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BACKGROUND: Indoor air pollutants (IAPs) cause multiple health impacts. Prioritizing mitigation options that differentially affect individual pollutants and comparing IAPs with other environmental health hazards require a common metric of harm.

OBJECTIVES: Our objective was to demonstrate a methodology to quantify and compare health impacts from IAPs. The methodology is needed to assess population health impacts of large-scale initiatives—including energy efficiency upgrades and ventilation standards—that affect indoor air quality (IAQ).

METHODS: Available disease incidence and disease impact models for specific pollutant–disease combinations were synthesized with data on measured concentrations to estimate the chronic health impact, in disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) lost, due to inhalation of a subset of IAPs in U.S. residences. Model results were compared with independent estimates of DALYs lost due to disease.

RESULTS: Particulate matter ≤ 2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter (PM$_{2.5}$), acrolein, and formaldehyde accounted for the vast majority of DALY losses caused by IAPs considered in this analysis, with impacts on par or greater than estimates for secondhand tobacco smoke and radon. Confidence intervals of DALYs lost derived from epidemiology-based response functions are tighter than those derived from toxicology-based, interspecies extrapolations. Statistics on disease incidence in the United States indicate that the upper-bound confidence interval for aggregate IAP harm is implausibly high.

CONCLUSIONS: The approach demonstrated in this study may be used to assess regional and national initiatives that affect IAQ at the population level. Cumulative health impacts from inhalation in U.S. residences of the IAPs assessed in this study are estimated at 400–1,100 DALYs lost annually per 100,000 persons.
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data were used to calculate concentrations relevant to assessing chronic residential exposures to 267 chemical air pollutants. Seventy of the pollutants had sufficient toxicological and epidemiological data to calculate chronic health impact using the methodology described below and were included in this study (Table 1). Our analysis did not extend to contaminants from biological sources such as molds and allergens. We thus refer to the suite of pollutants considered as "nonbiological.”

**Determining annual population health impact.** The annual health impact of residential IAPs was calculated by considering the total intake in residences as an increment adding to intake in other environments. The increment was calculated by considering in-home inhalation of air containing the population-mean exposure concentrations relative to the theoretical case of the population inhaling residential air containing no pollutants.

The DALY metric allows quantification and comparison of the health costs from varied disease end points that can result from various pollutants. As a measure of equivalent years of life lost (YLL) due to illness or disease, DALY loss quantifies overall disease costs (impacts) due to both mortality and morbidity. DALY losses include YLL due to premature mortality and equivalent YLL due to reduced health or disability (YLD). For each disease, the DALYs lost per incidence are calculated as follows:

\[
\text{DALY}_{\text{disease}} = \text{YLL}_{\text{disease}} + \text{YLD}_{\text{disease}}. \quad [1]
\]

The equivalent life-years lost to reduced health are weighted from 0 to 1 based on the severity of the disease. For example, a 5-year illness that reduces quality of life to 4/5 that of a healthy year is valued at 1 DALY lost.

Although the disease incidence relationships in the IND and ID approaches are accepted health impact models, they are nevertheless simplifications of population-wide responses to chronic inhalation exposure. Our approaches use linear (i.e., IND) and nearly linear (i.e., ID) disease incidence models without effect thresholds. For these types of disease incidence models, only the mean of the concentration distribution is needed to estimate population impact. Existence of a threshold concentration for disease incidence, or a strongly nonlinear disease-to-intake response, would necessitate accurate determination of the shape of the population intake distribution. A discussion of the impact of threshold effects on our DALY loss estimates is included in the “Discussion.” The potential impacts of nonlinear response functions are beyond the scope of the present study.

**The IND approach.** The first step of the IND method comprises the application of C-R functions to determine disease incidence. For almost all of the disease outcomes, the C-R function follows the formula:

\[
\Delta \text{Incidence} = -\{y_0 \times \exp(-\beta C_{\text{exposure}}) - 1\} \times \text{population}, \quad [3]
\]

where \(y_0\) is the baseline prevalence of illness per year, \(\beta\) is the coefficient of the concentration change, \(C_{\text{exposure}}\) is the exposure-related concentration, and population is the number of people affected.

