Abstract

Social marketing approach can be used to influence the target audience to change their behaviour towards improving health and quality of life. Descriptive research design has been used in the study with the purpose of describing awareness level and attitude of students regarding social marketing issues on HIV/AIDS programme. A sample of 245 students has been collected from Gurgoan District of Haryana. By employing principal component analysis 10 factors have been extracted explaining 66.918 per cent of variance out of 30 variables of the study. The study shows that women lack awareness regarding modes and prevention of HIV/AIDS which can be due to status of women in the society in reference to their education, exposure to media and role in the matters of sex. With increase in age and education, awareness and knowledge about different aspects of HIV/AIDS also increases as observed in the study.
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INTRODUCTION

The Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), is the virus that causes acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), has spread worldwide and infecting to date (June 2002) more than 14000 individuals every day. Since the discovery of virus in 1981, more than 20 million people have died of AIDS (UNAIDS, 2004). Public Health Policy has proved to be an effective tool for containing the HIV/AIDS epidemic. The National AIDS Control Programme (NACP) launched in 1987 was responsible for health education and care as well as screening and surveillance. According to current policy, all sections of society are targeted rather than groups with increased risk of infection only. The NACP acknowledges the need to promote greater awareness amongst the public as a whole, ensures a policy of non-discrimination towards individuals infected with HIV or AIDS, and focuses more resources upon HIV prevention activities, awareness generation, condom promotion, prevention of parent to child transmission, increasing ICTC (Integrated Counselling and Testing Centre) services, promotion of voluntary blood donation and access to safe blood.

In India people in the age group of 15-29 years comprise almost 25 per cent of the country’s population and they account for 31 per cent of AIDS burden. Based on the surveillance data (India Together, 2007) of National AIDS Control Organisation (NACO), there are around 5.206 million HIV infected people in India; making it the second country in the world in absolute numbers after South Africa. Prevention is the mainstay of the strategic response to HIV/AIDS in India as 99 per cent population of the country is uninfected. The HIV prevalence pattern in the remaining one per cent population largely determines the need of prevention and control strategy for the epidemic in the country.

According to NACO, an estimated 2.31 million people in India were living with HIV/AIDS in the year 2007 (88.7% adults in 15-49 years, 7.5% aged 50 and above and 3.5% children below 15 years). The prevalence rate of HIV/AIDS in the country is 0.34%. Women account for 39% of HIV burden in the country (National Policy on
HIV/AIDS and the World of Work Ministry of Labour and Employment Government of India. New HIV infections has declined by more than 50% over the past decade from 2.7 lakh in 2000 to 1.2 lakh in 2009 and the adult HIV prevalence at national level has continued its steady decline from 0.41% in 2000 through 0.36% in 2006 to 0.31% in 2009 (NACO State Fact Sheets, March 2012).

There is hardly any country in the world, which is not affected by the menace of HIV/AIDS epidemic. Everyone has same chance of being infected with HIV. HIV prevention needs to reach people who are at risk of HIV infection and those who are already infected. Bush and Davies (1989) have argued that in the absence of chemical cure or vaccine, the only tool available to fight is dissemination of public information and education on HIV/AIDS. The joint UN programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), World Bank and other national governments and agencies are working collectively to contain HIV/AIDS prevention and management. Social marketing is one of the approaches that tries to bring voluntary change in the current patterns and promotes improved alternate behaviour to help individuals and society in getting rid of social problems. Social marketing approach can be used to influence the target audience to change their behaviour for improving health and quality of life.

HIV/AIDS Status in Haryana

AIDS is assuming alarming proportions in Haryana as every district is having some HIV positive people. The number of cases per thousand was 0.3 in 1986, has shown a steep increase from 2.8 in 1996 to 8.1 in 1997. The situation is much more threatening than what the official figures reflects. According to medical practitioners, the blood samples which are screened by hundreds of private laboratories throughout the state do not conduct HIV tests and a large number of cases go unnoticed and unreported. The Haryana government has established 22 Integrated Counselling and Testing Centres (ICTC) at Government General Hospitals and Community Health Centres in the state in compliance to NACP and with the aim to spread awareness about AIDS in the susceptible community. According to the UNAIDS / WHO 2006 report on the global AIDS epidemic, 486 fresh cases of HIV/AIDS have been reported in Haryana last year, which accounts 13 per cent of the country’s total figures.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

To gain insight and understanding the background, following literature review has been carried out.

Condom social marketing has resulted in destigmatisation or normalisation of condoms and their use in population in general and particularly those at high risk of HIV infection (UNAIDS). Altman Dennis (1999) exhorted coordinated efforts of Governments to make international system to work more effectively in dealing with the HIV/AIDS epidemic. Askew Ian et al (2003) reviewed the contribution of SRH (Sexual and Reproductive Health) Programmes to HIV/AIDS prevention and treatment and have shown that sexual and reproductive health programmes can make important contribution to HIV prevention and treatment and that STI (Sexually Transmitted Infections) control is important both for sexual and reproductive health and HIV/AIDS control. Benatan Solomon R. (2001) suggested narrowing down the disparities of global economic apartheid in dealing with HIV/AIDS.

