INTRODUCTION

Memory T cells provide protection against re-infection with the same pathogen enabling a more rapid recovery of the host and a milder clinical outcome (Powell et al., 2007). For example, survival from the H2N2 influenza pandemic of 1957 was attributed to pre-existing T cell immunity in the adult population (Epstein, 2006). Furthermore, high levels of pre-existing CD4+ T cell memory correlate with reduced viral loads and clinical scores in a human influenza challenge study, highlighting the importance of T cell memory in viral infection (Willison et al., 2012). Once established, memory T cells can reside in tissues or recirculate between the blood, organs, and lymph nodes. These memory T cells appear to be quite distinct from the recently described tissue resident memory cells (Trm; Gebhardt et al., 2009) displaying a unique set of molecular markers and optimal functions within the tissues (Mackay et al., 2012; Waksim et al., 2012).

For a long time, the traditional view of T cell memory formation involved the expansion of pathogen-specific effector T cells in number in response to infection followed by an extensive contraction in cell numbers (∼90–95%), leaving a residual stable memory T cell pool, known as the linear model of memory differentiation. It has been known for decades that CD8+ T cell memory late in life. Interestingly, memory T cell populations directed at acute and long-term persistent infections exhibit different functions and characteristics, as the latter display signs of exhaustion due to the persistence of the antigen. In addition, studies suggest that, in the context of acute infections, the protective capacity of memory CD8+ T cells is pathogen-specific and can be substantially impacted by repeated antigenic stimulation (Nolz and Harty, 2011). In this review, we discuss both the establishment and persistence of primary T cell memory, with a particular focus on CD8+ T cell responses directed at acute readily resolved infections such as respiratory viruses.
ESTABLISHMENT OF T CELL MEMORY

The relatively recent development of the tetramer-magnetic enrichment approach (Moon et al., 2007) has substantially shaped our understanding of the recruitment, expansion, and persistence of endogenous T cell numbers for the naïve, effector, and memory T cell populations. The numbers of naïve T cells per mouse available to respond to a specific antigenic epitope range from tens (e.g., ≈30 naïve T cells per neonatal mouse; Kedzierska et al., 2006) to hundreds (e.g., ≈600 for the murine cytomegalovirus D^P^M^4^S epitope; Obar and Lefrancois, 2010). After antigenic stimulation, the naïve precursor increases in prevalence by more than 10,000 times (Croome et al., 2011), whilst substantially fewer cells establish stable memory pools. These memory pools increase the numbers of antigen-specific T cells by up to 200–1000 times (Belz et al., 2003; Hogan et al., 2001; Marshall et al., 2001; Croome et al., 2011) compared to the initial naïve precursor pool (La Gruta et al., 2010). Such effects are readily observed in mouse models following primary/secondary challenge with influenza A viruses that differ for their surface H and N proteins but share internal components (Kedzierska et al., 2008). It has been reported that the size of antigen-specific memory pools strongly correlates with the level of immune protection (Badovinac et al., 2003; Schmidt et al., 2008). As the establishment of immunological memory is critical for a rational design of any cell-mediated vaccine, understanding the precise mechanisms of memory formation is essential.

EARLY ESTABLISHMENT OF T CELL MEMORY

Recent studies provide important insights into the development of memory T cells. Experiments using in vitro stimulated T cells (Kerch and Ahmed, 2001), antibiotic treatment prior to Listeria monocytogenes or influenza co-infection (Badovinac et al., 2004), dendritic-cell vaccination (Badovinac et al., 2005), clonal dissection of influenza-specific CD8^+ T cells at different stages of infection (Kedzierska et al., 2006, 2008) or transfer studies (Kedzierska et al., 2007) suggest that the full expansion to effector status is not a pre-requisite for the generation of long-term memory T cells.

