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Preface

Partial differential equations are fundamental tools in mathematics, sciences and engineering. For instance, the electrodynamics is governed by the Maxwell equations, the two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation is used to describe the propagation of an intense laser beam through a medium with Kerr nonlinearity and the Navier-Stokes equations are the fundamental equations in fluid dynamics. There are three major ways of studying partial differential equations. The analytic way is to study the existence and uniqueness of certain solutions of partial differential equations and their mathematical properties. While the numerical way is to find certain numerical solutions of partial differential equations. In particular, physicists and engineers have developed their own computational methods of finding physical and practically useful numerical solutions, mostly motivated by experiments. The algebraic way is to study symmetries, conservation laws, exact solutions and complete integrability of partial differential equations.

This book belongs to the third category. It is mainly an exposition of the various algebraic techniques of solving partial differential equations for exact solutions developed by the author in recent years, with emphasis on physical equations such as: the Calogero-Sutherland model of quantum many-body system in one-dimension, the Maxwell equations, the free Dirac equations, the generalized acoustic system, the Kortweg and de Vries (KdV) equation, the Kadomtsev and Petviashvili (KP) equation, the equation of transonic gas flows, the short-wave equation, the Khokhlov and Zabolotskaya equation in nonlinear acoustics, the equation of geopotential forecast, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in optics, the Davey and Stewartson equations of three-dimensional packets of surface waves, the equation of the dynamic convection in a sea, the Boussinesq equations in geophysics, the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and the classical boundary layer equations.

It is well known that most partial differential equations from geometry are treated as the equations of elliptic type and most partial differential equations from fluid dynamics are treated as the equations of hyperbolic type. Analytically, partial differential equations of elliptic type are easier than those of hyperbolic type. Most of the nonlinear partial differential equations in this book are from fluid dynamics. Our results show that algebraically, partial differential equations of hyperbolic type are easier than those of el-
liptic type in terms of exact solutions. Algebraic approach and analytic approach have fundamental differences.

This book was written based on the author’s lecture notes on partial differential equations taught at the Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences. It turned out that the course with the same title as the book was welcome not only by mathematical graduate students but also by physical and engineering students. Some engineering faculty members had also showed their interests in the course. The book is self-contained with the minimal prerequisite of calculus and linear algebra. It progresses according to the complexity of equations and sophistication of the techniques involved. Indeed, it includes the basic algebraic techniques in ordinary differential equations and a brief introduction to special functions as the preparation for the main context.

In linear partial differential equations, we focus on finding all the polynomial solutions and solving the initial-value problems. Intuitive derivations of easily-using symmetry transformations of nonlinear partial differential equations are given. These transformations generate sophisticated solutions with more parameters from relatively simple ones. They are also used to simplify our process of finding exact solutions. We have extensively used moving frames, asymmetric conditions, stable ranges of nonlinear terms, special functions and linearizations in our approaches to nonlinear partial differential equations. The exact solutions we obtained usually contain multiple parameter functions and most of them are not of traveling-wave type.

The book can serve as a research reference book for mathematicians, scientists and engineers. It can also be treated as a text book after a proper selection of materials for training students’ mathematical skills and enriching their knowledge.

Xiaoping Xu

Beijing, P. R. China

2012
Introduction

In normal circumstances, the natural world operates according to physical laws. Many of these laws were formulated in terms of partial differential equations. For instance, the electromagnetic fields in physics are governed by the well-known Maxwell equations

\[ \partial_t(E) = \text{curl} \, B, \quad \partial_t(B) = -\text{curl} \, E \] (0.1)

with

\[ \text{div} \, E = f(x, y, z), \quad \text{div} \, B = g(x, y, z), \] (0.2)

where the vector function \( E \) stands for the electric field, the vector function \( B \) stands for the magnetic field, the scalar function \( f \) is related to the charge density and the scalar function \( g \) is related to the magnetic potential. The two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

\[ i\psi_t + \kappa(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + \varepsilon|\psi|^2\psi = 0 \] (0.3)

is used to describe the propagation of an intense laser beam through a medium with Kerr nonlinearity, where \( t \) is the distance in the direction of propagation, \( x \) and \( y \) are the transverse spacial coordinates, \( \psi \) is a complex valued function in \( t, x, y \) standing for electric field amplitude, and \( \kappa, \varepsilon \) are nonzero real constants. Moreover, the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations

\[ i\psi_t + \kappa_1(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_1|\psi|^2 + \epsilon_1|\varphi|^2)\psi = 0, \] (0.4)

\[ i\varphi_t + \kappa_2(\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_2|\psi|^2 + \epsilon_2|\varphi|^2)\varphi = 0 \] (0.5)

are used to describe the interaction of electromagnetic waves with different polarizations in nonlinear optics, where \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \epsilon_1 \) and \( \epsilon_2 \) are real constants.

The most fundamental differential equations in the motion of incompressible viscous fluids are the Navier-Stokes equations

\[ u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z + \frac{1}{\rho}p_x = \nu(u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}), \] (0.6)

\[ v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \frac{1}{\rho}p_y = \nu(v_{xx} + v_{yy} + v_{zz}), \] (0.7)
\[
\rho \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \nu (w_{xx} + w_{yy} + w_{zz}), \tag{0.8}
\]
\[
u x + y + w = 0, \tag{0.9}
\]
where \((u, v, w)\) stands for the velocity vector of the fluid, \(p\) stands for the pressure of the fluid, \(\rho\) is the density constant and \(\nu\) is the coefficient constant of the kinematic viscosity.

Algebraic study of partial differential equations traces back to Norwegian mathematician Sophus Lie [Lie], who invented the powerful tool of continuous groups (known as Lie groups) in 1874 in order to study symmetry of differential equations. Lie’s idea has been carried on mainly by the mathematicians in the former states of Soviet Union, East Europe and some mathematicians in North America. Now it has become an important mathematical field known as “group analysis of differential equations,” whose main objective is to find symmetry group of partial differential equations, related conservation laws and similarity solutions. The most influential modern books on the subject may be the book “Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations” by Olver [Op] and the book “Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations” by Ibragimov (cf. [In2, In3]). In [X3], we found the complete set of functional generators for the differential invariants of classical groups.

Soliton phenomenon was first observed by J. Scott Russel in 1834 when he was riding on horseback beside the narrow Union Canal near Edinburgh, Scotland. The phenomenon had been theoretically studied by Russel, Airy (1845), Stokes (1847), Boussinesq (1871, 1872) and Rayleigh (1876). The problem was finally solved by Kortweg and de Vries (1895) in terms of the partial differential equation
\[
u t + 6\nu u_x + u_{xxx} = 0, \tag{0.10}
\]
where \(u\) is the surface elevation of the wave above the equilibrium level, \(x\) is the distance from starting point and \(t\) stands for time (later people also realized that the above equation and its one-soliton solution appeared in the Boussinesq’s long paper [Bj]). However, it was not until 1960 that any further application of the equation was discovered. Gardner and Morikawa [GM] (1960) rediscovered the KdV equation in the study of collision-free hydromagnetic waves. Subsequently, the KdV equation has arisen in a number of other physical contexts, such as, stratified internal waves, ion-acoustic waves, plasma physics and lattice dynamics etc. Later a group led by Kruskal [GGKM1, GGKM2, KMGZ, MGK] invented a special way of solving the KdV equation (known as “inverse scattering method”) and discovered infinite number of conservation laws of the equation. Their works laid down the foundation for the field of integrable systems. We refer to the excellent book “Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering” by Ablowitz and Clarkson [AC] for the details. Galaktionov and Svirshshevskii [GS] gave an invariant-subspace approach to nonlinear partial differential equations.
On the other hand, Gel’fand, Dikii and Dorfam [GDi1, GDi2, GDo1-GDo3] introduced in 1970s a theory of Hamiltonian operators in order to study the integrability of nonlinear evolution partial differential equations (also cf. [Mf]). Our first experience with partial differential equation was in the works [X1, X2, X4-X6] on the structure of Hamiltonian operators and their supersymmetric generalizations. In particular, we [X5] proved that vertex algebras are equivalent to linear Hamiltonian operators as mathematical structures. In this book, we are going to solve partial differential equations directly based on the algebraic characteristics of individual equations. The tools we have employed are: the grading technique from representation theory, the Campbell-Hausdorff-type factorization of exponential differential operators, Fourier expansions, matrix differential operators, stable-range of nonlinear terms, generalized power series method, moving frames, classical special functions in one variable and new multi-variable special functions found by us, asymmetric conditions, symmetry transformations and linearization techniques etc. The solved partial differential equations are: flag partial differential equations (including constant-coefficient linear equations), the Calogero-Sutherland model of quantum many-body system in one-dimension, the Maxwell equations, the free Dirac equations, the generalized acoustic system, the Kortweg and de Vries (KdV) equation, the Kadomtsev and Petviashvili (KP) equation, the equation of transonic gas flows, the short-wave equation, the Khokhlov and Zabolotskaya equation in nonlinear acoustics, the equation of geopotential forecast, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in optics, the Davey and Stewartson equations of three-dimensional packets of surface waves, the equation of the dynamic convection in a sea, the Boussinesq equations in geophysics, the Navier-Stokes equations and the classical boundary layer equations.

The book consists of two parts. The first part is about basic algebraic techniques of solving ordinary differential equations and a brief introduction to special functions, most of which are solutions of certain ordinary differential equations. This part serves as a preparation for later solving partial differential equations. It also makes the book accessible to the larger audience, who may even not know what differential equation is about but have the basic knowledge in calculus and linear algebra. The second part is our main context, which consists of linear partial differential equations, nonlinear scalar partial differential equations and systems of nonlinear partial differential equations. Below we give chapter-by-chapter detailed introductions.

In Chapter 1, we start with first-order linear ordinary differential equations, and then turn to first-order separable equations, homogenous equations and exact equations. Next we present the methods of solving more special first-order ordinary differential equations such as: the Bernoulli equations, the Darboux equations, the Riccati equations, the Abel equations and the Clairaut’s equations.

Chapter 2 begins with solving homogeneous linear ordinary differential equations with
constant coefficients by characteristic equations. Then we solve the Euler equations and exact equations. Moreover, the method of undetermined coefficients for solving nonhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equations is presented. Furthermore, we give the method of variation of parameters for solving second-order nonhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equations. In addition, we introduce the power series method to solve variable-coefficient linear ordinary differential equations and study the Bessel equation in detail.

Special functions are important objects both in mathematics and physics. The problem of finding a function of continuous variable \( x \) that equals \( n! \) when \( x = n \) is a positive integer, was suggested by Bernoulli and Goldbach, and was investigated by Euler in the late 1720s. In Chapter 3, we first introduce the gamma function \( \Gamma(z) \), as a continuous generalization of \( n! \). Then we prove the following identities: (1) the beta function \( B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1 - t)^{y-1} dt = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x + y) \); (2) Euler’s reflection formula \( \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \pi/\sin \pi z \); (3) the product formula

\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{n}\right) \Gamma\left(z + \frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(z + \frac{n-1}{n}\right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}}{n^{n-1/2}} \Gamma(nz). \tag{0.11}
\]

In his thesis presented at Göttingen in 1812, Gauss discovered the one-variable function \( 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) \). We introduce it in Chapter 3 as the power series solution of the Gauss hypergeometric equation

\[
z(1 - z)y'' + [\gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1)z]y' - \alpha \beta y = 0 \tag{0.12}
\]

and prove the Euler’s integral representation

\[
2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1 - t)^{\gamma-\beta-1}(1 - zt)^{-\alpha} dt. \tag{0.13}
\]

Moreover, Jacobi polynomials are introduced from the finite-sum cases of the Gauss hypergeometric function and their orthogonality is proved. Legendre orthogonal polynomials are discussed in detail.

Weierstrass’s elliptic function \( \wp(z) \) is a double-periodic function with second-order poles, satisfying the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

\[
\wp'^2(z) = 4\wp^3(z) - g_2\wp(z) - g_3, \tag{0.14}
\]

whose consequence

\[
\wp''(z) = 6\wp^2(z) - \frac{g_2}{2} \tag{0.15}
\]

will be used later for solving nonlinear partial differential equations. Weierstrass’s zeta function \( \zeta(z) \) is an integral of \( -\wp(z) \), that is, \( \zeta'(z) = -\wp(z) \). Moreover, Weierstrass’s sigma function \( \sigma(z) \) satisfies \( \sigma'(z)/\sigma(z) = \zeta(z) \). We discuss these functions and their properties in Chapter 3 to a certain depth.
Finally in Chapter 3, we present Jacobi’s elliptic functions \( \text{sn} (z|m), \text{cn} (z|m) \) and \( \text{dn} (z|m) \), and derive the nonlinear ordinary differential equations satisfied by them. These functions are also very useful in solving nonlinear partial differential equations.

Chapter 4 to Chapter 10 are the main contexts of this book. First in Chapter 4, we derive the commonly used method of characteristic lines for solving first-order quasilinear partial differential equations, including boundary-value problems. Then we talk about more sophisticated method of characteristic strip for solving nonlinear first-order of partial differential equations. Exact first-order partial differential equations are also handled.

A \textit{partial differential equation of flag type} is the linear differential equation of the form:

\[
(d_1 + f_1 d_2 + f_2 d_3 + \cdots + f_{n-1} d_n)(u) = 0,
\]

where \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \) are certain commuting locally nilpotent differential operators on the polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \) are polynomials satisfying

\[
d_l(f_j) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad l > j.
\]

Many variable-coefficient (generalized) Laplace equations, wave equations, Klein-Gordon equations, Helmholtz equations are equivalent to the equations of this type. A general equation of this type can not be solved by separation of variables. Flag partial differential equations also naturally appear in the representation theory of Lie algebras, in which the complete set of polynomial solutions is crucial in determining the structure of many natural representations. We use the grading technique from representation theory to solve flag partial differential equations and find the complete set of polynomial solutions. Our method also leads us to obtain the solution of initial-value problem of the following type of equations:

\[
(\partial_{x_1}^m - \sum_{r=1}^m \partial_{x_1}^{m-r} f_r(\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}))(u) = 0,
\]

where \( m \) and \( n > 1 \) are positive integers, and

\[
f_r(\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) \in \mathbb{R}[\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}].
\]

It turns out that the following family of new special functions

\[
\mathcal{Y}_\ell(y_1, \ldots, y_m) = \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m = 0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m}{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m} \right) \frac{y_1^{\ell_1} y_2^{\ell_2} \cdots y_m^{\ell_m}}{(\ell + \sum_{s=1}^m s \ell_s)!}
\]

play the key roles, where \( \ell \) is a nonnegative integer. In the case when all \( f_r = 1 \), we get that the functions

\[
\varphi_r(x) = x^r \mathcal{Y}_r(b_1 x, b_2 x^2, \ldots, b_m x^m) \quad \text{with} \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1
\]
form a fundamental set of solutions for the ordinary differential equation

\[ y^{(m)} - b_1 y^{(m-1)} - \cdots - b_{m-1} y' - b_m = 0. \]  

These results are taken from our work [X11].

Barros-Neto and Gel’fand [BG1, BG2] (1998, 2002) studied solutions of the equation

\[ u_{xx} + xu_{yy} = \delta(x - x_0, y - y_0) \]  

related to the Tricomi operator \( \partial_x^2 + x \partial_y^2 \). A natural generalization of the Tricomi operator is \( \partial_{x_1}^2 + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1} \partial_{x_n}^2 \). As pointed out in [BG1, BG2], the Tricomi operator is an analogue of the Laplace operator. So the equation

\[ u_t = u_{x_1 x_1} + x_1 u_{x_2 x_2} + \cdots + x_{n-1} u_{x_n x_n} \]  

is a natural analogue of heat conduction equation. In Chapter 4, we use the method of characteristic lines to prove a Campbell-Hausdorff-type factorization of exponential differential operators and then solve the initial-value problem of the following more general evolution equation

\[ u_t = (\partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + x_{n-1} \partial_{x_n}^{m_n})(u) \]  

by Fourier expansions. Indeed we have solved analogous more general equations related to tree diagrams. We also use the Campbell-Hausdorff-type factorization to solve the initial-value problem of analogous non-evolution flag partial partial differential equations. The results are due to our work [X7].

The *Calogero-Sutherland model* is an exactly solvable quantum many-body system in one-dimension (cf. [Cf], [Sb]), whose Hamiltonian is given by

\[ H_{CS} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_i}^2 + K \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(x_p - x_q)}, \]  

where \( K \) is a constant. The model was used to study long-range interactions of \( n \) particles. Solving the model is equivalent to find eigenfunctions and their corresponding eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian \( H_{CS} \) as a differential operator. We prove in Chapter 4 that the function

\[ e^{2\mu_1(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)} \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (e^{2x_p} - e^{2x_q})^{\mu_2} \]  

is a solution of the Calogero-Sutherland model for any real numbers \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \). If \( n = 2 \), we find a connection between the Calogero-Sutherland model and the Gauss hypergeometric function. When \( n > 2 \), a new class of multi-variable hypergeometric functions are found based on Etingof’s work [Ep]. The results are taken from our work [X9]. Finally in Chapter 4, we use matrix differential operators and Fourier expansions to solve the
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Maxwell equations, the free Dirac equations and the generalized acoustic system. The results come from our work [X10].

Chapter 5 deals with nonlinear scalar (one dependent variable) partial differential equations. First we do symmetry analysis on the KdV equation (0.10), and obtain the Galilean boost \( G_c(u(t, x)) = u(t, x + ct) - c/6 \) for \( c \in \mathbb{R} \). Solving the stationary equation \( 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \) and using the Galilean boost \( G_c \), we get the traveling-wave solutions of the KdV equation in terms of the functions \( \wp(z), \tan^2 z, \coth^2 z \) and \( cn^2(z|m) \), respectively. In particular, the soliton solution is obtained by taking \( \lim_{m \to 1} \) of a special case of the last solution. Moreover, we derive the Hirota bilinear presentation of the KdV equation and use it to find the two-soliton solution.

The Kadomtsev and Petviashvili (KP) equation

\[
(u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} = 0
\]  

with \( \epsilon = \pm 1 \) is used to describe the evolution of long water waves of small amplitude if they are weakly two-dimensional (cf. [KP]). The choice of \( \epsilon \) depends on the relevant magnitude of gravity and surface tension. The equation has also been proposed as a model for surface waves and internal waves in straits or channels of varying depth and width. The KP equation can be viewed as an extension of the KdV equation (0.10). In Chapter 5, we have done the symmetry analysis on the KP equation, and it possesses the following important symmetry transformation

\[
T_{3,\alpha}(u(t, x, y)) = u(t, x - \epsilon\alpha'y/6, y + \alpha) + \epsilon(2\alpha''y - \alpha'^2)/72,
\]  

where \( \alpha \) is any second-order differentiable equation in \( t \). Any solution of the KdV equation is obviously a solution of the KP equation, and the above transformation \( T_{3,\alpha} \) maps such a solution independent of \( y \) to a more sophisticated solution of the KP equation that depends on \( y \). However, not all the interesting solutions of the KP equation are obtained in this way. In fact, we solve the KP equation for solutions that are polynomial in \( x \), and obtain many solutions that can not be obtained from the solutions of the KdV equation; for instance, we have the solution

\[
u = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}(x - \epsilon\alpha'y/6 + \beta)^2\wp(y + \alpha) + \frac{2\alpha''y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6},
\]  

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are any functions in \( t \) with the above indicated differentiability. Furthermore, we find the Hirota bilinear presentation of the KP equation and get the following *lump solution* of the KP equation:

\[
u = 2\partial_x^2 \ln((x - cy + 3\epsilon(b - c^2)t + a)^2 + b(y + 6\epsilon ct)^2 - \epsilon/b^2),
\]  

where \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( b \neq 0 \). The above results in Chapter 5 are well-known (e.g., cf. [AC]) and we reformulate them here just for pedagogic purpose.
Lin, Reisner and Tsien [LRT] (1948) found the equation
\[ 2u_{tx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0 \quad (0.32) \]
for two-dimensional non-steady motion of a slender body in a compressible fluid, which was later called the “equation of transonic gas flows” (cf. [Me1]). We derive in Chapter 5 the symmetry transformations of the above equation. Using the stable range of the nonlinear term \( u_x u_{xx} \) and generalized power series method, we find a family of singular solutions with seven arbitrary parameter functions in \( t \) and a family of analytic solutions with six arbitrary parameter functions in \( t \). For instance, we have the solution
\[
  u = \frac{(x + \beta' y + \alpha)^3}{3(y - \beta)^2} + (\beta'' - 2\alpha')x + 2(\beta'\beta'' - \alpha'')y^2 - 2\beta''xy - \frac{2\beta'''}{3}y^3 + \mu \quad (0.33)
\]
which blows up on a moving line \( y = \beta \), where \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \mu \) are any functions in \( t \) with the above indicated differentiability. Such a solution may reflect the phenomenon of abrupt high-speed wind. The results are due to our work [X8].

Khristianovich and Rizhov [KR] (1958) discovered the equations of short waves:
\[
  u_y - 2v_t - 2(v - x)v_x - 2kv = 0, \quad v_y + u_x = 0 \quad (0.34)
\]
in connection with the nonlinear reflection of weak shock waves, where \( k \) is a real constant. Khokhlov and Zabolotskaya [KZ] (1969) found the equation
\[
  2u_{tx} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0. \quad (0.35)
\]
for quasi-plane waves in nonlinear acoustics of bounded bundles. More specifically, the equation describes the propagation of a diffraction sound beam in a nonlinear medium. The solutions of the above equations similar to those of the equation (0.32) are derived in Chapter 5 based on our work [X13].

In a book on short term weather forecast [Kt], Kibel' (1954) used the partial differential equation
\[
  (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_t + H_x(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_y - H_y(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x = kH_x \quad (0.36)
\]
for geopotential forecast on a middle level in earth sciences, where \( k \) is a real constant. The symmetry transformations and two new families of exact solutions with multiple parameter functions of the above equation are derived in Chapter 5. The results are newly obtained by us.

In Chapter 6, we solve the two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (0.3) and the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (0.4) and (0.5) by imposing a quadratic condition on the related argument functions and using their symmetry transformations. More complete families of exact solutions of such type are
obtained. The soliton solutions are included. Many of them are the periodic, quasi-periodic, aperiodic and singular solutions that may have practical significance. This was our work [14].

Davey and Stewartson [DS] (1974) used the method of multiple scales to derive the following system of nonlinear partial differential equations

\[ 2i u_t + \epsilon_1 u_{xx} + u_{yy} - 2\epsilon_2 |u|^2 u - 2uv = 0, \quad (0.37) \]

\[ v_{xx} - \epsilon_1 (v_{yy} + 2(|u|^2)_{xx}) = 0 \quad (0.38) \]

that describe the long time evolution of three-dimensional packets of surface waves, where \( u \) is a complex-valued function, \( v \) is a real valued function and \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1 \). In Chapter 6, we also apply the above quadratic-argument approach to the Davey-Stewartson equations and obtain four large families of solutions, including the soliton solution. This part is a revision of our earlier preprint [X18].

Both the atmospheric and oceanic flows are influenced by the rotation of the earth. In fact, the fast rotation and small aspect ratio are two main characteristics of the large scale atmospheric and oceanic flows. The small aspect ratio characteristic leads to the primitive equations, and the fast rotation leads to the quasi-geostrophic equations. A main objective in climate dynamics and in geophysical fluid dynamics is to understand and predict the periodic, quasi-periodic, aperiodic, and fully turbulent characteristics of the large scale atmospheric and oceanic flows. The general model of atmospheric and oceanic flows is very complicated.

Ovsiannikov (1967) introduced the following equations in geophysics:

\[ u_x + v_y + w_z = 0, \quad \rho = p_z, \quad (0.39) \]

\[ \rho_t + uu_x + vv_y + wu_z + v = -\frac{1}{\rho} p_x, \quad (0.41) \]

\[ v_t + uw_x + vv_y + wv_z - u = -\frac{1}{\rho} p_y \quad (0.42) \]

to describe the dynamic convection in a sea, where \( u, v \) and \( w \) are components of velocity vector of relative motion of fluid in Cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\), \( \rho = \rho(x, y, z, t) \) is the density of fluid and \( p \) is the pressure (e.g., cf. Page 203 in [In3]). Moreover, he determined the Lie point symmetries of the above equations and found two very special solutions. In Chapter 7, we give intuitive derivation of the symmetry transformations of the above equations and solve them by the moving line, cylindrical product and dimension reduction. This chapter is a revision of our earlier preprint [X17].
The two-dimensional Boussinesq equations for the incompressible fluid in geophysics are

\[ \begin{align*}
    u_t + uu_x + vu_y - \nu \Delta u &= -p_x, \\
    v_t + uv_x + vv_y - \nu \Delta v - \theta &= -p_y, \\
    \theta_t + u\theta_x + v\theta_y - \kappa \Delta \theta &= 0, \\
    u_x + v_y &= 0,
\end{align*} \]

(0.43)

where \((u, v)\) is the velocity vector field, \(p\) is the scalar pressure, \(\theta\) is the scalar temperature, \(\nu \geq 0\) is the viscosity and \(\kappa \geq 0\) is the thermal diffusivity. The above system is a simple model in atmospheric sciences (e.g., cf. [Ma], [Cd]). By imposing asymmetric conditions with respect to the spatial variables \(x, y\) and using moving frame, we find four families of multi-parameter solutions of the above Boussinesq equations in Chapter 8.

Another slightly simplified version of the system of primitive equations in geophysics is the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq system (e.g., cf. [LTW1], [LTW2], [Ma], [HMW]):

\[ \begin{align*}
    u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z - \frac{1}{R_0} v &= \sigma (\Delta u - p_x), \\
    v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \frac{1}{R_0} u &= \sigma (\Delta v - p_y), \\
    w_t + uw_x + vw_y + ww_z - \sigma RT &= \sigma (\Delta w - p_z), \\
    T_t + uT_x + vT_y + wT_z &= \Delta T + w, \\
    u_x + v_y + w_z &= 0,
\end{align*} \]

(0.45)-(0.49)

where \((u, v, w)\) is the velocity vector field, \(T\) is the temperature function, \(p\) is the pressure function, \(\sigma\) is the Prandtl number, \(R\) is the thermal Rayleigh number and \(R_0\) is the Rossby number. Moreover, the vector \((1/R_0)(-v, u, 0)\) represents the Coriolis force and the term \(w\) in (0.48) is derived using stratification. By the similar method of solving the two-dimensional equations, we derive in Chapter 8 five classes of multi-parameter solutions of the equations (0.45)-(0.49). The results in Chapter 8 are reformulations of those in our work [X16].

In Chapter 9, we introduce a method of imposing asymmetric conditions on the velocity vector with respect to independent spatial variables and a method of moving frame for solving the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations (0.6)-(0.9). Seven families of non-steady rotating asymmetric solutions with various parameters are obtained. In particular, one family of solutions blow up on a moving plane, which may be used to study abrupt high-speed rotating flows. Using Fourier expansion and two families of our solutions, one can obtain discontinuous solutions that may be useful in study of shock waves. Another family of solutions are partially cylindrical invariant, containing two parameter functions in \(t\), which may be used to describe incompressible fluid in a nozzle. Most of our solutions
are globally analytic with respect to spacial variables. The results are due to our work [X12].

In 1904, Prandtl observed that in the flow of slightly viscous fluid past bodies, the frictional effects are confined to a thin layer of fluid adjacent to the surface of the body. Moreover, he showed that the motion of a small amount of fluid in this boundary layer decides such important matters as the frictional drag, heat transfer, and transfer of momentum between the body and the fluid. The two-dimensional classical non-steady boundary layer equations

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t + uu_x + vu_y + p_x &= u_{yy}, \\
  p_y &= 0, \\
  u_x + v_y &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

are used to describe the motion of a flat plate with the incident flow parallel to the plate and directed to along the \( x \)-axis in the Cartesian coordinates \((x, y)\), where \( u \) and \( v \) are the longitudinal and the transverse components of the velocity, and \( p \) is the pressure (e.g., cf. [In3]). The three-dimensional classical non-steady boundary layer equations are:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z &= -\frac{1}{\rho}p_x + \nu u_{yy}, \\
  w_t + uw_x + vw_y + ww_z &= -\frac{1}{\rho}p_z + \nu w_{yy}, \\
  p_y &= 0, \\
  u_x + v_y + w_z &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \((u, v, w)\) denotes the velocity vector, \( p \) stands for the pressure, \( \rho \) is the density constant and \( \nu \) is the coefficient constant of the kinematic viscosity (e.g., cf. [In3]).

In Chapter 10, we introduce various schemes with multiple parameter functions to solve these equations and obtain many families of new explicit exact solutions with multiple parameter functions. Moreover, symmetry transformations are used to simplify our arguments. The technique of moving frame is applied in the three-dimensional case in order to capture the rotational properties of the fluid. In particular, we obtain a family of solutions singular on any moving surface, which may be used to study abrupt high-speed rotating flows. Many other solutions are analytic related to trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, which reflect various wave characteristics of the fluid. Our solutions may also help engineers to develop more effective algorithms to find physical numeric solutions to practical models. The results are taken from our work [X15]. Note that most of the nonlinear partial differential equations in this book are from fluid dynamics. Our results show that algebraically, partial differential equations of hyperbolic type are easier than those of elliptic type in terms of exact solutions. The research in this book was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11171324).
Conventions

$\mathbb{C}$: the field of complex numbers.

$l, l+k$: $\{l, l + 1, i = l + 2, ..., l + k\}$, an index set.

$\delta_{l,j} = 1$ if $l = j$, and $0$ if $l \neq j$.

$\mathbb{Z}$: the ring of integers.

$\mathbb{N}$: $\{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$, the set of nonnegative integers

$i = \sqrt{-1}$: the imaginary number.

$\mathbb{R}$: the field of real numbers.

$\partial_x$: the operator of taking partial derivative with respect to $x$.

\begin{itemize}
  \item We assume that all partial differential derivatives can change orders.
  \item We use prime $'$ to denote the derivative of a one-variable function.
  \item When an expression appears, we always assume the conditions that it makes sense; e.g.,
  $\sqrt{a-b} \implies a \geq b$ if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
\end{itemize}
Part I

Ordinary Differential Equations
Chapter 1

First-Order Ordinary Differential Equations

In this chapter, we start with first-order linear ordinary differential equations, and then turn to first-order separable equations, homogenous equations and exact equations. Next we present the methods of solving more special first-order ordinary differential equations such as: the Bernoulli equations, the Darboux equations, the Riccati equations, the Abel equations and the Clairaut’s equations.

1.1 Basics

In this section, we deal with first-order linear ordinary differential equations, separable equations, homogenous equations and exact equations.

Let $y$ be a function of $t$. We use $y' = dy/dt$. A first-order linear ordinary differential equation is written as

$$y' + f(t)y = g(t). \quad (1.1.1)$$

To solve the equation, we multiply the integrating factor $e^{\int f(t)dt}$ to the equation:

$$y'e^{\int f(t)dt} + f(t)ye^{\int f(t)dt} = g(t)e^{\int f(t)dt}, \quad (1.1.2)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(ye^{\int f(t)dt})' = g(t)e^{\int f(t)dt}. \quad (1.1.3)$$

Thus

$$ye^{\int f(t)dt} = \int g(t)e^{\int f(t)dt} dt + c, \quad (1.1.4)$$

where $c$ is an arbitrary constant. So we obtain the general solution

$$y = e^{-\int f(t)dt} \left[ \int g(t)e^{\int f(t)dt} dt + c \right]. \quad (1.1.5)$$
Example 1.1.1. Solve the following initial-value problem:

\[ ty' + 2y = 4t^2, \quad y(1) = 2 \]  

(1.1.6)

**Solution.** Rewrite the equation in the standard form:

\[ y' + \frac{2}{t} y = 4t. \]  

(1.1.7)

Then \( f(t) = 2/t \) and \( g(t) = 4t \). We calculate

\[ e^{\int f(t)dt} = e^{\int (2/t)dt} \quad \text{choose} \quad e^{2\ln|t|} = e^{\ln t^2} = t^2. \]  

(1.1.8)

Thus the general solution is:

\[ y = \int \frac{4t \cdot t^2 dt + c}{t^2} = t^2 + ct^{-2}. \]  

(1.1.9)

The initial condition \( y(1) = 2 \) implies

\[ 2 = 1 + c \Rightarrow c = 1. \]  

(1.1.10)

The final solution is:

\[ y = t^2 + t^{-2}. \quad \square \]  

(1.1.11)

A first-order *separable* ordinary differential equation is written as \( y' = f(t)g(y) \). The general solution is given by

\[ \int \frac{1}{g(y)} dy = \int f(t) dt + c. \]  

(1.1.12)

Example 1.1.2. Solve

\[ y' = \frac{ty^3}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}, \quad y(0) = 1. \]  

(1.1.13)

**Solution.** We rewrite the equation as

\[ \frac{2dy}{y^3} = \frac{2tdt}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}. \]  

(1.1.14)

So

\[ -\int \frac{2dy}{y^3} = -\int \frac{2tdt}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{y^2} = c - 2\sqrt{1 + t^2} \Rightarrow y = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{c - 2\sqrt{1 + t^2}}}. \]  

(1.1.15)

Since \( y(0) = 1 \), we choose positive sign and have

\[ 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c - 2}} \Rightarrow c = 3. \]  

(1.1.16)
Thus the final solution is
\[ y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3 - 2\sqrt{1 + t^2}}}. \] (1.1.17)

A first-order homogeneous ordinary differential equation is written as \( y' = f(y/t) \). To solve it, we change variable \( u(t) = y(t)/t \). Then
\[ y = tu \implies y' = u + tu'. \] (1.1.18)

Thus the equation \( y' = f(y/t) \) can be rewritten as
\[ u + tu' = f(u) \implies u' = f(u) - \frac{u}{t}, \] (1.1.19)
which is a separable equation.

**Example 1.1.3.** Find the general solution of the following homogeneous equation:
\[ y' = \frac{2y^2 - 3t^2}{ty}. \] (1.1.20)

**Solution.** Rewrite
\[ y' = \frac{2(y/t)^2 - 3}{y/t}. \] (1.1.21)
By changing variable \( u(t) = y(t)/t \), we get
\[ u + tu' = \frac{2u^2 - 3}{u} \implies tu' = \frac{2u^2 - 3}{u} - u = \frac{u^2 - 3}{u}. \] (1.1.22)
Thus
\[ \frac{udu}{u^2 - 3} = \frac{dt}{t} \implies \int \frac{2udu}{u^2 - 3} = \int \frac{2dt}{t} \implies \ln |u^2 - 3| = \ln t^2 + c_1. \] (1.1.23)
So
\[ u^2 - 3 = ct^2 \implies u^2 = 3 + ct^2. \] (1.1.24)
Hence
\[ \left( \frac{y}{t} \right)^2 = 3 + ct^2 \implies y^2 = 3t^2 + ct^4. \] (1.1.25)

**Example 1.1.4.** Solve the following equation
\[ y' = \frac{t + y - 2}{t - y + 4}. \] (1.1.26)

**Solution.** In order to change the above equation to a homogeneous equation, we change variable
\[ \begin{align*}
T &= t + k \\
Y &= y + l
\end{align*} \] (1.1.27)
where \( k \) and \( l \) are constants to be determined. Since
\[
\frac{t + y - 2}{t - y + 4} = \frac{T + Y - k - l - 2}{T - Y - k + l + 4},
\]
we let
\[
\begin{align*}
  k + l + 2 &= 0, \\
  -k + l + 4 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 
  k + l = -2, \\
  k - l = 4
\end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 
  k = 1, \\
  l = -3.
\end{cases}
\]

Hence
\[
\begin{align*}
  T &= t + 1, \\
  Y &= y - 3.
\end{align*}
\]

The original equation changes to
\[
\frac{dY}{dT} = \frac{T + Y}{T - Y} = \frac{1 + \frac{Y}{T}}{1 - \frac{Y}{T}}.
\]

Let
\[
u = \frac{Y}{T} \Rightarrow \frac{dY}{dT} = u + u'T.
\]

So
\[
\begin{align*}
  u + Tu' &= \frac{1 + u}{1 - u} \Rightarrow u'T = \frac{1 + u}{1 - u} - u = \frac{1 + u^2}{1 - u} \\
  \Rightarrow \frac{1 - u}{1 + u^2}du &= \frac{dT}{T} \Rightarrow \int \frac{1 - u}{1 + u^2}du = \int \frac{dT}{T}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \arctan u - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + u^2) = \ln |T| + c_1.
\]

Thus
\[
e^{\arctan u} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + u^2}} = c_2 T,
\]
equivalently,
\[
e^{\arctan u} = c_2 T \sqrt{1 + u^2} \Rightarrow e^{\arctan \frac{Y}{T}} = c_2 T \sqrt{1 + \frac{Y^2}{T^2}}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow e^{\arctan \frac{Y}{T}} = \pm c_2 \sqrt{T^2 + Y^2} = c \sqrt{T^2 + Y^2}.
\]

The final solution is
\[
e^{\arctan \frac{Y}{T}} = c \sqrt{(t + 1)^2 + (y - 3)^2}. \quad \Box
\]

A first-order *exact* ordinary differential equation has the form
\[
f(t, y)dt + g(t, y)dy = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}.
\]

In this case, the general solution is \( U(t, y) = c \), where \( U \) is a function determined from
\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = f, \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = g.
\]
Integrating the first equation yields $U = \int f(t, y) dt + \psi(y)$, where $\psi(y)$ is a function to be determined. In fact,

$$
\psi'(y) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \int f(t, y) dt}{\partial y} = g - \frac{\partial \int f(t, y) dt}{\partial y}.
$$

(1.1.42)

**Example 1.1.5.** Solve the following exact equation:

$$(9t^2 + y - 1) dt - (4y - t) dy = 0, \quad y(1) = 0. \quad (1.1.43)$$

**Solution.** Let

$$U(t, y) = \int (9t^2 + y - 1) dt + \psi(y) = 3t^3 + (y - 1)t + \psi(y). \quad (1.1.44)$$

Taking partial derivative of the above equation with respect to $y$, we have

$$U_y = t + \psi'(y) = -(4y - t). \quad (1.1.45)$$

Thus

$$\psi'(y) = -4y. \quad \text{Choose} \quad \psi(y) = -2y^2. \quad (1.1.46)$$

So $U = 3t^3 + (y - 1)t - 2y^2$ and the general solution is:

$$3t^3 + (y - 1)t - 2y^2 = c. \quad (1.1.47)$$

When $y(1) = 0$,

$$3 - 1 = c \implies c = 2. \quad (1.1.48)$$

The final solution is

$$3t^3 + (y - 1)t - 2y^2 = 2. \quad \square \quad (1.1.49)$$

An *integrating factor* for the equation $f(t, y) dt + g(t, y) dy = 0$ is a function $\mu(t, y)$ such that

$$\mu(t, y) f(t, y) dt + \mu(t, y) g(t, y) dy = 0 \quad (1.1.50)$$

is an exact equation, that is,

$$\frac{\partial(\mu f)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial(\mu g)}{\partial t} \implies g \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} - f \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \right) \mu \sim g \mu_t - f \mu_y = (f_y - g_t) \mu. \quad (1.1.51)$$

The condition for $\mu$ to be a pure function in $t$ (i.e, $\partial \mu / \partial y = 0$) is $\mu_t / \mu = (f_y - g_t) / g$ is a pure function in $t$.

**Example 1.1.6.** Solve the following equation by the method of exact equations and integrating factors:

$$t(t^2 + y^2 + 1) dt + y dy = 0, \quad y(0) = 2. \quad (1.1.52)$$
Solution. Note
\[ f = t(t^2 + y^2 + t), \quad g = y. \] (1.1.53)
Moreover,
\[ f_y = 2ty, \quad g_t = 0. \] (1.1.54)
Since
\[ \frac{f_y - g_t}{g} = 2t, \] (1.1.55)
we look for an integrating factor \( \mu(t) \). In this case,
\[ \frac{\mu'}{\mu} = 2t \Rightarrow \mu = e^{t^2}. \] (1.1.56)
Thus the original equation is equivalent to the following exact equation:
\[ e^{t^2} t(t^2 + y^2 + 1)dt + e^{t^2} ydy = 0. \] (1.1.57)
Let
\[ U(t, y) = \int e^{t^2} t(t^2 + y^2 + 1)dt + \psi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \int e^{t^2} (t^2 + y^2 + 1)dt^2 + \psi(y) \]
\[ = \frac{e^{t^2} (t^2 + y^2)}{2} + \psi(y). \] (1.1.58)
Then
\[ U_y(t, y) = e^{t^2} y + \psi'(y) = e^{t^2} y \Rightarrow \psi'(y) = 0 \Rightarrow \psi \text{ choose } = 0. \] (1.1.59)
Thus the general solution is:
\[ \frac{e^{t^2} (t^2 + y^2)}{2} = c. \] (1.1.60)
Since \( y(0) = 2 \), we have:
\[ c = \frac{2^2}{2} = 2. \] (1.1.61)
Therefore, the final solution is:
\[ e^{t^2} (t^2 + y^2) = 4. \quad \Box \] (1.1.62)

If \((f_y - g_t)/f\) is a pure function in \(y\), then we have the integrating factor
\[ \mu = \int \frac{g_t - f_y}{f} dy. \] (1.1.63)
Let \( \varphi(z) \) be any one-variable function.
If \( f = y \varphi(ty), \quad g = t \varphi(ty) \Rightarrow \mu = \frac{1}{tf - yg}. \) (1.1.64)
Excises 1.1.

1. Solve the equation:

\[ y' + y \tan t = t. \]

2. Find the general solution of the equation:

\[ y' = \frac{3t^2(1 + e^{y^2})}{2y(1 + t^2)}. \]

3. Solve the following equation

\[ y' = \frac{t + 2y - 1}{2t + 3y + 2}. \]

4. Find the general solution of the equation:

\[ y' = \frac{3t^2 - y^2 - 7}{e^y + 2ty + 1}. \]

5. Solve the equation:

\[ [3t^2 \sin ty + y(t^3 + 3y + 1) \cos ty]dt + [3 \sin ty + t(t^3 + 3y + 1) \cos ty]dy = 0. \]

1.2 Special Equations

We present in this section the methods of solving the Bernoulli equations, the Darboux equations, the Riccati equations, the Abel equations and the Clairaut’s equations.

A Bernoulli equation has the form

\[ y' + f(t)y = g(t)y^a, \quad a \neq 0, 1. \]

Changing variable \( u(t) = y^{1-a}, \) we get

\[ u' = (1-a)y^{-a}y' \sim (1-a)y' = y^a u'. \]
and (1.2.1) becomes

\[ y^a u' + (1 - a) y^a u = (1 - a) g y^a \sim u' + (1 - a) f u = (1 - a) g. \]  (1.2.3)

**Example 1.2.1.** Solve the following Bernoulli equation:

\[ y' - \frac{1}{t} y = y^3 \sin t^3. \]  (1.2.4)

*Solution.* Note that \( y \equiv 0 \) is an obvious solution.
We assume that \( y \neq 0 \). Rewrite the equation as:

\[ \frac{y'}{y^3} - \frac{1}{ty^2} = \sin t^3. \]  (1.2.5)

Change variable:

\[ u = \frac{1}{y^2}; \quad u' = -\frac{2y'}{y^3}. \]  (1.2.6)

Thus the original equation is equivalent to:

\[ -\frac{u'}{2} - \frac{u}{t} = \sin t^3, \]  (1.2.7)

equivalently,

\[ u' + \frac{2}{t} u = -2 \sin t^3. \]  (1.2.8)

We calculate

\[ e^\int \frac{2}{t} \, du = e^{2 \ln |t|} = e^{\ln t^2} = t^2. \]  (1.2.9)

Thus

\[ u = \int -2t^2 \sin t^3 \, dt + c = \frac{2}{3} \cos t^3 + c = \frac{2 \cos t^3 + c_1}{3t^2}. \]  (1.2.10)

Therefore,

\[ \frac{1}{y^2} = \frac{2 \cos t^3 + c_1}{3t^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad y = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \cos t^3 + c_1}. \]  (1.2.11)

A *Darboux equation* can be represented as

\[ (f(y/t) + t^a h(y/t)) y' = g(y/t) + y t^{a-1} h(y/t). \]  (1.2.12)

Using the substitution \( y(t) = tz(t) \) and taking \( z \) to be independent variable, we have

\[ \frac{dy}{dz} = y' \frac{dt}{dz} = t + z \frac{dt}{dz}. \]  (1.2.13)

So (1.2.12) becomes

\[ (f(z) + t^a h(z)) y \frac{dt}{dz} = (g(z) + zh(z)t^a) \frac{dt}{dz}, \]  (1.2.14)
1.2. SPECIAL EQUATIONS

equivalently,

\[(f(z) + t^a h(z)) \left( t + z \frac{dt}{dz} \right) = \left( g(z) + z h(z) t^a \right) \frac{dt}{dz} \tag{1.2.15} \]

Thus

\[(zf(z) - g(z)) \frac{dt}{dz} + f(z) t = -h(z) t^{a+1}, \tag{1.2.16} \]

which is a Bernoulli equation.

A Riccati equation has the general form

\[y' = f_2(t)y^2 + f_1(t)y + f_0(t). \tag{1.2.17} \]

If \(f_2 = 0\), the equation is a linear equation. When \(f_0 = 0\), it is a Bernoulli equation. Changing variable

\[y = \frac{-u(t)}{f_2(t)u(t)}, \tag{1.2.18} \]

we have

\[y' = \frac{f_2 u'^2 + f_2 u u' - f_2 uu''}{f_2^2 u^2} \tag{1.2.19} \]

and (1.2.17) becomes

\[\frac{f_2 u'^2 + f_2 u u' - f_2 uu''}{f_2^2 u^2} = \frac{u'^2}{f_2 u^2} - \frac{f_1 u'}{f_2 u} + f_0 \sim u'' = \left( \frac{f_2}{f_2} + f_1 \right) u' - f_0 f_2 u = 0, \tag{1.2.20} \]

which is a second-order linear ordinary equation.

**Example 1.2.2.** Solve the Riccati equation:

\[y' = e^t y^2 - y + e^{-t}. \tag{1.2.21} \]

*Solution.* Now \(f_2 = e^t\), \(f_1 = -1\) and \(f_0 = e^{-t}\). Changing variable

\[y(t) = -\frac{e^{-t}u(t)}{u(t)}, \tag{1.2.22} \]

we get

\[u'' = -u \tag{1.2.23} \]

by (1.2.20). By a later method, the general solution of (1.2.23) is \(u = c_1 \sin(t + c_2)\). Thus the general solution of (1.2.21) is

\[y = -e^{-t} \cot(t + c_2). \tag{1.2.24} \]

Suppose that \(y = \varphi(t)\) is a particular solution of (1.2.17). Changing variable \(y(t) = \varphi(t) + u(t)\), we reduce (1.2.17) to the Bernoulli equation

\[u' = f_2 u^2 + (f_1 + 2f_2 \varphi)y. \tag{1.2.25} \]
**Example 1.2.3.** Solve the Riccati equation:

\[ y' = y^2 + \frac{t \tan t + 2}{t} y + \frac{t \tan t + 2}{t^2}. \quad (1.2.26) \]

**Solution.** Observe that \( y = -1/t \) is a particular solution of (1.2.26). Changing variable \( y(t) = u(t) - 1/t \), we get

\[ u' = u^2 + \tan t \, u. \quad (1.2.27) \]

Set \( w = 1/u \). Then (1.2.27) becomes

\[ w' = -1 - \tan t \, w \implies w = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \sin t}{1 + \sin t} + c \right] \cos t. \quad (1.2.28) \]

So

\[ u = \frac{\sec t}{\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \sin t}{1 + \sin t} + c} \implies y = \frac{\sec t}{\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \sin t}{1 + \sin t} + c} - \frac{1}{t}. \quad \square \quad (1.2.29) \]

An **Abel equation of the first kind** has the general form

\[ y' = f_3(t)y^3 + f_2(t)y^2 + f_1(t)y + f_0(t), \quad f_3(t) \neq 0. \quad (1.2.30) \]

The above equation is not integrable for arbitrary \( f_n(t) \). We only list two interesting special cases:

1. The Abel equation is generalized homogeneous:

\[ y' = at^{2n+1}y^3 + bt^n y^2 + \frac{m}{t} y + dt^{-n-2}. \quad (1.2.31) \]

Changing variable \( y(t) = u(t)/t^{n+1} \), we obtain

\[ y' = \frac{tu' - (n + 1)u}{t^{n+2}} \quad (1.2.32) \]

and

\[ \frac{tu' - (n + 1)u}{t^{n+2}} = a \frac{u^3}{t^{n+2}} + b \frac{u^2}{t^{n+2}} + c \frac{u}{t^{n+2}} + d \frac{1}{t^{n+2}}, \quad (1.2.33) \]

equivalently,

\[ tu' - (n + 1)u = au^3 + bu^2 + cu + d \sim tu' = au^3 + bu^2 + (c + n + 1)u + d, \quad (1.2.34) \]

which is a separable equation.

2. The Abel equation has the form:

\[ y' = at^{3n-m}y^3 + bt^{2n}y^2 + \frac{m - n}{t}y + dt^{2m}. \quad (1.2.35) \]
1.2. SPECIAL EQUATIONS

Changing variable \( y(t) = t^{m-n}u(t) \), we obtain

\[
y' = t^{m-n}u' + (m-n)t^{m-n-1}u
\]

and

\[
t^{m-n}u' + (m-n)t^{m-n-1}u = at^{2m}u^3 + bt^{2m}u^2 + (m-n)t^{m-n-1}u + dt^{2m}, \quad (1.2.37)
\]
equivalently,

\[
t^{-m-n}u' = au^3 + bu^2 + d, \quad (1.2.38)
\]

which is a separable equation.

From the above examples, we can try changing variable \( y = g_1(t)u(t) + g_0(t) \) to reduce the Abel equation to a separable equation, where \( g_0 \) and \( g_1 \) are the functions to be determined.

An Abel equation of the second kind has the general form

\[
(y + g(t))y' = f_2(t)y^2 + f_1(t)y + f_0(t), \quad g(t) \neq 0. \quad (1.2.39)
\]

Again the above equation is not integrable for arbitrary \( f_n(t) \). We only list two interesting special cases:

1. The Abel equation of second kind is generalized homogeneous:

\[
(y + kt^n)y' = a\frac{y^2}{t} + bt^{n-1}y + ct^{2n-1}. \quad (1.2.40)
\]

Changing variable \( y(t) = t^n u(t) \), we obtain

\[
y' = t^n u' + nt^{n-1}u
\]

and

\[
(u + k)t^n(t^n u' + nt^{n-1}u) = at^{2n-1}u^2 + bt^{2n-1}u + ct^{2n-1}, \quad (1.2.42)
\]
equivalently,

\[
(u + k)(tu' + nu) = au^2 + bu + c = (u + k)u' = (a - n)u^2 + (b - nk)u + c, \quad (1.2.43)
\]

which is a separable equation.

2. The Abel equation of second kind has the form:

\[
(y + g(t))y' = f_2(t)y^2 + f_1(t)y + f_1(t)g(t) - f_2(t)g^2(t). \quad (1.2.44)
\]

Note that \( y = -g(t) \) is a solution. Changing variable \( y(t) = u(t) - g(t) \), we obtain

\[
u(u' - g') = f_2(u - g)^2 + f_1(u - g) + f_1g - f_2g^2, \quad (1.2.45)
\]
equivalently,
\[ uu' = f_2 u^2 + (f_1 + g' - 2gf_2)u \sim u' = f_2 u + f_1 + g' - 2gf_2, \]
which is a first-order linear equation.

From the above examples, we can again try changing variable
\[ y = g_1(t)u(t) + g_0(t) \]
to reduce the Abel equation of second kind to an integrable equation, where \( g_0 \) and \( g_1 \) are the functions to be determined.

A Clairaut’s equation has the general form
\[ f(ty' - y) = g(y'). \]

Note that the linear function \( y = at - b \) for which \( f(b) = g(a) \) is a solution. But the equation has more solutions in general. Differentiating (1.2.47), we get
\[ y''(tf'(ty' - y) - g'(y')) = 0. \]

Solving the system
\[ f(ty' - y) = g(y'), \quad tf'(ty' - y) = g'(y') \]
by viewing \( y \) and \( y' \) as variables, we get a singular solution of \( y \).

**Example 1.2.4.** Solve the equation
\[ (ty' - y)^2 - y'^2 - 1 = 0. \]

**Solution.** Rewrite the equation as \( (ty' - y)^2 = y'^2 + 1 \). Note \( f(z) = z^2 \) and \( g(z) = z^2 + 1 \). Let
\[ f(b) = g(a) \sim b^2 = a^2 + 1 \sim b = \pm \sqrt{a^2 + 1}. \]

So we have the solution
\[ y = at \pm \sqrt{a^2 + 1}. \]

Now the second equation in (1.2.49) becomes
\[ t(ty' - y) = y' \implies y' = \frac{ty}{t^2 - 1}. \]

According to (1.2.50),
\[ \frac{y^2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} - \frac{t^2 y^2}{(t^2 - 1)^2} - 1 = 0 \sim y^2 + t^2 = 1. \]

We refer to [PZ] for more exact solutions of ordinary differential equations.
Exercises 1.2:

1. Solve the following Bernoulli equation

\[ y' - \frac{1}{t}y = 2y^2 \tan t^2. \]

2. Solve the Riccati equation

\[ y' = y^2 + \frac{t \cot t + 2}{t}y + \frac{t \cot t + 2}{t^2}. \]

3. Solve the Abel equation of the first kind:

\[ y' = t^5y^3 + t^2y^2 - 2\frac{y}{t} + \frac{1}{t^4}. \]

4. Solve the Abel equation of the first kind:

\[ y' = t^3y^3 - 2t^4y^2 + \frac{y}{t} + t^6. \]

5. Solve the Abel equation of the second kind:

\[ (y + 5t^2)y' = 5\frac{y^2}{t} + 10ty + t^3. \]

6. Solve the Abel equation of the second kind:

\[ (y + e^t)y' = -\frac{y^2}{t} + y \sin 2t + e^t \sin 2t + \frac{e^{2t}}{t}. \]
CHAPTER 1. FIRST-ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
Chapter 2

Higher-Order Ordinary Differential Equations

In this chapter, we begin with solving homogeneous linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients by characteristic equations. Then we solve the Euler equations and exact equations. Moreover, the method of undetermined coefficients for solving non-homogeneous linear ordinary differential equations is presented. Furthermore, we give the method of variation of parameters for solving second-order nonhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equations. In addition, we introduce the power series method to solve variable-coefficient linear ordinary differential equations and study the Bessel equation in detail.

2.1 Basics

This section deals with homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation with constant coefficients, the Euler equations and exact equations.

A second-order homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation with constant coefficients is of the form

\[ ay'' + by' + cy = 0, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}. \]  

(2.1.1)

To find the general solution, we assume that \( y = e^{\lambda t} \) is a solution of (2.1.1), where \( \lambda \) is a constant to be determined. Substituting it into (2.1.1), we get

\[ a\lambda^2 e^{\lambda t} + b\lambda e^{\lambda t} + ce^{\lambda t} \sim a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0, \]  

(2.1.2)

which is called the characteristic equation of (2.1.1). If the above equation has two distinct real roots \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \), then the general solution of (2.1.1) is

\[ y = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t}, \]  

(2.1.3)

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are arbitrary constants. When (2.1.2) has two complex roots \( r_1 \pm r_2 i \), then the real part and imaginary part of \( e^{(r_1+r_2i)t} \) are solutions of (2.1.1). So the general
solution of (2.1.1) is
\[ y = c_1 e^{r_1 t} \sin r_2 t + c_2 e^{r_1 t} \cos r_2 t. \] (2.1.4)

In the case that (2.1.2) has repeated root \( r \), the general solution of (2.1.1) is
\[ y = (c_1 + c_2 t) e^{rt}. \] (2.1.5)

**Example 2.1.1.** The general solution of the equation
\[ y'' - 2y' - 3y = 0 \] (2.1.6)
is
\[ y = c_1 e^{3t} + c_2 e^{-t}. \] (2.1.7)
because \( \lambda = 3 \) and \( \lambda = -1 \) are real roots of the characteristic equation \( \lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 3 = 0 \). Moreover, the general solution of the equation
\[ y'' - 4y' + 13y = 0 \] (2.1.8)
is
\[ y = c_1 e^{2t} \sin 3t + c_2 e^{2t} \cos 3t \] (2.1.9)
because \( \lambda = 2 + 3i \) and \( \lambda = 2 - 3i \) are roots of the characteristic equation \( \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 13 = 0 \). Furthermore, the general solution of the equation
\[ y'' + 6y' + 9y = 0 \] (2.1.10)
is
\[ y = (c_1 + c_2 t) e^{-3t}. \] (2.1.11)

In general, the algebraic equation
\[ b_n \lambda^n + b_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0 = 0 \] (2.1.12)
is called the **characteristic equation** of the differential equation
\[ b_n y^{(n)} + b_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + b_0 y = 0, \quad b_r \in \mathbb{R}. \] (2.1.13)

If (2.1.12) has a real root \( r \) with multiplicity \( m \), then
\[ (c_{m-1} t^{m-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0) e^{rt} \] (2.1.14)
is a solution of (2.1.13) for arbitrary \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{R} \). When \( r_1 + r_2 i \) is a complex root of (2.1.12) with multiplicity \( m \), then
\[ (c_{m-1} t^{m-1} + \cdots + c_1 t + c_0) e^{r_1 t} \sin r_2 t \] (2.1.15)
and
\[ (a_{m-1}t^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 t + a_0)e^{r_1 t} \cos r_2 t \] (2.1.16)
are solutions of (2.1.13) for arbitrary \(c_r, a_r \in \mathbb{R}\). For instance, if
\[ (\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 2)^3(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 13)^2 = 0 \] (2.1.17)
is the characteristic equation of a differential equation of the form (2.1.13), then the general solution of the differential equation is
\[ y = c_1 e^t + (c_2 t^2 + c_3 t + c_4)e^{-2t} + (c_5 t + c_6)e^{2t} \sin 3t + (c_7 t + c_8)e^{2t} \cos 3t. \] (2.1.18)

An Euler ordinary differential equation has the general form
\[ b_n t^n y^{(n)} + b_{n-1} t^{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + b_1 t y' + b_0 y = 0, \quad b_r \in \mathbb{R}. \] (2.1.19)

We solve it by changing variable \(x = \ln t\). In fact,
\[
y' = \frac{y_x}{t}, \quad y'' = \frac{y_{xx} - y_x}{t^2}, \quad y''' = \frac{y_{xxx} - 3y_{xx} + 2y_x}{t^3}. \] (2.1.20)

**Example 2.1.2.** Solve the equation
\[ t^2 y'' - 3ty' + 5y = 0. \] (2.1.21)

**Solution.** Changing variable \(x = \ln t\), we get
\[ y_{xx} - y_x - 3y_x + 5y = 0 \sim y_{xx} - 4y_x + 5y = 0, \] (2.1.22)
whose characteristic equation is \(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 5 = 0\). The roots are \(\lambda = 2 \pm i\). So the general solution is
\[ y = c_1 e^{2x} \sin x + c_2 e^{2x} \cos x = t^2(c_1 \sin \ln t + c_2 \cos \ln t). \] (2.1.23)

**Example 2.1.3.** Solve the Euler equation
\[ t^3 y''' - t^2 y'' - 2ty' - 4y = 0. \] (2.1.24)

**Solution.** Changing variable \(x = \ln t\), we get
\[ y_{xxx} - 3y_{xx} + 2y_x - (y_{xx} - y_x) - 2y_x - 4y = 0 \sim y_{xxx} - 4y_{xx} + y_x - 4y = 0, \] (2.1.25)
whose characteristic equation is
\[ \lambda^3 - 4\lambda^2 + \lambda - 4 = (\lambda - 4)(\lambda^2 + 1) = 0. \] (2.1.26)

Thus the general solution is
\[ y = c_1 e^{4x} + c_2 \sin x + c_3 \cos x = c_1 t^4 + c_2 \sin \ln t + c_3 \cos \ln t. \quad \Box \] (2.1.27)

An \( n \)-th-order ordinary differential equation is called an \textit{exact equation} if the equation can be rewritten as
\[ \frac{d\Phi(t, y, y', \ldots, y^{(n-1)})}{dt} = 0. \] (2.1.28)

We try to find \( \Phi \) term by term.

\textbf{Example 2.1.4}. Solve the equation
\[ tyy'' + ty'^2 + yy' = 0. \] (2.1.29)

\textit{Solution}. Note that \( \Phi = tyy' \). Thus (2.1.29) can rewritten as \((tyy')' = 0.\) Thus
\[ 2tyy' = c_1 \sim t(y^2)' = c_1 \implies y^2 = c_1 \ln t + c_2. \quad \Box \] (2.1.30)

\textbf{Example 2.1.5}. Solve the equation
\[ (1 + t + t^2)y''' + (3 + 6t)y'' + 6y' = 6t. \] (2.1.31)

\textit{Solution}. We rewrite (2.1.31) as
\[ (1 + t + t^2)y''' + (1 + 2t)y'' + (2 + 4t)y'' + 6y' - 6t = 0 \] (2.1.32)

\[ \implies [(1 + t + t^2)y'']' + (2 + 4t)y'' + 4y' + 2y' - 6t = 0 \] (2.1.33)

\[ \implies [(1 + t + t^2)y' + [(2 + 4t)y'] + 2y' - 6t = 0 \] (2.1.34)

\[ \implies [(1 + t + t^2)y' + [(2 + 4t)y'] + (2y' - (3t^2)' = 0 \] (2.1.35)

\[ \implies [(1 + t + t^2)y' + (2 + 4t)y' + 2y - 3t^2]' = 0 \] (2.1.36)

\[ \implies (1 + t + t^2)y'' + (2 + 4t)y' + 2y - 3t^2 = 2c_1 \] (2.1.37)

\[ \implies (1 + t + t^2)y'' + (1 + 2t)y' + (1 + 2t)y' + 2y - 3t^2 = 2c_1 \] (2.1.38)

\[ \implies [(1 + t + t^2)y' + (1 + 2t)y - t^3]' = 2c_1 \] (2.1.39)

\[ \implies (1 + t + t^2)y' + (1 + 2t)y - t^3 = 2c_1 t + c_2 \] (2.1.40)
2.2. METHOD OF UNDETERMINED COEFFICIENTS

\[ (1 + t + t^2)y' - t^3 = 2c_1t + c_2 \quad (2.1.41) \]
\[ (1 + t + t^2)y - \frac{t^4}{4} = c_1t^2 + c_2t + c_3. \quad \square \quad (2.1.42) \]

Exercises 2.1

1. Find the general solution of the equation
   \[ y'' - y' - 6y = 0. \]

2. Find the general solution of the equation
   \[ y'' + 6y' + 13y = 0. \]

3. Find the general solution of the equation
   \[ y^{(4)} + 8y'' + 16y = 0. \]

4. Solve the Euler equation
   \[ t^3y''' + 3t^2y'' - 2ty' + 2y = 0. \]

5. Solve the equation
   \[ tyy''' + 3ty'y'' + 2yy'' + 2y^2 = 2\cos t - t\sin t. \]

2.2 Method of Undetermined Coefficients

In this section, we present the method of undetermined coefficients for solving nonhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equations.

In order to solve linear nonhomogeneous ordinary differential equation

\[ f_n(t)y^{(n)} + f_{n-1}(t)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + f_1(t)y = g(t), \quad (2.2.1) \]

we find the general solution \( \phi(t, c_1, \ldots, c_n) \) of the homogeneous equation

\[ f_n(t)y^{(n)} + f_{n-1}(t)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + f_1(t)y = 0 \quad (2.2.2) \]

and a particular solution \( y_0(t) \) of (2.2.1). Then the general solution of (2.2.1) is \( y = \phi(t, c_1, \ldots, c_n) + y_0(t) \). It is often that \( y_0 \) is obtained by guessing it of certain form with undetermined coefficients based on the form of \( g(t) \).

