Meat consumption and all-cause mortality in 5763 patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A retrospective cohort study
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Summary
Background Whether meat consumption is related to risk of mortality in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) remains poorly understood.

Methods In the UK Biobank, 5763 patients with IBD were recruited from 2007 to 2010 and finished a brief food frequency questionnaire at baseline. We followed them until March 13, 2021 to document all-cause death events. Cox proportional hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) for all-cause mortality associated with consumptions of fish, unprocessed poultry, unprocessed red meat, and processed meat among the patients.

Findings During 67,095 person-years (mean follow-up 11.7 years, mean age 57.3, 52.5% female), we documented 590 death events. Higher consumption of processed meat was associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality in patients with IBD (HR comparing >40 with 0−9 time/week=1.52, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.05–2.19), but the P-trend for each 25 g increment was 0.075. This association remained significant in patients with Crohn’s disease (HR 1.77, 95% CI 1.01–3.10) but not in patients with ulcerative colitis (HR 1.34, 95% CI 0.82–2.20). Consumptions of fish (HR 1.27, 95% CI 0.84–1.91), unprocessed poultry (HR 0.59, 95% CI 0.28–1.21), or unprocessed red meat (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.60–1.26) were not significantly associated with the mortality of patients with IBD.

Interpretation More frequent consumption of processed meat was associated with an increased risk of mortality in patients with IBD, while no associations were observed for consumption of other types of meat. Our exploratory and speculative findings should be cautiously interpreted and need further replication in other cohorts.
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Introduction Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), comprising ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD), poses increasingly great burdens worldwide.1−3 Considering the intimacy of IBD with the digestive tract, dietary factors are regarded as one of the most crucial modifiable lifestyle factors for incident IBD and prognosis of patients with IBD.4−6 Previous studies have revealed the associations of high intakes of animal protein and meat with an increased risk of incident IBD,5,6 while there has been no consensus on whether it is necessary to restrict meat consumption for patients with IBD.5 On the one hand, meat is the major source of multiple nutrients, and nutrients that can be easily absorbed are essential for the prognosis of patients with IBD.9 On the other, the impaired digestive function of patients with IBD10 may make them more vulnerable to unhealthy meat intake.11
Emerging studies suggested that meat consumption, especially red meat consumption, was associated with the progression of IBD\(^{11-13}\) and highlighted the role of Trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) in the effect of meat consumption. Epidemiology evidence and animal experiments demonstrated that higher TMAO level was associated with an increased risk of inflammation\(^{16,17}\), colorectal cancer\(^{18}\), cardiovascular disease as well as mortality.\(^{19}\) Given that patients with IBD have a higher mortality rate\(^{20}\) and risk of colorectal cancer\(^{21}\), a healthy meat intake may play an essential role in preventing premature death among patients with IBD. However, there is limited evidence concerning the relation of meat consumption to long-term outcomes of IBD, which is crucial in the development of targeted dietary recommendations for patients with IBD. A previous study had linked a high-quality diet to reduced mortality risk in patients with IBD\(^{22}\), but which types and frequencies of meat intake are associated with a particularly higher risk of mortality among patients with IBD remained unclear.

Therefore, we hypothesized that more frequent consumptions of red meat and processed meat is associated with higher risk of all-cause mortality in patients with IBD. To clarify their relations, we conducted a retrospective cohort study based on UK Biobank to investigate the associations of consumptions of different types of meat with all-cause mortality in patients with IBD.

**Methods**

**Study population**

The UK Biobank is a large-scale cohort that recruited over 500 000 participants aged 40−69 years from 2006 to 2010 across the United Kingdom.\(^{23}\) Participants attended one of the twenty-two assessment centres across England, Wales, and Scotland\(^{23}\), where they electronically signed informed consent and completed a self-administered, touch-screen questionnaire, a face-to-face interview, physical measurement, and sample collection, as described in more detail elsewhere.\(^{24}\) All available resources are listed on the UK Biobank website (http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk). Ethical approval was granted for the UK Biobank by the North West-Haydock Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 16/NW/0274). This study followed the REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement.