As mentioned previously, the discovery that memory T cell populations are comprised of distinct T cell subsets (Sallusto et al., 1999) has also had a substantial impact on our understanding of T cell memory. Based on the expression of lymph-node homing markers (CD62L and CCR7), memory T cells have been classified as central memory T cells (T_CM; CD62L^hi, CCR7^hi), circulating between lymphoid organs, and effector memory (T_EM; CD62L^lo, CCR7^lo), found principally in the blood, spleen, and peripheral organs (Sallusto et al., 1999; Masopust et al., 2001; Reinhardt et al., 2001). These T_CM and T_EM memory populations are also considered to differ at the functional level. While human T_EM cells display immediate cytotoxic activity ex vivo, T_CM populations acquire effector function after short-term in vitro stimulation (Sallusto et al., 1999; Masopust et al., 2011). Although both subsets can acquire effector function after short-term stimulation (Sallusto et al., 1999; Masopust et al., 2011), the CD62L and CCR7 expression patterns of CD8^+ effector memory T cells isolated early after infection on d3.5 highly resembled those observed ex vivo following the transfer into naïve mice and are recalled after a secondary challenge (Kedzierska et al., 2007). This suggests that stable CD8^+ T cell memory is established early in the antigen-driven phase of influenza virus infection. The survival of memory CD8^+ T cells isolated early after infection on d3.5 highly resembled that of memory CD8^+ T cells isolated at later memory time-points following the transfer into naïve mice (Bouzada et al., 2005; Kedzierska et al., 2006) and humans (Baron et al., 2003) further expand this model. The indications are that although common TCRs are found in both T_CM and T_EM populations, the T_CM set contains additional TCR clonotypes not found within the T_EM set and that TCR diversity within both the T_CM and T_EM subsets appears to be stable. In mice, such divergent (and consistent) TCR repertoire characteristics are apparent for the T_CM and T_EM sets from the early acute phase of infection (d8) through to the long-term memory (d>300, Figure 2). This indeed suggests the early establishment of clonotypically stable T cell memory pools. In a follow-up study, we found that influenza-specific CD8^+ T cells isolated on d3.5 after influenza infection, especially cells isolated from the draining lymph nodes, could survive following the transfer into naïve mice and be recalled after a secondary challenge (Kedzierska et al., 2007). This suggests that stable CD8^+ T cell memory is established early in the antigen-driven phase of influenza virus infection. The survival of memory CD8^+ T cells isolated early after infection on d3.5 highly resembled that of memory CD8^+ T cells isolated at later memory time-points following the transfer into naïve mice (Bouzada et al., 2005; Kedzierska et al., 2006) and humans (Baron et al., 2003) further expand this model. The indications are that although common TCRs are found in both T_CM and T_EM populations, the T_CM set contains additional TCR clonotypes not found within the T_EM set and that TCR diversity within both the T_CM and T_EM subsets appears to be stable. In mice, such divergent (and consistent) TCR repertoire characteristics are apparent for the T_CM and T_EM sets from the early acute phase of infection (d8) through to the long-term memory (d>300, Figure 2). This indeed suggests the early establishment of clonotypically stable T cell memory pools. In a follow-up study, we found that influenza-specific CD8^+ T cells isolated on d3.5 after influenza infection, especially cells isolated from the draining lymph nodes, could survive following the transfer into naïve mice and be recalled after a secondary challenge (Kedzierska et al., 2007). This suggests that stable CD8^+ T cell memory is established early in the antigen-driven phase of influenza virus infection. The survival of memory CD8^+ T cells isolated early after infection on d3.5 highly resembled
the survival of CD8\(^+\) T cells isolated during the memory phase (d28; Figure 3). Conversely, when CD8\(^+\) T cells isolated at d8 (i.e., at the peak of the acute phase) were transferred into a naïve animal, the survival potential was lower than those of cells isolated early after the infection. This most likely reflects the high proportion of terminally differentiated short-lived effectors at the peak of the response to influenza viruses (Figure 3). Overall, these findings support the idea that antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells that have not achieved full effector potential are more likely to be part of the memory pool. For example, CD8\(^+\) T cells lacking the cytotoxic capacity thus protected from cell damage during target cell killing. In addition, early d3.5 CD8\(^+\) T cells lacking CD25 (the IL-2R\(\alpha\) subunit) displayed enhanced survival into memory (Kedzierska et al., 2007). This was further confirmed, more recently (Belz and Masson, 2010; Kalia et al., 2010; Pipkin et al., 2010) in studies which showed lower CD25 expression, and thus weaker IL-2 signaling, leads to preferential generation of T cells with a memory phenotype capable of long-term survival.

