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Skeletal muscle regeneration is modulated by inflammation
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Abstract  Skeletal muscle regeneration is a complex process orchestrated by multiple steps. Recent findings indicate that inflammatory responses could play central roles in bridging initial muscle injury responses and timely muscle injury reparation. The various types of immune cells and cytokines have crucial roles in muscle regeneration process. In this review, we briefly summarise the functions of acute inflammation in muscle regeneration. The translational potential of this article: Immune system is closely relevant to the muscle regeneration. Understanding the mechanisms of inflammation in muscle regeneration is therefore critical for the development of effective regenerative, and therapeutic strategies in muscular disorders. This review provides information for muscle regeneration research regarding the effects of inflammation on muscle regeneration.
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Muscle injury and muscle stem cells in muscle regeneration

Skeletal muscle is the most abundant tissue in human body, which accounts for about 40% of the body mass. Under normal conditions, the turnover rate of adult skeletal muscle is about 1–2% of myonuclei per week [1]. Muscle is susceptible for various injuries in daily life, such as the mechanical trauma, thermal stress, myotoxic agents, ischaemia, neurological damage and other pathogenic conditions. The most common cause of muscle injury is mechanical trauma [2]. It destroys the integrity of the myofibre plasma membrane and basal lamina, leading to the influx of extracellular calcium [3] which eventually leads to the degradation of muscle proteins and necrosis [4]. Then, the muscle degeneration was further promoted by the swelling and haematoma formation [5], as the
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consequence of the activation of acute inflammation. After the initial muscle degeneration, muscle regeneration mediated by muscle stem cells is switched on. The injured myofibres can be regenerated, and the muscle functions such as contraction force, metabolism can be restored.

Muscle stem cells (satellite cells) are the major contributor to muscle regeneration. They were discovered by Alexander Mauro in 1961 [6]. These cells are located in a membrane-enclosed niche between the sarcolemma (plasma membrane) and the basal lamina surrounding the myofibres. Muscle stem cells remain quiescent under normal conditions [7,8]. In response to exercise, muscle growth, trauma or other stimuli, muscle stem cells are activated to enter the cell cycle, proliferated briefly and further differentiated to new myotubes or fuse to the damaged myofibres to repair muscle injury. After activation and proliferation, part of the muscle stem cells can return to quiescence and replenish the in vivo stem cell pool to prepare for the next regeneration process [9,10].

The mechanism to activate muscle stem cells and promote muscle stem cell proliferation and differentiation in a timely manner remains to be explored. Understanding the mechanism will greatly facilitate the development of regenerative treatment for muscle injury and muscle degenerative diseases.

Acute inflammation bridges the conversion from muscle necrosis stage to regeneration stage

The process of muscle regeneration can be divided to several stages: necrosis of the injured muscle cell, activation of muscle stem cells, proliferation of the activated muscle stem cells, differentiation of the muscle stem cells, maturation of the newly formed muscle fibres and the remodelling of muscle fibres. Acute inflammation and immune cells play critical roles in almost all stages of muscle regeneration.

At the early stage of muscle regeneration, the injured muscle cells undergo necrosis in response to trauma. Upon muscle injury, the membranes of muscle fibres are damaged and the cellular contents and chemotactic factors are released to the extracellular space, which in turn induces the infiltration of many types of immune cells [11]. The infiltrated immune cells, such as mast cells and neutrophils, can help clearing the damaged myofibres at the injury site. Meanwhile, they can also secrete various types of cytokines to recruit more immune cells like macrophages. These immune cells can trigger on a cascade of cellular responses to regulate muscle stem cell activation, proliferation and differentiation. They serve as important mediators to orchestrate muscle regeneration.

The first wave of immune cells: complement system, mast cells and neutrophils

The major events of early stage of muscle regeneration after injury include muscle fibre necrosis, lesion enlargement and debris clearance. The activation and infiltration of the first wave of immune cells occur at the early stage of muscle regeneration. The early event of muscle repair is characterised by the necrosis of the damaged fibres after trauma. The immune system was activated by the cell debris and the cell content leakage from the damaged fibres at the muscle lesion site.

