Effect of Cobalt–Chromium–Molybdenum Implant Surface Modifications on Biofilm Development of S. aureus and S. epidermidis
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Periprosthetic infections are an eminent factor in patient care and also having significant economic implications. The number of biofilm-infection related replacement surgeries is increasing and will continue to do so in the following decades. To reduce both the health burden of the patients and the costs to the healthcare sector, new solutions for implant materials resistant to such infections are necessary. This study researches different surface modifications of cobalt–chromium–molybdenum (CoCrMo) based implant materials and their influence on the development of biofilms. Three smooth surfaces (CoCrMo, CoCrMo TiN, and CoCrMo polished) and three rough surfaces (CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi, and CoCrMo TCP) are compared. The most common infectious agents in periprosthetic infections are Staphylococcus aureus and Coagulase-negative staphylococci (e.g., Staphylococcus epidermidis), therefore strains of these two species have been chosen as model organisms. Biofilms were grown on material disks for 48 h and cell number, polysaccharide content, and protein content of the biofilms were measured. Additionally, regulation of genes involved in early biofilm development (S. aureus icaA, icaC, fnbA, fnbB, clfB, atl; S. epidermidis atIE, aap) was detected using RT-qPCR. All results were compared to the base alloy without modifications. The results show a correlation between the surface roughness and the protein and polysaccharide content of biofilm structures and also the gene expression of the biofilms grown on the different surface modifications. This is supported by the significantly different protein and polysaccharide contents of the biofilms associated with rough and smooth surface types. Additionally, early phase biofilm genes (particularly icaA, icaC, and aap) are statistically significantly downregulated compared to the control at 48 h on rough surfaces. CoCrMo TiN and polished CoCrMo were the two smooth surface modifications which performed best on the basis of low biofilm content.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to a general increase in life expectancy, improved surgical techniques and medical care, the demand for implants (e.g., joint replacement prosthesis) has increased greatly over recent decades. Although new materials or surface modifications for implants are constantly being developed, the struggle with periprosthetic infections is far from coming to an end. As an example, predictions for the US (compared to 2014) and Germany (compared to 2016) show an increase in primary total knee arthroplasty (TKA) by 2030 from 680,000 by 147% (Sloan et al., 2018) and from ~170,000 by between 8 and 49% (Rupp et al., 2020), respectively. Periprosthetic infections have an incidence of approximately 1–4% after primary TKA (Phillips et al., 2006). The incidence rate for a periprosthetic infection following a TKA replacement has been reported to be approximately 0.5% after 1 year, 0.8% after 5 years, and 1.4% after 10 years (Tsaras et al., 2012), with cost per patient associated with TKA replacement of up to ~30,000 USD (Palsis et al., 2018).

Those periprosthetic infections can be caused by a number of different organisms, most of which are bacteria. In most cases a bacterial infection forms a biofilm on the surface of the implant which makes it even harder to treat. In a 2020 literature review on the topic of biofilms in periprosthetic infections Shoji and Chen (2020) reported a prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus in such infections of 21–43.6% followed by 20–31% Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus. Biofilm building Staphylococcus species have a large number of attributes allowing them to avoid host defenses and antibiotic treatments. The extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), also called extracellular matrix (ECM), build a physical barrier for transport of chemicals (Singh et al., 2010; Idrées et al., 2021) and immune-cells (Zimmerli et al., 1984) and hold the biofilm structure together. In addition, quorum sensing (Kavanaugh and Horswill, 2016; Kim et al., 2017), higher mutation frequencies (Ryder et al., 2012), and dormant cells (Venter et al., 2017; Lamret et al., 2020; Shoji and Chen, 2020) contribute to the pathogenicity of those strains.

Because treatment of already established biofilms is so difficult, the development of surfaces that are less favorable for the bacteria to attach to in the first place is an ongoing research topic. Cobalt–chromium–molybdenum (CoCrMo) based implants are regularly used not only for total joint replacement but also in dentistry (Chen and Thouas, 2015). The CoCrMo alloy has excellent biocompatibility and mechanical properties, which makes it the preferred material for knee and ankle replacements (Chen and Thouas, 2015). Physical and chemical surface modifications of metallic implant materials aim to improve their surface charge, wettability, topography and chemistry (Munir et al., 2020) in order to improve their osseointegration abilities and in the same time lessen the number of biofilm infections. These modifications can be achieved by mechanical treatment of the surfaces like polishing processes and numerous coating methods like plasma spraying, physical vapor deposition, cathodic arc deposition, and sintering (Munir et al., 2020). For this study five surface modifications have been applied to a casted CoCrMo base alloy: titanium nitride (TiN), mechanical polishing, porous coating, commercially pure titanium coating (cpTi), and a coating with tricalcium phosphate (TCP). Two bacterial species, S. aureus and S. epidermidis, were used as model organisms to monitor biofilm development after 48 h of incubation on the different alloy surfaces. Total cell count, protein and polysaccharide content of the biofilms were measured and data on biofilm associated gene expression was collected. The aim of the study is to understand the influence of the different CoCrMo surface modifications on the biofilm formation of S. aureus and S. epidermidis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

