Prioritization of Test Cases in Software Testing Using $M^2 H^2$ Optimization
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Abstract: By and large, software testing can be well thought-out as a adept technique of achieving improved software quality as well as reliability. On the other hand, the eminence of the test cases had significant effect on the fault enlightening competence of testing activity. Prioritization of Test case (PTC) remnants one challenging issue, as prioritizing test cases remains not up in the direction of abrasion by means of respect to Faults Detected Average Percentage (FDAP) and time execution results. The PTC is predominantly anticipated to scheme assortment of test cases in accomplishing timely optimization by means of preferred properties. Earlier readings have been presented for place in order the accessible test cases in upsurge speed the fault uncovering rate in testing. In this phase, this learning schemes a Modern modified Harris Hawks Optimization centered PTC ($M^2 H^2 O$-PTC) method for testing. The anticipated $M^2 H^2 O$-PTC method aims to exhaust the possibilities the FDAP and curtail the complete execution time. Besides, the $M^2 H^2 O$ algorithm is considered for boosting the examination and taking advantage abilities of the conservative $H^2 O$ algorithm. For validating the enhanced efficiency of the $M^2 H^2 O$-PTC method, an extensive variety of simulations occur on contradictory standard programs and the outcomes are inspected underneath numerous characteristics. The investigational results emphasized enhanced proficiency of the $M^2 H^2 O$-PTC method in excess of the modern methodologies in standings of dissimilar measures.

Index Terms: Testing; $H^2 O$; PTC; FDAP.

1. Introduction

Quality is defined as degree of conformance to the Function, Non Function and Domain requirements with implicit and explicit characteristics of the system. Lately, the significance of software quality grows continuously, which acting as an significant role in social progress and national economy. Poor software might lead to serious national security or environmental issues, advanced repairs expenditures, in addition enormous amount of possessions forfeiture [1]. Testing is viewed by means of a critical strategy to ensure programming's reliability and consistency. Especially, programmed programming testing) could further develop testing productivity, abbreviate testing time, and enormously decrease difficult work. Throughout the past year, it has acquired widespread concern in the field of scholastics in addition industry. By and large, experiment information programmed age remains a difficult and significant consignment trendly Automation [2]. A relatable experiment dataset could increment issue openness likelihood and productively diminish testing execution time. But the over 2 issues, inclusion proportion is a vital file to gauge the nature of experiment dataset [3]. Trendy contrast by means of utilitarian analysis, primary taxing are profoundly lucrative for recognizing shortcomings in programs, thus it is broadly examined as well as pragmatic.
In progress taxing approaches remain generally utilized intended for deterministic projects [4]. To be sure, there are a few sorts of vulnerability progressively programs, similar to fuzziness/arbitrariness, which recommend that the way of behaving of this program isn't sure. Especially, for the program with vulnerability, while over and over running the program with a comparative test relevant piece of information, it could cover various explanations, even have various results, or cross various ways. In this situation, earlier test sufficiency condition isn't fitting. Presently, there have been not many investigations result zeroed in on testing a program with non-determinism, notwithstanding, a few examinations center around the program containing irregularity. Then again, program with haphazardness exists widely continuously applications, similar to arrange programming, game programming, and Windows working framework [5].

Even though testing is persistently accomplished, it is regularly performed in haste, because of fixed possessions and time restrictions. Thus, it very well may be assigned that Prioritization of Test Case (PTC) application appears to further develop test attainability from ST movement [6]. However, the review utilized prioritization on experiments which have breezed through with assessment case choice. The 2 specialists, Harold and Rothermel assessed and proposed the strategy in a further more extensive setting. PTC is a NP-Hard issue since it requirements to check each doable stage succession. Additionally, on account of the quick extension of programming, we ought to turn to metaheuristics enhancement calculation for tackling the issues inside a specific timeframe [7]. Subsequently, the creators began investigating nature, how nature keeps up with the ideal equilibrium, and right now, endeavors are being made to find an ideal requesting through nature-raised calculation.

With this inspiration, this review plans a Modern changed Harris Hawks Optimization based PTC (MHHO-PTC) method for software testing. The proposed MHHO-PTC method plans to augment the FDAP and limit the general execution time. In addition, the MHHO-PTC calculation is intended to help the investigation and abuse capacities of the irregular H2O modus operandi. The scheme of MHHO-PTC strategy intended for PTC trendy programming taxing demonstrations the peculiarity of the exertion. For approving the upgraded effectiveness of the MHHO-PTC technique, a wide scope of recreations happen on various point of reference software package and the outcomes are inspected underneath a few perspectives.

