Body Composition in Acromegaly According to Disease Activity – Performance of Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry and Multifrequency Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis
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Introduction: the present study aims to evaluate body composition and its relationship with hormonal control in acromegaly, also comparing the performance of Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), a more accessible method, with dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), technology frequently used in current studies.

Methods: we studied 28 patients (78% female) of whom 13 with active disease, mean age was 52.11 ± 12.53 years; 64% had high blood pressure (HBP) and 50% had type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Results: Although patients with controlled disease had lower serum GH (1.2 ± 1.68µg/L vs 6.61 ± 6.40µg/L, p=0.001) and IGF-1 (156.89 ± 54.53ng/mL and 503.31 ± 253.25ng/mL, p<0.001), they did not differ in body composition: percentage of fat mass: 36.13 ± 11.84% vs 37.73 ± 8.76%, p=0.691 for BIA and 37.10 ± 10.21% vs 37.89 ± 7.32%, p=0.819 for DXA; muscle mass parameters, BIA: FFMI 18.68 ± 2.38kg/m² vs 19.14 ± 1.59kg/m², p=0.560; SMI 10.17 ± 1.39kg/m² vs 10.53 ± 1.01kg/m², p=0.438; DXA: Baumgartner 7.99 ± 1.43kg/m² vs 8.02 ± 1.24kg/m², p=0.947, respectively for controlled and active disease. Patients with controlled acromegaly had lower fasting glucose (110.33 ± 55.48mg/dL vs 129.77 ± 40.17mg/dL, p=0.033) and were less likely to have persistent T2D (28.6 vs 71.4%, p=0.008) and HBP (38.9 vs 61.1%, p=0.049). There were strong positive correlations between BIA and DXA for fat mass (r=0.929, p<0.001) and muscle mass parameters: SMI X Baumgartner: r=0.890, p<0.001; and FFMI X Baumgartner: r=0.868, p<0.001.

Conclusion: our data showed similar results in body composition assessment by BIA and DXA, with good correlation between the methods, regardless of the hormonal status of acromegaly. Furthermore, in patients with adequate hormonal control, there was preservation of muscle mass and a lower prevalence of metabolic comorbidities, such as T2D and HBP.
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INTRODUCTION

Acromegaly is a rare and slowly progressive disease (1), characterized by excessive secretion of growth hormone (GH) and insulin-like growth factor type 1 (IGF-1) (2), usually caused by a pituitary tumor (1, 2). Due to the increased insulin resistance (3), patients with acromegaly have a high prevalence of metabolic complications such as type 2 diabetes (T2D), high blood pressure (HBP), obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, in addition to an increased risk of other benign and malignant neoplasms (4–9), leading to worsening in the quality of life and high mortality rate (10).

By obtaining hormonal control with normalization of serum IGF-1 for age and GH below 1µg/L (5, 11), the risk of mortality is equal to that of the general population (12). However, the long-term exposure to excess of these hormones causes structural changes, including the modulation of fat deposition and body composition (13–15).

The few data available on body composition in patients with acromegaly have yielded conflicting results (14–18). Some studies have shown that acromegaly patients with active disease have a lower percentage of visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue (14–18), with increased ectopic distribution of adipose tissue between muscle fibers (16), associated with greater lean mass (14, 15, 18). These findings can be reversed after hormonal control (13–15). However, despite the increase in adipose tissue with normalization of GH/IGF-1, improvements in fasting plasma glucose and hemoglobin A1c have been reported (14, 17).

The present study aims to evaluate body composition in patients with acromegaly, using both dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and multifrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA), which is portable, cheaper and widely available compared to DXA, and its relationship with hormonal control and metabolic parameters.

STUDY POPULATION AND METHODS

We studied 28 consecutive patients (22 women and 6 men), with a mean age of 52.11 ± 12.53 years and disease duration of 6.43 ± 4.68 years (1 to 20 years). The diagnosis of acromegaly was confirmed by an increase in serum IGF-1 for age, associated with serum GH nadir during an 75g-oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) above 1µg/L (or above 0.4µg/L for ultrasensitive assays), in the presence of characteristic clinical features (5).

Sample size calculations were not used due to the rarity of acromegaly. Therefore, all patients with acromegaly over 18 years old, either submitted or not to any treatment at Agamenon Magalhães Hospital, who agreed to participate in the study, were included. Patients with uncontrolled endocrine diseases (hyperthyroidism or hypothyroidism), active cancer (except basal cell carcinoma), pregnant women, acute diseases, and chronic renal failure were excluded. Patients with uncontrolled endocrine diseases (hyperthyroidism or hypothyroidism), active cancer (except basal cell carcinoma), pregnant women, and chronic renal failure were excluded.

