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ABSTRACT
Stress is a physical, mental and emotional response to a challenging event and is a normal part of everyone's life. It has been linked to suppression of the immune system and could increase the risk of getting ill or alter the course of existing illness. Studies show that stress is associated with increased HBA1c, especially in females. Therefore the purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of stress with abnormal glucose value among the women community. A cross-sectional study was conducted among 168 residents of Mukim Sg. Pelek, Sepang, Selangor. Participants were selected through a simple random sampling and were asked to answer questionnaires provided. The Depression, Stress and Anxiety (DASS-21) scale was used to determine the prevalence of stress and random blood sugar (RBS) for measurement of the glucose level. Data was analyzed using Statistical Package Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 and Chi-square analyses for determining the association between variables. The study found that 58.3% of the stressed women in the community have abnormal blood glucose level, which indicated that the risk of getting diabetes is slightly high. This finding has important implication for designing strategic plans for intervention program on awareness towards coping with stress positively.

1. INTRODUCTION
Stress is a physical, mental and emotional response to a challenging event and often referred to as the fight-or-flight response, which occurs automatically when feel threatened. Stressors can be external (from the environment, psychological, or social situations) or internal (illness, or from a medical procedure) [1]. The prevalence of stress among community in Hulu Langat, Selangor was 33.6% [2] and a study by APA in United States showed 22% of population with stress [3]. In 2014, females in India from rural and backward settings showed a 15% higher level of stress compared to males at the same place [4]. According to the American Psychological Association, 23% of females compared to 15% males reported being stressed and this impacted their lives [5]. A research done in Malaysia stated that women are more stressed compared to men in university settings [6].

Stress, whether positive or negative, is a normal part of everyone's life. Negative stress, however, diminishes the quality of life [7]. Stress can affect our quality of life through different aspects such as social relationship and health [8]. Moreover, stressful life events are associated with a significantly increased risk for mortality, mediated by smoking, cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes [9].

The occurrence of diabetes around the world was estimated to be 2.8% in the year 2000 and is to increase to 4.4% by the year 2030 [10]. In some Asian country, for instance, Japan, 55,826 subjects (24,826 men and 31,000 women) Japanese aged 40-69 years were followed for 10 years and a self-administered
questionnaire on medical conditions including diabetes and other lifestyle factors was completed at baseline and 5 and 10 years later. The result shows the risk of diabetes increased with an increasing stress level, for both gender, where the multivariate adjusted odds ratios for high stress compared with low stress were 1.36 (95% CI 1.13-1.63) among men and 1.22 (95% CI 0.98-1.51) among women [11]. Diabetes has become one of the major causes for kidney failure, new cases of blindness, cardiovascular disease and stroke [12]. There were 3.2 million diabetic patients in Malaysia in the year 2014 [13]. In Selangor, there is a significant correlation between an increased HbA1c and stress, whereby 89.5% of diabetic respondents agreed that diabetes caused stressed or vice versa [14].

A study done in Pondicherry, India shows that stress was associated with Diabetes Mellitus (OR, 8) [15]. When stress is experienced in the postprandial period, acute psychological stress significantly increases glucose concentrations in patients with Type 2 diabetes, where during the stress-test day, when the Trier Social Stress Test was applied 75 min after the intake of a standard meal, the glucose concentrations were significantly higher compared with the control day (mean difference 1.5mmol/l, 95% CI 0.5–2.4, P=0.003). Whereas, in the fasting state, glucose concentrations slightly decreased during the control day but remained stable on the stress-test day (mean difference compared with the control day 0.7 mmol/l, 95% CI −0.7 to 2.0, \(P = 0.31\)) [16].

In 2001, Young and Mustard in their study, shows the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes in the adult population of Manitoba was 2.2% and undiagnosed cases accounted for about one-third of all diabetes cases. Individuals with undiagnosed diabetes had an unfavourable lipid profile, higher blood pressure and obesity indices and more likely to be admitted to hospital at least once (odds ratio 1.23, 95% CI 0.40–3.79), compared with normoglycemic individuals [17].

