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Abstract

A quantitative assessment of the riverine freshwater, suspended and dissolved sediment loads is provided for the watersheds of the four primary (Western Mediterranean-WMED, Central Mediterranean-CMED, Eastern Mediterranean-EMED and Black Sea-BLS) and eleven secondary marine regions of the Mediterranean and Black Sea Earth System (MBES). On the basis of measured values that cover spatially >65% and >84% of MED and BLS watersheds, respectively, water discharge of the MBES reaches annually almost the 1 million km\(^3\), with Mediterranean Sea (including the Marmara Sea) providing 576 km\(^3\) and the Black Sea (included the Azov Sea) 418 km\(^3\). Among the watersheds of MED primary marine regions, the total water load is distributed as follows: WMED= 180 km\(^3\); CMED= 209 km\(^3\); and EMED= 187 km\(^3\). The MBES could potentially provide annually some 894 \(10^6\) t of suspended sediment load (SSL), prior to river damming, most of which (i.e., 708 \(10^6\) t) is attributed to MED. Between MED primary marine regions, CMED receives the highest amount of suspended sediment (287 \(10^6\) t), followed by WMED (239 \(10^6\) t) and EMED \(182\ 10^6\) t, while \(185\ 10^6\) t are delivered to BLS. The dissolved load (DL) of MBES is about \(376\ 10^6\) t, of which \(215\ 10^6\) t (~57%) is provided by the MED watershed. The large river systems (watershed>10\(^4\) km\(^2\)) provide >85% of the water load, >80% of SSL and >60% of DL of both MED and BLS.
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Introduction

Rivers play a key role in sustaining the coastal environment transferring water and sediment to the sea, with the former being associated with nutrient and/or pollutants concentrations in coastal waters, while the latter having implications for shelf sedimentation, coastline evolution, benthic ecosystem and the potential of burial of pollutants (e.g. Ludwig et al., 2003; Syvitski et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2007; Karditsa & Poulos, 2013).

In the case of the Mediterranean and Black Sea semi-enclosed marine system, changes in riverine inputs are, therefore, potential drivers for long-term changes in coastal morphology (e.g., Poulos & Collins, 2002; CIESM, 2006; Syvitski & Saito, 2007; Syvitski et al., 2009; Vörösmarty et al., 2009) and marine ecosystems (e.g., Bianchi & Allison, 2009; Ludwig et al., 2010; McCarney-Castle et al., 2010). These changes have several demographic and socioeconomic implications, frequently accompanied by negative feedbacks on ecosystem services (e.g. Syvitski et al., 2003; Vörösmarty et al., 2009; Ludwig et al., 2010).

On the basis of the highly variable morphological, lithological, pedological, climatic conditions and the associated vegetation land cover, the MBES watershed incorporates more than 3000 river systems with catchment size ranging from less than 50 km\(^2\) to more than 10\(^5\) km\(^2\); furthermore, most of the rivers with catchments <200 km\(^2\) present ephemeral, torrential flows draining mountainous coastal areas (Milliman & Syvitski 1992) undergone arid and semi-arid climatic conditions. Moreover, these temporary (non perennial) streams due to their complex and diverse flow regimes (Skoulikidis et al., 2017) have not been studied systematically and, therefore, limited data is available.

Estimates of the annual surface freshwater influx provided by the Mediterranean watershed have been found to vary from 357±29 km\(^3\) (Ludwig et al., 2009) to 737 km\(^3\) (Vörösmarty et al., 1998), while an average value of 533 km\(^3\) corresponds to the following published values (in km\(^3\)/year): 663 (Korzoun et al., 1977); 737 (Vörösmarty et al., 1998); 440 (UNEP, 1978); 517 (Margat & Treyer, 2004); 357±29 (Ludwig et al., 2009); 447 (Thornes & Woodward, 2009) and 550 (Poulos, 2011). In
the case of the Black Sea, freshwater fluxes (in km³/year) account for 338 (Simonov & Atman, 1991) to 517 (Margat & Treyer, 2004), while a mean value of 401 is derived from the values given (in km³/year) by: 473 (Korzoun et al., 1977); 338 (Simonov et al., 1991); 353 (Reshetni-kov, 1992); 413 (Vörösmarty et al., 1998); 365 (Jaoshvili, 2002); 517 (Margat & Treyer, 2004); 355 (Mikhailov & Mikhailova, 2008); 401±4 km³ (Ludwig et al., 2009); and, 395 (Poulos, 2011).

The MBES region encompasses a wider range of fluvial denudation rates than those recorded elsewhere on a global scale (Walling & Webb 1996; Milliman & Syvitski, 1992). Annual average denudation values for the MED catchment fluctuate from less than 250 t/km² to more 1000 t/km² (Woodward, 1995), having an average value of about 175 t/km²; the latter value that is close to the global average increases to an average of about 580 t/km², which is very high compared to other regions of the world (Ludwig & Probst, 1998).

The suspended sediment loads provided by the MED watershed account from 670 10^1 t (Poulos & Collins, 2002) to 730 10^1 t (Ludwig et al., 2003), while the BLS loads vary from 153 10^1 t (after Jahoshvili, 2002) to 172 10^1 t (after CIESM, 2006). These values are expected higher if both the dissolved and bed loads are included. In the case of the MED, dissolved and bed loads may represent around 30% of the total sediment load (Poulos & Collins, 2002), whilst in the case of the BLS this percentage could be much higher being, i.e. 45% (CIESM, 2006).

On the other hand, during the past decades, water and sediment fluxes have been modified following the regulation of river flows through dam construction for hydroelectric power and irrigation purposes. CIESM (2006) has referred that about 50% of MED catchment is dammed, causing an analoguous reduction, primarily in sediment fluxes and secondarily to water discharge. For the MED catchment, Ludwig et al. (2003) have reported a continuous decrease in water discharge because of both climate change and anthropogenic water use, which in the case of the Black Sea was estimated to be about 10% (CIESM, 2006). Sediment fluxes for the Mediterranean Sea may have been reduced down to about ½ (after Ludwig, 2003), when globally approximately 30% of the total potential global sediment flux has been estimated to be trapped behind large reservoirs (Syvitski et al., 2005; Vörösmarty et al., 1997).

The scope of this work is to provide estimates of the natural fluvial inputs in different spatial scales for the Mediterranean and Black Sea Earth System (MBES) in anticipation of future environmental changes such as climate change (e.g. Giorgi et al., 2004; Giorgi & Lionello, 2008) and human interference (e.g. UNEP/MAP, 2012). Therefore, a quantitative assessment of the riverine freshwater, suspended and dissolved sediment loads is provided for the four primary and eleven secondary marine regions of the MBES, on the basis of measured values that cover spatially >85% of MED and about 90% of BLS total drainage basin. In addition, the interrelationships of the fluvial inputs of each marine region are elaborated statistically.