The complement system serves as the first sensor of the muscle injury. The complement system, which represents the first defence line of innate immunity, is activated immediately within seconds after injury [12]. It is made up of a collection of nine major complement proteins found in the bloodstream allowing a rapid immune response against an antigen [13,14]. The activation of complement system in the injured muscle leads to infiltration of neutrophils and macrophages to the lesion site [15]. The complement C3 and C4 are two of complement proteins. Their cleavage products C3a and C4a are upregulated in the serum of population with prolonged exercises, revealing the involvement of the complement-mediated inflammation in the early stage of muscle injury [16].

Mast cells are large, ovoid cells of haematopoietic lineage that circulate in the blood and mature after entering peripheral tissues, with a centrally located nucleus and numerous large, intensely basophilic granules [17]. Mast cell degranulation is one of the earliest innate immune system responses involved in muscle damage and repair that leads to the consequent inflammatory events. Mast cell degranulation is often observed in areas surrounding injured myofibres. Upon muscle injury, the resident mast cells in skeletal muscle are rapidly activated. After activation, master cells degranulate and release proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-1 and histamine to recruit more mast cells, neutrophils and other immune cells to the injury site [18,19]. As the result, more mast cells and neutrophils infiltrated to the lesion to further promote inflammation [20].

Neutrophils are one of the most important immune cell types in the first wave of the proinflammatory phase following muscle injury. Like mast cells, the resident neutrophils in skeletal muscles can be activated immediately after the muscle injury and release the proinflammatory cytokines including TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor alpha), IFN-γ (Interferon-γ), and IL-1β (interleukin-1β) [21,22]. The peripheral neutrophils can be further recruited by proinflammatory cytokines secreted by resident neutrophils and mast cells. This mechanism allows rapid infiltration of the large amount of neutrophils to the extracellular space around the damaged fibres within two hours. The number of the infiltrated neutrophils peaks in 6–24 hours after injury and declines rapidly 72–96 hours after injury [23].

Neutrophils can release a variety of factors such as cytokines, enzymes and oxidative factors to facilitate the clearance of the necrotic muscles [24–26]. The removal of the fibre debris facilitates the progress of muscle regeneration. The infiltrated neutrophils at the injury site produce IL-1 and IL-8 to induce the macrophage infiltration to the lesion [27]. The infiltration of macrophages can further improve the muscle injury repair as described in the following.

Neutrophils are the major source of reactive oxygen species after injury as well [28]. The neutrophil-derived
reactive oxygen species has been shown to contribute to the muscle fibre degradation and vascular alterations induced by ischaemia–reperfusion injury [29]. Under this scenario, neutrophils temporarily worsen the muscle damage and delay the move to the next stage of the muscle regeneration that is contradictory to their functions of neutrophils to help muscle regeneration progress. Therefore, the infiltrated neutrophils play dual roles in the muscle injury repair process. How these two seemingly contradictory functions of neutrophils are unified to contribute to muscle injury repair remains to be explored.

The second wave of immune cells: macrophages and T cells

The second stage of muscle regeneration is marked by muscle stem cell activation and expansion. This stage is accompanied by the activation of adaptive immunity and the infiltration of the second wave of immune cells.

