Evidence for a Deep Pore Activation Gate in Small Conductance Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated K\textsuperscript{+} Channels
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Small conductance calcium-gated potassium (SK) channels share an overall topology with voltage-gated potassium (K\textsubscript{v}) channels, but are distinct in that they are gated solely by calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}), not voltage. For K\textsubscript{v} channels there is strong evidence for an activation gate at the intracellular end of the pore, which was not revealed by substituted cysteine accessibility of the homologous region in SK2 channels. In this study, the divalent ions cadmium (Cd\textsuperscript{2+}) and barium (Ba\textsuperscript{2+}), and 2-aminoethyl methanethiosulfonate (MTSEA) were used to probe three sites in the SK2 channel pore, each intracellular to (on the selectivity filter side of) the region that forms the intracellular activation gate of voltage-gated ion channels. We report that Cd\textsuperscript{2+} applied to the intracellular side of the membrane can modify a cysteine introduced to a site (V391C) just intracellular to the glycine gating hinge. Cd\textsuperscript{2+} and MTSEA modify with similar rates whether the channels are open or closed. In contrast, Ba\textsuperscript{2+} applied to the intracellular side of the membrane, which is believed to block at the intracellular end of the selectivity filter, blocks open but not closed channels when applied to the cytoplasmic face of rSK2 channels. Moreover, Ba\textsuperscript{2+} is trapped in SK2 channels when applied to open channels that are subsequently closed. Ba\textsuperscript{2+} pre-block slows MTSEA modification of A384C in open but not in closed (Ba\textsuperscript{2+}-trapped) channels. The findings suggest that the SK channel activation gate resides deep in the vestibule of the channel, perhaps in the selectivity filter itself.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ion channels are the primary regulators of membrane excitability in nervous and muscle tissue. To precisely control a cell’s excitability, ion channels must open and close in response to appropriate stimuli such as voltage or ligand binding, the process of gating. Voltage-gated potassium (K\textsubscript{v}) channels contain a densely charged voltage sensor domain that allows them to gate in response to changes in membrane potential. Small conductance calcium-gated potassium (SK) channels, on the other hand, open in response to increases in intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, such as those generated by action potentials. In this way, SK channels serve to dampen cell excitability by exerting a hyperpolarizing influence during periods of neuronal activity (Bond et al., 2005). There are three highly homologous SK channel subunits (SK1, SK2, and SK3), each containing six putative transmembrane (TM) domains with predicted topologies similar to K\textsubscript{v} channels (Kohler et al., 1996). The fourth domain, TM4, contains positively charged residues but single channel and macroscopic current studies showed that SK channel open probability is independent of membrane voltage (Hirschberg et al., 1998). SK channel gating is accomplished through an association with coassembled calmodulin (CaM) that is constitutively bound to a succinct domain (CaMBD) in the membrane-proximal region of the intracellular C-terminus of the channel. CaM functions as the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensor for SK channels, transducing the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} gating signal through the CaMBD to a yet unidentified activation gate (Xia et al., 1998; Keen et al., 1999; Schumacher et al., 2001).

The activation gate of K\textsubscript{v} channels has long been thought to reside at the intracellular end of the pore. Using native K\textsubscript{v} channels in the squid giant axon, it was shown that cytoplasmically applied quaternary amine such as TEA not only blocked conduction through K\textsubscript{v} channels, they could inhibit channel closure (Armstrong, 1971, 1974; Armstrong and Hille, 1972). This “foot-in-the-door” effect could be explained if TEA derivatives bound to a site in the channel pore and prevented closure of an intracellular gate. For cloned Shaker K\textsubscript{v} channels, functional experiments using the substituted cysteine accessibility method (Liu et al., 1997; del Camino et al., 2000; del Camino and Yellen, 2001), cross-linking methodologies (Holmgren et al., 1998), and “trapping” of pore blockers (Holmgren et al., 1997) strongly suggest that the activation gate is located at the intracellular end of the pore. Indeed, the use of intracellular
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activation gates appears to be widespread among voltage-gated ion channels (Shin et al., 2001; Rothberg et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2004; Xie et al., 2005), and intracellular activation gates are seen in crystal structures of two types of K channels (Doyle et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2002b; Kuo et al., 2003, 2005).

