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In the present study, an attempt was made to biochemically characterize the antimicrobial substance from the soil isolate designated as RLID 12.1 and explore its potential applications in biocontrol of drug-resistant pathogens. The antimicrobial potential of the wild-type isolate belonging to the genus Bacillus was determined by the cut-well agar assay. The production of antimicrobial compound was recorded maximum at late exponential growth phase. The ultrafiltered concentrate was insensitive to organic solvents, metal salts, surfactants, and proteolytic and nonproteolytic enzymes. The concentrate was highly heat stable and active over a wide range of pH values. Partial purification, zymogram analysis, and TLC were performed to determine the preliminary biochemical nature. The molecular weight of the antimicrobial peptide was determined to be less than 2.5 kDa in 15% SDS-PAGE and in zymogram analysis against Streptococcus pyogenes. The N-terminal amino acid sequence by Edman degradation was partially determined to be T-P-P-Q-S-X-L-X-X-G, which shows very insignificant identity to other antimicrobial peptides from bacteria. The minimum inhibitory concentrations of dialysed and partially purified ion exchange fractions were determined against some selected gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and some pathogenic yeasts. The presence of three important antimicrobial peptide biosynthesis genes ituc, fend, and bmyb was determined by PCR.

1. Introduction

Mechanism of resistance in clinical background reflects very serious problem in the treatment of pathogenic microbes. Serious bacterial and fungal infections are increasingly recognized as important causes of morbidity and mortality, especially among debilitated patients [1, 2]. Hospital-acquired infections are most commonly associated with invasive medical devices or surgical procedures which turn out to be a challenge to patient safety [2]. Famous hospital-acquired infections called “ESKAPE” (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter species, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter species) are recognized as the most important emerging threats of this century [3].

To confront the growing antimicrobial resistances, modern medicine focus natural products for novel antibiotics and antimicrobials. Strains of Bacillus subtilis, the model system for gram-positive organisms, are able to produce more than two dozen antibiotics with different structures and functions depending on the ecological niche or induced systematic resistance [4]. Bacillus isolates are rather well known for the production of a vast array of structurally unrelated antimicrobial compounds, which include lipopeptides like iturin, surfactin, fengycins, bacteriocins, and bacteriocin like inhibitory substances (BLIS) [5]. The production of lipopeptides allows certain B. subtilis strains to modify their outer surface which permits them to regroup together in a biofilm in order to proliferate and spread in the territory. The major types of antimicrobial compounds from B. subtilis include peptides that are either ribosomally synthesized and posttranslationally modified (lantibiotics and lantibiotic-like peptides) or nonribosomally generated, as well as a couple of nonpeptidic compounds such as polyketides, an amino sugar, and a phospholipid [5]. The multifarious antimicrobial compounds produced by various Bacillus strains have the...
ability to address the multidrug resistant problems. Only a small portion of the antimicrobial molecules potentially produced by this genus has been identified. From the current investigation we report the preliminary characterization of a novel broad-spectrum antimicrobial substance produced by a soil isolate *B. subtilis* RLID 12.1.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microbial Strains, Media, and Growth Conditions. The isolate *Bacillus* sp. was grown in Tryptic Soy Broth with additional 0.5% yeast extract (modified Tryptic Soy Broth, pH 7.4) at 37°C under shaking condition. The fungal cultures were maintained in MGYP broths and agar (pH 6.6) at appropriate temperatures and the indicator bacterial strains were grown in Brain Heart Infusion slants (Hi-Media, Bombay, India) at 37°C and maintained at 4°C. The indicator organisms used in this investigation were obtained from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC) Chandigarh, National Collection of Industrial Microorganisms (NCIM) Pune, India, and clinical isolates from hospitals. The cultures were also maintained as 20% glycerol stock at −80°C.

2.2. Identification of the Bacillus Isolate RLID 12.1. The antibiotic producing *Bacillus* strains RLID 12.1 was initially identified by various biochemical tests like Methyl Red and Voges-Proskauer tests, production of indole and catalase, growth at different temperatures, and different concentrations of NaCl according to Bergey’s Manual of Determinative Bacteriology. Carbohydrate fermentation tests were done using KB009 Kit from HiMedia Pdt. Ltd., India.

2.3. PCR Based Identification. Total genomic DNA of the selected soil isolate was extracted from 24 h Trypticase Soy Broth cultures. 50 μL reaction volumes PCR was performed using about 100 ng of genomic DNA, 10X reaction buffer, 10 mM (each) deoxyxynucleoside triphosphates, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, and 1.0 U of Taq polymerase (Bangalore GeneI). The universal eubacterial primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTC-3') and 1.492R (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') [6] at the rate of 100 picomoles were used to amplify small-subunit rRNA (16S rRNA) gene sequences of the wild-type soil isolate.

