Characterization of flowing liquid films as a regenerating plasma mirror for high repetition-rate laser contrast enhancement
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Abstract

In this paper, we characterize a high repetition-rate regenerating plasma mirror produced by the thin film of liquid formed when two laminar streams collide. The use of a flowing liquid film is inexpensive and the interaction surface refreshes automatically, avoiding buildup of on-target debris. The composition of the liquid material and the relative angle of the film-generating nozzles was optimized for this application. Spectra measured in reflection from a water-based plasma mirror showed a blue shift but an optical reflectivity of up to 30%. The thickness of the film was found to be of the order of 2 μm, and the stability of the reflected spot was ≈1 mrad. The reflected beam profile was highly distorted but stable. Further optimization of the nozzles to affect the fluid flow should enable significant improvements in control of the fluid films and increase in the reflectivity of these mirrors.

Introduction

Over the last 50 years, lasers have been developing rapidly, and with this development, more applications emerge. Currently, there are petawatt lasers are firing at a rate of the order 1 Hz (Danso et al., 2015), and terawatt lasers are approaching kHz (Perry and Mourou, 1994). This creates a lot of options for new science and technology but also presents some problems to be overcome. One of these problems is how to have a high intensity contrast ratio (ICR) at these high repetition rates. The ICR is the ratio between the intensity of the main pulse and the prepulse (energy that is delivered to the target before the main pulse) and can be measured for different amounts of time before. A poor ICR can be due to prepulses generated during amplification or amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) from the amplifier medium. Ultra-short pulses normally have a pulse pedestal (Doumy et al., 2004) that starts on the order of picoseconds before the main pulse, which although several orders of magnitude smaller than the main pulse, due to the high intensities being achieved still cause severe perturbations to the target.

The main perturbation to the target by the prepulse is via ionization. Field ionization (Keldysh, 1965) occurs an intensity of the order 10^{14} W/cm^2 (Kapteyn et al., 1991), and two photon ionization starts occurring at 10^{12} W/cm^2 (Ishikawa, 2003). Therefore, knowing the maximum intensity that should be achieved by a particular laser system, a required ICR for the experiment can be calculated.

Laser ICR can be improved by pulse cleaning in the laser chain itself (saturable absorbers, nonlinear birefringence, cross-polarized wave generation (XPW)) (Chvykov et al., 2006; Fourmaux et al., 2011; Ramirez et al., 2011), but the pulse pedestal occurs too close in time to the main pulse for these options to work. To improve the contrast in the picoseconds leading up to the main pulse, a device called a plasma mirror can be implemented (Kapteyn et al., 1991). A plasma mirror works by having an anti-reflective (AR) surface, which allows almost all the light through it, until the intensity reaches the field ionization limit. At this limit, the surface gets ionized in less than one laser cycle (Keldysh, 1965), and the plasma density at the surface is greater than the critical density, resulting in the laser light now incident on this surface being reflected with an efficiency up to 90% (Kapteyn et al., 1991). The position of the plasma mirror is chosen so that only the main pulse has a high enough intensity to ionize the surface, and hence, the prepulse is not reflected onto the target. In practice, an anti-reflection dielectric-coated optic is placed in the focusing laser such that the surface transmits the low intensity prepulse. As the main pulse approaches, the laser intensity surpasses the ionization threshold for the dielectric, transforming it into a thin and overcritical (highly reflective) plasma layer which reflects the main pulse. When the position of the dielectric is optimized, the plasma will not have time to expand, and thus, the quality of the focus is...
preserved. The ICR is improved by a factor of 10–1000, depending on the efficacy of the AR coating. It is also possible to improve the intensity reached on target by using an ellipsoidal plasma mirror (Nakatsutsumi et al., 2010; Wilson et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2019).

Using solid plasma mirrors at kHz or higher repetition rates using mechanical scanning stages has been demonstrated. In this case, debris is always generated during the formation of plasma mirrors, causing the performance of the plasma mirror on the subsequent shots to be impaired. The debris can also cause damage to other optical components near the target (Bobkowski and Fedosejevs, 1996). Plasma mirrors made from liquid crystal films produced by a wiper (Poole et al., 2016) and liquid films running over a mesh (Panasenko et al., 2010) have been investigated with promising results, but due to the rate at which they are refreshed are only suitable for a maximum repetition rate of the order of a Hertz. To our knowledge, prior research into water plasma mirrors has been laminar flowing water over a solid structure to aid the formation of thin films (Panasenko et al., 2010) and liquid jets (Backus et al., 1993). The flow rate of the water films over the solid structure cannot be high enough for kHz operation. Liquid jets used to form a thin liquid gain medium and saturable absorber windows in ultrafast dye lasers of the 1980s (Fork et al., 1981) have shock-related features that criss-cross their surfaces on intervals of tens of microns.

