Network-specific resting-state connectivity changes in the premotor-parietal axis in writer's cramp

Tobias Mantel¹, Tobias Meindl¹, Yong Li¹, Angela Jochim³, Gina Gora-Stahlberg⁵, Jona Kräenbring², Maria Berndt³, Christian Dresel⁴, Bernhard Haslinger³,

¹ Department of Neurology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Ismaningerstrasse 22, Munich, Germany
² Department of Psychiatry, Isar-Amper-Klinikum München-Ost, Vockstrasse 72, Haar, Germany
³ Department of Neurology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Ismaningerstrasse 22, Munich, Germany
⁴ Department of Neuropsychiatry, Johannes Gutenberg University, School of Medicine, Langenbeckstrasse 1, Mainz, Germany
⁵ Department of Neuroradiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Ismaningerstrasse 22, Munich, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Resting state
Functional connectivity
Dystonia
Premotor cortex
Cerebellum

ABSTRACT

Background: Writer's cramp is a task-specific dystonia impairing writing and sometimes other fine motor tasks. Neuroimaging studies using manifold designs have shown varying results regarding the nature of changes in the disease.

Objective: To clarify and extend the knowledge of underlying changes by investigating functional connectivity (FC) in intrinsic connectivity networks with putative sensorimotor function at rest in an increased number of study subjects.

Methods: Resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging with independent component analysis was performed in 26/27 writer’s cramp patients/healthy controls, and FC within and between resting state networks with putative sensorimotor function was compared. Additionally, voxel-based morphometry was carried out on the subjects’ structural images.

Results: Patients displayed increased left- and reduced right-hemispheric primary sensorimotor FC in the premotor-parietal network. Mostly bilaterally altered dorsal/ventral premotor FC, as well as altered parietal FC were observed within multiple sensorimotor networks and showed differing network-dependent directionality. Beyond within-network FC changes and reduced right cerebellar grey matter volume in the structural analysis, the positive between-network FC of the cerebellar network and the basal ganglia network was reduced.

Conclusions: Abnormal resting-state FC in multiple networks with putative sensorimotor function may act as basis of preexisting observations made during task-related neuroimaging. Further, altered connectivity between the cerebellar and basal ganglia network underlines the important role of these structures in the disease.

1. Introduction

Writer's cramp (WC) is a task-specific focal hand dystonia (FHD) with a peak incidence between the 3rd and 5th decade causing abnormal and disabling postures through uncoordinated overworking muscle activity solely during writing (simple WC) or also during fine motor tasks (dystonic WC) (Sheehy and Marsden, 1982). In the past, a number of neuroimaging studies have been conducted to further elucidate the yet not fully clear mechanisms of this disease, and both functional and structural changes in the primary sensorimotor and the premotor/supplementary motor cortex, the cerebellum and basal ganglia have been described (Hallett, 2006; Neychev et al., 2011). During the last years, the concept of resting state functional connectivity (FC) networks has gained much attention. It refers to the observation that networks of brain regions temporally correlate by low frequency fluctuations of the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal in the absence of experimental tasks (Biswal et al., 1997; Cordes et al., 2001; Fox and Raichle, 2007). Interestingly, those spatial
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networks of temporally correlated brain areas have a distribution similar to what is observed in task activation studies, hinting at a common functionality. The correlation between the hubs in such networks has further been shown to increase in the presence of adequate tasks suggesting that task-related activity may constitute a superposition of spontaneous BOLD activity at rest (Fox and Raichle, 2007). In WC, task-based neuroimaging studies have promoted the identification of brain areas involved in this disease but, using various different experimental paradigms, yielded ambiguous results regarding the nature of changes. As an example, in FHD either induced (Ibanez et al., 1999; Langbour et al., 2017; Nelson et al., 2009; Oga et al., 2002), increased (Lerner et al., 2004; Odergren et al., 1998) or opposed (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997) primary sensory (S1) and/or motor (M1) activity have been shown during sensory (Langbour et al., 2017; Nelson et al., 2009) or dystonic (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997; Lerner et al., 2004; Odergren et al., 1998) and asymptomatic (Ibanez et al., 1999; Oga et al., 2002) motor tasks. Studying the connectivity between brain regions in the absence of tasks might thus seem suitable to identify underlying changes in this disease. Still, earlier findings in resting state fMRI (rsfMRI) were not unequivocal. While one early rsfMRI study reported reduced left primary somatosensory connectivity when investigating one sensorimotor network (Mohammadi et al., 2012), a seed-based approach reported increased connectivity between left S1 and M1 (Dresel et al., 2014) and had the constraint of a required prior spatial definition of regions of interest (ROIs). In this study, we aimed at further clarifying and possibly extending the knowledge of underlying changes in this disease by investigating cortical and subcortical intrinsic connectivity networks with attributed sensorimotor functionality applying an independent component based approach that avoids a possible bias induced by the choice of seed regions using an increased number of study subjects compared to previous trials. Additionally, a voxel-based morphometry analysis was performed to detect underlying grey matter changes as a possible cause of FC changes.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

