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ARTICLE

Acetylation regulates ribonucleotide reductase activity and cancer cell growth

Guo Chen¹,⁸, Yin Luo², Kurt Warncke³, Youwei Sun¹, David S. Yu¹, Haian Fu², Madhusmita Behera⁴, Suresh S. Ramalingam⁴, Paul W. Doetsch⁵, Duc M. Duong⁶, Michael Lammers⁷, Walter J. Curran¹ & Xingming Deng¹

Ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) catalyzes the de novo synthesis of deoxyribonucleoside diphosphates (dNDPs) to provide dNTP precursors for DNA synthesis. Here, we report that acetylation and deacetylation of the RRM2 subunit of RNR acts as a molecular switch that impacts RNR activity, dNTP synthesis, and DNA replication fork progression. Acetylation of RRM2 at K95 abrogates RNR activity by disrupting its homodimer assembly. RRM2 is directly acetylated by KAT7, and deacetylated by Sirt2, respectively. Sirt2, which level peak in S phase, sustains RNR activity at or above a threshold level required for dNTPs synthesis. We also find that radiation or camptothecin-induced DNA damage promotes RRM2 deacetylation by enhancing Sirt2–RRM2 interaction. Acetylation of RRM2 at K95 results in the reduction of the dNTP pool, DNA replication fork stalling, and the suppression of tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo. This study therefore identifies acetylation as a regulatory mechanism governing RNR activity.
ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), also known as ribonucleotide diphosphate reductase, is an enzyme that catalyzes the formation of deoxyribonucleotides from ribonucleotides. Deoxyribonucleotides, in turn, are used in the synthesis of DNA. The reaction catalyzed by RNR is strictly conserved in all living organisms. RNR plays a critical role in regulating the total rate of DNA synthesis, so that DNA to cell mass is maintained at a constant ratio during cell division and DNA repair. RNR enzymes are divided into three classes: Class I and RRM2, respectively. Class I is the most extensively studied RNR and is present in all eukaryotes and some prokaryotes. This subclass of RNR is a heterotetramer composed of two large and two small subunits, RRM1 and RRM2, respectively. RRM1 is the regulatory subunit harboring two allosteric sites for its regulation. RRM2 generates a stable tyrosine radical which is transferred to RRM1 cysteine residues to initiate the reduction reaction upon binding of the substrate. In addition, p53R2 is encoded by the RRM2B gene, is induced by p53, and has been identified as a second radical-providing small subunit in mammalian cells. The major role of p53R2-containing RNR complexes is in regulating the synthesis, replication, and repair of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) in non-proliferating cells.

RNR is allosterically regulated at two levels influencing overall activity and substrate specificity. The overall activity is regulated by binding of ATP (stimulatory) or dATP (inhibitory) to the activity site (A site) on the RRM1 subunit. The substrate specificity is regulated by the binding of different types of dNTPs to the specificity site (S site), which is also located on the RRM1 subunit. ATP and dATP increase the reduction of CDP and UDP, whereas dTTP increases GDP reduction, and dGTP upregulates ADP reduction. In addition to allosteric regulation, RNR activity is also tightly regulated during cell-cycle progression and in response to extensive DNA damage. RNR activity is restricted in resting cells or cells in the G1 phase, and significantly increased as cells commit to DNA replication during the late G1/early S phase. In accord with this, RNR is expressed exclusively during the late G1/early S phase and is degraded in the late S phase.

A hallmark of cancer is uncontrolled proliferation, which requires sufficient levels of dNTPs. RNR is frequently seen to be deregulated in cancer cells. Elevated expression of both RRM1 and RRM2 subunits of RNR occurs in various human cancers, making RNR a potential therapeutic target. Several therapeutic RNR inhibitors, including gemcitabine, clofarabine, and hydroxyurea, are employed clinically to treat a number of cancers. Such small molecule RNR inhibitors fall into two classes: nucleoside analogs and redox-active metal chelators, which target RRM1 and RRM2, respectively.

Reversible lysine acetylation/deacetylation plays a critical role in regulating many essential proteins involved in diverse cellular processes, including DNA repair, chromatin remodeling, transcription, metabolism, cell survival, and proliferation. Here, we report that acetylation of RRM2 at K95 inactivates RNR activity via disruption of RRM2 homodimerization, which in turn acts as a molecular switch to dictate RNR function in response to DNA replication and DNA damage. RRM2 acetylation at K95 suppresses tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo, and is therefore a potentially attractive strategy for cancer therapy.

Results

Acetylation of RRM2 at K95 inactivates RNR. Protein acetylation confers novel functions on modified proteins, including alterations in subcellular localization, binding partners, protein stability, and enzymatic activity. To examine whether protein acetylation is involved in the regulation of dNTP synthesis, human lung cancer H1299 cells were treated with two different deacetylase inhibitors, trichostatin A (TSA) that inhibits histone deacetylase (HDAC) classes I, II, and IV, and nicotineamide (NAM) that inhibits sirtuin family members, alone or in combination, leading to increased global lysine acetylation in cells (Supplementary Fig. 1a). See complete unedited blots in Source Data file. Intriguingly, combined treatment with TSA and NAM resulted in significantly decreased levels of all four dNTPs (Supplementary Fig. 1b, c), indicating that the dNTP synthesis pathway may be disturbed by protein acetylation. Because RNR is the rate-limiting enzyme required for de novo synthesis of dNTPs, RNR enzyme activity was measured following treatment of various cell lines with TSA and NAM as we described previously. Treatment with TSA and NAM significantly reduced RNR activity in normal lung epithelial (i.e., BEAS-2B and HBEC3) and human lung cancer cell lines (i.e., H1299 and H460) (Fig. 1a, b). To test whether the reduction in RNR activity and dNTP pool size following TSA/NAM treatment affects DNA replication fork progression, H1299 cells were treated with TSA and NAM, followed by measurement of replication dynamics employing single-molecule DNA fiber analysis. TSA and NAM significantly slowed DNA replication fork progression (Supplementary Fig. 1d), which may occur through inhibition of RNR activity and reduction of dNTPs synthesis. In addition, time course experiments indicate that a reduction in the S-phase population was observed starting from the 18-h time point after NAM + TSA treatment (i.e. 26.3% at 0 h vs. 16.8% at 18 h). The S-phase population was continuously reduced to 4.6% at the 48-h time point (Supplementary Fig. 1e, f). These results indicate that treatment with NAM and TSA can reduce the S-phase population in a time-dependent manner. Thus, TSA/NAM-induced inhibition of RNR activity may also reduce the cell population in the S phase.

