Establishing Quaternary as a formal international Period/System

Despite being the most widely used unit in field mapping and having the greatest number of active researchers, the interval known as Quaternary is unique among the chronostratigraphic subdivisions of the Geological Time Scale (GTS) in having the most controversial definition and rank. After more than 100 years of debate, the base of the Quaternary is now widely recognized at ~2.6 Ma, marking a dramatic and so-far irreversible shift to the ice-age-dominated world of oscillating glacial advances over the northern continents. In 2007, both INQUA and ICS proposed that the Quaternary be established as a System of the Cenozoic Erathem, with its base defined by the GSSP of the Gelasian Stage. To maintain strict hierarchy in the GTS, it is proposed that the base of the Pleistocene Series be lowered to coincide with the Gelasian Stage GSSP at ~2.6 Ma.

Overview

The Cenozoic Era currently has two ratified international periods/systems defined by global boundary stratotype sections and points (GSSPs). The Paleogene Period/System was ratified in 1991 by the International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS) upon the acceptance of the basal-Danian GSSP. The Neogene Period/System was ratified in 1996. The interval known as Quaternary had been left undefined and without rank since 1983 upon the acceptance by IUGS of the GSSP that currently defines the base of the Pleistocene Series (base of Calabrian Stage in Mediterranean usage, ca. 1.8 Ma).

The International Union of Quaternary Research (INQUA; under the International Council for Science) and its component national members have unanimously agreed that the “Quaternary Period spans the last 2.6 million years of Earth’s history” (www.inqua.tcd.ie). It begins with the first widespread continental glaciation that created deposits historically mapped as “Quaternary” and coincides with the base of the Gelasian Stage. In 2005, the International Commission of Stratigraphy (ICS) unanimously approved recognition of the Quaternary as a formal chronostratigraphic unit with its base at the Gelasian GSSP.

The ICS submitted a resolution in May 2007 to IUGS for the establishment of the Quaternary as a formal chronostratigraphic unit with a GSSP. In 2007, the IUGS indicated that defining the Quaternary as a formal chronostratigraphic unit with a GSSP will be discussed further at the 2008 International Geological Congress (IGC).

In August 2007, INQUA, in unprecedented unanimous votes within both the assembly of its component national members and in a General Assembly (ca. 600 members), agreed on establishing the Quaternary as a system with its base coinciding with the present Gelasian GSSP. Therefore, the vast majority of active geoscientists in all nations that are engaged on Quaternary studies have achieved a milestone in defining the onset of this period.

The establishment of the Quaternary as a Period/System has a few controversial aspects, which is one reason that it has been without rank or accepted definition since 1983. However, INQUA and the majority of ICS considers that establishing the Quaternary as a formal period/system is consistent with its widespread usage in relation to continental deposits and establishes it as a unique interval of Earth’s history in climates and human evolution.

The onset of the ice ages and beginning of the Quaternary

Despite being the most widely used unit in field mapping and having the greatest number of active researchers, the interval known as Quaternary is unique among the chronostratigraphic subdivisions in having the most controversial definition and rank (Figure 1). The convoluted history and divergent concepts of Quaternary usage is fraught with opinionated debate, beginning with the early International Geological Congresses which considered relegating Quaternary to be an un-ranked synonym for a vaguely defined Pleistocene epoch (1894) or replaced with a “Modern” period (1900). Many field workers had simply assigned a vague “Quaternary” to relatively unconsolidated material that overlies more lithified continental deposits.

