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In higher plants, Heat Shock Protein 20 (Hsp20) plays crucial roles in growth, development and responses to abiotic stresses. In this study, 94 \textit{GhHsp20} genes were identified in \textit{G. hirsutum}, and these genes were phylogenetically clustered into 14 subfamilies. Out of these, 73 paralogous gene pairs remained in conserved positions on segmental duplicated blocks and only 14 genes clustered into seven tandem duplication event regions. Transcriptome analysis showed that 82 \textit{GhHsp20} genes were expressed in at least one tested tissue, indicating that the \textit{GhHsp20} genes were involved in physiological and developmental processes of cotton. Further, expression profiles under abiotic stress exhibited that two-thirds of the \textit{GhHsp20} genes were responsive to heat stress, while 15 genes were induced by multiple stresses. In addition, qRT-PCR confirmed that 16 \textit{GhHsp20} genes were heat-induced, and eight genes were up-regulated under multiple abiotic stresses and stress-related phytohormone treatments. Taken together, our results presented here would be helpful in laying the foundation for understanding the complex mechanisms of \textit{GhHsp20} mediated developmental processes and abiotic stress signaling transduction pathways in cotton.

Arguably, increased yield could be best achieved by selecting genes for increased yield under optimal production conditions. Plants with higher yields in high inputs environment are more likely to have higher yields under stressed conditions\(^1\).

Abiotic stresses, such as high temperature, low temperature and drought, influence plant growth and development. The stress signals produced can stimulate plants to synthesize a series of responsive proteins to protect their cell metabolism. Heat Shock Proteins (Hsps) are important type of stress-induced proteins that are produced in plants in response to external stresses. Hsps exist in a wide variety of organisms and biospheres. When tissues or cells deal encounter various stresses, heat shock transcription factor (HSF) binds to the heat shock element (HSE) in the upstream region of Hsp to increase Hsp expression\(^2\). Increased Hsp expression strengthen the ability of plants to resist various stress factors, as it acts as a molecular chaperone that has a significant role in stress physiology. According to their molecular weights and amino acid sequence homology, Hsps can be classified as high molecular mass proteins, including Hsp100, Hsp90, Hsp70/DnaK and Hsp60/GroE, and low molecular mass proteins, including Hsp20, a type of small heat shock protein (sHsp)\(^2\).

The Hsp20 proteins are the most abundant heat shock proteins found in plants, and experiments have shown that this group plays an important role in the heat tolerance of plants, providing plants with at least a temporary protection mechanism. In plants, Hsp20 proteins are encoded by nuclear multigene families and are localized in different cellular compartments. Hsps have been classified into 14 subfamilies; nine of these are localized to cytoplasm or nucleus (CI–CXI) and five are localized in organelles. The organelle subfamilies include one localized to peroxisomes (PX), another to chloroplasts (CP), one to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and two to the mitochondria (MTI and MTII). Ten of these subfamilies exist in both monocots and eudicots (CI, CII, CIII, CIV, CV, PX, CP, ER, MTI, and MTII), and one is found only in eudicots (CVI). In total, 19 genes encoding Hsp20 have been identified in \textit{Arabidopsis}, and these are grouped into 12 subfamilies based on their subcellular localization and homology, while 23 Hsps have been identified in \textit{Oryza sativa}\(^2\)–\(^6\). With other two subfamilies described in other species, a total of 16 subfamilies have been identified in plants\(^3\)–\(^7\).

The alignment of Hsp20 gene sequences cloned from soybean, pea, \textit{Arabidopsis}, carrot, wheat, corn, tomato and other plants suggests that the Hsp20 proteins are relatively conserved in plants. The main characteristic of
Hsp20 proteins is a highly conserved 80–100 amino acid sequence called the alpha crystalline domain (ACD), located in the C-terminal region. This domain is divided into two conserved regions by a hydrophobic region of variable length, N-terminal consensus I (27 amino acids) and C-terminal consensus II (29 amino acids)\textsuperscript{8–10}. The Hsp20 proteins are ATP-independent molecular chaperones that usually spontaneously form large oligomeric complexes ranging in size from 9 to 50 subunits (200–800 kDa) and act by preventing protein denaturation in both eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells\textsuperscript{11,12}.