We investigated 26 WC patients (PAT; age 46.8 ± 13.7 years, m/f 15/11) and 27 healthy subjects (CONTR; age 49.3 ± 13.9 years, m/f 14/13) < 70 years of age with no neuro-psychiatric/major internal disease, no neuroleptic or anticholinergic medication and a normal structural MRI, whose functional scans fulfilled the criteria of a composite (translation and rotation (Power et al., 2014)) head displacement of less than the voxel size in maximum and half the voxel size on average. No patient had received botulinum toxin therapy within the last three months prior to the study. The functional motor impairment of the hand was assessed with the arm dystonia disability scale (ADDS) for fine motor tasks, and specifically for writing using the writer's cramp rating scale (WCRS). The university ethics board approved the study. All participants gave their written informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Data acquisition and preprocessing

For rsfMRI, 303 T2+ echo-planar whole-brain functional MR images were acquired for each participant on a Philips Achieva 3.0 T scanner with an 8-channel head coil (TR/TE 2200/30 ms, field of view (FoV) 216 × 216 mm², 36 slices, voxel size 3 × 3 × 3 mm³, scan time 11 min). The participants were instructed to keep their eyes closed during the whole experiment. To minimize the risk of motion artifacts, the head was fixed with foam pads. After rsfMRI, a high-resolution 3D TI-weighted structural image was acquired for anatomical reference (TR/TE/TI 59/4/780 ms, FoV 240 × 240 mm², 170 slices, voxel size 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, scan time 6 min).

Preprocessing of functional data was performed in SPM12 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) and Matlab2013a (The MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts), and involved realignment for head motion correction, slice timing correction, coregistration with the anatomical reference image and normalization to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space with resampling to 2 × 2 × 2 mm³ voxels. The data were spatially smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 8 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM). The first five scans of the rsfMRI run were discarded to ensure longitudinal magnetization equilibrium. The average framewise displacement (Power et al., 2014) (translation and rotation) was 0.10 ± 0.03 mm in PAT and 0.10 ± 0.03 mm in CONTR (F1,51 = 0, p = 1.0), the composite maximum head displacement 1.32 ± 0.71 mm in PAT and 1.15 ± 0.53 mm in CONTR (F1,51 = 0.90, p = 0.35) and the total intracranial volume (TIV) 1612.1 ± 191.4 mm³ in PAT and 1544.1 ± 164.9 mm³ in CONTR (F1,51 = 1.96, p = 0.17).