Example 2.2.1. Find the general solution of the equation

\[ y'' - \frac{2}{t^2}y = 7t^4 + 3t^3. \quad (2.2.3) \]
Solution. It is easy to see that $y = t^2$ and $y = 1/t$ are solutions of

$$y'' - \frac{2}{t^2}y = 0.$$  \hfill (2.2.4)

So the general solution of (2.2.4) is

$$y = c_1 t^2 + \frac{c_2}{t}. \hfill (2.2.5)$$

Based on the form of (2.2.3), we guess a particular solution $y_0(t) = at^6 + bt^5$, where $a$ and $b$ are the constants to be determined. Note

$$y_0' = 6at^5 + 5bt^4 \implies y_0'' = 30at^4 + 20t^3.$$ \hfill (2.2.6)

By (2.2.3),

$$30at^4 + 20t^3 - 2(at^4 + bt^3) = 7t^4 + 3t^3 \sim 28a = 7, \quad 18b = 3 \implies a = \frac{1}{4}, \quad b = \frac{1}{6}.$$ \hfill (2.2.7)

Thus $y_0 = t^6/4 + t^5/6$. The general solution (2.2.3) is

$$y = c_1 t^2 + \frac{c_2}{t} + \frac{t^6}{4} + \frac{t^5}{6}. \quad \square \hfill (2.2.8)$$

Example 2.2.2. Solve the equation

$$y'' + 3y' + 2y = 3 \sin 2t.$$ \hfill (2.2.9)

Solution. The general solution of $y'' + 3y' + 2y = 0$ is $y = c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^{-2t}$. We guess a particular solution of (2.2.9):

$$y_0 = a \sin 2t + b \cos 2t.$$ \hfill (2.2.10)

Then

$$y_0' = 2a \cos 2t - 2b \sin 2t, \quad y_0'' = -4a \sin 2t - 4b \cos 2t.$$ \hfill (2.2.11)

By (2.2.9),

$$-4a \sin 2t - 4b \cos 2t + 3(2a \cos 2t - 2b \sin 2t) + 2(a \sin 2t + b \cos 2t) = 3 \sin 2t,$$ \hfill (2.2.12)

equivalently,

$$-(2a + 6b) \sin 2t + (6a - 2b) \cos 2t = 3 \sin 2t.$$ \hfill (2.2.13)

Hence

$$-(2a + 6b) = 3, \quad 6a - 2b = 0 \implies a = -\frac{3}{20}, \quad b = -\frac{9}{20}.$$ \hfill (2.2.14)

So

$$y_0 = -\frac{3}{20} \sin 2t - \frac{9}{20} \cos 2t.$$ \hfill (2.2.15)
and the general solution of (2.2.9) is
\[ y = c_1 e^{-t} + c_2 e^{-2t} - \frac{3}{20} \sin 2t - \frac{9}{20} \cos 2t. \]  
(2.2.16)

**Example 2.2.3.** Find the solution of the following problem:
\[ y'' + y = 2 \cos t, \quad y(0) = 1, \; y'(0) = 3. \]  
(2.2.17)

*Solution.* The general solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation \( y'' + y = 0 \) is:
\[ y = c_1 \cos t + c_2 \sin t. \]  
(2.2.18)

Thus we can not guess a particular solution \( y_0 = a \cos t + b \sin t \). Instead, we guess that
\[ y_0 = at \cos t + bt \sin t \]  
(2.2.19)
is a particular solution. Then
\[ y_0' = (a + bt) \cos t + (b - at) \sin t, \]  
(2.2.20)
\[ y_0'' = (2b - at) \cos t - (2a + bt) \sin t. \]  
(2.2.21)
Substituting them into the equation in (2.2.17), we get
\[ 2b \cos t - 2a \sin t = 2 \cos t. \]  
(2.2.22)
So
\[ a = 0, \; b = 1; \; y_0 = t \sin t. \]  
(2.2.23)
Thus the general solution is:
\[ y = c_1 \cos t + (c_2 + t) \sin t. \]  
(2.2.24)

Next
\[ y' = (c_2 + t) \cos t + (1 - c_1) \sin t. \]  
(2.2.25)
Then
\[ y(0) = 1 \implies c_1 = 1, \]  
(2.2.26)
\[ y'(0) = 3 \implies c_2 = 3. \]  
(2.2.27)
The final solution is:
\[ y = \cos t + (3 + t) \sin t. \]  
(2.2.28)

**Example 2.2.4.** Find the solution of the following problem:
\[ y'' - 4y' + 4y = 4(t^2 + e^{2t}). \]  
(2.2.29)
Solution. The corresponding homogeneous equation is
\[ y'' - 4y' + 4y = 0, \]  
whose characteristic equation is:
\[ r^2 - 4r + 4 = 0 \rightarrow r = 2 \text{ is a repeated root.} \]

Thus the general solution is
\[ y = (c_1 + c_2t)e^{2t}. \]

First we want to find a particular solution of the equation:
\[ y'' - 4y' + 4y = 4t^2. \]

Let
\[ y_0 = At^2 + Bt + C \]
be a particular solution. Then
\[ y'_0 = 2At + B, \quad y''_0 = 2A. \]

Substitute them into the equation,
\[ 2A - 4(2At + B) + 4(At^2 + Bt + C) = 4t^2 \]
\[ \implies 4At^2 + (4B - 8A)t + 2A - 4B + 4C = 4t^2. \]
\[ 4A = 4, \quad 4B - 8A = 0, \quad 2A - 4B + 4C = 0 \implies A = 1, \quad B = 2, \quad C = \frac{3}{2}. \]

So
\[ y_0 = t^2 + 2t + \frac{3}{2}. \]

Next we want to find a particular solution of the equation:
\[ y'' - 4y' + 4y = 4e^{2t}. \]

Let
\[ y_0 = At^2 e^{2t} \]
be a particular solution. Then
\[ y'_0 = 2A(t + t^2)e^{2t}, \quad y''_0 = 2A(1 + 4t + 2t^2)e^{2t}. \]

Substitute them into the equation,
\[ 2A(1 + 4t + 2t^2)e^{2t} - 8A(t + t^2)e^{2t} + 4At^2 e^{2t} = 4e^{2t} \implies 2Ae^{2t} = 4e^{2t}. \]
So \( A = 2 \) and 
\[
y_0 = 2t^2e^{2t}.
\]

The final solution is 
\[
y = (c_1 + c_2t + 2t^2)e^{2t} + t^2 + 2t + \frac{3}{2}. \quad \square
\]

**Excises 2.2.**

1. Find the general solution of the following equation:
\[
y'' + y' - 2y = 2t.
\]

2. Solve the following initial value problem:
\[
y'' + 2y' + 5y = 4e^{-x} \cos 2x, \quad y(0) = 1, \ y'(0) = 0.
\]

3. Solve the following initial value problem:
\[
y'' - 2y' - 3y = \begin{cases} 3e^{-t} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 2t^2 & \text{if } t > 1; \end{cases} \quad y(0) = 0, \ y'(0) = 1.
\]

### 2.3 Method of Variation of Parameters

In this section, we give the method of variation of parameters for solving second-order nonhomogeneous linear ordinary differential equations.

Suppose that we know the fundamental solutions \( y_1(t) \) and \( y_2(t) \) of the linear homogeneous equation
\[
y'' + f_1(t)y' + f_0(t)y = 0, \tag{2.3.1}
\]
that is, the general solution of (2.3.1) is \( y = c_1y_1(t) + c_2y_2(t) \). We want to solve the linear nonhomogeneous equation
\[
y'' + f_1(t)y' + f_0(t)y = g(t). \tag{2.3.2}
\]
Let \( y = u_1(t)y_1 + u_2(t)y_2 \) be a solution of (2.3.2), where \( u_1(t) \) and \( u_2(t) \) are functions to be determined. Note 
\[
y' = u'_1y_1 + u'_2y_2 + u_1y'_1 + u_2y'_2. \tag{2.3.3}
\]
In order to simplify the problem, we impose a condition
\[
u'_1y_1 + u'_2y_2 = 0. \tag{2.3.4}
\]
Then 
\[
y' = u_1y'_1 + u_2y'_2 \implies y'' = u_1y''_1 + u_2y''_2 + u'_1y'_1 + u'_2y'_2. \tag{2.3.5}
\]
According to (2.3.2),
\[ u_1 y_1'' + u_2 y_2'' + u_1' y_1' + u_2' y_2' + f_1(u_1 y_1' + u_2 y_2') + f_0(u_1 f_1 + u_2 f_2) = g(t) \]  
(2.3.6)

\[ \implies u_1 y_1' + f_1 y_1 + f_0 y_1 + u_2 y_2' + f_2 y_1 + f_0 y_2 + u_1' y_1' + u_2' y_2' = g(t). \]  
(2.3.7)
equivalently,
\[ u_1' y_1' + u_2' y_2' = g(t) \]  
(2.3.8)
because \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) are solutions of (2.3.1).

The \textit{Wronskian} of the functions \( \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m\} \) is the determinant
\[ W(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m) = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & \cdots & h_m \\ h'_1 & h'_2 & \cdots & h'_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h^{(m-1)}_1 & h^{(m-1)}_2 & \cdots & h^{(m-1)}_m \end{vmatrix}. \]  
(2.3.9)
Solving the system (2.3.4) and (2.3.8) for \( u_1' \) and \( u_2' \) by Cramer’s rule, we get
\[ u_1' = -\frac{g(t)y_2(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)}, \quad u_2' = \frac{g(t)y_1(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)}. \]  
(2.3.10)
Thus
\[ u_1 = -\int \frac{g(t)y_2(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt, \quad u_2 = \int \frac{g(t)y_1(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt. \]  
(2.3.11)
The final solution is
\[ y = -y_1(t) \int \frac{g(t)y_2(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt + y_2(t) \int \frac{g(t)y_1(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt. \]  
(2.3.12)
The above method is called the \textit{method of variation of parameters}.

\textbf{Example 2.3.1.} Find the general solution of the following equation by the method of variation of parameters:
\[ y'' + 4y = \frac{4}{\sin 2t}, \quad 0 < t < \frac{\pi}{4}. \]  
(2.3.13)

\textit{Solution.} The corresponding homogeneous equation is \( y'' + 4y = 0 \), whose fundamental solutions are \( y_1 = \cos 2t \) and \( y_2 = \sin 2t \). So
\[ W(y_1, y_2) = \begin{vmatrix} \cos 2t & \sin 2t \\ -2 \sin 2t & 2 \cos 2t \end{vmatrix} = 2. \]  
(2.3.14)
Thus
\[ u_1 = -\int \frac{g(t)y_2(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt = -2 \int dt = c_1 - 2t, \]  
(2.3.15)
\[ u_2 = \int \frac{g(t)y_1(t)}{W(y_1, y_2)} dt = \int \frac{2 \cos 2t}{\sin 2t} dt = \ln \sin 2t + c_2. \]  
(2.3.16)
The final solution is
\[ y = (c_1 - 2t) \cos 2t + (c_2 + \ln \sin 2t) \sin 2t. \] (2.3.17)

**Example 2.3.2.** Solve the following initial value problem by the method of variation of parameters:
\[ y'' - 4y = g(t), \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = -1. \] (2.3.18)

**Solution.** First we solve the following initial value problem:
\[ u'' - 4u = 0, \quad u(0) = 1, \quad u'(0) = -1. \] (2.3.19)

The general solution of the above equation is:
\[ u = c_1 e^{2t} + c_2 e^{-2t}. \]

So
\[ u' = 2(c_1 e^{2t} - c_2 e^{-2t}). \]
\[ \begin{align*}
  u(0) &= 1 \\
  u'(0) &= -1 \\
\end{align*} \implies \begin{align*}
  c_1 + c_2 &= 1 \\
  2(c_1 - c_2) &= -1 \\
\end{align*} \implies \begin{align*}
  c_1 &= 1/4 \\
  c_2 &= 3/4
\end{align*} \] (2.3.20)

The solution is:
\[ u = \frac{1}{4} (e^{2t} + 3e^{-2t}). \] (2.3.21)

Next we want to solve the following problem:
\[ v'' - 4v = g(t), \quad v(0) = 0, \quad v'(0) = 0. \] (2.3.22)

\[ W(e^{2t}, e^{-2t}) = -4. \]
\[ v = -e^{2t} \int_0^t \frac{g(s)e^{-2s}}{-4} ds + e^{-2t} \int_0^t \frac{g(s)e^{2s}}{-4} ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s) \sinh 2(t - s) ds. \] (2.3.23)

The final solution is:
\[ y = u + v = \frac{1}{4} (e^{2t} + 3e^{-2t}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(s) \sinh 2(t - s) ds. \] (2.3.24)

If
\[ v(t) = -y_1(t) \int_0^t \frac{g(s)y_2(s)}{W(y_1, y_2)(s)} ds + y_2(t) \int_0^t \frac{g(s)y_1(s)}{W(y_1, y_2)(s)} ds, \] (2.3.25)
then
\[ v'(t) = -y'_1(t) \int_0^t \frac{g(s)y_2(s)}{W(y_1, y_2)(s)} ds + y'_2(t) \int_0^t \frac{g(s)y_1(s)}{W(y_1, y_2)(s)} ds. \] (2.3.26)

Thus we always have \( v(0) = v'(0) = 0. \)
Excises 2.3.

1. Solve the equation
   \[ y'' + 9y = \frac{9}{\cos 3t}, \quad 0 < t < \frac{\pi}{6}. \]

2. Solve the equation
   \[ y'' - 2y' + y = \frac{e^t}{1 + t^2}. \]

3. Let \( g(t) \) be a given function. Find the solution of the following problem
   \[ y'' - 3y' - 4y = g(t), \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = -1. \]

2.4 Series Method and Bessel Functions

In this section, we use power series to solve certain second-order linear ordinary differential equations with variable coefficients:

\[ y'' + f_1(t)y' + f_0(t)y = 0. \] (2.4.1)

Suppose that \( f_1 \) and \( f_0 \) are analytic at \( t = 0 \). Around \( t = 0 \),

\[ f_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad f_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n, \quad a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}. \] (2.4.2)

We consider the solution of the form

\[ y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad \text{where } c_n \text{ are to be determined.} \] (2.4.3)

\[ y' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nc_n t^{n-1}, \quad y'' = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)c_n t^{n-2}. \] (2.4.4)

Now (2.4.1) becomes

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)c_{n+2} t^n + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n t^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)c_{n+1} t^n \right) + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n \right) = 0. \] (2.4.5)

\[ (n + 1)(n + 2)c_{n+2} = -\sum_{r=0}^{n} [(r + 1)b_{n-r}c_{r+1} + a_{n-r}c_r]. \] (2.4.6)

**Example 2.4.1.** Solve the equation \( y'' - ty' - y = 0. \)

*Solution.* Suppose that \( y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n \) is a solution. Note \( a_r = -\delta_{r,0} \) and \( b_r = -\delta_{r,1} \). Thus (2.4.6) becomes

\[ (n + 1)(n + 2)c_{n+2} = (n + 1)c_n \sim c_{n+2} = \frac{c_n}{n+2}. \] (2.4.7)
2.4. SERIES METHOD AND BESSEL FUNCTIONS

Hence
\[ y = c_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} + c_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}. \] (2.4.8)

Suppose
\[ f_0 = \sum_{n=-2}^{\infty} a_n t^n, \quad f_1 = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} b_n t^n, \quad a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}. \] (2.4.9)
Assume that \( y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n+\mu} \) is a solution of the equation (2.4.1) with \( c_0 \neq 0 \). Substituting it into (2.4.1), we find that the coefficients of \( t^{\mu-2} \) give
\[ \mu(\mu - 1) + \mu b_{-1} + a_{-2} = 0 \sim \mu^2 + (b_{-1} - 1)\mu + a_{-2} = 0, \] (2.4.10)
which is called the indicial equation of (2.4.1) with (2.4.9). If (2.4.10) has two distinct real roots \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) such that \( \mu_1 - \mu_2 \) is not an integer, then the equation (2.4.1) has two linearly independent solutions of the forms:
\[ y_1 = t^{\mu_1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad y_2 = t^{\mu_2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n t^n. \] (2.4.11)

When (2.4.10) has a repeated root \( \mu \), then the equation (2.4.1) has two linearly independent solutions of the forms:
\[ y_1 = t^\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad y_2 = y_1 \ln t + t^\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n t^n. \] (2.4.12)
If (2.4.10) has two distinct real roots \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) such that \( \mu_2 - \mu_1 \) is an integer, then the equation (2.4.1) has two linearly independent solutions of the forms:
\[ y_1 = t^{\mu_1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad y_2 = ky_1 \ln t + t^{\mu_2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n t^n, \] (2.4.13)
where \( k \) may be zero.

**Example 2.4.2.** Solve the following equation by power series:
\[ t^2 y'' + 3ty' + (1 + t)y = 0, \quad t > 0. \] (2.4.14)

**Solution.** Note that \( t = 0 \) is a regular singular point. Let \( y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n+\mu} \) be a solution with \( c_0 \neq 0 \). Then
\[ y' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu) c_n t^{n+\mu-1}, \quad y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)(n + \mu - 1)c_n t^{n+\mu-2}. \] (2.4.15)
Substituting them into the equation, we have:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)(n + \mu - 1)c_n t^{n+\mu} + 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)c_n t^{n+\mu} + (1 + t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n+\mu} = 0, \] (2.4.16)
equivalently,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+\mu)(n+\mu-1)c_n t^{n+\mu} + 3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+\mu)c_n t^{n+\mu} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n+\mu} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n+\mu+1} = 0. \tag{2.4.17}
\]

So we have
\[
[\mu(\mu-1)c_0 + 3\mu c_0 + c_0] t^\mu + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((n+\mu)(n+\mu-1)c_n + 3(n+\mu)c_n + c_n + c_{n-1}) t^{n+\mu} = 0. \tag{2.4.18}
\]

Thus \(\mu(\mu-1)c_0 + 3\mu c_0 + c_0 = 0\) and for \(n \geq 1\),
\[
(n+\mu)(n+\mu-1)c_n + 3(n+\mu)c_n + c_n + c_{n-1} = 0 \implies (n+\mu+1)^2 c_n = -c_{n-1}. \tag{2.4.19}
\]

\[
c_n = -\frac{c_{n-1}}{(n+\mu+1)^2} = \frac{(-1)^n c_0}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (j+\mu+1)^2}. \tag{2.4.20}
\]

Denote
\[
b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} (j+\mu+1)^2}. \tag{2.4.21}
\]

Set
\[
\varphi(\mu, t) = t^\mu (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n t^n). \tag{2.4.22}
\]

The indicial equation is
\[
\mu(\mu-1) + 3\mu + 1 = 0 \sim (\mu+1)^2 = 0 \implies \mu = -1 \tag{2.4.23}
\]

is a double root. Then
\[
y_1 = \varphi(-1, t) = t^{-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} j^2} t^n \right) = t^{-1} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n!)^2} t^n \right) \tag{2.4.24}
\]

is a solution of (2.4.14).

Observe
\[
t^2 \varphi_{tt} + 3t \varphi_t + (1+t) \varphi = t^\mu (\mu+1)^2 \tag{2.4.25}
\]

(cf. the left hand side of (2.4.18) with \(c_0 = 1\)). Taking partial derivative of (2.4.25) with respect to \(\mu\), we get
\[
t^2 \varphi_{\mu t} + 3t \varphi_{t \mu} + (1+t) \varphi_{\mu} = (\ln t)t^\mu (\mu+1)^2 + 2t^\mu (\mu+1), \tag{2.4.26}
\]
equivalently,
\[
t^2 \varphi_{\mu tt} + 3t \varphi_{\mu t} + (1+t) \varphi_{\mu} = (2 + (\mu+1) \ln t)t^\mu (\mu+1). \tag{2.4.27}
\]

Taking \(\mu = -1\) in the above equation, we find
\[
t^2 \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^2 \varphi(-1, t) + 3t \frac{d}{dt} \varphi(-1, t) + (1+t) \varphi(-1, t) = 0. \tag{2.4.28}
\]
Thus \( y_2 = \varphi_\mu(-1, t) \) is another solution. Note that for \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
\frac{db_n}{d\mu}(-1) = \left( \frac{(-1)^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(j + \mu + 1)^2} \right) |_{\mu=-1} = \left( \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{(n!)^2} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right) |_{\mu=-1} = \left( \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{(n!)^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right).
\]

Thus

\[
y_2(t) = \varphi_\mu(-1, t)|_{r=-1} = y_1(t) \ln t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{n+1}}{(n!)^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \right) t^{n-1}.
\]

The general solution is: \( y = c_1y_1(t) + c_2y_2(t) \). \( \square \)

The Bessel equation has the form

\[
y'' + t^{-1}y' + (1 - \nu^2t^{-2})y = 0,
\]

where \( \nu \) is a constant called order. The indicial equation is

\[
\mu^2 - \nu^2 = 0 \sim \mu = \pm \nu.
\]

We rewrite (2.4.31) as

\[
t^2y'' + ty' + (t^2 - \nu^2)y = 0.
\]

Let \( y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n+\mu} \) be a solution of (2.4.33) with \( \mu = \pm \nu \) and \( c_0 \neq 0 \). We have

\[
ty' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)c_n t^{n+\mu}, \quad t^2y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)(n + \mu - 1)c_n t^{n+\mu}.
\]

Denote by \( \mathbb{N} \) the set of nonnegative integers. So (2.4.33) is equivalent to

\[
c_1[(\mu + 1)^2 - \nu^2] = 0, \quad [(\mu + n + 2)^2 - \nu^2]c_{n+2} + c_n = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Thus \( c_{2r+1} = 0 \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), and

\[
c_{2n} = \frac{c_0}{\prod_{r=1}^{n}[\nu^2 - (\mu + 2r)^2]} = \frac{(-1)^n c_0}{n!2^{2n} \prod_{r=1}^{n}(\mu + r)}.
\]

The function

\[
J_\mu(t) = \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^\mu + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \prod_{r=1}^{n}(\mu + r)} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{2n+\mu}
\]

is called a Bessel function of first kind. If \( \nu \) is not an integer, then the general solution of (2.4.31) is

\[
y = c_1J_\nu(t) + c_2J_{-\nu}(t).
\]
Note
\[
\frac{d}{dt}(t^{\mu} J_\mu) = \mu t^\mu \left[ \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{\mu-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \prod_{r=1}^{n} (\mu + r - 1)} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{2n + \mu - 1} \right] = \mu t^\mu J_{\mu - 1} \tag{2.4.39}
\]
and
\[
\frac{d}{dt}(t^{-\mu} J_\mu) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n t^{-\mu}}{(\mu + 1)(n - 1)! \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} (\mu + r)} \left( \frac{t}{2} \right)^{2n + \mu - 1} = - \frac{t^{-\mu} J_{\mu + 1}}{\mu + 1}. \tag{2.4.40}
\]
Thus
\[
\frac{d}{dt}(t^\mu J_\mu) = \mu t^\mu J_{\mu - 1}, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(t^{-\mu} J_\mu) = - \frac{t^{-\mu} J_{\mu + 1}}{\mu + 1}. \tag{2.4.41}
\]
By induction,
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m (t^\mu J_\mu) = \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{m-1} (\mu - r) \right] t^{\mu - m} J_{\mu - m} \tag{2.4.42}
\]
and
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m (t^{-\mu} J_\mu) = (-1)^m \frac{t^{-\mu - m} J_{\mu + m}}{\prod_{r=1}^{m} (\mu + r)}. \tag{2.4.43}
\]
On the other hand, (2.4.39) gives
\[
\mu t^{\mu - 1} J_\mu + t^\mu J'_\mu = \mu t^\mu J_{\mu - 1} \sim \mu J_\mu + tJ'_\mu = \mu t J_{\mu - 1} \tag{2.4.44}
\]
and (2.4.40) yields
\[
-\mu t^{-\mu - 1} J_\mu + t^{-\mu} J'_\mu = - \frac{t^{-\mu} J_{\mu + 1}}{\mu + 1} \sim - \mu J_\mu + tJ'_\mu = - \frac{t J_{\mu + 1}}{\mu + 1}. \tag{2.4.45}
\]
Thus
\[
\mu J_{\mu - 1} + \frac{J_{\mu + 1}}{\mu + 1} = 2 \frac{\mu}{t} J_\mu, \quad \mu J_{\mu - 1} - \frac{J_{\mu + 1}}{\mu + 1} = 2 \mu J'_\mu. \tag{2.4.46}
\]
Observe that
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \tag{2.4.47}
\]
for a positive integer \(n\). If we have a continuous analogue of \(n!\), then we can simplify (2.4.42) and (2.4.43) by rescalling \(J_\mu\). Indeed, it is the spacial function \(\Gamma(s)\).

When \(\nu = n + 1/2\) with \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), the indicial equation has two roots \(\mu_1 = n + 1/2\) and \(\mu_2 = -n - 1/2\). Moreover, \(\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 2n + 1\) is an integer. However, both \(J_{n+1/2}(t)\) and \(J_{-n-1/2}(t)\) are well defined by (2.4.37). They form a set of fundamental solutions of the Bessel equation. Suppose that \(\nu = m\) is a positive integer. The indicial equation has two roots \(\mu_1 = m\) and \(\mu_2 = -m\). The function \(J_m(t)\) is still well defined, but \(J_{-m}(t)\) is not defined. If \(\mu = -m\), by the second equation in (2.4.35) with \(n = 2m - 2\), we get
\[
0 = [(-m + 2m - 2 + 2)^2 - m^2]c_{2m} = -c_{2m} = - \frac{c_0}{(m!)^2} \implies c_0 = 0, \tag{2.4.48}
\]
which contradicts the assumption $c_0 \neq 0$. Thus we do not have a solution of the form
\[ y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n-m}. \]
We look for another fundamental solution of the form
\[ y = J_m(t) \ln t + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^{n-m}, \quad (2.4.49) \]
which is related to Bessel functions of second kind.

**Exercise 2.4**

Solve the following equations by power series:
1. $\left(1 - t^2\right)y'' - ty' + 16ty = 0$.
2. $t^2y'' + 7ty' + (9 - t)y = 0, \quad t > 0$. 
Chapter 3
Special Functions

Special functions are important objects both in mathematics and physics. This chapter is a brief introduction to them. The reader may refer to [AAR] and [WG] for more extensive knowledge. First we introduce the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ as a continuous generalization of $n!$ and prove the beta-function identity, the Euler’s reflection formula and the product formula of the gamma function. Then we introduce Gauss hypergeometric function as the power series solution of the Gauss hypergeometric equation and prove the Euler’s integral representation. Moreover, Jacobi polynomials are introduced from the finite-sum cases of the Gauss hypergeometric function and their orthogonality is proved. Legendre orthogonal polynomials are discussed in detail.

Weierstrass’s elliptic function $\wp(z)$ is a double-periodic function with second-order poles, which will be used later in solving nonlinear partial differential equations. Weierstrass’s zeta function $\zeta(z)$ is an integral of $-\wp(z)$, that is, $\zeta'(z) = -\wp(z)$. Moreover, Weierstrass’s sigma function $\sigma(z)$ satisfies $\sigma'(z)/\sigma(z) = \zeta(z)$. We discuss these functions and their properties in this chapter to a certain depth.

Finally in this chapter, we present Jacobi’s elliptic functions $\text{sn}(z|m)$, $\text{cn}(z|m)$ and $\text{dn}(z|m)$, and derive the nonlinear ordinary differential equations satisfied by them. These functions are also very useful in solving nonlinear partial differential equations.

3.1 Gamma and Beta Functions

The problem of finding a function of continuous variable $x$ that equals $n!$ when $x = n$ is a positive integer, was suggested by Bernoulli and Goldbach, and was investigated by Euler in the late 1720s. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} + 1$, we denote

$$(a)_n = a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1), \quad (a)_0 = 1. \quad (3.1.1)$$

If $x$ and $n$ are positive integers, then

$$x! = \frac{(x + n)!}{(x + 1)_n} = \frac{n!(n + 1)_x}{(x + 1)_n} = \frac{n!n^x}{(x + 1)_n} \frac{(n + 1)_x}{n^x}. \quad (3.1.2)$$
Since
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)x}{n^x} = 1, \] (3.1.3)
we have
\[ x! = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^x}{(x+1)_n}. \] (3.1.4)

Observe that for any \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-N-1\} \),
\[
\left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^z \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right)^z
\]
\[ = \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^z \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left( \frac{z + r}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{r + 1}{r} \right)^z
\]
\[ = \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^z \left( \frac{(z+1)n}{n!} \right)^{-1} (n+1)^z = \frac{n!n^z}{(z+1)_n}. \] (3.1.5)

Moreover,
\[
\left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right)^z
\]
\[ = \left( 1 - \frac{z}{r} + \frac{z^2}{r^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^3} \right) \right) \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} + \frac{z(z-1)}{2r^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^3} \right) \right)
\]
\[ = 1 + \frac{z(z-1)}{2r^2} + O \left( \frac{1}{r^3} \right). \] (3.1.6)

This shows that
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right)^z \] exists. (3.1.7)

Thus we have a function
\[ \Pi(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^z}{(z+1)_n} = \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r} \right)^z \] (3.1.8)

and \( \Pi(m) = m! \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) by (3.1.4). For notional convenience, we define the gamma function
\[ \Gamma(z) = \Pi(z-1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^{z-1}}{(z)_n} \quad \text{for} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-N-1\}. \] (3.1.9)

Then
\[
\Gamma(z+1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^z}{(z+1)_n} = z \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^z}{(z)_n+1}
\]
\[ = z \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{z+n} \frac{n!n^{z-1}}{(z)_n} = z \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{z+n} \right) \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^{z-1}}{(z)_n} \right)
\]
\[ = z \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n!n^{z-1}}{(z)_n} = z \Gamma(z). \] (3.1.10)

By (3.1.9), \( \Gamma(1) = 1 \). So \( \Gamma(m+1) = m! \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \).
For \( x, y \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{Re} \, x > 0 \) and \( \text{Re} \, y > 0 \), we define the beta function

\[
B(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}dt.
\] (3.1.11)

**Theorem 3.1.1.** We have \( B(x, y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y) \).

**Proof.** Note

\[
B(x, y + 1) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)(1-t)^{y-1}dt = B(x, y) - B(x + 1, y).
\] (3.1.12)

On the other hand, integration by parts gives

\[
B(x, y + 1) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^y dt
= \frac{t^x(1-t)^y}{x}\bigg|_0^1 + \frac{y}{x} \int_0^1 t^x(1-t)^{y-1}dt = \frac{y}{x}B(x + 1, y).
\] (3.1.13)

Thanks to the above two expressions, we have

\[
B(x, y) - \frac{x}{y}B(x, y + 1) = B(x, y + 1) \implies B(x, y) = \frac{x + y}{y}B(x, y + 1).
\] (3.1.14)

By induction

\[
B(x, y) = \frac{(x + y)^n}{(y)_n}B(x, y + n).
\] (3.1.15)

Rewrite the above equation as

\[
B(x, y) = \frac{(x + y)^n}{n!} \frac{n!}{(y)_n} \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y+n-1}dt
= \frac{(x + y)^n}{n!n^{x+y-1}} \frac{n!}{(y)_n} \int_0^n s^{x-1} \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)^{y+n-1} ds
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(x + y)^n}{n!n^{x+y-1}} \frac{n!}{(y)_n} \int_0^n s^{x-1} \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)^{y+n-1} ds
= \frac{\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)} \int_0^\infty s^{x-1} e^{-s}ds.
\] (3.1.16)

Taking \( y = 1 \) in the above equation, we have

\[
B(x, 1)\Gamma(x+1) = \int_0^\infty s^{x-1}e^{-s}ds.
\] (3.1.17)

Furthermore, (3.1.11) gives

\[
B(x, 1) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}dt = \frac{1}{x}.
\] (3.1.18)
Thus
\[
\Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x + 1)}{x} = B(x, 1)\Gamma(x + 1) = \int_0^\infty s^{x-1}e^{-s}ds. \tag{3.1.19}
\]

Therefore,
\[
B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x + y)} \int_0^\infty s^{x-1}e^{-s}ds = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x + y)} \tag{3.1.20}
\]

Recall the Euler’s constant
\[
\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{1}{r} - \ln n \right). \tag{3.1.21}
\]

**Theorem 3.1.2.** The following equation holds:
\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = z e^{\gamma z} \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-z/n}. \tag{3.1.22}
\]

**Proof.** Note
\[
\left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{-z/n} = \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{z}{n} + \frac{z^2}{2n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \right) = 1 - \frac{z^2}{2n^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right). \tag{3.1.23}
\]

Thus the product in (3.1.22) converges. Moreover,
\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(z)_n}{n!n^{z-1}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{z(z+1) \cdots (z+n-1)}{(n-1)!n^z}
\]
\[
= z \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right) \right] e^{-z\ln n}
\]
\[
= z \lim_{n \to \infty} e^{z\left[\sum_{r=1}^{n} 1/r - \ln n\right]} e^{-z/n} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right) e^{-z/r}
\]
\[
= z e^{\gamma z} \prod_{r=1}^\infty \left( 1 + \frac{z}{r} \right) e^{-z/r}. \tag{3.1.24} \]

**Theorem 3.1.3.** Euler’s reflection formula:
\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z}. \tag{3.1.25}
\]

**Proof.** From complex analysis,
\[
\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} = \prod_{n=1}^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2} \right). \tag{3.1.26}
\]
According to (3.1.22),
\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma(-z) = \left[ z e^{\gamma z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{z/n} \right]^{-1} \left[ -z e^{-\gamma z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{n} \right) e^{z/n} \right]^{-1}
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{z^2} \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{z}{n} \right) \right]^{-1}
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{z^2} \left[ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{n^2} \right) \right]^{-1} = -\frac{\pi}{z \sin \pi z}.
\]

Now (3.1.25) follows from the fact \( \Gamma(1 - z) = -z \Gamma(-z) \). \( \square \)

Letting \( z = 1/2 \) in (3.1.25), we get \( \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi} \). Taking the logarithm of (3.1.22), we have
\[
-\ln \Gamma(z) = \gamma z + \ln z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \ln \left( 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right) - \frac{z}{n} \right].
\]

Differentiating (3.1.28), we get
\[
\psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} = -\gamma - \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{z + n} \right).
\]

In particular,
\[
\psi''(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+n)^2} = \zeta(2, z),
\]
where the Riemann zeta function
\[
\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^s}, \quad \text{Re } s > 1.
\]

**Theorem 3.1.3.** The following product formula holds:
\[
\Gamma(z)\Gamma \left( z + \frac{1}{n} \right) \Gamma \left( z + \frac{2}{n} \right) \cdots \Gamma \left( z + \frac{n-1}{n} \right) = \frac{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}}{n^{nz-1/2}} \Gamma(nz).
\]

**Proof.** Set
\[
\phi(z) = \frac{n^{nz}}{n!} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \Gamma \left( z + \frac{p}{n} \right).
\]
Then (3.1.9) says
\[
\phi(z) = \lim_{r \to \infty} n^{nz-1} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{r ! p^{e^{z(p-n)/n}}}{(z+p/n, r)^{(nz)_{nr}}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r!^{n(n+1)/2}}{(nr)^{r-1/2}}.
\]
Thus $\phi$ is a constant. Hence

$$\phi(z) = \phi(1/n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right). \quad (3.1.35)$$

So

$$\phi^2 = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\pi}{\sin j\pi/n}. \quad (3.1.36)$$

Note

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} z^r = \frac{z^n - 1}{z - 1} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z - e^{2j\pi i/n}). \quad (3.1.37)$$

Hence

$$n = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - e^{2j\pi i/n}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} e^{j\pi i/n} (e^{-j\pi i/n} - e^{j\pi i/n})$$

$$= e^{(n-1)\pi i/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (-2i \sin j\pi/n) = 2^{n-1} e^{(n-1)\pi i/2} (-i)^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin j\pi/n$$

$$= 2^{n-1} e^{(n-1)\pi i/2} e^{3(n-1)\pi i/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin j\pi/n = 2^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin j\pi/n. \quad (3.1.38)$$

By (3.1.36) and (3.1.38),

$$\phi^2 = \frac{(2\pi)^{n-1}}{n} \implies \phi = \frac{(2\pi)^{(n-1)/2}}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (3.1.39)$$

Then (3.1.32) follows from (3.1.33) and (3.1.39). □

### 3.2 Gauss Hypergeometric Functions

The term of “hypergeometric” was first used by Wallis in Oxford as early as 1655 in his work *Arithmetica Infinitorum* when referring to any series which could be regarded as a generalization of the ordinary geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$. Nowadays a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^{n+\mu}$ is called a hypergeometric function if $c_{n+1}/c_n$ is a rational function of $n$. In this section, we use $z$ to denote independent variable instead of $t$. The classical hypergeometric equation is

$$z(1-z)y'' + [\gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1)z]y' - \alpha \beta y = 0. \quad (3.2.1)$$

We look for the solution of the form

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^{n+\mu}, \quad (3.2.2)$$
where $c_n$ and $\mu$ are constants to be determined. We calculate

$$y' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)c_n z^{n+\mu-1}, \quad y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \mu)(n + \mu - 1)c_n z^{n+\mu-2}. \quad (3.2.3)$$

Substituting (3.2.3) into (3.2.1), we get

$$z^\mu \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{(n+\mu)(n+\mu-1)c_n z^{n-1}(1-z) + (n+\mu)c_n z^{n-1}[-(\alpha+\beta+1)z] - \alpha\beta c_n z^n\} = 0, \quad (3.2.4)$$
equivalently,

$$\mu(\mu - 1 + \gamma) = 0, \quad (3.2.5)$$

$$(n + 1 + \mu)(n + \mu + \gamma)c_{n+1} = [(n + \mu)(n + \mu + \alpha + \beta) + \alpha\beta]c_n \quad (3.2.6)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We rewrite (3.2.6) as

$$(n + 1 + \mu)(n + \mu + \gamma)c_{n+1} = (n + \mu + \alpha)(n + \mu + \beta)c_n. \quad (3.2.7)$$

By induction, we have

$$c_n = \frac{(\mu + \alpha)_n (\mu + \beta)_n}{(\mu + 1)_n (\mu + \gamma)_n} c_0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} + 1. \quad (3.2.8)$$

Hence

$$y = c_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu + \alpha)_n (\mu + \beta)_n}{(\mu + 1)_n (\mu + \gamma)_n} z^{n+\mu}. \quad (3.2.9)$$

According to (3.2.5), $\mu = 0$ or $\mu = 1 - \gamma$. Considering $\mu = 0$, we denote

$$2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n, \quad (3.2.10)$$

which was introduced and studied by Gauss in his thesis presented at Göttingen in 1812. We call it classical Gauss hypergeometric function. Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}(\beta)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!(\gamma)_{n+1}} \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(\alpha + n)(\beta + n)}{(n + 1)(\gamma + n)} = 1, \quad (3.2.11)$$

the series in (3.2.10) converges absolutely when $|z| < 1$. It can be proved that $2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z)$ has analytic extension on the whole complex $z$ plane by complex analysis. Note that $2F_1(\alpha - \gamma + 1, \beta - \gamma + 1; 2 - \gamma; z) z^{1-\gamma}$ is another solution of (3.2.1) by (3.2.9).

Observe

$$2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; 0) = 1. \quad (3.2.12)$$

By (3.2.9), $2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z)$ is the unique power series solution of (3.2.1) satisfying (3.2.12).

It has close relations with elementary functions:

$$2F_1(-\alpha, \beta; \beta; -z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n(\alpha)_n}{n!} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{n} z^n = (1 + z)^\alpha, \quad (3.2.13)$$
\[ _2F_1(1, 1; 2; -z)z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!n!}{n!(n+1)!}(-1)^n z^{n+1} = \ln(1 + z), \quad (3.2.14) \]

\[ \lim_{\beta \to \infty} _2F_1(1, \beta; 1; z/\beta) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!(\beta)_n}{n!\beta^n} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z^n = e^z, \quad (3.2.15) \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha, \beta \to \infty} _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 3/2; -z^2/4\alpha\beta)z = \lim_{\alpha, \beta \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)(\beta)_n}{n!(3/2)_n\alpha^n\beta^n4^n} (-1)^n z^{2n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+1} = \sin z, \quad (3.2.16) \]

\[ \lim_{\alpha, \beta \to \infty} _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; 1/2; -z^2/4\alpha\beta) = \lim_{\alpha, \beta \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)(\beta)_n}{n!(1/2)_n\alpha^n\beta^n4^n} (-1)^n z^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} z^{2n} = \cos z. \quad (3.2.17) \]

Less obviously,

\[ _2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 3/2; z^2)z = \arcsin z, \quad _2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 3/2; -z^2)z = \arctan z. \quad (3.2.18) \]

In addition,

\[ \frac{d}{dz} _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n}{(n-1)!n!(\gamma)_n} z^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}(\beta)_{n+1}}{n!(\gamma)_{n+1}} z^n = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\beta + 1)_n}{n!(\gamma + 1)_n} z^n = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma} _2F_1(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1; \gamma + 1; z). \quad (3.2.19) \]

Furthermore, we have the following **Euler’s Integral Representation**.

**Theorem 3.2.1.** If \( Re \gamma > Re \beta > 0 \), then

\[ _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1}(1-zt)^{-\alpha} dt \quad (3.2.20) \]

in the \( z \) plane cut along the real axis from 1 to \( \infty \).
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Proof. First we suppose \(|z| < 1\). We calculate

\[
\frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta - 1}(1 - t)^{\gamma - \beta - 1}(1 - zt)^{-\alpha} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{-\alpha}{n} z^n \int_0^1 t^{\beta + n - 1}(1 - t)^{\gamma - \beta - 1} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n}{n!} z^n B(\beta + n, \gamma - \beta)
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n \Gamma(\beta + n)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)}{n! \Gamma(\gamma + n)} z^n
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n = 2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z)
\]

(3.2.21)

by (3.1.10), (3.1.11) and Theorem 3.1.1. So the theorem holds for \(|z| < 1\).

Since the integral in (3.2.20) is analytic in the cut plane, the theorem holds for \(z\) in this region as well. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.2.2 (Gauss (1812)).** If \(\text{Re}(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) > 0\), then

\[
2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)}.
\]

(3.2.22)

**Proof.** By Abel’s continuity theorem, (3.2.20) and Theorem 3.1.1,

\[
2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; 1) = \lim_{z \to 1^-} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta - 1}(1 - t)^{\gamma - \beta - 1}(1 - zt)^{-\alpha} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta - 1}(1 - t)^{\gamma - \beta - \alpha - 1} dt
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} B(\beta, \gamma - \beta - \alpha)
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)}
\]

(3.2.23)

when \(\text{Re} \gamma > \text{Re} \beta > 0\) and \(\text{Re}(\gamma - \alpha - \beta) > 0\). The condition \(\text{Re} \gamma > \text{Re} \beta > 0\) can be removed in (3.2.22) by the continuity in \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\). \(\square\)

By (3.1.10), we have:

**Corollary 3.2.3 (Chu-Vandermonde).** For \(n \in \mathbb{N}\),

\[
2F_1(-n, \beta; \gamma; 1) = \frac{(\gamma - \beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n}.
\]

(3.2.24)
3.3 Orthogonal Polynomials

Let \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
2F_1(-k, \beta; \gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} \frac{(-k)_n(\beta)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{k}{n} \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n} (-z)^n \tag{3.3.1}
\]
is a polynomial. We calculate the generating function

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_k x^k}{k!} 2F_1(-k, \beta; \gamma; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma + n)_{k-n}(\beta)_{n_k} x^k}{n!(k-n)!} (-z)^n
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \binom{\gamma + k - 1}{k - n} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} x^k z^n = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\gamma + m + n - 1}{m} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} x^{m+n} z^n
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - x)^{-\gamma-n} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} x^n z^n = (1 - x)^{-\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{xz}{1-x}\right)^{-\beta}
\]

Set

\[
w_k(\vartheta, \gamma; z) = 2F_1(-k, \vartheta + k; \gamma; z). \tag{3.3.3}
\]

According to (3.2.1),

\[
z(1 - z)w_k'' + \left[\gamma - (\vartheta + 1)z \right]w_k' + k(\vartheta + k)w_k = 0. \tag{3.3.4}
\]

Thus

\[
\frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^{\gamma}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma + 1} w_k' \right] + k(\vartheta + k)z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma} w_k = 0. \tag{3.3.5}
\]

Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( m \neq n \). Then

\[
w_m \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^{\gamma}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma + 1} w'_m \right] + n(\vartheta + n)z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma} w_m w_n = 0 \tag{3.3.6}
\]

and

\[
w_n \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^{\gamma}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma + 1} w'_m \right] + m(\vartheta + m)z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma} w_m w_n = 0. \tag{3.3.7}
\]

Assume that \( \Re \gamma > 0, \Re (\vartheta - \gamma) > -1 \) and \( \vartheta \notin \mathbb{N} - 1 \). Then

\[
\int_0^1 z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma} w_m w_n dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(m-n)(m+n+\vartheta)} \int_0^1 \left[ m(\vartheta + m) - n(\vartheta + n) \right] z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma} w_m w_n dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(m-n)(m+n+\vartheta)} \left[ \int_0^1 w_m \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^{\gamma}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma + 1} w'_m \right] dz - \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dz} \left[ z^{\gamma}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma + 1} w'_m \right] dz \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{z^{\gamma}(1 - z)^{\vartheta - \gamma + 1} w_m w_n - w'_m w_n}{(m-n)(m+n+\vartheta)} \bigg|_0 = 0. \tag{3.3.8}
\]
Let $C_z$ be a loop around $z$. According to (3.3.2),

$$\frac{(\gamma)_k}{k!} \binom{2F_1(-k; \beta; \gamma; z)}{z^{\beta-\gamma}(1 + (z - 1)x)^{-\beta}} x^{k+1} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_z} \frac{(1 - x)^{\beta-\gamma}(1 + (z - 1)x)^{-\beta}}{x^{k+1}} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_z} \left[ \frac{z(1 - s)/s(1 - z)}{(s - z)/s(1 - z)} \right]^{\beta-\gamma}(z/s)^{-\beta} dz$$

$$= \frac{z^{1-\gamma}(1 - z)^{\gamma-\beta+k}}{2\pi i} \int_{C_z} \frac{s^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - s)^{\beta-\gamma}}{(s - z)^{k+1}} ds$$

$$= \frac{z^{1-\gamma}(1 - z)^{\gamma-\beta+k}}{2\pi i k!} \int_{C_z} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \frac{s^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - s)^{\beta-\gamma}}{s - z} ds$$

$$= \frac{z^{1-\gamma}(1 - z)^{\gamma-\beta+k}}{k!} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k [z^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - z)^{\beta-\gamma}].$$

Hence

$$w_k(\vartheta; \gamma; z) = \frac{z^{1-\gamma}(1 - z)^{\gamma-\vartheta}}{(\gamma)_k} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k [z^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta-\gamma+k}].$$

By (3.3.1),

$$\left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k (w_k) = \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k \left( \sum_{n=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{(\gamma)_n} \frac{(\vartheta + k)_n}{(\gamma)_n} (-z)^n \right) = (-1)^k k! (\vartheta + k)_k.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^1 z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta-\gamma} w_k^2 dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\gamma)_k} \int_0^1 w_k \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k [z^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta-\gamma+k}] dz$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^k}{(\gamma)_k} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k (w_k) z^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta-\gamma+k} dz$$

$$= \frac{k! (\vartheta + k)_k}{(\gamma)_k} \int_0^1 z^{\gamma+k-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta-\gamma+k} dz$$

$$= \frac{k! (\vartheta + k)_k \Gamma(\gamma + k) \Gamma(\vartheta - \gamma + k + 1)}{((\gamma)_k)^2 \Gamma(\vartheta + 2k + 1)}$$

$$= \frac{k! (\vartheta + k)_k \Gamma(\gamma + k) \Gamma(\vartheta - \gamma + k + 1)}{(\gamma)_k \Gamma(\vartheta + 2k + 1)}.$$

Therefore \{\(w_k(\vartheta; \gamma; z) | k \in \mathbb{N}\)\} forms a set of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight \(z^{\gamma-1}(1 - z)^{\vartheta-\gamma}\). The Jacobi polynomials

$$P_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) = \binom{\alpha + k}{k} w_k \binom{\alpha + \beta + 1, \alpha + 1; \frac{1 - z}{2}}.$$
Indeed \( \{ P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) forms a complete set of orthogonal functions on \([-1,1]\) with respect to the weight \((1-z)^\alpha(1+z)^\beta\). According (3.3.10),

\[
P_k^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) = \frac{(-2)^{-k}}{k!} (1-z)^{-\alpha}(1+z)^{-\beta} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k [(1-z)^{\alpha+k}(1+z)^{\beta+k}].
\]  

(3.3.14)

The well known Chebyshev polynomials of first kind

\[
T_k(z) = \frac{1}{(-1/2)_k^k} P^{(-1/2,-1/2)}_k(z) = \frac{(-1)^k \sqrt{1-z^2}}{(2k-1)!!} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k [(1-z^2)^{k-1/2}].
\]

(3.3.15)

The well known Chebyshev polynomials of second kind

\[
U_k(z) = \frac{(k+1)!}{(1/2+k)_k} P^{(1/2,1/2)}_k(z) = \frac{(-1)^k (k+1)!}{(2k+1)!! \sqrt{1-z^2}} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^k [(1-z^2)^{k+1/2}].
\]

(3.3.16)

Equation

\[(1-z^2)y'' - 2zy' + \nu(\nu+1)y = 0\]

is called a Legendre equation, where \(\nu\) is a constant. Suppose that \(y = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n z^n\) is a solution of (3.3.17). Then

\[(1-z^2)\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)c_n z^{n-2}\right) - 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nc_n z^n + \nu(\nu+1)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n = 0,
\]

(3.3.18)
evitably,

\[(n+2)(n+1)c_{n+2} + [\nu(\nu+1) - n(n+1)]c_n = 0.
\]

(3.3.19)

Thus

\[c_{n+2} = \frac{(n-\nu)(n+1+\nu)}{(n+2)(n+1)} c_n.
\]

(3.3.20)

By induction,

\[c_{2n} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2i-\nu)(2i+1+\nu)}{2i!} c_0 = \frac{(-\nu/2)_n ((1+\nu)/2)_n}{n!(1/2)_n} c_0,
\]

(3.3.21)

\[c_{2n+1} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(2i+1-\nu)(2i+2+\nu)}{(2n+1)!} c_1 = \frac{((1-\nu)/2)_n ((2+\nu)/2)_n}{n!(3/2)_n} c_1.
\]

(3.3.22)

Thus for generic \(\nu\), we have the fundamental solutions

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\nu/2)_n ((1+\nu)/2)_n}{n!(1/2)_n} z^{2n} = \text{}_2F_1 \left( -\frac{\nu}{2}, 1+\nu; \frac{1}{2}; z^2 \right)
\]

(3.3.23)

and

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{((1-\nu)/2)_n ((2+\nu)/2)_n}{n!(3/2)_n} z^{2n+1} = \text{}_2F_1 \left( 1-\nu, 2+\nu; \frac{3}{2}; z^2 \right) z,
\]

(3.3.24)
which are called Legendre functions. When $\nu = 2k$ is nonnegative even integer, the first solution is a polynomial and we denote the Legendre polynomial

$$P_{2k}(z) = \frac{(-1)^k(1/2)_k}{k!} \, _2F_1\left(-k; \frac{1}{2} + k; \frac{1}{2}; z^2\right). \quad (3.3.25)$$

If $\nu = 2k + 1$ is an odd integer, the second solution is a polynomial and we denote the Legendre polynomial

$$P_{2k+1}(z) = \frac{(-1)^k2(1/2)_{k+1}}{k!} \, _2F_1\left(-k; \frac{3}{2} + k; \frac{3}{2}; z^2\right) z. \quad (3.3.26)$$

**Theorem 3.3.1.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P_n(z) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^n [(z^2 - 1)^n]. \quad (3.3.27)$$

*Proof.* For convenience, we set

$$\psi_n = \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^n [(z^2 - 1)^n]. \quad (3.3.28)$$

We want to prove

$$(1 - z^2)\psi_n'' - 2z\psi_n' + n(n + 1)\psi_n = 0, \quad (3.3.29)$$

which is equivalent to

$$[(1 - z^2)\psi_n']' + n(n + 1)\psi_n = 0. \quad (3.3.30)$$

Explicitly, (3.3.30) is

$$\left[(1 - z^2) \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{n+1} [(z^2 - 1)^n] + n(n + 1) \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{n-1} [(z^2 - 1)^n]\right]' = 0, \quad (3.3.31)$$

equivalently,

$$(1 - z^2) \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{n+1} [(z^2 - 1)^n] + n(n + 1) \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{n-1} [(z^2 - 1)^n] = 0 \quad (3.3.32)$$
due to that both terms are equal to zero when \( z = 1 \). Note

\[
(1 - z^2) \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n+1} [(z^2 - 1)^n]
\]

\[
= (1 - z^2) \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n+1} [(z - 1)^n(z + 1)^n]
\]

\[
= -\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{s+1} \left[ \prod_{p=0}^{s} (n-p) \right] \left[ \prod_{r=s+1}^{n} r \right] (z-1)^{n-s}(z+1)^{s+1}
\]

\[
= -\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n+1)! \prod_{p=0}^{s} (n-p) \prod_{r=s+1}^{n} r}{(s+1)!(n-s)!} (z-1)^{n-s}(z+1)^{s+1}
\]

\[
= -\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n+1)! \prod_{p=0}^{s-1} (n-p) \prod_{r=s+1}^{n} r}{s!(n-s-1)!} (z-1)^{n-s}(z+1)^{s+1}
\]

\[
= -n(n+1) \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{s} \left[ \prod_{p=0}^{s-1} (n-p) \right] \left[ \prod_{r=s+2}^{n} r \right] (z-1)^{n-s}(z+1)^{s+1}
\]

\[
= -n(n+1) \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n-1} [(z - 1)^n(z + 1)^n]
\]

\[
= -n(n+1) \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n-1} [(z^2 - 1)^n], \tag{3.3.33}
\]

that is (3.3.32) holds.

On the other hand,

\[
\frac{1}{2^n n!} \psi_n = \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^r z^{2n-2r}}{r! (n-r)! 2^n}.
\tag{3.3.34}
\]

Thus for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\frac{1}{2^k (2k)!} \psi_{2k}(z) \big|_{z=0} = \left( \frac{1}{k!} \right)^2 2^{2k} \frac{(2k)!}{k!} = \frac{(-1)^k (1/2)_k}{k!}
\tag{3.3.35}
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{2^{k+1} (2k+1)!} \psi_{2k+1}(z) \big|_{z=0} = \left( \frac{1}{k!} \right) 2^{2k+1} \frac{(2k+2)!}{k!} = \frac{(-1)^k (1/2)_{k+1}}{k!}.
\tag{3.3.36}
\]

This shows that both \( \psi_n(z)/(2^n n!) \) and \( P_n(z) \) are polynomial solutions of the equation

\[
(1 - z^2) y'' - 2zy' + n(n+1)y = 0 \tag{3.3.37}
\]

with the same term of lowest degree. Observe that any power series solution \( y = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} c_r z^r \) of (3.3.37) must be a linear combination of (3.3.23) and (3.3.24), one of which is not polynomial. Thus any two polynomial solutions of (3.3.37) must be proportional. Hence \( P_n(z) = \psi_n(z)/(2^n n!) \), that is, (3.3.27) holds. \( \square \)
3.3. ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( m \neq n \). Then

\[
[(1 - z^2)P_m'(z)]' P_n(z) + m(m + 1)P_m(z)P_n(z) = 0, \tag{3.3.38}
\]

\[
P_m(z)[(1 - z^2)P_n'(z)]' + n(n + 1)P_m(z)P_n(z) = 0. \tag{3.3.39}
\]

Thus

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} P_m(z)P_n(z)dz \\
= \frac{1}{(m - n)(m + n + 1)} \int_{-1}^{1} [m(m + 1) - n(n + 1)]P_m(z)P_n(z)dz \\
= \frac{1}{(m - n)(m + n + 1)} \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} P_m(z)[(1 - z^2)P_n'(z)]'dz - \int_{-1}^{1} [(1 - z^2)P_m'(z)]'P_n(z)dz \right] \\
= \frac{1}{(m - n)(m + n + 1)} (P_m(z)P_n'(z) - P_m'(z)P_n(z))(1 - z^2)|_{-1}^{1} = 0. \tag{3.3.40}
\]

According to (3.3.34),

\[
\left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n (P_n(z)) = \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} = (2n - 1)!!. \tag{3.3.41}
\]

Hence

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} (P_n(z))^2 dz = \frac{1}{n!2^n} \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n [(z^2 - 1)^n]P_n(z)dz \\
= \frac{1}{n!2^n} \int_{-1}^{1} (-1)^n(z^2 - 1)^n \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^n (P_n(z))dz \\
= \frac{(2n - 1)!!}{n!2^n} \int_{-1}^{1} (1 - z^2)^n dz = \frac{2(2n - 1)!!}{n!2^n} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - z^2)^n dz \\
= \frac{(2n - 1)!!}{n!2^n} \int_{0}^{1} z^n(1 - z)^n dx = \frac{(2n - 1)!!\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n + 1)}{n!2^n\Gamma(n + 3/2)} \\
= \frac{2(2n - 1)!!}{(2n + 1)!!} = \frac{2}{2n + 1}. \tag{3.3.42}
\]

Legendre polynomials \( \{P_k(z) \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) have been used to solve the quantum two-body system.

**Exercise 3.3**

Find the differential equations satisfied by Jacobi polynomials and prove that Chebyshev polynomials of each kind form a set of orthogonal polynomials.
3.4 Weierstrass’s Elliptic Functions

For two integers \( m < n \), we denote
\[
\overline{m,n} = \{ m, m+1, ..., n \}, \quad \overline{m,m} = \{ m \}, \quad \overline{n,m} = \emptyset.
\]
(3.4.1)

Let \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) be two linearly independent elements in the complex \( z \)-plane. Denote the lattice
\[
L = \{ m\omega_1 + n\omega_2 \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \quad L' = L \setminus \{0\}.
\]
(3.4.2)

**Lemma 3.4.1.** For any \( 2 < a \in \mathbb{R} \), the series
\[
\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{\omega^a}
\]
(3.4.3)

converges absolutely.

*Proof.* For \( k \in \mathbb{N} + 1 \), we denote
\[
P_k = \{ \pm k\omega_1 + r\omega_2, r\omega_1 \pm k\omega_2 \mid r \in -k, k \},
\]
(3.4.4)

the set of the elements in \( L \) lying on the parallelogram with vertices \( \{ \pm k\omega_1 \pm k\omega_2 \} \). Denote
\[
\delta = \min\{ |\omega_1|, |\omega_2| \}.
\]
(3.4.5)

Then
\[
k\delta \leq |\omega| \quad \text{for any } \omega \in P_k.
\]
(3.4.6)

Moreover, the number of elements
\[
|P_k| = 8k.
\]
(3.4.7)

Now
\[
\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{|\omega|^a} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\omega \in P_k} \frac{1}{|\omega|^a} < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8k}{(k\delta)^a} = 8\delta^{-a} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{a-1}},
\]
(3.4.8)

where the last series converges by calculus. \( \square \)

The *Weierstrass’s Elliptic Function*
\[
\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left( \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right).
\]
(3.4.9)

For any \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L \),
\[
\lim_{|\omega| \to \infty} \frac{1}{|z-\omega|} \left( \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right) = \lim_{|\omega| \to \infty} \frac{\omega(2z - \omega)}{(z-\omega)^2} = 2z.
\]
(3.4.10)
Since $\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{\omega^3}$ converges absolutely by Lemma 3.4.1, the series in (3.4.9) converges absolutely. As $L' = -L'$, we have

$$\varphi(-z) = \frac{1}{(-z)^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(-z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z + \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in -L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z - (\omega))^2} - \frac{1}{(-\omega)^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z - \omega^2) - \frac{1}{\omega^2}} \right] = \varphi(z), \quad (3.4.11)$$

that is, $\varphi(z)$ is an even function.

We calculate

$$\varphi'(z) = -2 \frac{2}{z^3} - 2 \sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^3} = -2 \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^3}, \quad (3.4.12)$$

which converges absolutely for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L$. Since $L = -L$, $\varphi'(z)$ is an odd function by the similar argument as (3.4.11). For any $\omega \in L$, we have $L - \omega = L$ and

$$\varphi'(z + \omega) = -2 \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{1}{(z + \omega - \omega')^3} = -2 \sum_{\omega^- \in L - \omega} \frac{1}{(z - (\omega' - \omega))^3}$$

$$= -2 \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} = \varphi'(z). \quad (3.4.13)$$

So the elements of $L$ are periods of $\varphi'(z)$. Thus

$$\varphi(z + \omega) = \varphi(z) + C \quad (3.4.14)$$

for some constant $C$. Letting $z = -\omega/2$ in (3.4.14), we have

$$\varphi(-\omega/2) = \varphi(-\omega/2) + C \implies C = 0 \quad (3.4.15)$$

by (3.4.11). Thus

$$\varphi(z + \omega) = \varphi(z) \quad \text{for } \omega \in L, \quad (3.4.16)$$

that is, $\varphi(z)$ is a doubly periodic function.

Note that the function

$$\varphi_n(z) = \varphi(z) - \frac{1}{z^2} = \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right]. \quad (3.4.17)$$

is analytic at $z = 0$. Moreover,

$$\varphi_n^{(n)}(z) = (-1)^n(n + 1)! \sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^{n+2}}. \quad (3.4.18)$$
In particular,
\[ \varphi^{(n)}_*(0) = (n+1)! \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{\omega^{n+2}}. \] (3.4.19)

For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[ \varphi^{(2m+1)}_*(0) = (2m+2)! \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{\omega^{2m+3}} = -(2m+2)! \sum_{-\omega \in -\mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{(-\omega)^{2m+3}} \]
\[ = -(2m+2)! \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{\omega^{2m+3}} = -\varphi^{(2m+1)}_*(0). \] (3.4.20)

Thus \( \varphi^{(2m+1)}_*(0) = 0 \). Thanks to (3.4.17), \( \varphi_*(0) = 0 \). Hence
\[ \varphi_*(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{m+1} z^{2m} \] (3.4.21)
with
\[ c_{m+1} = \frac{\varphi^{(2m)}_*(0)}{(2m)!} = (2m+1) \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{\omega^{2m+2}} \] (3.4.22)
by (3.4.19).

Now
\[ \varphi(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{m+1} z^{2m} \implies \varphi'(z) = -\frac{2}{z^3} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2m c_{m+1} z^{2m-1}. \] (3.4.23)

Moreover,
\[ \varphi^3(z) = \frac{1}{z^6} + \frac{3c_2}{z^2} + 3c_3 + O(z), \] (3.4.24)
\[ \varphi^2(z) = \frac{4}{z^6} + \frac{8c_2}{z^2} - 16c_3 + O(z). \] (3.4.25)

Thus
\[ \varphi^2(z) - 4\varphi^3(z) = -\frac{20c_2}{z^2} - 28c_3 + O(z). \] (3.4.26)

Hence
\[ \psi = \varphi^2(z) - 4\varphi^3(z) + 20c_2 \varphi(z) + 28c_3 \] (3.4.27)
is a function with periods in \( \mathcal{L} \) and only possible singular points in \( \mathcal{L} \). Since \( \psi(0) = 0 \), we have \( \psi(\omega) = \psi(0) = 0 \) for any \( \omega \in \mathcal{L} \). Hence \( \psi \) is a holomorphic doubly periodic function.
So \( \psi \) is bounded. Thus \( \psi(z) \equiv \psi(0) = 0 \). This proves:

**Theorem 3.4.2.** For \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{L} \),
\[ \varphi^2(z) = 4\varphi^3(z) - g_2 \varphi(z) - g_3 \] (3.4.28)
with
\[ g_2 = 20c_2 = 60 \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{\omega^4}, \quad g_3 = 28c_3 = 140 \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{L}'} \frac{1}{\omega^6}. \] (3.4.29)
Differentiating (3.4.28), we get
\[ 2\wp'(z)\wp''(z) = 12z\wp^2(z)\wp'(z) - g_2\wp'(z). \] (3.4.30)

Hence
\[ \wp''(z) = 6z\wp^2(z) - \frac{g_2}{2}, \] (3.4.31)

which is very important in solving nonlinear partial differential equation.

**Remark 3.4.3.** Suppose \( \text{Re}\omega_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \text{Im}\omega_1 \neq 0 \). Then \( \omega_1 \) and its complex conjugate \( \overline{\omega_1} \) are linearly independent. So we can take \( \omega_2 = \overline{\omega_1} \). In this case, \( \overline{L} = L \). If \( z \in \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\overline{\omega}^2} \right] = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L' = L'} \left[ \frac{1}{(z - \overline{\omega})^2} - \frac{1}{\overline{\omega}^2} \right] = \varphi(z). \] (3.4.32)

So \( \varphi(z) \) is a real-valued function on \( \mathbb{R} \). Similarly, \( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \) are real constants. Since \( \omega_1 \) has two real freedom, \( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \) can take any two real numbers such that \( g_3^2 - 27g_2^3 \neq 0 \) (the condition comes from ellipticity (cf. [ARR, WG])).

