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ABSTRACT

As an intervention for Telemedicine application users, this study aims to determine the impact of telemedicine application product e-service quality and brand trust on customer satisfaction repurchase intent. We surveyed 245 users of Telemedicine applications who performed transactions using Telemedicine applications at least once in the past year. The data analysis method used SPSS version 23, and the SEM used LISREL 8.8 to process and analyze the survey data. Hypothesis test results show that the quality of e-service has a positive and significant impact on repurchase intention, brand trust has a positive and significant impact on repurchase intention, and quality of e-service positively impacts customer satisfaction. It shows that it has a significant impact. Trust has a significant positive impact on customer satisfaction, and customer satisfaction has a significant positive impact on repurchase intention. In addition, the results of this study show a positive and significant indirect relationship between the quality of e-service and brand confidence in repurchase intent mediated by customer satisfaction and repurchase intent mediated by customer satisfaction.
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INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic that hit the country in early 2020 has spread throughout Indonesia, especially the Jakarta metropolitan area and its surroundings. This area covers the administrative areas of JABODETABEK. The province that experienced the most cases of the COVID-19 pandemic was DKI Jakarta, with a total of 864,644 cases (20.6%), then West Java province with several cases, 708,607 (18.30%), which shows that the area is the area with the highest number of COVID-19 cases.

Changes in people's attitudes also affect the use of mobile programs, which is mainly based on a collaborative survey seen between the organization Prudential Asia and The Economist Intelligence Unit, saying that dominant or sixty-seven percent of respondents in Indonesia say mobile health software programs are useful for receiving statistics about health, and 68% of Indonesian respondents said they might use the greater personal digital fitness era over the next 3 years to improve fitness. The study, which was based on 5,000 adults across 13 markets in Asia between August and September 2020, looked through the impact of scientific disasters, where at least 29% of respondents from Indonesia stated that they were prepared for a COVID-19 project, lower than the level of preparedness. People in various Asian countries, according to the news, illustrate that the modern fitness generation plays an important position in the lives of Indonesian citizens.

Some of their motives for staying online include convenience and preventing the spread of COVID-19. This variation is because COVID-19 can be transmitted from people who have only mild symptoms, such as a cough, but feel well. The government forces the Indonesian people to carry out a new normal, one of which is Body Distancing. With this regulation, there is no longer any client buying behavior which also changes from direct or offline purchases to online purchases.

Given the above situation, various countries seek to increase the use of telemedicine services or telemedicine as part of their healthcare service strategy efforts to address the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic. Telemedicine is a means of connecting users and healthcare providers to the efficiency and effectiveness of medical services involving patients and professionals (Fatmawati, 2021, p.20).

Based on reviews on the Google play store, Twitter, and web similar, there has been a decline in applications over the last year and three months, which can indicate consumer reluctance to make repurchase intentions in the future. If consumers are happy, they are more likely to buy the company's product or service again (Kotler & Armstrong, 2018, p.255).

Various previous studies have proven the effect of e-service quality on repurchase intention, such as research by (Anggraeni et al., 2019; Rohwiyati and Praptiestrini, 2019; Yunus et al., 2021), Those who find a positive and significant relationship between quality of e-service and repurchase. The intent also shows that customer satisfaction can convey benefits.

By influencing the intent of the repurchase, the quality of the e-
service proves that the quality of the e-service can increase customer satisfaction, and customer satisfaction creates the intent to repurchase.

Previous studies have proven the effect of brand trust on repurchase intention, such as research by (Cha & Seo, 2019; Goh et al., 2016), who found a positive and essential relationship between brand trust and repurchase intent. Brand consumer trust is critical to the company's Ability to build good relationships with consumers and maintain consumer trust. For consumers to repurchase products from the company, the company must present the product well to build trust in the consumer's brand.

Extensive research on repurchase intention has been carried out, especially in Indonesia, especially in the Greater Jakarta area, as an intervention in telemedicine or health care applications, integrated brand trust in electronic service quality, and customer satisfaction with repurchase intention. However, not much research has been done. Therefore, the researcher attempted to determine the independent and dependent variables and intervene in telemedicine or Halodoc Health's application as a reference to study the problems encountered.

Based on the various problems above, researchers are interested and need to research to see, learn and understand "The impact of E-Service Quality and Brand Trust on Repurchase Intention with Customer Satisfaction as Intervening on Telemedicine Application Users.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Repurchase Intention

According to Kuan et al. in Juwitasary et al.'s research (2020), the intent of repeated purchases is "the ability or desire of consumers to continue to use the same website and make repeated purchases on the same website." Repurchase intention behavior on the same website. According to Hellier et al. in (Unpapar, 2021), repurchase intention refers to an individual's assessment of repurchasing a particular service from the same company. Given the current and possible circumstances, the intent to repurchase can easily be interpreted as the decision by a person to repurchase—a service by the same company.

