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ABSTRACT

Introduction: India is the first country to implement National Family Program in 1952. Acceptance of contraceptive methods is influenced by various factors at the individual, family, and community level with their roots in the socioeconomic and cultural milieu of Indian society. This study was carried to find the contraceptive prevalence rate among eligible couples as well as to find the factors influencing it in a rural area of Coimbatore. Methodology: The study was carried out using the data extracted from the family health survey conducted by trained field staff for the purpose of furnishing details regarding people residing in the field practice area of Rural Health Training Centre (RHTC), Vedapatti located in Coimbatore district after obtaining Institutional Human Ethical clearance. All eligible couples were included for the study. Contraceptive prevalence is expressed in percentage with 95% Confidence interval. Univariate analysis (Chi-square test) was done to find the association between contraceptive acceptance and independent variables. Strength of association is determined by odds ratio with 95% Confidence Interval (CI). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results: Contraceptive Prevalence Rate among eligible couples was found to be 75% (95% CI: 73.6–76.4). Most commonly used method among the study participants was tubectomy (81.6%), followed by condoms (11.4%), intrauterine devices (6.3%), and oral contraceptive pills (0.7%). Higher age of the women, religion, educational status of the women, socioeconomic status, working status of the women, number of living children, age at the time of marriage, and age at the time of first child birth were found to have statistical significant association with higher usage of contraception. Conclusion: The contraceptive prevalence rate was found to be higher in this study population and multiple factors were influencing the contraception usage among the eligible couples.
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Introduction

Population explosion has been the major problem of India since Independence. Considering the consequences, population stabilization has been identified as an essential prerequisite for the economic development of the nation resulting in improved quality of life. India became the first country in the world to formulate National Family Planning Program in 1952, with the objective of “reducing birth rate to the extent necessary to stabilize the population at a level consistent with requirement of national economy.” As per the projections by United Nations, India will become the most populous country by the year 2045. One of the important components of family planning program management is to assess the potential demand for contraceptive services so as to mitigate the adverse impact of population growth. Family planning can reduce maternal mortality by reducing the number of pregnancies, abortions, and the proportion of births at high risk.
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Many empirical studies have shown the acceptance of contraceptive methods is influenced by various factors operating at the individual, family, and community level with their roots in the socioeconomic and cultural milieu of Indian society. The contraceptive prevalence rate is “the percentage of women of reproductive age (15–49 years) who are married or in a union: Who are currently using, or whose sexual partner is currently using, at least one contraceptive method, regardless of the method used”. Contraceptive prevalence rate serves as a proxy measure of access to reproductive health services and is an indicator of health, population, development, and women’s empowerment that are essential for meeting many of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), especially the child mortality and maternal health related goals.

If the population has ready access to good quality services at affordable cost, it will be possible for them to meet all their needs, achieve the desired family size, and enable the country to achieve population stabilization rapidly. Majority of India’s population (about 70%) live in the rural communities but majority of the health facilities are concentrated in the urban localities leading to a disparity in access to health care. Contraceptive use is influenced by a wide range of factors including demographic, social, and economic characteristics.

There is paucity of community-based rural studies with regard to contraceptive usage. Identifying the prevalence of contraceptive usage and the local determinants could help to plan strategy and make necessary recommendations that would help improve utilization of family planning services. Hence, this study was carried out to determine the contraceptive prevalence rate among eligible couples as well as to study the association of selected social, economic and demographic factors with contraceptive usage in a rural area of Coimbatore.

**Methodology**

The study was carried in accordance with the ethical standards of PSGIMSR Institutional Human Ethics Committee and Ethical Principles for Medical Research was followed. The Ethics committee approval was obtained prior to carrying out the study. Date of approval: 18/12/2019. The Institute permission was obtained prior to carrying out the study. In the current study, the data were extracted from the family health survey conducted by trained field staff for the purpose of furnishing details regarding people residing in the field practice area of Rural Health Training Centre (RHTC), Vedapatti, a rural area of Tamil Nadu located in Coimbatore district. The RHTC caters to 14 villages with a population of 25,886.