RRM2 is critical for RNR enzymatic activity. To assess whether RRM2 is regulated by acetylation, BEAS-2B, HBEC3, H1299, and H460 cells were treated with a combination of two deacetylase inhibitors (TSA and NAM), followed by IP with RRM2 antibody. Acetylation of RRM2 was analyzed by western blot using an acetylated-lysine-specific antibody. Treatment with TSA and NAM significantly enhanced RRM2 acetylation, but did not affect RRM2 protein levels (Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig. 1g). To further measure the percentage of acetylated RRM2 (Ac-K RRM2) before and after TSA/NAM treatment in cells, we used Ac-K immunobeads, we measured Ac-K RRM2 by IP using Ac-K-specific antibody in the lysates before versus after Ac-K depletion, followed by western blot analysis of unacetylated RRM2 using anti-RRM2 antibody and quantifying the unacetylated RRM2 on western blot bands using ImageJ software. The percentage of Ac-K RRM2 was calculated using the formula: % Ac-K RRM2 = (total RRM2 − unacetylated RRM2)/total RRM2 × 100 as indicated in Supplementary Fig. 2a. To test whether the Ac-K RRM2 can be depleted from lysates by Ac-K immunoadfinity beads, we measured Ac-K RRM2 by IP using Ac-K-specific antibody in the lysates before versus after Ac-K depletion, followed by western blot analysis of Ac-K RRM2 using anti-RRM2 antibody. Before Ac-K depletion, certain levels of Ac-K RRM2 were observed in H460 and BEAS-2B cells, and NAM/TSA enhanced Ac-K RRM2 (Supplementary Fig. 2b). However, no detectable levels of Ac-K RRM2 were observed in the lysates after Ac-K depletion (Supplementary Fig. 2b), indicating a highly efficient depletion of Ac-K RRM2 from lysates by Ac-K immunoadfinity beads. To obtain the percentages of Ac-K RRM2, we measured the total RRM2 and unacetylated RRM2.
in the lysates before and after Ac-K depletion in H460 and BEAS-2B cells with and without NAM/TSA treatment. We found that 30 and 26% of RRM2 was acetylated in H460 and BEAS-2B cells, respectively, before NAM/TSA treatment (Supplementary Fig. 2c). After NAM/TSA treatment, 76 and 68% of RRM2 was acetylated in H460 and BEAS-2B cells, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 2c).

These results provide more detailed evidence, indicating that NAM and TSA significantly enhance RRM2 acetylation.

To identify the acetylation site(s) of RRM2, liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis was employed. Four acetylation sites were identified in RRM2, including K30, K61, K95, and K283 (Fig. 1d).
To determine the role of individual acetylation sites in regulating RRM2 acetylation and RNR activity, we mutated Flag-RRM2 at each of the individual acetylation sites, or at all four sites simultaneously, from lysine (K) to arginine (R) to eliminate acetylation as described. This resulted in generation of K30R, K61R, K95R, K283R, and the compound K30R/K61R/K95R/K283R (RRRR) RRM2 mutants. Flag-tagged WT or acetylation-deficient RRM2 mutant(s) were exogenously expressed in H1299 cells. Cells were treated with a combination of NAM and TSA. Flag-RRM2 was immunoprecipitated using a Flag antibody, followed by analysis of acetylation. Substitution of K95 with arginine (K95R) or compound mutations (RRRR) greatly reduced RRM2 acetylation compared to WT (Fig. 1e). Other mutations (K30R, K61R, and K283R) had no significant effect on NAM/TSA-induced RRM2 acetylation compared with WT (Fig. 1e). These findings indicate that K95 is a major acetylation site of RRM2.

To further assess the effect of RRM2 acetylation on RNR activity, a panel of Flag-tagged WT and RRM2 mutant proteins was isolated from NAM/TSA-treated H1299 cells overexpressing exogenous Flag-RRM2, and incubated with recombinant GST-tagged RRM1 protein purified from E. coli (Supplementary Fig. 3) and C14-CDP, followed by analysis of RNR activity. NAM/TSA reduced RNR activity of WT, K30R, K61R, and K283R, but failed to reduce RNR activity of K95R and RRRR mutant RRM2 proteins (Fig. 1f, g), indicating that K95R and RRRR mutants are deacetylase inhibitor-insensitive phenotypes with sustained enzymatic activity. Replacement of lysine (K) with glutamine (Q) at a protein acetylation site has been reported to mimic lysine acetylation when the function of the acetylation event neutralizes the positive charge of the lysine side chain. A series of acetyl-mimetic mutants, including K30Q, K61Q, K95Q, K283Q, and QQQQ, were created by substitution of K with Q. Similar approaches using Flag-tagged acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutant protein(s) along with recombinant RRM1 and C14-CDP were employed to analyze RNR activity. In contrast to the effect of the arginine mutations, the K95Q and QQQQ mutants displayed a marked reduction of RNR activity compared with WT (Fig. 1h, i), indicating that acetylation of RRM2 at K95 led to suppression of RNR activity.

RRM2 acetylation at K95 disrupts RRM2 homodimerization.

