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ABSTRACT

Objective: Traditional antibiotics are increasingly suffering from the emergence of efflux related multidrug resistance (MDR) amongst pathogenic bacteria that led to novel approaches to control microbial infections being investigated as potential alternative treatments. Synergism between natural sources and antibiotics has received much attention and efforts have been put in to identify compounds that can act as efflux pump inhibitors.

Methods: Methanol and ethyl acetate extracts of important medicinal plant species widely used in traditional remedies for various ailments were screened for their synergism with ciprofloxacin and tetracycline antibiotics using the agar well diffusion method. Phytochemical analysis was also done by standard methods. In addition, to evaluate the potential of synergistic extracts as efflux pump inhibitors against Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) strains, including wild-type (NKS70), overexpressed AcrAB (NKS773) as well as against knockout ToK (NKS174), berberine uptake and ethidium bromide inhibition assays were done.

Results: In vitro synergistic activity were confirmed for methanolic extracts of all five plants, namely, Allium sativum (Amaryllidaceae), Syzygium aromaticum (Myrtaceae), Berberis aristata (Berberidaceae), Rhus cotinus (Anacardiaceae), and Phyllanthus emblica (Phyllanthaceae). Agar well diffusion method confirmed the greatest synergistic activity of P. emblica with used antibiotics. The phytochemical analysis of medicinal plants showed that the terpenoids and reducing sugar were found to be present in all synergistic extracts. Phytochemicals have great potential as antimicrobial agents. Further efflux inhibition assays confirmed maximum efflux pump inhibition through Phyllanthus emblica extract against S. Typhimurium when extracted with methanol solvent.

Conclusion: It is hypothesized that phyto compounds present in these plants might be following the same mechanism of action responsible for synergistic interaction as well as efflux inhibition. These data provide bioactive compounds for possible clinical utility as efflux inhibitors.
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INTRODUCTION

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (S. Typhimurium) is a facultative anaerobic Gram-negative foodborne pathogen under the family Enterobacteriaceae. They cause various diseases in humans and animals, from gastroenteritis to bacteremia and typhoid fever [1]. Multidrug-resistant bacteria resist a broad range of antimicrobials thereby reducing the treatment options and hence increasing the mortality rate day by day in developing countries where the use of antibiotics is high. This problem of antimicrobial resistance is of great concern. In the past few years, rapid and widespread emergence of resistance to newly introduced antimicrobial agents indicates that even new families of antimicrobial agents will have a short life expectancy [2]. One way of prolonging antibiotic efficiency or potentiating the activity of antibiotics against drug-resistant pathogens is by blocking drug efflux pumps with efflux pump inhibitors (EPIs), attractive compounds known to reverse multidrug resistance and prevent the development of resistance in clinically relevant bacterial pathogens [3-6]. Therefore, researchers are increasingly making efforts that lead to the development of better drugs against MDR microbe strains with the use of herbal products [7]. Tyagi et al. [8] reviewed a number of herbal plants that has been used as a drug in the form of crude extracts and extensively used for their antimicrobial properties. Different plant extracts exhibit variation in their activity toward uropathogenic E. coli, Enteropathogenic E. coli, Enterotoxigenic E. coli, S. Typhimurium, K. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa [9].

Natural products play a major role in drug discovery by providing bioactive scaffolds with activity against a variety of targets in infections. Efflux pump inhibitors (EPIs) isolated from natural sources are the best strategy of efflux system inhibition, which has emerged from the last few decades. Reports for novel and already known natural chemical entities which are active against all of the major microbial efflux systems have populated the chemical space as well as the literature [10, 11]. Medicinal plants contain some organic compounds such as tannins, alkaloids, flavonoids, carbohydrates, terpenoids and steroids, which produces definite physiological action on humans [12, 13] and in vitro found to have antimicrobial properties [14]. There is a vast array of medicinal plants used singly or in combination with antibiotics that confer synergistic effects in the treatment of various ailments. The combination of an antibiotic with an efflux pump inhibitor (EPI) would be expected to re-establish susceptibility of the bacteria to antibiotics that at present cannot be used any longer. The mode of action of combination therapy significantly differs from that of the same drugs acting individually. Therefore the selection of an appropriate combination is crucial and essential which requires understanding the potential interaction between the plant extracts and antimicrobial agents. Antimicrobial agents are exported out of the bacterial cell through efflux pumps that serve to protect it from building up to toxic levels and led to the development of resistance to antimicrobials [15-19].

