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A guest-induced flexibility in the framework DUT-13 was investigated in situ to analyze the breathing mechanism upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K). The crystal structure of cp phase, solved from PXRD data using the computation-assisted semiempirical approach, shows two times smaller pore volume, compared to the op phase, which is consistent with the corresponding isotherms. The contraction mechanism is mainly based on the conformational isomerism of the benztb4- linker, which transforms from a staggered conformation in op phase to a more eclipsed in cp phase, leading to the contraction of the larger pore. A nearly complete op → cp → op transition was observed in the case of n-butane adsorption at 273 K, while in case of weakly interacting nitrogen molecules a portion of the sample remains in the op phase in the entire pressure range. Apparently, in case of DUT-13 the contraction is crystallite size-dependent, similarly as in a number of other switchable MOFs, which should be investigated more in detail in the future. Methane adsorption at varying temperatures showed a wide hysteresis at the temperatures between 111 K and 140 K. The hysteresis width decreases until it disappears completely at 170 K leading to a reversible isotherm, typical for rigid frameworks. The fact that breathing is observed in a broader temperature range in comparison to DUT-49 demonstrates that thermodynamics and kinetics favour the DUT-13 contraction. Linker and hinges in DUT-13 are not stiff enough to support the metastable states required for NGA.
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**Abstract:** The switching mechanism of the flexible framework Zn₄O(benztb)₁.₅ (benztb - N,N,N’,N’-benzidine tetrabenzoate), also known as DUT-13, was studied by advanced powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and gas physisorption techniques. In situ synchrotron PXRD experiments upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K) shed light on the hitherto unnoticed guest-induced breathing in the mesoporous MOF. The mechanism of contraction is based on the conformationally labile benztb ligand and accompanied by the reduction of specific pore volume from 2.03 cm³g⁻¹ in open pore phase to 0.91 cm³g⁻¹ in contracted pore phase. The high temperature limit for adsorption-induced contraction of 170K, determined by systematic temperature variation of methane adsorption isotherms indicate that DUT-13 framework is softer in comparison with other mesoporous MOFs like DUT-49 and does not support the formation of overloaded metastable states, required for negative gas adsorption transitions.

One of the unique features of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) is the ability to switch from a porous open state to a less porous, contracted state (breathing), which can be triggered by external stimuli such as guest molecules, temperature, hydrostatic pressure or electromagnetic irradiation.[1] Switchable MOFs are discussed as advantageous for the number of applications, such as adsorptive gas storage[2] gas separation and sensory applications.[3] Unique mechanical properties suggest using them as shock absorbers or nano dampers.[4]

In terms of thermodynamics, switchable MOFs have at least two minima in their free energy profile, considering the Helmholtz free energy of the empty host vs. unit cell volume. Depending on the positions of these minima, different kinds of switching are expected. In case of gate-opening MOFs, the close pore (cp) phase is usually thermodynamically stable in the desolvated state, while the open pore (op) phase becomes lower in energy after the pores are filled with guests.[5] After reaching the threshold chemical potential, also denoted as “gate-opening” pressure, which reflects the activation energy for the structural transition, the pores open and the MOF starts to adsorb the guests. A different situation occurs for breathing mesoporous MOFs, such as the DUT-49 series (DUT – Dresden University of Technology), which are characterized by a thermodynamically stable op phase and contract upon adsorption of guests, because the free energy of the cp phase becomes lower at defined guest loading.[6] In addition to breathing, some of these MOFs show negative gas adsorption (NGA), characterized by desorption of the fluid from the pores of the overloaded metastable op phase upon adsorption-induced contraction. [7]

The mechanism of flexibility in DUT-49 is based on the buckling of the tetrapotic carbazole based ligand, which is characterized by two motions: 1) change of the interplanar angle between carbazoles; 2) bending of the biphenyl moiety. Hence, increasing the rotational degree of freedom in the ligand molecule should decrease the activation energy needed for the structural contraction and therefore increase the temperature range for breathing and, in particular, NGA for a defined fluid.[6, 8]

In our search for new NGA materials we considered N,N,N’,N’-benzidine tetrabenzoic acid (H₂benztb) as a ligand, which was used in our group earlier for the design of highly-porous MOFs.[9] The molecular structure of the H₂benztb ligand is remarkably similar to 9,9'-(1,1'-biphenyl)-4,4'-diyl)bis(9H-carbazole-3,6-dicarboxylic acid (H₂BBCDC) used for the synthesis of DUT-49. The main difference is in the phenyl rings close to the carboxylate group, namely in case of H₂BBCDC these two phenyls are interconnected and form 3,6-carbazole dicarboxylate moiety, whereas in the H₂benztb linker these two phenyls are connected only to the nitrogen atom (Fig. 1). This results in significant differences in the electronic structure and stereochernmistry of the ligand. The interconnection of two phenyl rings in H₂BBCDC leads to the planar 3,6-carbazole dicarboxylate moiety with nearly 90° angle between the planes of carboxylates, which makes this ligand suitable for the formation of carboxylate-based Metal-Organic Polyhedrons (MOPs). In case of H₂benztb, the planar arrangement of substituents around the nitrogen atom is undisturbed and therefore a regular triangle with around 120° bond angles results, which also dominates the angles between the carboxylic groups (Figure 1).

**Introduction**
Indeed, a combination of H₂benztb with paddle wheel dimer leads to the structures of DUT-10 (Zn₂(eclipsed-benztb)(H₂O)₂ – space group \( \text{Imma} \)), DUT-11 (Zn₂(staggered-benztb)(H₂O)₂ – space group \( \text{Ccmm} \)) and DUT-12 (Cu₂(benztb)(H₂O)₂ – space group \( \text{P4/mnc} \)), which could not be desolvated without a phase transition to \( cp \) phase (DUT-10) or loss of three-dimensional order (DUT-11 and DUT-12).[8] Obviously in these cases, mechanical stiffnesses of the frameworks are too low due to the synergetic effects of the highly flexible ligand and the soft hinges to the nodes.[9] The use of the more rigid Zn₂O₆⁰⁻ node in the synthesis resulted in the frameworks Zn₂O(benztb)₃₀⁻, known as DUT-13[9a] or, if additional H₂BTB was used in the synthesis, Zn₂O(benztb)(tet)₂₀⁻ (DUT-25)[9b]. Both frameworks preserve their structures upon desolvation using supercritical CO₂. While DUT-25 shows reversible isotherms in nitrogen (77 K) and carbon dioxide (195K) physisorption experiments, typical for mesoporous MOFs, nitrogen (77 K) and \( \text{n-butane} \) (273 K) physisorption experiments on DUT-13 exhibit hysteretic behaviour, typical for breathing MOFs. The structure of the \( op \) phase was reported earlier, however, the flexibility mechanism is not clarified yet. The recent progress in understanding of flexibility in MOFs,[10] promoted by development of advanced in situ techniques,[11] findings about crystallite size effects,[12] thermodynamic driving forces,[8] study of mechanical properties,[13] adsorption enthalpy and temperature,[8] motivated us for further investigation of flexibility mechanism in DUT-13.

