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Abstract

Background: Patterns of biological diversity and richness can vary along the elevational gradients among mountain systems making it difficult to conclude the general pattern. The drivers of such pattern are also poorly known in the southern flank of the Himalaya due to limited studies. Therefore, we assessed the species richness, seasonal patterns and drivers of avian diversity along an elevational gradient on Mardi Himal trekking trail, a newly open tourist route in Annapurna Conservation Area of the central Himalaya.

Methods: Two surveys (winter and summer seasons of 2019) were conducted from the bank of Seti-Gandaki River confluence (1030 m above sea level, asl) up to the Low Camp (3050 m asl) of the Mardi Himal. The point count method was employed in every 100 m rise in the elevation. Diversity indices were calculated and bird abundance data on species, sites, seasons and environmental variables were analyzed. Generalized linear model, polynomial regression and ordinary least square regression were performed to examine the importance of environmental factors in shaping the avian richness pattern.

Results: A total of 673 individuals of birds belonging to 112 species, of which 72 in winter and 80 in summer, were recorded. We observed a hump-shaped pattern of the overall species richness along the elevational gradient. The richness pattern remained consistent even when explored by season, for winter and summer separately. Diversity indices were found higher during the summer. Elevation and mean monthly temperature in both seasons showed non-linear relation with avian species richness. Precipitation exhibited positive association in summer whereas the same in winter was negatively correlated with avian species richness. Distance to the nearest water source and the nearest human settlement were negatively correlated with the richness of birds. Small-ranged and insectivorous birds were under the strong influence of gradients on climatic variables like temperature and precipitation.

Conclusions: We conclude that the combined effects of multiple factors such as area, gradients of climate (i.e. temperature and precipitation), resource availability and disturbance play an important role in bird diversity and richness pattern along an elevational gradient of a montane environment in Mardi Himal.
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Background

Besides the latitudinal gradient in biodiversity, there are an increasing number of studies on altitudinal gradients for understanding the spatial variation in biological assortment (He et al. 2019). Birds have been studied extensively to test the diversity patterns at the global (Hawkins and Porter 2001; McCain 2009; Olson et al.
Diversity is correlated with different environmental variables, such as dietary preference, habitat specializations that vary across elevation gradients force the avian biotic (e.g. food resources, species interactions) and abiotic (e.g. temperatures, precipitation) changes in ecoregions (Gavashelishvili and McGrady 2006). Seasonal factors affecting the avian diversity and dynamics in several areas.

Several factors like land area, geographic constraints, climate, food availability, productivity, evolutionary history, habitat structure and human-induced disturbances play important role in elevational diversity patterns (Colwell et al. 2004; Koh et al. 2006; McCain 2004, 2009; Sanders and Rahbek 2012; Price et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2017b). The larger area harbors more species because of higher habitat heterogeneity and lower extinction rates (Hawkins and Porter 2001). The mid-domain effect, predicts that species richness should tend to peak towards the center of a shared bounded domain due to geometric constraints (Colwell and Lees 2000). Several environmental factors also play a vital role in generating patterns of species richness, among which, the climate influences the diversity in both direct and indirect ways. Climatic factors act as species filter by limiting species distribution on the basis of their physiological tolerances. On the other hand, species distribution can be indirectly influenced by gradients of some climate factors (such as precipitation, temperature), which affect the photosynthetic activity and rates of biological processes in environment (Patterson et al. 1998; Hurlbert and Haskell 2002; Wu et al. 2013). Additionally, the complexity of energy and material transfer (i.e. productivity) can be reflected by species richness patterns (Hawkins et al. 2005, 2007; Koh et al. 2006).

Seasonality has been explained as one of the major factors affecting the avian diversity and dynamics in several ecoregions (Gavashelishvili and McGrady 2006). Seasonal changes in abiotic (e.g. temperatures, precipitation) and biotic (e.g. food resources, species interactions) conditions that vary across elevation gradients force the avian species to ascend or descend avoiding the harsh environmental conditions (Amani et al. 2018). Birds migrate to relocate themselves to areas with a surplus of resources to maximize breeding success and to minimize the risk of high physiological demands and low resource availability (Beuel et al. 2016; Thakuri and Pokhrel 2017; Huang et al. 2019). Extreme shifts in temperature drive the long-distance or trans-continental migration in birds, whereas, fluctuation in the precipitation often causes altitudinal migration within a region (He et al. 2019). Some birds, especially the insectivores, are the habitat specialists and are least dispersive or residential (Zhang et al. 2009; Hu et al. 2018; He et al. 2019). Therefore, considering the nutritional guild is important while exploring the drivers of seasonal diversity pattern in avian communities.

