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Abstract: Since April 2018, the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) has provided data on tropospheric NO$_2$ column concentrations (C$_{TROPOMI}$) with unprecedented spatial resolution. This study aims to assess the capability of TROPOMI to acquire high spatial resolution data regarding surface NO$_2$ mixing ratios. In general, the instrument effectively detected major and moderate sources of NO$_2$ over South Korea with a clear weekday–weekend distinction. We compared the C$_{TROPOMI}$ with surface NO$_2$ mixing ratio measurements from an extensive ground-based network over South Korea operated by the Korean Ministry of Environment (S$_{KME}$; more than 570 sites), for 2019. Spatiotemporally collocated C$_{TROPOMI}$ and S$_{KME}$ showed a moderate correlation (correlation coefficient, $r = 0.67$), whereas their annual mean values at each site showed a higher correlation ($r = 0.84$). The C$_{TROPOMI}$ and S$_{KME}$ were well correlated around the Seoul metropolitan area, where significant amounts of NO$_2$ prevailed throughout the year, whereas they showed lower correlation at rural sites. We converted the tropospheric NO$_2$ from TROPOMI to the surface mixing ratio (S$_{STRO}$) using the EAC4 (ECMWF Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4) profile shape, for quantitative comparison with the S$_{KME}$. The estimated S$_{STRO}$ generally underestimated the in-situ value obtained, S$_{KME}$ (slope = 0.64), as reported in previous studies.
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1. Introduction

Numerous epidemiological studies have reported that significant proportions of cardiopulmonary mortalities, incidences of lung cancer, and asthma exacerbations are attributable to air pollution [1–5]. Tropospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) is one of the major pollutants affecting human health [6,7]; it is also an important precursor of tropospheric ozone, OH radicals, and aerosols [8–12]. Information on average long-term exposure to air pollution is key to epidemiological studies; however, it is subject to uncertainties due to substantial spatiotemporal variations. Previous studies have reported that the within-city spatial variations in pollutant exposure is as large as the between-city variations [13]. The relatively shorter lifetime of NO$_2$ in the troposphere (typically less than a few hours) results in distinguishable spatial variability near sources [14–16].

Well-established ground-based measurements have provided long-term information on air quality at networked sites, with high degrees of reliability [17–19]. However, because of the relatively sparse distribution of these sites over rural and remote areas, only limited information on the spatial distribution of air pollutants is available, particularly in developing countries. Land-use regression (LUR) methods utilize stochastic models to fit available surface measurements using predictor variables, which are typically obtained
from geographic information systems. The models are then interpolated/extrapolated to broader, unsampled areas using predictor parameters [13,20]. The LUR models provide fine-scale spatial variations in air quality, averaged over long periods [13]. However, stochastic models may involve high uncertainties in regions where the ground measurements are sparse. For instance, when developing an LUR model that includes an urban air-quality monitoring network, and then applying the model to rural areas where measurements are sparse.

Over the last few decades, satellite measurements have provided global distributions of air pollutants, including aerosols and trace gases [21–26]. Table 1 summarizes previous and current satellite missions to measure atmospheric composition including NO2 concentrations, along with their spatial resolutions and measurement periods (see the references in the table for detailed information). They efficiently complement ground-based networks and/or LUR models by filling the gap in ground measurements [27–29]. For surface NO2, Bechle et al. (2013) assessed the product of the ozone monitoring instrument (OMI) to resolve spatial variability in ground-level concentrations within large urban areas in Southern California, United States [30]. They also summarized previous studies involving comparisons of satellite and surface NO2 measurements in their paper [30]. Anand and Monks (2017) combined vertical-column-density (VCD) retrievals for NO2 from satellites (i.e., OMI, Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME), and SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CartograpHy (SCIAMACHY)) with LUR models for the area over Hong Kong, to estimate the daily surface NO2 mixing ratio [31].

