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Identification of increased genetic risk scores for schizophrenia in treatment-resistant patients

Molecular Psychiatry (2015) 20, 150–151; doi:10.1038/mp.2014.56; published online 3 June 2014

Schizophrenia is a severe neuropsychiatric disorder that affects around 0.5–1% of the population. Research has shown that early intervention increases the likelihood of remission and reduces the severity of symptoms, as well as attenuates the decline in social and overall functioning.1

Around 30% of patients fail to respond adequately to the usual antipsychotic medications, and are classified as being treatment resistant. These patients can be treated with the atypical antipsychotic clozapine,6 the only evidence-based pharmacotherapy for treatment-resistant schizophrenia.2 However, clozapine is associated with severe adverse events and is thus only prescribed in patients who have failed to respond to trials of two other antipsychotics.4 This process may take many years.5 Since an extended duration of untreated psychosis and lack of efficacy for the initial treatment are however associated with a poorer prognosis,6 identification of patients who will eventually require clozapine is an important goal for improving clinical outcome. Research to identify clinical predictors of response prior to treatment initiation has shown that premorbid social functioning (PSF) is among the most reliable measures (for a review see Schennach et al6). Furthermore, reports of an association between a family history of psychosis and an unfavourable treatment response suggest the influence of genetic factors. However, few candidate gene studies have been conducted and their results are inconsistent.6

The present study investigated whether a higher genetic risk for schizophrenia is associated with treatment outcome. Genetic risk was assessed by measuring the polygenic risk score, based on the aggregated number of risk loci previously identified from genome-wide association studies in schizophrenia patients9 with a history of clozapine treatment (Cloz+, n = 434) compared to patients with no history of clozapine treatment (Cloz−, n = 370). Furthermore, the Cloz+ and Cloz− groups were compared in terms of selected premorbid clinical features (that is, age and mode of onset; poor premorbid work and social adjustment; premorbid personality disorder; alcohol and drug abuse; family history of schizophrenia and other psychiatric disorder; psychosocial stressors; see Supplementary Information).

The polygenic risk score was increased in Cloz+ compared to Cloz− patients (P = 0.02). Furthermore, polygenic risk scores were higher in Cloz+ responders compared to Cloz− non-responders (P = 0.06).

Poor PSF was significantly more frequent in Cloz+ patients (P = 8.1 × 10−5; odds ratio (OR) = 1.85; confidence interval (CI) = [1.35–2.55]). Cloz+ patients also displayed a significantly earlier age-at-onset (X = 23.0; s.d. = 8.26) than Cloz− patients (X = 25.5, s.d. = 9.12; P = 3.0 × 10−6), and a higher frequency of insidious disease onset (P = 4.4 × 10−5). A correlation was observed between poor PSF, early age-at-onset and insidious disease onset. However, this was modest and did not exceed 23% (for details see Supplementary Information). The highest polygenic risk scores were observed in Cloz+ non-responders with poor PSF and an insidious and early disease onset (P = 0.04; see Figure 1). These clinical variables correlated with both treatment resistance and polygenic score, and when included in the regression model they diminished the influence of polygenic score to non-significant values, whereas the associations with TRS per se, as corrected for polygenic score, remained significant.

The finding that poor PSF, an insidious disease onset and an early age-at-onset were associated with poor treatment response is consistent with previous data.10 However, the present study is the first to provide molecular evidence that an increased genetic loading for schizophrenia is a further risk factor. However, full interpretation of the results may depend on the proportion of treatment-resistant individuals in the discovery sample (for further discussion see Supplementary Information).

The absence of a positive family history of schizophrenia from the list of associated outcome features may have been attributable to the limited sample size. However, a post hoc analysis showed that a positive family history of schizophrenia was significantly associated with increased schizophrenia risk score in the overall sample (see Supplementary Table 4).

Our findings may suggest the existence of a more severe, genetically based schizophrenia subgroup, for whom early intervention with clozapine could be considered. This may have important implications for clinical practice, and further research is therefore warranted.
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