ABSTRACT

Organic Rankine cycles (ORC) are used to produce power from low-temperature heat sources. In the low power output range (<10 kW), scroll expanders are preferred. However, the performance of the ORC system is dependent on the expander efficiency. The present work focuses on the parametric investigation of the open-drive scroll expander used for micro-organic Rankine cycle. A 5 kW expander was used and its built-in volume ratio was 3.5. R245fa was used as the working fluid. The analysis was carried out using a well-known semi-empirical model available in the literature. Effect of key parameters such as expansion ratio, shaft speed, and expander inlet temperature on power output and expander efficiency was evaluated for four different cases. Results showed that, at an inlet pressure of 10 bar, peak efficiency of 58% and 60% was achieved at shaft speeds of 1500 RPM and 2000 RPM respectively. It was also evident that, at higher shaft speeds, the increase in mass flow rate is not sufficient to counter frictional and mechanical losses within the expander. The analysis also indicated that increasing the expander inlet temperature could have a negative impact on the expander efficiency as well as the overall performance of the ORC system, as the thermal energy dissipation is higher at higher inlet temperatures for all cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Climate change and global warming have become a major concern for the world. The global community has committed itself to increase energy sustainability by increasing renewable energy generation and reducing dependency on fossil fuels. To counter the effects of climate change, India has committed to increasing its renewable energy share to 40% by 2030 at the COP21 climate summit in Paris [1]. With rapid industrialisation and urbanisation, achieving this target is an uphill task. India has grown by leaps and
bounds as far as Solar PV and wind energy is concerned. However, it is not enough to achieve the targets set by the Govt. of India. One of the technologies which have received much attention over the recent years is organic Rankine cycle (ORC) technology. Low-grade heat with temperatures ranging from 60 to 200 °C can be effectively utilised using ORC. This heat can be extracted by various means such as Solar [2, 3, 4], waste heat recovery [5–11], biomass [12], geothermal [13, 14], etc. Heat is absorbed by the organic fluid with a low boiling point, in the evaporator. The high-pressure vapour is then passed through the expander which produces power. ORC technology is preferred over other conventional power generating systems because of its low operating pressures, compactness and simplicity, ease of operation, and low maintenance. However, the irreversibilities associated with each of the components in ORC have to be minimised. The most important component in ORC is the expansion device or the turbine which converts the available thermal energy into mechanical work. Scroll expanders are known to be the most appropriate expansion device for micro ORC applications (1–10 kW). Since small-scale expanders are not readily available in the market, researchers have found a novel method of using commercially available scroll compressors and modifying them in such a way that it works as an expander [15–18]. However, the expansion volume ratio need not necessarily match with the built-in volume ratio of the expander as it is not designed for that particular application. In the recent past, many experimental studies have been conducted to demonstrate the use of scroll expanders as an expansion devices for micro ORC power generation systems. Chang et al. [19] analysed a modified scroll expander and they observed that the expander efficiency and cycle efficiency increased with an increase in superheating. Declaye et al. [20] conducted experimental studies of an open drive scroll expander modified from a commercially available air compressor, using R245fa as the working fluid. They obtained maximum isentropic efficiency of 75.7% and maximum shaft power of 2.1 kW. They also concluded that oil-free expanders have an advantage over hermetic expanders because of their simplicity in design and ease of operation. Qiu et al. [21] examined the performance of a modified scroll expander driven by compressed air. They observed that the power output of the expander increased significantly with an increase in shaft speed.

In the recent past, few works have been published related to performance characterisation of scroll expanders and optimisation of their geometry and operating conditions. For instance, researchers developed mechanistic models which predict the internal behaviour of the machine based on their geometry and configuration [22, 23]. But the drawbacks of these models has been that it requires a number of sub-models such as valve model, internal leakage model, motion equation, heat transfer equation, etc. to account for internal leakages, heat transfer surface, and other mechanical losses. Hence, it becomes more complicated and cannot be integrated into cycle models. In general, low-order mathematical models are preferred for thermal systems [24–39]. However, in the case of scroll expanders for small-scale power generation, empirical and semi-empirical models are preferred for performing cycle analysis.

