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Superior dielectric properties of epoxy-based photoresist thin film nanocomposites with carbon-coated Cu@C nanoparticles
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Abstract
The microelectronics community has shown great interest for high-k percolative nanodielectric materials for their applications as integrated capacitors. This work highlights the manufacturing process of high-quality Metal Polymer Nanocomposites (MPCs) thin dielectric films with Cu@C nanoparticles into SU8™ negative photolithographic resist. Four capacitor formulations from 0.8% vol up to 3.0%vol of Cu@C functionalized with an insulating layer of Polystyrene Pyrene-terminated (PyrPS) were produced. These films, with a targeted thickness of 15 μm, were spin-coated onto p-doped silicon wafers. Raman spectroscopy demonstrated the nature of the carbon shell of the nanoparticles and its effectiveness in protecting the core from oxidation, while SEM-EDS highlighted the uniformity of the nanoparticle distribution in the resist. Broadband dielectric spectroscopy measured an enhancement of εr to 124 with reasonable losses of 0.65 at 5 kHz for the (Cu@C)-PyrPS/SU8 3.0%vol nanocomposite. Through the use of Percolation Theory (PT) it was estimated the percolation threshold ϕc in the vicinity of 3.2%vol. Furthermore, no electrical aging or dielectric breakdown was detected at low voltage. All the results show the potential use of Cu@C nanoparticles to achieve a high-quality high-k MPC photoresists for the implementation as integrated capacitors.

Introduction
In recent decades, the microelectronics community has shown great interest in high dielectric constant nanocomposite (high-k) for the implementation of new nanocomposite materials for integrated capacitors [1, 2]. For instance, Metal Polymer Composites (MPCs) have received considerable attention due to their wide versatility to address the different needs and constraints of such applications [3, 4]. In these materials the filling volume fraction, chemical composition, homogeneity of dispersions and shape of the nanoparticles (NPs) dictate the dielectric properties.

Previous studies stated that MPCs following Percolation Theory can exhibit high permittivity value at the vicinity of percolation threshold [3, 6]. However, the values of the reported dielectric losses are often irreconcilable to allow their practical applications [7–10]. In fact, percolative nanodielectrics usually present a large increase in conductivity causing high dielectrics losses and making them not eligible as dielectric film capacitors [9, 10]. This latter undesirable effect is subordinate to the fact that nanoparticles tend to stay packed as aggregates and thus are complex to uniformly disperse in the polymeric matrix [11, 12]. Consequently, the achievement of a homogenous dispersion of NPs in a polymeric host is the crucial challenge to allow a fine tuning of these materials to combine high permittivity and low dielectric losses. For example, Lu et al [13] drew the conclusion that metallic silver (Ag) nanoparticles incorporated in carbon black/polymer composites enhance the dielectric constant of nanocomposite with value of 2260 at 10 kHz with dissipation factor of only 0.45 at 3.7%wt. Dang et al [14] have reported a percolative nanocomposite based on metallic nickel (Ni) nanoparticles randomly dispersed in PVDF showing a dielectric constant of 400 at 100 Hz and losses of 0.18
approaching percolation around 17%vol. Meeporn et al\[15\] claimed that ceramic nickelated Ag–MLSNO/PVDF nanocomposites can be used as a high-k material for energy storage applications with a dielectric constant of 62 at 1 kHz and low losses of 0.027 at a nanoparticle/polymer volume fraction of 17%vol. However, the reported values in literature vary over a wide range depending on the size of the nanoparticles, degree of nanostructuring and filling volume fraction percentage. Furthermore, most of the presented devices are millimetre thick and cannot be considered as suitable candidates for integrated capacitors.

Regarding all previous works, nanocomposites based on photolithographic resists seem to be the best suited materials to fit the needs of the microelectronics community in thin films with high dielectric constant. Following after this highly potential approach, this work aims to establish a wafer-scale film fabrication process using commercial carbon-coated copper nanoparticles (Cu@C), embedded in epoxy-based photoresist SUB™, to obtain a 15 μm thick capacitor with εᵣ > 100. The particles used were firstly chosen for their carbon shell, which will prevent the oxidation of the metallic core and thus, preserve the electrical properties of Cu. Secondly, the shell allow a non-covalent surface functionalization onto its graphene-like structure with a pyrene-terminated agent \[16, 17\].

