High prevalence of HIV among men who have sex with men in Zhejiang, China: a respondent-driven sampling survey
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To examine the prevalence of HIV and risk behaviours among men who have sex with men (MSM) and to explore the feasibility of using respondent-driven sampling in this population in order to conduct customised interventions among MSM in the future.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Zhejiang, China.

Participants: 1316 MSM.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: HIV prevalence rates and factors associated with HIV infection; sociodemographic and behavioural information of participants, syphilis prevalence rates.

Results: The adjusted prevalence of HIV and syphilis were 13.8% and 11.4%, respectively. Multivariate analysis showed that higher educational level, support treatment of HIV, negative syphilis are protective factors of HIV infection. MSM who had heterosexual behaviour before and whose primary sexual partner was HIV-positive were less likely to be infected with HIV compared with their counterparts, while frequency of sexual behaviour with primary sexual partner was positively associated with HIV infection.

Conclusions: This survey confirmed a high HIV prevalence among MSM in Zhejiang province. MSM are extremely vulnerable to HIV infection and comprehensive interventions are urgently needed to slow the spread of HIV among MSM.

INTRODUCTION

HIV transmission through homosexual behaviours is drawing more and more attention in recent years. Globally, 3–25% of all HIV/AIDS infections are transmitted via male homosexual behaviours, and this prevalence is much higher compared with regional adult HIV prevalence. In China, an estimated two to five per cent of all male adults are homosexual/bisexual, and the main transmission route of HIV in China has shifted from injection drug use to sexual transmission. Male homosexuality has received increased attention in recent years, because HIV transmission through homosexual behaviours increased from 0.3% before 2005 to more than 13.7% in 2011, and 25.8% of new-found HIV infectors were infected through homosexuals in 2014. With the same transferring routes, syphilis is also a biological factor associated with HIV infection and a majority of men who have sex with men (MSM) with syphilis have been reported to be HIV-positive. Understanding the risk behaviours and sociodemographic characteristics of MSM helps in guiding evidence-based prevention efforts.

Zhejiang, a coastal province located in the South-East with a total population of 54.49 million, is one of the most densely populated and economically vibrant provinces in China. Within this province, epidemics of HIV in MSM continue to expand. Between 2008 and 2011, data from sentinel surveillance described a steady increase in HIV

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Compared with other sampling methods, respondent-driven sampling (RDS) is a methodological advance for enrolling hidden subgroups through social networking.
- First large-scale cross-sectional study of HIV infection among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Zhejiang.
- Low coupon rate caused by potential reason leads to more consumption of time and resources.
- Data collected by RDS requires unique statistical techniques and may have operational difficulties in some situations.
- The focus of intervention now should be on the distinctions between adequate knowledge and risk behaviours among MSM.
Enrollment of using RDS in this population in order to conduct customized interventions among MSM in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Participants
Participants were eligible to be included in the study if they were male and had anal or oral sex with another man in the past 12 months; they also needed to have resided in the study settings for more than 3 months at the time the study was conducted. Also, all participants admitted to the study were 14 years or older and participating in the study for the first time. Participants were excluded if they met any of the following situations: had difficulty providing informed consent because of intoxication, poor mental health or intellectual deficiency; did not complete the questionnaire and/or serology survey; did not have a valid coupon; or refused to participate for other reasons.

Sampling method and participant recruitment
RDS was used to recruit MSM eligible for the aforementioned criteria. The study was conducted at district-level centres for Disease control and prevention (DCDs) of each city, in Jiangbei district in Ningbo and Lucheng district in Wenzhou. In Hangzhou, it was conducted at the Love Working Group of Zhejiang (a gay outreach organization) in Xiacheng district. These cities were chosen for their similarity in economic level, population size and high prevalence of HIV, and also because they are located in Eastern, Southern, and Northern Zhejiang, respectively. All survey sites were in the central areas of the cities. For each site, several initial participants (seeds) with high popularity and sociability among different subgroups were chosen purposefully. The numbers of seeds were 8, 11 and 5 in Hangzhou, Ningbo, and Wenzhou, respectively, among which 1 seed in Hangzhou and 2 seeds in Ningbo failed to recruit a second wave of participants. After giving informed consent, seeds were required to provide their personal information and blood samples for HIV and syphilis testing, and complete a questionnaire regarding demographic and behavioural information. Afterwards, each seed was given three coded coupons, which were valid for 1 month, to recruit any peers in his network. This process was repeated until the target sample size was achieved. Participants who completed the survey successfully were given a souvenir and a phone card valued ¥100.

