2k_f density wave instability of composite Fermi liquid
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We investigate the 2k_f density wave instability of non-Fermi-liquid states by combining exact diagonalization with renormalization group analysis. At the half-filled zeroth Landau level, we study the fate of the composite Fermi liquid in the presence of the mass anisotropy and mixed Landau level form factors. These two experimentally accessible knobs trigger a phase transition towards a unidirectional charge density wave state with a wave vector equal to 2k_f of the composite Fermi liquid. Based on exact diagonalization, we identify such a transition by examining both the energy spectra and the static structure factor of charge density-density correlations. Moreover, the renormalization group analysis reveals that gauge fluctuations render the non-Fermi-liquid state unstable against density wave orders, consistent with numerical observations. Possible experimental probes of the density wave instability are also discussed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Non Fermi liquids (NFLs) are among the most exotic quantum states in condensed matter. One class of NFL states is realized at quantum critical points (QCPs) [1–4] with gapless collective modes. The most well-known example is the strange metal, which has been intensively investigated after the discoveries of high-temperature superconductors [5] and heavy-fermion materials [6]. More recently, moiré materials such as the twisted bilayer graphene [7] have created new excitement. Instead of appearing at QCPs, the NFL state can also arise as a stable phase at zero temperature. A prominent example is two-dimensional (2D) electrons under a strong magnetic field: When the zeroth Landau level (LL) is half filled, it becomes a fractionalized gapless state [8,9] with a large Fermi surface formed by composite fermions (CFs) [10,11].

Fathoming the instabilities of NFL is the very essence of understanding various phenomena in strongly correlated systems. For example, the high transition temperature and complex orders of the high-temperature superconductors are all believed to result from a NFL mother state [12–15]. Theoretically, a stable and controllable platform is crucial and urgently needed for investigating the intriguing properties of the NFL states. In particular, the compressible NFL state at a half-filled LL is well established both experimentally [16–18] and numerically [19–21], which provides a promising platform. More importantly, the physical setup also comes with various tuning knobs such as the magnetic field, the geometry, and the number of components including layers, subbands, spins, and/or valleys. With these knobs, plenty of states adjacent to the composite Fermi liquid (CFL) are discovered, consequently revealing various instabilities of CFL. For instance, the Cooper instability [22,23] leads to the $p + ip$ paired Moore-Read (MR) state [24–26] (we briefly review it in Appendix A), the Pomeranchuk instability [27,28] results in the density wave instability of composite Fermi liquid adjacent to the composite Fermi liquid (CFL) states, the Stoner instability of CFL gives rise to spin or valley polarizations [31–33], and the instability towards the Halperin 331 state [34,35] in quantum Hall bilayers.

In this paper, we propose one mechanism to reap yet another instability of CFL: the 2k_f density wave instability, which is of equal importance to the previously discovered CFL instabilities and is likely to exhibit distinct physics from ordinary Fermi liquids [36–38]. Based on an exact diagonalization (ED) and renormalization group (RG) analysis, we propose one possible mechanism to trigger the density wave instability of CFL on half-filled LLs: tuning the interactions via the mixed LL form factors from an anisotropic CFL state. We demonstrate such an instability numerically and reveal the underlying mechanism by RG analysis. We find the density wave instability would be dominant over the pairing instability via increasing the gauge fluctuations, which can be achieved by breaking the rotational symmetry. Importantly, the mixed form factor is experimentally accessible in Dirac materials, e.g., in bilayer graphene, by tuning the interlayer electric bias and the magnetic field [39–42], rendering it possible to examine our findings.

II. NUMERICAL SETUP AND RESULTS

We consider 2D electrons on a torus with a strongly perpendicular magnetic field piercing through its surface. The
Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2A} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} V(\mathbf{q}) F(\mathbf{q}) F(-\mathbf{q}) : \rho^{\dagger}(\mathbf{q}) \rho(\mathbf{q}) :,$$

