NUBI, an interferon-inducible protein, mediates anti-proliferative actions and apoptosis in renal cell carcinoma cells through cell-cycle regulation
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BACKGROUND: NEDD8 ultimate buster 1 (NUBI) is an interferon (IFN)-inducible protein that downregulates NEDD8 expression and its conjugation system. Although overexpression of NUB1 induces a growth-inhibitory effect in cells, the mechanisms underlying the anti-mitogenic actions of NUB1 in cancer cells remain uncertain. We investigated the anti-cancer effects of NUB1 in human renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cells.

METHODS: Nine human RCC cells were used for this study. The proliferation of RCC cells exposed to IFN-α was measured by water-soluble tetrazolium salt assay. The expression level of NUB1 in cells was measured by quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR or western blot analysis. Apoptosis and cell-cycle analysis were performed by flow cytometry. Silencing of NUB1 was performed using a small interfering RNA.

RESULTS: Both NUB1 messenger RNA and protein were significantly induced by IFN-α in seven out of nine selected RCC cell lines, and the NUB1 expressions induced by IFN-α correlated positively with cell growth inhibition. Overexpression of NUB1 remarkably induced S-phase transition during cell cycle and apoptosis in IFN-α-resistant A498 cells, in which NUB1 is not induced by IFN-α. The expression levels of two cell-cycle regulator proteins, cyclin E and p27, were increased under the aforementioned conditions. The knockdown of NUB1 enhanced cell proliferation of IFN-α-resistant A498 cells and suppressed IFN-α-induced growth inhibition in IFN-α-sensitive 4TUHR cells.

CONCLUSION: NUB1 may be a key factor involved not only in cell growth inhibition by IFN-α in RCC cells but also in the anti-cancer effect against IFN-α-resistant RCC cells.
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Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is the most common cancer of the kidney (Parkin et al, 2005). Approximately 30% of patients with RCC present with metastatic disease (Motzer et al, 1996; Lam et al, 2005), and the 5-year survival rate for those with metastatic RCC is <10% (Motzer et al, 1996). Immunotherapy based on interferon alpha (IFN-α) and/or interleukin-2 has been widely adopted as the first-line treatment for metastatic RCC and clinical benefits including long-term complete remission have been demonstrated, but the prognosis of these patients is still poor (Negrier et al, 1998; McDermott et al, 2005).

Recently, several alternative treatments were developed for metastatic RCC. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a potent pro-angiogenic protein that is responsible for increased vasculature and tumour growth in RCC. Fundamentally, a mutation in the von Hippel–Lindau tumour-suppressor gene induces overexpression of VEGF through accumulation of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 in RCC (Maxwell et al, 1999; Kerbel, 2008). Several novel agents that inhibit the VEGF signalling cascade, such as sorafenib, sunitinib and bevacizumab, have been found to exert significant anti-tumour effects and provide meaningful clinical benefits (Escudier et al, 2007; Hudes et al, 2007; Motzer et al, 2007; Rini et al, 2008). Furthermore, temsirolimus and everolimus, inhibitors of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), which block the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT signalling pathway involved in numerous cellular functions including cell proliferation, survival and angiogenesis, have been found to be effective agents against advanced RCC in the clinical setting (Hudes et al, 2007; Amato et al, 2009). Although molecular targeting therapies against the VEGF or mTOR signalling pathway have revolutionised the treatment of advanced RCC, no curative therapy has yet been established. Moreover, although IFN-α is the classic standard for treating RCC, the anti-tumour action of IFN-α exerted through a direct inhibition of tumour growth and biological response modifiers remains to be clarified. Hence, identifying the molecules critical for immunotherapy with IFN-α is still crucial to developing treatments for metastatic RCC.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and cell culture

