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Abstract

Copper (Cu) is an important enzyme co-factor that is also extremely toxic at high intracellular concentrations, making active efflux mechanisms essential for preventing Cu accumulation. Here, we have investigated the mechanistic role of metallochaperones in regulating Cu efflux. We have constructed a computational model of Cu trafficking and efflux based on systems analysis of the Cu stress response of Halobacterium salinarum. We have validated several model predictions via assays of transcriptional dynamics and intracellular Cu levels, discovering a completely novel function for metallochaperones. We demonstrate that in addition to trafficking Cu ions, metallochaperones also function as buffers to modulate the transcriptional responsiveness and efficacy of Cu efflux. This buffering function of metallochaperones ultimately sets the upper limit for intracellular Cu levels and provides a mechanistic explanation for previously observed Cu metallochaperone mutation phenotypes.

Introduction

Copper (Cu) is an essential trace element in nearly all biological systems [1] but highly cytotoxic when in excess [2,3]. Biological systems possess sophisticated trafficking systems that include ion importers to control Cu entry [4]; metallochaperones for shuttling intracellular ions to and from targets[5,6]; efflux pumps that export excess Cu [7,8]; and metalloregulators that sense internal abundance and modulate expression of all trafficking proteins [9]. There is a large body of literature on how Cu enters and exits the cell [10,11]; the kinetic and structural details of Cu translocation between trafficking, sensing, metabolic, and pumping proteins [12,13,14]; and phenotypes associated with defects in metalloregulatory and efflux functions [15].

Defects in Cu efflux pumps, for example, are associated with neurodegenerative disorders such as Menkes and Wilson’s syndromes, and Alzheimer’s disease [7,16,17,18,19]. Although we do not fully understand the mechanistic role of metallochaperones in any Cu-related disease, they are universally present [20,21,22,23,24,25,26] and known to be important in Cu-trafficking and preventing cellular damage [27,28]. Furthermore, deletion of the Cu metallochaperone Atox1 in immortalized human cell lines resulted in elevated intracellular Cu levels along with overexpression of Cu transporting ATP7A [19,29]. Mice harboring metallochaperone deletions are malformed with high mortality [30]. Combined, these data suggest that beyond their known Cu trafficking role, metallochaperones also influence the activity of metalloregulators and intracellular Cu levels via an uncharacterized mechanism.

In this study we present an integrated experimental and computational analysis of transcriptional regulation of Cu efflux in Halobacterium salinarum NRC-1 and the role that metallochaperones play therein. In brief, H. salinarum possesses a post-transcriptionally regulated Cu trafficking system that was characterized by systems analysis of cellular response to growth sub-inhibitory levels of extracellular Cu. The main components of the Cu efflux network in H. salinarum include a Cu sensing metalloregulator (VNG1179C) that regulates transcription of a P1-type ATPase efflux pump (VNG0700G), and two metallochaperones (VNG0702H and VNG2581H) with Cu binding sites that are highly conserved across all domains of life (Figure S1). We integrated the functional interplay between these components into a system of ordinary differential equations with iterative refinement of model parameters to fit experimental data of Cu response dynamics. Using this model we explored the consequence of deleting or overexpressing metallochaperones on activity of VNG1179C (assayed directly by measuring VNG0700G transcript levels, or indirectly by measuring fluorescence in live cells transformed with a GFP reporter tagged to the promoter of VNG0700G) and ultimately on intracellular Cu levels (measured
Author Summary

Copper (Cu) toxicity is a problem of medical, agricultural, and environmental significance. Cu toxicity severely inhibits growth of plant roots significantly affecting their morphology; Cu overload also accounts for some of the most common metal-metabolism abnormalities and neuropsychiatric problems including Wilson’s and Menkes diseases. There is a large body of literature on how Cu enters and exits the cell; the kinetic and structural details of Cu translocation between trafficking, sensing, metabolic, and pumping proteins; and phenotypes associated with defects in metalloregulatory and efflux functions. Although the role of metallochaperones in Cu-cytotoxicity has been poorly studied, it has been observed that in animals deletion of metallochaperones results in elevated intracellular Cu levels along with overexpression of the P1-type ATPase efflux pump, ultimately causing malformation with high mortality. These observations are mechanistically explained by a predictive model of the Cu circuit in Halobacterium salinarum, which serves as an excellent model system for Cu trafficking and regulation in organisms with multiple chaperones. Constructed through iterative modeling and experimentation, this model accurately recapitulates known dynamical properties of the Cu circuit and predicts that intracellular Cu-buffering emerges as a consequence of the interplay of paralogous metallochaperones that traffic and allocate Cu to distinct targets.

with ICP-MS. The three rounds of iterative experimentation and computation has revealed that each of the two metallochaperones in H. salinarum have distinct functions, and that their interactions with other components of Cu efflux acts as a buffer, setting the upper threshold of homeostatic intracellular Cu. Altering the absolute abundance of metallochaperones significantly affects sensitivity of the metalloregulator to Cu levels, efficacy of Cu efflux by VNG0700G, and ultimately results in higher level of intracellular Cu.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Glycerol stocks of H. salinarum with requisite gene knockouts were revived on solid CM (NaCl–250 g/l, MgSO4·7H2O–20 g/l, NaCitrate–3 g/l, KCl-2 g/l, and peptone 10 g/l) agar (1.8% w/v) plates incubated at 37°C for 1–2 wks. Single colonies were selected from plates and placed into liquid CM media (typically 50 ml in a 125 ml Erlenmeyer flask unless otherwise noted) and grown to an optical density (measured at 600 nm) of 0.6–0.8 to create stock cultures. Prior to experiments, stock cultures were split into replicates and diluted in fresh medium to a starting OD600 of 0.05. Plates reached 0.4–0.6, a pre-Cu addition sample was taken and the plate reached 0.4–0.6, a pre-Cu addition sample was taken and the plate was incubated for 30 min at 37°C, shaking at 200 rpm, at which time an endpoint sample was taken. For each sample, 150 ul of culture was removed from each well and transferred to a v-bottomed 96-well prealiquoted with basal salts solution with 0.25% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and incubated at room temp for 10 min. Fixative was removed by pelleting cells and resuspending in basal salts solution and samples were stored at 4°C.

