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Optimization and Finite Element Modelling of Tool Wear in Milling of Inconel 625 Superalloy

**Highlights**
- The flank wear in milling of Inconel 625 superalloy was analyzed using finite element and Taguchi methods.
- Analysis of signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio was used to evaluate optimum levels of the cutting parameters for minimum flank wear.
- Developing a mathematical model of tool wear using regression analysis.

**Graphical Abstract**
In this study, machining experiments were performed on a CNC milling machine with different cutting parameters. Experimental results analyzed and a mathematical model was developed.

**Figure.** Experimental procedure

**Aim**
The aim of the study is to develop the mathematical model of the tool wear in milling of Inconel 625 superalloys using finite element and Taguchi methods.

**Design & Methodology**
The flank wear in milling of Inconel 625 superalloy was analyzed via Finite Element and Taguchi methods.

**Originality**
Unlike the literature, it is the development of the mathematical equation for flank wear in milling of Inconel 625 superalloy using finite element and Taguchi methods.

**Findings**
The mean deviation between experimental results and numerical results obtained with FEM was calculated as approximately 9.7%.

**Conclusion**
Taguchi method was a successful methodology to define the optimum cutting parameters in the milling of Inconel 625.

**Declaration of Ethical Standards**
The author(s) of this article declare that the materials and methods used in this study do not require ethical committee permission and/or legal-special permission.
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ABSTRACT

This study focuses on optimization of cutting conditions and numerical analysis of flank wear in milling of Inconel 625 superalloy using PVD AlTiN and CVD TiCN/Al2O3/TiN-coated carbide inserts. The milling experiments have been performed in CNC vertical machining centre according to Taguchi L18 orthogonal array. Finite element modelling of tool wear was performed using Deform 3D software. Analysis of variance was utilized to define the influences of the milling conditions on Vb. The results showed that the feed rate (with 41.5% contribution rate) is the most important parameter affecting Vb. The linear and quadratic regression analyses were used to estimate the results of the test. The regression analysis results showed that the estimated Vb values achieved by the quadratic regression model were more effective compared to the linear regression model. Statistical results revealed that the Taguchi method was successful to define optimum cutting parameters in the milling of Inconel 625.
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INTRODUCTION

Inconel 625, one of the nickel-based superalloys, has been used widely in aerospace engine and power generation turbine components, as well as in petrochemical, food processing, nuclear reactor, and pollution control equipment's. Some of the important properties of this material are high-temperature, creep, and rupture strength, outstanding fatigue and thermal-fatigue strength, excellent oxidation resistance at corrosive environments. These properties make it ideal for use in high-strength, high-temperature applications. The cutting tool technologies are developing depending on the developments in engineering materials. This development is necessary for machining performance of materials with superior properties such as high tensile, creep, and rupture strength, outstanding fatigue and thermal-fatigue strength, excellent oxidation resistance at corrosive environments. Coating technology is one of the best significant ways of raising the wear resistance of tools. Physical vapor deposition (PVD) and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) methods are used to coat the cutting tools. In recent years, researchers have focused on the comparison of PVD and CVD coatings in terms of machinability of difficult-to-cut materials. The cutting tool technologies are developing depending on the developments in engineering materials. This development is necessary for machining performance of materials with superior properties such as high tensile, creep, and rupture strength, outstanding fatigue and thermal-fatigue strength, excellent oxidation resistance at corrosive environments. Coating technology is one of the best significant ways of raising the wear resistance of tools. Physical vapor deposition (PVD) and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) methods are used to coat the cutting tools. In recent years, researchers have focused on the comparison of PVD and CVD coatings in terms of machinability of difficult-to-cut materials. Jindal et al performed one of the first works related to this topic and they investigated the performance of different coated tools in turning [3]. The results showed that the best metal cutting performance has been obtained by TiAlN coated tools, followed by the TiCN and TiN coated tools. In another study, Sokovic et al [4]
investigated the cutting performance of the ceramic tools inserts with PVD and CVD coating. It has been observed that the application of hard coatings results in both longer tool life for cutting tools and improved machining surface quality. Kvak investigated the machining performance of PVD and CVD coated inserts in milling Hadfield steel [5]. The analysis results showed that the feed rate was the most significant parameter affecting Ra whereas the cutting speed was the most important parameter affecting Vb. The machinability in hard turning of Hadfield steel using the mixed ceramic tool (Al2O3/TiC) evaluated by Horng et al [6]. The results showed that the cutting speed (Vc) and the nose radius of the cutting tool affected the flank wear.

