Spatiotemporal superresolution measurement based on POD and sparse regression applied to a supersonic jet measured by PIV and near-field microphone

Abstract The present study proposed the framework of the spatiotemporal superresolution measurement based on the sparse regression with dimensionality reduction using the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD). The non-time-resolved particle image velocimetry (PIV) and the time-resolved near-field acoustic measurements using microphones were simultaneously performed for a Mach 1.35 supersonic jet. POD is applied to PIV and microphone data matrices, and the sparse linear regression model of the reduced-order data is calculated using the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator regression. The effects of the hyperparameters of the superresolution measurement were quantitatively evaluated through randomized cross-validation. The superresolved velocity field indicated the smooth convection of the velocity fluctuations associated with the screech tone, while the convection of the large-scale structures at the downstream side was not observed. The proposed framework can reconstruct the unsteady fluctuation with multiple frequency phenomena, although the reconstruction is limited to the phenomena that are associated with the microphone output.
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Abbreviations

Scalar variables

| Symbol | Description                        |
|--------|------------------------------------|
| D      | Nozzle exit diameter               |
| E      | Reconstruction error               |
| f      | Frequency                           |
| f_s    | Peak frequency of screech tone     |
| L_sh   | Shock cell length                  |
| m      | Number of data points in space     |
| M      | Mach number                         |
| n      | Number of data points in time      |
| n_td   | Number of data points for time-delay|
| N      | Total number of PIV snapshots      |
| p_j    | Microphone data acquired by jth microphone |
| PR     | Projection ratio                    |
| r      | Number of POD modes for the dimensionality reduction |
| St     | Strouhal number                     |
| t_i    | Discrete-time                       |
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Streamwise and radial velocity
Convection velocity
Streamwise velocity at the nozzle exit derived under the assumption of the isentropic flow
Sampling-rate ratio of the acoustic and PIV measurements
Regularization parameter for group-LASSO regression

**Vectors and matrices**

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $u, v$ | Streamwise and radial velocity |
| $u_c$ | Convection velocity |
| $U_j$ | Streamwise velocity at the nozzle exit derived under the assumption of the isentropic flow |
| $\kappa$ | Sampling-rate ratio of the acoustic and PIV measurements |
| $\lambda$ | Regularization parameter for group-LASSO regression |

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $M$ | Time-delay embedded microphone data matrix |
| $S$ | Diagonal matrix of singular values |
| $u$ | Column vector of streamwise velocity components |
| $U$ | Orthogonal spatial modes matrix |
| $v$ | Column vector of radial velocity components |
| $V$ | Orthogonal temporal modes matrix |
| $X$ | Data matrix |
| $Z$ | POD coefficients matrix |
| $Z_{\Phi}$ | Projection of the $Z_{\text{PIV}}$ Onto the $\Phi$ Space |
| $\Psi$ | Regression coefficients matrix |
| $\Phi$ | Regression coefficients matrix consists of the nonzero components of $\Psi$ |

**Superscripts**

- $^\prime$ Time-averaged component
- $^\sim$ Fluctuation component
- $^\wedge$ Estimated component
- $^\downarrow$ Downsampled component

**Subscripts**

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| MIC | Microphone data |
| PIV | PIV data |

1 **Introduction**

The exhaust flow of a supersonic aircraft engine emits strong acoustic waves and causes serious noise pollution around the airport. Therefore, a lot of studies have been performed for investigating supersonic jet noise in past decades. When the jet flow contains shock waves, the peaky noise called the screech tone dominates the acoustic field. Powell (1953a, b) firstly proposed the generation mechanism of the screech tone, and a lot of studies have been dedicated to this field for a long time (Bailly and Fujii 2016; Raman 1999; Tam 1995). The screech tone is generated due to the acoustic feedback loop that consists of turbulent structures and acoustic waves. The turbulent structures at the nozzle lip develop with their convection, and the interference of the shock waves and the turbulent structures generates the acoustic waves. Then, the acoustic waves propagating upstream excite the instability waves at the nozzle lip. The interaction of shock waves and vortex structures was computationally investigated by Suzuki and Lele (2003), and they showed that the shock waves tend to leak near the saddle point of the vortex structures, resulting in acoustic radiation. This shock leakage is also observed by Shariff and Manning (2013). For an axisymmetric cold jet in the screeching condition, there are four kinds of instability modes that are experimentally identified by the early study of Powell (1953b). The axisymmetric modes $A1$ and $A2$ dominate the aeroacoustic field when the Mach number is relatively low ($M_j \leq 1.3$), and the flapping mode $B$ and the helical mode $C$ appear at the higher Mach numbers. In addition to those modes, sinuous/flapping mode $D$ was identified. The characteristics of these modes have been extensively investigated using both the computations and experiments (Panda 1999; André et al. 2011; Edgington-Mitchell et al. 2014; Mercier et al. 2016).