GFP assays via flow cytometry

GFP timeseries experiments were conducted using 50 ml cultures seeded with clonal populations of cells from solid medium colonies. Once cultures reached OD600 of 0.4–0.6, CuSO4·5H2O was spiked in to a final concentration of 0.85 mM. At selected timepoints, 500 ul samples were taken from cultures and pelleted. Cells were then fixed by resuspending in 1 ml of basal salts solution with 0.25% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and incubated at room temp for 10 min. Fixative was removed by pelleting cells and resuspending in basal salts solution and samples were stored at 4°C. GFP Cu dose experiments were conducted in 96 deep-well plates (1.6 ml culture per well). Cultures were started in 500 ml flasks and distributed to wells at a nominal OD600 of 0.05. Plates were sealed with BreathEasy film and incubated at 37°C in a shaking incubator set to 200 rpm. Once the average OD600 of the plate reached 0.4–0.6, a pre-Cu addition sample was taken and processed as below. Afterwards, CuSO4·5H2O was spiked in and the plate was incubated for 300 min at 37°C, shaking at 200 rpm, at which time an endpoint sample was taken. For each sample, 150 ul of culture was removed from each well and transferred to a v-bottomed 96-well prealiquoted with basal salts solution with 0.5% (w/v) paraformaldehyde. Cells were pelleted in a tabletop centrifuge at 2800G for 10 min at room temp. Supernatant fixative was removed and cells were resuspended in basal salts solution. Plates were then sealed with aluminum foil tape stored at 4°C until analysis. Flow cytometry analysis was performed within 2–3 days of sample collection using a BD InFlux cell sorter fitted with a 100 um flow nozzle and primed with 100 g/l NaCl in MilliQ water as sheath. Prior to injection, each sample was spiked with 1 um yellow/green fluorescent beads to a final concentration of 1×10^7 ml^-1 for use as an internal reference. 100,000 total events were collected and population gating was done via FlowJo. Gated cell populations were exported to ASCII files and further analyzed using the statistical analysis environment, R (http://www.r-project.org).

In R, fluorescence concentrations were calculated by dividing each event’s GFP signal by its corresponding forward scatter value. Population concentration means resulting from this analysis are presented in the results.
Model details

Equations. The model is formulated as a set of discrete mass-action chemical reactions that take into account known and predicted interactions among the various components of the Cu-efflux regulatory circuit.

Cu-responsive transcription via the Cu-sensing metalloregulator P1179 for chromosomal genes is given by:

\[ d_i^{br} + P1179 : Cu \rightleftharpoons d_i^{br} : (P1179 : Cu) \]  
\[ d_i^{br} : (P1179 : Cu) \rightarrow d_i^{br} + P1179 : Cu + m_i \]

where \( P1179 : Cu \) is the Cu activated form of P1179, \( d_i^{br} \) is the chromosomal gene copy, and \( m_i \) is the transcript for species \( i \). Reaction eq. (1) is reversible and is determined by two rate parameters for the forward (k_Di.P1179.Cu.binding) and reverse (k_Di.P1179.Cu.dissociation) reactions. Reaction eq. (2) specifies that at transcription termination, the transcriptional complex of DNA, regulator, and transcript completely decouple.

When necessary, constitutive transcript overexpression of species \( i \) via plasmid DNA (\( d_i^{OE} \)) occurs via the reaction:

\[ d_i^{OE} \rightarrow d_i^{OE} + m_i \]

Notice that no active regulator is required to produce transcript from \( d_i^{OE} \).

Transcripts \( m_i \) produced by reaction eqns. (1), (2), and (3) are translated to proteins \( p_i \) by:

\[ m_i \rightarrow m_i + p_i \]

where ribosome binding has been excluded for simplicity.

Both transcripts and proteins undergo degradation reactions:

\[ m_i \rightarrow \emptyset \]
\[ p_i \rightarrow \emptyset \]

with the exception of regulator proteins P1179.

These transcription and translation reactions were included for dynamically expressed species – YvgX, Chaperones, and GFP. Importantly, explicitly describing these dynamics (as opposed to using lumped or “aggregate” rate laws), allows for further corroboration of model predictions to experimental data – i.e. temporal changes in transcript level expression profiles assayed via microarray.

The Cu sensitive metalloregulator P1179 is both activated and deactivated by Cu via metallochaperone (\( C_j = P0702 \) or P2581) mediated trafficking:

\[ P1179 + C_j : Cu \rightleftharpoons P1179 : C_j : Cu \rightleftharpoons P1179 : Cu + C_j \]

where reaction intermediates of the form \( X : Y : Z \) are equivalent to \( X : (Y : Z) \) and \( (X : Y) : Z \), such that:

\[ P1179 : C_j : Cu \rightleftharpoons P1179 : (C_j : Cu) \rightleftharpoons (P1179 : Cu) : C_j \]

represents the inter-protein transfer of Cu ions to/from the metallochaperone \( C_j \) from/to the regulator \( P1179 \).

In addition, P1179 may acquire/lose Cu via non-specific binding/debinding:

\[ P1179 + Cu \rightleftharpoons P1179 : Cu \]

These non-specific reactions occur at a low rate to account for the P1179’s need for metallochaperones to efficiently bind Cu, and the regulator’s high affinity for Cu once bound.

Metallochaperones acquire Cu via bulk adsorption:

\[ C_j + Cu \rightleftharpoons C_j : Cu \]

and chaperone-chaperone ion transfers (multi-metallochaperone models 1.x):

\[ C_j + C_k : Cu \rightleftharpoons C_j : C_k : Cu \rightleftharpoons C_j : Cu + C_k \] for \( j \neq k \)

As with the reverse reaction in eq. (9), it is assumed that metallochaperones have a high affinity for Cu, thus the reverse rate for reaction eq. (10) is similarly small.

