Yeast Lacking the PP2A Phosphatase Regulatory Subunit Rts1 Sensitizes rad51 Mutants to Specific DNA Damaging Agents
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Rts1 is a regulatory subunit of the trimeric protein phosphatase 2A phosphatase and it participates in many biological processes by modulating the phosphorylation status of proteins. Consistent with its role, mutants lacking Rts1 display multiple phenotypes. We have previously performed a high throughput screen to search for yeast haploid mutants with altered sensitivity to the anticancer drug bleomycin, which acts by damaging the DNA to produce single and double strand breaks. RTS1 was among the genes that when singly deleted cause sensitivity to bleomycin. We investigate whether Rts1 plays a role in the repair of bleomycin-induced DNA lesions. We show that deletion of the RTS1 gene in the rad51 null background, lacking Rad51 known to be involved in the repair of bleomycin-induced DNA lesions, resulted in double mutants that were sensitized to bleomycin and not to other DNA damaging agents that creates DNA adducts. We further show that Rts1 has the ability to bind to DNA and in its absence cells displayed an increase in the frequency of both spontaneous and bleomycin-induced mutations compared to the parent. This is the first report implicating Rts1 with a role in DNA damage and repair, perhaps regulating the phosphorylation status of one or more proteins involved in the repair of DNA strand breaks.
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INTRODUCTION

The protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) is a heterotrimeric complex consisting of a catalytic subunit either Pph21, Pph22, or Pph3, the scaffolding subunit Tpd3, and a regulatory subunit Cdc55 or Rts1. Thus, PP2A can exist in different trimeric forms bearing either the Rts1 (PP2A-Rts1) or the Cdc55 (PP2A-Cdc55) regulatory subunit (Zhao et al., 1997). Rts1 (Rox Three Suppressor) was identified as a suppressor of the temperature sensitive allele of the ROX3 gene, which encodes an essential protein that regulates global stress responses, raising the possibility that Rts1 may also perform a similar role (Evangelista et al., 1996). Several functions have been reported for Rts1 (Eshleman and Morgan, 2014; Petty et al., 2016; Yeasmin et al., 2016; Lucena et al., 2018; Wallis and Nieduszynski, 2018). For example, the overexpression of Rts1 can rescue some of the phenotypes such as genotoxic stress displayed by the absence of the histone acetyltransferase Gcn5. This rescue effect may be related to the coordinated regulation between phosphorylation and acetylation, whereby the phosphorylation of serine 10 promotes acetylation of lysine 14 on histone H3 (Lo et al., 2000). Rts1 has been shown to
also control the phosphorylation status of the cell cycle regulated transcription factors Ace2 and Swi5 leading to alteration in the expression of their target genes (Zapata et al., 2014). Cells lacking Rts1 lead to the accumulation of Ace2 in the nucleus of the mother cells, which then activates the expression of the repressor protein Ash1 that blocks for example expression of the HO endonuclease gene (Parnell et al., 2014). In addition, Rts1 is required to promote efficient transcription of the G1 cyclin Cln2, and in the absence of Rts1 cells are unable to modulate the cell size checkpoint, as well as controlling signals in the TORC2 network required for normal control of cell size (Shu et al., 1997; Artiles et al., 2009; Lucena et al., 2018). Moreover, Rts1 is also involved in preventing the activation of the amino acid sensing pathway SPS consisting of the Ssy1 transporter that senses amino acid. Upon stimulation of the SPS pathway, the endoprotease Ssy5 cleaves the transcription factors Stp1 and Stp2 needed to activate expression of the amino acid permeases such as Agp1 and Bap2. In the absence of Rts1, the SPS-pathway constitutively expressed Agp1 and Bap2, indicating that Rts1 can exert control on gene expression (Zhao et al., 1997; Janssens and Goris, 2001; Eckert-Boulet et al., 2006). Thus, Rts1 can influence the functioning of several physiological pathways.