MBES marine regions and associated watersheds

The Mediterranean and Black seas constitute a semi-enclosed and connected to the Atlantic Ocean intercontinental marine system (Fig.1), having a total surface area of almost 3000 10^6 km² (excluding the Strait of Gibraltar) and a watershed of more than 7000 10^6 km² (Table 1). In terms of coastal morphology, the MBES

![Fig. 1: Mediterranean and Black Sea bathymetry and their primary marine regions. [WMED: West Mediterranean; CMED: Central Mediterranean; EMED: East Mediterranean and BLS: Black Sea.]](http://epublishing.ekt.gr)

### Table 1. Sea surface area (SSA) and catchment area (CA) for all the marine regions of the MBES (for acronyms see text).

| Region | SSA (km²) | CA (km²) |
|--------|-----------|----------|
| ALB    | 54,173    | 90,000   |
| WEST_N | 258,300   | 303,000  |
| WEST_S | 316,727   | 185,000  |
| TUR    | 575,027   | 488,000  |
| TYR    | 212,500   | 74,000   |
| WMED   | 841,700   | 652,000  |
| ADR    | 140,329   | 229,000  |
| ION    | 197,980   | 70,400   |
| CEN    | 573,990   | 306,000  |
| CMED   | 912,290   | 605,400  |
| LEY_S  | 420,000   | 3,045,000|
| LEY_N  | 140,588   | 114,600  |
| LEV    | 560,888   | 3,159,600|
| AEG    | 192,026   | 240,000  |
| MAR    | 11,887    | 40,000   |
| EMED   | 764,501   | 4,349,600|
| MED    | 2,518,491 | 4,697,000|
| BLA_E  | 161,340   | 83,615   |
| BLA_W  | 260,895   | 1,724,385|
| BLA    | 422,235   | 1,808,000|
| AZOV   | 41,274    | 590,000  |
| BLS    | 463,509   | 2,398,000|
| MBES   | 2,982,000 | 7,095,000|
comprises mostly of rocky coasts (~52%) with the remaining proportion (~48%) representing coasts whose development is associated with fluvial sediment delivery and accumulation. For the MED, the aforementioned proportions slightly change with the rocky coasts accounting for ~54% (Fulrani et al., 2014; UNEP, 2010), while for the BLS (including the Azov Sea) it accounts only for ~39% (Panin, 2007).

The MBES comprises four major marine basins named as Western Mediterranean (WMED), Central Mediterranean (CMED), Eastern Mediterranean (EMED) and Black Sea (BLS). These primary marine regions have been further divided by the scientific community (e.g., Cruzado, 1985; UNEP/MAP/MEDPOL, 2005; Ludwig et al., 2009; Ludwig et al., 2010; UNEP, 2012) into a number of domains (secondary regions) (Fig. 2), for the requirements of regional physiographic, oceanographic and environmental investigations. For the aforementioned division the sea limits provided by the IOH (1953 and its revised edition in 2002) have been adopted. The only sea limit not introduced by IHO is the limit between the two sub-regions, central and Levantine, for which the geological/morphological boundary provided by Carter et al. (1972) has been adopted. Thus, the WMED includes the Alboran (ALB), WestMED (WEST) and Tyrrenhenian (TYR) seas, the CMED consists of the Adriatic (ADR), Ionian (ION) and CentralMED (CEN) seas, the EMED comprises the Levantine (LEV), Aegean (AEG) and Marmara (MAR) seas and, finally, the BLS additionally to the major basinal area of Black Sea (BLA) incorporates the Azov Sea (AZOV) (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the marine regions WEST, LEV and BLA may be divided further into WEST_N/WEST_S, LEV_N/LEV_S, and BLA_W/BLA_E sub-regions. In Table 1 their sea surface area together with their corresponding catchment areas are listed.

The climate of the MBES (in both the marine and coastal sectors), due to its geographical location (from 30° N to 46° N), belongs to the temperate zone of the northern hemisphere. However, the climatic conditions fluctuate substantially within the MBES drainage basin, which covers an area expanding from 2° S to 56° N. According to the Koppen-Geiger classification (Geiger, 1961), the climatic zones vary from hot desert (BWh) in Africa to humid continental (Dfb) in northern Europe, whilst in some mountainous areas (for example in Pontides) the climate is continental with dry and hot summers (Dsa), and humid continental with cool summers (Dfc) and/or even tundra (ET) in localised areas in the Carpathians, Balkanides and Alps. Moreover, within the Nile River catchment, south of the northern hot desert zone (BWh), the climate type of hot steppe (BSb), the tropical types of rainforest (Af), monsoon (Am) and savanna (Aw), and those of dry-winter humid subtropical (Cwa) and dry-winter temperate maritime (Cwb) are also present. In Figure 3 the spatial distribution of the mean annual precipitation (1970-2000) is shown for the MBES watershed.

![Fig. 2: The MBES marine regions and their watersheds.](image_url)

![Fig. 3: The spatial distribution of precipitation within the MBES watershed (utilising the WORDCLIM2 data set).](image_url)
Data collection and analysis

The rivers of the MED and BLS watersheds have not been studied to the same extent. Small Med rivers (<1000 km²) that usually represent non-perennial rivers and streams (i.e. cease to flow for some time of the year) with remarkable hydro-geo-morphological diversity have rarely been monitored (Skoulikidis et al., 2017), while long-term hydrological observations are available for the majority of rivers with watersheds >10⁴ km². Usually, data sets refer to freshwater discharges and suspended sediment fluxes, while dissolved sediment data are rare, being usually available for large (>10⁴ km²) and, especially, very large (>10⁵ km²) river systems. Besides, bed load is the least measured hydrological element, due to the complex nature of its formation and the difficulties involved in measuring it (Jahoshvili, 2002). Overall, there is more data available for the rivers flowing to Black Sea than those debouching into the Mediterranean Sea. Furthermore, several estimates have been done regarding the suspended sediment yields for medium to small mountainous rivers utilizing sets of hydro-morphological parameters (e.g. Milliman & Syvitski, 1992; Probst & Suchet, 1992; Zarris et al., 2007; Pelletier, 2012; Efthimiou et al., 2017; Karalis et al., 2018).

Thus, the present investigation is based on a compilation of published data (from 1974 to 2018) that refers to field measurements (prior to 2000) regarding mean annual riverine fluxes (excluding bed load) of 207 rivers with drainage basins >250 km², discharging along the coast of the Mediterranean (150) and Black Sea (57) (Table A in Annex I) covering >60% of the catchment area (Table 2) in most of the marine regions. Then, estimates of freshwater, suspended sediment, and dissolved sediment yields have been calculated for the measured part of the watersheds. Subsequently, these values have been used to provide a gross estimate of this part of the watershed not covered by in-situ measurements (often in the case of rivers with watersheds<1000 km²), assuming a rather uniform spatial behaviour of the runoff and weathering processes. Thus, the total potential riverine (natural) flux for each marine region is provided by the sum of the measured and estimated fluxes. It is mentioned that in the case of the CEN, the Libyan sector has been excluded from the calculations, as it is deprived of surface water flows. Also, data availability for dissolved load fluxes is limited in the case of the Alboran, whereas for the southern sector of WEST they are absent. Thus, for these two cases, the mean value of dissolved yield from the adjacent to them WEST_N has been utilised, considering the similarities in terms of geological and climatic conditions.