Generation of the stable tyrosyl radical cofactor in RRM2 is essential for RNR catalytic activity. To test whether acetylation of RRM2 at K95 affects tyrosyl radical level, we purified recombinant Hisε-tagged WT, K95Q, and QQQQ acetylation-mimetic RRM2 proteins from E. coli (Fig. 2a). Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy reveals that the acetylation-mimetic K95Q and QQQQ proteins have EPR line shapes and peak-to-trough derivative amplitudes for the tyrosyl radical that are comparable with WT (Fig. 2b). Double integration of the derivative-mode EPR spectra shows that the unpaired electron spin concentration is the same in each sample (Supplementary Fig. 4). Therefore, the native tyrosyl radical site structure is not perturbed in the mutant proteins, and the acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutant protein is able to generate the same amount of tyrosyl radical cofactor as WT protein. This suggests that RRM2 acetylation-induced suppression of RNR activity may result from mechanism(s) other than its effect on tyrosyl radical cofactor production.

Structural analysis shows that K95 is located at the interface between sister molecules of a RRM2 homodimer, and is predicted to form a salt bridge with Glu174 and Glu105 of the adjacent sister molecule (Fig. 2c). Active RNR is composed of two homodimeric subunits of RRM1 and RRM2, and its catalytic center requires both RRM1 and RRM2 homodimers (Fig. 2d). Thus, RRM2 homodimerization is critical for RNR function. To assess whether K95 acetylation affects RRM2 homodimer formation, HA-tagged WT RRM2 was co-transfected with Flag-tagged acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutant(s) into H1299 cells. Co-IP experiments showed that Flag-tagged K95Q and QQQQ mutants, but not K30Q, K61Q, or K283Q mutants, were significantly less able to associate with HA-tagged RRM2 compared with Flag-tagged WT (Fig. 2e), indicating that K95 acetylation inhibits dimerization between Flag-RRM2 and HA-RRM2.

To directly evaluate the role of actual acetylation at K95 in regulating RRM2 homodimerization in vitro, in addition to acetyl-mimetic mutant RRM2 K95Q, we employed a genetic-code expansion concept (GCEC) via pRSF-Duet1-MbtRNA_CUA/AcKRS-3 construct to incorporate acetyl-lysine into RRM2 specifically at the K95 site as recently described. The pRSF-Duet1-MbtRNA_CUA/AcKRS-3 construct carries the coding regions for acetyl-lysyl-tRNA-synthetase and the cognate amber suppressor MbtRNA_CUA from Methanosarcina Barkeri (Supplementary Fig. 5a). First, human RRM2 cDNA was cloned into the pRSF-Duet1-MbtRNA_CUA/AcKRS-3, followed by mutating the K95 gene code from AAG to the amber stop codon TAG that can exclusively be recognized by MbtRNA_CUA for acetyl-lysine incorporation. The resulting acetyl RRM2 (AcK95 RRM2) constructs were transformed to E. coli BL21(DE3) to produce recombinant AcK95 protein with supplement of Nε-Acetyl-L-lysine (AcK) in the LB medium (Supplementary Fig. 5b). After production of recombinant WT RRM2, K95Q, AcK95, and K95R proteins, the size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) experiments were performed as described previously. Intriguingly, the majority of WT and K95R proteins displayed a homodimeric state while most K95Q and AcK95 RRM2 molecules were detected as monomers (Fig. 2f). Furthermore, purified WT, K95Q, AcK95, and K95R proteins were cross-linked with disuccinimidylsuberate (DSS). Consistent with the SEC data, WT and K95R RRM2 proteins were mainly detected as a dimer, while K95Q and AcK95 RRM2 proteins were mainly detected as a monomer (Fig. 2g). These findings reveal that actual acetylation of RRM2 at K95 (AcK95) or K95 acetyl-mimetic mutation of RRM2 (K95Q) suppresses RRM2 homodimerization.

**Kat7 directly acetylates RRM2 at K95.** To identify the upstream acetyltransferase that acts on RRM2, we used a siRNA library that targets 11 known protein acetyltransferases to screen their effects.
on RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 3a). Each of these acetyltransferase siRNAs was individually transfected into H1299 cells, followed by analysis of the expression of these acetyltransferases (Supplementary Fig. 6) and RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 3b). Among the 11 siRNAs, only knockdown of KAT7 significantly reduced RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 3b, panel 8), suggesting that KAT7 is an RRM2 acetyltransferase. In further support of this possibility, co-IP experiments showed that KAT7 interacts with endogenous RRM2 (Fig. 3c) or exogenous Flag-RRM2 (Fig. 3d). To assess whether KAT7-induced RRM2 acetylation occurs at K95, HA-KAT7 was co-transfected with Flag-tagged WT or K95R mutant RRM2 cDNA in H1299 cells, followed by analysis of Flag-RRM2 acetylation. HA-KAT7 expression enhanced acetylation of Flag-WT, but not Flag-K95R mutant RRM2 (Fig. 3e). Importantly, purified KAT7 directly acetylated RRM2 WT, but not K95R in vitro (Fig. 3f). Conversely, knockdown of KAT7 using KAT7 shRNA1 or shRNA2 resulted in reduced RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 3g), enhanced RNR activity (Fig. 3h, i), and elevated levels of dNTPs (Fig. 3j). These findings suggest that KAT7 functions as a physiological RRM2 acetyltransferase.