We have previously reported that the lower TM6 region of SK channels does not form an effective barrier to 2-aminoethyl methanethiosulfonate (MTSEA) or tetrabutylammonium (TBuA) (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002). In this report, Cd\(^{2+}\) was used to test for state-dependent access to a position just intracellular to the region that forms the activation gate in other K channels. The state dependence of MTSEA access to sites deeper in the inner vestibule was also tested, and Ba\(^{2+}\) was used to test for state dependence at the level of the selectivity filter. The results show that Cd\(^{2+}\) access to V391C and MTSEA modification of A384C, sites predicted to reside intracellular to the TM6 bundle crossing, are not strongly state dependent. In contrast, Ba\(^{2+}\) applied to the intracellular side of the membrane blocks only open SK2 channels, and Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in closed channels. Moreover, Ba\(^{2+}\) hinders the ability of MTSEA to modify A384C in the open but not the closed state. Together the results suggest that the SK2 channel gate resides deep within the vestibule of the channel from the intracellular side, perhaps at the selectivity filter.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Molecular Biology**

DNA constructs were subcloned into the expression vector pJPA5 for transient expression in mammalian cells. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using PFU polymerase (Stratagene) and the overlap PCR technique (Ho et al., 1989). The complete nucleotide sequence of the coding region of mutated molecules was verified by standard double-stranded DNA sequencing technique before expression studies.

**Electrophysiology**

CHO or CosM6 cells were transiently transfected with 1 μg channel DNA, a 10-fold dilution (100 ng) of calmodulin (to increase channel expression), and CD-4 antigen using Polyfect reagent (QIAGEN). Cells were plated on Fisherbrand Growth coverslips (Molecular Biology Laboratories). MTSEA was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals, and BaOH to yield the desired free Ca\(^{2+}\) was removed from these solutions using Calcium Sponge S reagent (Invitrogen), which is a polystyrene conjugate of BAPTA. Consistent results were obtained by following the same protocol, but replacing the Calcium Sponge S with Chelex-100 (Bio-Rad Laboratories). MTSEA was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals and dissolved in purified distilled water at a concentration of 100 mM, and aliquots were kept frozen until the day of use at which point they were thawed and kept on ice until diluting into recording solution immediately before use. Inside-out patches were pulled using borosilicate glass patch electrodes (TW150 F-4, Warner Instrument Corp.) pulled to resistances between 1.0 and 3.0 MΩ. Rapid solution changes were performed using an RSC-200 (Molecular Kinetics). Currents were measured and digitized with an EPC9 (Heka) and currents sampled and filtered at 1 kHz.
Data Analysis
Analysis was performed using Pulse (Heka) and Igor (Wavemetrics), software. All values are reported as the mean ± SEM of n experiments. Statistical significance was evaluated using a Student’s t test, and a P value ≤0.05 considered significant. The dose–response relationship for Ba\(^{2+}\) was obtained by measuring current amplitudes in control solution and at the indicated concentrations over the average of the final 1 s of a 10 s voltage step to 0 mV. Currents were normalized to the control amplitude and fit with a single binding isotherm using a nonlinear least square procedure. The binding equation used was \(I_{\text{control}}/[X]/([X] + IC_{50})\), where \([X]\) is the concentration of Ba\(^{2+}\), \(I_{\text{control}}\) is the current amplitude before application of blocker, and \(IC_{50}\) represents the concentration at which macroscopic current is half blocked.

RESULTS
Cd\(^{2+}\) Access to a Site above the Canonical Activation Gate Is Weakly State Dependent
Previous experiments on SK channels showed state-independent MTSEA access and TBuA protection of position T387C (Fig. 1 A), suggesting that, different from K channels, there was not an activation gate between T387 and the cytoplasm (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002). Given the surprising nature of these results, we wanted to test for state-dependent access to this region (V391C) using a more rapidly reacting, smaller probe. Cd\(^{2+}\) is thought to bind to the thiol side chain of cysteine residues, can coordinate between cysteine residues (or between cysteine and histidine residues), and has been used to help localize the activation gate in cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) channels, Shaker K\(^{+}\) channels, and in hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-modulated (HCN) channels (Liu and Siegelbaum, 2000; del Camino and Yellen, 2001; Rothberg et al., 2002). 5 μM Cd\(^{2+}\) applied to 391C channels in the open state (Fig. 1 B) caused a rapid reduction in current amplitude that was well described by a single exponential with a time constant of 1.22 ± 0.14 s (n = 7) that was only partially and slowly reversible and not seen in WT SK2 channels (unpublished data). Similarly, 5 μM Cd\(^{2+}\) applied to closed 391C channels (Fig. 1 C) rapidly reduced the current amplitude (time constant = 2.52 ± 0.50 s; n = 6), an effect that was not seen in WT SK2 channels (unpublished data). The average modification rate in the open state was 1.77 ± 0.20 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) M\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) (n = 7), compared with 0.97 ± 0.15 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) M\(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) (n = 6) in the closed state. The open and closed state rates are significantly different (P < 0.05). Therefore, Cd\(^{2+}\) can rapidly access position 391C in the inner vestibule of SK channels whether they are open or closed, but the modification rate is approximately twofold slower in the closed state (Fig. 1 D).

