1. Introduction

Existing chemical energy sources typically have a voltage on one element in the range of 1.2 V for alkaline and up to 4 V for lithium. The required voltages and capacities are much higher for the consumption industry. The traditional way is a combination of a series of several elements to several thousand elements in guaranteed power settings and electric vehicles. This leads to known complications in their production and operation. There are no DC-DC converters at the specified voltage and power levels up to 200 kW. This is what forces to combine so many elements. The next problem is to control and manage the number of batteries. Given the properties of lithium batteries in terms of their fire risk, the accuracy of measuring the voltage of each element should be up to 0.01 V. Therefore, it is important to consider a study aimed at replacing a large number of low-power chemical elements with one equivalent in energy, with a voltage of up to 4 V by creating a DC-DC converter from 1.2–4V to 200 V.

Effective use of electricity is possible only in the presence of systems of its accumulation. It is known that electricity levels vary dramatically depending on the day, season, and other factors. The rapid development of wind and solar power further complicates the unpredictability of electricity flows. The only way out is to create storage systems. Chemical energy stores have become widespread. However, they need an extremely complex control system as the number of elements grows. Failure of 10% to 20% of the batteries causes the entire battery to be found defective.
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1. Introduction

Existing chemical energy sources typically have a voltage on one element in the range of 1.2 V for alkaline and up to 4 V for lithium. The required voltages and capacities are much higher for the consumption industry. The traditional way is a combination of a series of several elements to several thousand elements in guaranteed power settings and electric vehicles. This leads to known complications in their production and operation. There are no DC-DC converters at the specified voltage and power levels up to 200 kW. This is what forces to combine so many elements. The next problem is to control and manage the number of batteries. Given the properties of lithium batteries in terms of their fire risk, the accuracy of measuring the voltage of each element should be up to 0.01 V. Therefore, it is important to consider a study aimed at replacing a large number of low-power chemical elements with one equivalent in energy, with a voltage of up to 4 V by creating a DC-DC converter from 1.2–4V to 200 V.

Effective use of electricity is possible only in the presence of systems of its accumulation. It is known that electricity levels vary dramatically depending on the day, season, and other factors. The rapid development of wind and solar power further complicates the unpredictability of electricity flows. The only way out is to create storage systems. Chemical energy stores have become widespread. However, they need an extremely complex control system as the number of elements grows. Failure of 10% to 20% of the batteries causes the entire battery to be found defective.
2. Literature review and problem statement

Chemical sources of electrical energy as capacitors have been widely used in both industrial and domestic energy fields. Problems related to energy accumulation and network return were investigated in [1, 2], which shows the feasibility of using megawatt battery stations in conjunction with wind. However, the paper did not address the operation of several thousand chemical elements with an element voltage up to 1.2–3 V. The use of flow batteries for powerful energy systems is the most expedient from the point of view of the authors [3, 4], but there are difficulties with the energy derivation. This may be due to the instability of the chemical composition of the components and other conditions. The authors proposed a number of mathematical models that, in their opinion, would help find the best battery life depending on the mode of operation. At the same time, the features of power redistribution between charge and discharge elements of chemical batteries were not taken into account. Similar problems occur with lower capacities, for example in guaranteed power systems. This applies to studies conducted at hybrid wind and solar power plants using an electrical energy storage system. In particular [5] compared the use of capacitors, batteries, and flow batteries. In the studies, the issues of optimizing multifactor problems by different mathematical methods were solved, and in-depth tests on a vanadium-cerium battery for peak loads were also performed in [3]. Those papers did not cover the question of the structure of the combination of chemical sources. In their simulations, the works did not take into account the fact that a chemical battery consists not of one element but of many hundreds and sometimes thousands of elements. In [6], tests were performed on lithium batteries while comparing field data with model data obtained from Matlab Simulink. However, the Simulink environment uses simplified standard models, at least battery-based, that do not take into account the features of different power sources. The study of the combined work of a solar station and a battery system was proposed in [7] for electric vehicle service networks. Dynamic battery modes were considered in a Simulink environment, which was not appropriate.

Possibilities of using an ionicistor accumulator (also called the molecular accumulator, or supercapacitor) were studied in [8]. It was not mentioned, however, that its permissible voltage for the element was also 3–4 V; therefore, it had to be combined with a connection, which would complicate the control system. The system of combining solar stations for charging electric vehicles with lithium batteries, presented in [9], was based on the Simulink environment, which may be doubtful, especially in transients. In [10], it was proposed to solve the problem of unbalance of lithium battery elements, in the course of charge-discharge cycles, by the method of parallel switching. Since these are special-purpose submarines, where cost is not crucial, the method is possible but burdensome for mass production.