Recently, researchers have demonstrated that Hsp20 proteins function as molecular chaperones and play an important role in plant immunity by inhibiting apoptosis\textsuperscript{13}, promoting cytoskeleton formation, and protecting the mitochondrial and PS II electron transport chain. For example, SHsp transgenic tomato showed less electrolyte leakage, chlorophyll damage and accumulation of anthocyanin than that in wild type under low temperature stress\textsuperscript{14}. AtHSP17.6A overexpressed in Arabidopsis thaliana was found to increase salt and drought tolerance\textsuperscript{15}. RcHSP17.8 from Rosa chinensis was overexpressed in Escherichia coli and yeast, and these cells showed improved viability under thermal, salt and oxidative stress\textsuperscript{16}.

Cotton is an important fiber crop that provides lint for textile industry and oil for edible purposes, but its growth, yield and fiber quality are greatly affected by various abiotic stresses, such as drought, salinity and high temperature. Therefore, improving stress tolerance in cotton cultivars is a priority for most cotton breeding programs. Previous research has shown that Hsps are ideal targets for improving tolerance to a wide range of stresses. However, the Heat Shock Protein 20 (GhHsp20) family in cotton is largely unknown, and no Hsp20 genes responsive to biotic stress have been identified in cotton. Thus it is imperative to study the GhHsp20 family in cotton. Herein, genome-wide and comprehensive expression analyses of GhHsp20 were performed. Our results will provide a foundation for understanding the functional structures and genomic organization of the GhHsp20 gene family in cotton, and are undoubtedly useful in the detailed characterization of the function of these genes.

Results

Identification and sequence conservation of GhHsp20 genes in G. hirsutum. A total of 111 genes were identified in the G. hirsutum genome as candidate members of the GhHsp20 family. To further verify the reliability of these candidate sequences, the amino acid sequences of all 111 proteins were searched for the presence of the Hsp20 domain using Pfam and SMART software. InterPro analysis showed that the ACD (PF00011) was absent in 14 sequences, and 97 proteins possessed the principal ACD. A total of three of the 97 sequences contained two domains, and these were excluded from the subsequent analysis. In total, 94 typical GhHsp20 genes were therefore identified from the original data. The encoded proteins varied from 113 to 268 amino acids in length. These 94 GhHsp20 genes were subjected to further analysis; detail on the other parameters of the nucleic acid and protein sequences are provided in Supplementary Dataset 1.

To explore the GhHsp20 domain, sequence logo and alignment information were produced to examine how well-conserved the domains were in the GhHsp20 proteins within each residue position. The sequence logo of the 94 GhHsp20 genes shown in Fig. 1A was generated using the WebLogo application (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com)\textsuperscript{17}. The multiple sequence alignment analysis and sequence logo revealed that all of the GhHsp20 genes shared regions of conserved polypeptide sequences, including consensus region I and consensus region II, which are involved in the function of molecular chaperones (Fig. 1C).

Phylogenetic analysis of GhHsp20 gene family. In order to analyze the evolutionary relationships of Hsp20 genes and to help in their classification, the full length of Oryza sativa Hsp20 (OsHsp20), Arabidopsis thaliana Hsp20 (AtHsp20) and G. hirsutum Hsp20 (GhHsp20) were used to generate an unrooted phylogenetic tree. All the identified GhHsp20 genes were classified into 14 subfamilies (Fig. 2). Based on the phylogenetic tree and in silico subcellular localization analysis, we identified GhHsp20 members related to the previously defined Cl, CII, CIII, CIV, CV, CVI, CVII, CXI, MI, MII, ER, P and PX subfamilies. Thus, the GhHsp20 genes were distributed between a total of 13 subfamilies as follows: the nucleocytoplasmic subfamilies (C) contained eight subfamilies and constituted the largest clade, containing 60 members and accounting for 73.2% of the GhHsp20 genes; the M subfamily contained two subfamilies, comprising a total of six Hsp20 genes; six Hsp20 genes belonged to the ER subfamily; six belonged to the P subfamily, and two belonged to the PX subfamily. Finally, two orphan genes (GhHsp23.6C and GhHsp18.1E) did not belong to any subfamily, possibly because of their apparently incomplete structures. As shown in the phylogenetic tree (Supplementary Figs 6 and 7), most of the ortholog genes between two diploids and allotetraploid were clustered into a same clade.

