Effects of internal low-dose irradiation from $^{131}$I on gene expression in normal tissues in Balb/c mice
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate the global gene expression response of normal tissues following internal low absorbed dose irradiation of $^{131}$I.

Methods: Balb/c mice were intravenously injected with 13 to 260 kBq of $^{131}$I and euthanized 24 h after injection. Kidneys, liver, lungs, and spleen were surgically removed. The absorbed dose to the tissues was 0.1 to 9.7 mGy. Total RNA was extracted, and Illumina MouseRef-8 Whole-Genome Expression BeadChips (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, California, USA) were used to compare the gene expression of the irradiated tissues to that of non-irradiated controls. The Benjamini-Hochberg method was used to determine differentially expressed transcripts and control for false discovery rate. Only transcripts with a modulation of 1.5-fold or higher, either positively or negatively regulated, were included in the analysis.

Results: The number of transcripts affected ranged from 260 in the kidney cortex to 857 in the lungs. The majority of the affected transcripts were specific for the different absorbed doses delivered, and few transcripts were shared between the different tissues investigated. The response of the transcripts affected at all dose levels was generally found to be independent of dose, and only a few transcripts showed increasing or decreasing regulation with increasing absorbed dose. Few biological processes were affected at all absorbed dose levels studied or in all tissues studied. The types of biological processes affected were clearly tissue-dependent. Immune response was the only biological process affected in all tissues, and processes affected in more than three tissues were primarily associated with the response to stimuli and metabolism.

Conclusion: Despite the low absorbed doses delivered to the tissues investigated, a surprisingly strong response was observed. Affected biological processes were primarily associated with the normal function of the tissues, and only small deviations from the normal metabolic activity in the tissues were induced.
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tissues and identify genes linked to specific endpoints [6]. The impact of radiation on gene expression has predominantly been studied in vitro, possibly due to easier experimental conditions, e.g., one cell type, and better defined spatial and temporal exposures. However, in vivo studies are needed to elucidate the response of radiation on the different tissues and organs of the entire organism.

Few in vivo studies have been published with an analysis of gene expression alterations in tissues externally exposed by ionizing radiation and even fewer studies, using internal irradiation. The response in the brain tissue after an external acute high-dose irradiation (X-ray and gamma irradiation, 2 to 20 Gy) has been studied in mice [7,8]. The results showed an increasing number of modulated genes with the absorbed dose, and a peak in the number of upregulated transcripts with the dose was seen at 10 Gy after 5 h. A peak in the number of regulated transcripts was also found at 1 to 5 h after irradiation, however, with few genes in common between the different time points.

In vivo studies on the mouse liver with low-dose-rate irradiation showed results indicating a distinction between high- and low-dose exposures [9], which support the results found by Taki et al. in the mouse kidney [10]. Others have also investigated the effect on the mouse kidney with varying experimental protocols and results [8,11].

Iodine-131 [131I] is part of the uranium decay scheme and may be released into the environment by a nuclear accident. After the Chernobyl accident, the major cause of cancer in the affected areas was childhood thyroid cancer due to exposure mainly from 131I [12]. 131I is a radionuclide of interest in many applications. If introduced into the body, 131I is accumulated in the thyroid and to some extent, in the other organs [13,14]. Due to its biological, chemical, and physical properties, 131I is widely used in various diagnostic examinations as well as in radionuclide therapy of many different kinds of disorders [15-18].

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of an internal exposure of 131I of low absorbed doses on the gene expression patterns in normal tissues in mice.

**Methods**

**Irradiation**

Female inbred BALB/c mice (Charles River, Salzfeld, Germany) were divided into four groups with two animals in each group. 131I in the form of sodium iodide (GE Health Care, Braunschweig, Germany) was diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7). Mice in three of the four groups were intravenously injected in the tail vein with 13, 130, and 260 kBq 131I, respectively, while the mice in the control group did not receive any injection. The animals had access to water and standard mouse food ad libitum. The experimental protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee on Animal Experiments in Gothenburg, Sweden.

The animals were euthanized 24 h after injection by pentobarbitalnatrium, and the kidneys, liver, lungs, and spleen were surgically removed. Tissue samples were immediately flash-frozen using liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further analysis.

**Dosimetry**

The absorbed dose to the different tissues investigated was calculated according to the Medical Internal Radiation Dose [MIRD] formalism [19]:

\[
\bar{D}_{\text{tissue}} = \frac{n_{i}E_{i}}{m_{\text{tissue}}} \sum_{j} n_{i}E_{i} \times \phi_{j} / m_{\text{tissue}},
\]

where \( \bar{D}_{\text{tissue}} \) is the cumulated activity during 24 h in the tissue investigated; \( n_{i} \) is the probability that radiation, \( i \), with the energy, \( E_{i} \), will be emitted per decay; \( \phi_{j} \) is the absorbed fraction of radiation, \( j \); and \( m_{\text{tissue}} \) is the mass of the tissue investigated. Only the contribution from the electrons emitted was included. Data for \( n_{i} \), \( E_{i} \), and \( \phi_{j} \) were found in the literature (Table 1) [20-22].