The manufacturing process developed to obtain the nanocomposite thin films is subdivided into five steps: NP powder deagglomeration, surface functionalization, dispersion into the polymeric resist, spin-coating and photo-developement of the formulated thin film nanocomposites, local gold electrode deposition. For the steps of deagglomeration, functionalization and dispersion, sono-mechanical treatments were performed \[18\].

The dielectric properties of the formulated nanocomposite films were investigated by using broadband dielectric spectroscopy. Moreover, the effect of DC bias voltage on the dielectric properties was investigated. C–V loops highlighted a processable voltage window in which no evidence of electrical aging or dielectric breakdown was encountered. In the meantime, two mechanisms of electron field emission were observed as the filling volume fraction increases. The mentioned results were also modelled by using the percolation theory (PT) indicating a high dielectric constant of 124 in the vicinity of the percolation threshold (close to 3.2%vol).

Material and methods

Chemicals

Nanopowders Cu@C with a nanoparticle mean diameter of 25 nm were purchased from Nanostructured & Amorphous Materials, Inc. Poly(styrene), 1- (1-Pyrenyl)-Terminated (Mₙ/ Mₛ 1.3) (PyrPS) supplied by Polymer Source was used as surface agent. Negative i-line epoxy photoresist SUB™ 2050, composed of epoxy resin, propylene carbonate, triaryl sulfonium initiator and cyclopentanone was supplied by Microresist Corp. Propylene glycol methyl ether acetate >99% (PGMEA) was purchased from Microposit™ EC Solvent.

Nanocomposites preparation

The aim of this manufacturing process is to produce film nanocomposites with a targeted thickness of 15 μm, in which nanoparticles are uniformly dispersed at different volume fractions (%vol). Thus, thin dielectric films are obtained through spin-coating deposition of formulated nanocomposites onto 4-inch Si Boron p-doped wafers (0.001–0.005 Ohm.cm) to make Metal-Insulator-Semiconductor (MIS) devices. Obtaining a homogeneous dispersion of nanopowders in high viscosity resist remains the main challenge of the nanofabrication process. Previous studies claimed that Van der Walls attraction and electrostatic repulsion are competing for the agglomeration of nanospheres also during spin-coating deposition step. As a matter of fact, during the spin-coating step the NPs are driven into two directions enforced by two forces: (1) the centrifugation force that will align the particles radially and (2) the solvent evaporation force that will tend to stack particles vertically \[19\].

The first force controls the thickness obtained via the rotation speed of the spinner, while the second one depends on the casting solvent vapour pressure in regards with temperature and humidity. To limit the packing issue of the NPs, we developed a nanof ormulation procedure involving ultrasonication of the nanoparticles in the PGMEA solvent, which entails a non-covalent surface functionalization prior to films deposition. The functionalisation step was performed by adding Polystyrene Pyrene-terminated (PyrPS) under bath sonication, in order to adsorb a thin insulating nanometric layer onto the Cu@C nanoparticles. This step was also used to improve the dispersibility of the nanoparticles in the SUB™ photoresist host as demonstrated by previous studies \[20, 21\].

Preliminary tests have given to the nanoparticles a concentration of 0.1 g ml⁻¹ as the threshold limit to the achievement of a stable over time colloidal dispersion. Simultaneously, obtaining a targeted 15 μm thickness of the deposited nanocomposite films was achieved through coherent dilutions of the colloidal dispersion (Cu@C-PyrPS in PGMEA) and the photoresist. These dilutions allowed to maintain the same viscosity of the nanoformulations at different concentrations of nanoparticles. The complete fabrication of each nanocomposite consists in a five steps process as shown in figure 1.

Step 1: Deagglomeration of Cu@C nanoparticles and surface functionalization with PyrPS in PGMEA in sonication bath Fisherbrand FB15055 at the power of 90 W. Nanoparticles and surface agent are added by small
quantities, in alternation, to ensure good dispersion and functionalization. The totality of NPs powder is added in three times, as the PyrPS powder. Each addition of powder is followed by a 10 min sonication bath.