where $V(\mathbf{q})$ is the Fourier transform of the unprojected Coulomb interaction, $F(\mathbf{q})$ denotes the density form factor introduced by projection, $\rho(\mathbf{q})$ is the guiding center density operators, and $A$ represents the area of the 2D plane. Below we consider the mixed form factors $F(\mathbf{q}) = \cos^2 \Theta F_0(q_m) + \sin^2 \Theta F_1(\mathbf{q}_{n})$ to tune the interactions [39–42], where $F_0(\mathbf{q}_{n}) = \exp(-\mathbf{q}_{n}^2/4) L_n(\mathbf{q}_{n}/2)$ are the form factors for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ Galilean LLs, respectively. $L_n(x)$ is the Laguerre polynomial. The anisotropic CFL can be achieved by introducing the mass anisotropy, where $q_m^{ij} = g_m^{rij} q_i q_j$ includes the metric $g_m = \text{diag}[\sqrt{m_i/m_x}, \sqrt{m_j/m_x}]$ derived from the band mass tensor. In the isotropic limit (i.e., $m_x = m_x$), the CFL and MR states are stabilized at $\sin^2 \Theta = 0$ [8,10] and $\sin^2 \Theta = 1$ [24–26], respectively. The corresponding pairing instability in this limit, such as tuning $\sin^2 \Theta$, has been theoretically confirmed [43–45], though the nature of this transition is still controversial [22–26]. The mass anisotropy explicitly breaks the spatially rotational symmetry [46–55], concealing another factor to trigger the instability of CFL. Previous studies have demonstrated that CFL is remarkably robust against mass anisotropy when $\sin^2 \Theta = 0$ [54], while the MR state is fragile against mass anisotropy and finally translates to a stripe state [56] when $\sin^2 \Theta = 1$ [55]. Then it is natural to investigate the possible density wave instability of CFL by tuning the interactions via $\sin^2 \Theta$ from an anisotropic CFL state at $\sin^2 \Theta = 0$. Below we will detect such a possibility by solving the Hamiltonian by ED [57].

Our numerical results are depicted in the phase diagram shown in Fig. 1(a). In the isotropic limit, we have confirmed the pairing instability of CFL when tuning the interaction via $\sin^2 \Theta$, consistent with previous studies. In the presence of mass anisotropy, we find the pairing instability only survives in a small regime in the phase space, and instead, the density wave instability becomes the dominant instability of CFL after rotational symmetry breaking, which can be triggered more easily by increasing the mass anisotropy [see Fig. 1(a)].

The phase boundaries in Fig. 1(a) are identified from both the energy spectra and the derivatives of the ground-state energy. Figure 1(b) shows an example of the energy spectra as a function of $\sin^2 \Theta$ for an $N_e = 16$ system with $m_x/m_y = 8$. Further results of $N_e = 12, 14$ are given in Appendix C. The CFL state is robust up to $\sin^2 \Theta \approx 0.64$ upon tuning the interaction, which can be further confirmed from the derivatives of the ground-state energy in Fig. 1(c). The energy gap in the spectra of CFL is induced by the shell-filling effect on a finite-sized system, which can be identified by comparing the quantum number of ground states obtained by ED and the CFL wave functions on a torus [33,43,57–59]. The energy level crossing near $\sin^2 \Theta \approx 0.32$ represents the change of the CFL ground-state momentum sectors, in contrast to the phase transitions around $\sin^2 \Theta \approx 0.64$. We further confirm the nature of these phases by studying the static structure factor $N(\mathbf{q})$ of the density-density correlation, $N(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{N} \langle \rho(\mathbf{q}) \rho(-\mathbf{q}) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m} \langle \rho_{n} \rho_{n-m} \rangle$, where $\rho_{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{i}}$ is the Fourier transform of the guiding center density. As shown in Figs. 2(a)

FIG. 1. The phase diagram and the energy spectra. Depending on the mass anisotropy $m_x/m_y$, we identify the pairing instability and density wave instability of CFL when tuning the interaction via $\sin^2 \Theta$, and the corresponding phase diagram is shown in (a). For a fixed mass ratio, e.g., $m_x/m_y = 8$ in (b)–(d), the phase boundary is consistently identified from the evolution of energy spectra with $\sin^2 \Theta$ (b) and the derivatives of the ground-state energy (c). In the charge density wave phase, the energy spectra along momentum $K_x$ exhibit quasidegenerate states that differ by a momentum $\Delta q$ (d). Here, we consider a half-filled Landau level with $N_e = 16$ electrons.
and 2(b) for \( \sin^2 \Theta \lesssim 0.64 \), \( N(q) \) exhibits a strong \( 2k_F \) scattering feature induced by the scattering among CFs close to the Fermi surface. At \( \sin^2 \Theta > 0.64 \), there are two sharp peaks in \( N(q) \) in the same direction, which can be regarded as the hallmark of charge ordering with the wave vector determined by the position of the peaks. Here, \( N(q) \) displays a stripe feature.

