Selectivity and potency of natural product PIM kinase inhibitors identified by in silico docking
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Abstract

PIM3 (Proviral Integration site for Maloney murine leukemia virus kinase 3) is a proto-oncogene with serine/threonine kinase activity that prevents apoptosis, promotes cell survival, and stimulates protein translation. In addition, PIM3 functions in inflammation and immunity pathways. PIM3 inhibitors are being developed to treat cancer and inflammation-related disorders. Here we screen a 98,000 compound virtual library of natural products to identify those that are predicted to fit the ATP site of PIM3. Since the structure of PIM3 has not been determined experimentally, we performed molecular structure prediction using the SWISS-MODEL tool to generate a PIM3 model structure for in silico screening. Compounds predicted to fit the ATP binding site of PIM3 were validated using biochemical assays, revealing activity against PIM3 for all eight candidates, with potencies mostly in the micromolar range. We tested several analogs of two validated candidates, the diosgenin glycoside dioscin and the biflavonoid hinokiflavone. Among five dioscin analogs, three exhibit similar potency against PIM3, and with some selectivity for PIM3 versus PIM1 and 2. Meanwhile, three of seven biflavonoid analogs exhibit sub-micromolar IC50 potency against PIM3, but with less selectivity for PIM3 versus PIM1 and 2.
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Introduction

The PIM family consists of three intracellular serine/threonine kinases that regulate apoptosis, proliferation, migration, and cellular metabolism [1]. PIM kinases share high sequence homology; PIM1 and PIM2 are 53% identical [2], while PIM1 shares 44% and 71% identity with PI2 and PIM3, respectively [3]. Overexpression of PIM3 is associated with cancer and inflammation.

In cancer, PIM3 facilitates cell survival through BAD [4]. Unphosphorylated BAD normally binds to Bcl-X(L) at the mitochondrial membrane, inhibiting assembly of pro-apoptotic pores in the mitochondrial membrane and enabling apoptosis. Following PIM3-mediated BAD phosphorylation, 14–3–3 binds and releases BAD from the surface of the mitochondria. This leaves Bcl-x(L) free to sequester components of the pro-apoptotic pore complex, preventing pore assembly. Mitochondrial membrane integrity is maintained and apoptosis is prevented. Overexpression of PIM3 increases BAD phosphorylation, resulting in more Bcl-X(L) activity and greater resistance to apoptosis. Proto-oncogene PIM3 is overexpressed primarily in tumors of endoderm-derived organs, including the liver, prostate, and pancreatic cancer [5].

PIM3 expression also facilitates inflammation. Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha (TNF-α) transiently increases Pim-3 mRNA expression in endothelial cells [6]. Endothelial cells participate in the inflammation cascade through the synthesis and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, including VCAM, ICAM, and interleukin. Other studies have reported that PIM kinase activity is required for naïve CD4 + T cell proliferation and differentiation; inhibiting these kinases in naïve T cells induced a G0/G1 cell cycle arrest [7]. These activities contribute to sustained severity of pathological inflammatory conditions.

A number of PIM inhibitors are in development. Pan PIM kinase inhibitor SGI-1776 that reduces Bcl-2 anti-apoptotic family member Mcl-1 transcription [8], was tested in clinical trials in non-Hodgkin lymphoma and prostate cancer patients before being withdrawn after possible dose-limiting...
cardiotoxicity in one patient. After cardiotoxicity from a drug metabolite, analogue TP-3654 has been advanced into clinical trials [9]. A chlorinated 7-azaindole derivative selectively inhibits PIMs 1 and 3, but not 2, after being derived from rational drug design based on the PIM1 crystal structure, which was used as proxy for PIM3 [10]. Non-selective PIM kinase inhibitor AZD1208 is in phase 1 clinical trials; it decreases proliferation of non-Hodgkin lymphoma cell lines [8] and human prostate cancer xenografts [11]. LGH447 is a non-specific small-molecule inhibitor of PIM kinases; it interrupts G1/S cell cycle transition and affects the expression of different pro-apoptotic proteins like Bcl-2 [8]. M-110 is a highly selective, ATP-competitive inhibitor of PIM kinases with a preference for PIM-3 (IC50 = 47 nM). M-110 inhibits PIM-1 and PIM-2 with similar IC50s of 2.5 μM [12]. Naturally derived molecules have also been reported to target PIM kinases. Quercetagetin [13] and Hispidulin [14] were reported to inhibit PIM1.