More recently, the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) onboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor of the European Space Agency (ESA), launched successfully in October 2017, has provided data on NO2 retrievals with unprecedented spatial resolution that better describe NO2 emissions and dispersions [25]. Ialongo et al. (2020) analyzed the consistency between the TROPOMI and ground-based NO2 measurements for Helsinki, Finland, and reported similar temporal variations (i.e., day-to-day and weekly variations) [32]. Griffin et al. (2019) compared the TROPOMI and surface in-situ NO2 measurements over Canadian oil sands and reported good correlation (r = 0.67) [33]. Zheng et al. (2019) compared the TROPOMI measurements to monthly mean surface concentrations of NO2 over 169 cities in China, which indicated consistent spatiotemporal variations (r2 = 0.72) [34]. Goldberg et al. (2021) comprehensively analyzed spatiotemporal variations of NO2 using the TROPOMI product throughout the continental United States, then compared the data with in-situ measurements [35]. Copper et al. (2020) suggested a new algorithm to derive surface NO2 mixing ratio from satellite observations by considering spatial resolution of the sensors and more realistic vertical mixing assumptions [36].

South Korea is among the countries with the highest population densities, particularly in the Seoul metropolitan area. Most of the NO2 over South Korea is emitted from anthropogenic sources, led by the transportation sector [37], with significant spatiotemporal variation near emission sources (i.e., roads and urban centers) [38]. In addition,
South Korea is persistently covered by large amounts of aerosols from various sources [39,40], which complicates the estimation of the air mass factor of NO\(_2\) retrievals from satellite measurements [41–43]. The Ministry of Environment of Korea operates air-quality monitoring stations to measure aerosols (particulate matter), NO\(_x\), SO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and CO covering urban areas, sides of roads, and rural areas, at more than 570 sites [19,44]. The network is dense over urban areas, particularly in and around Seoul, and relatively sparse over rural areas (see Figure 1). This study aims to assess the TROPOMI tropospheric NO\(_2\) column concentrations by utilizing the extensive ground air-quality monitoring network in South Korea to estimate the long-term exposure of the public to NO\(_2\) over large areas, particularly in East Asia. In Sections 3.1 and 3.2, we compare spatiotemporal variations of NO\(_2\) from TROPOMI and ground measurements, to analyze their qualitative consistency. For quantitative assessment, we utilized the EAC4 (ECMWF Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4 [45]) profile shape data to convert the tropospheric vertical column density to a surface mixing ratio as described in Section 3.3.
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**Figure 1.** Average value of surface NO\(_2\) mixing ratio for 2019 measured by ground air-quality monitoring network of Korea Ministry of Environment over (a) South Korea and (b) Seoul metropolitan area. Squares indicate air quality monitoring stations on the side of roads with heavy traffic, representing point sources and circles represent ambient air quality monitoring sites away from point sources.

### 2. Data

#### 2.1. TROPOMI Tropospheric Vertical Column Density of NO\(_2\)

TROPOMI, the sole payload of the Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5p), is a nadir-viewing push-broom hyper-spectrometer covering ultraviolet (UV), visible (Vis), near-infrared, and shortwave-infrared spectral bands, with a spatial resolution of about 5.5 × 3.5 km (before 6 August 2019: 7 × 3.5 km), and about 2600 km swath. Note that overall results in this study before and after 6 August 2019 did not show meaningful differences. The S5p is in a sun-synchronous orbit with overpass at approximately 13:30 local time and provides daily near-global coverage [46,47]. The UV–Vis detector of TROPOMI, which is used for NO\(_2\) retrieval, is a back-illuminated 1024 × 1024 pixel charge-coupled device (CCD), with its 862 along-track pixels used for the retrieval [48].

