The association of viral load and disease severity in children with COVID-19
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Abstract
It is still not fully understood how to predict the future prognosis of patients at the diagnosis coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) due to the wide clinical range of the disease. We aimed to evaluate whether severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) viral load could predict the clinical course of pediatric patients. This study was conducted retrospectively with medical records of pediatric patients who were tested for SARS-CoV2 between April 12 and October 25, 2020 in the University of Health Sciences, Ankara Educating and Training Hospital and Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine. We evaluated 518 pediatric patients diagnosed with COVID-19 and classified according to severity as asymptomatic (16.2%), mild (59.6%), moderate (20.2%), and critical/severe (3.9%) cases. We analyzed patients in four groups in terms of ages: <4, 5–9, 10–14, and 15–17 years. There was no statistically significant difference in terms of ΔCt value among age groups, different gender and the existence of underlying diseases in each disease course. The ΔCt values were relatively lower in the first 2 days of symptoms than after days in all groups. Our study has indicated that children with COVID-19 have similar amount of viral load in all disease courses irrespective of the age and underlying disease. It should be taken into account that, regardless of the severity of the disease, pediatric patients may have a role in the transmission chain.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The whole world is still trying to cope with the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. For doctors in the clinical setting, the first step for an accurate diagnosis is to suspect the disease according to the symptoms and epidemiologic features of patients and secondly to confirm the diagnosis with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) RNA in the nasopharyngeal swabs by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Then, the classification of the clinical course and flow up of the patients to predict the future prognosis and decide for the appropriate treatment are of great importance to find the most appropriate management strategy. However, there is still knowledge gap regarding the prognostic marker for patients with COVID-19.
besides, vaccines and effective therapies.\textsuperscript{1–3} Even if, SARS-CoV-2 causes less severe disease and progresses better in children than in adults, the clinical manifestations of children’s COVID-19 cases ranged from asymptomatic to critical disease course.\textsuperscript{4} It is significant for a pediatrician to provide information and predict which patients are at high risk for the deterioration and have severe or critical disease because of the wide clinical range of COVID-19.

The standard molecular method for the diagnosis of COVID-19 is the real-time RT-PCR.\textsuperscript{5} Real-time PCR cycle threshold (\(C_t\)) values represent the number of amplification cycles required for the target gene to exceed a threshold level.\textsuperscript{6} It was assumed that \(C_t\) values are an appropriate surrogate for viral load.\textsuperscript{7} Some studies showed the correlations between SARS-CoV-2 \(C_t\) values and clinical outcomes of patients.\textsuperscript{3,8–17} Moreover, the correlation was shown between \(C_t\) value and the progression to severe disease and even mortality.\textsuperscript{9,10} Additionally, some parameters, such as increased lactate dehydrogenase, decreased lymphocytes and increased high-sensitivity troponin showed an association between viral loads in studies.\textsuperscript{9,11–13}

Due to the limited data in pediatric patients regarding the viral load, we aimed to examine whether the diagnostic viral load has any effect or association with disease severity in children.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted with medical records of pediatric patients aged under 18 years, who were tested for SARS-CoV-2 between April 12 and October 25, 2020, in the University of Health Sciences, Ankara, and Training Hospital and Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine. This study was approved both by the University of Health Science and the Ankara Educating and Training Hospital Review Board, Ankara, Turkey (No:439).

We diagnosed confirmed COVID-19 cases, according to our national COVID-19 guidelines which are prepared by Coronavirus Scientific Advisory Board in our country. Suspected cases with positive RT-PCR against 2019-nCoV were accepted as confirmed cases.\textsuperscript{18} Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the nasopharyngeal swab was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions by using a commercial RT-PCR (The Bio-Speedy Direct RT-qPCR SARS CoV-2 nucleic acid detection kit, Bioeksen, Turkey). The principle of the test is qualitative detection of viral nucleic acid in 40 cycles by RT-PCR targeting the SARS CoV-2 specific ORF1ab gene. \(C_t\) values are inversely related to viral RNA copy numbers. The difference (\(\Delta C_t\)) between the sample \(C_t\) and the positive quality control \(C_t\) value (\(C_{t,\text{sample}}-C_{t,\text{ref}}\)) was calculated. The SARS-CoV-2 viral loads of the patients’ nasopharyngeal swab samples were estimated with \(\Delta C_t\) values.\textsuperscript{10}

Data regarding the demographic and clinical characteristics of patients were obtained from the hospital medical records of both hospitals and the records from the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Committee of the hospitals. We categorized the severity of pediatric COVID-19 cases, based on the clinical characteristics and the results of laboratory examinations and radiologic imaging, as defined by Dong et al.\textsuperscript{19}

