanced coating materials based on components that can modify the spectral parameters of the surfaces on which these materials are applied in order to obtain passive military camouflage. Powder materials with high infrared (IR) reflectance were used to obtain this type of coatings, which also ingrain in their structure a significant volume of air that allow limitation of the radiative heat transfer of the coated source. The components were embedded in a polyurethane matrix, which facilitated the coating process on different surfaces. The bicomponent polyurethane-based binder used within the different composition tested is transparent to incident IR radiation, has no organic solvents, is highly flexible and possesses remarkable physical, chemical and mechanical properties: high surface adhesion, high flexibility and resistance against a number of chemical agents and external factors with destructive effect. The efficiency of these composite materials was further demonstrated by analyzing the thermal images of different objects.
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1. Introduction

Polymer coatings characterized by complete or selective infrared (IR) reflectivity are employed in many research and industrial fields. E.g., paint or other architectural coatings are used to reflect the incident solar radiation or the heat radiation from inside, therefore obtaining thermal isolations that reduce energetic cost. Similarly, camouflage coatings reflect the IR radiation in the surrounding medium, thus delaying or preventing targeting and identification of strategic objectives. Military vehicles which are in operation can generate IR radiation from sources such as engines and exhaust plumes. The IR sensors of the thermal camera are capable of detecting the radiation with the wavelength between 700-1350 nm (near IR - NIR), 3-5 µm and 8-14 µm (thermal IR - TIR). Thermal imaging cameras measure the relative temperature between the source of radiation and the surrounding environment, identifying the object by its heat or IR signature (the object emitting the radiation has a different color versus the surrounding environment).

Thermal imaging cameras can detect objects by their emissivity, a parameter that characterizes the surface of the object. The emissivity of a material represents the efficiency with which the object radiates energy as thermal radiation and is the ratio of radiance from a surface sample to that of a black body surface at the same temperature; the value obtained is between 0 and 1, and the emissivity of the black body is considered equal to 1. Thus, by reducing the emissivity of a surface, the thermal signature of the object is reduced efficiently. Moreover, it was demonstrated that a surface with an emissivity between 0.4-0.6 can produce an efficient camouflage [1].

In order to reduce the emissivity, the surface can be coated with a film forming material with solid particles that present a high IR reflectivity, a property which is directly influenced by the geometry, the powder materials particles dimensions and their concentration [2-4]. The binder must protect the particles against external aggressive factors during the entire period the object is coated and also must have a low absorbance, thus allowing the incident radiation to intersect with the solid particles [5].
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By employing additives in the composition (solvents, coalescing agents, tensio-active, anti-foaming, rheological agents, etc.), the emissivity increases by up to 10%, therefore the composition should have a reduced number of this type of components.

Various types of binder compositions have been used over time in IR camouflage, such as: polyvinylidene fluoride, silicone resins, silica oligomers, polyurethane binders, epoxy binders, acrylic binders, vinyl binders, polyolefin binders and chlorinated polyolefins [5-8].

In this context, the present study aimed to investigate new polymer coatings formulas based on advanced materials used in a variety of industrial domains and to adapt them to military requirements.

2. Materials and methods
2.1 Materials

For the composite materials synthesis, the following components were employed as such: silica-aerogels Aerogel IC3100 and Aerogel IC3110 (>95 wt% purity), purchased from Cabot Corporation; K1 and K20 glass microspheres (>98 wt% purity), obtained from 3M; Setathane D1160 acryl-hydroxy polyol without solvent (>95 wt% purity), provided from NUPLEX; aromatic polyisocyanate based on diphenylamine diisocyanates without solvent, Desmodur VL (>95 wt% purity), acquired from COVESTRO.

The chemical compositions of the tested samples are presented in Table 1 (PU – tow component polyurethane binder: component 1 – polyol and component 2 - polyisocyanate).

| No. | PU, wt% | Aerogel IC3100, wt% | Aerogel IC3110, wt% | Microsphere K1, wt% | Microsphere K20, wt% |
|-----|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Sample 1 | 100 | - | - | - | - |
| Sample 2 | 95 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Sample 3 | 95 | - | 5 | - | - |
| Sample 4 | 95 | - | - | 5 | - |
| Sample 5 | 95 | - | - | - | 5 |

2.2. Manufacturing methods

In the first step, component 1 of the polymer coating is prepared by embedding this powder material (aerogel/glass microspheres) under slow stirring at room temperature. 15 min before applying the product, the acrylic polyol (component 1) is mixed with the aromatic polyisocyanate (component 2). The performances of the low emission materials were tested by applying the composition on polyethylene foil in order to obtain 30x30x5 mm samples. After a minimum of 7 days, the samples were subjected to IR testing for evaluating the spectral performances.