Step 2: Functionalized NPs are added into SU8™ 2050 photoresist and sono-mechanically dispersed by tip sonication for 30 min with QSONICA Sonicators Q500 at 100 W. In this step the SU8™ 2050 photoresist is diluted into a SU8™ 2010 photoresist.

Step 3: Spin-coating of 4 ml of nanocomposite resin onto a 4-inch wafer were performed by POLOS SPIN 200i at 1,500 rpm (with an acceleration of 5,000 rpm.s$^{-1}$) for 30 s to obtain a 15 μm thick film.

Step 4: Pre-bake, UV exposure and bake are performed on the spin-coated nanocomposite film. The two baking steps are performed with POLOS hotplate 200S (230 VAC) at 95°C for 3 min and the UV exposure ($\lambda = 365$ nm) was done with a home-built mask aligner at a dose of 135 mJ cm$^{-2}$. Finally, the photodefnable nanocomposite is developed in PGMEA for 3 min.

Step 5: Deposition of 100 nm thick gold electrodes by using Physical Vapor Deposition (PVD) with PLASSYS Evaporation HV/UHV and a home-built mask for the implementation of MIS devices. Film thickness was measured for each capacitor with a stylus profilometer (Bruker DektakXT).

Characterizations
To ensure the structure of the as received carbon-coated nanoparticles, Raman spectroscopy was performed on the raw powder. For this purpose, a beam of $\lambda = 532$ nm was used to verify the absence of copper(II) oxide (CuO) and the nature of the carboneous shell coating the nanoparticles [22]. Moreover, the morphology and chemical
composition of the nanoformulated nanocomposite films were analysed by SEM/EDS cross sectional images and elemental mapping with ZEISS GeminiSEM. These characterisations allow to verify the dispersion homogeneity of nanoparticles in the overall thickness of films and the effective chemical composition of the formulated films.

The dielectric behaviour of the nanoformulated nanocomposites thin films was investigated by using broadband dielectric spectroscopy (10²–10⁶Hz) at room temperature using Novocontrol Alpha series ZG2 through a sinusoidal stimulation of ±3VAC. The effects of DC voltage on the C-V and I-V characteristics were analysed by using Aixacct TF2000E at the frequency reference of 5 kHz. These measurements aimed to investigate the ageing, the occurrence of dielectric breakdown and the influence of NPs amount on the conduction mechanisms with an imposed DC bias voltage. Furthermore, to facilitate the comparison of these features, the obtained data were standardized over true electrodes thicknesses and surfaces.

Results and discussion

Nanocomposites structure

Raman spectroscopy

In order to verify the structure of the carbon shell and its integrity during the deagglomeration step, Raman spectroscopy was performed on sono-mechanically dispersed as-received Cu@C nanoparticles. The damaging of this protective shell during the manufacturing process could lead to the oxidation of the metallic copper core that can worsen the dielectric properties of nanocomposites. It can be noted that the thin carbon shell may be deteriorated also by the power of the beam used. For this reason, different laser powers were used to investigate the carbon structure. Firstly, the raw nanoparticles were excited with a beam power of 0.2 mW at a wavelength of 532 nm. The result shown in figure 2(a) presents the characteristic peaks of CuO which can be seen at 290 cm⁻¹, 340 cm⁻¹ and 630 cm⁻¹ [23]. Furthermore, the specific peaks for the graphene-like carbon [24] located at 1587 cm⁻¹ (G band) and 2700 cm⁻¹ (2D band) are still visible, suggesting that the fragile carbon shell was partially destroyed due to the beam power. Thus, in order to avoid this damaging effect, the measurement was performed on another sample of the same composition at the same wavelength (532 nm) but at a lower laser power of 0.01 mW. In this case, Raman spectrum (figure 2(b)) does not reveal CuO peaks while the characteristic G band and 2D band are more intense. It can be concluded that the ultrasonicated as-received NPs exhibit no detectable oxidation and that the morphology of the carbon shell corresponds to a graphene-like structure. Despite the presence of a D band indicating the presence of defects inside the graphene crystalline structure, the carbon shell is efficient to protect the metal core from oxidation. Moreover, the widen of the 2D band and the ratio of \( I_{2D}/I_G \approx 2 \) specify that we do not have a monolayer of graphene.