One study examined the rts1 null mutants for changes in the phosphorylation status of proteins and found that 156 proteins were hyperphosphorylated at 241 sites and another 45 proteins showed decrease in phosphorylation at 59 sites (Zapata et al., 2014). These hyperphosphorylated and dephosphorylated proteins are involved in many biological functions. For example, the hyperphosphorylated proteins Pds1 and Ulp2 are involved in chromosome cohesion, and Swi4 is a transcriptional activator that activates the expression of the late G1 cyclins Cln1 and Cln2 (Dirick and Nasmith, 1991).

We have previously identified from a high throughput screen of the yeast haploid mutant collection the RTS1 gene that when deleted cause the resulting rts1 mutant to be sensitive to the anticancer drug bleomycin (Aouida et al., 2004). Bleomycin is used for treating a limited set of cancers including testicular and lymphomas (Wang and Ramotar, 2002). It acts by damaging the DNA to produce a narrow range of DNA lesions that include single- and double-stranded DNA breaks (Wang and Ramotar, 2002; Ramotar and Wang, 2003). These lesions can be repaired by the homologous recombination DNA repair pathway (Ramotar and Wang, 2003). Mutants lacking proteins in the recombination DNA repair pathway are defective in the repair of DNA strand breaks and are sensitive to bleomycin. In this study, we set out to investigate whether mutants that simultaneously lack Rts1 and one of the recombination DNA repair protein Rad51 would synergistically be more sensitive to bleomycin. We show that (i) deletion of the RTS1 gene in the rad51 null background sensitizes the resulting double mutant to bleomycin, but not to DNA damaging agents that creates DNA adducts, (ii) Rts1 is bound to the DNA, and (iii) in the absence of Rts1 the cells have an increase in spontaneous and bleomycin-induced mutations compared to the parent. We propose that Rts1 is required to regulate the phosphorylation status of one or more proteins involved in the repair of DNA strand breaks.

METHODS

Yeast Strains, Growth Media, and Transformation

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae parent and isogenic mutant strains used in this study were all derived from strain BY4741 (Mat a, his3-1, leu2-0, met15-0, ura3-0) stock of this laboratory. The single and double mutants were created by one-step gene replacement. Yeast cells were grown at 30°C in either yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose (YPD), or minimal synthetic media (SD). Nutritional supplements (20 µg/ml) were added as required (Sherman et al., 1983). The Escherichia coli strain DH5α was used for plasmid maintenance and grown in Luria broth. Yeast was transformed by the standard lithium acetate method (Gietz et al., 1995).

Drug Stocks

All the drugs were purchased from Sigma. Bleomycins, phleomycin, and zeocin were all prepared as stock concentration of 10 mg/ml in water and stored at −20°C. Methyl methanesulfonate and hydrogen peroxide were kept at 4 and −20°C, respectively, and used as the stock concentration. 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide was dissolved at 5 mg/ml in dimethyl sulfoxide and kept frozen in aliquots at −20°C.

Survival Assays and Growth Tests

Survival assays and standard spot tests were performed as previously described (Leduc et al., 2003). Briefly, exponentially growing cells were serially diluted and 5 µl spotted onto solid YPD plates containing the indicated drugs. The plates were photographed after 48 h. In the case of liquid treatment, cells were either treated with bleomycin or zeocin as indicated, serially diluted, plated on YPD solid media and scored for surviving fractions.

RNA Extraction and Reverse Transcription PCR Analysis

This analysis was performed as previously described (Aouida et al., 2013). The PCR primers used to amplify the RTS1 gene were RT-PCR-RTS1-F: ACATGAAAGAGCCCCGTTCGGCT AGTAGCT CTTC and RT-PCR-RTS1-R: GATCTCGGAATGTC GATACTTTTGTGAGGAGGCGT. The ACT1 gene was used as a control (Aouida et al., 2013).

