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Mutations in tumor suppressor TP53 have been inconsistently linked to breast cancer risk factors and survival. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining, a primary clinical means of TP53 mutation determination, only detects mutations that facilitate protein accumulation (e.g., missense mutations). RNA-based pathway methods capture functional status and may aid in understanding the role of TP53 function in racial disparities of breast cancer. TP53 status was assessed among invasive breast cancer cases from the Carolina Breast Cancer Study (CBCS) (2008–2013) using IHC and an established RNA-based TP53 signature (CBCS and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)). Frequency of TP53 status (IHC, RNA-based) was estimated in association with tumor characteristics, PAM50 intrinsic subtype, age, and race using relative frequency differences (RFDs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) as the measure of association. Approximately 60% of basal-like tumors were TP53 protein positive (IHC), while nearly 100% were TP53 mutant-like (RNA). Luminal A tumors had low frequency of TP53 positivity (IHC: 7.9%) and mutant-like status (RNA: 1.7%). Mutant-like TP53 (RNA) was strongly associated with age ≤50 years, high tumor grade, advanced stage of disease, large tumor size, and basal-like and HER2 intrinsic subtypes. Black race was strongly associated with TP53 mutant-like status (RNA) (RFD: 24.8%, 95% CI: 20.5, 29.0) even after adjusting for age, grade, stage (RFD: 11.3%; 95% CI: 7.6, 15.0). Associations were attenuated and nonsignificant when measured by IHC. IHC-based TP53 status is an insensitive measurement of TP53 functional status. RNA-based methods suggest a role for TP53 in tumor prognostic features and racial disparities.

INTRODUCTION

The tumor suppressor gene TP53 is mutated in 30–40% of breast tumors, with variation in mutation frequency by intrinsic subtype and race. Up to 80% of basal-like and 70% of human epidermal growth factor 2-enriched (HER2-enriched) breast tumors harbor TP53 mutations, which commonly include nonsense and frame shift alterations. Mutations occur at much lower frequencies among Luminal A (12–23%) and Luminal B (15–29%) tumors and are primarily missense mutations in form. In addition, TP53 mutation rates are higher among black women (25–32%) compared to white women (7–23%), which may potentially help to explain existing racial disparities in breast cancer incidence and survival. Many previous studies that have evaluated race and TP53 status have used immunohistochemistry (IHC) methods that detect missense mutations resulting in protein accumulation. Moreover, recent analyses based on The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data also detected racial differences in the frequency of TP53 mutations based on DNA sequence.

Recently, several studies have used RNA-based methods to determine TP53 functional status. This approach avoids some functional misclassification that could result from the low sensitivity of IHC and the low specificity of TP53 sequence mutations for detecting functional defects in the TP53 pathway. Using this approach, we applied a validated, 52-gene signature to evaluate the RNA expression of TP53-dependent genes, classifying 1013 invasive breast tumors from Phase 3 of the population-based CBCS as TP53 mutant-like or wild-type-like. RNA-based TP53 status was compared to IHC status (n = 1291 total, n = 843 RNA and IHC), and both were evaluated in association with race, age, tumor characteristics, and PAM50 intrinsic subtype. Results were placed in context of parallel analyses of TCGA data using the same TP53-dependent gene expression signature and whole genome DNA sequencing data.

RESULTS

The frequency of TP53 protein overexpression (IHC) and TP53 mutant-like status (RNA) within categories of age, race, and selected tumor characteristics is presented in Table 1. Significant differences in TP53 protein overexpression were observed for grade, stage, tumor size, and PAM50 subtype. ER−, PR−, HER2+, and Triple Negative (ER−/PR−/HER2−) tumors more frequently overexpressed TP53. There were no differences in TP53 protein overexpression by age or lymph node status.

The patterns of association observed for TP53 protein overexpression were qualitatively similar, but stronger in magnitude when using RNA-based methods (Table 1). TP53 mutant-like status...
was associated with age ≤50 years, high tumor grade, higher stage of disease, node positive disease, larger tumors (>2 cm), ER−, PR−, HER2+, Triple Negative (ER-/PR-/HER2-) tumors, and non-Luminal PAM50 subtypes (basal-like, HER2-enriched, Normal-like). Comparing RNA-based calls to IHC-based calls, 77.7% of IHC mutant/TP53 over-expressing tumors were mutant-like by RNA; however, many tumors that appear to be TP53 wild type by IHC have RNA-based profiles suggestive of TP53 loss (223 of 623; 30%) (Supplemental Table 2).

