Structure-Guided Engineering of Molinate Hydrolase for the Degradation of Thiocarbamate Pesticides
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Abstract

Molinate is a recalcitrant thiocarbamate used to control grass weeds in rice fields. The recently described molinate hydrolase, from Gulosibacter molinativorax ON4T, plays a key role in the only known molinate degradation pathway ending in the formation of innocuous compounds. Here we report the crystal structure of recombinant molinate hydrolase at 2.27 Å. The structure reveals a homotetramer with a single mononuclear metal-dependent active site per monomer. The active site architecture shows similarities with other amidohydrolases and enables us to propose a general acid-base catalysis mechanism for molinate hydrolysis. Molinate hydrolase is unable to degrade bulkier thiocarbamate pesticides such as thiobencarb which is used mostly in rice crops. Using a structural-based approach, we were able to generate a mutant (Arg187Ala) that efficiently degrades thiobencarb. The engineered enzyme is suitable for the development of a broader thiocarbamate bioremediation system.

Introduction

Molinate (S-ethyl azepane-1-carbothioate) is one of the most intractable thiocarbamates [1] and is extensively used worldwide to control grass weeds in rice crops. Most of the known pathways for molinate degradation lead to the formation of partially oxidized metabolites, which are more toxic and persistent than the parent compound [2]. So far, there is only one described microbial system able to degrade molinate to innocuous compounds: a five strong bacteria consortium from which Gulosibacter molinativorax ON4T is responsible for the initial breakdown of the herbicide by cleaving its thioester bond, releasing ethanethiol and azepane-1-carboxylate (ACA) [3, 4]. This reaction is catalyzed by molinate hydrolase (MolA) [5]. For molinate concentrations over 2 mM and in the absence of other members of the bacterial consortium,
G. molinativorax ON4T growth is hindered, due to the accumulation of sulphur compounds, namely ethanethiol [3, 4]. By contrast, in the presence of other consortium members able to degrade the sulphur compounds, mineralization of the herbicide occurs even when the initial molinate concentration is close to its solubility (4 mM). Due to its role in the aforementioned molinate degradation pathway, a bioremediation tool with molinate hydrolase presents great value for environmental decontamination.

Molinate hydrolase is a recently characterized metal-dependent enzyme [5]. Following successful identification and cloning of its encoding gene, recombinant molinate hydrolase was found to be cobalt-dependent, with zinc and manganese also able to confer activity to the enzyme [5]. Biochemical studies revealed that recombinant molinate hydrolase presents maximum activity at pH 7.5 and 30°C, showing very similar kinetic properties to native molinate hydrolase [5]. One of the most critical aspects of an enzyme is its substrate specificity. Alongside with molinate, other thiocarbamates are also used as pesticides. Similarly to molinate, thiofencarb is applied to rice crops (Fig 1) [2]. Molinate hydrolase proved to be unable to degrade other thiocarbamates such as thiofencarb, regardless of protein and/or substrate concentration [5], probably due to a steric effect. Therefore, the determination of the enzyme three-dimensional structure is of key importance for an eventual modulation of the enzyme specificity by site-directed mutagenesis.

Herein we present the crystal structure of molinate hydrolase. This allowed us to theorize a mechanism for molinate binding and consequent hydrolysis at the thioester bond, which constitutes a rare catalytic mechanism. We also demonstrate that molinate hydrolase is inhibited by ethanethiol, explaining the inhibition of Gulosibacter molinativorax ON4T growth when this molinate hydrolysis product accumulates.

The disclosure of the crystal structure of the enzyme was crucial for the generation of single mutants that catalyse the degradation of other thiocarbamate pesticides, namely thiofencarb.
Results and Discussion

