Perinatal outcomes and congenital anomalies associated with letrozole and natural cycles in single fresh cleaved embryo transfers: A single-center, 10-year cohort study
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Objective: To investigate and compare the safety of letrozole and natural cycles in fresh early embryo transfers.

Design: A retrospective cohort study.

Setting: A large fertility treatment center.

Patient(s): Women who underwent natural and letrozole cycles during fresh early embryo transfer at Kato Ladies Clinic between January 2008 and December 2017.

Intervention(s): None.

Main Outcome measure(s): Perinatal complications and congenital anomalies.

Result(s): No significant differences were observed in pregnancy complications, gestational age, birth weight, small for gestational age, large for gestational age, and congenital anomalies between the women who underwent natural and letrozole cycles.

Conclusion(s): The perinatal outcomes and congenital anomaly rates associated with letrozole and natural cycles in fresh early embryo transfers were comparable. Therefore, our data support the safe use of letrozole in fresh early embryo transfers in assisted reproductive technology. (Fertil Steril Rep® 2022;3:138–44. © 2022 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)
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Letrozole is a type I nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor that binds competitively to the enzyme aromatase (1). Letrozole suppresses the negative feedback of estradiol to the pituitary gland, after which serum gonadotropin-releasing hormone levels increase and follicular development is induced (2); hence, letrozole is often used in assisted reproductive technology (ART).

Minimal ovarian stimulation with letrozole is considered a patient-friendly strategy because letrozole is a simple and inexpensive oral drug for ovarian stimulation. Recent studies have reported that letrozole-based minimal stimulation is more effective than clomiphene citrate (CC)-based minimal stimulation in patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome (3–5). Furthermore, few letrozole-related side effects involving the endometrium have been reported (6, 7); thus, letrozole is potentially useful as a regulator of follicular development in the embryo transfer cycle. Nonetheless, a previous study reported that the possibility of fetal motor and congenital cardiac abnormalities could increase through letrozole administration (8); hence, letrozole administration was contraindicated in premenopausal women, and the use of letrozole for follicular development was discontinued worldwide (9). However, that study was not published in a peer-reviewed journal, and several issues regarding the study design, the small cohort size, and the high number of patients who were lost to follow-up have been highlighted. In addition, considering its short half-life of approximately 48 hours, it is...
theoretically unlikely that the effects of letrozole could extend into the organogenesis period (10). A recent meta-analysis ruled out the possibility of letrozole increasing the number of malformations in children (11). However, most studies have compared the perinatal outcomes, including maternal pregnancy complications, between letrozole and CC cycles; therefore, a comparative study of the natural cycle is warranted. Only 1 study has reported that letrozole cycles do not worsen perinatal or neonatal outcomes, compared with natural cycles in fresh embryo transfers, by analyzing the Japan ART Registry, which contains data from almost all the centers in Japan (12). However, these data lacked details such as a serum hormonal profile, the number of oocytes retrieved, the insemination method, and the quality of the transferred embryo. In addition, there were variations in letrozole use and the culture conditions. In this study, we retrospectively compared the perinatal outcomes and congenital anomalies associated with natural and letrozole cycles in single fresh cleaved embryo transfers (SFCTs) under a uniform protocol at a single institution and investigated the safety of letrozole use in ART.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Patients

The clinical records of the women who had undergone natural and letrozole cycles during SFCTs at Kato Ladies Clinic between January 2008 and December 2017 were retrospectively analyzed. The patients’ own oocytes were used during treatment. The patients who underwent preimplantation genetic diagnosis were excluded from the study. This retrospective cohort study was approved by the institutional review board of Kato Ladies Clinic (approval number: 21-14). Written informed consent for the analysis of deidentified data was obtained from all the patients in the study.

In Vitro Fertilization During Natural and Letrozole Cycles

In the in vitro fertilization (IVF) protocol for a natural cycle, the only pharmacologic intervention was the administration of a gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist for the induction of final oocyte maturation. Monitoring consisted of an ultrasound scan and a hormone profile; this was usually conducted on the morning of day 10 and/or 12, according to the length of the patient’s cycle. When the leading follicle reached 18 mm in diameter and the estradiol level exceeded 250 pg/mL, ovulation was triggered by the nasal administration of the gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist buserelin (Suprecur; Mochida Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; or BUSERECUR; Fuji Pharma Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). In the IVF protocol for a letrozole cycle, letrozole (Femara, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland; or Letrozole, Fuji Pharma Co., Ltd.) was administered at a dose of 2.5 mg/day on days 3–7, and follicular development was monitored through hormone assay and ultrasonography (13). If follicular development was unsuccessful in this way, oocyte retrieval was canceled, and another protocol of letrozole administration was applied in the subsequent cycle; that is, after checking the hormone levels on the third day of menstruation, letrozole was administered at a dose of 7.5 mg on days 4 and 5, 5 mg on days 6 and 7, and 2.5 mg on days 8 and 9. When the leading follicle reached 18 mm in diameter, ovulation was triggered using buserelin.