MTSEA Modifies a Site Deep in the Pore in Open and Closed Channels
To probe for a gate deeper in the pore, cysteines were individually substituted at positions 380–385 in TM6
and their availability to MTSEA was assessed in the open state. One mutation (G383C), homologous to the glycine gating hinge in MthK (Jiang et al., 2002b), did not give currents, and only one of the mutations, A384C, was modified by MTSEA as assessed by reduction in current amplitude. After closing the channels in 0 Ca$^{2+}$, channels were reopened in the presence of 2 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ and open channels were exposed to MTSEA (200 $\mu$M; Fig. 2 A). MTSEA irreversibly blocked the current with a time constant of 3.45 s. Closed channel modification was assessed by repeatedly (11 times) closing the channel for 8 s and applying MTSEA for 5 s, and then reopening in the absence of MTSEA to assess current reduction (Fig. 2 B). The reduction in current amplitude normalized to control plotted versus the cumulative exposure to MTSEA was well fit by a single exponential to traces in B versus Ba$^{2+}$ concentration. Line represents a linear fit to data yielding a slope 1.4 $\times$ 10$^6$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and an intercept of 1.1 s$^{-1}$.

**Figure 3.** Ba$^{2+}$ block of WT rSK2, open state. (A) An inside-out membrane patch containing WT rSK2 channels was voltage clamped and the voltage ramped from $-80$ to 40 mV (80 mV/s) in asymmetrical K$^+$(2 $K_\text{in}$, 150 $K_\text{o}$) and 2 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ (fully open) either without (Cont) or with 1 $\mu$M Ba$^{2+}$ (1 $\mu$M Ba) to illustrate that block is strongly voltage dependent. (B) Consecutive 10-s voltage steps from $-80$ to 0 mV in the presence of increasing concentrations of Ba$^{2+}$ (in $\mu$M: 0, 0.01, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 1,000) are overlaid to demonstrate the WT SK2 channel response to Ba$^{2+}$ applied to the intracellular side of the membrane. (C) The average current amplitude over the final 1 s of each voltage step in B was normalized, plotted against Ba$^{2+}$ concentration, and the points fitted with a single binding isotherm (see Materials and methods), yielding an apparent dissociation constant (IC$_{50}$) of 997 nM. Inset, plot of inverse time constant determined from fits of a single exponential to traces in B versus Ba$^{2+}$ concentration. Line represents a linear fit to data yielding a slope 1.4 $\times$ 10$^6$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ and an intercept of 1.1 s$^{-1}$.

Barium Is an Open Channel Blocker
Previous work has shown that intracellular application of Ba$^{2+}$ blocks SK channels (Soh and Park, 2001, 2002), and Ba$^{2+}$ is believed to bind at the intracellular base of the selectivity filter of K channels (Jiang and MacKinnon, 2000). Responses to voltage ramp commands ($-80$ to 40 mV; Fig. 3 A) in the absence or presence (1 $\mu$M) of Ba$^{2+}$ demonstrate the voltage dependence of Ba$^{2+}$ block of WT SK2 channels for potentials greater than $-60$ mV. Voltage steps from $-80$ mV to 0 mV (Fig. 3 B) in the presence of various concentrations of Ba$^{2+}$ reveal that Ba$^{2+}$ blocks SK2 channels with an IC$_{50}$ = 1.00 $\pm$ 0.18 $\mu$M at 0 mV ($n = 4$; Fig. 3 C), with readily measurable blocking kinetics that are well described by first order rate dependence (Fig. 3 C, inset). On average, the time constant of block by 1 $\mu$M Ba$^{2+}$ at 0 mV was 353 $\pm$ 22 ms ($n = 4$).