The overall crystal structure of molinate hydrolase

As molinate hydrolase shares similarity with other metal-dependent hydrolases, the metal dependence of this enzyme was shown in a previous report [5], where it was determined a metal ion / monomer ratio of 1.1 ± 0.2 by both ICP-AES and ICP-MS. Incubation with chelating agents rendered an inactive form, which could be reactivated through the addition of divalent metal ions [5]. However, despite of several attempts, crystallization trials yielded crystals of the metal-depleted amidohydrolase. These results suggest that molinate hydrolase loses the catalytic ion during crystallization trials, a event that was reported before for other amidohydrolases [6]. Thus, we produced the SeMet-mutant and determined the three-dimensional structure of the enzyme by the single wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD) technique using the anomalous signal from the selenium atoms. Later on we solved a crystal structure partially loaded with one of the potential catalytic metal ions, Zn$^{2+}$, by co-crystallizing the recombinant enzyme with ZnCl$_2$. The position of the metal ions was determined from single wavelength anomalous diffraction data collection at the Zn absorption K-edge. The anomalous signal was modest but the top positive peaks in the anomalous difference Fourier map were located in equivalent positions in each monomer and were consistent with metal coordination geometry and with the position of the metal ions in structure-related amidohydrolases. Nevertheless we performed site-directed mutagenesis of the metal-coordinating residues. Inactive mutants were obtained, confirming the structure of the active site. The Zn ions occupation was set to 0.4 and the overall structure was similar to the one of the metal–free enzyme (root-mean-squared-distance r.m.s. d. of the superimposed structures is 0.22 Å). The active site structure was also not perturbed by the depletion of the catalytic metal ion.

Good diffracting crystals of recombinant molinate hydrolase were obtained in two distinct crystal systems, orthorhombic and monoclinic, with 4 and 8 monomers in the asymmetric unit, respectively. No significant differences were observed between the molinate hydrolase three-dimensional structures in the two crystal systems (r.m.s.d. of the superimposed structures is 0.17 Å).

The structure of molinate hydrolase consists of a homotetramer (Fig 2) composed of two symmetry-related dimers with a $67^\circ$ tilt angle between them. The two dimers are assembled

![Fig 2. Overall structure of recombinant molinate hydrolase homotetramer. The monomers are coloured individually and the zinc cofactors are represented by orange spheres.]( doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123430.g002)
into the active enzyme by interactions involving the C-termini and α-helices. Within the dimer, the monomers are tightly bound, mostly through extensive interactions involving the residues in the loops connecting the α-helices. Each monomer binds a single divalent metal and is formed mostly by α-helixes (Fig 3). They contain also a β-sandwich domain characterized by a four-stranded and a three-stranded antiparallel opposing β-sheets, and two short three-stranded parallel β-sheets.

The most notable feature about the structure of molinate hydrolase is the absence of the 8-stranded β-barrel characteristic of the amidohydrolase superfamily. Despite the positional similarity of the active site when compared to other amidohydrolases, as will be detailed later, only six β-strands are conserved, forming two β-sheets. Those β-sheets are arranged to resemble an incomplete β-barrel, as can be observed after superposition with other amidohydrolases (Fig 4), such as N-acetyl glucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase from Thermotoga maritima (PDB entry: 1o12; [6]). Both enzymes share high similarities in the active site geometry as will be discussed below.

The structure of the active site

The catalytic metal is coordinated by His282 and His302 (residues are numbered according to the recombinant protein sequence, including a 32 amino acid purification tag), which are hydrogen-bonded to the main chain carbonyl groups of Asp245 and Thr283, respectively, as well as three ordered water molecules. The water molecules are in turn hydrogen-bound to Lys240, His246 and Asp373 (Fig 5). His282 and His302 are located in the loops after strands β5 and β6, respectively, while Lys240 is in strand β4 and His246 and Asp373 belong to loops that follow the β-sheet assembly.

Mutagenesis confirmed that each of the metal coordinating residues, His282 and His302, as well as Lys240, which is hydrogen-bonded to a coordinating water molecule, play a role in the enzyme activity. Single point mutations to alanine of the three residues yielded inactive forms of the enzyme, supporting a catalytic role for the zinc ions found in the crystal structure. The
circular dichroism spectra of the mutants were undistinguishable from the spectrum of the recombinant enzyme, confirming that the mutants were properly folded (Fig 6).