Oocyte retrieval was usually performed 30–36 hours after triggering ovulation, using a 21-gauge needle (Kitazato Oocyte Retrieval Needles, Kitazato Corporation, Shizuoka, Japan), generally without anesthesia or follicular flushing. The time interval between the trigger and oocyte retrieval was determined according to the degree of follicular development and hormonal status, particularly the presence of a luteinizing hormone (LH) surge. When an LH surge was not observed at the time of the decision, oocyte retrieval was performed 34–36 hours after the trigger. However, if the LH surge had already occurred at the time of the decision, the timing of oocyte retrieval was determined according to the proceeding degree of the LH surge. For example, if the LH level was 10–20 mIU/mL at the time of the decision, ovulation was triggered immediately, and the eggs were retrieved 30 hours later. Cumulus-oocyte complexes were collected, washed, and subsequently transferred to a human tubal fluid medium (HTF Medium, Kitazato Corporation) with paraffin oil in 5% atmospheric CO2 at 37 °C for culturing until either conventional IVF was performed 3 hours later (14) or, in cases of intracytoplasmic sperm injection, denudation was performed 4 hours after oocyte retrieval (15, 16). All embryos were cultured at 37 °C (gas phase: 5% O2, 5% CO2, and 90% N2), with 100% humidity in a water jacket or nonhumidified incubator (APM-30D, Astec Co. Ltd., Fukuoka, Japan). The cleavage-stage embryos were graded using Veeck’s criteria 42 hours after insemination, as previously reported (14).

Embryo Transfer

At our clinic, the SFCTs were performed on day 2; however, if a patient had a schedule conflict, the SFCTs were performed on day 3. The SFCTs were performed as previously described (17). The cleaved embryos were transferred on days 2 and 3 after oocyte retrieval. The embryo transfer procedure was performed under the guidance of vaginal ultrasound, using a specially designed soft silicone inner catheter (Kitazato ET catheter, Kitazato Corporation). The procedure involved the insertion of a single embryo at a minimal volume in the upper part of the uterine cavity. Dydrogesterone (Duphastan, 30 mg/day; Mylan EPD G.K., Tokyo, Japan) was routinely orally administered during the early luteal phase after transfer in both the groups. Clinical pregnancy was defined according to the ultrasonographic observation of a gestational sac. Information on the maternal and neonatal outcomes was obtained from a questionnaire completed by the patients after their infant’s 1-month examination. At 9 weeks gestation, all the pregnant women were invited to respond to the questionnaire at the second trimester and after delivery. If they did not respond, we contacted them to enquire about their outcomes.
Study Outcomes

The primary outcomes were pregnancy outcomes, perinatal complications, and major anomalies. Pregnancy outcomes included clinical pregnancy and live birth. Perinatal complications included pregnancy complications (hypertensive disorders of pregnancy; gestational diabetes mellitus; hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count syndrome; preterm premature rupture of membrane; low-lying placenta; placenta previa; placenta accreta; placenta abruption; and cesarean section) and neonatal outcomes (gestational age [≤ 27 weeks, 28–31 weeks, 32–36 weeks, 37–41 weeks, and ≥ 42 weeks], birth weight [<1000 g, 1000–1499 g, 1500–2499 g, and ≥ 2500 g], small for gestational age, and large for gestational age).

The questionnaire requested information regarding the following: date and mode of delivery, sex, birth weight, and length of the newborn(s); the presence of any birth defect or other anomaly; and pregnancy complications. Live birth was defined as delivery at ≥ 22 weeks of pregnancy. Preterm delivery was defined as delivery occurring at < 37 weeks. Low birth weight and very low birth weight were defined as birth weights < 2500 g and < 1500 g, respectively. Perinatal mortality was defined as the sum of stillbirths (≥ 22 pregnancy weeks) and early (within 7 days) neonatal deaths. Small for gestational age and large for gestational age were defined as birth weight below the 10th percentile and above the 90th percentile, respectively, according to Japanese national reference charts for neonates (18). Neonatal outcomes were obtained from the questionnaires completed by the mothers their infant’s 1-month examination. Birth defects were classified using the Q-codes of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, with classification being performed by reformattting the questionnaire responses (19).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Proportion data were analyzed using a χ² test. Continuous parameters were compared using a Student’s t test. Logistic regression was used to assess the contributing strength of the parameters associated with pregnancy outcomes. Odds ratios and adjusted odds ratios were reported with 95% confidence intervals for each group. Statistical significance was set at a P value of < .05.
RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Cohort