2.4. Inhibitory Spectra. The antimicrobial compound producing *Bacillus* isolate RLID 12.1 was grown in 100 mL modified Tryptic soy broth (mTSB, pH 7.4) at 37°C for 48 h. After 48 h, the supernatant was collected by centrifuging grown culture at 12000 rpm for 30 min and filtered through 0.45 μm polysulphonate membrane (Axiva). Filtered cell-free supernatant was concentrated by ultrafiltration using Millipore membrane (Molecular weight cut-off 3 kDa) in a stirred cell device (Millipore) and was tested using the agar well diffusion assay against selected indicator strains (Table 1). Appropriate agar media plates were swabbed with 100 μL of appropriately diluted freshly grown indicator microorganism and wells of 8 mm diameter were cut and filled with 100 μL of concentrated supernatants [7]. The zone of inhibition was inspected after incubating the plates for 24–48 h at respective temperatures and measured in diameter.

| S. number | Indicator organism | Antimicrobial activity (zone of inhibition in mm) |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1         | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MTCC 741 | 17.33 ± 0.44 |
| 2         | *P. aeruginosa* MTCC 2582 | 21.5 ± 0.28 |
| 3         | *P. aeruginosa* MTCC 424 | 17.83 ± 0.17 |
| 4         | *Pseudomonas* sp. (clinical isolate) | 17.50 ± 0.29 |
| 5         | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | 15 ± 0.29 |
| 6         | *K. pneumoniae* MTCC 109 | 15.17 ± 0.44 |
| 7         | *Salmonella* *infantis* MTCC 1167 | 0 |
| 8         | *Escherichia coli* MTCC 723 | 24.66 ± 0.16 |
| 9         | *E. coli* MTCC 729 | 21.33 ± 0.33 |
| 10        | *Acinetobacter baumannii* MTCC 1425 | 22.68 ± 0.15 |
| 11        | *Proteus vulgaris* MTCC 1771 | 29.5 ± 0.28** |
| 12        | *Yersinia aldovae* (arctic isolate) | 21.67 ± 0.33 |
| 13        | *Staphylococcus aureus* MTCC 737 | 0 |
| 14        | *S. aureus* MTCC 96 | 14.5 ± 0.27 |
| 15        | *S. aureus* NCIM 5021 | 0 |
| 16        | *Streptococcus pyogenes* MTCC 442 | 33.17 ± 0.17 |
| 17        | *S. pyogenes* MTCC 1928 | 28.5 ± 0.28 |
| 18        | *S. pyogenes* NCIM 2608 | 17.33 ± 0.16 |
| 19        | *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC 12228 | 15.17 ± 0.16 |
| 20        | *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum* (arctic isolate) | 14.5 ± 0.27 |
| 21        | *Enterococcus faecalis* APR 210 | 30.5 ± 0.26 |
| 22        | *C. albicans* DI (GenBank accession number KJ095700) | 14.33 ± 0.23 |
| 23        | *C. albicans* WT (clinical isolate) | 16.83 ± 0.44 |
| 24        | *C. albicans* MTCC 227 | 13.67 ± 0.33 |
| 25        | *C. albicans* MTCC 3471 | 0 |
| 26        | *C. albicans* MTCC 854 | 17.33 ± 0.33 |
| 27        | *C. albicans* SC 5314 | 12.34 ± 0.22 |
| 28        | *C. glabrata* NCIM 3019 | 20.5 ± 0.29 |
| 29        | *C. glabrata* MTCC 3814 | 16.83 ± 0.44 |
| 30        | *C. krusei* NCIM 3129 | 23.33 ± 0.33 |
| 31        | *Cryptococcus neoformans* NCIM 3541 | 24.33 ± 0.67 |
| 32        | *Cryptococcus neoformans* NCIM 3378 | 15.33 ± 0.16 |

** indicates cidal and static activity.
2.4.1. Growth Kinetics. Modified Tryptase Soya broth was inoculated with freshly grown RLID 12.1 and incubated at 37°C. After every 4 h, 2 mL of sample was drawn and centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 30 min and supernatants were membrane-filtered through 0.45 μm disposable filter and assayed for antibacterial activity against *Streptococcus pyogenes* MTCC 442. Simultaneously bacteria growth was recorded spectrophotometrically at OD600. The antimicrobial activity was expressed in terms of arbitrary units (AU) which was defined as the highest dilution of the sample that produced a zone of inhibition. The reciprocal of the dilution was considered as the titre of antimicrobial activity (AUml⁻¹).

2.5. Preliminary Characterization of the Antimicrobial Compound

2.5.1. Effect of Enzymes. To check the sensitivity of enzyme, the cell-free supernatant concentrated by ultrafiltration (using stirred cell and 3 kDa molecular weight cut-off stirred cell membrane, Millipore) was treated with filter-sterilized trypsin (0.5 M Tris HCl buffer, pH 8.0), pronase E (10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0), lipase (100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4), and α-amylase (20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0) at a final concentration of 10 mg/mL at 37°C and proteinase K (50 mM Tris HCl buffer, pH 7.5) at a final concentration of 10 mg/mL at 55°C for 2 h. The concentrates without enzyme treatment and buffers were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. The residual activity was determined by agar well diffusion method against *S. pyogenes* MTCC 442 [7].

2.5.2. Stability of the Antimicrobial Activity. To determine thermal stability, aliquots of concentrated supernatants were incubated at different temperatures: 37°C for 5 h, 50°C and 60°C for 3 h, 70°C for 2 h, 80, 90 and 100°C for 1 h, and 121°C for 40 min. pH stability was analyzed for 2 h at 37°C with 0.1 M buffers of different pH: citrate buffer (pH 3.0–5.0), phosphate buffer (pH 6.0 and 7.0), Tris buffer (pH 8.0 and 9.0), and glycine NaOH buffer (pH 10.0) for 2 h. After incubation, antimicrobial activity was checked.

2.5.3. Effect of Surfactants and Other Chemicals. Effect of surfactants like SDS, Tween 20, urea, and Triton X-100 at a final concentration of 1% (v/v), trichloroacetic acid (10 mg/mL (w/v, TCA)), β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME) at 10% concentration, and EDTA at 2, 5 and 50 mM concentration was analysed by incubating at 37°C for 5 h [8]. Chemicals at the rate of 1% and 10% in mTSB broth and untreated concentrated supernatant were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. After treatment with TCA, samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was adjusted to pH 8.0 and checked for antimicrobial activity [9].

