D Wave Heavy Mesons

Wei Wei, Xiang Liu, and Shi-Lin Zhu
Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

We first extract the binding energy $\Lambda$ and decay constants of the $D$ wave heavy meson doublets $(1^-, 2^-)$ and $(2^-, 3^-)$ with QCD sum rule in the leading order of heavy quark effective theory. Then we study their pionic $(\pi, K, \eta)$ couplings using the light cone sum rule, from which the parameter $\Lambda$ can also be extracted. We then calculate the pionic decay widths of the strange/non-strange $D$ wave heavy $D/B$ mesons and discuss the possible candidates for the $D$ wave charm-strange mesons. Further experimental information, such as the ratio between $D_s \eta$ and $DK$ modes, will be very useful to distinguish various assignments for $D_{sJ}(2860, 2715)$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently BarBar reported two new $D_s$ states, $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and $D_{sJ}(2690)$ in the $DK$ channel. Their widths are $\Gamma = 48 \pm 7 \pm 10$ MeV and $\Gamma = 112 \pm 7 \pm 36$ MeV respectively [1]. For $D_{sJ}(2860)$ the significance of signal is $5\sigma$ in the $D^0K^+$ channel and $2.8 \sigma$ in the $D^+K^+$ channel. Belle observed another state $D_{sJ}(2715)$ with $J^P = 1^-$ in $B^+ \to D^0sJ \to D^0D^0K^+$. Its width is $\Gamma = 115 \pm 20$ MeV. No $D^+K$ or $D_s\eta$ mode has been detected for all of them.

The $J^P$ of $D_{sJ}(2860)$ and $D_{sJ}(2690)$ can be $0^+, 1^-, 2^+, 3^-$, $\cdots$ since they decay to two pseudoscalar mesons. $D_{sJ}(2860)$ was proposed as the first radial excitation of $D_{sJ}(2317)$ based on a coupled channel model [3] or an improved potential model [4]. Colangelo et al considered $D_{sJ}(2690)$ as the $D$ wave $3^-$ state [5]. The mass of $D_{sJ}(2715)$ or $D_{sJ}(2690)$ is consistent with the potential model prediction of the $c\bar{s}$ radially excited $2^3S_1$ state [4, 6]. Based on chiral symmetry consideration, a $D$ wave $1^-$ state with mass $M = 2720$ MeV is also predicted if the $D_{sJ}(2536)$ is taken as the $P$ wave $1^+$ state [7]. The strong decay widths for these states are discussed using the $3P_0$ model in [8].

The heavy quark effective theory (HQET) provides a systematic expansion in terms of $1/m_Q$ for hadrons containing a single heavy quark, where $m_Q$ is the heavy quark mass [6]. In HQET the heavy mesons can be grouped into doublets with definite $j^P_\ell$ since the angular momentum of light components $j_\ell$ is a good quantum number in the $m_Q \to \infty$ limit. They are $\frac{1}{2}^-$ doublet $(0^-, 1^-)$ with orbital angular momentum $L = 0$, $\frac{1}{2}^+$ doublet $(0^+, 1^+)$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ doublet $(1^+, 2^+)$ with $L = 1$. For $L = 2$ there are $(1^-, 2^-)$ and $(2^-, 3^-)$ doublets with $j^P_\ell = \frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^-$ respectively. The states with the same $J^P$, such as the two $1^-$ and two $1^+$ states, can be distinguished in the $m_Q \to \infty$ limit, which is one of the advantages of working in HQET. The $D$ wave heavy mesons $|(B^+_1, B^+_2), (B^+_3, B_3)|$ were considered in the quark model [10]. The semileptonic decay of $B$ meson to the $D$ wave doublets was calculated using three point QCD sum rule [11]. The decay property of the heavy mesons till $L = 2$ was calculated using the $3P_0$ model in [12].

Light cone QCD sum rule (LCQSR) has proven very useful in extracting the hadronic form factors and coupling constants in the past decade [13]. Unlike the traditional SVZ sum rule [14], it was based on the twist expansion on the light cone. The strong couplings and semileptonic decay form factors of the low lying heavy mesons have been calculated using this method both in full QCD and in HQET [15].

In this paper we first extract the mass parameters and decay constants of $D$ wave doublets in section II. Then we study the strong couplings of the $D$ wave heavy doublets with light pseudoscalar mesons, $\pi$, $K$ and $\eta$ in section III. We work in the framework of LCQSR in the leading order of HQET. We present our numerical analysis in section IV. In section V we calculate the strong decay widths to light hadrons and discuss the possible $D$ wave charm-strange heavy meson candidates. The results are summarized in section VI.

II. TWO-POINT QCD SUM RULES

The proper interpolating currents $j^\alpha_{1\cdots\alpha_{1}\cdots\alpha_{1}}^{P_{1\cdots P_{1}}}$, for the states with the quantum number $j_{1}$, $P_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ in HQET were given in [16], with $j_1$ the total spin of the heavy meson, $P$ the parity and $j_2$ the angular momentum of light components. In the $m_Q \to \infty$ limit, the currents satisfy the following conditions

$$\langle 0 | \bar{c} \gamma^\alpha \cdots \gamma^{\alpha_{1}-1} \gamma^{\alpha_{1}} (0) | j_1, P_{1}^\alpha_1 \cdots j_{1}^\beta | \rangle = \int dP_{1}^\alpha dP_{2}^\beta \delta_{P_{1}^\alpha P_{2}^\beta} \delta_{j_{1}^\alpha j_{1}^\beta} \eta^{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{1}},$$

$$i \langle 0 | T \left( j_{1}^\alpha \cdots j_{1}^\beta (x) \right) j_{2}^\alpha \cdots j_{2}^\beta (0) | 0 \rangle = \delta_{j_{1}^\alpha j_{2}^\alpha} \delta_{P_{1}^\alpha P_{2}^\alpha} \delta_{j_{1}^\beta j_{2}^\beta} \int \! dt \delta(x - vt) \Pi_{P_{1}j_{1}}(x),$$

where $\eta^{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{1}}$ is the polarization tensor for the spin $j_1$ state. $v$ denotes the velocity of the heavy quark. The transverse metric tensor $g^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} - v^\alpha v^\beta$. $S$ denotes symmetrizing the indices and subtracting the trace terms.
separately in the sets \((\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_j)\) and \((\beta_1 \cdots \beta_j)\). \(f_{P,j}\) is a constant. \(\Pi_{P,j}\) is a function of \(x\). Both of them depend only on \(P\) and \(j\).