Many experimental and theoretical studies have been carried out on the performance characterisation of scroll expanders. A few of them have been tabulated in Table 1. However, limited efforts have been made to analyse the key operating parameters of the expander and its effect on the expander performance. It is important to carry out a parametric study to analyse the off-design performance of the scroll expander with respect to its efficiency and work output. This paper aims to investigate the performance of the scroll expander under varying operating conditions, using a validated semi-empirical model available in the literature [40]. Using this model, the effect of expansion ratio, shaft speed, and expander inlet temperature on the mass flow rate, work output, and expander efficiency have been analysed. The expander capacity was 5 kW, the built-in volume ratio was 3.5 and the maximum permissible pressure was 13.5 bar. R245fa was used as the working fluid. Rotational speeds could be varied from 500 RPM to 3600 RPM.

**MODEL DESCRIPTION**

These semi-empirical model describes the expansion process within the scroll expander which also consists of related equations. The entire expansion process is divided into 7 stages as shown in fig. 1. The processes are as follows:

a) Adiabatic supply pressure drop (su to su,1)
b) Constant pressure supply cooling down (su,1 to su,2)
c) Internal leakage (su,2 to ex,2)
d) Adiabatic and reversible expansion to the adapted pressure which is forced upon by the built-in volume ratio of the machine (su,2 to ad)
e) Adiabatic expansion at constant volume (ad to ex,2)
f) Adiabatic fluid mixing between supply and leakage flows (ex,2 to ex,1)
g) Constant pressure exhaust heat transfer (ex,1 to ex)

Following assumptions were used to simplify the analysis:

1) Adiabatic supply pressure drop is modelled as isentropic flow through a converging nozzle whose cross-sectional area is $A_\infty$. $A_\infty$ represents the average inlet port effective area during the suction process.
2) Supply and exhaust heat transfer is simulated using a fictitious envelope with uniform temperature $T_\infty$. This envelope represents the outer shell of the expander and the scrolls. Heat transfer occurs between the supply chamber and this envelope.
Table 1. Recent studies on scroll expanders for micro organic Rankine cycle application

| Reference       | Type of study & operating conditions                                                                 | Remarks                                                                 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vincent et al.  | Type: Experimental                                                                                    | Maximum work output : 2.2 kW, Maximum expander efficiency: 68%          |
| [44]            | Working fluid used: R245fa                                                                            |                                                                         |
|                 | N = 2660 RPM                                                                                        |                                                                         |
|                 | Expansion ratio: 5.7                                                                                  |                                                                         |
|                 | Evaporation temperature: 140°C                                                                         |                                                                         |
|                 | Evaporation pressure: 6–16 bar                                                                          |                                                                         |
| Wang et al.     | Type: Experimental                                                                                    | Maximum work output : 0.8 kW, Maximum expander efficiency: 77%          |
| [45]            | Working fluid used: R134a                                                                             |                                                                         |
|                 | N = 3670 RPM                                                                                        |                                                                         |
|                 | Expansion ratio: 2.65–4.84                                                                             |                                                                         |
|                 | Evaporation pressure: 8–9 bar                                                                           |                                                                         |
| Davide et al.   | Type: Experimental and performance characterisation using semi-emirical model.                        | 1) Maximum expander efficiency: 58%                                     |
| [40]            | Working fluid used: R245fa                                                                            | 2) Model showed good agreement with the experimental data.              |
|                 | Evaporation temperature: 110°C                                                                           | 3) The model showed that the mechanical losses accounted                |
|                 | N = 1600 RPM                                                                                        |   for up to 19% of the shaft power at 3000 RPM.                       |
| Jingye et al.   | Type: Experimental validation of scroll expander semi-emirical model                                   | Maximum deviation between measured and predicted                       |
| [41]            | Working fluid used: R1223zd(E) and R245fa                                                             |   results of supply pressure, exhaust temperature and net              |
|                 |                                                                                                       |   power output are 3.35%, 2.24 K and 6.09%, respectively.             |
| Vincent et al.  | Type: Performance characterisation of scroll expander using a semi-emirical model and its validation. | Maximum deviation between measured and predicted                       |
| [42]            | Working fluid used: R123                                                                              |   results is 2% for mass flow rate, 5% for shaft power and 3K          |
|                 |                                                                                                       |   for discharge temperature.                                          |

Figure 1. Conceptual diagram of scroll expander mode.
3) Internal leakage is modelled as a lumped nozzle whose cross-sectional area is $A_{\text{leak}}$.

4) There is no pressure drop through the discharge port. Therefore, the model assumes that some fluid flows out of or into the expander chamber (under/over-expansion) instantaneously after the chamber opens to the outlet line.

