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A novel Sporothrix brasiliensis genomic variant in Midwestern Brazil: evidence for an older and wider sporotrichosis epidemic
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ABSTRACT
Sporotrichosis is a subcutaneous infection caused by fungi from the genus Sporothrix. It is transmitted by inoculation of infective particles found in plant-contaminated material or diseased animals, characterizing the classic sapronotic and emerging zoonotic transmission, respectively. Since 1998, southeastern Brazil has experienced a zoonotic sporotrichosis epidemic caused by S. brasiliensis, centred in the state of Rio de Janeiro. Our observation of feline sporotrichosis cases in Brasília (Midwestern Brazil), around 900 km away from Rio de Janeiro, led us to question whether the epidemic caused by S. brasiliensis has spread from the epicentre in Rio de Janeiro, emerged independently in the two locations, or if the disease has been present and unrecognized in Midwestern Brazil. A retrospective analysis of 91 human and 4 animal cases from Brasilia, ranging from 1993 to 2018, suggests the occurrence of both sapronotic and zoonotic transmission. Molecular typing of the calmodulin locus identified S. schenckii as the agent in two animals and all seven human patients from which we were able to recover clinical isolates. In two other animals, the disease was caused by S. brasiliensis. Whole-genome sequence typing of seven Sporothrix spp. strains from Brasília and Rio de Janeiro suggests that S. brasiliensis isolates from Brasilia are genetically distinct from those obtained at the epicentre of the outbreak in Rio de Janeiro, both in phylogenomic and population genomic analyses. The two S. brasiliensis populations seem to have separated between 2.2 and 3.1 million years ago, indicating independent outbreaks or that the zoonotic S. brasiliensis outbreak might have started earlier and be more widespread in South America than previously recognized.

ARTICLE HISTORY Received 12 July 2020; Revised 21 October 2020; Accepted 25 October 2020

KEYWORDS Sporothrix; sporotrichosis; Sporothrix brasiliensis; Sporothrix schenckii; zoonotic transmission; Brasilia

Introduction

The emergence of fungal pathogens worldwide is an important and frequently neglected public health issue [1]. One of the most frequent genera of pathogenic fungi is Sporothrix (Sordariomycetes, Ascomycota), which causes the subcutaneous granulomatous disease called sporotrichosis [2,3]. The most common etiologic agent of the disease, S. schenckii sensu lato, was recently shown to harbour three cryptic species: S. schenckii sensu stricto, S. globosa, and the emerging species S. brasiliensis [4,5,6]. The three species are saprophytes and produce sympodial and sessile conidia that switch their morphology to the pathogenic yeast phase after a transcutaneous injury [7]. The three species differ in their virulence. S. brasiliensis is...
more virulent in animal models than *S. schenckii* and *S. globosa*, and causes localized to disseminated sporotrichosis that can be fatal to both humans and cats [8]. Lower antifungal susceptibility and refractory sporotrichosis occurs in some Brazilian cases related to the zoonotic epidemics [9,10]. Previous population genetics surveys reveal that *S. brasiliensis* is largely clonal with strong biogeographic patterns of distribution [6]. On the other hand, its sister taxon *S. schenckii* shows higher rates of recombination and little to no population structure among Brazilian strains [6].

Currently, sporotrichosis is especially frequent in Latin America, South Africa, Madagascar, China, India, and Japan [3], but it can also be observed elsewhere [11]. In addition to endemic disease, multiple sporotrichosis outbreaks have been reported in the last century [2,12,13]. Before the 1990s, sporotrichosis was considered a disease of low-to-moderate endemicity in Brazil. Importantly, over the past two decades, it has become an urgent health problem [7,14]. In 1997, three individuals from the same family got infected by *Sporothrix* spp. after feline zoonotic transmission events in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil [15]. Since 1998, over 5,100 cats have been diagnosed with sporotrichosis in the state of Rio de Janeiro; >5,000 human cases were reported in 2015, suggesting high incidence of the disease in the state [16]. Rio de Janeiro is currently considered one of the three (along with China and South Africa) human sporotrichosis hyperendemic regions [15,17,18]. Rio de Janeiro also shows a high incidence of feline sporotrichosis and zoonotic transmission from cats to humans [6,19].