Quota elements are loaded irreversibly with Cu via metallochaperones and non-specific adsorption:

\[ Q + C_j : Cu \rightleftharpoons Q : C_j : Cu \rightleftharpoons Q : Cu + C_j \]

\[ Q + Cu \rightleftharpoons Q : Cu \]

Cellular growth and associated maintenance occurs via the following reaction:

\[ x_i^T \rightarrow 2x_i^T \]

where \( x_i \) is DNA (\( d_i^{br}, d_i^{OE} \)), Cu quota elements (\( Q \)), cell volume (\( V \)), and metalloregulator P1179. The superscript \( T \) indicates total abundance within the cell such that:

\[ x_i^T = \sum_{i}^{N} x_i^{[*]} \]

where \([*]\) indicates any other binding partners for \( x_i \). For example:

\[ P1179^T = P1179 + P1179 : Cu + \sum_{i}^{N} P1179 : Cu : d_i^{br} + \sum_{j}^{M} P1179 : C_j : Cu \]

These equations produce fresh pools of apo-/uncomplexed species and also establish a constant ratio of “grown” species to the cell volume to avoid unwanted dilution effects.

Cu import was modeled as a simple linear gradient, with partitioning at the cell membrane:

\[ \text{Cu}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \text{Cu} \]
where the reaction rate was defined as:

$$k_{\text{impact}} = \begin{cases} 0 & , (Cu_{\text{ext}} - Cu_{\text{f}} \kappa) < 0 \\ (Cu_{\text{ext}} - Cu_{\text{f}} \kappa) k_{\text{basal}} & , (Cu_{\text{ext}} - Cu_{\text{f}} \kappa) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{2cm} (18)$$

for all copper ions in the cell $Cu_{\text{f}}$, membrane partition coefficient $\kappa$, and basal rate $k_{\text{basal}}$. Here it was assumed that Cu could only irreversibly cross the cell membrane via an unspecified Cu specific importer/ion pore.

Cu export was assumed to be only mediated by the exporter YvgX (P0700):

$$P0700 : Cu \rightarrow P0700$$  \hspace{2cm} (19)

with copper loading of the pump occurring via non-specific and chaperone mediated mechanisms:

$$P0700 + Cu =_{P0700} P0700 : Cu$$  \hspace{2cm} (20)

$$P0700 + Cj : Cu =_{P0700} P0700 : Cj : Cu \rightarrow P0700 : Cu + Cj$$  \hspace{2cm} (21)

Notably, we have explicitly defined trafficking interactions of Cu as this is a key component of metallochaperone function and a focal point for experimentally testing model predictions.

**Simulation.** The system of reactions was simulated numerically using the following differential equation:

$$\dot{x} = \eta \cdot a$$  \hspace{2cm} (22)

where $\eta$ is a stoichiometry coefficient matrix mapping reactants (rows) to reactions (columns) and $a$ is a propensity/reaction rate vector with elements of the form:

$$a_i = f(x)$$  \hspace{2cm} (23)

and is typically:

$$a_i = \begin{cases} k_i \prod_{j} x_j & , N = 1 \\ \frac{k_i \prod_{j} x_j}{V^N} & , N \geq 2 \end{cases}$$  \hspace{2cm} (24)

Importantly, multi-molecular reactions where the number of reactants is $\geq 2$ are adjusted by the cell volume $V$ to account for increased diffusional resistance [34,35].

Note, for bidirectional reactions, both forward and reverse reactions are defined explicitly. The stoichiometric sign in $\eta$ defines the direction of flux for each species pool $x_i$ and reaction propensities $a$ are $\in [0, + \infty]$.

While slightly complicated, the method by which the model is formulated makes it easy to extend without having to rederive analytical forms of ODEs. In fact, all models used in this paper are derived from one large “master model” where specific reactions are deactivated as necessary by setting their respective rates $k_i$ to 0.

**Parameters.** The full parameter set is available via the model source code. Specific assumptions made in the derivation of parameters are detailed below.

Rates for dynamically expressed species (YvgX and metallochaperones – VNG0702H, and VNG2581H) were determined via fits of timeseries microarray (mRNA transcription and degradation) and GFP (protein translation) expression data. Protein degradation was assumed to be dominated by dilution and therefore had a rate of 0 unless otherwise specified. These fits were used to extrapolate a generic RNA polymerase production rate to estimate the transcription rate of GFP based solely on gene length and a ribosomal production rate used to estimate translation of based on resultant peptide length.

Cellular growth was assumed to have a doubling time of 7 h, consistent with growth rates observed during exponential growth of *H. salinarum*.

Since precise rates for Cu binding events were unknown, the following assumptions were made. Direct Cu binding by metallochaperones were assumed to be on the order of protein translation (0.01 events/s, rates are here-on reported as events/s unless otherwise specified). The first step in metallochaperone mediated Cu transfers was also set to 0.01. The rate of Cu-bound chaperone and target dissociation without Cu transfer was set to 0.1, while dissociation with transfer was set to 1. In combination, these Cu binding parameters create a net forward flux from free Cu and apo-chaperones to Cu-bound chaperons.

The rate of non-specific Cu binding for all non-chaperone Cu binding species was set to be on the order of protein degradation (0.001). The rate of spontaneous debinding of Cu from metallochaperones was also set to this rate. Cu efflux and Cu sensing regulatory proteins (VNG1179C) were assumed to have a high Cu affinity, thus spontaneous Cu dissociation from these species was set to 0.0001, 10-fold less than protein degradation. Thus, the primary mechanism by which any Cu bound species could be relieved of Cu was by chaperone mediated trafficking.

The protein abundance of VNG1179C is held constant relative to cell volume via eq. (16) such that each dynamically regulated gene has nominally two copies of regulator available.

The rate of constitutive expression of the metallochaperones via eq. (3) was held constant and but scaled by 100-fold relative to VNG1179C mediated transcription to account for inherent delays from transcription factor binding.

Specific modifications to the parameter set made by the various models are summarized in Table 1.

**Initial conditions.** All species within the cell had 0 initial quantities except the following. Quota elements ($Q$) were initialized to 1000 molecules/cell. The genome copy number was set to 15. Regulatory protein (P1179) abundance was set to 6 to 1000 molecules/cell. The genome copy number was set to 15. The initial cell volume was 1. In knockout simulations, genome copy was set to zero for appropriate DNA abundances.

**Model simulations and code.** Computational simulations were performed using the statistical analysis platform R and the ODE simulation package deSolve. Model source code is available for download via GitHub (http://github.com/wleepang/CuEfflux). Also included is code to convert the R based model code to SBML.