2.3. Group independent component analysis

Group spatial independent component analysis (ICA) on the rsfMRI data of each patients and healthy controls was performed as implemented in the GIFT v3.0 software (http://mialab.mrn.org). ICA estimates spatially maximally independent sources from the linearly mixed signals contained in a spatiotemporal fMRI dataset, providing spatial maps of temporally coherent brain regions (functional spatial networks) (Calhoun et al., 2001). This approach has been shown to effectively identify and remove various sources of motion and non-motion-related noise in fMRI data (Griffanti et al., 2014). Further, its suggested property of being sensitive to the detection of subtle changes (Roch et al., 2012) is desirable when investigating task-specific dystonia. The calculated spatially independent components (ICs) represent either meaningful (i.e. intrinsic connectivity networks (ICNs)) or spurious (e.g. noise) information.

In a first step, the number of components in the whole dataset was determined by a dimensionality estimation using the minimum description length algorithm resulting in an estimate of a maximum of 52 and a mean number of 33 ICs. Based on these estimates, a stepwise dimensionality reduction was performed in each group using principal component analysis (PCA) (Celone et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2011), retaining 52 components at the subject- and 33 components at the grouplevel. This was followed by IC separation using the InfoMax algorithm (Bell and Sejnowski, 1995). Reliability testing was performed using the ICASSO toolbox (Himberg et al., 2004): ICA was repeated 40 times, the components were clustered, and their quality quantified using the index IQ (range 0 to 1) which mirrors the difference between intra- and extra-cluster similarity. Back-reconstruction of subject-specific spatial maps was performed from the aggregate spatiotemporal data set using a method based on PCA compression and projection robust for low model orders (GICA I) (Calhoun et al., 2001). To identify components of likely functional relevance in WC for further analysis, the spatial IC maps were correlated with publicly available maps of ICNs identified in a meta-analysis of task fMRI studies performed by Laird and colleagues (Laird et al., 2011) using multiple regression. The non-noise IC with the best fit (highest coefficient of determination) was selected. ICs representing noise were identified by standardized visual inspection of their spatial (activation pattern and tissue overlap with grey matter (GM)) and temporal characteristics (e.g. presence of saw tooth and high frequency patterns or spikes) as previously described (Kelly et al., 2010). Those identified components with attributed sensorimotor function in the meta-analysis (Laird et al., 2011) were then selected for further analysis (see Fig. A1.): a basal ganglia-thalamus network (BGN, ~Laird’s ICN3), a cerebellar network (CN, ~Laird’s ICN14), a pre-motor-parietal network (PPN, ~Laird’s ICN7), a dorsal sensorimotor network (dSMN, ~Laird’s ICN8/9) and a ventral sensorimotor network (vSMN, ~Laird’s ICN17). The primary visual network (VN, ~Laird’s ICN12) was chosen as a control. All investigated components were highly stable (IQ ≥ 0.95). All selected networks have been previously
described at rest (Allen et al., 2011; Beckmann et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2009). Other identified ICNs reported by Laird et al. not chosen for analysis are visualized in Fig. A.2.

2.3.1. Analysis of within-network FC

The corresponding single-subject spatial IC maps of each selected network were entered into within- and between-group t-tests in SPM12 to draw population-based inferences on the composition and FC of these RSNs. In addition to sex and age, the maximum composite total head displacement and the average framewise head displacement were included as regressors in the second-level model to control for possible residual head motion not accounted for by ICA. To correct for multiple voxel-wise comparisons, we applied a Bonferroni-corrected peak-level threshold of p(FDR) < 0.0083 (p(FDR) < 0.05/6 for six investigated ICNs) in addition to a cluster-level threshold of p(FWE) < 0.05. A combined binary mask from the within-group analyses was created to ensure that only highly connected regions of each network were analyzed.

2.3.2. Analysis of between-network FC

We calculated an estimate for the FC between the investigated ICNs by correlating the time courses of these ICNs in each subject. Processing of time courses involved the removal of linear, cubic and quadratic trends, despiking, filtering at a standard high-frequency cut-off of 15 Hz and regression of realignment parameters. The individual’s Fisher’s z-transformed Pearson correlation coefficients $z_i$ were then compared between groups in an analysis of covariance including the covariates age and sex. The significance level was set at $p < 0.01$ ($p = 0.05/5$ for five comparisons/network).

