Grass carp SERPINA1 inhibits GCRV infection through degrading CF2
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SERPINA1, a member of the serine protease inhibitor family, plays a role in viral infection and inflammation by regulating the activities of serine and cysteine proteases. To date, there have been no reports on the immune function of SERPINA1 in fishes. In this study, we first cloned the serpina1 gene of grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idellus) and found that it could respond rapidly to the infection of Grass carp reovirus (GCRV), and overexpression of serpina1 could enhance the antiviral response of CIK cells. A polyclonal antibody of SERPINA1 was prepared, and the protein interacting with SERPINA1 was screened by CoIP/MS in grass carp hepatopancreas tissue. It was found that SERPINA1 interacted with coagulation factor 2 (CF2) and could degrade it in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, overexpression of cf2 contributed to the infection of GCRV in CIK cells, whereas co-expression of serpina1 and cf2 in grass carp reduced the copy number of GCRV in cells. The results showed that grass carp SERPINA1 could inhibit GCRV infection by degrading CF2. This study proposes that SERPINA1 can inhibit viral infection through interaction with the coagulation factor, providing new insights into the molecular mechanism of SERPINA1’s antiviral function.
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Introduction

Grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idellus) is the most abundant fish species in freshwater aquaculture worldwide, with an annual output of 5.704 million tons in 2018, accounting for 10.5% of the world’s major aquaculture species (1). However, grass carp are extremely vulnerable to grass carp reovirus (GCRV), which causes hemorrhagic...
disease, leading to a mortality rate as high as 90% (2, 3). This has caused enormous economic losses to the grass carp farming industry (4).

The serine proteinase inhibitor (SERPIN) gene family is the largest protease inhibitor gene family in organisms, with many members known for their extensive inhibition of serine proteases (5, 6). Members of the SERPIN family exhibit high sequence similarity and functional diversity (7–10). There are 16 evolutionary branches of mammalian SERPINs and one of the largest subfamilies is SERPINA. Previous studies have demonstrated that SERPIN genes are involved in the antiviral immune process. The degree of inflammation increases in mice with serpinb1 or serpinb6 deficiency (11, 12). In addition, serpine1 has antiviral activity against HIV, HCV, and HSV, inducing PTGS2 to inhibit HIV-1 virus copies in PBMC (13). The relationship between SERPIN and viral infection is one of the hottest research directions for antiviral therapy (14).

SERPINA1 of the SERPIN family is commonly known as alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) (15). Previous studies have shown that SERPINA1 has anti-inflammatory activity and can be quickly triggered in response to inflammation in the body (16–19). It can also reduce the production of pro-inflammatory factors such as TNF-α and IL-8 (20, 21). During the process of viral infection, SERPINA1 inhibits HIV-1 replication in U1 monocytes (22). SERPINA1 can suppress the replication of H1N1 virus in primary rhesus monkey kidney cells and significantly reduce the baseline of inflammatory cytokines in mice (23). To date, immune function of the fish serpina1 gene has not been reported.

Our research team is committed to study the disease resistance-related immune mechanisms in grass carp, focusing on the identification of immune response-related genes involved in the process of GCRV infection. In a previous study, several immune response genes involved in GCRV infection were identified in grass carp using transcriptome sequencing, including the serpin genes (24). This study is focused on SERPINA1, which belongs to the SERPINA subfamily. By screening the proteins interacting with SERPINA1 during GCRV infection, we determined whether SERPINA1, along with related proteins, can affect GCRV replication. This study broadens the relationship between the serine protease inhibitor family and GCRV infection in grass carp and analyzes the possible mechanisms through which SERPINA1 suppresses viral infection in vivo.

Materials and methods

Grass carp, cells, virus, and ethics

This study used 6-month-old grass carp (15 ± 3 cm, 40 ± 10 g) provided by the Guanqiao Experimental Base of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Human embryonic kidney (293T) cells (China Center for Type Culture Collection, CCTCC) were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen). CIK cells (CCTCC) were maintained at 28 °C in 5% CO₂ in Medium 199 (M199; Invitrogen). The GCRV was prepared and preserved in our laboratory (25). The feeding, sampling, and virus infection experiments for all experimental fish were approved by the Academic Committee of the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) (Y32A0111).