**Results.**

**Transcriptional control of metallochaperones**

When stressed with excess Cu, activation of efflux mechanisms protects the cell by restoring intracellular Cu to homeostatic levels. To determine the temporal dynamics of transcription of all genes involved in Cu efflux, we performed a global time course survey of transcript level changes in cells stressed with 0.85 mM CuSO4, a previously determined growth sub-inhibitory Cu concentration [31]. To rid the cell of excess Cu, we discovered that *H. salinarum*
relies primarily on transcriptional activation of three genes: a P1-type ATPase efflux pump \( \text{yvgX} \) (\( \text{VNG0700G} \)), and two HMA-domain containing metallochaperones \( \text{VNG0702H} \) and \( \text{VNG2581H} \). The temporal transcript changes for each of these genes demonstrated pulsed induction following Cu exposure (Figure 1). These genes were also previously determined to be regulated by \( \text{VNG1179C} \)\[31\], a Lrp family transcription factor with a TRASH domain for metal sensing \[36\]. The expression of this regulator did not change under Cu stress suggesting that it is post-translationally activated – e.g. upon binding Cu.

The pulse-like transcriptional response of \( \text{yvgX} \) was expected due to its direct role in relieving the cell of excess intracellular Cu. Conversely, the pulse in metallochaperone expression was unexpected. Absent information on transcriptional dynamics of metallochaperones, we had initially assumed that they were constitutively expressed at low levels. However, the regulated transcription of these genes in direct response to excess Cu suggested that the metallochaperones might have an important role in tuning the transcriptional dynamics of the Cu efflux network. Notably, negative feedback linking activity of YvgX to repression of \( \text{VNG1179C} \) must exist to conserve cellular resources.

The mechanisms that enable such feedback are most likely indirect as YvgX is membrane bound and \( \text{VNG1179C} \) is cytoplasmic and bound to DNA. Our hypothesis was that feedback must occur via metallochaperones because of their ability to interact directly with Cu ions, \( \text{VNG1179C} \), and YvgX.

**Metallochaperones modulate responsiveness of the metalloregulator and, thereby, maintain intracellular Cu level within the homeostatic range**

Based on existing mechanistic understanding from diverse organisms \[11,37,38\], our prior work \[31\], and the dynamics of the transcriptional response investigated in this study, we developed a computational model for the transcriptional regulation of Cu efflux (Figure 2A). A system of ordinary differential equations that describe transcriptional, translational, and post-translational regulatory events, this model (Model 0) makes two important assumptions based on known biology. First, intracellular Cu is rarely unchelated or “free” \[25\], but instead is readily bound by metallothioneins \[9,13,39\], glutathione \[40,41\], and other Cu ions.

---

**Table 1. Abridged parameter set.**

| Parameter | Default | Model 0 | Model 1.1 | Model 1.2 | Model 1.3 |
|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \( k_{\text{D2581.P1179.Cu.binding}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00002 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{D2581.P1179.Cu.dissociation}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003262 |
| \( k_{\text{M2581.degradation}} \) | 0.003262 | 0 | 0 | 0.0001367 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{M2581.transcription}} \) | 0.424167 | 0 | 0 | 0.646571 | 0.004242 |
| \( k_{\text{OE2581.transcription}} \) | 0.0002 | 0 | 0 | 0.006466 | 0.004242 |
| \( k_{\text{P0700.Cu.by.P2581.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 |
| \( k_{\text{P0700.Cu.by.P2581.R1}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| \( k_{\text{P0700.Cu.by.P2581.F2}} \) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| \( k_{\text{P0702.Cu.bind}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 |
| \( k_{\text{P0702.Cu.by.P1179.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003262 |
| \( k_{\text{P0702.Cu.by.Q.F1}} \) | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P0702.Cu.by.P2581.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P0702.Cu.by.P2581.R1}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| \( k_{\text{P0702.degradation}} \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.bind}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0.00002 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.by.P1179.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.003262 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.by.P0702.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.by.P0702.R1}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.by.Q.F1}} \) | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.debind}} \) | 0.001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.Cu.degradation}} \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.001367 |
| \( k_{\text{P2581.translation}} \) | 0.030769 | 0 | 0 | 0.023986 | 0.030769 |
| \( k_{\text{P1179.Cu.by.P0702.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.030769 |
| \( k_{\text{P1179.Cu.by.P0702.R1}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.030769 |
| \( k_{\text{P1179.Cu.by.P0702.F2}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.030769 |
| \( k_{\text{P1179.Cu.by.P2581.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.030769 |
| \( k_{\text{P1179.Cu.by.P2581.R1}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.030769 |
| \( k_{\text{P1179.Cu.by.P2581.F2}} \) | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| \( k_{\text{Q.Cu.by.P0702.F1}} \) | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.01 |

All rates listed above are in units of events/s unless otherwise specified.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002880.t001
binding proteins [2]. We modeled this Cu sequestration capacity with a quota element \(Q\) whose demand is fulfilled prior to activation of Cu efflux. Second, we assumed that the two metallochaperones VNG0702H and VNG2581H were functionally indistinguishable given the high level of similarity in both their expression profiles and Cu binding motifs. Therefore, metallochaperones were simulated as a single species with two-fold higher copy number than other elements.

We tested this model by performing simulations of the Cu response to a step-increase in extracellular Cu to a growth sub-inhibitory level \(0.85\) mM. The model assumes that a single cell only reacts to a shell of surrounding volume equivalent to \(3\) \(\times\) the cell volume. A concentration of \(0.85\) mM of dissolved copper therein is equivalent to \(\sim 5\times10^5\) molecules. This value is held constant throughout simulations, representing non-changing copper supply in the bulk growth medium and presenting a boundary condition for copper at the cell membrane.

All simulations were run until 300 min to give sufficient time for restoration of regulated Cu homeostasis in normal \((\text{wild-type or} \text{wt})\) cells. The simulations accurately recapitulated known dynamics of transcriptional induction of \(yvgX\) and metallochaperones with transcript and protein levels peaking at \(\sim 18\) and \(200\) min, respectively (Figures 2B and 2C). Based on these encouraging results we proceeded to explore the functional and mechanistic role of metallochaperones in regulation of Cu efflux.

