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Abstract: Thermodynamics for ideal plastic deformation causing no disorganization of the structure in the deformed body, which was discussed by P. W. Bridgman studied (1950), revealed that the concept of entropy was still applicable to its irreversible process. Noting that the structural invariability in an ideal plastic body is physically equivalent to the prerequisite of thermodynamics, namely, thermodynamic quantities must be independent of the macroscopic body shape, the generalized concept of entropy can be extended to the other thermodynamic potentials such as internal energy, free energies and so on. Here the extended generalization for ideal plastic deformation is theoretically justified on the basis of the irreversible thermodynamics constructed by Prigogine and his discipline. Thermodynamic state of the ideally deformed body is found to be specified both by the generalized thermodynamic potential (S, U, F, H or G) and by the irreversible potential energy J which drives the plastic deformation.
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1. Introduction
Thermodynamics of ideal plastic deformation at constant temperature and pressure was studied earlier by P. W. Bridgman in USA (1950) (Bridgman, 1950). He examined slip processes of the
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deformation in detail and found that all the thermal energy produced by the plastic deformation flowed into the heat bath out of the deformed body, leaving no structural disorganization in the deformed body at all. Consequently no entropy increase is observed in the ideally deformed body.

He was mainly interested in the dual aspect of entropy shown by the stress–strain loop (Figure 1). The reversible aspect is reflected in the maintenance of the constant entropy during the ideal plastic deformation, whereas the irreversible aspect is reflected in the clockwise path of the loop as well as in the hysteresis loss. In order to elucidate the dual aspect above, he extended the concept of thermodynamic state mainly focusing on entropy and found that the thermodynamic state and entropy are still applicable to the irreversible process of the ideal plastic deformation. Hereafter the extended state and entropy are denoted as generalized state and entropy, respectively.

To the best of authors knowledge, no significant studies have been made on the thermodynamics of plastic deformation since Bridgman's work, except for the work of J. Kestin and his groups (Kestin, 1987, 1993; Ponter, Bataille, & Kestin, 1979) and that of Kato (2008). Kestin’s groups applied non-equilibrium thermodynamics to the Frank-Read source of dislocations, but unfortunately they could not complete their work. On the other hand, Kato (2008) applied equilibrium thermodynamics to the plastic deformation with deep consideration. However, there remains an interesting issue related with the irreversible aspect of the ideal plastic deformation.

At about the same time of Bridgman’s work, I. Prigogine and his school in Belgium dealt with irreversible thermodynamics of chemical reactions, thermoelectricity and diffusion (Bridgman, 1950; Kestin, 1987; Kondenpudi & Prigogine, 1998). However, they did not apply their theory to the ideal plastic deformation that was one of the simplest irreversible phenomena. In this paper, we shall apply their irreversible thermodynamics to the ideal plastic deformation to justify Bridgman’s generalized entropy.

2. Stress–strain loop and thermodynamics
Figure 1(a) shows a stress and strain loop of an ideal plastic body. Only a clockwise path of the loop (OABCDO) is allowed owing to the irreversible character of plastic deformation. All the deformation dealt in the present work is assumed to be at constant temperature $T$ and pressure $P$ so that they are not shown explicitly unless otherwise stated.
The plastic deformation proceeds along the path from A to B at constant yield stress \( \sigma_A (> 0) \) and from D to E at \(- \sigma_A\) (Figure 1). The plastic deformation specified above will be abbreviated as PD. We shall be concerned with the thermodynamics of PD.

The loop of ideal plastic deformation is assumed to cycle indefinitely so that the structure of the body must return exactly to the original one after each cycle completed (Bridgman, 1950). Consequently the thermodynamic state of the plastically deformed body is uniquely defined by a set of stress \( \sigma \) and total strain \( \varepsilon \) of the loop. Either \( \sigma \) or \( \varepsilon \) alone is not sufficient. What PD does is to change the shape of the body keeping the structure unchanged (Figure 2).

The structural invariability is physically equivalent to the prerequisite for thermodynamics, i.e. independence of thermodynamics from the body shape. This equivalence will play an important role in generalizing thermodynamic quantities other than Bridgman's entropy.

3. Entropy, internal energy and Helmholtz energy

PD from A to B and from D to E of Figure 1 changes the shape of the body without any structural disorganization. Because of the independence of thermodynamics from the body shape, the thermodynamic state must remain unchanged from A to B and from D to E. In other words, the same thermodynamic state and potentials at A and D are maintained throughout the subsequent PD. Consequently all the states from A to B and those from D to E must be reversibly exchangeable. We shall confirm this observation based on Prigogine's irreversible thermodynamics later on.

Employing notations in the text book of Kondepudi and Prigogine (Kondepudi & Prigogine, 1998), the entropy differential \( dS \) of the deformed body is given by a sum of \( d_i S \) and \( d_e S \) respectively due to the irreversible deformation and due to the reversible exchange of energy with the heat bath (Figure 3). Namely
\[
dS = d_e S + d_i S
\] (1)

For the sake of convenience, let us call Equation (1) Prigogine's equation.

