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ABSTRACT

In preparation for an experimental study of magnetorotational instability (MRI) in liquid metal, we present nonideal axisymmetric magnetohydrodynamic simulations of the nonlinear evolution of MRI in the experimental geometry. The results adopt fully insulating boundary conditions. No-slip conditions are imposed at all boundaries. A clear linear phase is observed with reduced linear growth rate. MRI results in an inflowing “jet” near the midplane and enhances the angular momentum transport at saturation.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — instabilities — methods: numerical — MHD

1. INTRODUCTION

The magnetorotational instability (MRI) is probably the main cause of turbulence and accretion in sufficiently ionized astrophysical disks (Balbus & Hawley 1998), which has inspired searches for MRI in the Taylor-Couette flow. Experiments on the magnetized Couette flow aiming to observe MRI have been performed (Sisan et al. 2004; Stefani et al. 2006). Those experiments have demonstrated MRI-like modes, but have not yet demonstrated background flows that approximate Keplerian disks. Sisan et al. (2004) observed nonaxisymmetric modes and enhanced transport in a spherical experiment, but with a hydrodynamically linearly unstable background flow. Stefani et al. (2006, 2007) and Rüdiger et al. (2006) demonstrated unsteady behavior in a very low magnetic Reynolds number experiment with a strong toroidal as well as axial field; the relationship between the experimental results and linear instabilities is still controversial (Liu et al. 2006a, 2007, 2008; Priede et al. 2007; Rüdiger & Hollerbach 2007; Szklarski 2007), but if these are indeed the linear modes, then the corresponding ratio of toroidal to axial field in a thin (thickness $\Delta h \ll r$) disk would have to be $\sim r/\Delta h$, where $r$ is the radius of the accretion disk. Some other experiments have been proposed or are still under construction (Noguchi et al. 2002; Velikhov et al. 2006; Ji et al. 2001; Goodman & Ji 2002).

Standard MRI modes will not grow unless both the rotation period and the Alfvén crossing time are shorter than the timescale for magnetic diffusion. This requires that both the magnetic Reynolds number $Re_m = \Omega r_1 (r_2 - r_1)/\eta_{Ga}$ (see definitions of $\Omega$, $\eta_{Ga}$, $r_1$ and $r_2$ in Fig. 1 and their values in Table 1) and the Lundquist number $S = V_A (r_2 - r_1)/\eta_{Ga}$ be $\gtrsim 1$, where $V_A = B_\infty / (4\pi \rho_{Ga})^{1/2}$ is the Alfvén speed, $\rho_{Ga}$ is the density of liquid Gallium, and $B_\infty$ is the background magnetic field parallel to the angular velocity. Because the magnetic Prandtl number $Pr_m = \nu/\eta \sim 10^{-5}$ to $10^{-6}$ in liquid metals, where $\nu_{Ga}$ is the kinematic viscosity of liquid gallium, $Re \gtrsim 10^6$ and fields of several kilogauss for standard MRI must be achieved in typical experimental geometries. Recent linear analyses have shown that a MRI-like mode could grow with much a reduced magnetic Reynolds number and a Lundquist number in the presence of a helical background magnetic field (Hollerbach & Rüdiger 2005; Rüdiger et al. 2005), at least for cylinders of infinite or periodic axial extent (Liu et al. 2006b). The simulations of this paper, however, are limited to axial background fields.

The experiment is complicated by the large ($\gtrsim 10^6$) Reynolds number and by Ekman circulation and Stewartson layers (Hollerbach & Fournier 2004), even though the Princeton MRI experimental apparatus has been constructed to minimize the circulation by the use of independently controlled split endcaps (Kageyama et al. 2004; Burin et al. 2006; Ji et al. 2006). It is known that Ekman circulation is significantly modified when the Elsasser number $\Lambda$ exceeds unity: $\Lambda = B_\infty^2/(8\pi \rho_{Ga}\eta_{Ga}\Omega) \gtrsim 1$, where $\Omega = (\Omega_1\Omega_2)^{1/2}$ is the characteristic rotation frequency (Gilman 1971). At 100% of the maximum designed rotation rate of our experiment (Table 1) and with $I_z = 1000$ A, where $I_z$ is the external coil current (Fig. 1), the Elsasser number $\Lambda = 0.36$.