First, we investigated whether changes in abundance of metallochaperones had any consequence on expression of \(yvgX\) and, ultimately, on intracellular Cu concentration. The model predicted that the metallochaperones had to be within an optimal range of \(100\)–\(1000\) molecules per cell to produce \(100\)–\(200\) copies of \(YvgX\) for maintaining low levels of intracellular Cu (Figure 2D). Interestingly, increasing or decreasing the concentration of metallochaperones outside this optimal range had significantly different effects on steady state levels of \(YvgX\) and intracellular Cu. Lowering the abundance of metallochaperones below \(100\) molecules per cell resulted in increased levels of both intracellular Cu and \(YvgX\). In contrast, increasing the metallochaperones to above \(1000\) molecules per cell resulted in Cu accumulation with undetectable \(YvgX\) levels.

Next, we investigated the consequence of increasing or decreasing metallochaperone abundance on sensitivity of the Cu efflux response over a wide range of extracellular Cu concentrations. The model predicted significant differences in the threshold concentrations of Cu that were necessary to activate expression of \(YvgX\) in presence of low, normal, and high abundance of metallochaperone (Figure 2E). Depletion of metallochaperones was predicted to significantly increase the sensitivity of the response, with steady state \(YvgX\) levels rising to \(1000\) copies per cell in the presence of micromolar quantities of external Cu. In contrast, overexpression of metallochaperones was predicted to repress \(YvgX\) expression over almost the entire range of extracellular Cu. Thus, the model predicted that metallochaperones tune responsiveness of the metalloregulator, modulate the absolute abundance of the efflux pump, and, ultimately, set the homeostatic level of Cu.

**Experimental verification.** Model 0 predicted several important outcomes of changing the abundance of metallochaperones that could be directly tested by measuring changes in \(yvgX\) transcription in response to a step increase in Cu concentration.
Figure 2. A model for the role of metallochaperones in modulating transcriptional dynamics and intracellular Cu levels. (A) Schematic of the Cu efflux network with a single metallochaperone (Model 0). At the core is the interaction of the metallochaperones (yellow) with all known elements of the Cu efflux network – Cu ions (dark blue), efflux pump (gray), metalloregulator (light blue), and intracellular ion quota (scavenging/metabolizing enzymes) (green). (B) Model 0 recapitulates pulsed transcriptional induction of the efflux pump and metallochaperone. Simulated mRNA expression profiles for yvgX, metallochaperones, and gfp. (C) Simulated protein expression profiles for YvgX, metallochaperones, and GFP. Metallochaperone levels have been reduced by a factor of 10 for plotting. (D) Model 0 predicts that changes in metallochaperone abundance have significant consequences on steady state levels of YvgX and intracellular Cu. Simulated scan of steady state level for YvgX expression and intracellular Cu relative to metallochaperone abundance. Shaded region is the optimum range for metallochaperone abundance and corresponds to the levels observed in simulations of Cu efflux in a wt background. (E) Simulated scan of steady state level for YvgX expression in cells with normal (black), deficient (red), and high (green) metallochaperone expression levels. Blue dashed line indicates $5 \times 10^5$ molecules of external Cu concentration in both simulations and experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002880.g002
metallochaperone levels, measured at 300 min post challenge with increasing concentrations of CuSO₄. Solid lines are averages of two independent biological replicates. Shaded areas show the spread between replicates. (B) Experimental validation of perturbed intracellular Cu concentrations in extracellular Cu. In contrast, increased the sensitivity of the metalloregulator to small increases of inhibitory Cu, fluorescence changes due to GFP synthesis and incorporation of additional mechanistic detail(s). Since Model 0 accurately reproduced known yvgX expression dynamics, we focused our revision efforts on tuning the model to resolve discrepancies in intracellular Cu concentrations.

Extended model predicts different functions for VNG0702 and VNG2581

In Model 0 we made the assumption that both metallochaperones had identical functions and, therefore, provided equivalent Cu trafficking and buffering capacity. Alternatively, there could be differences in the specific roles of each metallochaperone. Eukaryotes make use of several Cu-specific metallochaperones: ATOX1, CCS1, and COX17. ATOX1, the eukaryotic ortholog of metallochaperones in H. salinarum, traffics Cu to P1-type ATPases ATP7A and ATP7B [29] while CCS1 delivers Cu to Cu/Zn dismutases and COX17 delivers Cu to cytochrome oxidases [27]. This raises the possibility that there might be similar distinction in Cu trafficking by the two metallochaperones in H. salinarum.

To investigate if this was indeed the case, we revised the model to include both VNG0702H and VNG2581H as independent elements. We first incorporated subtle differences in trafficking functions (Model 1.1, Figure 4A). Specifically, both metallochaperones were capable of directly binding intracellular Cu,

In summary, experimental validations of predictions made by the simplified model of Cu efflux corroborates that depletion of metallochaperones significantly increases transcription of yvgX and intracellular accumulation of Cu. By validating model predictions we have demonstrated that the abundance of metallochaperones is tuned to keep intracellular Cu in a range that meets the biological needs of the cell and below a level that is toxic. In other words, metallochaperones function as a transcriptionally-tuned buffering system for Cu. However, discrepancy in predicted and experimentally measured intracellular Cu levels when metallochaperones are overexpressed demanded revisions to the model through incorporation of additional mechanistic detail(s). Since Model 0