Customer Satisfaction

According to (Kotler & Armstrong, 2018, p.227), customer satisfaction is "a condition in which consumer expectations can be met by the product." However, according to Zeithmal and Bitner (Achmad, 2020), satisfaction is a much broader concept than just a quality of service assessment. According to Pratiwi (Suneni - et al., 2019), it is argued that to measure the customer satisfaction model can be measured through three main dimensions, namely, consumer desires and expectations of continuing using services, consumer desires to recommend to others, and satisfaction with service quality, which is given. According to (Sari, 2020), Consumer satisfaction influences future repurchase intent behavior. The more satisfied consumers are, the more motivated they will be to make repeated purchases in the future. Based on the
theoretical evidence of existing research, researchers propose the following hypothesis:

H5: Customer Satisfaction has a positive and significant on the Repurchase Intention of Telemedicine application Users.

**E-Service Quality**

According to Chase and Aquilano in the research by (Rohwiyati & Praptiestrini, 2019), e-service quality is "service provided to consumers of internet networks as an extension of the ability of a site to facilitate shopping, purchasing, and distribution activities effectively and efficiently." According to Santos's research (Wiryana & Erdiansyah, 2020), e-service quality is consumers' overall assessment and evaluation of the advantages and services provided in e-commerce. The research of (Jayaputra & Kempa, 2022; Kurniawan & Remiasa, 2022).

This supports that the E-Service Quality variable positively and significantly influences repurchase intention through consumer satisfaction. Therefore, it can be interpreted that customer satisfaction can be an intervening variable for repurchase intention. Based on the theoretical evidence in the existing studies, the researcher proposes the following hypothesis:

H1: E-Service Quality has a positive and significant on the Repurchase Intention of telemedicine application users.

H3: E-Service Quality has a positive and significant on Customer Satisfaction among telemedicine application users.

H6: E-Service Quality has a positive and significant on Repurchase Intention through Customer Satisfaction with telemedicine application user.

**Brand Trust**

According to Chaudhuri and Holbrook in the research by (Goh et al., 2016), brand trust is "the willingness of the average consumer to rely on the ability of the brand to perform its stated function." According to Ferrinadewi (Arista, 2011), three activities can be carried out by companies to measure brand trust to consumers, which can be measured through, Achieving results, Acting with integrity, and Demonstrating concern. Research conducted by (Japariantio & Agatha, 2020; Navarone & Evanita, 2019; Putri et al., 2018) states that the Brand Trust variable has a positive effect on Customer Satisfaction where trust in a brand arises after customers buy and consume and feel satisfied with the brand A product in e-commerce. Research conducted by (Jayaputra & Kempa, 2022; Sumara & Salim, 2020; Utami, 2017), customer satisfaction states that it actively and significantly mediates the impact of brand trust on repurchase intent. Based on the theoretical evidence of existing research, researchers propose the following hypotheses:

H2: Brand Trust has a positive and significant on the Repurchase Intention of telemedicine application Users.

H4: Brand Trust has a positive and significant on Customer Satisfaction among telemedicine application Users.

H7: Brand Trust has a positive and significant on Repurchase Intention through Customer Satisfaction with telemedicine application Users.
RESEARCH METHODS

Research Time and Place
This study uses a place or research location in JABODETABEK with the object of research, namely, users of the telemedicine application. The research location was chosen in Jabodetabek because this area is the domicile of the most significant telemedicine application users in Indonesia. In addition, Jabodetabek is one of the regions with the highest incidence of COVID-19 in Indonesia, so you can switch to telemedicine services to prevent the spread of COVID-19; telemedicine applications are used as data sources. The study was conducted from January 2022-May 2022. In this study, questionnaires will be distributed to a minimum of 200 respondents who meet the research criteria and are filled with 245 samples and through a google form, with details of the distribution of Central Jakarta 20 samples, West Jakarta 22 samples, South Jakarta 30 samples, East Jakarta 46 samples, North Jakarta 55 samples, Bogor 10 samples, Depok 11 samples, Tangerang 19 samples, and finally Bekasi 32 samples.

