Meat intake and risk of mortality and graft failure in kidney transplant recipients

M. Yusof Said,1 Angelica Rodriguez-Niño,1,2 Adrian Post,1 Joelle C. Schutten,1 Lyanne M. Kieneker,1 Antonio W. Gomes-Neto,1 Marco van Londen,1 Maryse C.J. Osté,1 Karin J. Borgonjen-van den Berg,1 Ilja M. Nolte,4 Else van den Berg,1 Pim de Blaauw,5 Jennifer van der Krogt,3 M. Rebecca Heiner-Fokkema,5 Gerjan Navis,1,6 Benito A. Yard,2 and Stephan J.L. Bakker1,6

1Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; 2Vth Department of Medicine (Nephrology/Endocrinology/Rheumatology), University Medical Center Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany; 3Department of Human Nutrition and Health, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands; 4Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; 5Department of Laboratory Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; and 6Groningen Kidney Center, Groningen, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Background: It is unknown whether meat intake is beneficial for long-term patient and graft survival in kidney transplant recipients (KTR).

Objectives: We first investigated the association of the previously described meat intake biomarkers 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine with intake of white and red meat as estimated from a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). Second, we investigated the association of the meat intake biomarkers with long-term outcomes in KTR.

Methods: We measured 24-h urinary excretion of 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine by validated assays in a cohort of 678 clinically stable KTR. Cross-sectional associations were assessed by linear regression. We used Cox regression analyses to prospectively study associations of log2-transformed biomarkers with mortality and graft failure.

Results: Urinary 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine excretion values were median: 282; interquartile range (IQR): 132–598 μmol/24 h and median: 231; IQR: 175–306 μmol/24 h, respectively. Urinary 1-methylhistidine was associated with white meat intake [standardized β (st β): 0.20; 95% CI: 0.12, 0.28; P < 0.001], whereas urinary 3-methylhistidine was associated with white meat intake (st β: 0.30; 95% CI: 0.23, 0.38; P < 0.001). During median follow-up for 5.4 (IQR: 4.9–6.1) y, 145 (21%) died and 83 (12%) developed graft failure. Urinary 3-methylhistidine was inversely associated with mortality independently of potential confounders (HR per doubling: 0.55; 95% CI: 0.42, 0.72; P < 0.001). Both urinary 1-methylhistidine and urinary 3-methylhistidine were inversely associated with graft failure independent of potential confounders (HR per doubling: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.73, 0.96; P = 0.01; and 0.59; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.85; P = 0.004, respectively).

Conclusions: High urinary 3-methylhistidine, reflecting higher red meat intake, is independently associated with lower risk of mortality. High urinary concentrations of both 1- and 3-methylhistidine, of which the former reflects higher white meat intake, are independently associated with lower risk of graft failure in KTR. Future intervention studies are warranted to study the effect of high meat intake on mortality and graft failure in KTR, using these biomarkers. Am J Clin Nutr 2021;00:1–13.
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Introduction

Kidney transplant recipients (KTR) are at high risk of premature mortality and decline of renal function (1, 2). In KTR, high dietary protein intake has been associated with lower risk of premature mortality and graft failure through an as yet
unknown mechanism (3, 4). Whether the source of dietary protein is relevant to outcome in KTR is unknown. Meat is an important source of dietary protein. Studies of 2 types of meat have been reported extensively in the literature: white and red meat. Several large cohort studies in the general population have found that high red meat intake is associated with increased risk of chronic kidney disease, kidney failure, and death (5–7). Conversely, white meat intake has been associated with lower risk of mortality in the general population (5). Currently, it is unknown whether white meat, red meat, or both are associated with long-term outcomes in KTR.

One of the challenges of estimating meat intake through a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) is that the estimations are prone to limitations, including under- and overreporting, illiteracy, motivation requirements, recall bias, errors in portion size estimation, and socially desirable answers (8, 9). The use of meat-specific biomarkers might be a more accurate approach in estimating true meat intake. A proposed marker for white meat intake is 1-methylhistidine, which results from the metabolism of the dipeptide anserine (10, 11). Up to 90% of dietary intake is 1-methylhistidine, which results from the metabolism of myosin and actin (15) and is formed after methylation of histidine moieties and released after catabolism of proteins (11, 15, 16). Thereafter, 3-methylhistidine is neither further reutilized nor metabolized but instead is excreted as 3-methylhistidine via urine (17). Skeletal muscle, being the main source of actin and myosin, is regarded as the predominant source of urinary 3-methylhistidine.

In a controlled dietary intervention study of 33 adult men and 17 adult women, Altorf-van der Kuil et al. found that urinary excretion of 1-methylhistidine (uex1MH) and 3-methylhistidine (uex3MH), respectively explained 69% and 72% of variation in total meat intake (18), making these urinary metabolites putative biomarkers of meat intake.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate the potential association of uex1MH and uex3MH with FFQ-derived estimates of meat intake in a large cohort of clinically stable KTR who were not subjected to dietary protein intake restrictions. Secondly, we aimed to prospectively study the association of uex1MH and uex3MH with long-term outcomes, i.e., mortality and graft failure, in KTR.

Methods and Materials

Study population

From November 2008 to March 2011, adult KTR who received a transplant ≥1 y before and had a functioning graft (i.e., not on renal replacement therapy) were invited to participate to this study, as a part of a larger prospective cohort study of KTR (TransplantLines Food and Nutrition cohort, registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT02811835). At the time of inclusion, all KTR were undergoing clinical follow-up at the University Medical Center of Groningen, the Netherlands. Subjects with overt congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association class 3–4), medical history of cancer other than cured skin cancer, alcohol or drug abuse, or insufficient understanding of Dutch language were excluded. KTR who signed written informed consent and had frozen urine samples available for analysis were consecutively included in the study (see Supplemental Figure 1 for a flow diagram of participant inclusion). At measurement times, subjects were at steady state, i.e., biochemically stable and without an acute illness (e.g., infection). The study protocol was approved by the institutional ethical review board (METc 2008/186) and has been conducted in accordance with the declarations of Helsinki and Istanbul.

