Time-Dependent Model for Evaluating Corrosion Potential and Electrical Resistivity of Reinforced Concrete

Dong Viet Phuong Tran

Department of Civil Engineering, Industrial University of Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam
E-mail: tranvietphuongdong@iuh.edu.vn (Corresponding author)

Abstract. The main work presented in this paper is to propose prediction models for estimating electrical resistivity of concrete and corrosion potential of steel in reinforced concrete. The prediction models for electrical resistivity of concrete ($r$) and corrosion potential of steel ($E_{corr}$) incorporated hydration degree ($\alpha_{Hyd}(t)$), pozzolanic reaction degree ($\alpha_{Poz}(t)$), porosity ($P_{Cap}(t)$) and chloride content ([CL-]). Predicted results were verified with results of experimental measurement. An experimental program was run for 1 year with various concrete mix proportions. According to the results, corrosion resistance of concrete increased with time as the degree of hydration increased. Further, the introduction of fly ash offered a good corrosion resistance, which also increased with time. Lastly, the existence of chloride ions in the concrete weakened passive film on steel surface, by the fact that its presence eased the movement of ions in the concrete and increased the risk of corrosion.
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1. Introduction

Reinforcement corrosion is a major durability problem of reinforced concrete (RC) structures. This phenomenon is caused by either carbonation or chloride attack with the latter being more serious for reinforcement corrosion [1-3]. For the structures located in marine environment, their corrosion resistance needs to be considered. Generally, there are several factors that can impact the severity of reinforcement corrosion, such as cement type, type and dosage of supplementary cementitious materials, chloride content, exposure period and so on. The corrosion resistance is usually estimated based on electrical resistivity or corrosion potential. By the fact that those mentioned parameters can represent for either restrain movement of electrons in concrete or chance of corrosion on steel surface.

Recently, prediction models, such as corrosion potential and corrosion rate, have been applied to estimate corrosion severity of reinforcement. Previous studies have shown some prediction models as well as their effectiveness on predicting the corrosion severity [4-12]. However, the effects of exposure period have yet been considered in the prediction models. Additionally, other influencing factors, such as ratio of water to binder, supplementary cementitious materials, environmental condition, etc., could be varied, especially some with the time. As a result, those aspects lead to a high variation of the predicted results.

As a cementitious material, microstructures of concrete change during the hydration process, which is time-dependent. During the process, solid phases of hydrated cement are formed as well as pore structure. Similar to other porous materials, the pore structure is a major parameter for the durability of concrete, besides other properties such as strength, shrinkage, etc. More importantly, porosity of concrete controls the movement of ions in the cementitious system [13-15]. Therefore, it affects the movement of electrons in corrosion process. Since electrical resistivity of concrete and corrosion potential of reinforcing steel are usually used to evaluate the severity of corrosion. Because of that the hydration process could impact the measured results of these two measurements.

This paper proposes two prediction models for the electrical resistivity and corrosion potential based on mix proportion of concrete and properties of cementitious materials. The time-dependent aspect was taken into account in the proposed models. Eventually, the verification of the proposed models was made by comparing the predicted results with the experimental results obtained from this study and other researchers [5, 6, 16-23].

2. Significance of Research

It is necessary to have prediction models for estimating severity of corrosion. Especially the model that can consider the effect of time-dependence along with other aspects, such as concrete mix proportion, chloride concentration, etc. Although some previous models have shown their efficiencies, none has considered the effect of hydration process on the corrosion rate of reinforcement. The proposed models presented in this paper incorporated the time-dependent effects of hydration of cementitious material and chloride attack on the evaluation of reinforcement corrosion.

3. Experimental Program

3.1. Materials and Specimen Preparation

There were two types of binder system used in this study. The first system was pure OPC, where only ordinary Portland cement type I [24] was used as the binder. Meanwhile, the second was cement-fly ash cementitious system, where the OPC was mixed with fly ash type 2b [25]. The chemical compositions and physical properties of the cement and fly ash are shown in Table 1. Totally 12 different concrete mix proportions were prepared for the tests in this study, as shown in Table 2. The varied parameters included water to binder ratio (W/B), replacement percentage of fly ash (FA) and chloride content (w/CL]).