Cloning, sampling, and qPCR detection of serpina1

The zebrafish serpina1 sequence (NM_001077758.1) was obtained from the NCBI website, aligning the sequence with the grass carp genome (26) to obtain the coding sequence (CDS) of grass carp serpina1 (ON868913). Specific primers were designed for 5' RACE and 3' RACE following the SMARTer RACE 5' / 3' Kit instructions to amplify fragments. The amplified fragments were sequenced and spliced to obtain the full-length cDNA sequence of the grass carp serpina1. All primers designed for gene cloning and sequence verification were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

The brain, kidney, head kidney, spleen, intestine, heart, gill, and hepatopancreas tissues were taken from healthy 6-month-old grass carp (n=6). The remaining fish were infected intraperitoneally (n=100) with GCRV virus solution (3.57×10⁷ TCID₅₀/ml) at a dose of 2% (vol/g) of their body weight. The head kidney, spleen, gills, intestine, and hepatopancreas were sampled after the attack (n=6). The sampling time included six consecutive days after the attack and days 8, 11, and 14. Samples were collected randomly during the incubation period (before day 8) and the onset period (day 8 and onwards) for grass carp with significant symptoms. The samples were ground in TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and stored at -80°C until use.

The tissue samples were extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and cDNA was synthesized using the EasyScript® All-in-One First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix for qPCR (One-Step gDNA Removal) (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). The primers used for qPCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The β-actin was used as an internal control. Relative expression level was calculated using the 2⁻ΔΔCt method (27) and expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Three independent experiments were conducted for statistical analyses. Data were processed using SPSS25 statistical software and assessed for significant differences using the Student’s t-test.

Antibody preparation of SERPINA1

The CDS region of grass carp serpina1 was subcloned into the pEASY-Blunt vector (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) and then
transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) to generate recombinant SERPINA1. The expression was induced at 37 °C and 1 mM IPTG, purified with Ni-NTA affinity medium, and the gradient concentration of imidazole (40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 mM) was used for elution. Japanese white rabbits were immunized according to the routine method of the Animal Experimental Center of the Wuhan Institute of Virology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Before immunization, 2 mL of blood was collected from the ear vein to prepare negative control serum, then the hosts were immunized with 400 μg SERPINA1 protein for the first time, thereafter with 450 μg SERPINA1 protein on day 14 and day 28 after initial immunization. The supernatant of rabbit whole blood was isolated as SERPINA1 polyclonal antibody 14 days after the second booster immunization.

Sample preparation for screening SERPINA1 interacting proteins

SERPINA1 was detected to have a high expression level in grass carp hepatopancreas tissue. As a result, samples of hepatopancreas tissues from grass carp (n=3) before (healthy) and 8 days after the infection (post-infection) were selected. Grass carp were infected by intraperitoneal injection. The total tissue proteins were extracted according to the manufacturer’s instructions for cell lysate (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The types and abundance of proteins bound to SERPINA1 before and after the infection were detected and analyzed using CoIP-MS.

The experimental procedure was as follows: 250 μL SERPINA1 antibody (1:1,000 dilution) was added to the total protein (500 μL) of grass carp hepatopancreas tissue (healthy and post-infection tissue). In the control groups, SERPINA1 antibody was replaced by 250 μL negative control serum (1:10,000 dilution), and both the groups were incubated at 4°C overnight. Thereafter, 50 μL protein A+G agarose (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was added to the experimental and control groups and were kept for incubation at 4°C for 4 h. The supernatant was removed by centrifugation and the precipitate was washed with 1 mL cell lysate. The supernatant was used as the eluted protein sample after instantaneous high-speed centrifugation for mass spectrometry analysis.

Proteins mass spectrometry analysis

The eluted protein samples were precipitated and washed with TCA. 8 M Urea (dissolved in 100 mM Tris-HCl solution, pH 8.0) was added to the protein precipitate, and the supernatant was obtained by centrifugation. DTT was added to a final concentration of 10 mM and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. Thereafter, IAA was added to 40 mM for alkylation. Finally, 100 mM Tris-HCl solution (pH 8.0) was added before using Bradford’s method to quantify the protein concentration, which was processed for sequencing according to the manufacturer’s instructions (28).