The NO\(_2\) product from the operational TROPOMI is generated based on the Dutch OMI NO\(_2\) (DOMINO) algorithm and quality assurance for the essential climate variables (QA4ECV) processing systems [25,49–52]. The retrieval process consists of three stages. In the first step, the total slant column densities (\(N_{\text{tot}}\)) of NO\(_2\) are determined from the earth-reflected-radiance and solar-irradiance spectra, based on a differential optical absorption
spectroscopy (DOAS) technique [53,54]. In the next step, \( N_{\text{tot}} \) is separated into stratospheric (\( N_{\text{strat}} \)) and tropospheric (\( N_{\text{trop}} \)) components utilizing a data assimilation system. In the last step, \( N_{\text{strat}} \) and \( N_{\text{trop}} \) are converted into vertical column amounts using stratospheric and tropospheric air mass factors (AMF). The vertical profiles of NO\(_2\) for the AMF calculations are from the TM5-MP model simulations with a \( 1^\circ \times 1^\circ \) horizontal resolution [55]; surface albedos are from a monthly climatology of OMI with a \( 0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ \) resolution [56]. In this study, we used offline reprocessed level-2 NO\(_2\) data (version 1.3.2) in 2019. To avoid contamination due to cloud presence, we used retrievals with a quality flag greater than 0.75, which removes pixels with cloud radiance fractions higher than 0.75, which Removes pixels with cloud radiance fractions higher than 0.5. The S5p Mission Performance Center and validation team reported that the NO\(_2\) products are in overall agreement with ground-based reference networks [57,58]. However, the tropospheric column of NO\(_2\) from the TROPOMI showed negative biases of approximately 22% compared to multi-axis (MAX)-DOAS retrievals [59].

2.2. Surface Air-Quality Monitoring Network of Korea Ministry of Environment

The Korea Ministry of Environment (KME) has increased the number of surface air-quality monitoring stations in South Korea since the 2000s to measure particulate matter, NO\(_2\), CO, O\(_3\), and SO\(_2\). In 2019, the surface mixing ratios of NO\(_2\) were available at 573 sites. Most of these stations measure ambient concentrations in urban and rural areas; they are situated far from major roadways. The instruments are typically located on the roofs of public buildings with fewer than five stories. A few other stations (41 stations in 2019) are located near major roads at a height of approximately 2.5 m above the ground level, to monitor roadside air quality. The NO\(_2\) mixing ratio was measured using a method that uses chemiluminescence, with oxides of nitrogen analyzers (model 2108, Dasibi Environmental Corp.; US Environmental Protection Agency reference method RFNA-1192-089) having a lower detection limit of 2 ppb. The monitoring instruments are inspected monthly. The KME follows a two-step quality assurance process. The first step screens abnormal data based on information on the conditions of measuring equipment (e.g., calibration, inspection, or malfunction). In the second step, NIER screens data exceeding the normal range or rate of change [19, 44]. The data from instruments with a temporal resolution of five minutes are averaged hourly after the quality assurance procedures and then reported to the public [19].

2.3. ECMWF Atmospheric Composition Reanalysis 4

The European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) provides the fourth-generation global Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) reanalysis dataset (EAC4) covering the period from 2003 onwards. The EAC4 assimilates the total column CO, tropospheric column NO\(_2\), aerosol optical depth, and total column/profiles of O\(_3\) from satellite retrievals, to furnish their three-dimensional fields with a three-hour temporal resolution [45]. The horizontal resolution was approximately 80 km (\( 0.75^\circ \times 0.75^\circ \)) with 60 vertical levels of the model grid. For NO\(_2\) constraints, the EAC4 utilizes SCIAMACHY, OMI, and GOME–2 retrievals [45]. The impact of the assimilation of NO\(_2\) is reported to be small owing to its relatively short lifetime; it is largely constrained by the utilized emissions [60]. However, the EAC4 samples for this study (for comparison with the TROPOMI samples) may have relatively stronger constraints with regard to the OMI measurements, as they have similar overpass times (within a few minutes). This constraint of the OMI may result in an underestimation of NO\(_2\) over urban areas due to its relatively larger footprints [61] (see Table 1). Table 2 summarizes the measurement parameters for NO\(_2\) used in this study, obtained from different sources.
Table 2. Descriptions of different parameters of NO$_2$ from TROPOMI, surface measurements, and reanalysis data (EAC4)

| Acronym  | Definition                                                                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ | Tropospheric vertical column density of NO$_2$ from TROPOMI                     |
| $C_{\text{EAC4}}$ | Tropospheric vertical column density of NO$_2$ from EAC4                        |
| $S_{\text{KME}}$ | Surface mixing ratio of NO$_2$ from ground network of Korea Ministry of Environment |
| $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ | Surface mixing ratio of NO$_2$ converted from $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$              |
| $S_{\text{EAC4}}$ | Surface mixing ratio of NO$_2$ from EAC4                                        |
| $N_{\text{tot}}$ | Total slant column density of NO$_2$ from TROPOMI                               |
| $N_{\text{strat}}$ | Stratospheric slant column density of NO$_2$ from TROPOMI                        |
| $N_{\text{trop}}$ | Tropospheric slant column density of NO$_2$ from TROPOMI                         |