Data collection

Subjects were invited to the outpatient clinic for baseline measurements and collection of blood and urine samples. Blood samples were drawn after a minimal 8-h fasting period. On the same day, 24-h urine was collected by each participant, according to a well-explained protocol. Urine collection was under oil and the antiseptic agent chlorhexidine was added to the urine. Physical measurements have been described in detail previously (19–21) and were done on the same day as blood and urine collection. Questionnaires were used to obtain information on smoking and alcohol intake. We categorized smoking as never, former, or current, and alcohol intake as 0–10, 10–30, or >30 g/24 h. Diabetes mellitus was characterized by the usage of antidiabetic medication or fulfillment of the American Diabetes Association criteria of 2017: a fasting plasma glucose concentration ≥7.0 mmol/L and/or HbA1c ≥6.5%. Physical activity was measured with the Short Questionnaire to Assess Health-enhancing physical activity (SQUASH) (22). Delayed graft function was defined as need for dialysis in the first week following transplantation (23). In KTR with proteinuria at the time of baseline of the biobank and cohort study, we checked whether kidney biopsies had been performed between 2 y before and 2 y after baseline measurement. If the time between 1 y after transplantation and baseline was <2 y, we included kidney biopsies if they had been performed between 1 y after transplantation and 2 y after baseline measurement. Biopsies were performed by a trained nephrologist, prepared according to local protocol, and examined by a trained kidney pathologist.

Dietary assessment

We used validated semiquantitative FFQs that were developed at Wageningen University and have been described in detail before (24, 25). The FFQs were distributed to the KTR to fill out at home before visit to the outpatient clinic for baseline measurements. Household units were used to express the number of serving sizes consumed (e.g., bowls or pieces) or weights. Frequency was expressed per day, week, or month. The FFQs were afterward checked by trained researchers, and patients were consulted if needed to verify answers that seemed inconsistent or if FFQs were incomplete. The questionnaire data were analyzed using the 2006 Dutch Food Composition Table (NEVO), as distributed by the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Sport (26), to calculate intakes of energy and macro- and micronutrients. FFQs reporting energy intakes of <500 or >5000 kcal per d were regarded as unreliable and therefore excluded. Certain food items were combined to produce...
a composite measurement of specific meat intake, such as red meat or white meat. Red meat intake was calculated by combining the daily intakes of beef, pork, lamb, liver/kidney, and processed meat products [sausages, blind finch (a type of Dutch roulade), minced meat, bacon, and luncheon meat]. White meat intake was calculated by combining the daily intakes of chicken and turkey meats. In Supplemental Table 1, an overview of the specific meat intakes derived from the FFQ can be found.

In addition to the FFQ measurement of total protein intake, we also calculated total protein intake with 24-h urea excretion and protein excretion using the Maroni equation (27):

\[
\text{Protein intake (g/day)} = 0.18 \times \text{urinary urea excretion (mmol/day)} + 0.19 \times \text{body weight (kg)} + \text{urinary protein excretion (g/day)}
\]

Laboratory measurements

We measured concentrations of 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine from thawed 24-h urine samples using a validated UHPLC-MS/MS. The urine samples were derivatized with AccQ-Tag derivatization reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Waters Corporation). The derivatives of 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine were separated using a Phenomenex Synergi™ column (4 μm Polar-RP 80 Å, 150 × 3 mm) and were detected using positive-ion electrospray ionization in multiple reaction monitoring mode using the following transitions: m/z 340.0→171.0 for 1-methylhistidine and 3 and 335.0→171.0 for the internal standard (13C6-, 15N3-histidine). Data were analyzed using MultiQuant MD 3.0.2 (Sciex). Two urine samples were used for assessment of intra-assay precision, and 2 others for assessment of interassay precision. The intra-assay CVs for 1-methylhistidine were 3.1% at 155 μmol/L and 4.4% at 1450 μmol/L, with interassay CVs of 12.1% at 53 μmol/L and 8.6% at 118 μmol/L. For 3-methylhistidine, the intra-assay CVs were 4.3% at 402 μmol/L and 5.4% at 604 μmol/L, and the interassay CVs were 8.4% at 99 μmol/L and 8.7% at 141 μmol/L. The accuracy was 112% for 1-methylhistidine and 109% for 3-methylhistidine compared to our reference method for amino acids on a Biochrom 30 analyzer (Pharmacia Biotech). The detection and quantification limits for 1-methylhistidine were 4.3 and 18.6 μmol/L, respectively, and for 3-methylhistidine 4.5 and 6.5 μmol/L, respectively, with a linear range up to 1000 μmol/L. Samples above this range were reported as >1000 μmol/L. Urine sample concentrations below or above the detection threshold of a specific compound were registered as at the lower or upper detection threshold, respectively. The 1-methylhistidine concentrations were below the lower detection threshold in 2 KTR and above the upper detection threshold in 8 KTR. All KTR had 3-methylhistidine concentrations within the limits of detection. Routine laboratory methods were used for other blood and urine analyses, as described earlier (19–21). Venous pH and HCO₃⁻ were measured as described earlier (24). Urinary taurine was measured by UHPLC-MS as previously described (28). Serum iron was measured using photometry (Modular P800, Roche Diagnostics).

We calculated the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula with serum creatinine and cystatin C (29). Proteinuria was defined as urinary protein excretion ≥0.5 g/24 h.

Study outcomes

Outcomes were all-cause mortality and death-censored graft failure. Graft failure was defined as return to dialysis or retransplantation. Follow-up was up to October 2015. No patients were lost to follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Baseline data are presented as mean ± SD for normally distributed data, as median [IQR] for nonnormally distributed data, and as number (percentage) for nominal data. Since uex1MH and uex3MH had a skewed distribution, these variables were log₂ transformed for all analyses.

We first cross-sectionally studied the separate associations of uex1MH and uex3MH (dependent variables) with basic characteristics and transplantation-related characteristics (independent variables) by performing univariable linear regression. Categorical variables were recoded into dummy dichotomous variables and analyzed together by means of multivariable linear regression.

We also cross-sectionally analyzed the associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with dietary intake estimates by first performing univariable linear regression and consecutively multivariable linear regression. In the multivariable analyses, we adjusted the associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with food intake estimates for age, sex, total caloric intake, body mass index [BMI (kg/m²)], and eGFR. Regression coefficients values are presented as standardized β (st β), referring to the number of SDs the dependent variable changes per SD increase of the independent variable, allowing the comparison of association strengths among different variables. As measure of variability, 95% CIs are shown in Tables 1–3. A paired t-test was employed to assess differences between FFQ-derived protein intake and Maroni-calculated protein intake.

