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(S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine, a novel compound, is a more potent agonist than other nicotine alkaloids on the nematode Asu-ACR-16 receptor
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Nematode parasites infect ~2 billion people worldwide. Infections are treated and prevented by anthelmintic drugs, some of which act on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). There is an unmet need for novel therapeutic agents because of concerns about the development of resistance. We have selected Asu-ACR-16 from a significant nematode parasite genus, Ascaris suum, as a pharmaceutical target and nicotine as our basic moiety (EC50 6.21 ± 0.56 μM, Imax 82.39 ± 2.52%) to facilitate the development of more effective anthelmintics.

We expressed Asu-ACR-16 in Xenopus oocytes and used two-electrode voltage clamp electrophysiology to determine agonist concentration-current-response relationships and determine the potencies (EC50s) of the agonists.

Here, we describe the synthesis of a novel agonist, (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine, and show that it is more potent (EC50 0.14 ± 0.01 μM) than other nicotine alkaloids on Asu-ACR-16. Agonists acting on ACR-16 receptors have the potential to circumvent drug resistance to anthelmintics, like levamisole, that do not act on the ACR-16 receptors.
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ABSTRACT

Nematode parasites infect ~2 billion people worldwide. Infections are treated and prevented by anthelmintic drugs, some of which act on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). There is an unmet need for novel therapeutic agents because of concerns about the development of resistance. We have selected Asu-ACR-16 from a significant nematode parasite genus, Ascaris suum, as a pharmaceutical target and nicotine as our basic moiety (EC50 6.21 ± 0.56 μM, Imax 82.39 ± 2.52%) to facilitate the development of more effective anthelmintics.

We expressed Asu-ACR-16 in Xenopus oocytes and used two-electrode voltage clamp electrophysiology to determine agonist concentration-current-response relationships and determine the potencies (EC50) of the agonists.

Here, we describe the synthesis of a novel agonist, (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine, and show that it is more potent (EC50 0.14 ± 0.01 μM) than other nicotine alkaloids on Asu-ACR-16. Agonists acting on ACR-16 receptors have the potential to circumvent drug resistance to anthelmintics, like levamisole, that do not act on the ACR-16 receptors.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Our research has had a focus on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) of parasitic nematodes because they are target sites that bind a major class of anthelmintic drugs. nAChRs are pentameric ligand-gated ion channels involved in synaptic transmission in the nervous systems of both vertebrates and invertebrates (Taly et al., 2009); these receptor channels also serve other functions including paracrine functions in non-excitable tissues (Proskocil et al., 2004). The nAChRs are activated by the ligand agonists: acetylcholine (ACh), nicotine and structurally related derivatives, that produce opening of their transmembrane ion-channels and flux of sodium, potassium and sometimes calcium ions across the membrane.

The agonist-binding sites of nAChRs have been well studied by photolabeling, mutagenesis and electrophysiology (Arias, 2000).

Our understanding of ligand-receptor interactions has improved following co-crystal structure studies of invertebrate acetylcholine binding proteins (AChBPs) with cholinergic ligands (Sixma and Smit, 2003; Rucktooa et al., 2009). AChBPs are homologs of the extracellular agonist-binding site domain of nAChRs that share 20–24% nucleotide sequence identity with the extracellular domain of AChRs (Blum et al., 2010). The agonist-binding site of nAChRs is in the extracellular domain at the interface between the principal subunit (that is an alpha subunit with vicinal cysteines) and the adjacent complementary subunit. Five aromatic amino acids in the agonist-binding site are highly conserved in nAChRs, some of which contribute to the cation-pi interactions with the cationic nitrogen in agonists (Dougherty, 2013). Another feature of nAChR agonists is the hydrogen bond acceptor, which is about 4–6 Å from the cationic nitrogen. Based on the high-resolution structures of AChBPs, the hydrogen bond acceptor of the agonist is stabilized by a water molecule, which interacts with the carbonyl or the amide backbones of two less conserved residues (L102 and M114) on loop E of the complementary subunit through three hydrogen bonding interactions (Van Arnam and Dougherty, 2014).
Ascaris, a genus of clade III nematode parasites, are gastrointestinal roundworms that infect humans, pigs and other animals worldwide (Taylor et al., 2016) and have been estimated to cause more than 1.2 billion human infections (de Silva et al., 2003). In developing countries, the control of Ascaris infection relies on the limited number of available anthelmintic drugs. Drug resistance in various nematodes has been reported following frequent use of anthelmintics (García et al., 2016). There is an unmet need for novel effective drugs which would overcome development of resistance to existing anthelmintics.