Human RCC cell lines ACHN (CRL-1611), Caki-1(HTB-46), A-498(HTB-44) and 786-O (CRL-1932) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). RCC10RGB (10RGB), OS-RC2 and 4TUHR (4TUHR) were purchased from RIKEN Cell Bank (Tsukuba Science City, Tokyo, Japan). The OCUU1 and OCUU3 RCC cell lines were established in our laboratory from Japanese RCC patients. Cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), 100 U ml⁻¹ of penicillin and 100 μg ml⁻¹ of streptomycin (Gibco, New York, NY, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Antibodies

Rabbit polyclonal anti-NUB1 antibody was obtained from Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA). Rabbit polyclonal anti-NEDD8 antibody was generated by immunisation with a glutathione S-transferase-fusion protein of human NEDD8, followed by affinity purification (Kamitani et al, 2001). Mouse monoclonal anti-cyclin D1 and anti-cyclin E antibodies were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Cheshire, UK). Mouse monoclonal anti-p21 and anti-p53 antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Mouse monoclonal anti-p27 antibody was from BD Biosciences Pharmingen (San Diego, CA, USA). Mouse monoclonal anti-CDK2 and anti-CDK4 antibodies were from Medical & Biological Laboratories (Nagoya, Japan). Mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody was from Abcam (Cambridge, UK).

Cell-proliferation assay

Cells (3–5 × 10⁵ cells per well) were incubated in 24-well culture plates (Corning Inc., New York, NY, USA) in 500 μl of medium with or without 1000 U ml⁻¹ of IFN-α (Dainippon Sumitomo Pharma Inc., Tokyo, Japan). After culturing for 24, 48, 72, 96 or 120 h, the supernatant was removed, and cell-growth inhibition was determined using water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-1) assay (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader. All assays were carried out in triplicate.

Western blotting

Cells were harvested and whole-cell lysates were prepared using the PRO-PREP protein extraction solution (iNTRON Biotechnology, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein concentrations of samples were determined by the bicinchoninic acid protein assay (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Protein samples (20 μg of each protein) were treated at 50°C for 1 h in 2% SDS–polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with Tris-buffered (TBS) containing 5% non-fat dried milk, and probed overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies under manufacturer-recommended conditions. Immunoblots were washed with TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 1% non-fat dried milk and incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase against mouse IgG or rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Immunoreactive proteins were visualised using the ECL detection system (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Each western blot analysis was performed in triplicate.

Apoptosis analysis

The generation of FLAG-NUB1 in pcDNA3 has been described (Tanaka et al, 2003). Cells were transfected with 6 μg of pcDNA3/FLAG-NUB1 or pcDNA3 (control) using 18 μl of Fugene 6 reagent (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All transfections were carried out in a condition with serum. The transfectants were stained 24 h after transfection using the APO-DIRECT kit (BD) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, cells were washed in PBS and resuspended in 1% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS. After incubation on ice, aliquots of cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 70% (v/v) ice-cold ethanol. The cell suspensions were incubated in staining solution containing fluorescein isothiocyanate-α-DUTP, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase enzyme and reaction buffer for 3 h at 37°C. After washing with rinse buffer, the treated cells were
Figure 1  Growth inhibition of nine renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cell lines after interferon alpha (IFN-α) treatment. As described in the Materials and Methods section, the number of viable RCC cells with or without 1000 IU ml⁻¹ IFN-α treatment for 0, 24, 48, 72, 96 or 120 h was determined by water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-1) assay. (A) Values measured at 0 h with or without IFN-α in each cell line were defined as 1. Three individual experiments were performed. (B) Values measured after 72 h without IFN-α in each cell line were defined as 1 (control). Results are expressed as means ± s.d. *P<0.05 compared with the control (Student’s t-test, n = 3).
finally stained with propidium iodide. Thereafter, all samples were analysed with a FACSCalibur flow cytometer with CellQuest software (BD Biosciences, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Cell-cycle analysis

Cells were transfected with pcDNA3/FLAG-NUB1 or pcDNA3 (control) as described above. After 16 h, the cells were harvested and stained with propidium iodide using Cycle Test plus (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were placed on ice and immediately analysed on FACSCalibur with ModFit LT ver. 2.0 software (BD Bioscience) to separate G0/G1, S, G2/M and hypodiploid nuclei.

