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Summary

The mode of acquisition and causes for the variable clinical spectrum of COVID-19 remain unknown. We utilized a reverse genetics system to generate a GFP reporter virus to explore SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and a luciferase reporter virus to demonstrate sera collected from SARS and COVID-19 patients exhibited limited cross-CoV neutralization. High-sensitivity RNA in situ mapping revealed the highest ACE2 expression in the nose with decreasing expression throughout the lower respiratory tract, paralleled by a striking gradient of SARS-CoV-2 infection in proximal (high) vs distal (low) pulmonary epithelial cultures. COVID-19 autopsied lung studies identified focal disease and, congruent with culture data, SARS-CoV-2-infected ciliated and type 2 pneumocyte cells in airway and alveolar regions, respectively. These findings highlight the nasal susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 with likely subsequent aspiration-mediated virus seeding to the lung in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. These reagents provide a foundation for investigations into virus-host interactions in protective immunity, host susceptibility, and virus pathogenesis.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been identified as the causative agent of the ongoing pandemic Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) (Gorbalenya et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 emerged in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, and rapidly spread to more than 175 countries within three months (Huang et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). As of May 18, 2020, about 4.7 million confirmed cases and >316,000 deaths have been reported worldwide. The absence of approved
vaccines and only a single emergency-use FDA-approved therapeutic against SARS-CoV-2 hinders pandemic control.

The genome of SARS-CoV-2 is a ~30kb RNA predicted to encode 16 non-structural proteins (nsp1-16), four structural proteins (spike, membrane, envelope, and nucleocapsid), and eight accessory proteins (3a, 3b, 6, 7a, 7b, 8b, 9b and 14) (Wu et al., 2020a), expressed from genome length or subgenomic mRNAs. The spike (S) glycoprotein mediates viral entry via binding to the human angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE)-2(Hoffmann et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2020), followed by proteolytic processing by TMPRSS2, furin, and perhaps other lung proteases, which trigger fusion of viral and cellular membranes. Spike glycoprotein is also the main target of host neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) (Hoffmann et al., 2020).

SARS-CoV-2 infection primarily targets the respiratory tract. A fraction of SARS-CoV-2 infections manifest as bilateral lower-zone pneumonias and diffuse alveolar damage (DAD) that may progress to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), especially in the aged and individuals with co-morbidities (Carsana et al., 2020; Guan et al., 2020). In comparison to MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV 2003 infections, clinical symptoms of COVID-19 are broader and more variable (Huang et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2020a; Wu and McGoogan, 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). Differences in transmissibility and viral shedding suggest the in vivo replication sites and/or replication efficiency of SARS-CoV-2 differ significantly from SARS-CoV (Pan et al., 2020b; Wölfel et al., 2020; Zou et al., 2020).

A wealth of scRNAseq data have been mobilized to describe the expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 with emphasis on the human respiratory tract (Aguiar et al., 2020;
Sajuthi et al., 2020; Sungnak et al., 2020). However, complementary techniques are required to describe the organ-level architecture of receptor expression, improve on the sensitivity of scRNA for low expression genes, e.g., ACE2, and to describe the function of ACE2, i.e., mediate infectivity. Accordingly, a combination of RNA in situ (ISH) hybridization techniques, a novel set of SARS-CoV-2 reporter viruses produced by reverse genetics, and primary cultures from all affected regions of the respiratory tract was assembled for our investigations.

The reverse genetics systems were utilized to test for protection/durability of protection afforded by convalescent serum and/or SARS-CoV-2-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and antigenicity relationships between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 after natural human infections. These tools were also utilized to contrast two non-exclusive hypotheses that may account for key aspects of SARs-CoV-2 transmission and pathogenesis: 1) transmission is mediated by airborne microparticles directly infecting the lung (Morawska and Cao, 2020; Wilson et al., 2020); or 2) the nose is the initial site of infection, followed by aspiration of the viral inoculum from the oropharynx into the lung (Dickson et al., 2016; Wölfel et al., 2020). Accordingly, we characterized the ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression levels in the nose and lung and in parallel the SARS-CoV-2 infection of human nasal, bronchial, bronchiolar, and alveolar epithelial cultures. These findings were compared with virus distributions and tropisms in lungs from lethal COVID-19 cases.

**Results**

Recombinant viruses replicate similarly to the SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolate *in vitro*.
A full-length infectious cDNA clone of a US SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolate WA1 was generated by cloning seven genomic fragments separately into vector plasmids (Figure 1A). Additionally, two reporter viruses were constructed by replacing a 276 bp-region in the ORF7 with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) or a GFP-fused nanoluciferase (nLuc) gene (Figure 1A). After assembly into full-length cDNA, full-length RNA was electroporated into Vero-E6 cells (Scobey et al., 2013; Yount et al., 2003). After recovering the wild-type (WT), icSARS-CoV-2-GFP, and icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP recombinant viruses, viral replication was confirmed by the presence of sub-genomic length leader-containing RNA transcripts 20 hours post-electroporation (Figure S1). All three recombinant viruses replicated (Figure S1), generated similar plaques in Vero E6 cells and could be passaged serially in the cell culture without exogenous trypsin (Figure 1B). Cytopathic effect (CPE) was defined by cell rounding and detachment from monolayers. GFP signals were evident in cells two days post-transfection with RNA transcripts from both indicator viruses (Figure 1C).

To distinguish our recombinant viruses from the circulating SARS-CoV-2 strains, we introduced a silent mutation (T15102A) into a conserved region in nsp12 to ablate an endogenous SacI site in the molecular clone (Figure S1). We confirmed the presence of this mutation in all three recombinant viruses but not in the clinical SARS-CoV-2 isolate by Sanger sequencing and PCR amplification followed by SacI digestion (Figures 1D and 1E). To evaluate viral RNA synthesis, Northern blot analyses were performed that showed that the number of sgRNA bands was equivalent in the recombinant and clinical isolates, confirming the presence of 8 principle subgenomic mRNAs during infection (Figure 1F). As expected, the molecular weights of sgRNA 2 to
7 in the two reporter viral samples were higher than those in the clinical isolate and WT samples, reflecting the insertion of the 720 bp GFP gene or the 1,233 bp nLuc-GFP gene into the 366 bp-ORF7 genetic location. These data also demonstrated that ORF7 was not essential for in vitro replication of SARS-CoV-2.

Next, we evaluated one-step (MOI = 5) and multi-step (MOI = 0.05) growth curves of the three recombinant viruses in Vero E6 cells in comparison to the clinical isolate WA1 strain. The titer of all SARS-CoV-2 increased and plateaued to mid $10^6$ PFU/mL within 12-18h in the one-step curve and within 36-48h in the multi-step curve (Figures 2A and 2B). In contrast to other reported indicator viruses (Thao et al., 2020), the three recombinant viruses replicated to titers equivalent to the clinical isolate.

Serine Proteases TMPRSS2 and Furin, but not Exogenous Trypsin, Enhance the Replication of SARS-CoV-2.

Host proteases, including cell surface and intracellular proteases, play an essential role in CoV infection by processing the S protein to trigger membrane fusion (Izaguirre, 2019; Matsuyama et al., 2010; Matsuyama et al., 2005; Menachery et al., 2020; Millet and Whittaker, 2014; Wicht et al., 2014). Therefore, we evaluated the multi-step replication (MOI = 0.03) of the icSARS-CoV-2-GFP in the presence of selected proteases via fluorescent microscopy and measurements of viral titer.

Vero cells were infected with the icSARS-CoV-2-GFP reporter virus in the presence of 0, 1, or 5 µg/mL of trypsin. Unlike some coronaviruses (CoVs) (Menachery et al., 2020; Wicht et al., 2014), trypsin did not trigger syncytium formation and at 24/48h, a slightly higher percentage of trypsin-exposed cells expressed GFP signals.
and CPE as compared with controls (Figures 2C and S2). Trypsin also resulted in slightly lower virus titers than controls (Figure 2D), suggesting that trypsin impairs the stability of viral particles in supernatants.

SARS-CoV-2 S protein exhibits a novel 4 amino acid furin-cleavage site “RRAR” at the junction between S1 and S2 subunits (Andersen et al., 2020; Coutard et al., 2020). We observed increased icSARS-CoV-2-GFP expression in the furin-overexpressing vs WT cells at 24h (Figure 2E), correlating with one log\(_{10}\) higher infectious titers compared to WT Vero cells at early times after infection (Figure 2F). Moreover, extensive CPE was noted in furin cells vs parental Vero cell cultures (Figure S2). In contrast, enhanced expression of TMPRSS2 in LLC-MK cells resulted in higher levels of GFP expression and higher icSARS-CoV-2-GFP titers (Figures 2G and 2H). These data suggest that serine proteases like furin and TMPRSS2 enhance the replication efficiency/cytopathology of SARS-CoV-2 \textit{in vitro}.

The neutralization sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 nLuc virus to potent SARS and MERS monoclonal antibodies and polyclonal sera

Three neutralization assays were developed utilizing luciferase reporter CoVs, including SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 3A-H). Previous studies have identified remarkably potent SARS and MERS nAbs that target receptor binding domains and exhibit strong neutralizing activities \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} (Ying et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2007). We utilized three highly cross-reactive nAb against SARS-CoV (S230, S230.15, and S227.9), two nAb against MERS-CoV (MERS-27 and m336), and one broadly cross-reactive nAb against Dengue virus (EDE1-C10). We also
tested a pooled mouse serum sample collected from BALB/c mice vaccinated and boosted with a Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus viral replicon particle (VRP-SARS-CoV-2-S) encoding the SARS-CoV-2 S gene. The boost was performed three weeks post-vaccination, and sera were collected one week before and one week after boost. Both the MERS nAbs, MERS-27 and m336, neutralized the icMERS-CoV-nLuc virus but not the 2003 SARS-CoV-nLuc or 2019 SARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP recombinant viruses. Similarly, the three SARS nAb, S230, S230.15 and S227.9 exhibited potent neutralization activities against icSARS-CoV-nLuc, but not icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP (Figures 3A, 3C and 3E). As a negative control, a Dengue virus nAb EDE1-C10 did not neutralize any of the three tested CoVs. Importantly, the mouse serum sample neutralized 99.4% of the icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP virus at a 1:2 dilution after prime, and much more potent neutralization was noted after VRP-SARS-CoV-2-S boost (Figure 3G).

The S proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share 75% identity in amino acid sequences. To investigate whether SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infections elicit cross-neutralizing antibodies, five serum samples from patients who survived the 2003 SARS-CoV Toronto outbreak and 10 serum sample from COVID-19 survivors were evaluated using nLuc neutralization assays with the two reporter CoVs. All five 2003 SARS serum samples demonstrated high neutralization titers against SARS-CoV-nLuc virus, with half-maximal inhibitory dilution (ID$_{50}$) activities in the range from 1:30.6 to 1:376.5 (Figure 3F). Surprisingly, two of these serum samples, A and E, neutralized icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP with 11.9- and 8.1-fold of decreases in ID$_{50}$, respectively. In contrast, 10 COVID-19 convalescent serum samples displayed variable neutralization ID$_{50}$ titers.
that ranged from 61.67 to 782.70 against icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP but little, if any, neutralization of 2003 icSARS-CoV-nLuc or icMERS-CoV-nLuc viruses at the lowest dilutions tested (Figures 3B, 3D and 3H).