Second, we studied prospective associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with mortality and death-censored graft failure during follow-up by performing Cox proportional hazard analyses. We used log₂-transformed uex1MH and uex3MH to allow for interpretation of HR values per doubling of uex1MH and per doubling of uex3MH, respectively. We adjusted the associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with outcomes for potential confounders. Baseline characteristics that were significantly associated with uex1MH and uex3MH were considered potential confounders. Model 1 included adjustments for several potential confounders, including age, sex, BMI, eGFR, proteinuria, time from transplantation to baseline visit, and FFQ-estimated energy intake. Adjustments of all subsequent models were additions to model 1 in order to prevent inclusion of too many variables per number of events. In model 2 we additionally adjusted for transplantation-related factors (postmortem donation, cold ischemia time, total dialysis time, number of previous transplantations, and primary renal disease), in model 3 for posttransplantation complications [delayed graft function, rejection after transplantation (up to baseline), CMV infection (primary or secondary)], in model 4 for immunosuppressive medication (prednisolone dosage, usage of calcineurin inhibitors, and/or proliferation inhibitors), in model...
# TABLE 1

Associations of meat intake biomarkers with basic general characteristics

|                  | Association with log$_2$-transformed biomarkers |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                  | $\text{n} \quad \text{RTR (n = 678)} \quad \text{St} \beta \quad 95\% \text{ CI} \quad P\text{ value} \quad \text{St} \beta \quad 95\% \text{ CI} \quad P\text{ value} |}
| **General characteristics** | | |
| Age of patient, y | 678 | 54.5 [44.8–62.9] | −0.18 | −0.26, −0.10 | <0.001 | −0.18 | −0.26, −0.11 | <0.001 |
| Male sex, n (%) | 678 | 390 (57.5) | 0.09 | 0.02, 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.41, 0.54 | <0.001 |
| Weight, kg | 678 | 80.4 ± 16.6 | 0.17 | 0.10, 0.27 | <0.001 | 0.43 | 0.36, 0.50 | <0.001 |
| BMI, kg/m$^2$ | 678 | 26.6 ± 4.8 | 0.12 | 0.04, 0.19 | 0.002 | 0.22 | 0.15, 0.30 | <0.001 |
| Time since transplantation, y | 678 | 5.3 [1.8–11.5] | −0.06 | −0.14, 0.02 | 0.12 | −0.12 | −0.20, −0.05 | 0.001 |
| **Urinary protein intake biomarkers** | | |
| uex$_1$MH, μmol/24 h | 678 | 281.7 [132.0–597.7] | NA | NA | 0.36 | 0.29, 0.43 | <0.001 |
| uex$_3$MH, μmol/24 h | 678 | 231.0 [175.4–306.3] | 0.42 | 0.35, 0.49 | <0.001 | NA | NA | |
| **Smoking behavior, n (%)$^2$ | | |
| Never | 639 | 267 (39.4) | Ref. | | | | | |
| Ex | 678 | 290 (42.8) | −0.11 | −0.19, −0.02 | 0.01 | −0.05 | −0.13, 0.04 | 0.26 |
| Current | 678 | 82 (12.1) | −0.02 | −0.10, 0.06 | 0.65 | 0.04 | −0.04, 0.13 | 0.31 |
| **Cardiovascular parameters** | | |
| Systolic pressure, mmHg | 676 | 136 ± 17 | −0.07 | −0.14, 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.04 | −0.04, 0.12 | 0.30 |
| Diastolic pressure, mmHg | 676 | 83 ± 11 | 0.04 | −0.04, 0.11 | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.06, 0.21 | <0.001 |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 678 | 5.11 ± 0.57 | −0.002 | −0.08, 0.07 | 0.96 | −0.10 | −0.17, −0.02 | 0.01 |
| HDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 669 | 1.30 [1.10–1.60] | −0.05 | −0.13, 0.02 | 0.18 | −0.17 | −0.25, −0.10 | <0.001 |
| LDL cholesterol, mmol/L | 669 | 2.90 [2.30–3.50] | 0.02 | −0.05, 0.10 | 0.57 | −0.03 | −0.11, 0.05 | 0.44 |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 670 | 1.68 [1.25–2.29] | −0.04 | −0.11, 0.04 | 0.35 | −0.01 | −0.09, 0.07 | 0.82 |
| History of cardiovascular event, n (%)$^3$ | | |
| All | 678 | 101 (14.9) | −0.04 | −0.12, 0.03 | 0.27 | −0.02 | −0.10, 0.06 | 0.59 |
| **Diabetes** | | |
| Diabetes, n (%)$^4$ | 678 | 162 (23.9) | −0.09 | −0.16, −0.01 | 0.02 | −0.04 | −0.12, 0.03 | 0.28 |
| Antidiabetics usage, n (%) | 678 | 107 (15.8) | −0.09 | −0.17, −0.02 | 0.02 | −0.07 | −0.15, 0.004 | 0.06 |
| **Acidosis** | | |
| Venous pH | 626 | 7.37 ± 0.04 | 0.06 | −0.02, 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.04 | −0.04, 0.12 | 0.32 |
| Venous HCO$_3^-$ | 626 | 24.6 ± 3.1 | −0.04 | −0.12, 0.04 | 0.27 | −0.01 | −0.09, 0.07 | 0.83 |
| **Inflammation** | | |
| CRP, mg/L | 638 | 1.6 [0.7–4.5] | −0.08 | −0.16, 0.002 | 0.04 | 0.02 | −0.06, 0.09 | 0.71 |
| Blood leucocyte, $\times 10^9$/L | 626 | 8.1 ± 2.6 | 0.01 | −0.07, 0.08 | 0.89 | 0.06 | −0.01, 0.14 | 0.11 |
| Urine taurine excretion, μmol/24h | 678 | 533 [210–946] | 0.15 | 0.08, 0.23 | <0.001 | 0.48 | 0.41, 0.54 | <0.001 |
| Serum iron, μmol/L | 673 | 15.3 ± 6.1 | 0.06 | −0.02, 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.06 | −0.02, 0.14 | 0.12 |
| SQUASH physical activity score | 678 | 5160 [2040–8073] | 0.12 | 0.04, 0.19 | 0.003 | 0.18 | 0.11, 0.26 | <0.001 |

$^1$Data are presented as mean ± SD, median [IQR], or absolute number (%). Associations of biomarkers with variables were tested via univariable regression analyses of which St $\beta$ are given, referring to the number of SD changes in the dependent variable (biomarker) per SD increment in the independent variable. CRP, C-reactive protein; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; KTR, kidney transplant recipient; NA, not applicable; SQUASH, Short Questionnaire to Assess Health-enhancing physical activity; St $\beta$, standardized $\beta$ coefficient; Ref, reference; uex$_1$MH: urinary 1-methylhistidine excretion; uex$_3$MH: urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion.

$^2$Categories do not sum up to 100% because of missing data [n = 44 (6.5%)].

$^3$Defined as myocardial infarction, coronary intervention (including percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass grafting), and cerebral ischemic event (including cerebrovascular accident and transient ischemic attack).