Observe
\[
\frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} = \frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{z + \omega}{\omega^2} = \frac{z^2}{\omega^2(z - \omega)}. \] (3.4.33)

Thus the series
\[
\sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} \right] \] (3.4.34)

converges absolutely for any \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L \). The Weierstrass’s zeta function:
\[
\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} \right]. \] (3.4.35)

It is not the Riemann’s zeta function! Obviously,
\[
\zeta'(z) = -\wp'(z). \] (3.4.36)

As the argument (3.4.11), \( \zeta(z) \) is an odd function. Moreover,
\[
\zeta'(z + \omega) = -\wp(z + \omega) = -\wp(z + \omega) = \zeta'(\omega) \quad \text{for } \omega \in L. \] (3.4.37)

In particular, this implies that
\[
\zeta(z + \omega_1) = \zeta(z) + 2\eta_1, \quad \zeta(z + \omega_2) = \zeta(z) + 2\eta_2 \] (3.4.38)

for some constants \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{C} \). Taking \( z = -\omega_r/2 \), we get
\[
\zeta(\omega_r/2) = \zeta(-\omega_r/2) + 2\eta_r. \] (3.4.39)
Hence
\[ \eta_1 = \zeta(\omega_1/2), \quad \eta_2 = \zeta(\omega_2/2). \] (3.4.40)

Now we assume
\[ \text{Im} \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} > 0. \] (3.4.41)

Let
\[ A = -\frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \quad B = \frac{\omega_1}{2} + \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \quad C = \frac{\omega_1}{2} - \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \quad D = -\frac{\omega_1}{2} - \frac{\omega_2}{2}. \] (3.4.42)

Denote by \( XY \) the oriented segment from \( X \) to \( Y \) on the complex plane. Let \( C \) be the parallelogram \( ABCD \) with counterclockwise orientation. Since \( z = 0 \) is the only pole of \( \zeta(z) \) enclosed by the parallelogram. We have
\[
2\pi i = \int_C \zeta(z) dz = \int_{DC} (\zeta(z) - \zeta(z + \omega_2)) dz \\
+ \int_{CB} (\zeta(z) - \zeta(z - \omega_1)) dz = -2\eta_2\omega_1 + 2\eta_1\omega_2. \] (3.4.43)

Thus
\[ \eta_1\omega_2 - \eta_2\omega_1 = \pi i. \] (3.4.44)

Note
\[
\left( 1 - \frac{z}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{z}{2\omega}} + \frac{z^2}{2\omega^2} \\
= \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\omega} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{z^2}{\omega^2} + \frac{2z^3}{3\omega^3} + O\left( \frac{z^4}{\omega^4} \right) \right) \\
= 1 - \frac{z^3}{3\omega^3} + O\left( \frac{z^4}{\omega^4} \right). \] (3.4.45)

Since
\[
\sum_{\omega \in L'} \left( \frac{Cz^4}{\omega^4} - \frac{z^3}{3\omega^3} \right) \] (3.4.46)
converges absolutely for any given \( z \) and \( C \), the product
\[
\prod_{\omega \in L'} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{z}{2\omega}} \] converges absolutely for any \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L. \) (3.4.47)

We define the Weierstrass’s sigma function:
\[
\sigma(z) = z \prod_{\omega \in L'} \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\omega} \right) e^{\frac{z}{2\omega}}. \] (3.4.48)

Then
\[
\ln \sigma(z) = \ln z + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - \frac{z}{\omega} \right) + \frac{z}{\omega} + \frac{z^2}{2\omega^2} \right]. \] (3.4.49)

Thus
\[
\frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left[ \frac{1}{z - \omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} \right] = \zeta(z). \] (3.4.50)
By a similar argument as that of (3.4.11), \( \sigma(z) \) is an odd function. Moreover, (3.4.37) and (3.4.49) yield
\[
\frac{\sigma'(z + \omega_1)}{\sigma(z + \omega_1)} - \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = 2\eta_1, \quad \frac{\sigma'(z + \omega_2)}{\sigma(z + \omega_2)} - \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = 2\eta_2.
\]
(3.4.51)
Thus
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \ln \frac{\sigma(z + \omega_r)}{\sigma(z)} = 2\eta_r \implies \ln \frac{\sigma(z + \omega_r)}{\sigma(z)} = 2\eta_r z + C_r.
\]
(3.4.52)
So
\[
\sigma(z + \omega_r) = \sigma(z)e^{2\eta_r z + C_r}.
\]
(3.4.53)
Taking \( z = -\omega_r/2 \) in (3.4.51), we get
\[
\sigma(\omega_r/2) = \sigma(-\omega_r/2)e^{-\eta_r \omega_r + C_r} \implies e^{C_r} = -e^{\eta_r \omega_r}.
\]
(3.4.54)
Therefore,
\[
\sigma(z + \omega_1) = -\sigma(z)e^{(2z + \omega_1)\eta_1}, \quad \sigma(z + \omega_2) = -\sigma(z)e^{(2z + \omega_2)\eta_2}.
\]
(3.4.55)

Suppose \( \text{Re} \omega_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \text{Im} \omega_1 < 0 \). Taking \( \omega_2 = \overline{\omega_1} \), we get two real-valued functions \( \zeta(z) \) and \( \sigma(z) \) for \( z \in \mathbb{R} \).

### 3.5 Jacobian Elliptic Functions

Let \( 0 < m < 1 \) be a real constant. Jacobian elliptic function \( \text{sn} \ (z|m) \) is the inverse function of the Legendre’s elliptic integral of first kind
\[
z = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-m^2t^2)}}, \quad \text{that is, } x = \text{sn} \ (z|m).
\]
(3.5.1)
The number \( m \) is the elliptic modulus of \( \text{sn} \ (z|m) \). Moreover, we define
\[
\text{cn} \ (z|m) = \sqrt{1 - \text{sn}^2(z|m)}, \quad \text{dn} \ (z|m) = \sqrt{1 - m^2\text{sn}^2(z|m)}.
\]
(3.5.2)
Note
\[
z = \lim_{m \to 0} \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-m^2t^2)}} = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} = \arcsin x.
\]
(3.5.3)
Thus
\[
\lim_{m \to 0} \text{sn} \ (z|m) = \sin z, \quad \lim_{m \to 0} \text{cn} \ (z|m) = \cos z, \quad \lim_{m \to 0} \text{dn} \ (z|m) = 1.
\]
(3.5.4)
On the other hand,
\[
z = \lim_{m \to 1} \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-m^2t^2)}} = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{1-t^2} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 + x}{1 - x},
\]
(3.5.5)
equivalently,
\[
\frac{1 + x}{1 - x} = e^{2z} \sim \frac{2}{1 - x} - 1 = e^{2z} \sim 1 - x = \frac{2}{e^{2z} + 1}
\]  
\[
\Rightarrow x = 1 - \frac{2}{e^{2z} + 1} = \frac{e^{2z} - 1}{e^{2z} + 1} = \frac{e^{z} - e^{-z}}{e^{z} + e^{-z}} = \tanh z.
\]  
Hence
\[
\lim_{m \to 1} \text{sn} (z|m) = \tanh z, \quad \lim_{m \to 1} \text{cn} (z|m) = \lim_{m \to 1} \text{dn} (z|m) = \text{sech} z.
\]  
Taking derivative with respect to \( z \) in (3.5.1), we get
\[
1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - m^2x^2)}} \frac{dx}{dz} \sim \frac{dx}{dz} = \sqrt{(1 - x^2)(1 - m^2x^2)}.
\]  
So
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \text{sn} (z|m) = \sqrt{(1 - \text{sn}^2(z|m))(1 - m^2\text{sn}^2(z|m))} = \text{cn} (z|m) \text{dn} (z|m).
\]  
Moreover,
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \text{cn} (z|m) = - \frac{\text{sn} (z|m)}{\sqrt{1 - \text{sn}^2(z|m)}} \frac{d}{dz} \text{sn} (z|m) = -\text{sn} (z|m) \text{dn} (z|m),
\]  
\[
\frac{d}{dz} \text{dn} (z|m) = - \frac{m^2\text{sn} (z|m)}{\sqrt{1 - m^2\text{sn}^2(z|m)}} \frac{d}{dz} \text{sn} (z|m) = -m^2\text{sn} (z|m) \text{cn} (z|m).
\]  
Rewrite (3.5.2) as
\[
\text{sn}^2(z|m) + \text{cn}^2(z|m) = 1, \quad \text{dn}^2(z|m) + m^2\text{sn}^2(z|m) = 1.
\]  
Now
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \text{sn} (z|m) = \left( \frac{d}{dz} \text{cn} (z|m) \right) \text{dn} (z|m) + \text{cn} (z|m) \left( \frac{d}{dz} \text{dn} (z|m) \right)
\]  
\[= -\text{sn} (z|m) \text{dn}^2(z|m) - m^2\text{sn} (z|m) \text{cn}^2(z|m)
\]  
\[= -\text{sn} (z|m) (1 - m^2\text{sn}^2(z|m)) - m^2\text{sn} (z|m) (1 - \text{sn}^2(z|m))
\]  
\[= 2m^2\text{sn}^3(z|m) - (m^2 + 1)\text{sn} (z|m),
\]  
\[
\left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^2 \text{cn} (z|m) = - \left( \frac{d}{dz} \text{sn} (z|m) \right) \text{dn} (z|m) - \text{sn} (z|m) \left( \frac{d}{dz} \text{dn} (z|m) \right)
\]  
\[= -\text{cn} (z|m) \text{dn}^2(z|m) + m^2\text{cn} (z|m) \text{sn}^2(z|m)
\]  
\[= -\text{cn} (z|m) (1 - m^2 + m^2\text{cn}^2(z|m)) + m^2\text{cn} (z|m) (1 - \text{cn}^2(z|m))
\]  
\[= -2m^2\text{cn}^3(z|m) + (2m^2 - 1)\text{cn} (z|m),
\]  
(3.5.14)
\[
\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^2 \text{dn}(z|m) = -m^2 \left(\frac{d}{dz} \text{sn}(z|m)\right) \text{cn}(z|m) + m^2 \text{sn}(z|m) \left(\frac{d}{dz} \text{cn}(z|m)\right) \\
= -m^2 \text{dn}(z|m) \text{cn}^2(z|m) + m^2 \text{dn}(z|m) \text{sn}^2(z|m) \\
= \text{dn}(z|m) \left(1 - m^2 - \text{dn}^2(z|m)\right) + \text{dn}(z|m) \left(1 - \text{dn}^2(z|m)\right) \\
= -2 \text{dn}^3(z|m) + (2 - m^2) \text{dn}(z|m). 
\]

The above three equations are very useful in solving nonlinear partial differential equations such as nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

It is quite often to use (3.5.14)-(3.5.16) with similar equations for trigonometric functions as follows:

\[
\tan' z = \tan^2 z + 1, \quad \tan'' z = 2 \tan^3 z + 2 \tan z, \quad (3.5.17) \\
\sec' z = \sec z \tan z, \quad \sec'' z = 2 \sec^3 z - \sec z, \quad (3.5.18) \\
\coth' z = 1 - \coth^2 z, \quad \coth'' z = 2 \coth^3 z - 2 \coth z, \quad (3.5.19) \\
\text{csch}' z = -\text{csch} z \coth z, \quad \text{csch}''(z) = 2\text{csch}^3 z + \text{csch} z. \quad (3.5.20)
\]
Part II

Partial Differential Equations
Chapter 4

First-Order or Linear Equations

First in this chapter, we derive the commonly used method of characteristic lines for solving first-order quasilinear partial differential equations, including boundary-value problems. Then we talk about more sophisticated method of characteristic strip for solving nonlinear first-order of partial differential equations. Exact first-order partial differential equations are also handled.

Linear partial differential equations of flag type, including linear equations with constant coefficients, appear in many areas of mathematics and physics. A general equation of this type can not be solved by separation of variables. We use the grading technique from representation theory to solve flag partial differential equations and find the complete set of polynomial solutions. Our method also leads us to find a family of new special functions by which we are able to solve the initial-value problem of a large class of linear equations with constant coefficients.

We use the method of characteristic lines to prove a Campbell-Hausdorff-type factorization of exponential differential operators and then solve the initial-value problem of flag evolution partial differential equations. We also use the Campbell-Hausdorff-type factorization to solve the initial-value problem of generalized wave equations of flag type.

The Calogero-Sutherland model is an exactly solvable quantum many-body system in one-dimension (cf. [Cf], [Sb]). The model was used to study long-range interactions of \( n \) particles. We prove that a two-parameter generalization of the Weyl function of type \( A \) in representation theory is a solution of the Calogero-Sutherland model. If \( n = 2 \), we find a connection between the Calogero-Sutherland model and the Gauss hypergeometric function. When \( n > 2 \), a new class of multi-variable hypergeometric functions are found based on Etingof’s work [Ep]. Finally in Chapter 4, we use matrix differential operators and Fourier expansions to solve the Maxwell equations, the free Dirac equations and the generalized acoustic system.
4.1 Method of Characteristics

Let $n$ be a positive integer and let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ be $n$ independent variables. Denote

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.1)

Suppose that $u(\vec{x}) = u(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is a function in $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ determined by the quasilinear partial differential equation

$$f_1(\vec{x}, u)u_{x_1} + f_2(\vec{x}, u)u_{x_2} + \cdots + f_n(\vec{x}, u)u_{x_n} = g(\vec{x}, u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.2)

subject to the condition

$$\psi(\vec{x}, u) = 0 \quad \text{on the surface} \quad h(\vec{x}) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.3)

Geometrically, the above problem is equivalent to find a hypersurface $u = u(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ in the $(n + 1)$-dimensional space of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n, u\}$ passing through the codimension-2 boundary (4.1.3) satisfying the equation (4.1.2). The idea of the method of characteristics is to find all the lines on the hypersurface passing through any point on the boundary (called characteristic lines). Suppose that we have a line

$$x_1 = x_1(s), \quad x_2 = x_2(s), \quad \ldots, \quad x_n = x_n(s), \quad u = u(s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.4)

passing through a point $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, u) = (t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1})$ on the boundary (4.1.3). Since $u$ is a function of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ determining the hypersurface, we have

$$\frac{du}{ds} = u_{x_1} \frac{dx_1}{ds} + u_{x_2} \frac{dx_2}{ds} + \cdots + u_{x_n} \frac{dx_n}{ds},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.5)

equivalently,

$$(u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, -1) \cdot \left( \frac{dx_1}{ds}, \ldots, \frac{dx_n}{ds}, \frac{du}{ds} \right) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.6)

On the other hand, (4.1.2) can be rewritten as

$$(u_{x_1}, \ldots, u_{x_n}, -1) \cdot (f_1, \ldots, f_n, g) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.7)

Comparing the above two equation, we find that original problem is equivalent to solve the system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{du}{ds} = g(\vec{x}, u), \quad \frac{dx_r}{ds} = f_r(\vec{x}, u), \quad r \in \overline{1, n},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.8)

subject to the initial conditions:

$$u|_{s=0} = t_{n+1}, \quad x_r|_{s=0} = t_r, \quad r \in \overline{1, n},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.9)

$$\psi(t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_{n+1}) = 0, \quad h(t_1, \ldots, t_n) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1.10)
Solving (4.1.8) and (4.1.9), we find

\[ u = \phi_{n+1}(s, t_1, ..., t_{n+1}), \quad x_r = \phi_r(s, t_1, ..., t_{n+1}), \quad r \in \{1, n\} \]  

Eliminating possible variables in \{s, t_1, ..., t_{n+1}\} by (4.1.10) and (4.1.11), we obtain the solution of the original problem.

**Example 4.1.1.** Solve the equation \( u_{x_1} - cu_{x_2} = 0 \) subject to \( u|_{x_1=0} = f(x_2) \), where \( c \) is a constant and \( f \) is a given function.

**Solution.** The system of characteristic lines is:

\[ \frac{du}{ds} = 0, \quad \frac{dx_1}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{dx_2}{ds} = -c. \]  

Initial conditions are:

\[ x_1|_{s=0} = t_1, \quad x_2|_{s=0} = t_2, \quad u|_{s=0} = t_3, \]  

\[ t_3 = f(t_2), \quad t_1 = 0. \]

The solution of (4.1.12) and (4.1.13) is

\[ x_1 = s, \quad x_2 = -cs + t_2, \quad u = t_3. \]  

Thus \( t_2 = cx_1 + x_2 \) and the final solution is

\[ u = f(cx_1 + x_2). \]  

**Example 4.1.2.** Solve the equation

\[ u_x + x^2 u_y = -yu \]  

subject to \( u = f(y) \) on \( x = 0 \).

**Solution.** The system of characteristic lines is:

\[ \frac{dx}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{dy}{ds} = x^2, \quad \frac{du}{ds} = -yu. \]  

Initial conditions are:

\[ x|_{s=0} = t_1, \quad y|_{s=0} = t_2, \quad u|_{s=0} = t_3, \]  

\[ t_3 = f(t_2), \quad t_1 = 0. \]

The first equation in (4.1.18) gives \( x = s \). Then the second equation becomes

\[ \frac{dy}{ds} = s^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y = \frac{s^3}{3} + t_2. \]  

Now the third equation in (4.1.18) becomes

\[ \frac{du}{ds} = -\left(\frac{s^3}{3} + t_2\right)u \quad \sim \quad \frac{du}{u} = -\left(\frac{s^3}{3} + t_2\right) ds. \]
Thus
\[ u = t_3 e^{-s^4/12 - t_2 s} = f(t_2) e^{-s^4/12 - t_2 s}. \]  
(4.1.23)

Note \( s = x \). So \( t_2 = y - x^3/3 \). Thus the final solution is
\[ u = f(y - x^3/3) e^{x^4/4 - xy}. \]  
(4.1.24)

**Example 4.1.3.** Solve the following equation
\[ u_x + u_y + xyu_z = u^2 \] subject to \( u = x^2 \) on \( y = z \).  
(4.1.25)

**Solutions.** The system of characteristic lines is:
\[ \frac{dx}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{dy}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{dz}{ds} = xy, \quad \frac{du}{ds} = u^2. \]  
(4.1.26)

Initial conditions are:
\[ x|_{s=0} = t_1, \quad y|_{s=0} = t_2, \quad z|_{s=0} = t_3, \quad u|_{s=0} = t_1^2, \quad t_2 = t_3. \]  
(4.1.27)

The first two equations in (4.1.26) gives \( x = s + t_1 \) and \( y = s + t_2 \). The third equation becomes
\[ \frac{dz}{ds} = (s + t_1)(s + t_2) = s^2 + (t_1 + t_2)s + t_1t_2. \]  
(4.1.28)

Thus
\[ z = \frac{s^3}{3} + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} s^2 + t_1 t_2 s + t_2. \]  
(4.1.29)

The last equation in (4.1.26) yields
\[ \frac{1}{u} = -s + \frac{1}{t_1} \implies u = \frac{t_1^2}{1 - st_1^2}. \]  
(4.1.30)

Note \( t_1 = x - s \) and \( t_2 = y - s \). Thus we obtained the parametric solution
\[ u = \frac{(x - s)^2}{1 - s(x - s)^2}, \quad z = \frac{s^3}{3} - \frac{x + y}{2} s^2 + xys + y - s. \]  
(4.1.31)

**Exercise 4.1**

1. Solve the following problem
\[ x^2 u_x + 2yu_y + 4z^3 u_z = 0 \] subject to \( u = f(y, z) \) on the plane \( x = 1 \).

2. Find the solution of the problem
\[ u_x + 2yu_y + 3yu_z = 4z u^3 \] subject to
\[ u^3 = x^2 + y + 3 \sin z \] on the surface \( x = y^2 + z^2 \).
4.2 Characteristic Strip and Exact Equations

Consider the partial differential equation

\[ F(x, y, u, p, q) = 0, \quad p = u_x, \quad q = u_y. \]  

(4.2.1)

We search for solution by solving the following system of strip equations:

\[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = F_p, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = F_q, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = pF_p + qF_q, \]  

(4.2.2)

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial s} = -F_x - pF_u, \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial s} = -F_y - qF_u, \]  

(4.2.3)

where we view \( \{x, y, u, p, q\} \) as functions of the two variables \( \{s, t\} \), and \( t \) is responsible for the initial condition. The third equation in (4.2.2) is derived from the first two via

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = u_x \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + u_y \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = pF_p + qF_q. \]  

(4.2.4)

Note \( p_y = u_{xy} = u_{yx} = q_x \). Taking partial derivative of the first equation in (4.2.1) with respect to \( x \), we have

\[ F_x + pF_u + p_x F_p + q_x F_q = 0 \sim F_x + pF_u + p_x F_p + p_y F_q = 0. \]  

(4.2.5)

Under the assumption the first two equations in (4.2.2),

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial s} = p_x \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + p_y \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = p_x F_p + q_x F_q = -F_x - pF_u, \]  

(4.2.6)

that is, the first equation in (4.2.3) holds. We can similarly derive the second equation in (4.2.3). A solution of the system (4.2.2) and (4.2.3) does give a characteristic line because

\[ (u_x, u_y, -1) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} \right) = pF_p + qF_q - (pF_p + qF_q) = 0. \]  

(4.2.7)

**Example 4.2.1.** Solving the problem

\[ u_xu_y - 2u - x + 2y = 0 \]  

(4.2.8)

subject to \( u = y^2 \) on the line \( x = 0 \).

**Solution.** Now \( F = pq - 2u - x + 2y \). The strip equations are:

\[ \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = q, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = p, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = 2pq, \]  

(4.2.9)

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial s} = 1 + 2p \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial s} = -2 + 2q. \]  

(4.2.10)

The initial conditions are given: when \( s = 0 \),

\[ x = 0, \quad y = t, \quad u = t^2. \]  

(4.2.11)
To find the condition for \( p \) and \( q \) when \( s = 0 \), we calculate

\[
\frac{du}{dt} = p \frac{dx}{dt} + q \frac{dy}{dt} \sim 2t = p \cdot 0 + q \cdot 1 \implies q = 2t. \tag{4.2.12}
\]

On the other hand, when \( s = 0 \), (4.2.8) becomes

\[
pq - 2t^2 + 2t = 0 \implies p = t - 1. \tag{4.2.13}
\]

According to (4.2.10), (4.2.12) and (4.2.13), we have

\[
p = \frac{-1 + (2t - 1)e^{2s}}{2}, \quad q = 1 + (2t - 1)e^{2s}. \tag{4.2.14}
\]

Next (4.2.9) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = 1 + (2t - 1)e^{2s}, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} = -1 + \frac{(2t - 1)e^{2s}}{2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = (2t - 1)^2 e^{4s} - 1. \tag{4.2.16}
\]

Thus

\[
x = s + \frac{(2t - 1)(e^{2s} - 1)}{2}, \quad y = -\frac{s}{2} + t + \frac{(2t - 1)(e^{2s} - 1)}{4}, \tag{4.2.17}
\]

\[
u = t^2 - s + \frac{(2t - 1)^2 (e^{4s} - 1)}{4}. \tag{4.2.18}
\]

The equation

\[
f(x, y, u)u_x = g(x, y, u)u_y \tag{4.2.19}
\]

is called exact if \( f = g_y \). For an exact equation, we look for a function \( \Psi(x, y, u) \) such that \( \Psi_y = f \) and \( \Psi_x = g \). Then \( \Psi(x, y, u) = 0 \) is a solution of (4.2.19). In fact, the equation \( \Psi(x, y, u) = 0 \) gives

\[
\Psi_x + \Psi_u u_x = 0, \quad \Psi_y + \Psi_u u_y = 0. \tag{4.2.20}
\]

Thus

\[
\Psi_x = -\frac{\Psi_x}{\Psi_u} = -\frac{g}{\Psi_u}, \quad \Psi_y = -\frac{\Psi_y}{\Psi_u} = -\frac{f}{\Psi_u}, \tag{4.2.21}
\]

which implies

\[
f u_x = -f \frac{g}{\Psi_u} = -g \frac{f}{\Psi_u} = g u_y. \tag{4.2.22}
\]

**Example 4.2.2.** Solve the equation

\[
(x + \cos y + u)u_x = (y + e^x + u^2)u_y. \tag{4.2.23}
\]
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Solution. Now \( f = x + \cos y + u \) and \( g = y + e^x + u^2 \). Moreover, \( f_x = 1 = g_y \). The equation is exact. Let

\[
\Psi = \int f(x, y, u)dy = \int (x + \cos y + u)dy = (x + u)y + \sin y + \phi(x, u). \tag{4.2.24}
\]

Taking partial derivative of (4.2.24) with respect to \( x \), we get

\[
y + \phi_x = \Psi_x = g = y + e^x + u^2 \sim \phi_x = e^x + u^2. \tag{4.2.25}
\]

Hence

\[
\phi = \int (e^x + u^2)dx = e^x + xu^2 + h(u), \tag{4.2.26}
\]

where \( h(u) \) is any differentiable function. The final answer is

\[
(x + u)y + \sin y + e^x + xu^2 + h(u) = 0. \quad \square \tag{4.2.27}
\]

We refer to [Z] for more exact methods of solving differential equations.

Exercise 4.2

1. Find the solution of the following problem \( u_xu_y - 2u + 2x = 0 \) subject to \( u = x^2y \) on the line \( x = y \).

2. Solve the equation \((2xy + e^y)u_x = (y^2 + x + \sin u)u_y.\)

4.3 Polynomial Solutions of Flag Equations

A linear transformation \( T \) on an infinite-dimensional vector space \( U \) is called \emph{locally nilpotent} if for any \( u \in U \), there exists a positive integer \( m \) (usually depends on \( u \)) such that \( T^m(u) = 0 \).

A partial differential equation of flag type is the linear differential equation of the form:

\[
(d_1 + f_1d_2 + f_2d_3 + \cdots + f_{n-1}d_n)(u) = 0, \tag{4.3.1}
\]

where \( d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \) are certain commuting locally nilpotent differential operators on the polynomial algebra \( \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \) and \( f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} \) are polynomials satisfying

\[
d_l(f_j) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad l > j. \tag{4.3.2}
\]

Examples of such equations are: (1) Laplace equation

\[
u_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_2x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_nx_n} = 0; \tag{4.3.3}
\]

(2) heat conduction equation

\[
u_t - u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2} - \cdots - u_{x_nx_n} = 0; \tag{4.3.4}
\]
(3) generalized Laplace equation
\[ u_{xx} + xu_{yy} + yu_{zz} = 0. \] (4.3.5)

The aim of this section is to find all the polynomial solutions of the equation (4.3.1). The contents are taken from the author’s work [X11].

Let \( U \) be a vector space over \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( U_1 \) be a subspace of \( U \). The quotient space
\[ U/U_1 = \{ u + U_1 \mid u \in U \} \] (4.3.6)
with linear operation
\[ a(u_1 + U_1) + b(u_2 + U_1) = (au_1 + bu_2) + U_1 \quad \text{for} \; u_1, u_2 \in U, \; a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \] (4.3.7)
where the zero vector in \( U/U_1 \) is \( U_1 \) and
\[ u + v + U_1 = u + U_1 \quad \text{for} \; u \in U, \; v \in U_1. \] (4.3.8)

For instance, \( U = \mathbb{R}x + \mathbb{R}y + \mathbb{R}z \) and \( U_1 = \mathbb{R}x \). Then \( U/U_1 = \{by + cz + U_1\} \cong \mathbb{R}y + \mathbb{R}z \) and \( \{y + U_1, z + U_1\} \) forms a basis of \( U/U_1 \). Second example is \( U = \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}x + \mathbb{R}x^2 \) and \( U_1 = \mathbb{R}(1+x+x^2) \). In this case, \( (1+U_1)+(x+U_1)+(x^2+U_1) = (1+x+x^2)+U_1 = U_1 \), the zero vector in \( U/U_1 \). Thus \( U/U_1 = \{a + bx + U_1 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{ax + bx^2 + U_1 \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \).

Both \( \{1+U_1, x+U_1\} \) and \( \{x+U_1, x^2+U_1\} \) are bases of \( U/U_1 \). But we know \( U/U_1 \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Recall that \( \mathbb{N} \) denotes the set of nonnegative integers. Let \( 1 \leq k < n \). Denote
\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n], \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_k], \quad V = \mathbb{R}[x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, ..., x_n]. \] (4.3.9)
Let \( \{V_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a set of subspaces of \( V \) such that
\[ V_r \subset V_{r+1} \quad \text{for} \; r \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} V_r. \] (4.3.10)

For instance, we take \( V_r = \{g \in V \mid \deg g \leq r\} \) in some special cases.

**Lemma 4.3.1.** Let \( T_1 \) be a differential operator on \( \mathcal{A} \) with a right inverse \( T_1^- \) such that
\[ T_1(\mathcal{B}), \; T_1^-(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B}, \quad T_1(\eta_1 \eta_2) = T_1(\eta_1)\eta_2, \quad T_1^-(\eta_1 \eta_2) = T_1^-(\eta_1)\eta_2 \] (4.3.11)
for \( \eta_1 \in \mathcal{B}, \; \eta_2 \in V \), and let \( T_2 \) be a differential operator on \( \mathcal{A} \) such that
\[ T_2(V_0) = \{0\}, \quad T_2(V_{r+1}) \subset BV_r, \quad T_2(f \zeta) = fT_2(\zeta) \quad \text{for} \; r \in \mathbb{N}, \; f \in \mathcal{B}, \; \zeta \in \mathcal{A}. \] (4.3.12)
Then we have
\[ \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid (T_1 + T_2)(f) = 0\} = \text{Span} \{ (-T_1^- T_2)^i(hg) \mid g \in V, \; h \in \mathcal{B}, \; T_1(h) = 0 \}, \] (4.3.13)
where the summation is finite. Moreover, the operator \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1T_2)^i T_1^- \) is a right inverse of \( T_1 + T_2 \).

**Proof.** For \( h \in \mathcal{B} \) such that \( T_1(h) = 0 \) and \( g \in V \), we have

\[
(T_1 + T_2) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1T_2)^i (hg) \right)
= T_1(hg) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_1 T_2 (-T_1T_2)^{i-1} (hg) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2 (-T_1T_2)^i (hg)
= T_1(hg) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_1 T_2 (-T_1T_2)^{i-1} (hg) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2 (-T_1T_2)^i (hg)
= 0
\]

by (4.3.11). Set \( V_{-1} = \{0\} \). For \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), we take \( \{\psi_{j,r} \mid r \in I_j\} \subset V_j \) such that \( \{\psi_{j,r} + V_{j-1} \mid r \in I_j\} \) forms a basis of \( V_j/V_{j-1} \),

\[
(4.3.15)
\]

where \( I_j \) is an index set. Let

\[
\mathcal{A}^{(m)} = BV_m = \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sum_{r \in I_s} \mathcal{B} \psi_{s,r}. \quad (4.3.16)
\]

Obviously,

\[
T_1(\mathcal{A}^{(m)}), \quad T_1^-(\mathcal{A}^{(m)}), \quad T_2(\mathcal{A}^{(m+1)}) \subset \mathcal{A}^{(m)} \quad \text{for} \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (4.3.17)
\]

by (4.3.11) and (4.3.12), and

\[
\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^{(m)}. \quad (4.3.18)
\]

Suppose \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}^{(m)} \) such that \( (T_1 + T_2)(\phi) = 0 \). If \( m = 0 \), then

\[
\phi = \sum_{r \in I_0} h_r \psi_{0,r}, \quad h_r \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (4.3.19)
\]

Now

\[
0 = (T_1 + T_2)(\phi) = \sum_{r \in I_0} T_1(h_r) \psi_{0,r} + \sum_{r \in I_0} h_r T_2(\psi_{0,r}) = \sum_{r \in I_0} T_1(h_r) \psi_{0,r}, \quad (4.3.20)
\]

Since \( T_1(h_r) \in \mathcal{B} \) by (4.3.11), (4.3.20) gives \( T_1(h_r) = 0 \) for \( r \in I_0 \). Denote by \( S \) the right hand side of the equation (4.3.13). Then

\[
\phi = \sum_{r \in I_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-T_1T_2)^m (h_r \psi_{0,r}) \in S. \quad (4.3.21)
\]
Suppose \( m > 0 \). We write
\[
\phi = \sum_{r \in I_m} h_r \psi_{m,r} + \phi', \quad h_r \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \phi' \in \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)}.
\] (4.3.22)

Then
\[
0 = (T_1 + T_2)(\phi) = \sum_{r \in I_m} T_1(h_r)\psi_{m,r} + T_1(\phi') + T_2(\phi).
\] (4.3.23)

Since \( T_1(\phi') + T_2(\phi) \in \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)} \), we have \( T_1(h_r) = 0 \) for \( r \in I_m \). Now
\[
\phi - \sum_{r \in I_m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1}T_2)^j(h_r\psi_{m,r}) = \phi' - \sum_{r \in I_m} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1}T_2)^j(h_r\psi_{m,r}) \in \mathcal{A}^{(m-1)}
\] (4.3.24)

and (4.3.14) implies
\[
(T_1 + T_2)(\phi - \sum_{r \in I_m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1}T_2)^j(h_r\psi_{m,r})) = 0.
\] (4.3.25)

By induction on \( m \),
\[
\phi - \sum_{r \in I_m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1}T_2)^j(h_r\psi_{m,r}) \in \mathcal{S}.
\] (4.3.26)

Therefore, \( \phi \in \mathcal{S} \).

For any \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), we have:
\[
(T_1 + T_2)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1}T_2)^iT_1^{-1}\right)(f)
= f - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^{-1}T_2)^{i-1}T_1^{-1}(f) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_2(-T_1^{-1}T_2)^iT_1^{-1}(f)
= f.
\] (4.3.27)

Thus the operator \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1}T_2)^iT_1^{-1} \) is a right inverse of \( T_1 + T_2 \). \( \square \)

We remark that the above operators \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) may not commute. The assumption \( T_2(V_{r+1}) \subset BV_r \) instead of \( T_2(V_{r+1}) \subset V_r \) because we want our lemma working for a special case like \( T_1 = \partial_{x_1}^2 \), \( T_2 = x_1\partial_{x_2}^2 \), \( \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[x_1] \) and \( V = \mathbb{R}[x_2] \).

Define
\[
x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1}x_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots x_n^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n.
\] (4.3.28)

Moreover, we denote
\[
\epsilon_\ell = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n.
\] (4.3.29)

For each \( \ell \in \overline{1,n} \), we define the linear operator \( \int_{(x_\ell)} \) on \( \mathcal{A} \) by:
\[
\int_{(x_\ell)} (x^\alpha) = \frac{x^{\alpha+\epsilon_\ell}}{\alpha_\ell + 1} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.
\] (4.3.30)
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Furthermore, we let
\[ \int_{(x)}^{(0)} = 1, \quad \int_{(x)}^{(m)} = \int_{(x)}^{(1)} \cdots \int_{(x)}^{(m)} \text{ for } 0 < m \in \mathbb{Z} \]
and denote
\[ \partial^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}, \quad \int_{(x)}^{(\alpha)} = \int_{(x_1)}^{(\alpha_1)} \int_{(x_2)}^{(\alpha_2)} \cdots \int_{(x_n)}^{(\alpha_n)} \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n. \]

Obviously, \( \int_{(\alpha)} \) is a right inverse of \( \partial^\alpha \) for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \). We remark that \( \int_{(\alpha)} \partial^\alpha \neq 1 \) if \( \alpha \neq 0 \) due to \( \partial^\alpha (1) = 0 \).

**Example 4.3.1.** Find polynomial solutions of the heat conduction equation \( u_t = u_{xx} \).

*Solution.* In this case,
\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[t, x], \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[t], \quad V = \mathbb{R}[x], \quad V_r = \{ g \in V \mid \deg g \leq r \}. \]  

The equation can be written as \( (\partial_t - \partial_x^2)(u) = 0 \). So we take
\[ T_1 = \partial_t, \quad T_1^- = \int_{(t)}^{(\alpha)}, \quad T_2 = -\partial_x^2. \]

It can be verified that the conditions in Lemma 4.3.1 are satisfied. Note that
\[ \{ f \in \mathcal{B} \mid T_1(f) = 0 \} = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}[t] \mid \partial_t(f) = 0 \} = \mathbb{R}. \]

We calculate
\[ (-T_1 T_2)^t(x^k) = (\int_{(t)}^{(\alpha)} \partial_x^2)^t(x^k) = \int_{(t)}^{(\alpha)} (1) \partial_x^{2^t}(x^k) = \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{2^t-1} (k-s) t! x^{k-2^t}}{t!}. \]

Thus the space of the polynomial solutions is
\[ \text{Span} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{2^t-1} (k-s) t! x^{k-2^t}}{t!} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \]

**Example 4.3.2.** Find polynomial solutions of the Laplace equation \( u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \).

*Solution.* In this case,
\[ \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}[x, y], \quad \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{R}[x], \quad V = \mathbb{R}[y], \quad V_r = \{ g \in V \mid \deg g \leq r \}. \]

Moreover, we take
\[ T_1 = \partial_x^2, \quad T_1^- = \int_{(x)}^{(\alpha)}, \quad T_2 = \partial_y^2. \]
It can be verified that the conditions in Lemma 4.3.1 are satisfied. Note that
\[ \{ f \in B \mid T_1(f) = 0 \} = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid \partial_x^2(f) = 0 \} = \mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}x. \] (4.3.40)

We calculate
\[ (-T_1T_2)(y^k) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \int_{(x)} \partial_y^2 y^k \\ (-1)^t \int_{(x)} (1) \partial_y^{2t} y^k \end{array}\right) \]
\[ = \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{2t-1} (k - s)(-x^2)^t y^{k-2t}}{(2t)!}, \] (4.3.41)
\[ \]
\[ (-T_1T_2)(xy^k) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \int_{(x)} \partial_y^2 (xy^k) \\ (-1)^t \int_{(x)} (x) \partial_y^{2t} y^k \end{array}\right) \]
\[ = \frac{(-1)^t [\prod_{s=0}^{2t-1} (k - s)] x^{2t+1} y^{k-2t}}{(2t + 1)!}. \] (4.3.42)

Thus the space of the polynomial solutions is
\[ \text{Span}\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{[k/2]} \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{2t-1} (k - s)(-x^2)^t y^{k-2t}}{(2t)!}, \frac{(-1)^t [\prod_{s=0}^{2t-1} (k - s)] x^{2t+1} y^{k-2t}}{(2t + 1)!} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \] (4.3.43)

Consider the wave equation in Riemannian space with a nontrivial conformal group:
\[ u_{tt} - u_{x_1x_1} - \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{i,j}(x_1 - t)u_{x_ix_j} = 0, \] (4.3.44)
where we assume that \( g_{i,j}(z) \) are one-variable polynomials. Change variables:
\[ z_0 = x_1 + t, \quad z_1 = x_1 - t. \] (4.3.45)
Then
\[ \partial_t^2 = (\partial_{z_0} - \partial_{z_1})^2, \quad \partial_{x_1}^2 = (\partial_{z_0} + \partial_{z_1})^2. \] (4.3.46)
So the equation (4.3.44) changes to:
\[ 2\partial_{z_0}\partial_{z_1} + \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{i,j}(z_1)u_{x_ix_j} = 0. \] (4.3.47)
Denote
\[ T_1 = 2\partial_{z_0}\partial_{z_1}, \quad T_2 = \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{i,j}(z_1)\partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}. \] (4.3.48)
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Take $T_1^- = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(z_0)} \int_{(z_1)}$, and

$$B = \mathbb{R}[z_0, z_1], \quad V = \mathbb{R}[x_2, \ldots, x_n], \quad V_r = \{ f \in V \mid \deg f \leq r \}. \quad (4.3.49)$$

Then the conditions in Lemma 4.3.1 hold. Thus we have:

**Theorem 4.3.2.** The space of all polynomial solutions for the equation (4.3.44) is:

$$\text{Span} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-2)^{-m} \left( \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} \int_{(z_0)} \int_{(z_1)} g_{i,j} (z_1) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \right)^m (f_0 g_0 + f_1 g_1) \right\} \mid f_0 \in \mathbb{R}[z_0], f_1 \in \mathbb{R}[z_1], g_0, g_1 \in \mathbb{R}[x_2, \ldots, x_n] \right\} \quad (4.3.50)$$

with $z_0, z_1$ defined in (4.3.45).

Let $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$ be positive integers. According to Lemma 4.3.1, the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{k_2, \ldots, k_n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_2+\cdots+k_n} \binom{k_2+\cdots+k_n}{k_2, \ldots, k_n} \int_{(x_1)} \partial_{x_2}^{k_2 m_2} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{k_n m_n} (x_1^{\ell_1}) \right\} \quad (4.3.51)$$

forms a basis of the space of polynomial solutions for the equation

$$(\partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + \partial_{x_n}^{m_n}) (u) = 0 \quad (4.3.52)$$

in $A$.

The above results can theoretically generalized as follows. Let

$$f_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_i] \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \overline{1, n-1}. \quad (4.3.53)$$

Consider the equation:

$$(\partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + f_1 \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + f_{n-1} \partial_{x_n}^{m_n}) (u) = 0 \quad (4.3.54)$$

Denote

$$d_1 = \partial_{x_1}^{m_1}, \quad d_r = \partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + f_1 \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + f_{r-1} \partial_{x_r}^{m_r} \quad \text{for} \quad r \in \overline{2, n}. \quad (4.3.55)$$

We will apply Lemma 4.3.1 with $T_1 = d_r$, $T_2 = \sum_{i=r}^{n-1} f_i \partial_{x_{r+1}}^{m_{r+1}}$ and $B = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, $V = \mathbb{R}[x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_n]$,

$$V_k = \text{Span} \left\{ x_{r+1}^{\ell_{r+1}} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n} \mid \ell_s \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \ell_{r+1} + \sum_{i=r+2}^{n} \ell_i (\deg f_{r+1} + 1) \cdots (\deg f_{i-1} + 1) \leq k \right\}. \quad (4.3.56)$$

The motivation of the above definition can be shown by the spacial example $T_2 = x_1 \partial_{x_2} + x_2^3 \partial_{x_3}$ and $V = \mathbb{R}[x_2, x_3]$. In this example, $T_2$ does not reduce the usual degree of the
polynomials in $V$. If we define new degree by \( \deg x_2^m = m \) and \( \deg x_3^m = 4m \), then $T_2$ does reduce the new degree of the polynomials in $V$. Since $T_2(V_0) = \{0\}$ and $T_2(V_{r+1}) \subset BV_r$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, this gives a proof that $T_2$ is locally nilpotent.

Take a right inverse $d_r^{-} = \int_{(x_1)}^{(m_1)}$. Suppose that we have found a right inverse $d_s^-$ of $d_s$ for some $s \in \overline{1,n-1}$ such that

\[
x_i d_s^- = d_s^- x_i, \quad \partial x_i d_s^- = d_s^- \partial x_i \quad \text{for } \ i \in s+1, n.
\]  

(4.3.57)

Lemma 4.3.1 enable us to take

\[
d_{s+1}^- = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (-d_s^- f_s')^t d_s^- \partial x_{s+1}^{m_{s+1}}
\]  

(4.3.58)

as a right inverse of $d_{s+1}^-$. Obviously,

\[
x_i d_{s+1}^- = d_{s+1}^- x_i, \quad \partial x_i d_{s+1}^- = d_{s+1}^- \partial x_i \quad \text{for } \ i \in s+2, n
\]  

(4.3.59)

according to (4.3.55). By induction, we have found a right inverse $d_s^-$ of $d_s$ such that (4.3.57) holds for each $s \in \overline{1,n}$.

We set

\[
S_r = \{ g \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \mid d_r(g) = 0 \} \quad \text{for } \ r \in \overline{1,k}.
\]  

(4.3.60)

By (4.3.55),

\[
S_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} \mathbb{R} x_i.
\]  

(4.3.61)

Suppose that we have found $S_r$ for some $r \in \overline{1,n-1}$. Given $h \in S_r$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

\[
\sigma_{r+1,\ell}(h) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-d_r^- f_r) x^{s} \partial x_{r+1}^{m_{r+1}}(x^{\ell}_{r+1}),
\]  

(4.3.62)

which is actually a finite summation. Lemma 4.3.1 says

\[
S_{r+1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{r+1,\ell}(S_r).
\]  

(4.3.63)

By induction, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.3.3.** The set

\[
\{ \sigma_{n,\ell_n} \sigma_{n-1,\ell_{n-1}} \cdots \sigma_{2,\ell_2}(x_1^{\ell_1}) \mid \ell_1 \in \overline{0,m_1-1}, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{N} \}
\]  

(4.3.64)

forms a basis of the polynomial solution space $S_n$ of the partial differential equation (4.3.54).}

**Example 4.3.3.** Let $m_1, m_2, n$ be positive integers. Consider the following equations

\[
\partial x^{m_1}(u) + x^n \partial y^{m_2}(u) = 0
\]  

(4.3.65)
Now
\[ d_1 = \partial x^{m_1}, \quad d_1^- = \int (m_1) (x). \tag{4.3.66} \]
Then
\[
\sigma_{2,\ell_2}(x^{\ell_1}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell_1} \int_{(x)}^{(m_1)} x^n (x^{\ell_1}) \partial_{y}^{m_2}(y^{\ell_2})
\]
\[
= x^{\ell_1} y^{\ell_2} + \sum_{r=1}^{[\ell_2/m_2]} (-1)^{\ell_1} \prod_{s=0}^{m_2-1} (\ell_2 - s) x^{r(n+m_1)+\ell_1} y^{(\ell_2-m_2)\ell_2}. \tag{4.3.67} \]
The polynomial solution space of (4.3.65) has a basis \{\sigma_{2,\ell_2}(x^{\ell_1}) \mid \ell_1 \in 0, m_1 - 1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}\}.

In some practical problem, people found the linear wave equation with dissipation:
\[ u_{tt} + u_t - u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2} - \cdots - u_{x_nx_n} = 0. \tag{4.3.68} \]
In order to find the polynomial solutions for the equations of the above type pivoting at the variable \( t \), we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.4.** Let \( d = a\partial_t + \partial_t^2 \) with \( 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R} \). Take a right inverse
\[ d^- = \int_{(t)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a^{-r-1} (-\partial_t)^r \]
of \( d \). Then
\[ (d^-)^t(1) = \frac{t^t}{t!a^t} - \frac{t^{t-1}}{(t-2)!a^{t+1}} + \sum_{r=2}^{t-1} (-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} (t + s) t^{t-r}. \tag{4.3.70} \]

**Proof.** For
\[ f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i t^i \in \mathbb{R}[t], \tag{4.3.71} \]
we have
\[ d(f(t)) = ab_m t^{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} i(ab_i + (i+1)b_{i+1}) t^{i-1}. \tag{4.3.72} \]
Thus \( d(f(t)) = 0 \) if and only if \( f(t) \equiv 0 \). So for any given \( g(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t] \), there exists a unique \( f(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t] \) such that \( d(f(t)) = g(t) \).

Set
\[ \xi_{a,t}(t) = \frac{t^t}{t!a^t} - \frac{t^{t-1}}{(t-2)!a^{t+1}} + \sum_{r=2}^{t-1} (-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{t-1} (t + s) t^{t-r}, \tag{4.3.73} \]
where we treat
\[ \xi_{a,0}(t) = 1, \quad \xi_{a,1}(t) = \frac{t}{a}, \quad \xi_{a,2}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2a^2} - \frac{t}{a^3}. \tag{4.3.74} \]
Easily verify \(d(\xi_{a,t}(t)) = \xi_{a,t-1}(t)\) for \(t = 1, 2\).

Assume \(t > 2\). We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d(\xi_{a,t}(t))}{dt} &= (a \partial_t + \partial_t^2) \left( \frac{t^r}{(t-1)!a^{t+1}} - \frac{t^{r-1}}{(t-2)!a^t} + \sum_{r=2}^{t-1} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{t-1}(t+s)}{(t-r)!a^{r+t-2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{t^{r-1}}{(t-1)!a^{t-1}} - \frac{(t-1)t^{r-2}}{(t-2)!a^t} + \sum_{r=2}^{t-1} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{t-1}(t+s)}{(t-r)!a^{r+t-2}} \\
&+ \sum_{r=3}^{t-1} \frac{(-1)^r (t-r)(t+r-1) - r(t+r+1)}{(t-r)!a^{r+t-1}} \\
&= \xi_{a,t-1}(t).
\end{align*}
\]

Since \((d^{-1})^0(1) = 1\), \((d^{-1})^1(1) \in \mathbb{R}[t]t\) by (4.3.69) and \(d[(d^{-1})^1(1)] = (d^{-1})^{t-1}(1)\) for \(t \in \mathbb{N}+1\), we have \((d^{-1})^r(1) = \xi_{a,r}(t)\) for \(r \in \mathbb{N}\) by the uniqueness, that is, (4.3.70) holds. \(\square\)

By Lemma 4.3.1 and the above lemma, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.3.5.** The set

\[
\{ \sum_{r_1, \ldots, r_n=0}^{\infty} \binom{r_1 + \cdots + r_n}{r_1, \ldots, r_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (2r_i)! \binom{\ell_i}{2r_i} \cdot \xi_{1,r_1+\cdots+r_n}(t)x_1^{\ell_1-2r_1} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n-2r_n} \mid \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{N} \}
\]

forms a basis of the polynomial solution space of the equation (4.3.68).
Consider the Klein-Gordan equation:
\[ u_{tt} - u_{xx} - u_{yy} - u_{zz} + a^2 u = 0, \] (4.5.77)
where \( a \) is a nonzero real number. Changing variable \( u = e^{aiu}v \), we get
\[ v_{tt} + 2aiuv_t - v_{xx} - v_{yy} - v_{zz} = 0. \] (4.3.78)

We write
\[ \zeta_{2ai,t} = \zeta_{t,0}(t) + \zeta_{t,1}(t)i, \] (4.3.79)
where \( \zeta_{t,0}(t) \) and \( \zeta_{t,1}(t) \) are real functions. According to (4.3.73),
\[ \zeta_{2i,0}(t) = (-1)^{i} \left[ \frac{t^{2i}}{(2i)! (2a)^{2i}} + \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{2r-1}(2t+2r)!}{(2r)!(2t-r-1)!} \right], \] (4.3.80)
\[ \zeta_{2i+1,0}(t) = (-1)^{i} \left[ \frac{t^{2i}}{(2i-1)! (2a)^{2i+1}} + \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{2r}(2t+2r+1)!}{(2r)! (2t-2r-1)!} \right], \] (4.3.81)
\[ \zeta_{2i+1,1}(t) = (-1)^{i+1} \left[ \frac{t^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)! (2a)^{2i+1}} + \sum_{r=1}^{i} \frac{(-1)^r \prod_{s=1}^{2r-1}(2t+2r)!}{(2r)! (2t-2r)!} \right]. \] (4.3.82)

Recall the three-dimensional Laplace operator \( \Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 \). By Lemma 4.3.1 and Lemma 4.3.4,
\[ \{ e^{aiu} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \xi_{2ai,r}(t) \Delta^r (x_1^r y_2^r z^r) \mid \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{N} \} \] (4.3.84)
are complex solutions of the Klein-Gordan equation (4.3.77). Taking real parts of (4.3.84), we get

**Theorem 4.3.6.** The Klein-Gordan equation (4.3.77) has the following set of linearly independent trigonometric-polynomial solution:
\[ \{ \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3=0}^{\infty} \left( \begin{array}{c} r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \\ r_1, r_2, r_3 \end{array} \right) \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{3} (2r_s)! \right] \left( \ell_s \right)_{2r_s} \left( \zeta_{r_1+r_2+r_3,0}(t) \cos at - \zeta_{r_1+r_2+r_3,1}(t) \sin at \right) \\
\times x_1^{-2r_1} y_2^{-2r_2} z_3^{-2r_3} \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3=0}^{\infty} \left( \begin{array}{c} r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \\ r_1, r_2, r_3 \end{array} \right) \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{3} (2r_s)! \right] \left( \ell_s \right)_{2r_s} \left( \zeta_{r_1+r_2+r_3,0}(t) \cos at \right) \\
+ \zeta_{r_1+r_2+r_3,1}(t) \cos at \right) x_1^{2r_1} y_2^{2r_2} z_3^{2r_3} \mid \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathbb{N} \} \] (4.3.85)
The following lemmas will be used to handle some special cases when the operator \( T_1 \) in Lemma 4.3.1 does not have a right inverse. We again use the settings in (4.3.9) and (4.3.10).

**Lemma 4.3.7.** Let \( T_0 \) be a differential operator on \( A \) with right inverse \( T_0^- \) such that
\[
T_0(B), T_0^-(B) \subset B, \quad T_0(\eta_1 \eta_2) = T_0(\eta_1) \eta_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \eta_1 \in B, \ \eta_2 \in V, \tag{4.3.86}
\]
and let \( T_1, \ldots, T_m \) be commuting differential operators on \( A \) such that \( T_i(V) \subset V \),
\[
T_0 T_i = T_i T_0, \quad T_i(f \zeta) = f T_i(\zeta) \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \overline{1, m}, \ f \in B, \ \zeta \in A. \tag{4.3.87}
\]

If \( T_0^m(h) = 0 \) with \( h \in B \) and \( g \in V \), then
\[
u = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (T_0^-)^s T_s(h g) = \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m = 0}^{\infty} \binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_m}{t_1, \ldots, t_m} \times (T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^{m} s \eta_s}(h) \prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{\eta_r})(g)
\]
is a solution of the equation:
\[
(T_0^m - \sum_{r=1}^{m} T_0^m - T_i)(\nu) = 0. \tag{4.3.89}
\]

**Suppose**
\[
T_i(V_r) \subset V_{r-1} \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \overline{1, m}, \ r \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.3.90}
\]
where \( V_{-1} = \{0\} \). Then any polynomial solution of (4.3.89) is a linear combination of the solutions of the form (4.3.88).

**Proof.** Note that
\[
T_0^{m-i} = T_0^m (T_0^-)^i \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \overline{1, m} \tag{4.3.91}
\]

and
\[
\sum_{t_1 + \cdots + t_m = t+1} \binom{t+1}{t_1, \ldots, t_m} y_1^{t_1} \cdots y_m^{t_m} = (y_1 + \cdots + y_m)^{t+1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sum_{t_1 + \cdots + t_m = t} \binom{t}{t_1, \ldots, t_m} y_1^{t_1} \cdots y_m^{t_m}. \tag{4.3.92}
\]

Thus
\[
(T_0^m - \sum_{p=1}^{m} T_0^m - T_p) \left[ \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m = 0}^{\infty} \binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_m}{t_1, \ldots, t_m} (T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^{m} s \eta_s}(h) \prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{\eta_r})(g) \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m \in \mathbb{N}, t_1 + \cdots + t_m > 0} \binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_m}{t_1, \ldots, t_m} T_0^m (T_0^-)^{\sum_{s=1}^{m} s \eta_s}(h) \prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{\eta_r})(g)
\]
\[
- \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{m} \binom{t_1 + \cdots + t_m}{t_1, \ldots, t_m} T_0^m (T_0^-)^{t_p + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s \eta_s}(h) \prod_{r=1}^{m} T_r^{\eta_r})(g) = 0. \tag{4.3.93}
\]
4.3. POLYNOMIAL SOLUTIONS OF FLAG EQUATIONS

Suppose that (4.3.90) holds. Let \( u \in \mathcal{B}V_k \setminus \mathcal{B}V_{k-1} \) be a solution of (4.3.89). Take a basis \( \{ \phi_i + V_{k-1} \mid i \in I \} \) of \( V_k/V_{k-1} \). Write

\[
 u = \sum_{i \in I} h_i \phi_i + u', \quad h_i \in \mathcal{B}, \ u' \in \mathcal{B}V_{k-1}.
\]  

(4.3.94)

Since

\[
 T_r(\phi_i) \in V_{k-1} \quad \text{for} \ i \in I, \ r \in 1, m
\]  

(4.3.95)

by (4.3.90), we have

\[
 (T_0^m - \sum_{r=1}^m T_0^{m-r} T_r)(u) \equiv \sum_{i \in I} T_0^m(h_i)\phi_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{B}V_{k-1}}.
\]  

(4.3.96)

Hence

\[
 T_0^m(h_i) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ i \in I.
\]  

(4.3.97)

Now

\[
 u - \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_m=0}^\infty \left( t_1 + \cdots + t_m \right) (T_0^\sum_{s=1}^m s t_s)(h_j) \left( \prod_{r=1}^m T_r^s \right) (\phi_j) \in \mathcal{B}V_{k-1}
\]  

(4.3.98)

is a solution of (4.3.89). By induction on \( k \), \( u \) is a linear combinations of the solutions of the form (4.3.88). \( \Box \)

We remark that the above lemma does not imply Lemma 4.3.1 because \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) in Lemma 4.3.1 may not commute.

Let \( d_1 \) be a differential operator on \( \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r] \) and let \( d_2 \) be a locally nilpotent differential operator on \( V = \mathbb{R}[x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_n] \). Set

\[
 V_m = \{ f \in V \mid d_2^{m+1}(f) = 0 \} \quad \text{for} \ m \in \mathbb{N}.
\]  

(4.3.99)

Then \( V = \bigcup_{m=0}^\infty V_m \) because \( d_2 \) is locally nilpotent. We treat \( V_{-1} = \{0\} \). Take a subset \( \{ \psi_{m,j} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, \ j \in I_m \} \) of \( V \) such that \( \{ \psi_{m,j} + V_{m-1} \mid j \in I_m \} \) forms a basis of \( V_m/V_{m-1} \) for \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). In particular, \( \{ \psi_{m,j} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, \ j \in I_m \} \) forms a basis of \( V \). Fix \( h \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \).

**Lemma 4.3.8.** Let \( m \) be a positive integer. Suppose that

\[
 u = \sum_{j \in I_m} f_j \psi_{m,j} + u' \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]
\]  

(4.3.100)

with \( f_j \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \) and \( d_2^m(u') = 0 \) is a solution of the equation:

\[
 (d_1 - hd_2)(u) = 0.
\]  

(4.3.101)

Then \( d_1(f_j) = 0 \) for \( j \in I_m \) and the system

\[
 \xi_0 = f_j, \quad d_1(\xi_{s+1}) = h \xi_s \quad \text{for} \ s \in 0, m-1
\]  

(4.3.102)
has a solution $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ for each $j \in I_m$.

Proof. Observe that if \( \{g_j + V_p \mid j \in J\} \) is a linearly independent subset of $V_{p+1}/V_p$, then \( \{d^s_2(g_j) + V_{p-s} \mid j \in J\} \) is a linearly independent subset of $V_{p-s+1}/V_{p-s}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ by (4.3.99). By induction, we take a subset
$$
\{\phi_{m-s,j} \mid j \in J_{m-s}\}
$$
forms a basis of $V_{m-s}/V_{m-s-1}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote
$$
U = \sum_{s=1}^{m} \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} \sum_{j \in J_{m-s}} \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]d^p_2(\phi_{m-s,j}).
$$
Now we write
$$
u = \sum_{j \in I_m} \left[ f_j \psi_{m,j} + \sum_{s=1}^{m} f_{s,j}d^s_2(\psi_{m,j}) \right] + v, \quad v \in U, \; f_{s,j} \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r].
$$
Then (4.3.101) becomes
$$
\sum_{j \in I_m} [d_1(f_j)\psi_{m,j} + (d_1(f_{1,j}) - hf_j)d_2(\psi_{m,j}) + \sum_{s=2}^{m} (d_1(f_{s,j}) - hf_{s-1,j})d^s(\psi_{m,j})]
$$
$$
+ (d_1 - hd_2)(v) = 0.
$$
Since $(d_1 - hd_2)(v) \in U$, we have:
$$
d_1(f_j) = 0, \; d_1(f_{1,j}) = hf_j, \; d_1(f_{s,j}) = hf_{s-1,j}
$$
for $j \in I_m$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$. So (4.3.102) has a solution $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ for each $j \in I_m$. □

We remark that our above lemma implies that if (4.3.102) does not have a solution for some $j$, then the equation (4.3.101) does not have a solution of the form (4.3.100). Set
$$
S_0 = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \mid d_1(f) = 0\}
$$
and
$$
S_m = \{ f_0 \in S_0 \mid d_1(f_s) = hf_{s-1} \text{ for some } f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \}
$$
for $m \in \mathbb{N}+1$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}+1$ and $f \in S_m$, we fix $\{\sigma_1(f), \ldots, \sigma_m(f)\} \subset \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ such that
$$
d_1(\sigma_1(f)) = hf, \; d_1(\sigma_s(f)) = h\sigma_{s-1}(f) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{N}+1.
$$
4.3. POLYNOMIAL SOLUTIONS OF FLAG EQUATIONS

Denote $\sigma_0(f) = f$.

**Lemma 4.3.9.** The set

$$S = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{f \in S_m} \mathbb{R}\left( \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j}) \right)$$

(4.3.111)

is the solution space of the equation (4.3.101) in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$.

**Proof.** For $f \in S_m$,

$$(d_1 - hd_2)(\sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j}))$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{m} h\sigma_{s-1}(f)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j}) - \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} h\sigma_s(f)d_{s+1}^2(\psi_{m,j}) = 0.$$  

(4.3.112)

Thus $\sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j})$ is a solution of (4.3.101).

Suppose that $u$ is a solution (4.3.101). Then $u$ can be written as (4.3.100) such that $f_j \neq 0$ for some $j \in I_m$ due to $V = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} V_m$. If $m = 0$, then $u \in S$ naturally. Assume that $u \in S$ if $m < \ell$. Consider $m = \ell$. According to Lemma 4.3.8, $f_j \in S_m$ for any $j \in I_m$ (cf. (4.3.109)). Thus $\sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f_j)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j})$ is a solution of the equation (4.3.101).

Hence $u - \sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f_j)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j})$ is a solution of (4.3.101) and

$$d_2^m(u - \sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f_j)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j})) = 0.$$  

(4.3.113)

So

$$u - \sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f_j)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j}) \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]V_{m-1}.$$  

(4.3.114)

By assumption,

$$u - \sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f_j)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j}) \in S.$$  

(4.3.115)

Since $\sum_{j \in I_m} \sum_{s=0}^{m} \sigma_s(f_j)d_s^2(\psi_{m,j}) \in S$, we have $u \in S$. By induction, $u \in S$ for any solution of (4.3.101). $\square$

Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and let $\lambda$ be a nonzero real number. Next we want to find all the polynomial solutions of the equation:

$$u_{tt} + \frac{\lambda}{t}u_t - \epsilon(u_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_2x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_nx_n}) = 0,$$  

(4.3.116)

which is the generalized anisymmetrical Laplace equation if $\epsilon = -1$. Rewrite the above equation as:

$$tu_{tt} + \lambda u_t - \epsilon t(u_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_2x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_nx_n}) = 0.$$  

(4.3.117)
Set
\[ d_1 = t \partial_t^2 + \lambda \partial_t, \quad d_2 = \Delta_n = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \partial_{x_r}^n, \quad h = et. \]  
(4.3.118)

Denote
\[ S = \{ f \in \mathbb{R}[t] \mid d_1(f) = 0 \}. \]  
(4.3.119)

Note that
\[ d_1(t^m) = m(\lambda + m - 1)t^{m-1} \quad \text{for} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \]  
(4.3.120)

So
\[ S = \{ \mathbb{R} \bigcup \mathbb{R}t^{-\lambda+1} \mid \lambda \not\in -N+1 \}, \]  
(4.3.121)

In particular, \( t^{-\lambda} \notin d_1(\mathbb{R}[t]) \) and so \( d_1 \) does not have a right inverse when \( \lambda \) is a negative integer. Otherwise \( t^{-\lambda} = d_1(d_1^{-1}(t^{-\lambda})) \in d_1(\mathbb{R}[t]) \).

Set
\[ \phi_0(t) = 1, \quad \phi_m(t) = \frac{\epsilon^m t^{2m}}{m!2^m \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} (\lambda + 2r + 1)} \]  
for \( m \in \mathbb{N} + 1 \) and \( \lambda \neq -1, -3, ..., -(2m-1) \). Then \( d(\phi_{r+1}(t)) = et\phi_r(t) \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{m-1\} \).

If \( \lambda = -2k - 1 \), there does not exist a function \( \phi(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t] \) such that \( d_1(\phi(t)) = et\phi(t) \) because \( d_1(t^{2k+2}) = (2k+2)(2k+1+\lambda)t^{2k+1} = 0 \). When \( \lambda \in -N+1 \), we set
\[ \psi_0 = t^{1-\lambda}, \quad \psi_m = \frac{\epsilon^m t^{2m+1-\lambda}}{2m!m! \prod_{r=1}^{m} (2r + 1 - \lambda)} \]  
for \( m \in \mathbb{N} + 1 \).  
(4.3.123)

It can be verified that \( d_1(\psi_{r+1}(t)) = et\psi_r(t) \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). Define
\[ V = \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n], \quad \Delta_{2,n} = \sum_{s=2}^{n} \partial_{x_s}^2 \]  
(4.3.124)

and
\[ V_m = \{ f \in V \mid \Delta_{n}^{m+1}(f) = 0 \} \quad \text{for} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \]  
(4.3.125)

Observe
\[ \sum_{j_1, ..., j_\ell = 0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j_1 + \cdots + j_\ell} \left( j_1 + \cdots + j_\ell \right) \prod_{r=1}^{\ell} \left( \begin{array}{c} \ell \\ r \end{array} \right) t^r = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \left( \begin{array}{c} \ell \\ s \end{array} \right) t^s \right)^p \]  
(4.3.126)

for \( |t| < 1 \). Applying Lemma 4.3.7 to \( \Delta_{n}^{m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m+1} (m+1)_{r} \partial_{x_1}^{2(m+1-r)} \Delta_{2,n}^r \), \( T_0 = \partial_{x_1}^2 \) and \( T_r = -\binom{m+1}{r} \Delta_{r}^{2,n} \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), we get a basis
\[ \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \left( \frac{m+r}{r} \right) \frac{x_1^{\ell_1+2r}}{(\ell_1+2r)!} \Delta_{2,n}^r(x_2^{\ell_2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_1 \in \{0, 2m+1\}, \ell_2, ..., \ell_n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \]  
(4.3.127)
of $V_m$. Hence we obtain:

**Theorem 4.3.10.** If $\lambda \not\in -(N + 1)$, then the set

$$\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi_r(t) \Delta_n^r(x_1^{\ell_1} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad (4.3.128)$$

forms a basis of the space of the polynomial solutions for the equation (4.3.117). When $\lambda$ is a negative even integer, the set

$$\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi_r(t) \Delta_n^r(x_1^{\ell_1} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad (4.3.129)$$

forms a basis of the space of the polynomial solutions for the equation (4.3.117). Assume that $\lambda = -2k - 1$ is a negative odd integer. The set

$$\begin{align*}
\{ &\sum_{s=0}^{k} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \binom{k + r}{r} \phi_s(t) \Delta_n^r \left[ \frac{x_1^{\ell_1} + 2r}{(\ell_1 + 2r)!} \Delta_{2,n}^r (x_2^{\ell_2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n}) \right], \\
&\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \psi_r(t) \Delta_n^r (x_1^{\ell_1} x_2^{\ell_2} \cdots x_n^{\ell_n}) \mid \ell_1 \in 0, 2k + 1, \ell_1', \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad (4.3.130)
\end{align*}$$

is a basis of the space of the polynomial solutions for the equation (4.3.117).