5) Mechanical losses within the expander are lumped into a constant parameter called $r_{\text{loss}}$.

**Adiabatic Supply Pressure Drop (su to su,1)**

This process accounts for the pressure losses occurring between the inlet of the expander and the suction chamber. The pressure drop occurs mainly due to two reasons. The primary reason is that, during the suction process, the expander suction port is blocked by the tip of the orbiting scroll which reduces the effective suction port area. In addition to this, the flow passage is progressively reduced with uniform temperature $T_s$. This results in radial leakage. The second path is the clearance between the fixed and the orbiting scroll, which leads to tangential leakage. This internal leakage is modelled similar to the supply pressure drop. It is modelled as a lumped nozzle whose cross-sectional area is $A_{\text{leak}}$. Critical pressure of the lumped nozzle is defined as [42],

$$P_{\text{cr}} = P_{m,2} \times \left( \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}}$$

$$P_{\text{sh}} = \text{Max}(P_{m,2}, P_{\text{cr}})$$

**Isobaric Supply Cooling Down (su,1 to su,2)**

Supply heat transfer is simulated using a fictitious envelope with uniform temperature $T_s$. This envelope represents the outer shell of the expander and the scrolls. Heat transfer occurs between the supply chamber and this envelope. This can be modelled as,

$$Q_m = \dot{m} \times (h_{m,1} - h_{m,2})$$

Internal heat transfers are lumped into equivalent supply and exhaust heat transfer rates between the working fluid and a fictitious metal envelope which is maintained at a constant wall temperature $T_s$. This envelope represents the mass associated with the expander shell, fixed and the orbiting scrolls. The supply heat transfer coefficient $AU_m$ varies with the mass flow rate and only the convective heat transfer coefficient of the working fluid is considered. The conductive thermal resistance of the scroll wraps is neglected due to the high metal thermal conductivity and small thicknesses (Lumped system analysis). Therefore, equation 2 can be rewritten as,

$$\dot{Q}_m = m \times C_p \times (T_{m,1} - T_s)$$

The relationship between supply heat transfer coefficient, $AU_m$, and mass flow rate is defined by,

$$AU_m = AU_{m,\text{nom}} \times \left( \frac{m}{m_{\text{nom}}} \right)^{0.8}$$

where, $AU_{m,\text{nom}}$ is the nominal supply heat transfer coefficient corresponding to the nominal mass flow rate. [35]

**Internal Leakage (su,2 to ex,2)**

Internal leakage is an irreversible loss that occurs along two paths in a scroll machine. The first leakage path occurs in the axial clearance between the scrolls and the bottom. This results in radial leakage. The second path is the clearance between the fixed and the orbiting scroll, which leads to tangential leakage. This internal leakage is modelled similar to the supply pressure drop. It is modelled as a lumped nozzle whose cross-sectional area is $A_{\text{leak}}$. Critical pressure of the lumped nozzle is defined as [42],

$$P_{\text{cr}} = P_{m,2} \times \left( \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}}$$

$$P_{\text{sh}} = \text{Max}(P_{m,2}, P_{\text{cr}})$$

The critical pressure is evaluated by considering the working fluid as a perfect gas. $\gamma$ indicates the ratio of specific heats $C_p$ and $C_v$.

The mass flow rate entering the expander is divided into two parts. The first facilitates the rotation of the shaft at a particular speed, $N$. The second part is the leakage mass flow rate.

Total mass flow rate is given by,

$$\dot{m} = \rho_{m,2} \times \left( N \times v \right) + m_{\text{leak}}$$

where, $v$ is the swept volume and $r_{m,\text{in}}$ is built-in volume ratio of the expander.

Leakage mass flow rate is calculated as,

$$\dot{m}_{\text{leak}} = \rho_{\text{leak}} \times A_{\text{leak}} \times \sqrt{2} \times (h_{m,2} - h_{\text{leak}})$$

**Adiabatic and Reversible Expansion to the Adapted Pressure (su,2 to ad)**

After the initial cooling down, the working fluid undergoes isentropic expansion. The pressure is reduced from $P_{m,2}$ to $P_{ad}$. This adapted pressure is decided by the built-in volume ratio, $r_{m,\text{out}}$ of the expander. This is a geometric
parameter of the scroll expander which is designed and fixed by the manufacturers.

\[ v_{ad} = r_{v,in} \times v_{ex,2} \]  

