Nucleoprotein filament formation is the structural basis for bacterial protein H-NS gene silencing
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H-NS is an abundant nucleoid-associated protein in bacteria that globally silences genes, including horizontally-acquired genes related to pathogenesis. Although it has been shown that H-NS has multiple modes of DNA-binding, which mode is employed in gene silencing is still unclear. Here, we report that in H-NS mutants that are unable to silence genes, are unable to form a rigid H-NS nucleoprotein filament. These results indicate that the H-NS nucleoprotein filament is crucial for its gene silencing function, and serves as the fundamental structural basis for gene silencing by H-NS and likely other H-NS-like bacterial proteins.

Nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs) are small abundant DNA-binding proteins that are involved in chromosomal DNA packaging and gene regulatory functions1–3. Among this class of proteins, histone-like nucleoid structuring protein (H-NS), plays an important role as a global gene silencer4,5 that controls approximately 5% of Escherichia coli genes, of which at least 80% are negatively regulated6. In addition, it is also involved in silencing horizontally-acquired genes that are involved in pathogenesis7. H-NS has also been shown to be involved in chromosomal DNA structuring such as supercoiling8–10, supporting its role as a DNA structuring protein.

Previous studies have identified two modes for H-NS to bind to DNA, thus raising the question as to whether these two modes were related to the two H-NS functions (e.g., gene silencing and chromosomal compaction)11–15. Among these studies, a recent observation was that H-NS was able to polymerize along DNA to form a rigid nucleoprotein filament12,15. Interestingly, it was also shown that the H-NS nucleoprotein filament is responsive to environmental factors known to regulate H-NS gene silencing functions in vivo12,15,16,17. Another study determined that Salmonella anti-silencing protein SsrB could only displace H-NS from DNA when H-NS formed a filament along DNA18.

All of the above studies suggest that there is a strong correlation between H-NS nucleoprotein filament formation and its gene silencing function. As such, we propose that the H-NS nucleoprotein filament formation provides the structural basis for its gene silencing functions. This hypothesis can be directly tested by determining whether or not nucleoprotein filament formation is disrupted in H-NS mutants that fail to silence genes. Obvious predictions from this hypothesis are that H-NS mutants that cannot silence genes in vivo will not form nucleoprotein filaments, while H-NS mutants that retain gene silencing functions will be able to form nucleoprotein filaments. These predictions can be directly examined using single-molecule techniques such as AFM imaging and single-DNA stretching assays15,19.

In this work, we examined four mutants (R15E, L26P, L30P and P115A) that were previously shown to be unable to silence genes in vivo20–23, using single-molecule techniques and compared their behaviour to wild-type H-NS (wtH-NS). A mutant L30K that retains gene silencing in vivo was also included as a positive control21. This collection of mutant proteins can provide a consensus understanding on the effects of gene silencing mutation on H-NS nucleoprotein filament formation. Here we report that all the H-NS mutants that were incapable of gene silencing lost the ability to form a rigid filament on DNA (nucleoprotein filament formation), while the gene silencing positive mutant L30K retained the ability to form nucleoprotein filaments, similar to the wtH-NS. These results confirm that H-NS nucleoprotein filament formation is strongly correlated with its gene silencing function and therefore forms the structural basis for H-NS-mediated gene silencing.
Results

AFM imaging experiments show gene silencing negative mutants fail to organize DNA into extended DNA conformations like wtH-NS. When DNA was incubated with wtH-NS at 5:1 protein/DNA bp ratio (1.5 μM protein), the DNA-protein complexes exhibited extended and thick filamentous conformations (Fig. 1a & b), suggestive of rigid H-NS nucleoprotein filament formation. Although most of the complexes were nucleoprotein filament, there were regions where H-NS-mediated DNA hairpins were observed (Fig. 1b, yellow arrows). This is in agreement with previous AFM imaging studies of DNA-H-NS complexes in buffer containing 1 mM MgCl2.15

Similar AFM imaging experiments were performed with the H-NS mutants that were defective in gene silencing: R15E, L26P, L30P and P115A. The DNA-protein complexes formed with the mutants were completely distinct from the wtH-NS (Fig. 1c-j). DNA-R15E complexes exhibited heterogeneous DNA conformations (Fig. 1c & d) which were significantly different from wtH-NS (Fig. 1a & b). In addition, small-scale localized DNA condensation was observed (Fig. 1d yellow arrow). With L26P and L30P, globular DNA condensation was observed (Fig. 1e & f for H-NS L26P and 1g & h for H-NS L30P), which also represented a clear distinction from the wtH-NS nucleoprotein filament. Similarly, the C-terminal domain mutant H-NS P115A also caused globular DNA aggregation (Fig. 1i & j). Thus, all the H-NS mutants that were defective in gene silencing exhibited significant differences in DNA conformation compared to wtH-NS. In contrast, the gene silencing positive mutant L30K, organized DNA into extended nucleoprotein filaments (Fig. 1k & l), or occasional DNA hairpins (Fig. 1l yellow arrow), similar to wtH-NS.

