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ABSTRACT

Objectives. To evaluate the potential associations between chronic kidney disease of uncertain or non-traditional etiology (CKDnT) and agrochemicals, heat stress, heavy metals, and other factors identified in the literature in any region of the world and at any time.

Methods. This was a systematic review of the most frequent exposures suspected to be possible causes of CKDnT. A search was conducted of PubMed, LILACS, World Wide Science electronic databases, among other sources. Only medium- and high-quality studies were included. The synthesis of evidence included a narrative synthesis, meta-analysis, and meta-regression.

Results. Four systematic reviews and 61 primary studies were included. Results of the meta-analysis suggest that exposure to agrochemicals and working in agriculture increase the risk of CKDnT, but this only reached significance for working in agriculture. When cross-sectional studies were excluded, agrochemical exposure became significant. However, there is substantial heterogeneity in the effect sizes.

Conclusions. Based on the existing evidence and the precautionary principle, it is important to implement preventive measures to mitigate the damage caused by CKDnT to both agricultural workers and their communities (i.e., improvement of working conditions, cautious management of agrochemicals, etc.). More high-quality research is needed to measure impact and to build the evidence base.
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During the last four decades, a severe form of renal failure has increased among individuals living in socially-vulnerable farming communities of Central America and Asia. In particular, El Salvador and Nicaragua have seen important increases in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) and CKD mortality. Parts of the Region of the Americas have experienced up to four times the global CKD mortality rate.

Chronic kidney disease of uncertain or non-traditional etiology (CKDnT) is a severe form of the disease characterized by progressive renal failure, often diagnosed at a very advanced stage due to the absence of early symptoms; it requires replacement therapy for survival. Its etiology is not linked to diabetes, hypertension, glomerulopathies, or other known causes of renal diseases. The clinical, biochemical, and histological characterizations of CKDnT in South Asia, particularly in Sri Lanka, and Central America are very similar.

The underlying etiologies for CKDnT have not been clearly identified in most regions, but two predominant groups of suspected risk factors have been studied. The first group is related to repeated and prolonged exposure to potential toxins, with a growing body of evidence indicating that exposure to agrochemicals and other environmental factors can contribute to the development of CKDnT. However, the extent of the problem and the specific role of these factors remain unclear, highlighting the need for further research to better understand the etiology of CKDnT.
including pesticides and heavy metals, in drinking water and in agricultural communities (1). The second is related to heat stress with repeated episodes of dehydration. A recent systematic review of pesticides and CKDnT found scarce evidence for an association with regional CKDnT epidemics, but the role of agrochemicals could not be conclusively discarded based on the evidence available at the time of the review (5).

While there is a general consensus that the patterns of CKDnT are similar in Central America and South Asia, no meta-analysis of all the important risk factors without country limitations had been conducted to date. The objective of this systematic review was to evaluate the potential associations between CKDnT and agrochemicals, heat-stress dehydration, heavy metals, hard water, and other factors described in the literature in any region of the world and at any time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A systematic review and meta-analysis (6–8) were conducted according to the study’s previously registered protocol (9). The search included systematic reviews, experimental studies, and observational studies of medium or high quality among any populations with CKDnT. Any exposure implicated in the literature was included as a possible cause of CKDnT, as was any comparison.

The primary outcome was CKDnT defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 ml/min/1.73m² body surface area and/or non-nephrotic proteinuria and/or urinary sediment abnormalities as markers of kidney damage and/or renal tubular disorders and exclusion of traditional causes of CKD (2). Acute kidney injury as a proxy outcome measure for studies of heat stress-dehydration was also included.

Studies included were published in English, Spanish, or Portuguese. There was no restriction on the year of publication for systematic reviews; however, for primary studies, only those published after the search dates of the most recent systematic review were included. Searches were conducted of PubMed Central (U.S. National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland, United States), LILACS (Latin American and Caribbean Center on Health Sciences Information, PAHO/WHO, São Paulo, Brazil), World Wide Science electronic databases (Office of Scientific and Technical Information, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, United States), GreenFILE (EBSCO, Ipswich, Massachusetts, United States), AGRIS (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy), and PLAGSALUD (MASICA/OPS) using a combination of subject headings and text words for kidney disease and the potential exposures, developed from previous systematic reviews (4, 5). Examples of search strategies are available in the Supplementary Materials. The last search was conducted in August 2017. References and grey literature were also searched, and experts were also consulted. Search results were screened, according to the selection criteria, by one review author; while full texts were retrieved and reviewed against the inclusion criteria by two reviewers. Disagreements were resolved by discussion and consensus.

