ASKyphoplan: a program for deformity planning in ankylosing spondylitis
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Abstract A closing wedge osteotomy of the lumbar spine may be considered to correct posture and spinal balance in progressive thoracolumbar kyphotic deformity caused by ankylosing spondylitis (AS). Adequate deformity planning is essential for reliable prediction of the effect of surgical correction of the spine on the sagittal balance and horizontal gaze of the patient. The effect of a spinal osteotomy on the horizontal gaze is equal to the osteotomy angle. However, the effect of a spinal osteotomy on the sagittal balance depends on both the correction angle and the level of osteotomy simultaneously. The relation between the correction angle, the level of osteotomy and the sagittal balance of the spine can be expressed by a mathematical equation. However, this mathematical equation is not easily used in daily practice. We present the computer program ASKyphoplan that analyses and visualizes the planning procedure for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the spine in AS. The relationship between the planned correction angle, level of osteotomy and sagittal balance are coupled into the program. The steps taken during an ASKyphoplan run are outlined, and the clinical application is discussed. The application of the program is illustrated by the analysis of the data from a patient recently treated by a lumbar osteotomy in AS. The software can be used free of charge on the internet at http://www.stega.nl under the heading “research” in the menu.
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Introduction

In ankylosing spondylitis (AS), the spine becomes a rigid beam of bone from the occiput down to the sacrum. With few exceptions, AS leads to a rigid thoracolumbar kyphotic deformity (TLKD). Surgical correction of the TLKD by an extending osteotomy of the spine may be considered. The goal of such a surgical procedure is to restore both the patients’ capacity to see the horizon, as well as the sagittal balance of the ankylosed spine [2, 5, 6, 8].

Preoperative planning of the effect of a lumbar osteotomy on the correction of the horizontal gaze and sagittal balance of the spine in patients with TLKD complicating AS is of utmost importance. The effect of a spinal osteotomy on the correction of the horizontal gaze is equal to the osteotomy angle, irrespective of the osteotomy level. Though the effect of a spinal osteotomy on the sagittal balance of the spine depends on both the osteotomy angle and the level of osteotomy simultaneously the correction of the sagittal balance is maximal when the intervention is performed at the lowest possible level of the lumbar spine [8].

Recently, a biomechanical and mathematical method for deformity planning for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the spine in AS has been developed. The effect of a lumbar osteotomy in AS on the horizontal gaze, defined as the chin-brow to vertical angle (CBVA) and the sagittal balance of the spine, defined as the sagittal vertical axis.
(SVA), can be expressed by a mathematical equation [6]. Following the promoted mathematical equation, a nomogram subsequently shows the relationship between the osteotomy angle, the level of osteotomy, and the sagittal balance. Unfortunately, both the crucial mathematic equations and the resulting nomogram are not easy to use in daily practice.

ASKyphoplan is a computational program that analyses and visualizes the planning procedure for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the lumbar spine in AS, which can be used easily in daily practice according to the promoted biomechanical and mathematical principles [6]. The purpose of the present work is to discuss the program and its performance. To this end, we illustrate the preoperative planning with ASKyphoplan on a patient, recently treated by a lumbar osteotomy in AS. The software can be used free of charge on the internet at http://www.stega.nl under the heading “research” in the menu.

Description of an ASKyphoplan run

The computational program ASKyphoplan is based on the biomechanical and mathematical principles described earlier [6]. In the present description of an ASKyphoplan run, we define the plumb line from the centre of the vertebral body of C7 and we plan the osteotomy at level L4. The normal sacral endplate angle (SEA) is defined at 40° with the horizontal. Obviously, all variables can be changed independently for each case.

Radiographic analysis

A standard full-length lateral radiograph of the whole spine with the patient standing in relaxed standing position is made. Preferably, the radiograph is acquired and saved in a picture archiving and communication system (PACS). However, the use of a digitized regular film is also possible. The centre of body C7, the posterior superior corner of the sacrum (PSCS), the sacral endplate (SEA), and the rotation point (RP) on the anterior cortex of L4 are marked on the radiograph. In addition, the 50 mm grid of the film or two randomly chosen points are measured using the ‘measuring distance’ tool displayed on the digital system for calibration. Finally, the marked radiograph is saved in JPEG, Dicom or PNG format on a personal computer (Fig. 1).