Mutagenesis Assay

Spontaneous and drug-induced mutations were performed as previously described (Shatilla et al., 2005). Briefly, 15 to 20 single colonies were each grown in 1 ml of −Arg drop out liquid minimal media for 24 h. In the case of treatment, the cells were treated with the indicated drugs, washed, and resuspended in fresh media. The cultures 100 µl were each diluted and plated onto the same media with agar to calculate the number of viable cells. The remaining 900 µl were spun for 30 s at 10,000 rpm in an Eppendorf centrifuge and the pellets were resuspended and plated onto −Arg drop out solid media containing 25 µg/ml of L-canavanine. Individual colonies that appeared after 5 days of growth were considered to be canavanine resistant, CanR. The frequency of mutations were calculated using data from three to five independent experiments.
whereby the total number of Can<sup>A</sup> colonies were divided by the total number of colonies from the –Arg plates.

**RADAR Assay**

This assay was adapted for studies with yeast cells (Kiianitsa and Maizels, 2014). Cells were grown in 1 ml of YPD media and incubated at 30°C overnight. Next day, the cells were subcultured for 2 to 3 h. An aliquot of 100 µl of the subcultured cells was pelleted and resuspended in 150 µl of 100 mM PIPES/KOH pH 9.4 containing 10 mM of dithiothreitol. The cells were incubated 10 min at 30°C, pelleted and resuspended in 250 µl of YPD containing 0.6 M sorbitol, 25 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, and 50 µl of lytase (5 mg/ml). The cells were incubated for 30 min at 30°C, then washed twice with 150 µl of YPD containing 0.6 M sorbitol and 25 mM of Tris–HCl pH 7.5. To the cell pellets, 250 µl of MB (4 M guanine thiocyanate, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.5, 20 mM EDTA, 4% Triton X100, 1% Sarkosyl (sodium lauroyl sarcosinate), and 1% dithiothreitol) and 125 µl of ethanol (EtOH) 100% was added, then stored at −20°C for 5 min, centrifuged for 15 min at max speed and the recovered pellet containing the DNA and bound proteins was washed twice with 200 µl of EtOH 75%, each time with centrifugation at max speed for 10 min. The protein-bound DNA pellet was resuspended in 200 µl 8 mM of NaOH and an aliquot of 100 µl was diluted in 200 µl of TBS (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5 and 150 mM NaCl). A sample of 10 µl was used for DNA quantification using Syber Green I Dye and fixed amounts (or different amounts) of the DNA were loaded onto a slot blot equipped with nitrocellulose membrane. Empty wells were loaded with TBS containing bromophenol blue and a gentle vacuum was applied to the slot blot apparatus and once the wells were empty, each well was washed once with 200 µl of TBS and the recovered membrane was cut and each piece was processed by Western blot analysis with the appropriate antibodies (Kiianitsa and Maizels, 2014).

**Plasmid Construction**

The entire RTS1 gene was cloned into a centromeric plasmid YCplac-111 and a multicopy plasmid YEpplac-185 and introduced into the rts1Δ mutant. Both plasmids pYCP111-RTS1 and pYEpplac181-RTS1 were created by gap repair using the primers RTS1-GAP-F1: GAAACAGCTATGACCATGGATTACGCAAGCTTGCACTAGCCTG and RTS1-GAP-R1: ATTAAGTTGGGTAACGCCAG TTGGTCCAGTCAACCTGTT. The plasmid DNA carrying the RTS1 gene under the expression of its own promoter was recovered from the yeast cells by glass bead extraction, transformed into E. coli cells and verified by DNA sequence analysis using the primers RTS1-F and RTS1-R: CGGGGATCGCTTTGTTTTCCACTCTCAATTGGTTAGGCC and CGGGGATCGCTTTGTTTTCCACTCTCAATTGGTTAGGCC and CGGGGATCGCTTTGTTTTCCACTCTCAATTGGTTAGGCC, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Deficiency in Rts1 Sensitizes rad51Δ Mutant to the DNA Damaging Agent Bleomycin**

