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Abstract

Background: Despite the significant amount of work being carried out to investigate the therapeutic potential of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), the mechanism by which DHA affects amyloid-β precursor protein (AβPP)-induced metabolic changes has not been studied.

Objective: To elucidate the metabolic phenotypes (metabotypes) associated with DHA therapy via metabonomics profiling of an AD cell model using gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC/TOFMS).

Methods: The lysate and supernatant samples of CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP695 cells treated with DHA and vehicle control were collected and prepared for GC/TOFMS metabonomics profiling. The metabolic profiles were analyzed by multivariate data analysis techniques using SIMCA-P+ software.

Results: Both principal component analysis and subsequent partial least squares discriminant analysis revealed distinct metabolites associated with the DHA-treated and control groups. A list of statistically significant marker metabolites that characterized the metabolotypes associated with DHA treatment was further identified. Increased levels of succinic acid, citric acid, malic acid and glycine and decreased levels of zymosterol, cholestadiene and arachidonic acid correlated with DHA treatment effect. DHA levels were also found to be increased upon treatment.

Conclusion: Our study shows that DHA plays a role in mitigating AβPP-induced impairment in energy metabolism and inflammation by acting on tricarboxylic acid cycle, cholesterol biosynthesis pathway and fatty acid metabolism. The perturbations of these metabolic pathways by DHA in CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP695 cells shed further mechanistic insights on its neuroprotective actions.

Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is an emerging public health concern for the global aging population. AD is an irreversible, chronic neurodegenerative disease, characterized predominantly by the presence of neuritic plaques of amyloid beta (Aβ) peptide and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) in the brain. The exact etiology of neurodegeneration is unknown but has been proposed to involve the interplay of mitochondrial dysfunction, inflammation, oxidative stress, excitotoxicity and NFT formation. To date, there is no disease-modifying treatment for AD. Recent evidence has suggested the potential advantages of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) in AD, triggering questions on the contribution of diet in the management of this devastating disorder [1,2]. PUFAs are bioactive molecules with diverse physiological functions ranging from its contribution in cell structure to signal transduction. Amongst the omega-3 (n-3) PUFAs, docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) has been found to be the most abundant in the mammalian brain, constituting about 8% of its dry weight [3]. It plays a key role in memory, neuroprotection and vision and displays inflammation resolving properties [4]. However, DHA levels have been found to be significantly decreased in serum and neuronal membranes of AD patients as compared to healthy controls [5,6], suggesting a possible role of DHA in the intervention of AD. Based on the same hypothesis, lipidomics-based approaches have identified neuroprotectin D1, resolvins and maresin as the potent metabolites of DHA having anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects [4,7]. It has also been demonstrated that DHA and these metabolites are efficacious in reducing Aβ plaque burden and protecting against learning impairment in animal models [8–11]. In view of the potential therapeutic benefits associated with DHA, there is an impetus to elucidate its role in preventing AD.

Impaired mitochondrial functions and hypometabolism of the neuronal cells have been characterized as neuropathological hallmarks of AD. Accumulation and deposition of amyloid precursor protein (AβPP) and its cleaved fragment, Aβ, have been implicated in the inhibition of various mitochondrial enzymatic activities and for perpetuation of oxidative stress-
mediated damages [12–15]. AβPP localizes within the mitochondrial membranes and causes disruption of mitochondrial homeostasis and functioning of the electron transport chain (ETC) [12,16–18]. All these mitochondrial damages ultimately prevent the neuronal cells from functioning normally, leading to neuronal cell death. While the anti-inflammatory role of DHA has been investigated, its effect against AβPP-induced metabolic disturbances has not been studied. The aim of this study was to elucidate the mechanism of action of DHA in mitigating AβPP-induced metabolic perturbations by characterizing and comparing the metabotypes of DHA-treated and untreated wild type and AβPP-associated cells.

The quantitative measurement of metabolites and their perturbations offers insights into disease processes and pharmacological responses to therapeutic interventions. Gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC/TOFMS) techniques have been well established and widely applied for the non-targeted profiling of endogenous metabolites [19]. Coupled to the chemical library databases and chemometric data analysis, GC/TOFMS-based metabonomics facilitates detection of the metabolic fluxes related to pharmacological interventions.

