Precise Replacement of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Proteasome Genes with Human Orthologs by an Integrative Targeting Method
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**ABSTRACT** Artificial induction of a chromosomal double-strand break in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* enhances the frequency of integration of homologous DNA fragments into the broken region by up to several orders of magnitude. The process of homologous repair can be exploited to integrate, in principle, any foreign DNA into a target site, provided the introduced DNA is flanked at both the 5' and 3' ends by sequences homologous to the region surrounding the double-strand break. I have developed tools to precisely direct double-strand breaks to chromosomal target sites with the meganuclease I-SceI and select integration events at those sites. The method is validated in two different applications. First, the introduction of site-specific single-nucleotide phosphorylation site mutations into the *S. cerevisiae* gene *SPO12*. Second, the precise chromosomal replacement of eleven *S. cerevisiae* proteasome genes with their human orthologs. Placing the human genes under *S. cerevisiae* transcriptional control allowed us to update our understanding of cross-species functional gene replacement. This experience suggests that using native promoters may be a useful general strategy for the coordinated expression of foreign genes in *S. cerevisiae*. I provide an integrative targeting tool set that will facilitate a variety of precision genome engineering applications.
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The integration of DNA into *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* chromosomes has become a foundational tool for the creation of inheritable modifications of many types, including gene-epitope fusions, mutations, and foreign gene insertions. DNA transformed into *S. cerevisiae* can integrate stably into chromosomes by homologous recombination when it has sequence homology to the target site (Hinnen *et al.* 1978; Scherer and Davis 1979; Orr-Weaver *et al.* 1981). Linear double-stranded DNA integrates more efficiently than circular DNA, and can carry heterologous DNA into the integration site as a consequence of recombination at the DNA ends.

The presence of a double-strand break (DSB) at the target site further increases the efficiency of DNA integration by homology-directed repair (HDR) (Storici *et al.* 2003). The experimental induction of DSBs to initiate recombination at specific sites was pioneered in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using the HO meganuclease (Rudin and Haber 1988), followed soon after by the I-SceI meganuclease (Plessis *et al.* 1992). Meganucleases have since been used in *S. cerevisiae*, other microbes and even metazoan species to enhance the efficiency of chromosomal modifications (Plessis *et al.* 1992; Rouet *et al.* 1994; Fernández-Martínez and Bibb 2014; Stoddard 2014; Ouedraogo *et al.* 2015). In principle, a variety of meganucleases will work in yeast, but I-SceI (Monteilhet *et al.* 1990; Storici and Resnick 2006) and I-CreI (Epinat *et al.* 2003) have been the most frequently used. The “delitto perfetto” is a particularly elegant method that uses I-SceI for DSB induction and scarless repair with templates as small as oligonucleotides (Storici and Resnick 2006; Stuckey *et al.* 2011; Stuckey and Storici 2013, 2014). More recently the RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9 has become a widely-used tool for DSB induction in yeast (DiCarlo *et al.* 2013; Bao *et al.* 2015, 2018; Lee *et al.* 2015;
The meganucleases have large DNA recognition sequences, usually 18-24 base pairs (bp) long, so they are unlikely to occur randomly in the relatively small genomes of yeast. The use of a meganuclease in genome engineering therefore requires that its recognition sequence be integrated at or near the target site to prepare it for DSB induction. In contrast, Cas9 can be directed to a large variety of target sites using unique guide RNAs (gRNAs). However, several considerations affect the utility of CRISPR-Cas9 for editing yeast genomes, and suggest that meganucleases will continue to be useful.

Firstly, it is difficult to predict the efficiency of DSB induction by Cas9 at specific gRNA sites. Factors that inhibit the performance of individual gRNAs include the presence of nucleosomes at the target site (Yarrington et al. 2018) and intrinsic sequence features of the RNA (Thyme et al. 2016). As a result, several gRNA candidates must often be compared experimentally to find one that performs with high efficiency (Bao et al. 2018). Secondly, good gRNA targets, while numerous in S. cerevisiae (DiCarlo et al. 2013), are not ubiquitous. Consequently, the use of oligonucleotides, which are potentially very useful repair templates, is limited to chromosomal sites with an efficient gRNA target in the region spanned by the oligonucleotide. Thirdly, a gRNA target that is not fully disabled by the DSB repair continues to be available for repeated cutting, potentially biasing the repair toward undesired events. Fourthly, CRISPR-Cas9 has well-documented off-target effects that continue to be actively investigated (Zhang et al. 2015; Ricci et al. 2019), although they are of less concern in yeast than in organisms with larger genomes. Finally, when using CRISPR-Cas9, a specific repair event can be selected from all possible events only if it confers a novel selectable phenotype. When a DSB is induced within an essential gene, the selection for repair to a viable state is strong (Akhmetov et al. 2018), and breaks in non-essential loci require, at a minimum, the restoration of chromosomal integrity. Failure to induc a DSB by CRISPR, however, is not selectable. In contrast, the IT cassettes provide counter-selection for failed break induction.