NUB1 silencing using siRNAs

The NUB1 short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and negative control siRNAs were purchased from Applied Biosystems (catalogue no. AM16708A and AM4611, respectively). Each siRNA was transfected into subconfluent cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At 72 h after transfection, the transfected cells were harvested, followed by western blotting or viable-cell counting.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with JMP 7.0 software. (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Data were expressed as means ± s.d.

Figure 2  Effects of interferon alpha (IFN-α) treatment on NEDD8 ultimate buster 1 (NUB1) mRNA expression levels in renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cell lines. (A) Nine RCC cell lines were treated with or without 1000 IU ml⁻¹ of IFN-α for 6 h. The NUB1 expression levels of all samples were then determined using Taqman real-time PCR. Three individual experiments were performed. Values are means ± s.d. of the gene expression level relative to that in the control, given an arbitrary value of 1. *P < 0.05 (Student’s t-test, n = 3). (B) IFN-α-sensitive (ACHN, OCUU3) and -resistant (A498, Caki-1) RCC cell lines were incubated for 19 h with various concentrations of IFN-α as indicated. NUB1 expression levels were determined by the same method as above.
Statistically significant differences were determined by Student’s t-test and the correlation between growth inhibition and NUB1 induction by IFN-α was assessed by regression analysis.

RESULTS
IFN-α responsiveness of RCC cell lines
A498, caki-1 and 10RGB cells were almost resistant to IFN-α-mediated cell-growth inhibition compared with other cell lines. In other cell lines, growth was reduced to ~70–80% of that in controls after culture for 72 h (Figure 1B). The A498, caki-1 and 10RGB cell lines were thus considered to be IFN-α resistant, whereas other cell lines were considered to be IFN-α sensitive.

IFN-α induces expression of NUB1 messenger RNA in IFN-α-sensitive RCC cell lines
The induction rates of NUB1 mRNA in IFN-α-resistant cell lines, such as A498 and caki-1, were much lower than those of other cell lines (Figure 2A). In IFN-α-sensitive cell lines, such as OCUU3 and ACHN, levels of NUB1 mRNA induced by IFN-α were increased in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2B, upper panel). In contrast, levels of NUB1 mRNA were not changed significantly by treatment with IFN-α in IFN-α-resistant cells, such as A498 and caki-1 (Figure 2B, lower panel).

Positive correlation between IFN-α-induced growth inhibition and IFN-α-induced protein expression of NUB1
Protein expression of NUB1 in both IFN-α-sensitive and -resistant cell lines was determined by western blot analysis using an anti-NUB1 antibody. IFN-α induced protein expression of NUB1 in seven RCC cell lines (786-0, OCUU3, 10RGB, OS-RC2, OCUU1, ACHN and 4TUHR) but not in caki-1 cells (1.18-fold) or A498 cells (1.06-fold). It is noteworthy that IFN-α induced NUB1 protein in IFN-α-sensitive cell lines, but not in IFN-α-resistant cell lines (except for 10RGB) (Figures 3A and B). Next, single linear regression analysis revealed that levels of NUB1 induction by IFN-α tended to predict responses to IFN-α. This tendency was not statistically significant when all RCC cell lines were considered (P = 0.09 and R^2 = 0.34). However, it was significant when 10RGB was omitted from the analysis (P < 0.01 and R^2 = 0.74) (Figure 3C).

Overexpression of NUB1 induces apoptosis in A498 RCC cells
A498 cells, in which NUB1 was not induced by IFN-α, were transfected with pcDNA3/FLAG-NUB1 or pcDNA3 (control), and an apoptosis assay was performed by flow cytometry (FCM) 24 h after the transfection. Western blot analysis using the anti-NUB1 antibody revealed that expression level of NUB1 protein in A498 cells transfected with pcDNA3/FLAG-NUB1 was almost three times more than that in control mock cells (Figure 4A). Moreover, the
flow cytometry analysis showed that up to 90% of A498 cells, which overexpressed FLAG-NUB1, underwent apoptosis (Figures 4B–D). We also conducted the same analysis with overexpression of FLAG-NUB1-long (NUB1L), an alternative splicing variant, and obtained the same results under these conditions as with FLAG-NUB1 (data not shown).