The test specimens were separated into two groups. Reinforced concrete specimens (10x10x10 cm) were measured for half-cell potential, while plain concrete specimens (15x15x15 cm) were measured for electrical resistivity. After 28 days of curing, the specimens were placed in a controlled condition throughout the test periods. Inside the controlled condition, high relative humidity, RH ≥ 90%, was ensured and the temperature was approximately 30°C. More details of the experimental program can be found elsewhere [23, 26].

Table 1. Chemical composition and physical properties of cement and fly ash.

| Chemical composition (%) | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | CaO | MgO | SO₃ | Na₂O | K₂O | Free lime | LOI |
|--------------------------|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----------|-----|
| Cement                   | 19.7 | 5.19  | 3.34  | 64.8| 1.2 | 2.54| 0.16 | 0.44| 0.87      | 2.1 |
| Fly ash                  | 25.22| 13.88 | 17.39 | 26.25| 2.38| 9.44| 1.4  | 1.92| 3.06      | 0.56|
| Physical properties      |      |       |       |     |     |     |      |     |           |     |
| Blaine fineness (cm²/g)  | 3350 | 5800  | 2722  |     |     |     |      |     |           |     |
| Specific gravity         |      |       |       |     |     |     |      |     |           |     |
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3.2. Nondestructive Measurements

There were two nondestructive tests conducted in the study with their setup being illustrated in Fig. 1. A four-probe method was applied to the plain concrete specimens (15x15x15 cm) for determining electrical resistivity of the concrete specimens. As shown in Fig. 1, Wenner four-probe 38 mm type was used in this measurement with the distance between the probes being 38 mm. Meanwhile, for the corrosion potential of reinforcing steel, a half-cell potential test was applied on the reinforced concrete specimens (10x10x10 cm) with Cu/CuSO4 electrode as a reference electrode. The model of voltmeter, used in this measurement, being digital multimeter PC510. The setup of the tests as well as the evaluation of measured data were carried out based on previous suggestions [1-3, 27-29]. More details can be found elsewhere [23, 26].

4. Developed Time-Dependent Models

In this study, there are two proposed models, i.e. electrical resistivity of concrete and corrosion potential of reinforcing steel, as expressed in Eq. (1). The data of electrical resistivity and corrosion potential are obtained in this study by directly measuring on the prepared specimen. These data were then considered their correlation with the effect of time-dependent developments of concrete as well as the effect of chloride ions in concrete. The time-dependent developments of concrete are represented by the degree of hydration, pozzolanic reaction and capillary porosity of fly ash-cement cementitious system, which can be determined based on the adopted models, as summarized in Table 2. More details of the adopted models are shown in section 4.1 and 4.2. The models, presented in the paper, also take the effects of chloride ions on the corrosion resistance of concrete into account. These effects are represented by the parameter $\beta_{CL}$, as expressed in Eq. (1). The regression analyses were then proceeded to establish the prediction models of electrical resistivity of concrete and corrosion potential of reinforcing steel. Lastly, the model verification was conducted by calculating the determination coefficient (R-squared) between the analysed results and the measured data.

\[
\left\{ \frac{r}{E_{corr}} \right\} = f \left[ \alpha_{ip} (t), \alpha_{pct} (t), P_{c,cr} (t), \beta_{CL} \right]
\]

(1)

Table 2. Summary of concrete mix proportions varied in this study.

