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Abstract—We consider linear cyclic codes with the locality property, or locally recoverable codes (LRC codes). A family of LRC codes that generalizes the classical construction of Reed-Solomon codes was constructed in a recent paper by I. Tamo and A. Barg (IEEE Trans. IT, no. 8, 2014). In this paper we focus on the optimal cyclic codes that arise from the general construction. We give a characterization of these codes in terms of their zeros, and observe that there are many equivalent ways of constructing optimal cyclic LRC codes over a given field. We also study subfield subcodes of cyclic LRC codes (BCH-like LRC codes) and establish several results about their locality and minimum distance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Locally recoverable codes (LRC codes) have been extensively studied in recent literature following their introduction in [4]. A linear code \( C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n \) is called locally recoverable with locality \( r \) if the value of every symbol of the codeword depends only on \( r \) other symbols of the same codeword. If \( \dim C = k \), then clearly \( r \leq k \). Applications of LRC codes in distributed storage motivate constructions in which \( r \) is a small constant, while \( n \) and \( k \) could be large. Early constructions of LRC codes such as [6, 8, 9, 11, 12] relied on alphabets of cardinality much greater than the code length. Paper [11] introduced a family of LRC codes of Reed-Solomon (RS) type over field alphabets of size comparable to the code length \( n \). We call these codes RS-like codes below. Some of the codes constructed in [11] are cyclic of length \( n(q-1) \), where \( q \) is the size of the field. In this paper we focus on cyclic RS-like codes. As our first result, we characterize the distance and the locality parameter of such codes in terms of the code’s zeros. We also study subfield subcodes of RS-like codes and describe the locality parameter in terms of irreducible cyclic codes supported on the coordinate subsets that form the recovering sets of the original code. This enables us to find estimates of the locality parameter based on the structure of the zeros of the code and to construct examples of binary LRC codes.

The general question of finding the locality \( r \) is equivalent to finding the dual distance of a cyclic code, which is a difficult problem. However unlike for the problem of error correction, we actually gain by proving that the dual distance is smaller than the estimated value, as this implies better local recovery properties of the LRC code. Subfield subcodes are particularly fascinating as they not only increase the distance, but also reduce the locality, though at the expense of code dimension.

IV. CYCLIC LRC CODES WITH LOCALITY 2

In this paper we are concerned with the following special case of the construction [2-4]. Let \( n \mid (q-1) \) and choose the polynomial \( p(x) \) in (1) to be the annihilator polynomial of a subgroup of the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{F}_q^* \). As shown in [11], the polynomial \( f_a \) in (2) can be taken in the form
Choose the set of evaluation points as $A = \{1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$, where $\alpha$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity, and construct a linear code $C$ using the evaluation map $[\mathbb{F}_q]$. Using this representation as the starting point, we observe that $C$ is a cyclic code of length $n$. Generally, a cyclic code is an ideal in the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$ which is generated by a polynomial $g(x)$ such that $g(x)|(x^n - 1)$. Let $\mathbb{F}_q[m]$ be an extension field that contains the $n$-th roots of unity. Let $t = \deg(g)$ and let $Z = \{\alpha^i, j = 1, \ldots, t\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q[m]$ be the zeros of $g(x)$. The set of unique representatives of cyclotomic cosets in $Z$ with respect to the field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is called a defining set of zeros of the code $C = (g(x))$. Throughout this section we assume that $m = 1$, i.e., that $n|(q - 1)$, each cyclotomic coset is of size one, and the defining set is $Z$.

As our first result in this section, we identify the zeros of the code $C$ constructed using representation $[\mathbb{F}_q]$. Next we make some observations regarding the structure of zeros of cyclic LRC codes. Based on these, we introduce a general construction of optimal $q$-ary cyclic codes, described in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1:** Let $\alpha$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity, where $n|(q - 1)$; $0 \leq l \leq r$ be an integer; and $b \geq 1$ be an integer such that $(b, n) = 1$. Let $\mu = k/r$. Consider the following sets of elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$: 

$L = \{\alpha^i, i \mod (r + 1) = l\}$, and 

$D = \{\alpha^{2^s + lb}, s = 0, \ldots, n - \mu(r + 1)\}$, 

where $\alpha^j \in L$. The cyclic code with the defining set of zeros $L \cup D$ is an optimal $(n, k, r)$ $q$-ary cyclic LRC code.