We leveraged data of UK Biobank participants who were diagnosed with IBD before recruitment. We extracted their disease information via self-report (collected from the verbal interview and converted to International Classification of Disease, 10th (ICD-10) code), primary care (documented in specific code form and mapped into ICD-10 code), and hospital inpatient data (recorded in ICD-9 or ICD-10 form). Baseline IBD was defined as being diagnosed before recruitment with the specific diagnostic code (ICD-10 code: K50, K51; ICD-9 code: K555, K556). We excluded participants (i) without IBD subtype information or diagnoses with UC and CD simultaneously (n = 167); (2) with missing dietary data for meat at baseline (n = 9); and (3) enrolled in pilot recruitment conducted in 2006 (n = 33) (Supplementary Figure S1). Finally, we included 5763 patients with IBD in the primary analyses. The prevalence of IBD (~1%) in the UK Biobank was comparable with previous studies based on primary care data.\(^{25,26}\)

**Meat consumption measures**

A validated brief food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) consisting of 47 items\(^{27}\) was contained in touchscreen questionnaire used in UK Biobank. We leveraged data on the consumption of meat and other major food groups of the patients. The main exposures in the current study were unprocessed fish (oily and non-oily), unprocessed poultry, unprocessed red meat (pork, beef, and lamb/mutton), and processed meat. Participants
were asked how often they consumed each item (‘never’, ‘less than once a week’, ‘once a week’, ‘2–4 times a week’, ‘5–6 times a week’, or ‘once or more daily’).

We categorized meat consumption groups in several steps according to previous studies. First, we assigned values for meat consumption according to the frequency per week (never eaten = 0, eaten <1 time/week = 0–5, 1 time/week = 6–9, 2–4 times/week = 10–19, 5–6 times/week = 20–24, and ≥7 times daily = 25). We combined oily and non-oily fish as fish consumption, and unprocessed red meat was defined as the combination of beef, pork, and mutton. Finally, we categorized intake frequencies for each meat type into 4 groups as follows: 0–0.9 time/week, 1.0–1.9 times/week, 2.0–4.0 times/week, >4.0 times/week.

We used data from the Oxford WebQ questionnaire conducted in a subgroup of participants to estimate the mean intake of each meat category of the 5763 participants. The Oxford WebQ questionnaire was administered online for a subgroup of participants in five rounds (Apr 2009-Sep 2010; Feb 2011-April 2011; June 2011-Aug 2011; Oct 2011-Dec 2011; April 2012-June 2012) to quantitatively assess meat consumption in the past 24 h. Each item was recorded in portions (e.g., how many rashers of bacon). The weight of each food (grams) for each participant was calculated by multiplying the number of portions with the standard portion size. For 2171 participants who had more than one round of valid WebQ, we calculated their mean values of daily intakes by the frequency category (0–0.9, 1.0–1.9, 2.0–4.0, >4.0 times/week, frequency from the touchscreen questionnaire) (Supplementary Table S1). These mean values were then assigned to the 5763 participants. Valid questionnaire here was defined as the questionnaire with typical diet and credible energy intake (>20 MJ and ≤20 MJ) for male, >20 MJ and ≤18 MJ for female) to increase the reliability and representativeness of the data. The mean intake of each meat category was also used to estimate trends (per 25 g/d) in risk across categories, as is suggested by previous studies, including sociodemographic factors (age at recruitment, sex, ethnicity, education level, Townsend deprivation index (TDI)), lifestyle factors (smoking status (never, previous or current), body mass index (BMI), alcohol drinking status (never, previous, current), physical activity level), and other major food groups (including vegetable in tablespoons/day, fruit in pieces/day, and grain product in slices or bowls/week) collected in the FFQ. Physical activities were classified into two categories (low, high) according to whether the participants met the criteria of more than 150 min per week of moderate-intensity physical activity, or 75 min per week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic activities. To account for the quantity of alcohol consumption, we also incorporated information for alcohol consumption collected from the baseline questionnaire and further categorized participants were categorized into none, moderate, or high consumers. Moderate level of alcohol consumption was defined as <14 g/d for women and <28 g/d for men, with participants exceeding this quantity being categorized as high consumers. We also collected baseline duration time of IBD and baseline comorbidities, including hypertension, cardiovascular disease (angina, myocardial infarction), stroke, and cancer from multiple sources (hospital inpatient, primary care, cancer registry, self-report).