**Overexpression of NUB1 alters cell cycle with S-phase arrest through cell-cycle regulator proteins**

To examine the process associated with the apoptotic effect of NUB1, we initially performed cell-cycle analysis in A498 cells transfected with pcDNA3/FLAG-NUB1 or empty control vector.
Overexpression of NUB1 increased the cell population in S phase while decreasing those in G0/G1 and G2/M phases, compared with the control (Figure 5A). Next, we examined the cell-cycle regulator proteins that were involved in the S-phase transition induced by NUB1 overexpression. Western blot analysis revealed the expression levels of cyclin E and p27 to be increased in A498

**Figure 5** Effects of NEDD8 ultimate buster 1 (NUB1) overexpression on cell-cycle transition in A498 cells. A498 cells transfected with pcDNA3 (control) or pcDNA3/FLAG-NUB1 were harvested 16 h after transfection and stained with propidium iodide (PI) as described in the Materials and Methods section. (A) The percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was analysed by flow cytometry. Relative fluorescence intensities in a two-dimensional fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) profile (ModFit LT software) in the control (left) or NUB1-overexpressing A498 cells (right) are presented. (B) As noted in the Materials and Methods section, cells were harvested 48 h after transfection and total cell lysates were prepared and analysed by western blot analysis using antibodies directed against the indicated proteins. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. The expression levels of p27 and cyclin E were normalised by the β-actin level and presented as the relative fold compared with the control. Data values were presented as means ± s.d.
cells with overexpression of NUB1 as compared with those in control cells (Figure 5B). There were no significant changes in the expression levels of other cell-cycle regulators. Moreover, overexpression of NUB1 decreased the expression of NEDD8 in A498 cells (Figure 5B). These results were reproduced in the same

analyses with overexpression of FLAG-NUB1L or caki-1 cells (data not shown).

Downregulation of NUB1 promotes cell proliferation and suppresses IFN-α-induced inhibition of cell growth

We also investigated the effect of NUB1 knockdown on cell growth in RCC cells using the RNA interference technique. The efficiency of siRNA against NUB1(siNUB1) was determined by western blot analysis. In A498 cells transfected with siNUB1, the amount of NUB1 protein was reduced to <10% of that in control A498 cells (Figure 6A a). Knockdown of NUB1 clearly enhanced A498 cell growth, compared with control cells (Figure 6A b). Next, in IFN-α-treated 4TUHR cells transfected with siNUB1, the amount of NUB1 protein was reduced to <10% of that in control 4TUHR cells treated with IFN-α (Figure 6B a). The number of control 4TUHR cells treated with IFN-α was decreased to ~50% of that in control 4TUHR cells treated without IFN-α. Knockdown of NUB1 partially suppressed IFN-α-induced inhibition of 4TUHR cells (Figure 6B b).

**DISCUSSION**

NEDD8 has been reported to conjugate to a limited number of target proteins, including cullin family members (Cul-1, -2, -3, -4A, -4B and -5), von Hippel–Lindau protein (pVHL), murine double minute 2 (Mdm2), p53 and p73 (Kipreos et al, 1996; Wada et al, 1999; Read et al, 2000; Stickle et al, 2004; Xirodimas et al, 2004; Watson et al, 2006). Indeed, the NEDD8 conjugation system controls many biological events, including cell-cycle progression, tumorigenesis and neurodegeneration (Podust et al, 2000; Mori et al, 2005; Chairatvit and Ngamkitidechakul, 2007; Salon et al, 2007). Therefore, NUB1 is potentially involved in important biological functions through regulation of the NEDD8 conjugating system.

