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Abstract To evaluate the feasibility of development of nuclear transmutation technology and an advanced nuclear system, precise nuclear data of neutron capture cross sections for long-lived fission products (LLFPs) and minor actinides (MAs) are indispensable. In this chapter, we present our research activities for the measurements of neutron capture cross sections for LLFPs and MAs.
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5.1 Introduction

Associated with the social acceptability of nuclear power reactors, it is desirable to solve the problems of nuclear waste management of the long-lived fission products (LLFPs) and minor actinides (MAs) existing in spent nuclear fuels. A method of nuclear transmutation seems to be one of the solutions to reduce the radiotoxicity of nuclear wastes. The transmutation method makes it possible to reduce both the size of a repository for packages of nuclear wastes and the storage risks for the long term. To evaluate the feasibility of development of the nuclear transmutation method, precise nuclear data of neutron capture cross sections for LLFPs and MAs are indispensable.

This chapter presents joint research activities by JAEA and universities for measurements of the neutron capture cross sections for LLFPs and MAs by activation and neutron time-of-flight (TOF) methods.

5.2 Present Situation of Data for LLFPs and MAs

Although accurate data of neutron capture cross sections are necessary to evaluate reaction rates and burn-up times, there are discrepancies among the reported data for the thermal neutron capture cross sections for LLFPs and MAs. As an example of MA, Fig. 5.1 shows the trend of the thermal neutron capture cross section data for $^{237}$Np: the discrepancies are about 10 %. Discrepancies between experimental and evaluated data still remain. As for LLFPs, e.g., $^{93}$Zr, Fig. 5.2 shows that there

![Fig. 5.1 Trend of thermal neutron capture cross section of $^{237}$Np from the 1950s](image-url)
are discrepancies between ENDF/B-VII.0 and JENDL-4.0 evaluations in the region of the thermal neutron energy. Thus, our concern was focused to remeasure the neutron capture cross sections of those LLFPs and MAs.

5.3 Measurement Activities by the Activation Method

Neutron capture cross sections were determined on the basis of Westcott’s convention [1] by an activation method. The results for LLFPs [2–23] are listed in Table 5.1, and for MAs [24–31] in Table 5.2, together with previously reported data. Here, the symbols $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$, $\sigma_0$, and $I_0$ denote the effective cross section, the thermal neutron capture cross section, and the resonance integral, respectively; $\sigma_0$ is the cross section at the neutron energy of 25.3 meV.

Nuclear waste sometimes contains a large amount of stable nuclei having the same atomic number as that of long-lived fission products. These stable nuclei absorb thermal neutrons during the neutron irradiation of the nuclear waste and affect the neutron economics; the reaction rate of the target nuclei is reduced. Moreover, some of these stable nuclei breed more radioactive nuclei by the neutron capture process. It is also necessary for transmutation study to accurately estimate these influences caused by stable nuclei involved in the FP targets. The cross sections of the stable nuclei, such as $^{127}$I [14] and $^{133}$Cs [20], were also measured; the results are shown in Table 5.1.
As seen in Table 5.1, the thermal cross section for $^{137}$Cs is about twice as large as the previous data reported by Stupegia [2]. As for $^{90}$Sr, its thermal cross section is

**Table 5.1** Results of thermal neutron capture cross sections and resonance integrals for long-lived fission products (LLFPs)

| Nuclide (half-life) | Reported data (author, year) | JAEA data |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| $^{137}$Cs (30 years) | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 0.11 \pm 0.03$ b (Stupegia 1960 [2]) | $\sigma_0 = 0.25 \pm 0.02$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 0.36 \pm 0.07$ b (1990, 1993, 2000 [3–5]) |           |
| $^{90}$Sr (29 years) | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 0.8 \pm 0.5$ b (Zeisel 1966 [6]) | $\sigma_0 = 10.1 \pm 1.3/4.2$ mb |
|                     | $I_0 \leq 0.16$ b (1994 [7]) | $\sigma_0 = 10.1 \pm 1.3$ mb |
|                     | $I_0 = 104 \pm 16$ mb (2001 [8]) |           |
| $^{99m}$Tc (2.1 \times 10^5 years) | $\sigma_0 = 20 \pm 2$ b | $\sigma_0 = 22.9 \pm 1.3$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 186 \pm 16$ b (Lucas 1977 [9]) | $I_0 = 398 \pm 38$ b (1995 [10]) |
| $^{129}$I (1.6 \times 10^7 years) | $\sigma_0 = 27 \pm 2$ b | $\sigma_0 = 30.3 \pm 1.2$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 36 \pm 4$ b (Eastwood 1958 [11]) | $I_0 = 33.8 \pm 1.4$ b (1996 [12]) |
| $^{129}$I (Stable) | $\sigma_0 = 4.7 \pm 0.2$ b | $\sigma_0 = 6.40 \pm 0.29$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 109 \pm 5$ b (Friedman 1983 [13]) | $I_0 = 162 \pm 8$ b (1999 [14]) |
| $^{133}$Cs (3 \times 10^6 years) | $\sigma_0 = 8.7 \pm 0.5$ b | $\sigma_0 = 8.3 \pm 0.3$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 61.7 \pm 2.3$ b (Baerg 1958 [15]) | $I_0 = 38.1 \pm 2.6$ b (1997 [16]) |
| $^{134}$Cs (2 years) | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 134 \pm 12$ b (Bayly 1958 [17]) | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 141 \pm 9$ b (1999 [18]) |
| $^{133}$Cs (Stable) | $\sigma_0 = 30.4 \pm 0.8$ b | $\sigma_0 = 29.0 \pm 1.0$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 461 \pm 25$ b (Baerg 1960 [19]) | $I_0 = 298 \pm 16$ b (1999 [20]) |
| $^{166m}$Ho (1.2 \times 10^3 years) | $\sigma_0 = 9.140 \pm 650$ b | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 3 \pm 1$ kb (2000 [22]) |
|                     | $I_0 = 1.140 \pm 90$ b (Masyanov 1993 [21]) | $\sigma_0 = 3.11 \pm 0.82$ kb |
|                     |                                                            | $I_0 = 10.0 \pm 2.7$ kb (2002 [23]) |