To address the aim of this study, a global metabonomics profiling of the medium and lysate of DHA- and vehicle-treated Chinese hamster ovary wild type (CHO-wt) cells and AβPP695-transfected CHO (CHO-AβPP695) cells was performed using the GC/TOFMS metabonomics. Our findings demonstrate for the first time that DHA plays a role in mitigating AβPP-induced impairment in energy metabolism and inflammation and shed preliminary mechanistic insights on its neuroprotective effects.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM), succinic acid, glycine, citric acid, malic acid, melenamic acid, sialization solution (5% dimethyl dichlorosilane in heptane) and sodium sulfate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and arachidonic acid were obtained from Cayman Chemical Co. (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Zeocin was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was obtained from Thermo Scientific Hyclone (Logan, UT, USA). N-Methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) with 1% trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS) and 2% methoxamine hydrochloride in pyridine (MOX reagent) were purchased from Pierce (Rockford, IL, USA) before being exposed to an X-ray film (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The exposed films were subsequently processed using X-ray developing machine (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). All the experiments were performed at least in triplicates.

Aβ40 ELISA Immunoassay

Cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/2 mL of medium in 6 well plates. 24 h post-seeding the cells were treated with 25 μM DHA or vehicle-control and the conditioned medium was collected from the cells after 24 and 48 h treatment duration. The collected conditioned medium was centrifuged and the cell-free supernatant was used for Aβ40 ELISA immunoassay using BetaMark™ x-40 ELISA Kit (Covance Inc., NJ, USA) as per manufacturer’s instructions.

Sample Preparation and Derivatization

Cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells/2 mL of medium in 6 well plates. 24 h post-seeding, 25 μM DHA was administered to the cells and DMSO (at a final concentration of 0.1% v/v) was used as the vehicle control. The optimal in vitro concentration of DHA was selected based on the lack of observed toxicity and no less than 80% cell survival in both the cell types. Treatment with both DHA and DMSO was terminated after 24 h by harvesting the culture medium and washing the cells twice with ice-cold PBS. The collected culture medium was centrifuged and the cell-free supernatant was stored immediately at −80°C until further analysis. For quenching the metabolism with 1 mL ice-cold methanol, cells were collected and stored at −80°C until further sample preparation. Six independent biological replicates were examined for each treatment group and all the samples were subjected to extraction process to collect cell free supernatants (supporting information – Text S1). The collected supernatants of both the medium and the lysate samples were then concentrated to complete dryness at 50°C under a gentle stream of nitrogen gas using the TurboVap LV (Caliper Life Science, Hopkinton, MA, USA) and transferred to the autosampler vials for GC/TOFMS analysis.
GC/TOFMS Conditions

GC/TOFMS analysis was performed on an Agilent 7890A Gas Chromatography (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled to a PEGASUS 4D Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI, USA). The primary column used was a DB-1 GC column (Agilent Technologies) of internal diameter of 250 μm, length of 23 m and film thickness of 0.25 μm. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The injection volume was 1 μL. A splitless injection was used for the cell media while a split ratio of 1:2 was used for the cell lysate. The optimized GC/MS front inlet and ion source temperatures were 250 and 200°C, respectively. The oven temperature was kept at 70°C for 20.0 min, increased to 250°C at 8°C/min and finally to 300°C at 40°C/min where it remained for 6 min. The transfer line was maintained at 250°C. The MS was operated using an electron impact (EI) ionization source at 70 eV and a detector voltage of 1800 V. The MS data were acquired in scan mode over the range m/z 50–600 at a rate of 15 spectra/s. Peaks with signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio lower than 100 were rejected. Quality control (QC) samples were prepared by randomly pooling 40 μL from each of the six medium samples of the control group Alkane series Cn–C40 and fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) (C12–C28) standards were analyzed with the same GC program for calculation of the retention index of the metabolites. LECO ChromaTOF software (version 4.21, LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI, USA) was used for chromatogram acquisition, peak deconvolution, analyte alignment and preliminary analyte identification by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) library (Wiley registry, NJ, USA), Fiehn library and internal spectral libraries. Based on the library matching, peaks with similarity index of more than 60% were given putative metabolite identities.

Multivariate Data Analysis and Metabolite Identification

The peaks were normalized to the total integral area prior to chemometric and statistical data analysis. All processed data were mean centered and scaled to unit variance during chemometric data analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) and partial least
squares and discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) were employed to process the acquired and normalized data using SIMCA-P+ software (version 11, Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden). PCA score plots were used for observing the clustering trend among the samples as well as to detect and exclude outliers. After exclusion of outliers, medium and lysate samples were further subjected to PLS-DA for identifying models that differentiated groups or classes. The validity of the model was checked by performing 100 permutation tests. The criteria for model validity are as follows. First, all the $Q^2$ values on the permuted data set must be lower than the $Q^2$ value.