In Enterobacteriaceae family, overproduction of the AcrAB-ToK efflux pumps confers clinically relevant resistance to many antimicrobial agents, including ciprofloxacin and tigecycline [20] and are associated with innate multidrug resistance resulting in major clinical problem, so there is a need to understand their physiology to reveal their interesting perspectives uses in combination therapy along with existing antibiotics that lead to the development of inhibitors.
**Identification of new efflux pump inhibitors (EPIs) is expected to hasten the development of effective adjuvant therapies to existing antibiotics.** The EPIs can effectively increase the intracellular concentration of the drug to the level essential for its activity and hence reduce the minimal inhibitory concentration required for the antibiotic to kill the resistant organisms. Plants have evolved to effectively fight off infections by producing an array of special chemicals. We were specifically interested in identifying EPIs from plant sources. Therefore, in the present study, the plant extracts that have been shown to act synergistically with antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin and tetracycline were analyzed for their phytochemicals that have a major role in the identification and development of effective efflux pump inhibitors against Salmonella Typhimurium.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Selection of plant material**

Fresh leaves of *Berberis aristata* (Berber dance) and *Rhus cotinus* (Anacardiaceae), fruits of *Phyllanthus emblica* (Phyllanthaceae), flower bud of *Syzygium aromaticum* (Myrtaceae) and bulb of *Allium sativum* (Amaryllidaceae) selected on the basis of traditional applications and pharmacological reports were collected from surroundings and local market into plastic zip lock bags with appropriate labelling and got identified from Botany department of Shoolini University of biotechnology and Management Sciences, Bajhol, India. Voucher specimens have been submitted to the herbarium of the University (mentioned in tables).

**Preparation of plant extracts**

The collected samples were carefully washed under running tap water to remove debris and dust particles, followed by washing with 0.1% mercuric chloride to remove the contamination and after that washed with distilled water and shade dried for 4-5 d. The dried plant materials were ground to powder and stored in airtight containers. 70% methanol and ethyl acetate were used as extraction solvents. 10 g of powdered sample was soaked in a conical flask containing 100 ml of solvents with occasional shaking followed by keeping all the flasks on a rotary shaker at 200 rpm and filtered through a sterilized Whatman No. 1 filter paper after 48 h. The plant residue was re-extracted with the addition of solvents, and after 24 h, it was filtered again. Combined filtrates were concentrated to dryness at 40 °C on a rotary evaporator. The dried extract, thus, obtained was sterilized by overnight UV-irradiation, checked for sterility on nutrient agar plates and stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator for further use [21]. The dried extracts were reconstituted to 5% in Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) for further use.

**Microbial strains and culture conditions**

Three strains of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium NKS70 (wild type), NKS174 (ToIC knockout) and NKS773 (AcrAB over expressive) were used as test organisms in the present study. All three strains were a generous gift given by Kunihiko Nishino, Associate professor of Osaka University (Japan). All strains were maintained in 30% (v/v) glycerol at -80 °C until required. The pure cultures were maintained on nutrient agar plates and stored at 4 °C in a refrigerator herbarium of the University (mentioned in tables).

**Chemicals used**

Fehling solution A, Fehling solution B, hydrochloric acid (HCl), acetic anhydride, methanol, ethyl acetate, ethanol, sodium hydroxide (NaOH), iodine, potassium iodide, chloroform, concentrated sulphuric acid, DMSO, and ferric chloride were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (India). All the chemicals and solvents used were of standard analytical grades.