Given that the Ba$^{2+}$ block rate is $>10$ times slower than channel opening in 2 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ (time constant 27.1 $\pm$ 3.9 ms, $n = 4$), it is possible to test if Ba$^{2+}$ can block closed WT SK2 channels by applying Ba$^{2+}$ in the closed state, then rapidly opening channels in the presence of Ba$^{2+}$. If Ba$^{2+}$ can block closed channels, then the channels should open directly to the blocked level. If Ba$^{2+}$ cannot block closed channels, then the channels should open before being blocked, and the current should transiently overshoot the open-blocked level before decaying to the open-blocked level. Fig. 4 demonstrates that Ba$^{2+}$ does not block closed channels. Following channel closing, 1 $\mu$M Ba$^{2+}$ was applied for 10 s before opening the channel with 2 $\mu$M Ca$^{2+}$ in the continued presence of Ba$^{2+}$ (Fig. 4 A). The channel transiently opened to 81% of control followed by a voltage-dependent Ba$^{2+}$ block to 22% of control (Fig. 4 A, inset). Regardless of the duration of closed state application between 1 and 100 s, opening in the presence of 1 $\mu$M Ba$^{2+}$ always resulted in a transient overshoot to $\sim$80% of the control (80.5 $\pm$ 0.7% of control for the 10-s application, $n = 4$) followed by a decay to the open-blocked level (Fig. 4, B and C). These data show that Ba$^{2+}$ cannot access its binding site when the channel gate is closed.
Barium Protects A384C in Open but Not Closed Channels

The ability of Ba\(^{2+}\), which binds at the selectivity filter, to impede MTSEA modification of A384C was tested. Experimental protocols were similar to those used in Fig. 2 to examine open and closed state MTSEA modification, except the experiments were performed at 0 mV (to eliminate voltage effects on the modification rate) and used 2 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) to open the channel (to avoid pore block by Ca\(^{2+}\)) and 2 mM K\(^{+}\) in the extracellular patch then closing the gate. If Ba\(^{2+}\) is trapped in the pore, it should remain bound even after Ba\(^{2+}\) is washed out from the intracellular face of the channel. Fig. 5 shows, using kinetic measurements during rapid solution exchanges, that Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in closed SK channels. An inside-out patch was excised and held in 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) to fully activate the channels, Ca\(^{2+}\) was then removed to close the channels, and the channels were subsequently reopened with a time constant of opening of 5.1 ms (trace 1). After reaching steady state, channels were exposed to 2 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) supplemented with 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\) to block most of the channels. On average, Ba\(^{2+}\) blocked 89.6 ± 1.1% (n = 5) of the open channel current. Ba\(^{2+}\) was then washed out and the current recovered to its unblock level with a time constant of 1160 ± 79 ms (trace 2). Consistent with Ba\(^{2+}\)'s low apparent IC\(_{50}\) (Fig. 2), Ba\(^{2+}\) washout was much slower than channel opening (time constant of channel opening 7.0 ± 1.8 ms, n = 5). To assess if Ba\(^{2+}\) could be trapped in the closed channels, open channels were blocked with the 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\) (with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\)) solution, closed with a 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) solution that also contained 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\), and then the Ba\(^{2+}\) was washed out from the bath for 5 s before reopening the channels with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5, trace 3). Fig. 5 B shows a time-expanded overlay of trace 1 and trace 3 from the patch shown in Fig. 5 A. During reopening the current recovered in two phases, a fast component corresponding to the opening of the small fraction of unblocked channels and a slow component corresponding to Ba\(^{2+}\) washout from blocked channels. Channel reopening was well described by a sum of two exponentials (Fig. 5 B, trace 3) with time constants of 8.0 ± 0.9 ms and 1096 ± 36 ms (n = 5). The fraction of unblocked current was estimated from the exponential fits as the relative contribution of the fast component and corresponded to 14.5 ± 3.7% (n = 5) of the total current following Ba\(^{2+}\) washout. The difference between the fraction of unblocked current following channel reopening and steady-state current in the presence of Ba\(^{2+}\) before channel closure (10.4%) of 4.1% suggests that some of the Ba\(^{2+}\) may have washed out during the 5-s closed state. This corresponds to an estimated off-rate of Ba\(^{2+}\) in the closed state of 107 s, which is ~100 times slower than Ba\(^{2+}\) off-rate in the open state. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in the pore of closed SK2 channels.