The problem can be described as the progressive instability of the battery element parameters in the charge-discharge process. The discrepancy of these parameters over time accumulates. Its effect on the battery life and complexity of the solution were highlighted in [11]. Lead-acid batteries were considered, the required measurement accuracy was 10 mV per element, and the active and passive balancing systems were compared. However, this approach does not solve the main issue of the number of elements and the need for elemental control.

Considering that the voltage of each element cannot be more than 4 V, the battery should be 100–200 V, and the number of elements in a parallel connection series can reach thousands. At the same time, each element of the battery has slight deviations already at the production stage, capacity, voltage, internal resistance, and resistance of switching buses. Typically, the deviation of the parameters by one hundred percent of the value causes a change of currents in the hundreds of amps. This is due to the facts that the internal resistances are thousandths of ohms (Ω) and the electromotive force is 2–5 V. As a result, the parallel connection of the battery elements causes equalizing currents, and the serial connection disrupts the proportional voltage distribution.

In the course of exploitation, the differences inevitably increase, and the distinctions caused by the temperature differences grow. Active and passive balancing systems improve the situation somewhat, but it happens due to the fact that the lagging elements receive additional energy and the better ones are converted to the passive state. In the subsequent charge cycles, the situation is repeated until complete degradation of the lagging element. As a result, the battery life of such elements will be substantially shorter than that of a single element. With regular deep discharges, after some time, the exponential growth of the failures of the individual elements begins. All of these problems can probably be solved by replacing the multi-element battery with an energy-equivalent element.

One of the specific properties of electricity is the need for its immediate use. In the case of a misbalance of generation and consumption, an emergency situation arises. The amount of losses depends on the amount of unused electricity. Therefore, taking into account the cost of energy, various directions of its storage and subsequent return to the consumer are developing rapidly. One way to accumulate electricity is to use chemical energy storage devices. The problem is common for both a standalone solar or wind power plant several kilowatts of power and for a megawatt power system. It is difficult to cover all the variety of electrochemical groups used, but the main ones are cadmium, lead, lithium, and vanadium (for flow batteries). This series also includes fuel elements [12, 13]. All this diversity is combined by only one parameter – their output voltage is in the range of 0.7–4 V. For low power consumers of 10–100 W there are DC-DC converters, but with an input voltage of 5 V and above, up to 500 W of 8 V or greater, 12–24 V for stand-alones, and 100–400 V for industrial output.

Today, the solution for the whole power range of 500 W to 100 MW is also single – to combine a series of elements in parallel. The number of elements is from 6 to 12 in a car and up to several thousand in the guaranteed power supply of industrial power systems and electric vehicles. It should be noted that any group of batteries, such as lead, is branched into a huge number of subgroups, distinguished by metal additives and structural design, each for their own purposes [14]. The same pattern applies to other groups of batteries [15, 16]. In general, a control system can be created for any battery group or subgroup. However, this is not enough; given the toxicity of certain electrolytes, the explosiveness and fire hazard of some batteries must be monitored individually. In some cases, this cannot be done separately, so elements are divided into groups and control is carried out in sections [17].
The global problem in this situation is as follows. During the release of any battery, each of its ‘jars’ has close parameters in terms of internal resistance, open circuit voltage, the ratio, and distribution of active masses. Already after the first discharge, these indicators are ‘diverging’ in each of the elements, each battery is discharged in its own way, and their control system is shared. As a consequence, the next charge does not start with the same conditions, and these deviations accumulate during operation. For lithium batteries, the critical parameter is temperature, with measurement accuracy of up to tenths of a degree (fire and explosion), and it also becomes different. That is, the system of chemical storage management becomes extremely complex, whereas its reliability must be better. One striking example is the incident at the Salyut-7 space station, which was deactivated due to a false positive of the battery level sensor, which showed 100% charge [18].

The situation is complicated by the fact that when one or two cans of lead accumulators and up to 10–15% lithium accumulators fail, the battery is discarded. In some countries, lead-acid batteries have been recycled, the issue on lithium ones has not been resolved, and only nickel and cobalt extraction technologies have been developed. In Europe, currently, only 5% of lithium batteries are recycled [19] and only 10–20% of them are unusable.

The authors propose, instead of a certain number of consecutively switched elements, to install one equivalent in power with the corresponding voltage. Advantages in the control system, reliability, safety, and efficiency of charge and discharge processes are obvious. The reliability of information as of the source, and, therefore, the reparability, is increasing.

High voltage step-down DC-DC converters are widely used in the world. However, there are some difficulties with boost converters. In particular, converters with power up to 40–50 W from 2–4 V voltage, 1 kW from 8–9 V, as well as 3 kW from 48 V and above have been used [20–22]. It is problematic to create a boost converter with a voltage of 0.8–5 V and with a power exceeding 10 kW. This is probably what prompted them to go in parallel with chemical power supplies.