The interpolating currents are \[10\]

\[
J_{1, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} h_v (-i) \left( D^{\alpha}_t - \frac{1}{3} \gamma^5 D_t \right) q ,
\]

\[
J_{2, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\alpha, \beta} ; \beta_1 \beta_2 \bar{h}_v (-i) \left( D_{t\beta_1} D_{t\beta_2} - \frac{2}{5} D_{t\beta_1 \gamma t\beta_2} D_t \right) q ,
\]

\[
J_{2, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta} = -\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} T^{\alpha, \beta} ; \beta_1 \beta_2 \bar{h}_v \gamma^5 \left( D_{t\beta_1} D_{t\beta_2} - \frac{2}{5} D_{t\beta_1 \gamma t\beta_2} D_t \right) q ,
\]

\[
J_{1, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta, \lambda} = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} T^{\alpha, \beta, \lambda} ; \mu, \nu, \sigma h_v \gamma_{\mu} D_{\tau\mu} D_{\tau\sigma} q ,
\]

\[
J_{1, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{h}_v \gamma_5 q ,
\]

\[
J_{1, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{h}_v \gamma_5 \gamma_\alpha q ,
\]

\[
J_{2, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{3}{4} \bar{h}_v \gamma_5 (-i) \left( D^\alpha_t - \frac{1}{3} \gamma^5 D_t \right) q ,
\]

\[
J_{2, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{h}_v (-i) \left( \gamma^{\alpha_1} D^{\alpha_2} + \gamma^{\alpha_2} D^{\alpha_1} - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} D_t \right) q ,
\]

where \(h_v\) is the heavy quark field in HQET and \(\gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_\mu - v_\mu \gamma^5\). The definitions of \(T^{\alpha, \beta; \mu, \nu}\) and \(T^{\alpha, \beta, \lambda; \mu, \nu, \sigma}\) are given in Appendix A.

We first study the two point sum rules for the \((1, -\frac{1}{2})\) and \((2, -\frac{1}{2})\) doublets. We consider the following correlation functions:

\[
i \int d^4x e^{ikx} \langle \pi | T(J_{1, -.\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha (x) J_{1, -.\frac{1}{2}}^\beta ) | 0 \rangle = -g^{\alpha \beta}_t \Pi_{-.\frac{1}{2}} (\omega) ,
\]

\[
i \int d^4x e^{ikx} \langle \pi | T(J_{2, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, \beta} (x) J_{2, -.\frac{1}{2}}^{\beta, \alpha} ) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (g^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} g^{\alpha_2 \beta_2} + g^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} g^{\alpha_2 \beta_1} - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \delta^{\beta_1 \beta_2}) \Pi_{-.\frac{1}{2}} (\omega) ,
\]

where \(\omega = 2v \cdot k\).

At the hadron level,

\[
\Pi_{P,j} = \frac{f_{P,j}^2}{2\Lambda_{P,j} - \omega} + \cdots .
\]

At the quark-gluon level it can be calculated with the leading order lagrangian in HQET. Invoking quark-hadron duality and making the Borel transformation, we get the following sum rules from eqs. \[10\] and \[11\]

\[
f_{P,j}^2 \exp \left[ -\frac{2\Lambda_{-.\frac{1}{2}}}{T} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\omega_\pi} \omega^4 e^{-\omega/T} d\omega + \frac{1}{16} m_0^2 \langle \bar{qq} \rangle - \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle \bar{q} q \rangle G_2^2 ,
\]

\[
f_{P,j}^2 \exp \left[ -\frac{2\Lambda_{-.\frac{1}{2}}}{T} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\omega_\pi} \omega^6 e^{-\omega/T} d\omega + \frac{1}{120} \langle \bar{q} q \rangle G_2^2 T^3 .
\]

Here \(m_0^2 \langle \bar{q} q \rangle = \langle \bar{q} q \rangle G_2^2 q\). Only terms of the lowest order in \(\alpha_s\) and operators with dimension less than six have been included. For the \(\frac{5}{2}^+\) doublet there is no mixing condensate due to the higher derivation.

We use the following values for the QCD parameters: 

\(\langle \bar{q} q \rangle = -0.24 \text{ GeV}^3, \langle \alpha_s G G \rangle = 0.038 \text{ GeV}^3, m_0^2 = 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2\).

Requiring that the high-order power corrections is less than 30% of the perturbation term without the cutoff \(\omega_c\) and the contribution of the pole term is larger than 35% of the continuum contribution given by the perturbation integral in the region \(\omega > \omega_c\), we arrive at the stability region of the sum rules \(\omega_c = 3.2 - 3.6 \text{ GeV}, T = 0.8 - 1.0 \text{ GeV}\).

The results for \(\Lambda\)’s are

\[
\Lambda_{-.\frac{1}{2}} = 1.42 \pm 0.08 \text{ GeV} ,
\]

\[
\Lambda_{-.\frac{1}{2}} = 1.38 \pm 0.09 \text{ GeV} .
\]

The errors are due to the variation of \(T\) and the uncertainty in \(\omega_c\). In Fig. 1 and 2 we show the variations of masses with \(T\) for different \(\omega_c\).

**FIG. 1:** The variation of the mass parameter \(\Lambda_{-.\frac{1}{2}}\) with the threshold \(\omega_c\) (in unit of GeV) for the \(\frac{1}{2}^+\) doublet. The long-dashed, short-dashed and solid curves correspond to \(\omega_c = 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 \text{ GeV}\) respectively.