Further increasing \( \sin^2 \Theta \gtrsim 0.88 \), the peaks rotate from \((q_x, q_y) = (0, \pm q^*) \) to \((q_x, q_y) = (\pm q^{**}, 0) \) as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). Here, the wave vector \( \pm q^{**} \) also can be identified from the low-energy spectra of such a resulting phase [see Fig. 1(d)], where there is no recognizable gap separating the ground-state manifold from the excited states, and instead, the energy spectra displays a conspicuous set of quasidegenerate states which differ by momentum \( \Delta q \) and satisfy \( \Delta q = \pm q^{**} \). The line connecting the lowest-energy states in each momentum sector has a zigzag structure as shown in Fig. 1(d), which only appears in the energy spectra in one momentum direction, implying a unidirectional charge density wave state.

III. RG ANALYSIS FROM CFL

As the Fermi surface and its instability are indicated in Fig. 2, it is natural to understand it within the context of the Halperin-Lee-Read (HLR) theory [8]. Because the instability is peaked at two antipodal Fermi points [see Fig. 2(c)], we use the patch theory (cf. Chap. 18 of Ref. [3] for a review) to analyze the competing fluctuations. The composite Fermi surface is approximated by two patches [60,61] near the antipodal Fermi points, \( \mathcal{H}_f = -i e \nu_F \partial \psi_{s} - \frac{1}{2 m_r} \partial^2 \psi_{s} \), where \( s = \pm \) denotes the two patches, \( \psi_{s} \) refers to CF, and \( x, y \) are the normal and tangent directions of the Fermi surface, respectively. \( \nu_F \) and \( K \) capture the CF Fermi velocity and the curvature of the patch. Including the gauge field fluctuation, the total effective action is given by \( S = S_f + S_a + S_{int} \),

\[
S_f = \sum_{s} \int d^3x \psi_s^{\dagger}(\partial_t + \mathcal{H}_f)\psi_s, \\
S_a = \int_{k} \left| k_s \right|^{1+\epsilon} |a(k)|^2, \\
S_{int} = \sum_{s} \int d^3x a(x)\psi_s^{\dagger}(x)\psi_s(x),
\]

where \( f_k \equiv \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \), \( a(x) \) is the emergent gauge field, and \( \epsilon \) is the Yukawa coupling between the fermion and gauge boson. \( \epsilon \) is the expansion parameter, and \( \epsilon = 0 \) corresponds to the long-range Coulomb interaction [60,61].

The patch theory is an effective description in the range \(|k_s|, k_s^2 < \Lambda \) (note that \( k_s \) and \( k_f \) scale differently). We address the IR properties of the theory by integrating out the high-energy mode \( \Lambda e^{-l} < k_s^2 < \Lambda \) to generate RG equations, where \( l > 0 \) is the running parameter. There is no renormalization to a boson propagator because it is nonlocal. The rationale for using a nonlocal bare kinetic term for the gauge boson lies in the fact that the boson kinetic potential does not receive corrections up to three-loop [62]. Taking into account the fermion self-energy \( \Sigma_s(p) = -e^2 \int_{k} D(k)G_s(k+p) \approx -\frac{e^2}{4\pi \nu_F} p_0 \), the RG equation reads.
where \( g \equiv \frac{\alpha}{\pi v_F} \) captures the effective Yukawa coupling. The presence of a nontrivial stable fixed point \( g^* \equiv 2 \epsilon \) corresponds to the NFL interacting strongly with the gauge field.