The sample sizes were calculated using the following equation:

\[ n = \text{Deff} \left( \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta}}{P_{A,1}(1-P_{A,1}) + P_{A,2}(1-P_{A,2})} \right)^2 \frac{P_{A,2} - P_{A,1}}{\text{Deff}} \]

where, Deff, design effect (range=1.25–2.0).

According to the research by Salganik\(^2\) with a design effect of 2.0, the sample size was set as 1316, distributed city-wise as follows: Hangzhou (511), Ningbo (351) and Wenzhou (454). The process of recruitment is shown in figure 1.

Tools and measurements
Interviews were carried out using a self-designed questionnaire including basic information, sexual behaviours and networking, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), behavioural and sociocultural characteristics, history and willingness for HIV testing and attitude towards antiretrovirus treatment.

Basic information included sociodemographic characteristics, relation with the introducer and self-perceived physical condition. Sexual behaviours included ages of sex debut (homosexual and heterosexual, respectively), self-perceived sex orientation, venues to find partners and frequencies of sexual behaviour and condom use with male and female regular sexual partners, casual sexual partners and sex workers, respectively. Sexual networking was assessed by asking participants to recall no more than five of their male sexual partners during the past 12 months and rank them by sexual frequency, and then investigate the
relationship with participant, age, sexual frequency and HIV status of these partners. Venues were classified into: (A) pubs, discos, tearooms or clubs; (B) bathhouses, saunas, pedicure centres or massage parlours; (C) parks, public restrooms or lawns; (D) internet; (E) others.

CES-D was used to measure current level of the depressive symptomatology, and was made up of 20 items. Behavioural section included description of smoking, drinking, drug using and HIV/sexually transmitted disease (STD) intervention, and sociocultural characteristics included suicidal tendency, homosexual violence, suffered sexual abuse in childhood, self-perceived possibility of HIV infection and effect of social attitude towards MSM on sexual behaviour. Smoking was defined as having at least one cigarette per day for more than 1 year or having 300 cigarettes or more in 3 months; drinking was defined as having more than 100 grams of alcohol per week.

Quality control

A preliminary investigation and field exercise had been conducted to ensure the success of the study. At each site there was a manager, a registration clerk, a laboratory technician, a quality control supervisor and several investigators, and all of them were well trained. After the qualification screening, participants signed a consent form and completed the questionnaire one-on-one with professional investigators. During recruitment, follow-up phone calls were made to improve the quality of the work. The estimation of statistics such as adjusted proportions and weights. To identify factors associated with HIV infection, univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were also produced by STATA with the following regression model:

$$ g(\theta_i) = x_i^T \beta + \omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n $$

Where $\omega_i$ is a latent effect of the network structure. Variables with $p$ value $<0.2$ in univariate analysis were applied in multivariate analysis to produce the final results.

RESULTS

Demographic and sociocultural characteristics

Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents are demonstrated in Table 1. Statistics show that the majority of participants (72.90%) were between 20 years and 40 years of age, 69.46% of them had resided in the area for longer than 3 years and 71.93% were under a social health insurance system. Occupations represented included mainly company employees (47.99%) and workers (25.49%). Most participants (37.25%) had monthly incomes over ¥4000, and another sizeable proportion (30.65%) had monthly incomes over ¥3000–3999. Approximately half (51.26%) were single and 30.81% were married. Among all participants, 84.71% had graduated from secondary school or above. Table 1 also shows that more than half of the invitations came from close friends and acquaintances (32.77% and 26.40%, respectively), which dovetails with the RDS methodology that recommendations depend on the social networking of MSM. A total of 92.0% (95% CI 90.0% to 93.6%) of the social networks had fewer than 20 connections.
Table 1  Sociodemographic characteristics of MSM

