Mycobacterium tuberculosis lineage 4 comprises globally distributed and geographically restricted sublineages
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Generalist and specialist species differ in the breadth of their ecological niches. Little is known about the niche width of obligate human pathogens. Here we analyzed a global collection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis lineage 4 clinical isolates, the most geographically widespread cause of human tuberculosis. We show that lineage 4 comprises globally distributed and geographically restricted sublineages, suggesting a distinction between generalists and specialists. Population genomic analyses showed that, whereas the majority of human T cell epitopes were conserved in all sublineages, the proportion of variable epitopes was higher in generalists. Our data further support a European origin for the most common generalist sublineage. Hence, the global success of lineage 4 reflects distinct strategies adopted by different sublineages and the influence of human migration.

Ecologists distinguish between generalists and specialists on the basis of the width of an organism’s ecological niche. In infectious diseases, the niche of a given pathogen is determined by host range and the agent’s capacity to survive in the environment. Some microbes are obligate pathogens restricted to one or several host species; others are mainly free living and are only occasionally pathogenic. Little is known about the niche width of obligate human pathogens. The causative agent of tuberculosis, known as the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTCB), is an obligate pathogen that comprises seven phylogenetic lineages adapted to humans and two lineages adapted to various wild and domestic animal species. Some human-adapted MTCB lineages have received particular attention. For example, lineage 2, which includes the Beijing family of strains, has repeatedly been associated with drug resistance. Lineage 2 likely originated in East Asia and has recently been expanding in some parts of the world. By contrast, lineages 5 and 6 (also known as Mycobacterium africanum) and lineage 7 are largely restricted to West and East Africa, respectively. The observation that the human-adapted MTCB population is phylogeographically structured has led to the hypothesis that the different lineages might be adapted to particular human populations. Support for this notion comes from the observation that sympatric host–pathogen associations in human tuberculosis remain stable over time, even in metropolitan settings where host and pathogen populations intermix. Moreover, sympatric host–pathogen associations are perturbed in patients co-infected with HIV, indicating that, in the context of reduced host immune competence, the different lineages can successfully infect and cause disease irrespective of the host genetic background.

Contrary to the other main human-adapted MTCB lineages, lineage 4 exists at high frequencies on all inhabited continents. It is hence geographically the most widespread cause of human tuberculosis. Yet, the reasons for this global success are unknown. Lineage 4 has been shown to exhibit enhanced virulence in macrophage and animal models of infection, albeit with much variation among...
different lineage 4 strains. Moreover, molecular epidemiological studies have reported considerable variation in the transmission success of different lineage 4 strains in clinical settings. These observations suggest that lineage 4 is genetically and phenotypically diverse, and this diversity might determine the epidemiology of different lineage 4 subtypes in different parts of the world. The purpose of this study is to gain a better understanding of the global population structure of lineage 4 and the evolutionary forces that have contributed to the success of lineage 4 across the world. For this purpose, we combined large-scale SNP typing with targeted whole-genome sequencing of a global collection of lineage 4 clinical isolates.