We have developed a simplified method for genome engineering S. cerevisiae using I-SceI for DSB induction. While conserving the key features of "delitto perfetto", we have reduced the cassettes for DSB induction and +/- selection from ~4.6 kb to less than 1.3 kb, and provided a variety of separate plasmid-borne or integrated constructs for I-SceI expression. Our integrative targeting (IT) cassettes carry only a single marker, K. lactis URA3, and built-in I-SceI recognition sites at one or both ends. We used the IT method to introduce phosphorylation site mutants into the gene SPO12 from oligonucleotide repair templates, and to precisely replace essential yeast proteasome subunits in yeast. Our methods outline a high-confidence work flow for genome engineering of S. cerevisiae, and we provide a variety of strains that are useful starting points for further applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmids

Plasmids carrying IT cassettes: The IT cassettes (Figure 1) were synthesized by PCR using plasmid pOM42 (Gauss et al. 2005) as the template for the Kluyveromyces lactis URA3 gene, including 299 bp of its native promoter and 117 bp of its terminator. I-SceI recognition sequences were incorporated, in various orientations, into the PCR primers used to amplify K. lactis URA3. The PCR products were cloned by Gold Fusion (SBI) into a plasmid backbone derived from pGEM-7Zf(+) to make the IT plasmids (Table 1).

Plasmids for I-SceI expression: pGAL1-I-SCEI expression modules were assembled in the yeast CEN/ARS plasmid backbones pRS41H, pRS41K and pRS41N (Taxis and Knop 2006) by in vivo homologous recombination in S. cerevisiae. The backbones were linearized with the endonuclease EcoRV, then co-transformed into yeast with three PCR products consisting of a 503 bp GAL1 promoter from pYM-N22 (Janke et al. 2004), the I-SCEI open reading frame (Storici and Resnick 2006), and a 201 bp S. cerevisiae native GAL1 terminator. The PCR fragments had overlapping homology of ~45 bp at each endonuclease recognition sequence.

Figure 1 IT cassettes are targets for double-strand break induction by I-SceI. (A) Cassettes IT1-IT5 contain the K. lactis URA3 gene, integral I-SceI recognition sites in various orientations, and common PCR priming sites at their 5’ and 3’ ends. (B) Formation of 5-FOA-resistant colonies following induction of double-strand breaks at cassettes IT1-IT5. In diploid yeast, the IT cassettes were chromosomally-integrated into one copy of chromosome IV at the site GT2 (Table 2, strains CMY3427, 3428, 3429, 3021, 3430). The homologous chromosome was unmodified. A single copy of pGAL1-I-SCEI chromosomally-integrated at GT1 was used to induce I-SceI expression upon the addition of galactose. Cells were sampled at the indicated time points by plating on YPD for single colonies, then replica-plating to SC-Ura + 5-FOA plates to count the fraction of 5-FOA-resistant cells in the population. At least 84 cells of each strain were analyzed at time zero, and the number of cells counted increased to more than 300 of each strain by the 4-hour time point. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean calculated from three separate plateings of cells from the same culture.
**Table 1 Plasmids made or used in this study**

| plasmid | key features |
|---------|--------------|
| IT cassette plasmids | |
| pCMY-IT1 | IT1 PCR template |
| pCMY-IT2 | IT2 PCR template |
| pCMY-IT3 | IT3 PCR template |
| pCMY-IT4 | IT4 PCR template |
| pCMY-IT5 | IT5 PCR template |
| I-SceI expression plasmids | |
| pGAL1-I-SCEH | pGAL1-I-SCEI, CEN-ARS-hygroycinR |
| pGAL1-I-SCEK | pGAL1-I-SCEI, CEN-ARS-G418R |
| pGAL1-SCEN | pGAL1-I-SCEI, CEN-ARS-clonNATR |
| pGAL10-SCEN | pGAL1-I-SCEI, CEN-ARS-clonNATR |
| pRCVS6N | CEN-ARS-clonNATR |
| CEN/ARS shuttle plasmids with S. kluyveri genes | |
| pCMY55 | CEN/ARS natMXm2 S. kluyveri PRE10 |
| pJD3 | CEN/ARS natMXm2 S. kluyveri RPT3 |
| pJD12 | CEN/ARS natMXm2 S. kluyveri RPT2 |

* All plasmids have a pUC replication origin and ampicillin-resistance.

junction to drive their assembly. The assembled plasmids were recovered by preparation of yeast genomic DNA and electroproporation into *E. coli*, and sequenced across the assembled regions. Plasmid pGAL10-SCEN was assembled in the pRCVS6N backbone with a 480 bp *S. cerevisiae* native GAL10 promoter and a 136 bp GAL10 terminator.

**Plasmids for complementation of *S. cerevisiae* gene deletions with *S. kluyveri* genes:** Complementing plasmids carrying *S. kluyveri* orthologs of *S. cerevisiae* proteasome genes have been previously described (Kachroo et al. 2015).

**Amplification and chromosomal integration of IT cassettes**

Chromosomal integration of an IT cassette requires its synthesis with PCR primers that have priming regions common to all of the cassettes and unique identity to the 5’ and 3’ regions flanking the desired integration site. Integration of the cassettes into a chromosomal site is relatively efficient when the flanking target identity at each end is at least 40 bp. The forward primer (5’ to 3’) requires 5’ target identity + CCGACGTTCAGGCGTGCCG and the reverse primer (5’ to 3’) requires 3’ reverse complementary identity + GGCTGTCAGGCGTGCCG. Recommended PCR amplification conditions are described in Table S3.

