Characteristics of Peripheral Lymphocyte Subset Alteration in COVID-19 Pneumonia
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Background. In December 2019, novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) pneumonia (COVID-19) was reported in Wuhan and has since rapidly spread throughout China. We aimed to clarify the characteristics and clinical significance of peripheral lymphocyte subset alteration in COVID-19.

Methods. The levels of peripheral lymphocyte subsets were measured by flow cytometry in 60 hospitalized COVID-19 patients before and after treatment, and their association with clinical characteristics and treatment efficacy was analyzed.

Results. Total lymphocytes, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, and natural killer (NK) cells decreased in COVID-19 patients, and severe cases had a lower level than mild cases. The subsets showed a significant association with inflammatory status in COVID-19, especially CD8+ T cells and CD4+/CD8+ ratio. After treatment, 37 patients (67%) showed clinical response, with an increase in CD8+ T cells and B cells. No significant change in any subset was detected in nonresponsive cases. In multivariate analysis, posttreatment decrease in CD8+ T cells and B cells and increase in CD4+/CD8+ ratio were indicated as independent predictors of poor efficacy.

Conclusions. Peripheral lymphocyte subset alteration was associated with clinical characteristics and treatment efficacy of COVID-19. CD8+ T cells tended to be an independent predictor for COVID-19 severity and treatment efficacy.
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ratio of partial pressure of arterial oxygen (Pao₂) to fraction of inspired oxygen (Fio₂) ≤300 mmHg. After 1 week of treatment, clinical response was defined according to the following criteria: (1) symptom alleviation (eg, fever, cough, chest distress, and shortness of breath); and (2) improvement in radiological abnormalities on chest CT or radiograph. Cases not meeting these criteria were classified as nonresponsive.

Flow Cytometry
Samples of EDTA anticoagulated peripheral blood (2 mL) were collected from patients with COVID-19 before initial treatment and a second sample was collected after 1 week of treatment. All samples were tested within 6 hours of being obtained. Briefly, CD3+/CD4+/CD8+ T-cell, CD19+ B-cell, and CD16+CD56+ NK-cell counts (cells/μL) were measured by multiple-color flow cytometry with human monoclonal anti-CD3-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), anti-CD4-phycocerythrin (PE), anti-CD8-phycoerythrin C (PECy7), anti-CD19-PE, anti-CD16-APC, and anti-CD56-PE antibodies (BD Multitest) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cells were analyzed on a BD FACS Canto II flow cytometry system (BD Biosciences).

Statistical Analysis
Categorical data were described as percentages and continuous data as median with interquartile range (IQR). A nonparametric comparative test for continuous data was used to compare variables between groups. Multivariate analysis was used to identify independent predictors of lymphocyte subsets for the treatment efficacy in COVID-19. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was conducted to evaluate the ability of lymphocyte subsets in predicting treatment efficacy. Bootstrap test was used to compare 2 correlated ROC curves. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 21.0 software. P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics of 60 COVID-19 Patients
Sixty COVID-19 patients were included in the study (Table 1). The median age was 60 years (IQR, 38–66), and 22 patients (37%) were male. Hypertension (15%) and diabetes (10%) were the most common comorbidities. Fever (70%), cough (48%), and shortness of breath (32%) were the most common symptoms. According to CT or radiograph findings, 40 patients (67%) showed bilateral pneumonia. In blood tests, leukocytes, neutrophils, and platelets were below the normal range in 17 (67%), 11 (43%), and 1 (2%) patients, respectively. Lymphocytes were below the normal range in 38 patients (72%).

Peripheral Lymphocyte Subset Alteration in COVID-19
We initially analyzed the levels of lymphocyte subsets by flow cytometry in whole blood. Compared to healthy controls, COVID-19 patients had a significantly lower total lymphocytes (P < .0001), CD4+ T cells (P < .0001), CD8+ T cells (P < .0001), B cells (P = .0003), and NK cells (P < .0001) (Figure 1). No significant difference was observed in CD4+/CD8+ ratio (P = .603).