3. Results

3.1. Spatiotemporal Variations in NO$_2$ from TROPOMI and Ground Network

Figure 1a shows the annual mean value of the NO$_2$ surface mixing ratio from the KME network ($S_{\text{KME}}$) over South Korea, and panel (b) shows the values over the Seoul metropolitan area. The KME network is widely distributed over urban areas, particularly in cities of the Seoul metropolitan area, as shown in Figure 1b. The NO$_2$ mixing ratio measured at roadside stations is 2–3 times higher than that at ambient monitoring stations nearby, which can result in significant variations in NO$_2$ within a TROPOMI footprint (compare values of squares and circles in Figure 1b). However, the stations of $S_{\text{KME}}$ are relatively sparse over rural regions, particularly over East and Southwest Korea, where the TROPOMI data can efficiently complement the $S_{\text{KME}}$ data.

Figure 2a shows the mean values of the tropospheric NO$_2$ column amounts from TROPOMI ($C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$) for 2019 over South Korea, binned to a comparable resolution of the TROPOMI ($0.05^\circ \times 0.05^\circ$ horizontal grid); panel (b) shows the values over the Seoul metropolitan area. More than half the Korean population (~26 million) is distributed near the Seoul metropolitan area, which results in a significant amount of NO$_2$ over this area, as shown in Figure 2a. Dense populations in the city of Busan (~3.4 million) and Ulsan (~1.2 million) along with active ship transport along the southeastern coastal areas resulted in high loadings of $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$. The populations of Danyang (~37,000) and Gwangyang (~154,000) are relatively low. However, these cities were found to be exposed to moderate loadings of NO$_2$ (0.4–0.5 DU), which can be attributed to a large cement quarry in the Danyang and Industrial Complex in Gwangyang. Daegu is one of the largest cities in South Korea, with a population over 2.4 million located in a basin; it also showed a moderate level of $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ (~0.4 DU), as shown in Figure 2a. Panel (b) of Figure 2 focuses on the Seoul metropolitan area; the $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ shows high values throughout the area (0.5–0.8 DU). The $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ over Seoul was particularly high, followed by those over Sungnam and Suwon. In general, the TROPOMI detects significant sources of NO$_2$ with a high spatial resolution (~5 km) throughout South Korea, which is a key input for epidemiological studies.
Figure 2. Average TROPOMI NO\textsubscript{2} tropospheric columns for 2019 binned to a 0.05° × 0.05° horizontal grid over (a) South Korea and (b) Seoul metropolitan area. TROPOMI NO\textsubscript{2} data with quality flag greater than 0.75 are used to calculate the average values to avoid cloud contaminations. Black circles in panel (a) show major NO\textsubscript{2} sources in South Korea.

As a qualitative comparison of temporal variations in TROPOMI and in-situ measurements, Figure 3 shows the monthly variations of $S_{\text{KME}}$ and $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ in 2019. The green line with diamonds is obtained by averaging all monthly $S_{\text{KME}}$ data (for both day and night), whereas the red line with rectangles is obtained from $S_{\text{KME}}$ at the TROPOMI overpass time (~13:30 local time). The blue circles show the monthly mean values of $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ over KME stations. In general, the monthly values of $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ show consistent temporal variation with the values of $S_{\text{KME}}$ (correlation coefficient, $r \sim 0.73$), which are low in summer and high in winter, mostly because of the different lifetimes of NO\textsubscript{2} in different seasons [62]. However, the $S_{\text{KME}}$ at the TROPOMI overpass time (annual mean value of 12.8 ppb) is lower than the 24 h averaged value (annual mean value of 16.5 ppb) by approximately 23%, due to the active oxidation of NO\textsubscript{2} and boundary layer development during daytime. For a reliable estimate of the long-term exposure to NO\textsubscript{2}, sophisticated data assimilations/compensations are necessary, to complement the temporal resolution of TROPOMI.