ASKyphoplan run

Open the file with the saved radiograph in ASKyphoplan and upload the digitalized radiograph including the marks. Five consecutive pages are followed: ‘Calibration’, ‘Draw’, ‘Set SEA’, ‘Calculate’, and ‘Report’.

Calibrate

Mark the two measured reference points or the two marks on the grid of the film and define the distance in mm for calibration in the program.

Draw

The preceding marked reference points on the radiograph serve as guidance for drawing the deformity planning. The posterior superior corner of the sacrum (PSCS) is marked and a co-ordinate system appears. Then, the sacral endplate angle (SEA) from the posterior superior corner of the sacrum, parallel to the sacral endplate is drawn. The individual (compensated) SEA will appear in degrees. The centre of body C7 is marked, and the plumb line from C7 appears. The sagittal vertical axis (SVA), defined as the horizontal distance between the posterior corner of the sacrum and the plumb line from C7, will be shown. Finally, the aimed hinge or rotation point (RP) at the anterior cortex of L4 is marked.

Set SEA

The normal SEA is assumed to make an angle of approximately 40° with the horizontal [1, 6]. By defining the SEA at 40°, the radiograph will be projected onto the co-ordinate system with the SEA at 40°. The pre-operative SVA without compensation appears in millimeters.

Calculate

The relation between the correction angle, the level of osteotomy and the sagittal balance of the spine is calculated and visualized in the computer program. By planning the osteotomy at level L4 and aiming the post-operative SVA at 75 mm for example [6], the aimed correction angle at L4 and resulting correction of the CBVA follows. Using the ‘visibility’ bar, the virtual post-operative radiograph can be visualized (Fig. 3). The effect of changing the level of osteotomy on the SVA and CBVA correction or the effect of changing the correction angle on the SVA and CBVA can be visualized clearly by changing these variables in the program.

Report

The preoperative deformity planning, calculation and visualization of the osteotomy can be saved as a PDF file and printed for documentation.
Case report

A 29 year-old man with a 8 year history of AS and a progressive TLKD is indicated for lumbar osteotomy (Fig. 2a). The standard full-length lateral radiograph of the whole spine showed a pre-operative SEA of 14°. Rotation of the radiograph onto the co-ordinate system with the SEA at 40° resulted in a pre-operative SVA of 384 mm (Fig. 3). Planning a SVA 75 mm anterior to the posterior superior corner of the sacral endplate, a correction angle of 43° at level L4 is required. In addition, a 43° correction at L4 will correct the CBVA with 17° (Fig. 3). The surgical procedure, including positioning of the patient, anaesthesia and surgical technique was performed according to the technique described earlier [8]. During the closure procedure of the closing wedge osteotomy, lateral images of the lumbar spine from the image intensifier were sent wireless to the local PACS at regular times. The acquired correction was measured in degrees by measuring the Cobb angle on the acquired images between the upper and lower endplate of L4 regularly, using ‘measuring angle’ tool displayed on the digital system, until the required correction angle was achieved (Fig. 4a, b). The post-operative radiograph of the lumbar spine showed a correction of 40° in L4. There were no complications during surgery and follow-up treatment. The clinical postoperative CBVA showed to be 27 (Fig. 2b).

Discussion

Adequate deformity planning for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the spine in AS is essential for reliable prediction of the effect of surgical correction of the spine. ASKyphoplan is a computational program that analyses and visualizes the planning procedure for sagittal plane deformities.
corrective osteotomies of the lumbar spine in AS, which can be used easily in daily practice. The program analyses and visualizes the relationship between the osteotomy angle, the level of osteotomy in relation to the sagittal balance and CBVA for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies. In fact, deformity planning with ASKyphoplan can be performed for any patient with TLKD attributable to AS. All mentioned variables can be altered freely in the program for each individual patient and or by each individual surgeon.