Although the physical mechanism and characteristics of the screech tone are well understood based on the statistical data, the time-resolved data of the unsteady dynamics are limited. Numerical simulations are often performed for the discussion of the unsteady dynamics of the screech tone (Gojon and Bogey 2017; Arroyo et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020). However, a large-eddy simulation that can compute the aeroacoustic field of the high-Reynolds-number jet requires excessive computational cost and is not suitable for parametric analysis; see, e.g. Nonomura et al. (2019, 2021c); Pineau and Bogey (2021b, 2021a). On the other hand, the experimental techniques are productive, while the measurable quantities are limited. The recent technology advancement allows experimentally measuring the time-resolved data using a high-speed camera, and the data-driven analysis such as the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) (Berkooz et al. 1993) or dynamic mode decomposition (Schmid 2010) is often employed. There have been many attempts to identify the screech tone from the time-resolved
the present experiment. Refer to Ozawa et al. (2020b, 2020a) for more details on the experimental facilities. A supersonic jet generating system installed in an anechoic room at Tohoku University was employed for the experimental setup.

2 Experimental setup

A supersonic jet generating system installed in an anechoic room at Tohoku University was employed for the present experiment. Refer to Ozawa et al. (2020b, 2020a) for more details on the experimental facilities. The underexpanded supersonic jet with $M_\infty = 1.35$ was reproduced using a contoured convergent nozzle.
The contour of the convergent nozzle was designed based on the reference (André et al. 2013), and the diameter at the nozzle exit $D$ was set to be 10 mm. The nozzle pressure ratio was 2.97, and the Reynolds number based on the nozzle exit diameter was $4.62 \times 10^5$. The stagnation temperature was 297 K.

The present study simultaneously performed the PIV and the near-field acoustic measurement using microphones. Fig. 1 shows the schematic image of the experimental setup. The nozzle and the stagnation chamber are located in the center of the anechoic room and the jet flow towards the upper side. Eight microphones (TYPE4158N, ACO) were placed around the nozzle lip with keeping the distance of $r/D = 4$, and the near-field acoustic measurement was performed. The support of the microphones was covered by the sound-absorbing panel to prevent acoustic reflections. The acoustic signals were recorded using an amplifier (TYPE5006/4, ACO) and a data acquisition system (USB-6366, National Instruments).

The high-speed camera (Phantom V1840, Vision Research) and the double-pulsed laser (LDY-300PIV, Litron) are installed in the anechoic room, and the non-time-resolved planar PIV data are acquired. The field of view (FOV) of the PIV is $150 \times 50$ mm as shown in Fig. 1. The camera lens (Nikkor 80–200 mm f/2.8, Nikon) and the 12 mm long extension tube were employed for the optics, and the spatial resolution of the high-speed camera was set to be $2048 \times 776$ pixels. Tracer particles for PIV measurement are generated using a glycerin 50% aqueous solution and Raskin nozzles. Raskin nozzles are incorporated into the jet generating system as well as the anechoic room. Refer to Ozawa et al. (2020b) for details. Therefore, both jet and ambient flow were fully seeded by the tracer particles. The diameter of the tracer particles is approximately several micrometers (Kähler et al. 2002).

The PIV and acoustic measurements were synchronized using a trigger signal generated from the function generator (WF1974, NF). Table 1 summarizes the spatial and temporal resolution of each measurement. In contrast to the low sampling rate of the PIV system, that of the acoustic measurement is sufficiently high to resolve the dynamics of the large-scale structures. Here, the ratio of the sampling rate of the PIV and acoustic measurements was defined as $\kappa = 50$.

### 3 Calculation procedure of the superresolution

Figure 2 illustrates the flowchart of the data analysis for the proposed method. The first step of the superresolution is the construction of the data matrix using the simultaneously measured PIV and microphone data. Then, the reduced-order POD coefficients of each data matrix are obtained by applying the singular value decomposition (SVD) in the second step. The third step is the construction of the linear

| Table 1 Parameters of the measurements |
|----------------------------------------|
| PIV                                    | Acoustic measurement |
| Spatial resolution                     | 256 × 97 vectors     | 8 points          |
| Temporal resolution                    | 4,000 Hz             | 200,000 Hz        |
| Number of dataset                      | 15,000 snapshots     | 749,951 points    |
A regression model that estimates the POD coefficients of the PIV from the microphone data. The calculated regression coefficients matrix is simply multiplied by the time-resolved POD coefficients matrix of the microphone data in the fourth step, and the time-resolved POD coefficients of the PIV data can be obtained. The detailed procedure of the superresolution is described in the following subsections.