After signing the informed consent, the patients answered a specific clinical questionnaire and underwent a complete clinical examination; after overnight fasting, blood was collected for laboratory tests and body composition analysis was performed (BIA and DXA), with no database information being used. Serum GH was measured by chemiluminescence using Access UniCel DXI 800 (Beckman Coulter, Rochester, Minnesota, USA), with inter- and intra-assay coefficients of variation < 6%. Serum IGF-1 was measured by chemiluminescence (Immulite 2000, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Germany), with intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation of 4% and 5.9%, respectively. Serum testosterone and estradiol were also measured using an auto-analyser (Architect, Abbott, USA).

Body composition analysis was performed by Multifrequency (8-electrode) Bioelectrical impedance (BIA) [Inbody120; Inbody Co., Ltd., South Korea] and total body densitometry (DXA) [Lunar Prodigy; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL]. The following parameters were used by BIA: fat-free mass index (FFMI: fat-free mass divided by squared height, in kg/m²) and skeletal muscle mass index (SMI: total skeletal muscle mass divided by squared height, in kg/m²); and by DXA: Baumgartner index (appendicular muscle mass divided by squared height, in kg/m²) and Foundation for the National Institutes of Health [FNIIH] (appendicular muscle mass divided by BMI). Body fat by both BIA and DXA was expressed as percent fat in relation to body weight. Pituitary imaging was performed by gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance (Philips Ingenia 1.5T, Philips Medical Systems LTDA, Netherlands).

The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics and Research Committee Institution (registration number: CAAE 17520919.0.0000.5197).

Statistical Analysis

Data were reported using absolute frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for numerical variables. Correlation between two numerical variables was evaluated by Spearman’s correlation coefficient and the corresponding Student’s t-test for the null correlation hypothesis. The comparison between two categories in relation to numerical variables was performed using one of the tests: t-Student with equal variances, t-Student with unequal variances or the Mann-Whitney test. Statistical significance was considered if p<0.05. Data were plotted in Excel spreadsheet and the software used to obtain statistical calculations was the IBM SPSS version 25.

RESULTS

Of the 28 patients, 23 underwent surgery, with 4 patients cured postoperatively. The remaining 24 patients were using adjuvant drug therapy and 4 underwent radiotherapy (3 remained with active disease). Patients were divided into two groups according to hormonal control (normal IGF-1 for age and GH<1.0 µg/L) (5, 11): active disease versus controlled (inactive) disease. Fifteen patients had hormonal control of GH/IGF-1 (controlled group).
and 13 patients had active disease. The general characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1. Sixty-four percent of patients had HBP, 14 had T2D, 11 patients had pre-diabetes and 75% had dyslipidemia.

Regarding the treatment of acromegaly, 24 patients used adjuvant medical therapy: 21 patients were on cabergoline (CAB) plus first-generation somatostatin receptor ligands (SRL) [15 were on Octreotide LAR, with a median dose of 30mg/month, and 6 were on Lanreotide Autogel, with a median dose of 120mg/month]. Three patients used exclusively CAB with a median dose of 2mg/week (0.5 to 3.5mg/week). All patients received at least one type of treatment (surgical, medical or radiotherapy) and none of the patients used pegvisomant or pasireotide. The groups did not differ in terms of diagnosis time: 6.2 ± 3.71 years vs 6.69 ± 5.75 years, p = 0.787, respectively for inactive and active groups. Patients with active disease have remained on adjuvant treatment since diagnosis, while the group with controlled disease (inactive) has had adequate hormonal levels for the last 2.8 years (4 patients were cured postoperatively and 11 have undergone adjuvant medical therapy).

Patients with active disease had significantly higher serum GH compared to inactive disease (6.61 ± 6.40µg/L vs 1.2 ± 1.68µg/L, p = 0.001) and IGF-1 concentrations (503.31 ± 253.25ng/mL vs 158.89 ± 54.53ng/mL, p < 0.001), larger pituitary tumors both at diagnosis (2.89 ± 1.23cm vs 1.94 ± 0.81cm, p = 0.021) and at study entry (1.92 ± 1.32cm vs 0.96 ± 0.49cm, p = 0.053) (Figure 1).

When stratifying body composition data according to disease activity, there were no significant differences between inactive and active disease, as follows: fat mass (36.13 ± 11.84% vs 37.73 ± 8.76%, p = 0.691 for BIA and 37.10 ± 10.21% vs 37.89 ± 7.32%, p = 0.819 for DXA), FFM (18.68 ± 2.38 kg/m² vs 19.14 ± 1.59 kg/m², p = 0.560, SM1 (10.17 ± 1.39 kg/m² vs 10.53 ± 1.01 kg/m², p = 0.438), Baumgartner (7.99 ± 1.43 kg/m² vs 8.02 ± 1.24 kg/m², p = 0.947) and FNIH (0.75 ± 0.18 vs 0.67 ± 0.15, p = 0.108), respectively (Table 2).

