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One of the greatest challenges for global society is food demand increase that causes the necessity to enable rural productivity growth by automation. Therefore, the research problem lays upon disclosing the high technology-transfer potential as a driver of rural productivity increase. The paper aims to provide evidence on rural productivity under high-tech investment boost. The study is performed through the rural investment’s trends reproduction and emerging technologies analysis, considering high-tech opportunities in developed European countries. The results section represents findings to determine the current potential to strengthen automation in rural areas. The rural areas require re-evaluating available high-tech potential within national and regional rural development strategies, implementing scenarios for emerging technologies implementation by rural business.
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1. Introduction

Despite rural areas are inhabited by 25% of global population, they play an important role under food demand increase (A framework…., 2018; Valin, Sands and Nelson, 2014). A number of studies suggest that global population is expected to increase by 80% by 2050 (World Urbanization…., 2014). The rural production has to rise by 50-70% (World Economic…., 2018). The additional annual rural investments are expected to be $ 83 bln for developing countries (A framework…., 2018).

Rural business employs 40% of the global population (AgFunder, 2017) and contributes more than 30% of developing countries GDP (Brooks, 2014). However, the demand for rural workforce does not exceed 2-3% due to the automation that enables productivity growth in developed economies. According to the McKinsey...
revision, automation tends to displace significant share of existing rural jobs and create new ones by 2030 (Manyika, Lund, Chui, 2017).

A number of studies have found an association between rural areas investment attractiveness and technological progress (Lietuvos…, 2017; Naldia, Nilssonb and Wixe, 2015). Evidence suggests that despite urbanization tendencies, the poorest countries GDP decline is due to the lack of rural investments (Glasmeier, 2017; Timmer, 2008).

Urban areas attract more investments than rural ones owing to automation (Dobbs, 2011). The knowledge-based economy presents a necessity for the worldwide delivery of high technologies, enabled by investing (Statistical…., 2013; Jekabsone and Skribane, 2018). However, rural area remains the least digitized (AgFunder, 2017; Philipa, Cottrilla and Ashmore, 2017). Thus, rural productivity growth calls for investors, which support high-tech (Boserup, 2017).

Considering recent global tendencies of social and economic development, it is important to boost high-tech investments in rural areas (Plotnikova, 2015). Despite a conceptual theoretical framework of rural investments (Blank, 2001; Chuhno, 2007), several questions remain unanswered.

The scientific problem of this article lays upon the question if rural investments with high technology-transfer potential represent a driver of productivity increase and result in social and economic goals achievement. The hypothesis of the paper remains based on complex view to the issue, concerning the impact of high-tech investors on rural area productivity.

The current paper aims to provide empirical and theoretical evidence for the claim that rural productivity is driven by high-tech investment boost.

The object of the research is automation that is boosting social and economic prospects of rural areas. The subject is high-tech investment, contributing to the rural productivity.

The research methodology is based on foreign and Ukrainian scientific literature and statistical data analysis (within the last ten years) to show a link between high-tech investments and rural area development. The primary data of international and Ukrainian rural and investment reports within the last five years are reproduced to confirm appropriate social and economic trends. Particularly, capital investments trends are provided, using the methods of economic and mathematic modelling and dynamics.

A combination of quantitative and qualitative approaches was used in the emerging technologies analysis. This provides robust evidence for rural areas investments with high technology-transfer potential.

The work is organized as follows:
- in further section, a theoretical framework based on high technologies and rural investments is proposed;
- then, trends of rural productivity and high-tech investments are provided;
- options for high-tech rural investments increase are examined.
The study is a part of research cycle on sustainable development and high-tech investments. The practical implication is that results can be used within rural and investment activity strategies.

2. Main theoretical assumptions of the research

2.1. Rural productivity driven by high-tech

Recent trends of social and economic development in a high-tech era have heightened the need for living standards improvement. Based on these circumstances, the national well-being depends on the improvements in industrial and rural areas, concerning their productivity (Jorgenson, 1991).