Expression of the IL-7R\(\alpha\)-chain (CD127) on CD8\(^+\) T cells during the acute phase of the response is also essential, although not sufficient on its own, for identifying the memory precursors at the acute time of infection (Kaech et al., 2003; Huster et al., 2004; Hand et al., 2007; Groom et al., 2011). Evidence for this comes from studies showing that, IL-7Ra-expressing cells at the peak of LCMV infection survive preferentially to give long-lived memory and show increased expression of anti-apoptotic molecules (such as bcl-2; Kaech et al., 2003). Further, FACS separation of LCMV-specific effector CD8\(^+\) T cells on the basis of IL-7R\(\alpha\) and KLRG1 expression led to characterization of the IL-7R\(\alpha\)hi KLRG1lo memory precursor effector cells (MPEC), as distinct from IL-7Ra\(^-\) KLRG1hi short-lived effector cells (SLEC) following LCMV infection (Joshi et al., 2007). Acquisition of this memory IL-7R\(\alpha\)hi
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FIGURE 2 | Early establishment of a stable TCR repertoire composition for memory TEM CD62Llo and TCM CD62Lhi sets. Schematic summarizing the results of TCR repertoire analysis of the TEM CD62Llo and TCM CD62Lhi subsets for influenza-specific DPmNP366+ and DPmPA224+ CD8+ T cells at the acute phase of infection (d8–d15), early memory (d28) and late memory (d180–d500). Data are based on n = 3018 sequences published in Kedzierska et al. (2006).

In addition to the important determinants of T cell memory generation discussed above, sufficient CD4+ T cell help is also required for the establishment of stable memory (but not effector) influenza-specific CD8+ T cell populations. This was established by the diminished magnitude of memory and recall CD8+ T cell responses in MHC class II-/- mice primed and then challenged with the influenza viruses (Topham et al., 1996; Doherty and Christensen, 2000; Riberdy et al., 2000, Belz et al., 2002), and mice treated with monoclonal antibody against CD4+ T cells (McKinstry et al., 2012; Swain et al., 2012). Unhelped CD8+ T cells have reduced survival to memory and reduced expansion during recall. The mechanism proposed involves help from CD4+ T cells resulting in down-regulation of TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL) on CD8+ T cells (Janssen et al., 2005; Badovinac et al., 2006).

THE ROLE OF INFLAMMATION AND TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS IN THE GENERATION OF STABLE MEMORY CD8+ T CELL POOLS

Infection-induced inflammation can affect T cell immunity at any stage. Evidence suggests that the fate of memory precursors can be determined by the nature of inflammatory stimuli during the antigen driven phase. Elegant studies using antibiotic treatment prior to L. monocytogenes infection showed that reduced inflammation (including diminished IFN-γ production) markedly decreased T cell contraction (Badovinac et al., 2004). Conversely, increasing inflammation with CpG treatment restored this effector T cell editing process (Badovinac et al., 2004). These findings suggest that T cell memory pools can be generated independent of whether there is massive contraction in numbers. Furthermore, IL-12 has been shown to affect the progression to MPEC status via decreased T-bet expression (Joshi et al., 2007). While low inflammation (low T-bet expression) promotes MPEC formation, high inflammation (T-bet expression) leads to numerically enhanced SLEC population.

KLRG1lo phenotype in models of rapid, systemic infection (LCMV and L. monocytogenes) depends on the spectrum of inflammatory cytokines present during the acute phase of the disease process (Joshi et al., 2007; Pham et al., 2009). In influenza infection, a substantial number of antigen-specific DPmNP366+ CD8+ and DPmPA224+ CD8+ T cells express IL-7Rα at the peak of the acute primary response (70.2 ± 1.5 and 37.5 ± 2.4%, respectively), which does not translate into the relative numbers of T cells in the stable memory pools (Croom et al., 2011). Thus, at least for influenza in a non-TCR-transgenic B6 mouse system, the majority of the antigen-specific IL-7Rα+ CD8+ T cells do not survive into the memory phase in a non-TCR-transgenic B6 mouse system. Further subsetting of influenza-specific CD8+ T cells into the SLEC and MPEC sets showed that, although the IL-7Rαhi KLRG1lo CD8+ population recovered from the spleen and the infected respiratory tract showed evidence of enhanced survival, the number of cells of this phenotype at peak does not define memory numbers. Our data suggested that the most stable MPEC IL-7Rαhi KLRG1lo numbers are observed in the draining mediastinal LN (MLN, draining the lungs), providing further evidence that, following this localized respiratory infection, the draining MLN offers the optimal anatomical niche for memory establishment and maintenance after influenza infection. Furthermore, the IL-7Rαhi KLRG1lo phenotype (but not the ultimate size of the memory pool) can be altered by varying the antigen dose, antigen presentation, extent of T cell division, and CD8+ T cell precursor numbers (Croom et al., 2011). This indicates that cell surface molecule expression on CD8+ T cells predominantly reflects early antigenic experience and to a lesser extent marks the capacity to generate CD8+ T cell memory. And obviously, the potential of the memory cells to survive can be coupled to its replicative capacity (Hikono et al., 2007).

