The application of non-invasive and invasive mechanical ventilation in the first episode of acute respiratory failure
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Abstract
Acute respiratory failure (RF) is a life-threatening syndrome. This study investigated the application of two major clinical strategies, non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NIV) and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV), in the first episode of acute RF. Data from the longitudinal health insurance database, which included 1,000,000 insured citizens, were used. The NIV group consisted of 1201 patients and the IMV group consisted of 16,072 patients. Chi-square test and t test were applied to determine the differences in categorical and continuous variables. Further analysis was performed by using univariate and multivariable logistic regression and Poisson regression. There was a significant increase of 733% in the number of NIV users from 2000 to 2012. NIV use was frequently observed in old-age persons (aOR 3.99, 95% CI 3.06–5.21 for those aged ≥ 80 years), women (aOR 1.33, 95% CI 1.18–1.50), patients admitted to a high-level hospital (aOR 1.95, 95% CI 1.63–2.34 for those admitted to a medical center), and patients with a higher Charlson comorbidity index (CCI, aOR 1.38–1.66 for those CCI ≥ 2). In addition, patients with chronic pulmonary disease, cancer, and congestive heart failure were predominant in NIV users and were significantly associated with NIV use. Overall, the use of NIV has markedly increased over the past few years. Persons of advanced age, women, patients admitted to a high-level hospital, and patients with multiple comorbidities were associated with more frequent NIV use. Chronic pulmonary disease, cancer, and congestive heart failure were most important comorbidities for NIV use.
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Introduction
Respiratory failure (RF) is a syndrome in which the respiratory system fails to perform one or both of its gas exchange functions, oxygenation and carbon dioxide elimination [1]. RF can be classified into either acute or chronic according to its clinical course. Acute RF is characterized by life-threatening derangements in arterial blood gases and acid–base
status, and the common etiologies include cardiogenic or noncardiogenic pulmonary edema, pneumonia, and pulmonary hemorrhage (hypoxemic) and drug overdose, neuromuscular disorders, chest wall abnormalities, and obstructive airway disorders (hypercapnic) [2].

The treatment for acute RF is complex and must be immediate. Severe hypoxemic and hypercapnic status must be corrected in time, and the underlying pathophysiologic process that led to acute RF must be identified and managed [3]. Mechanical ventilation (MV) is often performed in this critical situation to assist or replace spontaneous breathing to maintain and sustain life [4]. The invention and application of MV has been considered as one of the cornerstones of modern medicine. MV comprises the following two major clinical settings: non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NIV) and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) [5, 6].

NIV refers to the administration of ventilatory support without the use of an invasive artificial airway such as an endotracheal tube or a tracheostomy tube. The general indications of NIV for acute RF include acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema, asthma, community-acquired pneumonia, post-operative support, and post-extubation support [7, 8]. Other indications of NIV may include palliative care of life, immunocompromised patients, acute respiratory distress syndrome, chest trauma, chest wall and neuromuscular disorders, obesity hypoventilation syndrome, obstructive sleep apnea, and during bronchoscopy [9].

The role of NIV and IMV in acute RF is under vigorous and enthusiastic investigation. The general concept is that NIV is preserved for patients having any of the above-mentioned indications and having no contraindication including the need for airway protection, inability to fit an interface, high severity of illness, or an uncooperative patient [7]. However, cumulative evidence has shown that the use of NIV has markedly increased over the past few decades and that NIV has become an integral tool in the management of acute RF [10−15]. In the present study, we investigated the trend in the use of NIV and IMV, the baseline characteristics of NIV and IMV users, the associated discharge diagnoses of NIV and IMV users, and the mortality of NIV and IMV users during their first episode of acute RF in a population in Taiwan.

Materials and methods

Data source

The Taiwan National Health Insurance Administration (TNHIA) had established a single-payer National Health Insurance (NHI) program in 1995. Almost the entire Taiwanese population has to compulsorily participate in this program. The TNHIA established several National Health Insurance Research Databases (NHIRDs) from the NHI program. These databases included information of the insurant and all the medical records of each insurant. The coding of the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) was used for identification of diseases. The identification of insurant in the databases was re-coded according to the Personal Information Protection Act. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of China Medical University and Hospital (CUMH104-REC2-115).