**Antimicrobial agents and antibiotics**

Two antibiotics (tetracycline and ciprofloxacin) were purchased from Himedia Laboratory, India) were evaluated for synergism with extracts of five medicinal plants. Zones of inhibition were determined in accordance with the procedures of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard [22]. Bacterial strain was considered susceptible to antibiotics if the inhibition zones were ≥13 mm.

**Evaluation of the synergistic activity of plant extracts with antibiotics**

Synergistic activity of a plant extract of five medicinal plants with two above-mentioned antibiotics were investigated using the agar well diffusion method [23]. Petri plates containing 30 ml Mueller-Hinton agar medium were kept for solidification followed by spreading 100 μl (10 μg/ml) of the microorganism (24 h broth culture). Four wells of uniform diameter of 6 mm made after solidification, using sterile aluminum borer. Four wells (6 mm diameter) were punched in the Mueller Hinton agar and the combination of 40 μl of 100 mg/ml plant extracts and antibiotics were added in a well along with antibiotic and plant extract alone in other two wells. The negative control was DMSO. After incubation for 24 h at 37 °C, the plates were observed for the synergistic activity, and diameter of the zone of inhibition for each extract was measured in terms of a millimeter (mm). The experiment was repeated in triplicate for each extract.

**Qualitative tests for phytochemicals**

Screening of the extracts of above five selected synergistic medicinal plants for various phytochemicals constituents carried out using standard methods [24-29]

**Test for alkaloids (Wagner’s test)**

A fraction of the extract was treated with 3-drops of Wagner’s reagent [1.27 g of iodine and 2g of potassium iodide in 100 ml of water] and observed for the formation of a reddish brown precipitate (or coloration).

**Test for flavonoid (Alkaline reagent test)**

Treat the extract with dilute NaOH, followed by the addition of dilute HCl. A yellow solution with NaOH turns colorless with dilute HCl.

**Test for saponins (Frothing test)**

Saponins were tested by dissolving one-half grams (0.5 g) of the crude extract in a test tube containing 3 ml of hot distilled water and then the mixture was shaken vigorously for one minute and persistent foaming observed indicated the presence of saponins.

**Test for terpenoids (Salkowki’s test)**

1 ml of chloroform was added to 2 ml of each extract followed by a few drops of concentrated sulphuric acid. A reddish brown precipitate produced immediately indicated the presence of terpenoids.

**Reducing sugars (Fehling test)**

The extracts were shaken with distilled water, filtered and boiled with a few drops of Fehling's solution A and B for a few minutes. Orange/red color indicates the presence of reducing sugars.

**Test for phenols**

A small amount of the extract was treated with 2 ml of ethanol and a few drops of ferric chloride solution added to it. The blue color indicates the presence of phenols.

**Screening of crude plant extracts for efflux pump inhibitory activity**

**Berberine uptake assay**

Screening of crude plant extracts for efflux pump inhibitory activity was done by berberine uptake assay [30]. Serial 2-fold dilutions of berberine and a plant extract were mixed in each well of a 96-well microtiter plate. Each row (and column) contained a fixed amount of one agent and increasing amounts of the second agent. The plate presents a pattern in which every well contain a unique combination of concentrations between the two molecules. The concentrations of berberine (row) range from 30 to 0.5 μg/ml (69-1.5 μM), while plant
extracts (column) concentrations range from 15 to 0.015 µg/ml. Berberine without plant extracts was used as negative control. CCCP was added into the culture along with berberine as a positive control. Cells were added to each well at a final concentration of 5 x 10^6 CFU/ml, and plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Growth was assayed by absorption at 600 nm with a microtiter plate reader. An optical density less than 0.04 revealed no bacterial growth.

