E-Cadherin Dysfunction and Cancer
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Abstract

E-cadherin molecules are cell-cell molecular connectors, but also act attaching cell surface to the cytoskeleton through catenin’s and additional partner proteins. Its main function is to regulate cellular adhesion and motility, and therefore acts as an invasion suppressor system. Its role is crucial in the induction and maintenance of cell polarity and differentiation, and in the organization and maintenance of tissue architecture. Downregulation or loss of its function is associated with an invasive and aggressive phenotype in many types of human cancers. In alterations of animal development, E-cadherin dysfunction influence in premature lethality or epidermal barrier and immunity defects. However, new hypothesis over its promoter role in tissue invasion is on focus. In addition to its tumor suppressor role, E-cadherin is a guiding molecule in collective cell migration increasing the metastasis risk during in vivo tumorigenesis. This E-cadherin function explains the retention of the functional E-cadherin expression, and that the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is not required for the metastasis occurrence. However, like a switch, in some tumoral environments E-cadherin post-translational modifications may cause cell cluster migration. Like in development, in certain in vivo tumoral contexts, E-cadherin apparently involves signaling rather than cell contact formation. In this report, we explore the possibility of a novel role of thyroid hormones (THs) in the signal via E-cadherin-catenins, this not only should be involved in development and homeostasis, but also in cancer susceptibility of gastrointestinal tract.
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1. Introduction

The disorganization of cellular adhesion and motility is one of the crucial factors responsible for tumor initiation and progression. The genes involved are also contributors to malignancy along with genes responsible for cell proliferation and survival.

Between the tumor suppressor genes, E-cadherin takes up a key place because most of human tumors are carcinomas derived from epithelial tissues, in which E-cadherin is the prototypic cell adhesion molecule, which functions by contact inhibition controlling cell survival and proliferation. Epithelial tumors often lose E-cadherin partially or completely as they progress toward malignancy [1] [2] [3] [4]. However, some cancers express normal or high levels of E-cadherins, such as epithelial ovarian cancer [5] [6] or inflammatory breast cancer [7] [8]. The loss of E-cadherin expression in association with the EMT often occurs during tumor metastasis. However, carcinomas and distal metastasis may retain E-cadherin expression [9] [10], and the EMT is not required for the metastasis occurrence [11]-[15]; in fact, clusters of tumor cells give rise to mammary metastasis [16] [17].

It is known that E-cadherin is involved in collective cell migration facilitating invasion and metastasis [10] [18]. It has been proposed that Rab11 acts cooperatively with E-cadherin in the promotion of collective cell migration, possibly also mediated by Rac1 activation and matrix metalloproteinase-2 expression and indicates a poor prognosis in colorectal carcinoma [19]. Therefore, changes in E-cadherin function other than complete loss of expression may be important for these processes. In this sense, Petrova et al. [20] have proposed that E-cadherin adhesive activity in cancer cells can be regulated at the cell surface by an inside-out signaling mechanism probably involving allosteric regulation of the homophilic adhesive bond, analogous to integrin regulation [21] [22] [23]. Regulation of cadherin adhesive activity at the cell surface in response to growth factors has been shown to control tissue morphogenesis and epithelium formation [21] [24] [25]. Similar surface regulation has been proposed for C-cadherin in cell re-arrangements and tissue morphogenesis, during Xenopus gastrulation [24] [25] and for E-cadherin in epithelial cell migration and branching [21].

In cancer, the idea that adhesion proteins act as invasion suppressors is incompatible with many experimental and clinical observations. Cellular context, including post-translational modifications and protein turnover, may critically regulate junction dynamics and cell motility and can collaborate with the microenvironment to alter tissue-level phenotypes [26].

2. E-Cadherin Dysfunction in the Adulthood

Different mechanisms for E-cadherin inactivation in malignant tumors include mutations, epigenetic silencing, and increased endocytosis and proteolysis (Table 1).