CoCrMo Surface Modifications

All materials tested in this study were manufactured by Implantcast GmbH (Buxtehude, Germany) and were produced in a disc shape with a thickness of 1 mm and a diameter of 14 mm using a precision casting process. Gamma irradiation was used for sterilizing all materials described hereafter. Special coatings were produced and applied by DYT Ltd (Rostock, Germany). The CoCroMo casting alloy is composed of 28.5–29.5% Cr, 5.75–6.25% Mo, less than 1% each of Ni, Fe, C, Si, Mn, W, P, N, Al, Ti; and Co (~61–64%) making up the balance. This lies well within the specifications for this material given by the ISO 5832-3 for CoCrMo casting alloy for surgical implants (ISO 5832-3, 2016). Mechanical properties of the base CoCrMo alloy were tested according to ISO 6892-1 (2019) and are given as: tensile yield point R_p0.2 ≥450 megapascal (MPa), tensile strength R_m ≥665 MPa and elongation at fracture A ≥8%. Titanium nitride (TiN) modified alloy surfaces show better properties in terms of biocompatibility, wettability, surface roughness, friction coefficient, corrosion resistance, minimized wear and increased temperature resistance; the TiN coating also leads to a reduced release of cobalt and chromium ions (Van Hove et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2016). The coating of the discs was achieved by cathodic arc deposition. This technique is frequently used to synthesize extremely hard films for protecting the surfaces of materials. For the deposition a TiN target with ~99.4% titanium and less than 0.25% each of Fe, O, C, N and H (all according to ISO 5832-2 (2018)). The coating thickness was 5.5 ± 1.5 μm, the adhesive tensile strength ≥22 MPa and the layer roughness <0.05 μm. On top of the TiN layer an additional layer of ≥0.02 μm gold and cobalt (AuCo; with a maximum percentage of 0.2 ± 0.02% cobalt) was applied using a PVD-DC-Magnetron sputter. The density of the AuCo layer was 19.32 g/cm³, the specific electrical resistance was 2.35 μΩ • cm and the tensile strength is sufficient to prevent delamination of the coating when using an adhesive film strip-test. Highly polished CoCrMo alloys are commonly used, where the increased surface smoothness is associated with improved corrosion and wear properties (Davis, 2003). The porous coating was applied on the base material using sintering. In this process three layers of 250–355 μm diameter balls were applied on the material discs resulting in a coating thickness of 700–1,060 μm. Porosity of the coating was 30–40%, its tensile strength ≥34.5 MPa and its shear strength ≥20 MPa.
The porous structure allows bone cells to “penetrate” into the implant, leading to a reduced rejection reaction. However, these pores also provide the bacterial cells with an increased surface area for adherence (Shoji and Chen, 2020; Idrees et al., 2021). The commercially pure titanium (cpTi) coating with a layer thickness of 300 ± 50 μm was sprayed onto the disc surfaces using a vacuum plasma spray (VPS). The resulting coating had a porosity of 30 ± 10%, an average roughness of 50 ± 15 μm, a tensile strength of ≥22 MPa and a shear strength of ≥20 MPa. cpTi covered materials show an improved osteogenic differentiation potential (Lohberger et al., 2020a), since the given porous upper layer in combination with the increase in surface energy offers the bone cells an optimal structure for adhesion (Geetha et al., 2009). Tricalcium phosphate coating (TCP, Bonit®) led to a deposited layer of 20 ± 10 μm thickness and to a tensile strength of ≥15 MPa (ISO 13779-2, 2018). TCP consist of 70% brushite (CaHPO₄·H₂O) and 30% hydroxyapatite (Ca₅(PO₄)₃OH). The calcium phosphate provides an advantage for osteoinduction to its surface as its bioactivity is highly similar to that of bone material and it thus facilitates improved cell growth and cytocompatibility (Dantas et al., 2018).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

SEM investigations were performed on a FEI Quanta 250 FEG (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hillsboro, OR) under high vacuum conditions and 20 kV high tension. The micrographs were recorded in secondary electron (SE) mode with the Everhart–Thornley detector. The disc surfaces of the material were sputter coated with a gold layer (10 nm) to provide adequate electrical conductivity. The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) data collection measurements took 60 s each at 20 kV high tension and a Spotsizes of 4.5 with a 30 mm² Octane Elect Plus Silicon Drift Detector (EDAX Ametek, NJ, USA) and the APEX Standard Software (V1.3.1, 07/2019) was used.