Because of the structural invariability, all the work done during PD is converted into heat and flows into the heat bath without leaving any effect in the deformed body. We obtain
\[ d_i S = \frac{V \sigma d\varepsilon_p}{T} > 0 \]
\[ d_e S = -d_i S \]

and therefore
\[ dS = d_e S + d_i S = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The inequality in the first equation of (2) is attributable to the clockwise path of the stress-strain loop (Figure 1(a)). Equation (3) tells us that the entropy of the body \( S \) remains constant during PD. This is nothing but the prediction of Bridgman's argument about the generalized entropy.

Equation (3) enables us to generalize the other thermodynamic potentials in the same way. Let us generalize internal energy \( U \) at first.

\[ \delta W = T d_i S = V \sigma d\varepsilon_p \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)
\[ \delta Q = T d_e S \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( \delta W \) is the work done on the body and \( \delta Q \) (\( \leq 0 \)) is the heat flowing out of the body into the heat bath. Owing to Equation (3), we have
\[ dU = \delta W + \delta Q = T (d_i S + d_e S) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Internal energy \( U \) remains constant during PD and is thus generalized as entropy is (See Section 1. and Equation (3)).

As to Helmholtz energy \( F \) we obtain
\[ dF = d(U - TS) = dU - TdS - SdT = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where we make use of Equations (3), (6) and constant \( T \). In a similar manner \( F \) is generalized for PD.

We thus proved the invariance of the thermodynamic potentials \( S, U \) and \( F \) during PD.
Integrating \( d_s S \) (Equation (2)), we obtain the hysteresis loss (\( \Delta Q \)).

\[
\Delta Q = \int_A^B d_s S + \int_D^E d_s S = 2\sigma_A\varepsilon_C
\]

(8)

4. Enthalpy and Gibbs energy

Now let us ask whether or not enthalpy (\( H \)) and Gibbs energy (\( G \)) can be generalized in the same manner.

First of all we point out that differentials of enthalpy and Gibbs energy must be zero simply because thermodynamic state is generalized for PD (Section 3).

Applying Legendre transformations to \( H \) and \( G \) intentionally, we would obtain

\[
dH = d \left( \frac{U}{C_0} \varepsilon_p \sigma_a \right) = dU - V\sigma_A d\varepsilon_p = -V\sigma_A d\varepsilon_p \neq 0
\]

(9)

and

\[
dG = d \left( \frac{U}{C_0} \varepsilon_p \sigma_a - TS \right) = dU - TdS - SdT - V\sigma_A d\varepsilon_p
\]

\[= -V\sigma_A d\varepsilon_p \neq 0
\]

(10)

where we substituted \( dU = dS = d\sigma_A = dT = 0 \) into the above equations. We have an extra term \( -V\sigma_A d\varepsilon_p \) in the equations which is denoted by \( dJ \).

\[
dJ = -V\sigma_A d\varepsilon_p
\]

(11)

The extra term arises simply because Legendre transformations have been applied to \( H \) and \( G \) without due attention. The thermodynamic state of PD is not specified by \( \varepsilon_p \) alone but by a set of stress \( \sigma \) and total strain \( \varepsilon \) (Figure 1(a)) and therefore the Legendre transformations (Equations (9) and (10)) are no longer valid for PD.

Integrating Equation (11), however, we obtain a physically significant quantity \( J \), i.e.

\[
J = -V\sigma_A \varepsilon_p
\]

(12)

where we assume \( J = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_p = 0 \) as the initial condition. \( J \) is seen to be the potential energy of the weight (m) required to drive PD. The clockwise path of the loop implies \( \sigma_A d\varepsilon_p \geq 0 \) (Figure 1) and thus PD proceeds always in the direction of decreasing \( J \) (Equation (12)).

We note that PD is externally driven, for instance, by the downward motion of weight m (Figure 2) and is nothing to do with the thermodynamic state of the deformed body itself. This is the reason why differentials of the generalized \( H \) and \( G \) remain constant throughout PD.

To sum up, the thermodynamic state of PD is specified by the generalized state variables (\( S, U, F, H \) or \( G \)) and additionally by the potential energy \( J \).

5. Summary

(1) Thermodynamics of ideal plastic deformation studied by Bridgman is reexamined in two ways. One is based on the independence of thermodynamic formulation from the macroscopic body shape and the other on Prigogine’s irreversible thermodynamics.

(2) The thermodynamic state and the thermodynamic potentials are so generalized as to be applicable to the irreversible process of ideal plastic deformation.
(3) The generalization above enables us to understand the dual aspect of reversibility and irreversibility inherent to the ideal plastic deformation.

(4) Thermodynamic state of ideal plastic deformation is specified by generalized thermodynamic potentials ($S, U, F, H$ and $G$) and potential energy $J$.

(5) The ideal plastic deformation always proceeds in the direction of decreasing $J$. The potential energy $J$ arises from the external force applied to the deformed body and is responsible for the hysteresis loss.
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