2.3. Analysis methods

Powder materials are materials that influence the spectral properties of the polymer coating. The silica ratio content in the powder material reduces considerably the material surface emissivity due to its high reflectance value, which is over 90% [9] when compared with the surrounding environment.

Silica-based aerogels are powder materials obtained by extracting the liquid component from silicagel and replacing it with gas [10-13]. The result is a solid powder material, with an extremely low density. The crystalline structure obtained has a high tendency to breakdown, given the presence of micropores, and therefore making this material difficult to produce. However, the chemical bonds in the dendritic microstructure are very strong. The constituting atoms are packed in clusters, which form a nanoporous tridimensional structure. Practically, ca. 97% of silica-aerogel is air, efficient as thermoinsulator, given that the high volume of the air suppresses the heat transfer in the form of conduction and convection and also, the silicon dioxide has a reduced thermal conductivity and a high reflectance [14-18].

Glass microspheres are powder materials similar to silica-aerogels as they embed in their structure a relatively big volume of air, but this time in the interior of the silica microspheres, with a diameter
between 1-1000 µm [19, 20]. They are used as thermal insulation materials in constructions due to their low thermal conductivity [21], or they are added in composite materials along with plastic materials, elastomers or other binders [22], given their low expansion thermal coefficient that prevents cracking. Due to the reduced compatibility between glass microspheres and binders, the former ones undergo a superficial treatment, which results in a greater wettability capacity [23-25].

Polyurethane binders are composed of a polyol - component 1, and a polyisocyanate - component 2 [26]. The poly-addition reaction conducts to a stable tri-dimensional structure, indestructible in normal conditions, that can be used as a matrix together with other materials with special properties, in order to obtain performant coatings [27-30]. Depending on the chemical composition of the two components, the poly-addition reaction can be controlled in order to obtain the necessary properties (in terms of flexibility-elastomer, semi-rigid or rigid) of the polymer according to its final application. The flexible polymeric units have a length of 1000-2000 nm, and the rigid ones ca. 150 nm [31]. Polymeric elastomers are divided into three categories: liquid, millable rubber, thermoplastic. Only the millable rubber can be used for obtaining special composite materials, due to its capacity to embed fibrous powder materials or micro-porous materials that can lead towards the obtaining of special materials.

In order to evaluate the geometry of the particles and their spatial distribution, the powder materials were analyzed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) with thermionic emitter VEGA II LMU - Tescan, a general-purpose electronic microscope with thermionic emission electron gun with a wolfram filament, and coupled with an X-ray detector (EDX). The signal has been eliminated from the quantitative analysis.

In order to select the most efficient powder material which has the optimal spectral properties when applied to surfaces, a thermal emissivity analysis was made using the data recorded with a thermal imaging camera FLIR E8 (FLIR Systems OU, Estonia).

The thermal signature suppression capability of the applied composite material was analyzed using a FLIR SC4000HS thermo-imaging camera with the following characteristics: 3-5 µm InSb detector, 320x256 pixels sensors resolution, 125 frames/s maximum acquisition rate, 10 to 90ºC calibrated measuring domain, Ge lenses, 13 mm focal distance.

In order to reproduce real operational capacity, and to simulate a motor vehicle while operating a 600x400x400 mm parallelepiped metallic chamber was used. The chamber was heated from inside by a heat source coupled to a thermostat and a temperature sensor. The outer walls of the metallic chamber were coated with composite thermal-insulator material - Composition 4, each wall with a different coating thickness: 8 mm, 17 mm, and one wall has been maintained without any coating.

The enclosure obtained was analyzed with a thermal-imaging camera set at 2 m away. Tests were conducted for each individual wall. The measured data were transferred to a workstation model Panasonic CF-30 and further processed using ThermaCAM Researcher 2.9. software for radiometric measurements. For each trial, the temperature of the chamber was increased progressively, from 18 to 90ºC and the data were recorded every 10 s.

3. Results and discussions

Powders are materials that influence the spectral properties of a polymer coating. In this study, the silica was introduced in the powder material to form silica-based aerogels in order to reduce considerably the material surface emissivity due to its over 90% reflectance versus the surrounding environment [9-18]. Moreover, glass microspheres were employed due to their similarity with silica-aerogels, as they embed in their structure a relatively big volume of air, and also due to their low expansion thermal coefficient that prevents the formation of cracks and a greater wettability potential [19-25].