Table 2 presents TP53 status (IHC and RNA-based), overall and stratified by PAM50 subtype (CBCS3 and TCGA). In CBCS3, more tumors had TP53 mutant-like status (41.0%) than TP53 over-expression (21.3%). The increased frequency of mutant-like status by RNA-based methods was observed among basal-like (RNA: 99.4% vs. IHC: 58.1%), Luminal B (RNA: 44.3% vs. IHC: 16.3%), and HER2-enriched (RNA: 95.8% vs. IHC: 29.4%) subtypes. Lower frequencies of TP53 mutant-like status using RNA were observed among Luminal A (RNA: 1.7% vs. IHC: 7.9%), and normal-like

### Table 1. Associations between patient and tumor characteristics and TP53 status (IHC, RNA) in CBCS3

| Characteristic | Mutant (≥10%) | Wild type (<10%) | Relative Frequency Difference (RFD) (95% CI) | p-value |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Total | $N$ (%) | $N$ (%) | | |
| Age ≤50 years | 145 (29.8) | 461 (28.0) | 1.8 (−1.7, 5.3) | 0.31 |
| >50 years | 167 (70.2) | 518 (72.0) | Ref. | |
| Grade Low-Intermediate | 65 (28.6) | 620 (75.0) | Ref. | |
| High | 232 (71.4) | 295 (25.0) | 46.4 (42.8, 49.9) | <0.01 |
| Missing | 15 | 64 | Ref. | |
| Stage I, II | 259 (84.3) | 848 (88.9) | Ref. | |
| III, IV | 51 (15.7) | 118 (11.1) | 4.5 (1.8, 7.2) | <0.01 |
| Missing | 2 | 13 | | |
| Node Status positive | 108 (33.8) | 363 (32.7) | 1.1 (−2.5, 4.7) | 0.54 |
| negative | 202 (66.2) | 614 (67.3) | Ref. | |
| missing | 2 | 2 | | |
| Tumor Size ≤2 cm | 159 (57.1) | 570 (64.9) | Ref. | |
| >2 cm | 149 (42.9) | 382 (35.1) | 7.8 (0.4, 11.5) | <0.01 |
| missing | 4 | 27 | | |
| ER Status positive | 118 (46.6) | 800 (86.7) | Ref. | <0.01 |
| negative | 190 (53.4) | 153 (13.3) | 40.1 (36.5, 43.7) | 0.04 |
| missing | 4 | 26 | | |
| PR Status positive | 83 (33.9) | 634 (69.1) | Ref. | <0.01 |
| negative | 223 (66.1) | 316 (30.9) | 35.2 (31.6, 38.9) | 0.04 |
| missing | 6 | 29 | | |
| HER2 Status negative | 251 (83.9) | 821 (88.4) | Ref. | <0.01 |
| positive | 54 (16.1) | 117 (11.6) | 4.5 (1.8, 7.3) | <0.01 |
| missing | 7 | 41 | | |
| HR+/HER2− | 90 (45.7) | 707 (89.1) | Ref. | <0.01 |
| ER− or PR−/HER2− | 158 (54.3) | 112 (10.9) | 43.3 (39.4, 47.2) | <0.01 |
| missing | 64 | 160 | | |
| PAM50 Subtype Luminal A/B | 59 (30.1) | 441 (74.5) | Ref. | <0.01 |
| Basal-like, HER2, Normal-like | 161 (69.9) | 182 (25.5) | 44.4 (40.2, 48.7) | <0.01 |
| missing | 92 | 356 | | |

*Percentages weighted for sampling design, models adjusted for sampling design

$^a$RFD: Relative frequency difference

Table 1. Associations between patient and tumor characteristics and TP53 status (IHC, RNA) in CBCS3

