Regge trajectories of ordinary and non-ordinary mesons from their scattering poles
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Abstract. Our results on obtaining the Regge trajectory of a resonance from its pole in a scattering process and from analytic constraints in the complex angular momentum plane are presented. The method, suited for resonances that dominate an elastic scattering amplitude, has been applied to the $\rho(770)$, $f_2(1270)$, $f_2(1525)$ and $f_0(500)$ resonances. Whereas for the first three we obtain linear Regge trajectories, characteristic of ordinary quark-antiquark states, for the latter we find a non-linear trajectory with a much smaller slope at the resonance mass. We also show that if a linear trajectory with a slope of typical size is imposed for the $f_0(500)$, the corresponding amplitude is at odds with the data. This provides a strong indication of the non-ordinary nature of the sigma meson.
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INTRODUCTION

We present here the results of a recent work [1] and an on-going project [2] were we use the analytic properties in the complex angular momentum plane to study the Regge trajectories of resonances that are predominantly elastic.

It is a well-known experimental fact that when the angular momentum of the hadronic resonances is plotted versus their squared mass, almost all of them fall in linear trajectories with approximately the same slope. These trajectories can be intuitively understood in terms of rotational states of quarks linked by flux tubes. Thus the fact that some resonances, such as the $f_0(500)$, cannot be accommodated in any known Regge trajectory can be an indication that they have a non-ordinary nature. In this work, instead of trying to put the resonances into known trajectories, we develop a method to obtain the complex Regge trajectories of a predominantly-elastic resonance from the position and residue of its corresponding pole as it appears in the scattering of two other hadrons. This way we take into account the width of the resonance, in contrast with the previous works, which at most consider it as an error in the mass.

In [1] we applied this method to the lightest mesonic resonances appearing in $\pi\pi$ scattering, namely, the $\rho(770)$, which is well understood as a quark-antiquark state, and the $f_0(500)$ or $\sigma$ meson, whose nature is still the subject of a longstanding debate and which does not seem to fit well in the $(J,M^2)$ trajectories [3]. Now, we are studying [2] the trajectories of two $J = 2$ mesons, the $f_2(1270)$ and the $f_2'(1525)$, which decay predominantly into two pions and into two kaons, respectively.

REGGE TRAJECTORY OF A RESONANCE FROM ITS POLE

Near a Regge pole the partial wave can be written as

$$ t_l(s) = \beta(s)/(l - \alpha(s)) + f(l,s), \quad (1) $$

where $f(l,s)$ is a background regular function of $l$, and the Regge trajectory $\alpha(s)$ and residue $\beta(s)$ are analytic functions, the former having a cut along the real axis above the elastic threshold. If the pole dominates the scattering
amplitude in the resonance region, the unitarity condition implies that, for real \( l \),

\[
\text{Im} \alpha(s) = \rho(s) \beta(s). \tag{2}
\]

On the other hand, the analytical properties of the \( \beta(s) \) function allow us to write it as [4]

\[
\beta(s) = \gamma(s)s^{\alpha(s)}/\Gamma(\alpha(s) + 3/2), \tag{3}
\]

where \( s = (s - 4m^2_\pi)/s_0 \). The dimensional scale \( s_0 = 1 \) GeV\(^2\) is introduced for convenience and the reduced residue \( \gamma(s) \) is an analytic function, whose phase is known because \( \beta(s) \) is real in the real axis.

