TNF-Alpha Levels in Tears: A Novel Biomarker to Assess the Degree of Diabetic Retinopathy
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We assess the level of tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-alpha) in tear fluids and other serum parameters associated with diabetes in different degrees of diabetic retinopathy. We have performed a prospective, nonrandomized, observational study. Study population consisted of 16 healthy subjects (controls) and 32 type 2 diabetic patients: 16 affected by proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) and 16 with nonproliferative retinopathy (NDPR, background/preproliferative). Body mass index, urinary albumin, blood glucose, HbA1c, and tear levels of TNF-alpha were measured in all subjects. The value of glycaemia, microalbuminurea, and Body mass index in diabetic retinopathy groups were higher than those in control group ($P < 0.05$). Glycemia in NPDR: 6.6 mmol/L (range: 5.8–6.3); in PDR: 6.7 mmol/L (range: 6.1–7.2); in control: 5.7 mmol/L (range: 4.9–6.1); microalbuminurea in NPDR: 10.6 mg/L (range: 5.6–20); in PDR: 25.2 mg/L (range: 17–40); in control: 26 Kg/m$^2$ (range: 20.3–40); in PDR: 28 Kg/m$^2$ (range: 20.3–52); in control: 21 Kg/m$^2$ (range: 19–26). The TNF-alpha concentrations in tears increase with the severity of pathology and were lower in control group than in diabetic subjects. In the end, the level of TNF-alpha is highly correlated with severity of diabetic retinopathy and with nephropathy. Tear fluid collection may be a useful noninvasive method for the detection of proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

1. Introduction

Diabetes is a pathologic condition strongly associated with both microvascular (involving small vessels, such as capillaries) and macrovascular (involving large vessels, such as arteries and veins) complications. Microvascular complications include retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy; whereas macrovascular complications include cardiovascular diseases, strokes, and insufficiency in blood flow to legs. These complications are triggered by the same culprit: elevated blood glucose levels. In fact, chronic hyperglycaemia plays a major role in the beginning of diabetic vascular complications through many metabolic and structural derangements, that is, the production of advanced glycation end products, abnormal activation of signaling cascades, elevated production of reactive oxygen species, and abnormal stimulation of hemodynamic regulation systems. Thus, metabolic control in diabetes can delay the onset and progression of these complications [1, 2].

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a leading cause of blindness and visual disability. DR is a serious consequence of long-standing and poorly controlled diabetes and the most important cause of vision loss in the working-age population in developed countries [3]. One hundred and fifty million of people in the world are affected, and in 10–15 years the World Health Organization predicts that the number of affected people will be doubled [4]. DR is classified according to the presence or absence of abnormal new vessels as nonproliferative (background/preproliferative) retinopathy and proliferative retinopathy [5]. Different studies reported that
the prevalence of any retinopathy in diabetic patients is more than 30% [6–8]. Chronic hyperglycaemia drew metabolic and haemodynamic derangements, such as impaired blood flow regulation, increased vascular permeability, capillary basement membrane thickening, microaneurysm formation, microvascular cell death, and eventually widespread non-perfusion and ischemia of the inner retina [9], leading to dysfunctional responses in a range of cell types including neurons, glial cells, and supporting microvasculature [10]. While the precise pathogenesis of DR remains incompletely understood, inflammation and related processes are now thought to contribute to neuronal, glial, and microvascular lesions [10–12]. However, the role played by inflammation in DR has not yet been completely clarified [13, 14].