RESULTS
MTBC lineage 4 comprises ten separate sublineages
We first analyzed 72 published genome sequences of lineage 4 clinical strains from global sources. These strains harbored 9,455 variable single-nucleotide positions that divided lineage 4 into ten sublineages (L4.1.1 to L4.10 in Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1). We used four complementary approaches to validate these sublineages. First, we performed principal-component analysis, which showed clear separation of seven sublineages (L4.1.1, L4.1.3, L4.1.2, L4.3, L4.4 and L4.10; Supplementary Fig. 2). Sublineages L4.5, L4.6.1/Uganda and L4.6.2/Cameroon were less clearly separated. Second, we found that the mean pairwise genetic distance between pairs of isolates within the sublineages was significantly lower than that between sublineages (276 SNPs versus 602 SNPs; Wilcoxon rank-sum test, \( P < 0.0001 \); Supplementary Fig. 3). Overall, the mean pairwise SNP distance between any two strains was 565 SNPs. Third, we calculated pairwise fixation indexes \( (F_{ST}) \) to evaluate the degree of population differentiation. All \( F_{ST} \) values between the sublineages were larger than 0.33 (Supplementary Table 1), indicating that these populations are separated. Fourth, we mapped previously reported phylogenetic markers onto our genome-based phylogenetic tree. Most of these markers were congruent with our sublineage definition (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Sublineages differ in their phylogeographical distributions
Because the MTBC exhibits limited sequence variation and little ongoing horizontal gene exchange, SNP homoplasies are extremely rare, making SNPs ideal phylogenetic markers. We further scrutinized the 9,455 variable positions among the 72 MTBC lineage 4 genomes and found 54 to 277 specific for one of each of the ten sublineages. All of these variable positions were mutually exclusive: that is, they showed no homoplasy. We selected a subset of these sublineage-specific SNPs and used them to screen a global collection of 3,366 lineage 4 clinical isolates from 100 countries using various genotyping platforms. First, we developed a novel sublineage-specific multiplexed SNP typing assay using the Luminex platform as previously reported, and we used this method to screen 2,001 isolates (Supplementary Table 2). In addition, we screened 741 isolates using the Sequenom MassARRAY platform (Supplementary Table 3) and 624 isolates by PCR and Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Table 4). Overall, 3,181 of 3,366 (94.5%) lineage 4 isolates were successfully assigned to a sublineage (Supplementary Table 5). An additional 92 of 3,366 (2.7%) isolates harbored the reference allele for all sublineages, indicating that they belonged to one or several additional and unknown sublineages. For the remaining 93 of 3,366 (2.8%) isolates, no classification could be obtained for various technical reasons. Among the 3,181 lineage 4 isolates assigned to one of the ten sublineages, L4.3/LAM was the most frequent, accounting for 20.3%, followed by L4.6.1/Uganda (14.2%), L4.10/PGG3 (11.9%), L4.4 (10.1%) and L4.1.2/Haarlem (9.9%) (Fig. 1b).

Mapping the proportion of each sublineage by country showed that the sublineages differed in their geographical distributions (Fig. 2). Specifically, L4.1.2/Haarlem, L4.3/LAM and L4.10/PGG3 occurred globally (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 4). By contrast,
L4.1.3/Ghana, L4.5, L4.6.1/Uganda and L4.6.2/Cameroon occurred at high frequencies in specific regions of Africa or Asia, and were almost completely absent from Europe and the Americas (Fig. 3b). The geographical spread of the three remaining sublineages was intermediate (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5). L4.1.1/X mainly occurred in the Americas and in lower proportions in a few countries of southern Africa, Asia and Europe. L4.2 and L4.4 occurred in high proportions among isolates from particular countries in Asia and Africa, but were largely absent from the Americas (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5). A similar pattern of sublineage distribution was observed when normalizing by tuberculosis prevalence⁵⁸ and country surface area (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Populations that occupy a broader variety of environments may exhibit a wider geographical distribution. Humans differ in their susceptibility to tuberculosis⁵⁹, and human genetic diversity may thus determine the width of the ecological niche accessible to different MTBC genotypes⁶⁰,⁶¹. The geographical restriction of particular MTBC genotypes might reflect local adaptation of these pathogen variants to the corresponding human host populations¹³,¹⁵. Such a sympatric host–pathogen association in human tuberculosis is compatible with the ‘local’ sublineages observed here and supports the notion that these sublineages represent ecological specialists. By contrast, the three ‘global’ sublineages could represent generalists capable of infecting and causing disease in many different human populations. This notion was supported by the fact that the three generalist sublineages L4.1.2/Haarlem, L4.3/LAM and L4.10/PGG3 were observed in 49, 47 and 47 countries, respectively, whereas the specialist sublineages L4.1.3/Ghana, L4.5, L4.6.1/Uganda and L4.6.2/Cameroon were only found in a few countries each (3, 7, 9 and 10 countries, respectively). The country frequencies of the remaining three sublineages, L4.1/X, L4.2/Ural and L4.4, were intermediate (27, 14 and 26 countries, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. 4).

The different geographical distributions of generalist and specialist sublineages could be due to intrinsic biological factors, extrinsic factors such as human migration, or both. Hence, we next performed various population genomic analyses to explore the genomic characteristics of these lineage 4 generalists and specialists, as well as the role of human migration in the global spread of the most successful generalist sublineage.

