Shared Metabolic Profile of Caffeine in Parkinsonian Disorders
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ABSTRACT: Objective: The objective of this study was to determine comprehensive metabolic changes of caffeine in the serum of patients with parkinsonian disorders including Parkinson’s disease (PD), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and multiple system atrophy (MSA) and to compare this with healthy control serum. Methods: Serum levels of caffeine and its 11 downstream metabolites from independent double cohorts consisting of PD (n = 111, 160), PSP (n = 30, 19), MSA (n = 23, 17), and healthy controls (n = 43, 31) were examined by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. The association of each metabolite with clinical parameters and medication was investigated. Mutations in caffeine-associated genes were investigated by direct sequencing. Results: A total of 9 metabolites detected in more than 50% of participants in both cohorts were decreased in 3 parkinsonian disorders compared with healthy controls without any significant association with age at sampling, sex, or disease severity (Hoehn and Yahr stage and Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale motor section) in PD, and levodopa dose or levodopa equivalent dose in PSP and MSA. Of the 9 detected metabolites, 8 in PD, 5 in PSP, and 3 in MSA were significantly decreased in both cohorts even after normalizing to daily caffeine consumption. No significant genetic variations in CYP1A2 or CYP2E1 were detected when compared with controls. Conclusion: Serum caffeine metabolic profiles in 3 parkinsonian diseases show a high level of overlap, indicative of a common potential mechanism such as caffeine malabsorption from the small intestine, hypermetabolism, increased clearance of caffeine, and/or reduced caffeine consumption. © 2020 The Authors. Movement Disorders published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society.
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Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a common, progressive, neurodegenerative disease characterized by motor symptoms (including akinesia, resting tremor, and rigidity) as well as nonmotor symptoms. Progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) and multiple system atrophy (MSA) exhibit overlapping clinical motor symptoms of PD, which can cause difficulties in differential diagnosis. Although each disease has disease-specific pathological features such as neuronal Lewy bodies/neurites (PD), glial cytoplasmic inclusions (MSA), and neuronal tau accumulation (PSP), progressive nigral degeneration is a common manifestation of all these diseases, implying shared parkinsonian motor symptoms.

Caffeine is the most common psychostimulant and has attracted attention for its neuroprotective effects via inhibition of lipid peroxidation and reduction of reactive oxygen species production. The microbiome was changed by the administration of caffeine, and this was associated with the attenuation of inflammation. Studies using 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine animal models revealed its neuroprotective effects by protecting against the loss of striatal dopaminergic neurons by adenosine A2A receptor blockade. The ADORA2A gene encodes adenosine A2A receptors in dopaminergic neurons. Although A2A receptor mRNA was increased in the putamen of patients with PD and dyskinesia, the interactions of its polymorphisms and PD risk or their coffee consumption are still controversial, and the differences in its frequency between PD and controls were not detected in our previous study. Several epidemiological studies have shown risk reduction for developing PD, especially in males. After the onset of PD, patients with de novo PD and a higher caffeine intake suffer less disease progression when compared with those with a reduced intake. According to a daily caffeine intake of 200 mg twice a day was beneficial for motor symptoms in a short-term study of patients with PD, this result was not proven by a subsequent multicenter, long-term trial. Furthermore, based on previous studies showing decreased caffeine levels in the serum/plasma of patients with PD, we recently reported that serum caffeine and its 11 downstream metabolites were uniformly decreased without associations to disease severity or daily caffeine consumption amount, suggesting underlying pharmacokinetic differences in PD patients, such as malabsorption of caffeine. The neuroprotective effect of caffeine has been suggested in other neurodegenerative diseases, although comprehensive metabolic changes of caffeine in patients with MSA or PSP have not been reported.