$^4$Defined as blood glucose $\geq$7 mmol/L, HbA1c $\geq$6.5%, and/or use of antidiabetics.
|                     | Association with log2-transformed biomarkers |                      |                      |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                     | **ueX1MH** | **ueX3MH** | **ueX1MH** | **ueX3MH** |
|                     | KTR (n = 678) |                         |                      |
|                     | St β | 95% CI | P value | St β | 95% CI | P value |
| Primary renal disease, n (%) | 678 |                          |                      |
| Primary glomerular disease | 194 (28.6) | 0.04 | -0.07, 0.15 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.05, 0.26 | 0.01 |
| Glomerulonephritis | 49 (7.2) | 0.03 | -0.06, 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.08 | -0.01, 0.17 | 0.08 |
| Tubular interstitial disease | 83 (12.2) | -0.01 | -0.09, 0.10 | 0.92 | 0.07 | -0.03, 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Polycystic renal disease | 139 (20.5) | 0.01 | -0.09, 0.11 | 0.82 | 0.02 | -0.08, 0.12 | 0.70 |
| Dysplasia and hypoplasia | 28 (4.1) | -0.004 | -0.09, 0.08 | 0.93 | 0.04 | -0.05, 0.12 | 0.41 |
| Renovascular disease | 38 (5.6) | -0.01 | -0.01, 0.07 | 0.79 | 0.03 | -0.05, 0.12 | 0.44 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 34 (5.0) | -0.05 | -0.13, 0.04 | 0.29 | 0.06 | -0.02, 0.14 | 0.17 |
| Other/unknown cause | 113 (16.7) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Transplantation-related characteristics |                          |                      |                      |
| Total dialysis time, months | 669 | 27 [10–52] | 0.07 | -0.15, 0.01 | 0.07 | -0.02 | -0.10, 0.06 | 0.61 |
| HLA mismatch, n (%)<sup>2</sup> | 634 | 122 (18) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | -0.03 | -0.12, 0.07 | 0.55 |
| Living donor transplantation, n (%) | 678 | 232 (34.2) | 0.08 | -0.01, 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.01, 0.16 | 0.03 |
| Cold ischemia time, h | 670 | 15.3 [2.8–21.0] | 0.07 | -0.14, 0.01 | 0.09 | -0.10 | -0.18, -0.03 | 0.01 |
| Induction immunosuppression at transplantation, n (%)<sup>3</sup> | 672 | 66 (9.7) | 0.07 | -0.15, 0.01 | 0.05 | -0.08 | -0.15, -0.001 | 0.05 |
| Azathioprine | 26 (3.8) | 0.08 | -0.02, 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.04 | -0.14, 0.06 | 0.44 |
| Ciclosporin A | 189 (27.9) | 0.03 | -0.16, 0.21 | 0.77 | 0.11 | -0.29, 0.07 | 0.25 |
| Tacrolimus | 14 (2.1) | 0.06 | -0.03, 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.05 | -0.04, 0.15 | 0.25 |
| ATG | 60 (8.8) | -0.02 | -0.11, 0.15 | 0.76 | 0.01 | -0.14, 0.12 | 0.83 |
| OKT3 monoclonal AB<sup>4</sup> | 16 (2.4) | -0.01 | -0.11, 0.08 | 0.81 | 0.03 | -0.13, 0.06 | 0.51 |
| Anti-IL2R monoclonal AB | 338 (49.9) | 0.12 | -0.07, 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.01 | -0.18, 0.21 | 0.90 |
| Rituximab | 2 (0.3) | 0.003 | -0.08, 0.08 | 0.94 | 0.03 | -0.11, 0.05 | 0.40 |
| Other | 27 (4.0) | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. | Ref. |
| Immunosuppressive medication at baseline |                          |                      |                      |
| Prednisolone dosage, mg/24h | 678 | 1.00 [7.5–10.0] | 0.09 | 0.02, 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.04, 0.19 | 0.002 |
| CNI usage,<sup>5</sup> n (%) | 678 | 381 (56.2) | 0.07 | -0.01, 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.02, 0.17 | 0.02 |
| Proiferation inhibitor usage,<sup>5</sup> n (%) | 678 | 567 (83.6) | 0.08 | 0.01, 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.07 | -0.01, 0.14 | 0.08 |
| Rejection after transplantation (up to baseline), n (%) | 678 | 177 (26.1) | 0.03 | -0.18, 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.04 | -0.04, 0.12 | 0.31 |
| PTDM, n (%) | 678 | 128 (18.9) | -0.08 | -0.15, 0.001 | 0.05 | -0.02 | -0.01, 0.05 | 0.57 |
| Delayed graft function, n (%) | 678 | 49 (7.2) | 0.02 | -0.05, 0.10 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.03, 0.18 | 0.01 |
| Cytomegalovirus infection,<sup>7</sup> n (%) | 622 | 173 (25.5) | -0.03 | -0.11, 0.05 | 0.47 | 0.01 | -0.07, 0.09 | 0.82 |

(Continued)
TABLE 2  (Continued)

| Association with log2-transformed biomarkers | n | KTR (n = 678) |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------|---|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|                                             |   |               | St β | 95% CI | P value | St β | 95% CI | P value |
|                                             |   |               |      |         |          |      |         |          |
| BK viral load, copies/mL                    | 641 |              | 611 (90.1) | Ref. |          | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.59 |
| <5000                                       | 611 |              | 0.08 | -0.003, 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.04 | -0.06, 0.10 | 0.59 |
| 5000-10,000                                 | 1 (0.1) |            | -0.003 | -0.08, 0.07 | 0.94 | 0.04 | -0.04, 0.12 | 0.30 |
| >10,000                                     | 2 (0.3) |            | -0.05 | -0.12, 0.03 | 0.24 | 0.02 | -0.10, 0.06 | 0.62 |
| Renal allograft function                    |     |              |      |         |          |      |         |          |
| Serum urea, mmol/L                         | 676 | 9.4 [7.2-13.3] | -0.05 | -0.12, 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.06 | -0.13, 0.02 | 0.15 |
| Serum creatinine, μmol/L                   | 676 | 124 [99–160] | 0.01 | -0.07, 0.08 | 0.85 | 0.04 | -0.03, 0.12 | 0.28 |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²⁺                        | 663 | 45.4 ± 18.8 | 0.05 | -0.02, 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 0.03, 0.18 | 0.01 |
| Protein excretion, g/24 h                  | 678 | 0.20 | -0.03 | -0.11, 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.01 | -0.07, 0.09 | 0.87 |
| Proteinuria (>0.5 g/24 h), n (%)            | 678 | 152 (22.4) | -0.02 | -0.09, 0.06 | 0.66 | 0.03 | -0.11, 0.05 | 0.44 |