Genomic features of generalist and specialist sublineages
The geographical and niche distributions of populations can be correlated with their genetic variability or with that of their ancestors. One possible reason for the restricted host range of the specialist sublineages might be historical; that is, the ancestor populations of the extant specialist populations may have harbored more deleterious mutations, restricting host range. To assess this possibility, we characterized the mutations that contributed to the divergence of the different sublineages; these mutations are variants that have become fixed during the evolution of the sublineages. We focused on substitutions that occurred in all isolates of any of the generalist sublineages (L4.1.2/Haarlem, L4.3/LAM and L4.10/PGG3) and compared them to substitutions that occurred in all isolates of any of the three specialist sublineages (L4.6.1/Uganda, L4.5 and L4.6.2/Cameroon). We identified nonsynonymous SNPs predicted to have a functional effect using SIFT⁶². We found that, overall, the specialist and generalist sublineages showed similar proportions of fixed substitutions (among all substitutions) predicted to affect gene function 23 (23.0% versus 20.6%; \( \chi^2 \) test, \( P = 0.62; \) Supplementary Table 6), suggesting that the mutational load of the ancestor populations did not differ significantly between generalists and specialists.

Small populations with restricted geographical ranges are expected to have reduced levels of genetic diversity⁶₃. Thus, one possible restriction to niche expansion by specialist sublineages could be that these sublineages have low genetic diversity, precluding adaptation to new hosts. We characterized the genetic diversity associated with the process of diversification in lineage 4 generalists and specialists, focusing on L4.3/LAM and L4.6.1/Uganda, which globally were the most frequent generalist and specialist sublineages of lineage 4 in our data set, respectively (Fig. 1b). We analyzed the whole-genome
sequences of 293 L4.3/LAM clinical strains representing the global diversity of this sublineage. These were selected from a global collection of 2,132 L4.3/LAM isolates on the basis of standard genotyping data (Supplementary Figs. 7–9 and Supplementary Table 7). For L4.6.1/Uganda, we analyzed whole-genome sequences of 203 clinical strains from Uganda and several neighboring countries (Supplementary Figs. 10 and 11, and Supplementary Table 7). This sample included 28 L4.6.1/Uganda strains identified through screening of 13,067 publicly available MTBC whole-genome sequences (Online Methods)\textsuperscript{44–56}. Comparing the genetic diversity of these two bacterial populations showed that L4.3/LAM was significantly more diverse than L4.6.1/Uganda (mean of 395 SNPs between pairs of isolates compared to 215 SNPs, respectively; Wilcoxon rank-sum test, \( P < 0.0001 \)), consistent with the expected difference between generalists and specialists\textsuperscript{43}.

**Antigenic diversity in lineage 4 sublineages**

We previously reported that, in the human-adapted MTBC, experimentally confirmed human T cell epitopes were conserved\textsuperscript{57,58}. This scenario is unlike that in many other pathogens where genomic regions encoding antigens tend to be diverse as a result of antigenic variation linked to immune escape\textsuperscript{59}. When we assessed the evolutionary
We found that, in all four sublineages, the majority of epitopes were non-epitope regions, that is, similar to L4.6.1/Uganda and the MTBC generalist sublineages were more conserved than the corresponding non-epitope regions, that is, similar to L4.3/LAM, L4.2/Haarlem and L4.10/PGG3, respectively (Supplementary Table 9). Interestingly, ten of these antigens exhibited independent, parallel nonsynonymous variation in epitope regions in the different sublineages (Supplementary Table 9). Of these antigens, Pst1, an adhesin promoting phagocytosis, and FbpB, the precursor of the secreted antigen 85-B, had already been identified as encoding diverse epitopes by a previous study in which several MTBC lineages were compared (Supplementary Table 9). Other antigens exhibiting parallel nonsynonymous changes by different sublineages included known immunodominant secreted antigens such as MtbH4 (ref. 64), MPT32 (ref. 65) and MPT70 (ref. 66) and three latency-associated antigens (Rv1733c, Rv3034c and Rv2628 (ref. 68; Supplementary Table 9).

Origin and global spread of the L4.3/LAM sublineage

Irrespective of the putative biological differences between the lineages sublineages, human migration could also have led to variation in the global distribution of MTBC lineages. Because the most successful sublineage of lineage 4 was also frequently found in Europe, we hypothesized that the global success of L4.3/LAM was driven by European migration and colonization. To test this hypothesis, we first determined the most likely geographical origin of the most recent common ancestor of L4.3/LAM using two methods for reconstruction of ancestral states (Supplementary Table 9). By both methods, Europe was predicted as the most likely place of origin for L4.3/LAM (prediction of 100% and 99.6% for each method, respectively) (Fig. 6a and Supplementary Fig. 14). Moreover, the ancestral geographical regions reconstructed for subsequent nodes in the phylogeny were consistent with the spread of conservation of 1,226 experimentally confirmed human T cell epitopes in L4.6.1/Uganda by calculating their ratios of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions (dN/dS), we found that these epitopes were significantly more conserved than the non-epitope regions of the corresponding T cell antigens (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, P < 0.0001; Fig. 4). This result is consistent with our previous findings for the MTBC overall (Supplementary Figs. 12 and 13, and Supplementary Table 7). We found that, in contrast to L4.3/LAM, the epitope regions in these generalist sublineages were more conserved than the corresponding non-epitope regions, that is, similar to L4.6.1/Uganda and the MTBC overall (Supplementary Table 8), consistent with previous reports (57,58,61), essential genes (52) were significantly more conserved than nonessential genes in all sublineages, except L4.3/LAM, in which the dN/dS ratios of essential and nonessential genes were not significantly different (Fig. 4).