3.1 Definition of the data matrices

The data matrices are firstly constructed using the acquired experimental data. Figure 3 depicts the schematics of the data matrices definition. The colored boxes in this figure indicate the data point of each measurement. The data points of the PIV measurement are sparsely distributed because the sampling rate of the PIV is lower than that of the acoustic measurement. Note that this figure does not depict the actual sampling of the present experiment.

The PIV snapshots contain the two-dimensional components of the velocity field, \( u \) and \( v \), on the visualization plane. The present study subtracts the mean velocity from each snapshot, and only the fluctuation components of the velocity are used:
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The PIV snapshots contain the two-dimensional components of the velocity field, \( u \) and \( v \), on the visualization plane. The present study subtracts the mean velocity from each snapshot, and only the fluctuation components of the velocity are used:
\[ u = \bar{u} + \tilde{u}, \]
\[ v = \bar{v} + \tilde{v}, \]

where notations \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) indicate the mean and fluctuation components, respectively. Here, the discrete-time \( t_i \) \( (1 \leq i \leq N\kappa) \) is defined based on the sampling rate of the acoustic measurement, where \( N \) and \( \kappa \) are the total number of PIV snapshots and the ratio of the sampling rate of the acoustic and PIV measurements, respectively. The PIV data matrix \( X_{\text{PIV}} \) is constructed collecting the velocity fluctuation of each snapshot:

\[
X_{\text{PIV}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{u}(t_i) & \tilde{u}(t_{2i}) & \ldots & \tilde{u}(t_{N\kappa}) \\
\tilde{v}(t_i) & \tilde{v}(t_{2i}) & \ldots & \tilde{v}(t_{N\kappa})
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \tilde{u}(t_i) \) and \( \tilde{v}(t_i) \) are the vector form of the streamwise and radial components of the measured velocity. The size of this matrix is \( X_{\text{PIV}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2m \times N} \), where \( m \) is the total number of velocity vectors.

The microphone data matrix \( X_{\text{MIC}} \) is constructed using the acoustic signals. Microphone signals are first applied to the high-pass filter of 1 kHz, and the noise due to the acoustic reflection is eliminated. Although the temporal resolution is sufficiently high to resolve the unsteady dynamics of the jet, the spatial resolution of the acoustic measurement is quite low compared with that of PIV, resulting in the less rank of the matrix. Moreover, the PIV and acoustic measurements acquire the different physical quantities that are not directly connected. Therefore, the reconstruction of the time-resolved velocity fields does not work well when the same formulation as the PIV data matrix is directly applied to the microphone data. To solve this issue, the time-delay embedded data matrix was constructed using the microphone data and the matrix rank increased. The present study defines that the time-delay \( n_{\text{td}} \) is the number of data points of both past and future at a given time as illustrated in Fig. 3. This is the same as the definition in the MTD-mLSE of the references (Durgesh and Naughton 2010; Tu et al. 2013b). The time-delay embedded microphone data matrix is defined for each microphone as follows:

\[
M_j = \begin{bmatrix}
p_j(t_{1-n_{\text{td}}}) & p_j(t_{2-n_{\text{td}}}) & \ldots & p_j(t_{N\kappa-n_{\text{td}}}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p_j(t_1) & p_j(t_2) & \ldots & p_j(t_{N\kappa}) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p_j(t_{1+n_{\text{td}}}) & p_j(t_{2+n_{\text{td}}}) & \ldots & p_j(t_{N\kappa+n_{\text{td}}})
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( p_j(t_i) \) is the microphone data acquired by \( j \)th microphone \( (1 \leq j \leq 8) \). The size of this matrix is \( M_j \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n_{\text{td}}+1) \times N\kappa} \). Finally, the matrix of each microphone was collected, and the microphone data matrix \( X_{\text{MIC}} \) is defined as follows:

\[
X_{\text{MIC}} = \begin{bmatrix}
M_1 \\
M_2 \\
\vdots \\
M_8
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Here, time-delay \( n_{\text{td}} \) is one of the hyperparameters of the proposed method. The effect of \( n_{\text{td}} \) is discussed at Sect. 5.2.

3.2 Dimensionality reduction based on the POD

The POD is a modal analysis method and extracts an orthogonal basis that expresses the data with the utmost efficiency (Berkooz et al. 1993; Taira et al. 2017). After Lumley (1967) firstly applied this analysis method into the fluid dynamics, the POD has been applied to various flow fields and extracted the coherent structures of turbulent flows. In application of the POD to fluid data, the data matrix \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) is constructed using a column vector of fluid data at a given time. Here, \( m \) and \( n \) are the number of data points in space and time, respectively. The POD modes are simply calculated as the SVD as follows:
where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal spatial modes, a matrix of which diagonal components are singular values $\sigma$, and orthogonal temporal modes. The singular values $\sigma$ represent the contribution of each mode with respect to the original data, and they are arranged in the matrix $S$ in descending order in the present study. Therefore, equation 5 can be interpreted that the spatial mode $U$ evolves with POD modes coefficients $SV^T$. Here, the dimensionality reduced matrix of the original data matrix $X^{(r)}$ is calculated using the first $r$ POD modes:

