Pregalactic metal enrichment: The chemical signatures of the first stars
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The emergence of the first sources of light at redshifts of \( z \sim 10–30 \) signaled the transition from the simple initial state of the Universe to one of increasing complexity. Recent progress in our understanding of the formation of the first stars and galaxies, starting with cosmological initial conditions, primordial gas cooling, and subsequent collapse and fragmentation are reviewed. The important open question of how the pristine gas was enriched with heavy chemical elements in the wake of the first supernovae is emphasized. The review concludes by discussing how the chemical abundance patterns conceivably allow us to probe the properties of the first stars, and allow us to test models of early metal enrichment.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the key goals in modern cosmology is to understand the formation of the first generations of stars and the assembly process of the first galaxies. With the advent of the first stars—referred to historically as Population III
The Universe was rapidly transformed into an increasingly complex system, due to the energy and heavy element input from stellar sources and accreting black holes (Barkana and Loeb, 2001; Miralda-Escude, 2003; Bromm and Larson, 2004; Ciardi and Ferrara, 2005). Anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) allow us to probe the state of the Universe 370,000 years after the big bang and provide us with some details of early structure formation. With the best available ground- and space-based telescopes, we can probe cosmic history all the way from the present-day Universe to roughly a billion years after the big bang. In between lies the remaining frontier, and the first stars and galaxies are the signposts of this early, formative epoch.

To simulate the buildup of the first stellar systems, we have to address the feedback from the very first stars on the surrounding intergalactic medium (IGM), and the formation of the second generation of stars out of material that was enriched by the first stellar generation. There are a number of reasons why addressing the feedback from the first stars and understanding second-generation star formation is crucial:

- Over the past 50 years, there has been extraordinary progress in our understanding of the origin and evolution of the chemical elements over cosmic time. But there remains considerable uncertainty on the physical processes that seeded many elements in the first stars.

- The initial burst of Pop III star formation may have been rather brief due to the strong negative feedback effects that likely acted to self-limit this formation mode (Yoshida, Bromm, and Hernquist, 2004; Greif and Bromm, 2006). Second-generation star formation, therefore, may well have been cosmologically dominant compared to Pop III stars. A subset of the second-generation stars with masses \( \lesssim 0.8M_\odot \) must have survived to the present day. These stars provide an indirect window into the Pop III era, as they reflect the enrichment from a single, or at most a small multiple of supernova (SN) events (Beers and Christlieb, 2005; Karlsson, 2005, 2006; Karlsson and Gustafsson, 2005; Tumlinson, 2006; Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm, 2007; Salvadori, Schneider, and Ferrara, 2009; Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008). By scrutinizing their chemical abundance patterns, we can extract empirical constraints which we apply to supercomputer simulations, which in turn allow us to derive theoretical abundance yields to be compared with the data.

- The first steps in the hierarchical buildup of structure provide us with a simplified laboratory for studying galaxy formation. Did the reionization epoch influence the subsequent evolution of galaxies? What was the role of galactic winds in the first galaxies? Did star formation occur in bursts, or in a steady, self-regulated mode? How were the first nuclear black holes seeded? We can probe these formative times by reconstructing the conditions in the first galaxies from the chemical signatures in the most ancient stars.

Existing and planned observatories, such as the Hubble Space Telescope, the 8–10 m class telescopes, and the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), planned for launch in 2018, yield data on stars and quasars less than a billion years after the big bang. The ongoing Swift gamma-ray burst mission provides us with a window into massive star formation at the highest observable redshifts (Lamb and Reichart, 2000; Bromm and Loeb, 2002, 2006). Measurements of the near-IR cosmic background radiation, in terms of both the spectral energy distribution and the angular fluctuations provide additional constraints on the overall energy production due to the first stars (Santos, Bromm, and Kamionkowski, 2002; Magliocchetti, Salvaterra, and Ferrara, 2003; Dwek, Arendt, and Krennrich, 2005; Kassimbly et al., 2005; Fernandez and Komatsu, 2006). Focusing on the nearby Universe, the Gaia mission will provide six-dimensional phase-space information on no less than \( 1 \times 10^9 \) stars in the Milky Way and projects like HERMES, APOGEE, LAMOST, and the Southern Sky Survey will measure detailed chemical abundances for millions of Galactic stars, out of which some fraction will likely probe the very first metal enrichment phase. Understanding the formation of the first stars and galaxies is thus of great interest to observational studies conducted both at high redshifts and in our local Galactic neighborhood.

In this review, we focus on the interplay between primordial star formation and pregalactic metal enrichment, since it provides one of the main feedback mechanisms that shaped the early IGM (Madau, Ferrara, and Rees, 2001). The chemical feedback from the first supernovae (SNe) had a far-reaching impact on early cosmic history (Ciardi and Ferrara, 2005). Our present understanding is that the character of star formation changed from the early, high-mass dominated Pop III mode to the more normal, lower-mass Pop II mode once a critical level of enrichment had been reached, the so-called critical metallicity, \( Z_{\text{crit}} \sim 10^{-4}Z_\odot \) (Omukai, 2000; Bromm et al., 2001; Bromm and Loeb, 2003; Schneider et al., 2003). It is then crucially important to understand the topology of early metal enrichment, and when a certain region in the Universe becomes supercritical. In general, metals produced by Pop III SNe will initially be

1In this review, we make a clear distinction between a chemical fingerprint and a chemical signature (see Sec. IV). The term chemical fingerprint is used when the elemental abundances provide direct evidence of a specific reaction mechanism: the \( r \) process or triple-\( \alpha \) process, for example. This review is concerned with identifying the chemical signatures of the first stars in the surface abundances of the oldest stellar populations. A chemical signature is inherently more complex because the elemental yields expelled from a dying star are likely to depend on more than one physical process. The signature then reflects multiple parameters, such as stellar mass, rotation, explosion energy, and the amount of fallback onto the remnant.

2http://www.rssd.esa.int/gaia.
3http://www.aao.gov.au/hermes.
4http://www.sdss3.org/surveys/apogee.php.
5http://www.lamost.org.
6http://www.msso.anu.edu.au/skymapper.
dispersed into the IGM, and a fraction of them will later be reincorporated into more massive systems during bottom-up structure formation. The metal-enriched protogalaxies then drive further heavy element synthesis and ejection into the intergalactic medium on a more massive scale. They are also candidate drivers of the early hydrogen reionization that has been inferred from the relatively large optical depth in the CMB polarization measured with the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP). This second wave of metal injection pollutes a larger fraction of the Universe, and sets the stage for pervasive gravitational fragmentation in those dark halos that have avoided complete photoevaporation in the emerging cosmic ionizing background.

We now introduce our working definition of what we mean by a “first star.” A Pop III star contains no heavy elements, such that its metallicity is $Z = 0$. A Pop II star, on the other hand, has $Z > Z_{\text{crit}}$. In principle, there could have been stars with $0 < Z < Z_{\text{crit}}$, but none of them, by the definition of critical metallicity, would have survived until today. More importantly, such hypothetical stars were extremely rare, if current cosmological simulations are on the right track. Specifically, those simulations are indicating that even a single Pop III SN can enrich its surroundings to values above $Z_{\text{crit}}$, or that gas with $Z < Z_{\text{crit}}$ resides in low-density regions of the IGM, where star formation does not occur. Once we learn more about the topology of early metal mixing, we may wish to revisit the definition for Pop III, but for now, our terminology should work well. For the record, no metal-free star has been observed in any of the major surveys that seek to identify the most metal-poor stars at the present epoch, i.e., a spectrum exhibiting H absorption lines with no trace metals [T. Beers (private communication)]. The lack of metal-free stars fits within a developing paradigm in which the first stars were very massive and short lived (see Sec. II), although if such an object is eventually found, there will be no shortage of theories to support its existence.

A prime objective for current astrophysics is to predict the properties of the first galaxies with the goal of detecting them with the JWST or an extremely large telescope (ELT). What is the required minimum stellar and, by extension, virial mass in order for them to be detectable? This will determine the number of sources accessible through deep field campaigns (Pawlik, Milosavljević, and Bromm, 2011). In turn, it will depend on the stellar population mix within the first galaxies. Is the fine-grain mixing of the metals that fall into the center of the galactic potential well incomplete, so that Pop III stars would form simultaneously with Pop I or Pop II stars? Or is the turbulent mixing so efficient as to wipe out all remaining pockets of primordial gas? Thus, arguably, the most important ingredient that defines the physical state of the first galaxies is their metal content. This is clearly a very complex problem. Recently, it has become possible to attack it with numerical simulations, utilizing the latest breakthroughs in algorithm development and supercomputing power.

Here we raise a number of issues that are highly controversial in the general literature, and appear in need of clarification. Because of recent developments in galactic dynamics, we argue that it is not obvious where astronomers should look for the most ancient stars. Published numerical simulations are already unclear on whether the oldest stars are solely the preserve of the inner bulge (White and Springel, 2000; Bland-Hawthorn and Peebles, 2006) or spread over the entire Galaxy (Scannapieco et al., 2006; Brook et al., 2007).

Given that galaxies appear to grow inside out (Zolotov et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 2010), it is often assumed that the majority of these stars must be confined to the inner bulge, consistent with its age (Zoccali et al., 2006). But regardless of the galaxy formation model, the long-term dynamical evolution of the galaxy must also be considered, and this has important consequences for our understanding of the early Milky Way (see Sec. V.B).

The uncertainty in the birth site, and therefore the birth process, leads us to question another tenet of stellar astrophysics. Does it necessarily follow that targeting the most metal-poor stars is an efficient route to learning about the yields of the first stars? This needs some clarification. A low value of $[\text{Fe}/H]$ is no guarantee that a star is ancient since it may reflect environmental conditions (e.g., low star formation efficiency, shallow potential well). But radioactivity in some extremely metal-poor stars provides clear independent evidence that many are indeed ancient (Sneden, Cowan, and Gallino, 2008).

Conversely, a high value of $[\text{Fe}/H]$ does not indicate that a star is of young or intermediate age. Supersolar abundances are detected in sources out to the highest detectable redshifts because the dynamical times are very short in the cores of galaxies (Hamann and Ferland, 1999; Freeman and Bland-Hawthorn, 2002; Savaglio et al., 2012). It is now well established that the bulge, the halo, and all dwarf galaxies show a spread in $[\text{Fe}/H]$ and comprise stellar populations that are 10 Gyr or older, equivalent to a formation redshift prior to $z \approx 2$. Globular clusters, which are some of the most ancient systems with well determined ages, exhibit a bimodal distribution in metallicity in the range $-2.5 \leq [\text{Fe}/H] \leq 0$ (Brodie and Strader, 2006). These are unlikely to retain a clear chemical signature of the first generation of stars (Larsen, Strader, and Brodie, 2012).

Given the large errors in the ages of old stars, the relative fractions of these stars that formed before, during, or after the reionization epoch are an open question. In other words, there are no obvious stellar age-metallicity relations that we can appeal to at the present time to help us unravel the sequence of events in the early Universe. We believe that this complex situation can only be sorted out with a far greater understanding of the origin and evolution of the chemical elements.

Our working definition of what constitutes a “first star” needs further clarification regarding the timing of star formation. A relatively small number of widely dispersed stars (and conceivably star clusters) triggered the reionization epoch in the redshift interval $z \approx 15–20$. Evidently, these stars belong to the first stellar population, i.e., to Pop III. But we can extend the temporal definition of a first star to include those that were the first to enrich their immediate environment regardless of epoch. Most of the gas confined by collapsing dark matter will not have experienced any form of chemical enrichment. The stars that formed in these regions during or after the reionization epoch are the first to enrich the

\[ [A/B] = \log_{10}(n_A/n_B)_{\odot} - \log_{10}(n_A/n_B)_{\odot}, \] where $n_X$ is the number density of element $X$. 
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local gas. This stellar population is identified by location rather than by epoch but since it specifically is formed out of virtually metal-free gas, it too is ascribed to Pop III. As we shall see, however, due to the all-pervasive ionizing field, the two subpopulations are thought to have been physically distinct.

It is not known at what stage in cosmic time the last vestiges of pristine gas finally succumbed to stellar enrichment, but it is not inconceivable that some Pop III stars formed a billion years after the reionization epoch. This raises the tantalizing prospect that we can identify such regions in direct observations of the intermediate and high-redshift Universe (Scannapieco, Schneider, and Ferrara, 2003; Johnson, 2010). We anticipate that Pop III stars will have distinct chemical signatures that can be identified in large stellar surveys.

We direct the interested reader to excellent reviews on early star formation from which we have drawn inspiration. Bromm et al. (2009) discuss the state-of-the-art numerical simulations of primordial star formation and the assembly of the first galaxies. Beers and Christlieb (2005) discuss the search for, and detailed observations of, the most metal-poor stars in the Galaxy, while Tolstoy, Hill, and Tosi (2009) focus on the kinematics and chemical abundances of stars in dwarf galaxies, and Helmi (2008) describes the formation of the Galactic stellar halo and its connection to dwarf galaxies. In this review, we focus on the chemical signatures of the first generations of SNe. These signatures provide us with an important empirical probe to test our theories of high-redshift star formation with observations of metal-poor stars in our cosmic neighborhood (Tumlinson, 2006; Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008; Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm, 2009), an approach often called stellar archaeology or near-field cosmology (Bland-Hawthorn and Freeman, 2000).

II. FORMATION OF THE FIRST STARS

The first stars in the Universe likely formed roughly 200 Myr after the big bang (Bromm, Coppi, and Larson, 1999, 2002; Abel, Bryan, and Norman, 2002), when the primordial gas was first able to cool and collapse into dark matter minihalos with masses of the order of $10^6 M_\odot$ (see Fig. 1). These stars are believed to have been predominantly very massive, with masses of $\sim (30-100) M_\odot$, owing to the limited cooling ability of primordial gas, which in minihalos could only cool through the radiation from H$_2$ molecules. While the initial conditions for the formation of these stars are, in principle, known from precision measurements of cosmological parameters (Komatsu et al., 2011), Pop III star formation may have occurred in different environments which may have allowed for different modes of star formation. Indeed, it has become evident that Pop III star formation may actually consist of two distinct modes: one where the primordial gas collapses into a dark matter (DM) minihalo, and one where the metal-free gas becomes significantly ionized prior to the onset of gravitational runaway collapse (Johnson and Bromm, 2006). This latter mode of primordial star formation was originally termed “Pop II.5” (Mackey, Bromm, and Hernquist, 2003; Greif and Bromm, 2006; Johnson and Bromm, 2006). To more clearly indicate that both modes pertain to metal-free star formation, we follow here the new classification scheme (Johnson, Greif, and Bromm, 2008; McKee and Tan, 2008), in which the minihalo Pop III mode is termed Pop III.1, whereas the second mode (formerly Pop II.5) is now called Pop III.2.

A. Population III: The first mode

The formation of the very first stars, Pop III.1 in the new terminology, can be understood with two basic ingredients: $\Lambda$CDM structure formation and the atomic and molecular physics of the primordial hydrogen and helium. Here $\Lambda$CDM refers to a Universe composed of cold dark matter (CDM), but dominated by dark energy, possibly Einstein’s cosmological constant ($\Lambda$). It has been recognized for some time that within variants of CDM, minihalos provide the first star-forming sites, where cooling relies on molecular hydrogen (Couchman and Rees, 1986; Haiman, Thoul, and Loeb, 1996; Tegmark et al., 1997). The properties of the dark matter must be drastically modified before one sees significant deviations from this robust basic prediction, such as assuming warm dark matter models, or self-annihilating dark matter (Yoshida et al., 2003; Gao and Theuns, 2007; Ripamonti, Mapelli, and Ferrara, 2007; Spolyar, Freese, and Gondolo, 2008). The question then is: What kind of stars emerge during the H$_2$-facilitated collapse of the pure H-He gas into the minihalo DM potential wells? This problem, although still beyond our observational horizon, is much simpler than the corresponding star-formation process in the local, well-observed Universe, where the environment of giant molecular clouds is extremely complex. Indeed, prior to the formation of the first stars, the Universe had no heavy elements, and therefore no dust to complicate the physics of cooling and opacity. It was also likely that magnetic fields did not yet play a dynamically significant role [but see Schleicher et al. (2009, 2010)]. Finally, the early post-recombination Universe was void of external ionizing radiation fields, and strong drivers of turbulence, such that the collapse into the minihalos proceeded in a rather quiescent fashion. The formation of the first stars inside minihalos, where we know the initial conditions as given by $\Lambda$CDM cosmology, and where we have a complete understanding of the relevant physical processes, thus provides us with a well-posed problem, amenable to rigorous numerical studies. Since the late 1990s, a number of groups (Bromm, Coppi, and Larson, 1999, 2002; Abel, Bryan, and Norman, 2000, 2002; Nakamura and Umemura, 2001; Yoshida et al., 2006; O’Shea and Norman, 2007, 2008) simulated the formation of the first stars with sophisticated numerical algorithms, utilizing either smoothed-particle hydrodynamics or adaptive-mesh refinement techniques. These calculations converged on a number of main results, leading to the current “standard model” of first star formation, although important open questions remain (see below).

The most important result, where there is general agreement, is that Pop III.1 stars were predominantly massive. To first order, this can be understood as the consequence of a large Jeans mass in gas that cools only via H$_2$. Prior to undergoing runaway collapse, the primordial gas settles into what is sometimes termed a quasihydrostatic “loitering” state.
This state is characterized by typical values for the temperature and number density, $T_{\text{char}} \approx 200$ K and $n_{\text{char}} \approx 10^{4}$ cm$^{-3}$. Recent numerical simulations indicate that Pop III.1 stars formed with characteristic masses of $M_* \gtrsim 30M_\odot$. It is likely that these stars formed with a range of masses, described by the so-called initial mass function (IMF). The IMF gives the number of stars formed per unit mass, where present-day star formation is often described with a power law, $dN/dm_* \propto m_*^{-x}$, or a sequence of such power laws (Kroupa, 2001). For Pop III, we do not know the complete functional form of the underlying IMF with any certainty. There is, however, a simpler, first-order way to characterize the outcome of the star-formation process by focusing on the mass average, $M_* \propto \int m_* dN$. This mass is what we mean by “characteristic mass” as it describes the typical result of star formation, with the understanding that some stars form with lower and some with higher masses. It has, however, not yet been possible to self-consistently simulate the assembly of an entire Pop III star, starting from realistic cosmological initial conditions. Recently, such ab initio calculations (Yoshida, Omukai, and Hernquist, 2008) traced the evolution up to the point where a small protostellar core has formed at the center of a minihalo. This initial hydrostatic core has a mass, $m_* \sim 10^{-2}M_\odot$, very similar to present-day, Pop I, protostellar seeds. The subsequent growth of the protostar through accretion, however, is believed to proceed in a markedly different way (Bromm and Larson, 2004). In the early Universe, protostellar accretion rates are believed to have been much larger, due to the higher temperatures in the star-forming clouds, which in turn is a
consequence of the limited ability of the primordial gas to cool below the ~100 K accessible to H$_2$ cooling. The higher accretion rates, together with the absence of dust grains and the correspondingly reduced radiation pressure that could in principle shut off the accretion, conspire to yield heavier final stars in the early Universe. Estimates for the masses thus built up are somewhat uncertain, but a rough range is $m_s \sim (30-100) M_\odot$. It is possible that this range may extend to lower as well as higher values, depending on the details of the still very uncertain primordial IMF. The current frontier in numerical simulations attempts to carry out fully self-consistent radiation-hydrodynamical calculations of the Pop III accretion process, taking into account effects of negative protostellar feedback and of centrifugal support in the accretion disk around the first stars (McKee and Tan, 2008). First attempts have now been made (Hosokawa et al., 2011; Stacy, Greif, and Bromm, 2012), suggesting the range of masses as given above.

