The E3 ubiquitin ligase Cbl-b improves the prognosis of RANK positive breast cancer patients by inhibiting RANKL-induced cell migration and metastasis
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ABSTRACT

The receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B ligand (RANKL)/RANK pathway plays an important role in breast cancer progression. Despite the known role of Casitas B-lineage lymphoma (Cbl)-b as an essential regulator of the RANKL/RANK pathway, its effect on RANK pathway in breast cancer remains unclear. Thus, the present study investigated the effect of Cbl-b on the prognosis of RANK-expressing breast cancer patients, as well as on RANKL/RANK pathway. The results showed that RANK and Cbl-b expression was separately detected in 154 (154/300, 51.3%) and 165 (165/300, 55.0%) breast cancer tissue samples. In RANK-expressing breast cancer patients, Cbl-b expression was correlated with low metastasis rate ($p = 0.004$), better disease-free survival (DFS) and breast cancer-specific survival (BCSS) ($p = 0.004$ and $p = 0.036$, respectively). In addition, multivariate analysis showed that Cbl-b expression was an independent predictor of DFS ($p = 0.038$). Animal experiment results demonstrated that silencing Cbl-b expression in breast cancer cells increased the incidence of lung metastasis in nude mice. Further mechanism investigation revealed that Cbl-b down-regulated RANK protein expression and inhibited RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration by negatively regulating the Src-Akt/ERK pathway. Our results suggest that Cbl-b improves the prognosis of RANK-expressing breast cancer patients by inhibiting RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration and metastasis.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women worldwide, and the occurrence of metastatic disease increases mortality and affects quality of life. Recent studies have shown that chemokine pathways play an important role in the development of distant metastasis from primary site. The receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B ligand (RANKL)/receptor activator of nuclear factor κ-B (RANK) pathway, which is essential for osteoclast maturation and activation, also functions as a chemokine-like pathway. The RANK protein is expressed in osteoclasts [1], dendritic cells [2], T cells [3] and mammary epithelial cells [4], and recently, its expression in some cancer cells, especially in breast cancer cells, has been demonstrated [5-8]. RANKL promotes breast cancer cell migration and induces metastasis of breast cancer cells to the bone and lungs in vitro and in xenograft experiments [6, 9, 10], suggesting that the RANK pathway plays a role in breast cancer progression. However, whether RANK could be used as a biomarker of breast cancer progression is controversial [5, 11-14]. RANKL expression was reported to be associated with a higher risk of relapse and death in breast cancer patients [11, 12]. However, in a different study, RANK mRNA expression was not associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer patients [13]. Another
study even reported that high levels of RANK or RANKL mRNA expression were correlated with better overall survival [14]. These contradictory reports indicate that the role of the RANK pathway in breast cancer metastasis is complex and further investigation is necessary to clarify its effect.

The RANKL/RANK pathway involves several effectors including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK), phosphoinositol 3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt, and nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT), and others. The expression of molecules downstream of the RANKL/RANK pathway, such as TRAF6, NF-κB and NFAT, is modulated by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) [15-19]. The UPS is a common and essential protein degradation pathway that regulates the stability and function of many proteins [20, 21]. Our previous study demonstrated that the UPS inhibitor bortezomib upregulates RANK expression and enhances RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration [22], suggesting that the UPS is a negative regulator of the RANK pathway. Casitas B-lineage lymphoma (Cbl)-b is an essential enzyme in the UPS and functions as multifunctional adaptor protein or E3 ubiquitin ligase. In a previous study from our group, we showed that Cbl-b is expressed in gastric cancer, colon cancer, and breast cancer cells [23]. Cbl-b functions as a negative regulator of several signaling molecules including PI3k/Akt, ERK, and NF-κB in various cell types [17, 23-26], which is downstream of the RANKL/RANK pathway. Cbl-b suppresses epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor-mediated epithelial cell migration [27], and promotes SDF-1/CXCL12-induced T cell migration [28]. However, the effect of Cbl-b on RANKL induced breast cancer cell migration is unclear, and whether it plays a role in the prognosis of RANK-expressing breast cancer patients remains to be elucidated.

In the present study, we demonstrated that Cbl-b expression was a predictor of favorable prognosis in RANK-expressing breast cancer patients. Cbl-b suppressed RANK expression and inhibited RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration and metastasis through the negative regulation of the Src/Akt and Src/ERK pathways. Our results provide new insight into the regulatory mechanism of RANKL/RANK pathway-mediated breast cancer cell migration, and suggest that combined analysis of Cbl-b and RANK as biomarkers could be useful for the characterization of breast cancer patients.