(9)

Adiabatic Expansion at Constant Volume (ad to ex,2)

Most of the scroll expanders that are used in organic Rankine cycle systems are modified from a commercially available scroll compressor. The operating pressure of the ORC system does not necessarily match that of the built-in volume ratio of the expander. This leads to under or over-expansion losses. Under expansion occurs when the adapted pressure, \( P_{ad} \), exceeds the exhaust pressure, \( P_{ex,2} \). The model assumes there is no pressure drop through the discharge port. Hence, in order to equalize the pressures in the exhaust chamber and the discharge line, the model assumes that some fluid flows out of or into the expander chamber (under/over-expansion) instantaneously after the chamber opens to the outlet line.

Adiabatic Fluid Mixing between Supply and Leakage Flows (ex,2 to ex,1)

The mass flow rate responsible for shaft rotation and leakage mass flow rate mix together. This results in the increase in the specific enthalpy of the working fluid (\( h_{ex,1} > h_{ex,2} \)).

Constant Pressure Exhaust Heat Transfer (ex,1 to ex)

Isobaric exhaust heat transfer is modelled similar to isobaric supply cooling down. Heat is exchanged between the fluid leaving the expander and the metal envelope.

Performance Indicators

Internal expansion work can be calculated as [43],

\[ W_{int} = \dot{m}_{int} \times \left[ (h_{m,2} - h_{ad}) - v_{ad} (P_{ad} - P_{ex,2}) \right] \]  

(10)

Net power output or shaft power is the difference between the internal expansion work and the mechanical losses associated with the expander. In this case, all mechanical losses are lumped into a constant parameter called \( \tau_{loss} \).

\[ W_{sh} = W_{int} - W_{loss} \]  

(11)

\[ W_{loss} = \frac{2 \times \pi \times N \times \tau_{loss}}{60} \]  

(12)

Expander isentropic effectiveness or expander efficiency is defined as the ratio of net output power to isentropic expansion power [43].

\[ \varepsilon_{sh} = \frac{W_{sh}}{\dot{m} \times (h_{m} - h_{s})} \]  

(13)

Thermal losses towards the ambient are evaluated as,

\[ \dot{Q}_{amb} = U_{amb} \times (T_{su} - T_{amb}) \]  

(14)

where, \( U_{amb} \) is the global heat transfer coefficient between the envelope and the ambient [42].

The metal wall temperature is calculated by applying the global heat balance equation which is given by,

\[ W_{su} - \dot{Q}_{ex} + \dot{Q}_{su} - \dot{Q}_{amb} = 0 \]  

(15)

The inputs to the semi empirical scroll expander are listed in table 2. [40]

**PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS**

Using the semi-empirical model, this study is carried out to examine a single parameter by varying it, while keeping the other parameters constant. The monitored parameters are the expansion ratio, the rotational speed of the shaft, and the inlet temperature of the expander. The outputs to be assessed are mainly mass flow rate, the work output, and the efficiency of the expander.

**Effect of Expansion Ratio**

Two inlet pressures of 10 bar and 14 bar are considered. The inlet temperature is fixed at 110ºC. The expansion ratio is varied by varying the condensation pressure from 3 bar to 1.2 bar. The shaft power and expander efficiency are evaluated for different expansion ratios. The simulation is carried out for rotational speeds of 1500 RPM and 2000 RPM.

It is seen from fig. 3 that the shaft power increases with decreasing condensation pressure or increasing expansion ratio. However, the power curve is shifted downwards at lower shaft speeds at the same inlet pressures. This is due to the decrease in mass flow rate of the working fluid as shown in fig. 2. In addition to this, the two cases with inlet

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
|-----------|-------|------|
| \( \dot{m}_{nom} \) | 0.1384 | kg/s |
| \( A_{U, su, nom} \) | 28.39 | W/K |
| \( A_{U, ex, nom} \) | 11.71 | W/K |
| \( A_{U, amb} \) | 6.17 | W/K |
| \( V_{s} \) | 8.1 \times 10^{-3} | m³ |
| \( r_{v,in} \) | 3.31 | – |
| \( A_{su} \) | 4.01 \times 10^{-3} | m² |
| \( A_{leak} \) | 7.43 \times 10^{-4} | m² |
| \( \tau_{loss} \) | 2.97 | N-m |
pressure at 10 bar have a lower mass flow rate compared to the two cases with 14 bar inlet pressure. This is because, at higher pressure, the fluid density is also high. Change of expansion ratio means a change in condensation pressure. The mass flow rate of the working fluid is independent of condensation pressure. Hence, there is no change in mass flow rate when the expansion ratio increases. When the inlet pressure is lowered to 10 bar, the power curve shifts downwards and towards the left, with respect to the cases with increased expansion ratios. Extraction of work from the expander also increases at higher expansion ratios which leads to higher power output.