The watersheds were delineated by using the Hydrosheds 1 arc-second dataset as a base (Lehner & Grill, 2013), which has a horizontal resolution of about 500 meters in the equator. Hydrosheds dataset was chosen since it has shown significantly better accuracy than other datasets (e.g. HYDRO1k, DCW etc). Flat regions without well-defined relief are the most common areas of Table 2. Percentage of watershed area (A) covered by in-situ measurements along with the number of incorporated rivers (R), used in the calculations for the estimation of water (W), suspended sediment (SSL) and dissolved sediment (DSL) loads.

| Region | A_W (%) | R_W | A_SSL (%) | R_SSL | A_DSL (%) | R_DSL |
|--------|---------|-----|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| ALB    | 80.0    | 9   | 77.3      | 9     | 0         | 0     |
| WEST_N | 84.3    | 18  | 98.0      | 15    | 70.4      | 4     |
| WEST_S | 62.7    | 15  | 64.7      | 15    | 0         | 0     |
| WEST   | 76.0    | 33  | 83.8      | 30    | 42.4      | 4     |
| TYR    | 69.6    | 6   | 34.7      | 5     | 19.8      | 1     |
| CEN (excl. Libya) | 5.2 | 2 | 10.4    | 1 | - | - |
| ION    | 37.0    | 15  | 26.6      | 10    | 18.3      | 5     |
| ADR    | 81.2    | 37  | 76.2      | 37    | 13.1      | 2     |
| AEG    | 97.5    | 14  | 91.3      | 11    | 54.2      | 7     |
| MAR    | 67.2    | 3   | 11.2      | 3     | 56.0      | 1     |
| LEV_N  | 81.2    | 20  | 74.4      | 11    | 58.7      | 4     |
| LEV_S  | 94.7    | 6   | 94.7      | 1     | 94.6      | 1     |
| LEV    | 94.2    | 22  | 85.3      | 11    | 78.8      | 5     |
| MED    | 87.9    | 141 | 82.0      | 117   | 66.4      | 25    |
| BLA_W  | 96.6    | 25  | 96.6      | 20    | 89.8      | 9     |
| BLA_E  | 79.5    | 29  | 79.5      | 29    | 25.1      | 4     |
| BLA    | 95.8    | 54  | 88.9      | 49    | 60.6      | 13    |
| AZOV   | 85.8    | 3   | 85.8      | 2     | 75.0      | 1     |
| BLS    | 93.3    | 57  | 88.1      | 51    | 64.1      | 14    |
| MBES   | 89.8    | 198 | 89.8      | 172   | 84.0      | 38    |
accuracies (Lehner, Verdin & Jarvis, 2008). The vector datasets of watershed boundaries and river networks were used, and after processing these data in a GIS the combined catchment area for each of the marine regions was produced.

For the calculation of average precipitation per year in each watershed the WORLDCLIM 2 dataset was used with a spatial resolution of 30 arc-seconds, which uses a latitude / longitude geographic coordinate system (the datum is WGS84). The dataset includes monthly average values for the years 1970-2000 with high spatial resolution (about 1 km²). Accuracy is good with a global cross-validation correlation of 0.86 (Fick & Hijmans, 2017). The precipitation data grid was transformed to a projected coordinate system (WGS 84) that is the same as the coordinate system of the zones vector data, in order to provide uniform cell sizes for more accurate calculations. Then, the average precipitation/cell for each basin was calculated with the use of G.I.S. techniques. Total rainfall in a watershed was calculated by multiplying the average precipitation with the number of cells that are assigned to each watershed.

Finally, the slope gradient was derived from the GTOPO30 DEM in percent units (i.e., the rise divided by the run, multiplied by 100) and a new raster dataset was created in G.I.S. Subsequently, the average slope is calculated with the application of maximum downhill slope algorithm that does not overestimate slopes and have accurate results (Dunn & Hickey, 1998).

Results and Discussion

River systems

In Table 3, the watersheds for each marine region have been categorized according to their size (Poulos, 2011). MED watershed is characterized by the presence of the extraordinary large Nile’s watershed (2,880,000 km²) and the absence of very large (10⁵-10⁶ km²) river systems. The reverse situation applies to the BLS, where the very large rivers (>10⁵ km²) represent the 3/4 of its total catchment area, whilst there is no river with catchment >10⁶ km². Very large river systems (10⁴-10⁵ km²) drain >70% of

| Marine Regions | Watershed area (km²) |
|----------------|----------------------|
|                | Small (<10⁴) | Medium (10⁴-10⁵) | Large (10⁵-10⁶) | Very large (10⁶-10⁷) | Extra-ordinary large (>10⁷) |
|                | N. | km²  | N. | km²  | N. | km²  | N. | km²  | N. | km²  |
| ALB | >2 | 24.6 | 6 | 18.8 | 1 | 56.7 | 1 |
| WEST | >6 | 29.3 | 21 | 15.6 | 6 | 55.0 | 6 |
| WEST_N | >2 | 15.7 | 15 | 16.6 | 3 | 67.7 | 3 |
| WEST_S | >4 | 51.6 | 6 | 14.0 | 3 | 34.3 | 3 |
| TYR | >1 | 19.1 | 5 | 28.2 | 1 | 52.7 | 1 |
| CEN | >3 | 88.0 | 3 | 12.0 | 2 | (°) | 2 |
| ION | >5 | 67.2 | 4 | 32.8 | 0 | 0 |
| ADR | >14 | 20.5 | 24 | 32.9 | 4 | 46.6 | 2 |
| AEG | >2 | 24.5 | 6 | 13.4 | 6 | 62.1 | 6 |
| MAR | >1 | 32.8 | 2 | 11.2 | 1 | 56.0 | 1 |
| LEV | >7 | 5.1 | 12 | 0.67 | 5 | 3.03 | 5 | 1 | 91.3 |
| LEV_N | >7 | 21.5 | 8 | 11.7 | 4 | 66.8 | 4 |
| LEV_S | >1 | 4.5 | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.62 | 1 | 1 | 94.7 |
| MED | >39 | 17.6 | 83 | 5.5 | 24 | 15.6 | 24 | 1 | 61.9 |
| BL | >27 | 6.2 | 17 | 2.0 | 8 | 19.7 | 2 | 72.2 |
| BL_W | >10 | 4.4 | 10 | 1.3 | 6 | 18.6 | 2 | 75.6 |
| BL_E | 17 | 44.5 | 7 | 16.1 | 2 | 42.5 | 0 |
| AZOV | >1 | 5.5 | 12 | 7.0 | 2 | 12.5 | 1 | 75.0 |
| BLS | >27 | 6.0 | 29 | 3.2 | 10 | 17.9 | 3 | 72.8 |
| MBES | 13.4 | 112 | 4.8 | 34 | 16.4 | 3 | 24.6 | 40.6 |

Note: (a): CEN’ watershed does not include the Libyan sector; (b): Nile watershed not included in calculations.
the BLS watershed (including the AZOV). In the scale of MBES, the small (<10³ km²) and large (>10⁴ km²) watersheds correspond to 13.4% and 16.4%, respectively, being larger than medium (10¹-10³) watersheds (4.8%), but considerably smaller than the extraordinary large (>10⁷) rivers that equals to 40.6%. If Nile’s watershed is excluded from the calculations, then the very large rivers, representing the 41.4%, become the most significant followed by the large (16.4%) and the small (13.4%) rivers. For MED, the extraordinary large (>10⁷) river Nile represents the 62% of its watershed, while for the BLS the very large (>10⁴ km²) rivers represent the 72% of its total watershed. In Figure 4 the watersheds of the MBES extending to >10,000 km² are presented.

Freshwater load

Riverine freshwater load of the MBES exceeds 1 million km³/yr (Table 4), of which on an annual basis, 418.4 km³ are provided by the Black Sea (including the Azov) and 590 km³ by the Mediterranean: the latter is allocated among the catchment of MED primary marine regions as follows: WMED= 180.1 km³; CMED= 223.3 km³; and EMED= 186.9 km³. Between the secondary marine regions, the largest amount is provided by the BLA (370.8 km³) and the smallest by the MAR (8.1 km³) and ALB (3.6 km³) due to their small watersheds. Interestingly, LEV presents a relatively low value of 134.5 km² despite its huge catchment area due to Nile’s drainage basin (2,880,000 km²). This is also depicted in the water yield values, whose lowest values are given by LEV catchment (i.e. 43 m³/km²) when the average yield for MED is 125.7 m³/km² with the highest (ADR) exceeding the 552 m³/km².