$$X^{(r)} = U^{(r)}Z,$$

(6)

$$Z = S^{(r)}V^{(r)^T},$$

(7)

where $Z$ is the POD coefficients of the first $r$ modes. In the present study, the SVD was applied to the data matrices of the PIV $X_{\text{PIV}}$ and microphones $X_{\text{MIC}}$, and those dimensionality reduced matrices were obtained with the rank of $r_{\text{PIV}}$ and $r_{\text{MIC}}$, respectively:

$$Z_{\text{PIV}} = S_{\text{PIV}}^{(r_{\text{PIV}})}V_{\text{PIV}}^{(r_{\text{PIV}})^T},$$

(8)

$$Z_{\text{MIC}} = S_{\text{MIC}}^{(r_{\text{MIC}})}V_{\text{MIC}}^{(r_{\text{MIC}})^T},$$

(9)

where $Z_{\text{PIV}} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{\text{PIV}} \times N}$ and $Z_{\text{MIC}} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{\text{MIC}} \times N_k}$. Figure 4 shows the partial amount of energy contained in the first $r$ POD modes. In this figure, the PIV modes were calculated using 15,000 snapshots, and the microphone modes were calculated from the microphone data matrix of $n_{\text{id}} = 200$. Since the supersonic jet is fully turbulent, the modal decomposition of PIV data is not efficient. On the other hand, the energy of the first four microphone modes is high. This is because the acoustic field is dominated by the screech tone and the microphone modes associated with the screech tone are efficiently extracted. Here, the present study employed the first 100 PIV and microphone modes for the superresolution measurement ($r_{\text{PIV}} = r_{\text{MIC}} = 100$). The energy contained in the first 100 PIV and microphone modes was approximately 73% and 89%, respectively. Therefore, even after the dimensionality reduction, the PIV and microphone modes still have sufficient energy to express the original aeroacoustic field. In the present study, the row vectors of $Z_{\text{PIV}}$ and $Z_{\text{MIC}}$ are referred to as the PIV and microphone modes, respectively.

3.3 Construction of the regression model

The present study constructs the linear regression model using the POD coefficients of the PIV and microphone data matrices defined in the previous section. The PIV and microphone data of a screeching jet may have a strong correlation because the aeroacoustic field is dominated by the screech phenomena. Therefore, the present study first applied linear regression for the PIV and microphone data of a supersonic jet and evaluated its applicability and limitations. The superresolution measurement assumes that the PIV modes can be correlated with the microphone modes as a form of a linear regression model:
$Z_{\text{PIV}} = \Psi Z'_{\text{MIC}}$,  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $\Psi$ is the regression coefficient matrix, and $Z'_{\text{MIC}}$ is a downsampled matrix of $Z_{\text{MIC}}$, which consists of the extracted row vectors of $Z_{\text{MIC}}$ at the timing when the PIV snapshots exist. In other words, the PIV modes can be estimated only from the regression coefficients and the time-resolved microphone modes. Although the dimensionality reduction was applied to the microphone data, all of the phenomena in PIV modes cannot be fully estimated from the dominant microphone modes. This is because the generation of the acoustic waves measured by the microphones is caused by a small part of the fluid fluctuation. Thus far, the regression of the largest microphone modes to the largest PIV modes was tried, but the flow feature was not recovered and the reconstruction error became no less than 98% (Nishikori 2022). Consequently, the present study improves the estimation accuracy of the linear regression model using only the microphone modes of which correlation with the PIV modes is high. This is realized using the LASSO regression (Tibshirani 1996) that is the regression analysis method incorporating variable selection by a $\ell_1$ regularization. The microphone modes selection for improving the regression accuracy corresponds to the selection of the column vector in the regression coefficient matrix as shown in Fig. 5. Therefore, the group LASSO algorithm (Yuan and Lin 2006) that conducts the regularization using the group $\ell_1$ norm was employed. The regression coefficient matrix $\Psi$ was obtained by optimizing the following objective function:

$$\arg \min_{\Psi \in \mathbb{R}^{p_{\text{PIV}} \times n_{\text{MIC}}}} \frac{1}{2} \| \Psi Z_{\text{MIC}} - Z_{\text{PIV}} \|_2^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{MIC}}} \| \psi_i \|_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where the notation $\| \cdot \|_2$ indicates the $\ell_2$ norm, and $\psi_i$ is the $i$-th column vector of $\Psi$. This objective function was optimized by the fast iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm (FISTA) (Beck and Teboulle 2009). Here, the regularization parameter $\lambda$ that controls the sparsity of the regression coefficients matrix $\Psi$ is one of the hyperparameters of the proposed method. When the regularization parameter $\lambda$ is large, the number of the selected microphone modes becomes less, and the regression coefficient matrix $\Psi$ becomes sparse in the row direction.