2.5.4. Effect of Organic Solvents and Metals. The concentrate was mixed with various organic solvents (ethanol, methanol, isopropanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, chloroform, and isobutanol) at a final concentration of 50% (v/v). After incubation for 1 h at 25°C, the organic solvent was evaporated in a vacuum concentrator (Martin-Christ) and was dissolved in 20 mM Tris HCl buffer pH 8.0. The respective buffers and untreated concentrated supernatant were used as negative and positive controls [9]. Then the residual antimicrobial activity was determined. Effect of metal salts (AgNO₃, MgSO₄, MnCl₂, ZnSO₄, CuSO₄, CdCl₂, FeSO₄, and CaCl₂) at a final concentration of 1 mg/mL on concentrated supernatant was analysed by incubating at 37°C for 1 h. The untreated concentrated supernatant and solutions of metals salts were used as positive and negative controls, respectively [8].

2.5.5. Ammonium Sulphate Fractionation. *B. subtilis* RLID 12.1 was grown at 37°C for 48 h. The cell-free supernatant was prepared by centrifuging the growth at 12000 rpm at 4°C. The cell-free supernatant was subjected to sequential ammonium sulphate precipitation to accomplish 30, 50, and 80% saturation at 4°C. Then pellet was resuspended in 20 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.0) and was dialysed using 2 kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane (Spectrapor) against the same buffer for 24 h with four changes at 4°C. The dialyzer was stored at −20°C for further analysis.

2.6. Partial Purification of Antimicrobial Compound. The dialysed sample (1 mg/mL) was applied to DEAE Sepharose Fast flow (GE Healthcare) column (110 mm × 17 mm) pre-equilibrated with 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) and protein was eluted with same buffer followed by a gradient from 0–0.5 M at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Protein in the effluent was monitored by determining the absorbance at 280 nm. A total of 90 fractions (2 mL) were collected, and 100 μL aliquot of each fraction was tested for antibacterial activity by cut-well agar assay. As a negative control for the bioassay the phosphate buffer and broth were used.

2.7. N-Terminal Sequencing. To determine the N-terminal amino acid sequence of the sample, the peptide band that showed the activity (in zymogram analysis as shown below) was precisely electroblotted to a 0.45 μm PVDF membrane (Millipore) and then it was subjected to a protein sequencer (model 494, Procise/140C Analyzer, Applied Biosystems, Inc, Iowa State University, USA). The N-terminal sequence of the peptide was determined by using Edman degradation system.

2.7.1. Tricine SDS-PAGE and Zymogram. The biologically active sample was subjected to molecular mass determination by SDS PAGE using Tricine as trailing ions on 15% gel in accordance with the procedure of Laemmli and Favre [11]. Electrophoresis was carried out at 60 V. After electrophoresis, one part of the gel was fixed (25% ethanol, 5% formaldehyde) for 30 min, followed by repeated washing with sterile distilled water for 3 h. To detect proteins bands with antibacterial activity, the gel was washed three times in 0.1% Tween 80 (40 min each wash) at room temperature. Subsequently the SDS-free gel was aseptically placed in Brain Heart Infusion broth (0.7% agar, w/v) containing approximately 10⁶ cells of *S. pyogenes* MTCC 1928 and *S. pyogenes* MTCC 442. The plates
were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and observed for the presence of inhibition zone. The other part of the gel containing sample and standard marker (3.5–43 kDa, Genel) was stained with silver nitrate.

2.8. Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC). Partially purified compound was spotted on silica gel 60 plate (2.0 × 6.0 cm²) and was developed with n-butanol-methanol-water (3:1:1, v/v) as the solvent mixture. The TLC plate was sprayed with water and ninhydrin (0.2%) in order to determine the lipophilicity of the substance and presence of amino acid in the sample, respectively. Also the TLC plate was exposed to iodine vapour to detect the lipid moiety in the compound.

2.9. Detection of iturin C, fengycin, and bmy B Genes. Genomic DNA from strain RLID 12.1 was prepared as described by Neumann et al. [12] and used as a DNA template for the PCR amplifications with specific primers for the iturin C, fengycin, and bmy B genes listed in Table 2. The PCR mix in a 50 μL reaction volume was as follows: 100 ng of genomic DNA as template, 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (EMerck), 5 μL reaction buffer, MgCl₂ (25 mM), deoxynucleoside triphosphate solution (10 mM each), and of each primer (100 pico moles). The PCR conditions included an initial denaturing step for 2 min at 94°C followed by 30 cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at the specific temperature (Table 1) for 45 s, elongation at 72°C for 45 s followed by a final extension of 7 min at 72°C. The PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel. Amplification was accomplished in a thermal cycler (MJ Research, Biorad). The gel was run at 80 V for approximately 90 min and 100 bp DNA ladder (Merck) was used as the molecular weight marker.

2.10. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). The MIC for different strains was determined by using a microtiter plate dilution method in appropriate broth using 96-well plates. Using 0.5 McFarland standards, 1 × 10⁷ CFU/mL of each indicator bacteria was prepared and subjected to varying concentration of the dialyzed concentrate of the ammonium sulphate fractionated sample and anion-exchange purified compounds in the range of 64.0–0.125 μg/mL and 200.0–0.39 μg/mL, respectively, by twofold dilution methods [13]. The microtiter plates were incubated at 37°C ± 0.2 incubator under shaking condition. The lowest concentration that inhibited growth of indicator strains was recorded.

