Numerical study of air staging in a coke oven heating system
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Abstract The air staging to combustion approach introduced to a coke oven heating system as a primary method of nitrogen oxide (NO) formation reduction is considered in this paper. To numerically investigate the thermal and prompt NO formation, a heating flue model representing the most popular Polish coke oven battery was used. The model was developed and experimentally validated as a transient coupled model for the representative heating flue and the two coke ovens. Numerical simulations were performed to estimate the amount of NO passing into the atmosphere during the operation of such a heating system with and without the secondary air inlets. Various strategies for the secondary air distribution along the flue gas flow as well as the secondary air velocity were studied. The results of the numerical investigation demonstrated the substantial positive effect of the considered air staging on NO formation reduction.
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Introduction

Considering its emission and significantly toxic characteristics, nitrogen oxide (NO) pollution represents an important issue in modern combustion technologies. This substance contributes to smog formation, causes plant decay and negatively impacts living creatures. A vast majority of the emitted NO is created by burning fossil fuels which cause the crucial impact on the ecological area. The emission limitations of NO are also related to the economical area. An interesting analysis concentrated on an influence of the investment part on the air quality was presented in the literature (Panepinto et al. 2014). Further analysis which concerned the time variable emission was provided by Kumar et al. (2016). In that work, a global perspective of various emission sectors was studied. An illustration of the European Union developed on the basis of NO and SO2 emission coming from Spain was presented by García-Gusano et al. (2015). The economic aspects were investigated by those authors, and conclusions based on renewable energy sources were presented. Similar analysis focused on the Polish energetic sector was performed as well. Namely, Ilamathi et al. (2013) analysed renewable sources located in sea-shore district of Poland. Nevertheless, the investigation of this character was not presented for other districts of Poland. However, according to Houshfar et al. (2014), the emission due to pyrolysis and combustion processes takes a position of serious pollutant in such an industrial sectors as those located in the south of Poland.

Currently, NO emission is legally limited in many countries around the world. Each novelisation of greenhouse and toxic gas emission limits in countries of the European Union provides a significant restriction on the permitted amount of pollution released into the
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atmosphere, as defined in Directive 2001/80/EC in the Official Journal of the European Communities. For example, large combustion plants supplied with hard coal, where the nominal thermal power exceeds 500 MW, are obligated not to emit more than 500 mg/Nm³ of NO with a mole fraction of the oxide in the flue gases of 6%. The most tangible restriction (binding since 1 January, 2016) sets the standard for NO emission to 200 mg/Nm³.

The problem of NO emission has been studied in numerous works. Loffler et al. (2006) considered the contribution of thermal and prompt mechanisms of NO generation on the overall NO emission for the premixed combustion of natural gas. The result of that work was a simplified model of thermal NO formation. Ma et al. (2009) developed biomass co-firing models and described the effects of this process on NO emission. In the work of Miltner et al. (2008), Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) methods were used to optimise the work of a solid biomass combustor and predicted the fuel-NO emission. Zhou et al. (2014) analysed the influence of the primary air pipe structure on the NO emission of a swirl burner. A numerical study of NO formation from turbulent lean-premixed flames was investigated by Kang et al. (2009). They used a flamelet model to represent the complex turbulence-chemistry interaction. In the work of Ilamathi et al. (2013), the authors performed an experimental study and artificial neural network computations to estimate NO emission from a pulverised coal-fired boiler. The results of experimental research on NO formation in a PF oxy-fuel firing system are described in the work of Ndibe et al. (2013). In the study of Boyd Fackler et al. (2011), both experimental and numerical investigations were performed for a high-intensity, single-jet, stirred reactor to predict the amount of emitted NO.