Table 2 presents TP53 status (IHC and RNA-based), overall and stratified by PAM50 subtype (CBCS3 and TCGA). In CBCS3, more tumors had TP53 mutant-like status (41.0%) than TP53 over-expression (21.3%). The increased frequency of mutant-like status by RNA-based methods was observed among basal-like (RNA: 99.4% vs. IHC: 58.1%), Luminal B (RNA: 44.3% vs. IHC: 16.3%), and HER2-enriched (RNA: 95.8% vs. IHC: 29.4%) subtypes. Lower frequencies of TP53 mutant-like status using RNA were observed among Luminal A (RNA: 1.7% vs. IHC: 7.9%), and normal-like...
tumors (RNA: 19.9% vs. IHC: 21.5%). Compared to DNA-based methods, RNA-based methods appeared to detect a higher proportion of TP53 mutant-like tumors in TCGA (52.6% mutant-like compared to 35.2% mutant by DNA sequencing). In subtype-stratified analyses, RNA-based assessments detected more mutant-like tumors relative to DNA sequencing among basal-like (RNA: 98.2% vs. DNA: 89.6%), Luminal A (RNA: 25.4% vs. DNA: 11.7%), Luminal B (RNA: 78.4% vs. DNA: 33.1%), and HER2-enriched (RNA: 86.8% vs. DNA: 68.0%) tumors, but not among normal-like tumors (RNA: 40.5% vs. DNA: 45.2%).

Black race was strongly associated with TP53 status in CBCS3 and TCGA (Table 3), particularly for the RNA-based signature. Among Luminal A/B tumors, by both clinical subtype or PAM50 subtype, black women were significantly more likely to have TP53 mutant-like status when measured by RNA (black vs. white RFD: 21.5%; 95% CI: 12.0, 27.9). Upon adjustment for age and stage in TCGA, and age, stage, and grade in CBCS3, TP53 mutant-like status remained significantly associated with black race. However, the association between TP53 mutant status and black race in both TCGA and CBCS3 was not statistically significant by IHC (CBCS3) or RNA (CBCS3, TCGA) when accounting for PAM50 intrinsic subtype along with the other covariates.

Table 2. Frequency of TP53 mutation by PAM50 subtype and technical method in CBCS3 and TCGA

| Study  | Method          | Overall (N(%)) TP53 mutant | Basal-like (N(%)) TP53 mutant | Luminal A (N(%)) TP53 mutant | Luminal B (N(%)) TP53 mutant | HER2-enriched (N(%)) TP53 mutant | Normal-like (N(%)) TP53 mutant |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CBCS3  | IHC             | 312 (21.3)                  | 120 (58.1)                    | 29 (7.9)                    | 30 (16.3)                     | 34 (29.4)                       | 7 (21.5)                        |
|        | RNA-based       | 491 (41.0)                  | 256 (99.4)                    | 11 (1.7)                    | 100 (44.3)                    | 114 (95.8)                      | 10 (19.9)                       |
| TCGA   | RNA-based       | 475 (52.6)                  | 167 (98.2)                    | 123 (25.4)                  | 124 (78.4)                    | 46 (86.8)                       | 15 (40.5)                       |

*Percentages weighted for sampling design

Table 3. Association between race and TP53 expression and mutation status by technical method, CBCS3 and TCGA

| Method          | White (N,%) | Black (N,%) | Univariateb | Multivariate I | Multivariate II | p-value |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| CBCS3           |             |             |             |                |                |         |
| IHC wild type   | 490 (81.2)b | 425 (70.2)b | Ref.        | Ref.           | Ref.           |         |
| mutant          | 117 (18.8)b | 180 (29.8)b | 11.0 (7.6, 14.5) | <0.01 | 2.8 (−0.2, 5.8) | 0.07    |
| RNA-based wild type | 316 (64.1)b | 184 (39.4)b | Ref.        | Ref.           | Ref.           |         |
| mutant          | 183 (35.7)b | 300 (60.6)b | 24.8 (20.5, 29.0) | <0.01 | 11.3 (7.6, 15.0) | <0.01  |
| TCGA RNA-based wild type | 372 (51.2) | 51 (31.3) | Ref.        | Ref.           | Ref.           |         |
| mutant          | 354 (48.8)  | 112 (68.7)  | 19.9 (12.0, 27.9) | <0.01 | 19.3 (11.4, 27.3) | <0.01  |