With this in mind, we analyzed the guest-induced breathing in DUT-13 upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and \( \text{n-butane} \) (273 K) by in situ synchrotron PXRD upon adsorption and desorption of the gases. The crystal structure of the contracted phase of DUT-13, solved from PXRD patterns illustrates the breathing mechanism as confirmed by theoretical calculations. Physisorption of methane, conducted in a broad temperature range, helped to experimentally access the energetic of adsorption induced \( op \rightarrow cp \) phase transition.

The synthesis of DUT-13 was successfully reproduced using the earlier reported procedure.[14] The phase purity of the as synthesized and supercritically desolvated powder was confirmed by PXRD and porosity and flexibility of the sample was proven in a nitrogen physisorption experiment at 77 K (Error! Reference source not found.). In situ PXRD during adsorption of \( \text{n-butane} \) (273 K) and nitrogen (77 K)

Guest-induced phase transitions in DUT-13 were monitored by synchrotron PXRD during physisorption of \( \text{n-butane} \) (273 K) and nitrogen (77 K) in order to understand the switching mechanism and determine the phase composition in the selected points of the isotherm. In both cases in situ measured isotherms exactly reproduced the isotherms, measured ex situ (Figure 2). PXRD patterns, measured on the evacuated DUT-13 framework match well with the theoretical PXRD of the as made structure (Error! Reference source not found.). The 200 peak at \( 29 = 3.8^\circ \), characteristic for the \( cp \) phase, appears in the PXRD patterns in the relative pressure range of 0.03-0.10 (\( N_2, 77 \text{ K} \)) and 0.10-0.25 (\( n-C_6H_{14}, 273 \text{ K} \)) upon adsorption. Interestingly, in case of nitrogen physisorption at 77 K, a narrower hysteresis loop is observed between adsorption and desorption curves in comparison with the physisorption isotherm of \( \text{n-butane} \) at 273 K. PXRD patterns, measured at \( p/p_0 = 0.11 \) in the adsorption of the nitrogen (Figure 2b, point 3) indicate a mixture of \( op \) and \( cp \) phases. In contrast, PXRD patterns, measured in the plateau of the \( \text{n-butane} \) isotherm (Figure 2a, points 4 to 7), show mainly reflections of the \( cp \) phase. The pore volumes, derived at \( p/p_0 = 0.11 \) from the nitrogen and \( \text{n-butane} \) isotherms, amount to 1.04 cm³/g and 0.58 cm³/g, respectively, and can be considered as additional indirect proof of the incomplete \( op \rightarrow cp \) transformation in the case of the nitrogen physisorption. The reason for this could be a broad crystallite size distribution, observed in the DUT-13 sample (Error! Reference source not found.), as it has been previously shown for other frameworks.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1: Structure of DUT-13 simplified as cor net (right) with its tetrahedral pore (yellow sphere) and octahedral pore (red sphere) and how it is constructed from the SBU’s Zn₂O(CO₃)₂ (green octahedra) and benztb (red tetrahedra). If the simplified linker tetrahedron is split into two triangles around the Nitrogen’s, the structure can also be described as ttf-a topology.[16] Also shown: H₂BBCDC (DUT-49 linker, bottom left) and Hbenztb (DUT-13 linker, bottom centre).
that the smaller crystals do not contract. At relative pressures close to saturation the pure op phase is observed indicating a reopening of the structure for both gases. Interestingly, in the case of reopening with n-butane, the reflection intensities in the measured PXRD strongly differ from the theoretical patterns, calculated for the guest-free MOF, which can be considered as an indication of the ordering of the n-butane molecules in the pores (Figure 2a). Because of the combination of low crystallinity and peak broadening in cp phase, no further structural details on the gas molecules ordering in the pores could be obtained from the Rietveld refinement. Desorption branches in both cases show the reverse op → cp transition after desorption of the gas molecules from the pores.

Figure 2: In situ PXRD during the adsorption and desorption of n-butane at 273 K (a) and nitrogen at 77 K (b).

Crystal structure of contracted phase
Firstly, we were aiming to solve the crystal structure of the contracted phase (cp) of DUT-13. From the first report on DUT-13 it is known that after nitrogen physisorption the MOF is in a different phase than before the experiment, presumably in contracted pore (cp) phase or a mixture of op and cp phases. Therefore, the experiment was reproduced and high-resolution PXRD was measured. However, the ab initio solution of the crystal structure was not possible because of the phase mixture and low number of reflections in PXRD patterns (Error! Reference source not found.). Due to this reason, an initial structural model for Rietveld refinement could only be derived by applying a computational assisted technique. For this purpose, we analyzed several scenarios of the unit cell distortions, which can lead to a structural contraction by a) decrease in length of the c-axis, b) decrease in length of a and b axes and c) increase of the γ angle. Subsequently, the structural models were optimized using the geometry optimization tool of the Materials Studio 5.0 software with implemented UFF (universal force field). In the case of scenario (a), the contraction along the c axis may cause a buckling of the biphenyl moiety, similar to DUT-49,[7a] because all benzbt4-

linkers in the op structure of DUT-13 are oriented along the c axis. However, theoretical PXRD patterns generated from the structural model show the gradual shift of the reflections towards higher 2θ angles, but no match with experimental PXRD patterns (Figure 4a). Decreasing of the length of a and b axes also does not lead to the match with experimental patterns. Interestingly, gradual increasing of the γ angle from 120° to 150° results in the coarse matching of the theoretical and experimental patterns and therefore was chosen as a starting model for the Rietveld refinement. The crystal structure was refined in the monoclinic space group C2/c, which is the subgroup of R3c group of index k3. The asymmetric unit contains 1.5 benzbt4 ligand molecules and one ZnO6 cluster. The detailed comparison of two structures illustrates the contraction mechanism of DUT-13. Comparison of the N…N distances within the ligand molecules indicates slight
 elongation from 9.93 Å in op phase to 10.23-10.39 Å in the cp phase (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Conformation of the benztb₄⁻ linker in the op (a) and cp (b) phases and contraction of the octahedral pore upon transition from op (c) to cp (d) phase.