Barium Can Be Trapped in WT Channels

Since Ba\(^{2+}\) can access its binding site in the open state but not the closed state, it may be possible to trap Ba\(^{2+}\) in its binding site by applying Ba\(^{2+}\) to open channels and then closing the gate. If Ba\(^{2+}\) is trapped in the pore, it should remain bound even after Ba\(^{2+}\) is washed out from the intracellular face of the channel. Fig. 5 shows, using kinetic measurements during rapid solution exchanges, that Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in closed SK channels. An inside-out patch was excised and held in 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) to fully activate the channels, Ca\(^{2+}\) was then removed to close the channels, and the channels were subsequently reopened with a time constant of opening of 5.1 ms (trace 1). After reaching steady state, channels were exposed to 2 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) supplemented with 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\) to block most of the channels. On average, Ba\(^{2+}\) blocked 89.6 ± 1.1% (n = 5) of the open channel current. Ba\(^{2+}\) was then washed out and the current recovered to its unblock level with a time constant of 1160 ± 79 ms (trace 2). Consistent with Ba\(^{2+}\)'s low apparent IC\(_{50}\) (Fig. 2), Ba\(^{2+}\) washout was much slower than channel opening (time constant of channel opening 7.0 ± 1.8 ms, n = 5). To assess if Ba\(^{2+}\) could be trapped in the closed channels, open channels were blocked with the 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\) (with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\)) solution, closed with a 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) solution that also contained 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\), and then the Ba\(^{2+}\) was washed out from the bath for 5 s before reopening the channels with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5, trace 3). Fig. 5 B shows a time-expanded overlay of trace 1 and trace 3 from the patch shown in Fig. 5 A. During reopening the current recovered in two phases, a fast component corresponding to the opening of the small fraction of unblocked channels and a slow component corresponding to Ba\(^{2+}\) washout from blocked channels. Channel reopening was well described by a sum of two exponentials (Fig. 5 B, trace 3) with time constants of 8.0 ± 0.9 ms and 1096 ± 36 ms (n = 5). The fraction of unblocked current was estimated from the exponential fits as the relative contribution of the fast component and corresponded to 14.5 ± 3.7% (n = 5) of the total current following Ba\(^{2+}\) washout. The difference between the fraction of unblocked current following channel reopening and steady-state current in the presence of Ba\(^{2+}\) before channel closure (10.4%) of 4.1% suggests that some of the Ba\(^{2+}\) may have washed out during the 5-s closed state. This corresponds to an estimated off-rate of Ba\(^{2+}\) in the closed state of 107 s, which is ~100 times slower than Ba\(^{2+}\) off-rate in the open state. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in the pore of closed SK2 channels.