Thus, knowing the mechanisms that regulate the epithelial polarity and
| Protein       | Human gene | Tumor-associated abnormalities | Tumor type                   | Clinical correlates                              | References |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------|
| E-CADHERIN   | CDH1       | Loss of heterozygosity          | Numerous                     | Malignant progression                           | [3]        |
|              |            | Promoter methylation           | Numerous                     | Malignant progression                           | [3]        |
|              |            | Germline mutations             | Gastric (DGC) Breast (ILC)   | Hereditary diffuse gastric cancer (HDGC) syndrome | [51]       |
|              |            | Somatic mutations              | Gastric (DGC) Breast (ILC)   | Highly invasive                                  | [50]       |
|              |            | Upregulated expression         | Epithelial ovarian cancer    | Tumorigenesis                                    | [5] [6]    |
|              |            | Overexpression                 | Breast (IBC)                 | Tumor emboli                                     | [8]        |
|              |            | Upregulated exon 11 splicing   | Bone narrow                  | Chronic lymphocytic leukemia                     | [65] [69]  |
|              |            | by promoter methylation and    |                              |                                                 |            |
|              |            | SFRS2 splicing factor upregulation |                              |                                                 |            |
|              |            | No tumor-associated            | Lesion type                  | Clinical correlates                              | References |
|              |            | abnormalities                  |                              |                                                 |            |
| E-CADHERIN   | CDH1       | E-cadherin depletion           | Accumulation of fluid-filled | Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease     | [86]       |
|              |            | for malformation of E-cadherin-| cysts and abnormalities in   |                                                 |            |
|              |            | catenins complexes of renal    | renal epithelial cell        |                                                 |            |
|              |            | adherens junctions for mutated | function                     |                                                 |            |
|              |            | polycystin-1                   |                              |                                                 |            |
|              |            | conditional gene ablation in   | E-cadherin postnatal loss     | Hailey-Hailey disease. Benign familial form of   | [78] [79]  |
|              |            | the mouse/human in keratinocytes| in keratinocytes leads to    | pemphigus and acantholysis, basal                |            |
|              |            |                                | progressive loss epidermal    | keratinocytes                                    |            |
|              |            |                                | differentiation               | Hyperproliferative and degenerative responses of|            |
|              |            | Disruption of E-cadherin-mediated junctions by siRNA between airway epithelial | Th2 cell recruitment and promotion of Th2-type allergic inflammation | Respiratory allergy Th2-mediated | [139] |
|              |            | Thinning of suprapapillary     | chronic autoimmune and       | Psoriasis                                        | [144]      |
|              |            | epidermis and marked dermal    | inflammatory skin disease    |                                                 |            |
|              |            | angiogenesis by altered E-cadherin expression |                              |                                                 |            |

References: DGC: Diffuse gastric carcinoma; IBC: Inflammatory breast carcinoma; ILC: Invasive lobular carcinoma.
molecules involved in it, such as E-cadherin and β-catenin, will help to unravel the mechanisms that control the phenotype, mobility and aggressiveness of tumoral cells [27]. The tissue progress from a physiological state in which the growth and differentiation rate are normally regulated, to a pathological, hyperplastic and invasive state, frequently involve a decrease or E-cadherin miss-localization and nuclear trans-localization of β-catenin. Nuclear β-catenin, in turn, activates target genes involved in cellular proliferation and metastasis processes [28]-[33] (Figure 1).

2.1. E-Cadherin in Epithelia Establishing and Maintenance

Both in developmental and adult epithelia, E-cadherins are the main molecules involved in the establishment and maintenance of adherens junctions (AJs). These are molecular complexes highly organized, where E-cadherin allows the cells to contact each other, and also modulate the organization of actin submembrane cortex [34] [35] [36] [37] and microtubules network [38] [39]. Both chemical and mechanic signals generated in the adhesive contact sites can act transducing through E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain to the nucleus, modifying the gene expression [37] [40]-[46].

Epithelial physiology depends not only of E-cadherin expression level [4] [47] [20], but also of its functionality in the cell surface. Epithelial AJs can weaken by mutations in E-cadherin gene, which decrease E-cadherin in the cell surface, and by changes in the phosphorylation level of adhesive complex molecules [44] [48] (Figure 1).

2.2. E-Cadherin Mutations

Somatic CDH1 gene mutations are the most common alterations in scattered cancers, such as diffuse gastric and lobular breast cancer, generating E-cadherin alterations detectable in about 50% of patients [49] [50]. Sixty-nine somatic mutations of the CDH1 gene and few miss sense mutations (mainly splice site and nonsense truncated mutations) have been reported [50]. Major difference in mutation type between diffuse gastric and infiltrative lobular breast cancers also has been detected. While the exon skipping with in-frame deletions is found in diffuse gastric tumors, most mutations found in infiltrating lobular breast cancers were out-of-frame mutations, which yield secreted truncated E-cadherin products. In most cases, these mutations occur in combination with loss of heterozygosity [4].