Magnetic tweezers experiments indicate a loss of filament formation by H-NS gene silencing negative mutants. The extended DNA conformation formed by wtH-NS observed in AFM images was due to rigid nucleoprotein filament formation.19 The lack of such extended filamentous DNA-protein complex conformation suggests a failure in rigid nucleoprotein filament formation by the gene silencing negative H-NS mutants. Rigid nucleoprotein filament formation on DNA can be quantified by measuring the influence of the protein on DNA bending rigidity in single-DNA stretching assays.25 Using this approach, the effects of the H-NS mutants on nucleoprotein filament formation were investigated using a transverse magnetic tweezers setup described previously.15,19,25 (Fig. 2a). A DNA relax-stretch cycle was performed on a singly-tethered λ-DNA (~16 μm DNA contour length), which measures the DNA extension from high to low force (relaxed) and reverse (stretched) through the same force points (~0.1–15 pN) for 30 seconds at each force point. The extension was obtained by averaging the data at each force point.

Figure 2b shows the obtained relax-stretch force-extension (FE) curves of DNA incubated with wtH-NS. At 600 nM wtH-NS, during the DNA relaxing phase (Fig. 2b, red-left triangles), the DNA extension is significantly longer as the applied force is reduced. This indicates that the apparent DNA bending rigidity is increased by wtH-NS, i.e., the DNA is stiffened. However, during the DNA stretching phase (Fig. 2b, red-right triangles), the DNA extension does not overlap with that obtained during the DNA relaxing phase (i.e., hysteresis occurs). This hysteresis is likely caused by H-NS-mediated DNA folding. The DNA was completely ‘unfolded’ to its original extension by holding it at a high force (~15 pN) before proceeding to the next wtH-NS concentration. Similar co-existence of DNA stiffening and folding were observed at 6,000 nM wtH-NS (Fig. 2b, green triangles), except that the hysteresis became larger. Taken together, these results indicate that at the physiologically relevant magnesium concentration of 2 mM, wtH-NS can co-stiffen and fold DNA simultaneously, in agreement with our AFM imaging (Fig. 1a & b) and previous studies.24 Similar single-DNA stretching assays performed using the gene silencing H-NS mutants indicated that co-existence of DNA stiffening and folding was only observed with the gene silencing positive mutant H-NS L30K. In contrast, only DNA folding was observed for all gene silencing negative mutants (H-NS R15E, L26P, L30P & P115A) (Supplementary Fig. S1). Thus, loss of gene silencing in vivo was correlated with the loss of filament formation.

The presence of H-NS-mediated DNA folding can obscure the actual H-NS DNA stiffening effect and thus affects quantification of the increase in apparent DNA bending rigidity after DNA-protein complex formation. In order to isolate the actual H-NS DNA stiffening effect, we adopted the force-jump approach, where the force was jumped between a high force, which prevents DNA folding and a series of low force values to measure the DNA extension (see Methods). This method avoids accumulation of DNA folding at the low force regime; therefore, the influence of DNA folding on the DNA bending rigidity measurement is minimized. A comparison
of the FE curves obtained by the force-jump method and that obtained from DNA relax-stretch approach showed no significant differences (Supplementary Fig. S2). The force-jump FE curves of \( \lambda \)-DNA obtained in 600 nM and 6,000 nM H-NS showed that DNA extension is significantly longer than naked DNA (Fig. 2c), indicating formation of rigid nucleoprotein filaments. In addition, the overlapping of the two curves suggests that the nucleoprotein filament formation is saturated at 600 nM.

Similar independent single-DNA stretching assays were then repeated for the H-NS mutants using the force-jump approach. For all H-NS mutants, their FE curves were largely stabilized at protein concentrations of 600 nM and above, indicating DNA-binding saturation was largely achieved at 600 nM (Supplementary Fig. S3). The effects of wtH-NS and its mutants on the apparent DNA bending rigidity were compared at 600 nM (Fig. 2d). In addition, the contour length and persistence length or bending rigidity of the DNA-protein complex were quantified by fitting the FE curves to the worm-like chain (WLC) model\(^2\) (Fig. 2d solid lines). The results are summarized in Table 1. All WLC model fittings have a \( R^2 \) of > 0.99.

