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Abstract

Between 2025 and 2027, some essential components of the CMS (Compact Muon Solenoid) detector – most notably the tracker and the calorimeter endcap – will be upgraded to prepare for HL-LHC (High Luminosity Large Hadron Collider) conditions. The upgraded CMS Outer Tracker and parts of the new CMS High Granularity Calorimeter (HGCAL) will encompass over 50,000 new silicon sensors covering a total area of about 800 m². The sensor series production requires a dedicated strategy to monitor the quality and stability of the production process. The strategy is based on a test structure set that provides quick and easy access to critical process parameters. These include parameters not directly accessible on the sensors (e.g., oxide charge concentration and interface trap density) and parameters requiring potentially destructive measurements (e.g., dielectric strength). The set is implemented at least twice on each production wafer. It is divided into test structures for initial evaluation of the most relevant process parameters and structures for in-depth analysis. All structures can be contacted using a 20-needle probe card and an automated positioning stage. With this system, the initial analysis of one wafer is possible in about 30 minutes. In this paper, the CMS collaboration presents the quality assurance plan for the Phase-2 Upgrade with a focus on process quality control. We cover sensor process specifics, the layout of the test structure set that will be implemented in the production runs for the CMS Outer Tracker and HGCAL, and measurement results illustrating the functionality of the included test structures.
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1. Introduction

During the Long Shutdown 3, between 2025 and 2027, the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will undergo a major upgrade to allow the instantaneous luminosity to reach $7.5 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, corresponding to an increase of the collision rate by a factor of 5 compared to the present. By 2037, the integrated luminosity will have increased tenfold, reaching $3000 \text{fb}^{-1}$ (4000 fb$^{-1}$ for the ultimate scenario). These high-luminosity conditions make it necessary to replace some existing components of the CMS (Compact Muon Solenoid) detector [1] – most notably the CMS Tracker [2] and the CMS Endcap Calorimeter [3] – as part of the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade.

Extensive studies have demonstrated the robustness of p-type silicon and made it a preferred detector baseline material for high-radiation environments [4, 5]. Silicon sensors will constitute the full volume of the CMS tracker and parts of the new High Granularity Calorimeter (HGCAL). For these detector parts, over 50,000 silicon sensors will be manufactured between 2020 and 2023. This large-scale sensor series production requires a dedicated quality assurance plan. The strategy described in this paper relies on three main quality control mechanisms: sensor quality control, process quality control, and irradiation tests.

Process quality control tracks the quality and stability of the manufacturing process throughout production. For this purpose, critical process parameters are monitored on test structures. CMS designed a set of test structures that facilitate a quick assessment of the most important process parameters and provide the means for in-depth analysis in case of problems. This set is the basis for automated process quality control during the upcoming silicon sensor series production for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade.

2. Silicon sensors for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade

2.1. Outer Tracker

The upgraded Outer Tracker of the CMS detector will encompass about 200 m² of silicon sensors, amounting to about 24,000 wafers. Each tracker module consists of a pair of stacked sensors. This design enables discrimination of the particle track transverse momentum ($p_T$) at module level. Two types of modules exist depending on the location in the tracker. The modules consist of a macro-pixel sensor and a single-sided strip sensor (PS modules). And the outer part of the tracker houses modules consisting of 2 strip sensors (2S modules). All sensors are n-in-p type produced on 6” wafers, but feature different biasing and read-out schemes. The strip sensors are AC-coupled.
2. High Granularity Calorimeter

CMS will build the new calorimeter endcap (CE) as a sampling calorimeter with unprecedented transverse and longitudinal granularity. For this reason, the CE is also called the High Granularity Calorimeter (HGCAL). The full electromagnetic compartment (CE-E) and the high-fluence regions of the hadronic compartment (CE-H) will utilize silicon sensors as active detector material. The sensors are hexagonal and use n-in-p technology on 8'' wafer thicknesses (300 µm, 200 µm, and 120 µm), accounting for regions of different fluence in the calorimeter volume. In contrast to the 300 µm and 200 µm thick wafers, which use a standard float zone process, the 120 µm thick wafers use an epitaxial production process. For further information about the HGCAL silicon sensors, see [7].