1Data are presented as mean ± SD, median [IQR] or absolute number (%). Associations of biomarkers with variables were tested via univariable regression analyses of which St β are given, referring to the number of SD changes in the dependent variable (biomarker) per SD increment in the independent variable. CKD-EPI, Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration; CNI, calcineurin inhibitor; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; KTR, kidney transplant recipient; PTDM, posttransplant diabetes mellitus; St β, standardized β coefficient; uex1MH, urinary 1-methylhistidine excretion; uex3MH, urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion.
2Categories do not sum up to 100% because of missing data [n = 44 (6.5%)].
3Categories do not sum up to 100% because of missing data [n = 6 (0.9%)]. All induction immunosuppression protocols included corticosteroids.
4Muromonab-CD3.
5For example, tacrolimus.
6For example, mycophenolate mofetil.
7Primary or secondary cytomegalovirus infection.
8Categories do not sum up to 100% because of missing data [n = 37 (5.5%)].
9Calculated by the CKD-EPI creatinine-cystatin C formula.
### TABLE 3  

**Meat intake biomarkers and their associations with nutritional and lifestyle variables**

|                      | Model 1               | Model 2               | Model 1               | Model 2               |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | n RTR (n = 678)       |                      | n RTR (n = 678)       |                      |
| **Urea excretion, mmol/24 h** |                      |                      |                       |                       |
| 678                  | 388 [309–458]         | 0.31 [0.24, 0.39]    | <0.001                | 0.31 [0.23, 0.40]    | <0.001                |
| **Maroni-formula protein intake, g/24 h** |                      |                      |                       |                       |
| 678                  | 96 ± 22               | 0.32 [0.25, 0.39]    | <0.001                | 0.33 [0.24, 0.41]    | <0.001                |
| **Alcohol intake, n (%)** |                      |                      |                       |                       |
| 0–10 g/24 h          | 454 (67.0)            | Ref.                 | Ref.                  | Ref.                  |
| 10–30 g/24 h         | 138 (20.4)            | 0.05 [−0.02, 0.13]   | 0.19                  | 0.04 [−0.04, 0.11]   | 0.38                  |
| >30 g/24 h           | 28 (4.1)              | 0.05 [−0.03, 0.13]   | 0.21                  | 0.04 [−0.03, 0.12]   | 0.30                  |
| **Dietary intake estimates** |                      |                      |                       |                       |
| Energy, kcal/d       | 2172 ± 619            | 0.06 [−0.02, 0.14]   | 0.14                  | NA                    | NA                    |
| Women                | 270 1917 ± 475        | −0.04 [−0.23, 0.10]  | 0.47                  | NA                    | NA                    |
| Men                  | 350 2368 ± 646        | 0.07 [−0.03, 0.16]   | 0.21                  | NA                    | NA                    |
| Fat, g/d             | 84 [64–105]           | 0.04 [−0.04, 0.12]   | 0.27                  | −0.08 [−0.27, 0.10]  | 0.39                  |
| Saturated fat        | 30 [23–38]            | 0.02 [−0.06, 0.10]   | 0.59                  | −0.11 [−0.07, 0.09]  | 0.16                  |
| Monounsaturated fat  | 28 [21–35]            | 0.07 [−0.01, 0.14]   | 0.10                  | 0.02 [−0.14, 0.18]   | 0.78                  |
| Polyunsaturated fat  | 17 [13–23]            | 0.03 [−0.05, 0.10]   | 0.53                  | −0.05 [−0.17, 0.07]  | 0.41                  |
| Total carbohydrate, g/d | 243 [194–290]        | 0.04 [−0.04, 0.12]   | 0.35                  | −0.07 [−0.24, 0.10]  | 0.43                  |
| Protein intake, g/d  |                      |                      |                       |                       |
| Total protein        | 82 ± 20               | 0.08 [0.001, 0.16]   | 0.05                  | 0.17 [0.03, 0.31]    | 0.02                  |
| Plant protein        | 31 ± 10               | 0.03 [−0.05, 0.11]   | 0.45                  | −0.05 [−0.19, 0.08]  | 0.44                  |
| Animal protein       | 51 ± 15               | 0.09 [0.01, 0.16]    | 0.03                  | 0.13 [0.03, 0.23]    | 0.01                  |
| Meat products, g/d   |                      |                      |                       |                       |
| Total meat and meat products | 94 [72–117]      | 0.13 [0.05, 0.21]    | 0.002                 | 0.11 [0.02, 0.19]    | 0.01                  |
| Red meat             | 82 [59–106]           | 0.06 [−0.02, 0.14]   | 0.13                  | 0.03 [−0.06, 0.11]   | 0.52                  |
| White meat           | 11 [8–14]             | 0.20 [0.12, 0.28]    | <0.001                | 0.20 [0.13, 0.28]    | <0.001                |
| Fish intake          | 11 [4–18]             | 0.13 [0.10, 0.21]    | 0.001                 | 0.16 [0.08, 0.23]    | <0.001                |
| Dairy, g/d           | 333 [205–480]         | −0.06 [−0.14, 0.02]  | 0.14                  | −0.03 [−0.12, 0.05]  | 0.45                  |
| Of which cheese      | 30 [15–46]            | −0.02 [−0.10, 0.06]  | 0.66                  | −0.02 [−0.07, 0.09]  | 0.71                  |
| Legumes and nuts, g/d | 11 [4–23]             | −0.04 [−0.12, 0.04]  | 0.32                  | −0.05 [−0.08, 0.08]  | 0.71                  |
| Vegetables, g/d      | 106 [69–149]          | −0.02 [−0.10, 0.06]  | 0.64                  | 0.03 [−0.08, 0.08]   | 0.48                  |
| Fruit, g/d           | 123 [66–232]          | −0.04 [−0.12, 0.04]  | 0.30                  | 0.01 [−0.07, 0.08]   | 0.87                  |

**Note:** Data are presented as mean ± SD, median [IQR] or absolute number (%). Associations of biomarkers with variables were tested via univariable (model 1) and multivariable (model 2) regression analyses of which standardized β coefficients (St β) are given, referring to the number of SD change in the dependent variable (biomarker) per SD increase in the independent variable. Model 1: crude model; model 2: model 1 + adjustment for age, sex, total caloric intake, BMI, and eGFR. KTR, kidney transplant recipient; NA, not applicable; Ref, reference; St β, standardized β coefficient; uex1MH, urinary 1-methylhistidine excretion; uex3MH, urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion.

