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MicroRNAs and extracellular vesicles in the gut: new host modulators of the microbiome?
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ABSTRACT

The gut microbiota plays an integral role in human health and its dysbiosis is associated with many chronic diseases. There are still large gaps in understanding the host and environmental factors that directly regulate the gut microbiota, and few effective strategies exist to modulate the microbiota in therapeutic applications. Recent reports suggest that certain microRNAs (miRNAs) released by mammalian cells can regulate bacterial gene expression to influence the microbiome composition and propose extracellular vesicles as one natural mechanism for miRNA transport in the gut. These new findings interface with a burgeoning body of data showing that miRNAs are present in a stable form in extracellular environments and can mediate cell-to-cell communication in mammals. Here, we review the literature on RNA-mediated modulation of the microbiome to bring cross-disciplinary perspective to this new type of interaction and its potential implications in biology and medicine.

Keywords: extracellular vesicle; cross-species; microRNA; microbiome; extracellular RNA; RNA therapeutics

THE GUT MICROBIOTA AND ITS MODULATION

The mammalian gastrointestinal (GI) tract hosts a complex and diverse population of microorganisms collectively known as the gut microbiota. The number of microorganisms inhabiting the GI tract has been estimated to be 10¹³–10¹⁴ and the gut microbiome contains over 100 times as many genes as the human genome (Thursby and Juge 2017). The gut microbiota contributes to host health through various physiological functions such as maintaining intestinal barrier (Natividad and Verdu 2013), modulating the host’s energy metabolism (Cani et al. 2019), protecting against infection by pathogenic bacteria (Buffie et al. 2015) and shaping host immunity (Wu et al. 2010; Gaudet et al. 2015). Many studies suggest that the maintenance of gut bacterial community structure is important for health and that dysbiosis of the gut microbiota is associated with numerous human diseases, including inflammatory bowel diseases (Nishino et al. 2018), obesity (Walters, Xu and Knight 2014), diabetes mellitus (Wen et al. 2008) and GI cancers (Serban 2014).

There is a growing need for new approaches to specifically manipulate the gut microbiota, and there is also increasing interest in understanding the natural host mechanisms involved in its regulation. It is well known that host-derived products, such as mucus, antimicrobial peptides and IgA, produced by the intestinal epithelial cells, can encourage the growth of some microbial species and inhibit that of others (Suzuki et al. 2004; Carvalho et al. 2012). In the last 5 years, several papers have shown that mammalian microRNAs (miRNAs) can influence the...
composition and activity of the gut bacteria in a specific way, for example through direct RNA–RNA interactions with bacterial genes. These findings interface with a burgeoning body of literature showing that small RNAs, including miRNAs, can operate beyond the cell in which they derive in mammals, through transport in extracellular vesicles (EVs) or other carriers.

**miRNAs: FUNCTION AND REGULATION IN THE GUT**

There are three predominant classes of small RNA in eukaryotes that mediate gene regulation and genome defense: small interfering RNAs, PIWI-associated RNAs and miRNAs (Kutter and Svoboda 2008). Of these classes, miRNAs are the most well studied in mammals for their roles in development and disease (Kloosterman and Plasterk 2006). miRNAs derive from endogenous genes that are typically transcribed by RNA polymerase II into primary miRNA transcripts that undergo processing by Drosha and other cofactors in the nucleus (Pasquinelli 2012). This processing produces the pre-miRNAs (∼60–70 nucleotides in length) that are transported to the cytoplasm by Exportin 5 where they are further processed by Dicer, which removes the loop region from pre-miRNAs. One strand of the resulting duplex is bound by an Argonaute (Ago) protein to form the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). Within RISC, the miRNA serves as the guide to bind to specific messenger RNA targets through sequence complementarity and this results in either cleavage of the mRNA or inhibition of translation (Bartel 2018).

Many reports have now demonstrated the importance of miRNAs in gut function. For example, fewer goblet cells, impaired nutrient absorption and barrier function were observed in mice in which Dicer was ablated from intestinal epithelial cells (McKenna et al. 2010). The fate of human intestinal epithelial cells was also shown to be directly regulated by transfection of synthetic miRNA mimics or inhibitors (Dalmao et al. 2010). Moreover, miRNA expression profiles within intestinal cells have been shown to change during various intestinal diseases, and some of the miRNAs are likely to be causative agents in disease progression and development. For example, the level of miR-21 increased in colonic tissue from active ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease, and its pathogenic role was confirmed in miR-21 knockout mice (Wu et al. 2008; Shi et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2013).

miRNAs also play a role in the ability of intestinal cells to sense and respond to changes in the environment. For example, intestinal miRNA levels are modulated when exposed to high amounts of dietary lipids (Gil-Zamorano et al. 2020), and the presence or absence of the microbiome directly affects miRNA expression in intestinal cells (Dalmao et al. 2011; Singh et al. 2012). miRNA expression levels were further shown to be altered in a highly cell type-specific manner, with the strongest deregulation in stem cells, suggesting important roles of miRNAs in regulating intestinal homeostasis (Peck et al. 2017).