Molinate hydrolase belongs to the amidohydrolase superfamily [5] and displays >40% amino acid sequence homology with the herbicide degrading phenylurea hydrolases PhuA and PhuB [7]. His$_a$-X-His$_b$-X$_{83-140}$-Lys-X$_{25-70}$-His$_c$-X$_{18-25}$-His$_d$-X$_{52-143}$-Asp is a common metal binding motif of amidohydrolases [6, 8, 9]. It comprises two metal binding sites, the so-called M$_\alpha$ site coordinated by the two imidazole side chains of His$_a$-X-His$_b$ and the M$_\beta$ site ligated by histidines His$_c$-X$_{18-25}$-His$_d$; additionally, there is a highly conserved aspartate that either coordinates or is hydrogen-bonded to a hydrolytic water ligated to metal M$_\beta$. Within this enzyme superfamily there are, however, examples of mononuclear and binuclear metal centres [6]. The binuclear metal centres are characterized by the simultaneous interaction of a carboxylated lysine or a glutamate with both metal ions, which are usually 3.6 Å apart.

The molinate hydrolase structure revealed that the aforementioned lysine residue is definitely not carboxilated and that one of the histidines of the M$_\alpha$ site is substituted by an asparagine, as previously reported [5]. These observations, together with the lack of a positive electron density at the M$_\alpha$ site in the anomalous difference map calculated from diffraction data measured at the zinc absorption edge K, led us to conclude that molinate hydrolase has one catalytic metal ion per monomer located at the M$_\beta$ site. As seen in Fig 7A, the divalent metal is pentacoordinated by His282, His302 and three water molecules. The water molecules

![Fig 4. β-sheet arrangement around the catalytic site. Overlay of the β-strands around the catalytic metal of molinate hydrolase (pink) and N-acetyl glucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase (green; PDB entry: 1o126), an enzyme that shows the classic β-barrel core (green) of the amidohydrolase superfamily; the usual β-strand numbering of the β-barrel is presented. The C$_\alpha$ of the metal coordinating residues were used for structure superposition. Catalytic metals are shown as spheres (zinc orange; iron yellow).](image-url)
are stabilized by Lys240, His246 and Asp373, respectively. This active site geometry is highly similar to that in N-acetyl glucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase (AGD) from *T. maritima* (PDB file entry: 1o12; [6]), which has a single iron ion coordinated by two histidines (His176

![Fig 5. The active site of recombinant molinate hydrolase (detailed view; background in cartoon representation; highlighted residues in stick representation, with carbon backbone in cyan, oxygen red and nitrogen dark blue; the Zn cofactor is shown as an orange sphere and water molecules as red spheres). The anomalous difference map computed from the diffraction data measured at the Zinc absorption edge K and contoured at 4σ is shown in orange mesh, and the 2Fo-Fc electron density map at 2σ is drawn as a blue mesh around the side chains of the active site residues.](image)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123430.g005

are stabilized by Lys240, His246 and Asp373, respectively. This active site geometry is highly similar to that in N-acetyl glucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase (AGD) from *T. maritima* (PDB file entry: 1o12; [6]), which has a single iron ion coordinated by two histidines (His176

![Fig 6. Circular dichroism spectra of the recombinant molinate hydrolase and of the mutants Lys240Ala, His282Ala and His302Ala.](image)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123430.g006
and His197), a glutamate residue (Glu115) and two water molecules (Fig 7B). Glu115 appears to be positioned as a potential bridging residue between two catalytic metal ions and, in fact, in the AGD homologue from *Bacillus subtilis* (PDB file entry: 1un7[10]) there is a second metal ion at the $M_\alpha$ site.

### The catalytic mechanism

Molinate hydrolase shares strong similarities with phenylurea hydrolases regarding the active site geometry and the pH dependence of kinetic parameters, i.e., a maximum enzyme activity between pH 6.5 and 8.5 [5, 7]. Thus, it is rather plausible that this enzyme follows the consensual catalytic mechanism characterized by a nucleophilic hydroxide, a metal-bound water molecule and an attack to the carbonyl group while a catalytic acid residue protonates the amide nitrogen—in our rare case the thiol sulphur atom [8, 9, 11] (Fig 8). The protonated intermediate is then decomposed by the cleavage of the C-N (C-S, in our case) bond.