TNF-alpha is an inflammatory cytokine, strongly correlated with insulin resistance and chronic inflammation [15]. Recently, the molecular mechanisms of TNF-alpha function have been intensively investigated. Many studies demonstrated increased circulating levels of TNF-alpha both in animals [16, 17] and humans [18–24], as well as in the retina of diabetic rat [25]. Moreover, TNF-alpha also has a role in the development of insulin resistance; in fact, it affects insulin sensitivity by changing the phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1 and interferes with the insulin signaling cascade, thereby leading to insulin resistance [26, 27]. TNF-alpha is a potent mediator of the leukostasis induced by VEGF, interleukin-1 alpha, and platelet-activating factor in the retinal vasculature [28], and it also mediates the cell death/apoptosis of retinal neurons and vascular endothelial cells in DR [29]. These studies suggest that the retinal leukostasis and apoptosis mediated by TNF-alpha contribute to blood retinal barrier (BRB) breakdown in DR. Previous studies have shown that the level of soluble TNF receptors increases in the serum and vitreous of patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy [30, 31]. These findings provide a potential link between inflammation and insulin resistance, further confirmed by the evidence that TNF-alpha inhibition prevents the pathologic events related to the development of early DR, including BRB breakdown [16].

The present study was designed to verify the feasibility of using the tear TNF-alpha assay as a novel biomarker to assess the degree of eye involvement in type 2 diabetic patients with and without proliferative diabetic retinopathy.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1. Subjects and Methods. The study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol. All participating subjects gave their informed consent after a detailed description of the procedure used and of the aim work. Thirty-two diabetic patients and 16 healthy subjects were recruited for this study. Diagnosis of type 2 diabetes was carried out according to the guidelines of American Diabetes Association [32]. Diabetic retinopathy classification and grading was performed according to the ETDRS criteria [33]. The study was conducted at the Operative Unit of Ophthalmology, "Casa di Cura Villa Maria", University of Molise, Campobasso, Italy. Diabetic patients were divided into 2 groups: 16 patients presented nonproliferative retinopathy (NPDR, background/preproliferative retinopathy, 9:7, male:female; 54 years: range 49–67), whereas the remaining 16 patients were diagnosed as affected by proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR, 10:6, male:female; 59 years: range 52–73). Before study inclusion, each patient underwent a baseline eye check including best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measured at 2 m with a standard ETDRS chart, biomicroscopy and fundus examination, applanation tonometry, fluorescein angiography, and evaluation of foveal thickness by optical coherence tomography (Spectralis HRA + OCT, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). The control group consisted of 16 healthy, age-matched, randomly selected University of Molise employers.

Body height and weight and waist circumference were measured to calculate the Body Mass Index (BMI). The following formula was used: weight in kilograms/height in meters squared (kg/m²). The BMI results are classified as: (i) underweight (BMI < 18.5); (ii) healthy weight (BMI between 18.5 and 24.9); (iii) overweight (BMI between 25 and 30); (iv) obese (BMI > 30); (v) morbidly obese (BMI > 40). Blood glucose, HbA1c (NycoCard HbA1c test and NycoCard Reader, Axis-Shield PoC AS), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and protein urine (Micral-Test, Roche Diagnostics Germany) were performed to all subjects. The occurrence of nephropathy was assessed measuring the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), which was calculated according to the Cockcroft formula [34]. A value of 90 mL/min/1.73 m² or higher was considered normal.

Nonstimulated tears were collected from each eye from the inferior tear meniscus between the 6 o’clock and lateral canthus positions using a standard clinical Schirmer’s strip. Following collection, the strip was immediately transferred into a sterile labelled tube and frozen at −40°C until analysed. The wet portion of each Schirmer’s strip was then cut into smaller pieces and soaked in 50 μL/5 mm of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 3 h to elute tear proteins. TNF-alpha was measured using a 96-well plate coated with antibody specific for human TNF-alpha, according to manufacturer’s instructions (Human TNF-alpha ELISA Development Kit PEP-900-K25, Li StarFish S.r.l.Cernusco S/N, Milan, Italy).