The ACR-16 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor of Ascaris suum (Asu-ACR-16) is a nematode homopentameric receptor, which resembles vertebrate α7 nAChRs (Mongan et al., 2002). Asu-ACR-16 is widely distributed in A. suum tissues but its physiological function remains to be determined (Abongwa et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2016). As one of the recently characterized nematode parasitic nAChRs, Asu-ACR-16 is pharmacologically different to its host α7 nAChR and may be exploited as an anthelmintic drug target to counter resistance to cholinergic anthelmintics directed at other pharmacological types of nAChR (Holden-Dye et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2016).

The agonist-binding site of Asu-ACR-16 receptors can be predicted by homology modeling using the human α7 nAChR chimera as structural template, which shares 38% identity and 73% similarity in amino acid sequence. Five conserved aromatic residues and two hydrogen-bond interacting residues have orientations very close to corresponding residues in other nAChRs, facilitating our investigation of drug-receptor interactions of the Asu-ACR-16 receptor (Zheng et al., 2016).

We know that the Asu-ACR-16 receptor is sensitive to six nicotinic agonists: nicotine, ACh, cytisine, 3-bromocytisine, epibatidine, dimethyl-4-phenylpiperazinium iodide (DMPP), but insensitive to other cholinergic anthelmintic agonists (Abongwa et al., 2016). All six of the Asu-ACR-16 agonists share the nicotinic pharmacophore: a cationic nitrogen separated by ~5 Å from a hydrogen bond acceptor. Here we use a combination of structural modeling and synthetic strategy based on the nicotinic pharmacophore to explore the pharmacological profiles of nicotine derivatives on the Asu-ACR-16 receptor.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Homology modeling and docking

The Asu-ACR-16 sequence is available in UniProtKB under the accession number F1KYJ9 (Wang et al., 2011). Three crystal structures of a human α7 nAChR chimera co-crystallized with ligands of different modes of action were used as templates (Table 1) to build three different bound-form models of the ECD-Asu-ACR-16 (Li et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2016). Smiles strings of nicotine derivatives were obtained from the ZINC website (http://zinc.docking.org/search/structure) and converted to PDBQT format for our docking studies. Docking of these ligands was performed at the orthosteric ligand-binding sites of agonist-bound, apo (no ligand) and antagonist-bound forms of the ECD-Asu-ACR-16 models using AutoDock Vina Software (Trott and Olson, 2010; Zheng et al., 2016). We investigated the intermolecular interactions in our models by comparing the intermolecular distances in the crystal structures and our models (≤ 1 Å difference).

2.2. Expression and electrophysiology of Asu-ACR-16 in oocytes

Full length cRNA of Asu-acr-16 and the ancillary gene, Asu-ric-3 (UniProtKB accession number: F1L1D9_ASCSU), were prepared using the previously described methods (Zheng et al., 2016). A cRNA mixture of 25 ng Asu-acr-16 and 5 ng Asu-ric-3 cRNA in 50 nL RNAse-free water was injected into de-folliculated Xenopus laevis oocytes (Ecozyme Bioscience, Austin, TX, USA). The injected oocytes were incubated in incubation solution (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl2·2H2O, 1 mM MgCl2·6H2O, 5 mM HEPES, 2.5 mM Na pyruvate, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, pH 7.5) at 19 °C for 4–8 days, with 100 μM BAPTA-AM added ~3 h before recording.

A two-electrode voltage-clamp technique was used to record currents from the Asu-ACR-16 receptor expressed in the Xenopus oocytes. The oocytes were kept in recording solution (100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2·2H2O and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.3) and clamped to ~60 mV. Inward currents were induced by addition of chemicals that acted as agonists that opened the nicotinic ion-channel receptors. An Axoclamp 2B amplifier (Molecular Devices, CA, and USA) was used to record the currents that were acquired with Clampex 9.2 (Molecular Devices, CA, USA) software and analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc. CA, USA).