*Adjusted for age, stage and grade

*Adjusted for age, stage and PAM50 subtype

*Adjusted for age, stage, and three-marker clinical subtypes (Supplemental Table 3). Among IHC-based Triple Negative (ER−, PR−, HER2−) tumors, black women ≤50 years of age had a higher frequency of TP53 protein overexpression (63.7%) and TP53 mutant-like status (95.0%) than white women ≤50 years of age (IHC: 46.5%; RNA: 87.2%) and black and white women >50 years of age (black women >50 IHC: 60.0%; RNA: 88.8%; white women >50 IHC: 56.8%; RNA: 94.8%). After restricting these analyses to the more clinically homogenous group of ER+, PR+ or hormone receptor-positive (HR+), and HER2− tumors, race and age remained statistically significantly associated with RNA-based TP53 mutant-like status with black women ≤50 years of age having the highest frequency of mutant-like status (39.9%), but this difference did not persist for TP53 protein overexpression. HER2-positive tumors were unable

*Percentages weighted for sampling design

*Adjusted for age, stage and grade

*Adjusted for age and stage

*Adjusted for age, stage, grade, and PAM50 subtype

*Adjusted for age, stage, and PAM50 subtype

*Percentages weighted for sampling design

*Univariate analyses restricted to same set of participants in multivariate model I

*Adjusted for age and stage
DISCUSSION

In our study, nearly twice as many breast tumors were classified as TP53 mutant-like when using RNA-based gene expression compared to IHC, consistent with the observation that IHC methods miss many mutations that are not associated with TP53 protein overexpression. This improved sensitivity to detect functional defects in the TP53 pathway resulted in stronger associations with almost all clinical and demographic variables, including age, grade, stage, node status, tumor size and molecular subtype. When using TCGA data to compare RNA-based classification of TP53 status to DNA-based mutation detection, the gene expression signature is also more sensitive, in line with the observation that some defects in the TP53 pathway arise from other genetic events not captured by TP53 protein expression alone (e.g., MDM2 amplification). All of the changes in TP53 gene dosimetry (amplification and deletion) and all relevant regulators of TP53 expression and function, such as MDM2, p63, p21 (both up and downstream), are incompletely understood, so we cannot confirm that all tumors showing RNA-based mutant-like status have underlying genetic or epigenetic defects in the pathway. However, the TP53 signature used for this analysis has been validated in TCGA analyses,1 and is based on human cell line data, nor sufficient recurrence events to justify analyses of TP53-functional status. Hence, our results also show that the relative sensitivity of the TP53 signature may afford some advantages. For example, RNA-based TP53 mutant-like status was associated with younger age (≤50 years), but TP53 overexpression was not. In previous studies, age has been inconsistently linked to TP53 status.4,21 It is possible that other inconsistencies in previous studies may in part lie in misclassification of TP53 functional status.

Given the established mortality disparities by race and the prognostic value of TP53 mutation status,5,28 our primary hypothesis was that TP53 functional defects would be more common with black race. We found that black women had higher frequencies of TP53 mutations and TP53 mutant-like status than white women, consistent with previous studies.1,11,12,16,17,25,27 Further, we observed a significant difference in the relative frequencies of TP53 mutant-like status for black compared to white women when restricted to Luminal A/B clinical and PAM50 subtype cases only to be evaluated in these additional analyses due to low sample size.