We propose a different method of creating a regenerating plasma mirror by using a thin film of water, which is produced by impinging two equal cylindrical high flow rate water streams, first demonstrated by Felix Savart (Arago and Gay-Lussac, 1841), and now has applications in industrial sprays (Li and Ashgriz, 2006; Villermaux et al., 2013). The film forms in the plane perpendicular to the two jets, shown in Figure 1, and would be circular when the jets are coaxial and leaf shaped otherwise (Fig. 2). This film is free of features over several millimeters, and the surface is continuously refreshed so does not need replacement. The higher flow rate of the liquid optic enables operation at higher repetition rate, which will be required with kHz systems such as the ELI-Alps (Kuhn et al., 2017). In this paper, we make measurements of the properties of these water films as plasma mirrors and evaluate their usefulness for applications.

**Experimental methods and results**

Generally, the thin water film target is formed by forcing liquid through two identical capillary nozzles, with an inner diameter ranging from 20 to 100 μm. Figure 1 shows the compact setup to create the film. The two liquid jets collide, and a thin region of fluid film is formed at the interface. Initially, we constructed a liquid film system in atmosphere using various nozzles with the fluid backed by a high-pressure gas as the pump. The films that were produced were imaged with an optical camera to look for a large region of laminar flow. Several different liquid materials were tried at different gas pressures, with different angles of the intersecting jets. In Figure 2, the applied gas pressure to the liquid film system ranges from 600 to 1500 psi, and the relative angle of two 20 or 50 μm nozzles is 130°. In the experiments, water (a), soap water (b), mineral oil (d), and ethylene glycol (f) produced windows free of shock features on the scale of several millimeters. The films were thin enough to make interference fringes appear based on the fluorescent room lights. Pump oil (e) was not adequate for this application due to the nonuniformities generated in the flow. The mixture of 50% water and 50% alcohol
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**Fig. 1.** The experimental setup to create the liquid films, showing the axes we use when talking about the liquid window. The skew of the window was controlled by offsetting the nozzles in Z, and the effect of changing the other axes is negligible in comparison.

**Fig. 2.** The liquid film images on the camera under the same conditions using either 20 and 50 μm inner diameter capillaries, 130° relative nozzle angle and gas pressure ranging from 600 to 1500 psi. (c) and (e) have poor performance, (b) exhibits fluorescent light interference, and (a) and (d) exhibit a single fish-tail shock pattern common to most flows. (a) and (f) are the best choices for liquid film, being both thin and stable.
**Laminarity and reflecting surface size measurements**

To work as a plasma mirror, the water film must have a laminar area that is of the order 1 mm$^2$ for a terawatt laser, and 1 cm$^2$ for a petawatt. This region must be without droplets, or spray from other parts of the flow passing in front of the window and hence in the path of the laser. The collision dynamics (position, skew, and impingement angle) were important for producing a stable window, as shown in Figure 3.

The stable regime had a characteristic rope of water around the edge of it, which stopped the edge breaking up into droplets (Fig. 3). For some angles creating the film skewed allowed the formation of a stable window, whereas a perpendicular film to the plane of the water stream was unstable. An angle of 128.0 ± 0.7°, which was stable for perpendicular and skewed water films, was chosen and used for the later stages of the work. Once this was found, then the flow rate was important for defining the size of the window, as shown in Figures 3 and 4. A linear relation between flow rate and area was found.

**Measurements of surface thickness**

The thickness of the pure water film through 100 μm nozzles was measured using the reflection of a white light emitting diode. The light that is reflected of the back surface ($R_2$) interferes with the light reflected off the front surface ($R_0$). The refractive index of air is $n_{\text{air}} = 1.0$ and of water $n_{\text{water}} = 1.33$.

$$R = \left| \frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right|^2. \quad (1)$$

The reflections calculated from Eq. (1) show the beam reflected from the front surface is 2% of the total incoming beam and from the back surface is 1.97%. So the value of $R_0 = 2\%$ and $R_1 = 1.93\%$. Since most of the light is contained in the first transmission, $T_1$, successive reflections can be ignored. The film has AR properties if the reflection $R_0$ destructively interferes with $R_1$. The reflected light is measured with a spectrometer, and the thin film has the properties of an etalon, as the two surfaces of the film are neither fully reflective or transmissive. The spectrum of the light is modified, and the peaks are separated in frequency space by the free spectral range:

$$v_{\text{FSR}} = \frac{c}{2d} \quad (2)$$

$c$ the speed of light, $n$ is the refractive index, and $d$ is the path through the water, which then relates to the thickness:

$$t = d \cos (\theta) \quad (3)$$

where $\theta$ is the angle of incidence on the water film.