Reports of sporotrichosis have increased across South America. Recently, sporotrichosis caused by *S. brasiliensis* was described in Northeast Brazil, and primarily via zoonotic transmission [20,21,22,23]. Additionally, infections with *S. brasiliensis* were identified in Argentina and Paraguay, the first reports of this species outside Brazil [24,25]. These recent reports of *S. brasiliensis*-associated sporotrichosis in places with few or no cases reported prior to the early 2000s raise the question of whether the outbreak has recently spread from Rio de Janeiro, or if zoonotic sporotrichosis cases have been unrecognized. This question is crucial to establish public health policy to deal with emergent sporotrichosis. In this work, we address this question prompted by our observation in 2015 of a case of sporotrichosis in Brasília, in a cat whose owner also had a lesion that was suggestive of the disease.

In this study, additional animal cases and retrospective study of a series of sporotrichosis cases in the University Hospital of Brasília were investigated for evidence of zoonotic human infections. The genomes of six *S. brasiliensis* strains, including those from Brasília and from the epicentre Rio de Janeiro, were sequenced and compared to the reference *S. brasiliensis* 5110 genome [26] and other *Sporothrix* spp. We report for the first time the occurrence of *S. brasiliensis* infections in animals at the capital of Brazil, Brasília, located in the Midwestern portion of the country. Finally, genetic diversity in the *S. brasiliensis* strains in Brasília was observed, which suggests that independent outbreaks caused by *S. brasiliensis* occur and might have started earlier and be more widespread than previously recognized.

Material and Methods

Human cases

A cross-sectional study of a series of sporotrichosis cases in the University Hospital of Brasília, from 1993 to 2018 was completed. This study was previously approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Brasilia (protocol CAAE: 873718.0.00005558). The inclusion criterion was diagnosis of sporotrichosis by culture of *Sporothrix* spp. in the Mycology Laboratory of the University Hospital of Brasilia. Diagnoses were performed by growing the fungus from biological samples (skin lesions, sputum, broncho-alveolar lavage, and cerebrospinal fluid) on solid culture media (i.e. Sabouraud and Mycosel) followed by microscopic characterization of colonies. The following data were anonymously retrieved from patient medical records: clinical form of sporotrichosis (cutaneous, mucosal and extracutaneous forms), location, year of diagnosis, age, sex, gender, race, occupation, treatment, and disease outcome.

Animal cases

Three cats and one dog with suspected sporotrichosis from the Veterinary Hospital of the University of Brasília, the Directorate for Environmental Surveillance (zoonoses division) of the Federal District Health Department and a private veterinary clinic were referred to the Mycology Laboratory of the University Hospital of Brasília for diagnosis between 2015 and 2018. Direct microscopic observation of patient samples was completed, and skin lesion specimens were cultured as above, followed by microscopic identification of the fungus. Relevant clinical and epidemiological data were collected. Animal observations and tests were previously approved by the University of Brasília Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals (CEUA-UnB), protocol: 66716/2016.

Morphological studies

Seven *Sporothrix* spp. isolates from humans (recovered from prolonged storage), and four isolates from
animal cases were studied for morphological and molecular characteristics. For the identification of the mycelial phase, monosporic cultures were grown on Sabouraud dextrose agar at room temperature. We used the slide culture method to characterize microscopic filamentous features of the *Sporothrix* spp. isolates. We inoculated mycelia fragments into 1 × 1 cm Sabouraud dextrose agar and malt extract agar blocks, which were then mounted on a slide, covered with a coverslip and incubated for 14–21 days at room temperature. To induce the yeast phase, we inoculated the mycelial fragments into Brain-Heart Infusion broth and incubated for 7 days at 37°C and 150 rpm agitation. Both mycelial and yeast preparations were stained with lactophenol blue and visualized with a Zeiss AxiosObserver Z1 microscope equipped with a 40X objective. Images were collected using the Zeiss ZEN software.

**Molecular typing and identification**

Genomic DNA was extracted using the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) from 500 mg of mycelial tissue as input material using a glass bead protocol with a Precellys 24 instrument (Bertin Instruments, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France). DNA integrity was assessed by running 5 µL aliquot of the extraction on a 0.8% agar agarose-gel electrophoresis stained with 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. To estimate the DNA yield we used a NanoDrop 1000c Instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). We then amplified a segment of the calmodulin gene by PCR and Sanger sequenced it in an ABI 3130xl Instrument (Applied Biosystems). PCR setting and cycling conditions were done as previously described [6]. We performed initial nucleotide BLAST analysis (BLASTn) for each ampli-con to retrieve the best hit results for each strain on the NCBI database. All extractions (N=11) showed *Sporothrix* spp. as the closest BLAST match.