### Methods

#### Participants

In the current study, we established the first cohort by random selection of patients with each disease and healthy controls (HCs) as a pilot study. After an analysis of the first cohort, we set up a second cohort to minimize the differences of age, sex, and daily caffeine consumption among the 4 groups. Participant characteristics in both the first and second cohorts are shown in Table 1. The first cohort included 111 patients with PD, 30 with PSP, 23 with MSA, and 43 HCs. In the second cohort, there were 160 patients with PD, 19 with PSP, 17 with MSA, and 31 HCs. All patients had no apparent family history of each disease and had been treated at Juntendo University Hospital (Tokyo, Japan). All HCs were recruited from spouses of patients, patients with hypertension or dyslipidemia treated with medication, and hospital/laboratory staff by poster advertisements in practice waiting

### TABLE 1. Participant characteristics in the first cohort

| Characteristic                  | PD   | PSP  | P Value vs. PD | P Value vs. HCs | MSA  | P Value vs. PD | P Value vs. HCs | HC   | P Value vs. PD |
|--------------------------------|------|------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|-----------------|------|----------------|
| Number                         | 111  | 30   | 0.847<sup>a</sup> | 0.244<sup>b</sup> | 0.166 | 0.464<sup>a</sup> | 17.26 | 0.188<sup>a</sup> |
| Sex, male:female               | 57:54| 16:14| 0.018<sup>b</sup> | 0.002<sup>b</sup> | 7.16 | 0.9676<sup>a</sup> | 130.9 | 0.0465<sup>b</sup> |
| Age, y, mean (SD)              | 67.1 (9.99) | 72.9 (6.44) | 0.0876<sup>b</sup> | 0.0001<sup>b</sup> | 62.5 (9.07) | 0.136<sup>b</sup> | 0.977<sup>b</sup> | 60.0 (14.8) | 0.0014<sup>b</sup> |
| Daily caffeine consumption, mg/day, mean (SD) | 100 (78.4) | 65.3 (59.8) | 0.0876<sup>b</sup> | 0.0001<sup>b</sup> | 102 (80.6) | 0.990<sup>b</sup> | 0.0740<sup>b</sup> | 150 (84.6) | 0.0014<sup>b</sup> |
| Constipation, %                | 72.1 | 55.2 | 0.0809<sup>a</sup> | 0.0246<sup>a</sup> | 86.4 | 0.160<sup>a</sup> | 0.0001<sup>a</sup> | 28.2 | <0.0001 |
| (Missing)                      | (0)  | (1)  | (1)            | (4)             | (1)  | (4)            | (4)             | (1)  | (1)            |
| Current smoker, %              | 4.67 | 0.00 | 0.228<sup>a</sup> | 0.0112<sup>a</sup> | 4.35 | 0.946<sup>a</sup> | 0.101<sup>a</sup> | 19.1 | 0.0052        |
| (Missing)                      | (4)  | (0)  | (0)            | (0)             | (0)  | (0)            | (0)             | (0)  | (0)            |
| Disease duration, y, mean (SD) | 6.34 (5.58) | 4.70 (2.79) | 0.523<sup>b</sup> | 3.26 (1.57) | 0.0187<sup>b</sup> | 0.9455<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> |
| LED, mg, mean (SD)             | 582 (358) | 589 (328) | 0.984<sup>b</sup> | 454 (463) | 0.0955<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> |
| Levodopa, mg, mean (SD)        | 367 (241) | 513 (275) | 0.0285<sup>b</sup> | 350 (309) | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> | 0.934<sup>b</sup> |

Total caffeine intake was calculated using the Food Society Commission of Japan guidelines. Caffeine content was assumed to be 60 mg per cup of coffee, 30 mg per cup of tea, and 20 mg per cup of green tea.

*P* value obtained by chi-square test.

*P* value obtained by Steel-Dwass test.