The masses of D wave mesons in the quark model are around 2.8 GeV for D meson and 6 GeV for B meson \[10\]. \(1/m_Q\) correction may be quite important for D wave.
heavy mesons, which will be investigated in a subsequent work.

In the following sections we also need the values of $f$'s:

\[ f_{-\frac{3}{2}} = 0.39 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}^{5/2}, \]
\[ f_{-\frac{5}{2}} = 0.33 \pm 0.04 \text{ GeV}^{7/2}. \tag{16} \]

### III. SUM RULES FOR DECAY AMPLITUDES

Now let us consider the strong couplings of D wave doublets $\bar{\frac{3}{2}}^-$ and $\bar{\frac{5}{2}}^-$ with light hadrons. For the light quark being a $u$ (or $d$) quark, the D wave heavy mesons decay to pion, while for the light quark being a strange quark, it can decay either to $BK$ or $B_s\eta$. In the following we will denote the light hadron as pion and discuss all three cases. The strong decay amplitudes for D wave $1^-$ and $3^-$ states to ground doublet $(0^-,1^-)$ are

\[
M(B_{1/2}^+ \to B\pi) = \mathcal{I}e^{i\theta_{12}}g(B_{1/2}^+)\, , \\
M(B_{1/2}^+ \to B^*\pi) = \mathcal{I}e^{i\theta_{12}}e^{i\theta_{23}}\epsilon_{12}\nu_{12}g(B_{1/2}^+)\, , \\
M(B_{3/2} \to B\pi) = \mathcal{I}e^{i\theta_{23}}(g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}(q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}q_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{6}q_{12}^2(g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}q_{\lambda} \\
+ g_{\alpha\lambda\beta}q_{\lambda} + \frac{4}{3}g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}q_{\lambda}))g(B_{3/2})\, , \\
M(B_{3/2} \to B^*\pi) = \mathcal{I}e^{i\theta_{23}}e^{i\theta_{23}}\epsilon_{12}\nu_{12}g(B_{3/2}^+)\, , \tag{17}
\]

where $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\lambda}$, $\epsilon^{\mu}$, and $\epsilon^\nu$ are polarizations of the D wave $3^-$, $1^-$ states and ground $1^-$ state respectively. $\mathcal{I} = 1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for charged and neutral pion mesons respectively, while for the $K$ and $\eta$ mesons it equals one. $g(B_{1/2}^+)\, g(B_{3/2}^+)$ etc are the coupling constants in HQET and are related to those in full QCD by

\[
g_{\text{full\ QCD}}(B_{1/2}^+) = \sqrt{m_{B_{1/2}^+}m_B}g_{\text{HQET}}(B_{1/2}^+)\, . \tag{18}
\]

Because of the heavy quark symmetry, the coupling constants in eq. (17) satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
g(B_{1/2}^+) & = g(B_{1/2}^+)^* \, , \\
g(B_{3/2}^+) & = g(B_{3/2}^+)^* \, . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
\]

In order to derive the sum rules for the coupling constants we consider the correlators

\[
\int d^4x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\langle\pi|T\left(J_{3/2}^{\alpha\beta\lambda}\right)_{0,-\frac{1}{2}}(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}q_{\alpha2} - g_{\alpha\beta\lambda}q_{\beta2})I G_5(\omega,\omega') \, , \tag{24}
\]
\[
\int d^4x\, e^{ik\cdot x}\langle\pi|T\left(J_{2/3}^{\alpha\beta\theta}\right)_{0,-\frac{1}{2}}(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{6}(q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}q_{\theta} - \frac{1}{6}q_{12}^2(q_{\alpha}q_{\beta}q_{\theta} + q_{\alpha}q_{\lambda}q_{\beta} + \frac{4}{3}q_{\alpha\beta\theta}))I G_6(\omega,\omega') \, , \tag{25}
\]

for the $J^P_{3/2} = \bar{\frac{3}{2}}^-$ doublet;
\[ \int d^4x \, e^{ikx} \langle \pi(x) | T \left( J_{2,-\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha\beta} \langle x \right] J_{1+\frac{3}{2}}^{\gamma} (0) \rangle | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon^{\mu
u} \tilde{q}_i^\alpha + \epsilon^{{\alpha}\gamma
u} q_i^{{\beta}} \right) q_{\mu} v_{\nu} I G_T (\omega, \omega'), \] (26) 

\[ \int d^4x \, e^{ikx} \langle \pi(x) | T \left( J_{2,-\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha\beta} \langle x \right] J_{1+\frac{3}{2}}^{\gamma} (0) \rangle | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( g_1^{\alpha\lambda} \tilde{q}_i^\beta + g_1^{\beta\lambda} \tilde{q}_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{3} g_1^{\alpha\beta} q_i^\lambda \right) I G_8 (\omega, \omega') 
+ \left[ q_i^2 q_i^\lambda - \frac{1}{6} g_1^{\alpha\beta} (g_1^{\alpha\lambda} q_i^\beta + g_1^{\beta\lambda} q_i^\alpha + 4 g_1^{\lambda\beta} q_i^\alpha) \right] I G_8 (\omega, \omega'), \] (27) 

\[ \int d^4x \, e^{ikx} \langle \pi(x) | T \left( J_{2,-\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha\beta} \langle x \right] J_{1+\frac{3}{2}}^{\gamma} (0) \rangle | 0 \rangle = T^9 I G_9 (\omega, \omega') + T^f I G_9 (\omega, \omega') + T^{p1} I G_9 (\omega, \omega') 
+ T^{p2} I G_9 (\omega, \omega'), \] (28) 

for the \( j^P = \frac{5}{2}^- \) doublet, where \( k' = k + q, \omega = 2v \cdot k, \omega' = 2v \cdot k' \). Note that the two P wave couplings between \( (2, -\frac{1}{2}) \) and \( (3, -\frac{5}{2}) \) in eq. (28) are not independent and satisfy the relation \( g_{p2}^2 = -\frac{1}{3} g_{p1}^2 \).