The C-N-C valence angles and their sum are one of the main measures of distortion in the benztb₄⁻ linker and can be used for defining the out of plane distortions of the sp² hybridized nitrogen. The sum value of 360° corresponds to the ideal trigonal-planar configuration, whereas ideal tetrahedral environment results in 328.5°. In the op structure the values of C-N-C angles are ranging from 119.2° to 121.3° resulting in a corresponding sum of 359.5° and therefore are close to a trigonal-planar configuration. The C-N-C angles in the cp structure show different values in two symmetrically independent ligand molecules. In the first ligand molecule, the values of C-N-C angles are ranging from 115.8° to 124.4° and the sums of adjacent angles around the two nitrogen atoms are 358.6° and 360.0°, indicating undistorted trigonal geometry of the nitrogen atoms. The second benztb₄⁻ linker shows a much higher distortion with 109.5° as the smallest C-N-C angle, which is very close to the tetrahedral value. The sum of all three C-N-C angles amounts to 355.2°, indicating the higher distortion degree in comparison to other linker. However, both discussed parameters do not show significant changes, which would lead to the strong structural contraction. As a next step the ligand conformation was analyzed in detail. It is known that the benztb₄⁻ ligand assumes various conformations: staggered or eclipsed. In the op phase of DUT-13 the staggered conformation was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The interplanar angle between the two planes defined by the C₃N moieties amounts to 52.1°. The analysis of the same parameter in the cp structure results in 16.4 and 14.0° for two symmetrically independent ligands, indicating an eclipsed conformation of the ligand in the cp phase. In summary, it can be concluded that the flexibility mechanism in DUT-13 is mainly based on the switching between staggered (op phase) and eclipsed (cp phase) conformations of the ligand, which differs from DUT-49, where the flexibility is mainly originating from the ligand buckling. Additionally, the distortions at the soft carboxylate-node hinges and phenyl rings are also contributing to the switching mechanism of the structure of DUT-13. Despite of the structural contraction, DUT-13cp still shows 64.5 % accessible void in the unit cell, which is about 80 % of the initial op phase that has 82.3 %. This difference in the crystallographic porosity motivated us to investigate the geometrical surface area and porosity of these structures.

Figure 4: Possible breathing scenarios in DUT-13 and corresponding PXRD patterns: a) contraction along c axis; b) contraction along a and b axes; c) contraction along the [110] diagonal.
Analysis of the porosity of the op and cp phases

The total pore volume is straightforward to derive from the experimental adsorption isotherm and is an valid parameter for comparison between the observed experimental porosity and the porosity of proposed op and cp structures. Pore volumes were calculated using Zeo++ v.0.3[7] using a probe size of 0.1 Å. Theoretical pore volumes were calculated to be 2.190 and 1.100 cm³/g⁻¹ for the op and cp phases, respectively. Experimental values were determined from the isotherms shown in Fig. S9 (ESI). The total pore volume of 1.700 cm³/g⁻¹ for op phase was determined in the plateau after reopening of the structure at p/p₀ = 0.8 and the total pore volume of 0.774 cm³/g⁻¹ for cp phase was extracted from the isotherm of the second run at p/p₀ = 0.2, where the existence of predominantly cp phase is expected. In both cases the ratio between experimental and theoretical values remains constant, indicating that ~90% of the theoretical value is reached for both phases (Error! Reference source not found.). Further analysis of the proposed op and cp structures were undertaken employing grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation using RASPA2 software.[10] The total nitrogen gas adsorbed at 77 K and 1 atm was simulated using the TraPPE and UFF parameters to describe the interactions.[10] The amount of substance adsorbed was found to be 59.8 mmol g⁻¹ and 26.8 mmol g⁻¹ for the op and cp structures, respectively. The simulated quantities fit well with the experimental N₂ isotherms. We also computed the pore size distribution for both structures. The pore size distributions demonstrate the larger pore of 1.85 nm, present in the op phase, collapses and the cp phase shows a relatively narrow pore size distribution in the range 0.7 - 1.0 nm (Error! Reference source not found., see also supplementary animation).

Screening for NGA transitions via methane adsorption at variable temperatures

Recently we extensively analyzed the low and high temperature limits of adsorption-induced structural contraction in DUT-49 using methane adsorption as an example and observed an excellent correlation with computationally predicted upper temperature limit, derived from the osmotic ensemble on a series of GMCM modelled isotherms.[11] Since developed technique allows to evaluate the stiffness of the framework directly from the adsorption experiments we conducted a series of methane adsorption experiments on DUT-13 in the temperature range 111 – 190 K (Figure 5). Over all temperatures the methane uptake remains nearly unchanged at around 40 mmol g⁻¹. Small changes might be occurring due to the use of a fresh sample for each measurement below 160 K. This approach was used to prevent loss of uptake, as a loss was observed in nitrogen adsorption (Error! Reference source not found.), in a second physisorption run after a phase transition occurred in the first run. Though the methane uptake is lower than the nitrogen uptake (50 mmol g⁻¹), it is interesting to note that the hysteresis in methane adsorption is comparatively wider indicating a better stabilization of the cp phase. This is most likely due to the larger amount of methane adsorbed and therefore stronger capillary forces.

At lower temperatures, starting from the boiling point of 111 K up to 140 K, a wide hysteresis can be observed, which is a sign of the framework flexibility. Going to higher temperatures the isotherms at 150 K and 160 K show a decreasing width of the hysteresis. At 170 K and 180 K, reversible isotherms point out on the rigid behaviour. The temperature dependence of NGA and flexible behaviour on DUT-49 was recently studied and the authors showed a loss of flexibility during methane adsorption at T ≥ 135 K.[8] This temperature characteristic supports the assumption that DUT-13 is a softer network than DUT-49, with the smaller free energy difference between op and cp phases. Since a sufficient energy barrier is a requirement for a metastable op phase and therefor NGA to occur, this barrier seems to be too low in the case of DUT-13 to support NGA. Comparing the two networks DUT-13 and DUT-49, apart from the resulting topology, two major differences are evident: 1) The metal cluster is a Zn₆O₆(CO₂)₉ cluster instead of a copper paddlewheel; and 2) the linker does not have a connection of the outer phenyl rings except for the nitrogen. This missing bond allows more rotational freedom around the nitrogen atom in the linker and might be the main reason for a softer network, that cannot show NGA transitions. A stiffening of the linker in that area therefore seems to be crucial for the design of linkers leading to NGA networks.

Figure 5: Methane adsorption on DUT-13 at temperatures from 111 K to 180 K.
Conclusion

A guest-induced flexibility in the framework DUT-13 was investigated in situ to analyze the breathing mechanism upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K). The crystal structure of cp phase, solved from PXRD data using the computation-assisted semiempirical approach, shows two times smaller pore volume, compared to the op phase, which is consistent with the corresponding isotherms. The contraction mechanism is mainly based on the conformational isomerism of the benzbtb linker, which transforms from a staggered conformation in op phase to a more eclipsed in cp phase, leading to the contraction of the larger pore.

A nearly complete op → cp → op transition was observed in the case of n-butane adsorption at 273 K, while in case of weakly interacting nitrogen molecules a portion of the sample remains in the op phase in the entire pressure range. Apparently, in case of DUT-13 the contraction is crystallite size-dependent, similarly as in a number of other switchable MOFs [20] which should be investigated more in detail in the future. Methane adsorption at varying temperatures showed a wide hysteresis at the temperatures between 111 K and 140 K. The hysteresis width decreases until it disappears completely at 170 K leading to a reversible isotherm, typical for rigid frameworks. The fact that breathing is observed in a broader temperature range in comparison to DUT-49 [8] demonstrates that thermodynamics and kinetics favour the DUT-13 contraction. Linker and hinges in DUT-13 are not stiff enough to support the metastable states required for NGA.