**EXTRACELLULAR miRNAs AND RNA COMMUNICATION IN THE GUT**

The above work suggests that the biogenesis and function of miRNAs may be closely tied to sensing changes in the intestinal environment. At the same time, miRNAs are also now recognized to be released into the environment, with scope to act outside of the cells in which they derive. Extensive literature in the last 15 years has shown that miRNAs can be detected in a cell-free form in different body fluids (Murillo et al. 2019). Furthermore, miRNAs are stable and can be reliably detected in faeces from human and mice (Ahmed et al. 2009; Wu et al. 2012), providing a noninvasive method to sample gut luminal miRNAs in different contexts. For example, the levels of miR-21 and miR-92a were significantly higher in the stool of patients with colorectal cancer compared with healthy controls (Wu et al. 2012). There is an increasing interest in using faecal miRNAs as noninvasive markers of intestinal malignancies (Yau et al. 2019).

Beyond their biomarker potential, miRNAs and other classes of extracellular small RNA have also been reported to participate in communication between different organisms and species (Claycomb, Abreu-Goodger and Buck 2017). The relative abundance and stability of mammalian miRNAs in the gut lumen therefore lead to the question of whether these could modulate gene targets in other organisms in the gut.

**EVIDENCE OF DIRECT miRNA–BACTERIA INTERACTIONS IN THE GUT**

Several recent studies have reported direct RNA–RNA interactions between host miRNAs and bacterial genes in the gut (Table 1), based on a combination of in vivo genetic experiments in mice and in vitro validation experiments.

Liu et al. (2016) used Dicer knockout mice in a first demonstration that the deficiency of miRNAs in intestinal epithelial cells caused an imbalanced gut microbiota, which can then be restored by transplantation of wild-type faecal miRNAs (where the native RNA is extracted from faeces and administrated to mice by gavage). To explore whether specific miRNAs can directly interact with gut bacteria, the authors selected two important gut bacteria, Fusobacterium nucleatum and Escherichia coli, to predict their potential interacting miRNAs by sequence similarity. By culturing the strains with synthesized miRNAs in vitro, they found that miRNA-515-5p can stimulate F. nucleatum growth and that miRNA-1226-5p can stimulate E. coli growth. The expression levels of predicted miRNA targets in these two strains were also found to be increased in response to the synthetic RNA. In a subsequent study, the same authors showed that miR-30d, which is enriched in the faeces of animals with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), can promote the growth of Akkermansia muciniphila by increasing the expression of β-galactosidase (Liu et al. 2019). These examples suggest that host miRNA interactions with bacterial transcripts can lead to an increase in bacterial gene expression and bacterial growth. A more recent study suggests that miRNAs can also suppress bacterial growth. In particular, the composition of gut microbiota in miR-21 knockout mice was characterized by an increase in Lactobacillus and incubation of synthetic miR-21 with Lactobacillus reuteri led to reduced growth (Santos et al. 2020).

**IMPLICATIONS AND QUESTIONS**

The above studies suggest that specific mammalian miRNAs are naturally transported into some bacterial cells, where the miRNA forms a direct RNA–RNA interaction with a bacterial gene, resulting in changes in the microbiome with phenotypic effects in intestinal health. The potential impact of these findings is immense, since this suggests that intestinal miRNAs are an integral part of how the microbiome is regulated. Furthermore, since it is easy to synthesize different RNA sequences,
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The published studies described above have cultured specific bacteria with synthetic miRNAs in vitro to show that naked miRNAs can enter bacteria and uptake or activity is sequence specific. However, the natural miRNA uptake mechanism in the gut environment is not known, nor is it clear whether only specific bacteria internalize RNA.

In mammals, EVs are the most well-studied mechanism for miRNA stabilization and transfer between cells. There are three general classes of EVs, depending on their biogenesis: (i) exosomes (generally 50–100 nm in size), which are of endosomal origin and derive from multivesicular bodies; (ii) microvesicles (generally 100–1000 nm in size), which bud off the plasma membrane; and (iii) apoptotic bodies (generally 50–5000 nm in size), which are generated when cells undergo apoptosis (Colombo, Raposo and Théry 2014). Several key studies demonstrated that intestinal epithelial cells release exosomes, suggesting these are involved in antigen presentation (Van Niel et al. 2001, 2003). However, we now know that exosomes and other classes of EVs also contain RNAs, including miRNAs (Vestergaard and Miao 2020). Senominal work by Valadi et al. (2007) showed that miRNAs can be transported from one mast cell to another via exosomes. Microvesicles derived from tumours were shown to deliver miRNAs to CD4+ T cells and thereby increase the number of regulatory T cells, promoting tumour growth (Yin et al. 2014). EVs can also mediate communication between different species in the gut. For example, the GI nematode Heligmosomoides polygyrus releases miRNA-containing EVs that can be internalized by host mouse cells and modulate innate immunity during infection (Buck et al. 2014).