There are several reasons for this. According to [23], at low-voltage currents with currents exceeding 100 A, the static losses in semiconductors are by an order of magnitude greater than dynamic ones. Therefore, the power circuits should include a minimum of semiconductor elements and a power transformer. The second factor is the excess energy stored in the inductance of the scattering. In [23], a charge-converter was considered, but such a solution requires an additional voltage stabilizer, which significantly reduces the efficiency with voltages exceeding 10 V. According to [24, 25], non-inductive voltage converters with 0.9–1.8 V have been worked out, with 90% efficiency but at up to 10–20 W. Due to the limited amount of energy, this type of converters is not widespread because of the limited amount of energy that the capacitor can transmit. In the literature, they have several names: the converter with the pumping of the capacitor, with charge transfer, and with the switching of capacitors. The emergence of an ionistor gives the opportunity to transmit much more energy.

The authors have studied a 0.9–5 VDC ionistor converter to a voltage greater than 200 V without the use of inductive elements. This was made possible by combining the properties of chemical energy storage devices with voltages up to 5 V and ionistors with similar voltages. Both the first and second elements have no energy storage constraints.

### 3. The aim and objectives of the study

The aim of the study is to develop a method of increasing the low-voltage direct current of a chemical energy capacitor without the use of induction elements, i.e., without intermediate conversion of magnetic field energy.

To achieve this aim, the following objectives were set and done:

- to determine the feasibility of using a DC-DC converter from voltage levels of 1–5 V, with electromagnetic energy conversion;
- to find out the capacity of ionistors to carry out direct conversion of low-voltage DC electric energy;
- to get a mathematical model of the work of an ionistor converter and to find out the regularities of its operation with variation of parameters.

### 4. Materials and methods of the research

In the first stage, the fundamental possibility of the very idea of capacitive transformation was investigated. For this purpose, the power scheme presented in Fig. 1. Capacitors and thyristors were used as keys without forced switching. The main indicator was to achieve the effect of a ‘transformer’ at constant voltage. That is, when increasing the output voltage twice, the output current, relative to the input, decreases twice. The output voltage must be stabilized automatically when the load changes, without additional regulation through the control circuit. The effect on the power of the clock frequency and the ratio of the duration of charge and discharge were also evaluated.

In the second stage, the switch to ionistors and transistor switches was made. This allowed varying frequencies, capacities, and work on different types of ionistors in a wide range. According to the obtained dependences, a comparative characteristic of the capacitor converter and the ionistor was carried out.

The third stage was designed to cover a wide range of capacities, from industrial power systems to autonomous wind and solar generation systems. They use a variety of chemical energy storage devices that differ in the amount of energy, in their type, in the number of elements, and in their connection schemes. It is not advisable to carry out converter studies for each individual object. Therefore, a mathematical model was investigated to determine the converter parameters for a particular type of chemical storage and their operating conditions.

### 5. Results of studying low-voltage direct current converters

#### 5.1. Research on a low-voltage step-up electromagnetic DC-DC converter

Tests were conducted on the use of serial DC-DC converters. It has been established that at an input voltage of 2–3 V the maximum power is 10–20 W. Starting from a voltage of 8–10 V, it is not possible to get more than 300 W as a result of the modular units’ upgrading. The use of ad-
ditional radiators or additional ventilation is ineffective. Switching to voltages above 10 V increased power up to 500 W, but there were problems with the high-frequency power transformer. During the switching of the power keys, an anti-electromotive force arose due to the inductance of the transformer. To some extent, its impact was reduced by circuit solutions, but with the increase in power, these measures were not effective. The next step was to use sectioned transformer windings. Further research showed that this solution could increase power only to 1–1.5 kW. The solution to the problem of converting direct current electricity up to 4 V with power exceeding 500 W by traditional DC–DC converters has proved impossible.

5.2. A study of a low-voltage direct current ionistor converter

Known DC–DC converters operate, using an inductive element, transformer or throttle. There is a chain of transformations of energies: direct current into alternating, into energy of the magnetic field, again into alternating current, and rectification. It is extremely problematic to create a high-frequency (100–300 kHz) transformer with a power of 100–200 kW at an input voltage of up to 5 V. Therefore, the idea of charging a certain number of ionistors in a parallel mode was implemented, followed by a series discharge in a sequential one. In this approach, the low-voltage properties of chemical energy storage and ionistors were organically combined. Fig. 1, a shows a diagram of the converter that was used to study the conditions of double voltage increase. When the control pulses are applied to the VS1 and VS2 thyristors, they open and charge in a circle: +G, VD1, VS1, C1, and –G, as well as in a circle G, VD1, C2, VS2, and –G. As the capacitor charge of the thyristors closes, the discharge of capacities C1 and C2 occurs in successive inclusion in a circle: +C1, VD2, C2, VD3, C3, and –C1. After a certain number of charge-discharge cycles on the capacitor C3 and R, the voltage is twice that of the supply voltage G. At the moment of discharge of the capacitor, the diode VD2 is closed by the total voltage of the capacitors and does not allow discharge through the power source. Similarly, the VD3 diode prevents discharge of the C3 storage capacitor per power supply circuit.

