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Abstract

Background: One form of plant immunity against pathogens involves a rapid host programmed cell death at the site of infection accompanied by the activation of local and systemic resistance to pathogens, termed the hypersensitive response (HR). In this work it was tested (i) if the plant growth regulator auxin can inhibit the cell death elicited by a purified proteinaceous HR elicitor, (ii) how far down the process this inhibition can be achieved, and (iii) if the inhibition affects reporters of immune response. The effect of constitutive modulation of endogenous auxin levels in transgenic plants on this cell death program was also evaluated.

Results: The HR programmed cell death initiated by a bacterial type III secretion system dependent proteinaceous elicitor harpin (from Erwinia amylovora) can be reversed till very late in the process by the plant growth regulator auxin. Early inhibition or late reversal of this cell death program does not affect marker genes correlated with local and systemic resistance. Transgenic plants constitutively modulated in endogenous levels of auxin are not affected in ability or timing of cell death initiated by harpin.

Conclusion: These data indicate that the cell death program initiated by harpin can be reversed till late in the process without effect on markers strongly correlated with local and systemic immunity. The constitutive modulation of endogenous auxin does not affect equivalent signaling processes affecting cell death or buffers these signals. The concept and its further study has utility in choosing better strategies for treating mammalian and agricultural diseases.

Background

A well studied form of immunity against pathogens in the plant kingdom involves a rapid programmed cell death at the site of infection by the pathogen, associated with restriction of multiplication and spread of the pathogen, termed the hypersensitive response (HR) [1]. Often this HR cell death is accompanied by induction of broad spectrum resistance in uninfected parts of the plants, which is referred to as systemic acquired resistance (SAR). This process is conceptually similar to the requirement of immune activation accompanying apoptosis in some cell types [2]. Apoptosis and many other forms of programmed cell death and many of the components have been identified and extensively studied in different kingdoms [3]. The cell death program and its constituent components in plants is less well understood than the corresponding phenomena (e.g., of apoptosis) in mammals and other organisms, though existence of mechanis-
tic parallels has been demonstrated [4,5]. The point until which the cell death program can be reversed or inhibited has not been specifically addressed in any of these systems, which is one of the aspects addressed in this work.

The response of the plant host to a pathogen is intricately dependent on the physiological and developmental status of the plant, that are in turn controlled by signaling by different growth hormones and environmental conditions. Many gram-negative bacterial pathogens of plant, animal and human hosts e.g., Pseudomonas, Erwinia, Xanthomonas, Ralstonia, Yersinia, Shigella and Salmonella species encode a secretion system, termed the type III secretion system (TTSS) that enable them to secrete effector proteins that affect the host, many of which are directly translocated into host cells [6]. In the case of plants, the recognition of several bacterial effectors have evolved through a class of often intracellular LRR containing receptors and/or kinases, termed resistance (R) genes that recognize specific effectors [1]. The specific genetic or biochemical recognition of the bacterial component by the plant host triggers the rapid HR cell death program.

Harpins, unlike many other type III effectors were identified as proteins that are secreted by the bacteria into the media via the TTSS and by their ability to effect host cell death when purified protein is injected into the host apoplastic space (intercellular space) of leaves [7,8]. Despite this main difference many lines of evidence support the conclusion that harpin elicited cell death is programmed and shares many aspects of resistance associated cell death phenomena in plants [9-11]. While the receptor that recognizes harpins is yet to be reported, a ca. 25 kDa protein is recognized in the membrane enriched fraction of Nicotiana tabacum by an anti-idiotypic antiserum to harpin from Erwinia amylovora (harpin$_{Ea}$) (S. Gopalan and SY. He, unpublished results).

**Results and discussion**

**Early inhibition and late reversal of harpin mediated cell death program by the growth regulator auxin**

Based on the well established concept that organismal homeostasis and key processes are controlled by the delicate balance between antagonistic death and survival signals [12], the hypotheses that the HR cell death program induced by a purified bacterial elicitor – harpin, can be reversed by a key plant growth regulator, auxin was tested [13,14]. To examine the effect of auxin on HR cell death, 50 μM auxin (2,4-D) and purified harpin$_{Ea}$ were coinfiltrated into the apoplastic space of leaves of Nicotiana tabacum cv. Samsun NN leaves. Whereas treatment of harpin in buffer elicited cell death – Fig. 1A-1, auxin completely inhibited harpin elicited cell death Fig. 1A-2. Inhibition could also be observed by co-infiltrating indole acetic acid (IAA) at 50 μM and harpin (data not shown).