ASKyphoplan professes not to represent the only, or necessarily the best, method for deformity planning in AS, but is rather meant as a method of the authors which may be helpful to others who treat patients with progressive TLKD caused by AS. The need for adequate deformity planning for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the spine in AS, however, is demonstrated by three recent papers [3, 4, 7]. Suk et al. [4] emphasized the clinical importance of the CBVA as an objective index for evaluating the horizontal gaze before and after lumbar osteotomy in AS. They reported on a series of 34 patients with AS treated with a closing wedge osteotomy. The osteotomy was performed at the apex of the kyphosis from Th7 to L2, and the mean correction angle was 37.7°. However, in seven cases (21%) there was an overcorrection of the CBVA of more than 10° hyperextension. Unfortunately, the authors did not report the correlation between the level of osteotomy, degree of correction, and CBVA correction. Deformity planning with ASKyphoplan will provide the relationship between the correction angle, level of osteotomy, CBVA correction, and sagittal balance easily. Sengupta et al. [3] described the treatment of a case with a severe thoracolumbar kyphotic deformity resulting from AS in which a lordosing osteotomy of the mid-thoracic spine caused an extension deformity of the cervical spine. The authors described a flexion osteotomy of the cervical spine to correct the caused iatrogenic extension deformity. The reported case, a 44 year-old woman with a 120° thoracic kyphosis, and a fixed cervical spine with a CBVA of 45° due to AS, underwent a closing wedge osteotomy at T6 and T8. A total osteotomy angle of 75° was achieved.
As a result, an overcorrection of the CBVA of 30° needed a corrective flexion osteotomy of the cervical spine. The case illustrates well the need for adequate deformity planning for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the spine in AS. Overcorrection of the CBVA and the subsequent need for a corrective cervical flexion osteotomy as described in this case can be prevented with the use of ASKyphoplan in future. Finally, this method of deformity planning is also essential in patients with a kyphotic Andersson lesion complicating AS [7]. In these cases the level of osteotomy is fixed by the location of the Andersson lesion. As expected, correction of the Andersson lesion in a high lumbar region will not result in the optimal restoration of the sagittal balance. However, overcorrection at the level of the Andersson lesion, in an attempt to achieve a better correction of the sagittal balance, may result in an extension deformity of the cervical spine forcing the visual field upward.

There are some clinical assumptions and technical difficulties in the procedure that can be criticized. Firstly, we defined the normal SEA to be 40° to the horizon. In healthy subjects, the SEA makes an angle of approximately 40° with the horizon on a standing lateral radiographic projection [1, 6]. With the normal SEA of 40°, the hip joints are in the zero position, thus allowing for small compensatory movements of the pelvis. Obviously, alterations for the normal SEA can be applied individually. Secondly, the SVA is measured by calculating the horizontal distance between the posterior superior corner of the sacrum and the plumb line from C7. Unfortunately, C7 is not always that visible on the sagittal radiograph in patients with severe TLKD because of the over projection of the upper extremities. In individual cases the SVA can be defined as the horizontal distance between the posterior superior corner of the sacral endplate and the plumb line from any other cervical vertebra, for example the spinal process of C7 or vertebra C2. Thirdly, it should be realized that the optimum post-operative SVA in patients with TLKD resulting from AS is not known. We hypothesized that the post-operative SVA from C7 should be planned between 50 and 100 mm anteriorly of the posterior superior corner of the sacral endplate to prevent over correction of the ankylosed TLKD in AS [6]. For that reason, a postoperative SVA of 75 mm was planned in our case. However, further studies are necessary to evaluate the optimum postoperative SVA in patients with TLKD complicating AS. In addition, the relation between the measurements of the SVA from C7 and other measurement points e.g. the spinous process of C7 or the vertebra of C2 in deformity planning has to be analysed. Finally, the interobserver and intraobserver reliability of ASKyphoplan should be analysed in further studies.

In conclusion, ASKyphoplan is a computational program that analyzes and visualizes the planning procedure for sagittal plane corrective osteotomies of the spine in AS. The relationship between the planned correction angle, level of osteotomy, CBVA correction, and sagittal balance are coupled into the program. The program can be used easily in daily practice.
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