Data were extracted by one reviewer into Microsoft Word™ tables (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, Washington, United States), and then checked by a second reviewer. Data extracted included: exposure, study design, sample size, population, country of study, definition of CKDnT, results, funding source, and research gaps. Primary studies already included in systematic reviews did not undergo detailed data extraction or quality assessment, except where required for the meta-analysis. Exposure was classified as: agrochemicals, heavy metals, hard water, heat stress-dehydration, working in agriculture, and other exposures. CKDnT outcomes were classified as: 1 – eGFR with or without non-nephrotic albuminuria; 2 – non-nephrotic albuminuria/proteinuria; 3 – end-stage renal disease (ESRD); and 4 – mortality.

The quality of included studies was assessed by two reviewers. Disagreements were resolved through discussion and consensus. Low quality studies were excluded from the review. For systematic reviews, Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) was used (10); scores from 8 – 11 were considered to be high quality; from 4 – 7 of medium quality; and 0 – 3 of low quality.

The Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale was used for cohort studies and case control studies. Scores from 7 – 9 were considered to be of high quality; from 4 – 6 of medium quality; and from 0 – 3 of low quality. Cross-sectional studies were assessed using the adapted RTI Item Bank instrument proposed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (11).

The synthesis of evidence was based on both a qualitative synthesis and meta-analysis, when possible. This review reports both the effect of the exposure on CKDnT and whether study showed a dose-response relationship between exposure and outcome. Gaps in the research evidence were also identified.

Meta-analysis and meta-regression

Meta-analysis was used to determine the odds ratio (OR) for each of the main exposures: agrochemicals, heat stress-dehydration, heavy metals (including the subcategories arsenic, cadmium, lead, and mercury), hard water, and working in agriculture. ORs were adjusted for other risk factors whenever available, and were chosen because they were the most commonly used statistic in the included primary studies. MetaXL was used to conduct the meta-analysis using the inverse variance heterogeneity method (12, 13). Heterogeneity was assessed using Cochran’s Q and I² statistics. Doi plots and the LFK index were used to evaluate the presence of small-study effects, where asymmetry can indicate publication or other biases (14).

Given the substantial heterogeneity, a meta-regression was conducted to determine the factors that impact on the effect sizes (6). MetaXL was used to prepare the data for further analysis in Stata®/MP14 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, United States) using the study weights generated (12, 13). Effect sizes (lnOR) were transformed. The primary aim of the analysis was to optimize the amount of variance explained using a multivariate linear regression model. A multivariate model was created by adding one factor at a time in order of the amount of variability it explained (R-squared) in univariate analyses. Models were compared using the adjusted R-squared statistic. If the last factor introduced into the model did not increase the adjusted R-squared, it was removed from the model before adding the next factor. The significance of a group of variables was tested using a Wald test.

CKDnT causality considerations

The various exposures addressed in the literature were compared against the nine considerations for causality of Bradford Hill (15, 16).
RESULTS

In all, 4 systematic reviews (4, 5, 17, 18) and 61 primary studies were included (19 – 79), 19 of which came from the search for primary studies (61 – 79). The selection process for studies and the numbers at each stage are shown in Figure 1. Reasons for exclusion of systematic reviews and primary studies at full text stage, as well as characteristics of included studies and their quality assessment scores are compiled in the Supplementary Materials.

Meta-analysis and meta-regression

ORs (or equivalent) were available for 56 exposure-control comparisons from 29 studies. As seen in Figures 2 and 3, all exposures had combined ORs > 1, but this was only consistent for exposure to agrochemicals and working in agriculture, which was also statistically significant. Furthermore, analyses showed substantial heterogeneity, with I² values from 61% – 89% (7). The Doi plots showed major asymmetry for agrochemicals, hard water, and heat stress-dehydration; in contrast, Doi plots also showed only minor asymmetry for working in agriculture and heavy metals. Further details are available in the Supplementary Materials (55).

The results and interpretation of the meta-regression showed that only exposure and outcome were significant in the multivariate model, but region of study, population of study, and study design were included because they helped to explain the variation in the effect sizes. Together, these variables explained 59% of the between-study variance in the effect sizes (R² = 0.587), compared to 9.1% for exposure alone (R² = 0.091).

Agrochemicals / working in agriculture

Two systematic reviews (4, 5) and 25 primary studies (27 articles; 20, 26, 27, 30 – 34, 38, 40 – 42, 44, 45, 48, 50, 51, 57 – 59, 63, 66, 67, 75, 77) contributed data on exposure to agrochemicals and/or working in agriculture (see the Supplementary Materials for more details). The majority of studies were conducted in Central America (n = 14) or South Asia (n = 7).