2.4. Morphometric analysis

Voxel-based morphometry was performed using an optimized procedure as implemented in the CAT12 toolbox (http://www.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat/). Structural scans were skull-stripped, tissue segmented using the implemented adaptive maximum a posterior approach (Rajapakse et al., 1997) and normalized to MNI space using DARTEL (diffeomorphic anatomical registration using exponentiated lie algebra). Jacobian modulation was performed on the resulting GM segments that were smoothed with an 8 mm FWHM isotropic Gaussian kernel. The significance level of the age, sex and TIV-adjusted between-group t-test was set at a peak-level threshold of $p < 0.001$ (uncorrected) with an additional cluster level-threshold at p(FWE) < 0.05. Trends are reported for a cluster-level threshold of p(FDR) < 0.05.

2.5. Post hoc correlation with clinical characteristics

Given a significant result in the above-described between-group analyses, separate post hoc multiple regression analyses with the clinical parameters disease duration, ADDS and WCRS were set up for the PAT group. Inclusion of nuisance regressors and correction for multiple comparisons was performed as in the respective between-group analyses.

3. Results

Statistical analysis revealed no significant between-group difference in age ($F_{1,51} = 0.41$, $p = 0.53$) or sex ($F_{1,51} = 0.18$, $p = 0.68$). The mean disease duration in PAT was $13.2 \pm 10.8$ years, the ADDS was rated $60.8 \pm 20.1\%$ and the WCRS $11.7 \pm 5.4$ points.

3.1. Analysis of within-network FC

The between-group analysis of the six ICNs revealed significant FC changes in all networks except the VN (Fig. 1, Tables 1, 2). The networks and their spatial extent in each group are illustrated in Fig. A.1.

3.1.1. PPN

Increased FC in PAT was seen for the left lateral dorsal premotor cortex (PMd) and the left primary sensorimotor cortex (SM1, GM of the central sulcus). Reduced FC was observed for the right M1 and S1, supramarginal gyrus (SMG) and posterior superior parietal cortex (SPC) as well as for the medial intraparietal sulcus area (IPS) bilaterally. Further, we found reduced FC for the right caudal and rostral ventral premotor cortex (PMv) and bilateral inferior frontal sulcus area.

3.1.2. SMNs

Within the dSMN, PAT showed increased FC for the left ventromedial prefrontal cortex and reduced FC for the PMd and the supplementary motor area (SMA) bilaterally. Within the vSMN, there was increased FC in PAT for the temporo-occipital junction and there were no areas of significantly reduced FC.

3.1.3. CN

Increased FC in PAT was found for the posterior cerebellum and the caudal PMv bilaterally and reduced FC was observed for the left posterior SPC.

3.1.4. BGN

Increased FC in PAT was found for the PMd, PMv (with findings for the left PMd extending to the preSMA), posterior cerebellum and secondary somatosensory cortex (S2) bilaterally. Further, FC was increased for the left SM1, cingulate cortices and the right SMG and posterior SPC. Reduced FC in PAT was found for the caudate and thalamus bilaterally, as well as for the right pallidum and hippocampus.

3.2. Analysis of between-network FC

The analysis of inter-network FC revealed a significant reduction of positive FC between the CN and BGN ($F_{1,49} = 16.4$, $p = 0.0002$, $r = 0.51$) as well as the CN and VN ($F_{1,49} = 4.14$, $p = 0.003$, $r = 0.41$) in PAT (Figs. 2, A.3; Table 3).

3.3. Analysis of structural changes

Voxel-based morphometry revealed significantly reduced GM volume in PAT in the right posterior cerebellum ($x|y|z = 28|−64|−40$, $t = 4.31$, $k = 2478$) and a trend for reduced GM volume in the left posterior cerebellum ($x|y|z = −34|−74|−40$, $t = 4.42$, $k = 2159$; Fig. 1).

