Continuous association of total bile acid levels with the risk of small for gestational age infants
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The association between maternal serum total bile acid (TBA) levels and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants is unclear. We investigated the association between various degrees of serum TBA levels and the risk of SGA infants in a Chinese population. The current study performed a cohort study among 11811 mothers with singleton pregnancy. Subjects were divided into seven categories according to maternal serum TBA levels. Interestingly, birth sizes were reduced, whereas the rate of SGA infants was increased across increasing categories of serum TBA. Compared to category 1, adjusted ORs (95%CI) for SGA infants were 0.99 (0.82–1.21) in category 2, 1.22 (0.97–1.53) in category 3, 1.99 (1.53–2.58) in category 4, 2.91 (2.16–3.93) in category 5, and 9.01 (5.99–13.53) in category 7, respectively. Furthermore, adjusted ORs (95%CI) for SGA infants for each 1-SD increase in serum TBA levels were 1.36 (1.29–1.43) among all subjects, 2.40 (1.82–3.45) among subjects without cholestasis, and 1.13 (1.06–1.22) among subjects with cholestasis, respectively. These results suggest that gestational cholestasis increases the risk of SGA infants. Additionally, our results indicate strong, continuous associations of serum TBA levels below those diagnostic of cholestasis with a decreased birth sizes and an increased risk of SGA infants.

Intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (ICP), also named gestational cholestasis, is defined as the presence of pruritus in combination with elevated serum total bile acid (TBA) levels (≥ 10 μmol/L). ICP is one of the most prevalent obstetric disease1,2. ICP occurs usually in the second half of pregnancy until delivery. The incidence of ICP ranges from 0.4% to 15% in different countries, ethnic populations and climatic conditions3,4. The majority of studies had demonstrated that ICP was associated with adverse maternal outcomes, including 3-fold increased risks of gestational diabetes mellitus and pre-eclampsia5–7. A large cohort study from Sweden showed that women with ICP had increased risks of later liver and biliary tree cancer, later specifically diabetes mellitus, later autoimmune-mediated and cardiovascular diseases after childbirth10. On the other hand, several epidemiological studies reported the association between ICP and the increased risks of adverse fetal outcomes, including spontaneous and iatrogenic preterm delivery, a low (< 7) 5-minute Apgar score, respiratory distress syndrome, meconium-stained fluid, stillbirth and intrauterine fetal death5,9–11. In addition, a report on human and rodent animal demonstrated that ICP was also associated with sex-specific increased susceptibility to severe obese, diabetic phenotype with hepatosteatosis in adult offspring, indicating a programming effect of the high bile acid exposure in utero12,13.

Small for gestational age (SGA), defined as fetal weight less than the 10th percentile based on gender and gestational age, is one of the leading causes for stillbirth, neonatal death and perinatal morbidity14–16. Several epidemiological reports showed that the risks of autism in childhood and cardiovascular and metabolic diseases in adulthood were increased in people born with SGA17–20. Nevertheless, no report analyzed the association between ICP and an increased risk of SGA infants in a cohort study. It is more obscure whether maternal serum TBA levels less severe than that in cholestasis are associated with an increased risk of SGA infants.
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The present study conducted a birth cohort study to investigate the risk of SGA infants associated with various degrees of serum TBA levels. The present study found that ICP elevated the risk of SGA infants. Additionally, our results indicate strong, continuous associations of serum TBA levels below those diagnostic of cholestasis with a decreased birth sizes and an increased risk of SGA infants.

### Results

**The demographic characteristics and laboratory measurements of study participants.** The demographic characteristics of study participants were presented in Table 1. There were significant differences on maternal age, education, and mode of delivery among different groups (Table 1). No significant differences were observed on maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, parity, and gravidity among different groups (Table 1). Maternal serum alanine transaminase concentrations, aspartate transaminase concentrations, serum total bilirubin concentrations, direct bilirubin concentrations, and indirect bilirubin concentrations were measured. Results showed that those were increased across the increasing serum TBA levels categories (Table 2).

#### Birth sizes among different groups.

Subjects were divided into seven categories according to maternal serum TBA levels. Birth weight was compared among seven categories. As shown in Table 3, birth sizes, including birth weight, birth length, head circumference and chest circumference, were decreased across increasing categories of serum TBA levels. Gestational age was also compared among seven categories. Gestational age was reduced across increasing categories of serum TBA levels (Table 3).

