Novel EGFR-TK Inhibitor EKB-569 Inhibits Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Proliferation by AKT and MAPK Pathways
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INTRODUCTION

With an annual incidence of over 560,000 deaths, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common malignancy and the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide (1). Liver cancer accounts for 4% of all cancers and more than 70% of all liver cancers occur in Asia, with high incidence of liver cancer in the East Asian countries, including Korea, China, and Japan (2). Recent research has demonstrated that Ras/Raf/MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways appear to modulate important signaling sequences in the development and progression of HCC. The Ras/Raf/MAPK pathway is activated in the majority of advanced HCCs, as a result of increased signaling induced from upstream growth factors, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), or insulin-like growth factor (IGF), and also because of inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, including PTEN (3, 4). The PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway plays a pivotal role in HCC and was found activated in 30%-50% of HCC cases (5). The etiology of HCC tumorigenesis and recurrence is currently poorly understood, and there is urgent need to find effective targets to treat HCC and to prevent tumor recurrence.

Sorafenib is a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor acting on vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), Raf, c-kit, and FLT-3, and has been shown to inhibit HCC-induced proliferation and angiogenesis. Recent clinical trials for sorafenib treatment of advanced HCC demonstrated promising results (6-8). Various other novel drugs are currently under study to enhance efficacy and reduce toxicity in the treatment of advanced HCC. Brivanib has been shown to demonstrate potent and selective inhibition of both VEGFR and FGFR-1 tyrosine kinases (9) and inhibited the growth of HCC xenografts in vivo (10). Multicenter phase III studies involving brivanib in patients with advanced HCC are ongoing. Pazopanib is another potent, multi-target receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor of VEGFR-1, -2, and -3, PDGFR-α and -β, and c-kit, and has demonstrated in vivo anti-tumor effect in HCC xenografts (11).

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathway is an important mediator of cancer cell oncogenesis, proliferation, maintenance, and survival. For this reason, it has long been an attractive candidate as anticancer drug target (12). Both gefitinib and erlotinib, the first-generation EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), have single-agent activity against various cancer cells, including advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC); thus, erlotinib improved survival when given as salvage treatment after chemotherapy in NSCLC (13, 14), but showed only a minor effect in HCC (15, 16). The second generation of EGFR...
TKIs, including EKB-569, is now emerging from the developmental pipeline and is being introduced into clinical trials. In addition to blocking EGFR signaling, these novel EGFR TKIs target additional members of the ErbB family, such as HER-2 or other downstream or parallel pathways, including the VEGFR pathway. EKB-569 is a potent, low molecular weight, selective and second-generation irreversibly binding inhibitor of EGFR-TK activity (17).

The purpose of this in vitro study was to investigate the effects of the second-generation compound (EKB-569) in HCC. EKB-569 was evaluated for its potential as part of a chemosensitizing combination treatment with sorafenib, in tailored therapies for resistant tumors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture
Four human hepatoma cell lines (Hep3B, Huh-7, SK-Hep1, and HepG2) were cultured in DMEM medium (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA). Similarly, SNU-354, SNU-368, SNU-398, SNU-423, SNU-449, SNU-475, SNU-739, SNU-886, and SNU-878 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (Life Technologies). The cultured cells were incubated in 5% CO2 at 37°C.

Chemicals and antibodies
Sorafenib, erlotinib, gefitinib, pazopanib, and brivanib were obtained from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA, USA). EKB-569 was obtained from Wyeth (Pfizer Inc., NY, NY, USA). Primary antibodies against either total or phosphorylated (p) AKT (Ser473), ERK1/2 (Thr 202/204), STAT3, and EGFR (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), cyclinD1, p27, and Rb (BD biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA), β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), CDK4, P21, phospho-Rb, anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase, and mouse IgG were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and protein quantification was performed by a BCA Protein Assay kit (Pierce). Equal amounts of protein (50 μg) were electrophoresed on NuPAGE 4%-12% Bis-Tris Gels (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and transferred to Immobilon-P membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The membranes were then blocked with 5% non-fat milk and probed with the primary antibody (1:1,000) in 1 × TBS with Tween-20 buffer (BIOSESANG Inc., Seongnam, Korea). Then, the blots were visualized under enhanced chemiluminescence (Amerham, Buckinghamshire, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RESULTS