3.4. Correlation with clinical characteristics

Multiple regression did not reveal any significant correlation between disease duration, ADDS and WCRS with within- or between-network FC values in PAT. Multiple regression with voxel-wise GM values revealed a significant positive correlation between the score on the WCRS and GM volume in the left S2 ($x|y|z = −58|−22|32$, $t = 6.59$, $k = 1952$).

4. Discussion

WC patients displayed altered within-network FC at rest mainly in the dSMN, PPN, BGN and CN, involving various FC changes of primary sensorimotor, premotor and parietal cortices as well as of thalamus, basal ganglia and cerebellum. These encompassed in their entirety a web of regions previously identified as being active during the task of writing (Rijntjes et al., 1999). Parallel to this, for the cerebellum also structural changes of GM volume were shown.
4.1. Primary sensorimotor cortices

We observed abnormal FC of the primary sensorimotor cortex hand area(s) in patients within the PPN, showing increased left-hemispheric SM1 FC and a reduced right-hemispheric S1 and M1 FC. Additionally, there was increased left-hemispheric SM1 FC within the BGN. A previous ICA-based study investigating 16 WC patients described reduced left SM1 FC in the sensorimotor network (Mohammadi et al., 2012) in which we did not observe altered SM1 FC. The increased FC in the hemisphere contralateral to the dystonic hand is in line with the observation of increased S1-to-M1 FC in a recent seed-based rsfMRI study (Dresel et al., 2014). During task-related fMRI primary sensorimotor overactivity was seen when a dystonic task was involved (Preibisch et al., 2001), while the opposite was mainly observed in context of nondystonic interventions (simple motor tasks/sensory stimulation) (Langbour et al., 2017; Nelson et al., 2009; Oga et al., 2002). For S1, also altered functional topography of dystonic/non-dystonic body parts in task-induced FHDs has been shown (Meunier et al., 2001; Nelson et al., 2009). In analogy to the findings of task activation studies in FHD, our finding of increased FC of the dystonic hands’ left-hemispheric SM1 representation may represent a correlate of defective intracortical inhibition and/or malfunctional plasticity that have been shown for FHD both in the left S1 and M1 (Quartarone and Hallett, 2013). Beyond intracortical changes, there is evidence for abnormal interhemispheric inhibition in FHD (Nelson et al., 2010; Niehaus et al., 2001). We observed increased left- and reduced right-hemispheric FC within the PPN. In healthy subjects unilateral motor tasks/tactile stimulation of the hand during fMRI lead to a contralateral increase and an ipsilateral reduction in M1/S1 BOLD activity which is assumed to mirror interhemispheric interactions (Eickhoff et al., 2008; Hamzei et al., 2002). Neurophysiologic studies in FHD reported reduced interhemispheric inhibition (right to left) of the right dystonic hand’s M1 representation at rest (Nelson et al., 2010), and increased interhemispheric inhibition (left to right) of the unaffected left hand’s M1 representation during muscle contraction (Niehaus et al., 2001). Congruently, transcranial dual current stimulation during bimanual mirrored finger movements reduced dystonic symptoms in musician’s dystonia of the right hand when reducing the excitability of the left and increasing the excitability of the right M1 (Furuya et al., 2014). Thus, our observations within the PPN may provide some correlate for an abnormal interhemispheric interaction.

4.2. Premotor and parietal dysconnectivity

We observed multiple FC changes of the premotor cortex (PMC) affecting mostly the SMA, PMd and PMv. First, reduced bilateral SMA FC was seen within the dSMN, a network that has been linked to tasks involving hand action (Laird et al., 2011). Consistently, activity of the SMA was found reduced in most (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997; Ibanez et al., 1999; Lerner et al., 2004; Oga et al., 2002) task-based WC fMRI studies and contingent negative variation (attributed to a thalamo-SMA circuit (Nagai et al., 2004)) is reduced in WC (Hamano et al., 1999).