2. Review of Literature

Gotlibib et al. [8] presents, a clever procedure to physically become familiar with the TCP and choice in CI for the reason to minimize the full circle time among engineers' criticism on bombed experiments as well as code constrains. The strategy custom RL calculation for choosing and focusing on experiments in light of their disappointment history, length, and past last execution.

P. Tomar et al. [9] proposes CS as well as M-ACO calculations for closing experiments trendy an enriched request in period requirement conditions. As a result of reliance of this technique on individual boundaries, cuckoo search unique in relation to other streamlining strategies, is extremely viable and more straightforward to carry out.

Berolino et al. [10] present the FAST group of PTC techniques which alterations profoundly these scenes from end to end acquiring calculations broadly utilized in the large information for tracking down related things. Quick procedure gives versatile likeness founded PTC in WB and BB modus operandi. The exploratory outcome on or after pragmatic Java and C subject demonstrations that quickest individual from the domestic outflanks further black-box techniques in adequacy without significant effects on proficiency, as well as beats white-box line of attack.

S Yang et al. [11] anticipated arrangement significant as well as analyzed winning issue to relapse testing in lithe practices. The introduced technique is relying upon 2 phases. In the underlying stage, experiment is focused on through bunching this experiment which changes oftentimes. Assuming that it is tie, experiment is focused on as indicated by their comparing inclusion rules and disappointment frequencies. In the following stage, experiments with inclusion standards/high recurrence of disappointment are chosen. The introduced technique was validated by trial research on 3 modern themes.

G Sakke et al. [12] presented closeness centered prioritizing that is really utilized taking place item tests. In this modus operandi, we progressively pick the various items in light of the component to be tried next for expanding the element communication inclusion as quickly as time licenses in item wise testing.

Wang et al. [13] adjusted a high level SI technique — AFSA for settling the PTC issues. Especially, the coding calculation of counterfeit fish school has been created alongside the experiment set; EET and the APTC are picked intended for enhancing the system of tail-pursue, group, also scrounge ways of behaving of fake fish school; the ideal arrangements have been made by the populace emphases.

Bharathi et al [14] presents a multi objective founded PTC and experiment determination intended for disseminated haze stages. RBCH technique has been introduced for choosing the CH based complete likeness among the experiments.

Sivaji and Rao [15] propose the new AB-CNS calculation to lessen the asset in addition time exploitation while executing the relapse testing. From the start, the introduced approach is carrying out the experiment minimization errand and it is focused on utilizing the FF of AB-CNS.

A Azgomi et al. [16] present improvement of the hitherto mentioned strategy in 2 means. Presented an original prioritization recipe with variable coefficient, right off the bat, acquired according to the accessible verifiable
proficiency information that go about as input from the past test meeting. Then, a bunch of thorough exploration works have been done for assessing the framework exhibitions.

KK Rao et’al [22] proposed about how to optimize the software testing efficacy by means of the concept of GA under the evolutionary computation.

KK Rao et’al [22] proposed how to Developing optimal directed random testing modus operandi to diminish interactive faults and lectured the methodical literature and design methodology

KK Rao et’al [23] proposed AGA based optimum directed random testing for plummeting interactive faults

KK Rao et’al [24] proposed Plummeting interactive fault proneness in software application using GA based optimal directed random testing,

KK Rao et’al [25] proposed Software test case generation and it’s curtail using G-genetic algorithm

3. The Proposed Model

In this revision, an innovative M^2H^0-PTC modus operandi has been designed for PTC on testing. The anticipated M^2H^0-PTC modus operandi aims to make best use of the APFD and diminish the inclusive implementation time. In addition, the M^2H^0-PTC algorithm is thought-out to enhancement the investigation and exploitation aptitudes of the conservative H^0 algorithm.

