Abstract

Background
Job loss in patient with chronic illness like schizophrenia is the most serious public concern in the clinical and socio-economic terms worldwide. Patients with schizophrenia usually have unsatisfactory job termination like quitting or getting fired which results well-established negative outcomes. The complex interplay between one another has made job and mental illness the focus areas. In the developing nations, there is limited study on these areas in spite of higher rates of job loss.

Objectives
This study aimed to assess the prevalence and correlates of job loss among schizophrenia outpatient units in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Methods
Institutional based cross-sectional study was conducted at Saint Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital among schizophrenia outpatient units in Addis Ababa from May to June 2018. A total of 421 study subjects were interviewed using Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale and Perceived devaluation and discrimination scale. Out of the total study participants, female populations were slightly higher (50.4%) and the majorities (38.6%) were orthodox religion followers. Study characteristics was summarized using descriptive statistics and bi-variable and multi-variable analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Science version 24. Furthermore, those factors at p value $< 0.05$ were considered as statistically significant.

Results
The prevalence of job loss among patients with schizophrenia was 37.3%. Factors including unmarried [AOR = 2.42:95% CI (1.28, 4.54)], divorced [AOR = 2.34: 95% CI (1.16, 4.71)],...
severe positive symptoms [AOR = 2.03: 95% CI (1.15, 3.60)], severe general psychopathology [AOR = 1.76: 95% CI (1.01, 3.08)], and poor level of social and occupational functioning [AOR = 5.05: 95% CI (2.81, 9.09)] were significantly associated with job loss among schizophrenia people.

**Conclusion**

This study suggested that job loss among schizophrenia outpatients was high. There was significant association among people with unmarried, divorced, severe positive symptoms, poor functionality and higher general psychopathology. Therefore, clinical and psychosocial factors were responsible for job loss which warrant further attention and investigation.

**Introduction**

Schizophrenia is severe mental illness characterized by two or more abnormalities of delusion, hallucination, disorganized thinking or speech, grossly disorganized or abnormal motor behavior and negative symptom [1]. It is one of the most debilitating disease displaying a wide range of disability in both cognitive domains and everyday functioning [2]. Job is any work that an individual get paid for [3]. Job loss is defined as voluntary or involuntary termination or layoff from one’s occupation because of ill health whereas involuntary job loss is an early retirement before the scheduled or regular retirement age [4].

Schizophrenia is one of the most common of the serious mental disorders and sometimes referred to as a syndrome, the group of schizophrenias having lifetime prevalence of 1%. It is ranked by WHO as the ninth most disabling condition [1, 5]. Career disruption is extensive among people with schizophrenia, even for those who attain job; the length of employment tends to be relatively short, less than 1 year [6]. Generally health related job loss in United Kingdom accounts about 13% through marked increment among mental disorders and musculoskeletal problems [7]. National survey of people with psychotic disorders found that only 13.3% of people with schizophrenia maintained employment for 6 months or more [8]. The negative effect job loss is well established on patients as evidenced by the first author on employment status. Patients who are job losers lack the opportunity that job maintainers possess like an integrated social life, improved symptom level, good quality of life, and better self-esteem [9, 10]. Job loss is a consequence of long-term unemployment which is associated with greater incidence of suicide [11]. The overall outcomes of job insecurity end up with intra-personal and inter-personal disruption, loss of function and poor quality of life [7, 12].

It is well known that schizophrenia plays a role to exit from paid employment, due to disability and early retirement and the main pathway of leaving the labor force differs, particularly between the involuntary and more voluntary routes such as early retirement [13, 14]. Despite these huge impact, patients with schizophrenia are facing not only lack of choice in employment and work opportunity, but also they have financial penalty of working, stigma and discrimination and loss of health benefits [15]. The overall employment gap for severe mental illness is estimated to be around 40 percent [16]. Up to 70 per cent of people with severe mental illness express a desire to work [17]. The complex interplay between one another has made mental health and work the major areas for policy makers and consequently various models of supported job in most cases described as gradualistic approaches to rehabilitation is practiced in some nations [4, 18, 19].

Job loss in schizophrenia outpatient units is highly increasing because of the standard approach of employment system taken today is to discharge from membership. A cohort study
in London reports a high initial employment rate fall from 65% to 49% at 2 years [20]. It was 
27% in the longitudinal study of West Birmingham UK [21], 44.2% in the cross sectional study 
of Poland [22] and 40.7% in china [23]. Some studies in employment status and schizophrenia 
identified the possible associated factors which were; co-morbidity comprising mental and 
physical illness [24], Occupational type [21], age of onset of illness [22], being male [25], being 
unmarried [16, 26], low level of education [27], and older age [22, 23, 28], poor social skills 
[25, 29, 30], severity of symptoms and perceived stigma [31]. Job status comprises multidimen-
sional role in almost all aspects of life among each individual patient. Therefore, this study 
aimed to assess the prevalence and correlates of job loss in people with schizophrenia which 
thereafter tackled the proposed objectives.

