Rising inequality in mortality among working-age men and women in Sweden: a national registry-based repeated cohort study, 1990–2007

Naoki Kondo,1 Mikael Rostila,2,3 Monica Åberg Yngwe3

ABSTRACT

Background In the past two decades, health inequality has persisted or increased in states with comprehensive welfare.

Methods We conducted a national registry-based repeated cohort study with a 3-year follow-up between 1990 and 2007 in Sweden. Information on all-cause mortality in all working-age Swedish men and women aged between 30 and 64 years was collected. Data were subjected to temporal trend analysis using joinpoint regression to statistically confirm the trajectories observed.

Results Among men, age-standardised mortality rate decreased by 38.3% from 234.9 to 145 (per 100 000 population) over the whole period in the highest income quintile, whereas the reduction was only 18.3% (from 774.5 to 632.5) in the lowest quintile. Among women, mortality decreased by 40% (from 187.4 to 112.5) in the highest income group, but increased by 12.1% (from 280.2 to 314.2) in the poorest income group. Joinpoint regression identified that the differences in age-standardised mortality between the highest and the lowest income quintiles decreased among men by 18.85 annually between 1990 and 1994 (p trend<0.02), whereas it increased later, with a 2.88 point increase per year (p trend<0.0001). Among women, it continuously increased by 9.26/year (p trend<0.0001).

In relative terms, age-adjusted mortality rate ratios showed a continuous increase in both genders.

Conclusions Income-based inequalities among working-age male and female Swedes have increased since the late 1990s, whereas in absolute terms the increase was less remarkable among men. Structural and behavioural factors explaining this trend, such as the economic recession in the early 1990s, should be studied further.

INTRODUCTION

Concern has been raised about the public health consequences of the rapid expansion of globalisation since the early 1990s. These include persistent income inequalities, weakened social protection, changing social relationships and increased psychosocial stresses, which are usually discussed in relation to the volatile macroeconomic fluctuations.1–5 For example, an economic recession may contribute to health inequalities, as it is likely to have a disproportionately negative influence on living conditions and health among individuals in socioeconomically disadvantaged populations.6–8 Sweden experienced an economic recession between 1990 and 1994, which was the worst since the 1920s. Unemployment soared from 1.7% to 8.3%,

To respond to this crisis, the government introduced a series of macroeconomic reforms, the so-called Crisis Packages, which included tax reforms, cutbacks to social services, unemployment compensation and sick pay.2 These reforms increased the financial and psychosocial burden on the working-age population and, in particular, worsened the situation of the most disadvantaged. At the same time, income inequality also increased faster than anywhere else in the European Union.2

Welfare policy can function as a buffer against financial, occupational and educational constraints during periods of economic hardship. Sweden and other Nordic countries are recognised as societies with generous and universal welfare systems and low income inequality.9,10 and early evidence suggested that health inequalities did not widen during the deep recession in the early 1990s.11 However, these studies only used data up until 1995, so longer term observations are lacking. The purpose of this study therefore was to describe the long-term trends in inequalities in mortality across different income groups using a 3-year follow-up repeated cohort study of the total working-age population in Sweden between 1990 and 2007.

METHODS

Data

Data were collected from multiple governmental censuses, the population registry and the cause of death registry for the whole of the Swedish working-age population between 1990 and 2007. We adopted this data period for the sake of comparability. Data from 2008 onwards were not available because of the lack of further updates in the database. Information on demographic and socioeconomic status was obtained from the National Population and Housing Censuses, the Total Population Registry (RTB) and the Longitudinal Data Base on Education, Income and Employment (LOUISE). Mortality data were obtained from the National Cause of Death Registry. In accordance with recent relevant studies, we restricted the data to individuals aged 30–64 years, to evaluate the health disparities of people who were actively involved in the labour market.4,11 The population included 1 883 651 men and 1 836 890 women in 1993, and 2 022 279 men and 1 970 943 women in 2007. Those individuals with missing data from the National Cause of Death Registry or income data, mostly as a result of moving out of the country, were excluded. Data were missing for 3.37% of the study population in 1993 and 0.097% in 2007.
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Measurements
We collected all records of deaths from the National Death Registry, and therefore determined the numbers alive at December 31 of every year. The number of deaths was determined according to age in 5-year intervals, gender and income quintile. The measure of income was the annual individual disposable income 3 years prior to the year when mortality was determined. We stratified data by income quintiles that were calculated for each annual cohort by gender.