**Ethidium bromide efflux inhibition assay**

The ethidium bromide efflux inhibition assay was also performed for the confirmation of efflux pump inhibitory activity. 175 µl of bacterial inoculum were added to each well of 96 well microtiter plate. 5 µl of test compound were added to columns 1-10. 20 µl of 100 µM EtBr were added to each well. 5 µl of positive control (CCCP) was added to the eleventh column. 5 µl of negative control (DMSO) without plant extract was added to column 12. Plates were immediately placed in Fluoroscan Ascent and fluorescence of the accumulated EtBr was measured for 30 min with 5 min of the interval at 530 nm excitation and 600 nm emission. Sterile microtiter plates were used for this assay.

**RESULTS**

The zone diameters were found to increase when extracts were used in combination with antibiotics. The combination was found to be more potent than either of the two. Differences in diameter of inhibition zone > 4 mm between the combined effect of medicinal plants with ciprofloxacin and tetracycline and antibacterial activity of ciprofloxacin and tetracycline alone revealed the synergistic activity of that plant extract. Inhibition zones are represented in millimeter (mm).

Table 1 presents the synergistic activity of plant extracts with ciprofloxacin. Among methanolic extracts, *R. cotinus* showed a maximum zone of inhibition alone, but in combination with antibiotics, *P. emblica* showed maximum synergism and was found to be very effective with antibiotics. Minimum or no zone of inhibition was observed in plant extract of *B. aristata*. Similarly, all methanolic extracts and ethyl acetate extracts of only *P. emblica* extracts and ethyl acetate extracts of *A. sativum* had no antimicrobial activity alone, but enhances the activity of ciprofloxacin with an inhibition zone of 27±0.5 and therefore, found to be a synergistic enhancer. Methanolic *P. emblica* extract measured inhibition zone of 28±0.7 with ciprofloxacin, exhibited maximum synergistic activity. Among ethyl acetate extracts, combination effects of ciprofloxacin with *P. emblica* and *A. sativum* were 27.5±0.5 and 27±0.5 respectively, both exhibited maximum synergism. With the combined effect of tetracycline, the *P. emblica* methanolic extract produced inhibition zone of 24.3±0.8 and *A. sativum* formed 19.5±0.5 of inhibition zone, which revealed their maximum synergistic activities.

In NKS174 strain, a maximum zone of inhibition 33.6±0.9 and 28.6±0.5 were measured in the methanolic extract of *P. emblica* with ciprofloxacin and tetracycline respectively.

In NKS773 strain, the combined effect of ciprofloxacin with *P. emblica* formed inhibition zone of 26.5±0.6 and *A. sativum* measured 24±0.1, both exhibited best synergistic activities. Inhibition zone measured for *P. emblica* with tetracycline was 20.6±0.4.

On the basis of synergistic activities of *Allium sativum*, *Syzygium aromaticum*, *Berberis aristata*, *Rhus cotinus* and *Phyllanthus emblica*, methanolic extracts revealing maximum synergism were further selected for evaluation of their phytochemicals and efflux pump inhibitory activity.

Results obtained for the qualitative screening of phytochemicals are presented in table 3. The result revealed the presence of medically active compounds in the five plants studied. From this table, it is evident that alkaloids, phenols, terepenoids, flavonoids, reducing sugars and saponins were present in almost all the plants. Flavonoids were absent only in the fruit of *P. emblica*. Terepenoids and reducing sugars were present in all plant extracts. Saponins and phenols were present in all except *A. sativum*. Alkaloids were absent in the *S. aromaticum*, *A. sativum* and also in *R. cotinus*.

Berberine works as an efflux pump substrate and inhibits the growth of bacteria in the presence of an EPI. The experimental approach to detect EPI activity was to test the combined action of a plant extract with 30 µg/ml or 89 µm berberine added at a sub-inhibitory concentration. Extracts that inhibited cell growth in the presence of berberine and had no activity when added alone were/less likely to contain an EPI. Inhibition of cell growth in the presence of berberine exhibited by extracts was in the following order: *P. emblica* (M)>*A. sativum* (M)>S. aromaticum (M)>R. cotinus (M)>B. aristata (M) (Fig.1). Therefore, methanolic extract of *P. emblica* was found to be an active efflux pump inhibitor as it increases the efficacy of berberine.