Patients with inactive disease had significant lower fasting plasma glucose (Figure 1), with no significant differences being observed for other metabolic parameters such as BMI, waist circumference, HbA1c, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, SBP and DBP (Table 1).

Sixty-one percent of patients with active disease had HBP while 38.9% of patients with inactive disease had persistent hypertension (p = 0.049). Similarly, 71.4% of patients with active disease had T2D while 28.6% of patients with inactive disease had persistent T2D (p = 0.008) (Figure 2).

There were strong positive correlations between BIA and DXA for fat mass (r = 0.929, p < 0.001) and muscle mass parameters: SMIBIA X Baumgartner-DXA: r = 0.890, p < 0.001; and FFMIBIA X Baumgartner-DXA: r = 0.868, p < 0.001 (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

In the present study we demonstrated preservation of muscle mass despite a fall in serum GH/IGF-1 concentrations with disease control in patients with acromegaly. Few studies have addressed changes in body composition in acromegaly and have prioritized the assessment of fat mass, mostly suggesting its increase after obtaining hormonal control (14, 15), differently from the result found in this study. The effects of GH are broad and also extensive to lean tissue, inducing muscle mass gain after replacement in patients who are deficient in this hormone (19); besides due to its lipolytic action and stimulation of protein synthesis, its therapeutic potential in the treatment of obesity has been debated (20).

Different technologies are available for quantification of fat and muscle mass (21–23). Although bioelectrical impedance technology has greatly improved during the last years, few studies have addressed these advances in patients with acromegaly (18), becoming evident that further studies are required to better understand the indications and limitations of these tools.

**Table 1** General characteristics of the study patients (Agamenon Magalhães Hospital, 2021).

| Clinical aspect          | Inactive (n = 15) | Active (n = 13) | Total (n = 28) | P    |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|------|
| Age (years)             | 59.80 ± 12.28    | 50.15 ± 13.02  | 52.11 ± 12.53| 0.453(1) |
| Time since diagnosis (years) | 6.2 ± 3.71        | 6.69 ± 5.75    | 6.43 ± 4.68  | 0.787(1) |
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 29.61 ± 5.79     | 31.05 ± 3.41   | 30.28 ± 4.80 | 0.438(1) |
| Abdominal circumference (cm) | 101.10 ± 17.26   | 98.85 ± 9.81   | 100.05 ± 14.09| 0.681(1) |
| SBP (mmHg)              | 132.00 ± 15.68   | 132.69 ± 22.04 | 132.32 ± 18.53| 0.786(2) |
| DBP (mmHg)              | 84.67 ± 10.60    | 83.48 ± 11.44  | 84.11 ± 10.81| 0.775(2) |
| GH (µL)                 | 1.20 ± 1.68      | 1.65 ± 6.40    | 3.70 ± 5.21  | 0.001(2)    |
| IGF-1 (ng/mL)           | 158.69 ± 54.53   | 503.31 ± 253.25| 318.80 ± 246.26| <0.001(2)  |
| FPG (mg/dL)             | 110.32 ± 55.48   | 129.77 ± 40.17 | 119.36 ± 49.10| 0.033(2)  |
| HbA1C (%)               | 6.29 ± 1.29      | 6.73 ± 1.31    | 8.50 ± 1.30  | 0.145(2)  |
| Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)| 196.00 ± 34.40   | 179.85 ± 46.39 | 188.50 ± 40.47| 0.301(1)  |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 49.87 ± 11.32    | 44.30 ± 10.93  | 47.75 ± 11.18| 0.290(1)  |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL) | 123.93 ± 32.55   | 104.38 ± 45.40 | 114.86 ± 39.55| 0.198(1)  |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)    | 116.07 ± 47.99   | 148.77 ± 69.27 | 131.25 ± 60.02| 0.154(2) |
| Current tumor size (cm)* | 0.96 ± 0.49     | 1.92 ± 1.32    | 1.42 ± 1.07  | 0.053(2)  |
| Initial tumor size (cm)** | 1.94 ± 0.81     | 2.89 ± 1.23    | 2.38 ± 1.11  | 0.021(1)  |

Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation. (1): T-student with equal variances; (2): Mann-Whitney test; (3) t-Student with unequal variances. *Current tumor refers to the tumor size at study entry. **Initial tumor refers to the tumor size at the diagnosis. BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GH, growth hormone; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA1C, glycated hemoglobin.
### TABLE 2 | Body composition analysis according to disease activity.