Here, $\Phi$ is defined as the matrix that consists of the nonzero components of the regression coefficient matrix $\Psi$, as illustrated in Fig. 5. The matrix $\Phi$ is interpreted as the space of the PIV mode that can be reconstructed by the microphone modes. Therefore, the PIV mode coefficients that can be reconstructed by the microphone modes $Z_{\Phi}$ is defined as follows:

$$Z_{\Phi} = \Phi \Phi^\dagger Z_{\text{PIV}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\Phi^\dagger$ indicates the pseudo-inverse operation. The matrix $Z_{\Phi}$ is a projection of $Z_{\text{PIV}}$ onto the $\Phi$ space, and the projection ratio $PR$ is defined using the Frobenius norm of the matrices:

$$PR = 100 \times \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\|Z_{\text{PIV}} - Z_{\Phi}\|_F^2}{\|Z_{\text{PIV}}\|_F^2}} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

This projection ratio indicates the reproducibility of the PIV data that can be reconstructed by the microphone modes with respect to the original reduced-order PIV data. In other words, this ratio represents the upper limit of the total energy of the phenomena that can be reconstructed by the present superresolution measurement.

---

Fig. 5 Schematic image of the regression model
3.4 Reconstruction of the time-resolved PIV modes

The time-resolved coefficient of PIV modes can be simply estimated using the regression coefficient matrix and the time-resolved microphone modes as follows:

\[ \hat{Z}_\Phi = \Psi Z_{\text{MIC}}; \]  

where \( \hat{Z}_\Phi \) is the estimated time-resolved coefficients of the PIV modes. Consequently, the time-resolved velocity field can be reconstructed using the spatial mode of PIV data:

\[ \hat{X}_{\text{PIV}} = \hat{U}^{(\text{PIV})}_Z \hat{Z}_\Phi. \]  

Here, to evaluate the performance of the linear regression model, the model reconstruction error \( E \) is also defined in addition to the projection ratio:

\[ E = 100 \times \sqrt{\frac{||Z_\Phi - \hat{Z}_\Phi||_F^2}{||Z_\Phi||_F^2}}. \]  

Equation 16 only evaluates the model reconstruction error of the linear regression model in the space of \( Z_\Phi \), and does not directly indicate the reproducibility of the original reduced-order PIV data. Therefore, the quantitative performance of the superresolution measurement was evaluated using both Eqs. (13) and (16).

3.5 Randomized cross-validation

The present study evaluates the generalization performance of the superresolution measurement using a randomized \( k \)-fold cross-validation with \( k = 10 \). Figure 6 depicts the schematic image of the randomized \( k \)-fold cross-validation, while \( k \) was set to be three for graphical explanation. The randomized cross-validation randomly selects the test and training data without overlapping, and sequential test and training datasets are not employed at all. This randomized cross-validation minimizes the effects of the trend components of the experimental data, whereas the trend component appears in the experimental data because of the slight changes in the experimental setup or the jet condition during the measurement. This procedure leads to the evaluation of the generalized performance of the superresolution measurement of non-trend components of target flow fields, which is of interest in the present study.

4 Basic characteristics of the supersonic jet

Figure 7 shows the mean velocity fields of the streamwise and radial components, the standard deviation of the streamwise velocity, and the Reynolds stress, respectively. The velocities are nondimensionalized by the theoretical streamwise velocity at the nozzle exit \( U_j = 392 \) m/s that is derived under the assumption of the isentropic flow. The mean velocity fields identify the shear layer development, the potential core, and the shock wave structures called shock cells. As the shear layer develops toward the downstream, the standard deviation and the Reynolds stress increase and reach the maximum values near the end of the potential core. The present study eliminates the region near the nozzle exit \( x/D \approx 5 \) because the thinner shear layer may induce an error of the PIV algorithm due to the lack of the spatial resolution. The maximum fluctuation and Reynolds stress are observed at \( x/D \approx 8 \) which is near the end of the potential core. This agrees well with the previous findings on the supersonic jet (Tam 1995) and indicates the existence of a strong noise source. The screech frequency \( f_s \) can be estimated using Eq. (17) proposed by Powell et al. (1992):

![Fig. 6 Schematic image of the randomized cross-validation](image-url)
where $M_c$, $u_c$, and $L_{sh}$ are the convection Mach number, the convection velocity, and the shock cell length, respectively. Variables $n$ and $n_{sh}$ are integers that indicate the number of screech cycles and the length of the noise source position. The observation of Gao and Li (2010) showed that the integers are $n = 5$ and $n_{sh} = 5$ in the case of a Mach 1.35 supersonic jet that exhibits the flapping mode. The present study calculated the shock cell length $L_{sh}$ as the mean distance between the streamwise positions where the maximum velocity gradient is observed in the potential core. The calculated shock cell length is $L_{sh} = 11.3$ mm, and the estimated screech frequency is $f_s = 12.5$ kHz when $u_c = 0.7 U_j$. This estimated screech frequency is verified with the microphone data.