**Sample size was calculated based on NFHS IV report. Contraceptive Prevalence Rate of rural Tamil Nadu was 52.3%. Using the formula, n = 4pq/d² where P is 52, q is 100-52, that is, q = 48 and d is the relative precision (5% of p), the sample size required was estimated to be 1,477. Though the required sample size was only 1,477, all 4,438 eligible couples in the field practice area were included for the current study and their data was analyzed.**

Various demographic, social, and economic factors like age of women, number of children, age at marriage, age at first birth child, religion, caste, family type, educational status of husband and wife, working status of women, socioeconomic status classification (based on Modified Prasad classification - Class I – ≥Rs. 6,414; Class II – Rs. 3,207–6,413; Class III – Rs. 1,924–3,206; Class IV – Rs. 962–1,923, and Class V - ≤Rs. 961) were included in the study.

**Statistical analysis**

Data was entered in Microsoft excel and analyzed in SPSS 20.0 version Univariate analysis (Chi-square test) was used to find out the association between the independent variables and the dependent variable. Odds ratio was calculated with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) to find the strength of association. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

Total number of eligible couples enrolled in the study was 4,438.

The demographic profile of the participants is shown in the Table 1. Majority of the participants (41.3%) were in the age group of 35–49 years, 96.3% of women were Hindus. Majority of married women (70.4%) were living in nuclear families, 64% were

| Variable                      | Number (n=4438) | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Age of Women (completed years)|                 |            |
| 15-24                         | 1196            | 27.0       |
| 25-34                         | 1408            | 31.7       |
| 35-49                         | 1834            | 41.3       |
| Religion                      |                 |            |
| Hindu                         | 4276            | 96.3       |
| Christian                     | 133             | 3          |
| Muslim                        | 29              | 0.7        |
| Caste                         |                 |            |
| General                       | 1389            | 31.3       |
| OBC                           | 2926            | 65.9       |
| SC/ST                         | 123             | 2.8        |
| Family Type                   |                 |            |
| Nuclear                       | 3125            | 70.4       |
| Extended                      | 1313            | 29.6       |
| Number of Living Children     |                 |            |
| No child                      | 443             | 10         |
| One child                     | 777             | 17.5       |
| Two child                     | 2841            | 64         |
| ≥3 children                   | 377             | 8.5        |
| Age at the Time of Marriage   |                 |            |
| <18 years                     | 1152            | 26         |
| ≥18 years                     | 3286            | 74         |
| Age at the Time of First Child|                 |            |
| <18 years                     | 957             | 21.6       |
| ≥18 years                     | 3481            | 78.4       |
having two children, 26% of the women in the study population got married before completion of 18 years and 21.6% women delivered a baby before completion of 18 years.

Table 2 shows that most of the eligible couples were educated up to middle school. Female literacy rate was 84.8%, whereas male literacy rate was 87.3%. Higher proportion (37.4%) belonged to Class IV socioeconomic class and 31.4% women were employed with salary.

Out of 4,438 eligible couples studied, 3,328 were current users of contraception at the time of the survey. Hence, the Contraceptive Prevalence Rate was 75% (95% CI: 73.6–76.4%) in this study population. Table 3 shows the various family planning methods adopted by the eligible couples. Most common preferred method was tubectomy (81.6%), followed by condoms (11.4%), intrauterine devices (IUDs) (6.3%), and oral contraceptive pills (OCPs) (0.7%).

The demographic factors influencing the contraception acceptance is shown in Table 4. The significant predictors for contraceptive acceptance are higher age of women, those who are having two living children, women who are married after 19 years of age, and those who had given birth to first child after 19 years of age.

The social and economic factors influencing the contraception acceptance is shown in Table 5. Factors like higher educational level of women, working women, and higher socioeconomic class are significantly associated with adoption of any of the contraceptive method.