Bacterial Cultures

For each experimental run, one overnight culture was prepared for each S. aureus subsp. aureus strain Newman D2C (ATCC 25904, Wesel, Germany; also referred to as NCTC 10833 or S. aureus subsp. aureus Rosenbach) and S. epidermidis (ATCC 14990, Wesel, Germany; also referred to as NCTC 11047). Luria–Bertani broth (LB broth) containing 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract (both Carl Roth), and 5 g/L sodium chloride (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used as growth medium. Per strain one CRYOBANK® pearl (MAST Group, Reinfeld, Germany) was inoculated into 100 ml LB and cultures were incubated at 37°C at 90 rpm.

Biofilm Assay

The material discs (4 discs per material, all 6 materials) were placed in 24-well untreated clear polystyrene plates (Corning®, Wiesbaden, Germany) as shown in Figure 1. The bacterial cells from the overnight culture were distributed into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and collected by centrifugation (14,000 rpm, 2 min). The supernatant was discarded and the cells were washed in 1.5 ml of phosphate buffered solution (PBS). Cells were centrifuged again (14,000 rpm, 2 min) and then freshly inoculated into LB broth. The cell number was adjusted to 1.5 × 10⁸ CFU/ml in LB broth and 1.5 ml of the adjusted cell solution was added to each well. The plate was then sealed with a Breathe Easy® sealing membrane (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated for 48 h at 37°C and 90 rpm. A total of 4 discs per material and species were prepared for each of the 21 experimental runs (biological replicates). Growth controls (bacteria without discs) and sterile controls (sterile LB media on material discs) were run in parallel for every experimental run.

Biofilm Processing

For the collection of the samples the medium was first gently removed without disturbing the biofilm and 1.5 ml PBS was
added into each well. The discs were picked up using tweezers, shaken within the PBS and the biofilm from all sides of the disk was scraped into a fresh PBS filled well with a mini cell scraper (Biotium, Freemont, CA, USA). At the end of the process the scraper was vigorously rotated in the well to ensure that no biofilm residues remained on the scraper. The content of two wells per material was pooled in a 3.5 ml tube and vortexed until no biofilm parts were visible. This step took up to 30 min on the vortexer in continuous mode and random samples were checked microscopically to ensure that there were no more biofilm parts in the samples before further processing. The growth controls were re-suspended in the medium and then transferred into 3.5 ml tubes; the sterile controls were also pipetted into the individual collection tubes directly. The resulting 3 ml sample volume (per biofilm pool and controls) was divided to provide the volumes needed for the four different measurement protocols (see below). Samples for genetic analysis were frozen at −80°C until further processing, all protein and polysaccharide samples were stored in the fridge at 4°C until loading onto the instrument.

**Polysaccharide Quantification**

Polysaccharides of the total biofilm were quantified using an adapted version of an sulfuric acid phenol extraction method (Cuesta et al., 2003). Approximately 250 μl of each sample, 250 μl 99.5% phenol, and 750 μl 95% sulfuric acid were added to heat-resistant glass tubes, sealed with aluminum foil (not air tight) and vortexed for at least 20 s. Incubation took place in a heat-resistant glass tubes, sealed with aluminum foil (not air tight) and vortexed for at least 20 s. Incubation took place in a water bath at 100°C for 10 min. In an additional water bath 25°C, vortexed again and 250 μl was transferred into uncoated U-bottom 96-well plates (BRAND® samples were cooled to 25°C, vortexed again and 250 μl was transferred into uncoated U-bottom 96-well plates (BRAND®, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). Absorbance was read at 490 nm in the Multiskan Sky Microplate Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Each sample was measured twice and the statistical mean was compared to a standard glucose curve (Merck; 0–1.5 μg/ml).