However, to our knowledge, there are no reports showing the basic mechanisms underlying the anti-tumour effects of NUB1 on cancer cells. First, we investigated whether NUB1 is induced by IFN-α in nine different human RCC cell lines. Expression levels of NUB1 mRNA and protein were upregulated by IFN-α in seven RCC cell lines, namely, ACHN, OS-RC-2, OCUC1, OCUC3, 786-0, 4TUHR and 10RGB. Importantly, growth of these cell lines, except for 10RGB, was significantly inhibited by IFN-α. A498, caki-1 and 10RGB were essentially resistant to IFN-α treatment. Most notably, in both A498 and caki-1 cells, NUB1 expression levels were not significantly changed by IFN-α. We demonstrated a positive significant correlation between IFN-α-induced NUB1 expression and IFN-α-induced cell growth inhibition in six out of the seven IFN-α-sensitive cell lines, that is, in all but 10RGB. The slow proliferation of 10RGB cells might contribute to the lack of difference in cell viability between cells treated with IFN-α and untreated cells (as shown in Figure 1A). Next, we demonstrated that overexpression of NUB1 in two cell lines, A498 and caki-1, triggered cell-cycle alterations and apoptosis. Furthermore, we showed that NUB1 overexpression increased the cell population in the S phase during the cell cycle and upregulated two rate-determining components for cell-cycle transition (cyclin E and p27) and downregulated the expression of NEDD8. Previous studies defined various components in the fundamental pathways that regulate G1→S progression, including cyclin D, cyclin E and their associated cyclin-dependent protein kinases (CDKs), including CDK4/6 and CDK2 (Koff et al, 1992; Sherr and Roberts, 1999). p27 is one of the CDK inhibitors that function as a major brake to the cell cycle and also induce apoptosis (Poljak et al, 1994; Vlach et al, 1996). Biologically, p27 mainly inhibits the activity of cyclin
E–CDK2 complexes and thereby blocks progression from the G1 to the S phase (Sheaff et al., 1997). Interestingly, both p27 and cyclin E are major targets for the ubiquitination catalysed by the SCF complex. Thus, it is possible that NUB1 causes the S-phase transition and apoptosis, both of which are attributable to the inhibition of ubiquitination for p27 and cyclin E through a negative regulation of the NEDD8 conjugation system. Moreover, overexpression of NUB1L, an alternative splicing variant, induced S-phase arrest and apoptosis in the same series of experiments. As NUB1L also downregulates NEDD8 expression (Tanaka et al., 2003), one can speculate that NUB1L controls the expression levels of cyclin E and p27 through inhibition of NEDD8 expression, as well as that of NUB1. In addition, NUB1L has been reported to bind to FAT10 (one of the ubiquitin-like proteins), which induces apoptosis and regulates the cell cycle, and target FAT10 for degradation of 26S proteasome (Raasi et al., 2001; Hipp et al., 2004; Lim et al., 2006). In our used RCC cells, we could not detect an expression of FAT10 protein by western blotting (data not shown). It is possible that FAT10 does not have a crucial role in these RCC cells. Furthermore, some reports have shown that IFN-α induces S-phase arrest and apoptosis in liver cancer cell lines (Matsumoto et al., 2005; Yano et al., 2006). The positive correlation between NUB1 induction and suppression of cell growth by IFN-α indicates that NUB1 could be a key molecule in IFN-α-induced cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in human RCC cells. Furthermore, knockdown of NUB1 remarkably increased proliferation in IFN-α-resistant A498 cells and partly suppressed IFN-α-induced inhibition of cell proliferation in IFN-α-sensitive 4TUHR cells.

On the basis of these experimental results, an altered expression of NUB1, which functions as a negative cell-cycle regulator, not only possibly correlates with IFN-α-induced anti-mitogenic action, but might also yield anti-cancer effects against advanced RCC, against INF-α-resistant RCC as well.
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