3. Results

Strain RLID 12.1 was initially identified on the basis of its morphological and biochemical characteristics and according to the results (data not shown here) it belongs to the genus *Bacillus*. Identification was later confirmed by genotypic characterization by PCR amplification, using specific primers [6] and 16S rRNA typing. Based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences, comparative sequences analysis and biochemical characteristics analysis, it can be suggested that the soil-isolate belongs to the genus *Bacillus* with the highest identity to *B. subtilis*. The accession number of RLID 12.1 submitted to the GenBank nucleotide sequence database is JX089317 [14].

3.1. Inhibitory Spectrum of RLID 12.1. The sensitivity of a wide range of microorganisms to the antimicrobial substance elaborated by strain RLID 12.1 was tested using the cut-well agar assay. The ultrafiltered concentrate (25X, concentration 0.6 mg/mL⁻¹) of the cell-free supernatant of the strain *B. subtilis* RLID 12.1 exhibited strong and broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity (Figure 1) towards the gram-negative bacteria *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus vulgaris, Acinetobacter baumanii, and Yersinia aldovae* (Table 2). The antimicrobial activity was observed against the gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, and Enterococcus faecalis* and pathogenic yeasts like *C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. kruisi*, and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (Figure 1 and Table 2). The ultrafiltered concentrate was tested against 32 strains and found to be effective against 28 strains examined in this investigation. Results were represented as the mean values with the relevant standard deviation error.

3.2. Growth Kinetics. *S. pyogenes* MTCC 442 was used as an indicator organism in studying the production of antimicrobial substance by *B. subtilis* RLID 12.1. The production started at 36 h and attained maximum level at 48 h of growth (Figure 2). Thereafter the antimicrobial activity started dwindling and remained constant till the stationary phase and early decline phase. pH values were checked at every 4 h interval along with the growth and production of antimicrobial substance. It was observed that pH values increased gradually from the initial pH of 7.4 to 9.5 at the death phase. However the pH value was found to be 8.0 during the maximum production (80 AU/mL⁻¹) of the antimicrobial substance (Figure 2).

| Name and sequences of primers used (5’ → 3’) | Annealing Temperature (°C) | Reference |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| (1) ITURIN C GAT GCG ATC TCC TTG GAT GT    | 51                          |           |
| (2) FEN D ATC GTC ATG TGC TGG TTG AG       | 52                          | [10]      |
| (3) BMY B GAA TCC CGT TGT TCT CCA AA       | 50                          |           |
| GCG GGT ATT GAA TGC TTG TT                 |                             |           |

Table 2: Details of AMP biosynthesis gene primers for PCR amplification.

| Name and sequences of primers used (5’ → 3’) | Annealing Temperature (°C) | Reference |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| (1) ITURIN C GAT GCG ATC TCC TTG GAT GT    | 51                          |           |
| (2) FEN D ATC GTC ATG TGC TGG TTG AG       | 52                          | [10]      |
| (3) BMY B GAA TCC CGT TGT TCT CCA AA       | 50                          |           |
| GCG GGT ATT GAA TGC TTG TT                 |                             |           |

Table 2: Details of AMP biosynthesis gene primers for PCR amplification.
Figure 1: Inhibition zone produced by the test strain *B. subtilis* RLID 12.1. The figures 1, 2, and 3 in Plates (a) and (b) represent antibacterial activity against gram-negative bacteria: *Pseudomonas* sp. (clinical isolate), *P. aeruginosa* MTCC 2582, and *E. coli* MTCC 729, respectively; 4 represents inhibition against gram-positive bacteria, *S. pyogenes* MTCC 442. Figures 5, 6, and 7 in plates (c) and (d) represent antimycotic activity against *C. neoformans* NCIM 3378, *C. glabrata* MTCC 3019, and *C. albicans* DI (clinical isolate, GenBank accession number KJ095700), respectively.

Figure 2: Growth kinetics of the producer strain and antimicrobial substance production expressed in AU/ml (as described in Section 2.4.1); the antibacterial activity of cell-free culture supernatants was assayed by using *S. pyogenes* MTCC 442.

3.3. **Effect of Enzymes, pH, Heat, and Chemicals on the Antimicrobial Compound.** The characterization studies of antimicrobial compound produced by RLID 12.1 were summarized in Table 3. The antimicrobial peptide was tested for sensitivity to proteolytic and nonproteolytic enzymes, heat, pH, and various chemicals like surfactants, metal salts, and solvents. There was no loss of antimicrobial activity upon exposure to pronase E, trypsin, α-amylase, and lipase at a concentration of 10 mg/ml. However, the activity was retained up to 72% when treated by proteinase K at the concentration of 10 mg/ml. The respective buffers used as controls showed no activity.