Experimental Section

General Methods

All chemicals and solvents used in the syntheses were at least of reagent grade and were used without further purification.

NMR spectra were acquired on a VARIAN Mercury (300 MHz and 75.5 MHz for 1H and 13C, respectively). All 1H and 13C NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield of TMS and were measured relative to the residual signals of the solvents at 7.26 ppm (CDCl3) or 2.50 ppm (DMSO-d6) [21].

PXRD patterns were collected in transmission geometry with a STOE STADI P diffractometer operated at 40 kV and 30 mA with monochromatic Cu-Kα (λ = 0.154059 nm) radiation, a scan speed of 120 s/step and a detector step size of 2θ = 6°. The samples were placed between non-diffracting adhesive tape. ‘As made’ samples were analyzed while suspended in DMF. Desolvated samples were prepared in a glove box under argon atmosphere. Theoretical PXRD patterns were calculated based on crystal structures using Mercury 3.9 software package.

SEM images of the DUT-13 samples were taken with secondary electrons in a HITACHI SU8020 microscope using 2.0 kV acceleration voltage, a working distance of 10.5 mm and different magnifications. The samples were prepared on a sticky carbon sample holder. To avoid degradation upon exposure to air, the samples were prepared in a glove box under argon atmosphere.

Nitrogen physisorption was measured at 77 K on a QUANTACHROME Quadrasorb SI Automated Surface Area and Pore Size Analyser.

Methane adsorption isotherms in the broad range of pressures and temperatures were collected using a home-built system constructed from a volumetric BELSORP-HP (Microtrac MRB) device and a closed-cycle helium cryostat DE-202D (ARS-Cryo) in the self-made high-pressure adsorption cell based on a ½ inch VCR-support. The adsorption system is designed for static physisorption experiments in the temperature range of 4 to 300 K and a pressure range of 0.01 to 8000 kPa. The BELSORP-HP instrument is equipped with a turbomolecular pump and possesses a temperature-controlled standard volume (V0) of 20.663 cm3. The measurement cell was calibrated at each temperature ensuring precise measurements of the adsorbed gas amount. The dead volume of the system was determined using helium gas with 99.999 % purity. Methane of 99.999 % purity was used in all adsorption experiments. All adsorption isotherms were measured using equilibrium conditions of 0.1 % of pressure change within 500 s.

In situ PXRD during the physisorption of nitrogen and n-butane. In situ PXRD patterns upon physisorption of nitrogen at 77 K and n-butane at 273 K were measured at KMC-2 beamline of BESSY II synchrotron, operated by Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie [22]. Customized automated instrumentation, based on the volumetric adsorption instrument and closed-cycle Helium cryostat, equipped with adsorption chamber with beryllium domes was used in all experiments [23]. PXRD patterns were measured at constant wavelength λ = 1.54059 Å (E = 8048 eV) in transmission geometry. Diffraction images were collected using 2θ scans and Vantec 2000 detector (Bruker). Each 2θ image was measured with 31 s exposure. 15 mg of DUT-13 powder was used in experiment. Reflections coming from the crystalline Be-dome were eliminated by tungsten slits with 5 mm aperture, mounted on the detector cone. The obtained diffraction images were integrated using DATASQUEEZE 2.2.9 [24] with further processing in FITFYK 0.9 software [25]. Adsorption equilibrium setting was defined as pressure change of 0.1% within 300 s.

Rietveld refinement of the DUT-13 cp phase. The starting structural model for Rietveld refinement was derived empirically from the DUT-13 cp phase by stepwise increasing of the γ-angle in the unit cell with artificially reduced space group symmetry from R3c to P1. The search of the additional symmetry in the most suitable model resulted in the space group C2/c, which reduced the asymmetric unit to one
ZnO cluster and 1.5 benz linker molecules. The Rietveld refinement was performed using Reflex tool, implemented in Materials Studio 5.0 software\cite{26}. The reflection at 2θ = 4.3° in PXRD patterns belongs to the DUT-13 op phase and was omitted from the refinement. A treatment of all phenyl rings and carboxylates as rigid bodies allowed to significantly reduce the number of motion groups and final refinement was performed using Rietveld with energy option (1% UFF contribution) using 23 motion groups, which resulted in 114 degrees of freedom. The final Rietveld refinement plot is given in Error! Reference source not found. and the main experimental parameter in Error! Reference source not found..

General procedure for the synthesis of DUT-13. 446.2 mg (2.03 mmol, 13.5 eq.) Zinc acetate dihydrate were dissolved in 12.5 mL N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) while 100.2 mg (0.15 mmol, 1.0 eq.) Hbenzttb were dissolved in 7.5 mL N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). The Zinc solution was distributed among two pyrex tubes before the linker solution was added. After closing the tubes, the reaction was carried out in a drying oven at 80 °C for one week. The yellow crystals were washed with DMF for several times and then dried and activated using supercritical carbon dioxide in a Jumbo Critical Point Dryer 13200J AB after solvent exchange from DMF to Acetone.
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1. PXRD patterns

**Figure S1:** PXRDs of DUT-13: Theoretical pattern calculated from the single crystal XRD structure, as made phase in DMF, supercritically dried and after one run of nitrogen adsorption. 2Theta range from 3 to 50°.

**Figure S2:** PXRDs of DUT-13: Theoretical pattern calculated from the single crystal XRD structure, as made phase in DMF, supercritically dried and after one run of nitrogen adsorption. 2Theta range from 3 to 20°.
2. Nitrogen physisorption

Figure S3: N\textsubscript{2} physisorption of DUT-13. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.

Figure S4: N\textsubscript{2} physisorption of DUT-13. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C in semilogarithmic plot. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.
Figure S5: N₂ physisorption of the DUT-13 batch used for CH₄ adsorption measurements. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.

Figure S6: N₂ physisorption of the DUT-13 batch used for CH₄ adsorption measurements in semilogarithmic plot. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.
3. SEM analysis

**Figure S7:** SEM image of the DUT-13 batch and its crystal size distribution.
4. Rietveld Refinement of DUT-13cp

Table S1: Rietveld Refinement of the DUT-13cp structure.

| Parameter                          | Value                        |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Formula unit                       | C_{60}H_{36}N_{3}O_{13}Zn_{4} |
| Z                                  | 8                            |
| Symmetry, space group              | Monoclinic, C2/c             |
| a (Å)                              | 50.1986(312)                 |
| b (Å)                              | 13.84186(645)                |
| c (Å)                              | 40.45758(133)                |
| β °                                | 113.361(75)                  |
| Unit cell volume, (Å³)             | 25807.2                      |
| Wave length (Å)                    | 1.54059                      |
| 2θ range (°)                       | 3 - 30                       |
| Profile function                   | Thompson-Cox-Hastings        |
| U                                  | 0.63222                      |
| V                                  | 0.01203                      |
| W                                  | 0.00076                      |
| X                                  | 0.20427                      |
| Y                                  | 0.09309                      |
| Asymmetry correction               | Berar-Baldinozzi             |
| P1                                 | -0.04822                     |
| P2                                 | -0.00991                     |
| P3                                 | -0.00027                     |
| P4                                 | 0.00006                      |
| Final R_{wp}                       | 0.2044                       |
| Final R_{p}                        | 0.00001                      |

Figure S8: Rietveld plot for DUT-13 cp.
5. Pore size distribution analysis

Figure S9: a) Pore size distribution and b) pore volume for DUT-13 op and cp phases.
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1. PXRD patterns

**Figure S1:** PXRDs of DUT-13: Theoretical pattern calculated from the single crystal XRD structure, as made phase in DMF, supercritically dried and after one run of nitrogen adsorption. 2Theta range from 3 to 50°.