3. Silicon sensor quality assurance plan

CMS has developed a plan to ensure that all sensors that will be integrated in the detector meet predefined quality standards. Firstly, all sensors are pretested by the vendor. Only the sensors that meet the specifications and all corresponding test structures are distributed to the test centers of the sensor working group. Subsequently, the test centers perform three main quality control procedures:

1. Sensor quality control (SQC): About 10% of sensors of each delivered batch are characterized to ensure that they fully satisfy the specifications.
2. Process quality control (PQC): The quality and stability of the production process are tracked by measuring process parameters on test structures. At least 20% of the delivered wafers are characterized.
3. Irradiation tests (IT): Up to 5% of dedicated test sensors and test structures are characterized after irradiation to ensure that the radiation effects on the delivered material do not change over production time.

The information gathered from these quality control mechanisms is combined to judge the quality of the delivered sensor

---

1Preliminary number. The total number of sensors differs. Some wafers may house two smaller sensors for optimized coverage of the calorimeter volume.
The set provides access to all relevant process parameters (Table 1). It houses four main flutes (labeled “PQC1–PQC4”) that include test structures for each process parameter. The flutes “PQC1” and “PQC2” assess the most relevant parameters that provide a satisfactory overview of wafer properties. These parameters include the full depletion voltage, wafer resistivity, implant sheet resistances, fixed oxide charge concentration, quality of the coupling dielectric and inter-channel isolation, and Si-SiO₂ interface trap density. A measurement of both flutes is possible in about 30 minutes. The standard process quality control procedure foresees only the measurement of flutes “PQC1” and “PQC2” on two locations of each tested wafer.

Flutes “PQC3” and “PQC4” complete the parameter set by providing access to additional sheet resistances, Si-SiO₂ interface generation lifetime, and contact quality. A measurement of all four flutes takes between one and two hours. A full characterization of all four flutes is performed at least once for every delivered wafer batch.

In addition to flutes “PQC1–PQC4”, the set includes eleven flutes for in-depth analysis of individual process parameters in case of problems and an optical test structure to measure the alignment accuracy of the photolithography masks. Descriptions and measurement results that illustrate the functionality of selected test structures follow hereafter.

4. Test structure set

Process quality control relies on test structures. The structures are produced on the same wafers as the sensors, utilizing the space on the wafer edges surrounding the sensor. Because the test structures go through the same production process as the sensors, they exhibit the same properties and provide the means to investigate sensor and process parameters without having to measure the sensors directly. Specific test structures exist for each individual parameter. As a result of the specialized nature of the test structures, an individual measurement is generally quick. Thus, process quality control based on test structures achieves higher throughput rates than sensor measurements that strive to characterize every strip, pixel, or cell. Additionally, test structures provide access to parameters that cannot be measured directly on the sensors, including parameters that require potentially destructive measurements.

Figure 3 shows the layout of a tracker wafer with two PS-module strip sensors (Figure 3a) and a HGCAL wafer (Figure 3b). Various test structures and small test sensors are located in the periphery of the wafers. On every wafer, the set of test structures designed for automated process quality control is highlighted. The set is implemented six times on all tracker wafers and twice on all HGCAL wafers, which allows for tracking of process parameter variations across the wafer area. Because every wafer features the same design of the set, cross-comparability between production batches, test centers, and over the full three-year production time is maintained.

A close-up of the set and its components is shown in Figure 4. The set facilitates automated measurements using a 20-needle probe card. For this purpose, all test structures are connected to contact pads structured into arrays, called “flutes”, of 2 × 10 pads each.
4.2. Sheet resistance test structures

Sheet resistance ($R_{sh}$) denotes the resistance of thin layers. It is related to the resistivity $\rho$ via the layer thickness $d$ by $R_{sh} = \rho / d$.