First let us consider the function \( G_1 (\omega, \omega') \) in eq. (20). As a function of two variables, it has the following pole terms from the double dispersion relation

\[
\frac{g_1}{(2 \Lambda_\frac{3}{2} - 2 \omega') (2 \Lambda_\frac{3}{2} - 2 \omega)} + \frac{c}{2 \Lambda_\frac{3}{2} - 2 \omega'} + \frac{c'}{2 \Lambda_\frac{3}{2} - 2 \omega},
\]

where \( f_{P,j} \) denotes the decay constant defined in eq. (1). \( \Lambda_{P,j} = m_{P,j} - m_Q \).

We calculate the correlator (20) on the light-cone to the leading order of \( O(1/m_Q) \). The expression for \( G_1 (\omega, \omega') \) reads

\[
\frac{-\sqrt{6}}{8} i \int_0^\infty dt \int dxe^{ikx} \delta(x - vt) Tr \left[ (\mathcal{D}_\alpha - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_t \mathcal{D}_t) \right] \times (1 + \gamma_5) \gamma_5 \langle \pi(x) | u(0) \tilde{d}(x) | 0 \rangle. \] (29)

The pion (or \( K/\eta \)) distribution amplitudes are defined as the matrix elements of nonlocal operators between the vacuum and pion state. Up to twist four they are \( 21, 22 \):

\[
\langle \pi(x) | \tilde{d}(x) \gamma_5 u(0) | 0 \rangle = -if_\pi q_\mu \int_0^1 du e^{iux} \left[ \varphi_\pi (u) + \frac{1}{16} m_\pi^2 x^2 A(u) \right] - \frac{i}{2} f_\pi m_\pi x^2 \int_0^1 du e^{iux} B(u),
\]

\[
\langle \pi(x) | \tilde{d}(x) i\gamma_5 u(0) | 0 \rangle = f_{\pi \mu} \int_0^1 du e^{iux} \varphi_\pi (u),
\]

\[
\langle \pi(x) | \tilde{d}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 u(0) | 0 \rangle = i f_{\pi \mu \nu} \int_0^1 du e^{iux} \varphi_\pi (u) \times \int_0^1 du e^{iux} \varphi_\pi (u). \] (30)

The expressions for the light cone wave functions \( \varphi_\pi (u) \) etc are presented in Appendix B together with the relevant parameters for \( \pi, K \) and \( \eta \).

Expressing eq. (29) with the light cone wave functions, we get the expression for the correlator function in the quark-gluon level

\[
G_1 (\omega, \omega') = -i \sqrt{6} f_\pi \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^1 du e^{iux} \left[ \frac{1}{16} m_\pi^2 [uA(u)] + \frac{1}{2} B(u) \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} u \varphi_\pi (u) \right] \right|^{\omega_1}_{\omega_0}, \] (31)

After performing wick rotation and double Borel transformation with the variables \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) the single-pole terms in eq. (29) are eliminated and we arrive at the following result:

\[
g_1 f_{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} f_\pi \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{-\frac{3}{2}} + \Lambda_{-\frac{5}{2}}}{T} \right] \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} [uA(u)] + \frac{1}{2} B(u) \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} u \varphi_\pi (u) \right] \right|^{\omega_1}_{\omega_0}, \] (32)

where \( u_0 = \frac{T_1}{T_1 + T_2} \), \( T = \frac{T_1 T_2}{T_1 + T_2} \). \( T_1, T_2 \) are the Borel parameters, and \( f_n(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \). The factor \( f_n \) is used to subtract the integral \( \int_{\omega_0}^{\omega_1} s^n e^{-s} ds \) as a contribution of the continuum. The sum rules we have obtained from the correlators (20)-(23) are collected in Appendix C together with the definitions of \( G_1 \) etc.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For the ground states and P wave heavy mesons, we will use \( 17, 18 \):

\[
\Lambda_{-\frac{3}{2}} = 0.5 \text{ GeV}, \quad f_{-\frac{3}{2}} = 0.25 \text{ GeV}^{3/2},
\]

\[
\Lambda_{-\frac{5}{2}} = 0.85 \text{ GeV}, \quad f_{-\frac{5}{2}} = 0.36 \pm 0.10 \text{ GeV}^{1/2},
\]

\[
\Lambda_{+\frac{3}{2}} = 0.95 \text{ GeV}, \quad f_{+\frac{3}{2}} = 0.26 \pm 0.06 \text{ GeV}^{5/2}.
\]

The mass parameters and decay constants for the D wave doublets have been obtained in section I from the two
point sum rule:

\[ \tilde{\Lambda}_{\pm, \frac{3}{2}} = 1.42 \text{ GeV}, \quad f_{\pm, \frac{3}{2}} = 0.39 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}^{5/2}, \]
\[ \tilde{\Lambda}_{\pm, \frac{5}{2}} = 1.38 \text{ GeV}, \quad f_{\pm, \frac{5}{2}} = 0.33 \pm 0.04 \text{ GeV}^{7/2}. \]

We choose to work at the symmetric point \( T_1 = T_2 = 2T \), i.e., \( u_0 = 1/2 \) as traditionally done in literature [13]. The working region for \( T \) can be obtained by requiring that the higher twist contribution is less than 30% and the continuum contribution is less than 40% of the whole sum rule, we then get \( \omega_c = 3.2 - 3.6 \) GeV and the working region \( 2.0 < T < 2.5 \) GeV for eqs. (C2), (C6) and (C12) in Appendix C and 1.2 < \( T < 2.0 \) GeV for others. The working regions for the first three sum rules are higher than that for the others because there are zero points between 1 and 2 GeV for them and stability develops only for \( T \) above 2 GeV. From eq. (32) the coupling reads

\[ g_{1\pi}f_{-\frac{3}{2}}f_{-\frac{5}{2}} = (0.17 \pm 0.04) \text{ GeV}^3. \]  

We use the central values for the mass parameters and the error is due to the variation of \( T \) and the uncertainty of \( \omega_c \). The central value corresponds to \( T = 1.6 \) GeV and \( \omega_c = 3.4 \) GeV. There is cancelation between the twist 2 and twist 3 contributions in the sum rule.