The Himalayan region, also known as the third pole of the earth, could be an ideal place to investigate the altitudinal pattern of biodiversity as it provides a huge elevational gradient within a short horizontal distance. Placed on the transition between the Oriental and Palearctic zoogeographic realms, the Himalayas are a global biodiversity hotspot (Mittermeier 2004). Additionally, the central Himalayan region has a strong seasonality with hot, wet summer and cold, dry winter. Such contrasting seasonality results in a seasonal variation on habitat structure and resource availability due to which the Himalayan faunal assemblage is diverse utilizing different habitats and resources in different seasons (Sarkar et al. 2018). This region is under the immense threat of climate change-induced habitat destruction and biodiversity loss as the rate of warming in the Himalayas is greater than the global average (Shrestha et al. 2012). Therefore, the Himalaya provides a good context not only to study the impacts of elevational gradient on biodiversity, but also to explore the responses of biodiversity to the short-term seasonal and long-term climatic variations.

Studies on altitudinal gradients of biological diversity in different segments of the Himalaya have reported varied patterns in different taxonomic groups. Floral species almost consistently depict a hump-shaped pattern of richness along the elevational gradient of the Himalaya. For example, trees in the eastern (Acharya et al. 2011a; Rana et al. 2019), central (Vetaas and Grytnes 2002; Bhattarai and Vetaas 2006) and western (Oommen and Shanker 2005) Himalaya; orchids in the eastern and central Himalaya (Acharya et al. 2011c); and, ferns (Bhattarai et al. 2004), medicinal plants (Rokaya et al. 2012) and bryophytes (Grau et al. 2007) in the central Himalaya show a unimodal elevational distribution. However, faunal assemblage along the elevational gradient is not consistent. Invertebrates like ants and butterflies (Bharti et al. 2013; Acharya and Vijayan 2015) show mid-domain effects, whereas, poikilothermic vertebrates such as...
amphibians and reptiles exhibit monotonic decline in richness towards higher elevation (Chettri et al. 2010; Khatiwada et al. 2019). Birds are more complex in elevational richness pattern; they display mid domain effects in some mountains of the Himalaya (Acharya et al. 2011b; Paudel and Šipoš 2014) whereas monotonic decline has been observed in the others (Basnet et al. 2016). Bird diversity gradients in mountains primarily reflect local climatic gradients (Ruggiero and Hawkins 2008); therefore, studies at different mountain segments are important to explore the diversity pattern.

The Annapurna Conservation Area (ACA), one of the largest protected areas of Nepal in the central Himalayan region, is bounded to the north by the dry alpine desert of Dolpo and Tibet, and the Kaligandaki Valley in the south consists of amazing topography like some of the world’s highest mountains and the deepest river valley (i.e. Kaligandaki George) in the world (Van Driem 2014). The ACA is recognized as one of the Important Birds and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) and lies on the global hotspot of bird diversity (BCN 2011). The ACA provides a set of unique habitat and elevational gradients that favor great diversity of flora and fauna and is a treasure house of 1226 species of flowering plants, 105 mammals, 518 birds, 40 reptiles and 23 amphibians (NTNC-ACAP 2020). Previous studies on bird diversity of the ACA have recorded 518 species of birds representing 14 orders, 52 families (Inskipp and Inskipp 2003). Despite having large avian species richness, very few studies have been carried out in the ACA, and majority are focused either on single species (Acharya et al. 2009; Xie et al. 2015; Bansal et al. 2017; Hu et al. 2017a; McCarthy et al. 2018) or in preparation of the checklist only (Grimmett et al. 2016; Baral 2018).

Mardi Himal trekking route is a newly established walking trail in the south-western part of the ACA. To date, no study has been done along this trekking route to determine the biodiversity and their drivers. Being part of the IBAs with an extreme elevational gradient in a short horizontal distance and lying in the north–south migration route of many avian species, this mountain range could be one of the important areas for biodiversity conservation and ecotourism. Additionally, construction of hydropower projects, access roads and trekking trails have started influencing the pristine habitats of the mountain; therefore, a baseline study exploring the present state of biological diversity is deemed must. Therefore, we aimed to (i) explore the avian diversity along an elevational gradient of the Mardi Himal trekking route, (ii) understand the seasonal variation in the elevational pattern of birds in the study area, and (iii) investigate the potential environmental correlates of elevational and seasonal avian diversity patterns.