Methods and materials

Sample

An institution based cross-sectional study was conducted among patients diagnosed with 
schizophrenia at St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital (SAMSH) in Addis Ababa, the capi-
tal city of Ethiopia, from May to June 2018. Patients with schizophrenia in St. Amanuel Mental 
Specialized Hospital have been served for longer period from months to decades. Those 
patients who used to do their job before the onset of the illness fulfilled the inclusion criteria 
and those who had never held job and unable to communicate were excluded. Systematic ran-
dom sampling technique was employed to recruit a total 421 study participants. The sampling 
fraction (k) was determined by dividing the average number of patients with schizophrenia 
who had monthly follow-up service to the calculated sample size, which was 7. The first partici-
pant was chosen randomly by lottery method from numbers 1 to 7. Thus, those follow up 
patients who held job before the development of schizophrenia was invited in every seventh to 
detect weather job loss has occurred or not due to the onset of illness and the associated factors 
as well.

Ethics statement. The study got an ethical approval from the institutional review board 
(IRB) of University of Gondar and St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital. Full written 
informed consent was ensured from all participants of people with schizophrenia. The ethics 
committee specifically approved the questionnaires and the methods of gaining consent. This 
was considered ethically appropriate as the study was cross sectional one with minimal risk.

Sample size determination

The sample size was calculated based on single population proportion formula as follow.

\[ n = Z_{\alpha/2}^2 \times \frac{p(1-p)}{d^2} = 384 \]

Where,
- \( p \) (population proportion) = 50%
- \( d \) (margin of error) = 5%
- \( Z_{\alpha/2} \) (the standard normal distribution and is based on a 95% confidence limit) = 1.96

Assuming 10% non-respondent rate, the total sample size was 423.

Variables

Dependent variable was job loss (yes/ no)

Independent variables include socio-demographic variables like age, gender, marital status, 
ethnicity, work history, educational status, and living condition; clinical variables like
symptom severity, age of onset, duration of illness, number of hospitalizations, number of episode/relapses, and vocational training, comorbidity and psychosocial variables including social support and stigma; level of social and occupational functioning.

**Measurement**

Job loss was confirmed by yes/no questions of whether previously working schizophrenia patients maintain or lose their job due to the onset of the illness either voluntarily or involuntarily.

Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) was used for symptom severity assessment which was first devised and published in 1987 by Stanley Kay, Lewis Opler, and Abraham Fiszbein [32]. The scale is well known and widely used instrument that all assessments of psychotic behavioral disorders should follow. It is 30 item scale that has three subscales; positive symptom scale, negative symptom scale and general psychopathology scale [33, 34]. Social and occupational functioning assessment scale (SOFAS) was used to assess patient’s level of social and occupational function which was measured as “poor” and “good” from a cut point of 60. It measures the level of functional impairment due to both physical and mental illness independent of symptoms [35, 36]. Oslo Social Support Scale defines Poor social support (“3–8” score), Moderate social support (9–11 score), Strong social support (12–14) [37]. Perceived devaluation and discrimination scale (PDDS) was used to evaluate the level of perceived stigma which was scored as low and high with the cut point of mean score [38].

**Data collection procedure**

Semi-structured questionnaires were used to collect the relevant data including yes/no questions, socio-demographic, illness related, and psychosocial characteristics questions. Four trained psychiatric nurses participated in the data collection and data collectors were given timely supervision. The questionnaire was designed in English and translated from English to Amharic, local and official language of Ethiopia, and back to English to keep its consistency. Those patients who had held job during first diagnosis of schizophrenia was invited as study subjects.

**Data quality assurance**

Emphasis was given for the quality of the data by using different strategies. Training was given for the data collectors and supervisors on the techniques of data collection, ethical principles of research and interviewing techniques. The questionnaire was pretested among 21 samples obtained from Saint Paul Millennium Hospital in Addis Ababa before the actual data collection period. The questionnaire was well checked for clarity, simplicity, and understandability. During the data collection period, each items of the questionnaire were checked for completeness on daily basis by the data collectors and supervisors.