Morphology of the nanocomposite films

To reach a manufacturing processing level, achieving a homogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles along the whole film thickness is essential. To ensure this goal, cross-sectional SEM micrograph coupled with EDS elemental mapping were performed on previously dielectric characterized MIS devices and are shown in figure 3.
The dielectric behaviour of the (Cu@C)-PyrPS//SU8 devices was characterized using a sinusoidal stimulation of ±3 V\textsubscript{AC} between 10\textsuperscript{2} Hz and 10\textsuperscript{6} Hz at room temperature. The frequency dependence of the characteristic dielectric features is shown in figure 4. The results have been averaged over 20 capacitors. Sampling on different locations of the deposited films on the same sample allowed to verify the distribution of Cu@C nanoparticles on it. No significant variation of the dielectric properties was detected confirming an equal distribution in the entire surface of the films. As frequently reported in literature, high-permittivity is often associated to high dielectric losses. Phase shift response was analysed to ensure the capacitive behaviour of formulated nanocomposites. Figure 4(a) shows no significant variation of the phase angle with the volume fraction, up to 2.4%\textpm{}vol. In details, the phase angle remains close to −90° (pure capacitive behaviour) in the whole frequency range. Hence, in this condition, the device can be modelled as a CPE (Constant Phase Element) at low volume fraction (less than 2.4%\textpm{}vol) and a RC parallel circuit exhibiting a typical frequency dependence of phase shift at higher volume fraction [25]. Indeed, for the formulation at 3.0%\textpm{}vol of Cu@C the phase shift is close to −60° (mainly capacitive behaviour) at high frequencies (f > 1 kHz) then abruptly rises close to 0° (resistive behaviour) at low frequency. As shown in figure 4(b), dielectric losses increase with volume fraction of Cu@C NPs. Nonetheless all the devices present losses inferior to the threshold value of 1 between 400 Hz and 10\textsuperscript{6} Hz. However (Cu@C)-PyrPS//SU8 3.0%\textpm{}vol shows an increase of \tan δ below 400 Hz with a maximum value of 4.30. This critical variation of losses is in agreement with the previously discussed phase shift frequency dependence. It can be attributed to the low inversion of electric field applied that facilitates charges mobility, thus, preventing the storage of charges.

The frequency dependence of AC conductivity is shown in figure 4(c). A linear response of AC conductivity was measured for all formulated nanocomposites except for the highest loaded composite which exhibits a constant value of AC conductivity at low frequency, typical of a resistive behaviour. Finally, it is shown in figure 4(d) an increment of the relative permittivity of the formulated nanocomposites as the volume fraction increases. The highest permittivity obtained at the reference frequency value of 5 kHz was measured for the sample at the highest concentration, with a permittivity value of 124. This value is one order of magnitude higher of pure SU8\textsuperscript{™} polymer. In table 1 below are reported the values of the previously discussed dielectric parameters at the reference frequency of 5 kHz.

This variation of permittivity could be explained by the Maxwell-Wagner-Sillars theory (MWS) [26, 27]. The MWS theory also known as interfacial polarization takes place when two phases present an ε\textsubscript{r} and σ different. Under the influence of an external electric field, electrical charges accumulate at the interface between the matrix and the fillers. In this study, the charges accumulate at the interface between the SU8\textsuperscript{™} and the functionalized (Cu@C)-PyrPS. Consequently, increasing the filling volume fraction of nanoparticles rise the quantity of charges inside the material leading to an enhancement of capacitance, and thus relative permittivity. However, this is
only true before electrical percolation. Once electrical percolation is reached current can flow through the material and the capacitance drops while the losses rise drastically. The results are also supported by the percolation theory stating that permittivity and losses follow a power law with an exponential increase at the vicinity of the percolation threshold [6]. Indeed, as the number of nanoparticles in the matrix increases, the interparticular distance lowers easing conduction mechanisms. Considering the values presented in table 1 the variation of all four dielectric parameters suggests that none of the presented nanocomposites reached the percolation threshold. The large variation of permittivity between 2.4%vol and 3.0%vol on the contrary seems to indicate percolation threshold is not reached yet.