In addition to the important determinants of T cell memory generation discussed above, sufficient CD4+ T cell help is also required for the establishment of stable memory (but not effector) influenza-specific CD8+ T cell populations. This was established by the diminished magnitude of memory and recall CD8+ T cell responses in MHC class II-/- mice primed and then challenged with the influenza viruses (Topham et al., 1996; Doherty and Christensen, 2000; Riberdy et al., 2000, Belz et al., 2002), and mice treated with monoclonal antibody against CD4+ T cells (McKinstry et al., 2012; Swain et al., 2012). Unhelped CD8+ T cells have reduced survival to memory and reduced expansion during recall. The mechanism proposed involves help from CD4+ T cells resulting in down-regulation of TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL) on CD8+ T cells (Janssen et al., 2005; Badovinac et al., 2006).
Thus, it appears that the inflammatory milieu, and particularly IFN-γ and IL-12, impact the proportion of cells expressing effector and memory-like markers at peak, and therefore the establishment of T cell memory pools. However, the exact mechanisms by which inflammation affects memory formation are yet to be elucidated.

Studies using peptide-pulsed dendritic cells revealed that antigenic stimulation of T cells without high-level inflammation typically present in infection, led to the earlier establishment of T cell memory, both phenotypically and functionally (Badovinac et al., 2005). Again, this early establishment of memory pools was reversed by the addition of CpG. However, it is important to note that some level of inflammation is needed for T cell memory formation (Shaulov and Murali-Krishna, 2008). There is a substantial body of evidence that the inflammatory stimuli can affect the molecular signatures of the responding T cells. The expression of T-bet, as mentioned above, can clearly direct antigen-specific T cells into either a memory or an effector pathway (Joshi et al., 2007). Similarly, modulation of transcription factors such as Blimp-1 (Kallies et al., 2009; Rutishauser et al., 2009; Shin et al., 2009) and Eomes (Intlekofer et al., 2005) is necessary for the acquisition of effector versus memory lineage commitment. The main cytokines that affect the expression of these transcription factors for responding T cells are IL-12, IL-2, IL-15, and IL-7, and the type-1 interferons. Dissecting the difference between the “good inflammation” necessary for the establishment of immunological memory versus the “bad inflammation” that leads to damaging immunopathology is imperative for future vaccine design.

LONG-TERM PERSISTENCE OF T CELL MEMORY
INSIGHTS GAINED FROM STUDIES IN AGED ANIMALS

Animal models have provided important insights into the persistence of T cell memory. In particular, experiments in aged animals...
allow a controlled analysis of the persistence of memory T cells over the lifetime that may follow an initial exposure to, and recovery from, a pathogenic infection. Such studies also allow us to study the recall of these memory cell populations after a subsequent pathogen challenge. Aged mice and non-human primates, similar to the elderly human population, are particularly susceptible to novel viral and bacterial infections, leading to an increase in the occurrence of severe disease. Thus, the impact of age on T cell responsiveness to infection or vaccination, together with the potential implications for both memory persistence into old age and the de novo generation of such memory in the elderly, are priority areas for analysis.