Response of human hepatoma cell lines to EGFR inhibitor and sorafenib 
To explore the potential anti-cancer agent on HCC, we examined

| Drugs | Hep3B | HepG2 | SK-HEP-1 | Huh-7 | SNU-354 | SNU-368 | SNU-398 | SNU-423 | SNU-449 | SNU-475 | SNU-739 | SNU-886 | SNU-878 |
|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Gefitinib | >     | >     | >        | >     | 2.2     | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | 9.8     | >       |
| Erlotinib | >     | >     | >        | >     | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       |
| EKB-569 | 2.3   | 2.3   | 1.9      | >     | >       | >       | *       | 2.1     | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       |
| Sorafenib | 8     | 5.1   | 5.8      | 4.2   | 9.1     | 8.8     | *       | 9.4     | 9.1     | >       | >       | >       | >       | 8.8     | 8.1     |
| Pazopanib | >     | >     | >        | >     | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       |
| Brivanib | >     | >     | >        | >     | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       | >       |

Effect of sorafenib and EGFR-targeted therapies on the growth of human hepatoma cell lines. Thirteen hepatoma cell lines were treated with sorafenib, VGR, and EGFR-targeted therapies for 24 hr at concentrations ranging between 3.3 nM and 10 μM. Cellular viability was assessed by the CCK-8 assay. IC50 value was calculated using PRISM Software. In general, values over 10 μM showed significant change, indicated by “>”; asterisks (+) indicates data not shown.
the effects of multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and first and second-generation EGFR-targeted agents on the growth of human hepatoma cell lines (Table 1). Each test was performed with drug concentration at a serial 3-fold dilution (10 μM to 3.3 nM) and similar results were obtained from three independent experiments. Although we could not find a drug most effective in all 13 cell lines (Table 1), the IC50 values of the cell lines treated with the irreversible ErbB inhibitor (EKB-569) were lower than those of cells treated with gefitinib, erlotinib, brivanib, and pazopanib, except for sorafenib, which seemed the most effective of the drugs. In addition, Hep3B, HepG2, SK-Hep1, Huh-7, SNU-423, SNU-739 and SNU-878 cells treated with EKB-569 showed better results even than sorafenib treatment. All drugs showed IC50 values > 10 μM in SNU-398, SNU-475 and SNU-739. In the SNU-398 cell line in particular, the IC50 values of both sorafenib and EKB-569 were less than 5 μM in replicate independent experiments (data not shown). However, subsequent subculture altered the response to the drugs. Therefore additional experiments were not performed, and EKB-569 and sorafenib were indicated as asterisks in Table 1. SNU-739 cells treated with IGF-1R inhibitor and other second generation EGFR-TKIs (data not shown) showed IC50 < 10 μM. SK-Hep1, Huh-7, SNU-447 and SNU-739 cells treated with brivanib and SNU-449 and SNU-886 cells treated with EKB-569 showed values close to IC50 of 10 μM. However, in cell viability experiments with drugs (including unpublished data), the results obtained for SNU-475 cells could not be reproduced in all 13 HCC cell lines. Therefore, for further study of EKB-569 mechanism, we chose the cell line SK-Hep1 which was sensitive to EKB-569, and the cell line SNU-475, which showed the greatest resistance to the drug.

**Increase of G0-G1 arrest by EKB-569**

To examine how EKB-569 inhibits cell proliferation, we analyzed G0-G1 arrest by flow cytometry, after SK-Hep1 and SNU-475 cells were treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr. In SK-Hep1 cells, G0-G1 arrest was increased with approximately 13.6% (from 72.8% to 86.4%) with 1 μM EKB-569. However, in SNU-475 cells, G0-G1 arrest only increased 5.4% (from 75.8% to 81.2%) even with 5 μM EKB-569 (Fig. 1).

**EKB-569 decreased the phosphorylation of AKT and ERK at the protein level**

SK-Hep1 and SNU-475 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of EKB-569 for 24 hr (Fig. 2). In SK-Hep1 cells, after treatment with EKB-569, we found down-regulation of phosphorylated ERK and AKT and up-regulation of p21 in a dose-dependent manner; however, there were no significant differences in the protein levels of cell cycle-related protein, CDK4,
and EGFR following treatment with 1, 2.5, or 5 μM EKB-569 (data not shown).

**Effect of combined sorafenib and EKB-569 treatment on EGFR-targeted resistant cell line**

We determined whether sorafenib plus EKB-569 could have an effect on drug-resistant cell lines. SNU-475 cell line was the most resistant to several drugs in our experiments. Therefore, SNU-475 cells were treated with sorafenib alone, EKB-569 alone, or sorafenib plus EKB-569 at 0, 0.0033, 0.01, 0.033, 0.1, 0.33, 1, 3.3, and 10 μM concentrations, respectively, for 72 hr (Fig. 3). Cellular viability was measured using the CCK-8 kit. The combination of these two agents had a synergistic effect on SNU-475 cells and the IC₅₀ was 5.1 μM. These results suggest that combined treatment with sorafenib and EKB-569 may have a therapeutic effect on hepatoma cells, which were resistant to many other molecular-targeted agents.