Protein peptide sequencing was performed using a TripleTOF 5600+ liquid mass spectrometry system (Applied Biosystems/MDS SCIEX, Foster City, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions (28). For mass spectrometry DDA mode analysis, each scan cycle contained one full MS scan (scan range: 350–1500 m/z, ion accumulation time: 250 ms) and 40 subsequent MS/MS scans (scan range: 100–1500 m/z, ion accumulation time: 50 ms). MS/MS scans were triggered by peptide ions with signals above 120 cps (+2 to +5). The exclusion time for MS/MS repeat acquisition was set at 18 s. The generated mass spectrometry data were retrieved by ProteinPilot (V 4.5) using the Paragon retrieval algorithm (29) and our laboratory’s grass carp proteome reference database. Spectra files were searched against the target database using the following parameters: Sample Type: Identification, Cys Alkylation: Iodoacetamide, Digestion: Trypsin/P, and Search Effort: Rapid ID. Search results were filtered with Unused ≥1.3. Proteins denoted as decay hits or contaminants were removed and the remaining proteins were used for further analysis. Based on the MS/MS counts among different sample groups, significant proteins were obtained.

After removing the proteins from the control samples for each of the healthy and post-infection immunoprecipitation samples, data with a spectral count of 0 were filled with 1 for statistical analysis. For the identification of expression differences, each experimental run was initially considered separately. The ratio of the spectral counts (ratio) and their mean (MeanSP) is the vertical coordinate scatter plot. The t-test was performed on the spectrogram number of each sample protein before and after the infection, and when the protein spectrogram number ratio difference exceeded 1.5-fold (spectrogram number tends to +∞) to 3-fold (spectrogram number tends to 1) with p ≤ 0.05, the protein was labeled as “++/−”; otherwise, it was labeled as differential protein (“+/−”).

Coagulation factor 2 (CF2) cloning and validation of SERPINA1 and CF2 interaction

Mass spectrometry-based sequencing analysis identified CF2 as a candidate protein for SERPINA1 binding. The full-length of the grass carp cf2 gene was obtained from the grass carp genome (26) based on the ID number of the gene (CJ01000026_10831971_10837028). The CDS region of the grass carp cf2 gene (UN868914) was subcloned into pCMV-Myc to construct the eukaryotic expression plasmid, pCMV-Myc-cf2. The CDS region of the grass carp serpina1 gene was subcloned into pCMV-HA to construct the eukaryotic expression plasmid pCMV-HA-serpina1. The cloning primers used are listed in Supplementary Table 1.
Furthermore, 293T cells seeded into 10 cm² dishes overnight were co-transfected with 4 μg of pCMV-HA-serpina1 and pCMV-Myc-cf2 each using Lipofectamine 6000 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China), and the cells were collected after 24 h. Total protein was extracted from the cells using a cell lysate. Thereafter, 500 μL of total protein was added to 250 μL of SERPINA1 antibody and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed by centrifugation, and 1 mL of the cell lysate was washed and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Immunoprecipitants or whole-cell extracts were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA). The membranes were blocked for 1 h at 25 °C in TBST buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20 [pH 7.5]) containing 5% nonfat dry milk, probed with the anti-Myc Ab (Proteintec, Rosemont, USA) or the anti-HA Ab (Proteintec, Rosemont, USA) at an appropriate dilution overnight at 4 °C, washed three times with TBST, and then incubated with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at 1:5000.

In addition, co-transfection experiments with different concentrations of the gradient plasmids were performed. Thereafter, 4 μg pCMV-Myc-cf2 was transfected with 0, 2, 4, and 8 μg of pCMV-HA-serpina1 plasmids, and the total amount of transfected plasmids was increased to 12 μg using empty pCMV-Myc plasmids. After 24 h, total cell protein was extracted, protein A+G agarose was added, CoIP experiments were performed as described above, and protein immunoblotting was performed.