Briefly, the cumulated activity was determined from the biodistribution data from the same type of mice, assuming similar biokinetics irrespective of the activity administered (in the range studied), determined 4, 12, and 24 h after injection of 131I [22]. A monoexponential curve was fitted to the time-activity-concentration data and integrated over 24 h. The estimated absorbed dose in the tissues studied for the three groups is presented in Table 1.

**Gene expression analysis**

The kidney cortex and medulla were separated. Fresh frozen tissue samples were pooled within the groups and homogenized using the Mikro-Dismembrator S ball mill (Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Aubagne Cedex, France). Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA integrity was assessed using RNA 6000 Nano LabChip Kit with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Samples with RNA Integrity Number values above 6.0 were selected for further analysis.

The RNA samples were processed at the Swegene Center for Integrative Biology at Lund University. Hybridizations were performed on Illumina MouseRef-8 Whole-Genome Expression BeadChips (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, California, USA), containing 25,697 probes. Three independent hybridizations were performed on each sample to study technical variability. Images were acquired with the Illumina BeadArray Reader scanner and analyzed with the BeadScan 3.5.31.17122 image analysis software (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, California, USA).
Table 1 Dosimetric estimation

|                          | Kidneys | Liver   | Lungs       | Spleen     | Reference |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Cumulated activity (A) (kBq·s) | 161544  | 313027  | 217091      | 49087      | Lundh et al. [22] |
| Energy per decay (n, x Ei) (keV) | 190     | 190     | 190         | 190        | MIRD [21]  |
| Absorbed fraction (φi)     | 0.919   | 0.954   | 0.85        | 0.854      | Flynn et al. [20] |
| Mass (g)                  | 0.34    | 1.2     | 0.15        | 0.079      |           |
| D (13 kBq) (mGy)          | 0.17    | 0.10    | 0.49        | 0.21       |           |
| D (130 kBq) (mGy)         | 1.7     | 0.98    | 4.9         | 2.1        |           |
| D (260 kBq) (mGy)         | 3.5     | 2.0     | 9.7         | 4.2        |           |

Values used for the absorbed dose calculation, A, n, x Ei, and φi, are given with references, together with the mass of the organs. The estimated absorbed doses, D, delivered to the different tissues from 13, 130, and 260 kBq131I are shown.

Data processing and statistical analysis

The web-based BioArray Software Environment system (BioArray Solutions, Ltd., Warren, NJ, USA) was used for data preprocessing and quantile normalization of the raw signal intensities, according to the recommendations given by Illumina. Further analysis was conducted using Nexus Expression 2.0 (BioDiscovery, El Segundo, CA, USA) using log2-transformed, normalized expression values and a variance filter.

The Benjamini-Hochberg method was used to control the false discovery rate [23]. Differential gene expression (at least 1.5-fold change) was deemed statistically significant if the p value after adjustment for multiple testing with the Benjamini-Hochberg method was lower than 0.01.

Affected biological processes were determined by identifying gene sets associated with different Gene Ontology [GO] terms. A p value cutoff of 0.05 was used. The GO data was further categorized into seven parental biological processes: metabolic processes, transport, cellular processes, system processes, developmental processes, immune response, and response to stimulus and stress. Gene expression data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus [GEO:GSE32014].

Quantitative real-time PCR

Seven genes (Dao1 in the kidney cortex and medulla, Asprv1 and Ltf in the lung, Cfd and Lcn2 in the spleen, and Cyba and Cyb5r3 in the liver) were selected from the gene list of significantly differentially expressed genes and analyzed using RT-PCR with predesigned TaqMan assays (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Another three genes (B2m, Gusb, Ywhaz) with homogenous expression throughout the arrays were used for normalization. All reactions were performed on the cDNA synthesized from the same RNA extraction as the microarray experiments using SuperScript™ III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Quantification was performed by the standard curve method. All samples were normalized by calculating the geometric mean of the three endogenous controls. The correlation between the two methods was calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient.

Results

Dosimetry

The absorbed doses delivered to the different tissues investigated are presented in Table 1. The lowest and highest absorbed doses were received by the liver and lungs: 0.10 to 2.0 mGy and 0.49 to 9.7 mGy, respectively.

Differential gene expression after irradiation

The number of regulated transcripts observed in the different tissues varied from 260 in the kidney cortex to 857 in the lung (Table 2). The number of regulated transcripts was thus the lowest for the kidneys, which is higher in the kidney medullary tissue than in the kidney cortex. Generally, upregulation was more prevalent in the analyzed specimens. In the spleen and lungs, about 70% of the regulated transcripts were upregulated. The liver revealed slightly lower values (around 60%). The kidney cortex showed the lowest fraction of upregulated transcripts at the middle absorbed dose but showed high values at the lowest and highest absorbed doses, while downregulation was more frequent for the kidney medulla. In the liver and lungs, the fraction of upregulated transcripts increased with the absorbed dose.