Abbreviations: PD, Parkinson’s disease; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; HC, healthy controls; MSA, multiple system atrophy; SD, standard deviation; LED, levodopa equivalent dose.
rooms; were free from any neurodegenerative disease; and used the same writing consent and explanation forms during the same period as patients. Two HC participants in each cohort had a relative with PD or parkinsonian syndrome. PD was diagnosed according to the Movement Disorder Society Clinical Diagnostic Criteria for Parkinson’s disease. PSP was diagnosed as possible or probable according to the Movement Disorder Society Criteria for Clinical Diagnosis of Progressive Supranuclear Palsy. MSA was diagnosed as possible or probable based on the consensus statement on the diagnosis of MSA by Gilman and colleagues. Hoehn and Yahr (H&Y) stages and Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale motor section (MDS-UPDRS Part III) scores were defined during the on phase because most patients were examined at outpatient clinics. Levodopa equivalent doses (LEDs) were calculated based on a previous report. All participants, including HCs, had no history of tumor/cancer, aspiration pneumonia, gastrointestinal diseases, liver or renal dysfunction detected by conventional blood chemistries, or collagen vascular diseases.

Caffeine Exposure Ascertainment

We used original self-administered questionnaires to obtain the current caffeine consumption amount. In the questionnaire, we asked what types of drinks they have and the mean amount of each drink they have per day. Of note, the questionnaire reflects the actual caffeine intake as previously reported. Caffeine concentration was assessed as 60 mg per cup of coffee, 30 mg per cup of tea, and 20 mg per cup of green tea using the Food Society Commission of Japan guidelines. We referred to product information for energy drinks or carbonated beverages containing caffeine. Decaffeinated beverages were not included in this study.

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

The study protocol complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of Juntendo University (no. 2015101). Written informed consent was provided by all participants.

Data Availability Statement

All data, including clinical characteristics and scales and experimental data (metabolites and genomic DNAs), will be provided in Microsoft Excel format upon request from the corresponding author (S.S.).

Sample Collection

Venous blood samples for laboratory analysis were collected between 9:00 AM and 12:00 PM at the outpatient department of Juntendo University Hospital. All participants were only allowed to have water and medicines from 0:00 AM until sampling to exclude the effect of caffeine intake immediately before the sampling, as the half-life of caffeine is 5 to 6 hours, and the trough caffeine concentration is steady. Compliance with this requirement was assessed by questioning before sampling. Serum samples were obtained using 8 mL INSEPACI tubes (Sekisui Medical, Tokyo, Japan) followed by 2 to 3 inversions and then stored at −80°C. Samples were rested for 30 to 60 minutes at 4°C followed by centrifugation for 15 minutes at 1710g. Blood samples from the HCs were also collected, stored, and processed under the identical conditions to those for the samples from the parkinsonian patients.

Sample Preparation

To measure levels of caffeine and its 11 downstream metabolites (theophylline, theobromine, paraxanthine, 1,7-dimethyluric acid, 1,3,7-trimethyluric acid, 1-methylxanthine, 3-methylxanthine, 7-methylxanthine, and 1,3-dimethyluric acid, 7-methylxanthine, and 5-acetylamino-6-formylamino-3-methyluracil [AAMU]) by LC–MS/MS and genomic DNA analysis, blood samples from the patients were used.

Caffeine and its 11 downstream metabolites were separated by LC–MS/MS (AB Sciex) using ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 columns (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm particle, Waters, Wilmslow, UK). The column temperature was set at 38°C. The LC–MS/MS system was connected to a QTRAP5500 mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA). Target compounds were analyzed in a selected reaction monitoring positive ionization mode.

Genomic DNA Analysis

At the same time as serum collection, DNA was extracted from peripheral blood according to a standard protocol using a Qiagen kit (Venlo, Netherlands). The Sanger method with an Applied Biosystems 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) was used to screen single nucleotide variations (SNVs) in genes for cytochrome P450 (CYP) 1A2 (CYP1A2) and cytochrome P 450 2E1 (CYP2E1).
the identified missense variants was assessed by the sorting intolerant from tolerant method. The frequencies of each variant were evaluated using the Genome Aggregation Database (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP13 (SAS Institute, Tokyo, Japan). Values under the limit of detection were replaced by \( \beta_{\text{MEAN}} \) calculated using the \( \beta \) substitution method using R version 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). \(^{37}\) The Steel-Dwass test is a nonparametric, multiple-comparison test and was used to examine participant characteristics and levels of caffeine and its metabolites in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA and HCs. One-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed using daily caffeine consumption amount as a covariate to exclude the effects of caffeine intake. Logistic regression analysis was performed to reveal the influence of sex, smoking, and alcohol. We performed ANCOVA to exclude the effects of age. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were used to examine the relationship between serum caffeine levels and participant clinical information. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Analyses of minor allele frequencies among all groups were performed by chi-square test using js-STAR version 9.7.0j (http://www.kisnet.or.jp/nappa/software/star/freq/chisq_ixj.htm#).