Macrophages are originated in the bone marrow as monocytes [30]. They are derived from blood monocytes and recruited to the muscle injury sites by neutrophils shortly after injury [31–34]. Macrophages started to be observed at the lesion 24 hours after injury. The number of macrophages increases significantly 2 days after injury along with the rapid decline of the number of neutrophils [30,35]. Macrophages play central roles in the regulation of the skeletal muscle regeneration [30]. During the muscle regeneration process, these cells undergo two different stages of activation and are categorised to two major types: the classically activated macrophages M1 and the alternatively activated macrophages M2 [36]. The M1 macrophages are proinflammatory, whereas the M2 macrophages are antiinflammatory [37]. At the early stage of muscle regeneration, M1 macrophages are the most dominant macrophage type. In the blood, circulating monocytes can be classified into at least two populations that are distinguishable by their expression levels of Ly-6C (also known as GR1) and of chemokine receptors CCR2 and CX3CR1 [38,39]. The interaction between CCR2 (C-C chemokine receptor type 2) and its ligand CCL2 (C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 2) promotes the recruitment and differentiation of the Ly6C+ monocytes to M1 macrophages [40]. Then Ly6C+ monocytes enter damaged muscle in a CX3CR1 dependent manner after the onset of inflammation [41]. M1 macrophages initially function to remove the muscle debris generated by the trauma. M1 macrophages infiltrated to the lesion also secrete large amount of cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β.

TNF-α has been reported to play an important role in muscle regeneration. TNF-α-deficient mice and TNF-α receptor knockout mice displayed severe muscle regeneration defects [42,43]. TNF-α can attract muscle stem cells to the damaged site of the muscle and promote muscle stem cells proliferation by activating transcription factor nuclear factor-kappa B signalling [44]. Moreover, TNF-α activates p38 signalling pathway and stimulates the differentiation of muscle cells. Blocking TNF-α action using anti-TNF-α or inhibiting p38 kinase activity downregulates the expression of muscle differentiation markers such as MyoD, myogenin or myosin [45,46].

IL-6 is produced by multiple cell types including macrophages, T cells and myofibres [47–50]. It has long been suggested to regulate muscle regeneration and muscle homeostatic maintenance [51]. IL-6 can stimulate the migration, proliferation and differentiation of myoblast [52]. In the IL-6−/− skeletal muscle cells, the myofibre formation was impaired. Ablation of IL-6 expression led to a decrease in differentiation while overexpression of IL-6 increased differentiation of the muscle stem cells. This is consistent with the phenomenon in IL-6−/− deficient animal model, which the muscle stem cells activation and compensatory hypertrophy were impaired, revealing that IL-6 play a significant role in inducing proliferation and differentiation of muscle stem cells and the formation of myotubes.

IL-1β is mainly produced by macrophages [53]. T cells are the other source of IL-1β [54]. IL-1β can further recruit macrophages and T cells to the injury site [23]. IL-1β stimulates the production of IL-6 in skeletal muscle cells [55], suggesting that IL-1β can also target skeletal muscle cells.

M1 macrophages also highly express inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), which is responsible for the generation of reactive free radical nitric oxide (NO) [56]. High concentration of NO can induce apoptosis of the damaged cells to help remove the cell debris after trauma [57], while low concentration of NO protects cells against oxidative damage [58,59]. Attenuating the NO level by NOS inhibitor I-NAME leads to decreased number of muscle stem cells at the early stage of muscle injury and deposition of collagen (an indicator for fibrosis), suggesting that iNOS and NO promote the proliferation of muscle stem cells and prevents fibrosis after muscle injury [60]. There are peripheral evidences to suggest that M1 macrophages can attract muscle stem cells to the injury site and stimulate the proliferation of the muscle stem cells while repress their differentiation [61,62]. The mechanism of how iNOS and NO regulates muscle regeneration remains to be explored.

T cells are the major cell population to be recruited to the lesion in the second wave of immune cell infiltration. M1 macrophages recruit T cells to infiltrate the injury site [63]. Both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells appear at the injury site about three days after injury and remains to be detected until 10 days after injury [64]. The sustained CD8+ and CD4+ T-cell presence throughout the regenerative process suggests the involvement of T cells in skeletal muscle repair [65]. Furthermore, the infiltration of the T cells also facilitates the subsequent recruitment of macrophage to the injured muscle [66].

Similar to macrophages, T cells also secrete a variety of growth factors and cytokines to modulate the microenvironment of the injury site. T cells express high amount of TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-12, IL-13 and other cytokines. Several cytokines such as TNF-α, IFN-γ and IL-1β are secreted by both macrophages and T cells that maintain the continuous presence and above-threshold concentration of these cytokines during the muscle regeneration process.

