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Abstract. We construct a natural basis for the space of weak harmonic Maass forms of weight 5/2 on the full modular group. The non-holomorphic part of the first element of this basis encodes the values of the ordinary partition function $p(n)$. We obtain a formula for the coefficients of the mock modular forms of weight 5/2 in terms of regularized inner products of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $-1/2$, and we obtain Hecke-type relations among these mock modular forms.

1. Introduction

A number of recent works have considered bases for spaces of weak harmonic Maass forms of small weight. Borcherds [3] and Zagier [23] (in their study of infinite product expansions of modular forms, among many other topics) made use of the basis $\{f_d\}_{d > 0}$ defined by $f_{-d} = q^{-d} + O(q)$ for the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $1/2$ in the Kohnen plus space of level $4$. Duke, Imamoglu and Tóth [14] extended this to a basis $\{f_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for the space of weak harmonic Maass forms of the same weight and level and interpreted the coefficients in terms of cycle integrals of the modular $j$ function. In subsequent work, [13] they constructed a similar basis in the case of weight $2$ for the full modular group, and related the coefficients of these forms to regularized inner products of an infinite family of modular functions. To construct these bases requires various types of Maass-Poincaré series. These have played an fundamental role in the theory (see for example [5], [10] among many other works).

Here we will construct a natural basis for the space of weak harmonic Maass forms of weight 5/2 on $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a certain multiplier. To develop the necessary notation, let the Dedekind eta-function be defined by

$$\eta(z) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n), \quad q := e^{2\pi iz}.$$ 

We have the generating function

$$\eta^{-1}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)q^{n - \frac{1}{24}},$$

where $p(n)$ is the ordinary partition function. The transformation property

$$\eta(\gamma z) = \varepsilon(\gamma) (cz + d)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta(z), \quad \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$ (1.1)

defines a character $\varepsilon$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which takes values in the 24-th roots of unity. Let $M_{5/2}(\varepsilon)$ denote the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $-1/2$ and character $\varepsilon$ on...
SL_2(\mathbb{Z}). Then \eta^{-1}(z) is the first element of a natural basis \{g_m\} for this space. To construct the basis, we set \( g_1 := \eta^{-1} \), and for each \( m \equiv 1 \) mod 24 we define \( g_m := g_1 P(j) \), where \( P(j) \) is a suitable monic polynomial in the Hauptmodul \( j(z) \) such that \( g_m = q^{-\frac{m}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{m}{24}}) \). We list the first few examples here:

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1 &= \eta^{-1} \\
&= q^{-\frac{1}{24}} + q^{\frac{25}{24}} + 2q^{\frac{47}{24}} + 3q^{\frac{71}{24}} + 5q^{\frac{119}{24}} + 7q^{\frac{143}{24}} + 11q^{\frac{185}{24}} + 15q^{\frac{219}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{245}{24}}), \\
g_{25} &= \eta^{-1}(j - 745) \\
&= q^{-\frac{25}{24}} + 196885q^{\frac{23}{24}} + 21690645q^{\frac{47}{24}} + 886187500q^{\frac{71}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{95}{24}}), \\
g_{49} &= \eta^{-1}(j^2 - 1489j + 160511) \\
&= q^{-\frac{49}{24}} + 427906364q^{\frac{23}{24}} + 40513206272q^{\frac{47}{24}} + 8543738297129q^{\frac{71}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{95}{24}}).
\end{align*}
\]

Denote by \( H^1_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \) the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight \( \frac{5}{2} \) and character \( \varepsilon \) on \( SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). These are real-analytic functions which transform as

\[
F(\gamma z) = \varepsilon(\gamma)(cz + d)^{\frac{5}{2}} F(z), \quad \gamma = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),
\]

which are annihilated by the weight \( \frac{5}{2} \) hyperbolic Laplacian

\[
\Delta_{\frac{5}{2}} := -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{5}{2} iy \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right),
\]

and which have at most linear exponential growth at \( \infty \) (see the next section for details). If \( M^1_{\frac{5}{2}}(\varepsilon) \) denotes the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight \( \frac{5}{2} \) and character \( \varepsilon \), then we have \( M^1_{\frac{5}{2}}(\varepsilon) \subseteq H^1_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \).

Here we construct a basis \( \{h_m\} \) for the space \( H^1_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \). For negative \( m \) we have \( h_m \in M^1_{\frac{5}{2}}(\varepsilon) \), while for positive \( m \) we have \( \xi_{\frac{5}{2}}(h_m) = \left( \frac{6}{\pi m} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} g_m \), where \( \xi_{\frac{5}{2}} : H^1_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \to M^1_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \) is the standard differential operator. In particular, the non-holomorphic part of \( h_1 \) encodes the values of the partition function.

To state the first result it will be convenient to introduce the notation

\[
\beta(y) = \Gamma \left( -\frac{3}{2}, \frac{ny}{6} \right),
\]

where the incomplete gamma function is given by \( \Gamma(s, y) := \int_y^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt \).

**Theorem 1.** For each \( m \equiv 1 \) (mod 24), there is a unique \( h_m \in H^1_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \) with Fourier expansion of the form

\[
h_m(z) = q^{\frac{m}{24}} + \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 (24)} p^+_m(n)q^{\frac{n}{24}} \quad \text{if } m < 0,
\]

and

\[
h_m(z) = \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 (24)} p^+_m(n)q^{\frac{n}{24}} + i\beta(-my)q^{\frac{m}{24}} + \sum_{0 < n \equiv -1 (24)} p^-_m(n)\beta(ny)q^{-\frac{n}{24}} \quad \text{if } m > 0.
\]

The set \( \{h_m\} \) forms a basis for \( H^1_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon) \). For \( m < 0 \) we have \( h_m \in M^1_{\frac{5}{2}}(\varepsilon) \), while for \( m > 0 \) we have

\[
\xi_{\frac{5}{2}}(h_m) = \left( \frac{6}{\pi m} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} g_m \in M^1_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\varepsilon).
\]
Furthermore, for $m \neq n$, the coefficients $p^+_m(n)$ are real.