BLS is characterised generally by higher water yield (174.5 m³/km²) compared to MED, despite the very low value of AZOV (80.7 km³/km²) that is associated with one of the larger catchment areas (590 10³ km²). This means that the rivers out-flowing into the Black Sea have freshwater yields (on an average 174.5 10³ m³/km²) larger than those of the MED watershed (some 125.7 10³ m³/km²).

Sediment Loads

The MBES coastal waters could potentially receive some 589410⁶ t on an annual basis (Table 4), prior to river damming, most of which is provided by MED (708 10⁶ t). Between the primary marine regions, CMED provides the highest amount (287 10⁶ t) with the lowest amounts provided by BLS (185 10⁶ t) and EMED (18210⁶ t). Between the secondary marine regions, the smallest amount is associated with MAR (2.1 10⁶ t) and the largest amount with ADR (196 10⁶ t). In terms of annual values of suspended sediment yields, the primary marine regions EMED and BLS are associated with low values of 53 t/km² and 77.3 t/km², respectively, when WMED and CMED (excluding Libya) present substantially higher values of 367 t/km² and 507 t/km², respectively. Among the secondary marine regions, we can distinguish those having SS yields <100 10⁶ t (BLA, AZOV, LEV, MAR) those of 100-350 10⁶ t (AEG, CEN, ALB, WEST) and those having yields >800 10⁶ t (ION, ADR, TYR).

The contribution of dissolved load (DL) is less than half (376 10⁶ t km²) compared to SSL (894 10⁶ t km²) of MBES (Table 4), of which 215 10⁶ t (approximately 60% of the MBES) is provided by the MED’s watershed and the 161 10⁶ t by the BLS. Between the primary marine regions, BLS presents the highest value (161 10⁶ t), while EMED has the smallest amount of only 36.6 10⁶ t; the latter is due to the exceptionally low DL yield of the Nile’s watershed (i.e., 2 10⁷ t/km²) that consists the 97% of the SLEV catchment. DL yields of the secondary marine regions could also be grouped into those with values <100 10⁶ t/km² (LEV, BLA, AZOV, AEG), of 120-135 10⁶ t/km² (ALB, WEST, CEN) and to those of 200-350 10⁶ t/km² (ADR, ION, TYR).

The relationship between SSL and DL could be further investigated through the ratio SSL:DL (Table 4). The pri-
mary marine regions WMED and CMED present ratios \( >2 \), the BLS 1.2:1 and EMED the highest (5:1). Most of the secondary marine regions have ratios between 1 and 3, with LEV presenting the highest ratio (10:1) and MAR the lowest (1:1). The differences between the SS:DL ratios within the MBES are related to different hydromorphological conditions, induced by climate variability, and various geological (e.g. lithology) and morphological aspects.

Table 5 demonstrates the relative contribution of the large river systems in the accumulation of the total amount of freshwater, suspended sediment and dissolved sediment load in each catchment area of the primary (WMED, CMED, EMED, and BLS) and secondary marine regions. MED large rivers provide the 59.5% of its total water load, although their catchment area corresponds to 77.2% of the total MED catchment area. This is due to the fact that CEN and ION do not have any large rivers with surface flows, while the rivers of the other secondary marine regions contribute smaller percentages of freshwater despite to their much larger watersheds. Therefore, the medium and small river systems (<10⁴ km²) have also an important role in developing the total water load for the MED. In contrast, the BLS and its secondary marine regions receive >85% of freshwater load from large river systems. For the suspended and dissolved sediment loads, the MBES large river systems, corresponding to 82% of its total watershed, provide 57% of SSL and 43% of DL, respectively.

### Statistical relationships of fluvial fluxes and watershed variables

The outcome of the investigation concerning the relationships between some key-parameters of marine region’s watershed (i.e. area, mean slope, mean precipitation) and fluvial variables (water load, suspended sediment load, dissolved load) is presented in Table 6. Best statistical correlations have been given either with the use of linear or expo-

| M. R. | CA    | WL   | WY   | SSL  | SSY  | DL   | DLY  | SSL/DL | P  | SL  |
|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|----|-----|
| ALB   | 90,000| 3.6  | 40.0 | 21.1 | 234.4| 11.7 | 130.0| 1.8    | 393.2| 5.6 |
| WEST_N| 303,000| 145.4| 479.9| 85.7 | 282.8| 37.6 | 124.1| 2.3    | 785.7| 7.8 |
| WEST  | 185,000| 13.9 | 75.1 | 64.4 | 348.1| 24.1 | 130.3| 2.7    | 524.7| 5.1 |
| TYR   | 74,000 | 17.2 | 232.4| 62.5 | 844.6| 25.7 | 347.3| 2.4    | 750.9| 8.7 |
| WMED  | 652,000| 180.1| 276.2| 239  | 366.6| 99.1 | 152.0| 2.4    | 660.4| 6.8 |
| CEN(-Lib.)* | 45,700| 3.3  | 72.2 | 10.6 | 231.9| 6.1  | 133.5| 1.7    | 144.8| 1.2 |
| ION   | 70,400 | 51.1 | 725.9| 80.6 | 1144.9| 21.4 | 347.3| 2.4    | 791.7| 9.5 |
| ADR   | 229,000| 154.5| 674.8| 196.0| 855.9| 52.09| 227.5| 2.0    | 979.9| 11.0 |
| CMED  | 605,400| 208.9| 345.1| 287.2| 474.4| 79.59| 131.5| 2.2    | 563.2| 5.8 |
| AEG   | 240,000| 44.3 | 184.6| 28.6 | 119.2| 19.3 | 80.4 | 1.5    | 632.9| 7.4 |
| MAR   | 40,000 | 8.1  | 202.5| 2.1  | 52.5 | 2.52 | 52.5 | 1.0    | 718.7| 6.4 |
| LEV_N | 114,600| 39.9 | 348.2| 25.9 | 226.0| 8.8  | 76.8 | 2.9    | 674.1| 9.6 |
| LEV_S | 3,045,000| 94.6 | 31.1 | 125.7| 41.3 | 6.38 | 21.9 | 1.7    | 639.3| 1.8 |
| LEV   | 3,159,000| 134.5| 42.6 | 151.6| 48.0 | 15.18| 4.8  | 10.0   | 640.9| 2.1 |
| EMED  | 3,439,600| 186.9| 54.3 | 182.3| 53.0 | 36.58| 10.6 | 5.0    | 641.3| 2.5 |
| MED   | 4,697,000| 575.9| 122.6| 708.5| 150.8| 215.27| 45.8 | 2.7    | 633.1| 3.5 |
| BL_W  | 1,724,385| 304.6| 176.6| 138.2| 80.1 | 129.74| 74.8 | 1.1    | 650.7| 3.7 |
| BL_E  | 83,615 | 66.2 | 791.7| 28.4 | 339.7| 15.6 | 186.6| 1.8    | 924.6| 15.4 |
| BLA   | 1,808,000| 370.8| 205.1| 166.6| 92.1 | 144.6| 80.0 | 1.2    | 662.0| 4.2 |
| AZOV  | 590,000 | 47.6 | 80.7 | 18.8 | 31.9 | 16   | 27.1 | 1.2    | 523.7| 1.6 |
| BLS   | 2,398,000| 418.4| 174.5| 185.4| 77.3 | 160.6| 67.0 | 1.2    | 627.1| 3.6 |
| MBES  | 7,095,000| 994.3| 140.1| 893.9| 126.0| 375.87| 53.0 | 2.1    | 630.7| 3.5 |