In addition, germline CDH1 gene mutations have been reported in familial gastric cancer [51] [52] [53], generating truncated E-cadherin protein in the signal peptide domain [54] or affecting the mechanism of inside-out cell surface regulation [20].

The number of mutations is growing daily (Genetic Home Reference, https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/gene/CDH1). Therefore, the blockade of E-cadherin downregulation in tumors, could be one of the important approaches in future
Figure 1. E-cadherin dysfunction and cancer. In a differentiated and established epithelium, the main function of E-cadherin is to regulate cellular adhesion and motility, and therefore acts as an invasion suppressor system (stage 1). Different mechanisms for E-cadherin inactivation in malignant tumors include mutations, epigenetic silencing, and increased endocytosis and proteolysis (stage 2). Downregulation or loss of its function is associated with an invasive and aggressive phenotype in many types of human cancers, because often occurs an EMT (stages 2 & 3). The tissue progress from a physiological state in which the growing and differentiation rate are normally regulated for several growth factors to a pathological, hyperplastic and invasive state, frequently involve a decrease or E-cadherin miss-localization and nuclear trans-localization of β-catenin. Nuclear β-catenin in turn, activates target genes involved in cellular proliferation and metastasis processes, and cytoskeleton remodeling (stages 2 & 3). TJ: tight junction; AJ: adherens junction; DS: desmosome; HD: hemidesmosome; P: phosphorylated amino acid; Ub: ubiquitin tag; T4: 3,5,3',5'-tetraiodothyronine; T3: 3,5,3'-triiodothyronine; Colored nuclear segment: purple E-cadherin gene, green β-catenin gene, red α-catenin gene; black TH-target gene others.

gene therapy. Targeting of this molecule could prevent the metastatic potential of almost any epithelial tumor. Nevertheless, it will not be an easy approach since its downregulation is caused by multiple mechanisms, ranging from mutations and gross deletions to gene transcription repression, as well as signal transduction through the E-cadherin adhesion complexes.

Mutation of calcium-binding sites leads to loss of the adhesive function of E-cadherin and proteolytic degradation or cytoplasmic miss localization [28]-[32],
such as has been demonstrated in gastric carcinoma [55]. Most of the mutations affect the putative calcium-binding motif DTND [28], between EC2 and EC3 [48] [56].

CDH1 functional loss has been linked to diffuse-type gastric cancer susceptibility [54], being the germline inactivating CDH1 mutations characteristic of the hereditary diffuse gastric cancer syndrome [57]. E-cadherin deficiency initiates the gastric signet ring-cell carcinoma in humans and mice [58]. These mutations should be responsible for the scattered tumor cell morphology and highly invasive behavior typically associated with gastric cancer [48] [59] [60]. Additionally, in vitro studies have demonstrated that the carcinoma cell lines unable to express E-cadherin, as well as the use of antibodies and antisense RNAs against E-cadherin, increase the cell ability to invade collagen gels or tissues [61] [62] [63] [64], demonstrating the essential role of E-cadherin in the establishment of the nonmigratory cell phenotype in some tissue contexts.

A few years ago, a new mechanism of E-cadherin gene downregulation was uncovered, suggesting important roles during tumorigenesis. It is based on an aberrant E-cadherin transcript (nonfunctional RNA), lacking exon 11, that results in a frameshift, premature termination codon and upregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [65] (See [46]). These RNA transcripts are degraded by a nonsense-mediated decay pathway that is activated in all mammalian cells [66] [67] [68]. This E-cadherin gene inactivation mechanism in turn, results in fewer correctly spliced transcripts and so, a decreased E-cadherin expression. This alternative splicing also occurs in normal nonmalignant B lymphocytes, but the degree of exon 11 splicing in CLL is markedly upregulated [65]. Subsequently, Sharma et al. [69] found that this E-cadherin miss-splicing mechanism is activated in human tumor tissues, including breast, prostate and head and neck cancer, expressing the exon 11-skipped transcripts. Moreover, in tumor, both E-cadherin gene promoter methylation and SFRS2 splicing factor upregulation are involved in the E-cadherin miss-splicing [69].

These evidences support E-cadherin roles on control of the cell adhesion and motility, as well as, its position of a tumor/metastasis suppressor gene.