1Maroni equation (g/d) = 0.18 * urinary urea excretion in mmol/24 h + 0.19 * body weight in kg + urinary protein excretion in g/d.

2There was no significant difference in biomarker levels between groups.

3Data do not sum up to 100% because of missing data [n = 58 (8.6%)].
5 for alcohol intake, in model 6 for potential cardiovascular risk factors and parameters [C-reactive protein (CRP), HDL cholesterol, diastolic blood pressure, smoking behavior, diabetes mellitus, posttransplantation diabetes mellitus (PTDM, i.e., new-onset diabetes mellitus after transplantation), and SQUASH score], in model 7 for metabolic acidosis (venous pH and HCO₃⁻), in model 8 for serum iron, and finally, in model 9 for 24-h urinary taurine excretion. Potential interactions for age, sex, BMI, eGFR, and alcohol intake, were investigated by assessing interaction terms. We performed linear spline analyses to demonstrate linearity of the prospective associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with mortality and graft failure. All data for the spline analyses were fit by a Cox proportional hazard model adjusted for age, sex, BMI, eGFR, proteinuria, time from transplantation to baseline visit to the outpatient clinic, and FFQ-estimated energy intake.

Analyses were performed with IBM SPSS statistics version 23 (2015, IBM Corp.) and the statistical software R version 3.5.1 (2018, R Foundation for Statistical Computing). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

General baseline characteristics, transplantation-related baseline characteristics, and urinary excretion of biomarkers

Out of 817 adult KTR, 706 signed written informed consent and 678 of these had frozen urine samples available for analyses. These 678 KTRs were included in this study. Assessments for establishing the baseline of the prospective cohort study were performed at a median time of 5.3 (IQR: 1.8–11.5) y after transplantation. Median age was 55 (IQR: 45–63) y and 58% were male. The associations of urinary excretion biomarkers with general baseline characteristics are depicted in Table 1. Median urinary excretion of 1-methylhistidine was 282 (IQR: 132–598) μmol/24 h and of 3-methylhistidine was 231 (IQR: 175–306) μmol/24 h. Uex1MH and uex3MH shared positive associations with male sex, BMI, body weight, SQUASH score, and urinary taurine excretion, and they shared inverse associations with age. Uex1MH was inversely associated with past smoking behavior, medical history of diabetes mellitus, antidiabetic medication use, and CRP concentrations. Uex3MH was positively associated with diastolic blood pressure. Furthermore, uex3MH was inversely associated with time since transplantation, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol (Table 1).

From the transplantation-related characteristics described in Table 2, uex1MH and uex3MH shared positive associations with living donor transplantation and high prednisolone dosage. Uex1MH was positively associated with proliferator inhibitor usage. Uex3MH was positively associated with calcineurin inhibitor usage, eGFR, and delayed graft function and was inversely associated with cold ischemia time.

Of note, 17 KTR (2.6%) had a baseline eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73 m². Kidney biopsies were performed in 19 (2.8%) subjects and were mainly performed because of unexpected renal function decline. From these biopsies, 4 (21%) showed signs of cellular rejection, 2 (11%) showed signs of humoral rejection, 2 (11%) had extensive arteriolar hyalinosis suggestive of calcineurin inhibitor toxicity, 1 (5%) had signs of BK virus infection, and 1 (5%) showed signs of focal segmental sclerosis. Some biopsies showed ≥2 of these abnormalities at the same time, while there were 9 (47%) biopsies in which no abnormalities were found.

Dietary intakes

Information on the association of protein intake biomarkers with dietary intake patterns is shown in Table 3. Of 678 KTR, 58 (8.6%) had missing FFQ data. Maroni-calculated protein intake was 86 ± 22 g/24 h, which was close to the FFQ-derived total protein intake, 82 ± 20 g/24 h, yet significantly different (P < 0.001). Maroni-calculated protein intake and FFQ-derived total protein intake were significantly associated (st β: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.28, 0.43; P < 0.001).

In the univariable model (Table 3: model 1), both uex1MH and uex3MH were significantly associated with urinary urea excretion, Maroni-calculated protein intake, FFQ-derived total protein intake, animal protein intake, and total meat intake. Uex1MH was also associated with white meat (st β: 0.20; 95% CI: 0.12, 0.28; P < 0.001) and fish intake, while uex3MH was associated with red meat intake (st β: 0.30; 95% CI: 0.23, 0.38; P < 0.001), plant protein intake, total fat intake, energy intake in men, alcohol intake, and total carbohydrate intake (Table 3: model 1). Additionally, uex3MH was inversely associated with fruit intake.

In the multivariable models (Table 3: model 2), adjustments for age, sex, energy intake, BMI, and eGFR strengthened the association of uex1MH with the Maroni-calculated protein intake, FFQ-derived total protein intake, animal protein intake, and fish intake, but weakened the association of uex1MH with total meat intake (st β: 0.13; 95% CI: 0.05, 0.21; P = 0.002 compared with st β: 0.11; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.19; P = 0.01). For uex3MH, the adjustments of model 2 weakened the associations with urea excretion, Maroni calculated protein intake, FFQ-derived total protein and animal protein intake, total meat intake, and red meat intake. Interestingly, the multivariable model unveiled a positive association of uex3MH with fish intake (st β: 0.07; 95% CI: 0.01, 0.14; P = 0.03), while the associations of uex3MH with plant protein intake and total carbohydrate intake became inverse (st β: −0.17; 95% CI: −0.28, −0.05; P = 0.004 and st β: −0.19; 95% CI: −0.33, −0.05; P = 0.01, respectively). The associations of uex3MH with fruit, total fat, and alcohol intakes were no longer significant after the adjustments in the multivariable analysis (Table 3: model 2).

Association of meat intake biomarkers with mortality and graft failure

During median follow-up of 5.4 (IQR: 4.9–6.1) y, 145 (21%) KTR died. Of these, 60 (41%) died of cardiovascular disease, 40 (28%) of infectious causes, 23 (16%) of malignancy, 20 (14%) of miscellaneous causes, and 2 (1%) of unknown causes. Prospective analyses of the associations of log₂-transformed uex1MH and log₂-transformed uex3MH with mortality and death-censored graft failure are described in Table 4. The proportionality of hazards assumption was checked with the Schoenfeld residual test and was not violated for the associations (P > 0.05).
In univariable Cox regression analyses, uex1MH and uex3MH were both associated with significantly lower risk of mortality (HR per doubling, uex1MH: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.74, 0.91; \( P < 0.001 \); and uex3MH: 0.55; 95% CI: 0.42, 0.72; \( P = 0.001 \)). The inverse association of uex1MH with mortality was lost after adjustment for potential confounders. The inverse association of uex3MH with mortality remained independent of further adjustments (models 1–9).