| Variable                        | N   | Crude prevalence (%) | Adjusted prevalence (%) |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                     |     |                       |                         |
| <20                             | 82  | 6.23                  | 8.42                    |
| 21 to 30                        | 571 | 43.39                 | 41.90                   |
| 31 to 40                        | 398 | 30.24                 | 31.00                   |
| 41 to 50                        | 182 | 13.83                 | 12.65                   |
| ≥51                             | 83  | 6.31                  | 6.02                    |
| Marital status                  |     |                       |                         |
| Cohabit with women              | 44  | 3.35                  | 5.18                    |
| Cohabit with men                | 135 | 10.27                 | 8.68                    |
| Single                          | 670 | 50.95                 | 51.26                   |
| Married                         | 406 | 30.87                 | 30.81                   |
| Divorced/widowed                | 60  | 4.56                  | 4.07                    |
| Duration of residence in Zhejiang (year) |     |                       |                         |
| ≤3                             | 679 | 52.72                 | 30.54                   |
| >3                             | 609 | 47.28                 | 69.46                   |
| Insurance                       |     |                       |                         |
| Uninsured                       | 346 | 26.29                 | 28.07                   |
| Insured                         | 970 | 73.71                 | 71.93                   |
| Education level                 |     |                       |                         |
| Primary school or below         | 44  | 3.51                  | 5.56                    |
| Middle school                   | 135 | 10.76                 | 9.73                    |
| High school/secondary school    | 670 | 53.39                 | 56.79                   |
| College or above                | 406 | 32.35                 | 27.92                   |
| Monthly income (¥)              |     |                       |                         |
| No income                       | 106 | 8.05                  | 7.14                    |
| ≤1999                           | 72  | 5.47                  | 5.74                    |
| 2000 to 2999                    | 240 | 18.24                 | 19.21                   |
| 3000 to 3999                    | 424 | 32.22                 | 30.65                   |
| ≥4000                           | 474 | 36.02                 | 37.25                   |
| Occupation                      |     |                       |                         |
| Employee                        | 603 | 52.66                 | 47.99                   |
| Businessman                     | 102 | 7.75                  | 6.11                    |
| Worker                          | 300 | 22.80                 | 25.49                   |
| Student                         | 46  | 3.50                  | 4.45                    |
| Others                          | 175 | 13.30                 | 15.96                   |
| Relationship with the introducer|     |                       |                         |
| Sexual partner                  | 53  | 4.09                  | 5.10                    |
| Close friend                    | 486 | 37.53                 | 32.77                   |
| Ordinary friend                 | 292 | 22.55                 | 24.83                   |
| Acquaintance                    | 373 | 28.80                 | 26.40                   |
| Stranger                        | 87  | 7.03                  | 10.90                   |

MSM, men who have sex with men.

HIV and syphilis prevalence

According to the serological test results, the crude prevalence of HIV was 15.2% and that of syphilis was 15.3%, and the respective adjusted prevalences were 13.8% (95% CI 11.7% to 16.9%) and 11.4% (95% CI 8.1% to 16.0%). Adjusted prevalence of HIV/syphilis co-infection was 4.9% (95% CI 3.2% to 7.8%).

Sexual behaviours and condom use

Of all respondents, more than 94% identified themselves as homosexual or bisexual. Of participants 48.60% found their sexual partners through the internet and 22.87% from the park, public rest room and grass. Of them 57.20% had had a sexual debut with a man at over 22 years of age. In the previous 6 months, 80.77% had anal sex with one or two male partners, and 34.38% and 34.37% of participants had consistent condom use during anal sex with regular and irregular partners, respectively.

Of the participants, 65.11% reported ever having had sex with a woman, among whom 53.95% had their sexual debut with a woman at below 22 years of age. In the previous 6 months, 92.03% reported sex with no more than two female partners. The prevalence of consistent condom use with regular partners was 23.03%, and 33.53% never used condom during risky behaviours.

Among the respondents, the prevalence of sexual behaviours related to money boys, group sex, alcohol or drug use was all under 10%. Therefore our focus is on the prevalence of condom use with constant and provisional partners. Prevalence of consistent condom use during anal and oral sex was about 34% and 10%, respectively (table 2).

Logistic regression analysis

We produced univariate logistic regression analysis for all factors in the questionnaire, and 15 of them turned out to be associated with HIV infection (table 3). Overall, the more frequently participants have sex (no matter who the partner is), the more likely it is for them to get infected; also, participants with lower educational level, better physical condition and older secondary male sexual partner were more likely to be HIV-positive. When compared with those whose primary sexual partner was infected with HIV, participants whose primary sexual partner was HIV-negative or of unclear HIV status were more likely to be HIV infected, while those who did not have medical insurance, who never had sex with a woman, who did not smoke or drink, who thought they were HIV-negative, who supported treatment of HIV infection and were syphilis-negative were less likely to be HIV-positive when compared with their HIV-negative counterparts.