3. E-Cadherin Dysfunction in Ontogenetic Development

3.1. E-Cadherin Dysfunction in Mammal Development

During mammalian pre-implantation, E-cadherin mediates the compaction process and blastocyst formation, being an important player for the maintenance and function of epithelial cell layers at various stages [70] [71] [72]. Afterwards, the cadherin switching—downregulation of E-cadherin and its replacement by N-cadherin, occurs during gastrulation and neurulation (also in tumorigenesis) [73] [74].

Homozygous CDH1-knockout mouse embryos die around the time of implantation, owing to the inability to form trophectodermal epithelium [75] [76].
Therefore, the conditional inactivation has been used to evaluate the function of E-cadherin during embryogenesis and organogenesis in mammary gland [77], skin [78] [79] [80] [81], and thyroid gland [82]. Some of these studies demonstrate that the postnatal loss of E-cadherin in mice epidermal keratinocytes results in the absence of AJs and improper cell differentiation, leading to progressive loss of hair follicles [78]. Others result in hyperplasia and hair follicle loss, as well as compensatory elevation of P-cadherin [79]. Notably, a more severe phenotype is observed when CDH1 is deleted from the mouse epidermis [81]. Loss of E-cadherin in the epidermis results in mice perinatal death, owing to an absence of epidermal barrier function as a consequence of faults in tight junction formation.

Variation of the observed phenotypes has been attributed to differences in the time points at which E-cadherin was inactivated [73]. However, new insights into E-cadherin function in epidermal sheet formation and maintenance have been obtained by combining conditional gene ablation and RNA interference [80]. Loss of mouse E-cadherin results in delayed epidermal sheet formation, and when P-cadherin is also suppressed, the defects extend to adherent junctions, desmosomes, tight junctions and cortical actin dynamics [80]. In the differentiating alveolar epithelial cells of mouse mammary glands, the CDH1 deletion results in massive cell death at the time of parturition, without tumorigenesis [77]. In contrast, the somatic inactivation of E-cadherin and p53 in mice leads to metastatic lobular mammary carcinoma through induction of anoikis resistance and angiogenesis [83]. Apparently, E-cadherin has a role in thyroid gland development but not in the maintenance of follicular cell adhesion [82].

*In vitro* studies show that E-cadherin is not only necessary for AJ formation but also its adhesive activity is crucial for the assembly of other junctional complexes such as desmosomes, gap and tight junctions [84] [85]. These data suggest that initial cell-cell adhesion mediated by the cadherin complex is a key step in setting up other cell junctions, cell polarity, and three-dimensional tissue organization. Surprisingly, *in vivo* studies suggest an E-cadherin signaling role rather than adhesive in the stratified epithelium morphogenesis [81].

### 3.2. E-Cadherin Dysfunction in Anuran Development

To check the preceding hypothesis, we carry out an *in vivo* study by altering phosphorylation level of adhesion protein-complexes in toad tadpoles (*Rhinella arenarum*) via inhibition of protein tyrosine phosphatases [44]. Again, neither disassembly of epidermal cell junctions nor histological changes were observed, although when E-cadherins and β-catenins deeply modified their expression levels. Cells significantly decrease surface E-cadherin and the submembrane β-catenin, also modifying the expression pattern morphology, preserving E-cadherin only in the puncta and increasing the cytosolic and nuclear β-catenin. The histological analysis did not reveal blistering or loss of cellular shape or tissue architecture, suggesting no major roles of E-cadherin in epidermal cell con-
tact formation and maintaining in vivo. A possible explanation for this could be that the loss of E-cadherin would be offset by the transcriptional upregulation of “vicariant” adhesive molecules avoiding cell-cell contact disorganization, such as has been suggested by Roitbak et al. [86]. Interesting, E-cadherin gene inactivation in mouse produces perinatal death due to the inability to maintain a functional epidermal water barrier and reveals that the key tight junctional components were improperly established, resulting in altered tight junctional architecture and epidermal resistance [81]. Surprisingly, desmosomes and AJs were formed normally and no obvious defects in cell contacts were detected. This fact suggests that E-cadherin is specifically required for tight junctions but not for other contact formation. This apparently involves signaling rather than cell contact formation in vivo [81].