![Figure 3. Transcriptional dynamics of YvgX and associated consequences on intracellular Cu levels.](image)
Figure 4. Model for Cu trafficking, transcriptional regulation and efflux with nuanced and distinct functions for the two metallochaperone paralogs. (A) Network for a model which assumes that the two metallochaperones have equivalent functions with nuances in their primary and secondary targets (Model 1.1). The delivery of Cu to primary targets is assumed to be “strong” or preferred but Cu hand-off to secondary targets also occurs albeit through “weaker” interactions. (B) Network for a model which assumes VNG0702H and VNG2581H have distinct roles in Cu trafficking (Model 1.2). Whereas Cu efflux is mediated only by VNG0702H, other trafficking roles are performed by VNG2581H. Notably, VNG0702H must receive Cu via a transfer from VNG2581H, which directly binds imported Cu. (C) Model 1.1 predictions do not recapitulate the experimental data – e.g. incorrect predictions of intracellular Cu levels in three of the four metallochaperones perturbed genetic backgrounds, i.e. Δ2581, Δ0702 and Δ0702Δ2581. (D) Accurate predictions of Cu accumulation in all strains (with the exception of Δ0702Δ2581) by Model 1.2.
support distinct roles for the two metallochaperones. Specifically, the model predicts relative intracellular Cu levels across all strains to be in the following order: $\Delta 0702 > \Delta 2581 = \Delta 0702 \Delta 2581 = \Delta 2581 > \Delta 0702 \Delta 2581 \Delta 0702$. ICP-MS measured intracellular Cu concentrations after 300 min for single deletion and overexpression of metallochaperones. Double deletion and overexpression data are shown again for comparison. Here intracellular Cu levels nearly match Model 1.2 predictions: $\Delta 0702 \Delta 2581 > \Delta 2581 > \Delta 0702 > \Delta 0702 \Delta 2581 = \Delta 2581 = \Delta 0702$. (F) Predictions of intracellular Cu concentrations after 300 min by Model 1.3 (whose topology was determined by steady-state analysis to be a hybrid of Models 1.1 and 1.2) show a near 1:1 match for experimentally measured results.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002880.g004

distributing Cu to Q, activating VNG1179C by allocating excess Cu to the metalloregulator, and trafficking excess Cu to YvgX. However, only one metallochaperone was ideally suited for each task, while the other was 10-fold less efficient, resulting in “strong” and “weak” interactions, respectively.

In a revised version of this new model, we incorporated distinct functions for each metallochaperone (Model 1.2) (Figure 4B). In this model, Cu trafficking by VNG0702H is restricted to YvgX, while VNG2581H is responsible for Cu allocation to all remaining targets including VNG1179C and Q. Importantly, VNG2581H was modeled as the only metallochaperone that actively binds free intracellular Cu ions, thus production of Cu-bound VNG0702H requires a hand-off of Cu from VNG2581H, an inter-metallochaperone interaction that has been previously observed in eukaryotes [27]. Thus, Models 1.1 and 1.2 represent alternate extremes for the functions of the two metallochaperones. Eliminating one of the two models would ascertain whether the two metallochaperones have interchangeable (Model 1.1) or distinct (Model 1.2) functions in intracellular Cu trafficking and buffering.

The two models made significantly different predictions of intracellular Cu levels at steady state under Cu stress. Model 1.1 predicted increased Cu levels in all single and double chaperone mutant backgrounds (overexpression and deletion), except $\Delta 0702 \Delta 2581$, in which intracellular Cu was predicted to be at wt levels (Figure 4C). In contrast, Model 1.2 predicted increased Cu levels in all mutants except when only VNG0702H is overexpressed (Figure 4D).

**Experimental verification.** To discriminate between the two revised models, we performed additional ICP-MS analysis of strains in which the metallochaperones were deleted or overexpressed at a time. These experiments revealed that both strains with single gene deletions ($\Delta 0702$ and $\Delta 2581$) had elevated intracellular Cu levels similar to that of $\Delta 0702 \Delta 2581$ (Figure 4E). Interestingly, overexpression of VNG0702H ($\Omega 0702$ and LP18, Figure S7) and VNG2581H ($\Omega 2581$ and LP19, Figure S8) had drastically different consequences. Intracellular Cu concentration in $\Omega 0702$ was lower than wt, while $\Omega 2581$ accumulated Cu at levels similar to deletion strains. Based on these experimental data, the majority of Model 1.1 predictions were incorrect (Figure 4C). On the other hand, with the exception of intracellular Cu levels in $\Omega 0702 \Omega 2581$ case, all other predictions made using Model 1.2 were reasonably accurate (Figure 4D).

**Steady-state analysis improves model accuracy.** To better understand the discrepancies between Model 1.2 and experimental data, we turned to a model of the steady-state dependence of intracellular Cu level ($Cu_{in}$) on the two metallochaperones (Model 2). We chose the generalized Hill function method [42], among others [43,44], to construct Model 2 that is represented by the following rational polynomial:

\[
Cu_{in} = \frac{k_{0,1} + \frac{m_2}{k_{2,1}}}{1 + \frac{m_2}{k_{2,1}} + \left(\frac{k_{0,2} + \frac{m_2}{k_{2,2}}}{1 + \frac{m_2}{k_{2,2}}}\right) \frac{m_1}{k_{1,1}} + \left(\frac{m_2}{k_{2,3}}\right)^{\frac{m_1}{k_{1,1}}}}
\]

where $m_1$ and $m_2$ are the intracellular concentrations of the metallochaperones VNG0702H and VNG2581H, respectively. Using parameter values of:

\[
k_{0,1} = 1; k_{0,2} = 0.02; k_{1,1} = k_{2,1} = k_{2,2} = k_{2,3} = 0.5
\]

for appropriate combinations of metallochaperone expression levels,

\[
m_i = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{deletion} \\
1 & \text{normal} \\
10 & \text{overexpression}
\end{cases}
\]

this model was able to accurately recapitulate our steady state experimental observations (Figure S9A) with the unique and interpretable role of each parameter in eq. (25) (Figure S10).

Detailed inspection reveals that the cross term in the denominator of Model 2:

\[
\left(\frac{k_{0,2} + \frac{m_2}{k_{2,2}}}{1 + \frac{m_2}{k_{2,2}}}\right) \frac{m_1}{k_{1,1}}
\]

validates the core regulatory network structure used in Model 1.2. Specifically, it is indicative of the dependence of Cu trafficking activity of VNG0702H on the activity of VNG2581H. In Model 1.2, this is represented as three interactions: Cu trafficking via VNG2581H to VNG1179C with subsequent transcriptional activation of VNG0702, and Cu trafficking between VNG0702H and VNG2581H.