There have been few published studies of the nonlinear saturation of MRI in an experimental Taylor-Couette geometry apart from Knobloch & Julies (2005), Liu et al. (2006b), and Umurhan et al. (2007a, 2007b) and even fewer with realistic experimental boundary conditions. As noted in Liu et al. (2006b), the nature of saturation of MRI in a Couette flow is essentially different from accretion disks, in which MRI is believed to saturate by turbulent reconnection (Fleming et al. 2000; Sano & Inutsuka 2001). In accretion disks, differential rotation arises from a balance between the gravitational attraction of the accreting body and centrifugal force. Thermal and turbulent energies are probably small compared to orbital ones, so saturation is not likely to occur by modification of the mean flow profile. In experiments, however, differential rotation is imposed by viscous or other weak forces, so that mildly nonlinear MRI might well change the mean rotation profile.

This paper is the second of a series, following Liu et al. (2006b). Several idealizations made in that paper, notably the vertically periodic boundary conditions, are dispensed with here. We discuss the linear phase and nonlinear saturation of MRI in finite cylinders with realistic fluid and magnetic boundary conditions. All simulations reported here were performed with the ZEUS-MP 2.0 code (Hayes et al. 2006), which is a time-explicit, compressible, astrophysical ideal MHD parallel 3D code, to which we have added viscosity, resistivity (with subcycling to reduce the cost of the induction equation) for axisymmetric flows in cylindrical coordinates $(r, \varphi, z)$ (Liu et al. 2006b). The implementation of fully insulating and partially conducting boundary conditions are discussed in Liu et al. (2007). The computational domain is shown in Figure 1 and the parameters are summarized in Table 1. Six coils...
with dimensions as shown were used, with 67 turns in the two coils nearest the midplane and 72 in the rest. They are split into two sets of three in parallel, with the upper three in series and the bottom three also in series. Currents $I_\varphi$ were adjusted according to the experimental values. Note that in the simulations the magnetic diffusivity $\eta_{Ga}$ is fixed to the experimental value $\eta_{Ga} = 2.430 \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 1), however the kinematic viscosity is varied for the purpose of extrapolation from numerically tractable to experimentally realistic Reynolds numbers.

The measured current waveform is displayed in Figure 2 for target currents of 1000 and 400 A. The waveform displayed has an overshoot at the early stage, a linear decline and then a linear ramp where the controller tries to adjust the output voltage to reach the programmed set point. This behavior is peculiar to the high current runs (Fig. 2, left). Lower currents have a much flatter waveform (Fig. 2, right). In the simulation a waveform like that in Figure 3 is used to approximate the experimental coil currents with ramp time $t_{coil} = 0.2 \text{ s}$.

Since the container is made of stainless steel, not a perfect insulator, the radial magnetic angular momentum flux ($-rB_zB_r/4\pi$) at the cylinders need not vanish. In principle, the magnetic coupling of the fluid to the cylinders might modify the growth of the instability (Liu et al. 2007). Fortunately, at the frequencies relevant to the Princeton MRI experiment (100% run; $\Omega = (\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2)^{1/2} \approx 150 \text{ Hz}$), the skin depth of stainless steel $\delta_w = (2/\sigma_{Steel}\mu_0)^{1/2} \approx 9 \text{ cm}$ ($\sigma_{Steel}$ is the conductivity of stainless steel; see the value in Table 1), which is much larger than the thickness of the steel vessel surrounding the gallium in the experiment, $d_w \approx 1.0 \text{ cm}$, so that the magnetic field diffuses rather easily into the boundary.
If one considers axial currents, the gallium and the steel wall act as resisters in parallel; taking into account their conductivities and radial thicknesses, one finds that the resistances of steel walls are much larger than the resistance of the liquid gallium ($R_1 : R_2 : R_3 = 65 : 1 : 21$; see Fig. 1 for the subscripts). Therefore, the currents carried by the steel walls can be neglected for the toroidal field, so that the $B_r B_z$ stress at the boundary is expected to be unimportant and an insulating boundary condition suffices.