3.1 Design of M^2H^0 Algorithm

H^0 remains a metaheuristics modus operandi in addition is functional as competitive way out to challenging topics. The H^0 was pretend by the attitude of H that is intellectual birds. This species takes a procedure which permits them for catching prey even if it can be run away. This procedure was modeled under the procedure of mathematical process, permitting their computational implementation. H^0 has stochastic business as usual which is investigating hazardous quest spaces for tracking down ideal arrangements. The significant stages of H^0 are achieved concerning unique states of energy. The assessment stage enthused the course of H2could not definitively tracks the prey. The falcons aimlessly roost at different places and stand by to its prey utilize 2 administrators that be there liked on the central of likelihood q by means of given as in Eq. (1), where q<0.6 suggests the falcons roost at spot of an extra populace part and the prey (for example, bunny). Whenq≥0.6, the falcon is indiscriminately puts adjoining the populace assortments. To work with the H^0, a record of images used from this not entirely set in stone as:

The investigation stage has been unyielding as:

\[ Y(t + 1) = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
Y_{\text{rand}}(t) - r_1Y(t) - 2r_2Y(t) \\
Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - Y_m(t) - r_3(\Delta Y(t)) \end{array} \right. \\
q \geq 0.6 \\
q < 0.6 \]

(1)

The regular place of Hawks \( X_m \) has been established as:

\[ Y_m(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i(t) \]

(2)

Here \( Y(t) \) represents the spots from the emphasis in the direction of the whole thing Hawks t and N relate to the whole measure of Hawks. The typical spot be situated accomplished by means of using particular methods; be that as it may, this is the easiest rule. Ideal changes in investigation to double-dealing are required, as of now, shift has been normal among the fluctuating propelled manipulative exhibitions reliant upon the getting away from energy factor \( A \) of prey that decreases emphatically in the getting away from execution.

\[ A = 2A_0 \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) \]

(3)

Where, \( A_0 \), and T suggests the essential release energy, and the most elevated measure of emphases compatibly.

The delicate blockade is an imperative stage in H^0, it tends to be shown as soon as r geometrically. All through this situation, the hare is each sufficient vitality. Assuming that one follows, the bunny completes inconsistent deluding shift for getting away, be that as it may, during the analogy, it proved unable. The attack not set in stone as the resulting rules:

\[ Y(t + 1) = \Delta Y(t) - A|X_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - Y(t)| \]

\[ \Delta Y(t) = Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - Y(t) \]

(4)

(5)

Where \( \Delta Y(t) \) \( \Delta Y(t) \) implies the difference places vector to each hare and current spots from the emphasis, and \( l=2(1-r-5) \). The bunny's impromptu bouncing ability all through the break stage. The 1 worth contrasts randomly from
all cycles for addressing the bunny execution. During the outrageous attack stage if \( r \geq 0.5 \) and \( |A| < 0.5 \). The prey has been worn out and has no way out strength [17]. During this investigation, the current spot was changed using:

\[
Y(t + 1) = Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - A|\Delta Y(t)|
\]

Let the presentation of Hawks from reality, it is gradually choose an ideal jump for the prey when it very well may be expected for catching specific prey from serious circumstances.

\[
Z = Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - A|Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - Y(t)|
\]

The soft lay siege to anticipated projected on or after the former in Eq. (7) has been completed as of moderate speedy jump just if \( |A| \geq 0.5 \) however \( r < 0.5 \). All through this examination, the bunny is adequate energy for getting away and has been executed to a delicate attack beforehand the assault come as shock. Fig. 1 shows the flowchart of H2O strategies.

\[
N = Z + S \times LF(D)
\]

Anywhere \( S \) stances for the indiscriminate vector to size \( 1 \times D \) and \( LF \) signifies the levy fight persistence, utilizing in Eq. (9):

\[
LF(x) = 0.01 \times \frac{u_{x}}{\sigma_{x}} \times \sigma = \left( \frac{r(1 + \beta) \times \sin(\frac{\pi}{2})}{r(1 + \beta) \times \sin(\frac{\pi}{2})} \right) 1^{\frac{1}{\beta}}
\]

At this point, \( u, v \) bring up the arbitrary values surrounded by \( 0 \) and \( 1 \), \( \beta \) indicates the default coefficients fixed to 1.5. The most recent phase as of the technique be situated for updating places of the hawks make use of:

\[
Y(t + 1) = \begin{cases} 
Z & \text{if } F(Z) < F(Y(t)) \\
N & \text{if } F(ZN) < F(Y(t)) 
\end{cases}
\]

Where \( y \) and \( Z \) refer the attained make use of in Eqs. (7) and (8).