When $m = 1$, we have
\[
\xi_{\frac{5}{2}}(h_1) = \left( \frac{6}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \eta^{-1}(z) = \left( \frac{6}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{n \geq -1} p\left( \frac{n+1}{24} \right) q^{\frac{n}{24}}.
\]

Using Lemma 7 below we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** The function $P(z)$ defined by
\[
P(z) := \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 (24)} p^+_1(n)q^{\frac{n}{24}} - \sum_{-1 \leq n \equiv 23 (24)} p\left( \frac{n+1}{24} \right) n^{\frac{3}{2}} \beta(ny)q^{-\frac{ny}{24}}
\]
is a weight $\frac{5}{2}$ weak harmonic Maass form on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with character $\varepsilon$.

We will construct the functions $h_m(z)$ in Section 3 using Maass-Poincaré series. Unfortunately, the standard construction does not produce any non-holomorphic forms in $H^1_{\frac{5}{2}}(\varepsilon)$, and we must therefore consider derivatives of these series with respect to the auxiliary parameter. This method was recently used by Duke, Imamoğlu and Tóth [13] in the case of weight 2 (see also [4] and [19]). The construction provides an exact formula for the coefficients $p^+_m(n)$. In particular, when $m = 1$ we obtain the famous exact formula of Rademacher [21] for $p(n)$ as a corollary (see Section 4 for details).

The work of Bruinier and Ono [11] provides an algebraic formula for the coefficients $p^+_m(n)$ (and in particular the values of $p(n)$) as the trace of certain weak Maass forms over CM points. Forthcoming work of the second author investigates the analogous arithmetic and geometric nature of the coefficients $p^+_m(n)$. In analogy with [14] and [8], the coefficients are interpreted as the real quadratic traces (i.e. sums of cycle integrals) of weak Maass forms.

**Remark.** When $m < 0$, we can construct the forms $h_m$ directly as in (1.2). We list a few examples here.

\[
h_{-23} = \eta \frac{E_4 E_2^2}{\Delta} = q^{\frac{33}{24}} - q^{\frac{1}{24}} - 196885q^{\frac{25}{24}} - 42790636q^{\frac{49}{24}} - 2549715506q^{\frac{81}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{225}{24}}),
\]
\[
h_{-47} = h_{-23}(j - 743) = q^{\frac{47}{24}} - 2q^{\frac{1}{24}} - 21690645q^{\frac{25}{24}} - 40513206272q^{\frac{49}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{81}{24}}),
\]
\[
h_{-71} = h_{-23}(j^2 - 1487j + 355910) = q^{\frac{71}{24}} - 3q^{\frac{1}{24}} - 886187500q^{\frac{25}{24}} - 8543738297129q^{\frac{49}{24}} + O(q^{\frac{81}{24}}).
\]

Together with the family (1.2), these form a “grid” in the sense of Guerzhoy [16] or Zagier [23] (note that the integers appearing as coefficients are the same up to sign as those in (1.2)).

For $m > 0$, the formula for $p^+_m(n)$ which results from the construction is an infinite series whose terms are Kloosterman sums multiplied by a derivative of the $J$-Bessel function in its index. Here we give an alternate interpretation of these coefficients involving the regularized Petersson inner product, in analogy with [13] and [15].
For modular forms $f$ and $g$ of weight $k$, define
\[ \langle f, g \rangle_{\text{reg}} = \lim_{Y \to \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}(Y)} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^{k} \frac{dx \, dy}{y^2}, \] (1.3)
provided that this limit exists. Here $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ denotes the usual fundamental domain for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ truncated at height $Y$. Then we prove

**Theorem 3.** For positive $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ with $m \neq n$ we have
\[ p^+_m(n) := \left(\frac{6}{\pi m}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \langle g_m, g_n \rangle_{\text{reg}}. \]

We note that when $n = m$ the integral defining this inner product does not converge.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 3 we obtain

**Corollary 4.** For positive $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ we have
\[ n^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot p^+_n(m) = m^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot p^+_m(n). \]

There are also Hecke relations among the forms $h_m$. Letting $T_{\frac{2}{3}}(\ell^2)$ denote the Hecke operator of index $\ell^2$ on $H^1_{\frac{2}{3}}(\varepsilon)$ (see Section 5 for definitions), we prove

**Theorem 5.** For any $m \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and for any prime $\ell \geq 5$ we have
\[ h_m \mid T_{\frac{2}{3}}(\ell^2) = \ell^3 h_{\ell^2 m} + \left(\frac{2m}{\ell}\right) \ell h_m. \]

Using Theorem 5 it is possible to deduce many relations among the coefficients $p^+_m(n)$. We record a typical example as a corollary.

**Corollary 6.** If $m, n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and $\ell \geq 5$ is a prime with $\left(\frac{m}{\ell}\right) = \left(\frac{n}{\ell}\right)$, then
\[ p^+_m(\ell^2 n) = \ell^3 p^+_m(n). \]

**Remark.** It is possible to derive results analogous to Theorem 5 and Corollary 4 involving the operators $T_{\frac{2}{3}}(\ell^{2k})$ for any $k$ (see, for example, [1] or [2]). For brevity, we will not record these statements here.