Finally, we consider the special Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation:

$$u_{tt} - u_{x_1 x_1} - u_{x_2 x_2} - \cdots - u_{x_n x_n} - \frac{m(m + 1)}{t^2} u = 0 \quad (4.3.131)$$

with $m \neq -1, 0$. Change the equations to:

$$t^2 u_{tt} - t^2 (u_{x_1 x_1} + u_{x_2 x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_n x_n}) - m(m + 1) u = 0. \quad (4.3.132)$$

Letting $u = t^{m+1} v$, we have:

$$t^2 u_{tt} = m(m + 1) t^{m+1} v + 2(m + 1) t^{m+2} v_t + t^{m+3} v_{tt}. \quad (4.3.133)$$

Substituting (4.3.133) into (4.2.132), we get

$$tv_{tt} + 2(m + 1) v_t - t(v_{x_1 x_1} + v_{x_2 x_2} + \cdots + v_{x_n x_n}) = 0. \quad (4.3.134)$$

If we change variable $u = t^{-m} v$, then the equation (4.3.132) becomes

$$tv_{tt} - 2mv_t - t(v_{x_1 x_1} + v_{x_2 x_2} + \cdots + v_{x_n x_n}) = 0. \quad (4.3.135)$$

Equations (4.3.134) and (4.3.135) are special cases of the equation (4.3.117) with $\epsilon = 1$, and $\lambda = 2(m + 1)$ and $\lambda = -2m$, respectively.

**Exercise 4.3**

Find a basis of the polynomial solution space of the generalized Laplace equation

$$u_{xx} + xu_{yy} + yu_{zz} = 0.$$
4.4 Use of Fourier Expansion I

In this section, we mainly use Fourier expansion to solve constant-coefficient linear partial differential equations. Let us first look at three simple examples which are commonly used in engineering mathematics. Kovalevskaya Theorem says that their solutions are unique.

Example 4.4.1. Solve the following heat conduction equation

\[ u_t = u_{xx} \text{ subject to } u(t, -\pi) = u(t, \pi) \text{ and } u(0, x) = g(x) \text{ for } x \in [-\pi, \pi], \]  

where \( g(x) \) is a given continuous function.

Solution. We assume the separation of variables \( u = \eta(t)\xi(x) \). Then the equation becomes

\[ \eta'(t)\xi(x) = \eta(t)\xi''(x) \implies \frac{\eta'(t)}{\eta(t)} = \frac{\xi''(x)}{\xi(x)} = \lambda \]

is a constant. Solving the problem

\[ \xi'' = \lambda \xi, \quad \xi(-\pi) = \xi(\pi) = 0, \]

we take \( \lambda = -n^2 \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \xi = C_1 \cos nx + C_2 \sin nx \). Moreover, \( \eta'(t) = -n^2\eta(t) \implies \eta = C_3 e^{-n^2t} \). Thus

\[ u = e^{-n^2t}(a \cos nx + b \sin nx) \]

is a solution of the problem:

\[ u_t = u_{xx}, \quad u(t, -\pi) = u(t, \pi). \]

By superposition principle (additivity of solutions for homogeneous linear equations), we have more general solutions of (4.4.5):

\[ u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n^2t}(a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx), \]

where \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) are constants to be determined. To satisfy the last condition in (4.4.1), we require

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = u(0, x) = g(x). \]

According to the theory of Fourier expansion,

\[ a_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s)ds, \quad a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) \cos ns \, ds, \quad b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) \sin ns \, ds \]
for \( n \geq 1 \). So the final solution of (4.1) is

\[
    u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) ds \\
    + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \left[ \cos nx \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) \cos ns ds + \sin nx \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) \sin ns ds \right]
\]

\[
    = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) ds + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) (\cos nx \cos + \sin nx \sin) ds
\]

\[
    = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) ds + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(s) \cos (x - s) ds. \quad \square \tag{4.4.9}
\]

**Example 4.4.2.** Solve the following wave equation

\[
    u_{tt} = u_{xx} \quad \text{subject to} \quad u(t, -\pi) = u(t, \pi)
\]

(4.4.10)

and

\[
    u(0, x) = g_1(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = g_2(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [-\pi, \pi], \tag{4.4.11}
\]

where \( g_1(x) \) and \( g_2(x) \) are given continuous functions.

**Solution.** We assume the separation of variables \( u = \eta(t)\xi(x) \). Then the equation becomes

\[
    \eta''(t)\xi(x) = \eta(t)\xi''(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\eta''(t)}{\eta(t)} = \frac{\xi''(x)}{\xi(x)} = \lambda
\]

(4.4.12)

is a constant. As the above example, we find the general solution of (4.4.10) is

\[
    u(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos nt (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin nt (\hat{a}_n \cos nx + \hat{b}_n \sin nx) + \hat{a}_0 t,
\]

(4.4.13)

where \( a_n, b_n, \hat{a}_n, \hat{b}_n \in \mathbb{R} \). Note

\[
    u(0, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = g_1(x).
\]

(4.4.14)

Since

\[
    u_t(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n[\sin nt (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) + \cos nt (\hat{a}_n \cos nx + \hat{b}_n \sin nx)] + \hat{a}_0,
\]

(4.4.15)

we have

\[
    u_t(0, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(\hat{a}_n \cos nx + \hat{b}_n \sin nx) + \hat{a}_0 = g_2(x). \quad \square
\]

(4.4.16)

As (4.4.6)-(4.4.9), the final solution is

\[
    u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (g_1(s) + tg_2(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos nt \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(s) \cos n(x - s) ds \\
    + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nt}{n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_2(s) \cos n(x - s) ds. \quad \square \tag{4.4.17}
\]
Example 4.4.3. Solve the following Laplace equation

\[ u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad u(x, -\pi) = u(x, \pi) \]  
(4.4.18)

and

\[ u(0, y) = g_1(y), \; u_x(0, y) = g_2(y) \quad \text{for} \; y \in [-\pi, \pi], \]  
(4.4.19)

where \( g_1(y) \) and \( g_2(y) \) are given continuous functions.

Solution. We assume the separation of variables \( u = \eta(x)\xi(y) \). Then the equation becomes

\[ \eta''(x)\xi(y) = -\eta(x)\xi''(y) \implies -\frac{\eta''(x)}{\eta(x)} = \frac{\xi''(y)}{\xi(y)} = \lambda \]  
(4.4.20)

is a constant. As Example 4.4.1, we find the general solution of (4.4.18) is

\[
u(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cosh nx \left( a_n \cos ny + b_n \sin ny \right) + \hat{a}_0 x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sinh nx \left( \hat{a}_n \cos ny + \hat{b}_n \sin ny \right),\]

(4.4.21)

where \( a_n, b_n, \hat{a}_n, \hat{b}_n \in \mathbb{R} \). As (4.4.14)-(4.4.17), we get the final solution

\[
u(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( g_1(s) + x g_2(s) \right) ds + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cosh nx \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(s) \cos n(y - s) \, ds \\
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sinh nx \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_2(s) \cos n(y - s) \, ds. \]

(4.4.22)

The rest of this section is taken from the author’s work [X11]. Let \( m \) and \( n > 1 \) be positive integers and let

\[ f_r(\partial_{x_2}, ..., \partial_{x_n}) \in \mathbb{R}[\partial_{x_2}, ..., \partial_{x_n}] \quad \text{for} \; r \in \overline{1, m}. \]

(4.4.23)

We want to solve the equation:

\[
(\partial_{x_1}^m - \sum_{r=1}^{m} \partial_{x_1}^{m-r} f_r(\partial_{x_2}, ..., \partial_{x_n}))(u) = 0
\]

(4.4.24)

with \( x_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x_r \in [-a_r, a_r] \) for \( r \in \overline{2, n} \), subject to the condition

\[
\partial_{x_1}^s (u)(0, x_2, ..., x_n) = g_s(x_2, ..., x_n) \quad \text{for} \; s \in \overline{0, m-1},
\]

(4.4.25)

where \( a_2, ..., a_n \) are positive real numbers and \( g_0, ..., g_{m-1} \) are continuous functions. For convenience, we denote

\[
k_i^\dagger = \frac{k_i}{a_i}, \quad \vec{k}^\dagger = (k_2^\dagger, ..., k_n^\dagger) \quad \text{for} \; \vec{k} = (k_2, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}.
\]

(4.4.26)
Set
\[ e^{\pi(k^\top \cdot \bar{x})i} = e^{\sum_{r=2}^n \pi k^\top r i}. \] (4.4.27)

For \( r \in \overline{0, m-1} \), Lemma 4.3.7 with \( T_0 = \partial_{x_1} \) and \( T_q = f_q(\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) \) gives that
\[
\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m} \phi_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m} \int_{(x_1)} (x_1^r) \left( \prod_{p=1}^{m} f_p(\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) \right) \left( e^{\pi(k^\top \cdot \bar{x})i} \right) \right)
= \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m} \phi_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m} \frac{(x_1^r) \left( \prod_{p=1}^{m} f_p(\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) \right) \left( e^{\pi(k^\top \cdot \bar{x})i} \right)}{(r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s t_s)!} \right)
\times \left[ \prod_{p=1}^{m} f_p(k_1^\top \pi \delta, \ldots, k_n^\top \pi \delta) \right] e^{\pi(k^\top \cdot \bar{x})i} \tag{4.4.28} \]
is a complex solution of the equation (4.4.24) for any \( \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \). We write
\[
\sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{m} \phi_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m} \frac{(x_1^r) \left( \prod_{p=1}^{m} f_p(\partial_{x_2}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) \right) \left( e^{\pi(k^\top \cdot \bar{x})i} \right)}{(r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s t_s)!} \right)
\times \left[ \prod_{p=1}^{m} f_p(k_1^\top \pi \delta, \ldots, k_n^\top \pi \delta) \right] e^{\pi(k^\top \cdot \bar{x})i} \tag{4.4.29} \]
where \( \phi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) \) and \( \psi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) \) are real functions. Moreover,
\[
\partial_{x_1}^s (\phi_r)(0, \vec{k}) = \delta_{r,s} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{x_1}^s (\psi_r)(0, \vec{k}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad s \in \overline{0, r}. \tag{4.4.30} \]
We define \( \vec{0} \prec \vec{k} \) if its first nonzero coordinate is a positive integer. By superposition principle and Fourier expansions, we get:

**Theorem 4.4.1.** The solution of the equation (4.4.24) subject to the condition (4.4.25) is:
\[
u = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\vec{0} \leq \vec{k} \leq \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left[ b_r(\vec{k}) \phi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k}^\top \cdot \bar{x}) - \psi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k}^\top \cdot \bar{x}) \right]
+ \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \left[ c_r(\vec{k}) \phi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k}^\top \cdot \bar{x}) + \psi_r(x_1, \vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k}^\top \cdot \bar{x}) \right], \tag{4.4.31} \]
with
\[
b_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}a_2 \cdots a_n} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} g_r(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \cos \pi(\vec{k}^\top \cdot \bar{x}) \, dx_2 \cdots dx_n
- \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (b_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^s(\phi_s)(0, \vec{k}) + c_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^s(\psi_s)(0, \vec{k})), \tag{4.4.32} \]
\[
c_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}a_2 \cdots a_n} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} g_r(x_2, \ldots, x_n) \sin \pi(\vec{k}^\top \cdot \bar{x}) \, dx_2 \cdots dx_n
- \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (c_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^s(\phi_s)(0, \vec{k}) - b_s(\vec{k}) \partial_{x_1}^s(\psi_s)(0, \vec{k})). \tag{4.4.33} \]
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The convergence of the series (4.4.31) is guaranteed by the Kovalevskaya Theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of linear partial differential equations when the functions in (4.4.25) are analytic.

**Remark 4.4.2.** (1) If we take \( f_i = b_i \) with \( i \in \overline{1,m} \) to be constant functions and \( \vec{k} = \vec{0} \) in (4.4.29), we get \( m \) fundamental solutions

\[
\varphi_r(x) = \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m=0}^{\infty} \left( \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m \right) x^r \prod_{p=1}^{m} (b_p x^p)^{\ell_p} \left( r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s \ell_s \right)! \quad r \in [0, m-1],
\]

(4.4.34)

of the constant-coefficient ordinary differential equation

\[
y^{(m)} - b_1 y^{(m-1)} - \cdots - b_{m-1} y' - b_m = 0.
\]

(4.4.35)

Given the initial conditions:

\[
y^{(r)}(0) = c_r \quad \text{for} \quad r \in [0, m-1],
\]

(4.4.36)

we define \( a_0 = c_0 \) and

\[
a_r = c_r - \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{r-s} \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{r - s}{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{r-s}} \right) a_s b_1^{\ell_1} \cdots b_r^{\ell_{r-s}}
\]

(4.4.37)

by induction on \( r \in [1, m-1] \). Now the solution of (4.4.35) subject to the condition (4.4.36) is exactly

\[
y = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} a_r \varphi_r(x).
\]

(4.4.38)

From the above results, it seems that the following functions

\[
\mathcal{Y}_r(y_1, \ldots, y_m) = \sum_{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_m=0}^{\infty} \left( \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m \right) y_1^{\ell_1} y_2^{\ell_2} \cdots y_m^{\ell_m} \left( r + \sum_{s=1}^{m} s \ell_s \right)! \quad \text{for} \quad r \in \mathbb{N}
\]

(4.4.39)

are important natural functions. Indeed,

\[
\mathcal{Y}_0(x) = e^x, \quad \mathcal{Y}_0(0,-x^2) = \cos x, \quad \mathcal{Y}_1(0,-x^2) = \frac{\sin x}{x},
\]

\[
\varphi_r(x) = x^r \mathcal{Y}_r(b_1 x, b_2 x^2, \ldots, b_m x^m)
\]

(4.4.40)

and

\[
\phi_r(x_1, \vec{x}) + \psi_r(x_1, \vec{x})i = x_1^r \mathcal{Y}_r(x_1 f_1(k_1^1 \pi i, \ldots, k_1^n \pi i)), \ldots, x_1^m f_m(k_1^1 \pi i, \ldots, k_1^n \pi i))
\]

(4.4.41)

for \( r \in \overline{0, m} \).

(2) We can solve the initial value problem (4.4.24) and (4.4.25) with the constant-coefficient differential operators \( f_i(\partial_2, \ldots, \partial_n) \) replaced by variable-coefficient differential
operators $\phi_i(\partial_2, \ldots, \partial_{n_1})\psi_i(x_{n_1+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ for some $2 < n_1 < n$, where $\phi_i(\partial_2, \ldots, \partial_{n_1})$ are polynomials in $\partial_2, \ldots, \partial_{n_1}$ and $\psi_i(x_{n_1+1}, \ldots, x_n)$ are polynomials in $x_{n_1+1}, \ldots, x_n$.

**Exercise 4.4**

1. Solve the following heat conduction problem: $u_t = 2u_{xx}$ subject to $u_x(t, 0) = 0$, $u_x(t, 3) = 0$ and $u(0, x) = 2x - 1$.

2. Find the solution of the wave equation $u_{tt} = 3u_{xx}$ subject to $u(t, 0) = u(t, 4) = 0$ and $u(0, x) = 2 - x$, $u_t(0, x) = |x - 2|$.

3. Find the solution of the equation $u_{xxx} - u_{xxy} - u_{xz} - u_{zz} = 0$

with $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y, z \in [-2, 2]$ subject to

$$u(0, y, z) = y + z, \quad u_x(0, y, z) = y - z, \quad u_{xx}(0, y, z) = yz.$$

### 4.5 Use of Fourier Expansion II

In this section, we mainly use Fourier expansion to solve the evolution equations and generalized wave equations of flag type subject to initial conditions. The results in this section are taken from the author’s work [X7].

Barros-Neto and Gel’fand [BG1, BG2] (1998, 2002) studied solutions of the equation

$$u_{xx} + xu_{yy} = \delta(x - x_0, y - y_0) \quad (4.5.1)$$

related to the Tricomi operator $\partial_x^2 + x\partial_y^2$. A natural generalization of the Tricomi operator is $\partial_{x_1}^2 + x_1\partial_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}\partial_{x_n}^2$. The equation

$$u_t = u_{x_1} + u_{x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_n} \quad (4.5.2)$$

is a well known classical heat conduction equation related to the Laplacian operator $\partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \cdots + \partial_{x_n}^2$. As pointed out in [BG1, BG2], the Tricomi operator is an analogue of the Laplacian operator. An immediate analogue of heat conduction equation is

$$u_t = u_{x_1} + x_1u_{x_2} + x_2u_{x_3} + \cdots + x_{n-1}u_{x_n} \quad (4.5.3)$$

Another related well-known equation is the wave equation

$$u_{tt} = u_{x_1} + u_{x_2} + \cdots + u_{x_n} \quad (4.5.4)$$

Similarly, we have the following analogue of wave equation:

$$u_{tt} = u_{x_1} + x_1u_{x_2} + x_2u_{x_3} + \cdots + x_{n-1}u_{x_n} \quad (4.5.5)$$
The purpose of this section is to give the methods of solving linear partial differential equations of the above types subject to initial conditions.

Graphically, the above equation are related to the Dynkin diagram of the special linear Lie algebra: 

\[ T_{A_n} : \]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\
\end{array}
\]

Naturally, we should also consider similar equations related to the graph:

\[ T_{E_{n_0,n_1,n_2}} : \]

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n_0 \\
n_0 - 1 & n_0 + 1 & & \\
n_0 + 2 & \cdots & n_0 + 2n_1 - 1 \\
n_0 + 2n_2 - 2 & & & n_0 + 2n_2 \\
\end{array}
\]

which is the Dynkin diagram of an orthogonal Lie algebra \( O(2n) \) when \( n_1 = n_2 = 1 \), and the Dynkin diagram of the simple Lie algebra of types \( E_6, E_7, E_8 \) if \((n_0,n_1,n_2) = (3,1,2), (3,1,3), (3,1,4)\), respectively. When \((n_0,n_1,n_2) = (3,2,2), (4,1,3), (6,1,2)\), it is also the Dynkin diagram of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra of types \( E_6^{(1)}, E_7^{(1)}, E_8^{(1)} \), respectively (cf. [Kv]). These diagrams are special examples of trees in graph theory.

A tree \( T \) consists of a finite set of nodes \( \mathcal{N} = \{\iota_1, \iota_2, \ldots, \iota_n\} \) and a set of edges

\[ \mathcal{E} \subset \{(\iota_p, \iota_q) \mid 1 \leq p < q \leq n\} \quad (4.5.6) \]

such that for each node \( \iota_q \in \mathcal{N} \), there exists a unique sequence \( \{\iota_{q_1}, \iota_{q_2}, \ldots, \iota_{q_r}\} \) of nodes with \( 1 = q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_{r-1} < q_r = q \) for which

\[ (\iota_{q_1}, \iota_{q_2}), (\iota_{q_2}, \iota_{q_3}), \ldots, (\iota_{q_{r-1}}, \iota_{q_r}) \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (4.5.7) \]

We also denote the tree \( T = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \). We identify a tree \( T = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \) with a graph by depicting a small circle for each node in \( \mathcal{N} \) and a segment connecting \( r \)th circle to \( j \)th circle for the edge \((\iota_r, \iota_j) \in \mathcal{E}\) (cf. the above Dynkin diagrams of type \( A \) and \( E \)).

For a tree \( T = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}) \), we call the differential operator

\[ d_T = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \sum_{(\iota_p, \iota_q) \in \mathcal{E}} x_p \partial_{x_q}^2 \quad (4.5.8) \]

a generalized Tricomi operator of type \( T \). Moreover, we call the partial differential equation

\[ u_t = d_T(u) \quad (4.5.9) \]
a generalized heat conduction equation associated with the tree $\mathcal{T}$, where $u$ is a function in $t, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$. For instance, the generalized heat equation of type $\mathcal{T}_{E_{n_1,n_2}}$ is:

\[
  u_t = (\partial^2_{x_1} + \sum_{q=1}^{n_0-1} x_q \partial^2_{x_{q+1}} + \sum_{r=0}^{n_2-1} x_{n_0+2r} \partial^2_{x_{n_0+2r+2}} + x_{n_0} \partial^2_{x_{n_0+1}} + \sum_{p=1}^{n_1-1} x_{n_0+2p-1} \partial^2_{x_{n_0+2p+1}})(u). \tag{4.5.10}
\]

Similarly, we have the generalized wave equation associated with the tree $\mathcal{T}$:

\[
  u_{tt} = d_\mathcal{T}(u) \tag{4.5.11}
\]

Let $m_0, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n$ be $n+1$ positive integers. The difficulty of solving the equations (4.5.9) and (4.5.10) is the same as that of solving the following more general partial differential equation:

\[
  \partial^{m_0}_t (u) = (\partial^{m_1}_{x_1} + \sum_{(p, r) \in \mathcal{E}} x_p \partial^{m_2}_{x_q})(u). \tag{4.5.12}
\]

Obviously, we want to use the operator $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-T_1^{-1} T_2)^i$ in Lemma 4.3.1. Then the main difficulty turns out to be how to calculate the powers of the operator $\partial^{m_1}_{x_1} + \sum_{(p, r) \in \mathcal{E}} x_p \partial^{m_2}_{x_q}$. This essentially involves the Campbell-Hausdorff formula, whose simplest nontrivial case $e^{t(\partial_{x_1}+\partial_{x_2})} = e^{\partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2}} e^{t \partial_{x_1}} e^{t \partial_{x_2}/2}$ has been extensively used by physicists.

**Lemma 4.5.1.** Let $f(x)$ be a smooth function and let $b$ be a constant. Then

\[
  e^{b \frac{d}{dx}} (f(x)) = f(x + b). \tag{4.5.13}
\]

**Proof.** Note

\[
  e^{b \frac{d}{dx}} (f(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} b^n = f(x + b) \tag{4.5.14}
\]

by Taylor’s expansion. \quad \Box

For $n - 1$ positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1}$, we denote

\[
  D = t(\partial_{x_1} + x_1^{m_1} \partial_{x_2} + x_2^{m_2} \partial_{x_3} + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \partial_{x_n}) \tag{4.5.15}
\]

and set $\eta_1 = t$,

\[
  \eta_i = \int_0^t (x_{i-1} + \int_0^{y_{i-2}} (x_{i-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_1} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots y_{i-2}^{m_{i-2}} dy_{i-2})^{m_{i-1}} dy_{i-1}) \tag{4.5.16}
\]

for $i \in \overline{2, n}$.

**Lemma 4.5.2.** We have the following Campbell-Hausdorff-type factorization:

\[
  e^D = e^{\eta_n \partial_{x_n}} e^{\eta_{n-1} \partial_{x_{n-1}}} \cdots e^{\eta_1 \partial_{x_1}}. \tag{4.5.17}
\]
Proof. Let \( f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) be any given smooth function. We want to solve the equation

\[
 u_t - u_{x_1} - x_1^{m_1} u_{x_2} - x_2^{m_2} u_{x_3} - \cdots - x_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} u_{x_n} = 0
\]

subject to \( u(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). According to the method of characteristic lines in Section 4.1, we solve the following problem:

\[
 \frac{dt}{ds} = 1, \quad \frac{dx_1}{ds} = -1, \quad \frac{dx_r+1}{ds} = -x_r^{m_r}, \quad r \in 1, n-1, \quad \frac{du}{ds} = 0,
\]

subject to

\[
 t|_{s=0} = 0, \quad x_p|_{s=0} = t_p, \quad p \in 1, n, \quad u|_{s=n+1} = t_{n+1}, \quad t_{n+1} = f(t_1, \ldots, t_n).
\]

We find

\[
 u = t_{n+1}, \quad t = s, \quad x_1 = -s + t_1, \quad x_2 = t_2 - \int_0^s (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1,
\]

\[
 x_3 = t_3 - \int_0^s (t_2 - \int_0^{s_2} (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1)^{m_2} ds_2, \ldots,
\]

\[
 x_{r+1} = t_{r+1} - \int_0^s (t_r - \int_0^{s_r} (t_{r-1} - \cdots - \int_0^{s_2} (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} ds_{r-1})^{m_r} ds_r.
\]

Note that \( t_1 = x_1 + t = x_1 + \eta_1 \),

\[
 t_2 = x_2 + \int_0^s (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1 = x_2 + \int_0^t (x_1 + t - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1,
\]

\[
 y_1 = t - s_1 \quad x_2 - \int_t^0 (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 = x_2 + \int_0^t (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 = x_2 + \eta_2.
\]

\[
 t_3 = x_3 + \int_0^t (t_2 - \int_0^{s_2} (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1)^{m_2} ds_2
\]

\[
 = x_3 + \int_0^t (x_2 + \int_0^t (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 - \int_0^{s_2} (x_1 + t - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1)^{m_2} ds_2
\]

\[
 = x_3 + \int_0^t (x_2 + \int_0^t (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 + \int_t^{t-s_2} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1)^{m_2} ds_2
\]

\[
 = x_3 + \int_0^t (x_2 + \int_0^{t-s_2} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1)^{m_2} ds_2
\]

\[
 y_2 = t - s_2 \quad x_3 - \int_t^0 (x_2 + \int_0^{y_2} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1)^{m_2} dy_2
\]

\[
 = x_3 + \int_0^t (x_2 + \int_0^{y_2} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1)^{m_2} dy_2 = x_3 + \eta_3.
\]

This gives us a pattern of find general \( t_p \). In the above, we have also proved

\[
 t_2 - \int_0^{s_2} (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1 = x_2 + \int_0^{t-s_2} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1.
\]
Suppose that \( t_r = x_r + \eta_r \) and

\[
t_{r-1} - \int_0^{t^{s_r-1}} (t_r - \cdots - \int_0^{s_r} (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-2}} ds_{r-2} = x_{r-1} + \int_0^{t^{-s_r}} (x_{r-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-2}} dy_{r-2}. \tag{4.5.27}
\]

Then we have

\[
t_r - \int_0^{t^{s_r}} (t_1 - s_1)^{m_1} ds_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} ds_{r-1} = x_r + \int_0^{t} (x_{r-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} dy_{r-1} - \int_0^{t^{s_r}} (x_{r-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} dy_{r-1}
- \int_0^{t^{-s_r}} (x_{r-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} dy_{r-1}
\]

\[
= x_r + \int_0^{t} (x_{r-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} dy_{r-1}
+ \int_0^{t^{-s_r}} (x_{r-2} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} dy_{r-1}
\]

\[
= x_r + \int_0^{t^{-s_r}} (x_{r-1} + \cdots + \int_0^{s_r} (x_1 + y_1)^{m_1} dy_1 \cdots)^{m_{r-1}} dy_{r-1}. \tag{4.5.28}
\]

By induction, we have

\[
t_p = x_p + \eta_p \quad \text{for } p \in \overline{1,n}. \tag{4.5.30}
\]

Thus the solution

\[
u = t_{n+1} = f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)
= f(x_1 + \eta_1, x_2 + \eta_2, \ldots, x_n + \eta_n)
= e^{\eta_n \partial_{x_n}} e^{\eta_{n-1} \partial_{x_{n-1}}} \cdots e^{\eta_1 \partial_{x_1}} (f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n)). \tag{4.5.31}
\]

According to (4.5.15),

\[
\partial_t (e^D(f)) = (\partial_{x_1} + x_1^{m_1} \partial_{x_2} + x_2^{m_2} \partial_{x_3} + \cdots + x_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \partial_{x_n}) e^D(f) \tag{4.5.32}
\]
and

\[ e^D(f)|_{t=0} = e^0(f) = f. \]  

(4.533)

Hence

\[ e^D(f) = u = e^{n_1 \partial x_n} e^{n_{n-1} \partial x_{n-1}} \cdots e^{n_1 \partial x_1}(f). \]  

(4.534)

Since \( f \) is an arbitrary smooth function in \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \), (4.534) implies (4.5.17).  \( \square \)

We remark that the above lemma was proved pure algebraically in [X7] by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula. The above result can be generalized as follows. Recall the definition of a tree given in the paragraph of (4.5.6) and (4.5.7). We define a tree diagram \( T^d \) to be a tree \( T = (N, E) \) with a weight map \( d : E \to \mathbb{N} + 1 \), denoted as \( T^d = (N, E, d) \). Set

\[ D_{T^d} = t(\partial x_1 + \sum_{(p,q) \in E} x^d_{p,q}(t_p,t_q) \partial x_q). \]  

(4.535)

In order to factorize \( e^{D_{T^d}} \), we need a new notion. For a node \( v_q \) in a tree \( T \), the unique sequence

\[ C_q = \{ v_{q_1}, v_{q_2}, \ldots, v_{q_r} \} \]  

(4.536)

of nodes with \( 1 = q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_{r-1} < q_r = q \) satisfying \( (v_{q_k}, v_{q_{k+1}}) \in E \) for \( k \in 1, r - 1 \) is called the clan of the node \( v_q \).

Again we define \( \eta^d_{T^d} = t \). For any \( q \in \overline{2, n} \) with the clan (4.5.36), we define

\[ \eta^d_{T^d}(t) = 1 \int_0^t (x_{q_{r-1}} + \int_0^{y_{q_{r-1}}} (x_{q_{r-2}} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_{q_2}} (x_1 + y_1) d[(t_{q_1}, t_{q_2})] dy_{q-2}) d[(t_{q_{r-2}}, t_{q_{r-1}})] dy_{q_r-1}. \]  

(4.537)

**Corollary 4.5.3.** For a tree diagram \( T^d \) with \( n \) nodes, we have

\[ e^{D_{T^d}} = e^{n_1 \partial x_n} e^{n_{n-1} \partial x_{n-1}} \cdots e^{n_1 \partial x_1}. \]  

(4.538)

In particular, \( u = g(x_1 + \eta^d_{T^d}, x_2 + \eta^d_{T^d}, \ldots, x_n + \eta^d_{T^d}) \) is the solution of the evolution equation

\[ u_t = (\partial x_1 + \sum_{(p,q) \in E} x^d_{p}(t_p,t_q) \partial x_q)(u) \]  

(4.539)

subject to \( u(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \).

Since \( d : E \to \mathbb{N} + 1 \) is an arbitrary map, we can solve more general problem of replacing monomial functions by any first-order differentiable functions. Let \( \mathcal{H} = \{ h_{p,q}(x) \mid (t_p, t_q) \in E \} \) be a set of first-order differentiable functions. Suppose \( C_q = \{ v_{q_1}, v_{q_2}, \ldots, v_{q_r} \} \). We define

\[ \eta^\mathcal{H}_{q} = \int_0^t h_{q_{r-1}, q_r}(x_{q_{r-1}} + \int_0^{y_{q_{r-1}}} h_{q_{r-2}, q_{r-1}}(x_{q_{r-2}} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_{q_2}} h_{q_1, q_2}(x_1 + y_1) dy_{q_2}) dy_{q_r-1}. \]  

(4.540)
4.5. USE OF FOURIER EXPANSION II

Set

\[ D_{\tilde{h}} = t(\partial_{x_1} + \sum_{(p,q) \in E} h_{p,q}(x_p)\partial_{x_q}). \] (4.5.41)

**Corollary 4.5.4.** We have the factorization:

\[ e^{D_{\tilde{h}}} = e^{\eta_1 \partial_{x_1}}e^{\eta_2 \partial_{x_2}} \cdots e^{\eta_n \partial_{x_n}}. \] (4.5.42)

In particular, \( u = g(x_1 + \eta_1, x_2 + \eta_2, \ldots, x_n + \eta_n) \) is the solution of the evolution equation

\[ u_t = \left( \partial_{x_1} + \sum_{(p,q) \in E} h_{p,q}(x_p)\partial_{x_q} \right)(u) \] (4.5.43)

subject to the condition \( u(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \).

Next we consider

\[ \hat{D} = t(\partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + x_1\partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + x_{n-1}\partial_{x_n}^{m_n}). \] (4.5.44)

To study the factorization of \( e^{\hat{D}} \), we need the following preparations. Denote \( \hat{A} = \mathbb{R}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \). We denote

\[ x^\alpha = \prod_{r=0}^{n} x_r^{\alpha_r}, \quad \partial^{\alpha} = \prod_{r=0}^{n} \partial_{x_r}^{\alpha_r} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}. \] (4.5.45)

For \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \), we define

\[ \beta \leq \alpha \quad \text{if} \quad \beta_r \leq \alpha_r \quad \text{for} \quad r \in \overline{0,n} \] (4.5.46)

and in this case,

\[ \binom{\alpha}{\beta} = \prod_{r=0}^{n} \binom{\alpha_r}{\beta_r}, \quad \gamma! = \prod_{r=0}^{n} \gamma_r! \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}. \] (4.5.47)

Set

\[ \hat{A} = \text{Span} \{ x^\alpha \partial^\beta \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \}, \] (4.5.48)

the space of all algebraic differential operators on \( \hat{A} \). For \( T_1, T_2 \in \hat{A} \), the multiplication \( T_2 \cdot T_2 \) is defined by

\[ (T_1 \cdot T_2)(f) = T_1(T_2(f)) \quad \text{for} \quad f \in \hat{A}. \] (4.5.49)

Note that for \( f, g_1, g_2 \in \hat{A} \) and \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \),

\[ (g_1 \partial^\alpha \cdot g_2 \partial^\beta)(f) = \sum_{\alpha \geq \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} g_1 \partial^\gamma (g_2) \partial^{\alpha+\gamma}(f). \] (4.5.50)

Thus

\[ g_1 \partial^\alpha \cdot g_2 \partial^\beta = \sum_{\alpha \geq \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}} \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} g_1 \partial^\gamma (g_2) \partial^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma}. \] (4.5.51)
According to (4.5.15)-(4.3.17), we define \( \tilde{\eta} \). So

\[
\text{CHAPTER 4. FIRST-ORDER OR LINEAR EQUATIONS}
\]

Moreover,

\[
\tau (x^\alpha \partial^\beta) = x^\beta \partial^\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}.
\] (4.5.52)

**Lemma 4.5.5.** We have \( \tau (T_1 \cdot T_2) = \tau (T_2) \cdot \tau (T_1) \).

*Proof.* For \( \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \), we have

\[
\tau (x^\alpha \partial^\alpha' \cdot x^\beta \partial^\beta') = \sum_{\alpha \geq \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}} \gamma ! \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} \tau (x^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma} \partial^{\alpha'+\beta'-\gamma})
\]

\[
= \sum_{\beta \geq \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}} \gamma ! \binom{\alpha}{\gamma} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} x^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma} \partial^{\alpha'-\beta'-\gamma}
\]

\[
= x^\beta \partial^\beta \cdot x^\alpha \partial^\alpha = \tau (x^\beta \partial^\beta') \cdot \tau (x^\alpha \partial^\alpha').
\] (4.5.53)

Denote

\[
\tilde{D} = t(x_1^{m_1} \partial_{x_0} + x_2^{m_2} \partial_{x_1} + \cdots + x_n^{m_n} \partial_{x_{n-2}} + x_n^{m_n} \partial_{x_{n-1}}).
\] (4.5.54)

Changing variables

\[
z_r = \frac{x_r}{\prod_{p=r+1}^{n} m_p} \quad \text{for } r \in [0, n-1].
\] (4.5.55)

Then

\[
\partial_{z_r} = \prod_{p=r+1}^{n} m_p \partial_{x_r} \quad \text{for } r \in [0, n-1].
\] (4.5.56)

Moreover,

\[
\tilde{D} = t(\partial_{z_{n-1}} + z_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \partial_{z_{n-2}} + \cdots + z_1^{m_1} \partial_{z_0}).
\] (4.5.57)

According to (4.5.15)-(4.3.17), we define \( \tilde{\eta}_{n-1} = t \) and

\[
\tilde{\eta}_r = \int_0^t (z_{r+1} + \int_0^{y_{r+1}} (z_{r+2} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_{n-2}} (z_{n-1} + y_{n-1})^{m_{n-1}} dy_{n-1} \cdots m_{r+2} dy_{r+2})^{m_{r+1}} dy_{r+1})
\]

for \( r \in [0, n-2] \). By Lemma 4.5.2,

\[
e^\tilde{D} = e^{\tilde{\eta}_0} e^{\tilde{\eta}_1} \cdots e^{\tilde{\eta}_{n-1}}.
\] (4.5.59)

Note

\[
\eta_r^* = \prod_{p=r+1}^{n} m_p \tilde{\eta}_r = \int_0^t (\prod_{p=r+1}^{n} m_p z_{r+1} + \int_0^{y_{r+1}} (\prod_{p=r+2}^{n} m_p z_{r+2} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_{n-2}} (x_n^{m_n} z_{n-1} + x_n^{m_n} y_{n-1})^{m_{n-1}} dy_{n-1} \cdots m_{r+2} dy_{r+2})^{m_{r+1}} dy_{r+1})
\]

\[
= \int_0^t (x_{r+1} + \int_0^{y_{r+1}} (x_{r+2} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_{n-2}} (x_{n-1} + x_n^{m_n} y_{n-1})^{m_{n-1}} dy_{n-1} \cdots m_{r+2} dy_{r+2})^{m_{r+1}} dy_{r+1})
\] (4.60)
for \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \) and let \( \eta_{n-1}^* = tx^{m_0} \). By (4.5.56), we find
\[
\hat{\hat{D}} = e^{\eta_{n-1}^* \delta_{x_0} e^{\eta_1^* \delta_{x_1}} \ldots e^{\eta_{n-1}^* \delta_{x_{n-1}}}}.
\] (4.5.61)

According to Lemma 4.5.5,
\[
e^{t(x_0 \delta_{x_1}^{m_1} + x_1 \delta_{x_2}^{m_2} + \ldots + x_{n-1} \delta_{x_n}^{m_n})}
\]
\[
= e^{\tau(\hat{\hat{D}})} = e^{\tau(e^{\eta_{n-1}^* \delta_{x_0} e^{\eta_1^* \delta_{x_1}} \ldots e^{\eta_{n-1}^* \delta_{x_{n-1}}})}
\]
\[
= e^{e^{\tau(\eta_{n-1}^* \delta_{x_{n-1}} \ldots e^{\tau(\eta_1^* \delta_{x_1})} e^{\tau(\eta_0^* \delta_{x_0})})}
\]
\[
= e^{x_{n-1} \tau(\eta_{n-1}^*) \ldots e^{x_1 \tau(\eta_1^*)} e^{x_0 \tau(\eta_0^*)}}.
\] (4.5.62)

Denote \( \hat{\eta}_{n-1} = \tau(\eta_{n-1}^*) = t\partial_{x_0}^{m_0} \) and
\[
\hat{n}_r = \tau(\eta_r^*) = \int_0^t (\partial_{x_{r+1}} + \int_0^{y_{r+1}} (\partial_{x_{r+2}} + \ldots + \int_0^{y_{n-1}} (\partial_{x_{n-1}}
\]
\[
+ e^{\partial_{x_0}^{m_0} y_{n-1}})^{m_0-1} dy_{n-1} \ldots )^{m_{r+1}+1} dy_{r+1}
\] (4.5.63)

for \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Theorem 4.5.6.** We have the following factorization:
\[
e^{\hat{\hat{D}}} = e^{t(x_0 \delta_{x_1}^{m_1} + x_1 \delta_{x_2}^{m_2} + \ldots + x_{n-1} \delta_{x_n}^{m_n})} = e^{x_{n-1} \hat{\eta}_{n-1} \ldots e^{x_1 \hat{\eta}_1} e^{x_0 \hat{\eta}_0}}.
\] (4.5.64)

Next we want to solve the evolution equation
\[
u_t = (\partial_{x_{r+1}} + \partial_{x_{r+2}} + \ldots + \partial_{x_{n-1}})(u)
\] (4.5.65)

subject to the initial condition:
\[
u(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \quad \text{for } x_r \in [-a_r, a_r],
\] (4.5.66)

where \( f \) is a continuous function in \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). For convenience, we denote
\[
k^\dagger_r = \frac{k_r}{a_r}, \quad \tilde{k}^\dagger = (k_1^\dagger, \ldots, k_n^\dagger)
\] (4.5.67)

for \( \tilde{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \).

Set
\[
e^{x(\tilde{k}^\dagger \cdot \tilde{x})} = e^{\sum_{r=1}^{n} x_k^\dagger x_r}. \] (4.5.68)

Note that \( \hat{n}_r \) is a polynomial in \( t, \partial_{x_{r+1}}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{n}} \). So we denote
\[
\hat{n}_r = \hat{n}_r(t, \partial_{x_{r+1}}, \ldots, \partial_{x_{n}}).
\] (4.5.69)

Observe that
\[
e^{\hat{\hat{D}}(x(\tilde{k}^\dagger \cdot \tilde{x}))} = e^{x_{n-1} \hat{\eta}_{n-1}(t, \pi k_{n-1}^\dagger)} \ldots e^{x_1 \hat{\eta}(t, \pi k_1^\dagger) e^{x_0 \hat{\eta}(t, \pi k_1^\dagger) \ldots e^{x_0 \hat{\eta}(t, \pi k_1^\dagger) \ldots \hat{\eta}(t, \pi k_1^\dagger) \hat{\eta}(t, \pi k_1^\dagger) \hat{\eta}(t, \pi k_1^\dagger)}}. \] (4.5.70)
is a solution of (4.5.65) for any \( \vec{k} = (k_1, ..., k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \). Denote the right hand of (4.5.70) as \( \phi_{\vec{k}}(t, x_1, ..., x_n) + \psi_{\vec{k}}(t, x_1, ..., x_n)i \), where \( \phi_{\vec{k}} \) and \( \psi_{\vec{k}} \) are real-valued functions. Then

\[
\phi_{\vec{k}}(0, x_1, ..., x_n) = \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}), \quad \psi_{\vec{k}}(0, x_1, ..., x_n) = \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}).
\] (4.5.71)

We define \( 0 \prec \vec{k} \) if its first nonzero coordinate is a positive integer.

By Fourier expansion theory, we get:

**Theorem 4.5.7.** The solution of the equation (4.5.65) subject to (4.5.66) is

\[
u = \sum_{0 \preceq \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (b_{\vec{k}} \phi_{\vec{k}}(t, x_1, ..., x_n) + c_{\vec{k}} \psi_{\vec{k}}(t, x_1, ..., x_n))
\] (4.5.72)

with

\[
b_{\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}a_1a_2 \cdots a_n} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} f(x_1, ..., x_n) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \, dx_n \cdots dx_1
\] (4.5.73)

and

\[
c_{\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}a_1a_2 \cdots a_n} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} f(x_1, ..., x_n) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \, dx_n \cdots dx_1.
\] (4.5.74)

**Example 4.5.1.** Consider the case \( n = 2, m_1 = m_2 = 2 \) and \( a_1 = a_2 = \pi \). So the problem becomes

\[
u_t = u_{x_1} + x_1 u_{x_2 x_2}
\] (4.5.75)

subject to

\[
u(0, x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2) \quad \text{for} \quad x_1, x_2 \in [-\pi, \pi].
\] (4.5.76)

In this case,

\[
\dot{\eta}_0(t, \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}) = \int_0^t (\partial_{x_1} + y_1 \partial_{x_2}^2)^2 dy_1 = \int_0^t (y_1^2 + 2y_1 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2}^2 + y_1^2 \partial_{x_2}^4) dy_1 = t \partial_{x_1}^2 + t^2 \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2}^2 + \frac{t^3 \partial_{x_2}^4}{3}
\] (4.5.77)

and \( \dot{\eta}_1(t, \partial_{x_2}) = t \partial_{x_2}^2 \). Thus

\[
e^{tx_1} \partial_{x_2}^2 e^{tx_1} \partial_{x_2}^2 + t \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2}^2 + t^3 \partial_{x_2}^4 (e^{t(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)} + e^{t(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)}) = e^{k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2} (e^{k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2} + e^{k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2}).
\] (4.5.78)

Hence

\[
\phi_{\vec{k}}(t, x_1, x_2) = e^{k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2} \cos(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 - k_1 k_2 x_2),
\] (4.5.79)
The final solution of (4.5.75) and (4.5.76) is
\[
\psi_F(t, x_1, x_2) = e^{k_1^2 t^2/3-k_2^2 t x_1-k_2^2 t} \sin(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 - k_1 k_2^2 t^2).
\]

Theorem 4.5.6 gives a way of how to calculate the powers of \( \partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + x_{n-1} \partial_{x_n}^{m_n} \). Then we can use the powers to solve the equation
\[
\partial_t^m u = (\partial_{x_1}^{m_1} + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^{m_2} + \cdots + x_{n-1} \partial_{x_n}^{m_n})(u).
\]

**Example 4.5.2.** Find the solution of the problem
\[
u = u_{x_1 x_1} + x_1 u_{x_2 x_2}
\]
subject to
\[
u(0, x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1, x_2), \quad u_t(0, x_1, x_2) = f_2(x_1, x_2) \quad \text{for } x_1, x_2 \in [-\pi, \pi].
\]

**Solution.** According to the above example,
\[
(\partial_{x_1}^2 + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^2)^m = \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}; n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 = m} \frac{m!}{n_0! n_1! n_2! n_3!} x_{n_0}^2 \partial_{x_2}^{2(n_0+n_2+2n_3)} \partial_{x_1}^{2n_1+n_2}.
\]

By Lemma 4.3.1 with \( T_1 = \partial_t^2, \quad T_2^+ = \int_t^2 \) (cf. (4.3.31)) and \( T_2^- = -\left( \partial_{x_1}^2 + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^2 \right) \), we have the complex solutions
\[
\sum_{m=0}^\infty (-T_1^- T_2^m) (e^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i}) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{t^{2m} (\partial_{x_1}^2 + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^2)^m (e^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i})}{(2m)!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}; n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 = m} \frac{t^{2m} x_{n_0}^2 \partial_{x_2}^{2(n_0+n_2+2n_3)} \partial_{x_1}^{2n_1+n_2} (e^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i})}{(2m-1)! n_0! n_1! n_2! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}; n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 = m} \frac{(-1)^{n_0+n_1+n_2} t^{2m}}{(2m-1)! n_0! n_1! n_2! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}}
\]

\[
\times x_{n_0}^2 k_1^2 (k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)^{2n_1+n_2} (e^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i})
\]

and
\[
\sum_{m=0}^\infty (-T_1^- T_2^m) (te^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i}) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{t^{2m+1} (\partial_{x_1}^2 + x_1 \partial_{x_2}^2)^m (e^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i})}{(2m+1)!}
\]

\[
= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}; n_0 + n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3 = m} \frac{(-1)^{n_0+n_1+n_2} t^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)! n_0! n_1! n_2! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}}
\]

\[
\times x_{n_0}^2 k_1^2 (k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)^{2n_1+n_2} (e^{(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2)i})
\]
of (4.5.83). Thus we have the following real solutions

$$
\phi_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}: n_0+n_1+4n_2+3n_3=m} (-1)^{n_0+n_1+n_2} k_1^{2(n_1+n_2)} k_2^{2(n_0+2n_2+2n_3)}
$$

$$
\frac{t^{2m} x_1^{n_0}}{n_0! n_1! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}} \left[ \cos(k_1 x + k_2 x) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{(2m-1)!!(2n_2)!} + \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{4(2m+3)!!(2n_2+1)!} \right],
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.88)

$$
\psi_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}: n_0+n_1+4n_2+3n_3=m} (-1)^{n_0+n_1+n_2} k_1^{2(n_1+n_2)} k_2^{2(n_0+2n_2+2n_3)}
$$

$$
\frac{t^{2m} x_1^{n_0}}{n_0! n_1! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}} \left[ \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{(2m-1)!!(2n_2)!} - \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \cos(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{4(2m+3)!!(2n_2+1)!} \right],
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.89)

$$
\hat{\phi}_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}: n_0+n_1+4n_2+3n_3=m} (-1)^{n_0+n_1+n_2} k_1^{2(n_1+n_2)} k_2^{2(n_0+2n_2+2n_3)}
$$

$$
\frac{t^{2m+1} x_1^{n_0}}{n_0! n_1! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}} \left[ \cos(k_1 x + k_2 x) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{(2m+1)!!(2n_2)!} + \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{4(2m+5)!!(2n_2+1)!} \right],
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.90)

$$
\hat{\psi}_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_r \in \mathbb{N}: n_0+n_1+4n_2+3n_3=m} (-1)^{n_0+n_1+n_2} k_1^{2(n_1+n_2)} k_2^{2(n_0+2n_2+2n_3)}
$$

$$
\frac{t^{2m+1} x_1^{n_0}}{n_0! n_1! n_3! 2^m 3^{n_3}} \left[ \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x) \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{(2m+1)!!(2n_2)!} - \frac{k_1^2 k_2^2 t^2 \cos(k_1 x + k_2 x)}{4(2m+5)!!(2n_2+1)!} \right].
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.91)

Moreover,

$$
\phi_{k_1,k_2}(0, x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial \phi_{k_1,k_2}}{\partial t}(0, x_1, x_2) = \cos(k_1 x + k_2 x),
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.92)

$$
\psi_{k_1,k_2}(0, x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial \psi_{k_1,k_2}}{\partial t}(0, x_1, x_2) = \sin(k_1 x + k_2 x),
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.93)

$$
\frac{\partial \phi_{k_1,k_2}}{\partial t}(0, x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial \psi_{k_1,k_2}}{\partial t}(0, x_1, x_2) = \hat{\phi}_{k_1,k_2}(0, x_1, x_2) = \hat{\psi}_{k_1,k_2}(0, x_1, x_2) = 0.
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.94)

Thus the solution of the problem (4.5.83) and (4.5.84) is

$$
u = \sum_{0 \leq (k_1,k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left[ a_{k_1,k_2} \phi_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) + c_{k_1,k_2} \psi_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) \right]
$$

$$
+ a_{k_1,k_2} \hat{\phi}_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2) + c_{k_1,k_2} \hat{\psi}_{k_1,k_2}(t, x_1, x_2),
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.95)

where

$$
a_{k_1,k_2} = \frac{1}{2^{1+\delta_{k_1,0}\delta_{k_2,0}}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_1(s_1, s_2) \cos(k_1 s + k_2 s) \, ds,
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.96)

$$
c_{k_1,k_2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_1(s_1, s_2) \sin(k_1 s + k_2 s) \, ds.
$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5.97)
Recall the definition of clan around (4.5.36). Given by Theorem 4.5.6, we have the following conclusion.

\[
\hat{a}_{k_1,k_2} = \frac{1}{2^{1+\delta_{k_1,0}+\delta_{k_2,0}}} \prod_{r=1}^{\delta_{k_1,0}+\delta_{k_2,0}} f_2(s_1, s_2) \cos(k_1s + k_2s) \, ds,
\]

(4.5.98)

\[
\hat{c}_{k_1,k_2} = \frac{1}{2^\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_2(s_1, s_2) \sin(k_1s + k_2s) \, ds.
\]

(4.5.99)

The above results can be generalized as follows. Recall the definition of a tree given in the paragraph of (4.5.6) and (4.5.7). A tree diagram \(T^d\) is a tree \(T = (N, E)\) with a weight map \(d : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N} + 1\). A node \(\iota_q\) of a tree \(T\) is called a tip if there does not exist \(q \leq p \leq n\) such that \(\langle \iota_q, \iota_p \rangle \in E\). Set

\[
\Psi = \{q \mid \iota_q \text{ is a tip of } T\}.
\]

(4.5.100)

Take a tree diagram \(T^d\) with \(n\) nodes and a set \(\Psi = \{m_q \mid q \in \Psi\}\) of a positive integers. From (4.5.63) and (4.5.64), we have to generalize the operator \(\hat{D}\) in (4.5.44) in reverse order and set

\[
D^\dagger = t \left( \sum_{(\iota_p, \iota_q) \in E} x_p \partial_{x_q}^{d[(\iota_p, \iota_q)]} + \sum_{r \in \Psi} \partial_{x_r}^{m_r} \right).
\]

(4.5.101)

Recall the definition of clan around (4.5.36). Given \(q \in \overline{2,n}\), we have the clan \(C_q = \{\iota_{q_1}, \iota_{q_2}, \ldots, \iota_{q_r}\}\) of the node \(\iota_q\) with \(1 = q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_{r-1} < q_r = q\). If \(r = 2\), we define

\[
\eta_q^\dagger = t \partial_{x_1}^{d[(\iota_{q_1}, \iota_{q_2})]}.
\]

When \(r > 2\), we define

\[
\eta_q^\dagger = \int_0^t \left( \partial_{x_{q_{r-1}}} + \int_0^{y_{q_{r-1}}} \left( \partial_{x_{q_{r-2}}} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_3} \left( \partial_{x_{q_2}}
\]

\[
+ \partial_{x_1}^{d[(\iota_{q_1}, \iota_{q_2})]}y_2^{d[(\iota_{q_2}, \iota_{q_3})]}dy_2 \cdots \right) d[(\iota_{q_{r-2}}, \iota_{q_{r-1}})]dy_{r-2} \right) d[(\iota_{q_{r-1}}, \iota_{q_r})]dy_{r-1}
\]

(4.5.102)

For \(q \in \Psi\), we also define

\[
\eta_q^\bullet = \int_0^t \left( \partial_{x_q} + \int_0^{y_{q_{r-1}}} \left( \partial_{x_{q_{r-1}}} + \cdots + \int_0^{y_3} \left( \partial_{x_{q_2}}
\]

\[
+ \partial_{x_1}^{d[(\iota_{q_1}, \iota_{q_2})]}y_2^{d[(\iota_{q_2}, \iota_{q_3})]}dy_2 \cdots \right) d[(\iota_{q_{r-2}}, \iota_{q_{r-1}})]dy_{r-2} \right) d[(\iota_{q_{r-1}}, \iota_{q_r})]dy_{r-1} \right) m_q \, dy_{q_r}
\]

(4.5.103)

By Theorem 4.5.6, we have the following conclusion.

**Proposition 4.5.8.** The following factorization holds:

\[
e^{D^\dagger} = e^{x_{\iota_2}}e^{x_{\iota_3}} \cdots e^{x_{\iota_n}} \prod_{q \in \Psi} e^{\eta_q^\bullet}.
\]

(4.5.104)

As Theorem 4.5.7, the above factorization can be used to solve the evolution equation

\[
u_t = \left( \sum_{(\iota_{p}, \iota_{q}) \in E} x_p \partial_{x_q}^{d[(\iota_{p}, \iota_{q})]} + \sum_{r \in \Psi} \partial_{x_r}^{m_r} \right)(u)
\]

(4.5.105)
subject to $u(0, x_1, ..., x_n) = f(x_1, ..., x_n)$.

Since the weight map $d$ is arbitrary and $\Psi^t$ can vary, we can do further generalization as follows. Take two sets \{$h_{p,q}(x) \mid (\iota_p, \iota_q) \in \mathcal{E}$\} and \{$h_q(x) \mid q \in \Psi$\} of polynomials in $x$. We generalize (4.5.101) to

$$D^t = t\left( \sum_{(\iota_p, \iota_q) \in \mathcal{E}} x_q h_{p,q}(\partial_{x_p}) + \sum_{r \in \Psi} h_r(\partial_{x_r}) \right).$$

(4.5.106)

Given $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the clan $\mathcal{C}_q = \{\iota_q, \iota_{q+1}, ..., \iota_{q_r}\}$ of the node $\iota_q$ with $1 = q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_{r-1} < q_r = q$. If $r = 2$, we define $\eta^1_q = t h_{q_1,q_2}(\partial_{x_{q_1}})$. When $r > 2$, we define

$$\eta^1_q = \int_0^t h_{q_{r-1},q_r}(\partial_{x_{q_{r-1}}}) + \int_0^{y_{q_{r-1}}} h_{q_{r-2},q_{r-1}}(\partial_{x_{q_{r-2}}}) + ...$$

$$+ \int_0^{y_{q_1}} h_{q_2,q_3}(\partial_{x_{q_2}} + h_{q_1,q_2}(\partial_{x_{q_1}})y_2)dy_2)...)dy_{r-2})dy_{r-1} \tag{4.5.107}$$

For $q \in \Psi$, we also define

$$\eta^\bullet_q = \int_0^t h_q(\partial_{x_q} + \int_0^{y_{q_r}} h_{q_{r-1},q_r}(\partial_{x_{q_{r-1}}}) + \int_0^{y_{q_{r-1}}} h_{q_{r-2},q_{r-1}}(\partial_{x_{q_{r-2}}}) + ...$$

$$+ \int_0^{y_{q_1}} h_{q_2,q_3}(\partial_{x_{q_2}} + h_{q_1,q_2}(\partial_{x_{q_1}})y_2)dy_2)...)dy_{r-2})dy_{r-1})dy_{q_r} \tag{4.5.108}$$

Then (4.5.104) still holds.

**Exercise 4.5**

1. Find the solution of the equation $u_{xx} + xu_{yy} = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in [-\pi, \pi]$ subject to $u(0, y) = f_1(y)$ and $u_x(0, y) = f_2(y)$, where $f_1(y)$ and $f_2(y)$ are continuous functions on $[-\pi, \pi]$ (cf. Example 4.5.2).

2. Solve the problem $u_t = u_{xx} + xu_{yy} + yu_{zz}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y, z \in [-\pi, \pi]$ subject to $u(0, x, y, z) = g(x, y, z)$, where $g(x, y, z)$ is a continuous function for $x, y, z \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

3. Use (4.5.104) to solve the problem

$$u_t = (y \partial_y^2 + z \partial_z^2 + \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)(u)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y, z \in [-\pi, \pi]$ subject to $u(0, x, y, z) = g(x, y, z)$, where $g(x, y, z)$ is a continuous function for $x, y, z \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

### 4.6 Calogero-Sutherland Model

The Calogero-Sutherland model is an exactly solvable quantum many-body system in one-dimension (cf. [Cf], [Sg]), whose Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{CS} = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i}^2 + K \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(x_p - x_q)},$$

(4.6.1)
where $K$ is a constant. The model was used to study long-range interactions of $n$ particles. Solving the model is equivalent to find eigenfunctions and their corresponding eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian $H_{CS}$ as a differential operator:

$$H_{CS}(f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)) = \nu f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

with $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. In other words, the above is the equation of motion for the Calogero-Sutherland model.

In this section, we prove that a two-parameter generalization of the Weyl function of type $A$ in representation theory is a solution of the Calogero-Sutherland model. If $n = 2$, we find a connection between the Calogero-Sutherland model and the Gauss hypergeometric function. When $n > 2$, a new class of multi-variable hypergeometric functions are found based on Etingof’s work [Ep]. The results in this section are taken from the author’s work [X9].

Change variables

$$z_i = e^{2x_i} \quad \text{for } i \in \overline{1,n}.$$ (4.6.3)

Then

$$\partial_{x_i} = 2e^{x_i} \partial_{z_i} = 2z_i \partial_{z_i} \quad \text{for } i \in \overline{1,n}$$ (4.6.4)

by the chain rule of taking partial derivatives. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{\sinh^2(x_p - x_q)} = \frac{4}{(e^{x_p-x_q} - e^{x_q-x_p})^2} = \frac{4}{(e^{-x_p-x_q}(e^{2x_p} - e^{2x_q}))^2} = \frac{4z_pz_q}{(z_p - z_q)^2}.$$ (4.6.5)

So the Hamiltonian

$$H_{CS} = 4 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i \partial_{z_i})^2 + K \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \frac{z_pz_q}{(z_p - z_q)^2} \right].$$ (4.6.6)

Replacing $\nu$ by $4\nu$ and $f$ by $\Psi(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, we get the new equation of motion for the Calogero-Sutherland model:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i \partial_{z_i})^2 (\Psi) + K \left( \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \frac{z_pz_q}{(z_p - z_q)^2} \right) \Psi = \nu \Psi.$$ (4.6.7)

First we will introduce some simple but nontrivial solutions.

Let $\{f_{p,q}(z) \mid p, q \in \overline{1,n} \}$ be a set of one-variable differentiable functions and let $d_i$ be a one-variable differential operator in $z_i$ for $i \in \overline{1,n}$. It is easy to verified the following lemma:
Lemma 4.6.1. We have the following equation on differentiation of determinants:

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i \right) \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
    f_{1,1}(z_1) & f_{1,2}(z_2) & \cdots & f_{1,n}(z_n) \\
    f_{2,1}(z_1) & f_{2,2}(z_2) & \cdots & f_{2,n}(z_n) \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    f_{n,1}(z_1) & f_{n,2}(z_2) & \cdots & f_{n,n}(z_n)
\end{array} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{array}{cccc}
    f_{1,1}(z_1) & f_{1,2}(z_2) & \cdots & f_{1,n}(z_n) \\
    f_{i-1,1}(z_1) & f_{i-1,2}(z_2) & \cdots & f_{i-1,n}(z_n) \\
    d_1(f_{i,1}(z_1)) & d_2(f_{i,2}(z_2)) & \cdots & d_n(f_{i,n}(z_n)) \\
    f_{i+1,1}(z_1) & f_{i+1,2}(z_2) & \cdots & f_{i+1,n}(z_n)
\end{array} .
\] (4.6.8)

Denote the Vandermonde determinant

\[
W(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) = \left| \begin{array}{cccc}
    1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
    z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_n \\
    z_1^2 & z_2^2 & \cdots & z_n^2 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    z_1^{n-1} & z_2^{n-1} & \cdots & z_n^{n-1}
\end{array} \right| = \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (z_p - z_q). \] (4.6.9)

According to the last expression,

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i \partial_{z_i})^2 \right)(W) = \left( \sum_{r=1}^{n} (z_r \partial_{z_r})^2 \right)(\prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} (z_p - z_q))
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=1}^{n} z_r \left[ \sum_{s \in 1, n} (z_r \partial_{z_r})^2 (z_r - z_s) \cdot \frac{W}{z_r - z_s} \right] 
\]

\[
+ 2 \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq n; s_1, s_2 \neq r} z_r \partial_{z_r}(z_{s_1} - z_r) \cdot z_r \partial_{z_r}(z_{s_2} - z_r) \cdot \frac{W}{(z_{s_1} - z_r)(z_{s_2} - z_r)},
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{s \in 1, n} \frac{z_r W}{z_r - z_s} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq n; s_1, s_2 \neq r} \frac{z_r^2 W}{(z_{s_1} - z_r)(z_{s_2} - z_r)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{(z_r - z_s)W}{z_r - z_s} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq n; s_1, s_2 \neq r} \frac{z_r^2 W(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)}{(z_{s_1} - z_r)(z_{s_2} - z_r)}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq n; s_1, s_2 \neq r} \frac{z_r^2}{(z_{s_1} - z_r)(z_{s_2} - z_r)} \right) W. \] (4.6.10)

On the other hand, Lemma 4.6.1 implies

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i \partial_{z_i})^2 \right)(W) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 \right)W = \frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{6} W. \] (4.6.11)
Thus (4.6.10) and (4.6.11) yield
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq n; s_1, s_2 \neq r} \frac{z_r^2}{(z_{s_1} - z_r)(z_{s_2} - z_r)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{6} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right] = \frac{(n-1)n(n-2)}{6} = \binom{n}{3}.
\] (4.6.12)

Let
\[
\phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n)^{\mu_1} \mathcal{W}^{\mu_2}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)
\] for \(\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}\), (4.6.13)

where the special case \(\phi_{(1-n)/2,1}\) is the Weyl function of type-\(A_{n-1}\) simple Lie algebra. Then
\[
z_r \partial_{z_r} (\phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}) = \left( \mu_1 + \mu_2 \sum_{r \neq s \in 1, n} \frac{z_r}{z_r - z_s} \right) \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2},
\] for \(r \in 1, n\). Hence
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{n} (z_r \partial_{z_r})^2 (\phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}) = [n \mu_1^2 + 2 \mu_1 \mu_2 \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{z_r - z_s}{z_r - z_s} + 2 \mu_2^2 \binom{n}{3} - 2 \mu_2 \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{z_s z_r}{(z_s - z_r)^2}] \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}
+ \mu_2^2 \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{z_r^2 + z_s^2}{(z_s - z_r)^2} \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}
= [n \mu_1^2 + n(n-1) \mu_1 \mu_2 + 2 \mu_2^2 \binom{n}{3} - 2 \mu_2 \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{z_s z_r}{(z_s - z_r)^2}]
+ \mu_2^2 \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{z_r^2 + z_s^2 - 2 z_r z_s + 2 z_r z_s}{(z_s - z_r)^2} \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}
= [n \mu_1^2 + n(n-1)(\mu_1 + \mu_2/2) \mu_2 + 2 \binom{n}{3} \mu_2^2
+ 2 \mu_2 (\mu_2 - 1) \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \frac{z_s z_r}{(z_s - z_r)^2}] \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2}
\] (4.6.15)

by (4.6.12) and (4.6.14). Therefore, we have:
Theorem 6.2. The function $\phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}$ satisfies:

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} (z_r \partial_{z_r})^2 (\phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}) + 2\mu_2 (1 - \mu_2) \left( \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{z_i z_j}{(z_i - z_j)^2} \right) \phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}
$$

$$
= \left[ n\mu_1^2 + n(n-1)(\mu_1 + \mu_2/2)\mu_2 + 2 \left( \frac{n}{3} \right) \mu_2 \right] \phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2},
$$

(4.6.16)

equivalently, $\phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}(e^{2x_1},...,e^{2x_n})$ is a solution of the Calogero-Sutherland model with $K = 2\mu_2(1-\mu_2)$ and the corresponding eigenvalue is $2n[2\mu_1(\mu_1+(n-1)\mu_2) + (n-1)(2n-1)\mu_2^2/3]$.