Barium Protects A384C in Open but Not Closed Channels

The ability of Ba\(^{2+}\), which binds at the selectivity filter, to impede MTSEA modification of A384C was tested. Experimental protocols were similar to those used in Fig. 2 to examine open and closed state MTSEA modification, except the experiments were performed at 0 mV (to eliminate voltage effects on the modification rate) and used 2 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) to open the channel (to avoid pore block by Ca\(^{2+}\)) and 2 mM K\(^{+}\) in the extracellular patch then closing the gate. If Ba\(^{2+}\) is trapped in the pore, it should remain bound even after Ba\(^{2+}\) is washed out from the intracellular face of the channel. Fig. 5 shows, using kinetic measurements during rapid solution exchanges, that Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in closed SK channels. An inside-out patch was excised and held in 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) to fully activate the channels, Ca\(^{2+}\) was then removed to close the channels, and the channels were subsequently reopened with a time constant of opening of 5.1 ms (trace 1). After reaching steady state, channels were exposed to 2 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) supplemented with 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\) to block most of the channels. On average, Ba\(^{2+}\) blocked 89.6 ± 1.1% (n = 5) of the open channel current. Ba\(^{2+}\) was then washed out and the current recovered to its unblock level with a time constant of 1160 ± 79 ms (trace 2). Consistent with Ba\(^{2+}\)'s low apparent IC\(_{50}\) (Fig. 2), Ba\(^{2+}\) washout was much slower than channel opening (time constant of channel opening 7.0 ± 1.8 ms, n = 5). To assess if Ba\(^{2+}\) could be trapped in the closed channels, open channels were blocked with the 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\) (with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\)) solution, closed with a 0 Ca\(^{2+}\) solution that also contained 10 μM Ba\(^{2+}\), and then the Ba\(^{2+}\) was washed out from the bath for 5 s before reopening the channels with 10 μM Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 5, trace 3). Fig. 5 B shows a time-expanded overlay of trace 1 and trace 3 from the patch shown in Fig. 5 A. During reopening the current recovered in two phases, a fast component corresponding to the opening of the small fraction of unblocked channels and a slow component corresponding to Ba\(^{2+}\) washout from blocked channels. Channel reopening was well described by a sum of two exponentials (Fig. 5 B, trace 3) with time constants of 8.0 ± 0.9 ms and 1096 ± 36 ms (n = 5). The fraction of unblocked current was estimated from the exponential fits as the relative contribution of the fast component and corresponded to 14.5 ± 3.7% (n = 5) of the total current following Ba\(^{2+}\) washout. The difference between the fraction of unblocked current following channel reopening and steady-state current in the presence of Ba\(^{2+}\) before channel closure (10.4%) of 4.1% suggests that some of the Ba\(^{2+}\) may have washed out during the 5-s closed state. This corresponds to an estimated off-rate of Ba\(^{2+}\) in the closed state of 107 s, which is ~100 times slower than Ba\(^{2+}\) off-rate in the open state. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that Ba\(^{2+}\) can be trapped in the pore of closed SK2 channels.
papette solution to shift the reversal potential. Fig. 6 A shows representative traces of MTSEA modification in the open state in the absence (top) and in the presence (bottom) of 100 μM Ba2+. The time constant for the decrease of normalized current versus cumulative MTSEA was 3.6 s (modification rate 1389.9 M⁻¹s⁻¹) in control and 6.7 s (modification rate 746.3 M⁻¹s⁻¹) in Ba²⁺ (Fig. 6 B). On average, the open state modification rate was $1514.9 \pm 148.6$ M⁻¹s⁻¹ ($n = 5$) in the absence of Ba²⁺ and $828.8 \pm 35.4$ M⁻¹s⁻¹ ($n = 7$) in the presence of Ba²⁺ ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 6 E). Therefore, Ba²⁺ can protect A384C from MTSEA modification in the open state. The closed state modification was tested by first blocking with Ba²⁺ then closing the channel to trap Ba²⁺. Ba²⁺ was then washed out, MTSEA was applied for 1 s, and following washout, the channel subsequently re-opened (Fig. 6 C). The time constant of decrease of normalized current versus cumulative MTSEA was 16.2 s (modification rate 308.0 M⁻¹s⁻¹) in control and 13.8 s (modification rate 361.6 M⁻¹s⁻¹) in Ba²⁺ (Fig. 6 D). On average, the modification rate was $372.4 \pm 26.4$ M⁻¹s⁻¹ ($n = 4$) in the absence of Ba²⁺ and $329.8 \pm 47.4$ M⁻¹s⁻¹ ($n = 3$) when Ba²⁺ was trapped in the pore (Fig. 6 E). These values are not statistically different ($P = 0.5$), and therefore Ba²⁺ does not protect A384C from MTSEA modification in the closed state.

**DISCUSSION**

A central goal of ion channel research is to understand at the molecular level the mechanisms that underlie channel gating. To this end, considerable progress has been made, particularly for the Kᵥ family of ion channels (Yellen, 2002). Complementing years of functional work on native and cloned Kᵥ channels, a series of remarkable crystal structures of K channels have now been presented. Emerging from these crystallography and functional experiments is a growing consensus that many channels regulate ion flux at a gate formed by the intracellular end of the pore (Armstrong, 1971; Liu et al., 1997; Doyle et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2002b; Kuo et al., 2003). The gating hypothesis for these channels is that in the closed state the inner helices (the helices that line the inner vestibule) from each of the four channel subunits form a restrictive barrier to permeation by crossing very near the cytoplasmic interface. The bundle crossing forms an inverted tepee with the “smoke hole” comprising the narrowest point, the gate itself.

Remarkably, Kᵥ channels are functionally modular proteins. The voltage sensor domain, formed by TM1–TM4 is essentially self-contained and makes the major contact with the pore domain through the TM4–5 linker. Therefore, in Kᵥ channels the voltage sensor may be analogous to the ligand-binding domain of ligand-gated channels, such as SK channels. SK channels present the modular organization of Kᵥ channels, but they lack a functional voltage sensor, and thus the open probability of the channels has no voltage dependence (Hirschberg et al., 1998). Rather, SK channels open in response to Ca²⁺ binding to CaM, which is constitutively attached to the channel C terminus. This binding induces conformational changes that are likely transduced through the linker between TM6 and the CaM binding domain to open the channel gate.