2.3. Chemicals used for synthesis

The following chemicals were used for the synthesis of (S)-5-bromonicotine, (S)-5-bromoanabasine and (S)-5-ethyl- anabasine: 4,4′-di-tert-buty1-2,2′-dipyridyl (dtbpy). copper (II) bromide (CuBr2), 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol, triethylamine (Et3N) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA); di-μ-methoxybis (1,5-cyclooctadiene)diiridium(I) ([Ir(COD) (OMe)2]2) and methanesulfonato (2-di-t-butylphosphino-2′,4′,6′-tri-i-propyl-1′,1′-biphenyl) (2′-amino-1′,1′-biphenyl-2-yl) palladium (II) (Pd(PPh3)2Cl2) obtained from Strem Chemicals (Newburyport, MA, USA); (S)-nicotine, (S)-anabasine and copper(I) iodide (Cul) obtained from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA, USA); bis(2-picolinato) diboron (B₂pin₂) obtained from Matrix Scientific (Columbia, SC, USA); (trimethylsilyl)acetylene and di-tert-buty1 dicarbon ate (Boc₂O) obtained from Oakwood Products (Estill, SC, USA); dichloromethane (DCM), trimethylsilyl acetate (TMSC₂H₂O, 2H₂O, 1 mM MgCl₂); and usa) was used to record the currents that were acquired with Clampex 9.2 (Molecular Devices, CA, USA) software and analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc. CA, USA).

2.4. General synthetic experiment

All air-sensitive procedures were conducted under an inert atmosphere of a nitrogen-filled dry box or by standard Schlenk techniques. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless otherwise stated. All glassware for moisture

Table 1

| Protein       | Organism    | PDB code | Resolution (Å) | Ligand       | Pharmacology |
|---------------|-------------|----------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| ECD-ACR-16    | Ascaris suum| 3SQ9     | 2.8            | epibatidine  | agonist      |
| α7 nAChR chimera | Homo sapiens A | 3SQ9     | 3.1            | none         | none         |
| AsChBP        | Lymnaea stagnalis | 4HQ1     | 3.51           | α-bungarotoxin| antagonist    |
|               | Lymnaea stagnalis | 1UV6     | 2.2            | nicotine     | agonist      |
sensitive reactions was dried at 140 °C in an oven. THF and DCM were degassed by purging with argon for 45 min and dried with a solvent purification system by passing through a one-meter column of activated alumina. Flash column chromatography was performed on Fisher brand silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Products were visualized on TLC by UV light or by staining with KMnO4, phosphomolybdic acid or ceric ammonium molybdate. HRMS (ESI) analysis was performed at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility on an Agilent 6540 QTOF spectrometer. NMR spectra were acquired on Varian MR-400 and Bruker Avance III 600 spectrometers at the Iowa State University Chemical Instrumentation Facility. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to a residual solvent peak (CDCl3 7.26 ppm for 1H and 77.0 ppm for 13C). Coupling constants are reported in hertz.

2.5. Synthesis of (S)-5-bromonicotine

The aqueous soluble compounds: acetylcholine (ACh), (S)-nicotine, (S)-SIB 1508Y, (S)-1-methylnicotinium, (S)-1-methyl-nicotine, nornicotine, (S)-cotinine, (S)-anabasine, (S, R)-anabasine were dissolved in recording solution at the

2.8. Synthesis of (S)-5-bromoanabasine

Synthesis of (S)-5-bromoanabasine, was prepared from compound -2 as described below.

To a solution of compound 2 (93.0 mg, 0.273 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DCM (5.0 mL), TFA (1.0 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The mixture was diluted with saturated NaHCO3 and extracted with EA (3 ×). The organic layers were combined, washed over Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (10:1 hexane:EtOAc to give the product, which was used for the next step).

2.9. Synthesis of (S)-5-ethyl-anabasine

Synthesis of (S)-5-ethyl-anabasine, was prepared from (S)-5-bromoanabasine as described below.

In a nitrogen-filled Schlenk tube, compound 2 (247 mg, 1.02 mmol) was added in THF (3.0 mL), Pd(PPh3)2Cl2 (143 mg, 0.204 mmol, 0.20 equiv), Cul (39.0 mg, 0.204 mmol, 0.20 equiv), DIPEA (3.0 mL), and trimethylsilylacetylene (0.16 mL, 1.12 mmol, 1.10 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 16 h. After cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short pad of silica gel and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (100:1 hexane:EtOAc to hexane:EtOAc 5:1) to give the product, which was used directly for next step.

To a solution of the crude product in DCM (10.0 mL), TFA (10.0 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. All the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column (2:1 hexane:EtOAc to hexane:EtOAc 2:1).