In the ever-evolving quick jump, \( H^{2}O \) addresses the as well hard - surged, where it happens if \( |A| < 0.5 \) as well as \( r < 0.5 \). Right now, the strong point of bunnies for getting away couldn't be sufficient, and the hard attack was proposed before the many shock assault is produced for in receipt of besides killing the prey. All through this stage, the Hawks look for decreasing the number of warmth among their prey and the typical spot.

\[
Y(t + 1) = \begin{cases} 
YZ & \text{if } F(Z) < F(Y(t)) \\
N & \text{if } F(N) < F(Y(t)) 
\end{cases}
\]

The canons of \( y \) and \( Z \) are presented by utilizing novel rubrics in Eqs. (12) in addition (13), where \( X(t) \) demonstrates the attained utilizing in Eq. (2).

\[
Z = Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - A|Y_{\text{rabbit}}(t) - Y_{m}(t)|
\]

\[
N = Z + S \times LF(D)
\]

In the previous study [18], it is exhibited that that \( H^{2}O \) outflanked many high level procedures and it utilizes numerous streamlining issues. Be that as it may, for advancing the hunt effectiveness of the \( H^{2}O \) to accomplish quick combination speed, heartiness, and improved arrangement exactness, a new adjusted \( M^{2}H^{2}O \) strategy has been introduced in this work. It isn't trying to characterize the changes in \( E \) which could produce a significant distinction in the recreation result. In this way, an original \( E \) updating framework is conveyed to \( M^{2}H^{2}O \) for advancing the double-dealing and investigation capacities of \( H^{2}O \). The total changes are given in the accompanying.

By and large, 2 advancement approaches are acted in each metaheuristics calculation: abuse and investigation. Investigation addresses a worldwide pursuit in the looking through space; double-dealing addresses the ideal arrangement locally. According to an algorithmic perspective, \( E \) is an association between double-dealing and investigation capacities of \( H \). Numerical experimental investigation addresses a worldwide pursuance in the looking through space; double-dealing addresses the ideal arrangement locally. This is an algorithmic perspective, \( E \) is an association between double-dealing and investigation capacities of \( H \). The downsides of the first an update framework have been expressed.
In light of the last worth of $A_1$ under the methodology 6 isn't anything. In line of attack 6, consider that afterward the cycle, the prey should be necessary energy to get away from that could work on the exhibitions of the model. In the following portion of the emphasis, $|A|$ could never be bigger than 1 in the 1, 2, and 4 techniques. However, in the first 50% of the emphasis, move toward 4 is very exploratory; move toward 1 follows, and last is approach 2.

$$A_1 = 1 - \frac{t}{T}$$

$$A_1 = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^2$$

$$A_1 = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)^\frac{1}{2}$$

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sin \left(2\pi \times \frac{t}{T}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)$$

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\pi + 2\pi \times \frac{t}{T}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)$$

$$A_1 = e^{-\frac{t}{T}}$$

$$A = 2A_0 \times A_1$$

Whereas $A_0$ address an erratic worth trendy the scope of (-1,1); sin besides cos give a lecture the sine and the cosine capacities, congruently [19]. How much emphases are addressed by dint of $t$ and $T$ be situated the greatest measure of cycles. $e$ indicates the dishonorable measure of dramatic capacities, and the worth is round 2.71828. The significant period intricacy of $M^2H^2O$ and $H^2O$ depends on 3 strategies: wellness assessment, sell refreshing, and arbitrary introduction. Hence, it is formed by:

$$O (M^2H^2O) = O(random\ initialization) + O(fitness\ evaluation) + O(hawk\ updating)$$

$$= O(N) + O(T \times N) + O(T \times N \times Dimension)$$

$$= O(N \times (1 + T \times (1 + Dimension)))$$

$$= O (H^2O)$$
In which N implies the populace size, T represents total quantity of repetitions and Measurement stand for the quantity of conclusion limits.

3.2 $M^2H^2O$ Algorithm for PTC

Expect that at hand remain 5 experiments to be focused on, the chance of having the ideal focused on game plan is $(1/5!)$ factorials, as displayed in Figure 2. In per experiment would proceed as firefly specialists when the distances among each experiment address the appeal capacities among firefly specialists. To find the best-focused on plan, the proposed technique is used by the string measurements by means of a FF. In this exertion, the genuine result of detachment assessed intended for each customary program will not be illustrated, since how much experiments and their relating content is excessively lengthy and excessively enormous to be adequately illustrated. As a matter of fact, to decide how distance is assessed in this review, 5 sham experiments have been created. Alter distance incorporates the assessment of sequence characters, wherein, the evaluated standards address the base quantity of substitutions, additions, besides erasures in the direction of change over first into second strings. Alter distance is set to incorporate just minimal change of first into second string.