Theoretical evidence suggests that productivity is a measure of performance, namely the ratio of outputs to inputs, where larger value of the ratio is associated with more conductive performance (Coelli, Rao, O'Donnell and Battese, 2005). Productivity measures indicate the effectiveness of human, physical and technical resources use. Another problem is productivity valuation, which is calculated owing to the production contribution to the economic growth as Gross Value Added (Productivity measured..., 2017). One of the greatest productivity challenges is its multifactor nature. The production function is concerned as follows:

\[ Q(t) = MFP(t) \times f[K(t), L(t)], \]

where: \( Q(t) \) – real output; \( MFP(t) \) – index of multifactor productivity or technological progress; \( K(t) \) – real capital input; \( L(t) \) – real labour input.

Such relationship is also recognized as the tendencies of growth model, namely:

\[ \% Q(growth) = \% MFP(growth) + sk \% K(growth) + sf \% L(growth), \]

where: \( sk \) and \( sf \) are the output elasticity of factor inputs (Munnell, 1990).

Traditionally, rural area productivity is driven by capital, including finance, skills, infrastructure and labour. However, digital changes create an opportunity to restructure rural economies as well as improve rural productivity. Thus, in light of recent events, it is difficult to ignore high-tech investments that determine rural productivity.

2.2. High-technology investments in rural area

The investment priorities in rural areas are changing due to the external conditioning variables, including land and capital markets, technology and location-specific variables, which influence the capabilities to invest (Investment priorities..., 2006). Although terms “rural” and “agriculture” are not considered in the same way (Agricultural policies..., 2017), rural investments are considered in broad terms, including:
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- agricultural investments;
- investments in non-agricultural activities;
- rural area development investments (Hohfeld, Waibel, 2013).

Thus, the high-tech expenditures are invested to generate additional rural income in the next period (Leicht, Jenkins, 2017). However, there is a measure of high-tech investments requirements and limitations (Rajn, Preeti, 2016; Trigubovich, 2017) (Table 1).

Table 1. High-tech investments requirements and limitations in rural areas

| Requirements                        | Limitations                          |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| High technologies competitiveness   | Rapid global technological changes   |
| Flexibility in acquiring information and investment activity | Investment market changes |
| Proper allocation of high-tech funds| Limited resources                    |
| Strategic background                | Lack of team competence              |
| Technology management               | Lack of innovative culture           |

Therefore, the attention is paid to high-tech manifestations, which enable rural areas productivity increase.

3. Results of the research and discussion

3.1. Factors of rural infrastructure development in Central and Eastern Europe

Traditionally, competitive rural infrastructure in Central and Eastern Europe is influenced by several factors, namely:
- favourable climate and weather conditions, fertile lands (32.5 mln ha of arable land, including 19.4 mln ha of black-earth soil (chernozem) that makes 1/3 of global reserves in Ukraine);
- low-cost and high-quality labour force;
- markets neighbourhood, including Europe, Africa and the Middle East (Vedenja…., 2018; Plotnikova, 2015).

However, rural infrastructure requires investments, which provide basics to improve welfare, life and business quality.

3.2. Rural productivity and investments in Poland and Ukraine

Rural areas contribute nearly 13% of Ukrainian and 3% of Polish GDP (Vedenja…., 2018). Revenues from rural exports increased by 16.3% in Ukraine and 8.3% in Poland in 2017 to 2016. The proceeds from the rural export from Ukraine to the EU (5.52 bln euros) increased by 27% in 2017 to 2016 (What…., 2018; Rocznik…., 2017). Thus, rural productivity increase involves additional investments (Figure 1).
Data in the Figure reveal that the tendencies of rural capital investments growth are higher than national trends. Additionally, 30% of rural investments in Poland support machinery and technical equipment. However, the potential of rural investments is not fully used due to the automation luck in both countries.

3.3. Rural high-tech capacity and investments in developed economies

The EU rural development policy is funded within 118 rural development programmes, which provide a set of financial tools for high-tech investments in rural areas (Rural…, 2018) (Figure 2).