The antimicrobial activity was recorded over a wide range of pH (3.0–10.0); 100% activity was found between pH 6.0 and 8.0. The antimicrobial substance was found to be heat stable at all temperatures tested. 100% activity was retained at 37°C for 5 h, 95% and 88% at 50°C and 60°C for 3 h, respectively, 85% at 70°C and 80°C for 2 h and 1 h, respectively, 82% at 90°C and 100°C for 1 h, respectively, and 72% activity at 121°C for 40 min (Table 3). When the ultrafiltered concentrate was treated with surfactants, like SDS, Triton-X-100, tween 20, and urea, no inhibitory effect on the biological activity was observed. Also
Table 3: Preliminary characterization of the cell-free supernatant from RLID 12.1.

| Treatment | Concentration | Activity |
|-----------|---------------|----------|
| Control   |               | +        |
| Proteinase K | 10 mg/mL | +        |
| Pronase   | 10 mg/mL     | +        |
| Trypsin   | 10 mg/mL     | +        |
| Lipase    | 10 mg/mL     | +        |
| α-Amylase | 10 mg/mL     | +        |
| pH        | 3.0–10.0     | +        |
| Temperature | 5 hrs at 37°C | +        |
|           | 3 hrs at 50°C | +        |
|           | 3 hrs at 60°C | +        |
|           | 2 hrs at 70°C | +        |
|           | 1 hr at 80°C | +        |
|           | 1 hr at 90°C | +        |
|           | 1 hr at 100°C| +        |
| Surfactants | 1% (v/v) | +        |
| EDTA      | 2, 5, and 50 mg/mL | +        |
| TCA       | 10 mg/mL     | −        |
| Metals    | 1 mg/mL      | +        |
| Organic solvents | 50% (v/v) | +        |

The biological activity remained the same at the exposure to β-mercaptoethanol and EDTA. However, with the treatment of TCA, a complete loss of activity was observed. In another case, 100% biological activity was found to be retained after treating the concentrate with 8 different metal salts. Contrary to this 70–90% activity was detected when antimicrobial substance was treated with various organic solvents (Table 3).

3.4. Partial Purification. During the third step of purification, the dialyze of 30% ammonium sulphate saturated fraction was loaded onto a DEAE-Sepharose anion exchange column and fractionated using a gradient of sodium chloride elution (0 to 0.5 M NaCl) wherein the fractions eluted between 0.25 and 0.5 M of NaCl, showed maximum activity (Figure 3). Among the three major peaks, the third peak showed antimicrobial activity against S. pyogenes MTCC 442. The active fractions were pooled together, desalted using n-butanol solvent and after complete removal of butanol in a speed vac (Martin Christe), protein profile was checked using SDS-PAGE (15%). The purification procedure, summarized in Table 4, resulted in approximately a total of 6400 U with 179-fold overall purification with a 26% recovery or yield of antibacterial activity. The N-terminal amino acid sequence was partially determined to be T-P-P-Q-S-X-L-X-X-G by a protein sequencer (model 494, Applied Biosystems, USA) in which X represents the blocked and unidentified amino acid. This N-terminal amino acid sequence was different from those of other antimicrobial peptides as reported from Bacillus.

3.5. Characterization of Antimicrobial Compound. The molecular weight of the band in Tricine-SDS-PAGE showing the antibacterial activity in zymogram, the band that shows clear zone of inhibition (blackish) against S. pyogenes (Figure 4), was estimated to be less than 2.5 kDa. TLC analysis revealed a spot showing negative result for both ninhydrin and lipid moiety but a white spot of RF value 0.75 was observed when sprayed with water (photograph not shown).

3.6. Detection of iturin C, fen D, and bmy B Genes. This soil isolate, which displayed antagonistic activity, possesses the iturin C, fengycin synthetase, and bacillomycin genes, as evident from the amplification of these genes to PCR products. Agarose gel electrophoresis revealed that PCR products of about 300 and 800 bp were amplified from the genomic DNA of B. subtilis RLID 12.1 with primers shown in tabular form. As seen in Figure 5, a single clear PCR product of approximately 400 bp was observed when ITUC primers were used and 250 and 400 bp bands were observed when FEND and BMYB primers were used, indicating the most likely presence of the iturin operon in the chromosome. All PCR amplified (Figure 5) fragments were subsequently sequenced using the respective primers that were used for amplification. Sequence comparisons showed 99%, 97%, and 98% similarity or homology, respectively, between the sequences obtained from the amplified fragments and iturin C, fengycin synthetase, and bacillomycin genes in the database from the known surfactin producers.

3.7. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). The minimum inhibitory concentration of dialysed concentrate after ammonium sulphate fractionation for gram-positive bacteria
Table 4: Summary of purification steps.

| Purification steps                  | Total Protein (mg) | Total Activity (AU) | Specific activitya | Purification fold | Yield, % |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Cell-free supernatant (CFS)         | 13440              | 24000               | 1.785              | 1                 | 100      |
| Ultrafiltration                     | 1000               | 16000               | 16                 | 8.9               | 66.7     |
| Ammonium sulphate precipitation (30%) | 80.1               | 9600                | 119.85             | 35.85             | 40       |
| DEAE-Sepharose chromatography       | 20                 | 6400                | 320                | 179.27            | 26       |

*aSpecific activity is the ratio of total activity to that of total protein.*

Figure 4: Tricine SDS-PAGE (15%) of antimicrobial compound from RLID 12.1, lane M (from left): low molecular weight marker, lane 1: partially purified compound after DEAE-Sepharose chromatography and lanes 2 and 3: zone of inhibition (zymogram) against *S. pyogenes* MTCC 1928 and 442.