Figure 3. Monthly variations of surface NO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratio for 2019 measured by ground network of Korea Ministry of Environment ($S_{\text{KME}}$), and tropospheric column NO\textsubscript{2} from TROPOMI ($C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$). Green diamonds show monthly mean values of all data, and error bar indicates standard deviations. Red rectangles with error bars are those corresponding to the TROPOMI overpass time (~13:30 local time). Blue circles show monthly mean values of TROPOMI data over KME stations.
Weekly variations in NO$_2$ concentrations point to the effects of changes in local emissions from traffic and industrial activities, and chemical reactions with other species [63,64]. Figure 4 shows the differences in $\Delta$CTROPOMI and $\Delta$SKME values on weekdays and weekends ($\Delta$CTROPOMI and $\Delta$SKME) in 2019. Panel (a) shows the $\Delta$CTROPOMI over South Korea and (b) over the Seoul metropolitan area, and panel (c) and (d) are those for $\Delta$SKME. Spatial patterns of the $\Delta$CTROPOMI showed good consistency to the $\Delta$SKME, with its beneficial spatial coverage. $\Delta$CTROPOMI and $\Delta$SKME were significant over urban areas (e.g., Seoul, Daegu, Ulsan, and Busan), whereas these were relatively small at Danyang and Gwangyang despite the strong emission sources nearby (compare Figures 1a and 2a to Figures 4a,c). This indicates that high values of the CTROPOMI and SKME over these areas (see Figures 1a and 2a) are more attributable to the industrial sources than local traffic emissions. There was no meaningful spatial pattern of $\Delta$CTROPOMI and $\Delta$SKME over the Seoul metropolitan area unlike in the case of CTROPOMI (compare Figure 1b to Figure 4b,d).

![Figure 4](image)

Figure 4. Difference in tropospheric column NO$_2$ from TROPOMI (CTROPOMI) on weekdays and weekends for 2019 over (a) South Korea and (b) Seoul metropolitan area. Panel (c) and (d) shows same plot but using the measurements of Korea Ministry of Environment ground network (SKME). Weekdays are from Monday to Friday, and weekends include Saturday and Sunday.

3.2. Correlations of TROPOMI and Surface Measurements of NO$_2$

The annual mean values of CTROPOMI and SKME over the KME stations are compared in Figure 5a. The closest CTROPOMI retrievals to each ground station, of which the distance is less than ±0.025° and quality flag is greater than 0.75, were sampled for use in the calculations. The green circles represent the ambient urban and rural monitoring stations, and the red rectangles indicate the roadside stations. The annual CTROPOMI and SKME values were highly correlated ($r = 0.84$), particularly over the ambient monitoring sites ($r = 0.88$). Previous studies [30,32,64] also reported comparable temporal mean values of the satellite
retrievals and surface measurements, as random components resulting from measurement errors and spatiotemporal heterogeneities are averaged out. This result repeatedly emphasizes the significance of the TROPOMI data with a high spatial resolution, in estimating long-term exposure to NO$_2$. However, it is to be noted that even TROPOMI with high spatial resolution can underestimate the exposure to NO$_2$ near roads with heavy traffic (or other point sources), without any means of compensation. Assimilating CTROPOMI with land-use data can improve accuracy in the determination of long-term exposure near sources of NO$_2$ [31]. Figure 5b compares all spatiotemporally coincident CTROPOMI and SKME in 2019, which fall within ±0.025° of the ground stations and ±30 min of the TROPOMI overpass time. The correlation between CTROPOMI and SKME ($r = 0.67$) in this panel also shows statistical values comparable with those of previous studies [32,64]; the correlation is lower than for the annual mean values at the KME stations compared in panel (a). A higher correlation was also observed for ambient monitoring stations excluding roadside sites ($r = 0.69$).

Figure 5. Comparison of tropospheric column NO$_2$ from TROPOMI and in-situ surface mixing ratio from KME network over South Korea for 2019. Panel (a) compares annual mean values at KME stations, and panel (b) compares all collocated samples. Green circles in panel (a) represent ambient monitoring stations and red rectangles indicate roadside sites.