2.10. Pharmacological characterization of nicotinic derivatives and data analysis

The aqueous soluble compounds: acetylcholine (ACh), (S)-nicotine, (S)-SIB 1508Y, (S)-1-methylnicotinium, (S)-1-methyl-nicotine, nornicotine, (S)-cotinine, (S)-anabasine, (S, R)-anabasine were dissolved in recording solution at the
concentrations described in the results. Non-polar compounds were dissolved initially in DMSO to make 100 mM stock solutions of each. Subsequently they were diluted in recording solution to give a concentration of DMSO of <0.1%.

100 μM ACh was applied first to each oocyte for 10 s to check for robust Asu-ACR-16 expression. In all oocyte recordings the peak current response to 100 μM ACh was used to normalize subsequent current responses in that oocyte. Recording solution was then used to wash out the drug from the oocytes for 3 min prior to next application of drug perfusion.

Nicotine derivatives that elicited inward currents at 100 μM were classed as agonists. To further characterize the nicotine derivative agonists, increasing concentrations of the derivatives were applied for 10 s (3 min wash intervals between drug applications). The resulting dose-response relationships were described by the Hill equation to give estimates of the EC50 (μM), Hill slope (nH), maximum response (I_max, %) and expressed as mean ± S.E.M. (N = 5) using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (Graphpad Software Inc. CA, USA).

2.11. Drugs

ACh, (−)-nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt ((S)-nicotine), anabasine ((S,R)-anabasine), (±)-nornicotine (nornicotine), 5-(1-methylpyrrolidin-2-yl)-pyridin-2-yamine dihydrochloride (6-AN) and (−)-cotinine ((S)-cotinine) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). SIB 1508Y maleate ((S)–SIB 1508Y) was obtained from Tocris Bioscience (Ellisville, MO, USA). (S)-anabasine, rac-5-methylnicotine (5-methylnicotine), S-(−)-nicotine-5-carboxaldehyde ((S)-nicotine-5-carboxaldehyde), (±)-6-methylnicotine (6-methylnicotine), (S)-1-methylnicotinium iodide ((S)-1-methylnicotinium), (S)-1’-methylnicotinium iodide ((S)-1’-methylnicotinium), (R,S)–N-ethyl nornicotine (homonicotine), N-methyl anabasine were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, ON, Canada).

Fig. 1. Crystal structure of Lst-AChBP bound with nicotine (PDB code: 1UW6) and the agonist-bound model of Asu-ACR-16.

(A) Ribbon diagram of the AChBP co-crystalized with nicotine, as viewed with membrane at the bottom. The principal subunit is highlighted by light pink and the complement subunit is highlighted by light purple, for clarity. Nicotine (orange) is bound in the five ligand-binding sites in the extracellular domain of AChBP.

(B) Close view of the AChBP ligand-binding site. The principal subunit in light pink, the complementary subunit in light purple. Residues interacting with nicotine (orange) are represented as sticks ((+), pink; (−), purple), and water molecule is shown as red dot, view with membrane at the bottom.

(C) Close view of the agonist-bound model of Asu-ACR-16 ligand-binding site. The principal subunit in light pink, the complementary subunit in light purple. The interacting residues are represented as sticks ((+), pink; (−), purple), and water molecule is shown as red dot, view with membrane at the bottom. (D) Superposition of residues in agonist-binding site, among agonist-bound form (blue), apo (no ligand) form (yellow), antagonist-bound form (green) of Asu-ACR-16 models are shown. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
3. Results

3.1. Ligand-binding sites

Lst-AChBP (PDB code: 1UW6) (Celie et al., 2004) shows 23.33% sequence identity and 64.29% sequence similarity to ECD-Asu-ACR-16 (Fig. S4A) and is the only crystal structure of a protein homologous to Asu-ACR-16 co-crystallized with nicotine to date. The ligand-binding site for agonist is at the interface between the principal side and the complementary side in two adjacent subunits of nAChRs (Li et al., 2011; Rucktooa et al., 2012). Nicotine adopts the same binding pose in all five ligand-binding sites in Lst-AChBP pentamer (Fig. 1A). The pyrrolidine ring of nicotine is oriented toward the basal side of the binding site on the principal subunit, whereas the pyridine ring faces the apical side on the complementary subunit. The protonated nitrogen (N2) in the pyrrolidine ring of nicotine is involved in cation-π interactions, mainly with W143 (on principal subunit) or maybe four aromatic