Study population

Data from the longitudinal health insurance database, which included 1,000,000 insured citizens, were used for this study. We identified all adult patients with acute RF (ICD-9-CM 518.81) from 2000 to 2012. The date of the first acute RF diagnosis was defined as the index date. We excluded inadequate patients as follows: (1) with any diagnosis of RF or use of MV before the index date; (2) without the use of MV for the first episode of acute RF; (3) with overlapping use of NIV and IMV for the first episode of acute RF. All the enrolled patients were further categorized into the following two groups: the NIV group and the IMV group.

Variables of interest

The variables of interest in the study included age, gender, level of hospital, comorbidity, and Charlson comorbidity index (CCI). Individuals were categorized into the following four age groups: 20−49, 50−64, 65−79, and ≥ 80 years. Hospitals were categorized into the following three levels: medical center, regional hospital, and district hospital. First-level hospitals are medical centers affiliated to colleges of medicine, with high volume of medical personnel and much greater resources with at least 500 beds. Second-level hospitals are metropolitan hospitals with at least 250 beds, indicating regional hospitals. Third-level hospitals are local community hospitals with less health care personnel and resources, indicating district hospitals. The CCI was defined based on Charlson’s and Devo’s reports [16, 17]. The detailed comorbidities included diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, hemiplegia or paraplegia, dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, liver disease, peptic ulcer disease, renal disease, rheumatic disease, cancer, and human immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS). All comorbidities were identified from 1995 to the index date. In addition, we have analyzed the associated discharge diagnoses
accompanied by acute RF during the same hospitalization among NIV users. The outcomes of interest included mortality at discharge and mortality within 90 days.

**Statistical analysis**

The number of individuals and the probability of the use of NIV and IMV between 2000 and 2012 were calculated. Chi-square test was used to determine the differences in age group, gender, hospital level, comorbidity, and CCI between the NIV group and the IMV group. A t test was carried out to determine the differences in mean age between the two study groups. The odds ratios (ORs) and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for NIV and NIV-associated factors were estimated by logistic regression. The model 1 was adjusted for age group, gender, hospital level, and CCI and the model 2 was adjusted for age group, gender, hospital level, and comorbidities. Furthermore, we calculated the distribution of the most prevalent associated discharge diagnoses in the NIV group and compared with the IMV group by logistic regression. Finally, the rate ratios (RRs) and 95% CIs of mortality at discharge and within 90 days for the NIV group were compared with those for the IMV group by Poisson regression. The model was adjusted for age group, gender, hospital level, and CCI. Additionally, we performed another overall matched method for mortality comparison (propensity score matching based on age, gender, hospital level, and CCI). All analyses were performed using SAS software (SAS 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA). The level of significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \) of two-tailed tests.

**Results**

From the database of 1,000,000 population, 37,479 individuals were identified to have been diagnosed with acute RF during 2000–2012, of whom 17,273 individuals were enrolled in this study (Supplement data). The NIV group consisting of 1201 (7.0%) individuals had received NIV treatment, and the IMV group consisting of 16,072 (93.0%) individuals had received IMV treatment for the first episode of acute RF. We observed that the overall number of MV users increased by 40.6% from 2000 (\( N = 989 \)) to 2012 (\( N = 1391 \)) (Fig. 1). The number of NIV users significantly increased by 733% during this period (\( N = 27 \) in 2000 and \( N = 225 \) in 2012); meanwhile, the number of IMV users increased by only 21.2% (\( N = 962 \) in 2000 and \( N = 1166 \) in 2012). The probability of using NIV significantly increased from 2.7% (27/989) in the year 2000 to 16.2% (225/1391) in 2012 (Fig. 2).