**Figure S2:** PXRDs of DUT-13: Theoretical pattern calculated from the single crystal XRD structure, as made phase in DMF, super critically dried and after one run of nitrogen adsorption. 2Theta range from 3 to 20°.
2. Nitrogen physisorption

Figure S3: $N_2$ physisorption of DUT-13. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.

Figure S4: $N_2$ physisorption of DUT-13. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C in semilogarithmic plot. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.
Figure S5: N₂ physisorption of the DUT-13 batch used for CH₄ adsorption measurements. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.

Figure S6: N₂ physisorption of the DUT-13 batch used for CH₄ adsorption measurements in semilogarithmic plot. Run 1 after supercritical drying and activation under vacuum at 100 °C. Run 2 without further activation after measurement of run 1.
3. SEM analysis

Figure S7: SEM image of the DUT-13 batch and its crystal size distribution.
4. Rietveld Refinement of DUT-13cp

Table S1: Rietveld Refinement of the DUT-13cp structure.

|                                | DUT-13cp          |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Formula unit                   | C₆₀H₃₆N₆O₁₃Zn₄   |
| Z                               | 8                 |
| Symmetry, space group          | Monoclinic, C2/c  |
| a (Å)                          | 50.1986(312)      |
| b (Å)                          | 13.84186(645)     |
| c (Å)                          | 40.45758(133)     |
| β °                            | 113.361(75)       |
| Unit cell volume, (Å³)         | 25807.2           |
| Wave length (Å)                | 1.54059           |
| 2θ range (°)                   | 3 - 30            |
| Profile function               | Thompson-Cox-Hastings |
| U                              | 0.63222           |
| V                              | 0.01203           |
| W                              | 0.00076           |
| X                              | 0.20427           |
| Y                              | 0.09309           |
| Asymmetry correction           | Berar-Baldinozzi  |
| P1                             | -0.04822          |
| P2                             | -0.00991          |
| P3                             | -0.00027          |
| P4                             | 0.00006           |
| Final Rwp                      | 0.2044            |
| Final Rp                       | 0.00001           |

Figure S8: Rietveld plot for DUT-13 cp.
5. Pore size distribution analysis

Figure S9: a) Pore size distribution and b) pore volume for DUT-13 op and cp phases.
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Abstract: The switching mechanism of the flexible framework Zn₄O(benztb)₁₅ (benztb - N,N,N',N'-benzidine tetrabenzoate), also known as DUT-13, was studied by advanced powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and gas physisorption techniques. In situ synchrotron PXRD experiments upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K) shed light on the hitherto unnoticed guest-induced breathing in the mesoporous MOF. The mechanism of contraction is based on the conformationally labile benztb ligand and accompanied by the reduction of specific pore volume from 2.03 cm³/g in open pore phase to 0.91 cm³/g in contracted pore phase. The high temperature limit for adsorption-induced contraction of 170K, determined by systematic temperature variation of methane adsorption isotherms indicate that DUT-13 framework is softer in comparison with other mesoporous MOFs like DUT-49 and does not support the formation of overloaded metastable states, required for negative gas adsorption transitions.

Introduction

One of the unique features of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) is the ability to switch from a porous open state to a less porous, contracted state (breathing), which can be triggered by external stimuli such as guest molecules, temperature, hydrostatic pressure or electromagnetic irradiation.[1] Switchable MOFs are discussed as advantageous for the number of applications, such as adsorptive gas storage,[2] gas separation and sensory applications.[3] Unique mechanical properties suggest using them as shock absorbers or nano dampers.[4]

In terms of thermodynamics, switchable MOFs have at least two minima in their free energy profile, considering the Helmholtz free energy of the empty host vs. unit cell volume. Depending on the positions of these minima, different kinds of switching are expected. In case of gate-opening MOFs, the close pore (cp) phase is usually thermodynamically stable in the desolvated state, while the open pore (op) phase becomes lower in energy after the pores are filled with guests.[5] After reaching the threshold chemical potential, also denoted as “gate-opening” pressure, which reflects the activation energy for the structural transition, the pores open and the MOF starts to adsorb the guests. A different situation occurs for breathing mesoporous MOFs, such as the DUT-49 series (DUT – Dresden University of Technology), which are characterized by a thermodynamically stable op phase and contract upon adsorption of guests, because the free energy of the cp phase becomes lower at defined guest loading.[6] In addition to breathing, some of these MOFs show negative gas adsorption (NGA), characterized by desorption of the fluid from the pores of the overloaded metastable op phase upon adsorption-induced contraction.[7]

The mechanism of flexibility in DUT-49 is based on the buckling of the tetratopic carbazole based ligand, which is characterized by two motions: 1) change of the interplanar angle between carbazoles; 2) bending of the biphenyl moiety. Hence, increasing the rotational degree of freedom in the ligand molecule should decrease the activation energy needed for the structural contraction and therefore increase the temperature range for breathing and, in particular, NGA for a defined fluid.[8, 9]

In our search for new NGA materials we considered N,N,N’,N’-benzidine tetrabenzoic acid (H-benztb) as a ligand, which was used in our group earlier for the design of highly-porous MOFs.[10] The molecular structure of the H-benztb ligand is remarkably similar to 9,9’-[(1,1’-biphenyl)-4,4’-diyl]bis(9H-carbazole-3,6-dicarboxylic acid (H₂BBCDC) used for the synthesis of DUT-49. The main difference is in the phenyl rings close to the carbazolate group, namely in case of H₂BBCDC these two phenyls are interconnected and form 3,6-carbazole dicarboxylate moiety, whereas in the H-benztb linker these two phenyls are connected only to the nitrogen atom (Fig. 1). This results in significant differences in the electronic structure and stereochemistry of the ligand. The interconnection of two phenyl rings in H₂BBCDC leads to the planar 3,6-carbazoledicarboxylate moiety with nearly 90° angle between the planes of carboxylates, which makes this ligand suitable for the formation of carbazole-based Metal-Organic Polyhedrons (MOPs). In case of H-benztb, the planar arrangement of substituents around the nitrogen atom is undisturbed and therefore a regular triangle with around 120° bond angles results, which also dominates the angles between the carboxylic groups (Figure 1).

[1]...