Methods

Study area

Mardi Himal is the southernmost summit (5578 m above sea level, asl) of Annapurna mountain range in the central Himalaya (Fig. 1). Mardi Himal trekking route is a newly opened foot trail which offers visitors with imaging view of Annapurna, Dhaulagiri, Macchapuchre and Manaslu mountain ranges. The route is characterized by the sub-tropical vegetation in the low to subalpine grasslands in the high hills.

The lower areas are on the bank of Seti Gandaki River and Idi River (a tributary of Seti Gandaki). These areas are characterized by the presence of marshy grassland and agricultural field. Major tourist destinations along the trail are Lwang, Lumre, Kuibang, Kalimati, Forest camp, Rest camp and Low camp. In Lumre (1193 m asl), an upper sub-tropical bioclimatic zone is found which is characterized by presence of vegetation like Schima wallichii, Castanopsis indica, Alnus nepalensis, Holarrhena antidysenterica, etc. Alongside of Mardi trekking route, in Kalimati and Ghalel (1551 to 1862 m asl), vegetations found are Santalum spp. (dominant), Alnus nepalensis, Juglans regia, Prunus sp., Ficus auriculata, Prunus spp. etc. On the way to the Forest camp (2449 m asl), there is a dense forest of Osmanthus spp., Rhododendron arboreum, Juniperus squamata, and Quercus semecarpifolia. After forest camp (2600 m asl), the trail leaves for Low Camp again in the jungle, but the trees soon start to get smaller. On the way to the Low camp (3050 m asl), there is the presence of vegetation like Osmanthus spp., Juniperus squamata, Rhododendron arboreum, Quercus semecarpifolia, etc.

Research plots

The lowest elevational limit (1030 m asl) of the survey was set by the confluence site of Seti Gandaki River and Mardi River (i.e. Seti Dovan) (Fig. 2a) and the upper elevational limit (3050 m asl) was set at the Low-Camp of Mardi Himal (Fig. 2b). Two field surveys of birds were conducted in winter (January–February) and summer (May–June) seasons of 2019. The point count sites were set up with about every 100 m rise in elevation, which was recorded by Garmin Etrex 10 GPS. Distance from the nearest settlement referred to estimated numerical description of how far the nearest settlement is from the point count site (Additional file 1: Table S1). Distance from the nearest water source referred to numerical description of how far the water bodies like river, ponds, and streams were from the point count site. In this study, the distance to the nearest water source was measured with references to the Mardi River, Modi River and Pau Khola.
Bird survey
Point count method (Bibby et al. 2001) was used for counting the number of bird species. Birds observed and heard within 50 m radius were recorded from a fixed point in a center (Petit et al. 1995; Ralph et al. 1995; Bötsch et al. 2018). A digital range finder was used to estimate the 50 m radius. The period of observation for a point varied, based on the habitat where the point lies—about 20 min in the dense forest to detect rare and inconspicuous species (Aleixo and Galetti 1997; Dos Anjos and Boçon 1999; Krauczuk and Baldo 2004) and 10 min in an open area like agricultural fields. The birds were observed using Bushnell Falcon 10 × 50 wide angle binoculars and photographs were taken using Nikon P900 camera. For the identification of birds, field book Birds of Nepal (Grimmett et al. 2016) was used. Birds were observed from 30 min after dawn to 11:30 AM, and again from 3:00 PM till 30 min before sunset as practiced by He et al. (2019).