### Discussion

Contraceptive use and unmet need for family planning are keys to understand profound changes in fertility pattern and also helps to improve reproductive health. By understanding the concept of unmet needs, the gap between women reproductive intentions and contraceptive behavior can be understood. In this study, Contraceptive Prevalence Rate among eligible couples was found to be 75%, which is higher than studies reported by NFHS IV (52.3%), Patel AA (55.3%), Singh et al. (68.4%), Makade et al. (68.4%), Gupta et al. (71.1%). The contraceptive acceptance is quite high among the study population.

Most common method followed by the eligible couples was permanent method, that is, tubectomy (81.6%) which is higher than other studies by Shree et al. (70.9%), Patel AA, et al. (33.7%), and Nanda et al. (30.5%). In our study, 11.4% couples use condoms which is similar to study done by Chandra et al. (11.7%) and higher than Nanda et al. (5.1%). Usage of IUDs was 6.3% which is lower than study done by Nanda et al. (45.8%) and Shree et al. (11.3%). Another important finding is that only one male individual has undergone vasectomy. This clearly portrays that even though permanent sterilization method in male (i.e., vasectomy) is an easier procedure and has lesser postoperative symptoms the responsibility for birth control still traditionally rests with the woman since she has to undergo the physical and psychological burden of unplanned pregnancy.

In our study, contraception usage showed significant association with higher age of the women, religion, education of the women, higher socioeconomic class, working status of the women, presence of two living children, age at the time of marriage as well as first child birth more than 18 years of age. There was no significant association with type of family, educational status of husband and caste.

In this study, the usage of contraception was significantly higher among those who were aged 35–49 years. The contraceptive acceptance was three times higher than those of aged 15–24 years, probably these couples would have completed their family. Similar findings were reported by Patel AA, Valekar SS, et al., and Prateek et al. wherein women of age group 35–49 years are using better contraception. The
contraceptive usage was significantly low (34.5%) among Muslims than Hindus (75.3%) but the proportion of Muslims was low in this study. This result was consistent with other studies like Singh AK, et al[1] and Chandra et al.[3] The reason for lower usage of contraception among the Muslim couples could be because of religious and cultural beliefs on contraception. Hence, religion still plays a predictor role on deciding the choice of contraception.

Education of women leads to a greater awareness and a significant effect on family planning behavior and use of contraception. Our study revealed significantly higher usage of contraception among literates when compared with the illiterates. The literates will be able to understand the pros of contraceptive usage which could have brought the behavioral change. This result was consistent with studies done by Singh AK, et al[1] Patel AA,[11] Gupta et al.[13] Kumar N, et al,[17] and Oppong FB, et al.[18] Improving education status can pave way to delay a women’s marriage age, she will be able to seek a job and can help to change the attitude toward birth spacing and family size and empowers women to make a better decision.

In our study, contraceptive use was significantly higher among working women as they are more likely to be educated and their socioeconomic status is supposed to be better than the non-working women. Working women are likely to be more knowledgeable; would have wide exposure to media and social contacts which can influence their contraceptive behavior. This finding was similar to study done by Mukherjee et al.[19] In the present study, it was found that as the socioeconomic status decreases, the usage of contraception also decreases. Contraception usage is significantly higher among class I when compared to Class V. This may be due to the fact that the higher socioeconomic status individuals have better accessibility and availability of contraception and they have better awareness regarding contraception. Similar finding was reported by Prateek et al.[2]