**Locality $L$**

Fig. 1: Subsets of zeros for distance $(D)$ and locality $(L)$. It will be seen that the set $D$ accounts for the code’s distance, while $L$ ensures the locality property.

The proof of this theorem follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 and is given at the end of this section. Recall the following property where $\alpha$ is a $n$-th root of unity and $p$ is the characteristic of the field:

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^i = \begin{cases} n \mod p, & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

**Lemma 3.2:** Consider the cyclic code $C$ of length $n$ constructed using the polynomials $f_q(x)$ given by $[\mathbb{F}_q]$. The rows of the generator matrix $G$ of $C$ have the form $(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{(n-1)t})$, for all $j$ such that 

$$j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \mu(r + 1) - 2\} \setminus \{s(r + 1) - 1, s = 1, \ldots, \mu - 1\}.$$ 

The defining set of zeros of $C$ has the form $R = D \cup L$, where 

$$D = \{\alpha^i : i = 1, \ldots, n - \mu(r + 1) + 1\}$$ 

$$L = \{\alpha^{(n-(\mu-1)(r+1))}, l = 1, 2, \ldots, \mu - 1\}$$ 

The code $C$ is an optimal $(n, k, r)$ LRC code with distance $d = n - \mu(r + 1) + 2$.

**Proof:** The statement about the generator matrix follows directly from $[\mathbb{F}_q]$. To prove the statement about the zeros, it suffices to show that the dot product of any row of $G$ and the row vector $(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{(n-1)t})$ for any $t \in R$ is zero. Indeed, from [5], if $\alpha^j$ is the generating element of a row of $G$ and $t \in R$, we need to show that $\alpha^{j+t} \neq 1$, or that $j + t$ is not a multiple of $n$. This is true because if $t \in D$, then $j + t < n$, and if $t \in L$, then

$$j + t = n - ((\mu - l)(r + 1)) + 1 + j,$$ 

where $l = 1, 2, \ldots, \mu - 1$. The first two terms on the RHS of (6) are multiples of $r + 1$, therefore the entire RHS is a multiple of $r + 1$ if and only if so is $j + 1$. Since $G$ does not include the rows that would make the latter possible, we have $(r + 1)(j + t)$. Finally, the claim about the distance follows from the BCH bound on the set of zeros $D$.

In Lemma 3.2 we described the set of zeros of $C$ as a union of two disjoint subsets of roots of unity. Alternatively, the set of exponents $R$ obviously can be described as a union of two non-disjoint sets, $R = D \cup L$, where $D$ is as given in Lemma 3.2 and

$$L = \{\alpha^{(r+1)}, j = 0, 1, \ldots, \mu - 1\}.$$ 

As already observed, the subset $D$ guarantees a large value of the code distance, supporting the optimality claim. It is natural to assume that the zeros in $L$ account for the locality property.

The following lemma shows that this is indeed the case.

**Lemma 3.3:** Let $0 \leq l \leq r$ and consider a $\nu \times n$ matrix $H$ with the rows

$$h_m = (1, \alpha^m(r+1), \alpha^2(r+1), \ldots, \alpha^{(n-1)(r+1)}),$$ 

where $m = 0, 1, \ldots, \nu - 1$, and $\nu = n/(r + 1)$. Then all the cyclic shifts of the $n$-dimensional vector of weight $r + 1$

$$v = (1^0, 0^0, 0^0, 0^{\alpha^{l0}}, 0^0, 0^0, \ldots, 0^0)$$

are contained in the row space of $H$.

**Proof:** First note that $av = \sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} h_m$, where $a = \nu \mod p$. Indeed,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} \alpha^{m(r+1)+l} = \alpha^{l} \sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} (\alpha^{(r+1)})^m.$$ 

The element $\alpha^{(r+1)}$ is a $\nu$-th root of unity, so by (5) the last sum is zero if $j$ is not a multiple of $\nu$ and $\alpha^{(r+1)}$ otherwise.