Although such fully self-consistent simulations are not yet available, an important new development is that Pop III.1 stars may have typically formed as members of a binary or small multiple system (Turk, Abel, and O’Shea, 2009; Stacy, Greif, and Bromm, 2010). In simulations with sink particles, where the evolution can be followed beyond the initial collapse of the first high-density peak, one sees the emergence of a compact disk around the first protostar (Clark, Glover, Smith et al., 2011). This disk is gravitationally unstable and fragments into a dominant binary, possibly with a few more lower-mass companions (see Fig. 2). These simulations have not yet been able to reach the asymptotic end state, where all available mass is either accreted or permanently expelled. The reason is again that radiative feedback processes in partially optically thick material cannot be neglected once a protostar has grown to $10 M_\odot$, when full radiation-hydro simulations are required (Hosokawa et al., 2011; Stacy, Greif, and Bromm, 2012). Currently, the binary statistics of Pop III, regarding quantities like mass ratios, orbital parameters, and overall binary fraction, are not yet known with any certainty. Rapid progress is to be expected, however.

B. Population III: The second mode

While the very first Pop III stars (so-called Pop III.1), with masses of the order of $100 M_\odot$, formed within DM minihalos in which primordial gas cools by H$_2$ molecules alone (Abel, Bryan, and Norman, 2002; Bromm, Coppi, and Larson, 2002), the HD molecule can play an important role in the cooling of primordial gas in situations where the gas experiences substantial ionization. The temperature can then drop well below 200 K. In turn, this efficient cooling may lead to the formation of primordial stars with characteristic masses of the order of $M_s \sim 10 M_\odot$ (Johnson and Bromm, 2006), so-called Pop III.2 stars. In general, the formation of HD, and the concomitant cooling that it provides, is found to occur efficiently in primordial gas which is strongly ionized. This is largely due to the high abundance of electrons which serve as catalyst for molecule formation in the early Universe (Shapiro and Kang, 1987).

Efficient cooling by HD can be triggered within the relic H II regions that surround Pop III.1 stars at the end of their brief lifetimes, owing to the high electron fraction that persists in the gas as it cools and recombines (Nagakura and Omukai, 2005; Johnson, Greif, and Bromm, 2007; Yoshida, Oh et al., 2007). The efficient formation of HD can also take place when the primordial gas is collisionally ionized, such as behind the shocks driven by the first SNe or in the virialization of massive DM halos (Machida et al., 2005; Greif and Bromm, 2006; Johnson and Bromm, 2006; Shchekinov and Vasiliev, 2006). There is a critical HD fraction, necessary to

![Fig. 2 (color online). Kinematics in the disklike configuration in the center of a minihalo (cf. Fig. 1). Asterisks denote the location of the most massive sink, crosses of the second most massive sink, and diamonds of the other sinks. Left: Density projection in the $x$-$z$ plane after 5000 yr, shown together with the velocity field. Velocities are measured with respect to the center of mass of the gas distribution. Right: Same as above, but in the orthogonal ($x$-$y$) plane. It can be seen how an ordered, nearly Keplerian, velocity structure has been established within the disk. Adapted from Stacy, Greif, and Bromm, 2010.](image-url)
allow the primordial gas to cool to the temperature floor set by the CMB at high redshifts, \( X_{\text{HD}} = n_{\text{HD}}/n \sim 10^{-8} \), where \( n_{\text{HD}} \) is the number density of HD molecules and \( n \) that of all particles. Except for the gas collapsing into the virtually un-ionized minihalos, the fraction of HD typically increases quickly enough to play an important role in the cooling of the gas, allowing the formation of Pop III.2 stars. An interesting environment for Pop III.2 formation may be the so-called atomic cooling halos (Oh and Haiman, 2002). They have been suggested as candidates for the first galaxies to form (Bromm et al., 2009). If these systems manage to remain metal free (Johnson, Greif, and Bromm, 2008), the HD cooling channel will become important in tying temperatures to the CMB (see Fig. 3).

There may thus be a progression in the characteristic masses of the various stellar populations that form in the early Universe. In the wake of Pop III.1 stars formed in DM minihalos, where \( M_\star \sim 30 M_\odot \), Pop III.2 star formation, where \( M_\star \sim 10 M_\odot \), ensues in regions which have been previously ionized, typically associated with relic H II regions left over from massive Pop III.1 stars collapsing to black holes, while even later, when the primordial gas is locally enriched with metals, Pop II stars begin to form (Bromm and Loeb, 2003; Greif and Bromm, 2006). Recent simulations confirm this picture, as Pop III.2 star formation ensues in relic H II regions in well under a Hubble time, while the formation of Pop II stars after the first SN explosions is delayed by more than a Hubble time [Greif et al., 2007; Yoshida, Oh et al., 2007; Yoshida, Omukai, and Hernquist, 2007; but see Whalen et al. (2008)]. Another key question is related to the role of turbulence in shaping the primordial mass function (Clark, Glover, Klessen, and Bromm, 2011). If sufficient turbulence were present, a broad range of fragment masses could result, similar to the power-law extension toward high masses, observed in the present-day IMF.

III. CHEMICAL FEEDBACK

In this section, we discuss the transport and mixing of metals in the gaseous medium between the stars. The efficiency of this mixing is crucial to how, where, and when the transition from Pop III to Pop II star formation occurred. We begin by briefly reviewing the final fate of metal-free stars, stellar nucleosynthesis, and the current status of stellar yield calculations.

A. Final fate of the first stars

Unless a star loses a significant fraction of its mass in a stellar wind, the final fate of the star is predominantly determined by its initial mass (see Fig. 4). Generally speaking, this holds true also for the nucleosynthesis in the star’s interior.
Primordial massive stars should generally have weak stellar winds due to lower atmospheric opacities but this depends critically on whether the outer layers essentially remain metal free during the lifetime of the star (Ekström et al., 2008). Likewise, mass loss driven by nuclear pulsations (Schwarzschild and Hārm, 1959) for metal-free, very massive stars is probably low in most cases (Baraffe, Heger, and Woosley, 2001).

Table I shows, for nonrotating stellar models [however, see Ohkubo et al. (2006) for rotating 500\(M_\odot\) and 1000\(M_\odot\) models], the predicted final fates of massive primordial stars and the remnants that are left behind, for different mass regimes. The various ranges of initial stellar masses should be taken as indicative as they may change if properties like rotation and magnetic fields are shown to be significant for the evolution of metal-free stars (Ekström, Meynet, and Maeder, 2008; Stacy, Bromm, and Loeb, 2011).

At the end of their lives, stars below \(\approx 9\, M_\odot\) commonly undergo a series of deep mixing events where He burning products, typically carbon, are dredged up to the surface. In particular, for metal-free stars below \(\approx 3.5\, M_\odot\), this process is triggered by a mechanism (Fujimoto, Iben, Jr., and Hollowell, 1990) called helium flash-driven deep mixing (He-FDDM) rather than, or in addition to, the third dredge-up (TDU), operating in metal-rich, asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars. Primordial stars \(\approx 3.5\, M_\odot\) may experience TDU, but no He-FDDM, if convective overshoot is able to inject freshly synthesized carbon into the hydrogen-rich outer layer (Chieffi et al., 2001; Siess, Livio, and Lattanzio, 2002). With carbon mixed into the atmosphere, metal-free intermediate-mass stars should be able to develop a dust-driven wind (Mattsson et al., 2008) in a similar fashion to their metal-rich cousins. Consequently, the entire envelope would eventually be blown away and leave behind a white dwarf.

Stars in the mass range \(9 \lesssim m/\, M_\odot < 40\) are believed to explode as core collapse SNe. At the end of hydrostatic burning, stars above \(\approx 10\, M_\odot\) contain an electron degenerate iron core. As the Fe core continues to grow by silicon shell burning, the electron pressure can eventually no longer counterbalance the increasing gravitational pull and the core collapses. An explosive instability develops when the infalling outer layers bounce off the collapsed core (protoneutron star) and are ejected into the interstellar medium (ISM). The details of the explosion mechanism is still a topic of debate (Burrows et al., 2006; Janka et al., 2007). Stars around \((9–10)\, M_\odot\) instead form a degenerate O + Ne + Mg core which may collapse due to rapid electron captures on \(^{20}\text{Ne}\) and \(^{24}\text{Mg}\), prior to the ignition of Ne (Barkat, Reiss, and Rakavy, 1974; Nomoto, 1987). If so, an electron-capture, or ONeMg SN is formed. Alternatively, the star loses its outer layers and forms a white dwarf (Garcia-Berro and Iben, 1994). At the high-mass end of the core-collapse SN regime, \((25–40)\, M_\odot\), the potential energy of the stellar envelope is comparable to the kinetic energy of the explosion and the innermost layers of the star fall back onto the central neutron star which eventually collapses to a black hole (Fryer, 1999).
TABLE I. Final fates and remnants of massive primordial stars for different initial masses.

| Mass range (\(M_\odot\)) | Final fate | Metal ejection | Remnant |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| \(m \leq 9\)              | Planetary nebula\(^a\) | Yes            | White dwarf |
| \(9 \leq m < 10\)         | O/Ne/Mg core-collapse SN\(^a\) | Yes            | Neutron star |
| \(10 \leq m < 25\)        | Fe core-collapse SN\(^a\) | Yes            | Neutron star |
| \(25 \leq m < 40\)        | Weak Fe core-collapse SN\(^a\) (fallback) | Yes            | Black hole |
| \(25 \leq m < 40\)        | Hypernova\(^b\) (fallback) | Yes            | Black hole |
| \(40 \leq m < 100\)       | Direct collapse\(^c\) (no SN) | No             | Black hole |
| \(100 \leq m < 140\)      | Pulsational pair-instability SN\(^a\) (fallback) | No\(^d\)         | Black hole |
| \(140 \leq m < 260\)      | Pair-instability SN\(^a\) | Yes            | No remnant |
| \(260 \leq m \leq 10^5\)  | Direct collapse\(^e\) or core collapse\(^c,d\) (no SN)? | No\(^d\)         | Black hole |
| \(10^5 \leq m\)           | Direct collapse before reaching main sequence\(^d\) | No\(^d\)         | Black hole |

\(^a\)Heger et al. (2003).
\(^b\)Nomoto et al. (2006).
\(^c\)Fryer, Woosley, and Heger (2001).
\(^d\)Ohkubo et al. (2006).

A weak or faint SN is formed if the black hole is nonrotating. For rotating black holes, however, a much stronger explosion may instead be expected (Fryer and Heger, 2000; Nomoto et al., 2006) in the form of a hypernova (HN). SN1998bw (Galama et al., 1998; Iwamoto et al., 1998) and SN2003lw (Mazzali et al., 2006) are two examples of unusually energetic SNe commonly ascribed to the HN branch. Stars with masses above 40\(M_\odot\) collapse directly to a black hole [see, however, Ekström et al. (2008)].

For Pop III stars with masses \(\geq 100M_\odot\), the pair instability kicks in after central carbon burning, driven by the creation of electron-positron pairs. Below \(\sim 140M_\odot\), this instability causes the star to pulsate violently. While the outer layers are lost in SN-like explosions, the star settles down to form a massive Fe core. Eventually, the star collapses quietly to form a black hole. On the other hand, if the star is in the mass range \(140 \leq m/M_\odot < 260\), it will face complete disruption as a result of the pair instability, and no remnant is formed (Heger and Woosley, 2002). In still more massive stars, the energy released from explosive oxygen and silicon burning, caused by the pair instability, is instead used to photodisintegrate the nuclei in the central core. The explosion is halted and a black hole is formed (Fryer, Woosley, and Heger, 2001). As discussed by Fryer, Woosley, and Heger (2001), rotation could, however, change this scenario [see also Ohkubo et al. (2006)] and stars above \(\sim 300M_\odot\) may be able to explode as SNe, in which tremendous amounts of energy exceeding \(10^{54}\) ergs would be released. In contrast, supermassive stars \(\geq 10^5M_\odot\) if these exist, will collapse even before settling down onto the main sequence, owing to a general relativistic instability (Shapiro and Shibata, 2002; Shibata and Shapiro, 2002; Ohkubo et al., 2006).

B. Nucleosynthesis in the first stars

Whether the primordial stars will eject any metals into the ISM at the end of their lives is of utmost importance for the early cosmic chemical enrichment, as well as for how subsequent (Pop II) star formation proceeds. In Sec. IV, we discuss in detail which chemical signatures are observed and what these may be telling us about the Pop III stars and the primordial IMF. In preparation for that section, we briefly review here what possible chemical fingerprints and signatures to expect from primordial stars of various masses. Table I shows in which mass regimes we expect the stars to enrich their surroundings in metals and in which regimes they do not. In principle, stars below roughly 40\(M_\odot\) will be able to eject freshly synthesized material, through SNe or winds. The same goes for stars in the mass range \(140 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 260\), which are completely disrupted as they explode as pair-instability SNe (PISNe). Stars in the range \(40 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 100\) are expected to undergo direct collapse without an explosion, while stars in the pulsational pair-instability SN regime presumably do not eject any elements heavier than helium (Heger and Woosley, 2002). These two groups are therefore omitted in the detailed discussion below. Similarly, stars in the mass range \(260 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 10^5\) are expected not to eject any metals, at least not in nonrotating models, as everything would be swallowed directly by the central black hole before an explosion takes place. However, in the case of rotation, bipolar outflows may develop and significant amounts of metals could possibly be ejected (Ohkubo et al., 2006).

It should be noted that current SN yield calculations are performed without knowledge of the proper explosion mechanism(s). In the literature, various methods such as a piston, energy injection, or enhanced neutrino opacity are used to drive the explosion [see Fryer et al. (2008) for a discussion]. Moreover, due to the unknown amount of angular momentum in the stellar core, the fraction of mass falling back onto the protoneutron star is uncertain and, like the explosion energy and the electron fraction \(Y_e\), is treated as a model parameter. Consequently, yields of elements synthesized in the layers closest to the center of the star, e.g., the Fe peak, are marred by significant uncertainties. Only when the explosion can be treated in a self-consistent way can these issues be resolved. Most models also lack a description of rotation. Meynet and Maeder (2002) showed that the surface abundance of \(^{14}\)N is greatly enhanced in metal-poor, massive stars as a result of rotationally induced mixing [see also Meynet, Ekström, and Maeder (2006) and Hirschi (2007)]. Increased mass loss, due to the increased surface opacity, can further affect the post-main sequence evolution of the stars, and hence the final SN yields. In contrast, it seems unlikely that rotation alone can significantly alter the evolution of...
TABLE II. Nucleosynthetic signatures of massive primordial stars.

| Mass range ($\mathcal{M}_\odot$) | Expl. energy ($\times 10^{51}$ ergs) | Ejected Fe ($\mathcal{M}_\odot$) | Metal enrichment | Nucleosynthetic characteristics |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| $m \leq 9$                       | Wind                                | 0                               | $^7\text{Li}(?)$, C, N, O, Na, Mg, $s$ process | (C + N)/O $> 1$, e.g., [Pb/Ba] $\approx 1.2$ |
| $9 \leq m < 10$                  | $\sim 0.1$                          | $\sim 0.002-0.004$              | Carbon to Fe peak$^a$ | $[\alpha/Fe] \ll 0$ [Mg/Ca] $\ll 0$ |
| $10 \leq m < 25$                 | $\sim 1$                            | $\leq 0.07$                     | Carbon to Fe peak | $[\alpha/Fe] > 0$ Ni, Zn/Ca $\gg 0$, e.g., [Ba/Eu] $\approx -0.6^b$ |
| $25 \leq m < 40$                 | $< 1$                               | $\leq 0.01$                     | Carbon to Fe-peak | $[C, O/Fe] \gg 0$, e.g., [Ba/Eu] $\approx -0.6^b$ |
| $25 \leq m < 40$                 | $\geq 10$                           | $\approx 0.08-0.3$              | Carbon to Fe peak | Larger [Si, S/C, O] Larger [V, Co, Cu, Zn/Fe] Smaller [Mn, Cr/Fe] |
| $40 \leq m < 100$                | No expl.                            | 0                               | ...             | ... |
| $100 \leq m < 140$               | $\leq 1$                            | 0                               | Only H, He (?)   | ... |
| $140 \leq m < 260$               | $\sim 10-10^2$                     | $\approx 0.01-40$               | Carbon to Fe peak | $[\text{Mg}, \text{Si}/\text{Na, Al}] \gg 0$ [Si, S/C] $\sim 1-1.5$ |
| $260 \leq m \leq 10^5$           | $\sim 10^2-10^4$                   | $\sim 5-20$                     | Carbon to Fe peak$^c$ | $[\text{Mg, Si}/\text{Na, Al}] \gg 0$ [C, $\alpha$/Fe] $\ll 0$ [Zn/Fen] $\gg 0$ |
| $10^5 \leq m$                    | No expl.                            | 0                               | ...             | ... |

$^a$Based on a solar metallicity 8.8$\mathcal{M}_\odot$ 1D model (Wanajo et al., 2009).

$^b$Deduced from observations of metal-poor stars (Barklem et al., 2005).

$^c$Ejection of metals may only occur if bipolar jets are generated (Ohkubo et al., 2006).

Pop III massive stars, without invoking anisotropic winds and strong magnetic fields (Ekström, Meynet, and Maeder, 2008).

Crucial for the nucleosynthetic identification of the metal-free stellar population is the uniqueness of its chemical signature. A clear dependence of the Pop III signature on stellar mass, or ranges of masses, provides the means to probe the primordial IMF. Table II shows, for each of the mass regimes given in Table I, the predicted explosion energy (if an explosion occurs), the ejected iron mass, which of the elements are expected to be synthesized and ejected, and the associated characteristic nucleosynthetic signatures, if any. The range of primordial stellar masses as predicted by current theory and simulations corresponds to the second to penultimate rows in the table. It is encouraging that the chemical signatures in column 5 show significant variation with stellar mass. In principle, these signatures can tell us a great deal about the first stars, assuming the models are realistic.

Our working definition of Pop III demands zero metallicity, but we sometimes here refer to work done at higher metallicities, if $Z = 0$ models are not available, or if it can further illustrate the physics involved. In addition, we do not discuss here the important problem of how nucleosynthesis proceeds in Pop III binary stars, or in those with a significant degree of rotation, and refer the interested reader to the specialized literature (Yoon, Cantillo, and Langer, 2008; Maeder and Meynet, 2012; Yoon, Dierks, and Langer, 2012).