A study in Canada also report pre diabetes or undiagnosed diabetes, is neither a benign nor a quiescent state, but represents a serious clinical and public health concern. [18]. Treating hyperglycemia to prevent complications would be preferable to and more effective than treating these complications after they arise. However, prevalence of ‘pre diabetes’ and ‘undiagnosed’ diabetes depends on the underlying (true) diabetes prevalence, screening coverage, the definition of diabetes, and if based on self-report of diagnosis, the degree of recall bias [19]. Pre diabetes was defined as having abnormal glucose levels that were not sufficient to meet the criteria for diabetes diagnosis, whereas undiagnosed diabetes was defined as not having self-reported type 2 diabetes, but having blood glucose measures that met current diagnostic guidelines for type 2 diabetes [18].

The pre diabetes or undiagnosed cases represent the unseen but clinically important burden of diabetes, with significant concurrent metabolic derangements and a long-term impact on health care use. Therefore, early detection and management of pre diabetes or undiagnosed diabetes are important, in order to minimize the risk of complications and associated health care costs. Thus, this study was designed to determine the prevalence of stress with abnormal glucose value among the women community of Mukim Sg Pelek, Sepang, Selangor.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

A cross-sectional study was conducted in a housing area in Mukim Sungai Pelek, Sepang, Selangor, Malaysia. The area has been chosen as the community to be studied was multi-racial residents and is accessible. Stratified random sampling was used to classify the houses into two groups, single-storey and double-storey. Systematic random sampling was then used to pick the houses in each stratum accordingly and simple random sampling using drawing lots to choose the respondents in the house. Only Malaysians aged 18 until 75 years old and had been the residents for at least three months were used as samples. Residents with mental and hearing impairment were excluded in this survey. Data were collected through:

i. Assisted questionnaire interview which includes DASS 21, to assess the stress level of the community [20].

**Stress Categories**: Normal : < 8, Stress : ≥ 8

ii. Random blood sugar was taken to assess the abnormal glucose level. Measurement adapted from the Clinical Practice Guidelines Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Ministry of Health Malaysia, 4th Edition [21].

**RBS level**: Normal: < 7.8 mmol/L, Risk: 7.8–11.0 mmol/L, Diabetic: ≥11.1 mmol/L

In the symptomatic individual, one abnormal glucose value is diagnostic. In the asymptomatic individual, two abnormal glucose values are required. Data was analyzed using Statistical Package Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Chi-square test analyses the association between stress status (independent variable) and pre diabetes mellitus (dependent variable).
3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A total of 168 participants participated in this study, giving an overall response rate of 97.7%. Out of 168 respondents, 109 of them were women, with the percentage of 64.9%. Among the women respondents, 22% were having stress (Table 1).

| Stress status | No. | %   |
|---------------|-----|-----|
| Normal        | 87  | 78.0|
| Stress        | 24  | 22.0|
| Total         | 109 | 100 |

Table 2 shows that the prevalence of stress among women was the highest (37.5%) within the age 18-29 while the age 60 and above has the lowest prevalence (8.3%). The Indian women show the highest prevalence of stress which was 62.5% followed by Malay and Chinese with the percentage of 29.2% and 8.3% respectively. Almost 71% of married women and 45.8% of housewives were stressed in this study.

| Socio-demographic | Stress Status Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Age group         | 18-29                   | 9          | 37.5       |
|                   | 30-39                   | 5          | 20.8       |
|                   | 40-49                   | 3          | 12.6       |
|                   | 50-59                   | 5          | 20.8       |
|                   | ≥60                     | 2          | 8.3        |
| Ethnic            | Malay                   | 7          | 29.2       |
|                   | Chinese                 | 2          | 8.3        |
|                   | Indian                  | 15         | 62.5       |
| Marital           | Single                  | 4          | 16.7       |
|                   | Married                 | 17         | 70.8       |
|                   | Divorce                 | 1          | 4.2        |
|                   | Widowed                 | 2          | 8.3        |
| Occupation        | Government              | 7          | 8.3        |
|                   | Private                 | 5          | 20.8       |
|                   | Student                 | 3          | 12.6       |
|                   | Housewives              | 11         | 45.8       |
|                   | Pensioner               | 2          | 8.3        |
|                   | Others                  | 1          | 4.2        |
|                   | Total                   | 24         | 100        |