**Association between serum TBA as a categorical variable and the risk of SGA infants.** Participants were divided into seven categories according to maternal serum TBA levels. The rate of SGA infants

### Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants. Abbreviation: TBA, total bile acid.

| Demographic variables | Serum TBA levels (μmol/L) |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                       | <10.0 (n = 11120)         | 10.0–39.9 (n = 563)       | ≥40.0 (n = 128)           | P-value                   |
| Maternal age (years)  |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| <25 [n (%)]           | 1636 (14.71)              | 121 (21.49)               | 37 (28.91)                | <0.001                    |
| 25–34 [n (%)]         | 8227 (73.98)              | 371 (65.90)               | 71 (55.47)                |                           |
| ≥35 [n (%)]           | 1257 (11.30)              | 71 (12.61)                | 20 (15.85)                |                           |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²) |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| <18.5 [n (%)]         | 1916 (17.23)              | 113 (20.07)               | 29 (22.66)                |                           |
| 18.5–22.9 [n (%)]     | 6875 (61.83)              | 328 (58.26)               | 79 (61.72)                | 0.159                     |
| 23.0–27.4 [n (%)]     | 2003 (18.01)              | 102 (18.12)               | 15 (11.72)                |                           |
| ≥27.5 [n (%)]         | 326 (2.93)                | 20 (3.55)                 | 5 (3.90)                  |                           |
| Maternal education (years) |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| <9 (junior school)    | 3547 (31.72)              | 288 (52.93)               | 74 (57.81)                |                           |
| 10–15 (High school)   | 3477 (31.09)              | 155 (26.47)               | 31 (24.22)                | <0.001                    |
| ≥16 (University)      | 3689 (32.99)              | 111 (19.00)               | 15 (11.72)                |                           |
| Data missing          | 407 (4.20)                | 9 (1.60)                  | 8 (6.25)                  |                           |
| Mode of delivery [n (%)] |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| Vaginal delivery      | 6276 (56.44)              | 342 (60.75)               | 81 (63.28)                | 0.042                     |
| Cesarean delivery     | 4844 (43.56)              | 221 (39.25)               | 47 (36.72)                |                           |
| Parity [n(%)]         |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 1                     | 8288 (74.53)              | 418 (74.25)               | 89 (69.53)                | 0.432                     |
| ≥2                    | 2832 (25.47)              | 145 (25.75)               | 39 (30.47)                |                           |
| Gravidity             |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| 1                     | 5931 (53.34)              | 297 (52.75)               | 61 (47.66)                | 0.428                     |
| ≥2                    | 5189 (46.66)              | 266 (47.25)               | 67 (52.34)                |                           |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus [n(%)] |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| Yes                   | 917 (8.25)                | 60 (10.66)                | 12 (9.38)                 | 0.121                     |
| No                    | 10203 (91.75)             | 503 (89.34)               | 116 (90.62)               |                           |
| Gestational hypertension [n(%)] |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| Yes                   | 359 (3.23)                | 21 (3.73)                 | 8 (6.25)                  | 0.135                     |
| No                    | 10761 (96.77)             | 542 (96.27)               | 120 (93.75)               |                           |
| Preeclampsia [n(%)]   |                           |                           |                           |                           |
| Yes                   | 611 (5.49)                | 77 (13.68)                | 18 (14.06)                | <0.001                    |
| No                    | 10506 (94.51)             | 489 (86.32)               | 110 (85.94)               |                           |
Animal experiments also found that maternal inflammation and oxidative stress resulted in FGR in study, strongly nuclear NF-κB significantly higher in the SGA group than in the control group 35,36. According to a recent nest case-control studies showed that maternal serum and umbilical cord serum TNF-α indicated that cholestasis was associated with inflammation and oxidative stress. Indeed, many epidemiological at diagnosis and at delivery were correlated positively with umbilical cord blood TBA levels, which provides be play a key role in TBA-mediated SGA. Moreover, a recent study reported that maternal serum TBA levels rodents38,39. Therefore, we guess that inflammation and oxidative stress may play a vital role in TBA-mediated infants37. The mechanism by which elevated serum TBA increases the risk of SGA remains obscure. Several case-control studies showed that bile acids stimulated the expression of a series of inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species via activating both signal 1 and 2 of the NLRP3 inflammasome and NF-κB pathway46,47. Thus, the present study does not exclude that elevated TBA-associated SGA is due to the direct toxic effect of bile acids.

