Role of RANKL/RANK in primary and secondary breast cancer
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Abstract

Bone is one of the most preferential metastatic target sites of breast cancer. Bone possesses unique biological microenvironments in which various growth factors are stored and continuously released through osteoclastic bone resorption, providing fertile soil for circulating breast cancer cells. Bone-disseminated breast cancer cells in turn produce osteotropic cytokines which modulate bone environments. Under the influences of breast cancer-produced cytokines, osteoblasts express elevated levels of Ligand for receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANKL) and stimulate osteoclastogenesis via binding to the receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK) and activating its downstream signaling pathways in hematopoietic osteoclast precursors, which causes further osteoclastic bone destruction. Establishment of crosstalk with bone microenvironments (so called vicious cycle) is an essential event for metastatic breast cancer cells to develop bone metastasis. RANKL and RANK play a central role in this crosstalk. Moreover, recent studies have demonstrated that RANKL and RANK are involved in tumorigenesis and distant metastasis independent of bone microenvironments. Pharmacological disruption of the RANKL/RANK interplay should be an effective therapeutic intervention for primary breast tumors and bone and non-bone metastasis. In this context, denosumab, which is neutralizing monoclonal antibody against RANKL, is a mechanism-based drug for the treatment of bone metastases and would be beneficial for breast cancer patients with bone metastases and potentially visceral organ metastases.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to dramatic advancement of cumulative anti-cancer treatments, surgeons and medical oncologists are confidently able to control primary tumors these days. In contrast, however, metastasis to distant organs is still uncontrollable and has been one of the primary causes of increased mortality and morbidity in cancer patients. Hence, control of distant organ metastasis is very important and an ultimate goal in the treatment and management of cancer patients.

Breast cancer frequently spreads to bone as well as lung, liver and brain[10]. Notably, bone metastases are not readily detected, because they are asymptomatic until patients complain bone pain in most cases. Furthermore,
it usually develops at later stages of illness and many
cancer patients die before bone metastases are clinically
detected and become problematic. However, over the
last a decade bone metastasis has come under the spot-
light since it causes many problems in the management
of cancer patients who survive long enough to develop
bone metastases due to improved anti-cancer therapies.
Metastases to bone per se do not directly affect survival
of cancer patients but virtually worsen quality of life in
these patients by causing devastating bone pain and skele-
tal-related events (SREs) including pathological fractures,
spinal compression and hypercalcemia, which indirectly
lead to earlier death[6,7]. Furthermore, treatments of bone
metastases significantly increase medical care cost[8]. Of
note, there is increased frequency of bone metastases
in breast, lung and prostate cancer of which incidence
is sharply rising in developed countries. It is, therefore,
evident that control of bone metastasis will be critical to
properly manage cancer patients under good quality of
life and also reduce healthcare costs.

In this article, mechanism of bone metastasis in
breast cancer is overviewed at cellular and molecular lev-
wes with a special focus on the role of ligand for receptor
activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANKL) and receptor ac-
tivator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK). Furthermore, the
pharmacological actions and clinical benefits of the anti-
RANKL neutralizing monoclonal antibody denosumab
management of bone metastasis in breast cancer are reviewed and discussed.

**CANCER METASTASIS TO DISTANT ORGANS**

There are multiple biological steps in distant metastasis of cancer[3]. However, it can be divided into two broad processes from the view point of organ-selective metas-
tasis, namely before and after cancer cells arrest in target organs (Figure 1). Before cancer cells reach distant target organs, they grow and invade into the surrounding tissues at primary site, induce angiogenesis to support primary tumor development and enter the circulation (intravasation), escape from host immune cell attack by forming cell aggregates and migrate to their target organ. Cancer cells migrating in the circulation are called circulating cell aggregates and migrate to their target organ. Cancer

**RANKL EXPRESSION AND VICIOUS CYCLE IN BONE ENVIRONMENTS**

Bone is a storehouse of growth factors such as insulin-
like growth factors (IGF), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), fibroblast growth factors, platelet-derived
growth factors and bone morphogenetic proteins[8].

Inhibition of bone metastasis by osteoprotegerin (OPG),
in the development and progression of bone metastases.

Bone is a storehouse of growth factors such as insulin-
like growth factors (IGF), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), fibroblast growth factors, platelet-derived
growth factors and bone morphogenetic proteins[8].

Hence, dissection of bone environments at cellular and
molecular levels in the context of cancer cell colonization
is important to understand the mechanism of bone me-
tastasis in breast cancer.