1. Asymptotic giant branch stars: $m/\mathcal{M}_\odot \approx 9$

Detailed yields of intermediate-mass stars are in general difficult to estimate due to several poorly constrained physical processes such as overshooting, mixing and dredge-up, and mass loss (Campbell and Lattanzio, 2008). Some things, however, we do know. Intermediate-mass stars cannot be a source of, e.g., iron-peak elements, as they never go beyond the helium burning stage. On the other hand, lighter elements, in particular $^{12}\text{C}$, $^{14}\text{N}$, and $^{16}\text{O}$, are produced efficiently by the CNO cycle and $\alpha$ reactions. These are brought up to the surface by deep mixing processes, specifically the TDU and the He-FDDM [also named dual core flash; see Campbell and Lattanzio (2008)] operating in lower-mass stars. Generally, the C/O ratio$^8$ exceeds unity. Although metal-free stars $\geq 2\mathcal{M}_\odot$ (Siess, Livio, and Lattanzio, 2002) can efficiently convert $^{12}\text{C}$ to $^{14}\text{N}$ through the CN cycle at the base of the convective envelope, which is deep enough to penetrate into the H-burning shell (so-called “hot bottom burning”), the (C + N)/O remains above unity. As a consequence of the presence of carbon (through so-called “carbon injections”) in the H-burning shell, the Pop III low- and intermediate-mass stars continue to evolve as normal AGB stars with thermal pulses similar to their metal-rich counterparts [Siess, Livio, and Lattanzio, 2002; however, see Chieffi and Tornambe (1984) and Fujimoto et al. (1984)]. During these pulses, $^{23}\text{Na}$ and $^{25,26}\text{Mg}$ are produced, as well as neon and some aluminum. Interestingly, $s$-process nucleosynthesis may occur also in primordial stars, despite the absence of heavy seed nuclei, i.e., $^{56}\text{Fe}$. Instead, the isotopes of C, N, O, F, and Ne act as seed nuclei. The relatively large neutron production in these stars results in high neutron-to-seed nuclei ratios which ultimately favors the synthesis of the heaviest $s$-process elements, like Pb and Bi (Busso et al., 2001; Goriely and Siess, 2001; Siess and Goriely, 2003). If so, an overproduction of Pb relative to Ba, for example, is expected, contrary to what occurs in more metal-rich AGB stars. Furthermore, stars experiencing hot bottom burning can produce $^7\text{Li}$ through the Cameron-Fowler mechanism (Cameron and Fowler, 1971). This mechanism becomes active when $^7\text{Be}$, produced by $\alpha$ capture on $^4\text{He}$ in the interior, occasionally is brought up to cooler regions by deep convection, captures an electron, and forms $^7\text{Li}$. Whether this $\text{Li}$, however, survives long enough to be expelled depends critically on the unknown amount of mass loss at the time when the abundance of $\text{Li}$ is high at the

---

$^8$Here the ratio C/O = $n_c/n_O$ denotes the absolute number density ratio of C and O, respectively. Hence, a ratio of C/O = 1 is equivalent to $[\text{C}/\text{O}] = 0.26$, given the new solar abundances by Asplund et al. (2009).
surface (Siess, Livio, and Lattanzio, 2002). This production branch may partly be responsible for the rise from the Spite plateau at \([\text{Fe/H}] \approx -1\) (Travaglio et al., 2001). In contrast, Sbordone et al. (2010) recently called attention to the apparent presence of a depression from the Spite plateau at the lowest metallicities, implying that Li has been destroyed (i.e., assuming that the plateau indicates the original Li abundance). However, whether this destruction is due to internal stellar evolution within the observed sample or due to ejection and mixing of processed material from a primordial stellar population [see, e.g., Piau et al. (2006)] is not yet known. Additional constraints and challenges are provided by the \(^{6}\text{Li}\) abundance in metal-poor stars; see Asplund et al. (2006) for a comprehensive discussion.

2. Electron-capture supernovae: \(9 \leq m/\mathcal{M}_\odot < 10\)

The electron-capture or ONeMg core-collapse SNe have been largely neglected in models of Galactic chemical evolution. Few yield calculations are found in the literature, presumably because these stars synthesize and eject only small amounts of metals, in particular, Fe (i.e., \(^{56}\text{Ni}\)). Hence, the chemical evolution for the majority of the main elements is, in general, unaffected by the presence of electron-capture SNe. In a metal-free or extremely metal-poor environment, however, the impact of the electron-capture SNe may be significantly higher, at least in a fraction of the ISM volume. This fraction depends on the ratio of electron-capture SNe to Fe core-collapse SNe. Various estimates of this ratio (Poelarends et al., 2008; Wanajo et al., 2009), based on solar metallicity models and a Salpeter IMF, quote a number between \(0.04\) and \(0.4\). Despite this relatively low ratio, it may turn out to be of importance to account for electron-capture SNe in low-metallicity environments, in particular for certain elements (see Sec. VI.C).

The amount of \(^{56}\text{Ni}\) ejected by electron-capture SNe is very small, less than \(0.01\mathcal{M}_\odot\), Wanajo et al. (2009) estimated a final \(^{56}\text{Fe}\) yield (after decay) of \((0.002-0.004)\mathcal{M}_\odot\) based on a \(8.8\mathcal{M}_\odot\), solar metallicity model. Even smaller amounts of carbon and \(\alpha\)-group elements (observationally defined as the light even-Z elements O, Mg, Si, S, Ca, and Ti; see Sec. VLA) are produced, which results in \([C, \alpha/Fe] \ll 0\). This goes along with small light-to-heavy \(\alpha\)-group element ratios. Interestingly, the model by Wanajo et al. (2009) predicts a large production of heavy iron-peak elements, such as Ni and Zn. It is argued that the electron-capture SNe could be the dominant source of Zn in the Galaxy. Furthermore, the elements \(^{70}\text{Ge}\) and \(^{90}\text{Zr}\) are found to be produced in abundance. Indeed, the electron-capture SNe could be a potential site for the nucleosynthesis of the rapid neutron capture (i.e., the \(r\)-process) elements. In particular, the heaviest \(r\) nuclei (with mass numbers \(A > 130\)) have been proposed to form in electron-capture SNe via the prompt explosion channel [Wheeler, Cowan, and Hillebrandt, 1998; Wanajo et al., 2003; however, see Kitaura, Janka, and Hillebrandt (2006)] or in the shocked surface layers of the ONeMg core [Ning, Qian, and Meyer, 2007, but see Janka et al. (2008)]. The exact site of the \(r\) process remains unknown. It also remains unclear whether there is more than one \(r\)-process site (Wasserburg and Qian, 2000; Qian, 2003; Sneden, Cowan, and Gallino, 2008; see also Sec. III.B.3), as has been argued for the Solar System meteoritic abundances (Wasserburg, Busso, and Gallino, 1996).

3. Core-collapse supernovae: \(10 \leq m/\mathcal{M}_\odot < 40\)

The classical core-collapse SNe are found in the mass range \(10 \leq m/\mathcal{M}_\odot < 25\). They are believed to be responsible for the bulk of the Galactic inventory of intermediate-mass elements, like oxygen. One of the most characteristic chemical signatures of core-collapse SNe, including Pop III, is the enhancement of \(\alpha\) elements relative to Fe (Woosley and Weaver, 1995; Umeda and Nomoto, 2002; Chiefi and Limongi, 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2006; Heger and Woosley, 2010). Because of the small neutron excess, the underproduction of odd-Z elements, like Na and Al, is pronounced as compared to the solar metallicity case. Neutron-rich isotopes are also produced to a lesser extent. Furthermore, explosive nucleosynthesis can contribute more to the yield as a consequence of the lower mass loss in Pop III stars [cf. Ekström, Meynet, and Maeder (2008)]. If so, the production of iron-peak elements is enhanced (Heger and Woosley, 2010) as compared to more metal-rich core-collapse SNe. The nucleosynthesis of nitrogen is generally not realized in nonrotating stars [but see Heger and Woosley (2010)]. As shown by Meynet and Maeder (2002), rotation can radically boost the production of \(^{14}\text{Ni}\) in extremely metal-poor massive stars as a result of rotation-induced mixing. To some extent, excess \(^{12}\text{C}\) (see Fig. 5) is also synthesized by this mechanism, as well as \(^{13}\text{C}, ^{17,18}\text{O}, \) and \(^{22}\text{Ne}\) (Hirschi, 2007; Ekström et al., 2008).

The more massive core-collapse SNe (\(25 \leq m/\mathcal{M}_\odot < 40\)) are increasingly affected by the deeper gravitational potential of the star as material falls back onto the forming black hole. The two identified branches have two distinctly different nucleosynthetic signatures. The weak SNe are generally characterized by very high C, O/Fe-peak ratios, and slightly higher \(\alpha/\text{Fe-peak}\) ratios as compared to core-collapse SNe in the (10–25)\(\mathcal{M}_\odot\) mass range. The deficiency of Fe-peak elements in the ejecta results from fallback of the innermost layers. Using the fallback and mixing algorithm developed by Podsiadlowski et al. (2002), Umeda and Nomoto (2002, 2005) proposed a mixing-fallback scenario in which the complete and incomplete Si-burning layers are presumed to be mixed during the explosion by Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities prior to the fallback, in order to obtain Zn, Co/Fe ratios in accordance with observations. In weak SNe, the complete Si-burning region does not reach high enough temperatures to produce Zn and Co to the required level of abundance. In HNe, however, higher temperatures are realized and both the complete and incomplete Si-burning regions are shifted outward in mass which promotes the synthesis of \(\nu\), Co, Cu, and Zn, as well as \(^{44}\text{Ti}\) and \(^{48}\text{Cr}\) which decay into \(^{44}\text{Ca}\) and \(^{49}\text{Ti}\), respectively (Umeda and Nomoto, 2002; Tominaga, Umeda, and Nomoto, 2007). On the other hand, Mn, Cr/Fe ratios are low in HNe, as compared to normal SNe (see Fig. 5). Moreover, as the oxygen-burning region increases with increasing explosion energy, oxygen-burning products like Si and S become more abundant relative to O and C (Umeda et al., 2002). However, the observational need for core-collapse SNe with high explosion energies is debated (Heger and Woosley, 2010). Core-collapse SNe with fallback may also be a possible site for the \(r\) process (Fryer et al., 2001).
When material falls back onto the neutron star, it gets strongly shock heated. Some fraction of this material gains enough energy to escape the potential well of the neutron star and as it bubbles up through the accreting gas, it cools down quickly to a few $10^9$ K by adiabatic expansion. When the expansion slows down, the shock-heated ejecta enters a simmering phase, in which nucleon capture may occur. Fryer et al. (2006) obtained a ratio of $[\text{Ba}/\text{Eu}]=-0.2$ in the total ejecta, which is close to the $r$-process ratio of $[\text{Ba}/\text{Eu}]=-0.6$ inferred from observations (Barklem et al., 2005; François et al., 2007) of extremely metal-poor stars. Note that the solar $r$-process value coincides with that in the metal-poor stars (Arlandini et al., 1999). There are several other potential $r$-process sites associated with core-collapse SNe, such as neutrino-driven winds (Woosley and Hoffman, 1992; Qian and Woosley, 1996) and neutron star–neutron star mergers (Freiburghaus, Rosswog, and Thielemann, 1999). It is, however, beyond the scope of this review to discuss the precise conditions for $n$-capture nucleosynthesis and to assess which astrophysical site(s) may predominantly be responsible for the inventory of $r$-process elements in the Galaxy. This can be found elsewhere (Arnould, Goriely, and Takahashi, 2007; Sneden, Cowan, and Gallino, 2008).

We emphasize that very different approaches to mixing and fallback exist in the literature. The Japanese school parametrizes both the mixing and fallback, making specific mass cuts and assuming the mixing is homogenous and the fallback is complete within a given mass coordinate. Thus one can essentially develop a model that is "made to order," which provides limited insight into the physics. These models do not specify what does the mixing or fixes the amount of fallback. In contrast, Joggerst, Woosley, and Heger (2009), Joggerst et al. (2010), and Joggerst, Almgren, and Woosley (2010) take the one-dimensional (1D) models of Heger and Woosley (2010) and map these onto a higher dimensionality grid. They follow the Rayleigh-Taylor instability during the explosion (providing mixing) and trace the fallback of material, with the most recent models including the effects of stellar rotation.

4. Pair-instability supernovae: $140 \leq m/M_\odot < 260$

In contrast to the core-collapse SNe, the explosion mechanism for PISNe is well understood and there is no issue with fallback (Fowler and Hoyle, 1964; Heger and Woosley, 2002). As a consequence, following the remark above, PISNe yields may therefore be considered more reliable than the yields of core-collapse SNe, apart from the effects of rotation and magnetic fields. Because of the very small neutron excess in their interiors (Heger and Woosley, 2002), stars exploding as PISNe should exhibit a pronounced odd-even effect in which the ejecta show particularly low abundance ratios of odd- compared to even-Z elements. Interestingly, this effect is less distinct in the models by Umeda and Nomoto (2002); see Fig. 6. Furthermore, the lack of excess neutrons in addition to less-rapid explosion time scales during the explosion inhibit the production of rapid $n$-capture elements (Heger and Woosley, 2002; Umeda and Nomoto, 2002). Likewise, the production of $s$-process elements is inhibited in PISNe. As a consequence of the extended oxygen-burning region, elements such as Si and S are significantly overproduced (Umeda and Nomoto, 2002) leading to highly supersolar ratios of, e.g., $[\text{Si}/\text{O}]$ and $[\text{S}/\text{C}]$. The combination of these and other abundance characteristics, such as low Mg/Ca ratios (true over the majority of...
the PISN mass range) and very low ratios of Co, Zn/Fe make up a chemical signature unique to the PISNe (see Fig. 6).

5. Supermassive core-collapse supernovae: $260 \leq m/\mathcal{M}_\odot \leq 10^5$

Rotating stars in the mass range $260 \leq m/\mathcal{M}_\odot \leq 10^5$ may escape direct black-hole formation if an accretion disk is formed around the central remnant (Shibata and Shapiro, 2002). If so, freshly synthesized material is allowed to be ejected in jets toward the polar direction, after core collapse. In their $(500-1000)\mathcal{M}_\odot$ model stars, Ohkubo et al. (2006) showed that $>20\%$ of the mass undergoes explosive Si burning with large amounts of Fe-poor elements being produced, in particular, elements heavier than Fe, such as Co and Zn. On the other hand, relatively small amounts of intermediate-mass elements are synthesized and $[\mathrm{C}, \alpha/\mathrm{Fe}] \ll 0$ are realized in the ejecta. In a sense, the yields of $(260-10^5)\mathcal{M}_\odot$ core-collapse SNe anticorrelate with those of normal core-collapse SNe, especially the weak SNe. Overall, $[X/\mathrm{Fe}]$ decreases with heavier elements $X$ for normal (weak) SNe while the opposite is true for $(260-10^5)\mathcal{M}_\odot$ SNe. Moreover, the odd-even effect in the ejecta of the $(500-1000)\mathcal{M}_\odot$ SNe studied by Ohkubo et al. (2006) is pronounced as compared to their lighter cousins. We will further discuss the contribution from various SNe to the individual chemical abundance patterns of the most metal-poor Galactic halo stars in Sec. IV.

C. Metal transport and mixing

The implications of ISM mixing on possible anomalies in stellar chemistry have been recognized for a long time (Searle and Larson, 1977; Norris and Bessell, 1978). So how did the first metals mix into the IGM, and into the gas clouds that would eventually give rise to the first Pop II stars? This is an extremely complex problem, and we are only now beginning to get a handle on it. Again, progress relies on advances in theoretical modeling and numerical simulation techniques in combination with accurate and precise observations. The early metal dispersal problem can be separated into large-scale, coarse-grain mixing, and subsequent fine-grain mixing on small scales. We discuss these two aspects in turn.

1. Early transport: The supernova explosion

It is likely that Pop III.1 stars will encounter different fates, according to their mass (see Table I), including both core-collapse and pair-instability SNe. We illustrate here the feedback from such explosions by discussing the evolution of a PISNe remnant in greater detail. The overall hydrodynamics, however, would be similar for the case of a hypernova, where metal yields are much lower, but explosion energies can be similarly large (Umeda and Nomoto, 2002).

After the death of a Pop III.1 star as a PISNe, provided that the progenitor had the appropriate mass (see Table I), the resulting blast wave goes through four evolutionary stages (Greif et al., 2007). At very early times, the remnant enters the free-expansion (FE) phase and propagates nearly unhindered into the surrounding medium. It expands with a constant velocity $v_{ej}$ given by $v_{ej}^2 = 2E_{sn}/M_{ej}$, where $M_{ej} = M_*$, as is appropriate for the complete disruption encountered in a PISN. The duration of the FE phase is given by $t_{fe} = r_{fe}/v_{ej}$ or

$$t_{fe} = r_{fe}/v_{ej}$$

(1)

where $r_{fe}$ is the radius at which the swept-up mass equals the mass of the original ejecta, i.e.,

$$r_{fe} = \left( \frac{3XM_{ej}}{4\pi m_p n_H} \right)^{1/3}$$

(2)

where $X = 0.76$ is the primordial mass fraction of hydrogen, $n_H$ is the proton mass, and $n_H$ is the number density of hydrogen nuclei. After $t_{fe}$, the inertia of the swept-up mass becomes important, and the shock undergoes a transition to the Sedov-Taylor (ST) phase (Sedov, 1946; Taylor, 1950). Typical values are $r_{fe} \lesssim 20$ pc and $t_{fe} \lesssim 10^5$ yr (see Fig. 7).

The remnant next enters its ST phase. The photoheating prior to the explosion leads to a much reduced circumstellar density, with an almost flat profile of $n_H \approx 0.5$ cm$^{-3}$ in the...
vicinity of the progenitor star (Greif et al., 2007). The SN blast wave approaches \( r_{\text{sh}} / 2 \) after about 10^5 yr, catching up with the previously established photoheating shock, where the outlying density profile becomes isothermal. Subsequently, the ST phase ends and the remnant undergoes a second transition. At the high temperatures behind the shock, cooling is due to H and He collisional ionization, excitation and recombination processes, bremsstrahlung, and the inverse Compton (IC) effect. IC cooling and bremsstrahlung are important at high temperatures, whereas H/He line cooling becomes significant below 10^6 K. Radiative losses affect the energetics of the SN remnant after about 10^5 yr. At this point the shocked gas separates into a hot, interior bubble with temperatures above 10^6 K, and a dense shell at 10^4 K, bounded by a high pressure gradient, thus leading to a multiphase structure, which remains intact for \( \lesssim 10 \) Myr (Greif et al., 2007). With energy conservation no longer valid, the ST phase ends.

After the ST phase ends, the pressurized, interior bubble drives an extremely dense shell, often called a pressure-driven snowplow (PDS). This new phase can be described with an equation of motion,

\[
\frac{d(M_{\text{sw}} v_{\text{sh}})}{dt} = 4\pi r_{\text{sh}}^2 P_b,
\]

where \( P_b \) is the pressure of the hot, interior bubble. Since \( M_{\text{sw}} \propto r_{\text{sh}} \) in an \( r^{-2} \) density profile, and \( P_b \propto r_{\text{sh}}^{-5} \) in the adiabatically expanding interior, one can solve Eq. (3) with a power law of the form \( r_{\text{sh}} \propto t^{3/5} \), yielding the same scaling as the ST solution. When does the PDS phase end?

The pressure directly behind the shock after 10^5 yr can be estimated to be \( P_b / k_b \approx 3 \times 10^6 \text{ Kcm}^{-3} \) (Greif et al., 2007). With \( P_b \propto r_{\text{sh}}^{-5} \) and \( r_{\text{sh}} \propto r_{\text{sh}}^{-1/5} \), one finds \( P_b \propto r_{\text{sh}}^{-2} \), implying that after roughly 1 Myr the interior pressure has dropped to \( P_b / k_b \approx 3 \times 10^4 \text{ Kcm}^{-3} \). At this point pressure equilibrium between the hot interior and the dense shell has been established, and the shock is driven solely by its accumulated inertia. With the pressure gradient no longer dominant, the SN remnant is driven solely by the accumulated inertia of the dense shell, and becomes a momentum-conserving snowplow. The shock position as a function of time can be obtained by solving Eq. (3) in the absence of a pressure term. Since the shock has not yet propagated beyond the surrounding \( r^{-2} \) density profile, this yields an initial scaling of \( r_{\text{sh}} \propto t^{1/2} \). At later times, the shock finally leaves the host halo and encounters neighboring minihalos and underdense voids. Figure 7 summarizes the four-stage remnant evolution.

Mixing of enriched material with gas in existing star-forming minihalos is generally inefficient, indicating that the dispersal of metals can only occur via expulsion into the IGM. The bulk of the SN shock propagates into the voids surrounding the host halo, and chemical enrichment proceeds via the same channel (Pieri, Martel, and Grenon, 2007). During the first 10 Myr, the initial stellar ejecta expand adiabatically and apparently do not mix with the surrounding material. In reality, however, the high electron mean free path behind the shock leads to heat conduction and gas from the dense shell evaporates into the hot, interior bubble (Gull, 1973). The onset of Rayleigh-Taylor (RT) and Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities is predicted to mix the metals efficiently with primordial material evaporated from the dense shell, reducing the metallicity of the interior by a factor of a few to at most 1 order of magnitude (Madau, Ferrara, and Rees, 2001).