RESULTS

Cbl-b predicts better prognosis in RANK-expressing breast cancer patients

The prognostic value of RANK in breast cancer patients

A total of 300 histologically confirmed breast cancer samples were obtained, including invasive ductal carcinomas, invasive lobular carcinomas and carcinomas of another type. The median age of patients was 51 (range 26–76) years, and the median follow-up time was 84 (range 11–184) months. A total of 99 (33.0%) patients developed disease progression, and 68 (22.7%) patients died of disease progression. RANK expression was evident upon immunostaining (Figure 1A). Positive RANK expression was observed in 154 (51.3%) breast cancer tissue samples. The RANK-positive expression rate was significantly higher in the HER2-positive than in the HER2-negative population (p = 0.016, Supplementary Table S1, available online).

The prognostic value of RANK in breast cancer patients was examined by performing survival analyses. The results showed no differences in DFS (p = 0.538) and BCSS (p = 0.857) between RANK-positive and RANK-negative patients (Figure 1B). The fact that RANK expression was not correlated with patient prognosis was not in accordance with our expectations and indicated that other factors may affect the prognosis of RANK-expressing breast cancer patients.

The prognostic value of Cbl-b in RANK positive breast cancer patients

Since Cbl-b plays an essential role in the RANKL/RANK pathway in osteoclasts, next, we examined the prognostic value of Cbl-b in RANK-positive breast cancer patients. Positive Cbl-b expression was detected in 165 (55.0%) of 300 histologically confirmed breast cancer samples (Figure 2A) and in 107 (69.5%) of 154 RANK-positive breast cancer samples. In RANK positive breast cancer tissue samples, Cbl-b expression was significantly lower in patients who developed metastasis than in those that did not (p = 0.004, Table 1). The 47 metastatic patients included 35 patients with visceral metastasis, 12 patients with non-visceral metastasis, 22 patients with bone metastasis, and 25 patients with non-bone metastasis. Survival analysis of RANK-positive patients showed that positive Cbl-b expression was significantly associated with favorable prognosis in terms of DFS (Figure 2B) (p = 0.004) and BCSS (p = 0.036) (Figure 2C) compared to negative Cbl-b expression. The median DFS of Cbl-b negative and Cbl-b positive patients was 73 and 82 months, respectively (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.445, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.250–0.791). However,
Table 1: Correlation of Cbl-b expression with clinic-pathological parameters in 154 RANK positive breast cancer patients

| Clinic-pathological parameters                  | n  | Cbl-b expression† (%) | p       |
|------------------------------------------------|----|-----------------------|---------|
| Histological type                              |    |                       | 0.650   |
| invasive ductal carcinomas                     | 137 | 96(70.1)              |         |
| invasive lobular carcinoma and others          | 17  | 11(64.7)              |         |
| Age (years)                                    |    |                       | 0.495(exact) |
| ≤35                                            | 10  | 6(60.0)               |         |
| >35                                            | 144 | 101(70.1)             |         |
| Tumor size(cm)                                 |    |                       | 0.137   |
| ≤2                                             | 49  | 38(77.6)              |         |
| >2                                             | 105 | 60(65.7)              |         |
| N stage                                        |    |                       | 0.063   |
| 0                                              | 63  | 49(77.8)              |         |
| 1-3                                            | 91  | 58(63.7)              |         |
| Histology grade                                |    |                       | 0.064(exact) |
| I                                              | 14  | 13(92.9)              |         |
| II+III                                         | 123 | 82(66.7)              |         |
| ER/PR status††                                 |    |                       | 0.457   |
| Negative                                       | 74  | 49(66.2)              |         |
| Positive                                       | 78  | 56(71.8)              |         |
| Unknown                                        | 2   |                       |         |
| HER2 status§                                   |    |                       | 0.985   |
| Negative                                       | 88  | 62(70.5)              |         |
| Positive                                       | 64  | 45(70.3)              |         |
| Unknown                                        | 2   |                       |         |
| Triple negative                                |    |                       | 0.281   |
| no                                             | 116 | 83(71.6)              |         |
| yes                                            | 31  | 23(62.2)              |         |
| Unknown                                        | 1   |                       |         |
| Metastasis                                     |    |                       | 0.004   |
| no                                             | 107 | 82(76.6)              |         |
| yes                                            | 47  | 25(53.2)              |         |