Environmental variables
Due to the unavailability of climate data from uniformly distributed weather stations in the central Himalaya, the climate data of resolution 1 km × 1 km on mean monthly precipitation and mean monthly temperature for the coordinates of bird-count points were extracted from the WorldClim database (https://www.worldclim.org/bioclim). The WorldClim generates environmental data through interpolation of average monthly climate data from nearby weather stations (Hijmans et al. 2005) and such data have been shown to be correlated with the measured temperature data (Dunn et al. 2007b; Machac et al. 2011). Considering the coarse resolution of climatic variables from the WorldClim, effects of possible spatial autocorrelation were tested using Global Moran’s I in ArcGIS 10.4. The results showed significant spatial autocorrelation (i.e. Moran’s Index = 0.592, z-score = 5.438 and P-value = 0.00), therefore, a weight matrix was obtained by using queen contiguity criteria in GeoDa.
and three statistical models, i.e., ordinary least square (OLS) regression model, Spatial Error Model and Spatial Lag Model, were tested to the data. Both the Lagrange Multiplier (lag) ($P=0.933$) and Lagrange Multiplier (error) ($P=0.927$) results were not significant; therefore, the OLS regression was performed to examine the potential of individual factors to explain elevational patterns of species richness as suggested by Anselin (2005). Polynomial regression (richness as a function of elevation, elevation$^2$ and elevation$^3$) was performed to assess the elevational distribution patterns of species richness for both the seasons guided by the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) value, with smaller AICc values indicating a better fit. Before performing the multiple regression, normality and homoscedasticity for each variable were tested. Then, the selected factors were tested with multiple regressions to compare their influence on species richness patterns. The best model was selected from all possible combinations, guided by the lowest AICc.

The effect of area on avian species richness was tested with the planimetric area for each 100 m elevational bands in the Mardi Himal calculated from the 30-m resolution Shuttle Radar Topography Mission-Digital Elevation Model (STRM-DEM) data using ArcGIS 10.4. To account the effects of variation in productivity on the elevational richness pattern of birds, we used the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) data. The NDVI of 30 m resolution and less than 10% cloud cover for the winter season of 2019 was retrieved from Landsat-8 OLI/ TIRS image downloaded from the US Geological Survey (https://espa.cr.usgs.gov).

**Data analysis**

Observed birds were classified into four feeding guilds—carnivorous, frugivorous, omnivorous and insectivorous, based on the diet descriptions available in Grimmett et al. (2016). Further, the birds of Mardi Himal trekking routes were categorized as residential, winter visitors and summer visitors (Additional file 2: Table S2) based on Grimmett et al. (2016).

The collected data from the field survey were at first entered in excel datasheet and then Shannon–Wiener diversity indices were calculated using “Vegan” package (Oksanen et al. 2013) in R software (R Core Team 2017). In order to assess the effect of elevational range size of the species on richness patterns, bird species were divided into two categories: “large-ranged species”, with an elevational range equal to or above the median size (170.5 m); and “small-ranged species”, with elevational

---

**Fig. 2** Photo plates showing a the start point of the bird survey, the confluence of Seti River and Mardi River (1030 m asl), b the snow-covered upper elevational limit for the study (3050 m asl) near the Low-Camp, c an adult Egyptian Vulture (Neophron percnopterus), one of the globally endangered birds recorded at 1108 m asl, and, d a juvenile Himalayan Vulture (Gyps himalayensis) observed at 2263 m asl along the Mardi Himal trekking trail.
ranges below the median size following the method of Wu et al. (2013) (Additional file 3: Table S4). We used the generalized linear model (GLM; function ‘glm’ in R) with normal distribution and an identity link function in R software. The relationships between the avian richness and environmental variables were established by polynomial regression and ordinary least square (OLS) regression performed in the PAST v.3.14 (Hammer et al. 2001). Multiple regression and best model selection were performed using “MuMIn” package (Barton 2009) in R software (R Core Team 2017).

Results

Avian diversity in the Mardi Himal

A total of 673 individuals of birds belonging to 112 species from 13 orders and 35 families were recorded from 21 point-count sites during two surveys of summer and winter seasons (Additional file 2: Table S2). Globally endangered species like Egyptian Vulture (Neophron percnopterus) (Fig. 2c), near threatened species like River Lapwing (Vanellus duvaucelii), Himalayan Vulture (Gyps himalayensis) (Fig. 2d) and the endemic bird of Nepal—Spiny Babbler (Turdoides nipalensis), were recorded. The number of avian species observed at a single point count ranged from five and 42. Resident species (n = 80) had greater species richness than migratory birds (n = 32). Among the feeding guilds, the highest number of insectivorous species (58) was recorded followed by frugivorous (26), omnivorous (14) and carnivorous (14) birds. The highest species richness (20 species) and Shannon–Wiener diversity index (H = 2.661) was recorded in point count site 9 (p9) at an elevation of 1862 m asl. The least value of diversity index (H = 1.379) was observed at point count site 20 (p20, 2945 m asl) (Additional file 3: Table S3).