**Example.** Using the formula given in Proposition 11 below, we compute
\[
P(z) = \left( -3.96\ldots + i^{\frac{1}{3}} \sqrt{\pi} \right) q^{\frac{1}{3}} + 111.40\ldots q^{\frac{22}{3}} + 254.26\ldots q^{\frac{43}{3}} + 86.52\ldots q^{\frac{68}{3}} + \cdots
+ i\beta(-y) q^{\frac{1}{3}} - 1 \cdot 23^{\frac{2}{3}} \beta(23y) q^{-\frac{22}{3}} - 2 \cdot 47^{\frac{2}{3}} \beta(47y) q^{-\frac{43}{3}} - 3 \cdot 71^{\frac{2}{3}} \beta(71y) q^{-\frac{68}{3}} + \cdots.
\]

In the next section we provide some background material. In Section 3 we adapt the method of [13] to construct the basis described in Theorem 1. The last three sections contain the proofs of the remaining results.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank Kathrin Bringmann, Jan Bruinier, and Karl Mahlburg for their comments.
2. Weak harmonic Maass forms

We require some preliminaries on weak harmonic Maass forms (see for example [9], [20], or [24] for further details). For convenience, we set $\Gamma := \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. We say that $\nu$ is a multiplier system for $\Gamma$ if $\nu$ is a character on $\Gamma$ of absolute value 1. If $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ then we say that $f$ has weight $k$ and character $\nu$ for $\Gamma$ if

$$f|_k \gamma = \nu(\gamma) f$$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Here $|_k$ denotes the slash operator defined for $\gamma = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ by

$$f|_k \gamma := (\text{det} \gamma)^k \left( \frac{cz + d}{cz + d} \right)^{-k} f\left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right).$$

We choose the argument of each non-zero $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ in $(-\pi, \pi]$, and we define $\tau^k$ using the principal branch of the logarithm. For any $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let $\xi_k$ denote the Maass-type differential operator which acts on differentiable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{H}$ by

$$\xi_k(f) = 2iy^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$  

This operator satisfies

$$\xi_k \left( f|_k \gamma \right) = (\xi_k f)|_{2-k} \gamma$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. So if $f$ is modular of weight $k$, then $\xi_k(f)$ is modular of weight $2-k$. Furthermore, $\xi_k(f) = 0$ if and only if $f$ is holomorphic. We define the weight $k$ hyperbolic Laplacian $\Delta_k$ by

$$\Delta_k := -\xi_{2-k} \circ \xi_k = -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) + iky \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

In this paper, we are interested in the multiplier system $\varepsilon$ which is attached to the Dedekind eta function. An explicit description of $\varepsilon$ is given, for instance, in [18, Section 2.8]. Defining $e(y) := e^{2\pi iy}$, we have $\varepsilon((\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})) = e(\frac{1}{24})$ and $\varepsilon(-I) = e(-\frac{1}{4})$. For $\gamma = (\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix})$ with $c > 0$, we have

$$\varepsilon(\gamma) = e \left( \frac{a + d - 3c}{24c} - \frac{1}{2}s(d,c) \right),$$

where $s(d,c)$ is the Dedekind sum

$$s(d,c) := \sum_{r=1}^{c-1} \left( \frac{r}{c} - \left[ \frac{r}{c} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{dr}{c} - \left[ \frac{dr}{c} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

If $f \neq 0$ satisfies (2.1) with $\nu = \varepsilon$ then we must have $2k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, since

$$(-1)^{-k}f = f|_k (-I) = \varepsilon(-I)f.$$

In what follows, we assume this consistency condition so that the forms in question are not identically zero.

Suppose that $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is real analytic and satisfies

$$f|_\gamma = \varepsilon(\gamma) f$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $f$ has a Fourier expansion at $\infty$ which is supported on exponents of the form $n/24$ with $n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. If, in addition, $f$ satisfies

$$\Delta_k f = 0,$$
then by the discussion in Section 3.2 below we have the Fourier expansion
\[ f(z) = \sum_{n=1(24)} a^+(n)q^{\frac{n}{24}} + \sum_{n=-1(24)} a^-(n)\Gamma(1-k, \frac{\pi ny}{6})q^{-\frac{n}{24}}. \tag{2.9} \]

Let \( H^1_k(\varepsilon) \) denote the space of functions satisfying (2.7) and (2.8) with the additional property that only finitely many of the \( a^\pm(n) \) with \( n \leq 0 \) in (2.9) are nonzero. We call elements of \( H^1_k(\varepsilon) \) weak harmonic Maass forms of weight \( k \) and character \( \varepsilon \). Note that these forms are allowed to have poles in the non-holomorphic part. Let \( S_k(\varepsilon) \subseteq M_k(\varepsilon) \subseteq M^1_k(\varepsilon) \subseteq H^1_k(\varepsilon) \) denote the subspaces of cusp forms, modular forms, and weakly holomorphic modular forms, respectively.

The next lemma follows from a computation. Care must be taken with the two cases \( m > 0 \) and \( m < 0 \).

Lemma 7. For any \( m \) and any constant \( c \), we have
\[ \xi^\frac{m}{2} \left( c \cdot \beta(my)q^{-\frac{m}{24}} \right) = -\varepsilon \cdot \left( \frac{6}{\pi m} \right)^\frac{3}{2} q^{\frac{m}{24}}. \]

Suppose that \( f \in H^1_{\frac{m}{2}}(\varepsilon) \) has Fourier expansion (2.9). By Lemma 7 and (2.3) we find that
\[ \xi^\frac{m}{2}(f) = -\sum_{n=-1(24)} a^-(n)\left( \frac{6}{\pi m} \right)^\frac{3}{2} q^{\frac{n}{24}} \in M^1_{-\frac{m}{2}}(\varepsilon). \]

Since \( S_{-\frac{m}{2}}(\varepsilon) = \{0\} \) and \( S_{\frac{m}{2}}(\varepsilon) = \{0\} \), we see that \( f = 0 \) if and only if \( a^\pm(n) = 0 \) for all \( n < 0 \). Because of this, each form \( h_m \) in Theorem 1 is uniquely determined by a single term. If \( m < 0 \) this term is \( q^{\frac{m}{24}} \) and if \( m > 0 \) this term is \( \beta(-my)q^{\frac{m}{24}} \).

3. Construction of harmonic Maass forms and proof of Theorem 1

In order to construct the basis described in Theorem 1, we first construct Poincaré series attached to the usual Whittaker functions. It turns out that for positive \( m \) these series are identically zero. So we must differentiate with respect to an auxiliary parameter \( s \) in order to obtain nontrivial forms in this case. The construction is carried out in several subsections and is summarized in Proposition 11 below.