Agrochemicals. Exposure to agrochemicals increased the risk of CKDnT (OR 1.35; 95%CI = 0.98 - 1.87; 13 studies), but the result was not significant and there was substantial heterogeneity (I² = 61%), as shown in Figure 2A. When the cross-sectional studies were excluded from the analysis (Figure 2B), the OR increased and became significant (OR 1.44; 95%CI = 1.02 – 2.02; 9 studies; I²=55%). Of 3 studies not included in the meta-analysis (see the Supplementary Materials S6.1), one showed exposure to agrochemicals to be a risk for CKDnT (31, 32), though the result was not significant, and the other showed agrochemicals to be protective (34). The third study only had results for specific organochlorine pesticides (63).

Working in agriculture. Working in agriculture was a significant risk for CKDnT (OR 1.78; 95%CI = 1.21 – 1.61; 17 comparisons from 15 studies; I²=78%), as shown in Figure 2C. Of the three studies not included in the meta-analysis, two were positive and statistically significant (31, 32, 77) and the other (59) did not provide sufficient data to know the direction of the effect (see the Supplementary Materials S6.1).

Heavy metals / hard water

Three systematic reviews (4, 17, 18) and 27 primary studies (19, 21 – 29, 35 – 40, 43, 46, 47, 53, 56, 58, 60 – 62, 73, 76) contributed data on heavy metals and/or hard water. One of the included primary studies contained both cross-sectional and cohort components, with each showing different results (22); and one cross-sectional study also included a case-control

FIGURE 1. Study selection flowchart for systematic review of risk factors for chronic kidney disease of non-traditional causes

Studies identified through database search:
PubMed =1 728
LILACS = 20
World Wide Science = 60
Other sources = 32
Total = 1 840

After duplicates removed: n = 1 692

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility:
Systematic review = 44
Primary studies = 181

Studies included in the overview:
Systematic reviews = 4
Primary studies = 61
- 42 included in systematic reviews
- 19 new primary studies

Excluded (for title and abstracts): n = 1 467

Full-text articles excluded:
Systematic reviews = 40
Primary studies = 120

Source: Prepared by the authors from study data.
FIGURE 2. Forest plots showing the odds ratio for the effect of (A) agrochemicals (all studies); (B) agrochemicals (cross-sectional studies excluded); and (C) working in agriculture on any CKDnT outcome. The summary odds ratio was generated using the inverse variance heterogeneity model.

A. Agrochemicals

| Study                  | ES (95%CI) | % Weight |
|------------------------|------------|----------|
| Athuraliya 2011        | 2.00 (1.00, 3.90) | 1.0      |
| Jayasumana, Para 2015  | 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) | 57.8     |
| Jayatilake 2013        | 1.09 (0.60, 1.99) | 7.1      |
| Lebov, Engel 2015      | 2.63 (1.24, 5.45) | 4.6      |
| Lebov 2016             | 1.07 (0.79, 1.44) | 28.1     |
| O’Donnell 2010         | 1.85 (0.84, 4.07) | 4.1      |
| Orantes 2011           | 1.23 (0.66, 2.31) | 6.5      |
| Orantes 2014           | 2.60 (1.24, 5.45) | 4.6      |
| Rains 2014             | 3.14 (1.12, 8.78) | 2.4      |
| Sanoff 2010            | 1.57 (0.97, 2.54) | 10.8     |
| Siddharth 2014         | 2.32 (1.32, 8.34) | 3.0      |
| Wanigasurya 2011       | 0.43 (0.21, 0.90) | 4.8      |
| Overall                | 1.35 (0.98, 1.87) | 100.0    |

Q=31.01, p=0.00, I²=61%

B. Agrochemicals (cross-sectional studies excluded)

| Study                  | ES (95%CI) | % Weight |
|------------------------|------------|----------|
| Athuraliya 2011        | 2.30 (1.40, 3.90) | 12.5     |
| Jayasumana, Para 2015  | 2.34 (0.97, 5.57) | 4.3      |
| Jayatilake 2013        | 1.01 (0.66, 1.55) | 18.2     |
| Lebov, Engel 2015      | 4.22 (1.24, 13.20) | 2.2      |
| Lebov 2016             | 1.07 (0.79, 1.44) | 36.5     |
| O’Donnell 2010         | 1.85 (0.84, 4.07) | 3.1      |
| Rains 2014             | 3.14 (1.12, 8.78) | 3.1      |
| Sanoff 2010            | 1.57 (0.97, 2.54) | 14.0     |
| Siddharth 2014         | 2.32 (1.32, 8.34) | 3.9      |
| Overall                | 1.44 (1.02, 2.02) | 100.0    |