Compared with IMV users, the NIV users included a greater number of older persons (84.6% vs. 69.0% for those aged \( \geq 65 \) years), a greater number of women (45.5% vs. 36.9%), a greater number of patients admitted to a medical center or a regional hospital (84.9% vs. 78.2%), a greater number of patients with a higher CCI (65.2% vs. 53.9% for those CCI \( \geq 2 \)), and a greater number of patients with chronic pulmonary disease (43.1% vs. 24.8%), cancer (25.8% vs. 14.0%), congestive heart failure (20.7% vs. 14.2%), and dementia (7.74% vs. 6.17%). On the other hand, the IMV users included a greater number of patients with renal disease (12.7% vs. 8.91%), diabetes mellitus (9.87% vs. 7.49), liver disease (8.89% vs. 5.25%), and peripheral vascular disease (3.30% vs. 2.25%) than the NIV users (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the association between NIV and NIV-associated factors. Compared with patients aged 20–49 years, NIV choice was found with increasing age, but a significant difference was observed only for patients aged \( \geq 65 \) years (aOR 1.90, 95% CI 1.45 – 2.49 at 65–79 years and aOR 3.99, 95% CI 3.06–5.21 at \( \geq 80 \) years.
in the model 1). Women had a 1.33-fold NIV choice compared to that of men (95% CI 1.18–1.50 in the model 1). Compared with patients admitted to a district hospital, those who were admitted to a regional hospital or a medical center had an about 1.9-fold NIV choice in the model 1. Compared with patients with CCI at 0−1, the highest NIV choice was at 2−3 (aOR 1.66, 95% CI 1.45−1.90) and followed by at > 5 (aOR 1.40, 95% CI 1.11−1.77) and at 4−5 (aOR 1.38, 95% CI 1.15−1.65). In the model 2, there were the same trend in age group, gender, and hospital level as in the model 1. Patients comorbid with a medical history of cancer (aOR 2.57, 95% CI 2.23−2.97), chronic pulmonary disease (aOR 2.24, 95% CI 1.97−2.55), and congestive heart failure (aOR 1.27, 95% CI 1.08−1.49) had a significantly higher NIV choice compared to that of individuals without those medical history. In contrast, patients comorbid with a medical history of renal disease (aOR 0.65, 95% CI 0.50−0.85) had a significantly lower NIV choice. In addition, we also presented the association between NIV and NIV-associated factors stratified by (presence or absence of) cancer history. The most notable finding was that the impact of increasing age on NIV choice was weakened (aOR 1, 0.92, 1.20, and 2.06 in model 1 and aOR 1, 0.91, 1.06, 1.62 in model 2) in patients with a history of cancer but strengthened (aOR 1, 1.37, 2.80, and 6.53 in model 1 and aOR 1, 1.32, 2.36, 5.11 in model 2) in patients with no cancer history (Supplementary data).

Table 3 shows the most frequent associated discharge diagnoses with acute RF in the NIV group (No. = 22, > 2%). We categorized these associated disorders into four groups: (1) possible cause of RF, (2) comorbidity, (3) co-existing acute illness, and (4) uncertain acute or chronic condition.

| Variables                      | NIV         | IMV         | p value |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
|                                | N=1201      | N=16,072    |         |
| Age group                      |             |             |         |
| 20−49                          | 64          | 5.33        | 2074    | 12.9    | <0.0001  |
| 50−64                          | 120         | 9.99        | 2914    | 18.1    |          |
| 65−79                          | 392         | 32.6        | 6231    | 38.8    |          |
| ≥ 80                           | 625         | 52.0        | 4853    | 30.2    |          |
| Mean (SD)a                     | 77.5        | (13.5)      | 70.1    | (15.6)  | <0.0001  |
| Gender                         |             |             |         |
| Women                          | 546         | 45.5        | 5924    | 36.9    |          |
| Men                            | 655         | 54.5        | 10,148  | 63.1    |          |
| Hospital level                 |             |             |         |
| District hospital              | 182         | 15.2        | 3508    | 21.8    | <0.0001  |
| Regional hospital              | 576         | 48.0        | 6870    | 42.8    |          |
| Medical center                 | 443         | 36.9        | 5694    | 35.4    |          |
| Comorbidity                    |             |             |         |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 90          | 7.49        | 1576    | 9.81    | 0.009    |
| Congestive heart failure       | 248         | 20.7        | 2284    | 14.2    | <0.0001  |
| Myocardial infarction          | 50          | 4.16        | 760     | 4.73    | 0.37     |
| Peripheral vascular disease    | 27          | 2.25        | 531     | 3.30    | 0.046    |
| Cerebrovascular disease        | 341         | 28.4        | 4547    | 28.3    | 0.94     |
| Hemiplegia or paraplegia       | 80          | 6.66        | 1040    | 6.47    | 0.80     |
| Dementia                       | 93          | 7.74        | 992     | 6.17    | 0.03     |
| Chronic pulmonary disease      | 518         | 43.1        | 3990    | 24.8    | <0.0001  |
| Liver disease                  | 63          | 5.25        | 1428    | 8.89    | <0.0001  |
| Peptic ulcer disease           | 303         | 25.2        | 3929    | 24.5    | 0.54     |
| Renal disease                  | 107         | 8.91        | 2047    | 12.7    | 0.0001   |
| Rheumatic disease              | 12          | 1.00        | 152     | 0.95    | 0.85     |
| Cancer                         | 310         | 25.8        | 2252    | 14.0    | <0.0001  |
| AIDS/HIV                       | 1           | 0.08        | 14      | 0.09    | 0.97     |
| Charlson comorbidity index     |             |             |         |
| 0−1                            | 418         | 34.8        | 7401    | 46.1    |         |
| 2−3                            | 493         | 41.1        | 5070    | 31.6    |         |
| 4−5                            | 191         | 15.9        | 2344    | 14.6    |         |
| > 5                            | 99          | 8.24        | 1257    | 7.82    |         |