3.1. Poincaré series. In this section, fix \( k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \) with \( 2k \equiv 1 \mod 4 \). Suppose that \( \varphi(z) \) is a smooth function satisfying \( \varphi(z + 1) = e \left( \frac{1}{24} \right) \varphi(z) \). Since \( \varepsilon \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \n 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = e \left( \frac{n}{24} \right) \varepsilon \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \n 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \), the expression
\[ \Xi(\gamma)(cz + d)^{-k}\varphi(\gamma z) \]
only depends on the coset \( \Gamma_\infty \gamma \), where \( \Gamma_\infty = \{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \n 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right) \} \) is the stabilizer of \( \infty \). So the series
\[ P(z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \Xi(\gamma)(cz + d)^{-k}\varphi(\gamma z) \]
is well-defined. Each coset of \( \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \) corresponds to a pair \( (c, d) \) with \( c \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( (d, c) = 1 \). Because of (2.6) the terms corresponding to \( c \) and \( -c \) are equal.

Let \( m \equiv 1 \mod 24 \) and define
\[ \varphi_m(z) := \varphi^0 \left( \frac{y}{24} \right) e \left( \frac{mx}{24} \right), \quad (3.1) \]
with \( \varphi^0(y) = O(y^\alpha) \) as \( y \to 0 \) for some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). Then by comparison with the Eisenstein series of weight \( k + 2\alpha \), the function

\[
P_m(z) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \overline{\varphi(\gamma)} \varphi_m(\gamma z)
\]
converges absolutely for \( k > 2 - 2\alpha \).

The function \( P_m(z) - \varphi_m(z) \) has polynomial growth as \( y \to \infty \), and \( e \left( -\frac{x}{24} \right) (P_m(z) - \varphi_m(z)) \) is periodic with period 1. So we have the Fourier expansion

\[
e \left( -\frac{x}{24} \right) (P_m(z) - \varphi_m(z)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n, y) e(nx)
\]

with

\[
a(n, y) = \int_0^1 e \left( -\frac{x}{24} \right) (P_m(z) - \varphi_m(z)) e(-nx) \, dx.
\]

Using a standard argument (see, for example, [10, Proposition 3.1]) we compute the Fourier coefficients \( a(n, y) \) as

\[
a(n, y) = \int_0^1 e \left( -\frac{x}{24} \right) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma} \overline{\varphi(\gamma)} \varphi_m(\gamma z) e(-nx) \, dx
\]

\[
= \sum_{(c,d)=1 \atop c > 0} \overline{\varphi(\gamma)} \frac{e^{i\pi is}}{c^k} \int_{\frac{a}{c}}^{1+\frac{a}{c}} e \left( -\frac{x+xd/c}{24} \right) z^{-k} \varphi \left( c^{-1} \left( \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{e^2 z} \right) e \left( -nx + nd/c \right) \right) \, dx
\]

\[
= \sum_{d \mod c^*} \overline{\varphi(\gamma)} \frac{e^{i\pi is}}{c^k} \left( \frac{\overline{d}m + d(24n + 1)}{24c} \right)
\]

\[
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{-k} \varphi^0 \left( \frac{y}{24c^2|z|^2} \right) e \left( -\frac{mx}{24c^2|z|^2} - (n + \frac{1}{24}) x \right) \, dx.
\]

Here \( c^* \) indicates that the sum is restricted to residue classes coprime to \( c \), and \( \overline{d} \) denotes the inverse of \( d \) modulo \( c \). The second equality comes from writing \( \gamma z = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{e^2 (z + d/c)} \) and from making the change of variable \( x \to x - d/c \). The last equality comes from writing \( d = d' + \ell c \) with \( 0 \leq d' < c \) and gluing together the integrals for each \( \ell \).

If we write \( m = 24m' + 1 \), then by (2.4) we obtain

\[
\sum_{d \mod c^*} \overline{\varphi(\gamma)} e \left( \frac{\overline{d}m + d(24n + 1)}{24c} \right) = \sqrt{i} K(m', n, c),
\]

where \( K(m, n, c) \) denotes the Kloosterman sum

\[
K(m, n; c) := \sum_{d \mod c^*} e^{i\pi is(d,c)} e \left( \frac{\overline{d}m + dn}{c} \right).
\]

Therefore we have

\[
P_m(z) = \varphi_m(z) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c(n, y) e \left( \left( n + \frac{1}{24} \right) x \right)
\]
with
\[ c(n, y) = \sqrt{i} \sum_{c \geq 0} K(m', n; c) e^{\frac{\pi}{2} y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{-k} e^{\frac{y}{24cz^2}} \left( -\frac{m}{24cz^2} - \frac{1}{24} z \right) \frac{1}{z^2} \right) dx. \] (3.4)

