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METHODS
The 2015-2017 American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program Pediatric Registries were used to compare patient characteristics, rates of complications, and readmissions between outpatient and inpatient surgery for SCFE and Blount’s disease.
outpatient setting. In situ pinning was used in 98.5% of outpatient surgeries and 87.8% of inpatient surgeries ($P < 0.0001$). Inpatients had a greater percent of total complications than outpatients 2.57% and 1.65% respectively. Regarding Blount’s disease, outpatient surgeries constituted 41.2% of the 189 procedures included in our study. The majority of inpatients were treated with a tibial osteotomy, while the majority of outpatients had a physeal arrest ($P < 0.0001$). Complications were encountered in 7.4% of patients, with superficial surgical site infections and wound dehiscence being the most common. 1.6% of patients had a readmission. No differences in complication and readmission risks were found between inpatients and outpatients.

**CONCLUSION**
The current trend is shifting towards earlier discharges and performing procedures in an outpatient setting. This can be safely performed for a large portion of children with SCFE and Blount’s disease without increasing the risk of complications or readmissions. Osteotomies are more commonly performed in an inpatient setting where monitoring is available.
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**INTRODUCTION**
In recent years, an increasing number of orthopaedic surgeries have been performed in an outpatient setting[1]. A study examining pediatric fracture trends demonstrated a threefold increase in the use of outpatient services for the surgical fixation of fractures from 1996 to 2006[2]. Though outpatient surgery provides a lower initial cost, several factors like patient safety, complications, and readmissions need to be considered[3].

Obesity in children has reached epidemic proportions. According to the Centers of Disease Control and Prevention, 1 out of 5 individuals less than 19 years of age are obese[4]. As such, it is anticipated that adverse health outcomes related to obesity will increase. From an orthopaedic perspective, childhood obesity is considered to be a significant risk factor for both slipped capital femoral epiphysis (SCFE) and Blount’s disease[5,6]. A better understanding of both diseases and their respective treatment options would benefit children and their families.

Despite the surgical options for SCFE and Blount’s disease being well-studied, to our knowledge, there is no literature comparing inpatient vs outpatient management of either condition[6,7]. Therefore, we sought to use a large pediatric database to compare the complication and readmission rates between treatment in the inpatient and outpatient setting. Our hypothesis was that there would be no increased risk of adverse outcomes associated with the outpatient treatment of both conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**
The American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) Pediatric Registry was queried for the years 2015, 2016, and 2017[8]. As information in this database is de-identified and HIPAA compliant, institutional review board approval is not required.

NSQIP prospectively collects data on patient demographics, risk factors, and operative details. The database follows patients for 30 d after surgeries to document discharge status, complications, readmission, and reoperation. The 2017 NSQIP Pediatric database includes 113922 cases from 109 hospitals. Cases with codes corresponding for the International Classification of Diseases, ninth and tenth revisions (ICD-9 and ICD-10) primary diagnosis of SCFE or Blount’s disease were selected. Procedures were defined as outpatient if patients were discharged on the day of the surgery.
For SCFE, patients from 9 to 16 years were included. Treatment options were divided into *in situ* pinning and open treatment. Open treatment includes osteotomy, open reduction, and internal fixation. For pediatric Blount’s disease, patients older than 10 years were excluded. Treatment was categorized as external fixation, osteotomy, or physeal arrest. Osteotomy includes proximal tibial osteotomy with or without fibular osteotomy or excision. Physeal arrest includes epiphysiosediosis and hemiepiphysiosediosis with any method (e.g. guided growth). Patients were excluded if their primary surgery was not congruent with their primary diagnosis (e.g. distal femur lengthening for SCFE, or tumor/cyst excision for Blount’s disease).

In addition to the common complications documented in the database, we considered a discharge to an acute care setting or facility which was not home as a complication. If a case had multiple related complications, then it was recorded as one complication. For example, a patient with both superficial wound dehiscence and superficial surgical site infection (SSI) was counted as one complication under superficial SSI. No patient had multiple unrelated complications. We included both reoperations and readmissions not requiring an operation in “readmissions.”

Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). ANOVA, Wilcoxon signed rank-test, Fisher’s exact tests, and χ² tests were used to compare variables between the outpatient and the inpatient settings. Odds ratios (OR) with 95%CI were calculated when differences in adverse outcomes were exhibited between the inpatient and outpatient settings. *P* values < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

### RESULTS

#### SCFE

Out of 1797 procedures for SCFE, 46% were performed as an outpatient. 93.6% of children admitted postoperatively were discharged within 3 days, with 47.7% being discharged on post op day (POD) 1 and 39.4% on POD 2. Patients in both settings were similar with respect to age. Small differences existed regarding the weight, sex, and race of patients. There were more males and white patients receiving outpatient surgeries in comparison to the inpatient setting noting more female and black patients. Outpatients had a higher percentage of American Society of Anesthesiologists Classification (ASA) classes 1 and 2 and a lower percentage of ASA class 3 compared to inpatients. Patient details can be found in Table 1. *In situ* pinning was the most common procedure, constituting 98.5% of outpatient surgeries and 87.8% of inpatient surgeries (*P* < 0.0001).

Total 1.6% of outpatients and 1.2% of inpatients had complications (*P* = 0.50), and the readmissions rates were 1.1% and 1.44%, respectively (*P* = 0.89). The nature of complications and causes of readmission are found in Table 2. There was no statistically significant difference in individual adverse outcomes except for bleeding. Bleeding was the most common complication among inpatients and did not occur in outpatients. None of these patients had a preoperative bleeding disorder. 12 of the 15 cases of bleeding requiring transfusion occurred with open procedures (Osteotomy and internal fixation) while 3 occurred during in situ pinning (*P* < 0.0083). The overall risks of SCFE recurrence and femoral neck fractures for outpatient and inpatient was 0.55% and 0.64% respectively. Three inpatients initially discharged on POD 1 required readmission for postop pain management.

There were 3.5x the total number of complications in the inpatient SCFE group but the mean number of complications between the two groups was not significant (*P* = 0.292). There were 3.3x the number of patients that developed complications but OR was not statistically significant (OR = 0.66, 95%CI: 0.31-1.41). Similarly, no difference was found regarding odds of readmission (OR = 1.12, 95%CI: 0.20-6.16).

#### Blount’s disease

Total 189 procedures for Blount’s disease were included. 41.2% were performed in an outpatient setting. 54.1% of admitted patients were discharged on POD 1, and 30.6% were discharged on POD 2. Patients in both settings had similar sex, race, BMI, and ASA distributions, but statistically significant differences were found in their age. Outpatients were younger by (0.7 year) (Table 1). There were also differences in the procedures used in both settings (*P* < 0.0001). Osteotomy was the most common procedure among inpatients (64%), whereas it was not performed in an outpatient setting. 98.7% of outpatients were treated with physeal arrest, as compared to 34.2% of inpatients. 13% of inpatients and 1.8% of outpatients were treated with external fixation.

7.4% of patients experienced complications (Table 3). Superficial SSI and wound dehiscence were the most frequent complications. Individual and total risk of complications and readmissions were not different between both settings (*P* > 0.05) so no ORs were calculated.