Family problem was among the highest (29.6%) cause of stress to women respondents. Other causes were work (20.4%), financial (16.7%) and health problem (16.7%) (Table 3).

| Causes of stress | No. | %   |
|------------------|-----|-----|
| Education        | 3   | 5.5 |
| Family           | 16  | 29.6|
| Relationship     | 6   | 11.1|
| Financial        | 9   | 16.7|
| Work             | 11  | 20.4|
| Health           | 9   | 16.7|
| Total            | 54  | 100 |

Among women who were stressed, 58.3% of them have abnormal glucose level at pre diabetes status. While among those who were at pre diabetes status, only 29.8% were stressed, however it was not statistically significant (p>0.05). Therefore there was no association between stress and pre diabetes among women in Mukim Sg. Pelek, Sepang (Table 4).
Table 4. Association between stress and pre diabetes status among stressed women

| Stress status | Yes n (%) | No n (%) | P value |
|---------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Yes           | 14 (29.8%)| 10 (16.1%)|         |
| No            | 33 (70.2%)| 43 (83.9%)| 0.088   |
| Total         | 47 (100)  | 62 (100) |          |

$\chi^2 = 3.518$

Table 5 shows that women who were stressed coped their stress by keeping calm with the percentage of 15.8% and followed by talking to someone, relaxing and thinking, with the percentage of 12.6% respectively. Only 4.2% of the respondents coped their stress with massage, 5.3% went for recreation and 6.3% expressed in words.

Table 5. Stress coping skills among stressed women

| Stress coping skill  | No. | %   |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Keep calm           | 15  | 15.8|
| Exercise            | 11  | 11.7|
| Worship             | 10  | 10.5|
| Relax               | 12  | 12.6|
| Breathing technique | 8   | 8.4 |
| Express in words    | 6   | 6.3 |
| Talk to someone     | 12  | 12.6|
| Massage             | 4   | 4.2 |
| Recreation          | 5   | 5.3 |
| Thinking            | 12  | 12.6|
| Total               | 95  | 100 |

4. DISCUSSION

Gender difference has an effect on prevalence of stress, where in recent studies done in Malaysia shows the prevalence of stress was higher among females (30.8%) as compared to males (27.6%) [22]. However, the prevalence of stressed women in our study was 22.0%, which also lower than prevalence of stressed among American women (32) [23] and India (87%) [24]. The prevalence of stress among women in this study could be correlated with the highest prevalence of stress among housewife and these could be due to housework factors as shown in Europe, where women tended to perceive higher degrees of housework-related stress with the percentage 15.3% for low stress, 29.1% for moderate stress and 33% for high stress [25]. The traditional roles of women as homemaker are still continuing and in demand in our society which subsequently lead to the major stress among women [26]. The high prevalence in married women also could be due to parental factor as reported by where a study in Sweden women reported higher levels of parental stress (60%) compared to men [27]. In America married women reported higher levels of stress than single women, with one-third (33%) reporting that they have experienced a great deal of stress in the past month (8, 9 or 10 on a 10-point scale) compared with one in five (22%) of single women. Similarly, more married women reported that their stress have increased over the past five years (56% vs. 41% of single women). Single women are also more likely than married women to say they feel they are doing enough to manage their stress (63% vs. 51%) [28].

Stress and anxiety are the offshoots of inadequate interaction with the environment and family environment is the chief cause [29]. This may be due to daily conflicts that arise between family members which can affect their mental health. In family setting, women have to consider household responsibilities, marital adjustment, child caring issues and family relationships [30]. The high percentage among married women may also due to problems with the partner as shown in study in South Chennai, India, where 9.5% of stress women have family history of couple misunderstanding and 3.0% were ill-treated by their family members [31]. Whereas a study in Selangor shows the highest stressful life event is relationship with family (34.1%) [32]. Although our study showed lower prevalence than Siti Fatimah, but relationship was among the five causes of stress among our respondents with percentage of 11%.