In the manufacturing industry, it is desired to improve the manufacturing quality while reducing the production costs. There are many factors that have an influence upon product cost and quality; for example, rigidity of machine tool, the workpiece and tool materials, machining parameters, cooling etc. Therefore, numerous researchers recommend that the cutting simulation and optimization of input parameters is necessary to reduce the production cost and machining time [7-15]. Yaşar [16] investigated the thrust force modelling by using Advantedge software and optimization of Ra in drilling of AA-7075. The results showed that there is approximately 4.9% difference between the test and the simulation thrust force values. In addition, it was observed, in both experimental and numerical analysis results, that the thrust force increases with increase in feed rate. Korkmaz and Güney [17] studied the modelling of cutting forces and the power consumption by using Advantedge software based on finite element method in turning of AISI 420 martensitic stainless steel. Consequently, it was determined that there was an average 7% difference between experimental and simulated cutting forces. They also referred that the finite element modelling of Fc and power consumption is appropriate with the tests results, and it can be made with high correctness without extreme machining tests of difficult-to-cut materials.

Literature survey shows that there are not much studies related to finite element modelling of the tool wear in milling Inconel 625 alloys using cutting tools coated with different coatings. Apart from that the comparison of PVD and CVD coatings, in terms of machining performance of this material, has not been done. For this reason, in this work, experimental and numerical analyzes were performed to determine Vb in milling of Inconel 625 depending on the milling parameters and also cutting tools coating (AlTiN-PVD and TiCN/Al2O3/TiN-CVD).

2. MATERIAL and METHOD

2.1 Material and Equipment

The purpose of this work is to research the effects of tool insert coating and cutting parameters on the tool wear in milling of Inconel 625 alloy. Therefore, the machining tests were performed without a coolant in CNC vertical machining. Inconel 625, nickel-based superalloy, was used for the machinability testing. The chemical composition of Inconel 625 is given in Table 1. Figure 2 shows experimental setup used for milling.

| Table 1. Chemical compositions of Inconel 625 alloy used in this research |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Symbol        |     |     |     |     |     |
| Ni+Co         | 58  | 0.1 | 0.015 | 0.46 | 0.002 |
| Si            | <0.5 | 20-23 | 8-10 | <0.4 | <0.4 |

The milling experiments were performed using two different cutting tools, three different cutting speeds (60, 80, and 100 m/min) and three feed rates (0.07, 0.1 and 0.13 mm/tooth), while the depth of cut (0.6 mm). The milling conditions is given in Table 2. The milling experiments were conducted using two types of cemented carbide tool inserts: PVD-coated and CVD-multi-layer coated tools. The geometrics of the cutting tools are ADKT1035PDERLC and their quality produced by kennametal is KC522M and KCPK30, respectively [18].

| Table 2. Milling parameters |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Parameter      | I    | II   | III  | I    | II   | III  |
| Cutting speed (m/min) | Vc   | 60   | 80   | 100  |
| Feed rate (mm/tooth)    | f    | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.13 |
| Depth of cut (mm)       | a    | 0.6  | -    | -    |

2.2 Tool Wear Measurement

The flank wear (Vb) of the worn cutting tools were measured using ISM-PM200SA brand Insize that is a professional digital microscope having 2M (resolution: 1600x1200) pixel and high magnification capabilities (up to 200×). The Vb measurements were created by examining the worn cutting tools using the ISM-PRO software supplied with the microscope after 150 mm milling on Inconel 625 with different cutting parameters.

