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Abstract

Background: Food borne diseases are a challenge for both developed and developing countries and are a leading cause of illnesses and deaths in developing countries. Regardless of concentative hard work for several years, food borne diseases has remained a major global public health issue with substantial morbidity and mortality associated with the consumption of contaminated food staffs. Parasitic infection is among the major disease especially in developing countries and sub-Saharan countries including Ethiopia.

Objective: This study was aimed to determine the prevalence of intestinal parasites and associated factors among food handlers working in food and drink establishments at Mizan Aman town southwest Ethiopia.

Method: A community based cross sectional study design was employed. Four hundred eighteen study participants were randomly selected from a total of 209 foods and drinks establishment in Mizan Aman Town, Bench Maji Zone, and Southwest Ethiopia. Epidata version 3.1 used for data entry while SPSS version 21 used for analysis. Descriptive and logistic regression analysis carried out; predictor variables at 95 % CI using p-value ≤0.05 were declared as statistically significant.

Result: Among 399 participants, 203(50.9%) were female and 194(49.1%) were male. Almost half 194 (48.6 %) of the participants age was below 20 years. The overall prevalence of intestinal parasites among the study subjects was 26.1% ; from this Ascaris lumbricoides 7.3% and Teniasis species 5% were the predominant parasites identified from the stool of study participants.

Conclusion: The study identified high prevalence of intestinal parasites among study participants who worked for less than one year duration, wear hand jewelry, not practice hand washing routinely, not wearing hair net, food preparation during sickness and use
the same equipment for raw and cooked food serving. Training about safe food handling and other hygienic practices should be encouraged for better personal and community health status. On the other hand, all concerned should pay attention to safe guard consumers’ health. Key terms: - Intestinal parasites, Food handlers, Food and drink establishment

Background

Food borne diseases are a challenge for both developed and developing countries and are a leading cause of illnesses and deaths in developing countries (1). Regardless of concentrative hard work for several years, food borne diseases remain a major global public health issue with substantial morbidity and mortality associated with the consumption of contaminated food staffs ((1),(2)).

Correspondingly, according to WHO, food handling personnel play significant role in ensuring food safety throughout the chain of food production. Therefore, to reduce food borne illnesses, it is crucial to gain an understanding of the knowledge and practices of food handlers. However, several factors contribute to the spread of food borne outbreaks by food service workers (3).Parasite is an organism that is entirely dependent on another organism, referred to as its host, for all or part of its life cycle and metabolic requirements(4).

Parasitic infection is among the major disease in the world especially developing countries and sub-Saharan countries (5). According to World Health Organization (WHO) estimation the 3.5 billion people worldwide are infected with intestinal parasites and nearly greater than 450 million of them are sick due to intestinal parasites, the majority are children (6). Many various studies have reported the presence of relatively high prevalence rates of the major protozoan and helminthes infections in tropical countries, where parasitic diseases remain among the heaviest and serious health problems (7), (8).
The cause for high distribution of parasites in some parts of the country are a result of specific climate of the regions, local customs, age, job, educational level of people, attitude of peoples on parasitic infection and the use of human and animal fertilizers in agriculture and floriculture (9). Due to geographical location, climate, extent of the area, and cultural and biological characteristics, there is a suitable environment for the activity of various parasites in Ethiopia (10). The absence of clean and safe water, high population density, lack of proper disposal of waste, noncompliance with health standards, lack of adequate washing of vegetables, lack of washing hands before and after toilet and feeding of uncooked meat and drinking un boiled (non-pasteurized) milk lead to high prevalence of intestinal parasites (11). Similarly, where poor environmental sanitation, poor personal hygiene and low level of education are prominent (12). As studies report in different parts Ethiopia there is different types of parasites and different level of infection which are studied different parts of a country (5), (13). Ethiopian ministry of health is prioritize the parasitic disease by preparing national master plan for neglected tropical disease (NTDS) control strategies (14). The high incidence of parasites has been indicated as they are transmitted by food borne and feaco-orally and their negative impact on community was explained. Some of negative consequences are malnutrition, stunt growth, anemia and cognitive impairment (17). Food-handlers who are working different hotels and cafeteria harbor and excrete intestinal parasites may contaminate food and serve as continues source of food-borne infection (10). The investigation of parasitic infections forms a major activity in diagnosing and performing different publications.

To prevent, food borne illnesses, all food handlers are required to practice food hygiene and safety activities. Food hygiene is the set of basic principles employed in the systematic control of the environmental conditions during production, packaging, delivery/transportation, storage, processing, and preparation, selling and serving of food
in such a manner as to ensure that food is safe to consume. However, improper and inconsistent food hygiene and safety activities can pose a health threat in developing countries due to difficulties in securing optimal hygienic food handling practices (15), (16).

Methods

**Study area and design**

The study was conducted at Mizan Aman town, Bench Maji zone in SNNP Regional State which is located in Southwest Ethiopia 561 km far from Addis Ababa, with a total population of 57,537, of those 30,379 were males and 27,158 were females (17). There are 135 and 189 food and drink establishments within Aman and Mizan administration town respectively. Totally 324 food and drink establishments had been registered by Mizan Aman town Trade and Industry Office in 2009 E.C. The study was conducted from March 1/2010E.C- April 30/ 2010 E.C. A community based cross-sectional study design was used.