In addition, E-cadherin internalization and recycling at cell surface are a major route for remodeling and maintenance control of AJs [87] [88] [89], as well as its degradation via lysosomes [90] [91] [92]. There is evidence that a small pool of cell surface E-cadherin is constitutively and constantly trafficked through endocytosis and recycling. This pool is markedly increased in pre-confluent cells and when cell-cell contacts are weakened or disrupted by distinct mechanisms [87] [88]. Obviously, the decrease of cell surface E-cadherin and their cytosolic absence suggest that it is internalized and then shuttled to lysosomes via AJ-protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) inhibition [44]. Lysosomal targeting of E-cadherin is an important post-transcriptional mechanism to deplete cellular E-cadherin during Src-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transitions [90], whereas surface recycling targeting will be very important during organ and tissue remodeling, such as metamorphosis [45] [46] (Figure 1). Interestingly, phospho-site mutations indicate that dephosphorylation of specific Ser/Thr residues in the N-terminal domain of p120-catenin mediates adhesion activation mediated by E-cadherins [21]. Thus, physiological regulation of the adhesive state of E-cadherin involves physical and/or conformational changes across the membrane.

3.3. Animal Developmental Timing and E-Cadherin Functional Activity

Substantial differences found on results between in vitro and in vivo studies, as well as on the time points at which E-cadherin is evaluated during morphogenesis and organogenesis, clearly suggest that E-cadherin and their linking-catenins have tissue- and cell context-specific roles depending of on animal-developmental timing. Thus, blocking antibodies against E-cadherin and catenins provoked notable alterations of early development [93] [94] [95]. Tail-bud embryos of Rhinella arenarum toad treated with anti-E-cadherin did not exhibit significant developmental delay, but suffer severe alterations, including shortening and folding of neural tube and notochord, deformed and ectopic pharynx and segmented somitogenic mesoderm, globular tail, malformed ear and eye vesicles,
and apparent absence of heart tube and gills. Surprisingly, the epidermis seems to be normally developed [94]. Although E-cadherin is an epithelial marker, these results suggest differential roles of E-cadherin into epidermal ectoderm, neuroectoderm, endoderm and mesoderm, during early development. This is so, that in vitro and in vivo, E-cadherin maintains the pluripotency of embryonic stem cells [96]-[100].

In the Rhinella arenarum toad, it has been proposed that the epidermal and digestive tract E-cadherins establish exploring contacts at stage 15, transient contacts that increase from stage 17 onwards, and it turns into a zippering framework structure from stage 25 onwards, which coincides with the transition from embryonic into larval life [44] [45]. From stage 25 onwards, this framework increases and becomes organized in the puncta contacts between two or more cells. Even though, a drastic skin remodeling by the disassembling and reassembling of adhesive contacts is produced at the metamorphic climax, E-cadherin expression in cell boundaries is stable, when live larval, apoptotic larval, and live preadult cells coexist [44] [45] [46]. Functionally, the quick disassembly and the weak force associated at cadherin EC1 interaction could be advantageous in the early exploration phase of junctional remodeling that must occur during the establishment of the first tissue patterns [101] [102]. Afterwards, in the development, the continuous pattern and puncta observed could represent steady contacts, where the interaction between all cadherin-ectodomains should generate the force to stabilize the new tissue structure formed, which must be able to support strong tensions and deformations [44] [101] [103] [104]. It is known that the strong adhesion foundation by cadherins depends on their association with the actin cytoskeleton, a connection mediated by β- or γ- and α-catenin [105], and on their surface stabilization mediated by p-120-ctn [89]. Some studies demonstrate that the phosphorylation of β-catenin reduces their affinity for E-cadherin by approximately 85% [106] and increases the free cytosolic pool of β-catenin, regulating its function as a signaling molecule during epithelial cell migration [107]. Additionally, it is known that to reach a stable manner, it is necessary both to maintain a dephosphorylated pool of β-catenin as well as, low levels of free cytoplasmic β-catenin [108]. This state can be accomplished both by recruitment of active PTPs, and/or β-catenin-destruction multiprotein complexes [106] [109]. PTP inhibition promotes tyrosine phosphorylation of zonula adherens proteins, increasing the movement of macromolecules and neutrophils across the endothelium barrier [110]. Opening of the paracellular pathway theoretically permits disengagement of homophilically bound VE-cadherin ectodomains and lateral mobility in the lipid bilayer as a VE-cadherin–catenin complex. This would permit more dynamic and efficient zonula adherens disassembly/reassembly in response to rapidly changing physiological demands [109]. In contrast, PTP inhibition caused E-cadherin-disappearance of both membrane and cytosol suggesting lysosomal targeting, and β-catenin mobilization from AJs and activation of nuclear signal pathway [44]. Therefore, the mechanisms by which the tyrosine phosphorylation state of pro-
teins of the *zonula adherens* act regulating both cadherin function and concentration remain poorly understood.