Because of inefficient cooling, the evolution of the metal-enriched, interior bubble is governed by adiabatic expansion, and preferentially propagates into the cavities created by the shock. Once the shock leaves the host halo and becomes highly anisotropic, the interior adopts the same behavior and expands into the voids surrounding the host halo in the shape of an hourglass, with a maximum extent similar to the final mass-weighted mean shock radius. The interior becomes substantially mixed with the initial stellar ejecta. When the shock finally stalls, the interior bubble is in pressure equilibrium with its surroundings, but stays confined within the dense shell. To investigate the importance of RT instabilities in this configuration, one can estimate the mixing length \( \lambda_{\text{RT}} \) for large density contrasts between two media according to

\[
\lambda_{\text{RT}} \approx 2\pi g r_{\text{sh}}^2,
\]

where \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration of the host halo. Mixing between the dense shell and the interior bubble takes place on scales \( \lesssim 10 \text{ pc} \) in the course of a few 10 Myr. Such mixing, however, is generally inefficient, and that much larger potential wells must be assembled to recollect and mix all components of the shocked gas (Greif et al., 2008). Turbulence arising in the virialization of the
first galaxies could be an agent for this process (Greif et al., 2008; Wise and Abel, 2008). Recent simulations of first galaxy formation indicate that cold accretion streams deeply penetrate into the center of the corresponding potential well, giving rise to high Mach number, turbulent flows (see Fig. 8).

The minimum mass necessary to recollect the hot and underdense postshock gas residing at $T \sim 10^7 \text{ K}$ and $n_{\text{H}} \sim 10^{-2.5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is at least $M_{\text{vir}} \geq 10^8 M_\odot$. The final topology of metal enrichment could be highly inhomogeneous, with pockets of highly enriched material, on the one hand, and regions with a largely primordial composition, on the other hand. Recent simulations reached a level of realism, in terms of taking into account the radiative feedback from individual Pop III stars, and modeling the transport of metals from the first SNe, to give us a much better understanding of early enrichment, at least on small scales (1 Mpc comoving). In particular, they provide us with hints on the level and homogeneity of metals inside the first galaxies, where second-generation star formation will occur (Wise and Abel, 2008; Greif et al., 2010). It is interesting that even a single SN in one of the progenitor minihalos already leads to a significant metallicity inside the first galaxies (see Fig. 9). Specifically, if such a minihalo hosted a PISN, the resulting average enrichment would already be $\sim 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ (see Fig. 10). If this is true, the JWST is unlikely to see any truly metal-free galaxies, even in very deep fields.

To further address the fine structure of metal enrichment, we now discuss the physics of fine-grain mixing.

2. Late transport: Turbulence

Eventually, the characteristics of the SN blast wave as a dynamical system will be lost as it is overtaken by the random motions of the surrounding medium. These gas motions are maintained by constant energy injections into the medium, e.g., by stellar winds, by SN explosions, and by the conversion of potential to kinetic energy during the collapse of star-forming clouds and are generally turbulent in nature. At early cosmic times in particular, gas motions were also generated by dark matter halo interaction.

There is no existing general theory for hydrodynamic turbulence, particularly if turbulent flow is inhomogeneous and nonisotropic. However, from a statistical viewpoint, turbulence may be seen as a random walk process and the transport of matter in a turbulent medium can be described as a diffusion process (Taylor, 1921), similar to molecular diffusion. The derivation outlined below of the relation between time and the mean displacement of a fluid element in a turbulent medium follows that of Choudhuri (1998).

Assume that the three-dimensional displacement $x$ of a fluid element after time $t’ = t$ is

$$x(t) = \int_0^t v_L(t') dt', \quad (5)$$

where $v_L(t’)$ is the (Lagrangian) fluid velocity at the position of the element at time $t’$. The mean square displacement is thus given by

$$\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \langle x(t) \cdot x(t) \rangle = \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle v_L(t') \cdot v_L(t'') \rangle dt' dt''.$$

This follows since

$$\int_0^t \int_0^t \langle v_L(t') \cdot v_L(t'') \rangle = \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle v_L' \cdot v_L'' \rangle dt' dt'' = \int_0^t \int_0^t \langle v_L' \cdot v_L'' \rangle dt' dt''. \quad (7)$$

The function $\langle v_L(t') \cdot v_L(t'') \rangle$ is the velocity correlation function of a fluid element measured at times $t’$ and $t''$. Assuming that the turbulence does not change character with time (i.e., steady state), this correlation function may be expressed as a symmetric function $R$ of $t'' - t’$ alone,

$$\langle v_L(t') \cdot v_L(t'') \rangle = \langle u^2 \rangle R(t'' - t’), \quad (8)$$

where $\langle u^2 \rangle$ denotes the mean square velocity. At $t'' = t’$, we have maximal correlation and $R = 1$, while for $| t'' - t’ | > 0$,
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See http://www.claymath.org/millennium/Navier_Stokes_Equations.
the velocities associated with the fluid element at times \( t' \) and \( t'' \) become increasingly uncorrelated and \( \mathcal{R} \) approaches zero as \( |t'' - t'| \to \infty \). From this definition of \( \mathcal{R} \), it is possible to define a turbulent correlation time \( \tau_{\text{corr}} \) such that

\[
\tau_{\text{corr}} = \int_0^{t_+} \mathcal{R}(t')dt'.
\]

(9)

Now, for times \( t \gg \tau_{\text{corr}} \), the limits of integration of the inner integral in Eq. (6) can be expanded to \( ]-\infty, +\infty[ \). Making use of Eq. (9) and the fact that \( \mathcal{R} \) is symmetric, the mean square displacement may be written as

\[
\langle x^2(t) \rangle = \int_0^{t'} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle v^2 \rangle \mathcal{R}(t'' - t')dt'dt''
= 2\tau_{\text{corr}} \langle v^2 \rangle \int_0^{t'} dt'' = 6D_{\text{turb}} t,
\]

(10)

where \( 2\tau_{\text{corr}} \langle v^2 \rangle = 6D_{\text{turb}} \). Equation (10) describes, in a statistical sense, the passive transport of matter in a turbulent medium. The coefficient \( D_{\text{turb}} \) is identified as the turbulent diffusion coefficient and is given by

\[
D_{\text{turb}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{corr}} \langle v^2 \rangle}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \langle v^2 \rangle \int_0^{t_+} \mathcal{R}(t')dt'
= l_{\text{corr}} v_{\text{rms}}/3,
\]

(11)

FIG. 9 (color online). Chemical enrichment in the wake of the first supernovae. Shown are the gas density, temperature, and metallicity in the central 100 kpc (comoving) of the simulation box (left to right). From top to bottom: Time series showing the simulation 15, 100, and 300 Myr after the SN explosion. The inlays show the central 10 kpc (comoving) of the emerging galaxy. The metals are initially distributed by the bulk motion of the SN remnant, and later by turbulent motions induced by photoheating from other stars and the virialization of the galaxy itself. The gas within the newly formed galaxy is already substantially enriched. From Greif et al., 2010.
to breathe.

The continuous macroscopic “stirring” of the gaseous medium is paramount to the transport and mixing of metals over large distances. As compared to the microscopic, molecular diffusion coefficient, the turbulent diffusion coefficient is typically several orders of magnitude larger [Bateman and Larson, 1993; Oey, 2003; cf. Pan and Scalo (2007)]. Hence, in the presence of turbulence, the mixing of gas is greatly amplified. However, it should be kept in mind that turbulence still operates on a macroscopic level and only molecular diffusion is able to drive the mixing on the smallest scales. Turbulence merely increases the area-to-volume ratio so that molecular diffusion effectively becomes more efficient. The situation is similar to that of the human lung. Without the hundreds of millions of alveoli which drastically increase the contact area to the fine network of blood vessels, the small volume of our lungs would make it very hard to breathe.

D. Transition to Population II star formation

Chemical enrichment by the first SNe is among the most important processes in the formation of the first galaxies. Efficient cooling by metal lines and thermal dust emission regulate the temperature of Pop II star-forming regions in the first galaxies. The concept of a “critical metallicity” has been introduced\(^\text{10}\) to characterize the transition of the star-formation mode from predominantly high-mass, Pop III, to low-mass Pop II stars (Omukai, 2000; Bromm et al., 2001; Spaans and Silk, 2005). Currently, two competing models for the Pop III–Pop II transition are discussed: (i) atomic fine-structure line cooling (Bromm and Loeb, 2003; Santoro and Shull, 2006) and (ii) dust-induced fragmentation (Omukai et al., 2005; Schneider et al., 2006; Tsuribe and Omukai, 2006; Clark, Glover, and Klessen, 2008; Dopcke et al., 2011). Within the fine-structure model, C II and O I have been suggested as the main coolants (Bromm and Loeb, 2003), such that low-mass star formation can occur in gas that is enriched beyond critical abundances of \([\text{C/H}]_{\text{crit}} \approx -3.5 \pm 0.1\) and \([\text{O/H}]_{\text{crit}} \approx -3 \pm 0.2\). For the atomic phase, one can robustly assume that carbon is singly ionized due to the strong uv background just below the Lyman limit predicted for the early stages of reionization. The dust-cooling model (Omukai et al., 2005), on the other hand, predicts critical abundances that are typically smaller by a factor of 10–100. Santoro and Shull (2006) investigated the additional cooling provided by Si II and Fe II fine-structure lines, finding that their inclusion only leads to small corrections. Dust cooling would only become important at higher densities, without being able to influence the evolution of primordial gas close to the characteristic, or loitering, state (Bromm and Larson, 2004) with a temperature and density \(T_{\text{char}} \approx 200\) K and \(n_{\text{char}} \approx 10^4\) cm\(^{-3}\). Ultimately, this theoretical debate has to be decided empirically by probing the abundance pattern of extremely metal-poor, second-generation stars.

To facilitate any such comparison with stellar abundance data, it is convenient to introduce a criterion (Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm, 2007) for low-mass star formation that combines cooling due to C II and O I with a temperature and density \(T_{\text{char}} \approx 200\) K and \(n_{\text{char}} \approx 10^4\) cm\(^{-3}\), ultimately, this theoretical debate has to be decided empirically by probing the abundance pattern of extremely metal-poor, second-generation stars.

\[ \Lambda_{\text{tot}} = \Lambda_{\text{CII}} + \Lambda_{\text{OI}} \approx \Gamma_{\text{ad}} \]  

(12)

where all terms have to be evaluated at \(n_{\text{char}}, T_{\text{char}}\). Heating due to adiabatic compression is given by

\[ \Gamma_{\text{ad}} \approx 1.5 n_{\text{char}} \frac{k_B T_{\text{char}}}{t_f} \approx 2 \times 10^{-23} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]  

(13)

where \(t_f \approx 5 \times 10^5\) yr is the free-fall time at the characteristic state. The cooling terms are (Stahler and Palla, 2005)

\[ \Lambda_{\text{OI}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-20} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3} \left( \frac{n_\text{O}}{n_{\text{H}}} \right) \left/ \left( \frac{n_\text{H}}{n_{\text{H}}}_\odot \right) \right.. \]  

(14)

and

\[ \Lambda_{\text{CII}} \approx 6 \times 10^{-20} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3} \left( \frac{n_\text{C}}{n_{\text{H}}} \right) \left/ \left( \frac{n_\text{H}}{n_{\text{H}}}_\odot \right) \right.. \]  

(15)

At the loitering state, C II is already in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), leading to an approximate scaling (Stahler and Palla, 2005) of the cooling rate, \(\Lambda_{\text{CII}} \approx n_{\text{char}} \exp(-92 K/T_{\text{char}})\), whereas O I is still in non-LTE,
leading to \( \Lambda_{\text{ch}} \approx n_{\text{ch}}^{2} \exp(-230 \, K/T_{\text{ch}}) \). Here LTE refers to the high-density case, where collisions dominate the atomic level populations, whereas non-LTE denotes the opposite situation, where radiative transitions dominate. One can combine these expressions as follows:

\[
10^{[C/H]} + 0.3 \times 10^{[O/H]} \geq 10^{-3.5}, \quad (16)
\]

leading to the “transition discriminant,”

\[
D_{\text{trans}} = \log_{10}(10^{[C/H]} + 0.3 \times 10^{[O/H]}),
\]

such that low-mass star formation requires \( D_{\text{trans}} > D_{\text{trans,crit}} \approx -3.5 \pm 0.2 \). Using this new quantity has the important advantage that one can now accommodate an inhomogeneous data set of stellar abundances, including stars where both carbon and oxygen abundances are known, or even only one of them.

However, this critical gas metallicity is still poorly determined, possibly depending on environmental parameters, such as the presence of a soft uv (Lyman-Werner) radiation background (Safranek-Shrader, Bromm, and Milosavljević, 2010). It is not even clear if there exists such a sharp transition. Since the enrichment from even a single PISN by a very massive Pop III star likely leads to metallicities of \( Z > 10^{-6} \, Z_{\odot} \) [see, e.g., Greif et al. (2007), Wise and Abel (2008), and Greif et al. (2010); see also Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm (2008)], in excess of any predicted value for the critical metallicity, these arguments may be somewhat academic. The characteristic mass of prestellar gas clumps is likely determined by a number of physical processes (e.g., turbulence and, possibly, dynamo-amplified primordial magnetic fields) other than radiative cooling. The overall effect of gas metallicity on star formation may well be limited (Jappsen et al., 2009).

Star formation in the first galaxies may be influenced by yet another factor: The CMB likely played an important role in setting the minimum gas temperature in the high-redshift Universe (Larson, 1998). The radiation temperature of the CMB is \( T_{\text{CMB}} = 2.728 \, K(1 + z) \) such that \( T_{\text{CMB}} \) is greater than about 30 K at \( z > 10 \). Hence, early molecular gas clouds cannot cool to the characteristic temperatures of present-day star-forming regions (\( \sim 10 \, K \)) even if molecules such as CO and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) act as efficient coolants. If the minimum gas temperature is a critical physical quantity that determines the characteristic masses of stars, then the transition from high-mass Pop III to the lower-mass Pop II mode may be gradual and regulated by the CMB temperature (Schneider and Omukai, 2010).

Anyhow, it is intriguing to see how well the fine-structure model, based on C and O, does in terms of accounting for all existing metal-poor stellar data (see Fig. 11). While the criterion has been criticized on theoretical grounds (Omukai et al., 2005; Jappsen et al., 2007), the simple model matches the observed lower threshold very well, also in the regime below \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \sim -4\). Only one probable exception is currently known. With an Fe abundance of \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -4.7\) (1D, LTE analysis), the star SDSS J102915 + 172927 is among the most iron-poor stars observed to date (Caffau et al., 2011). Interestingly, it appears not to be particularly enhanced in carbon, with a measured upper limit on the C abundance of \([C/H] \leq -3.8\) (Caffau et al., 2011). Together with a presumed O-to-Fe enhancement of \([O/\text{Fe}] = +0.6\) (typical for “C-normal,” metal-poor stars), SDSS J102915 + 172927 falls into the “forbidden zone” in Fig. 11. This implies the presence of a dust-cooling channel in \( Z \sim 10^{-5} \, Z_{\odot} \) star-forming gas (Klessen, Glover, and Clark, 2012; Schneider et al., 2012). Since the majority of stars below \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -4\) are enhanced in the CNO elements and fulfill the \( D_{\text{trans}} \) criterion, both cooling channels may in fact be viable. If so, the fraction of stars below the line-cooling threshold would then be a measure (although complex) of the relative importance of dust for the transition to Pop II star formation. Future observations should aim to constrain this fraction. Recently, evidence for carbon enhancement toward low \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \) has also been discovered in an extremely metal-poor damped Lyman-\( \alpha \) (DLA) system at \( z \approx 2.3 \) (Cooke et al., 2011), opening up a new window into the conditions for early star formation. With a view to upcoming JWST observations, it is important to formulate similar empirical
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FIG. 11. Testing theories for the critical metallicity with stellar archaeology. The transition discriminant \( D_{\text{trans}} \) for metal-poor stars collected from the literature as a function of Fe abundance. Top panel: \( D_{\text{trans}} \) based on 1D abundances for Galactic halo giant stars (denoted by G) and subgiant stars (denoted by SG). Bottom panel: Corresponding figure for stars in dSph galaxies and globular clusters [see Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm (2007) for further details]. The critical \( D_{\text{trans}} \) limit is marked with a dashed line while the dotted lines refer to the uncertainty. The solid line denotes the solar C and O abundances scaled down with Fe. From Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm, 2007.
tests for alternative models for the Pop III–Pop II transition that exploit dust cooling, say.

IV. TRACERS OF PREGALACTIC METAL ENRICHMENT—CONCEPTS

This review seeks to identify the chemical signatures of Pop III stars in the surface abundances of the oldest stellar populations. As noted, we make a clear distinction between a chemical fingerprint and a chemical signature to readily distinguish between microscopic and (a series of) macroscopic processes, respectively. We now discuss these in turn.

A. Chemical fingerprints (microscopic processes)

The many elements that can be measured in metal-poor stars provide evidence of physical processes that we are only now beginning to read [see, e.g., Sneden, Cowan, and Gallino (2008)]. This approach has a long tradition. The celebrated papers of Burbidge et al. (1957) and Cameron (1957) demonstrated how to infer the processes involved in stellar chemistry from detailed abundance measurements in meteorites and stars. This tradition can be traced back even further in the early interpretation of the Solar System abundances [see Suess and Urey (1956)]. In a seminal paper, Cameron (1973) showed how the meteoritic record can be separated into distinct neutron and proton capture processes. Remarkably, as we mention below, the fingerprints of these processes are observed directly in a substantial fraction of the most metal-poor stars. As a general rule, we have a better understanding of fingerprints when compared to chemical signatures. For example, while there is still no in situ evidence of r-process nucleosynthesis in supernovae, the main reaction networks that give rise to different heavy elements for a given neutron flux and number density are fairly well understood. How the s-process elements are synthesized in quiescently evolving stars is also well understood (Busso, Gallino, and Wasserburg, 1999). After Sneden, Cowan, and Gallino (2008), we emphasize that the stellar record is not complete at the present time. There are no elements measured from Ag to Ba in any n-capture rich stars, which will be needed to rule out alternative n-capture models in the most metal-poor stars [see Arnould, Goriely, and Takahashi (2007)]. Thus, chemical fingerprints are concerned with distinct hallmarks of a microscopic process that is broadly understood in terms of a limited set of physical parameters (e.g., flux and density).

B. Chemical signatures (macroscopic processes)

Most of this review is concerned with identifying and interpreting chemical signatures of the first stars and subsequent generations. This is inherently more difficult. In discussing these signatures, we are largely dependent on the yield calculations from complex hydrodynamical simulations (see Sec. III.B). The calculated yields are dependent on numerous factors, including stellar mass, metallicity, rotation, black-hole infall, and so forth. The numerical models make very detailed predictions up to atomic masses of $Z \approx 30$, which fall short of the neutron-capture elements [cf. Pignatari et al. (2008)], so the predictions are limited at the present time. But these models predict distinct chemical signatures. For example, in intrinsically faint supernovae, Unieda and Nomoto (2003) found strong CNO enhancements compared to Fe due to mixing and fallback onto the black hole. In contrast, hypernova models predict strong Zn and Co as compared to Cr and Mn [see, e.g., Tominaga, Umeda, and Nomoto (2007)].

 Apart from the underlying signatures of the preceding stellar generation(s), there are likely to be many macroscopic processes that influence the observed abundance pattern of metal-poor stars. Stars that are born into binary pairs can be enriched by mass transfer from the primary donor, through either slow winds or Roche-lobe overflow. A significant fraction of the most metal-poor galactic halo stars shows evidence of mass transfer from a companion that is no longer directly visible, as we discuss below [see, e.g., Fujimoto, Ikeda, and Iben, Jr. (2000) and Suda et al. (2004)]. In principle, this can complicate our interpretation of element groups within the spectrum of a metal-poor star as the original chemical signature is diluted with a secondary one. Another complicating factor is mixing within the interstellar gas. The efficiency of the macroscopic (turbulent) mixing of the ISM can transform the underlying signature and affect the overall trend as a function of metallicity (i.e., [Fe/H]).