**DISCUSSION**

HCC is one of the most resistant tumors for which conventional chemotherapy has shown only a minor effect, with response rates below 10% (18, 19). Sorafenib has been shown to inhibit tumor cell proliferation by blocking the Ras/Raf/MAPK pathway and to inhibit angiogenesis by blocking both VEGFR and PDGFR signaling (6). Therefore, sorafenib was known to be the most effective agent in HCC. To identify the new potential anti-tumor agents on HCC, we screened several drugs, including targeted agents under current ongoing investigation, such as pazopanib, brivanib, EKB-569, elrotinib, gefitinib, and sorafenib (9-11). In our results from 13 HCC cell lines, the novel irreversible EGFR inhibitor EKB-569 showed higher efficacy than first generation, reversible EGFR inhibitors (Table 1). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report highlighting the effects of EKB-569 on HCC.

Drugs that alter the cell cycle are of particular interest, since cell cycle regulation is the basic mechanism underlying proliferation, differentiation, and death of cancer cells. Progression of cells through the normal cell cycle requires coordinated actions of positive and negative factors. Mitogenic signals stimulate sequential assembly and activation of cyclin D/CDK4/6 and cyclinE/CDK2 in early and late G1, resulting in the phosphorylation of Rb protein, release of E2F transcription factors, and induction of late-G1-specific genes (20, 21). Because we observed inhibition of cell proliferation using a microscope, we examined cell cycle arrest by flow cytometry and Western blot analysis in SK-Hep1 and SNU475 cells treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr. In cells sensitive to novel EGFR-TKI, such as SK-Hep1 and SNU475 cells treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr. In cells sensitive to novel EGFR-TKI, such as SK-Hep1 and SNU475 cells treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr. In cells sensitive to novel EGFR-TKI, such as SK-Hep1 and SNU475 cells treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr. In cells sensitive to novel EGFR-TKI, such as SK-Hep1 and SNU475 cells treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr. In cells sensitive to novel EGFR-TKI, such as SK-Hep1 and SNU475 cells treated with EKB-569 for 24 hr.
pathway (23), PTEN (24, 25), PIK3CA (26), and KRAS (27) mutations in various cancer cells, but no EGFR mutations in HCC (28, 29). We also analyzed the PIK3CA exon 20 and KRAS exon 1 in 13 HCC cell lines. Our results were similar to the effects on wild-type cells in all 13 HCC cell lines. We did not find any association with certain mutations of genes or pathways in both EKB-569 sensitive and resistant cell lines. Of all 13 HCC cell lines, SNU-475 was the most resistant to several molecular-targeted agents, including EKB-569. We have shown for the first time that sorafenib plus EKB-569 has an effect on drug-resistant cell lines. Sorafenib (10 μM) or EKB-569 (10 μM) alone could not inhibit cell proliferation, but combination treatment of these agents had a synergistic effect on SNU-475 cells. This result suggests that combined treatment with sorafenib and EKB-569 represents a promising strategy to overcome resistance against various EGFR-TKIs and sorafenib. EKB-569 alone and in combination with sorafenib requires further study to identify the exact signaling pathway. Nevertheless, these approaches might have important implications for the development of a tailored treatment strategy in patients with highly resistant hepatocellular carcinoma.

In this study, we found that EKB-569 had higher efficacy in HCC, compared to first generation, reversible EGFR inhibitors. Furthermore, the combination of sorafenib and EKB-569 might be able to overcome HCC resistance to EGFR inhibitors.
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Novel EGFR-TK Inhibitor EKB-569 Inhibits Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Proliferation by AKT and MAPK Pathways

Heesue Kim and Ho Yeong Lim

We investigated drugs that can potentially overcome multi-drug resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cells. SK-Hep1 cells treated with EKB-569 showed the highest G0-G1 arrest and decreased the phosphorylation of AKT and ERK. The combination of sorafenib and EKB-569 showed a synergistic effect to inhibit proliferation of SNU-475, previously the most resistant HCC cell to EGFR-Tyrosin kinase inhibitors. These approaches might have important implications for the development of a tailored treatment strategy in patients.