There were no significant changes (< 2.5%) to the apparent DNA contour length in all cases when saturated DNA-protein complexes were formed. However, the apparent DNA bending rigidity, which is reflected by the persistence length, drastically varies among the mutants. Both wtH-NS and gene silencing positive mutant L30K had persistence length values of 173.85 ± 10.87 nm and 151.39 ± 7.62 nm respectively, which showed significant DNA stiffening as compared to the naked DNA value of 53.10 ± 0.92 nm. Gene silencing negative mutant R15E displayed weakened DNA stiffening with a value of 64.18 ± 1.20 nm. The rest of the gene silencing negative H-NS mutants (H-NS L26P, L30P and P115A) had force-jump FE curves that were largely similar to naked DNA, although H-NS L30P and P115A mutants experiments were terminated early due to strong DNA folding, even at high force regimes (Fig. 2d, see downward arrows).

The above force-jump FE curve results revealed that H-NS gene silencing negative mutants have all lost the ability to form rigid nucleoprotein filaments, while in contrast, wtH-NS and the gene silencing positive mutant L30K formed rigid nucleoprotein filaments, as evident by significant DNA stiffening effect. This is in agreement with our AFM imaging results that showed only wtH-NS and its positive mutant L30K shared similar rigid nucleoprotein filament conformations. Taken together, these results indicate that rigid nucleoprotein filament structure is linked to the gene silencing function of H-NS.

**Discussion**

In this work, we show that nucleoprotein filament is essentially linked to H-NS gene silencing through functional knockout studies. From the pool of four gene silencing negative H-NS mutants (R15E, L26P, L30P and P115A), all of them lost the ability to form rigid DNA filaments.
nucleoprotein filaments. This was evident from AFM imaging studies and confirmed with single-DNA stretching assays. A gene silencing positive control, H-NS mutant (L30K), behaved similarly to wtH-NS. Therefore, once the gene silencing capability of H-NS is eliminated, its ability to form rigid nucleoprotein filaments is lost, indicating the direct involvement of nucleoprotein filament formation in H-NS gene silencing. Our results suggest that, as a unique nucleoprotein structure, the H-NS nucleoprotein filament provides the fundamental structural basis in H-NS gene silencing mechanism.

Due to the higher rigidity of the H-NS filament, formation of the nucleoprotein filament results in an extended DNA conformation. Previously, it was reported that H-NS binding often leads to formation of another distinct DNA conformation which is a DNA hairpin. Recent studies have shown that these two distinct DNA conformations can be switched by adjusting magnesium over a physiological concentration range; at 2 mM MgCl₂ or lower, nucleoprotein filament conformations are more dominant, while at higher MgCl₂, DNA hairpins formation dominate. Previous models suggested that DNA hairpin formation was mediated by individual H-NS dimers. It should be noted that DNA hairpin formation may also be mediated by a nucleoprotein filament, meaning that formation of a rigid nucleoprotein filament and DNA hairpin formation are not mutually exclusive. This is illustrated in a recent structural study that reported H-NS filaments can potentially bridge two separate DNA strands to form extensive DNA-protein-DNA tracts. As such, at physiological relevant magnesium conditions, both extended DNA and DNA hairpin conformations can be understood as resulting conformations mediated by H-NS filament formation.

Previously, the mechanism of H-NS gene silencing was discussed based on H-NS-mediated DNA hairpin formation. For example, it was proposed that H-NS mediated gene silencing can be achieved by trapping RNA polymerase at the loop of the DNA hairpin. Results from our current study led us to propose an alternative H-NS gene silencing model which was based on nucleoprotein filament as the fundamental structural basis for gene silencing (Fig. 3). In this model, H-NS nucleates at one or more high-affinity site(s) in the gene regulatory region, and polymerizes to form a continuous filament that covers an extensive DNA segment. This scenario was previously proposed based on the cooperative binding properties of H-NS. Our previous finding of the H-NS nucleoprotein filament and together with the results presented here, provide structural evidence supporting the H-NS nucleation and polymerization model for gene silencing.