**Data processing and analysis**

The coded variables were entered to Epi-data version 3.1 and then exported to SPSS (Statistical Package for Social science) version 24 for analysis. Descriptive statistics was used to summarize the distribution of the variables. Binary logistic regression was carried out to see the associations between job loss and each independent variable. Variables with p-value < 0.2 in the bivariate logistic regression were entered into multivariable logistic regression model for further analysis. Those factors at P-value ≤ 0.05 using adjusted odds ratio with 95% confidence
level were considered as statistically significant. Model fitness, using Hosmer and Lemshow test, was checked.

**Ethical consideration**
Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the joint Ethical Review Board (ERB) of University of Gondar College of Medicine and Health Science and St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital. After explaining the purpose of the study, written informed consent was obtained from each participant of people with schizophrenia. Confidentiality was maintained by omitting their personal identification.

**Results**
Total of 421 participants with 99.5% response rate were included in this study. Before the onset of the illness job status of most of people with schizophrenia was trade persons 42.5% (179) followed by government employees 24.2% (102), farmers 14.7% (62) and other jobs like maid, labor force and brokers about 18% (78).

**Socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants**
Out of the total participants nearly half 50.4% (212) were females. The mean age of the respondents was 35.8% ± SD 9.08 years. Regarding ethnicity 30.6% (129) were Oromo and 36.8% (155) were Orthodox followers in religion. In terms of marriage 39.2% (165) of the participants had never married and towards the educational level 31.6% (133) completed primary school (Table 1).

**Clinical characteristics of the study participants**
Regarding the clinical characteristics of participants 48.2% (203) had age of onset in the late twenties and early thirties (26–35) years and majorities of the respondents (80.5%) had recurrent episode of illness. More than half of the participants 51.8% (218) had up to three (1–3) times admission history, concerning the total duration of illness 45.6% (192) respondents had up to 10 years’ of length of illness, and nearly half 50.4% (212) of the total study subjects developed severe general psychopathology (Table 2).

**Psychosocial characteristics of the study participants**
Schizophrenia disorder causes the patient for social and occupational exclusion. In this study, most of the participants 66.7% (278) had poor social and occupational functioning and 43.5% (183) got poor social support (Table 3).

**Prevalence of job loss among schizophrenia outpatients**
The study showed that the prevalence of job loss among schizophrenia outpatients at St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital who had had job during first diagnosis was 37.3% (157), (95% CI: 32.8, 42.0). Of these, the majorities (84) were male participants and 27.4% (43) were working in trading and private institution (S1 Fig).

**Logistic regression of factors associated with job loss**
In the bivariate analysis of job loss in relation to each explanatory variables; marital status, level of education, type of work in the past, other mental illness, length of illness, number of episode, physical illness, positive and negative symptoms and social and occupational
functioning were variables that fulfilled p-value less than 0.2 and then exported to multivariable logistic regression for further analysis.

In the multivariable logistic regression analysis being unmarried and divorced, severity of positive symptoms, severity of general psychopathology, and poor outcome in social and occupational functioning were statistically significant at p value \( < 0.05 \).

The odds of job loss among schizophrenia patients who had poor social and occupational functioning was 5 times higher [AOR = 5.05: 95% CI (2.81, 9.09)].

Regarding marital status, the odds of job loss in unmarried schizophrenia patients was 2 times higher than married patients [AOR = 2.42:95% CI (1.28, 4.54)]. Similarly, the odds of job loss in divorced schizophrenia patients was about 2 times higher than married patients [AOR = 2.34:95% CI (1.16, 4.71)].

Furthermore, the odds of job loss among participants who had severe positive symptoms was 2 times higher [AOR = 2.03: 95% CI (1.15, 3.60)]. Additionally, the odds of job loss in patients with severe general psychopathology was 2 times higher than patients with mild general psychopathology [AOR = 1.76:95% CI (1.01, 3.08)] (Table 4).

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of patients with schizophrenia at St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018 (n = 421).