rts1Δ mutants have been reported to display several phenotypes which include slow growth phenotype and sensitivity towards the protein synthesis inhibitor hygromycin B (Shu et al., 1997; Barreto et al., 2011; Arino et al., 2019). Since we have previously identified from a large scale screen that the RTS1 gene when deleted caused sensitivity to the DNA strand-breaking agent bleomycin (Aouida et al., 2004), we decided to examine more closely whether rts1Δ mutant would have a defect in DNA repair. To initiate this work, we recreated isogenic strains from the parental BY4741 background, which was used to generate the haploid collection of single gene-deletion mutants. These isogenic strains included rts1Δ, rad51Δ deleted for RAD51 gene that encodes the Rad51 protein known to be involved in the repair of DNA double strand breaks, and the double mutant rts1Δ rad51Δ. Cultures of these strains were grown overnight, serially diluted, and then spotted onto solid rich media plates containing the indicated drugs (Figure 1A). The spot analysis revealed that the rts1Δ mutant was indeed sensitive to bleomycin (Figure 1A). Likewise, the rts1Δ mutant was sensitive to phleomycin often referred to as zeocin (Figure 1B), a DNA damaging agent that is also known to attack and destroy the DNA to create DNA strand breaks. We confirmed that the rts1Δ mutant was sensitive to hygromycin B, as previously reported by Barreto et al., 2011, but not the rad51Δ mutant that is known to be sensitive to agents that create DNA double strand breaks (Figure 1B) (Barreto et al., 2011). It would appear that Rts1 performs distinct functions in the detoxification of hygromycin B and combating the genotoxic effects of bleomycin.

Since bleomycin is known to create a variety of DNA lesions such as oxidized AP sites, DNA single strand breaks terminated with blocked 3´-ends, as well as DNA double strand breaks, we checked whether rts1Δ mutant would display the same phenotypes as a mutant rad51Δ that is defective in DNA double strand break repair. Both the single rts1Δ and rad51Δ mutants were sensitive to the various forms of bleomycins [bleomycin A5, bleomycin A2, and blenoxane (Ble)], however, the rad51Δ mutant was more sensitive to the rts1Δ mutant (Figure 1A). In contrast, the double rts1Δ rad51Δ mutant displayed an increased sensitivity to bleomycin, which was more conspicuous at lower concentration of the drug (Figure 1A). The experiment was performed in a different manner by treating cells in liquid cultures either with increasing concentrations of bleomycin or a fixed concentration over time and then scored for the surviving fractions (Leduc et al., 2003; Aouida et al., 2004). The result of this analysis revealed that the rad51Δ mutant was significantly more sensitive to bleomycin than the rts1Δ mutant, and that the double mutant was extremely sensitive consistent with the data from the spot test assay (Figures S1A, B). We interpret these findings to suggest that Rts1 may perform an independent role from Rad51 in processing bleomycin-induced DNA lesion.

**Rts1 Deletion Sensitizes rad51Δ Mutant to Hydrogen Peroxide**

We examined whether the rts1Δ mutant would be sensitive to other types of DNA damaging agents. The rts1Δ mutant alone did not show any striking sensitivity to other agents that can produce DNA strand breaks such as hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (Figure 2A). However, when RTS1 was deleted in combination with the RAD51
gene, the resulting double \( \text{rts1}\Delta \text{rad51}\Delta \) mutant was sensitive to H\(_2\)O\(_2\), as compared to the single \( \text{rad51}\Delta \) mutant. Deletion of the \( \text{RTS1} \) gene did not appear to sensitize the \( \text{rad51}\Delta \) mutant to 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide, an agent that creates bulky DNA adducts or to the alkylation agent methyl methane sulfonate that indirectly creates apurinic/apyrimidinic (AP) sites in the genome (Figure 2B). However, the double \( \text{rts1}\Delta \text{rad51}\Delta \) mutant seemed to grow slightly slower on the 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide plates (Figure 2B). Collectively, the data suggest that Rts1 may play a role in processing specific types of DNA lesions such as DNA strand

\[ \text{Figures 1A-B} \] Deletion of the \( \text{RTS1} \) gene sensitizes the \( \text{rad51}\Delta \) mutant to bleomycin and phleomycin. (A) Spot test analysis showing the sensitivity of the single and double mutants towards bleomycin. Overnight cultures of the indicated strains grown in yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose (YPD) media were adjusted to OD 600 nm of 0.6, serially diluted and spotted onto plates without and with the indicated concentration of the drugs. (B) Spot test analysis showing the sensitivity of the single and double mutants towards phleomycin and hygromycin. The experiment was performed as Figure 1A. The plates were incubated for 48 h at 30°C before photographed. The results are represented of three independent experiments.
breaks that are induced by bleomycin and hydrogen peroxide. Neither 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide or methyl methane sulfonate directly creates DNA strand breaks, but can block DNA replication leading to DNA strand breaks that trigger recombination.

