Investigation of the Energy Band at the Molybdenum Disulfide and ZrO$_2$ Heterojunctions
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Abstract

The energy band alignment at the multilayer-MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ interface and the effects of CHF$_3$ plasma treatment on the band offset were explored using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The valence band offset (VBO) and conduction band offset (CBO) for the MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ sample is about 1.87 eV and 2.49 eV, respectively. While the VBO was enlarged by about 0.75 eV for the sample with CHF$_3$ plasma treatment, which is attributed to the up-shift of Zr 3d core level. The calculation results demonstrated that F atoms have strong interactions with Zr atoms, and the valence band energy shift for the d-orbital of Zr atoms is about 0.76 eV, in consistent with the experimental result. This interesting finding encourages the application of ZrO$_2$ as gate materials in MoS$_2$-based electronic devices and provides a promising way to adjust the band alignment.
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Introduction

In the past decades, SiO$_2$/Si-based materials played the dominant role in the manufacture of electronic devices, such as integrated logic circuits, nonvolatile memory, and so on. However, as the size of the devices scaled down ceaselessly from micrometers to below 10 nm, the traditional semiconductors have been hard to satisfy the requirement of enhanced specific capacitance, low gate leakage current, and high carrier mobility. Therefore, the exploration of new semiconductors as the device channels and the high-$\kappa$ oxides as insulators becomes agog. Since the discovery of graphene, the successful fabrication of two-dimensional (2D) materials, especially the semiconductors with suitable band gap, has provided a promising way to overcome this drawback.

Among the 2D materials, molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) with tunable properties based upon both layer count and the choice of substrate materials has drawn an increasing attention due to not only its good chemical stability and mechanical flexibility but also excellent optical and electrical properties [1, 2]. The energy band gap of the monolayer MoS$_2$ is about 1.80 eV while 1.20 eV for bulk. The promising performance of the electronic and optoelectronic devices made from MoS$_2$ layers, such as field-effect transistors [3–5], sensors [6], and photodetectors [7], proves it to be potential substitute of Si in conventional electronics and of organic semiconductors in wearable and flexible systems [8–11]. Even though single-layer MoS$_2$-based Field-effect transistors (FETs) have exhibited excellent performances with a high current on/off ratio about $10^8$ and a low subthreshold swing ~ 77 mV/decade [3], its extensive applications were hindered by the synthesis of large area high-quality single-layer MoS$_2$ and the stability of the devices [12–14]. Multiple-layer MoS$_2$ could be more attractive due to the high density of states, which contributes to high drive current in the ballistic limit [15]. In addition, the carrier mobility of multilayer MoS$_2$ can be further improved significantly by high-$\kappa$ oxides owing to the dielectric screening effects [16, 17]. Therefore, it is...
essential and important to investigate the multilayer MoS2/high-k oxides heterojunctions.

In heterojunction electronic devices, the electron transport properties are precisely controlled by the energy band profiles at the interface between the semiconductor and insulator oxides in the terms of valence band offset (VBO) and conduction band offset (CBO). The VBO and CBO should be as large as possible to operate as a barrier in order to reduce the leakage current formed by the injection of holes and electrons, especially the CBO plays a pivotal role in the selection of suitable high-k oxides for a gate terminal and should be at least larger than 1 eV to avoid current leakage [18–20]. Meanwhile, the interface charges located at semiconductor/oxides impose an important effect on the band engineering and needs to be optimized through passivation technology, such as SiH4 passivation, and CHF3 treatment. In this paper, we investigated the band alignment of multilayer MoS2/ZrO2 systems since the nature of the interface has a direct bearing on the characteristics of the devices, and the effect of CHF3 plasma treatment on the band offset at MoS2/ZrO2 interface was explored.