2.1 | Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 20.0. Descriptive statistics used to define baseline characteristics of cases were mean, median, minimum–maximum, and interquartile ranges (IQRs) for continuous variables and percentages besides numbers for categorical variables. \(\chi^2\) and Kruskal–Wallis tests were performed to compare categorical and continuous variables, respectively. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to evaluate non-normally distributed data. In all the analyses, all tests were two-tailed and \(p < .05\) was considered significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Epidemiological and clinical characteristics

A total of 518 pediatric patients with the diagnosis of COVID-19 were included in the study. The median (IQR) age of total patients was 11 years (5–14). 48.3% were male and the median day after onset of the symptom to the diagnosis was 1 day, ranging from 0 to 21 days. We analyzed patients in four groups in terms of ages: <4, 5–9, 10–14, and 15–17 years. Most of the patients (31.7%) were between 10 and 14 years old. Fever was present in 50% of cases at any time during the illness. The second most common symptom was cough (34.2% of cases), followed by fatigue or myalgia (22%). Of patients, 8.1% had underlying disease. Patients were classified according to severity, with the percentages of asymptomatic, mild, moderate, and critical or severe cases determined to be 16.2% (\(n = 84\)), 59.6% (\(n = 309\)), 20.2% (\(n = 105\)), and 3.9% (\(n = 20\)), respectively. Demographic and clinical characteristics, according to disease severity are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 | The laboratory parameters and \(\Delta C_t\) values of patients

We first analyzed the laboratory parameters and \(\Delta C_t\) value of patients in each clinical course (Table 2). Of the asymptomatic group, the median SARS-CoV-2 RNA \(\Delta C_t\) value from nasopharynx samples was 2.4 (IQR: –1.0, 5), while the corresponding median \(\Delta C_t\) value of the mild, moderate and severe or critical groups were 0 (IQR: –2.9, 3.7), 0.9 (–3.5, 4.8), and 1.6 (–1.6, 4.7) respectively. In severe or critical group 70% of patients had increased C-reactive protein (CRP) rate and 55% had increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) rate, with the highest rates among groups. The median procalcitonin rate was 0.04 µg/L (IQR: 0.03–0.07) in asymptomatic group, 0.05 µg/L (IQR: 0.04–0.09) in mild group, 0.05 µg/L (IQR: 0.04–0.89) in moderate group, 0.14 µg/L (IQR: 0.07–2.8) in severe group, 0.14 µg/L (IQR:
0.07-2.8) in severe/critical group. The lowest lymphocyte (median; 1100 × 10⁶/L [IQR: 500–2400]) and thrombocyte (median 199 × 10⁹/L, [IQR: 159–232]) counts were detected in severe/critical group among all groups. We detected the statistically significant differences in increased CRP rates, increased LDH rates, procalcitonin levels, absolute lymphocyte counts and thrombocyte counts among clinical courses of patients, while there were no statistically significant differences in ΔCₜ value, white blood cell and absolute neutrophil counts (Table 2).

3.3 | The demographic data and ΔCₜ values of patients with COVID-19

We then evaluated the ΔCₜ value of patients in each clinical course and also according to age groups (<4 years, 5–9 years, 10–14 years, and 15–17 years). There was no statistically significant difference among age groups in each clinical course; asymptomatic, mild, moderate, and severe or critical, in terms of ΔCₜ value (p = .21, p = .69, p = .21, and p = .41, respectively) (Figure 1). Additionally, there was no statistically significant difference in different gender (p = .61, p = .85, p = .30, and p = .30, respectively) and the presence of the underlying disease in each clinical course (asymptomatic, mild, moderate, and severe or critical, respectively), in terms of ΔCₜ value (p = .64, p = .94, p = .47, and p = .43, respectively) (Figure 2). Further, we evaluated the ΔCₜ values of patients who were in the first 2 days and after the 2 days of symptom onset. The ΔCₜ values were relatively lower in the first 2 days of symptoms than after days in all groups; mild, moderate, and severe or critical (p = .70 and p = .47 respectively) (Figure 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our findings demonstrate that the ΔCₜ, which was assumed to be inversely related to viral load were similar in all clinical courses and in all age groups in children with COVID-19 in contrast to some previous reports, in which they reported that Cₜ values were
**TABLE 2** Laboratory data of patients with COVID-19 according to clinical course