Figure 8 is the acoustic spectrum measured at $(x/D, r/D) = (0, 4)$. The Strouhal number was defined as follows:

$$St = \frac{fD}{U_j},$$

where $f$ and $D$ are the frequency and the diameter at the nozzle exit, respectively. The spectrum agrees well with that of the report of André et al. (2013). A distinct peak with over 20 dB amplitude is observed at 12.3 kHz ($St = 0.32$). This is caused by the screech tone which is driven by a strong feedback loop and the resonance frequency agrees well with that estimated from Eq. (17). The harmonics of the screech tone were also observed at 24.6 and 36.9 kHz. Therefore, the aeroacoustic field of the supersonic jet is dominated by the screech tone and the superresolution measurement in the present study mainly focuses on the reconstruction of the time-resolved velocity fluctuation associated with the screech tone generation.

5 Results and discussion

The superresolution measurement in the present study contains some hyperparameters in the analysis procedure as described in Sec 3. Therefore, the effects of the hyperparameters on the model reconstruction error are firstly discussed, and then, the superresolved velocity fields are discussed in the case of the minimum model reconstruction error.

5.1 Effect of dataset length $N$

Figure 9 shows the model reconstruction error with respect to the different dataset length $N$. In the cases of $N \leq 14,000$, the central part of the original dataset of $N = 15,000$ is extracted by truncating both ends equally, and the shorter datasets are reproduced. Therefore, the center data of the different datasets do not
change regardless of the dataset length, and the linear regression model is constructed based on the data which have almost the same trends in the dataset.

The minimum model reconstruction error was observed at \( N = 2,000 \), and it does not significantly change until \( N \leq 6,000 \). The reconstruction accuracy may become worse due to insufficient training data when the dataset length is short (\( N \leq 1000 \)). On the other hand, the model reconstruction error monotonically increases at \( N \geq 7,000 \). This might be because the experimental setup or the jet condition may be slightly changed within the data acquisition duration when the dataset length is longer. Those may affect the POD mode coefficients as trend components of which the change is slower than that of the target phenomena, and cause an error in the construction of the regression model of the non-trend components in the present study. The reconstruction error can be reduced for a longer duration dataset if the good regression model including the trend components is constructed. However, the trend components of the present dataset could not be simply expressed in the linear regression model, although the present authors tried. Therefore, the present study employs the simple linear regression model and the dataset length of \( N = 2,000 \), which minimizes the effect of the trend component, was selected.

5.2 Effect of time-delay \( n_{td} \)

Figure 10 shows the effect of the time delay \( n_{td} \) on the minimum model reconstruction error in the case of \( N = 2000 \). The model reconstruction error decreases as the time delay \( n_{td} \) increases. The large \( n_{td} \) may strengthen the correlation between the PIV and microphone signals because the supersonic jet is fully turbulent and there is no exact time delay that is optimal for all snapshots. The minimum model reconstruction error is observed at \( n_{td} = 500 \), and it increases at \( n_{td} \geq 600 \). The time-delay that achieves the minimum reconstruction error corresponds to the 2.5 ms. Since the screech frequency was 12.3 kHz, the column vector of the microphone data matrix includes 61.5 periods of the fundamental screech frequency. The present study employed \( n_{td} = 500 \) for further analysis.
5.3 Effect of regularization parameter $\lambda$

Figure 11 shows the effect of the regularization parameter $\lambda$ on the model reconstruction error and the projected ratio in the case of $N = 2000$ for $n_{td} = 500$. As the regularization parameter increases, both model reconstruction error and projected ratio decrease. The large regularization parameter reduces the number of the selected microphone modes for the reconstruction. This means that the PIV space that can be reconstructed by the microphone modes becomes partial, resulting in a decrease in the projected ratio. Therefore, the phenomena that can be reconstructed by the linear regression model are limited when the regularization parameter is large. On the other hand, the decrease in the model reconstruction error indicates that the estimation accuracy of the linear regression model increases if the number of the selected microphone modes is small. Therefore, the linear regression model has a trade-off relationship between the reproducibility of the phenomena and the estimation accuracy. The minimum model reconstruction error was 60.7% observed at $\lambda \geq 10^9$. The selected microphone modes were the first four modes related to the screech tone, similar to those shown in Fig. 4. Since the velocity fluctuation associated with the screech tone is few compared to the entire fluid phenomena, the projected ratio of those cases was poor (3.88%). However, time-resolved velocity fluctuations related to the screech generation can be reconstructed. The results of the superresolved velocity field are discussed in the next section.