The methods and findings presented here show that the RNA-based method we applied to detect functional defects in TP53 is more sensitive than IHC and yields similar associations with subtype and race as previously observed in other datasets, but with greater sensitivity and stronger associations relative to IHC methods. Future work should evaluate etiologic and survival associations for TP53 based on RNA-based signatures particularly by race where black women with ER+, HER2- disease have worse outcomes than white women.28,29 An advantage of the current method is that measuring RNA through methods like NanoString assays can simultaneously measure tumor subtype (e.g., PAM50) and TP53 mutant-like status in both fresh frozen and FFPE tissue samples two cores were taken and pooled for the PAM50 analysis. The use of multiple cores from different locations in the tumor may or may not be representative of the gene expression of the tumor as a whole. We believe that using multiple cores made our gene expression profiles of the tumor more comprehensive, but this precludes us from studying heterogeneity in gene expression within the tumor. Finally, we did not have sufficient sample size with RNA data, nor sufficient recurrence events to justify analyses of TP53-dependent survivorship. Future work is planned to complete RNA-based assessment of TP53 for the remainder of the CBCS cohort. In addition, we are continuing to follow patients for recurrence and survival so that the role of TP53 in outcome disparities, overall and according to intrinsic subtype, can be assessed.
samples. Given recent findings that the prognostic value of TP53 may depend upon subtype, future analyses integrating subtype and TP53 status will help ascertain the role of this pathway in breast cancer outcomes and race-ethnicity mortality disparities.

METHODS

Study population

The present analysis includes invasive breast cancer cases from the population-based CBCS, Phase 3 (CBCS3) (2008-2013). Methods for the CBCS have been described in detail elsewhere. Briefly, eligible cases were women aged 20–74 years with a first diagnosis of invasive breast cancer, identified via rapid case ascertainment through the NC Central Cancer Registry. The current analysis is restricted to cases who had tumor tissue available for IHC analysis (N = 448), RNA isolation from formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue (N = 170), or both (N = 843). A total of 1461 of the 2998 cases in CBCS3 were included. All study procedures were approved by the University of North Carolina (UNC) School of Medicine Institutional Review Board and participants provided written informed consent.

TP53 population has been described previously. A total of 903 participants included in this analysis were enrolled from various medical centers, provided informed consent for access to tumor tissue, and had RNA expression data available. All TCGA samples were processed under the approval of the respective Institutional Review Boards and participants provided written informed consent.

TP53 status by IHC

Immunohistochemistry staining conditions were optimized using breast tissue sections and human cell lines with established TP53 mutation status ([wild type: MCF-7, SUM102), (p.R175H mismatch mutant: SKBR3)]. All cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and low-passage cultures were prepared, with mycoplasma testing performed regularly and confirmed negative. IHC was carried out at the UNC Translational Pathology Laboratory using a Bond Autostainer (Leica Microsystems Inc. Norwell, MA 02061). Slides were dewaxed in Bond Dewax solution (AR9222) and hydrated in Bond Wash solution (AR9590). Antigen retrieval was performed for 20 min in Bond-Epitope Retrieval Solution 1 pH-6.0 (AR9961). Slides were incubated for 15 min with mouse monoclonal anti-TP53 antibody (BioGenex, Fremont, CA; clone D07 [catalog # MU239-UC], 1:7200). Detection was performed using the Bond Intense R Detection System (DS9263) supplemented with Dako EnVision Mouse (Carpinteria, CA, K4001). Stained slides were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and coverslipped. A control tissue microarray (TMA) containing TP53 positive and negative breast tissue and cell lines was included in each run along with a negative control (no primary antibody). CBCS TMA construction has been previously described. TMA slides were scanned using the Aperio ScanScope XT at 20x magnification. Details of the scoring algorithm have been described previously. Briefly, TP53 staining was measured with the Aperio Nuclean9 algorithm by quantifying the tumor cellularity and was combined with the Genie Histology Pattern Recognition tool to correctly classify the number of tumor and normal epithelial cells per core allowing for enrichment of tumor cells. Algorithm parameters including nuclear size and nuclear compactness were optimized to achieve the best nuclear segmentation. The algorithm returned a total number of nuclei per core and the number of nuclei positive for TP53. To determine the average percent positivity, a method of core-to-core collapsing developed by Allott et al. was used by summing the total number of nuclei/core (1–4 cores/participant). Each core was given a weight equal to the number of core nuclei divided by the total nuclei for the participant. For the core weighted percent positivity, the core’s TP53 percent positivity was multiplied by the core’s weight. The weighted core values were summed to obtain the participant’s overall weighted percent TP53 positivity. Weighted percent TP53 positivity was dichotomized to classify patients as negative or positive (>10% for negative/wild-type, ≥10% positive/mutant). The ≥10% cut point was selected based on the best sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy measures.