### Product inhibition

The effect of reaction products (azepane-1-carboxilate and ethanethiol) on molinate hydrolase activity was followed by an HPLC assay performed in the presence of different concentrations...
of those compounds. As shown in Fig 9, azepane-1-carboxylate does not have any effect, while ethanethiol inhibits molinate hydrolase. This inhibitory effect is likely to occur due to the interaction of the thiol group with the metal ion of the active site. This hypothesis was tested and confirmed by the observation that cysteine also inhibits the enzyme activity, while serine and methionine do not. In addition, other thiolated compounds, such as β-mercaptoethanol, also inhibited molinate hydrolase, suggesting that the coordination of the thiol group with the catalytic metal renders the enzyme inactive. Thus, considering a mechanism of competitive enzymatic inhibition, the inhibition constant, $K_i$, was determined to be 0.30 mM (GraphPad Prism version 6.00).

The decrease in enzyme activity when this product accumulates is in agreement with the behaviour of *G. molinativorax* ON4T. In previous studies it was demonstrated that this organism is not able to grow on molinate in axenic culture at molinate concentrations over 2 mM, due to the toxic effect of ethanethiol [3, 4]. At higher molinate concentrations, *G. molinativorax* ON4T only grows in the presence of other consortium members, which are able to degrade ethanethiol, and thus are responsible for the removal of this sulphur compound [3, 4].

**Structure-guided engineering of molinate hydrolase**

Molinate hydrolase, like many other amidohydrolases, has the active site access restricted by the many loops that link the β-strands and α-helixes that surround the catalytic site. Some of these loops contain bulky residues that may act as gatekeepers. We selected Arg187, Phe253 and Phe346 as the most promising residues to play this role (Fig 10). Single point mutations to alanine of the three residues were produced.
The activity of the aforementioned single mutants towards the degradation of molinate and thiobencarb was assessed at 25°C, pH 7.4 (Fig 11). Mutant Phe346Ala was unable to degrade molinate (data not shown). The other two mutants catalyzed the reaction although with distinct kinetics, Arg187Ala was significantly more efficient than Phe253Ala. When compared to the recombinant enzyme activity [5] and analyzed by the Michaelis-Menten model, Arg187Ala shows lower affinity towards molinate (K_m = 2.37 mM against K_m = 0.275 mM from the recombinant) while the turnover number remains very similar (Arg187Ala: kcat = 38.1 min^{-1} and recombinant: kcat = 39.7 min^{-1}).

Thiobencarb is not processed by molinate hydrolase (Fig 11) as we have reported earlier [5]. By contrast, both mutants Arg187Ala and Phe253Ala degraded this thiocarbamate. Phe253Ala was consistently less efficient than Arg187Ala mutant, which may be due to a depletion of the catalytic metal associated with this specific mutation. Mutant Arg187Ala showed a kcat / K_m ratio of 44.2 ± 6.5 mM^{-1}min^{-1} which is in the same order of magnitude of the correspondent value obtained with molinate, an indication that Arg187Ala catalyses the reaction of both substrates (molinate and thiobencarb) with similar efficiency. Due to the low solubility of thiobencarb it was not possible to increase the substrate concentration to enable the accurate estimation of kcat.

We focused our study on thiobencarb because it is also applied in rice fields and is structurally diverse from molinate. Moreover, thiobencarb is a chlorinated thiocarbamate, a particular class that attracts high environmental concerns. Herein, we confirmed that the Arg187Ala mutant is able to degrade both thiocarbamates. Hence, both recombinant and Arg187Ala mutant enzymes are excellent prospective candidates for application in the bioremediation of rice field runoff water.
Conclusions

In summary, we determined the crystal structure of molinate hydrolase, which allowed us to propose a catalytic mechanism for the reaction of molinate in light of the general structural and catalytic accumulated knowledge within the amidohydrolase superfamily. Furthermore, we disclosed why molinate excess in axenic G. molinativorax ON4T culture inhibits its growth; molinate hydrolase is inhibited by ethanethiol, which is one of the products of molinate hydrolysis. The free thiol groups show propensity to occupy the free coordination sites of the catalytic metal.

