Patterned femtosecond-laser ablation of *Xenopus laevis* melanocytes for studies of cell migration, wound repair, and developmental processes
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Abstract: Ultrafast (femtosecond) lasers have become an important tool to investigate biological phenomena because of their ability to effect highly localized tissue removal in surgical applications. Here we describe programmable, microscale, femtosecond-laser ablation of melanocytes found on *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles, a technique that is applicable to biological studies in development, regeneration, and cancer research. We illustrate laser marking of individual melanocytes, and the drawing of patterns on melanocyte clusters to help track their migration and/or regeneration. We also demonstrate that this system can upgrade scratch tests, a technique used widely with cultured cells to study cell migration and wound healing, to the more realistic *in vivo* realm, by clearing a region of melanocytes and monitoring their return over time. In addition, we show how melanocyte ablation can be used for loss-of-function experiments by damaging neighboring tissue, using the example of abnormal tail regeneration following localized spinal cord damage. Since the size, shape, and depth of melanocytes vary as a function of tadpole age and melanocyte location (head or tail), an ablation threshold chart is given. Mechanisms of laser ablation are also discussed.
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1. Introduction

Femtosecond (fs) Ti:sapphire lasers have been used to investigate biological phenomena in many different types of organisms, particularly for submicron laser ablation studies [1,2]. By operating the Ti:sapphire laser around 800 nm, away from the absorption of most tissues [3], and capitalizing on the short duration of the laser pulses, ablation can occur at less than the laser beam’s focal volume while offering deeper tissue penetration. In the field of developmental biology, fs-lasers have been used to sever the nerve axons of worms [4], to study short- and long-term development after laser ablation of zebrafish cells [5], and to dissect drosophila embryos for the study of morphogenetic movements [6].

Effects of laser surgery on embryos of Xenopus laevis (the African clawed frog) are as yet unexplored. This constitutes a valuable opportunity given the importance of this amphibian as a well-studied model of vertebrate development [7,8], cancer [9], and regeneration [10–12]. Xenopus are widely used in developmental biology because their embryos are readily raised in laboratory culture, accessible from the earliest stages and throughout organogenesis, relatively quick to develop, large and easy to manipulate, and highly resistant to infection. Also, the
tadpoles are largely transparent. Most importantly, their development is known in detail, and
the embryos are amenable to a wide range of manipulations using molecular-genetic, cell-
biological, pharmacological, optical, and biophysical techniques [13–15]. For studies of
cancer-like cell behavior, melanocytes, the melanin-containing cells that constitute the darkly
pigmented spots in the animal’s skin, can be induced to behave like neoplastic cells, making
them an interesting in vivo model for melanoma [16,17]. Moreover, melanocytes are
descendants of a vertebrate-specific stem cell population called the neural crest [18], and their
study can thus shed light on many aspects of the complex signaling by which an animal
integrates functions of stem cells and their descendants into the developmental programs of
the host—a research topic crucial for advances in regenerative medicine and cancer biology.

In this paper, we focus on the use of ultrafast lasers as a tool to label, draw patterns on,
and eliminate Xenopus melanocytes. We show how this methodology can be used to
understand melanocyte migration and regeneration, and the relationship between tail
regeneration and particular cell types using a loss-of-function approach. Since the
development of Xenopus is sensitive to individual variations and temperature, we refer to the
stage of the Xenopus rather than the age [19]. Our discussion will be limited to melanocyte
laser ablation in embryos between stages 35 and 46 (i.e. ~2–7 days post fertilization at 22°C),
since pilot studies have shown that damage at earlier developmental stages caused no
definitive disruptions to development, in agreement with published results on zebrafish
embryos [5]. Our target period begins just at the end of the melanization of pigmented cells in
Xenopus, which occurs during stages 25-40 [20]: around stages 25-30, melanin is generated in
melanocyte cells located around the dorsal region; during stages 30-40, the melanocytes
migrate away from the dorsal midline (the developing central nervous system and vertebral
column) of the tadpole; at later stages, the melanocytes are fully pigmented and remain
stationary. Where previous studies only considered melanocyte death and regeneration in
zebrafish [21], or laser ablation of lines for microdissections [6], we show controlled cell
ablation and pigment removal on melanocytes found in the head and along the tail, at the same
dorsal-ventral level as the spinal cord, and demonstrate how these methods are useful for
applications in the study of wound healing, cancer, and developmental processes.