Methods and Experiments
In the experiments, the multilayer MoS2 films were grown on SiO2/Si substrates by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) systems with MoO3 and sulfur powder as the Mo sources and S precursors, respectively. During the growth process, Ar gas was used as the carrier gas and the growth temperature was 800 °C for 5 min. Then the MoS2/ZrO2 samples were prepared by transferring the large area multilayer MoS2 film onto the ZrO2/Si substrates using the poly methyl methacrylate (PMMA) method. The ZrO2 oxide (15 nm) was deposited on Si at 200 °C using atomic layer deposition (BENEQ TFS-200) system with Tetrakis Dimethyl Amido Zirconium (TDMAZr) precursor as the zirconium source and water (H2O) as the oxygen source. In order to investigate the effects of CHF3 treatment on the band alignment at MoS2/ZrO2 interfaces, for one sample, the ZrO2/Si substrate was treated by CHF3 plasma with RF power about 20 W and flow rate about 26 sccm. Meanwhile, the plasma treatment time is about 60 s and the pressure was kept at 1 Pa during the process. Consequently, the resulted F dose is about 2.0 × 1014 atoms/cm2 estimated by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) measurements. During the optimization process of the plasma treatment time, the CHF3 plasma seriously deteriorated the material quality by introducing fluorine diffused into ZrO2 largely when the time was set at 70 s. While when the plasma treatment time was 50 s, smaller than 60 s, SIMS results demonstrated no obvious F peak at the oxide surface. For the other sample, no CHF3 plasma treatment was implemented. The Raman characteristics of the samples were taken in a RENISHAW system at room temperature. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was measured using a VG ESCALAB 220i-XL system. The photon energy of the monochromatized Al Kα x-ray source is about 1486.6 eV. During the measurements, the pass energy was set at 20 eV in order to obtain the XPS spectra. In addition, C 1 s peak (284.8 eV) was used to correct the core-level binding energy in order to eliminate the sample surface differential charging effect.

Results and Discussions
The Raman spectra of the as-grown and after-transferred multilayer MoS2 were characterized at room temperature as shown in Fig. 1. Two prominent Raman modes labeled as A1g and E1g were observed in the spectrum. Specifically, E1g mode is resulted from the opposite movement of in-plane S atoms with respect to the center Mo atom in the lower frequency region, whereas A1g is relative to the out-of-plane vibrations of S atoms in the higher frequency region [21]. It has been observed that the E1g and A1g modes of MoS2 undergo a red shift and blue shift, respectively, from monolayer to bulk samples, which is owing to the different interlayer Van der Waals restoring force and the influence of stacking-induced structure changes [21]. Therefore, the frequency difference (Δk) between the A1g and E1g modes is often used to evaluate the layer number or thickness of MoS2 film. Herein, Δk of the grown MoS2 film is about 25.32 cm−1, indicating the film is more than six layers. In addition, the cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) result displayed in the inset of Fig. 1 demonstrated the layer number of the grown MoS2 was about 8 corresponding to the thickness about 4.5 nm. What is more, the Raman peak position and full width at half maximum (FWHM) of MoS2 is almost the same
before and after transfer, indicating that the transfer process exerts a small influence on the quality of the material.

XPS has been profoundly proved to be an efficient way to determine the band offset at the heterojunction interface [22, 23]. In MoS2/ZrO2 heterojunction, the VBO value was obtained from the change of the valence band spectra of the ZrO2 between those of the bare oxide and with MoS2 material [24]. Figure 2a, b showed the core level and valence band spectra of bare ZrO2 and multilayer-MoS2/ZrO2, respectively. The intercept between the base line and the slope of the leading edge gives the valence band maximum (VBM) of the sample, where the Fermi level is taken as the reference level. The results demonstrated that the VBM of ZrO2 and multilayer-MoS2/ZrO2 systems are about 1.88 eV and 0.06 eV, respectively. In addition, the Zr 3d core-level spectrum of bare ZrO2 exhibits well-separated doublet peaks referred as Zr 3d5/2 and 3d3/2 with energy values of 182.05 eV and 184.45 eV, respectively, while the corresponding values for the MoS2/ZrO2 sample are 182.10 eV and 184.50 eV, respectively. The core-level change of Zr 3d5/2 or 3d3/2 ~ 0.05 eV is in the range of measurement and data processing error. In comparison with bare ZrO2 sample, multilayer MoS2 exerted little effects on the Zr 3d spectrum as shown in Fig. 2b. Then, the energy difference between the Zr 3d5/2 and VBM is 180.17 eV and 182.04 eV for the bare ZrO2 sample and MoS2/ZrO2 sample, respectively. Consequently, the VBO value for the multilayer-MoS2/ZrO2 interface is about 1.87 ± 0.05 eV, mainly resulted from the VBM difference between the bare ZrO2 and MoS2/ZrO2. Similarly, for the multilayer-MoS2/ZrO2 sample with CHF3 plasma treatment before MoS2 transfer, the VBM is about 0.02 eV as shown in Fig. 2c, almost identical to the sample without CHF3 treatment. However, the Zr 3d spectrum moves toward higher energy by about 0.75 eV, Zr 3d5/2 ~ 182.85 eV, and 3d3/2 ~ 185.25 eV, indicating that the VBO value was enlarged by about 0.75 ± 0.04 eV after plasma etching. Then, the CBO value ΔEC can be obtained according to the formula