### Table 1. Pollutants included in analysis and assumed population-average concentrations (µg/m³).

| Pollutant                     | Concentration |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| 1,2,3-Tetrachloroethane       | 0.42          |
| 1,2-Chloroethane              | 0.46          |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane             | 0.14          |
| Dichlorofluoromethane         | 0.34          |
| 1,3-Butadiene                 | 0.46          |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene           | 0.50          |
| 2-Butoxyethanol               | 2.6           |
| 2-Ethylhexanol                | 3.7           |
| 2-Ethoxyethanol               | 0.43          |
| 2-Methoxyethanol              | 0.12          |
| Acetalddehyde                 | 22            |
| Acrolein                      | 2.3           |
| Acrylonitrile                 | 0.27          |
| Ammonia                       | 28            |
| Arsenic                       | 9.8 × 10⁻³    |
| Atrazine                      | 5.9 × 10⁻³    |
| Benzaldehyde                  | 2.5           |
| Benzene                       | 2.5           |
| Benzylalcohol                 | 9.1 × 10⁻⁵    |
| Benzyl chloride               | 0.5           |
| Beryllium                     | 1.6 × 10⁻⁶    |
| Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate   | 0.14          |
| Bromochloromethane            | 0.49          |
| Bromofrom                     | 0.39          |
| Cadmium                       | 2.6 × 10⁻³    |
| Carbon disulfide              | 0.34          |
| Carbon tetrachloride          | 8.0           |
| Chlorobenzene                 | 0.68          |
| Chloroethane                  | 0.26          |
| Chlorofuran                   | 15            |
| Chloromethane                 | 1.8           |
| Chromium                      | 2.2 × 10⁻³    |
| Crotonaldehyde                | 4.7           |

**Pollutants** included in analysis and assumed population-average concentrations (µg/m³).

**Abbreviations:** CO, carbon monoxide; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; PM₉.₅, particulate matter ≤ 2.5 µm in aerodynamic diameter; SO₂, sulfur dioxide. The data are for pollutants identified by Logue et al. (2011) that had sufficient toxicological and epidemiological data to calculate their health impact.
of persons exposed. For each pollutant and outcome, \( y_0 \) and \( \beta \) vary. Respiratory illness due to long-term NO\(_2\) intake requires a slightly different C-R functional form but still relies on a \( \beta \) with specified uncertainty.

When aggregating C-R functions and DALY factors, we tried to include all of the diseases with available established relationships between concentrations and disease incidence. We did not include diseases/outcomes that were negligible compared with the other diseases included. The health end points selected and DALY loss per incidence of disease are summarized in Table 2.

Chronic PM\(_{2.5}\) exposure affects both the respiratory and cardiovascular systems. The three outcomes that we included were all-cause mortality, chronic bronchitis, and stroke. Pope et al. (2002) predicted incidence rates between concentrations and disease incidence. The percentage of the population in each of these age groups was determined from the U.S. Census Bureau (2010). The age-dependent inhalation rate was taken from U.S. EPA (2009).

### Table 2. Criteria pollutant C-R function outcomes and DALYs lost per incidence.

| Pollutant | Outcome | \( \beta \)-Coefficient (95% CI) | \( y_0 \) | DALYs lost per incidence (95% CI) |
|-----------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| PM\(_{2.5}\) | Total mortality (Pope et al. 2002) | 0.058 (0.002, 0.10) | 7.4 \times 10^{-4} | 1.4 (0.14, 14) (Pope et al. 2002, 2009) |
| Chronic bronchitis (Abbey et al. 1995) | 0.091 (0.078, 0.105) | 0.4 \times 10^{-3} | 1.2 (0.12, 12) (Lvovsky et al. 2000; Melso et al. 2010) |
| Nonfatal stroke (Brook et al. 2010) | 0.025 (0.002, 0.048) | 0.2 \times 10^{-3} | 9.5 (9.25, 9.75) |
| CO | Hospital admissions (Burnett et al. 1999) | 0.033 (0.016, 0.050) | 1.8 \times 10^{-3} | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Asthma | 0.025 (0.000, 0.057) | 2.1 \times 10^{-3} | 8 \times 10^{-4} (Levy et al. 2001; Brook et al. 2010) |
| Lung disease | 0.058 (0.012, 0.052) | 2.4 \times 10^{-3} | 1.2 (0.12, 12) |
| Heart failure | 0.034 (0.002, 0.066) | 3.4 \times 10^{-3} | 7 (7, 7) |
| NO\(_2\) | Hospital admissions (Burnett et al. 1999) | 0.004 (0.000, 0.009) | 9.5 \times 10^{-3} | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Respiratory issues | 0.003 (0.001, 0.004) | 3.4 \times 10^{-3} | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Congestive heart failure | 0.003 (0.002, 0.004) | 8.0 \times 10^{-3} |
| Ischemic heart disease | 0.028 (0.002, 0.053) | N/A | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Respiratory illness, indicated by symptoms (Hasssibiadi et al. 1992) | 0.001 (0.000, 0.002) | 7.7 \times 10^{-3} | 1.1 (0.11, 11) (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Ozone | Hospital admissions (Burnett et al. 1999) | 0.003 (0.001, 0.005) | 1.8 \times 10^{-3} | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Asthma | 0.002 (0.002, 0.003) | 5.8 \times 10^{-3} | 7 (7, 7) |
| Lung disease | 0.002 (0.000, 0.004) | 2.4 \times 10^{-3} |
| Respiratory infection | 0.002 (0.000, 0.003) | 8.0 \times 10^{-3} | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| SO\(_2\) | Hospital admissions (Burnett et al. 1999) | 0.003 (0.002, 0.003) | 1.8 \times 10^{-3} | 4 \times 10^{-4} (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |

N/A, not applicable. \( y_0 \) is the baseline prevalence of illness per year, and \( \beta \) is the coefficient of the concentration change used for inputs into Equation 3.

### Table 3. Residential occupancy characteristics.

| Age (years) | Percent of population | Cancer ADAF | Percent of day spent at home | Air intake (m\(^3\)/day) |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| \( \leq 2 \) | 3 | 10 | 75 | 7 |
| 2–16 | 19 | 3 | 75 | 13 |
| \( \geq 16 \) | 78 | 1 | 69 | 15 |
| Population average | — | 1.6 | 70 | 14.4 |

The percentage of the population in each of these age groups was determined from the U.S. Census Bureau (2010). The percentage of time each age group spends at home was determined from the National Human Activity Pattern Study (Klepeis et al. 2001). The age-dependent inhalation rate was taken from U.S. EPA (2009).
Huijbregts et al. (2005) computed expected ranges of human impact for cancer and non-cancer chronic effects of 1,192 substances, applying equal weightings for a year lost, independent of age (i.e., zero discounting). Using the values determined by Huijbregts et al. (2005), the DALYs lost for 1 year of breathing pollutant $i$ is calculated using the following equations:

$$\text{DALYs}_i = (\partial \text{DALY}/\partial \text{intake}) \times \text{intake}, \quad [6]$$

$$\text{DALYs} = C_i \times V \times ([\partial \text{DALY}_{\text{cancer}}/\partial \text{intake}) \times \text{ADAF} + (\partial \text{DALY}_{\text{noncancer}}/\partial \text{intake}]), \quad [7]$$

where $\partial \text{DALY}/\partial \text{intake}$, are the cancer and noncancer mass intake-based DALY factors, $C_i$ is the indoor concentration, $V$ is volume of air breathed in the residence each year, and ADAF is the age-dependent adjustment factor for cancer exposure as described below.

The age at which carcinogens are inhaled has an appreciable effect on total toxicity, and the U.S. EPA has developed ADAFs to calculate cancer health impact as a function of exposure age (U.S. EPA 2005). To align with U.S. EPA-recommended ADAFs, we considered three age groups: $< 2$, $2-16$, and $> 16$ years of age (U.S. EPA 2005). A population-weighted average annual air intake volume and ADAF were calculated by combining age distribution of the U.S. population, age-specific inhalation rates, and time spent at home (Table 3).

Huijbregts et al. (2005) presented, for each chemical, both a central estimate (50th percentile value) and the estimated uncertainty of the DALY losses per mass intake of pollutant; uncertainty was assumed to be log-normal, characterized by a factor, $k_p$, calculated as follows:

$$k_p = (97.5\text{th percentile}/2.5\text{th percentile})^{0.5}, \quad [8]$$

which includes the aggregated uncertainty of the rate of disease incidence as well as the uncertainty in the DALY losses per incidence of disease. We used a Monte Carlo approach to sample with replacement from uncertainty distributions of DALY factors derived from the central estimate of the DALY factor and the $k_p$ value, to determine the central estimate and 95% confidence interval (CI) for combined cancer and noncancer DALY losses for each pollutant. A Monte Carlo approach was also used to determine the total DALYs lost from all of the pollutants analyzed using the IND and ID methods.

Despite the availability of a DALY factor for bromomethane, DALY-based impacts are not presented for this compound because the limited available concentration data (New York State Department of Health 2006) appear more indicative of a local outdoor source than of general conditions in U.S. homes (Logue et al. 2011).