Population and Sample
The sample is taken based on specific considerations which have used the telemedicine application, namely, telemedicine application users who live in Greater Jakarta, Make transactions at telemedicine application at least once a year, and Respondents who use the telemedicine application with a minimum age of 17 years. According to (Hair et al., 2017), the recommended minimum sample size ranges from 100-300 samples in the use of Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) statistical modeling techniques. If the sample is below 100, the results will be less good when using SEM, according to (Sarwono, 2010) research. Based on the previous statement, the researcher determined that the
sampling in this study was used with a minimum of 200 samples because this number was close to the minimum number of respondents, and the sample in this study used 245 samples to facilitate data analysis using LISREL and avoid data errors.

**Variable Operations and Measurement Scale**

The survey tool used to measure the statements on the questionnaire is the Likert scale. This measure has five answer categories, from "very agree" to "very disagree," and is chosen by respondents to determine the degree of consent or disagreement. Respondents to Object Questions – Stimulation (Sugiyono, 2020).

**Data Analysis Technique**

The survey tool used to measure the statements on the questionnaire is the Likert scale. This measure has five answer categories, from "very agree" to "very disagree," and is chosen by respondents to determine the degree of consent or disagreement—respondents to Object Questions – Stimulation (Sugiyono, 2020).

### Table 1. Variable Operations

| Code | Measurement Indicator |
|------|-----------------------|
| RI   | Repurchase Intention  |
| RI1  | There is an interest in reusing the telemedicine application. |
| RI2  | There is a desire to reuse the telemedicine application. |
| RI3  | Willing to recommend telemedicine application to others. |
| RI4  | Willing to recommend the telemedicine application as a solution, if friends experience health problems. |
| RI5  | Telemedicine application is the first choice in choosing online health services. |
| RI6  | Telemedicine application is the first choice in referring online health services to others. |
| RI7  | There is an interest in seeking information about the telemedicine application. |
| RI8  | The benefits obtained when using the telemedicine application are an added value. |

| CS   | Customer Satisfaction |
|------|-----------------------|
| CS1  | There is a feeling of pleasure using the telemedicine application. |
| CS2  | Willing to provide an assessment if the telemedicine application is not as expected. |
| CS3  | Always recommend to relatives to use the telemedicine application. |
| CS4  | Always share positive experiences with relatives about the telemedicine application. |
| CS5  | The services provided when using the telemedicine application are better than other health (telemedicine) applications. |
| CS6  | All services using the telemedicine application are satisfactory. |

| ESQ  | Electronic Service Quality |
|------|---------------------------|
| ESQ1 | The menu display in the telemedicine application is easy to use. |
ESQ2  Telemedicine application has an easy payment system.
ESQ3  Telemedicine application can function properly without system disturbance.
ESQ4  Doctors on the telemedicine application provide accurate explanations.
ESQ5  Products purchased on the telemedicine application must be in good physical condition when received.
ESQ6  Consultation sessions on the telemedicine application according to the promised time.
ESQ7  The telemedicine application maintains information in the payment transaction process.
ESQ8  In answering questions, doctors on the telemedicine application are very quick to provide solutions.
ESQ9  Telemedicine application handles customer complaints very well.
ESQ10 Telemedicine application in making a refund is very fast.
ESQ11 There is a refund of shipping costs by telemedicine application, if the product received does not match.
ESQ12 Customers can easily contact telemedicine application customer service.
ESQ13 Telemedicine application customer service always responds to customer complaints responsively.

BT  Brand Trust

BT1  The services provided by telemedicine application provide solutions to customer problems.
BT2  Telemedicine application has lived up to expectations.
BT3  Telemedicine application is committed to service quality.
BT4  The services provided by the telemedicine application are in accordance with what is offered.
BT5  Telemedicine application is open to criticism from customers to improve service quality.
BT6  Telemedicine application compensates customers when problems occur in their services.

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
The survey was conducted by distributing surveys via Google Forms, with more than 245 respondents who participated according to the survey's criteria: telemedicine application users and telemedicine applications visited at least once last year. The characteristics of the respondents by gender are that the most significant number of participants was female respondents (172 people (70.20%)), and the rest were male respondents (73 people (29.80%)). Respondents based on this age are between 17-22 years old, with a percentage of 60.00% or 147 respondents. They
are followed by the age range of 23-28 years by 18.78% or 46 respondents. Furthermore, in the age range > 34 years by 12.24% or as many as 30 respondents. Finally, for the age range 29-34 years, 8.98% or as many as 22 respondents. Respondents with the most participation with the criteria for the level of education that have been taken are the majority of undergraduates (S1), as many as 130 people or 53.06% of the total respondents—then followed by high school / vocational high school graduates with as many as 80 people or 33.06%. The rest is filled by Diplomas, which are 20 people or 8.16%, Masters (S2) is 15 people or 6.12%, and Doctoral (S3) is 0 people or 0%. Respondents who participated the most had a total monthly expenditure of Rp. 500,001.00 - Rp. 1,000,000.00, as many as 72 people, or 29.39% of the total respondents. Then, respondents with a total monthly expenditure of <Rp 500,000.00, as many as 50 people or 20.41%.