Fig. 2. Ligand-binding sites of Asu-ACR-16 and its homologous proteins. (A) Surface representation in the open-up ligand-binding site of Lst-AChBP in complex with nicotine (PDB code: 1UW6). Oxygen-rich area (red), nitrogen-rich area (blue) and carbon-rich area (gray) are displayed. Empty space was observed around the 5-pyridine ring of nicotine, which suggests that the ligand-binding site is in favor of the linear functional group linking toward the 5-pyridine ring of nicotine. Little space is found around the pyrrolidine ring of nicotine. (B) Surface representation in the open-up ligand-binding site of human α7 AChR chimera in complex with epibatidine (PDB code: 3SQ6), viewed by the same angle as (A). Oxygen-rich area (red), nitrogen-rich area (blue), carbon-rich area (pink) and chloride (green) are displayed. The azabicyclic ring N1 of epibatidine was superimposed with the pyrrolidine ring N2 of nicotine, while the pyridine ring N2 of epibatidine was superimposed with the pyridine ring N1 of nicotine. (C) Surface representation in the open-up ligand-binding site of agonist-bound Asu-ACR-16 model, viewed by the same angle as (A). Oxygen-rich area (red), nitrogen-rich area (blue) and carbon-rich area (cyan) are displayed. Assuming the nicotine has the same binding pose as in (A) within the agonist-bound Asu-ACR-16, empty space around the 5-pyridine ring and pyrrolidine ring of nicotine, which allows nicotinic derivatives with modification in these positions fit into to the binding site. The black arrow indicates the likely orientation of the 5-pyridine ring moiety. (D) Surface representation in the open-up ligand-binding site of apo form Asu-ACR-16 model, viewed by the same angle as (A). Oxygen-rich area (red), nitrogen-rich area (blue) and carbon-rich area (yellow) are displayed. Assuming the nicotine has the same binding pose as in (A) within apo form Asu-ACR-16, there would be empty space around the 5-pyridine ring and pyrrolidine ring of nicotine, which would make the nicotinic derivatives with modification in these positions fit in the binding site. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
residues in the binding site (principal subunit: Y89, Y185, Y192; complementary subunit: W53) (Fig. 1B). The N2 is also hydrogen-bonded to the hydroxyl moiety of Y89 and W143 carbonyl backbone. Hydrophobic interactions from disulfide-bonded C187 and C188 on loop C stabilize nicotine in the binding pocket. The pyridine ring nitrogen of nicotine (N1) is hydrogen-bonded to a water molecule, which is stabilized by the carbonyl backbone of L102 and M114 amide backbone of the complementary subunit (Fig. 1B) (Celic et al., 2004; Van Arnam and Dougherty, 2014).

Fig. 1C shows the ligand-binding site of the agonist-bound Asu-ACR-16 dimer viewed from the same angle as Fig. 1B. The residues involved in the binding site are highlighted in Fig. 1C and indicated in Fig. S4A by arrows. The interacting residues in the binding site of the agonist-bound Asu-ACR-16 model share similar orientations with those in the binding site of Lst-ACBP. The hydrophobic, hydrogen-bond and van der Waals contacts between nicotine and AChBP were therefore predicted in Asu-ACR-16. Y117, W173, Y214, Y221 from principal and W79 from complementary constitute the aromatic cage, in which W173 contributes most to the cation-π interaction with protonated tertiary amine or tetramethyl ammonium salt of nicotine or its derivatives. The hydroxyl moiety of Y117 and W173 carbonyl backbone are hydrogen-bonded to the protonated tertiary amine or ammonium of the ligand. The carbonyl backbone of N131 and I143 amide backbone from the complementary face have water-mediated hydrogen bond with the pyridine ring N1 of the ligand.

Structural superimposition of the binding-site residues among three different bound forms Asu-ACR-16 show details of conformational changes of residues when the agonist is in the binding pocket of the receptor. Of particular note is the inward movement of vicinal cysteines toward pyrrolidine N2 of nicotine. The antagonist-bound model has less steric hindrance in the open receptor binding site (Fig. 1D) (Huang et al., 2013).

The human α7 nAChR chimera (PDB code: 3SQ6) (Li et al., 2011) shows 62.98% sequence identity and 80.29% nucleotide sequence similarity with the extracellular domain of human α7 nAChR (UniProtKB accession number: P36544). The residues constituting the ligand-binding site are highly conserved between human α7 nAChR chimera and human α7 nAChR (Fig. S4B). The crystal structure of human α7 nAChR chimera co-crystallized with epibatidine could be used to study the binding site of agonist-bound human α7 nAChR. Comparison of the binding sites in Lst-ACBP (Fig. 2A), human α7 nAChR chimera (Fig. 2B), agonist-bound Asu-ACR-16 (Fig. 2C) and apo form of Asu-ACR-16 (Fig. 2D) reveals that the 5-substituted pyridine derivatives of nicotine would be favorable, with more space for the binding site of the ECD-Asu-ACR-16, but not for the human α7 nAChR. The black dotted arrows mark the likely orientation of the functional group on the 5-pyridine moiety of nicotine (Fig. 2C and D).