**Protein Quantification**

The ‘Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit’ (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to measure the total protein content of the biofilms. The 562 nm absorbance values of the samples (25 μl sample in 200 μl working reagent from the kit) in uncoated U-bottom 96-well plate were read on a Multiskan Sky Microplate Spectrophotometer. Each sample was measured twice. The standard curve was prepared with bovine serum albumin (BSA, supplied with the BCA kit; 0–2,000 μg/ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the arithmetic mean of the duplicate measurement was compared to the curve.

**Live Dead Assay**

Flow cytometric cell counts were performed applying the ‘LIVE/DEAD® BacLight™ Viability Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for microscopy and quantitative assays’. The Syto9® and propidium iodide dye mixes were freshly prepared for each measurement in a ratio of 1:1. Per sample, 1 ml was stained with 1 μl of the dye mixture and incubated in the dark for 15 min at room temperature and 100 μl per sample were analyzed on a CyFlow® Cube 6 flow cytometer (Sysmex Europe GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany). The flow rate was set at 2 μl/s and a 488 nm laser was used. All samples were measured twice and to avoid signal carryover, cleaning was performed between all measurements.

**Statistics**

SPSS (IBM, version 25) was used for statistical analyses of protein, polysaccharide, and flow cytometry data. The data was found to be non-Gaussian (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with Lilliefors correction). Consequently, the Kruskal–Wallis H test was applied. Statistical differences were tested in a pairwise comparison format and the Bonferroni correction for the Kruskal–Wallis test was used.

**RNA Isolation**

RNA from the samples of three independent experimental runs (3 biological replicates) was extracted with the Monarch® Total RNA Miniprep Kit (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The enzymatic approach step of the manufacturer’s protocol was adapted: additionally, 0.1 mg/ml lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to the 3 mg/ml lysozyme which was provided with the kit. Samples were incubated for 25 min at 350 rpm at 37°C before carrying out the rest of the protocol according to the guidelines. Final elution volume was 30 μl per sample.

**RT-qPCR**

Using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (BioRad Laboratories Inc., Veenendaal, The Netherlands) 1 μg RNA was reverse-transcribed with a mixture of oligo (dT) and random hexamer primers. The samples were amplified with the SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix and subsequently measured on a CFX96 Touch (BioRad Laboratories Inc.,), as described elsewhere (Lohberger et al., 2020b). A standard 3-step PCR temperature protocol was used with an annealing temperature of 60°C followed by a melting curve protocol to confirm a single gene-specific peak and to detect primer dimerization. The ΔΔCt method was applied for the calculation of the relative quantification of expression levels by means of the geometric mean of the internal control (16s rRNA gene for S. aureus and also for S. epidermidis; for primer sequences see Table 1). The expression levels (Ct) of the target genes were normalized to the reference genes (ΔCt). The ΔΔCt value was calculated using the difference between the ΔCt value of the test sample and the ΔCt of the control sample. The final expression ratio was expressed as 2ΔΔCt (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Primers used for RT-qPCR were purchased from Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany) and primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

**RESULTS**

**Material Surface Characteristics**

The surface characteristics of the different modifications to the CoCrMo alloy discs have been studied using both SEM and EDX
analyses. The topographic characteristics of materials are well known to have a substantial influence on the adhesive properties of bacterial cells and therefore the microscopic investigation is helpful for understanding the data collected in this study. While the base alloy CoCrMo without modifications shows a rather smooth surface at ×100 magnification (Figure 2A), a closer look (×10,000, Figure 2A inlay) reveals only some cracks and some unevenness and the surface modification with TiN and the polished surface are even smoother. However, the porous coated surface and the cpTi and TCP covered surfaces show very distinct topographic characteristics. While the porous coated surface consists of a thick layer of evenly distributed (Figure 2D) and rather smooth balls (surface of the ball in the inlay of Figure 2D), the cpTi layer is rougher (Figure 2E) and the higher magnification also reveals that this characteristic is also true at the small μm range (inlay Figure 2E). Very distinctly different from the rest, the TCP layer forms sharp crystalline structures protruding from the alloy surface (Figure 2F).

Looking at the surface characteristics, the surfaces can be categorized as either smooth (CoCrMo, CoCrMo TiN, and CoCrMo polished) or rough (CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi, and CoCrMo TCP).

The corresponding EDX data supports the material descriptions given in the material and methods section, showing the elemental composition of the materials as spectrograms and in weight and atom percentages (Figures 3A–E; data not available for TCP).