---

**Figure 2. Overlay of GC/TOFMS chromatograms.** (A) Representative GC/TOFMS chromatogram of DHA-treated and vehicle-treated CHO-AβPP_{695} cells – lysate (L) and medium (M) samples (B) Representative chromatogram demonstrating discriminatory metabolites between vehicle-treated and DHA-treated CHO-wt cells and CHO-AβPP_{695} cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090123.g002
on the actual data set. Second, the regression line in validation plot (line joining the actual $Q^2$ point to the centroid of the cluster of permuted $Q^2$ values) must demonstrate a negative intercept on the $y$-axis. The validated models were subsequently utilized for identifying unique metabolotypes associated with the DHA- and vehicle-treated groups. Variable importance in the projection (VIP) cutoff value was set as 1.00. Statistical comparison of discriminant metabolite levels between different groups was carried out using independent $t$-test with Welch’s correction; metabolites with a $p$-value of less than 0.05 being considered to be statistically significant. These putative marker metabolites were then cross-referenced against the Golm Metabolite Database (GMD) [21] and the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB) [22]. Identities of these metabolites were further ascertained by comparing their mass spectra and retention indices with that of commercially available reference standards. Lastly, the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) [23] database was used for the interpretation of the metabolic pathways of the identified marker metabolites. Difference in the levels of metabolite between the treatment group was assessed by fold change values, where fold change $= \frac{\text{CHO-AbPP695}(\text{treatment})}{\text{CHO-wt}(\text{treatment})}$ and values $>1$ and $<1$ represented higher and lower metabolite levels observed in that treatment group, respectively.

**Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Enzyme Assay**

Both CHO-wt and CHO-AbPP695 cells were seeded at a density of 1.5 x 10⁶/10 mL in a T75 flask followed by treatment with either 25 μM DHA or DMSO as vehicle control. The cells were harvested 24 h post treatment and lysed in lysis buffer containing 1% Triton with protease inhibitor. Each cell lysate mixture was centrifuged at 13000 g for 10 min at 4°C and protein concentration of the soluble extract was determined by Bradford protein assay. An aliquot of the supernatant was diluted with the lysis buffer to achieve a protein concentration of 400 μg/mL for each sample. The assay was conducted as per the manufacturer’s instructions with the final enzyme activity being assessed by measuring the reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH at 340 nm using Infinite® 200Pro spectrophotometer (Tecan, Crailsheim, Germany). Pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) enzyme concentration (mU/mL) was determined from the regression equation of the generated standard curve.

$$[PDH] = \left( \frac{OD_{\text{sample}} - OD_{\text{blank}}}{\text{slope}} \right) \times \text{dilution factor}$$

![Figure 3. PLS-DA score plot and validation plot for lysate samples.](image-url)

(A) PLS-DA score plot of vehicle-treated CHO-wt and CHO-AbPP695 lysate samples ($R^2X = 0.474$; $R^2Y = 0.985$; $Q^2$ (cum) = 0.808; LV = 2); (B) Validation plot of the PLS-DA model obtained from 100 permutation tests for vehicle-treated lysate samples; (C) PLS-DA score plot of DHA-treated CHO-wt and CHO-AbPP695 lysate samples ($R^2X = 0.645$; $R^2Y = 0.993$; $Q^2$ (cum) = 0.971; LV = 2); (D) Validation plot of the PLS-DA model obtained from 100 permutation tests for DHA-treated lysate samples. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090123.g003
Statistical analysis was done via ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-hoc analysis, with **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Cell Model Validation**

\( \text{A}\beta\text{PP} \) are transmembrane glycoproteins that exist in three major isoforms, 770, 751 and 695, of which the 695 fragment containing 695 amino acids is predominantly expressed in neuronal cells [24]. Various in-vitro AD cell models have been developed overexpressing \( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) fragment for AD studies including CHO-\( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) [25–29]. To validate our cell model, western blot analysis was performed and confirmed the overexpression of \( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) protein in CHO-\( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) cells compared to the parental CHO-wt cells (Figure 1A). On the other hand, ELISA immunoassays showed that \( \text{A}\beta_{40} \) is released significantly only in the conditioned medium of CHO-\( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) cells, even after 48 h (Figure 1B). These results established the validity of our cell model for subsequent metabolomic analysis.