![Fig. 1. An ionistor converter of direct current to direct current: a – a thyristor; b – a transistor](image)

As the load R increases, the discharge capacitance increases and, accordingly, a greater amount of energy is supplied from the source, i.e., there is a self-regulation of voltage twice the output. In order to increase the voltage, a circuit of n parallel capacitors C1, C2,..., CN is drawn up n times.

The diagram shows satisfactory operation at power up to 500 W, easy to manage, and the thyristors are locked naturally, at the charge of capacities and reduction of current. For increase of power, it is necessary to use forced switching of the thyristors, which complicates the circuit and worsens the quality of the output voltage by the discharge pulses of the switching capacitor. In general, the thyristor circuitry has some advantages, but as the power grows, the capacitor charge processes become less manageable.

The use of electrolytic capacitors allowed increasing the capacity to kilowatts; its further increase led to their overheating.

The power part of the converter on the ionistors is presented in Fig. 1, b. A typical modern ionistor has a capacity of up to 3,000 F [26], serially used as an auxiliary means for starting engines at low temperatures. Since 1–10 kA currents are required to convert significant power at low voltages and high frequencies, the element must have low internal active resistance and low impedance. It is difficult now, without full-scale research, to determine these parameters, since they are significantly frequency-dependent, and the manufacturer does not always specify control frequencies, so these values fluctuate. Specialized ionistors with low internal resistance under the Low ESR marking are used where ion-separator is applied [27]; study [28] specified the technology of their production, for which CNF-PANI material was proposed to ensure significant reduction of internal resistance [29]. Molecular accumulator 24PP 30/0.603 with a capacity of 104 F has an internal resistance of 0.003 Ω [30]. According to the data given in [31], the use of liquid organic electrolytes opens new possibilities. Electrolytes with high ion transport properties are required to obtain high-frequency ionistors with low consistent equivalent resistance [32].

That is, there are ways to further reduce the internal resistance of the ionistor, as this parameter can become critical when operating in an ionistor converter.

For the scheme of Fig. 1, b, Schottky diodes were used because they operate at low voltages and have a slight voltage drop in the open state of 80–250 mV. Alternatively, dual diodes of the STPS120L15TV series of 15 V may be used at more than 100 A [33]. MOSFETs were used as key elements to operate at low voltages, high power levels and frequencies above 50–100 kHz. In particular, the IRL2505 model with a current of 74 A has a drain resistance – a leakage RDS of 8 mΩ; model AUIRFS8409 with a voltage of 40 V, at a current of 195 A has a resistance of 1.2 mΩ; and IRF2804S refers to 40 V, 320 A, and 1.6 mΩ [34, 35].

Fig. 1, b shows the following: VD1–VD3 as Schottky diodes, C1–C2 as ionistors up to 4 V, and C3 as a combined ionistor with consumer voltage. As to the C3 ionistor, it can be combined with several 2–4 V ionistors to obtain 12–24 V. Given the ability of the MOSFET transistors to operate at high frequencies, a variant was developed to use for a C3 pulse capacitor a type IKE-90/300 at 300 V, 2 F and IKE-115/300 at 300 V, 2.5 F.

In the scheme under consideration, ionistors operate in difficult conditions if they are completely discharged and recharged at a certain frequency. This mode will complicate the operation of key elements. Therefore, a partial discharge mode was used and the residual charge would serve to limit peak currents. The frequency dependence on the residual...
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Voltage was obtained under the condition that unchanged energy was transmitted:

\[
f_1 \frac{C U_1^2}{2} = f_2 \frac{C (U_1 - U_s)^2}{2},
\]

where \( C \) is the capacity of the accumulator; \( U_1 \) and \( U_s \) are maximum and residual charge and discharge voltages, respectively; \( f_1 \) is the frequency at full discharge of the capacitor; and \( f_2 \) is the frequency at partial discharge of the capacitance up to \( U_s \).

The dependence of frequency on the degree of discharge of the capacitance was experimentally verified:

\[
f_2 = \frac{f U^2}{(U_1 - U_s)^2}; \quad U_s = \frac{U_1}{n}; \quad f_2 = f_n^2,
\]

where \( n \) is the multiplicity of residual voltage. In practice, this means that the same energy can be transmitted at full capacity discharge at 50 Hz or partial discharge (1/3) at 450 Hz. As the frequency increases, the residual charge increases, which will limit the current flow at the beginning of the ionistor charge process.