**RNA in situ hybridization localization of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor complex in the normal human upper and lower respiratory tract.**

The sites of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the upper airways (nose, oropharynx) and lung (lower airways, alveoli) are under active investigation (Rockx et al., 2020). Accordingly, we characterized ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression in these regions using RNA in situ hybridization (Figures 4 and S3). Consistent with the low level of ACE2 expression reported from scRNAseq data (Brann et al., 2020; Durante et al., 2020; Sajuthi et al., 2020), low levels of ACE2 were detected in the respiratory epithelium lining the nasal cavity (Figure 4A). Scattered, low levels of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression were also observed in the squamous epithelium lining oropharyngeal tonsillar tissue (Figure S3A). Notably, progressively reduced levels of ACE2 expression were observed in the lower airway regions, culminating in minimal levels in the alveolar region. Quantitative comparisons of nasal and bronchial airway epithelia obtained as brush samples simultaneously from the same subjects by qPCR revealed significantly higher expression of ACE2 but not TMPRSS2 in nasal vs bronchial tissues (Figure 4B).

In a separate qPCR study, there was a gradient of reduced ACE2 expression from proximal to distal intrapulmonary regions (Figure 4C). In contrast, TMPRSS2 mRNA exhibited an overall higher expression level in all respiratory tract regions than ACE2.
Previously reported scRNAseq data describing ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression in the upper and lower respiratory system have detected ACE2 in ~5% of total cells interrogated (Deprez et al., 2019; Sajuthi et al., 2020) (Figure 4D). We recently developed a single-cell (cytospin)/RNA-ISH technique that is 5-10x more sensitive at assigning cell type-specific expression patterns than scRNAseq (Okuda et al., 2020, submitted to Nat Med) (Figure 4E). This technique identified ACE2 expression in ~20% of interrogated cells vs ~5% by scRNAseq (Figure 4F). These studies identified the FOXJ1-defined ciliated cell as the most frequent cell type in nasal scrapes (Figure S4B), and that the percentage of ciliated cells expressing ACE2 was higher in the nose than bronchi (Figure 4G). ACE2+, MUC5B+ defined secretory (“club”) cells were less frequent and expressed less ACE2 than ciliated cells in each airway region (Figure 4H, I). Both cell types in each region exhibited considerable variability in ACE2 expression (Figures 4H, I). Studies of nasal submucosal glands exhibited few/no detectable ACE2+ glandular cells (Figure S4C). Finally, application of this technique to freshly excised distal lung digests revealed expression of ACE2 in a fraction of AT2 cells (Figure 4Evii). ACE2 was detected in HOPX-positive cells, which in humans can be AT1 or AT2 cells (Figure 4Evii) (Ota et al., 2018).

**Pre-existing pulmonary disease and ACE2/TMPRSS2 expression.**

Suppurative muco-obstructive lung diseases, *e.g.*, cystic fibrosis (CF) and non-CF bronchiectasis (NCFB), are characterized by airways mucus accumulation and neutrophilic inflammation and are reported to be at increased risk for severe SARS-CoV-2 infections (Boucher, 2019; CDC COVID-19 Response Team, 2020). To test
whether dysregulation of ACE2 expression is a feature of CF, RNA-ISH studies were performed in excised CF lungs and revealed a striking upregulation of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression in CF airways (Figure 5A).

To gain insight into pathways that may contribute to dysregulation of ACE2 expression in CF lungs, the effects of selected cytokines on ACE2 expression in large airway epithelial (LAE) cultures were tested. IL1β, the dominant pro-mucin secretory cytokine in CF and NCFB secretions (Chen et al., 2019), upregulated ACE2, but not TMPRSS2 (Figure 5Bi). Because CF subjects experience recurrent virus-driven exacerbations, the effect of IFNβ on ACE2 expression was tested. ACE2 expression was significantly increased, whereas TMPRSS2 expression decreased, by IFNβ (Figure 5Bii). In contrast, IL13, a cytokine associated with Th2-high asthma, inhibited ACE2 expression (Figure 5Biii).

**Respiratory tract region-specific SARS-CoV-2 infectivity.**

To test the relationship between ACE2 entry receptor expression and SARS-CoV-2 infection, we inoculated primary epithelial cultures from the nasal surface epithelia (HNE, n=9 donors), large airway (bronchi, LAE, n=7 donors), lower airway (bronchiolar, SAE, n=3 donors), nasal submucosal glands (n=2 donors), type II- and type I-like pneumocytes (AT2-/AT1-like, n=3 donors), microvascular endothelial cells (MVE, n=2 donors), and fibroblasts (FB, n=2 donors), and an immortalized nasal cell line (UNCNN2TS), with icSARS-CoV-2-GFP reporter virus. We observed GFP signals and detected viral titers in HNE, LAE, SAE, AT2-like and AT1-like cell cultures (Figure 6A). In contrast, nasal submucosal gland, UNCNN2TS, MVE, or FB cells were not
susceptible, as evidenced by no GFP signals or detectable infectious titers of progeny viruses (not shown).

We measured the relative infectivity of the SARS-CoV-2 GFP virus in primary cells based on the average peak titers and observed that infectivity exhibited the same pattern as the ACE2 expression levels from the upper to lower respiratory tract (Figure 6Bi-6Biv). The icSARS-CoV-2-GFP virus replicated efficiently in HNE and LAE, with peak viral titers significantly higher than the titers in SAE, AT2-like and AT1-like cultures (Figure 6Bv). Although the viral peak titers were similar, the icSARS-CoV-2-GFP infection in HNE culture resulted in significantly higher titers than LAE at 24h, 48h and 96h post-infection, suggesting more robust replication in the primary nasal cells (Figure 6Bvi). Collectively, these data indicate that virus infectivity/replication efficiency varies markedly from proximal airway to alveolar respiratory regions.

Whole mount immunohistochemistry of HNE and LAE cultures was utilized to identify cell types infected by SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 6C, S4A). The ciliated cell was routinely infected and extruded. In contrast, the other major cell type facing the airway lumen, i.e., the MUC5B+ club cell, was not infected, nor was the MUC5AC+ metaplastic goblet cell. We did note a cell type co-expressing the ciliated cell marker tubulin and MUC5B was rarely infected in HNE, a finding consistent with infection of a secretory/club cell transitioning to a ciliated cell phenotype.

There is debate whether AT2 and/or AT1 cells express sufficient ACE2 to mediate infection and whether AT2, AT1, or both cell types are infectable. Previous studies reported 2003 SARS-CoV infects AT2 but not AT1 pneumocytes (Mossel et al., 2008). To focus on the relative infectivity by SARS-CoV-2 for AT2 vs AT1 cells,
standard AT2/AT1 cell cultures and a novel cell culture approach that well preserves AT2 and AT1 cell populations over the infection/GFP expression interval were tested. As shown in Figure 6A and S4B, AT2 cells appeared to be preferentially infected.

Respiratory tract region-specific aspects of SARS-CoV-2 infectivity

We next investigated three other aspects of SARS-CoV-2 infection of human airway epithelia. First, the variability of infectivity among HNE and LAE cultures from multiple donors was characterized. While all nine HNE and seven LAE were infected by icSARS-CoV-2-GFP, marked variability in GFP signals per culture surface area and viral growth curves were observed. LAE cultures exhibited higher variability in susceptible cells than the HNE cultures at 72hpi (Figures 6A, 6B, 6D and S4C). Ciliated cell numbers in five LAE cultures were quantitated, and no correlation was noted between susceptibility and ciliated cell percentages (Figure 6Dii).

Second, to further characterize the infectivity of LAE vs SAE, replication rates of three SARS-CoV-2 viruses in LAE and SAE cultures from the same donor were compared. All three viruses replicated more slowly in SAE than LAE cells. The GFP virus replicated modestly less effectively than the clinical isolate or WT virus in the two regions (Figure 6E). This observation differs from the equivalent replication noted in the Vero-E6 cells (Figures 2A and 2B), suggesting an intact ORF7 gene contributes to SARS-CoV-2 replication, and perhaps virulence, in human tissues.

Third, the replication of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 in LAE cells were compared. SARS-Urbani WT and GFP viruses, in parallel with the three SARS-CoV-2 viruses, were administered to LAE cultures from the same donor. GFP signals were
detected in LAE cultures for both viruses, but the SARS-CoV-2-GFP exhibited delayed and less intense signals than SARS-CoV-Urbani-GFP (Figure S4D). This phenotype is consistent with the growth curve in which a lower titer of SARS-CoV-2 was recorded at 24h.

**SARS-CoV-2 infection in COVID-19 autopsy lungs**

We utilized RNA-ISH/IHC to localize virus in four lungs from SARS-CoV-2-infected deceased subjects (Table S1). Multiple observations at different length scales were notable. First, at the macroscopic level, the infection appeared patchy, segmental, and peripheral (Figures 7A and S5A). These characteristics are consistent with an aspiration distribution of an infectious inoculum. Second, ciliated cells within the superficial epithelia lining proximal airway surfaces, particularly the trachea, were infected (Figure 7B and S5B). As observed *in vitro*, MUC5B+ club and MUC5AC+ goblet cells were not infected *in vivo*. Third, the submucosal glands that populate the large airway regions of the lung were not infected (Figure S5C). Fourth, alveolar cells were also infected. RNA *in situ* and IHC co-localization of an AT2 cell marker, SPC (*SFTPC*) and AT1 cell marker (AGER) with SARS-CoV-2 indicated that AT2 cells and AT1 cells (or AT2 cells that had transitioned to AT1 cells) were infected (Figure 7C and S5D).

During the routine AB-PAS staining that detects mucins/mucin-like carbohydrates in SARS-CoV-2-infected autopsy lungs, we noted faint AB-PAS staining in the peripheral lung, *i.e.*, alveolar region in some lungs (Figures 7D). Because aberrant mucin secretion and accumulation is a feature of parenchymal diseases that can
progress to fibrosis, the AB-PAS material was characterized in more detail (Figures 7Dii-7Dv). IHC studies suggested that this material in large airways was a mixture of the secreted mucins MUC5B and MUC5AC (Figure 7Div). In the alveolar parenchymal region, MUC5B alone was detected and was enriched in the peripheral subpleural area, as often observed in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) (Figure 7Dv) (Evans et al., 2016). Note, in none of the autopsy lungs studied was mechanical ventilation employed and the lung in panels A and D of Figure 7 was immersion fixed. These observations, coupled to the observation that MUC5AC was not detected in the peripheral region, makes it unlikely that MUC5B selectively was mechanically spread from central to peripheral lung zones.

