Rectal leiomyoma, a rare entity
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Abstract

Rectal tumors are often encountered as an incidental finding on screening colonoscopy. As per the World Health Organization, they are categorized according to their histologic appearance. These include epithelial tumors, mesenchymal tumors and lymphomas. Of interest, in our case, are mesenchymal tumors. These are sub-classified into leiomyomas and gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Our case is a 33-year old male who was diagnosed with a rectal leiomyoma. The uncommon incidence and subsequent management of a rectal leiomyoma in a male, make this case worthy for literature review.

Introduction

Rectal leiomyomas have been reported as far back as 1872. Since then, they have had an incidence rate of a mere 1 for every 2000 rectal tumors. Risk factors for developing rectal tumors include smoking, immunosuppression, human papillomavirus, HIV infection and physical trauma, including receptive anal intercourse. These factors are consistent with our case, because our patient is an HIV positive, homosexual male, with a history of receptive anal intercourse. The individual smooth muscle cells are described as spindle-like (elongated), separated by connective tissue consistent with leiomyoma (Figure 2). An endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) was performed to ensure complete removal of the tumor. No residual leiomyomatous tissue was seen on EUS (Figure 3). Informed consent for participation was obtained from this patient.

Discussion and Conclusions

Leiomyomas are by definition benign tumors of smooth muscle. As such, they can theoretically develop wherever smooth muscle is found. Because of this, their presentation, type and location may vary greatly between cases. Gender predilection most often favors females. They are commonly found in the uterus and are referred to as uterine fibroids. Less frequently they are located outside of the uterus, with reported sites including the esophagus, small bowel, urinary bladder, gallbladder and skin. Even more rare are extra-uterine leiomyomas presenting in males. In our case we have an extra-uterine leiomyoma presenting in the rectum of a 33-year old male.

Overall, leiomyomas comprise about 0.03 to 0.05% of all rectal tumors. While they are more common in women, there is data showing that leiomyomas of the gastrointestinal tract are more prevalent in males. A study done in 2001 evaluated a total of 88 patients in two institutions with confirmed leiomyoma of the rectum or colon. Their results showed a significant male predominance in both institutions. Ratios of male to female were 2.6:1 and 2.4:1. Clinical manifestations of rectal leiomyoma include intestinal obstruction, hemorrhage and perforation into the peritoneal cavity. The severity of each manifestation depends greatly on how early these tumors are detected. Diagnostic modalities include colonoscopy, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), computed tomography and magnetic resonance of the rectum. After screening with colonoscopy, EUS is uniformly preferred over the aforementioned modalities. A cohort of 80 patients diagnosed with rectal cancer, showed that EUS was more accurate than CT in staging rectal carcinomas. This impacts management because the treatment of rectal leiomyoma differs with depth of invasion. Ultimately, to make a definitive diagnosis, biopsy is required.

Histologically, leiomyomas are characterized by patterns of whorled smooth muscle bundles separated by connective tissue. The individual smooth muscle cells are described as spindle-like (elongated), with eosinophilic or occasional fibrillar cytoplasm and distinct cell membranes. It is important to make the distinction between leiomyomas and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST). Microscopically, GIST tumors also have spindle cells with eosinophilic fibrillar cytoplasm. To set them apart, special staining is required. A GIST will stain positive for c-kit (CD117), however a leiomyoma will stain positive for actin or desmin.
It is also important to make the distinction between leiomyomas, and their malignant counterpart leiomyosarcomas. Both of these entities have identical histology with divergent prognoses. The latter of the two possesses a poor prognosis, with survival rates ranging from 20-40% at 5 years.12 In contrast, rectal leiomyomas have been demonstrated to rarely show recurrence, and death is mostly attributed to other causes.7 With regards to diagnosis, obtaining an adequate tissue sample is essential in identifying leiomyosarcomas. These would show large tumor cells, few stromal fibers, nuclear pleomorphism and increased mitotic activity.12 Oftentimes superficial samples have been incorrectly diagnosed as benign.13 This underscores the importance of tumor resection, both for accurate identification, and for adequate treatment.

As mentioned before, treatment of a rectal leiomyoma differs with depth of invasion. Surgical resection is generally considered the gold standard for tumors found deep within the submucosa. If the tumor originates from the muscularis mucosae, above the submucosal layer, it can be safely removed using endoscopic resection.11 Endoscopic resection of submucosal tumors can be accomplished in multiple ways. A widely used method that has proven to be successful is resection with an electrosurgical snare. Prior to resection, the submucosal layer beneath the lesion is injected with dye (methylene blue). This is done to create a visible division between the submucosal layer, and tumor tissue, allowing for more precise resection.14 A second method that is also effective is known as the bite on bite excisional biopsy. This method consists of taking multiple biopsies (bites), one on top of the other, until full resection is accomplished.15 When attempting an excisional biopsy, it is important to use jumbo forceps due to the large surface area it provides.16 In our case, tumor resection was achieved with excisional biopsy using jumbo forceps. Endoscopic resection is preferable to surgery due to its cost-effectiveness and decreased risks of perioperative complications.
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