**Yeast media, DSB induction and transformation**

Yeast media and growth conditions were standard (Amberg et al. 2005). I-SceI expression was induced in yeast cells from the *GAL1* or *GAL10* promoters as follows: Cells were grown overnight in YP/2% raf media, inoculated at ~1 x 10^6 cells/ml into fresh YP/2% raf in the morning, and grown for 3-4 hr to ensure they were in logarithmic growth. At the zero time point of I-SceI expression, galactose was added to the cycling cells to reach a desired integration site. Integration of the cassettes into a chromosomal location for potential use.

**Human gene coding sequences**

The coding sequences for human open reading frames (ORFs) were amplified by PCR from plasmids in the human ORFeome collection (hORFeome V7.1), with the exception of *PSMA8* CCDS 45842.1, which was amplified from plasmid HsCD00336796 (Harvard Institute for Proteomics).

**DNA sequencing**

All plasmids were confirmed by Sanger sequencing of at least the relevant assembled construct. All chromosomally-integrated constructs, including IT cassettes, I-SceI expression modules, *SPO12* mutations and human ORFs were sequenced after integration. The loci were amplified by PCR from outside the regions of yeast sequence identity used for homologous recombination, and sequenced across the entire construct.

**Data availability**

All plasmids and yeast strains published in this study are available from the author upon request. The author affirms that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables. Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.12174468.

**RESULTS**

**Minimal integrative targeting (IT) cassettes with +/- selection**

We constructed integrative targeting cassettes containing only the marker gene *Kluveromyces lactis* URA3, which can be both positively and negatively selected, and recognition sequences for the homing endonuclease I-SceI (Figure 1). The set of cassettes includes versions that contain no I-SceI site at all, a single site at either the 5’ or 3’ end of the cassette, or sites at both the 5’ and 3’ ends, in direct or inverse orientation to each other. The cassettes are maintained on high-copy *E. coli* plasmids (Table 1) that serve as PCR templates.

The cassettes, amplified by PCR with flanking target identity, can be integrated into a yeast chromosomal target locus by high-efficiency transformation and selected for by complementation of a *ura3* mutation in the host strain. The eventual replacement of the cassette with a DNA cargo is selected for using media containing 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5-FOA), which is lethal to Ur+ yeast (Boeke et al. 1987) that have not excised or mutated the *K. lactis* URA3 gene.
Table 2  S. cerevisiae strains used in this study

| strain ID | genotype | background |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| **For DSB induction at GT2** | | |
| shared genotype | MA\(Ta/a\) ade2-1/+ can1-100/"" his3-11,15/"" leu2-3,112/"" trp1-1/"" ura3-1/"" | W303 |
| CMY 3427 | GT2:IT2/+ | W303 |
| CMY 3428 | GT2:IT3/+ | W303 |
| CMY 3429 | GT2:IT4/+ | W303 |
| CMY 3430 | GT2:IT5/+ | W303 |
| **For DSB induction at SPO12 and the resulting mutants** | | |
| shared genotype | MA\(Ta\) ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 | W303 |
| CMY 2473-4D | spo12(352-375):IT1 | W303 |
| CMY 2547-1C | spo12(352-375):IT2 | W303 |
| CMY 2647-3C | spo12(352-375):IT3 | W303 |
| CMY 2489-5A | spo12(352-375):IT4 | W303 |
| CMY 2724-3A | spo12-S118A, S125A | W303 |
| CMY 2725-7A | spo12-S118D, S125D | W303 |
| CMY 2726-4A | spo12-S118E, S125E | W303 |
| **Yeast::human gene replacements** | | |
| shared genotype | his3::cyh2-Q38K | BY |
| CMY 2805-12C | MA\(Ta\) | BY |
| CMY 2805-6C | MA\(Ta\) | BY |
| CMY 3312 | MA\(Ta\) pre5::PSMA1 CCDS 7816.1 | BY |
| CMY 3314 | MA\(Ta\) pre8::PSMA2 CCDS 5467.1 | BY |
| CMY 3315 | MA\(Ta\) pre9::PSMA4 CCDS 10303.1-H240s | BY |
| CMY 3315(2) | MA\(Ta\) pre9::I-SceI | BY |
| CMY 3316 | MA\(Ta\) pre9::Δ24-PSMA4 CCDS 10303.1-L190sH240s | BY |
| CMY 3318 | MA\(Ta\) pup2::PSMA5 CCDS 799.1-T87s | BY |
| CMY 3319 | MA\(Ta\) sc1::PSMA6 CCDS 9655.1 | BY |
| CMY 3320 | MA\(Ta\) pre10::PSMA3 CCDS 45113.1 pCMY55(CEN/ARS S.k. PRE10) | BY |
| CMY 3321 | MA\(Ta\) pre10::PSMA3 CCDS 9731.1-A89sR170s | BY |
| CMY 3358 | MA\(Ta\) rpt1::PSMC2 CCDS 5731.1-R312s | BY |
| CMY 3359 | MA\(Ta\) rpt5::PSMC3 CCDS 7935.1 | BY |
| CMY 3364 | MA\(Ta\) rpt3::PSMC4 CCDS 12547.1 | BY |
| CMY 3788-7A | MA\(Ta\) pre6::PSMA7 CCDS 13493.1-G162sR169s | BY |
| CMY 3789-6A | MA\(Ta\) pre6::PSMA8 CCDS 45842.1 | BY |
| **For RPT2 and RPT3 plasmid loss assays** | | |
| shared genotype | his3::cyh2-Q38K | BY |
| CMY 3563 | MA\(Ta\) rpt2::PSMC1 CCDS 32139.1-I115s pJD12(CEN/ARS natMXm2 S. kluyveri RPT2) | BY |
| CMY 3564(2) | MA\(Ta\) rpt3::PSMC4 CCDS 12547.1 pJD3(CEN/ARS natMXm2 S. kluyveri RPT3) | BY |
| CMY 3565 | MA\(Ta\) rpt3::PSMC4 CCDS 46076.1 pJD3(CEN/ARS natMXm2 S. kluyveri RPT3) | BY |
| **Strains with integrated IT4 cassettes** | | |
| shared genotype | his3::cyh2-Q38K | BY |
| CMY 2848-8C | MA\(Ta\) lys240 GT2:IT4 | BY |
| CMY 2848-5A | MA\(Ta\) met15a0 GT2:IT4 | BY |
| CMY 2848-9A | MA\(Ta\) met15a0 gal1::IT4 | BY |
| CMY 2848-9B | MA\(Ta\) met15a0 gal1::IT4 | BY |
| CMY 2850 | MA\(Ta\) ura3-1 gal10::IT4 | BY |
| shared genotype | MA\(Ta\) ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 | W303 |
| CMY 2710-1C | GT1:IT4 | W303 |
| CMY 2712-6C | GT2:IT4 | W303 |
| CMY 2713-2B | GT5:IT4 | W303 |
| CMY 2714-3A | GT8:IT4 | W303 |
| CMY 2715-19B | GT11:IT4 | W303 |
| CMY 2716-3A | GT12:IT4 | W303 |
| **Strains with integrated I-SceI expression constructs** | | |
| CMY 2906 | MA\(Ta\) his3::cyh2-Q38K | BY |
| CMY 2425-1A | MA\(Ta\) can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 GT1:kanMX6-pGAL1-I-SCEI-tGAL1 | W303 |
| CMY 2425-1B | MA\(Ta\) ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1 GT1:kanMX6-pGAL1-I-SCEI-tGAL1 | W303 |
Control of I-SceI expression