In Malaysia, the influx of women into the workforce has been one of the dominant global social trends during the past thirty [33]. Studies show that work related factors are the common causes of daily stress in Malaysia with prevalence of 31.3% [34] and 34% in Australia [35]. In our study, 20.4% of the female were having stress due to work, where 8.3% were working in government sector and 20.8% in the
private sector. This could be due to bad psychological atmosphere at work, where 3.5% women are stressed due to bad psychological atmosphere at work [31].

There are several reviews of the literature that suggest psychological stress can adversely affect glycemic control among individuals with diabetes [36] with two mechanisms by which stress can affect metabolic control. First, stress may indirectly affect metabolic control by disrupting regimen adherence. That is, stress may detract from self-care behavior which then leads to poor metabolic [37]. Second, researchers have suggested stress may directly influence blood glucose levels via physiological mechanisms [38]. R. Chang in his cross-sectional analyses [39] did not show links of total stress to blood glucose but did show that blood glucose was related to one specific domain of stress, which is personal stress. However, Joseph reports excessive stress is a major barrier to effective glucose [40]. A study by Pouwer, Kupper & Adriananse [41] show general emotional stress and anxiety, sleeping problems, anger, and hostility are associated with an increased risk for the development of type 2 diabetes. Another study done by Tsujii, Hayashino & Ishii [42] shows patients in the high-PAID group (emotional distress) had greater risk for poor glycemic control by 67%. The study also suggested that stress causes diabetes mellitus. National Health Morbidity Survey 2015 [22] reports the prevalence of diabetes mellitus is 17.5%, whereas the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes is 8.9%, much lower than national survey. However, the estimated prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes by Laura et al. [18] is 1.13% (95% CI 0.79, 1.62).

Women have higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus, compared to men. Studies done by Minhat et al. [43], Johari et al. [44] and NHMS [22] show prevalence of diabetes in females are much higher than males (59% vs 41%, 48.1% vs 36.3%, 18.3% vs 16.7%, respectively). However, a study in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia shows the prevalence of diabetes in males (77.3%) is higher than females (22.7%) [45]. This is because the male tend to have sedentary lifestyles that contribute to get diabetes mellitus, as mentioned by Letchuman et al. [46], where increasing urbanization and sedentary lifestyle are the main factors of increasing diabetes prevalence rate. Laura et al. [18] also report the proportion of undiagnosed diabetes prevalence was higher for males compared with females (22% vs. 18%), whereas under the HbA1c-only criterion, the proportion of undiagnosed diabetes prevalence was lower for males compared with females (37% vs. 46%). As for pre diabetes, the prevalence of is estimated to be 4.3% according to the FPG-only diagnostic criterion, and is significantly higher for males compared with females. In a study done in Missouri on diabetic patients, 14.1% and 27.3% of patients have been identified as highly and moderately distressed [47], whereas 12.04% of the Japanese type 2 diabetes patients have been found to have diabetes-related emotional distress, with PAID score ≥40 [42]. Both studies also have reported that there were a significant association between distress and poor glycemic control i.e. having longer duration of diabetes (P<0.0003) and higher HbA1c levels (p<0.0001). The results are similar with a study done in Malaysia by Kaur et al. [14], where the HbA1c level was more than 8.5% (p<0.05).

A research was done in Dutch city of Hoorn shows that there is an association of stress with Diabetes Mellitus (P <0.001) [9]. While a study done in the Republic of Croatia shows that poor glycemic control is more frequent in patients who had high level of stress, where it is significantly associated with increased HbA1c (P <0.001) [48]. Furthermore, another study on 1.5 million men with diabetes mellitus were tested with stress resilience and those who do not cope with stress has association with type 2 diabetes mellitus, (P<0.001) when adjusted with body mass index (BMI), family history of diabetes and individual and neighborhood socioeconomic factors [49]. However, our study showed that there was no association between stress and pre diabetes status among women in Mukim Sg. Pelek, Sepang. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (non-insulin-dependent) is not only one of the most complicated diseases managed in primary care, but patients with diabetes experience a decrease in their ‘quality of life’ compared with healthy individuals and that functional health status decreases as complications become more severe [50]. Richard et al. [51] states in their report, 32% of patients who have stress management show lower level of HbA1c compared to only 12%. Therefore stress management might be an effective treatment modality for diabetic patients with stress.