*P* values shown in bold are statistically significant (two-sided, *p* < 0.05)
†, Cbl-b expression was classified as “negative”: arbitrary scale=0-1, and “positive”: arbitrary scale= 2-6, as described in materials and methods. ††, ER/PR status: Estrogen receptor or progesterone receptor status by immunohistochemistry (IHC); negative: ER and PR double negative; positive: ER or PR positive. §, HER2 status: HER2 positive status is IHC 3+ or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) positive; HER2 negative status is IHC 0, 1+ or FISH negative, if IHC 2+, FISH is applied to confirm the HER2 status.

In RANK negative patients, no difference in DFS (*p* = 0.555) (Figure 2D) or BCSS (*p* = 0.856) (Figure 2E) was observed between Cbl-b positive and negative patients.

Furthermore, Univariate analysis of the 154 RANK-positive patients showed that negative Cbl-b expression, age <35 years, tumor size >2 cm and lymph node metastasis predicted poor DFS and BCSS. Multivariate analysis showed that Cbl-b expression or age <35 years was an independent predictor for DFS, and age <35 years or tumor size >2 cm was an independent predictor for
Taken together, these data indicated that Cbl-b inhibited the incidence of distant metastasis and Cbl-b expression was a favorable predictor of prognosis in RANK-positive breast cancer patients.

Cbl-b inhibits RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration and metastasis

To explore the mechanism underlying the protective effect of Cbl-b against metastasis in RANK-expressing breast cancer, we performed functional studies. Previous studies from others group and ours [6, 29] showed that RANKL promotes the migration of breast cancer cells; therefore, we explored the role of Cbl-b in RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration. Flow cytometry analysis showed that RANK was expressed on the cell surface of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells (Figure 3A). RANKL promoted the migration of breast cancer cells, and RANKL-induced cell migration was blocked with OPG (decoy receptor of RANKL) (Figure 3B). In a preliminary study, we showed that the breast cancer multi-drug resistance (MDR) cell line MCF-7/ADR, which is derived from the MCF-7 cell line by selection for growth in increasing concentrations of adriamycin, expresses lower levels of Cbl-b (Figure 3C) than MCF-7 cells. Additionally, Transwell migration assays showed that the

![Figure 1: Representative images of RANK immunohistochemical staining in breast cancer tissues and the prognostic value of RANK in breast cancer patients.](image)

A. Representative images of RANK immunohistochemical staining of breast cancer tissues. Negative control and positive staining is shown in breast cancer tissues. RANK positive staining is observed in the cell membrane and cytoplasm (brown). Magnification ×400.

B. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for disease-free survival (DFS) and breast cancer-specific survival (BCSS) in RANK-negative patients (n = 146) and RANK-positive patients (n = 154).
Figure 2: Representative images of Cbl-b immunohistochemical staining in breast cancer and the correlation between Cbl-b expression and patient survival in RANK positive breast cancer patients. A. Representative images of Cbl-b immunohistochemical staining in breast cancer tissues. Negative control and positive staining is shown in breast cancer tissues. Cbl-b positive staining is observed in the cytoplasm (brown). Magnification × 400. B.-E. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for DFS B. and BCSS C. in RANK positive patients (n = 154) including Cbl-b negative patients (n = 47) and Cbl-b positive patients (n = 107). In RANK negative patients (n = 146), no significant differences in DFS D. and BCSS E. were observed between Cbl-b negative patients (n = 88) and Cbl-b positive patients (n = 58).
The migration rate of RANKL-induced MCF-7/ADR cells was significantly higher than that of MCF-7 cells (Figure 3D). To further evaluate the effect of Cbl-b on the RANKL/RANK pathway, we established MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA cell lines. Meanwhile, we also established Cbl-b overexpression cell lines by transfecting MCF-7 cells with pcDNA3.1 plasmid (Figure 3E, Supplementary Figure S1, available online). Transwell migration assays showed that the migration rate of Cbl-b shRNA clones in response to RANKL stimulation was significantly higher than that of non-silencing controls (Figure 3F). On the contrary, the migration rate of Cbl-b overexpression clones in response to RANKL stimulation was significantly lower than that of controls (Supplementary Figure S1).