Seasonal variation in avian diversity

Bird species richness varied with seasons. Of the total 112 species observed, 40 species were found only on the summer season, 32 species were found only on the winter season and 40 species were common to both the seasons. However, higher abundance of birds was found in winter (n = 340) than in summer (n = 333). Species like Himalayan Vulture (Gyps himalayensis) (15), Dark-breasted Rosefinch (Carpodacus nipalensis) (14), Grey Bushchat (Saxicola ferreus) (13), Oriental Turtle Dove (Streptopelia orientalis) (11) and Black Drongo (Dicrurus macrocercus) (10) were abundantly found in summer season, whereas, House Sparrow (Passer domesticus) (15), Black-throated Tit (Aegithalos concinnus) (13), Speckled Wood Pigeon (Columba hodgsonii) (12), Barn Swallow (Hirundo rustica) (11) and Rufous Sibia (Malacias capistratus) (10) were abundant in winter. Most abundant species in both seasons were Red-vented Bulbul (Pycnonotus cafer) (52) and Himalayan Bulbul (Pycnonotus leucogenys) (50). Among the resident birds (n = 80), 55% had the range size below the median value and 45% had the larger range size. Most abundant birds from the summer season had wider elevational range than that of the winter season (Fig. 3; Additional file 3: Table S4). With respect to feeding guilds, insectivorous and frugivorous species were more abundant in summer than that of the winter. But, in winter season, richness of carnivorous and omnivorous species was higher compared to that of the summer (Fig. 4).

Environmental factors affecting avian diversity

Among the environmental variables tested, the generalized linear model (GLM) showed their differential relations with avian species richness for two seasons. For the summer season, avian richness differed significantly with increasing area, distance to the nearest settlement, precipitation (mean) and temperature (mean). However, in the winter, there was a significant difference in species richness of birds only with increasing area, precipitation (mean) and temperature (mean) (Table 1). The NDVI for the winter season did not seem to have significant effects in shaping overall avian species richness.

Area, precipitation (both seasons) and mean temperature of the summer showed significant role in shaping the richness of small-ranged resident bird species (n = 44), whereas area, distance to the nearest water source, mean precipitation of the summer and NDVI showed significant role for large-ranged resident species (n = 36) (Table 1). Among the feeding guilds, area, mean precipitation and mean temperature of the summer showed significant role to the insectivores. The mean precipitation of the winter showed significant role for frugivores, whereas mean temperature of the winter appeared to have significant role in shaping carnivore richness. However, none of these environmental factors seemed to have significant role in shaping the richness of omnivorous bird species (Additional file 3: Table S5). The polynomial regressions of species richness patterns along the elevational gradients demonstrated that total species, species richness of the summer and species richness of the winter better fit a cubic function across elevations (Table 2; Fig. 5).

Other factors like precipitation (mean), distance to the nearest water source and distance to the nearest settlement showed linear relationship with bird richness, so, we performed ordinary least square (OLS) regression analysis at 95% confidence interval (bootstrapped at N = 1999). The precipitation (mean) appeared to play significant role in shaping the bird richness and
is positively correlated during summer and negatively correlated during winter (Fig. 6). Similarly, distance to the nearest water source had significantly negative association during summer season. Distance to the nearest settlement didn't show any significant role in determining avian richness. The best fit models varied between the season and among the different groups of birds (Table 3). Precipitation (summer or winter) in combination with area or distance to the nearest source of water revealed as the best model for overall seasonal richness, range-based groups and frugivores. Whereas, temperature in combination with precipitation and area best predicted the richness pattern of carnivores, insectivores and omnivores.
This study explored the avian diversity along the elevational gradient of Mardi Himal trekking route in the south-western region of the Annapurna Conservation Area in central Nepal. From the point-count survey of two seasons (summer and winter), we recorded a total of 112 species of birds belonging to 13 orders and 35 families. We observed that insectivorous form is the most species-rich feeding guild in the Mardi Himal, which is consistent to many other studies in birds (Herzog et al. 2005; De Bonilla et al. 2012; Katuwal et al. 2016). About 29% (32/112) of the bird species observed in Mardi Himal are migratory birds which is almost similar to the observation of Neupane et al. (2020) along the Kali Gandaki River basin of the ACA but lesser proportion than that of the overall contribution of migrants (38%, 336/884) (Grimmett et al. 2016) in the avian diversity of Nepal. A lesser proportion of the migratory birds in the avian community of the Mardi Himal might be because most of the migrant birds to Nepal are wetland species visiting the lowland Terai. Additionally, some geophysical attributes of the Mardi Himal such as high precipitation and evapotranspiration, dense coverage of vegetation in