Discussion

We generated a SARS-CoV-2 reverse genetics system, characterized virus RNA transcription profiles, evaluated the impact of ectopically expressed proteases on virus growth, and used reporter viruses to characterize virus tropisms, ex vivo replication, and to develop a high-throughput neutralizing assay. These reagents were utilized to explore aspects of early infectivity and disease pathogenesis relevant to SARS-CoV-2 respiratory infections.

Our RNAscope/cytospin technology extended the description of ACE2 in respiratory epithelia based on scRNAseq data (Sungnak et al., 2020). RNA/cytospin detected ~20% of upper respiratory cells expressing ACE2 vs ~4% for scRNAseq (Figure 4F). Most of the RNA-ISH-detected ACE2-expressing cells were ciliated cells, not normal MUC5B+ secretory (club) cells or goblet cells. Notably, the nose contained
the highest percentage of ACE2-expressing ciliated cells in the proximal airways (Figure 4G). The higher nasal ACE2 expression-level findings were confirmed by qPCR data comparing nasal to bronchial airway epithelia. qPCR data also revealed that ACE2 levels further waned in the more distal bronchiolar and alveolar regions. Importantly, these ACE2 expression patterns were paralleled by high SARS-CoV-2 infectivity of nasal epithelium with a gradient in infectivity characterized by a marked reduction in the distal lung (bronchioles, alveoli) (Figures 6A and 6B).

Multiple aspects of the variability in SARS-CoV-2 infection of respiratory epithelia were notable in these studies. First, significant donor variations in virus infectivity and replication efficiency were observed. Notably, the variability was less in the nose than lower airways. The reason(s) for the differences in lower airway susceptibility are important but remain unclear (Cockrell et al., 2018). We identified variations in ACE2 receptor expression (Figures 4A-D) but not numbers of ciliated cells as potential variables (Figure 6D). Second, variation in infectivity of a single cell type, i.e., the ciliated cell, was noted with only a fraction of ciliated cells having access to virus infected at 72 h (Figure 6A). Third, the dominant secretory cell, i.e., the MUC5B+ club cell, was not infected in vitro or in vivo, despite detectable ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression (Figures 4G-4I). Collectively, these data suggest that measurements of ACE2/TMPRSS2 expression do not fully describe cell infectivity and that a description of other variables that mediate susceptibility to infection, including the innate immune system(s), is needed (Menachery et al., 2014).

The ACE2 receptor gradient in the normal lung raised questions focused on the initial sites of respiratory tract virus infection, the mechanisms that seed infection into
the deep lung, and the virus-host interaction networks that attenuate or augment intra-regional virus growth in the lung to produce severe disease, especially in vulnerable patients experiencing chronic lung or inflammatory diseases (Guan et al., 2020; Leung et al., 2020).

We speculate that nasal surfaces may be the dominant initial site for SARS-CoV-2 respiratory tract infection (Wölfel et al., 2020). First, SARS-CoV-2 RNA has been detected in aerosol particles in the range of aerodynamic sizes exhaled during normal tidal breathing (Liu et al., 2020; Papineni and Rosenthal, 1997). Aerosol deposition and fomite mechanical delivery deposition modeling suggest that aerosols containing virus inhaled by naïve subjects achieve the highest density of deposition, i.e., highest MOI per unit surface area, in the nose (Booth et al., 2005; Farzal et al., 2019; Teunis et al., 2010). Second, the relatively high ACE2 expression in nasal specimens and the parallel high infectivity of the HNE cultures suggests the nasal cavity is a fertile site for early SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nasal infection likely is dominated by ciliated cells in the superficial epithelium, not nasal submucosal glands. Third, the nose is exposed to high but variable loads of environmental agents, producing a spectrum of innate defense responses. Hence, a portion of the variability of the clinical syndrome of COVID-19 may be driven by environmentally driven variance nasal infectivity (Wu et al., 2020b).

Another aspect of the variability of the COVID-19 syndrome is the variable incidence and severity of lower lung disease. It is unlikely SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted to the lung by hematogenous spread, as demonstrated by the absence of infection of MVE cells and previous reports that indicate airway cultures are difficult to infect from the basolateral surface (Sims et al., 2005; Wölfel et al., 2020). Theoretically, infection could
be transmitted directly to lower lung surfaces by microaerosol inhalation with deposition on and infection of alveolar surfaces mediated in part by the high ACE2 binding affinity reported for SARS-CoV-2 (Shang et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020). However, given the low levels of ACE2 expression in alveolar cells in health, the correlated poor infectivity in vitro, and the absence of a homogeneous pattern radiographically, the importance of this route remains unclear (Santarpia et al., 2020).

In contrast, it is well-known that an oral–lung aspiration axis is a key contributor to many lower airways infectious diseases (Dickson et al., 2016; Esther et al., 2019; Gaeckle et al., 2020; Odani et al., 2019; Phillips et al., 2015). Nasal secretions are swept from the nasal surface rostrally by mucociliary clearance and accumulate in the oral cavity at a rate of ~0.5 ml/hr where they are admixed with oropharyngeal/tonsillar fluid (Eichner et al., 1983; Pandya and Tiwari, 2006). Especially at night, it is predicted that a bolus of relatively high titer virus is aspirated into the deep lung, either via microaspiration or as part of gastro-esophageal reflex-associated aspiration, sufficient to exceed the threshold PFU/unit surface area required to initiate infection (Amberson, 1954; Gleeson et al., 1997; Huxley et al., 1978). Note, our data that tracheas exhibited significant viral infection in vivo suggest that small-volume microaspiration could also seed this site. Tracheal-produced virus could then also accumulate in the oropharynx via mucus clearance for subsequent aspiration into the deep lung (Quirouette et al., 2020). Oropharyngeal aspirates also contain enzymes and/or inflammatory mediators that may condition alveolar cells for infection. Aspiration of SARS-CoV-2 into the lung is consistent with the patchy, bibasilar infiltrates observed by chest CT in COVID-19 (Xu et al., 2020). Notably, robust microaspiration and gastro-esophageal aspiration are
observed frequently in subjects who are at risk for more severe COVID-19 lower respiratory disease, e.g., older, diabetic, and obese subjects (Pan et al., 2020a; Phillips et al., 2015). Finally, our autopsy studies demonstrated patchy, segmental/subsegmental disease, consistent with aspiration of virus into the lung from the oropharynx.

These speculations describing the early pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 upper and lower respiratory tract disease are consistent with recent clinical observations. The data from Wölfel et al. (2020) in COVID-19-positive subjects support the concept of early infection in the upper respiratory tract (0-5 d) followed by subsequent aspiration and infection of the lower lung. These authors focused on the oropharynx as a potential site of the early virus propagation. As noted above, however, a nasal-oropharyngeal axis also exists which has two implications. First, the nasal surfaces could seed the oropharynx for infection. Second, it is likely that oropharyngeal secretions reflect a mixture of local secretions admixed with a robust contribution of nasal mucus and virus.

Animal model data are also compatible with the scenario of aspiration-induced focal SARS-CoV-2 lung disease. The data of Rockx et al. (2020) noted focal lung disease after combined intranasal/intratracheal dosing with SARS-CoV-2 in cynomolgus monkeys. Notably, other findings in this model phenocopied our observations of human disease, e.g., early nasal shedding of virus, infection of nasal ciliated cells, and infection of AT2 and likely AT1 cells. Perhaps more definitive data describing nasal cavity seeding of the lower lung by microaspiration emanate from the studies of Richard et al. (2020). These investigators demonstrated in ferret models that genetically marked virus
delivered to the nasal cavity more efficiently transmitted infection to the lower lungs than a virus with a distinct genetic marker delivered directly into the lungs.

In addition to identifying possible microaspiration risk factors associated with COVID-19 disease severity in the elderly, diabetic, and obese, our studies provide insights into variables that control disease severity in subjects at risk due to pre-existing pulmonary disease (Leung et al., 2020; Sajuthi et al., 2020). For example, ACE2 expression was increased in the lungs of CF patients excised at transplantation. A major cytokine that produces the muco-inflammatory CF airways environment, IL1β, was associated in vitro with increased ACE2 expression (Chen et al., 2019). The clinical outcome of increased ACE2 expression in CF is not yet known. The simple prediction is that increased ACE2 expression might be associated with more frequent/severe SARS-CoV-2 disease in CF populations. However, increased ACE2 expression is reported to be associated with improved lung function by negatively regulating ACE and the angiotensin II and the angiotensin II type 1a receptor (AT1a) in models of alveolar damage/pulmonary edema and bacterial infection (Imai et al., 2005; Jia, 2016; Keeler et al., 2018; Kuba et al., 2005; Sodhi et al., 2019). Consequently, CF subjects might exhibit reduced severity of disease once acquired. Data describing outcomes of COVID-19 in the CF populations should emerge soon (Colombo et al., 2020).

Our autopsy studies also provide early insights into the variable nature of the severity and pathogenesis related to post-COVID-19 lung health/function (Atri et al., 2020; Kollias et al., 2020; Magro et al., 2020). Our study has identified another feature of COVID-19, i.e., the accumulation of apparently aberrantly secreted MUC5B in the alveolar region. Accumulation of MUC5B in the peripheral/alveolar lung is characteristic
of subjects who develop idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), and polymorphisms in the MUC5B promoter associated with IPF have been reported (Evans et al., 2016). Future studies of the long-term natural history of SARS-CoV-2 survivors, in combination with studies delineating the cell types responsible for MUC5B secretion (AT2 vs airway cells) and genetics, *e.g.*, MUC5B polymorphisms, may aid in understanding the long-term favorable vs fibrotic outcomes of COVID-19 disease (Chan et al., 2003; Rogers et al., 2018).

Our study also provides a SARS-CoV-2 infectious full-length cDNA clone for the field. Several strategies have been developed to construct stable coronavirus molecular clones, including the bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) (Almazan et al., 2000; Gonzalez et al., 2002) and vaccinia viral vector systems (Casais et al., 2001). In contrast, our *in vitro* ligation method solves the stability issue by splitting unstable regions and cloning the fragmented genome into separate vectors, obviating the presence of a full-length genome (Yount et al., 2000). Our *in vitro* ligation strategy has generated reverse genetic systems for at least 13 human and animal coronaviruses and produced hundreds of mutant recombinant viruses (Beall et al., 2016; Menachery et al., 2015; Scobey et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2020; Yount et al., 2003). In contrast to other reports (Thao et al., 2020), reporter recombinant SARS-CoV-2 viruses generated herein replicated to normal WT levels in continuous cell lines, allowing for robust ex vivo studies in primary cultures.