**Whole genome sequencing**

We selected two *S. brasiliensis* (A001 and A005) and one *S. schenckii* (A003) animal isolates from the Mycology Laboratory of the University Hospital of Brasilia for Illumina short read whole-genome sequencing. For comparison, we have also sequenced four *S. brasiliensis* isolates obtained from humans in Rio de Janeiro, s15677, s28606, s34180 and s48605 (see supplementary Table 1). A001 and A005, were labelled as SbFD (S. brasiliensis, Federal District), whereas isolates s15677, s28606, s34180 and s48605, from the Collection of Pathogenic Fungi at the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, were designated as SbRJ. From each isolate, we extracted 1 µg of DNA, as described above. Next, we prepared paired-end libraries using the NEBNext Ultra™ DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina. The libraries were quantified using the NEBNext Library Quant Kit for Illumina™ (New England Biolabs). We pooled equimolar amounts of each library together and sequenced them in an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 Instrument using the NovaSeq 6000 S4 kit v1.5 (300 cycles). The run was performed in a high output mode. We used Trimmmomatic v. 0.36 [27] to remove adapters and filter low-quality bases.

**Public data**

We used three additional sets of Illumina paired-end reads from *S. schenckii* isolates from Colombia (EM7 and MS1 - [28]) and Puerto Rico (ATCC 58251 - [29]). To root phylogenetic trees (see below), we retrieved additional genomic assemblies from other *Sporothrix* species from the NCBI genome browser (Supplementary Table 1).

**Read mapping and variant calling**

We mapped the newly-sequenced strains and the publicly available data to the *S. brasiliensis* 5110 reference genome using bwa-mem v 0.7.7 [30]. To identify mismatch intervals and indels, we used GATK v3.3-0RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner [31,32]. To identify polymorphic sites (single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNP), we used the GATK UnifiedGenotyper module using the parameter het = 0.01 to account for a haploid organism. We used the following filters to obtain SNPs calls: QD = 2.0 || FS_filter = 60.0 || MQ_filter = 30.0 || MQ_Rank_Sum_filter = −12.5 || Read_Pos_Rank_Sum_filter = −8 [33]. We excluded polymorphic sites with less than 10X coverage, with less than 90% variant allele calls, or that were identified by Nucmer [34] as located in duplicated regions in the *S. brasiliensis* reference genome. We identified 115,488 SNPs across five *Sporothrix* species (16 isolates).

**Phylogenetic tree and whole-genome alignments**

We used the WGS datasets we generated plus publicly available sequences described above to build a phylogenetic tree of the 16 *Sporothrix* spp. isolates. We used IQTREE v 1.6.1 [35] to build a Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree with the −m TEST function to determine the best nucleotide substitution model under the ascertainment bias correction (+ASC) model. To assess the strength of the support for each clade present in the tree, we found the consensus phylogenomic tree of 1,000 ultrafast bootstraps coupled with 1,000 Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihood ratio tests (SH-aLRT) [36,37]. We visualized the consensus
topology and branch support with FigTree v1.4.2 – http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/. We used the Automatic Assembly For The Fungi (AAFTF) pipeline to assemble the newly-sequenced *S. brasiliensis* genomes, with default parameters [38]. The *S. brasiliensis* assemblies with the highest N50 (Supplementary Table 1) were aligned to the strain 5110 reference genome using the DGENIES online tool [39] via minimap2 algorithm [40] for comparative genomic purposes.

**Timing analysis**

Next, we estimated the approximate divergence time of the splits observed in the phylogenomic analysis using Bayesian inference in BEAST v1.10.4 [41]. Evolutionary rates become artificially larger when only SNP sites are included in the alignment, which in turn could underestimate dating estimates (as time and rate are inversely correlated). In order to minimize such bias, we implemented a correction that takes into account invariant sites as well. This was done by inputting in the.xml files the total counts of A, C, G and T across the whole genomes sequenced, minus their counts in the SNP alignment alone (therefore avoiding counting the latter twice). We used a GTR model with gamma variation among sites (using four discrete classes). Priors for the different dating parameters included: a Normal distribution in which a conservative 90% confidence interval was within 3.8 - 4.9 MYA (following [26]) marking the separation between *S. brasiliensis* and *S. schenckii*; a rate interval of [0.9E-3, 16.7E-3] substitutions/branch/MY for the parameter ucl.mean (mean of the uncorrelated lognormal distribution of rates across branches, instead of assuming a more simplistic global clock prior), based on evolutionary rate estimation based on different groups of fungi [42]; and a birth-death tree prior with incomplete sampling [43]. We used two independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains each run until convergence; the marginal distributions and traces from the two replicate chains were inspected in Tracer v1.7 [44]. We summarized the two MCMC runs using LogCombiner (BEAST package, [45]) after discarding the burnin region. Finally, we used Treeannotator (BEAST package, [45]) to annotate clade posteriors, divergence times, and rate variation across branches.