### Table 1: Medicinal plants possessing synergistic activity with ciprofloxacin

| S. No. | Plant name (voucher specimen no.)* | Methanolic extracts | Ethyl acetate extracts |
|-------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|       |                                  | NKS70 wildtype | NKS174 knockout | NKS773 over expressive | NKS70 wildtype | NKS174 knockout | NKS773 over expressive |
|       |                                  | Diameter of zone of inhibition (in mm) |
| 1     | *S. aromaticum* (flower buds) (SUBMS/BOT-5219)* | PE 12±0.0 | 13±0.0 | 10±0.0 | 10±0.0 |
|       |                                  | PE+A 20±0.5 | 25±0.5 | 21±0.1 | 23±0.5 |
|       |                                  | A 16±0.0 | 20±0.4 | 16±0.4 | 20±0.4 |
|       |                                  | DMSO - | - | - | - |
| 2     | *A. sativum* (Bulbs) (SUBMS/BOT-5221)* | PE 12±0.0 | 13±0.0 | 12±0.0 | 11±0.0 |
|       |                                  | PE+A 27.5±0.5 | 30.5±0.4 | 24±0.1 | 27±0.5 |
|       |                                  | A 23±0.1 | 26±0.2 | 23±0.0 | 26±0.0 |
|       |                                  | DMSO - | - | - | - |
| 3     | *R. cotinus* (leaf) (SUBMS/BOT-5184)* | PE 14.6±0.2 | 16.6±0.4 | 16±0.1 | 13±0.2 |
|       |                                  | PE+A 27.3±0.7 | 30.3±0.8 | 23.3±0.5 | 26±0.5 |
|       |                                  | A 23.6±0.4 | 26±0.5 | 18±0.1 | 24±0.2 |
|       |                                  | DMSO - | - | - | - |
| 4     | *B. aristata* (leaf) (SUBMS/BOT-5108)* | PE 12±0.0 | 14±0.8 | 16±0.8 | 14.6±0.6 |
|       |                                  | PE+A 27.5±0.5 | 32.5±0.4 | 25±0.3 | 25±0.2 |
|       |                                  | A 23±0.2 | 28±0.1 | 21±0.1 | 24.5±0.3 |
|       |                                  | DMSO - | - | - | - |
| 5     | *P. emblica* (fruits) (SUBMS/BOT-5170)* | PE 12±0.0 | 14±0.8 | 16±0.8 | 14.6±0.6 |
|       |                                  | PE+A 26±0.7 | 33.6±0.9 | 26.5±0.6 | 27.5±0.5 |
|       |                                  | A 23±0.5 | 28±0.5 | 20.5±1.0 | 23.5±0.3 |

A = Antibiotic (5µg/ml), DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide, PE = Plant extract, [-] = No inhibition zone (n = 3, Values are represented as mean±SD).
Table 2: Medicinal plants possessing synergistic activity with tetracycline