Blair Jill F. et al (1994) emphasized that the professionals and policy makers, in their efforts to stop the spread of HIV/AIDS should stress on dispelling the myths and clarifying truths about HIV transmission and the best means of prevention. Bollinger Lori et. al. (2004) identified large number of gaps to be filled by further research and evaluation. They have highlighted that if abstinence program for youths are core part of US policy, better research would be useful and national and international organizations are urging companies to implement programs at workplace. Deodhar N. S. (2003) emphasized the need to switch from IEC (Information, Education and Communication) to BCC (Behavior Change Communication). Based on review, it is observed that limited research has been carried out on social marketing of HIV/AIDS programme targeted for youth population. Hearst Norman et. al. (2004) analyzed the impact of use of condoms in preventing HIV/AIDS and found that though the condoms have effectiveness of about 90 percent in preventing HIV but the impact of
condoms is limited because of inconsistent use, less use among those at highest risk and negative interactions with other prevention strategies. They have recommended that there should be more promotion of condoms for those at highest risk and integration of this approach with other strategies of prevention.

Kelly Jeffrey A. (1995) identified psychological, social, and situational factors that influence HIV sexual risk-taking behavior, as well as the successful adoption of risk reduction behavior change. On a larger scale, accelerated trials of community-level norm and behavior change interventions are needed. The main challenges in HIV primary prevention is adapting behavior change models and procedures to sexual and drug use behaviors. Markku Loytonen (1991) has suggested that diffusion models based on variables representing behavior of a whole society should be created. The ABC approaches of HIV prevention are controversial in the sense that they (ABC) connote different meaning to different people across various countries like whether A stands for (Abstain or Abstinence for youth, including the delay of sexual debut and abstinence until marriage or Abstinence or delaying first sex). Similarly meaning of B is ambiguous. Most controversial is the C (Condomise or Correct and consistent use of condoms for those who practice high-risk behaviours or Correct and consistent use of condoms for sexually active young people, couples in which one partner is HIV-positive, sex workers and their clients, and anyone engaging in sexual activity with partners who may have been at risk of HIV exposure).

The goal of HIV prevention is to help people to learn how to eliminate or reduce risk of becoming infected with HIV or of transmitting HIV to others. HIV prevention takes place at two levels—The Primary and Secondary Prevention (Bai Pushpa, accessed from: www.cws-adu.org/Pushpa%20bai.pdf). The NGOs can adopt number of strategies such as peer-group approach, capacity building of the project personnel, condom promotion strategies, accessibility of IEC (Information, Education and Communication) materials, STD diagnosis & treatment counseling, outreach work strategies and intervention at the community levels (Veeramatha, accessed from: www.isec.ac.in/prc-abs17.pdf). U.S. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (1993) strongly advocated consistent use of condoms in sexual activities for checking transmission of HIV. William ARP III (2004) found that the HIV prevention programs should focus on answering queries regarding HIV transmission rather than laying focus on changing the behaviour. Based on review, it is observed that limited research has been carried out on social marketing of HIV/AIDS programme targeted on youth population.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Descriptive research design has been used in the study with the purpose of describing awareness level and attitude of students regarding social marketing issues on HIV/AIDS programme.

**Research Objective**

The social marketing programme on HIV/AIDS has been implemented with the objective of creating awareness on various issues of HIV/AIDS like modes of transmission, misconceptions and prevention and achieving behavioural changes in the context of HIV. The present study is a step in discerning the effectiveness of social marketing programme. The study aims at knowing awareness level of the students and finding their attitude regarding different aspects of social marketing programme on HIV/AIDS.

The study shall test the following hypotheses:

**H°1:** On gender basis, there is no significant difference in the attitude regarding HIV/AIDS.

**H°2:** On age basis, there is no significant difference in the attitude regarding HIV/AIDS.

**H°3:** On education basis, there is no significant difference in the attitude regarding HIV/AIDS.

**Sampling Technique**

The sample for the study has been taken by using stratified random sampling method. The students of Gurgaon district constituted the population were divided into three group viz. school (10+1 and 10+2), undergraduate and post graduate level. Three lists of students at school, undergraduate and post graduate level
were prepared with the help of roll numbers and students were randomly selected from these lists.

Sample Description

A sample of 245 students was collected from Gurgoan District of Haryana. The sample includes 142 male and 103 female students out of which 113 belong to 14-18 years and 132 belong to 19-23 years of age group and 102, 64 and 79 were studying in school (10+1 and 10+2), undergraduate and post graduate courses respectively.