The CMS process quality control set includes Van-der-Pauw-type cross structures [10, 11] to determine the sheet resistance of $n^+$, $p$-stop, and $p^{++}$, polysilicon, and aluminum layers. Additionally, elongated Van-der-Pauw cross bridges [10] and meandering polysilicon and aluminum resistors are implemented to extract the respective layer width.

Measurements of $p$-stop Van-der-Pauw crosses on tracker wafers find a dependency of the $p$-stop sheet resistance on the location of the structure on the wafer (Figure 6). Each wafer is divided along the same axis into halves A and B; and, consistently for all measured wafers, half A exhibits lower sheet resistance values than half B ($R_{sh} \approx 22 \, \text{k}\Omega/\text{sq}$ and $R_{sh} \approx 26 \, \text{k}\Omega/\text{sq}$ respectively). These results indicate that production process
HGCAL wafers are divided into float zone (FZ) and epitaxial (EPI) wafers.

The CMS process quality control set utilizes MOSFET (Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor) test structures to investigate inter-channel properties. Especially the resistance between neighboring strips or cells (i.e., inter-channel resistance) is of interest. It is largely determined by inter-channel geometry, n⁺-implant distance, and p-stop doping concentration and implantation depth. Before irradiation, the resulting resistance is typically on the order of 100 GΩ, because of which direct measurement is subject to substantial errors.

The MOSFETs implemented in the process quality control set allow tracking process variations that affect inter-channel properties without having to measure the inter-channel resistance directly. The MOSFET inter-channel region replicates the sensor inter-channel layouts, including p-stop implants below the gate between drain and source electrodes. During process quality control, the MOSFET transfer characteristics are recorded, and the threshold voltage is extracted. The threshold voltage is sensitive to variations of p-stop doping concentration and implantation depth and relates to inter-channel resistance (Figure 7). Variations of the threshold voltage indicate changes of process specifics that affect inter-channel resistance.

### 5. Conclusion

The CMS collaboration has set up a dedicated quality assurance plan for the series production of silicon sensors for the upcoming Phase-2 Upgrade of the CMS Outer Tracker and Endcap Calorimeter. The strategy foresees three main quality control mechanisms: sensor quality control, process quality control, and irradiation tests. Process quality control will utilize a test structure set that provides access to all relevant process parameters. The set is optimized for automated measurements using a 20-needle probe card. Preliminary measurements on prototypes have demonstrated the functionality of the test structures included in the set. Six instances of the set will be implemented on all tracker wafers and two instances on all HGCAL wafers. During the production period – scheduled between 2020 and 2023 – about 20% of each delivered wafer batch will be subjected to process quality control.
Simulation ($N_d = 6 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$)

- $t_A = 2.0 \mu m$
- $t_A = 2.3 \mu m$

Measurement
- Process variant 1
- Process variant 2

| Threshold voltage (V) | Process variant 1 | Process variant 2 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 10                    | 100               | 100               |
| 9                     | 100               | 100               |
| 8                     | 100               | 100               |
| 7                     | 100               | 100               |
| 6                     | 100               | 100               |
| 5                     | 100               | 100               |
| 4                     | 100               | 100               |
| 3                     | 100               | 100               |
| 2                     | 100               | 100               |
| 1                     | 100               | 100               |
| 0                     | 100               | 100               |

Figure 7: Sensor inter-strip resistance versus MOSFET threshold voltage as a result of varied $n$-spray doping concentration. Measurement results for two process variants affecting dopant implantation depth (symbols) are compared to simulated characteristics for different $p$-stop implantation depths $t_A$ (dotted lines). Simulation and measurement both observe a shift of the characteristics as a result of different $p$-stop implantation depths. Figure adapted from [14].
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