The linear growth rate and saturated final state based on a partially conducting boundary condition differs slightly from the result reported in this paper without wobbling, gaps, and starting from an initially ideal Couette state with fully insulating magnetic boundary conditions still gives us some useful hints about what is going on in the experiment. The result might be even closer to what is happening in the experiment based on the purely hydrodynamic experiment (steady flow, mostly ideal Couette state close to what is happening in the experiment) and in the simulation could possibly be explained by the wobbling of the inner cylinder in the experimental runs due to the difficulties of aligning the inner cylinder perfectly and the gaps between the rings and cylinders (Roach et al. 2007). And these effects are speculated to be more important with higher Reynolds number $Re \sim 10^6 \sim 10^7$ as in the experiment. Unfortunately, the modern computers and codes cannot afford a simulation with Reynolds number as high as several million. Although this is not experimentally realizable, the low-Reynolds-number results reported in this paper without wobbling, gaps, and starting from an initially ideal Couette state with fully insulating magnetic boundary conditions still gives us some useful hints about what is going on in the experiment.

The detailed study of the influence of the wobbling and gaps between the rings is on the way to investigate why the experimental results are different from simulations. We also want to point out, as demonstrated by Goodman & Ji (2002), the viscosity of liquid metals is so small as to be almost irrelevant to MRI, at least in the linear regime. Thus, a low-Reynolds-number run would be fine, at least in this sense.

The results presented in this paper are the results of a 100% run, unless stated explicitly. The simulation results have proved very valuable in design, operation, and understanding of the experiment. The outline of this paper is as follows. We present the results of the linear MRI in § 2. And the results of the nonlinear

---

**Table 1**

| Parameters in Gaussian Units used in the Simulations |
|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Dimensions                                          |
| $r_1 = 7.1$ cm                                      |
| $r_2 = 20.3$ cm                                     |
| $h = 27.9$ cm                                       |
| $d_w = 0.9525$ cm                                   |

| Material Properties |                                                                 |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\rho_{Ga} = 6.35$ g cm$^{-3}$ | $\eta_{Ga} = 2.43 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$                  |
| $\eta_{Steel} = 5.73 \times 10^3$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ | $\sigma_{Steel} = 1.25 \times 10^{16}$ s$^{-1}$                  |

**Rotation Profile (100% run)**

| $\Omega_1/\pi$ | $\Omega_2/\pi$ |
|----------------|----------------|
| 4000 rpm       | 533 rpm        |
| 1820 rpm       | 650 rpm        |

**Rotation Profile (50% run)**

| $\Omega_1/\pi$ | $\Omega_2/\pi$ |
|----------------|----------------|
| 1600 rpm       | 239.85 rpm     |
| 819 rpm        | 292.5 rpm      |

**Parameters in Gaussian Units used in the Simulations**

| Parameters | Value |
|------------|-------|
| $D_{max}$  | 2.500 A |
| $D_{min}$  | -2.000 A |
saturation of MRI are discussed in § 3. Section 4 summarizes the results and presents the final conclusions.

2. LINEAR PHASE

2.1. Linear Growth Rate Reduced by the Residual Magnetized Ekman Circulation

The first convincing piece of evidence of the existence of MRI is its linear growth rate (Fig. 4). We find this linear growth rate is reduced from 33.1 s⁻¹ with an ideal Couette state at both endcaps (Fig. 4, left; the end effect is removed completely by enforcing an ideal Couette state at both endcaps; thus, no Ekman circulation is present) to 21.7 s⁻¹ with two rings, just as in the real experiment (Fig. 4, right). This is due to the residual magnetic Ekman circulation, which modifies the background flow. In addition, as pointed out in W. Liu (2008, in preparation), the linear growth rate of an absolute instability in a bounded geometry is reduced by the “absorbing” end plate, with the reduction extent proportional to \( O(\Gamma^{-2}) \), where the aspect ratio is \( \Gamma = h/(r_2 - r_1) \) and \( h \) is the height of the cylinders (see Fig. 1).

Interestingly, the linear growth rate with ideal Couette state at both endcaps (Fig. 4, right) matches the growth rate of the fastest growing mode, which naturally is the dominant mode, obtained from a linear code developed by (Goodman & Ji 2002), in which vertical periodicity is assumed, but the radial equations are solved directly by finite differences with perfectly insulating boundary conditions (Table 2). The agreement suggests that viscous effects are slight, since the no-slip conditions on the horizontal velocities at the endcaps differ from the periodicity imposed by the linear code.

2.2. Strong Magnetic Field Suppresses MRI with Two Split Rings

MRI is essentially a weak field instability. It is characteristic of MRI for a strong magnetic field to suppress this instability. Our experimental facility can only allow \( I' \) \( \leq \) 1200 A. Thus, we need to try carefully to find one set of proper parameters under which one growing mode is present with low \( I' \) while absent with larger \( I' \). Figure 5 demonstrates this property for simulations in which the boundary rotation rates (\( \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, \Omega_4 \)) are scaled to 45% of their designed values (Table 1).