To evaluate the in vivo effects of Cbl-b depletion on metastasis, MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA cells or non-silencing control cells were injected into lateral tail vein of female nude mice separately or combined with OPG or PBS, and mice were killed 8 weeks later. Immunohistochemical analysis showed that mice treated with Cbl-b shRNA cells had a markedly higher rate of development of lung metastatic foci than those treated with non-silencing control cells (Figure 4A and 4B). These results indicated that Cbl-b negatively regulates RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration and inhibits RANKL-induced metastasis of breast cancer.

### Table 2: Cox univariate and multivariate analysis of disease-free survival (A) and disease-specific survival (B) in RANK positive breast cancer patients ($n = 154$)

| Risk factors                                    | Univariate analysis |         |         |          |         |          |         |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
|                                                  | HR (95% CI)         | p       | HR(95% CI) | p       |
| **A  Disease-free survival**                     |                     |         |          |          |
| Cbl-b positive versus negative                   | 0.445 (0.250-0.791) | 0.006   | 0.539 (0.301-0.966) | 0.038   |
| Age ≤35 years versus >35 years                   | 2.911 (1.232-6.882) | 0.015   | 2.381 (1.004-5.649) | 0.049   |
| Tumor size >2 cm versus ≤2 cm                    | 2.919 (1.307-6.518) | 0.009   | 2.198 (0.967-4.999) | 0.060   |
| Lymph node metastasis positive versus negative   | 2.682 (1.364-5.272) | 0.004   | 1.988 (0.992-3.984) | 0.053   |
| Histology grade 2-3 versus 1                     | 2.894 (0.699-11.75) | 0.143   |         |          |
| ER/PR positive versus negative                   | 0.920 (0.519-1.631) | 0.776   |         |          |
| HER2 positive versus negative                    | 1.357 (0.765-2.408) | 0.297   |         |          |
| **B  Breast cancer-specific survival**           |                     |         |          |          |
| Cbl-b positive versus negative                   | 0.492 (0.249-0.969) | 0.040   | 0.631 (0.317-1.256) | 0.190   |
| Age ≤35 years versus >35 years                   | 4.647 (1.899-11.376) | 0.001   | 4.035 (1.643-9.908) | 0.002   |
| Tumor size >2 cm versus ≤2 cm                    | 3.839 (1.352-10.905) | 0.012   | 2.997 (1.039-8.642) | 0.042   |
| Lymph node metastasis positive versus negative   | 2.998 (1.305-6.888) | 0.010   | 2.125 (0.909-4.967) | 0.082   |
| Histology grade 2-3 versus 1                     | 2.123 (0.506-8.904) | 0.304   |         |          |
| ER/PR positive versus negative                   | 0.994 (0.534-2.044) | 0.905   |         |          |
| HER2 positive versus negative                    | 1.550 (0.791-3.036) | 0.202   |         |          |

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. $p$ values shown in bold are statistically significant (Two-sided, $p < 0.05$).
Figure 3: Cbl-b negatively regulated RANKL induced breast cancer cell migration. A. RANK expression on the surface of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells was examined by flow cytometry. Isotype control staining is shown in grey. B. MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells were incubated with sRANKL (2 µg/ml) with or without rOPG (10 µg/ml), and in vitro migration activity was measured with the Transwell assay. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD. The data represent the results of at least three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 indicate significant differences compared with the control. C. Western blot analysis of Cbl-b protein levels in MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells. D. MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells were incubated with sRANKL (2 µg/ml) with or without rOPG (10 µg/ml), and in vitro migration activity was measured with the Transwell assay. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 indicate significant differences compared with the control. E. Western blot analysis showing the effect of shRNA against Cbl-b in MDA-MB-231 clones. F. MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA clones and control shRNA clones were incubated with sRANKL (2 µg/ml) with or without rOPG (10 µg/ml), and in vitro migration activity was measured with the Transwell assay. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 indicate significant differences compared with the control.
expression of Cbl-b enhanced the activation of c-Src, Akt and ERK1/2 induced by RANKL, while, over-expressing Cbl-b inhibited the activation of those signaling molecules (Supplementary Figure S1).