Q=17.59, p=0.02, I²=55%

C. Working in agriculture

| Study                  | ES (95%CI) | % Weight |
|------------------------|------------|----------|
| Athuraliya 2011        | 2.60 (1.90, 3.40) | 13.1     |
| Jayatilake 2013        | 1.20 (1.01, 1.42) | 37.8     |
| Laux 2012              | 0.85 (0.20, 3.59) | 0.5      |
| Lebov 2016             | 0.90 (0.46, 1.75) | 2.5      |
| Lebov, Engel 2015      | 1.46 (0.90, 2.39) | 4.6      |
| Lebov, Valladares 2015 | 2.91 (1.94, 4.38) | 6.7      |
| Nanayakkara 2014       | 9.17 (4.18, 20.00) | 1.8      |
| O’Donnell 2010         | 1.00 (0.44, 2.27) | 1.6      |
| Orantes 2011           | 1.35 (0.63, 2.88) | 1.9      |
| Orantes 2014           | 2.40 (1.50, 3.68) | 5.5      |
| Peraza 2012 men        | 3.10 (2.00, 5.00) | 5.3      |
| Peraza 2012 women      | 2.30 (1.40, 3.70) | 4.7      |
| Rains 2014             | 2.05 (0.61, 6.90) | 0.8      |
| Sponholtz 2016         | 2.38 (1.44, 3.93) | 4.4      |
| Torres 2010 BS men     | 1.60 (1.04, 2.48) | 5.9      |
| Torres 2010 BS women   | 3.39 (1.67, 6.91) | 2.2      |
| Overall                | 2.42 (0.79, 7.44) | 0.9      |

Q=71.24, p=0.00, I²=78%

Source: Prepared by the authors from study data.
component for exposure to heavy metals (27), making a total number of 29 primary studies (see the Supplementary Materials). The majority of studies were conducted in South Asia or non-endemic countries and in adults.

**Heavy metals.** Exposure to heavy metals increased the risk of CKDnT (OR 1.29; 95%CI = 0.73 – 2.28), but the result was not significant and had substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 = 87%$), as shown in Figure 3B. However, there were four clear subgroups for analysis of results, i.e., arsenic, cadmium, mercury, and lead. This analysis showed that only lead was a significant risk—combined OR 1.38 (95%CI = 1.01 – 1.88; 4 studies). Furthermore, there was no significant heterogeneity in the lead results ($I^2 = 0%$). As shown in Figure 3B, the only study of mercury that contributed data to the meta-analysis showed a significant protective effect (OR 0.66; 95%CI = 0.44 – 0.98); however, only 12 of the 26 primary studies contributed data since the others did not have ORs or equivalents.

When the results from the qualitative analysis were considered (26 studies), the results were less clear (see the Supplementary Materials S6.2). For lead, 9 studies reported results, 4 of which showed exposure to be a risk for CKDnT (all of which were included in the meta-analysis); 3 showed it to be protective; and 2 did not provide sufficient data to know the direction of the effect. Moreover, 3 studies showed a positive dose-response relationship and 3 found no trend. For arsenic, 5 of the 13 studies showed the exposure to be a risk, while 6 found it to be protective, 5 found a positive and statistically significant dose-response relationship, and 2 found a negative dose-response relationship. For cadmium, 7 of the 14 studies found exposure to be a risk and 3 found it to be protective. Further, 6 of the 14 studies found a positive dose-response relationship rather than a negative one ($n = 1$). For mercury, the 2 included studies showed a protective effect and 1 of these also found a negative dose-response relationship.

**Hard water.** Consumption of hard water increased the risk of CKDnT (OR 1.24; 95%CI = 0.43 – 3.52; 4 studies), but the result was not significant and had substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 = 89%$), as shown in Figure 3A. While 3 of the 4 studies showed hard water to be a risk and 1 also found a positive dose-response effect, a large study showed a small protective effect of hard water (see the Supplementary Materials). While the 4 included studies were classified as high quality, none were cohort studies.