We conclude that the vector $av$ is contained in the row space of $H$, and since $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a \neq 0$ so is the vector itself. The row space of $H$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is closed under cyclic shifts, and this proves the lemma.

**Remark 1:** Lemma 3.3 provides a general method of constructing optimal cyclic $q$-ary linear codes. The construction is rather flexible and relies on the choice of two sets of zeros of the code, $D$ and $L$, which are responsible for error correction capability and locality of $C$. In other words, the set $D$ accounts...
for the distance properties of the code while \( L \) takes care of the locality property. The possibility to shift \( L \) and \( D \) around will prove useful in the next section where it will enable us to improve the locality of subfield subcodes of our codes.

**Remark 2:** In [11] it was also observed that the construction (2)-(3) can be used to construct codes with two (or more) disjoint recovering sets for every symbol of the encoding. Turning to cyclic codes, we note that Proposition 3.4 provides a simple sufficient condition for such a code to have several recovering sets: all we need is that the complete defining set contain cosets of subgroups of groups of unity of degree \( \nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots \), where the \( \nu_i \)'s are pairwise coprime. For instance a cyclic code of length \( n = 63 \) whose complete defining set contains the sets of 7-th and 9-th roots of unity, has two disjoint recovering sets for every symbol of the encoding.

We conclude by proving the main result of this section.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The minimum distance of the code \( C \) is estimated from below using the BCH bound for the code equal to \( \nu \) times the dual code of a cyclic code of length \( n \) in \( F_q \). The minimum distance \( d(C) \) is estimated from below using the BCH bound for the code equal to \( \nu \) times the dual code of a cyclic code of length \( n \) in \( F_q \). The minimum distance of the code \( C \) is estimated from below using the BCH bound for the code equal to \( \nu \) times the dual code of a cyclic code of length \( n \) in \( F_q \).

**IV. Subfield Subcodes**

A large part of the classical theory of cyclic codes is concerned with subfield subcodes of Reed-Solomon codes, i.e., the BCH codes, and related code families. In this section we pursue a similar line of inquiry with respect to cyclic LRC codes introduced in the previous section. In particular, through an analysis of parameters of the BCH-like codes and some examples, we derive stronger bounds on locality with the same set of zeros \( L \) that we considered in the previous section.

**A. Notation**

Let \( Z \) be the complete defining set of the code \( C' \) over \( F_q \), (i.e., a BCH-type code) and let \( C \) be the corresponding Reed-Solomon type code, i.e., the cyclic code over \( F_q^{m} \) with the same set of zeros. In the previous section we considered cyclic codes where the symbol field and the locator field coincided, as is common for Reed-Solomon codes. In the context of subfield subcodes, the symbol field will be denoted \( F_q \) and the locator field \( F_{q^m} \). In most of our examples, \( q = 2 \). The field \( F_{q^m} \) is the splitting field of the generator polynomial \( g(x) \), while over \( F_q \) we have \( g(x) = \prod_{j \in J} m_i(x) \), where \( (i, j \in J) \) is the set of representatives of the cyclotomic cosets that form the defining set of zeros of \( C \), and \( m_i \)'s are the corresponding minimal polynomials.

Given a code \( C \subset F_{q^m}^n \), its subfield subcode \( C' \subset F_q^m \) consists of the codewords of \( C \) all of whose coordinates are in \( F_q \). For the analysis of subfield subcodes we will use the trace mapping \( T_m \) from \( F_{q^m} \) to \( F_q \), defined as

\[
T_m(x) = x + x^q + \ldots + x^{q^{m-1}}, \quad x \in F_{q^m}.
\]

Given a vector \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in F_{q^m}^n \), we use the notation \( T_m(v) := (T_m(v_1), \ldots, T_m(v_n)) \). The trace of the code \( C \subset F_{q^m}^n \) is the code over \( F_q \) obtained by computing the trace of all vectors \( c \in C \), i.e.,

\[
T_m(C) = \{T_m(c), c \in C\}.
\]

Let \( C^⊥ \) be the dual code of a cyclic code \( C \). Obviously, the locality parameter \( r(C) \) equals the dual distance \( d^⊥(C) := d(C^⊥) \). The dual code of the subfield subcode is characterized by Delsarte’s Theorem.