**GC/TOFMS Metabolic Profiling**

Based on the survival curve (supporting information - Figure S1), 25 \( \mu \)M dose of DHA was selected for carrying out further analysis as it resulted in no significant toxicity and no less than 80% cell survival in both the cell types. Representative GC/TOFMS chromatogram of medium and lysate samples of DHA- and vehicle-treated CHO-\( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) cells is shown in Figure 2. A similar representative GC/TOFMS chromatogram of medium and lysate samples of DHA- and vehicle-treated CHO-wt cells is shown in supporting information - Figure S2. Chemometric data analysis revealed a distinct clustering trend between CHO-wt and CHO-\( \text{A}\beta\text{PP}_{695} \) cells treated with DHA and vehicle control in both the lysate and medium samples. For lysate samples, unsupervised PCA scores plots showed differences between the two cell types in vehicle-treated (\( R^2_X = 0.578 \) and \( Q^2 \) (cum) = 0.295) and DHA-treated groups (\( R^2_X = 0.655 \) and \( Q^2 \) (cum) = 0.432). A similar clustering was observed for medium samples in vehicle-treated (\( R^2_X = 0.990 \) and \( Q^2 \) (cum) = 0.114) and DHA-treated groups (\( R^2_X = 0.681 \) and \( Q^2 \) (cum) = 0.459).

Subsequent supervised PLS-DA model uncovered a list of putative marker metabolites that were significantly different (\( p < 0.05 \) for T-test with Welch’s correction) and characterized the metabotypes associated with DHA treatment effect (Figure 3 and 4). PLS-DA model was optimized with 2 latent variables (LV) for lysate samples; vehicle-treated (\( R^2_X = 0.474 \), \( R^2_Y = 0.985 \) and \( Q^2 \) (cum) = 0.808) and DHA-treated groups (\( R^2_X = 0.645 \), \( R^2_Y = 0.993 \) and \( Q^2 \) (cum) = 0.971), whereas model for medium
samples was optimized with 3 LV; vehicle-treated (R²X = 0.679, R²Y = 0.994 and Q² (cum) = 0.929) and DHA-treated groups (R²X = 0.745, R²Y = 0.992 and Q² (cum) = 0.885). All the PLS-DA models were found to be valid with no overfitting of data based on the criteria of the permutation test. A list of all the identified marker metabolites is summarized in supporting information - Table S1. A list of discriminant marker metabolites that have biological relevance in AD and significantly differentiated DHA-treated and vehicle-treated groups in PLS-DA model was found to be valid with no overfitting of data based on the criteria of the permutation test. A list of all the identified marker metabolites is summarized in supporting information - Table S1. A list of discriminant marker metabolites that have biological relevance in AD and significantly differentiated DHA-treated and vehicle-treated groups in PLS-DA model for both CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵ cell types is summarized in Table 1.

From Figure 1 and Table 1, we can see that even before sufficient release of Aβ1–40 in the culture medium (24 h versus 48 h), AβPP-induced metabolic changes in CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵ cells as compared to CHO-wt cells. HMDB and KEGG databases were used to elucidate the pathways that were associated with the identified marker metabolites. With DHA treatment, the levels of citric acid, malic acid and DHA were found to be elevated while that of arachidonic acid, cholesta-3, 5-diene and zymosterol were found to be significantly lowered in the lysate samples (Table 1). These perturbed metabolites were found to correlate with metabolic pathways of the TCA cycle (citric acid and malic acid), cholesterol metabolism (zymosterol and cholesta-3, 5-diene) and fatty acid biosynthesis (DHA and arachidonic acid). Similarly, in medium samples, the levels of glycine, malic acid and succinic acid were found to be elevated in DHA-treated groups. The metabolic networks for these metabolites were correlated with specific metabolic pathways of tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) (succinic acid and malic acid) and amino acid metabolism (glycine). As temporal longitudinal metabolic flux measurements (fluxomics experiments) had not been performed in this study, neither the relationships between the lysate versus medium marker metabolites nor the rates of metabolic reactions in biological systems could be determined. Table 2 summarizes the findings about the marker metabolites, their associated metabolic pathways and their biological relevance in AD. Our findings suggested that DHA

### Table 1. Discriminatory marker metabolites identified from medium and lysate samples of DHA-treated and vehicle-treated CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵ cells.

| Metabolite          | Sample | Chemical class | Kovats RI | Vehicle-treated | DHA-treated |
|---------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|
|                     |        |                |           | CHO-wt          | CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵ | CHO-wt          | CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵ |
|                     |        |                |           | Normalized peak area (x10^-6) | Fold Δψ | Normalized peak area (x10^-6) | Fold Δψ |
| Citric acid         | lysate | TCA            | 1844.5    | 7.5±0.9         | 1.12       | 16.6±1.5         | 19.9    |
| Malic acid          | lysate | TCA            | 1501.6    | 20.5±1.5        | 1.05       | 26.3±1.7         | 1.52    |
| DHA                 | lysate | Fatty acid     | 2552.3    | 3.2±1.4         | 0.79       | 3.5±0.2          | 1.28    |
| Arachidonic acid    | lysate | Fatty acid     | 2352.8    | 3.1±0.3         | 1.17       | 3.2±0.1          | 0.85    |
| Zymosterol          | lysate | Steroid        | 3170.0    | 4.3±0.4         | 1.76       | 4.2±0.3          | 1.59    |
| Cholesta-3,5-diene  | lysate | Steroid        | 2885.6    | 3.0±0.3         | 1.05       | 3.0±0.4          | 0.52    |
| Succinic acid       | medium | TCA            | 1305.9    | 3.2±0.5         | 1.09       | 3.4±0.3          | 1.20    |
| Malic acid          | medium | TCA            | 2815.1    | 1.3±0.1         | 0.92       | 1.4±0.1          | 1.17    |
| Glycine             | medium | Amino acid     | 1316.1    | 80.8±20.9       | 1.30       | 181.4±58.3       | 1.56    |

*Metabolite identification using standard compound.
*Metabolite identification using NIST library search.
*Normalized peak area values expressed as mean ± S.E.M.
*Fold change (Δψ): CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵(treatment)/CHO-wt (treatment).
*p<0.05 and ns not significant when calculated using the independent t-test with Welch’s correction for normalized peak area of CHO-AβPP⁶⁹⁵ cells compared to CHO-wt cells for respective treatment groups.

**Table 2.** Metabolites, their associated metabolic pathways and biological relevance in AD.

| Metabolite         | Metabolic pathway | Biological relevance in AD |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Citric acid        | TCA cycle         | Deregulation of TCA cycle, hypometabolism and increased oxidative damages |
| Succinic acid      | TCA cycle         | Deregulation of TCA cycle, hypometabolism and increased oxidative damages |
| Malic acid         | TCA cycle         | Deregulation of TCA cycle, hypometabolism and increased oxidative damages |
| Glycine            | Amino acid metabolism | Required for synthesis of heme which is essential for functioning of electron transport chain |
| Zymosterol         | Steroid biosynthesis | Increased risk of formation and deposition of amyloid beta plaques from APP |
| Arachidonic acid   | Eicosanoid biosynthesis | Generation of pro-inflammatory and inflammatory mediators in AD |
| DHA                | Fatty acid biosynthesis | Affects neuroprotection, successful aging, memory and inflammation resolving properties |

*Metabolites are grouped together on the basis of their biological relevance. (††) elevated in AD and (†) reduced in AD.
†Related to metabolites using KEGG database.

Abbreviations: DHA – docosahexaenoic acid, TCA – tricarboxylic acid.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090123.t002
Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Enzyme Assay

PDH is a rate limiting enzyme connecting the glycolysis and TCA cycle and is found to be significantly decreased in AD patients. Similarly, as seen in Figure 5, PDH enzyme concentration (mU/mL) was observed to be significantly lower in vehicle treated CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> compared to CHO-wt cells (50.3±5.9 vs. 80.5±7.1, ***p<0.001) in our study. This decrease in PDH enzyme activity in CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> confirmed the involvement of αβPP in perturbing mitochondrial enzymatic activities and provided an additional validation for the use of these cells as surrogate models for the diseased and healthy patients. DHA treatment for 24 h increased the enzyme concentration in both CHO-wt (96.1±2.2) and CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> (72.1±7.1) cells as compared to their respective vehicle controls. This increase in enzyme concentration in DHA-treated CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells was statistically significant as compared to vehicle-treated CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells (72.1±7.1 vs. 50.3±5.9, **p<0.01).