Substantial changes in NO emission limits require efficient methods for reducing NO formation. Different approaches have been developed to this end. In general, these methods can be divided into primary and secondary methods. Primary methods for decreasing NO emission (Annamalai and Puri 2006) include rearranging the combustion process itself to obtain a lower amount of NO passing into the atmosphere. Operations of this type are widely described in the literature. In the works of Nimmo et al. (2008), the reburning method, defined as a three-stage combustion process, was presented. A decrease in NO emission based on the use of this approach was achieved by reducing the maximum temperature within the gas domain. A similar method was considered in the work of Hapartoumian et al. (2003), where the reburning fuel was a pulverised coal. The use of offfire air to achieve combustion in a tangentially fired utility boiler was studied in the work of Diez et al. (2008). The authors developed and then validated a mathematical model that can extend analysis of the implementation of the NO emission reduction using primary methods. Cozzi and Coghe (2012) applied air staging to swirling burner. The authors considered a non-premixed natural gas combustor under overall lean conditions. Air staging and swirl burners were also a main topic of the work of Li et al. (2015). In that work, various secondary air mass flow rates and their influence on carbon oxide and NO emissions were considered. The concept of air staging in large-scale industrial boiler has been widely discussed by Higgins et al. (2010). The authors proposed the RROFA technology for a reduction of NO emission to follow environmental regulations. The work of Wang et al. (2014) shows the possible application of a co-firing process with air staging on the NO emission reduction using advanced CFD models.

The main source of NO pollution is the heavy and power industries. One of the most important branches of heavy industry is coke production. Coke is a fuel used to supply blast furnaces and contributes to a major part of worldwide steel production. This fuel is obtained via the coking process, namely by heating hard coal without air access. The thermal energy required by this process is generally provided through a coke oven gas (COG) or blast furnace gas (BFG). In Polish coke plants, COG is mainly used as a by-product of the coking process. Therefore, a significant amount of NO, i.e. 400 g/Mg to 950 g/Mg of coke, is formed during coke plant operation (Report 2005). This range depends on the battery type, age, technical state and applied emission reduction technologies.

The problem of NO pollution in the coking industry has already been studied. Jin et al. (2013) investigated the influence of BFG staging on NO emission. The authors performed numerical computations on a coupled model of a coke oven furnace and two halves of coking chambers. Their results showed that the staging fuel (as well as its distribution among specific inlets) has a large impact on the resulting NO pollution. Another example is the work of Weiss et al. (2012), in which NO emission reduction in a coke oven furnace was also investigated. These authors investigated the influence of BFG staging enriched with natural and converter gases.

Unfortunately, other than the idea of external flue gas recirculation, modifications to the coke oven battery in operation aimed at NO reduction cannot be easily implemented due to the construction limitations of plants. Namely, an approach that involves air staging requires additional inlets at selected levels. This means that a rebuilding of the furnace wall is necessary to include new air ducts. The wall is made of silica brick, and its operating temperature cannot exceed 1273 K during the coking process. Alternatively, longer processing times than indicated by coking technology exclusion of the combustion process lead to a non-permissible decrease in the wall
temperature. Such a temperature drop causes a degradation of the mechanical properties of the brick and consequently results in the destruction of the entire construction. This has a crucial impact on the realistic possibilities of the air staging implementation when considering a coke plant in operation. Taking the cost aspects of this technology into account, it is worth noting that reburning technologies are still a more expensive primary method of NO reduction. Therefore, the idea of staging air combustion seems to be a very interesting approach.

The objective of this paper is to investigate air staging combustion in the most modern coke oven battery (PWR-63) on the Polish coke production market. The investigated type of coke oven is yet to be studied in terms of NO emission reduction. In addition, this technology of an oxidizer supply implemented in, for example, steam boilers cannot be directly compared with this application because of the different combustion conditions. The main differences include the geometry of the combustion chamber resulting in different values of the velocity and turbulence intensity fields and the manner of heat transfer. However, the most important difference is in the air–fuel mixture inlets because simple rectangular ducts are applied in the coke oven battery, and low-emission swirl burners are used in steam boilers.

This paper is also important for Polish emission reduction policy because Poland produces coke at one of the highest rates in the EU, contributing almost 25 %. Many reports (for example, Report of the Polish Ministry of Environment, 2005) show that the emission of harmful substances, including NO, from coke oven batteries is a serious problem. That document also indicated that air staging technologies represent a potentially effective way to decrease such emissions.

To perform this study, a fully developed model of the combustion chamber in the above-mentioned unit was used. The model was first formulated and subsequently experimentally validated in a transient coupled form. The model includes two coke ovens and a heating flue as a representative domain of the entire coke oven battery (Smolka et al. 2016). For this study, the model of the heating flue was used only as described in the work of Smolka et al. (2014). However, the boundary conditions were taken from the transient coupled model and then time averaged. The model was completed with a number of staging air inlets located in the vertical walls between the heating flue and the coke oven furnace.