The reduced efficacy of T cell responses to infection and vaccination with age is thought to reflect a decline in both naïve T cell numbers and TCR diversity, together with the functional compromise of the “aged” naive T cells. The thymic epithelium involutes with age, substantially reducing the generation and export of naïve T cells (Yunis et al., 1974; Simpson et al., 1975). Thus, maintenance of the naïve T cell pool becomes more dependent on homeostatic turnover, evidenced by clonal expansions in the naïve T cell pool (LeMaoult et al., 2000; Cicin-Sain et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009) and the acquisition of a “memory-like” CD4+ phenotype in older mice (Hauszczak et al., 2009; Rudd et al., 2011b; Decman et al., 2012). These time-associated alterations to the naïve T cell pool are accompanied by an age-related increase in the proportion of memory T cells due to pathogen encounter, which is consistent with reported expansions in the memory pool with immunological experience (Vergnolle et al., 2009). Moreover, large clonal expansions in the memory T cell repertoire associated with acute infection or primary vaccination (Cicin-Sain et al., 2007; Ely et al., 2007) and chronic infections are often observed (Yuang et al., 2003; Ely et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009; Kohlmeier et al., 2010). These factors collectively contribute to perturbations and a reduction in TCR diversity in the naïve T cell repertoire, which results in the preferential survival of high avidity TCR clonotypes (Cicin-Sain et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009; Rudd et al., 2011b; Decman et al., 2012). As a consequence, aged individuals have impaired capacity to recruit an immune response a diverse array of TCR clonotypes when exposed to some novel infectious process (Yager et al., 2008; Rudd et al., 2011a; Bunzmann et al., 2012; Valkenburg et al., 2012). These time-associated alterations to the naïve T cell pool are accompanied by an age-related increase in the proportion of memory T cells due to pathogen encounter, which is consistent with reported expansions in the memory pool with immunological experience (Vergnolle et al., 2009). Moreover, large clonal expansions in the memory T cell repertoire associated with acute infection or primary vaccination (Cicin-Sain et al., 2007; Ely et al., 2007) and chronic infections are often observed (Yuang et al., 2003; Ely et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009; Kohlmeier et al., 2010). These factors collectively contribute to perturbations and a reduction in TCR diversity in the naïve T cell repertoire, which results in the preferential survival of high avidity TCR clonotypes (Cicin-Sain et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009; Rudd et al., 2011b; Decman et al., 2012). As a consequence, aged individuals have impaired capacity to recruit an immune response a diverse array of TCR clonotypes when exposed to some novel infectious process (Yager et al., 2008; Rudd et al., 2011a; Bunzmann et al., 2012; Valkenburg et al., 2012), but is instead dominated by clonal expansions or reduced diversity relative to younger counterparts.

Apart from a decline in the number and diversity of naïve T cells, the “aged” naïve T cells are thought to be functionally defective, thus compromising the generation and persistence of memory T cell populations in aged individuals. Recent reviews on age-related changes for CD4+ (Haynes and Swain, 2012) and CD8+ (Nikolich-Zugich et al., 2012) T cell responses summarize our understanding of such functional defects in antigen-specific T cell responses in the “elderly.” These phenotypically obvious defects include reductions in the response magnitude, the capacity to proliferate and differentiate following activation, narrowed T cell polyfunctionality, and the increase in expression in inhibitory receptors associated typically with functional exhaustion. We refer readers to these excellent reviews in order to focus the remainder of this discussion on our recent finding concerning the generation and persistence of influenza-specific CD8+ T cell memory in aged mice.

Previous mouse studies have established that aging is associated with diminished CD8+ T cell efficacy and delayed influenza virus clearance (Elfio and Walford, 1983; Bender et al., 1991; Bender et al., 1995; Dong et al., 2000; Po et al., 2002). Recent evidence has further shown that the selective loss of primary, influenza-specific CD8+ T cell responsiveness in older mice is characterized by a narrowing in the spectrum of TCR usage that is seen predominantly for low frequency populations, with this effect being best characterized for the prominent DNP355-365 CD8+ T cell set (Yager et al., 2008; Tozpanta and Ross, 2009; Valkenburg et al., 2012). Our work established that primary influenza virus infection of naive 22-month (versus 12 week) old mice resulted in reduced numbers of immunodominant NP355-365 CD8+ and P184-192 CD8+ T cells in the spleen compared with young adult mice (Valkenburg et al., 2012). In contrast, the difference in the magnitude for epitope-specific CD8+ T cell responses between young and old mice was not observed at the site of infection sampled by bronchoalveolar lavage (Valkenburg and Kedzierska, unpublished). This supports our previous findings that in the event of decreased T cell numbers, virus-specific CD8+ T cells traffic preferentially to the site of infection (Valkenburg et al., 2010). However, despite there being comparable CD8+ T cell counts at the site of infection for young and old, the “aged,” “inflammatory” CD8+ T cells displayed impaired cytokine profiles (Valkenburg and Kedzierska, unpublished), similar to those found for the spleen (Valkenburg et al., 2010).

As a consequence, mice primed late (at 22 months) and then challenged even later in life (at 24 months) developed secondary CD8+ T cell responses that were diminished in quantity, quality, and TCR repertoire diversity (Valkenburg et al., 2012). This suggests that the memory CD8+ T cell pools established late in life are defective. Similarly, a recent study (Decman et al., 2010) suggested that infecting mice with LCMV or influenza at an extreme age (18–20 months) leads to defective CD8+ T cell memory and diminished recall responses following virus challenge. Thus, the age at initial priming is a critical determinant of CTL numbers, diversity, and function, with memory CD8+ T cell populations that are generated later in life being generally less efficacious (Eaton et al., 2008).

A key issue that then arises is whether we can recruit and maintain a pool of responsive T cells by priming those cells early in life, especially to diseases such as influenza, which are a particular threat to the elderly. We probed this question for influenza-specific CD8+ T cell memory. Our studies found that the ability to mount a CD8+ T cell response to influenza infection waned with age. However, early vaccination (at 6 weeks of age) prior to the attribution of low frequency anti-influenza CD8+ T cells was important to maintain the numbers, function, and a diverse array of TCRs of the memory pools for the life-time of an animal (Valkenburg et al., 2012). The TCR repertoire in extremely aged mice was “locked in” at an early age following vaccination, which proved advantageous for providing a high avidity and high magnitude response later in life. Consequently, memory T cells generated by influenza priming at a young age had a better protective capacity that was evidenced by accelerated viral clearance and reduced body weight loss in the aged animals as compared to the aged mice responding to primary infection (Valkenburg and Kedzierska, unpublished).
data). Hence, it is important to establish influenza-specific CD8+ T cell responses early in life to preserve optimal, T cell responsive-ness and protect against the age-related attrition of naïve T cell precursors. These findings also suggest that designing influenza vaccines, which promote as-broad-as-possible spectrum of CD8+ T cell memory in adolescence could be beneficial, even if such benefit emerges long after the subject has first seen the protective immunogen.

**LONGEVITY OF HUMAN T CELL MEMORY**

Novel insights into the longevity and function of human memory T cell responses have been provided by the elegant studies exploiting early live virus vaccination against yellow fever (YFV-17D) or smallpox (Dryvax; VV; reviewed in Ahmed and Akondy, 2011). Immunization with YFV-17 enabled analysis of YFV-specific T cell responses for individuals residing in countries where they would have had no exposure to wild-type infection. Using this approach, longitudinal analyses showed that a single dose of YFV-17D immunization elicits potent effector CD8+ and CD4+ T cell responses that can then be maintained as long-lasting memory populations (Co et al., 2002; 2009; Akondy et al., 2009; Wrammert et al., 2009). These memory sets had proportionally contracted from larger effector pools, co-incident to expression of CD127 (Amara et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2008). However, a preferential loss of VV-specific CD8+ T cells appears to be by ~50% of individuals within 20 years post-vaccination (Hammarlund et al., 2003; Seder and Ahmed, 2003). At the peak of the acute VV-specific T cell responses, occurring within 2–3 weeks of human vaccination (Terajima et al., 2003; Kennedy et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2008), the numbers of VV-specific effector CD8+ T cells are higher than those of CD4+ T cells within the same individual (Amara et al., 2004; Miller et al., 2008). However, a preferential loss of VV-specific CD8+ T cell memory is experienced by by ~40% of individuals within 20 years post-vaccination (Hammarlund et al., 2003). Interestingly, the t½ for VV-specific T cells is much shorter than the t½ for VV-specific antibody responses (Walker and Siffla, 2010). However, though the T cell t½ appears to be <16 years, VV-specific T cells can be detected in some indi-viduals for up to 75 years by IFN-γ/TNF-α staining (Hammarlund et al., 2003). In comparison, measles virus (MV)-specific memory T cells (Jenkins et al., 2007) as shown previously by our stud-ies in a mouse model of influenza infection. Similar observations have been made for human CD8+ T cells, with both granzyme A and granzyme B expression decreasing from 60% 1 month after infection to 53% within 1 year after infection (Boek et al., 2003). Further studies epitope-specific CD8+ T cells (across different HLA’s) using the more recent tetramer technology is needed to further explore this issue of memory CD8+ T cell persistence, and acute T cell responses, after human influenza virus infections.

Similarly, exposure to vaccinia virus (VV), to protect against smallpox (or VV recombinants), has enabled the assessment of human memory (Naniche et al., 2004). T aken together, the above studies with YFV, VV and MV suggest a long-term persistence of human T cell memory and shared antigenic similarity with viruses present prior to 1945. This resulted in the detection of cross-reactive CD8+ T cell immu-nity between the H1N1-2009-infected donors and 1918 derived family. Cross-reactive immunity between the pandemic 2009 H1N1 strain and the 1918-H1N1 strain (as well as other H1N1 viruses from the beginning of the century) at both T cell and antibody levels may have resulted in lower susceptibility to the H1N1-2009 in individuals > 65 years of age, with the majority of severe cases occurring in young adults (the mean patient age was 24 years; Cao et al., 2009; Agosti et al., 2010). This in contrast to the usual scenario of the elderly population being more suscepti-bile to annual, seasonal epidemics caused by influenza A viruses (Couch et al., 1986; Webster, 2000). Therefore, the lack of T cell immunity in younger adults and children, and the persistence of cross-reactive memory T cells in older individuals may partially account for the demographics of infection. The question thus remains whether memory CD8+ T cell populations to influenza strains encountered early in life persist for a life-time.
A previous report on CD8+ T cell responses in elderly individuals showed no difference in the frequency of influenza-specific CTLs between the 18 and 70 years-old cohort, however there was lower lytic capacity in the 68–70 years versus the 18–20-year-old donors (Boon et al., 2002). Then, while there were no significant differences in IFNγ + CD3+ CD8+ or CD4+ T cell numbers with age, the proportion of IL-4+ CD3+ CD8+ and IFNγ + CD3+ CD8+ (detected following PBMC stimulation with PMA/ionomycin) increased in the elderly. Furthermore, the T cell proliferative response was significantly higher in the 18–20 years-old cohort, and was not antigen-dose dependent. That is, increasing antigen dose did not compensate for the reduced PBMC proliferation in 68–70 years-old individuals.

Interestingly, CD345RO (memory) and CD45RA (naïve) expression varied between different age groups. In the 18- to 20-year-old cohort, the prevalence of CD45RO versus CD45RA expression was similar for CD3+CD4+ T cell populations. Together with reduced CD28 expression (for both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells) when compared with the youngsters. Furthermore, there was a trend for lower lytic capacity in the age 68–70 set following in vitro PBMC stimulation (Boon et al., 2002). This could be related to lower levels of perforin/granzyme expression. Overall, the analysis to date suggests that influenza-specific CD8+ T cells persist, though their cytolytic- and cytokine-producing potential may decline with increasing years. But the findings so far are limited in both scope and sophistication. There is clearly a need for much more detailed analysis of aging, influenza-specific human T cells, from the aspects of numbers, function, repertoire diversity, and capacity for effective recall.

SUMMARY

The future design of T cell-based vaccination strategies that can provide effective and optimal protection across the lifespan of an individual crucially depends upon an in-depth understanding of the development and maintenance of T cell memory and the factors that impact the protective capacity of memory T cell populations. Studies in recent years have made substantial progress in dissecting the complexities of T cell memory populations, resulting in the identification of major factors that influence the composition and stability of these T cell memory populations. While these advances have moved us closer to elucidating the mechanisms contributing to optimal T cell memory generation, there remain many aspects of T cell memory to be investigated. Studies continue to reveal increased influenza-type, functional, and anatomical heterogeneity of T cell memory populations. The temporal changes to the composition and protective abilities of these T cell memory subsets require additional study in order to determine what constitutes optimal T cell memory. An important consideration in future investigations of T cell memory is the increasing evidence that the protective abilities of memory T cells are dependent on the pathogen and the nature of the infection. One potential complicating factor in the maintenance of life-long immunity is the changes at the cellular, environmental, and population level of T cells that occur in later life that have been associated with impaired immune responsiveness in the elderly. However, studies to date indicate that these age-related defects in T cell responses primarily affect the generation of T cell memory in old age. This suggests that to address the increased immune susceptibility in the elderly will require either the development of vaccines to be administered earlier in life, to generate T cell memory that will provide protection against those pathogens likely to be encountered in later life, or the development of strategies to prevent or treat age-related defects in T cell immunity. Either approach provides substantial challenges for immunological research in terms of improving our understanding of temporal changes to T cell immunity.
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