| No. | Designation | Materials       | Cement | Fly ash | W/B | FA% | [CL] kg/m³ |
|-----|-------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-----|-----|------------|
| 1   | 4OPCCL0     | Type I          | -      | -       | 0.4 | 0   | 0          |
| 2   | 4OPCCL2     | Type I          | -      | -       | 0.4 | 0   | 2          |
| 3   | 4OPCCL6     | Type I          | -      | -       | 0.4 | 0   | 6          |
| 4   | 4FA30CL0    | Type I          | Type 2b| -       | 0.4 | 30  | 0          |
| 5   | 4FA30CL2    | Type I          | Type 2b| -       | 0.4 | 30  | 2          |
| 6   | 4FA30CL6    | Type I          | Type 2b| -       | 0.4 | 30  | 6          |
| 7   | 6OPCCL0     | Type I          | -      | -       | 0.6 | 0   | 0          |
| 8   | 6OPCCL2     | Type I          | -      | -       | 0.6 | 0   | 2          |
| 9   | 6OPCCL6     | Type I          | -      | -       | 0.6 | 0   | 6          |
| 10  | 6FA30CL0    | Type I          | Type 2b| -       | 0.6 | 30  | 0          |
| 11  | 6FA30CL2    | Type I          | Type 2b| -       | 0.6 | 30  | 2          |
| 12  | 6FA30CL6    | Type I          | Type 2b| -       | 0.6 | 30  | 6          |
where \( r \) is the electrical resistivity of concrete (kΩ·cm), \( E_{corr} \) is the corrosion potential of reinforcing steel (V vs. CSE), \( \alpha_{Hyd}(t) \) is hydration degree of cement (%), \( \alpha_{Poz}(t) \) is pozzolanic reaction degree of fly ash (%), \( P_{Cap}(t) \) is capillary porosity (%), \( \beta_{CL} \) is existing chloride ions in concrete (kg/m³).

### 4.1. Degree of Reaction of Cement and Fly Ash

#### 4.1.1. Degree of hydration of cement

Degree of hydration is a ratio of hydrated cement to total cement in a mixture, as expressed in Eq. (2). This is average degree of hydration of four major oxide compounds in cement, i.e. C₃S, S₂S, C₃A, C₄AF. The mass of these component can be computed based on the Bogue’s equation, which is based on chemical properties of the cement.

\[
\alpha_{Hyd}(t) = \frac{1}{\sum m_i} \sum m_i \alpha_i(t)
\]

where \( i \) is the oxide compound \( i^{th} \) of the cement; \( m_i \) is weight of the oxide compound \( i^{th} \) (kg/m³), \( \alpha_i(t) \) is hydration degree of the oxide compound \( i^{th} \) at the age \( t \), \( t \) is the age of concrete (days).

According to some researchers, the hydration degree of each oxide compound depends on temperature, concrete mix proportion, and time. Details of the model of hydration degree can be found elsewhere [30, 31]. The derived results of degree of hydration of cement in the tested concrete mixtures in this study are shown in Fig. 2a.

#### 4.1.2. Degree of pozzolanic reaction of fly ash

While the degree of hydration can indicate the hydration process of OPC concrete, degree of pozzolanic reaction can represent the role of fly ash in cement-fly ash cementitous system. The degree of pozzolanic reaction is defined as the ratio of reacted fly ash to total fly ash in a mixture. The degree of pozzolanic reaction can be obtained by using Eq. (3).

\[
\alpha_{Poz}(t) = k_{Poz}(t) \times \alpha_{Poz}(365)
\]

where \( k_{Poz}(t) \) is a ratio of fly ash reaction at the age \( t \), \( \alpha_{Poz}(365) \) is the degree of pozzolanic reaction at 1 year.

Similarly, the degree of pozzolanic reaction is a function of concrete mix proportion, temperature, time, and more importantly properties of the fly ash, such as replacement percentage, chemical compositions and fineness of fly ash. Details of the model can be found elsewhere [30, 31]. The results of degree of pozzolanic reaction of fly ash in the tested concrete mixtures in this study, are illustrated in Fig. 2b.

