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ABSTRACT

Objective: The current work highlights the use of the Quality by Design (QbD) for optimization of Nanocellulose (NC) production from corn husk by two techniques, namely, Acid hydrolysis (AH) and High pressure homogenization (HPH).

Methods: Characterization of NC involved Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA), X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM). For the risk assessment, QbD Software was used. According to this results 3^2 factorial design was applied in which two independent variables (acid concentration and time for AH whereas pressure and no of passes for HPH) and two dependent variables (particle size and yield) were selected.

Results: FTIR showed similar peaks in which there is no change in parent molecular structure of cellulose. TGA confirmed that the NC extracted by both the methods showed improved thermal property at onset temperature of 290°C as compared to Avicel PH101. 270°C XRD results showed that the crystallinity index of the extracted nano cellulose from both the method was 83.15% which indicates transition and reorientation of corn husk into compact crystalline cellulose structure after removal of non-cellulosic materials. TEM images indicated that the fibers were well dispersed and the treatment had reduced the size of fibers with average dimensions of 100 to 1000 nm in length. Product assay revealed that as the acid concentration and time is increased, narrow particle size is observed whereas lower number of passes and pressure resulted in a broader particle size. Studies on the variables and the experiment of NC preparation contributed a maximum yield of 77% in case of AH and 83% in case of HPH.

Conclusion: Evident from the results, NC prepared by QbD approach had better flow property and compatibility. Hence it is suitable for usage as an excipient in product design for variety of tailor made customized oral dosage forms in pharmaceutical industry.
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INTRODUCTION

Cellulose is highly known for its excellent properties such as renewability, biodegradability, biocompatibility, high specific surface areas, low density, low thermal expansion, good optical property, excellent mechanical property and high chemical reactivity [1-5], as a result of which it has drawn attention from various researchers across the globe [6]. Cellulose is composed of linear homopolysaccharide composed of repeating β-D-glucopyranosyl units joined by 1-4 glycosidic linkages in a variety of arrangements [7] having amorphous and crystalline regions, which when subjected to proper mechanical, chemical and enzymatic treatments, the individualized nanofibers, can be extracted by breaking down the amorphous regions [8].

The appropriate particle size and powder rheological properties make the material suitable for direct tablet compression [9-11]. Direct compression improves the economic aspects by reducing the technological process steps. In industrial production, it is important to carry out a risk assessment before applying new technologies. Errors in critical parameter selection have the potential to adversely affect the quality of product which in turn can result in rejection, leading to financial losses hence the application of “Quality by Design” (QbD). QbD concept is a fairly new approach in the development phase of pharmaceutical products [12-16] as stated in International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Q8 and Q9 guidelines of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. Basically it is a systematic process for the assessment, control, communication, and review of risks to the quality of the APIs through the product lifecycle. The concept of QbD provides scientific-basis for product development, which involves identification of the quality target product profile (QTPP) consisting of critical quality attributes (CQAs), critical material attributes (CMAs) and critical process parameters (CPPs) using risk assessment and optimization of data using design of experiments (DoE) [17-19]. Based on the ICH Q8 (R2) guideline, the QTPP means the quality characteristics of a drug product that optimally will be achieved to ensure the desired quality-as promised on the label-taking into account safety and efficacy. A CQA is a physical, chemical, biological, or microbiological property that should meet the predefined requirements to ensure the desired product quality. CQAs are usually associated with the active ingredient, excipients, intermediates and drug product. CQAs of solid dosage forms affect product purity, strength, drug release and stability. A CMA is a physical, chemical, biological or microbiological property or characteristic of an input material that should be within an appropriate limit, range, or distribution to ensure the desired quality of output. The variability of a process parameter always has an impact on the CQAs. We call the process parameters “CPPs” if they have a direct impact on CQAs; therefore, these should be monitored and controlled in order to produce the desired quality. Ishikawa diagram is one of the quality management tools available in Minitab Software (Version 1.3.6., 2014 QbD Works LLC, Fremont, CA, USA) and also referred under ICH guideline Q9. Risk assessment aims at identifying which material attributes and process parameters potentially influence the product CQAs. Furthermore, it helps in identifying significant factors that will be subjected to the DoE study to establish product and process design space (DS) [20-23]. To ensure dependent variables can be measured, the critical parameters of both the techniques involved in production of NC based on the results of risk assessment were determined post which 3^2 factorial design was applied for the current study [24-26].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
Corn husk, an agricultural waste was collected by the local farmers in Gujarat, India. Sodium hydroxide [NaOH] [reagent grade, 98%].
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hydrochloric acid [HCl] [ACS reagent, 37%], and Calcium hypochlorite [technical grade] were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Aqueous solution of sodium hypochlorite was prepared by dissolution of calcium hypochlorite and sodium hydroxide in water, with subsequent filtration of the calcium hydroxide precipitates formed. As the mean particle size and yield were key parameters for our work, all of these values for the initial material and the target product are shown in table 1. The values of the parameters of the target product were described based on the literature and self-made pre-experiments.