EVs therefore represent a potential transport mechanism for mammalian miRNAs in the gut, and there is some evidence that mammalian EVs interact with bacteria, with diverse functional outcomes. For example, EVs released from the respiratory epithelium during respiratory viral infection interact with Pseudomonas aeruginosa to provide nutrients and promote biofilms (Hendricks et al. 2021). EVs released from neutrophils or macrophages have also been shown to have antimicrobial activities (Timár et al. 2013; Garcia-Martínez et al. 2019). This work sets precedent that host EVs can impact bacteria and may directly transport host molecules into some species. Interestingly, although Liu et al. (2016) demonstrated that synthetic miRNAs can enter bacteria in vitro (without cofactors), they also showed that EVs containing miRNAs were present in human and mouse faecal samples. Yet the question of specificity remains: Do all bacteria internalize EVs? Are there ligand/receptor interactions that dictate which bacteria internalize these? Intriguingly, a recent paper showed that EVs from ginger plant can also transport miRNAs into Lactobacillus rhamnosus, and proposed that the lipid content of the EVs was important for uptake and potentially specificity (Teng et al. 2018). Given the ubiquity of EVs from organisms in the gut, as well as potential dietary sources of EVs, it will be important to test the breadth of cross-species transfer of miRNA by EVs and understand the underlying properties that define specificity. It remains possible that other types of gut inhabitants (e.g. fungi) could also internalize host miRNAs. There is little information of this type of interaction in mammalian systems but a burgeoning body of data suggesting plant–fungi RNA–RNA interactions (Cai et al. 2018).
The other more unexplored stabilization and transport mechanism for mammalian miRNAs is RNA-binding proteins. miRNAs have been reported to be delivered by high-density lipoprotein to recipient cells and to regulate the expression of target genes (Vickers et al. 2011). miRNAs are also found bound to Ago proteins in human plasma and serum, and this association is proposed to play a critical role in stabilizing miRNA (Arroyo et al. 2011; Turchinovich et al. 2011). Whether and how bacteria internalize host RNA–protein complexes remains unexplored.

**FUNCTIONAL INTEGRATION OF miRNAs IN BACTERIA**

In mammals, it is assumed that miRNAs only function through association with an Ago protein, where their role is to guide this protein to a nucleic acid target with sequence complementarity. From a mechanistic standpoint, therefore, it is very relevant to understand whether Ago moves with miRNAs into bacteria. Several reports have demonstrated that Ago2 is transported into mitochondria, which is evolutionarily related to bacteria, and miRNA–Ago2 complexes can enhance mitochondrial translation (Zhang et al. 2014). Currently, there are no data on whether Ago proteins can enter bacteria in the gut, either in a vesicle-free form or within EVs.

However, it also remains possible that internalized miRNAs could interact with bacterial RNA-binding proteins. It is well known that bacteria use their own small RNAs to regulate gene expression and the best characterized mechanism involves the bacterial chaperone protein Hfq (Soper et al. 2010) that recruits the endoribonuclease RNase E (Morita and Aiba 2011). Since several reports have shown that culturing bacteria with synthetic miRNA mimics in vitro directly impacts bacterial gene expression (Liu et al. 2019; Santos et al. 2020), it may be important to consider whether and how miRNAs function with bacterial protein partners (Layton et al. 2020).

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVE**

Given the many diverse functions and mechanisms by which RNA can mediate cellular and organismal biology, it is an exciting prospect to consider RNA functions also in more complex living systems. In plants, mobile small RNAs have been shown to be part of a bidirectional arms race with fungal parasites (Weiberg et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2018) and plant sRNAs can also regulate genes in bacterial phytopathogens (Singla-Rastogi et al. 2019). In honeybees, small RNAs are thought to mediate some of the caste-determining effects of royal jelly (Zhu et al. 2017) and are transmissible between organisms and across generations (Maori et al. 2019). As reviewed here, there are now several reports that mouse miRNAs can directly influence the growth rate of certain bacteria and thereby influence the composition and activity of the microbiome. These findings push forward the idea that RNA is central to cross-species interactions, and may implicate EVs as a ubiquitous enabler of RNA-based communication in the gut. Yet many questions remain regarding how the mammalian miRNA and bacterial target sites coevolve and what machinery is required (in each organism) for RNA communication. To date, only a handful of examples of host–bacteria RNA–RNA interaction exist, and these may be cherry-picked from a sea of possibilities. Ultimately, systematic analyses and mechanistic understanding are required to address the exciting questions of...
which organisms participate in RNA-mediated communication and how we can harness this mechanism in medicine.
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