One of the limitations of our dN/dS analyses was that, despite a large number of genomes analyzed, within individual sublineages, the mean number of pairwise differences in regions encoding T cell epitopes was very small (Supplementary Table 8), limiting the accuracy of dN/dS inferences for epitopes. Hence, we assessed T cell epitope diversity by comparing the number of epitopes affected by nonsynonymous variants in the different sublineages (Fig. 5). We found that, in all four sublineages, the majority of epitopes were completely conserved, consistent with our previous findings for the MTBC overall (57,58). However, each of the three generalist sublineages showed significantly more epitopes harboring at least one amino acid change when compared to the specialist sublineage L4.6.1/Uganda (χ² test, P < 0.0001 for all comparisons; Fig. 5). It is possible that this comparably higher epitope diversity in generalists might reflect interactions with broader host populations.

The epitopes interrogated in our analysis were encoded by a total of 304 antigens. The number of antigens containing nonsynonymous variation in epitopes was 60, 26, 46 and 48 antigens in L4.3/LAM, L4.6.1/Uganda, L4.2/Haarlem and L4.10/PGG3, respectively. When excluding nonsynonymous mutations present only in one strain in each sublineage (which likely represent transient mutations), the number of antigens dropped to 20, 11, 12 and 24 in L4.3/LAM, L4.6.1/Uganda, L4.2/Haarlem and L4.10/PGG3, respectively (Supplementary Table 9). Of these antigens, Pst1, an adhesin promoting phagocytosis, and FbpB, the precursor of the secreted antigen 85-B, had already been identified as encoding diverse epitopes by a previous study in which several MTBC lineages were compared (Supplementary Table 9). Other antigens exhibiting parallel nonsynonymous changes by different sublineages included known immunodominant secreted antigens such as MtbH4 (ref. 64), MPT32 (ref. 65) and MPT70 (ref. 66) and three latency-associated antigens (Rv1733c, Rv3034c and Rv2628 (ref. 68; Supplementary Table 9).
L4.3/LAM from Europe to other parts of the world (Fig. 6a). Finally, we found that L4.3/LAM strains from Europe were genetically more diverse than L4.3/LAM strains from other continents, which further supports a European origin for this sublineage (Kruskall–Wallis test, $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 6b,c).

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings show that the global success of lineage 4 is a consequence of both biological and social phenomena. Specifically, we found that lineage 4 is genetically diverse and that this diversity is phylogeographically structured. The phylogeography of lineage 4 supports an ecological distinction between globally represented generalists and geographically restricted specialists. Our in-depth population genomic analyses of one specialist and three generalist sublineages showed that, even though the majority of human T cell epitopes were completely conserved in all four sublineages, the proportion of epitopes with amino acid substitutions was significantly higher in generalists. Finally, we demonstrate a likely European origin for L4.1/LAM, the most frequent and globally widespread generalist sublineage of lineage 4.

Our observation that lineage 4 is phylogenetically diverse is in line with previous findings and highlights the importance of large and globally representative samples when studying the population structure of human pathogens. We found that lineage 4 comprises at least ten sublineages, which differ in their geographical distribution. The phylogeography of these sublineages is consistent with an ecological separation into specialists and generalists, with some sublineages showing an intermediate geographical distribution. Our phylogenetic analyses also showed that the three generalist sublineages identified within lineage 4 were not monophyletic (Fig. 1a), indicating that generalism
was acquired multiple times independently during the evolution of lineage 4. Specialist sublineages also emerged multiple times, consistent with local adaptation to separate human populations.