| Technology | Inactive (n = 15) | Active (n = 13) | P       |
|------------|------------------|----------------|---------|
| **BIA**    |                  |                |         |
| Fat mass (%) | 36.13 ± 11.84    | 37.73 ± 8.76   | 0.691(1) |
| FFMI (kg/m²) | 18.68 ± 2.38     | 19.14 ± 1.59   | 0.560(1) |
| SMI (kg/m²) | 10.17 ± 1.39     | 10.53 ± 1.01   | 0.438(1) |
| **DXA**    |                  |                |         |
| Fat mass (%) | 37.10 ± 10.21    | 37.89 ± 7.32   | 0.819(1) |
| Baumgartner (kg/m²) | 7.99 ± 1.43 | 8.02 ± 1.24 | 0.947(1) |
| FNIH       | 0.75 ± 0.18      | 0.67 ± 0.15    | 0.106(2) |

Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation. (1): t-Student with equal variances; (2): Mann-Whitney test. BIA, bioelectrical impedance; DXA, dual X-ray absorptiometry; FFMI, fat-free mass index in Kg/m²; SMI, skeletal muscle mass index in Kg/m²; Baumgartner, Baumgartner index in Kg/m²; FNIH, Foundation for the National Institutes of Health.

### FIGURE 1 | GH, IGF-1, FPG and initial tumor size according to disease activity. GH, growth hormone; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1; FPG, fasting plasma glucose.

### FIGURE 2 | Prevalence of diabetes and hypertension according to disease activity. HBP, high blood pressure; T2D, type 2 diabetes mellitus.
needed with this medical technology to demonstrate its effectiveness compared to other commonly used methods.

Correlation studies between BIA and DXA have already been performed in other conditions such as primary hyperparathyroidism (22) and following bariatric surgery (23). Similar to their findings, our study showed a strong correlation between DXA and BIA for muscle and fat mass parameters in patients with acromegaly. This may have clinical implications, not only because the structural changes resulting from acromegaly can make the anthropometric measures less accurate, but also because BIA is a portable and less expensive alternative, in addition to being more readily available than other methods such as DXA (15, 23).

Likewise, the literature on studies that assess lean mass in patients with acromegaly is scarce. Lin et al. (14), by using absolute lean mass in kilograms and covering 3 spectrums of disease, demonstrated non-significant long-term changes in patients with acromegaly, including those who developed GH deficiency after treatment: active acromegaly 64.2 ± 3.8kg vs acromegaly with GH sufficiency 54.1 ± 2.7kg vs acromegaly with GH deficiency 58.5 ± 2.7kg, p = 0.11). These findings may be related to the long period of exposure to excess GH, inducing persistent structural skeletal muscle changes, that led to a non-significant loss of lean mass after hormonal control of acromegaly (14). In the present study we evaluated several indices related to lean and muscle mass by employing two different two different methodologies, with additional assessment by BIA.

Kuker et al. (17) recruited 21 patients with active acromegaly and evaluated their body composition by MRI, before and after pegvisomant. Despite the reduction in lean mass 1 to 2 years after hormonal control, there was no change in skeletal muscle mass. These findings were attributed to the reduction of non-muscle lean tissue which persisted for up to 8 years, even in a situation of “functional GH deficiency” induced by pegvisomant (17).

Likewise, Freda et al. (21) recruited 27 patients with active acromegaly and 315 non-acromegaly control, with the aim of comparing skeletal muscle mass (SM) obtained from total body MRI and the estimated muscle mass (MM) from the DXA, to expand the study of body composition by means of other methods. The authors found a strong correlation between these medical technologies (r = 0.97; p < 0.0001), demonstrating good agreement between them. As a limitation, the authors did not make the same analysis according to hormonal control.

An important point to be highlighted in the present study is the small difference between time of diagnosis and time of hormonal control for inactive patients. This little gap may be responsible for such similar findings in body composition, not having enough time for clinically significant changes. Thus, further studies with long term medical monitoring are needed to assess this hypothesis.

Finally, the present study showed lower rates of hypertension and diabetes in acromegaly patients after hormonal control although still with a high residual risk, as found by others (24, 25). Furthermore, our patients with active disease also had larger pituitary tumors at diagnosis, which is indicative of disease severity, worse surgical outcome and poor long-term prognosis (12, 26, 27).

As a limitation of our study, the cross-sectional design did not allow prospective body composition comparisons before and after hormonal control in individual patient, as well a division by gender, due to a greater number of female patients in this study.
As strength, we identified a group of patients with a rare disease, from a single-centre experience, receiving multiple treatment modalities with different degrees of hormonal control, who performed two different technologies for quantification of body fat and muscle, expanding the study of body composition for this group.

CONCLUSION

Our data showed similar results in the assessment of body composition in acromegaly by BIA and DXA, regardless of the hormonal status. There was also a strong correlation between the methods, reinforcing the usefulness and reliability of BIA for this purpose. Furthermore, in patients with adequate hormonal control, there was preservation of muscle mass and a lower prevalence of metabolic comorbidities, such as T2D and HBP.
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