Now we can write down dispersion relations for \( \alpha(s) \) and \( \beta(s) \), and connect them by using the unitarity condition (2) to obtain the following system of integral equations [4]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Re} \alpha(s) &= \alpha_0 + \alpha's + \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{4m^2_\pi}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\text{Im} \alpha(s')}{s'(s' - s)}, \tag{4} \\
\text{Im} \alpha(s) &= \frac{\rho(s)b_0^{s_0+\alpha's}}{[\Gamma(\alpha(s) + \frac{3}{2})]} \exp \left(-\alpha's[1 - \log(\alpha's_0)] + \frac{s}{\pi} PV \int_{4m^2_\pi}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\text{Im} \alpha(s') \log \frac{s}{s'} + \arg \Gamma(\alpha(s') + \frac{3}{2})}{s'(s' - s)} \right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( PV \) stands for “principal value”\(^1\). The phenomenological parameters \( \alpha_0, \alpha' \) and \( b_0 \) will be determined by fitting to the resonance pole in the following way: for a given set of \( \alpha_0, \alpha' \) and \( b_0 \) we solve the system of Eqs. (4) and (5) iteratively. From the obtained Regge parameters \( \alpha(s) \) and \( \beta(s) \) we determine the corresponding Regge pole \( \beta_M(s)/(1 - \alpha_M(s)) \). The difference between the position and residue of the physical pole and the Regge one is used to define a \( \chi^2 \) function, which we minimize by changing the parameters \( \alpha_0, \alpha' \) and \( b_0 \) and repeating the above steps.

**\( \rho(770) \) AND \( f_0(500) \) REGGE TRAJECTORIES**

We carry out the minimization procedure explained in the previous section using as input the pole parameters from a precise dispersive representation of \( \pi\pi \) scattering data [6]. The resulting Regge amplitudes in the real axis are shown in Fig. 1, where we compare them with the partial waves of [6]. Let us remark that they do not need to overlap since we have only constrained them at the resonance pole. However, the agreement in the resonant region is very good. As expected, it deteriorates as we approach threshold or the inelastic region, specially in the case of the \( f_0(980) \) wave due to the interference with the \( f_0(980) \).

The obtained Regge parameters are given in Table 1 and the Regge trajectories are shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. For the \( \rho(770) \) resonance we see that the imaginary part of \( \alpha(s) \) is much smaller than the real part, and that the latter grows linearly with \( s \). The values for the parameters are very consistent with previous determinations such as: \( \alpha_p(0) = 0.52 \pm 0.02 \) [7], \( \alpha_p(0) = 0.450 \pm 0.005 \) [8], \( \alpha_p' \approx 0.83 \) GeV\(^{-2}\) [3], \( \alpha_p'' = 0.9 \) GeV\(^{-2}\) [7], or \( \alpha_p'' \approx 0.87 \pm 0.06 \) GeV\(^{-2}\) [9]. This agreement is remarkable if we take into account our approximations, and that our error bands only reflect the uncertainty in the input pole parameters.

| \( \rho(770) \) | \( f_0(500) \) | \( \alpha_0 \) | \( \alpha' (\text{GeV}^{-2}) \) | \( b_0 \) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|
| \( \rho(770) \) | \( 0.520 \pm 0.002 \) | \( 0.902 \pm 0.004 \) | \( 0.52 \) |
| \( f_0(500) \)  | \( -0.090^{+0.004}_{-0.012} \) | \( 0.002^{+0.050}_{-0.001} \) | \( 0.12 \) GeV\(^{-2}\) |

---

\(^1\) For the \( \sigma \) meson, we make a small modification in order to include the Adler-zero required by chiral symmetry: we multiply the right hand side of Eq.(5) by \( 2s - m^2_\sigma \) (Adler zero at leading order in Chiral Perturbation Theory [5]) and replace the \( 3/2 \) by \( 5/2 \) inside the gamma functions in order not to spoil the large \( s \)-behavior.
FIGURE 1. Partial waves $t_{ll}$ with $l = 1$ (left panels) and $l = 0$ (right panels). Solid lines represent the amplitudes from [6], whose poles are the input for the constrained Regge-pole amplitudes shown with dashed curves. The gray bands cover the uncertainties due to the errors of the inputs. In the right panels, the dotted lines represent the constrained Regge-pole amplitude for the $S$-wave if the $\sigma$-pole is fitted by imposing a linear trajectory with $\alpha' \simeq 1\text{GeV}^{-2}$.