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics were summarized for all patients with IBD and by subtypes (UC and CD). Continuous variables were presented as means (SDs) and categorical variables were displayed as numbers (percentages). Given the low rates of missing (0–9%), we handled the missing data by single imputation, using means for continuous variables and the most populated categories for the categorical variables. The associations of meat consumption with all-cause mortality in patients with IBD, UC, and CD were assessed using Cox proportional hazard regressions. Proportional hazard assumption was tested and verified using weighted residuals method. Survival time (in person-years) was calculated from baseline (date of recruitment) to death, loss-to-follow-up, or the end of linkage (March 13, 2021), whichever came first. We also plotted the Kaplan-Meier curves by meat consumptions to visualize these associations. The minimally-adjusted model estimated the hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) adjusted for age (continuous), age-squared (continuous), sex (female or male), and ethnicity (white or others). The fully-adjusted model was further adjusted for TDI (low, moderate, or high deprivation), education level (college and above or high school and below), physical activity level (low or high), smoking status (never,
previous, or current), alcohol drinking status (never, previous, or current), and other major food groups (intake of vegetable, fruit, and grain product, as continuous variables respectively).

To test potential effect modifications by major covariates, we re-run the fully-adjusted model stratified by sex (female or male), age (≤ 60 or > 60 years), smoking status (never, previous or current), alcohol drinking status (never, previous, or current), education level (college and above or high school and below), physical activity level (low or high). The P-interaction was calculated by testing the change of goodness-of-fit before and after allowing a multiplication term of the meat consumption and the covariate.

We conducted several sensitivity analyses to verify the robustness of the results. Based on the fully-adjusted models, we further: (1) adjusted for BMI and baseline comorbidities considering their potential effects on meat consumption and mortality; (2) evaluated the associations of subtypes of red meat (i.e., mutton, beef, and pork) and fish (i.e., oily and non-oily) with mortality; (3) reprocessed the covariates using multiple imputations to address the potential influence of the imputing method; (4) used no consumption (0 time/week) or 0−1−0 time per week consumption as the reference group; (5) adjusted the quantity of alcohol consumption instead of alcohol drinking status to further account for the effect of alcohol intake; (7) excluded patients with only self-report of IBD but no health system records; (8) excluded patients who died within the first 1, 2, and 3 years, respectively, to alleviate the potential of reverse causation.

Statistical analyses were performed using R 3.6.0, and two-sided P-values < 0.05 were considered indicators for statistical significance.

Role of funding sources
The funders had no role in data collection, analysis, interpretation, writing of the manuscript and the decision to submit.

Results
Baseline characteristics
Baseline characteristics of patients with IBD, CD, and UC were shown in Table 1. Of the 5763 patients with IBD, 3028 (52.5%) were female and 3929 (68.2%) were with UC. A total of 590 deaths were documented over 67,095 person-years (average follow-up = 11.7 years). The mean (SD) age was 57.3(7.9) years in patients with IBD, 56.4(8.1) years in patients with CD, and 57.7(7.8) years in patients with UC. The proportions of participants who consumed fish, unprocessed poultry,
unprocessed red meat, or processed meat ≥0–0.9 time/week were 8.1%, 14.7%, 10.0%, and 37.5%, respectively.

Meat consumption and all-cause mortality
The associations between meat consumptions and all-cause mortality among patients with IBD were shown in Table 1. All Cox models satisfied the proportional hazard assumptions (P-values for fish, 0.11; poultry, 0.48; unprocessed red meat, 0.58; processed meat, 0.25). Individuals with IBD who consumed processed meat more frequently were at an increased risk of mortality (HR comparing ≥4.0 with 0–0.9 time/week, 1.52, 95% CI 1.05–2.19), but the trend was not significant (P-trend for each 25 g increment = 0.75). We observed no associations of other types of meat with mortality, including fish (HR 1.27, 95% CI 0.84–1.91; P-trend for each 25 g increment = 0.31), unprocessed poultry (HR 0.59, 95%
CI 0.28–1.21; P-trend for each 25 g increment = 0.13), and unprocessed red meat (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.60–1.26; P-trend for each 25 g increment = 0.89).

The association between processed meat (>4 times/week) with mortality remained robust in patients having IBD over 10 years (Table 2, HR 1.65, 95% CI 1.03–2.64). Furthermore, more frequent consumption of processed meat (>4 times/week) was significantly associated with increased mortality risk (Figure 2) in patients with CD (HR 1.77, 95% CI 1.01–3.10), with each 25 g increment being associated with approximately 70% increased risk (HR 1.70, 95% CI 1.02–2.84). On the contrary, we did not observe this association in patients with UC (HR 1.34, 95% CI 0.82–2.20).