A clear distinction of regulated transcripts with absorbed dose could be seen in the different tissues (Figure 1A). In general, most regulated transcripts were specific for the different dose levels where few transcripts were affected at more than one dose level. Liver cells had the highest number of affected transcripts in common for all absorbed doses. A weak specific biological response (number of affected transcripts) was observed in the lung after 130 kBq of injected activity, IA, with a pronounced response at 13 and 260 kBq IA (34 vs. 208 and 475 regulated transcripts, respectively).

The most strongly affected gene found in the study was Lor in the lung (62 fold change) (Table 3). Overall, the lung had the strongest modulated transcripts with several
transcripts revealing a power of regulation above 50 in fold change, all of which were upregulated. Negatively regulated transcripts in the lung revealed a much lower power of regulation. The strongest regulated genes in the kidney cortex and medulla, spleen, and liver were Dao1, Cfd, and OTTMUSG00000007485 transcript, respectively. All of these transcripts had a power of regulation above 8.

To identify transcripts regulated in two or more tissue types, tissues samples with similar absorbed dose were compared. The selected absorbed doses were 1.7, 2.0, 4.9, and 2.1 mGy for the kidneys, liver, lungs, and spleen, respectively. Few regulated transcripts were common for the different tissues (Table 4). No single transcript was regulated in all five tissue types. Among the transcripts regulated in more than one tissue, upregulation was more prevalent. In general, these transcripts were primarily associated with response to stimuli, immune response, metabolism, and transport. In addition, transcripts associated with cell cycle regulation and cell death were also identified. The spleen and lung had the highest number of modulated transcripts in common. Of these, three times more transcripts were up-regulated than down-regulated. In addition, several transcripts revealed opposite modulation between tissues.

The dose-response relationship for each tissue type was studied for the transcripts regulated at all dose levels (Figure 2). The dose-response relationship found in the

| Total number of transcripts regulated | Number of transcripts regulated per injected activity |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
|     | 13 kBq  | 130 kBq | 260 kBq |
| Kidney medulla  | 423 | 160 | 110 (69%) | 158 | 93 (59%) | 208 | 143 (69%) |
| Kidney cortex  | 260 | 154 | 87 (56%) | 85 | 55 (65%) | 93 | 60 (65%) |
| Liver  | 738 | 417 | 250 (60%) | 427 | 264 (62%) | 455 | 292 (64%) |
| Lung  | 857 | 320 | 149(47%) | 113 | 182(73%) | 596 | 475(80%) |
| Spleen  | 607 | 240 | 158(66%) | 306 | 240(78%) | 238 | 176(74%) |

Data on changes in gene expression after i.v. injection of 13, 130, or 260 kBq. The total number of transcripts regulated in the tissues investigated is given together with the number of up- (arrows pointing up) and downregulated (arrows pointing down) transcripts given as the total number and percentage (in parentheses).
Table 3 Strongest modulated transcripts

| Liver Up | Down | Spleen Up | Down | Lung Up | Down | Kidney cortex Up | Down | Kidney medulla Up | Down |
|----------|------|-----------|------|---------|------|------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| 13 kBq $^{131}$I | Mpo (3.1) | Slc25a25 (-4.3) | | | - | Cfd (-9.2) | | - | Csp3 (-4.2) | Sgk1 (-2.2) | Slco4a1 (2.9) | |
| | Egr1 (4.5) | Ccrm4l (-3.8) | | | | Cxcr4 (-2.5) | | | Mtb (-4.5) | Fgg (3.6) | Angptl4 (-2.8) | |
| | Lcn2 (7.1) | Coq10b (-3.3) | | | | Cyp2e1 (-2.7) | | | Myh6 (-4.9) | Gadd45g (-2.7) | | |
| | Ltf (4.3) | G6pc (-3.2) | | | | Ddit4 (-3.4) | | | Myf1 (-4.7) | Gdpd3 (3.8) | | |
| | Otvm2 (5.4) | LOC620807 (-3.1) | | | | Enri1 (-2.9) | | | Myf4 (-8.0) | Gdpd3 (3.4) | | |
| | Ptn3 (5.0) | Mup1 (-3.5) | | | | Tsc22d3 (-3.0) | | | Plunc (-5.3) | | | |
| | S100a8 (4.6) | Mup2 (-3.8) | | | | | | | Scgb3a1 (-4.4) | | | |
| | | Pck1 (-3.1) | | | | | | | Sln (-5.0) | | | |
| | | OTTMUSG00000007485 (-13) | | | | | | | Tnncl (-4.5) | | | |
| 130 kBq $^{131}$I | Lyz2 (3.5) | - | Mpo (5.1) | Slpi (-2.4) | - | Egr1 (4.0) | Clec2d (-2.3) | S100a8 (3.3) | |
| | S100a9 (3.4) | | Lcn2 (5.6) | | | | Gbp1 (-2.5) | | | Serpina1b (-2.7) | | |
| | | | Cd177 (5.8) | | | | Igtp (-2.5) | | | Gbp1 (-2.7) | | |
| | | | Chi3l3 (7.0) | | | | Scd1 (-2.8) | | | Psca (-3.1) | | |
| | | | Csg (5.7) | | | | Adipoq (-4.8) | | | Cfd (-3.1) | | |
| | | | Ptn3 (5.7) | | | | Car3 (-5.7) | | | Cltn1 (-3.3) | | |
| 260 kBq $^{131}$I | Hp (4.2) | Clec2d (-3.1) | Arg1 (8.1) | Ccl21b (-2.8) | | AU108778 (6.3) | | Abcc3 (-2.7) | | |
| | | | Ccrl9 (6.3) | Ccl21c (-2.5) | | | | Cyp7b1 (3.0) | | |
| | | | Temp1 (6.0) | LOC100041504 (-2.5) | | | | Cyp2e1 (3.2) | | |
| | | | LOC100048556 (6.3) | | | | | Inmt (3.8) | | |