T-cell−deficient mice display delay of early growth in skeletal muscle [67]. The adult mice with T cell deficiency display impaired muscle regeneration abilities, while transplantation of CD3+ cells can fully rescue the muscle regeneration defects [68]. Application of the secretive
products of human T cells accelerates the muscle wound healing [69], suggesting that cytokines and growth factors secreted by T cells facilitate muscle regeneration.

Recently, Fu et al. showed the direct link between T cells and muscle stem cells [68]. Fu et al. demonstrated that IL-1α, IL-13, TNF-α and IFN-γ secreted by T cells are sufficient to promote muscle stem cell expansion both in vivo and in vitro. Muscle stem cells can be serially expanded for over 20 passages when growing in medium containing IL-1α, IL-13, TNF-α and IFN-γ. The muscle stem cells expanded in vitro have been proved to be able to repair muscle injury in vivo efficiently after cell transplantation. The transplanted muscle stem cells are capable of homing to the right niche and replenish the in vivo muscle stem cell pool. They are also capable of repairing the secondary muscle injury, indicating that the muscle stem cells expanded in vitro meet the golden standard of stem cells. Constantly, these cells shared similar expression profiles to the endogenous muscle stem cells. These results suggest that IL-1α, IL-13, TNF-α and IFN-γ help maintain the stemness of muscle stem cells.

Moreover, injection of the combination of IL-1α, IL-13, TNF-α and IFN-γ to mice lacking T cells can fully rescue the muscle regeneration defects, further supporting the notion that IL-1α, IL-13, TNF-α and IFN-γ secreted by T cells are required for timely muscle regeneration. These discoveries showed that T cells and acute inflammation provide critical microenvironment for muscle stem cell proliferation. This also raise an interesting possibility that inflammatory environment can enable and enhance the functions of stem cells. The principle may be universally applied to injury repair in many tissues. Indeed, it has been reported that inflammation is required for proper neural injury repair [70] and cardiac muscle [71], suggesting that immune cells may be able to facilitate stem cell-mediated injury repair in multiple tissues.

The third wave of immune cells: the switch from proinflammatory to antiinflammatory immune cells

After the number of the muscle stem cells reaches the peak, muscle regeneration process enters the third stage. The major event at this stage is the differentiation of muscle stem cells and the maturation of the newly formed myofibres. The proinflammatory microenvironment at the muscle lesion has also been converted to the antiinflammatory microenvironment accordingly. The conversion to the antiinflammatory microenvironment at lesion is marked by the switch from M1 (proinflammatory) to M2 (antiinflammatory) macrophages [72]. As mentioned above, the Ly6C+ monocytes are recruited and differentiated to M1 macrophage in the second stage of muscle regeneration. In contrast, at the third stage of muscle regeneration, the Ly6C monocytes differentiate to M2 macrophages [61]. M2 macrophages produce antiinflammatory cytokines including IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13 to repress the local inflammatory response at injury site [62,73]. Meanwhile, M2 macrophages have been indicated to promote muscle stem cells to differentiate to myotubes [61], thus promoting the late stage of myogenesis and regeneration [61,74,75]. The absence of M2 macrophages causes a delay in muscle growth and inhibits muscle differentiation and regeneration [76]. Thus, this transition in macrophage phenotype is an essential component of muscle regeneration in vivo following acute or chronic muscle damage [77].

Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are denoted as the CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ subpopulation of T cells [78]. Treg has potent immune repression abilities [79]. The number of Tregs is low at the early stage of muscle regeneration [68], while the number increases dramatically at the late stage of muscle regeneration accompanied with the decrease of cell number of other subtypes of T cells [80]. Tregs secrete IL-10 and other cytokines to facilitate the conversion of M1 to M2 macrophages, therefore promote myoblast differentiation [77,80]. Tregs can also reduce the number of conventional T cells, especially CD8+ T cells. The reduction of CD8+ T cells slows down muscle stem cell proliferation and promotes myoblast differentiation [81]. Depletion of Tregs in mice results in muscle regeneration defects, suggesting that Tregs are required for the timely muscle regeneration [80].