**Classification of Level of Evidence**

This study is rated class III because of the diagnostic case-control study design and risk of spectrum bias.

**Results**

**Participants**

Participant characteristics in the first and second cohorts are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. In the first cohort, patients with PSP were significantly older than patients with PD (\( P = 0.0187 \)), whereas caffeine intake was greater in HCs compared with patients with PD (\( P = 0.0014 \)) or PSP (\( P < 0.0001 \)). In addition, patients with MSA had a significantly shorter disease duration (\( P = 0.0187 \)) compared with patients with PD. Patients with PSP had a significantly lower dose of levodopa per day (\( P = 0.0285 \)) compared with patients with PD. In the second cohort, there were no significant differences in age, sex, or daily caffeine consumption among the 4 categories. No significant differences in levodopa dose or LED were detected among groups. Patients with MSA had a shorter disease duration compared with patients with PD. Among 160 patients with PD in the validation cohort, 58 patients exhibited motor fluctuations and 26 patients experienced levodopa-induced dyskinesia. In patients with PD, mean MDS-UPDRS Part III scores were 13.7 ± 9.87 and 17.0 ± 13.3 points, and the mean H&Y stage was 2.09 ± 0.829 (I, 27; II, 54; III, 23; IV, 6) and 2.09 ± 0.917 (I, 42; II, 76; III, 30; IV, 9; V, 3) in the first and second cohorts, respectively. Significantly higher percentages of patients with PD, PSP, and MSA suffered from constipation compared with HCs. Lower numbers of current smokers and habitual alcohol drinkers were reported in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA compared with HCs.

**TABLE 2. Participant characteristics in the second cohort**

| Characteristic                  | PD      | PD   | P Value vs. PD | P Value vs. HCs | MSA | P Value vs. PD | P Value vs. HCs | HC | P Value vs. PD |
|--------------------------------|---------|------|---------------|-----------------|-----|---------------|-----------------|----|---------------|
| Number                         | 160     | 19   |               |                 |     |               |                 | 31 |               |
| Sex, male:female               | 63.97   | 8.11 | 0.818^a       |                 |     | 4.13          | 0.200^b         | 15.16 | 0.350^b       |
| Age, y, mean (SD)              | 67.1 (9.17) | 70.9 (7.37) | 0.410^a       | 0.963^a         | 63.4 (10.2) | 0.380^a         | 0.299^a         | 68.6 (11.1) | 0.792^a       |
| Daily caffeine consumption, mg/day, mean (SD) | 104 (69.0) | 88.9 (89.0) | 0.520^a       | 0.441^b         | 79.4 (69.4) | 0.478^b         | 0.431^b         | 116 (77.1) | 0.914^a       |
| Constipation, %                | 68.4    | 63.2 | 0.647^a       | 0.0017^a        | 82.4 | 0.233^a       | 0.0001^a        | 19.4 | <0.0001^b     |
| (missing)                      | (2)     | (0)  |               |                 | (0) |               |                 | (0) |               |
| Current smoke, %               | 2.50    | 0.00 | 0.486^a       | 0.103^a         | 0.00 | 0.510^a       | 0.122^a         | 12.9 | 0.0081^a      |
| Habitual alcohol drinking, %   | 24.4    | 10.6 | 0.404^a       | 0.0544^a        | 5.88 | 0.0830^a      | 0.0086^a        | 41.9 | 0.0444^a      |
| Disease duration, y, mean (SD)  | 6.39 (4.53) | 4.32 (2.14) | 0.180^b       | 3.71 (2.08)     | 0.0238^b |               |                 |     |               |
| LED, mg, mean (SD)             | 599 (318) | 583 (395) | 0.999^b       | 449 (372)       | 0.200^b |               |                 |     |               |
| Levodopa, mg, mean (SD)        | 394 (209) | 511 (344) | 0.229^b       | 376 (282)       | 0.940^b |               |                 |     |               |

Caffeine intake was calculated using the Food Society Commission of Japan guidelines. Habitual alcohol drinking was defined as more than 20 g of ethanol intake more than 3 days per week according to Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

^aP value obtained by chi-square test.