### 3.4. Thyroid Hormones Influence in the E-Cadherin Cell Activity

Searching for some of those physiological demands, which control the cell surface E-cadherin function and concentration, as well as some response to this dilemma, we analyzed the response to 3,5,3’-triiodothyronine (T3), a key hormone of vertebrate development, of E-cadherin, β-, α- and p120-catenin, and small proteins G. We found morphometric and molecular evidences of positive gene control exerted by T3 on E-cadherin expression, as well as its cytoskeleton connecting proteins β- and α-catenin, and Rac1 in gut epithelial cell during anuran metamorphosis [45] [46] [111] [112]. Other studies also shown direct transcriptional upregulation of the mouse β-catenin gene by THs [113], and expression induction of type 2 deiodinase and E-cadherin by T3 [114].

Although when THs have a key role in the development of anurans, recently, it has been established that TH signaling is involved not only in development and homeostasis but also in cancer susceptibility in the mouse intestine [115]. Thus, in intestine, TRα1 binds directly both in vitro and in *vivo*, to β-catenin gene-intron 1 specific TRE sequence (TRE-int1) increasing its expression [113] ([Figure 1](#)). This positive control is an autonomous way and is parallel to positive regulation of proliferation-controlling genes such as type D cyclins and c-myc, which are well-known targets of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [113] ([Figure 2](#)). Moreover, Sirakov and Plateroti [115] shown in turn, that the increase of β-catenin/Tcf4 is correlated with reduction of TRα1 transcriptional activity on its target genes, and also that TRα1 activates and synergizes Wnt pathway, inducing crypt cell proliferation and promoting tumorigenesis [116]. Additionally, Guigon *et al.* [117] demonstrated a direct repression of the β-catenin gene by liganded TRβ through interaction with negative TREs located in the CTNNB1 promoter ([Figure 2](#)). This trans-repression is mediated by interaction between TRβ with Retinoid X Receptor (RXRβ), and binding of complexes to TREs located in the human CTNNB1 promoter between −807 and −772 and consisting of two hexamers separated by 14 nucleotides. Thus, the liganded TRβ acts as a tumor suppressor via expression inhibition of a potent tumor promoter, the CTNNB1 gene.

Even though TH signaling controls the proliferation of the intestinal epithelial progenitors in both amphibians and mammals, it has been suggested that in contrast to mammals, the TH control on the Wnt/β-catenin pathway appears to dilute in amphibians [115] [118]. However, our studies in anuran gut (*Rhinella arenarum* and *Xenopus laevis*) suggests an important positive control of β-catenin, in addition to α-catenin, E-cadherin and Rac1 small GTPase, during organ developmental remodeling [45] [46] [111] [112].

These and other data show a complex molecular network controlling tissue homeostasis. In mammals, THs also exert profound effects on fetal epidermal
Figure 2. TH signaling is involved in development, homeostasis and cancer susceptibility in the mouse intestine. E-cadherin trans-interaction triggers activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt-protein kinase B pathway bound to β-catenin, generating phosphatidylinositol-(3,4,5)-triphosphate (PIP3), recruitment of guanine nucleotide exchange factors, activation of Rac1 or Cdc42 and Akt, and reduction of Rho activation. In addition, TRα or TRβ forms a cytoplasmic complex with the p85 subunit of PI3K, inducing protein kinase B/Akt nuclear translocation and inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway through its interaction and consequent sequestration of β-catenin. The process results in down-regulation of cell proliferation. Simultaneously, T3 binding to TRs causes co-repressors are displaced and co-activator proteins are recruited to the ligand-bound TR complex, facilitating T3-dependent activation of the target genes. Besides, THs also exert rapid nongenomic actions that are initiated at the cell membrane mediated by integrin αvβ3, activating of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) intracellular cascade, and thus modulating the membrane potential and actin cytoskeletal components anchored at the cell membrane. TH-activated MAPK, in turn, can rapidly translocate to the nucleus inducing serine phosphorylation of TRs, inducing angiogenesis or tumor cell proliferation. Nuclear targets for phosphorylated TRs include the transcription factors p53, STAT1a and STAT3, E-cadherin, β- and α-catenin, and TRα1 bind to β-catenin gene-intron 1. This positive control is parallel to that on type D cyclins and c-myc, which are known targets of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway. In turn, the increase of β-catenin/Tcf4 is correlated with reduction of TRα1 transcriptional activity on its target genes, and TRα1 activates and synergizes Wnt pathway, inducing crypt cell proliferation and promoting tumorigenesis. Opposite exist a direct repression of the β-catenin gene by liganded TRβ through interaction with negative TREs located in the CTNNB1 promoter.
differentiation, barrier formation, hair growth, sebum production, wound healing, epidermal oxygen consumption, keratinocyte proliferation, and keratin gene expression [119]-[125]. Both in rat and mouse liver, in vitro and in vivo administration of T3 lead to an increased β-catenin cytoplasmic stabilization and nuclear translocation, with concomitant increase in cyclin-D1 expression via β-catenin-TCF4. In contrast, liver from T3-treated mice did not exhibit changes in Ctnnb1 expression, but increased the β-catenin phosphorylation at Ser675, a downstream event of protein kinase A (PKA) pathway [126]. These results suggest T3 action on receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) of cell membrane—possibly EGFR, insulin receptor IGF-1, etc. PKA activation, in turn, phosphorylates β-catenin for nuclear translocation and binding with TCF4, thereby modulating gene transcription, such as cyclin-D1 gene and others (Figure 2).