SERPINA1 and CF2 fluorescence confocal analysis

The grass carp serpina1 CDS region was subcloned into pEGFP-N3 to construct the green fluorescent fusion expression plasmid, pEGFP-N3-serpina1. The grass carp cf2 CDS region was cloned into pc2-mCherry to construct the red fluorescent fusion expression plasmid, pc2-mCherry-cf2. The cloning primers used are listed in Supplementary Table 1. CIK cells were plated onto coverslips in six-well plates, transfected with 2 μg of pEGFP-N3-serpina1 or pc2-mCherry-cf2 plasmids, and co-transfected with pEGFP-N3-serpina1 and pc2-mCherry-cf2 (2 μg each) using Lipofectamine 6000; control groups were co-transfected with pEGFP-N3-peli2 (GFP-tagged irrelevant protein) and pc2-mCherry-cf2 (2 μg each). After 24 h of transfection alone, and 24 and 48 h of co-transfection, the cells were washed with PBS, fixed by adding 1 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, and stained with 1 mL Hoechst 33242 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) for 10 min in the dark at room temperature. Finally, the coverslips were washed and observed using a laser confocal microscope (PerkinElmer, Fremont, CA, USA) under a 63× oil-immersion objective.

Effects of serpina1 and cf2 individual overexpression and co-expression on GCRV infection

The CIK cells were seeded in six-well plates, transfected with 2 μg of pCMV-HA-serpina1 or pCMV-Myc-cf2 plasmids, and co-transfected with pCMV-HA-serpina1 and pCMV-Myc-cf2 (2 μg each) using Lipofectamine 6000. The control groups were transfected with equal amounts of empty plasmids pCMV-HA or pCMV-Myc. The GCRV infection assay was performed 24 h later. The infection assay procedure involved the addition of 1 mL of laboratory-prepared GCRV virus solution (3.57×10⁶ TCID₅₀/ml) to the cells, and 1 mL of serum-free M199 was added to the control group. All the culture media were replaced with serum-free M199 medium after 2 h of viral incubation. The cells were collected after 24 h, and 1 mL of TRIzol was added to lyse the cells. The cDNA template was prepared as described in Section 2.2, and the mRNA expression levels of inflammatory factors (tnf-α, il-6, il-8, and il-10), antiviral genes (mx2, pkr, viperin, and ifn1), and GCRV s6 fragments were detected through qPCR.

For co-transfection experiments, CIK cells were seeded in six-well plates and then transfected with 2 μg of pCMV-Myc-cf2 along with 0, 0.5, 1, and 2 μg of pCMV-HA-serpina1, respectively. They were all supplemented to 4 μg using the empty pCMV-Myc plasmid to ensure that the total amount of DNA transfected was uniform in each well. The cell samples were collected 12 and 24 h after GCRV infection. The cDNA templates were prepared as described in Section 2.2, and qPCR was used to detect changes in GCRV s6 expression in the cell samples from different experimental groups.

Results

Characteristics of grass carp serpina1 and changes in response to GCRV infection

The cDNA sequence of the grass carp serpina1 gene consisted of 1,329 bp CDS sequence and 132 bp 5’-end non-coding sequence, and 246 bp 3’-end non-coding sequences. The expression characteristics of the serpina1 gene were analyzed in eight healthy grass carp tissues using qPCR (brain, kidney, head kidney, spleen, intestine, heart, gill, and hepatopancreas) (Figure 1A). As shown in the figure, the gene had the highest expression level in hepatopancreas tissues; lower expression levels in the brain, kidney, and gill; and moderate expression levels in the head kidney, spleen, intestine, and heart. The
highest expression level (hepatopancreas) differs 200,000 times from the lowest expression level (brain).

Furthermore, qPCR analysis of the serpina1 response in the head kidney, kidney, spleen, gill, intestine, and hepatopancreas before and after GCRV infection was performed (Figure 1B). As shown in the figure, the response of serpina1 was obvious in the head kidney, kidney, intestine, and gill, with peak expression on day 2 after infection (506.5-fold, 41.8-fold, 195.2-fold, and 296.2-fold, respectively; p < 0.05), and then gradually decreased and returned to normal levels by day 14. In contrast, the expression of serpina1 in the spleen and hepatopancreas was relatively weak, first slowly increasing and then slowly decreasing, reaching its lowest expression (0.04-fold and 0.05-fold, respectively; p < 0.05) on day 5 after infection and then gradually increasing to normal levels by day 14.