Factors with p value <0.2 in univariate logistic regression analysis were chosen for multivariate analysis (relationship with the recruiter, age of homosexual debut, suicide tendency and ever had test for HIV infection were factors with p value >0.05 but <0.2). Table 4 shows the results of multivariate logistic regression analysis. Compared with those with primary education level or below, participants who finished college education were less likely to be infected by HIV. Participants who thought they were HIV-negative, who supported treatment, who were syphilis-negative and who never had heterosexual behaviour were less likely to be HIV-positive compared with their counterparts. Also, participants were more likely to be infected with HIV if their primary sexual partner’s HIV status was negative or unclear, compared with those whose primary sexual partner was HIV-positive.
DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this study was the first large-scale research to assess the prevalence and associated risk factors of HIV among MSM in Zhejiang Province using the RDS method. Our findings reported that the prevalence of HIV and syphilis was 7.2% and 13.7%, respectively. The rates of consistent condom use with male and female sexual partners were low and 75.41% of the participants did not know the HIV status of their primary sexual partner. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that participants who thought they were HIV-negative, who supported treatment, who were syphilis-negative, who had a higher educational level, who never had heterosexual behaviour and whose primary sexual partner was HIV-positive were less likely to be infected by HIV compared with their counterparts, while frequency of sexual behaviour with primary sexual partner was positively associated with HIV infection. Confronting this case, this study provides more detailed evidence of HIV incidence in Zhejiang province and calls for innovative programmes to prevent the expansion of HIV/AIDS.

The HIV prevalence among MSM in Zhejiang province was 13.8%, which was higher than the data from sentinel surveillance of any past year (8.6% in 2011 was the highest before our study), which may be due to the different representativeness of sampling between RDS and sentinel surveillance. The results of data sampled by RDS revealed the emergence of HIV prevalence among MSM, which calls for intensive attention for this population on intervention and treatment.

In our study, the adjusted prevalence of syphilis was 11.4% and was higher than the prevalence of HIV, which is similar to the results of other relevant studies in Guangzhou, China. The prevalence of co-infection of HIV and syphilis was 4.9%, suggesting that syphilis is also a problem that needs attention among MSM. It is known that syphilis infection increases the risk of HIV infection, which is consistent with the multivariate analysis result of our study. This is probably because that the damage of anal mucosa generated by syphilis increases the probability of HIV infection during unsafe anal sex. Effectiveness of services for HIV and syphilis intervention need to be improved.

The role that sex with female partners might play in HIV transmission for MSM has been studied in many