Reliability Testing. Reliable results give confidence that every individual indicator is consistent with those measurements. The generally accepted confidence level is 0.70. The interpretation of compound reliability (CR) is the same as Cronbach’s alpha. The threshold of 0.7 is acceptable, and the importance of 0.8 is very satisfactory (Haryono, 2016). Based on table 2, it is known that all indicators in the variable used for this study are reliable.

| Variable                  | Cronbach’s Alpha | Description |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Electronic Service Quality| 0.934            | Reliabel    |
| Brand Trust               | 0.854            | Reliabel    |
| Customer Satisfaction     | 0.892            | Reliabel    |
| Repurchase Intention      | 0.891            | Reliabel    |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

Validity Testing with Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA). The KMO value of the electronic service quality variable is 0.949 > 0.05, so the next factor analysis test can be carried out. In Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity, the sig value is 0.000. The deal is less than 0.05, so it can be used to correlate and continue the following process. Based on table 3, the electronic
service quality variable shows that the electronic service quality variable has no dimensions formed. No indicators are omitted, and the values of all items or statements are declared valid because the factor loading factor value of the electronic service quality variable table starts from the lowest 0.683 up to 0.788. The coefficient value has a value > 0.40.

Table 3. Electronic Service Quality Validity Test with Factor Analysis

| Component Matrix a |
|-------------------|
| Item | Statement | Factor Loadings |
|------|-----------|-----------------|
| ESQ1 | The menu display in the telemedicine application is easy to use. | .712 |
| ESQ2 | Telemedicine application application has an easy payment system. | .728 |
| ESQ3 | Telemedicine application application can function properly without system disturbance. | .734 |
| ESQ4 | Doctors on the telemedicine application provide accurate explanations. | .770 |
| ESQ5 | Products purchased on the telemedicine application must be in good physical condition when received. | .754 |
| ESQ6 | Consultation sessions on the telemedicine application according to the promised time. | .788 |
| ESQ7 | The telemedicine application maintains information in the payment transaction process. | .777 |
| ESQ8 | The telemedicine application maintains the security of customer data. | .747 |
| ESQ9 | In answering questions, doctors on the telemedicine application are very quick to provide solutions | .752 |
| ESQ10 | Telemedicine application handles customer complaints very well. | .686 |
| ESQ11 | Telemedicine application in making a refund is very fast. | .683 |
| ESQ12 | There is a refund of shipping costs by telemedicine application, if the product received does not match. | .753 |
The KMO value of the brand trust variable is 0.872 > 0.05, so the next factor analysis test can be carried out. In Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity, the sig value is 0.000. The deal is less than 0.05, so it can be used to correlate and continue the following process. Based on table 4, the brand trust variable shows that the brand trust variable has no dimensions formed, and no indicators are omitted. The values of all items or statements are declared valid because the factor loading value of the brand trust variable table item starts from the lowest 0.693 to 0.794 value. The coefficient has a value > 0.40.

| Item | Statement                                                                 | Factor Loadings |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| BT1  | The services provided by telemedicine provide solutions to customer problems. | .794            |
| BT2  | Telemedicine has lived up to expectations.                                | .754            |
| BT3  | Telemedicine is committed to service quality.                             | .787            |
| BT4  | The services provided by the telemedicine application are in accordance with what is offered. | .763            |
| BT5  | Telemedicine is open to criticism from customers to improve service quality. | .693            |
| BT6  | Telemedicine compensates customers when problems occur in their services. | .771            |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

The KMO value of the customer satisfaction variable is 0.856 > 0.05, so the next factor analysis test can be carried out. In Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity, the sig value is 0.000. The deal is less than 0.05, so it can be used to correlate and continue the following process. Based on table 5, the customer satisfaction variable shows that the customer satisfaction variable has no dimensions formed, and no indicators are omitted. The values of all items or statements are declared valid because the factor loading value of the brand trust variable table item starts from the lowest 0.699 to 0.772 value. The coefficient has a value > 0.40.
Table 5. Customer Satisfaction Validity Test with Factor Analysis

| Item | Statement                                                                 | Factor Loadings |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| CS1  | There is a feeling of happy using the telemedicine application.           | .760            |
| CS2  | Willing to provide an assessment if the telemedicine application is not as expected. | .706            |
| CS3  | Always recommend to relatives to use the telemedicine application.        | .744            |
| CS4  | Always share positive experiences with relatives about the telemedicine application. | .732            |
| CS5  | The services provided when using the telemedicine application are better than other health (telemedicine) applications. | .699            |
| CS6  | All services using the telemedicine application are satisfactory.         | .772            |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