2. Materials and methods

The optical setup used for laser surgery of Xenopus is shown in Fig. 1a. Pulses with a center
wavelength of 810 nm, repetition rate of 80 MHz, and pulse width of 120 fs were generated
from a Ti:sapphire oscillator (Spectra Physics Tsunami). The average pulse power was varied
between 20 mW - 1 W using a combination of neutral density filters along with a half-wave
plate and polarizing beam cube. Included in the beam path was an electro-mechanical shutter
(Thorlabs SH05/SC10) with an opening time $\Delta T = 0.4$ ms and a closing time $\Delta T = 0.6$ ms.
Both imaging of the specimen and pulse focusing were performed through an inverted
microscope (Olympus Microscopes IX71). The laser beam was typically focused with 10x
(NA = 0.3) or 20x (NA = 0.5) Olympus objectives. For the experiments reported here, we
found that the narrow depth of focus associated with higher NA objectives was impractical
because the tadpole surface is not flat, making focusing and marking difficult. The reported
fluences account for the ellipticity of the incoming laser beam and use the measured average
power ($P_{avg}$) after the microscope objectives. Fluences were calculated by $F = 2P_{avg}/(RR\pi ab)$
where $RR$ is the laser repetition rate and $a$ and $b$ define the beam ellipticity. Using a knife
edge technique, the $1/e^2$ beam radii for the 10x objective were 2.2 $\mu$m and 2.9 $\mu$m, and those
for the 20x objective were 1.7 $\mu$m and 2.3 $\mu$m. The specimen was located on top of a
motorized xy stage (Ludl Electronics Products Ltd. BioPrecision2 Flat-top Inverted Stage).
The sample position, CCD image acquisition, and shutter duration were computer controlled.
A LabVIEW interfacing program was written to allow the laser to write images in three
modes: (1) raster scanning; (2) tracing basic shapes such as grids, lines, and spirals; and (3)
targeting specific locations of a live image (program available from corresponding author).
Fig. 1. Schematic of femtosecond laser ablation of Xenopus tadpoles. (a) Femtosecond pulses were focused onto the specimens mounted on top of the motorized stage. For laser ablation, *Xenopus laevis* younger than stage 40 were held between a Petri dish with a glass welled bottom and a cover slip, while older tadpoles were placed inside a depression made of agar, secured with a glass cover slip, and then inverted for placement on the stage. (HWP—half wave plate, PBC—polarizing beam cube, SPF—short pass filter, DM—dichroic mirror, WL—white light source) (b) Images of *Xenopus* tadpoles at three different developmental stages.

*Xenopus* were raised in 0.1X Marc’s Modified Ringer’s (MMR) solution at a temperature of 14-22°C and pH 7.8, according to standard protocols [22]. For tail amputation, tadpoles were anesthetized using 1.5% tricaine, and one third to one half of the tail was removed using a No. 10 scalpel. After five minutes, the tadpoles were returned to plain MMR. For laser ablation, stage 36-42 tadpoles were anesthetized either with 1.5% tricaine in MMR, or with 5 µL N-benzyl-p-toluene sulfonamide (BTS) in MMR for ~15 minutes prior to laser surgery. Figure 1a illustrates the two modes used to house the specimens for laser ablation. Younger tadpoles were placed into a 35 mm glass-bottomed Petri dish (WWW precision instruments) with a recessed center containing ~0.3 mL of anesthetic solution, and held in place with a square glass cover slip (22 mm x 22 mm x 0.17 mm). Older tadpoles were placed in a depression made in agar with a drop of anesthetic solution, held in place with a cover slip, and then the dish was inverted for surgery. After surgery, the tadpoles were moved into a six-well plate filled with MMR solution and maintained at 22°C for further analysis. It has been observed that size and shape of melanocytes are influenced by the anesthetic solutions (tricaine and BTS), which cause them to retract and present a smaller area to target with the laser [23]. The three stages of tadpole development we found most useful for out studies are shown in Fig. 1b.

The depth of the melanocyte relative to the surface of the tadpole was determined using DIC microscopy. With a calibrated stage, the distance was measured from focused images of ciliated cells above the melanocytes, which marked the surface, and the focused image of the melanocyte.

Time lapse movies of laser-marked migrating melanocytes were made on a Nikon AZ100m stereoscope with an attached Andor Luca-R CCD camera. The system is controlled by NIS Elements. Tadpoles were placed in depressions made in agar and contained in 35 mm
Petri dishes. The dish was then filled completely with MMR, and a large coverslip was used to seal the dish. Images were collected every 3-5 minutes.

Absorption spectra of a paste composed of ground stage 35 *Xenopus* tails and diluted in deionized water were acquired with a Varian Cary UV/VIS spectrometer.