### Polysaccharide Content
As all *Staphylococci* can produce polysaccharides in their EPS when forming biofilms (Arciola et al., 2015), an approach that is often applied is to break the polysaccharides down into monosaccharides and measure the content compared to a glucose standard curve (Cuesta et al., 2003). Following this procedure, we found (Table 2 and Figure 4) that the biofilms grown on the smooth surfaces (CoCrMo, CoCrMo TiN, and

### Table 1: Primes used in RT-q-PCR.

| Strain       | Gene     | Primer forward       | Primer reverse       | Reference                  |
|--------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| *S. aureus*  | icaA     | 5-GAGGTAAGGCAACACGCTC-3 | 5-CCTCTGAAACGCAACTT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | icaC     | 5-CTTGTTTGAATCACTTTT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | fnbB     | 5-ACGTACAGGAGAGGACGT-3 | 5-TCCGAGTAACGACGAGT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | fnbC     | 5-AGGTACAGGAGGACGAC-3 | 5-TCCGAGTAACGACTTTC-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | atN      | 5-CTGGTTTGAATCACTTTT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | atF      | 5-GGTAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | 16S RNA  | 5-CTGGTTTGAATCACTTTT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
| *S. epidermidis* | icaC     | 5-CTTGTTTGAATCACTTTT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | aap      | 5-CTGGTTTGAATCACTTTT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |
|              | 16S RNA  | 5-CTGGTTTGAATCACTTTT-3 | 5-CATAGGAAATGGTTGCT-3 | Atshan et al. (2013)       |

![Figure 2](https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fcimb.2022.837124/figure?itemId=fig2) Scanning electron microphotographs of CoCrMo and the different surface modifications. Magnification factor ×100, and inlays ×10,000. (A) CoCrMo; (B) CoCrMo TiN; (C) CoCrMo polished; (D) CoCrMo porous coated; (E) CoCrMo cpTi; (F) CoCrMo TCP.
CoCrMo polished) show a tendency to form less polysaccharide in their EPS compared to those grown on the rough surfaces (CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi, and CoCrMo TCP). The highest polysaccharide levels for *S. aureus* were measured in biofilms grown on CoCrMo cpTi discs with a mean value of 5.69 ± 1.5 μg/ml, the lowest values were measured in biofilms from the CoCrMo TiN and the CoCrMo polished discs with 4.15 ± 0.71 μg/ml and ±0.8 μg/ml respectively (Table 2). *S. epidermidis* biofilms showed the highest polysaccharide values on CoCrMo cpTi (6.21 ± 3.06 μg/ml) and the lowest ones on CoCrMo TiN (4.11 ± 0.78 μg/ml) (Table 2). In both species the polysaccharide content of the biofilms grown on CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi and CoCrMo TCP shows a highly significant difference (p < 0.001) compared to that of the CoCrMo alloy itself (Figure 4). Table 3 summarizes all group comparisons (each alloy compared to each) where a clear statistical difference can be seen whenever a smooth surface is compared to a rough surface. One exception here is the comparison of *S. epidermidis* biofilms on CoCrMo porous coated with biofilms from CoCrMo cpTi surfaces where, in the overall group comparison (adjusted p-value for multiple comparisons), no statistical difference is found (adj. p-value = 0.055). However, if the multiple comparison is disregarded, which is possible in a direct comparison of the two groups in question, the difference becomes significant again (p = 0.004). This leads to the conclusion that the CoCrMo porous coated surface is the best performer (smallest biofilm content) in the rough surface group. Additionally, in the
direct comparison of CoCrMo with CoCrMo polished (p = 0.006), a statistically significant difference is also detected, showing a better performance (less polysaccharides) compared with biofilms grown on the polished CoCrMo surface (again no significant difference in the adj. p-value = 0.086). Overall, CoCrMo polished performs the best with respect to polysaccharide formation of biofilms.

Protein Content

Proteins in the bacterial EPS function as glue which sticks the biofilms to the surface. Measurements of proteins often employ colorimetric methods using the reduction of Cu²⁺ to Cu¹⁺ copper ions in alkaline medium and compare the protein content to a bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard. Using a variation of this method (Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit) we found that the highest protein levels in S. aureus occurred in biofilms (namely, EPS and cells) grown on CoCrMo TCP discs with a mean value of 240.08 ± 58.6 μg/ml. The lowest values for S. aureus were measured in biofilms from the CoCrMo polished discs with 96.57 ± 30.8 μg/ml (Table 2). S. epidermidis biofilms showed the highest polysaccharide values on CoCrMo TCP as well (215.51 ± 56.42 μg/ml) and the lowest ones on CoCrMo polished (76.43 ± 30.22 μg/ml) (Table 2). All S. aureus and all S. epidermidis biofilms from rough surfaces (CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi, CoCrMo TCP) have statistically significantly higher protein values (p < 0.001) when compared to the base alloy (Figure 5). Again, all smooth surfaces have a statistically significantly better outcome compared to the rough surfaces (Table 3) when comparing them against each other separately. Additionally, in the case of S. aureus, CoCrMo polished and CoCrMo TiN perform statistically significantly better than CoCrMo (p = 0.004 and p = 0.005; meaning lower protein content) in the single comparison of groups (this significance however is not applicable for the multiple comparison; p = 0.058), leading overall to the best performance of CoCrMo polished. For the direct group comparison of S. epidermidis biofilm on CoCrMo compared to CoCrMo polished (less protein) this also applies (p = 0.006; adj p = 0.086). When considering the cpTi rough surfaces and S. aureus, CoCrMo cpTi results in less biofilm protein compared to CoCrMo TCP (p = 0.023; adj p = 0.341).