AIDS acquired immune deficiency syndrome, HIV human immunodeficiency virus, IMV invasive mechanical ventilation, NIV non-invasive mechanical ventilation, SD standard deviation; Chi-square test and t test

Furthermore, we observed that patients in the NIV group had higher overall mortality rate at discharge (57.8% vs. 47.9%) and within 90 days (69.0% vs. 59.5%) than the patients in the IMV group, with the adjusted RRs being 1.15 (95% CI 1.07−1.25) and 1.11 (95% CI 1.04−1.20), respectively (upper part in Table 4). Stratified analysis for the presence of cancer history showed that patients with cancer in the NIV group had a higher mortality rate at discharge (77.4% vs. 62.6%) and within 90 days (89.7% vs.
76.2%) than patients with cancer in the IMV group. However, no difference was observed in the mortality rate of non-cancer patients who had received NIV and IMV treatment. To validate the results, we additionally performed propensity score matching based on age, gender, hospital level, and CCI for mortality comparison (N = 1188 in NIV group and N = 4752 in IMV group, lower part in Table 4). Similarly, we observed that patients in the NIV group had a significantly higher overall mortality rate at discharge than those in the IMV group. Patients with cancer in the NIV group had a higher mortality rate at discharge and within 90 days than patients with cancer in the IMV group. No difference was observed in the mortality rate of non-cancer patients who had received NIV and IMV treatment.
Discussion

This work is a large population-based study evaluating the application of NIV and IMV in the first episode of acute RF. We observed that the use of NIV has markedly increased over the past few years, which implies that NIV application has largely expanded. Characteristic analysis of the study population showed that the elderly, women, patients admitted to a high-level hospital, and patients with a high CCI were more predominant users of NIV than their counterparts. We also found that individuals who had received NIV treatment had a higher mortality rate than those who had received IMV treatment; however, there was no difference in the mortality rate of non-cancer individuals who had received NIV treatment in comparison with those who had received IMV treatment.

In the present study, we have provided valuable information about underlying comorbidities among patients with acute RF. We found that cancer, chronic pulmonary disease, and congestive heart failure were associated with a high NIV choice, whereas renal and liver diseases were associated with a low NIV choice. In addition, we have provided the most frequent associated discharge diagnoses among NIV users and the influence of these associated discharge diagnoses on the use of NIV. These results are consistent with the general concepts of NIV and IMV indications.

Cumulative evidence has shown that the use of NIV has markedly increased over the past few decades. In a recent review, NIV is suggested for application in broad conditions.

### Table 3  Top associated discharge diagnoses (> 2%) during the same hospitalization in the NIV group and compared with the IMV group