To apply the most efficient strategy for staging air combustion, various approaches of secondary air delivery are analysed in this work, including an approach considering the height of the inlet location in the wall and a combination of the parallel operation of the air streams and the air velocity at the inlets. Following numerous computations, the most promising distribution of the secondary air in the proposed additional inlets was found. The emission reduction obtained under the final solution is substantial when compared to the case without air staging.

Model of gas combustion in coke oven furnaces

Physical model

A coke oven battery is formed by a series of heating flues and coking chambers that are placed in rows to maximise the heat transfer rate. The battery heating system consists of a number of furnaces with upward and downward flues. To endure the high temperatures, the heating walls of the coke oven battery are made of silica brick.

The considered heating flue is supplied by the purified COG and air from the bottom inlets, as shown in Fig. 1. Moreover, to consider the air staging in this paper, the secondary air inlets were located at five levels of the vertical wall that separated the neighbouring flues.

In this system, the air and fuel are introduced into an upward flue. Then, the air–fuel mixture combusts, and the hot flue gases flow upward, pass the bridge window and flow down along a downward flue. The flue gas outlet is located at the bottom of the downward flue. The flue gas flow increases the temperature of the heating walls via convection and radiation. Then, heat is transferred to a coking chamber through the wall. A schematic view of the heating flue is shown in Fig. 1.

The required temperature of the coke in the centre of the coking chamber at the end of the coking cycle is approximately 1273 K. Thus, the temperature of the flue gases should be in the range of 1673 K to 1873 K. To increase the thermal efficiency of the entire process, the enthalpy of hot flue gases is employed to heat the COG and air to combustion using a ceramic regenerator. Additional details of the heating system installed in the coke oven plant can be found in the works of Smolka et al. (2014) and Smolka et al. (2016).

Mathematical model

The governing equations that describe the phenomena of gas combustion in the coke oven furnace and heat transfer in the construction walls of the coke oven are formulated as follows (Anderson (1995)):

- Energy equation solved for temperature, which is coupled with the velocity field for fluids (mixture of gas, air and flue gases) and for the temperature fields in solid walls:
Continuity equation solved for pressure coupled with the velocity vector of the fluids:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u \mathbf{v}) = \sum_i S_{yi}
\]

where \( S_{yi} \) is the mass source term of the \( i \)th species.

Momentum equation solved for the velocity vector components coupled with the pressure of the fluids:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{r} + \rho g + S
\]

where \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration vector and \( S \) is the source term in the momentum transport equation.

Turbulence (two-equation \( k-\varepsilon \) realisable turbulence) solved for the turbulence kinetic energy and the dissipation of the turbulence kinetic energy in the fluids:

\[
\rho (\mathbf{v} \nabla \kappa) = \nabla \cdot \left( \left[ \mu + \frac{\mu_T}{Pr} \right] \nabla \kappa \right) + S_{i_T, \kappa, \mathbf{v}} - \rho \varepsilon
\]

\[
\rho (\mathbf{v} \nabla \varepsilon) = \nabla \cdot \left( \left[ \mu + \frac{\mu_T}{Pr} \right] \nabla \varepsilon \right) + C_{\varepsilon 1} \varepsilon S_{i_T, \kappa, \mathbf{v}} - C_{\varepsilon 2} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\kappa}
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the turbulent kinetic energy, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( Pr \) is the Prandtl number, \( \varepsilon \) is the dissipation rate of the turbulent kinetic energy and \( C_{\varepsilon 1} \) and \( C_{\varepsilon 2} \) are the model constants. This model was compared with other two-equation models offered in commercial software in the previous works of Smolka et al. (2014) and Smolka et al. (2016) and successfully validated.

Species transport. The number of these equations depends on the gas composition:

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho y_i)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho y_i \mathbf{v}) = \nabla \cdot \rho D_i \nabla y_i + S_{yi}
\]

Radiation (Discrete Ordinate model) solved for the radiative heat sources in the fluids and for the radiative heat fluxes on the surfaces of the solid walls.
\[ \nabla \cdot \{ I_i(r, s) s \} + (a_i + \sigma_s) I_i(r, s) = \frac{a_i}{4\pi} \int I_i(r, s') \Phi(s', s') d\Phi' \]  
(9)

\[ \dot{q}_r = a \int_{\omega = \pi} (i - i_b) d\omega \]  
(10)

\[ \frac{d\xi(r, \xi)}{d\zeta} = -ai(r, \xi) + ai_b(r, \xi) \]  
(11)

\[ \dot{q}_{s,n} = -\nabla \cdot \dot{q}_r \]  
(12)

where \( I \) is the intensity, \( a \) is the absorption coefficient, \( I_{b\beta} \) is the black body intensity, \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( n \) is the refractive index, \( s \) is the scattering direction vector and \( \Phi \) is the phase coefficient.

