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Abstract—Transport Modelling is capital for transportation planning. All-or-Nothing Traffic Assignment is used quite a lot. The model can not be considered valid without passing through validation and calibration process. A validation and calibration method, for the all-or-nothing traffic assignment, need to be developed. The research produced simple practical validation and calibration method. The calculated model traffic volumes are compared against the real traffic volumes. Simple validation method was developed by just setting a maximum allowable error, measured in percentage. Simple calibration method consists of correcting the model traffic volume by correcting the corresponding OD Matrix cell values. The calibration consists of 4 basic tasks: 1. identifying the traffic volume need to be calibrated, 2. identifying corresponding OD matrix cells corresponding to the traffic volume need to be calibrated, 3. distributing traffic volume error to the corresponding OD matrix cells, and 4. developing the calibrated OD matrix. Validation and Calibration are a pair of an iterative process.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A regions Transportation Master Plan need to be developed periodically. For this purpose a Region Transport Model need to be developed to be used as a foundation for transportation planning [1].

Even if the basic of Transportation Modelling is the Four Steps, it vary in applications, depend on the case. The three general types are direct, conventional and unconventional model. While in terms of Traffic Assignment, among others, there are all-or-nothing, incremental loading, and equilibrium type [5,10].

All-or-Nothing Traffic Assignment phenomena are still widely occurred. The freight truck network, the sparse road network, all of these function as an All-or-Nothing Traffic Assignment. Its calculation is easier and can be done without using special software [5,7,8,9,10,12].

Certain Transportation Modelling Software, such as Visum and EMME/IV, have facilities to execute validation and calibration calculation. But, these facilities are not specially designated for the validation and calibration. Besides, these kind of softwares are onerous enough. Therefore, those are not easily accessible [4,5,8].

It is impossible to be able to develop a model without error. Modelling result need to be validated and calibrated. Major transportation model validation and calibration can be done only after the final step, upon the calculated link traffic volume [2,3,5,7,9,12,13,14].

Transportation Modelling methods have been discussed quite a lot in coursework, handbooks, scientific papers, researches and studies. On the other hand, the validation and calibration method are still rarely discussed and developed. Meanwhile, these two are obligation for professional transportation modelling work [4,5,6,7,8,9,12].

Therefore manual validation and calibration method, for All-or-Nothing Traffic Assignment, need to be developed. This paper present an attempt to develop the method. This research deals only with OD Matrix error.

II. METHOD

A. Transportation Modelling

Transportation Modelling in general means an Area Wide Transportation Supply-Demand Modelling. This modelling technic can be used for all kind of modes, either for passenger or freight, and either for public or private transportation. Basically, the transportation modelling consists of four steps. But, in general, this can be grouped into three basic types: direct, conventional and unconventional modelling. The four steps known as: trip generation, modal split, trip distribution and traffic assignment. The suite of these four can vary, but the trip generation is always the starter point and the traffic assignment is always the end point. The fourth step, traffic assignment, knows several types: all-or-nothing, incremental loading, equilibrium an others. There are varieties of model for each step. Result validation can only be executed in the end, upon calculated link traffic volume [5,12].

B. Validation and Calibration

Validation is to verify whether the modelling result is valid or not. Valid means the error occurred are acceptable. Calibration means to correct the unacceptable error of modelling result to achieve acceptable error condition. Validation and calibration are iteartives process. The error types are: measurement, sample, calculation and specification error. In engineering design, we can find a lot of validation measured in comparison of the result value to the expected value. The accepted difference can be measured in percentage number. Thus, one of the simplest validation method is by defining a threshold of acceptable error [3,13].

In Transportation Modelling, validation and calibration deal with assessing the calculated traffic volume value as a result of modelling process, compared these to the real values [2,14].

C. Special Matrix Technic

A Special Matrix Technic has ever been developed for Transportation Network Analysis. This technic has been proved to be practical and efficient to be used for Road Network Analysis Calculation [8,11].
III. CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT

A. Basic Concept

Traffic Assignment is a result of calculation to assign OD Matrix to the network under a certain calculation method. Therefore it is clear, as also indicated by personal experience and assuming that there is no error in calculation process, that error resources can be from: the Traffic Assignment Model, the OD Matrix Model and the Network Model. The network model error itself can consist of errors on the link model, the node model, the centroid model and the connector models.

Thus calibration is a complicated work. This research is restricted only to deal with OD Matrix error.

B. General Method

Validation and Calibration is a pair of an iterative correction work. The model calculation result first has to be validated. Those which are not valid than has to be calibrated. Afterward the validation has to be executed again. This iterative work has to be executed until a reasonable or satisfying model result will have been gotten. The iterative process is presented in Figure 1 below.