Bone is a storehouse of growth factors such as insulin-
like growth factors (IGF), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), fibroblast growth factors, platelet-derived
growth factors and bone morphogenetic proteins[8]. These growth factors are continually released into the
bone marrow cavity via osteoclastic bone resorption dur-
ing physiological bone remodeling (Figure 2). Thus bone is fertile soil for metastatic cancer cells to colonize. In this
regard, bone represents the organ that exteriorized the
concept of “Seed and Soil” theory proposed by Paget[9]
more than 120 years ago. Bone-derived IGF is shown to
promote proliferation and suppress apoptosis in breast
cancer cells by activating Akt/NF-κB pathway[10].

Of note, these osteoclast-activating cytokines do not
directly activate osteoclasts but they first bind and activate osteoblasts/stromal cells which express the receptors for these cytokines[11]. These cytokines up-regulate the produc-
tion of RANKL that is a potent stimulator of osteo-
clast differentiation, activation and survival[10,11]. RANKL
then interacts with its receptor RANK expressed in the
hematopoietic osteoclast precursors and promotes osteo-
clastogenesis and bone resorption by mature osteoclasts
(Figure 2). Thus the partnership between RANKL on osteoblasts and RANK on the hematopoietic osteoclast
precursors plays a central role in the establishment and
acceleration of the vicious cycle that is the driving force
in the development and progression of bone metastases.

Inhibition of bone metastasis by osteoprotegerin (OPG),
a natural antagonist of RANKL, in preclinical models[12]
verifies the importance of RANKL/RANK interplay in bone metastasis. Accordingly, design of pharmacologi-
cal agents that interrupt the vicious cycle by targeting
RANKL/RANK interplay would be a promising ap-
proach to effectively and selectively treat bone metastases
in breast cancer patients.
RANKL/RANK AND MAMMARY GLAND MORPHOGENESIS

Mice deficient in RANKL or RANK exhibited disturbed mammary gland morphogenesis due to decreased differentiation and proliferation and increased apoptosis in mammary epithelial cells during lactation [21]. Later it was shown that RANKL [22] and RANK [23] were virtually involved in ductal side-branching, alveolar differentiation and lumen formation in mammary gland. Furthermore, RANKL was found to stimulate cell proliferation and suppressed apoptosis via inhibition of NF-κB kinase alpha (IKKα)-cyclin D1 signaling and Id2-p21 signaling in mammary epithelial cells [24,25]. Of note, prolactin- or progesterone-receptor null mice displayed identical mammary gland phenotype to RANKL- or RANK-deficient mice [26,27] and prolactin or progesterone stimulated RANKL expression at transcriptional levels in mammary epithelial cells. These results suggest that prolactin and progesterone promote mammary gland morphogenesis through transcriptionally up-regulating the expression of RANKL, which subsequently stimulates cell proliferation and inhibits apoptosis in mammary epithelial cells. Progesterone-RANKL-RANK axis plays an important role in lactating mammary gland morphogenesis in physiological condition.

RANKL/RANK AND BREAST CANCER

Recent clinical studies demonstrated that progestin-containing hormone replacement therapy and contraceptives were significantly associated with increased incidence of breast cancer [28], suggesting the link between progesterone and breast cancer risk. Progesterone is shown to stimulate the proliferation of mammary stem cells [25,29], which possess the potential to transform preneoplastic cells. Of note, however, these mammary stem cells lack progesterone receptors, suggesting that the growth-stimulatory effects of progesterone are indirect and likely mediated by other neighboring molecules of which production is stimulated by progesterone. Administration of medroxyprogesterone acetate caused elevated induction of RANKL expression in mammary epithelial cells and RANKL promoted proliferation and inhibited apoptosis in mammary epithelial cells and mammary stem cells [26,27]. In addition, RANK is recently found to promote mammary tumorigenesis involving epithelial-mesenchymal transition [30]. Conversely, suppression of RANKL expression in mammary epithelial cells and mammary stem cells blocked progesterone-induced mammary morphogenesis and tumorigenesis, respectively. Together these results strongly suggest that progesterone-RANKL-RANK axis plays a critical role in the initiation of mammary tumors in an autocrine manner. Disruption of this axis could be a novel approach for the treatment and prevention of mammary gland tumor and carcinogenesis, respectively [25].

Of interest, Tan et al [31] have reported that CD4+ CD25+ FOXP3+ regulatory T lymphocytes infiltrating into mammary tumors produce elevated amounts of RANKL.
which then stimulates mammary tumor cell proliferation and protects from apoptosis in a paracrine manner, leading to increased tumor burden and pulmonary metastases. Earlier studies have reported that the regulatory T cells, which negatively regulate tumor immunity, accumulate in the bone marrow cavity in patients with prostate cancer with bone metastases and multiple myeloma. Thus, the paracrine regulation by regulatory T cell-derived RANKL may reverse anti-tumor immunity and could be a unique therapeutic intervention for breast cancer patients with bone metastasis.