Clinical characteristics of tumors

Data for estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, nodal status, and stage of disease were abstracted from medical records for CBCS3 participants. For CBCS3, tumor grade was defined by central pathologist review by the CBCS study pathologist.

PAM50 intrinsic subtype and TP53 status [RNA (CBCS3, TCGA) and DNA-based (TCGA)]

In CBCS3, NanoString assays were conducted on 1122 samples from 1042 cases as described previously. Briefly, hematoxylin and eosin stained slides were reviewed by a pathologist and 1–9 1.0-mm cores were sampled from areas of regions of corresponding blocks. Cases selected for NanoString were randomized to three batches and technicians were blinded to tumor characteristics and clinical data. Intra batch QC data were reported previously and showed high correlation among batch controls. RNA was isolated using the Qiagen RNeasy FFPE kit (cat#: 73504). NanoString gene expression experiments, which use RNA counting as a measure of gene expression, were conducted in the UNC Translational Genomics Laboratory.

We excluded 39 samples with insufficient quality as identified by the NanoStringNorm package in Bioconductor and 18 samples from participants with two different tumor blocks. There were 52 patients with multiple samples from the same tumor block. Gene expression data for these patients was calculated as the RNA average of the samples if the correlation coefficients for comparison were >0.90; otherwise the sample with better quality score from NanoStringNorm was used. A total of 1013 cases were included in the final analysis.

Two signatures were evaluated to classify patients: (1) the previously validated 52-gene TP53-dependent signature and (2) a 50-gene PAM50 signature. For the TP53 signature, mutant-like vs. normal-like class was defined based on a similarity-to-centroid approach. For PAM50 subtype, samples were categorized into one of five intrinsic subtypes (Luminal A, Luminal B, basal-like, HER2-enriched, and Normal-like) as described in Parker et al. For TCGA, TP53 DNA mutations were determined (missense mutation, any mutation) using the mutation annotation file (MAF) from the TCGA breast lobular manuscript page (https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/docs/publications/brcabca2015). RNA sequencing expression data from flash frozen invasive tumor tissue samples was used to classify patients according to the PAM50 and TP53 signatures.

Statistical analysis

Participant and tumor characteristics for the CBCS3 study population overall, as well as the subsets with IHC (n = 1, 291) and NanoString data (n = 1013) can be found in Supplemental Table 1. The IHC subset included all samples with TMA’s available, while the NanoString subset represented a random sampling of CBC3 participants with at least two tumor tissue cores remaining after TMA construction. The IHC subset differed significantly from CBCS3 as a whole by age at diagnosis, stage of disease, and tumor size. The NanoString dataset differed from CBCS3 overall by grade. The IHC and NanoString subset differed significantly from one another by lymph node status, tumor size, and tumor grade.

While the RNA-based data from CBCS3 was compared to similar data from TCGA, patients in TCGA were more frequently older, more frequently white, had larger tumors, and had high grade tumors (results not shown). Generalized linear models were used to estimate relative frequency differences (RFDs) and corresponding 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI) as the measure of association between TP53 status and variables of interest. Sample size counts are unweighted and percentages are weighted to account for the sampling design of CBCS3, which oversampled black and younger women. Models are also adjusted for the sampling weights to account for the study design. The following variables were studied in association with TP53 status: age at diagnosis (≤ 50, > 50), race (self-report black, non-black [≥ 98% white, referred to as white]), tumor grade (low-intermediate, high), stage of disease (I/II, III/IV), lymph node status (positive, negative), tumor size (≤ 2 cm, > 2 cm), PAM50 intrinsic breast cancer subtype (Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2-enriched, basal-like, Normal-like), ER status (negative, positive), PR status (negative, positive), and HER2 status (negative, positive). For subtype analyses, Luminal A/B was selected as the reference group. RFDs by race are presented as univariate and multivariate-adjusted (CBCS3: age, stage, grade, and PAM50 subtype; TCGA: age, stage, and PAM50 subtype). All analyses were done in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
Data availability
TCGA data can be found here: https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/docs/publications/bcca_2015/ The CBC3S datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to some human subjects restrictions, but may be available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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