Effect of DHA on αβ<sub>40</sub> Release

Cleavage of αβPP by β- and γ-secretases yields numerous αβ fragments, of which αβ<sub>40</sub> and αβ<sub>42</sub> are the most toxic. Among the two, αβ<sub>40</sub> has been found to be 10-fold higher in abundance than αβ<sub>42</sub> in patients with sporadic AD [30]. As reported by other studies [31–33], DHA treatment has been shown to reduce the release of αβ from its precursor, αβPP. In order to evaluate the biological effects of DHA, we also undertook a similar experiment wherein we evaluated the effect of DHA on the release of αβ<sub>40</sub> from CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells. As seen from Figure 1B, release of αβ<sub>40</sub> was significantly higher at both 24 and 48 h time duration as compared to CHO-wt cells, with the release at 48 h time duration being comparatively higher than that at 24 h. DHA treatment at 25 μM concentration was able to decrease the release of αβ<sub>40</sub> from CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells at both 24 and 48 h treatment durations by approximately 50%. In addition to the metabolomics data, this result further corroborated the effect of DHA in reducing αβPP mediated release of αβ peptides and the ensuing toxic damages to the cells.

Discussion

Mitochondrial dysfunctions and associated energy hypometabolism have been considered as one of the important factors contributing to the etiology of AD. Significant amount of work has been done by various research groups showing the deleterious effects of αβ on the mitochondrial activity. However, it has been suggested recently that αβPP, the parent precursor to αβ, is toxic and affects cellular metabolic activities. Trafficking of αβPP in the mitochondrial matrix has been reported to impair functions of various mitochondrial enzymes and leading to disturbances in energy metabolism [13,34]. Amongst the affected enzymes of TCA cycle, activity of α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, pyruvate dehydrogenase and isocitrate dehydrogenase have been reported to be markedly decreased in autopsied brains of AD patients while the activity of succinate dehydrogenase and malate dehydrogenase have been shown to be markedly increased [35]. These enzyme alterations result in a reduction of succinyl-CoA via its rapid conversion to other metabolites that in turn reduces the production of heme, a porphyrin molecule necessary for maintaining the integrity of the ETC. This net decrease in the production of ATP molecules establishes a state of hypometabolism and oxidative stress in an AD brain.

Based on the results of pre-clinical and clinical studies, the therapeutic effects of DHA and its isolated metabolites in mitigating αβ-induced neurodegeneration, memory impairment and inflammation have been established [10,11,36–39]. DHA has also been shown to reduce the generation of αβ from its precursor αβPP [31] and we have observed similar results in our study. Through this study we have attempted to further characterize the mechanism by which DHA mitigates αβPP-induced metabolic changes by profiling the metabolotypes associated with DHA-treated and untreated wild type and αβPP<sub>695</sub> cell models.

Effect of DHA on αβPP Impaired Energy Metabolism Pathways

GC/TOFMS characterization of CHO-wt and CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> at 24 h yielded distinct metabolotypes associated with the DHA-treated CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells. With DHA treatment, we found elevated levels of citric acid, succinic acid and malic acid in CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells suggesting a possible mitigation of αβPP-perturbed TCA enzyme activities commonly observed in AD patients. Upregulation of the decreased PDH enzyme level in CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells to the basal level of CHO-wt cells further corroborated the DHA-effected changes in the metabolite levels. In addition, level of glycine was also found to be elevated upon DHA treatment. Glycine, an amino acid, is required along with succinyl-CoA for the synthesis of porphyrins and efficient functioning of the ETC. Our findings suggested that DHA possibly mitigates αβPP-suppressed mitochondrial activity and associated hypometabolism of the CHO-αβPP<sub>695</sub> cells, thus enabling them to recover from the metabolic perturbations.