Figure 6 shows the correlation coefficients and slopes between CTROPOMI and SKME at each KME station for 2019. Strong light absorption by large amounts of NO$_2$ over urban areas results in high retrieval sensitivity in the TROPOMI measurements, which in turn results in higher correlations (see Figure 6a). In the Seoul metropolitan area, the correlations over stations in Seoul were relatively lower than those over southern cities (e.g., Sungnam, Suwon, Yongin, and Osan), particularly over the roadside stations, as shown in Figure 6b. This can be attributed to the higher spatiotemporal variability of NO$_2$ over Seoul than in other cities in the area. The correlations over the roadside stations in the southern cities of the Seoul metropolitan area were high, comparable to the nearby ambient stations. During the dispersion/transport of NO$_2$ from Seoul to these cities, NO$_2$ is well mixed within the air masses, which may result in a spatially homogeneous distribution of NO$_2$. In addition, the local traffic in these cities is relatively lower than that in Seoul, which results in less impact on the spatial variabilities; annual mean values of the NO$_2$ mixing ratio over the roadside stations in these cities are lower than those over Seoul (see Figure 2b). These combined effects may lead to the high correlations between CTROPOMI and SKME over the southern cities of the Seoul metropolitan area.

The slopes shown in panels c and d are calculated using SKME on the x-axis and CTROPOMI on the y-axis, as a unit of DU ppb$^{-1}$. For a similar level of correlation, the slope indicates the statistical sensitivity of CTROPOMI to SKME, which is associated with the vertical profile of NO$_2$. The slopes in the Seoul metropolitan areas were low along the coastline including at Incheon, which can partially be attributed to complicated boundary layer processes, as shown in Figure 6d. Note that the correlations between CTROPOMI and SKME at
different sites in the Seoul metropolitan area are comparable (see Figure 6b). Current AMF calculations of the CTROPOMI may not fully consider the spatial variabilities of small-scale boundary layer processes because the spatial resolution of the TM5-MP model is $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ [55], which is larger than the whole domain of Figure 6d.

3.3. Estimation of Surface NO$_2$ Mixing Ratio from the TROPOMI Retrievals

To estimate surface air quality from satellite data, vertical profiles of target species are essential [29]. As the EAC4 provides total column and profile of NO$_2$, we separated tropospheric fraction ($C_{EAC4}$) by integrating the layer amounts from surface to tropopause. For consistency with the CTROPOMI algorithm [51], we followed the definition of thermal tropopause, and estimated boundary levels from the temperature profile of EAC4. However, previous studies reported that other definitions (e.g., dynamical tropopause) would not result in meaningful differences for NO$_2$ [65]. Different atmospheric profile simulations in the EAC4 and TM5-runs for the CTROPOMI may result in additional artifacts for the comparison [66]. For simplicity, such impacts are not considered in the present study. However, we expect biases due to the different averaging kernels are not significant, since the CAMS model system utilizes an identical chemical mechanism (i.e., a modified and extended version of the CB05 [67]) with the TM5 [68] for the AMF calculations. In addition, we assumed that the ratio of $S_{EAC4}$ to $C_{EAC4}$ is relatively more accurate than their absolute values, as some of the systematic biases (e.g., emission inventory) are canceled out. We sampled the NO$_2$ profile from the closest pixel of EAC4 at the overpass time of TROPOMI,
then the ratio of $S_{EAC4}$ to $C_{EAC4}$ was multiplied by $C_{TROPOMI}$ to estimate the surface-level mixing ratio of NO$_2$ from TROPOMI as

$$S_{TROPOMI} = \frac{S_{EAC4}}{C_{EAC4}} C_{TROPOMI},$$

where all parameters are as described in Table 2. The mean values of the NO$_2$ mixing ratio at each layer from EAC4 are shown in Figure 7 (black line with circles). The dark grey area presents ±1 (standard deviation), and the light grey area shows the data range (minimum and maximum values) at each level. The mean and standard deviation of surface NO$_2$ mixing ratio from the EAC4 (4.6 ± 5.8 ppb) were lower than those of the SKME (18.1 ± 13.8 ppb), which is mostly due to the nature of coverage of the KME network; most of the ground stations are located near urban areas or large emission sources, whereas the EAC4 covers the entire region (longitudes from 125° to 131°, and latitudes from 33° to 39°).