One could argue that the reason for specialist sublineages being geographically restricted is that they diverged later than the generalist sublineages during the evolution of lineage 4 and thus had insufficient time to spread globally. However, on the basis of recent findings by Comas et al., the African specialist sublineages already existed at least several centuries ago, perhaps even several millennia ago, depending on the age of the most recent common ancestor of the MTBC, which has been estimated to be between 70,000 years and 6,000 years. Thus, this timespan should have offered ample opportunity for the specialist sublineages to become more geographically widespread.

The genetic diversity of the specialist sublineage L4.6.1/Uganda was significantly lower than that of the generalist sublineage L4.3/LAM, as expected for populations with restricted geographical ranges. Comically, the diversity of T cell epitopes in the specialist sublineage L4.6.1/Uganda was also significantly lower than that in any of the three generalist sublineages analyzed. Whether the low genetic diversity of the specialist sublineage has hindered the adaptation of these strains to other human populations or reflects a restricted niche due to lack of opportunity for spreading will need to be explored in future studies.

In all sublineages analyzed, the large majority of T cell epitopes were completely conserved, in agreement with previous reports for the MTBC overall. This suggests that both generalists and specialists do not use antigenic variation as a main mechanism of immune evasion. Despite this general trend, we found that some antigens have acquired nonsynonymous mutations in parallel in the different sublineages, suggesting that variation in these particular antigens might be beneficial. For example, acquiring nonsynonymous variation may allow particular antigens to be recognized by T cell receptors of different human populations, which might be beneficial in the presence of different human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles. This could also provide an explanation for the differences in the degree of variation in T cell epitopes between the specialist and generalist sublineages, as generalist sublineages are expected to interact with a broader range of HLA alleles. Alternatively, some nonsynonymous mutations in epitopes might reflect escape from human T cell recognition. More work is needed to determine whether and how the limited diversity in T cell epitopes in the MTBC is linked to adaptation to different host populations and/or immune escape.

Two independent phylogeographical analyses predicted Europe as the most likely geographical origin for the most recent common ancestor of the L4.3/LAM sublineage. A European origin for L4.3/LAM was further supported by our finding that strains belonging to this sublineage were more genetically diverse in Europe than in Africa, Asia and the Americas. Taken together, these results suggest a role for Europeans in the spread of L4.3/LAM across the globe. Given the frequency of L4.3/LAM in Europe (Figs. 2 and 3a)—particularly in patients with tuberculosis from the Iberian Peninsula—and in Latin America, Portuguese and Spanish exploration, trade and conquest over the last centuries may have contributed to the local dissemination of this sublineage.

Of note, the Americas lack specialist sublineages, including the three African specialist sublineages, despite centuries of slave trade. Notably, this also applies to MTBC lineages 5 and 6 (M. africanum), which today are largely limited to parts of West Africa, the source of most of the African slaves shipped to the Americas. Even if these lineages did reach the Americas at the time, they might have been replaced later by generalist sublineages from Europe, including L4.3/LAM, following the massive influx of Europeans to the Americas during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, a time when the European tuberculosis epidemic was at its peak. Notably, these human migrations can be viewed as natural experiments in which diverse human populations came into contact with different MTBC genotypes. As mentioned above, there is evidence that the African specialist sublineages of lineage 4 already existed in sub-Saharan Africa centuries ago. Following European contact, generalist sublineages were introduced to Africa, and, today, a significant proportion of human tuberculosis in Africa is caused by L4.1/LAM and other generalist sublineages (Figs. 2 and 3a). By contrast, hardly any spillover of African specialist sublineages has occurred into Europe or populations in the Americas of European ancestry.

Three of the ten sublineages showed an intermediate pattern of geographical distribution. Independent of the open question of whether they might represent generalists or specialists, it is interesting to note that none of these three sublineages were found at high frequency and proportion in Europe. They might therefore represent generalists of non-European origin. Deeper analyses are needed to shed more light on these sublineages.