Similarly, as previously reported [29], c-Src lies upstream of ERK and Akt, which suggests that Cbl-b inhibited RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration via the c-Src/Akt and c-Src/ERK pathways. It should be mentioned that the expression of c-Src in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells was not affected by treatment with

Table 3: Correlation estimates between expression of p-ERK, P-Akt and RANK, investigated by Pearson’s correlation analysis

|       | RANK | p-ERK  | p-Akt  |
|-------|------|--------|--------|
| RANK  | 1    |        |        |
| p-ERK | 0.260** | 1      |        |
| p-Akt | 0.307** | 0.524** | 1      |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed).

**Figure 4: Cbl-b inhibited RANKL-induced metastasis of breast cancer. A. After injection of MDA-MB-231 control shRNA cells or Cbl-b shRNA cells, representative hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining of metastatic tumors and normal lung tissues are shown. Arrows show typical examples of lung metastases. Magnification × 100. B. Number of metastases in the lungs of mice (n=5 per group) 8 weeks after tail-vein injection of control shRNA or Cbl-b shRNA cells, with 20 mg of rOPG or an equal volume of PBS. Mean nodule values per lung are shown. Treatment details are given in the Methods section. Results are expressed as the mean ±SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 indicate significant differences compared with the control.
Figure 5: Cbl-b negatively regulated the RANKL/RANK pathway. A. MDA-MB-231 cells were incubated with sRANKL for the indicated time intervals, and p-c-Src/c-Src, p-Akt/Akt, p-ERK/ERK and β-actin (Actin) were examined by western blotting. B. MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA clones and control shRNA clones were treated with or without 2 µg/ml of sRANKL for the indicated times. The activation of c-Src, ERK and Akt was analyzed by western blotting. C. MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR were treated with or without 2 µg/ml of sRANKL for the indicated times. The activation of c-Src, ERK and Akt was analyzed by western blotting.
RANKL, suggesting that Cbl-b did not interact directly with c-Src as a ubiquitin ligase during the course of RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration.

Since receptor overexpression, such as EGFR, can result in sustained activation of downstream signaling [20], and we previously reported that the ubiquitin ligase inhibitor PS-341 enhances RANK expression in MDA-MB-231 cells, we examined the effect of Cbl-b on RANK expression. Flow cytometry analysis showed higher expression levels of RANK in MCF-7/ADR cells (low Cbl-b expression) than in MCF-7 cells. Similar results were obtained in MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA cells and MCF-7 Cbl-b shRNA cells compared to their controls (Figure 6A, Supplementary Figure S1). While, the RANK expression of Cbl-b overexpression clones decreased compared to controls (Supplementary Figure S1). These

---

**Figure 6: Cbl-b negatively regulated RANK expression by inhibiting p-Src, p-Akt, and p-ERK levels.**

A. RANK expression on the surface of MCF-7, MCF-7/ADR, MDA-MB-231Cbl-b shRNA clones and control shRNA clones was examined by flow cytometry; isotype control staining is shown in grey. B. After treatment with RANKL for the indicated times, RANK expression on the surface of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells was examined by flow cytometry. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD. Data represent the results of at least three independent experiments. C. Levels of Cbl-b, p-Src/Src, p-ERK/ERK, p-Akt/Akt and β-actin (Actin) in MCF-7, MCF-7/ADR, MDA-MB-231 control shRNA, and MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA cells were examined by western blotting. D. MDA-MB-231 control shRNA, and MDA-MB-231 Cbl-b shRNA cells were treated with or without PP2 (Src inhibitor, 10 μM), LY294002 (PI3K inhibitor, 25 μM), or PD98059 (ERK inhibitor, 20 μM) for 24 h, and RANK expression on the surface of cells was detected by flow cytometry. The black area indicates isotype control staining, the black lines indicate untreated experimental cells, and the grey lines indicate treated experimental cells.
results indicated that Cbl-b inhibited the expression of the RANK protein. In addition, no significant differences in RANK expression were observed between MDA-MB-231 or MCF-7 cells and their parental controls (Figure 6B) after treatment with RANKL for the indicated time points. These results suggested that Cbl-b did not interact directly with RANK in RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration. Western blot analysis showed that the basal levels of p-Src, p-Akt and p-ERK were significantly higher in MCF-7/ADR clones than in MCF-7 cells, and a similar result was obtained in MDA-MB-231Cbl-b shRNA cells compared with non-silencing controls (Figure 6C). Treatment with either the c-Src inhibitor PP2, the PI3-K inhibitor LY294002 or the MEK inhibitor PD98059 significantly down-regulated RANK expression in MDA-MB-231Cbl-b shRNA clones (Figure 6D). These results indicated that p-Src/p-ERK and p-Src/p-Akt positively regulate RANK expression.