![Figure 4](image)

**FIGURE 4** | Polysaccharide concentration of S. aureus (A; n = 42) and S. epidermidis (B; n = 44) biofilms. Statistically significant differences to CoCrMo are marked *** (adjusted significance < 0.001, Bonferroni correction for the Kruskal-Wallis test).

### TABLE 3 | Statistical pairwise comparison of alloys according to the protein and polysaccharide content of the S. aureus and S. epidermidis biofilms.

| Alloy 1          | Protein  | Polysaccharide | Protein  | Polysaccharide |
|------------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| CoCrMo           |          |                |          |                |
| CoCrMo TiN       | 0.005    | 0.074          | 0.036    | 0.539          |
| CoCrMo polished  | 0.004    | 0.058          | 0.012    | 0.178          |
| CoCrMo           | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.002          |
| CoCrMo porous coated | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo           | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.005          |
| CoCrMo TCP       | 0.000    | 1.000          | 0.675    | 1.000          |
| CoCrMo TiN       | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo porous coated | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo TiN       | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo porcelain coated | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo polished  | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo polished  | 0.000    | 0.000          | 0.000    | 0.000          |
| CoCrMo polished  | 0.004    | 0.632          | 0.006    | 0.086          |
| CoCrMo porous coated | 0.806    | 1.000          | 0.199    | 1.000          |
| CoCrMo polished  | 0.023    | 0.341          | 0.139    | 1.000          |

*P-values (Kruskal-Wallis test); adjusted p-values (Bonferroni correction for the Kruskal-Wallis test of multiple comparisons; α = 5%); smooth surfaces (CoCrMo, CoCrMo TiN, CoCrMo polished) underlaid in light blue; rough surfaces (CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi, CoCrMo TCP) underlaid in gray; p < 0.05 also marked in green.*
Flow Cytometric Cell Enumeration

Flow cytometric measurements on the samples were meant to show a live-dead count. However, it seems that within 48 h of growth, the dead cell count is negligible which is why the flow cytometry data are only used for cell enumeration. For both species no statistical differences in the number of bacterial cells have been detected (Figure 6).

RT-qPCR

Many genes are involved in the biofilm development of S. aureus and S. epidermidis. A few of them have been chosen to understand the genetic reaction of both species to the different CoCrMo surfaces. Again, the different surface modifications were statistically compared to the base alloy in terms of gene expression levels of the biofilms harvested after 48 h of development (Figure 7). For S. aureus, icaA, and icaC were selected from the intercellular adhesion group of genes (ica) which is of specific interest for the starting phase in biofilm development. Only CoCrMo TCP showed a significantly decreased level of gene expression for both genes (Figures 7A, B). Looking at the fibronectin binding protein fnbA gene, our data also shows a significantly increased level for CoCrMo TCP biofilms. However, the closely connected fnbB was significantly elevated only in CoCrMo TiN. The fnb (bacterial ligand clumping factor) gene of S. aureus was, with p < 0.05, decreased in CoCrMo TCP, whereas the major autolysine gene (atl) showed no significant changes in any of the surface modification biofilms of S. aureus. Of the two genes tested for S. epidermidis, the major autolysine (atlE) also did not show any differences. However, the expression of the aap (accumulation association protein) gene was significantly reduced in CoCrMo porous coated and CoCrMo cpTi, but interestingly, not in the third rough surface modification CoCrMo TCP which showed elevated levels (not significant).