5.4 Superresolved velocity fields

The superresolution measurement was performed using the parameters that can minimize the model reconstruction error as discussed in the previous sections. The dataset length $N$, the time-delay $n_{td}$, and the regularization parameter $\lambda$ were set to be 2,000, 500, and $10^9$, respectively. The group LASSO algorithm left only the first four microphone modes in the regression with tested parameter range. Figure 12 shows the...
snapshots of the actually sampled and superresolved velocity fields. Note that the sampling rate of the superresolved snapshots is 200 kHz corresponding to that of the acoustic measurement. Here, actually sampled velocity field is calculated as $\mathbf{U}_{\text{PIV}}^{(\text{raw})} \mathbf{Z}_\phi$, which is a projection of the velocity field onto the space that the linear regression model can express. This is different from the raw PIV data without the low-dimensionalization and the low-dimensionalized PIV data. The dashed line in this figure indicates the convection of the coherent structure observed in $\bar{v} + \bar{\nu}$. The superresolved velocity field at $t = 0 \mu s$ qualitatively agrees with the actually sampled one taken at the same time, while the actually sampled velocity field does not resolve the convection of the coherent structures due to the insufficient sampling rate. The movie that compares the superresolved and actually sampled velocity field is available in the supplementary material (Online Resource 1). The superresolved result shows the smooth convection of the flow structure, while the actually sampled velocity field cannot illustrate the time-resolved fluid motion. The velocity distribution $(\bar{u} + \bar{\nu})$ exhibits the flapping motion of the fourth and fifth shock cells in the potential core as shown in Fig. 12. This characteristic fluctuation qualitatively agrees well with the characteristics of the screech mode B (flapping mode) (Powell et al. 1992; Li and Gao 2008; Li et al. 2020). Although the unsteady fluctuation associated with the screech tone is observed, the convection of the large-scale structures at the downstream side was not observed in the proposed method. This implies that the phenomena that can be reconstructed from the microphone data are limited. In other words, the group LASSO algorithm only left PIV modes associated with the screech tone, and constructed the linear regression model.

The quantitative evaluation between actually sampled and superresolved velocity fields is provided as the velocity profiles shown in Fig. 13. Since the superresolved velocity fields can only be validated at the timing where the actually sampled velocity fields are available, the statistic quantities are compared. The standard deviation of the streamwise velocity and the Reynolds stress in Fig. 13 are calculated using velocity fields for 0.05 seconds corresponding to 200 and 10,000 snapshots for actually sampled and superresolved velocity fields, respectively. The standard deviation and Reynolds stress basically exhibit a similar profile between the actually sampled and superresolved data although the superresolved data underestimate the velocity fluctuations. This might be due to the measurement noise of the velocity field.

![Fig. 12 Snapshots of the actually sampled velocity field $\mathbf{U}_{\text{PIV}}^{(\text{raw})} \mathbf{Z}_\phi$ and superresolved velocity field $\mathbf{U}_{\text{PIV}}^{(\text{raw})} \mathbf{Z}_\phi$. See also the movie in the supplemental materials](image-url)
Note that even the actually sampled velocity field underestimates the original velocity fluctuations because it is a projection of the velocity field onto the space that the linear regression model can express.

The streamwise velocity at \((x/D, r/D) = (4, 0.5)\) was compared between the actually sampled and superresolved data as shown in Fig. 14. The superresolved velocity sinusoidally oscillates over time, while the actually sampled velocity does not resolve the unsteady fluctuations. Note that this figure is similar to the comparison of the time histories of the mode coefficients shown in the later discussion because the superresolved velocity field is calculated as the superimposition of the PIV modes.

To clarify the frequency characteristics of the superresolved velocity fields, the power spectral density (PSD) was calculated using the superresolved streamwise velocity at the same position, as shown in Fig. 15. Figure 15 shows a distinct peak at \(St = 0.31\), which is the same as that of the screech tone observed in the acoustic spectrum of Fig. 8. Moreover, the second harmonic of the screech tone is also observed in PSD. Therefore, the proposed method can reconstruct unsteady fluctuations of multiple frequency phenomena. Although conditional sampling or phase averaging has been widely used for the analysis of high-speed phenomena, these methods capture only the single-frequency phenomena and assume the constant amplitude of the target phenomena within the measuring time. On the other hand, the proposed method can reconstruct multiple frequency phenomena because this method uses the time-resolved microphone mode coefficients that include multiple frequency phenomena. This feature is one of the advantages of the proposed method.