2.3 Finite Element Simulations

The Deform 3D software was used to determinant the tool wear. The advantage of the FEM software is that it has an ability to save data for each solution range by automatically re-creating the finite element network during solutions. In addition, generating a very dense grid of nodes near the tool-tip provides the possibility to see more changes in parameters such as deformation, deformation rate, and temperature occurring in the cutting area.
For this reason, there is no need for a chip separation criteria, as the dense grid of nodes are very effective in the metal cutting simulating [19].

The Johnson-Cook model which is particularly used for modeling high deformation rate of metals in the computational plasticity is given in Eq. 1 [20]. This model also is used for machining simulations. In a study by Dorogy and Rittel [21], it was mentioned that the hardening is a particular type of isotropic hardening and the assumed yield stress $\sigma_0$ as shown in Eq. 1.

$$\sigma^n = (A + B(\varepsilon^p)^n) \left(1 + C \log \left(\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0}\right) \left(1 - \frac{T - T_r}{T_m - T_r}\right)^m\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In Eq. 1, $A$ is the yield strength at room temperature; $B$ is strain hardening; $C$ is strain rate constant, whereas, $n$ and $m$ are strain hardening and thermal softening constants, respectively. The parameters $\varepsilon^p$, $\dot{\varepsilon}^p$ and $\dot{\varepsilon}_0$ denote plastic strain, plastic strain rate, and reference strain rate, respectively, whereas $T$, $T_r$, and $T_m$ are reference temperature, room temperature, and melting temperature, respectively.

Literature review showed that there are several wear rate models to predict the tool wear. These models are given in Table 3. In this study, Usui's wear rate model was used to calculate the wear rate on the cutting tool. The Usui’s model which is widely used for the estimate of tool wear is shown in Eq. 2 [23]. After the worn tool area was formed, the flank wear was determined to measure the largest $V_b$ from the side.

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = A\sigma_n\dot{\varepsilon}e^{-B/\gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
The model information of cutting tools for numerical analysis was obtained by using a three-dimensional scanner. Scanning was done with AICON Smart Scan brand scanner. The mesh parameter was determined as 0.02 mm for minimum and maximum element size. The coating materials, AlTiN and TiCN/Al2O3/TiN, were selected for the modelling of the cutting tool and thermal and mechanical loads by increasing temperatures in the cutting tool and accelerates the deformation of tool the coating thicknesses were defined as 0.4 µm and 0.3 µm, respectively. Deform 3D material library was used in these descriptions [26]. The mesh structure for the cutting simulation are given in Fig. 2. And the Johnson–Cook parameters and the mechanical and physical properties parameters for Inconel 625 alloy are given in Tables 4 and 5, respectively.

In both of the Ct, tool wear values exhibited a increasing tendency with increasing Vc and f in numerical analysis. As can be seen from Fig. 3 (b) and Fig. 4 (b) that the feed rate is the most effective factor in the increase of flank wear. Table 6 shows the flank wear values according to the measurements obtained in experimental and numerical analysis studies. For experimental and numerical studies, the lowest tool wear value was measured with CVD coated cutting tool, at 0.07 feed rate and 60 cutting speeds of 0.174 and 0.18, respectively.

### Table 4. Johnson-Cook parameters for Inconel 625 alloy [27-28]

| Materials  | A (MPa) | B (MPa) | n  | C | m | T_ref (°C) | ε˙ref (s⁻¹) |
|------------|---------|---------|----|---|---|------------|-------------|
| Inconel 625 | 558.8 | 2201.3 | 0.8 | 0.000209 | 1.146 | 23 | 1670 |

### Table 5. Mechanical and physical properties of the workpiece [29-31]

| Density (g/cm³) | Elastic modulus (kN/mm²) | Poisson’s ratio | Specific heat (Btu/lb °F) | Thermal expansion (10⁻⁶) | Thermal Conductivity (W/m K) | Tm (°C) |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 8.44           | 205.8                    | 0.278          | 0.098                    | 13.3                     | 9.8                        | 1350    |

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Evaluation of experimental and numerical results