**Stability characterization**

The pointing stability of the laser after reflection from the plasma mirror is important. A measurement of how much the pointing was fluctuating was taken and is shown in Figure 6. The stability of the window was different in the two characteristic planes of the film. It was measured at 1.09 ± 0.06 mrad around the $y$-axis as indicated in Figure 1 and 0.088 ± 0.005 mrad around the $z$-axis.

The large difference in stability in the two axis comes from the fact an offset of the two jets in "z" plane from Fig. 1) its thickness was 2.10 ± 0.06 μm, and a skewed window (~30° to normal) had a thickness of 1.6 ± 0.3 μm.

**High intensity reflection measurements**

High intensity reflection measurement experiments were carried out under a vacuum using the lambda-cubed ($\lambda^3$) laser at the Center for Ultrafast Optical Science (CUOS) at the University of Michigan. This laser utilizes chirped pulse amplification and
delivers 800-nm wavelength laser pulses containing energies up to 20 mJ with a full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) pulse duration around 43 fs at a repetition rate of 500 Hz. Due to the limit of the optical diagnostic system, we decreased the system repetition rate to 83.3 Hz by using a chopper in the system. A half-wave plate/polarizer combination was mounted between the target and the laser system, giving control of the incident intensity of the laser using the computer-controlled rotation stage.

The schematic setup for generating the water film plasma mirror is shown in Figure 7. The incoming laser beam has a Gaussian beam diameter of 22 mm and was focused by a lens with a focal length of 650 mm, producing a focal spot around 30 μm diameter. The average power of the beam before focus is 3 W at the repetition frequency of 83.3 Hz. Thus, the maximum intensity on the target in this work was \( \sim 5 \times 10^{16} \text{ W/cm}^2 \). The water film was positioned at the focus of the lens. When the intensity was great enough the surface of the film was ionized, generating a plasma mirror which reflected the remainder of the pulse. The reflected light was imaged onto a CCD camera (640 × 480 pixels each 5.6 × 5.6 μm) via a partially reflecting wedge, to decrease the delivered energy, and a lens. The nozzles were mounted on three-axis xyz stages, allowing the position of the water film to be changed as well as the incident angles of the colliding jets. The inner diameter of the capillaries was 100 μm, and the water flow rate was 6.5 ml/min. This corresponds to a flow rate of 6.9 m/s out of each nozzle, so the liquid moves \( \sim 80 \text{ mm} \) between laser pulses. The chamber was under vacuum for the experiment, to avoid the generation of air plasma or laser
filamentation. It should be noted that the vapor pressure of water is 20 Torr at room temperature, but the effect of the vacuum on the water film was uncharacterized for this work.

The spectrum of the laser before and after the plasma is shown in Figure 8. It is clear that the center wavelength has a blue shift of 60 nm following the plasma mirror. The FWHM of the laser pulse spectrum increases; however, it is unlikely that this indicates any additional pulse compression. Rather, the presence of blueshifting is likely attributable to ionization of the background water vapor (20 Torr) inside the chamber (Hou et al., 2008). This may also have reduced the transmitted energy measured in the experiments as the “neutral density” filters used were chosen for their spectral dependence in the range from 750 to 820 nm. The other viable liquid for stable films was ethylene glycol which has a lower vapor pressure. This would reduce the background vapor and therefore the blue shift. However, we did not investigate this to avoid introduction of carbon into the vacuum system.