The damage threshold was determined by focusing the laser on a specific melanocyte and delivering pulses for 10 ms duration while varying the laser fluence until a change in the pigmented tissue such as a contraction, expansion, or discoloration was observed (see Media 1). Tests were conducted at the head and tail for 2 tadpoles at stages 35, 40, and 46. Between 5 and 10 melanocytes were used at each location.

3. Results

3.1. Ablation of melanin-containing cells

Our investigations focus on the ablation of melanin-containing cells for several reasons. First, these cells are more absorptive to our laser wavelength and hence we can reduce the amount of collateral damage to the surrounding tissue. Second, melanin-containing cells are situated in several locations on the tadpole that are interesting for developmental biology, including the eye, the gut, and near the spinal cord. Lastly, these cells can be induced to show cancer-like properties. Therefore, the ability to selectively ablate such cells provides several interesting opportunities to expand our knowledge of development and neoplastic behavior.

![Absorption spectrum](image)

Figure 2a is a plot of the absorption spectrum from a paste of ground *Xenopus* tails diluted in deionized water. As the wavelength increases, there is an exponential decrease in the absorption similar to melanin found in mammalian skin. The slight increase in absorption at 580 nm is likely due to the presence of oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO₂) which is known to be present in the tadpole tail. However, without further experimentation, it is difficult to definitively identify all cellular components present in the tail paste. This suggests that there may be other absorbers contributing to the absorption spectrum which need to be identified and characterized. The slight increase in absorption at 580 nm is likely due to the presence of oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO₂) contained in the tail paste because the major intracellular absorbers for the visible wavelength range are hemoglobin and melanin [3].
If the 810 nm laser beam is scanned along the surface of the tadpoles, at any age, we find no visible damage to the transparent regions of the tadpole for our maximum fluence of 130 mJ/cm². In contrast, scanning over melanocytes does produce damage depending on the number of pulses hitting the sample (i.e., shutter opening time) and the fluence used. For example, Fig. 2b and 2c are histology sections of an untreated and a laser-treated region, respectively, and illustrate that the melanocytes inside the tissue can be damaged without damaging the outer layer. Higher energies (fluence × shutter opening time) will cause greater collateral damage around the melanocyte (Fig. 2c).

Ablation of melanin-containing cells is affected by the amount of cell pigmentation and the thickness of the epidermis above the melanocytes, which varies as a function of age and location (head or tail) on the tadpole [20,24]. Table 1 highlights some of these differences. As the tadpole develops from stage 35-46, the melanocytes migrate closer to the surface and become fully pigmented. Surface melanocytes, which are <30 µm from the surface, such as those found in the head and in the tail for stage 46 Xenopus tadpoles, have lower damage thresholds (~3 mJ/cm²). In contrast, melanocytes in the tail of tadpoles ranging from stages 35 to 40 have larger damage thresholds (4.8–13.6 J/cm²) due to partially pigmented cells and to the varying melanocyte depths as they migrate to their final destination. By operating the laser near the damage threshold, we are able to mark melanocytes, and by exceeding the damage threshold, destroy melanocytes.

Table 1. Damage threshold for Xenopus melanocytes located on the head and tail for different stages of growth

| Stage | Location | Damage Threshold [mJ/cm²] (N = 2, M = 5) | Average Depth of Melanocytes from surface [µm ± SD] (N = 3, M = 5) |
|-------|----------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 35    | Head     | 2.8-3.4                                | 31 ± 8                                                        |
| 35    | Tail     | 9.6-13.6                                | 33 ± 8 (58 ± 15)                                              |
| 40    | Head     | 2.8-3.4                                | 27 ± 7                                                        |
| 40    | Tail     | 4.8-6.2                                 | 42 ± 19                                                       |
| 46    | Head     | 2.0-2.8                                 | 13 ± 4                                                        |
| 46    | Tail     | 2.0-5.4                                 | 30 ± 11 (surface)                                             |

Distance from Xenopus midline to surface where partially pigmented cells containing melanin were migrating.