**Heat stress-dehydration (adverse conditions at work)**

One systematic review of medium quality (4) and 8 primary studies (40, 44, 45, 48, 54, 65, 67, 68) contributed data on heat stress-dehydration. Five of the primary studies were conducted in Central America and three in non-declared endemic countries (see the Supplementary Materials). All studies used eGFR as the outcome measure with the exception of Moyce (68), which measured acute kidney injury. A wide variety of exposure measures were used as proxy measures of heat stress-dehydration (see the Supplementary Materials S6.3) and the cohort studies all had very short follow-up times.

Exposure to heat stress-dehydration increased the risk of CKDnT (OR 1.36; 95%CI = 0.84 – 2.21) according to 7 studies with 10 comparisons (40, 44, 45, 48, 54, 65, 67)—results not significant and with substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 = 85%$), as shown in Figure 3C. Furthermore, 4 of the 10 comparisons showed a protective effect against CKDnT. The only study
(68) not included in the meta-analysis used a direct measure of heat stress-dehydration, but measured acute kidney injury instead of CKDnT (see the Supplementary Materials S6.3). Three studies found a positive dose-response relationship between heat stress-dehydration and CKDnT (44, 54, 65), 2 of which were statistically significant (44, 65) and 2 found a statistically significant negative dose-response relationship (48, 67).

Other exposures

One systematic review of medium quality (4) and 23 primary studies contributed data on other exposures (20, 27, 38, 40, 41, 44, 45, 48, 49, 52, 55, 58, 59, 64, 67, 69 – 74, 79), with consistent evidence found for the effect of alcohol, smoking, and genetics (Table 1). The evidence for the other exposures was limited and/or inconsistent.

### TABLE 1. Characteristics and results of studies that measured the effect of other exposures on CKDnT

| Study author & year | Country          | Region* | Study design* | Quality | Sample size, n | Population* | Proportion male, % | Outcome# | Effect† | Dose-response‡ |
|---------------------|------------------|---------|---------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Alcohol             |                  |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Jayatilake 2013 (27)| Sri Lanka        | 1       | CC            | high    | 4 462          | general     | 43.7              | 2         | (+) NS  |               |
| Lebov. Valladares 2015 (67)| Nicaragua | 2 | CS            | high    | 2 275          | general     | 41.8              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| O'Donnell 2011 (40) | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 319            | general     | 50.5              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Raines 2014 (45)    | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 117            | general     | 70.1              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Sanoff 2010 (48)    | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 334            | general     | 100.0             | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Stanifer 2015 (55)  | Tanzania          | 3       | CS            | high    | 481            | general     | 25.6              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Wesseling 2016a (59)| Nicaragua        | 2       | CS            | medium  | 194            | occupational| 100.0             | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Xing 2015 (78)      | China            | 3       | CS            | medium  | 241            | hospital    | 62.0              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Yang 2015 (79)      | Taiwan           | 3       | CH            | medium  | 88             | hospital    | 38.2              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Smoking             |                  |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Jayatilake 2013 (27)| Sri Lanka        | 1       | CC            | high    | 4 462          | general     | 43.7              | 2         | (+) NS  |               |
| Lebov. Valladares 2015 (67)| Nicaragua | 2 | CS            | high    | 2 275          | general     | 41.8              | 1         | (-) NS  |               |
| Nanayakkara 2014 (38)| Sri Lanka       | 1       | CC            | high    | 597            | general     | 100.0             | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| O'Donnell 2011 (40) | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 319            | general     | 50.5              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Peraza 2012 (44) (crude OR) | El Salvador | 2 | CS            | high    | 256            | occupational| 100.0             | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Raines 2014 (45)    | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 117            | general     | 70.1              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Wanisigusuura 2011 (58)| Sri Lanka      | 1       | CC            | high    | 886            | general     | 52.0              | 2         | (+) SS  |               |
| Wesseling 2016a (59)| Nicaragua        | 2       | CS            | medium  | 194            | occupational| 100.0             | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Yang 2015 (79)      | Taiwan           | 3       | CH            | medium  | 88             | hospital    | 38.2              | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Diet                |                  |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Jelaković 2015 (64)| Croatia          | 4       | CS            | medium  | 2 161          | general     | 37.5              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Raines 2014 (45)    | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 117            | general     | 70.1              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Shi 2017 (73)       | China            | 3       | CH            | high    | 8 429          | general     | 47.0              | 1         | (+) SS  | (+) SS       |
| Siriwardhana 2014 & 2015 (52, 74)| Sri Lanka | 1 | CC            | medium  | 200           | hospital    | 59.0              | 1         | ( ) SS  |               |
| Genetics            |                  |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Athuraliya 2011 (20)| Sri Lanka        | 1       | CS            | high    | 6 153          | general     | 47.0              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Nanayakkara 2014 (38)| Sri Lanka       | 1       | CC            | high    | 597            | general     | 100.0             | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Nanayakkara 2015 (69)| Sri Lanka       | 1       | CC            | medium  | 504           | occupational| 65.0              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Orantes 2011 (41)   | El Salvador      | 2       | CS            | medium  | 775            | occupational| 44.3              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Sanoff 2010 (48)    | Nicaragua        | 2       | CC            | high    | 334            | general     | 100.0             | 1         | (+) NS  |               |
| Sayanthooran 2016 (72)| Sri Lanka      | 1       | CC            | medium  | 43            | occupational| 86.0              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Sayanthooran 2017 (71)| Sri Lanka      | 1       | CC            | medium  | 60            | occupational| 85.0              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Siddarth 2013 (49)  | India            | 1       | CC            | medium  | 668           | hospital    | 50.0              | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Communicable diseases|                |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Riefkhol 2017 (70)  | Nicaragua        | 2       | CH            | medium  | 489           | occupational| 100.0             | 1         | (+) SS  | (+) SS       |
| Yang 2015 (79)      | Taiwan           | 3       | CH            | medium  | 88             | hospital    | 38.2              | 1         | (+) SS  | (+) SS       |
| Snakebite           |                  |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Nanayakkara 2014 (38)| Sri Lanka       | 1       | CC            | high    | 597            | general     | 100.0             | 1         | (+) SS  |               |
| Tobacco chewing     |                  |         |               |         |                |              |                   |           |         |               |
| Nanayakkara 2014 (38)| Sri Lanka       | 1       | CC            | high    | 597            | general     | 100.0             | 1         | (+) SS  |               |