The knowledge of the enzyme three-dimensional structure allowed us to design mutants able to degrade other thiocarbamates. One mutant was identified, Arg187Ala, that is able to catalyze the reaction with at least molinate and thiobencarb. The engineered specificity modulation seems to be accompanied by a decline of the affinity towards the original substrate, molinate. Nevertheless, mutant Arg187Ala can efficiently degrade structurally different
thiocarbamates, thus paving the way for the development of a multi-herbicide decontamination system.

**Materials and Methods**

**Production and purification of recombinant and mutant molinate hydrolase**

To express the recombinant SeMet variant, the biomass of a freshly streaked plate from a fresh transformation of *E. coli* B834 (DE3) with expression vector pASKmolA (obtained as previously described [5], was resuspended and grown for 2 h, at 37°C, 130 rpm, in 5 mL of LB medium containing 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin; appropriate amounts of the starter culture were used to inoculate the main culture (minimal media) in order to have an initial OD₆₀₀nm of 0.06.

For the expression of recombinant molinate hydrolase and mutant forms, *E. coli* JM109 or B834 (DE3) were transformed with expression vectors pASKmolA and pASKmolAmt240/pASKmolAmt282/pASKmolAmt302/pASKmolAmt187/pASKmolAmt253/pASKmolAmt346 (according to the mutated residue to alanine). The biomass from a freshly streaked plate was resuspended in 5 ml LB medium supplemented with 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin. Each resuspended plate was used to inoculate 1 L of main culture (LB with 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin).

Main culture, identical for all expressed forms of the protein, was grown at 30°C, 130 rpm until OD₆₀₀nm of 0.7; at that point, cells were subjected to cold shock and temperature was lowered to 15°C. Induction was performed at OD₆₀₀nm of 1.0 with 0.2 μg ml⁻¹ anhydrotetracyclin. Cells were harvested (3985 x g, 20 minutes, 4°C, Avanti J26XPI, Beckman Coulter, rotor JLA 8.1000) after 18–20 h of incubation at 15°C with 130 rpm orbital shaking.

Purification protocol was also common to all expressed forms of molinate hydrolase. The cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer (10 ml per litre of culture; 150 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0; 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride; 20 μg ml⁻¹ DNase I; 250 μg ml⁻¹ lysozyme) and stored at -20°C. Cells were disrupted by two passages through a FRENCH Press (Thermo Scientific). Unbroken cells and cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 34 895 x g, 4°C, 45 min (Avanti J26XPI, Beckman Coulter, rotor JA 25.50). Recombinant molinate hydrolase was purified from cleared lysate using a Strep-Tactin Sepharose gravity flow column (IBA) with a 2 ml column volume. Column was washed using Tris HCl 100 mM, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 and lysate loaded at 0.5 ml min⁻¹; 1 ml fractions were eluted at 1 ml min⁻¹ with Tris HCl 100 mM, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, 2.5 mM d-desthiobiotin. Active fractions were pooled, concentrated (Vivaspin, 30,000 MWCO for L-SeMet protein and Amicon 10 000 MWCO for non-L-SeMet protein) and further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superose 12 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare), connected to an ÄKTA Purifier 10 system with Unicorn 5.1 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB).

**Crystallization conditions**

After purification, protein samples were concentrated up to 5–10 mg ml⁻¹ (quantification performed by absorbance at 280 nm) and the initial buffer Tris HCl 100 mM pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl was exchanged with Tris HCl 20 mM pH 8.0. The protein aliquots were centrifuged (13 000 rpm, 30 min at 4°C) prior to the crystallization trials which were carried out by both sitting-drop and hanging-drop vapour diffusion techniques at 20°C, using 24-well plate by mixing 1.5 μl of the protein solution with 1.5 μl of the reservoir condition and equilibrated against a 500 μL reservoir. Several commercial crystallization screening kits were tested. Crystals were obtained in one week using two different conditions: (1) 0.095 M sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate, pH 5.6–6.4, 19% v/v 2-propanol, 19% polyethylene glycol 4000, 5% v/v glycerol
and (2) 0.1 M BIS-TRIS, pH 6.5, 45% polypropylene glycol P400. They could be directly flash frozen for data collection and it was observed that the better diffracting crystals were those grown using condition (1).