Data were recorded in an Excel 2007 spreadsheet and analyzed by SPSS statistical software. For analysis of statistical differences, we used the Wilcoxon signed-rank test to evaluate the intragroup significance between variables. Conversely, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare the control and DR groups. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

BMI, blood glucose, HbA1c, diabetes duration, BUN, protein urine, and GRF data are showed in Table I. In diabetic patients, blood glucose levels were slightly higher than those recorded in the control group: NPDR: 6.6 mmol/L (range: 5.8–6.3); PDR: 6.7 mmol/L (range: 6.1–7.2); controls: 5.7 mmol/L (range: 4.9–6.1); P = not significant. The blood glucose difference between diabetic patients with and without proliferative retinopathy was also not significant. The HbA1c
Table 1: Demographic and laboratory results of cohort.

|                      | Controls Healthy subjects | Cases NPDR | Cases Diabetic patient | P value |
|----------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------|
| No. of subjects      | 16                        | 16         | 16                     | N.S.²   |
| Age, yrs (range)     | 53 (47–58)                | 54 (49–67) | 59 (52–73)             | N.S.²   |
| Gender (M/F)         | (8/8)                     | (9/7)      | (10/6)                 | N.S.²   |
| BMI (Kg/m²)          | 21 (19–26)                | 26 (20.3–40) | 28 (20.3–52) | <0.05² |
| Glucose (mmol/L)     | 5.7 (4.9–6.1)             | 6.6 (5.8–6.3) | 6.7 (6.1–7.2) | N.S.²   |
| HbA₁c (%)            | 5.6 (5.3–6.1)             | 7.2 (6.5–11.5) | 7.8 (7.2–12.5) | <0.05² |
| DM duration (yrs)    | Not available             | 14.8 ± 8.7 | 16.5 ± 11.3           | <0.01²  |
| BUN (mg/dL)          | 15 (6–20)                 | 30 (24–48) | 44 (26–51)            | <0.01²  |
| Protein urine (mg/L) | 5.3 (2.6–10)              | 10.6 (5.6–20) | 25.2 (17–40) | <0.01²  |
| GFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 95 (73–91)                | 82 (46–89) | 64 (56–78)            | <0.001² |

BMI: Body Mass Index; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; BUN: Blood Urea Nitrogen; GFR: Glomerular Filtration Rate.

²Mann-Whitney U-test: diabetic patients versus controls; *Wilcoxon signed-rank test: patients with PDR versus patients with NPDR; NS: not significant.

Percentage was significantly higher in the groups of diabetics than in controls: NPDR: 7.2% (range: 6.5–11.5); PDR: 7.8% (range: 7.2–12.5); controls: 5.6% (range: 5.3–6.1); P < 0.05. However, there were no significant intragroup differences (NPDR versus PDR patients, P = not significant). Diabetic subjects exhibited levels of protein urine significantly higher (P < 0.001) than controls: NPDR: 10.6 mg/L (range: 5.6–20); PDR: 25.2 mg/L (range: 17–40); controls: 5.3 mg/L (range: 2.6–10). The intra-group difference (PDR versus NDPR) was also highly significant (P < 0.001). The values of BMI between diabetic and nondiabetic subjects were significantly different: NPDR: 26 Kg/m² (range: 20.3–40); PDR: 28 Kg/m² (range 20.3–52); control: 21 Kg/m² (range 19–26); P < 0.05. Contrarily, the difference between diabetic patients with and without proliferative retinopathy was not significant. Duration of diabetes was higher in patients with PDR (P < 0.05). Lastly, diabetic patients showed a significant reduction (P < 0.001) of GFR: from 95 mL/min/1.73 m² (73–91) of controls to 82 mL/min/1.73 m² (46–89) and 64 mL/min/1.73 m² (56–78) of NPDR and PDR patients, respectively. The intra-group difference (PDR versus NDPR) was also highly significant (P < 0.001). Tears TNF-alpha levels were lower in control than those in PDR group (P < 0.05) and the TNF-alpha concentration significantly increased along with the severity of pathology: control: 1.9 Kg/mL (range 1.1–6.9); NPDR: 2.8 pg/mL (range: 1.2–5.5); PDR: 13.5 pg/mL (range 9.2–21.7). (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report in which TNF-alpha in tears from patients with type 2 diabetes has been measured. The levels of TNF-alpha were associated with
the degree of DR, being lower in NPDR and higher in PDR. These findings of ours tally with those reported by previous investigators which showed that proangiogenic cytokines are more highly represented than antiangiogenic cytokines in the tears of type 2 diabetic patients with retinopathy [35, 36].