We further evaluated the expression of p-ERK and p-Akt and the correlation between p-ERK and p-Akt and RANK in breast cancer tissues. As shown in Table 3, RANK expression was significantly positively correlated with p-ERK and p-Akt, which confirmed that p-Src/p-ERK and p-Src/p-Akt are positive regulators of RANK expression.

Taken together, our results suggested that Cbl-b negatively regulates the RANKL/RANK pathway in association with the migration of breast cancer cells, and Cbl-b negatively regulates RANK expression by inhibiting p-Src, p-Akt, and p-ERK.

**DISCUSSION**

The RANKL/RANK pathway plays an essential role in osteoclast maturation and activation during bone metastasis. Denosumab, an antibody against RANKL, was developed for the treatment of bone metastasis in cancer patients and has shown good efficacy in the clinical setting [30-32]. Preclinical observations suggest that RANKL promotes bone and lung metastasis via the direct pro-metastatic effects of RANK on RANK expressing breast cancer cells [9] independently of osteoclasts, implying that the RANKL/RANK pathway may also play a role in non-bone metastasis. However, whether RANK expression affects the progression and survival of breast cancer patients remains unclear. Although some clinical studies have confirmed the in vitro studies’ results, certain contradictory findings have been reported. In the present study, RANK was expressed in 51.3% of breast cancer tissues, and RANK expression was not associated with poor prognosis, which was an unpredictable result. Since breast cancer is widely recognized as a heterogeneous disease, other factors may exist and affect the prognosis of RANK-expressing patients. Since Cbl-b is an essential

---

**Figure 7: Proposed model for the role of Cbl-b in RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration and metastasis.** Cbl-b inhibited RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration and metastasis, and Cbl-b down-regulated RANK protein expression by negatively regulating the Src-Akt/ERK pathway.
regulator of the RANKL/RANK pathway in osteoclasts and dendritic cells, we performed stratified analysis to explore the effect of Cbl-b on the prognosis of RANK-expressing patients. Our results showed that in RANK-positive individuals, Cbl-b expression was significantly inversely correlated with the incidence of metastasis, including bone and visceral metastasis. Survival analysis showed that Cbl-b expression was an independent predictor of favorable DFS in RANK-positive patients but not in RANK-negative individuals. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study showing that Cbl-b exerts a protective effect against disease progression in RANK-expressing breast cancer. Our findings indicate that combined detection of RANK and Cbl-b may add significant prognostic value to pathologic staging, histologic grade, and standard clinical molecular markers.

Since metastasis is a complex process, and the active migration of tumor cells is a prerequisite for tumor cell invasion and metastasis, a migration assay was performed to explore the mechanism underlying the protective effect of Cbl-b against metastasis of RANK-expressing breast cancer. The results of cellular level experiments presented here showed that in Cbl-b shRNA cells and MCF-7/ADR cells, which natively express low levels of Cbl-b, RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration was significantly increased compared with the respective controls. In addition, animal experiments showed that mice infused with Cbl-b shRNA cells had a higher rate of lung metastasis than those with control shRNA cells. These results suggested that Cbl-b inhibited breast cancer metastasis by negatively regulating RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration. Regarding the exact mechanism underlying the Cbl-b mediated regulation of the RANKL/RANK pathway during breast cancer cell migration, our previous report and those of other groups showed that RANKL promotes breast cancer cell migration through c-Src-dependent Akt and ERK pathways [29, 33]. The present study further showed that Cbl-b inhibited breast cancer cell migration by suppressing the activation of c-Src, ERK and Akt, indicating that Cbl-b inhibited RANKL-induced breast cancer cell migration via the Src-ERK/Akt pathway.

Meanwhile, the present data demonstrated that RANK expression was significantly upregulated in Cbl-b shRNA cells and MCF-7/ADR cells, compared with control shRNA cells and MCF-7 cells, respectively, and was down-regulated in Cbl-b overexpression MCF-7 clones. To date, little is known about the mechanism by which different stimuli regulate RANK expression. Hie et al. reported that M-CSF-induced RANK expression is mediated by the activation of ERK in bone marrow-derived monocyte/macrophage cells [34]. Tang et al. recently reported that hypoxia up-regulates RANK expression via the PI3K/Akt-HIF-1α pathway in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells [35]. In the present study, inhibition of c-Src, ERK or Akt using specific inhibitors reversed the up-regulation of RANK expression in Cbl-b shRNA cells and MCF-7/ADR cells. Analysis of clinical specimens showed a significant positive correlation between p-ERK or p-Akt and RANK expression, confirming the positive regulation of ERK and Akt activation by RANK. Since c-Src functions upstream of both ERK and Akt in the RANKL/RANK pathway [29], these results suggested that RANK expression is regulated by the c-Src/ERK and c-Src/Akt pathways.