Figure 3 and 4 shows the influences of cutting tool (Ct), cutting speed (Vc) and feed rate (f) on Vb. As can be seen from Fig. 3 (a) and Fig. 3 (b) that the flank wear significantly increase with increasing the feed rate in tests made using AlTiN-PVD coated inserts. The Ct and Vc have also effective on Vb. However, the feed rate were more effective compared to the cutting tool and cutting speed. Fig. 4 (a) and Fig 4 (b) shows the variation of Vb values measured in tests using TiCN/Al2O3/TiN-CVD coated inserts. It can be seen clearly from Fig. 4 (a) and Fig 4 (b) that the flank wear significantly increase with increasing the feed rate. This increase is less than measured values of flank wear of PVD coated inserts. This case can be explained by the effect of the heat on the cutting tool. That is, the temperatures in the cutting area during machining is not dissipated rapidly due to the low thermal conductivity of Inconel 625 alloy. This increases tables. Therefore, a multi-layer coating inserts (TiCN/Al2O3/TiN-CVD) were more resistant to abrasion compared to (AlTiN-PVD) coated inserts.

In both of the Ct, tool wear values exhibited a increasing tendency with increasing Vc and f in numerical analysis. As can be seen from Fig. 3 (b) and Fig. 4 (b) that the feed rate is the most effective factor in the increase of flank wear. Table 6 shows the flank wear values according to the measurements obtained in experimental and numerical analysis studies. For experimental and numerical studies, the lowest tool wear value was measured with CVD coated cutting tool, at 0.07 feed rate and 60 cutting speeds of 0.174 and 0.18, respectively.

The comparing experimental and numerical analysis results, it was found that there is an average of 9.7% deviation between experimental and simulation results of tool wear. Figure 5 shows the tool wear values obtained in the numerical analysis study.

![Figure 2. Mesh structure for the cutting simulation](image-url)
Figure 3. The results of (a) experimental and (b) numerical analysis for PVD

Figure 4. The results of (a) experimental and (b) numerical analysis for CVD
3.2 Optimization of cutting parameters

The Taguchi method has been mostly used in the manufacturing industry in terms of determining the optimum process parameters. The goal of this work was to minimize Vb. Therefore, the tests were designed to define the ideal milling parameters using the Taguchi (L18 orthogonal array) technique. For the calculation of the S/N values of each level of the cutting parameters, the "smallest-the-better" principle was used as shown in Eq. (3).

\[ n = \frac{s}{N} = -10 \log \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 \right) \] (3)

The lowest values of tool wear are very significant for lowering manufacture costs. For this cause, Eq.3 used to calculate the equal S / N ratio. The examination of the impact of each input factor (Ct, Vc, f) on Vb was made

![Figure 5. The cutting speed (60 m/min) feed rate (0.07 mm/tooth), (a) tool inserts (TiAlN-PVD) and (b) tool inserts (TiCN/Al2O3/TiN-CVD)](image)