For D wave heavy mesons with a strange quark, the couplings can be obtained by the same way. Notice that in the \( \eta \) case, \( f_{\eta} \) should be replaced by \( -\frac{2}{3}f_{\eta} \) due to the quark components of \( \eta \) meson, where \( f_{\eta} = 0.16 \) GeV is the decay constant of \( \eta \) meson. From eq. (32) we can get the couplings between the ground state doublet and D wave doublet with a strange quark,

\[ g_{1K}f_{-\frac{3}{2}}f_{-\frac{5}{2}} = (0.19 \pm 0.06) \text{ GeV}^3, \]
\[ g_{1\eta}f_{-\frac{3}{2}}f_{-\frac{5}{2}} = (0.28 \pm 0.06) \text{ GeV}^3. \]

The couplings between the \( \tilde{\Lambda}_{\pm, \frac{3}{2}} \) and \( \tilde{\Lambda}_{\pm, \frac{5}{2}} \) doublets and other doublets are collected in Table I. We can see that the \( SU(3)_f \) breaking effect is not very big here.

| \( \frac{3}{2} \) | \( \frac{5}{2} \) | \( \frac{3}{2} \) | \( \frac{5}{2} \) | \( \frac{3}{2} \) | \( \frac{5}{2} \) | \( \frac{3}{2} \) | \( \frac{5}{2} \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( \pi \) | 0.17 | 0.086 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.056 | 0.071 |
| \( K \) | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.057 | 0.10 |
| \( \eta \) | 0.28 | 0.046 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.030 | 0.078 |

\( \tilde{\Lambda}_{1, \frac{3}{2}} = 1.36 - 1.56 \) GeV, \( \tilde{\Lambda}_{1, \frac{5}{2}} = 1.36 - 1.56 \) GeV

With the central values of \( f \)'s, we get the absolute values of the coupling constants:

\[ g_{1\pi} = (1.74 \pm 0.43) \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \]
\[ g_{1K} = (1.95 \pm 0.63) \text{ GeV}^{-1}, \]
\[ g_{1\eta} = (2.87 \pm 0.65) \text{ GeV}^{-1}. \]  

(35)

For the \( \tilde{\Lambda}_{\frac{3}{2}} \) doublet we have

\[ g_{5\pi} = (1.33 \pm 0.29) \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \]
\[ g_{5K} = (1.70 \pm 0.42) \text{ GeV}^{-3}, \]
\[ g_{5\eta} = (1.45 \pm 0.30) \text{ GeV}^{-3}. \]  

(36)

We do not include the uncertainties due to \( f \)'s here.

We can also extract the mass parameter from the strong coupling formulas obtained in the last section. By putting the exponential factor on the left side of eq. (C6) and differentiating it, one obtains

\[ \tilde{\Lambda}_{-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{T^2}{2} \left[ \frac{d}{dT} \left[ \sigma_{\pi}(u_0)Tf_0(u_0)\left( \frac{\phi}{1+m_\pi^2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}m_\pi^2A(u_0)\left( \frac{\phi}{1+m_\pi^2}\right) \right] \right]. \]  

(37)

With \( \omega_c = 3.2 - 3.6 \) and the working region \( 2.0 < T < 2.5 \) GeV, we get

\[ \tilde{\Lambda}_{1, -\frac{3}{2}} = 1.36 - 1.56 \text{ GeV}, \]  

(38)

which is consistent with the value obtained by two point sum rule. We present the variation of mass with \( T \) and \( \omega_c \) in Fig. 3.

![FIG. 3: The variation of the mass parameter \( \tilde{\Lambda}_{-\frac{3}{2}} \) with the threshold \( \omega_c \) (in unit of GeV) for the \( \tilde{\Lambda}_{\frac{3}{2}} \) doublet from LCQSR. The long-dashed, short dashed and solid curves correspond to \( \omega_c = 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 \) GeV respectively.](image)

V. STRONG DECAY WIDTHS FOR D WAVE HEAVY MESONS

Having calculated the coupling constants, one can obtain the pionic decay widths of D wave heavy mesons.
The widths for D wave states decaying to $0^−$, $1^−$, $1^+$ states are

$$\Gamma(B^+_1 \rightarrow B^0\pi^-) = \frac{1}{24\pi M_{B^+_1}} g_1^2 |p_1|^3,$$

$$\Gamma(B^+_1 \rightarrow B^0\pi^0) = \frac{1}{12\pi M_{B^+_1}} g_1^2 |p_1|^3,$$

$$\Gamma(B^+_1 \rightarrow B^0\pi^+) = \frac{1}{36\pi M_{B^+_1}} g_1^2 |p_1|^3,$$

$$\Gamma(B^+_2 \rightarrow B^0\pi^-) = \frac{1}{36\pi M_{B^+_2}} g_1^2 |p_1|^3,$$

$$\Gamma(B_3 \rightarrow B^0\pi^-) = \frac{1}{140\pi M_{B_3}} g_2^2 |p_1|^7,$$

$$\Gamma(B_3 \rightarrow B^0\pi^+) = \frac{1}{105\pi M_{B_3}} g_2^2 |p_1|^7,$$

(39)

where $|p_1|$ is the moment of final state $\pi$. Note that $g(B^+_2 B^+) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} g(B^+_1 B^+) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} g_1$.