All the material properties of the component gases that constitute the flue gases and the properties of the solid subdomain made of silica brick were described as being temperature dependent. A summary of the property data defined in the model is listed in Table 1.

For external walls at the bottom and top of the furnace, a convection boundary condition was defined. To simulate the influence of adjacent coking chambers, a heat flux boundary condition for the heating walls was employed. However, due to the reversing of the direction of the flue gases flow, the real coking process becomes unsteady. Hence, to simplify the numerical investigation, the value of the heat transferred through the heating walls was assumed to be an average value from the entire coking process. The value of 5000 W/m² was estimated and successfully validated in the authors’ previous work on the coupled model of the heating system (Smolka et al. 2016). As a result of such an assumption, the numerical prediction of a typical amount of NO emitted over the entire cycle of coke oven furnace operation can be employed.

The mass flow boundary conditions, including temperature and gas compositions for the gas and air inlets, are shown in Table 2. These values were held constant in all the numerical test cases. However, the air mass flow rate shown in this table was distributed among the primary and secondary inlets located in the bottom and side walls, respectively. All the tested configurations are discussed in “COG combustion with air staging” section and listed in Table 3.

### Chemical reactions and NO calculation model

To properly simulate gas combustion phenomena, the eddy-dissipation model is appropriate as long as volumetric reactions are used. The two-step gas oxidation process is investigated in this paper. The following reaction mechanisms are introduced:

\[(CH_4 + 1.5O_2 = CO + 2H_2O) \]  
(13)
\[(CO + 0.5O_2 = CO_2) \]  
(14)
\[(H_2 + 0.5O_2 = H_2O) \]  
(15)
\[(C_2H_4 + 2O_2 = 2CO + 2H_2O) \]  
(16)
\[(C_3H_6 + 3O_2 = 3CO + 3H_2O) \]  
(17)
\[(C_6H_6 + 4.5O_2 = 6CO + 3H_2O) \]  
(18)

### Table 1 Material properties of the flue gases and heating flue walls (temperatures are given in K)

| Property                      | Fluid subdomain                      | Solid subdomain                      |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Density                       | Ideal gas model                      | 1800                                 |
| Thermal conductivity          | Mass-weighted average for the flue   | 0.000628T + 0.9334                    |
|                               | gases and the polynomial functions of|                                      |
|                               | the temperature for the component    |                                      |
| Specific heat                 |                                      | 0.25116T + 768.633                   |
| Mass diffusivity              |                                      | –                                    |
| Dynamic viscosity             | Molar-weighted average based on      | –                                    |
|                              | temperature-dependent binary diffusivities |                              |
| Absorption coefficient        | Weighted sum of the grey gas model   | –                                    |
### Modelling the NO reduction process

Three mechanisms of NO formation may be distinguished: thermal, prompt and fuel mechanisms. The thermal mechanism of NO formation (Zeldovich mechanism) occurs in a large-scale manner when the temperature exceeds 1600 K (Wilk 2002) or even 1700 K (Warnatz et al. 2006). At such a high temperature, nitrogen molecules can dissociate into two atoms, creating an opportunity to form NO molecules. The prompt mechanism relies on reactions between the nitrogen and hydrocarbon molecules. Radicals obtained in this manner can easily be oxidised to NO. The fuel-NO formation mechanism occurs when nitrogen is chemically bonded in a fuel.

A determination of the NO emission rates was performed using post-processing computations based on the temperature and flue gas mole fraction fields. Thermal and prompt NO generation mechanisms are assumed. The former mechanism is mostly responsible for modelling hydrocarbon radicals, such as CH and CH₂, which can react very rapidly with nitrogen to produce NO. To simplify the employed numerical simulation, only the global kinetic parameters were used in the presented work to control the rate of NO production (Soete 1975).