Although evolutionary relationships could not be elucidated for all proteins, the analysis showed some interesting results. Noticeably, among the subgroups, three subfamilies (CVI, CIX and CXI) contained Hsp20 genes from cotton only, and subfamily CVII only contained Arabidopsis thaliana and Oryza sativa Hsp20 genes. Compared to the MI and MII clades, which contained similar numbers of proteins from each species, the CI clade contained considerably different numbers of proteins from each of the three species. This suggested that expansion of these subfamilies has occurred since the divergence between eudicots and monocots.

Gene structure and conserved motif distribution analysis. In plants, most genes are interrupted genes, with one or more exons and several introns. The arrangement of introns and exons can be used to analyze the evolutionary relationships among different gene family members. The genomic sequence of the longest GhHsp20 gene (GhHsp29.6) was about 807 bp, while the shortest (GhHsp12.5) was only 339 bp. To gain a further insight into the possible structural evolution of GhHsp20, a separate unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using the protein sequences of all the GhHsp20 genes (Fig. 3A). The exon/intron organization was then compared in the coding sequences of the GhHsp20 genes (Fig. 3B). Figure 3B provided a detailed illustration of the relative lengths of introns and exons. A highly diverse distribution of exon regions (from one to six in numbers) was found among the GhHsp20 genes. However, it was worth noting that closely related genes were generally more
structurally similar, differing only in intron and exon lengths. In general, the 94 *GhHsp20* genes can be divided into three categories according to their intron numbers. Half of all gene family members (47 genes) had no introns, while 23 genes had one intron, and the remaining 24 genes had two or more introns.

**Figure 1.** The ACD is highly conserved across all *GhHsp20* proteins. (A) Sequence logo of the *GhHsp20* domain in 94 cotton *GhHsp20* genes generated by the application WebLogo (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com). The heights of symbols within each stack indicate the relative frequency of each amino acid at that position. The logo was obtained through the multiple alignment of the *GhHsp20* domain in 94 cotton *GhHsp20* protein sequences. The sequence logos of the *GhHsp20* proteins were based on full-length alignments of all *GhHsp20* proteins. (B) HMM logo from Pfam representing the Hsp20 domain (PF00011). The overall height of each stack indicates the conservation of the sequence at that position, whereas the height of letters within each stack represents the relative frequency of the corresponding amino acid. (C) Multiple sequence alignment of the *GhHsp20* proteins. Gene identity numbers are provided on the left. Color shading indicates the types of amino acid residues that were conserved. The defined regions are underlined.
introns. This value was far higher than the distribution ratio of genes with no introns in the genome as a whole. In addition, 39 genes had only one intron, and there were just eight genes with multiple introns. Our results indicated a strong correlation between the phylogeny and exon/intron structure of these genes, and the regularity of the gene structure may be associated with evolutionary trends, and may reflect the conservation in the gene families.

In total, eight motifs, named motifs 1 to 8 were identified. As shown in Fig. 3C, GhHsp20 proteins in the same group contained similar motifs. Most members of the GhHsp20 family shared two motifs, motif 4 and motif 5, which were linked in order. A few members, such as GhHsp22.5A, GhHsp22.4B, GhHsp20.9, and GhHsp21.0, showed quite different protein structures compared with the other members. Interestingly, motif 1 was selectively distributed among a specific subgroup (CI) in the phylogenetic tree. The unique motifs in different subfamilies may relate to the conservation and specific functions of the GhHsp20 gene family. The clustered GhHsp20 pairs, i.e. GhHsp18.0C and GhHsp17.9F, showed similar motif distributions. The motifs and their arrangement in the GhHsp20 proteins were similar among proteins within the same subgroup, demonstrating that the protein architectures were remarkably conserved within a specific subfamily.

Chromosomal location and gene duplication of GhHsp20 in G. hirsutum. A total of 82 of the 94 GhHsp20 genes were physically located on 26 linkage groups (LG) of G. hirsutum chromosomes, while 12 genes could not be conclusively mapped to any chromosome, and therefore remain unattributed to any scaffold (Fig. 4). The distribution of GhHsp20 genes on each chromosome were uneven: chromosomes A01, A02, A03, A04, A06, A09, A10, A11, A12, A13, D01, D02, D03, D04, D09, D10, D11, D12 and D13 contain one to four GhHsp20 genes, while relatively high densities of GhHsp20 genes were found in few locations on chromosomes A05, A07, A08, D05, D07 and D08. In particular, the GhHsp20 genes located on chromosomes A05 and D05 were concentrated in the higher end of the arms. Interestingly, closely related genes of the CI subfamily were mainly located on chromosomes A05, A07, D05 and D07, suggesting that expansion of the GhHsp20 gene family may have occurred via localized or intra-chromosomal duplication.