DISCUSSION

Biofilm evaluation begins with the organisms chosen for the experiment. S. aureus and S. epidermidis are the most common causes of periprosthetic infections and have thereby been the model organisms for this study. But also, within the S. aureus and S. epidermidis isolates, differences in biofilm forming abilities exist, making it difficult to generalize results. S. aureus Newman has already been used for many studies on biofilm formation (e.g., Johnson et al., 2008; Abraham and Jefferson, 2012; Forson et al., 2020; Inés Molina et al., 2020; Pinto et al., 2020) although it is not considered a very good biofilm forming strain and the same is true for the ica negative S. epidermidis strain (e.g., Stepanovic et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2016; Faduszyńska et al., 2019; Di Pilato et al., 2020; Paulitsch-Fuchs et al., 2021). However, the variant of the S. aureus strain used in this study S. aureus Newman D2C is considered to be a relatively good biofilm forming strain (Grundmeier et al., 2004; Tsompanidou et al., 2010; Abraham and Jefferson, 2012; Dauros-Singorenko et al., 2020; Paulitsch-Fuchs et al., 2021). Abraham and Jefferson (2012) showed that the autolysin activity in the Newman D2C variant was low enough to allow the expression of ClfB on the cell surface, which seems to be (at least partly) responsible for the difference in biofilm forming abilities between the two strains. The issue about different S. aureus Newman strains deposited to the reference centers with similar names and therefore leading to seemingly controversial results has also already been pointed out, e.g., by Grundmeier et al. (2004). It also has been shown previously (Dauros-Singorenko et al., 2020) that the D2C Newman strain expresses the agr (accessory gene regulation) quorum sensing system, which would normally mean that the dispersion in biofilms takes place rather easily (Paulander et al., 2018). However, the LB medium we used is iron-rich (Abdul-Tehrani et al., 1999) and high iron contents did show a negative influence on agr expression in the hemoglobin study by Dauros-Singorenko et al. (2020), therefore possibly promoting biofilm formation of S. aureus Newman D2C in LB medium. This leads to another main influence on biofilm growth which is the medium used for the experiments. Several studies compared different growth media and their influence on the biofilm formation of S. aureus and other species (Del mar Cendra et al., 2019; Wijesinghe et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). For example, in one study LB medium showed the second best performance for biofilm formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and S. aureus (Zhou et al., 2019), in another study (Del mar Cendra et al., 2019) LB medium also promoted the biofilm growth for S. aureus Newman (although the wildtype strain was used). A variety of differences exist also for LB...
FIGURE 6 | Flow cytometry cell counts for S. aureus (A; n = 42) and S. epidermidis (B; n = 44). Subpanel (C) shows a representative measurement of S. aureus on CoCrMo (Reg 2: living cells; Reg 4: dead cells).
medium recipes (e.g., supplemented with glucose, higher or lower NaCl content) and most other growth media. Lastly also the number of bacterial cells inoculated for biofilm formation varies greatly in the different studies on this topic. As little as OD_{550} of 0.10 (≈0.015 × 10^7 cells/ml) (Del mar Cendra et al., 2019) and also higher cell numbers of 1 × 10^7 CFU/ml (Dauros-Singorenko et al., 2020) and inoculum sizes using 0.5 MacFarland cell suspensions (1.5 × 10^8 cells/ml) (Wijesinghe et al., 2019) are implemented for biofilm studies. Therefore, the choice of the strains, inoculum size and media used in biofilm studies has to be well thought of.

Although the interaction of biofilms with the respected surfaces they grow on is a key factor as well, still understanding is lacking in some areas of this interaction, e.g., the influence of surface roughness on biofilm development and its connection to the regulation of genes connected to biofilm formation. For an

---

**FIGURE 7** | Expression levels of biofilm-associated genes. S. aureus (A−F; n = 3) and S. epidermidis (G, H; n = 3); error bars show the standard error of the mean; statistically significant differences to CoCrMo are marked * (p <0.05).
overview and to facilitate this connection, Table 4 provides an overall comparison of protein, polysaccharide and gene expression results.

The surfaces studied here vary widely in their surface characteristics and structure (see Figure 2), thus providing a good variety of roughness for biofilm formation observations. Both protein and polysaccharide measurements are valuable measurements for the understanding of bacterial adherence and biofilm compactness in later stages of biofilm development. While host-proteins play an important role in the early conditioning of the implant thus strengthening the incorporation of the implant (Wang et al., 2017), proteins of biofilm organisms use the same properties to attach to the material in early biofilm development. Polysaccharides are important surface characteristics of bacterial cells and contribute to EPS development and to the attachment of bacterial cells to surfaces and to each other (Limoli et al., 2015). We show that the surface modifications resulting in rough surfaces (CoCrMo porous coated, CoCrMo cpTi, and CoCrMo TCP) have a higher polysaccharide and protein load in the biofilms than the smooth surfaces (CoCrMo TiN, CoCrMo polished) and the untreated control (CoCrMo). In most cases this is a statistically significant difference and holds for most of the comparisons of the alloys to each other as well (see Table 3). Those results are in accordance with earlier studies on the topic (Öztürk et al., 2007; Yoda et al., 2014; Kunrath et al., 2020; Palka et al., 2020; Paulitsch-Fuchs et al., 2021), supporting the conclusion that the higher surface roughness is beneficial for protein and polysaccharide rich biofilms on the surfaces studied here. However, in a review by Zheng et al. (2021), the influence of surface structures has been recently summarized showing that generally, bacteria tend to attach to surfaces more easily when they have a certain roughness, however there are also some rough surfaces achieving the opposite and also different bacterial species can react in different ways to the same surface.

Biofilm gene regulation did not show particularly big differences between the compared groups. As no RNALater® or comparable reagent was used to freeze the transcriptome after biofilm removal a shift in the transcriptome might have occurred during the biofilm processing steps. However, as all samples were treated in the same way the relative impact is expected to be the same on the individual samples. For S. aureus, Resch et al. (2005) reported the genes icaA and icaC, which are commonly involved in early biofilm development, not to be upregulated in biofilms compared to planktonic growth after 48 h of growth. Expression of icaA, icaC, and aap gene regulation in S. epidermidis biofilms on different materials was reported by Patel et al. (2012) still to be active after 48 h of biofilm development. In an earlier study we found that on different Titanium-alloy surfaces the gene expression of the same set of genes of S. aureus Newman D2C followed a similar trend (Paulitsch-Fuchs et al., 2021) in that if there was a statistical difference in the regulation it was a decrease compared to the control (which was the untreated TiAl6V4 alloy, a rather smooth surface). Atshan et al. (2013) reported for four different MRSA isolates peak values of fnbA, fnbB, and clfB after 24 h. Comparing this with our results we conclude that the measurement point at 48 h is late into biofilm development and therefore no upregulation of the genes could be detected (with exception of fnbB on CoCrMo TiN). Together, the results point in the direction that the biofilm at 48 h is already well established and it is possibly not necessary that genes of early attachment are still upregulated. There is no difference in gene regulation between smooth and rough surfaces detectable at this timepoint. The S. epidermidis strain used in this study is icaA and icaC gene negative (this has been confirmed during this study; data not shown). As those genes are involved in the biosynthesis machinery necessary for PIA production in staphylococcal biofilms it is interesting that polysaccharides have been detected in the S. epidermidis strain which is ica negative. The explanation might be that other polysaccharide species (which are not regulated by the ica family of genes) are expressed in the strain used in this study. For example Spiliopoulou et al. (2012), have reported a 20-kDa polysaccharide (composed of glucose and N-acetylglucosamine) in the S. epidermidis EPS which is independent from ica. The two genes detected in the present study, atLE and aap, were both reported to be still active after 48 h of biofilm development by Patel et al. (2012). Similarly, the results presented here show an upregulation compared to the CoCrMo control on the smooth surfaces (not significant), the biofilms on the rough surfaces show a downregulation in at least one of the two genes after 48 h, CoCrMo cpTi in both genes (one of them significantly lower than the control). On those rough surfaces, the amount of proteins and polysaccharides was significantly higher in all cases, indicating that single cells in biofilms on rougher surfaces are producing a higher amount of proteins and polysaccharides. Otto (2013) shows a difference between early and late maturation phase in terms of
adhesive and disruptive factors. We speculate that the biofilms on the rough surfaces in our study do not need the _attE_ and _aap_ gene products, which are involved mainly in mediation of the early attachment and in accumulation (Patel et al., 2012; Arciola et al., 2015), anymore. To prove this conclusively further studies on biofilm developmental stages are necessary.

In conclusion, the rough CoCrMo surface-modifications are prone to biofilms showing a higher amount of proteins and polysaccharides. The transcription rate of the genes studied here needs to be studied at different time points in order to draw a hard conclusion as to the impact of surfaces on the regulation of those genes. Follow-up studies therefore should include more time points, defined biofilm forming strains and clinical isolates for gene analysis in order to get a better understanding of time-dependent development. In addition, a study of human osteoblast cell cultures and bacterial cells being co-incubated on the surfaces might provide insights in the competition for the place on the surfaces.
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