Substantial cases of primary human breast tumors expressed RANK and RANKL. Of interest, RANKL expression was increased in breast cancers in young women. Furthermore, RANKL expression levels are also well-correlated with the number of mammary stem cells. These results raise the possibility that breast cancers in premenopausal young women are more aggressive than those in postmenopausal old women. Further studies in clinical settings are required to prove this important notion. However, if this turns out to be the case, disruption of RANKL-RANK interplay would be more appropriate and effective in premenopausal breast cancer patients.

Inhibition of RANKL/RANK interplay using OPG-Fc combined with tamoxifen is shown to enhance antitumor immunity, leading to a reduction in cancer colonization in bone and bone destruction in a preclinical animal model of estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. Combination of RANKL/RANK inhibitors with anti-cancer agents appears to be a practical therapeutic approach for breast cancer patients and its efficacy needs to be evaluated in clinical settings.

RANKL/RANK AND BREAST CANCER

METASTASIS TO BONE

Circulating RANKL and OPG are proposed to be predicting biomarkers of bone metastases in breast cancer patients, although whether non-membrane-bound soluble RANKL is present at substantial levels in circulation in breast cancer patients is still controversial. Similarly, immunohistochemical examination of clinical samples showed that RANKL expression was elevated in breast, lung, prostate and thyroid cancers that metastasized to bone compared to those at primary site. Moreover,
there was a positive correlation between RANK expression levels in primary breast tumors and frequency of bone metastasis and poor survival[40]. On the other hand, survival of patients with breast cancers with increased expression of OPG, which disrupts RANKL-RANK interplay, is found to be prolonged[42]. Thus, RANKL/ RANK/OPG expression ratio in tumors could be a diagnostic indicator for the subsequent occurrence of bone metastasis in the clinical course of breast cancer patients. The mechanism of increased frequency of skeletal metastasis in breast cancers that showed elevated RANKL or RANK expression is unknown. These breast cancers may be chemotracted to bone by RANKL or RANKL expressed in resident cells in bone marrow.

RANKL/RANK AND EPITHELIAL-MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION IN BREAST CANCER

Cancer cells are genetically unstable[43]. They readily change their biological phenotype and acquire new malignant capacities according to the environments to which they are exposed[44]. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a process in which the epithelial-like cancer cells change their cell shape to mesenchymal fibroid morphology, accompanying with increased aggressiveness including mobility, invasiveness, distant metastasis and resistance to chemotherapy[45]. Since bone is a preferential target site of metastasis for breast cancer, it is plausible to reason that breast cancer cells show EMT when they arrest in and colonize bone. We found that mRNA expression of Snail, a well-recognized mesenchymal marker[46], was markedly increased in breast cancer in bone compared with that at orthotopic mammary fat pad in mice, while E-cadherin, a representative epithelial marker, was profoundly decreased in these cells in bone. Snail is a transcription repressor and known to inhibit E-cadherin expression. These results suggest that bone environments cause EMT in breast cancer cells metastasized in bone. Subsequent in vitro experiments to examine which constituent of bone environments promotes EMT in breast cancer cells showed that TGFβ, which is stored in bone and continually released in active forms from bone by bone resorption[47], promoted EMT. TGFβ is a powerful stimulator of EMT[48]. Of note, we found that OPG inhibited TGFβ-stimulated EMT, suggesting an involvement of RANKL and RANK interplay. Consistent with this result, RANKL also stimulated EMT via up-regulating Snail expression in breast cancer cells. These results suggest that RANKL produced in breast cancer cells mediates TGFβ-promoted EMT in an autocrine manner. They also suggest that intrinsic expression of RANK in breast cancer cells may exacerbate their malignant behaviors once these breast cancer cells arrest in bone in which RANKL is abundantly available in autocrine and paracrine fashion. It is therefore expected that suppression of RANKL actions in bone not only inhibits bone metastases but also consequent acquisition of aggressive autonomous behaviors of breast cancer. Consistent with our results, Palafox et al[24] recently have reported that RANK induces EMT in human mammary epithelial cells and promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis. Study on the role of RANKL/RANK in EMT in breast cancer in bone may allow us to design novel therapeutic approaches.