3.2. Whittaker functions and nonholomorphic Maass-Poincaré series. The Poincaré series \( P_m(z) \) clearly has the desired transformation behavior; in order to construct harmonic forms, we specialize \( \varphi^0(y) \) to be a function which has the desired behavior under \( \Delta_k \). The Whittaker functions \( M_{\mu, \nu}(y) \) and \( W_{\mu, \nu}(y) \) are linearly independent solutions of Whittaker’s differential equation
\[ w'' + \left( -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu}{y} + \frac{1 - 4\nu^2}{4y^2} \right) w = 0, \] (3.5)
and are defined in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions (see [22] p. 190 for definitions and properties). Using (3.5) we see after a computation that
\[ y^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}} (4\pi |n| y) e^{(n x)} \quad \text{and} \quad y^{-\frac{k}{2}} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}} (4\pi |n| y) e^{(n x)} \]
are linearly independent solutions of the differential equation
\[ \Delta_k F(z) = (s - \frac{k}{2}) \left( 1 - \frac{k}{2} - s \right) F(z). \] (3.6)
At the special value \( s = \frac{k}{2} \), we have
\[ y^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}} (4\pi |n| y) e^{(n x)} \]
and
\[ y^{-\frac{k}{2}} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}} (y) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} & \text{if } n > 0, \\ \Gamma(1 - k, y) e^{\frac{\pi}{2}} & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases} \] (3.8)
For \( m \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \) and \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), define
\[ \varphi_m(z) := \left( 4\pi |m| \frac{y}{24} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\text{sgn}(m)\frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}} \left( 4\pi |m| \frac{y}{24} \right) e^{(\frac{nx}{24})}. \] (3.9)
Then \( \varphi_m(z) = O(y^{\text{Re}(s) - \frac{k}{2}}) \) as \( y \to 0 \), so the series
\[ P_m(z, s) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma} \varepsilon(\gamma)(cz + d)^{-k} \varphi_m(\gamma z) \]
converges for \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \). Thus if one of the special values \( s = \frac{k}{2} \) or \( s = 1 - \frac{k}{2} \) is larger than 1, then \( P_m(z, s) \) is harmonic at this value. The following proposition describes the Fourier expansion of \( P_m(z, s) \).

**Proposition 8.** Let \( k \) and \( P_m(z, s) \) be as above, and suppose that \( m \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \) and \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \). Then we have
\[ P_m(z, s) = \varphi_m(z) + \sum_{n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}} g_{m, n}(s) L_m, n(s) \left( 4\pi |m| \frac{y}{24} \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}} \left( 4\pi |n| \frac{y}{24} \right) e^{(\frac{nx}{24})} \]
where
\[ g_{m, n}(s) := \frac{2\pi i^{-k+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(2s) \sqrt{|m/n|}}{\Gamma \left( s + \text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2} \right)} \]
and

\[
L_{m,n}(s) := \begin{cases} 
\sum_{c>0} \frac{K(m', n'; c)}{c} J_{2s-1} \left( \frac{\pi \sqrt{|mn|}}{6c} \right) & \text{if } mn > 0, \\
\sum_{c>0} \frac{K(m', n'; c)}{c} I_{2s-1} \left( \frac{\pi \sqrt{|mn|}}{6c} \right) & \text{if } mn < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Here \(J_{\alpha}(x)\) and \(I_{\alpha}(x)\) denote the usual Bessel functions and \(K(m', n'; c)\) is defined in (3.2).

Proof. In view of (3.9) and (3.1) we take

\[
\varphi^0(y) := (4\pi|m|y)^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\text{sgn}(m)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi|m|y).
\]

We write

\[
n = 24n' + 1.
\]

Then (3.3) becomes

\[
P_m(z, s) = \varphi_m(z, s) + \sum_{n \equiv 1 (24)}^n c(n', y, s) e \left( \frac{n}{24} x \right).
\]

Using (3.4) we find that

\[
c(n', y, s) = \sqrt{i} \sum_{c>0} \frac{K(m', n'; c)}{c^k} \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z^{-k} \left( \frac{4\pi|m|y}{24c^2|z|^2} \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\text{sgn}(m)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4\pi|m|y}{24c^2|z|^2} \right) e \left( \frac{-mx}{24c^2|z|^2} - \frac{nx}{24} \right) dx. \quad (3.10)
\]

The integral in (3.10) can be written as

\[
\left(4\pi|m|y\right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} e^{k-i-k} \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{y-ix}{y+ix} \right)^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\text{sgn}(m)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4\pi|m|y}{24c^2(x^2+y^2)} \right) e \left( \frac{-mx}{24c^2(x^2+y^2)} - \frac{nx}{24} \right) dx.
\]

The integral \(I\) is computed in [7] p. 33 in the case \(m < 0\), and the case \(m > 0\) is similar. Write \(x = -yu\). Then

\[
I = y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1 - iu}{1 + iu} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4\pi m}{24c^2(y^2+1)} \right) e \left( \frac{mu}{24c^2(y^2+1)} + nyu \right) du. \quad (3.11)
\]
Now let \( A = ny \) and \( B = \frac{m}{24c}y \). By [17] p. 357 we have

\[
I = y\Gamma(2s) \begin{cases}
\frac{2\pi\sqrt{|B/A|}}{\Gamma(s + \frac{k}{2})} W_{\frac{k}{2},s-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi|A|) J_{2s-1} (4\pi\sqrt{|AB|}) & \text{if } A > 0,
\frac{2\pi\sqrt{|B/A|}}{\Gamma(s - \frac{k}{2})} W_{\frac{k}{2},s-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi|A|) I_{2s-1} (4\pi\sqrt{|AB|}) & \text{if } A < 0,
\end{cases}
\]

Combining this with the expression for \( m < 0 \) from [71] p. 33, we obtain

\[
I = \frac{2\pi\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{|m/n|}}{c\Gamma(s + \text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2})\sqrt{24c}} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2},s-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi|n|y) \cdot \begin{cases}
J_{2s-1} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{24c}} \sqrt{|nm|} \right) & \text{if } n > 0,
I_{2s-1} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{24c}} \sqrt{|nm|} \right) & \text{if } n < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Using this with (3.10) and (3.11) we find that

\[
c(n', y, s) = \frac{2\pi i^{-k+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(2s)\sqrt{|m/n|}}{\Gamma(s + \text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2})} (4\pi|m|y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2},s-\frac{1}{2}} (4\pi|n|y).
\]

\[
\times \sum_{c>0} K(m', n'; c) \cdot \begin{cases}
J_{2s-1} \left( \frac{\pi}{6c} \sqrt{|nm|} \right) & \text{if } nm > 0,
I_{2s-1} \left( \frac{\pi}{6c} \sqrt{|nm|} \right) & \text{if } nm < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

\[\square\]