**Expression of the RTS1 Gene Rescues the Rts1Δ Phenotypes.**

To ensure that the phenotypes observed were due to the RTS1 gene deletion, we reintroduced the RTS1 gene into the rts1Δ
mutant and scored for sensitivity to both hygromycin and bleomycin using spot test analysis. The entire RTS1 gene was cloned into a centromeric vector YCplac-111 and a multicopy vector YEplac-185 and introduced into the rts1Δ mutant. Both plasmids p111-RTS1 and p181-RTS1 expressed the RTS1 gene as determined by reverse transcription PCR using gene specific primers (Figure 3A). RTS1 expression was significantly higher from the plasmids as opposed to the endogenous level from the parent strain (Figure 3A, lanes 2 and 3 vs. lane 1). The expressed RTS1 restored parental level of hygromycin and bleomycin resistance to the rts1Δ mutant, but not when the mutant carried the empty vectors, YCplac-111 or YEplac-185 (Figure 3B). We observed that the overexpression of RTS1 did not provide additional resistance to these and other drugs (Figure 3B), suggesting that Rts1 is not a limiting factor in the cells. Altogether, the phenotypes we observed are the result of the deleted RTS1 gene.

**Rts1 Binds to the Chromatin, but Its Level Is Unaffected by Drug Treatment**

Rts1 has been previously shown to localize to the nucleus during the cell cycle and bound to the centromere (Eshleman and Morgan, 2014). We used a different approach to test if Rts1 is bound to the chromatin and more importantly to determine if it is affected by drug treatment using the rapid approach to DNA adduct recovery (RADAR) assay (Kiianitsa and Maizels, 2014). In this assay, guanine thiocyanate is used to trap chromatin-bound proteins, which are then processed by a slot blot for detection by immunoblot analysis using specific antibodies.

For this experiment, we prepared chromatin-bound proteins from the parent and the indicated strains that were tagged with the Tandem Affinity Purification (TAP) tag at the endogenous locus of known genes encoding DNA binding proteins. This was necessary as antibodies against the proteins tested were not available from commercial sources. In the control experiment, all the strains showed the presence of the DNA repair protein Apn1 on the chromatin, but not in the strain deleted for the APNI gene, i.e., BY4741 (apn1Δ) (Figure 4A) (Ramotar et al., 1993). Treatment with H2O2 (1 mM for 30 min) did not affect the level of Apn1 detected in each of the strains (Figure 4A). When these same samples were probed with anti-PAP, which can detect the protein A portion of the TAP tag, all the strains showed the respective proteins (Rts1-, Rrd1-, Srs2-, Rad27-, and Apn1-TAP) bound to the chromatin (Figure 4B). No protein band was detected in the parent strain lacking the TAP tag (Figure 4B). Since Rts1 is detected on the chromatin bound protein in a manner similar to the known DNA binding proteins (Srs2, Rad27, and Apn1), we suggest that Rts1 is a DNA binding protein. Neither Rts1-, Rrd1-, Srs2- or Apn1-TAP showed any significant loss of binding to the chromatin upon treatment with H2O2. However, Rad27-TAP was the only protein that displayed significant loss from the chromatin when challenged with H2O2 (Figure 4B).