Fig. 2. Degree of hydration of cement and degree of pozzolanic reaction of fly ash in the author’s tested concrete mixtures.

### 4.2. Capillary Porosity

Capillary porosity is a fraction of capillary pore volume per total volume of paste. The equation for estimating capillary porosity was adopted from Mindess et al. [13], as shown in Eq. (4). As can be seen, the capillary porosity is a function of water to binder ratio as well as hydration degree of cement. The capillary porosity of prepared specimens is shown in Fig. 3. The plot was made with the W/B ratio 0.4 and 0.6 and the degree of hydration, computed by Eq. (2).

\[
P_{Cap}(t) = \frac{W_t / B - 0.36 \times \alpha_{Hyd}(t)}{W_t / B + 0.32}
\]

Fig. 3. Capillary porosity of concrete of author’s prepared concrete specimens.
4.3. Electrical Resistivity of Concrete

Generally, the process of hydration starts when unhydrated cement reacted with water to generate a structure of hydrated cement paste which include hydration products (such as calcium silicate hydrate – C-S-H, calcium hydroxide – CH, etc.) and pores structure (including gel pores and capillary pores). Hence, the porosity of concrete will be varied significantly during the hydration process, especially at the early age when the degree of hydration \( (a_{hyd}(t)) \) shows a very high rate (Fig. 2). It is worth mentioning that gel porosity was found relatively constant, approximately one-fourth of the total volume of the hydration product. The variation of the ratio of W/B mainly affects capillary porosity \( (P_{cap}(t)) \), as shown in Fig. 3. On the other hand, only the degree of hydration may not be able to represent the cementitious system containing cement and fly ash, because of the pozzolanic reaction at longer term. This is the reason that the model also took into account the degree of pozzolanic reaction \( (a_{poz}(t)) \) for the case of fly ash concrete.

Lastly, the existence of chloride ions \( (\beta_{CL}) \) in concrete was considered in the model of electrical resistivity. The presence of chloride in pore solution significantly increases the movement of charge ions in concrete. In other words, electrical resistivity decreases. The proposed equations for electrical resistivity are expressed from Eq. (5) to (8) for OPC and fly ash concrete.

For chloride-free OPC concrete:

\[
r = 207.18 - 184.88 \cdot W/B + 30.74 \cdot e^{-0.018 \cdot a_{hyd}(t)} + \frac{345.79}{P_{cap}(t)} - 298.9 \cdot e^{-47.62/R_L}.
\]

For chloride-free fly ash concrete:

\[
r = -187.52 + 258.3 \cdot W/B + 9.7 \cdot e^{0.04 \%FA} - 1.2 \cdot a_{hyd}(t) - \frac{624.22}{a_{poz}(t)} + \frac{822.59}{P_{cap}(t)} + 4.74
\]

For chloride-contaminated OPC concrete:

\[
r = -32.7 + \frac{11.15}{(W/B)^{0.34}} + 0.25 \cdot a_{hyd}(t) + \frac{21.32}{P_{cap}(t)} + \frac{10.9}{\beta_{CL}^{0.31}}
\]

For chloride-contaminated fly ash concrete:

\[
r = 34.85 + 0.04 \cdot W/B - 0.35 \cdot a_{hyd}(t) - \frac{1347.37}{a_{poz}(t)} + \frac{441.63}{P_{cap}(t)} + 40.07 \cdot e^{-0.27/R_L}
\]

In which:

\[
\beta_{CL} = \left[\left(\frac{[CL^-]}{\%FA}\right) + 0.1\right] \times \frac{W}{B} + 1
\]

where \([CL^-]\) is the content of chloride in concrete (kg/m³), W/B is the water to binder ratio, \(\%FA\) is the percentage of fly ash replacement (% by weight of total binder).