A total of 11,597 SFCs (natural, 10,274 cycles; letrozole, 1,323 cycles) were performed during the study period (Table 1 and Supplemental Fig. 1 [available online]). The women in the natural group were significantly older than those in the letrozole group ($P < .0001$). The proportion of infertility causes was significantly different between the groups. The serum estradiol level on the day of maturation triggering was significantly lower in the letrozole group than in the natural group ($P < .0001$). However, the number of retrieved oocytes was significantly higher in the letrozole group than in the natural group ($P < .0001$). Embryonic quality also differed between the groups. Although the delivery rate was higher in the letrozole group than in the natural group after univariate analysis ($P < .0001$), no significant difference was observed between the groups after multivariate logistic regression analysis (adjusted odds ratio, 1.060; 95% confidence interval, 0.927–1.213; $P = .3953$) (Supplemental Table 1, available online). We obtained follow-up data on 3,395 cases, which included 3,373 singleton pregnancies (Table 1). Of these, the cases of cervical incompetence were excluded from the analysis; consequently, we analyzed perinatal outcomes and congenital anomalies in 3,358 singleton pregnancies (natural, 2,847 cycles; letrozole, 511 cycles). There was no statistical difference in the stillbirth rate between the natural and letrozole groups ($P = .5857$).

### TABLE 2

Perinatal outcomes in the study cohort, stratified using the ovarian stimulation method.

| Cycles          | Natural | Letrozole | $P$ value |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Live birth, n (%) | 2,844 (99.9) | 510 (99.8) | .5857     |
| Still birth, n (%) | 3 (0.1) | 1 (0.2) | .5857     |
| Female age, mean ± SEM | 34.4 ± 0.1 | 32.6 ± 0.1 | <.0001    |
| <35, n (%)      | 1,781 (62.6) | 430 (84.3) | <.0001    |
| 35–39, n (%)    | 903 (31.8) | 78 (15.3) | <.0001    |
| ≥40, n (%)      | 160 (5.6) | 2 (0.4) | <.0001    |
| Body mass index, mean ± SEM | 20.3 ± 0.0 | 20.3 ± 0.1 | .7117     |
| Pregnancy complications, n (%) | 214 (7.5) | 29 (5.7) | .1403     |
| Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, n (%) | 103 (3.6) | 13 (2.6) | .2222     |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 57 (2.0) | 10 (2.0) | .9485     |
| HELLP syndrome, n (%) | 3 (0.1) | 1 (0.2) | .5852     |
| Preterm premature rupture of membrane, n (%) | 6 (0.2) | 1 (0.2) | .9459     |
| Low-lying placenta, n (%) | 9 (0.3) | 1 (0.2) | .6461     |
| Placenta previa, n (%) | 28 (1.0) | 2 (0.4) | .1907     |
| Placental accrete, n (%) | 1 (0.0) | 0 (0) | .6719     |
| Placenta abruptio, n (%) | 5 (0.2) | 2 (0.4) | .3242     |
| Other, n (%) | 9 (0.3) | 0 (0) | .2033     |
| Cesarean section, n (%) | 720 (25.3) | 93 (18.2) | .0006     |
| Gestational age, weeks, mean ± SEM | 39.2 ± 0.0 | 39.2 ± 0.1 | .6845     |
| Gestational age, ≤27 weeks, n (%) | 5 (0.2) | 2 (0.4) | .3242     |
| Gestational age, 28–31 weeks, n (%) | 16 (0.6) | 1 (0.2) | .2831     |
| Gestational age, 32–36 weeks, n (%) | 127 (4.5) | 24 (4.7) | .8095     |
| Gestational age, 37–41 weeks, n (%) | 2,687 (94.5) | 482 (94.5) | .9781     |
| Gestational age, ≥42 weeks, n (%) | 9 (0.3) | 1 (0.2) | .6461     |
| Birth length, cm, mean ± SEM | 49.1 ± 0.0 | 49.0 ± 0.1 | .9885     |
| Birth weight, g, mean ± SEM | 3023.1 ± 7.9 | 2999.7 ± 17.8 | .2408     |
| Birth weight, <1,000 g, n (%) | 9 (0.3) | 2 (0.4) | .6784     |
| Birth weight, 1,000–1,499 g, n (%) | 7 (0.3) | 2 (0.4) | .5572     |
| Birth weight, 1,500–2,499 g, n (%) | 202 (7.1) | 35 (6.9) | .8456     |
| Birth weight, ≥2,500 g, n (%) | 2,626 (92.3) | 471 (92.4) | .9886     |
| Small for gestational age | 135 (4.8) | 29 (5.7) | .3650     |
| Large for gestational age | 334 (13.9) | 60 (11.8) | .2041     |
| Infant sex | | | |
| Male, n (%) | 1,413 (49.7) | 256 (50.2) | .8312     |
| Female, n (%) | 1,431 (50.3) | 254 (49.8) | -         |
| Infant death, n (%) | 3 (0.1) | 1 (0.2) | .5852     |
| Birth defect, n (%) | 73 (2.6) | 18 (3.5) | .2179     |

Note: Values are presented as mean ± SEM or n (%). HELLP = hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count.
and infant sex were statistically comparable between the groups. The cesarean section rate was lower in the letrozole group than in the natural group (P = .0006). Gestational age, birth length, birth weight, and infant sex were statistically comparable between the groups. The incidences of infant mortality and birth defects were comparable between the groups.