The morphology of the silica-aerogels and the microspheres was studied by SEM-EDX and is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2. The silica-aerogels present an irregular particles structure due to the mechanical milling, with dimensions ranging from 50 µm to 700 µm (Figure 1a). Their surface morphology is a direct effect of the manufacturing process and of the later erosion between the particles, resulting in the appearance shown in Figure 1b. The purity of the material determined by EDX is
estimated to be over 99%, eliminating the possibility that the material is contaminated with any compounds that could alter the thermal attenuation efficiency. The carbon specific signal present in the spectrogram originates from the carbon support used to fix the sample on the microscope stub (Figure 1c).

![SEM images of silica aerogels](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Morpho-structure of silica-aerogels

![SEM images of glass microspheres](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Morpho-structure of glass microspheres

The glass microspheres are hollow, as it can be noticed from the secondary electrons (SE) image (Figure 2a), where the projection of a sphere shows the density of the walls in contrast with the air filling of the microsphere. The particles are perfect spheres with dimensions ranging from several micrometers up to 100 µm. The morphology of the surface is irregular, including sub-micron size silica structures grafted on the microsphere exterior surface (Figure 2b). The EDX analysis shows a typical composition of a glass material (Si, O, Na, Ca), while the carbon signal originates from the carbon band support used to fix the sample on the microscope stub (Figure 2c).

Further on, due to their very stable tri-dimensional structure and high capacity to embed fibrous powder materials or micro-porous materials, PUs were chosen as matrices for the powder materials to obtain the IR coatings [26-31] and the samples were prepared and tested accordingly.

The laboratory setup used for testing the samples 1-5 consisted of an IR radiation source represented by a calibrated black body maintained at a constant temperature of 90ºC. After applying the samples on the surface of the black body, the temperature evolution on the surface of the film was studied for 15 min using the recordings of the thermal imaging camera. The results are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. The temperatures measured at the polymer coating surface during testing

| Time (min) | Sample 1 temperature (°C) | Sample 2 temperature (°C) | Sample 3 temperature (°C) | Sample 4 temperature (°C) | Sample 5 temperature (°C) |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1          | 23.1                      | 21.8                      | 21                        | 18.4                      | 19.6                      |
| 2          | 34.6                      | 24.6                      | 24                        | 32                        | 27                        |
| 3          | 47.5                      | 25.2                      | 25                        | 37                        | 36.9                      |
| 4          | 54.1                      | 33.1                      | 32.8                      | 43                        | 42.5                      |
| 5          | 57.1                      | 40.3                      | 41                        | 45.7                      | 46                        |
| 10         | 63.5                      | 56.4                      | 55.1                      | 52                        | 50                        |
| 15         | 63.5                      | 57                        | 57                        | 51.5                      | 50.8                      |

Further on, the attenuation of the thermal radiation emitted by the black body at 90°C due to the polymer coating application is illustrated in Figure 3.

The thermal attenuation represents the amount of heat retained by a layer in an amount of time. The heat transmitted is only proportional with the temperature difference (Δt), while the transfer coefficient and the transfer area are constant in time and between the samples. As a result, the thermal attenuation is considered to be the ratio between the source temperature and the temperature measured on the exterior surface the polymeric coating, presented as percentage of initial temperature, as in equation (1).

\[
A = \frac{(T_s-T_m)}{T_s} \times 100, \quad (1)
\]

where \(A\) is the thermal attenuation, \(T_m\) is the temperature measured at an arbitrary time \(t\), \(T_s\) it the source temperature.

![Figure 3. The attenuation of the thermal radiation versus time due to polymer coating](image)

The polyurethane binder without additives (PU) initially retains 74% of the IR radiation, which decreases to 29% after 15 min. By adding materials with thermal-insulating properties in the polyurethane coating, the heat transfer from the inside is minimized. If, initially, the thermal attenuation is approximately the same for all the polymer coatings (75-80%), after 15 min of exposure, the polymer coatings with silica-aerogel present a 37% attenuation, whereas those with glass microspheres have a 43-44% reduction of the IR radiation.

The following tests were conducted only on a variety of K1 glass microspheres, as these materials presented the highest thermal radiation attenuation capacity. The images captured by the thermal camera
are presented in Figure 4. It is observed that a thicker coating conducts to a lower thermal signature of the object that emits IR radiation (its color appears darker, closer to the background).

The measured data were plotted to obtain the thermal evolution of each outer wall from the beginning of the heating process until the 90°C limit was reached (Figure 5).

