Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 increases the aggressiveness of gastric cancer by regulating the microRNA-142-5p/JAG1 axis
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Abstract. Gastric cancer has been indicated to have a high recurrence rate in China. Previous studies have revealed that long non-coding RNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1) exerted critical roles in cancers. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the function of NEAT1 and explore the unknown molecular mechanisms of gastric cancer pathogenesis. Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR assay was used to examine the expression of NEAT1, microRNA (miR)-142-5p and jagged1 (JAG1) in gastric cancer. Cell Counting Kit-8 and Transwell assays were conducted to examine cell proliferation, migration and invasion. The protein expression levels of N-cadherin, Vimentin, E-cadherin and JAG1 were quantified by western blot assay. The associations among NEAT1, miR-142-5p and JAG1 were confirmed by dual-luciferase reporter assay and RNA immunoprecipitation. The effects of NEAT1 silencing on tumor growth were evaluated by tumor xenografts. The results indicated that NEAT1 was highly expressed in tumor tissues and cells compared with that in paracancerous tissues and the normal gastric epithelial cell line GES-1 and significantly associated with poor prognosis in gastric cancer. Functional analyses further demonstrated that NEAT1 knockdown suppressed proliferation, motility and tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, NEAT1 sponged miR-142-5p to regulate JAG1 expression. In addition, the effects of NEAT1 knockdown on the proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells could be rescued by miR-142-5p inhibitor, and JAG1 overexpression reversed the miR-142-5p-mediated effects on gastric cancer cells. These findings demonstrated that long non-coding RNA NEAT1 regulated gastric cancer progression by targeting the miR-142-5p/JAG1 axis.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common malignancies, with >1 million estimated new cases and 784,000 deaths globally in 2018, which ranks the fifth among the most diagnosed malignancies worldwide and the third most common cause of cancer-associated mortality (1,2). Excessive alcohol consumption, unbalanced diet and host genetic diversity are the main risk factors inducing gastric cancer (3). Finding the underlying molecular mechanisms is beneficial to diagnosis and therapy of gastric cancer, and it is urgent to identify molecular biomarkers associated with diagnostic and therapeutic targets of gastric cancer. A large amount of evidence has demonstrated that long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) exerted essential roles in biological processes, such as cell proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis and differentiation (4,5). Previous studies have demonstrated that nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1) was upregulated in non-small cell lung cancer and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma tissues compared with that in their corresponding paracancerous tissues (6,7). Moreover, NEAT1 has been indicated to function as a biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis of colorectal cancer (8). Mechanistically, NEAT1 has been revealed to act as an oncogene and promote hepatocellular carcinoma progression via regulating heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A2 (9). Conversely, knockdown of NEAT1 has been indicated to inhibit malignant glioma via targeting miR-449b-5p and lethal-7e (10,11). NEAT1 has also been associated with poor prognosis and has been indicated to promote gastric cancer cell migration and invasion (12).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) consist of 21-25 nucleotides and are endogenous non-coding RNAs that can affect the expression of mRNA by incompletely binding to the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of target genes (13). For example, Liu et al (14) reported that miR-375 enhanced cell proliferation capability by directly targeting p53 in gastric cancer. A previous study has indicated that downregulation of miR-124 was associated with breast cancer progression (15). In addition, miR-124 has been demonstrated to inhibit gastric cancer cell proliferation, but promote apoptosis via affecting enhancer of zeste homolog 2 and Rho-associated protein kinase 1 (16,17). Similarly, Ma et al (18) indicated that miR-142-5p suppressed TGF-β-induced cancer cell growth and acted as a negative
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regulator of the TGF-β pathway by targeting SMAD3. However, the mechanisms of miR-142 in inhibiting metastasis in gastric cancer are still undetermined.

Jagged1 (JAG1) is a vital regulator of the Notch signaling pathway, which participates in various cellular processes (19). JAG1 overexpression has been indicated to promote cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis in multiple myeloma cells (20). In addition, JAG1 has been reported to be a target of miR-142 and facilitate the progression of gastric cancer via regulating the Notch signaling pathway (15,21). In the current study, JAG1 was predicted as a target of miR-142-5p; however, the interaction between miR-142-5p and JAG1 in gastric cancer needs to be explored.

