How I do it—the posterior question mark incision for decompressive hemicraniectomy
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Abstract
Background Decompressive hemicraniectomy (DHC) is a lifesaving procedure which every neurosurgeon should master early on. As indications for the procedure are growing, the number of patients eventually requiring skull reconstruction via cranioplasty also increases. The posterior question mark incision is a straightforward alternative to the classic trauma-flap and can easily be adopted. Some particularities exist one should consider beforehand and are discussed here in detail.

Methods Surgical steps, aids, and pitfalls are comprehensively discussed to prepare surgeons who wish to gain experience with this type of incision.

Conclusion Due to the lower complication rate after cranioplasty, the posterior question mark incision has superseded the traditional pre-auricular starting anterior question mark incisions, in our department for the performance of decompressive hemicraniectomies.
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Relevant surgical anatomy

The scalp is the only integument supplied solely by direct cutaneous arteries without contribution of deep perforators [5]. A rich and well-lined anastomotic network between the three main supplying externa carotid artery branches compensates for this shortcoming. Although transcalvarian supply of the skin via meningeal internal carotid artery (ICA) branches is described, its role remains negligible. Also, the anastomosis of the ophthalmic end branches of ICA with the facial artery do not play a major role under physiologic circumstances [4]. The trauma-flap or reversed question mark incision is the largest scalp flap dissected in routine neurosurgical practice. The incision requires sacrificing the post-auricular and sometimes at least part of the superficial temporal artery (STA). This leaves the facial artery and its terminal branches including ICA supported ophthalmic vessels, as the primary arterial supply to the flap. However, by placing the beginning of the incision behind the ear, the vascular pedicle is broadened significantly and the STA can be preserved in its entirety.

In our experience, the reduced vascular supply after the traditional anterior question mark incision does not contribute to increased complications after primary DHC surgery. Secondary cranioplasty is required not only for protective and cosmetic purposes, but also supports neurological recovery. This treatment is still plagued by a relative high complication rate [3]. In a recent analysis of 186 DCH patients, the infectious complications rate after secondary cranioplasty was reduced by 14.4% in patients operated via the posterior incision type [6]. We believe that for the healing of a large avascular bone flap, optimal arterial supply is insufficiently provided after the classical trauma-flap incision.

Relevant palpable bony anatomical landmarks are the external auditory canal, mastoid process, mastoid notch the incision, and widow’s peak depicted in Fig. 1.
Description of the technique

The severity of the underlying disease usually turns a DHC into an emergency procedure. In order to minimize time-loss and achieve optimal efficiency the whole operating room team, e.g., assistant, anesthesiologist, and scrub nurse need to be familiar with this “DHC drill.”

The patient is positioned supine with padding under the ipsilateral shoulder and pelvis to allow 90° rotation of the head without excessive torque on the cervical spine enabling optimal venous outflow. The head piece of the OR table can be moderately elevated to improve venous outflow, but not excessively to avoid downward traction on the cervical spine. We recommend a 3-point fixation in a Mayfield head holder or similar system for optimal skull immobilization during the craniotomy and to increase working space around the patient’s head. To save time in emergencies, in experienced hands, the incision can simply be marked with a clipper during shaving. The midline is identified at widow’s peak and hair removed one clippers width, along the midline in one smooth motion until reaching the inion. Here, the incision bends behind the ear to end at the level of the base of the mastoid, about two finger breadths posteriorly of the mastoid notch. Standard prep and draping techniques are used.

The incision should start behind the mastoid notch and is not to be carried below the tip of the mastoid process to avoid damaging the occipital artery. Just as when preserving the STA in a classical question mark incision, the initial cut should not be deeper than the hypodermic layer in which the occipital artery travels. In a second step, the occipital artery is identified by blunt spreading of tissue with scissors and preserved, after which the incision is driven deeper anterior from the vessel (Figs. 1 and 2).

The further steps do not deviate relevantly from a standard trauma-flap procedure. The skin flap is bluntly dissected, folded over and retracted with flexible hooks. Care is taken to incorporating the outer layer of the temporal fascia into the skin flap, not to damage frontal branches of the facial nerve. The temporal muscle is either dissected with monopolar cautery or a cobb elevator. Five burr holes are placed: frontal, parietal, key hole, posterior temporal squama, and lambdoid suture. After dural elevation, burr holes are connected with a craniotome. If proven too thick, the minor sphenoid wing can be thinned out with a cutting drill and broken (Figs. 3 and 4). Temporal squama is rongeured off till the level of the temporal base is reached from the sphenoid wing anteriorly to the petrosal bone. The dura can be incised in a stellated or steelled fashion. A rapid closure technique is applied without duraplasty [2, 7]. Usually, two non-suction drains are left behind in the epidural space. After subcutaneous closure, the skin is stapled and the wounds is betadine draped and dressed.

Indications

The spectrum of indications of this altered incision DHC is the same as a standard decompressive hemisecraniectomy. A...


Discussion of the indications for decompressive hemicraniectomy is beyond the scope of this technical report.