\[ TFIDF(t) = \frac{tf 	imes \log \frac{N}{nt}}{T} \] (21)

In which: $T = $ word recurrence in apiece report; $t = $ word recurrence in unique record; $N = $ quantity of term presence totally around the archives; and $nt = $ measure of reports has $t$ word. These 2-string measurements be situated subsequently used for computing the weight and distance of the fake experiment [20]. TF-IDF is a champion framework in the midst of normally utilized word gauging frameworks in information recovery strategies. In light of this capacity, TF-IDF was regularly utilized in research work. The load among experiments has been utilized for allocating splendor among firefly specialists in $M^2H^2O$-PTC. The appointment of the following experiment in development update relies upon the loads of the experiments that proceed as the brilliance over the distances among the experiment, where the most extreme worth would be chosen as the accompanying moves. In arithmetical condition, the splendor of experiment on distance assessment is addressed by:

\[ \text{Weight of current Test case} \] \[ \text{Distance to Next Test case} \] (22)

As the loads of each fake experiment are only something similar, brief distance is the main measurement considered. It is a direct result of the similar to loads of each spurious experiment. Be that as it may, in a benchmark program/genuine contextual investigation, a similar weight issue lessens and distance will be extremely powerful. Next. The assessment of experiment distance is carried out by alter distance and by using TFIDF string measurements. The absolute distance voyaged will indicate focused on experiments. The more limited distances of entire successions of the experiment are considered as the ideal distance. The ideal ones are picked by the utilization of $M^2H^2O$-PTC procedure.

4. Experimental Validations

The exhibition approval of the M2H2O - PTC model happens with regards to various viewpoints in this segment. The outcomes are researched under changing emphases and benchmark works in particular Gzip, Grep, TCAS, as well as CSTCAS. Table 1 also Fig. 3 proposition a definite Faults Detected Average Percentage (FDAP) examination of the $M^2H^2O$ - PTC model with in effect techniques in Gzip benchmark exertion. The symbol drawings that the Greedy strategy has achieved horrible showing with the lower FDAP values. All the while, the PSD method has acquired to

Fig 2. Representation of Test cases.
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some degree further developed FDAP values. In addition, the LBS and FA strategies have arrived at modestly nearer FDAP values. In any case, the M²H²O - PTC model has outflanked the current strategies with the greatest FDAP standards underneath all cycles.

Table 1. Result investigation of M²H²O-PTC methods in terms of FDAP under Gzip dataset

| No. of Iterations | FA   | PSD  | LBS  | GREEDY |
|-------------------|------|------|------|--------|
| 1                 | 97.131 | 96.674 | 95.612 | 95.710 | 94.111 |
| 2                 | 97.181 | 96.432 | 95.118 | 97.435 | 94.541 |
| 3                 | 97.251 | 96.211 | 95.678 | 95.842 | 95.123 |
| 4                 | 97.234 | 96.331 | 95.266 | 96.344 | 94.768 |
| 5                 | 97.373 | 95.031 | 94.135 | 94.619 | 92.226 |
| 6                 | 97.127 | 96.022 | 94.131 | 94.523 | 94.246 |
| 7                 | 97.267 | 96.124 | 95.211 | 96.135 | 94.126 |
| 8                 | 97.222 | 96.123 | 95.116 | 96.234 | 94.113 |
| 9                 | 97.222 | 96.111 | 95.243 | 96.111 | 94.123 |
| 10                | 97.111 | 96.211 | 95.450 | 96.114 | 94.111 |
| 11                | 97.115 | 96.123 | 95.626 | 96.111 | 94.213 |
| 12                | 97.222 | 96.231 | 95.244 | 96.101 | 94.115 |
| 13                | 97.211 | 96.111 | 95.211 | 96.116 | 94.006 |
| 14                | 97.261 | 96.234 | 95.008 | 96.211 | 94.122 |
| 15                | 97.006 | 96.013 | 95.104 | 96.444 | 94.133 |
| 16                | 97.133 | 96.162 | 95.486 | 96.423 | 94.246 |
| 17                | 97.208 | 96.121 | 95.666 | 96.234 | 94.066 |
| 18                | 97.234 | 96.221 | 95.121 | 96.236 | 94.231 |
| 19                | 97.685 | 96.321 | 95.213 | 96.234 | 94.123 |
| 20                | 97.421 | 96.121 | 95.621 | 96.212 | 94.116 |
| 21                | 97.312 | 96.221 | 95.211 | 96.346 | 94.118 |
| 22                | 97.321 | 96.221 | 95.451 | 96.987 | 94.234 |
| 23                | 97.331 | 96.221 | 95.421 | 96.786 | 94.326 |
| 24                | 97.200 | 96.321 | 95.411 | 96.616 | 94.436 |
| 25                | 97.310 | 96.001 | 95.901 | 96.186 | 94.906 |

Fig. 3. FDAP analysis of M²H²O-PTC method under dataset1.