Based on the experimental data, the following observations can be drawn:

- the surface of the exterior wall, for which no coating was applied, reached the maximum temperature of 63°C in 28 min and remained relatively constant, with small fluctuations due to the temperature on the heating source, of 90°C;
- the surface of the exterior wall having an 8 mm-thickness coating layer reached the maximum temperature of 54°C in 35 min, indicating small temperature fluctuations during the experiment;
- in case of the surface of the exterior wall with the 17 mm thickness coating layer, the heating process started with a delay, after 12 min. in comparison with the other walls for which the temperature started to rise immediately or after 2 min, and the maximum temperature of 40°C was reached in 35 min. and remained constant during the entire heating process.

![Figure 4. Testing chamber heated to 90°C and coated with IR camouflage of different thicknesses](image)

![Figure 5. The thermal variation of the tested chamber versus time](image)

Also, during the heating process, the temperatures of the exterior walls were measured simultaneously at two different points in time using an optical pyrometer.

The data obtained from measurement are presented in Table 3.
Table 3. Exterior walls measured temperatures at two different moments in time, $t_1$ (after 90 min) and $t_2$ (after 180 min)

| Place of temperature measurement                                      | Temperature (°C) at $t_1$ | Temperature (°C) at $t_2$ |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Inside the chamber (measured by the sensor)                           | 86.8                      | 89.7                      |
| On the surface of the wall without coating                            | 61.1                      | 60                        |
| On the surface of the wall with 8 mm coating                          | 46.9                      | 47                        |
| On the surface of the wall with 17 mm coating                         | 33.9                      | 36                        |

The results obtained from measurements indicate that a 8 mm IR camouflage has a thermal attenuation of ca. 45%, whereas a layer of 17 mm thickness has a 60% thermal attenuation. These results are the same even after 3 h of maintaining the temperature at 90°C (Table 4).

Table 4. Temperature evolution measured at the chamber walls surface at different moments in time

| Place of temperature measurement                                    | Temperature (°C) after 15 min | Temperature (°C) after 30 min | Temperature (°C) after 60 min | Temperature (°C) after 90 min | Temperature (°C) after 120 min | Temperature (°C) after 180 min |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Inside the chamber (sensor)                                         | 50.1                          | 76.3                          | 81                            | 85.1                          | 86                            | 90                            |
| On the surface of the wall without thermic layer                   | 45.5                          | 52.5                          | 55                            | 59                            | 59                            | 59                            |
| On the surface of the wall with 8 mm layer                         | 32                            | 38                            | 45                            | 48                            | 48                            | 49                            |
| On the surface of the wall with 17 mm layer                        | 24.5                          | 25                            | 35                            | 39                            | 39                            | 39                            |

The efficiency of the thermal-insulation coating was also evaluated at different temperatures by heating the chamber up to 100°C for 10 min using a heating pump and measuring the temperature with the thermal camera every 2 s as it dropped for 10 min, while the heating source was stopped. The same experiment was repeated for 150°C. The temperatures were measured at the surface of the walls, both with and without thermal-insulator polymer coatings. The measured data are illustrated in Figure 6.

The plotted data clearly show that the temperature of the metallic surface with no coating rises immediately, in ca. 2 min and maintains constant during the heating process. When the heating stops, the temperature of the uncovered metallic wall also drops immediately.

The metallic surface coated with the 8 mm-thick polyurethane thermal insulator composite indicates a more gradual rise in temperature, reaching a maximum after 10 min. The cooling process was also gradual, similarly to the heating.

4. Conclusions

The study presents an experimental method of evaluating the possibility to develop polymeric composite coatings with IR camouflage properties by using powder materials with low density. This characteristic is determined by a high IR reflectance of the shell particles and the thermal-insulation property of the air stored inside the particles. Correspondingly, the geometry and the dimensions of the particles which form the powder material contribute to the reduction of the thermal signature of the object with IR camouflage. The polymer matrix that bonds together these materials increase the surface reflectance. Due to its low density (ca. 0.5 kg/m$^3$), this type of coating may represent an optimal solution for a permanent camouflage for military equipment, as it does not increase the total mass of the system on which it is applied. Also, the coating may be applied on small surfaces of the object, only where high temperatures develop, and thereby special costs are not implied. Moreover, the thickness of the film may vary with the temperatures developed.
Figure 6. Variation of the heated walls temperature versus time

The polymer coating with IR camouflage properties has the capacity to decrease the temperature of the heated object down to the ambient temperature and also to maintain it constant for at least 3 h, during which it can eliminate the risk of being detected by the thermal camera. Also, the exterior temperature of the heated object is reduced proportionally with the thickness of the thermal-insulator material. It has been demonstrated that a 20 mm-thick layer of thermal-insulator material is sufficient for achieving an IR camouflage for heated objects.
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