### Table 2. Laboratory measurements within the study participants. Abbreviation: TBA, total bile acid. The mean differences between two groups were analyzed using least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 as compared with < 2.0 μmol/L group.

| Parameter                | Serum TBA levels (μmol/L) | < 2.0 (n = 3196) | 2.0–3.9 (n = 4580) | 4.0–5.9 (n = 2075) | 6.0–7.9 (n = 835) | 8.0–9.9 (n = 434) | 10.0–39.9 (n = 563) | ≥ 40.0 (n = 128) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Aspartate transaminase (IU/L) | 11.8 ± 2.4               | 17.9 ± 3.5**    | 21.6 ± 4.1**      | 24.9 ± 4.9**     | 34.4 ± 5.7**    | 39.2 ± 9.2**    | 37.8 ± 5.9        |
| Alanine transaminase (IU/L)  | 13.7 ± 2.4               | 20.3 ± 3.2**    | 25.0 ± 4.3**      | 30.6 ± 6.1**     | 39.5 ± 12.8**   | 77.1 ± 16.8**   | 45.8 ± 11.3       |
| Total bilirubin (μmol/L)    | 8.1 ± 3.4                | 8.1 ± 3.4       | 11.1 ± 3.8        | 8.2 ± 3.4        | 9.7 ± 3.8       | 12.6 ± 3.8**    | 12.6 ± 3.8**      |
| Direct bilirubin (μmol/L)   | 1.7 ± 0.9                | 1.8 ± 1.9       | 2.1 ± 2.1         | 2.6 ± 4.9**      | 3.3 ± 5.8       | 4.1 ± 5.8**      | 9.5 ± 10.3**      |
| Indirect bilirubin (μmol/L) | 6.4 ± 2.8                | 6.4 ± 2.9**     | 6.3 ± 2.9**       | 5.6 ± 3.3        | 6.6 ± 3.6       | 6.6 ± 3.8**      | 9.5 ± 10.3**      |

### Association between serum TBA as a continuous variable and the risk of SGA infants and birth sizes.

Table 5 shows the association between serum TBA and the risk of SGA infants. Adjusted ORs for SGA infants for each 1-SD increase in serum TBA level were 1.36 (95% CI: 1.29, 1.43) among all subjects, 2.91 (95% CI: 1.90, 4.44) among subjects without cholestasis (TBA < 10.0 μmol/L), and 1.13 (95% CI: 1.06, 1.22) among subjects with cholestasis (TBA ≥ 10.0 μmol/L), respectively (Table 5).
Table 3. Birth sizes and gestational age in different categories. Abbreviation: TBA, total bile acid; SD, standard deviation. *The mean differences between two groups were analyzed using least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test. **The median differences were analyzed using non-parametric statistics. ***P < 0.01 as compared with < 2.0 μmol/L group.

Figure 1. Rate of SGA infants. Serum TBA categories are as follows: category 1, less than 2.0 μmol/L; category 2, 2.0 to 3.9 μmol/L; category 3, 4.0 to 5.9 μmol/L; category 4, 6.0 to 7.9 μmol/L; category 5, 8.0 to 9.9 μmol/L; category 6, 10.0 to 39.9 μmol/L; or category 7, 40 μmol/L or more. SGA, small for gestational age; TBA, total bile acid.

The present study laid emphasis on whether serum TBA levels less severe than that in cholestasis was associated with an increased risk of SGA infants. However, the present study has three faults. Firstly, the nutritional status, drinking and smoking during pregnancy could affect fetal growth, but we did not have data on the variable. Secondly, the present cohort included only Chinese population, so our results should be treated cautiously when branched out to other ethnic populations. Another potential fault is the lack of information on treatment to pregnant women with ICP, it was associated with the reduction of serum TBA levels in ICP patients. Additionally, our results indicate strong, continuous associations of serum TBA levels below those diagnostic of cholestasis with a decreased birth sizes and an increased risk of SGA infants. There were no obvious thresholds at which risk increased. Thus, our study suggests the need to reconsider current criteria for diagnosing and treating ICP.
Subjects and Methods

Cohort study. We conducted a retrospective birth cohort in Hefei, a city of central China. Total 13,801 pregnant women who delivered at First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University between January 2011 and December 2014 were recruited. Maternal demographic characteristics and obstetric records were recorded by midwives on the Birthing Outcomes System and all data included in the study was extracted from this database. Maternal nonfasting blood samples were obtained before labor. The exclusion criteria of the current study included the following: unavailable data of detailed delivery records (n = 897), fetal deaths or stillbirths (n = 270), pregnant women giving birth to multiple births (n = 294), induced abortions (n = 147) and unavailable serum TBA data (n = 382). Finally, 11,811 (85.6%) mothers with singleton pregnancy were eligible for this study. The present study obtained ethics approval from the ethics committee of Anhui Medical University (No. 20160010). All participants signed a written informed consent for this study. All methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

Measurement of serum TBA. Serum TBA levels were measured using enzymatic cycling method by an automatic biochemical analyzer (Dirui CS-T300, Ltd, Changchun, China) according to a previous protocol.