To examine how farther down the process this inhibition can be achieved, auxin or buffer was infiltrated 6 h post-infiltration of harpin in the same area where harpin was initially infiltrated. Under the conditions of the experiments, harpin elicited visible tissue flaccidity about 8 h post-infiltration (pi). Strikingly, the area infiltrated with auxin 6 h after initial infiltration did not show any cell death symptoms, whereas the buffer infiltrated area showed visible tissue flaccidity 3 h later and eventually showed HR symptom (Fig. 1B). These data indicate that the cellular commitment to die and the cell death program can be reversed by appropriate signals till late in the process.

**Cell death associated immune responses are operational despite early inhibition or late reversal of the program**

As mentioned above the HR cell death is associated with local and systemic resistance in plants. The induction of marker genes strongly correlated with both these processes were tested. Induction of both the genes tested, HIN1 – a gene induced locally at the site of HR cell death [10], and PR1 – an established marker gene whose induction is tightly correlated with SAR, were not affected by

![Figure 1](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1756-0500/1/126)
inhibition of HR cell death by auxin – Fig. 2. While the precise contribution of HR cell death to resistance is not known, there are examples of cell death elicitation (spontaneous and induced) that can lead to resistance [15,16]. In contrast, there are also examples of constitutive resistance without cell death [17,18]. An example of separate of HR cell death and resistance programs is the R gene (Rx) mediated recognition of potato virus X (PVX) [19]. Collectively, these data indicate that resistance phenomena while tightly associated with certain cell death processes, is triggered through a divergent signaling process that is strongly modulated by signals from cell death programs.

Possible cellular programs effecting auxin based inhibition and reversal of cell death program and their relationship to pathophysiology

While the mode of action of the reversal of this cell death program need to be worked out, some clues suggested by signaling and physiological effects of auxin are, (i) involvement of ubiquitin mediated proteolysis, as signaling through many short-lived transcription factors is a hallmark of auxin response and the fact that TIR1, a ubiquitin ligase, is an auxin receptor mediating these responses [20,21], (ii) auxin causes extracellular acidification and cell wall loosening as opposed to alkalinization and crosslinking of cell wall during HR. Recent studies have revealed a role for auxin signaling during susceptible plant-pathogen interactions. In one case an miRNA induced by a resistance inducing pathogen associated molecular pattern (PAMP) – the peptide flagellin – has been shown to suppress auxin signaling, and stimulating this arm of auxin signaling may promote susceptibility [22]. In another case, an increase in endogenous auxin levels and increase in symptom development by application of exogenous auxin during susceptible interactions have been observed [23]. One possible mechanistic implication in terms of pathophysiology of infection is that some aspect(s) of auxin signaling suppress cell death program and enhances disease susceptibility. This raises the intriguing possibility that the cell death during later stages of susceptible interaction of pathogen (typically considered necrosis) contributes to feedback resistance to some extent or possibly share some components with resistance associated cell death program like in HR, or both.

How does auxin affect signaling modules to impair the cell death program?

In contrast to inhibition and reversal of the harpin elicited cell death program by exogenous auxin, transgenic plants constitutively modulated in their endogenous levels of free auxin (either increased 7 fold or decreased 10 fold), [24,25] were neither affected in their ability to elicit harpin or the bacteria Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae 61 (Pss61) induced cell death or the timing induction of death symptoms (Table 1). Similarly, exogenous auxin could not prevent cell death mediated by bacterial pathogens tested (Erwinia amylovora and Pss61 – Table 1 and data not shown). Earlier, it had been shown that auxin produced by some bacteria, can inhibit cell death elicited by an incompatible bacterial pathogen when coinfiltrated [26]. These data suggest the following testable hypotheses, (i) signals reprogrammed by constitutive modulation of endogenous auxin levels is not sufficient or equivalent to exogenous application of auxin in inhibition and reversal of cell death program, (ii) alternatively, the signaling modules are buffered by constitutive modulation of endogenous auxin levels (thus not able to express signals contributing to inhibition of the cell death program), and (iii) the difference in the inhibition of bacterially elicited HR cell death by auxin and by purified elicitor possibly reflects the extended and continuous delivery of cell death elicitors or auxin by bacteria, as opposed to single delivery of the elicitor and auxin in this study. Thus, having a purified elicitor of cell death facilitated this line of investigation.