In the present study, NEAT1 expression was revealed to be upregulated in gastric cancer. Loss-of-function experiments were performed to verify the role NEAT1 in gastric cancer. Subsequently, the association between miR-142-5p, NEAT1 and JAG1 was identified by bioinformatics analysis, and it was revealed that NEAT1 exhibited a pro-carcinogenic role in gastric cancer progression by functioning as a sponge to target miR-142-5p and increase JAG1 expression.

Materials and methods

Clinical samples. A total of 33 pairs of biopsy samples used in the present study were obtained from patients with gastric cancer who underwent surgical resection at Yantai Shan Hospital (Yantai, China) between April 2012 and December 2017. The clinicopathological features of these patients are presented in Table I. Inclusion criteria for patient recruitment: i) Patients with histologically confirmed first primary gastric cancer; ii) did not receive therapy before admission; and iii) willing to participate in follow up. Exclusion criteria for patients: i) Other clinical disorders (heart, liver, kidney or lung dysfunction; blood diseases, including leukemia, aplastic anemia, multiple myeloma, lymphoma, hemophilia and Mediterranean anemia; neurological illnesses, including seizures, major depression, schizophrenia, confused speech and Alzheimer disease) except gastric cancer; ii) received prior treatment; and iii) with history of previous malignancies. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to surgery, and corresponding adjacent healthy tissues >3 cm away from the primary tumors were promptly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80˚C until total RNA was extracted.

Cell culture and transfection. The human gastric cancer cell lines AGS and MKN-45 and the human normal gastric epithelial cell line GES-1 were purchased from China Center for Type Culture Collection. All cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in a humidified atmosphere with 95% air/5% CO2 at 37˚C.

Small specific interfering RNA (siRNA) against NEAT1 (si-NEAT1, sense, 5'-UGAUGGACACGGACCGUAUU-3' and antisense, 5'-UUCAGUCUCGUCUCCUCAUU-3') and its negative control (NC) si-NC (sense, 5'-UUCUCCGAAACGU GUCACGU-3' and antisense, 3'-ACUGUGACACGGUCUCC AGAA-5'), overexpression plasmid for NEAT1 and overexpression plasmid for JAG1 (JAG1) and their NC (pcDNA, miR-142-5p inhibitor (in-miR-142-5p, 5'-AGUAGUGCUUUC UACUUAAUG-3') and its NC (in-miR-NC, 5'-CGUAGCAU CGCGCGGGAUAC-3'), miR-142-5p mimic (mi-miR-142-5p, 5'-CAUAAGAGAAGACACAUUC-3') and its NC (miR-NC, 5'-UCACACCCUCCUAAAGAGAGAUA-3'), as well as specific short hairpin RNA (shRNA) against NEAT1 (sh-NEAT1, sense, 5'-CACCAGGAAGCGGAGAGGTT AGA ACG AATT TCA TCT CTT CCCC TGC CTT CTT C-3' and antisense, 5'-AAAAAGAAAGCAGGGAGAGTGAA TGG TCT AAT CTC TCT CCCC TGC CTT CTT C-3') and JAG1 (sh-JAG1, sense, 5'-CACCGTTCCTCGAACTGTCAGTCA GAG TAG ATG CAT GAC CGT CAC CGA AGA ATTT TTG T3' and antisense, 5'-GATCCAAAAATTTCTCCGAACGTGTCAGTCA CGT AAT CTCT TGACG TCAGTCA CAC GTG AGA ATTT TTG T3') and its NC (sh-NC, 5'-CAATTTTTCCGCTACGGTTGCA CGT TCT ACC TCT CCC TGC CTT CC-3' and antisense, 5'-AATTATTCGACGGACGTCCATCTGCAGAGA GAG ATACGTACACGTGTCAGAGAT AGA A-5'), overexpression plasmid for JAG1 and sh-NEAT1 for transfection were 1 µg.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Total RNA was extracted from gastric cancer tissue samples or AGS and MKN-45 cells using TRizol® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA for IncRNA/mRNA and miRNA was synthesized using PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.) and TaqMan™ microRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 37˚C for 15 min, followed by 85˚C for 15 sec. qPCR analysis was performed using QuantiTect SYBR-Green RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen, Inc.) on ABI 7500 HT system (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) by following the thermocycling conditions: 1 cycle of 95˚C for 3 min and 40 cycles of 95˚C for 15 sec, 60˚C for 15 sec and 72˚C for 30 sec followed by 1 cycle of extension at 72˚C for 7 min, with GAPDH (for IncRNA and mRNA) or endogenous small nuclear RNA U6 (for miRNA) as the internal control. Relative expression was determined using the 2ΔΔCq method (22). The primers used are listed as follows: miR-142-5p (forward, 5'-GCCGAGCATATAAGTAGAAG-3'; reverse, 5'-CTCAACTGTGTCGTTTGGAA-3'), JAG1 (forward, 5'-GGGGCAACACTTCAACCCTC-3'; reverse, 5'-CCACGC TTCACAACGCAAC-3'), NEAT1 (forward, 5'-AATTTCTGTG AGTCGTATG-3'; reverse, 5'-TTTCTAATGATTGTTTAA CTTCAAC-3'), GAPDH (forward, 5'-TCCCATACCATCTT CCTCAAG-3'; reverse, 5'-GATGACCTTTTTGGCTC-3'); U6 (forward, 5'-CTCGCTTCGGCGAGCAC-3'; reverse, 5'-AAGCCTTACGAATTTGCG-3').
Table I. Clinicopathological features of patients with gastric cancer (n=33).