A special subpopulation of Tregs characterised by the special complementarity determining region 3 sequence in T-cell receptors have been identified in injured skeletal muscle [80]. Muscle Treg express amphiregulin, the ligand for epidermal growth factor receptor. Amphiregulin can promote the proliferation and differentiation of myoblasts [80].

The number of muscle Tregs decreases in aged mice and leads to delayed muscle regeneration after injury. Injection of IL-33, which stimulates the accumulation of Tregs, into the old mice improves the ability of skeletal muscle injury repair further supporting the notion that Tregs facilitate muscle regeneration [82].

Inflammation in chronic muscle disorders

In the acute muscle injury, the self-limiting physiological acute inflammatory responses are involved, while the persistent chronic inflammations are observed in chronic muscle disorders which are a heterogeneous group of diseases characterised by progressive muscle wasting and include muscular dystrophies [83]. Although there are many similarities of inflammation between acute and chronic muscle injury, the kinetics of the immune cells diversify in many aspects. In acute injury, M1 macrophages accumulate and produce proinflammatory cytokines at the early stage of muscle regeneration. M2 macrophages only appear in the later stage of muscle regeneration [56]. Similar to what is observed in acute damage, the muscle inflammation by an infiltrate of inflammatory neutrophils and M1 macrophages is also a prominent feature of chronic muscular dystrophies [84]. For example, neutrophils and activated M1 macrophages invading the muscle are observed around 4 weeks of age in the mdx mice, a genetic mouse model for Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) [84]. In contrast, the infiltration of M2 macrophages also occurs at the early stages of inflammation in DMD [85]. This differs from acute muscle injury, which M2 macrophages usually predominate at later stages of inflammation. The invasion of M2 macrophages at the early stages of inflammation inhibits production of NO
by M1 macrophages and greatly decreases M1 macrophage lysis of muscle cells. The repression of fibrosis by NO is therefore attenuated. It leads to pathological fibrosis occurs at the late stage of muscle regeneration in chronic muscle disorders [86]. M2 macrophages also participate in activation of cytotoxic T cells that are then able to promote muscle damage through perforin-mediated processes [87]. In addition, M2 macrophages induce an increase of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13, which can induce the activation of eosinophils that promotes muscle fibrosis through major basic protein-1 mediated processes [73,88]. Besides the innate immune response, some degree of adaptive immune response is also involved in mdx mice and DMD patients. T cells are infiltrated into affected muscles of mdx mice aged 4–8 weeks [89]. Although many studies aimed to characterise T-cell populations and their role in muscle dystrophies, the results were not as exhaustive and were sometimes contradictory. Other chronic disorders such as facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy and the limb girdle muscular dystrophies also have to been shown to present clear hallmarks of inflammation although these disorders are caused by different genetic alterations [90,91]. However, the relevance to the onset and progression of the pathology remains ambiguous.

Dysregulation of inflammation and orderly infiltration of immune cells to the lesion disrupts normal muscle regeneration by inhibiting muscle stem cell proliferation, differentiation and increasing fibrosis. The mechanism needs further exploration.

**Conclusion**

Here we summarise the current knowledge on the functions of inflammation and immune cells in muscle regeneration.

Both innate immune system and adaptive immune system are activated after muscle injury. Immune cells are recruited to the lesion in an orderly manner after trauma to facilitate switch from the proinflammatory environment to the anti-inflammatory environment and orchestrate the activation, expansion and differentiation of muscle stem cells during muscle regeneration (Figure 1). They are responsible for debris clearance and microenvironment modification by secreting various types of cytokines, growth factors, enzymes and other factors. These functions are indispensable for proper muscle regeneration.
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