**Methods for production of NC**

NC was prepared using acid hydrolysis and high pressure homogenization as per fig. 1.

| Table 1: Comparison of the initial material and the target product |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Initial material** | **Target product** |
| Mean particle size (µm-nm) | 55 µm | 100-1000 nm |
| Yield (%) | - | 75-85 |

**Investigation of the morphology**

**Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)**

The chemical composition were analyzed in the range of 400 cm\(^{-1}\) to 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) using a using KBr discs on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR spectrometer [27].

**X-ray diffraction (XRD)**

The crystallinity of the cellulose samples were examined in an X-ray diffractometer (Philips Xpert Mpd) with a monochromatic Cu Ka radiation source in the step-scan mode with a 2θ angle ranging from 5 to 80 ° at a scan rate of 1 °/min with a resolution of 0.05 °. The operating voltage and current were 30 kV and 200 mA, respectively. The crystallinity index was calculated with following equation. [28].

\[
Cl = \left( \frac{I_{002} - I_{am}}{I_{002}} \right) \times 100
\]

Where Cl is the crystallinity index, I002 is the maximum intensity of the diffraction from the 002 plane, and lam is the intensity of scattered by the amorphous part of the sample.

**Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)**

The thermal properties of the cellulose samples were investigated by TGA and DSC on a simultaneous thermal analyzer [Mettler-Toledo AM, Greifensee, Switzerland]. Samples weighing between 6 and 10 mg were used. Each sample was heated from room temperature to 500 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min under nitrogen [29].

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

The homogenized NC suspension was dropped onto a copper grid using a pipette. The excessive water was drained with a filter paper. Then the copper grid was background stained with 2 wt% uranyl acetate. The redundant liquid was drawn away using a filter paper. The grid was air dried at room temperature and then tested with Philips Tecnai T20 electron microscope, operating at 200K KeV. The dimensions of the imaged NC were determined from imaging at lower magnification from 19,000x to 50,000x [29].

**Particle size**

Particle size of the cellulose samples were measured using a Malvern Nano-ZS particle size. Before the test, the suspension were homogenized for 10 min at 13000 rpm using a high-speed homogenizer, and then kept in the ultrasonic bath [29].

**Carr's index (CI)**

Bulk density (ρb) and the tapped density (ρt) of the sample were determined with a bulk/tap density test apparatus [Elecrolab, EDT-1020]. Carr’s index [30] was calculated as one hundred times the ratio...
of the difference between the tapped density and bulk density to the
tapped density was calculated by utilizing the following equation

\[ CI = \frac{(\rho_d - \rho_b)}{\rho_d} \times 100 \]

Hausner's ratio (HR)

Hausner’s ratio [31] is the ratio of bulked density to the tapped
density. Hausner's ratio was calculated according to the following
equation

\[ HR = \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_d} \]

Angle of repose

It is defined as the angle between the free surfaces of a pile of
powder to a horizontal plane. In the present study, the angle of
repose was determined using a fixed cone method [32]. The sample
was carefully poured through the funnel until the apex of the cone
thus formed just touched the tip of the funnel. The mean radius (r)
and height (h) of the heap were measured and the angle of repose
(AR) was calculated from the following equation.

\[ \tan \theta = \frac{h}{r} \]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Risk assessment: Ishikawa diagram

As shown in fig. 2 an Ishikawa (fishbone) diagram was constructed
to identify the effects of the key material attributes and process
parameters on the development of the production steps of NC using
AH and HPH method.

Definition of the QTPPs and Identification of the CQAs

Based on the literature and pilot experiments, QTPPs and CQAs were
determined and are shown in tables 2 and 3, together with their
justification. After the identification of the QTPPs and the CQAs, the
following step was to determine the critical material attributes and
process parameters (CMAs and CPPs) by risk estimation matrix (REM),
which represents the potential risks associated with each material
attribute and process parameter that has a profound effect on CQAs. By
assigning low (L), medium (M), and high (H) values for each parameter,
the REM of interdependence rating between the CQAs and QTPPs was
established. The interdependences of the factors are shown in table 4.