* CEN (total)= 306,000 km²
Table 5. Number (N) of large rivers (>10,000 km²), catchment area (CA), suspended sediment load (SSL) and dissolved sediment load (DL) together with their corresponding percentages with respect to their total (T) values, for the primary and secondary marine regions of the MBES.

| Region | N. | CA (km²) | CA/total (%) | WL (km³) | WL/T WL (%) | SSL (10⁶ t) | SSL/T SSL (%) | DL (10⁶ t) | DL/T DL (%) |
|--------|----|----------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| ALB    | 1  | 51000    | 56.7         | 1.60     | 43.84       | 12.00       | 57.48        | 0.00      | 0.00        |
| WEST_N | 3  | 205084   | 67.7         | 108.50   | 75.40       | 77.80       | 90.82        | 27.00     | 71.88       |
| WEST_S | 3  | 85325    | 46.2         | 5.34     | 39.01       | 14.50       | 22.91        | 0.00      | 0.00        |
| WEST   | 6  | 290669   | 59.6         | 113.84   | 72.23       | 92.30       | 61.96        | 27.00     | 44.12       |
| TYR    | 1  | 17000    | 23.0         | 7.40     | 44.11       | 7.50        | 12.33        | 5.90      | 23.59       |
| WMED   | 8  | 358609   | 55.0         | 122.84   | 69.00       | 111.80      | 48.47        | 32.90     | 33.66       |
| CMED (ADR)* | 4 | 123582  | 53.4         | 86.74    | 48.23       | 51.20       | 25.07        | 6.85      | 6.36        |
| AEG    | 7  | 139267   | 60.8         | 29.28    | 59.16       | 22.43       | 69.39        | 5.31      | 28.74       |
| MAR    | 1  | 22400    | 56.0         | 4.40     | 57.62       | 0.00        | 0.00         | 1.20      | 59.60       |
| LEV_N  | 4  | 76564    | 66.8         | 21.60    | 54.78       | 15.10       | 59.10        | 4.30      | 49.17       |
| LEV_S  | 2  | 2889000  | 95.2         | 90.00    | 95.34       | 120.00      | 95.59        | 6.10      | 95.56       |
| LEV    | 6  | 2975361  | 94.2         | 111.60   | 83.39       | 135.10      | 89.42        | 10.40     | 68.75       |
| EMED   | 14 | 3137228  | 91.5         | 145.28   | 76.08       | 157.53      | 84.96        | 16.91     | 47.48       |
| MED    | 26 | 3656419  | 77.2         | 347.56   | 59.50       | 318.93      | 45.19        | 55.06     | 21.15       |
| BLA_W  | 10 | 1624563  | 94.2         | 285.10   | 94.07       | 130.10      | 94.64        | 110.50    | 86.25       |
| BLA_E  | 2  | 33500    | 42.4         | 18.33    | 28.14       | 11.83       | 42.27        | 2.80      | 18.18       |
| BLA    | 12 | 1660065  | 92.3         | 303.45   | 82.40       | 141.93      | 85.78        | 113.30    | 78.95       |
| AZOV   | 3  | 516300   | 87.5         | 40.80    | 84.89       | 16.15       | 84.33        | 12.00     | 73.76       |
| BLS    | 15 | 2176363  | 90.8         | 344.23   | 82.69       | 188.08      | 85.63        | 125.30    | 78.42       |
| MBES   | 41 | 5832782  | 81.8         | 691.79   | 72.40       | 507.01      | 56.95        | 180.36    | 42.93       |

(*) ION does not include any large river, while the two large rivers of CEN do not have surface flow.
Lionello, 2008), which is going to be more pronounced at the southern MED (Xoplaki et al., 2006; Rouholahnejad-Freund et al., 2017). In addition, the variability of the freshwater discharge has significant impact on the habitats of the receiving waters, as they have to adjust their lives in different eutrophic status and sedimentological changes (e.g. channel abandonment, new depositional lobes) associated with morphological changes as discussed below.

The total amount of the sediment transferred in suspension by the MBES rivers exceeds the 900 10^6 of which about the 80% is provided by the MED watershed and the remaining 20% by the BLS watershed. High MED sediment fluxes are associated with steep relief, erodible catchment lithology and heavy storm events (Thornes, Lopez-Bermudez & Woodward, 2009). On the other hand, the lower BLS suspended sediment yields are related to increased amounts of sediment transferred in solution (i.e., SSL:DL = 1.2:1), when in the case of MED is 2.7:1; this increased dissolved loads may be explained by BLS much larger river watersheds associated with lower slopes and the prevailing weathering and erosion-al processes due to prevailing climatic conditions (i.e. tundra type). Moreover, in the case of MED the medium and small in size catchments have an almost equal contribution compared to the large (>10^4 km²) and very large (>10^5 km²) watersheds for both water and sediment fluxes, when in the case of BLS the large river systems provide more than 3/4 of those fluxes. During the past decades, the construction of river dams for irrigation and watering purposes have had a great impact, especially in suspended sediment fluxes, as most of them are trapped in reservoirs. In the case of MED, more than 40% of its watershed (excluding the Nile) is dammed; this becomes 80% when the Nile’s watershed is included in the analysis (Poulos & Collins, 2002). Similarly, based on measured flows, an equal reduction has been reported for the BLS watershed by Jahosvili (2002). Moreover, Vörösmarty (1997) have foreseen that within the next few decades, more than 50% of the total global river flow would be dammed, globally, having a series of environmental implications, such as the export of carbon to the atmosphere and ocean by fluvial systems and continental shelves receiving fewer nutrients that leads to reduced fish production. Meanwhile, most of the world river deltas have undergone severe erosion following dam construction (e.g. Poulos & Collins, 2002; Syvitski & Saito, 2007; Syvitski et al., 2009; Vörösmarty et al., 2009). Retreating rates for some of the largest MBES deltas are: 10-60 m/year for the Ebro delta between 1957 and 1973 [Jimenez, Sanchez-Arcilla, & Maldolado (1997)]; about 25 m/year for the Rhone delta during the period 1954-1971 (Bird, 1988); 120-240 m/year for the Nile between the years 1965 and 1991, soon after the Aswan Dam construction (Simeoni & Bondesan, 1997); and up to 20 m/year for the Danube for the period 1900-1988 (Mc Manus, 2002).
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### Table 6. Statistical relationships between the watershed variables (catchment area (CA), mean slope (SL), mean precipitation volume (PR), suspended sediment load (SSL), dissolved sediment load (DLS), water yield (WY), suspended sediment yield (SSY), dissolved sediment yield (DLY) of the MBES’ marine regions (ALB, WEST, TYR, WMED, CEN, ION, ADR, CMED, LEV, AEG, MAR, EMED, BLa, AZO, BLS)).