5.3. Creation of a mathematical model of low voltage ionistor converter

The following time structure was created. The process is divided into steps:

1. charge of ionistors in parallel switching;
2. break of a circle of a power supply with a key and recharging of the ionistors at the expense of inductive components;
3. discharge of sequentially switched ionistors on storage capacity and load;
4. discharge capacity and load inductive components.

Let us denote this process as the first cycle. During the fourth step of the first cycle, the first step of the second cycle begins. Its difference depends on the presence of residual charges on the ionistors. The difference between cycles depends on the increase in residual charges. That is, the circuit is implemented when the initial conditions of the next step and the cycle are the final conditions of the previous one. A sign of a steady process is the stabilization of the output voltage.

The first step is the charge of the capacitor from the power supply in Fig. 2, where \( G \) with a 4 V DC power source symbolizes a lithium battery consisting of one large capacity can. \( K \) is the transistor key, \( VD \) is the reverse diode, \( r_2 \) is the charging resistance, which includes: active resistance of the key, battery, inductance, and capacitance. \( C \) is a charge capacitor (ionistor) consisting of a certain number of ionistors switched on in parallel.

![Fig. 2. Step 1. A charge circuit](image)

This is a differential equation describing the process of charging ionistors from a chemical current source:

\[
U_c = L \frac{dq''}{dt} + r_2 \frac{dq''}{dt} + \frac{q + q_{03}}{C},
\]

where \( L \) and \( r_2 \) mean the total inductance and the resistance of the charging circuit; \( C \) is the capacity when the batteries are switched on in a parallel mode and there is the corresponding number of capacitors; \( q_{03} \) is the residual charge, which is zero for the initial process, and later it corresponds to the charge remaining from the third step.

In the received second-order differential equation, its initial conditions are the charge and the current at the time of the key \( K \).

During the second step, there is the switch of the key \( K \) (Fig. 3), and the ionistors are additionally charged in parallel switching due to the inductive components, through the reverse diode \( VD \). As noted earlier, the conversion of direct current electrical energy occurs without the use of inductive elements. However, there may be times when high frequencies (as we also do not limit them) take into account the inductance of the connecting bus as well as the inductance of the chemical source and the ionistor. On the other hand, when operating at low frequencies, it is appropriate to introduce some inductance to limit the initial current surge. In both cases, the reverse diode \( VD \) (Fig. 3) will give some energy boost. Its value is especially important when switching on high currents.

![Fig. 3. Step 2. A discharge circuit](image)

The differential equation describing this process with the initial current obtained from equation (3) at its final value for the first step and the additional charge obtained by working out the inductance of the reverse diode has the following form:

\[
L \frac{dq''}{dt} + R \frac{dq''}{dt} - \frac{q + q_{03}}{C} = 0,
\]

where \( q_{03} \) is the charge accumulated at the time of switching in the previous step on the capacitor \( C \).

The initial conditions for it are the charge \( q_0 \) and the current \( i_0 \) at the time of commutation. The solutions of equations (3) and (4) for the two variants are shown in Fig. 4. Position \( a \) is the charging current, \( c \) is the voltage on the capacitor, and \( e \) is the working of the reverse diode.

The switching occurred at a falling current of 50 A at the time of 2·10⁻³ s at a maximum voltage of 5 V. In the second option, the switching occurred before 10⁻³ s; the positions \( b, d, \) and \( f \) correspond to the previous ones, but the switching occurred at a maximum current of 180 A, with the voltage of 2.5 V and the resulting voltage of 2.6931 V. In this case, twice the duration of the charge of 2·10⁻³ s gave a voltage of 5 V, against the duration of 10⁻³ s with a resulting voltage of 2.7 V. That is, the efficiency of working the reverse diode at the current of 175 A (position \( f \)) is slightly smaller in voltage than in the first option. It is impossible to predict the effect of the frequencies, the charge and discharge ratio, and a number of other parameters. Only the study of the mathematical model of the converter can answer these questions. The next, third step (Fig. 5), describes the process of connecting the ionistors through sequential switching and their discharge on the storage capacity \( C_2 \) and loading \( R_2 \).
To find them, we must transform to a previous derivative. After completing the standard solution of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative. After completing the standard solution of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative. After completing the standard solution of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative. After completing the standard solution of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative. After completing the standard solution of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative.

In order to show the different options, Fig. 6 presents the case when the capacity of the ionistors was increased to 20 F. The first step, position a: the charging current from the battery with a voltage of 4 V, with the key actuation at 2·10^{-2} s, with a current of 350 A. Position c: the increase of voltage on the capacitor; voltage increases to 0.4 V. Position e: there is a charge current due to the reverse diode VD1 and inductance. Position b: 8 capacitors C are switched on in parallel to their switching to the serial connection (voltage increased 8 times), and there is discharge on the capacitor C2. Position d: there is a boost of the charge voltage of the current on the capacitor C2. Position f: voltage increases on the capacitor C2 (load R_L).