Besides H2O2, we also treated the strains with zeocin, an analogue of one of the forms of bleomycins, namely bleomycin D1, which creates DNA double strand breaks. While all the strains were sensitive to the increasing dose of zeocin, the parent was the least sensitive followed by rts1Δ, rad51Δ, and the rts1Δ rad51Δ double mutant (Figure S2). Upon treatment with zeocin, we observed that only the transcription elongation factor Rrd1-TAP showed significant loss from the chromatin, and not Rts1 or the other proteins (Figure 4C). It is noteworthy that unlike the effect of H2O2 on the loss of chromatin-bound Rad27-TAP, zeocin had no such effect on this protein (Figures 4B vs. C). For the zeocin treatment, BY4741 (no tag) was used as a control, but probed for anti-RNA polymerase II (Figure 4D). As in the case of all immunoglobulin G derived antibodies such as anti-RNA pol II, they have the ability to recognize the protein A portion of the TAP tag (Figure 4D). These data suggest that (i) Rts1 is capable of binding to DNA and (ii) its level is not influenced by agents that can create single or double strand breaks.

**Rts1 Deficiency Elevates Both the Spontaneous and Bleomycin-Induced Mutations**

From the above findings, we postulate that the sensitivity of the rts1Δ mutant to bleomycin might be related to a defect in DNA repair. In DNA repair, DNA repair proteins are known to be associated with elevated mutations (Masson and Ramotar, 1996; Shatilla and Ramotar, 2002). As such, we tested whether rts1Δ mutant would exhibit an increased frequency of mutations. To do this experiment, we cultured cells and scored for resistance to the arginine analog canavanine. Canavanine resistance can occur as a result of mutation in the CAN1 gene, encoding the arginine transporter, which prevents the uptake of canavanine (Shatilla et al., 2005). Using this analysis, we observed that the rts1Δ mutant displayed a 3-fold increase in the frequency of spontaneous CanR colonies as compared to the parent, while the DNA repair defective rad51Δ mutant showed 30-fold increase (Table 1). The double mutant deleted for both the RTS1 and RAD51 genes accumulated substantially higher levels of CanR mutations, > 60-fold (Table 1), strongly suggesting that Rts1 plays a role in suppressing spontaneous mutations.

We next examined the effect of bleomycin treatment on the frequency of CanR mutations. Unlike methyl methane sulfonate, bleomycin treatment induced a higher level of mutations, 11-fold in the rts1Δ mutant as compared to the 3-fold observed for the parent (Table 1). This higher level of bleomycin-induced mutations in the rts1Δ mutant was suppressed upon introduction of the plasmid pYCPlac111-RTS1, but not by the vector pYCPlac111 (Table 1). More importantly, substantially higher levels of bleomycin-induced mutations (over 50-fold) were found in the rts1Δ rad51Δ double mutant, as compared to the single rts1Δ (11-fold) and rad51Δ (15-fold) mutants relative to the levels observed with the bleomycin treated parent (Table 1). Thus, it would appear that Rts1 is required to repair specific spontaneous DNA lesions, which are likely to be the same ones induced by bleomycin.

**DISCUSSION**

We previously identified the RTS1 gene when deleted display sensitivity to the anticancer drug bleomycin, which acts by
Expression of the RTS1 gene rescues the phenotypes of the rts1Δ mutant. (A) Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) showing expression of the RTS1 gene. The entire RTS1 gene including its promoter was subcloned into the single copy and multicopy vectors YCplac-111 and YEplac-185, respectively and introduced into the rts1Δ mutant. (B) Spot test analysis. The cells were spotted onto the indicated drug plates as in Figure 1. The results are representative of three independent experiments.