^bP value obtained by Steel-Dwass test.

Abbreviations: PD, Parkinson’s disease; PSP, progressive supranuclear palsy; HC, healthy controls; MSA, multiple system atrophy; SD, standard deviation; LED, levodopa equivalent dose.
Serum Levels of Caffeine and Caffeine Metabolites

In the first cohort, levels of 3,7-dimethyluric acid, 1,3,7-trimethyluric acid, and 1,3-dimethyluric acid were under the limit of detection in 85.3%, 69.0%, and 96.2% of participants, respectively (data not shown). Consequently, we were unable to determine their statistical significance. As shown in Table 3, the serum caffeine level was significantly lower in patients with PD and PSP, and levels of all caffeine metabolites were significantly decreased in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA compared with HCs. We compared each metabolite under normalization of daily caffeine consumption by ANCOVA because HCs had significantly higher daily caffeine intake levels than patients with PD and PSP, but not patients with MSA (Table 4). The statistical significance of each metabolite, except for theobromine and 3-methylxanthine, between patients with PD or PSP and HCs under normalized conditions of caffeine intake was confirmed (caffeine, F = 24.8, P < 0.0001 in PD vs. HC and F = 14.4, P = 0.0003 in PSP vs. HC). Although significantly decreased levels of all metabolites were identified in patients with PSP compared with patients with PD (Table 3), only levels of theophylline (F = 4.92, P = 0.0282), theobromine (F = 4.20, P = 0.0424), 7-methylxanthine (F = 6.27, P = 0.0135), and AAMU (F = 4.83, P = 0.0296) were significantly decreased in patients with PSP with normalized with caffeine intake, implying limited practical utility for differential diagnosis (Table 4). Although caffeine metabolic profiles were uniformly decreased in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA compared with HCs, this decreased tendency was particularly evident in patients with PSP.

In the second cohort, 3,7-dimethyluric acid and 1,3,7-trimethyluric acid levels were under the limit of detection in 90.9% and 73.5% of participants, respectively, and could not be assessed statistically (data not shown). Levels of caffeine and 5 downstream metabolites (theophylline, paraxanthine, 1,3-trimethyl uric acid, 1,7-dimethyluric acid, and AAMU) were significantly lower in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA compared with HCs (Table 5). Levels of 1-methyloxanthine were significantly decreased in patients with PD and MSA only. No significantly decreased levels of 3-methyloxanthine and 7-methyloxanthine were detected in any disease. All examined metabolites that tended to be decreased in the 3 parkinsonian disorders compared with HCs were confirmed in the second cohort. However, this decreased tendency was smaller in patients with PSP, which was not consistent with the results of the first cohort. In addition, in the second cohort, patients with PD, PSP, or MSA tended to take less caffeine than HCs without significant differences (Table 2). Similar to the analysis of the first cohort, ANCOVA using daily caffeine intake as a covariate revealed decreased levels of all 10 metabolites in the 3 parkinsonian disorders.

### Table 3. Alterations in levels of caffeine and its downstream metabolites in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA and HCs in the first cohort