Using this infectious clone, we generated a high-throughput luciferase reporter SARS-CoV-2 assay for evaluation of viral nAbs. In line with previous reports (Tian et al., 2020; Wrapp et al., 2020), our data show that several SARS-CoV RBD-binding nAbs fail
to neutralize SARS-CoV-2, suggesting distant antigenicity within the RBD domains between the two viruses. Although more samples are needed, early convalescent sera demonstrated ~1.5 logs variation in neutralizing titers at ~day 30 post-infection, demonstrating a need to fully understand the kinetics, magnitude, and durability of the neutralizing antibody response after a primary SARS-CoV-2 infection. The detection of low level SARS-CoV-2 cross-neutralizing antibodies in 2003 SARS-CoV serum samples is consistent with recent studies (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Walls et al., 2020), suggesting that existence of common neutralizing epitopes between the two CoVs. Interestingly, convalescent COVID-19 sera failed to cross-neutralized SARS-CoV in vitro, suggesting cross-neutralizing antibodies may be rare after SARS-CoV-2 infection. The location of these epitopes is unknown. The nLuc recombinant viruses described herein will be powerful reagents for defining the antigenic relationships between the Sarbecoviruses, the kinetics and durability of neutralizing antibodies after natural infection, and the breadth of therapeutic neutralizing antibodies and vaccine countermeasures (Wang et al., 2019).

In summary, our studies have quantitated differences in ACE2 receptor expression and SARS-CoV-2 infectivity in the nose (high) vs the peripheral lung (low). These studies should provide valuable reference data for future animal models development and expand the pool of tissues, e.g., nasal, for future study of disease pathogenesis and therapy. While speculative, if the nasal cavity is the initial site mediating seeding of the lung via aspiration, these studies argue for the widespread use of masks to prevent aerosol, large droplet, and/or mechanical exposure to the nasal passages. Complementary therapeutic strategies that reduce viral titer in the nose early
in the disease, e.g., nasal lavages, topical antivirals, or immune modulation, may be beneficial. Finally, our studies provide key reagents and strategies to identify type specific and highly conserved neutralizing antibodies that can be assessed most easily in the nasal cavity as well as in the blood and lower airway secretions.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** See also Figure S1. Design and recovery of SARS-CoV-2 recombinant viruses. (A) Full-length cDNA clone constructs and genomes of recombinant viruses. Restriction sites, cohesive ends, and the genetic marker T15102A (*) are indicated in the schematic diagram. GFP or GFP-fused nLuc genes were introduced into the ORF7 (replacing aa # 14-104) of SARS-CoV-2 genome. (B) Plaques of recombinant viruses. (C) CPE and GFP signals were observed in Vero-E6 cells electroporated with sgRNA-N.
alone (mock) or sgRNA-N mixed with full-length RNA transcripts (recombinant viruses) at two days post-transfection. Scale bar = 100 µm. Sacl digestion (D) and Sanger sequencing (E) of a 1.5kb region covering the genetic marker in vial genomes. (F). Northern blot analysis of genomic and sub-genomic (sg) RNAs isolated from the virus-infected cells. Isolate: Clinical isolate strain WA1; WT: icSARS-CoV-2-WT; GFP: icSARS-CoV-2-GFP; nLuc-GFP: icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP.

**Figure 2.** See also Figure S2. Growth curves and the role of proteases in SARS-CoV-2 replication. (A) One-step and (B) multi-step growth curves of clinical isolate and recombinant viruses in Vero E6 cells, with MOI of 5 and 0.05, respectively. Fluorescent images (C) and viral titers (D) of the SARS-CoV-2-GFP replicates in Vero cells supplemented with different concentrations of trypsin. Fluorescent images (E) and viral titers (F) of the SARS-CoV-2-GFP replicates in normal Vero or Vero-furin cells. Fluorescent images (G) and viral titers (H) of the SARS-CoV-2-GFP replicates in normal LLC-MK or LLC-MK-TMPRSS2 cells. All scale bars = 200 µm. Data are presented in mean ± SD.

**Figure 3.** Neutralization assays using luciferase reporter coronaviruses. (A) mAbs and (B) COVID-19 sera against icMERS-CoV-nLuc; (C) mAbs and (D) SARS and COVID-19 sera against icSARS-CoV-nLuc; (E) mAbs, (F) SARS and COVID-19 sera, and (F) vaccinated mouse serum against icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP; (H) ID$_{50}$ values of SARS and COVID-19 sera cross-neutralizing SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. The same sera samples are indicated with arrows. MERS-CoV neutralizing mAbs: MERS-27,
m336; SARS-CoV neutralizing mAbs: S230, S230.15, S227.9; Dengue virus mAb: EDE1-C10; SARS patient serum samples: A to E; COVID-19 patient serum samples: 1 to 10; mouse serum was produced by immunized BALB/c mice with SARS-CoV-2 spike.

Figure 4. Intraregional ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA expression in normal human airways. See also Figure S3. (A) Representative RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) images demonstrating regional distribution of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA localization (red signal) in normal human airway surface epithelium. Scale bars=20 µm. (B) Comparison of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA expression between matched nasal and bronchial brushed tissues obtained from 7 healthy subjects. (C) Relative expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA in different airway regions enriched for epithelial cells, including tracheas, bronchi, bronchiole, and alveoli, obtained from matched 7 normal lungs. (D) Frequency of ACE2 and TMPRSS2-positive cells among total cells identified in distinct anatomical airway regions in a reanalysis of scRNA-seq data (Deprez. M et al., BioRxiv, 2019). (E) RNA-ISH images depicting mRNA expression of ACE2 and cell type markers, including FOXJ1 (ciliated) (Ei, ii, and iv), MUC5B (secretory) (Eiii and v), SFTPC (alveolar type 2) (Evi), and HOPX (alveolar type 1 or 2) (Evii) on cytospins of nasal/bronchial superficial epithelial and purified alveolar cells. Scale bars=10 µm. (F) Frequency of ACE2-positive cells among nasal and bronchial preparations. A total of 1,000 cells were analyzed for ACE2 expression per donor (N=3). G. Frequency of ACE2-positive cells among FOXJ1+ or MUC5B+ cells in nasal or bronchial preparations. A total of 200 FOXJ1+ or MUC5B+ cells were analyzed for ACE2 expression per donor (N=3). H, I. Histograms depicting number of dot signals of ACE2 expression in FOXJ1
or MUC5B+ cells in nasal (H) or bronchial (I) preparations identified by scRNA-ISH. ACE2-positive dot signals were counted in 200 FOXJ1 or MUC5B-positive cells per donor (N=3). Statistics for B, C, F and G used linear mixed-effect model with donor as random-effect factor for comparison between groups, and pair-wise comparisons of groups with more than 2 levels were performed using Tukey post-hoc tests. H and I used generalized linear mixed-effect models with Poisson distribution to compare the difference in cell counts at varying ACE2 expression levels between FOXJ1+ and MUC5B+ cells. Histobars and error bars represent mean ± SD. Different symbol colors indicate results from different individual donors.

Figure 5. Inflammatory cytokines alter ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression. (A) RNA in situ hybridization images demonstrating regional distribution of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA localization in normal and CF human airways. Scale bars = 20 µm. Images were obtained from four different airway regions from one normal or CF subject as representative of N=6 normal or CF subjects studied. (B) mRNA expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 measured by Taqman assay after inflammatory cytokine challenge in primary human large airway epithelial cells. Bi. IL1β (10 ng/ml, 7 days, N=8). Bii. IFNβ (10 ng/ml, 3 days, N=4 donors, 2-3 cultures/donor). Bi. IL13 (10 ng/ml, 7 days, N=8). Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for comparison between control and cytokine treatment groups. Histobars and error bars represent mean ± SD. Different symbol colors indicate results from different individual donors.
Figure 6. See also Figure S4. Replication of SARS-CoV-2 in primary human respiratory cells. (A) Representative GFP signals in icSARS-CoV-2-GFP-infected HNE, LAE, SAE, AT2-like and AT-1 like culture at 48h. Scale bar = 80. (B) Growth curves of icSARS-CoV-2-GFP in (i) HNE, n = 9 donors; (ii) LAE, n = 7 donors; (iii) SAE, n = 3 donors; (iv) AT1-like (empty symbols) and AT2-like (filled symbols) cells, n = 3 donor/each. Cells from female and male donors are labeled in pink and blue, respectively. Triplicated viral infection under MOI of 3 and 0.5 are shown in solid and dotted lines, respectively. (v) Comparison of the highest titers of individual culture among cell types; (vi) Comparison of individual titers in HNE and LAE at different time points. (C) Representative whole-mount extended focus views of icSARS-CoV-2-GFP-infected HNE and LAE cell cultures. Red = filamentous actin (phalloidin), White = α-tubulin (multiciliated cells), Green = GFP (virus), Blue = nuclei (Hoechst 33342). Yellow = MUC5B (left). Yellow = MUC5AC (right). Arrow: viral infected α-tubulin+ (ciliated) / MUC5B+ (secretory) transitional HNEs. Scale bars = 50 µm. (D) (i) Variability of GFP and cilia signals in icSARS-CoV-2-GFP-infected LAE cultures collected from five different donors at 72hpi, scale bar = 200 µm. (ii) Quantification of ciliated area in the LAE cultures. (E) Growth curves of icSARS-CoV-2-GFP infected in LAE and SAE collected form the same donor. Cultures were infected with SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolate (i), WT (ii) and GFP (iii) with MOI of 0.5. Data are presented in mean ± SD.

Figure 7. Characterization of cell types for SARS-CoV-2 infection in SARS-CoV-2 autopsy lungs. See also Figure S5. (A) Sections from of an autopsy lung with SARS-CoV-2 infection were stained by hematoxylin and eosin (i) and probed for SARS-CoV-2
by RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) (ii-iv). SARS-CoV-2 sense probe (ii) was used as a negative control. Scale bars = 1 mm. (B) The trachea from a SARS-CoV-2 autopsy was probed for SARS-CoV-2 by RNA ISH. (i) Colorimetric detection of SARS-CoV-2 (red) showing infection of surface epithelium. (ii-iv) Co-localization of SARS-CoV-2 (red) with cell-type-specific markers (green) determined by dual-immunofluorescent staining (Bii, acetylated α-tubulin cilia marker; Biii, MUC5B secretory cell marker; and Biv, MUC5AC mucous/goblet-cell marker). Scale bars = 10 µm. (C) Co-localization of SARS-CoV-2 with alveolar cell-type-specific markers in the alveolar space from a SARS-CoV-2 autopsy. (i) Dual color-fluorescent RNA ISH co-localization of SARS-CoV-2 (green) with alveolar type II cell marker SFTP C (red). (ii) Dual-immunofluorescent co-localization of SARS-CoV-2 (green) with alveolar type I cell marker AGER (magenta). Scale bars = 20 µm. (D) Mucin expression in SARS-CoV-2 autopsy lung. (i) Alcian Blue-periodic acid–Schif (AB-PAS; blue to purple) stain for complex carbohydrate (mucin); (ii) MUC5B immunohistochemistry; (iii-v) dual-immunofluorescent staining for MUC5B (green) and MUC5AC (red) in the large airway (iv) and the alveoli (v). SMG = submucosal grand. Scale bars = 2mm (i-iii); 200 µm (iv and v).
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RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Ralph S. Baric (rbaric@email.unc.edu).
Materials Availability

Material and reagents generated in this study will be made available upon installment of a material transfer agreement (MTA).