Table 1 Generalized linear model (GLM) with normal distribution and identity link function test showing the effects of different environmental factors on avian richness pattern in Mardi Himal trekking route

| Season | Environmental factor       | Slope (a) | Intercept (b) | P value |
|--------|----------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| Summer | Area                       | 0.125     | 8.348         | 0.001   |
|        | Distance to the nearest water source | −0.004 | 22.564 | 0.015 |
|        | Distance to the nearest settlement | −0.007 | 18.8 | 0.147 |
|        | Mean precipitation          | 0.041     | 0.094         | <0.001  |
|        | Mean temperature            | 1.734     | −18.478       | 0.015   |
| Winter | Area                       | 0.132     | 8.229         | 0.003   |
|        | Distance to the nearest water source | −0.003 | 21 | 0.109 |
|        | Distance to the nearest settlement | −0.003 | 17.417 | 0.57 |
|        | Mean precipitation          | −1.676    | 41.096        | <0.001  |
|        | Mean temperature            | 1.487     | 2.175         | 0.018   |
|        | NDVI                       | −7.727    | 21.162        | 0.057   |
| Small-ranged species | Area | 0.086 | 2.63 | 0.003 |
|        | Distance to the nearest water source | −0.002 | 12.09 | 0.024 |
|        | Distance to the nearest settlement | −0.004 | 9.394 | 0.274 |
|        | Mean precipitation (summer) | 0.052 | 1.164 | 0.366 |
|        | Mean precipitation (winter) | −0.772 | 16.15 | <0.001 |
|        | Mean temperature (summer)  | 0.579     | −8.33         | 0.037   |
|        | Mean temperature (winter)  | 0.64      | −1.45         | 0.051   |
|        | NDVI (winter)              | −3.28     | 6.78          | 0.65    |
| Large-ranged species | Area | 0.138 | 8.62 | 0.005 |
|        | Distance to the nearest water source | −0.003 | 22.53 | 0.093 |
|        | Distance to the nearest settlement | −0.004 | 18.53 | 0.511 |
|        | Mean precipitation (summer) | 0.253 | −0.95 | 0.004 |
|        | Mean precipitation (winter) | −0.95 | 22.44 | <0.001 |
|        | Mean temperature (summer)  | 0.92      | −9.67         | 0.073   |
|        | Mean temperature (winter)  | 0.706     | 1.58          | 0.039   |
|        | NDVI (winter)              | −4.51     | 11.14         | 0.55    |

Values marked in italics are statistically significant at P<0.05

Table 2 Polynomial regression of species richness patterns along the elevational gradient

| Regressions | Total species richness | Species richness (summer) | Species richness (winter) |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| First order $r^2$ | 0.253* | 0.264* | 0.2006* |
| AIC          | 4614 | 1200 | 1414.1 |
| Second order $r^2$ | 0.472* | 0.416* | 0.452* |
| AIC          | 3263.4 | 956.5 | 972.14 |
| Third order $r^2$ | 0.5608* | 0.448* | 0.621* |
| AIC          | 2722 | 906.7 | 717.3 |

Italic letters indicate the best model based on the lowest corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) values

*Significant at P<0.05

Discussion

Avian diversity in Mardi Himal

This study explored the avian diversity along the elevational gradient of Mardi Himal trekking route in the...
the protected forest and availability of food resources for all types of feeding guilds might support year-round suitable habitats to the avian community.