3.3. Derivatives of nonholomorphic Maass-Poincaré series in weight \( \frac{5}{2} \). We specialize to the situation \( k = \frac{5}{2} \) and \( s = \frac{k}{2} = \frac{5}{4} \). Using (5.7) and (3.8) and noting that \( g_{m,n}(s) = 0 \) for \( n < 0 \), we obtain

\[
P_m (z, \frac{5}{4}) = q^{\frac{5}{4}} - 2\pi \sum_{0<n\equiv 1(24)} |\frac{n}{m}|^\frac{1}{2} L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) q^{\frac{5}{4}}.
\]

(3.12)

Since \( S_{\frac{5}{4}}(\varepsilon) = \{0\} \), we see that

\[
P_m (z, \frac{5}{4}) = 0 \quad \text{for } m > 0.
\]

(3.13)

In order to construct nontrivial forms when \( m > 0 \), we consider the derivative

\[
Q_m(z) := \partial_s |_{s=\frac{5}{4}} P_m (z, s).
\]

(3.14)

By (5.6) we have

\[
\Delta_{\frac{5}{4}} \partial_s P_m (z, s) = (1 - 2s) P_m (z, s).
\]

By (5.13) we find that \( \Delta_{\frac{5}{4}} Q_m (z) = 0 \); it follows that

\[
Q_m(z) \in H^1_{\frac{5}{4}} (\varepsilon).
\]
Proposition 9. For $m > 0$ let $Q_m(z)$ be defined as in (3.14). Then we have

$$Q_m(z) = (4\pi m \frac{y}{24})^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} \left[ M_{\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right) - W_{\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right) \right] e \left( \frac{nx}{24} \right) - \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) q^{\frac{5}{24}}$$

$$- 2\pi \sum_{0<n \equiv 1 \mod 24} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^{\frac{5}{4}} L_{m,n} \cdot q^{\frac{5}{24}}$$

$$- 2\pi \sum_{0>n \equiv 1 \mod 24} \left| \frac{m}{n} \right|^{\frac{5}{4}} \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( -\frac{3}{2}, 4\pi |n| \frac{y}{24} \right) q^{\frac{5}{24}},$$

where

$$L_{m,n} := \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} [L_{m,n}(s)] = \sum_{c>0} K(m', n', c) \frac{\partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}}}{c} \left[ J_{2s-1} \left( \frac{\pi mn}{6c} \right) \right].$$

Proof. We compute

$$\partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} P_m(z, s)$$

$$= \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} [\varphi_m(z, s)] + \sum_{n \neq 0} \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} [g_{m,n}(s)L_{m,n}(s)] \cdot \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right)^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} W_{\text{sgn}(n),\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi |n| \frac{y}{24} \right) e \left( \frac{\pi z}{24} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n \neq 0} g_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right)^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} \left[ W_{\text{sgn}(n),\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi |n| \frac{y}{24} \right) \right] e \left( \frac{\pi z}{24} \right).$$

By (3.12) and (3.13) we see that if $m, n > 0$, then

$$L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Therefore the second sum reduces to

$$- (4\pi m \frac{y}{24})^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} \left[ W_{\text{sgn}(n),\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right) \right] e \left( \frac{\pi z}{24} \right).$$

If $n > 0$, then by (3.8), the $n$-th term in the first sum is

$$\partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} [g_{m,n}(s)L_{m,n}(s)] \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} q^{\frac{5}{24}}.$$

Using (3.15) we find that

$$\partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} [g_{m,n}(s)L_{m,n}(s)] = - \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) \delta_{m,n} - 2\pi |\frac{m}{n}|^{\frac{5}{4}} L_{m,n}$$

(where $\delta_{m,n}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function).

If $n < 0$, then $g_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) = 0$, so the $n$-th term in the first sum is

$$- 2\pi |\frac{m}{n}|^{\frac{5}{4}} \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right)^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} W_{\frac{5}{2},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi |n| \frac{y}{24} \right) e \left( \frac{\pi z}{24} \right)$$

$$= - 2\pi |\frac{m}{n}|^{\frac{5}{4}} \Gamma \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \Gamma \left( -\frac{3}{2}, 4\pi |n| \frac{y}{24} \right) q^{\frac{5}{24}}.$$

We must evaluate the first two terms which appear in the expansion of $Q_m(z)$.

Lemma 10. Suppose that $k \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and that $m > 0$. Then

$$(4\pi m \frac{y}{24})^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \partial_{s=\frac{5}{4}} \left[ M_{\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right) - W_{\frac{5}{4},s-\frac{1}{4}} \left( 4\pi m \frac{y}{24} \right) \right] e \left( \frac{\pi z}{24} \right) - \frac{\pi}{4} (k) q^{\frac{5}{24}}$$

$$= (\pi \cot \pi k - (-1)^{k} \pi \csc \pi k) q^{\frac{5}{24}} + (-1)^{k} \Gamma(k) \Gamma(1 - k, -4\pi m \frac{y}{24}) q^{\frac{5}{24}}. \quad (3.16)$$
Proof. By (13.1.34) of [22], we have
\[
\partial_s|_{s=\frac{k}{2}} \left[ M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) - W_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) \right] = \partial_s|_{s=\frac{k}{2}} \left[ M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) - \frac{\Gamma(1-2s)}{\Gamma(1-s-k/2)} M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) - \frac{\Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma(s-k/2)} M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) \right] \]
\[
= \partial_s|_{s=\frac{k}{2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Gamma(1-2s)}{\Gamma(1-s-k/2)} \right] M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) - \partial_s|_{s=\frac{k}{2}} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(2s-1)}{\Gamma(s-k/2)} \right] M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) \]
\[
= \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1-k) M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) - \Gamma(k-1) M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y). \]
For the first term, we have
\[
y^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) = e^{-\frac{y}{2}}. \]
For the second term, we use (13.1.32) and (13.6.10) of [22] to obtain
\[
y^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(y) = (-1)^{k-1}(1-k)e^{-\frac{y}{2}} (\Gamma(1-k) - \Gamma(1-k, -y)) \]
Therefore, the quantity on the left in (3.16) reduces to
\[
\left( \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} (1-k) - (-1)^k \Gamma(k) \Gamma(1-k) - \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(k) \right) q^{\frac{m}{24}} + (-1)^k \Gamma(k) \Gamma(1-k, -4\pi \frac{m}{24}) q^{\frac{m}{24}}. \]
The lemma follows after using the reflection formula
\[
\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) = \pi \csc \pi z, \]
and its logarithmic derivative
\[
\frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} - \frac{\Gamma'(1-z)}{\Gamma(1-z)} = \pi \cot \pi z. \]
\[\square\]

3.4. Proof of Theorem 11 The next Proposition, which follows directly from Proposition 9 and Lemma 10, summarizes the two constructions.