Some signatures only reveal themselves by comparing the abundances of an ensemble of stars. One example is clustered data points in the abundance plane as a result of clustered star formation (which produces chemically uniform star clusters). As discussed in Sec. VIII, this is an extremely important phenomenon because it allows us to reconstruct star clusters that have dissolved in the distant past. Of no less importance, it allows us to identify stars that are not subject to chemical anomalies due to mixing or binary mass transfer, thereby providing us with a cleaner signal of the preceding generations of stars.

C. First- versus second-generation stars

In this review, we identified the Pop III stars (see Sec. I for definition and Sec. II for discussion on the formation of Pop III.1 and Pop III.2 stars) as being metal free, which in the context of early star formation implies a metallicity $Z < Z_{\text{crit}}$ (see Sec. III.D). If nothing else is stated, we will continue to use the terms “primordial stars” and “first stars” as synonyms for the Pop III. Chronologically, some of these designations, in particular first stars, may be slightly ambiguous since the formation of Pop III.2 stars likely occurred as a result of feedback, e.g., from Pop III.1 stars (see Sec. II). The Pop III.2 stars were therefore not, in a literal sense, the very first stars in the Universe, although they were the first stars to form locally. From a chemical point of view, however, both Pop III.1 and Pop III.2 stars can readily be defined as first stars.

Second-generation stars are defined as stars formed out of gas only enriched by the primordial stellar generation. As such, they may also be called the first-generation Pop II stars. As primordial star formation occurred in different places at different times (Brook et al., 2007; Tornatore, Ferrara, and Schneider, 2007; Maio et al., 2010), second-generation stars may not necessarily belong to the oldest stellar population in
the present Universe, although a fraction of the oldest stars should belong to the second generation. They will, however, generally be very old and probe a vast variety of star-formation sites and initial conditions, from the Galactic bulge to dwarf galaxies.

The identification of second-generation stars is a difficult task as there is no way of labeling the heavy element atoms as synthesized in a metal-free star or synthesized in a metal-enriched star at the present time. The hope is, however, that the more deficient in metals a star is, the more likely it is that it belongs to a generation immediately following that of the first. While this should be true in a general sense, despite a significant spread in the predicted age-metallicity relation [see, e.g., Argast et al. (2000) and Tumlinson (2010)], it may not be true, in particular cases, as we shall see (see, e.g., Secs. VI.D and VIII.B). In any event, the identification of extremely metal-poor (EMP) stars [defined as stars with an iron abundance of $\text{[Fe/H]} < -3$ (Beers and Christlieb, 2005)] in the Milky Way and in other galaxies, is essential for probing the Pop III era.

V. IN SEARCH OF THE EARLIEST CHEMICAL SIGNATURES

A. Sites of early star formation

Essentially all galaxies, including all known dwarf galaxies, appear to contain stars older than 10 Gyr. But only a fraction of these is likely to contain pristine signatures of the first and second stellar generations. So where do the most ancient stars reside today? Scannapieco et al. (2006) and Brook et al. (2007) showed that metal-free stars, i.e., if they exist, should be spread over the entire Galaxy. This implies that slightly enriched, second-generation stars should be found more or less everywhere, and predominantly in the outer halo (Brook et al., 2007; Salvadori et al., 2010). This is also where the most metal-poor stars have been found in past and present surveys. Together, the HK (Beers, Preston, and Shectman, 1992; the abbreviation refers to the Ca II H and K lines whose strengths are taken as a proxy for the star’s Fe abundance) and the Hamburg/ESO (Wisotzki et al., 2000) surveys of metal-poor stars have discovered roughly 2500 stars below $\text{[Fe/H]} = -2$ and several hundred stars below $\text{[Fe/H]} = -3$ in the Galactic halo (Beers and Christlieb, 2005). The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) and Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE) have now raised the numbers of known stars with $\text{[Fe/H]} < -2$ to over 25,000 and the number of stars with $\text{[Fe/H]} < -3$ to roughly 1000 [T. Beers (private communication)]. Stars with different metallicities are distributed differently in the halo. The outer halo of the Milky Way appears to be comprised of a stellar population significantly more metal poor than its inner halo (Carollo et al., 2007, 2010; but see Schönrich, Asplund, and Casagrande (2011)). According to these results, the metallicity distribution function (MDF) of the outer halo peaks around $\text{[Fe/H]} = -2.2$, while the MDF of the inner halo peaks at $\text{[Fe/H]} \approx -1.6$. The outer and inner halo may also differ in terms of oblateness and net rotation (Majewski, 1992; Zinn, 1993; Kinman et al., 2007). This suggests that the outer component was formed in a distinctly different way from the inner halo, probably by dissipationless merging of small dwarf galaxies at a relatively late stage of the assembly of the Milky Way [see, e.g., Carollo et al. (2007); see also De Lucia and Helmi (2008), Zolotov et al. (2009), and Salvadori et al. (2010)]. As a result of the inside-out construction of the Milky Way galaxy, the oldest, most metal-poor stars are expected to reside in the innermost part of the Galactic halo (Bland-Hawthorn and Peebles, 2006; Tumlinson, 2010), or the bulge (White and Springel, 2000). However, recent developments in galactic dynamics may force us to revise this expected picture, as discussed below (see Sec. VB).

Extremely metal-poor stars are now also being found in dwarf galaxies in the Local Group. An increasing number of high-resolution spectrometric studies of the brightest stars in the faintest galaxies consistently find stars with $\text{[Fe/H]} \lesssim -3$ (Feltzing et al., 2009; Frebel et al., 2010; Norris et al., 2010; Simon et al., 2010). Interestingly, the more luminous dwarf spheroidal (dSph) systems appeared, until recently, to be devoid of EMP stars all together (Helmi et al., 2006). In combination with observations of low $\alpha$/Fe ratios in the more metal-rich member stars (Shetrone, Côté, and Sargent, 2001; Venn et al., 2004; Tolstoy, Hill, and Tosi, 2009), this casted doubt on the present-day dSphs as being the formation sites of Galactic halo stars. Nor did they seem to be the surviving counterparts to the now dispersed systems in which the Galactic halo stars once were formed. However, new and refined measurements reveal the existence of EMP stars in these systems as well (Kirby et al., 2009; Frebel, Kirby, and Simon, 2010; Starkenburg et al., 2010). The simulations by Font et al. (2006) depicted in Fig. 12 nicely illustrate the general understanding of the distribution of metals in the stellar component, in the Milky Way environment.

![FIG. 12](color online). Simulation of the chemistry of a Milky Way-like environment. Left: The metallicity, as measured by [Fe/H], of the star particles. In general, the stellar halo of the giant galaxy (centered) is less metal-rich than the surrounding dwarf galaxies (small blobs). Right: The $\alpha$-to-Fe ratio. In contrast to [Fe/H], the halo of the giant galaxy is enhanced in $\alpha$/Fe while the smaller satellite galaxies show nearly solar ratios, in accordance with observations. An $\alpha$/Fe ratio close to solar indicates a significant contribution of iron from thermonuclear (type Ia) SNe. Note also the metallicity and $\alpha$/Fe of the numerous stellar streams, originating from disrupted satellite galaxies. The maps span 300 kpc on a side. Adapted from Font et al., 2006.
At present, it is not clear in which environments the bulk of the EMP stars were formed originally. Since they are believed to be second-generation stars, born out of gas enriched only by the first SNe, the majority of these stars must have formed relatively early on, possibly in so-called “atomic cooling halos,” which are small dark-matter halos on the order of $10^6 M_\odot$ [see, e.g., Greif et al. (2008)]. These halos were probably among the first objects able to form Pop II stars [see, e.g., Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm (2008) and Greif et al. (2010)]. In fact, the majority of the stars in the newly discovered ultrafaint dwarf galaxies (Willman et al., 2005; Zucker et al., 2006a; Zucker et al., 2006b; Belokurov et al., 2007, 2010), suggested to be relic galaxies formed prior to reionization (Bovill and Ricotti, 2009; Salvadori and Ferrara, 2009), are very metal-poor with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -2$ (Kirby et al., 2008). If these galaxies should turn out to be surviving members of a population of “first galaxies” connected to the atomic cooling halos, it would give us a unique possibility to directly study the birth sites of second-generation EMP stars (Frebel and Bromm, 2012).

B. Secular evolution, blurring, and churning

To complete the discussion on where to expect to find stars which exhibit the earliest chemical signatures, we will briefly touch upon the field of stellar migration. In the classical picture [see, e.g., Wielen (1977)], star clusters gradually dissolve and diffuse into the background galaxy staying within a kiloparsec or so of the birth radius. But since Sellwood and Carlberg (1984), we have slowly come to realize that spiral perturbations are driven by accretion events and are likely to be transient. In a seminal paper, Sellwood and Binney (2002) showed that in the presence of such perturbations a star can migrate over large radial distances. During interaction with a single steady spiral event of pattern speed $\Omega_p$, a star’s energy ($E$) and angular momentum ($J$) change while it conserves its Jacobi integral. In the $(E, J)$ plane, stars move along lines of constant $I_j = E - \Omega_p J$. For transient spiral perturbations, the star undertakes a random walk in the $(E, J)$ plane, deflected by a series of presumably uncorrelated spiral arm events which occur on time intervals of 500 Myr or so (the impulse acting on individual stars lasts for a fraction of this interval). For example, the solar family would have experienced $\sim 10$ of these events in the vicinity of corotation if only a fraction of the stars is moved in each event (Bland-Hawthorn, Krumholz, and Freeman, 2010).

Substantial variations in the angular momentum of a star are possible over its lifetime. A single spiral wave near corotation can perturb the angular momentum of a star over a broad distribution in $J$, with a tail up to 50%. The star is simply moved from one circular orbit to another, inward or outward, by up to 2 kpc or so. Conceivably, the same holds true for a star cluster except that most are dissolved in much less than an orbit period.

Support for the Sellwood-Binney mechanism (churning) comes from recent N-body simulations that allow for a steady accretion of gas from the outer halo (Roškar et al., 2008). These relatively “cold” simulations reveal some of the secular processes that may be acting over the lifetime of the disk. Averaged over the entire disk, Roškar et al. (2008) find that the rms radial excursion at $t = 10$ Gyr is $(\Delta R)^2 = 2$–3 kpc. For the outermost disk stars, the simulations show that $(\Delta R) \sim 3$–4 kpc, several times larger than their epicyclic radial excursion. There are related mechanisms that may behave even more efficiently and can even extract stars from the inner bulge and transport them across the disk (Quillen et al., 2009; Schönrich and Binney, 2009; Minchev and Famaey, 2010; Minchev et al., 2012). The widespread population of supersolar metallicity stars throughout the disk lends further support to the idea that circumnuclear stars can travel far out into the disk (Trevisan et al., 2011). This assumes that most supersolar stars are born in the inner regions which finds support from high-redshift observations (Hamann and Ferland, 1999; Savaglio et al., 2012). The same should hold true also for the populations of metal-poor stars originally formed in the inner bulge. Thus, it is no longer clear that the most ancient stars are highly concentrated toward the centers of galaxies.

VI. CHEMICAL SIGNATURES FROM THE GALACTIC HALO

A. General behavior

Detailed chemical abundances based on high-resolution ($R \approx 30,000$) spectroscopy for many elements (e.g., C, N, O, Na, Al, Mg, Ca, Si, Sc, Ti, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, Sr, Y, Zr, Ba, and Eu) are now available (Cayrel et al., 2004; Cohen et al., 2004; Barklem et al., 2005; Spite et al., 2005; François et al., 2007; Lai et al., 2008; Bonifacio et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011) for a large number of Galactic halo stars below $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2$. The general conclusion is clear: The large majority of the EMP stars were formed out of gas enriched by core-collapse SNe in the mass range $10 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 40$ (see Sec. III.B) This is concluded primarily from their enhanced [$\alpha$/Fe] ratios (see Fig. 13). There are, however, a number of exceptions to this observation. The behavior of zinc, or rather $[\text{Zn}/\text{Fe}]$, at the lowest metallicities cannot easily be explained by normal core-collapse SNe (see Fig. 14). Umeda and Nomoto (2002) and Umeda et al. (2002) showed that the production of Zn is favored in their nucleosynthesis site for Zn and notice that this element has a strong $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ dependence. Further indications of unusual zinc production come from measurements of the $\alpha$-element abundance ratios (e.g., Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008; Greif et al., 2009). For example, the solar family would have experienced $\sim 10$ of these events in the vicinity of corotation if only a fraction of the stars is moved in each event (Bland-Hawthorn, Krumholz, and Freeman, 2010). Substantial variations in the angular momentum of a star are possible over its lifetime. A single spiral wave near corotation can perturb the angular momentum of a star over a broad distribution in $J$, with a tail up to 50%. The star is simply moved from one circular orbit to another, inward or outward, by up to 2 kpc or so. Conceivably, the same holds true for a star cluster except that most are dissolved in much less than an orbit period.

Support for the Sellwood-Binney mechanism (churning) comes from recent N-body simulations that allow for a steady accretion of gas from the outer halo (Roškar et al., 2008). These relatively “cold” simulations reveal some of the secular processes that may be acting over the lifetime of the disk. Averaged over the entire disk, Roškar et al. (2008) find that the rms radial excursion at $t = 10$ Gyr is $(\Delta R)^2 = 2$–3 kpc. For the outermost disk stars, the simulations show that $(\Delta R) \sim 3$–4 kpc, several times larger than their epicyclic radial excursion. There are related mechanisms that may behave even more efficiently and can even extract stars from the inner bulge and transport them across the disk (Quillen et al., 2009; Schönrich and Binney, 2009; Minchev and Famaey, 2010; Minchev et al., 2012). The widespread population of supersolar metallicity stars throughout the disk lends further support to the idea that circumnuclear stars can travel far out into the disk (Trevisan et al., 2011). This assumes that most supersolar stars are born in the inner regions which finds support from high-redshift observations (Hamann and Ferland, 1999; Savaglio et al., 2012). The same should hold true also for the populations of metal-poor stars originally formed in the inner bulge. Thus, it is no longer clear that the most ancient stars are highly concentrated toward the centers of galaxies.
excluded), in particular for N [see, e.g., Spite et al. (2005)]. The evolution of [C/O] at low metallicities (see Fig. 14) tends to increase with decreasing metallicity (Akerman et al., 2004; Fabbian et al., 2009). This has been interpreted as a unique signature of metal-free SNe (Akerman et al., 2004). However, this signature may alternatively originate from massive Pop II stars in which significant amounts of C (and N) are dredged up and ejected in an extensive stellar wind induced by high rotational velocities (Chiappini et al., 2006; Meynet, Ekström, and Maeder, 2006; Fabbian et al., 2009). If so, the high C/O ratios in the metal-poor stars are not uniquely a signature of Pop III.

In general, odd-Z elements like K, Sc, V, and Co, but also Ti, appear to be difficult to synthesize in standard nucleosynthesis models of SNe (Kobayashi et al., 2006). Improvements can be achieved by including additional nucleosynthesis processes, such as the $\nu$ process (Yoshida, Umeda, and Nomoto, 2008), the $p\nu$ process (Fröhlich et al., 2006), which could be important for the production of Zn and above), or modifications of parameters such as

---

FIG. 13. $\alpha$-to-Fe abundance ratios in very metal-poor Galactic halo stars. In all panels, turn-off stars are denoted by black dots while giants are represented by open circles. The dashed lines denote the [$\alpha$/Fe] ratio in the Sun. The discrepancy between turn-off and giant stars for Si and Ti (in the analysis, Ti II, i.e., Ti $^+$, lines are used) are probably due to departures from local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) in the stellar atmospheres. In Mg and Ca, the non-LTE (NLTE) effects have been taken into account. Despite the observed discrepancies, the bulk of the halo sample shows a clear enhancement in the $\alpha$/Fe ratio, as compared to the Sun [see also Zhang et al. (2011) for an NLTE calculation of Si, showing an enhancement]. Adapted from Andrievsky et al., 2010 (Mg), Spite et al., 2012 (Ca), and Bonifacio et al., 2009 (Si and Ti).

FIG. 14 (color online). Evolution of zinc and carbon in the metal-poor, Galactic halo. Left: The data by Cayrel et al. (2004) show an increase of the [Zn/Fe] ratio with decreasing [Fe/H]. This increase may be understood in terms of a contribution of Zn from HNe. Adapted from Cayrel et al., 2004. Right: Similarly to the behavior of [Zn/Fe], there is an upturn in the [C/O] ratio at low metallicities (here measured by [O/H]). Pop III stars, or fast rotating Pop II stars, could be responsible for this upturn. Stellar data by Fabbian et al. (2009) are denoted by black diamonds while the open triangles are data of DLAs by Pettini et al. (2008). Adapted from Fabbian et al., 2009.
the electron fraction $Y_e$. However, this discrepancy may not only be an effect of incomplete modeling of the nucleosynthesis (Heger and Woosley, 2010), but could also result from systematic errors in the observations, such as non-LTE effects and/or the lack of a proper 3D abundance analysis (Asplund, 2005; Collet, Asplund, and Trampedach, 2006; Garcia Pérez et al., 2006; Lai et al., 2008; Bonifacio et al., 2009; Andrievsky et al., 2010). Bonifacio et al. (2009) identify a number of discrepancies between the inferred chemical abundances in EMP giants and turn-off stars (see Fig. 13). They concluded that these discrepancies are predominantly, possibly except for C, due to shortcomings in the abundance analysis. Indeed, Andrievsky et al. (2010) [see also Takeda et al. (2009)] found that a careful non-LTE analysis of Na, Al, Mg, and K tightens the abundance relations and some agreement with models of chemical evolution of these elements is attained. The same goes for silicon (Zhang et al., 2011). It is crucial that these systematic errors are identified and reduced.

Curiously, the signature of PISNe is not detected in the Galactic halo EMP stars (Cayrel et al., 2004; Tumlinson, Venkatesan, and Shull, 2004; Ballero, Matteucci, and Chiappini, 2006). This observation suggests that very massive stars were extremely rare in the early Universe, in contrast to some theoretical arguments (Abel, Bryan, and Norman, 2002; Bromm et al., 2009), but in agreement with other predictions (Salvadori, Schneider, and Ferrara, 2007; Tornatore, Ferrara, and Schneider, 2007; Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008). This issue will be discussed further in Sec. VI.D.

**B. Scatters, trends, and mixing**

Recent observations [see, e.g., Cayrel et al. (2004), Arnone et al. (2005), Barklem et al. (2005), and Andrievsky et al. (2010); however, see Zhang et al. (2011)] revealed surprisingly small star-to-star scatters in the $\alpha$-to-iron element ratios for very metal-poor and EMP stars, scatters which are consistent with observational uncertainties alone (see Fig. 13). This has been interpreted as evidence of a chemically well-mixed star-forming medium, even at very early epochs, in which the mixing time scale was extremely short. However, the star-to-star scatter in a given abundance ratio is only an indirect measure of the state of the early ISM or IGM. Indeed, a long star-formation time scale produces about the same scatter in the ISM as a short mixing time scale. Arnone et al. (2005) speculated that the longer cooling times in metal-poor gas may prevent subsequent star formation until the ejecta from whole generations of SNe were efficiently mixed. As a result, a small star-to-star scatter can be achieved. Very long cooling time scales would, however, wipe out any observed trend with metallicity, such as a decreasing $[\text{Zn/Fe}]$ with increasing $[\text{Fe/H}]$ observed for EMP giants (Cayrel et al., 2004), unless the SN yields, integrated over the IMF, show a significant metallicity dependence (see Fig. 15). At least in the case of $[\text{Zn/Fe}]$, theoretical yield calculations do not seem to predict such a dependence [see, e.g., Chiefi and Limongi (2004) and Nomoto et al. (2006)]. An interesting example where metallicity dependent yields may be able to explain observations is the decreasing $[\text{C/O}]$ trend with increasing $[\text{O/H}]$ studied by Akerman et al. (2004); but see Sec. VI.A. In their analysis, they adopted the yields by Chiefi and Limongi (2004).