Next, we analyze the density wave instability in the CFL. Because we are interested in the 2\( k_F \) instability connecting antipodal Fermi points, we can consider the scattering processes within the patch theory, namely, \( S = S_f + S_\text{int} + S_4 \), where \( S_4 = U \int d^3 x \psi^\dagger \psi \psi^\dagger \psi \). In the path theory, the four-body interaction is irrelevant, which is consistent with the fact that the forward-scattering process does not affect the existence of the Fermi surface [63], and the perturbative calculation should be valid. As indicated in Fig. 3(a), the renormalization to the four-body interaction reads

\[
\Gamma_4^{(a)} = -2U^2 \int G_+(k)G_-(k) \approx \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{\Lambda K}} \frac{U^2}{v_F} l,
\]

where \( a_0 \equiv 0(1, 0) \approx 0.219 \), and \( \Gamma(u, x) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt u^{x-1} e^{-t} \) is the incomplete gamma function. Without gauge fluctuation, the RG equation of dimensionless coupling constant \( u \equiv \frac{\sqrt{K}}{\pi v_F} U \) is

\[
\frac{du}{dl} = -\frac{u}{2} + \sqrt{2} a_0 u^2,
\]

which shows that an instability only occurs at finite interaction strength. When \( u \) is large enough, i.e., \( u > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2} a_0} \), it develops a wave density instability with the 2\( k_F \) order parameter \( \phi = \psi^\dagger \psi \).

Now we consider the effect of gauge fluctuations. As shown in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c), the corrections from gauge fluctuation read

\[
\Gamma_4^{(b)} = -\frac{2e^2}{3} \int_k G_k(k)G_L(k)D(k) \approx \frac{a_0}{2\pi^2} \frac{e^2}{v_F} l,
\]

\[
\Gamma_4^{(c)} = -\frac{e^4}{N^2} \int_k G_k(k)G_L(k)D^2(k) \approx \frac{a_0}{2\pi^2} \frac{e^4}{\sqrt{\Lambda K}} \frac{1}{v_F} l.
\]

There is no backreaction from the short-ranged interaction to the gauge fluctuation at one-loop order. Thus, in the presence of fluctuating gauge bosons, the RG equation becomes

\[
\frac{du}{dl} = -\frac{u}{2} + \sqrt{2} a_0 u^2 + \left( \frac{\alpha}{3} + \frac{3}{8} \right) gu + \frac{a_0}{2\sqrt{2}} g^2.
\]

IV. DISCUSSIONS

The large portion of CFL in the phase diagram in Fig. 1 suggests \( \epsilon < \epsilon_c \). Although it is unclear how the bare interaction strengths, namely, the gauge coupling and the short-ranged interaction, change with the mixed form factors, the RG analysis is able to predict the wave vector of the density wave in the presence of mass anisotropy. This is because bare gauge coupling is enhanced by mass anisotropy through the Fermi velocity. Assuming \( (u, g) = (u_0, g_0) \) for the isotropic CFL, we have \( (u, g) \approx (u_0, \tilde{g} / 4 g_0) \) at the patches \( k = (\pm \sqrt{2m_\mu}, 0) \) of the anisotropic Fermi surface, where \( \tilde{g} \equiv \tilde{m}_u / \tilde{m}_\mu \) denotes the mass anisotropy of CFLs (we will consider \( \tilde{g} \gtrsim 1 \), since the opposite case is equivalent). \( \tilde{g} \) is related to the mass anisotropy of electrons \( \alpha \) through \( \tilde{g} = \sqrt{\alpha} \) [67]. It is easy to see that \( \tilde{g} / 4 g_0 \) is the largest bare value in the elliptic Fermi surface, therefore, the above RG analysis predicts that the 2\( k_F \) instability occurs at 2\( k_F = 2\sqrt{2m_\mu} \), which connects the Fermi points with the smallest Fermi velocity. This observation is consistent with \( \sqrt{N} \) in Fig. 2(c) near the transition point. Note that this is a gauge fluctuation induced stripe transition.

Deep inside the charge density wave phase, we also find the switch of stripe orientations, as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). This phenomenon might be beyond the CFL physics since it is further away from the critical point, however, it can be attributed to the reduction of Hartree energy cost when the stripe orientation coincides with the direction of the smaller mass [55]. Moreover, from our ED results, the energy level...
crossing [see Fig. 1(b)] and the sudden jump in the first-order derivatives [see Fig. 1(c)] suggest the transition from CFL to charge density wave might be first order. We should note that it is still under debate whether the $2k_F$ density wave transition is continuous. While Altshuler et al. [36] argues that a first-order transition occurs due to the strong $2k_F$ fluctuation at low energies, a more recent article by Sykora et al. [37] shows a second-order transition is also possible. It will also be an excellent task to investigate the critical phenomena in $2k_F$ transitions of NFL, which we leave for future work.

