Congenital Visceral Vascular Variation Causing Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage: A Case Report
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Variations in the visceral vasculature are often encountered, but rarely cause clinical symptoms. We report a 12-year-old girl with portal hypertension caused by congenital variations in visceral vessels. The clinical manifestations included gastrointestinal hemorrhage and ascites. The common hepatic artery and splenic artery stem shared the same trunk from the aorta, and the common hepatic artery was directly connected with the main portal vein to form an arteriovenous fistula. In addition, the left hepatic artery and the left gastric artery shared a common trunk termed the “hepatic-gastric trunk” which originated from the anterior wall of the aorta, while the right hepatic artery originated from the superior mesenteric artery and supplied the right liver. The patient was treated with interventional embolization and remained in good condition throughout the follow-up and at the time of publication.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital variation of the visceral vessels, especially those concerning the celiac trunk and hepatic artery, is well-documented in the medical literature (1–3). However, not all variations have been described, and some may be difficult to diagnose in clinical practice. This causes great challenges to surgeons and interventional radiologists when dealing with abdominal vascular diseases (4, 5). Accurate identification of these vascular variations is an important basis for the selection of clinical treatment options, improves the probability of successful surgery, and reduces post-operative complications.

CASE REPORT

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Guangdong Women and Children Hospital. The patient’s mother provided written informed consent prior to the treatment procedures.

A 12-year-old girl was admitted with hematemesis and melena. She had no history of chronic liver disease or inherited diseases. The physical examination revealed a heart rate of 99 bpm, blood pressure 89/55 mmHg, and the skin mucosa was pallor. Laboratory data showed that hemoglobin was 67 g/L, stool occult blood test (+); and electrolyte, kidney and coagulation function, serum amylase, and liver function tests were normal. The abdomen was flat and soft, with varicose abdominal wall, and without tenderness or rebound pain.

Color Doppler ultrasound revealed a common trunk of the common hepatic artery and splenic artery originating from the anterior wall of the aorta, and the common hepatic artery was directly merged into the portal vein (Figures 1b–e). There was a tumor-like expansion of the main portal
FIGURE 1 | Congenital visceral vascular variation was diagnosed by multi-imaging examinations. (a) Tumor-like expansion of the main portal vein and the left branch of the portal vein shown by color Doppler ultrasound. (b) Contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan showed the left hepatic artery (black arrow) and left gastric artery (not shown) co-trunk, as the first branch of the aorta (arrowhead). The common hepatic artery (straight solid arrow) and splenic artery (hollow arrow) originated from the front wall of the aorta below the left hepatic-gastric trunk, while the right hepatic artery (curved arrow) originated from the superior mesenteric artery (right angle arrow). (c) Angiogram showed the common hepatic artery (straight solid arrow). (d) Angiogram showed the fistula (the tiny blood vessels between the two black arrows). (e) Angiogram showed that the right hepatic artery (curved arrow) originated from the superior mesenteric artery (right angle arrow). (f) The position of the occluder (red arrow) was normal and the fistula was completely closed, 3 months after surgery.

Abbreviations: DSA, digital subtraction angiography.

vein and the left branch of the portal vein, which were about 124 \( \times \) 56 \( \times \) 33 mm and 97 \( \times \) 55 \( \times \) 42 mm, respectively (Figure 1a). In addition, there was splenomegaly and peritoneal effusion.

Computed tomography angiography confirmed the ultrasound findings, and other variant arteries were found (Figure 1b). Specifically, the classic celiac trunk was absent, with the left hepatic artery and left gastric artery co-trunk as the first branch originating from the anterior wall of the abdominal aorta. The common hepatic artery and splenic artery originated from the anterior wall of the aorta below the left hepatic-gastric trunk. The right hepatic artery originated from the superior mesenteric artery. There was tortuous dilatation of the esophageal and gastric fundus veins. The diagnosis was congenital hepatic vascular variations, with common hepatic artery-portal vein fistula-systemic venous shunt.

The patient was treated with fasting, hemostasis and stomach protection, blood transfusion, and portal pressure reduction with beta-blockers. After pre-operative preparation, the patient was treated with interventional embolization under digital subtraction angiography (DSA). The occluder was placed at the arterial end of the common hepatic artery-portal vein fistula (Figure 1f). The patient was discharged home 10 days after surgery, and her hemoglobin was 107 g/L. The patient was prescribed timely intake of proton pump inhibitors. She was told to rest more, avoid strenuous exercise, and go to hospital once a month for a follow-up visit. During the 3-month follow-up, the patient was in good condition. Ultrasound examination showed that the position of the occluder was normal, and the fistula gradually became smaller until it closed completely (Figure 1f).

DISCUSSION

Variations of the visceral vessels have important implications in cases of liver transplantation, laparoscopic surgery, abdominal radiation intervention, and abdominal trauma. In classical visceral vascular anatomy (applicable in 64–91% of the normal population), the celiac trunk originates from the anterior wall of the abdominal aorta, its branches are the common hepatic artery, the left gastric artery, and the splenic artery (2, 3, 6). However, rare variation of the abdominal trunk branches occurs at rates of 8–12% (7).

In 1904, Tandler (8) provided an embryological explanation for these variations. The 4 trunks on the posterior wall of the primitive abdominal aorta in the embryonic stage are, from superior to inferior: left gastric artery, hepatic artery, splenic artery, and superior mesenteric artery. There are also longitudinal anastomotic arteries among them. During embryonic development, the 4 stems gradually rotate to the ventral side, and concomitantly, the anastomotic arteries are interrupted and separated. If the rotation is abnormally terminated or the dislocation of the anastomotic
arteries is interrupted or incomplete, it will lead to variation (8).