(S. pyogenes) was found to be 0.25–0.5 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \), for gram-negative bacteria (*E. coli* MTCC 723 and *P. aeruginosa*) 32.0 and 8.0 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \), respectively, and for yeasts (*C. albicans* and *C. neoformans*) as 32.0 and 16.0 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) (Table 5). The lowest MIC measured was 0.25 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) for *S. pyogenes* MTCC 442. Partially purified compound after DEAE-Sepharose anion exchange failed to show any activity against gram-negative bacteria and yeasts but showed antibacterial activity against some gram-positive bacteria in the range 0.39–25 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) (Table 5). The minimum bactericidal values (MBC) ranged from 3.25 to 25.0 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The antimicrobial substance found to be inhibitory to a wide spectrum of bacteria and yeasts was reproducibly demonstrable to a high level of antagonistic potential. In this investigation, RLID 12.1 showed both antibacterial (against gram-positive and gram-negative) and antymycotic activity against most of the hospital acquired infections. Prior to this study, a broad inhibitory spectral property had been reported in several strains, for example, *B. subtilis* LFB 112 [15]. The growth kinetics and inhibitory action of *B. subtilis* RLID 12.1 clearly revealed that the antimicrobial activity was detected after a steady state of growth curve particularly from the early to late stationary phase with its decline in the very late stationary phase (Figure 2). Since the antimicrobial activity of the test strain could be detected in the midstationary phase reaching the maximum at the late exponential phase with an arbitrary units of approximately 80 AU/mL, followed by a significant decrease during prolonged incubation of 72 h (Figure 2), it may be suggested that sporulation had perhaps no effect in this case on the production of antimicrobial substance.

The pH values of the culture broth rising from the initial pH of 7.4 to 8.0 during its growth have confirmed that the inhibitory action was due to the antimicrobial compound and not due to production of organic acids. Similar findings were found in *Bacillus* sp. FAS1 where the antimicrobial compound was produced at alkaline pH [16]. The antimicrobial substance appeared to be amazingly heat-stable as no reduction in the inhibitory activity was observed even after being heated to 100°C for 60 min or 121°C for 40 min. Besides this, the antimicrobial activity of ultrafiltered concentrate adjusted over a wide range of pH values (3.0–10.0) showed no drastic reduction. The heat and pH stability property of antimicrobial peptides were also reported in case of pumilicin and laterosporulin by *B. pumilus* and *Brevibacillus* sp., respectively [17, 18]. Subtilosin A (molecular mass of 3399.7 Da), produced by *Bacillus* sp., showed bactericidal [19] activity against *Listeria monocytogenes*, clinical isolates of...
Gardnerella vaginalis and S. agalactiae [20] and also against gram-negative bacteria. Shelburne et al. [21] showed high stability under extreme temperature and pH stresses, with retention of full activity after an hour of heat-treatment at 100°C or in the pH range of 2.0–10.0 [22]. Our observation about the antimicrobial substance produced by RLID 12.1 is in agreement with the previous observation. In another study, the strain B. amyloliquefaciens LBM 5006 isolated from the Brazilian Atlantic forest produced a bacteriocin like inhibitory substance (BLIS) of interest because of its broad antibacterial spectrum, which included activity against L. monocytogenes, Bacillus cereus, Serratia marcescens, and Pasteurella haemolytica [22]. The BLIS activity was associated with a peptide of approximately 5 kDa that was stable over the pH range of 3.0–8.0, heat stable (80°C, 30 min), and sensitive to proteolytic enzymes. The apparent resemblance of the physicochemical stability of this low molecular weight antimicrobial substance with RLID 12.1 except the resistance to proteolytic enzymes and exceptional heat-resistance warrants that the RLID 12.1 antimicrobial compound may be designated as BLIS. However, further experimentation is required to corroborate this finding. The ultrafiltered retentate of RLID 12.1 was found to be completely resistant to trypsin and pronase E; however, the reduction of its bioactivity to 72% (partial loss by 28%) when treated with high concentration of proteinase K and 100% loss of activity recorded after TCA treatment confirmed its proteinaceous nature. Resistance to nonproteolytic enzymes like lipase and α-amylase indicates that no lipid or glucosidic moiety was responsible for its biological activity. Failure of proteolytic digestion might be due to the presence of unusual amino acids with D-conformation in the peptide structure [23] due to the presence of proline residues or cyclic N and/or C terminally blocked peptides [24] which are in consonance with data reported from many Bacillus spp. [8, 17]. Our observation on the heat-stability profile and resistance to enzymes was also supported by a highly heat-stable (100°C for 1h) antibacterial peptide produced by a Brazilian oil isolate Bacillus firmus H₂O-1 that was found to be resistant to proteases and was stable at alkaline pH [25]. Chemical treatments with surfactants, metal salts, and EDTA did not cause any adverse effect on biological activity.

However when TLC analysis was performed using ion exchange derived antimicrobial fractions, ninhydrin-negative result indicated that N-terminal might either be blocked or modified which may be due to cyclization or chemical modification quite conspicuous to lantibiotics [26]. The partial N-terminal amino acid sequence of the antimicrobial peptide did not collectively match, either entirely or partially, with a single peptide or protein encoded by the genus Bacillus. However, it (TPPQS..) exhibited insignificant similarity to histidine kinase from Bacillus subtilis, sequence ID: ref[YP_0038662451]. The ..PPQS.. matches slightly with surfactin synthetase [Bacillus stratosphericus; sequence ID: ref[WP_0074982191].