Here, the RG analysis does not rely on the particle-hole symmetry. Therefore, the density wave instability should be possible to extend to CFL at other filling factors, such as $\nu = 1/4$. A recent numerical study [68] suggests that, for $\nu = 1/4$ with mass anisotropy, the CFL state in $n = 0$LL is robust while a striplike phase emerges in $n = 1$LL, then one can expect that tuning the mixed form factor would trigger the density wave instability of CFL at $\nu = 1/4$ by the same mechanism proposed here.

The experimental probe of the various instabilities of CFL still presents many challenges and is under intensive investigations. Previous studies mainly focus on detecting the pairing instability of CFL, which has been proposed by tuning the subband level crossings [69,70] or applying hydrostatic pressure [71–73] in GaAs quantum wells, or by tuning either the perpendicular magnetic field or the interlayer electric bias in bilayer graphene [40–42]. In particular, the hydrostatic pressure experiments [71–73] have found that tuning the pressure through $P_{c1}$ would trigger the transition from MR to an anisotropic compressible phase, which is consistent with either a stripe phase [43,55,56] or nematic phase [28]. Interestingly, further increasing the pressure to $P_{c2}$ leads to a transition to an isotropic compressible phase, which might be relevant to the density wave instability, particularly considering that the pressure is believed to change the LL mixing parameters [73]. However, we should also note the pressure-driven platform is hard to be captured by an ideal Hamiltonian microscopically, which would be an interesting direction for a future study. Moreover, the mixed form factor could be realized and tunable in bilayer graphene by an interlayer electric bias and magnetic field [39–42], then breaking the rotational symmetry may potentially probe the density wave instability of CFL. The mass anisotropy exists in AlAs quantum wells [74,75] in nature or could be introduced by applying an in-plane field [76] or uniaxial strain [77,78], so then realizing a density wave instability on top of an anisotropic CFL is also a promising direction to pursue experimentally.
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APPENDIX A: COMPOSITE FERMI LIQUID

The action of two patches is given by

$$S = S_f + S_a + S_{int}.$$  \hfill (A1)

$$S_f = \sum_x \int d^3 x \psi_1^\dagger \left( \partial_\tau - i v_F \partial_x - \frac{1}{2K_y} \partial^2_y \right) \psi_x,$$  \hfill (A2)

$$S_a = \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^3} |k_x| |a| |a(k)|^2,$$  \hfill (A3)

$$S_{int} = \sum_x \int d^3 x \frac{se}{\sqrt{N}} a \psi_1^\dagger \psi_x,$$  \hfill (A4)

where $s = \pm$ denotes the two patches, and $\psi_1$ and $a$ refer to the composite fermion and emergent gauge field, respectively. $v_F$ and $K$ capture the Fermi velocity and curvature of the patch, and $e$ is the Yukawa coupling between the fermion and gauge boson. The above action is believed to describe various interesting systems, such as a $U(1)$ quantum spin liquid with a large spinor Fermi surface and a composite Fermi liquid.
in a half-filled Landau level. Here, we mainly focus on the latter case, and the above action is a patch description of the Halperin-Lee-Read (HLR) theory [8]. The summation over $N$ flavors of the patch fermion is implicit, and $\epsilon$ is the expansion parameter. $\epsilon = 0$ corresponds to the long-range Coulomb interaction [60,61]. In the noninteracting limit, the action is invariant under scaling transformation dictated by the scaling dimensions,

$$\begin{align*}
[k_1] &= 1, 
[k_2] &= \frac{1}{2}, 
[\omega] &= 1, 
[\psi] &= \frac{3}{4}, 
[a] &= 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}, 
[e] &= \frac{\epsilon}{4}.
\end{align*}$$

(A5)