The elevational pattern of avian assemblage

Avian fauna showed a clear variation in species richness along the elevational gradient having a peak at mid-elevation and decreasing onward with increasing elevation. These results are in line with previous studies for birds in the Himalaya (McCain 2009; Acharya et al. 2011b; Paudel and Šipoš 2014; Katuwal et al. 2016; Hu et al. 2018) exhibiting a hump-shaped pattern. The avian richness in Mardi Himal peaked at around 1900 m asl, much similar to the study in the eastern Himalaya (2000 m) (Acharya et al. 2011b) but lower than that of other mountains in the central Himalaya (2600–3000 m asl) (Katuwal et al. 2016), generalized analysis to the entire Nepal Himalaya (2800 m asl) (Paudel and Šipoš 2014) and Gyirong Valley in China (2400–3000 m asl) (Hu et al. 2018). Richness pattern similar to that of the wet eastern Himalaya might be associated to the high annual precipitation received at the mid-elevations of Mardi Himal lying on the windward side of the Annapurna Himalayan range proximal to the Pokhara valley, the highest precipitating area of Nepal. Results from our study reveal that precipitation and temperature have significant roles in shaping the avian richness pattern. Such local environmental drivers shaping the elevational richness pattern have been described from the Himalaya and nearby mountains in other taxa, including small mammals (Chen et al. 2017, 2020), frogs (Fu et al. 2006) and plant species (Rahbek 2005; Bhattarai and Vetaas 2006; Acharya et al. 2011a). Another reason behind the hump-shaped pattern of avian richness in Mardi Himal might be the higher range overlap among the resident species at about 1500–2000 m asl, because this phenomenon, especially with large-ranged species has been described as the major driver of the mid-domain effect or a hump-shaped richness pattern (Dunn et al. 2007a).

In addition to the factors favoring higher richness at the mid-elevation, there are multiple factors which limit avian richness in the lower and upper extremes of the elevation gradient in the Mardi Himal. Human disturbance can cause a decrease in species richness by habitat
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**Fig. 5** Relationship between bird richness and environmental factors (elevation and mean temperature) in a summer season and b winter season in Mardi Himal trekking route, ACA
loss, land-use change, species invasions, etc. (Murphy and Romanuk 2014). The scale of effects of disturbance on diversity differs among taxa in relation to their mobility and nutritional guild specificity (Hamer and Hill 2000; Zhang et al. 2013). The lesser avian diversity in lower elevations of Mardi Himal might be due to the human disturbances like vehicles, construction works, etc. from the ongoing hydropower projects because such anthropogenic activities have been reported to cause negative impacts on the avian species richness elsewhere too (Reijnen et al. 1995; Halfwerk et al. 2011). Studies from around the globe have found that habitat specialist bird species are most susceptible to forest disturbances (Stouffer and Bierregaard 1995; Sekercioglu 2012; Arcilla et al. 2015; Pavlacky et al. 2015; Asefa et al. 2017). The Mardi Himal trekking route has a higher proportion of residential insectivorous birds, and such habitat specialists and the least dispersive insectivores (Zhang et al. 2009) might have been under the influence of human-induced disturbances and habitat alteration at the low elevation areas. The lowest species richness of birds above 2500 m asl might be attributed to lesser area,

Fig. 6 Relationship between bird richness and different factors (mean precipitation, distance to the nearest water source and distance to the nearest settlement) in a summer season and b winter season in Mardi Himal trekking route, ACA
minimum precipitation, harsh climatic conditions, lesser productivity and resource limitations are the major drivers of lower species richness at the peaks of mountain ranges (Acharya et al. 2011b; Hu et al. 2018). BCN (2011) described lesser species richness in meadows of the central Himalaya that is associated with overgrazing and less availability of food.

**Seasonal variation in avian assemblage**

We observed insignificantly higher avian richness and diversity in the summer ($n=80, H_s=3.95$) than in the winter season ($n=72, H_w=3.76$), whereas bird abundance was higher in the winter than in the summer. Contribution of the seasonal migrants to higher richness and abundance of birds in a particular season has been observed in multiple studies at varied geographical conditions (Werema and Howell 2015; Gomes et al. 2017; Tzortzakaki et al. 2017; Muhammad et al. 2018), however, such effects are not prominent when a majority of the avifauna is dominated by common resident birds (Nam et al. 2019). Small seasonal variation on the abundance of birds in the study area might be accounted for the larger proportion (71.4%, 80/112) of the residential species. Despite having almost similar species richness in two seasons, higher heterogeneity might have resulted in the higher values of diversity indices for the summer season. The amount of energy available in a system, often measured as the primary productivity, which is generally lowered during the cold and dry winter season is thought to be one of the major determinants of variation in species diversity (Bailey et al. 2004). However, we observed inconspicuous variation in the elevational and seasonal distribution of NDVI, a proxy of the productivity, except at the upper extremes of the study gradient. Lowered bird richness in the winter season in the montane environment is due to unfavorable climatic conditions, shortage of food and higher predation risk (Maphisa et al. 2016).