Our study is limited in that many of the MTBC isolates analyzed came from convenient samples and might therefore not be representative of a particular country. However, for the analysis of sublineage distributions by SNP genotyping, we included more than 3,000 clinical isolates from 100 countries, which should help reduce potential selection bias. For the deep genomic analyses, we selected strains on the basis of a large and diverse collection of classical genotyping patterns and, in addition, screened >13,000 MTBC whole-genome sequences available in public repositories. As a further limitation, some isolates in our collection were obtained from patients who recently emigrated from a region of high tuberculosis incidence into a country with low incidence. However, we excluded cases from ongoing transmission and focused on immigrants with reactivation disease: these individuals were most likely infected in their country of origin before moving abroad. Moreover, we used country of birth for all analyses as opposed to country of tuberculosis diagnosis.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that the global success of lineage 4 partly results from the different evolutionary strategies adopted by different sublineages. These strategies reflect an ecological distinction between specialists and generalists. The specialist sublineages are adapted to their sympatric host populations and are geographically restricted. The generalist sublineages exhibit a broader ecological niche and are geographically widespread. Moreover, Europeans contributed to the global spread of the most successful generalist sublineage of lineage 4. Our results highlight the ecological and epidemiological relevance of the deep phylogenetic diversity within the MTBC. More generally, exploring potential differences between specialists and generalists in other pathogens will improve understanding of the biology and epidemiology of infectious diseases.

URLs. Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource, http://www.iedb.org/; United Nations macro-geographical regions, http://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm; DWA-GIS, http://www.diva-gis.org/Data; sciCORE, http://scicore.unibas.ch/; Mesquite, http://mesquiteproject.org/.
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ONLINE METHODS

Mycobacterial isolates. For the definition of lineage 4 sublineages, we used 72 whole-genome sequences of MTBC lineage 4 and reference sequences for the other MTBC lineages published previously11,22 (Supplementary Table 7). These represented the largest collection of lineage 4 whole-genome sequences available at that time. For SNP screening of clinical isolates for sublineage classification, we used a retrospective global collection of 3,366 MTBC lineage 4 isolates from 100 countries (Supplementary Table 5)15,30–35. All isolates had previously been identified as MTBC lineage 4 by SNP typing, genomic deletion analysis or spoligotyping. Approximately one-third of these isolates were from patients who migrated to another country (1,106; 32.9%), and we used country of birth for each patient as a proxy for the origin of the MTBC strains. Two-thirds of the isolates (2,260; 67.1%) were from patients for whom the country of isolation and country of birth were the same. Isolates of L4.6/1/UGA from Uganda were genotyped in our previous work34. For the in-depth population genomic analysis of L4.3/LAM, we included previously published genomes21,27,24 and generated whole-genome sequences of additional strains selected from a large collection of 2,132 MIRU-VNTR-genotyped isolates representing the global diversity of L4.3/LAM (Supplementary Fig. 7). Starting from 500 whole-genome sequences, we excluded sequences with bad quality (sequencing coverage <15x or proportion of homozygous variant calls <85%), isolates in transmission clusters (defined as isolate pairs differing by ≤12 SNPs) and strains with unknown country of origin, resulting in whole-genome sequencing data for 293 L4.3/LAM strains, which were included in the final analysis (Supplementary Table 7). For the in-depth population genomic analyses of L4.6.1/UGA, we generated whole-genome sequencing data for 175 isolates of the L4.6.1/UGA genotype, selected for maximal geographical diversity and from previous studies34. Moreover, to further increase geographical coverage and genetic diversity among L4.6.1/UGA strains, we analyzed all available whole-genome sequencing data from several published studies4,5,45–56,75 and other whole-genome data available in the public domain. We used KvarQ86 to screen for the L4.6.1/UGA-specific SNPs described below. Starting from 13,067 genome sequences and excluding all clustered isolates except for one representative of each cluster, we identified 28 additional L4.6.1/UGA genome sequences that we included in our analysis of a total of 203 genomes (Supplementary Table 7). For genomic analysis of L4.1.2/Haarlem and L4.10/PGG3 strains, we screened the same 13,067 isolates (plus our own collection) for clade-specific SNPs for these two sublineages. We identified 505 genome sequences for L4.1.2/Haarlem and 748 sequences for L4.10/PGG3. After excluding problematic sequences and strains in transmission clusters (for criteria, see above), we used 228 strains from L4.1.2/Haarlem and 301 strains from L4.10/PGG3. H37Rv was used as the outgroup for all sublineage phylogenies except that for L4.10/PGG3, for which an isolate from L4.1.2/Haarlem was used (H37Rv belongs to L4.10/PGG3).