The common association of Quaternary with the “Ice Ages” created another problem, because the onset of these continental glaciations is now known, from ice-rafted debris in the Greenland Sea, to have begun much earlier, in the mid-Paleogene, around 44 Ma (Tripati et al., 2008).
In 1983, in a controversial decision, the base-Pleistocene GSSP was ratified at Vrica, Italy, near the top of the Olduvai magnetic subchron, but the decision was isolated from other more or less related problems, such as the status of the Quaternary (Aguirre and Pasini, 1985). The Gelasian Stage was later created (ratified in 1996 at the 30th International Geological Congress, Beijing) to fill the "gap" between this GSSP and the "traditional" span of the Piacenzian Stage of the Pliocene Series (Rio et al., 1998). However, when the base-Pleistocene GSSP was established, the timing of the initial major glaciation of the Northern Hemisphere was not well understood. The base of the Quaternary has now been established from the recognition and precise dating of glacial-driven major oxygen-isotope excursions, of pronounced eustatic lowstands on continental shelves caused by the formation of massive glacial sheets, of the onset of the main loess deposition in China, of the lowest till deposits in central USA, and of other traditional "Quaternary" deposits (Figure 2). The evidence accumulated during the past two decades is uncontroversial—at approximately 2.6 or 2.7 Ma there was a dramatic and so-far irreversible shift to the ice-age-dominated world of oscillating glacial advances over the northern continents. This earliest major glaciation produced a major global sea-level lowstand at 2.7 Ma (major sequence boundary "Ge1" of Hardenbol et al., 1998) that coincides with cold oxygen-isotope stage 110, and deposited the Atlanta glacial till in Missouri (e.g., Balco et al., 2005) among other widespread glacial evidence. There was also a surge in ice-rafted debris in the northern oceans, and the establishment of the modern patterns of deep-sea circulation (e.g., Haug et al., 2005; Bartoli et al., 2005). The conditions that led to this initial Ice Age probably included blocking of exchange of tropical Atlantic-Pacific waters by the formation of the Isthmus of Panama, among other tectonic and atmospheric-oceanic factors. A side effect was the emergence of bipedal humanoids; and this new generation of Lucy and her brothers has been called "Children of the Ice Age" (e.g., Stanley, 1996).

The base of the Quaternary is being defined with this established Gelasian GSSP. Further details on the base of the Quaternary are given by Head et al. (in this Episodes volume).

Figure 1 Selected versions of Cenozoic subdivisions and nomenclature for Oligocene-Holocene interval.

In 1983, in a controversial decision, the base-Pleistocene GSSP was ratified at Vrica, Italy, near the top of the Olduvai magnetic subchron, but the decision was isolated from other more or less related problems, such as the status of the Quaternary (Aguirre and Pasini, 1985). The Gelasian Stage was later created (ratified in 1996 at the 30th International Geological Congress, Beijing) to fill the "gap" between this GSSP and the "traditional" span of the Piacenzian Stage of the Pliocene Series (Rio et al., 1998). However, when the base-Pleistocene GSSP was established, the timing of the initial major glaciation of the Northern Hemisphere was not well understood.

The base of the Quaternary has now been established from the recognition and precise dating of glacial-driven major oxygen-isotope excursions, of pronounced eustatic lowstands on continental shelves caused by the formation of massive glacial sheets, of the onset of the main loess deposition in China, of the lowest till deposits in central USA, and of other traditional "Quaternary" deposits (Figure 2). The evidence accumulated during the past two decades is uncontroversial—at approximately 2.6 or 2.7 Ma there was a dramatic and so-far irreversible shift to the ice-age-dominated world of oscillating glacial advances over the northern continents. This earliest major glaciation produced a major global sea-level lowstand at 2.7 Ma (major sequence boundary "Ge1" of Hardenbol et al., 1998) that coincides with cold oxygen-isotope stage 110, and deposited the Atlanta glacial till in Missouri (e.g., Balco et al., 2005) among other widespread glacial evidence. There was also a surge in ice-rafted debris in the northern oceans, and the establishment of the modern patterns of deep-sea circulation (e.g., Haug et al., 2005; Bartoli et al., 2005). The conditions that led to this initial Ice Age probably included blocking of exchange of tropical Atlantic-Pacific waters by the formation of the Isthmus of Panama, among other tectonic and atmospheric-oceanic factors. A side effect was the emergence of bipedal humanoids; and this new generation of Lucy and her brothers has been called "Children of the Ice Age" (e.g., Stanley, 1996).

The base of the current Gelasian Stage was placed at a slightly younger level (warm interval MIS 103; age 2.59 Ma), but its association with the magnetic reversal at the onset of the Matuyama reversed-polarity Chron enables an unambiguous and precise global marker. Therefore, for expediency and unambiguous high-precision correlation between continental and oceanic deposits, the Quaternary System is being defined with this established Gelasian GSSP.

Further details on the base of the Quaternary are given by Head et al. (in this Episodes volume).
Lowering of the Pleistocene base

Thus, the onset of the Quaternary is nearly 800,000 years prior to the placement of the base-Pleistocene GSSP (ca. 1.8 Ma) at the level when certain cooler-water marine fauna enter the Mediterranean (Aguirre and Pasini, 1985). When this base-Pleistocene GSSP was established in 1983, there was inconclusive global evidence about the age of the earliest Quaternary glaciations. Therefore, to rectify the offset of Quaternary (as used by INQUA and its constituent international committees) and the 1983-version of the Pleistocene Epoch/Series, the ICS and INQUA agreed that the Gelasian Stage should be transferred to the Pleistocene, thereby enabling a Quaternary Period/System to be established within the Cenozoic (Figure 3). This also brings the lowered Pleistocene into better accord with the 1948 decision by the International Geological Congress Council that the Pleistocene should include the full suite of Miocene through Holocene epochs.