The KMO value of the repurchase intention variable is 0.898 > 0.05, so the next factor analysis test can be carried out. In Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, the sig value is 0.000. The deal is less than 0.05, so it can be used to correlate and continue the following process. Based on table 6, the repurchase intention variable shows that the repurchase intention variable has no dimensions formed, and no indicators are omitted. The value of all items or statements is declared valid because the factor loading value of the brand trust variable table item starts from the lowest 0.701 to 0.791 value. The coefficient has a value > 0.40.

Table 6. Repurchase Intention Validity Test with Factor Analysis

| Items | Statement                                                                 | Factor Loadings |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| RI1   | There is an interest in reusing the telemedicine application.             | .747            |
| RI2   | There is a desire to reuse the telemedicine application.                  | .701            |
| RI3   | Willing to recommend telemedicine application to others.                  | .747            |
Willing to recommend the telemedicine application as a solution, if friends experience health problems.  

Telemedicine is the first choice in choosing online health services.

Telemedicine is the first choice in referring online health services to others.

There is an interest in seeking information about the telemedicine application.

The benefits obtained when using the telemedicine application are an added value.

The KMO value of the repurchase intention variable is 0.898 > 0.05, so the next factor analysis test can be carried out. In Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, the sig value is 0.000. The deal is less than 0.05, so it can be used to correlate and continue the following process. Based on table 6, the repurchase intention variable shows that the repurchase intention variable has no dimensions formed, and no indicators are omitted. The value of all items or statements is declared valid because the factor loading value of the brand trust variable table item starts from the lowest 0.701 to 0.791 value. The coefficient has a value> 0.40.

| Goodness of Fit Indices | Cut off Values | Result | Model Evaluation |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| Significance probability (p) | ≥0.05 | 0.058 | Good |
| GFI | ≥0.90 | 0.91 | Good |
| RMR/RMSR | ≤0.05 | 0.018 | Good |
| RMSEA | 0.05≤RMSEA≤0.08 | 0.072 | Good |
| AGFI | ≥0.90 | 0.87 | Marginal |
| TLI/NNFI | ≥0.90 | 0.98 | Good |
| CFI | ≥0.90 | 0.98 | Good |

The brand trust variable has six measurable indicators with codes BT1 to BT6. The results of the appropriate model show the P-value = 0.230; GFI= 0.97; RMR/RMSR= 0.012; RMSEA= 0.068; AGFI= 0.94; TLI/NNFI= 0.98 and CFI= 0.99. Therefore, it can be concluded that the repurchase intent model is considered appropriate or sufficient to assess the feasibility of the model.
Table 8. Brand Trust Fit Test Results

| Goodness of Fit Indices | Cut off Values | Result | Model Evaluation |
|------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| Significance probability (p) | ≥0,05 | 0,230 | Good |
| GFI | ≥0,90 | 0,97 | Good |
| RMR/RMSR | ≤0,05 | 0,012 | Good |
| RMSEA | 0,05≤RMSEA≤0,08 | 0,068 | Good |
| AGFI | ≥0,90 | 0,94 | Good |
| TLI/NNFI | ≥0,90 | 0,98 | Good |
| CFI | ≥0,90 | 0,99 | Good |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

In the results of the instrument test table 9, the customer satisfaction variable has 6 measurable indicators with codes CS1 to CS6. The results of the appropriate model show the P-value = 0.349; GFI= 0.97; RMR/RMSR= 0.014; RMSEA= 0.076; AGFI= 0.85; TLI/NNFI = 0.97 and CFI = 0.98. Therefore, it can be concluded that the repurchase intent model is considered appropriate or sufficient to assess the feasibility of the model.