Of 678 KTR, 83 (12%) subjects developed graft failure. Most of these patients developed chronic rejection (\( n = 61, 74\% \)). Other causes include vascular problems, infections, and other miscellaneous causes of graft failure. Univariable Cox regression analyses revealed an inverse association of uex1MH and uex3MH with graft failure (HR per doubling: uex1MH: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.73, 0.96; \( P = 0.01 \); and uex3MH: 0.59; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.85; \( P = 0.004 \)). The association of uex1MH with lower risk of graft failure was independent of adjustments for potential confounders (models 1–6). However, when adjusted for metabolic acidosis markers, the association became borderline significant, with HR per doubling: uex1MH: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.70, 1.01; \( P = 0.06 \) (model 7). The association of uex3MH with lower risk of graft failure was independent of adjustments for potential confounders including transplantation complications (models 1–7).

We additionally adjusted for other elements that are also abundantly found in meat. Adjusting for iron did not change the associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with outcomes (Table 4: model 8). Adjusting for urinary taurine did not materially change the association of uex1MH and uex3MH with mortality (Table 4: model 9). Also, after adjustment for taurine the association of uex1MH with graft failure did not materially change (HR per doubling: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.98; \( P = 0.03 \)), but did slightly weaken the association of uex3MH with graft failure [HR per doubling: 0.59; 95% CI: 0.35, 1.00; \( P = 0.05 \) (model 9)].

No significant interactions with age, sex, BMI, eGFR, or alcohol intake were found for the associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with outcomes.

### Table 4: Cox regression analyses for the associations of log2-transformed urinary excretions of 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine with mortality and graft failure in KTR

|                      | 1-Methylhistidine                      | 3-Methylhistidine                      |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                      | HR (95% CI)2                           | HR (95% CI)2                           |
| All-cause mortality  |                                        |                                        |
| Crude                | 0.82 (0.74, 0.91)                      | 0.55 (0.42, 0.72)                      |
| Model 1              | 0.90 (0.80, 1.01)                      | 0.59 (0.41, 0.83)                      |
| Model 2              | 0.91 (0.81, 1.03)                      | 0.55 (0.38, 0.78)                      |
| Model 3              | 0.91 (0.81, 1.03)                      | 0.59 (0.41, 0.86)                      |
| Model 4              | 0.89 (0.79, 1.00)                      | 0.58 (0.41, 0.82)                      |
| Model 5              | 0.91 (0.80, 1.02)                      | 0.60 (0.42, 0.87)                      |
| Model 6              | 0.91 (0.81, 1.04)                      | 0.62 (0.41, 0.93)                      |
| Model 7              | 0.92 (0.82, 1.04)                      | 0.65 (0.45, 0.93)                      |
| Model 8              | 0.90 (0.80, 1.02)                      | 0.59 (0.41, 0.84)                      |
| Model 9              | 0.90 (0.80, 1.01)                      | 0.53 (0.36, 0.79)                      |
| Graft failure        |                                        |                                        |
| Crude                | 0.84 (0.73, 0.96)                      | 0.59 (0.41, 0.85)                      |
| Model 1              | 0.82 (0.69, 0.97)                      | 0.54 (0.33, 0.88)                      |
| Model 2              | 0.82 (0.69, 0.99)                      | 0.55 (0.33, 0.94)                      |
| Model 3              | 0.77 (0.64, 0.92)                      | 0.50 (0.30, 0.83)                      |
| Model 4              | 0.81 (0.68, 0.96)                      | 0.55 (0.34, 0.90)                      |
| Model 5              | 0.84 (0.70, 0.99)                      | 0.55 (0.33, 0.91)                      |
| Model 6              | 0.82 (0.68, 0.99)                      | 0.54 (0.31, 0.92)                      |
| Model 7              | 0.84 (0.70, 1.01)                      | 0.58 (0.35, 0.97)                      |
| Model 8              | 0.81 (0.69, 0.97)                      | 0.55 (0.33, 0.90)                      |
| Model 9              | 0.82 (0.69, 0.98)                      | 0.59 (0.35, 1.00)                      |

1 \( n = 678 \). CMV, cytomegalovirus; CNI, calcineurin inhibitors; CRP, C-reactive protein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; KTR, kidney transplant recipient; SQUASH, Short Questionnaire to Assess Health-enhancing physical activity.

2Per log2 increment = per doubling of urinary 1-methylhistidine or 3-methylhistidine excretion.
uex3MH with outcomes ($P > 0.05$). Spline analyses in Figure 1 depict the associations of log$_2$ transformed uex1MH and uex3MH with mortality (A, B) and graft failure (C, D).

When excluding KTRs with a baseline eGFR $< 15$ mL/min/1.73 m$^2$ ($n = 17$), the associations of uex1MH and uex3MH with graft failure did not materially change (model 1; HR per doubling of uex1MH: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.69, 0.99; $P = 0.03$, and per doubling of uex3MH: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.32, 0.93; $P = 0.03$).

Discussion

In the current study in KTR, we found that uex1MH is independently and significantly associated with white meat intake, while uex3MH is independently and significantly associated with red meat intake, supporting their roles as biomarkers for white and red meat, respectively. We found that uex3MH is inversely associated with mortality, and that both uex1MH and uex3MH are inversely associated with red meat intake, while uex3MH is independently and significantly associated with white meat intake, corroborating previous findings (11, 12, 30, 31).

Several human studies have shown a dose-dependent increase in uex1MH and uex3MH after meat intake (11, 12, 30, 31). We observed in the current study that uex1MH is associated with specifically white meat and fish intake, and that uex3MH is associated with red meat intake, corroborating previous findings (12, 14).

When looking at the meat supply in the Western world, the red meat supply (53.9 kg/y/capita) in the Netherlands was lower, whereas the white meat supply (22.5/kg/y/capita) supply was higher than that in Germany. The supply of both kinds of meat was higher in the United States than in the Netherlands at the time of study inclusion (32).