Table 3 shows the multivariate logistic regression analysis of perinatal outcomes after fresh cleaved embryo transfers, including a comparison of the letrozole and natural cycles. The associations of ovarian stimulation with adverse perinatal outcomes adjusted for age, body mass index, cesarean section, and infant sex were assessed using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Both univariate and multivariate logistic analyses revealed that perinatal outcomes were not adversely affected by letrozole-based minimal ovarian stimulation followed by SFCT.

### Detailed Analysis of the Congenital Anomalies

Congenital anomalies were categorized into 11 types (Table 4 and Supplemental Table 2 [available online]). The incidence of each congenital anomaly was similar between the 2 groups. The most frequent congenital anomaly in both the groups was circulatory defects.

### DISCUSSION

This study found that letrozole does not increase pregnancy complications and congenital anomalies after fresh early embryo transfer, compared with natural cycles in ART. On comparing the natural and letrozole cycles in this study, participants in the letrozole group were observed to be younger. This may be because letrozole is more commonly used in patients with ovulation disorders, whereas natural cycles are occasionally followed in patients with severely depressed ovarian function, in whom ovarian stimulation is
ineffective. Regarding pregnancy complications, despite the cesarean section rate being significantly higher in the natural group, no significant difference was observed in the multivariate analysis, suggesting that the difference was not due to letrozole use. There were no significant differences in congenital anomalies. Although the letrozole group exhibited higher pregnancy and delivery rates and lower miscarriage rates than the natural cycle group, the differences were not significant in multivariate analysis; therefore, letrozole cycles did not result in higher live-birth rates than natural cycles (Supplemental Table 2). Because natural and letrozole cycles do not suppress the endogenous LH surge, a system that allows oocyte retrieval 365 days a year is necessary so that oocytes can be retrieved at the appropriate time, even when an endogenous LH surge occurs. Although this work is more demanding for a facility, it poses a lower physical and financial burden on the patient, because ovulation induction is oral and minimal. Furthermore, especially for patients with ovulation disorders, ovarian stimulation, predominantly with follicle-stimulating hormone products, has been the mainstay in ART; however, the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome has always been a concern. Nevertheless, ovarian stimulation with letrozole does not cause ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome because the average number of eggs retrieved in this study was 1.3, and it does not cause endometrial thinning, unlike CC (6, 20). Thus, letrozole is a highly effective drug for patients with ovulation disorders.

The strength of the present study was in its analysis of a large dataset from a single center. In addition to the large sample size, the use of letrozole, techniques of oocyte retrieval and transfer, and culture conditions were uniform in this study. Therefore, potential bias caused by differences in the detailed conditions that potentially occur in multicenter data collection was not likely.

This study had certain limitations. First, its findings are not comparable to natural pregnancy. However, in a monitoring study of 10% of all deliveries in Japan, the proportion of congenital anomalies remained within a 3% range (21), which is approximately equivalent to that in this study; therefore, it is unlikely that the risk of congenital abnormalities is significantly increased compared with that associated with a natural pregnancy. Second, this study lacked data on the number of previous ART cycles. However, as a general rule, we limited the use of letrozole or natural cycles in fresh cleaved embryo transfer to the first treatment cycle; thus, the patients with repeated ART failures in our clinic were not included in this data. Moreover, this study was limited because of its retrospective design. Furthermore, we conducted the power analysis on the incidence of pregnancy complications and birth defects between the natural and letrozole groups and detected a difference of 97.7% and 90.6%, respectively. However, this study showed powers ranging from 5.4%–87.4% in detecting a difference in each complication between the groups; therefore, the accuracy of the results of some analyses was low because of the small sample size. Therefore, further studies with larger sample sizes are required to validate our findings.

CONCLUSION
No significant differences were observed in the perinatal outcomes and the rate of congenital anomalies between letrozole and natural cycles in fresh early embryo transfers. Our findings support the safety of letrozole use in ART. A recent meta-analysis reported that the pregnancy outcome of mild IVF was similar to that of high-stimulation IVF (22), and the demand for low-stimulation cycles is expected to increase in the future because of their lower financial and physical burden on patients. Letrozole is an essential drug for mild IVF cycles, and the collection of further data on ART is anticipated in the future.
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