Additionally, the results of the present study indicated that RANK and c-Src expression in breast cancer cells did not change significantly in response to treatment with RANKL. This suggested that the inhibition of RANK expression and the RANKL/RANK pathway by Cbl-b was not mediated by ubiquitination of RANK or c-Src. Cbl-b may negatively regulate RANKL/RANK signaling via its adaptor function, although further investigation is necessary to elucidate the exact mechanism.

In summary, this is the first report showing that Cbl-b acts as a “switch protein”, negatively regulating the RANKL/RANK pathway (Figure 7). Cbl-b expression status played a significant role in the metastasis and prognosis of RANK-expressing patients, suggesting that the combined analysis of Cbl-b and RANK as biomarkers could be helpful for the characterization of breast cancer patients. The present findings provide insight into the biology of breast cancer metastasis, and may help guide individualized patient management. However, large multi-institutional prospective studies are necessary to confirm our observations, and the exact molecular regulatory mechanism should be examined in future studies.

**PATIENTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Reagents**

Recombinant sRANKL and recombinant human OPG (rOPG) were purchased from Cytolab/Peprotech Asia (NJ, USA). The PI3K inhibitor LY294002 and the Src inhibitor PP2 were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). The ERK inhibitor PD98059 was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA).

**Patients and tissue samples**

A total of 300 breast cancer samples obtained from patients who underwent surgery at our hospital between 1997 and 2010 were included in the analysis. The present study was approved by the Human Ethics Review Committee of the First Hospital of China Medical University (NO.2011 [11]). Informed consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its later revision. All patients had been treated according to guidelines, including adjuvant chemotherapy,
irradiation or endocrine therapy according to lymph node metastasis and hormone receptor status. DFS was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of distant metastases and/or cancer-related death. BCSS was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to the date of cancer related death.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor specimens were collected from the Department of Pathology at the First Hospital of China Medical University. Immunohistochemical staining was performed using the following antibodies: rabbit anti-RANK (sc-52951, 1:500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, USA), anti-Cbl-b (sc-376409, 1:250, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), p-ERK (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA) and p-Akt (1:1000; Cell Signaling) using the biotin-streptavidin method (UltraSensitive S-P kit; Maixin-Bio, Shanghai, China) as described previously[36]. The evaluation of immunohistochemistry results was performed independently by two observers who had no prior knowledge of the clinical information or pathological parameters. The immunoreactivity was scored based on both intensity of staining (negative = 0, weak = 1, moderate = 2, strong = 3) and percentage of positive tumor cells (<10% = 0, 10%–50% = 1, >50% = 2) as reported previously. The final score was calculated by multiplying the single scores to obtain the intensity and percentage of positive cells (range from 0 to 6) [36, 37]. The median expression score for both RANK and Cbl-b was 2, and this was used as a cut-off value, with a score of at least 2 considered positive.

**Cell culture**

The breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were obtained from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The human breast cancer multi-drug resistant cell variant MCF-7/ADR was obtained from Fourth Military Medical University (Xi’an, China). MDA-MB-231 cells were grown in Leibovitz L-15 medium (Gibco-Invitrogen, CA, USA) containing 10% FBS; MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco-Invitrogen) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For MCF-7/ADR cells, the medium additionally contained 1 μg/mL adriamycin to maintain the drug resistance phenotype.

**Surface RANK expression analysis**

Surface RANK expression was determined by flow cytometry as previously described [29]. The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-RANK (R&D, USA) or isotype control (R&D), FITC-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The Transwell migration assay was performed as previously described [29]. A 24-well chemotaxis chamber (8-μm pore size; Corning, USA) was used for this experiment. Briefly, 4×10⁴ cells were loaded onto the upper well of the Transwell chamber and medium containing sRANKL, the respective inhibitors or DMSO was added to the bottom well. The plates were incubated for 16 h at 37°C. Then, the porous inserts were carefully removed with a cotton swab and the cells that had traversed the membrane were fixed, stained with Trypan Blue and counted.