A major finding of this study is the inverse association of uex1MH with graft failure. This finding suggests that high intake of white meat is protective for allograft outcome in KTR. This outcome may in part be explained by an improvement of nutritional status (33). Earlier, we found that high protein intake is associated with improved patient and graft survival in KTR (3, 4). KTR may be at risk of protein energy wasting, partially because of the constant low-grade inflammation reaction against the allograft and partially because of corticosteroid-related protein catabolism (34, 35). High intake of protein, especially white meat, may in part compensate for protein energy wasting in KTR, resulting in favorable graft outcomes (4). Second, the inverse association of uex1MH with graft failure may be explained in part by its origin. Uex1MH largely originates from the metabolism of dietary anserine through poultry intake. Anserine is endowed with a broad spectrum of biological properties, including antioxidant and quenching effects (36, 37). Studies suggest that short-term treatment with anserine improved vascular permeability and proteinuria in diabetic mice (37). Anserine and other histidine-containing peptides are mobile cytoplasmic buffers that facilitate the exchange of ions such as H$^+$, acting as biological pumps, in circumstances of acidobase imbalances (38, 39). Thus, it is plausible that these mechanisms might indirectly mediate the protective association of uex1MH with graft failure.

Another major finding of this study is the inverse and independent association of uex3MH with mortality and graft failure. Also, we found that specific transplantation-related determinants of graft loss (40), such as HLA mismatches and immunosuppression, had minimal influence on the prospective association of uex1MH and uex3MH with graft failure. Our results suggest that red meat intake is protective against graft failure in this population. Meat is an important nutritional source of functional amino acids and dipeptides (41), and the renoprotective properties derived from these (42, 43) might be of high relevance considering the inflammatory milieu that might take place in the kidney of KTR. Furthermore, because histidine-containing peptides and taurine also promote skeletal muscle health (44, 45), it is likely that they also contribute in preventing protein energy wasting in KTR.

Of note, adjustment for urinary taurine excretion did slightly weaken the association of uex3MH with graft failure. This does not necessarily mitigate the suggestion that the association of uex3MH is fueled by dietary meat intake, as taurine excretion also reflects meat intake and was shown to be inversely associated with graft failure in the past (28).

Some studies in the general population suggest that high red meat intake is associated with adverse outcomes, including kidney disease and kidney failure (5–7, 46–48), while studies in patients with a higher likelihood of underlying CKD, particularly patients with type 2 diabetes, are more suggestive of a protective effect. As such, in the ONTARGET (Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial) study, animal protein intake was prospectively associated with lower risk of development or progression of CKD among these patients (49). In line with these findings, the American Diabetes Association does not recommend restricting protein intake in patients with diabetes or diabetic kidney disease (50), given the higher risk of malnutrition that protein restriction might pose in these patients (51). Our study results are also in line with these findings by suggesting that intake of meat, including red meat, is beneficial for long-term kidney survival in KTR. A possible explanation is that high red meat intake may partially compensate for the previously mentioned risk of protein energy wasting in KTR (3, 4). Another possible explanation is that meat intake, as a part of animal protein intake, can have specific advantages. As such, meat intake is generally of high protein quality and digestibility and has superior bioavailability of important physiological elements (41, 52, 53). Altogether, these properties in meat might indirectly explain the beneficial effects of meat on graft survival in KTR.

In the Lifelines Cohort Study of the general population in the Netherlands, animal protein intake, in particular meat, fish, and egg intake, was positively associated with muscle mass, but plant protein intake was not (54). Interestingly, this association was strongest in elderly women (age $>$ 65 y), which supports the growing belief that older individuals should increase their protein intake, possibly through increased meat intake, above the recommended daily allowance to prevent wasting (55). This may also apply for the current study, given the median age of 55 (range: 45–63) y, implying that 25% of the study population is older than 63 y. It should be noted that high red meat intake is associated with other adverse outcomes (e.g., colon carcinoma and hypertension) (56, 57). Future intervention studies should also take these outcomes into account.
A linear spline was used to model the association of log2-transformed 24-h urinary 1-methylhistidine and 3-methylhistidine excretions with mortality and graft failure. Data were fit by a Cox proportional hazard model and were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, eGFR rate, proteinuria, time from transplantation to baseline visit, and FFQ-estimated energy intake, n = 678. The black line represents the HR, while the gray area represents the 95% CI. The HRs were plotted relative to a value of 1.0 for the mean value of either uex1MH or uex3MH as a reference, respectively. A histogram of each distribution is plotted in the background. Association of urinary 1-methylhistidine excretion with mortality (A), association of urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion with mortality (B), association of urinary 1-methylhistidine excretion with graft failure (C), and association of urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion with graft failure (D). eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; uex1MH, urinary 1-methylhistidine excretion; uex3MH, urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion.

Strengths of this study are its large sample size, no loss to follow-up, minimal missing data, the ability to measure uex1MH and uex3MH in 24-h urine samples to account for daily dietary changes, and the comparison of these meat intake biomarkers with well-established total protein intake biomarkers, i.e., urea excretion and with data derived from the FFQ. It must be noted, however, that FFQ data is often biased by underreporting, especially for total protein intake (58). A limitation of the study design is the use of a single collection moment for 24-h urine, which can result in bias through day-to-day variation of specific protein intake. Another limitation is that adjustment for other trace elements, including, e.g., zinc, was not possible because these data were not available.

Of note, the mean eGFR of ~45 mL/min/1.73 m² in the KTR from our cohort is lower than the mean eGFR of 50–55 mL/min/1.73 m² at 1 y after transplantation reported for the United States (59). For this difference, it may be relevant to consider the context of maintenance immunosuppression. In the US report, the majority (67–93%) of KTR were receiving tacrolimus-based maintenance immunosuppression, while a minority (3–27%) were receiving cyclosporine-based maintenance immunosuppression. In our cohort, 39% of patients were on cyclosporine-based maintenance immunosuppression, while 18% were on tacrolimus-based maintenance immunosuppression (60). In a cohort based on the FAVORIT (Folic Acid for Vascular Outcome Reduction in Transplantation) trial (61), also with higher use of cyclosporine-based than tacrolimus-based maintenance immunosuppression (51% compared with 38%), mean eGFR was ~49 mL/min/1.73 m². So, it may be considered a limitation of our study that mean eGFR value and use of tacrolimus-based immunosuppression were relatively low. It would be relevant to replicate our findings in more contemporary cohorts with a higher mean eGFR value and higher use of tacrolimus-based immunosuppression.
In conclusion, we found that high excretions of uex1MH as a biomarker of white meat intake and uex3MH as a biomarker of red meat intake are associated with lower risk of graft failure in KTR. These associations may be explained through potential benefits of white and red meat intake and through potential compensation of protein energy wasting in KTR, although further studies are required to confirm this. Future intervention studies using these biomarkers are warranted to study the effect of high meat intake on graft failure in KTR.
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