| Characteristics                      | N  | %  |
|--------------------------------------|----|----|
| Sex                                  |    |    |
| Female                               | 15 | 45 |
| Male                                 | 18 | 55 |
| Age (years)                          |    |    |
| <50                                  | 16 | 48 |
| ≥50                                  | 17 | 52 |
| Surgical technique                   |    |    |
| Total gastrectomy                    | 25 | 76 |
| Partial gastrectomy                  |  8 | 24 |
| Neoadjuvant therapy                  |    |    |
| No                                   | 15 | 45 |
| Yes                                  | 18 | 55 |
| Resection classification             |    |    |
| R0                                   | 27 | 82 |
| R1                                   |  6 | 18 |
| Histopathological response           |    |    |
| Minor                                | 18 | 55 |
| Major                                | 15 | 45 |
| Clinical T-stage                     |    |    |
| $T_1$                                 |  2 |  6 |
| $T_2$                                 |  5 | 15 |
| $T_3$                                 | 22 | 67 |
| $T_4$                                 |  4 | 12 |
| Clinical N-stage                     |    |    |
| $N_0$                                 |  1 |  3 |
| $N_1$                                 |  6 | 18 |
| $N_2$                                 | 21 | 64 |
| $N_3$                                 |  5 | 15 |

measuring the absorbance at 450 nm on a microplate reader (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

**Cell migration and invasion assay.** Transwell chambers (8-µm pore size; EMD Millipore) were used to investigate the migration and invasion of AGS and MKN-45 cells. For the detection of migration, cells (2×10⁵ cells in serum-free DMEM) were placed into the upper chamber, while the lower chamber contained high glucose DMEM with 10% FBS for the induction of migration. After incubation at 37°C for 48 h, the cells that passed through the membrane were fixed with 20% methanol for 15 min at room temperature and stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min at room temperature. The migrated cells were counted under a light microscope (Guangzhou Micro-shot Technology Co., Ltd.) at x100 magnification. In addition, 12.5 mg Matrigel (BD Biosciences) in 50 ml PBS was added to the upper chamber and then incubated at 37°C for 12 h for the invasion assay, the other steps being the same as aforementioned.