### DISCUSSION

There is a shifting trend towards performing procedures in an outpatient setting[9]. In the current study
Table 1 Patient demographics, n (%)  

|                     | SCFE                      | Blount’s disease         |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|                     | Outpatient (n = 553)      | Inpatient (n = 1244)     | Outpatient (n = 78) | Inpatient (n = 111) |
| Age (yr), mean ± SD | 12.6 ± 1.4                | 12.5 ± 1.6               | 6.0 ± 2.8<sup>a</sup> | 6.7 ± 2.0<sup>a</sup> |
| Sex                 |                           |                          |                       |                      |
| Female              | 181 (32.73)<sup>a</sup>   | 490 (39.39)<sup>a</sup>  | 52 (66.7)             | 70 (63.1)            |
| Male                | 372 (67.27)<sup>a</sup>   | 754 (60.61)<sup>a</sup>  | 26 (33.3)             | 41 (36.9)            |
| Weight (kg), mean ± SD | 71.5 ± 18.2               | 73.3 ± 20.3              | 38.6 ± 23.5<sup>a</sup> | 48.6 ± 27.1<sup>a</sup> |
| Missing             | 2                         | 3                        | 0                      | 0                    |
| Race                |                           |                          |                       |                      |
| White               | 248 (44.85)<sup>a</sup>   | 429 (34.49)<sup>a</sup>  | 17 (21.8)             | 20 (18.0)            |
| Black               | 153 (27.67)<sup>a</sup>   | 441 (35.45)<sup>a</sup>  | 46 (60.2)             | 63 (56.8)            |
| Hispanic            | 74 (13.38)<sup>a</sup>    | 158 (12.7)<sup>a</sup>   | 6 (7.7)               | 13 (11.7)            |
| Other minorities    | 33 (5.6)<sup>a</sup>      | 41 (3.29)<sup>a</sup>    | 3 (3.8)               | 5 (4.5)              |
| Unknown/Not reported| 47 (8.5)<sup>a</sup>      | 175 (14.07)<sup>a</sup>  | 6 (7.7)               | 10 (9.0)             |
| ASA                 |                           |                          |                       |                      |
| 1                   | 182 (32.91)               | 399 (32.07)              | 24 (30.8)             | 21 (18.9)            |
| 2                   | 340 (61.48)               | 725 (58.28)              | 42 (53.8)             | 61 (55.0)            |
| 3                   | 29 (5.24)                 | 116 (9.32)               | 12 (15.4)             | 27 (24.3)            |
| 4                   | 1 (0.18)                  | 1 (0.08)                 | 0                     | 2 (1.8)              |
| None assigned       | 1 (0.18)                  | 3 (0.24)                 | 0                     | 0                    |

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05 between groups.
ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists Classification; SCFE: Slipped capital femoral epiphysis.

based on results from the NSQIP Pediatric database, 46% of SCFE procedures and 41% of Blount’s procedures were performed as outpatients.

Several studies report a decline in the incidence of SCFE[10-12]. As obesity rates have been increasing, it is unusual for fewer children to suffer from SCFE. One thing to consider is the methodology of the studies, which rely on databases. Most large registries report inpatient admissions. Same-day surgeries and procedures performed in ambulatory surgery centers are more difficult to capture, but the shift towards outpatient surgery could contribute to the apparent decrease in the incidence of SCFE. In 2000, outpatient SCFE procedures represented 23% of operatively treated SCFEs[13]. This percentage more than doubled according to our results. In addition, NSQIP does not include data from ambulatory centers, so outpatient procedures might constitute more than half of all SCFE surgical correction. Future studies investigating the true incidence of SCFE with outpatient adjustment would provide valuable information.

Performing procedures in an outpatient setting has several advantages. One significant benefit is the lower patient and hospital cost associated with early patient discharge[14]. In addition, several studies showed that patient satisfaction is inversely correlated with the length of hospital stay[15]. A study comparing inpatients and outpatients satisfaction following hip and knee arthroplasty demonstrated that satisfaction was high in both groups, but in areas of differences, outpatients had higher scores[16]. For children, this effect might be more pronounced, and performing outpatient surgeries can benefit their psychological well-being[17]. However, outpatient surgeries can have higher rates of adverse outcomes in some contexts, particularly with SSI[18]. Arshi et al[19] found that outpatient total knee arthroplasties had a higher chance of revision compared with inpatient care. Therefore, comparative studies for specific conditions are needed in this area.