A viable explanation to some observed trends is a change of the IMF itself, e.g., from a top-heavy IMF to an IMF which more resembles the present-day one (see Fig. 15). This route should be explored further. Interestingly, there are claims of IMF variations also in the local Universe which appear to arise in low surface brightness or low star-formation rate galaxies. There is now a very well-established deficiency of H\alpha luminosity relative to other star-formation indicators (e.g., uv luminosity) that is not easily explained away without modifying the IMF (Meurer et al., 2009). One possibility is that ionizing photons are leaking out of low surface brightness galaxies, such that the H\alpha luminosity does not reflect the true ionizing luminosity. But the effect could herald a nonuniform IMF at the present or any epoch as a consequence of galaxy evolution. Possible observational effects of an evolving early IMF are discussed further in Sec. VI.D.
The presence of trends in the EMP Galactic halo may alternatively suggest that the ISM at this point was, in fact, fairly unmixed. In a poorly mixed, extremely metal-poor ISM, two low-mass stars can form out of gas enriched by two SNe of different masses producing different amounts of heavy elements. In such a scenario, trends may simply result from the different mass-dependences of the SN yields (Karlsson and Gustafsson, 2005; Nomoto et al., 2006). However, in contrast to what is a consequence in homogeneous chemical evolution models, where the most metal-poor stars are enriched by the stars with the shortest lifetimes, i.e., the highest-mass stars, the most metal-poor stars will instead, in most cases, be enriched by the least massive SNe as they eject the least amount of metals.

A number of elements show clear evidence of inhomogeneous chemical enrichment. Large and real scatterers are found in the \( n \)-capture elements [see, e.g., François et al. (2007)] as well as in C and N (Spite et al., 2005). Although the scatter in the \( n \)-capture elements may result from the possibility that these elements are only produced in a small SN mass range as compared to light and intermediate-mass elements (Burris et al., 2000; Cescutti, 2008), this would not explain the observed scatter in those other elements. As regards nitrogen, Chiappini et al. (2006) speculated that the large observed scatter may originate from variations in the initial rotational velocity of extremely metal-poor massive stars, which are shown to produce large variations in the N yield (Hirschi, 2007). In general, a large star-to-star scatter is realized in metal-poor and unmixed gas for elements with stellar yields that depend strongly on some parameter, like initial mass or rotation (Karlsson and Gustafsson, 2005; Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008; Cescutti and Chiappini, 2010). The scatter dichotomy, where some elements show evidence of an unmixed ISM while others do not, may also need to be understood in the context of star cluster formation. If the majority of the EMP stars originally were formed in clusters, as are stars in the present-day Universe (Bland-Hawthorn et al., 2010), extra mixing will initially occur on the scale of the \( H_2 \) clouds out of which individual clusters were formed. Although this could provide enough mixing to explain the very small scatter, e.g., in \([\alpha/Fe]\) (Arnone et al., 2005), the large scatter observed for the neutron-capture elements must still be accounted for. This potential cluster-mixing issue requires further attention. In Sec. VIII, we will return to the importance of star clusters and the exciting prospects to use their chemical signatures as probes of the early star and galaxy formation.

The issue of trends, as illustrated in Fig. 15, and of the scatter dichotomy needs to be addressed in more detail. It is important to keep in mind that incomplete and/or biased samples of stars may not capture the true cosmic star-to-star scatter. Moreover, the effects of inhomogeneous chemical enrichment are only expected to be observed below \([Fe/H] \sim -3\) (Karlsson, 2005), a metallicity regime which still is quite poorly sampled. Future stellar surveys need to go deeper, with a focus on minimizing the observational uncertainties. The signatures of chemical evolution in physically distinct stellar systems, such as the bulge, halo, and dwarf galaxies, can then be intercompared and be used to disentangle progenitor mass-, age-, or metallicity-dependences on abundance trends (see Fig. 15). In order to fully unveil the chemical signatures of Pop III, it is of utmost importance to gain a deeper understanding of what drives the interstellar gas mixing, how efficient it is in various environments [see, e.g., Pan and Scalo (2007) and Greif et al. (2010)], and how it couples to star formation.

C. Outlier objects and carbon-enhanced metal-poor stars

The Hamburg/ESO survey of metal-poor stars discovered the three most iron-poor stars known to date: HE 0107 − 5240 (Christlieb et al., 2002), HE 1327 − 2326 (Frebel et al., 2005), and HE 0557 − 4840 (Norris et al., 2007). All three are ultra-metal-poor stars, lying well below \([Fe/H] = -4\), while two of them, HE 0107 and HE 1327, are hyper-metal-poor stars \((Fe/H) < -5\) according to the definition by Beers and Christlieb (2005). Curiously, these stars, in particular the two most iron-poor ones, also exhibit several abundance anomalies as compared to the bulk of Galactic halo EMP stars, including strong enhancements of CNO elements relative to Fe [only an upper limit of \([N/Fe] < 1.0\) is reported for HE 0557; see Norris et al. (2012)]. Na (HE 0107 and HE 1327), Mg (HE 1327), Al (HE 1327), and Ca (HE 1327). Furthermore, despite HE 1327 − 2326 being almost unevolved, it is strongly depleted in Li (Frebel et al., 2008). Different scenarios have been put forward to explain the anomalous abundance patterns, in particular the high CNO abundances. These scenarios include preenrichment of the primordial cloud by a faint SN (Umeda and Nomoto, 2003; Iwamoto et al., 2005), enrichment by massive stellar winds (Meynet, Ekström, and Maeder, 2006; Meynet et al., 2010), mass transfer from a binary companion [see, e.g., Suda et al. (2004) and Tumlinson (2007a)], and atmospheric dust-gas separation (Venn and Lambert, 2008). So far, none of the stars show radial velocity variations indicative of binarity and none of them seem to be particularly enhanced in \( s \)-process elements, which otherwise often is the case for stars affected by mass transfer from an AGB companion.

Recently, Spite et al. (2011) argued that the abundance patterns of HE 0107 and HE 1327 presumably did not suffer from gas depletion, as suggested by Venn and Lambert (2008), since the somewhat more metal-rich star analog, CS 22949 − 037, appears to show no enhancements in S and Zn. Enhancements in these elements would have been expected if the abundance pattern of CS 22949 − 037 had been locked to the dust condensation temperature, as it is in stars affected by dust depletion, like the \( \lambda \) Bootis stars. As an alternative explanation for the high C abundance, Karlsson (2006) explored a scenario in which the C (and N, O) originated from massive rotating stars [see also Meynet et al. (2010)] with \( m \gtrsim 40 M_\odot\), while the Fe originated from somewhat less massive core-collapse SNe in combination with a period of reduced star formation after the first generation of stars had formed (see below). For a similar scenario, see also Limongi, Chieffi, and Bonifacio (2003). Despite the unusually high CNO and the enhancements of a few of the intermediate-mass elements, normal core-collapse
SNe in the range $15 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 30$ seem to be fairly successful in describing the abundance ratios of the heavier elements.

It is not yet clear whether these stars tell us something fundamental about the first stars and the conditions of star formation in the early Universe, whether they are “outlier objects” formed under very special circumstances but not necessarily unique for Pop III star formation, or whether their chemical abundance patterns, at least some part of them, have been altered by a process subsequent to their formation, in which case the original signature would be hidden from us. It is important, however, not to disregard them in the context of chemical evolution purely based on the argument that they are “chemically weird” and lie too far away from the mean. Such a decision should be made based on more physical grounds and detailed observations (see Sec. VIII).

Carbon plays a special role in early star formation as it acts as an efficient cooling agent in metal-poor gas (see Sec. III.D). Indeed, a relatively large fraction of metal-poor stars below $[\text{Fe}/H] = -2$ has $[\text{C}/\text{Fe}] > 1$, as discovered by the HK and Hamburg/ESO surveys. The fraction of these carbon-enhanced metal-poor (CEMP) stars is estimated to be $\sim 10\%-20\%$ (Cohen et al., 2005; Lucatello et al., 2006), a fraction which tends to increase with decreasing metallicity. About $80\%$ of the CEMP stars have been shown to be enhanced also in the $s$-process elements. This subgroup is accordingly named CEMP-s. There is now convincing evidence that the vast majority of the CEMP-s stars are members of binary systems and that they, like the more metal-rich CH and Ba stars, likely obtained their peculiar abundance pattern by mass transfer from an evolved binary companion that is now extinct [see, e.g., Fujimoto, Ikeda, and Iben, Jr. (2000) and Lucatello, Tsangarides et al. (2005)].

Chemical peculiarities which are a manifestation of mass transfer in binaries prove that it is difficult to match models of first star yields to the measured abundances of EMP stars (McWilliam, Simon, and Frebel, 2010). This poses a potentially serious problem, as a non-negligible fraction of stars formed at all epochs may be formed in binary or multiple systems (Turk, Abel, and O’Shea, 2009; Stacy, Greif, and Bromm, 2010). Thus, the CEMP-s stars are not giving us a direct reading of the nucleosynthetic yields of the first stars. In particular, the light elements (e.g., CNO, $n$-capture elements, and possibly the $\alpha$ elements) may simply reflect the yields of nuclear fusion in a massive companion (e.g., AGB), dredged up and transferred to the surviving secondary. If the massive companion was even higher mass, the heavy elements of the survivor may reflect the nucleosynthetic yields at the time of the explosion rather than in a slow wind or Roche-lobe overflow. This is a more complex situation than the more direct case when a star’s abundances simply reflect the ISM chemistry at the time of collapse of the parent molecular cloud. In the latter case, the star’s abundances are a true reading of the chemistry over some mass scale (i.e., the mixing mass or molecular cloud mass) in the early Universe. The high degree of chemical homogeneity that is observed in present-day star clusters (De Silva et al., 2006; De Silva, Freeman, Asplund et al., 2007; De Silva, Freeman, Bland-Hawthorn et al., 2007) opens up a promising possibility of separating an original chemical signature from one that was imposed by a binary companion. We explore this possibility in more detail in Sec. VIII.

What about the remaining $20\%$ of the CEMP stars? The majority of these stars are either enriched in $r$-process elements, but no $s$ process (CEMP-r, i.e., CS 22892 – 052, Sneden et al., 2003), or they show no overabundance in $n$-capture elements altogether (CEMP-no). Even though attempts have been made to explain the abundance patterns of all CEMP stars with the mass-transfer scenario [see, e.g., Komiya et al. (2007) and Masseron et al. (2010)], it is not clear whether this is a necessary condition. Recently, Cooke et al. (2011) reported on a metal-poor ([Fe/H] $\approx -3$) damped Ly-\(\alpha\) system in the spectrum of the quasar J0335 $- 0918$, showing a significant enhancement in carbon. This finding suggests that extremely metal-poor star-forming gas could indeed be C enhanced, in which case true CEMP stars may exist without having to originate from a mass-transfer event in a binary system. Interestingly, three of the four ultra-metal-poor stars (Christlieb et al., 2002; Frebel et al., 2005; Norris et al., 2007) found below $[\text{Fe}/H] = -4.5$ are consistent with being CEMP-no stars. The only exception is the $[\text{Fe}/H] = -4.7$ star SDSS J102915 + 172927 (Caffau et al., 2011) which shows no evidence of CNO enhancements and is believed to have formed out of gas cooled by thermal dust emission (Klessen, Glover, and Clark, 2012; Schneider et al., 2012). Instead of treating these CEMP-no stars as individual, unique objects, it could, alternatively, be worthwhile to explore what one may learn if they were treated as “normal” stars in the general context of chemical evolution. If the gas out of which the EMP stars were formed experienced a period of low or delayed star formation, e.g., due to negative feedback effects from the first generations of stars, a small

FIG. 16 (color online). Predicted distribution of stars in the $[\text{C}/\text{Fe}]/[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ plane. In both panels, the thick dashed line indicates a carbon abundance of $[\text{C}/\text{H}] = -3.5$. The shaded area below this limit should, according to the “Frebel criterion” (see Sec. III.D), contain very few low-mass stars and are therefore disregarded here. Symbols denote various observations of stars in the Milky Way’s halo [for details, see Karlsson (2006)]. The peak of the predicted group of mega-metal-poor stars (i.e., $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -6$) is encircled. In the lower panel, the fiducial carbon yield is increased by a factor of 4 for stars in the mass range $30 \leq m/M_\odot \leq 60$, in order to estimate the effect of stellar rotation (Meynet and Maeder, 2002). Observed abundance ratios are not corrected for 3D effects. From Karlsson, 2006.
population of very C-enhanced, ultra-metal-poor stars is to be expected (Karlsson, 2006). Figure 16 shows the predicted distribution of EMP stars (gray and black shaded areas) in the [C/Fe] vs. [Fe/H] plane. Evidently, the predicted fraction of CEMP stars increases with decreasing [Fe/H], which mainly is due to the fact that low-mass star formation is assumed to be inhibited in regions with low contents of C and O; see Bromm and Loeb (2003) and Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm (2007). In these models, the predicted fraction of CEMP-no stars below [Fe/H] = −2 is roughly 1%–7%, depending on which set of stellar yields are used. This is consistent with the observed fraction of 2%–5%. Note that this prediction would be only marginally affected by the presence of ultra-metal-poor stars formed by the dust-cooling channel (see Sec. III.D), unless this channel will be found to completely dominate over the fine-structure line-cooling channel. As a result of the delayed star formation, the current model (see Fig. 16) is also able to explain the apparent downturn of the number of stars in the metallicity range −5 ≲ [Fe/H] ≲ −4. The deficit of stars in this region should, in this scenario, rather be interpreted as an extension or a stretching of the Galactic halo MDF below [Fe/H] ≈ −4. A small population of mega-metal-poor stars ([Fe/H] < −6) is also predicted to exist as a result of an initial enrichment by electron-capture SNe (see Sec. III.B.2).

D. Constraining the primordial IMF

1. The low-mass end of the IMF

As the vast majority of the primordial stars are believed to have been massive objects (see Sec. II) with lifetimes ≲ 10 Myr, we do not expect metal-free stars to exist today. This conclusion is well corroborated by observations (Ryan and Norris, 1991; Beers, Preston, and Shectman, 1992; Carney et al., 1996; Christlieb et al., 2002, 2004; Frebel et al., 2005; Schörrck et al., 2009; Yanny et al., 2009). Because of a number of observational constraints, the search for metal-free stars has concentrated on the solar neighborhood and the Galactic halo, leaving the presently unreachable Galactic bulge unexplored. However, the possibility that metal-free stars are exclusively hiding deep within the bulge seems slim (Brook et al., 2007; see also Sec. V.B). Another concern has been raised that stars may sweep up enriched gas as they orbit around the Galaxy, thereby concealing their true nature, but this also appears unlikely (Frebel, Johnson, and Bromm, 2009). To date, not a single metal-free star is known to exist in the Milky Way, or in any other galaxy of the Local Group that is observable with current facilities. This places direct constraints on the primordial IMF at the low-mass end (Bond, 1981; Oey, 2003; Karlsson, 2005; Tumlinson, 2006; Salvadori, Schneider, and Ferrara, 2007), and indicates that metal-free stars with masses ≤ 0.8 M⊙ never formed (see Table III).

CEMP stars may provide additional evidence for a higher mass scale of the IMF at early epochs. As discussed, the dominant fraction of the CEMP stars is believed to originate from binary mass transfer. A high CEMP fraction like the one observed can be understood if the formation of single stars with masses ≤ 0.8 M⊙ was suppressed at metallicities below [Fe/H] ≈ −2.5, which results in an IMF with a higher characteristic mass m∗ than that of the present-day one [see, e.g.,

| z | Agea (Gyr) | Lookback time (Gyr) | Upper stellar massb (M⊙) |
|---|---|---|---|
| ∞ | 0 | 13.78 | ⋯ |
| 30 | 0.10 | 13.68 | 0.799 | 0.974 |
| 10 | 0.49 | 13.30 | 0.806 | 0.981 |
| 6 | 0.96 | 12.83 | 0.814 | 0.991 |
| 3 | 2.21 | 11.58 | 0.837 | 1.018 |
| 1 | 5.98 | 7.80 | 0.934 | 1.130 |
| 0.434 | 9.21 | 4.57c | 1.089 | 1.313 |

aAge of the Universe at redshift of z. Calculated from the combined data (maximum likelihood) of WMAP 7-year + BAO + H0 (Komatsu et al., 2011).

bHighest mass of still surviving stars that formed at redshift of z. These stars have a lifetime equal to the lookback time. The first column gives the mass of stars with metallicity Z = 10−2.3Z⊙ (which is taken as a proxy for Z = 0) while the second column gives the mass of stars with solar metallicity. The ellipses indicate that no stars existed at the time of the big bang. Data are taken from http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd_2.2 (Marigo et al., 2008).

cAge of the Sun (Bonanno, Schlattl, and Paternò, 2002).

Lucatello, Gratton et al. (2005), Komiya et al. (2007), and Tumlinson (2007b)]. For EMP stars, characteristic masses in the range 1 ≤ m∗/M⊙ ≤ 10 are found in the literature. This result is broadly consistent with early star formation being dependent on metallicity. It appears, however, that metallicity may not be the sole parameter controlling the fraction of CEMP stars. Below [Fe/H] = −2, Frebel et al. (2006) found an increasing CEMP fraction with increasing distance from the Galactic plane in the Hamburg/ESO data. This spatial variation led Tumlinson (2007b) to argue for a time-dependent component of the IMF, coupled to the CMB (see Sec. III.D). The observed spatial variation of the fraction of CEMP(-s) stars can be explained in terms of a time-dependent IMF, where the Jeans mass is set by the decreasing temperature of the CMB, if the stars closer to the Galactic plane (i.e., thick disk stars) were formed later than the stars further out in the halo. Although plausible, the origin of the spatial variation of the CEMP star fraction merits further investigation. The fraction of binaries in a single stellar population could have been quite different at early epochs and may have varied with the star formation efficiency. If so, that would affect the fraction of CEMP stars. Nonetheless, irrespective of the precise explanation for the spatial variation of the CEMP fraction, the existence of such a variation can be utilized to obtain additional information on the origin of the Galactic halo and to help us discern the connection between the halo and the satellite dwarf galaxies. For example, a different CEMP fraction in dwarf galaxies as compared to that of, e.g., the inner halo would be suggestive of different origins of the stars in the two type of systems.

2. The high-mass end of the IMF

As regards the high-mass end of the primordial IMF, theory and observations tend to disagree. To put constraints on the high-mass end, we must resort to measurements of the nucleosynthetic signature of the first generations of stars, preserved in the second- and higher-order generations.
As discussed in Sec. VI.A, the emerging chemical signature of EMP stars in the Galactic halo indicates that the early stellar generations contained massive stars in a similar mass range and relative number fractions as the present-day IMF. There is no clear evidence of a significantly different shape of the IMF at the high-mass end. However, we ask the following question: Assuming that primordial very massive stars were able to form and that at least some of them where able to explode as PISNe, in what metallicity regime should we expect to find their chemical signature? Because of their very short lifetimes, PISNe must have been the first stars to enrich the ISM in metals. In the classical picture of chemical evolution, an initial enrichment by primordial PISNe would generate a metallicity floor out of which the second-generation, low-mass stars were able to form. These stars would thus be the most metal-poor stars to be found in the Galaxy and would show a unique PISNe signature, characterized by, but not limited to, a pronounced odd-even effect, highly supersolar [Si/O] and [S/C] ratios, and a lack of r- and s-process elements. Such a signature is, however, not observed, neither in the EMP stars nor in the ultra-metal-poor stars. These stars all show chemical signatures more resembling those of normal core-collapse SNe (see, however, Sec. VI.C for a further discussion of the ultra-metal-poor stars). This has been taken as an indication that very massive stars were exceedingly few in the early Universe [see, e.g., Tumlinson, Venkatesan, and Shull (2004) and Ballero, Matteucci, and Chiappini (2006)], if not altogether absent, in contrast to the predictions.