Table 2: QTPPs of the NC produced by the AH and HPH

| QTPP                  | Target                  | Justification                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Direct compressible  | Direct compressible     | Cellulose is most commonly used excipient in the tablet. Direct compression    |
| material             |                         | tablet making is a simple and fast method and is usable for active ingredients|
|                      |                         | that are moisture sensitive.                                                  |
| Physical attributes: | Acceptable to consumer  | Color, odor and appearance were not considered as critical, as these are not    |
|                      |                         | directly linked to patient efficacy and safety.                               |
| Powder rheology      | Good flow               | Better flowing properties simplify industrial operability of the powder.      |
| attributes           |                         |                                                                               |
| Particle size        | Smaller particles       | Smaller particles possess better powder rheology parameters, which simplifies   |
|                      |                         | direct tablet making.                                                        |
| Yield                | 100%                    | Yield should be 100 % as production point of view so it was considered as     |
|                      |                         | critical.                                                                     |

Table 3: CQAs of the NC

| Quality attributes of the product | Target            | Is it CQAs | Justification                                                                 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Physical attributes:             | Acceptable to     | No         | Color, odor and appearance were not considered as critical, as these are not    |
| Color, odor and appearance       | consumer          |            | directly linked to patient efficacy and safety                                |
| Particle size                    | <100 to 500 nm    | Yes        | This was considered highly critical as it would show direct effect on ultimate |
| yield                            | 1.00%             | Yes        | goal of achieving satisfactory homogeneity, flow, and disintegration of dosage   |
| Angle of Repose                  | 25-40             | Yes        | Angle of repose, carr’s index, hausner’s ratio show the powder rheology        |
| Carr’s Index                     | 1-25              | Yes        | attributes of the powder. Particles with “good” flow properties are suitable    |
| Hausner ratio                    | 1.00-1.34         | Yes        | for direct compression.                                                        |
Table 4: QTPPs, CMAs, CPPs, CQAs and their impact on the production of NC

| QTPPs | Impact | CQAs | CMAs and CPPs | Occurrence |
|-------|--------|------|---------------|------------|
| Particle Size | High | Physical attributes (Colour, odour, appearance) | Reaction Time (CPP) | High |
| Yield | High | Particle Size | Acid/Alkali Concentration (CPP) | High |
| Powder rheology | Medium | Angle of Repose | No of Passes and Pressure (CPP) | High |
| Low | | | | |

| CQAs/QTPPs | Direct compressible material | Yield | Powder rheology |
|------------|-------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Physical attributes | L | L | L |
| Particle Size | H | M | H |
| Yield | M | H | H |
| Angle of Repose | H | M | H |
| Carr’s Index | H | M | H |
| Hausner ratio | H | M | H |

| CQA/CPP/CMA | Reaction Time (CPP) | Acid/Alkali Conc. (CPP) | No of Passes and Pressure (CPP) | Solvent Type (CMA) |
|-------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Physical attributes | L | L | L | |
| Particle Size | H | H | H | |
| Yield | M | M | M | |
| Angle of Repose | H | H | H | |
| Carr’s Index | H | H | H | |
| Hausner ratio | H | H | H | |

Optimization of formulation using 3² full factorial design

3² level factorial design was planned considering the critical parameters of the NC, which were determined by small-volume pre-experiments and evaluated by Mini Tab™ Software. Reaction time and acid concentration for AH and pressure and No of Passes for HPH were selected as independent variables. Dependent variables considered in the factorial design were particle size and yield based on the severity scores of CQAs. The levels of the factors are shown in table 5.

Table 5: Independent and dependent variables with their levels

| Acid hydrolysis (AH) | Levels | Medium (0) | High (1) |
|----------------------|--------|------------|----------|
| Independent variables | | | |
| X1 Time (Minutes) | 30 | 45 | 60 |
| X2 Acid Concentration (N) | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 |
| High pressure homogenizer (HPH) | | | |
| Independent Variables | Levels | Medium (0) | High (1) |
| | | | |
| X1 Pressure (PSI) | 22000 | 24000 | 26000 |
| X2 No of Passes | 5 | 10 | 15 |

Reproducibility of the process was checked, relative standard deviation was calculated. This showed that the methods were reproducible. The polynomial functions of the correlations are described. The lack of fit analysis (data not shown) showed that a quadratic model was appropriate for the description of all responses. The quadratic equations for the responses are shown in table 6.