Number of living children had a significant impact on contraceptive usage. Those women who had completed their family by getting at least two children (small family norm) are using contraception higher than others. This may be due to the fact that many couples decided to complete their family with two children and also female sterilization (after institutional deliveries) was emphasized for women after they bear two children. Government policies and advisory of two child norm and benefits announced could have favored contraception acceptance by these couples. This finding was consistent with study done by Singh et al.[1] Shree et al[14] Valekar SS, et al,[16] and Gothwal M, et al[20] Contrastingly, it was also found contraceptive usage was higher among those having two children compared with couples having three or more

| Variables                        | Adoption of Family Planning method | OR   | 95% CI          | P       |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|-----------------|---------|
|                                  | Yes (n=3328) Total (%)             | No (n=1110) Total (%)             |        |
| Age of women completed years     |                                    |      |                 |         |
| 15-24                            | 742 (62)                           | 454 (38)                           | 1      |         |
| 25-34                            | 1048 (74.4)                        | 360 (25.6)                        | 1.78   | 1.5-2.11| <0.001 |
| 35-49                            | 1538 (83.9)                        | 296 (16.1)                        | 3.18   | 2.67-3.78| <0.001 |
| Religion                         |                                    |      |                 |         |
| Hindu                            | 3220 (75.3)                        | 1056 (24.7)                       | 1      |         |
| Muslim                           | 10 (34.5)                          | 19 (65.5)                          | 0.17   | 0.07-0.39| <0.001 |
| Christian                        | 98 (73.7)                          | 35 (26.3)                          | 0.92   | 0.61-1.39| 0.67    |
| Caste                            |                                    |      |                 |         |
| SC/ST                            | 90 (73.2)                          | 33 (26.8)                          | 1      |         |
| OBC                              | 2192 (74.9)                        | 734 (25.1)                         | 1.10   | 0.71-1.67| 0.66    |
| General                          | 1046 (75.3)                        | 343 (24.7)                        | 1.12   | 0.72-1.73| 0.59    |
| Family Type                      |                                    |      |                 |         |
| Nuclear                          | 2349 (75.2)                        | 776 (24.8)                         | 1      |         |
| Extended                         | 979 (74.6)                         | 334 (25.4)                         | 0.97   | 0.83-1.13| 0.67    |
| Number of Living Children        |                                    |      |                 |         |
| ≥3 child                         | 343 (91)                           | 34 (9)                             | 1      |         |
| 2 child                          | 2707 (95.3)                        | 134 (4.7)                          | 2.0    | 1.33-3.01| <0.001 |
| 1 child                          | 275 (35.4)                         | 502 (64.6)                         | 0.05   | 0.04-0.08| <0.001 |
| No child                         | 3 (0.7)                            | 440 (99.3)                         | 0.0007 | 0.0002-0.0022| <0.001 |
| Age at the Time of Marriage (Women) |                                  |      |                 |         |
| <18 years                        | 2559 (77.9)                        | 727 (22.1)                         | 1      |         |
| ≥18 years                        | 769 (66.8)                         | 383 (33.2)                         | 0.57   | 0.49-0.66| <0.001 |
| Age at the Time of First Child Birth (Women) |            |      |                 |         |
| <18 years                        | 2854 (82)                          | 627 (18)                           | 1      |         |
| ≥18 years                        | 474 (49.5)                         | 483 (50.5)                         | 0.22   | 0.18-0.25| <0.001 |
children. This could be due to the fact the need for contraception is not well appreciated by these couples.

Age at the time of marriage and age at time of first child birth also showed significant association with contraceptive usage. Those women who got married or gave birth before the age of 18 years used less contraception when compared with those who got married or gave birth to child after the age of 18 years. This may be because the former individuals are less aware of the contraceptive methods and their maturity levels are not favorable. Hence delaying the age of marriage of women as well as delaying the age of first child birth more than 18 years could have a positive impact on contraceptive acceptance. But studies by Prateek et al.\textsuperscript{[2]} and Valekar SS, et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} did not show significant association between contraception usage and age at marriage or age at first child birth.

Our study did not reveal association of contraception use and educational status of husband. This result is consistent with study done by Valekar SS, et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} But studies by Prateek et al.\textsuperscript{[2]} and Shree et al.\textsuperscript{[14]} has shown association between educational status of husband and contraception usage. The current study did not show significant association of contraception use with caste and type of family, but a study by Nanda et al.\textsuperscript{[13]} has shown significant association of contraception with caste and studies conducted by Singh et al.\textsuperscript{[10]} and Valekar SS, et al.\textsuperscript{[16]} has shown individuals in nuclear family are accepting the family planning methods higher than those in extended family.