|                      | Asymptomatic (n = 84) | Mild (n = 309) | Moderate (n = 105) | Severe/Critical (n = 20) | p value |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Delta Ct (median, IQR) | 2.4 (-1.0, 5)         | 0 (-2.9, 3.7)  | 0.9 (-3.5, 4.8)    | 1.6 (-1.6, 4.7)          | >0.05   |
| Increased CRP (n, %)  | 4/62 (6.5)            | 34/201 (16.9)  | 19/93 (20.4)       | 14/20 (70)               | >0.05   |
| Increased LDH (n, %)  |                       |                |                    |                          |         |
| Procalcitonin µg/L (median, IQR) | 0.04 (0.03-0.07) | 0.05 (0.04-0.09) | 0.05 (0.04-0.89) | 0.14 (0.07-2.8) | >0.05   |
| White blood cell x10^6/µL (median, IQR) | 5900 (3200-7800) | 6200 (4500-8200) | 5900 (4600-7600) | 7800 (4600-10800) | >0.05   |
| ANC x10^6/µL (median, IQR) | 2400 (1500-3500) | 3000 (2000-4700) | 2700 (2000-4300) | 3500 (1700-7600) | >0.05   |
| ALC x10^6/µL (median, IQR) | 2900 (1900-4100) | 2100 (1400-3000) | 2000 (1200-2900) | 1100 (500-2400) | >0.05   |
| Thrombocyte x10^9/µL (median, IQR) | 283 (232-334) | 245 (210-296) | 259 (220-312) | 199 (159-232) | 0.001   |

**FIGURE 1** (A) The admission severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS CoV-2) ΔCt value of patients in each clinical course. There was no statistically significant difference between severe/critical group and others (p > .05). (B) ΔCt value of patients in each clinical courses according to age groups using Kruskal–Wallis test. There was no statistically significant difference among age groups in each clinical course (p > .05)
associated with disease severity\textsuperscript{9,17} and even mortality.\textsuperscript{20,21} On the other hand, limited number of studies reported any difference in median Ct values of groups with different symptom statuses, such as asymptomatic, presymptomatic, atypical, and typical symptoms.\textsuperscript{15,22} Moreover, it was shown that no obvious difference in viral load and disease severity or overall survival in adults.\textsuperscript{2,8} Studies in children are limited to small population with conflicting results about the comparability of viral load in children with COVID-19, in spite of well-defined cohorts of adult studies. It was reported that children with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection had lower levels of virus than symptomatic children.\textsuperscript{23,24} Zachariah et al.\textsuperscript{25} suggested symptomatic infants have higher NP viral loads at presentation but develop less severe disease as compared to older children and adolescents. However, some studies reported no age correlation with viral load in children.\textsuperscript{26,27} Although the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 might be a useful marker for assessing disease severity and prognosis in adults, there is no such kind of relation between viral load and disease severity in children with COVID-19 according to the finding of the present study. To the best of our knowledge, our study is also one of the few studies that evaluate the viral load in different clinical courses in a large pediatric population in the English literature.

According to the scientific report of World Health Organization, transmission can also occur from people who are infected and remain asymptomatic.\textsuperscript{28} Additionally, SARS-CoV-2 burden in respiratory epithelial cells indicates a risk to transmit this virus, as well.\textsuperscript{29} In this situation, we should pay attention the role of children in the spread of COVID-19 due to the fact that most of the children with COVID-19 have asymptomatic or mild disease course as in our study.
We also demonstrated that viral load was similar, even in different gender groups and the presence of the underlying disease, besides age and clinical course in pediatric patients. Namely, host factors including underlying disease, gender and age did not impact viral load and the viral load has no effect on the prediction of the clinical course of children. There are many unclear issues regarding factors including underlying disease, gender and age did not impact besides age and clinical course in pediatric patients. Namely, host factors, such as immune response to COVID-19 and to understand the role of children in transmission.

Similarly, to the literature, we found that children have relatively high viral load in their upper airways, in the early days of acute COVID-19 and asymptomatic patients had similar viral load as severe patients. From an infection control perspective, it is the significant point to identify infected children early and especially in the asymptomatic clinical course for prevention of transmission.

Our study has several limitations. First, we could not perform serial sampling for PCR and viral load due to the retrospective nature of the study. Serial sampling would be better to evaluate viral dynamics and shedding patterns and to determine the transmission potential of children with COVID-19. Second, we analyzed only the nasopharyngeal swab fluid no other body fluids, such as sputum, blood, feces, and urine. Persistence and clearance of viral RNA from different patient specimens would give information regarding virus transmission dynamics. Finally, we could not evaluate the relationship between viral load with neutralizing antibodies, cytokines, chemokine, or any host immune system functions of the patients. Further virologic and immunological studies are urgently needed in children regarding how they could cope with COVID-19 better than adults to find the treatment and management strategies of COVID-19 and to understand the role of children in transmission.

In conclusion, our study has indicated that children with COVID-19 can carry a similar amount of viral load at all ages irrespective of the clinical course. So, it seems that viral load has no prediction utility in terms of the clinical course of children with COVID-19. As a result, host factors, such as immune response to virus seem one of the further investigating targets in children to understand the actual disease course.
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