Definition of small-for-gestational age. The cutoff value used for defining the small-for-gestational age (SGA) is birth weight of live-born infants below the 10th percentile for gender and gestational age from a reference population for Chinese.

Statistical analysis. SPSS 17.0 was used to analysis the data. The mean differences were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test. Categorical variables were analyzed using χ2 tests. The median differences were analyzed using non-parametric statistics (Mann-Whitney U test). The incidence and odds ratio (OR) of SGA infants were calculated in different groups. Multiple logistic regression models were used to estimate the risks of SGA infants in relation to lowest TBA category by crude and adjusted ORs with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Linear regression was used to explore the association between serum TBA levels and birth sizes. A p-value of <0.05 (two-tailed) or a 95% CI not including 1 and 0 (for relative risk) was considered statistically significant.
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| Parameter | Pregnant women (n) | SGA (n) | Crude OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) a | Adjusted OR (95% CI) b |
|-----------|-------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Serum TBA levels (μmol/L) |                   |         |                  |                         |                         |
| <2.0      | 3196              | 193     | 1.00             | 1.00                    | 1.00                    |
| 2.0–3.9   | 4580              | 278     | 1.01 (0.83, 1.22)| 1.02 (0.85, 1.24)       | 0.99 (0.82, 1.21)       |
| 4.0–5.9   | 2075              | 160     | 1.30 (1.05, 1.62)*| 1.31 (1.06, 1.63)*       | 1.22 (0.97, 1.53)       |
| 6.0–7.9   | 835               | 108     | 2.31 (1.80, 2.97)**| 2.32 (1.81, 2.97)**      | 1.99 (1.53, 2.58)**     |
| 8.0–9.9   | 434               | 83      | 3.68 (2.78, 4.87)**| 3.64 (2.75, 4.82)**      | 2.91 (2.16, 3.93)**     |
| 10.0–39.9 | 563               | 138     | 5.05 (3.97, 6.43)**| 5.10 (4.00, 6.49)**      | 4.29 (3.33, 5.54)**     |
| ≥40.0     | 128               | 50      | 9.98 (6.80, 14.64)**| 9.90 (6.74, 14.55)**     | 9.01 (5.99, 13.53)**     |

Table 4. Crude and adjusted ORs for the associations between serum TBA as a categorical variable and SGA infants. Abbreviation: TBA, total bile acid; SGA, small for gestational age; OR, odds ratio. *Adjustment for gestational hypertension. **Adjustment for maternal age, pre-pregnancy BMI, maternal education, parity, gestational diabetes mellitus, gestational hypertension and preeclampsia. *P < 0.01 as compared with < 2.0 μmol/L group.

| Parameter | Crude models | Adjusted models | Adjusted models |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
|           | OR (95%CI)* | p              | OR (95%CI) | p   | OR (95%CI) | p   |
| TBA category |           |                |              |     |              |     |
| All       | 1.37 (1.31, 1.43) | <0.001 | 1.37 (1.31, 1.43) | <0.001 | 1.36 (1.29, 1.43) | <0.001 |
| <10.0 μmol/L | 3.41 (2.68, 4.34) | <0.001 | 3.36 (2.64, 4.28) | <0.001 | 2.40 (1.82, 3.45) | <0.001 |
| ≥10.0 μmol/L | 1.10 (1.04, 1.16) | 0.001 | 1.10 (1.04, 1.16) | 0.001 | 1.13 (1.06, 1.22) | 0.001 |

Table 5. Association between serum TBA as a continuous variable and the risk of SGA infants. Abbreviation: TBA, total bile acid; SGA, small for gestational age; OR, odds ratio. *ORs were for an increase in serum TBA level of 1 SD. **Adjustment for gestational hypertension. *Adjustment for maternal age, BMI, parity, maternal education, gestational diabetes mellitus, gestational hypertension and preeclampsia.
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