TH treatment also causes astrocytes differentiation through both an initial activation of PKA, with a peak activity at 2 h, falling back to basal levels thereafter and a biphasic response on the cellular phospho-MAP kinase (p-MAPK or p-ERK) levels [127]. The induction was accompanied by a parallel increase in phospho-CREB activity, which, however, persisted at the end of the astroglia cells transformation [127]. Moreover, E-cadherin expression is highly and postnatally regulated in the peripheral nervous system in concert with the N-cadherin disappearance and the development of myelinating Schwann cell lineage. Thus, E-cadherins maintain the structural integrity of noncompact myelin regions. The molecular signal that regulates the cadherin switch in Schwann cell is unclear but has been demonstrated that the axonal effect is mediated through cAMP-PKA activation-dependent of RTK activation [128].

Interesting, human RTK proteins are classified into 20 subfamilies based upon structural features in their extracellular regions, which include the cadherin domains, cysteine-rich domains, immunoglobulin-like domains, leucine-rich domains, Kringle domains, fibronectin type III repeats, discoidin I-like domains, acidic domains, and EGF-like domains [129].

Ligand binding to RTKs stimulates lateral contacts between a pair of EGFR molecules, resulting in EGFR dimerization mediated by interactions between extracellular regions, transmembrane domains, and cytoplasmic regions resulting in stimulation of tyrosine kinase activity and autophosphorylation by an intermolecular process [130]. RTKs recruit a variety of signaling molecules that stimulate the activities of a variety of intracellular signaling pathways including the RAS/MAPK, the PI-3K/Akt, and the Jak2/STAT pathways, among others [130].

It is known that EGF concentration is upregulated by exogenous T3 and developmentally regulated in mouse [131] and rat [132], showing even sex- and tissue-dependent opposite levels [131]. In rat, during the postnatal period, the TRβ-mRNA levels first, and EGF-mRNA levels, afterwards, show a dramatical increase [132]. However, whereas the neonatal T4 treatment augmented the mRNA levels of EGF, it decreased the levels of EGF-receptor and did not signif-
icantly affect the levels of mRNA for TR. In other studies, T3 induces the expression of full-length EGFR-mRNA and accelerates their turnover rate, apparently because the 3’ end of full-length EGFR-mRNA has a role in their destabilization by T3, controlling cell proliferation. Results suggest that the mode of action of TH mediates the growth promoting and developmental effects by the transient induction of full-length EGF receptor, which is 3’ truncated in hepatoma cells [133]. In contrast, T3 could operate as a cell proliferative agent through a mechanism involving an autocrine/paracrine EGF/EGFR-dependent tyrosine kinase regulation [134].

E-cadherin, in turn, can negatively regulate, in an adhesion-dependent manner, the ligand-dependent activation of divergent classes of RTKs [135], promoting cell differentiation.