The fourth step of Fig. 7: the capacitor C2 is charged due to the inductance and supply of the load R_L. Along with the fourth step, the first and second steps of the second cycle occur. That is, the duration of the fourth step corresponds to the sum of the durations of the first and second steps. At the time of switching K_2, the current retains its value and in some way is distributed between the capacitor and the load. We constructed the system of differential equations so that the current flowing to the capacitor could be removed and not searched separately. The initial condition is that the capacitor C2 has a certain charge q_{03} (from the third step). The capacitance of the ionistors was increased 8 times), and there is discharge on the capacitor C2. The time of commutation in the circuit L_p−C_2, R_L−r_r−VD there is the current i_{03}, which is defined as the end of the previous step. Also, according to the law of charge conserva-

\[
\begin{align*}
q'' &= \frac{1}{L_c C_2} \left[ -\left( r_c C_1 + \frac{L_c}{R_c} \right) \right] q'' + \\
&+ \left[ \frac{C_c}{C_{1+e} - \frac{r_c}{R_c} - 1} \right] q' + \frac{R_c}{R_{c1+e}} q
\end{align*}
\]  

(7)

Since we have a third-order differential equation, we determine the initial conditions \( q_0, q'_0, q''_0 \). To find them, we should consider the following facts. At the time the keys are actuated, the current is zero. The initial charge on capacitors C_{1+e} is found as the sum of the charges of the first and second steps after integrating the current of the second step. The solution of equation (7) is presented in Fig. 6.

\[ U_z = \frac{q_0}{n_1} + n \frac{q_0}{C} + C_{1+n} = \frac{C}{n^2}, \]

(5)

where \( q_0 \) is the charge accumulated by the capacitors; \( n \) is the number of capacitors.

In Fig. 5, the capacitors C_{1}−C_{n} symbolize a certain number of nominally identical serially switched ionistors, and \( r_p \) is a bit resistance, which includes active resistance of ionistors, connecting buses, keys, similarly to inductances L_p. C_2 is the storage capacity, and R_L is the active load. Let us develop a differential equation of the discharge circuit of Fig. 5:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{q}{C_{1+e}} + L_c q'' + r_c q' - u_2 &= 0, \\
q' + C_c u + \frac{u_2}{R_L} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

where \( L_p \) and \( r_p \) are the total active and inductive resistance of the discharge circuit, \( u_2 \) is the load voltage, and \( R_L \) is active load.

It is more convenient to conduct tests when the system of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative. After completing the standard transformations, we get the following:

We find the dependence of the output voltage \( U_z \) on the number of ionistors \( n \), their capacitance, and the value of the capacitor C_{1+n} in series switching:

\[ U_z = \frac{q_0}{n_1} + n \frac{q_0}{C} + C_{1+n} = \frac{C}{n^2}, \]

(5)

where \( q_0 \) is the charge accumulated by the capacitors; \( n \) is the number of capacitors.

In Fig. 5, the capacitors C_{1}−C_{n} symbolize a certain number of nominally identical serially switched ionistors, and \( r_p \) is a bit resistance, which includes active resistance of ionistors, connecting buses, keys, similarly to inductances L_p. C_2 is the storage capacity, and R_L is the active load. Let us develop a differential equation of the discharge circuit of Fig. 5:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{q}{C_{1+e}} + L_c q'' + r_c q' - u_2 &= 0, \\
q' + C_c u + \frac{u_2}{R_L} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

where \( L_p \) and \( r_p \) are the total active and inductive resistance of the discharge circuit, \( u_2 \) is the load voltage, and \( R_L \) is active load.

It is more convenient to conduct tests when the system of differential equations results in an explicit form with respect to a previous derivative. After completing the standard transformations, we get the following:
tion, the charge corresponding to the current at the moment of commutation is stored – that is, we have initial conditions for the charge, the current (the first derivative); in the future, we will find the second derivative. Below, there is a system of differential equations describing the above processes. Below, there is a system of differential equations describing the above processes.

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_p q'' + r_q q' - \frac{q_q - q_{0q}}{C_2} &= 0; \\
q' + \frac{q_{0q} - q_{00}}{C_2} &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

(8)

where \( q \) and \( q' \) are the charge and current traveling by inductance, \( r_q \), \( q \), and \( q' \) are the charge and current passing through the capacitor \( C_2 \).