Data and Code Availability

Genomic sequences of recombinant viruses icSARS-CoV-2-WT, icSARS-CoV-2-GFP and icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP, which were generated in this study, have been deposited to GenBank (Accession # MT461669 to MT461671).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Human Subjects

Excess surgical pathology tissues were obtained from the University of North Carolina (UNC) Tissue Procurement and Cell Culture Core under protocol #03-1396 approved by the UNC Biomedical IRB. Informed consent was obtained from tissue donors or authorized representatives. Cystic fibrosis lung tissue was obtained from donors undergoing transplantation, while human lungs from previously healthy individuals unsuitable for transplantation were obtained from Carolina Donor Services (Durham, NC), the National Disease Research Interchange (Philadelphia, PA), or the International Institute for Advancement of Medicine (Edison, NJ). Upper airway tissues were obtained from subjects undergoing elective surgeries. Excised tissue specimens were dissected and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 48 hours followed by paraffin-embedding. The paraffin blocks were cut to produce 5 μm serial sections for RNA-ISH and immunohistochemistry. For qRT-PCR for ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression in nasal and
bronchial epithelial cells, nasal and bronchial epithelial cells were obtained from matched healthy volunteers by nasal scraping and bronchoscopic brush-biopsy under the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute IRB-approved protocol #07-H-0142. For single cell-RNA in situ hybridization (scRNA-ISH), human bronchial epithelial cells were obtained from the left main bronchus of healthy non-smoker volunteers by bronchoscopic brush-biopsy under the UNC Biomedical IRB-approved protocol #91-0679. Nasal surface epithelial cells were isolated from the resected nasal tissues as previously described (Fulcher and Randell, 2013; Okuda et al., 2019). After the isolation of nasal surface epithelial cells, the remaining nasal tissues were micro-dissected to isolate submucosal glands under the light microscope. Donor demographics was shown in Table S1, S2.

Tissue blocks or cut sections obtained from four COVID-19 autopsy lungs were obtained from Drs. Ross. E. Zumwalt (University of New Mexico) and Steven Salvatore and Alain Borczuk (New York Presbyterian Hospital). Donor demographics were described as below.

Donor 1. 40-year-old, male. Medical history: Diabetes mellitus. Clinical course: This donor had upper respiratory infection (URI) symptoms three days before he was found dead at home. No intubation was conducted. Postmortem testing of the lung was positive for SARS-CoV-2.

Donor 2. 64-year-old, male. Medical history: Diabetes mellitus, diabetic nephropathy, hepatitis C, heart failure, and coronary artery disease. Clinical course: This donor was transferred to ER because of fever and respiratory distress. Nasal swab was positive for SARS-CoV-2. He died five hours after urgent care. No intubation was conducted.
Donor 3. 95-year-old, female. Medical history: Hypertension, hyperlipidemia, Alzheimer’s disease. Clinical course: This donor was transferred to ER because of respiratory distress. Nasal swab was positive for SARS-CoV-2. She died 48 hours after urgent care. No intubation was conducted.

Donor 4. 69-year-old, male. Medical history: acute myeloid leukemia, type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clinical course: This donor was admitted to the hospital because of respiratory distress eight days after initial URI symptoms and diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2. He died five days post admission. No intubation was conducted.

Primary Cell Culture

Primary human nasal epithelial cells (HNE) were collected from healthy volunteers by curettage under UNC Biomedical IRB-approved protocols (#11-1363 and #98-1015) after informed consent as previously described (Kesic et al., 2011; Knowles et al., 2014). Briefly, superficial scrape biopsies were harvested from the inferior nasal turbinates under direct vision through a 9 mm reusable polypropylene nasal speculum (Model 22009) on an operating otoscope with speculum (Model 21700). Both nostrils were scraped 5 times without anesthesia using a sterile, plastic nasal curette (Arlington Scientific). Nasal cells were expanded using the conditionally reprogrammed cell (CRC) method (Gentzsch et al., 2017) or in Pneumacult EX Plus media (Stem Cell Technologies) (Speen et al., 2019) and then cultured on porous Transwell (Corning) supports in Pneumacult air liquid interface (ALI) media (Stem Cell Technologies). Human bronchial epithelial [large airway epithelial (LAE)] and bronchiolar [small airway epithelial (SAE)] cells, human alveolar type II pneumocytes (AT2), and human primary
lung microvascular endothelial cells (MVE) and fibroblasts (FB) were isolated from freshly excised normal human lungs obtained from transplant donors with lungs unsuitable for transplant under IRB-approved protocol (#03-1396), as previously described (Fulcher and Randell, 2013; Okuda et al., 2019).

**Cell Lines**

Simian kidney cell lines Vero (ATCC # CCL81), Vero E6 (ATCC # CRL1586), and LLC-MK (ATCC# CCL-7) were purchased from ATCC and preserved in our laboratory. The Vero-furin cell line was reported previously (Mukherjee et al., 2016). LLC-MK cells expressing TMPRSS2 were generated in our laboratory. A novel immortalized nasal cell line (UNCNN2TS) was created by lentiviral over expression of Bmi-1 and hTERT (Fulcher et al., 2009) in primary nasal cells, and subsequent lentiviral addition of SV40 T antigen (pBSSVD2005 was a gift from David Ron, Addgene plasmid # 21826). UNCNN2T cells are grown and infected in EpiX media (Propagenix).

**Virus strains**

Clinical SARS-CoV-2 isolate WA1 strain was provided by Dr. Natalie J. Thornburg at the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Preventive (CDC). The virus was isolated from the first US COVID-19 patient identified in Washington state (GenBank Accession#: MT020880). Recombinant CoVs icSARS-CoV-Urbani, icSARS-CoV-GFP, icSARS-CoV-nLuc and icMERS-CoV-nLuc were generated in our laboratory as described previously (Scobey et al., 2013; Yount et al., 2003). Briefly, the strategy to synthesize full-length cDNA clones for SARS-CoV-Urbani and MERS-CoV was identical to the method
reported herein, but with different restriction sites and junctions. The GFP and nLuc
reporters were inserted into the accessory ORF7a of the icSARS-CoV-Urbani clone,
whereas the nLuc reporter gene was introduced into the accessory ORF5a of the
icMERS-CoV clone. Virus stocks were propagated on Vero E6 cells in minimal essential
medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone) and supplemented with
penicillin/kanamycin (Gibico). Virus plaques were visualized by neutral red staining at
two days post-infection. The UNC Institutional Biosecurity Committee and the National
Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID) have approved the SARS-CoV-2
molecular clone project. All viral infections were performed under biosafety level 3 (BSL-3)
conditions at negative pressure, and Tyvek suits connected with personal powered-air purifying respirators.

Human serum samples
SARS serum samples were obtained from SARS convalescent patients from University
Health Network, MaRS Center, Toronto, Canada that had a confirmed SARS infection
under IRB-approved protocol (#UHN REB 03-0250). COVID-19 serum samples were
also provided as coded material and were deemed "not human subject research" by the
UNC School of Medicine Biomedical IRB (#20-1141).

METHOD DETAILS
Primary human cell culture and infection
Nasal cells were expanded using the conditionally reprogrammed cell (CRC) method
(Gentzsch et al., 2017) or in Pneumacult EX Plus media (Stem Cell Technologies)
(Speen et al., 2019) and then cultured on porous Transwell (Corning) supports in Pneumacult air liquid interface (ALI) media (Stem Cell Technologies). Human LAE and SAE cells were cultured as previously described (Fulcher and Randell, 2013; Okuda et al., 2019). Briefly, Isolated LAE and SAE cells were co-cultured with mitomycin-treated 3T3 J2 cells on collagen-coated tissue culture plastic dishes in DMEM media supplemented with 10 µM Y-27632 (Enzo Life Science). At 70-90% confluence, LAE and SAE cells were passaged and sub-cultured for expansion. P2 LAE and SAE cells were transferred to human placental type IV collagen-coated, 0.4 µm pore size Millicell inserts (Millipore, PICM01250). The LAE and SAE cells were seeded at a density of 2.8 × 10^5 cells/cm^2 and cultured in UNC ALI media. Upon confluence, cells were maintained at an ALI by removing apical media and providing UNC ALI media to the basal compartment only. Medium was replaced in the basal compartment twice a week, and the apical surfaces were washed with PBS once a week. After 28 days, LAE and SAE cells were utilized for SARS-CoV-2 recombinant viruses infection. Human type II pneumocytes (AT2) were prepared and cultured on porous supports as previously described (Bove et al., 2010). The AT2 cells are grown in DMEM with P/S and 10% FBS and switched to 4% FBS 24 hours prior to infection. Cells were studied within three days and after five days, as they transdifferentiate into type I pneumocyte (AT1)-like cells. For serum-free and feeder-free AT2 cell cultures (mixed AT1/AT2 culture), human lung pieces (~2gm) were washed twice with PBS containing 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic and cut into small pieces. Visible small airways and blood vessels were carefully removed to avoid clogging. Then samples were digested with 30 ml of enzyme mixture (collagenase type I: 1.68 mg/ml, dispase: 5U/ml, DNase: 10U/ml) at 37°C for 45 min with rotation.
The cells were filtered through a 100 µm strainer and rinsed with 15 ml PBS through the strainer. The supernatant was removed after centrifugation at 450x g for 10 min and the cell pellet was resuspended in red blood cell lysis buffer for five minutes, washed with DMEM/F12 containing 10% FBS and filtered through a 40 µm strainer. To purify human AT2 cells, approximately two million total lung cells were resuspended in SF medium and incubated with Human TruStain FcX (BioLegend) followed by incubation with HTII-280 antibody (Terrace Biotech). The cells were washed with PBS and then incubated with anti-mouse IgM microbeads. The cells were loaded into LS column (Miltenyi Biotec) and labeled cells collected magnetically. HTII-280+ human AT2 cells (1-3 × 10^3) were resuspended in culture medium. Serum-free feeder free medium and AT2 differentiation medium will be described elsewhere (S.V. and PRT et al., currently under revision in Cell Stem Cell). Culture plates were coated with Cultrex reduced growth factor basement membrane extract, Type R1 and cultured for five days followed by changing medium to AT2 differentiation medium for additional five days.