For flexible control of DSB induction, we generated constructs that place I-SceI expression under the control of the strongly repressible and inducible S. cerevisiae GAL1 and GAL10 promoters. Yeast centromeric plasmids carrying I-SceI expression constructs (Table 1) can be transformed into yeast and selected with a variety of dominant drug-resistance markers, then spontaneously lost during unselected growth. We also provide several yeast strains with pGAL1 or pGAL10-driven I-SceI expression from chromosomally-integrated constructs (Table 2). Expression of I-SceI can therefore be controlled using a variety of methods appropriate to different applications.

The positions and orientations of I-SceI sites affect the efficiency of HDR

We wanted to measure the efficiency with which the five IT cassettes, when integrated into a chromosomal site, would induce homologous recombination. To best estimate the frequency of chromosomal DSB formation at the different cassettes, we designed an assay in which the repair template was supplied on a homologous chromosome, and therefore available as efficiently as possible. In diploid cells, the IT cassettes were integrated into one copy of chromosome IV at a neutral genomic locus we refer to as GT2 (Table 2). Following DSB induction, repair of the break using the homologous chromosome eliminated the IT cassette and the cells became Ura- and 5-FOA-resistant (5-FOA\(^6\)). With the homologous chromosome being present in every cell, the rate of recovery of FOA\(^6\) cells should quantitatively reflect DSB formation.

We induced I-SceI expression in diploid cells and counted 5-FOA\(^6\) colonies as a fraction of the total at 0, 1, 2 and 4-hour intervals (Figure 1), expecting that the presence of two I-SceI sites instead of one would increase DSB formation. To our surprise, IT3 and IT4 performed equally well, yielding 5-FOA\(^6\) in close to 60% of all cells after 4 hr in galactose. In contrast, IT1, IT2 and IT5 induced 5-FOA\(^6\) poorly. Because the DSB induction results were unexpected, we confirmed the identities of the IT cassette strains by PCR length analysis of the 5’ and 3’ ends of the cassettes at all time points in the experiment (data not shown). The most parsimonious interpretation of the data are that the forward-oriented I-SceI site at the 3’ end of IT3 and IT4 performs far better than any other break site. In practice, therefore, IT3 and IT4 should be nearly equivalent for DSB induction.

The forward-facing I-SceI site at the beginning of IT1 is a weak DSB site, while the inversely-oriented I-SceI sites in IT5 are both relatively inefficient. The I-SceI sites at the 5’ ends of IT cassettes may be inhibited by their proximity to the promoter of S. kluyveri URA3, while I-SceI sites at the 3’ end of the cassette are accessible due to an insulating effect of the URA3 terminator. While the architecture of the cassettes influences DSB induction, we cannot rule out the possibility that local chromosomal features also affect DSB induction. Therefore, cassettes may perform differently in other chromosomal contexts.