**Western blot analysis**

Western blotting was performed as previously described[29], using the following antibodies: mouse anti-Cbl-b, mouse anti-c-Src, and rabbit anti-β-actin antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; rabbit anti-Akt, anti-p-Akt (Ser473), anti-ERK1/2, anti-p-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204) and anti-p-Src (Y416) antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology, mouse anti-RANK antibodies were obtained from R&D, USA. Proteins were visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (SuperSignal Western Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate; Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) and signals were quantitated using NIH Image J software.

**Transfection with short hairpin RNA (shRNA)**

As previously described by our group [38], two sets of synthetic oligonucleotides encompassing the sense and antisense target sequences of human Cbl-b (Human Cbl-b specific sequence: 5’-GATCCCGTTTCGGATAAGTGCACCTGGTCATAAAGCAGACGATGCAACTTAACCGGAATTTTTCCAAA-3’ and 5’-AGCTTTTGGAAAGGAAGACACGTGCTCTGTTTCTCCGG-3’) for Cbl-b (852); 5’-GATCCCGGACAGACGGAATCTCATTGATATCATGCTGTGAGATTCCGGTTGTCCTTTTTCCAAA-3’ and 5’-AGCTTTTGGAAAGGAAGACACGTGCTCTGTTTCTCCGG-3’) for Cbl-b (414), and one set of non-silencing control: 5’-GATCCCGGACAGACGGAATCTCATTGATATCATGCTGTGAGATTCCGGTTGTCCTTTTTCCAAA-3’ and 5’-AGCTTTTGGAAAGGAAGACACGTGCTCTGTTTCTCCGG-3’.
TTCTCGAACGTGTCAGCTCGGATATCZAA
CGTGACACGTTCGGAGAACGG-3’ were phosphorylated with T4 kinase (Takara, Tokyo, Japan), annealed, and ligated into the Bam-HI/Hind III-cleaved backbone of pRNA-U6.1/Neo (Genscript, Piscataway, NJ, USA). shRNA (852)-expressing vectors were transfected into MDA-MB-231, and shRNA (414)-expressing vectors into MCF-7 cells, using Lipofectamine™ 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), separately. Forty-eight hours later, transiently transfected MCF-7 cells were used to perform next experiments, and stably transfected MDA-MB-231 cells were screened with G418 (Invitrogen). The expression of Cbl-b was verified by western blotting. Stably transfected MDA-MB-231 cell lines expressing Cbl-b at <10% of the level of endogenous Cbl-b were used for subsequent experiments.

**Transient transfection of plasmid constructs**

MCF-7 cells were plated in six-well plates and cultured in drug-free medium. At 90-95% confluence, cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated in 2 ml of RPMI 1640 medium without antibiotics. The pcDNA encodes Cbl-b was obtained from Dr. Stanlely Lipkowitz (National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, MD) and subcloned into pcDNA expressing vector. Cbl-b/pcDNA 3.1(Invitrogen) was transiently transfected employing the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen, Inc, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells transfected with the pcDNA3.1 vector alone served as the negative control. Forty-eight hours later, cells were used to perform next experiments.

**In vivo tail-vein metastasis assay**

Animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Directive 2010/63/UE. Female bal/bc nude (nu/nu) mice, 4 weeks of age, were purchased from SLAC Animal Center (Shanghai, China). A total of 1×10⁶ cells (in 200 µL PBS) of MDA-MB-231 non-silencing control cells or MDA-MB-231Cbl-b shRNA cells were injected into the caudal vein of nude mice. On days 1, 2, and 3, either 20 mg of OPG or an equal volume of PBS was injected into the caudal vein of nude mice. Eight weeks after injection, all mice were killed and their lungs were fixed in 10% formalin. Microscopic quantification of lung foci was performed on representative serial cross-sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded lungs stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS18.0 for Windows (Chicago, IL, USA). All experiments were repeated at least three times. Data were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was determined by Student’s t-test. All tests were one-sided and p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The association of staining intensity with clinic-pathological patterns was assessed using the Chi-square test. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to calculate the correlation between RANK score, p-ERK score, and p-Akt score. The log-rank test and the Kaplan-Meier method were used for analysis of patient survival. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed according to the Cox proportional hazards model. p < 0.05 was considered significant.
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