| Variable                                         | N   | Rough prevalence (%) | Adjusted prevalence (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Self-reported sex orientation                     |     |                      |                         |
| Homosexual                                       | 625 | 47.53                | 43.82                   |
| Heterosexual                                     | 10  | 0.76                 | 1.05                    |
| Bisexual                                         | 640 | 48.67                | 50.36                   |
| Indeterminate                                    | 40  | 3.04                 | 4.77                    |
| Venues                                           |     |                      |                         |
| Bar/disco/tearoom/club                           | 145 | 11.02                | 12.25                   |
| Bath house/sauna/pedicure centre/massage parlour | 174 | 13.23                | 11.17                   |
| Park/public rest room/lawn                       | 294 | 22.36                | 22.87                   |
| Internet                                         | 652 | 49.58                | 48.6                    |
| Others                                           | 50  | 3.8                  | 5.11                    |
| Age of first sex with a man (years)              |     |                      |                         |
| ≤22                                              | 626 | 47.64                | 42.8                    |
| >22                                              | 688 | 52.36                | 57.2                    |
| Anal sex with male partners (past 6 months)      |     |                      |                         |
| Yes                                              | 1141| 86.7                 | 81.54                   |
| No                                               | 175 | 13.3                 | 18.46                   |
| Number of male partners (past 6 months)          |     |                      |                         |
| ≤2                                               | 838 | 71.08                | 80.77                   |
| >2                                               | 341 | 28.92                | 19.23                   |
| Condom use during anal sex with regular partners (past 6 months) | | | |
| Never                                            | 8   | 0.71                 | 0.81                    |
| Sometimes                                        | 11  | 0.98                 | 0.41                    |
| Always                                           | 23  | 2.04                 | 3.07                    |
| Had no such sex                                  | 1084| 96.27                | 95.7                    |
| Condom use during anal sex with irregular partners (past 6 months) | | | |
| Never                                            | 63  | 5.58                 | 7.08                    |
| Sometimes                                        | 237 | 20.97                | 18.28                   |
| Always                                           | 39  | 3.46                 | 4.38                    |
| Had no such sex                                  | 1057| 93.87                | 93.12                   |
| Condom use during oral sex with regular partners (past 6 months) | | | |
| Never                                            | 520 | 49.29                | 44.73                   |
| Sometimes                                        | 82  | 7.78                 | 7.66                    |
| Always                                           | 89  | 8.44                 | 10.82                   |
| Had no such sex                                  | 364 | 34.5                 | 36.8                    |
| Condom use during oral sex with irregular male partners (past 6 months) | | | |
| Never                                            | 520 | 49.52                | 44.68                   |
| Sometimes                                        | 88  | 8.38                 | 8.63                    |
| Always                                           | 83  | 7.9                  | 9.47                    |
| Had no such sex                                  | 359 | 34.19                | 37.22                   |
| Sex with a woman (ever)                          |     |                      |                         |
| Yes                                              | 804 | 61.14                | 65.11                   |
| No                                               | 511 | 38.86                | 34.89                   |
| Age of first sex with a woman (years)            |     |                      |                         |
| ≤22                                              | 450 | 56.03                | 53.95                   |
| >22                                              | 353 | 43.96                | 46.05                   |
| Sex with female partner (past 6 months)          |     |                      |                         |
| Yes                                              | 387 | 48.68                | 53.15                   |
| No                                               | 408 | 51.32                | 46.85                   |
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The mass opinion is that MSM who have sex with women have higher rates of HIV prevalence than those that have sex with only men. Our results also show that heterosexual behaviour increases the possibility of HIV infection, which is consistent with former researches. Of all respondents, 30.81% were married and over 50% had had sex with female partners, which indicated the bridge between MSM and the female general population. The rate of consistent condom use among MSM was low, thus high frequency of unsafe sexual behaviour with male and female partners among MSM contributes greatly to the rise of HIV incidence. Intervention services should cover MSM and their female sexual partners to get better effect.

Findings in this study revealed that nearly half (48.6%) of the participants met their sexual partners through the internet. With the widespread use of computers, the internet has become a convenient medium for HIV transmission, especially among young and well educated MSM. The high prevalence of internet use for meeting sexual partners suggests that sexual networks may primarily be forming online. Previous studies indicate that it is more likely for HIV-positive gay men to get an STD infection when they find a sexual partner of the same status online rather than offline. On the other hand, MSM populations also seek help and acquire HIV-related knowledge through the internet; hence, new HIV primary prevention interventions online should be taken immediately to enhance the effectiveness of existing intervention programmes.

Multivariate analysis showed that participants who do not think they have HIV were less likely to be infected, which may indicate that MSM are willing to take protective measures as long as they are not infected by HIV or other STDs. Providing testing with more frequency and convenience can be beneficial to control the epidemic, for the self-protective awareness of MSM.

In this research, we used RDS to recruit participants and to assess the HIV/AIDS prevalence among MSM in Zhejiang province. In recent years, RDS has been widely adopted for behavioural and serological surveillance in populations at high risk, as these groups are often hard to reach. Compared with snowball sampling and other convenience sampling methods, RDS is a methodological advance for enrolling hidden subgroups through social networking. It maintains better control over the recruitment procedure by changing the number of seeds.
Our study has several limitations. We reached the sample size of 1316 with a total of 24 seeds after more than 10 recruitment waves, which indicated a low coupon rate. Reason for the low coupon rate was not clear, probably due to the low activity and sociality of MSM in Zhejiang. Also, data collected by RDS requires unique statistical techniques and may have operational difficulties in some situations.

In summary, the alarmingly high HIV and syphilis prevalence, along with the existing multiple risk sexual behaviours and low prevalence of consistent condom use among MSM, presents a case for caution for the whole society. It is of great significance to implement and enhance comprehensive interventions targeting MSM and their sexual partners, as well as to build an advanced surveillance system to slow the spread of HIV effectively.
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