Lymphocyte Subset Levels and COVID-19 Severity
Nineteen patients (32%) were categorized as experiencing serious illness on admission. Compared to patients with mild illness, severe cases had significantly lower total lymphocytes (P = .0007), CD4+ T cells (P = .024), CD8+ T cells (P = .005), and B cells (P = .018) (Figure 2). No significant difference was observed in CD4+/CD8+ ratio (P = .392) and NK cells (P = .177).

Lymphocyte Subset Levels and Inflammatory Status
Inflammatory indicators (erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and interleukin-6 (IL-6)) were abnormal in 36 (71%), 34 (72%), and 30 (70%) patients on admission. Total lymphocytes and CD4+ T cells were negatively

### Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of 60 Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia

| Characteristic                      | Patients | Normal Range |
|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Age, y, median (IQR)              | 60 (38–66) | ...         |
| Male, No. (%)                      | 22 (37)  | ...         |
| Comorbidities, No. (%)             |          |              |
| Hypertension                       | 9 (15)   | ...         |
| Diabetes                           | 6 (10)   | ...         |
| Heart diseases                     | 1 (2)    | ...         |
| Symptoms, No. (%)                  |          |              |
| Fever                              | 42 (70)  | ...         |
| Cough                              | 29 (48)  | ...         |
| Breath shortness                   | 19 (32)  | ...         |
| Myalgia                            | 8 (13)   | ...         |
| Bilateral lung distribution, No. (%)| 40 (67)  | ...         |
| Severe illness on admission, No. (%)| 19 (32)  | ...         |
| Blood count, ×10⁹/L, median (IQR)  |          |              |
| Leukocyte                          | 4.2 (3.3–5.9) | 3.5–9.5 |
| Neutrophil                         | 2.8 (2.2–4.5) | 1.8–6.3 |
| Lymphocyte                         | 0.8 (0.6–1.2) | 1.1–3.2 |
| Platelet                           | 186 (131–225) | 125–350 |
| Inflammatory indicators, median (IQR) |          |              |
| ESR, mm/h                          | 24 (11–41) | 0–15       |
| C-reactive protein, mg/L           | 26 (9–67) | 0–10        |
| Interleukin-6, pg/mL               | 13 (6–29) | 0–7         |
| Treatment, No. (%)                 |          |              |
| Oxygen inhalation                  | 28 (47)  | ...         |
| Corticosteroid                     | 27 (45)  | ...         |
| Antiviral treatment                | 41 (68)  | ...         |
| Arbidol                            | 22 (37)  | ...         |
| Darunavir and cobicistat           | 14 (23)  | ...         |
| Lopinavir and ritonavir            | 10 (17)  | ...         |
| Remdesivir                         | 9 (15)   | ...         |
| Ribavirin                          | 6 (10)   | ...         |
| Interferon inhalation              | 19 (32)  | ...         |
| Immune enhancer                    | 23 (38)  | ...         |
| Thymalfasin                        | 19 (32)  | ...         |
| Immunoglobulin                     | 6 (10)   | ...         |

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; IQR, interquartile range.
correlated with ESR ($P = .037$ and $P = .011$, respectively). CD8$^+$ T cells were negatively correlated with ESR ($P < .0001$), CRP ($P = .001$), and IL-6 ($P = .005$) (Figure 3). CD4$^+$/CD8$^+$ ratio was positively correlated with ESR ($P = .035$), CRP ($P = .002$), and IL-6 ($P = .003$). B cells showed no significant correlation with ESR ($P = .778$), CRP ($P = .945$), or IL-6 ($P = .661$). NK cells were negatively correlated with IL-6 ($P = .049$).

**Posttreatment Lymphocyte Subset Alteration and Clinical Efficacy**

After hospitalization, 28 patients (47%) were treated with oxygen inhalation, 27 (45%) with intravenous corticosteroid, 41 (68%) with antiviral treatment, and 23 (38%) with immune enhancer. The most common antiviral treatment was Arbidol administration (37%) and interferon inhalation (32%), and 50% of patients received more than 1 antiviral regimen. In immune enhancing treatment, 32% and 10% of patients received thymalfasin and immunoglobulin, respectively.