**Sample size and sampling technique**

Sample size was calculated by using a single population proportion formula assuming 95% confidence interval, 5% margin of error and prevalence of intestinal parasites 44.1% among food handlers’ at Jimma zone, Yebu town was taken as proportion (12). Therefore, the final sample size were 418.

Therefore, Where $P=0.44\%$ $Z=95\%$ $d =5\%$) and 10 % non-response rate. 

$$n = \frac{(za/2)^2*p(1-p)}{d^2} = 418$$

$$= 418$$
Data collection tools and methods

A pretested structured questionnaire was adapted from other related researches in English then translated to Amharic for study purpose. Each parts of data collection items were properly coded for analysis methods. More over data completeness was checked on daily bases.

A cleaned, coded and tight-lid plastic container was used to collect stool from randomly selected food handlers. All participants were informed about the purpose of the study and after getting their willingness questions were provided in sequential manner. About a paean size of the stool samples were collected from each selected food handlers. Collected stool specimen was transported to Mizan Aman Health Science College laboratory within an hour. Parasitological assessment was performed by qualified laboratory technologists using both the physiological saline and iodine wet mount and formol ether concentration techniques as described on the standard. Dispensing the saline preferably from a small dropper bottle which can be closed when not in use to avoid contaminating the reagent was done (12). The direct saline wet mount was employed not to miss trophozoites of *E. histolytica* and *G. lamblia*. However, in this study, no trophozoites were recorded. All of the parasites detected by direct saline wet mount were also detected by Formol ether concentration technique. Thus, the results of Formol ether concentration technique were used for analyses. Finally, respondents who were infected with intestinal parasites linked to health facilities in accordance with keeping confidentiality.

Statistical data analysis

Data were entered, cleaned by using epi data version 3.1 and transported to SPSS version 21.0. For analysis purpose. Then, percentage and frequency distribution was performed. Bivariate analysis also used in describing the association between dependent
and independent variables. Variables having a $p$-value of less than 0.25 in the bivariate analysis were considered for multivariate logistic regression. A $p$-value of $\leq 0.05$ were used to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

**Socio demographic characteristics**

A total of 418 food handlers were selected but 19 of them refuse to participate so that the response rate was 95.4%. Among 399 participants 203 (50.9%) were female and 194 (49.1%) were male. Regarding the age of participants, less than 20 years were the majority 194 (48.6%) and 55 (13.7%) of them were infected by intestinal parasites which were the highest proportion from total prevalence of parasites. Only 27 (6.8%) food handlers had training in food safety and about 48 (12%) of them had medical check-up.

**Prevalence of intestinal parasites**

From the total study subjects ($n = 399$), 19 of them were not willing to participate for a stool examination. About 104 (26.1%) of food handlers were infected with different species of intestinal parasites.

**Bivariate and multivariate analysis**

Bivariate analysis was conducted to identify candidate variables for multivariate analysis. All candidate variables from Bivariate result ($< P$-value 0.25) were entered into multivariate analysis. Accordingly job category, Age, educational status, Working experience, hand washing habit and food contact with bare hand were candidates variables for multivariate analysis. From multivariate analysis variables whose $p$-value $< 0.05$ taken as significantly associated factors (Table 1).

The odd of infection is 48% less likely in the participants who served for more than 10 years compared to that of participants who served for less than 1 year. The risk of infection among the study participants who wash their hands after food preparation is
1.37 times more likely to be infected compared to respondents who wash their hands routinely. Respondents who did not trim their fingers nails were 1.89 times more likely to be infected than that of the respondents who trimmed their finger nails. The risk of infection in Study participants who does not use common knife to cut raw meat and other foods were 43% times lower than those who use common knife.

Table 1
Bivariate and Multivariate analysis of intestinal parasites and associated factors among food handlers in Mizan Aman town, Bench Maji Zone, Southwest Ethiopian( n = 399) 2010 E.C