**Dual-luciferase reporter assay.** The online software LncBase v2 (http://diana.imis.athena-innovation.gr/DianaTools/index.php) and microT-CDS v5.0 (http://diana.imis.athena-innovation.gr/DianaTools/index.php?microT_CDS/index) were employed to predict potential targets of NEAT1 and miR-142-5p, respectively. The fragment of lncRNA NEAT1 containing the miR-142-5p binding sites [from nucleotide (nt) 803 to 823] was amplified using cDNA samples from AGS cells as a template with the following primers: Forward, 5'-CGGGCTTACCAGATGACCAG-3' and reverse, 5'-CGGGCTTACCAGATGACCAG-3'. PCR was performed using the High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix kit (Guangzhou RibioBio Co., Ltd) with the following thermocycling conditions: 3 min initial denaturation at 94°C, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 10 sec, annealing at 60°C for 10 sec and 72°C extension for 45 sec and a final extension step at 72°C for 1 min. The fragment of JAG1 3'-UTR (from nt 4127 to 5940) containing the miR-142-5p binding sites (from nt 4502 to 4522) was amplified using cDNA samples from AGS cells as a template using the following primers: Forward, 5'-CACGCTCTGAGACAACCC-3' and reverse, 5'-GACTATCAAAAGTCCTCCCCC-3'. The sequences were cloned into pGL3 luciferase vector (Promega Corporation) to produce WT reporters (NEAT1 WT and JAG1 3'-UTR WT). In addition, NEAT1 mutant type (MUT) fragments and JAG1 3'-UTR MUT fragments carrying the point mutation of miR-142-5p binding sites were utilized to produce MUT reporters (NEAT1 MUT and JAG1 3'-UTR MUT) in the same way. Sequence synthesis, mutations and the construction of the luciferase reporter vector were conducted by Guangzhou RibioBio Co., Ltd.

The reporter vectors (1 µg/ml final concentration) and miR-142-5p (20 nM final concentration) or miR-NC (20 nM final concentration) were co-transfected into AGS and MKN-45 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and 36 h following transfection cells were lysed and luciferase activity was detected using Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega Corporation), with Renilla luciferase activity as the transfection control.

**RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay.** RIP assays were performed at 24 h post-transfection using the EZ-Magna RIP™ RNA Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation kit (EMD Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. In brief, AGS and MKN-45 cells were lysed using RIP buffer. Prior to incubating with equal amounts of cell lysates (200–400 µg/200 µl per RIP reaction) overnight at 4°C, 50 µl (500 µg) protein A/G magnetic beads (10 mg/ml) were coupled with antibodies against IgG (5 µg per RIP reaction; cat. no. PP64B; EMD Millipore) or argonaute2 (Ago2; 1:50, cat. no. 2897; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) for 30 min at room temperature. The immunoprecipitate pellet was then collected using the magnetic separator by centrifugation (1,000 x g, 1 min) at room temperature, before incubation with proteinase K at 55°C for 30 min to remove the proteins. The RNA was purified using phenol: Chloroform: Isoamyl alcohol and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature. RNA in the aqueous phase was collected and then precipitated with absolute ethanol at -80°C overnight and then analyzed by RT-qPCR.

**Western blot assay.** AGS and MKN-45 cells and tumor tissue samples were lysed using RIPA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Inc.) with protease inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, protein concentration was measured using BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). A total of 50 μg protein/sample were separated via 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore). Subsequently, membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk and incubated with primary antibodies at 4˚C overnight, and anti-β-actin (cat. no. sc-8432; 1:2,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) served as a reference. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (cat. no. sc-2005; 1:2,000 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). Finally, signal intensity was visualized with enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). In addition, Image Pro Plus software v.6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Inc.) was used to determine the protein relative expression level. The primary antibodies were as follows: Anti-N-cadherin (cat. no. sc-8424; 1:1,000 dilution), anti-Vimentin (cat. no. sc-6260; 1:1,000 dilution), anti-E-cadherin (cat. no. sc-8426; 1:1,000 dilution) and anti-JAG1 (cat. no. sc-390177; 1:1,000 dilution; all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