Several studies have shown the association between TNF-alpha and diabetic vascular damage [16, 37]. Kuo et al. demonstrated that the levels of soluble TNF 1 and 2 receptors are highly correlated with the severity of DR, suggesting a role of TNF-alpha in the development of DR [38]. Also other authors have found that the inflammatory marker TNF-alpha was associated with the severity of DR in type 1 diabetic participant with kidney disease [14]. Limb et al. demonstrated that the type 1 diabetic patients with PDR exhibited significantly higher serum levels of soluble TNF-receptors 1 and 2 than those recorded in patients without retinopathy or in healthy individuals. Our results strengthen the important role of TNF-alpha in DR. Furthermore, another important finding is the strong association between nephropathy and retinopathy; in fact, in our patients a significant correlation among HbA1c, body mass index (kg/m²), glomerular filtration rate (GFR), albuminuria, blood urea nitrogen, and levels of TNF-alpha in the tears has been found, further confirming that TNF-alpha tear levels may be considered a good predictor of microvascular complications. TNF-alpha is a central regulator of inflammation, and TNF-alpha antagonists may be effective in treating inflammatory disorders in which TNF-alpha plays an important pathogenetic role. Strong correlations between enhanced inflammatory biomarkers, including TNF-alpha, and the occurrence of diabetic retinopathy have been reported through extensive studies by many researchers [39, 40]. In the retina, it was shown that diabetes activates induction of proinflammatory mediators such as monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, interleukin-6, intercellular adhesion molecule-1, inducible nitric oxide synthase, matrix metalloproteinase-9, and TNF-alpha [40]. High glucose and advanced glycation end products stimulate monocytes/macrophages and their release of sTNF-alpha [41]. Serum concentrations of sTNF-alpha is increased in type 1/type 2 diabetic animals and diabetic patients with microangiopathy. Patients with proliferative DR show significantly higher serum TNF-alpha compared with that of nonproliferative DR patients [39]. TNF-alpha is elevated approximately 3-fold, and the receptors for TNF-alpha were increased by 40% in poor glycemic control retina compared with normal rat retina [42]. Altogether these findings indicate a role for TNF-alpha in DR pathogenesis and therapy and as a potential diagnostic target.

Early detection and treatment of diabetes can decrease the risk of developing its complications. Randomized trials have established the benefits of interventions to prevent or delay diabetes and reduce diabetes-related complications [43]. Screening for undiagnosed diabetes is generally considered to be safe. Most screening procedures begin with a risk assessment that relies on routinely collected demographic and clinical examination information followed by blood testing in high-risk individuals. There are also well-established and accepted diagnostic criteria for making a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes [44]. The American Diabetes Association has recommended that nondiabetic individuals ≥45 years of age be screened for diabetes at least every 3 years. Despite frequent screening and appropriate targeting of high-risk patients, followup of patients with abnormal results is uncommon and the yield of screening is low [45]. It is mandatory to diagnose DR as soon as possible, since early detection and timely treatment can prevent vision loss. Shortening the diagnostic delay, it is possible to act against the diabetes complications. It has been shown that an intensive treatment designed to keep glucose levels close to normal reduces the risk of developing long term complications, including retinopathy, and slow the progression of preexisting retinopathy in diabetic patients [46]. In this way we can reduce the number of diabetes patients with visual impairment [47] and the cost of diabetes related blindness that requires approximately $500 million/annually in USA [48]. For this reason there is a great interest to find biomarkers suitable for the prediction of vision loss as soon as possible [13, 14, 30, 31, 49].