Fig. 4 shows the variation of expander efficiency with expansion ratio. The expansion process is divided into two parts. The initial part is the isentropic expansion till the adapted pressure and the second part is the constant volume expansion. In the first part, the pressure of the working fluid is reduced from $P_{in}$ to a pressure of adaptation $P_{ad}$ imposed by the built-in Volume ratio of the expander. The built-in volume ratio is an intrinsic geometric parameter of the scroll expander. Under-expansion occurs when the internal pressure ratio imposed by the expander ($P_{in}/P_{ad}$) is lower than the system pressure ratio ($P_{in}/P_{ex}$). In cases when the inlet pressure is fixed at 14 bar and the expansion ratio is varied from 4.67 to 11.67, all condensation pressures (3 to 1.2 bar) leads to the condition of under expansion. The expander efficiency drops when under expansion takes place. The efficiency decreases by 12.92% for shaft speed of 2000 RPM and 14.53% for shaft speed of 1500 RPM. At inlet pressure of 10 bar, a peak is observed inefficiency curve for an expansion ratio of 4.55 and 5 at shaft speeds of 1500 & 2000 RPM, respectively. This is due to the fact that the condensation pressures pass through the adapted condition of the expander, where the efficiency is maximum.

**Effect of Shaft Speed**

Four different combinations (Inlet pressure at 10 bar and condensation pressure of 3 bar; Inlet pressure at 10 bar and condensation pressure of 1.2 bar; Inlet pressure at 14 bar and condensation pressure of 3 bar; Inlet pressure at 14 bar and condensation pressure of 1.2 bar) are used to study
the effect of shaft speed on mass flow rate, work output, and expander efficiency. The inlet temperature is fixed at 110ºC. The shaft speed is varied from 800 RPM to 2600 RPM.

Fig. 5 shows the variation of the mass flow rate of the fluid with shaft speed. The variation is almost linear with respect to shaft speed. Theoretically higher expansion ratio should lead to increasing leakage mass flow rate. However, the vapour is already in the choked condition in the case of the lowest expansion ratio (inlet pressure of 10 bar and condensation pressure of 3 bar). Therefore, the curves are superimposed. It is observed that the shaft power crosses a peak value when the shaft speed is varied as shown in fig. 6. Beyond a certain point, the increase in mass flow rate due to increasing shaft speed is not enough to counter the losses occurring within the expander. Mechanical losses occur mainly within the scroll expander due to friction between the fixed and orbiting scroll. However, in this model, all losses are lumped into one mechanical loss torque.

The wall temperature increases as the shaft speed are increased. This means that the fluid temperature at su₂ was higher. Therefore, the enthalpy at this state, where expander work actually starts, is also high. The mass flow rate of the fluid also increases with an increase in shaft speed. However, the increase in wall temperature also leads to an increase in heat losses and expander outlet temperature. The increase in fluid temperature at the expander outlet assigns heavier heat duty to the condenser which will have a weight on the overall efficiency of the cycle. Hence, the wall temperature plays a major role as far as the power loss is concerned.

Effect of Inlet Temperature

In this case, the fixed parameters are the expander inlet pressures of 10 and 14 bar, condensation pressure of 1.2 bar, and shaft speeds of 1500 and 2000 RPM. The inlet peaks (54.9%) at a shaft speed of 1600 RPM. In other cases, the peak has shifted towards the extreme right (close to 2600 RPM). Power output is reduced due to an increase in losses. Therefore, the expander efficiency decreases. However, the peaks of shaft power and expander efficiency are not the same.