**Population genetic analysis**

Finally, we studied the amount of genetic diversity in each *Sporothrix* group. We estimated the average nucleotide diversity (π) within species or populations and absolute differentiation (D_\text{ST}) between *S. brasiliensis* and *S. schenckii*, and between the *S. brasiliensis* SbFD and SbRJ using a series of Python scripts available at https://github.com/simonhmartin/genomics_general.

**Results**

**Both sapronotic and zoonotic transmissions of sporotrichosis occur in Brasilia and surrounding areas**

The study population involved 91 human cases ranging from 1 to 82 years of age with sporotrichosis confirmed by isolation of *Sporothrix* spp. in culture at the Mycology Laboratory of the University Hospital of Brasilia. All patients were diagnosed either by direct exam or by the microbiological isolation of the pathogen from 1993 to 2018. We reported 64 cases (70%) in males and 27 (30%) females. The years with most cases reported were 1999, 2000, and 2009 with 12 (13%), 7 (8%), and 8 (9%) cases, respectively. Regarding age groups, the 21-30- and 51-60-year ranges have more cases in this retrospective study, corresponding to 17 (18.7%) and 16 (17.6%) cases, respectively (Table 1). Most patients were treated with itraconazole alone or in association with saturated potassium iodide. In severe cases, patients were treated with fluconazole and/or amphotericin B.

We were able to analyze 48 full medical records of the included patients. Among them, 34 were presumably infected in Brasilia (70.9%) and six in the neighboring state of Goiás (12.5%). Most patients had the lymphocutaneous form (*n*=34; 70.8%, 95% confidence interval [CI]=55.8%–81.6%), followed by fixed cutaneous form (*n*=6; 12.6%, 95% CI=5.1%–23.4%). Five extra-cutaneous cases were detected in the central nervous system (*n*=1; 2%, 95% CI = 0.2%–9.6%) and or the upper airway tract (*n*=4; 8.3%, 95% CI =2.7–18.21%). The clinical presentation of three patients (6.3%) was inconclusive or not reported. The most frequent profession/occupation was student (*n*=26; 29%, 95% CI = 39.2%–67.0%), followed by farmers (*n*=14; 15%, 95% CI = 17.2%–42.3%) and retirees (*n*=13, 14%, 95% CI =15.5%–40.0%), (Table 1). Some comorbidities we found were prostate and breast cancer, high blood pressure, diabetes, cirrhosis, tuberculosis, leishmaniosis, hepatitis and other chronic diseases. Chagas disease and paracoccidioidomycosis co-infections were found in two sporotrichosis patients. Three cases of HIV coinfection were also diagnosed. Three cases were fatal, two of which in patients with cancer and one with chronic cirrhosis.

Additionally, a thorough investigation of the clinical records suggested two main forms of *Sporothrix* infections in Brasilia: (1) the classical sapronotic form acquired from a direct inoculation of plant-derived material harbouring the fungus, which was more observed in rural and construction workers,
and (2) the zoonotic transmission triggered by skin injuries provoked by infected cat or dog bite/scratches. Sapronotic sporotrichosis was reported in 5 patients (10%, 95% CI = 3.8%–20.1%) after injuries with plant-derived material. Five patients (10%, 95% CI = 10.8%–33.2%), including two veterinarians, reported cat/dog bites or scratches. Six other patients (12.6%, 95% CI = 5.1%–23.4%) reported living and having frequent contact with dogs or cats with skin lesions. Other patients either did not report any previous injuries or reported a different exposure source (i.e. sporotrichosis acquired after injuries with barbed wire and metal cans).