**Fig. 2** RRM2 acetylation at K95 disrupts its homodimerization. a Recombinant His-tagged WT, K95Q, and QQQQ RRM2 proteins were purified from E. Coli. b Tyrosyl radical concentrations were measured by EPR spectroscopy: EPR spectra of WT, K95Q, and QQQQ RRM2 proteins. c K95 location within RRM2 structure (left panel). The RRM2 homodimer structure (PDB ID: 3OLJ) is shown, and K95 is predicted to form a salt bridge with E105 and E174 (right panel). d Schematic of active RNR complex. e H1299 cells were co-transfected with HA-RRM2 and Flag-tagged acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutants, followed by co-IP using a Flag antibody. HA-RRM2 and Flag-RRM2 were analyzed by western blot using anti-HA or anti-Flag antibody, respectively. f Dimerization of recombinant WT, K95Q, AcK95, and K95R RRM2 proteins was analyzed by gel filtration chromatography. g SDS-PAGE analysis of recombinant WT, K95Q, AcK95, and K95R RRM2 proteins after cross-linking with 2 mM disuccinimidylsuberate.
Sirt2 directly deacetylates RRM2 leading to RNR activation. To identify the physiological deacetylase of RRM2, we used a siRNA library that targets 18 known protein deacetylases (Fig. 4a). Knockdown of these 18 protein deacetylases from H1299 cells was confirmed by western blot (Supplementary Fig. 7). An increase in RRM2 acetylation was observed only in cells in which Sirt2 was depleted by Sirt2 siRNA (Fig. 4b), suggesting that Sirt2 may be a potential RRM2 deacetylase. To further assess whether Sirt2...
interacts with RRM2, exogenous co-transfection of HA-tagged RRM2 with a series of Flag-tagged sirtuin family members in H1299 cells was performed (Supplementary Fig. 8), followed by co-IP with Flag antibody. Although different sirtuins were overexpressed to different levels, only Sirt2 among seven sirtuin family members was observed to interact with RRM2 (Fig. 4c). Moreover, endogenous Sirt2 not only co-localized with but also interacted with endogenous RRM2 in H1299 cells (Fig. 4d, e). Intriguingly, significantly increased co-localization of Sirt2 and RRM2 was observed in the S-phase cells compared with the G1-phase cells (Supplementary Fig. 9). The specificity of the Sirt2 antibody used in this experiment was further confirmed as shown in Supplementary Fig. 10.
To test a role of Sirt2 in the deacetylation of RRM2, Flag-tagged Sirt2 WT or inactive H187Y Sirt2 mutant was co-transfected with HA-RRM2 into H1299 cells. Intriguingly, overexpression of WT, but not H187Y mutant Sirt2, resulted in a significant reduction in RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 4f). Conversely, depletion of Sirt2 using Sirt2 shRNA1 or shRNA2 led to a marked increase in RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 4g). Furthermore, treatment of cells with a Sirt2-selective inhibitor AGK2 also enhanced RRM2 acetylation (Fig. 4h). To determine if Sirt2 directly deacetylates RRM2 in vitro, acetylated HA-RRM2 was immunoprecipitated from 293T cells overexpressing HA-RRM2 and incubated with purified WT or H187Y mutant Sirt2 in the presence or absence of NAD+ (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide), a cofactor required for sirtuin deacetylase activity. Purified WT but not H187Y mutant Sirt2 directly deacetylated RRM2 in vitro (Fig. 4i). Functionally, depletion of endogenous Sirt2 from cells led to a significant decrease in RNR activity (Fig. 4j).

The majority of our experiments employed H1299 cells derived from non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), therefore, it was of interest to test whether Sirt2 is upregulated in tumor tissues from patients with NSCLC. We analyzed Sirt2 expression in samples from 208 NSCLC patients by IHC staining employing Sirt2 antibody. NSCLC human tissue samples were obtained from the tissue bank at Emory University Winship Cancer Institute. Tissue microarrays (TMA) were generated with replicate cores of tumor and adjacent normal lung. Semi-quantitative evaluation of IHC staining of Sirt2 was carried out using immunoscores based on both percentage of stained cells and staining intensity, as previously described. Sirt2 protein expression was significantly higher in tumor tissues compared with adjacent normal lung tissues. The observed higher levels of Sirt2 could potentially deacetylate RRM2 to increase RNR activity in tumor tissues. Elevated levels of Sirt2 in tumor tissues were correlated with poor outcomes for NSCLC patients, suggesting that Sirt2, as the RRM2 deacetylase, could be a potential prognostic biomarker for NSCLC. Our findings support and extend those of a previous report that high levels of Sirt2 expression are associated with poor prognosis in NSCLC patients.

Sirt2 regulates a cell-cycle-dependent RRM2 deacetylation. RMR activity and dNTP pool size are restricted in the G1 phase and expanded in the S phase to ensure sufficient dNTP levels for DNA replication. To examine the physiological role of RRM2 acetylation/deacetylation in regulating RNR activity during DNA replication, H1299 cells were synchronized at the G1/S boundary using double-thymidine block as previously described. Cells re-entered the cell cycle following release into fresh medium. Most cells entered the S phase at 6–8 h, reached the G2/M phase at 8–10 h, and returned to the G1 phase at 14 h. Notably, RRM2 and its deacetylase Sirt2 but not RRM1 or KAT7 were significantly increased upon entry to the S phase (6–16 h) and returned to baseline levels in the G1 phase (14 and 16 h), mirroring the expression profiles of the S/G2 marker cyclin A (Fig. 5a).

Sirt2 was depleted from H1299 cells using Sirt2 shRNA1 or 2, followed by analysis of RRM2 acetylation. To determine if Sirt2 directly deacetylates RRM2, Flag-haemagglutinin (HA)-tagged RRM2 was isolated from H1299 cells treated with TSA/NAM and then incubated with Flag-WT or H187Y inactive mutant Sirt2 protein purified from 293T cells, followed by analysis of HA-RRM2 acetylation. Sirt2 was depleted from H1299 cells using Sirt2 shRNA1 or 2, followed by analysis of RNR activity. The error bars indicate ± s.d. of three separate experiments. *P < 0.01, by two-tailed t-test.
levels for DNA repair\textsuperscript{8,46,47}. To test whether DNA damage regulates RRM2 acetylation/deacetylation, H1299 cells were treated with ionizing radiation (IR) or camptothecin (CPT), followed by analysis of RRM2 acetylation. A significant decrease in RRM2 acetylation was observed following DNA damage by either agent (Fig. 6a, upper panel). Intriguingly, IR and CPT exposure also slightly enhanced RRM2 protein expression, but had no effect on KAT7 and Sirt2 protein levels (Fig. 6a, lower panel). Mechanistically, IR and CPT promoted an interaction between Sirt2 and RRM2 (Fig. 6b), but did not influence RRM2/KAT7 association.