It is worthwhile to discuss the applicability and limitation of the proposed method based on the spatial and temporal characteristics of each PIV mode in the superresolution result, since the superresolved velocity field is expressed as the superimposition of PIV modes. Figure 16 illustrates the singular value \(S_{PIV}\) and the spatial distribution of streamwise velocity component in \(U_{PIV}\). Here, this figure depicts the spatial distributions of only the first eight PIV modes. The first two PIV modes have relatively large singular values and exhibit large-scale fluctuations in the downstream shear layer. The third–seventh modes are asymmetric with respect to the jet axis and exhibit the cell structures in the shear layer region. These cell structures seem to express the velocity fluctuation caused by the shock cell oscillation that is a source of the screech tone.

---

**Fig. 13** Radial distributions of the streamwise velocity fluctuation and the Reynolds stress
Figure 17 shows the time histories of the mode coefficients of the first six PIV modes. This figure compares the superresolved POD coefficients with the actually sampled ones. Although the actually sampled POD coefficients do not obviously resolve the unsteady fluctuations, the sinusoidally oscillating mode coefficients are superresolved. This frequency is expected to be the same as that of the screech tone. Here, the fluctuation amplitudes of the superresolved third–sixth PIV modes are much larger than those of the first two modes. The modes with large amplitude correspond to those that express the shock cell oscillation shown in Fig. 16. This indicates that the proposed method selectively left the PIV modes that highly correlate with the screech tone. This is also observed in the entries of the regression coefficient matrix.
shown in Fig. 18. \( \phi_{ij} \) is the entries of \( \Phi \) where \( i \) and \( j \) are the indices of the row and the column, respectively. In the present study, the numbers of rows and columns of \( \Phi \) correspond to the numbers of the PIV and microphone modes, respectively. Most of the regression coefficients are close to zero except for \( i=3\)–\(6 \). Therefore, the LASSO regression left the third–sixth PIV modes that highly correlate with the selected microphone modes.

The characteristics of the selected microphone modes are summarized in Fig. 19. The singular values of the first four microphone modes are significantly larger than those of the other modes. Therefore, the dominant microphone modes are the first four modes, and thus the LASSO regression left these microphone modes. The frequency characteristics of these microphone modes are shown as PSD in Fig. 19. PSDs indicate the distinct peak of the screech tone at \( St=0.31 \) and its second harmonic is also observed. These frequency characteristics agree well with those observed in the superresolved velocity field shown in Fig. 15. Here, the velocity field reconstructed from the microphone modes can be calculated as the product of the spatial modes of PIV data \( U_{PIV}^{(1)} \) and the regression coefficient matrix \( \Phi \). The spatial distributions of \( U_{PIV}^{(1)} \Phi \) indicate the coherent structures in the shear layer region where the flapping mode is observed. Therefore, the superresolution measurement can reconstruct the phenomena that can be measured by the microphone.

A relation of the temporal coefficients of the microphone modes was investigated because the superresolved velocity fields are reconstructed based on the time-resolved microphone data. Figure 20 shows diagrams of the temporal coefficients of the microphone modes \( z_i \) where \( z_i \) is the \( i \)th row vector of \( Z_{MIC} \). Since the first two pairs of microphone modes exhibit high energy compared to the others as shown in Fig. 19, the diagram is plotted for each paired mode. Each diagram shows a sinusoidal wave of the temporal coefficients, and the sum of the corresponding coefficients is constant over time. Therefore, those microphone modes are paired and express the screech tone.

6 Conclusions

The present study proposed the framework for the spatiotemporal superresolution measurement using the non-time-resolved PIV and time-resolved acoustic measurements. The proposed framework is based on the sparse regression with the dimensionality reduction based on POD and was applied to a Mach 1.35 supersonic jet operated at the underexpanded condition. The PIV and acoustic measurements were simultaneously performed with the sampling rates of 4 kHz and 200 kHz, respectively. POD is applied to PIV and microphone data matrices, and the sparse linear regression model of the reduced-order data was calculated using the LASSO regression. The proposed framework contains the hyperparameters: the dataset length \( N \),
the time-delay $n_{td}$, and the regularization parameter $\lambda$. The effects of these hyperparameters were quantitatively evaluated through randomized cross-validation, and the parameters with which the minimum model reconstruction error can be achieved were $N = 2,000$, $n_{td} = 500$, and $\lambda = 10^9$, respectively, in the present dataset. The obtained minimum error is much smaller than that in the previous study using the leading POD modes and the linear least-square regression.
The superresolved velocity field reconstructed with the above parameters illustrates the smooth convection of the velocity fluctuations associated with the screech tone, although the convection of the large-scale structures at the downstream side was not observed. PSD of the superresolved velocity showed distinct peaks at the first and second harmonics of the screech tone. These frequency characteristics agree well with those of the selected microphone modes by the LASSO algorithm. Therefore, the proposed framework can reconstruct the unsteady fluctuation with multiple frequency phenomena, although the reconstruction is limited to the phenomena that can be measured by the microphone. This feature is the advantage of the proposed method because the conventional conditional sampling or phase averaging are hard to reconstruct the multiple frequency phenomena.
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