## A. Nonstrange case

We take 2.8 GeV and 6.2 GeV for the masses of D wave charmed and bottomed mesons respectively. $M_D = 1.87$ GeV, $M_{D^*} = 2.01$ GeV, $M_{D_{sJ}} = 2.42$ GeV, $M_{B^+} = 5.28$ GeV, $M_{B^*} = 5.33$ GeV \[10\] and $M_{B_1} = 5.75$ GeV from quark model prediction \[10\]. After summing over the charged and neutral modes, we get the results listed in Table \[\text{II}\].

| $D\pi$ | $D^+\pi$ | $D_{sJ}\pi$ | $D^*\pi$ |
|-------|----------|-------------|---------|
| $D^+_1 \rightarrow $ | 9-27 | 13-39 | 0.2 | 5-13 |
| $D^+_2 \rightarrow $ | 5-13 | 13-39 | 0.2 | 13-39 |
| $B\pi$ | $B^+\pi$ | $B_{sJ}\pi$ | $B^*\pi$ |
|-------|----------|-------------|---------|
| $B^+_1 \rightarrow $ | 16-46 | 27-79 | 0.3 | 9-27 |

TABLE II: The decay widths (in unit MeV) of the charmed and bottomed D wave $(1^-, 2^-)$ to ground doublets and $\pi$.

## B. Strange case

We use $M_{D_{sJ}} = 1.97$ GeV, $M_{D^*_{sJ}} = 2.11$ GeV, $M_{B_{sJ}} = 3.57$ GeV, $M_{B^{*}_{sJ}} = 5.41$ GeV \[11\]. Then for the charm-strange sector we have Table \[\text{III}\]. We do not consider the $DK^*$ mode and three-body modes in the present work.

For the $2^−$, $3^−$ states with $j_5 = \frac{5}{2}$, we find that the widths are quite small and the branching fraction is perhaps more useful. In the charm-strange sector the ratio of widths (central values) for $DK$, $D^*K$, $D_s\eta$ and $D^*\eta$ modes is $1 : 0.4 : 0.1 : 0.02$.

| $D^+_1 \rightarrow $ | 8-28 | 10-48 | 8-22 | 8-20 |
| $D^+_2 \rightarrow $ | 4-16 | 3-7 | |
| $B_{sJ} \rightarrow $ | 12-52 | 18-84 | 11-27 | 16-42 |
| $B_{sJ}^* \rightarrow $ | 6-28 | 6-14 |

TABLE III: The decay widths (in unit MeV) of the charm-strange and bottom-strange D wave $(1^-, 2^-)$ to ground doublets and $K/\eta$.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this work we extract the mass and decay constants using the traditional two point sum rule and calculate the strong couplings of D wave heavy meson doublets with light hadrons $\pi$, $K$ and $\eta$ using LCQSR in the leading order of HQET. We also extract the mass parameter from LCQSR for the coupling within the same D wave doublet. The extracted mass parameters from two approaches are consistent with each other. We then calculate the widths of D wave heavy mesons decaying to light hadrons.

We have not considered the $1/m_Q$ correction and radiative corrections. Heavy quark expansion works well for $B$ mesons where the $1/m_b$ correction is under control and not so large. However, the $1/m_c$ correction is not so small for the charmed mesons. It will be desirable to consider both the $1/m_Q$ and radiative corrections in the future investigation.

According to our present calculation, the ratios such as $\frac{\Gamma(D_{sJ}^{(2860)} \rightarrow DK)}{\Gamma(D_{sJ}^{(2860)} \rightarrow D_s\eta)}$ are useful in distinguishing various interpretations of $D_{sJ}^{(2860)}$ and $D_{sJ}^{(2715)}$. Treating $D_{sJ}^{(2860)}$ as a D wave $1^-$ state, we find the above ratio is $0.4 - 2.2$. If it is the radial excitation of $D^*_1$, this ratio is $0.09$ \[8\].

The pionic widths of D wave states are not very large. With a mass of 2.86 GeV, the partial decay width of the $1^-$ D wave $D_s$ state into $DK$ and $D_s\eta$ modes is 34 – 118 MeV. With a mass of 2.715 GeV its pionic width is 15 – 57 MeV. Note that $DK^*$ modes may be equally important. So detection of other decay channels, such as $D_s\eta$ and $D^*K$ modes, will be very helpful in the classification of these new states.
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APPENDIX A

The \( T^{\alpha,\beta;\mu,\nu} \) and \( T^{\alpha,\beta;\lambda;\mu,\nu,\sigma} \) are defined as

\[
T^{\alpha,\beta;\mu,\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(g^{\alpha\mu}g^{\beta\nu} + g^{\alpha\nu}g^{\beta\mu}) - \frac{1}{3}g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu},
\]

\[
T^{\alpha,\beta;\mu,\nu,\sigma} = \frac{1}{6}(g^{\alpha\mu}g^{\beta\nu}g^{\lambda\sigma} + g^{\alpha\nu}g^{\beta\lambda}g^{\mu\sigma} + g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\mu}g^{\nu\sigma})
+ \frac{1}{6}(g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}g^{\lambda\sigma} + g^{\alpha\sigma}g^{\beta\mu}g^{\nu\lambda} + g^{\alpha\sigma}g^{\beta\nu}g^{\mu\lambda})
- \frac{1}{9}(g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\nu}g^{\lambda\sigma} + g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\nu}g^{\mu\sigma} + g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\mu}g^{\nu\sigma}) .
\]

The tensor structures for G wave, F wave and two P wave decays in eq. (25) for the coupling between the two \( \frac{\pi}{2} \) state are

\[
T^{g} = T^{\mu,\nu,\sigma;\mu_{1},\nu_{1},\sigma_{1}}T^{\alpha,\beta;\alpha_{1},\beta_{1}}q_{\mu_{1}}q_{\nu_{1}}q_{\sigma_{1}}q_{\alpha_{1}}q_{\beta_{1}},
\]

\[
T^{f} = \frac{1}{3}\left\{ \left( g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} - \frac{1}{6}g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} + g_{\mu}^{\beta}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} \right) g_{\nu}^{\alpha} + (\mu, \nu, \sigma) \right\},
\]

\[
T^{p1} = \frac{1}{6}\left( g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} + g_{\mu}^{\beta}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} + g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} \right) (\mu, \nu, \sigma),
\]