The initial X-ray diffraction studies with the SeMet derivative of recombinant molinate hydrolase allowed the structure determination of the metal-depleted form of the enzyme. Additional crystallization trials of the recombinant molinate hydrolase with crystallization condition (1), supplemented with either 4 mM or 8 mM zinc chloride were performed.

Crystal structure determination of SeMet derivative of molinate hydrolase

Data collection and processing—A Single Wavelength Anomalous Dispersion (SAD) data set to 2.85 Å resolution was measured at the Selenium absorption K-edge from a flash cooled crystal on ESRF beamline ID14-4. The peak energy was selected from a fluorescence scan using CHOOCH [12]. Diffraction images were processed with the XDS Program Package [13] and the diffraction intensities converted to structure factors in the CCP4 format [14]. A random 5% sample of the reflection data was flagged for R-free calculations [15] during model building and refinement. A summary of the data collection statistics is presented in Table 1. The crystal belonged to one of the orthorhombic space groups \(I\overline{2}22\) or \(I\overline{2}121\) with unit cell dimensions \(a = 128.44, b = 230.18, c = 264.83\) Å. Matthews coefficient calculations [16] suggested the presence of 8 molecules in the asymmetric unit, with \(V_m = 2.24\,\text{Å}^3\text{Da}^{-1}\) and a predicted solvent content of 45%.

Structure solution and crystallographic model building—The Se heavy atom substructure was determined with Shake-and-Bake [17] as implemented in the BnP interface [18], using the SAD data to 3.5 Å resolution. Based on the most likely asymmetric unit contents, 168 Se sites were expected, and the calculations were performed on both possible space groups. A few solutions (10 in 1000 trials) were obtained only in \(I\overline{2}2121\) as indicated by a bimodal histogram of the minimal function (\(R_{\text{min}}\)) for 1000 trial substructures. Since the refined heavy atom occupancies did not show a sharp drop near the expected number of sites, the top 100 sites were input to a maximum-likelihood heavy-atom parameter refinement using autoSHARP [19]. The autoSHARP calculations eliminated some sites and located others, the final total number being 81. The centroid SHARP phases were further improved by an optimizing density modification procedure using SOLOMON [20], which suggested a solvent content of 63.8%. These results prompted a reinterpretation of the asymmetric unit contents, and it was concluded that there were only 4 monomers instead of 8 as initially expected, corresponding to \(V_m = 4.48\,\text{Å}^3\text{Da}^{-1}\) and a predicted solvent content of 72.5%. Phasing and phase refinement statistics are listed in Table 1. The electron density map obtained was of excellent quality and about 1824 residues of the expected 1984 could be built and sequenced automatically with Buccaneer/REFMAC [21, 22]. The autobuilding procedure gave values of R and R-free of 0.252 and 0.275, and the model was completed with Coot [23].

Data collection, processing and refinement of the zinc-bound molinate hydrolase

Crystals from the zinc-bound molinate hydrolase were either isomorphous to the orthorhombic SeMet recombinant molinate hydrolase crystals or belonged to the monoclinic space group C2. The position of the bound zinc ions was determined from a single wavelength anomalous diffraction data collection to 2.27 Å resolution at the Zn absorption edge, determined experimentally on ESRF beamline ID23-1 (\(\lambda = 1.265\) Å; Grenoble, France). The diffraction images were processed similarly to the SeMet derivative dataset. The crystal belonged to space group.
C2, with cell dimensions \( a = 367.48 \), \( b = 98.86 \), \( c = 131.20 \) Å and \( \beta = 109.59^\circ \). Initial molecular replacement phases were generated with PhaserMR [26], using as initial model one monomer of the SeMet derivative structure; in total, 8 monomers were present in the asymmetric unit.