After completing the mathematical transformations, we obtain:

\[
q'' = \frac{1}{C_2 L_p} \left[ \left( \frac{L_p}{R_i} - C_2 r_q \right) q'' + \left( \frac{r_q}{R_i} - 1 \right) q' \right].
\]

(9)

The initial conditions \( q_{00}, q'_{00}, \) and \( q_{00}' \) are taken from the final values of the previous equation (7); we find the initial conditions for the second derivative from the first equation of system (8); assuming that the current at the moment of switching is zero, we obtain the value of the second derivative as follows:

\[
q_{00} = -\frac{1}{L_p} (r_q i_0 - u_k).
\]

(10)

where \((q_q - q_{0q})/C_2\) is the first equation of system (8); the voltage \(u_k\) on the capacitor \( C_2 \) at the moment of commencement of the switching of the key, is defined as the final value from the previous equation. The change of the voltage is caused by the change of the electromotive force (EMF) direction on the inductance at the moment of switching. Such was the end of the first cycle, consisting of four steps.

The connection of the steps in time is shown in Fig. 8; the load will always be voltage-carrying. In step 3, there is a process of transition of charge from sequentially switched capacitors to the load, or when charging the capacitors under the voltage of the storage capacitor. Their total duration (frequency) and the ratio of the duration in the steps can vary widely.

Thus, we described the first cycle, which began at zero initial conditions both for the charge \( q_0 \) and the current \( i_0 \). The following cycles will be different from the previous number of accumulated charges. Determining the final voltage at the time of switching and knowing the capacity, we find the residual charge that meets the initial conditions of the next step. In the second and subsequent cycles, the differential equations that describe them remain unaltered; only the initial conditions change.

As the charge accumulates in cycles, the current and voltage on the load increase. The criterion when the device enters the steady state mode can be the condition when the difference of the end voltage of step 4 between the previous cycle and the next will not exceed the preset value \( \Delta U \).

Using the capacitive converter shown in Fig. 1 provides for its work with electrochemical energy storage devices as part of the energy system, on electric vehicles, in conventional cars, and in other cases, where it is desirable to replace a certain number of elements with one equivalent in energy. Therefore, both the electrochemical elements and the ionistors can differ significantly in their parameters. Of course, in-field studies do not provide that amount of data. The obtained mathematical model makes it possible to study the work of the converter on a case-by-case basis.

![Fig. 8. The relationship of the step time in the cycle](image)

![Fig. 9. The plots of the currents and voltages with the load; steps 3 and 4 of the 20th cycle: a, c, and e are the processes on sequentially switched capacitors; b, d, and f are the processes with loading](image)
Such parameters as impedances of batteries, ionistors, and implementation of the element base of the converter can vary widely. They, in turn, will be correlated with the frequency, the ratio of the duration of the steps, and the power. Therefore, as a result of the study, we will draw graphs for one of the parameter combinations, when in steady state (cycle 50) the output voltage is approximately 100 V and the load has an active resistance of 0.2 Ω. Therefore, the output power is about 40 kW. In Fig. 10, a, we observe the switching current of the ionistors after their discharge under load (in series connection) and the operation of the reverse diode of step 4.

Fig. 11 shows the operation of the converter in a steady-state mode, which happened during the 50th cycle. The processes occurring here are similar to those described above for Fig. 10, except for the increase of the current up to 150 A, and the voltage up to 113 V. The switching current does not have a descending direction from 113.5 V to 136 V due to the power for the consumer.

The processes occurring in the converter are described by a series of differential equations that are related to the initial conditions. Such structure allows describing all dynamics of transient and steady processes under a change of the ratio of charge and discharge duration, values of parameters of chemical storage of electricity, ionistors, multiplicity of increase of voltage, and internal resistances of all elements. This means that according to the tasks set by simulation, it is possible to find the optimal ratio of parameters depending on the type of chemical storage and power for the consumer.

Fig. 10. Cycle 10; steps 3 and 4; voltage and currents under load: a is step 3, with current on the capacitor C1; the load; b is step 4, with current through the reverse diode; c is step 3, with voltage on the load and the capacitor; d is voltage surge through the reverse diode

6. Discussion of the results of studying the operation of the low-voltage DC converter

The conducted tests on step-up serial DC-DC converters have shown that there are no converters with a power exceeding 200 W at an input voltage of up to 5 V. Various circuits and technological techniques can somewhat extend the specified range [23]. In general, the problem is not resolved. The study has found that the main obstacle to increasing the power is a high-frequency power transformer. It is difficult to imagine what its design with a winding for currents of more than 200–300 A should be. The cooling conditions of both the core and the windings will be extremely unfavorable, with significant static and dynamic losses. Another problem is to overcome magnetic fluxes. One thing is the localization of the anti-electromotive force of scattering at currents up to 10–50 A, and quite another if currents are above 100 A. The energy that appears thus must be directed somewhere. All this complicates the scheme, reducing the efficiency. It is advisable to find a way to convert a constant voltage using only the electric field energy that can accumulate in the ionistors.