After the formation of a nucleoprotein filament, one possible gene silencing mechanism is that the H-NS nucleoprotein filament directly serves as a continuous physical barrier to restrict RNA polymerase accessibility to DNA (Fig. 3a). This model is consistent with several previous studies that reported reduced DNA digestion efficiency by DNA cutting enzymes in the presence of H-NS. It also agrees with another study that showed H-NS restricted RNA polymerase accessibility to DNA. Another possibility is that the nucleoprotein filament can potentially serve as a physical obstacle to impede the RNA polymerase elongation process (Fig. 3b). In addition, as mentioned in previous paragraphs, the H-NS filament may also mediate formation of DNA hairpins via nucleoprotein filament-based DNA-bridging. This provides an additional possible gene silencing mechanism by trapping RNA polymerase in DNA loops stabilized by H-NS nucleoprotein filament-based DNA-bridging (Fig. 3c).

Finally, all of these results raise an interesting question as to whether the unique nucleoprotein filament formation by H-NS is a shared-property of many other gene silencing NAPs in prokaryotes. Supporting this view, we recently reported that E. coli StpA, an H-NS parologue that bears 58% sequence similarity to H-NS, also forms a rigid nucleoprotein filament that drastically restricts DNA accessibility. Importantly, many other gene silencing H-NS-like proteins, such as Pseudomonas MvaT and Mycobacterium Lsr2, can complement an E. coli H-NS null strain even though they have no significant sequence similarity to H-NS. This warrants further study.
to determine whether these proteins can also form nucleoprotein filaments similar to the H-NS nucleoprotein filament, and if nucleo-protein filament is the universal structural basis for prokaryotic gene silencing.

**Methods**

**Proteins mutagenesis, expression and mutation.** The *hns* gene was inserted into pET-28 plasmid to express C-terminal His-tag H-NS proteins. The *hns* mutants were derived from the wild-type *hns* gene by PCR site-directed mutagenesis. The mutated sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing before protein expression and purification. The His-tagged proteins expression and purification protocol was described in details previously. The H-NS proteins purity was determined by SDS-PAGE and the protein concentration was quantified using optical absorbance at 280 nm.

**Atomic force microscopy imaging.** Glutaraldehyde-modified mica surface was prepared according to previous protocol, 50 µl of 0.1% (v/v) (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) solution diluted with deionised water is dropped onto freshly cleaved mica and incubated for 15 minutes. The mica is then rinsed extensively with deionised water and dried with nitrogen gas before usage or kept in a desiccator for future use. 50 µl of sample containing 10 ng of lambda DNA (New England Biolabs, U.S.A) mixed with appropriate amount of protein and obtain a specific protein/DNA bp ratio is allowed to incubate for 20 minutes before depositing onto glutaraldehyde-modified mica. After 20 minutes of incubation, the mica is rinsed with deionised water gently and then dried with nitrogen gas before AFM imaging. Dry AFM imaging is performed using Agilent 5500 AFM (Agilent Technologies, U.S.A) in AC (tapping) mode. The AFM probe used for dry AFM imaging has a resonance frequency of 300 Hz and a force constant of 40 N/ nm. Imaging speed and resolution is typically 1 Hz and 512 x 512 pixels respectively unless otherwise stated. Raw AFM images are processed using Gwyddion software (http://gwyddion.net/).

**Magnetic tweezers single-DNA stretching assay.** The magnetic tweezers setup used to perform single-DNA stretching assay is based on the transverse version described previously. The DNA substrate used was prepared by growing E. coli containing appropriate pBAD promoter and pT7 promoter containing two different DNA fragments with cloned *hns* gene. These two DNA fragments were integrated into different plasmid vectors for the expression of the two H-NS proteins. The expression of the two H-NS proteins was induced by the addition of arabinose to the culture medium. The proteins were purified on Ni-Agarose columns and dialysed extensively with buffer before use. The DNA was cleaved with EcoRI and HindIII restriction enzymes and end-labelled with biotin-16-dUTP using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) at 37 °C for 15 minutes. The mica is again extensively rinsed and dried with nitrogen gas before usage or kept in a desiccator for future use. 50 µl of sample containing 10 ng of lambda DNA (New England Biolabs, U.S.A) mixed with appropriate amount of protein and obtain a specific protein/DNA bp ratio is allowed to incubate for 20 minutes before depositing onto glutaraldehyde-modified mica. After 20 minutes of incubation, the mica is rinsed with deionised water gently and then dried with nitrogen gas before AFM imaging. Dry AFM imaging is performed using Agilent 5500 AFM (Agilent Technologies, U.S.A) in AC (tapping) mode. The AFM probe used for dry AFM imaging has a resonance frequency of 300 Hz and a force constant of 40 N/ nm. Imaging speed and resolution is typically 1 Hz and 512 x 512 pixels respectively unless otherwise stated. Raw AFM images are processed using Gwyddion software (http://gwyddion.net/).
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