**Radon, SHS, and acute CO poisoning deaths.** The population-average DALYs lost to radon, SHS, and acute CO poisoning deaths were determined based on estimates of disease incidence from the literature. We included DALY loss estimates for these pollutants for two reasons: $a)$ to compare health impacts calculated for a subset of SHS pollutants using the IND and ID methodologies to independent estimates of overall DALY losses associated with SHS exposure (as described below), and $b)$ to compare estimated IAP-associated DALY losses calculated in the present study with estimates for these three established indoor health hazards.

To estimate the health impact from radon, SHS, and acute CO, we used Equation 2 with disease incidence estimates from the literature, summarized in Table 4. For radon and acute CO poisoning, only the end point of premature death was used to estimate DALY losses. The DALYs lost per incidence of various SHS outcomes and per early mortality due to acute CO poisoning and radon were taken from the literature and are also summarized in Table 4.

**Comparison with DALY losses estimated by other methods.** Results from this study were compared with three other estimates of populationwide DALY losses for the United States. Although our study used an impact assessment approach, the studies used for comparison are cumulative risk assessment (CRA) and burden of disease studies (Ezzati and Lopez 2004; McKenna et al. 2005; WHO 2009). The burden of disease studies used available statistics to determine the disease incidence rate as a function of age, sex, and geographical location. A DALY value was then assigned based on YLL and disability incurred. The CRA studies determined the fraction of disease or death attributable to a specific risk factor based on epidemiological studies of specific populations. This is similar to, but far more complex than, our method of estimating health impacts due to SHS and radon. If the disease rate and DALY factors were accurate, and if we used the same discount ratings and time weightings for the age at which years of life are lost, both methods should estimate the same number of DALYs lost associated with a specific risk factor. Indoor air, independent of the impact of household use of solid fuels, had not been studied in a CRA analysis thus far. We compared results from our methodology with CRA results with the caveat that the methods are far from equivalent and the comparison should be seen only as a point of reference. The comparison also provides a useful tool for bounding uncertainties for our impact assessment method.

The WHO compiled disease incidence data for all communicable and noncommunicable diseases and injuries to determine the total number of DALYs lost per year for 192 countries (WHO 2009). McKenna et al. (2005) aggregated U.S. mortality and morbidity data to determine the top 20 causes of DALY losses for men and women in 1996. Ezzati and Lopez (2004) estimated the total DALYs lost due to smoking and tobacco use in industrialized nations by determining the impact of disease beyond what would be expected in non-smoking homes. The total DALY losses that we estimated for all IAPs analyzed with the IND and ID methods were compared with estimates from these studies to discern whether the full CI of the aggregate IAP impact of indoor residential air is plausible. Additionally, we used our IND and ID methodology to calculate health impact for a suite of measured SHS components, and we compared the aggregate CI of the DALYs lost for these components with CRA-derived DALY estimates.

SHS is a complex mixture of chemicals. Nazaroff and Singer (2004) estimated increases in specific volatile organic compound concentrations (1,3-butadiene, 2-butane, and 40/1,000 cases (Lvovsky et al. 2000)

| Outcome | Annual U.S. excess incidence | DALYs lost per incidence |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SHS     |                               |                          |
| Asthma episodes | 202,300 (CalEPA 2005) | 40,100 cases (Lvovsky et al. 2000) |
| Otitis media visits | 790,000 (CalEPA 2005) | 22,100 cases (de Hollander et al. 1999) |
| Sudden infant death syndrome | 430 (CalEPA 2005) | 78 (current U.S. life expectancy)/case (Xu et al. 2010) |
| Cardiac death | 46,000 (95% CI, 22,700, 69,600) (CalEPA 2005) | 1/case (de Hollander et al. 1999) |
| Lung cancer death | 3,400 (CalEPA 2005) | 14/case (de Hollander et al. 1999; Melser et al. 2010) |
| Radon lung cancer deaths Smokers | 18,000 (95% CI, 5,600, 58,000) (U.S. EPA 2003) | 14/case (de Hollander et al. 1999; Melser et al. 2010) |
| Nonsmokers | 3,000 (95% CI, 950, 96,000) (U.S. EPA 2003) | 14/case (de Hollander et al. 1999; Melser et al. 2010) |
| CO acute poisoning deaths | 1.53 deaths per million persons (95% CI: 1.47, 1.59) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2007) | 32 (Xu et al. 2010) |
acetaldehyde, acetonitrile, acrolein, acrylonitrile, benzene, ethyl benzene, formaldehyde, naphthalene, phenol, styrene, toluene, and xylene) expected for average smoking activity. Simons et al. (2007) found that homes with smokers had PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations that averaged 16 $\mu$g/m$^3$ higher than those in the homes of nonsmokers. We applied the IND and ID modeling frameworks established here to determine the additional DALYs lost due to living in a household that had indoor concentrations elevated by the specified levels. We used the Monte Carlo sampling to determine an aggregate CI for the DALYs lost due to exposure to this chemical mixture.