Though an outpatient setting is an attractive option for patients and physicians, postoperative pain needs be considered[17]. Previous studies showed that children discharged home at the day of surgery had higher pain score than inpatients[20]. Even in admitted patients, Mather and Mackie reported that 17% of children had severe pain on POD 1[21]. In the present analysis, only 3 SCFE patients (0.24%) required readmission for pain. These patients were initially discharged on POD 1. None of the SCFE outpatients or any patients treated for Blount’s disease had pain requiring readmission. Therefore, it is possible for the vast majority of surgical patients to benefit from early discharge without the need for
### Table 2. Adverse outcomes in slipped capital femoral epiphysis patients, n (%)

| Complication                          | Outpatient (n = 544) | Inpatient (n = 1244) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Non-routine discharge                 | 6 (1.1)              | 5 (0.40)             |
| Superficial SSI                       | 1 (0.18)             | 5 (0.40)             |
| Superficial wound dehiscence          | 1 (0.18)             | 6 (0.48)             |
| Postop C. diff infection              | 1 (0.18)             | 0                    |
| Bleeding requiring transfusion        | 0                    | 15 (1.21)*           |
| Venous thrombosis                     | 0                    | 1 (0.08)             |
| Total number of patients with complications | 9 (1.65)          | 30 (2.41)            |
| Total number of complications         | 9 (1.65)             | 32 (2.57)            |
| Reoperation                           | 0                    | 7 (0.56)             |
| SCFE                                  | 0                    | 1 (0.08)             |
| Hip infection                         | 0                    | 1 (0.08)             |
| Femoral neck fracture                 | 3 (0.55)             | 1 (0.08)             |
| Closed reduction of hip dislocation   | 1 (0.18)             | 0                    |
| Fracture of hip/pelvis                | 0                    | 1 (0.08)             |
| Not documented                        | 0                    | 2 (0.20)             |
| Total complication                    | 4 (0.73)             | 12 (0.96)            |
| Readmission without operation         | 0                    | 1 (0.08)             |
| Superficial SSI                       | 0                    | 1 (0.08)             |
| Pain                                  | 0                    | 3 (0.24)             |
| Not documented                        | 2 (0.24)             | 4 (0.32)             |
| Total readmission                     | 6                    | 18                   |

*P < 0.05 between groups.
SCFE: Slipped capital femoral epiphysis; SSI: Surgical site infection.

Disease-specific and surgery-specific considerations also need to be investigated. One such consideration is the early return to weight-bearing which could increase the risk of femoral neck fractures in patients with SCFE[22]. Femur fractures in our SCFE population were rare and did not differ significantly based on the treatment setting. Nonetheless, for unstable slips where physician judgment deems strict non-weight-bearing to be necessary, patients might benefit from hospital admission (with inpatient physical therapy) to help enforce weight-bearing restrictions when compliance is an issue. The most common complications of SCFE include osteonecrosis, chondrolysis, fixation failure, and slip progression[23]. Osteonecrosis and chondrolysis are largely related to the nature of the slips rather than the surgical approach[23]. 0.56% of patients required a reoperation for SCFE, indicating fixation failure and/or slip progression. This rate was not different based on the setting of the surgery. Other common complications like SSI and wound dehiscence were also similar between the groups. The only individual complication that was different between both groups was bleeding requiring a transfusion. However, this was confounded with open procedures. Open procedures are more invasive, and bleeding is a well-known complication[7]. Such procedures are reserved for severe slips requiring more complex procedures, and it is recommended that they be admitted for inpatient monitoring. After adjusting the analysis for the type of surgery, the inpatient and outpatient settings did not have different odds ratio of complications or readmissions.