Table 9. Customer Satisfaction Fit Test Results

| Goodness of Fit Indices | Cut off Values | Result | Model Evaluation |
|------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| Significance probability (p) | ≥0,05 | 0,349 | Good |
| GFI | ≥0,90 | 0,97 | Good |
| RMR/RMSR | ≤0,05 | 0,014 | Good |
| RMSEA | 0,05≤RMSEA≤0,08 | 0,076 | Good |
| AGFI | ≥0,90 | 0,85 | Marginal |
| TLI/NNFI | ≥0,90 | 0,97 | Good |
| CFI | ≥0,90 | 0,98 | Good |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

In the results of the instrument test table 10, the repurchase intention variable has eight measurable indicators with codes RI1 to RI8. The results of the suitable model show the P-value = 0.415; GFI= 0.91; RMR/RMSR= 0.017; RMSEA= 0.085; AGFI= 0.93; TLI/NNFI = 0.94 and CFI = 0.96. Therefore, it can be concluded that the repurchase intent model is considered appropriate or sufficient to assess the feasibility of the model.
Table 10. Repurchase Intention Fit Test Results

| Goodness of Fit Indices       | Cut off Values | Result | Model Evaluation |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| Significance probability (p)  | ≥0,05          | 0,415  | Good             |
| GFI                           | ≥0,90          | 0,91   | Good             |
| RMR/RMSR                      | ≤0,05          | 0,017  | Good             |
| RMSEA                         | 0,05≤RMSEA≤0,08| 0,085  | Marginal         |
| AGFI                          | ≥0,90          | 0,93   | Good             |
| TLI/NNFI                      | ≥0,90          | 0,94   | Good             |
| CFI                           | ≥0,90          | 0,96   | Good             |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

Table 11 shows the full SEM model consisting of four variables: electronic service quality, brand trust, customer satisfaction, and repurchase intention. The entire model analyzes the relationship between one variable under investigation and another. The value of the entire model must meet the criteria for Goodness Fit of Indices to say that the research results are promising. If the values obtained from data processing do not meet the requirements, you need to create change metrics in LISREL software version 8.8. Based on the data processing by the researchers, the complete SEM model can be considered to meet the criteria for the goodness-of-fit index score and can be considered appropriate.

Table 11. Full SEM Model Test Results

| Goodness of Fit Indices       | Cut off Values | Result | Model Evaluation |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|
| Significance probability (p)  | ≥0,05          | 0,287  | Good             |
| GFI                           | ≥0,90          | 0,94   | Good             |
| RMR/RMSR                      | ≤0,05          | 0,020  | Good             |
| RMSEA                         | 0,05≤RMSEA≤0,08| 0,051  | Good             |
| AGFI                          | ≥0,90          | 0,93   | Good             |
| TLI/NNFI                      | ≥0,90          | 0,98   | Good             |
| CFI                           | ≥0,90          | 0,98   | Good             |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

Table 12 shows the results of the direct and indirect effects of the independent variable on the dependent variable. The indirect impact of the electronic service quality variable on the repeat
purchase intent variable via the customer satisfaction variable is 0.22, and the indirect impact of the brand trust variable on the repeat purchase intent variable via the customer satisfaction variable is 0.16. The existence of an indirect influence value is caused by the role of the customer satisfaction variable as an intervening variable in the relationship between electronic service quality and brand trust in repurchase intentions. Therefore, it can be concluded that the electronic service quality variable has a more direct influence on the repurchase intention variable because the direct influence value is 0.32 > 0.22, where 0.22 is the indirect effect value through customer satisfaction. Then the brand trust variable has more influence on the direct repurchase intention variable because the direct influence value is 0.35 > 0.16, where 0.16 is the indirect influence value through customer satisfaction.

Table 12. Direct and Indirect Effects

| Independent Variable | Dependent variable | Direct Effect | Indirect Effect |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|
| **Electronic Service Quality** → Customer Satisfaction | 0.29 | - |
| **Brand Trust** → Customer Satisfaction | 0.34 | - |
| **Electronic Service Quality** → Repurchase Intention | 0.32 | 0.22 |
| **Brand Trust** → Repurchase Intention | 0.36 | 0.16 |
| **Customer Satisfaction** → Repurchase Intention | 0.40 | - |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

Based on the results of the structural equation model that has been calculated and can be explained in table 13, it is known that seven hypotheses have a relationship between variables using a t-value greater than 1.96, which has a significant influence on variables for this study.