3. NONLINEAR SATURATION

3.1. Inflowing “Jet” Observed near the Middle Plane

For \( Re = 6400 \), the final state is not steady. Typical time-averaged flow and field patterns are shown in Figure 6. The poloidal flux and stream functions are defined so that

\[
V_P \equiv V_r e_r + V_z e_z = r^{-1} e_r \times \nabla \Psi,
\]

\[
B_P \equiv B_r e_r + B_z e_z = r^{-1} e_r \times \nabla \Psi,
\]

(1)
which imply $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}_p = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_p = 0$. The divergence of the velocity field in these compressible but subsonic simulations is nonzero but small.

We note that the induced toroidal field is around 6% of the initial axially imposed magnetic field at this magnetic Reynolds number $\text{Re}_m; B_{\phi,\text{max}} \approx 0.06 B_{\infty}$. The most striking feature is the inflowing “jet” centered near $z = 13.95$ cm in Figure 6 (see also Fig. 7), which is opposite to the usual Ekman circulation (Kageyama et al. 2004). It seems that the rapid outflowing “jet” found in (Liu et al. 2006b) with vertically periodic boundary conditions (Liu et al. 2006b), the total radial torque is not constant with radius. Since our numerical scheme conserves angular momentum exactly, we can infer a vertical flux arising from the exchange of angular momentum with the endcaps (see also Fig. 11). In the statistical steady state (nonlinearly saturated final state) the sum of the angular momentum flux on all boundaries

\begin{equation}
\Gamma_{\text{adv},r}(r) = 2\pi \int_0^h dz \rho u^2 v_\phi, \label{eq:adv}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Gamma_{\text{mag},r}(r) = 2\pi \int_0^h dz \left( -r^2 B_r B_\phi \right), \label{eq:mag}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Gamma_{\text{visc},r}(r) = 2\pi \int_0^h dz \left[ -r^3 \rho u \frac{\partial (v_\phi)}{\partial r} \right], \label{eq:visc}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Gamma_{\text{tot},r}(r) = \Gamma_{\text{adv},r}(r) + \Gamma_{\text{mag},r}(r) + \Gamma_{\text{visc},r}(r), \label{eq:total}
\end{equation}

where $dr$ and $dz$ are the radial and vertical cell sizes, respectively.

In contrast to the final state for vertically periodic boundary conditions (Liu et al. 2006b), the total radial torque is not constant with radius. Since our numerical scheme conserves angular momentum exactly, we can infer a vertical flux arising from the exchange of angular momentum with the endcaps (see also Fig. 11). In the statistical steady state (nonlinearly saturated final state) the sum of the angular momentum flux on all boundaries

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{100\% Run Growth Rates from Semianalytic Linear Analysis vs. Simulation}
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\hline
Re$_m$ & Re & $n$ & Prediction (s$^{-1}$) & Simulation (s$^{-1}$) \\
\hline
16 & 6400 & 1 & 33.7 & 33.1 \\
16 & 6400 & 2 & 13.8 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\label{tab:100% growth}
\end{table}

Further evidence that MRI causes the inflowing jet was found in simulations with the ideal Couette state imposed at the end caps, to remove Ekman circulation (Fig. 8).

Figure 8 shows a clear inflowing jet near the inner cylinder in this case (see also Fig. 9). This suggests that the poloidal circulation seen in the final state in the split-endcap cases (Fig. 6b) is caused solely by saturation of MRI rather than (magnetically modified) Ekman circulation.

### 3.2. MRI Enhances Radially Outward Angular Momentum Transport at Saturation

Astrophysicists are interested in the angular momentum transport due to MRI since MRI is supposed to be the most probable mechanism to explain the fast accretion in the astrophysical disks. Figure 10 displays the time-averaged $r$-profiles of the radial advective, viscous, and magnetic torques, i.e., the angular momentum fluxes integrated over cylinders coaxial with the boundaries,
Fig. 6.—100% run. Contour plots of final-state velocities and fields (MRI unstable). $Re = 6400$, $Re_m = 20$, $I_c = 1000$ A, $\Lambda = 0.36$. (a) Poloidal flux function $\Psi$ (G cm$^2$). (b) Poloidal stream function $\Phi$ (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$). (c) Toroidal field $B_z$ (G). (d) Angular velocity $\Omega \equiv r^{-1}V_z$ (rad s$^{-1}$). In (b) the dashed line indicates the clockwise poloidal circulation, while the solid line indicates the anticlockwise poloidal circulation.
should be zero, at least once it is time averaged. In this case it is fluctuating, since the final state is not steady given the high Reynolds number. From the gradients of the radial torque, we identify four Ekman circulation cells; where $d \Gamma_{\text{tot},r}/dr > 0 (< 0)$, the fluid is losing (gaining) angular momentum at the endcaps and the boundary-layer flow is therefore radially inward (outward).