Statistical analysis
Calculation of age-standardised mortality rates
First, we calculated mortality rates standardised for the Swedish population in 2000.13 To evaluate the secular trends in mortality disparities across income quintiles, the differences and ratios of age-standardised mortality rates were calculated for lower income quintiles (Q1 and Q2) against the highest quintile (Q5).

We excluded deaths that occurred within 2 years of gathering income information. This was to minimise the confounding effect of existing health conditions, which could have altered income information. This was to minimise the confounding effect of existing health conditions, which could have altered income information.

Trend analysis
Next, we plotted these inequality measures and visually evaluated the secular trends over time. To evaluate the changes in secular trends in the differences in age-standardised mortality rates between income quintiles over time, we conducted a trend analysis using joinpoint regression.14 Joinpoint regression explored the potential points of trend changes in an inductive manner and statistically evaluated whether or not potential point changes in trend were statistically significant. We accounted for potential autocorrelations of errors within each gender over time and the regression coefficients were estimated by weighted least squares. To determine the best fit for each model, we used the grid search methods, creating a ‘grid’ of all possible locations for ‘joinpoints’, or the points where two different trends connected one another, and testing the sum of squared error at each one to find the best possible fit. We used permutation test methods to determine the number of joinpoints, setting a significance level of each individual test as 0.05. A detailed statistical note is available elsewhere.14 These analyses were performed using the statistical analysis package R V3.0.3, HD^Calc V1.2.3 and Joinpoint Regression Program V4.0.4 (US National Cancer Institute).

Sensitivity analysis
We also calculated other disparity indexes including range difference, between-group variance, absolute concentration index, slope index of inequality, range ratio, index of disparity, mean log deviation, Theil index, relative concentration index and relative index of inequality. Since these disparity measures used parameters which were aggregated, weighted and ranked by income groups, a Taylor series approximation with the Poisson model was used to calculate SEs, formally accounting for those potential issues.16

RESULTS
Overall, mortality rates steadily decreased over time in all age groups among both men and women (table 1, full data in online supplementary table S1). However, among income quintile groups, the lowest income quintile showed different trends; age-standardised mortality rates by income quintile showed a continuous decline in all income groups except for the lowest quintile (figures 1 and 2). Among men in the highest income quintile, age-standardised mortality rates (per 100 000 population) decreased by 38.3% from 234.9 to 145 during the observed period. However, in the lowest income quintile, the rates decreased by only 18.3% from 774.5 to 632.5. Among women, the rates decreased by 40% in the richest quintile, from 187.4 to 112.5, whereas in the lowest quintile it increased by 12.1% from 280.2 to 314.2 (online supplementary figure). Joinpoint regression for the differences in age-standardised mortality rates between income quintiles 1 vs 5 among men selected a 1-joinpoint model, showing that the rate decreased 18.85/100 000 population annually (p for trend=0.02) until the 1994–1997 cohort and then continuously increased by 2.88/year (p for trend <0.04; figure 3). In women, no point of trend change was statistically identified. The final model showed that the mortality differences constantly increased by 9.26/year (p<0.0001). Joinpoint models for the ratios of age-standardised mortality rates between income quintiles 1 vs 5 selected a 0-joinpoint model for men and a 1-joinpoint model for women. For men, the ratio increased by 0.08/year, while among women the annual increase was 0.89 (p for trend=0.30) until 1995 and 0.09 thereafter (p for trend <0.0001). Among women, the increasing trend of mortality disparities was similarly observed in alternative absolute and relative inequality measures. Among men, changes in relative mortality disparities were also constantly shown by alternative measures, whereas the increasing trends were less consistent across alternative absolute measures (online supplementary table S2).

DISCUSSION
After the middle of the 1990s, the rate of reduction in mortality rates in the lowest income groups slowed down among men and increased among women. The trends of overall income-based inequalities reflected these trends in the lowest income groups, especially in absolute terms, suggesting that the absolute inequality trends were largely driven by trends in the lowest income groups. Consequently, mortality differentials by income have widened since around 1995 among men, whereas among women a continuous increase in mortality disparities was observed between 1990 and 2004. The pace of increase in mortality disparities was not fast among men (only 2.88/100 000 population annually), but it might be slightly faster for women (annual increase=9.26) than men. In relative terms, the mortality inequality across income groups showed a continuous rise in both genders. Lundberg et al12 compared the periods 1986–1987 and 1994–1995 in terms of the gaps in self-rated health across different sociodemographic groups, but did not find any widening of health disparities. Similar results were obtained in other studies in Sweden and other Nordic countries.10 17 The advantages of the present study over these are that it used register-based data with very little missing data for the entire Swedish working-age population over more years, it had more objective health outcome measures (mortality) and it used register-based total population data. Our study added new findings: increasing income-based inequality in mortality in the working-age population that had important implications compared with earlier studies in Scandinavia.