\textbf{Fig. 5} Sirt2 deacetylates RRM2 in a cell-cycle-dependent manner. \textit{a} H1299 cells were synchronized at the G1/S boundary by double-thymidine block and then released into normal culture medium. RRM1, RRM2, Sirt2, KAT7, and cyclin A were analyzed by western blot at various time points. As asynchronous. \textit{b, c} H1299 cells were transfected with Ctrl or Sirt2 siRNA or treated with Sirt2 inhibitor AGK2, followed by synchronization, cell cycling, and analysis of RRM2 acetylation as above. \textit{d, e} H1299 cells were treated with Sirt2 siRNA or Sirt2 inhibitor (AGK2), followed by analysis of RNA activity. The error bars indicate \( \pm \) s.d. of three separate experiments. * \( P < 0.05 \), by two-tailed \( t \) test. \textit{f} H1299 cells were transfected with Ctrl or Sirt2 siRNA or treated with Sirt2 inhibitor AGK2, followed by measurement of dNTP levels. The error bars indicate \( \pm \) s.d. of three separate experiments. * \( P < 0.05 \), by two-tailed \( t \) test. \textit{g, h} H1299 cells were transfected with Sirt2 siRNA or treated with Sirt2 inhibitor AGK2, followed by analysis of cell proliferation and cell-cycle distribution using BrdU/7-AAD staining or colony-formation assay. The error bars indicate \( \pm \) s.d. of three separate experiments. *** \( P < 0.001 \), by two-tailed \( t \) test.
RRM2 deacetylation (Fig. 6c, d). These findings indicate that DNA damage-induced deacetylation of RRM2 is mainly regulated in various types of cancers. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of 1928 NSCLC patients from an online database (www.kmplot.com) reveals that elevated RRM2 expression is correlated with poor prognosis (Supplementary Fig. 17). To further investigate the functional role of RRM2 acetylation, endogenous RRM2 was depleted from H1299 cells employing shRNA. The effect of shRNA on RRM2 expression could be rescued by transfection of exogenous nonphosphorylatable S150A RRM2 mutant exhibit a higher sensitivity to IR or CPT silenced H1299 cells expressing exogenous nonphosphorylatable S150A RRM2 mutant exhibit a higher sensitivity to IR or CPT (Fig. 7k, l). These findings suggest that either IR or CPT-induced WT RRM2 phosphorylation or the phosphomimetic S150E RRM2 can increase RRM2 deacetylation leading to RNR activation, which subsequently contributes to decreased sensitivity to IR or CPT.

Acetylation of RRM2 at K95 stalls DNA replication fork. Uncontrolled proliferation of cancer cells must be supported by a sufficient dNTP supply, and is reflected by RRM2 overexpression in various types of cancers. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of 1928 NSCLC patients from an online database (www.kmplot.com) reveals that elevated RRM2 expression is correlated with poor prognosis (Supplementary Fig. 17). To further investigate the functional role of RRM2 acetylation, endogenous RRM2 was depleted from H1299 cells employing RRM2 shRNA. The effect of shRNAs directed to the 3′-UTR of endogenous RRM2, the silencing effect of shRNA on RRM2 expression could be rescued by transfection of exogenous WT or various acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutants, as shown in Fig. 8a. Intracellular dNTP levels, DNA replication fork progression, and cell growth were measured in
RRM2-deficient H1299 cells expressing empty vector, exogenous WT, or individual acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutants as we described previously. 

Silencing of endogenous RRM2 resulted in a significant reduction in dNTP pool size, stalling of DNA replication fork progression, and suppression of cell proliferation and cell growth. Expression of exogenous WT or K30Q, K61Q, or K283Q but not K95Q or QQQQ RRM2 mutants in RRM2-deficient H1299 cells restored intracellular dNTP pool size, DNA replication fork progression, cell proliferation, and cell growth (Fig. 8a–h).

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Fig. 7** ATR phosphorylation of RRM2 facilitates its deacetylation in response to DNA damage. a Co-IP in H1299 cells using RRM2 antibody. IgG was used as a IP control. RRM2-associated ATR was analyzed by western blot. b H1299 cells were exposed to IR (10 Gy) or treated with CPT (2 μM) for 6 h, followed by IP using RRM2 antibody. Phosphorylation of RRM2 was analyzed by western blot using phospho-S/TQ motif-specific (pS/TQ) antibody. c ATR phosphorylation motif “SQ” in human RRM2 protein. d Active ATR kinase was immunoprecipitated from H1299 cells treated with IR (10 Gy) and incubated with purified Flag-tagged WT or S150A mutant RRM2 protein in kinase buffer containing [γ-32P] ATP. RRM2 phosphorylation was analyzed by autoradiography. e, f RRM2 phosphorylation at S150 enhances its interaction with Sirt2. Flag-tagged Sirt2 was co-transfected with HA-tagged WT, S150A, or S150E RRM2 into H1299 cells, followed by analysis of HA-RRM2/Flag-Sirt2 binding and acetylation of HA-RRM2. g, h Flag-tagged WT, S150A, or S150E RRM2 mutant protein was immunoprecipitated from H1299 cells overexpressing exogenous Flag-tagged WT, S150A, or S150E, then mixed with 1 μg of purified GST-RRM1 protein, followed by TLC analysis for RNR activity. The error bars indicate ± s.d. of three separate experiments. **P < 0.01, by two-tailed t test. i, j H1299 cells overexpressing exogenous Flag-tagged WT, S150A, or S150E mutant RRM2 were treated with IR (10 Gy) or CPT (2 μM), followed by analysis of phosphorylation of Flag-RRM2. k, l Endogenous RRM2 was depleted from H1299 cells using 3′-UTR targeted RRM2 shRNA. HA-tagged WT, S150A, or S150E was transfected in H1299 RRM2-deficient cells using pBabe retroviral construct. Cells were treated with IR or CPT, followed by colony-formation analysis. The error bars indicate ± s.d. of three separate experiments. **P < 0.01, by two-tailed t test.