Whole-genome sequencing, variant calling and filtering. Whole-genome sequencing of new MTBC isolates was performed using Illumina chemistry (MiSeq, HiSeq 2000/2500, NextSeq; paired end or single end). Illumina MiSeq-sequencing of new MTBC isolates was performed using Illumina chemistry (Sequencist). DNA extracts from clinical MTBC isolates were then screened with (i) the new MOL-PCR assay, (ii) standard PCR amplification and subsequent Sanger sequencing of the region upstream and downstream of the sublineage-specific SNP (see Supplementary Table 4 for PCR and sequencing primers), (iii) a real-time PCR melting curve assay using the same SNPs (Supplementary Table 2), or (iv) the MassARRAY platform (Sequenom) using phylogenetically redundant SNPs (Supplementary Table 3). The set of SNPs used in the MassARRAY typing scheme covered only six of the ten sublineages. Hence, all lineage 4 isolates for which none of the six SNPs in the MassARRAY typing had the mutant allele (n = 49) were subjected to the Luminex assay described above. For all isolates, patient place of birth was used as country information. We obtained sublineage classification data for 3,273 (97.2%) of a total of 3,366 isolates (Supplementary Table 5).

Spatial analysis and data presentation. For each country with lineage 4 sublineage data available, sublineage proportions (in comparison to all lineage 4 isolates from the same country) were calculated and mapped to a world map with ArcGIS ArcMap 10.0 (ESRI). A shapefile with country boundaries was used from DIVA-GIS, which is freely available. Categories for number of countries were defined as 0, 1–3, 4–10 and >10 countries. For individual sublineage ‘heat maps’, countries with fewer than three isolates were not included. For the additional maps shown in Supplementary Figure 6, sublineage proportions were normalized by the tuberculosis prevalence in the country, as estimated by the World Health Organization (WHO)38, and the area of the country. Other figures were generated with the ggplot2 library in R and with GraphPad Prism 6.02 (GraphPad Software). Statistical analyses were performed with R or GraphPad Prism.

SIFT analyses of the functional effects of fixed sublineage-specific SNPs. SNPs fixed in each of the ten sublineages were assessed for predicted functional consequence with SIPT in the software SIPTAG (v2.1)42 and the precompiled M. tuberculosis database GCA_000195959.2.22. Conservation levels of SNPs in the precompiled database had been obtained by comparing M. tuberculosis H37Rv proteins to all proteins in the UniRef50 database. We pooled SNPs fixed in the generalist sublineages and the specialist sublineages separately and excluded the L4.1.2/Ghana sublineage, as whole-genome sequences for only two very closely related isolates were available. Gene categories were analyzed on the basis of the classification by Tuberculist88.

Phylogenetic and population genetic analyses for the definition of sublineages. A phylogenetic tree was generated with all lineage 4 genomes, plus several reference genomes from all other MTBC lineages. Pairwise SNP distances were calculated using MEGA5 (ref. 83) and the ape package in R (ref. 84). FST values (estimation of population separation) were calculated using Arlequin 3.5 (ref. 85). Statistical significance was obtained by permutating haplotypes between sublineages. Principal-component analysis was carried out with Jalview86. Naming of the lineage 4 sublineages was adapted to that of Coll et al.77 whenever possible. However, in that publication, no criteria for the definition of sublineages were given and not all isolates were classified as such. We therefore added continuous numbers for the clades that were not defined by Coll et al. The new sublineages defined in this study are L4.1.3/Ghana and L4.10/PGG3 (with the latter including L4.5, L4.8 and L4.9 according to the nomenclature from Coll et al.). The full phylogenetic tree, including previous markers and spoligotyping family names, is shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Identification of sublineage-specific SNPs. The alignment of all SNPs from the initial 72 MTBC lineage 4 strains was imported into Mesquite, in parallel with the phylogenetic tree generated from the same data in MEGA5. We used the ‘Trace Character History’ module of Mesquite to map polymorphisms to clades. The full data set of reconstructed positions was exported, and sublineage-specific SNPs were extracted as nucleotide differences between internal nodes of the phylogeny.