By Comparing the obtained experimental results with the literature, the capacitive nanocomposites produced does not exhibit giant permittivity in the proximity of percolation threshold. However, at the reference frequency of 5 kHz the dielectric losses \( \tan \delta \) is 0.65. This obtained value for the highest capacitive nanocomposite (3.0%vol) is not so low but still usable in practical applications. As a comparison, Wang et al [9] presented PDMS filled with carbon nanotube with \( \varepsilon_r \) of 60 and \( \tan \delta \) of 10 (at 10 kHz) for MWCNTs/PDMS 2% wt and Cao et al [8] showed a SiC-PVDF 20%wt nanocomposite with \( \varepsilon_r \) of 200 and \( \tan \delta \) of 8 (at 5 kHz). In consequence, our most charged formulation presents a direct improvement of nanocomposite thin film, as capacitor, with high permittivity and low losses.

**Figure 4.** Frequency dependence of the (a) phase angle \( \Psi \), (b) dielectric losses expressed as \( \tan \delta \), (c) AC conductivity \( \sigma \) and (d) relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \) of (Cu@C)-PyrPS//SU8 nanocomposites with different filling volume fraction.

**Table 1.** Dielectric properties of the (Cu@C)-PyrPS//SU8 nanocomposites measured at 5 kHz and \( \pm 3 \text{ V}_{AC} \).

| \( \Psi \) (°) | \( \sigma \) (S m\(^{-1}\)) | \( \tan \delta \) | \( \varepsilon_r \) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| SU8      | -89.539         | 1.026 \times 10^{-8} | 0.0089  | 4.053   |
| 0.8%vol  | -86.091         | 1.309 \times 10^{-7} | 0.0683  | 6.697   |
| 1.2%vol  | -79.266         | 5.444 \times 10^{-7} | 0.1896  | 10.046  |
| 2.4%vol  | -73.880         | 1.319 \times 10^{-6} | 0.2891  | 15.920  |
| 3.0%vol  | -56.762         | 2.294 \times 10^{-5} | 0.6561  | 123.669 |
Percolation theory and percolation threshold estimation

The value of percolation threshold was estimated by using the generalized power laws of percolation as shown below in equations (1) and (2). Equation (1) details the variation before percolation threshold while equation (2) details the variation after percolation threshold:

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_m \left( \frac{\varphi - \varphi_C}{\varphi - \varphi_C} \right)^{-s} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_m \left( \frac{\varphi - \varphi_C}{\varphi - \varphi_C} \right)^{-t} \quad \varphi < \varphi_C \]

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_m (\varphi - \varphi_C)^s} \]

\[ \sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{NP} \left( \frac{\varphi - \varphi_C}{1 - \varphi} \right)^t \quad \varphi > \varphi_C \]

Where \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective relative permittivity, \( \varepsilon_m \) is the relative permittivity of the polymeric matrix, \( \sigma_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective conductivity, \( \sigma_m \) is the conductivity of the matrix, \( \sigma_{NP} \) is the conductivity of a nanoparticle, \( s \) and \( t \) are the critical exponents for permittivity and conductivity respectively. In figure 5 is shown the obtained values at 5 kHz of AC conductivity and relative permittivity with the fit obtained with the percolation theory power laws.

This model fits quite precisely the experimental obtained data and estimates the percolation threshold at the proximity of \( \varphi_C \approx 3.2\% \text{vol} \) with \( s = 1.1 \) and \( t = 3 \). The critical exponents are in agreement with what is reported in literature although these values slightly vary according to the specific conductivity of nanostructured system [28–30]. In agreement with the estimation of percolation threshold the highest loaded sample of 3.0%vol displays all the dielectric features of a classic behaviour of a near percolated system. That is why samples at higher volume fraction were not investigated in this study.

Effect of bias DC voltage on dielectric properties

The dielectric responses of the formulated Cu@C based nanocomposites in response to an applied DC electric field obtained with AIXACCT TF2000E are reported on figure 6. In details, the maximum value of sustained DC electric field is represented for each nanocomposite. It is worthy to note that above these reported values, the conduction mechanisms of charges transport increase significantly turning the devices into highly leaky capacitors. As a matter of fact, above these values it is prevented to make measurements with this technique due to the abrupt increase of dissipative current.