Acetylation of RRM2 at K95 suppresses tumor growth. To further assess whether RRM2 acetylation affects tumor growth, RRM2-deficient H1299 cells expressing exogenous WT or individual acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutants were employed to establish lung cancer xenografts. Silencing of RRM2 using RRM2 shRNA significantly inhibited growth of xenografted tumors (Fig. 9a, b, panel 1 vs. panel 2), suggesting that RRM2 is essential for tumor growth. Importantly, expression of exogenous WT, K30Q, K61Q, or K283Q restored tumor growth in the RRM2-deficient xenografts. However, expression of the acetyl-mimetic K95Q or
QQQQ mutant RRM2 failed to rescue tumor growth compared with WT (Fig. 9a, b, panels 6 and 8 vs. panel 3), indicating that RRM2 acetylation at K95 suppresses tumor growth in vivo. In further support of these findings, a significant decrease in Ki67-positive cells was also observed in tumor tissues from K95Q or QQQQ xenografts compared with tumor tissues from WT xenografts (Fig. 9c, d).

Discussion

Ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) is a unique enzyme that catalyzes the de novo synthesis of dNDPs to provide dNTP precursors required for DNA synthesis. Catalysis of NDPS involves a free radical-initiated reduction at the 2’-carbon of ribose 5-phosphate to form 2’-deoxy derivative-reduced 2’-deoxyribonucleoside 5’-diphosphates (dNDPs). An association occurs between the C-terminus of RRM2 and the C-terminus of RRM1, which is required for RNR enzymatic activity. The active site is composed of the active dithiol groups from RRM1, the diferric center and the tyrosyl radical from the RRM2 subunit. Regulation of RNR is designed to maintain balanced levels of dNTPs. In addition to allosteric regulation, RNR function is also regulated by SCF cyclin F E3 ubiquitin ligase-mediated degradation and small proteins, such as IRBIT.

Lysine acetylation is an important post-translational modification known to alter the protein structure and function. The interplay between acetylation and deacetylation is crucial for many important cellular processes. Here, we found that RNR activity is regulated by reversible acetylation/deacetylation of RRM2. Although RRM2 could be acetylated at K30, K61, K95, and K283, K95 was identified as a major acetylation site in the...
RRM2 protein since substitution of K95 with arginine abolished the majority of RRM2 acetylation following NAM/TSA treatment (Fig. 1). Employing acetyl-deficient (K→R) and acetyl-mimetic (K→Q) mutants, we demonstrated that acetylation or deacetylation of RRM2 at K95 inactivated or activated RNR activity, respectively. K95 in RRM2 forms a strong salt bridge with E105 and K95 through its positive charge side chain (Fig. 2c). Thus, the K95Q mutation is able to mimic RRM2 acetylation. It is well known that functionally active RNR enzyme requires formation of an active heterodimeric tetramer which comprises two homodimeric subunits of RRM1 and RRM231. Co-IP and size-exclusion chromatography experiments revealed that K95 acetylation suppressed the ability of RRM2 to form homodimers (Fig. 2), which provides a mechanism by which acetylation inactivates RNR activity.

Reversible acetylation/deacetylation is functionally driven by acetyltransferase and deacetylase enzymes18,57. Using siRNA screening strategies, we identified KAT7 as the RRM2 acetyltransferase and Sir2 as its deacetylase. Depletion of KAT7 by KAT7 shRNA resulted in a decreased RRM2 acetylation in association with increased RNR activity (Fig. 3). Conversely, knockdown of Sir2 upregulates RRM2 acetylation leading to decreased RNR activity (Fig. 4). These findings indicate that KAT7/Sirt2-mediated acetylation/deacetylation of RRM2 modulates RNR activity and uncovers a novel regulatory mechanism of RNR function. Intriguingly, Sir2 protein levels peak in the S phase, which is associated with RRM2 deacetylation (Fig. 5), indicating that Sir2-driven RRM2 deacetylation occurs in a cell-cycle-dependent fashion. Since disruption of Sir2 by siRNA or Sir2 inhibitor AGK2 suppressed dNTP synthesis leading to cancer cell growth inhibition, pharmacological control of RRM2 acetylation by targeting its upstream deacetylase may represent a novel and effective approach for cancer therapy.

Interestingly, Sir2 has been reported to have both tumor suppressor58,59 and oncogenic functions40,59,60. On one hand, Sir2-deficient animals exhibit genomic instability and chromosomal aberrations, and are prone to tumorigenesis59. Sir2-knockout mice develop gender-specific tumorigenesis, with females primarily developing mammary tumors, and males more often developing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)58. Sir2 functions as a tumor suppressor through its role in regulating mitosis and genome integrity58. On the other hand, Sir2 has also been reported to have tumor-promoting activity40,59,60. Sir2 not only stabilizes Myc oncoproteins to enhance the proliferation of various cancer cell types61 but also promotes motility and invasiveness of HCC cells by regulating the PKB/GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling pathway62. Our and others’ findings demonstrated that higher levels of Sir2 in NSCLC patients are associated with poor prognosis40 (Supplementary Fig. 11). Moreover, inhibition of Sir2 by selective Sir2 inhibitor (thiomyrstoyl lysine compound)
exhibits broad anticancer activity. In support of Sirt2’s tumour-promoting function, here we discovered that Sirt2 deacetylates RRM2 to enhance RNR activity leading to increased dNTPs pool size and proliferation of cancer cells.