In general, genome duplication events are thought to have occurred throughout the process of plant genome evolution16. Gene duplication events, including tandem and segmental duplications, are thought to play a significant role in the mechanism behind the expansion of the GhHsp20 gene family17. In the current study, the tandem duplication events of 82 GhHsp20 genes on 26 chromosomes (Supplementary Table 1) were analyzed according to methods described previously20, where a chromosomal region of 200 kb containing two or more genes was defined as a tandem duplication event. There were 14 GhHsp20 genes (GhHsp17.5A, GhHsp18.0A, GhHsp17.9A, GhHsp17.8A, GhHsp18.2B, GhHsp18.1B, GhHsp18.3C, GhHsp20.9, GhHsp17.5B, GhHsp18.1C,

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of Hsp20 proteins from G. hirsutum, Arabidopsis and Oryza sativa. The deduced full length amino acid sequences were aligned by ClustalX 2.0 and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 5.0 by the Neighbour-Joining (NJ) method with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Each Hsp20 subfamily is indicated by a specific color.
GhHsp17.8B, GhHsp17.9C, GhHsp23.6C and GhHsp23.1) clustered into seven tandem duplication event regions on grape chromosomes A05 (two clusters), D05 (two clusters), A07 (one cluster), A08 (one cluster) and D12 (one cluster) (Supplementary Table 1). Chromosomes A05 (cluster 1 and cluster 2) and D05 (cluster 5 and cluster 6) had two clusters each, indicating a hot spot of GhHsp20 gene distribution. In addition to the tandem duplication events, segmental duplications were investigated in this study. We searched the genome of G. hirsutum for pairs of duplicated regions using MCSCAN (http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/mcscan/) to define gene paralogy, and identified 73 paralogous gene pairs (86.2%) among the 94 Hsp20 genes. Of the mapped GhHsp20 genes, only seven were located outside of the duplicated blocks, while 90.2% (74 of 82) were located in duplicated regions. Furthermore, 49 genes were involved only two chromosome regions, 13 genes spanned three chromosome regions, 14 genes traversed four chromosome regions, and five genes crossed five chromosome regions (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Dataset 2). Of the 73 GhHsp20 paralogous gene pairs, 62 remained in conserved positions on segmental duplicated blocks (Fig. 5), providing strong evidence that these 62 paralogous pairs may be derived from segmental duplication events during the evolutionary process, and that gene duplication has made an important contribution to cotton.

The ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions ($Ka/Ks$) is an indicator of the history of selection acting on a gene or gene region. We calculated the $Ka/Ks$ ratio for each pair of duplicated GhHsp20 genes to reveal whether Darwinian positive selection was associated with functional divergence after gene duplication. In this study, the $Ka/Ks$ ratios for 50 of the 73 duplicated GhHsp20 gene pairs (68.5%) were less than 1 (Supplementary Dataset 2), demonstrating that these genes from G. hirsutum experienced relatively rapid evolution following duplication, which lead to functional segregation of GhHsp20 genes. We further calculated the approximate dates of duplication events with the DnaSP program. The results showed that segmental duplications of GhHsp20 genes occurred between 4.19 Mya (million years ago) to 535.62 Mya, with an average of 173.16 Mya (Supplementary Dataset 2 and Supplementary Fig. 8), suggesting that the divergence time of this family was before the A- and D-progenitor genomes.

Expression profiles of GhHsp20 genes in different tissues. In order to gain insights into the potential developmental roles of the GhHsp20 genes, the spatio-temporal expression of individual members of the
Figure 4. Distribution of the GhHsp20 family genes on G. hirsutum chromosomes. The chromosome number (A1–D13) was shown on the top of each chromosome. The putative Hsp20 genes are shown on chromosomes 1–13 and from top to bottom. Green bars represent physical maps. Red lines on green bars indicate the locations of Hsp20 genes in each physical map. The scale is in megabases (Mb).