There are many definitions of coping but probably the most commonly used is that of Pearlin & Schooler [52], who define coping as behavior that protects people from being psychologically harmed by problematic social experiences. Coping serves a protective function that can be exercised in three ways: 1) by eliminating or modifying stressful conditions; 2) by perceptually controlling the meaning of the stressor; or 3) by keeping emotional consequences in bounds. The other commonly used definition is that of Lazarus & Folkman [53], who define it as “constantly changing cognitive and behavioral efforts to manage specific external and/or internal demands that are appraised as taxing or exceeding the resources of the person.” In other words, coping allows people to use various skills to manage the difficulties they face in life. However, Carolyn [54] defines stress coping skill as the use of strategies for dealing with actual or anticipated problems and their attendant negative emotions.

There are many methods of stress coping skills. Women are far more likely than men manage stress by reading (57 % vs. 34 % for men) and overall, tend to report more stress management activities that
connect them with other people, like spending time with friends or family (54 % vs. 39 %) and going to church or religious services (27 % vs. 18 %) [28]. However in our study, only 10.5% practice worshipping and this was actually quite low compared to a study in Latino (57.59%) [55] and 71% in Pakistan [56]. R. Chang [39] reports emotional expression is good to buffer rather than amplify the effects of stress. Although emotional expression is a voluntary engagement strategy, perhaps it is not the best means of dealing with daily stress. Talking and expressing feelings to others may serve to reaffirm those feelings and potentially exacerbate them without dealing with the real source of the stress. Emotion-focused coping strategies aim to reduce and manage the intensity of the negative and distressing emotions that a stressful situation has caused rather than solving the problematic situation itself. These coping strategies thus help us feel better but don’t solve the source of our distress. Women feel more often than men that they need to control their emotions first with the emotion focused coping and then start using problem focused coping to solve their problem [57]. This might be the reason of keeping calm being the highest coping skill used by stressed women to handle stress in our study, compared to talk to someone or express in words. A study in Japan shows that keeping calm is reported to have a strong association with stress (p<0.001) and 58.3% of stressed women use this method as stress coping skill [58]. Sharon Galor [57] also states that seeking social support is important as it provides the individual with sympathy, understanding, moral support as well as information, advice and resources. Social support may play a role at two different points in the causal chain linking stress to illness [59]. Support may alleviate the impact of stress appraisal by providing a solution to the problem, by reducing the perceived importance of the problem, by tranquilizing the neuro-endocrine system so that people are less reactive to perceived stress, or by facilitating healthful behaviors [60]. However, social support can only be of help when it conforms to the coping strategies that are most adequate in the stressful situation [61]. However, R. Chang [39] reports that none of the coping styles interacted with total stress to predict blood glucose.

Language barrier and caution towards strangers were limitations in our study as it disabled the ability of interviewer with the respondents. Consequently, our results are likely an underestimate of the true prevalence of pre diabetes. Because the diagnosis of pre-existing diabetes was based on self-report, there is also the possibility that individuals may have incorrectly reported their diabetes diagnosis; for example, those with controlled type 2 diabetes may have wrongfully reported themselves as not having diabetes.

5. CONCLUSION

More than half of women respondents in Mukim Sg. Pelek, Sepang Selangor who stressed were at pre diabetes status. Majority of them were housewife with family was the main cause of stress. Intervention program on awareness towards coping with stress positively should be carried out for the residents, not only to reduce stress level among women but also among family members as well as reduce the risk of getting diabetes. There should be also an increased diabetes screening, and potentially earlier identification of case patients and high-risk individuals.
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