Some scholars have classified these variations (9–11). Among them, the classifications of Michels (11) and Adachi (9) are more traditional, and serve as the benchmarks for all subsequent contributions in this field. In 1928, Adachi dissected 252 cadavers and analyzed variations of the abdominal trunk, differentiating them into six main types and 28 subtypes (9). Michels (11) classified the abdominal trunk into seven types from 200 autopsies (11). The most prevalent variations are those of the gastroplenic trunk and hepatosplenic trunk.

The variations of the abdominal trunk branches reported in the present case were not described in either of the Adachi or Michels classifications. However, the variation found by Demirtas et al. (12) in the anatomy of a female corpse is very similar: one hepatogastric trunk originated from the front of the abdominal aorta and divides into an accessory left hepatic artery and left gastric artery. Furthermore, the other hepatosplenic trunk originated 1.5 cm below the hepatogastric trunk, and divided into the common hepatic artery and splenic artery (12).

In our case, the common hepatic artery has no branch to supply the liver, but forms an arteriovenous fistula with the portal vein, and its function is only to supply arterial blood to the fistula. The arterial supply of the liver is directly provided by the abnormal origin of the left and right hepatic arteries. The left hepatic artery and the left gastric artery originate from the anterior wall of the abdominal aorta; the right hepatic artery originates from the superior mesenteric artery.

The normal pattern of the common hepatic artery is to form the gastroduodenal and proper hepatic artery, which then divides distally into the right and left hepatic branches. The branching variations of the hepatic artery are also described in detail in the literature and anatomical monographs (13–15). Among these variations are the 10 variant subtypes of the hepatic arterial system classified by Michel (15). Variant patterns of the hepatic lobes include blood supplies from the superior mesenteric artery, left gastric artery, aorta, or other visceral branches. If these vessels are present in addition to the normal arterial supply, they are termed accessory hepatic arteries; but if they are the primary arterial supply, they are referred to as replaced hepatic arteries (13). The replaced right hepatic artery arising from the superior mesenteric artery is the best-known variation, accounting for 15% (16), while the replaced left hepatic artery originating from the left gastric artery occurs in 5.2–6.9% (16, 17). The left and right hepatic arteries directly originate from the aorta (2–4%) (18, 19).

In fact, most of the variations have little surgical significance (6). Because most arterial variations have no clinical manifestations, they are most often discovered incidentally during autopsy. However, in the current case a 12-year-old girl presented to a physician with gastrointestinal bleeding, and a congenital visceral vascular variation was found. The key disease was portal hypertension caused by congenital arteriovenous fistula, leading to the rupture and bleeding of esophageal and gastric varices. To our best knowledge, there has been no previous relevant report of a giant arteriovenous fistula formed by the common hepatic artery and portal vein. Notably, the incidence of congenital hepatic arterioporal fistula (HAPF) is <10% (20, 21).

Histologically, HAPFs can be classified as follows: type 1, small and peripheral; type 2, large and central; and type 3, intractable and congenital. Type 3 mostly occurs in the liver, and malabsorption and dysplasia are common manifestations in infancy. Older children may have severe portal hypertension, such as esophageal varices that cause upper gastrointestinal bleeding (22). The present case may be considered HAPFS type 3.

The portal vein system has large volume and small resistance, which will lead to portal hypertension if the portal vein flow or vascular resistance increases. The common hepatic artery, originated from the abdominal aorta, causes a large amount of arterial blood flow to the portal vein, and then shunts to the systemic circulation through the left branch of the portal vein. Under normal circumstances, the portal vein provides 75% of the liver input blood volume and 50% of the oxygen supply. Damage of the arterial blood supply can lead to ischemia.

In our present case, the congenital arteriovenous fistula damaged the arterial blood supply of the liver. Fortunately, the left and right liver arteries supplied the liver. At the same time, the portosystemic shunt made the patient resistant to portal hypertension before she was 12 years old, and there was no abnormal liver function and hepatic encephalopathy during this period.

Identifying a visceral vascular variation has important diagnostic and therapeutic significance, because vascular distortion directly affects the procedural strategies of abdominal surgery. This is especially relevant when variation of the hepatic artery is involved. Abnormal arterial anatomy increases the surgical complexity and potential risks of arterial supply injury, which can lead to ischemia, biliary fistula, hemorrhage, and liver abscess. Therefore, clear recognition of these arterial variations, both pre-operatively and intraoperatively, improves the probability of successful operation and limits the harmful results of complicated hepatobiliary surgery.

Because of its convenience, economy, reliability, and non-invasiveness, ultrasonography is often the first choice for imaging examination, and it provides much detailed information about vascular anatomy and hemodynamics. Computed tomography is helpful to describe shunt and identify the types of anatomical malformations. DSA can directly display the anatomical structure of blood vessels; compared with traditional open surgery, DSA can better identify multiple shunts and block the fistula at the same time. Multi-imaging examinations can provide accurate pre-operative evaluation for hepatobiliary surgery and interventional radiotherapy, help to design the best surgical plan, avoid potential catastrophic complications, and improve the success rate of donor transplantation.
CONCLUSION

The present study reports an extremely rare and complicated case of portal hypertension caused by a congenital variation in the visceral vasculature. Possible anatomical variations of visceral vessels should be considered in surgical and radiological evaluations. Variations must be identified pre-operatively via multiple imaging examinations, to avoid intraoperative vascular injury and post-operative complications.
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