![Figure 1. Iterative Validation and Calibration](image)

C. Simple Case for Method Development

For Method Development a Simple Case is needed to make Method Development clear and simple. A network consists of 3 nodes and 3 links is taken. The network and the OD Matrix are presented in Figure 2 and Table 1 below.

![Figure 2. Simple Case Network](image)

| OD  | 1  | 2  | 3  |
|-----|----|----|----|
| 1   | 0  | 15 | 23 |
| 2   | 17 | 0  | 17 |
| 3   | 32 | 12 | 0  |

Model Traffic Volume were calculated afterward based on the Network and the OD Matrix. The All-or-Nothing Traffic Assignment calculation is presented in Table 2 below.

| No | Link | OD  | Traffic |
|----|------|-----|---------|
| 1  | 1-2  | 15  | 15      |
| 2  | 2-1  | 17  | 17      |
| 3  | 1-3  | 23  | 23      |
| 4  | 3-1  | 32  | 32      |
| 5  | 2-3  | 17  | 17      |
| 6  | 3-2  | 12  | 12      |

Furthermore, the Model Traffic Volume and the Real Traffic Volume are presented graphically in Figure 3 and Figure 4 as follows.

![Figure 3. Model Traffic Flow](image)
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Figure 4. Real Traffic Flow

As an example, the Real Traffic Flow is also presented in a matrix form in Table 3 as follows.

| TF | 1  | 2  | 3  |
|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | 0  | 25 | 24 |
| 2  | 18 | 0  | 17 |
| 3  | 33 | 12 | 0  |

D. Validation Method Development

For practicality reason, Validation is assessed based on maximum allowable error, measure in percentage. A maximum allowable error of 5%-10% seems rasonable, depends on the case.

Example of Simple Case Validation Calculation was made with a 10% maximum allowable error. The calculation is presented in Table 4 below.

| No | Link | Traffic Volume | Error | Eval. |
|----|------|----------------|-------|-------|
| 1  | 1-2  | 25             | -10   | 40.0  | X     |
| 2  | 2-1  | 18             | -1    | -5.6  | ok    |
| 3  | 3-1  | 24             | -1    | -4.2  | ok    |
| 4  | 3-1  | 33             | -1    | -3.0  | ok    |
| 5  | 2-3  | 17             | 0     | 0.0   | ok    |
| 6  | 3-2  | 12             | 0     | 0.0   | ok    |

Traffic Volume on Link 1-2 has significant error value. It needs to be calibrated.

The validation calculation can be made by comparing two traffic flow matrix, i.e the real traffic flow against the model traffic flow. An example of traffic flow matrix can be regarded in Table 3 above. This calculation method seems more complex and less practical, for this case.

The special matrix technic calculation, even if it is part of this research, is not discussed in this paper.

E. Calibration Method Development

Calibration method is developed based on the basic principle that a calculated traffic flow is a special addition of certain OD matrix cell values [1,2,4,5,6]. Therefore for this case, correcting the calculated traffic volumes have to be done by changing the related OD Matrix cell values.

The method consists of: 1. identifying the traffic flow with unacceptable error and its error value, 2. identifying the OD Cells participating in the traffic flow with significant error, 3. correcting the OD Cells value by distributing the error value proportionally to the related cells values, 4. calculating the Calibrated OD Matrix.

Step 1 can be represented by Table 4 above. Traffic Flow on Link 1-2 experience unacceptable error, with a value of 10. Afterward step 2 can be represented by Table 2 above. The OD Cell participating in Flow on Link 1-2 is the Cel 1-2 ($T_{12}$).

Calibration then can be executed. The Calibration Calculation is presented in Table 5 below. Model Traffic Volume and Real Traffic Volume is compared, give an error of 10. The error of 10 then is used for Cell 1-2 correction or is distributed only to Cell 1-2. This action give result of a new value of 25.

The calibration calculation gives a Calibrated OD Matrix, which is presented in Table 6 below.

| No | Link | OD Value |
|----|------|----------|
| 1  | 1-2  | 15       |
| 2  | 1-3  | 17       |
| 3  | 2-1  | 17       |
| 4  | 2-3  | 17       |
| 5  | 3-1  | 12       |
| 6  | 3-2  | 12       |

F. Method Development Resume

The Validation and Calibration Method Development has been finished. The iterative process is not necessary to be explained here.

Calibration Calculation Table basically the same as Traffic Assignment Table with a slight modification and row addition to calculate the distribution of error value to the related OD Matrix Cells.