* Regions: South Asia = 1, Central America = 2, and Non-endemic = 3, Balkan States = 4
† Study design: CC = case-control, CH = cohort, CS = cross-sectional
‡ Population: hospital = hospital-based
§ eGFR (with or without non-nephrotic albuminuria) = 1, non-nephrotic albuminuria/proteinuria = 2, end-stage renal disease = 3, mortality = 4
# (+) = positive; (-) = negative; ( ) OR not reported (just 95% CI and Pvalue); SS = statistically significant effect (P < 0.05); NS = not significant.
Source: Prepared by the authors from study data.
CKDnT causality considerations

Assessments of the causality considerations for each of the exposures are shown in Supplementary Materials: agrochemicals and working in agriculture (S7), heavy metals and hard water (S8), heat-stress-dehydration (S9), and other exposures (S10). The study also identified research gaps (S11).

DISCUSSION

Agrochemicals

We found consistent evidence for the adverse effect of agrochemicals on chronic kidney disease, and in some studies, an association with end-stage renal failure (see the Supplementary Materials). In our meta-analysis, which included 13 studies from different regional areas, the overall effect was positive, and became significant when cross-sectional studies were removed. It is important to stress that high quality studies found significant associations for specific agrochemicals and in regions not declared as “endemic” (33, 34). These cohort studies not only involved men and women working as applicators and/or mixers of agrochemicals, but also women married to applicators, both of whom live in the same agricultural environment. Among married women who directly applied pesticides, and among women who did not but whose husbands directly applied specific pesticides, the effect observed was positive and also showed a significant biological gradient over time. The effect was also related to cumulative exposure and to having a well for drinking water, a possible source of agrochemical exposure (33, 34).

Although we did not conduct a meta-analysis of specific agrochemicals, there were studies (33, 34) of the agrochemical paraquat that was related both by direct exposure (in men) and indirect exposure (in women married to applicators). A study (26) conducted in Sri Lanka found that drinking water from abandoned wells contaminated with glyphosate and heavy metals increased the risk of CKDnT disease by a factor of 5. In Sri Lanka, few cases of the disease have been reported in an area with similar climatic and agricultural conditions, but where glyphosate was banned during the war years (80). Additionally, another review (81) argues that there is compelling evidence that glyphosate exposure is a significant factor in CKDnT in Mesoamerica.

The adverse effects of pesticides on CKDnT could be further aggravated by the presence of chemicals in the soil and drinking water, with possibly cumulative residual effects on soil and water (80). Comparison of biopsy patterns between endemic and non-endemic regions for those with ESRD due to CKDnT could help to clarify whether it is in fact the same disease (I), as has been done for Sri Lanka and Mesoamerica (3).