**Theorem 4.1:** [2 Theorem 2] The dual of a subfield subcode is the trace of the dual of the original code, i.e., \( (C_{|F_q})^⊥ = T_m(C^⊥) \).

**Remark:** If \( C \) is an \((n, k, r)\) LRC code, then any coordinate in the dual code is contained in the support of a codevector of weight at most \( r + 1 \). Hence by Theorem 4.1 the subfield subcode \( C_{|F_q} \) has locality \( \leq r \). This observation is not surprising since the trace mapping \( T_m \) does not increase the weight of a codeword. However, as we shall show in the sequel, the locality can be, and in most cases is, much smaller than \( r \).

**B. Preliminaries: From locality to irreducible cyclic codes**

Let \( C' \) and \( C \) be the codes defined in Section IV-A Proposition 3.4 states that if \( Z \) contains some coset \( \{\alpha^i : i \mod (r + 1) = l\} \) of the subgroup generated by \( \alpha \) the \( \nu \)th root of unity \( \alpha \) then \( C \) has locality \( \nu \). By Lemma 3.3 the dual code \( C^⊥ \) contains the vector

\[
v = (1, 0, 0, \beta^l, 0, \ldots, 0, \beta^{2l}, 0, \ldots, 0, \beta^{vl}, 0, \ldots, 0) \quad (7)
\]

where \( \beta = \alpha^r \) is a primitive root of unity of degree \( r + 1 \). The weight of the vector \( v \) is \( wt_H(v) = r + 1 \) and the supports of its cyclic shifts partition the set of \( n \) coordinates of the code into subsets of size \( r + 1 \). As noted above, these subsets define the local recovering sets \( A_i \) for the code \( C \). By Theorem 4.1 for any \( \gamma \in F_{q^m} \), let \( \nu(\gamma) = \nu \) be the \( \nu \)th root of unity \( \gamma \). Furthermore, \( wt_H(\nu(\gamma)) \leq r + 1 \), and if \( y \neq 0 \), then its nonzero coordinates form a recovering set of relatively small size in the code \( C' \).

In our analysis of the locality of the code \( C' \) we will restrict our attention to the following subspace of the code \( C'^⊥ \):

\[
V = \{T_m(\gamma v), \gamma \in F_{q^m}\}.
\]

Below we make the following simplification. It will suffice to analyze only the nonzero coordinates of the subspace \( V \), therefore, we will drop the zeros and treat \( v \) and all the derived vectors as vectors of length \( r + 1 \) in \( F_{q^m} \) or \( F_q \), as appropriate. By abuse of notation, we still use the same letter \( v \), and from now on write

\[
v = (1, \beta^l, \beta^{2l}, \ldots, \beta^{rl}).
\]

Note that since below we rely only on a subset of the vectors in \( (C'^⊥)^⊥ \), the code \( C' \) might have a better (i.e., smaller) locality parameter than the one guaranteed by our results.

The form of the vectors in the subspace \( V \) (8) is reminiscent of the representation of vectors in irreducible cyclic codes [12]. In this section we take this as a starting point, connecting locality and results about such codes.

Recall that a \( q \)-ary linear cyclic code is called irreducible if it forms a minimal ideal in the ring \( F_q[x]/(x^n - 1) \). The main result about irreducible codes is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2:** [13 Theorem 6.5.1] Let \( s > 0 \) be an integer, \( m = \text{ord}_s(q) \) be the multiplicative order of \( q \) modulo \( s \), let \( \beta \) be a primitive \( s \)-th root of unity in \( F_{q^m} \). The set of vectors

\[
V = \{T_m(\gamma), T_m(\gamma \beta), \ldots, T_m(\gamma \beta^{s-1}) : \gamma \in F_{q^m}\}, \quad (10)
\]