Table 2 and Fig. 4 give a point by point FDAP examination of the given strategy existing methodologies under Grep benchmark work. The figure exhibited that the Greedy way has achieved smallest amount execution by way of the base FDAP values. Also, the PSD strategy has acquired somewhat further developed FDAP values. Additionally, the LBS and FA strategies have accomplished respectably nearer FDAP values. Nonetheless, the gave strategy has beat the current habits the maximal FDAP values under all cycles.

An investigation propositions a point by point FDAP examination of the M²H²O-PTC model with existing strategies underneath TCAS benchmark work. The figure illustrations that the Greedy framework has achieved smallest amount execution with the lower FDAP standards. Additionally, the PSD procedure has acquired fairly improved FDAP values. Also, the LBS and FA methods have arrived at tolerably nearer FDAP values. Nonetheless, the gave strategy has beat the current methods the maximal FDAP values under all cycles.
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Table 2. Result investigation of M$^2$H$^2$O-PTC techniques in terms of FDAP under Grep dataset

| No. of Iterations | M$^3$H$^2$O-PTC | FA     | PSD     | LBS     | GREEDY  |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1                 | 97.233          | 97.068 | 96.805  | 97.199  | 96.669  |
| 2                 | 97.678          | 96.861 | 96.645  | 96.325  | 94.676  |
| 3                 | 97.814          | 96.112 | 95.888  | 94.781  | 94.321  |
| 4                 | 97.235          | 96.851 | 96.275  | 95.617  | 95.057  |
| 5                 | 97.641          | 96.895 | 95.744  | 96.746  | 95.664  |
| 6                 | 97.541          | 96.378 | 95.025  | 96.364  | 95.275  |
| 7                 | 96.282          | 96.626 | 95.201  | 96.461  | 95.424  |
| 8                 | 97.658          | 96.206 | 95.235  | 96.345  | 95.044  |
| 9                 | 97.246          | 96.226 | 95.287  | 96.266  | 95.026  |
| 10                | 97.424          | 95.832 | 95.121  | 96.634  | 96.434  |
| 11                | 97.621          | 96.878 | 95.234  | 96.886  | 94.649  |
| 12                | 97.224          | 96.011 | 95.022  | 96.252  | 95.122  |
| 13                | 97.226          | 96.110 | 95.115  | 96.222  | 95.420  |
| 14                | 97.262          | 95.285 | 95.435  | 96.601  | 95.161  |
| 15                | 97.883          | 95.342 | 95.225  | 96.111  | 95.000  |
| 16                | 97.335          | 96.220 | 95.000  | 95.119  | 95.222  |
| 17                | 96.507          | 95.000 | 95.115  | 95.119  | 94.401  |
| 18                | 97.226          | 95.111 | 95.404  | 95.660  | 94.414  |
| 19                | 97.222          | 95.224 | 95.015  | 95.661  | 95.111  |
| 20                | 97.227          | 95.000 | 95.006  | 95.415  | 94.110  |
| 21                | 97.618          | 95.224 | 95.224  | 95.111  | 94.000  |
| 22                | 97.204          | 95.660 | 95.122  | 95.144  | 93.122  |
| 23                | 97.122          | 95.111 | 95.222  | 95.111  | 94.222  |
| 24                | 97.656          | 95.000 | 95.020  | 95.100  | 94.306  |
| 25                | 97.111          | 95.117 | 96.131  | 95.179  | 94.222  |

Fig. 4. FP investigation of M$^3$H$^2$O-PTC method under Grep dataset.