Figure 5. Segmental duplication of GhHsp20 genes on G. hirsutum chromosomes. The approximate distribution of each GhHsp20 gene is marked with a short red line on the circle. Genome-wide duplicated genes are connected by gray lines.
gene family were investigated using transcriptome datasets of *G. hirsutum* collected from seven different organs: seeds, roots, stems, leaves, torus, petal, stamen, pistil, ovules and fibers. As transcriptome datasets of *G. hirsutum* were available for different tissues, a heat map showing the expression of all the *GhHsp20* genes was generated (Fig. 6). Expression clusters were analyzed by Mev4.6.2 (http://www.tm4.org/mev/), resulting in 14 expression patterns (threshold $\geq 0.5$). The results showed ninety percent (82 of 94; 87.2%) of the analyzed *GhHsp20* genes were expressed in all tissues ($\text{FPKM} \geq 1$). On the other hand, 12 genes were not expressed in all tested organs and developmental stages, interesting that all the 12 genes belonged to C subfamily, two genes (*GhHsp18.3C* and *GhHsp23.6C*) were from tandem duplication events, seven genes were from segmental duplication events, indicating that these genes may be either functional redundancy in development or pseudogenes. Different *GhHsp20* genes were dominantly expressed in different tissues (Fig. 6). A total of 33 of 94 (35.1%) were highly expressed ($\text{FPKM} \geq 50$) during seed germination stage. For example, the transcript abundances of mitochondrial localization gene *GhHsp23.5C* were approximately 200 times higher in seed germination than that of the other stages. *GhHsp17.0*, *GhHsp21.4* and *GhHsp21.1* shared high expression levels during stamen development. In total of 10 of 94 (10.6%) *GhHsp20* genes showed primarily expressed in fiber compared with, root, stem, leaf, ovule and flower tissues.

In addition to groups of genes that exhibited similar transcript abundance profiles but were relatively phylogenetically distinct, several phylogenetic clades shared, to a large extent, the same transcript abundance profile. Gene expression patterns can provide important clues for gene function. For example, in tissue expression group 4 (Supplementary Dataset 3), most *GhHsp20* genes were dominantly expressed during seed absorption, indicating that they have a conserved functional role in seed germination. Members of tissue expression group 6 were mainly detectable in leaf tissue, which suggests that they have similar functions in leaf development.
Expression patterns of \textit{GhHsp20} genes under abiotic stresses and following exogenous hormone treatments. To explore the responses of \textit{GhHsp20} genes to abiotic stresses, we performed transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq). The heat map represented of \textit{GhHsp20} genes in response to abiotic stresses such as dehydration, salinity, heat and cold (Fig. 7) All the genes exhibited variations in expression in response to one or more stresses. Of the four treatments, heat stress induced relatively more fluctuations in the transcript abundance of \textit{GhHsp20} than that of the dehydration, salinity and cold. A total of 34 \textit{GhHsp20} genes increased instantly in response to heat treatment, and decreased quickly during the continued heat stress. The highest increase (600-fold) was observed for \textit{GhHsp21.4}. While the expression of \textit{GhHsp17.7B}, \textit{GhHsp20.7B} and \textit{GhHsp23.5A} were slowly increased during the continued heat stress. These results provided an essential clue of several \textit{GhHsp20} genes such as \textit{GhHsp17.9A} and \textit{GhHsp18.2B} as the part of heat stress signaling system, while \textit{GhHsp17.7B}, \textit{GhHsp20.7B} and \textit{GhHsp23.5A} proteins played critical roles in protein refolding. Members from group CI (\textit{GhHsp17.8A} and \textit{GhHsp18.3B}) had relatively high expression levels under drought stress, which were also specifically expressed at five dpa, these specifically upregulated genes seem to be more sensitive during fiber development. Over 50% of \textit{GhHsp20} genes were up-regulated under heat stress, whereas, more than a quarter of the \textit{GhHsp20} genes were down-regulated under drought stress conditions. In total 15 \textit{GhHsp20} genes were induced by multiple stresses, while nine \textit{GhHsp20} genes were mainly repressed by all four abiotic stresses. The expression of three \textit{GhHsp20} genes (\textit{GhHsp18.3A}, \textit{GhHsp17.0} and \textit{GhHsp16.0C}) was induced by heat stress but repressed by cold stress. It was noteworthy that some genes were found to be differentially expressed in response to a specific stress treatment at only one time point. For example, \textit{GhHsp24.3} was induced early by heat, while at later time-points only cold was able to induce its expression. Some genes were up-regulated by all stresses at both early and late time points (Fig. 7).