RANKL/RANK AND BONE CANCER PAIN

Bone pain is one of the major complications that seriously affect quality of life in cancer patients with bone metastases[41]. More than 70% of cancer patients with bone metastases suffer from devastating bone pain. Although the precise mechanism of bone pain is still unclear, the long-standing clinical observations that specific inhibitors of osteoclastic bone resorption bisphosphonates (BPs) reduce bone pain[49] suggest a potential role of osteoclasts, which play a central role in osteolytic bone metastases. Osteoclasts dissolve bone minerals by releasing protons through the vacuolar type proton pump (V-H+ -ATPase)[50], thereby locally creating acidic microenvironments in bone. Acid is a well-known cause of pain[51]. Consistent with this notion, we reported that acidosis created by bone-resorbing osteoclasts is partially responsible for inflammation-induced bone pain[52-53]. The important role of osteoclasts in causing bone cancer pain is further supported by the finding that OPG reduced bone pain in animal models[54-56]. Furthermore, a recent clinical report describes that denosumab reduces bone pain due to cancer metastasis to bone[57]. These results support the notion that RANKL causes bone pain by activating osteoclastic bone resorption that creates acidic microenvironments by releasing protons. Blockade of RANKL/RANK interplay thus is a promising novel therapeutic intervention for bone pain in cancer patients with bone metastases.

DENOSUMAB IN BREAST CANCER PATIENTS WITH BONE METASTASIS

Denosumab is a fully human IgG2 monoclonal neutralizing antibody to RANKL[59]. Denosumab is administered via subcutaneous injection and because of its large molecular weight, denosumab is not excreted from kidney, and it is not metabolized in liver[59]. Different from bisphosphonates that inhibit bone resorption by inducing apoptosis in mature bone-resorbing osteoclasts, denosumab inhibits not only bone resorption by mature osteoclasts but also osteoclast formation from hematopoietic precursors and survival[60].

Phase II clinical study showed significant effectiveness and safety of denosumab in the treatment of breast cancer patients with bone metastases[60]. Body et al[61] described that denosumab was effective at blocking bone resorption and preventing SREs in breast and prostate cancer patients who had not responded adequately to earlier bisphosphonate therapy. These results provide
with useful information for the question as to whether denosumab should be a first line treatment to prevent SREs in cancer patients and if cancer patients who previously were refractory to bisphosphonate treatment can be treated with denosumab. Stopeck et al.\(^\text{[8]}\) reported that denosumab was significantly more effective than zoledronic acid at delaying the first onset of SRE in breast cancer patients with bone metastasis. Concise description about the results of these clinical studies on breast, prostate and lung cancers and multiple myeloma is reported elsewhere.\(^\text{[8]}\)

Regarding adverse effects, denosumab as well as bisphosphonates is associated with osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ). There was no difference in the incidence rate of ONJ between bisphosphonate- and denosumab-treated cancer patients with skeletal metastases in the randomized double-blind controlled trial.\(^\text{[9]}\) However, the incidence of hypocalcemia was significantly higher in cancer patients receiving denosumab than bisphosphonates.\(^\text{[10]}\) Cautious periodical monitoring of blood ionized calcium levels and supplementation of calcium and vitamin D are strongly recommended for cancer patients receiving denosumab.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Because large bodies of scientific information on bone microenvironments have been accumulated at cellular and molecular levels, steps associated with the development and advancement of bone metastasis are relatively well characterized. In particular, the importance of the interactions between metastatic cancer cells and bone cellular constituents including osteoclasts and osteoblasts is unique and specific for bone metastasis. RANKL and RANK are expressed in a variety of bone marrow-resident cells including osteoclasts/stromal cells, hematopoietic cells and immune cells at substantial levels and play primary and essential roles in mediating these interactions. Thus RANKL/RANK is a logic target in design of bone-modifying agents that inhibit bone metastasis by interrupting the vicious cycle.

In addition to the critical role in bone metastasis, early results obtained in RANKL- or RANK-deficient mice clearly demonstrated that RANKL and RANK were important in normal mammary gland morphogenesis during lactation. However, these findings did not attract intense interests until recently. Over the last several years, however, the importance of RANKL and RANK not only in normal mammary morphogenesis but also in the acquisition of aggressive neoplastic phenotype including promoted cell proliferation, resistance to apoptosis, carcinogenesis, tumorigenesis, suppressed tumor immunity and EMT have been revisited. Furthermore, involvement of RANKL/RANK in the development and advancement of tumors other than breast cancer is also revealed.\(^\text{[11,20]}\) These results raise the possibility that RANKL/RANK can be categorized as oncogene. Based on this concept, the bone-modifying agents including bisphosphonates and denosumab are able to inhibit cancer cell colonization in bone and secondary metastasis to distant organs from bone as well as bone metastasis. This direction of research may lead us to gain novel insights into cancer biology and ideas for the development of effective therapeutical approaches.
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