Chromosome engineering applications

To explore the utility of the IT cassettes for genome engineering, we performed two types of chromosomal modifications. The first was the introduction of phosphorylation site mutations into the non-essential SPO12 gene using double-stranded oligonucleotides as the repair templates. The second set of modifications was the precise replacement of eleven yeast genes encoding subunits of the proteasome with the coding sequences of their human orthologs.

Repair of spo12::IT with double-stranded oligonucleotides to create phosphorylation site mutations

Spo12 protein is an activator of the early anaphase release of the phosphatase Cdc14, and serines 118 and 125 of Spo12 are required for this release (Tomson et al. 2009). We used the IT method to make inhibitory and activating phosphomimetic mutations at these two amino acid residues. An S to A (alanine) mutation approximates a serine that cannot be phosphorylated, while mutations to D (aspartic acid) and E (glutamic acid) mimic phosphorylated serine (Chen and Cole 2015). To introduce the mutations, the IT1, IT2, IT3 and IT4 cassettes were first integrated into the non-essential SPO12 gene, replacing twenty-four base pairs (bp 352-375) (Figure 2). We induced DSBs in the IT cassettes and transformed the cells with double-stranded oligonucleotides to repair the breaks.

The relative efficiencies of the four different spo12::IT cassettes as targets for repair with an oligonucleotide encoding S118A/S125A were consistent with the results of our assay of DSB repair at GT2 (Table 3). The spo12::IT1 target formed 5-FOA\(^6\) colonies inefficiently and was a poor repair site. spo12::IT2 yielded relatively few 5-FOA\(^6\) colonies, but most of them used the oligonucleotides for repair. The IT3 and IT4 versions formed 5-FOA\(^6\) colonies efficiently and consistently used the oligonucleotides as repair templates. We used spo12::IT4 to introduce three pairs of mutations (S118A/S125A, S118D/S125D and S118E/S125E), each time recovering ~3000 colonies of which 30/30 were repaired as desired.

In summary, repair of DSBs induced at IT3 and IT4 yielded many candidates and consistently used the desired oligonucleotide templates. DSBs induced at IT3 were repaired using oligonucleotides that spanned ~1.3 kb from the break site, consistent with the previously reported oligonucleotide-templated repair of DSBs induced at one end of the ~4.6 kb CORE cassette (Storici et al. 2003).

Replacement of essential yeast proteasome genes with their human orthologs

The eukaryotic proteasome is a highly conserved protease with approximately 30 protein subunits, responsible for the degradation of ubiquitinated proteins (Finley et al. 2012; Bard et al. 2018). We and others have previously shown, in plasmid-based complementation tests, that many human genes encoding subunits of the proteasome can functionally replace their yeast orthologs under the control of a strong constitutive yeast promoter and terminator (Zhang et al. 2003; Kachroo et al. 2015). However, such assays are affected by plasmid instability and copy number variation and the need to grow the cells in selective media. The ability of a heterologous gene to support viability is also subject to the activity level of the chosen promoter, a variable which is often not well understood.

A gene replacement strategy to protect genetic stability: The proteasome has direct roles in chromosome segregation (Rao et al. 2001) and DNA double-strand break repair (Krogan et al. 2004; Ben-Aroya et al. 2010). Therefore, we designed a work-flow of several high-confidence steps that minimized the risk of genotoxic stress on the yeast cells due to partial or temporary loss of proteasome activity (Figure 3). We first transformed diploid yeast to replace one copy of each gene with the IT4 cassette. Diploid yeast heterozygous for the gene:IT4 deletions were then transformed with centromeric plasmids carrying the orthologous Saccharomyces kluyveri gene, under the control of the S. kluyveri promoter and terminator, which we have previously shown are able to complement the S. cerevisiae gene deletions (Kachroo et al. 2015). The diploid cells were sporulated and tetrads dissected to recover haploid cells with gene:IT4 deletions covered by the plasmid-borne S. kluyveri genes. The IT4 cassettes were then replaced by inducing I-SceI and transforming with PCR-amplified
human ORFs, flanked by homology to the promoter and terminator of the yeast gene. Because standard 60-mer PCR primers were used, the regions of flanking homology were relatively short, ranging from 32-44 NT at the 5’ ends and 27-41 NT at the 3’ ends, with one exception that had slightly longer homology. The oligonucleotides used to amplify human ORFs, the amount of chromosomal identity used to target the human genes to the yeast chromosomes and the precise sequences of the transformed linear DNA products can be found in a set of supplemental SnapGene DNA sequence files.

We isolated and screened 5-FOAR gene replacement candidates by yeast colony PCR and sequenced a variety of them. In addition to recovering candidates with the desired repair to human ORFs, we found two types of undesired repair products, namely mutations in K. lactis URA3 and deletions that reduced the IT4 cassette to a single, unmarked I-SceI site. Analysis of 252 candidates showed that 38 (15%) had repaired to the human ORF, 31 (12%) had mutated URA3 and 183 (73%) had precisely reduced the cassette to a single I-SceI site without K. lactis URA3.