In the case of the $f_0(500)$ meson, we see that its trajectory is evidently nonlinear and has a slope two orders of magnitude smaller than that of the $\rho$ and other typical quark-antiquark resonances. This strongly suggests that the nature of the $\sigma$ meson is non-ordinary.

Furthermore, in Fig. 2 we can observe that the $f_0(500)$ trajectory is very similar to the trajectory generated by a Yukawa potential in non-relativistic scattering. Of course, our results are most reliable at low energies (thick dashed-dotted line) and the extrapolation should be interpreted cautiously. Nevertheless, our results suggest that the $f_0(500)$ looks more like a low-energy resonance of a short range potential, e.g. between pions, than a bound state of a long range confining force between a quark and an antiquark.

In order to check that our results for the $f_0(500)$ trajectory are robust, we have tried to fit the pole in [6] by fixing $\alpha'$ to a more natural value, i.e., the one for the $\rho(770)$. With this constraint, the pole parameters are badly fitted and the resulting Regge-pole amplitude on the real axis (dotted curve in the right panel of Fig. 1) disagrees completely with the dispersive representation. This shows that the large resonance width is not responsible for the fact that the $f_0(500)$ does not follow an ordinary Regge trajectory.

$f_2(1270)$ AND $f'_2(1525)$ REGGE TRAJECTORIES

We present here the preliminary results of our study of the trajectories of the $f_2(1270)$ and $f'_2(1525)$ resonances. In the case of the $f_2(1270)$ we use as input the pole obtained from the conformal parameterization of the D0 wave presented
in [11], whereas for the $f_2'(1525)$ we use the mass and width given by the PDG [12]. We obtain its coupling to two mesons from that of the $f_2(1270)$ by assuming that both can be well approximated as Breit-Wigner resonances.

In table 2 we show the value of the Regge parameters. They are compatible with those of [3], where the authors fit all the resonances falling into the leading and daughter trajectories and obtain a common slope of $\alpha' \approx 0.83$ GeV$^{-2}$. Our Regge trajectories are presented in Fig. 3, together with the trajectories found in [3]. We can observe that the real part of our trajectories is straight and much bigger that the imaginary part, with a slope of the order of the “universal” one.

In Fig. 3 we also include the resonances from the listings of the PDG [12] that could belong to these trajectories. We observe that the $J=4$ resonance in the $f_2(1270)$ trajectory could be the $f_2'(2050)$, as proposed in [3], but also the $f_2'(2220)$ or even the $f_2(2300)$. Both of these resonances appear in the particle listings of the PDG, but are still omitted from the summary tables. In fact, the former one still “needs confirmation” and its angular momentum is quoted to be either 2 or 4. For the $f_2'(1525)$ trajectory we find that the $J=4$ candidates are again the $f_2'(2220)$ and the $f_2(2300)$. On the other hand, there is no experimental evidence of the resonance $f_2(2150)$ predicted in [3] from this trajectory.

Finally, let us remark that the PDG particle listings include another $f_2$ resonance, also pending for confirmation, with a mass between that of the $f_2(1270)$ and the $f_2'(1525)$. If this resonance were to belong to a Regge trajectory with a slope similar to the values found here, both the $f_2'(2220)$ and the $f_2(2300)$ resonances would be candidates for that trajectory too. For the moment we cannot apply our method to that resonance, since the branching ratios for its decay into two pions and two kaons haven’t been measured yet.

|                     | $\alpha_0$      | $\alpha'$ (GeV$^{-2}$) | $b_0$       |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| $f_2(1270)$         | $0.9^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ | $0.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$     | $1.3^{+1.4}_{-0.8}$ |
| $f_2'(1525)$        | $0.53^{+0.09}_{-0.45}$ | $0.63^{+0.20}_{-0.04}$  | $1.33^{+0.64}_{-0.07}$ |
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