| Equation | Linear | Exponential |
|----------|--------|-------------|
| f(CA, WL) | y = 7E-05 x + 71.725 | y = 0.0011 x^{0.37} | r² = 0.37 | r² = 0.68 |
| f(CA, SSL) | y = 3E-05 x + 86.994 | y = 0.0198 x^{0.20} | r² = 0.20 | r² = 0.48 |
| f(CA, DSL) | y = 2E-05 x + 35.953 | y = 0.0441 x^{0.13} | r² = 0.13 | r² = 0.43 |
| f(WY, SSY) | y = 1.3977 x - 11.458 | y = 2.2685 x^{0.71} | r² = 0.71 | r² = 0.46 |
| f(WY, DSY) | y = 0.3196 x + 46.967 | y = 0.8606 x^{0.45} | r² = 0.45 | r² = 0.46 |
| f(SSY, DSY) | y = 0.2664 x + 36.563 | y = 0.9113 x^{0.87} | r² = 0.87 | r² = 0.72 |
| f(WL, PR) | y = 0.1006 x + 76.321 | y = 0.6347 x^{0.34} | r² = 0.34 | r² = 0.71 |
| f(SSL, PR) | y = 0.0484 x + 93.805 | y = 2.4955 x^{0.14} | r² = 0.14 | r² = 0.46 |
| f(DL, PR) | y = 3.4177 x + 386.75 | y = 20.817 x^{0.06} | r² = 0.06 | r² = 0.41 |
| f(WL, SL) | y = -16.341 x + 235.37 | y = 280.62 x^{0.14} | r² = 0.14 | r² = 0.11 |
| f(SSL, SL) | y = -4.0483 x + 146.79 | y = 104.79 x^{0.02} | r² = 0.02 | r² = 0.01 |
| f(DL, SL) | y = -1.6068 x + 67.823 | y = 34.806 x^{0.01} | r² = 0.01 | r² = 0.001 |

Note: (1) values refer to European sector, due to the lack of any surface flow at the African sector;
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**ANNEX I**

Table A. Mean annual values of water discharge (Q in km³), suspended sediment load (SSL, in 10⁶ tones) and dissolved load (DL, in 10⁶ tones) for rivers with catchment areas (CA) >250 km² for the watersheds of the MBES marine regions.

| ALB  | River (country) | A  | Q  | SSL | DL  | Ref. |
|------|----------------|----|----|-----|-----|------|
|      | Guadiaro (ES)  | 1500 | 0.3 | 0.04 | 1   |
|      | Guadalhorce (ES) | 3200 | 0.2 | 0.09 | 1, 7 |
|      | Guadalefeo (ES) | 1300 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 1   |
|      | Adra Adria (ES) | 750  | 0.03 | 0.15 | 1   |
|      | Andarax (ES)    | 2200 | 0.01 | 0.18 | 1, 7 |
|      | Tafna (DZ)      | 8800 | 0.28 | 1.0  | 1   |
|      | Moulouya (MA)   | 51000 | 1.6 | 12   | 2, 1, 7 |
|      | Kerte (MA)      | 3100 | 0.25 | 0.40 | 2   |
|      | Nekor (MA)      | 790  | 0.9  | 2.8  | 1, 2 |

**WEST_N**

| Riverside (Xϊquer) (ES) | 22084 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 18, 1, 7 |
|--------------------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Turia (ES)               | 6400  | 0.46|     |     | 4, 7    |
| Mijares (ES)             | 4028  | 0.2 |     |     | 4, 7    |
| Ebro (ES)                | 85835 | 50.0| 18.0| 9.0 | 1, 2, 7 |
| Llobregat (ES)           | 5200  | 0.69| 0.07|     | 5, 7    |
| Besos (ES)               | 1000  | 0.13| 0.015|    | 5, 7    |
| Ter (ES)                 | 3000  | 0.84| 0.045|   | 6, 7    |
| Flavia (ES)              | 1124  | 0.31| 0.02 |   | 2, 7    |
| Tet (FR)                 | 1600  | 0.3 | 0.5 |     | 1       |
| Orb (FR)                 | 1800  | 1.3 | 0.05|     | 2, 1, 7 |
| Aude (FR)                | 5900  | 1.31| 0.07|     | 1, 7    |
| Herault (FR)             | 2900  | 1.5 | 0.09|     | 1, 7    |
| Rhone (FR)               | 96000 | 54.0| 59.0| 17.0| 2, 1, 7 |
| Argens (FR)              | 2600  | 0.6 | 0.03|     | 1, 7    |
| Var (FR)                 | 2800  | 1.3 | 10.0|     | 1, 7    |
| Cogninas (IT, Sar)       | 2551  | 0.6 |     |     | 1, 2    |
| Magra (IT)               | 1200  | 1.3 | 0.5 |     | 1, 2    |
| Arno (IT)                | 8183  | 3.2 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1, 2, 7 |

**WEST_S**

| Segura (ES)              | 19325 | 3.1 | 1.1 |     | 1, 7    |
| Tirso (IT-Sar)           | 3375  | 0.14| 0.51|     | 1       |
| Miliane (TN)             | 2000  | 0.02| 0.9 |     | 1, 2    |
| Medherda (TN)            | 22000 | 0.94| 9.4 |     | 1, 2, 7 |
| B. Namoussa (DZ)         | 570   | 0.15| 0.18|     | 2, 1    |
| Seybousse (DZ)           | 5500  | 0.43| 1.2 |     | 1, 7    |
| Kebir O. (DZ)            | 1100  | 0.23| 0.22|     | 1, 7    |
| Saf-Saf (DZ)             | 300   | 0.07| 0.37|     | 2       |
| Agrou (DZ)               | 660   | 0.17| 4.8 |     | 1       |
| Soummam (DZ)             | 8500  | 0.79| 4.1 |     | 1, 7    |
| Sebau (DZ)               | 2500  | 0.51| 1.2 |     | 1       |
| Isser (DZ)               | 4200  | 0.36| 8.3 |     | 1, 7    |
| El Harrach (DZ)          | 390   | 0.13| 0.63|     | 1       |
| Mazafra (DZ)             | 1900  | 0.44| 3.0 |     | 1, 2    |
| Cheliff (DZ)             | 44000 | 1.3 | 4.0 |     | 1, 2, 7 |

*continued*
Table A continued

| River (country) | A    | Q     | SSL  | DL | Ref. |
|----------------|------|-------|------|----|------|
| Ombrone (IT)   | 3200 | 0.79  | 10.0 |    | 2, 7 |
| Tevere (Tiber) (IT) | 17000 | 7.4   | 7.5  | 5.9| 2, 1, 7 |
| Liri (Gorgiario) (IT) | 5000  | 3.15  | 3.25 | 1 | 1 |
| Volturano (IT)  | 5500 | 3.1   | 4.2  |    | 2, 1, 7 |
| Sele (IT)      | 3400 | 2.84  | 2.38 | 1 | 1 |
| Flumendosa (IT) | 1775  | 0.69  | 1.15 | 25| 25 |
| Cixerri (IT)   | 500  | 0.63  |      |    | 25 |
| Gela (IT, Sic) | 569  | 0.02  | 0.13 | 1 | 1 |
| Platani (IT, Sic) | 1785 | 0.24  |      |    | 25 |
| Alcantara (IT, Sic) | 573  | 0.08  |      |    | 2 |
| Simeto (IT, Sic) | 4186 | 0.8   | 3.5  |    | 1, 7 |
| Agri (IT)      | 278  | 0.25  | 0.07 | 2, 1 | 1|
| Basento (IT)   | 1400 | 0.11  | 0.35 | 2 | 2 |
| Bradano (IT)   | 2743 | 0.2   | 2.8  | 2, 7 | 2 |
| Thiarii (Kalamas) (GR) | 1899 | 1.22  | 2.37 | 0.68| 2, 22 |
| Louros (GR)    | 931  | 0.38  | 0.8  | 0.17| 1, 22 |
| Aracchohos (Arakthos) (GR) | 2443 | 2.25/1.22 | 7.47 | 0.76 | 2, 22 |
| Acheloos (Acheloo) (GR) | 5688 | 7.78/5.67 | 3.29 | 1.5| 2, 1, 22 |
| Evinos (GR)    | 1070 | 1.47  | 0.37 | 0.14| 2, 3 |
| Mornos (GR)    | 1010 | 1.13  | 0.35 |    | 2, 3 |
| Selinountas (GR) | 245  | 0.01  |      |    | 2, 22 |
| Pinios Pel. (GR) | 913  | 0.51  |      |    | 2 |
| Alcios (Apheios) (GR) | 3501 | 1.71/1.21 | 3   |    | 2, 1, 22 |
| Evrotas (GR)   | 1738 | 0.76  |      |    | 19, 20, 22 |
| Ofanto (IT)    | 2716 | 0.37  | 0.9  |    | 2, 1, 7 |
| Fortore (IT)   | 1126 | 0.42  | 1.5  |    | 2, 7 |
| Biferno (IT)   | 1290 | 0.66  | 2.2  |    | 2, 7 |
| Trigno (IT)    | 1200 | 0.1   | 0.42 |    | 1 |
| Sangro (IT)    | 1900 | 1.42  | 0.52 |    | 1 |
| Pescara (IT)   | 3300 | 1.7   | 1.9  |    | 2, 1, 7 |
| Tavo (IT)      | 250  | 0.06  | 0.04 |    | 2, 1 |
| Tronto (IT)    | 1200 | 0.5   | 1.1  |    | 2, 1 |
| Tenna (IT)     | 490  | 0.45  |      |    | 2 |
| Aso (IT)       | 280  | 0.18  |      |    | 2 |
| Tena (IT)      | 490  | 0.45  |      |    | 2 |
| Chienti (IT)   | 1300 | 0.3   | 0.85 |    | 2 |
| Potenza (IT)   | 770  | 0.2   | 0.56 |    | 1 |
| Misa (IT)      | 380  | 0.2   | 0.47 |    | 2 |
| Esino (IT)     | 1200 | 0.35  | 0.27 |    | 2 |
| Musona (IT)    | 1200 | 0.35  | 0.27 |    | 2 |
| Metauro (IT)   | 1045 | 0.43  | 2.02 |    | 2, 7 |