This is consistent with the discussion in Liu (2008) of the poloidal circulation driven by two split rings. The radial magnetic and advective torques vanish at $r_1$ and $r_2$ because of the boundary conditions, but are important at intermediate radii, especially the advective. All components of the radial torque are positive, which means that the angular momentum is transported radially outward.

---

**Fig. 7.**—Corresponds to Fig. 6b. 100% run (MRI unstable). Time-averaged $v_r$ vs. radius $r$ on the midplane ($z = h/2$). $Re = 6400$, $Re_m = 20$, $I_p = 1000$ A, and $\Lambda = 0.36$.

**Fig. 9.**—Corresponds to Fig. 8. 100% rotation run (MRI unstable). Time-averaged $v_r$ vs. radius $r$ on the midplane ($z = h/2$) for $Re = 6400$ with the ideal Couette state at the end caps. $I_p = 1000$ A, $\Lambda = 0.36$.

---

**Fig. 8.**—100% rotation run (MRI unstable). Contour plots of poloidal stream function $\Phi$ (cm$^2$/s) for $Re = 6400$ with the ideal Couette state at the end caps. $I_p = 1000$ A, $\Lambda = 0.36$. The dashed line indicates the clockwise poloidal circulation, while the solid line indicates the anticlockwise poloidal circulation.

**Fig. 10.**—100% run (MRI unstable). Time-averaged $z$-integrated radial angular momentum fluxes vs. $r$ at saturation. $Re = 6400$, $Re_m = 20$, $I_p = 1000$ A, and $\Lambda = 0.36$. Dashed line: Viscous torque; dash-dotted line: magnetic torque; long-dashed line: advective torque; solid line: total torque.
Figure 11 displays the time-averaged $r$-distributions of the vertical total angular momentum flux at both endcaps ($z = 0, h$),

$$\Gamma_{\text{adv},z}(r, z = 0, h) = 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{r} dr \rho r^2 v_z v_\phi, \quad (6)$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{mag},z}(r, z = 0, h) = 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{r} dr \left( -\frac{r^2 B_z B_\phi}{4\pi} \right). \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{visc},z}(r, z = 0, h) = 2\pi \int_{r_1}^{r} dr \left[ -r^2 \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (v_\phi) \right]. \quad (8)$$

Since at both endcaps, both the advective and magnetic angular momentum fluxes are zero due to the boundary conditions, the total angular momentum at both endcaps $\Gamma_{\text{tot},z}(r, z = 0, h) = \Gamma_{\text{adv},z}(r, z = 0, h) + \Gamma_{\text{mag},z}(r, z = 0, h) + \Gamma_{\text{visc},z}(r, z = 0, h)$ are simply the viscous angular momentum flux $\Gamma_{\text{visc},z}(r, z = 0, h)$.

From these two figures, we can see that the global angular momentum is entering from the inner cylinder then most of it is flowing out from the outer cylinder while the rest of it is flowing out at the two end caps. Angular momentum is transported radially outward.

It is very interesting to derive the dependence of the total torque at the inner cylinder, which is mainly responsible for driving the rotation, and of the sum of the vertical torques at both endcaps, on Reynolds number ($Re$). From Figure 12 we infer the following scalings (100% run; $Re_m = 16$):

1. In the absence of a magnetic field (100% run; $10 \leq Re \leq 25,600$),

$$\Gamma_{\text{init},r}(r_1) \approx 2.69 \times 10^4 Re^{-0.691}. \quad (9)$$

These hydrodynamic states (rather than the ideal Couette flow) will be used as the initial conditions for the MRI experiments. The maximum relative error ratio, defined as the ratio of the
absolute difference of the value from the simulation and the fitted value (eq. [9]) over the former one, is ±0.4%.