| Test Id | Control factors | Vb (mm) EXP | S/N–Vb EXP (dB) | Vb (mm) FEA | S/N–Vb FEA (dB) |
|---------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| A Cutting tool (Ct) | B Cutting speed (Vc) | C Feed rate (f) | | |
| 1 | PVD | 60 | 0.07 | 0.200 | 13.974 | 0.210 | 13.555 |
| 2 | PVD | 60 | 0.1 | 0.290 | 10.752 | 0.295 | 10.603 |
| 3 | PVD | 60 | 0.13 | 0.387 | 8.245 | 0.38 | 8.404 |
| 4 | PVD | 80 | 0.07 | 0.300 | 10.457 | 0.250 | 12.041 |
| 5 | PVD | 80 | 0.1 | 0.550 | 5.192 | 0.345 | 9.243 |
| 6 | PVD | 80 | 0.13 | 0.805 | 1.884 | 0.450 | 6.935 |
| 7 | PVD | 100 | 0.07 | 0.500 | 7.958 | 0.340 | 9.370 |
| 8 | PVD | 100 | 0.1 | 0.710 | 2.974 | 0.450 | 6.935 |
| 9 | PVD | 100 | 0.13 | 0.998 | 0.0174 | 0.650 | 3.741 |
| 10 | CVD | 60 | 0.07 | 0.174 | 15.289 | 0.162 | 15.809 |
| 11 | CVD | 60 | 0.1 | 0.272 | 11.308 | 0.261 | 11.667 |
| 12 | CVD | 60 | 0.13 | 0.380 | 8.404 | 0.400 | 7.958 |
| 13 | CVD | 80 | 0.07 | 0.210 | 13.555 | 0.230 | 12.765 |
| 14 | CVD | 80 | 0.1 | 0.300 | 10.457 | 0.309 | 10.200 |
| 15 | CVD | 80 | 0.13 | 0.450 | 6.935 | 0.410 | 7.744 |
| 16 | CVD | 100 | 0.07 | 0.260 | 11.700 | 0.272 | 11.308 |
| 17 | CVD | 100 | 0.1 | 0.465 | 6.650 | 0.375 | 8.519 |
| 18 | CVD | 100 | 0.13 | 0.600 | 4.437 | 0.495 | 6.107 |
using S/N response table. Table 7 are given the response table of S/N for Vb. As can be seen from Table 7, the ideal levels of the control parameters for Vb (EXP) and Vb (FEA) were determined as follows: CVD-coated tool (A2), Vc of 60 m/min (B1) and f 0.07 mm/tooth (C1).

Table 7. S/N response table for Vb and Vb (FEA)

| Milling Factors | EXP | A | B | C |
|-----------------|-----|---|---|---|
|                 |     | 6.829 | 11.33 | 12.157 |
| 1               | 2   | 9.86 | 8.081 | 7.889 |
| 3               |     | -  | 5.623 | 4.987 |
| Delta           | 3.031 | 5.707 | 7.170 |
| FEA             |     | 8.981 | 11.333 | 12.475 |
| 1               | 2   | 10.231 | 9.822 | 9.528 |
| 3               |     | -  | 7.664 | 6.815 |
| Delta           | 1.250 | 3.669 | 5.660 |

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to define the most significant milling parameter affecting Vb. This analysis was made with the confidence level of 95%. Table 8 shows the results of ANOVA for Vb. As can be seen from Table 8, the percent contributions (PCR) of (Ct), (Vc) and (f) factors on Vb were found to be 15.01%, 28.86% and 41.5% respectively. This showed that feed rate (f) is the most important parameter with PCR of 41.5% in regard to the tool wear and it is likewise with PCR of 61.78% on Vb (FEA). The cutting speed had secondary importance in Vb (EXP) and Vb (FEA).

Regression is a statistical analysis used for modelling and analyzing of several variables that have the relationship between dependent variable and independent variables.

In this work, linear and quadratic regression analysis was used to the determinant of the estimated tool wear. The dependent variable was Vb whereas the independent variables were Ct, Vc and f. The predicted tool wear equations that were achieved using the linear regression are given below.

\[ V_b = -0.469 - 0.1701Ct + 0.00722Vc + 5.772f \]  

\[ R - S_q = 85.36\% \quad R - S_q (adj) = 82.23\% \]  

\[ V_b(FEA) = -0.2332 - 0.0507Ct + 0.003642Vc + 3.669f \]  

\[ R - S_q = 93.16\% \quad R - S_q (adj) = 91.70\% \]

Figure 6 shows the comparison of the experimental and the linear regression results. The coefficient of determination (R2) of the achieved the linear regression for Vb and Vb (FEA) were found to be 85.36% and 93.16% respectively.