The thermal mechanism contributes more to the overall NO formation process, mainly during gaseous fuel combustion. The source of pollutant formation is nitrogen provided to the combustion chamber by the oxidiser. The thermal mechanism makes a significant contribution to pollutant formation when the temperature in the combustion chamber exceeds 1923 K, as presented by Kuo (2005). Under this condition, the nitrogen and oxygen from the oxidiser disassociate into atomic nitrogen and oxygen, which can produce NO. As a result, the thermal NO formation process is governed by two reversible reactions (N₂ + O → NO + N, N + O₂ → NO + O), as proposed by Zeldovich (1946) and further extended by Lavoie et al. (1970) by considering the reaction of N with the radical hydroxyl OH (N + OH → NO + H). An important feature of the thermal NO mechanism is an assumption that the rates of formation and consumption of N atoms are equal. Hence, NO formation is defined as follows:

\[
\frac{d[NO]}{dt} = 2k_{f,1}[O][N_2] \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k_{r,1}[N][NO]}{k_{r,2}[NO]}}
\]

(19)

where \(k_{r,1}, k_{r,2}\) and \(k_{r,3}\) are the rate constants for the forward reactions, and \(k_{r,1}, k_{r,2}\) and \(k_{r,3}\) are the rate constants for the reverse reactions. Equation (19) requires that the concentrations of O and OH be known. In the presented work, the partial equilibrium model developed based on the experimental data proposed by Bachmaier et al. (1973), which were evaluated for high hydrocarbon fuels and fuel-rich combustion conditions. These data were input into the original Soete (1975) prompt model, in which the final form of equation is defined as follows:

\[
S_{\text{Thermal,NO}} = M_w \cdot NO \cdot \frac{d[NO]}{dt}
\]

(22)

The presented results were computed using the prompt model developed based on the experimental data proposed by Bachmaier et al. (1973), which were evaluated for high hydrocarbon fuels and fuel-rich combustion conditions. These data were input into the original Soete (1975) prompt model, in which the final form of equation is defined as follows:

\[
\frac{d[NO]}{dt} = f_{pr} \cdot [O2]^a[N2][FUEL] \cdot e^{-E/RT}
\]

(23)

where \(f\) is the correction factor, \(E\) is the activation energy, \(a\) is the oxygen reaction order, \(FUEL\) are the fuel species and...
COG combustion with air staging

Typical values of the air excess ratios used in the heating system of the PWR-63 coke oven battery are in the range of 1.3 to 1.5. In this paper, a single value of the air-to-fuel ratio of 1.5 was used. The theoretical basis for the approach of air staging for achieving combustion used in this paper was developed by Wilk (2002). The staging combustion performed in this study is based on the division of the total oxidiser mass flow rate into a primary and secondary stream. The primary air is used to form the reducing atmosphere in the first part of the combustion chamber.
According to the NO emission results, the best options for the air staging implementation seemed to be Case 1 for the 60/40 air distribution and Case 6 for the 70/30 air distribution. These two cases differ in their NO emission reductions by only 6%. In general, better and more uniform NO emission results were obtained for the 70/30 distribution than for the 60/40 distribution of the total air mass flow rate. This tendency is very noticeable for Cases 4 through 8 and Case 10 for the 70/30 distribution, where the largest difference in the NO emission is only 20 PPM. Based on the results presented in Fig. 2, the most significant decrease in NO pollution was observed for Case 6, which provided beneficial outcomes for both total air mass flow distributions. Therefore, Case 6 was further considered as the most perspective case for both distributions (60/40 and 70/30). Using this base case, the mass flow rate contributions of the additional air at Inlets 3 through 5 were modified to find the air distribution variant that obtained the highest NO reduction. The computations were performed for both considered total air mass flow distributions. The data from those cases are depicted in Table 4.

The results of the NO emission computations for those cases are shown in Fig. 3. Cases 6A and 6B, where the secondary air stream was supplied at three altitudes, provided almost the same outcome as Case 6. In Cases 6C and 6D, where Inlet 3 was closed, the NO emission increase was almost 10% higher than that of Case 6. These results had the same tendency for both considered total air mass flow distributions. Namely, the results were almost identical between Cases 6A and 6B and Case 6, and higher NO emission was observed for Cases 6C and 6D. Thus, the use of three additional inlets provided more efficient NO reductions in comparison to the cases where only two secondary inlets supplied the air.