In parallel, we diagnosed, from 2015 to 2018, 4 animals (3 cats and 1 dog) with sporotrichosis in Brasília. These animals had classical clinical presentations such as multiple suppurative granulomatous cutaneous lesions with a high load of yeast cells, generally with involvement of mucous membranes. Lesions were commonly found at parts such as the head, especially on the nose, as well as on the chest and legs. Two felines were euthanized and the other cat and the dog were treated with itraconazole and potassium iodide. The pet owner of the cat from which the A001 strain was recovered, was also diagnosed with

Table 1. Epidemiological characteristics of sporotrichosis in Brasília, Brazil.

| Gender (n = 91) | Male | 64 (70.32%) |
|----------------|------|-------------|
| Female         | 27 (29.68%) |             |
| Profession (n = 91) |     |             |
| Students       | 26 (28.57%) |             |
| Rural Worker   | 14 (15.38%) |             |
| Household/retired | 13 (14.28%) |             |
| Veterinary      | 2 (2.2%) |             |
| Other           | 4 (4.39%) |             |
| Not reported    | 32 (35.18%) |             |
| HIV condition (n = 91) |     |             |
| Positive        | 3 (3.29%) |             |
| Negative        | 88 (96.71%) |             |
| Age Group (n = 91) |     |             |
| 0–10           | 12 (13.19%) |             |
| 11–20          | 10 (10.99%) |             |
| 21–30          | 17 (18.68%) |             |
| 31–40          | 11 (12.09%) |             |
| 41–50          | 11 (12.09%) |             |
| 51–60          | 16 (17.58%) |             |
| >61            | 14 (15.38%) |             |
| Sporotrichosis forms (n = 48) |     |             |
| Cutaneous fixed | 6 (12.5%) |             |
| Lymphocutaneous | 34 (70.83%) |             |
| Disseminated   | 5 (10.42%) |             |
| Unknown        | 3 (6.25%) |             |
| Source of infection (n = 48) |     |             |
| Living with a cat or dog | 6 (12.5%) |             |
| Cat/dog bite or scratch | 5 (10.42%) |             |
| Trauma with plant/wood material | 5 (10.42%) |             |
| Unknown        | 32 (66.91%) |             |

Figure 1. Whole-genome Sporothrix genealogy using BEAST analyses. The phylogenomic tree was inferred using Bayesian inference under the GTR model with gamma variation among sites and S. insectorum was set as the outgroup. The 90% confidence interval marking the separation between S. brasiliensis and S. schenckii was set to 3.8 - 4.9 MYA, a rate interval of [0.9E-3, 16.7E-3] based on evolutionary rate estimation based on different groups of fungi and a birth-death tree prior with incomplete sampling were set as priors. The clade distribution, posterior probabilities and divergence times are shown.
cutaneous sporotrichosis. The diagnosis and treatment were made in another hospital in Brasilia, so we do not have medical records or a clinical isolate obtained from the owner.

**Sporothrix brasiliensis isolates from Brasilia and the epicentre of the zoonotic sporotrichosis epidemics, Rio de Janeiro, are genetically distinct**

In order to distinguish between two different hypotheses for *S. brasiliensis* presence in Brasilia, that it was recently introduced here from Southeast Brazil or that the Brasilia isolates are actually endemic to that region, we performed a number of genetics and genomics analyses. First, we inferred the genealogical relationships between isolates of different species of *Sporothrix* using whole genome sequencing. By rooting our tree with *S. insectorum*, we observed that *S. schenckii* and *S. brasiliensis* are sister species and form a triad along with *S. globosa* (Figure 1). The Most Recent Common Ancestor (tMRCA) of *Sporothrix* emerged between 26.63 and 37.38 Million Years Ago (MYA) (Figure 1). Unlike *S. insectorum* and *S. pallida*, this triad of species cause sporotrichosis in humans and animals and form the “*Sporothrix* pathogenic clade”. The crown age of this pathogenic group (TMRCA) is between 4.24 - 5.28 MYA. The divergence between the pathogenic clade and *S. pallida* (a non-pathogenic species [46], but see [47]) occurred 10.22 - 14.09 MYA, suggesting that the pathogenesis syndrome must have arisen in the last 14.1 million years.

Our WGS phylogenetic tree also allowed us to assess the partition of the genetic variation within *S. schenckii*. We found support for the existence of two clades, one harbouring two Colombian isolates (SsEM7 and SsMS1) and a cat-derived isolate from Brasilia (A003) and a clade composed by one strain from the USA (1099-18) and an additional strain from Puerto Rico (ATCC 58251). We estimate that divergence of these two groups occurred between 3.36 and 4.61 MYA. These results suggest that South American and North American populations of *S. schenckii* are genetically differentiated and call for the question of whether there has been speciation associated with geographical distribution within *S. schenckii*.