Neogene and Tertiary

The period/system that precedes the Quaternary is the internationally ratified Neogene. The original “Neogen (“new”, “clan/birth”) Stufe” of Moritz Hörnes was introduced in 1853/1864 to differentiate the younger molluscan fauna of the Vienna Basin from those of the Eocene (sensu Lyell, 1833). According to this division of the Molasse Group, the Neogene strata also included the “Knochen-Höhlen und der Löss” or glacial-derived deposits that are typical of “Quaternary” (see extended discussion by Lourens et al., 2004, and by Walsh, in press). Usage of “Neogene” by marine stratigraphers customarily includes the full suite of Miocene through Holocene epochs.

It had been recommended by Aubry et al. (2005) to establish separate Cenozoic divisions for oceanic and for continental deposits. In their scheme, the international Neogene and Paleogene periods/systems would have a parallel continental-based “sub-era” classification of the Quaternary (with its base at ca. 2.6 Ma; and offset from the marine-based Pleistocene definition) and a lengthy informal “Tertiary”. This proposed duality, which would allow land-based and marine-based earth scientists to retain their own traditional schemes, was accepted by ICS in 2005 (12 Yes, 5 No = 70% Yes). However, the IUGS rejected this dichotomy proposal for two reasons. First, the IUGS was reluctant to establish a new chronostatigraphic unit (“sub-era”), and second, they ruled that any chronostatigraphic scale (hence, usage of Quaternary) must be hierarchical — the base of a higher-ranked unit must coincide with bases of all lower-ranked units, such as series/epochs. IUGS also emphasized that ICS must work with INQUA on an acceptable usage of Quaternary. The Neogene and Paleogene are ratified international periods defined by GSSPs, and INQUA was unwavering in its scientific definition of Quaternary and request that it be a period in Earth’s history. Therefore, the preferred solution (82% Yes by ICS) was to simultaneously insert the Quaternary as a period/system that truncated the upper Neogene and to adjust the lower boundary of the Pleistocene Epoch/Series to coincide with this new Quaternary Period/System.

The term “Tertiary” is an informal grouping for the Neogene and Paleogene periods, and encompasses over 95% of the Cenozoic. As

Figure 2 Temporal and latitudinal relations between orbital forcing and Earth’s Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene climate (3.0-1.5 Ma) as recorded by a high-resolution deep ocean δ¹⁸O ice volume record from Southwestern Pacific ODP site 1123, glacio-eustatic cyclothsms of Wanganui Basin New Zealand, ice rafting as recorded by magnetic susceptibility at North Pacific ODP site 882, and a median grainsize profile of the Jingchuan Loess Section, North central China Loess Plateau. LO Zd denotes first appearance in North Island of New Zealand stratigraphic record of the subantarctic scallop Zygochlamys delicatula during glacial periods, traditionally marking the Plio-Pleistocene boundary in New Zealand. Pollen summary diagram from ODP 658, offshore West Africa shows progressive aridity of Northwest Africa between 2.8 and 2.4 Ma, as (B) sahel-savanna grassland and open forest elements are replaced by drier (C) saharan desert vegetation. Subordinate vegetation assemblages (A) and (D) represent a tropical coastal forest and “Mediterranean” (trade wind) elements, respectively. Note well-developed 40 ka glacial-interglacial modulation of the sahel-savanna boundary following 2.6 Ma. A step-like increase in African aridity about 2.8 Ma is linked to a significant event in hominid evolution in East Africa as the genera Paranthropus and Homo emerge from a single lineage (Australopithecus afarensis). From Pillans and Naish (2004).
Figure 3  The proposed subdivisions of Neogene and Quaternary. The golden spikes are ratified global boundary stratotype sections and points (GSSPs). The uppermost Stage 4 of the Pliocene will potentially be named Tarantian, after the equivalent Mediterranean regional stage. The GSSP of the current Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary at ca. 1.8 Ma will be retained as the GSSP for the Calabrian Stage.

such, it is much too broad to be a useful subdivision of the Cenozoic, unless stratigraphic evidence does not allow placement of a unit or event into the international-defined Neogene or Paleogene systems.
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