Second, we observed FC changes of the PMd within various ICNs. FC increases were seen in patients within the BGN bilaterally and the PPN (a mainly cortical network functionally associated with visuomotor task performance (Laird et al., 2011)) in the left hemisphere, and a FC reduction in patients was observed bilaterally within the dSMN. The PMd is found active during visuomotor tasks of the upper extremity and assumed to integrate multisensory information to compose task-adequate motor programs; it may further be involved in their subsequent execution (Hoshi and Tanji, 2007). Transcranial magnetic stimulation over the left-hemispheric PMd in healthy subjects interferes with hand motor sequences during visuomotor tasks (Schluter et al., 1998), the latter being dysfunctional in task-specific FHD (Granert et al., 2011). Motor task-related fMRI studies in WC reported both increased (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997; Lerner et al., 2004; Odergren et al., 1998) and reduced (Ibanez et al., 1999; Langbour et al., 2017) dorsal premotor activity.

Third, patients showed altered FC predominantly for caudal PMv domains (Brodmann’s area 6). Increased FC was observed bilaterally within the CN and BGN and reduced FC was found within the PPN. In the latter, findings had right-hemispheric emphasis and also encompassed rostral PMv domains. Though the rostral PMv has classically been linked to speech, it has reliably been demonstrated to be also active during hand action (Rizzolatti et al., 2002). PMv areas are involved in the matching of multisensory input (esp. visuospatial information) to ongoing motor performance, thus enabling grip precision (Hoshi and Tanji, 2007; Rizzolatti et al., 2002) which has been shown to be impaired in FHD (Nowak et al., 2005).

Integrated multisensory information reaches the PMCs via the posterior parietal cortex (PPC). Its upper domain, the SPC, is suggested to provide integrated somatosensory and visual inputs to the PMd (Schepersjans et al., 2008). In the present study FC in patients was increased for the right SPC within the BGN, and reduced within the CN in...
Further, the FC of GM encompassing the medial IPS area was reduced in the right SMG to be reduced within the dSMN and increased in the BGN. Inferior parietal cortex, is linked to the PMv. Here, we found the FC for right PMv to be greater in the dSMN (Delnooz et al., 2012). The signifi-
cance of the greater cortical extent.

The term sensorimotor cortex describes maxima located in the central sulcus of the anatomical mean image that were not unequivocally assignable to the primary sensory or motor area.

An abnormal processing of (multi)sensory input is another key pathophysiologic concept in dystonia. It is suggested to lead to impaired sensorimotor integration in the disease, resulting in dystonic posture through loss of coordinated muscle activity (Quartarone and Hallett, 2013). Dysfunctional sensory processing in dystonia becomes evident in altered tactile spatiotemporal detection thresholds, vibration sense and mental rotation (Stamelou et al., 2012), with especially the latter altered tactile spatiotemporal detection thresholds, vibration sense and mental rotation (Stamelou et al., 2012), with especially the latter altered tactile spatiotemporal detection thresholds, vibration sense and mental rotation (Stamelou et al., 2012), with especially the latter.
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Increased FC of the PMCs ganglia in FHD has been suggested as the cause of dystonic muscle co-gating of (motor-related) sensory and motor information in the basal ganglia (Mink, 1996). Dysfunctional surround inhibition resulting in impaired motor learning in FHD (Shakkottai et al., 2017), and Neumann et al. recently observed increased anticorrelation of cerebellar ROIs to multiple cortical areas (Dresel et al., 2014). The concept of disturbed cerebello-cortical interaction in WC is supported by Hubsch and colleagues, who proposed that a loss of cerebellar capacity to modulate motor cortical plasticity in the presence of sensory input (Hubsch et al., 2013). At the cerebellar level, we found increased FC of the posterior sensorimotor cortex. Increased cerebellar activity has been frequently reported during dystonic writing (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997; Odergren et al., 1998). Studies in patients with structural cerebellar disease suggest that cerebellar output modulates primary sensory/motor cortical excitability (Restuccia et al., 2001; Tamburin et al., 2004). Structurally, this study confirmed previous observations by Delaire and colleagues of reduced cerebellar GM density (Delaire et al., 2007). The present study did not observe a direct spatial overlap of FC and structural changes, whose potential relationship thus remains unclear.

A mouse model, increased cerebellar and reduced basal ganglia function led to dystonia (Neychev et al., 2008), though results from mouse studies have to be interpreted carefully. In this respect, the present study further made the interesting finding of a loss of between-network CN-to-BGN FC at rest, while the between-network FC of the CN to the PPN and v/dSMN was unchanged. Recent task-based studies suggested a disruption of striatal and cerebellar interaction during motor learning in FHD (Shakkottai et al., 2017), and Neumann et al. observed increased cerebellar-cerebellar coupling and dystonic symptom severity in primary dystonia when investigating local field potentials that have been shown to correlate with the fMRI BOLD response (Magri et al., 2012; Neumann et al., 2015). All this supports the hypothesis, who reported a loss of cerebellar capacity to modulate motor responses (Magri et al., 2012; Neumann et al., 2015). Besides methodological differences between studies, the reason for these mixed findings remains unclear.

4.3. Cerebellar and basal ganglia circuits

Growing evidence suggests a role not only of the basal ganglia, but also the cerebellum in dystonia (Neychev et al., 2011; Shakkottai et al., 2017). Lesions of both basal ganglia and (rarely) cerebellum can cause dystonia (LeDoux and Brady, 2003), and both structures have been found to modulate cortical sensorimotor excitability (Restuccia et al., 2001; Tamburin et al., 2004). While some have argued for a mostly compensatory role of a dysfunctional basal ganglia-cerebellar interaction, alternative suggestions of primary defect have been made (Neychev et al., 2011; Shakkottai et al., 2017).

In the BGN, FC changes at the cortical level were focused on an increase in the dorsal > ventral PMC and in executive anterior cingulate areas. Additionally, FC changes in the left SM1 and bilateral S2, a relay for sensorimotor integration, were seen. In the latter, a lower left-hemispheric GM volume was associated with lower scores (= less impairment) on the WCRS. The basal ganglia enable learning of skilled movements and precise selection of movements in motor sequences (Mink, 1996). Dysfunctional surround inhibition resulting in impaired gating of (motor-related) sensory and motor information in the basal ganglia in FHD has been suggested as the cause of dystonic muscle co-activation (Quartarone and Hallett, 2013). Increased FC of the PMCs and bilaterally reduced FC of the corpus of the caudate nucleus and thalamus within the BGN might hence reflect reduced inhibitory basal ganglia influence. Earlier neuroimaging has shown both reduced and increased activity in the thalamus (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997; Odergren et al., 1998) and basal ganglia (Gallea et al., 2016; Peller et al., 2006) as well as altered motor putaminal functional topography (Delmaire et al., 2005) in FHD. Seed-based studies observed reduced connectivity of putaminal or pallidal ROIs to primary sensory (motor) cortices during a non-dystonic finger tapping, dystonic writing (Gallea et al., 2016; Moore et al., 2012) and at rest (Dresel et al., 2014). FC changes within the CN at the cortical level were focused on the left SPC and the bilateral PMv, both areas with an emphasis on multisensory integration. The cerebellum processes considerable sensory input. Within the concept of internal forward models, it is thought to quickly provide information about the expected sensory consequences of motor commands enabling appropriate selection and rapid adaption of motor programs (Baumann et al., 2015). Altered FC of the PMv and SPC within the CN, but also between the CN and VN (similarly observed previously in embouchure dystonia (Haslinger et al., 2016)) hints at a disruption of cerebellar sensory integration in dystonia. Dresel et al. recently observed increased anticorrelation of cerebellar ROIs to multiple cortical areas (Dresel et al., 2014). The concept of disturbed cerebello-cortical interaction in WC is supported by Hubsch and colleagues, who proposed that a loss of cerebellar capacity to modulate motor cortical plasticity in the presence of sensory input (Hubsch et al., 2013). At the cerebellar level, we found increased FC of the posterior sensorimotor cortex. Increased cerebellar activity has been frequently reported during dystonic writing (Ceballos-Baumann et al., 1997; Odergren et al., 1998). Studies in patients with structural cerebellar disease suggest that cerebellar output modulates primary sensory/motor cortical excitability (Restuccia et al., 2001; Tamburin et al., 2004). Structurally, this study confirmed previous observations by Delaire and colleagues of reduced cerebellar GM density (Delaire et al., 2007). The present study did not observe a direct spatial overlap of FC and structural changes, whose potential relationship thus remains unclear.