In addition, parameters that allow Model 2 to accurately fit experimental data highlight key dynamics missing from Model 1.2. The constant $k_{0,2}$ is required to produce decreased intracellular Cu in the $\Delta 2581$ background versus $\Delta 0702 \Delta 2581$ and implies a basal ability of VNG0702H to directly bind Cu. Similarly, the constant $k_{0,1}$ represents basal capacity of VNG2581H to traffic Cu to YvgX. Lastly, there are saturation dynamics for VNG2581H ($m_2$) in the denominator:

\[
\frac{m_2}{k_{2,3}}
\]

and for VNG0702H ($m_1$) when VNG2581H is deleted ($m_2 = 0$):

\[
\frac{k_{0,1}}{1 + \frac{k_{0,1}}{m_1}}
\]

which imply limited Cu binding capacity in each metallochaperone pool.

Basal activities represented by $k_{0,1}$ and $k_{0,2}$ represented weak Cu trafficking interactions and were straightforward to add to...
Model 1.2. On the other hand, saturation of the binding capacities of both metallochaperones could stem from multiple mechanisms. First, there could be additional low-copy intermediates involved in relaying Cu from metallochaperones to downstream targets. Second, the metallochaperones themselves could exist at low copies despite active transcriptional production. To be as parsimonious as possible, the latter mechanism was investigated via explicit degradation of metallochaperone proteins on the order of the cell growth rate. In combination with the above modifications, the resultant dynamic model (Model 1.3, Figure S11, SBML version in supplement) was able to accurately predict experimentally observed intracellular Cu levels (Figures 4F and S9B).

Together, the revised model and experimental data support unique roles for the two metallochaperones in *H. salinarum* in a manner that is reminiscent of Cu trafficking in eukaryotes [27].

**Sensitivity analysis.** To further characterize Model 2, we performed a sensitivity analysis of steady-state copper concentration relative to changes in each of the underlying model parameters. To do this, we varied each parameter (holding all others constant) such that the log_{10} transform of the ratio between the final and initial parameter values P_{f}/P_{0} was within [−1,1]. Results of this analysis are shown in Figure S12 and are interpreted as follows.

Consider the parameter k_{1,1}, the activity constant for VNG0702H (species m_{1} in Model 2). As a validation, there should be no changes in the model performance for any strain with \documentclass{article}
\begin{document}
\textit{A0702} (e.g. \textit{A0702} and \textit{A0702A2581}) as k_{1,1} is varied. This is observed as two white stripes in the first plot within Figure S12, as expected.

For all other strains there is a positive correlation between k_{1,1} and changes in steady-state copper levels. The steady-state level of intracellular Cu is affected by VNG0702H only through the cross term in the denominator of eq. (25). Increasing the value of k_{1,1} lowers the activity of VNG0702H (i.e. its ability to bind and transfer Cu ions to VNG0700G) which acts as a VNG2581H dependent inhibitor. Decreasing the inhibitory role of VNG0702H increases the steady-state level of intracellular Cu, hence a positive correlation. Importantly, such analysis shows the specific sensitivities of each chaperone expression states. Interestingly, strains in which VNG0702H is overexpressed are the most sensitive to changes to k_{1,1}.

To further characterize Model 1.3, a sensitivity analysis as described above was performed. The results of this analysis are shown in Figure S13. As was the case for Model 2, reducing the activity of VNG0702H results in an increase in intracellular Cu. However, in Model 1.3 one finds that this reduction of activity can occur in several ways. First, the binding affinity of VNG0702H can be reduced (decreasing the equilibrium ratio between apo-/Cu-bound VNG0702H, or apo-VNG0702H/Cu-VNG2581H). Second, trafficking efficiency of VNG0702H to VNG0700G can be reduced. Third and finally, the lifetime of VNG0702H proteins can be increased via increased specific degradation.

Interestingly, strains that overexpress either one or both metallochaperones have similar sensitivity profiles as the wild-type. Conversely, \textit{A2581} strains (e.g. \textit{A2581} and \textit{A0702A2581}) are the most distant from wild-type. These sensitivity profiles bear a strong resemblance to the different VygX expression profiles and ICP-MS based intracellular Cu measurements across strains.

**Discussion**

We have demonstrated that, similar to eukaryotes, the two chaperones in *H. salinarum* have distinct roles in Cu trafficking. Remarkably, by simultaneously modeling these distinct functions and their interplay we were able to explain why mutations that either increase or decrease the abundance of metallochaperones result in elevated intracellular Cu levels.

**Mechanistic explanation for increased intracellular Cu in metallochaperone deletion mutants**

In absence of metallochaperones, trafficking of Cu is completely disrupted. When subjected to Cu stress, intracellular Cu concentration increases and eventually overcomes diffusional limitations to activate VNG1179C and increase transcription of *yvgX*. Despite its increased levels, *YvgX* is unable to receive Cu efficiently to perform its efflux function. Consequently, Cu levels are perpetually increased and VNG1179C remains locked in an activated state to constitutively drive the expression of *yvgX*. Importantly, it is the disrupted trafficking of Cu to *YvgX* that is ultimately responsible for similar consequences in both the single and double metallochaperone deletion mutants.

**Mechanistic explanation for increased intracellular Cu in metallochaperone overexpressing mutants**

At the other end of the spectrum, when abundance of metallochaperones is increased, activation and deactivation of VNG1179C proceeds normally, and as a result we do not observe significant differences in transcriptional dynamics of *yvgX*. However, intracellular Cu level rises because of the increased number of Cu-binding sites in the overexpressed metallochaperones. Importantly, we observe this only with overexpression of VNG2581H; as increased VNG0702H expression also increases Cu efflux by trafficking to *YvgX*.

Thus, the interplay between metallochaperones with distinct trafficking roles is critical for modulating transcriptional responsiveness and efficacy of Cu efflux. We have demonstrated that this system of interactions among metallochaperones and their targets sets an upper threshold for intracellular Cu levels. As a result, biological systems are under stringent selection pressure to maintain a fine balance in the activity of metallochaperones and their abundance. Changes to either can significantly affect responsiveness of the metalloregulator to modulate transcriptional dynamics of the efflux pump, and, ultimately, alter the homeostatic intracellular level of Cu.