After 1 week of treatment, 37 patients (67%) reached clinical response, and 18 (33%) had not reached clinical response. In responsive patients, total lymphocytes ($P < .0001$), CD8$^+$ T cells ($P < .0001$), and B cells ($P = .026$) increased significantly after treatment, and no significant change was detected in CD4$^+$ T cells, CD4$^+$/CD8$^+$ ratio, and NK cells ($P > .05$) (Figure 4). In nonresponsive patients, no significant change was detected in any
Figure 3. Correlation analysis of peripheral lymphocyte subset levels and inflammatory indicator levels in COVID-19 pneumonia patients. Solid line: fitted curve; dashed line: 95% confidence interval (CI) of the fitted curve. Abbreviations: ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6.
lymphocyte subsets \( (P > .05) \). Comparatively, corticosteroid treatment increased total lymphocytes significantly, while antiviral treatment increased total lymphocytes, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and B cells significantly \( (P = .011) \) and in CD4+/CD8+ ratio \( (P = .032) \) indicated a poor efficacy when considering the factors of age, sex, disease severity on admission, oxygen inhalation, antiviral treatment, and use of corticosteroid and immune enhancer \( \text{Table 2} \).

In multivariate analysis, posttreatment decrease in CD8+ T cells \( (P = .011) \) and B cells \( (P = .010) \) and increase in CD4+/CD8+ ratio \( (P = .032) \) indicated a poor efficacy when considering the factors of age, sex, disease severity on admission, oxygen inhalation, antiviral treatment, and use of corticosteroid and immune enhancer \( \text{Table 2} \).

ROC Curve Analysis

ROC curve analysis was conducted to evaluate the role of posttreatment alterations in peripheral lymphocyte subsets in predicting treatment efficacy \( \text{Figure 5} \). The area under the ROC curve \( (\text{AUC}) \) was 0.738 \( (95\% \text{ CI}, .586–.890) \) for CD8+ T-cell decrease, 0.605 \( (95\% \text{ CI}, .441–.769) \) for CD4+/CD8+ ratio increase, 0.600 \( (95\% \text{ CI}, .434–.765) \) for B-cell decrease, and 0.781 \( (95\% \text{ CI}, .638–.923) \) for the integrated indicator. Bootstrap testing indicated a higher predictive accuracy of the integrated indicator. Thus, it is important to clarify the characteristics of lymphocyte subsets in COVID-19, which could provide novel insights to explore the immune mechanism.

**DISCUSSION**

Since December 2019, COVID-19 has been reported in Wuhan and has rapidly spread throughout China. As with MERS-Cov and SARS-Cov, SARS-CoV-2 is a member of the coronavirus family and belongs to the \( \beta \)-coronaviruses \( [8] \). Infection by these coronavirus can cause sustained responses of cytokines and chemokines (namely a cytokine storm), leading to a high incidence of immune disorders and mortality \( [9] \).

Lymphocytes and their subsets play an important role in the maintenance of immune system function. As with immune diseases and other infectious disease, virus infection can also lead to dysregulation in the levels of lymphocyte subsets \( [10, 11] \). Cellular surface molecules to CD3+, CD4+, CD8+, CD16+, CD19+, and CD56+ mark the lymphocyte T-helper cells \( (\text{CD3}^+\text{CD4}^+) \) and cytotoxic T cells \( (\text{CD3}^+\text{CD8}^+) \), B cells \( (\text{CD19}^+) \), and NK cells \( (\text{CD16}^+\text{CD56}^+) \). These cells are involved in the humoral and cytotoxic immunity against viral infection. Thus, it is important to clarify the characteristics of lymphocyte subsets in COVID-19, which could provide novel insights to explore the immune mechanism.