**Results**

Figure 1 shows the estimated number of DALYs lost due to indoor inhalation intake of HAPs and ozone based on the ID approach. Formaldehyde and acrolein had the largest estimated number of DALYs lost, 46 (95% CI: 0.2, 14,000) and 47 (95% CI: 2.4, 1,050), respectively, higher than the upper bound of the CI for all but two other pollutants, ozone and acetaldehyde. Of the 65 pollutants compared using the ID method, only 15 had 95% CIs that overlapped with the 95% CI for formaldehyde.

Figure 2 plots disease incidence and DALYs lost using the IND method for chronic exposure to criteria pollutants. The estimated DALY losses associated with hospitalization was relatively low for each pollutant. NO$_2$ is potentially a significant acute health hazard, but we did not consider acute effects in this analysis. Nonlethal chronic exposure to CO and SO$_2$ are not substantial contributors to DALYs lost from the outcomes we evaluated. There is concern that indoor concentrations of CO may have an adverse effect on certain susceptible populations (U.S. EPA 2010); however, the current empirical evidence is insufficient to reliably quantify the health impact from chronic CO exposure.

Figure 3 shows the estimated DALYs lost from exposure to the 12 analyzed IAPs with the highest DALY losses per year per 100,000 persons. Figure 3 also shows estimates of DALYs lost per 100,000 persons per year attributed to SHS [51 (95% CI: 42, 60)], acute CO deaths [4.9 (95% CI: 4.7, 5.1)], and radon exposure for smokers [79 (95% CI: 25, 255)] and nonsmokers [13 (95% CI: 4, 42)]. For smokers, we overestimated the DALY losses attributable to radon per se because a portion of the DALY losses for smokers exposed to radon would result solely from smoking. For ozone, the IND and ID approaches estimated annual DALY losses per 100,000 persons of 6.7 (95% CI: 0.3, 160) and 2.3 (95% CI: 0.2, 2.6), respectively. There is substantial overlap in the CIs for both approaches, although the IND CI is smaller. These results suggest that PM$_{2.5}$, acrolein, formaldehyde, radon, and SHS are the most harmful nonbiological air pollutants in residences on a population basis. In addition, we calculated that intake of the subset of compounds in SHS noted above would cause an annual loss of 1,000 DALYs (95% CI: 300, 1,400) per 100,000 residents in households with SHS, and a population-averaged annual loss of 110 DALYs (95% CI: 40, 1,600) per 100,000 residents in all U.S. households.

Our analysis yielded a central estimate for the DALYs lost due to all IAPs analyzed using the IND and ID methods of 1,100 DALYs per 100,000 persons (95% CI: 400, 13,000) per year. For 80% of the Monte Carlo samples, indoor PM$_{2.5}$ was associated with the largest number of DALY losses, whereas acrolein and formaldehyde were the dominant contributors for 16% and 4% of the samples, respectively, and another IAP was the dominant contributor other than these three in < 0.25% of the
Monte Carlo samples. For 90% of the samples, acrolein, formaldehyde, and PM$_{2.5}$ contributed $>80\%$ of the total DALYs lost. This reinforces the finding that these three pollutants account for most chronic health effects associated with indoor air in nonsmoking homes.

Discussion
Although there is large uncertainty in the number of DALY losses estimated for each pollutant by the IND and ID models, several clear findings emerge. Our analysis demonstrates that in most U.S. residences PM$_{2.5}$, acrolein, and formaldehyde dominate health impacts due to chronic exposures to non-biological air pollutants. The DALY losses from these three pollutants appear to be much larger than the DALY losses due to CO deaths from acute poisoning in homes. SHS and radon are also significant contributors to population-wide DALY losses, but these exposures occur in a smaller fraction of homes.

Formaldehyde is primarily emitted from materials throughout the home. Similarly, acrolein is also emitted from such materials; however, cooking is also a potentially significant indoor source (Seaman et al. 2007). PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations indoors, unlike acrolein and formaldehyde, are due to both indoor and outdoor sources, and outdoor concentrations may exceed indoor levels in many locations (Weisel et al. 2005).