| Variables                        | Infection status |   |   |   |   |   |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|                                  | Yes N (%)        | No N (%)      | COR (95%CI) | AOR (95%CI) |
| Age                              |                  |              |             |             |
| 17-19                            | 55(28.35)        | 139(77.65)   | 1            | 1            |
| 20-35                            | 38(22.4)         | 132(77.6)    | 1.74 (.053,2.215) | 1.210(0.123,3.74) |
| 36-50                            | 4(36.6)          | 7(63.4)      | .192(1.195,2.460) | 2.67(0.723,3.12) |
| 51-65                            | 6(30)            | 14(70)       | .923(.338,2.525) | 0.915(0.122,6.36) |
| > 65                             | 1(25)            | 3(75)        | 1.187(.121,11.659) | 4.21(0.983,2.111) |
| Education status of the respondent |                  |              |             |             |
| University                       | 5(29.4)          | 11(70.6)     | .667(.187,2.379) | 1.500(.420,5.325) |
| Collage                          | 12(41.1)         | 17(58.9)     | 0.429(.154,1.194) | 2.329(.837,6480) |
| High school                      | 25(26.6)         | 69(73.4)     | .678(0.836,.360) | 1            |
| Primary school                   | 52(24)           | 165(76)      | .021(962,.444) ** | 0.76(0.835,2.712) |
| Cannot read and write            | 10(23.3)         | 33(76.7)     | 1            | 1            |
| Working experience               |                  |              |             |             |
| < 1yr                            | 56(28.4)         | 141(71.6)    | 1            | 1            |
| 1-5yr                            | 42(24.4)         | 131(75.6)    | 1.45(0.23,0.897) ** | 2.12(0.478,7.123) |
| 6-10yr                           | 5(19.2)          | 21(70.8)     | 2.49(0.09,0.888) | 1            |
| > 10yr                           | 1(25)            | 3(75)        | 4.21(0.983,2.111) | 0.76(0.835,2.712) |
| When do you wash your hands?     |                  |              |             |             |
| Before food preparation          | 7(26.9)          | 19(73.1)     | 0.99(0.39,2.46)  | 0.56(0.19,1.6)  |
| Before equipment washing         | 12(42.8)         | 16(57.2)     | 0.49(0.21,1.08)  | 1.67(0.63,4.41)  |
| After toilet visit               | 13(27.1)         | 35(72.9)     | 0.98(0.49,1.97)  | 0.82(0.36,1.88)  |
| After food preparation           | 9(14.7)          | 52(85.3)     | 2.1(0.98,4.52) ** | 1.37(0.15,0.9) ** |
| Repeatedly/Routinely             | 63(26.6)         | 173(73.4)    | 1            | 1            |
| Does the respondent trim his/her finger? |        |              |             |             |
| Yes                              | 52(29.7)         | 175(70.3)    | 1            | 1            |
| No                               | 52(30.2)         | 120(69.8)    | 1.92(0.23,0.245) ** | 1.89(1.01,3.960) ** |
| Do you use common knife for cutting raw meat and other foods? | | | | |
| Yes                              | 53(30.5)         | 121(69.5)    | 1            | 1            |
| No                               | 51(22.7)         | 174(77.3)    | 7.89(0.78,3.129) | 0.57(3.61,5.54) ** |

Discussion

Several studies across the world have determined the prevalence of intestinal parasites among food handlers. The prevalence rates of the intestinal parasites infections among
food-handlers in different part of the world range from 28.7-52.2% (12). The results of this study revealed that intestinal parasites prevalence among the study participants was 26.1%. This is comparable with the finding of (25%) in Gonder University Ethiopia [6]. However it is higher than what was reported in northern Iran, the prevalence of intestinal parasites was 3.73% (4) and in Axum, Ethiopia reported that the overall prevalence rate of intestinal parasite was 14.5%(5).

On the other hand, the result of this study was lower than a cross sectional study revealed in Bahir Dar town, 158 (41.1%) of food handlers had intestinal parasites infection (7). Another similar study in Arbaminch University showed that 36% of the study participants found to be infected with intestinal parasites(8) and a study conducted in Jimma Zone, Yebu town reported highest rate of intestinal parasite 44.1%(9). The differences might be due to differences in climate, geographical location, socio-demographic features of the populations and sample size. The prevalence among food handlers probably reflects the prevalence in the general population. This is because of among 104 infected food handlers 95(23.80%) were no special food handling training was given.

The predominant parasite identified from the stool specimens in this study was positive for Ascarisis lumbricoides 29(7.3%), followed by Teniasis 20(5%) and Entamoebahistolytica 14(3.5%). This was inconsistent with the finding of a similar study conducted in Gondar University, Giardia lamblia was the most prevalent parasites 22(11%), followed by Ascarisis lumbricoides 13(6.5%) and Entamoeba histolytica 12(6%) (6).

According to our research finding, the odd of infection is 48% less likely in the participants who served for more than 10 years compared to that of participants who served for less than 1 year. But, according to the research done in southern part of Ethiopia, there was no association between year of service and prevalence of parasitic
infection (2). The risk of infection among the study participants who wash their hands only after food preparation is 1.37 times more likely to be infected when compared to respondents who wash their hands routinely. The habit of hand washing practice was similarly associated with infection rate according to research conducted in Arbaminch (2). Respondents who did not trim their fingers were 1.89 times more likely to be infected than that of the respondents who trimmed their fingers. This finding was similar with that of Arbaminch according to the report; parasitic infection rate was 2 times higher among the participants who didn’t trim their finger nails.

Conclusion

The study also revealed that majority of the food handlers did not wear gown, hair net, and did not have medical checkup either before or after assigning as food handlers. Therefore, much has to be done to improve the personal hygiene of the food handlers. Pre-placement and periodic screening of food handlers for parasites and practicing hygienic practices

Recommendation

Based on this study findings, training will be given to voluntary food handlers and owners of food and drink establishments in Collaboration with Mizan Aman town Trade and Industry office and culture and Tourism office.

Limitation Of The Study

The main limitation of this study was not able to include all intestinal parasites because of lack of specific reagent for specific parasites identification.
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