4.4. Corrosion Potential of Reinforcing Steel

Firstly, the degrees of hydration and pozzolanic reaction are attributed to transport of ions in concrete matrix through porosity. Therefore, the proposed model of corrosion potential takes the hydration reaction of cement and pozzolanic reaction of fly ash into account. It is also noted that the cement hydration process is dominant for the formation of microstructures of hydrated cement paste, not only the pores structure but also for the alkaline nature of concrete. Calcium hydroxide crystals or Portlandite plays a crucial role to provide a high alkaline condition in concrete, which contributes to the formation of passive film on surface of reinforcing steel [13, 14]. It has been mentioned in various previous studies [1-3, 14, 27, 32] that this passive film protects the reinforcement in term of stabilizing potential on steel surface.

In the process of chloride-induced corrosion, when chloride ions reach the surface of steel, the passive film is gradually destroyed. As the passive film becomes weak, the reinforcement has high risk of corrosion [1-3, 14, 27, 32]. From that point of view, the following model concerns only the amount of chloride at the surface of steel only. The proposed model includes two separated equations, Eq. (10) to Eq. (12), for OPC and fly ash concrete.

For chloride-free OPC and fly ash concrete:

\[
E_{corr} = -0.5926 - 0.1437 \cdot W/B - 6E_t - 3 \cdot a_{hyd}(t) + \frac{3 \cdot \%FA - 0.0958}{D} + 7E - 3 \cdot a_{hyd}(t)
\]

For chloride-contaminated OPC concrete:

\[
E_{corr} = -5.2769 - 11.80 \cdot (W/B)^{0.3} + 0.46 \cdot D^{0.751} + 0.343 \cdot a_{hyd}(t) + 10.1 \cdot e^{0.0053 \cdot a_{poz}(t)} + 0.35 \cdot a_{poz}(t)
\]

For chloride-contaminated fly ash concrete:

\[
E_{corr} = -0.43 - \frac{0.41 \cdot a_{hyd}(t) + 4.22}{D^{0.31}} + \frac{0.9 \cdot a_{poz}(t)}{\beta_{CL}^{0.375}} + 0.37 \cdot e^{0.0037 \cdot a_{poz}(t)} - 0.12 \cdot \ln(\beta_{CL})
\]

where Ecorr is the corrosion potential of reinforcing steel (V vs. CSE), D is the concrete covering (cm).

The fact that concrete has very high resistivity can lead to a phenomenon of ohmic drop, or IR drop. This phenomenon is more significant when the thickness of concrete increases because the measurement mostly made on surface of concrete. That is why the proposed model
considered the influence of covering depth on the predicted potential.

5. Results and Discussion

The following sections show the experimental and predicted results of the electrical resistivity and corrosion potential obtained in this study. The effects of the hydration of cement, the pozzolanic reaction of fly ash, the presence of chloride ions and time of exposure on the electrical resistivity and corrosion potential are discussed. It is worth mentioning that the experimental results were obtained from direct measurements (Fig. 1), and they were used to develop the prediction models.

5.1. Electrical Resistivity

Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the experimental and predicted results of the electrical resistivity of concrete. As one of the concern parameters in this study, the electrical resistivity of concrete could be used to estimated level of reinforcement corrosion. In practice, when the value of electrical resistivity of concrete was computed from the proposed model, the corrosion level can be estimated. The estimation can be made based on the relationship between the electrical resistivity and corrosion rate, as shown in Table 3.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, the electrical resistivity of chloride-free concrete was higher than that of the chloride-contaminated concrete, for both OPC and fly ash concrete. This could be because the presence of chloride ions eased the movement of ions in both OPC and fly ash concrete. Additionally, as the chloride content was increased, the electrical resistivity became lower. In other words, the existence of chloride ions can increase the level of corrosion of reinforcement. The results showed good agreement with many other researchers [3, 32, 39]. An increase in chloride ions adds electrolytes in the concrete pore solution, leading to a decrease in concrete resistivity [1]. Solid salts, specifically NaCl, can absorb water to form aqueous electrolytes, which then offers high conductivity for corrosion to occur [39].