continued
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| River (country) | A  | Q   | SSL  | DL  | Ref. |
|-----------------|----|-----|------|-----|------|
| Foglia (IT)     | 700| 0.25| 1.4  |     | 2    |
| Marrechia (IT)  | 357| 0.31| 1.6  |     | 2    |
| Savio (IT)      | 597| 0.33| 1.35 |     | 2    |
| Larnone (IT)    | 710| 0.28| 1.3  |     | 2    |
| Reno (IT)       | 3410| 0.9 | 2.7  |     | 2, 7 |
| Po (IT)         | 54290| 46.0| 15.0 |     | 1, 7 |
| Adige (IT)      | 17000| 7.3 | 1.6  | 1.6 | 1, 7 |
| Brenta (IT)     | 1563| 2.3 | 0.19 |     | 2, 1, 7 |
| Piave (IT)      | 4100| 3.2 | 1.23 |     | 1    |
| Tagliamento (IT)| 3600| 2.7 | 1.08 |     | 1    |
| Mirna (HR)      | 500 | 0.3 |      |     | 1, 7 |
| Zrmanja (HR)    | 900 | 1.39|      |     | 8    |
| Krka (HR)       | 2200| 2.01|      |     | 7, 8 |
| Cetina (HR)     | 1500| 1.31|      |     | 7, 8 |
| Neretva (HR)    | 13000| 12 | 13.6 | 5.25| 2, 7 |
| Buna (AL)       | 5200| 10.1| 2.5  |     | 2, 7 |
| Drin (AL)       | 19582| 21.44| 21 |     | 2, 1, 7 |
| Mati (AL)       | 2300| 3.4 | 2.6  |     | 1, 7 |
| Ishem (AL)      | 670 | 0.66| 2.0  |     | 2, 7 |
| Erzen (AL)      | 760 | 0.57| 3.2  |     | 2, 7 |
| Shkumbin (AL)   | 2444| 1.94| 7.2  |     | 1, 2, 7 |
| Semani (AL)     | 5649| 3.02| 24   |     | 2, 7 |
| Osum (AL)       | 2000| 5.6 |      |     | 1    |
| Vijose (Aoos)(AL)| 6800| 5.5 | 29   |     | 2, 7 |

AEG

| River (country) | A   | Q     | SSL  | DL     | Ref. |
|-----------------|-----|-------|------|--------|------|
| Asopos (GR)     | 1100| 0.7   |      |        | 21   |
| Sperchios (GR)  | 1662| 1.14/0.4|     | 2, 3, 22 |
| Pinios Th (GR)  | 10850| 3.8/2.4|     | 2, 3, 22 |
| Aliakmon (GR)   | 9455| 3.6/2.3| 4.35 | 1.21  | 2.3, 1, 22 |
| Axios (Vardar) (GR)| 24398| 5.3/7.7| 11.0 | 1.7   | 2, 1, 10, 22 |
| Galikos (GR)    | 930 | 0.79  | 0.004|       | 3, 2, 1 |
| Strymon (GR)    | 16816| 5.2/2.8| 4.0 | 1.5   | 3.2, 1, 22 |
| Nestos (Mesta) (GR)| 6213| 3.5 | 0.99 | 0.78  | 3, 2, 1 |
| Evros (Meric) (GR-TR)| 53025| 8.12| 8.5  | 2.6   | 11, 1, 22, 23 |
| Karamenderes (TR)| 1569.4| 0.42| 0.08 |       | 1, 7 |
| Bakir / Bakircay (TR)| 3400| 0.56| 0.17 |       | 1, 7 |
| Cezir-Nehri (TR)| 18000| 2.3 | 1.30 | 3.7   | 1, 7 |
| Kujuk Menderes (TR)| 6900| 1.00| 0.60 |       | 1.2, 7 |
| Buyukmenderes (TR)| 25000| 4.7 | 0.78 | 3.4   | 1.2, 7 |

MAR

| River (country) | A   | Q    | SSL  | DL     |
|-----------------|-----|------|------|--------|
| Gonen (TR)      | 1451| 0.46 | 0.15 | 16, 17 |
| Biga (TR)       | 2096| 0.6  | 0.09 | 16, 17 |
| Simav (TR)      | 23765| 4.55| 0.88 | 1.2   | 16, 17 |
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### LEV_N

| River (country)       | A    | Q    | SSL  | DL   | Ref. |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Dalaman (TR)          | 4481 | 1.52 | 0.75 |      | 2    |
| Esen (TR)             | 2458 | 1.32 | 2.26 |      | 2    |
| Aksu (TR)             | 1579 | 0.96 | 0.23 |      | 2    |
| Kopru (Köprücay)(TR)  | 1974 | 2.67 | 0.47 |      | 2    |
| Manavgat (TR)         | 1300 | 4.1  | 0.28 | 0.9  | 1, 2, 7 |
| Goksu (TR)            | 10561| 3.9  | 2.50 |      | 2, 1, 7 |
| Lamas (TR)            | 2200 | 0.154| 0.22 |      | 18, 7 |
| Tarsus (TR)           | 1400 | 0.1  | 0.13 |      | 1, 2 |
| Seyhan (TR)           | 22000| 8.0  | 5.20 | 1.3  | 1, 7 |
| Efrenk (Muftu) (TR)   | 480  | 0.16 |      |      | 19   |
| Ceyhan(TR)            | 21000| 7.0  | 5.50 | 2.0  | 1, 7 |
| Asi (Orontes)(TR)     | 23000| 2.7  | 19.0 | 1.0  | 1    |
| Serrachis (CY)        | 735  | 0.04 |      |      | 12, 1 |
| Pedairos (CY)         | 870  | 0.024|      |      | 12   |
| Gialias (CY)          | 600  | 0.018|      |      | 12   |
| Kouris (CY)           | 340  | 0.02 |      |      | 12   |
| Diarizos (CY)         | 280  | 0.016|      |      | 12   |
| Xeros (CY)            | 255  | 0.014|      |      | 12   |
| Ezousas (CY)          | 250  | 0.013|      |      | 12   |
| Litani (LB)           | 2500 | 0.125|      |      | 13   |