Table 13. Effect of Structural Equation Model

| H | Independent Variable | Dependent variable | Standardized Total Effect | T-Values | Interpretation |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------|
| H1 | **Electronic Service Quality** → Repurchase Intention | 0.32 | 4.43 | Significantly positive effect |
| H2 | **Brand Trust** → Repurchase Intention | 0.35 | 4.50 | Significantly positive effect |
| H3 | **Electronic** → Customer Satisfaction | 0.29 | 3.82 | Significantly positive effect |
| Hypothesis | Variables | Standardized Total Effect | t-values | Significance |
|------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------|-------------|
| H4         | Brand Trust → Customer Satisfaction | 0.34 | 4.31 | Significantly positive effect |
| H5         | Customer Satisfaction → Repurchase Intention | 0.16 | 2.07 | Significantly positive effect |
| H6         | Electronic Service Quality Customer satisfaction → Repurchase Intention | 0.22 | 2.24 | Significantly positive effect |
| H7         | Brand Trust Customer Satisfaction → Repurchase Intention | 0.16 | 2.11 | Significantly positive effect |

Source: Data Processed by Author (2022)

The relationship between electronic service quality (X1) and repurchase Intention (Y) variables has a standardized total effect value of 0.32 and t-values greater than 1.96, which is 4.43. So that the first hypothesis (H1), electronic service quality (X1) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase Intention (Y), is accepted. This is supported by the research by (Anggraeni et al., 2019; Lestari & Ellyawati, 2019; Yunus et al., 2021), conducted by those who stated that electronic service quality (X1) had a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Y).

The relationship between the brand trust variable (X1) and repurchase intention (Y) has a standardized total effect value of 0.35 and t-values greater than 1.96, which is 4.50. So that the second hypothesis (H2), brand trust (X2) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Y), is accepted. This is supported by research conducted by (Goh et al., 2016; Navarone & Evanita, 2019; Subawa, 2020), which states that brand trust (X2) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Y).

The relationship of the electronic service quality (X1) variable to customer satisfaction (Z) has a standardized total effect value of 0.29 and t-values greater than 1.96, which is 3.82. So that the third hypothesis (H3), electronic service quality (X1), which has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction (Z), is accepted. This is supported by research conducted by (Juwitasary et al., 2020; Pradnyadewi & Giantari, 2022; Ulum & Muchtar, 2018), which states that electronic service quality (X1) has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction (Z).

The relationship of the brand trust variable (X2) to customer satisfaction (Z) has a standardized total effect value of 0.34 and t-values greater than 1.96, which is 4.31. So that the fourth hypothesis (H4), brand trust (X1) has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction (Z), is accepted. This is supported by research conducted by (Japarianto & Agatha, 2020; Navarone & Evanita, 2019; Putri et al., 2018), which states that brand trust (X2) has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction (Z).

The relationship between customer satisfaction (Z) variables and repurchase intention (Y) has a standardized total effect value of 0.16 and t-values greater than 1.96, which is 2.07. So that the fifth hypothesis (H5), customer satisfaction (X1) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Y), is accepted. This is
supported by research conducted by (Devi & Sugiharto, 2017; Sari, 2020; Wiradarma & Respati, 2020), which state that customer satisfaction (Y) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Z).

The relationship between the electronic service quality (X1) variable and repurchase intention (Y) through customer satisfaction (Z) has a standardized total effect value of 0.22, and the t-values are smaller than 1.96, which is 2.24. So that the sixth hypothesis (H6), electronic service quality (X1) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Y) through customer satisfaction (Z), is accepted. This follows research conducted by (Jayaputra & Kempa, 2022; Kurniawan & Remiasa, 2022; Rainy, 2019) which state that electronic service quality significantly affects repurchase intention, which is intervened by customer satisfaction.

The relationship of the brand trust variable (X2) to repurchase intention (Y) through customer satisfaction (Z) has a standardized total effect value of 0.16, and the t-values are smaller than 1.96, which is 2.11. So that the seventh hypothesis (H7) of brand trust (X2) has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention (Y) through customer satisfaction (Z) is accepted. This follows research conducted by (Jayaputra & Kempa, 2022; Sumara & Salim, 2020; Utami, 2017), which state that brand trust has a significant positive effect on repurchase intention intervening by customer satisfaction.

Implications to Management. Regarding the electronic service quality variable, there is the highest negative response of 3.27% related to some users who feel that Halodoc customer service does not respond to user complaints responsively, for that Halodoc needs to do training for customer service because it has a big responsibility as a representative of the company as a frontline. The company can inform the time distribution that will be responded to by customer service. Suppose customer service cannot serve complaints 24 hours. In that case, Halodoc announces the right time distribution so that users do not feel neglected by Halodoc customer service. Halodoc can take advantage of other communication platforms such as Telegram, social direct message media, or Chatbot as another communication platform because, until now, Halodoc only uses the Halodoc call center and email to respond to consumer complaints.