Conclusion

In summary, these data demonstrate that a form of programmed cell death program in plants can be reversed till very late in the process and can be separated from the induction of associated disease resistance processes as evi-
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Table 1: Constitutive endogenous modulation of auxin levels does not affect cell death

| Relative free IAA level | Harpin | Pss61 0.1 OD | Pss61 0.05 OD | Pss61 0.01 OD |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Vector control          | ×      | ×            | +            | +            | +            |
| IaaM #1                 | ~7×    | +            | +            | +            | +            |
| IaaL #3                 | ~0.1×  | +            | +            | +            | +            |

Transgenic plants used were Nicotiana tabacum cv. Samsun NN expressing (i) the tryptophan monooxygenase gene (iaaM) from Agrobacterium tumefaciens, (ii) the IAA-lysine synthetase (iaaL) gene from Pseudomonas syringae pv. savastanoi that inactivates free auxin by conjugating it to lysine, from a constitutive 35S promoter, or (iii) the control vector integrated plants [24,25]. Relative IAA levels are as reported in the original publications. The leaves of these plants were infiltrated with harpin or Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (Pss61) at OD600 indicated. Development of cell death symptom was monitored at different time points and recorded as present (+) or no cell death (-) at 36 h post infiltration. There were no differences in the timing of appearance of cell death symptoms in all cases indicated with a +.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by funds from the Office of Research and Graduate Studies, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY to SG. SG was also supported by a grant from USDA (93-37303-9385) and funds from DOE (through the DOE Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University) to Sheng Yang He (SYH). The author thanks SYH for laboratory space, support and some useful suggestions, and Charlie Romano and Harry Klee (then at Monsanto) for transgenic plants modulated in auxin levels. I would also like to thank Prof. Fred Ausubel, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School for useful comments and suggestions.

References

1. Dangl JL, Jones JD: Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to infection. Nature 2001, 411:826-833.
2. Apetoh L, Ghiringhelli F, Tesniere A, Obeid M, Ortiz C, Criollo A, Mignot G, Maiuri MC, Ullrich E, Saudner P, et al.: Toll-like receptor 4-dependent contribution of the immune system to antican cer chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Nat Med 2007, 13:1050-1059.
3. Yuan J: Divergence from a dedicated cellular suicide mechanism: exploring the evolution of cell death. Mol Cell 2006, 23:1-12.
4. Lam E: Controlled cell death, plant survival and development. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2004, 5:305-315.
5. Hatsugai N, Kuroyanagi M, Yamada K, Meshi T, Tsuda S, Kondo M, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I: A plant vacuolar protease, VPE, mediates virus-induced hypersensitive cell death. Science 2004, 305:855-858.
6. Galan JE, Collmer A: Type III secretion machines: bacterial devices for protein delivery into host cells. Science 1999, 284:1322-1328.
7. He SY, Huang HC, Collmer A: Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae harpin pv: a protein that is secreted via the Hrp pathway and elicits the hypersensitive response in plants. Cell 1993, 73:1255-1266.
8. Wei ZM, Laby RJ, Zunoff CH, Bauer DW, He SY, Collmer A, Beer SY: Harpin, elicitor of the hypersensitive response produced by the plant pathogen Erwinia amylovora. Science 1992, 257:85-88.
9. Baker CJ, Orlandi EW, Mock NM: Harpin, an elicitor of the hypersensitive response in tobacco caused by Erwinia amylovora, elicits active oxygen production in suspension cells. Plant Physiol 1993, 102:1341-1344.
10. Gopalan S, Wei W, He SY: hrp gene-dependent induction of hinI: a plant gene activated rapidly by both harpins and the avrPto gene-mediated signal. Plant J 1996, 9:591-600.
11. Strobos NE, Ji C, Gopalan S, Kuc JA, He SY: Induction of systemic acquired resistance in cucumber by Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae 61 HrpZp, protein. Plant J 1996, 9:431-439.
12. Raff M: Cell suicide for beginners. Nature 1998, 396:119-122.