To characterize the reflectivity of the water plasma mirror, the laser was operated at either at 83.3 Hz or in single-shot mode. The effective reflectivity of the water film as a function of the intensity is shown in Figure 9. The effective reflectivity is measured by taking the ratio of the integrated signal measured on a CCD camera directly before and after the water film. In Figure 9, each data point represents an average result of 500 images obtained from the CCD camera. With the near-normal Fresnel intensity reflection being 2%, reflected by both surfaces of the water film the total relative reflected power without plasma should to be 4%. For future experiments, the thickness of the film should be matched so its thickness is nλ/4, where n is an odd integer, the film should display AR properties and the reflectivity reduced (Hecht and Street, 2010). At an intensity below $7 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm², the reflectivity was 4% which matching the expected value. The threshold intensity of $7 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm² is somewhat higher than the damage threshold measurements of a solid target ($10^{14}$ W/cm²) (Kautek et al., 1996; Ziener et al., 2003). Above this threshold, the effective reflectivity rises up to 30% at an intensity of about $5 \times 10^{15}$ W/cm², similar to the intensity with the laminar flow water film claimed by Panasenko et al. (2010). The measured maximum effective reflectivity is lower than those liquid jet experiments.

The difference in reflection between a single-shot mode and a high repetition rate was investigated. Figure 10 shows the reflectivity trend with intensity for the two operating regimes. This blue-shift modifies the laser to a spectral region where the CCD detector sensitivity is higher and throughput of the optical filter has increased, which compromises the quantitative nature of the measurement (Figure 8). However, since the general trend is consistent with single-shot operations, with the reflectivity for both
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**Fig. 8.** The spectrum of the laser before and after the plasma. The dotted curve shows the spectrum of the main laser beam, as a reference. The solid curve shows the spectrum after the water film plasma mirror. The FWHM of the spectra becomes wider after plasma mirror and there is also a blueshifting.

**Fig. 9.** Assumed reflectivity of the pure water film using a pulse duration of 43 fs and repetition rate of 83.3 Hz. The intensity was varied by rotating the half-wave plate.
cases normalized to its maximum value, we conclude that the water film studied may be suitable for use as a plasma mirror.

Reflected beam profile measurements

The beam profile reflected off the mirror is important. Ideally, a spot would remain a spot after reflection, showing that the mirror does not deform the beam profile. A wavefront sensor, Shack–Hartmann design, measured the amount of distortion. The Shack–Hartmann has an array of small lenses which all focus onto the CCD chip on a camera. If a flat wavefront is imaged, the lenslet array will create an evenly spaced array of spots. However, if the wavefront has distortions, the focus point of each individual lenslet is altered, meaning that the bright spots on the CCD move. By tracking this movement, it is possible to calculate what the wavefront would have been.

The probing HeNe beam was measured to have an area of \( \approx 0.5 \text{ mm}^2 \). An assumption made for this calculation was that the wavefront shape was not changing with respect to time. We saw from the stability measurements an overall motion but no relative motion. This is a positive sign, as theoretically it will be possible to build an optic to correct for the distortion of the spot, therefore making a larger area of the plasma mirror usable.

Optics surface flatness is measured in the number of wavelengths shifts per inch and for a good quality optic is greater that \( \lambda/4 \) per inch. Measuring from the center spot to the edge, we see a flatness of \( \approx 900\lambda \) per inch. The amount of wavefront distortion is far to large for current adaptive optics, such as deformable mirrors, to correct in one go. However, as this is an important problem to solve, it is not unreasonable to assume that an optic could be built to correct for this.

The reflected beam profile had what looked like a severe coma aberration, which might come from a slight curvature of the films surface. The probe beam was reflected of the film in around the \( Y \)-axis, due to the lack of space in the \( Z \) plane. Therefore, reflecting in a different axis may improve the beam quality.

Conclusion

This work has made measurements assessing the feasibility of a pure water film created by colliding liquid jets for use as a regenerating plasma mirror for high repetition rate (kHz) systems. The flowing water-based plasma mirror has clear potential benefits, including refreshing the surface automatically and avoiding high-Z plasma debris. The maximum plasma mirror reflectivity was 30% which was less than that achieved by the water film produced by Panasenko et al. (2010), but the flow rate is about two orders of magnitude greater. The flexibility of this water film system is enormous: the pressure of the water pump can be controlled and hence the size of the window; the diameter of the nozzles; the relatively angle of the two nozzles; and the film material can be replaced, ethylene glycol being a key candidate.

Comparisons between the regenerating water film in a single-shot mode and a high repetition rate indicate the compatibility of this optic with high repetition-rate laser systems. The stability and thickness measurements show the current setup is close to the required parameters. In addition to improvements to the reflectivity measurements, further work would be to see if changing the capillary size altered the thickness to produce a film with AR properties to improve the ICR.
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