is a \([s, m]\) linear irreducible code over \( F_q \). □
C. The case $l = 0$

In this case we study a particular case of the above construction, taking $l = 0$ in (9). Then the complete defining set $Z$ of the code contains the subgroup $G_{r+1} = \langle \alpha^{r+1} \rangle$ generated by the element $\alpha^{r+1}$ and we obtain $v = 1^{r+1}$ (the all-ones vector). By Theorem 4.2 the subspace $V$ is of dimension 1 and is spanned by the all-ones vector. Therefore the dual code $(C')^\perp$ contains a vector of weight equal to $r + 1$, which means that $C'$ has the same recovering sets as the code $C$.

Note that the subgroup $G_{r+1} = \{1, \alpha^{r+1}, \ldots, \alpha^{r\rho} \}$ is closed under the Frobenius map, i.e.,

$$\forall \beta \in G_{r+1} \ (\beta \in G_{r+1}) \Rightarrow (\beta^q \in G_{r+1}).$$

In other words, the set $G_{r+1}$ is a union of cyclotomic cosets. Hence a cyclic code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose set of zeros contains $G_{r+1}$ has the LRC property and is of large dimension.

**Example 1:** Let $C'$ be a $[n = 45, k = 30, d = 4]$ binary cyclic code with zeros $\{0, 3, 5, 9\}$ in the field $\mathbb{F}_{22}$. Since the set of roots contains the subgroup $G_{29}$, we have $d \leq 9$, and hence the locality parameter of $C'$ satisfies $r \leq 8$; see (7). On the other hand, $(C')^\perp$ has a defining set $\{1, 3, 7, 15\}$ and the parameters $|n = 45, k = 15, d = 9|$, so the value $r$ is indeed 8.

To compare the parameters of this code with the upper bounds, we note that the shortening bound (SH) (11) gives $k \leq 3 \cdot 8 + k_2(45 - 3 \cdot 9.4) = 36$. The linear programming bound (LP) (12) gives an estimate $M_2(C)(45, 4, 8) \leq 2^{48.48}$ which translates into $k \leq 38$ (cf. Appendix [A]).

In this example the locality value predicted by our analysis is exact. This is not always the case as shown in the next example in which the locality is smaller than given by the estimate based on the vector $v$.

**Example 2:** Let $C'$ be an $[21, 12, 4]$ binary cyclic code defined by the set of roots $\{0, 1, 7\}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{29}$. Since the set of roots contains the subgroup $\langle \alpha^7 \rangle$, the dual code has minimum distance at most 7, and hence the code has locality $r \leq 6$. On the other hand, $(C')^\perp$ is a $[21, 9, 6]$ cyclic code with defining set $\{1, 3, 9\}$. Therefore the locality of $C'$ is actually 5. From (11) and (12) we obtain, respectively, $k \leq 14$ and $k \leq 15$.

D. The case $l > 0$

The analysis of locality becomes more interesting if we take $l > 0$ in (9). Here we rely on the full power of the theory of irreducible cyclic codes, invoking several results that follow from the classical connection between these codes and Gauss sums. There are two options, namely gcd($l, r + 1 = 1$) and gcd($l, r + 1 > 1$). In the latter case, the analysis is as in the former except that we get a degenerate cyclic code. Below, if not stated, we exemplify the case $l > 0$ by taking $l = 1$.

**Theorem 4.4:** Consider a $q$-ary irreducible cyclic code $V$ of length $s$ as given in (10), where $\beta$ and $m$ are defined accordingly. Let $N = (q^m - 1)/\ell$ and assume that gcd$(\ell, q^m - 1, N) = 1$. Then $V$ is a constant weight code over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of weight $(q - 1)q^m - 1$. If $q = 2$, the code $V$ is the familiar simplex, or Hadamard, code.

**Proof:** Call $V$ as $V_m$ when defined using $\gamma$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ and $T_m$. Note that $s = 2^m - 1$ and $|V_s| = 2^m - 1$. The complete proof, relegated to Appendix [A] uses the facts that $\beta$ is an $s$-th root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ (and so, also in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$), and that $V_m = V_s$.