**Figure 3**
**FIGURE 4** | Rts1-TAP is bound to the chromatin similar to the Tandem Affinity Purification (TAP) tagged DNA binding proteins Srs2, Rad27, and Apn1. (A, B) The indicated strains were untreated and treated with H2O2 (1 mM for 30 min) and 700 ng of the protein bound DNA from each sample (see RADAR Assay) was loaded onto a slot blot and assess for the binding of the indicated nuclear proteins using antibodies against Apn1 and the TAP tag (anti-PAP), respectively. For the anti-Apn1 control, the apn1Δ mutant was used and for the anti-PAP control the parent strain BY4741 was used that lacks an endogenous TAP tag. (C, D) Cells were treated with the phleomycin analogue zeocin and processed by the RADAR assay. The blots were probed with anti-PAP (C) and anti-RNA polymerase II (D). The data are representative of three independent experiments.
attacking the DNA to generate both single and double strand breaks (Ramotar and Wang, 2003). We set out to ask whether Rts1 has a role in DNA repair. Our approach involved deleting the RTS1 gene in combination with a defect in recombinational DNA repair, which is the major DNA repair pathway that can process bleomycin-induced DNA strand breaks (Rulten and Grundy, 2017; Kim and Mirkin, 2018). We showed that deleting the RTS1 gene in the rad51Δ recombinational defective mutant sensitized the rts1Δ rad51Δ double mutant to bleomycin, raising the possibility that Rts1 may perform a distinct function to prevent the genotoxic effects of the drug. The observation that these rts1Δ rad51Δ double mutants were hypersensitive to the single strand break-generating agent H2O2, as compared to the rad51Δ single mutant further supports the notion that Rts1 could be involved in the repair of DNA strand breaks. Rts1 did not sensitize the rad51Δ mutants to 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide, which creates bulky DNA lesions, suggesting that if Rts1 is involved in DNA repair its role might be restricted to specific types of DNA lesions such as DNA strand breaks.

Many proteins that are involved in DNA repair have been shown to bind to DNA and in the absence of these proteins, the cells display elevated levels of mutations (Shatilla and Ramotar, 2002; Tounekti et al., 2006). We used the RADAR assay, which has been established to detect proteins bound to DNA (Kilianitsa and Maizels, 2004). Indeed, the assay revealed that Rts1 was bound to the DNA, as seen for known DNA repair proteins such as the helicase Srs2 and the AP endonuclease Apn1 that bind to DNA. While some DNA repair proteins can disassociate from the DNA in response to DNA damage such as Rad27 in response to H2O2, Rts1 was not affected by treatment with either H2O2 or zeocin in a manner similar to Srs2 or Apn1. Thus, it would appear that the function of Rts1 is constantly needed on the chromatin.

A compelling evidence for Rts1 involvement in DNA repair to maintain genomic stability comes from the observation that the null mutant displayed 3.8-fold increase in the frequency of spontaneous mutation, as compared to the parent. Importantly, the observation that the mutation frequency was stimulated nearly 11-fold in the rts1Δ mutant upon treatment with bleomycin, as compared to 3.6 fold in the parent, strongly suggests that Rts1 is involved in some aspect in the processing of bleomycin-induced DNA lesions (Table 1). Of note, MMS treatment did not enhance the frequency of mutations in the rts1Δ mutant as compared to the parent, consistent with Rts1 performing a role in processing specific types of DNA lesions. While MMS creates alkylated bases that are repaired by the base excision repair pathway, bleomycin generates primarily single- and double-strand breaks that require processing by the homologous and nonhomologous DNA repair pathways.

What could be the function of Rts1 in processing bleomycin-induced DNA lesions? Processing of bleomycin-induced double strand breaks by the homologous recombination pathway requires multiple steps. The double strand breaks must be first resected in the 5′ to 3′- direction by a complex of proteins that include Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 to create a long ssDNA tail, and this is aided by the actions of the DNA helicase Sgs1, the 5′ to 3′ exonuclease Exo1 and an enzyme DNA2 with 5′-5′ endonuclease and ATP-dependent helicase activities. As the resection occurs, the 3′ single stranded DNA tail is coated by the single strand DNA binding protein replication protein A (RPA), which is phosphorylated. The single stranded DNA-bound with RPA is replaced by the recombinase Rad51 that is assisted by mediator proteins Rad54, Rad55, and Rad57. Once Rad51 is loaded it facilitates the loading of more Rad51 and this displaces the RPA that is on the single stranded DNA tail. The Rad51 coated single stranded DNA invades a sister chromatid or homologous duplex DNA molecule and performs a search for homology. Invasion and DNA strand exchange occurs with the duplex DNA. DNA synthesis takes place, followed by resolution and finally ligation. During these multiple steps many proteins are phosphorylated and these must be dephosphorylated to allow the subsequent reactions. For example, phosphorylation of RPA has recently been shown to suppress the resection step and serves as a feedback loop to promote the strand invasion activity (Soniat et al., 2019). Besides RPA, there are many other proteins in the homologous recombination pathway that are phosphorylated such as Rad54, Rad55, and Rad57. In fact, a mass spectrometry study by Zapata et al., 2014 identified many proteins including the DNA resection proteins Xrs2 and DNA2, as well as Srs2 which stimulates Rad51, with upregulated phosphorylation sites in the rts1Δ mutant (Dupaigne et al., 2008; Le Breton et al., 2008; Zapata et al., 2014; Soniat et al., 2019).