Figure 1
Results and Discussion

Indeed, a combination of H$_2$benztb with paddle wheel dimer leads to the structures of DUT-10 (Zn$_2$(eclipsed-benztb)(H$_2$O)$_2$ – space group *Imma*), DUT-11 (Zn$_2$(staggered-benztb)(H$_2$O)$_2$ – space group *Ccmm*) and DUT-12 (Cu$_2$(benztb)(H$_2$O)$_2$ – space group *P4/mmc*), which could not be desolvated without a phase transition to *cp* phase (DUT-10) or loss of three-dimensional order (DUT-11 and DUT-12).[12] Obviously in these cases, mechanical stiffnesses of the frameworks are too low due to the synergetic effects of the highly flexible ligand and the soft hinges to the nodes.[13] The use of the more rigid Zn$_2$O$^{4-}$ node in the synthesis resulted in the frameworks Zn$_2$O(benztb)$_2$ known as DUT-13[9a] or, if additional H$_2$BTT was used in the synthesis, Zn$_2$O(benztb)(btb)$_2$ (DUT-25)[9b]. Both frameworks preserve their structures upon desolvation using supercritcal CO$_2$. While DUT-25 shows reversible isomers in nitrogen (77 K) and carbon dioxide (195K) physisorption experiments, typical for mesoporous MOFs, nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K) physisorption experiments on DUT-13 exhibit hysteretic behaviour, typical for breathing MOFs. The structure of the op phase was reported earlier, however, the flexibility mechanism is not clarified yet. The recent progress in understanding of flexibility in MOFs,[13] promoted by development of advanced in situ techniques,[14] findings about crystallite size effects,[15] thermodynamic driving forces,[16] study of mechanical properties,[17] adsorption enthalpy and temperature,[18] motivated us for further investigation of flexibility mechanism in DUT-13.

With this in mind, we analyzed the guest-induced breathing in DUT-13 upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K) by in situ synchrotron PXRD upon adsorption and desorption of the gases. The crystal structure of the contracted phase of DUT-13, solved from PXRD patterns illustrates the breathing mechanism as confirmed by theoretical calculations. Physisorption of methane, conducted in a broad temperature range, helped to experimentally access the energetic of adsorption induced op – cp phase transition.

The synthesis of DUT-13 was successfully reproduced using the earlier reported procedure.[14] The phase purity of the as synthesized and supercritically desolvated powder was confirmed by PXRD and porosity and flexibility of the sample was proven in a nitrogen physisorption experiment at 77 K (EError: Reference source not found-S6). SEM images show a broad crystal size distribution, with the crystal sizes ranging from 2.4 µm to 36.8 µm (EError: Reference source not found). The measured size corresponds to the edge length of the rhombohedral crystals.

**In situ PXRD during adsorption of n-butane (273 K) and nitrogen (77 K)**

Guest-induced phase transitions in DUT-13 were monitored by synchrotron PXRD during physisorption of n-butane (273 K) and nitrogen (77 K) in order to understand the switching mechanism and determine the phase composition in the selected points of the isotherm. In both cases in situ measured isotherms exactly reproduced the isotherms, measured ex situ (Figure 2). PXRD patterns, measured on the evacuated DUT-13 framework match well with the theoretical PXRD of the as made structure (EError: Reference source not found). The 200 peak at $2\theta = 3.8^\circ$, characteristic for the cp phase, appears in the PXRD patterns in the relative pressure range of 0.03-0.10 (N$_2$, 77 K) and 0.10-0.25 (n-C$_4$H$_{10}$, 273 K) upon adsorption. Interestingly, in case of nitrogen physisorption at 77 K, a narrower hysteresis loop is observed between adsorption and desorption curves in comparison with the physisorption isotherm of n-butane at 273 K. PXRD patterns, measured at p/p$_0$ = 0.11 in the adsorption of the nitrogen (Figure 2b, point 3) indicate a mixture of op and cp phases. In contrast, PXRD patterns, measured in the plateau of the n-butane isotherm (Figure 2a, points 4 to 7), show mainly reflections of the cp phase. The pore volumes, derived at p/p$_0$ = 0.11 from the nitrogen and n-butane isotherms, amount to 1.04 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$ and 0.58 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$, respectively, and can be considered as additional indirect proof of the incomplete op → cp transformation in the case of the nitrogen physisorption. The reason for this could be a broad crystallite size distribution, observed in the DUT-13 sample (EError: Reference source not found).
found), as it has been previously shown for other frameworks that the smaller crystals do not contract. At relative pressures close to saturation the pure op phase is observed indicating a reopening of the structure for both gases. Interestingly, in the case of reopening with n-butane, the reflection intensities in the measured PXRD strongly differ from the theoretical patterns, calculated for the guest-free MOF, which can be considered as an indication of the ordering of the n-butane molecules in the pores (Figure 2a). Because of the combination of low crystallinity and peak broadening in cp phase, no further structural details on the gas molecules ordering in the pores could be obtained from the Rietveld refinement. Desorption branches in both cases show the reverse op → cp transition after desorption of the gas molecules from the pores.

Figure 2: In situ PXRD during the adsorption and desorption of n-butane at 273 K (a) and nitrogen at 77 K (b).

Crystal structure of contracted phase

Firstly, we were aiming to solve the crystal structure of the contracted phase (cp) of DUT-13. From the first report on DUT-13 it is known that after nitrogen physisorption the MOF is in a different phase than before the experiment, presumably in contracted pore (cp) phase or a mixture of op and cp phases. Therefore, the experiment was reproduced and high-resolution PXRD was measured. However, the ab initio solution of the crystal structure was not possible because of the phase mixture and low number of reflections in PXRD patterns (Error: Reference source not found). Due to this reason, an initial structural model for Rietveld refinement could only be derived by applying a computational assisted technique. For this purpose, we analyzed several scenarios of the unit cell distortions, which can lead to a structural contraction by a) decrease in length of the c-axis, b) decrease in length of a and b axes and c) increase of the γ angle. Subsequently, the structural models were optimized using the geometry optimization tool of the Materials Studio 5.0 software with implemented UFF (universal force field). In the case of scenario (a), the contraction along c axis may cause a buckling of the biphenyl moiety, similar to DUT-49, because all benzbtb4+ linkers in the op structure of DUT-13 are oriented along the c axis. However, theoretical PXRD patterns generated from the structural model show the gradual shift of the...
reflections towards higher 2θ angles, but no match with experimental PXRD patterns (Figure 4a). Decreasing of the length of $a$ and $b$ axes also does not lead to the match with experimental patterns. Interestingly, gradual increasing of the $y$ angle from 120° to 150° results in the coarse matching of the theoretical and experimental patterns and therefore was chosen as a starting model for the Rietveld refinement. The crystal structure was refined in the monoclinic space group $C2/c$, which is the subgroup of $R3c$ group of index k3. The asymmetric unit contains 1.5 benzth4 ligand molecules and one Zn4O6 cluster. The detailed comparison of two structures illustrates the contraction mechanism of DUT-13. Comparison of the $N...N$ distances within the ligand molecules indicates slight elongation from 9.93 Å in $op$ phase to 10.23-10.39 Å in the $cp$ phase (Figure 3).