Based on the RNA-seq data (Fig. 7), we also examined the expression of 16 selected \textit{GhHsp20} genes from each branch of the evolutionary tree using qRT-PCR after imposing two stress treatments (heat, and drought) and exposure to three stress-related signaling compounds (ABA, Eth or an oxidative stress inducer [H$_2$O$_2$]).
The transcription levels of 11 \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes were significantly increased and reached a peak 1 hour after heat treatment (Fig. 8). In particular, the transcript abundance of \( \text{GhHsp15.9} \), \( \text{GhHsp15.5} \), and \( \text{GhHsp21.4} \) showed an early increase under heat stress. However, the expression of eight genes decreased gradually with time after one hour heat treatment. Notably, \( \text{GhHsp27.1A} \) exhibited an early upregulation but subsequent downregulation compared with control. Drought stress caused upregulation of ten \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes (Supplementary Fig. 1). Eight genes (\( \text{GhHsp21.1}, \text{GhHsp24.9}, \text{GhHsp23.5B}, \text{GhHsp16.0A}, \text{GhHsp17.1A}, \text{GhHsp15.9}, \text{GhHsp16.5} \) and \( \text{GhHsp18.2B} \)) were upregulated in response to both heat and drought stress. The transcript levels of four \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes were increased in response to anoxia stress (Supplementary Fig. 2). This further suggested that these common upregulated \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes possibly participate in cross-talk between signaling pathways to regulate these two stresses.

After ABA treatment, six \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes were significantly up-regulated. Similarly, eight \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes were up-regulated by Eth treatment, which caused drastic enhancement of the transcript level (\( >10 \) fold) of \( \text{GhHsp17.9C} \). Four genes were upregulated in response to both ABA and Eth treatments (Supplementary Figs 3 and 4). However, the expression levels of eight genes and nine genes remained unchanged after exposing to ABA and Eth, respectively.

**Discussion**

The development of multiple members of a gene family is a long-term natural evolutionary process, and the number of the family members reflects the degree of genome amplification and rearrangement during evolution\(^22\). Studies have shown that gene replication plays an important role in the evolutionary process: \( \text{Arabidopsis thaliana} \) and \( \text{Oryza sativa} \) experienced several genome replications\(^23\). More and more evidence suggested that Hsp20 proteins play important roles in diverse plant developmental processes as well as various abiotic and biotic stress responses. Benefiting from the availability of genome information, studies have characterized the functions of the Hsp20 family genes in many plants, including the model plants \( \text{Arabidopsis thaliana} \) and \( \text{Oryza sativa} \)\(^24,25\). Preliminary analysis of the Hsp20 gene family has been performed in the \( \text{Oryza sativa} \) and soybean\(^26,27\). Our current work described the identification of \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes in cotton, including analysis of their structure, evolutionary history, and expression pattern diversity with respect to abiotic stresses.

In this study, we identified 94 \( \text{GhHsp20} \) genes in \( \text{G. hirsutum} \) genome. The number of members in \( \text{G. hirsutum} \) was larger than in \( \text{Arabidopsis thaliana} \) and \( \text{Oryza sativa} \), suggesting the possibility of a gene gain event during the evolutionary process from diploid to tetraploid. The exon/intron structure is the most ancient level of gene
GhHsp20 genes and lead to the discovery of their roles in plant growth and identification of the functions of genes. Genes similar motifs and motif distributions, and this supported the previous classification of the and motif compositions (Fig. 3). These results suggested that the same or closely related subfamilies have similar motifs and motif distributions, and this supported the previous classification of the GhHsp20 gene family.

Gene expression patterns are usually closely related to their functions32. Analyses of differential expression profiles provide important information with respect to functional specializations of GhHsp20 genes. Physiologically, seed germination is one of the first developmental processes in plants. In this study, we found that most of the GhHsp20 genes were activated at the beginning of germination, while GhHsp18.2C, GhHsp17.8C and GhHsp18.1D were expressed at later stages (Fig. 6). The results indicated that most GhHsp20 genes participated in seed germination, and different GhHsp20 genes were likely required to allow the plant to specifically expression Hsp proteins where and when they were required to function in the plant. The mechanisms of action of GhHsp20 genes in seed germination required further exploration. Under normal conditions, most GhHsp20 genes were expressed at low levels in all developmental processes, while only a few genes were highly expressed in specific organs or developmental processes. This finding suggested that GhHsp20 genes were components of a complex transcriptional network regulating stamen development.