DNA damage is known to stimulate RNR activity to increase levels of dNTPs for DNA repair, but the mechanism(s) involved remain unclear. It has recently been reported that the ATR/Chk1 pathway plays a key role in promoting RRM2 accumulation by stabilizing E2F1 in the S-phase cells, which may be important for countering the replication stress in cancer cells. Here, we discovered that IR- or CPT-induced DNA damage promoted RRM2/Sirt2 interaction leading to RRM2 deacetylation (Fig. 6), suggesting that DNA damage-enhanced RNR activity may also occur through deacetylation of RRM2, ATM, ATR, and DNA-PKcs are major DNA damage response kinases. However, specific inhibition of ATR, but not ATM or DNA-PKcs, blocked IR or CPT-induced RRM2 deacetylation. ATR is mainly located in the nucleus, whereas Sirt2 is localized in both the cytoplasm and nucleus. Functionally, ATR-mediated RRM2 phosphorylation at S150 promotes RRM2/Sirt2 interaction leading to deacetylation of RRM2 and subsequent RNR activation (Fig. 7). These findings reveal a novel mechanism by which IR or CPT-induced DNA damage activates RNR to promote the synthesis of dNTPs for DNA repair. Expression of nonphosphorylatable S150A RRM2 conferred greater cellular sensitivity to IR or CPT, while the phosphomimetic S150E led to resistance compared with cells expressing WT RRM2. This suggests that targeting ATR-mediated RRM2 phosphorylation may represent a potential new therapeutic strategy for cancer therapy.

Cancer cells rely on a sufficient dNTP supply more heavily than normal cells, for their malignant cell growth. Thus, cancer cells have a larger dNTP pool than normal cells. RRM2 is highly overexpressed in various cancers, and this has led to recognition of RRM2 as an effective cancer therapeutic target. Our studies using acetyl-mimetic RRM2 mutants reveal that acetylation of RRM2 at K95 reduces the intracellular dNTPs pool and decreases DNA replication fork progression, leading to suppression of cancer cell growth in vitro and in vivo (Figs 8, 9). These results suggest that K95 acetylation-mediated RNR inactivation can cause DNA replication stress, leading to repression of tumor growth.

In conclusion, our studies demonstrate that acetylation and deacetylation of RRM2 at K95 negatively and positively regulates RNR activity, respectively. RRM2 is acetylated by KAT7 and deacetylated by Sirt2. ATR-mediated RRM2 phosphorylation at S150 enhances its association with Sirt2 and facilitates RRM2 deacetylation. Pharmacological manipulation of K95 acetylation may represent a viable strategy for cancer therapy.

**Methods**

**Cell lines and plasmid transfection.** H460, H1299, BEAS-2B, and HBECC3 cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. H460 and H1299 cells were grown in the RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. BEAS-2B cells were cultured in the DMEM/F-12 medium with 10% FBS. HBECC3 cells were cultured in keratinocyte serum-free media from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with pituitary extract and EGF. These cell lines were tested for mycoplasma and had no mycoplasma contamination. No further authentication for these cell lines was carried out by authors. H1299 cells Flag-tagged WT or mutant RRM2 mutant(s) in pcDNA3.1 were transfected into H1299 cells using NanoJuice (EMD Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry.** To identify acetylation sites(s) on RRM2, Flag-tagged human RRM2 was overexpressed in HEK cells. Cells were then treated with TSA and NAM for 16 h. Overnight in-gel trypsin digestion was performed on the immunoprecipitated Flag-RRM2 resolved on SDS-PAGE gel, and peptides were extracted with a solution of 5% formic acid and 50% acetonitrile and speed vacuumed to dryness. Each peptide sample was resuspended in loading buffer (0.1% formic acid, 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, 5% acetonitrile), and peptide eluents were separated on a 15 cm 1.9 μm C18 (Dr. Maisch, Germany) self-packed column (New Objective, Woburn, MA) by a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano UPLC and monitored on an Orbitrap Fusion Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). Elution was performed over 80 min by using a linear gradient at a rate of 300 nL/min from buffer A (1% formic acid in water, buffer B: 0.08% formic acid in acetonitrile). The mass spectrometer was programmed to collect at the “top speed” mode with a cycle time of 3 s. The MS scans were collected at a resolution of 120,000 (100–1000 m/z range, 400,000 AGC, 50 ms maximum ion time), and the MS/MS spectra were acquired by the ion trap after HCD (high-energy collisional fragmentation) fragmentation with an isolation width of 2 m/z, 30% collision energy, 10,000 AGC target, and 50 ms maximum ion time. Dynamic exclusion was set to exclude previous sequenced peaks for 20 s within a 10 ppm window. The Proteome Discoverer 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) with the Sequest HT algorithm was used to search the database containing M. musculus (downloaded April 2015 with 90270 entries). Search parameters included mass tolerant of precursor ions (+ 10 ppm), 0.6 Da for the product ions, fully trypsic restriction, dynamic modifications for deamidated Gln and Asn (+ 0.9840 Da), oxidized Met (+ 15.9949 Da), acetylated lysine (+ 42.0367 Da), static modifications for five maximal modifications and a maximum of two missed cleavages. The embedded Percolator program was used to filter peptide spectral match (PSM) false discovery rate (FDR) to 1%.