Data Collection Instrument

Since the study is based on primary data, a questionnaire was designed and pilot tested. The questionnaire consisted of 30 statements on 5-point likert scale ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree.

Analysis Tools

The data has been analysed with the help of Factor Analysis Principal Component Method and hypothesis testing has been carried out by applying t-test of independence for making comparison between Gender (Male/Female), Age (14-18/19-23 years) and one way-ANOVA for testing equality on the basis of Education (School/Undergraduate/Post Graduate). The data has been analysed with the help of SPSS 16.0. In order to facilitate comparison and interpretation on the basis of gender, age and education in terms of extracted factors, the factor scores have been normalized into 10 point scale by using the following conversion formula:

\[
Z = \frac{(F_a - F_{\text{min}}) \times 10}{(F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}})}
\]

Where:
- \(Z\) is the standardized factor score on a scale of 10
- \(F_a\) is the actual value of factor score
- \(F_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum value of factor score
- \(F_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum value of factor score

DATA ANALYSIS

By employing principal component analysis 10 factors have been extracted explaining 66.918 per cent of variance out of 30 variables of the study. The factors were rotated (orthogonal) by Varimax with Kaiser Normalization and rotations converged in 19 iterations. In rotated factor matrix, loading of variables on extracted factors above .49 have been considered. The Table I summarises these 10 extracted factors.

(INsert Table 1 Here)

Data Reliability

The reliability of data has been ensured through reliability statistics Cronbach’s Alpha found to be .751 for the 30 variables included in the study. The KMO measure of sampling adequacy is found to be .807 and Bartlett’s test of Sphericity is 2503.360 (df: 435) with a significance of 0.000.

(INsert Table 2 Here)

Comparison on Gender Basis

From Table II, it is observed that male and female have significant differences regarding 04 factors viz. Knowledge of HIV misconception, HIV prevention by promoting safe injecting behaviour and STD diagnosis, HIV prevention by promoting safe sex behaviour and HIV spread through common illness and faithfulness in sexual relationship. This shows that women lack awareness regarding modes and prevention of HIV/AIDS which can be due to status of women in the society in reference to their education, exposure to media and role in the matters of sex. Gender inequality in terms of access to media, knowledge of AIDS and condom use, social and cultural norms favouring male domination in sexual and reproductive matters place women at lower level of awareness and attitude towards HIV/AIDS in relation to men (Mitra Aparna et al. 2011). Nicholas Rebecca (2010) observed that though the women have gained knowledge and awareness of HIV/AIDS and intends to follow prevention advice but the interaction between poverty and gender inequality hinder protective behaviour necessary to check spread of HIV.

Comparison on Age Basis

On the basis age (14-18 and 19-23 years), students in the 02 age groups differ significantly on 03 factors namely...
HIV prevention by promoting safe injecting behaviour and STD diagnosis, availability and cost of HIV treatment and HIV spread through common illness and faithfulness in sexual relationship (Table No. II). As an individual grows up towards achieving adolescence, he/she learns about HIV/AIDS and sexual matters.

(INSERT TABLE 3 HERE)

**Comparison on Education basis**

The 03 group of students at school, undergraduate and post graduate level differ significantly on 02 factors named availability and cost of HIV treatment and HIV spread through common illness and faithfulness in sexual relationship (Table III). With increase in education level, students are exposed to different learning media and they are more aware and informed regarding HIV/AIDS.

**Fit of Factor Analysis Model**

The fit of factor analysis model has been checked through reproduced correlation matrix wherein 124 (28%) residuals have been observed with absolute value greater than .05 indicating an acceptable model fit.

**FINDINGS**

The gender comparison points states that females’ awareness of modes and prevention of HIV/AIDS is low in comparison to males’ which can be attributed to gender inequality in the society. Age and education level directly affects the awareness and prevention knowledge of HIV/AIDS.

**CONCLUSION**

The gender differences portends that social marketing programme on HIV/AIDS needs a different strategy focusing on females learning and awareness of social issues, exposure to media, their roles in decision making regarding health and family welfare issues for promoting gender equality. The social marketers should promote the desired behaviour for preventing the spread of HIV infection.
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Table 1: Extracted factors name