A different conclusion may, however, be drawn if the instantaneous mixing approximation assumed in homogeneous chemical evolution modeling is relaxed and the enrichment by SNe instead is allowed to occur locally, where the ejecta are mixed relatively slowly with their surroundings by turbulent diffusion. In order to simulate the stochastic enrichment by primordial PISNe in a cosmological context, Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm (2008) followed the initial star formation and chemical enrichment of collapsing atomic cooling halos. In the primordial star-formation mode, only massive and very massive stars were able to form. As the gas became metal enriched, the primordial mode was suppressed and replaced by a normal Pop II star-formation mode in which only low- and high-mass stars were allowed to form. The larger explosion energies of the PISNe were also taken into account as they sweep up larger amounts of gas before merging with the ISM.

The result is shown in Fig. 17. The predicted distribution of stars with a dominant contribution from primordial PISNe (gray-shaded area) is located around [Ca/H] = −2.5, which is significantly above the most metal-poor stars in the simulation (the distribution of the total population of simulated stars is indicated by the dashed lines). These stars have, in fact, such high Ca abundances that a fair fraction of them may risk remaining undetected in surveys of metal-poor stars, such as the HK and Hamburg/ESO surveys, in which the metal-poor star candidates are identified on the basis of the weakness (or absence) of the CaII K line. A similar result was found by Greif et al. (2010), who used three-dimensional cosmological simulations to study the assembly process of the first galaxies [cf. Salvadori, Schneider, and Ferrara (2007)]. For realistic estimates of the fraction of primordial very massive stars exploding as PISNe (say ~10% by mass in the primordial stellar population; Greif and Bromm, 2006), the fraction of low-mass stars below [Ca/H] = −2 with a dominant PISN signature is predicted to be very low, only ~3 × 10−4 (Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008). This may well explain the fact that such a star has not yet been found [see also Salvadori, Schneider, and Ferrara (2007)]. Current observational data are able to constrain the fraction of metal-free, very massive stars to ~40%, by mass (Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm, 2008).

It should be pointed out that the newly discovered ultra-faint dwarf galaxies may be a particularly favorable site for searching for the PISN signature. If these galaxies originated from the atomic cooling halos, they may have been enriched by Pop III stars, or even formed Pop III stars themselves [cf. Frebel and Bromm (2012)]. Since a relatively large fraction of the ultrafaint dwarf galaxy stars has metallicities below [Fe/H] = −2, the fraction of stars with a PISN-dominated signature may be larger by a factor of about 3, compared to the corresponding fraction in the Galactic halo.

We note that Eta Carinae and the Pistol Star are two examples of very massive stars in the Galaxy. Recently, Crowther et al. (2010) also claimed to have identified several massive stars in excess of 150 M⊙ in the young super star cluster R136 in the Large Magellanic Cloud. Furthermore, Gal-Yam et al. (2009) reported on an extremely powerful SN explosion which appears to have resulted from the death of a very massive star of about 200 M⊙ [see Smith et al. (2007) for another example of a very energetic SN explosion].

VII. CHEMICAL SIGNATURES FROM LOW-MASS GALAXIES

A. Dwarf galaxies

Low-mass galaxies in the Local Group have come increasingly into focus as ideal laboratories to study the early
enrichment history of the Universe. Here we first introduce the relevant phenomenology, and proceed to discuss what constitutes a bona fide first galaxy. These systems, in turn, could serve as the host for the formation of the first low-mass Pop II stars, thus allowing us to make the connection with the nucleosynthesis provided by the first stars.

1. Simplified chemical laboratories

In recent years, we have come to realize that the Galactic halo is an amalgam of many systems and fragments (Helmi, 2008; Tolstoy, Hill, and Tosi, 2009). Rather than concentrating on the general halo population, we anticipate that future studies will target metal-poor stars in halo subsystems. These include11 dwarf spheroidals (Mateo, 1998), ultrafaint dwarfs (Simon and Geha, 2007; Kirby et al., 2008), stellar streams (Ibata, Gilmore, and Irwin, 1995; Chou et al., 2010), stellar associations (Walsh, Jerjen, and Willman, 2007), and satellites to dwarf galaxies (Coleman et al., 2004; Belokurov et al., 2009). So to what extent can we learn about the first stars from these systems?

Initially, things did not look good for fossil signatures in dwarf galaxies. Several groups pointed out that stars enriched by the first stars are likely to be found at the centers of galaxies (Bland-Hawthorn and Peebles, 2006; Tumlinson, 2010) because the coupling efficiency of the explosive supernova explosion. Afterward, the enriched gas should be able to cool, collapse, and form (at least) one subsequent generation of stars. Recently, Bland-Hawthorn, Sutherland, and Gallagher, III, 2004; Tolstoy, Hill, and Tosi, 2009); these evidence of this may be the starbursts spaced by a few Gyr (Nichols, Lin, and Bland-Hawthorn, 2012). Independent evidence of this reflects a simple mass-metallicity dependence or a more complex process involving, e.g., the baryon fraction (Salvadori et al., 2010).

2. Minimum galaxy mass

What then is the minimum mass a galaxy can have (Bromm and Yoshida, 2011), which we refer to as a “critical mass dwarf galaxy”? This question has been asked numerous times over the years in different contexts [see, e.g., Tolstoy, Hill, and Tosi (2009)] and is particularly relevant today with the discovery of ultrafaint dwarf galaxies (Willman et al., 2005; Simon and Geha, 2007). In part, the answer depends on the epoch of galaxy formation. If dark matter is made up of weakly interacting massive particles ($\mu_{W} \sim 100$ GeV), it can fragment down to Jupiter mass scales (Diemand, Moore, and Stadel, 2005; Bertschinger, 2006). But in order for the IGM to overcome thermal pressure and accrete onto dark matter, the mass of the minihalo must have exceeded $10^{8} M_{\odot}$ before reionization (Loeb, Ferrara, and Ellis, 2008). During the reionization epoch, in order for the local gas density to survive heating through photoionization (which suppresses star formation), the minimum halo mass exceeded $10^{9} M_{\odot}$ (Ikeuchi, 1986; Rees, 1986; Haiman, Thoul, and Loeb, 1996). These simple calculations based on ionization balance are supported by 3D hydrodynamical simulations (Quinn, Katz, and Efstathiou, 1996; Navarro and Steinmetz, 1997; Gnedin, 2000; Okamoto, Gao, and Theuns, 2008). In contrast, others argued that star formation was diminished but not fully suppressed, such that galaxies with masses below $10^{8} M_{\odot}$ form stars during and immediately after reionization (Gnedin and Kravtsov, 2006; Bovill and Ricotti, 2009; Salvadori and Ferrara, 2009). Ricotti (2009) argued for late-phase accretion in dwarfs as recently as $z \approx 1–2$. Independent evidence of this may be the starbursts spaced by a few Gyr seen in the star-formation histories of dwarf galaxies (Grebel and Gallagher, III, 2004; Tolstoy, Hill, and Tosi, 2009); these bursts were presumably triggered by accretion or reaccretion of cold gas, although a perigalactic passage is not ruled out (Nichols, Lin, and Bland-Hawthorn, 2012).

Assuming that gas accretion can occur, we take as the working definition of a “critical mass dwarf galaxy” a dark matter halo whose baryons survive the impact of (at least) one supernova explosion. Afterward, the enriched gas should be able to cool, collapse, and form (at least) one subsequent generation of stars. Recently, Bland-Hawthorn, Sutherland, and Karlsson (2011) demonstrated that a clumpy medium is much less susceptible to SN sweeping (particularly if it is off centered) because the coupling efficiency of the explosive

11We have not included globular clusters in this list. While they constitute a halo population, they have remarkably high levels of enrichment for systems that are believed to be ancient (Gratton, Sneden, and Carretta, 2004).
energy is much lower than for a diffuse interstellar medium. With the aid of the 3D hydro code FYRIS (Sutherland, 2010), they show that baryons are retained and stars are formed in dark matter halos down to $3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. These systems are expected to have distinct chemical signatures which may be detectable in the near future, either from direct observations of dwarf galaxies or from quasistellar object (QSO) sight-line spectra due to the presence of dwarfs around distant galaxies.

This limiting case opens up a profitable line of inquiry, particularly when we consider the chemical signatures produced by the discrete supernova events (Bland-Hawthorn, Sutherland, and Karlsson, 2011). While the critical mass dwarf galaxies may not contribute a large fraction of baryons and dark matter to the formation of galaxies, they are likely to carry important information on the stars that were formed at the earliest cosmic times. The possible connection between the critical mass dwarf galaxies and the faintest galaxies in the Local Group must be further investigated. In fact, given that stars below [Fe/H] $\sim -3$ are detected in the dwarf galaxies (Kirby et al., 2009; Frebel et al., 2010; Norris et al., 2010; Starkenburg et al., 2010), we could, without fully realizing it, already be looking at the very formation sites of the first stars [cf. White and Springel (2000)].

B. Damped Lyman-$\alpha$ systems

An interesting development is the recent discovery of very metal-poor DLA systems along QSO sight lines (Pettini et al., 2008; Penprase et al., 2010). If these are protogalactic structures that have recently formed from the IGM, there is the prospect of identifying the chemical imprint of early generations of stars [see, e.g., Pettini et al. (2002)]. Interestingly, two of these systems with [Fe/H] $\sim -3$ may bear the hallmarks of early stellar enrichment (Erni et al., 2006; Cooke et al., 2011).

First, Erni et al. (2006) identified a DLA toward the QSO Q0913 + 072 ($z = 2.785$) with an iron abundance characteristic of the IGM at that redshift. The C, N, O, Al, and Si abundances show an odd-even effect reminiscent of the most metal-poor stars in the Galactic halo. This pattern is created in models where the neutron flux is low [see, e.g., Heger and Woosley (2002)], presumably due to the low overall metal abundance. A more striking signature is the strong [N/H] depletion which Pettini et al. (2002) argued is further evidence for a system that has recently formed from the IGM. Erni et al. (2006) argued that the abundances are in good agreement with (10–50)M_\odot zero metallicity Pop III models.

Second, Cooke et al. (2011) identified a DLA toward the QSO J0035 – 0918 ($z = 2.340$) with a remarkably strong C/Fe enhancement and with the same odd-even effect in light elements. This result is particularly striking because it is reminiscent of the recent discovery of CEMP stars in the Galactic halo (Beers and Christlieb, 2005; Lucatello et al., 2006). Cooke et al. (2011) infer the total mass of neutral gas to be $\lesssim 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ within a linear scale of $\lesssim 100$ pc. The inferred amount of carbon is consistent with one or two SN enrichment events from primordial, or very metal-poor high-mass stars. At face value, these observations suggest that at least some fraction of the CEMP stars, in particular, those which are not s-process enhanced (see Sec. VLC), may have formed out of carbon-enhanced gas and are therefore, in a sense, truly C-enhanced stars (Karlsson, 2006).

The importance of such observations is twofold: (i) they provide a chemical signature on a mass scale that is orders of magnitude larger than the mass of a stellar envelope, and is therefore expected to be more representative of early star formation; and (ii) they allow us to probe in situ enrichment at early cosmic epochs. The fact that we recover chemical signatures that are strikingly similar to those observed in ancient halo stars is an example of the powerful synergies between near-field and far-field cosmology (Freeman and Bland-Hawthorn, 2002). In Sec. VIII, we look at another example of a chemical signature on comparable mass scales.

VIII. THE IMPACT OF STAR CLUSTERS

As we have seen, the chemical information arising from the most metal-poor stars is difficult to unravel (Nomoto et al., 2005; Kirby et al., 2008). One possibility is that not all of the stars are providing us with an unambiguous “reading” of the early enrichment of the primordial interstellar gas. As mentioned in Sec. VLC, a significant fraction of extremely metal-poor stars appears to have undergone mass transfer with a companion (Lucatello, Tsangarides et al., 2005; Ryan et al., 2005) which complicates any attempt at inferring the progenitor yields for elements such as CNO and light $\alpha$ elements. Binarity may explain why the elemental abundances of the most metal-poor stars defy a clear explanation at the present time (McWilliam, Simon, and Frebel, 2010; Joggerst et al., 2010). It is therefore imperative that we can distinguish the effects of binarity from the effects of homogeneous mixing, where we may stand a better chance of learning about the yields of the first stars.

Recently, Bland-Hawthorn et al. (2010) demonstrated how this issue may be resolved by incorporating a missing ingredient into existing models of stochastic chemical evolution—the formation of star clusters. If the surface abundances of a star are altered, e.g., by a mass transfer from an evolved binary companion, they no longer constitute a bona fide imprint of the chemical state of the gas cloud out of which the star once was formed. However, if one could have access to a large number of stars formed out of the same gas cloud and with identical surface abundances to begin with, one could afford a fraction of these stars to be “polluted,” without suffering the loss of information on the parent gas cloud. This is where the star clusters come in. If clusters were able to form in the early Universe, they should be incorporated into the models to allow for a correct interpretation of the observational data.

A. The distribution of cluster masses and chemical homogeneity

In the present-day Universe, most stars are born in a single burst within compact clusters and stellar fragments, rather than in isolation. This fact is well established in the local Universe (Lada and Lada, 2003) and a growing body of evidence suggests that it is likely to be true also at the time of the first galaxies (Clark, Glover, and Klessen, 2008; Turk, Abel, and O’Shea, 2009; Stacy, Greif, and Bromm, 2010;
In order to derive the impact of star clusters on the abundance plane, we must consider the progenitor mass distribution of star clusters. It is now well established [see, e.g., Larsen (2009)] that star clusters have a range of masses extending from a minimum mass ($M_{\text{min}}$) to a maximum mass ($M_{\text{max}}$). Although the particular situation for dwarf galaxies is not clear, we know from the cloud mass distribution that the range of masses covers many decades [see, e.g., Escala and Larson (2008)]. The birth distribution of stellar clusters is known as the initial cluster mass function (ICMF) and is assumed to have the form

$$dN/dM_* = \chi(M_*) = \chi_0 M_*^{-\gamma},$$

(17)

where $M_*$ denotes the cluster mass. At least in the nearby Universe, the observations may support a universal slope of $\gamma = 2$ in most environments, i.e., equal mass per logarithmic bin [Lada and Lada, 2003; Fall, Chandar, and Whitmore, 2005, 2009; Elmegreen, 2010], although a flatter slope may be more applicable in the early Universe (Bland-Hawthorn et al., 2010). However, the slope cannot be too flat. Because the star-to-star scatters, particularly for the $r$-process elements, decrease with decreasing $\gamma$, the slope must be steep enough to ensure consistency with the observed scatters [see, e.g., François et al. (2007)].

De Silva et al. (2006), De Silva, Freeman, Asplund et al. (2007), and De Silva, Freeman, Bland-Hawthorn et al. (2007) showed that both old- ($\sim 10$ Gyr) and intermediate-age ($\sim 1$ Gyr) open clusters are chemically homogeneous to a high degree ($\Delta[\text{Fe/H}] \leq 0.03$ dex). Bland-Hawthorn, Krumholz, and Freeman (2010) provided a condition that explains why chemical homogeneity is expected in most star-forming clusters. For a star cluster to be chemically uniform, it must form from a gas cloud that has collapsed and finished forming stars before the first supernova goes off within the cloud. A “homogeneity condition” is given that depends only on the column density through the cloud for a given cloud mass. A typical open cluster is expected to be chemically uniform up to about $10^{4-5}M_\odot$, and a globular cluster is expected to be uniform up to $10^{5-6}M_\odot$. The homogeneity condition has an important consequence for the distribution of stars in the abundance plane, and the effects should be observable even in the limit of only a few data points.

As a result of stars being formed in chemically homogeneous clusters, “clumping” of stars in abundance space, say, in the $[\text{Eu/Fe}]-[\text{Fe/H}]$ plane, is to be expected. It is important to understand that the majority of the clusters considered here are supposed to have been dissolved into the diffuse field. However, while the stellar kinematical information is lost, the chemical information is still preserved and the prospects of reconstructing clusters in abundance space are high, as long as the elemental abundance determinations are accurate enough (i.e., $\Delta[\text{Fe/H}] \leq 0.1$ dex). This is the key point. If detected, the most metal-poor abundance groupings are likely to reflect the conditions in the gas at the onset of star formation. The spectra of these stars can be added without loss of information to produce a more accurate measurement of the progenitor conditions. This abundance measurement is averaged over a substantial amount of gas and is therefore not subject to mixing anomalies (Karlsson and Gustafsson, 2001) or mass transfer in binaries [see, e.g., Lucatello, Tsangarides et al. (2005)].

A clean signature of clustering, particularly at low metallicity, is important because it indicates the presence of massive star clusters in the early Universe and conceivably provides a constraint on the mass of the first systems. Particularly strong clumping in abundance space, for a given number of stars in the sample, would indicate a highly flattened ICMF, or high-mass cutoffs ($M_{\text{min}}, M_{\text{max}}$). This could herald the onset of the formation of massive star clusters in dwarf galaxies [see, e.g., Bromm and Clarke (2002)]. If the star-formation efficiencies were low at that time, this may require supermassive gas clouds ($\geq 10^7M_\odot$) to have formed even at the earliest times (Abel, Bryan, and Norman, 2000), possibly consistent with the regular occurrence of massive star-forming clumps at high redshift (Elmegreen and Elmegreen, 2005; Förster Schreiber et al., 2006; Genzel et al., 2006). Conversely, if such clustering was not observed, then we would infer that the slope of the early ICMF is steep, or the maximum cluster size is relatively small compared to the present day. But the observed scatter in the abundance plane would need to be consistent with the non-detection of clustering.

B. The Galactic halo

Clumping in abundance space [see, e.g., Revaz et al. (2009)] can also be used as an independent probe of galaxy formation. The detection of clumping in the Galactic halo would give us unique insight into how the different components of the Galactic halo were formed and whether the building blocks of the halo possibly differed, in terms of how they formed stars, from the dwarf galaxies that presently orbit around the Milky Way. However, since the stellar mass of the halo is large as compared to the mass of a typical cluster, the number of clusters building up the halo is relatively large (cf. Sec. VIII.C) and clumping may be difficult to detect.

We illustrate with a specific example. The large SDSS and SEGUE surveys (see Sec. VA) contain data on hundreds of thousands of stars, most of which belong to the Galactic thick disk and halo. Although the spectral information is limited, these surveys unveiled a new multicomponent galaxy rich in substructure and whose formation and subsequent evolution generally is consistent with a hierarchical buildup from smaller systems (Bell et al., 2008; Ivezić et al., 2008; Carollo et al., 2010; de Jong et al., 2010). Among the SEGUE stars, $\sim 60,000$ are G dwarfs (Yanny et al., 2009). Only a minor fraction of these stars likely belong to the halo. Assuming a three-component, smooth model of the galaxy [see, e.g., de Jong et al. (2010)], we estimate that about 35% of the SEGUE G dwarfs are halo stars, which corresponds roughly to 21,000 stars.
Given that they can be identified unambiguously, how much of the total (stellar) halo mass is probed by these G dwarfs? If the stars are completely phase mixed, they will probe the entire initial formation mass $M_{\text{halo,form}} \approx 1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ of the Galactic halo. An extreme lower limit would be to assume that the stars only probe the instant volume of the survey. We can, however, do slightly better by assuming that all stars follow circular orbits around the Galaxy and integrate the mass over the spherical shell whose boundaries are defined by the inner- and outermost G dwarf orbits. Again, making use of a smooth model of the stellar halo, and assuming that the G dwarfs are found within 6–14 kpc from the Galactic center, the mass fraction probed by the SEGUE halo stars is estimated to $\sim 0.1$. Because halo stars usually have nonzero eccentricities, this estimate should be a lower limit.