Ten most strongly up- and down-regulated transcripts in the different tissues investigated. Numbers in parenthesis indicate the fold change.
### Table 4 Transcripts in common between two or more tissues

| Liver | Spleen | Lung | Cortex | Medulla | Number | Genes in common | Comment |
|-------|--------|------|--------|---------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 16     | Ela2, Chrm1, Nqo, Tmxa3, Mpo, Lg1, Ht, Hp, Lcn2, Ltf, Prtn3, Camp, Lbp, S100a9, Actb, Egr4 | Response to stimulus; metabolism, transport |
| ↓      | ↑      |      |        |         | 1      | Aatk           | Cell death |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 5      | H2-Ab1, Hspd1, Serpina3h, Hspa8, Crel2 | Response to stimulus; immune response |
| ↓      | ↑      |      |        |         | 1      | LOC100048480  |          |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 4      | Serpina3g, EG667977, Hspa8, H2-Q8 | Response to stimulus; immune response |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 9      | Nqo, Mpo, Chac1, S100a8, Ltf, Camp, Lbp, S100a9, Actb | Response to stimulus; transport |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 3      | Lyp2, S100a8, Cxcl1 | Response to stimulus; immune response |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 7      | Hmgcs2, Gja1, Baal, LOC10004840, Clec2d, Mmd, Cyb5 | Immune response; developmental process; metabolism |
| ↓      | ↑      |      |        |         | 5      | Cxcl9, Cd74, Thrsb, Car3, H2-Q5 | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 1      | Sgk1           |          |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 2      | Hspd1, LOC10004840 |          |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 6      | Cxcl9, Cd74, Car3, Lcn2, Serpina3g, H2-K1 | Immune response; metabolism, transport |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 11     | Cyp2d26, Inpi1, Chma4, Cyp4a12a, Rhase4, S100a8, S100a9, Chma4, Ang, Hmox2, Pdhb | Response to stimulus; transport |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 7      | Serpina1d, Dnajb1, Serpina1b, Efrl1, Hsp105, Angpt4, Hspa8 | Response to stimulus |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 2      | Esmi1, Cld     | Immune response |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 23     | Iftm6, Rassd2, Nqo, Sf5a2, Pglyrp1, Mpo, 110001G20Rik, Retn1, Asprvl, Mmp9, Ch13S, Arl2bp, Arl2bp, Ltf, Camp, Lbp, Cd177, S100a9, Actb, Sf5a1, EG433016, Ch13S, Ch13S | Response to stimulus; cellular process; transport |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 4      | Scd1, LOC668837, Angpt4, Cld | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↓      | ↑      |      |        |         | 1      | Napsa          |          |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 1      | Sp2c5          |          |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 3      | Arl2bp, Arl2bp, Hdc | Cell cycle regulation |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 7      | Serpina1d, Sphi, Akr1b3, Serpina1b, Stbd1, Angpt4, Cld | Response to stimulus; metabolism |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 6      | Klf5, 4930519N13Rik, Lcn2, S100a6, Hdc, AA467197 | Cell cycle regulation; transport |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 2      | Arl2bp, S100a9 | Cell cycle regulation |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 4      | Gbp1, Lgip2, Lgip, Angpt4 | Immune response |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 3      | LOC10004840, Adipoq, Cld | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 6      | Junb, Egr1, S100a8, Arl2bp, Arl2bp, Cyp2a5 | Response to stimulus; metabolism |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 10     | Serpina1b, Serpina1d, Gbp1, Lgip2, Lgip, Cdkn1a, Serpina1b, Serpina3g, Angpt4, Hspa1a | Response to stimulus; immune response; cell cycle regulation |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 2      | Adipoq, Cld | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 6      | Pdrg1, LOC10004840, Egr1, S100a8, Arl2bp, S100a9 | Response to stimulus |
| ↓      | ↓      |      |        |         | 13     | Cideq, Cxcl9, Cd74, Gbp1, H2-OMb1, Lgip2, Lgip, Car3, Adipoq, Pimb10, Angpt4, Cld, Gbp2 | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 1      | LOC10004840 |          |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 1      | Hdc           |          |
| ↑      | ↑      |      |        |         | 7      | Hsd3b2, Cyp24a1, Egr1, S100a8, Arl2bp, Pcsk9, Dao1 | Response to stimulus; metabolism |
| ↑      | ↓      |      |        |         | 1      | Hspa8         |          |
kidney cortex and the kidney medulla was similar, and most transcripts were either up- or downregulated by a factor of 2 at all dose levels. The only exception was the transcript associated with the Dao1 gene whose expression was markedly stronger compared to the other regulated transcripts. In the liver and kidney tissues, the majority of the regulated transcripts showed little difference in response between the different absorbed doses. In contrast, the lung showed a strong variation in response between the different absorbed dose levels, where a high percentage of the regulated transcripts were downregulated at 13 kBq IA while upregulated at 130 and 260 kBq IA, e.g., Nppa, Cfd, Plunc, and Mb. In both lung and spleen, few transcripts were consistently downregulated.