**Figure 3:** Conformation of the benzth4 linker in the $op$ (a) and $cp$ (b) phases and contraction of the octahedral pore upon transition from $op$ (c) to $cp$ (d) phase.

The C-N-C valence angles and their sum are one of the main measures of distortion in the benzth4 linker and can be used for defining of the out of plane distortions of the sp2 hybridized nitrogen. The sum value of 360° corresponds to the ideal trigonal-planar configuration, whereas ideal tetrahedral environment results in 328.5°. In the $op$ structure the values of C-N-C angles are ranging from 119.2° to 121.3° resulting in a corresponding sum of 359.5° and therefore are close to a trigonal-planar configuration. The C-N-C angles in the $cp$ structure show different values in two symmetrically independent ligand molecules. In the first ligand molecule, the values of C-N-C angles are ranging from 115.8° to 124.4° and the sums of adjacent angles around the two nitrogen atoms are 358.6° and 360.0°, indicating undistorted trigonal geometry of the nitrogen atoms. The second benzth4 linker shows a much higher distortion with 109.5° as the smallest C-N-C angle, which is very close to the tetrahedral value. The sum of all three C-N-C angles amounts to 355.2°, indicating the higher distortion degree in comparison to other linker. However, both discussed parameters do not show significant changes, which would lead to the strong structural contraction. As a next step the ligand conformation was analyzed in detail. It is known that the benzth4 ligand assumes various conformations: staggered or eclipsed. In the $op$ phase of DUT-13 the staggered conformation was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The interplanar angle between the two planes defined by the C,N moieties amounts to 52.1°. The analysis of the same parameter in the $cp$ structure results in 16.4 and 14.0° for two symmetrically independent ligands, indicating an eclipsed conformation of the ligand in the $cp$ phase. In summary, it can be concluded that the flexibility mechanism in DUT-13 is mainly based on the switching between staggered ($op$ phase) and eclipsed ($cp$ phase) conformations of the ligand, which differs from DUT-49, where the flexibility is mainly originating from the ligand buckling. Additionally, the distortions at the soft carboxylate-node hinges and phenyl rings are also contributing to the switching mechanism of the structure of DUT-13. Despite of the structural contraction, DUT-13cp still shows 64.5 % accessible void in the unit cell, which is about 80 % of the initial $op$ phase that has 82.3 %. This difference in the crystallographic porosity motivated us to investigate the geometrical surface area and porosity of these structures.

**Figure 4:** Possible breathing scenarios in DUT-13 and corresponding PXRD patterns: a) contraction along $c$ axis; b) contraction along $a$ and $b$ axes; c) contraction along the [110] diagonal.

**Analysis of the porosity of the $op$ and $cp$ phases**

The total pore volume is straightforward to derive from the experimental adsorption isotherm and is an valid parameter for comparison between the observed experimental porosity and the porosity of proposed $op$ and $cp$ structures. Pore volumes were calculated using Zeo++ v.0.3[17] using a probe size of 0.1 Å. Theoretical pore volumes were calculated to be 2.190 and 1.100 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$, for the $op$ and $cp$ phases, respectively. Experimental values were determined from the isotherms shown in Fig. S9 (ESI). The total pore volume of 1.700 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$ for $op$
phase was determined in the plateau after reopening of the structure at \(p/p_0 = 0.8\) and the total pore volume of 0.774 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) for \(cp\) phase was extracted from the isotherm of the second run at \(p/p_0 = 0.2\), where the existence of predominantly \(cp\) phase is expected. In both cases the ratio between experimental and theoretical values remains constant, indicating that ~90% of the theoretical value is reached for both phases (EError: Reference source not found). Further analysis of the proposed \(op\) and \(cp\) structures were undertaken employing grand canonical Monte Carlo simulation using RASPA2 software.\(^{[14]}\) The total nitrogen gas adsorbed at 77 K and 1 atm was simulated using the TraPPE and UFF parameters to describe the interactions.\(^{[23]}\) The amount of substance adsorbed was found to be 59.8 mmol g\(^{-1}\) and 26.8 mmol g\(^{-1}\) for the \(op\) and \(cp\) structures, respectively. The simulated quantities fit well with the experimental N\(_2\) isotherms. We also computed the pore size distribution for both structures. The pore size distributions demonstrate the larger pore of 1.85 nm, present in the \(op\) phase, collapses and the \(cp\) phase shows a relatively narrow pore size distribution in the range 0.7 - 1.0 nm (EError: Reference source not found, see also supplementary animation).

**Screening for NGA transitions via methane adsorption at variable temperatures**

Recently we extensively analyzed the low and high temperature limits of adsorption-induced structural contraction in DUT-49 using methane adsorption as an example and observed an excellent correlation with computationally predicted upper temperature limit, derived from the osmotic ensemble on a series of GMCM modelled isotherms.\(^{[6]}\) Since developed technique allows to evaluate the stiffness of the framework directly from the adsorption experiments we conducted a series of methane adsorption experiments on DUT-13 in the temperature range 111 – 190 K (Figure 5). Over all temperatures the methane uptake remains nearly unchanged at around 40 mmol g\(^{-1}\). Small changes might be occurring due to the use of a fresh sample for each measurement below 160 K. This approach was used to prevent loss of uptake, as a loss was observed in nitrogen adsorption (EError: Reference source not found), in a second physisorption run after a phase transition occurred in the first run. Though the methane uptake is lower than the nitrogen uptake (50 mmol g\(^{-1}\)), it is interesting to note that the hysteresis in methane adsorption is comparatively wider indicating a better stabilization of the \(cp\) phase. This is most likely due to the larger amount of methane adsorbed and therefore stronger capillary forces.

At lower temperatures, starting from the boiling point of 111 K up to 140 K, a wide hysteresis can be observed, which is a sign of the framework flexibility. Going to higher temperatures the isotherms at 150 K and 160 K show a decreasing width of the hysteresis. At 170 K and 180 K, reversible isotherms point out on the rigid behaviour. The temperature dependence of NGA and flexible behaviour on DUT-49 was recently studied and the authors showed a loss of flexibility during methane adsorption at \(T \geq 135\) K.\(^{[8]}\) This temperature characteristic supports the assumption that DUT-13 is a softer network than DUT-49, with the smaller free energy difference between \(op\) and \(cp\) phases. Since a sufficient energy barrier is a requirement for a metastable \(op\) phase and therefore NGA to occur, this barrier seems to be too low in the case of DUT-13 to support NGA. Comparing the two networks DUT-13 and DUT-49, apart from the resulting topology, two major differences are evident: 1) the metal cluster is a Zn\(_2\)O(CO\(_2\))\(_6\) cluster instead of a copper paddlewheel; and 2) the linker does not have a connection of the outer phenyl rings except for the nitrogen. This missing bond allows more rotational freedom around the nitrogen atom in the linker and might be the main reason for a softer network, that cannot show NGA transitions. A stiffening of the linker in that area therefore seems to be crucial for the design of linkers leading to NGA networks.