In vivo experiment. All animal experiments were performed according to the guide for the care and use of laboratory animals of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (23). In total, 12 4-week-old male BALB/c nude mice (weight, 18±2 g) were purchased from The Shanghai Experimental Animal Center and kept at a temperature 22±1˚C and humidity 55±5%, 12-h light/dark cycle, with free access to clean food and water. The stable AGS cell line expressing sh-NEAT1 or sh-NC was established by transfecting AGS cells with sh-NEAT1 or sh-NC and followed by selection with 4 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) for 4-5 weeks. Stable cell lines were maintained in the high glucose DMEM medium with 10% FBS and 1 μg/ml puromycin. AGS cells (5x10⁶/100 μl PBS/mice) stably transfected with sh-NEAT1 or sh-NC were subcutaneously injected into the mice at right flank of nude mice. Tumor growth was monitored with calipers by measuring the maximum (a) and minimum (b) length of the tumor every day. All mice were sacrificed by flowing CO₂ inhalation (45% of the cage vol/min; the heartbeat and pupils of the mice were examined to ensure death) on day 35 after inoculation, and tumors were weighted and used for subsequent experiments. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yantai Hospital (Yantai, China) authorized all animal experiments.

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation from ≥ three independent experiments. The difference between two groups was examined by Student’s t-test (paired Student’s t-test was used to examine statistically significant differences between tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissues of the same individual, while unpaired Student’s t-test was used for two unpaired groups), and differences among ≥3 groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test using GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Inc.). *P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Survival curves of patients with gastric cancer was performed by Kaplan-Meier curve analysis with log-rank test. Pearson's correlation analysis was used to determine correlation relationship.

Results

NEAT1 is highly expressed in gastric cancer tissues and cells. The expression of NEAT1 in gastric cancer tissues and cells was firstly examined by RT-qPCR. As presented in Fig. 1A, NEAT1 expression level was significantly upregulated in 33 cases of gastric cancer compared with matched healthy tissues. The data indicated that low expression of NEAT1 (according to the median of NEAT1 level in tumor tissues) was associated with high survival rate, while patients with high level of NEAT1 presented low survival rate (Fig. 1B). In addition, the expression level of NEAT1 in human gastric cancer cell lines (AGS and MKN-45 cells) was increased compared with the human normal gastric epithelial cell line GES-1 (Fig. 1C). Therefore, the results indicated that high expression of NEAT1 was associated with a poor prognosis of gastric cancer.