| Compound Name | Mean ± SE μmol/L | P Value vs. PD | Mean ± SE μmol/L | P Value vs. HC | LLD | Sample Number |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----|---------------|
| Caffeine       | 2.45 ± 0.241     | <0.0001        | 1.16 ± 0.136     | <0.0001        | 5   | 3              |
| Theophylline   | 0.539 ± 0.255    | <0.0001        | 0.291 ± 0.103    | <0.0001        | 6   | 3              |
| Theobromine    | 2.27 ± 0.241     | <0.0001        | 0.103 ± 0.043    | <0.0001        | 5   | 3              |
| Paraxanthine   | 2.43 ± 0.241     | <0.0001        | 0.067 ± 0.021    | <0.0001        | 5   | 3              |
| 1,7-Dimethyluric acid | 0.140 ± 0.060 | <0.0001 | 0.041 ± 0.020 | <0.0001 | 7   | 3              |
| 1-Methylxanthine | 0.176 ± 0.040 | <0.0001 | 0.070 ± 0.010 | <0.0001 | 10  | 5              |
| 7-Methylxanthine | 0.526 ± 0.041 | <0.0001 | 0.280 ± 0.054 | <0.0001 | 10  | 5              |
| AAMU           | 0.526 ± 0.041    | <0.0001        | 0.280 ± 0.054    | <0.0001        | 10  | 5              |
(Supplementary Table 1). When combined with results of the first cohort (Table 4), of 9 metabolites detected in more than 50% of the participants in both cohorts, 8 in PD, 5 in PSP, and 3 in MSA were significantly reduced compared with HCs.

### Association of Caffeine Metabolites With Clinical Parameters and Medication

Because patients with PD, PSP, and MSA commonly suffered from significantly more episodes of constipation compared with HCs, we examined the relationship between clinical parameters (disease severity, disease duration, constipation) and levels of serum caffeine and its metabolites in the second cohort (Supplementary Table 2). In PD, caffeine levels showed a slight negative correlation with H&Y (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, \( \rho = -0.215, P = 0.0063 \)) and MDS-UPDRS Part III (\( \rho = -0.250, P = 0.0015 \)). Correlation coefficients of other downstream metabolites of caffeine with H&Y or MDS-UPDRS Part III ranged from \(-0.294 to 0.0076\), suggesting similar slight correlations, consistent with our previous study.\(^{18}\) Disease duration also showed a significant but weak correlation with caffeine (\( \rho = -0.272, P = 0.0005 \)) and its downstream metabolite levels. There were no significant differences in caffeine levels between patients with PD with or without constipation (\( P = 0.681 \)). No notable differences in downstream metabolites were detected (Supplementary Table 3).

We also examined the correlation between anti-parkinsonian drugs and caffeine in the second cohort because all patients with PD were under dopaminergic treatment (Supplementary Table 4). Accordingly, both LED and levodopa in PD showed a significant inverse correlation with serum levels of caffeine (LED, \( \rho = -0.313, P < 0.0001 \); levodopa, \( \rho = -0.244, P = 0.0019 \)) and some of its metabolites. There were no significant correlations between LED or levodopa dose in patients with PSP or MSA and each metabolite.

### Association of Caffeine Metabolites With Age and Sex

Because of the significant differences in age among patients with PD and PSP and HCs in the first cohort (Table 1), we performed an ANCOVA between each pair of groups using age as a covariate. Significant differences between the 3 disease groups and HCs did not change between before and after normalization (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 5, respectively). An ANCOVA performed in the second cohort showed similar results (Table 5, Supplementary Table 6). Levels of caffeine between patients with PSP and HCs (\( P = 0.0568 \)) and those of theophylline and 1,3-dimethyluric acid between patients with MSA and HCs (\( P = 0.0681 \) and 0.180, respectively) were not significant.

Sex ratios in both cohorts were not fully matched and were without significant differences. Correlations of caffeine intake with each metabolite concentration were not significantly different between sexes (Supplementary Table 7), revealing sex has no significant effect on the association between caffeine intake and each analyte level.

### Association of Caffeine Metabolites With Smoking and Habitual Alcohol Drinking

The half-life of caffeine is shortened by smoking and prolonged by alcohol.\(^ {35} \) We used logistic regression models to reveal the effects on the association between caffeine intake and each analyte in PD patients in the second cohort (Supplementary Table 8). Smoking or alcohol had no significant effect on the association between daily caffeine intake and each analyte level. We could not perform the same analysis in patients with PSP and MSA because of sample size limitations.