### 3.2. Laser marking and ablation of melanocytes

Drawing micropatterns on individual melanocytes or on clusters of melanocytes is possible because the laser spot size (~2 µm) is significantly smaller than the average melanocyte size, which ranges between 10 and 50 µm. This enables the marking of specific geometric figures in vivo to follow the evolution and migration of the cells. For surface melanocytes, a slight separation of tissue is observed at a fluence of 2.0 mJ/cm² while ablation occurs for fluence values in excess of 3.0 mJ/cm². In contrast, targeting melanocytes located below the surface first causes the skin to contract (most likely a muscle reflex associated with heating the melanocyte) and then, with increased fluence, to separate (the tissue is broken open by excess heating and cavitation bubbles). Media 1 is a short video illustrating contraction and slight skin separation resulting from targeting a melanocyte just below the surface of the tail, for a fluence of 26 mJ/cm² and a 200 ms shutter open time. Lastly, when the central area, the melanocyte cell body, is targeted, the melanocyte tends to break into many fragments, which in many cases leads to cavitation bubbles that persist beyond laser pulse delivery.

Figure 3 shows some examples of different ways to mark melanocytes. Spot-like ablation was achieved for melanocytes located on the anterior dorsal side of a stage 46 tadpole (Fig. 3a). Tagging of individual melanocytes with triangles is shown in Fig. 3b, and line patterning in a particularly dark tadpole is shown in Fig. 3c. On average the line patterns were observed to last up to 8 hours before becoming unrecognizable. In addition to these simple patterns, we were able to laser write grids, spirals, and preset designs (not shown here). The ability of the
laser to eliminate a melanocyte was also tested. Figure 3d shows a melanocyte located on the dorsal fin before and after laser irradiation, and then after the application of trypan blue, a biological stain applied to discriminate between live and dead cells, with blue indicating cell death. In these experiments, for spot diameter $D$, the number of pulses $N$ applied to an area $\pi(D/2)^2$ is given by $N = Rt$ for the stationary sample, and $N = RD/\upsilon$ for the moving sample, where $R$ is the repetition rate, $t$ is shutter duration, and $\upsilon$ is that scan speed [25]. Thus, for a laser fluence $F$, the dosage is $NF$. For the four images in Fig. 3, the dosages were: (a) 2 M mJ/cm$^2$, (b) 12 M mJ/cm$^2$, (c) 30 M mJ/cm$^2$, and (d) 32 M mJ/cm$^2$. With increased exposure to laser pulses, the melanocyte ablation changes from controlled spot-like ablation (Fig. 3a) to partial fragmentation (Fig. 3b), then to noticeable cavitation bubbles (Fig. 3c), and finally to collateral tissue damage (Fig. 3d). For labeling and drawing patterns on melanocytes, it is best to avoid bubble formation; therefore, dosages should be between 2 and 10 M mJ/cm$^2$.

![Image of melanocyte marking methods](image)

**Fig. 3.** Different methods to mark melanocytes. (a) Top image is a melanocyte located on anterior dorsal side of a stage 46 *Xenopus* before laser ablation and the bottom image shows multiple ablated spots after a laser fluence of 2 mJ/cm$^2$ and a shutter duration of 10 ms. (b) Tagging of individual melanocytes located near the tadpole's eye with triangles using a fluence of 2 mJ/cm$^2$ and scan speed of 50 µm/s. (c) Before and after images of lines drawn on a dark wild-type stage 36 *Xenopus* for a 14 mJ/cm$^2$ fluence and 150 µm/s scan speed. The red marker points to a long-lasting cavitation bubble. (d) Top image of melanocyte located on dorsal fin before laser ablation, middle image is the melanocyte after laser irradiation at 26 mJ/cm$^2$ and shutter duration of 200 ms, and the bottom image shows a dark blue coloring of the ablated area, after bathing the *Xenopus* in the vital stain trypan blue, which indicates cell death.

![Image of melanocyte migration](image)

**Fig. 4.** Images of melanocyte migration after clearing a large area of melanocytes using laser ablation (raster scan with line spacing of 5 µm, $F = 6$ mJ/cm$^2$, scan speed = 150 µm/s). Red arrowheads indicate the position of ablation (a), 19 hrs later (b), and 28 hrs later (c). (d) shows a dorsal image comparing the left (nonablated) and right (ablated) side of the *Xenopus* 28 hrs after laser ablation.

Although no visible scarring from tagging or line patterning of surface melanocytes was observed, we needed to determine if the laser ablation would somehow inhibit melanocyte migration and/or regeneration. Therefore, preliminary investigations were carried out by recording time-lapse videos of stage 35 *Xenopus* tadpoles with a 150 µm x 200 µm area ablated from the anterior dorsal region, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The images indicate that after...
28 hrs new melanocytes move into the ablated area. We also found that when surface cavitation bubbles did form, the skin shed and new melanocytes filled the regions (Media 2).