The presence of AMP biosynthetic genes in the Bacillus isolate in concern was determined by PCR using the specific primers for these genes (bmy B, fen D, and itu C). The sizes of the amplified products (Figure 4) precisely match the sizes of the PCR product in a study conducted earlier [10, 27]. In several earlier studies, in several strains of Bacillus, the biocontrol of plant pathogens was linked to the presence of the AMP biosynthetic genes bmy B, fen D, itu C, srfA, and srfB [10, 27]. It was reported earlier that in the Bacillus strain like FZB42, bmy B, and fen D genes were present whereas in QST713, RGAF51, and UMADF6639, bmy B, fen D, and itu C were present as AMP gene markers [10]. The similar observation has been made in the isolate RLID 12.1 that claims to possess the structural genes, namely, bmy B, fen D and itu cin. In a comprehensive study on the biosynthesis of

### Table 5: MIC and MBC of partially purified antimicrobial compound.

| S. number | Organisms          | MIC of dialyzed concentrate (µg/mL) | MIC of partially purified compound (µg/mL) | MBC of partially purified compound (µg/mL) |
|-----------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 1         | P. aeruginosa MTCC 424 | 8.0                               | NA                                         | Nil                                        |
| 2         | E. coli MTCC 723     | 32.0                              | NA                                         | Nil                                        |
| 3         | S. pyogenes MTCC 442 | 0.5                               | 6.25                                       | 6.25                                       |
| 4         | S. pyogenes MTCC 1928| 0.25                              | 3.125                                      | 3.125                                      |
| 5         | S. pyogenes NCIM 2608| ND                                | NA                                         | NA                                         |
| 6         | Bacillus cereus      | ND                                | 0.39                                       | 3.125                                      |
| 7         | Enterococcus faecalis| ND                                | 25.0                                       | 25.0                                       |
| 8         | Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12228 | ND | >200                                      | >200                                       |
| 9         | C. albicans MTCC 183 | 32.0                              | NA                                         | Nil                                        |
| 10        | Cryptococcus neoformans NCIM 3541 | 16.0 | NA                                         | Nil                                        |

NA: no activity; ND: not determined.
surfactin, it was stated that surfactin production is inducible by actively growing cells with a postexponential synthesis [28].

It is essential to determine the MIC values of the substances to evaluate the antimicrobial efficacy. MIC and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) values of partially purified compound for S. pyogenes MTCC 442 and MTCC 1928 were found to be similar. But in the case of B. cereus and Enterococcus faecalis, MIC values were higher as compared to MBC values. However, MIC and MBC values for Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 12228 were found to be very high (>200 \( \mu \)g/mL). Bacillomycin F [29] which effectively inhibited C. albicans (MIC, 40 \( \mu \)g/mL) and C. tropicalis (MIC, 40 \( \mu \)g/mL\(^{-1}\)) exhibited modest inhibition against Micrococcus luteus (MIC, 200 \( \mu \)g/mL) having no inhibitory effect on other bacteria tested (e.g., MIC > 400 \( \mu \)g/mL: E. coli K12, Streptomyces albus G., and S. aureus). Likewise in our investigation, narrow-spectrum activity against gram-positive bacteria like S. pyogenes, S. epidermidis, B. cereus, and E. faecalis were found in partially purified antimicrobial compound.

However, no antimicrobial activity was observed against gram-negative organisms and yeasts as well as some gram-positive bacteria like M. luteus and S. aureus, which is in partial agreement with other previous observations [17]. Loss of antimicrobial activity against gram-negative bacteria and yeasts during the purification process and differences in MIC values between dialyzed and partially purified substance indicates that more than one antimicrobial compound might have been produced by RLID 12.1. In the present study, only one compound was partially purified using ion exchange chromatography. Thus our results suggest that there may be a combined production of one or more antimicrobial compounds that enabled a demonstration of broad spectrum activity by the dialysed concentrate which was almost similar as reported by Baindara et al. [30].

5. Conclusions

The present investigation reveals the broad-spectrum antimicrobial potential of the wild-type isolate RLID 12.1 identified as B. subtilis. It produces highly heat stable substances when compared with other antimicrobial proteins produced by other species of Bacillus and Lactobacillus. The present characterization revealed interesting properties which justifies its potential application in the biological control of pathogenic strains. Further biochemical and molecular characterization after complete purification will be undertaken.

Conflict of Interests

There is no conflict of interests amongst authors regarding this publication.

Acknowledgments

The financial support provided by the Department of Science and Technology (DST) [SR/SO/HS-137/2008], New Delhi, is sincerely acknowledged. The kind support of the DST (FIST), New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged. The facility provided by BITS Pilani KK Birla Goa Campus is thankfully acknowledged. They want also to acknowledge Mr. Riyaz Basha for the laboratory works including setting up PCR reactions.