This study has few limitations because other confounders which can influence the contraceptive prevalence like knowledge about contraception, sex preference, female autonomy, and unmet needs of contraception were not studied. Despite the data being retrieved from the routine family health survey, the reliability of the data is not a major concern as it was collected by trained field workers for purpose of family health survey.

## Conclusion and Recommendation

From our study, it is obvious that the contraceptive prevalence was high among the study population and epidemiological factors like higher age of the women, religion, education of the women, higher socioeconomic class, working status of the women, presence of two living children, age at the time of marriage, as well as first child birth more than 18 years of age are influencing the contraception acceptance. Measures to sustain the gains of the family planning program should be given priority to sustain population stabilization and to achieve Net Reproductive Rate of one. Hence, on the first-hand targeted health awareness among those who are not using contraception could help to bring about a behavioral change so contraception usage can be maximized. Secondly, outreach contraceptive services should be intensified and expanded for easy access without fear of stigma by the society. Thirdly, reproductive health programs and policies should adequately involve men, by encouraging and motivation to adopt vasectomy as an easy method of family planning.

### Table 5: Association of Social and Economic variables with adoption of family planning methods

| Variables                  | Adaption of Family Planning method (n=3328) Total (%) | OR      | 95% CI          | P     |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| Educational status of Women|                                                     |         |                 |       |
| Illiterate                 | 445 (65.9)                                          | 230 (34.1) | 1               | <0.01 |
| Primary                    | 529 (75.8)                                          | 169 (24.2) | 1.62            | 1.27-2.06 | <0.01 |
| Middle                     | 966 (78.1)                                          | 271 (21.9) | 1.84            | 1.49-2.28 | <0.01 |
| High school                | 569 (82.7)                                          | 119 (17.3) | 2.47            | 1.9-3.21  | <0.01 |
| Higher secondary           | 405 (74.6)                                          | 138 (25.4) | 1.52            | 1.17-1.96 | <0.01 |
| Graduate                   | 414 (69.3)                                          | 183 (30.7) | 1.17            | 0.92-1.49 | 0.19  |
| Educational status of Men  |                                                     |         |                 |       |
| Illiterate                 | 434 (76.8)                                          | 131 (23.2) | 1               | <0.01 |
| Primary                    | 501 (73.5)                                          | 181 (26.5) | 0.84            | 0.64-1.09 | 0.17  |
| Middle                     | 1241 (75.5)                                         | 403 (24.5) | 0.93            | 0.74-1.17 | 0.5   |
| High school                | 431 (76.1)                                          | 135 (23.9) | 0.96            | 0.73-1.28 | 0.79  |
| Higher secondary           | 225 (72.3)                                          | 86 (27.7)  | 0.79            | 0.57-1.10 | 0.14  |
| Graduate                   | 496 (74)                                            | 174 (26)    | 0.86            | 0.66-1.13 | 0.26  |
| Socio Economic Status      |                                                     |         |                 |       |
| Class I                    | 247 (79.9)                                          | 62 (20.1)  | 1               | <0.001 |
| Class II                   | 608 (76.2)                                          | 190 (23.8) | 0.80            | 0.57-1.12 | 0.18  |
| Class III                  | 998 (74.9)                                          | 335 (25.1) | 0.75            | 0.54-1.02 | 0.06  |
| Class IV                   | 1261 (76)                                           | 399 (24)   | 0.79            | 0.58-1.08 | 0.13  |
| Class V                    | 214 (63.3)                                          | 124 (36.7) | 0.43            | 0.30-0.63 | <0.001|
| Working status of women    |                                                     |         |                 |       |
| Not Working                | 2190 (71.9)                                         | 854 (29.1) | 1               | <0.01  |
| Working                    | 1138 (81.6)                                         | 256 (18.4) | 1.73            | 1.48-2.03 | <0.01 |
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