NEAT1 knockdown suppresses the proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells. To understand the effect of NEAT1 on gastric cancer progression, a loss-of-function experiment was conducted. The level of NEAT1 expression was notably decreased in AGS and MKN-45 cells transfected with si-NEAT1 (Fig. 2A and B). To explore the proliferative ability of AGS and MKN-45 cells transfected with si-NEAT1 or si-NC, CCK-8 assay was performed, which indicated that NEAT1 knockdown inhibited the proliferation of gastric cancer cells
Gastric cancer cells transfected with si-NEAT1 exhibited a notable reduction in the number of migrated cells compared with the si-NC group (Fig. 2E). Consistent with the migration assay results, NEAT1 silencing suppressed the invasion of gastric cancer cells (Fig. 2F). Furthermore, N-cadherin and Vimentin expression levels were decreased, but E-cadherin level was increased in the si-NEAT1 group compared with the si-NC group (Fig. 2G). Collectively, the results indicated that NEAT1 may serve an oncogenic role by enhancing proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells.
NEAT1 directly interacts with miR-142-5p. Following bioinformatics analysis using LncBase v2, several miRNAs were predicted to serve as potential targets of NEAT1, including miR-761, miR-101-3p, miR-9-5p, miR-181b-5p, miR-194-5p and miR-142-5p. The expression level of these miRNAs in healthy tissues and gastric cancer tissues was assessed, and miR-142-5p was the most significantly decreased in gastric cancer tissues compared with healthy tissues (Fig. S1). miR-142-5p was therefore selected for subsequent analysis. The putative binding sites between NEAT1 and miR-142-5p are presented in Fig. 3A. The dual-luciferase reporter assay results indicated that miR-142-5p overexpression effectively suppressed the luciferase activity of the NEAT1-WT reporter in AGS and MKN-45 cells (Fig. 3B), but the luciferase activity of the NEAT1-MUT reporter remained unaffected. The expression level of miR-142-5p in gastric cancer tissues and cells determined by RT-qPCR. (G) Correlation analysis of NEAT1 and miR-142-5p expression levels. (H) Expression level of NEAT1 in AGS and MKN-45 cells transfected with pcDNA or NEAT1 overexpression vector. (I) Expression level of miR-142-5p in AGS and MKN-45 cells transfected with pcDNA, NEAT1, si-NC or si-NEAT1 determined by RT-qPCR. *P<0.05. NEAT1, nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1; miR, microRNA; si, small interfering; NC, negative control; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription-quantitative PCR; RIP, RNA immunoprecipitation; WT, wild-type; MUT, mutant; Ago2, argonaute2.
activity of the NEAT1-MUT reporter in gastric cancer cells exhibited no significant change compared with the control group (Fig. 3C). To further clarify the association between NEAT1 and miR-142-5p, RIP assay was performed to assess whether NEAT1 could interact with miR-142-5p. miR-142-5p overexpression resulted in a significant enrichment of NEAT1 in the Ago2 immunoprecipitation complex compared with the miR-NC control group (Fig. 3D). To investigate the regulatory association between NEAT1 and miR-142-5p, the relative expression level of miR-142-5p was firstly evaluated. miR-142-5p was indicated to be decreased in gastric cancer tumor tissues and cells compared with that in normal tissues and GES-1 cells (Fig. 3E and F). A negative correlation between NEAT1 and miR-142-5p expression level in gastric cancer tissues was also observed (Fig. 3G). Subsequently, RT-qPCR assay confirmed that NEAT1 expression level was notably increased in AGS and MKN-45 cells transfected with NEAT1 overexpression plasmid compared with control cells (Fig. 3H). miR-142-5p expression level was significantly decreased in cells transfected with NEAT1 overexpression vector, while miR-142-5p level was elevated in the NEAT1 knockdown group (Fig. 3I). All these data indicated that NEAT1 directly targeted miR-142-5p.

miR-142-5p inhibitor rescues the NEAT1 silencing-mediated effects on proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells. The effects of NEAT1 knockdown along with miR-142-5p silencing on proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells were further explored. miR-142-5p expression level was decreased in AGS and MKN-45 cells after transfection with miR-142-5p inhibitor, while it was increased in miR-142-5p mimic-transfected cells compared with control cells (Fig. S2A). Silencing of miR-142-5p reversed the reduction in cell viability in AGS and MKN-45 cells caused by NEAT1 knockdown (Fig. 4A and B). Similarly, Transwell assay indicated that miR-142-5p inhibitor attenuated the NEAT1 knockdown-mediated inhibitory effects on the migration and invasion of AGS and MKN-45 cells (Fig. 4C and D). Moreover, NEAT1 knockdown markedly decreased N-cadherin and Vimentin expression levels, whereas E-cadherin was increased; however, co-transfection of si-NEAT1 and miR-142-5p inhibitor reversed these effects in AGS and MKN-45 cells (Fig. 4E). Taken together, these results indicated that NEAT1 directly targeted miR-142-5p to enhance the proliferative, migratory and invasive capability of gastric cancer cells.