It is evident that a cross talking between E-cadherin, EGF/EGFR, T3/TRs is necessary to modulate opposite and coordinate process such as cell proliferation and differentiation during tissue remodeling. The numerous data and cell context revisions show that T3 and its nuclear receptors modify expression of different genes/proteins involved in cell cycle control, from growth factors (such as EGF and TGF-β) to cell surface receptors (EGFR, E-cadherin), as well as proteins acting at the cell membrane (Ras), various transcription factors (c-Fos, c-Myc, E2F1, β-catenin, p53), cyclins, Cip/Kip family of cdk2 inhibitors, and p53 inhibitor Mdm2 [45] [46] [113] [136]. Therefore, the function of THs and their receptors on cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis/survival, adhesion and migration is not homogenous, because it strongly depends on the cell type, its developmental state (progenitor or differentiated), its pathophysiological state (normal or tumor cell), and the so-called “tissue and cellular context”.

Although TH-dependent processes are highly coordinated, these are not necessarily synchronized in all tissues, and the proper function of the organism requires tissue-specific changes in TH action. These varying local requirements cannot be governed by global mechanisms such as an alteration of thyroid gland function or by modulation of hormone concentrations at plasma level, but instead require tissue-specific regulation, such as those mediated by deiodinases. Thus, the activation of the β-catenin/TCF complex and type 3 deiodinase (D3) expression, a selenoenzyme that inactivates T3, have been detected frequently in tumors [114]. Further, D3 is a direct transcriptional target of the β-catenin/TCF complex, increasing its expression in human intestinal adenomas and carcinomas, compared to healthy intestinal tissue [114]. Experimental attenuation of β-catenin reduces D3 levels and induces the type 2 deiodinase (D2), the D3 antagonist that converts T4 into active T3, thereby increasing T3-dependent transcription. In the absence of D3, a T3 excess causes the reduction of cell proliferation and promotes differentiation in cultured cells and in mouse xenograft models. This seems to occur via induction of E-cadherin, which sequester β-catenin at the plasma membrane promoting cell differentiation (Figure 1 and Figure 2). These results suggest that deiodinases could be at the interface between the
β-catenin and the TH pathways. Dentice and coworkers sustain that the synchronized regulation of intracellular T3 concentration is a route by which the multiple effects of β-catenin are generated and may be targeted to reduce the oncogenic effects of it in intestinal cells.

Recently, we have implemented a murine model of colorectal cancer (CRC), in which four weeks post-induction, it is verified a slight increase of E-cadherin mRNA level, a significant decrease of β-catenin mRNA level and an almost constant α-catenin mRNA level. Simultaneously, an extremely significant increase in RhoA mRNAs is produced, suggesting loss or weakening of adhesive bonds mediated by cadherin E. In vivo treatment with L-T4 does not seem to greatly influence the transcription of the genes responsible for cell adhesion mediated by E-cadherin-catenins, whereas impacted on RhoA, Rap1 and Cdc42 expression. Conversely, L-T4 produced a significant and very significant decrease in RTα and RTβ respectively. While the cell differentiation marker (intestinal alkaline phosphatase) showed no changes, suggesting the maintenance of the intestinal phenotype at this stage of the CRC, there was a significant decrease in the PCNA and integrin α5β3 mRNAs, suggesting an effective role of L-T4 as pro-differentiating by controlling cell proliferation and migration. However, a striking and extremely significant positive effect on the expression of HIF1A was detected. Bioassays and complementary techniques will be necessary to analyze longer treatment times and the adhesive behavior, which depends on the concentration of cadherin E on the cell surface.

3.5. E-Cadherin in Nontumoral Pathologies

Between pathological states, reduced E-cadherin occurs in gastroesophageal reflux disease, asthma, and eczema, in which contributes to loss of epithelial integrity, impairment of barrier function, and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [137] [138] [139]. E-cadherin binds lymphocyte integrin αEβ7 and regulates the activation and localization of epidermal and intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes [140] [141] [142] [143]. Future studies will contribute to understand E-cadherin roles in immunology state.

4. Conclusion

Although E-cadherin and their partner components have been extensively studied, the majority has hardly been examined in the complexity of in vivo system. Thus, the E-cadherin function/dysfunction presented here should be regarded as a “work in progress”. We strongly believe that the most interesting and important features of E-cadherin-dependent adhesions, consists in acting in a delicate balance between the cell motility inhibition and collective cell migration of cell clusters promotion. In these cellular contexts, thyroid hormones influence the differentiation and metabolic cell state, acting on E-cadherin receptor and gene. Knowing the role of thyroid hormones in the progress of cancer possess is a new challenge in the control of this disease.
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