| Associated discharge diagnoses (ICD-9 code) | NIV | IMV | Crude | Adjusteda |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-----------|
|                                             | N   | %   | N     | %         | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
| Possible cause of RF                       |     |     |       |           |             |             |
| Pneumonia (482, 486)                       | 603 | 50.2| 6191  | 38.5      | 1.61 (1.43–1.81)*** 1.44 (1.27–1.62)*** |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (491, 496) | 264 | 22.0| 1459  | 9.08      | 2.82 (2.44–3.27)*** 2.73 (2.34–3.19)*** |
| Asthma (493)                               | 68  | 5.66| 406   | 2.53      | 2.32 (1.78–3.02)*** 2.72 (2.08–3.58)*** |
| Pneumonitis due to solids and liquids (507) | 58  | 4.83| 1097  | 6.83      | 0.69 (0.53–0.91)** 0.62 (0.47–0.82)** |
| Bronchiectasis (494)                       | 33  | 2.75| 77    | 0.48      | 5.87 (3.89–8.87)*** 5.75 (3.76–8.80)*** |
| Comorbidity                                |     |     |       |           |             |             |
| Malignancy (140–208)                       | 310 | 25.8| 2281  | 14.2      | 2.10 (1.84–2.41)*** 2.40 (2.08–2.77)*** |
| Diabetes mellitus (250)                    | 188 | 15.7| 2701  | 16.8      | 0.92 (0.78–1.08) 0.88 (0.75–1.04) |
| Hypertensive heart disease (401, 402)      | 142 | 11.8| 1413  | 8.79      | 1.39 (1.16–1.67)*** 1.36 (1.13–1.64)*** |
| Other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease (414) | 52  | 4.33| 686   | 4.27      | 1.02 (0.76–1.35) 0.90 (0.67–1.20) |
| Chronic kidney disease (585)               | 44  | 3.66| 822   | 5.11      | 0.71 (0.52–0.96)** 0.64 (0.46–0.87)** |
| Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis (571)  | 39  | 3.25| 859   | 5.34      | 0.59 (0.43–0.82)** 0.82 (0.59–1.15) |
| Co-existing acute illness                  |     |     |       |           |             |             |
| Septicemia (038)                           | 310 | 25.8| 4753  | 29.6      | 0.83 (0.73–0.95)** 0.76 (0.66–0.87)*** |
| Urinary tract infection (599.0)            | 173 | 14.4| 1838  | 11.4      | 1.30 (1.01–1.54)*** 1.06 (0.90–1.27) |
| Shock (785.5)                              | 158 | 13.2| 3266  | 20.3      | 0.59 (0.50–0.71)*** 0.56 (0.47–0.66)*** |
| Fluid, electrolyte, and acid–base imbalance (276) | 115 | 9.58| 972   | 6.05      | 1.65 (1.34–2.02)*** 1.66 (1.35–2.04)*** |
| Acute renal failure (584)                  | 90  | 7.49| 1519  | 9.45      | 0.78 (0.62–0.97)* 0.73 (0.58–0.91)** |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage (578)          | 47  | 3.91| 856   | 5.33      | 0.72 (0.54–0.98)* 0.71 (0.52–0.96)* |
| Acute myocardial infarction (410)          | 25  | 2.08| 715   | 4.45      | 0.46 (0.31–0.68)*** 0.40 (0.27–0.60)*** |
| Uncertain acute or chronic condition        |     |     |       |           |             |             |
| Heart failure (428)                        | 161 | 13.4| 1489  | 9.26      | 1.52 (1.27–1.81)*** 1.25 (1.05–1.50)* |
| Pleurisy (511)                             | 82  | 6.83| 598   | 3.72      | 1.90 (1.49–2.41)*** 1.66 (1.30–2.11)*** |
| Cardiac dysrhythmias (427)                 | 68  | 5.66| 1106  | 6.88      | 0.81 (0.63–1.05)* 0.75 (0.58–0.97)* |
| Occlusion of cerebral arteries (434)        | 43  | 3.58| 689   | 4.29      | 0.83 (0.61–1.14) 0.78 (0.57–1.07) |

ICD-9 international classification of diseases, ninth revision, IMV invasive mechanical ventilation, NIV non-invasive mechanical ventilation, RF respiratory failure

*p < 0.05

**p < 0.01

***p < 0.001

*Model was adjusted for age group, gender, hospital level, and Charlson comorbidity index.
in acute RF: (1) to prevent endotracheal intubation; (2) as an alternative to IMV; (3) as a tool for facilitating early weaning from IMV; or (4) as palliative care in do not resuscitate (DNR) patients [18]. The indications for NIV have also been extended, such as for immunocompromised patients and patients with chest trauma, post-operative lung resection, community-acquired pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and terminal stage diseases (palliative care) [7–9, 18]. The improvement of intensive monitoring and care, the application of integrated therapeutic tools, and expert integrated teams all contribute to the increased use of NIV.