Additionally, our docking results show that the 5-substituted pyridine ring approaches close to the disulfide bonds of Asu-ACR-16 and that the pyrrolidine ring is twisted downward in the binding site (Fig. S5).

### 3.2. Potency of nicotine derivatives

Initially we tested a range of nicotine analogues (compounds labelled 3–5 & 7–17 in Table 2, Fig. 3) as agonists to further characterize the pharmacological profile of Asu-ACR-16 receptors by measuring agonist EC$_{50}$, I$_{\text{max}}$ and nH$_{\text{max}}$ values. The EC$_{50}$ for (S)-nicotine was $6.21 \pm 0.56$ μM and the I$_{\text{max}}$ was $82.39 \pm 2.52\%$, N = 5 (Table 2). (S)-nicotine is a potent agonist of Asu-ACR-16, but can also activate mammalian nAChRs and as an anthelmintic would also cause effects in the host (Chavez-Noriega et al., 1997). As a low-molecular-weight and water soluble molecule, (S)-nicotine was selected as our initial lead for further optimization (Bleichner et al., 2003). Using (S)-nicotine as a pharmacophore and the predicted three-dimensional structures of the Asu-ACR-16 ligand-binding site, we studied structure-activity relationships by measuring the EC$_{50}$, I$_{\text{max}}$ and nH$_{\text{max}}$ values of the nicotine derivatives (Fig. 4, S6 and Table 2) on the Asu-ACR-16 receptor. The agonist dose-response relationships for ACh (S)-nicotine (Fig. 5A), pyridine substituted nicotine derivatives (Fig. 5B) and the pyrrolidine substituted nicotine derivatives (Fig. 5C) are shown. The pyridine N1 methylated substituent [(S)-1-methylnicotinium], the 5-carbonylated pyrrolidine substituent [(S)-coticine], and the piperidine N2 methylated substituent [N-methyl anabasine] did not act as agonists.

### 3.3. (S)-enantiomers are more potent

We compared the pharmacological profiles of (S)-anabasine and its racemic mixture on Asu-ACR-16 (Fig. S7). The EC$_{50}$ of (S)-anabasine was significantly lower than the EC$_{50}$ of its racemic mixture (P < 0.05, N = 5). The I$_{\text{max}}$ of (S)-anabasine was slightly higher than that of its racemic mixture (P > 0.05, N = 5). These results are consistent with other well-published results that illustrate the higher intrinsic activities of (S)-enantiomer nicotine alkaloids rather than their (R)-enantiomer (Cosford et al., 2000). Therefore, subsequent synthesis of compounds was directed towards preparation of (S)-enantiomers.

### Table 2

Pharmacological profiles of ACh, nicotine and fifteen nicotine derivatives. Results (mean ± S.E.M.) were expressed as the EC$_{50}$ (μM), Hill slope (nH), and maximum response (I$_{\text{max}}$, %) number of repeats of each agonist experiment (N$_{\text{agonist}}$). One oocyte was used in each replicate of experiment.