Using the method of parallel charge of ionistors, with their subsequent discharge in series helps avoid the intermediate conversion of electrical energy into magnetic field energy. Fig. 1 shows schematic solutions that implement the idea. Both in the transformer and in the ionistor converter there is an automatic stabilization of the output voltage when the load is changed due to the degree of discharge of the capacitor. This converter helps soften the work of key elements while increasing power. By increasing the cyclic frequency, the amplitude of the charge-discharge differential voltage decreases, and thus the current surges are reduced. However, at the same time, the ‘energy’ of the charges increases, and only the ‘peaks’ of the amplitudes of the currents work. The resulting dependence is represented in expressions (1) and (2).

The processes occurring in the converter are described by the following system of differential equations, where θ is the voltage on the load, iL is the load current, C1 is the capacitance of the capacitor, and I is the current in the inductance from 0.07 V to 0.08 V, Fig. 10, a, b.

\[
\theta(t) = \frac{1}{C_1} \int i_L(t) \, dt
\]

\[
i_L(t) = \frac{1}{L} \frac{d\theta(t)}{dt}
\]

The current is continuous in Fig. 10, a, with the switching at 0.95 A, and the beginning of the cycle of switching on the diode Fig. 10, b, also at the level of 0.95 A. Accordingly, with the voltage on the active load (Fig. 10, c) there is a voltage surge due to inductance from 0.07 V to 0.08 V, Fig. 10, d.

Operation of the reverse diode Fig. 11, b changes the current at 0.5·10⁻² s, but this means that it will close and the energy on the recharge will not be lost; it also prevents possible oscillatory processes. The load voltage in step 3 (Fig. 11, c) has a descending direction from 113.5 V to 113.4 V; during step 4, there is a surge of the voltage on the inductance (Fig. 11, d) from 113 V to 136 V due to the operation of the reverse diode. In total for 50 cycles in all 4 steps, there was no sign of violation of the law of switching, which confirms the reliability of the mathematical model of the processes of voltage conversion.

Fig. 11. Cycle 50; steps 3 and 4; voltage and currents under load: a shows charging current on the storage capacity; b is reverse diode current; c is load voltage during step 3; d is load voltage in step 4
Thus, Fig. 4 presents two variations of steps 1 and 2 of the first cycle. The first step is when the switching occurs at a current of 50 A (Fig. 4, a); on the reverse diode additional impulse 50 A (Fig. 4, b), we get a voltage of 4 V (Fig. 4, c). In the second variant, with a switching current of 150 A (Fig. 4, d) and a pulse through diode at 150 A (Fig. 4, f), the voltage will be only 2 V (Fig. 4, d). Which of the options is preferable is unclear as it depends on many parameters. By performing simulations for a specific accumulator type and ionistor, in a steady state, it is possible to determine the optimal ratio. Thus, Fig. 11 shows the 50th cycle diagrams (steady state), with the voltage and the current on load. Voltage ripples are insignificant, due to the large capacity at a charging current of 450 A.

Using the specific properties of ionistors and chemical energy storage devices, taking into account their parameters, and researching the model of an ionistor converter, we can build a low-voltage converter of the required power. Its features are the absence of a transformer and the ability to operate at voltages less than 5 V, at currents greater than 100 A. This will reduce the number of elements in the battery for power storage systems.

The study did not solve the optimization problem of the influence of the ratios of the duration of the cycle, the duration of the cycle, the multiplicity of voltage increase, capacitor values, and more.

Further research must help find the correspondence between the pairs of battery types – the type of ionistors, determining their frequency parameters. The next step is to solve an optimization problem, where the generalized criterion can be the power, the voltage level or the efficiency. After this solution, it is possible to obtain the optimal frequency, the ratio of the steps, and the value of the capacitors. A certain number of elements are replaced by one equivalent in power. Probably one of the problems is to determine the frequency of an ionistor operation, with its partial discharge, and there is no other than the experimental path.

7. Conclusion

1. It is not advisable to create a DC-DC boost converter with voltages below 5 V and a power exceeding 1 kW. At currents above 200 A, problems arise in cooling the windings and the transformer core. Additional measures must be taken to localize the anti-electromotive force of the magnetic field scattering.

2. It is advisable to carry out the direct conversion of low-voltage DC energy without converting it into magnetic field energy, using a parallel charge of subsequent ionistor discharge in a sequential mode.

3. A mathematical model was obtained for processes occurring in an ionistor converter. It helped find acceptable modes of its operation, depending on the type of chemical energy storage, power of the consumer, and the output voltage. This will allow, instead of a certain number of elements of the chemical energy storage, to use one that would be equivalent in energy.
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