Regarding the brand trust variable, there is the highest negative response of 3.27% related to being less open to criticism from customers to improve service quality, such as the previous Halodoc deficiency on electronic service quality that the lack of response from Halodoc customer service, then less open to criticism from customers for improved service quality can reduce the brand trust of Halodoc users, for that Halodoc needs to increase a sense of openness to criticism and suggestions given by users to Halodoc, Halodoc can provide personal attention to its users so that users feel that their complaints and suggestions are heard such as increasing friendliness and providing solutions and feedback to consumers so that the relationship between Halodoc and users is good and can lead to more brand trust in the company.

Academic Implications. It is hoped that further research can add a larger number of samples because, in this study, the number of pieces used was only 245. After all, the other 37 respondents did not meet the criteria set and were certainly not enough to show the actual situation in the Halodoc application.

It is hoped that further research can examine other variables that have not been studied or that are not included in the study but with the same object to explore the possibility of other variables influencing repurchase intention because it needs to be realized that the results of this study are not absolute and lasting,
because the essence of research, will be adaptive to the development of time, space and time.

CONCLUSION

The first hypothesis states that “electronic service quality positively and significantly affects repurchase intention” is accepted. Through the results of this study, telemedicine application needs to build the quality of their electronic services properly to lead to customer intentions from telemedicine application service users. The second hypothesis states that “brand trust has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention” is accepted. Through the results of this study, building and maintaining the trust of a brand is very important because it directly impacts repurchase intention. Products or services provided by telemedicine applications. The third hypothesis states that “electronic service quality has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction” is accepted. Through the results of this study, the electronic influence of service quality that affects user satisfaction can be caused by the user’s experience using telemedicine applications in conducting transactions so far so that users can directly feel satisfied every time they purchase telemedicine applications. The fourth hypothesis states that “brand trust has a positive and significant effect on customer satisfaction,” is accepted. Through the results of this study, a company’s brand trust is formed that will create a sense of comfort in consumers. In this case, it proves that telemedicine application brand trust can increase customer satisfaction. Consumers will tend to feel more comfortable with a trusted brand or brand than choosing products or services from other brands.

The fifth hypothesis states that “customer satisfaction has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention,” is accepted. Through the results of this study, if telemedicine application users have high repurchase intentions on the telemedicine application because users are satisfied with telemedicine application products and services, this is based on a pleasant experience when transacting using the telemedicine application, the more satisfied customers are, the higher the repurchase intention. The sixth hypothesis, which states that “electronic service quality has a positive and significant effect on repurchase intention through customer satisfaction,” is accepted. Through the results of this study, electronic service quality and customer satisfaction positively influence the interest of telemedicine application users to make repeat purchases on the telemedicine application with partial mediation. The user will consider previous purchase experiences when making future purchase decisions. Once consumers are satisfied with their past purchases, they can choose a telemedicine application product or service. The seventh hypothesis shows that “brand trust positively and significantly impacts repurchase intent through customer satisfaction” is accepted with partial mediation. According to the survey results, if a customer has a good experience, they rarely need to consider using the service again. Therefore, a good customer experience shows that the telemedicine application has instilled brand trust in its users. If the telemedicine application provides the same brand of trust that users need, they will be happy and make repeated purchases with the telemedicine application.
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**APPENDIX**

*Respondent Profile*

| Gender   | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Female   | 120       | 70.2    | 70.2               |
| Male     | 80        | 29.8    | 100.0              |
| Total    | 245       | 100.00  |                    |

| Age       | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Female    | 147       | 60.0    | 60.0               |
| Male      | 46        | 18.78   | 78.78              |
|           | 22        | 8.98    | 87.76              |
|           | 30        | 12.24   | 100.0              |
| Total     | 245       | 100.00  |                    |

**Transactions on telemedicine application Health Applications in 1 Year**

| Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| 1-3 x     | 188     | 76.73              |
| 4-6 x     | 49      | 20.00              |
| >6 x      | 8       | 3.27               |
| Total     | 245     | 100.00             |
| Domicile            | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|
| Jakarta Pusat       | 20        | 8.16    | 8.16               |
| Jakarta Barat       | 22        | 8.98    | 17.14              |
| Jakarta Selatan     | 30        | 12.24   | 29.38              |
| Jakarta Timur       | 46        | 18.78   | 48.16              |
| Jakarta Utara       | 55        | 22.45   | 70.61              |
| Bogor               | 10        | 4.08    | 74.69              |
| Depok               | 11        | 4.49    | 79.18              |
| Tanggerang          | 19        | 7.76    | 86.94              |
| Bekasi              | 32        | 13.06   | **100.00**         |
| **Total**           | **245**   | **100.00** |