| Factor (Eigen Value) | Factor Interpretation (% variance explained) | Loading | Variables included in Factor |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| F1 (6.287)           | Knowledge of HIV misconception (19.213)     | .827    | HIV spread by working with people. |
|                      |                                             | .817    | HIV spread by shaking hands. |
|                      |                                             | .813    | HIV spread by caring HIV people |
|                      |                                             | .800    | HIV can spread by socialising with HIV people. |
|                      |                                             | .800    | HIV can spread by casual contact. |
|                      |                                             | .736    | HIV spread by sharing telephone |
|                      |                                             | .711    | HIV spread by hugging or kissing. |
|                      |                                             | .637    | HIV spread by swimming. |
|                      |                                             | .598    | HIV spread by sharing of utensils. |
|                      |                                             | .580    | HIV spread by use the same toilet. |
| F2 (2.677)           | HIV prevention by promoting safe injecting behaviour and STD diagnosis (7.469) | .842    | HIV can be prevented by promoting safe injecting behaviour. |
|                      |                                             | .717    | Spread of HIV/AIDS can be prevented by promoting safe blood transfusion. |
|                      |                                             | .627    | HIV can be prevented by diagnosis (STD). |
| F3 (2.178)           | HIV Transmission modes(6.776)               | .778    | HIV spread through blood transfusion. |
|                      |                                             | .773    | HIV spread through sexual activities. |
|                      |                                             | .741    | HIV spread from infected pregnant mother to her child. |
| Factor                                                                 | t- test (Gender) | t- test (Age) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
|                                                                      | Gender | Factor Mean | t* (Sig. 2 tailed) | Age | Factor Mean | t* (Sig. 2 tailed) |
| Knowledge of HIV misconception (F1)                                  | Male   | 8.2168      | 2.915 (.004)**    | 14-18 | 7.8502     | -1.337 (.183)     |
|                                                                      | Female  | 7.6683      |                     | 19-23 | 8.1026     |               |
| HIV prevention by promoting safe injecting behaviour and STD diagnosis (F2) | Male   | 7.2713      | 2.051 (.041)**     | 14-18 | 6.8012     | -2.548 (.011)**   |
|                                                                      | Female  | 6.8361      |                     | 19-23 | 7.3342     |               |

Table 2: Factor Mean Score: Comparing equality on the basis of Gender, Age
| HIV Transmission modes (F3)          | Male     | 6.6069 | -1.378 (.170) | 14-18 | 6.6571 | -0.690 (.491) |
|                                    | Female   | 6.9361 |             | 19-23 | 6.8208 |             |
| Availability and Cost of HIV treatment (F4) | Male   | 4.7947 | -1.485 (.139) | 14-18 | 5.4248 | 2.639 (.009)** |
|                                    | Female   | 5.2581 |             | 19-23 | 4.6169 |             |
| HIV prevention by promoting safe sex behaviour (F5) | Male | 7.1084 | 2.835 (.005)** | 14-18 | 6.6395 | -1.754 (.081) |
|                                    | Female   | 6.4863 |             | 19-23 | 7.0243 |             |
| HIV spread through common illness and Faithfulness in sexual relationship prevent HIV (F6) | Male | 6.8206 | 2.208 (.028)** | 14-18 | 6.2119 | -3.526 (.001)** |
|                                    | Female   | 6.3374 |             | 19-23 | 6.9646 |             |
| HIV spread through biting (F7)      | Male     | 5.1922 | -.416 (.678) | 14-18 | 5.2288 | -.073 (.942) |
|                                    | Female   | 5.3042 |             | 19-23 | 5.2482 |             |
| Discrimination against HIV and Knowing HIV status (F8) | Male | 6.1920 | -.046 (.964) | 14-18 | 6.2704 | .631 (.529) |
|                                    | Female   | 6.2021 |             | 19-23 | 6.1328 |             |
| Early sex and cause of AIDS (F9)    | Male     | 5.8651 | .326 (.745) | 14-18 | 5.6301 | -1.627 (.105) |
|                                    | Female   | 5.7885 |             | 19-23 | 6.0066 |             |
| Unanswered queries and chance of HIV infection (F10) | Male | 5.9471 | -.818 (.414) | 14-18 | 6.1275 | .838 (.403) |
|                                    | Female   | 6.1337 |             | 19-23 | 5.9383 |             |

*: t value for equal variance assumed (df: 243); ** Significant at .05.
| Factor                                                                 | F (Sig.)  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Knowledge of HIV misconception (F1)                                 | 1.678 (.189) |
| HIV prevention by promoting safe injecting behaviour and STD diagnosis (F2) | 2.692 (.070) |
| HIV Transmission modes (F3)                                          | .308 (.735) |
| Availability and Cost of HIV treatment (F4)                         | 4.743 (.010)** |
| HIV prevention by promoting safe sex behaviour (F5)                 | 1.248 (.289) |
| HIV spread through common illness and Faithfulness in sexual relationship prevent HIV (F6) | 9.280 (.000)** |
| HIV spread through biting (F7)                                      | .629 (.534) |
| Discrimination against HIV and Knowing HIV status (F8)              | 1.610 (.202) |
| Early sex and cause of AIDS (F9)                                    | 2.142 (.120) |
| Unanswered queries and chance of HIV infection (F10)                | .871 (.420) |

** Significant at .05.