![Figure 5: Methane adsorption on DUT-13 at temperatures from 111 K to 180 K.](image-url)
Conclusion

A guest-induced flexibility in the framework DUT-13 was investigated in situ to analyze the breathing mechanism upon physisorption of nitrogen (77 K) and n-butane (273 K). The crystal structure of \( \text{cp} \) phase, solved from PXRD data using the computation-assisted semiempirical approach, shows two times smaller pore volume, compared to the \( \text{op} \) phase, which is consistent with the corresponding isotherms. The contraction mechanism is mainly based on the conformational isomerism of the benztb\(^+\) linker, which transforms from a staggered conformation in \( \text{op} \) phase to a more eclipsed in \( \text{cp} \) phase, leading to the contraction of the larger pore.

A nearly complete \( \text{op} \rightarrow \text{cp} \rightarrow \text{op} \) transition was observed in the case of n-butane adsorption at 273 K, while in case of weakly interacting nitrogen molecules a portion of the sample remains in the \( \text{op} \) phase in the entire pressure range. Apparently, in case of DUT-13 the contraction is crystallite size-dependent, similarly as in a number of other switchable MOFs,\(^{20}\) which should be investigated more in detail in the future.

Methane adsorption at varying temperatures showed a wide hysteresis at the temperatures between 111 K and 140 K. The hysteresis width decreases until it disappears completely at 170 K leading to a reversible isotherm, typical for rigid frameworks. The fact that breathing is observed in a broader temperature range in comparison to DUT-49\(^{19}\) demonstrates that thermodynamics and kinetics favour the DUT-13 contraction. Linker and hinges in DUT-13 are not stiff enough to support the metastable states required for NGA.

Experimental Section

General Methods

All chemicals and solvents used in the syntheses were at least of reagent grade and were used without further purification.

NMR spectra were acquired on a VARIAN Mercury (300 MHz and 75.5 MHz for \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C, respectively). All \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield of TMS and were measured relative to the residual signals of the solvents at 7.26 ppm (CDCl\(_3\)) or 2.50 ppm (DMSO-d\(_6\)).\(^{21}\)

PXRD patterns were collected in transmission geometry with a STOE STADI P diffractometer operated at 40 kV and 30 mA with monochromatic Cu-K\(_\alpha\) (\(\lambda = 0.154059 \text{ nm}\)) radiation, a scan speed of 120 s/step and a detector step size of \(2\theta = 6^\circ\). The samples were placed between non-diffracting adhesive tape. ‘As made’ samples were analyzed while suspended in DMF. Desolvated samples were prepared in a glove box under argon atmosphere. Theoretical PXRD patterns were calculated based on crystal structures using Mercury 3.9 software package.

SEM images of the DUT-13 samples were taken with secondary electrons in a HITACHI SU8020 microscope using 2.0 kV acceleration voltage, a working distance of 10.5 mm and different magnifications. The samples were prepared on a sticky carbon sample holder. To avoid degradation upon exposure to air, the samples were prepared in a glove box under argon atmosphere.

Nitrogen physisorption was measured at 77 K on a QUANTACHROME Quadrasorb SI Automated Surface Area and Pore Size Analyser.

Methane adsorption isotherms in the broad range of pressures and temperatures were collected using a home-built system constructed from a volumetric BELSOPR-HP (Microtrac MRB) device and a closed-cycle helium cryostat DE-202D (ARS-Cryo) in the self-made high-pressure adsorption cell based on a \(\frac{1}{2}\) inch VCR-support. The adsorption system is designed for static physisorption experiments in the temperature range of 4 to 300 K and a pressure range of 0.01 to 8000 kPa. The BELSOPR-HP instrument is equipped with a turbomolecular pump and possesses a temperature-controlled standard volume \(V_1\) of 20.663 cm\(^3\). The measurement cell was calibrated at each temperature ensuring precise measurements of the adsorbed gas amount. The dead volume of the system was determined using helium gas with 99.999 % purity. Methane of 99.999 % purity was used in all adsorption experiments. All adsorption isotherms were measured using equilibrium conditions of 0.1 % of pressure change within 500 s.

\textit{In situ} PXRD during the physisorption of nitrogen and n-butane. \textit{In situ} PXRD patterns upon physisorption of nitrogen at 77 K and n-butane at 273 K were measured at KMC-2 beamline of BESSY II synchrotron, operated by Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie\(^{23}\). Customized automated instrumentation, based on the volumetric adsorption instrument and closed-cycle Helium cryostat, equipped with adsorption chamber with beryllium domes was used in all experiments\(^{22}\). PXRD patterns were measured at constant wavelength \(\lambda = 1.54059 \text{ Å} (E = 8048 \text{ eV})\) in transmission geometry. Diffraction images were collected using 20 scans and Vantec 2000 detector (Bruker). Each 2D image was measured with 31 s exposure. 15 mg of DUT-13 powder was used in experiment. Reflections coming from the crystalline Be-dome were eliminated by tungsten slits with 5 mm aperture, mounted on the detector cone. The obtained diffraction images were integrated using DATASQUEEZE 2.2.9\(^{24}\) with further processing in FIT2K 0.9 software\(^{25}\). Adsorption equilibrium setting was defined as pressure change of 0.1% within 300 s.

Rietveld refinement of the DUT-13 \( \text{cp} \) phase. The starting structural model for Rietveld refinement was derived empirically from the DUT-13 \( \text{cp} \) phase by stepwise increasing of the \(\gamma\)-angle in the unit cell with artificially reduced space group symmetry from \(R3\text{c}\) to \(P1\). The search of the additional symmetry in the most suitable model resulted in the space group \(C2/c\), which reduced the asymmetric unit.
to one Zn$_2$O cluster and 1.5 benzyl linker molecules. The Rietveld refinement was performed using Reflex tool, implemented in Materials Studio 5.0 software\cite{25}. The reflection at 2θ = 4.3° in PXRD patterns belongs to the DUT-13 op phase and was omitted from the refinement. A treatment of all phenyl rings and carboxylates as rigid bodies allowed to significantly reduce the number of motion groups and final refinement was performed using Rietveld with energy option (1% UFF contribution) using 23 motion groups, which resulted in 114 degrees of freedom. The final Rietveld refinement plot is given in Error: Reference source not found and the main experimental parameter in Error: Reference source not found, ESL.

**General procedure for the synthesis of DUT-13.** 446.2 mg (2.03 mmol, 13.5 eq.) Zinc acetate dihydrate were dissolved in 12.5 mL N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) while 100.2 mg (0.15 mmol, 1.0 eq.) H-benztbb were dissolved in 7.5 mL N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP). The Zinc solution was distributed among two pyrex tubes before the linker solution was added. After closing the tubes, the reaction was carried out in a drying oven at 80 °C for one week. The yellow crystals were washed with DMF for several times and then dried and activated using supercritical carbon dioxide in a Jumbo Critical Point Dryer 13200J AB after solvent exchange from DMF to Acetone.
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