As mentioned, using low W/B concrete and fly ash concrete also offers a high electrical resistivity, by the fact that the concrete porosity is smaller in low W/B concrete compared to that of high W/B concrete. A low porosity constrained the movement of charge ions, as a result, the low porosity concrete has a high corrosion resistance. Regarding fly ash concrete, the pozzolanic reaction provided a long-term development of the electrical resistivity. The total porosity decreased, which is proportional to percentage of replacement of fly ash in the concrete mixture [13-15, 40]. It should be noted that the total porosity had not yet been considered in the adopted model, as expressed in Eq. (4).

It is also worth mentioning that the mentioned relationship (Table 3) is usually applied for OPC concrete rather than fly ash concrete. Even though there was 6 kg/m³ [CL-] presented in the tested fly ash concrete, the electrical resistivity was still higher than 20 kΩ·cm. And based on the relationship in Table 3, a low level of corrosion would be claimed, which was quite questionable. From this point of view, a new relationship between the
electrical resistivity and corrosion level should be developed in the future study, where the effect of the type of binder should be considered.

Fig. 5. Variation of the electrical resistivity of concrete with various concrete proportions.

5.2. Corrosion Potential

As the second concerned property of this study, the corrosion potential of steel could provide a sense of probability of corrosion and position where corrosion may take place. Based on the proposed model, corrosion potential can be computed. The obtained corrosion potential can be used to evaluate the corrosion possibility with their relationship being demonstrated in Table 4.

Figure 6 illustrates the change with age of the corrosion potential of steel in the specimens with and without fly ash. An increase in the corrosion potential was found with time in both OPC and fly ash concrete when [CL] was not incorporated in the concrete. Firstly, these results emphasized that the contribution of passive film since its formation is also not interrupted in chloride-free concrete. The passivity, therefore, can stabilize potential on the steel surface. Furthermore, the increased results of the corrosion potential were also contributed by the hydration reaction in OPC concrete and both hydration and pozzolanic reaction in the fly ash concrete. As the porosity of concrete decreases during the hydration, the movement of charge ions is constrained more significantly. Because of this restriction, the corrosion of reinforcement shows low possibility to occur, based on the above relationship (Table 4). However, it should be noted that the increase in the corrosion potential strongly depends on the concrete mix proportion as well as the content of chloride.
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**a. OPC concrete**
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**b. Fly ash concrete**
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**a. 0.4 W/B OPC concrete**
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**b. 0.4 W/B fly ash concrete**

Fig. 6. Variation of corrosion potential of reinforcing steel with various chloride contents.

Table 4. Relationship between corrosion potential and probability of corrosion of reinforcement [29].

| Corrosion probability | Low | Intermediate | High | Severe |
|-----------------------|-----|--------------|------|--------|
| Corrosion potential   | >0.2| 0.2 to 0.35  | to   | <0.5   |
| (- V. CSE)            |     |              |      |        |
|                       | 0.35|              | 0    | 0.5    |

The presence of chloride ions significantly decreases the corrosion potential. For example, after 30 days of exposure, the corrosion potential of 0.4 W/B OPC concrete with 2 kg/m³ [CL] (-0.3 V) was lower than that of the chloride-free concrete, -0.15 V, as shown in Fig. 6a. The corrosion potential of chloride-contaminated concrete tended to be lower with time, as shown in Fig. 6. The existence of chloride ions increases the probability of reinforcement corrosion. Because chloride ions weaken the passive film, the stabilization of potential starts reducing. Additionally, the movement of electrons in chloride-contaminated concrete is easier. Those mentioned factors reflected the fact that chloride could accelerate the corrosion of reinforcement.