### Analysis of Genes Associated With Caffeine Metabolism

Based on the publicly available Genome Aggregation Database and our previous study, we identified 5 CYP1A2
In the current study, we identified significantly decreased levels of caffeine in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA in both cohorts. Four downstream metabolites (theophylline, paraxanthine, 1,7-dimethyluric acid, and AAMU) were significantly suppressed in all 3 diseases in both cohorts. Even in the first cohort with an unequal age distribution across the 3 diseases, 6 downstream metabolites (theophylline, paraxanthine, 1,7-dimethyluric acid, 1-methylxanthine, and 7-methylxanthine, and AAMU) were consistently decreased in all parkinsonian disorders. Even after normalizing to daily caffeine consumption, the decreased tendency in all parkinsonian disorders was preserved, and 8 of 9 metabolites in patients with PD, 5 of 9 in patients with PSP, and 3 of 9 in patients with MSA were significantly decreased compared with HCs.

Compared with our previous report analyzing caffeine and its 11 downstream metabolites, the current study excluded 5-acetylaminoformaldehyde-3-methyluracil measurements because it is unstable related to its spontaneous conversion to AAMU in the absence of enzymic activity. In our previous report, caffeine and its 9 downstream metabolites (theophylline, theobromine, paraxanthine, 1,7-dimethyluric acid, 1-methylxanthine, 3-methylxanthine, 7-methylxanthine, 5-acetylamino-6-formylamino-3-methyluracil, and AAMU) were significantly decreased in patients with PD compared with HCs. As shown in Tables 3–5 and Supplementary Table 1, we confirmed a similar caffeine metabolic profile in patients with PD of both cohorts, indicating the high reliability of this double cohort study based on the reproducibility of our previous study.

Theobromine levels were consistently decreased in all 3 diseases, but in patients with PD (first cohort), patients with PSP (second cohort), and patients with MSA (second cohort) they were not significant. Levels of theobromine, a principle alkaloid contained in various foods including Theobroma cacao (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/5429), might be affected by internal caffeine metabolism and exogenous intake because dietary intake of theobromine was not matched among the groups.

Although patients with PD or MSA were reported to drink lower amounts of coffee, this is controversial. In the first cohort, caffeine consumption in patients with PD and PSP was lower compared with HCs, and a similar nonsignificant tendency was detected in patients with MSA. However, we confirmed decreased levels of caffeine and its metabolites in the 3 diseases under conditions normalized by daily caffeine

---

### TABLE 5. Alterations in caffeine and its downstream metabolite levels in patients with PD, PSP, and MSA and HCs in the second cohort

| Compound Name | Mean ± SE, μmol/L | LLD | P Value vs. PD | P Value vs. HCs | P Value vs. MSA | SNVs | 3 CYP2E1 SNVs associated with caffeine metabolism |
|---------------|------------------|-----|---------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Caffeine      | 2.95 ± 2.95      | 5   | <0.0001       | 0.82           | 0.194          |      | 5 SNVs                                          |
| Theophylline  | 0.57 ± 0.09      | 2   | 0.0099        | 0.0099         | 0.0099         |      |                                                 |
| Theobromine   | 2.37 ± 0.17      | 2   | <0.0001       | <0.0001        | <0.0001        |      |                                                 |
| Paraxanthine  | 1.57 ± 1.57      | 4   | <0.0001       | 0.0099         | 0.0099         |      |                                                 |
| 1,7-Dimethyluric acid | 1.71 ± 0.17 | 2   | <0.0001       | <0.0001        | <0.0001        |      |                                                 |
| 1-Methylxanthine | 0.17 ± 0.17 | 4   | <0.0001       | 0.0099         | 0.0099         |      |                                                 |
| AAMU          | 0.55 ± 0.09      | 4   | <0.0001       | 0.0099         | 0.0099         |      |                                                 |

*P values versus PD are shown first, followed by those versus HCs. Values below the LLD were calculated using the χ²-distribution method. Each number in the LLD cells shows each sample number below LLD. LLD: lower limits of detection.*
consumption in the first cohort. Although similar results under conditions normalized by daily caffeine consumption in the second cohort were reported, especially in patients with PD, the statistical significance was lower in patients with PSP or MSA compared with HCs, possibly because of the limited sample numbers of patients with PSP and MSA in the second cohort (n = 19 and 17, respectively).