The proposed method does not replace eye exams by an ophthalmologist, but it could be seen as a “filter” to exclude diabetic patients without diabetic retinopathy. Furthermore, increasing rate of patients with diabetes soon will outpace the supply of eye care providers, and then some communities have poor or even no access to ophthalmologic care. It was seen that the tear levels of TNF-alpha increases in various inflammatory pathologies of the ocular surface (such as Sjogren’s syndrome, rosacea, and dry eye) [50–52]. Once these diseases are ruled out, TNF-alpha can be used as a biomarker for DR screening. Thus, the medical costs could be reduced, and from a patient’s perspective it could be favourable because the required pupil dilation may be uncomfortable. Last but not least, in this way all diabetic patients could be subjected to a very easy and noninvasive screening procedure to assess the presence of DR. In conclusion, data from this study indicate that TNF-alpha levels are associated with DR after adjusting for potential confounders. Level of TNF-alpha may be correlated with clinical disease severity and with predictors of kidney microvascular damage, BMI, and HbA1c. This finding needs to be replicated in prospective studies performed on a higher number of subjects.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

References

[1] D. Nunan, K. R. Mahtani, N. Roberts, and C. Heneghan, “Physical activity for the prevention and treatment of major chronic disease: an overview of systematic reviews,” Systematic Reviews, vol. 2, p. 56, 2013.
[2] K. M. Madden, “Evidence for the benefit of exercise therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes,” Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity, vol. 6, pp. 233–239, 2013.
[3] M. Brownlee, “Biochemistry and molecular cell biology of diabetic complications,” Nature, vol. 414, no. 6865, pp. 813–820, 2001.
[4] H. King, R. E. Aubert, and W. H. Herman, “Global burden of diabetes, 1995–2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections,” Diabetes Care, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1414–1431, 1998.

[5] “Classification of diabetic retinopathy from fluorescein angiograms. ETDRS report number 11. Early treatment diabetic retinopathy study Research Group,” Ophthalmology, vol. 98, supplement 5, pp. 807–822, 1991.

[6] M. Henricsson, M. Tyberg, A. Heijl, and L. Janson, “Incidence of blindness and visual impairment in diabetic patients participating in an ophthalmological control and screening programme,” Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica, vol. 74, no. 6, pp. 533–538, 1996.

[7] M. Henricsson, A. Nilsson, L. Groop, A. Heijl, and L. Janson, “Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in relation to age at onset of the diabetes, treatment, duration and glycemic control,” Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica, vol. 74, no. 6, pp. 523–527, 1996.

[8] M. N. Hove, J. K. Kristensen, T. Lauritzen, and T. Bek, “The prevalence of retinopathy in an unselected population of type 2 diabetes patients from Arhus County, Denmark,” Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 443–448, 2004.

[9] T. M. Curtis, T. A. Gardiner, and A. W. Stitt, “Microvascular lesions of diabetic retinopathy: clues towards understanding pathogenesis?” Eye, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 1496–1508, 2009.

[10] H. Zong, M. Ward, A. Madden et al., “Hyperglycemia-induced pro-inflammatory responses by retinal Müller glia are regulated by the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE),” Diabetologia, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 2656–2666, 2010.

[11] R. B. Goldberg, “Cytokine and cytokine-like inflammation markers, endothelial dysfunction, and imbalanced coagulation in development of diabetes and its complications,” Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 94, no. 9, pp. 3171–3182, 2009.

[12] N. Cheung, P. Mitchell, and T. Y. Wong, “Diabetic retinopathy,” The Lancet, vol. 376, no. 9735, pp. 124–136, 2010.

[13] T. T. Nguyen, E. Alibrahim, F. M. A. Islam et al., “Inflammatory, hemostatic, and other novel biomarkers for diabetic retinopathy: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis,” Diabetes Care, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1704–1709, 2009.

[14] B. E. K. Klein, M. D. Knudtson, M. Y. Tsai, and R. Klein, “The relation of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction to the prevalence and progression of diabetic retinopathy: Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy,” Archives of Ophthalmology, vol. 127, no. 9, pp. 1175–1182, 2009.

[15] G. S. Hotamisligil, A. Budavari, D. Murray, and B. M. Spiegelman, “Reduced tyrosine kinase activity of the insulin receptor in obesity-dietes. Central role of tumor necrosis factor-α,” Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 1543–1549, 1994.

[16] A. M. Jousset, V. Poulati, N. Mitsiades et al., “Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs prevent early diabetic retinopathy via TNF-alpha suppression,” The FASEB Journal, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 438–440, 2002.