Differences in gene expression between the groups were verified using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (QPCR). The genes were assessed for all absorbed dose levels in the tissues, and the QPCR and microarray data were strongly correlated for the genes Asprv1, Ltf, Cfd, Cyba, and Cyb5r3 (r > 0.86) (Dao1 was excluded due to technical issues). However, no correlation was found between the Lcn2 gene expression using microarray and QPCR analysis.

### Biological processes

Shared and specific biological processes were detected after irradiation of the analyzed tissues. The number of affected biological processes ranged from 37 in the liver to 108 in the lung (Figure 1B) [see Additional file 1]. In general, affected dose-specific biological processes were more frequent compared to the affected processes observed at all absorbed dose levels. In the lung, which had the highest number of modulated biological processes (108 processes), only 6 processes were detected at two or more absorbed dose levels. This can be compared

| Table 4 Transcripts in common between two or more tissues (Continued) |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 7 Ngp, Mpo, Ltf, Camp, Lbp, S100a9, Actb | Response to stimulus; transport |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Lcn2 | Transport |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 S100a9 | Response to stimulus |
| ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 LOC100048480 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 LOC100048480 | Response to stimulus |
| ↓ ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Serpina3g | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 2 S100a8, S100a9 | Immune response |
| ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 LOC100048480 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 3 Ocx9, Cfd, Car3 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 S100a8 | Response to stimulus |
| ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Angpt14 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Cfd | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 2 Atr2bp, Atr2bp | Response to stimulus |
| ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ | 3 Serpina1d, Serpina1b, Angpt14 | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 2 Atr2bp, S100a9 | Immune response |
| ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ | 2 Angpt14, Cfd | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Hdc | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Atr2bp | Immune response |
| ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ | 4 Gbp1, Ilg2, Igtp, Angpt14 | Immune response; metabolism |
| ↑ ↑ ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 2 Adipoq, Cfd | Immune response |
| ↑ ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 LOC100048480 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 3 Egr1, S100a8 Atr2bp | Immune response |
| ↓ ↓ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 LOC100048480 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 S100a8 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 S100a9 | Immune response |
| ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ | 1 Angpt14 | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Cfd | Immune response |
| ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ | 1 Atr2bp | Immune response |

Comparison was conducted with the aim of keeping the absorbed dose to the different tissues constant. The absorbed doses for the different tissues were 1.7, 2.0, 4.9, and 2.1 mGy for the kidney, liver, lung, and spleen, respectively. Arrows that are pointing up denote upregulation, while arrows that are pointing down denote downregulation.
to the liver, which had the lowest number of modulated biological processes (37 processes), where as many as 17 processes were detected at two or more absorbed dose levels.

In total, 70 biological processes were affected in two or more tissue types (Table 5). The highest number of affected processes in common for two tissue types was observed for the kidney cortex-lung tissue combination and the kidney cortex-kidney medulla tissue combination. Both of these tissue combinations had 20 processes in common, which were closely followed by the kidney medulla-lung tissue combination with 18 commonly affected processes. The kidney medulla-liver and liver-spleen tissue combinations had the fewest number of biological processes in common with only three and five processes, respectively. Interestingly, immune response was the only biological process in common for all investigated tissues.