The top 8 positive peaks in the \( F^+ - F^- \) anomalous difference Fourier map were assigned to the \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) ions; it was observed that they were located in equivalent positions in each monomer. Despite the modest peak height, between 5 and 7 \( \sigma \), its position was consistent with metal

| Table 1. Crystallographic data collection, processing and phase refinement statistics for the SeMet derivative. |
|---------------------------------|
| **Data collection and processing statistics** |
| Beamline | ESRF ID14-4 |
| Detector | ADSC Q315r |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.9736 |
| Data Processing | XDS/CCP4 |
| Space Group | \( I212121 \) |
| Unit cell parameters (Å,°) |
| \( a \) | 128.44 |
| \( b \) | 230.18 |
| \( c \) | 264.83 |
| \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution (Å) | 57.8–2.85 (2.95–2.85) |
| Nr. Observations | 1343228 (134496) |
| Unique reflections | 91555 (8907) |
| Multiplicity | 14.7 (15.1) |
| Completeness (%) | 100.0 (100.0) |
| R-merge (%) | 11.2 (56.0) |
| \(< I/\sigma (I) >\) | 21.3 (4.2) |
| R-pim (%) | 3.2 (14.8) |
| Wilson B (Å²) | 54.7 |
| \( Z \) | 4 |
| Estimated \( V_M \) | 4.48 |
| Estimated Solvent Content (%) | 72.5 |

**Phase refinement statistics**

| Phasing power, anomalous | 2.13 |
| Anomalous \( R_{split} \) | 0.570 |
| SHARP FOM, acentric | 0.424 |
| SHARP FOM, centric | 0.106 |
| SHARP FOM, overall | 0.403 |

**Density modification statistics**

| Overall \( |E^2| \) correlation | 0.813 |
| FOM after density modification | 0.911 |

\( a \) Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

\( b \) R-merge = merging R-factor, \( (\Sigma_{hkl} \Sigma_i |I_i(hkl)| - \langle |I(hkl)| \rangle) / (\Sigma_{hkl} \Sigma_i |I_i(hkl)|) \times 100\%

\( c \) R-pim = precision independent R-factor, \( \Sigma_{hkl} [1/(N-1)]^{1/2} \Sigma |I(hkl)| - \langle |I(hkl)| \rangle / (\Sigma_{hkl} \Sigma_i |I_i(hkl)|) \times 100\%\)[24].

For each unique Bragg reflection with indices \((hkl)\), \( I_i \) is the \( i \)-th observation of its intensity and \( N \) its multiplicity.

\( d \) Nr. molecules in the asymmetric unit.

\( e \) According to Matthews coefficient [25].

\( f \) from SHARP optimizing density modification procedure with SOLOMON [20].

\[ \text{doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123430.t001} \]
coordination geometry and with the position of the metal ions in structure-related amidohydrolases. Furthermore, site direct mutagenesis of the metal coordinating residues confirmed a decline in enzymatic activity. The occupation of the metal ions was set to 0.4 during refinement to adjust their isotropic atomic displacement parameters to their local environment. Data collection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 2. The final model was obtained after further cycles of building and refinement, carried out with Coot [27] and REFMAC5 [28], respectively. Non-crystallographic symmetry was taken into account during refinement. Figures of protein structures were generated using PyMol [29]. Atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with an accession code: 4UB9.

### In vitro mutagenesis

To confirm the metal-dependent active site and for the structure-guided engineering of molinate hydrolase, site-directed mutagenesis with Pfu DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) or PfuTurbo DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies) was performed. Mutagenic primer sequences (forward and reverse; sequence provided upon request) were designed with

| Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics. |
|---------------------------------------------------|
| **Crystal data and data-collection statistics**    |
| Wavelength (Å)                                     | 1.265 |
| Space Group                                       | C 2   |
| **Unit-cell parameters (Å)**                       |
| a                                                 | 367.6 |
| b                                                 | 99.0  |
| c                                                 | 131.3 |
| β                                                 | 109.6 |
| Resolution range (Å)                              | 100.0–2.27 (2.35–2.27) |
| Observed reflections                              | 545964 (31520) |
| **No. of unique reflections**                     |
| Completeness (%)                                  | 93.6 (66.3) |
| Multiplicity                                      | 2.66 (1.56) |
| <I/σ(I)>                                          | 12.2 (1.73) |
| R-merge (%) a                                     | 5.8 (47.8) |
| **Refinement**                                    |
| R<sub>free</sub>(%)                                | 20.1 |
| R<sub>free</sub>(%)                                | 22.9 |
| RMSD for bonds (Å)                                | 0.0131 |
| RMSD for angles (°)                               | 1.5587 |
| RMSD for chiral volumes (Å<sup>3</sup>)           | 0.0911 |
| Average main chain B-factor (Å<sup>2</sup>)       | 39.17 |
| Average side chain B-factor (Å<sup>2</sup>)       | 52.67 |
| Average zinc B-factor (Å<sup>2</sup>)             | 56.35 |
| Average water B-factor (Å<sup>2</sup>)            | 39.71 |
| Ramachandran plot statistics (%)                  |
| Favoured regions                                  | 96.16 |
| Allowed regions                                   | 3.84  |