Our analysis yielded a central estimate of 1,100 DALY losses per 100,000 persons (95% CI: 400, 1,300) per year for IAPs, excluding radon and SHS. For the United States overall, WHO (2009) estimated a total burden of 7,700 DALY losses per year, per 100,000 persons for all noncommunicable, nonpsychiatric diseases combined. McKenna et al. (2005) identified the top 20 diseases that drive the health burden in the United States. Of those top 20 diseases, those with an indoor-air connection account for the loss of 3,000 DALYs per 100,000 persons per year (McKenna et al. 2005). Ezzati and Lopez (2004) estimated that the population-average burden of both firsthand (smokers) and secondhand tobacco smoke in industrialized nations is 12% of the annual DALYs lost, which we assume would represent 1,700 DALY losses per 100,000 persons per year, that is, 12% of the total DALY losses estimated for the United States by WHO (2009). Estimated DALY losses due to indoor PM$_{2.5}$, acrolein, and formaldehyde combined [1,100 (95% CI: 700, 13,000)] were substantially greater than DALY losses due to the remaining 67 IAPs analyzed using the IND and ID methods combined [40 (95% CI: 10, 70)].

Our estimate of DALYs lost to SHS components in the 11% of homes estimated to have SHS is of similar magnitude to the mean estimate of DALY losses from IAP inhalation in nonsmoking homes. For the SHS analysis, pollutants that contribute the most to DALY losses are again PM$_{2.5}$ and acrolein. Having a smoker in a residence, on average, doubles the concentrations of these two components relative to homes without smokers (Nazaroff and Singer 2004; Simons et al. 2007), effectively doubling the DALY loss estimates. The complete chemical mixture of SHS should be more toxic than the limited subset of components examined here, but the DALY loss estimate derived from the literature-reported health end points due to SHS is in the lower bound of the 95% CI. This result suggests that the component-based method used in this study may tend to overestimate DALY losses or that an insufficient number of health endpoints are attributed to SHS.

Both the IND and ID approaches rely on no-threshold disease incidence models that are linear or effectively linear over the concentration range of the analysis. The health impacts of PM$_{2.5}$ are broadly thought to be linear at low doses (Schwartz et al. 2002). Threshold effects may significantly reduce the actual health impacts due to formaldehyde (a cancer hazard) and acrolein exposure (a noncancer hazard). Although some studies have identified genotoxic effects for formaldehyde (Viegas et al. 2010), others have identified strong threshold effects (Salthammer and Bahadir 2009). Various thresholds for exposure to avoid cancer have been suggested, ranging from 120 μg/m$^3$ suggested by the German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment and WHO to 12 μg/m$^3$ suggested by Naya and Nakanishi (2005). Given the distribution of formaldehyde concentrations determined for residences, threshold effect levels for 12 μg/m$^3$ and 120 μg/m$^3$ would result in 32% and 87% reductions in predicted DALY losses, respectively. A high threshold would result in PM$_{2.5}$ and acrolein being the prime indoor pollutants of concern and formaldehyde being of lesser importance.

Less information is available on threshold levels for acrolein exposure. We determined the impact on the DALY loss estimate for a threshold equal to the Cal/EPA noncancer reference exposure level (0.35 μg/m$^3$). Given the determined distribution of acrolein concentrations indoors, this would result in a 20% reduction in DALY losses.

Conclusion
Using the methodology established here, we estimated that the total annual health impact of IAP inhalation in U.S. residences, excluding radon and SHS, is 1,100 DALY losses per 100,000 persons (95% CI: 400, 1,300).

The upper bound of the range was twice as high as the number of DALY losses due to all noncommunicable, nonpsychiatric diseases as estimated by WHO (2009) based on disease statistics. The upper bound of the CI estimated for the DALYs lost due to exposure to a subset of pollutants in SHS using the methodology was also implausibly high. The total annual DALYs lost due to IAPs is likely in the lower half of the calculated range, that is, between the central estimate (1,100) and lower bound of the 95% CI (400) in DALY losses per 100,000 persons.

Because the upper-bound CI for all IAP-related DALY losses is too high, the upper-bound for CIs of at least some of the individual pollutants included must also be too high. Because the vast majority of the total DALY losses were due to acrolein, PM$_{2.5}$, and formaldehyde, further statistical analysis may be able to narrow the currently large CIs for impacts from these pollutants.
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