The range analysis in this study also revealed that bird species move downslope or away from the snowy mountain during the winter and thus richness and abundance of the avian fauna lowers at higher elevations.

**Environmental correlates of avian assemblage**

Temperature and precipitation exhibited a strong association to the avian richness in the Mardi Himal. Their effects were stronger in shaping species richness pattern of small-ranged birds than that of the large-ranged species. This result is consistent with the original proposition of Ruggiero and Hawkins (2008) that the contemporary climate has strong relationships with species richness patterns of small-ranged, montane birds. Climatic variables such as mean daily temperature and precipitation are widely supported environmental predictors of biodiversity patterns (Shoo et al. 2005; Peters et al. 2016) as they set limits on species distributions depending upon their physiological tolerances (He et al. 2019). Besides physiological tolerances, gradients of precipitation and temperature indirectly influence species distribution pattern by affecting photosynthetic activity and rates of biological processes in environment (Patterson et al. 1998; Hurlbert and Haskell 2002; Wu et al. 2013). However, such effects of climatic variables are not equal among different groups. Among the feeding guilds, insectivore assemblage showed a strong association with the climatic factors than other groups. Insectivorous birds are the habitat specialists and are least dispersive or residential (He et al. 2019), hence are more specialized to the microhabitats.

Mardi Himal provides a range of habitat types, which in turn provide different kinds of food for various birds facilitating their heterogeneous assemblage. We observed a negative correlation of species richness with distance to the nearest water source which was consistent with findings of Shwartz et al. (2008). Li et al. (2013) concluded

Table 3 Results of model selection (best model) for avian richness along elevational gradients in Mardi Himal Trekking route

| Species group (summer) | Best model | $R^2$ | Adjusted $R^2$ | AICc | $P$ value |
|------------------------|------------|------|---------------|------|-----------|
| Overall species (summer) | DW + P(S) | 0.44 | 0.38 | 146.6 | 0.005 |
| Overall species (winter) | A + P(W) | 0.63 | 0.59 | 139.68 | <0.001 |
| Large-ranged species | DW + P(W) | 0.54 | 0.49 | 150.71 | <0.001 |
| Small-ranged species | DW + P(S) | 0.42 | 0.36 | 133.82 | 0.006 |
| Frugivorous | A + P(W) | 0.39 | 0.32 | 154.32 | 0.011 |
| Carnivorous | P(W) + T(W) | 0.44 | 0.38 | 80.34 | 0.004 |
| Insectivorous | A + T(W) | 0.28 | 0.2 | 137.79 | 0.049 |
| Omnivorous | DW + P(W) + T(W) | 0.32 | 0.21 | 121.46 | 0.072 |

$A$ land area of 100 m elevational band, $DW$ distance to the nearest water source, $P(S)$ mean precipitation of summer season, $P(W)$ mean precipitation of winter season, $T(W)$ mean temperature of winter season.
that avian species richness is hump-shaped function of energy availability, but a linear function of water availability and further emphasized that water availability has strong effects on plant richness and weaker effects on vertebrate richness. Likewise, Currie (1991) observed that the richness of vertebrates (birds, mammals, amphibians and reptiles) is more influenced by energy while the same in the tree species is more influenced by water availability. Influence of water on plants presumably affects vertebrate species richness staunchly since plants are the chief source of food and habitat and fulfill their dietary requirements and niche (Kissling et al. 2007). The NDVI, used as the proxy of productivity measurement did not show a significant association to the overall bird species richness but was significant for the large-ranged bird species. Similar findings were reported for small mammals in Yulong mountain, China (Chen et al. 2020). However, we could not test the effects of NDVI in avian richness for the summer/rainy season due to the unavailability of high-quality satellite images with lesser cloud coverage. We acknowledge that first-hand climatic data using climate data loggers and vegetation survey would aid to make a clear understanding of avian species richness along the elevational gradient of the Mardi Himal.

Conclusions
This study from the newly opened trekking route in Mardi Himal of central Nepal observed high diversity of the avian fauna. The assemblage of avian species in the Mardi Himal displays a hump-shaped pattern along the elevational gradient. Climatic factors like temperature and precipitation have a strong influence in avian richness and diversity pattern. We conclude that the bird diversity and richness in a montane environment with strong seasonality is determined by the combined effects of different environmental factors such as elevation, gradients of climate (i.e. temperature and precipitation), resource availability and disturbance.
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