| No. | Compound name | EC$_{50}$ (μM) | pH | I$_{\text{max}}$ (%) | N$_{\text{agonist}}$ |
|-----|---------------|----------------|----|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | (S)-5-ethyl-n-anabasine | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 1.81 ± 0.24 | 79.33 ± 3.75 | 5 |
| 2. | (S)-5-bromoanabasine | 0.32 ± 0.03 | 4.19 ± 1.58 | 80.69 ± 2.87 | 5 |
| 3. | (S)εeBB 1508Y | 0.37 ± 0.10 | 0.94 ± 0.04 | 100.1 ± 4.36 | 5 |
| 4. | 5-methylnicotine | 0.99 ± 0.17 | 2.09 ± 0.14 | 76.05 ± 2.12 | 5 |
| 5. | (S)-anabasine | 1.26 ± 0.19 | 2.26 ± 0.20 | 84.32 ± 4.90 | 5 |
| 6. | (S)-5-bromonicotine | 2.04 ± 0.12 | 2.46 ± 0.21 | 69.66 ± 3.28 | 5 |
| 7. | 6-methylnicotine | 6.13 ± 0.53 | 3.25 ± 0.24 | 69.74 ± 1.56 | 5 |
| 8. | (S)-nicotine | 6.21 ± 0.56 | 3.39 ± 0.36 | 82.39 ± 2.52 | 5 |
| 9. | ACh | 6.36 ± 0.49 | 2.93 ± 0.13 | 97.42 ± 0.93 | 5 |
| 10. | (S)-1'-methylnicotinium | 10.25 ± 0.62 | 3.52 ± 0.26 | 93.38 ± 5.25 | 5 |
| 11. | (S)-nicotine-5-carboxaldehyde | 11.51 ± 0.63 | 8.61 ± 4.04 | 62.20 ± 6.80 | 5 |
| 12. | 6-AN | 12.18 ± 0.29 | 10.10 ± 0.15 | 6.29 ± 0.62 | 5 |
| 13. | homonicotine | 16.62 ± 1.44 | 6.78 ± 2.50 | 22.01 ± 1.39 | 5 |
| 14. | noronicotine | 25.73 ± 4.71 | 3.25 ± 0.49 | 62.64 ± 3.42 | 5 |
| 15. | N-methyl anabasine | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 |
| 16. | (S)-1'-methylnicotinium | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 |
| 17. | (S)-coticine | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 |
3.4. (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine, (S)-5-bromoanabasine and (S)-5-bromonicotine

Examination of the structures and EC50 potencies of (S)-SIB 1508Y and (S)-anabasine suggested that a novel compound, (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine, would yield a more potent agonist. Consequently, it was synthesized, as described in the methods, along with (S)-5-bromonicotine and (S)-5-bromoanabasine that were produced during its synthesis. The three novel synthesized compounds were then tested on the Asu-ACR-16 receptor. The concentration response plots for these compounds revealed that two of the novel compounds, (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine and (S)-5-bromoanabasine were the most potent agonists tested to date (Fig. 5C & Table 2).
3.5. Agonist rank order potency

Fig. 5 shows the dose-response plots for the most potent agonists. The rank order of potency based on the $EC_{50}$ values, Table 2, was: (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine > (S)-5-bromoanabasine > (S)-anabasine > (S)-5-bromonicotine > 6-methylnicotine > (S)-nicotine ≈ ACh > (S)-1’-methylnicotinium > (S)-nicotine-5-carboxaldehyde > 6-AN > homonicotine ≈ nornicotine. Two piperidine ring derivatives: (S)-5-bromoanabasine and (S)-anabasine, two 5-substituted pyridine derivatives: (S)-SIB 1508Y and 5-methylnicotine were more potent than ACh and (S)-nicotine ($P < 0.05, N = 5$). The $EC_{50}$ of the novel lead compound, (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine, is 44 times lower (more potent) than its initial pharmacophore, (S)-nicotine, and is the most potent agonist of ACh-16.

3.6. Correlation between affinity and potency among nicotine derivatives

The binding affinities of the selected nicotine derivatives were calculated for ligands docking into the agonist-binding site in the agonist-bound form, the apo (no ligand) form and the antagonist-bound form ECD-Asu-ACR-16 models. We examined the relationship between the binding affinities and the observed values of the expressed receptors for the $EC_{50}$ ($\mu M$) of the nicotine derivatives. There was a positive correlation ($+0.66$) between the binding affinity and the $EC_{50}$ of the apo form model ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 6); the correlation with the agonist bound Asu-ACR-16 (0.36) and antagonist bound Asu-ACR-16 (0.46) were smaller and did not reach

**Fig. 4.** Sample concentration-current recording traces for the most potent nicotine derivatives on the Asu-ACR-16 receptor. (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine (A), (S)-5-bromoanabasine (B), (S)-SIB 1508Y (C), 5-methylnicotine (D) are depicted. For each nicotine derivative, 5 oocyte were tested as replicates. Resting membrane potential clamped at $-60$ mV. Downward responses to exposure of the agonists show opening of the ion-channel. Peak responses were recorded, normalized and fitted into the Hill equations.