<sup>a</sup> R-merge = merging R-factor, (Σ<sub>hk1</sub> Σ<sub>l(hk1)</sub>)(I(hk1) —<I>(hk1)> / (Σ<sub>hk1</sub> Σ<sub>l(hk1)</sub>I(hk1)) × 100%

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0123430.t002
QuickChange Primer Design Program (Agilent Technologies) and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Each residue (Lys240, His282 and His302 for the metal-dependent active site; Arg187, Phe253 and Phe346 for the structure-guided engineering of molinate hydrolase) were singly switched to alanine. PCR was carried out based on the manufacturer’s recommendations (Thermo Scientific/Agilent Technologies). PCR products were checked on 1% agarose/ethidium bromide DNA gel and correct sized samples (around 4700 bp) were treated for 3 h at 37°C with DpnI restriction enzyme to achieve pASKmolA template degradation, following transformation to E. coli DH5α. Selected colonies were picked and grown overnight at 37°C, 150 rpm in 10 ml LB supplemented with 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin. Mutant plasmid DNA aliquots were prepared using GRS Plasmid Purification Kit (Grisp Research Solutions) and the desired mutations were confirmed by sequencing (STABvida, Portugal).

Mutant proteins correct folding was evaluated by circular dichroism (CD). CD spectra were recorded by a J-815 (Jasco, Japan) spectrometer, equipped with a Peltier temperature control system, set at 20°C. Protein samples at 0.1 mg ml⁻¹, diluted in TrisHCl 10 mM pH 8.0. Spectra recorded in a 1 mm pathlength quartz cell (Hellma Analytics, Germany) from 260 to 190 nm, at 50 nm min⁻¹, D.I.T. of 2 s, data-pitch 0.2 nm and 16 accumulations per measurement. Spectra smoothed using the Savitzky–Golay algorithm and corrected for the blank sample.

Enzyme activity assays and evaluation of inhibitors
Recombinant and active-site mutant molinate hydrolase activity was assayed by following substrate depletion through high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), as previously described [4]. Assays were carried out using 0.1 μM recombinant molinate hydrolase or active-site mutants and 0.5 mM molinate, in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4 Molinate depletion was followed for up to 30 min at room temperature (~25°C). The initial velocity of molinate degradation was calculated from linear regression of the substrate concentration versus time plot.

The effect of ACA and ethanethiol on molinate hydrolase activity, in concentrations up to 10 and 6 mM, respectively, was studied by adding these reaction products to the activity assays. ACA was obtained as previously described [3]. The inhibition constant, Ki, was determined using the competitive enzyme inhibition algorithm embedded in GraphPad Prism version 6.00. The effect of the thiol group on molinate hydrolase activity was further confirmed by UV spectrophotometry. Control reaction was performed by incubating 1 μM of recombinant molinate hydrolase with 0.5 mM molinate and substrate depletion was followed at 220 nm (molinate maximum absorption wavelength). Then, 2-mercaptoethanol (3 mM), L-cysteine (1.5 mM), L-serine (1.5 mM) and L-methionine (1.5 mM) were added individually, to further confirm the effect of the thiol group on enzyme inhibition.

Enzymatic kinetic assays for mutants Arg187Ala, Phe253Ala and Phe346Ala were performed by HPLC. Protein concentration was fixed at 0.1 μM, while substrate concentrations were varied (molinate, 0.1 to 1 mM; thiobencarb 0.02 to 0.08 mM). As before, the initial velocity of molinate degradation was calculated from linear regression of the substrate concentration versus time plot. Then, kinetic parameters were calculated using non-linear regression of initial speed values for increasing substrate concentrations and a kₐ value of 0.1 μM, using GraphPad Prism version 6.00.
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