Table 6: Quadratic equations for the responses

| Acid hydrolysis | Y1 | Y2 |
|----------------|----|----|
| 844.46-126.51X₁+373.96X₂+8.3X₁X₂+18.05X₁²+75.2X₂² | 81.44-1.66X₁-2.5X₂-0.5X₁X₂-1.66X₁²-1.16X₂² |
| High pressure homogenization | 892.45-180.47X₁-408.11X₂-1.82X₁X₂+68.61X₁²-11.98X₂² |
| Y2 | 77.44-2.66X₁-2X₂+1.25X₁X₂+2.33X₁²+3.33X₂² |

For obtaining design space surface plots were generated as shown in fig. 3. In AH, concentration of acid and time of treatment (fig. 3a) whereas in HPH, number of passes and pressure correlated directly with the particle size range of NC (fig. 3b). As the acid concentration and time is increased, narrow particle size distribution is observed. Lower number of passes and pressure resulted in a broader particle size distribution profile. Acid concentration and time resulted in narrower yield (fig. 3c). Decrease in number of passes and pressure resulted in higher yield (fig. 3d).

FTIR analysis
Spectrum of AH-NC, HPH-NC and Avicel PH101 of FT-IR is shown in fig. 4. The FTIR spectra revealed that all finger print peaks for isolated NC are concordant with standard peaks reported in the literature for other celluloses. NC prepared using AH and HPH demonstrated comparable IR spectra with marketed cellulose Avicel PH101.
Absorption bands around 3400, 1430, 1370 and 890 cm⁻¹ are characteristically attributed to cellulose [33]. The broad absorption at 3400-3600 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the stretching vibration of OH groups [34], and the absorption at 2920 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to the C-H stretching vibration [35]. The peak at 1645 cm⁻¹ is related to the bending mode of the water molecule resulting from a strong interaction between water and cellulose [36]. Other adsorption peaks are mainly assignable to the intermolecular hydrogen attraction at the C6 group at 1425 cm⁻¹, C-O-C glycosidic band stretching vibration at 1163 cm⁻¹ and C-H rock vibration at 896 cm⁻¹. Aromatic C-H out-of-plane bending vibration in lignin at 828 cm⁻¹ [37] did not appear in the spectrum exhibiting complete removal of lignin by chemical pretreatment.

**Thermal stability of NC**

The thermal stability of the AH-NC and HPH-NC was investigated by thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC).
Fig. 5: TGA thermograms of AH-NC, HPH-NC, Avicel PH101

The thermograms in fig. 5 show that Avicel PH 101, AH-NC and HPH-NC follow similar degradation patterns. As shown in table 7, TGA profiles of Avicel PH 101, AH-NC and HPH-NC are identical with respect to T5%, T50% and W500 (%). T5% and T50% are the temperature for which 5% and 50% of the mass is decomposed respectively, whereas, W500 (%) denotes char yield. Initial weight loss represents evaporation of loose bound free water on the surface and also due to removal of the protective waxes and lignin layers from the fiber. Lower residual char value at 500 °C for AH-NC and HPH-NC indicating lower amounts of residual solids. This could be an indicator for the absence of hemicellulose or lignin [38].

Table 7: Thermal properties for AH-NC, HPH-NC, Avicel PH101

| Parameter | Avicel PH 101 | AH-NC | HPH-NC |
|-----------|---------------|-------|--------|
| T5%, onset (°C)a | 244.07 | 229.51 | 200.69 |
| T50% (°C)b | 330.75 | 318.69 | 326.58 |
| W500 (%)c | 19.113 | 15.23 | 6.10 |

a onsets temperature for 5% decomposition; b temperature at 50% weight loss; c residual char weight at 500 °C

Fig. 6: DSC thermograms of the cellulose samples AH-NC, HPH-NC, Avicel PH101

Differential scanning calorimetry

As shown in fig. 6, DSC fairly corresponds with the observations made from thermo gravimetric analysis. The onset temperatures of the decomposition as well as the midpoint and inflection point temperature data for all the samples are similar and are presented in table 8. The corn husk undergoes transition and reorient in a compact crystal cellulose structure after removal of non-cellulosic
materials. The higher onset temperatures are associated with higher thermal stability and high degree of crystallinity. In all the thermograms cellulose showed a sharp endothermic peak at 330-340 °C, corresponding to the fusion of its crystalline part. This behavior could be attributed to the high degree of crystallinity of the celluloses [38].