The biological processes modulated in the investigated tissues were primarily associated with metabolism, transport, immune response, and response to stimuli, as well as cellular, system, and developmental processes (Table 6). Several of these parental biological processes were highly tissue-specific as a distinctive difference in the proportion of over-represented biological processes was observed between the different tissues. The kidneys and lungs had a strong association with transport, while the liver had a strong association with metabolism. Cellular processes
| Tissue combination                  | Biological processes                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla       | Amiloride transport                                                                   |
|                                    | Amino acid transport                                                                  |
|                                    | Bone remodeling                                                                       |
|                                    | Canalicular bile acid transport                                                      |
|                                    | Choline metabolism                                                                    |
|                                    | Negative regulation of cell adhesion                                                 |
|                                    | Negative regulation of enzyme activity                                               |
|                                    | Positive regulation of actin filament polymerization                                   |
|                                    | Protection from natural killer cell mediated cytotoxicity                             |
|                                    | Regulation of hormone secretion                                                      |
|                                    | Transport                                                                             |
| Kidney cortex-liver                | Acetyl-CoA metabolism                                                                 |
|                                    | Cytolysis                                                                             |
|                                    | Response to sterol depletion                                                         |
|                                    | Retinoid metabolism                                                                   |
|                                    | Steroid biosynthesis                                                                  |
|                                    | Thermoregulation                                                                      |
| Kidney cortex-lung                 | Cellular response to starvation                                                       |
|                                    | Cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis                                              |
|                                    | Fatty acid oxidation                                                                  |
|                                    | Patterning of blood vessels                                                          |
|                                    | Positive regulation of glucose import                                                |
|                                    | Positive regulation of lipid metabolism                                               |
|                                    | Protein folding                                                                       |
|                                    | Regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter                            |
| Kidney cortex-spleen               | Regulation of axon extension                                                          |
|                                    | Regulation of neuronal synaptic plasticity                                            |
|                                    | Response to oxidative stress                                                          |
|                                    | Ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolism                                                |
| Kidney medulla-liver               | Digestion                                                                            |
| Kidney medulla-lung                | Cell migration                                                                        |
|                                    | Cell-matrix adhesion                                                                  |
|                                    | Cellular defense response                                                             |
|                                    | Positive regulation of angiogenesis                                                   |
|                                    | Positive regulation of neurotransmitter secretion                                     |
|                                    | Regulation of locomotion                                                              |
|                                    | Regulation of long-term neuronal synaptic plasticity                                   |
|                                    | Response to hypoxia                                                                   |
|                                    | Synaptic vesicle transport                                                            |
| Kidney medulla-spleen              | Cartilage condensation                                                                |
|                                    | Central nervous system development                                                   |
|                                    | Complement activation, alternative pathway                                            |
|                                    | Neuropeptide signaling pathway                                                        |
|                                    | Positive regulation of small GTPase mediated signal transduction                      |
|                                    | Response to nutrient                                                                  |
|                                    | S-adenosylmethionine biosynthesis                                                     |
| Liver-lung                         | Embryonic heart tube development                                                     |
|                                    | Fatty acid metabolism                                                                 |
|                                    | Metabolism                                                                            |
| Liver-spleen                       | Negative regulation of signal transduction                                            |
|                                    | Regulation of cell growth                                                             |
were primarily associated with the spleen and kidney medulla; system processes were strongly associated with the lungs, and immune response was strongly associated with the spleen. Processes which had more than one transcript associated with it were included in this categorization.

**Discussion**

In the present study, the effects of internal low-dose irradiation by $^{131}$I were investigated in vivo. Using gene expression microarray, differentially expressed transcripts were analyzed, and affected biological processes were investigated. A strong biological response was detected following the low absorbed doses delivered. Although low amounts of $^{131}$I were administered, a homogenous absorbed dose distribution in the tissues studied can be assumed. No difference in the absorbed doses delivered to the kidney cortex and medulla was assumed due to the long range beta particles emitted by $^{131}$I: an average continuous slowing down approximation [CSDA] range of 0.41 mm and a maximum CSDA range of up to 1 mm in water [24]. However, in organs with a higher concentration than the surrounding tissue, a lower absorbed dose in the outermost cells of the organ can be assumed [25].

The majority of studies on cellular response to irradiation have been performed using cell cultures, where it is possible to control several components, such as cell type and irradiation homogeneity. Few experiments and results are reported from in vivo models. Some reasons might be due to tedious animal handling, heterogeneity in absorbed dose, the mixture of cell types within the tissues, and effects related to the increased complexity of the system. In the present type of in vivo study, the tissue response should be different from the response observed in vitro because the systemic administration of $^{131}$I results in irradiation of all organs and tissues, although to various absorbed doses, and thus to systemic effects. In addition, cell communication and heterogeneity within and between tissues and organs make the cellular response in vivo more complex compared to the in vitro response. In this study, total RNA was extracted from whole tissue samples (kidney medulla, kidney cortex, liver, lungs, and spleen) containing heterogeneous cell populations. One major problem is, then, that weak or moderate modulation of transcripts present in a subpopulation of cells in an organ may become undetectable [10,11]. In the separation of the kidney medulla and cortex, contamination between the samples is unavoidable. However, distinct gene expression profiles were observed between these two tissues. In addition, Balb/c mice were used which are an inbred strain with an immunologic deficiency. The results presented in this study are therefore specific to this strain of mice. The differences in the response to irradiation have previously been reported between Balb/c and C57BL/6 mice after low-dose irradiation (0.2 Gy) to the liver [26]. A comparison between the two revealed 37 genes which were differentially expressed in both strains. Of these 37 genes, 14 showed similar expression patterns. The remaining genes were primarily involved in various signal transduction processes. However, key responses to radiation are highly