**Table 5.2** Results of thermal neutron capture cross sections and resonance integrals for minor actinides (MAs)

| Nuclide (half-life) | Reported data (author, year) | JAEA data |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| $^{237}$Np (2.14 \times 10^6 years) | $\sigma_0 = 158 \pm 3$ b | $\sigma_0 = 141.7 \pm 5.4$ b |
|                     | $I_0 = 652 \pm 24$ b (Kobayashi 1994 [24]) | $I_0 = 862 \pm 51$ b (2003 [25]) |
|                     |                                                            | $\sigma_0 = 169 \pm 6$ b (2006 [26]) |
| $^{238}$Np (2.1 days) | No data | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 479 \pm 24$ b (2004 [27]) |
| $^{241}$Am (432 years) | $\sigma_{0, g} = 768 \pm 58$ b | $\sigma_{0, g} = 628 \pm 22$ b |
|                     | $I_{0, g} = 1.694 \pm 146$ b (Shinohara 1997 [28]) | $I_{0, g} = 3.5 \pm 0.3$ kb (2007 [29]) |
| $^{241}$Am (7,370 years) | $\sigma_{0, m} = 80$ b | $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 174.0 \pm 5.3$ b (2006 [31]) |
|                     | $\sigma_{0, g} = 84.3$ b (Ice 1966 [30]) |           |

As seen in Table 5.1, the thermal cross section for $^{137}$Cs is about twice as large as the previous data reported by Stupegia [2]. As for $^{90}$Sr, its thermal cross section is
found to be much smaller than the data reported by Zeisel [6]. As seen in Table 5.2, the cross section of $^{238}\text{Np}$ is obtained for the first time. Thus, the joint research activities of the Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) and universities have measured the cross sections for important LLFPs and MAs by the activation method.

5.4 Measurement Activities at J-PARC/MLF/ANNRI

A new experimental apparatus called the accurate neutron nucleus reaction measurement instrument (ANNRI) has been constructed on the beam line no. 4 (BL04) of the MLF in the J-PARC. The ANNRI has two detector systems. One of them is a large Ge detector array, which consists of two cluster-Ge detectors, eight coaxial-shaped Ge detectors, and BGO Compton suppression detectors; the other is a large NaI(Tl) spectrometer (Fig. 5.3). The ANNRI has an advantage for neutron cross-section measurements because the MLF facility can provide the strongest neutron intensity in the world.

The neutron capture cross sections of $^{237}\text{Np}$ [32, 33], $^{241}\text{Am}$ [34], $^{244}\text{Cm}$ [35], $^{93}\text{Zr}$ [36], $^{99}\text{Tc}$ [37], and $^{107}\text{Pd}$ [38] have been measured relative to the $^{10}\text{B}(n, \alpha\gamma)$ standard cross section by the TOF method. Some highlights of results obtained in our research activities are shown in Fig. 5.4 for $^{237}\text{Np}$ and in Fig. 5.5 for $^{93}\text{Zr}$.

![Image of ANNRI](image)

**Fig. 5.3** A new experimental apparatus called the accurate neutron nucleus reaction measurement instrument (ANNRI). The cross-sectional view of ANNRI is shown in the upper panel, the spectrometer is on the left side, and the NaI(Tl) spectrometer is on the right side.
The results obtained at the ANNRI are good agreement with the data reported by Weston (Fig. 5.4). The $^{93}$Zr cross sections in Fig. 5.5 present results greatly different from the evaluated data in the thermal neutron energy region. One finds that the present results support the value of the thermal cross section reported in 2007 [39].

5.5 Summary

This chapter described the JAEA research activities for the measurement of neutron capture cross sections for LLFPs and MAs by activation and neutron time-of-flight (TOF) methods. We summarized our results of the thermal neutron capture cross
section and the resonance integral for some of the important LLFPs and MAs by the activation method.

Operation of a new experimental apparatus called the accurate neutron nucleus reaction measurement instrument (ANNRI) in the MLF at J-PARC has been started for neutron capture cross-section measurements of MAs and LLFPs. Some of the highlights of our results have been shown here.
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