[17] S. X. Zhang, J. J. Wang, G. Gao, C. Shao, R. Mott, and J.-X. Ma, “Pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF) is an endogenous antiinflammatory factor,” The FASEB Journal, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 323–325, 2006.

[18] D. E. Moller, “Potential role of TNF-α in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes,” Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 212–217, 2000.

[19] W. A. Franks, G. A. Limb, M. R. Stanford et al., “Cytokines in human intraocular inflammation,” Current Eye Research, vol. 11, pp. 187–191, 1992.

[20] G. A. Limb, A. H. Chignell, W. Green, F. LeRoy, and D. C. Dumonde, “Distribution of TNFα and its reactive vascular adhesion molecules in fibrovascular membranes of proliferative diabetic retinopathy,” British Journal of Ophthalmology, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 168–173, 1996.

[21] J. Spranger, R. Meyer-Schwick ethanol, M. Klein, H. Schatz, and A. Pfeiffer, “TNF-alpha level in vitreous. Increase in neovascular eye disease and proliferative diabetic retinopathy,” Medizinische Klinik, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 134–137, 1995.

[22] M. Lechleitner, T. Koch, M. Herold, A. Dzien, and F. Hopichler, “Tumour necrosis factor-alpha plasma level in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and its association with glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factors,” Journal of Internal Medicine, vol. 248, no. 1, pp. 67–76, 2000.

[23] T. Yuuki, T. Kanda, Y. Kimura et al., “Inflammatory cytokines in vitreous fluid and serum of patients with diabetic vitreoretinopathy,” Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 257–259, 2001.

[24] G. A. Limb, L. Webster, H. Soomro, S. Janikoun, and J. Shilling, “Platelet expression of tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), TNF receptors and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) in patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy,” Clinical and Experimental Immunology, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 213–218, 1999.

[25] G. Mohammad, M. M. Siddiqui, M. I. Nawaz, and A. M. Abu El-Asrar, “The ERK1/2 Inhibitor U0126 Attenuates Diabetes-Induced Upregulation of MMP-9 and Biomarkers of Inflammation in the Retina,” Journal of Diabetes Research, vol. 2013, Article ID 658548, 9 pages, 2013.

[26] G. S. Hotamisligil, “Inflammation and metabolic disorders,” Nature, vol. 444, no. 7121, pp. 860–867, 2006.

[27] G. S. Hotamisligil, N. S. Shargill, and B. M. Spiegelman, “Adipose expression of tumor necrosis factor-α: direct role in obesity-linked insulin resistance,” Science, vol. 259, no. 5091, pp. 87–91, 1993.

[28] S. A. Vinores, W.-H. Xiao, J. Shen, and P. A. Campochiaro, “TNF-α is critical for ischemia-induced leukostasis, but not retinal neovascularization nor VEGF-induced leakage,” Journal of Neuroimmunology, vol. 182, no. 1-2, pp. 73–79, 2007.

[29] A. M. Jousset, S. Doehmen, M. L. Le et al., “TNF-α mediates apoptosis plays an important role in the development of early diabetic retinopathy and long-term histopathological alterations,” Molecular Vision, vol. 15, pp. 1418–1428, 2009.

[30] G. A. Limb, R. D. Hollifield, L. Webster, D. G. Charteris, and A. H. Chignell, “Soluble TNF receptors in vitreoretinal proliferative disease,” Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1586–1591, 2001.

[31] G. A. Limb, H. Soomro, S. Janikoun, R. D. Hollifield, and J. Shilling, “Evidence for control of tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) activity by TNF receptors in patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy,” Clinical and Experimental Immunology, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 409–414, 1999.

[32] Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus,” Diabetes Care, vol. 35, supplement 1, pp. S64–S71, 2012.

[33] Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic color fundus photographs—an extension of the modified Airlie House classification. ETDRS report number 10. Early treatment diabetic retinopathy study research group,” Ophthalmology, vol. 98, supplement 5, pp. 786–806, 1991.