| S. No. | Plant name (voucher specimen no.)* | Methanolic extracts | Ethyl acetate extracts |
|--------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|        |                                    | NKS70 wildtype      | NKS174 knockout       | NKS70 over expressive | NKS773 over expressive | NKS70 wildtype | NKS174 knockout | NKS773 over expressive |
|        |                                    | PE                  | PE+A                 | A                     | DMSO                   | PE                  | PE+A                 | A                     | DMSO                   |
| 1      | *S. aromaticum* (flower buds) (SUBMS/BOT-S219) | 12±0.0             | 13±0.0              | 13±0.0                | 10±0.0                 | 10±0.0              | 10±0.0              | 10±0.0                | 10±0.0                 |
|        |                                    | 15±0.1             | 17.5±0.5            | 11±0.0                | 15±0.1                 | 17.5±0.5            | 11±0.0              | 15±0.1                 | 17.5±0.5              |
| 2      | *A. sativum* (bulbs) (SUBMS/BOT-S221) | 12±0.0             | 13±0.0              | 12±0.0                | 12±0.0                 | 11±0.0              | 11±0.0              | 12±0.0                | 11±0.0                 |
|        |                                    | 19.5±0.5           | 23.5±0.5            | 16±0.0                | 18±0.6                 | 22±0.6              | 17±0.8              | 17±0.8                | 17±0.8                 |
| 3      | *R. cotinus* (leaf) (SUBMS/BOT-S184) | 14±0.6±0.2         | 16±0.4              | 16±0.1                | 13±0.2                 | 13±0.1              | 14±0.1              | 14±0.1                | 14±0.1                 |
|        |                                    | 16.5±0.3           | 27±0.5              | 14.5±±0.5             | 15±0.5                 | 20±0.2              | 15±0.1              | 15±0.1                | 15±0.1                 |
| 4      | *B. aristata* (leaf) (SUBMS/BOT-S108) | 12.5±0.4           | 22.5±0.5            | 10±0.1                | 13.3±0.2               | 22.5±0.5            | 10±0.4              | 10±0.4                | 10±0.4                 |
|        |                                    | 14.9±0.2           | 26±0.5              | 14±0.5                | 13±0.5                 | 17±0.2              | 10±0.1              | 10±0.1                | 10±0.1                 |
| 5      | *P. emblica* (fruits) (SUBMS/BOT-S170) | 12±0.5             | 14±0.8              | 17±0.8                | 14±0.6±0.6             | 17±0.1              | 14.5±0.5            | 14.5±0.5              | 14.5±0.5               |
|        |                                    | 24.3±0.8           | 28.6±0.5            | 20±0.4                | 19.5±0.9               | 27.6±0.6            | 18.6±0.1            | 18.6±0.1              | 18.6±0.1               |
|        |                                    | 19±0.6±0.1         | 23.6±0.4            | 14.6±0.4              | 15±0.1                 | 23.3±0.6            | 14.1±0.2            | 14.1±0.2              | 14.1±0.2               |
|        |                                    | DMSO               | DMSO                | DMSO                  | DMSO                   | DMSO               | DMSO                | DMSO                  | DMSO                   |

* = Plant extract, PE = Plant extract, (-) = No inhibition zone (n = 3, Values are represented as mean±SD).

Table 3: Preliminary phytochemical screening of the extracts possessing synergistic activity with used antibiotics

| S. No. | Name of compounds | S. aromaticum | A. sativum | R. cotinus | B. aristata | P. emblica |
|--------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| 1      | Flavonoids (Alkaline reagent test) | + | + | + | + | - |
| 2      | Alkaloids (Wagner’s reagent) | - | - | - | + | + |
| 3      | Reducing sugars (Fehling test) | + | + | + | + | + |
| 4      | Saponins (Foam test) | + | + | + | + | + |
| 5      | Terpenoids (Salkowki’s test) | + | + | + | + | + |
| 6      | Phenols | + | - | + | + | + |

Legend: (+) = present, (-) = Absent

A = Antibiotic (15µg/ml), DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide, PE = Plant extract, (-) = No inhibition zone (n = 3, Values are represented as mean±SD).

Fig. 1: Absorbance shown by plant extracts at 89 µM berberine

Fig. 2: Effect of plant extracts on the accumulation of 100 µM ethidium bromide by knockout strain (NKS174)
Fig. 3: Effect of plant extracts on the accumulation of 100 μM ethidium bromide by wild type (NKS70) strain

Fig. 4: Effect of plant extracts on the accumulation of 100 μM ethidium bromide by over expressive (NKS773) strain.