We found a potentially changing trend in mortality among the male cohorts after 1994, with a subsequent continuous increase in mortality inequality among the working-age population. Possible explanations for the trends are as follows. First, it may be associated with the economic recession of the early 1990s. An economic recession may directly affect individual socioeconomic and living conditions, influencing physical and
mental health, and changing behaviour that influences health (eg, diet, smoking, drinking and healthcare utilisation). In Sweden, however, the economy and the labour market recovered before the mortality inequality started to increase after 1995. Therefore, the widening inequalities in income-related mortality do not seem to be fully attributable to the direct effects of the recession. Second, macroeconomic structural reforms to ameliorate the recession may account for the trend observed. Several studies have shown increasing health inequalities or deteriorating health in specific social groups during and

### Table 1  Basic demographic characteristics, number of deaths of the working-age population (30–64 years) and mortality rate (per 100 000 population) in Sweden in selected cohorts (full data available online)

|                | 1990 Cohort | 1997 Cohort | 2004 Cohort |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | Population  | Mortality   | Mortality rate | Population  | Mortality   | Mortality rate | Population  | Mortality   | Mortality rate |
| **Men**        |             |             |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |
| **Total**      | 1 883 651   | 7448        | 395.4        | 2 008 500   | 6726        | 334.9        | 2 022 279   | 6547        | 323.7        |
| **Age group**  |             |             |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |
| 30–34          | 289 810     | 274         | 94.5         | 304 483     | 212         | 69.6         | 266 632     | 174         | 65.3         |
| 35–39          | 288 216     | 396         | 137.4        | 310 002     | 302         | 97.4         | 288 074     | 224         | 77.8         |
| 40–44          | 298 383     | 547         | 183.3        | 286 755     | 397         | 138.4        | 318 034     | 410         | 128.9        |
| 45–49          | 332 420     | 914         | 275.0        | 285 665     | 698         | 244.3        | 280 576     | 563         | 200.7        |
| 50–54          | 266 021     | 1203        | 452.2        | 314 010     | 1184        | 377.1        | 279 724     | 976         | 348.9        |
| 55–59          | 212 356     | 1633        | 769.0        | 291 917     | 1730        | 592.6        | 287 480     | 1530        | 532.2        |
| 60–64          | 196 445     | 2481        | 1262.9       | 215 668     | 2203        | 1 021.5      | 301 759     | 2670        | 884.8        |
| **Income**     |             |             |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |
| Quintile 1     | 376 601     | 2741        | 727.8        | 401 072     | 2322        | 578.9        | 403 759     | 2714        | 672.2        |
| Quintile 2     | 375 140     | 1768        | 471.3        | 401 527     | 1636        | 407.4        | 405 003     | 1454        | 359.0        |
| Quintile 3     | 377 854     | 1154        | 305.4        | 401 422     | 1027        | 255.8        | 404 291     | 931         | 230.3        |
| Quintile 4     | 377 091     | 941         | 249.5        | 402 636     | 894         | 222.0        | 404 470     | 725         | 179.2        |
| Quintile 5     | 376 965     | 844         | 223.9        | 401 843     | 847         | 210.8        | 404 756     | 723         | 178.6        |
| **Women**      |             |             |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |
| **Total**      | 1 836 890   | 4269        | 232.4        | 1 952 129   | 4408        | 225.8        | 1 970 943   | 4106        | 208.3        |
| **Age group**  |             |             |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |
| 30–34          | 273 366     | 135         | 49.4         | 290 640     | 69          | 23.7         | 255 235     | 74          | 29.0         |
| 35–39          | 275 741     | 178         | 64.6         | 294 372     | 186         | 63.2         | 278 090     | 146         | 52.5         |
| 40–44          | 287 287     | 297         | 103.4        | 276 022     | 250         | 90.6         | 304 049     | 229         | 75.3         |
| 45–49          | 320 325     | 592         | 184.8        | 278 132     | 440         | 158.2        | 272 187     | 361         | 132.6        |
| 50–54          | 256 854     | 703         | 273.7        | 308 160     | 792         | 257.0        | 274 930     | 601         | 218.6        |
| 55–59          | 213 980     | 919         | 429.5        | 284 869     | 1233        | 432.8        | 286 022     | 1003        | 350.7        |
| 60–64          | 209 337     | 1445        | 690.3        | 219 934     | 1438        | 653.8        | 300 430     | 1692        | 563.2        |
| **Income**     |             |             |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |
| Quintile 1     | 365 773     | 1220        | 333.5        | 390 227     | 1344        | 344.4        | 393 782     | 1373        | 348.7        |
| Quintile 2     | 367 228     | 1014        | 276.1        | 389 124     | 1089        | 279.9        | 393 914     | 1008        | 255.9        |
| Quintile 3     | 367 080     | 755         | 205.7        | 390 368     | 764         | 195.7        | 393 373     | 658         | 167.3        |
| Quintile 4     | 368 545     | 649         | 176.1        | 391 809     | 624         | 159.3        | 395 217     | 548         | 138.7        |
| Quintile 5 (highest) | 368 264 | 631 | 171.3 | 390 601 | 587 | 150.3 | 394 657 | 519 | 131.5 |