Similarly decreased levels of caffeine and its downstream metabolites in the 3 diseases suggests a common disease pathway that might involve caffeine malabsorption, its hypermetabolism, and/or increased renal clearance. In the current study, mild but significant correlations between levodopa or LED and each absolute concentration of caffeine and its metabolites were detected in both cohorts (Supplementary Table 4). Caffeine is passively absorbed from the lumen into the small intestinal mucosa.43 Levodopa is also absorbed from the small intestine by transporters including amino acid transporter-related to b0,+ amino acid transporter (b0,+ AT-RBAT), L-amino acid transporter-4F2 heavy chain (LAT2-4F2hc), and T-type amino acid transporter (TAT1),44 without reported evidence of a direct molecular interaction between caffeine and levodopa and competitive binding of caffeine with the transporters. Considering the common profile of caffeine metabolites among the 3 diseases, we cannot exclude the possibility that levodopa might be absorbed competitively with caffeine. In terms of hypermetabolism of caffeine and its metabolites, haptic CYP1A2, CYP3A4, or CYP3A5 catabolize levodopa and caffeine.38,45 Caffeine metabolism is influenced by many drugs, especially those affecting the activity of CYP1A2 via autoinduction.46 Thus, the upregulated expression of CYPs by administration of levodopa or other antiparkinsonian drugs in the 3 diseases might lead to the collateral hypermetabolism of caffeine.

The gut–brain axis might be a potential mechanism related to PD that affects infection, neuroinflammation, and the spread of alpha-synuclein.47 Gut microbiome profiles are changed in patients with PD compared with HCs.48–50 Likewise, the profiles of patients with PSP and MSA are similar to those of patients with PD.50,51 Although the small intestinal microbiome fluctuated more easily in response to the latest diet trends compared with the gut microbiome,52 the small intestinal microbiome may have a common pathogenic tendency that affects chemical absorption in the 3 diseases.53

Caffeine metabolism or renal excretion of caffeine might be increased in the 3 diseases. Oral caffeine is absorbed completely and metabolized exclusively in the liver, and metabolites are excreted in urine, with <3% of caffeine unmetabolized. Fasting caffeine concentration reflects caffeine clearance via the liver.53,54 Despite no significant differences in the frequency of SNVs associated with caffeine metabolism, epigenetic and/or environmental alteration might affect hepatic or renal functions in patients with parkinsonian disorders.

Our results suggest a possible reason why oral caffeine intake in the Café-PD study was not beneficial for the motor symptoms of patients with PD despite evidence for caffeine efficacy against motor symptoms.26 Caffeine might have a better outcome if other routes of administration, such as transdermal, sublingual, intravenous, or transrectal, are used.

The study had some limitations. First, it was conducted at a single university hospital and severe cases of PD (H&Y IV and V) were not fully represented because of our strict exclusion criteria. PD, PSP, and MSA were diagnosed clinically without pre/postsynaptic imaging or pathological confirmation with the inclusion of possible PSP and possible MSA (Tables 1 and 2). The number of patients with PSP or MSA were limited. Although there were differences in caffeine intake and age at sampling among patients with PD and PSP and HCs in both cohorts, decreased levels of caffeine and its downstream metabolites were confirmed under their normalized conditions. We also confirmed sex, smoking, and alcohol had no significant effects on levels of each metabolite. We could not exclude the possibility that exogenous chemicals contained in coffee or green tea might have affected caffeine metabolism or excretion because of technical limitations of the measurement system using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry.

We confirmed a uniform decrease of caffeine and its downstream metabolites in PD and identified their consistent decrease in PSP and MSA. Our data suggest that this set of metabolites would not be useful for the differential diagnosis of these diseases. However, a common mechanism such as malabsorption or increased metabolism/clearance of caffeine may underlie the 3 parkinsonian diseases.
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