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A precise measurement of the $^3\Lambda H$ lifetime is presented. In this letter, the mesonic decay modes $^3\Lambda H \to ^3\text{He} + \pi^-$ and $^3\Lambda H \to d + p + \pi^-$ are used to reconstruct the $^3\Lambda H$ from Au+Au collision data collected by the STAR collaboration at RHIC. A minimum $\chi^2$ estimation is used to determine the lifetime of $\tau = 142^{+24}_{-21}$ (stat.)$\pm 31$ (syst.) ps. This lifetime is about 50% shorter than the lifetime $\tau = 263 \pm 2$ ps of a free $\Lambda$, indicating strong hyperon-nucleon interaction in the hypernucleus system. The branching ratios of the mesonic decay channels are also determined to satisfy
The hyperon-nucleon (Y-N) interaction is of fundamental interest because it introduces the strangeness quantum number in nuclear matter [1] and so understanding it can provide insights into the strong interaction, often through the use of effective models that extend work on normal nuclei to the flavor SU(3) group [2]. The Y-N interaction is also of crucial importance in high-density matter systems, such as neutron stars [3,4]. At such high densities, particles with some strange content can be created. The formation of hyperons softens the equation of state and reduces the possible maximum mass of the corresponding neutron star [5], which makes it extremely difficult to describe neutron stars exceeding two solar masses, such as those observed recently in [6,7]. Among other explanations (such as deconfinement to quark matter), alternative Y-N couplings have been suggested as possible solutions for the so-called “hyperon puzzle” [8–10].

Hypernuclei are natural hyperon-baryon correlation systems and can be used as an experimental probe to study the Y-N interaction. The lifetime of a hypernucleus depends on the strength of the Y-N interaction [11,12]. Therefore, a precise determination of the lifetime of hypernuclei provides direct information on the Y-N interaction strength [12,13].

The hypertriton $^3\Lambda H$, which consists of a $\Lambda$, a proton and a neutron, is the lightest known hypernucleus. It has been argued that if the $^3\Lambda H$ is a $\Lambda$ hyperon weakly bound to a deuteron core, then the lifetime of the $^3\Lambda H$ should be close to that of the free $\Lambda$ [11,12]. The lifetime of the $^3\Lambda H$ has been measured using helium bubble chambers and nuclear emulsion since the 1960s [15,21]. The first measurement from a helium bubble chamber experiment yielded $\tau(^3\Lambda H) = 95^{+19}_{-15}$ ps [15]. Subsequent measurements indicated a lifetime close to $17,18,20,21$ or shorter than $16,19$ that of the free $\Lambda$, though with large statistical uncertainty. Recent measurements of the $^3\Lambda H$ lifetime from experiments at RHIC (BNL), HypHi (GSI) and LHC (CERN) were reported [22,24]. They all show a lifetime shorter than that of the free $\Lambda$. However, due to the dispersion of the different measurements, a clear conclusion on the lifetime of $^3\Lambda H$ cannot be reached. Moreover, theoretical calculations do not provide a consensus picture of $^3\Lambda H$ structure because of the diverging lifetime values [11,12,14,25,30].

In this letter, we report a new precise measurement of the $^3\Lambda H$ lifetime from the STAR (Solenoïd Tracker at RHIC) experiment. RHIC provides an ideal laboratory to study the Y-N interaction because hyperons and nucleons are abundantly produced in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions [22]. The main detector of STAR [31] is a time projection chamber (TPC) that measures momentum and energy loss of particles produced in heavy-ion collisions. This information is used to identify charged particles, like $\pi^\pm$, $p$, $d$ and $^3\text{He}$ produced in the collisions. We are able to reconstruct $^3\Lambda H$ via its two main decay channels: $^3\Lambda H \rightarrow ^3\text{He} + \pi^-$ and $^3\Lambda H \rightarrow d + p + \pi^-$. The theoretical branching ratios for those two channels are 24.89% and 40.06%, respectively [12]. Due to small branching ratios, or decays into neutral particles [12], the remaining decay channels have been disregarded in this paper.