![Figure 1](http://jech.bmj.com/)

**Figure 1** Trends in age-standardised mortality rates by income quintile (Q5 is highest): Swedish men and women aged 30–64 years, 1990–2004. Income data were based on the individual disposable income at a point 3 years prior to death.
after recessions. Third, the expanding health inequality may be attributable to other factors that occurred parallel to the recession and subsequent sociopolitical changes. It is known that widening health disparities are a recent global trend and have been observed even in countries with continual economic development, though potential factors attributable to this global trend have rarely been investigated.

Critically, we found that the widening mortality inequality was mostly attributed to increasing mortality rates among the poorest quintile. This may be explained by ‘materialistic’ and ‘psychosocial’ pathways. Materialistic pathways relate to increased mortality among the poorest, which may be associated with weakened social protection for the financially vulnerable, including cutbacks in social services, unemployment compensation or sick pay, potentially leading to less access to benefits and services necessary to maintain health. Psychosocial pathways relate to increased psychosocial stresses, perhaps because income inequality continued to expand from the 1990s, leading to a greater sense of relative deprivation among the poorest group. Another possibility is a reverse causation, that is, unhealthy individuals may have experienced income reductions before they died. Weakened social protection may negatively affect the ability of ill individuals (eg, having chronic diseases such as diabetes) to continue working and earn income. Downward income mobility due to poor health may explain our findings to some extent. We tried to address this problem in part by excluding deaths occurring in the first 2 years of follow-up. Moreover, there could be compositional changes in the income groups over time. For example, the absolute standing of each income group today may be different from that in earlier years. To address these issues, more sophisticated approaches, for example, a longitudinal panel data analysis using continuous income data, would be necessary.

The relative increase in mortality rates among the lowest income quintile was larger for women than for men (online supplementary figure). This is consistent with the results of recent studies which showed faster expansion of education-based inequalities in life expectancy among women than men. 

---

**Figure 2** Trends in the absolute and relative health inequality indices: Swedish men and women aged 30–64 years, 1990–2004. Error bars represent the 95% CIs. ASMR, age-standardised mortality rate; Q, quintile.

**Figure 3** Joinpoint models selected as the regressions on the trends in income-based disparities in age-standardised mortality rates (ASMR) among Swedish men and women aged 30–64 years, 1990–2004 (Q, quintile).
Although further studies of these potential gender differences are required, we speculate that they may be associated with gender differences in working conditions. That is, although welfare benefits have been equal for men and women in Sweden, more women worked in the public sector, which was particularly affected by governmental cutbacks.\textsuperscript{30} In the late 1990s, the number of women on long-term sick leave because of job-related mental illnesses increased dramatically. Vingård et al\textsuperscript{31} reported that a strained financial situation and excess physical and mental demands at work were the leading risk factors for this change.

In addition to the aforementioned limitations, residual confounding by factors affecting health over the life course is also likely, including education, parental influence and lifestyle. Another point to consider carefully is that the living standard of older age groups should also be evaluated.

What is already known on this subject

- Persistent or even increasing health inequality has been observed since the 1990s in many developed countries.
- In Sweden, short-term evaluation studies have shown no expansion of health inequalities by income in the 1990s, when the country experienced its economic recession since 1930.

What this study adds

- Reductions in mortality rates of working-age men and women in the lowest income quintile were slower than those with higher incomes between 1990 and 2007.
- Consequently, income-based inequality in mortality rates increased by 32\% among men and 87\% among women over this period, in relative terms.
- The recent trend of increasing health inequality may exist even in countries like Sweden with good welfare provision.
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