For cases providing the highest NO emission reduction, i.e. Cases 1 and 6, a study of the secondary air stream velocity influence on NO formation was performed. The air velocity is modified in two ways: by decreasing the inlet width by 30% and by decreasing the inlet height by 50%. As in all the previous cases, the computations were performed for the 60/40 and 70/30 total air mass flow distributions. The results of the secondary air velocity impact on the NO emission are presented for Cases 1 and 6 in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively.

Table 4 The simulation scenario for the Case 6 analysis for the 60/40 and 70/30 distributions of the total air mass flow rate

| Case/inlet | 0   | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4 (%) | 5 (%) | 0  | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4 (%) | 5 (%) |
|------------|-----|----|----|----|-------|-------|----|----|----|----|-------|-------|
| 6          | 60% | –  | –  | 4% | 12    | 24    | 70%| –  | –  | 3% | 9     | 18    |
| 6A         | –   | –  | 4% | 10 | 26    | –     | –  | 3% | 7.5| 19.5| –     | –     |
| 6B         | –   | –  | 4% | 14 | 22    | –     | –  | 3% | 10.5| 16.5| –     | –     |
| 6C         | –   | –  | –  | 14 | 26    | –     | –  | –  | 10.5| 19.5| –     | –     |
| 6D         | –   | –  | –  | 12 | 28    | –     | –  | –  | 9   | 21  | –     | –     |
In Fig. 4, the higher velocity in Case 1 caused the NO emission to increase for the 60/40 distribution of the total air mass flow and the NO emission to decrease for the 70/30 distribution of the total air mass flow. In Fig. 5, the results obtained for Case 6 are presented. For the 60/40 distribution of the total air mass flow, the height decrease of the secondary air inlet area contributed to almost the same NO emission result. However, the smaller width of the secondary air inlet area caused a substantial NO formation reduction. For the 70/30 distribution of the total air mass flow, the decrease in the secondary air inlet area resulted in an NO emission decrease under both strategies.

In Fig. 6, a comparison of NO emission fields for data from Fig. 5 is presented. For the reference case presented in Fig. 6a, without staging combustion, the emission is the highest. The effect of air staging is noticeable for Case 6 with a standard additional inlet area. The NO emission significantly decreased across the entire heating flue domain, as presented in the cross-section through the gas inlet in this figure. The field with the reducing atmosphere is distinct in the lower part of the upward flue. For the case with reduced area inlets, presented in Figs. 4 and 5, a greater positive influence of staging air on NO formation can be observed. The only exception to this statement is Case 1 with the 60/40 distribution.

To summarise, a negative influence of the decreasing secondary inlet area was predicted for Case 1 with the 60/40 air flow distribution, as shown in Fig. 4. For Case 6, presented in Fig. 5, the inlet width reduction of the secondary inlets resulted in a 10% lower NO emission in comparison to the case with unmodified additional inlets.

**Conclusions**

A numerical investigation of the function of a coke oven heating system with air staging has been performed. A variety of secondary air mass flow distributions were considered for steady-state computations. In this study, the computational domain was limited to the heating flue only. However, to ensure model accuracy, the crucial value of the heat transfer through the heating walls was time averaged based on a fully coupled transient model of the coke oven battery.

The results showed a positive impact on the NO reduction in each considered case. Even the cases with a single secondary inlet obtained a reduced emission of 30% compared with the case without the air staging. In the case of additional air flow distributed between three secondary
air inlets above a half height of the heating flue, the NO emission decreased by an additional 20 %. This result was consistent with the findings in the literature. Weiss et al. (2012) proved that staging on two levels is better than staging only on one level. In another work, Jin et al. (2013) showed that using three levels of staging was better than using only two levels.

In addition, a more beneficial effect on the NO emission for the 70/30 distribution compared to the 60/40 distribution of the total air mass flow rate was presented. The results showed that an appropriate distribution and size of the staging air inlets can reduce NO formation by an additional 10 % compared to the best case with a standard air inlet size.

The presented results are very promising for the Polish coke production sector. It becomes clear that the final distribution and size of the secondary inlets should be a matter of optimisation computations. However, future coke oven batteries or those retrofitted with the implemented air staging will potentially be able to meet stricter emission limits.
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