In addition to the components that are regulated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation in the homologous recombination pathways there are factors that are also phosphorylated in the nonhomologous end joining pathway that also functions to repair DNA double strand breaks. Some of these factors include Ku70 and Ku80 that bind to the ends of DNA double strand breaks to recruit a kinase that phosphorylates Ku70/80. Both Ku70/80 proteins are removed from the ends by the base excision repair pathway, bleomycin generates primarily single- and double-strand breaks that require processing by the homologous and nonhomologous DNA repair pathways.

### Table 1: Frequency of spontaneous and induced CanR mutations (×10−7)

| Strains | Untreated | BLM-treated (1 µg/ml/1 h) | MMS-treated (0.1%/1 h) | Fold increased with BLM |
|---------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Parent  | 2.31 ± 0.6 | 8.4 ± 2.5                 | 11.32 ± 0.7            | 3.6                    |
| rts1Δ   | 8.79 ± 0.4 | 98.1 ± 4.7                | 12.76 ± 1.1            | 11.2                   |
| rad51Δ  | 69.33 ± 4.5| 123.9 ± 9.5               | ND                     |                        |
| rts1Δ rad51Δ | 149.43 ± 13.2 | 425.0 ± 22.2 | ND | 2.9 |
| Parent/pYCplac111 | 2.63 ± 0.2 | 9.1 ± 1.3 | 3.5 |
| Parent/pYCplac111-RTS1 | 2.70 ± 0.3 | 8.1 ± 0.9 | 3.0 |
| rts1Δ/pYCplac111 | 9.4 ± 0.6 | 101.2 ± 5.7 | 10.8 |
| rts1Δ/pYCplac111-RTS1 | 2.6 ± 0.2 | 8.8 ± 1.8 | 3.4 |

*The mutation frequency was calculated using 15 to 20 independent colonies from untreated and drug treatment from three to five separate experiments. The fold increased in the bleomycin-induced mutation frequency was relative to the untreated strain.
of the DNA via dephosphorylation. The previously reported observation that cells devoid of the Ku proteins are sensitive to bleomycin and that these proteins require dephosphorylation for their turnover also suggest a possible function for Rts1.

Could Rts1 act as a DNA 3′-phosphodiesterase? Several proteins are known to have phosphodiesterase activity such as Tpp1 that can remove phosphate groups left at the 3′-ends of DNA caused by bleomycin and H$_2$O$_2$. We note that Rts1 does not share significant identity with Tpp1 and thus it is unlikely to also possess a phosphodiesterase activity that would be involved in the direct removal of phosphate at the 3′-ends of damaged DNA. We further note that the mammalian counterpart B56 of the yeast Rts1 is believed to play a role in tumor suppression (Yang and Phiel, 2010). It is involved in both anti- and proapoptotic processes such as p53-dependent apoptosis (Jin et al., 2010).

In short, we provide the first evidence that Rts1 might play a role in mediating the repair of DNA strand breaks, particularly those created by bleomycin. This role would require that Rts1 removes phosphate groups to either activate or inactive proteins that are responsible for repairing DNA strand breaks. Further experiments will be needed to test which of the proteins in these steps must be dephosphorylated to promote efficient repair of the DNA strand breaks.
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