It has been demonstrated that Hsp20 genes are not only involved in the activation of plant development systems34, but also play key roles in the control of plants' response to environmental stimuli35. Since it has been thought that Hsp20 genes are responsive to plant abiotic stress, we investigated the expression profiles of the Hsp20 genes in G. hirsutum after stress treatments. The data demonstrated that a large number of Hsp20 genes were rapidly and significantly upregulated within 1 h of heat stress. At least 10 Hsp20 genes were upregulated by two stress treatments, while nine were down-regulated after all four stress treatments. As shown in Fig. 7, the expression levels of three GhHsp20 genes (GhHsp18.3A, GhHsp17.0 and GhHsp16.0C) were increased remarkably by heat stress, but repressed by cold stress. These results indicate that different types of GhHsp20 genes have different roles in protein refolding under abiotic stresses. Our qPCR results showed that the expression of 16 GhHsp20 genes was altered in response to at least one of the treatment conditions, suggesting that these GhHsp20 genes may play important roles in regulating gene expression in response to abiotic stresses. It is remarkable that of the 16 putative GhHsp20 genes, the expression of GhHsp17.1A was induced by heat, drought, H2O2, ABA and Eth (Fig. 8 and Supplementary Figs 1–4). These expression profiles strongly indicated a divergence in the functions of GhHsp20 genes in different signal pathways. The functions of these stress-responsive GhHsp20 genes in abiotic stress resistance will be further characterized in future work.

Until now, only a few GhHsp20 genes have been functionally characterized in other plant species, and there have been none in cotton. In this study, we have laid a foundation for further identification of the functions of the cotton GhHsp20 gene family and have provided evidence for the relationship between structure and function in the cotton GhHsp20 gene family. In addition, our results lay the foundation for understanding the complex mechanisms of abiotic stress signaling controlled by GhHsp20 proteins in G. hirsutum.

Materials and Methods

Sequence sources. The sequences of G. arboresum, G. raimondii, and G. hirsutum were downloaded from http://www.phytozome.net/, http://cgp.genomics.org.cn/page/species/index.jsp, and http://mascotton.njau.edu.cn/, respectively. The published Hsp20 protein data for the Arabidopsis were obtained from the Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR release 10, http://www.arabidopsis.org) and the Oryza sativa Genome Annotation Project Database (RGAP release 7, http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/index.shtml), respectively.

Identification of cotton Hsp20 sequences. To identify the GhHsp20 genes in the G. hirsutum genome, domain sequences were used as iterative queries to search the G. hirsutum genome database using the BlastP program with the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile. We used the Hsp20 domain (PF00011, 103 amino acids) as a multiple BLAST query to identify a large number of candidate GhHsp20 sequences in the G. hirsutum database using HMMER software version 3.036. The e-value was set at 1e-10. All Hsp20 candidates were verified using the PFAM program (http://pfam.xfam.org/) to confirm the presence of the Hsp20 domain (PF00011). We identified unique hits and removed redundant sequences from the candidate GhHsp20 genes according to their corresponding sequences and chromosome locations. In order to improve the precision of the domain analysis, MEME tools (http://meme.sbcnr.net/meme/) and the simple modular architecture research tool (SMART)37 were used to identify putative domain motifs in the full-length amino acid sequences of cotton GhHsp20 genes. The molecular weight and isoelectric point of each GhHsp20 protein were calculated using the online ExPASy program (http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/).
**Multiple sequence alignments and Intron/Exon structure analysis.** The *GhHsp20* sequence was extensively aligned using the ClustalX 2.0 program with the default settings38. The *GhHsp20* domains were aligned and the conserved sites were checked manually for their corresponding amino acid residues, which were shaded using DNAMAN software (http://www.lynnsoft.com/). The alignment was then adjusted manually according to the location of the corresponding amino acids in the Hsp20 motif.

The MEME version 3.5.7 tool was used to identify conserved motifs shared among *GhHsp20* proteins39. The following parameter settings were used: maximum number of different motifs to find, 8; optimum motif width, 8 to 100. Subsequently, the MAST program was used to search detected motifs in protein databases40.

To obtain the gene structure, the coding regions and genomic sequences of cotton were compared, and the intron distribution pattern and intron splicing phase were derived from the aligned cDNA sequences. A figure showing the *GhHsp20* gene exon lengths was created using the SigmaPlot 10.0 software. The structures were displayed using a gene structure display server41.

**Phylogenetic analysis of *GhHsp20* genes.** A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the MEGA5.0 software42 by the maximum-likelihood (ML) and neighbour-joining (NJ) methods with full predicted protein sequences of cotton, *Arabidopsis* and *Oryza sativa* Hsp20 proteins. For statistical reliability, the nodes of the tree were evaluated by bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates43. Branches with less than 50% bootstrap values were collapsed.