Table 1 shows a few examples where an $[n, k, d]$ binary linear cyclic code $C'$ with a defining set given by $Z$, contains the coset $\alpha G_{2^m-1}$, and the upper bound on $r$ obtained in Proposition 4.3 is tight. The last two codes in the table have dimensions far away from the bounds given in Appendix [A].

Notice that for binary cyclic codes, when $l > 0$, we were able to reduce the upper bound on $r$ roughly by a factor of $2$ when the coset of a group $G_s$ is contained in the defining set $Z$, where $s = 2^m - 1$. We show that this can be generalized to a $q$-ary cyclic code (the bound reduces roughly by a factor of $(q - 1)/q$) by a simple averaging argument to upper bound the distance of irreducible codes.

**Proposition 4.5:** Let $V$ be a $q$-ary $[s, m, d]$ irreducible code, then its minimum distance satisfies $d \leq s(1 - \frac{q^m - 1}{q^{m-1} - 1})$.

**Proof:** For any element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ define the linear mapping $T_{m, \gamma} : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ as $\alpha \mapsto T_{m, \gamma}(\alpha)$, where the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is viewed as a $m$ dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$. It is well known that these $q^m$ linear mappings exhaust the set of all linear mappings. In other words, for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ there exists a vector $v_\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ such that the mapping $T_{m, \gamma}$ is simply the scalar product with $v_\gamma$, i.e.,

$$T_{m, \gamma}(\alpha) = \langle v_\gamma, \alpha \rangle \text{ for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}.$$

Take a random nonzero mapping $T_{m, \gamma}$ and consider the set of indicator random variables $X_i = 1(T_{m, \gamma}(\beta^i) = 0), i = 0, 1, \ldots, s - 1$. Then $X_i$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), each having probability $\frac{d}{s}$ of being 0, and hence the expected number of 0s is $E[X_i] = \frac{sd}{s} = d$.
0, ..., s − 1. We have

\[ P(X_i = 1) \geq \frac{q^{m-1} - 1}{q^m - 1}, \]

so \( E\{ i : X_i = 1 \} \geq s \frac{q^{m-1} - 1}{q^m - 1} \). We conclude that there exists a \( \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \) such that weight of the codeword \( wt_H(T_m(\gamma), T_m(\gamma; \beta), ..., T_m(\gamma; \beta^{s-1})) \leq s \left( 1 - \frac{q^{m-1} - 1}{q^m - 1} \right) \), and the result follows.

Observe that this bound is tight for the simplex code.

**Proposition 4.6:** Let \( C \) be an \([n, k] \) cyclic code over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) such that its complete defining set contains the coset \( \alpha G_t \), where \( \alpha \) is a primitive \( n \)-th root of unity and \( s \mid n \), then the locality of \( C \) satisfies

\[ r < s \left( 1 - \frac{q^{m-1} - 1}{q^m - 1} \right), \]

where \( m \) is the multiplicative order of \( q \) modulo \( s \).

The theory of irreducible codes has been extensively explored, and for some cases their weight distribution is completely characterized. The technique behind these results is related to Gaussian sums and Gaussian periods [7]. We now cite a known result on irreducible codes, and cast it in the context of LRC codes. Observe that the upper bound on locality is again lower than that given by Proposition 3.4.

**Theorem 4.7:** [3, Theorem 17] Let \( N = (q^m - 1)/t \) and \( \gcd\left(\frac{q^m-1}{q^m}, N\right) = 2 \), then \( V \) is a two-weight code of length \( t \) and dimension \( m \) whose nonzero weights are \((q - 1)(q^m - q^{m/2})/Nq)\), and there are \((q^m - 1)/2\) codewords of each of these weights.

**Proposition 4.8:** Let \( C' \) be an \([n, k] \) ternary cyclic code whose complete defining set \( Z \) contains the coset \( \alpha G_t \) for some integer \( t \) that divides \( n \), where \( \alpha \) is a \( n \)-th root of unity. Let \( N = (3^m - 1)/t \), where \( m = \text{ord}_3(t) \). Assume that \( \gcd\left(\frac{3^m-1}{2}, N\right) = 2 \), then each symbol of the code \( C' \) has at least \( 3^{m-1} - 3^{\frac{m}{2} - 1} \) recovering sets of size at most \( \frac{2(3^m - 3^{\frac{m}{2}})}{3N} \).