Surgery for Blount’s disease, though technically difficult, is not commonly associated with major complications other than recurrence[24]. Infections, nerve injuries, and compartment syndromes can still occur[25]. 7.4% of Blount’s patients had a complication in this study, most commonly superficial SSI and wound dehiscence. Patients selected to be treated in an outpatient setting can benefit from...
Table 3 Adverse outcomes in Blount’s patients, n (%)  

| Adverse outcome                          | Outpatient (n = 78) | Inpatient (n = 111) |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Complication                            |                     |                     |
| Non-routine discharge                   | 1 (1.3)             | 0                   |
| Superficial SSI                         | 1 (1.3)             | 5 (4.5)             |
| Superficial wound dehiscence            | 4 (5.1)             | 2 (1.8)             |
| Sepsis                                  | 1 (1.3)             | 0                   |
| Total complications                     | 7 (9.0)             | 7 (6.3)             |
| Readmission without operation           |                     |                     |
| Not documented                          | 0                   | 1 (0.9)             |
| Reoperation                             |                     |                     |
| Incision and drainage                   | 1 (1.3)             | 0                   |
| Neuroplasty (common peroneal nerve)     | 0                   | 1 (0.9)             |
| Total readmissions                      | 1 (1.3)             | 2 (1.8)             |

No P values < 0.05. SSI: Surgical site infection.

education about meticulous wound care and early signs of infection, and our results showed that rates of infections and overall complications were not different between both settings. This confirms our hypothesis that outpatient procedures are not associated with increased morbidity.

Careful patient selection is still needed to limit complications and readmissions. Patients with ASA 1 or 2 are considered suitable for outpatient surgery[17]. 90.3% of all the patients included in this study meet this requirement. Those with ASA 3 can still receive outpatient surgery, but they might have an increased risk of admission[17]. In addition, attention should be given to comorbidities and prior surgical or anesthetic complications. Nonetheless, a large portion of children with SCFE and Blount’s disease can benefit from outpatient surgery.

To capture a large number of procedures, we used the NSQIP Pediatric Database. This is not without limitations. Data collected was from numerous hospitals, so there could be differences in the surgical techniques, indications for surgery, and selection criteria for inpatient vs outpatient procedures. Also, all cases included are surgeries performed in a hospital setting. Cases from ambulatory surgery centers are not part of the database. This means that even more procedures are performed in the outpatient setting. However, numerous studies have shown that ambulatory centers have similar outcomes to hospital outpatients, so the conclusion that outpatient surgery does not increase the risk of complications is not undermined[25,26]. In addition, patients were followed for 30 d. Long term complications like growth arrest and femoroacetabular impingement for SCFE and recurrence of Blount’s disease may differ. Symptoms before and after surgery are not available. Despite these limitations, this study provides a representative sample of surgically managed cases of SCFE and Blount’s disease and is the first to investigate short-term readmission and complication rates between these outpatient procedures. Additionally, the prospective data collection and a priori definitions of complications improve the quality of data regarding complications compared to retrospective data collection or databases utilizing only coded complications.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our results indicate that performing outpatient surgeries for SCFE and Blount’s disease is a viable option. Careful selection criteria need to be applied, but a large number of children can be surgically-treated as outpatients which does not affect the odds for early postoperative complications or readmissions.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Currents trends in pediatric orthopaedics has seen an increase in surgeries being successfully completed
in an outpatient setting.

**Research motivation**
Limited data is available on safety and efficacy of managing slipped capital femoral epiphysis and Blount’s disease in the outpatient setting.

**Research objectives**
Is outpatient management safe and effective for slipped capital femoral epiphysis and Blount’s disease in the outpatient setting.

**Research methods**
Retrospective analysis of a large multi-institutional database.

**Research results**
In summary complications were minimal in outpatient surgical management for slipped capital femoral epiphysis and Blount’s disease in the outpatient setting.

**Research conclusions**
Surgeons should consider outpatient management for both slipped capital femoral epiphysis and Blount’s disease.

**Research perspectives**
This study should prompt future research in outcomes of outpatient management of other previous inpatient pediatric orthopaedic procedures.
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