The above theorem for generic $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ was proved by us in [X9], and it was known when $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ or $\mu_1 = 0$ before our work [X9]. Next we will explore the connection between the Calogero-Sutherland model and hypergeometric functions.

We first consider the case $n = 2$. Now (4.6.16) becomes

$$
\left( (z_1 \partial_{z_1})^2 + (z_2 \partial_{z_2})^2 \right) (\phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}) + 2\mu_2 (1 - \mu_2) \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}
$$

$$
= (2\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_2^2) \phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}.
$$

(4.6.17)

Let $g(z)$ be a differentiable function. Denote

$$
\xi = \frac{z_2}{z_2 - z_1}.
$$

(4.6.18)

Then

$$
z_1 \partial_{z_1} (g(\xi)) = -z_2 \partial_{z_2} (g(\xi)) = \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_2 - z_1)^2} g'(\xi).
$$

(4.6.19)

Moreover,

$$
(z_1 \partial_{z_1})^2 (g(\xi)) = (z_2 \partial_{z_2})^2 (g(\xi)) = \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2}{(z_1 - z_2)^4} g''(\xi) + \frac{z_1 z_2(z_1 + z_2)}{(z_2 - z_1)^3} g'(\xi).
$$

(4.6.20)

According to (4.6.14),

$$
z_1 \partial_{z_1} (\phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}) = \left( \mu_1 + \mu_2 \frac{z_1}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2},
$$

(4.6.21)

$$
z_2 \partial_{z_2} (\phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}) = \left( \mu_1 - \mu_2 \frac{z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \phi_{\mu_1,\mu_2}.
$$

(4.6.22)
By (4.6.19)-(4.6.22), we have
\[
[(z_1 \partial_{z_1})^2 + (z_2 \partial_{z_2})^2](\phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2} g(\xi))
\]
\[
= \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \left[ \left( 2\mu_2 (\mu_2 - 1) \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + (2\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_2^2) \right) g(\xi) \right.
\]
\[
+ 2 \left( \mu_1 + \mu_2 \frac{z_1}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} g'(\xi) - 2 \left( \mu_1 - \mu_2 \frac{z_2}{z_1 - z_2} \right) \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} g'(\xi)
\]
\[
+ 2 \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2}{(z_1 - z_2)^4} g''(\xi)
\]
\[
= \phi_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \left[ \left( 2\mu_2 (\mu_2 - 1) \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + (2\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_2^2) \right) g(\xi) \right.
\]
\[
+ 2(1 - \mu_2) \frac{z_1 z_2 (z_1 + z_2)}{(z_2 - z_1)^3} g'(\xi) + \frac{2 z_1^2 z_2^2}{(z_1 - z_2)^4} g''(\xi). \tag{4.6.23}
\]

Observe that
\[
\frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_2 - z_1} = 2 \frac{z_2}{z_2 - z_1} - 1 = 2\xi - 1, \tag{4.6.24}
\]
\[
\frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} = \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_2 - z_1)^2} = \frac{z_2^2}{(z_2 - z_1)^2} - \frac{z_2}{z_2 - z_1} = \xi(\xi - 1). \tag{4.6.25}
\]

Thus
\[
2(1 - \mu_2) \frac{z_1 z_2 (z_1 + z_2)}{(z_2 - z_1)^3} g'(\xi) + \frac{2 z_1^2 z_2^2}{(z_1 - z_2)^4} g''(\xi)
\]
\[
= -\frac{2 z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \left[ (1 - \mu_2) \frac{z_1 + z_2}{z_1 - z_2} g'(\xi) - \frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} g''(\xi) \right]
\]
\[
= -\frac{2 z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} [\xi(1 - \xi) g''(\xi) + (1 - \mu_2)(1 - 2\xi) g'(\xi)]. \tag{4.6.26}
\]

Recall the classical Gauss hypergeometric equation
\[
z(1 - z) y'' + [\gamma - (\alpha + \beta + 1) z] y' - \alpha \beta y = 0. \tag{4.6.27}
\]

We take \( \gamma = 1 - \mu_2 \) and
\[
\alpha + \beta + 1 = 2(1 - \mu_2) \implies \beta = 1 - 2\mu_2 - \alpha, \tag{4.6.28}
\]
where \( \alpha \) is arbitrary.

**Theorem 4.6.3.** Let \( \alpha, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( g(z) \) is a nonzero function satisfying the following classical Gauss hypergeometric equation
\[
z(1 - z) g'' + (1 - \mu_2)(1 - 2z) g' - \alpha(1 - \alpha - 2\mu_2) g = 0, \tag{4.6.29}
\]
then the function
\[
\psi = (z_1 z_2)^{\mu_1} (z_1 - z_2)^{\mu_2} g \left( \frac{z_2}{z_2 - z_1} \right) \tag{4.6.30}
\]
satisfies the equation for the Calogero-Sutherland model
\[
[(z_1 \partial_{z_1})^2 + (z_2 \partial_{z_2})^2](\psi) + 2\mu_2(1 - \mu_2)\frac{z_1 z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}\psi
= [2\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_2^2 + 2\alpha(\alpha + 2\mu_2 - 1)]\psi
\]
(4.6.31)
with \(K = 2\mu_2(1 - \mu_2)\) and the eigenvalue \(\nu = 2\mu_1^2 + 2\mu_1 \mu_2 + \mu_2^2 + 2\alpha(\alpha + 2\mu_2 - 1).\)

Suppose that \(\mu_2\) is not an integer, then the fundamental solutions of the equation (4.6.29) are \(2F_1(\alpha, 1 - \alpha - 2\mu_2; 1 - \mu_2; z)\) and \(2F_1(\alpha + \mu_2, 1 - \mu_2 - \alpha; 1 + \mu_2; z)z^{\mu_2}\) (cf. (3.2.10)).

Next we consider \(n > 2\). Let
\[
\Gamma_A = \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \mathbb{N}\epsilon_{q,p}
\]
be the additive semigroup of rank \(n(n - 1)/2\) with \(\epsilon_{q,p}\) as base elements. For \(\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} \alpha_{q,p}\epsilon_{q,p} \in \Gamma_A\), we denote
\[
\alpha_{1*} = \alpha_{n*} = 0, \quad \alpha_{k*} = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{k,r}, \quad \alpha_{l*} = \sum_{s=l+1}^{n} \alpha_{s,l}
\]
(4.6.33)
Given \(\vartheta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1\}\) and \(\tau_r \in \mathbb{C}\) with \(r \in \Gamma, n\), we define our \((n(n - 1)/2)\)-variable hypergeometric function of type A by
\[
\mathcal{X}_A(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n; \vartheta)(z_{j,k}) = \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_A} \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} (\tau_s - \beta_s)_{\beta_s} \right] \frac{(\tau_n)_{\beta_n^*}}{\beta! (\vartheta)_{\beta_n^*}} z^{\beta},
\]
(4.6.34)
where
\[
\beta! = \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} \beta_{j,k}!, \quad z^{\beta} = \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} z_{j,k}^{\beta_{j,k}}.
\]
(4.6.35)
Set
\[
\xi_{r_2,r_1}^A = \prod_{s=r_1}^{r_2-1} \frac{z_{r_2}}{z_{r_2} - z_s}
\]
for \(1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq n\).
(4.6.36)
Take \((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n\) such that
\[
\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_{n-2} - \lambda_{n-1} = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n = \sigma \notin \mathbb{N},
\]
(4.6.37)
for some constants \(\mu\) and \(\sigma\). Then we have the following result which was proved by representation theory:

**Theorem 4.6.4.** The function
\[
\prod_{r=1}^{n} z_{r}^{\lambda_r + (n+1)/2 - r} \mathcal{X}_A(\mu + 1, \ldots, \mu + 1, -\mu; -\sigma)(\xi_{r_2,r_1}^A)
\]
(4.6.38)
is a solution of the equation (4.6.7).

Below we want to show that the functions \( X_A(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n; \vartheta) \{z_{j,k}\} \) are indeed natural generalizations of the Gauss hypergeometric function \( _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) \). Note

\[
D = z \frac{d}{dz} \implies D^2 = z^2 \frac{d^2}{dz^2} + z \frac{d}{dz}.
\]

(4.6.39)

Then the classical hypergeometric equation (3.2.1) can be rewritten as

\[
(\gamma + D)\frac{d}{dz}(y) = (\alpha + D)(\beta + D)(y).
\]

(4.6.40)

Denote

\[
D_{ps} = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} z_{p,r} \partial z_{p,r}, \quad D^*_q = \sum_{s=q+1}^{n} z_{s,q} \partial z_{s,q} \quad \text{for} \quad p \in \overline{2, n}, \quad q \in \overline{1, n-1}.
\]

(4.6.41)

The following result was proved by author.

**Theorem 4.6.5.** We have:

\[
(\tau_{r_2} - 1 - D_{r_2} + D_{r_2}^*) \partial z_{r_2,r_1}(X_A) = (\tau_{r_1} - 1 - D_{r_1} + D_{r_1}^*) \partial z_{r_1,r_2}(X_A)
\]

(4.6.42)

for \(1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq n-1\) and

\[
(\vartheta + D_{ns}) \partial z_{n,r}(X_A) = (\tau_n + D_{ns}) \partial z_{r,n}(X_A)
\]

(4.6.43)

for \(r \in \overline{1, n-1}\).

Recall the differentiation property

\[
\frac{d}{dz} _2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \frac{\alpha \beta}{\gamma} _2F_1(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1; \gamma + 1; z)
\]

(4.6.44)

(cf. (3.2.19)). For two positive integers \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) such that \(k_1 < k_2\), a path from \(k_1\) to \(k_2\) is a sequence \((m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_r)\) of positive integers such that

\[
k_1 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_{r-1} < m_r = k_2.
\]

(4.6.45)

One can imagine a path from \(k_1\) to \(k_2\) is a way of a super man going from \(k_1\)th floor to \(k_2\)th floor through a stairway. Let

\[
P_{k_1}^{k_2} = \text{the set of all paths from } k_1 \text{ to } k_2.
\]

(4.6.46)

The path polynomial from \(k_1\) to \(k_2\) is defined as

\[
P_{[k_1,k_2]} = \sum_{(m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_r) \in P_{k_1}^{k_2}} (-1)^r z_{m_1,m_0} z_{m_2,m_1} \cdots z_{m_{r-1},m_{r-2}} z_{m_r,m_{r-1}}.
\]

(4.6.47)
In fact
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
P_{[1,2]} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
P_{[1,3]} & P_{[2,3]} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
P_{[1,n]} & P_{[2,n]} & \cdots & P_{[n-1,n]} & 1
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\varphi_{2,1} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\varphi_{3,1} & \varphi_{3,2} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_{n,1} & \varphi_{n,2} & \cdots & \varphi_{n,n-1} & 1
\end{pmatrix}^{-1}.
\]
(4.6.48)

For convenience, we simply denote
\[
P_{[k,k]} = 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < k \in \mathbb{N},
\]
(4.6.49)
\[
X_A = X_A(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n; \vartheta)\{z_{j,k}\},
\]
(4.6.50)
\[
X_A[l, j] = X_A(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l + 1, \ldots, \tau_j - 1, \ldots; \vartheta)\{z_{r_2,r_1}\}
\]
obtained from $X_A$ by changing $\tau_i$ to $\tau_i + 1$ and $\tau_j$ to $\tau_j - 1$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$ and
\[
X_A[k, n] = X_A(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k + 1, \ldots, \tau_n + 1; \vartheta + 1)\{z_{r_2,r_1}\}
\]
(4.6.52)

obtained from $X_A$ by changing $\tau_k$ to $\tau_k + 1$, $\tau_n$ to $\tau_n + 1$ and $\vartheta$ to $\vartheta + 1$ for $k \in \overline{1, n - 1}$.

The following result was proved by the author.

**Theorem 4.6.6.** For $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq n - 1$ and $r \in \overline{1, n - 1}$, we have
\[
\partial_{z_{r_2,r_1}}(X_A) = \sum_{s=1}^{r_1} \tau_s P_{[s,r_1]} X_A[s, r_2],
\]
(4.6.53)
\[
\partial_{z_{n,r}}(X_A) = \frac{\tau_n}{\vartheta} \sum_{s=1}^{r} \tau_s P_{[s,r]} X_A[s, n].
\]
(4.6.54)

Recall the integral representation
\[
\mathbf{2F}_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1}(1-zt)^{-\alpha} \, dt
\]
(4.6.55)
(cf. Theorem 3.2.1). We have the following integral representation:

**Theorem 4.6.7.** Suppose $\Re \tau_n > 0$ and $\Re (\vartheta - \tau_n) > 0$. We have
\[
X_A = \frac{\Gamma(\vartheta)}{\Gamma(\vartheta - \tau_n)\Gamma(\tau_n)} \int_0^{1} \left[ \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{s=r}^{n-1} P_{[r,s]} + tP_{[r,n]} \right)^{-\tau_r} \right] t^{\tau_n-1}(1-t)^{\vartheta-\tau_n-1} \, dt
\]
(4.6.56)
on the region $P_{[r,n]}/(\sum_{s=r}^{n-1} P_{[r,s]}) \notin (-\infty, -1)$ for $r \in \overline{1, n - 1}$.

Heckman and Opdam [HO, Hg1-Hg3, Oe1-Oe5, BO] introduced hypergeometric equations related to root systems and analogous to (4.6.7). They proved the existence of solutions (hypergeometric functions) of their equations. Gel’fand and Graev studied analogues of classical hypergeometric functions (so called GG-functions) by generalizing the differential property of the classical hypergeometric functions (e.g. cf. [GG]).
4.7 Maxwell Equations

The electromagnetic fields in physics are governed by the well-known Maxwell equations (e.g., cf. [In3]):

\[ \partial_t(\mathbf{E}) = \text{curl} \mathbf{B}, \quad \partial_t(\mathbf{B}) = -\text{curl} \mathbf{E} \]  

(4.7.1)

with

\[ \text{div} \mathbf{E} = f(x, y, z), \quad \text{div} \mathbf{B} = g(x, y, z), \]  

(4.7.2)

where the vector function \( \mathbf{E} \) stands for the electric field, the vector function \( \mathbf{B} \) stands for the magnetic field, the scalar function \( f \) is related to the charge density and the scalar function \( g \) is related to the magnetic potential. We want to use matrix-differential-operators and Fourier expansion to solve the Maxwell equations (4.7.1) subject to the following initial condition:

\[ \mathbf{E}(0, x, y, z) = \mathbf{E}_0(x, y, z), \quad \mathbf{B}(0, x, y, z) = \mathbf{B}_0(x, y, z) \]  

(4.7.3)

for \( x \in [-a_1, a_1] \), \( y \in [-a_2, a_2] \), \( z \in [-a_3, a_3] \), where \( \mathbf{E}_0(x, y, z) \) and \( \mathbf{B}_0(x, y, z) \) are given real vector-valued functions satisfying (4.7.2), and \( a_e \) are positive real constants. We denote

\[ \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(4.7.4)

Then the Maxwell equations becomes

\[ \partial_t(\mathbf{E}) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y(B_3) - \partial_z(B_2) \\ \partial_z(B_1) - \partial_x(B_3) \\ \partial_x(B_2) - \partial_y(B_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_z & \partial_y \\ \partial_z & 0 & -\partial_x \\ -\partial_y & \partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}, \]  

(4.7.5)

\[ \partial_t(\mathbf{B}) = -\begin{pmatrix} \partial_y(E_3) - \partial_z(E_2) \\ \partial_z(E_1) - \partial_x(E_3) \\ \partial_x(E_2) - \partial_y(E_1) \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_z & \partial_y \\ \partial_z & 0 & -\partial_x \\ -\partial_y & \partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}. \]  

(4.7.6)

Set

\[ \mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_z & \partial_y \\ \partial_z & 0 & -\partial_x \\ -\partial_y & \partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(4.7.7)

Then we can combine the two equations in (4.7.1) into one equation:

\[ \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{D} \\ -\mathbb{D} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(4.7.8)

Thus the solution is given by

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \left[ \exp \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{D} \\ -\mathbb{D} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right] \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_0 \\ \mathbf{B}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t\mathbb{D} & \sin t\mathbb{D} \\ -\sin t\mathbb{D} & \cos t\mathbb{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E}_0 \\ \mathbf{B}_0 \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(4.7.9)
CHAPTER 4. FIRST-ORDER OR LINEAR EQUATIONS

where

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
E_0 \\
B_0
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
E \\
B
\end{pmatrix}
\bigg|_{t=0}
\]  

(4.7.10)

is a given first-order differentiable field in \(x, y, z\) satisfying the constraint (4.7.2).

Now the key point is how to calculate \(\cos tD\) and \(\sin tD\). In order to do this, we consider the \(3 \times 3\) skew-symmetric matrix:

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -a & -b \\
 a & 0 & -c \\
 b & c & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \neq a, b, c \in \mathbb{R},
\]  

(4.7.11)

where \(\mathbb{R}\) is the field of real numbers. Note that

\[
A^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -a & -b \\
 a & 0 & -c \\
 b & c & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -a & -b \\
 a & 0 & -c \\
 b & c & 0
\end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix}
a^2 + b^2 & bc & -ac \\
 bc & a^2 + c^2 & ab \\
 -ac & ab & b^2 + c^2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]  

(4.7.12)

Moreover,

\[
A^3 = -\begin{pmatrix}
a^2 + b^2 & bc & -ac \\
 bc & a^2 + c^2 & ab \\
 -ac & ab & b^2 + c^2
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -a & -b \\
 a & 0 & -c \\
 b & c & 0
\end{pmatrix} = -(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)A,
\]  

(4.7.13)

which implies

\[
A^{2k+1} = [-(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)]^kA, \quad A^{2k+2} = [-(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)]^kA^2 \quad \text{for} \ k \in \mathbb{N},
\]  

(4.7.14)

where \(\mathbb{N}\) stands for the set of nonnegative integers. Thus

\[
\sin tA = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)^k t^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\right) A,
\]  

(4.7.15)

\[
\cos tA = I_3 - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)^k t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!}\right) A^2,
\]  

(4.7.16)

where \(I_3\) is the \(3 \times 3\) identity matrix.

Denote

\[
\Delta = \partial^2_x + \partial^2_y + \partial^2_z.
\]  

(4.7.17)

By (4.7.7), (4.7.15) and (4.7.16), we have:

\[
\sin tD = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta^{k+1} t^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\right) \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\partial_x & \partial_y \\
-\partial_y & 0 & -\partial_z \\
-\partial_y & \partial_x & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(4.7.18)
and
\[ \cos t \mathbb{D} = I_3 + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta^k t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 & -\partial_x \partial_y & -\partial_x \partial_z \\ -\partial_x \partial_y & \partial_x^2 + \partial_z^2 & -\partial_y \partial_z \\ -\partial_x \partial_z & -\partial_y \partial_z & \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 \end{pmatrix}. \] (4.7.19)

As operators,
\[ \text{div} \circ \text{curl} = 0. \] (4.7.20)

This shows
\[ \partial_t (\text{div} \ E) = \text{div} (\partial_t E) = \text{div} (\text{curl} B) = 0, \] (4.7.21)
\[ \partial_t (\text{div} \ B) = \text{div} (\partial_t B) = -\text{div} (\text{curl} E) = 0. \] (4.7.22)

Thus the constraint (4.7.2) is satisfied if the initial field \( E_0 \) and \( B_0 \) satisfy it. Solving (4.7.2), we get
\[ E_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^x f(s,y,z)ds - \partial_y (f_1(x,y,z)) \\ \partial_x (f_1(x,y,z)) - \partial_z (f_2(x,y,z)) \\ \partial_y (f_2(x,y,z)) \end{pmatrix}, \] (4.7.23)
\[ B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^x g(s,y,z)ds - \partial_y (g_1(x,y,z)) \\ \partial_x (g_1(x,y,z)) - \partial_z (g_2(x,y,z)) \\ \partial_y (g_2(x,y,z)) \end{pmatrix}, \] (4.7.24)

which imply that \( E_0 \) is completely determined by two second-order differentiable functions \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), and \( B_0 \) is completely determined by two second-order differentiable functions \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \). In other words, giving initial fields \( E_0 \) and \( B_0 \) is equivalent to giving four second-order differentiable functions \( f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2 \).

For convenience, we denote
\[ k_r^\dagger = \frac{k_r}{a_r}, \quad \bar{k}^\dagger = (k_1^\dagger, k_2^\dagger, k_3^\dagger) \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3. \] (4.7.25)

Moreover, we write
\[ \bar{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \] (4.7.26)

and
\[ \bar{k}^\dagger \cdot \bar{x} = k_1^\dagger x + k_2^\dagger y + k_3^\dagger z. \] (4.7.27)

Set
\[ |\bar{k}^\dagger| = \sqrt{(k_1^\dagger)^2 + (k_2^\dagger)^2 + (k_3^\dagger)^2}. \] (4.7.28)

Observe that
\[- \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s x^{2s} (\pi t)^{2s+2}}{(2s+2)!} = \frac{\cos \pi xt - 1}{x^2}, \quad \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s x^{2s} (\pi t)^{2s+1}}{(2s+1)!} = \frac{\sin \pi xt}{x}. \] (4.7.29)

Moreover, we treat
\[ \frac{\cos \pi xt - 1}{x^2} \bigg|_{x=0} = -\frac{\pi^2 t^2}{2}, \quad \frac{\sin \pi xt}{x} \bigg|_{x=0} = \pi t. \] (4.7.30)
For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$,
\[
\begin{align*}
\cos t \mathbb{D} & \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_2 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_3 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix} \\
& = \left[I_3 + \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta^s t^{2s+2}}{(2s+2)!}\right)\right] \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} & -\partial_x \partial_y & -\partial_x \partial_z \\
-\partial_x \partial_y & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} & -\partial_y \partial_z \\
-\partial_x \partial_z & -\partial_y \partial_z & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_2 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_3 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix} \\
& = \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_2 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_3 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\] (4.7.31)

with
\[
\mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) = I_3 + \frac{\cos \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} - 1
\times \begin{pmatrix}
(k_2^\dagger)^2 + (k_3^\dagger)^2 & -k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger & -k_1^\dagger k_3^\dagger \\
-k_1^\dagger k_2^\dagger & (k_1^\dagger)^2 + (k_3^\dagger)^2 & -k_2^\dagger k_3^\dagger \\
-k_1^\dagger k_3^\dagger & -k_2^\dagger k_3^\dagger & (k_1^\dagger)^2 + (k_2^\dagger)^2
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.7.32)

and
\[
\sin t \mathbb{D} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_2 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_3 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix} \\
& = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta^s t^{2s+1}}{(2s+1)!}\right) \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -\partial_x & -\partial_y \\
-\partial_x & 0 & -\partial_x \\
-\partial_y & -\partial_x & 0
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_2 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_3 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix} \\
& = i \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_2 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\lambda_3 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.7.33)

with
\[
\mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{k_1^\dagger \sin \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} & \frac{k_1^\dagger \sin \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} \\
\frac{k_1^\dagger \sin \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} & 0 & \frac{k_2^\dagger \sin \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} \\
\frac{k_1^\dagger \sin \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} & \frac{k_2^\dagger \sin \pi t |\vec{k}^\dagger|}{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.7.34)

Thus for $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r \in \{1, 6\}$, the vector-valued function
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos t \mathbb{D} & \sin t \mathbb{D} \\
-\sin t \mathbb{D} & \cos t \mathbb{D}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_6 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) & i \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) \\
-i \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) & \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t)
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_6 e^{\pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) i}
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.7.35)
is a general solution of the equation (4.7.8). Considering the real and imaginary parts of (4.7.35), we get two real solutions of the Maxwell equation (4.7.1):

\[
E = K(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_2 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_3 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix} - M(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_4 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_5 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_6 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
B = M(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_1 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_2 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_3 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix} + K(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_4 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_5 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\lambda_6 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
E = K(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_1 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_2 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_3 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix} + M(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_4 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_5 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_6 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B = -M(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_1 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_2 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_3 \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix} + K(k, t) \begin{pmatrix}
\mu_4 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_5 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\mu_6 \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(\lambda_r, \mu_r \in \mathbb{R}\) for \(r \in 1, 6\).

Write

\[
\lambda_r = b_r(k), \quad \mu_r = c_r(k) \quad \text{for} \quad r \in 1, 6.
\]

By superposition principle,

\[
E = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \mathbb{Z}^3} [K(k, t) \begin{pmatrix} b_1(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_1(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_2(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_2(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_3(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_3(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} + M(k, t) \begin{pmatrix} c_4(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - b_4(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ c_5(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - b_5(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ c_6(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - b_6(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix}],
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \mathbb{Z}^3} [M(k, t) \begin{pmatrix} b_1(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_1(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_2(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_2(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_3(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_3(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} + K(k, t) \begin{pmatrix} b_4(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_4(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_5(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_5(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_6(k) \sin \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_6(k) \cos \pi (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix}],
\]

is a general solution of the equations in (4.7.1), where \(K(k, t)\) is given in (4.7.32) and \(M(k, t)\) is given in (4.7.34).
Note \( \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, 0) = I_3 \) and \( \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, 0) = 0_{3 \times 3} \). So

\[
E(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \begin{pmatrix}
    b_1(\vec{k}) \cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + c_1(\vec{k}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\
    b_2(\vec{k}) cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + c_2(\vec{k}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\
    b_3(\vec{k}) \cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + c_3(\vec{k}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4.7.43)

and

\[
B(0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \begin{pmatrix}
    b_4(\vec{k}) \cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + c_4(\vec{k}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\
    b_5(\vec{k}) \cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + c_5(\vec{k}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\
    b_6(\vec{k}) \cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) + c_6(\vec{k}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (4.7.44)

Write

\[
E_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
    h_1(x, y, z) \\
    h_2(x, y, z) \\
    h_3(x, y, z)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad B_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
    h_4(x, y, z) \\
    h_5(x, y, z) \\
    h_6(x, y, z)
\end{pmatrix},
\] (4.7.45)

which must be of the form (4.7.23) and (4.7.24). By Fourier expansion and the Kovalevskaya Theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of linear partial differential equations, we have:

**Theorem 4.7.1.** The solution of the initial value problem of the Maxwell equations (4.7.1)-(4.7.3) is given in (4.7.41) and (4.7.42) with

\[
b_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2^{2+\delta_{\delta, 0}} a_1 a_2 a_3} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \int_{-a_3}^{a_3} h_r(\vec{x}) \cos (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \, dz \, dy \, dx,
\] (4.7.46)

\[
c_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{4 a_1 a_2 a_3} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \int_{-a_3}^{a_3} h_r(\vec{x}) \sin (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \, dz \, dy \, dx.
\] (4.7.47)

The above result is due to our work [X10]. Ciattonic, Crosignanic, Di Porto and Yariv [CCDY] found the spatial Kerr solutions as exact solutions of Maxwell equations. Fushchich and Revenko [FR] obtained some exact solutions of the Lorentz-Maxwell equations.

### 4.8 Dirac Equation and Acoustic System

The classical free Dirac equation is:

\[
[\sum_{r=0}^{3} \gamma^r P_r - m] \psi = 0
\] (4.8.1)

with

\[
P_0 = i \partial_t, \quad P_1 = i \partial_x, \quad P_2 = i \partial_y, \quad P_3 = i \partial_z.
\] (4.8.2)
and the Dirac matrices:

\[ \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_r \\ -\sigma_r & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = 1, 2, 3, \]  

(4.8.3)

where \( m \) is a positive real constant, \( I_2 \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix and

\[ \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]  

(4.8.4)

are the Pauli matrices. We want to solve the free Dirac equation (4.8.1) subject to the initial condition:

\[ \psi(0, x, y, z) = \psi_0(x, y, z) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [-a_1, a_1], \quad y \in [-a_2, a_2], \quad z \in [-a_3, a_3], \]  

(4.8.5)

where \( \psi_0(x, y, z) \) is a given continuous complex vector-valued function.

The Dirac matrices:

\[ \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(4.8.6)

\[ \gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(4.8.7)

Now free Dirac equation is equivalent to: \( \partial_t(\psi) = D\psi \) with

\[ D = \begin{pmatrix} mi & 0 & -\partial_z & -\partial_x + i\partial_y \\ 0 & mi & -\partial_x - i\partial_y & \partial_z \\ -\partial_z & -\partial_x + i\partial_y & -mi & 0 \\ -\partial_x - i\partial_y & \partial_z & 0 & -mi \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(4.8.8)

Observe

\[ D^2 = (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 - m^2)I_4, \]  

(4.8.9)

where \( I_4 \) is the \( 4 \times 4 \) identity matrix. Thus

\[ e^{tD} = \left( \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 - m^2)^s t^{2s}}{(2s)!} \right) I_4 + \left( \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 - m^2)^s t^{2s+1}}{(2s+1)!} \right) D. \]  

(4.8.10)

We take the settings (4.7.25)-(4.7.30). Set

\[ \langle \vec{k}^\dagger \rangle = \sqrt{|\vec{k}^\dagger|^2 - m^2}, \]  

(4.8.11)

\[ \hat{D}(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 & k_3^\dagger i & k_1^\dagger i + k_2^\dagger \\ 0 & m & k_1^\dagger i - k_2^\dagger & -k_3^\dagger i \\ k_3^\dagger i & k_1^\dagger i + k_2^\dagger & -m & 0 \\ k_1^\dagger i - k_2^\dagger & -k_3^\dagger i & 0 & -m \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(4.8.12)
is: obtained some exact solutions of Dirac equation for neutrinos in presence of external
invariance of Dirac equations. Fushchich, Shtelen and Spichak [FSS] found a connection

\[
e^t\mathcal{D} \left( \begin{array}{c}
a_1(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_2(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_3(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_4(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i}
\end{array} \right) = \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 - m^2)t^{2s}}{(2s)!} \right] I_4
\]

\[
+ \left( \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 - m^2)t^{2s+1}}{(2s+1)!} \right) \mathcal{D} \left( \begin{array}{c}
a_1(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_2(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_3(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_4(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i}
\end{array} \right)
\]

\[
= \left[ \cos \pi \langle \vec{k}^1 \rangle t I_4 - \frac{\sin \pi \langle \vec{k}^1 \rangle t}{\langle \vec{k}^1 \rangle} \mathcal{D}(\vec{k}) \right] \left( \begin{array}{c}
a_1(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_2(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_3(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_4(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i}
\end{array} \right)
\]

is a solution of the Dirac equation (4.8.1), where \( a_r(\vec{k}) \) with \( r \in \overline{1,4} \) are complex constants.

We write

\[
\psi_0(x, y, z) = \left( \begin{array}{c} f_1(x, y, z) \\
f_2(x, y, z) \\
f_3(x, y, z) \\
f_4(x, y, z)
\end{array} \right)
\]

and take

\[
a_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{8a_1a_2a_3} \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \int_{-a_3}^{a_3} f_r(x, y, z)e^{-\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \, dz \, dy \, dx
\]

for \( r \in \overline{1,3} \) and \( \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \). By the theory of Fourier expansion,

\[
f_r(x, y, z) = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} a_r(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \quad \text{for } r \in \overline{1,4}.
\]

According to superposition principle and the Kovalevskaya Theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of linear partial differential equations, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.8.1.** The solution of the initial value problem of the free Dirac equation is:

\[
\psi = \sum_{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left[ \cos \pi \langle \vec{k}^1 \rangle t I_4 - \frac{\sin \pi \langle \vec{k}^1 \rangle t}{\langle \vec{k}^1 \rangle} \mathcal{D}(\vec{k}) \right] \left( \begin{array}{c}
a_1(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_2(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_3(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i} \\
a_4(\vec{k})e^{\pi(\vec{k}^1 \cdot \vec{x})i}
\end{array} \right).
\]

The above result is taken from the author’s work [X10]. Ibragimov [In1] studied the invariance of Dirac equations. Fushchich, Shtelen and Spichak [FSS] found a connection between solutions of Dirac and Maxwell equations. Moreover, Hounkonnou and Mendy [HM] obtained some exact solutions of Dirac equation for neutrinos in presence of external
4.8. DIRAC EQUATION AND ACOUSTIC SYSTEM

Furthermore, Inoue [Ia] constructed the fundamental solution for the free Dirac equation by Hamiltonian path-integral method. In addition, Moayedi and Darabi derived the exact solutions of Dirac equation on 2D gravitational background.

The \( n \)-dimensional generalized acoustic system

\[
\lambda_t + \sum_{r=1}^{n} u_{rx_r} = 0, \quad u_{pt} + \lambda_x p = 0, \quad p \in \mathbb{1, n},
\]

(4.8.18)

comes from the linear approximation of the compressible Euler equations in fluid dynamics. Denote

\[
\vec{u}(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ u_1(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ u_n(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_1} \\ \partial_{x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{x_n} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4.8.19)

Set

\[
\mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla^T \\ \nabla & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix},
\]

(4.8.20)

where the upper index "\( T \)" denotes the transpose of matrix and \( 0_{n \times n} \) denotes the \( n \times n \) matrix whose all entries are 0. The system (4.8.18) can be rewritten as

\[
\vec{u}_t + \mathbb{A} \vec{u} = 0.
\]

(4.8.21)

We want to solve (4.8.21) for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x_r \in [-a_r, a_r] \) with \( r \in \mathbb{1, n} \) subject to

\[
\vec{u}(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ u_1(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ u_n(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4.8.22)

Recall the Laplace operator

\[
\Delta = \partial^2_{x_1} + \partial^2_{x_2} + \cdots + \partial^2_{x_n} = \nabla^T \nabla.
\]

(4.8.23)

We calculate

\[
\mathbb{A}^{2m+2} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{m+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta^m \nabla \nabla^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{A}^{2m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta^m \nabla \nabla^T \\ \Delta^m \nabla & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4.8.24)

Thus

\[
e^{-t\mathbb{A}} = I_{n+1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2m+2} \Delta^m}{(2m+2)!} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla \nabla^T \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2m+1} \Delta^m}{(2m+1)!} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla \nabla^T \\ \Delta^m \nabla & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(4.8.25)
where $I_{n+1}$ is the $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ identity matrix.

For convenience, we again denote
\[
k^\dagger_r = \frac{k_r}{a_r}, \quad \vec{k}^\dagger = (k_1^\dagger, \ldots, k_n^\dagger), \quad |\vec{k}^\dagger| = \sqrt{(k_1^\dagger)^2 + \cdots + (k_n^\dagger)^2}, \quad \vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x} = \sum_{r=1}^n k_r^\dagger x_r \quad (4.8.26)
\]
for $\vec{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Recall the equations in (4.7.25) and take the convention (4.7.30). Let $\mu_r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r \in 0, n$. Then
\[
e^{-tA} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \\ \mu_1 e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \end{pmatrix} = [I_{n+1} + \left( \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{t^{2m+2} \Delta^m}{(2m+2)!} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 \\ 0 & \nabla \nabla^T \end{pmatrix}]
\begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \\ \mu_1 e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= [\mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) - i\mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t)] \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \\ \mu_1 e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n e^{\pi(\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x})i} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8.27)
\]
is a complex solution of the equation (4.8.21), where
\[
\mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi |\vec{k}^\dagger| t & 0 \\ 0 & I_n + |\vec{k}^\dagger|^{-2} (\cos \pi |\vec{k}^\dagger| t - 1) (\vec{k}^\dagger)^T \vec{k}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8.28)
\]
and
\[
\mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) = |\vec{k}^\dagger|^{-1} \sin \pi |\vec{k}^\dagger| t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (\vec{k}^\dagger)^T \\ 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8.29)
\]

Considering the real and imaginary parts of (4.8.27), we get two real solutions of the equation (4.8.21):
\[
\vec{u} = \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix} b_0(\vec{k}) \cos \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_1(\vec{k}) \cos \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ b_n(\vec{k}) \cos \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix} b_0(\vec{k}) \sin \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_1(\vec{k}) \sin \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ b_n(\vec{k}) \sin \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8.30)
\]
and
\[
\vec{\bar{u}} = \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix} c_0(\vec{k}) \sin \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ c_1(\vec{k}) \sin \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ c_n(\vec{k}) \sin \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} - \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix} b_0(\vec{k}) \cos \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ b_1(\vec{k}) \cos \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ b_n(\vec{k}) \cos \pi (\vec{k}^\dagger \cdot \vec{x}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8.31)
\]
4.8. DIRAC EQUATION AND ACOUSTIC SYSTEM

We take
\[ b_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}+\delta_r^0} \prod_{r=1}^{n} a_r \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} f_r(\vec{x}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \cdots dx_n, \]
(4.8.32)
\[ c_r(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}+\delta_r^0} \prod_{r=1}^{n} a_r \int_{-a_1}^{a_1} \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \cdots \int_{-a_n}^{a_n} f_r(\vec{x}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \cdots dx_n \]
(4.8.33)
(cf. (4.8.22)). Then we have the Fourier expansions:
\[ f_r(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{0 \leq \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (b_r(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_r(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})). \]
(4.8.34)

Note \( \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, 0) = I_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \) and \( \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, 0) = 0_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \). According to superposition principle and the Kovalevskaya Theorem on the existence and uniqueness of the solution of linear partial differential equations, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.8.2.** The solution of the n-dimensional generalized acoustic system (4.8.18) subject to the initial condition (4.8.22) is
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda \\
u_1 \\
\vdots \\
u_n
\end{pmatrix} = \sum_{0 \leq \vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left[ \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix}
b_0(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_0(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
b_1(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_1(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\vdots \\
b_n(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) + c_n(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) \begin{pmatrix}
b_0(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_0(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
b_1(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_1(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
\vdots \\
b_n(\vec{k}) \sin \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}) - c_n(\vec{k}) \cos \pi(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})
\end{pmatrix} \right]
\]
(4.8.35)

with \( \mathbb{K}(\vec{k}, t) \) given in (4.8.28) and \( \mathbb{M}(\vec{k}, t) \) given (4.8.29).

The result in Theorem 4.8.2 was newly obtained. Cao [Cb1] determined all the polynomial solutions of the Navier equation in elasticity and their representation structure. Moreover, he solved the initial-value problem of the Navier equation and the related Lamé equation.

**Exercise 4.8**

Solve the Lamé Equations
\[ \ddot{u} + (\kappa \Delta + \nabla \cdot \nabla^T)(\vec{u}) \]
for \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x_r \in [a_r, -a_r] \) with \( r \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) subject to
\[ \vec{u}(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \vec{g}_0(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \quad \ddot{u}(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \vec{g}_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \]
where \( \kappa \) is a nonzero constant, \( a_r \) are positive real numbers and \( g_1, g_2 \) are continuous functions (cf. [Cb1]).
Chapter 5

Nonlinear Scalar Equations

This chapter deals with nonlinear scalar (one dependent variable) partial differential equations. First we do symmetry analysis on the KdV equation, and obtain the traveling-wave solutions of the KdV equation in terms of the functions $\wp(z)$, $\tan^2 z$, $\coth^2 z$ and $\cn^2(z|m)$, respectively. In particular, the soliton solution is obtained by taking $\lim_{m \to 1}$ of a special case of the last solution. Moreover, we derive the Hirota bilinear presentation of the KdV equation and use it to find the two-soliton solution.

The KP equation can be viewed as an extension of the KdV equation. Any solution of the KdV equation is obviously a solution of the KP equation. In this chapter, we have done the symmetry analysis on the KP equation and use the symmetry transformations to extend the solutions of the KdV equation that are independent of $y$ to a more sophisticated solution of the KP equation that depends on $y$. Moreover, we solve the KP equation for solutions that are polynomial in $x$, and obtain many solutions that can not be obtained from the solutions of the KdV equation. Furthermore, we find the Hirota bilinear presentation of the KP equation and obtain the “lump” solution. The above results are well-known (e.g., cf. [AC]) and we reformulate them here just for pedagogic purpose.

Lin, Reisner and Tsien [LRT] (1948) found the equation of transonic gas flows. We derive the symmetry transformations of the equation. Using the stable range of the nonlinear term and generalized power series method, we find a family of singular solutions with seven arbitrary parameter functions in $t$ and a family of analytic solutions with six arbitrary parameter functions in $t$. Khristianovich and Rizhov [KR] (1958) discovered the equations of short waves in connection with the nonlinear reflection of weak shock waves. Khokhlov and Zabolotskaya [KZ] (1969) found an equation for quasi-plane waves in nonlinear acoustics of bounded bundles. The solutions of the above equations similar to those of the LRT equation are derived. Kibel’ [Kt] (1954) introduced an equation for geopotential forecast on a middle level. The symmetry transformations and two new families of exact solutions with multiple parameter functions of the equation are derived.
5.1 Kortweg and de Vries Equation

Soliton phenomenon was first observed by J. Scott Russel in 1834 when he was riding on horseback beside the narrow Union Canal near Edinburgh, Scotland. He described his observations as follows:

“I was observing the motion of a boat which was rapidly drawn along a narrow channel by a pair of horse, when the boat suddenly stopped—not so the mass of water in the channel which it had put in motion; it accumulates round the prow of the vessel in a state of violent agitation, then suddenly leaving it behind, rolled forward with great velocity, assuming the form of a large solitary elevation, a rounded, smooth and well-defined heap of water, which continued its course along the channel apparently without change of form or diminution of speed. I followed it on horseback, and overtook it still rolling on at a rate of some eight or nine miles an hour, preserving its original figure some thirty feet long and a foot to a foot and a half in height. Its height gradually diminished, and after a chase of one or two miles I lost it in the windings of the channel. Such, in the month of August 1834, was my first chance interview with that rare and beautiful phenomenon which I called the Wave of Translation...”

The phenomenon had been theoretically studied by Russel, Airy (1845), Stokes (1847), Boussinesq (1871, 1872) and Rayleigh (1876). Boussinesq’s study lead him to discover the \((1+1)\)-dimensional Boussinesq equation. There had been an intensive discussion and controversy on whether the inviscid equations of water wave would posses such solitary wave solutions. The problem was finally solved by Kortweg and de Vries (1895). They derived a nonlinear evolution equation governing long one-dimensional, small amplitude, surface gravity waves propagating in a shallow channel of water:

\[
\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau} = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\frac{g}{h \sigma}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{2}{3} \alpha \eta + \frac{1}{3} \sigma \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial \xi^2} \right), \quad \sigma = \frac{1}{3} h^2 - \frac{T h}{\rho g}, \tag{5.1.1}
\]

where \(\eta\) is the surface elevation of the wave above the equilibrium level \(h\), \(\alpha\) is a small arbitrary constant related to the uniform motion of the liquid, \(g\) is the gravitational constant, \(T\) is the surface tension and \(\rho\) is the density (the term “long” and “small” are meant in comparison to the depth of the channel). By the nondimensional transformation

\[
t = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{g}{h \sigma}} \tau, \quad x = -\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\sigma}}, \quad u = \frac{1}{2} \eta + \frac{1}{3} \alpha, \tag{5.1.2}
\]

the equation (5.1.1) becomes

\[
u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0, \tag{5.1.3}
\]

the standard modern KdV equation.

A transformation is called a \textit{symmetry} of a partial differential equation if it maps the solution space of the equation to itself. Since the equation (5.1.3) does not contain
variable coefficients, the translation

\[ T_{a_1, a_2}(u(t, x)) = u(t + a_1, x + a_2) \]  
(5.1.4)

leave (5.1.3) invariant, that is, it changes (5.1.3) to

\[ u_t(t + a_1, x + a_2) + 6u_t(t + a_1, x + a_2)u_x(t + a_1, x + a_2) + u_{xxx}(t + a_1, x + a_2) = 0, \]  
(5.1.5)

where \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Thus it maps a solution of (5.1.3) to another solution of (5.1.3). Equivalently \( T_{a_1, a_2} \) is a symmetry of the KdV equation. Next we want to find dilation (scaling) symmetry. We do the following degree analysis. Suppose that

\[ \deg t = \ell_1, \quad \deg x = \ell_2, \quad \deg u = \ell_3. \]  
(5.1.6)

We want to make all the terms in (5.1.3) having the same degree in order to find invariant scaling transformation. Note

\[ \deg u_t = \ell_3 - \ell_1, \quad \deg uu_x = 2\ell_3 - \ell_2, \quad \deg u_{xxx} = \ell_3 - 3\ell_2. \]  
(5.1.7)

We impose

\[ \ell_3 - \ell_1 = 2\ell_3 - \ell_2 = \ell_3 - 3\ell_2. \]  
(5.1.8)

Thus

\[ \ell_1 = 3\ell_2, \quad \ell_3 = -2\ell_2. \]  
(5.1.9)

Hence the scaling

\[ S_b(u(t, x)) = b^2u(b^3t, bx) \]  
(5.1.10)

with \( 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{R} \) keeps (5.1.3) invariant, that is, it changes (5.1.3) to

\[ b^5[u_t(b^3t, bx) + 6u(b^3t, bx)u_x(b^3t, bx) + u_{xxx}(b^3t, bx)] = 0, \]  
(5.1.11)

where the subindices again denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables; equivalently,

\[ u_t(b^3t, bx) + 6u(b^3t, bx)u_x(b^3t, bx) + u_{xxx}(b^3t, bx) = 0. \]  
(5.1.12)

Thus \( S_b \) maps a solution of (5.1.3) to another solution of (5.1.3) because (5.1.12) implies (5.1.11). Observe that the transformation \( u(t, x) \mapsto u(t, x + ct) \) with \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) changes (5.1.3) to

\[ u_t(t, x + ct) + cu_x(t, x + ct) + 6u(t, x + ct)u_x(t, x + ct) + u_{xxx}(t, x + ct) = 0, \]  
(5.1.13)
where the subindices once again denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. On the other hand, the transformation \( u(t, x) \mapsto u(t, x) - c/6 \) changes (5.1.3) to

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) - c \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, x) + 6u(t, x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, x) + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3}(t, x) = 0. \tag{5.1.14}
\]

So (5.1.3) is invariant under the following Galilean boost

\[
G_c(u(t, x)) = u(t, x + ct) - \frac{c}{6} \tag{5.1.15}
\]

with the independent variable \( x \) replaced by \( x + ct \) and the same meaning of the subindices.

A solution of (5.1.3) is called a traveling-wave solution if it is of the form \( u = f(at + bx) \) with \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \). To find such an interesting solution, we can assume that \( u = \xi(x) \) is independent of \( t \); otherwise, we replace \( u \) by some \( G_c(u) \) so that the “\( t \)” disappears. Under this assumption, (5.1.3) becomes

\[
\xi'' + 6\xi' = 0 \sim \xi'' + 3\xi^2 = k. \tag{5.1.16}
\]

If we take \( \deg x = 1 \), we have to take \( \deg \xi = -2 \) in order to make the two nonzero terms in the first equation in (5.1.16) to have the same degree. This shows that we can try the real function with a pole of order 2 when it is viewed as a complex function. Note \((x^{-2})' = 6x^{-4}\). Assume \( \xi = ax^{-2} \) is a solution of (5.1.16). Then

\[
6ax^{-4} + 2a^2x^{-4} = k \implies a = -2. \tag{5.1.17}
\]

So \( u = -2x^{-2} \) is a solution of the KdV equation (5.1.3). Applying \( T_{0, a} \) in (5.1.4) and \( G_c \) in (5.1.15), we get a more general traveling-wave solution

\[
u = -2\frac{2}{(x + ct + a)^2} - \frac{c}{6}. \tag{5.1.18}
\]

Recall the Weierstrass’s elliptic function \( \wp(z) \) defined in (3.4.9). Moreover, \( \wp''(z) = 6\wp^2(z) - g_2/2 \) with the \( g_2 \) given in (3.4.29). In (3.4.9), we take \( \omega_1 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \text{Re} \omega_1, \text{Im} \omega_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \omega_2 = \overline{\omega_1} \). Then \( \wp(z) \) is real if \( z \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( g_2 \) is a real number. Thus \( \xi = -2\wp(x) \) is a solution of (5.1.16). Applying the transformation in (5.1.4) and (5.1.15), we get the following traveling-wave solution of the KdV equation (5.1.3):

\[
u = -2\wp(x + ct + a) - \frac{c}{6}, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \ b \neq 0. \tag{5.1.19}
\]

Note that for \( a \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
(f^2(x) + a)'' = (f^2(x))'' = 2[f(x)f''(x) + (f'(x))^2]. \tag{5.1.20}
\]
By (3.5.17),
\[
\tan x \tan'' x + (\tan' x)^2 = \tan x (2 \tan^3 x + 2 \tan x) + (\tan^2 x + 1)^2
= 3 \tan^4 x + 4 \tan^2 x + 1 = 3(\tan^2 x + 2/3)^2 - 1/3.
\] (5.1.21)

Thus \( \xi = -2(\tan^2 x + 2/3) \) is a solution of (5.1.16). Applying the transformations in (5.1.4), (5.1.10) and (5.1.15), we find another traveling-wave solution of the KdV equation (5.1.3):

\[
u = -2b^2 \tan^2(bx + cb^3 t + a) - \frac{b^2(8 + c)}{6}, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \ b \neq 0.
\] (5.1.22)

Taking \( c = -8 \), we get \( \nu = -b^2 \tan^2(bx - 8b^3 t + a) \). According to (3.5.19),
\[
\coth x \coth'' x + (\coth' x)^2 = \coth x (2 \coth^3 x - 2 \coth x) + (1 - \coth^2 x)^2
= 3(\coth^2 x - 2/3)^2 - 1/3.
\] (5.1.23)

Thus \( \xi = -2m^2 \left( \text{sn}^2(x|m) - \frac{2m^2 + 2}{3m^2} \right) \) is a solution of (5.1.16). Hence we have the following traveling-wave solution of the KdV equation (5.1.3):

\[
u = -2b^2 m^2 \text{cn}^2(bx + cb^3 t + a|m) + \frac{b^2(4 - 8m^2 - c)}{6}, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \ b \neq 0.
\] (5.1.27)

Taking \( c = 4 - 8m^2 \), we have \( \nu = 2b^2 m^2 \text{cn}^2(bx + (4 - 8m^2)b^3 t + a|m) \). Recall \( \lim_{m \to 1} \text{cn}(x|m) = \text{sech} x \). Therefore, we have the soliton solution

\[
u = 2b^2 \text{sech}^2(bx - 4b^3 t + a),
\] (5.1.28)
which describes the phenomenon observed by Russel in 1834.

There is another obvious solution \( u = x/6t \) of the KdV equation \((5.1.3)\). Applying \( T_{a_1,a_2} \) in \((5.1.4)\), we get the following traveling-wave solution of the KdV equation \((5.1.3):\)

\[
\frac{x}{6(t-a_1)}, \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1.29}
\]

Next we look for the solution of the KdV equation \((5.1.3)\) in the form

\[
u = \rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln v(t, x), \tag{5.1.30}
\]

where \( \rho \) is a nonzero constant to be determined when we try to simplify the resulted equation. Then \((5.1.3)\) becomes

\[
\rho \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t} \ln v + 3 \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln v)^2 + \rho \frac{\partial^5}{\partial x^5} \ln v = 0, \tag{5.1.31}
\]
equivalently,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln v + 3 \rho (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln v)^2 + \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} \ln v = \nu(t) \tag{5.1.32}
\]

for some function \( \nu \) in \( t \). Note

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln v = \frac{v v_{tx} - v_t v_x}{v^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln v = \frac{vv_{xx} - v_x^2}{v^2}, \tag{5.1.33}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \ln v = \frac{v^2 v_{xxx} - 3v v_x v_{xx} + 2v_x^3}{v^3}, \tag{5.1.34}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4} \ln v = \frac{v^3 v_{xxxx} - 4v^2 v_x v_{xxx} - 3v^2 v_x^2 + 12v v_x^2 v_{xx} - 6v_x^4}{v^4}. \tag{5.1.35}
\]

Since

\[
\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln v\right)^2 = \frac{v^2 v_{xx}^2 - 2v v_x v_{xxx} + v_x^4}{v^4}, \tag{5.1.36}
\]

we take \( \rho = 2 \), and \((5.1.32)\) becomes

\[
v v_{tx} - v_t v_x + v v_{xxxx} - 4v_x v_{xxx} + 3v_x^2 = \nu v^2. \tag{5.1.37}
\]

We assume

\[
v = 1 + k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2) t + (b_1 + b_2) x}, \quad a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1.38}
\]

Then

\[
v_t = a_1 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + a_2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (a_1 + a_2) k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2) t + (b_1 + b_2) x}, \tag{5.1.39}
\]

\[
v_{tx} = a_1 b_1 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + a_2 b_2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2) k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2) t + (b_1 + b_2) x}, \tag{5.1.40}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} (v) = b_1^m k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + b_2^m k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (b_1 + b_2)^m k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2) t + (b_1 + b_2) x}. \tag{5.1.41}
\]
Moreover,

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu u_{tx} - u_t u_x &= \nu t_x + (k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
\times (a_1 b_1 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + a_2 b_2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
- (a_1 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + a_2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (a_1 + a_2)k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
\times (b_1 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + b_2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (b_1 + b_2)k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
= a_1 b_1 (k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + k_2 k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) + (k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + k_1 k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
&\times a_2 b_2 + [(a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)k_3 + k_1 k_2(a_1 - a_2)(b_1 - b_2)] e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x},
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1.42)

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu u_{xxxx} - 4\nu u_{xxx} + 3\nu^2 = u_{xxxx} + (k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
\times (b_1^4 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + b_2^4 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (b_1 + b_2)^4 k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) - 4(b_1 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + b_2 k_2 \\
\times e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (b_1 + b_2)k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) (b_1^3 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + (b_1 + b_2)^3 k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x}) \\
+ b_1^2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + 3(b_1^2 k_1 e^{a_1 t + b_1 x} + b_2^2 k_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x} + (b_1 + b_2)^2 k_3 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x})^2) \\
= [(b_1 + b_2)^4 k_3 + k_1 k_2(b_1 - b_2)^4] e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x} + k_2 k_3 b_1^4 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x} \\
+ k_1 k_3 b_2^4 e^{(a_1 + a_2)t + (b_1 + b_2)x} + k_2 b_2 e^{a_2 t + b_2 x}.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1.43)

Substituting the above expressions into (5.1.37) and taking \(\nu \equiv 0\), we find that (5.1.37) is equivalent to

\[
a_1 = -b_1^3, \quad a_2 = -b_2^3
\]

(5.1.44)

and

\[
(a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2)k_3 + k_1 k_2(a_1 - a_2)(b_1 - b_2) + (b_1 + b_2)^4 k_3 + k_1 k_2(b_1 - b_2)^4 = 0,
\]

(5.1.45)

which is equivalent to

\[
3b_1 b_2(b_1 + b_2)^2 k_3 = 3b_1 b_2(b_1 - b_2)^2 k_1 k_2 \implies k_3 = \left(\frac{b_1 - b_2}{b_1 + b_2}\right)^2 k_1 k_2.
\]

(5.1.46)

Hence we have a two-solution

\[
u = 2\Delta^2 \ln \left(1 + k_1 e^{b_1 x - b_1^2 t} + k_2 e^{b_2 x - b_2^2 t} + \left(\frac{b_1 - b_2}{b_1 + b_2}\right)^2 k_1 k_2 e^{(b_1 + b_2)x - (b_1^2 + b_2^2)t}\right)
\]

(5.1.47)

for the KdV equation (5.1.3), where \(0 \neq b_1, b_2, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(b_1 + b_2 \neq 0\). The above two-solution solution was discovered by Hirota (1971) [Hr]. Hirota introduced a bilinear form (now called Hirota bilinear form) as follows. For two functions \(f(x_1, ..., x_n)\) and \(g(x_1, ..., x_n)\), we define the Hirota bilinear form

\[
D_{x_{r_1}}^{k_1} D_{x_{r_2}}^{k_2} (f \cdot g) = \sum_{s_1=0}^{k_1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{k_2} \left(\frac{k_1}{s_1}\right) \left(\frac{k_2}{s_2}\right) (-1)^{s_1+s_2} \partial_{x_{r_1}}^{k_1-s_1} \partial_{x_{r_2}}^{k_2-s_2} (f) \partial_{x_{r_1}}^{s_1} \partial_{x_{r_2}}^{s_2} (g)
\]

(5.1.48)
for \( r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{L}, n \) and \( k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \). The reason for the KdV equation to have the two-soliton solution (5.1.47) is because the equation (5.1.37) with \( \nu \equiv 0 \) can be written as

\[
D_tD_x(v \cdot v) + D_x^4(v \cdot v) = 0,
\]

which is called the Hirota bilinear form presentation of the KdV equation.

**Exercise 5.1**

Find exact solutions of the following one-dimensional Boussinesq equation

\[
u_{tt} + uu_{xx} + (u_x)^2 + u_{xxxx} = 0
\]

(Hint: prove that if \( u = f(x) \) is a solution, then so is \( f(x + ct) - c^2 \)).

### 5.2 Kadomtsev and Petviashvili Equation

The Kadomtsev and Petviashvili (KP) equation

\[
(u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} = 0
\]

with \( \epsilon = \pm 1 \) is used to describe the evolution of long water waves of small amplitude if they are weakly two-dimensional (cf. [KP]). The choice of \( \epsilon \) depends on the relevant magnitude of gravity and surface tension. The equation has also been proposed as a model for surface waves and internal waves in straits or channels of varying depth and width.

Let \( \alpha(t) \) be a differentiable function. Then the transformation \( u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x + \alpha, y) \) changes the KP equation to

\[
(u_t + \alpha'u_x + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} = 0,
\]

where the independent variable \( x \) is replaced by \( x + \alpha \) and the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation \( u \mapsto u - \alpha'/6 \) changes the KP equation to

\[
(u_t - \alpha'u_x + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} = 0.
\]

So the transformation

\[
T_{2,\alpha}(u(t, x, y)) = u(t, x + \alpha, y) - \frac{\alpha'}{6}
\]

keeps the KP equation invariant with the independent variable \( x \) is replaced by \( x + \alpha \); equivalently, \( T_{2,\alpha} \) maps a solution of the KP equation to another solution of the KP.
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Moreover, the transformation \( u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x, y + \alpha) \) changes the KP equation to

\[
(u_t + \alpha' u_y + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} = 0 \tag{5.2.5}
\]

with the independent variable \( y \) replaced by \( y + \alpha \), and the transformation \( u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x + \beta y, y) \) changes the KP equation to

\[
(u_t + \beta' yu_x + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} + 3\epsilon \beta^2 u_{xx} + 6\epsilon \beta u_{xy} = 0 \tag{5.2.6}
\]

with the independent variable \( x \) replaced by \( x + \beta y \); equivalently,

\[
(u_t + (\beta' y + 3\epsilon \beta^2) u_x + 6\epsilon \beta u_y + 6uu_x + u_{xxx})_x + 3\epsilon u_{yy} = 0. \tag{5.2.7}
\]

Thus the transformation

\[
T_{3,\alpha}(u(t, x, y)) = u \left( t, x - \frac{\alpha'y}{6\epsilon}, y + \alpha \right) + \frac{2\alpha'' y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} \tag{5.2.8}
\]

leaves the KP equation invariant with the independent variable \( y \) replaced by \( y + \alpha \) and the variable \( x \) replaced by \( x - \epsilon \alpha'y/6 \). Hence \( T_{3,\alpha} \) maps a solution of the KP equation to another solution of the KP equation.

From the degree analysis in (5.1.6)-(5.1.9), we can make the KP equation homogeneous if we take \( \deg y = 2\deg x = 2\ell_2 \). Hence the transformation

\[
T_{a,b}(u(t, x, y)) = b^2 u(b^3 t + a, bx, b^2 y) \tag{5.2.9}
\]

keeps the KP equation invariant for \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( b \neq 0 \). Therefore, the transformation

\[
T(u(t, x, y)) = b^2 u(b^3 t + a, b(x - \epsilon \alpha'y/6 + \beta), b^2(y + \alpha)) + \frac{2\alpha'' y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6} \tag{5.2.10}
\]

maps a solution of the KP equation to another solution for any functions \( \alpha, \beta \) in \( t \) and \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b \neq 0 \).

Note that any solution of the KdV equation is also a solution of the KP equation. Using the above symmetry transformations in (5.2.4) and (5.2.8), we can get more sophisticated solutions of the KP equation from the solutions of the KdV equation in last section: (1)

\[
u = -\frac{2}{(x - \epsilon \alpha'y/6 + \beta)^2} + \frac{2\alpha'y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6} \tag{5.2.11}
\]

from the solution \( u = -2/x^2 \) of the KdV equation; (2)

\[
u = -2\psi(x - \epsilon \alpha'y/6 + \beta) + \frac{2\alpha'y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6} \tag{5.2.12}
\]

from the solution \( u = -2\psi(x) \) of the KdV equation; (3)

\[
u = -2b^2 \tan^2 b(x - \epsilon \alpha'y/6 + \beta) + \frac{2\alpha'y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{8b^2 + \beta'}{6} \tag{5.2.13}
\]
from the solution \( u = -2b^2(\tan^2 bx + 2/3) \) of the KdV equation; (4)

\[
u = -2b^2 \coth^2(b(x - \varepsilon \alpha y/6 + \beta)) + \frac{2\alpha' y - \alpha^2}{72\varepsilon} + \frac{8b^2 - \beta'}{6}
\]

(5.2.14)

from the solution \( u = -2b^2(\coth^2 bx - 2/3) \) of the KdV equation; (5)

\[
u = 2m^2b^2 \cn^2(b(x - \varepsilon \alpha y/6 + \beta)|m) + \frac{2\alpha' y - \alpha^2}{72\varepsilon} + \frac{(4 - 8m^2)b^2 - \beta'}{6}
\]

(5.2.15)

from the solution \( u = 2b^2m^2 \cn^2(bx|m) + (2 - 4m^2)b^2/3 \) of the KdV equation, which becomes a line-soliton solution

\[
u = 2b^2 \sech^2(b(x - \varepsilon cy - (3\varepsilon c^2 + 4b^2)t + a))
\]

(5.2.16)

when we take \( \alpha = 6c, \beta = - (3\varepsilon c^2 + 4b^2)t + a \) and let \( m \to 1; \) (6)

\[
u = \frac{x - \varepsilon \alpha y/6 + \beta}{6(t + a)} + \frac{2\alpha' y - \alpha^2}{72\varepsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6}
\]

(5.2.17)

from the solution \( u = x/6(t + a) \) of the KdV equation; (7)

\[
u = 2\partial^2_x \ln[1 + k_1 e^{b_1(x-\varepsilon \alpha y/6 + \beta) - b_1 t} + k_2 e^{b_2(x-\varepsilon \alpha y/6 + \beta) - b_2 t} + \left(\frac{b_1 - b_2}{b_1 + b_2}\right)^2 k_1k_2 e^{(b_1 + b_2)(x-\varepsilon \alpha y/6 + \beta) - (b_1^2 + b_2^2)t}]
+ \frac{2\alpha' y - \alpha^2}{72\varepsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6}
\]

(5.2.18)

from the solution (5.1.47) of the KdV equation, which becomes a two-soliton solution

\[
u = 2\partial^2_x \ln[1 + k_1 e^{b_1(x-\varepsilon cy - 3\varepsilon c^2 t) - b_1 t} + k_2 e^{b_2(x-\varepsilon cy - 3\varepsilon c^2 t) - b_2 t} + \left(\frac{b_1 - b_2}{b_1 + b_2}\right)^2 k_1k_2 e^{(b_1 + b_2)(x-\varepsilon cy - 3\varepsilon c^2 t) - (b_1^2 + b_2^2)t}]
\]

(5.2.19)

when we take \( \alpha = 6c \) and \( \beta = -3\varepsilon c^2 t \).