The RG calculation is controllable in the large-$N$ and small $\epsilon \sim \frac{1}{N}$ expansion [60]. The path theory is an effective description in the range $|k_1|, k_2^2 < \Lambda$. In the following, we integrate out the high-energy mode $\sqrt{\Lambda}e^{-\epsilon} < |k_1| < \sqrt{\Lambda}$ to generate RG equations, where $l > 0$ is the running parameter. There is no renormalization to a boson propagator because it is nonlocal. The rationale for using a nonlocal bare kinetic term for a gauge boson lies in the fact that the boson kinetic potential does not receive corrections up to three-loop [62]. The fermion self-energy is [Fig. 5(a)]

$$\begin{align*}
\Sigma_s(p) &= -\frac{e^2}{N} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} D(k) G_s(k+p) = -\frac{e^2}{N} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{|k|^{1+\epsilon}} \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + p_0) + sv_F(k_x + p_x) + \frac{1}{2k}(k_y + p_y)^2} \\
&= -\frac{e^2}{N} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{i\epsilon(k_0 + p_0)\delta(\epsilon v_F(k_x + p_x) + \frac{1}{2k}(k_y + p_y)^2)}{|k|^{1+\epsilon}} \\
&= -\frac{ie^2}{2(2\pi)^2 N v_F} \int dk_0 d\epsilon \frac{\text{sgn}(k_0 + p_0)}{|k_1|^{1+\epsilon}} \\
&\approx -\frac{ie^2}{4\pi^2 N v_F} p_0 l.
\end{align*}$$

(A6)

The vertex correction is [Fig. 5(b)]

$$\begin{align*}
\Gamma_3 &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} G_s^j(k) D(k) = \sum_s \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{|k|^{1+\epsilon}} \left[-i(k_0 + p_0) + sv_F(k_x + p_x) + \frac{1}{2k}(k_y + p_y)^2\right] \\
&\quad \times \left[-i(k_0 + p_0) + sv_F(k_x + p_x) + \frac{1}{2k}(k_y + p_y)^2\right],
\end{align*}$$

(A7)

in the following and consider four-fermion interactions. We first consider the BCS Hamiltonian for the nondegenerate Fermi surface,

$$H_{\text{BCS}} = \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} V(k,k') \psi^\dagger(k) \psi^\dagger(-k) \psi(k') \psi(-k'),$$

(B1)

where $\psi$ denotes the Fermi surface, and $V$ is the strength of the BCS interaction. It is well known that the pairing instability is marginally relevant for a Fermi liquid [63]. The RG equation is given by [Fig. 6(a), and we consider spherical Fermi surface for simplicity]

$$\frac{d v_j}{dl} = -v_j^2,$$

(B2)

where $v_j = \frac{k_j^2}{2\pi^2} V_j$ and $V_j = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} V(\theta) e^{i\theta j}$. Different from a Fermi liquid, the presence of an emergent gauge boson in a composite Fermi liquid suppress the pairing instability. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 6(b), integrating out the high-energy mode of gauge fluctuation will generate an interpatch interaction [23]. Here, we review the calculations [23]. For a

FIG. 5. The Feynman diagrams: (a) Fermion self-energy, and (b) fermion-boson vertex.

FIG. 6. The Feynman diagrams in a particle-particle channel. (a) is the one-loop corrections of from the four-fermion BCS interaction. (b) is the interpatch interaction resulting from integrating out the high-energy gauge fluctuation.
small-angle BCS interaction, \( \theta \sim 0 \), we have the correction from the gauge fluctuation,

\[
\delta V(k_1, k_2) = \frac{e^2}{2N} D(k_1 - k_2),
\]

(B3)

while it also contributes to \( V(\theta \sim \pi) \). Taking both of these into consideration, the corrections to the BCS interaction are

\[
\delta v_j = \frac{k_F}{2\pi v_F} \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \delta V(\theta)e^{i\theta} \approx \frac{1}{\pi v_F N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{k_{1+v}} \frac{1}{v_F k_{1+v}}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2 v_FN}. \tag{B4}
\]

Therefore, including the gauge fluctuation, the RG equation reads

\[
\frac{dv}{dl} = v^2 + \frac{g}{4}. \tag{B5}
\]

Because of the suppression from gauge fluctuation, the BCS instability is no longer marginally relevant. Instead, it requires a finite bare BCS interaction to drive the composite Fermi liquid into the paired state. Note that in the context of a half-filled Landau level, for example, in a \( v = 5/2 \) filling fraction, the system favors \( p + ip \) pairing, which is the famous Moore-Read Pfaffian state [24,26].