An investigation propositions proposes an itemized FDAP investigation of the M$^3$H$^2$O-PTC prototypical with in effect strategies underneath CS-TCAS benchmark work. The figure illustrations that the Greedy procedure has achieved terrible showing with the lower FDAP values. Simultaneously, the PSD method has reached fairly amended FDAP standards. Additionally, the LBS and FA methods have arrived at reasonably nearer FDAP values. At long last, the gave procedure has outflanked the current strategies the higher FDAP values under all cycles.
Table 3 and Fig. 5 give the typical execution time examination of the M₂H₂O-PTC model with existing strategies under different benchmark capacities. The outcomes displayed that the M₂H₂O - PTC model has brought about below execution time contrasted with different methodologies under all benchmark capacities. For example, with GZIP benchmark work, the M₂H₂O - PTC model has accomplished a below execution season of 3.215 mts while the Firefly, PSO, LBS, and Greedy strategies have gotten higher normal execution seasons of 5.243, 5.860, 4.870, and 4.737 individually. Simultaneously, with GREP benchmark work, the introduced procedure has accomplished a negligible normal execution season of 3.794 mts while the Firefly, PSO, LBS, and Greedy strategies have gotten higher normal execution seasons of 5.868, 6.788, 4.600, and 4.860 individually. Besides, with TCAS benchmark work, the M₂H₂O - PTC strategy has accomplished a decreased normal execution season of 6.394 mts though the Firefly, PSO, LBS, and Greedy methods have gotten maximal normal execution season of 8.662, 14.384, 7.738, and 8.528 correspondingly. Moreover, with CS-TCAS benchmark work, the M₂H₂O-PTC approach has arrived at a lesser typical implementation season of 9.341 mts while the Firefly, PSO, LBS, and Greedy procedures have arrived at predominant normal execution seasons of 8.837, 20.890, 10.850, and 11.884 individually.

A broad mean FDAP examination of the M₂H₂O - PTC strategy with existing methods is in Table 4 and Fig. 6. The consequences show that the M₂H₂O - PTC line of attack has brought about viable result with the expanded mean FDAP values. For example, with Gzip benchmark work, the M₂H₂O - PTC model has accomplished an expanded mean FDAP of 96.480 though the firefly, PSO, LBS, and Greedy procedures have brought about decreased mean APFD of 96.112, 96.887, 96.525, and 96.228 individually. Besides, with CSTCAS benchmark work, the introduced framework has accomplished a higher mean FDAP of 94.524 while the firefly, PSO, LBS, and Greedy modus operandi have come about to bring down mean FDAP of 94.964, 94.662, 94.886, and 94.782 correspondingly.

| Methods      | Average Time Execution (min) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
|              | GZIP | GREP | TCAS | CS-TCAS |
| M₂H₂O-PTC    | 3.215 | 3.794 | 6.394 | 9.341   |
| Firefly      | 5.243 | 5.868 | 8.662 | 8.837   |
| PSO          | 5.860 | 6.788 | 14.384| 20.890  |
| LBS          | 4.870 | 4.690 | 7.738 | 10.850  |
| Greedy       | 4.737 | 4.860 | 8.528 | 11.884  |

Fig.5. FDAP analysis of M₂H₂O-PTC method under dataset2.
Table 4. Mean FDAP analysis of M²H²O-PTC method with existing methods

| Methods                | Gzip   | Grep   | TCAS   | CSTCAS |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| M²H²O-PTC              | 96.480 | 96.663 | 94.588 | 94.524 |
| Firefly                | 96.112 | 96.264 | 94.883 | 94.964 |
| PSO                    | 96.887 | 95.883 | 94.336 | 94.662 |
| LBS                    | 96.525 | 95.823 | 94.821 | 94.886 |
| Greedy                 | 95.258 | 95.258 | 94.222 | 94.782 |

Fig.6. Mean APFD analysis of M²H²O-PTC method with existing approaches.

5. Conclusions

In this revision, a unique M²H²O -PTC technique has been intended for PTC on software testing. The projected M²H²O -PTC technique aims to make the most of the FDAP and minimize the general implementation time. In accumulation, the M²H²O procedure is intended to increase the examination and mistreatment capabilities of the conservative H²O technique. The design of M²H²O method for PTC in software testing assistance to achieve extreme FDAP and least possible execution time. For authenticating the enriched efficacy of the M²H²O -PTC method, a wide variety of replications occur on dissimilar benchmark programs and the outcomes are scrutinized in several features. The investigational consequences demonstrated that amplified efficacy of the M²H²O -PTC technique on the topical methodologies in terms of dissimilar measures. In future, other metaheuristics algorithms in place of H²O algorithm can be utilized to further boost the overall performance.
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