Stretches of DNA identity as short as 9 bp are sufficient to initiate recombination (Qi et al. 2015), and recombination within the IT cassettes could cause mutations in URA3. Analysis of cassette sequences using the FAIR server (Senthilkumar et al. 2010) revealed one pair of 10 bp repeats and four pairs of 9 bp repeats in every cassette. A quick analysis of yeast genomic DNA revealed an equal number of repeats in the first 1300 bp of the S. cerevisiae LEU2 gene. Short repeats such as these may participate in repair following DSB induction, but repair of the break itself would still require recombination with a sequence outside the cassette.

In contrast, the I-SceI site reductions must have occurred by microhomology-mediated end joining, a relatively high-fidelity form of repair in yeast (Boulton and Jackson 1996; Sfeir and Symington 2015), of the four complementary nucleotides exposed as single-stranded DNA in the cut site. We did not observe this type of repair product when replacing the SPO12 targets with oligonucleotides, which were at very high concentrations in the transformations, underscoring the importance of efficient delivery of a repair template. The PCR products used to introduce human ORFs were both larger and less abundant than the oligonucleotides used for mutation of SPO12. Therefore, the efficiency of transformation was probably much lower with the large DNA fragments. Cassette reduction is usually an undesired outcome, but it can be avoided by using IT3, which carries only a single I-SceI site. Microhomology-mediated repair of cut IT3 would simply restore the I-SceI site without eliminating the URA3 marker.

We confirmed the human ORF integrations by sequencing the chromosomal loci from outside the regions of homology present in the PCR products and across each integrated human ORF. As we had hoped, the orthologous S. kluveyri genes were not used as templates for repair of the induced DSBs, due to the sequence divergence of their promoters and terminators.

**Functional complementation by chromosomally-integrated human genes:** To test the ability of human proteasome genes to function when controlled by the native yeast promoters and terminators, we precisely replaced eleven yeast proteasome genes, at their chromosomal loci, with the orthologous human protein coding sequences. S. cerevisiae gene regulation outside of the coding regions of the genes was therefore preserved. The genes we replaced encoded the seven subunits of the core α-ring and four members of the six subunit ATPase ring, which is responsible for substrate translocation into the catalytic core (Bard et al. 2018). The resulting yeast strains each contained a single human coding sequence. Functional replacement of the α-ring subunits was assayed by tetrad dissection. Recovery of multiple fully viable tetrads with the humanized allele segregating 2:2 was considered evidence of complementation (Table S4). Complementation by the human ATPase subunits was confirmed by a plasmid loss assay (Figure 3 and Table S4). A strain with the human Rpt1 subunit (rpt1::PSMC2 CCDS 5731.1-R312s) produced spores inefficiently, but a 4-spored tetrad was recovered and the human allele supported mitotic growth.

Many human proteasome genes have splicing isoforms, so we compared all human cDNA source clones to the consensus coding sequences (CCDS) in the NCBI Gene database, and identified the clones most similar to the CCDS. We used PCR to modify several of the cDNAs so that their sequences and lengths matched at least one CCDS in the database, leaving only a few instances of silent nucleotide changes. Table S4 summarizes the yeast:human orthology relationships of the proteasome core and ATPase ring subunits we worked with, the length and sequence comparisons of our cDNA clones to the database CCDS, and the existence of splicing isoforms.

Among the human CCDS used for gene replacements, there was at least one isoform of each core α-ring subunit and three out of four ATPase subunits that minimally supported viability on rich medium (Figure 4). In a previous study, we reported that the human α2 (Psma2) subunit was toxic when expressed from a strong constitutive yeast promoter (Kachroo et al. 2015). Placing it under fully native yeast transcriptional control allowed it to support viability. We did not previously test complementation by the α4 human paralogs Psma7 and Psma8, due to the lack of cDNA clones, but have now done so. Psma7 is a widely-expressed isoform, while Psma8 is testis-specific and has three isoforms of different lengths. Both Psma7 and the mid-length isoform of Psma8 supported viability (Table S4). We did not test the short and long isoforms of Psma8. Not all of the human α-ring isoforms that we tested were able to complement yeast, however. Of the two splicing isoforms of Psma3, the human α7, only the longer one supported viability.
All six subunits of The ATPase ring supported viability in our previous study (Kachroo et al. 2015). We replaced subunits Rpt1, Rpt2, Rpt3 and Rpt5 with their human orthologs Psmc2, Psmc1, Psmc4 and Psmc3 respectively. Psmc2, Psmc4 and Psmc3 supported viability, but Psmc1 did not (Figure 4 and Table S4). Of the two splicing isoforms of Psmc4, only the longer one supported viability.

We tested rpt2::PSMC1 and rpt3::PSMC4 complementation by the ability of the strains to lose a plasmid carrying S. kluyveri RPT2 or RPT3 (pJD12) or RPT3 (pJD3). Cultures were grown in YPD liquid overnight to permit spontaneous plasmid loss, diluted and plated at low density to allow the formation of individual colonies. Plasmid loss was assayed by replica-plating to YPD + clonNAT in two separate experiments with similar results. Small fuchsia circles indicate colonies that formed on YPD but not on YPD + clonNAT, indicating they had lost the complementing plasmid.