The beam energy scan at RHIC during the years 2010 and 2011 allowed STAR to collect data from Au+Au collisions over a broad range of energies. The lifetime is an intrinsic property of every unstable particle, and is independent of beam energy [33]. All $^3\Lambda H$ measurements, regardless of beam energy, are combined to increase the statistics.

A minimum-bias (MB) trigger at multiple beam energies was used. For the 2-body decay channel analysis, we use data from six different energies, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7.7, 11.5, 19.6, 27, 39$, and 200 GeV; for the 3-body decay analysis, we have three beam energies, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 27, 39$, and 200 GeV. The 200 GeV data used in the 2-body analysis were collected in 2010, and data for the 3-body channel were collected in 2011. The current paper includes a 2-body decay analysis that was completed prior to the availability of newer samples [34]. As a cross-check, a 3-body decay analysis was subsequently carried out; this was confined to 2011 datasets which offered better statistics and lower backgrounds for that channel [35]. Nevertheless, we report results that represent substantial improvements in statistical uncertainties over prior measurements. Further improvements in $^3\Lambda H$ measurements are expected when future runs become available for analysis. The event statistics and basic event-level selections and 2-body and the 3-body channel analyses are listed in Tables I and II respectively. In addition, the counts of well identified $^3\text{He}$ and $^3\text{He}$ candidates are listed for the 2-body decay mode in Table I. The numbers of identified $^3\Lambda H$ and $^3\Lambda H$ are listed in Table I and only identified $^3\Lambda H$ are listed in Table I.

The $^3\Lambda H$ candidates are reconstructed from the invariant mass distributions of the daughters: $^3\Lambda H + \pi^-$ for the 2-body decay channel, and $d + p + \pi^-$ for the 3-body decay channel, shown as solid circles in Fig. I. Tracks with transverse momentum $p_T > 0.2$ GeV/c and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 1.0$ are used for $^3\Lambda H$ candidate reconstruction. The $^3\Lambda H$ has a typical decay length of several centimeters, which is long enough to be resolved by the STAR TPC. To optimize the signal to background ratio, we apply a
FIG. 1. (Color Online) The $^3\text{He}$ invariant mass distribution for each decay channel. The solid circles represent the signal candidate distributions, and the solid histograms are the rotated background. The background shapes were constrained by fits, shown as dotted black lines. The solid red lines are for each decay channel. The solid circles represent the signal plus background (double exponential). Error bars represent statistical errors.

| Energy | Events ($\times$ 10M) | $^3\text{He}$ | $^3\text{He}$ + $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ |
|--------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 7.7 GeV | 0.4             | 6388±80    | 0               |
| 11.5 GeV | 1              | 5330±73    | 0               |
| 19.6 GeV | 3              | 4941±70    | 0               |
| 27 GeV  | 5              | 4179±65    | 19±4            |
| 39 GeV  | 12             | 5252±72    | 133±12          |
| 200 GeV | 22             | 6850±83    | 2213±47         |

Using the candidates that pass the topology selections, a background invariant mass curve is constructed by rotating one of the daughters 180° in azimuthal angle. The $\pi^-$ is rotated in the case of the 2-body channel, and the deuteron in the case of the 3-body channel. This procedure accurately describes the residual combinatorial background shown as solid histograms in Fig. 1. The background shapes are fitted by a double exponential function: $f(x) \propto \exp(-x/p_1) - \exp(-x/p_2)$. The signals are then fitted by adding a Gaussian function to the background. Bin-by-bin counting is used to calculate the signal within the mass range [2.987, 2.995] GeV/c². In total, 354 and 223 $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ candidates are identified in 2-body and 3-body channel analyses, respectively.

The $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ decays obey $N(t) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau} = N_0 e^{-t/\beta\gamma c\tau}$, where $t$ is the $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ decay length, $\beta = v/c$, and $\gamma$ is the Lorentz factor. For the 2-body decay channel, we count $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ decays in four bins of $t/\beta\gamma$: [2, 5] cm, [5, 8] cm, [8, 11] cm, and [11, 41] cm. Because the 3-body decay channel has fewer events due to a lower reconstruction efficiency with a magnitude of 1%, only three bins in $t/\beta\gamma$ are used in this decay channel: [2, 8] cm, [8, 13] cm, and [13, 25] cm. We correct the $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ counts in each bin for reconstruction efficiency and detector acceptance using STAR embedding data, which is derived from a Monte-Carlo GEANT3 simulation with STAR detector geometry [39].