| Biological processes | (Continued) |
|----------------------|------------|
| Lung-spleen | Iron ion homeostasis |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-lung | Peptidoglycan metabolism |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-spleen | Response to biotic stimulus |
| Kidney cortex-liver-lung | Negative regulation of apoptosis |
| Kidney cortex-lung-spleen | Defense response |
| Kidney medulla-lung-spleen | Acute-phase response |
| Liver-lung-spleen | Complement activation |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-liver-lung | Lipid metabolism |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-lung-spleen | Response to glucose stimulus |
| Liver-lung-spleen | Positive regulation of non-apoptotic programmed cell death |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-liver-lung | Response to heat |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-lung-spleen | Response to unfolded protein |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-liver-lung | Electron transport |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-lung-spleen | Inflammatory response |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-liver-lung | Negative regulation of gluconeogenesis |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-lung-spleen | Negative regulation of lipoprotein lipase activity |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-liver-lung | Positive regulation of fatty acid metabolism |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-lung-spleen | Positive regulation of signal transduction |
| Kidney cortex-kidney medulla-liver-lung-spleen | Immune response |

Biological processes affected in two or more tissues independent of the absorbed dose. All processes had a $p$ value < 0.05. Additional information is presented in Additional file 1.
| Biological Process                  | Kidney cortex 13 kBq | Kidney medulla 13 kBq | Liver 13 kBq | Lung 13 kBq | Spleen 13 kBq |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Metabolism                         | 27% (3)              | 38% (9)               | 34% (5)      | 11% (1)     | 8% (1)       |
| Transport                          | 27% (3)              | 3% (1)                | 11% (1)      | 17% (5)     | 11% (4)      |
| Cellular process                   | 9% (1)               | 13% (3)               | 13% (2)      | 42% (5)     | 9% (1)       |
| Immune response                    | 18% (2)              | 21% (5)               | 22% (2)      | 27% (3)     | 9% (2)       |
| Developmental process              | 18% (2)              | 13% (2)               | 22% (2)      | 27% (3)     | 9% (2)       |
| Response to stimulus and stress   | 18% (2)              | 21% (5)               | 20% (3)      | 22% (2)     | 17% (2)     |

The fraction of affected biological processes in the investigated tissues, grouped into parental biological processes. The numbers are calculated as the fraction of affected processes per parental process and per injected activity divided by the total number of processes affected per injected activity and tissue. The number of biological processes is given in parenthesis.
probable to be similar between the different strains of mice. The highest number of affected transcripts was detected in the lungs, with a complex dependence on absorbed dose. The number of transcripts affected in the group injected with 130 kBq was lower (113) in comparison with the number of transcripts detected in the groups receiving 13 and 260 kBq (320 and 596, respectively). In contrast, a reverse relationship was observed in the number of affected biological processes since the group receiving 130 kBq had the highest number of affected biological processes. Interestingly, the groups receiving 13 and 260 kBq shared the largest number of transcripts, but with no affected biological processes in common. While the number of affected biological processes does not necessarily follow the distribution found in the number of regulated transcripts, the complexity of the distributions is noteworthy. In the lung and liver tissues, the fraction of upregulated transcripts increased with the absorbed dose, with the highest increase observed in the lung (from 47% to 80% compared to the increase from 60% to 64%). No such increase could be seen in the kidney tissues, while in the spleen, the fraction of upregulated transcripts increased from 66% to 78% between the groups receiving 13 and 130 kBq, followed by a decrease in the group that received the highest injected activity.

A closer examination of the dose-response relationships for transcripts regulated at all doses in a certain tissue type showed that few transcripts could potentially serve as biomarkers for the absorbed dose in the dose interval studied, i.e., showing a monotone increase or decrease in expression with the absorbed dose. The majority of the affected transcripts showed little or no difference in the response between the different absorbed dose levels. In the lung, a high percentage of the regulated transcripts showed a negative regulation at the lowest absorbed dose level and a positive regulation at the two higher absorbed dose levels. Transcripts associated with the Cyp2a5, Mb, Sln, Sgcb3a1, and Plunc genes in the lungs and the Clec2d, Wsb1, Mup4, Acaa2, and Mpo genes in the liver showed a monotone increase or decrease in expression with the absorbed dose. An example of more extreme modulation between dose levels was demonstrated by the Nppa gene, which showed a negative regulation at the lowest dose level that transitioned to a positive regulation with a power of 3 and 20 at 130 and 260 kBq, respectively. An example of a weak regulation at the two lower absorbed dose levels followed by a strong regulation at the highest dose level was demonstrated by the Asprv1 gene (1.6, 2.1, and 17 at 13, 130, and 260 kBq, respectively). In the spleen, the reverse relationship could be seen where Cfd showed a nine-fold decrease in expression at the lowest absorbed dose level followed by an increase to about a two-fold decrease at the two higher absorbed dose levels. Whether these or other transcripts investigated could potentially prove to be good biomarkers for absorbed dose is still to be determined, and more studies are needed with a larger interval of absorbed doses, together with analyses using QPCR, immunohistochemistry, and Western blot to study the impact at the protein level.