Effect of DHA on Cholesterol Metabolism

There is a growing consensus underlying the mechanistic link between the formation of amyloid plaques and cholesterol metabolism [40]. Even though the effect of cholesterol on production of αβ and metabolism is not yet completely defined, Barrett et al recently reported that APP has a flexible transmembrane domain that can bind cholesterol and form an avid complex leading to enhanced amyloidogenesis [41]. It is also believed that the membrane cholesterol content serves as a pro-amyloidogenic factor, governing the activity of the enzymes playing a role in amyloidogenic processing of αβPP to αβ [42–45]. Apart from...
cholesterol, the oxidized products of cholesterol called oxysterols have also been studied for their possible role in the disease pathogenesis, due to their involvement in cholesterol regulation. In addition, in vivo studies carried out using statins [42] and other cholesterol lowering drugs in AD models have reported the therapeutic effect of lowering cholesterol content in diminishing Aβ deposition and Aβ load of the brain [46,47]. Increased consumption of PUFAs (notably the omega-3 family) has been associated with the reduction of risk in developing AD by lowering cholesterol levels. Levels of zymosterol, a precursor to cholesterol synthesis, and cholesta-3, 5-diene, an oxysterol, were found to be reduced in CHO-AβPP695 cells as compared to CHO-wt with DHA treatment. As acetyl CoA is a common precursor and link between the TCA cycle and the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway, the question whether more acetyl CoA is being diverted towards energy metabolism pathways in the presence of DHA and thus reducing its availability for cholesterol synthesis, still remains to be answered. These results show that DHA plays a role in reducing cholesterol biosynthesis which is a potentially AD-preventive mechanism as demonstrated by cholesterol-lowering statins.

Effect of DHA on Fatty Acid Metabolism

The possible contribution of inflammation in the etiology and pathogenesis of AD has been questioned over for many years now. Several pharmacological approaches have been proposed to control these inflammatory responses in order to prevent destruction of the viable tissues in an AD brain. Arachidonic acid, an omega-6 fatty acid, precursor to various pro-inflammatory and inflammatory molecules, has been postulated to be an important mediator of inflammation related damages in AD [48–50]. Arachidonic acid mediated inflammation has been reported to induce tau protein polymerization [51] and enhance generation of reactive oxygen species. A direct role of arachidonic acid in the pathogenesis of AD was highlighted in a study conducted using positron emission tomography (PET) on brains of live, non-anaesthetized humans [52], where compared to their age matched controls, an elevated arachidonic acid metabolism was reported in AD patients. On the contrary, endogenous level of DHA was found to be significantly reduced in the brains of AD patients. As DHA and its metabolites have been known to exhibit anti-inflammatory activities, this reduction in the levels of DHA in AD patients may lead to further aggravation of the inflammation mediated damages in AD brain.

We found that treatment with DHA resulted in a reduction in the endogenous levels of arachidonic acid in CHO-AβPP695 cells as compared to CHO-wt. On the other hand, DHA levels were found to be increased in CHO-AβPP695 cells post-treatment. This increase in DHA levels, however, can be attributed to the exogenous DHA treatment of the cells. Both omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids share the same biosynthetic pathway and compete for pathway enzymes and incorporation into the cell membranes. Dietary consumption of DHA would result in a displacement of arachidonic acid from the cell membranes and serve as a precursor for the synthesis of anti-inflammatory metabolites, such as resolvins and protectins. Since enzymatic metabolism of arachidonic acid generates inflammatory mediators, decreased levels of arachidonic acid would also imply a decrease in the generation of its downstream metabolites and thus, a reduction in the damages mediated by ensuing inflammation and oxidative stress.

Conclusion

GC/TOFMS-based metabolomic profiling approach served as a sensitive and powerful tool for identification of discriminant metabolites associated with control and DHA-treated CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP695 cells. The metabolites identified were mainly involved in TCA cycle, cholesterol metabolism pathway and arachidonic acid metabolism. Our study provides an understanding of the metabolic changes induced by AβPP trafficking in the mitochondrial matrix and the mechanism by which DHA helps in mitigating these disturbances and restores the metabolic homeostasis of the mitochondria at an early stage. In addition, the preliminary effect of DHA on cholesterol metabolism also fuels the need to dive deeper into the mechanistic effects of DHA on the cholesterol metabolism pathway. Considering DHA is clinically effective in improving cognitive functions at an early stage of AD [36,53] it may become a potential prophylactic agent for delaying the progression of this multi-factorial disease. In the same light, our findings will provide concurrence insights towards conceptualizing effective therapeutic outcomes using DHA for the prophylaxis of this devastating disorder.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Concentration-dependent effect of DHA on CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP695 cell viability over 24 h. The data as derived from three independent MTT experiments repeated in triplicate is presented as mean ± S.E.M relative to DMSO (vehicle control).

Figure S2 Overlay of representative GC/TOFMS chromatogram. Representative GC/TOFMS chromatogram of DHA-treated and vehicle-treated CHO-wt cells – lysate (L) and medium (M) samples.

Table S1 Marker metabolites identified from medium and lysate samples of DHA-treated and vehicle-treated CHO-wt and CHO-AβPP695 cells.
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