2. For $\Gamma_f = 1000$ A and $\Delta = 0.36$ (100% run; $100 \leq \text{Re} \leq 25,600$),

$$\Gamma_{\text{final}, r(r_1)} \approx 1.98 \times 10^{4} \text{Re}^{-0.639}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

This corresponds to the final states of the MRI experiment. The maximum relative error ratio is ±0.3%. From these two scaling laws, the MRI indeed enhances the angular momentum transport at saturation, although only slightly (see discussion below). The enhancement is far beyond the range of the error bar (see the error bars in Fig. 12a). It is reasonable for $\Gamma_f$ in both magnetized and unmagnetized cases to decrease with increasing $\text{Re}$, since the viscous coupling to the walls scales as $\text{Re}^{-1/2}$ in standard unmagnetized Ekman layers (i.e., the endcaps are corrugating solidly with the outer cylinder). In this more complicated case (with split rings), we also expect a similar relationship, although the magnetized Ekman and Stewartson layers complicate the problem (Liu 2008).

If equations (9) and (10) also work at larger Reynolds numbers, so that they may safely be extrapolated to the experimental Reynolds number ($\text{Re} \approx 1.15 \times 10^7$; 100% run), then the total radial torque of the initial and final state at the inner cylinder may be as large as

$$\Gamma_{\text{init}, r(r_1)} \approx 0.359 \text{ [N m]}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\text{final}, r(r_1)} \approx 0.611 \text{ [N m]},$$

respectively. Thus, the ratio of the increase of the torque over the initial torque is ($0.611 - 0.356)/0.356 = 72\%$, which is quite measurable and indicates that at the experimental Reynolds number MRI would dominate the residual magnetic Ekman circulation in the point of view of transporting the angular momentum. There are, however, reasons for caution in accepting this estimate. For example, the experimental flow may be three-dimensional and turbulent, which might result in an even higher torque in the final state, and the absolute values of both the exponents seem to decrease at larger Reynolds number and the difference of these two exponents is small. These concerns all make the extrapolation of equations (9) and (10) to the experimental Reynolds number a bit risky. Nevertheless, we expect a noticeable torque enhancement in the MRI-unstable regime.

From Figure 12b we can see that: (1) at larger Reynolds number, $[\Gamma_f(z = 0) + \Gamma_f(z = h)]/\Gamma_f(r = r_1)$ is increased, which means that the larger part of the total angular momentum is transported vertically. (2) In the MRI stable regime ($\text{Re} \approx 1600$), the magnetic field enhances the vertical transport of the angular momentum. This is also reasonable since the magnetic field would align the flow, thus having the cells elongating and penetrating deeper into the bulk. The size of the middle cells is increased vertically by the residual magnetic Ekman circulation. These are consistent with the conclusions deduced in Liu (2008). (3) In the MRI unstable regime ($\text{Re} \approx 3200$), the onset of the MRI results in more angular momentum transported radially outward and less vertically. The MRI would increase the scale of the middle cell horizontally. Therefore it transports more angular momentum radially outward.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have simulated the nonlinear development of magnetorotational instability in a nonideal magnetohydrodynamic Taylor-Couette flow. The simulations mimic an on-going experiment, except that the conductivity of the stainless steel walls is neglected, and the simulation is started from an ideal Couette state rather than an actual hydrodynamic statistical steady state driven by split end caps. We have also restricted our study to smaller fluid Reynolds number (Re) than in the experiment; however, we have used exactly the same magnetic Reynolds number (Re_m). MRI grows from small amplitudes at rates in good agreement with linear analyses without the end cap effects.

Concerning the MRI simulations with two split independently rotating rings like the real experimental facility, we draw the following conclusions:

1. A clear linear phase is observed; the linear MRI growth rate is reduced by the residual magnetized Ekman circulation.
2. Strong magnetic field suppresses MRI.
3. In the final state one inflowing “jet” opposite to the usual Ekman circulation “jet” (Kageyama et al. 2004) is found near the inner cylinder, a direct consequence of MRI rather than the residual Magnetic Ekman circulation (100% run).
4. The MRI enhances the angular momentum transport at saturation. (100% run).
5. The final state contains horizontal fields about 6% as large as the initial vertical field for Re_m ≈ 20 (100% run).

We emphasize that these conclusions are based on axisymmetric simulations restricted to the range $10^2 \leq \text{Re} \leq 10^4$, with the idealizations mentioned above. The simulation results considering the conductivity of the steel container, starting from an actual hydrodynamical equilibrium and the comparison with the experimental results would be given in a future paper.
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