| Variable          | Category     | Frequency (N) | Percent (%) |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Sex               | Male         | 209           | 49.6        |
|                   | Female       | 212           | 50.4        |
| Age               | 18–24        | 20            | 4.8         |
|                   | 25–35        | 192           | 45.6        |
|                   | >36          | 209           | 49.6        |
| Marital status    | Married      | 104           | 24.7        |
|                   | Unmarried    | 165           | 39.2        |
|                   | Separated    | 70            | 16.6        |
|                   | Divorced     | 82            | 19.5        |
| Religion          | Orthodox     | 155           | 36.8        |
|                   | Muslim       | 130           | 30.9        |
|                   | Protestant   | 112           | 26.6        |
|                   | Catholic     | 24            | 5.7         |
| Ethnicity         | Amara        | 79            | 18.8        |
|                   | Oromo        | 129           | 30.6        |
|                   | Tigrai       | 92            | 21.9        |
|                   | Guragie      | 96            | 22.8        |
|                   | Silte        | 25            | 5.9         |
| Living arrangement| Alone        | 46            | 10.9        |
|                   | Family       | 323           | 76.8        |
|                   | Friend       | 37            | 8.8         |
|                   | Relatives    | 15            | 3.6         |
| Level of education| Illiterate   | 59            | 14          |
|                   | Primary school| 133          | 31.6        |
|                   | Secondary school| 129     | 30.6        |
|                   | Above diploma| 100           | 23.8        |
| Job status        | Gov't employ | 53            | 12.6        |
|                   | Trade person | 117           | 27.8        |
|                   | Farmer       | 46            | 10.9        |
|                   | Daily labor  | 48            | 11.4        |
|                   | Job loss     | 157           | 37.3        |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242352.t001
Schizophrenia is one of the chronic mental illness affecting social, occupational and personal areas of functioning. Job loss, job insecurity and lack of work opportunity have characterized the affected populations. Job loss is correlated with poorer physical and psychosocial health in the general population, and it usually worsens in the mentally ill patients.

The prevalence of job loss in this study was 37.3% (95% CI: 32.8, 42.0). This finding was in line with a study done in China which was 40.7% [23]. The possible reason might be the similarity of study setting, study design, and tools.

The current study was higher than a cohort study done in London which was 16% [20] and in West Birmingham UK which was 27% [21]. The difference in the study design and the total duration of studies might be the cause of the variation.

This study was also higher than an European Schizophrenia Cohort Study in the research centers of Germany, France and London in which the prevalence of cross national job loss was 8.5% [39]. These might be due to the difference in the study design each used, the total

### Table 2. Clinical characteristics of patients with Schizophrenia at St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018 (n = 421).

| Variable                  | Category | Frequency (N) | Percent (%) |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| Onset of illness          | <15      | 7             | 1.7         |
|                           | 15–25    | 178           | 42.3        |
|                           | 26–35    | 203           | 48.2        |
|                           | ≥ 36     | 33            | 7.8         |
| Number of episodes        | Single   | 82            | 19.5        |
|                           | Recurrent| 339           | 80.5        |
| Number of admissions      | No       | 167           | 39.7        |
|                           | 1–3      | 218           | 51.8        |
|                           | >3       | 36            | 8.6         |
| Length of illness         | <5       | 106           | 25.2        |
|                           | 5–10     | 192           | 45.6        |
|                           | >10      | 123           | 29.2        |
| Rehabilitation service    | Yes      | 71            | 16.9        |
|                           | No       | 350           | 83.1        |
| Positive symptom          | Mild     | 255           | 60.7        |
|                           | Severe   | 166           | 39.3        |
| Negative symptom          | Mild     | 217           | 51.5        |
|                           | Severe   | 204           | 48.5        |
| General psychopathology   | Mild     | 209           | 49.6        |
|                           | Severe   | 212           | 50.4        |

### Discussion

Schizophrenia is one of the chronic mental illness affecting social, occupational and personal areas of functioning. Job loss, job insecurity and lack of work opportunity have characterized the affected populations. Job loss is correlated with poorer physical and psychosocial health in the general population, and it usually worsens in the mentally ill patients.

The prevalence of job loss in this study was 37.3% (95% CI: 32.8, 42.0). This finding was in line with a study done in China which was 40.7% [23]. The possible reason might be the similarity of study setting, study design, and tools.

The current study was higher than a cohort study done in London which was 16% [20] and in West Birmingham UK which was 27% [21]. The difference in the study design and the total duration of studies might be the cause of the variation.

This study was also higher than an European Schizophrenia Cohort Study in the research centers of Germany, France and London in which the prevalence of cross national job loss was 8.5% [39]. These might be due to the difference in the study design each used, the total

### Table 3. Social and functional characteristics of patients with schizophrenia at St. Amanuel Mental Specialized Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2018 (n = 421).

| Variable                  | Category | Frequency (N) | Percent (%) |
|---------------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| Social and occupational function | Poor | 281 | 66.7 |
| (SOFAS)                   | Good     | 140           | 33.3        |
| social support level      | Poor     | 183           | 43.5        |
|                           | Moderate | 187           | 44.4        |
|                           | Strong   | 51            | 12.1        |

NB: SOFAS = Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale.
duration of the study and previous job status of the participants in which ours were only those who had job during first diagnosis.