**Fig. 5.** The rank order of potency based on the $EC_{50}$ values, Table 2, was: (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine > (S)-5-bromoanabasine > (S)-anabasine > (S)-5-bromonicotine > 6-methylnicotine > (S)-nicotine ≈ ACh > (S)-1’-methylnicotinium > (S)-nicotine-5-carboxaldehyde > 6-AN > homonicotine ≈ nornicotine.
statistical significance ($P > 0.05$). The highest correlation with the apo form suggests that this model is more likely to predict the potency of unknown agonists than the other models of the receptor.

4. Discussion

4.1. Structure-activity relationships of nicotine derivatives on Asu-ACR-16

4.1.1. Pyridine ring substituted derivatives

We studied the effects of functional groups added to the different positions of pyridine moiety of nicotine: the methyl group substituted at the 5- or 6- or N-pyridine moiety of nicotine, and an amino group substituted at the 6-pyridine moiety of nicotine. 5-Methylnicotine was the most potent agonist, while 6-methylnicotine was slightly less potent. 6-AN showed little agonist activity. The electron-donating group of the methyl or the amino at the 5- or 6-pyridine increased the electronegativity and alkalinity of the pyridine N1, and so stabilized the water-mediated hydrogen bond with the carbonyl backbone of N131 and I143 amide backbone from the complementary subunit of the receptor. The lone pair electrons on the pyridine N1 of (S)-1-methylnicotinium were replaced by the methyl group. As a result N1 cannot hydrogen-bond with the carbonyl backbone of N131 and I143 amide backbone from the receptor so that this reduces the intrinsic activity of N-pyridine substituted derivatives.

Fig. 5. Dose-response curves of nicotine derivatives for Asu-ACR-16. For experiment of each nicotine derivative, one oocyte was used as a group. Five replicates were performed for each group. Current responses are normalized to the first 100 μM control application (Methods).

(A) ACh and (S)-nicotine as two controls.

(B) Pyridine ring substituted derivatives. Responses of 30 μM 5-methylnicotine and 100 μM 6-methylnicotine are shown but were not included for fitting the Hill equation to estimate $EC_{50}$, $n_\text{H}$ and $I_{\text{max}}$ correspondingly due to their inhibitory effects at high concentrations.

(C) Pyrrolidine ring substituted derivatives. Response of 300 μM homonicotine is shown but was not included for fitting the Hill equation to estimate $EC_{50}$, $n_\text{H}$ and $I_{\text{max}}$ due to its inhibitory effect at high concentration.
4.1.4. Piperidine ring derivatives

The N-methyl pyrrolidine moiety was replaced by an N-methyl piperidine ring in nicotine structure to study the effect of increasing the membrane ring on the stimulatory activity of Asu-ACR-16. We found that N-methyl anabasine was inactive, but when the N-methyl group of the piperidine moiety was removed, the compound was a potent agonist. The piperidine ring of (S)-anabasine may have sterically and electrostatically stabilized the aromatic cage on the receptor better than the N-methylated pyrrolidine ring of nicotine. The novel lead compound, (S)-5-ethyl-anabasine contains two moieties favorable to the Asu-ACR-16 ligand-binding site: an electron-withdrawing group at the 5-pyridine of nicotine moiety ((S)—SIB 1508Y) and; the piperidine moiety ((S)-anabasine). (S)-5-ethynyl-anabasine shows high potency (EC50 0.14 ± 0.01 μM, N = 5) as an agonist.

4.2. Docking study as a probe for searching potent Asu-ACR-16 agonist

The potency (EC50) of the selected nicotinic alkaloids was correlated more with the binding affinity in the apo model of Asu-ACR-16 than with the agonist- or antagonist-bound model of Asu-ACR-16. This appears to be due to the different conformation changes of vicinal cysteines or the opened-up orientation of W79 in the agonist-bound and antagonist-bound models of Asu-ACR-16, which reduces the cation-π interaction between W79 and nicotine N2 (Blum et al., 2010; Van Arnam and Dougherty, 2014). The statistical correlation between the predicted ligand binding affinities in the apo model of Asu-ACR-16 and their corresponding potencies (EC50), suggests that the apo model would be more helpful when searching for potent agonists by docking.

5. Conclusion

We used structural models of the ECD-Asu-ACR-16 agonist-binding site and expressed receptor to study the structure-activity relationships of several nicotine alkaloids on Asu-ACR-16 receptor. We synthesized a novel compound, (S)-5-ethyl-anabasine, which was 44 times more potent than (S)-nicotine as an Asu-ACR-16 agonist. Our structure-based drug discovery of ACR-16 agonists also suggests several other nicotine alkaloids as leads for further development.
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