Commentary: HPV Catch-Up Vaccination Reduces the Prevalence of HPV 16 and 18 Infections and Cervical Disease: A Retrospective Study
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Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination is a success story. Our brief paper (a letter to the editor) was a response to the article of Iacobone et al "Distribution of high-risk human papillomavirus genotypes and multiple infections in preneoplastic and neoplastic cervical lesions of unvaccinated women: a cross-sectional study" in which the authors concluded that the widespread use of prophylactic HPV vaccines could significantly reduce the incidence of preneoplastic and neoplastic cervical lesions. Our study endorsed this statement by describing results from a retrospective cohort study in North Cumbria, England, United Kingdom (UK). The patients involved were two cohorts of women attending for colposcopy after their first cervical screening test. One cohort (tested in 2014–2015) had not been eligible for HPV bivalent (types 16 and 18) vaccination, the other (tested in 2018–2019) was eligible for the HPV bivalent vaccination catch-up campaign. Outcome measures were the HPV primary cervical screening test results and histology results at first colposcopy visit. We found a reduction in the prevalence both of HPV 16 and/or 18 (with/without other high-risk types) from 53% (103 of 193 patients) to 16% (23 of 147 patients; 95% CI = 27.2%–45.6%, p < .0001) and of high grade cervical disease (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 or worse) from 54% (104 of 193 patients) to 23% (34 of 147 patients; 95% CI = 20.8%–40.2%, p < .0001) in the bivalent HPV vaccine catch-up 2018–2019 cohort compared with the unvaccinated 2014–2015 cohort. We are currently looking at a third cohort, born from 1st September 1995, who were eligible for routine HPV vaccination at 12 to 13 years of age.

HPV and cervical disease

Persistent infection by high-risk HPV types, especially types 16 and 18, is the most important causal factor for the development of cervical precancerous and cancerous lesions.

HPV primary screening

HPV primary screening, whereby HPV testing for high-risk types is the first test done on a cervical screening sample and only HPV positive samples are then triaged by cytology testing, increases the detection of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 or worse by approximately 40% compared to liquid-based cytology. It is currently being introduced across the UK after pilot studies. Our study was carried out in England where cervical screening starts at 25 years of age whereas in Scotland it used to start at 20 years of age, changing to 25 years of age in June 2016. In practice this means that more follow-up screening data is available in Scotland compared to England.
HPV vaccination in the UK

In the UK, HPV bivalent (types 16 and 18) vaccination was introduced in September 2008 for girls aged 12 to 13 years, with a catch-up campaign for girls aged up to 18 years. The policy in the UK is to vaccinate at school where possible rather than through community medical practices and this promotes good uptake. In Scotland, uptake in the routinely immunised cohorts has consistently exceeded 85%, with uptake in the catch-up cohorts at about 65% overall.6,7 The HPV bivalent vaccine was replaced with an HPV quadrivalent one (types 6,11,16 and 18) in September 2012 which was also made available for boys from 2018 and is currently recommended for all girls and boys at 11 to 14 years of age.8 The inclusion of low-risk HPV types 6 and 11 adds protection against genital warts.8

The bivalent and quadrivalent HPV vaccines confer protection against the vaccine specific HPV high-risk types they contain (types 16 and 18) and also cross protection against additional HPV high-risk types (types 31, 33 and 45).9 Together these five types are implicated in 90% of cancers in Scotland.10

Evidence on the impact of HPV immunisation programme showing reduction in HPV type 16/18 infection, genital warts and precancerous lesions among vaccinated cohorts and herd immunity among unvaccinated groups is now emerging globally as well as in the UK.11-18

Herd immunity is a significant factor not only for the unvaccinated but also for the unscreened. In one large Scottish study the attendance for cervical screening at age 20 was a modest 51% for vaccinated women and a very disappointing 23% for unvaccinated ones.

Limitations

In several of the studies cited, including those of Tim Palmer at al and ourselves, the results were limited to data from the first year of screening which might lead to an over-estimation of vaccine effectiveness. A further limitation of our study, reflecting the lack of a centralised integrated data base combining vaccination and screening records in England (in contrast to Scotland which has such a system), is that we describe the catch-up cohort as being ‘eligible’ for HPV vaccination rather than being able to state whether or not they actually received it. In practice herd immunity should have provided some protection for any unvaccinated patients in this cohort.
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