JAG1 is a target of miR-142-5p in gastric cancer. The binding region of miR-142-5p on the 3'UTR of JAG1 and its mutant are presented in Fig. 5A. As indicated in Fig. 5B and C, miR-142-5p overexpression decreased the luciferase activity of JAG1 3'UTR WT rather than that of JAG1 3'UTR MUT in AGS and MKN-45 cells. To further investigate whether JAG1 was involved in the development of gastric cancer, the expression of JAG1 in gastric cancer tissues and cells was examined by RT-qPCR. As presented in Fig. 5D and E, JAG1 expression level was significantly increased in gastric cancer tissues and cells compared with the corresponding controls. The negative correlation between miR-142-5p and JAG1 expression level is illustrated in Fig. 5F. A gain-of-function experiment revealed that the protein level of JAG1 was reduced in AGS and MKN-45 cells transfected with miR-142-5p mimic compared with miR-NC (Fig. 5G). Thus, it was concluded that miR-142-5p directly suppressed JAG1 expression in gastric cancer.

JAG1 overexpression abolishes the effects of miR-142-5p on proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells. According to the aforementioned results, the overexpression of miR-142-5p inhibited JAG1 expression in gastric cancer cells. The expression level of JAG1 was increased in AGS and
MKN-45 cells after transfection with JAG1 overexpression plasmid compared with the pcDNA group (Fig. S2B). CCK-8 assay indicated that miR-142-5p overexpression suppressed the proliferation of gastric cancer cells, and overexpression of JAG1 abolished this effect (Fig. 6A and B). Similarly, the overexpression of JAG1 also reversed the miR-142-5p-mediated inhibitory effects on migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells (Fig. 6C and D). Western blot assay demonstrated that miR-142-5p decreased N-cadherin and Vimentin expression levels, but enhanced E-cadherin level in AGS and MKN-45 cells compared with the miR-NC group, and when co-transfected with JAG1, this effect was reversed (Fig. 6E). Collectively, miR-142-5p inhibited the proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells by targeting JAG1.

NEAT1 knockdown inhibits JAG1 expression in gastric cancer as a sponge of miR-142-5p. To elucidate the association between NEAT1 and JAG1 in gastric cancer cells, RT-qPCR and western blot assays were conducted, which indicated that the mRNA and protein expression levels of JAG1 were
decreased in cells after NEAT1 knockdown, while transfection with miR‑142‑5p inhibitor effectively attenuated the NEAT1 silencing‑induced reduced expression of JAG1 (Fig. 7A and B). A positive correlation between JAG1 and NEAT1 expression level in gastric cancer tissues was also observed (Fig. 7C). In conclusion, NEAT1 knockdown inhibited JAG1 expression by regulating miR‑142‑5p in vitro.

NEAT1 knockdown suppresses tumor growth and metastasis in vivo. A xenograft tumor mouse model was established to further investigate the functional effect of NEAT1 on tumor growth in vivo. As presented in Fig. 8A and B, NEAT1 knockdown resulted in an evident decrease in tumor volume and weight compared with the sh‑NC group. NEAT1 expression level was lower in the tumor tissues of the sh‑NEAT1 group compared with the sh‑NC group (Fig. 8C). Moreover, RT‑qPCR assay indicated that NEAT1 knockdown enhanced miR‑142‑5p expression level in tumor tissues (Fig. 8D). In addition, western blot analysis indicated that NEAT1 silencing significantly decreased the protein expression level of JAG1 (Fig. 8E). In addition, analysis of the protein levels of E‑cadherin, N‑cadherin and Vimentin in xenograft tumor tissues revealed that E‑cadherin expression level was increased, while N‑cadherin and Vimentin levels were decreased in the sh‑NEAT1 group compared with the sh‑NC group (Fig. 8F). These results demonstrated that NEAT1 knockdown suppressed tumor growth and metastasis in vivo by regulating miR‑142‑5p and JAG1 expression.