Here we use standard drug discovery to identify natural products that are selective PIM3 kinase inhibitors. We employ the SWISS computational modeling tool to generate a predicted PIM3 molecular structure. This predicted structure was used to screen a virtual natural product compound library [15] for small molecules that are predicted to fit the PIM3 ATP binding site using AutoDock Vina. Compound activity was confirmed using biochemical assays of PIM kinase activity. Our results reveal anti-PIM3 activity by 5 of 7 compounds tested. Selectivity and structure-activity relationship of diosgenin glycoside and biflavonoid compounds were explored using derivatives. Diosgenin compounds exhibit greater selectivity for PIM3, while biflavonoids exhibit greater potency.

Materials and methods

In silico docking

A predicted structure for PIM3 was generated using SWISS-MODEL [16]. Computational docking of library compounds with PIM3 was performed using AutoDock Vina [17]. A region of interest (ROI) containing the ATP binding site within predicted SWISS crystal structure of PIM3 was selected for computational docking. The SWISS program predicted this structure from the PIM1 isoenzyme (2z2i.1.A) [18], which share 71% homology. The ROI coordinates used were X center = 31.252, Y center = −3.074, Z center = 0.0 and X size = 18, Y size = 20, Z size = 26.

Kinase assays and reagents

Kinase assays were performed by Reaction Biology under standard conditions. Compounds assessed include PIM3 Kinase Inhibitor M-110 (526526, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), dioscin (4123, 98.0% pure, MedChem Express, Princeton, NJ, USA), 5α-Pregnane-3p,20(S)-diol (P705115, 98% pure, Toronto Research Chemicals, North York, Ontario, Canada), momordicoside L (CFN92307, 98% pure, Aobious, Gloucester, MA, USA), isorhoifolin (I819700, 98.68% pure, Toronto Research Chemicals), hinokiflavone (FH74127, Biosynth CarboSynth, Compton, Berkshire, United Kingdom), protodioscin (G0299, 98% pure Sigma), polyphillin A (A14586, 98% pure, Adoq Bioscience, Irvine, CA, USA), prosapogenin A (4403, 99% pure, AvaChem Scientific, San Antonio, TX, USA), timosaponin A3 (1353, 98% pure, AvaChem Scientific), trillin (1300, 95% pure, AvaChem Scientific), luteolin 7-rutinoside (Carbosynth FL158250, 97% pure), chelidimerine (Phytotupurify BP4054, 98% pure), 4′-O-methyllochflavone (Phytotupurify BP2020, 95–99% pure), podocarpus flavone A (Phytotupurify BP1816, 95% pure), luteolin 7-rutinoside (Carbosynth FL158250, 97% pure), isok格林tin (Cayman Chem No. 2510, 98% pure), amentoflavone (Atomax PR-90940, 99% pure), tetrahydroamentoflavone (ChemScene CS-4945, 98% pure), tawanomiflavone A (ChemFaces CFN96541, 98% pure), 5′,8′-bileutolin (Carbosynth XB161938, 95% pure). Compounds were prepared in DMSO and diluted to the appropriate concentration.

Results

Virtual screening a natural products library for putative PIM3 inhibitors

Since the crystal structure of PIM3 has not been reported, we used SWISS-MODEL to generate a predicted structure (Fig. 1). SWISS- MODEL is a free online tool, which utilizes the primary structure of the protein along with homology modeling to generate the predicted protein structure [16]. We used SWISS-MODEL over other modeling algorithms based on prior success in our hands. PIM3 bears significant sequence homology with PIM1, whose crystal structure has been solved [18]. Not surprisingly, the predicted PIM3 structure is very similar to that reported for PIM1.

Our virtual screening approach was aimed at identifying compounds with a strong fit for the PIM3 ATP binding site, resulting in favorable competition for binding with ATP itself. The ATP binding pocket occurs within residues 46–54 and virtual docking was performed at a three-dimensional ROI comprising this site. We first performed docking models using ATP to determine a benchmark for suitable binding affinities for natural products in subsequent studies. ATP fits in the PIM3 binding site with a computationally determined energy of −8.0 kcal/mol (Fig. 1). Selective PIM3 inhibitor M-110 binds the predicted PIM3 structure in silico with a predicted binding energy of −10.2 kcal/mol.
We then conducted a virtual docking screen against the same ROI using our virtual natural products library. This library, which we describe elsewhere [15], comprises 98,000 unique and diverse naturally-derived molecules assembled from smaller libraries [19–23]. AutoDock Vina returned 2,028 molecules, or 2.07% of the screened library, that are predicted to fit in the modeled PIM3 binding site with affinities < −8.0 kcal/mol. An analysis of structural similarity among the 100 molecules with the highest binding scores reveals enrichment for glycoside flavone, bianthrone, steroidal diosgenin glycoside, biflavonoid, and alkaloid derivatives.

Validating PIM3 kinase activity

We sought to validate PIM3 kinase inhibition in a small panel of structurally diverse molecules representing different groups of structurally similar scaffolds identified in the computational screen (Fig. 2) using biochemical assays with purified PIM3. Only momordicoside L showed no PIM3 inhibition at any concentration. All other molecules tested showed inhibition of PIM3 at the highest concentration, though only with 38.6% inhibition for chelidimerine. Calculation of IC50 values resulted in a range that reached as low as 2.17 µM for hinokiflavone (Fig. 3, Table 1). The results from the biochemical assay demonstrate the successful querying of a natural products library by computational docking screening against a kinase, even when the structure is generated by in silico modeling.

Selective PIM3 inhibition by diosgenin glycoside analogs

We next sought to probe the anti-PIM3 pharmacophore of dioscin by assessing PIM3 inhibition with five structurally
similar saponin molecules. We measured PIM3 inhibition by a range of concentrations of protodioscin, polyphillin A, timosaponin A3, prosapogenin A, and trillin (Fig. 4). Timosaponin A3 inhibits PIM3 kinase activity with a calculated IC50 of 21.8 µM, meaning it is twice as potent as dioscin. Polyphillin A and Trillin inhibit PIM3 kinase with calculated IC50 values of 60.3 and 73.4 µM, respectively (Fig. 5, Table 1). Both of these compounds are roughly half as potent as dioscin. Meanwhile prosapogenin A and protodioscin bear minimal activity against PIM3.

We then sought to examine the selectivity of these compounds for PIM3 versus PIM1 and 2, particularly since there is high sequence homology with PIM1 and since the structure of PIM3 was computationally predicted based on that of PIM1. Dioscin, polyphillin A, and timosaponin A3 were tested against PIM1 and PIM2 at a range of concentrations (Fig. 6, Table 1). Dioscin proved the most selective saponin analog for PIM3, demonstrating negligible inhibition of either PIM1 or PIM2. Timosaponin A3 and polyphillin A are less selective for PIM3. Timosaponin

Table 1 IC50 values against PIM kinases

| Compound                        | PIM1  | PIM2  | PIM3  |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 5-α-pregnane                    | 12.98 | ND    | ND    |
| momordicoside L                 | ND    | ND    | ND    |
| isorhoifolin                    | 22.9  | ND    | 60.3  |
| luteolin 7-rutinoside           | 8.03  | 8.03  | 57.9  |
| chelidimerine                   | 92.8  | ND    | 21.4  |
| dioscin                         | ND    | ND    | 37.2  |
| polyphillin A                   | 117.5 | 218.0 | 57.9  |
| protodioscin                    | 264.8 | ND    | 21.4  |
| prosapogenin A                  | 118.3 | ND    | 21.4  |
| timosaponin A3                  | 156.7 | 92.2  | 21.4  |
| trillin                         | 71.4  | ND    | 21.4  |
| hinokiflavone                   | 2.23  | ND    | 21.4  |
| amentoflavone                   | 0.318 | 7.649 | .188  |
| podocarpus flavone A            | 0.447 | 43.2  | .694  |
| isoginkgetin                    | 171   | ND    | 21.4  |
| taiwanhomoflavone A             | 104.3 | ND    | 21.4  |
| 4′-O-methylchalcon flavone      | 11.63 | ND    | 21.4  |
| 5′,8′-bileutolin flavone        | 0.481 | 0.828 | 0.665 |
| tetrahydroamentoflavone         | 13.22 | ND    | 21.4  |

Fig. 5 PIM3 kinase inhibition by diosgenin glycosides. PIM3 activity at a range of concentration of the indicated, with fitted curves and calculated IC50 values

Fig. 4 Diosgenin glycoside analogs
A3 inhibits PIM3 with an IC50 of 20.89 uM compared to 142.9 and 92.45 uM for PIM1 and 2, respectively, while polyphillin A inhibits PIM3 with an IC50 of 60.05 um versus 113.1uM for PIM1 and limited inhibition of PIM2. Despite significant changes in molecular structure among the six analogs tested, the change in PIM3 activity and selectivity is subtle. It appears that modifications in the steroid structure or its attached carbohydrates can both alter activity.

**Potency and selectivity of the biflavonoid scaffold**

In parallel, we also sought to better define the structure of the anti-PIM3 pharmacophore in hinokiflavone. We selected structurally similar molecules amentoflavone, podocarpus flavone A, taiwanhomoflavone A, tetrahydroamentoflavone, 4’-O-methyllochnaflavone, isogingketin, and 5’,8” biluteolin compounds for assessment in PIM3 kinase assays (Fig. 7). Among these compounds, amentoflavone showed the greatest inhibition of PIM3 kinase with a calculated IC50 of 178.3 nM, roughly an order of magnitude more potent than hinokiflavone (Fig. 8, Table 1). Podocarpus flavone A and 5’,8”-biluteolin also inhibit PIM3 with potencies greater than that of hinokiflavone (IC50 values of 755.9 nM and 491.6 nM, respectively). Meanwhile, 4’-O-methyllochnaflavone and tetrahydroamentoflavone inhibit PIM3 with potencies several times higher than hinokiflavone (IC50 values of 11.84 uM and 13.30 uM, respectively). Iso-ginkgetin and taiwanhomoflavone A inhibit PIM3 only at the highest concentrations tested.

We tested the selectivity of the most potent biflavonoids. Amentoflavone, podocarpus flavone A, and 5’,8” biluteolin, were tested for inhibition against PIM1 and PIM2 kinases at a range of concentrations. Selectivity was limited with respect to PIM kinases 1 and 3 (Fig. 9, Table 1). Amentoflavone inhibits PIM1 with an IC50 of 296 nM, less than double the potency against PIM3 inhibition. Podocarpus Flavone A is more potent against PIM1 than PIM3, inhibiting PIM3 with an IC50 of 417.1 nM (Fig. 9, Table 1). Inhibition of PIM2 is much reduced in these scaffolds. The calculated IC50s versus PIM2 are 7.65 and 42.72 uM for amentoflavone and podocarpus flavone A, respectively.

**Discussion**

Computational screening successfully revealed natural product inhibitors of PIM3, despite the use of a computationally derived protein structure. We explored selectivity and potency more fully among diosgenin glycoside relatives of dioscin and biflavonoid analogs of hinokiflavone. Diosgenin glycosides, among which timosaponin A3 is the most potent, are more selective against PIM3 than biflavonoids. Meanwhile, biflavonoids are more potent.

Dioscin and biflavonoids have been widely studied in cell models. Both classes of compounds and derivatives of each assessed here have shown activity in blocking cellular activities and pathways associated with inflammation and cancer progression, both of which are consistent with PIM kinase inhibition. While the number of reports is so great to preclude a thorough review here, both classes of compounds display similar biological effects that suggest that they share some common mechanism. Both classes of compounds have been shown to arrest cell growth at G2/M in a wide range of cancer cell lines, where they induce apoptosis with ROS signaling and mitochondrial involvement [24, 25]. Cancer cell apoptosis induced by both
compounds is via altered expression of proapoptotic and antiapoptotic mitochondrial proteins like Bax and Bcl-2, as well as caspase proteases [26, 27]. In the context of cell growth inhibition, both compound classes are linked to cellular signaling consistent with PIM kinase targeting, particularly in the case of NF-κB signaling [28, 29]. And other pathway-level effects observed in growth arrest are also shared by both compound classes, an example of which is that both inhibit skp2-mediated ubiquitylation [30, 31]. Beyond effects on cell growth, both classes of compounds also suppress glycolysis [30, 32] and modulate autophagy [33, 34]. Both classes of compounds have been reported to sensitize cancer cells to other anticancer agents [28, 35]. Further, both compounds block cancer cell migration, invasion, and TGFβ-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition [36, 37]. Clearly these very distinct classes of compounds share one or more molecular targets. That we identify PIM kinases are a common target for both classes of compounds supports that this mechanism is physiologically relevant.

The biological activity of naturally occurring compounds is first revealed from use at the system level, especially in cases where such compounds are used in traditional medicine, or in phenotypic assays. Effects on cellular pathways and gene transcription can provide more detail that can elucidate mechanism of action. Often, however, identifying a precise molecular target is challenging. A case in point is the lack of a defined molecular target for dioscin. Conversely, a number of other molecular targets have been previously reported for amentoflavone, including tryptase [15], fatty acid synthase [38], PARP-1 [39], cathepsin B [40], and cyclooxygenases [41]. Some of these molecular targets could explain the biological activities reported at the cell and pathway level. Further, biochemical activity against UDP-glucuronosyltransferases [42] and cytochrome p450 (CYP) enzymes [43] reveal potential toxic liabilities. In fact, the severely reported biochemical activities of amentoflavone demonstrate how natural products are likely to have multiple molecular targets or, as may be the case for amentoflavone, may at as pan-assay inhibitors.

Natural products are important sources of new therapeutics, but often display complex biology. Defining specific molecular mechanisms of action, including their potency and selectivity, can provide important understanding of required to build a meaningful rationale for clinical development. Efforts to identify natural products with activity against the clinically-relevant target PIM3...
reported here could explain previously reported cellular pharmacology of diosgenin glycosides and biflavonoids.
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