In most sensitivity analyses, the observed results maintained consistent, but was non-significant when we used no consumption (i.e., 0 time/week) as the reference group (HR comparing >4 with 0 time/week = 1.04, comparing >4 with 0-0.9 time/week = 1.04, 95% CI 0.99–2.48), potentially due to limited sample size in the reference group (Supplementary Table S2). Patients who consumed processed meat >4 times/week also showed an increased risk of mortality when we further adjusted the model for BMI and baseline comorbidities (HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.02–2.10). This association persisted when we excluded death cases that occurred in the first 1-year (HR 1.50, 95% CI 1.03–2.18), 2-year (HR 1.48, 95% CI 1.01–2.18), and 3-year (HR 1.52, 95% CI 1.02–2.17) periods, respectively (Supplementary Table S3–4). When separately assessing the relations of oily and non-oily fish, unprocessed pork, unprocessed beef, and unprocessed lamb/mutton to mortality, we did not observe any statistically significant association (Supplementary Table S5). For example, higher intake of non-oily fish was not associated with mortality risk (HR 0.72, 95% CI 0.47–1.15, comparing >4 with 0–0.9 time/week). Since the confidence intervals were too wide to make any solid conclusion, further studies are needed to explore these associations. We also observed similar associations of more frequent consumption of processed meat with mortality (Supplementary Tables S6–9) when we reanalyzed covariates using multiple imputations (HR 1.47, 95% CI 1.01–2.20), adjusted the models for the quantity of alcohol consumption instead of alcohol drinking status (HR 1.58, 95% CI 1.08–2.33), and used 0–1–0–9 time per week as the reference group (HR 1.51, 95% CI 1.05–2.18).
Table 2: HRs (95% CIs) for the associations between meat consumption and all-cause mortality among patients with IBD with baseline duration of disease survival >10 years or ≤10 years.

HR: hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
1 HR was adjusted for age, age-squared, sex, ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index, education, physical activity level, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, and intake of grain product, vegetable, and fruit.
2 P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Figure 2. Associations between meat consumption and all-cause mortality among patients with Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. HRs were calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression models, adjusted for age, age-squared, sex and ethnicity, Townsend deprivation index, education, physical activity level, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, and intake of grain product, vegetable and fruit. Mean intake in each category is estimated from the 24-h dietary assessments. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.
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We observed similar associations (Supplementary Tables S10, 11) in analyses stratified by age, sex, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, education level, and physical activity level (P-interactions > 0.05 for all).

Discussion
In this study, we discovered that more frequent intake of processed meat, but not fish, unprocessed poultry, or unprocessed red meat, was associated with an increased risk of mortality in patients with IBD. This association remained robust in patients with CD but was non-significant in patients with UC. The observed relations were consistent across major subgroups defined by age, sex, smoking status, alcohol drinking status, education level, and physical activity level. Such associations were not significant when we took no consumption as the reference group. Taken together, these findings provided additional evidence, but should be interpreted with caution.

Multiple studies have assessed the associations between processed meat and all-cause mortality in the general population or patients, most of which revealed an increased risk of all-cause mortality associated with processed meat. A meta-analysis indicated that each serving per day increment in processed meat intake was associated with an 15% increased risk of all-cause mortality (RR 1.15, 95% CI 1.11–1.19), and another reported that a reduction of 3 servings/week of processed meat was associated 8% decreased risk of all-cause mortality (RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.87–0.96). Our study, focused on patients with IBD, found a strong association (HR 1.51, 95% CI 1.04–2.19) between processed meat and all-cause mortality, and this estimate was higher than that of the general population reported by a previous study in 29,682 US adults (HR=1.09, 95% CI 1.01–1.18). Given that patients with IBD tended to have altered dietary habits, our results thus provided additional evidence for targeted nutrition recommendations. Our findings, if proven causal, suggested that for patients with IBD, especially CD, consuming processed meat >4 times/week conferred significantly increased (~15%) risk of mortality. However, whether other types of meat, i.e., fish, unprocessed poultry, and unprocessed red meat, are necessarily associated with mortality risk needs further investigation.