The wall temperature increases as the shaft speed are increased. This means that the fluid temperature at su₂ was higher. Therefore, the enthalpy at this state, where expander work actually starts, is also high. The mass flow rate of the fluid also increases with an increase in shaft speed. However, the increase in wall temperature also leads to an increase in heat losses and expander outlet temperature. The increase in fluid temperature at the expander outlet assigns heavier heat duty to the condenser which will have a weight on the overall efficiency of the cycle. Hence, the wall temperature plays a major role as far as the power loss is concerned.
temperature is varied from 90 to 120 °C at 10 bar and 105 to 135 °C at 14 bar. Fig. 8 shows the trend of mass flow rate when inlet temperature (degree of superheat) is increased. It is seen that the mass flow rate of the working fluid decreases slightly, as the density of the fluid decreases. The mass flow rate is high in the case where inlet pressure is at 14 bar and shaft speed is at 2000 RPM.

From fig. 9 it can be observed that the shaft power remains nearly constant when inlet temperature is increased. Therefore, it can be inferred that the enthalpy gain across the expander is nullified due to the decrease in the mass flow rate. Superheating does not benefit in increasing the power output from the expander. However, the degree of superheat of the fluid determines the quantum of heat exchange with the wall in the isobaric cooling process, modelled at the inlet. This thermal energy which is exchanged is an important component similar to the power loss. This will decide the amount of heat that is vented out to the environment. Increasing inlet temperature beyond a certain limit increases the wall temperature. This leads to thermal energy dissipation. Thermal energy dissipation increases with inlet temperature for all curves as shown in fig. 11. This also leads to the deterioration in efficiency of the expander as shown in fig. 10. The curves with higher shaft speeds dissipate more heat. Higher shaft speed increases the wall temperature, as high shaft speeds lead to more frictional power loss.

CONCLUSION

The present work focuses on the parametric investigation of the open-drive scroll expander used for micro-organic Rankine cycle. The analysis was carried out using a validated semi-empirical model. Effect of key parameters such as expansion ratio, shaft speed, and expander inlet temperature on power output and expander efficiency was evaluated for four different cases. The main findings are as follows:

- The shaft power increases with an increase in expansion ratio. However, when the expander is operated in a range far from its adapted expansion ratio, the efficiency of the scroll expander decreases. The adapted expansion ratio is a function of the built-in-volume ratio. Therefore, the expander efficiency drops when the constant volume expansion takes over, as it is less efficient than isentropic expansion.
- The efficiency of the scroll expander decreases at higher shaft speeds despite the increase in the mass flow rate of the working fluid. The effect of net losses due to friction and other mechanical losses is more prevalent at higher shaft speeds.
- Superheating does not benefit in increasing the power output from the expander as the increase in enthalpy of the fluid is nullified by the decrease in mass flow rate. In addition, increasing expander inlet temperature increases the heat losses towards the metal envelope. This leads to a decrease in expander efficiency as well as the overall thermodynamic efficiency. The inlet temperature must be maintained at saturation temperature corresponding to the expander inlet pressure for better performance.

The proposed model for the investigated expander could be used with other working fluids, in order to test the scroll expander behaviour for improving its performance. The challenge, however, lies in integrating this model with the whole organic Rankine cycle system. The requirements of high cycle thermal efficiency need high expansion ratios at which the expander's efficiency deteriorated as per this study. Hence, it is necessary to carry out further studies to explore expanders that operate at high expansion ratios (>5) as well as high isentropic efficiencies.

NOMENCLATURE

- \( m \) Mass flow rate (kg s\(^{-1}\))
- \( A \) Area (m\(^2\))
- \( h \) Specific enthalpy (J kg\(^{-1}\))
| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $\dot{Q}$ | Heat transfer rate (W) |
| $UA$ | Heat transfer coefficient (W K$^{-1}$) |
| $C$ | Specific heat (J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) |
| $P$ | Pressure (Pa) |
| $T$ | Temperature (°C) |
| $\tau_{in}$ | Built in volume ratio |
| $N$ | Rotational speed (RPM) |
| $v$ | Specific volume (m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$) |
| $W\dot{}$ | Power (W) |

Greek Symbols

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $\rho$ | Density (Kgm$^{-3}$) |
| $\gamma$ | Isentropic exponent |
| $\tau$ | Effectiveness |
| Torque (N-m) |

Subscripts

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| $su$ | supply |
| $p$ | constant pressure |
| $nom$ | nominal |
| $s$ | swept |
| $w$ | envelope/wall |
| $cr$ | critical |
| $thr$ | throat |
| $ex$ | exhaust |
| $leak$ | leakage |
| $ad$ | adapted |
| $sh$ | shaft |
| $in$ | internal |
| loss | losses |
| $is$ | isentropic |
| amb | ambient |
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