For Kᵥ channels, the model that the bundle crossing forms the activation gate is supported by structure–function studies including chemical modification experiments. For example, positions intracellular to the bundle crossing are available for modification only when the channels are in the open conformation (Liu et al., 1997; del Camino and Yellen, 2001). In a previous paper, we probed the intracellular end of TM6 in SK2 channels with MTS reagents to assess if a similar gate is formed in the closed state of SK channels (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002).
In contrast to the functional data obtained with the Shaker channel, a position intracellular to the bundle-crossing region (T387C) could be accessed whether the channel was open or closed. In addition, the pore blocker TBuA was shown to protect this position from MTSEA modification in both the closed and open states of the channel. Similar results were observed at position V275 in the intermediate conductance Ca$^{2+}$-activated K$^+$ channel, IK$_{(KCa3.1)}$, a position equivalent to A384 in SK2 (Klein et al., 2007). Taken together, the data suggested that the activation gate for SK and IK channels could reside in or near the selectivity filter.

In this paper we have probed three positions in the pore, each intracellular to the canonical activation gate region of K channels. Consistent with our previous results, neither Cd$^{2+}$ access to 391C (near the intracellular end of the pore), nor MTSEA access to A384C (deep in the pore near the “glycine gating hinge” region) was strongly state dependent. Interestingly, Cd$^{2+}$ access to 391C and MTSEA access to A384C shows weak state dependence (Figs. 1 and 2), suggesting that there may be conformational changes near these regions during gating. Alternatively, there could be an additional “gate” cytoplasmic to these two positions, but in this case the cytoplasmic “gate” would slow, but not completely prevent, access to the pore. This idea is supported by previous data showing state-dependent MTS reactivity at position 392C (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002), which we interpreted...
to mean that this region was moving during gating. Taken together, the data suggest that the canonical gate region of SK channels does move during gating, but that this region does not close completely in the absence of Ca\(^{2+}\). Further experiments are required to determine the size of the smoke hole in closed SK channels. In any case, these data are incompatible with the gating model for K\(_{\text{ir}}\) channels, in which the intracellular end of the pore forms a tight gate in the closed state.

While these data argue against a lower TM6 gate in SK channels, it is important to consider alternative explanations. For an inwardly rectifying K channel (Kir), for example, it has been proposed that a similar lack of state dependence in apparent MTSEA modification rates could be explained if the channels had a large minimum open probability (approximate minPo > 0.01; for comparison, the Shaker relative minPo is <0.00001 (Soler-Llavina et al., 2003)). In this model, MTSEA was “trapped” in the channels at low Po, serving to increase the apparent modification rate in the “closed” state (Phillips et al., 2003). Although at high concentrations MTS compounds can act as reversible pore blockers in SK channels (Bruening-Wright et al., 2002), we do not see significant block of SK channels by 200 μM MTSEA (Fig. 6; unpublished data), nor do we observe the strong voltage dependence to the modification rate that is seen in Kir channels (compare Figs. 2 and 6). Further, several sets of data lead us to believe that SK channels are tightly closed in EGTA-buffered “0” Ca\(^{2+}\) solution. First, Ba\(^{2+}\) cannot block closed SK channels, even during a prolonged closed state exposure (100 s, >200-fold longer than the open state blocking time constant; Fig. 4). Second, channel opening is not observed in “0” Ca\(^{2+}\) during prolonged (>5 min) single channel recordings of SK2 channels (unpublished data), suggesting a maximum Po of 0.001 in the closed state (and this is almost certainly a high estimate). We therefore believe it is unlikely that the “reagent trap” model can explain the data. Another perhaps remote possibility is that MTSEA can access positions 384C and 387C by diffusing through the lipid membrane and through some “hole” in the channel. This would lead to state-independent modification of these positions by MTSEA, and could explain why barium shows strong state dependence. However, the SK channel activation gate in this model would still have to be deeper in the pore than in K\(_{\text{ir}}\) channels, since 391C is rapidly modified in both closed and open channels.