**Proposition 11.** Let \( P_m(z, \frac{5}{4}) \) and \( Q_m(z) \) be as defined in (3.12) and (3.14). For negative \( m \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \), define
\[
h_m(z) := P_m \left( z, \frac{5}{4} \right) = q^{\frac{m}{24}} - 2\pi \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}} |\frac{m}{n}|^\frac{3}{4} L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) q^{\frac{n}{24}}, \tag{3.17}\]
and for positive \( m \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \), define
\[
h_m(z) := \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)} Q_m(z) = -\frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\pi} q^{\frac{m}{24}} - \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{0 < n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^\frac{3}{4} \mathcal{L}_{m,n} q^{\frac{n}{24}}
+ i\beta(-mq) q^{\frac{m}{24}} - 2\pi \sum_{0 > n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}} |\frac{m}{n}|^\frac{3}{4} L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \beta(|n| y) q^{\frac{n}{24}}. \tag{3.18}\]
Then \( h_m \in H^1_{\frac{3}{2}}(\varepsilon) \), and for negative \( m \) we have \( h_m \in M^1_{\frac{3}{2}}(\varepsilon) \).

**Proof of Theorem 11** By the discussion following Lemma 7, each form \( h_m \) is determined uniquely, and the \( h_m \) form a basis for \( H^1_{\frac{3}{2}}(\varepsilon) \). For \( m > 0 \) we have
\[
\xi \frac{3}{2} h_m(z) = \left( \frac{6}{\pi m} \right)^\frac{3}{2} q^{-\frac{m}{24}} + 2\pi \sum_{0 > n \equiv 1 \pmod{24}} |\frac{m}{n}|^\frac{3}{4} L_{m,n} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \left( \frac{6}{\pi |n|} \right)^\frac{3}{2} q^{-\frac{n}{24}}.
\]
By comparing principal parts, we conclude that $\xi_{\frac{5}{2}} h_m = \left(\frac{6}{\pi m}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} g_m$.

We have

$$K(m, n; c) = K(m, n; c),$$

which results from a computation using the relation $s(-d, c) = -s(d, c)$. It follows from (3.18) that the coefficients $p_n^+(m)$ are real for $m \neq n$, and that $p_n^+(m)$ is not real for $m > 0$ (cf. the example in the first section).

4. **Rademacher’s formula for $p(n)$**

Writing $n = 24n' - 1$, we obtain

$$\xi_k(h_1(z)) = \left(\frac{6}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} q^{-1/24} + \sum_{n' > 0} 2\pi n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\frac{6}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{c > 0} K(0, -n'; c) \frac{c}{c} I_{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi \sqrt{24n' - 1}}{6c}\right) q^{n' - \frac{1}{24}}.$$

The $I$-Bessel function satisfies

$$I_{\frac{3}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{x \cosh x - \sinh x}{x^{3/2}}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} x^{1/2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\sinh x}{x}\right),$$

so that

$$I_{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}\right) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi^2}} \frac{c^3}{24n - 1} \left(\frac{\sinh \left(\frac{\pi}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}\right)}{\sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}}\right).$$

Together with Theorem 1 this gives

$$\eta^{-1}(z) = \left(\frac{6}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \xi_k(h_1(z))$$

$$= q^{-1/24} + \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}} \sum_{n > 0} \left(\sum_{c > 0} K(0, -n; c) \sqrt{c} \frac{d}{dn} \left(\frac{\sinh \left(\frac{\pi}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}\right)}{\sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}}\right)\right) q^{n - \frac{1}{24}}.$$

Equating coefficients of $q^{n - \frac{1}{24}}$ gives Rademacher’s exact formula

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}} \sum_{c > 0} K(0, -n; c) \sqrt{c} \frac{d}{dn} \left(\frac{\sinh \left(\frac{\pi}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}\right)}{\sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}}\right).$$

5. **Proof of Theorem 3**

We proceed as in [13] and [15]. Let $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ denote the usual fundamental domain for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, truncated at height $Y$. We have the following (see, for example, [3, Section 9]).

**Lemma 12.** Suppose that $k \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$, that $h \in H_{2-k}(\varepsilon)$, and that $g \in M_k^!(\tau)$. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}(Y)} g(z) \xi_{2-k} h(z) \cdot y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} = \int_{-\frac{1}{2} + iY}^{\frac{1}{2} + iY} g(z) h(z) dz.$$
Proof. We have
\[ g(z) \xi_{2-k} h(z) \cdot y^k \frac{dx dy}{y^2} = g(z) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} dz \wedge d\xi = -d g(z) h(z) dz. \]
The result follows from Stokes’ theorem.