Next we assume that

\[
u = h(t, y) + g(t, y)x + f(t, y)x^2
\]

(5.2.20)

is a solution of the KP equation, where \( h, g \) and \( f \) are functions in \( t \) and \( x \) to be determined. Then

\[
g_t + 3\epsilon h_{yy} + 6g^2 + 12fh + [2f_t + 3\epsilon g_{yy} + 36fg]x + 3(\epsilon f_{yy} + 12f^2)x^2 = 0
\]

(5.2.21)
equivalently,

\[
\epsilon f_{yy} + 12f^2 = 0,
\]

(5.2.22)

\[
2f_t + 3\epsilon g_{yy} + 36fg = 0,
\]

(5.2.23)

\[
g_t + 3\epsilon h_{yy} + 6g^2 + 12fh = 0.
\]

(5.2.24)
Recall the Weierstrass's elliptic function \( \wp(z) \) defined in (3.4.9). Moreover, \( \wp''(z) = 6\wp^2(z) - g_2/2 \) with the \( g_2 \) given in (3.4.29). In (3.4.9), we take \( \omega_1 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \Re \omega_1, \Im \omega_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \omega_2 = \overline{\omega_1} \) for which \( g_2 = 0 \). Then \( \wp(z) \) is real if \( z \in \mathbb{R} \). An obvious solution of the system (5.2.22)-(5.2.24) is \( f = -\epsilon \wp(y)/2 \) and \( g = h = 0 \). So \( u = -\epsilon x^2 \wp(y)/2 \) is a solution of the KP equation. Applying the transformations in (5.2.4) and (5.2.8), we get a more sophisticated solution

\[
  u = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}(x - \epsilon \alpha'/6 + \beta)^2 \wp(y + \alpha) + \frac{2\alpha'' - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6} \tag{5.2.25}
\]

for any differentiable functions \( \alpha, \beta \) in \( t \).

Note that \( f = -\epsilon/2(y - \alpha)^2 \) is a solution of (5.2.22) for any function \( \alpha \) in \( t \). Replacing \( u \) by \( T_{3,\alpha}(u) \) (cf. (5.2.8)), we can assume \( \alpha = 0 \), that is, \( f = -\epsilon/2y^2 \). Substituting it into (5.2.23), we get \( g_{yy} = 6g/y^2 \sim y^2g_{yy} = 6g \). Assume

\[
  g = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m(t)y^m, \tag{5.2.26}
\]

where \( a_m(t) \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Then

\[
  \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} m(m - 1)a_m y^m = 6 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m y^m \sim [m(m - 1) - 6]a_m = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.2.27}
\]

Moreover,

\[
  [m(m - 1) - 6]a_m = 0 \sim (m - 3)(m + 2)a_m = 0. \tag{5.2.28}
\]

So \( a_m = 0 \) if \( m \neq -2, 3 \). Hence

\[
  g = \frac{\beta}{y^2} + \gamma y^3, \tag{5.2.29}
\]

where \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \).

Recall \( u = fx^2 + gx + h \) and observe

\[
  fx^2 + gx = -\frac{\epsilon x^2 + 2\beta x}{2y^2} + \gamma y^3 x = \frac{-\epsilon(x - \epsilon \beta)^2 + \epsilon \beta^2}{2y^2} + \gamma y^3 x. \tag{5.2.30}
\]

Replacing \( u \) by \( T_{2,\epsilon \beta}(u) \) (cf. (5.2.4)), we can assume \( \beta = 0 \), that is, \( g = \gamma y^3 \). Next (5.2.24) becomes

\[
  \gamma' y^3 + 3\epsilon h_{yy} + 6\gamma^2 y^6 - \frac{6\epsilon}{y^2}h = 0, \tag{5.2.31}
\]

equivalently,

\[
  y^2 h_{yy} - 2h = -\frac{\epsilon \gamma'}{3} y^5 - 2\epsilon y^2 y^8. \tag{5.2.32}
\]

Suppose

\[
  h = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b_m(t)y^m, \tag{5.2.33}
\]
where \( b_m(t) \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Substituting it into (5.2.32), we have
\[
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} [m(m - 1) - 2] b_m y^m = -\frac{\epsilon' \gamma}{3} y^5 - 2\epsilon \gamma^2 y^8. \tag{5.2.34}
\]
Thus
\[
b_5 = -\frac{\epsilon' \gamma}{54}, \quad b_8 = -\frac{\epsilon \gamma^2}{27}, \quad [m(m - 1) - 2] b_m = (m - 2)(m + 1) b_m = 0, \quad m \neq 5, 8. \tag{5.2.35}
\]
Hence
\[
h = \frac{\vartheta}{y} + \nu y^2 - \frac{\epsilon' \gamma}{54} y^5 - \frac{\epsilon \gamma^2}{27} y^8, \tag{5.2.36}
\]
where \( \vartheta \) and \( \nu \) are two arbitrary functions in \( t \). Therefore, we obtain following solution of the KP equation (5.2.1):
\[
u = -\frac{\epsilon x^2}{2y^2} + \gamma x y^3 + \frac{\vartheta}{y} + \nu y^2 - \frac{\epsilon' \gamma}{54} y^5 - \frac{\epsilon \gamma^2}{27} y^8. \tag{5.2.37}
\]
Applying the transformations in (5.2.4) and (5.2.8), we have:

**Theorem 5.2.1.** For any functions \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \vartheta \) and \( \nu \) in \( t \), the following is a solution of the KP equation (5.2.1):
\[
u = -\frac{\epsilon(x - \alpha' y/6 \epsilon + \beta)}{2(y + \alpha)^2} + \gamma(x - \alpha' y/6 \epsilon + \beta)(y + \alpha)^3 + \frac{\vartheta}{y + \alpha}
+ \nu(y + \alpha)^2 - \frac{\epsilon' \gamma}{54}(y + \alpha)^5 - \frac{\epsilon \gamma^2}{27}(y + \alpha)^8 + \frac{2\alpha' y - \alpha'^2}{72 \epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6}. \tag{5.2.38}
\]

Let \( f = 0 \) in (5.2.22). Then (5.2.23) becomes \( g_{yy} = 0 \). So \( g = \alpha y + \beta \) for some functions \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in \( t \). Now (5.2.24) yields
\[
3\epsilon h_{yy} + 6\alpha^2 y^2 + (\alpha' + 12\alpha \beta)y + 6\beta^2 + \beta' = 0. \tag{5.2.39}
\]
Thus we get the following solution of the KP equation
\[
u = (\alpha y + \beta) x - \frac{\epsilon \alpha^2}{6} y^4 - \frac{\epsilon(\alpha' + 12\alpha \beta)}{18} y^3 - \frac{\epsilon(6\beta^2 + \beta')}{6} y^2 + \gamma y + \theta, \tag{5.2.40}
\]
where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( \theta \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Note that the solution (5.2.17) is a special case of the above solution.

Changing variable \( u = 2\partial_x^2 \ln v \), we find the following presentation of the KP equation in Hirota bilinear form
\[
D_t D_x (v \cdot v) + D_x^4 (v \cdot v) + 3\epsilon D_y^2 (v \cdot v) = 0. \tag{5.2.41}
\]
5.3. EQUATION OF TRANSONIC GAS FLOWS

(cf. (5.1.37) and (5.1.49)). Suppose that

\[ v = (x + a_0 t)^2 + by^2 + c \]  

is a solution (5.2.41), where all the coefficients are constants to be determined and \( b \neq 0 \).

By (5.2.41),

\[ 2(a_0 + 3\epsilon b)v - 4a_0(x + a_0 t)^2 + 12 - 12\epsilon b^2 y^2 = 0, \]  

equivalently,

\[ a_0 = 3\epsilon b, \quad c = -\frac{\epsilon}{b^2}. \]  

So

\[ u = 2\partial_x^2 \ln v = 2\partial_x^2 \ln((x + 3\epsilon bt)^2 + by^2 - \epsilon/b^2) \]  

is a solution of the KP equation. Applying the transformations in (5.2.4) and (5.2.8), we obtain the following solution of the KP equation:

\[ u = 2\partial_x^2 \ln((x - \epsilon\alpha'y/6 + \beta + 3\epsilon bt + a)^2 + b(y + \alpha)^2 - \epsilon/b^2) + \frac{2\alpha''y - \alpha'^2}{72\epsilon} - \frac{\beta'}{6}. \]  

Taking \( \alpha = 6\epsilon t \) and \( \beta = -3\epsilon c^2 t \), we get the following lump solution of the KP equation:

\[ u = 2\partial_x^2 \ln((x - cy + 3\epsilon(b - c^2)t + a)^2 + b(y + 6\epsilon ct)^2 - \epsilon/b^2), \]  

where \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( b \neq 0 \).

Jimbo and Miwa [JM] found the \( \tau \)-function solutions of the KP equation via the orbit of the vacuum vector for the fermionic representation of the general linear group \( GL(\infty) \) and the Boson-Fermion correspondence in quantum field theory. Kupershmidt [Kb] found geometric-Hamiltonian form for the KP equation. Cao [Cb2] found some algebraic approaches to the exact solutions of the Jimbo-Miwa equation, which is the second equation in the KP hierarchy.

5.3 Equation of Transonic Gas Flows

Lin, Reisner and Tsien [LRT] (1948) found the equation

\[ 2u_{tx} + u_xu_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0 \]  

for two-dimensional non-steady motion of a slender body in a compressible fluid, which was later called the “equation of transonic gas flows” (cf. [Me1]).

Mamontov [Me1] (1969) obtained the Lie point symmetries of the above equation and solved the problem of existence of analytic solutions in [Me2] (1972). Sevost’janov [Sg] (1977) found explicit solutions of the equation (5.3.1), describing nonstationary transonic
flows in plane nozzles. Sukhinin [Sv] (1978) studied the group property and conservation laws of the equation. In this section, we give the stable-range approach to the LRT equation (5.3.1). The results are taken from our work [X8].

First we give an intuitive derivation of the symmetry transformations of the LRT equation due to Mamontov [Me1]. Suppose

\[
\deg u = \ell_1, \quad \deg x = \ell_2. \tag{5.3.2}
\]

To make each nonzero term having the same degree, we have to take

\[
\deg t = 2\ell_2 - \ell_1, \quad \deg y = \frac{3}{2}\ell_2 - \frac{1}{2}\ell_1. \tag{5.3.3}
\]

Since the LRT equation (5.3.1) does not contain variable coefficients, it is translation invariant. Thus the transformation

\[
T^{(a)}_{b_1,b_2}(u(t,x,y)) = b_1^2 u(b_1^2 b_2^2 t + a, b_2^2 x, b_1 b_2 y) \tag{5.3.4}
\]

keep the LRT equation invariant for \(a, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}\) such that \(b_1, b_2 \neq 0\), with the independent variables \(t\) replaced by \(b_1^2 b_2^2 t + a\), \(x\) replaced by \(b_2^2 x\) and \(y\) replaced by \(b_1 b_2 y\), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. So \(T^{(a)}_{b_1,b_2}\) maps a solutions of the LRT equation to another solution.

Let \(\alpha\) be differentiable functions in \(t\). Then the transformation \(u \mapsto u + \alpha\) keeps (5.3.1) invariant. Moreover, the transformation \(u(t,x,y) \mapsto u(t, x + \alpha, y)\) changes the LRT equation to

\[
2u_{tx} + 2\alpha' u_{xx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0 \tag{5.3.5}
\]

with the independent variables \(x\) replaced by \(x + \alpha\) and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Furthermore, the transformation \(u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x, y) - 2\alpha' x\) changes the LRT equation to

\[
-4\alpha'' + 2u_{tx} - 2\alpha' u_{xx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0. \tag{5.3.6}
\]

In addition, the transformation \(u(t,x,y) \mapsto u(t, x, y) - 2\alpha'' y^2\) changes the LRT equation to

\[
2u_{tx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} + 4\alpha'' = 0. \tag{5.3.7}
\]

Thus the transformation

\[
T_{2,\alpha}(u(t,x,y)) = u(t, x + \alpha, y) - 2\alpha' x - 2\alpha'' y^2 \tag{5.3.8}
\]

keeps the LRT equation invariant with the independent variable \(x\) replaced by \(x + \alpha\) and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables; equivalently, \(T_{2,\alpha}\) maps a solutions of the LRT equation to another solution.
Therefore, the transformation maps a solution of the LRT equation to another solution. In particular, $u = T_2(0) = -2\alpha' x - 2\alpha'' y^2$ is a nontrivial solution of the LRT equation.

Given a differentiable function $\beta$ in $t$, the transformation $u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x, y + \beta)$ changes the LRT equation to

$$2u_{tx} + 2\beta' u_{xy} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0$$

(5.3.9)

with the independent variable $y$ replaced by $y + \beta$ and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation $u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x + \beta', y)$ changes the LRT equation to

$$2u_{tx} + 2\beta'' y u_{xx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} - 2\beta' u_{xy} - \beta^2 u_{xx} = 0$$

(5.3.10)

with the independent variable $x$ replaced by $x + \beta'y$. Furthermore, the transformation $u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x + \beta' y, y)$ changes the LRT equation to

$$4\beta' \beta'' - 4\beta''' y + 2u_{tx} - 2\beta'' y u_{xx} + \beta'^2 x u_{xx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} = 0.$$  

(5.3.11)

In addition, the transformation $u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x, y) + 2\beta' \beta'' y^2 - 2\beta''' y^3/3$ changes the LRT equation to

$$2u_{tx} + u_x u_{xx} - u_{yy} - 4\beta' \beta'' + 4\beta''' y = 0.$$  

(5.3.12)

Therefore, the transformation

$$T_{3,\beta}(u(t, x, y)) = u(t, x + \beta'y, y + \beta) + \beta'^2 x + 2\beta' \beta'' y^2 - 2\beta''' x y - \frac{2\beta'''}{3} y^3$$

(5.3.13)

leave the equation (5.3.1) invariant with the independent variables $x$ replaced by $x + \beta'y$ and $y$ replaced by $y + \beta$, where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. In other words, $T_{3,\beta}$ maps a solutions of the LRT equation to another solution. In particular, $u = T_{3,\beta}(0) = \beta^2 x + 2\beta' \beta'' y^2 - 2\beta''' x y - \frac{2\beta'''}{3} y^3$ is a solution of the LRT equation.

In summary, the transformation

$$T^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{b_1, b_2;\gamma}(u(t, x, y)) = b_1^2 u(b_1^2 b_2^2 t + a, b_2^2 (x + \beta' y + \alpha), b_1 b_2 (y + \beta)) + \gamma$$

$$+ (\beta'^2 - 2\alpha') x + 2(\beta' \beta'' - \alpha'' y) y^2 - 2\beta''' x y - \frac{2\beta'''}{3} y^3$$

(5.3.14)

maps a solutions of the LRT equation to another solution.

Note that the maximal finite-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ invariant under the transformation $u \mapsto u_x u_{xx}$ is $\sum_{r=0}^3 \mathbb{R} x^r$ (stable range). We look for a solution of the form:

$$u = f(t, y) + g(t, y)x + h(t, y)x^2 + \xi(t, y)x^3$$

(5.3.15)
where \( f(t, y), g(t, y), h(t, y) \) and \( \xi(t, y) \) are suitably-differentiable functions to be determined. Note
\[
\begin{align*}
  u_x &= g + 2hx + 3\xi x^2, \quad u_{xx} = 2h + 6\xi x, \\
  u_{tx} &= g_t + 2htx + 3\xi_t x^2, \quad u_{yy} = f_{yy} + g_{yy}x + h_{yy}x^2 + \xi_{yy}x^3,
\end{align*}
\]
(5.3.16) (5.3.17)

Now (5.3.1) becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
  2(g_t + 2htx + 3\xi_t x^2) + (g + 2hx + 3\xi x^2)(2h + 6\xi x) - f_{yy} - g_{yy}x - h_{yy}x^2 - \xi_{yy}x^3 = 0, \\
  (5.3.18)
\end{align*}
\]
which is equivalent to the following system of partial differential equations:
\[
\begin{align*}
  \xi_{yy} &= 18\xi^2, \\
  h_{yy} &= 6\xi_t + 18\xi h, \\
  g_{yy} &= 4h_t + 4h^2 + 6\xi g, \\
  f_{yy} &= 2g_t + 2gh.
\end{align*}
\]
(5.3.19) (5.3.20) (5.3.21) (5.3.22)

Recall the Weierstrass’s elliptic function \( \wp(z) \) defined in (3.4.9). Moreover, \( \wp''(z) = 6\wp^2(z) - g_2/2 \) with the \( g_2 \) given in (3.4.29). In (3.4.9), we take \( \omega_1 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \text{Re} \omega_1, \text{Im} \omega_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \omega_2 = \omega_1/3 \) for which \( g_2 = 0 \). Then \( \wp(z) \) is real if \( z \in \mathbb{R} \). An obvious solution of the equation (5.3.19)-(5.3.22) is \( \xi = \wp(y)/3 \) and \( f = g = h = 0 \). So \( u = x^3\wp(y)/3 \) is a solution of the LRT equation (5.3.1). Applying the transformation \( T_{1,1;\gamma} \) in (5.3.14), we get a more sophisticated solution
\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= \frac{1}{3}(x + \beta' y + \alpha)^3\wp(y + \beta) + (\beta'^2 - 2\alpha')x + 2(\beta'\beta'' - \alpha'')y^2 - 2\beta''xy - \frac{2\beta'''}{3}y^3 + \gamma
\end{align*}
\]
(5.3.23)
of the LRT equation (5.3.1).

Observe that
\[
\xi = \frac{1}{3y^2}
\]
(5.3.24)
is a solution of the equation (5.3.19). Substituting (5.3.24) into (5.3.20), we get
\[
h_{yy} = \frac{6}{y^2}h.
\]
(5.3.25)

Write
\[
h(t, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a_m(t)}{y^m}.
\]
(5.3.26)

Then (5.3.25) is equivalent to
\[
[m(m + 1) - 6]a_m = 0 \sim (m - 2)(m + 3)a_m = 0 \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
(5.3.27)

Thus
\[
h = \frac{\alpha}{y^2} + \gamma y^3,
\]
(5.3.28)
where \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are arbitrary differentiable functions in \( t \).

Note
\[
\xi x^3 + hx^2 = \frac{x^3 + 3\alpha x^2}{3y^2} + \gamma y^3 x^2 = \frac{(x + \alpha)^3 - 3\alpha^2 x - \alpha^3}{3y^2} + \gamma y^3 x^2. \tag{5.3.29}
\]
Replacing \( u \) by \( T_{2,-\alpha}(u) \) (cf. (5.3.8)), we can assume \( \alpha = 0 \), that is, \( h = \gamma y^3 \). Now
\[
h_t = \gamma' y^3, \quad h^2 = \gamma^2 y^6. \tag{5.3.30}
\]
Substituting the above equation into (5.3.21), we have:
\[
g_{yy} - \frac{2}{y^2} g = 4\gamma' y^3 + 4\gamma^2 y^6. \tag{5.3.31}
\]
Write
\[
g(t, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b_m(t) y^m. \tag{5.3.32}
\]
Then (5.3.31) is equivalent to
\[
b_5 = \frac{2\gamma'}{9}, \quad b_8 = \frac{2\gamma^2}{27}, \quad (m + 1)(m - 2)a_m = 0, \quad m \neq 5, 8. \tag{5.3.33}
\]
So
\[
g = \frac{\vartheta}{y} + 3\gamma y^2 + \frac{2\gamma'}{9} y^5 + \frac{2\gamma^2}{27} y^8, \tag{5.3.34}
\]
where \( \vartheta \) and \( \Im \) are arbitrary differentiable functions in \( t \).

Observe that
\[
g_t = \frac{\vartheta'}{y} + 3\gamma' y^2 + \frac{2\gamma''}{9} y^5 + \frac{4\gamma\gamma'}{27} y^8, \tag{5.3.35}
\]
\[
gh = \gamma\vartheta y^2 + \gamma\Im y^5 + \frac{2\gamma\gamma'}{9} y^8 + \frac{2\gamma^3}{27} y^{11}. \tag{5.3.36}
\]
Hence (5.3.22) becomes
\[
f_{yy} = 2 \left[ \frac{\vartheta'}{y} + (\Im + \gamma\vartheta)y^2 + \frac{9\gamma\Im + 2\gamma''}{9} y^5 + \frac{10\gamma\gamma'}{27} y^8 + \frac{\gamma^3}{1053} y^{11} \right]. \tag{5.3.37}
\]
Therefore,
\[
f = 2\vartheta' y (\ln y - 1) + \frac{\Im + \gamma\vartheta}{6} y^4 + \frac{9\gamma\Im + 2\gamma''}{189} y^7 + \frac{2\gamma\gamma'}{243} y^{10} + \frac{\gamma^3}{1053} y^{13} + \rho y, \tag{5.3.38}
\]
where \( \rho \) is any function in \( t \).

**Theorem 5.3.1.** Let \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \vartheta, \Im, \rho, \mu \) be arbitrary functions in \( t \), which are differentiable up to need. We have the following solution of the LRT equation (5.3.1):
\[
u = \varphi = \frac{x^3}{3y^2} + \gamma x^2 y^3 + \left( \frac{\vartheta}{y} + 3\gamma y^2 + \frac{2\gamma'}{9} y^5 + \frac{2\gamma^2}{27} y^8 \right)x + 2\vartheta' y (\ln y - 1)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\Im + \gamma\vartheta}{6} y^4 + \frac{9\gamma\Im + 2\gamma''}{189} y^7 + \frac{2\gamma\gamma'}{243} y^{10} + \frac{\gamma^3}{1053} y^{13} + \rho y. \tag{5.3.39}
\]

Moreover, \( u = T_{1,1;\mu}^{(0,\alpha,-\beta)}(\varphi) \) is solution of the LRT equation (5.3.1) blowing up on the moving line \( y = \beta(t) \).

We remark that the solution \( u = T_{1,1;\mu}^{(0,\alpha,-\beta)}(\varphi) \) may reflect the phenomenon of abrupt high-speed wind. If we take \( \varphi = x^3/3y^2 \), then

\[
u = \frac{(x + \beta'y + \alpha)^3}{3(y - \beta)^2} + (\beta^2 - 2\alpha')x + 2(\beta'\beta'' - \alpha'')y^2 - 2\beta''xy - \frac{2\beta'''}{3}y^3 + \mu. \tag{5.3.40}
\]

Take the trivial solution \( \xi = 0 \) of (5.3.19), which is the only solution polynomial in \( y \). Then (5.3.20) and (5.3.21) become

\[
h_{yy} = 0, \quad g_{yy} = 4h + 4h^2. \tag{5.3.41}
\]

Replacing \( u \) by \( T_{3,\alpha}(u) \) for some proper function \( \alpha \) in \( t \) if necessary (cf. (5.3.13)), we can take \( h = \beta y \), where \( \beta \) is an arbitrary function in \( t \). Hence

\[
g_{yy} = 4\beta'y + 4\beta^2y^2. \tag{5.3.42}
\]

So

\[
g = \gamma + \sigma y + \frac{2\beta'}{3}y^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{3}y^4, \tag{5.3.43}
\]

where \( \gamma \) and \( \sigma \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Now (5.3.22) yields

\[
f_{yy} = 2\gamma' + 2(\beta\gamma + \sigma')y + 2\beta\sigma y^2 + \frac{4\beta''}{3}y^3 + \frac{8\beta'\beta'}{3}y^4 + \frac{2}{3}\beta^3y^5. \tag{5.3.44}
\]

Replacing \( u \) by some \( T_{1,1;0}^{(0,0,0)}(u) \) if necessary (cf. (5.3.14)), we have

\[
f = \rho y + \gamma'y^2 + \frac{\beta\gamma + \sigma'}{3}y^3 + \frac{\beta\sigma}{6}y^4 + \frac{\beta'}{15}y^5 + \frac{4\beta\beta'}{45}y^6 + \frac{\beta^3}{63}y^7. \tag{5.3.45}
\]

**Theorem 5.3.2.** The following is a solution of the equation (5.3.1):

\[
u = \psi = \beta x^2y + \left( \gamma + \sigma y + \frac{2\beta'}{3}y^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{3}y^4 \right) x + \rho y + \gamma'y^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{\beta\gamma + \sigma'}{3}y^3 + \frac{\beta\sigma}{6}y^4 + \frac{\beta'}{15}y^5 + \frac{4\beta\beta'}{45}y^6 + \frac{\beta^3}{63}y^7, \tag{5.3.46}
\]

where \( \beta, \gamma, \sigma \) and \( \rho \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Moreover, any solution polynomial in \( x \) and \( y \) of (5.3.1) must be of the form \( u = T_{1,1;\vartheta}^{(0,0,0)}(\psi) \), where \( \alpha \) and \( \vartheta \) are another two arbitrary functions in \( t \).

**Proof.** We only need to prove the last statement. Suppose that \( u \) is a solution of (5.3.1) polynomial in \( x \) and \( y \). By comparing the term with highest degree of \( x \), \( u \) must be of the form (5.3.15) and (5.3.19)-(5.3.22) hold. Since \( \xi \) is polynomial in \( y \), (5.3.19) forces \( \xi = 0 \). Then the conclusion follows from the arguments (5.3.41)-(5.3.45). \( \square \)
5.4 Short Wave Equation

Khristianovich and Rizhov [KR] (1958) discovered the equations of short waves

\[ u_y - 2v_t - 2(v - x)v_x - 2kv = 0, \quad v_y + u_x = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4.1)

in connection with the nonlinear reflection of weak shock waves, where \( k \) is a real constant.

Bagdoev and Petrosyan [BP] (1985) showed that the modulation equation of a gas-fluid mixture coincides in main orders with the corresponding short-wave equations. Kraenkel, Manna and Merle [KMM] (2000) studied nonlinear short-wave propagation in ferrites and Ermakov [Es] (2006) investigated short-wave interaction in film slicks. By the second equation in (5.4.1), there exist a potential function \( w(t,x,y) \) such that \( u = w_y \) and \( v = -w_x \). Then the first equation becomes:

\[ 2w_{tx} - 2(x + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} + 2kw_x = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4.2)

To solve the short wave equations (5.4.1) is equivalent to solve the equation (5.4.2). The reader may find the other interesting results in literatures such as [RRD, Kp]. In this section, we want to solve the short wave equation by the stable-range approach. The results come from our work [X13]

The symmetry group and conservation laws of (5.4.2) were first studied by Kucharczyk [Kp] (1965) and later by Khamitova [Kr] (1982). Let \( \alpha \) be a differentiable function in \( t \). Note that the transformation \( w(t,x,y) \mapsto w(t,x+\alpha,y) \) changes the equation (5.4.2) to

\[ 2\alpha'w_{xx} + 2w_{tx} - 2(x + \alpha + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} + 2kw_x = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4.3)

with the independent variables \( x \) replaced by \( x + \alpha \) and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation \( w(t,x,y) \mapsto w(t,x,y) + (\alpha' - \alpha)x \) changes the equation (5.4.2) to

\[ 2(\alpha'' - \alpha') + 2w_{tx} - 2\alpha'w_{xx} - 2(x - \alpha + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} + 2kw_x + 2k(\alpha' - \alpha) = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4.4)

Furthermore, the transformation \( w(t,x,y) \mapsto w(t,x,y) + (k\alpha + (1-k)\alpha' - \alpha'')y^2 \) changes the equation (5.4.2) to

\[ 2w_{tx} - 2(x + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} + 2(k\alpha + (1-k)\alpha' - \alpha'') + 2kw_x = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4.5)

Thus the transformation

\[ T_{2,\alpha}(w(t,x,y)) = w(t,x+\alpha,y) + (\alpha' - \alpha)x + (k\alpha + (1-k)\alpha' - \alpha'')y^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.4.6)

keeps the equation (5.4.2) invariant with the independent variable \( x \) replaced by \( x+\alpha \), that is, the transformation \( T_{2,\alpha} \) maps a solution of (5.4.2) to another solution. In particular, \( T_{2,\alpha}(0) = (\alpha' - \alpha)x + (k\alpha + (1-k)\alpha' - \alpha'')y^2 \) is a solution of the equation (5.4.2).
Given a differentiable function $\beta$ in $t$, the transformation $w(t, x, y) \mapsto w(t, x, y + \beta)$ changes the equation (5.4.2) to

$$2\beta' w_{xy} + 2w_{tx} - 2(x + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} + 2kw_x = 0$$

(5.4.7)

with the independent variable $y$ replaced by $y + \beta$ and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation $w(t, x, y) \mapsto w(t, x - \beta'y, y)$ changes the equation (5.4.2) to

$$-2\beta'' y w_{xx} + 2w_{tx} - 2(x - \beta'y + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} - 2\beta' w_{xy} + \beta'^2 w_{xx} + 2kw_x = 0$$

(5.4.8)

with the independent variable $x$ replaced by $x - \beta'y$. Furthermore, the transformation $w(t, x, y) \mapsto w(t, x, y) + \beta'^2 x/2 + (\beta' - \beta'')xy$ changes the equation (5.4.2) to

$$2\beta'\beta'' + 2(\beta' - \beta'')y + 2w_{tx} - 2(x + \beta'^2/2 + (\beta' - \beta'')y + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} + 2kw_x + k\beta'^2 + 2k(\beta' - \beta'')y = 0.$$  

(5.4.9)

In addition, the transformation

$$w(t, x, y) \mapsto w(t, x, y) - (\beta'\beta'' + k\beta'^2/2)y^2 + (\beta'' + (k-1)\beta' - k\beta')y^3/3$$

(5.4.10)

changes the equation (5.4.2) to

$$2w_{tx} - 2(x + w_x)w_{xx} + w_{yy} - (2\beta'\beta'' + k\beta'^2) + 2(\beta'' + (k-1)\beta' - k\beta')y + 2kw_x = 0.$$  

(5.4.11)

Therefore, the transformation

$$T_{3,\beta}(w(t, x, y)) = w(t, x - \beta'y, y + \beta) + \beta'^2 x/2 + (\beta' - \beta'')xy - (\beta'\beta'' + k\beta'^2/2)y^2 + (\beta'' + (k-1)\beta' - k\beta')y^3/3$$

(5.4.12)

leaves the equation (5.4.2) invariant with the independent variables $x$ replaced by $x - \beta'y$ and $y$ replaced by $y + \beta$, where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. In other words, $T_{3,\beta}$ maps a solutions of the equation (5.4.2) to another solution. In particular,

$$u = T_{3,\beta}(0) = \beta'^2 x/2 + (\beta' - \beta'')xy - (\beta'\beta'' + k\beta'^2/2)y^2 + (\beta'' + (k-1)\beta' - k\beta')y^3/3.$$  

(5.4.13)

is a solution of the equation (5.4.2).

To make each term in (5.4.2) having the same degree, we take

$$\deg w = 2, \deg x = 4, \deg y, \deg t = 0.$$  

(5.4.14)

Thus the transformation

$$T_{a,b}(w(t, x, y)) = b^{-4}w(t + a, b^2 x, by)$$

(5.4.15)
keeps the equation (5.4.2) invariant with the independent variables \( t \) replaced by \( t + a \), where \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( b \neq 0 \). In summary, the transformation

\[
T_{a,b,\gamma}(w(t, x, y)) = b^{-4}w(t + a, b^2(x - \beta'y + \alpha), b(y + \beta)) + \gamma + (\beta' - \beta'')xy + [k\alpha + (1 - k)\alpha' - \alpha'' - \beta'\beta'' - k\beta'^2/2]y^2 + (\beta'' + (k - 1)\beta'' - k\beta')y^3/3 + (\beta'^2/2 + \alpha' - \alpha)x
\]

(5.4.16)
maps a solutions of the equation (5.4.2) to another solution, where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are functions in \( t \) and \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b \neq 0 \).

In this section, we study solutions polynomial in \( x \) for the short wave equation (5.4.2). By comparing the terms of highest degree in \( x \), we find that such a solution must be of the form:

\[
w = f(t, y) + g(t, y)x + h(t, y)x^2 + \xi(t, y)x^3,
\]

(5.4.17)
where \( f(t, y) \), \( g(t, y) \), \( h(t, y) \) and \( \xi(t, y) \) are suitably-differentiable functions to be determined. Note

\[
w_x = g + 2hx + 3\xi x^2, \quad w_{xx} = 2h + 6\xi x, \quad w_{tx} = g_t + 2h_t x + 3\xi_t x^2,
\]

(5.4.18)
\[
w_{yy} = f_{yy} + g_{yy}x + h_{yy}x^2 + \xi_{yy}x^3,
\]

(5.4.19)
Now (5.4.2) becomes

\[
2(g_t + 2h_t x + 3\xi_t x^2) - 2(g + (2h + 1)x + 3\xi x^2)(2h + 6\xi x) + f_{yy} + g_{yy}x + h_{yy}x^2 + \xi_{yy}x^3 + 2k(g + 2hx + 3\xi x^2) = 0,
\]

(5.4.20)
which is equivalent to the following systems of partial differential equations:

\[
\xi_{yy} = 36\xi^2, \quad (5.4.21)
\]
\[
h_{yy} = 6\xi(6h + 2 - k) - 6\xi_t, \quad (5.4.22)
\]
\[
g_{yy} = 8h^2 + 4(1 - k)h + 12\xi g - 4h_t, \quad (5.4.23)
\]
\[
f_{yy} = 4gh - 2g_t - 2kg. \quad (5.4.24)
\]

First we observe that

\[
\xi = \frac{1}{6y^2}
\]

(5.4.25)
is a solution of the equation (5.4.21). Substituting (5.4.25) into (5.4.22), we get

\[
h_{yy} = \frac{6h + 2 - k}{y^2}. \quad (5.4.26)
\]

Write

\[
h(t, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a_m(t)}{y^m}, \quad (5.4.27)
\]
where \( a_m(t) \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Then (5.4.26) is equivalent to

\[
a_0 = \frac{k - 2}{6}, \quad [m(m + 1) - 6]a_m = (m + 3)(m - 2)a_m = 0, \quad m \neq 0.
\]

Thus

\[
h = \frac{\alpha}{y^2} + \frac{k - 2}{6} + \gamma y^3,
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are arbitrary differentiable functions in \( t \). Observe

\[
\xi x^3 + hx^2 = \frac{x^3 + 6\alpha y^2}{6y^2} + \frac{k - 2}{6} x^2 + \gamma x^2 y^3.
\]

Replacing \( w \) by \( T_{2-2\alpha}(w) \), we can take \( \alpha = 0 \), that is,

\[
h = \frac{k - 2}{6} + \gamma y^3.
\]

Calculate

\[
h^2 = \frac{(k - 2)^2}{36} + \frac{(k - 2)\gamma}{3} y^3 + \gamma^2 y^6.
\]

Note (5.4.23) becomes

\[
g_{yy} - \frac{2g}{y^2} = \frac{2(k - 2)(1 - 2k)}{9} - \frac{4[(k + 1)\gamma + 3\gamma']}{3} y^3 + 8\gamma^2 y^6.
\]

Write

\[
g(t, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b_m(t)y^m,
\]

where \( b_m(t) \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Now (5.4.33) is equivalent to

\[
(k - 2)(1 - 2k) = 0, \quad b_5 = -\frac{2(k + 1)\gamma}{27}, \quad b_8 = \frac{4\gamma^2}{27},
\]

\[
m(m + 3)b_{m+2} = 0, \quad m \neq 0, 3, 6.
\]

Thus \( k = 1/2, 2 \) and

\[
g = \frac{\vartheta}{y} + \sigma y^2 - \frac{2(k + 1)\gamma + 6\gamma'}{27} y^5 + \frac{4\gamma^2}{27} y^8,
\]

where \( \vartheta \) and \( \sigma \) are arbitrary differentiable functions in \( t \).

Note

\[
g_t = \frac{\vartheta'}{y} + \sigma' y^2 - \frac{2(k + 1)\gamma' + 6\gamma''}{27} y^5 + \frac{8\gamma\gamma'}{27} y^8,
\]

\[
gh = \frac{(k - 2)\vartheta}{6y} + \frac{(k - 2)\sigma + 6\gamma\vartheta}{6} y^2 + \frac{81\gamma\sigma - [(k + 1)\gamma + 3\gamma'](k - 2)}{81} y^5
\]

\[
- \frac{2\gamma[(2k + 5)\gamma + 9\gamma']}{81} y^8 + \frac{4\gamma^3}{27} y^{11}.
\]
Thus (5.4.24) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{f_{yy}}{3y} &= -\frac{4(k+1)\vartheta + 6\vartheta'}{3y} - \frac{4(k+1)\sigma + 6\sigma' - 12\gamma \vartheta y^2}{3} - \frac{40\gamma[(k+1)\gamma + 3\gamma']}{81}y^8 \\
&\quad + \frac{8(k+1)^2\gamma + 12(3k+2)\gamma' - 36\gamma'' + 244\gamma\sigma}{81}y^5 + \frac{16\gamma^3}{27}y^{11}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.4.40)

So

\[
\begin{align*}
f &= \frac{4(k+1)\vartheta + 6\vartheta'}{3y}(1 - \ln y) - \frac{2(k+1)\sigma + 3\sigma' - 6\gamma \vartheta y^4}{18}y^7 - \frac{4\gamma[(k+1)\gamma + 3\gamma']}{729}y^{10} \\
&\quad + \frac{4(k+1)^2\gamma + 6(3k+2)\gamma' - 18\gamma'' + 122\gamma\sigma}{1701}y^7 + \frac{4\gamma^3}{1053}y^{13} + \varsigma y,
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.4.41)

where \(\varsigma\) is an arbitrary functions in \(t\).

**Theorem 5.4.1.** Suppose \(k = 1/2, \ 2\). We have the following solution of the equation (5.4.2):

\[
\begin{align*}
w &= \psi = \frac{x^3}{6y^2} + \left(\frac{k - 2}{6} + \gamma y^3\right)x^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{y} + \sigma y^2 - \frac{2(k+1)\gamma + 6\gamma'}{27}y^5 + \frac{4\gamma^2}{27}y^8\right)x + \varsigma y \\
&\quad + \frac{4(k+1)\vartheta + 6\vartheta'}{3y}(1 - \ln y) - \frac{2(k+1)\sigma + 3\sigma' - 6\gamma \vartheta y^4}{18}y^7 - \frac{4\gamma[(k+1)\gamma + 3\gamma']}{729}y^{10} \\
&\quad + \frac{4(k+1)^2\gamma + 6(3k+2)\gamma' - 18\gamma'' + 122\gamma\sigma}{1701}y^7 + \frac{4\gamma^3}{1053}y^{13} + \varsigma y,
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.4.42)

where \(\gamma, \vartheta, \sigma\) and \(\varsigma\) are arbitrary functions in \(t\), whose derivatives appeared in the above exist in a certain open set of \(\mathbb{R}\). Moreover, \(w = T_{0,1,\varsigma}^{(\alpha, -\beta)}(\psi)\) is solution of the equation (5.4.2) blowing up on the moving line \(y = \beta(t)\).

The simplest case is

\[
\psi = \frac{x^3}{6y^2} + \frac{k - 2}{6}x^2.
\]  

(5.4.43)

So the simplest solution of the equation (5.4.2) blowing up on the moving line \(y = \beta(t)\) is

\[
\begin{align*}
w &= \frac{(x + \beta'y)^3}{6(y - \beta)^2} + \frac{k - 2}{6}(x + \beta'y)^2 + \frac{\beta'^2x}{2} + (\beta'' - \beta')xy \\
&\quad - \left(\beta'^2 + \frac{k\beta'^2}{2}\right)y^2 - \frac{\beta'' + (k - 1)\beta'' - k\beta'}{3}y^3.
\end{align*}
\]  

(5.4.44)

Take the trivial solution \(\xi = 0\) of (5.4.21), which is the only solution polynomial in \(y\). Then (5.4.22) and (5.4.23) become

\[
h_{yy} = 0, \quad g_{yy} = 8h^2 + 4(1-k)h - 4h_t.
\]  

(5.4.45)

Replacing \(u\) by some \(T_{3,\beta}(u)\) if necessary (cf.(5.4.13)), we have \(h = \gamma y\) for some function \(\gamma\) in \(t\). Hence

\[
g_{yy} = 8\gamma^2y^2 + 4(1-k)\gamma y - 4\gamma'y.
\]  

(5.4.46)


So

\[ g = \frac{2\gamma^2}{3}y^4 + \frac{2[(1-k)\gamma - \gamma']}{3}y^3 + \vartheta y + \rho \]  

(5.4.47)

for some functions \( \vartheta \) and \( \rho \) in \( t \).

Observe

\[ gh = \frac{2\gamma^3}{3}y^5 + \frac{2\gamma[(1-k)\gamma - \gamma']}{3}y^4 + \gamma \vartheta y^2 + \gamma \rho y \]  

(5.4.48)

and

\[ g_t = \frac{4\gamma}{3}y^4 + \frac{2[(1-k)\gamma' - \gamma'']}{3}y^3 + \vartheta'y + \rho'. \]  

(5.4.49)

Now (5.4.24) yields

\[ f_{yy} = \frac{8\gamma^3}{3}y^5 + \frac{4\gamma[(2-3k)\gamma - 4\gamma']}{3}y^4 - \frac{4[k(1-k)\gamma - (2k-1)\gamma' - \gamma'']}{3}y^3 \\
+ 4\gamma \vartheta y^2 + (4\gamma \rho - 2k \vartheta - 2\vartheta')y - 2k \rho - 2 \rho'. \]  

(5.4.50)

Replacing \( u \) by some \( T_{0,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u) \) if necessary (cf. (5.4.16)), we have

\[ f = \frac{4\gamma^3}{63}y^7 + \frac{4\gamma[(2-3k)\gamma - 4\gamma']}{45}y^6 - \frac{k(1-k)\gamma - (2k-1)\gamma' - \gamma''}{15}y^5 \\
+ \frac{\gamma \vartheta}{3}y^4 + \frac{2\gamma \rho - k \vartheta - \vartheta'}{3}y^3 - (k \rho + \rho')y^2 + \varsigma y \]  

(5.4.51)

for some function \( \varsigma \) of \( t \).

**Theorem 5.4.2.** The following is a solution of the equation (5.4.2):

\[ w = \varphi = \gamma x^2 y + \left( \frac{2\gamma^2}{3}y^4 + \frac{2[(1-k)\gamma - \gamma']}{3}y^3 + \vartheta y + \rho \right)x \\
+ \frac{4\gamma^3}{63}y^7 + \frac{4\gamma[(2-3k)\gamma - 4\gamma']}{45}y^6 - \frac{k(1-k)\gamma - (2k-1)\gamma' - \gamma''}{15}y^5 \\
+ \frac{\gamma \vartheta}{3}y^4 + \frac{2\gamma \rho - k \vartheta - \vartheta'}{3}y^3 - (k \rho + \rho')y^2 + \varsigma y \]  

(5.4.52)

where \( \gamma, \vartheta, \rho \) and \( \varsigma \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \), whose derivatives exist as they appear. Moreover, any solution polynomial in \( x \) and \( y \) of (5.4.2) must be of the above form \( w = T_{0,1,\alpha}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\varphi) \), where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are another arbitrary functions in \( t \).

### 5.5 Khokhlov and Zabolotskaya Equation

Khokhlov and Zabolotskaya [KZ] (1969) found the equation

\[ 2u_{tx} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0. \]  

(5.5.1)

for quasi-plane waves in nonlinear acoustics of bounded bundles. More specifically, the equation describes the propagation of a diffraction sound beam in a nonlinear medium.
Kupershmidt [Kb] (1994) constructed a geometric Hamiltonian form for the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation (5.5.1). Certain group-invariant solutions of (5.1.1) were found by Korsunskii [Ks] (1991), and by Lin and Zhang [LZ] (1995). Sanchez [Sd] (2005) studied long waves in ferromagnetic media via Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation. There are the other interesting results on the equation (e.g., cf. [Gj, KS, KiPg, Mo, RN, Ra1, Ra2, Sf, Va]). In this section, we present the stable-range approach to the equation (5.5.1) due to our work [X13].

Suppose
\[ \deg u = \ell_1, \quad \deg x = \ell_2. \] (5.5.2)

To make each nonzero term in (5.5.1) having the same degree, we have to take
\[ \deg t = \ell_2 - \ell_1, \quad \deg y = \ell_2 - \frac{1}{2} \ell_1. \] (5.5.3)

Since the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation (5.5.1) does not contain variable coefficients, it is translation invariant. Thus the transformation
\[ T_{b_1, b_2}^{(a)}(u(t, x, y)) = b_1^2 u(b_1^2 b_2 t + a, b_2 x, b_1 b_2 y) \] (5.5.4)

keeps the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation invariant for \( a, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( b_1, b_2 \neq 0 \), with the independent variables \( t \) replaced by \( b_1^2 b_2 t + a \), \( x \) replaced by \( b_2 x \) and \( y \) replaced by \( b_1 b_2 y \), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. So \( T_{b_1, b_2}^{(a)} \) maps a solution of the equation to another solution.

Let \( \alpha \) be differentiable functions in \( t \). Then the transformation \( u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x + \alpha, y) \) changes the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to
\[ 2\alpha' u_{xx} + 2u_{tx} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0. \] (5.5.5)

with the independent variables \( x \) replaced by \( x + \alpha \) and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Furthermore, the transformation \( u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x, y) - 2\alpha' \) changes the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to
\[ 2u_{tx} - 2\alpha' u_{xx} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0. \] (5.5.6)

Thus the transformation
\[ T_{2, \alpha}(u(t, x, y)) = u(t, x + \alpha, y) - 2\alpha' \] (5.5.7)

keep the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation invariant with the independent variables \( x \) replaced by \( x + \alpha \) and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables; equivalently, \( T_{2, \alpha} \) maps a solutions of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to another solution.
Given a differentiable function $\beta$ in $t$, the transformation $u(t,x,y) \mapsto u(t,x,y + \beta)$ changes the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to

$$2u_{tx} + 2\beta'u_{xy} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0 \quad (5.5.8)$$

with the independent variable $y$ replaced by $y + \beta$ and the subindices denoting the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation $u(t,x,y) \mapsto u(t,x + \beta'y,y)$ changes the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to

$$2u_{tx} + 2\beta''y u_{xx} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} - 2\beta'u_{xy} - \beta'^2 u_{xx} = 0 \quad (5.5.9)$$

with the independent variable $x$ replaced by $x + \beta'y$. Furthermore, the transformation $u(t,x,y) \mapsto u(t,x,y) - 2\beta''y + \beta'2$ changes the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to

$$2u_{tx} - 2\beta''yu_{xx} + \beta'^2 u_{xx} + (uu_x)_x - u_{yy} = 0. \quad (5.5.10)$$

Therefore, the transformation

$$T_{3,\beta}(u(t,x,y)) = u(t,x + \beta'y,y + \beta) + \beta'^2 - 2\beta''y \quad (5.5.11)$$

leaves the equation (5.5.1) invariant with the independent variables $x$ replaced by $x + \beta'y$ and $y$ replaced by $y + \beta$, where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. In other words, $T_{3,\beta}$ maps a solutions of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to another solution.

In summary, the transformation

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(u(t,x,y)) = b_1^2u(b_1^2b_2t + a,b_2(x + \beta'y + \alpha),b_1b_2(y + \beta)) - 2\alpha' + \beta'^2 - 2\beta''y \quad (5.5.12)$$

maps a solutions of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation to another solution.

Comparing the terms with highest degree of $x$, we find that the solution of the equation (5.5.1) polynomial in $x$ must be of the form

$$u = f(t,y) + g(t,y)x + \xi(t,y)x^2. \quad (5.5.13)$$

Then

$$u_x = g + 2\xi x, \quad u_{tx} = g_t + 2\xi_t x, \quad u_{yy} = f_{yy} + g_{yy}x + \xi_{yy}x^2, \quad (uu_x)_x = \partial_x(fg + (g^2 + 2f\xi)x + 3g\xi x^2 + 2\xi^2 x^3) = g^2 + 2f\xi + 6g\xi x + 6\xi^2 x^2. \quad (5.5.14)$$

Substituting them into (5.5.1), we get

$$2(g_t + 2\xi_t x) + g^2 + 2f\xi + 6g\xi x + 6\xi^2 x^2 - f_{yy} - g_{yy}x - \xi_{yy}x^2 = 0, \quad (5.5.15)$$

equivalently,

$$\xi_{yy} = 6\xi^2, \quad (5.5.16)$$
5.5. KHOKHLOV AND ZABOLOTSKAYA EQUATION

\[ g_{yy} - 6g_\xi = 4_\xi t, \]  
\[ f_{yy} - 2f_\xi = 2g_t + g^2. \]  

(5.5.18)  
(5.5.19)

Recall the Weierstrass’s elliptic function \( \wp(z) \) defined in (3.4.9). Moreover, \( \wp''(z) = 6\wp^2(z) - g_2/2 \) with the \( g_2 \) given in (3.4.29). In (3.4.9), we take \( \omega_1 \in \mathbb{C} \) such that \( \Re \omega_1, \Im \omega_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \omega_2 = \overline{\omega_1} \) for which \( g_2 = 0 \). Then \( \wp(z) \) is real if \( z \in \mathbb{R} \). An obvious solution of the equation (5.5.17)-(5.5.19) is \( \xi = \wp(y) \) and \( g = f = 0 \). Applying \( T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \), we obtain a more sophisticated solution

\[ u = (x + \beta' y + \alpha)' \wp(y + \beta) - 2\alpha' + \beta'^2 - 2\beta'' y. \]  

(5.5.20)

Observe that \( \xi = 1/y^2 \) is a solution of the equation (5.5.17). Substituting it into (5.5.18), we obtain

\[ g_{yy} - 6\frac{g_y}{y^2} = 0. \]  

(5.5.21)

Write

\[ g(t, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m(t)y^m. \]  

(5.5.22)

Then (5.5.21) becomes

\[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} [(m + 2)(m + 1) - 6]a_{m+2}(t)y^m = 0 \sim (m + 4)(m - 1)a_{m+2} = 0 \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \]  

(5.5.23)

Hence

\[ g = \frac{\alpha}{y^2} + \beta y^3, \]  

(5.5.24)

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are arbitrary differentiable functions in \( t \). Note

\[ x^2\xi + xg = \frac{x^2 + \alpha x}{y^2} + \beta xy^3. \]  

(5.5.25)

Replacing \( u \) by \( T_{2, -\alpha/2}(u) \), we can take \( \alpha = 0 \). That is, \( g = \beta y^3 \).

We can write (5.5.19) as

\[ f_{yy} - 2\frac{f_y}{y^2}f = 2\beta'y^3 + \beta^2 y^6. \]  

(5.5.26)

Suppose

\[ f(t, y) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} b_m(t)y^m. \]  

(5.5.27)

Then (5.5.26) becomes

\[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} [(m + 2)(m + 1) - 2]a_{m+2}(t)y^m = 2\beta'y^3 + \beta^2 y^6, \]  

(5.5.28)

equivalently,

\[ 18a_5 = 2\beta', \quad 54a_8 = \beta^2, \quad (m + 3)ma_{m+2} = 0, \quad m \neq 3, 6. \]  

(5.5.29)
Thus

\[ f = \frac{\gamma}{y} + \vartheta y^2 + \frac{\beta'}{9} y^5 + \frac{\beta^2}{54} y^8, \]  

(5.5.30)

where \( \gamma \) and \( \vartheta \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \).

**Theorem 5.5.1.** We have the following solution of the equation:

\[ u = \varphi = \frac{x^2}{y^2} + \beta xy^3 + \gamma y + \vartheta y^2 + \frac{\beta'}{9} y^5 + \frac{\beta^2}{54} y^8, \]  

(5.5.31)

where \( \beta, \gamma \) and \( \vartheta \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Moreover, \( u = T_{0,1,1}^{(\alpha, -\sigma)}(\varphi) \) is solution of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation (5.5.1) blowing up on the moving line \( y = \sigma(t) \).

The simplest solution of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation (5.5.1) blowing up on the moving line \( y = \sigma(t) \):

\[ u = \frac{(x - \sigma'y)^2}{(y - \sigma)^2} + \sigma^2 - 2\sigma'y \]  

(5.5.32)

Suppose that \( \xi \) is polynomial in \( y \), then \( \xi = 0 \) by comparing the terms with highest degree of \( y \) in (5.5.17). Then (5.5.18) and (5.5.19) become

\[ g_{yy} = 0, \quad f_{yy} = 2g_t + g^2. \]  

(5.5.33)

Replacing \( u \) by some \( T_{3,\alpha}(u) \) (cf. (5.5.11)), we have \( g = \beta y \) for some function \( \beta \) in \( t \). Hence

\[ f_{yy} = 2\beta' y + \beta^2 y^2. \]  

(5.5.34)

So

\[ f = \gamma + \sigma y + \frac{\beta'}{3} y^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{12} y^4, \]  

(5.5.35)

where \( \gamma \) and \( \sigma \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \).

**Theorem 5.5.2.** The following is a solution of the Khokhlov-Zabolotskaya equation (5.5.1):

\[ u = \psi = \beta xy + \gamma + \sigma y + \frac{\beta'}{3} y^3 + \frac{\beta^2}{12} y^4, \]  

(5.5.36)

where \( \beta, \gamma \) and \( \sigma \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Moreover, any solution polynomial in \( x \) and \( y \) of (5.5.1) must be of the form \( u = T_{3,\alpha}(\psi) \).

### 5.6 Equation of Geopotential Forecast

In a book on short term weather forecast, Kibel’ [Kt] (1954) used the partial differential equation

\[ (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_t + H_x(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_y - H_y(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x = kH_x \]  

(5.6.1)
5.6. EQUATION OF GEOPOTENTIAL FORECAST

for geopotential forecast on a middle level in earth sciences, where \( k \) is a real constant. Moreover, Kibel’ [Kt] found the Gaurvitz solution of the above equation. Syono [Ss] (1958) got another special solution. The other known solutions are related to the physical backgrounds such as configuration of type of narrow gullies and crests, flows of type of isolate whirlwinds, stream flow, springs and drains, hyperbolic points, and cyclone formation. Katkov [Kv1, Kv2] (1965, 1966) determined the Lie point symmetries and obtained certain invariant solutions of the above equation. In this section, we give new approaches to the equation (5.6.1).

To make the nonzero terms in (5.6.1) having the same degree, we suppose

\[
\deg x = \deg y = \ell_1, \quad \deg H = \ell_2.
\]  

(5.6.2)

Then

\[
\ell_2 - 2\ell_1 - \deg t = 2\ell_2 - 4\ell_1 = \ell_2 - \ell_1 \sim \ell_2 = 3\ell_1, \quad \deg t = -\ell_1.
\]  

(5.6.3)

Since (5.6.1) dose not contain variable coefficients, it is translation invariant. Thus the transformation

\[
T_{a,b,c}(H) = c^{-3}H(c^{-1}t + a, cx, cy + b)
\]  

(5.6.4)

keeps the equation (5.6.1) invariant for \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( c \neq 0 \) with the independent variables \( t \) replaced by \( c^{-1}t + a \), \( x \) replaced by \( cx \) and \( y \) replaced by \( cy + b \), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. So \( T_{a,b,c} \) maps a solution of the geopotential equation (5.6.1) to another solution.

Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be two differentiable functions in \( t \). The transformation \( H(t, x, y) \mapsto H(t, x + \alpha, y) \) changes the equation (5.6.1) to

\[
\alpha'(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x + (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_t + H_x(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_y - H_y(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x = kH_x,
\]  

(5.6.5)

with the independent variables \( x \) replaced by \( x + \alpha \), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation \( H(t, x, y) \mapsto H(t, x, y) + \alpha'y \) changes the equation (5.6.1) to

\[
(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_t + H_x(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_y - (H_y + \alpha')(H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x = kH_x.
\]  

(5.6.6)

Hence the transformation

\[
T_{\alpha,\beta}(H) = H(t, x + \alpha, y) + \alpha'y + \beta
\]  

(5.6.7)

leaves the equation (5.6.1) invariant with the independent variables \( x \) replaced by \( x + \alpha \), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Thus \( T_{\alpha,\beta} \) maps a solution of the geopotential equation (5.6.1) to another solution.
In summary, the transformation
\[ T^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{a,b,c}(H(t,x,y)) = c^{-3}H(c^{-1}t + a, c(x + \alpha), cy + b) + \alpha'y + \beta \]  
(5.6.8)
maps a solution of the geopotential equation (5.6.1) to another solution.

Fix two functions \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in \( t \). Denote
\[ \varpi = \alpha x + \beta y. \]  
(5.6.9)
Assume
\[ H = \phi(t, \varpi) + \mu y^2 + \tau x + \nu y, \]  
(5.6.10)
where \( \phi \) is a two-variable function and \( \tau, \mu, \nu \) are functions in \( t \). Note
\[ H_x = \alpha \phi_{\varpi} + \tau, \quad H_y = \beta \phi_{\varpi} + 2\mu y + \nu, \quad H_{xx} + H_{yy} = 2\mu + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\phi_{\varpi\varpi}, \]  
(5.6.11)
\[ (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_t = 2\mu' + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)'\phi_{\varpi\varpi} + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)[\phi_{t\varpi\varpi} + (\alpha'x + \beta'y)\phi_{\varpi\varpi}] , \]  
(5.6.12)
\[ (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\alpha \phi_{\varpi\varpi\varpi}, \quad (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_y = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\beta \phi_{\varpi\varpi\varpi}. \]  
(5.6.13)
Thus (5.6.1) becomes
\[ 2\mu' + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)'\phi_{\varpi\varpi} + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\phi_{t\varpi\varpi} - k(\alpha \phi_{\varpi} + \tau) + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)[\alpha'x + (\beta' - 2\alpha\mu)y + \beta\tau - \alpha\nu]\phi_{\varpi\varpi\varpi} = 0. \]  
(5.6.14)

In order to solve the above equation, we assume
\[ 2\mu' = k\tau, \quad \tau = \alpha \vartheta'', \quad \nu = \beta \vartheta'', \]  
(5.6.15)
for some function \( \vartheta \) in \( t \), and
\[ \alpha'x + (\beta' - 2\alpha\mu)y = 0. \]  
(5.6.16)
Note that (5.6.16) is equivalent to the following system of ordinary differential equations:
\[ \alpha' = 0, \quad \beta' - 2\alpha\mu = 0. \]  
(5.6.17)
By the first equation and replacing \( H \) by \( T_{0,0,c}(H) \) (cf. (5.6.8)) if necessary, we have \( \alpha = 1 \). So \( \tau = \vartheta'' \) according to the second equation in (5.6.15). Moreover, the first equation in (5.6.15) yields
\[ \mu = \frac{k\vartheta'' + c_0}{2}, \quad c_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
(5.6.18)
Hence the second equation in (5.6.17) becomes
\[ \beta' - (k\vartheta'' + c_0) = 0. \]  
(5.6.19)
Therefore,
\[ \beta = k\vartheta + c_0 t + d, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}. \] (5.6.20)

According to the third equation in (5.6.15),
\[ \nu = (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)\vartheta''. \] (5.6.21)

Now (5.6.14) becomes
\[ (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)'\phi_{\varphi\varphi} + \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\phi_{t\varphi} - k\phi}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} = 0. \] (5.6.22)

Replacing \( H \) by some \( T_{0,0,1}^{(0,\vartheta)}(H) \) if necessary, we have:
\[ (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)'\phi_{\varphi\varphi} + \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\phi}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} = 0. \] (5.6.23)

The above equation can written as
\[ [(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\phi_{\varphi}]_t - k\phi = 0. \] (5.6.24)

So we take the form
\[ \phi = \frac{\hat{\phi}(t, \varphi)}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} = \frac{\hat{\phi}(t, \varphi)}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2}. \] (5.6.25)

Then (5.6.23) becomes
\[ \hat{\phi}_{\varphi\varphi t} = \frac{k\hat{\phi}}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2}. \] (5.6.26)

We use the separation of variables
\[ \hat{\phi} = \xi(\varphi)\eta(t), \] (5.6.27)

where \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) are one-variable functions. Then (5.6.26) becomes
\[ \frac{\xi'(\varphi)}{k\xi(\varphi)} = \frac{\eta(t)}{(1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2)\eta'(t)}, \] (5.6.28)

which must be a constant. To find more solutions, we assume
\[ \frac{\xi'(\varphi)}{k\xi(\varphi)} = \frac{\eta(t)}{(1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2)\eta'(t)} = a + bi \neq 0 \] (5.6.29)

for some \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus \( \xi' = (a + bi)\xi \) and
\[ \eta' = \frac{\eta}{(a + bi)(1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2)} = \frac{(a + bi)\eta}{(a^2 + b^2)(1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2)}. \] (5.6.30)

We have
\[ \xi = e^{k(a + bi)\varphi}, \quad \eta = \exp \left( \frac{a - bi}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right), \] (5.6.31)
that is,
\[ \hat{\phi} = e^{k(a+bi)\varpi} \exp \left( \frac{a - bi}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \]

is a complex solution (5.6.26). Since (5.6.26) is a linear equation with real coefficients, the real part

\[ \zeta_1 = \exp \left( ka\varpi + \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \times \cos \left( kb\varpi - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \]

and the imaginary part

\[ \zeta_2 = \exp \left( ka\varpi + \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \times \sin \left( kb\varpi - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \]

are real solutions of (5.6.26). For any \( c \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[ \zeta_1 \sin c + \zeta_2 \cos c = \exp \left( ka\varpi + \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \times \sin \left( c + kb\varpi - \frac{b}{a^2 + b^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \]

is a solution of (5.6.26) by the additivity of solutions for linear equation. Applying the additivity again, we have more general solution

\[ \hat{\phi} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r \exp \left( ka_r\varpi + \frac{a_r}{a_r^2 + b_r^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \times \sin \left( kb_r\varpi + c_r - \frac{b_r}{a_r^2 + b_r^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) , \]

where \( a_r, b_r, c_r, d_r \) are real constants such that \( (a_r, b_r) \neq (0, 0) \). By (5.6.10), (5.6.18), (5.6.20), (5.6.21) and (5.6.25), we have:

**Theorem 5.6.1.** Let \( \vartheta \) be any function in \( t \) and let \( a_r, b_r, c_r, d_r, c_0, d \) for \( r = 1, \ldots, m \) be real constants such that \( (c, d), (a_r, b_r) \neq (0, 0) \). We have the following solution of the geopotential forecast equation (5.6.1):

\[ H = \frac{kv' + c_0}{2} y^2 + \vartheta''[x + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)y] + \frac{1}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \times \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r \exp \left( ka_r[x + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)y] + \frac{a_r}{a_r^2 + b_r^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) \times \sin \left( kb_r[x + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)y] + c_r - \frac{b_r}{a_r^2 + b_r^2} \int \frac{dt}{1 + (k\vartheta + c_0 t + d)^2} \right) . \]
Applying the transformation $T_{0,b,c}^{(a,b)}$ in (5.6.8) to the above solution, we will get a more general solution the geopotential forecast equation (5.6.1).

Next we set
\[ \varpi = x^2 + y^2. \]  
(5.6.38)

Assume
\[ H = \xi(\varpi) - y \]  
(5.6.39)

where $\xi$ is a one-variable function. Note
\[ H_x = 2x\xi', \quad H_y = 2y\xi' - 1, \quad H_{xx} + H_{yy} = 4(\xi' + \varpi\xi''), \]  
(5.6.40)
\[ (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_x = 8x(2\xi'' + \varpi\xi'''), \quad (H_{xx} + H_{yy})_y = 8y(2\xi'' + \varpi\xi'''). \]  
(5.6.41)

Then (5.6.1) is equivalent to:
\[ 4(2\xi'' + \varpi\xi''') = k\xi'. \]  
(5.6.42)

Replacing $H$ by some $T_{0,0,1}^{(0,0)}(H)$ if necessary, we have:
\[ \xi' + \varpi\xi'' = \frac{k}{4}\xi. \]  
(5.6.43)

To solve the above ordinary differential equation, we assume
\[ \xi = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varpi^s(a_s + b_s \ln \varpi), \quad a_s, b_s \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
(5.6.44)

Observe
\[ \xi' = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varpi^{s-1}(sa_s + b_s + sb_s \ln \varpi), \]  
(5.6.45)
\[ \xi'' = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varpi^{s-2}(s(s-1)a_s + (2s - 1)b_s + s(s-1)b_s \ln \varpi). \]  
(5.6.46)

So (5.6.43) becomes
\[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varpi^{s-1}(s^2a_s + 2sb_s + s^2b_s \ln \varpi) = \frac{k}{4} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \varpi^s(a_s + b_s \ln \varpi), \]  
(5.6.47)

equivalently,
\[ (s + 1)^2a_{s+1} + 2(s + 1)b_{s+1} = \frac{k}{4}a_s, \quad (s + 1)^2b_{s+1} = \frac{k}{4}b_s. \]  
(5.6.48)

Hence
\[ b_s = \frac{b_0k^s}{(s!)^{24}^s}, \quad a_s = \frac{a_0k^s}{(s!)^{24}^s} - \frac{2b_0k^s}{(s!)^{24}^s} \sum_{r=1}^{s} \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{for } s > 0. \]  
(5.6.49)
Thus
\[ \xi = a_0 \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^s \varpi^s}{(s!)^2 4^s} + b_0 \ln \varpi + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k\varpi)^j}{(j!)^2 4^j} \left( \ln \varpi - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{j} r^{-1} \right) \]. \quad (5.6.50)

**Theorem 5.6.2.** Let \( b \) and \( c \) be any real constants. We have the following steady solution of the geopotential forecast equation (5.6.1):

\[
H = -y + b \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^s (x^2 + y^2)^s}{(s!)^2 4^s} + c \ln(x^2 + y^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k(x^2 + y^2))^j}{(j!)^2 4^j} \left( \ln(x^2 + y^2) - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{j} r^{-1} \right). \tag{5.6.51}
\]

**Remark 5.6.3.** Although the above solution is time independent, we apply \( T_{0,0;1}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \) to it and obtain the following time-dependent solution:

\[
H = (\alpha' - 1)y + \beta + b \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^s ((x + \alpha)^2 + y^2)^s}{(s!)^2 4^s} + c \ln((x + \alpha)^2 + y^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k((x + \alpha)^2 + y^2))^j}{(j!)^2 4^j} \left( \ln((x + \alpha)^2 + y^2) - 2 \sum_{r=1}^{j} r^{-1} \right), \tag{5.6.52}
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \).
Chapter 6

Nonlinear Schrödinger and DS Equations

The two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation is used to describe the propagation of an intense laser beam through a medium with Kerr nonlinearity. The coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations are used to describe interaction of electromagnetic waves with different polarizations in nonlinear optics. In this chapter, we solve the above equations by imposing a quadratic condition on the related argument functions and using their symmetry transformations. More complete families of exact solutions of such type are obtained. Many of them are the periodic, quasi-periodic, aperiodic and singular solutions that may have practical significance.