The adjustment of water to binder ratio and introduction of fly ash affect directly to the concrete porosity. Low W/B concrete possesses a low porosity, hence it provided a less negative corrosion potential. Fly
ash could even offer a significant restriction of ions movement [1-3, 21, 26, 32]. It is also worth mentioning that products of fly ash reaction can capture free chloride in pore solution. A decrease in free chloride concentration lowers the probability of corrosion of reinforcement [1-3, 23, 26, 33, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42]. It is also noted that the effects of type of binder, not only the fly ash but also other supplementary concrete admixtures such as silica fume, ground blast-furnace slag, etc., have not yet been taken into account in the corrosion probability evaluation in Table 4. On this standpoint, a new relationship of corrosion potential should be proposed in the future study.

6. Model Verification

The proposed models of both electrical resistivity and corrosion potential were verified by our experimental results and results obtained from other studies [5, 6, 16-23], as shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. Summary of experimental conditions of other studies are provided in Table 5 and Table 6. Figure 7a and Figure 8a demonstrate the verifications with the experimental results of this study. The experimental results of electrical resistivity and corrosion potential were obtained for both OPC and fly ash concrete specimens. As can be seen, an approximately ±30% deviation was achieved for the model of electrical resistivity and corrosion potential. In the future, time-dependent deterioration of concrete cover will be considered in the prediction models.

7. Conclusions

Based on the results presented above, the conclusions can be drawn as follows:

1. Electrical resistivity and corrosion potential are time-dependent. At long term, the microstructure of concrete became denser, representing by high degree of hydration and low porosity. This phenomenon decreased the movement of ions, which also means that corrosion resistance of concrete increased. In particular, high electrical resistivity was observed simultaneously with less negative corrosion potential.

2. The introduction of fly ash, and the increase of chloride content showed contradict effects on the corrosion durability of the tested specimens. The experimental results revealed that the cement-fly ash cementitious system provided high electrical resistivity, or high corrosion resistance. Meanwhile, the existence of chloride ions weakened the passive film and accelerated the flow of electrons in the system. Consequently, a low corrosion resistance and more importantly a high level of corrosion could be expected.

3. Finally, the proposed models for predicting the electrical resistivity and corrosion potential took into account the hydration and pozzolanic reactions of cement-fly ash cementitious materials. The verifications showed an accuracy of approximately ±30% for the model of electrical resistivity and corrosion potential.

Fig. 7. Verification of electrical resistivity model with experimental data.
Fig. 8. Verification of corrosion potential with experimental data.

Table 5. Test conditions of previous studies used in the verification of the proposed model of electrical resistivity.

| Reference                  | Specimen                        | W/B ratio | Fly ash percent (%) | Material  | Chloride content | Exposure period |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|
| Hnin et al. [16, 17]       | Cube/Plain concrete             | 0.35      | 0                   | Cement    | 0                | 28 till 90 days |
| Ghosh and Tran [18, 19]    | Cylinder/Plain concrete         | 0.45      | 10                  | Fly ash   | 0                | 56; 91; 161 days|
| Osterminski et al. [20]    | Cube/Plain concrete             | 0.65      | 30                  | Cement    | 0                | 28 days till about 2.5 years |

Table 6. Test conditions of previous studies used in the verification of the proposed model of corrosion potential.

| Reference                  | Specimen                        | Covering depth (cm) | W/B ratio | Fly ash percent (%) | Material  | Chloride source | Chloride content (% by mass of binder) | Exposure period | Technique     |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Hussain and Ishida [9]     | Reinforced concrete beam        | 1.5                 | 0.45      | 0                   | Cement    | Internal        | 0; 0.025; 0.25; 0.6; 1.82; 3.65 (g/l) | 30 till 200 days| Half-cell potential |
| Otieno et al. [5, 6]       | Reinforced concrete beam        | 2                   | 0.4       | 0                   | Cement    | External        | 30 (g/l)                              | 10 till 120 weeks| Half-cell potential |
| Montemor et al. [22]       | Reinforced concrete block       | 4                   | 0.57      | 30                  | Cement    | External        | 18 (g/l)                              | 7; 60; 90; 180; 365; 540 days| EIS           |
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