Next, we studied the relationships between the *S. brasiliensis* isolates from Brasilia and Rio de Janeiro. The five Rio de Janeiro isolates (5110, s15677, s28606, s34180 and s48605) form a monophyletic group that does not include the two Brasilia isolates, A001 and A005, which in turn are clustered in their own group. We also compared genomic similarities between the two *S. brasiliensis* cluster variants by aligning one individual from each cluster to the 5110 reference strains using the minimap2 tool. By aligning the *S. brasiliensis* strain s15677 to the *S. brasiliensis* 5110 reference strain (both belonging to the Rio de Janeiro population), we observed that the genomes are 99.81% similar. By aligning the *S. brasiliensis* A001 strain to the same reference, the genomic similarity dropped to 96.31% suggesting that those two groups are genetically unrelated (Supplementary Figure 1).

We calculated the approximate divergence time between *S. brasiliensis* isolates from Brasilia and Southeastern Brazil. The TMRCA of the *S. brasiliensis* species was estimated to be 2.24 - 3.09 MYA, the TMRCA for the strains from Rio de Janeiro is 1.94 - 2.7 MYA, and the TMRCA for the strains from Brasilia was 0.52-0.93 MYA. The *S. brasiliensis* isolates A001 and A005 are clustered in a single branch and are phylogenetically different from those isolated in Rio de Janeiro (5110, s15677, s28606, s34180 and s48605 – Figure 1). This result suggests that those infections were likely to be locally acquired in Brasilia, not in Rio de Janeiro. These results suggest that the two populations are genetically distinct and that the infections observed in Brasilia are not the result of a small group of Rio de Janeiro isolates that migrated. Since the causal agent of these infections is genetically differentiated from the causal agent of the Rio de Janeiro infections, it seems more likely that infections caused by *S. brasiliensis* in Brasilia happened autochthonously.

We evaluate a second prediction of the hypothesis that Rio de Janeiro isolates caused the Brasilia outbreak. If this hypothesis is correct, then the source population of the outbreak, RJ, should have a larger genetic diversity than the outbreak population, Brasilia, because the former has not undergone a bottleneck. We measured the magnitude of genetic variation in each *Sporothrix* group using pairwise calculations of heterozygosity. *Sporothrix schenckii* has the highest nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 1.67\%$), which is in line with the measurements of other fungal species with complex population composition and worldwide distribution [48,49]. *Sporothrix brasiliensis* has a much lower nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.52\%$) than that of its sister species, probably due to its endemicity to South America. We next calculated the nucleotide diversity within the two Brazilian *S. brasiliensis* groups. The Brasilia group has a nucleotide diversity on the same order of magnitude as *S. brasiliensis* as a whole ($\pi = 0.20\%$). On the other hand, the Rio de Janeiro population has an extremely low genetic diversity ($\pi = 9.78 \times 10^{-3}\%$), suggesting an extreme bottleneck. In agreement with our inference from the phylogenomic tree, these results indicate that the Brasilia population is extremely unlikely to be derived from the Rio de Janeiro one.

Our phylogenetic tree suggested genetic differentiation between *S. brasiliensis* isolates from Rio de Janeiro and Brasilia. We calculated the pairwise absolute genetic distance ($D_{xy}$) between *S. schenckii* and *S. brasiliensis*, and within *S. brasiliensis*. The $D_{xy}$
between those two species was 0.042 (95% CI=0.0419–0.0425) while between the Brasília and Rio de Janeiro populations it was 0.010 (95% CI = 0.01–0.01). Figure 2 shows the mean pairwise differences per site within populations (nucleotide diversity or \( \pi \)) and mean pairwise differences per site between populations (\( D_{xy} \)) along the 13 scaffolds of the *Sporothrix* genome. \( D_{xy} \), a proxy of genome differentiation, between *S. schenckii* and *S. brasiliensis* shows high mean values along the whole genome. We observed a strikingly different pattern when we compare the two populations of *S. brasiliensis*. As expected, Rio de Janeiro *S. brasiliensis* has little genetic variation along the whole genome (Figure 2A). \( D_{xy} \) along most of the genome is low suggesting low differentiation between Rio de Janeiro and Brasília despite almost 1 MY of divergence (See above, Figure 1). Nonetheless, scaffolds I, III, V, IX and XI, show peaks of high differentiation. Notably, the \( D_{xy} \) peaks in scaffolds I, III, and XI show fixed variants between Rio de Janeiro and Brasília *S. brasiliensis* and between *S. brasiliensis* (as a whole) and *S. schenckii*. These results suggest that the divergence between Brazilian populations of *S. brasiliensis* is localized to a few genome regions and not as pronounced as between *S. schenckii* and *S. brasiliensis*. This pattern might simply be explained by the difference in divergence time between the *Sporothrix* species and the *S. brasiliensis* populations.