\[ \Delta E_C = E_{G,ZrO2} - E_{G,MoS2} - \Delta E_V. \]

where \( E_{G,ZrO2} \) and \( E_{G,MoS2} \) are the band gap of ZrO2 and MoS2, respectively, and \( \Delta E_V \) corresponds to the VBO value. Normally, the band gap energy of oxide insulator can be obtained from the O 1 s loss energy spectrum [25]. Figure 3a shows the O 1 s loss energy spectrum of ZrO2, and the \( E_{G,ZrO2} \) is about 5.56 eV calculated from the energy difference by extrapolating the linear edge base line (535.95 eV) fit to the core level energy of Zr-O bonds (530.39 eV). The band gap of MoS2 in this work is about 1.2 eV. Therefore, the CBO value for the sample without CHF3 treatment is about 2.49 eV and 1.74 eV for the sample with CHF3 treatment. Then, the schematic structures of the band engineering for the samples without and with CHF3 plasma treatment are illustrated in Fig. 3b. Obviously, the multilayer-MoS2/ZrO2 system has a type I alignment, which facilitates electrons and holes confined in the MoS2. Meanwhile, the large \( \Delta E_C \) and \( \Delta E_V \) for MoS2/ZrO2 interface implies that ZrO2 could be a good gate dielectric for n- or p-channel multilayer MoS2-based FETs application in term of gate leakage current suppression. In addition, the sample with plasma treatment has a higher VBO \( \Delta E_V \).
The change of the band alignment at the multilayer MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ interface is believed to be closely related to the F-rich interfacial layer induced by the CHF$_3$ plasma treatment. Figure 3c displayed the SIMS result of the plasma-treated sample for Zr, F, and Si elements, presenting obvious F ions peak at the interface. Meanwhile, some F ions were diffused into the underlying ZrO$_2$ layer owing to its small size. At the MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ interface with CHF$_3$ plasma treatment, the enlargement of the VBO (reduction of the CBO) is mainly attributed to the up-shift of Zr 3d core-levels shown in Fig. 2c, indicating F ions have a strong interaction with Zr atoms. Then the effects of CHF$_3$ treatment on the electronic properties of the ZrO$_2$ oxide were investigated using Material Studio combined with the Cambridge Sequential Total Energy Package (CASTEP) based on density functional theory (DFT) [26]. The generalized gradient approximation for the exchange and correlation potential as proposed by Perdew-Burk-Ernzerhof (PBE) [27] was used to treat the ion-electron interactions together with the projector augmented wave potential (PAW) [28]. The plane wave cut-off energy is chosen to be 750 eV, and a Monkhorst–Pack k-mesh of $1 \times 1 \times 1$ is used to sample the Brillouin zone in the structure optimization and total energy calculation [29]. All the atoms were relaxed to their equilibrium positions until the total energy changes during the optimization finally converged to less than $10^{-6}$ eV/atom, the force and stress on each atom was converged to 0.003 eV/nm and 0.05 GPa, respectively, and the displacement was converged to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ nm. Figure 4a, b shows the total and partial density of states (DOS) for both MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ samples, where zero eV corresponds to the Fermi level. Obviously, F ions have a strong interaction with Zr atoms, making part of the d-orbital from Zr atoms which is projected to valence band moves downward about 0.76 eV from $-0.06$ to $-0.82$ eV below the Fermi level, which is in consistent with the enlargement of the valence band offset $\Delta E_v \sim 0.75$ eV. F atoms tend to attract electrons owing to the large electronegativity (4.0) and become partially negatively charged and then further form dipoles with Zr atoms, eventually contribute to the change of the band offset. Therefore, the band change at the MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ interface introduced by}

\(\Delta E_C\) in comparison with the sample without plasma treatment, which is better in the application of p-channel FETs.
the CHF$_3$ plasma treatment provides a promising way to adjust the band alignment at the heterojunctions, which facilitates the design of the related devices.

**Conclusions**

In this paper, we explored the energy band engineering at the multilayer MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ interface and investigated the effects of CHF$_3$ treatment using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The results demonstrated that a type I alignment was formed at the MoS$_2$/ZrO$_2$ heterojunction interface with CBO and VBO about 2.49 eV and 1.87 eV, respectively. While the CHF$_3$ plasma treatment increases the VBO by about 0.75 ± 0.04 eV mainly due to the up-shift of Zr 3d core-level energy, which is consistent with the calculation results. This work proves the great potential applications of high-κ ZrO$_2$ oxide in multilayer MoS$_2$-based devices and provides a possible way to modify the interface energy band alignment.
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