The Davey-Stewartson equations are used to describe the long time evolution of three-dimensional packets of surface waves. Assuming that the argument functions are quadratic in spacial variables, we find in this chapter various exact solutions for the Davey-Stewartson equations.

6.1 Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

The two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

\[ i\psi_t + \kappa(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + \varepsilon|\psi|^2\psi = 0 \quad (6.1.1) \]

is used to describe the propagation of an intense laser beam through a medium with Kerr nonlinearity, where \( t \) is the distance in the direction of propagation, \( x \) and \( y \) are the transverse spacial coordinates, \( \psi \) is a complex valued function in \( t, x, y \) standing for electric field amplitude, and \( \kappa, \varepsilon \) are nonzero real constants. Akhnediev, Eleonskii and Kulagin [AEK] (1987) found certain exact solutions of (6.1.1) whose real and imaginary parts are linearly dependent over the functions in \( t \). Moreover, Gagnon and Winternitz [GW] (1989) found exact solutions of the cubic and quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation for a cylindrical geometry. Mihalache and Panoin [MP] (1992) used the method of Akhnediev,
Eleonskii and Kulagin to obtain new solutions which describe the propagation of dark envelope soliton light pulses in optical fibers under the normal group velocity dispersion regime. Furthermore, Saied, El-Rahman and Ghonamy [SEG] (2003) used various similarity variables to reduce the above equation to certain ordinary differential equations and obtain some exact solutions. However, many of their solutions are equivalent to each other under the action of the known symmetry transformations of the above equation. There are the other interesting results on the equation (6.1.1) (e.g., cf. [AP, Pa, Sy]).

The objective of this section is to give a direct more systematical study on the exact solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. We solve them by imposing the quadratic condition on the argument functions and using their symmetry transformations. More complete families of explicit exact solutions of this type with multiple parameter functions are obtained. Many of them are the periodic, quasi-periodic, aperiodic and singular solutions that physicists and engineers expect to know. For instance, soliton solutions are sitting in our families. The results are from our work [X14].

To make the nonzero terms in (6.1.1) to have the same degree, we have to take
\[
\deg x = \deg y = -\deg \psi = \frac{1}{2} \deg t. \tag{6.1.2}
\]
Moreover, the Laplace operator \(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2\) is invariant under rotations and (6.1.1) is translation invariant because it does not contain variable coefficients. Thus the transformation
\[
T_{a;b;\theta}^{(a_1,a_2,a_3)}(\psi) = be^{ai\psi}(b^2(t + a_1), b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2), b(-x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta + a_3)) \tag{6.1.3}
\]
maps a solution of the Schrödinger equation (6.1.1) to another solution, where \(a, a_1, a_2, a_3, b, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(b \neq 0\).

Fix \(a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}\). Note that the transformation \(\psi(t, x, y) \mapsto \psi(t, x - 2\kappa a_1 t, y - 2\kappa a_2 t)\) changes the equation (6.1.1) to
\[
-2\kappa i(a_1 \psi_x + a_2 \psi_y) + i\psi_t + \kappa(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + \varepsilon |\psi|^2 \psi = 0 \tag{6.1.4}
\]
with the independent variables \(x\) replaced by \(x - 2\kappa a_1 t\) and \(y\) replaced by \(y - 2\kappa a_2 t\), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation \(\psi \mapsto e^{i[(a_1 x + a_2 y) - \kappa(a_1^2 + a_2^2)]t} \psi\) changes the equation (6.1.1) to
\[
e^{i[(a_1 x + a_2 y) - \kappa(a_1^2 + a_2^2)]t}[i\psi_t + 2\kappa i(a_1 \psi_x + a_2 \psi_y) + \kappa(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + \varepsilon |\psi|^2 \psi] = 0. \tag{6.1.5}
\]
Hence the transformation
\[
S_{a_1,a_2}(\psi(t, x, y)) = e^{i[(a_1 x + a_2 y) - \kappa(a_1^2 + a_2^2)]t} \psi(t, x - 2\kappa a_1 t, y - 2\kappa a_2 t) \tag{6.1.6}
\]
changes the equation (6.1.1) to
\[
e^{i(a_1 x + a_2 y)}[i\psi_t + \kappa(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + \varepsilon |\psi|^2 \psi] = 0, \tag{6.1.7}
\]
equivalently, (6.1.1) holds with the independent variables \(x\) replaced by \(x - 2\kappa a_1 t\) and \(y\) replaced by \(y - 2\kappa a_2 t\), where the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Therefore, \(S_{a_1,a_2}\) maps a solution of the Schrödinger equation (6.1.1) to another solution.

Write
\[
\psi = \xi(t, x, y)e^{i\phi(t, x, y)},
\]  
where \(\xi\) and \(\phi\) are real functions in \(t, x, y\). Note
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_t &= (\xi_t + i\xi \phi_t)e^{i\phi}, \\
\psi_x &= (\xi_x + i\xi \phi_x)e^{i\phi}, \\
\psi_y &= (\xi_y + i\xi \phi_y)e^{i\phi},
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{xx} &= (\xi_{xx} - \xi \phi_x^2 + i(2\xi_x \phi_x + \xi \phi_{xx}))e^{i\phi}, \\
\psi_{yy} &= (\xi_{yy} - \xi \phi_y^2 + i(2\xi_y \phi_y + \xi \phi_{yy}))e^{i\phi}.
\end{align*}
\]
So the equation (6.1.1) becomes
\[
\begin{align*}
i\xi_t - \phi_t \xi + \varepsilon \xi^3 + \kappa[\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy} - \xi(\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2)] + i(2\xi_x \phi_x + 2\xi_y \phi_y + \xi(\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy})) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  
equivalently,
\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_t + \kappa(2\xi_x \phi_x + 2\xi_y \phi_y + \xi(\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy})) &= 0, \quad (6.1.12) \\
-\xi[\phi_t + \kappa(\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2)] + \kappa(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + \varepsilon \xi^3 &= 0. \quad (6.1.13)
\end{align*}
\]

Note that it is very difficult to solve the above system without pre-assumptions. From the algebraic characteristics of the above system of partial differential equations, it is most affective to assume that \(\phi\) is quadratic in \(x\) and \(y\). After sorting case by case, we only have the following four cases that lead us to exact solutions of (6.1.12) and (6.1.13).

**Case 1.** \(\phi = \beta(t)\) is a function in \(t\).

According to (6.1.12), \(\xi_t = 0\). Moreover, (6.1.13) becomes
\[
-\beta' \xi + \kappa(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + \varepsilon \xi^3 = 0. \quad (6.1.14)
\]
Replacing \(\psi\) by some \(T_{a;1;0}^{(0,0,0)}(\psi)\), we have
\[
\beta = bt, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.1.15)
\]
Then (6.1.14) becomes
\[
-b \xi + \kappa(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + \varepsilon \xi^3 = 0. \quad (6.1.16)
\]
First we assume \(\xi_y = 0\). The above equation becomes an ordinary differential equation:
\[
-b \xi + \kappa \xi'' + \varepsilon \xi^3 = 0. \quad (6.1.17)
\]
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Recall
\[
\left( \frac{1}{x} \right)'' = 2 \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^3, 
\]
(6.1.18)
\[
(\tan z)'' = 2(\tan^3 z + \tan z), \quad (\sec z)'' = 2 \sec^3 z - \sec z 
\]
(6.1.19)
(cf. (3.5.17) and (3.5.18)),
\[
(\coth z)'' = 2(\coth^3 z - \coth z), \quad (\csch z)'' = 2\csch^3 z + \csch z 
\]
(6.1.20)
(cf. (3.5.19) and (3.5.20)),
\[
\sn''(z|m) = 2m^2 \sn^3(z|m) - (m^2 + 1)\sn(z|m), 
\]
(6.1.21)
\[
\cn''(z|m) = -2m^2 \cn^3(z|m) + (2m^2 - 1)\cn(z|m), 
\]
(6.1.22)
\[
\dn''(z|m) = -2\dn^3(z|m) + (2 - m^2)\dn(z|m) 
\]
(6.1.23)
(cf. (3.5.14)-(3.5.16)).

Substituting \(\xi = kf(x)\) to (6.1.17) with \(k \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(f = 1/x, \tan x, \sec x, \coth x, \csch x, \sn(x|m), \cn(x|m), \dn(x|m)\), we find the following solutions: if \(\kappa \varepsilon < 0\),
\[
\xi = \frac{1}{x} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}}, \quad b = 0; \tag{6.1.24}
\]
\[
\xi = \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \tan x, \quad b = 2\kappa; \tag{6.1.25}
\]
\[
\xi = \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \sec x, \quad b = -\kappa; \tag{6.1.26}
\]
\[
\xi = \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \coth x, \quad b = -2\kappa; \tag{6.1.27}
\]
\[
\xi = \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \csch x, \quad b = \kappa; \tag{6.1.28}
\]
\[
\xi = m \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \sn(x|m), \quad b = -(1 + m^2)\kappa. \tag{6.1.29}
\]

When \(\kappa \varepsilon > 0\), we get the following solutions:
\[
\xi = m \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \cn(x|m), \quad b = (2m^2 - 1)\kappa, \tag{6.1.30}
\]
\[
\xi = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \dn(x|m), \quad b = (2 - m^2)\kappa. \tag{6.1.31}
\]

Observe that
\[
(\partial^2_x + \partial^2_y) \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}} \right) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}} \right)^3. \tag{6.1.32}
\]
Thus we have solution
\[ \xi = \sqrt{-\frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon (x^2 + y^2)}}, \quad b = 0 \] (6.1.33)
if \( \kappa \varepsilon < 0 \).

**Theorem 6.1.1.** Let \( m \in \mathbb{R} \) such that 0 < \( m < 1 \). The following functions are solutions \( \psi \) of the two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (6.1.1): if \( \varepsilon \kappa < 0 \),
\[
\begin{align*}
\sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{x}, \sqrt{-\frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon (x^2 + y^2)}}, e^{2\kappa t i} \tan x, e^{-\kappa t i} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \sec x, \\
e^{-2\kappa t i} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \coth x, e^{\kappa t i} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \csch x, me^{-(1+m^2)\kappa t i} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \sn (x|m); \\
when \varepsilon \kappa > 0,
me^{(2m^2-1)\kappa t i} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \cn (x|m), \quad e^{(2-m^2)\kappa t i} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \dn (x|m).
\end{align*}
\] (6.1.34)
(6.1.35)
(6.1.36)

**Remark 6.1.2.** Recall \( \lim_{m \to 1} \cn (x|m) = \sech x \). Thus we have the solution
\[ \psi = \lim_{m \to 1} me^{(2m^2-1)\kappa t i} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \cn (x|m) = e^{\kappa t i} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} \sech x. \] (6.1.37)

Applying the transformation \( T^{(0,a,0)}_{c,b; \theta} \) (cf. (6.1.3)) and \( S_{d;0} \) (cf. (6.1.6)), we get a soliton solution
\[ \psi = b \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} e^{(\kappa^2(1-d^2)t+bd(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a)+e)i} \sech b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta - 2bd\kappa t + a). \] (6.1.38)

We can also apply the transformations (6.1.3) and (6.1.6) to the other solutions in the above theorem and obtain more general solutions.

**Case 2.** \( \phi = x^2/4\kappa t + \beta \) for some function \( \beta \) of \( t \).

In this case, (6.1.12) becomes
\[ \xi_t + \frac{x}{t} \xi_x + \frac{1}{2t} \xi = 0. \] (6.1.39)
Thus
\[ \xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \zeta(u, y), \quad u = \frac{x}{t}, \] (6.1.40)
for some two-variable function \( \zeta \). Now (6.1.13) becomes (6.1.14). Note
\[ \xi_{xx} = t^{-5/2} \zeta_{uu}, \quad \xi_{yy} = t^{-1/2} \zeta_{yy}, \quad \xi^3 = t^{-3/2} \zeta^3. \] (6.1.41)
So (6.1.14) become

\[- \frac{\beta'}{\sqrt{t}} \zeta + \kappa (t^{-5/2} \zeta_{uu} + t^{-1/2} \zeta_{zyy}) + \varepsilon t^{-3/2} \zeta^3 = 0, \tag{6.1.42}\]

whose coefficients of \( t^{-3/2} \) force us to take

\[\xi = \frac{b}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.1.43}\]

Now (6.1.14) becomes

\[- \beta' + \frac{\varepsilon b^2}{t} = 0 \implies \beta = \varepsilon b^2 \ln t \tag{6.1.44}\]

because otherwise we can replace \( \psi \) by some \( T_{a;1,0}^{(0,0,0)}(\psi) \).

**Case 3.** \( \phi = x^2/4\kappa t + y^2/4\kappa (t - d) + \beta \) for some function \( \beta \) in \( t \) with \( 0 \neq d \in \mathbb{R} \).

In this case, (6.1.12) becomes

\[\xi_t + \frac{x}{t} \xi_x + \frac{y}{t-d} \xi_y + \left( \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{2(t-d)} \right) \xi = 0. \tag{6.1.45}\]

Hence we have:

\[\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(t-d)}} \zeta(u,v), \quad u = \frac{x}{t}, \quad v = \frac{y}{t-d}, \tag{6.1.46}\]

for some two-variable function \( \zeta \). Again (6.1.13) becomes (6.1.14). Note

\[\xi_{xx} = t^{-5/2}(t-d)^{-1/2} \zeta_{uu}, \quad \xi_{yy} = t^{-1/2}(t-d)^{-5/2} \zeta_{vv}, \quad \xi^3 = t^{-3/2}(t-d)^{-3/2} \zeta^3. \tag{6.1.47}\]

So (6.1.14) becomes

\[- \frac{\beta'}{\sqrt{t(t-d)}} \zeta + \kappa (t^{-5/2}(t-d)^{-1/2} \zeta_{uu} + t^{-1/2}(t-d)^{-5/2} \zeta_{vv}) + \varepsilon t^{-3/2}(t-d)^{-3/2} \zeta^3 = 0, \tag{6.1.48}\]

whose coefficients of \( t^{-3/2}(t-d)^{-3/2} \) force us to take

\[\xi = \frac{b}{\sqrt{t(t-d)}}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.1.49}\]

Now (6.1.14) becomes

\[- \beta' + \frac{\varepsilon b^2}{t(t-d)} = 0 \implies \beta = \frac{\varepsilon b^2}{d} \ln \frac{t-d}{t} \tag{6.1.50}\]

because otherwise we can replace \( \psi \) by some \( T_{a;1,0}^{(0,0,0)}(\psi) \).

**Theorem 6.1.3.** Let \( b, d \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( d \neq 0 \). The following functions are solutions \( \psi \) of the two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

\[bt^{3/4}e^{\beta x^2/4\kappa t}, \quad bt^{-3/4}e^{\beta x^2/4\kappa t}. \tag{6.1.51}\]
Remark 6.1.4. Applying (6.1.3) to the above first solution, we get another solution
\[ \psi = b(t + a)^{\alpha b^2 i - 1/2} \exp \left( \frac{(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_0)^2}{4 \kappa (t + a)} + d \right) i, \] 
for \( a, a_0, b, d, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \). Moreover, we obtain a more sophisticated solution:
\[ \psi = b(t + a)^{\alpha b^2 i - 1/2} e^{(a_1 x + a_2 y - \kappa (a_1^2 + a_2^2) t + d)i} \times \exp \left( \frac{(x - 2 \kappa a_1 t) \cos \theta + (y - 2 \kappa a_2 t) \sin \theta + a_0)^2 i}{4 \kappa (t + a)} \right) \] 
by applying the transformation (6.1.6) to (6.1.52), where \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \).

Case 4. \( \phi = (x^2 + y^2)/4 \kappa t + \beta \) for some function \( \beta \) in \( t \).

Under our assumption, (6.1.12) becomes
\[ \xi_t + \frac{x}{t} \xi_x + \frac{y}{t} \xi_y + \frac{1}{t} \xi = 0. \] 
Thus we have:
\[ \xi = \frac{1}{t} \zeta(u, v), \quad u = \frac{x}{t}, \quad v = \frac{y}{t}, \] 
for some two-variable function \( \zeta \). Moreover, (6.1.13) becomes
\[ -\beta' \zeta + \frac{\kappa}{t^2} (\zeta_{uu} + \zeta_{vv}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{t^2} \zeta^3 = 0. \] 
An obvious solution is
\[ \zeta = d, \quad \beta = -\frac{\varepsilon d^2}{t}, \quad d \in \mathbb{R}. \] 
If \( \varepsilon \kappa < 0 \), we have the simple following solutions with \( \beta = 0 \):
\[ \zeta = \frac{1}{u} \sqrt{-\frac{2 \kappa}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{or} \quad \sqrt{-\frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon (u^2 + v^2)}}. \] 
Next we take
\[ \beta' = \frac{b}{t^2} \implies \beta = \frac{b}{t}, \] 
where \( b \) is a real constant to be determined. Then (6.1.58) is equivalent to
\[ -b \zeta + \kappa (\zeta_{uu} + \zeta_{vv}) + \varepsilon \zeta^3 = 0, \] 
which is the equation of the type (6.1.16). By Theorem 6.1.1, we have:

**Theorem 6.1.5.** Let \( m \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( 0 < m < 1 \). The following functions are solutions \( \psi \) of the two-dimensional cubic nonlinear cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (6.1.1): if \( \varepsilon \kappa < 0 \),
\[ \frac{d}{dt} e^{(x^2+y^2-4\kappa \varepsilon d^2)i/4\kappa t} \sqrt{-\frac{2 \kappa}{\varepsilon}}, \quad e^{(x^2+y^2)i/4\kappa t} \sqrt{-\frac{2 \kappa}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{x}}, \quad e^{(x^2+y^2)i/4\kappa t} \sqrt{-\frac{\kappa}{\varepsilon (x^2+y^2)}}, \]
\[
\frac{e^{(x^2+y^2-8\kappa^2)i/\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \tan \frac{x}{t}}, \quad \frac{e^{(x^2+y^2+4\kappa^2)i/\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \sec \frac{x}{t}}, (6.1.62)
\]

\[
\frac{e^{(x^2+y^2+8\kappa^2)i/4\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \coth \frac{x}{t}}, \quad \frac{e^{(x^2+y^2-4\kappa^2)i/4\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \csch \frac{x}{t}}, (6.1.63)
\]

\[
\frac{me^{(x^2+y^2+4(1+m^2)\kappa^2)i/4\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{-\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \sn \left( \frac{x}{t} | m \right)}; (6.1.64)
\]

\[
\frac{me^{(x^2+y^2+4(1-2m^2)\kappa^2)i/4\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \cn \left( \frac{x}{t} | m \right)}; (6.1.65)
\]

\[
\frac{e^{(x^2+y^2+4(m^2-2)\kappa^2)i/4\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \dn \left( \frac{x}{t} | m \right)}. (6.1.66)
\]

**Remark 6.1.6.** Recall \( \lim_{m \to 1} \cn (x|m) = \sech x \). Thus we have the solution

\[
\psi = \frac{e^{(x^2+y^2-4\kappa^2)i/\kappa t}}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon} \sech \frac{x}{t}}. (6.1.67)
\]

Applying the transformations \( T_{(a,a_2,0)}^{(a,a_2,0)} \) (cf. (6.1.3)) and \( S_{a_1,0} \) (cf. (6.1.6)), we get a more general soliton-like solution

\[
\psi = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\varepsilon}} e^{((x-2a_1\kappa t)^2+y^2-4\kappa^2b^2)/4\kappa(t-a)+a_1(x-a_1\kappa t)i} b(t-a) \times \sech \frac{(x-2a_1\kappa t)\cos \theta + y\sin \theta}{b(t-a)}. (6.1.68)
\]

Of course, applying the general forms of the transformations in (6.1.3) and (6.1.6) to the solutions in the above theorem, we will get more solutions of the Schrödinger equation.

### 6.2 Coupled Schrödinger Equations

The coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations

\[
i\psi_t + \kappa_1(\psi_{xx} + \psi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_1|\psi|^2 + \epsilon_1|\varphi|^2)\psi = 0, (6.2.1)
\]

\[
i\varphi_t + \kappa_2(\varphi_{xx} + \varphi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_2|\psi|^2 + \epsilon_2|\varphi|^2)\varphi = 0 (6.2.2)
\]

are used to describe interaction of electromagnetic waves with different polarizations in nonlinear optics, where \( \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \epsilon_1 \) and \( \epsilon_2 \) are real constants. Radhakrishnan and Lakshmanan [RL1] (1995) used Painlevé analysis to find a Hirota bilinearization of the above system of partial differential equations and obtained bright and dark multiple soliton solutions. They [RL2] (1995) also generalized their results to the coupled nonlinear...
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Schrödinger equations with higher-order effects. Grébert and Guillot [GG] (1996) constructed periodic solutions of coupled one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic boundary conditions in some resonance situations. Moreover, Hioe and Salter [HS] (2002) found a connection between Lamé functions and solutions of the above coupled equations. In this section, we want to apply the quadratic-argument approach to the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Results are due to our work [X14].

As (6.1.3), we have the following symmetric transformations of the coupled equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):

\begin{align*}
T^{(a_1, a_2, a_3)}_{a_a, b_b, c_c} (\psi) &= c^{ai} \psi (b_2 (t + a_1), b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2), b(-x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta + a_3)), \\
T^{(a_1, a_2, a_3)}_{a_a, b_b, c_c} (\varphi) &= c^{ai} \varphi (b_2 (t + a_1), b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2), b(-x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta + a_3)).
\end{align*}

Moreover, (6.1.6) implies the following symmetry

\begin{align*}
S_{a_1, a_2} (\psi (t, x, y)) &= e^{i[(a_1 x + a_2 y) - (a_1^2 + a_2^2) t/\kappa_1]} \psi (t, x - 2a_1 t, y - 2a_2 t), \\
S_{a_1, a_2} (\varphi (t, x, y)) &= e^{i[(a_1 x + a_2 y) - (a_1^2 + a_2^2) t/\kappa_2]} \varphi (t, x - 2a_1 t, y - 2a_2 t)
\end{align*}

of the coupled equations. In addition to the above symmetries, we also solve the coupled equations modulo the following symmetry:

\begin{equation}
(\psi, \kappa_1, \xi_1, \epsilon_1) \leftrightarrow (\varphi, \kappa_2, \xi_2, \epsilon_2).
\end{equation}

Write

\begin{equation}
\psi = \xi (t, x, y) e^{i \phi (t, x, y)}, \quad \varphi = \eta (t, x, y) e^{i \mu (t, x, y)}
\end{equation}

where \( \xi, \phi, \eta \) and \( \mu \) are real functions in \( t, x, y \). As the arguments in (6.1.8)-(6.1.13), the system (6.2.1) and (6.2.2) is equivalent to the following system for real functions:

\begin{align*}
\xi_t + \kappa_1 (2 \xi_x \phi_x + 2 \xi_y \phi_y + \xi (\phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy})) &= 0, \\
-\xi [\phi_t + \kappa_1 (\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2)] + \kappa_1 (\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + (\epsilon_1 \xi^2 + \epsilon_1 \eta^2) \xi &= 0, \\
\eta_t + \kappa_2 (2 \eta_x \mu_x + 2 \eta_y \mu_y + \eta (\mu_{xx} + \mu_{yy})) &= 0, \\
-\eta [\mu_t + \kappa_2 (\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2)] + \kappa_2 (\eta_{xx} + \eta_{yy}) + (\epsilon_2 \xi^2 + \epsilon_2 \eta^2) \eta &= 0.
\end{align*}

Based on our experience in last section, we will solve the above system according to the following cases. For the convenience, we always assume the conditions on the constants involved in an expression such that it make sense. For instance, when we use \( \sqrt{d_1 - d_2} \), we naturally assume \( d_1 \geq d_2 \).

Case 1. \((\phi, \mu) = (0, 0)\) and \( \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1 \neq 0 \).
In this case, $\xi_t = \eta_t = 0$ by (6.2.9) and (6.2.11). Moreover, (6.2.10) and (6.2.12)
become
\[
\kappa_1(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_1 \xi^2 + \varepsilon_1 \eta^2) \xi = 0, \quad \kappa_2(\eta_{xx} + \eta_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_2 \xi^2 + \varepsilon_2 \eta^2) \eta = 0, \quad (6.2.13)
\]
where $\iota_1$ and $\iota_2$ are constants to be determined. Assume
\[
\xi = \frac{\iota_1}{x}, \quad \eta = \frac{\iota_2}{x}. \quad (6.2.14)
\]
Then (6.2.13) is equivalent to:
\[
\varepsilon_1 \iota_1^2 + \varepsilon_1 \iota_2^2 + 2\kappa_1 = 0, \quad \varepsilon_2 \iota_1^2 + \varepsilon_2 \iota_2^2 + 2\kappa_2 = 0. \quad (6.2.15)
\]
Solving the above linear algebraic equations for $\iota_1^2$ and $\iota_2^2$, we have:
\[
\iota_1^2 = \frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1}, \quad \iota_2^2 = \frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1}. \quad (6.2.16)
\]
Thus we have the following solution
\[
\xi = \frac{\sigma_1}{x} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1}}, \quad \eta = \frac{\sigma_2}{x} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1}}. \quad (6.2.17)
\]
for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{1, -1\}$. Similarly, we have the solution:
\[
\xi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1}{(\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1)(x^2 + y^2)}}, \quad \eta = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2}{(\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1)(x^2 + y^2)}}. \quad (6.2.18)
\]

Case 2. $(\phi, \mu) = (k_1 t, k_2 t)$ with $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Again we have $\xi_t = \eta_t = 0$ by (6.2.9) and (6.2.11). Moreover, (6.2.10) and (6.2.12)
become
\[
-k_1 \xi + \kappa_1(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_1 \xi^2 + \varepsilon_1 \eta^2) \xi = 0, \quad -k_2 \eta + \kappa_2(\eta_{xx} + \eta_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_2 \xi^2 + \varepsilon_2 \eta^2) \eta = 0. \quad (6.2.19)
\]
First we assume $\varepsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \epsilon_1 \neq 0$ and
\[
\xi = \iota_1 \Im(x), \quad \eta = \iota_2 \Im(x), \quad (6.2.20)
\]
where $\iota_1$ and $\iota_2$ are constants to be determined. Then (6.2.19) becomes
\[
-k_1 \Im + \kappa_1 \Im'' + (\varepsilon_1 \iota_1^2 + \varepsilon_1 \iota_2^2) \Im^3 = 0, \quad -k_2 \Im + \kappa_2 \Im'' + (\varepsilon_2 \iota_1^2 + \varepsilon_2 \iota_2^2) \Im^3 = 0. \quad (6.2.21)
\]
According to (3.5.17)-(3.5.20), when $\Im = \tan x$, $\sec x$, $\coth x$ and $\csch x$, we always have
\[
\varepsilon_1 \iota_1^2 + \varepsilon_1 \iota_2^2 + 2\kappa_1 = 0, \quad \varepsilon_2 \iota_1^2 + \varepsilon_2 \iota_2^2 + 2\kappa_2 = 0. \quad (6.2.22)
\]
Thus for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{1, -1\}$, we have the following solutions:

$$
\xi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \tan x, \quad \eta = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \tan x \quad (6.2.23)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = (k_1, k_2)$;

$$
\xi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \sec x, \quad \eta = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \sec x \quad (6.2.24)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = -(k_1, k_2)$;

$$
\xi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \coth x, \quad \eta = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \coth x \quad (6.2.25)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = -2(k_1, k_2)$;

$$
\xi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{csch} x, \quad \eta = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{csch} x \quad (6.2.26)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = (k_1, k_2)$. Similarly, (3.5.14)-(3.5.16) give us the following solutions:

$$
\xi = m\sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{sn} (x|m), \quad \eta = m\sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{sn} (x|m) \quad (6.2.27)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = -(1 + m^2)(k_1, k_2)$;

$$
\xi = m\sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{cn} (x|m), \quad \eta = m\sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{cn} (x|m) \quad (6.2.28)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = (2m^2 - 1)(k_1, k_2)$;

$$
\xi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 k_2 - \varepsilon_2 k_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{dn} (x|m), \quad \eta = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 k_1 - \varepsilon_1 k_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \text{dn} (x|m) \quad (6.2.29)
$$

with $(k_1, k_2) = (2 - m^2)(k_1, k_2)$.

If $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_1 (1, d^2)$ and $(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2 (1, d^2)$ with $d \in \mathbb{R}$, then (6.2.19) becomes

$$
-k_1 \xi + k_1 (\xi_x + \xi_y) + \varepsilon_1 (\xi^2 + d^2 \eta^2) \xi = 0, \quad -k_2 \eta + k_2 (\eta_x + \eta_y) + \varepsilon_2 (\xi^2 + d^2 \eta^2) \eta = 0. \quad (6.2.30)
$$

The sum of squares and $\sin^2 x + \cos^2 x = 1$ motivate us to try

$$
\xi = d \ell \sin x, \quad \eta = \ell \cos x \quad (6.2.31)
$$

for any $0 \neq \ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Substitute them into (6.2.30), we have

$$
-k_1 - k_1 + d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1 = 0, \quad -k_2 - k_2 + d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 = 0. \quad (6.2.32)
$$
So

\[(k_1, k_2) = (d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1 - \kappa_1, d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 - \kappa_2).\] \hspace{1cm} (6.2.33)

When \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, -d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, -d^2)\) with \(d \in \mathbb{R}\), then (6.2.19) becomes

\[-k_1 \xi + \kappa_1(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + \varepsilon_1(\xi^2 - d^2 \eta^2) \xi = 0, \quad -k_2 \eta + \kappa_2(\eta_{xx} + \eta_{yy}) + \varepsilon_2(\xi^2 - d^2 \eta^2) \eta = 0.\] \hspace{1cm} (6.2.34)

The difference of squares and \(\cosh^2 x - \sinh^2 x = 1\) motivate us to try

\[\xi = d \ell \cosh x, \quad \eta = \ell \sinh x\] \hspace{1cm} (6.2.35)

for any \(0 \neq \ell \in \mathbb{R}\). Substitute them into (6.2.34), we have

\[-k_1 + \kappa_1 + d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1 = 0, \quad -k_2 + \kappa_2 + d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 = 0.\] \hspace{1cm} (6.2.36)

Hence

\[(k_1, k_2) = (d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1 + \kappa_1, d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 + \kappa_2).\] \hspace{1cm} (6.2.37)

In summary, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.1.** Let \(d, \ell, m \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(0 < m < 1\) and let \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{1, -1\}\). If \(\alpha_2 \varepsilon_1 \neq 0\), we have the following solutions of the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):

\[
\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{x} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{x} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}}; \hspace{1cm} (6.2.38)
\]

\[
\psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}{(\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2)(x^2 + y^2)}}, \quad \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_1 \ell^2}{(\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2)(x^2 + y^2)}}, \hspace{1cm} (6.2.39)
\]

\[
\psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{2 \kappa_1 \ell t} \tan x, \quad \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{2 \kappa_2 \ell t} \tan x; \hspace{1cm} (6.2.40)
\]

\[
\psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{-\kappa_1 \ell t} \sec x, \quad \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{-\kappa_2 \ell t} \sec x; \hspace{1cm} (6.2.41)
\]

\[
\psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{-2 \kappa_1 \ell t} \coth x, \quad \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{-2 \kappa_2 \ell t} \coth x; \hspace{1cm} (6.2.42)
\]

\[
\psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{\kappa_1 \ell t} \csch x, \quad \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{\kappa_2 \ell t} \csch x; \hspace{1cm} (6.2.43)
\]

\[
\psi = m \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{-(1 + m^2) \kappa_1 \ell t} \sn(x|m), \hspace{1cm} (6.2.44)
\]

\[
\varphi = m \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \varepsilon_2 \ell^2}} e^{-(1 + m^2) \kappa_2 \ell t} \sn(x|m); \hspace{1cm} (6.2.45)
\]
The symmetric transformations (6.2.3)-(6.2.6) give us the following soliton solution

\[ \psi = m \sigma_1 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(2m^2 - 1)\kappa_1 t} \text{cn} (x|m), \]

(6.2.46)

\[ \varphi = m \sigma_2 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(2m^2 - 1)\kappa_2 t} \text{cn} (x|m); \]

(6.2.47)

\[ \psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(2-m^2)\kappa_1 t} \text{dn} (x|m), \]

(6.2.48)

\[ \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(2-m^2)\kappa_2 t} \text{dn} (x|m). \]

(6.2.49)

If \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, d^2),\)

\[ \psi = d \ell e^{(d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1 - \kappa_1) t} \sin x, \quad \varphi = \ell e^{(d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 - \kappa_2) t} \cos x. \]

(6.2.50)

When \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, -d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, -d^2),\)

\[ \psi = d \ell e^{(d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1 + \kappa_1) t} \cosh x, \quad \eta = \ell e^{(d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 + \kappa_2) t} \sinh x. \]

(6.2.51)

**Remark 6.2.2.** Applying the symmetric transformations (6.2.3)-(6.2.6) to the above solutions, we can get more sophisticated ones. For instance, by (6.2.38), we get the following traveling-wave solution

\[ \psi = \sigma_1 e^{a_1 + a_1(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2 - a_1 t) i/\kappa_1} \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(2-m^2)\kappa_1 t} \text{sech} x, \]

(6.2.52)

\[ \varphi = \sigma_2 e^{a_2 + a_2(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2 - a_1 t) i/\kappa_2} \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(2-m^2)\kappa_2 t} \text{sech} x. \]

(6.2.53)

Since \(\lim_{m \to 1} \text{cn}(x|m) = \text{sech} x, (6.2.46)\) and (6.2.47) yield the solution

\[ \psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{\kappa_1 t} \text{sech} x, \quad \varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{\kappa_2 t} \text{sech} x. \]

(6.2.54)

The symmetric transformations (6.2.3)-(6.2.6) give us the following soliton solution

\[ \psi = b \sigma_1 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(b^2 \kappa_1 t + a_1) i + a_1 b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2 - a_1 b) i/\kappa_1} \times \text{sech} b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta - 2a_1 bt + a_2), \]

(6.2.55)

\[ \varphi = b \sigma_2 \sqrt{ \frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} e^{(b^2 \kappa_2 t + a_2) i + a_2 b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta + a_2 - a_1 b) i/\kappa_2} \times \text{sech} b(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta - 2a_1 bt + a_2). \]

(6.2.56)
If \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, d^2)\), (6.2.3)-(6.2.6) and (6.2.50) yield the following wave solution

\[
\psi = bd^{\ell}e^{[b^2(d^2\ell^2\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)\ell t+a_0]i+a_1b(x\cos \theta+y\sin \theta+a_2-a_1bt)i/\kappa_1} \\
\times \sin b(x\cos \theta + y\sin \theta - 2a_1bt + a_2),
\]

(6.2.57)

\[
\varphi = bd^{\ell}e^{[b^2(d^2\ell^2\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_1)\ell t+a_0]i+a_1b(x\cos \theta+y\sin \theta+a_2-a_1bt)i/\kappa_2} \\
\times \cos b(x\cos \theta + y\sin \theta - 2a_1bt + a_2).
\]

(6.2.58)

Case 3. \(\phi = x^2/4\kappa_1t + \beta_1\) and \(\mu = (x-d)^2/4\kappa_2(t-\ell) + \beta_2\) or \(\mu = y^2/4\kappa_2(t-\ell) + \beta_2\) for some functions \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\) in \(t\) and real constants \(d\) and \(\ell\).

First we assume \(\mu = (x-d)^2/4\kappa_2(t-\ell) + \beta_2\). Then (6.2.9) and (6.2.11) become

\[
\xi_t + \frac{x}{t}\xi_x + \frac{1}{2t}\xi = 0, \quad \eta_t + \frac{x-d}{t-\ell}\eta_x + \frac{1}{2(t-\ell)}\eta = 0.
\]

(6.2.59)

Thus

\[
\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\hat{\xi}(t^{-1}x,y), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-\ell}}\hat{\eta}((t-\ell)^{-1}(x-d),y)
\]

(6.2.60)

for some two-variable functions \(\hat{\xi}\) and \(\hat{\eta}\). On the other hand, (6.2.10) and (6.2.12) become

\[
-\beta_1'\xi + \kappa_1(\xi_{xx} + \xi_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_1\xi^2 + \varepsilon_1\eta^2)\xi = 0,
\]

(6.2.61)

\[
-\beta_2'\eta + \kappa_2(\eta_{xx} + \eta_{yy}) + (\varepsilon_2\xi^2 + \varepsilon_2\eta^2)\eta = 0.
\]

(6.2.62)

As (6.1.40)-(6.1.43), the above two equations force us to take

\[
\xi = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad \eta = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{t-\ell}}.
\]

(6.2.63)

So (6.2.61) and (6.2.62) are implied by the equations:

\[
\beta_1' = \frac{c_1^2\varepsilon_1}{t} + \frac{c_2^2\varepsilon_1}{t-\ell}, \quad \beta_2' = \frac{c_1^2\varepsilon_2}{t} + \frac{c_2^2\varepsilon_2}{t-\ell}.
\]

(6.2.64)

For simplicity, we take

\[
\beta_1 = c_1^2\varepsilon_1\ln t + c_2^2\varepsilon_1\ln(t-\ell), \quad \beta_2 = c_1^2\varepsilon_2\ln t + c_2^2\varepsilon_2\ln(t-\ell).
\]

(6.2.65)

Exact same approach holds for \(\mu = y^2/4\kappa_2(t-\ell) + \beta_2\).

**Theorem 6.2.3.** Let \(c_1, c_2, d, \ell \in \mathbb{R}\). We have the following solutions of the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):

\[
\psi = c_1 t^{c_1^2\varepsilon_1 - 1/2}(t-\ell)^{c_2^2\varepsilon_1}e^{x^2i/2\kappa_1t}, \quad \varphi = c_2 t^{c_1^2\varepsilon_2}(t-\ell)^{c_2^2\varepsilon_2-1/2}e^{(x-d)^2i/2\kappa_2(t-\ell)},
\]

(6.2.66)
\[ \psi = c_1 t^{\xi_1 i - 1/2} (t - \ell)^2 e^{x^2 i/2 \kappa_1 t}, \quad \varphi = c_2 t^{\xi_2 i} (t - \ell)^2 e^{y^2 i/2 \kappa_2 (t - \ell)}. \] (6.2.67)

Case 4. \( \phi = x^2/4\kappa_1 t + \beta_1 \) and \( \mu = (x - d)^2/4\kappa_2 (t - \ell_1) + y^2/4\kappa_2 (t - \ell_2) + \beta_2 \) for some functions \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) in \( t \) and real constants \( d, \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_2 \).

In this case, (6.2.9) and (6.2.11) become
\[
\xi_t + x \frac{x}{t} \xi_x + \frac{1}{2t} \xi = 0, \quad \eta_t + \frac{x - d}{t - \ell_1} \eta_x + \frac{y}{t - \ell_2} \eta_y + \left( \frac{1}{2(t - \ell_1)} + \frac{1}{2(t - \ell_2)} \right) \xi = 0. \] (6.2.68)

Thus
\[
\xi = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad \eta = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{(t - \ell_1)(t - \ell_2)}}. \] (6.2.70)

So (6.2.10) and (6.2.12) are implied by the equations:
\[
\beta_1' = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{t} + \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{(t - \ell_1)(t - \ell_2)}, \quad \beta_2' = \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{t} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{(t - \ell_1)(t - \ell_2)}. \] (6.2.71)

For simplicity, we get
\[
\beta_1 = c_1^2 \xi_1 \ln t + \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{t - \ell_1} \ln \frac{t - \ell_1}{t - \ell_2}, \quad \beta_2 = \xi_2 c_1^2 \ln t + \frac{c_2^2}{t - \ell_1} \ln \frac{t - \ell_1}{t - \ell_2}, \] (6.2.72)

if \( \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \), and
\[
\beta_1 = c_1^2 \xi_1 \ln t - \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{t - \ell_1}, \quad \beta_2 = c_1^2 \xi_2 \ln t - \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{t - \ell_1}, \] (6.2.73)

when \( \ell_1 = \ell_2 \).

**Theorem 6.2.4.** Let \( c_1, c_2, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \). We have the following solutions of the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):
\[
\psi = c_1 t^{\xi_1 i - 1/2} (t - \ell_1)^2 e^{x^2 i/4 \kappa_1 t} (t - \ell_2 - c_1^2 (\ell_2 - \ell_1))^{-1} i e^{x^2 i/4 \kappa_1 t}, \] (6.2.74)

\[
\varphi = c_2 t^{\xi_2 i} (t - \ell_1)^2 e^{y^2 i/4 \kappa_1 (t - \ell_1)} (t - \ell_2 + c_2^2 (\ell_2 - \ell_1))^{-1} - 1/2 \left( t - \ell_2 - c_2^2 (\ell_2 - \ell_1))^{-1 i - 1/2} \right) \times \exp \left( \frac{(x - d)^2 i}{4 \kappa_2 (t - \ell_1)} + \frac{y^2 i}{4 \kappa_2 (t - \ell_1)} \right); \] (6.2.75)
\[
\psi = c_1 t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{i}x - \frac{1}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 i - c_2^2 \xi_1 i}{4 \kappa_1 t - \ell_1} \right),
\]
\[
\varphi = \frac{c_2 t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t - \ell_1} \exp \left( \frac{(x - d_1)^2 + (y - d_2)^2 - 4 \epsilon^2 \kappa_2 \epsilon_2 i}{4 \kappa_2 (t - \ell_1)} \right). \tag{6.2.77}
\]

**Case 5.** For \( \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and functions \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) in \( t \),
\[
\phi = \frac{x^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} + \frac{y^2}{4 \kappa_1 (t - \ell)} + \beta_1, \quad \mu = \frac{(x - d_1)^2}{4 \kappa_2 (t - \ell_1)} + \frac{(y - d_2)^2}{4 \kappa_1 (t - \ell_2)} + \beta_2. \tag{6.2.78}
\]

As the above case, we get
\[
\xi = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t(t - \ell)}}, \quad \eta = \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{(t - \ell_1)(t - \ell_2)}}. \tag{6.2.79}
\]
So (6.2.10) and (6.2.12) are implied by the equations:
\[
\beta_1' = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{t(t - \ell)} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_1}{(t - \ell_1)(t - \ell_2)}, \quad \beta_2' = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_2}{t} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{(t - \ell_1)(t - \ell_2)}. \tag{6.2.80}
\]

For simplicity, we have
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{\ell} \ln \frac{t - \ell}{t} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_1}{\ell_2 - \ell_1} \ln \frac{t - \ell_1}{t - \ell_2}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_2}{\ell} \ln \frac{t - \ell}{t} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{\ell_2 - \ell_1} \ln \frac{t - \ell_1}{t - \ell_2} \tag{6.2.81}
\]
if \( \ell \neq 0 \) and \( \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \);
\[
\beta_1 = -\frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{t} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_1}{\ell_2 - \ell_1} \ln \frac{t - \ell_1}{t - \ell_2}, \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{c_1^2 \xi_2}{t} + \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{\ell_2 - \ell_1} \ln \frac{t - \ell_1}{t - \ell_2} \tag{6.2.82}
\]
when \( \ell = 0 \) and \( \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \);
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_1}{t} - \frac{c_2^2 \xi_1}{t - \ell_1}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{c_1^2 \xi_2}{t} - \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2}{t - \ell_1} \tag{6.2.83}
\]
if \( \ell = 0 \) and \( \ell_1 = \ell_2 \). Therefore, we obtain:

**Theorem 6.2.5.** Let \( c_1, c_2, \ell, d_1, d_2, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \ell \neq 0 \) and \( \ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \). We have the following solutions of the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):
\[
\psi = \frac{c_1}{t} \exp \left( \frac{(x^2 + y^2 - 4 \epsilon^2 \kappa_1 \xi_1) i}{4 \kappa_1 t - \ell_1} \right), \tag{6.2.84}
\]
\[
\varphi = \frac{c_2}{t - \ell_1} \exp \left( \frac{(x - d_1)^2 + (y - d_2)^2 - 4 \epsilon^2 \kappa_2 \epsilon_2 i}{4 \kappa_2 (t - \ell_1)} - \frac{c_2^2 \xi_2 i}{t} \right); \tag{6.2.85}
\]
\[
\psi = \frac{c_1(t - \ell_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t} \exp \left( \frac{(x^2 + y^2 - 4 \epsilon^2 \kappa_1 \xi_1) i}{4 \kappa_1 t} \right). \tag{6.2.86}
\]
\[ \varphi = c_2(t - \ell_1)\varepsilon_2i/(\ell_2 - \ell_1)^{-1/2}(t - \ell_2)^{-1/2} \times \exp \left( \frac{(x - d_1)^2i}{4\kappa_2(t - \ell_1)} + \frac{(y - d_2)^2i}{4\kappa_2(t - \ell_2)} - \frac{c_1^2\varepsilon_2i}{t} \right); \]

\[ \psi = c_1t\varepsilon_2i/(\ell - \ell_1)^{-1/2}(t - \ell_1)^{-1/2} \times (t - \ell_2)^{-1/2} \exp \left( \frac{x^2i}{4\kappa_1t} + \frac{y^2i}{4\kappa_1(t - \ell)} \right). \]

\[ \varphi = c_2t\varepsilon_2i/(\ell - \ell_1)^{-1/2}(t - \ell_1)^{-1/2} \times (t - \ell_2)^{-1/2} \exp \left( \frac{(x - d_1)^2i}{4\kappa_2(t - \ell_1)} + \frac{(y - d_2)^2i}{4\kappa_2(t - \ell_2)} \right). \]

**Case 6.** For two functions \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) in \( t \),

\[ \phi = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_1t} + \beta_1, \quad \mu = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_2t} + \beta_2. \]

As Case 4, (6.2.9) and (6.2.11) imply

\[ \xi = \frac{1}{t} \hat{\xi}(u, v), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{t} \hat{\eta}(u, v), \quad u = \frac{x}{t}, \quad v = \frac{y}{t}. \]

Moreover, (6.2.10) and (6.2.12) become

\[ -\beta_1'\hat{\xi} + \frac{\kappa_1}{t^2}(\hat{\xi}_{uu} + \hat{\xi}_{vv}) + \frac{1}{t^2}(\varepsilon_1\hat{\xi}^2 + \varepsilon_1\hat{\eta}^2)\hat{\xi} = 0; \]

\[ -\beta_2'\hat{\eta} + \frac{\kappa_2}{t^2}(\hat{\eta}_{uu} + \hat{\eta}_{vv}) + \frac{1}{t^2}(\varepsilon_2\hat{\xi}^2 + \varepsilon_2\hat{\eta}^2)\hat{\eta} = 0. \]

To solve the above system, we assume

\[ \beta_1 = -\frac{c_1}{t}, \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{c_2}{t}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Then (6.2.92) and (6.2.93) are equivalent to:

\[ -c_1\hat{\xi} + \kappa_1(\hat{\xi}_{uu} + \hat{\xi}_{vv}) + (\varepsilon_1\hat{\xi}^2 + \varepsilon_1\hat{\eta}^2)\hat{\xi} = 0; \]

\[ -c_2\hat{\eta} + \kappa_2(\hat{\eta}_{uu} + \hat{\eta}_{vv}) + (\varepsilon_2\hat{\xi}^2 + \varepsilon_2\hat{\eta}^2)\hat{\eta} = 0. \]

For simplicity, we assume \( \hat{\xi} \) and \( \hat{\eta} \) are independent of \( v \). If \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_1(1, \ell^2) \) and \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(1, \ell^2) \) with \( \ell \in \mathbb{R} \), we have the following solution:

\[ \hat{\xi} = -d\ell \sin u, \quad \hat{\eta} = \ell \cos u, \quad (c_1, c_2) = (d^2\ell^2\varepsilon_1 - \kappa_1, d^2\ell^2\varepsilon_2 - \kappa_2) \]
for \( \ell \in \mathbb{R} \). When \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, -d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, -d^2)\) with \(d \in \mathbb{R}\), we get the solution:

\[
\hat{\chi} = d\ell \cosh \varpi, \quad \hat{\eta} = \ell \sinh \varpi, \quad (c_1, c_2) = (\varepsilon_2^2 \varepsilon_1 + \kappa_1, d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2 + \kappa_2)
\]  

(6.2.98)

for \( \ell \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Theorem 6.2.6.** For \(d, \ell \in \mathbb{R}\), we have the following solutions of the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):

\[
\psi = \frac{d\ell \sin(x/t)}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_1 t} + \frac{\kappa_1 - d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1}{4\kappa_1 t} \right) i, \quad (6.2.99)
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\ell \cos(x/t)}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_2 t} + \frac{\kappa_2 - d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2}{4\kappa_2 t} \right) i, \quad (6.2.100)
\]

if \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, d^2)\):

\[
\psi = \frac{d\ell \cosh(x/t)}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_1 t} - \frac{\kappa_1 + d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1}{4\kappa_1 t} \right) i, \quad (6.2.101)
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\ell \sinh(x/t)}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_2 t} - \frac{\kappa_2 + d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2}{4\kappa_2 t} \right) i \quad (6.2.102)
\]

when \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, -d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, -d^2)\).

**Remark 6.2.7.** Applying the transformation in (6.2.3) and (6.2.4) with \(a = a_0 = a_2 = a_3 = 0\) to (6.2.99) and (6.2.100), we get a more general wave-like solution:

\[
\psi = \frac{d\ell \sin[(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)/(b(t - a_1))]}{b(t - a_1)} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_1 (t - a_1)} + \frac{\kappa_1 - d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_1}{4\kappa_1 (t - a_1) b^2 (t - a_1)} \right) i, \quad (6.2.103)
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\ell \cos[(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta)/(b(t - a_1))]}{b(t - a_1)} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_2 (t - a_1)} + \frac{\kappa_2 - d^2 \ell^2 \varepsilon_2}{4\kappa_2 (t - a_1) b^2 (t - a_1)} \right) i \quad (6.2.104)
\]

if \((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1) = \varepsilon_1(1, d^2)\) and \((\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2) = \varepsilon_2(1, d^2)\), where \(a_1, b, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(b \neq 0\). We can get more sophisticated wave-like solution if we apply the general forms of the transformations in (6.2.3)-(6.2.6).

Finally, we assume \(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1 \neq 0\). Again we assume that \(\hat{\chi}\) and \(\hat{\eta}\) are independent of \(v\). By the arguments in (6.2.19)-(6.2.30), we have:

**Theorem 6.2.8.** Let \(d, \ell, m \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(0 < m < 1\) and let \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{1, -1\}\). If \(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1 \neq 0\), we have the following solutions of the coupled two-dimensional cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2):

\[
\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{x} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4\kappa_1 t} \right) i, \quad (6.2.105)
\]
\[\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{x} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} \right) \] ; (6.2.106)

\[\psi = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1}{(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1)(x^2 + y^2)}} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} \right) , \] (6.2.107)

\[\varphi = \sigma_2 \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2}{(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1)(x^2 + y^2)}} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} \right) ;\] (6.2.108)

\[\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \tan \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} - \frac{2 \kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.109)

\[\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \tan \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} - \frac{2 \kappa_2}{t} \right) i ;\] (6.2.110)

\[\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \sec \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} + \frac{\kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.111)

\[\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \sec \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} + \frac{\kappa_2}{t} \right) i ;\] (6.2.112)

\[\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \coth \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} + \frac{2 \kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.113)

\[\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \coth \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} + \frac{2 \kappa_2}{t} \right) i ;\] (6.2.114)

\[\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \csch \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} - \frac{\kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.115)

\[\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \csch \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} - \frac{\kappa_2}{t} \right) i ;\] (6.2.116)

\[\psi = \frac{m \sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \sn (x/t|m) \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} + \frac{(1 + m^2) \kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.117)

\[\varphi = \frac{m \sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \sn (x/t|m) \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} + \frac{(1 + m^2) \kappa_2}{t} \right) i ;\] (6.2.118)

\[\psi = \frac{m \sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \cn (x/t|m) \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} + \frac{(1 - m^2) \kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.119)

\[\varphi = \frac{m \sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \varepsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \cn (x/t|m) \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} + \frac{(1 - m^2) \kappa_2}{t} \right) i ;\] (6.2.120)

\[\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\varepsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \varepsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_1}} \dn (x/t|m) \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} + \frac{(m^2 - 2) \kappa_1}{t} \right) i , \] (6.2.121)
Applying the transformation in (6.2.3)-(6.2.4) with the Davey-Stewartson I equations are called the following system of nonlinear partial differential equations.

By [DS] (1974) used the method of multiple scales to derive the following system of nonlinear partial differential equations if we apply the general forms of the transformations in (6.2.3)-(6.2.6).

### Remark 6.2.9
Since \( \lim_{m \to 1} cn(x|m) = \text{sech} x \), (6.2.119) and (6.2.120) yield the solution

\[
\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\epsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \epsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1}} \text{sech} \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 t} - \frac{\kappa_1}{t} \right) i, \quad (6.2.123)
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{t} \sqrt{\frac{2(\epsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \epsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1}} \text{sech} \frac{x}{t} \exp \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 t} - \frac{\kappa_2}{t} \right) i; \quad (6.2.124)
\]

Applying the transformation in (6.2.3)-(6.2.4) with \( a = a_0 = a_2 = a_3 = 0 \) and the transformation \( S_{e,0} \) in (6.2.5)-(6.2.6), we get a more general soliton-like solution:

\[
\psi = \frac{\sigma_1}{b^2(t - a_1)} \sqrt{\frac{2(\epsilon_2 \kappa_1 - \epsilon_1 \kappa_2)}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1}} \text{sech} \frac{(x - 2ct) \cos \theta + y \sin \theta}{b(t - a_1)} \times \exp \left( \frac{(x - 2ct)^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_1 (t - a_1)} - \frac{\kappa_1}{b^2(t - a_1)} + \frac{c(x - ct)}{\kappa_1} + a \right) i, \quad (6.2.125)
\]

\[
\varphi = \frac{\sigma_2}{b^2(t - a_1)} \sqrt{\frac{2(\epsilon_1 \kappa_2 - \epsilon_2 \kappa_1)}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1}} \text{sech} \frac{(x - 2ct) \cos \theta + y \sin \theta}{b(t - a_1)} \times \exp \left( \frac{(x - 2ct)^2 + y^2}{4 \kappa_2 (t - a_1)} - \frac{\kappa_2}{b^2(t - a_1)} + \frac{c(x - ct)}{\kappa_2} + a_0 \right) i, \quad (6.2.126)
\]

where \( a, a_0, a_1, b, c, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b \neq 0 \). We can get more sophisticated soliton-like solution if we apply the general forms of the transformations in (6.2.3)-(6.2.6).

### 6.3 Davey and Stewartson Equations

Davey and Stewartson [DS] (1974) used the method of multiple scales to derive the following system of nonlinear partial differential equations

\[
2iu_t + \epsilon_1 u_{xx} + u_{yy} - 2\epsilon_2 |u|^2 u - 2uv = 0, \quad (6.3.1)
\]

\[
v_{xx} - \epsilon_1 (v_{yy} + 2(|u|^2)_{xx}) = 0 \quad (6.3.2)
\]

that describe the long time evolution of three-dimensional packets of surface waves, where \( u \) is a complex-valued function, \( v \) is a real valued function and \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1 \). The equations are called the Davey-Stewartson I equations if \( \epsilon_1 = 1 \), and the Davey-Stewartson II equations when \( \epsilon_1 = -1 \). They were used to study the stability of the uniform Stokes wave train with respect to small disturbance. The soliton solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations were first studied by Anker and Freeman [AF] (1978). Kirby and Dalrymple
[KD] (1983) obtained oblique envelope solutions of the equations in intermediate water depth. Omote [Om] (1988) found infinite-dimensional symmetry algebras and an infinite number of conserved quantities for the equations.

Arkadiev, Pogrebkov and Polivanov [APP1] (1989) studied the solutions of the Davey-Stewartson II equations whose singularities form closed lines with string-like behavior. They [APP2] (1989) also applied the inverse scattering transform method to the Davey-Stewartson II equations. Gilson and Nimmo [GN] (1991) found dromion solutions and Malanyuk [Mt1, Mt2] (1991, 1994) obtained finite-gap solutions of the equations. van de Linden (1992) studied the solutions under a certain boundary condition. Clarkson and Hood [CH] (1994) obtained certain symmetry reductions of the equations to ordinary differential equations with no intervening steps and provided new exact solutions which are not obtainable by the Lie group approach. Guil and Manas [GM] (1995) found certain solutions of the Davey-Stewartson I equations by deforming dromion. Manas and Santini [MS] (1997) studied a large class of solutions of the Davey-Stewartson II equations by a Wronskian scheme. There are the other interesting works on solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations (e.g., cf. [Vj]). It is obvious that the some of above solutions are equivalent to each other under the known symmetric transformations. It is time to study solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations modulo the known symmetric transformations.

In this section, we use the quadratic-argument approach to study exact solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations modulo the most known symmetry transformations. This is a revision of our earlier preprint [X18].

By (6.1.2), (6.3.1) and (6.3.2), we take

\[ \deg x = \deg y = -\deg u = \frac{1}{2} \deg t = -\frac{1}{2} \deg v \]  

(6.3.3)

in order to make the nonzero terms in (6.3.1) and (6.3.2) having the same degree. Moreover, the equation (6.3.1) and (6.3.2) are translation invariant because they do not contain variable coefficients. Thus the transformation

\[ T_{a,b}(u(t, x, y)) = bu(b^2t + a, bx, by), \quad T_{a,b}(v(t, x, y)) = b^2v(b^2t + a, bx, by) \]  

(6.3.4)

maps a solution of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2) to another solution, where \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( b \neq 0 \). Let \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) be functions in \( t \). The transformation \( u(t, x, y) \mapsto u(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta) \) and \( v(t, x, y) \mapsto v(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta) \) changes (6.3.1) to

\[ 2i(\alpha' u_x + \beta' u_y + u_t) + \epsilon_1 u_{xx} + u_{yy} - 2\epsilon_2 |u|^2 u - 2uv = 0 \]  

(6.3.5)

and leaves (6.3.2) invariant, where the independent variables \( x \) is replaced by \( x + \alpha \), the independent variables \( y \) is replaced by \( y + \beta \) and the subindices denote the partial derivatives with respect to the original independent variables. Moreover, the transformation
Moreover, (6.3.2) becomes changes (6.3.1) to

\[2[\left(\epsilon_1 \alpha'' x + \beta'' y + \gamma'\right)u + iu_t] - (\epsilon_1 \alpha'^2 + \beta'^2)u - 2\alpha' iu_x - 2\beta' iu_y + \epsilon_1 u_{xx} + u_{yy} - 2\epsilon_2 |u|^2 u - 2uv = 0\]  

(6.3.6)

and leaves (6.3.2) invariant. Furthermore, the transformation

\[u \mapsto u \quad \text{and} \quad v \mapsto v + \epsilon_1 \alpha'' x + \beta'' y - \frac{\epsilon_1 \alpha'^2 + \beta'^2}{2} + \gamma'\]  

(6.3.7)

changes (6.3.1) to

\[2iu_t + \epsilon_1 u_{xx} + u_{yy} - 2\epsilon_2 |u|^2 u - 2uv + [\epsilon_1 \alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 + 2\gamma' - 2(\epsilon_1 \alpha'' x + \beta'' y)]u = 0\]  

(6.3.8)

and keeps (6.3.2) invariant. Thus the transformation

\[S_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(u(t, x, y)) = e^{-(\epsilon_1 \alpha' x + \beta' y + \gamma)} u(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta),\]  

(6.3.9)

\[S_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(v(t, x, y)) = v(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta) + \epsilon_1 \alpha'' x + \beta'' y - \frac{\epsilon_1 \alpha'^2 + \beta'^2}{2} + \gamma'\]  

(6.3.10)

maps a solution of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2) to another solution.