Mass spectrometry analysis identified CF2 as a possible protein interacting with SERPINA1

SERPINA1 antibody was tested by western blot assay with a titer of 1:1,000 dilution (Supplementary Figure 1). After preparation of polyclonal antibodies against grass carp SERPINA1, immunoprecipitation experiments were performed with healthy and GCRV-infected hepatopancreas tissues from grass carp, and the immunoprecipitated proteins were subjected to MS full scan to collect peptide data and search the grass carp proteome database. A total of 614 peptides corresponding to 160 proteins were detected by SERPINA1 antibody and healthy hepatopancreas tissue co-precipitation samples; 226 peptides corresponding to 70 proteins were detected in negative control serum and healthy hepatopancreas tissue co-precipitation samples; 748 peptides corresponding to 150 proteins were detected by SERPINA1 antibody and GCRV-infected hepatopancreas tissue co-precipitation samples; and 863 peptides corresponding to 224 proteins were detected in negative control serum and GCRV-infected hepatopancreas tissue co-precipitation samples. (Figure 2A)

Scatter plots of the differential proteins before and after GCRV infection were plotted after removing the proteins contained in the control samples from each of the healthy and GCRV infection immunoprecipitation samples (Figure 2B). A total of 56 differentially expressed proteins (red and orange dots) were found, among which three proteins were increased (p > 0.05), 13 proteins were significantly increased (including
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**FIGURE 1**
Expression distribution of serpina1 mRNA in various tissues of grass carp before and after infection. (A) Expression distribution of serpina1 mRNA in the brain (B), kidney (K), head kidney (HK), spleen (S), intestine (I), heart (H), gill (G), and hepatopancreas (HE) of healthy grass carp. The expression level of the serpina1 gene in the brain was taken as “1”, and the relative expression levels of other tissues were calculated. The horizontal coordinates indicate the different tissues, and the vertical coordinates indicate the relative expression. (B) Changes in the expression of serpina1 mRNA in the HK, K, S, G, I, and HE of grass carp after GCRV infection. The expression before infection (day 0) was taken as “1”, and the relative expression levels of the serpina1 gene after infection were calculated. The horizontal coordinates indicate different infection times, and the vertical coordinates indicate the relative expression level. Different colors indicate different tissues. “*” indicates p<0.05.
SERPINA1 itself \( (p \leq 0.05) \), 13 proteins were decreased \( (p > 0.05) \), and 27 proteins were significantly decreased \( (p \leq 0.05) \). Twelve proteins were significantly increased in the binding of SERPINA1 antibody to grass carp hepatopancreas tissue before and after the infection (Supplementary Table 2). CF2 is a precursor of thrombin (30). Since GCRV can cause grass carp to present hemorrhagic symptoms, among these highly significant differential proteins, the associated coagulation and anticoagulation factor proteins are of great interest; therefore, a follow-up analysis for CF2 was conducted.

**CoIP demonstrates dose-dependent interaction of SERPINA1 and CF2**

Plasmids expressing SERPINA1 and CF2 were co-transfected into 293T cells, and CoIP was used to verify the interaction between SERPINA1 and CF2. The results showed that protein bands of both proteins were detected in the co-precipitated samples (Figure 3A), regardless of whether the CoIP experiments were performed with the tag antibody of SERPINA1 (HA Abs) or with the tag antibody of CF2 (Myc Abs), indicating that there is indeed an interaction between grass carp SERPINA1 and CF2. In addition, while expressing a fixed amount of CF2 protein in 293T cells (plasmid transfection amount fixed at 4 μg), the expression of SERPINA1 protein gradually increased (plasmid transfection amount 0, 2, 4, and 8 μg). The results showed that the protein expression of SERPINA1 gradually increased, whereas the protein amount of CF2 gradually decreased (Figure 3B), indicating that SERPINA1 can degrade CF2, and that the degree of degradation was dose-dependent.