![Figure 1. Trends of rural investments in Poland and Ukraine](image)

**Flexible rural development forms**

**Rural digital accelerator**
Academy on Tour (Belgium)
Short Food Supply Chain Masterclass (the Netherlands)
AgriEnt Business Accelerator (Greece)

**Community broadband**
North-Western Kuhmo Village Optical Fibre Cooperative (Finland)
Molenwaard Civil Society Broadband (the Netherlands)

**Rural digital hub**
Cocotte Numérique (France)
#hellodigital (Scotland)
Ludgate Hub (Ireland)

![Figure 2. High-tech opportunities in rural Europe](image)
Figure 2 presents a set of opportunities to attract financial resources in developed European economies, considering high-tech as the target for rural business development.

### 3.4. Rural technologies global potential in a time of automation

A wide range of flexible technologies is transforming the world around us (Innovation…, 2018). The power of technologies helps to transform rural productivity (Figure 3).

#### CHANGING THE SHAPE OF DEMAND
- Alternative proteins
- Food sensing technologies for food safety, quality, and traceability
- Nutrigenetics for personalized nutrition

#### PROMOTING VALUE CHAIN LINKAGES
- Big data and advanced analytics for insurance
- Mobile service delivery
- Blockchain enabled traceability
- Internet of Things for real-time supply-chain transparency and traceability

#### CREATING EFFECTIVE
- Creating effective production systems
- Biological-based crop protection and micronutrients for soil management
- Gene editing for multi-trait seed improvements
- Microbiome technologies to enhance crop resilience
- Off-grid renewable energy generation and storage for access to electricity

Figure 3. Emerging rural business technological opportunities

Figure 3 reveals main technology applications influence on the shape of demand, supply chains and transparency, quality and quantity of products. Thus, emerging technologies have the potential to drive rapid progress in rural area globally.

### 4. Conclusions

1. The first set of analyses examines the evidence on significant benefits for rural business, caused by automation technologies. The correlation between high-tech influences and rural productivity is tested using production function, considering the priority of automation strengthening. A prospect for further researches is technical potential analysis to be automated by adapting currently demonstrated technologies in rural area both in developed and developing countries.

2. The research provides insights into the high-tech rural investment’s limitations, considering their role in social and economic development. Thus, that
shows the requirement to re-evaluate high-tech potential within national and regional rural development strategies, rural business scenarios adoption.

3. Central and Eastern European experiences show that despite the rural investments increase in Poland and Ukraine (almost twice as much as general capital investment growth in 2017 to 2014), investment potential is not fully adapted. The decision is to implement emerging technologies which have the potential to involve investors and drive rapid progress in rural area.

4. High-tech opportunities in Europe are considered as an important feature of rural investments increase. Thus, the global rural community faces the urgency for emerging technologies implementation in rural areas worldwide.
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Santrauka

Vienas didžiausių iššūkių pasaulio bendruomenei yra padidėjęs maisto poreikis, dėl kurio būtina skatinti kaimo produktyvumo augumą diegiant automatizuotus procesus. Atsižvelgiant į toki poreiki, tyrimo problema siekia atskleisti aukštujių technologijų potencialą kaip kaimo produktyvumo augimo variklį. Darbe siekiama pateikti kaimo produktyvumo pasikeitimo įrodymų esant didelėms investicijoms į aukštasias technologijas. Tyrimas atliktas atkuro investicijų į kaimų tendencijas ir atliekant technologijų analizę, atsižvelgus į aukštujių technologijų galimybes išsivysčiusiose Europos šalyse. Rezultatų dalyje pateikiami gauname rezultatai, padidėjus nustatyti esamą potencialą stiprinant automatizavimą kaimo vietovėse. Nustatyta, kad kaimo vietovių atveju būtina peržiūrėti turimą aukštujių technologijų potencialą nacionalinių ir regioninių kaimo plėtros strategijų kontekste, įgyvendinant scenarius, kai naujas technologijas diegia kaimo verslas.
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