In our study, lymphopenia was common in the patients with COVID-19 \( (72\%) \), indicating an impairment of the immune system during the course of SARS-CoV-2 infection. In addition, decreases in CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, and NK cells were also observed in the COVID-19 patients. These alterations were also found in patients with pneumonia caused by MERS-Cov and SARS-Cov \( [12] \). In the study by Cui et al on SARS, the incidence of lymphopenia was 84%, CD4+ T cell decreased in 100% of patients, CD8+ T cells decreased in 87%, B cells decreased in 76%, and NK cells decrease in 55% \( [13] \). In the study...
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by Assiri et al on MERS, lymphopenia occurred in 34% of patients [14]. Lymphopenia might be caused by virus attachment or indirectly by immune injuries from inflammatory mediators. Moreover, exudation of circulating lymphocytes into inflammatory lung tissues might also lead to lymphopenia.

Among COVID-19 patients, severe cases had a lower level of total lymphocytes, CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and B cells than mild cases, which was similar to the alteration in SARS [15, 16]. CD8+ T-cell levels were negatively correlated with inflammatory indicators ESR, CRP, and IL-6, while the CD4+/CD8+ ratio was positively correlated. Total lymphocyte and CD4+ T-cell levels were negatively associated with ESR, and NK cells were negatively correlated with IL-6. These findings indicate a more obvious change in CD8+ T cells than in other lymphocyte subsets after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Thus, lymphocytes and their subsets, especially CD8+ T cells, might be a potential predictor for disease severity and clinical efficacy in COVID-19.

After 1 week of treatment, in responsive cases there was a significant increase in total lymphocytes, CD8+ T cells, and B cells, but in nonresponsive cases there was no significant change in any lymphocyte subsets. However, these findings might be confounded by therapeutic factors. First, the lympholytic effects of corticosteroid could reduce the lymphocytes directly [17]. For the patients with corticosteroid treatment, the recovery of lymphocyte population might be weakened by the lympholytic effects of corticosteroid. Thus, we conducted a multivariate analysis to evaluate the effects of these potential confounders, and identified posttreatment decrease in CD8+

### Table 2. Multivariate Analysis of Posttreatment Alteration of Peripheral Lymphocyte Subsets and Clinical Efficacy in Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia

| Posttreatment Alteration | No. (%) | P Value | Odds Ratio | 95% CI |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|------------|-------|
| Total lymphocyte decrease | 17 (36) | .071    | 0.113      | .011–1.209 |
| CD3+CD4+ T-cell decrease | 16 (29) | .056    | 0.157      | .024–1.047 |
| CD3+CD8+ T-cell decrease | 16 (29) | .011    | 0.056      | .006–516 |
| CD4+/CD8+ ratio increase | 30 (56) | .032    | 0.099      | .012–821 |
| CD19+ B-cell decrease   | 14 (25) | .010    | 0.033      | .002–439 |
| CD16+CD56+ NK-cell decrease | 32 (59) | .190    | 0.310      | .054–1.787 |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

*Adjusted by age, sex, disease severity on admission, oxygen inhalation, antiviral treatment, and use of corticosteroid and immune enhancer.

### Figure 5.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis of posttreatment alteration of peripheral lymphocyte subsets in predicting clinical efficacy in COVID-19 pneumonia.
T cells and B cells and increase in CD4+/CD8+ ratio as independent predictors for poor clinical efficacy, especially CD8+ T cells. Moreover, we also found that corticosteroid treatment increased total lymphocytes significantly in comparison to the patients without corticosteroid treatment. The anti-inflammatory effects of corticosteroid might have contributed to the posttreatment increase in lymphocytes, which outweighed the lympholytic effects of corticosteroid.

Importantly, CD8+ T cells have been shown to play a critical role in mediating viral clearance after acute respiratory infections of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), influenza A virus (IAV), and human metapneumovirus [18, 19]. In animal experiments, the transfer of RSV- or IAV-immune CD8+ T cells into athymic mice significantly reduced viral titers [20, 21]. As in our results, cytotoxic immunity was involved in antiviral processes and the recovery of cytotoxic immune function (particularly CD8+ T cells) might be a reliable indicator of disease severity and recovery.

In conclusion, peripheral lymphocyte subset alteration showed a clear association with the clinical characteristics of COVID-19. CD8+ T cells tended to be an independent predictor for COVID-19 severity and treatment efficacy. These findings might help elucidate the pathogenesis and develop novel biomarkers and therapeutic strategies for COVID-19.
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