Limitations

There are three main drawbacks to this posterior type of skin incision. Firstly, downward folding of the skin flap is somewhat hampered by the ear. Depending on individual anatomy, this sometimes limits the exposure of the temporal bone in comparison to a classic trauma-flap. Care has to be taken not to dissect caudally at the level of the petrosal bone, in order not to damage the external auditory canal. However, as the anterior temporal bone is rongeured off, the somewhat limited outer exposure does not prevent optimal decompression of the temporal region. Secondly, if a completed 90° head rotation cannot be achieved, the post-auricular area can be harder to reach. If the patient is fixed in a pin head-holder and enough working space is provided, surgeon’s flexibility can compensate for this drawback. And finally, because the skin flap rotates downwards around a line parallel to the skull baseline and not ventrocaudally as in a classical trauma-flap, this reduces the downward working area. In reality, this is not a real drawback, just something to get used to.

How to avoid complications

The main complications after DHC are post-operative hemorrhages, surgical site infections, and general wound healing problems with or without CSF leakage [1]. Meticulous hemostasis with special attention to the temporobasal region and the inner face of the temporal muscle should be carried out. If damaged, the middle meningeal artery should be carefully coagulated to avoid large hematomas. Relieving pressure to the wound at the occiput during ICU stay is mandatory by providing padded specialized cushioning and frequently changes of the position of the head. Wounds and bony defect need daily inspection for signs of hematoma or infection.

Specific perioperative considerations

The urgent nature of the procedure precludes a regular pre-operative workup. However, time should be spent in evaluating coagulation tests and a deranged coagulation function should be corrected appropriately. In case of trauma, cervical imaging should rule out instability before rotation of the head, and the scalp has to be inspected for wounds which should be addressed during the procedure. Finally, special attention should be paid in identifying skull fractures on CT imaging, as this can complicate Mayfield placement, planning of the craniotomy, be indicative for dural tears or might have caused damage to venous sinuses, which can end in major venous bleeding.

Abbreviations

DHC, Decompressive hemicraniectomy; ICA, Internal carotid artery; STA, Superficial temporal artery
Supplementary Information  The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-021-04812-4.

Authors’ contributions  The design and conception of this report developed by MV and HC. The manuscript was drafted by MV. Illustrations were created by MV and Dirk Traufelder. The video material was provided by MG. The final manuscript was approved by all authors.

Funding  Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate  Not applicable

Conflict of interest  The authors have no personal, financial or institutional conflict of interest to declare.

Open Access  This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Gopalakrishnan MS, Shanbhag NC, Shukla DP, Konar SK, Bhat DI, Devi BI (2018) Complications of decompressive craniectomy. Front Neurol 9:977
2. Guresir E, Vatter H, Schuss P, Oszvald A, Raabe A, Seifert V, Beck J (2011) Rapid closure technique in decompressive craniectomy. J Neurosurg 114:954–960
3. Hamböck M, Hosmann A, Seemann R, Wolf H, Schachinger F, Hajdu S, Widhalm H (2020) The impact of implant material and patient age on the long-term outcome of secondary cranioplasty following decompressive craniectomy for severe traumatic brain injury. Acta Neurochir 162:745–753
4. Michalinos A, Zogana S, Kotsiomitis E, Mazarakis A, Troupis T (2015) Anatomy of the ophthalmic artery: a review concerning its modern surgical and clinical applications. Anat Res Int 2015:591961
5. Taylor GI, Palmer JH (1987) The vascular territories (angiosomes) of the body: experimental study and clinical applications. Br J Plast Surg 40:113–141
6. Veldeman M, Daleiden L, Hamou H, Höllig A, Clusmann H (2020) An altered posterior question-mark incision is associated with a reduced infection rate of cranioplasty after decompressive hemicraniectomy. J Neurosurg 24:1–9
7. Vieira E, Guimaraes TC, Faquini IV, Silva JL, Sabaia T, Andrade R, Gemir TL, Neri VC, Almeida NS, Azevedo-Filho HRC (2018) Randomized controlled study comparing 2 surgical techniques for decompressive craniectomy: with watertight duroplasty and without watertight duroplasty. J Neurosurg 129:1017–1023

Publisher’s note  Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Key Points
1. The posterior question mark incision is an easily adopted alternative to the classical trauma-flap, preserving a better scalp vascularization.
2. The incision should start behind the mastoid notch and not be carried more caudally to avoid damaging the occipital artery.
3. A more limited exposure of the temporal region has to be anticipated, but does not hamper appropriate temporal decompression.
4. Attention has to be paid not to damage the external auditory canal whilst dissecting the skin flap downward.
5. We prefer opening the dura in a U-shaped fashion to preserve a broad vascular pedicle.
6. Centrifugal tension-relief-cuts are placed in the dura at 3–4 cm distance apart.
7. The dura is only loosely adapted (rapid closure technique) without an expansion duroplasty.
8. Early cranioplasty (< 90 days) is planned and eventual shunt placement is always performed during a separate procedure.
9. Same care in preserving the occipital artery should be taken when performing the cranioplasty.
10. In our institution, the correct application of the technique resulted in a significant reduction of post-cranioplasty complications.