The obtained relative permittivity values obtained through C-V loop measurements are in accordance with the previously characterized ones obtained by dielectric spectroscopy (@ 5 kHz) as shown in figure 6(a). No variations of permittivity are visible on the range of electric field applied. DC voltage do not seem to have an impact on the polarisation of the material. However, the sustained DC voltage is dependent of the filling volume fraction. Particularly, the high loaded nanocomposite (Cu@C)-PyrPS//SU8 3.0%vol can stand an electric field of \( \pm 6.01 \text{ kV cm}^{-1} \) while the (Cu@C)-PyrPS//SU8 0.8%vol can withstand an electric field of \( \pm 33.88 \text{ kV cm}^{-1} \).

The effects of a DC bias electric field are highlighted in the evolution of dielectric losses as shown in figure 6(b). Indeed, for the formulation at 3.0%vol the dielectric losses response assumes a flat trend with a value of 0.68, in accordance to dielectric spectroscopy, to low applied DC electric field up to the threshold value of 3.51 kV cm \(^{-1} \) where the dielectric losses suddenly increase leading to a leaky capacitor. This effect is present in all formulated nanocomposites with the DC electric field threshold value decreasing with the increase of volume filling fraction.
In order to evaluate the conduction mechanisms involved in this dramatically increase of dielectric losses under the application of an DC electric field, the charge transport regimes were investigated. Figure 7(a) shows the non-linear dependence of current density under DC applied electric field, following the same trend as dielectric losses.

A greater level of understanding can be achieved through the representation of logarithm of current density over electric field as a function of the inverse of applied electric field [31, 32]. This representation (figure 7(b)) allows to distinguish a minimum value that correspond to a transition between two different conduction mechanisms. These phenomena are the Direct Tunnelling and Fowler-Nordheim Tunnelling conduction.

The conduction mechanisms which takes place in the material are dependant of the nanostructured system. In details, the mobility of charges that flow through the nanofillers is function of the distance between them and thus depending on their distribution and quantity. Indeed, at low applied DC electric field the energy given to the charges is enough to go through small energy barrier. As a result, the displacement of charges will only be on close fillers (Direct Tunnelling).

However, above the threshold $E_{\text{Trans}}$ determined by the inflection of the curves as shown in figure 7(b), charges which are intrinsic of the metallic nanofillers are emitted on long distances (Fowler-Nordheim Tunnelling) brutally increasing the current density of the nanocomposites. Thus, with the increase of volume filling fraction the energy barrier length through the nanofillers decrease with the occurrence of the Fowler-Nordheim tunnelling at lower applied DC electric field. The obtained $E_{\text{Trans}}$ values determined for each nanocomposite is summarized in table 2.
Table 2. Values of transition electric field between Direct tunnelling and Fowler-Nordheim tunnelling for all formulated nanocomposites.

| @ 5 kHz | 0.8%vol | 1.2%vol | 2.4%vol | 3.0%vol |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1/E_Tran (cm MV⁻¹) | 62.008 | 128.016 | 174.910 | 221.888 |
| E_Tran (MV cm⁻¹) | 1.613 × 10⁻² | 7.812 × 10⁻² | 5.717 × 10⁻³ | 4.507 × 10⁻³ |

Conclusion

This article presents a reliable fabrication path for the achievement of homogeneous Metal Polymer Composites (MPCs) thin films based on Cu@C nanoparticles embedded in SU8™ photoresist with a controlled thickness of 15 μm. Raman spectroscopy performed on the as-received Cu@C nanoparticles showed the graphene-like structure necessary for the surface functionalization by Polystyrene Pyrene terminated (PyrPS). The dielectric properties determined by broadband dielectric spectroscopy showed an ε of 31 times higher than pure SU8™ with reasonable losses tan δ of 0.65 at 5 kHz for the highest filled formulation (3.0%vol). The Percolation Theory used on the dielectric parameters allowed to establish the percolation threshold ϕ_c of the system to be at the vicinity of 3.2% vol. Superior capacitive behaviour was observed for the (Cu@C)-PyrPS/SU8 3.0%vol up to an applied DC electric field of 3.51 kV cm⁻¹ and no breakdown appeared until 6.01 kV cm⁻¹. Finally, it was observed two conduction mechanisms depending on the intensity of the electric field: Direct tunnelling and Fowler-Nordheim tunneling.
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