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Statistics of NO$_2$ vertical profiles from ECMWF CAMS reanalysis data (EAC4). Black line with circle shows mean values at each level, the dark grey area indicates ±1 standard deviation, and the light grey area shows minimum and maximum values (data range). Profiles were collected at longitudes 125° to 131°, and latitudes 33° to 39° in 2019. Red circle indicates mean value of NO$_2$ from all stations of KME network for 2019, and red line presents its ±1 standard deviation.

Figure 8a compares the annual mean values of $S_{TROPOMI}$ and $S_{KME}$ over the KME stations. The correlation coefficient for all ground sites was 0.82, whereas that for ambient stations (other than roadside sites) was 0.86. The $S_{TROPOMI}$ underestimated the surface nitrogen values by approximately 30% for the ambient stations (the slope was 0.71, and the intercept was −1.26), and the low bias was greater when the roadside sites were included (the slope was 0.56 and intercept was 0.83). Lamsal et al. [69] combined the OMI and GEOS-Chem (Goddard Earth Observing System Chemistry: 2° × 2.5° horizontal resolution) profiles to estimate the surface NO$_2$ mixing ratio over North America and reported low biases of up to 36%. Bechle et al. [30] also reported low biases of the NO$_2$ mixing ratio over Southern California; 31% when combining the OMI and Comprehensive Air-Quality Model with extensions (CAMx) with 2 × 2 km horizontal resolution and 60% when combining the OMI and GEOS-Chem profiles. Cooper et al. [36] also presented low biases of the TROPOMI NO$_2$ compared to in-situ measurements over the United States based on similar comparison of this study (slope = 0.46, r = 0.71). However, they improved the slope
(1.02) after applying their algorithm, which accounts for the spatial resolution of TROPOMI and realistic mixing assumptions in the boundary layer [36]. A major fraction of the low biases in this study can be attributed to the uncertainties in the $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ (negative biases of approximately 22% [59]), and the spatial heterogeneity of NO$_2$ within the TROPOMI and TM5-MP grids. Lower correlation coefficients ($r = 0.65$ and 0.63 for ambient stations and all stations, respectively) with comparable slopes (slope = 0.65 and 0.60 for ambient stations and all stations, respectively) to those shown in Figure 8a were identified from a comparison of all coincident data as shown in Figure 8b. The results suggest that compensation for these low biases is essential for estimating both short-term and long-term exposure to NO$_2$ in South Korea.

![Figure 8](image_url)

Figure 8. Comparison of surface NO$_2$ mixing ratio estimated from TROPOMI and measured from KME stations over South Korea for 2019. Panel (a) compares annual mean values at KME stations, and panel (b) compares all collocated samples. Green circles in panel (a) represent ambient monitoring stations, and red rectangles indicate roadside sites.

Figure 9 shows the average ratio of $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ to $S_{\text{KME}}$ over each ground station in 2019. The $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ generally underestimates surface NO$_2$—particularly at rural stations in the western part of the Korean peninsula—by about 30–60% (see Figure 9a). The low bias was relatively small over the Seoul metropolitan area, Busan, and Gwangyang. Considering the ambient monitoring stations in the Seoul metropolitan area (Figure 9b), the $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ underestimates surface NO$_2$ near the coastal areas around Incheon, as compared to the inland cities (Seoul, Sungnam, Suwan, Osan, Yongin). As discussed with reference to Figure 6, these small-scale variations shown in Figure 9b may be associated with the complicated boundary layer processes along the coastal areas, which need to be investigated in future studies. As expected, the low biases of the $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ were significant at roadside stations, with biases of about 40–60% (see values associated with the squares in Figure 9b).
4. Discussion

For better resolving horizontal variabilities of NO$_2$ using the TROPOMI product, several regional and multi-scale models (e.g., Weather Research and Forecasting-Chemistry [70], Community Multiscale Air Quality Modeling System [71]) can provide high-spatial-resolution of a priori atmospheric profiles which are comparable to or smaller than the TROPOMI’s footprint. Griffin et al. [33] utilized a 10 × 10 km horizontal resolution of GEM-MACH (Global Environmental Multiscale-Modelling Air-quality and Chemistry) simulations to improve AMF estimations. Cooper et al. [36] used moderate horizontal-resolution (0.25° × 0.3125°) of GEOS-Chem (Goddard Earth Observing System-Chemistry [72]) calculations to take account for the different averaging kernels from the operational algorithm, then applied additional correction which significantly improved the low biases of TROPOMI estimates.