Table 8: DSC thermograms of celluloses sample AH-NC, HPH-NC, Avicel PH101

| Parameter   | Avicel PH101 | AH-NC  | HPH-NC |
|-------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| Onset (°C)  | 270          | 290    | 290    |
| Mid Point (°C) | 340       | 330    | 340    |
| Inflection Point (°C) | 370      | 350    | 350    |

Fig. 7: X-ray diffractograms of the cellulose samples AH-NC, HPH-NC, Avicel PH101

XRD analysis

The crystallinity of Avicel PH101, AH-NC and HPH-NC is investigated using X-ray diffractometry and diffraction spectra of all cellulose samples are shown in fig 7. The diffractograms of the Avicel PH101, AH-NC and HPH-NC exhibit diffraction pattern typical of cellulose I, with diffraction peaks of the 2θ angles at 15.0 °, 14.32 ° and 22 °, which can be assigned to the 101, 101 and 002 reflections, respectively [39]. This indicates that all the above mentioned cellulotic samples obtained from corn husk are made up of cellulose I. This might be due to short time exposure of the raw materials to low concentration of sodium hydroxide solution (8 % NaOH) during the cellulose isolation. It has been reported that the lattice transition from cellulose I to cellulose II sets in above 10% of sodium hydroxide, but it is not completed below 15% of sodium hydroxide [40]. Crystallinity index gives a quantitative measure of the crystallinity in powders and can relate to the strength and stiffness of fibres [41]. Hence, in our cellulose sample crystallinity indices (86.55% for Avicel PH101, 83.15% for AH-NC and 83.15% for HPH-NC) are similar to those reported in other studies for MCC [42, 43]. High crystallinity indicates an ordered compact molecular structure, which translates to dense particles, whereas lower crystallinity implies a more disordered structure, resulting in a more amorphous powder.

TEM

The TEM images of AH-NC and HPH-NC are shown in fig. 8. AH-NC and HPH-NC are shown as middle shaped particles. NC showed a broad polydispersity of 100-500 nm in length. NC is comparable in length to the nanocrystallites isolated from rice husk [44] and barley [45]. It can be concluded that the extraction methods affect the morphology and size distribution of NC. HPH is a harsh process affecting most of the disordered regions of the cellulose, whereas the acid hydrolysis alone is effective in breaking strong hydrogen bonds of native fibers dissolving most of the amorphous regions thereby resulting in an organized picture in case of AH-NC [46].

Updated risk assessment of optimized batch

During process development, CMAs having high risks were addressed. After detailed experimentation, initial manufacturing process was updated. Table 9 shows reduction in risks for the production of nanocellulose as a result of the process development work. Obtained values of particle size and yield were in good agreement with each other. Hence, it can be concluded that the model has good predictive ability within the design space as shown in fig. 9. The test batch with a coded value of $X_1$ and $X_2$ showed desirable nano size particles as optimal batches.
Fig. 8: TEM image of (a) AH-NC and (b) HPH-NC

Fig. 9: Optimized batch particle size a) AH-NC b) HPH-NC

Table 9: Updated risk assessment to identify variables affecting product quality

|       | Initial material | AH   | HPH  |
|-------|------------------|------|------|
| Y1    | Mean particle size (µm to nm) | 55   | 544.8| 985.2|
| Y2    | Yield (%)        | 78   | 78   | 82   |

Applied parameters for AH

| X1          | Acid Concentration (N) | 1.5 (L) | - |
|-------------|------------------------|---------|---|
| X2          | Reaction Time (min)    | 45 (L)  | - |

Applied parameters for HPH

| X1          | Pressure              | -       | 22000 (L) |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------|
| X2          | No of Passes          | -       | 10 (L)    |

Table 10: Improvements in the powder rheological properties compression

|                  | Angle of repose | Carr’s index | Hausner ratio | Classification USP 30 |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Initial Material | 35.4            | 22.42        | 1.26          | Passable              |
| AH-NC            | 31.3            | 14           | 1.16          | Good                  |
| HPH-NC           | 32.24           | 18.3         | 1.22          | Fair                  |

Powder rheology

Powder rheological properties of optimized batch were investigated and it was revealed that NC had far better flow properties than initial material; Carr’s index and Hausner’s ratio also improved compared to the initial material. This can ease the direct compression tableting reducing the amount of the additives in the final formulation. In table 10 improvements in powder rheological properties are summarized.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates the applicability of QbD for production of Nanocellulose by processing cellulose obtained from recycling corn husk. The chosen model helps to envision the effects of the CMAs,
CPPs in context to CQAs. Factorial design was employed to save the time of runs for the batches, use of reagents, electricity and skilled labor. NC prepared by both methods showed similar results in instrumental analysis (FTIR, DSC, TGA, XRD and TEM) and physiochemical characterization (Carr's Index, Hausner's Ratio, Particle size and % Yield). Between the two techniques of processing, NC produced by acid hydrolysis does not require use of modern equipment (such as high pressure homogenizer), minimizes the possibility of accidental metal contamination due to HPH, is eco-friendly, less time consuming, cost effective, less labor intensive and has superior flow properties (which is more suitable for high-speed tablet press). Hence they would propose hydrolysis of cellulose using a dilute acid for production of NC as our method of choice for large scale production.
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