The data presented here highlight the concept that a cell death program can be reversed till very late in the process and provides a framework to decipher the mechanism. Such knowledge should aid modulation cellular programs that involve certain forms of programmed cell death, and dissociating beneficial programs concomitantly activated with the cell death program in certain mammalian and agricultural disease conditions by choosing targets that maximize the utilization of both the programs.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions

The author (SG) designed, executed, and interpreted the experiments and wrote the manuscript.
13. Martin C, Glover B: Turning over new leaves. Trends Plant Sci 1997, 2:330-331.

14. Gopalan S: Reversal of an immunity associated plant cell death program by the growth regulator auxin. Nature Precedings 2007.

15. Dietrich RA, Delaney TP, Uknes SJ, Ward ER, Ryals JA, Dangl JL: Arabidopsis mutants simulating disease resistance response. Cell 1994, 77:565-577.

16. Green JT, Guo A, Klessig DF, Ausubel FM: Programmed cell death in plants: a pathogen-triggered response activated coordinately with multiple defense functions. Cell 1994, 77:551-563.

17. Bowling SA, Guo A, Cao H, Gordon AS, Klessig DF, Dong X: A mutation in Arabidopsis that leads to constitutive expression of systemic acquired resistance. Plant Cell 1994, 6:1845-1857.

18. Yu Ic, Parker J, Bent AF: Gene-for-gene disease resistance without the hypersensitive response in Arabidopsis dnd1 mutant. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:7819-7824.

19. Bendahmane A, Kanyuka K, Baulcombe DC: The Rx gene from potato controls separate virus resistance and cell death responses. Plant Cell 1999, 11:781-792.

20. Kapiniski S, Leyser O: The Arabidopsis F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. Nature 2005, 435:446-451.

21. Dharmasiri N, Dharmasiri S, Estelle M: The F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. Nature 2005, 435:441-445.

22. Navarro L, Dunoyer P, Jay F, Arnold B, Dharmasiri N, Estelle M, Voinnet O, Jones JD: A plant miRNA contributes to antibacterial resistance by repressing auxin signaling. Science 2006, 312:436-439.

23. Chen Z, Agnew JL, Cohen JD, He P, Shan L, Sheen J, Kunstel BN: Pseudomonas syringae type III effector AvrRpt2 alters Arabidopsis thaliana auxin physiology. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 2007, 104:20131-20136.

24. Romano CP, Cooper ML, Klee HJ: Uncoupling auxin and ethylene effects in transgenic tobacco and Arabidopsis plants. Plant Cell 1993, 5:181-189.

25. Romano CP, Hein MB, Klee HJ: Inactivation of auxin in tobacco transformed with the indoleacetic acid-lysine synthetase gene of Pseudomonas savastanoi. Genes Dev 1991, 5:438-446.

26. Robinette D, Matchysse AG: Inhibition by Agrobacterium tumefaciens and Pseudomonas savastanoi of development of the hypersensitive response elicited by Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola. J Bacteriol 1990, 172:5742-5749.

27. Burdelya LG, Krivokrysenko VI, Tallant TC, Strom E, Gleberman AS, Gupta D, Kurnasov OV, Fort FL, Osterman AL, DiDonato JA, et al.: An agonist of Toll-Like Receptor 5 has radioprotective activity in mouse and primate models. Science 2008, 320:226-230.

28. Danial NN, Korsmeyer SJ: Cell death: critical control points. Cell 2004, 116:205-219.

29. Riedl S, Salvesen G: The apoptosome: signalling platform of cell death. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2007, 8:403-413.