IV. METHOD TRIAL

A. Trial Case

One of the All-or-Nothing Traffic Assignment Network in Surabaya is the 40’ Container Semi- Trailer Truck Routes Network. This was taken as the trial case. The network is presented in Figure 5 below.
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For the purpose of simplicity, clarity and easiness of the Method Trial calculation, the truck route network is again very simplified, which consists only of 5 traffic zones and 6 links. The Trial Network is presented in Figure 6 below.

Afterward, a set of imaginary real traffic volume on each link were defined. The imaginary real traffic volume is presented graphically in Figure 7 as follows.

The last thing to be defined for the Method Trial Network Case is the imaginary OD Matrix Data, as a result of an imaginary modelling work. The imaginary OD Matrix is presented in Table 7 as follows.

B. Traffic Assignment

The first thing to be calculated is the Traffic Assignment. The Traffic Assignment Calculation table is presented in this paper, since it will be used for Calibration purpose. The Traffic Assignment Calculation is presented in Table 8 as follows. The Traffic Assignment Result, called as Model Traffic Volume, is presented graphically in Figure 8 below.
C. Validation

The Model and Real Traffic Volumes have been gotten already. Validation can be then executed. A maximum allowable error of 5% is taken. It can be noted that there are two traffic volumes having unacceptable error, i.e.: the traffics in Link 7-6 and Link 6-2. The validation calculation is presented in Table 9 below.

![Figure 8. Model Traffic Volume](image)

Table 9. Validation Calculation

| No | Link | Traffic | Model | Error % | Eval. |
|----|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| 1  | 1-6  | 87      | 86    | -1      | -1.1  | ok    |
| 2  | 6-1  | 102     | 106   | 4       | 3.9   | ok    |
| 3  | 2-6  | 47      | 48    | 1       | 2.1   | ok    |
| 4  | 6-2  | 37      | 39    | 2       | 5.4   | error need recal 2 |
| 5  | 7-3  | 81      | 84    | 1       | 1.2   | ok    |
| 6  | 7-2  | 72      | 71    | -1      | -1.4  | ok    |
| 7  | 7-4  | 73      | 75    | 2       | 2.7   | ok    |
| 8  | 7-5  | 74      | 73    | -1      | -1.4  | ok    |
| 9  | 5-7  | 57      | 58    | 1       | 1.8   | ok    |
| 10 | 7-5  | 63      | 62    | -1      | -1.6  | ok    |
| 11 | 6-7  | 100     | 104   | -5      | -4.6  | ok    |
| 12 | 7-6  | 104     | 115   | 11      | 10.6  | error need recal 1 |

D. Calibration

Since the Validation has been executed, the Calibration can be started now.

The Calibration consists of 4 steps: 1. identifying the traffics need to be calibrated, 2. identifying the OD Matrix Cells need to be calibrated, 3. distributing traffic volume error to the related OD Matrix Cells, and 4. Distributing the Calibrated OD Matrix. The four steps will be done one by one below.

The first step, identifying traffic flow need to be calibrated is done and is presented in Table 10 below. It can be noted that Traffic Volume in Link 7-6 and Link 6-2 need to be calibrated. Since the error for Link 7-6 is more important, calibration is made for Link 7-6 first. The calibration will be continued for Link 6-2 if necessary.

| No | Link | Eval. | Traffic Assignment Calculation |
|----|------|-------|--------------------------------|
| 1  | 1-6  | ok    | 86                             |
| 2  | 6-1  | ok    | 106                            |
| 3  | 2-6  | ok    | 48                             |
| 4  | 6-2  | error | need recal 2                   |
| 5  | 7-3  | ok    | 84                             |
| 6  | 7-2  | ok    | 71                             |
| 7  | 7-4  | ok    | 73                             |
| 8  | 7-5  | ok    | 74                             |
| 9  | 5-7  | ok    | 57                             |
| 10 | 7-5  | ok    | 63                             |
| 11 | 6-7  | ok    | 100                            |
| 12 | 7-6  | ok    | 104                            |

The step 2 can be done afterward. Identifying the OD Matrix Cells need to be Calibrated is presented in Table 11 below. The Table 11 is in fact the Traffic Assignment Calculation table. Here, the corresponding cell values to the calibrated traffic volume (Link 1-2) are searched. The process indicate that Cells number 31, 32, 41, 42, 51 and 52 need to be calibrated.

Since step 2 has been finished, step 3 Distributing Traffic Volume Error to Related OD Cells can be done. The calculation is made first for Traffic Volume on Link 7-6. The error is distributed to 6 OD Matrix Cells identified above. The Calibration Calculation is done and is presented in Table 12 as follow. The values of six OD Matrix Cells has been modified into 29, 7, 37, 8, 35 and 7 respectively for Cells number 31, 32, 41, 42, 51 and 52.