For proper calculations of such models, comparable or higher spatial-resolution of emission inventory than that of a model grid is essential. The Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) has provided reliable emission inventory since 1950 with a spatial resolution of 0.25° × 0.25° [73]. The KME annually reports emission inventory of South Korea with a 1 × 1 km resolution but limited to inside the border [74]. However, in our knowledge, emission inventory with a spatial resolution comparable or higher to the CTROPOMI covering East Asia is not available yet. In addition, simulation of small-scale boundary layer processes over coastal areas and complicated terrains are still challenging. As the Korean peninsula is surrounded by ocean and over 70% of the territory is covered with mountains, high-resolution model run may introduce additional complication from the model uncertainties. Intensive field campaigns combined with model simulations over these areas (e.g., Ozone Water-Land Environmental Transition Study [75]) would help to better understand such phenomena. At this moment, the assimilated EAC4 data would provide practical and reliable NO$_2$ profiles despite its coarser resolution than the CTROPOMI, since it is one of the most reliable assimilated NO$_2$ data which are publicly available over globe. In addition, the estimations and assessments in this study is applicable to other regions (e.g., other Asian countries, where in-situ measurements are sparse) as the EAC4 provides relatively uniform quality over globe. Therefore, this study suggests assessments of a minimum capability of TROPOMI to monitor surface NO$_2$ for broader applications.
5. Summary and Conclusions

This study compared the tropospheric column amount of NO$_2$ retrieved from TROPOMI data to in-situ surface measurements over South Korea, for 2019. The surface network consists of 570 stations including 41 roadside stations, providing extensive coverage over urban areas. The spatial distribution of annual mean values of $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ detected at major and minor source areas of NO$_2$ showed a clear weekday–weekend distinction, particularly over the Seoul metropolitan area. Monthly variations in $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ and $S_{\text{KME}}$ showed good correlation ($r \approx 0.73$), which were high in winter and low in summer, mostly due to the different lifetimes of NO$_2$ in different seasons. The $S_{\text{KME}}$ corresponding to the TROPOMI overpass time was lower than the 24 h averaged value by approximately 23%, owing to the active oxidation of NO$_2$ during daytime.

Coincident measurements of $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ and $S_{\text{KME}}$ showed a moderate correlation ($r = 0.67$), which is comparable to previous studies in Europe and North America. Their annual mean values at each ground station were highly correlated ($r = 0.84$), particularly when the roadside stations were not considered ($r = 0.88$), because random components comprising measurement errors and spatiotemporal heterogeneities were averaged out. However, even TROPOMI with high spatial resolution can underestimate the exposure to NO$_2$ near roads with heavy traffic (or other point sources), which needs to be compensated by other methods (e.g., land-use regression models and data assimilation using high-resolution chemical transport models). The correlations between $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ and $S_{\text{KME}}$ were relatively higher in urban stations than in rural areas.

$C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ was converted to surface mixing ratio ($S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$) using the NO$_2$ profile from the EAC4. The annual mean values of $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ were highly correlated with the $S_{\text{KME}}$ ($r = 0.82$), particularly over the ambient monitoring stations ($r = 0.86$). However, $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ underestimated the values by about 30% for the ambient stations (the slope was 0.71, and intercept was $-1.26$), and the low bias was greater when the roadside sites were included (the slope was 0.56 and intercept was 0.83). Uncertainties in the $C_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ (negative biases of approximately 22%) and spatial heterogeneity of NO$_2$ within the TROPOMI and TM5-MP grids can be the reason for a major fraction of the low biases. The underestimation of $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ was significant over rural stations in the western part of the Korean peninsula at about 30–60%, whereas it was relatively small over larger urban areas. Over the ambient monitoring stations in the Seoul metropolitan area, the low bias of $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ was more significant near the coastal areas than inland cities, which may be associated with the complicated boundary layer processes along the coastline. As expected, the underestimation of the $S_{\text{TROPOMI}}$ was significant over roadside sites by approximately 40–60%.
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