The biological processes affected in the irradiated tissues were grouped according to seven parental biological processes (metabolism, transport, cellular processes, system processes, developmental processes, immune response, and response to stimuli and stress). The type of biological processes affected was, to a great extent, tissue-specific. However, immune response was affected in all tissues. It has been shown that radiation induces effects linked to the immune response and that these types of effects could be observed from hours up to several weeks after exposure [27]. Furthermore, in addition to a strong association to cellular processes in the spleen and kidney medulla, the effects on the spleen were primarily associated with cell cycle regulation (data not shown). Among the ten affected biological processes that were associated with cell cycle regulation, nine were detected in the spleen. However, the affected processes were closely linked to the normal functions of the investigated tissues, indicating that the specific effects from irradiation were low.

When comparing the biological processes affected in the different tissues, the kidney medulla-liver and liver-spleen tissue combinations had the fewest modulated processes in common. Both the difference in the types of cells which comprise the liver and spleen (hepatocytes, Kupffer cells, and fat-storing cells versus lymphocytes) and the function of these two organs (metabolic functions and detoxification versus immune defense and blood storage), which are very different in nature, may explain the presence of having few processes in common. However, both the liver and spleen are part of the mononuclear phagocyte system which should suggest a more similar response between the tissues. The question then is why some tissues had more affected biological processes in common. It has previously been stipulated that tissue-specific intracellular signaling pathways are responsible for the markedly different responses found in different tissues following irradiation and that signaling pathways inherently active would be used as a response to the induced stress [8]. This argument could explain why few transcripts and biological processes were affected in two or more tissue types after irradiation.

Iodide administered into the body is primarily accumulated in the thyroid gland by uptake into the thyocytes and incorporation into the metabolically related thyroid hormones [28]. No control group with stable iodide in the same order as that of $^{131}$I was included in this study. We do not believe that such a control group would be of any value due to the high iodine concentration in the normal mouse chow. The amount of radioiodide administered in
a mouse in the highest absorbed dose group is only one tenth of what each animal consumes in 1 day. Therefore, we believe that the effects obtained in the present study are mainly related to the exposure to ionizing radiation. The injections of $^{131}$I were done by a very experienced animal technician to reduce potential stress of the injected animals. Unfortunately, no injection was made in the control group. However, no general increased stress response was found, resulting in the common regulation of genes between the tissues and absorbed doses; only a few genes were common between the different absorbed dose levels. This fact also strengthens the interpretation that the differences found between the irradiated mice and controls were due to the ionizing radiation exposure.

Knowledge about the effects of internal irradiation on the whole genome gene expression on organisms in vivo is scarce. To our knowledge, no study has been published presenting radiobiological data at the low absorbed dose levels and dose rates used in the present study. However, two studies have presented results for the mouse kidney and liver at absorbed dose levels as low as 20 mGy after continuous external low-dose-rate irradiation for more than 400 days [9,10]. The results of these studies showed minimal response with less than six genes regulated in either of the studies. No similarity between our results and the results from these two studies was found either in the number of modulated genes or in the specific pathways affected by radiation were also detected in several tissue types. The data also indicate that only small deviations from the normal functions of the tissues were induced. However, the impact of these deviations is unknown, and further research is needed to evaluate late biological effects.

**Conclusion**

$^{131}$I is a commonly used radionuclide in routine medicine both for diagnostics and for therapy. While the overall side effect (both acute and late effects) on normal tissues from high-dose exposures is relatively well known, the effects in the low-dose range is still to be explored. Notably, firm data on the risk of cancer development at low-dose irradiation are needed. The results from this study clearly demonstrate radiation-induced regulation of gene expression in the tissue types studied, already at these low absorbed dose levels. The biological response was to some extent tissue-specific, but some pathways affected by radiation were also detected in several tissue types. The data also indicate that only small deviations from the normal functions of the tissues were induced. However, the impact of these deviations is unknown, and further research is needed to evaluate late biological effects.

**Additional material**

Additional file 1: Additional information on the biological processes in the different tissue types. A supplementary table consisting of additional data on the different biological processes in the different tissue types.
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