Discussion

In recent years, IncRNAs have attracted attention due to their potential as diagnostic and prognostic markers in numerous cancers (24). For example, Chen et al (25) reported that circPVT1 was a proliferative factor and independent prognostic biomarker for patients with gastric cancer. IncRNA maternally expressed 3 has been indicated to regulate gastric cancer progression via the p53 signaling pathway (26). In the present study, it was observed that high expression of NEAT1 was...
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associated with poor survival of patients with gastric cancer. Functional experiments demonstrated that NEAT1 silencing or miR-142-5p overexpression inhibited cell migration and invasion, but these effects could be reversed by miR-142-5p inhibitor or JAG1 overexpression, respectively. Additionally, the current study used both AGS and MKN-45 gastric cancer cell lines, and the results were similar in the two cell lines, suggesting the relevance of the present results in gastric cancer. However, certain results differed between the AGS and MKN-45 gastric cancer cell lines. For example, the levels of NEAT1 and JAG1 were lower, but the level of miR-142-5p was higher in AGS cells compared with that in MKN-45 cells, which may be attributed to the different malignant potential of the two cell lines.

A previous study demonstrated that patients with gastric cancer and high level of NEAT1 exhibited poor survival (27). Therefore, NEAT1 may be used as a therapeutic target and prognostic biomarker for gastric cancer. A negative association between the high level of NEAT1 and the five-year survival rate of patients with gastric cancer was also observed. Fu et al (27) demonstrated that NEAT1 was upregulated in gastric cancer tissues and cell lines, and knockdown of NEAT1 suppressed gastric cancer cell migration and invasion in vitro. Another study also revealed that NEAT1 knockdown inhibited the progression of gastric cancer (28). Consistent with these studies, the present study also demonstrated that knockdown of NEAT1 suppressed the proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer cells in vitro, while these inhibitory effects could be abrogated by miR-142-5p inhibitor.

A previous study has indicated that miRNAs functioned as biomarkers, which may enhance the sensitivity and specificity of gastric cancer diagnostic and prognostic tests (29). Zhang et al (30) revealed that a low level of hsa-miR-142-5p in gastric cancer was associated with high frequency recurrence and poor survival. In the present study, miR-142-5p was observed to be decreased in gastric cancer cells and identified as a target of NEAT1. Further functional experiments revealed that miR-142-5p silencing restored
the NEAT1 knockdown-induced effects on proliferation, migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells. Shen et al (31) reported that miR-142-3p was markedly decreased in colon cancer cells and inhibited gene expression by binding to the 3'-UTR of the CDI33. In the current study, mechanistic experiments revealed that miR-142-5p could bind to the 3'-UTR of JAG1.

JAG1 is one of the most important ligands of the Notch signaling pathway (19). Increasing evidence has suggested that dysregulation of JAG1 was involved in the development of malignant tumors (32). Jiang et al (15) also revealed the important role of JAG1 in gastric cancer, demonstrating that the upregulation of miR-124 inhibited gastric cancer cell growth, migration and invasion by regulating JAG1 expression. In the present study, the expression level of miR-142-5p was inversely correlated with JAG1 expression level in patients with gastric cancer. Overexpression of miR-142-5p impeded gastric cancer cell growth, migration and invasion, which could be reversed by overexpression of JAG1. Moreover, it was revealed that upregulation of miR-142-5p could decrease the expression level of JAG1 in gastric cancer cells, suggesting that miR-142-5p may inhibit gastric cancer development via directly targeting JAG1.

Finally, the biological functions of NEAT1 were further investigated on tumor growth in vivo, and the results indicated that NEAT1 silencing suppressed tumor growth and metastatic potential in vivo via regulating the miR-142-5p/JAG1 axis. However, there are also certain limitations to the present study. The number of clinical samples was low, which may lead to an inaccurate result. Moreover, the effect of the NEAT1/miR-142-5p/JAG1 axis on the Notch signaling pathway has not been explored. In addition, whether the NEAT1/miR-142-5p/JAG1 axis could regulate drug resistance in gastric cancer also requires further elucidation.

To conclude, increased NEAT1 and JAG1 expression levels, as well as decreased miR-142-5p level were observed in gastric cancer tissues compared with neighboring healthy tissues. Functionally, NEAT1 contributed to gastric cancer progression via targeting miR-142-5p to regulate JAG1 expression in vitro and in vivo, which indicated that NEAT1 and miR-142-5p may serve as promising therapeutic targets for the treatment of gastric cancer.
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