**Fluorescence confocal confirms degradation of CF2 by grass carp SERPINA1**

CIK cells were transfected with pEGFP-N3-serpina1 and pCS2-mCherry-cf2 alone, and cell fluorescence was observed using fluorescence confocal microscopy. When transfected with pEGFP-N3-serpina1 alone, green fluorescence was observed throughout the cellular region, including the cytoplasm and nucleus. After transfection with pCS2-mCherry-cf2 only, red fluorescence was observed in all cytoplasmic regions, except the nucleus (Figure 4A). pEGFP-N3-serpina1 and pCS2-mCherry-cf2 co-transfection showed colocalization of red and green fluorescence in the cytoplasmic region after 24 h. After 48 h, green fluorescence was observed in the entire cell area, including the cytoplasm and nucleus, and no red fluorescence was observed (Figure 4B). In the control group, the simultaneous presence of red fluorescence and green fluorescence during 24h – 48h could be observed without co-localization (Figure 4C). These results suggest that, when co-expressed, SERPINA1 and CF2 would first interact with each other, and then SERPINA1 would completely degrade CF2.
SERPINA1 and CF2 overexpression affects the cellular inflammatory response and GCRV infection

After overexpression of serpina1 (3380-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) in transfected CIK cells, the expression of four inflammatory factors (\( \text{tnf-}\alpha, \text{il-6}, \) and \( \text{il-8} \)) was significantly decreased compared with that in the control (0.12-fold; 0.07-fold; 0.12-fold, respectively; \( p < 0.05 \)), and there was no significant change in expression of \( \text{il-10} \). In addition, four antiviral protein-related genes (\( \text{mx2}, \text{pkr}, \text{viperin}, \) and \( \text{ifn1} \)) were examined, and their expression was found to be significantly elevated (3.63-fold, 4.20-fold, 1.45-fold, 1.85-fold, respectively; \( p < 0.05 \)). The expression of \( \text{GCRV s6} \) segment was significantly decreased (0.08-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) (Figure 5A). These results suggest that SERPINA1 increases the expression of antiviral genes and inhibits GCRV infection while suppressing the inflammatory response.

After overexpression of cf2 (753.5-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) in transfected CIK cells, the expression of inflammatory factors \( \text{tnf-}\alpha, \text{il-6}, \) and \( \text{il-8} \) was significantly higher (2.65-fold, 13.25-fold, 2.87-fold, respectively; \( p < 0.05 \)), and the expression of \( \text{il-10} \) was significantly lower (0.16-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) than in the control cells. The expression of antiviral protein-related genes \( \text{mx2} \) and \( \text{viperin} \) was significantly decreased (0.62-fold and 0.45-fold, respectively; \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas the expression of \( \text{pkr} \) and \( \text{ifn1} \) was not significantly changed. The expression of \( \text{GCRV s6} \) significantly increased (5.35-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) (Figure 5B). These results suggested that CF2 inhibits the expression of some antiviral genes and enhances GCRV infection in cells.

Serpin1 can affect virus proliferation in the presence of cf2

Serpin1 and cf2 were co-expressed in CIK cells. The transfection amount of pCMV-Myc-cf2 plasmid was unchanged (2 \( \mu \)g), and the transfection amount of pCMV-HA-serpin1 plasmid gradually increased in a gradient (0, 0.5, 1, and 2 \( \mu \)g). The results showed that the expression of \( \text{GCRV s6} \) did not change significantly in the three groups in which the transfection amount of pCMV-HA-serpin1 plasmid increased from 0 \( \mu \)g to 1 \( \mu \)g after 12 h of GCRV infection, as compared with the control group; and decreased significantly in the 2 \( \mu \)g group (0.78-fold, \( p < 0.05 \)) (Figure 6A). Similar results were observed for the samples after 24 h of GCRV infection, with no
FIGURE 4
Subcellular co-localization of SERPINA1 and CF2 in CIK cells. (A) CIK cells expressing CF2 (top) or SERPINA1 (bottom) alone under confocal microscopy observation. The green fluorescent signal indicates SERPINA1 protein, the red fluorescent signal indicates CF2 protein, and the blue fluorescence indicates nucleus. (B) Fluorescence observation of CIK cells after co-expression of SERPINA1 and CF2 for 24 h (top) and 48 h (bottom). (C) Fluorescence observation of CIK cells after co-expression of PELI2 (GFP-tagged irrelevant protein) and CF2 for 24 h (top) and 48 h (bottom). The scale bar is 10 µm.
significant changes in GCRV s6 expression in the three groups (0, 0.5, and 1 μg) and a significant decrease in GCRV s6 expression in the 2 μg group (0.55-fold, $p < 0.05$) (Figure 6B). These results suggest that increasing SERPINA1 expression inhibits GCRV infection, regardless of the presence of CF2.

**Discussion**

SERPINA1 is an acute response-phase protein synthesized mainly in the liver (31, 32). Grass carp serpina1 is mainly expressed in hepatopancreas tissues, followed by immune tissues such as the head kidney and spleen. After GCRV infection, the response of grass carp serpina1 was evident in immune tissues or virus contacting tissues, such as the head kidney, kidney, intestine, and gill, with peak expression on day 2 after infection, consistent with the characteristics of acute response phase proteins (33, 34). It has been hypothesized that SERPINA1 is involved in the early immune response during GCRV infection in grass carp.

This study found that grass carp SERPINA1 interacts with CF2 and can degrade it in a dose-dependent manner, and inhibit GCRV replication. The viral inhibitory function of SERPINA1 has been studied more frequently in the context of HIV (35–37). SERPINA1 directly interacts with the gp41 protein of HIV and thus inhibits its binding to the host CD4 co-receptor; thereby, inhibiting viral infection (38). It also inhibits gp120, thus preventing the maturation of the key HIV morphological viral proteins (36). There is no direct evidence of protein-interacting effects of SERPINA1 on prothrombin (13, 39). There are no reports on the involvement of SERPINA1 in antiviral immune processes in fish. In this study, we found that overexpression of grass carp serpina1 significantly increased the expression levels of antiviral-related genes mx2, pkr, viperin, and ifn1 in CIK cells. This study suggests that fish serine protease inhibitors can also inhibit viral replication because fish SERPINA1 can degrade prothrombin. Whether the interaction between SERPINA1 and CF2 is direct or indirect requires further experimental verification.

When infected with GCRV, cf2 overexpression in CIK cells was found to facilitate viral replication. Activation of thrombin has been found to enhance viral infection in several studies (40), and type I and II herpes simplex viruses use protease-activated receptor 1 (PAR1) to stimulate thrombin production and infect cells (41). During the infection of A549 cells with the respiratory syncytial virus, thrombin enhances virus-cell fusion and facilitates virus entry through the syncytial pathway (42). In addition, thrombin in host cells cleaves the protein encoded by the pORF1 gene of the hepatitis E virus, which is necessary for the replication of the virus (43). During viral infections that cause bleeding symptoms, viruses can activate thrombin.
followed by platelets, causing intravascular coagulation in the organism (44, 45). Most grass carp infected with GCRV showed fatal hemorrhagic symptoms; however, the host coagulation or anticoagulation mechanism caused by GCRV infection is unclear. Combined with the cf2 overexpression results of this study, we hypothesized that the GCRV may induce excessive production of coagulation factors in the body of fish to facilitate its replication, leading to thrombus formation and disruption of blood homeostasis, thus producing hemorrhagic symptoms.

This study also found that overexpression of serpina1 in CIK cells effectively inhibited the expression of the inflammatory cytokines inf-α, il-6, and il-8, whereas the overexpression of cf2 had almost the exact opposite effect. The acute-phase response to viral infection usually manifests as pro-inflammatory changes and is associated with viral clearance (33, 46). As the infection progresses, the virus gradually compromises the circulatory system, triggering symptoms of dysfunction and inflammatory lesions in various organs. Previous studies have shown that during an inflammatory response, SERPINA1 reduces the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines induced by neutrophils and inhibits the inflammatory response of macrophages (47, 48). Furthermore, there is an extensive link between inflammation and coagulation, with organismal inflammation-activating thrombin, which in turn affects inflammatory activity (49–51). Combined with the results of CF2 degradation by SERPINA1 in this study, it is hypothesized that SERPINA1 may inhibit the inflammatory response induced by CF2 after GCRV infection of grass carp on the one hand, and attenuate the effect of GCRV use of CF2 to enhance infection on the other. A balanced relationship needs to be maintained between coagulation reaction and antiviral reaction. Once the balance is broken, it will endanger the life of grass carp. It has been shown that fish can influence viral infection by regulating apoptosis or the interferon pathway (52, 53). This study is the first to report SERPINA1 inhibition of GCRV infection through prothrombin, which provides new evidence for further study of the antiviral function of fish SERPINs.
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