The yield in each bin is computed according to the number of events used for the 2-body and 3-body analyses by normalizing to $^3\text{He}$ counts in the same experiment, and the results are shown in panel (a) of Fig. 2. The lifetime is extracted from the fit to the $t/\beta\gamma$ distribution. Asymmetric statistical errors are calculated by performing a minimum $\chi^2$ estimation of the fit to the $ct$ distributions as represented in panel (b) of Fig. 2. Our result is $142^{+24}_{-21}$ ps, shown as crosses of horizontal and vertical lines in panel (b) of Fig. 2. As a comparison, the $^3\Lambda\text{He}$ lifetime measurement reported by STAR in 2010 [22] is $182^{+89}_{-45}$ (stat.) ± 27 (syst.) ps. The present measurement is consistent with STAR’s 2010 measurement to within 0.9σ and has a smaller uncertainty.

Systematic errors fall into several main categories. First, we consider systematics arising from the values chosen for topology cuts. Second, the effect of the choice
of bin width for the $^3\Lambda$H candidate invariant mass plots was investigated. Third, we investigate systematics due to the properties of $^3\Lambda$H assumed in the embedding analysis, by varying both the assumed $p_T$ distribution and assumed lifetime of the $^3\Lambda$H. We also investigated the contribution from comparison with side-band techniques [22]. Details of those systematic errors are shown in Table III. Additional sources of systematics, including loss of $^3\Lambda$H due to interactions between $^3\Lambda$H and the detector material or gas are found to be negligible. The individual contributions are added in quadrature and are reflected in the final systematic error of 31 ps.

TABLE III. Main sources of systematic uncertainty for lifetime measurement in the 2-body and 3-body decay analyses.

| Decay channel | Systematic source | Uncertainty(%) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|
| 2-body        | Invariant mass binning | 5.69           |
|               | Decay length and DCA ($\pi$) | 2.44           |
|               | DCA (3He to $\pi$) | 5.69           |
|               | Embedding analysis | 6.50           |
|               | Background shape | 3.51           |
| 3-body        | Invariant mass binning | 8.76           |
|               | DCA ($p$ to $\pi$) | 2.58           |
|               | DCA (p-\pi pair) | 14.95          |
|               | Embedding analysis | 4.93           |
|               | Background shape | 3.56           |

As a further cross-check, the $\Lambda$ has been reconstructed via the $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$ decay channel in our experiment using the same method, and we obtain $267 \pm 5$ ps for the $\Lambda$ lifetime [22]. This measurement is consistent with the $\Lambda$ lifetime of $263 \pm 2$ ps compiled by the Particle Data Group [33].

A summary plot of the worldwide $^3\Lambda$H lifetime measurements is shown in Fig. 3. There have been discussions of the lifetime of $^3\Lambda$H since the 1960s. For many years, the $^3\Lambda$H was considered as a weakly-bound state formed from a deuteron and a $\Lambda$, which leads to the inference that the $^3\Lambda$H lifetime should be very close to that of the free $\Lambda$. However, not all experimental measurements support this picture. From Fig. 3 it can be seen that there are at least two early measurements [16] [19] that indicate $^3\Lambda$H has a shorter lifetime than the $\Lambda$. The lifetime measured in [19] has the smallest error among similar studies in the 1960s and 70s, and was shorter than the others. This measurement was based on the 3-body decay channel $^3\Lambda$H → $p + d + \pi^-$ in a nuclear emulsion experiment. The shorter lifetime was attributed to the dissociation of the lightly-bound $\Lambda$ and deuteron when traveling in a dense medium. However, this explanation is not fully convincing since measurements in Refs. [17] [18] [21] also used nuclear emulsion, yet their results were close to the $\Lambda$ lifetime. In addition, Ref. [16] used a helium bubble chamber that should not be affected by the hypothesized dissociation, and report a lifetime lower than that of the free $\Lambda$.