\[
T^{p2} = \frac{1}{3}\left( g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} + g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} + g_{\mu}^{\alpha}g_{\nu}^{\beta}g_{\sigma}^{\beta} \right) .
\]

\[
\phi_{\sigma}(u) = 6u(1 - u)\left\{ 1 + \left( 5\eta_{3} - \frac{27}{20}\eta^{2} - \frac{3}{5}\rho_{3}a_{2} \right) \right\} C_{3}^{1/2}(\zeta),
\]

\[
g_{\pi}(u) = 1 + \left( \frac{18}{3}a_{2} + 60\eta_{3} + \frac{20}{3}\eta_{4} \right) C_{2}^{1/2}(\zeta)
+ \left( \frac{9}{27}a_{2} - 6\eta_{3}\eta_{4} \right) C_{1}^{1/2}(\zeta),
\]

\[A(u) = 6u\left( \frac{16}{15} + \frac{24}{35}a_{2} + 2a_{3} + \frac{20}{9}\eta_{4} + \left[ -\frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{16} \right] \eta_{3}\eta_{4} \right)
- \frac{7}{27}\eta_{3}\eta_{4} C_{2}^{1/2}(\zeta) + \left[ -\frac{11}{210}a_{2} \right]
- \frac{4}{135}\eta_{3}\eta_{4} C_{1}^{3/2}(\zeta) + \left( -\frac{18}{5}a_{2} + 21\eta_{4}\eta_{4} \right) \right\}.
\]

APPENDIX B

The distribution amplitudes \( \varphi_{\pi} \) etc can be parameterized as [20, 21]

\[
\varphi_{\pi}(u) = 6u\left( 1 + a_{1}C_{3}^{1/2}(\zeta) + a_{2}C_{2}^{1/2}(\zeta) \right),
\]

\[
\phi_{p}(u) = 1 + \left[ 30\eta_{3} - \frac{5}{2}\rho_{2} \right] C_{2}^{1/2}(\zeta) + \left[ -3\eta_{3}\omega_{3} - \frac{27}{20}\eta_{2}\rho_{3}a_{2} \right] C_{1}^{1/2}(\zeta),
\]

where \( \tilde{u} \equiv 1 - u, \zeta \equiv 2u - 1, C_{3}^{1/2,1/2}(\zeta) \) are Gegenbauer polynomials. Here \( g_{x}(u) = B(u) + \varphi_{x}(u) \). \( a_{1,2} \eta_{3} = 0, a_{1,2}^{K} = 0.06, a_{2}^{K,\eta} = 0.25, \eta_{2}^{K,\eta} = 0.015, \eta_{3}^{K,\eta} = 0.013, \omega_{1}^{K,\eta} = -3, \eta_{4}^{K} = 10, \eta_{4}^{K} = 0.6, \eta_{4}^{K,\eta} = 0.5, \omega_{2}^{K,\eta} = 0.2, \rho_{2}^{K} \) etc give the mass corrections and are defined as \( \rho_{2}^{K} = \left( \frac{m_{K}^{2} + m_{N}^{2}}{m_{K}^{2}} \right) \), \( \rho_{K,\eta} = \left( \frac{m_{K}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{K}^{2}} \right), \rho_{K,\eta} = \left( \frac{m_{K}^{2} - m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{K}^{2}} \right), f_{\pi} = 0.13 GeV, f_{K} = 0.16 GeV, f_{\eta} = 0.156 GeV. All of them are scaled at \( \mu = 1 GeV \).

APPENDIX C

In this appendix we collect the sum rules we have obtained for the strongly coupled D wave heavy doublets with light hadrons.

\[
g_{1}f_{-}f_{-} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}f_{\pi} \exp \left[ \frac{A_{-} + \frac{1}{2}}{T} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{2}u\tilde{\varphi}_{\pi}(u)T^{2}f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{\pi}}{T}) - \frac{1}{8}m_{\pi}^{2}[uA(u)] + m_{\pi}^{2}[G_{1}(u) + G_{2}(u)] \right\} \bigg|_{u = u_{0}},
\]

\[
g_{2}f_{+}f_{-} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}f_{\pi} \exp \left[ \frac{A_{+} + \frac{1}{2}}{T} \right] \left\{ (u(1 - u)\tilde{\varphi}_{\pi}(u))T^{2}f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{\pi}}{T}) - \frac{1}{4}m_{\pi}^{2}A(u)T - \frac{1}{3}m_{\pi}^{2}\tilde{\varphi}_{\pi}(u) \right\} \bigg|_{u = u_{0}},
\]

\[
g_{3}f_{1}f_{-} = \frac{1}{3}f_{\pi} \exp \left[ \frac{A_{1} + \frac{1}{2}}{T} \right] \left\{ [u(1 - u)\tilde{\varphi}_{\pi}(u)]T^{2}f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{\pi}}{T}) - \frac{1}{4}m_{\pi}^{2}[u(1 - u)A(u)] + m_{\pi}^{2}[G_{3}(u) + G_{4}(u) + 2G_{5}(u)] + 2m_{\pi}^{2}A(u) \right\} \bigg|_{u = u_{0}},
\]