In a mouse model, increased cerebellar and reduced basal ganglia function led to dystonia (Neychev et al., 2008), though results from mouse studies have to be interpreted carefully. In this respect, the present study further made the interesting finding of a loss of between-network CN-to-BGN FC at rest, while the between-network FC of the CN to the PPN and v/dSMN was unchanged. Recent task-based studies suggested a disruption of striatal and cerebellar interaction during motor learning in FHD (Shakkottai et al., 2017), and Neumann et al. observed increased inverse correlation of pallido-cerebellar coupling and dystonic symptom severity in primary dystonia when investigating local field potentials that have been shown to correlate with the fMRI BOLD response (Magri et al., 2012; Neumann et al., 2015). All this supports the hypothesis, who reported a loss of cerebellar capacity to modulate motor responses (Magri et al., 2012; Neumann et al., 2015). Besides methodological differences between studies, the reason for these mixed findings remains uncertain.

5. Conclusion

The present study observed multiple and network-specific FC changes in primary and especially higher-order sensory and motor networks at rest. The involvement of multiple sensorimotor networks primarily or secondarily affected by the disease may act as basis of the preexisting observations in task-related fMRI studies. Further, we found supportive evidence for a disrupted interaction between the cerebellar and basal ganglia network at rest. Our findings underpin the concept of...
dystonia as a network disorder centered around possible basal ganglia and/or cerebellar dysfunction. At the end, functional imaging as applied in this study does not ultimately allow discriminating if the results are an endophenotype or at least in part secondary or compensatory for dystonia. Further study is needed to better understand the underlying structural correlates of the observed neuronal network changes in this disease.

Acknowledgements

We cordially thank all subjects who took part in this study.

Ethics approval

The study has been approved by the local ethics review board and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Funding

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG HA3370/5-1), Bonn, Germany.

Disclosures concerning the present manuscript

T.M. has received research support from the KKF (Klinikum rechts der Isar, Muenchen) (E03-15). C.D. has received research support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) (DFG HA3370/3-1) and the KKF (Klinikum rechts der Isar, Muenchen). B.H. receives research support from the German Research Foundation (DFG) (DFG HA3370/5-1) and Ipsen. The other authors report no disclosures.

Full financial disclosures for the previous 12 months

T. Mantel has received travel grants from Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH, AbbVie Deutschland GmbH and Bayer Vital GmbH. T. Meinild has received travel grants from Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Ipsen Pharma GmbH and Pharm-Allergan GmbH. B.H. receives research support from Ipsen Pharma GmbH and DFG, has received speaker honoraria from Pharm-Allergan GmbH and Pharm-Allergan GmbH. The other authors report no disclosures.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.10.001.

References

Allen, E.A., Erhardt, E.B., Damaraju, E., Gruner, W., Segal, J.M., Silva, R.F., Havlicek, M., Rachakonda, S., Fries, J., Kalyanam, R., Michael, A.M., Caprihan, A., Turner, J.A., Eichler, T., Adelstein, S., Bryan, A.D., Bultitude, J., Clark, V.P., Feldstein Ewing, S.W., Filbay, F., Ford, C.C., Hutchison, K., Jung, R.E., Kiehl, K.A., Kondo, S., Kosinski, W., Krueger, E., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S., Lerner, A., Shill, H., Hanakawa, T., Bushara, K., Gold, S.