**Proof:** The complete defining set \( Z \) of the code \( C' \) contains the roots \( \alpha G_t \), hence by Theorem 4.7, the \([n = (3^m - 1)/N, k = m] \) irreducible cyclic code \( V \) is a shortened set of \((C')^\bot \). By Theorem 4.7, the code \( V \) contains \( \frac{3^m}{2} \) codewords of weight \((2(3^m - 3^{\frac{m}{2}}))/3N\). Since the code is cyclic, each of its coordinates appears equally often as a nonzero coordinate of these codewords. Hence each coordinate of the code is nonzero in exactly \( 3^{m-1} - 3^{\frac{m}{2} - 1} \) codewords of weight \( \frac{2(3^m - 3^{\frac{m}{2}})}{3N} \) and the result follows.

**Example 3:** Let \( C' \) be a ternary cyclic code of length \( n = 80 \) defined by the set of zeros \([1, 2, 41]\). Since each of the corresponding cyclotomic cosets is of size 4, the dimension of the code is \( k = 68 \). The set of zeros contains \( \alpha, \alpha^41 \), so taking \( t = 40 \) in Proposition 4.8 we obtain that \( m = 4 \), and \( d^+ \leq 24 \). Furthermore, each symbol of the code has at least 24 recovering sets of size 23.

For completeness, we present an example where \( l \neq 1 \).

**Example 4:** Let \( C \) be an \([63, 54, 2]\) binary cyclic code with the defining set \( \{3, 27\} \). In this case the complete defining set contains the coset \( \alpha^s G_{21} \), where \( \alpha \) is a primitive root of unity of degree 63. Further, note that \( \gcd(3, 21) > 1 \), so the subcode \( V \) of \( C^\bot \) is a triple repetition of the \([7, 3, 4]\) simplex code. Therefore, the minimum distance of \( C^\bot \) is at most \( 3 \cdot 4 = 12 \) and the locality \( r \leq 11 \). It can in fact be shown that \( C^\bot \) is an \([63, 9, 12]\) cyclic code, so \( r = 11 \).

**E. Multiple Recovering Sets**

Proposition 4.4 shows that each symbol has several recovering sets. Apart from the number of these sets, their structure is also of importance. For instance, we would like to know whether a symbol has a pair of disjoint recovering sets, which allows a parallel independent recovery of the lost symbol. While not a complete answer, we provide some analysis below. Recall that in Proposition 4.4, the subcode \( V \) of \( C^\bot \) is the simplex code. Consider \( S_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \) a support of some codeword of \( V \). By considering the generator matrix of \( V \) it is clear that \( S_i \) corresponds to an affine space defined by a vector in \( u_i \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \). This observation yields a formula for size of the intersection of the supports of codewords of \( V \).

**Proposition 4.9:** Let \( S_i, i \in I \) be the supports of a subset of codewords in \( V \). Then the size of the intersection

\[ |\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i| \leq 2^{q^m - rk(u_i, e(1))}. \]

**Proof:** It can be easily checked that the set of vectors that contribute to the LHS is the set of all vectors \( x \in \mathbb{F}_2^t \) that are a solution for the set of linear non-homogeneous equations \( x \cdot u_i = 1 \), and the result follows.

For instance, for the \([63, 36, 3]\) code given in Table I Proposition 4.9 gives tight bounds; we have \( z = 3 \), and any two recovering sets of a symbol intersect in exactly one coordinate, while the intersection of any three is empty.
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We will prove that 

\[ V \text{ degree } k \]

where they and the parameters of many codes in examples. (12) is currently very much open, and there is a gap between 

\[ K \]