Yeast With individual human proteasome genes grow well in mitosis, but are sensitive to proteotoxic stress: The lineages leading to S. cerevisiae and humans diverged approximately 1 billion years ago (Douzery et al. 2004), so it would be surprising if human proteasome subunits had fully normal activity in yeast. The proteasome is required for both routine mitotic division (Finley et al. 2012) and degradation of misfolded proteins (Eisele and Wolf 2008; Heck et al. 2010), so we compared the growth of unmodified and humanized yeast under both standard laboratory growth conditions and proteotoxic stress.

Under standard growth conditions (rich medium, 30°C), only a few humanized strains grew slowly compared to unmodified strains (Figure 5A). Human Psma7 and Psma8 significantly delayed growth, while Psma1 caused a slight delay. The yeast α3 subunit Pre9 is the only non-essential protein in the core α-ring. Deletion of Pre9 causes a slight growth delay (Velichutina et al. 2004), an effect which was very subtle in our assay. Yeast with the human α3 subunit Psm4 grew normally, but the Δ24-Psm4 N-terminal truncation allele caused a slight growth delay. Finally, the viable human Rpt ATPase strains grew at strikingly normal rates. Overall, humanized strains executed mitosis well under these permissive conditions.

High temperature imposes proteotoxic stress on cells in the form of misfolded proteins, and survival of this stress requires the
ubiquitin-proteasome system (Seufert and Jentsch 1990; Trotter et al. 2001, 2002; Fang et al. 2014, 2016). As previously reported, yeast lacking Pre9 were very sensitive to high temperature (Ruiz-Roig et al. 2010) (Figure 5A). With a few exceptions, yeast with human proteasome subunits were also very sensitive to high temperature, growing poorly at 36° and not at all at 38°. The most striking exceptions were yeast with Psma6 (α1), which was only slightly slow at 38° and Psma4 (α3), which grew normally at 36° and 38°. The Psma7 (α4) and Psma8 (α4) strains grew fairly well at 36°, and were only partially inhibited at 38°. We conclude that most human substitutions compromise high temperature growth, although Psma1 and Psma4 provide almost normal activity at the elevated temperatures we tested.

Canavanine, a non-biogenic arginine analog, causes proteotoxic stress upon incorporation into new proteins, activating the yeast environmental stress response (Shor et al. 2013). We performed a canavanine-sensitivity test at 30°C to avoid temperature-dependent effects. In the absence of canavanine, the Δ24-Psma4 (α3), Psma7 (α4) and Psma8 (α4) strains grew slowly, as they had on YPD (Figure 5B). Yeast lacking Pre9 had been extremely sensitive to high temperature, but were only slightly sensitive to canavanine, suggesting the stress imposed by high temperature is more severe or general. The humanized α5, α6 and α7 strains (Psma5, Psma1 and Psma3) were slightly sensitive to canavanine. The humanized Rpt strains Psmc2, Psmc4 and Psmc3 were more strongly sensitive to canavanine, especially Psmc4 and Psmc3. In summary, our phenotypic analysis shows that yeast proteasomes with single human subunits tend to be severely deficient in the response to high temperature and moderately sensitive to protein misfolding.

Figure 5 Yeast with individual human proteasome subunits are sensitive to proteotoxic stress. Yeast with individual human proteasome subunits (Table 2) were grown to saturation in liquid YPD medium at 30°C, then plated in fivefold dilution series to assay growth. (A) Cells were plated on YPD and grown at 30°C for 38 hr, 36°C for 58 hr or 38°C for 88 hr. (B) Cells were plated on SC –Arg medium containing canavanine at 0, 1 or 3 μg/ml and grown at 30°C for 40 hr. Cells of both MATα and MATα mating types are included for comparison. All strains with human genes were of the MATα mating type except for the α1 (Psma2) and α6 (Psma1) strains, which were MATα. The temperature and canavanine-sensitivity experiments were each performed twice with similar results.
Native transcriptional control is a powerful tool

Transcriptional circuitry is often complex, delicately balanced, and incompletely characterized. There is currently abundant interest in transferring complete foreign protein complexes and enzymatic pathways into yeast, for both functional conservation studies and synthetic biology applications. Native gene regulation may be particularly useful when working with complexes such as the proteasome, ribosome and CCT chaperonin, which have defined stoichiometries and are transcriptionally co-regulated (Kubo et al. 1999; Mannhaupt et al. 1999; Xie and Varshavsky 2002; Webb and Westhead 2009). Apart from these well-known examples, complexes formed during facultative responses, such as autophagy and DNA repair, are also co-regulated (Webb and Westhead 2009; Di Malta et al. 2019), and the use of native promoters preserves those potentially important regulatory circuits.

Chromosomal integration of human proteasome genes under native transcriptional control allowed us to refine the complementation status of several proteasome subunits previously reported in a large-scale study (Kachroo et al. 2015). In exceptional cases, altered expression may be desirable, but as a general strategy, native expression appears to be the best way to minimize confounding effects.

We benefited from native gene regulation in one other way. From previous work, we knew that S. kluveri proteasome genes, under native promoters and terminators, tend to complement S. cerevisiae gene deletions (Kachroo et al. 2015). We now also know, based on a limited set of examples, that the sequences of S. kluveri promoters and terminators differ sufficiently from S. cerevisiae to make them unavailable for homologous repair. This is a valuable trick for control of repair processes in genome engineering.

We can learn from humanized yeast

We expected that human proteasome subunits, having evolved separately from yeast for 1 billion years (Doucey et al. 2004), would have pleiotropic functional deficits compared to native yeast subunits. The human subunits may be inefficiently synthesized or folded, they may limit the assembly or stability of the mature proteasome, or they may have more specific functional defects. Humanized yeast grew surprisingly well under permissive conditions, suggesting the proteasome was working well within its capacity, but phenotypic assays confirmed that the human genes did not provide fully normal activity. High temperature and protein folding stress revealed the incompleteness of functional replacement. By exploring phenotypes ranging from minimal complementation to stress resistance, we were able to characterize the levels of functional conservation of human splicing isoforms and paralogs. In well-characterized cases of complementation, humanized yeast may be a useful platform to investigate the functional effects of human mutations, isoforms and splicing variants (Mayfield et al. 2012; Hamza et al. 2015), or the efficacy of drug treatments (Hamza et al. 2020).

High temperature has wide-ranging effects on cells, including changes in membrane composition (Arthur and Watson 1976; Klose et al. 2012), and the induction of multiple transcriptional and metabolic pathways (Strassburg et al. 2010; Gibney et al. 2013). Ubiquitin ligases and deubiquitinases of the ubiquitin-proteasome system are required for the degradation of proteins that misfold as a result of high temperature (Fang et al. 2014, 2016). With the exception of the non-essential α3 subunit Pre9 (Ruiz-Roig et al. 2010), the roles of the proteasome core subunits in surviving high temperature have not been deeply investigated, in part because they are essential. The human substitutions can be viewed as temperature-sensitive alleles of essential proteasome subunits. As with any classic temperature-sensitive or pleiotropic allele, there are a variety of possible reasons for the loss of function. Irrespective of these considerations, the failure to grow indicates that the core proteasome is required for survival at high temperature. The human substitutions may be useful reagents with which to further investigate the roles of the proteasome during proteotoxic stress.

IT vs. CRISPR/Cas9: pros and cons

Where are the pros and cons of the IT method and CRISPR/Cas9 in yeast genome engineering? IT and CORE (Storici and Resnick 2006) cassettes must be integrated into a target site in a preliminary step. The IT cassettes are of minimal size, and contain built-in I-SceI sites. If the target locus is essential for viability, the function must be covered, at least temporarily, with a complementing construct. Conversely, CRISPR/Cas9 is a very flexible way to induce chromosomal DSBs; sgRNA targets are abundant (DiCarlo et al. 2013), and no preliminary chromosomal modification is necessary. This is particularly advantageous when working with essential genes.

IT and CORE cassettes can, in theory, be integrated into any chromosomal locus, and once integrated, DSB induction is, in our experience, efficient and independent of the locus. In contrast, the performance of a specific CRISPR/Cas9 sgRNA is still unpredictable, and DSB induction near a specific locus typically requires comparing several sgRNA candidates. Persistent expression of Cas9 is a possible solution to inefficient DSB induction (Lee et al. 2015), but it carries an increased risk of off-target effects. Therefore, CRISPR/Cas9 is ideal for large-scale experiments in which the efficiency of DSB induction at any one sgRNA target need not be optimal (Bao et al. 2018), and off-target effects are tolerable.

All genome engineering operations that rely on DSB induction share certain requirements and risks during the repair process. A DNA template must be available during or soon after the break is made, and the desired recombinants identified from a variety of possible events. Repair templates for DSBs can be supplied to cells by transformation of linear DNA as short as a synthetic oligo. The IT and CORE methods are particularly suited to the intense mutagenesis of short genomic targets using relatively cheap oligonucleotide repair templates. Conversely, gRNA for Cas9 will only rarely and serendipitously be found within such a short region. Intact plasmids, already resident within the yeast nucleus, are excellent repair templates. We have used them at least 20 times with a 100% success rate (unpublished results). The existence of a repair template in every cell of a population before DSB induction overcomes the relatively low transformation efficiency of yeast, and could be used for intensive, DNA library-based repair.

All methods of DSB induction carry with them the risk that recombination between direct repeats in the chromosome outside the break will delete chromosomal DNA (Rudin and Haber 1988). Analysis of S. cerevisiae chromosomal DNA using the FAIR server (Senthilkumar et al. 2010) shows that direct repeats of 9 bp or more occur frequently. For example, the first 1300 bp of the LEU2 gene contain five pairs of such repeats. CRISPR/Cas9 seems likely to increase the risk of undesired recombination by creating more off-target breaks. Deciding whether repeats are an important consideration in genome engineering will require further investigation, and it would be informative to compare the frequency of DNA deletions, chromosomal aneuploidies and other types of mutations caused by different methods.
Additional applications of the IT method

The simplicity of the IT method may make it useful for systematic genome engineering projects. We have briefly explored the integration of an IT cassette into the kanMX4 marker of standard yeast gene deletion strains (Winzeler et al. 1999; Giaever and Nislow 2014). The systematic integration of an IT cassette into kanMX4 would convert the gene deletion collection into a DSB induction collection. Such a collection would be useful for large-scale, library-based gene replacement. Systematic studies of transcriptional regulation could use IT cassettes integrated in front of native yeast genes to prepare the sites for replacement with a variety of foreign promoters. This and other applications will continue to make I-SceI a useful tool for yeast genome engineering.
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