Our potentiation study revealed that plants, namely, Allium sativum, Syzygium aromaticum, Berberis aristata, Rhus cotinus and Phyllanthus emblica synergistically increased the antimicrobial activity of ciprofloxacin and tetracycline. Our results were consistent with previous in vitro studies reported that crude extracts of Indian medicinal plants, Acorus calamus, Hemidesmus indicus, Holarrhena antidysenterica and Plumbago zeylanica showed their synergism with tetracycline and ciprofloxacin against an extended spectrum of beta-lactamase, producing multidrug-resistant enteric bacteria in which ciprofloxacin showed more synergy with the extracts than tetracycline [34-40]. Plants either contain antimicrobials that act synergistically with antibiotics or possess compounds that have no intrinsic antibacterial activity, but are able to sensitize the pathogen to a previously ineffective antibiotic [37].

Our secondary metabolite studies of above five medicinal plants have shown the presence of flavonoids, saponins, alkaloid, terpenoid, reducing sugars and phenols which are of great importance in the field of drug research. All the five medicinal plants selected for screening were found to possess terpenoids and reducing sugars. Phenols are also present in all plant extracts except A. sativum. The phenolic compounds are one of the largest and most ubiquitous groups of plant metabolites [41]. A literature search revealed that they possess biological properties such as antiapoptosis, anti-aging, anticarcinogen, antiinflammation and improvement of endothelial function, as well as inhibition of angiogenesis and cell proliferation activities [42]. Alcoholic and aqueous Heartwood extracts of medicinal plant Berberis aristata, containing berberine alkaloid also known to be a potent anti-inflammatory agent [43]. The plant extracts revealed the presence of saponins, which are known to produce an inhibitory effect on inflammation [44]. Moreover, alkaloids have been associated with medicinal uses for centuries and are one of the diverse groups of secondary metabolites found to have antimicrobial activity by inhibiting DNA topoisomerase. Terpenoids are also known to possess antimicrobial, antifungal, antiparasitic, antiviral,
antihyperglycemic, anti-inflammatory and immune-modulatory properties [45, 46].

A fluorometric study was employed to assess the fluorescence or accumulation of berberine and ethidium bromide, a substrate of the efflux pump. The fluorescence of ethidium bromide is maximal inside cells; thereby the accumulation was evidenced by an increase in the fluorescence units. The addition of the methanolic P. emblica showed slightly lesser accumulation of ethidium bromide in the cells than the presence of CCCP. However, the accumulation was significantly higher than that of the control without any EPI, in all three strains. However, it was evident that P. emblica play a major role in AcrAB efflux pump inhibition, therefore, act as an efflux pump inhibitor. One of the previous study of Piddock et al. [47] observed the efflux pump inhibitors of the AcrAB efflux pump from Theobroma cacao and Catha edulis sources. Therefore, efflux pump inhibitors (EPIs) are promising therapeutic agents, as they restore the standard antibiotic activity. Co-administration of EPIs with antibiotics that act as pump substrates could increase drug levels intracellularly and therefore renew the efficacy of existing antibacterial agents.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study probably suggests the possibility of concurrent use of these antimicrobial agents and extracts in combination in treating infections caused by S. Typhimurium strains and helps in the reuse of these antibiotics into clinical. Methanolic extract of P. emblica found to be a potent inhibitor of the AcrAB-mediated efflux of ethidium bromide and berberine. Though, P. emblica has shown EPI activity in bacteria, as per our knowledge, there is no report on EPI activity of P. emblica in AcrAB-efflux systems. As the incidence of drug-resistant S. Typhimurium is increasing at an alarming rate, a combination therapy of antibiotics with these phytochemicals would be a better approach to combating the multidrug resistance. This will eventually help in better treatment outcome and help in decreasing the mortality rate of patients with infections caused by the MDR pathogens. A better understanding of plant-derived bioactive compounds, EPI of substantial medicinal merit may be fundamental to the development of pharmacological agents and may lead to the improved application of existing plant-derived CAM therapies.
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