References

[1] A. Gullo, “Invasive fungal infections: the challenge continues,” Drugs, vol. 69, pp. 65–73, 2009.
[2] A. Y. Peleg and D. C. Hooper, “Hospital-acquired infections due to gram-negative bacteria,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 362, no. 19, pp. 1804–1813, 2010.
[3] S. S. Kanji and Z. A. Kanafani, “Current concepts in antimicrobial therapy against resistant gram-negative organisms: extended-spectrum \( \beta \)-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae, carbapenem-resistant enterobacteriaceae, and multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa,” Mayo Clinic Proceedings, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 250–259, 2011.
[4] H. Abriouel, C. M. A. P. Franz, N. B. Omar, and A. Gálvez, “Diversity and applications of Bacillus bacteriocins,” FEMS Microbiology Reviews, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 201–232, 2011.
[5] T. Stein, “Bacillus subtilis antibiotics: structures, syntheses and specific functions,” Molecular Microbiology, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 845–857, 2005.
[6] D. J. Lane, “16S/23S rRNA sequencing,” in Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematic, E. Stackenbrand and M. Goodfellow, Eds., pp. 115–176, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, 1991.
[7] R. M. Shekh, P. Singh, S. M. Singh, and U. Roy, “Antifungal activity of arctic andantarctic bacteria isolates,” Polar Biology, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 139–143, 2011.
[8] N. Kayalvizhi and P. Gunasekaran, “Purification and characterization of a novel broad-spectrum bacteriocin from bacillus licheniformis MKU3,” Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 365–370, 2010.
[9] D. Hernández, E. Cardell, and V. Zárate, “Antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Tenerife cheese: Initial characterization of plantaricin TF711, a bacteriocin-like substance produced by Lactobacillus plantarum TF711,” Journal of Applied Microbiology, vol. 99, no. 1, pp. 77–84, 2005.
[10] I. Mora, J. Cabrefiga, and E. Montesinos, “Antimicrobial peptide genes in Bacillus strains from plant environments,” International Microbiology, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 213–223, 2011.
[11] U. K. Laemmli and M. Favre, “Maturation of the head of bacteriophage T4. I. DNA packaging events,” Journal of Molecular Biology, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 575–599, 1973.
[12] B. Neumann, A. Pospiech, and H. U. Schairer, “Rapid isolation of genomic DNA from gram-negative bacteria,” Trends in Genetics, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 332–333, 1992.
[13] National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically, vol. 26, National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS), Villanova, Pa, USA, 7th edition, 2006.
[14] R. Ramya, R. Lal, and U. Roy, “Antimicrobial prowess of a soil isolate Bacillus subtilis,” in Worldwide Research Efforts in the Fighting against Macrobial Pathogens: From Basic Research to Technological Developments, pp. 72–77, Brown Walker Press, 2013.
[15] X. Jianhua, Z. Rijun, S. Changjiang, and G. Yaoqi, “Isolation and characterization of a bacteriocin produced by an isolated Bacillus subtilis LFB112 that exhibits antimicrobial activity against domestic animal pathogens,” African Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 8, no. 20, pp. 5600–5608, 2009.

[16] M. H. Moshafi, H. Forootanfar, A. Ameri, M. Shakibaie, G. Dehghan-Noudeh, and M. Razavi, “Antimicrobial activity of Bacillus sp. strain FASI isolated from soil,” Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 269–275, 2011.

[17] R. Aunpad and K. Na-Bangchang, “Pumilicin 4, a novel bacteriocin with anti-MRSA and Anti-VRE activity produced by newly isolated bacteria Bacillus pumilus strain WAPB4,” Current Microbiology, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 308–313, 2007.

[18] P. K. Singh, V. Sharma, P. B. Patil, and S. Korpole, “Identification, purification and characterization of laterosporulin, a novel bacteriocin produced by Brevibacillus sp. strain GI-9,” PLoS ONE, vol. 7, no. 3, Article ID e31498, 2012.

[19] R. Marx, T. Stein, K.-D. Entian, and S. J. Glaser, “Structure of the Bacillus subtilis peptide antibiotic subtilosin A determined by 1H-NMR and matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry,” Journal of Protein Chemistry, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 501–506, 2001.

[20] K. E. Sutyak, R. E. Wirawan, A. A. Aroutcheva, and M. L. Chikindas, “Isolation of the Bacillus subtilis antimicrobial peptide subtilosin from the dairy product-derived Bacillus amyloliquefaciens,” Journal of Applied Microbiology, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 1067–1074, 2008.

[21] C. E. Shelburne, F. Y. An, V. Dholpe, A. Ramamoorthy, D. E. Lopatin, and M. S. Lantz, “The spectrum of antimicrobial activity of the bacteriocin subtilosin A,” Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 297–300, 2007.

[22] M. P. Lisboa, D. Bonatto, D. Bizani, J. A. P. Henriques, and A. Brandelli, “Characterization of a bacteriocin-like substance produced by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens isolated from the Brazilian Atlantic forest,” International Microbiology, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 111–118, 2006.

[23] H. G. Boman, “Antibacterial peptides: key components needed in immunity,” Cell, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 205–207, 1991.

[24] R. Galati, A. Verdina, G. Falasca, and A. Chersi, “Increased resistance of peptides to serum proteases by modification of their amino groups,” Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, vol. 58, no. 7-8, pp. 558–561, 2003.

[25] E. Korenblum, G. V. Sebastián, M. M. Paiva et al., “Action of antimicrobial substances produced by different oil reservoir Bacillus strains against biofilm formation,” Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 97–103, 2008.

[26] J. M. Willey and W. A. van der Donk, “Lantibiotics: peptides of diverse structure and function,” Annual Review of Microbiology, vol. 61, pp. 477–501, 2007.

[27] R. Joshi and B. B. McSpadden Gardener, “Identification and characterization of novel genetic markers associated with biological control activities in Bacillus subtilis,” Phytopathology, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 145–154, 2006.

[28] F. Peypoux, J. M. Bonmatin, and J. Wallach, “Recent trends in the biochemistry of surfactin,” Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 553–563, 1999.

[29] A. J. De Lucca and T. J. Walsh, “Antifungal peptides: novel therapeutic compounds against emerging pathogens,” Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 1999.

[30] P. Baindara, S. M. Mandal, N. Chawla, P. K. Singh, A. K. Pinnaka, and S. Korpole, “Characterization of two antimicrobial peptides produced by a halotolerant Bacillus subtilis strain SK.DU.4 isolated from a rhizosphere soil sample,” AMB Express, vol. 3, article 2, pp. 1–11, 2013.