This study was slightly lower than the study done in Poland which was 43.9% [22]. The possible reason might be due to accesses of higher training and job position in developed nations require increased mental functioning that is difficult for schizophrenia patients to maintain their job.

Regarding the associated factors, being unmarried and divorced patients were significantly associated with job loss among people with schizophrenia. Loss of psychosocial support might be the possible reason which was consistent with another cohort study conducted in London [30].

Job loss was also significantly associated with Positive symptom severity and severity of general psychopathology. This might be due to in the severe stage of the illness behavioral and thought disorganization are prominent which affects functional involvement. This was consistent with studies conducted in Poland [22] and the European schizophrenia cohort study (EURO SC) [28].

There was also strong association between occupational and social dysfunction with job loss. This might be due to being alone is the symptom of the illness and failure to involve in

| Variable                           | Category     | Job loss | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Marital status                     | Married      | Yes      | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Unmarried    | Yes      | 1.95 (1.13, 3.36) | 2.42 (1.28, 4.54) | 0.006*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Separated    | Yes      | 1.88 (0.98, 3.63) | 1.41 (0.66, 2.98) | 0.376   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Divorced     | Yes      | 2.72 (1.46, 5.06) | 2.34 (1.16, 4.71) | 0.018*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Length of illness                  | <5 years     | Yes      | 1.30 (0.78, 2.16) | 0.83 (0.45, 1.53) | 0.543   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | 5–10 years   | Yes      | 1.93 (1.12, 3.34) | 1.34 (0.69, 2.61) | 0.392   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | >10 years    | Yes      | 1.80 (1.06, 3.08) | 1.27 (0.65, 2.48) | 0.489   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Illness episode                    | Single       | Yes      | 1.02 (0.90, 3.20) | 1.46 (0.69, 3.08) | 0.327   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Recurrent    | Yes      | 2.96 (1.99, 4.54) | 2.03 (1.15, 3.60) | 0.015*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Other mental illness               | Yes          | Yes      | 1.49 (0.85, 2.62) | 0.65 (0.33, 1.28) | 0.213   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | No           | Yes      | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Physical illness                   | Yes          | Yes      | 1.02 (0.90, 3.20) | 1.46 (0.69, 3.08) | 0.327   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | No           | Yes      | 2.96 (1.99, 4.54) | 2.03 (1.15, 3.60) | 0.015*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Positive symptom                   | Severe       | Yes      | 1.70 (1.14, 2.53) | 0.77 (0.41, 1.42) | 0.400   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Mild         | Yes      | 1.70 (1.14, 2.53) | 0.77 (0.41, 1.42) | 0.400   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Negative symptom                   | Severe       | Yes      | 2.38 (1.59, 3.58) | 1.76 (1.01, 3.08) | 0.046*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Mild         | Yes      | 5.71 (3.60, 10.58) | 5.05 (2.81, 9.09) | 0.001*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| General psychopathology            | Severe       | Yes      | 5.71 (3.60, 10.58) | 5.05 (2.81, 9.09) | 0.001*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Mild         | Yes      | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Social and occupational function   | Poor function| Yes      | 1.59 (0.81, 3.12) | 0.95 (0.58, 1.54) | 0.830   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Good function| Yes      | 1.40 (0.72, 2.75) | 0.61 (0.28, 1.36) | 0.230   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
| Social support                     | Poor         | Yes      | 5.71 (3.60, 10.58) | 5.05 (2.81, 9.09) | 0.001*  |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Moderate     | Yes      | 1.40 (0.72, 2.75) | 0.61 (0.28, 1.36) | 0.230   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |
|                                   | Strong       | Yes      | 1.59 (0.81, 3.12) | 0.95 (0.58, 1.54) | 0.830   |
|                                   |              | No       | 1.00         | 1.00         |         |

NB: Model fitness, Hosmer and Lemshow test = 0.89
* = statistically significant at P value ≤ 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242352.t004
the social and occupational areas will speed up the likelihood of job loss. This finding was consistent with another studies done in China [23] and another study in Chicago [40].

Conclusion
In this study job loss was high among people with schizophrenia. Being unmarried, divorced, positive symptom severity, poor social and occupational functioning and severe general psychopathology were factors significantly associated with job loss. Therefore, clinical and psychosocial factors are mainly involved in causing job loss. These and related factors are important areas for advancing job rehabilitation and maintenance. Job rehabilitation offers the basis for overall quality of life which requires the stakeholders to address these factors and related areas. Effective symptomatic management, occupational and psychosocial support minimize the likelihood of job loss. Further research on these and related factors are valuable.
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