SNP typing to screen for MTBC lineage 4 sublineages. We developed a new SNP typing assay to screen clinical isolates for the defined lineage 4 sublineages. For this, we selected one ‘diagnostic’ SNP per sublineage using previously defined methods and criteria36. Oligonucleotides were designed for a 10-plex MOL-PCR assay based on the Luminex xTag platform (Luminex (Supplementary Table 2)56). DNA extracts from clinical MTBC isolates were then screened with (i) the new MOL-PCR assay, (ii) standard PCR amplification and subsequent Sanger sequencing of the region up- and downstream of the sublineage-specific SNP (see Supplementary Table 4 for PCR and sequencing primers), (iii) a real-time PCR melting curve assay using the same SNPs (Supplementary Table 2), or (iv) the MassARRAY platform (Sequenom) using phylogenetically redundant SNPs (Supplementary Table 3). The set of SNPs used in the MassARRAY typing scheme covered only six of the ten sublineages. Hence, all lineage 4 isolates for which none of the six SNPs in the MassARRAY typing had the mutant allele (n = 49) were subjected to the Luminex assay described above. For all isolates, patient place of birth was used as country information. We obtained sublineage classification data for 3,273 (97.2%) of a total of 3,366 isolates (Supplementary Table 5).
Phylogenetic reconstruction and population genetic analyses. The final alignment of polymorphic positions in all strains was used to estimate phylogenies with Bayesian methods using MrBayes 3.2.5 (ref. 89) for the L4.3/LAM and L4.6.1/Uganda sublineages (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 10). For the Bayesian analysis, we used a gamma rate distribution estimated from our sequence data. Pairwise dN and dS values within each sublineage were calculated using the R package seqinr with the kaks function. Bootstrapping was used to correct for phylogenetic biases in the analysis. Confidence intervals for dN/dS were obtained through bootstrapping (1,000 replicates) by resampling with replacement the nucleotide sites of the original alignments of polymorphic positions using the function sample in R. Lower and upper levels of confidence were obtained by calculating the 2.5th and 97.5th quantiles of the dN/dS distribution obtained by bootstrapping. Code details are available upon request.

Antigenic diversity in human T cell epitopes. Experimentally confirmed human MTBC T cell epitope sequences were retrieved from the Immune Epitope Database on 24 April 2015. Only linear epitopes from the MTBC (77643) tested in human T cell assays, with no major histocompatibility complex (MHC) restrictions, were selected (1,730 epitopes). The sequence of each epitope was searched by BLASTP against the reference strain (H37Rv) to obtain genomic coordinates. Epitopes with no coordinates in H37Rv or for which no accurate coordinates could be determined (owing to multiple hits) and epitopes in repetitive regions such as PE/PPE genes, phage-related genes and transposases were excluded, rendering a final set of 1,226 epitopes. These epitopes are distributed across 304 antigens and have some overlapping sequences. To proceed with the sequence analysis, alignments were obtained by concatenating all epitope sequences after excluding sequence redundancy. Alignments of non-epitope-containing antigens were obtained by excluding epitope sequences. To proceed with the sequence analysis, alignments were obtained by concatenating all epitope sequences after excluding sequence redundancy. The dN/dS measures were calculated using all polymorphic sites within each sublineage and therefore reflect both within- and between-sublineage substitutions and transient polymorphisms. Pairwise dN and dS values within each sublineage were calculated using the R package seqinr with the kaks function.

To avoid having undetermined pairwise dN/dS values due to dN or dS being zero, a mean dN/dS value was then calculated for each sequenced isolate by dividing its mean pairwise dN by its mean pairwise dS with respect to all other sequenced isolates within each sublineage. The statistical differences between the epitopes and non-epitope regions of antigens within each sublineage were assessed using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with continuity correction implemented in R version 3.2.2.

Reconstruction of the geographical origin of L4.3/LAM. The software RASP was used to reconstruct the hypothetical geographical origin of the MTBC L4.3/LAM ancestor genotype. The Bayesian phylogeny of 294 isolates (including H37Rv as the outgroup) and the corresponding continents of birth for patients were loaded as a distribution. We used the S-DIVA (a parsimony-based method) as well as the Bayesian binary method (BBM) implementation in RASP. A set of trees from MrBayes was used to correct for phylogenetic uncertainty in the S-DIVA analysis. Populations were defined according to country of birth of the patients and according to United Nations definition. The isolates from Turkey, Libya, Algeria and Morocco were in the category ‘Europe and Mediterranean’. RASP reconstruction was performed without the outgroup (H37Rv). As we observed a single strain (from Ukraine) with a distinct, basal position in the phylogeny, we also performed a sensitivity analysis by excluding that isolate for the RASP analysis. With both methods, BBM as well as S-DIVA, the changes in the proportion of strains from each continent were minor. With BBM, the proportion of ‘Europe/Mediterranean’ for the ‘L4.3/LAM ancestor’ decreased to 98.8%, and with S-DIVA the proportion of ‘Europe/Mediterranean’ decreased to 99.0% when excluding this basal isolate.

Data availability statement. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files. Sequencing reads have been submitted to the EMBL-EBI European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under study accession PRJEB11460. Sample and run accession numbers for individual strains can be found in Supplementary Table 7.
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