Table 8. ANOVA of Vb (EXP) and Vb (FEA).

| Variance | Degree of freedom (DoF) | Sum of squares (SS) | Mean square (MS) | F ratio | P ratio | Contribution rate (%) |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------------------|
| EXP      | Ct                      | 1                   | 0.1302           | 0.1302  | 12.34   | 0.004  | 15.01               |
|          | Vc                      | 2                   | 0.2502           | 0.12512 | 11.86   | 0.001  | 28.86               |
|          | f                       | 2                   | 0.3598           | 0.17992 | 17.05   | 0.000  | 41.5                |
| Error 12 |                          |                     | 0.1266           | 0.01055 | -       | -      | 14.63               |
| Total 17 |                          |                     | 0.8669           | -       | -       | 100     |                     |
| FEA      | Ct                      | 1                   | 0.01155          | 0.01155 | 10.86   | 0.006  | 4.88                |
|          | Vc                      | 2                   | 0.06619          | 0.033095 | 31.11   | 0.000  | 27.95               |
|          | f                       | 2                   | 0.14631          | 0.073155 | 68.78   | 0.000  | 61.78               |
| Error 12 |                          |                     | 0.01276          | 0.001064 | 5.39    |         |                     |
| Total 17 |                          |                     | 0.23682          | -       | -       | 100     |                     |
The predicted tool wear equations that were obtained using the quadratic regression are given below.

\[ V_b = -0.845 + 0.595Cf + 0.0082Ve + 1.13f - 0.000020Vc^2 - 1.1f^2 - 0.00608VcCf - 2.79fCf + 0.1131Vcf \]

\[ R - S_q = 97.19\% \quad R - S_q(adf) = 94.69\% \]

\[ V_b(\text{FEA}) = 0.248 + 0.1283Cf - 0.00608Ve - \frac{1.4}{f} + 0.000063Vc^2 + 16.6f^2 - 0.001967VcCf - 0.217fCf + 0.0260Vcf \]

\[ R - S_q = 97.45\% \quad R - S_q(adf) = 95.18\% \]

The coefficient of determination (R2) of the achieved quadratic regression models are given in comparison of experimental results with predicted values achieved using the quadratic regression are very effectively compared to the linear regression. Consequently, the quadratic regression model indicates that it can be successfully applied for the estimate of flank wear (Vb). The comparison of experimental results with predicted values achieved by the quadratic regression models are given in Fig. 7.

\[ C_l_{Vb} = \sqrt{\frac{F_{a,1,fc} V_e \left( \frac{1}{n_{eff}} + \frac{1}{N} \right)^2}{1+T_{dof}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ n_{eff} = \frac{N}{1+T_{dof}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ F_{a,1,fc} = 4.75 \quad \text{(from } F - \text{ test table)} \]

\[ V_e = 0.01055 \quad (\text{Table } 8) R = 1 \]

\[ N = 18, \quad T_{dof} = 5, \quad n_{eff} = 3 \quad (\text{equation } (8)) \quad C_l_{Vb} = 0.258 \quad (\text{equation } (9)) \]

According to the calculations obtained using equations (9) and (10), the confidence interval was \( C_l_{Vb} = 0.258 \). The average optimal Vb with the confidence interval at 95% is:

\[ [V_{b_{opt}} - C_l_{Vb}] < V_{b_{exp}} < [V_{b_{opt}} + C_l_{Vb}] = [0.430 - 0.258] < 0.174 < [0.430 + 0.258] = 0.172 < 0.174 < 0.688 \]

The Vbexp value (Vbexp = 0.174) remained within the confidence interval boundary. Consequently, the system optimization for Vb was successfully performed via the Taguchi method (significance level of 0.05). Confirmation tests were made at optimum and random levels of cutting parameters via the linear, quadratic and the Taguchi method. Table 9 shows the confirmation test results. There is little difference between the values of estimated and the experimental. In similar studies [32-34], it was emphasized that the statistical error must be less than 20%. The calculated error values are in an
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List of symbols

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| Ra     | Average surface roughness (µm) |
| Vb     | Flank wear (mm) |
| Ct     | Cutting tool |
| Vc     | Cutting Speed (m/min) |
| f      | Feed rate (mm/tooth) |
| S/N    | Signal/noise ratio (dB) |
| CI     | Confidence interval |
| N      | Total number of tests |
| n_{eff}| Confirmatory test number |
| FEA    | Finite element method |
| PVD    | Physical vapor deposition |
| CVD    | Chemical vapor deposition |
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