Among the abovementioned indications for NIV, palliative use may contribute an important role for NIV application. In this study, the extremely elderly and patients with cancer comprised a very high proportion (52.0% of those aged ≥ 80 years and 25.8% of those with a history of cancer) of NIV users, and cancer occupied the second place among the associated discharge diagnoses in NIV users. This phenomenon may be influenced by the administration of palliative care for individuals with extremely old age and advanced stage of cancer [19–22]. Palliative NIV could be administered either to offer a chance for survival or to alleviate the symptoms of respiratory distress in terminally ill patients [19]. The use of NIV in patients with dyspnea in terminal states remains controversial, but it is reportedly effective in reducing dyspnea and in decreasing the dose of morphine in palliative use in patients with end-stage cancer [21]. One of the primary benefits of using NIV in this setting is the preservation of communication between the patient and the family. This technique is also widely used in patients with acute RF and in a DNR order, particularly for the extremely elderly [22]. Unfortunately, information on the number of persons who had DNR orders or received hospice care was absent from the database. The role or exact proportion of palliative NIV in all NIV users should be clarified in further research.

In this study, the overall mortality was higher in the NIV group than in the IMV group. In general, NIV is applied for patients with low disease severity; therefore, we believe that palliative NIV plays a vital role in clinical practice. We further conducted a stratified analysis of the presence of cancer history and found that patients with cancer who had received NIV treatment had a much higher mortality rate than patients who had received IMV treatment. By contrast, no difference was found in the mortality rates of patients without cancer between the NIV and IMV

### Table 4 Mortality at discharge and within 90 days in the NIV group and in the IMV group

| Without matching | At discharge | Crude RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) |
|------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Overall          | 1201        | 694 (57.8)       | 1.21 (1.12–1.30)***  |
| Cancer           | 310         | 240 (77.4)       | 1.24 (1.08–1.42)**   |
| Non-cancer       | 891         | 454 (51.0)       | 1.12 (1.02–1.23)*    |
| Within 90 days   | 1201        | 829 (69.0)       | 1.16 (1.08–1.25)***  |
| Cancer           | 310         | 278 (89.7)       | 1.18 (1.04–1.34)*    |
| Non-cancer       | 891         | 551 (61.8)       | 1.09 (0.99–1.19)     |

With matching*  
At discharge  
Overall  
Cancer  
Non-cancer  
Within 90 days  
Overall  
Cancer  
Non-cancer  

---

CI confidence intervals, IMV invasive mechanical ventilation, NIV non-invasive mechanical ventilation, RR rate ratio

*p < 0.05

**p < 0.01

***p < 0.001

*a Propensity score matching for age group, gender, hospital level, and Charlson comorbidity index

*b Model was adjusted for age group, gender, hospital level, and Charlson comorbidity index
groups. This finding supported our hypothesis because palliative NIV was applied in a considerable number of patients with and without cancer. However, the exact proportion of palliative NIV users in this study was unknown. The application of palliative NIV for non-cancer patients needs further investigation.

The strength of this study is that it is a nationwide population-based investigation evaluating the application of NIV and IMV in the first episode of acute RF. The NHI program in Taiwan covers more than 99.5% of the population. This universal coverage has reduced the barriers to healthcare access for all citizens, irrespective of the socioeconomic background and the residential location [23]. In the present study, a real-world scenario has been depicted, wherein all diagnoses and medical practices were directly made during a medical consultation.

Several limitations were considered in this study. First, we evaluated the role of NIV and IMV only in the first episode of acute RF. Some people may have repeated episodes of acute RF and would have received different opinions regarding treatment. Second, we excluded the overlapping use of NIV and IMV as we could not differentiate the time sequence of NIV and IMV use in the database. Clinically, IMV can be used after NIV for the management of disease progression, and NIV can be used after IMV for post-extubation support. Third, the cause of acute RF was unavailable in the database. We attempted to apply associated discharge diagnoses to overcome this limitation, but only minimal success was achieved. We could only categorize these associated diagnoses into four groups: possible cause of RF, comorbidity, co-existing acute illness, and uncertain acute or chronic condition. Fourth, disease severity such as the degree of hypoxemia and hypercapnia and the acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE) score were unavailable. The APACHE score is associated with patients’ prognosis and in-hospital mortality [24]. We could only collect CCI data to represent the overall degree of severity. Finally, we were unable to identify patients with DNR orders or who received palliative and hospice care. Therefore, the results of the present study must be interpreted with caution.

**Conclusion**

The use of NIV has markedly increased over the past few years. Persons of advanced age, women, patients admitted to a high-level hospital, and patients with multiple comorbidities were associated with more frequent NIV use. Chronic pulmonary disease, cancer and congestive heart failure were predominant in NIV users and were significantly associated with NIV use.
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