For positive \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{24} \) we write the forms \( g_n \) from (1.2) as
\[ g_n(z) = q^{-\frac{n}{24}} + \sum_{0 < j \equiv 23(24)} c_n(j) q^{\frac{j}{24}}. \]

To prove Theorem 3, we compute
\[
\int_{\frac{1}{2}+iY}^{\frac{1}{2}+iY} g_n(z) h_m(z) dz
\]
\[ = \int_{\frac{1}{2}+iY}^{\frac{1}{2}+iY} \left( q^{-\frac{n}{24}} + \sum_{0 < j \equiv 23(24)} c_n(j) q^{\frac{j}{24}} \right) \times
\]
\[ \left( -\frac{4}{3} i \sqrt{\pi q^{\frac{n}{24}}} - \frac{8 \sqrt{\pi}}{3} \sum_{0 < k \equiv 1(24)} \left( \frac{k}{m} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} L_{m,k} q^{k} + i \beta(-my) q^{\frac{m}{24}} - 2 \pi \sum_{0 > k \equiv 1(24)} |\frac{k}{m}|^{\frac{3}{4}} (\frac{5}{4}) \beta(|k|y) q^{k} \right) dz. \]

By Lemma 3.4 of [9] we have (for some constant \( C \))
\[ |c_n(j)| \ll e^{C \sqrt{j}}, \quad |L_{m,k}| \ll e^{C \sqrt{k}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| L_{m,k} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \right| \ll e^{C \sqrt{|k|}}. \]
From [22, (6.5.32)] we have
\[ \beta \left( |k|Y \right) = \Gamma \left( -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{\pi |k|Y}{6} \right) \sim \left( \frac{\pi |k|Y}{6} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi |k|Y}{6}} \quad \text{as} \quad |k|Y \to \infty. \]

From these estimates we conclude that the expression in (5.1) can be integrated term by term. Also note that for each pair \( j, k \) with \( j \equiv 23 \pmod{24}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{24}, \) and \( j \neq -k, \) we have
\[ \int_{\frac{1}{2}+iY}^{\frac{1}{2}+iY} q^{\frac{j}{24}} q^{\frac{k}{24}} dz = 0. \]
Since \( n \neq m \) we obtain
\[ \int_{\frac{1}{2}+iY}^{\frac{1}{2}+iY} g_n(z) h_m(z) dz = -\frac{8 \sqrt{\pi}}{3} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} L_{m,n} - 2 \pi \sum_{0 > k \equiv 1(24)} c_n \left( |k| \right) \left| \frac{k}{m} \right|^{\frac{3}{4}} L_{m,k} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \beta \left( |k|Y \right) \]
\[ = p_m^+(n) - 2 \pi \sum_{0 > k \equiv 1(24)} c_n \left( |k| \right) \left| \frac{k}{m} \right|^{\frac{3}{4}} L_{m,k} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right) \beta \left( |k|Y \right). \]

By (5.2) and (5.3) we see that the sum goes to zero as \( Y \to \infty. \) So by Lemma 12 (1.3), and Theorem 1 we obtain
\[ \left( \frac{6}{\pi m} \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \langle g_n, g_m \rangle_{\text{reg}} = p_m^+(n). \]

\[ \square \]
6. Proof of Theorem [5]

Let \( \ell \geq 5 \) be prime. On the spaces \( M_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{c}) \) and \( H_{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathfrak{c}) \) we have the Hecke operators \( T_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ell^2) \) and \( T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) \). For

\[
f = \sum_{n=23} a(n) q^{n/24} \in M_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{c})
\]

we have

\[
f \big| T_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ell^2) = \sum_{n=23} (a(\ell^2 n) + \ell^{-2} (\frac{3n}{\ell}) a(n) + \ell^{-3} a(n/\ell^2)) q^{n/24}.
\]

There are also Hecke operators on the space \( H_{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathfrak{c}) \) (see, e.g. [12] or [6, Section 7]). For primes \( \ell \geq 5 \), our Hecke operator \( T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) \) is the same as the usual Hecke operator of index \( \ell^2 \) on the space \( H_{\frac{5}{2}}(\Gamma_0(576), (\frac{2}{\ell})^2) \), conjugated by \((\frac{24}{\ell})^2 \frac{1}{1}\)). In particular, for

\[
g = \sum_{n=1} b(n) q^{n/24} \in M_{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathfrak{c})
\]

we have

\[
g \big| T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) = \sum_{n=1} (b(\ell^2 n) + \ell (\frac{3n}{\ell}) b(n) + \ell^3 b(n/\ell^2)) q^{n/24},
\]

and the action of \( T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) \) on the holomorphic part of a form \( h \in H_{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathfrak{c}) \) is given by the same formula. For \( h \in H_{\frac{5}{2}}(\mathfrak{c}) \) we have the relation

\[
\xi_{\frac{5}{2}} \left( h \big| T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) \right) = \ell^3 \left( \xi_{\frac{5}{2}} h \right) \big| T_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ell^2)
\]

(see [6] Proposition 7).

For \( m > 0 \), we have \( g_m = q^{-m/24} + O(q^{1/24}) \). Since the \( g_m \) form a basis for \( M_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{c}) \), comparing principal parts gives the formula

\[
g_m \big| T_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\ell^2) = \ell^{-3} g_{\ell^2 m} + \left(\frac{3m}{\ell}\right) \ell^{-2} g_m.
\]

For \( m < 0 \) we find in a similar way that

\[
h_m \big| T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) = \ell^3 h_{\ell^2 m} + \left(\frac{3m}{\ell}\right) \ell h_m,
\]

which gives the theorem in this case.

Suppose that \( m > 0 \). By Theorem 1 we have \( h_m \big| T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) = \sum c_n h_n \) for some coefficients \( c_n \), from which we obtain

\[
\xi_{\frac{5}{2}} \left( h_m \big| T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) \right) = \sum c_n \left(\frac{6}{\pi m}\right)^{\frac{\ell}{2}} g_n.
\]

On the other hand, the relation \((6.1)\) together with \((6.2)\) gives

\[
\xi_{\frac{5}{2}} \left( h_m \big| T_{\frac{5}{2}}(\ell^2) \right) = \left(\frac{6}{\pi m}\right)^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \left( g_{\ell^2 m} + \left(\frac{3m}{\ell}\right) \ell g_m \right).
\]

Since the \( \{g_m\} \) are linearly independent, we see that the only non-zero coefficients in this linear combination are \( c_{\ell^2 m} = \ell^3 \) and \( c_m = \left(\frac{3m}{\ell}\right) \ell \). The theorem follows. \( \square \)
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