In conclusion, while mathematical modeling of Cu trafficking has been performed previously [45], what is unique about this study is that it incorporated three iterations of experimentation and computation to refine model architecture and parameters (Figure 5). The modeling incorporated actual experimental measurements, recapitulated known dynamics, and predicted new dynamics, properties, and functions that were experimentally validated. Similarly, the experimental validations included microarray analysis to assay global transcriptional dynamics of the Cu response, GFP-based reporter assays to measure high resolution transcriptional dynamics of the Cu efflux pump, and ICP-MS measurements of intracellular Cu levels. This iterative computation and experimentation strongly supports a novel buffering role for metallochaperones to mechanistically explain the cause for elevated intracellular Cu levels and overexpression of the ATP7A efflux pump in cell lines harboring *ATOX1* mutations [19]. Ultimately, we have presented a quantitative model that explicitly demonstrates the role of metallochaperones in regulating intracellular Cu, a contribution that is novel to the field of metal biology. Indeed, additional iterations of experimentation and computation are necessary to further refine this model and reveal new insights.
Supporting Information

Figure S1 Protein sequence analysis of *H. salinarum* metallochaperones.

(TIF)

Figure S2 Vector map for pLP17. pr0700>GFP reporting with null overexpression.

(TIF)

Figure S3 Vector map for pLP20. pr0700>GFP reporting with VNG0702H and VNG2581H overexpression.

(TIF)

Figure S4 GFP reporter assays recapitulate transcriptional response of *yvgX* to a challenge with growth sub-inhibitory (0.85 mM) CuSO4. Relative changes in *yvgX* transcript were monitored by flow cytometry measurements of fluorescence changes over time in cells with normal (wt, blue), deficient (*Δ*0702Δ2581, red), and increased (Ω0702Ω2581, green) metallochaperone levels. As expected, no fluorescence was detected in *Δ*1179 cells (black line) – the negative control. Solid lines are averages of two independent biological replicates. Shaded areas show the spread between replicates.

(TIF)

Figure S5 Growth phenotypes for metallochaperone deletion mutants. Maximum growth rate of Cu efflux mutants in response to Cu show no significant reduction in fitness between *Δura3* (wt) and metallochaperone deletion strains. Conversely, deletion of either VNG1179C or *yvgX* results in a dramatic loss of fitness.

(TIF)

Figure S6 Experimental confirmation of VNG0702H and VNG2581H overexpression in recombinant strains. Transcript levels were measured using qPCR and confirmed to be

---

Figure 5. Three iterations of data-driven modeling, model prediction, and experimental testing has revealed novel insights into the role of metallochaperones in regulation of transcriptional dynamics of Cu-responsive efflux as well setting the homeostatic limits for intracelluar Cu levels. In Iteration 1, a systems scale environmental and genetic regulatory influence network (EGRIN) model for transcriptional control of physiology aided in the discovery of components of the Cu efflux circuit in *H. salinarum*. The experimental validations of EGRIN predictions was instrumental in the formulation of Model 0, which predicted consequences of deleting or overexpressing metallochaperones on transcriptional dynamics of the efflux pump as well as the intracellular Cu concentration. In Iteration 2, the specific predictions of Model 0 were experimentally tested by assaying transcriptional dynamics of the efflux pump over a range of Cu concentrations, and also by simultaneously measuring intracellular Cu in chaperone deletion and overexpression mutants. While the most of Model 0 predictions were validated by these experiments, disparity in the Model 0 prediction and experimental data vis-à-vis intracellular Cu level in the overexpression mutant initiated the next iteration. In Iteration 3, Model 1.3 was constructed based on predictions from models 1.1 (identically functional chaperones) and 1.2 (distinct chaperone functions); and topological inference from Model 2 (steady state generalized hill function). Measurements of intracellular Cu levels with ICP-MS directly match predictions from Model 1.3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002880.g005
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at least 3–4 fold higher than atl expression with and without copper induction.

Figure S7 Vector map for pLP18. pr0700>GFP reporting with VNG0702H overexpression.

Figure S8 Vector map for pLP19. pr0700>GFP reporting with VNG2581H overexpression.

Figure S9 Model predictions of intracellular Cu dependence on metallochaperone abundance recapitulate experimental observations. (A) Predictions from a steady state model of intracellular Cu dependence on metallochaperones (Model 2) recapitulates ICP-MS results. (B) Predictions from a refined ODE model (Model 1.3) mirrors steady state model predictions and recapitulates ICP-MS results.

Figure S10 Parameter essentiality analysis of Model 2. (A) Original model. (B) k11→∞. (C) k21→∞. (D) k22→∞. (E) k23→∞. (F) k01 = 0. (G) k02 = 0. Red arrows point on the strains where the perturbed models have qualitative discrepancies with the experimental data (Figure 4E).

Figure S11 Network diagram for Model 1.3 biochemical reactions. Green nodes of the graph represent dynamical variables of Model 1.3. For the complete list of graphical notations used in the diagram see the Systems Biology Graphical Notation documentation (http://www.sbgn.org). The model diagram is available in XML format at https://github.com/wleepang/CuEfflux/blob/master/CuEfflux.xml and can be also viewed and explored in CellDesigner (http://www.celldesigner.org/).

Figure S12 Parameter sensitivity analysis of Model 2. Parameters were varied over a [10^{-1}, 10^3] range from their originally chosen values, holding all other parameters constant.

The response of Model 2 (intracellular Cu) is shown as a heat map (blue = decrease, red = increase) over all strain backgrounds. Columns that vary from blue to red (bottom to top) indicate positive correlations, and vice versa. White bands indicate insensitive parameter ranges.

Figure S13 Parameter sensitivity analysis of Model 1.3. Parameters were varied over a [10^{-1}, 10^3] range from their originally chosen values, holding all other parameters constant. Predicted intracellular Cu levels were used as a measure of the response of Model 1.3 to specific parameter changes. Correlation coefficients between the log_{10} ratio of the intracellular Cu response and the log_{10} ratio of variations in each parameter are plotted as a heat map with hierarchical clustering. Black regions indicate where data is not available because of lack of either over expression or deletion of metallochaperones.

Software S1 CuEffluxModel1p3.xml. Model 1.3 as described in the text as an SBML version 2 level 1 formatted file. (XML)

Text S1 Description of additional protocols used in this study.
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