On the other hand, we consider the four-fermion interaction within the patch theory in the following,

\[
S = S_f + S_a + S_{int} + S_4, \tag{B6}
\]

\[
S_4 = U \int d^3x \psi^\dagger(p) \psi(p) \psi(-p) \psi(-p). \tag{B7}
\]

In the patch theory, the four-body interaction is irrelevant, which is consistent with the fact that forward scattering does not affect the existence of a Fermi surface, and the perturbative RG equation may be valid. The correction reads

\[
\Gamma_4^{(a)} = -2U^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} G_r(k) G_v(k) G_-(-k)
\]

\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{2}KU^2}{v_F} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{q_0 + iq_x q_z} \frac{1}{q_0 - iq_x q_z}
\]

\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{2}KU^2}{v_F} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{q_0 + iq_x q_z} \frac{1}{q_0 - iq_x q_z}
\]

\[
= \frac{4\sqrt{2}KU^2}{v_F} \int \frac{dk}{\sqrt{k_F^2 - k^2}} \int q_0 \frac{1}{q_0 - iq_x q_z}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{\sqrt{2}K(0, 1)}{v_F l}, \tag{B9}
\]

where \( \Gamma(n, x) \equiv \int_0^\infty dt t^{n-1} e^{-t} \) is the incomplete gamma function, and \( \Gamma(0, 1) \approx 0.219 \). In the calculation, we have introduced a regularization function \( e^{-q_0^2/l^2} \) to regularize the UV divergence. Without gauge fluctuation, the RG equation of the dimensionless coupling constant \( u \equiv \frac{\sqrt{K}}{\pi v_F} U \) is

\[
\frac{du}{dl} = -\frac{1}{2} u + \sqrt{2} \Gamma(0, 1) u^2, \tag{B10}
\]

which shows that an instability only occurs at a finite interaction strength, and the Fermi liquid is perturbatively stable.

The corrections from gauge fluctuation read

\[
\Gamma_4^{(b)} = -\frac{2e^2}{3} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} G_r(k) G_v(k) D^2(k)
\]

\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{2}KU^2}{v_F} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{q_0 + iq_x q_z} \frac{1}{q_0 - iq_x q_z}
\]

\[
= \frac{4e^2}{3 \pi^2 v_F l} \int \frac{d^3q}{\sqrt{k_F^2 - k^2}} \int q_0 \frac{1}{q_0 - iq_x q_z}
\]

\[
\approx \frac{\Gamma(0, 1)}{v_F} \frac{e^2 u}{l}, \tag{B11}
\]

and

\[
\Gamma_4^{(c)} = -e^4 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} G_R(k) G_v(k) D^2(k)
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{2}KU^2}{v_F} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{q_0 + iq_x q_z} \frac{1}{q_0 - iq_x q_z}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}Kq_z^{2(1+\epsilon)}}. \tag{B12}
\]

\[
\frac{dv}{dl} = v^2 + \frac{g}{4}. \tag{B5}
\]

\[
\frac{dv}{dl} = v^2 + \frac{g}{4}. \tag{B5}
\]

\[
\frac{dv}{dl} = v^2 + \frac{g}{4}. \tag{B5}
\]
In the main text, we mainly show the results for \( N_e = 16\) systems, but we also have checked the other system and found similar results, as shown in Figs. 7 and 8 for \( N_e = 12\) and \( N_e = 14\) systems. The CFL to charge density wave (CDW) transition can be identified from the evolution of energy spectra as a function of \( \sin^2 \Theta \) [see Figs. 7(a) and 8(a) for mass ratio \( m_s/m_s = 8\). The nature of the CFL phase and CDW phase can be identified from the static structure factor \( N(q)\) of the density-density correlation. The CFL phase exhibits a strong \( 2k_F\) scattering feature induced by the scattering among CFs close to the Fermi surface, as shown in Figs. 7(b) and 8(b). For the CDW phase, as shown in Figs. 7(c) and 8(c), there are two sharp peaks in \( N(q)\) in the same direction, which can be regarded as the hallmark of charge ordering with the wave vector determined by the position of the peaks.
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FIG. 8. (a) The evolution of energy spectra as a function of \( \sin^2 \Theta \) for a half-filled Landau level with \( N_e = 14\) electrons and mass ratio \( m_s/m_s = 8\). The nature of the different phases can be identified from the static structure factor \( N(q)\) of the density-density correlation. (b) and (c) show \( N(q)\) in the CFL phase with \( \sin^2 \Theta = 0.2\) (a) and in the charge density wave phase with \( \sin^2 \Theta = 0.8\) (c).