\[
g_{4}f_{-}f_{+} = \frac{1}{3}f_{\pi} \exp \left[ \frac{A_{+} + \frac{1}{2}}{T} \right] \left\{ (u(1 - u)\tilde{\varphi}_{\pi}(u))T^{2}f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{\pi}}{T}) - \frac{1}{4}m_{\pi}^{2}[u(1 - u)A(u)] + m_{\pi}^{2}[G_{3}(u) + G_{4}(u) + 2G_{5}(u)] + 2m_{\pi}^{2}A(u) \right\} \bigg|_{u = u_{0}},
\]
\[
g_{s} f_{+,\frac{1}{2}} f_{-,\frac{3}{2}} = -\frac{1}{4f} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{+,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{-,\frac{3}{2}}}{T} \right] \left\{ \left[ u(1-u)\varphi_{\pi}(u) \right]^T T f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) \right\} u_{u_{0}}, \tag{C4}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{-,\frac{1}{2}} f_{+,-\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{96} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{-,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{+,\frac{3}{2}}}{T} \right] \left\{ \left[ u^{2} \varphi_{\pi}(u) \right] T f_{0}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - \frac{2}{3} \mu_{\pi} [ (1-u)\varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T^{2} f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) \right\} u_{u_{0}}, \tag{C5}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{+,\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{+,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{-,\frac{1}{2}}}{T} \right] u(1-u) \left\{ \varphi_{\pi}(u) T f_{0}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - \frac{1}{4T} m_{\pi}^{2} A(u) - \frac{1}{3} \mu_{\pi} \varphi_{\pi}(u) \right\} u_{u_{0}}, \tag{C6}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{+,\frac{1}{2}} f_{-,\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{+,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{-,\frac{3}{2}}}{T} \right] u^{2} \left\{ \varphi_{\pi}(u) T f_{0}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - \frac{1}{4T} m_{\pi}^{2} A(u) + \frac{1}{3} \mu_{\pi} \varphi_{\pi}(u) \right\} u_{u_{0}}, \tag{C7}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{-,\frac{1}{2}} f_{+,\frac{3}{2}} = -\frac{\sqrt{15}}{20} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{+,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{-,\frac{1}{2}}}{T} \right] \left\{ u^{2} \varphi_{\pi}(u) T^{2} f_{1}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - \frac{1}{4} m_{\pi}^{2} [ u^{2} A(u) ] \right\} - \frac{1}{2} m_{\pi}^{2} [ G_{7}(u) + 2G_{8}(u) + 2G_{9}(u) ] + 2\mu_{\pi} [ u \varphi_{\pi}(u) + \frac{1}{3} \mu_{\pi} \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T f_{0}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) \bigg|_{u_{0}}, \tag{C8}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{+\frac{1}{2}} f_{-,\frac{3}{2}} = -\frac{\sqrt{10}}{30} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{+,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{-,\frac{3}{2}}}{T} \right] \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} [ u^{2} (1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T^{3} f_{2}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - \frac{1}{12} \mu_{\pi} [ 7(u(1-\frac{1}{u}) \varphi_{\pi}(u)) \right\} + (u^{2}(1-u)\varphi_{\pi}(u)) \left( \frac{\omega_{c}}{T} \right) + m_{\pi}^{2} [ u^{2}(1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] \left( \frac{\omega_{c}}{T} \right) + 7 \mu_{\pi} u A(\mu) \bigg|_{u_{0}}. \tag{C9}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{-,\frac{1}{2}} f_{+\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{18} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{+,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{-,\frac{3}{2}}}{T} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{8} [ u^{2} (1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T^{3} f_{3}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) + \frac{1}{4} \mu_{\pi} [ u^{2}(1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] \right\} + \frac{1}{3} [ u(1-\frac{1}{2}u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ]' T^{2} f_{2}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\pi}^{2} [ (u^{2}(1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u))' + \frac{7}{2} (u A(\mu))' \right\} + \frac{1}{16} [ (u^{2}(1-u) A(u))' - \frac{1}{5} u(1-\frac{1}{2}u) B(u) - \frac{1}{2} (u^{2}(1-u) B(u))' - G_{7}(u) ] + \frac{9}{5} [ G_{2}(u) ] T^{2} f_{2}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) - m_{\pi}^{2} [ u^{2}(1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) + \frac{1}{3} (1-\frac{1}{2}u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T f_{0}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) \bigg|_{u_{0}}, \tag{C10}
\]

\[
g_{s} f_{+\frac{1}{2}} f_{-,\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{15} \exp \left[ \frac{\Lambda_{-,\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_{+,\frac{3}{2}}}{T} \right] \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} [ u^{2}(1-u) \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T^{2} f_{3}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) + \frac{1}{8} m_{\pi}^{2} [ u^{2}(1-u) A(u) ] \right\} + m_{\pi}^{2}[ G_{10}(u) - 2G_{11}(u) + 2G_{12}(u) - 6G_{13}(u) ] - \mu_{\pi} u(1-u) [ u \varphi_{\pi}(u) + \frac{1}{12} \mu_{\pi} \varphi_{\pi}(u) ] T f_{0}(\frac{\omega_{c}}{T}) \bigg|_{u_{0}}, \tag{C11}
\]
\[ g_0 f_{\frac{3}{2}}^{3/2} = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} f_\pi \exp \left[ \frac{-\Lambda + \frac{\Delta}{2}}{T} \right] u^2 (1-u)^2 \left\{ \varphi_\pi(u) T f_0 \left( \frac{\omega_\pi}{T} \right) - \frac{1}{4} m_\pi^2 A(u) \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{3} m_\pi \varphi_\sigma(u) \right\} \Bigg|_{u=u_0}, \]  

\[ g_0 f_{\frac{1}{2}}^{3/2} = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{45} f_\pi \exp \left[ \frac{-\Lambda + \frac{\Delta}{2}}{T} \right] \left\{ - \frac{1}{4} u^2 (1-u)^2 \varphi_\pi(u) \right\} \left. T^3 f_2 \left( \frac{\omega_\pi}{T} \right) + \frac{1}{12} m_\pi \left. \left[ u^2 (1-u)^2 \varphi_\sigma(u) \right] \right|_{u=u_0} , \]  

\[ g_0 f_{\frac{1}{2}}^{3/2} = - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{45} f_\pi \exp \left[ \frac{-\Lambda + \frac{\Delta}{2}}{T} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{24} m_\pi ^2 \left[ u^2 (1-u)^2 \varphi_\sigma(u) \right] \right|_{u=u_0} , \]  

The G’s are defined as integrals of light cone wave function B(u)

\[ G_1 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt \]  

\[ G_2 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_3 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_4 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_5 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_6 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_7 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_8 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_9 (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_{10} (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_{11} (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_{12} (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt , \]  

\[ G_{13} (u) \equiv \int_0^u \int_0^x \int_0^z B(t) dt . \]  
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