A recent statistical compilation of the lifetime measurements available in the literature favors the lifetime of $^3\Lambda$H (215$^{+18}_{-16}$ ps) being shorter than that of the $\Lambda$ [22] [37]. The present lifetime measurement casts further doubt on the early inferences concerning the structure of the $^3\Lambda$H. The lifetime is related to the binding energy of the $\Lambda$ in this hypernucleus and to its decay channels. Theoretical predictions need to employ assumptions about the $\Lambda$ binding energy, which is poorly measured [12] [13]. Assuming a larger binding energy leads to a shorter lifetime [11]. There is also the possibility that stimulated $\Lambda$-decay due to the presence of other nucleons, such as the process $\Lambda + N \rightarrow N + N + \pi^0$ may contribute to the pionic modes [11]. This effect may become much larger due to interference with the normal decay interaction [11]. The current measurements clearly motivate further theoretical study [35].

Because the $^3\Lambda$H can be reconstructed via its two decay channels, $^3\Lambda$H → $^3$He + $\pi^-$ and $^3\Lambda$H → $d + p + \pi^-$ at STAR, it is possible to compare the decay branching ratios for those two channels. By fitting the data points in Fig. 2 a) with the radioactive decay function, we can extract the product $N_0 \times$B.R. for each channel. We define

$$\text{Ratio} = \frac{\text{B.R.}(^3\Lambda \text{H} \rightarrow ^3\text{He} + \pi^-)}{\text{B.R.}(^3\Lambda \text{H} \rightarrow ^3\text{He} + \pi^-) + \text{B.R.}(^3\Lambda \text{H} \rightarrow d + p + \pi^-)}$$

This definition is different from a more commonly used variable, $R_3$, which is defined as:

$$R_3 = \frac{\text{B.R.}(^3\Lambda \text{H} \rightarrow ^3\text{He} + \pi^-)}{\text{B.R.}(^3\Lambda \text{H} \rightarrow \text{all } \pi^- \text{ channels})}$$

however, considering that, theoretically, the sum of B.R.s
A short measurement of the ratio of two of the \(3\) attributes indicates that the \(\Lambda\)-\(\tau\), 2019, which will further reduce the uncertainty on the Au+Au collisions over a range of beam energies during the conventional understanding of the \(\Lambda\) interaction in N wave function was found in the context of a \(\Lambda\) d\(\Lambda\) H as a weakly-bound \(\Lambda\) H lifetime compared with that of the \(\Lambda\) H. Furthermore, the \(J(\Lambda)\) assignment is consistent with the calculation \(R_3 = 0.33 \pm 0.02\), where the \(\Lambda\) H wave function was found in the context of a \(\Lambda\) d two-body picture of the three-body bound state \(3\). In summary, we have presented a \(\Lambda\) H lifetime measurement of \(\tau = 142^{+21}_{-23}\) ps as well as a measurement of the ratio of two of the \(\Lambda\) H decay modes. A short \(\Lambda\) H lifetime compared with that of the free \(\Lambda\) (\(\tau(\Lambda)/\tau(\Lambda) = 0.54^{+0.09}_{-0.05}\) (stat.) is reported, which may indicate that the \(\Lambda\) N interaction in \(\Lambda\) H is stronger than previously believed. In addition, our measurement indicates that \(\Lambda\) H more likely has an assignment of \(J(\Lambda)=\frac{1}{2}\) than \(J(\Lambda)=\frac{3}{2}\). Our results challenge the conventional understanding of the \(\Lambda\) H as a weakly-bound \(\Lambda\) d system, with more theoretical progress needed to understand the structure of this and other light hypernuclei. The STAR experiment will collect large datasets for \(\text{Au+Au}\) collisions over a range of beam energies during 2019-20, which will further reduce the uncertainty on the \(\Lambda\) H lifetime and will likely provide new insight into the structure of the \(\Lambda\) H.