Apart from the Singleton-like bound mentioned above and its refinements (e.g., [14]), the following two upper bounds on the cardinality of a \( q \)-ary \( (n, k, r) \) LRC code are known. A shortening bound was proved in [1]. We formulate it for the case of linear codes. Let \( k_q(n, d) \) be the largest possible dimension of a linear \( q \)-ary code of length \( n \) and distance \( d \). The maximum dimension \( K(n, r, d) \) of a \( q \)-ary linear LRC code of length \( n \), distance \( d \), and locality \( r \) satisfies the following inequality:

\[ K(n, r, d) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (tr + k_q(n - t(r + 1), d)). \] (11)

If the code \( C \) is cyclic, then obviously the condition that the locality is \( r \) is equivalent to the condition that the dual distance \( d^\perp = d(C^\perp) = r + 1 \). Denote by \( M_q^{(c)}(n, r, d) \) the maximum cardinality of a cyclic \( q \)-ary code of length \( n \), locality \( r \), and distance \( d \). We can use the following form of the Delsarte linear programming bound [13] on the largest possible size of a \( q \)-ary cyclic LRC code of length \( n \) and locality \( r: C \) with distance \( d \):

\[ M_q^{(c)}(n, r, d) \leq 1 + \max \left\{ \sum_{i=d}^{n} a_i : a_i \geq 0, i = d, \ldots, n, \right\} \\
\sum_{i=d}^{n} a_i K_k(i) = -\binom{n}{k} (q - 1)^k, k = 1, \ldots, r + 1, \\
\sum_{i=d}^{n} a_i K_k(i) \geq -\binom{n}{k} (q - 1)^k, k = r + 2, \ldots, n \}, \] (12)

where \( K_k(i) \) is the value of the Krawtchouk polynomial of degree \( k \). The question of the goodness of the bounds (11), (12) is currently very much open, and there is a gap between them and the parameters of many codes in examples.

\[ \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}. \] Recall that \( T_m = T_z \circ T_m/z \). We have

\[ (T_m(\gamma), \ldots, T_m(\gamma \beta^{s-1})) \]
\[ = (T_z(T_m/z(\gamma)), \ldots, T_z(T_m/z(\gamma \beta^{s-1}))) \]
\[ = (T_z(T_m/z(\gamma)), \ldots, T_z(T_m/z(\gamma \beta^{s-1})) \in V_z. \]

Proof that \( V_m \supseteq V_z \). Since \( T_m/z \) is surjective, there exists \( \gamma' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \) such that \( T_m/z(\gamma') = \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \setminus \{0\} \). Let \( (T_z(\delta), \ldots, T_z(\delta \beta^{s-1})) \in V_z \) for \( \delta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \). We show that this vector belongs also to \( V_m \). Consider the following vector in \( V_m \):

\[ (T_m(\gamma' \delta), \ldots, T_m(\gamma' \delta \beta^{s-1})) \] for \( \gamma' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \).

Then

\[ (T_m(\gamma' \delta), \ldots, T_m(\gamma' \delta \beta^{s-1})) \]
\[ = (T_z(T_m/z(\gamma' \delta)), \ldots, T_z(T_m/z(\gamma' \delta \beta^{s-1}))) \]
\[ = (T_z(\delta T_m/z(\gamma')), \ldots, T_z(\delta \beta^{s-1} T_m/z(\gamma'))) \]
\[ = (T_z(\delta), \ldots, T_z(\delta \beta^{s-1})), \]

and the result follows. The rest of the proof follows from Theorem 4.3.

\[ \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \cdot \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \] and let \( T_m/z := T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \) be the trace mapping from \( \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \) to \( \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \). We abbreviate \( T_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \) as \( T_m/z \).

Define the subspace

\[ V_z = \{(T_z(\gamma), \ldots, T_z(\gamma \beta^{s-1})), \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}\}, \]

where \( z = \text{ord}_q(q) \) and \( \beta \) is an \( s \)-th primitive root of unity. Similarly define

\[ V_m = \{(T_m(\gamma), \ldots, T_m(\gamma \beta^{s-1})) : \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}\}, \]

We will prove that \( V_m \subseteq V_z \). Let \( (T_m(\gamma), \ldots, T_m(\gamma \beta^{s-1})) \in V_m \) for

\[ \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \]

\[ \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \]