Association of platelet-to-white blood cell ratio and platelet-to-neutrophil ratio with the risk of fatal stroke occurrence in middle-aged to older Chinese
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Abstract

Background: White blood cell (WBC) and neutrophil (NEUT) counts, which are commonly inflammatory markers, have been related to an increased risk of fatal stroke. However, it is unclear whether platelet-to-white blood cell ratio (PWR) and platelet-to-neutrophil ratio (PNR) are related to the risk of fatal stroke in middle-aged to older populations.

Method: In total, 27,811 participants without a stroke history at baseline were included and followed up for a mean of 14.3 years (standard deviation = 3.2), and 838 stroke deaths were recorded. The Cox proportional hazards regression was used to assess the relationships between the PWR and the PNR and the risk of fatal strokes.

Results: Compared to the 1st quartile, an increased risk of fatal all stroke showed among the participants in the highest quartiles of both the WBC (adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) = 1.35, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.09–1.66) and the NEUT (aHR = 1.45, 95% CI 1.18–1.79). The restricted cubic splines showed decreased trends in associations of the PWR and the PNR with the risk of fatal all stroke. A decreased risk of fatal all stroke showed in those with the highest quartiles for both the PWR (aHR = 0.73, 95% CI 0.53–1.00) and the PNR (aHR = 0.74, 95% CI 0.54–1.01). The participants with the 2nd, the 3rd and the 4th change quartiles for the PWR and the PNR had weak decreasing trends for the risk of fatal all stroke, compared to those in the 1st change quartile, and the significant associations were observed in those with an increase of 20% for the PWR with the risk of fatal haemorrhagic stroke (aHR = 0.47, 95% CI 0.22–0.95) and a decrease of 20% for the PNR with the risk of fatal all stroke (aHR = 1.33, 95% CI 0.99–1.79), compared to those with stable dynamic changes.

Conclusions: Higher neutrophil count and platelet-to-neutrophil ratio were associated with a contrary risk of fatal stroke, with an increased for the former and a decreased for the later. A potentially chronic inflammation should be paid close attention to stroke occurrence in relatively healthy middle-aged to older populations.
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Background

Stroke, a major public health problem, has become a leading cause of deaths in China [1]. It is classified mainly as ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke. A series of risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes and smoking have been known as main risk factors in stroke [2–6], and were closely related to a chronic inflammation [7].
Atherosclerosis, an inflammatory disease [8, 9], plays an important role in stroke pathophysiology. The WBC acts positively in atherosclerotic thrombosis [10], and it has been related to an increased risk of fatal stroke [11, 12]; the NEUT releases its extracellular traps (NETs) and activates endothelial cells and the platelets (PLTs) in atherosclerotic plaque rupture or erosion [13, 14]. Additionally, the PLTs aggravated inflammation, promoted atherosclerosis [15], and led acute ischemic events involving thrombotic and hemorrhagic diseases [16, 17].

The platelet-to-white blood cell ratio (PWR) has been linked to an independently mortality risk in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic liver failure [18] or undergoing radical cystectomy [19], and it was related to a 90-day disability or death in acute ischemic stroke [20]. Similarly, the platelet-to-neutrophil ratio (PNR) was related to a hospitalization or a long-term mortality in the patients with infective endocarditis [21], and was an independent risk factor for ischemic stroke [22]. However, there are few studies so far in systematic addressing the relationships between the PWR and the PNR and risks of fatal stroke and its subgroups in a general community population. In this study, we based on the Guangzhou Biobank cohort study (GBCS) to investigate systematically the associations of PWR and PNR with the risks of fatal all stroke, fatal ischaemic stroke and fatal haemorrhagic stroke in a relatively healthy middle-aged to older population.

**Methods**

**Participants**

All participants were recruited from a population of permanent residents aged 50 years or above in Guangzhou in southern China. Details of the GBCS have been reported previously [23]. The baseline (from September 1st, 2003 to February 28th, 2008) and follow-up information included a face to face computer-assisted interview by trained nurses on lifestyle [24], the family and personal medical history and assessment of anthropometrics, blood pressure and laboratory tests. Each participant had been made an appointment in advance to ensure good health and was able to sit and rest for at least half an hour before sampling and examination.

**Exposure indicators**

Blood cell counts were performed with a cell counter (KX-21, Sysmex, Japan) in Guangzhou Twelfth People’s Hospital [25]. The PWR and the PNR were calculated respectively from the PLT and the WBC, the PLT and the NEUT. Fasting glucose, cholesterol, triglycerides, liver and kidney function and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) were measured by an analyzer (Cobas c-311, Roche, Switzerland). The laboratory performs internal and external quality control procedures according to the China Association of Laboratory Quality Control.

**Study outcomes**

Information on underlying causes of death up to April 13th 2021 was obtained mostly via record linkage with the Guangzhou Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (GZCDC). Due to no other information for stroke severity, infarct volume, site of lesion and infectious complications as previous work [25], fatal stroke occurrence was chosen as only one outcome of this study. Death causes were coded according to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) as follows: I60 ~ I69 for stroke; I60.0 ~ I62.9 and I69.0 ~ I69.2 for haemorrhagic stroke; I63.0 ~ I63.9 and I69.3 for ischemic stroke; and the other codes for unclassified stroke. The death certificates were verified by the GZCDC as part of their quality assurance program by cross-checking past medical history and conducting verbal autopsy by 5 senior clinicians from Guangzhou Twelfth People’s Hospital, the Universities of Hong Kong, China and Birmingham, UK.

**Potential confounders**

To examine the extent to which baseline factors in relation to the risks of fatal all stroke, fatal ischaemic stroke and fatal haemorrhagic stroke, we defined potential confounders based on the P value < 0.05 in quartiles of PWR or quartiles of PNR for risk factors, and a series of factors in different models were included, according to our previous work [25]. Model 1 was a crude hazard ratio model without an adjustment for any confounders. Model 2 contained a multivariate adjustment for factors including sex, age, smoking (never, former and current), alcohol consumption (never, former and current), International Physical Activity Questionnaire-assessed physical activity (inactive, moderate and active), body mass index (BMI, defined as weight in kg ÷ height in m²), self-rated health, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, cancer, genitourinary disease (including nephropathy, prostatic disease, and gynecologic diseases), chest disease (including COPD, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, tuberculosis, and pneumonia) and platelet count. Model 3 included hs-CRP as a competing confounder in addition to confounders in model 2.

**Statistical analysis**

A series of variables, including the WBC, the NEUT, the PLT, the PWR and the PNR, were respectively classified by quartiles: the 1st quartile (< 5.3*10^9/L), the 2nd quartile (5.3–6.1*10^9/L), the 3rd quartile (6.2–7.2*10^9/L)
and the 4th quartile (>7.2*10^9/L) for the WBCs; the 1st quartile (<3.0*10^9/L), the 2nd quartile (3.0–3.6*10^9/L), the 3rd quartile (3.7–4.4*10^9/L) and the 4th quartile (>4.5*10^9/L) for the NEUTs; the 1st quartile (<190*10^9/L), the 2nd quartile (191–223*10^9/L), the 3rd quartile (224–260*10^9/L) and the 4th quartile (>260*10^9/L) for the PLTs; the 1st quartile (≤30), the 2nd quartile (30.01–36.11), the 3rd quartile (36.12–43.38) and the 4th quartile (>43.39) for the PWRs; the 1st quartile (48.64), the 2nd quartile (48.65–61.11), the 3rd quartile (61.12–76.25) and the 4th quartile (≥76.25) for the PNRs. The distributions of PWR and PNR quartiles showed great ranges in which several extreme values were mainly included in the 1st and the 4th quartiles, because these values combined by other blood cell counts are not abnormal or missing in the corresponding individuals, although the PWR and the PNR were assessed as continuous parameters using a restricted cubic spline curve model (RCS) with 3 knots at the 10th, the 50th, and the 90th percentiles, based on the smoothness of curves, the avoidance of reduction of accuracy caused by over fitting, and the easiness of explaining the relationship between continuous variables and outcomes. Continuous variables were described by the mean ± standard deviation, and categorical variables were described by frequency and percentage. The PWR and PNR changes were calculated with the data from two times exposure period (the baseline (from September 2003 to February 2008) and the 1st follow-up (from March 2008 to December 2012)): Values of PWR and PNR changes = [(PWR(PNR) follow up − PWR(PNR) baseline) ÷ PWR(PNR) baseline] × 100%.

The chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used for categorical variables, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Kruskal–Wallis test were used for continuous variables. Sensitivity analyses were conducted in which model 2 and model 3 was repeated with a further adjustment for hs-CRP. All analyses were performed using STATA (Version 14.0; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). All p values were 2 sided, and statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05; p values for trends in models were calculated as ordinal scores from the 2nd, the 3rd and the 4th quartiles when taking the 1st as reference. All

Fig. 1 Flow diagram of participants selected for the analysis of this study
Table 1  Baseline characteristics by the PWR and the PNR quartiles of participants in the GBCS ($n=27,796$)

| Characteristic               | Quarters of PWR | Quarters of PNR | P     |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
|                              | the 1st (≤30)   | the 2nd (30.01–36.11) | the 3rd (36.12–43.38) | the 4th (≥43.39) | the 1st (≤48.64) | the 2nd (48.65–61.11) | the 3rd (61.12–76.25) | the 4th (≥76.25) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Number, n                    | 7020            | 6872            | 6955  | 6949  | 6948  | 6843  | 6959  | 6946  |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Age (years)                  | 63.6 ± 7.0      | 62.5 ± 7.0      | 61.6 ± 7.0 | 60.3 ± 6.8 |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Sex, male (%)                | 3060 (43.6)     | 2029 (29.5)     | 1516 (21.8) | 1021 (14.7) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Hypertension, n (%)          | 2257 (32.2)     | 2039 (29.7)     | 1908 (27.4) | 1609 (23.2) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Diabetes, n (%)              | 1290 (18.4)     | 930 (13.5)      | 844 (12.1) | 564 (8.1) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Dyslipidaemia, n (%)         | 5571 (79.4)     | 5751 (83.7)     | 5837 (83.9) | 5853 (84.2) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Smoking, n (%)               |                |                |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| never                        | 4857 (69.2)     | 5000 (80.0)     | 5193 (85.0) | 6244 (89.8) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| ever                         | 916 (13.0)      | 664 (9.7)       | 558 (8.0) | 381 (5.5) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| current                      | 1247 (17.8)     | 708 (10.3)      | 484 (7.0) | 324 (4.7) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Alcohol drinking, n (%)      |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| never                        | 4772 (68.0)     | 4836 (70.4)     | 4965 (71.4) | 4955 (71.3) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| ever                         | 206 (2.9)       | 168 (2.4)       | 142 (2.0) | 124 (1.8) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| current                      | 2042 (29.1)     | 1868 (27.2)     | 1848 (26.6) | 1870 (26.9) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Body mass index, kg/m²       |                |                |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| <18.5                        | 266 (3.8)       | 288 (4.2)       | 302 (4.3) | 390 (5.6) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| 18.5 – 23.9                  | 3258 (46.4)     | 3290 (47.9)     | 3532 (50.8) | 3859 (55.6) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| ≥24                          | 2643 (376)      | 2531 (36.8)     | 2466 (35.5) | 2212 (31.8) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| ≥28                          | 853 (1.2)       | 763 (11.1)      | 655 (9.4) | 488 (7.0) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Physical activity, n (%)     |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| inactive                     | 555 (7.9)       | 523 (7.6)       | 560 (8.1) | 617 (8.9) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| moderate                     | 2965 (42.2)     | 2873 (41.8)     | 2813 (40.4) | 2685 (38.6) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| active                       | 3500 (49.9)     | 3476 (50.6)     | 3582 (51.5) | 3647 (52.5) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Self-rated health, n (%)     |                |                |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| (good/very good)             | 5751 (81.9)     | 5670 (83.0)     | 5795 (83.3) | 5714 (82.2) |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| WBC, *10^9/L                 |                |                |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| 7.5 ± 1.7                    | 7.5 ± 1.7       | 6.6 ± 1.3       | 6.0 ± 1.6 | 53 ± 1.1 |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| NEUT, *10^9/L                | 4.7 ± 1.5       | 4.0 ± 1.3       | 3.6 ± 1.1 | 3.0 ± 0.9 |<|<|<|<|<|<|<|<|
| Characteristic | Quartiles of PWR | Quartiles of PNR |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|
|               | the 1<sup>st</sup> (≤ 30) | the 2<sup>nd</sup> (30.01–36.11) | the 3<sup>rd</sup> (36.12–43.38) | the 4<sup>th</sup> (≥43.39) | P | the 1<sup>st</sup> (≤ 48.64) | the 2<sup>nd</sup> (48.65–61.11) | the 3<sup>rd</sup> (61.12–76.25) | the 4<sup>th</sup> (≥76.25) | P |
| PLT, *10^9/L  | 185.8 ± 46.3 | 218.2 ± 429 | 2375 ± 46.0 | 268.0 ± 61.0 | < 0.001 | 193.7 ± 50.7 | 220.8 ± 48.1 | 236.2 ± 51.1 | 258.3 ± 60.7 | < 0.001 |
| hs-CRP, mg/L | 3.8 ± 3.1 | 3.5 ± 2.8 | 3.4 ± 2.8 | 3.3 ± 2.6 | < 0.001 | 4.1 ± 3.2 | 3.5 ± 2.7 | 3.4 ± 2.7 | 3.1 ± 2.6 | < 0.001 |
| All stroke | 295 (4.2) | 215 (3.1) | 188 (2.7) | 140 (2.0) | < 0.001 | 315 (4.5) | 210 (3.0) | 186 (2.7) | 127 (1.8) | < 0.001 |
| Ischaemic stroke | 146 (2.1) | 116 (1.7) | 88 (1.3) | 63 (0.9) | < 0.001 | 167 (2.5) | 102 (1.5) | 83 (1.2) | 61 (0.9) | < 0.001 |
| Haemorrhagic stroke | 99 (1.5) | 58 (0.9) | 62 (0.9) | 45 (0.7) | < 0.001 | 95 (1.4) | 62 (0.9) | 66 (1.0) | 41 (0.6) | < 0.001 |
| Unclassified stroke | 50 (0.7) | 41 (0.6) | 38 (0.6) | 32 (0.5) | 0.22 | 53 (0.8) | 46 (0.7) | 37 (0.5) | 25 (0.4) | 0.009 |

Hypertension: systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg, or diastolic blood pressure, ≤ 90 mmHg, medication and diagnosis; diabetes: fasting blood glucose ≥ 7 mmol/L, medication or diagnosis; dyslipidaemia: total cholesterol ≥ 5.2 mmol/L, triglyceride ≥ 1.7 mmol/L, low density lipoprotein ≥ 3.4 mmol/L, high density lipoprotein < 1.0 mmol/L, medication and diagnosis

WBC white blood cell count, hs-CRP high sensitivity C-reactive protein, NEUT neutrophil, Platelet PLT, PWR platelet to white blood cell ratio, PNR platelet to neutrophil ratio, GD Genitourinary disease (including nephropathy, prostatic disease, and gynecologic diseases), chest disease including COPD, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, tuberculosis, and pneumonia
methods were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results
Baseline characteristics
In total, 30,430 participants were screened, and 2,634 participants were excluded, including 286 because of a previous history of stroke, 372 because of an unclear stroke history, 315 because of an unclear stroke history, 372 because of loss to follow-up, and 1,661 because of incomplete information on the WBC, the NEUT, the LYM and platelet counts, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, self-rated health, cancer, genitourinary disease or chest disease. Eventually, a total of 27,796 participants at baseline were included, and 838 stroke deaths (413 ischaemic, 264 haemorrhagic and 161 unclassified) were recorded after a mean follow-up time of 14.3 (standard deviation = 3.2) years with 399,116 person-years in this study (Fig. 1).

The baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Compared to those in the 1st quartile, the participants in the highest PWR and the PNR included more women, were younger, and had more dyslipidaemia, active physical activity, genitourinary disease. These subjects were less likely to have BMI ≥ 28 kg/m², had lower hypertension, and had less current smoking and alcohol drinking, and chest disease and diabetes. For the 1st follow-up characteristics, the participants in the highest PWR and PNR included more men, were younger, and had more current smoking. These subjects were less of BMI ≥ 28 kg/m², had lower active physical activity, hypertension, and had less cancer and chest disease, compared to those in the 1st quartile (Supplementary Table 1).

The WBC, the NEUT and the PLT in relation to the risk of fatal stroke
We observed firstly that the participants in the highest WBC quartile had an increased risk of fatal all stroke (aHR = 1.35, 95% CI 1.09–1.66, \( P = 0.005 \)), compared to those in the 1st WBC quartile; and those in the 2nd, the 3rd and the 4th WBC quartiles had an increased risk trend in fatal all stroke (\( P<0.001 \)) and fatal ischaemic stroke (\( P = 0.002 \)), respectively; The NEUTs had similar results for fatal all stroke (aHR = 1.45, 95% CI 1.18–1.79, \( P<0.001 \)) and fatal ischaemic stroke (aHR = 1.58, 95% CI 1.17–2.12, \( P = 0.03 \)), respectively. However, no other significant relationships were observed between the PLT and the risk of fatal strokes besides an increased risk for fatal unclassified stroke (aHR = 1.72, 95% CI 1.11–2.65, \( P = 0.01 \)) (Supplementary Table 2).

The PWR and the PNR in relation to the risk of fatal stroke in the RCS model
The RCS showed nonlinear relationships between the PWR and the PNR and the risk of fatal all stroke after adjustments for potential confounders. Higher levels of the PWR and the PNR were associated with a decreased risk of fatal all stroke, and the cutoff values were 35 for the PWR and 74 for the PNR (Fig. 2).

The PWR in relation to the risk of fatal stroke
After adjustment for a series of factors and compared to those in the 1st quartile, no significant associations of
the PWR with the risks of fatal strokes were observed, although very weak decreasing trends for risks of fatal all stroke and fatal ischemic stroke were found among the participants in the 2nd, the 3rd and the 4th PNR quartiles (the left side of Table 2). Such trends were strengthened, and the highest PWR quartile was related to a decreased risk of fatal all stroke (aHR = 0.74, 95% CI 0.54–1.01, P = 0.05) among those without a history of relative cardiovascular diseases (CVD) at baseline and further adjustment for hs-CRP (the right side of Table 3).

The PWR and PNR changes in relation to the risk of fatal stroke

The basic characteristics of the participants at the 1st follow-up are shown in Supplementary table 1. The participants with a PWR change (>|20%≥20%) had more men, higher proportions of former and current smokers, BMIs from 18.5 to 23.9 kg/m² and higher PLT counts; lower proportions of physical activity, BMIs ≥ 28 kg/m², hypertension, chest disease and cancer; and lower WBC and NEUT counts (all P < 0.05), compared to those with a stable PWR (from −20% to 20%).

For dynamic changes, the participants in the 2nd, the 3rd and the 4th change quartiles of the PWR and the PNR had weak decreasing trends for the risk of fatal all stroke, compared to the participants in the 1st quartile, and significant associations of fatal all stroke risks were found among those without CVD at baseline and further adjustment for hs-CRP, besides a weak decreased risk of fatal all stroke (aHR = 0.74, 95% CI 0.54–1.01) in those with the highest PNR quartile (the right side of Table 3).

**Table 2** Associations of PWR and PNR with the risk of fatal stroke occurrence in the GBCS (n = 27,796)

| Quartiles of PWR | Quartiles of PNR |
|------------------|-----------------|
| the 1st (≤30)    | the 1st (≤48.64)|
| (30.01–36.11)    | (48.65–61.11)   |
| the 2nd (36.12–43.38) | the 3rd (61.12–76.25) |
| the 4th (≥43.39) | the 4th (≥76.25) |

**All stroke**

| Model 1 | Model 2 |
|---------|---------|
| 1.00    | 1.00    |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.60 (0.60–0.85), | 0.04 (0.04–0.60), |
| P = 0.001, | P = 0.001, |
| 0.61 (0.51–0.74), | 0.00 (0.00–0.10), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 0.46 (0.38–0.56), | 0.01 (0.01–0.14), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.64 (0.54–0.76), | 0.59 (0.46–0.75), |
| P = 0.001, | P = 0.001, |
| 0.56 (0.47–0.67), | 0.47 (0.36–0.61), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 0.38 (0.31–0.47), | 0.34 (0.26–0.47), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |

**Ischaemic stroke**

| Model 1 | Model 2 |
|---------|---------|
| 1.00    | 1.00    |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.77 (0.61–1.00), | 0.99 (0.77–1.26), |
| P = 0.04, | P = 0.02, |
| 0.58 (0.44–0.75), | 0.98 (0.67–1.15), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 0.42 (0.31–0.56), | 0.04 (0.02–0.14), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.59 (0.46–0.75), | 0.77 (0.60–0.98), |
| P = 0.001, | P = 0.04, |
| 0.47 (0.36–0.61), | 0.74 (0.57–0.97), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 0.34 (0.26–0.47), | 0.74 (0.55–1.01), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |

**Haemorrhagic stroke**

| Model 1 | Model 2 |
|---------|---------|
| 1.00    | 1.00    |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.57 (0.41–0.79), | 0.69 (0.50–0.95), |
| P = 0.001, | P = 0.02, |
| 0.60 (0.44–0.82), | 0.98 (0.58–1.11), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 0.43 (0.30–0.62), | 0.02 (0.01–0.08), |
| P < 0.001, | P < 0.001, |
| 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.62 (0.45–0.86), | 0.76 (0.55–1.05), |
| P = 0.004, | P = 0.09, |
| 0.65 (0.47–0.89), | 0.91 (0.66–1.26), |
| P = 0.007, | P = 0.57, |
| 0.40 (0.28–0.58), | 0.71 (0.48–1.04), |
| P < 0.001, | P = 0.08, |

**Unclassified stroke**

| Model 1 | Model 2 |
|---------|---------|
| 1.00    | 1.00    |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.80 (0.53–1.21), | 1.01 (0.67–1.53), |
| P = 0.29, | P = 0.97, |
| 0.73 (0.48–1.11), | 1.07 (0.70–1.64), |
| P = 0.14, | P = 0.76, |
| 0.61 (0.39–0.96), | 1.17 (0.74–1.86), |
| P = 0.03, | P = 0.50, |
| 1.00 | 1.00 |
| (HR, 95% CI) | (HR, 95% CI) |
| 0.83 (0.56–1.23), | 1.05 (0.71–1.57), |
| P = 0.34, | P = 0.80, |
| 0.65 (0.43–0.99), | 0.99 (0.65–1.53), |
| P = 0.04, | P = 0.98, |
| 0.44 (0.27–0.71), | 0.89 (0.55–1.47), |
| P < 0.001, | P = 0.01, |

PWR: platelet to white blood cell ratio, PNR: platelet to neutrophil ratio, model 1: a crude hazard ratio model without adjustment for confounders, model 2: a multivariate model adjusted for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, body mass index, self-rated health, cancer, genitourinary disease (nephropathy, prostatic disease, gynecologic diseases) and chest disease (COPD, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, tuberculosis, and pneumonia), and platelet count.
Table 3  Associations of PWR and PNR with the risk of fatal stroke among the participants without CVD at baseline and further hs-CRP adjustment (n = 10,990)

|                      | Quartiles of PWR | Quartiles of PNR |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                      | the 1st (≤ 30)   | the 2nd (30.01–36.11) | the 3rd (36.12–43.38) | the 4th (≥ 43.39) | the 1st (≤ 48.64) | the 2nd (48.65–61.11) | the 3rd (61.12–76.25) | the 4th (≥ 76.25) |
| All stroke           | 1.00             | 0.98 (0.77–1.25) | 0.97 (0.75–1.25) | P = 0.88 | 0.82 (0.53–1.00) | P = 0.05 | 1.00 | 0.72 (0.57–0.91) | P = 0.007 | 0.60 (0.47–0.78) | P < 0.001 | 0.42 (0.31–0.57) | P < 0.001 |
|                      | 0.23             | 0.23             | 0.23             |          | 0.23             |          |      |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |
| Ischaemic stroke     | 1.00             | 0.92 (0.66–1.28) | 0.77 (0.54–1.10) | P = 0.15 | 0.38 (0.24–0.61) | P < 0.001 | 1.00 | 0.69 (0.49–0.96) | P = 0.03 | 0.54 (0.37–0.78) | P = 0.001 | 0.38 (0.25–0.59) | P < 0.001 |
|                      | 0.22             | 0.22             | 0.22             |          | 0.22             |          |      |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |
| Haemorrhagic stroke  | 1.00             | 0.65 (0.42–1.02) | 0.72 (0.46–1.13) | P = 0.15 | 0.52 (0.31–0.87) | P = 0.01 | 1.00 | 0.65 (0.41–1.03) | P = 0.06 | 0.86 (0.56–1.33) | P = 0.50 | 0.52 (0.31–0.87) | P = 0.01 |
|                      | 0.61             | 0.61             | 0.61             |          | 0.61             |          |      |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |
| Unclassified stroke  | 1.00             | 0.90 (0.54–1.52) | 0.71 (0.46–1.13) | P = 0.16 | 0.43 (0.22–0.87) | P = 0.02 | 1.00 | 0.88 (0.54–1.43) | P = 0.60 | 0.38 (0.19–0.73) | P = 0.004 | 0.38 (0.19–0.76) | P = 0.006 |
|                      | 0.76             | 0.76             | 0.76             |          | 0.76             |          |      |                  |          |                  |          |                  |          |

PWR: platelet to white blood cell ratio, PNR: platelet to neutrophil ratio, hs-CRP: high sensitivity C-reactive protein, CVD: relative cardiovascular diseases, model 1: a crude hazard ratio model without adjustment for confounders, model 2: a multivariate model adjusted for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, body mass index, self-rated health, cancer, genitourinary disease (nephropathy, prostatic disease, and gynecologic diseases), chest disease (COPD), chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, tuberculosis, and pneumonia, platelet count, and hs-CRP.
observed in those with the highest quartiles for the PWR (aHR = 0.71, 95% CI 0.58–0.93, \( P = 0.03 \)) and the PNR (aHR = 0.73, 95% CI 0.54–1.01, \( P = 0.05 \)) (Table 4). The participants with an increase of 20% for the PWR but a decrease of 20% for the PNR shared respectively the risk of fatal haemorrhagic stroke (aHR = 0.47, 95% CI 0.22–0.95, \( P = 0.03 \)) and the risk of fatal all stroke (aHR = 1.33, 95% CI 0.99–1.79, \( P = 0.05 \)), compared to the participants with stable levels of their dynamic changes at −20% ~ 20% (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 3).

**Discussion**

We are the first addressing the PWR and the PNR in relation to the risk of fatal stroke occurrence in middle-aged to older populations. In this study, we showed that higher level of the PNR but not the PWR was associated with a decreased risk of fatal all stroke, although the NEUT and the WBC showed a reversed association; and these associations are independent of a series of factors including age, sex, education, occupation, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, smoking habit, alcohol consumption, physical activity, BMI, self-rated health, cancer, genitourinary disease, chest disease, platelets and hs-CRP.

In ischemic stroke, the clots were generated to block cerebral arteries including atherosclerosis of great arteries, cardiogenic embolism and small artery occlusion [26] in which atherosclerosis had accompanied with a chronic vascular inflammation or endothelial dysfunction [27]. Lymphocytes and NEUTs took part in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [9], and promoted thrombosis formation in stroke and a cardiogenic thromboembolic stroke [28]. In the other hand, the PLTs interacted with a host of leukocytes in thrombocytopenic tissue haemorrhage [29], and the PLT hemITAM (hem-immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif) signaling took part in vascular barrier integrity [30–33]. Thus, the interaction between LTs and the NEUTs are closely related to stroke in which a chronic inflammation has been in chaperone.

**Table 4** Associations of PWR and PNR changes with the risk of fatal stroke occurrence in the GBCS (n = 11,038)

|                              | Quartiles of PWR change | Quartiles of PNR change |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|                              | the 1st (≤−0.11)         | the 2nd (−0.11–0.018)   | the 3rd (0.018–0.16) | the 4th (≥0.16) |
| All stroke                   |                          |                        |                      |                  |
| Model 1                      | 1.00                     | 0.74 (0.54–1.02)        | 0.75 (0.55–1.03)     | 0.69 (0.50–0.95) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.06                 | P = 0.07                | P = 0.02             |                  |
| Model 2                      | 1.00                     | 0.81 (0.59–1.00)        | 0.85 (0.62–1.16)     | 0.71 (0.51–0.98) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.18                 | P = 0.29                | P = 0.03             |                  |
| P for trend                  | 0.19                     | 0.10                    |                      |                  |
| Ischaemic stroke             |                          |                        |                      |                  |
| Model 1                      | 1.00                     | 0.75 (0.48–1.16)        | 0.72 (0.46–1.12)     | 0.76 (0.49–1.18) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.19                 | P = 0.14                | P = 0.23             |                  |
| Model 2                      | 1.00                     | 0.79 (0.51–1.23)        | 0.81 (0.52–1.26)     | 0.78 (0.50–1.20) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.30                 | P = 0.34                | P = 0.26             |                  |
| P for trend                  | 0.63                     | 0.50                    |                      |                  |
| Haemorrhagic stroke          |                          |                        |                      |                  |
| Model 1                      | 1.00                     | 0.66 (0.35–1.21)        | 0.89 (0.51–1.55)     | 0.51 (0.26–0.99) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.17                 | P = 0.07                | P = 0.04             |                  |
| Model 2                      | 1.00                     | 0.71 (0.38–1.31)        | 0.97 (0.55–1.72)     | 0.48 (0.25–0.95) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.27                 | P = 0.93                | P = 0.03             |                  |
| P for trend                  | 0.13                     | 0.09                    |                      |                  |
| Unclassified stroke          |                          |                        |                      |                  |
| Model 1                      | 1.00                     | 0.85 (0.44–1.65)        | 0.63 (0.31–1.31)     | 0.75 (0.37–1.49) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.63                 | P = 0.22                | P = 0.41             |                  |
| Model 2                      | 1.00                     | 0.94 (0.48–1.84)        | 0.72 (0.35–1.49)     | 0.77 (0.38–1.55) |
| (HR, 95% CI)                 | P = 0.86                 | P = 0.38                | P = 0.50             |                  |
| P for trend                  | 0.79                     | 0.16                    |                      |                  |

PWR platelet-to-white blood cell ratio, PNR platelet-to-neutrophil ratio, hs-CRP high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, model 1 a crude hazard model without adjustments, model 2 a multivariate model adjusted for age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, body mass index, self-rated health, cancer, genitourinary disease(nephropathy, prostatic disease, and gynecologic diseases) and chest disease(COPD, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, tuberculosis, and pneumonia), platelet count, and hs-CRP.
The WBC and the NEUT have been linked to the risk of stroke events \cite{11,12,34-36}, and the PLT was closely linked to mortality risks of thrombotic and hemorrhage diseases \cite{16}. We tried firstly to explore the associations of fatal stroke occurrence with the PWR and the PNR who are respectively combined by the PLT and the WBC and the NEUT, and corresponding results should mainly reflect the roles of the WBC and the NEUT again in fatal strokes \cite{25} because we observed significant associations of the WBC and the NEUT but not the PLT with the risks of fatal strokes in relatively healthy middle-aged to older populations. In this study, all of the WBC, the NEUT, and the PNR were related to the risk of fatal stroke occurrence, regardless of a restricted cubic spline model or a quartile model in our study; The PWR and the PNR presented the reversed associations to those of WBC and NEUT who showed similar associations with fatal all stroke and increasing trends in fatal ischaemic stroke. Such results suggest an equal linkage of stroke occurrence to a pre-existing chronic low-grade systemic inflammation in a large cities’ middle-aged to older population. The reasons are that we conducted a further hs-CRP adjustment to exclude acute inflammations, and we used a series of data from relatively healthy elders who had been made an appointment in advance to ensure good health and were able to come the designated place, and the WBC and the NEUT are taken as the denominators in ratios of the PWR and the PNR.

We conducted a large, prospective design for a study of the general Southern Chinese population, and the acquired information allows for systemic adjustments for additional potential confounders in this study because a physical examination and a questionnaire involving a total of 800 questions were completed for all participants. Nevertheless there are limitations in this study. First, we obtained only the death information via record linkage with the GZCDC, and corresponding results, with death as the only outcome, are obviously weakened due to the lack of other outcomes of stroke events. Second, the inaccurate risk factors such as self-rated health may take influences on our results due to a linkage to the objective indicators predicting health status, in addition to a series of potential confounders. Third, the subjects of this study could not represent Chinese individuals due to a limitation of the general populations in South China. Fourth, the unclassified strokes of this study limited the strength to address fatal strokes, especially ischaemic stroke and haemorrhagic stroke.
Conclusions
Our findings indicated that higher neutrophil count and platelet-to-neutrophil ratio were associated with contrary risks of fatal stroke occurrence, with an increased for the former and a decreased for the later. An asymptomatic chronic low-grade systemic inflammation should therefore play a key role in stroke among relatively healthy middle-aged to older populations.
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