We thank the RHIC Operations Group and RCF at BNL, the NERSC Center at LBNL, and the Open Science Grid consortium for providing resources and support. This work was supported in part by the Office of Nuclear Physics within the U.S. DOE Office of Science, the U.S. National Science Foundation, the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, National Natural Science Foundation of China, Chinese Academy of Science, the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (973 Program No. 2014CB845400) and the Chinese Ministry of Education, the National Research Foundation of Korea, GA and MSMT of the Czech Republic, Department of Atomic Energy and Department of Science and Technology of the Government of India; the National Science Centre of Poland, National Research Foundation, the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of the Republic of Croatia, RosAtom of Russia and German Bundesministerium fur Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung and Technologie (BMBF) and the Helmholtz Association.

[1] E. Botta, T. Bressani, and G. Garbarino, Eur. Phys. J. A 48, 41 (2012).
[2] H. Müller and J. R. Shepard, J. Phys. G. 26, 1049 (2000).
[3] J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, Science 304, 536 (2004).
[4] J. Schaffner-Bielich, Nucl. Phys. A 804, 309 (2008).
[5] N. K. Glendenning, Compact stars: Nuclear physics, particle physics, and general relativity, 300 p (New York, USA: Springer, 1997).
[6] P. B. Demorest et al., Nature 467, 1081 (2010).
[7] J. Antoniadis et al., Science 340, 448 (2013).
[8] S. Weissenborn, D. Chatterjee, and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Phys. Rev. C 85, 065802 (2012).
[9] L. L. Lopes and D. P. Menezes, Phys. Rev. C 89, 025805 (2014).
[10] R. O. Gomes, V. Dexheimer, S. Schramm, and C. A. Z. Vasconcellos, The Astrophys. J. 808, 8 (2015).
[11] R. H. Dalitz and G. Rajasekharan, Phys. Lett. 1, 58 (1962).
[12] H. Kamada et al., Phys. Rev. C 57, 1595 (1998).
[13] M. Juric et al., Nucl. Phys. B 52, 1 (1973).
[14] M. Rayet and R. H. Dalitz, Nuovo CIMENTO A 46, 786 (1966).
[15] M. M. Block et al., Proc. of the Inter. Conf. on Hyperfragments, CERN Yellow Report 64-1, 63 (1964).
[16] R. J. Prem and P. H. Steinberg, Phys. Rev. 136, B1803 (1964).
[17] G. Keyes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 819 (1968).
[18] R. E. Phillips and J. Schneps, Phys. Rev. 180, 1307 (1969).
[19] G. Bohn et al., Nucl. Phys. B 16, 46 (1970).
[20] G. Keyes et al., Phys. Rev. D 1, 66 (1970).
[21] G. Keyes, J. Saxton, J. H. Wickens, and M. M. Block, Nucl. Phys. B 67, 269 (1973).
[22] B. I. Abelev et al. (STAR Collaboration), Science 328,
58 (2010).
[23] C. Rappold et al., Nucl. Phys. A 913, 170 (2013).
[24] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 754, 360 (2016).
[25] R. H. Dalitz and L. Liu, Phys. Rev. 116, 1312 (1959).
[26] M. M. Block and R. H. Dalitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 96 (1963).
[27] B. Ram and W. Williams, Nucl. Phys. B 28, 566 (1971).
[28] H. M. Mansour and K. Higgins, Nuovo CIMENTO A 51, 180 (1979).
[29] N. N. Kolesnikov and V. A. Kopylov, Sov. Phys. J. 31, 210 (1988).
[30] J. G. Congleton, J. Phys. G 18, 339 (1992).
[31] K. H. Ackermann et al. (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 499, 624 (2003).
[32] M. Anderson et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 499, 659 (2003).
[33] K. A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C 38, 090001 (2014).
[34] Y. Zhu, Study on Hypertriton Production and Lifetime Measurement at RHIC STAR (in Chinese), Ph.D. thesis, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Science (2013).
[35] Y. Xu, Experimental study of hypertriton in relativistic heavy-ion collisions (in Chinese), Ph.D. thesis, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Science (2017).
[36] V. Fine, Y. Fisyak, V. Perevoztchikov, and T. Wenaus, Computer Physics Communications 140, 76 (2001).
[37] C. Rappold et al., Phys. Lett. B 728, 543 (2014).
[38] A. Gal, E. V. Hungerford, and D. J. Millener, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 035004 (2016).