[34] D. W. Cockcroft and M. H. Gault, “Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine,” Nephron, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 31–41, 1976.
Mediators of Inflammation

35. B. S. Khyshituev, M. V. Maksimenia, and S. A. Kozlov, “Diagnostic value of tear tests in diabetic retinopathy (a literature review),” *Klinicheskaia Laboratornaiia Diagnostika*, no. 3, pp. 34–36, 2006.

36. J. Liu, B. Shi, S. He, X. Yao, M. D. P. Willcox, and Z. Zhao, “Changes to tear cytokines of type 2 diabetic patients with or without retinopathy,” *Molecular Vision*, vol. 16, pp. 2931–2938, 2010.

37. Y. Behl, P. Krothapalli, T. Desta, A. DiPiazza, S. Roy, and D. T. Graves, “Diabetes-enhanced tumor necrosis factor-α production promotes apoptosis and the loss of retinal microvascular cells in type 1 and type 2 models of diabetic retinopathy,” *The American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 172, no. 5, pp. 1411–1418, 2008.

38. J. Z. Kuo, X. Guo, R. Klein et al., “Systemic soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors 1 and 2 are associated with severity of diabetic retinopathy in Hispanics,” *Ophthalmology*, vol. 119, no. 5, pp. 1041–1046, 2012.

39. M. S. Roy, M. N. Janal, J. Crosby, and R. Donnelly, “Inflammatory biomarkers and progression of diabetic retinopathy in African Americans with type 1 diabetes,” *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 5471–5480, 2013.

40. R. H. Muni, R. P. Kohly, Q. Lee et al., “Prospective study of inflammatory biomarkers and risk of diabetic retinopathy in the diabetes control and complications trial,” *JAMA Ophthalmology*, vol. 131, no. 4, pp. 514–521, 2013.

41. M. R. Sartippour and G. Renier, “Upregulation of macrophage lipoprotein lipase in patients with type 2 diabetes: role of peripheral factors,” *Diabetes*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 597–602, 2000.

42. G. N. Costa, J. Vindeirinho, C. Cavadas, A. F. Ambrósio, and P. E. Santos, “Contribution of TNF receptor 1 to retinal neural cell death induced by elevated glucose,” *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 113–123, 2012.

43. S. Colagiuri and D. Davies, “The value of early detection of type 2 diabetes,” *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 95–99, 2009.

44. W. H. Organization, “Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycemia,” 2006.

45. M. W. Ealovega, B. P. Tabaei, M. Brandle, R. Burke, and W. H. Herman, “Opportunistic screening for diabetes in routine clinical practice,” *Diabetes Care*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 9–12, 2004.

46. D. J. Newman, M. B. Mattock, A. B. Dawny et al., “Systematic review on urine albumin testing for early detection of diabetic complications,” *Health Technology Assessment*, vol. 9, no. 30, pp. 9–12, 2005.

47. E. Olafsdottir, D. K. Andersson, I. Dedorsson, and E. Stefansson, “The prevalence of retinopathy in subjects with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus,” *Acta Ophthalmol*, 2013.

48. X. Zhang, J. B. Saaddine, C.-F. Chou et al., “Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the United States, 2005–2008,” *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 304, no. 6, pp. 649–656, 2010.

49. A. D. Meleth, E. Agrón, C.-C. Chan et al., “Serum inflammatory markers in diabetic retinopathy,” *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 4295–4301, 2005.

50. K. Barton, D. C. Monroy, A. Nava, and S. C. Pflogfelder, “Inflammatory cytokines in the tears of patients with ocular rosacea,” *Ophthalmology*, vol. 104, no. 11, pp. 1868–1874, 1997.

51. T. Oshida, M. Iwata, T. Sakimoto, and M. Sawa, “Tumor necrosis factor-alpha in tears of patients with Sjogren syndrome,” *Nippon Ganka Gakkai Zasshi*, vol. 108, no. 5, pp. 297–301, 2004.

52. K.-C. Yoon, I.-Y. Jeong, Y.-G. Park, and S.-Y. Yang, “Interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α levels in tears of patients with dry eye syndrome,” *Cornea*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 431–437, 2007.