**Mapping *GhHsp20* genes on cotton chromosomes and identification of paralogous genes.** A local blast search of the *G. hirsutum* genome sequence was performed to map the physical location of the 94 genes. Mapchart 2.2 software was used to visualize the distribution of the *GhHsp20* genes on the 26 *G. hirsutum* chromosomes.

Comparison of the sequences of paralogous genes based on their evolutionary origins allows a better understanding of the physiological roles of individual genes. Cotton *GhHsp20* gene duplication during evolution was investigated using MEGA software (version 5.0). Evolutionary distances between each *GhHsp20* sequence pair were calculated using ClustalW44. For the detection of large segmental duplications, we consulted the duplicated blocks map provided by MCscanX algorithm (http://chibba.agtec.uga.edu/duplication/mcscan/). Finally, the *Ka/Ks* ratio was calculated to identify the up-regulation gene; the expression level (FPKM) changes of more than twofold compared with the control was used to identify the down-regulation gene. Expression patterns were clustered by Mev4.6.2 software using the Hierarchical Clustering model (http://www.tm4.org/mev.html).

**Calculation of *Ka/Ks* values.** Synonymous (*Ks*) and nonsynonymous (*Ka*) substitution rates were calculated according to methods described in a previous study46. The *G. hirsutum* Hsp20 duplicated gene pairs were first aligned by the Clustal X2.0 program. The *Ka* and *Ks* were then calculated using the DnaSP v5.0 software (DNA polymorphism analysis)48. Finally, the *Ka/Ks* ratio was analyzed to assess the selection pressure for each gene pair. Generally, *Ka/Ks* > 1 signifies positive selection, *Ka/Ks* = 1 indicates neutral selection, and *Ka/Ks* < 1 shows negative or purifying selection49. The date of duplication events was subsequently estimated according to the equation *T* = *Ks/2r* where “r” is the neutral substitution rate. A neutral substitution rate of 2.6 × 10−9 was used in the current study50.

**Investigation of the expression pattern of *GhHsp20* genes.** Expression data for *GhHsp20* genes was obtained from transcriptome data50. These datasets correspond to expression intensities in various tissues and under abiotic stresses. For tissues, gene expression levels were calculated according to FPKM values and the default empirical abundance threshold of FPKM > 1 was used to identify the expressed gene51–53. For abiotic stresses, the expression level (FPKM) changes of more than twofold compared with the control was used to identify the up-regulation gene; the expression level (FPKM) changes of less than one half compared with the control was used to identify the down-regulation gene. Expression patterns were clustered by Mev4.6.2 software using the Hierarchical Clustering model (http://www.tm4.org/mev.html).

**Plant materials and treatments.** *G. hirsutum* L. acc TM-1 was used to investigate the responses of cotton to abiotic stress treatments. Cotton seedlings were grown in a growth chamber under greenhouse conditions (light/dark cycle: 14 h at 28 °C/10 h at 22 °C; 70% relative humidity). Four-week-old seedlings were treated as follows: to test the response to signaling substances, leaves were sprayed with 100 μM abscisic acid (ABA), 300 mM ethylene (Eth) or 10 mM H$_2$O$_2$ (ddH$_2$O was used as a solvent control). To test the response to drought, the roots of cotton seedlings were irrigated with 20% PEG (ddH$_2$O was used as a mock control). To test the response to temperature stress, seedlings were placed in a growth chamber at a high temperature (37 °C) (28 °C was used as a mock control). After being subjected to these stresses, the leaves were collected at the appropriate time points as indicated, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −70°C.

**RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis.** The CTAB-acidic phenol extraction method was used to extract the total RNA from cotton54. RNA was then treated with DNase I (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com/) to remove genomic DNA, and 2 μg of total RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis. The primer pairs used for real-time PCR were designed using Beacon Designer 7.0 according to cotton *Hsp20* gene sequences. The annealing temperature was between 56°C and 60°C. The cotton histone3 (AF024716) gene was used as the internal control55. qRT-PCR was carried out using HiScript Q RT SuperMix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) with three replicates on an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA). The amplification parameters were as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing between 56°C and 60°C for 15 s, extension at 72°C for 15 s. Data were processed using the 2−ΔΔCT method54.
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