In relation to biological plausibility, in their systematic review Valcke and colleagues (5) report experimental and clinical evidence to support the claim that a number of pesticides commonly used in many parts of the world are known human nephrotoxins. They reported an effect on acute kidney injury rather than CKD. Experimental studies in animals describe the effect of glyphosate in the kidney with histological patterns and markers of renal failure similar to CKDnT, including genotoxic and teratogenic effects (80, 82). A recent study also showed kidney damage from a broad-spectrum glyphosate-based herbicide to glyphosate alone (83). In humans, kidney damage due to acute intoxications, including evolution to chronic renal illness, has also been seen (5).

Metals and hard water

In this review, 23 primary studies of the association between CKDnT and heavy metals and/or hard water were included, mainly from South Asia and non-endemic countries. There was a generally positive association between exposure to heavy metals and CKDnT, particularly for cadmium and possibly lead, and some evidence from cohort studies to support a temporal relationship (see the Supplementary Materials). The studies of cadmium also showed a positive dose-response gradient. The results for the association of arsenic with CKDnT were mixed, but when the dose-response gradient was measured it was mostly positive. There were only two studies of mercury included, and both showed a protective effect.

Heavy metals, such as cadmium, lead, and mercury are established nephrotoxins at high exposure levels (84, 85). Experimental studies reported by a systematic review (18) support the association between arsenic and the development of CKD. The most plausible explanation that was found refers to inflammatory processes and oxidative stress. In one included cohort study the adverse effects of chronic exposure to arsenic were also seen to be reversed with interventions that reduced exposure (22). Some studies have also shown that drinking well water and drinking from abandoned wells—that likely have an even higher concentration of contaminants—in endemic areas increased the risk of CKDnT by 2 and 5 times, respectively (26).

Heat stress-dehydration

There was no consistent evidence from our systematic review to support the association between CKD and heat stress-dehydration. The studies evaluated assume that changes in osmolarity and other markers in blood and urine provoke repeated episodes of acute renal failure, and from there could lead to the development of CKD. A proposed mechanism by which recurrent or chronic dehydration is capable of causing CKDnT has been put forward by Roncal Jimenez and colleagues (86). It includes increased release of vasopressin, increased oxidative stress by cortical production of uric acid, and hyperuricemia. Meanwhile, two other systematic reviews (one of them of low quality) showed that acute kidney injury is a risk factor for CKD and ESRD in non-agricultural populations and in a hospital environment with known risk factors for CKD (87, 88).

There are reports showing that agricultural workers in Central America are exposed to heat stress (89 – 91) with periods of recurrent dehydration capable of causing alterations of renal function, mainly acute kidney injury, but with no evidence that these conditions can cause CKD (48, 65, 68, 87, 92). Although heat stress and dehydration as causes of chronic kidney damage have some biological plausibility, there are no studies that show indisputably that they are the single or preponderant cause of the onset of the so-called CKDnT. Despite the evidence for the production of chronic kidney damage in animal experiments, there is no solid evidence in humans.

A recent review strongly criticizes the evidence related to heat stress and dehydration as the main cause for CKDnT in Mesoamerica. The authors suggest that, instead, CKDnT is
probably a toxic nephropathy (93). Also, the mechanization of agricultural labor, as well as the presence of CKD and ESRD in women who do not work physically (as in Sri Lanka), or in non-tropical regions (such as the United States; 34) go against the heat stress-dehydration hypothesis. Finally, a recent study on the prevalence of early renal damage markers in adolescents with no history of strenuous manual work living in a sugarcane agricultural area with a high number of CKDnT cases would reinforce the role of environmental toxins rather than heat stress-dehydration (94).

Work in agriculture

This meta-analysis of 15 studies found that working in agriculture significantly increased the risk of having CKD in all regions. The studies included in the qualitative and quantitative synthesis involved nearly 100 000 participants. A dose-response relationship also was found in participants of both sexes who work closer to sea level (compared to highlands) in Central America, with risks for both men and women (44, 57), as well as those who had worked in agriculture for more than 5 years in a non-endemic region (75). Work in agriculture is a wide context exposure that could also include multiple other exposures such as agrochemicals, heavy metals, and/or heat stress-dehydration. It is likely that there is an interaction between these exposures, resulting in varied patterns of damage.

A key strength of this work was the combination of both qualitative and quantitative syntheses, including meta-regression, which ensured that all included studies contributed to the main findings, not just those that reported results as ORs. In addition, by including studies from countries not identified as endemic (e.g., Japan, Mexico, the United States), with similar results, it became apparent that the problem of CKDnT goes beyond “endemic” countries and regions.