Human primary lung microvascular endothelial cells (MVE) and fibroblasts (FB) were grown as previously described (Scobey et al., 2013). For MVE cells, peripheral lung tissue minus the pleura was minced, digested with dispase/elastase, and cells were grown in EGM-2 media plus FBS (Lonza). Two or three rounds of CD31 bead purification (Dynabeads; Life Technologies) resulted in >95% CD31-positive cells by flow cytometry that were used between passages 5 and 10. FBs were obtained by finely mincing distal human lung tissue and plating on scratched type 1/3 collagen-coated dishes in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium with high glucose (DMEMH) media plus 10% FBS, antibiotics, and antimycotics. Cells were released using trypsin/EDTA and
subcultured in DMEMH, 10% FBS and P/S. The subcultured cells were elongated, spindly and negative for CD31 and pan-cytokeratin by flow cytometry and immunofluorescence, respectively.

icSARS-CoV-2-GFP virus infections were performed using well differentiated air-liquid interface (ALI) cultures of five donor specimens of human nasal epithelial (HNE) and large airway epithelial (LAE) cells using an MOI of three. Small airway epithelial (SAE) cell ALI cultures were created as previously described (Okuda et al., 2019). Paired LAE / SAE cells were inoculated with a SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolate, icSARS-CoV-2-WT, and icSARS-CoV-2-GFP, as well as wild-type icSARS-CoV-Urbani and icSARS-CoV-GFP on LAE, using an MOI of 0.5 for each virus. Transwell-cultured primary cells were inoculated with 200ul of virus via the apical surface and allowed to incubate at 37°C for two hours. Following incubation, virus was removed, and cells were washed twice with 500ul PBS. Cells were returned to 37°C for the remainder of the experiment and observed for fluorescent signal, when appropriate, every 12-24 hours. 100ul PBS was added to the apical surface of each culture and allowed to incubate for 10 minutes at 37°C in order to obtain an apical wash sample, at time points for analysis of viral replication by plaque assay. At the last time point, cells were lysed with 500ul TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) to obtain total final RNA for analysis.

Primary human bronchial epithelial cell culture and cytokines exposure: Primary human LAE cells from normal donors (obtained from donors without previously known pulmonary diseases) were cultured on the human placenta collagen IV (Sigma Cat#C-7521) coated transwell (Corning Cat#3640) under air-liquid interface (ALI) condition.
The apical surface was washed with PBS, and ALI medium (Fulcher et al., 2009) was replaced only in the basal compartment two-three times per week, and cells were cultured under ALI conditions for four weeks to allow full differentiation. Exposure with recombinant human cytokines was administrated started at the 5th week after ALI culture. All recombinant human cytokines (IL1β at 10ng/ml, IL13 at 10ng/ml, and IFNβ at 10ng/ml of final concentration in ALI media) were added to basolateral side of ALI media, and media were changed after three days supplied with freshly diluted cytokines. Cells were collected at day five – day seven for RNA isolation.

Whole-mount immunostaining and imaging.

Well-differentiated mock or icSARS-CoV-2-GFP-infected LAE ALI cultures were fixed twice for 10 minutes in 4% formaldehyde in PBS and washed and stored in PBS. The GFP signal was enhanced by staining with anti-GFP antibody (Abcam ab6556; 0.5 ug/mL), a Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV)-like replicon particle-immunized mouse antiserum against SARS-CoV-2 N protein (1:4000 dilution) and polyclonal rabbit anti-SARS-CoV N protein (Invitrogen PA1-41098, 0.5 ug/mL) using species-specific secondary antibodies as previously described (Ghosh et al., 2018). The cultures were also imaged for α-tubulin (Millipore MAB1864; 3ug/mL), MUC5AC (ThermoScientific 45M1; 4ug/mL), MUC5B [polyclonal rabbit against a MUC5B peptide (MAN5BII), 1:1000] (Thornton et al., 2000), and CCSP (Sigma 07-623; 1:2000) as indicated. Filamentous actin was localized with phalloidin (Invitrogen A22287), and DNA with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen). An Olympus FV3000RS confocal microscope in Galvo scan mode was used to acquire 5-channel Z stacks by 2-phase sequential scan. Representative stacks
were acquired with a 60X oil objective (xyz = 212um x 212um x ~25um), and are shown as Z-projections or single-slice, XZ cross sections to distinguish individual cell features and to characterize the infected cell types. A 20X objective was used to acquire 2D, single-channel, apical snapshots of nine fields (636um x 636um; combined area = 3.64mm^2), selected in evenly spaced grids across each sham infected donor culture, and ImageJ was used to measure the relative apical culture surface covered by multiciliated cells.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical staining was performed on COVID-19 autopsy lung sections according to a protocol as previously described (Okuda et al., 2019). Briefly, paraffin-embedded sections were baked at 60 °C for 2–4 hours, and deparaffinized with xylene (2 changes × 5 min) and graded ethanol (100% 2 × 5 min, 95% 1 × 5 min, 70% 1 × 5 min). After rehydration, antigen retrieval was performed by boiling the slides in 0.1 M sodium citrate pH 6.0 (3 cycles with microwave settings: 100% power for 6.5 min, 60% for 6 min, and 60% for 6 min, refilling the Coplin jars with distilled water after each cycle). After cooling and rinsing with distilled water, quenching of endogenous peroxidase was performed with 0.5% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 15 min, slides washed in PBS, and blocked with 4% normal donkey serum, for an hour at RT. Primary antibody (MUC5AC: 45M1, 1:1000, MUC5B: H300, 1:1000, SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid: 1:500, Anti-SARS mouse antiserum: 1:4000, Acetylated-α-tubulin: 1:1000, AGER: 1:400) were diluted in 4% normal donkey serum in PBST and incubated over night at 4 °C. Mouse and rabbit gamma globulin was used as an isotype control at the same
concentration as the primary antibody. Sections were washed in PBST and secondary antibodies (biotinylated donkey anti-rabbit IgG, at 1:200 dilution in 4% normal donkey serum in PBST for chromogenic DAB staining for MUC5B, Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG, at 1:1000 dilution and Alexa Fluor 594 donkey anti-mouse IgG, at 1:1000 dilution for fluorescent staining) were applied for 60 min at RT. After washing in PBST, the Vector® TrueVIEW Autofluorescence Quenching Kit (Vector laboratories) was used to reduce background staining, and glass coverslips were placed over tissue sections with the ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen) for fluorescent staining. For chromogenic DAB staining, slides were incubated with avidin-peroxidase complex according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Vectastain kit, Vector laboratories), washed, incubated with the chromogenic substrate (Immpact Novared, Vector laboratories) and counterstained with Fast Red. Coverslipped slides were scanned and digitized using an Olympus VS120 whole slide scanner microscope with a 40X/60X 0.95 NA objective and Olympus confocal microscope with a 40X 0.6 NA or 60X 1.4 NA objective.

**Cell dissociation for single cell-RNA in situ hybridization (scRNA-ISH)**

Fresh bronchoscopically brush-biopsied human main bronchial epithelial cells, nasal surface epithelial and submucosal gland cells isolated from the resected nasal tissues were incubated with Accutase solution for 30 min at 37°C. The Accutase-treated cells were centrifuged (450 g, 2 min, 4°C) and then incubated with 10 mL HBSS (Ca+, Mg+) buffer containing DNase I (0.1 mg/ml) (Roche #10104159001) and collagenase IV (1 mg/ml) (Gibco #17104-019) for 10 min and 30 min for bronchial/nasal surface epithelial
cell and nasal submucosal gland cell isolation, respectively at 37°C with intermittent agitation. Nasal submucosal glands were micro-dissected from the nasal tissues under microscopy. The tissues were centrifuged (450 g, 2 min, 4°C) and then incubated with 10 mL HBSS (Ca+, Mg+) buffer containing DNase I (0.1 mg/ml) and collagenase IV (1 mg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C with intermittent agitation followed by additional incubation with Trypsin-EDTA (Final concentration: 0.125%, Gibco #25200-056) for 20 min at 37°C. After incubation, enzymes were inactivated by adding 500 µL fetal bovine serum. Dissociated cells were filtered through a 40-µm cell strainer, centrifuged (450 g, 2 min, 4°C) and resuspended in PBS, adjusted to 10^5 cells/ml. Cell viability was examined by trypan blue dye exclusion. Single cell suspension was cytocentrifuged (55 g, 4 min, StatSpin CytoFuge2, Beckman Coulter) and fixed in 10% NBF for 30 min at room temperature. The cytocentrifuged cells were washed with PBS three times and then dehydrated with graded ethanol (50% 1 min, 70% 1 min, 100% 1 min). The slides were stored in 100% ethanol at -20°C until future use for scRNA-ISH.

RNA in situ hybridization

RNA-ISH was performed on cytocentrifuged single cells using the RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Assay v2, and on paraffin-embedded 5 µm tissue sections using the RNAscope 2.5 HD Reagent Kit and RNAscope 2.5 HD Duplex Reagent Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics). Cytospin slides were rehydrated with graded ethanol (100% 1 min, 70% 1 min, 50% 1 min), permeabilized with PBS + 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST) at RT for 10 min, incubated with hydrogen peroxide (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) at RT for 10 min, followed by incubation with 1:15 diluted
protease III at RT for 10 min. Tissue sections were deparaffinized with xylene (2 changes × 5 min) and 100% ethanol (2 changes × 1 min), and then incubated with hydrogen peroxide for 10 min, followed by target retrieval in boiling water for 15 min, and incubation with Protease Plus (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) for 15 min at 40 °C. Slides were hybridized with custom probes at 40 °C for 2 hours, and signals were amplified according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The stained sections were scanned and digitized using an Olympus VS120 light or fluorescent microscope with a 40X 1.35 NA objective and Olympus confocal microscope with a 40X 0.6 NA or 60X 1.4 NA objective.

Calculation of frequency of ACE2 and TMPRSS2-positive cells in distinct anatomical airway regions as identified by scRNA-seq

Normalized log-transformed count+1 gene x cell matrix and meta-data were downloaded from https://www.genomique.eu/cellbrowser/HCA/, which represent 77,969 cells that passed quality control. Expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 were extracted from the matrix, and the number of cells with log normalized count > 0 were calculated.

RNA isolation and gene expression analysis by Taqman Assays

For qRT-PCR for ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression in different airway regions, surface epithelial cells were isolated from freshly excised normal human lungs obtained from transplant donors by gentle scraping with a convex scalpel blade into F12 medium, excluding submucosal glands. Following centrifugation (450 g, 5 min, 4 °C), the pelleted epithelial cells were resuspended in 1 ml of TRI Reagent (Sigma). Micro-dissected small
airways and peripheral lung parenchyma were homogenized in 1 ml of TRI Reagent using a tissue homogenizer (Bertin Technologies). Debris was pelleted from the TRI Reagent by centrifugation, and the supernatant was used for RNA analysis.