We discovered notable differences in the associations between processed meat consumption and mortality among patients with CD and UC in the associations. The association remained strong in patients with CD but was non-significant in patients with UC, which corresponded to previous epidemiologic studies, showing that diet may be more closely related to the course of CD instead of UC. Another study also put forward that Crohn’s Disease Exclusion Diet (CDED), which avoided or reduction of exposure to foods containing animal/dairy fat, protein, etc., plus partial enteral nutrition (PEN) induced sustained remission in patients with CD. A possible explanation might be the different sites of the lesions between patients with CD and UC. The entire gastrointestinal tract can be affected in patients with CD while the lesion is localized to the colon in patients with UC. The small intestine is regarded as the primary site of nutrient digestion and absorption. Moreover, researchers demonstrated that microbiota in the small intestine is extremely responsive to dietary stimuli and plays an important role in nutrient assimilation. However, other studies also found that processed meat was strongly associated with the risk of relapse of UC. Therefore, further studies are needed to explore the effects of diets on patients with CD and UC and the critical composition.

Our findings kept robust in almost all sensitivity analyses. When we used 0.1–0.9 time per week consumption as the reference group, the result was consistently significant. However, when we took participants with no consumption as the reference group, the HR (95% CI) (consuming > 4.0 times/week compared to 0 time/week) for associations between processed meat consumption and mortality was 1.57 (0.99–2.48). The direction of association was consistent, but due to the limited sample size, the confidence interval was widened and included the null. Considering the small number of participants with no consumption, the non-significant association should be interpreted with caution.

Although the underlying mechanism was unclear, several hypotheses can explain the increased risks of processed meat consumption on mortality in patients with IBD. First, it is well established that high salt and fat diet from processed meat increases the risk of cardiovascular disease while polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and nitrates may contribute to some of the adverse health effects that can lead to cancer. In addition, patients with IBD may be more vulnerable to the exposure of N-nitroso compounds (NOC) produced by processed meat, therefore leading to a higher risk of colorectal cancer and increased risk of all-cause death. Second, protein, fat, salt, glycans including in processed meat promoted inflammation in various ways, compromising the colonic intestinal epithelium structure. Third, the roles of TMAO can’t be ignored as well. Higher intake of processed red meat could increase circulating TMAO levels under the transformation of gut microbiota, which was proven to be associated with inflammation and colorectal cancer, thus posing a higher risk of adverse outcomes for patients with IBD. Also, diet was proved to have potential effects on the composition and function of the intestinal microbiome, thus involved in the courses of patients with IBD, either directly or indirectly.

Given the widespread consumption of processed meat, this study provides relevant and useful information for developing dietary recommendations for patients with IBD. To our knowledge, this is the first
study examining the associations of meat consumption on the longevity of patients with IBD. There are several strengths in our study. First, we took advantage of the large sample size and well-administered cohort from UK Biobank, which enabled a series of analyses on the specific population with IBD. Second, an adequate number of death cases defined based on the NHS death records allowed us to assess the associations with acceptable statistical power. Third, potential confounding effects were considered seriously and dealt with through multiple adjustments and sensitivity analyses.

Nevertheless, our study has several limitations. First, patients with IBD in this study were mainly of European ancestry, so the generalizability of our findings awaits exploration. Second, as the food frequency was only measured at baseline, measurement error might still exist despite our efforts in incorporating dietary data from the repeated 24-h dietary assessments. Since the 24-h dietary questionnaire used in UK Biobank was validated in general population but not in patients with IBD, the over- or underestimation of actual meat intake may also exist. Third, residual confounding and reverse causation could still exist, given the observational nature of this study. As the baseline touchscreen brief FFQ does not support the calculation of total energy, we could not account for the potential confounding by total energy. Instead, we adjusted for the major food groups (including vegetable, fruit, and grain product), BMI, and physical activity in the models to partially address this issue. Also, as we mainly considered the long-term outcome of IBD rather than focusing on the shorter-term outcomes such as relapse or hospital admissions, the developmental course and the underlying mechanism warrants further investigation.

In conclusion, we found that more frequent consumption of processed meat was associated with an increased risk of mortality in patients with IBD, especially in patients with CD, while no significant association was observed for other types of meat and consumption. Although our findings are exploratory and speculative, they may serve as a starting point for the attention of meat consumption.
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