Although MTSEA has proved a very useful tool for studying the SK channel pore, it has several limitations. For example, MTSEA is larger and more hydrophobic than a K\(^{+}\) ion, does not react with positions above A384C, and must be used on cysteine-mutated (as opposed to WT) channels. To circumvent these limitations, we probed the WT SK2 pore with Ba\(^{2+}\). Ba\(^{2+}\) is an ideal probe since it is nearly identical to K\(^{+}\) in size (Pauling radii 1.35 Å versus 1.33 Å for K\(^{+}\)). Moreover, the binding site for Ba\(^{2+}\) is located very deep in the SK channel pore, presumably at the base of the selectivity filter (Soh and Park, 2002), and it blocks with high affinity (Fig. 3). In Kir6.2 inwardly rectifying K\(^{+}\) channels Ba\(^{2+}\) can access its blocking site in both the closed and open state, indicating that in Kir6.2 the gate is likely within or above the selectivity filter (Proks et al., 2003). In contrast, we show in this paper that Ba\(^{2+}\) is an open channel blocker and can be trapped in the channel pore by closing the channel activation gate. So where is the activation gate located in SK channels? The data suggest that the gate must be located between the selectivity filter and the glycine gating hinge. One hypothesis is that the gate is located in the selectivity filter itself. Consistent with this idea, it is believed that Ba\(^{2+}\) can pass through the selectivity filter and that there are at least three Ba\(^{2+}\) binding sites in and near the selectivity filter, at least in BK and Shaker K\(_{\text{ir}}\) channels (Neyton and Miller, 1988a,b; Harris et al., 1998; Vergara et al., 1999). Furthermore, crystal structures and functional data suggest that the selectivity filter reorients during gating such that K\(^{+}\) can no longer permeate (Zheng and Sigworth, 1997, 1998; Perozo et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2001; Kuo et al., 2003). Despite loss of K\(^{+}\) conduction, crystal structures of closed K channels show K\(^{+}\) remains in the selectivity filter. K\(^{+}\) is, in effect, trapped in the closed filter. If Ba\(^{2+}\) acts like K\(^{+}\), it is not unreasonable to imagine Ba\(^{2+}\) trapping by the selectivity filter. Alternatively, the gate could be located at the glycine gating hinge, which clearly undergoes structural reorientation during MthK gating (Doyle et al., 1998; Jiang et al., 2002a,b). In either case, Ba\(^{2+}\) could reduce the rate of MTSEA modification of A384C in the open state by charge repulsion, while in the closed state the effects of Ba\(^{2+}\) may be countered by any number of mechanisms, including a reorientation of the electric field at the Ba\(^{2+}\) binding site, or direct shielding of trapped Ba\(^{2+}\) by the selectivity filter or the glycine gating hinge. One attractive model is that Ba\(^{2+}\) moves further into the selectivity filter in the closed state, thereby increasing the distance between Ba\(^{2+}\) and position A384C and reducing the electrostatic slowing of MTSEA modification. Elucidating the exact mechanism that describes how Ba\(^{2+}\) is trapped in closed channels requires further experimentation.

While it appears that many ion channels have an intracellular gate, there is evidence that some types of ion channels do not. CNG channels, for example, are believed to have a selectivity filter–based activation gate. For these channels, MTS compounds, small ions, and TEA derivatives can access the inner vestibule at equal rates whether the channel is open or closed (Flynn and Zagotta, 2001; Contreras and Holmgren, 2006) and the pore helix undergoes conformational change during activation (Liu and Siegelbaum, 2000). Recent work on BK and KCa3.1 channels suggests that they, too, may lack
an intracellular gate (Wilkens and Aldrich, 2006; Klein et al., 2007). It therefore appears likely that the family of intracellular ligand-gated channels, such as SK, IK, CNG, and perhaps BK channels, share a selectivity filter–based gating mechanism that is distinct from K channels. Indeed, recent structural and EPR data suggest that the prototype bacterial K channel, KscA, possesses two gates, a bundle crossing gate that efficiently responds to protons and a selectivity filter gate that predominates stationary gating behavior (Cordero-Morales et al., 2006a,b).

Our data may also provide some insight into the overall architecture of the inner vestibule in SK channels. We have reported here that Cd$^{2+}$ can bridge 391C residues (though we haven’t ruled out that Cd$^{2+}$ may be coordinating between 391C and some other residue such as a histidine). We have also observed that Cd$^{2+}$ has no effect on A884C and T387C channels when applied in the open state (unpublished data). This suggests that, just as for K channels, the pore may be wider around positions 384 and 387, then narrow at the cytoplasmic end, allowing cross-linking of cysteines at position 391. Thus the overall tepee pore structure may be conserved between SK and K channels, but the smoke hole must be wider in SK channels.

In summary, we have identified an activation gate in SK channels that resides at or very near to the Ba$^{2+}$ binding site of the selectivity filter. These data add to a growing body of evidence that suggests that an intracellular activation gate is not necessary to close ion channels. Further experiments are required to test the possibility that a selectivity filter–based gate is widely employed in the superfamily of ion channels, perhaps even in channels that possess an intracellular gate.
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