The HBE cells growing on the transwell membrane were collected by excision of the whole membrane together with the cells using razor blade and lysed in TRI Reagent at 37°C shaker for 30 minutes. Total RNA was purified from the TRI Reagent lysates using the Direct-Zol RNA miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, cat#R2051), and examined by NanoDrop One Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher) for its quality and quantity. 1µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA by iScript™ Reverse Transcription Supermix (BioRad, Cat#1708840) at 42°C for one hour. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using Taqman probes (Applied BioSystems) with SsoAdvanced Universal Probes Supermix (Bio-Rad, cat#1725280) on QuantStudio6 Real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystem). The house-keeping gene used for normalization of gene expression for *in vitro* cultured HBE was TATA-binding protein (TBP) gene. See Key Resource Table for detailed information about primers/probes.

**Assembly of SARS-CoV-2 WT and reporter cDNA constructs**

Seven cDNA fragments covering the entire SARS-CoV-2 WA1 genome were amplified by RT-PCR using PrimeSTAR GXL HiFi DNA polymerase (TaKaRa). Junctions between each fragment contain non-palindromic sites BsaI (GGTCTCN^NNNN) or BsmBI (CGTCTCN^NNNN) with unique four-nucleotide cohesive ends. Fragment E and F contains two BsmBI sites at both termini, while other fragments harbor BsaI sites at the junction. Four-nucleotide cohesive ends of each fragment are indicated in Figure 1A. To
assist the transcription of full-length viral RNA, we introduced a T7 promoter sequence into the upstream of fragment A, as well as a 25nt poly-A tail into the downstream of the fragment G. Each fragment was cloned into high-copy vector pUC57 and verified by Sanger sequencing. A silent mutation T15102A was introduced into a conserved region in nsp12 in plasmid D as a genetic marker. To enhance the efficiency of recovering SARS-CoV-2 virus in the cell culture, a sgRNA-N construct, encoding a 75nt leader sequence, N gene, 3'UTR, and a 25nt poly-A tail, was assembled under the control of a T7 promoter. Two reporter viruses, one containing GFP and the other harboring, a GFP-fused nLuc gene, were generated by replacing the ORF7 gene with the reporter genes.

Generation of full-length RNA transcript and recovery of recombinant viruses

Seven genomic cDNA fragments were digested with appropriate endonucleases, resolved on 0.8% agarose gels, excised and purified using a QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen). A full-length genomic cDNA was obtained by ligating seven fragments in an equal molar ratio with T4 DNA ligase (NEB). We then purified the ligated cDNA with chloroform and precipitated it in isopropanol. The full-length viral RNA or SARS-CoV-2 sgRNA-N were synthesized using the T7 mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 transcription kit (Thermo Fisher) at 30°C for 4h. The full-length SARS-CoV-2 transcript and sgRNA-N were mixed and electroporated into 8×10^6 of Vero E6 cells. The cells were cultured as usual in the medium for two to three days.

PCR of leader-containing sgRNAs
Viral replication in the electroporated cells was evaluated by amplification of leader sequence-containing sgRNAs. A forward primer targeting the leader sequence (5'-GTTTATACCTCCCAGGTAACAAACC -3’) was paired with a reverse primer targeting M gene (5' AAGAAGCAATGAAGTAGCTGAGCC -3’) or N gene (5'-GTAGAAATACCATCTTGGACTGAGATC -3’).

Identification of the genetic marker

To confirm that the introduced T15102A mutation exists in the recombinant viruses, viral RNA was extracted using TRI Reagent (Thermo Fisher). A 1579 bp fragment in nsp12 of each virus was amplified by RT-PCR using primer pair 5'-GCTTCTGGTAATCTATTACTAGATAAACG-3' and 5'-AAGACATCAGCATACTCCTGATTAGG -3'. The fragment was subjected to Sanger sequencing or digested with SacI enzyme (NEB).

Northern Blot Analysis

Vero E6 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 isolate, icSARS-CoV-2-WT, icSARS-CoV-2-GFP or icSARS-CoV-2-GFP-nLuc at an MOI of 1. At 24hr post-infection, we extracted the total cellular RNA using TRIzol Reagent (Thermo Fisher). Poly A-containing messenger RNA was isolated from the total RNA using an Oligotex mRNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). Messenger RNA (0.6-0.7 µg) was separated on an agarose gel and transferred to BrightStar-Plus membrane using a NorthernMax-Gly Kit (Invitrogen). Blots were hybridized with a biotin-labeled oligomer (5'-BiodT/GGCTCTGTTGGGAATGTTTTGTATGCG/BiodT-3’), then detected using a
Chemiluminescent Nucleic Acid Detection Module (Thermo Fisher) using the iBright Western Blot Imaging System (Thermo Fisher).

**Generation of SAR-CoV-2 S protein-immunized mouse serum**

The SAR-CoV-2 S and N genes was cloned into pVR21 3526 to generate virus replicon particles (VRPs), as previously described (Agnihothram et al., 2018). Briefly, SARS-CoV-2 S or N genes were inserted into pVR21, a vector encoding the genome of a VEEV strain 3526. The SARS-CoV-2-S-pVR21 construct, a plasmid containing the VEEV envelope glycoproteins, and a plasmid encoding the VEEV capsid protein were used to generate T7 RNA transcripts. The RNA transcripts were then electroporated into BHK cells. VRPs were harvested 48 hours later and purified via high-speed ultracentrifugation. Two groups of 10-week-old BALB/c mice (Jackson Labs) were then inoculated with the VRPs via footpad injection then boosted with the same dose once four weeks later. Serum samples were collected at 2 weeks post-boosting and were mixed together.

**Monoclonal antibody large-scale production**

SARS-specific S230, S230.15, S227.14, S227.9 IgG, MERS-specific MERS-27, m336 IgG, and a Dengue-specific EDE1-C10 IgG antibody variable heavy and light chain genes were obtained, codon-optimized for human mammalian cell expression, and cloned into heavy and light-chain variable-gene-expressing plasmids encoding a human IgG1 Fc region as described previously (Martinez et al., 2020). One hundred µg of each variable heavy and light chain plasmids were co-transfected using an ExpiFectamine
293 transfection kit in Expi293F (Thermo) cells at 2.5 million cells/ml in 1L flasks in suspension. Transfected cell supernatants were harvested two days later, and the soluble antibody was purified using Pierce protein A beads (Thermo) followed by fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC). MAbs were buffer exchanged with sterile 1XPBS. Purified mAbs were quality controlled by Western blotting and Coomassie blue staining to confirm mAb purity.

**MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assays**

Recombinant viruses icMERS-CoV-nLuc, icSARS-CoV-nLuc, and icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP were tittered in Vero E6 cells to obtain a relative light units (RLU) signal of at least 20X the cell only control background. Vero E6 cells were plated at 20,000 cells per well the day prior in clear bottom black-walled 96-well plates (Corning 3904). Neutralizing antibody serum samples were tested at a starting dilution of 1:20 and mAb samples were tested at a starting dilution 50µg/ml and were serially diluted 4-fold up to eight dilution spots. icMERS-CoV-nLuc, icSARS-CoV-nLuc, and icSARS-CoV-2-nLuc-GFP viruses were diluted and were mixed with serially diluted antibodies. Antibody-virus complexes were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 1 hour. Following incubation, growth media was removed, and virus-antibody dilution complexes were added to the cells in duplicate. Virus-only controls and cell-only controls were included in each neutralization assay plate. Following infection, plates were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 48 hours. After the 48 hours incubation, cells were lysed, and luciferase activity was measured via Nano-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer specifications. MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 neutralization
titers were defined as the sample dilution at which a 50% reduction in RLU was observed relative to the average of the virus control wells.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

For comparison of gene expression in response to cytokine exposure versus control (PBS) with one culture per code in each group, we performed Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test by Graphpad Prism 8 built in function. For comparison of gene expression in response to cytokine exposure vs control (PBS) with more than one culture per code in each group, the linear mixed-effect models analysis were performed. The relative mRNA expression from Taqman assays were analyzed with linear mixed-effect models using the R package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015), with treatment as fixed effect and code as random-effect factors. Statistical significance were evaluated with the R lmerTest package (Kuznetsova et al., 2017), using the Satterthwarte's degrees of freedom method. Multiple post-hoc comparisons of subgroups were performed using the R multcomp package (Hothorn T, 2008). For cell count data, generalized linear mixed-effect models (glmer) with Poisson distribution was used. Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to determine the statistical significance between unpaired two groups in Figure 2 (D, F and H), and Figure 6 Bvi using Graphpad Prism 8. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test was used to determine the statistical significance between groups in Figure 6Bv using Graphpad Prism 8. The “n” numbers for each experiment are provided in the text and figures. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Co-localization of ACE2 mRNA with marker-genes and quantification
RNA-ISH was performed on cytocentrifuged single cells using RNAscope Multiplex Fluorescent Assay v2, as described above, to assess colocalization of ACE2 mRNA and airway epithelial cell markers, including FOXJ1 (ciliated cells) and MUC5B (secretory cells). ACE2 probe (channel 1) was combined with each of airway epithelial cell marker (channel 2). The stained cytospin slides were scanned and digitized using an Olympus VS120 whole slide scanner microscope with a 40X 0.9 numerical aperture objective. Using Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012), quantification for colocalization was performed in the scanned images by an investigator blinded to slide identification. To calculate the occurrence of ACE2+ cells in preparations, ACE2+ cells and total cells were manually counted, and the frequency calculated. For quantitative co-localization analysis of ACE2 with airway epithelial marker-defined cells, the number of visible ACE2 signals (dots) was manually counted in each airway epithelial cell-marker-positive cell. The ACE2+ signals were quantitated in 200 FOXJ1+ or MUC5B+ cells per subject. One or more dot signals defined an ACE2+ positive cell, while airway-epithelial-marker-positive cells were defined as cells expressing 10 or more dot signals for the epithelial marker.

**Quantification of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 gene expression in tonsillar surface epithelium**

Human tonsil tissue sections were analyzed for ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression using RNA-ISH. Tonsillar surface epithelial regions with positive RNA ISH signals (4 to 8 regions per donor) were selected for quantification. Signal counts were normalized to the number of cells as determined by DAPI nuclear stain in each region. For
quantification, the stratified epithelial layer was divided into two layers: 1) surface
(flattened epithelial) layer and 2) basal (cuboidal epithelial) layer.
Supplemental Information

Figure S1. Additional information for the SARS-CoV-2 infectious cDNA clone, Related to Figure 1. (A) Electrophoresis of seven restriction enzyme-digested infectious cDNA clone plasmids. Plasmid A was digested with NotI and Bsal; plasmids B, C, and D were digested with Bsal; plasmids E and F were digested with BsmBI; plasmid G was digested with SalI and Bsal. (B) Amplification SARS-CoV-2 sgRNAs using primers targeting sgRNA-5 (M) and -9 (N). Cellular RNA samples were collected from Vero-E6 cells electroporated with viral RNA transcripts at 20h. Mock cells were electroporated with SARS-CoV-2 sgRNA-9 alone. (C) Alignment of sequences containing the T #15102 in nsp12 gene among 9 different group 2b CoVs.