**Discussion**

Sporotrichosis due to *S. brasiliensis* has become one of the most important fungal diseases in Brazil [18]. The disease has gone from a localized outbreak in Rio de Janeiro to a widespread epidemic in other regions of Brazil. The emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains has further complicated the treatment of this disease. The development of rapid diagnostic tools and targeted therapies is crucial to control the spread of this disease.
Janeiro state to a country-wide epidemic during the past 20 years and has affected thousands of humans and animals. In Brasília, located in Midwestern Brazil, and roughly 900 km from Rio de Janeiro, we observed indications that suggest cat/dog-transmitted sporotrichosis might occur. Moreover, both cats and dogs are affected by pathogenic *Sporothrix* spp. In Rio de Janeiro, both fungal species have been isolated from dogs [19], but *S. brasiliensis* has been identified in almost all cats tested so far [17]. The etiologic agent of this disease was recently found in clinical and environmental samples in Argentina as well as in animal samples in Paraguay [24,25].

In this study, two different hypotheses for the expansion of this disease throughout South America were evaluated, namely that *S. brasiliensis* recently (<20 years) spread from Rio de Janeiro to other areas in Brazil and other countries via human, animal hosts or fomites; or that different groups of *S. brasiliensis* occur in different locations of the South American continent. We focused on a recent sporotrichosis case series in Brasília. If the former hypothesis is correct, one would expect that the Rio de Janeiro population would have a larger genetic variation than the Brasília population. Additionally, one would expect the Rio de Janeiro population to encompass the genetic variants observed in Brasilia. Neither of these were observed in our data. The nucleotide diversity (\(\pi\)) in Rio de Janeiro is 200 times lower than in Brasilia. Additionally, the Rio de Janeiro and Brasília populations are reciprocally monophyletic, which contradicts the idea that Brasilia strains are a subset of the Rio de Janeiro group. Finally, the split time between Brasilia and Rio de Janeiro occurred between 0.52–0.93 MYA, predating the human colonization of the Americas and thus precluding the possibility of human migration associated with this species.

The observation that the surge of sporotrichosis in Brasília is not caused by recent migration from Rio de Janeiro poses additional questions. First, the reasons behind the surge remain unclear. Other reasons, besides human migration must be responsible for the recent uptick of cases. One possibility is that deforestation and human expansion into previously uninhabited areas has put humans (and their pets) in greater contact with fungi. A second possibility is that in the last 20 years, *S. brasiliensis* became more virulent. Both novel mutations and introgression from a virulent lineage could be responsible. Finally, it is possible that the improvement in diagnostics has led to the identification and reporting of sporotrichosis due to *S. brasiliensis*.

Second, it remains unclear why the number of cases in Brasília is lower than that in Rio de Janeiro, one of the most hyperendemic areas in the world. One potential explanation for the higher incidence of sporotrichosis in Rio de Janeiro as compared to Brasília is higher population density and poor sanitation in the former area [18]. Additionally, the two lineages of *S. brasiliensis* might also differ in their virulence. Finally, our study shows that cats can acquire sporotrichosis caused by both *S. schenckii* and *S. brasiliensis*, which indicates that feral and stray cats can be reservoirs of the disease. Brasilia and Rio de Janeiro may differ in their number of outdoor cats. Studies have shown a high prevalence of parasitosis in cats from both locations (Brasília: [50], RJ:[51]) but the number of felines and their relative health conditions remain unknown. Currently, we have no support for these three non-mutually exclusive hypotheses.

Our work suggests the existence of at least two groups of *S. brasiliensis* in South America. The increase in sporotrichosis incidence, along with the increments on the disease burden of other mycoses across the world, and the outbreak of *Cryptococcus gattii* – originated in the Amazon region – in the Pacific Northwest [52] are sobering indications of the importance of efforts to detect and mitigate or prevent the spread of fungal emerging diseases, both in South America and elsewhere.
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