### LEV_S

| Qishon (IL)           | 1100 | 0.06 |      |      | 7, 14 |
| Yarcon (IL)           | 1800 | 0.2  |      |      | 1    |
| Lachish (IL)          | 1000 | 0.013|      |      | 14, 1 |
| Quma (IL)             | 800  | 0.04 |      |      | 1    |
| Besor (IL)            | 3700 | 0.01 |      |      | 1    |
| Nile (EG)             | 2880000| 90.0 | 120  | 6.1  | 1    |

### BLA_W

| Rezovska (BG)         | 183.4 | 0.025|      |      | 15   |
| Veleka (BG)           | 995   | 0.296| 0.078|      | 15   |
| Ropotamo (BG)         | 248.7 | 0.037| 0.024|      | 15   |
| Khadjiska (BG)        | 355.8 | 1.53 | 0.046| 0.048| 15   |
| Dvoinitsa (BG)        | 478.8 | 0.065| 0.045|      | 15   |
| Kamchea (BG)          | 5358  | 0.61 | 1.12 | 0.87 | 15   |
| Batova (BG)           | 338.8 | 0.023| 0.048| 0.023| 15   |
| Danube (RO)           | 817000| 200.0| 87.8 | 80.0 | 15   |
| Dniester (UA)         | 72100 | 10.2 | 2.5  | 6.1  | 15   |
| South Bug (UA)        | 63700 | 2.2  | 0.2  |      | 15   |
| Ingul (UA)            | 9700  | 0.6  | 0.126|      | 15   |
| Dnieper (UA)          | 516300| 53.0 | 2.1  | 15.0 | 15   |
| Alma (UA)             | 633   | 0.044| 0.04 |      | 15   |
| Salhir (RU/UA)        | 3750  | 0.063|      |      | 15   |
| Kokozka (RU/UA)       | 840   | 0.037| 0.026|      | 15   |
| Belbek (RU/UA)        | 270   | 0.068| 0.032|      | 15   |
| Bolamani (TR)         | 1063  | 0.57 | 0.77 |      | 15   |
| Yeshil Irmak (TR)     | 36100 | 5.3  | 12.5 | 1.0  | 15   |
| Kizil Irmak (TR)      | 78600 | 5.9  | 16.7 | 5.5  | 15   |
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| River (country) | A   | Q   | SSL | DL  | Ref. |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Kure (Inebolu) (TR) | 425 | 0.13 |     |     | 15   |
| Kojachai (Devrekani) (TR) | 2254 | 0.22 |     |     | 15   |
| Filios (TR) | 13100 | 2.9 | 3.7 |     | 15   |
| Melen cayi (TR) | 2174 | 1.51 |     |     | 15   |
| Sakaria (TR) | 56500 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 15   |
| Sarisu deresi (TR) | 2000 | 0.4 | 0.12 |     | 15   |

AZOV

| River (country) | A   | Q   | SSL | DL  | Ref. |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Don (RU) | 442500 | 28.0 | 7.75 | 12.0 | 15 |
| Kuban (RU) | 63500 | 12.8 | 8.4 |     | 15 |
| Salhir (RU) | 3750 | 0.63 |     |     | 24 |

BLA_E

| River (country) | A   | Q   | SSL | DL  | Ref. |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Pshada (RU) | 360 | 0.31 | 0.057 |     | 15 |
| Vulan (RU) | 280 | 0.2 | 0.059 |     | 15 |
| Shapsuiko (RU) | 300 | 0.222 | 0.113 |     | 15 |
| Tuapse (RU) | 350 | 0.404 | 0.111 |     | 15 |
| Ashe (RU) | 280 | 0.39 | 0.057 |     | 15 |
| Psesuapse (RU) | 290 | 0.486 | 0.091 |     | 15 |
| Shakhe (RU) | 550 | 1.161 | 0.211 |     | 15 |
| Sochi (RU) | 300 | 0.508 | 0.101 |     | 15 |
| Mzymta (GE) | 885 | 1.562 | 0.258 |     | 15 |
| Psou (GE) | 420 | 0.606 | 0.158 |     | 15 |
| Bzyb (GE) | 1510 | 3.79 | 0.767 | 0.23 | 15 |
| Aapsta (GE) | 250 | 0.341 | 0.038 |     | 15 |
| Gumista (GE) | 580 | 1.051 | 0.264 |     | 15 |
| Kodori (GE) | 2030 | 4.17 | 1.295 | 0.39 | 15 |
| Mokva (GE) | 336 | 0.571 | 0.047 |     | 15 |
| Galidzga (GE) | 483 | 0.928 | 0.095 |     | 15 |
| Okumri (GE) | 265 | 0.458 | 0.034 |     | 15 |
| Inguri (GE) | 4060 | 5.207 | 2.7 | 0.51 | 15 |
| Khobi (GE) | 1340 | 1.895 | 0.221 |     | 15 |
| Rioni (GE) | 13400 | 9.62 | 3.39 | 2.8 | 15 |
| Supsa (GE) | 1130 | 1.581 | 0.246 |     | 15 |
| Natanebi (GE) | 657 | 0.773 | 0.156 |     | 15 |
| Knitrisi (GE) | 291 | 0.527 | 0.022 |     | 15 |
| Chorokhi (Geruhi) (GE) | 22100 | 8.71 | 8.44 |     | 15 |
| Firtina (TR) | 1149 | 0.9 | 0.075 |     | 15 |
| Iyider (TR) | 1047 | 0.895 | 0.125 |     | 15 |
| Deginir menderes (TR) | 730 | 0.377 | 0.132 |     | 15 |
| Kharshit (TR) | 3500 | 1.1 | 0.51 |     | 15 |
| Meleit cayi (TR) | 1024.4 | 0.34 | 0.190 |     | 15 |

References. 1: Milliman & Farnsworth (2013) and references herein; 2: Poulos & Collins (2002) and references herein; 3: Poulos et al. (1996) and references herein; 4: Estrela, Quintas & Alvarez (1997); 5: Pratt & Riardevall (2006); 6: Sabater et al. (1995); 7: UNEP/MAP/ME-DI POL (2003); 8: United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (1999); 9: Selenica (2001); 10: Medhyco (2001); 11: Skoulakis & Kondylakis (1997); 12: Ministry of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Environment (MoA) (2005); 13: Anery (1993); 14: Kamizoulis (1997); Jaoshvili (2002) and references here in; Algan (2006); Okay & Ergin (2005); 18: Eurosion (2004); 19: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Efrenk_River#cite_note-1; 20: Skoulakis (2009); 21: Therianos (1974); 22: Skoulakis, (2018); 23: Karditsa & Poulos (2013); 24: Wikipedia; Cidu et al. (2007); 25: https://it.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platani].

Country’s abbreviation: Albania (AL), Algeria (DZ), Bulgaria (BG), Croatia/Hrvatska (HR), Cyprus (CY), Egypt (EG), France (FR), Georgia (GE), Greece (GR), Israel (IL), Italy (IT), Lebanon (LB), Morocco (MA), Romania (RO), Russian Federation (RU), Spain (ES), Tunisia (TN), Turkey (TR), Ukraine (UA), Israel (IL).