Figure S2. Cytopathic effect of cells infected with icSARS-CoV-GFP virus, Related to Figure 2. (A) Infected Vero cells supplemented with different concentrations of trypsin. (B) Infected Vero or Vero-furin cells. (C) Infected LLC-MK or LLC-MK-TMPRSS2 cells. All scale bars = 200 µm.

Figure S3. ACE2 and TMPRSS2 expression in human tonsillar epithelium and nasal surface epithelium and submucosal glands, Related to Figure 4 (A) Tonsillar surface squamous epithelium stained with (Ai) H&E staining and (Aii) dual-color-fluorescence RNA-ISH showing TMPRSS2 (green) and ACE2 (red) along with nuclear staining (blue). Scale bars = 50 um. (iii) Enlarged images of Aii showing surface (iii) and basal (iv) expression; scale bars = 20um. Images are representative from N=3 tonsils,
N=4-8 regions per tonsil. (Av) Signal dots for ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNAs were counted and normalized to the number of cells in surface and basal layer of tonsillar surface epithelium as described in the STAR methods. Each bar represents the average of N=4-8 regions for each tonsil studied. (B) Frequency of FOXJ1- or MUC5B-positive cells identified by RNA-ISH among total nasal surface epithelial cells isolated. A total of 1,000 cells were analyzed for FOXJ1 or MUC5B expression per donor. N=3. (C) Cytospins of nasal submucosal glands cells probed by dual-color-immunofluorescent RNA-ISH. Ci shows lack of ACE2 in MUC5B-positive nasal gland cells, while Cii depicts occasional co-expression of TMPRSS2 in a subset of MUC5B-positive cells. Scale bars = 20 µm. (Ciii) Frequency of detection of ACE2 or TMPRSS2 positive cells in MUC5B positive cells from nasal glands. N=1 gland preparation, a total of 200 MUC5B positive cells were counted.

Figure S4. Additional data of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 infected primary human cells, Related to Fig.6. (A) Representative whole-mount extended focus views of icSARS-CoV-2-GFP-infected (i) HNE and LAE cell cultures. Red = filamentous actin (phalloidin), White = α-tubulin (multiciliated cells), Blue = nuclei (Hoechst 33342). Green = GFP (left). Green = SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid (right). Yellow = MUC5AC (left). Yellow = MUC5B (right); (ii) LAE and SAE cell cultures. Yellow = filamentous actin (phalloidin), White = α-tubulin (multiciliated cells), Blue = nuclei (Hoechst 33342). Green = GFP (virus). Red = CCSP. Scale bars = 50 µm. (B) Merged of GFP and bright field images taken from AT1 and AT2 cells infected with icSARS-CoV-2-GFP at 48h. The AT-1 cells are present inside the enclosed areas. Bar = 100 µm. (C) GFP signals of
icSARS2-GFP-infected HNEs collected from five different donors at 72hpi, MOI = 3. (D)

(i) Fluorescent signals of the two viruses in LAE (ii) Growth curves of three SARS-CoV-2 viruses in LAE from the same donor. Scale bar = 200 µm. (iii) Growth curves of two SARS-Urbani viruses in LAE. All the infections in this figure were in MOI = 0.5.

Figure S5. SARS-CoV-2 infection in SARS-CoV-2 autopsy lungs, Related to Figure 7. (A) Sections from of a second region of an autopsy lung with SARS-CoV-2 infection were stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (i) and probed for SARS-CoV-2 by RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) (ii, iii, and iv). Related to Figure 7A. (B) Frequency of acetylated alpha tubulin, MUC5AC, or MUC5B colocalization with SARS-CoV-2 positive cells in the trachea from a SARS-CoV-2 autopsy. A total of 200 randomly selected SARS-CoV-2 positive cells were analyzed for each dual staining condition. Related to Figure 7B ii, iii, iv. (C) Absence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in submucosal glands (SMG). (Ci-ii) H&E staining (i) and RNA-ISH (ii) for SARS-CoV-2 (red) in a large cartilaginous airway of one autopsy lung. SARS-CoV-2 is only present in the surface epithelium near the lumen, not in SMG. (Ciii-iv) H&E (iii) and dual-immunofluorescence staining using acetylated alpha tubulin (red) and anti-SARS-CoV-2 rabbit polyclonal antibody (green) (iv) from the trachea of a separate autopsy. Related to Figure 7B and S5Di. (D) Regional distribution of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from trachea to alveoli identified by RNA-ISH in one SARS-2-CoV autopsy lung (in i and ii, viral staining is red; in iii, viral staining is turquoise). RNA-ISH dual color images demonstrate SARS-CoV-2 RNA and SFTPC mRNA (alveolar type 2 cell marker) localization in alveoli of a SARS-CoV-2 autopsy
lung. SARS-CoV-2 (turquoise) was identified in a SFTPC (red)-positive (iii, arrow) and a SFTPC-negative cell (iv, arrowhead); Scale bars = 2mm (A); 100 µm (C); 20 µm (D).
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Highlights:

1. A SARS-CoV-2 infectious cDNA clone and reporter viruses are generated.
2. SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV neutralization assays shows limited cross neutralization.
3. SARS-CoV-2 shows a gradient infectivity from the proximal to distal respiratory tract.
4. Ciliated airway cells and AT-2 cells are primary targets for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In Brief

Hou et al. present a reverse genetics system for SARS-CoV-2, which is then used to make reporter viruses to quantify the ability of patient sera and antibodies to neutralize infectious virus and to examine viral tropism along the human respiratory tract.
A  SARS-CoV-2, MOI=5

B  SARS-CoV-2, MOI=0.05

C  Vero, MOI = 0.03
  trypsin: 0 µg/mL  1 µg/mL  5 µg/mL
  24h  
  48h

D  Trypsin in infected Vero cells

E  MOI = 0.03

F  icSARS-CoV-2-GFP (MOI = 0.03)

G  MOI = 0.03

H  icSARS-CoV-2-GFP (MOI = 0.03)
A Vero, MOI = 0.03

| trypsin: | 0 µg/mL | 1 µg/mL | 5 µg/mL |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| 24h      |         |         |         |
| 48h      |         |         |         |

B MOI = 0.03

|   | 24h | 48h | 72h |
|---|-----|-----|-----|
| Vero |     |     |     |
| Vero-Furin |     |     |     |

C MOI = 0.03

|   | 24h | 48h | 72h | 96h |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| LLC-MK |    |     |     |     |
| LLC-MK -TMPRSS2 | | | | |
A

Tonsil

i H&E

ii TMPRSS2 ACE2

Surface

iii

Surface

Basal

iv Basal

v

Number of dot signals per cell

B

Nasal surface epithelium

Proportion of marker+ cells (%)

FOX1+ MUC5B+

C

Nasal submucosal gland

i ACE2 MUC5B

ii TMPRSS2 MUC5B

iii

Among 200 MUC5B-positive cells

ACE2-positive 1

ACE2-negative 199

Among 200 MUC5B-positive cells

TMPRSS2-positive 16

TMPRSS2-negative 184
icSARS-CoV-2-GFP infected HNE at 72hpi

Donor A Donor B Donor C Donor D Donor E

SARS-CoV-2 N MUC5B α-tubulin Phalloidin Hoechst

Anti-GFP MUC5AC α-tubulin Phalloidin Hoechst

icSARS-CoV-2-GFP infected LAE

icSARS-CoV-2-GFP infected SAE

SARS-CoV-2 on LAE (donor B)

Viral titer (PFU/mL)

Hours post-infection

SARS on LAE (donor B)

Viral titer (PFU/mL)

Hours post-infection

LAE, MOI = 0.5

24h 48h 72h 96h
Table S1. Demographics of normal subjects studied and experimental use, Related to the STAR Methods

| #  | Age | Sex | Smoking History | Airway region | Collection                  | Related figure |
|----|-----|-----|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 1  | 40  | M   | NS              | Bronchi       | Bronchial brushing          | Fig. 4E-I      |
| 2  | 22  | M   | NS              | Bronchi       | Bronchial brushing          | Fig. 4E-I      |
| 3  | 25  | M   | NS              | Bronchi       | Bronchial brushing          | Fig. 4E-I      |
| 4  | 48  | M   | Unknown         | Nose          | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. 4A        |
| 5  | 58  | M   | Unknown         | Nose          | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. 4A        |
| 6  | 57  | M   | Unknown         | Nose          | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. 4E-I      |
| 7  | 41  | F   | Unknown         | Nose          | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. 4E-I      |
| 8  | 73  | F   | Unknown         | Nose          | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. 4E-I      |
| 9  | 15  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4Evi, 4Evii |
| 10 | 25  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4Evi, 4Evii |
| 11 | 19  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4Evi, 4Evii |
| 12 | 17  | F   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4A, 5A    |
| 13 | 52  | F   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4A, 5A    |
| 14 | 37  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4A, 5A    |
| 15 | 44  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4A, 5A    |
| 16 | 55  | F   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4A, 4C, 5A |
| 17 | 27  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4A, 5A    |
| 18 | 35  | F   | 5 cigarettes/month | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 19 | 22  | M   | NS              | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 20 | 39  | F   | NS              | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 21 | 35  | M   | 5 PY            | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 22 | 23  | M   | NS              | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 23 | 22  | F   | NS              | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 24 | 22  | F   | NS              | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 25 | 42  | M   | NS              | Nose/ Bronchi | Nasal scrape/ Bronchial brushing | Fig. 4B        |
| 26 | 35  | F   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4C        |
| 27 | 53  | F   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4C        |
| 28 | 24  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4C        |
| 29 | 25  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4C        |
| 30 | 15  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4C        |
| 31 | 27  | M   | NS              | Lung          | Transplant donor lung       | Fig. 4C        |
| 32 | 39  | F   | Unknown         | Tonsil        | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. S3        |
| 33 | 37  | F   | Unknown         | Tonsil        | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. S3        |
| 34 | 38  | F   | Unknown         | Tonsil        | Excess surgical tissue      | Fig. S3        |

NS = never smoker, PY = pack-year
Table S2. Demographics of CF subjects studied for RNA-ISH experiment, Related to the STAR Methods

| # | Age | Sex | CFTR mutation | Airway region | Collection | Related figure |
|---|-----|-----|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| 1 | 45  | F   | DF508/N1303K  | Lung          | Lung transplant | Fig. 5A      |
| 2 | 42  | F   | DF508/DF508   | Lung          | Lung transplant | Fig. 5A      |
| 3 | 30  | F   | DF508/DF508   | Lung          | Lung transplant | Fig. 5A      |
| 4 | 15  | M   | DF508/W1282X  | Lung          | Lung transplant | Fig. 5A      |
| 5 | 34  | M   | DF508/W1282X  | Lung          | Lung transplant | Fig. 5A      |
| 6 | 59  | F   | DF508/N1303K  | Lung          | Lung transplant | Fig. 5A      |