Limitations. A study limitation worth noting is the considerable heterogeneity of the included primary studies, which limited our ability to make firm conclusions about the state of the evidence. Also, relevant studies published after August 2017 could not be included (95, 96).

Conclusions

This meta-analysis showed a statistically significant association between CKD and pesticide use when cross-sectional studies were excluded. Results for heavy metals, hard water, and heat stress were not consistent. All results had substantial heterogeneity. Several other risk factors have been studied, with consistent evidence found for the effects of alcohol, smoking, and genetics; however, some important and complex gaps in knowledge remain.

Based on the existing evidence and the precautionary principle, it is important to implement preventive measures to mitigate the damage caused by CKDnT to agricultural workers and their communities (i.e., improved working conditions, cautious management of agrochemicals, etc.). More high-quality research is needed to measure impact and to build the evidence base.
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Factores de riesgo para la enfermedad renal crónica de causas no tradicionales: una revisión sistemática

RESUMEN

Objetivos. Evaluar las posibles asociaciones de la enfermedad renal crónica de etiología incierta o no tradicional (ERCnT) con los agroquímicos, el estrés por calor, los metales pesados y otros factores señalados en la bibliografía de cualquier región del mundo y en cualquier periodo.

Métodos. La presente es una revisión sistemática de las exposiciones más frecuentes que se sospecha podrían ser posibles causas de la ERCnT. Se realizó una búsqueda en las bases de datos electrónicas de PubMed, LILACS y World Wide Science, entre otras fuentes. Se incluyeron solamente estudios de calidad media y alta. La síntesis de la evidencias incluyó síntesis narrativa, metanálisis y metaregresión.

Resultados. Se incluyeron cuatro revisiones sistemáticas y 61 estudios primarios. Los resultados del metanálisis sugieren que la exposición a agroquímicos y el trabajo agrícola aumentan el riesgo de ERCnT, pero esto solo alcanzó un nivel significativo con respecto al trabajo agrícola. Al excluir los estudios transversales, la exposición a agroquímicos se tornó significativa. Sin embargo, existe una heterogeneidad sustancial en las magnitudes del efecto.

Conclusiones. Con base en las pruebas científicas existentes y en el principio de precaución, es importante aplicar medidas preventivas para mitigar el daño ocasionado por la ERCnT tanto para los agricultores como para sus comunidades (es decir, mejoras en las condiciones de trabajo, uso prudente de agroquímicos, etc.). Es necesario aumentar la investigación de alta calidad para medir el impacto y ampliar la base de pruebas científicas.
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Fatores de risco para doença renal crônica associada a causas não tradicionais: revisão sistemática

RESUMO

Objetivos. Avaliar as associações em potencial entre doença renal crônica de etiologia incerta ou não tradicional e produtos agroquímicos, estresse térmico, metais pesados e outros fatores identificados na literatura em qualquer região do globo ou espaço de tempo.

Métodos. Trata-se de uma revisão sistemática dos tipos mais comuns de exposição suspeitas de serem possíveis causas de doença renal crônica de etiologia incerta ou não tradicional. Foram pesquisadas as bases de dados eletrônicas PubMed, LILACS, World Wide Science, entre outras fontes. Somente foram incluídos estudos de qualidade intermediária e alta. Síntese narrativa, meta-análise e metarregressão foram usadas para sumarizar as evidências.

Resultados. Este estudo compreendeu quatro estudos de revisão sistemática e 61 estudos primários. Os resultados da meta-análise indicaram que a exposição a produtos agroquímicos e o trabalho na agricultura aumentam o risco de doença renal crônica de etiologia incerta ou não tradicional, com risco significativo apenas para o trabalho na agricultura. Após a exclusão dos estudos transversais, a exposição a produtos agroquímicos também representou um risco significativo. Porém, observou-se considerável heterogeneidade nos tamanhos do efeito.

Conclusões. Diante das evidências existentes e com base no princípio da precaução, é importante implementar medidas preventivas para atenuar os danos causados pela doença renal crônica de etiologia incerta ou não tradicional aos trabalhadores e às comunidades agrícolas, como melhoria das condições de trabalho e manipulação cautelosa dos produtos agroquímicos, entre outros. Faz-se necessário realizar outras pesquisas de alta qualidade para avaliar o impacto e aumentar a base de evidências.

Palavras-chave: Insuficiência renal crônica; doenças dos trabalhadores agrícolas; agroquímicos; exaustão por calor; metanálise.