3.3. Targeting melanocytes to create collateral damage

Laser ablation of melanocytes can also be used as a technique to locally disrupt other tissue, which is of importance for loss-of-function studies in developmental biology. To illustrate this use of the laser, we studied the regeneration process in the *Xenopus* tadpole tail. If the tail of a stage 40 *Xenopus* is amputated with a scalpel, the missing part will regenerate [26,27]. It has further been shown that complete surgical extraction of the spinal cord after tail amputation causes the remaining tail to regenerate abnormally [28]. We used laser ablation to study the influence of localized spinal cord damage (rather than complete spinal cord excision) on tail regeneration, using absorption by melanocytes near the spinal cord to cause targeted insults to the spinal cord itself.

Targeting melanocytes (highlighted in Fig. 5a) with laser powers in excess of the ablation threshold allowed damage to surrounding tissue within a spherical region of diameter, on average, 35 µm. Histological sections showed that the spinal cord and portions of the dorsal muscles were damaged in this targeted region (Fig. 2d). Unlike damage above or below the spinal cord, which had no effect on the tail regeneration, damage to the spinal cord, even far from the amputation plane, caused a sharp deviation in shape of the regenerated tail, (compare Fig. 5c and 5d). Moreover, distinct differences in the regenerated tail were observed when targeting different positions or more than one position of the spinal cord. For example, targeted insults close to the amputation plane typically caused the regenerate to bend in the dorsal direction, whereas insults further anterior caused more complex shape changes. The results of these regeneration experiments are described in detail in [29].

Fig. 5. Tail regeneration after targeting the spinal cord. (a) Image of the position where the tail was amputated from a stage 40 *Xenopus* tadpole. The blue box highlights the region targeted by the laser. (b) Image after targeting laser in 10 locations inside blue box with laser fluence of 26 mJ/cm² and a 200 ms shutter duration. The dark melanocytes that were targeted are gone. (c) An image showing the same tail after 1 week. (d) The normal shape of a regenerated tail.

4. Discussion

Although the exact ablation mechanism is yet to be determined, we can elucidate its nature based on our observations and previous theoretical and experimental studies [1,30]. At low fluences, ablation is a result of free-electron-induced chemical decomposition (bond breaking) of biomolecules, while at higher fluences, cumulative thermal heating plays a role and long-lasting cavitation bubbles can be observed. These bubbles are related to molecules in the tissue dissociating into volatile fragments, and can cause dislocations to the surrounding tissue. In addition to the fluence, the concentration of melanin in cells also determines the mechanism of ablation by changing the effective absorption coefficient.

Patterning individual melanocytes is a useful technique for following migration of specific cells or clusters of cells, whereas ablation of single or multiple melanocytes lends itself well to the study of melanocyte regeneration and wound healing abilities. By monitoring time-lapse videos of a patch of melanocytes cleared by laser ablation, we observed melanocytes filling the cleared area (Fig. 4). We believe not only that this method is useful for studying
regenerative and migratory melanocyte properties, but also that it makes possible in vivo scratch test studies. Presently scratch tests are performed in vitro by removing a region of confluent cells grown in a Petri dish and monitoring its repopulation [31]. Moreover, the ability to clear patches of densely spaced melanocytes is of great interest because melanocytes normally exhibit contact inhibition, but can be induced to display cancer-like behaviors; thus, this technique can be used for controlled in vivo studies of neoplastic behaviors [17].

The localized, in vivo loss-of-function experiments made possible by laser ablation of melanocytes also show promise, as demonstrated by the application to tail regeneration. Targeting specific regions of the spinal cord allows for systematic exploration of the short- and long-distance signaling mechanisms affecting the shape of the regenerated tail—details inaccessible with classic excision experiments [28]. The method can also be extended to areas devoid of melanocytes by staining relevant target cells [30].

5. Conclusion

We have demonstrated the use of an ultrafast Ti:sapphire oscillator for an array of ablation experiments on Xenopus laevis tadpoles with specific focus on studies in developmental biology. Typical laser ablation thresholds for different ages (and corresponding developmental stages) of Xenopus tadpoles are measured to provide a reference for different modalities of marking and ablation of melanocytes. The ability to label and draw patterns on melanocytes is useful for studies on melanocyte regeneration and migration. Moreover, fs-lasers can be used to clear patches of melanocytes for in vivo scratch assays and cancer studies. Lastly, the method can be used for loss-of-function experiments by targeting selected regions of melanocytes, demonstrated here by showing disruptive changes in tail regeneration as a consequence of targeted spinal cord ablation. This combined ability to tag, draw patterns, and ablate melanocytes makes the ultrafast laser a compelling tool for exploring developmental biology mechanisms in Xenopus and similar organisms.
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