**Site-specific incorporation of Nε-acetyl-L-lysine into RRM2.** We employed a genetic-code expansion concept (GCEC) via pRSF-Duet-1-MbtrNRP, AckR3 construct to incorporate acetyl-L-lysine into RRM2 specifically at the K95 site as described.28,29,32 The construct contains the coding regions for acetyl-lysyl-RNA-synthetase (AckR3-synthetase) and the cognate amine suppressor MbtrNRP from Methanosarcina barkeri. First, human RRM2 DNA was cloned into the pRSF-Duet-1-MbtrNRP/AckR3-3 between the BamH I and Not I sites. Then, the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) was used to mutate RRM2 K95 from AAG to TAG, which is exclusively recognized by MbtrNRP for acetyl-lysine incorporation. Primers for mutagenic forward, 5-CAT GAT ATC TGG CAG ATG TAT TAG AAG GCA GAG GCT TCC TTT TGG-3′; reverse, 5′-CCA AAA GGA AGC CTC TGC CTT CTA ATA CAT CTG CCA GAT ATG AGC-3′. After 26 PCR cycles, the amplification product was digested by Dpn I to remove the parental template and then treated with DNA Polymerase E. coli BL21 (DE3) for dNTPs. The resulting acetyl K95-RRM2 (AcK95-RRM2) construct was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) to produce recombinant AckR5 protein. E. coli BL21 (DE3) were cultured in the terrific broth medium at 37 °C with shaking at 220 rpm. 10 mM Nε-acetyl-L-lysine and 20 mM nicotinamide were added when OD600 reached 0.6. The E. coli were grown for another 0.5 h. After addition of 200 μM IPTG, E. coli BL21 cells were grown for 16 h at 20 °C. After expression, E. coli were then harvested and resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 120 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol and 0.5 mM PMSF), followed by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 min. The resulting supernatant was applied to a Ni-affinity column. After extensively washing with buffer A, bound proteins were eluted with buffer B (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 120 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole, and 10% glycerol). The eluted proteins were dialyzed with buffer A and stored at −80 °C.

**In vitro deacetylation assay.** 293T cells were transiently transfected with HA-tagged RRM2 and treated with 0.5 μM TSA and 25 mM nicotinamide for 8 h. The acetylated HA-RRM2 protein was immunoprecipitated using agarose-conjugated anti-HA antibody as a substrate. WT or mutant proteins Flag-tagged WT or HA187Y mutant Sirt2 was transfected into 293T cells, followed by IP using anti-Flag M2 affinity beads. Flag-Sirt2 protein was eluted with TBS (50 mM Tris- HCL pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl) supplemented with 2 μg/mL 1 × Flag peptide for deacetylation assay. The acetylated HA-RRM2 protein (300 ng) on agarose beads was incubated with purified Sirt2 WT or HA187Y mutant protein (2 μg) in deacetylation buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 5 mM NAD+) at 30 °C for 3 h. The reaction was stopped with SDS sample buffer, subjected to SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by western blot with acetylated-lysine antibody.

**Measurement of intracellular dNTPs.** Cellular dNTP levels were analyzed as we described previously.33,34 Briefly, cells were harvested, and cellular nucleotides were extracted with 6% trichloroacetic acid followed by neutralization with the addition of 5 M K2CO3 just prior to HPLC analysis. The dNTPs were separated from NTPs using a boronic acid resin column (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).
chromatographic separations of dNTPs were performed using a Symmetry C (18) 3.5 μM (150 x 4.6 mm) column equipped with fluorescence detector (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA).

Patient samples and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. Informed consent was obtained from all human subjects, and use of human samples for IHC was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Emory University. Paraffin-embedded human lung tissue samples from 208 NSCLC patients were obtained from the tissue bank at Emory University Winship Cancer Institute. Tissue microarray (TMA) was constructed with replicate cores of tumor and adjacent normal lung. After deparaffinization, rehydration, inactivation of endogenous peroxidase, and antigen retrieval, IHC staining was performed using R.T.U. Vectastain Kit (Vector Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A 1:100 dilution of Srt12 primary antibody was employed. The semiquantitative evaluation of IHC staining was carried out using immunoscore based on both percentage of stained cells and staining intensity as described17. The intensity was defined as follows: 0, no appreciable staining; 1, weak intensity; 2, moderate intensity; 3, strong intensity; 4, very strong intensity. The immunoscore was calculated by multiplying the intensity by percentage of positive staining, producing a total range of 0 to 400. For IHC analysis of Ki67 in tumor tissues from xenografts, Ki67-positive cells in tumor tissues were scored at ×400 magnification. The average number of positive cells per 0.0625 mm² area was determined from three separate fields in each of three independent tumor samples.

Size-exclusion chromatography. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) is a gel filtration chromatography, in which proteins in solution are separated by molecule size or weight33,34. Gel filtration chromatography was performed using AKTA protein purification system equipped with superdex 75 10/300 column (GE Healthcare, UK). Recombinant RRM2 WT or K95Q protein (1 μg/μl) was loaded on a superdex 75 10/300 column. WT or K95Q protein was eluted from the column with a Tris buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8.0, 150 mM NaCl) at 4 °C with a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The presence of RRM2 protein in the eluate was detected by monitoring its UV absorbance at 280 nm. The molecular weight and dimer state of RRM2 were determined based on migration of molecular weight standards (ribonuclease A—12 kDa, ovalbumin—44 kDa, conalbumin—75 kDa, r-globulin—158 kDa, thyroglobulin—670 kDa) using a gel filtration marker kit (MWG200, Sigma, MO).

Statistical analysis. All data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (s.d.) from at least three independent experiments. The statistical significance of differences between groups was analyzed with two-tailed test. We chose the sample size to detect a minimum effect size of 1.5 with at least 80% power and a type I error of 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The investigator was not blinded during experiment or assessing the outcome.

Additional methods. A list of antibody used and additional methods can be found in the Supplementary Methods section.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study, its supplementary information, and source data file are included in the paper, and are available from the corresponding author (X. Deng) upon reasonable request. The data underlying each figure are provided as a source data file. The mass spectrometry proteomics source data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD014105.
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