The two scenarios are displayed in Fig. 18 (top and middle panels). The left-hand-side panels show the distribution of simulated Galactic halo stars in the [Ca/Fe]-[Fe/H] plane. These are generated by the stochastic chemical evolution model presented by Bland-Hawthorn et al. (2010), assuming a present-day-like ICMF with $\gamma = 2$ and cluster masses between $50 M_\odot$ and $2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$. The corresponding right-hand-side panels show the excess amount of detected clumping as compared to spurious, random clumping. The group-finding algorithm ENLINK (Sharma and Johnston, 2009) is used to produce the clumping statistics. ENLINK calculates the number of clumps having a given number of stars within them, both for the wanted distribution and for a “smooth” background distribution. A comparison between these two numbers indicates whether excess clumping is present in the wanted distribution. The “observational” uncertainties are set to 0.01 dex in both [Ca/Fe] and [Fe/H]. Although beyond reach for present-day abundance analyses, we choose such a small uncertainty in order to disentangle the observational “smearing” from the smearing due to undersampling. Clearly, clumping is intrinsically difficult to detect for the larger halo mass $M_{\text{halo,form}} \approx 1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, and for the given number of stars in the survey (top panels). In a $10 \times$ smaller halo mass, however, excess clumping is present (middle panels of Fig. 18). Note that the clumping of stars is visible to the naked eye in the middle left panel. The

![Fig. 18](color online). Possibility of detecting star clusters in the Milky Way halo. The left panels show the predicted distribution of 21,000 stars in the [Ca/Fe]-[Fe/H] plane. The intrinsic observational uncertainties are set to 0.01 dex in both axes. The right panels indicate the corresponding degree of excess clumping [dark-gray (blue in the online version) step functions] as compared to spurious clumping in an “infinitely massive” model where no two stars originate from the same cluster (black step functions). The clumping statistics is calculated for stars below $[\text{Fe/H}] = -2.5$ (white areas) using ENLINK (Sharma and Johnston, 2009). The parameter $G(>S)$ is defined as the number of clumps with $>S$ stars relative to the background ($S$ is defined as a relative overdensity). The stars in the top panel probe the entire initial stellar mass of the Galactic halo ($M_{\text{halo,form}} = 1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$) while the stars in the middle panel only probe 10% of the halo mass (i.e., 10% of the number of star clusters), which is comparable to the mass probed by the halo G dwarfs in the SEGUE survey. In contrast to the top panels, clumping is clearly observed. In the bottom panel, the stars from the middle panel are additionally impaired by an observational uncertainty of 0.2 dex in both abundance ratios. As a consequence, the clumping is almost entirely washed out. The dots (red in the online version) in the left panels denote stars strictly enriched by material from a single SN. These stars may not necessarily be the most metal-poor stars in the sample.
clumping statistics displayed in the middle right panel shows the presence of excess clumping. The bottom panels show the resulting amount of excess clumping taking into account the observational uncertainty of the SEGUE survey. A fiducial uncertainty of 0.2 dex in both axes will almost entirely wash out any excess clumping. This suggests that evidence of clustering will be difficult to detect in the SEGUE sample, even if stars did form in clusters also at the epoch of formation of the Galactic halo stars.

We note that stars strictly enriched by a single SN (dots in Fig. 18) constitute a fraction of $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ of the total number of halo stars in this particular model. The corresponding fraction below $[\text{Fe/H}] = -2.5$ is $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$. These fractions depend on several factors such as the IMF, the ICMF, and the amount of turbulent mixing of the ISM. These stars generally appear in a range of metallicities $-4 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -2.5$ and may not necessarily be the most metal-poor stars in the sample. Note that these stars are enriched by normal core-collapse SNe and are distinct from the “second-generation stars” predominantly enriched by the PISNe, which may show up at somewhat higher metallicities, as discussed in Sec. VI.D. The identification of single-SN-star-clusters will give us direct insight into primordial stellar yields.

Figure 19 shows the amount of excess clumping predicted for a sample 10 times larger than the SEGUE halo G dwarf sample (left) and the amount predicted for a SEGUE-sized sample for which the observational uncertainty is reduced to 0.05 dex in both axes (right). Enhanced excess clumping is detected in the latter case. This shows the necessity of acquiring as small observational errors as possible, sometimes in favor of a larger number of stars, since crucial information may be buried in the details. If the abundance errors are worse than about 0.1 dex, it is difficult to detect excess clumping. In the case of measurements with larger errors, the number of stars must, however, be large enough to ensure an adequate sampling rate per cluster.

In the (worst) case of complete phase mixing of the Galactic halo stars (i.e., a by the survey, sampled mass of $M_{\text{survey}} = M_{\text{halo,form}} = 1 \times 10^9 M_\odot$), we need roughly $2.4 \times 10^9$ stars to obtain an average sampling rate of one...

---

**FIG. 19** (color online). Expected amount of excess clumping in two different model halo samples. Left: The amount of clumping in a sample 10 times the size of the SEGUE halo G dwarf sample (~210,000 stars, 0.2 dex uncertainty in both axes). Right: The amount of clumping in a SEGUE-sized sample (~21,000 stars) for which the observational uncertainty is reduced to 0.05 dex in both axes. Excess clumping is detected in this sample [note the dark-gray (blue in the online version) step function reaching beyond the black one], while the sample displayed in the left panel only shows very little evidence of excess clumping. See caption of Fig. 18 for a definition of $S$ and $G(S)$.

**FIG. 20.** A simulation of a targeted study of a nearby ($D = 30$ kpc) dwarf galaxy ($M_* = 3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$) on an 8 m (top) and 30 m (bottom) telescope, assuming a limiting magnitude of $V = 18$ and 22, respectively. Stars are assumed to form in clusters according to an ICMF with a slope of $\gamma = 1.5$ (left). The panels on the right show a corresponding galaxy with no clustering, for comparison. Simulated errors are 0.1 dex in both $[\text{Fe/H}]$ and $[\text{Fe/H}]$ standing for any $r$-process element, and $[\text{Fe/H}]$ in the top figures and 0.05 dex in the bottom figures. There is evidence of clustering at $[\text{Fe/H}] = -3.0$ from a sample of ~10 stars on an 8 m class telescope; the clustering is easily detected in the 30 m telescope experiment. Above $[\text{Fe/H}] = -3.0$ (gray-shaded area), crowding becomes increasingly severe which makes clumping increasingly more difficult to detect. From Bland-Hawthorn et al., 2010.
star per cluster (note that the most massive clusters will be sampled by $\gg 1$ stars while the least massive ones will generally fall below a sampling rate of one star per cluster), assuming a present-day-like ICMF with cluster masses in the range $(50–2 \times 10^5)M_\odot$ and a slope of $\gamma = 2$. This is 10 times more stars than the total number of stars in the SEGUE survey. Hence, depending on parameters like the ICMF and the amount of phase mixing, there is an optimal survey size for identifying evidence of clustering. However, in order to probe, e.g., “single-SN-star-clusters,” the low-mass end of the ICMF, or the enrichment by rare types of SNe, larger samples may be required.

C. Dwarf galaxies

So far, we mainly focused on the Galactic halo when discussing the possibility of finding evidence of ancient star clusters by searching for clumps or aggregates in the chemical abundance diagrams. What about the stars in dwarf galaxies? As suggested from the results in Sec. VIII.B (see Fig. 18), the amount of excess clumping is expected to be larger for less massive systems, i.e., for a fixed number of stars in the sample, and the crowding should be less severe. Unfortunately, the larger distances to the dwarf galaxies make it a challenge to observe many stars.

To emphasize the impact of clustering with low number statistics, we simulate the abundance measurements for a dwarf galaxy at a distance of 30 kpc (see Fig. 20), as observed on 8 and 30 m class telescopes, respectively. We consider a galaxy with a stellar mass of $3 \times 10^5M_\odot$, typical of a faint dwarf galaxy. This object has about $10^6$ stars, a luminosity of $10^3L_\odot$, and an absolute V magnitude of $M_V = -7.6$ assuming a Salpeter IMF. For echele observations on an 8 m telescope (see Fig. 20), we assume measurement errors of 0.1 dex in both [$\alpha$/Fe] and [Fe/H]. Since the mass distribution of star-forming clouds in dwarf galaxies is not well established, we treat the slope of the ICMF in Eq. (17) as a free parameter. For $\gamma = 2$, the effects of clustering start to become evident. For the same experiment on a 30 m telescope (see Fig. 20), we assume a general improvement in the atmospheric models and the experimental errors, and therefore adopt errors of 0.05 dex. The effects of clustering, which are easily seen, remain clearly visible even after a twofold increase in the measurement errors in both axes.

In summary, the simulation in Fig. 20 is a powerful statement of the importance of multobject echele spectrographs on ELTs. It should be relatively straightforward to detect the decreasing abundance scatter and the imprint of early star clusters for individual dwarf galaxies. And, by specifically targeting subgiants, for which accurate ages can be determined, we have an additional, independent measure of cluster membership. The reconstructed cluster must be a coeval population by definition.

1. Signatures of ancient star clusters: The case of the MDF

Signatures of relic clusters do not have to be identified in multidimensional abundance space. Already in one dimension “bumps” and irregularities in the MDF, particularly in the metal-poor tail, could be a indication of disrupted clusters. Karlsson et al. (2012) reported on a tentative detection of a relic star cluster in the Sextans dSph. They reanalyzed the abundance data of six very metal-poor stars below [Fe/H] = $-2.5$ by Aoki et al. (2009) and found that at least three stars clumped together in chemical abundance space, particularly in Mg, Ti, Cr, Ba, and Fe. While two of the “clump” stars have similar [Na/Fe], the third star has a significantly lower [Na/Fe]. This could possibly be a manifestation of the Na-O anticorrelation observed in Galactic globular clusters, and may suggest that these stars were once members of a very metal-poor globular cluster. The weighted iron abundance of the clump stars is [Fe/H] = $-2.7$, which would make the parent cluster the most metal-poor cluster to date. Interestingly, in the recalibrated CaT data of Sextans by Starkenburg et al. (2010), there is a clear sign of a bump in the MDF at [Ca/H] $= -2.65$, very close to the mean Ca abundance of the three stars observed by Aoki et al. (2009). Karlsson et al. (2012) made use of this bump to estimate the initial mass of the cluster to $M_{\ast,\text{init}} = 1.9^{+1.5}_{-0.5}(1.6^{+1.2}_{-0.8}) \times 10^5M_\odot$, assuming a Salpeter (or Kroupa (2001)) IMF.

There is also evidence for a kinematical substructure, possibly a remnant star cluster, in the central region of Sextans (Kleyna et al., 2004; Battaglia et al., 2011; see, however, Walker et al. (2006)). This substructure has a metallicity of [Fe/H] $\sim -2.6$ (Battaglia et al., 2011), which is close to the metallicity of the clump stars and a common origin would not be implausible. This lends further support to the hypothesis of the existence of a now disrupted star cluster in Sextans, whose signature can be detected both in velocity space, multidimensional abundance space, and in the MDF.

A metal-poor environment of dwarf galaxies like dSphs provides an ideal base for searching for ancient clusters. In the data of Kirby et al. (2011), several MDFs exhibit bumpy tails, such as Leo II, Draco, and Ursa Minor. Interestingly, the Ursa Minor dSph is known to possess a second concentration of stars roughly 14' from the center. Kleyna et al. (2003) claimed that these stars belong to a cold substructure, consistent with the remnants of a disrupted star cluster.

It would also be interesting to compare ensembles of MDFs for different types of galaxies. In a first attempt, Karlsson et al. (2012) compared the MDFs of Sextans, Sculptor, Fornax, and Carina dSphs (Starkenburg et al., 2010), with the MDFs of eight ultrafaint dwarf galaxies observed by Kirby et al. (2008, 2011). They found an excess scatter between the MDFs of the dwarf spheroidals, consistent with the presence of clusters distributed in mass according to the present-day ICMF. No such excess scatter was found between the MDFs of the eight ultrafaints. Together with an observed offset between the average MDF of the ultrafaints and that of the dSphs, the distinction in the degree of MDF bumpiness may suggest that the two groups of galaxies were formed in different environments. Again, this shows that the identification and reconstruction of disrupted star clusters in chemical abundance space is important, not only to gain a deeper understanding of star formation and stellar yields, but also of galaxy formation and the buildup of galactic stellar haloes. Any bump in the MDF of a dwarf galaxy need, however, to be confirmed or refuted as a cluster signature, e.g., in multidimensional abundance space.
IX. PEERING INTO THE FUTURE

It is an extraordinary fact that we can probe back to the earliest generations of stars from observations of the local Universe—this is what we mean by near-field cosmology. The first stars were unique to their time: They were responsible for the first chemical elements (Ryan-Weber, Pettini, and Madau, 2006) and for reionizing the neutral fog of hydrogen that permeated the early Universe (Fan et al., 2002). Our goal in describing pregalactic enrichment is to understand the formation of the first stellar generations and their yields. Did the first stars form in isolation or in groups? Were relatively few stars responsible for reionization or was it triggered by the collective effect of massive star clusters? Just what are the processes that govern star formation at extremely low metallicity? Is this exclusively the domain of the most massive stars, or can substantial intermediate and low-mass stars form? In other words, did stellar populations observable today exist before reionization? We have few if any answers at the present time. While this is a field that is very much in its infancy, we believe there has been sufficient progress to warrant our review.

The chemical signatures imprinted in old, metal-poor stellar populations make up a vast repository of information that takes us back to the first few hundred million years of the Universe. It is an invaluable tool that allows us to witness the very process of primordial and early star formation in unparalleled detail. But not only that, chemical signatures on galactic scales help us to understand the connection between local dwarf galaxies and those systems which once built up the Milky Way. A more coherent and complete picture of the galaxy formation process is now emerging from observations of nearby stars.

We anticipate a great deal of progress over the next decade, particularly in an era of extremely large, ground-based telescopes (25–40 m) and a new generation of space telescopes. We anticipate that many of the great advances will come from more extensive observations of the near field, in particular, metal-poor stars in the vicinity of the Galaxy. But we should not rule out the extraordinary. For example, at least one gamma-ray burst has been visible to the naked eye in recent years (Bloom et al., 2009) allowing for high-resolution spectroscopy which can in turn provide important constraints on the local stellar populations (Castro-Tirado et al., 2010)). High-redshift quasars beyond \(z \sim 3\), even with the added boost from gravitational lensing, are likely to be too faint to provide useful absorption line spectra of intervening galaxies (Glikman et al., 2008). We may obtain future constraints from rest-frame uv ionization diagnostics of high-redshift sources. Several identified a population of CNO-rich galaxies being internally ionized by star clusters with a top-heavy IMF (Fosbury et al., 2003; Glikman et al., 2007; Raiter, Fosbury, and Teimoorinia, 2010).

The study of the high-redshift Universe is complementary to studies of the local Universe (Bland-Hawthorn and Freeman, 2000). For example, measurements of the integrated spectral signature of Pop III stars is an exciting prospect although separating the signal from the infrared foreground is difficult (Raue, Kneiske, and Mazin (2009)). Even though tantalizing progress has been made (Kashlinsky et al., 2007), we must await the advent of next generation telescopes, such as the JWST and Atacama Large Millimetre Array to be able to resolve the first galaxies, and detect individual sources and their birth sites (Walter and Carilli, 2008). It should be stressed that the level of detail on the first stars and galaxies, buried in the chemical abundance patterns of old stellar populations, can never be reached by studying the direct light from these objects themselves, nor by studying the high-\(z\) IGM. The information needs to be extracted from resolved stars. The modeling of the buildup of chemical elements over cosmic time, in particular at early stages, is absolutely essential to the interpretation of this information.

But our expectation is that is the most important insights on pregalactic enrichment will come from the near field. We may be in for some surprises. Karlsson, Johnson, and Bromm (2008) described how a significant fraction of second-generation stars that formed out of gas enriched by PISNe is, contrary to what may be expected, predicted to be found at relatively high metallicities around \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \approx -2.5\). For this reason, they may have not been picked up in existing surveys of metal-poor stars, like the HK and Hamburg/ESO surveys. These same surveys tended to target the diffuse Galactic halo, but dwarf galaxies, the inner halo, the Galactic center, or another site may prove to be a more prosperous hunting ground in future years (see Secs. V.A and VII).

In the closing statements of many reviews in astronomy, there are the obligatory requests for more theoretical and numerical work in support of a rich harvest of observations. But in the field of pregalactic enrichment, the observations appear more limited, in part because we have not yet learned to properly interpret the data. There is a pressing need for more computational work on many fronts. These include the following:

- better stellar atmospheric models to improve abundance calibrations for metal-poor stars;
- a new generation of stellar evolution models (especially giants and subgiants) with updated nuclear reaction networks, diffusion, and mixing;
- self-consistent stellar models that take into account the possible binary nature of the first stars;
- self-consistent supernova models that properly treat the explosion mechanism, fallback, rotation, and mixing;
- better fine-scale and coarse-scale mixing theories and algorithms for metal transport in turbulent media;
- binary star mass transfer (zero metallicity) with a proper treatment of Roche-lobe overflow, slow and fast winds; and
- higher resolution hydrodynamical models of the formation of the first stars and star clusters.

Just where to look for the most ancient and/or second-generation stars (and possibly metal-free stars) is a hot topic at the present time with some groups favoring the inner parts of galaxies (see, e.g., White and Springel (2000) and Tumlinson (2010)), and others finding a wide spread in radius (Scannapieco et al., 2006; Brook et al., 2007; Salvadori et al., 2010). More advanced numerical simulations may well provide better guidelines on where to look and even the specifics of the orbit parameters of the oldest stars (see, e.g., Tumlinson (2010)). In this respect, we look forward to the Gaia astrometric satellite which is due to launch in the
next few years. This will provide exceptional kinematic information for up to a billion stars in the Galaxy. We recommend that future simulations attempt to predict the energy-angular momentum space [see, e.g., Helmi (2008)] expected for these ancient stars.

Regardless of the simulations, it is important to keep in mind that metal-rich gas is observed in quasar and active galactic nuclei spectra to the highest redshifts (Hamann and Ferland, 1999) consistent with detections of dust and molecular gas at these early times (Yun et al., 2000; Cox et al., 2002; Kla¨mer et al., 2004). This reflects the fact that the dynamical time scales in the cores of galaxies are very short ($\lesssim 10^7$ yr). Thus ancient stars with solar abundances may well exist in the cores of galaxies, assuming these have not been flung out into the disk by the formation of a central bar (see Sec. V.B).

Precisely when the first star clusters formed is unclear. Interestingly, the difficulty in identifying metal-free stars at the present epoch may already provide a constraint on the primordial initial mass function (Scannapieco et al., 2006) and the possible existence of star clusters. As shown in Table III, a star must be less massive than the Sun to have survived a Hubble time. If the star formed at a redshift as late as $z \sim 1$, its mass must be less than (0.9–1.1)$M_\odot$, depending on the metallicity, in order to have reached the giant branch today. If these stars did not exist at those early epochs due to a truncated IMF, then we must infer the progenitor yields from later generations of stars. Interestingly, because “clustered” abundance signals will be unaffected by mass transfer in binaries, these may give us a truer reading of the IGM metallicity at early times.

A new approach to exploring the evolution of the early initial cluster mass function has been proposed by Bland-Hawthorn et al. (2010). Stars born in clusters are extremely uniform in their chemical elements (De Silva, Freeman, Bland-Hawthorn et al., 2007; Bland-Hawthorn, Krumholz, and Freeman, 2010). This should lead to clumping in the abundance plane, e.g., [Fe/H] versus [$\alpha$/Fe]. While the effect can be detected in 8 m class data, a much richer return is expected in an era of extremely large telescopes. To this end, it will be necessary to equip these telescopes with wide-field multiobject spectrographs that operate at high spectroscopic resolution ($R \approx 20,000$). Such an instrument [see Goodwin et al. (2012) for a multiobject spectrograph developed for the Giant Magellan Telescope] can be profitably targeted at dwarf galaxies, the Galactic bulge, or populations identified by the Gaia satellite.
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