Table 10. Identification of Calibrated Traffic

| No | Link | Eval. | Need Calibration |
|----|------|-------|-------------------|
| 1  | 1-6  | ok    |                   |
| 2  | 6-1  | ok    |                   |
| 3  | 2-6  | ok    |                   |
| 4  | 6-2  | error | need recal 2      |
| 5  | 7-3  | ok    |                   |
| 6  | 7-2  | ok    |                   |
| 7  | 7-4  | ok    |                   |
| 8  | 7-5  | ok    |                   |
| 9  | 5-7  | ok    |                   |
| 10 | 7-5  | ok    |                   |
| 11 | 6-7  | ok    |                   |
| 12 | 7-6  | ok    |                   |

Table 11. OD Assignment Calculation

| Link | Traffic Assignment Calculation |
|------|--------------------------------|
| 1-6  | 86                             |
| 6-1  | 106                            |
| 2-6  | 48                             |
| 6-2  | need recal 2                   |
| 7-3  | 84                             |
| 7-2  | 71                             |
| 7-4  | 73                             |
| 7-5  | 74                             |
| 5-7  | 57                             |
| 7-5  | 63                             |
| 6-7  | 100                            |
| 7-6  | 104                            |

Table 12. The Calibration Calculation

| No | Link | OD Traffic Assignment Calculation |
|----|------|-----------------------------------|
| 1  | 1-6  | 86                                |
| 2  | 6-1  | 106                               |
| 3  | 2-6  | 48                                |
| 4  | 6-2  | need recal 2                      |
| 5  | 7-3  | 84                                |
| 6  | 7-2  | 71                                |
| 7  | 7-4  | 73                                |
| 8  | 7-5  | 74                                |
| 9  | 5-7  | 57                                |
| 10 | 7-5  | 63                                |
| 11 | 6-7  | 100                               |
| 12 | 7-6  | 104                               |
Now since step 3 has been finished, the final step, the step 4 can be done. The step 4 consists of developing the Calibrated OD Matrix. The calibrated cells are cells: 31, 32, 41, 42, 51 and 52. Calibrated OD Matrix is presented in Table 13 below.

### TABLE 13. CALIBRATED OD MATRIX

| OD | Link 1 | Link 2 | Link 3 | Link 4 | Link 5 | Link 6 |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1  | 0      | 14     | 21     | 32     | 19     |        |
| 2  | 16     | 0      | 7      | 11     | 14     |        |
| 3  | 29     | 7      | 0      | 21     | 23     |        |
| 4  | 37     | 8      | 19     | 0      | 6      |        |
| 5  | 15     | 7      | 24     | 9      | 0      |        |

Finally, a Final Validation has to be done to verify whether the calibration is already satisfying or not. For this purpose a traffic assignment has to be execute to produce Calibrated Model Traffic Volume. The calibration modified 6 traffic volume on Links: 6-1, 6-2, 3-7, 4-7, 5-7 and 7-6.

The traffic assignment calculation is presented in Table 14 below.

### TABLE 14. NEW TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT CALCULATION

| No | Link 1 | Link 2 | Link 3 | Link 4 | Link 5 | Link 6 | Traffic |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1  | 1       | 14     | 21     | 32     | 19     |        | 1       |
| 2  | 16      | 0      | 7      | 11     | 14     |        | 16      |
| 3  | 29      | 7      | 0      | 21     | 23     |        | 29      |
| 4  | 37      | 8      | 19     | 0      | 6      |        | 37      |
| 5  | 15      | 7      | 24     | 9      | 0      |        | 15      |

This final traffic assignment result is presented graphically in Figure 9 below.

![Figure 9](calibrated_model_traffic_volume.png)

The Calibrated Model Traffic Volume can be presented in a matrix form, as presented in Table 15 below.

### TABLE 15. CALIBRATED MODEL TRAFFIC VOLUME MATRIX

| TF | Link 1 | Link 2 | Link 3 | Link 4 | Link 5 | Link 6 |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 86     |
| 2  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 36     | 0      |
| 3  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 84     |
| 4  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 75     |
| 5  | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 58     | 0      |
| 6  | 106    | 39     | 0      | 0      | 0      | 104    |
| 7  | 0      | 0      | 71     | 73     | 62     | 103    |
The research should be developed by developing Calibration Method for the case that needs more than 1 calibration calculation. Inwhich, calibrating a traffic volume may unacceptably worsen the other traffic volume.
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