Write

\[u = \xi(t, x, y)e^{i\phi(t, x, y)},\]  

(6.3.11)

where \(\xi\) and \(\phi\) are real functions in \(t, x, y\). Note

\[u_t = (\xi_t + i\xi \phi_t)e^{i\phi}, \quad u_x = (\xi_x + i\xi \phi_x)e^{i\phi}, \quad u_y = (\xi_y + i\xi \phi_y)e^{i\phi},\]  

(6.3.12)

\[u_{xx} = (\xi_{xx} - \xi \phi_x^2 + i(2\xi_x \phi_x + \xi \phi_{xx}))e^{i\phi}, \quad u_{yy} = (\xi_{yy} - \xi \phi_y^2 + i(2\xi_y \phi_y + \xi \phi_{yy}))e^{i\phi} .\]  

(6.3.13)

Then (6.3.1) is equivalent to

\[2i\xi_t - 2\xi \phi_t + \epsilon_1 (\xi_{xx} - \xi \phi_x^2 + i(2\xi_x \phi_x + \xi \phi_{xx})) + \xi_{yy} - \xi \phi_y^2 + i(2\xi_y \phi_y + \xi \phi_{yy}) - 2\epsilon_2 \xi^3 - 2\xi v = 0,\]  

(6.3.14)

equivalently,

\[2\xi_t + 2i(\epsilon_1 \xi_x \phi_x + \xi \phi_y) + \xi (\epsilon_1 \phi_{xx} + \phi_{yy}) = 0,\]  

(6.3.15)

\[\xi(2\phi_t + \epsilon_1 \phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2) - \epsilon_1 \xi_{xx} - \xi_{yy} + 2\epsilon_2 \xi^3 + 2\xi v = 0.\]  

(6.3.16)

Moreover, (6.3.2) becomes

\[v_{xx} - \epsilon_1 (v_{yy} + 2(\xi^2)_{xx}) = 0.\]  

(6.3.17)

Case 1. \(\phi = 0\).
In this case, (6.3.15) becomes $\xi_t = 0$. Moreover, (6.3.16) gives
\[
-\epsilon_1 \xi_{xx} - \xi_{yy} + 2\epsilon_2 \xi^3 + 2\xi v = 0. \tag{6.3.18}
\]

Fixing $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote
\[
\varpi = \ell_1 x + \ell_2 y. \tag{6.3.19}
\]
Assume $\xi = f(\varpi)$ and $v = g(\varpi)$ for some one-variable functions $f$ and $g$. Then (6.3.17) and (6.3.18) become
\[
(\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_1 \ell_2^2)g'' - 2\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2(f^2)'' = 0, \tag{6.3.20}
\]
\[-(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)f'' + 2\epsilon_2 f^3 + 2fg = 0. \tag{6.3.21}
\]
Suppose
\[
\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_1 \ell_2^2 \neq 0 \text{ and } \epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2 \neq 0 \sim \ell_1^4 \neq \ell_2^4. \tag{6.3.22}
\]
Then
\[
g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + c(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2) \tag{6.3.23}
\]
is a solution of (6.3.20) with $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Substituting (6.3.23) into (6.3.21), we get
\[
-(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)f'' + 2\left(\frac{2 + \epsilon_1 \ell_2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2}\right) f^3 + 2c(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)f = 0, \tag{6.3.24}
\]
equivalently,
\[
f'' + 2\left(\frac{2 + \epsilon_1 \ell_2}{\ell_1^4 - \ell_1^2}\right) f^3 - 2cf = 0. \tag{6.3.25}
\]
If
\[
\epsilon_2 = 1 \text{ and } \ell_2 = \pm \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1 \ell_1}, \tag{6.3.26}
\]
then (6.3.25) becomes $f'' = 2cf$. Assuming $c = 2c_1^2$ with $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the solution
\[
f = a_1 e^{2c_1 \varpi} + a_2 e^{-2c_1 \varpi} \text{ and } g = -f^2 + 8c_1^2 \ell_1^4. \tag{6.3.27}
\]
Letting $c = -2c_1^2$ with $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain another solution
\[
f = a_1 \sin 2c_1 \varpi \text{ and } g = -f^2 - 8c_1^2 \ell_1^4. \tag{6.3.28}
\]
Since $\varpi = \ell_1 x + \ell_2 y = \ell_1 (x \pm \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y)$, we can take $2c_1 \ell_1 = 1$ if we replace $u$ by $T_0(2c_1 \ell_1)^{-1}(u)$ and $v$ by $T_0(2c_1 \ell_1)^{-1}(v)$. Thus have
\[
f = a_1 e^{x \pm \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y} + a_2 e^{-x \mp \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y} \text{ and } g = -f^2 + 2; \tag{6.3.29}
\]
\[
f = a_1 \sin(x \pm \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y) \text{ and } g = -f^2 - 2. \tag{6.3.30}
\]
Next we assume
\[
(2 + \epsilon_1 \ell_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2 \neq 0. \tag{6.3.31}
\]
Recall (6.1.18)-(6.1.23). Substituting $\xi = f = k\varphi(x)$ to (6.3.25) with $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi = 1/x$, $\tan x$, $\sec x$, $\coth x$, $\csc x$, $\sinh(x|m)$, $\cosh(x|m)$, $\dint (x|m)$, we find the following solutions:

\[ f = \frac{1}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2}; \quad (6.3.32) \]

\[ f = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \tan \omega}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2; \quad (6.3.33) \]

\[ f = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \sec \omega}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} - \epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2; \quad (6.3.34) \]

\[ f = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \coth \omega}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} - \epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2; \quad (6.3.35) \]

\[ f = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \csc \omega}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2; \quad (6.3.36) \]

\[ f = m \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \dint (\omega|m)}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} - \frac{(m^2 + 1)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2}; \quad (6.3.37) \]

\[ f = m \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \cosh (\omega|m)}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \frac{(2m^2 - 1)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2}; \quad (6.3.38) \]

\[ f = m \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \dint (\omega|m)}, \quad g = \frac{2\ell_1^2 f^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \frac{(2 - m^2)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2}. \quad (6.3.39) \]

In summary, we have:

**Theorem 6.3.1.** If $\epsilon_2 = 1$, we have the following solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2): for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1 \neq 0$,

\[ u = a_1 e^{x \pm \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y} + a_2 e^{-x \mp \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y} \quad \text{and} \quad v = -u^2 + 2; \quad (6.3.40) \]

\[ u = a_1 \sin(x \pm \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y) \quad \text{and} \quad v = -u^2 - 2. \quad (6.3.41) \]

Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

\[ \ell_1^4 \neq \ell_2^4 \quad \text{and} \quad (2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 \neq \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2. \quad (6.3.42) \]

Then we have the following solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2):

\[ u = \frac{1}{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y} \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}, \quad v = \frac{2\ell_1^2 u^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2}; \quad (6.3.43) \]

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \tan(\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y)}, \quad v = \frac{2\ell_1^2 u^2}{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2; \quad (6.3.44) \]
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\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \sec (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} - \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2}; \quad (6.3.45) \]

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \coth (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} - \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2}{2}; \quad (6.3.46) \]

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \csch (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2}; \quad (6.3.47) \]

\[ u = m \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \sn (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y|m), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} - \frac{(m^2 + 1)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2}; \quad (6.3.48) \]

\[ u = m \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \cn (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y|m), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \frac{(2m^2 - 1)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2}; \quad (6.3.49) \]

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \dn (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y|m), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \frac{(2 - m^2)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2}. \quad (6.3.50) \]

**Remark 6.3.2.** Since \( \lim_{m \to 1} \dn (x|m) = \sech x \), (6.3.52) yields the solution

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \sech (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y), \quad v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 u^2}{\ell_1^2 - \ell_2^2} + \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2}. \quad (6.3.51) \]

Applying \( S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \) in (6.3.9) and (6.3.10), we get a more general solution

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} e^{-(\epsilon_1 \alpha' x + \beta' y + \gamma) i} \sech (\ell_1 (x + \alpha) + \ell_2 (y + \beta)), \quad (6.3.52) \]

\[ v = \frac{2 \ell_1^2 (\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{\epsilon_2 \ell_2^2 - (2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2} \sech^2 (\ell_1 (x + \alpha) + \ell_2 (y + \beta)) \]

\[ + \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2} + \epsilon_1 \alpha'' x + \beta'' y - \frac{\epsilon_1 (\alpha')^2 + (\beta')^2}{2} + \gamma', \quad (6.3.53) \]

where \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are arbitrary functions of \( t \). Taking \( \alpha = a_1 t \), \( \beta = a_2 t \) and \( \gamma = (\epsilon_1 a_1^2 + a_2^2) t / 2 \), we have a solution

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} e^{-(\epsilon_1 a_1 x + a_2 y + (\epsilon_1 a_1^2 + a_2^2) t / 2) i} \sech (\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y + (a_1 \ell_1 + a_2 \ell_2) t), \quad (6.3.54) \]
\[ v = \frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{\epsilon_2\ell_2^2 - (2 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_2)\ell_1^2} \text{sech}^2(\ell_1x + \ell_2y + (a_1\ell_1 + a_2\ell_2)t) + \frac{\epsilon_1\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2}, \quad (6.3.57) \]

where \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R} \).

**Case 2.** \( \phi = \epsilon_1x^2/2t \) or \( y^2/2t \).

Suppose \( \phi = \epsilon_1x^2/2t \). Then (6.3.15) and (6.3.16) become

\[
\xi_t + \frac{x}{t} \xi_x + \frac{1}{2t} \xi = 0, \quad (6.3.58)
\]

\[
-\epsilon_1 \xi_{xx} - \xi_{yy} + 2\epsilon_2 \xi^3 + 2\xi v = 0. \quad (6.3.59)
\]

By (6.1.40)-(6.1.43), we have

\[
\xi = \frac{a}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad v = -\frac{\epsilon_2a^2}{t}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.3.60)
\]

which satisfies (6.3.17). Moreover, (6.3.60) also holds when \( \phi = y^2/2t \).

**Case 3.** \( \phi = \epsilon_1x^2/2t + y^2/2(t - d) \) with \( 0 \neq d \in \mathbb{R} \).

In this case, (6.1.45) and (6.3.59) hold. By (6.1.46)-(6.1.49),

\[
\xi = \frac{a}{\sqrt{t(t - d)}}, \quad v = -\frac{\epsilon_2a^2}{t(t - d)}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.3.61)
\]

In summary, we have:

**Theorem 6.3.3.** For \( a, d \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( d \neq 0 \), we have the following solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2):

\[
u = \frac{ae^{\epsilon_1 x^2/2t}}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad v = -\frac{\epsilon_2a^2}{t}; \quad (6.3.62)\]

\[
u = \frac{ae^{y^2/2t}}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad v = -\frac{\epsilon_2a^2}{t}; \quad (6.3.63)\]

\[
u = \frac{ae^{(\epsilon_1 x^2/2t + y^2/2(t - d))i}}{\sqrt{t(t - d)}}, \quad v = -\frac{\epsilon_2a^2}{t(t - d)}. \quad (6.3.64)\]

**Case 4.** \( \phi = (\epsilon_1x^2 + y^2)/2t \).

In this case, (6.3.15) becomes (6.1.54). So

\[
\xi = \frac{1}{t} \zeta(z, s), \quad z = \frac{x}{t}, \quad s = \frac{y}{t}, \quad (6.3.65)
\]
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for some two-variable function $\zeta$ by (6.1.55). Moreover, (6.3.16) becomes

$$\frac{-\epsilon_1 \zeta_{zz} + \zeta_{ss}}{t^2} + 2\frac{\epsilon_2 \zeta^3}{t^2} + 2\zeta v = 0. \quad (6.3.66)$$

Assume

$$v = \frac{\eta(z, s)}{t^2} \quad (6.3.67)$$

for some two-variable functions $\eta$. Then (6.3.66) becomes

$$-\epsilon_1 \zeta_{zz} - \zeta_{ss} + 2\epsilon_2 \zeta^3 + 2\zeta \eta = 0 \quad (6.3.68)$$

and (6.3.17) becomes

$$\eta_{zz} - \epsilon_1 (\eta_{ss} + 2(\zeta^2)_{zz}) = 0. \quad (6.3.69)$$

By the arguments in (6.3.17)-(6.3.39), we obtain:

**Theorem 6.3.4.** If $\epsilon_2 = 1$, we have the following solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2): for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1 \neq 0$,

$$u = \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2 + \epsilon_2 y^2)/2t}}{t} (a_1 e^{(x+\sqrt{2+\epsilon_1} y)/t} + a_2 e^{(-x+\sqrt{2+\epsilon_1} y)/t}), \quad (6.3.70)$$

$$v = \frac{1}{t^2} \left[2 - (a_1 e^{(x+\sqrt{2+\epsilon_1} y)/t} + a_2 e^{(-x+\sqrt{2+\epsilon_1} y)/t})^2\right], \quad (6.3.71)$$

$$u = \frac{a_1 e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2 + \epsilon_2 y^2)/2t}}{t} \sin \frac{x + \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y}{t}, \quad v = -\frac{1}{t^2} \left(2 + a_1^2 \sin^2 \frac{x + \sqrt{2 + \epsilon_1} y}{t}\right); \quad (6.3.72)$$

Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \text{ and } (2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 \neq \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2. \quad (6.3.73)$$

Then we the following solutions of the Davey-Stewartson equations (6.3.1) and (6.3.2):

$$u = \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2 + \epsilon_2 y^2)/2t}}{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y} \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}}, \quad v = \frac{2\ell_1^2 (\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{((2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2)(\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y)^2}; \quad (6.3.74)$$

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2 + \epsilon_2 y^2)/2t}}{t} \tan \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t}, \quad (6.3.75)$$

$$v = \frac{2\ell_1^2 (\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{((2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2)t^2} \tan^2 \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} + \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{t^2}; \quad (6.3.76)$$

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2 + \epsilon_2 y^2)/2t}}{t} \sec \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t}, \quad (6.3.77)$$

$$v = \frac{2\ell_1^2 (\ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{((2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2)t^2} \sec^2 \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} - \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2t^2}; \quad (6.3.78)$$

$$u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2) \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2 + \epsilon_2 y^2)/2t}}{t} \coth \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t}, \quad (6.3.79)$$
\[ v = \frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \coth^2 \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} - \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{t^2}; \]  
\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_2^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2+y^2)i/2t}}{t} \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \text{csch} \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right), \]  
\[ v = \frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \text{sn}^2 \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right) - \frac{(m^2 + 1)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2t^2}; \]  
\[ u = m \sqrt{\frac{\ell_2^4 - \ell_1^4}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2}} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2+y^2)i/2t}}{t} \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \text{cn} \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right) \]  
\[ v = -\frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \text{cn}^2 \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right) \]  
\[ + \frac{(2m^2 - 1)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2t^2}; \]  
\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_1^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2+y^2)i/2t}}{t} \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \text{dn} \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right) \]  
\[ v = -\frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \text{dn}^2 \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right) \]  
\[ + \frac{(2 - m^2)(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{2t^2}. \]  

**Remark 6.3.5.** Since \( \lim_{m \to 1} \text{dn} (x|m) = \text{sech} x \), (6.3.87) and (6.3.88) yield the solution

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_1^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2+y^2)i/2t}}{t} \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \text{sech} \left( \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \right), \]  
\[ v = -\frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \text{sech}^2 \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y}{t} \]  
\[ + \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2t^2}. \]  

Applying \( S_{a_1, a_2, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2} \) in (6.3.9) and (6.3.10), we get a solution-like solution

\[ u = \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1^4 - \ell_1^4}{2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \frac{e^{(\epsilon_1 x^2+y^2)i/2t - (a_1 \ell_1 + a_2 \ell_2)i/2t)}}{t} \times \text{sech} \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y + (a_1 \ell_1 + a_2 \ell_2)t}{t}, \]  
\[ v = -\frac{2\ell_1^2(\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2)}{(2 + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)\ell_1^2 - \epsilon_2 \ell_2^2} \text{sech}^2 \frac{\ell_1 x + \ell_2 y + (a_1 \ell_1 + a_2 \ell_2)t}{t} \]  
\[ + \frac{\epsilon_1 \ell_1^2 + \ell_2^2}{2t^2}. \]
Chapter 7

Dynamic Convection in a Sea

The rotation of the earth influences both the atmospheric and oceanic flows. In fact, the fast rotation and small aspect ratio are two main characteristics of the large scale atmospheric and oceanic flows. The small aspect ratio characteristic leads to the primitive equations, and the fast rotation leads to the quasi-geostrophic equations (e.g., cf. [GC, LTW1, LTW2, Pj]). A main objective in climate dynamics and in geophysical fluid dynamics is to understand and predict the periodic, quasi-periodic, aperiodic, and fully turbulent characteristics of the large scale atmospheric and oceanic flows (e.g., cf. [HMW, Le]). The general model of atmospheric and oceanic flows is very complicated. In this chapter, we study a simplified model of dynamic convection in a sea due to Ovsiannikov (1967) (e.g., cf. Page 203 in [In3]).

In Section 7.1, we present the equations for dynamic convection in a sea and the symmetry analysis on them. In Section 7.2, we use a new variable of moving line to solve the equations. An approach of using the product of cylindrical invariant function with $z$ is introduced in Section 7.3. In Section 7.4, we reduce the three-dimensional (spacial) equations into a two-dimensional problem and then solve it with three different ansatzes (assumptions). This chapter is a revision of our earlier preprint [X17].

7.1 Equations and Symmetries

The following equations

\begin{align}
  u_x + v_y + w_z &= 0, \quad \rho = p_z, \\
  \rho_t + u\rho_x + v\rho_y + w\rho_z &= 0, \\
  u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z + v &= -\frac{1}{\rho}p_x, \\
  v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z - u &= -\frac{1}{\rho}p_y
\end{align}

are used to describe the dynamic convection of a sea in geophysics, where $u$, $v$ and $w$ are components of velocity vector of relative motion of fluid in Cartesian coordinates $(x, y, z)$,
\( \rho = \rho(x, y, z, t) \) is the density of fluid and \( p \) is the pressure (e.g., cf. Page 203 in [In3]). Ovsiannikov determined the Lie point symmetries of the above equations and found two very special solutions.

Let us first do degree analysis. Denote

\[
\deg u = \ell, \quad \deg x = \ell_1, \quad \deg y = \ell_2, \quad \deg x = \ell_3. \tag{7.1.5}
\]

To make the nonzero terms in (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) to have the same degree, we have to take

\[
\deg u_x = \deg v_y \implies \deg v = \ell + \ell_2 - \ell_1, \tag{7.1.6}
\]

\[
\deg u_x = \deg w_2 \implies \deg w = \ell + \ell_3 - \ell_1, \tag{7.1.7}
\]

\[
\deg u_t = \deg uu_x \implies \deg t = \ell_1 - \ell, \tag{7.1.8}
\]

\[
\deg u_t = \deg v_2 \sim 2\ell - \ell_1 = \ell + \ell_2 - \ell_1 \implies \ell_2 = \ell, \tag{7.1.9}
\]

\[
\deg v_t = \deg u_2 \sim 2\ell + \ell_2 - 2\ell_1 = \ell \implies \ell_1 = \ell, \tag{7.1.10}
\]

\[
\deg \rho = \deg p_z \implies \deg \rho = \deg p - \ell_3, \tag{7.1.11}
\]

\[
\deg u = \deg \frac{1}{\rho}p_y \sim \ell = \deg p - \deg p - \ell_2 \implies \ell = \ell_3 - \ell_2 \implies \ell_3 = 2\ell. \tag{7.1.12}
\]

In summary,

\[
\deg u = \deg v = \deg x = \deg y = \ell, \tag{7.1.13}
\]

\[
\deg w = \deg z = 2\ell = \deg p - \deg \rho, \quad \deg t = 0. \tag{7.1.14}
\]

Moreover, the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) are translation invariant because they do not contain variable coefficients. Thus the transformation

\[
T_{a, b_1, b_2}(u(t, x, y, z)) = b_1^{-1}u(t + a, b_1 x, b_1 y, b_1^2 z), \tag{7.1.15}
\]

\[
T_{a, b_1, b_2}(v(t, x, y, z)) = b_1^{-1}v(t + a, b_1 x, b_1 y, b_1^2 z), \tag{7.1.16}
\]

\[
T_{a, b_1, b_2}(w(t, x, y, z)) = b_1^{-2}w(t + a, b_1 x, b_1 y, b_1^2 z), \tag{7.1.17}
\]

\[
T_{a, b_1, b_2}(\rho(t, x, y, z)) = b_2 \rho(t + a, b_1 x, b_1 y, b_1^2 z), \tag{7.1.18}
\]

\[
T_{a, b_1, b_2}(p(t, x, y, z)) = b_1^{-2}b_2 p(t + a, b_1 x, b_1 y, b_1^2 z) \tag{7.1.19}
\]

is a symmetry of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4).

Let \( \alpha \) be a function in \( t \). Note that the transformation

\[
F(t, x, y, z) \mapsto F(t, x + \alpha, y, z) \quad \text{with} \quad F = u, v, w, p, \rho \tag{7.1.20}
\]

leaves (7.1.1) invariant and changes (7.1.2)-(7.1.4) to:

\[
\alpha'\rho_x + \rho_t + u\rho_x + v\rho_y + w\rho_z = 0, \tag{7.1.21}
\]
where the independent variable $x$ is replaced by $x + \alpha$ and the partial derivatives are with respect to the original variables. Thus the transformation

$$F(t, x, y, z) \mapsto F(t, x + \alpha, y, z) - \delta_{u,F} \alpha'$$ with $F = u, v, w, p, \rho$ (7.1.24)

leaves (7.1.1) and (7.1.2) invariant, and changes (7.1.3) and (7.1.4) to

$$-\alpha'' + u_t + uu_x + v u_y + w u_z + v = -\frac{1}{\rho} p_x,$$ (7.1.25)

$$v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z - u + \alpha' = -\frac{1}{\rho} p_y.$$ (7.1.26)

On the other hand, the transformation

$$F(t, x, y, z) \mapsto F(t, x, y, z + \alpha' x - \alpha' y)$$ with $F = u, v, w, p, \rho$ (7.1.27)

leaves the second equation in (7.1.1) invariant and changes the first equation in (7.1.1), and (7.1.2)-(7.1.4) to:

$$\alpha'' u_z + u_x - \alpha' v_z + v_y + w_z = 0,$$ (7.1.28)

$$(\alpha'' x - \alpha' y) \rho_z + \rho_t + \alpha'' u \rho_z + u \rho_x - \alpha' v \rho_z + v \rho_y + w \rho_z = 0,$$ (7.1.29)

$$(\alpha'' x - \alpha' y) u_z + u_t + \alpha'' u u_z + uu_x - \alpha' v u_z + v u_y + w u_z + v = -\frac{1}{\rho} p_x - \alpha'',$$ (7.1.30)

$$(\alpha'' x - \alpha' y) v_z + v_t + \alpha'' w v_z + vv_x - \alpha' v v_z + v v_y + w v_z - u = -\frac{1}{\rho} p_y + \alpha'.$$ (7.1.31)

Thus we have the following symmetry transformation of (7.1.1)-(7.1.4):

$$S_{1,\alpha}(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x + \alpha, y, z + \alpha'' x - \alpha' y) - \delta_{u,F} \alpha'$$ with $F = u, v, p, \rho$ (7.1.32)

and

$$S_{1,\alpha}(w(t, x, y, z)) = w(t, x + \alpha, y, z + \alpha'' x - \alpha' y) - \alpha'' u + \alpha' v - \alpha'' x + \alpha'' y.$$ (7.1.33)

Similarly, we have the symmetry transformation of (7.1.1)-(7.1.4):

$$S_{2,\alpha}(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x, y + \alpha, z + \alpha' x + \alpha'' y) - \delta_{v,F} \alpha'$$ with $F = u, v, p, \rho$ (7.1.34)

and

$$S_{2,\alpha}(w(t, x, y, z)) = w(t, x + \alpha, y, z + \alpha' x + \alpha'' y) - \alpha' u - \alpha'' v - \alpha' x - \alpha'' y.$$ (7.1.35)

Let $\beta$ be another function in $t$. We have the following symmetry transformation of (7.1.1)-(7.1.4):

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x, y, z + \alpha) - \delta_{w,F} \alpha' + \delta_{p,F} \beta$$ with $F = u, v, w, p, \rho$. (7.1.36)

The above transformations transform one solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) into another solution. Applying the above transformations to any solution found in this chapter will yield another solution with four extra parameter functions.
7.2 Moving-Line Approach

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be given functions in $t$. Denote the variable of moving line
\[ \hat{\omega} = \alpha'x + \beta'y + z. \] (7.2.1)

Suppose that $f, g, h$ are functions in $t, x, y, z$ that are linear in $x, y, z$ such that
\[ f_x + g_y + h_z = 0. \] (7.2.2)

We assume
\[ u = \phi(t, \hat{\omega}) + f, \quad v = \psi(t, \hat{\omega}) + g, \] (7.2.3)
\[ w = h - \alpha'\phi(t, \hat{\omega}) - \beta'\psi(t, \hat{\omega}), \quad p = \zeta(t, \hat{\omega}), \] (7.2.4)

where $\phi, \psi, \zeta$ are two-variable functions to be determined. Note that the first equation in (7.1.1) naturally holds and $\rho = p_z = \zeta_{\hat{\omega}}$ by the second equation in (7.1.1). Moreover, (7.1.2)-(7.1.4) become
\[ \zeta_{\hat{\omega}t} + \zeta_{\hat{\omega}\omega}(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \alpha'f + \beta'g + h) = 0, \] (7.2.5)
\[ f_t + g + f f_x + g f_y + h f_z + \alpha' + \phi_t + (f_x - \alpha'f_z)\phi + (f_y - \beta'f_z + 1)\psi + \phi_{\hat{\omega}}(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \alpha'f + \beta'g + h) = 0, \] (7.2.6)
\[ g_t - f + f g_x + g g_y + h g_z + \beta' + \psi_t + (g_x - \alpha'g_z - 1)\phi + (g_y - \beta'g_z)\psi + \psi_{\hat{\omega}}(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \alpha'f + \beta'g + h) = 0. \] (7.2.7)

In order to solve the above system of partial differential equations, we assume
\[ \alpha''x + \beta''y + \alpha'f + \beta'g + h = -\gamma'\omega = -\gamma'(\alpha'x + \beta'y + z) \] (7.2.8)
for some function $\gamma$ in $t$, and
\[ f_t + g + f f_x + g f_y + h f_z + \alpha' = 0, \] (7.2.9)
\[ g_t - f + f g_x + g g_y + h g_z + \beta' = 0. \] (7.2.10)

Then (7.2.5)-(7.2.7) become
\[ \zeta_{\omega t} - \gamma'\omega\zeta_{\omega\omega} = 0, \] (7.2.11)
\[ \phi_t + (f_x - \alpha'f_z)\phi + (f_y - \beta'f_z + 1)\psi - \gamma'\omega\phi_{\omega} = 0, \] (7.2.12)
\[ \psi_t + (g_x - \alpha'g_z - 1)\phi + (g_y - \beta'g_z)\psi - \gamma'\omega\psi_{\omega} = 0. \] (7.2.13)

According to (7.2.8),
\[ h = -\alpha''x - \beta''y - \alpha'f - \beta'g - \gamma'\omega. \] (7.2.14)
Substituting the above equation into (7.2.9) and (7.2.10), we have:

\[ f_t + f(f_x - \alpha'f_z) + g(f_y - \beta'f_z + 1) - f_z(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \gamma'z) + \alpha' = 0, \]  
(7.2.15)

\[ g_t + f(g_x - \alpha'g_z - 1) + g(g_y - \beta'g_z) - g_z(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \gamma'z) + \beta' = 0. \]  
(7.2.16)

Our linearity assumption implies that

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} f_x - \alpha'f_z & f_y - \beta'f_z + 1 \\ g_x - \alpha'g_z - 1 & g_y - \beta'g_z \end{pmatrix} \]  
(7.2.17)

is a matrix function in \( t \). In order to solve the system (7.2.12) and (7.2.13), and the system (7.2.15) and (7.2.16), we need the commutativity of \( A \) with \( dA/dt \). For simplicity, we assume

\[ f_y - \beta'f_z + 1 = g_x - \alpha'g_z - 1 = 0. \]  
(7.2.18)

So

\[ f_y = \beta'f_z - 1, \quad g_x = \alpha'g_z + 1. \]  
(7.2.19)

Moreover, (7.2.15) and (7.2.16) become

\[ f_t + f(f_x - \alpha'f_z) - f_z(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \gamma'z) + \alpha' = 0, \]  
(7.2.20)

\[ g_t + g(g_y - \beta'g_z) - g_z(\alpha''x + \beta''y + \gamma'z) + \beta' = 0. \]  
(7.2.21)

Write

\[ f = \alpha_1x + (\beta_2 - 1)y + \alpha_2z + \alpha_3, \]  
(7.2.22)

\[ g = (\alpha_2 + 1)x + \beta_1y + \beta_2z + \beta_3 \]  
(7.2.23)

by our linearity assumption and (7.2.19), where \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_j \) are functions in \( t \).

Now (7.2.20) is equivalent to the following system of ordinary differential equations:

\[ \alpha_1' + \alpha_1(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - \alpha_2(\alpha'' + \gamma'\alpha') = 0, \]  
(7.2.24)

\[ (\beta_2 - 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - \alpha_2(\beta'' + \gamma'\beta') = 0, \]  
(7.2.25)

\[ \alpha_2' + \alpha_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \gamma') = 0, \]  
(7.2.26)

\[ \alpha_3' + \alpha_3(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \alpha' = 0. \]  
(7.2.27)

Observe that (7.2.25) - \( \beta' \times (7.2.26) \) becomes

\[ -\alpha_1 + \alpha'\alpha_2 = 0. \]  
(7.2.28)

So (7.2.26) becomes

\[ \alpha_2' - \gamma'\alpha_2 = 0 \implies \alpha_2 = \beta_1e^{\gamma}, \quad \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
(7.2.29)
According to (7.2.28),
$$\alpha_1 = b_1 \alpha' e^\gamma. \quad (7.2.30)$$

With the data (7.2.29) and (7.2.30), (7.2.24) naturally holds. By (7.2.27), we take
$$\alpha_3 = -\alpha. \quad (7.2.31)$$

Note that (7.2.21) is equivalent to the following system of ordinary differential equations:
\begin{align*}
\alpha' \beta_2' + (\alpha' \beta_2 + 1)(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2) - \alpha' \beta_2 \gamma' &= 0, \quad (7.2.32) \\
\beta_1' + \beta_1(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2) - \beta_2(\beta'' + \beta' \gamma') &= 0, \quad (7.2.33) \\
\beta_2' + \beta_2(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2 - \gamma') &= 0, \quad (7.2.34) \\
\beta_3' + \beta_3(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2) + \beta' &= 0. \quad (7.2.35)
\end{align*}

Similarly, we have:
\begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= b_2 \beta' e^\gamma, \quad \beta_2 = b_2 e^\gamma, \quad \beta_3 = \beta \quad (7.2.36)
\end{align*}

with $b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, (7.2.2) gives $\gamma' = 0$ by (7.2.14), (7.2.28) and (7.2.36). We take $\gamma = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Im(\omega)$ and $\psi = \imath(\omega)$ by (7.2.12) and (7.2.13) for some one-variable functions $\Im$ and $\imath$. Furthermore, we take $\zeta = \sigma(\omega)$ by (7.2.11) for another one-variable function $\sigma$. In summary, we have:

**Theorem 7.2.1.** Let $\alpha, \beta$ be functions in $t$ and let $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\Im$, $\imath$ and $\sigma$ are arbitrary one-variable functions. The following is a solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) of dynamic convection in a sea:

\begin{align*}
\alpha' x + (b_1 \beta' - 1)y + b_1 z - \alpha + \Im(\alpha' x + \beta' y + z), \quad (7.2.37) \\
\beta_1 &= b_2 \beta' e^\gamma, \quad \beta_2 = b_2 e^\gamma, \quad \beta_3 = \beta.
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
v &= (b_2 \alpha' + 1)x + b_2 \beta' y + b_2 z + \beta + \imath(\alpha' x + \beta' y + z), \quad (7.2.38) \\
\beta_1' + \beta_1(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2) - \beta_2(\beta'' + \beta' \gamma') &= 0, \quad (7.2.33) \\
\beta_2' + \beta_2(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2 - \gamma') &= 0, \quad (7.2.34) \\
\beta_3' + \beta_3(\beta_1 - \beta' \beta_2) + \beta' &= 0. \quad (7.2.35)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
w &= -(\alpha'' + b_1 \alpha^2 + (b_2 \alpha' + 1)\beta')x - (\beta'' + \alpha'(b_1 \beta' - 1) + b_2 \beta'')y - (b_1 \alpha' + b_2 \beta')z \\
+ \alpha' \beta' - \alpha' \Im(\alpha' x + \beta' y + z) - \beta' \imath(\alpha' x + \beta' y + z), \quad (7.2.39)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
p &= \sigma(\alpha' x + \beta' y + z), \quad \rho = \sigma'(\alpha' x + \beta' y + z). \quad (7.2.40)
\end{align*}
7.3 Approach of Cylindrical Product

Let \( \sigma \) be a fixed one-variable function and set the variable of cylindrical product:

\[
\varpi = z \sigma(x^2 + y^2).
\]  

(7.3.1)

Suppose that \( f \) and \( g \) are functions in \( t, x, z \) that are linear homogeneous in \( x, y \) and

\[
h = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma} - z(f_x + g_y),
\]  

(7.3.2)

where \( \gamma \) is a function in \( t \). Assume

\[
u = f + y\psi(t, \varpi), \quad v = g - x\psi(t, \varpi), \quad w = h, \quad p = \phi(t, \varpi)
\]  

(7.3.3)

where \( \psi \) and \( \phi \) are two-variable functions. Note

\[
u_t = f_t + y\psi_t, \quad u_x = f_x + 2xyz\sigma'\psi_{\varpi},
\]  

(7.3.4)

\[
u_y = f_y + \psi + 2y^2z\sigma'\psi_{\varpi}, \quad u_z = f_z + y\sigma\psi_{\varpi},
\]  

(7.3.5)

\[
u_y = g_t - x\psi, \quad v_x = g_x - \psi - 2x^2z\sigma'\psi_{\varpi},
\]  

(7.3.6)

\[
u_y = g_y - 2xyz\sigma'\psi_{\varpi}, \quad v_z = g_z - x\sigma\psi_{\varpi}.
\]  

(7.3.7)

Hence (7.1.3) becomes

\[
u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z + v = f_t + y\psi_t + (f + y\psi)(f_x + 2xyz\sigma'\psi_{\varpi})
\]  

\[+(g - x\psi)(f_y + 1 + \psi + 2y^2z\sigma'\psi_{\varpi}) + y\sigma h\psi_{\varpi}
\]  

\[= f_t + f f_x + g(1 + f_y) + x(g_x - f_y - 1)\psi - x\psi^2
\]  

\[+ y[\psi_t + (f_x + g_y)\psi + (2(f + yg)\sigma'z + h\sigma)\psi_{\varpi}] = -\frac{2xz\sigma'}{\sigma}
\]  

(7.3.8)

and (7.1.4) gives

\[
u_t + uv_x + vv_y + vv_z - u = g_t - x\psi_t + (f + y\psi)(g_x - 1 - \psi - 2x^2z\sigma'\psi_{\varpi})
\]  

\[+(g - x\psi)(g_y - 2xyz\sigma'\psi_{\varpi}) - x\sigma h\psi_{\varpi}
\]  

\[= g_t + f(g_x - 1) + gg_y - y(1 + f_y - g_x)\psi - y\psi^2
\]  

\[-x[\psi_t + (f_x + g_y)\psi + (2(f + yg)\sigma'z + h\sigma)\psi_{\varpi}] = -\frac{2yz\sigma'}{\sigma}.
\]  

(7.3.9)

In order to solve the above system of differential equations, we assume

\[
 f = \alpha'x - \frac{y}{2}, \quad g = \frac{x}{2} + \alpha'y, \quad \sigma(x^2 + y^2) = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}
\]  

(7.3.10)

for some function \( \alpha \) in \( t \). According to (7.3.2),

\[
h = \frac{\gamma}{\sigma} - 2\alpha'z.
\]  

(7.3.11)
Now (7.3.8) becomes
\[(\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 4^{-1} - \psi^2)x + y[\psi_t + 2\alpha'\psi + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\psi] = 2x\varpi \quad (7.3.12)\]

and (7.3.9) yields
\[(\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 4^{-1} - \psi^2)y - x[\psi_t + 2\alpha'\psi + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\psi] = 2y\varpi. \quad (7.3.13)\]

The above system is equivalent to
\[\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 4^{-1} - \psi^2 = 2\varpi, \quad (7.3.14)\]
\[\psi_t + 2\alpha'\psi + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\psi\varpi = 0. \quad (7.3.15)\]

By (7.3.14), we take
\[\psi = \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 4^{-1} - 2\varpi}, \quad (7.3.16)\]
due to the skew-symmetry of \((u, x)\) and \((v, y)\). Substituting (7.3.16) into (7.3.15), we get
\[\alpha''' + 2\alpha'\alpha'' + 4\alpha'(\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 4^{-1} - 2\varpi) - 2(\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi) = 0, \quad (7.3.17)\]
equivalently,
\[\gamma = 2\alpha'^3 + 3\alpha'\alpha'' + \frac{\alpha'''}{2}. \quad (7.3.18)\]

According to the second equation in (7.1.1), we have \(\rho = \sigma\phi\varpi\). Note
\[\rho_t = \sigma\phi_{\varpi t}, \quad \rho_x = 2x\sigma'(\varpi_{\varpi} + \varpi\phi_{\varpi\varpi}), \quad (7.3.19)\]
\[\rho_y = 2y\sigma'(\varpi_{\varpi} + \varpi\phi_{\varpi\varpi}), \quad \rho_z = \sigma^2\varpi_{\varpi\varpi}. \quad (7.3.20)\]

So (7.1.2) becomes
\[\phi_{\varpi t} - 2\alpha'\phi_{\varpi} + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\phi_{\varpi\varpi} = 0. \quad (7.3.21)\]

Modulo some \(S_{0,\beta}\) in (7.1.36), the above equation is equivalent to:
\[\phi_t + 2\alpha'\phi + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\phi_{\varpi} = 0. \quad (7.3.22)\]

Set
\[\tilde{\psi} = e^{2\alpha}\psi, \quad \tilde{\phi} = e^{2\alpha}\phi. \quad (7.3.23)\]

Then (7.3.15) and (7.3.22) are equivalent to the equations:
\[\tilde{\psi}_t + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\tilde{\psi}_{\varpi} = 0, \quad \tilde{\phi}_t + (\gamma - 4\alpha'\varpi)\tilde{\phi}_{\varpi} = 0, \quad (7.3.24)\]
respectively. So we have the solution
\[\tilde{\phi} = \Im(\tilde{\psi}) \implies \phi = e^{-2\alpha}\Im\left(e^{2\alpha}\sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 4^{-1} - 2\varpi}\right) \quad (7.3.25)\]
for some one-variable function $\Im$. Thus we have:

**Theorem 7.3.1.** Let $\alpha$ be any function in $t$ and let $\Im$ be arbitrary one-variable function. The following is a solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) of dynamic convection in a sea:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= \alpha' x - \frac{y}{2} + y \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2z}{x^2 + y^2}}, \\
    v &= \alpha' y + x - x \sqrt{\alpha' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2z}{x^2 + y^2}}, \\
    w &= \left(2\alpha'^3 + 3\alpha'\alpha'' + \frac{\alpha'''}{2}\right) (x^2 + y^2) - 2\alpha' z, \\
    p &= e^{-2\alpha \Im} \left( e^{2\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2z}{x^2 + y^2}} \right), \\
    \rho &= -\frac{\Im' \left( e^{2\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2z}{x^2 + y^2}} \right)}{(x^2 + y^2) \sqrt{\alpha' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2z}{x^2 + y^2}}}. 
\end{align*}
\]

**Remark 7.3.2.** Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and $\gamma$ be functions in $t$. Applying $S_{1,\beta_1}$ in (7.1.32)-(7.1.33), $S_{2,\beta_2}$ in (7.1.34)-(7.1.35) and $S_{\beta_3,\gamma}$ in (7.1.36) to the above solution, we get a more general solution:

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= (y + \beta_2) \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2(z + ((\beta_1' + \beta_2')x + (\beta_1' - \beta_1)y + \beta_3)}{(x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2}} \\
    &+ \alpha'(x + \beta_1) - \frac{y + \beta_2}{2} - \beta'_1, \\
    v &= -(x + \beta_2) \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2(z + ((\beta_1' + \beta_2')x + (\beta_1' - \beta_1)y + \beta_3)}{(x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2}} \\
    &+ \alpha'(y + \beta_2) + \frac{x + \beta_1}{2} - \beta'_2, \\
    w &= \left(2\alpha'^3 + 3\alpha'\alpha'' + \frac{\alpha'''}{2}\right) ((x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2) \\
    &- 2\alpha'(z + (\beta_1' + \beta_2')x + (\beta_1' - \beta_1)y + \beta_3) - \beta'_3 \\
    &- (\beta_1' + \beta_2') u + (\beta_1' - \beta_1') v - (\beta_1'' + \beta_2') x + (\beta_1' - \beta_2'') y, \\
    p &= e^{-2\alpha \Im} \left( e^{2\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2(z + ((\beta_1' + \beta_2')x + (\beta_1' - \beta_1)y + \beta_3)}{(x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2}} \right) + \gamma, \\
    \rho &= -\frac{\Im' \left( e^{2\alpha} \sqrt{\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2(z + ((\beta_1' + \beta_2')x + (\beta_1' - \beta_1)y + \beta_3)}{(x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2}} \right)}{[(x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2] \sqrt{\alpha' + \alpha'^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2(z + ((\beta_1' + \beta_2')x + (\beta_1' - \beta_1)y + \beta_3)}{(x + \beta_1)^2 + (y + \beta_2)^2}}}. 
\end{align*}
\]
7.4 Dimensional Reduction

Suppose that $u, v, \zeta$ and $\eta$ are functions in $t, x, y$. Assume

\[ w = \zeta - (u_x + v_y)z, \quad p = z + \eta, \quad \rho = 1. \]  
(7.4.1)

Then the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) are equivalent to the following two-dimensional problem:

\[ u_t + uu_x + vu_y + v = -\eta_x, \]  
(7.4.2)

\[ v_t + uv_x + vv_y - u = -\eta_y. \]  
(7.4.3)

The compatibility $\eta_{xy} = \eta_{yx}$ gives

\[ (u_y - v_x)_t + u(u_y - v_x)_x + v(u_y - v_x)_y + (u_x + v_y)(u_y - v_x + 1) = 0. \]  
(7.4.4)

Let $\vartheta$ be a function in $t, x, y$ that is harmonic in $x$ and $y$, i.e.

\[ \vartheta_{xx} + \vartheta_{yy} = 0. \]  
(7.4.5)

We assume

\[ u = \vartheta_{xx}, \quad v = \vartheta_{xy}. \]  
(7.4.6)

Then (7.4.4) naturally holds. Indeed,

\[ u_t + uu_x + vu_y + v = \left( \vartheta_{xt} + 2^{-1}(\vartheta_{xx}^2 + \vartheta_{xy}^2) + \vartheta_y \right)_x, \]  
(7.4.7)

\[ v_t + uv_x + vv_y - u = \left( \vartheta_{xt} + 2^{-1}(\vartheta_{xx}^2 + \vartheta_{xy}^2) + \vartheta_y \right)_y. \]  
(7.4.8)

By (7.4.2) and (7.4.3), we take

\[ \eta = -\vartheta_{xt} - \vartheta_y - \frac{1}{2}(\vartheta_{xx}^2 + \vartheta_{xy}^2). \]  
(7.4.9)

Hence we have the following easy result:

**Proposition 7.4.1.** Let $\vartheta$ and $\zeta$ be functions in $t, x, y$ such that (7.4.5) holds. The following is a solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) of dynamic convection in a sea:

\[ u = \vartheta_{xx}, \quad v = \vartheta_{xy}, \quad w = \zeta, \]  
(7.4.10)

\[ \rho = 1, \quad p = z - \vartheta_{xt} - \vartheta_y - \frac{1}{2}(\vartheta_{xx}^2 + \vartheta_{xy}^2). \]  
(7.4.11)

The above approach is the well-known rotation-free approach. We are more interested in the approaches that the rotation may not be zero. Let $f$ and $g$ be functions in $t, x, y$ that are linear in $x, y$. Denote

\[ \varpi = x^2 + y^2. \]  
(7.4.12)
Consider
\[ u = f + y\phi(t, \varpi), \quad v = g - x\phi(t, \varpi), \quad \text{(7.4.13)} \]

where \( \phi \) is a two-variable function to be determined. Then
\[ u_x = f_x + 2xy\phi_x, \quad u_y = f_y + \phi + 2y^2\phi_x, \quad \text{(7.4.14)} \]
\[ v_x = g_x - \phi - 2x^2\phi_x, \quad u_y = g_y - 2xy\phi_x. \quad \text{(7.4.15)} \]

Thus
\[ u_x + v_y = f_x + g_y, \quad u_y - v_x = f_y - g_x + 2(\varpi\phi)_x. \quad \text{(7.4.16)} \]

For simplicity, we assume
\[ f = -\frac{\alpha'x}{2\alpha} - \frac{y}{2}, \quad g = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{\alpha'y}{2\alpha} \quad \text{(7.4.17)} \]

for some functions \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in \( t \). Then (7.4.4) becomes
\[ (\varpi\phi)_{\varpi t} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \varpi (\varpi\phi)_{\varpi\varpi} - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} (\varpi\phi)_\varpi = 0. \quad \text{(7.4.18)} \]

Hence
\[ \phi = \frac{\gamma + \Im(\alpha\varpi)}{\varpi} \quad \text{(7.4.19)} \]

for some function \( \gamma \) in \( t \) and one-variable function \( \Im \).

Now (7.4.12), (7.4.17) and (7.4.19) imply
\[ u = -\frac{\alpha'x}{2\alpha} - \frac{y}{2} + \frac{(\gamma + \Im(\alpha\varpi))y}{\varpi}, \quad \text{(7.4.20)} \]
\[ v = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{\alpha'y}{2\alpha} - \frac{(\gamma + \Im(\alpha\varpi))x}{\varpi}. \quad \text{(7.4.21)} \]

By (7.4.13) and (7.4.17), we calculate
\[ u_t + uu_x + vu_y + v \]
\[ = f_t + y\phi_t + (f + y\phi)(f_x + 2xy\phi_x) + (g - x\phi)(f_y + \phi + 2y^2\phi_x) + g - x\phi \]
\[ = f_t + f f_x + g(f_y + 1) + y\phi_t + (f_x y - f_y x + g - x)\phi + 2(f x + gy) y\phi_x - x\phi^2 \]
\[ = \left( \frac{3\alpha'^2 - 2\alpha\alpha''}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) x - x\phi^2 + y \left( \phi_t - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}(\varpi\phi)_\varpi \right), \quad \text{(7.4.22)} \]

\[ v_t + uv_x + vu_y - u \]
\[ = g_t - x\phi_t + (f + y\phi)(g_x - \phi - 2x^2\phi_x) + (g - x\phi)(g_y - 2xy\phi_x) - f - y\phi \]
\[ = g_t + f(g_x - 1) + gg_y - x\phi_t + (g_x y - g_y x - f - y)\phi - 2(f x + gy)x\phi_x - y\phi^2 \]
\[ = \left( \frac{3\alpha'^2 - 2\alpha\alpha''}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) y - x\phi^2 - x \left( \phi_t - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}(\varpi\phi)_\varpi \right). \quad \text{(7.4.23)} \]
On the other hand, (7.4.19) says that
\[ \phi_t - \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} (w \phi)_w = \gamma' + \alpha' w \Im'(\alpha w) - \alpha' \Im'(\alpha w) = \gamma'. \] (7.4.24)

Thus (7.4.2) and (7.4.3) yield
\[ \left( \frac{3\alpha'^2 - 2\alpha\alpha''}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) x + \frac{\gamma'y}{\omega} - x\phi^2 = -\eta_x, \] (7.4.25)
\[ \left( \frac{3\alpha'^2 - 2\alpha\alpha''}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) y - \frac{\gamma'x}{\omega} - y\phi^2 = -\eta_y \] (7.4.26)
by (7.4.22) and (7.4.23). Hence
\[ \eta = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(\gamma + \Im(\alpha\omega))^2 d\omega}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3\alpha'^2 - 2\alpha\alpha''}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right) \omega + \gamma' \arctan \frac{y}{x}. \] (7.4.27)

**Theorem 7.4.2.** Let \( \alpha, \gamma \) be any functions in \( t \). Suppose that \( \Im \) is an arbitrary one-variable function and \( \zeta \) is any function in \( t, x, y \). The following is a solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) of dynamic convection in a sea:

\[ u = -\frac{\alpha'x}{2\alpha} - \frac{y}{2} + \frac{(\gamma + \Im((x^2 + y^2)\alpha))y}{x^2 + y^2}, \] (7.4.28)
\[ v = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{\alpha'y}{2\alpha} - \frac{(\gamma + \Im((x^2 + y^2)\alpha))x}{x^2 + y^2}, \] (7.4.29)
\[ w = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} z + \zeta, \quad \rho = 1, \] (7.4.30)
\[ p = z + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(\gamma + \Im(\alpha\omega))^2 d\omega}{\omega^2} - \frac{(3\alpha'^2 - 2\alpha\alpha'')\alpha^{-2} + 1}{8}(x^2 + y^2) + \gamma' \arctan \frac{y}{x} \] (7.4.31)
with \( \omega = x^2 + y^2 \).

Next we assume
\[ u = \varepsilon(t, x), \quad v = \phi(t, x) + \psi(t, x)y, \] (7.4.32)
where \( \varepsilon, \phi \) and \( \psi \) are functions in \( t, x \) to be determined. Substituting (7.4.32) into (7.4.4), we get
\[ \phi_{tx} + \psi_{tx}y + \varepsilon(\phi_{xx} + \psi_{xx}y) + (\phi + \psi y)\psi_x + (\varepsilon_x + \psi)(\phi_x + \psi_x y - 1) = 0, \] (7.4.33)
equivalently,
\[ (\phi_t + \varepsilon \phi_x + \phi \psi - \varepsilon)_x - \psi = 0, \] (7.4.34)
\[ (\psi_t + \varepsilon \psi_x + \psi^2)_x = 0. \] (7.4.35)
For simplicity, we take
\[ \psi = -\alpha', \quad \text{(7.4.36)} \]
a function in \( t \).

Denote
\[ \phi = \hat{\phi} + x. \quad \text{(7.4.37)} \]

Then (7.4.34) becomes
\[ (\hat{\phi}_t + \varepsilon \hat{\phi}_x - \alpha' \hat{\phi})_x = 0. \quad \text{(7.4.38)} \]

To solve the above equation, we assume
\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\beta}{\phi_x} - \frac{\partial_t(t, x)}{\partial_x(t, x)} \quad \text{(7.4.39)} \]

for some functions \( \beta \) in \( t \), and \( \vartheta \) in \( t \) and \( x \). We have the following solution of (7.4.38):
\[ \hat{\phi} = e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) \implies \phi = e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) + x \implies v = e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) + x - \alpha' y \quad \text{(7.4.40)} \]

for another one-variable function \( \Im \). Moreover,
\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \frac{\partial_t}{\partial_x} \quad \text{(7.4.41)} \]

Note
\[
\begin{align*}
u_t + uu_x + v u_y + v &= \left(\beta e^{-\alpha}\right)' - \frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}(\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta) + \partial_t \partial_x \Im''(\vartheta))}{\left(\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)\right)^2} - \frac{\partial_t \partial_x - \partial_t \partial_x}{\partial_x^2} \\
&+ \left(\frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \frac{\partial_t}{\partial_x} \right) + \frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \frac{\partial_t}{\partial_x} + e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) + x - \alpha' y. \quad \text{(7.4.42)}
\end{align*}
\]

By (7.4.36), (7.4.40) and (7.4.41),
\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\phi}_t + \varepsilon \hat{\phi}_x + \psi \phi - \varepsilon &= e^\alpha (\alpha' \Im(\vartheta) + \partial_t \Im'(\vartheta)) + \left(\frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \frac{\partial_t}{\partial_x} \right) e^\alpha \partial_x \Im'(\vartheta) - \alpha' (e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) + x) \\
&= \beta - \alpha' x. \quad \text{(7.4.43)}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus (7.4.32) (7.4.36) and (7.4.43) yield
\[
\begin{align*}
v_t + uv_x + vv_y - u &= \phi_t + \psi_t y + \varepsilon(\phi_x + \psi_x y - 1) + (\phi + \psi) y \\
&= \phi_t + \varepsilon \phi_x + \psi \phi - \varepsilon (\phi_t + \varepsilon \psi_x + \psi^2) y \\
&= \beta - \alpha' x + (-\alpha'' + \alpha^2) y. \quad \text{(7.4.44)}
\end{align*}
\]

According to (7.4.2) and (7.4.3),
\[
\begin{align*}
\eta &= \int \left(\frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}(\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta) + \partial_t \partial_x \Im''(\vartheta))}{\left(\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)\right)^2} + \frac{\partial_t \partial_x - \partial_t \partial_x}{\partial_x^2} - \frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}(\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta) + \partial_t \partial_x \Im''(\vartheta))}{\partial_x^2} - e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta)\right) dx \\
&+ \alpha' xy - \beta y + \left(\frac{(\alpha'' - \alpha^2) y^2 - x^2}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\partial_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \frac{\psi_t}{\partial_x}\right)^2. \quad \text{(7.4.45)}
\end{align*}
\]
Theorem 7.4.3. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be functions in $t$ and let $\Im$ be a one-variable function. Suppose that $\vartheta$ is a function in $t, x$, and $\zeta$ is function in $t, x, y$. The following is a solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) of dynamic convection in a sea:

$$\begin{align*}
    u &= \frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\vartheta_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \vartheta_t, \\
    v &= e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) + x - \alpha' y,
\end{align*}$$

(7.4.46)

$$w = \left(\alpha' + \frac{\beta e^{-\alpha} (\vartheta_{xx} \Im'(\vartheta) + \vartheta_{x}^2 \Im''(\vartheta))}{(\vartheta_x \Im'(\vartheta))^2} + \frac{\vartheta_{xt} \vartheta_x - \vartheta_t \vartheta_{xx}}{\vartheta_x^2} \right) z + \zeta, \quad \rho = 1,
$$

(7.4.47)

$$p = z + \int \left(\frac{\beta e^{-\alpha} (\vartheta_{xt} \Im'(\vartheta) + \vartheta_t \vartheta_x \Im'(\vartheta))}{(\vartheta_x \Im'(\vartheta))^2} + \frac{\vartheta_{tt} \vartheta_x - \vartheta_t \vartheta_{xt}}{\vartheta_x^2} - \frac{(\beta e^{-\alpha})'}{\vartheta_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - e^\alpha \Im(\vartheta) \right) dx$$

$$+ \alpha' xy - \beta y + \frac{(\alpha'' - \alpha'^2) y^2 - x^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta e^{-\alpha}}{\vartheta_x \Im'(\vartheta)} - \frac{\vartheta_t}{\vartheta_x} \right)^2.
$$

(7.4.48)

Finally, we suppose that $\alpha, \beta$ are functions in $t$ and $f, g$ are functions in $t, x, y$ that are linear homogeneous in $x$ and $y$. Denote $\varpi = \alpha x + \beta y$. Assume

$$u = f + \beta \phi(t, \varpi), \quad v = g - \alpha \phi(t, \varpi).
$$

(7.4.49)

Then

$$u_y - v_x = f_y - g_x + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \phi_{\varpi}, \quad u_x + v_y = f_x + g_y.
$$

(7.4.50)

Now (7.4.4) becomes

$$f_{yt} - g_{xt} + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \phi_{\varpi} + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\phi_{\varpi t} + (\alpha' x + \beta' y + \alpha f + \beta g) \phi_{\varpi \varpi})$$

$$+(f_x + g_y)(f_y - g_x + 1 + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \phi_{\varpi}) = 0.
$$

(7.4.51)

In order to solve the above equation, we assume

$$g_x = \varphi, \quad f_y = \varphi - 1,
$$

(7.4.52)

$$\alpha' x + \beta' y + \alpha f + \beta g = 0
$$

(7.4.53)

for some function $\varphi$ in $t$. The equation (7.4.52) is equivalent to:

$$\alpha' + \alpha f_x + \varphi \beta = 0 \implies f_x = -\frac{\alpha' + \varphi \beta}{\alpha},
$$

(7.4.54)

$$\beta' + \beta g_y + \alpha (\varphi - 1) = 0 \implies g_y = -\frac{\beta' + \alpha (\varphi - 1)}{\beta}.
$$

(7.4.55)

Thus

$$f = -\frac{\alpha' + \varphi \beta}{\alpha} x + (\varphi - 1) y, \quad g = \varphi x - \frac{\beta' + \alpha (\varphi - 1)}{\beta} y.
$$

(7.4.56)
Thus we have the following solution
\[
\phi = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} e^{f(\alpha \beta^{-1}(\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} \mathcal{S}(\varphi),
\] 
where \(\mathcal{S}\) is an arbitrary one-variable function. Note that (7.4.56) and (7.4.58) give
\[
\begin{align*}
u_t + uu_x + vv_y + v & = f_t + \beta' \phi + \beta \phi_t + (\alpha' x + \beta' y) \beta \phi_x + (f + \beta \phi)(f_x + \alpha \beta \phi_x) \\
& \quad + (g - \alpha \phi)(f_y + 1 + \beta^2 \phi_x) \\
& \quad + f_x + f f_x + g(f_y + 1) + (\beta' + \beta f_x - \alpha(f_y + 1)) \phi + \beta \phi_t \\
& \quad + (\alpha' x + \beta' y + \alpha f + \beta g) \beta \phi_x \\
& \quad - \frac{\alpha^2 \beta^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \left(\frac{2(\alpha \beta' - \alpha' \beta)}{\alpha^2 + b^2} - 1\right) e^{f(\alpha \beta^{-1}(\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} \mathcal{S}(\varphi) \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{2 \alpha^2 + (\varphi \beta)^2 + 3 \alpha' \beta \varphi - \alpha(\varphi \beta)' - \alpha \alpha''}{\alpha^2} + \varphi^2\right) x \\
& \quad + \left(\varphi' - \frac{(\varphi - 1) \alpha' + \varphi \beta}{\alpha} - \frac{\varphi(\beta' + \alpha(\varphi - 1))}{\beta}\right) y.
\end{align*}
\] 

\[
\begin{align*}
u_t + uu_x + vv_y - u & = g_t - \alpha' \phi - \alpha \phi_t - (\alpha' x + \beta' y) \alpha \phi_x + (f + \beta \phi)(g_x - 1 - 2 \alpha^2 \phi_x) \\
& \quad + (g - \alpha \phi)(g_y - 2 \alpha \beta \phi_x) \\
& \quad - (\alpha' x + \beta' y + \alpha f + \beta g) \alpha \phi_x \\
& \quad - \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \left(\frac{2(\alpha \beta' - \alpha' \beta)}{\alpha^2 + b^2} - 1\right) e^{f(\alpha \beta^{-1}(\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} \mathcal{S}(\varphi) + [(\varphi - 1)^2 \\
& \quad - \beta((\varphi - 1) \alpha)' + \beta \beta'' - 2 \beta^2 - ((\varphi - 1) \alpha)^2 - 3 \alpha \beta'(\varphi - 1)] y \\
& \quad + \left(\varphi' - \frac{(\varphi - 1) \alpha' + \varphi \beta}{\alpha} - \frac{\varphi(\beta' + \alpha(\varphi - 1))}{\beta}\right) x.
\end{align*}
\] 

By (7.4.2) and (7.4.3),
\[
\eta = \frac{y^2}{2} \left(\frac{\beta((\varphi - 1) \alpha)' + \beta \beta'' - 2 \beta^2 - ((\varphi - 1) \alpha)^2 - 3 \alpha \beta'(\varphi - 1)}{\beta^2} - (\varphi - 1)^2\right) \\
- \frac{x^2}{2} \left(2 \alpha^2 + (\varphi \beta)^2 + 3 \alpha' \beta \varphi - \alpha(\varphi \beta)' - \alpha \alpha'' + \varphi^2\right) \\
+ \left[(\varphi - 1) \alpha' + \varphi \beta - \varphi' + \frac{\varphi(\beta' + \alpha(\varphi - 1))}{\beta}\right] x y \\
+ \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \left(1 - \frac{2(\alpha \beta' - \alpha' \beta)}{\alpha^2 + b^2}\right) e^{f(\alpha \beta^{-1}(\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} \mathcal{S}(\varphi).
\]
Theorem 7.4.4. Let $\alpha, \beta, \varphi$ be functions in $t$ and let $S$ be a one-variable function. Suppose that $\zeta$ is a function in $t, x, y$. The following is a solution of the equations (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) of dynamic convection in a sea:

\begin{align*}
  u &= (\varphi - 1)y - \frac{(\alpha' + \varphi \beta)}{\alpha} x + \frac{\alpha \beta^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} e^{\int (\alpha \beta^{-1} (\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} S'(\alpha x + \beta y), \quad (7.4.62) \\
  v &= \varphi x - \frac{(\beta' + (\varphi - 1) \alpha)}{\beta} y - \frac{\alpha^2 \beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} e^{\int (\alpha \beta^{-1} (\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} S'(\alpha x + \beta y), \quad (7.4.63) \\
  w &= \left( \frac{\alpha' + \varphi \beta}{\alpha} + \frac{\beta' + (\varphi - 1) \alpha}{\beta} \right) z + \zeta, \quad \rho = 1, \quad (7.4.64) \\
  p &= z + \frac{y^2}{2} \left( \frac{\beta((\varphi - 1) \alpha') + \beta \varphi' - 2 \beta^2 - ((\varphi - 1) \alpha)^2 - 3 \alpha \beta'(\varphi - 1)}{\beta^2} - (\varphi - 1)^2 \right) \\
  &\quad - \frac{x^2}{2} \left( \frac{2 \alpha^2 + (\varphi \beta)^2 + 3 \alpha' \beta \varphi - \alpha (\varphi \beta)' - \alpha \alpha''}{\alpha^2} + \varphi^2 \right) \\
  &\quad + xy \left[ \frac{(\varphi - 1) (\alpha' + \varphi \beta)}{\alpha} - \varphi' + \frac{\varphi (\beta' + \alpha (\varphi - 1))}{\beta} \right] \\
  &\quad + \frac{\alpha \beta}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2 (\alpha \beta' - \alpha' \beta)}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \right) e^{\int (\alpha \beta^{-1} (\varphi - 1) + \alpha^{-1} \beta \varphi) dt} S(\alpha x + \beta y). \quad (7.4.65)
\end{align*}
Chapter 8

Boussinesq Equations in Geophysics

Boussinesq systems of nonlinear partial differential equations are fundamental equations in geophysical fluid dynamics. In this chapter, we use asymmetric ideas and moving frames to solve the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms and the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations. We obtain new families of explicit exact solutions with multiple parameter functions. Many of them are the periodic, quasi-periodic, aperiodic solutions that may have practical significance. By Fourier expansion and some of our solutions, one can obtain discontinuous solutions. In addition, the symmetries of these equations are used to simplify our arguments.

In Section 8.1, we solve the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations and obtain four families of explicit exact solutions. In Section 8.2, we give the symmetry analysis on the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations. In Section 8.3, we find the solutions of the three-dimensional equations that are linear in $x$ and $y$. In Section 8.4, we obtain two families of explicit exact solutions under certain conditions on the variable $z$. In Section 8.5, we obtain a family of explicit exact solutions of the three-dimensional equations that are independent of $x$. The status can be changed by applying symmetry transformations. This chapter is a revision of our preprint [X16].

8.1 Two-Dimensional Equations

The Boussinesq system for the incompressible fluid in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
    u_t + uu_x + vu_y - \nu \Delta u &= -p_x, & 
    v_t + uv_x + vv_y - \nu \Delta v - \theta &= -p_y, \\
    \theta_t + u\theta_x + v\theta_y - \kappa \Delta \theta &= 0, &
    u_x + v_y &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(8.1.1)

where $(u, v)$ is the velocity vector field, $p$ is the scalar pressure, $\theta$ is the scalar temperature, $\nu \geq 0$ is the viscosity and $\kappa \geq 0$ is the thermal diffusivity. The above system is a simple model in atmospheric sciences (e.g., cf. [Ma]). Chae [Cd] proved the global regularity, and Hou and Li [HL] obtained the well-posedness of the above system.
Let us do the degree analysis. Note that \( \Delta = \partial^2_x + \partial^2_y \) in this case. To make the nonzero terms to have the same degree, we have to take

\[
\deg x = \deg y = \ell \quad \text{and} \quad \deg uu_x = \deg u_{xx} \implies \deg u = -\ell, \quad (8.1.3)
\]

\[
\deg vv_y = \deg v_{yy} \implies \deg v = -\ell, \quad \deg u_t = \deg u_{xx} \implies \deg t = 2\ell, \quad (8.1.4)
\]

\[
\deg p_x = \deg uu_x \implies \deg p = -2\ell, \quad \deg \theta = \deg v_t = -3\ell. \quad (8.1.5)
\]

Moreover, (8.1.1) and (8.1.2) are translation invariant because they do not contain variable coefficients. Thus the transformation

\[
T_{a,b}(u(t,x,y)) = bu(b^2t + a, bx, by), \quad T_{a,b}(v(t,x,y)) = bv(b^2t + a, bx, by), \quad (8.1.6)
\]

\[
T_{a,b}(p(t,x,y)) = b^2(b^2t + a, bx, by), \quad T_{a,b}(\theta(t,x,y)) = b^3(b^2t + a, bx, by) \quad (8.1.7)
\]

is a symmetry of the equations (8.1.1) and (8.1.2), where \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b \neq 0 \). By the arguments in (7.1.20)-(7.1.24), we have the following symmetry of the equations (8.1.1) and (8.1.2):

\[
S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(u(t,x,y)) = u(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta) - \alpha', \quad S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(\theta(t,x,y)) = \theta(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta), \quad (8.1.8)
\]

\[
S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(v(t,x,y)) = v(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta) - \beta', \quad (8.1.9)
\]

\[
S_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(p(t,x,y)) = p(t, x + \alpha, y + \beta) + \alpha''x + \beta''y + \gamma, \quad (8.1.10)
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \).

According to the second equation in (8.1.2), we take the potential form:

\[
u = \xi_y, \quad v = -\xi_x \quad (8.1.11)
\]

for some functions \( \xi \) in \( t, x, y \). Then the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations become

\[
\xi_{yt} + \xi_y \xi_{xy} - \xi_x \xi_{yy} - \nu \Delta \xi_y = -p_x, \quad \xi_{xt} + \xi_y \xi_{xx} - \xi_x \xi_{xy} - \nu \Delta \xi_x + \theta = p_y, \quad (8.1.12)
\]

\[
\theta_t + \xi_y \theta_x - \xi_x \theta_y - \kappa \Delta \theta = 0. \quad (8.1.13)
\]

By our assumption \( p_{xy} = p_{yx} \), the compatible condition of the equations in (8.1.12) is

\[
(\Delta \xi)_t + \xi_y (\Delta \xi)_x - \xi_x (\Delta \xi)_y - \nu \Delta^2 \xi + \theta_x = 0. \quad (8.1.14)
\]

Now we first solve the system (8.1.13) and (8.1.14). To do this, we impose some asymmetric conditions.

First we assume

\[
\theta = \varepsilon(t,y), \quad \xi = \phi(t,y) + x\psi(t,y) \quad (8.1.15)
\]
for some functions $\varepsilon, \phi$ and $\psi$ in $t, y$. Then (8.1.13) becomes

$$\varepsilon_t - \psi\varepsilon_y - \kappa\varepsilon_{yy} = 0. \tag{8.1.16}$$

Moreover, (8.1.14) becomes

$$\phi_{ytyt} + (\phi_y + x\psi_y)\psi_{yy} - \psi(\phi_{yyy} + x\psi_{yyy}) - \nu(\phi_{yyyy} + x\psi_{yyyy}) = 0, \tag{8.1.17}$$
equivalently,

$$\phi_{ytyt} + \phi_y\psi_{yy} - \psi\phi_{yyy} - \nu\phi_{yyyy} = 0, \tag{8.1.18}$$
and

$$\psi_{ytyt} + \psi_y\psi_{yy} - \psi\psi_{yyy} - \nu\psi_{yyyy} = 0. \tag{8.1.19}$$

The above two equations are equivalent to:

$$\phi_{yty} + \phi_y\psi_{yy} - \psi\phi_{yyy} - \nu\phi_{yyyy} = \alpha_1, \tag{8.1.20}$$
and

$$\psi_{yty} + \psi_y^2 - \psi\psi_{yy} - \nu\psi_{yyyy} = \alpha_2. \tag{8.1.21}$$

for some functions $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ in $t$ to be determined.

Observe that

$$\psi = 6\nu y^{-1} \tag{8.1.22}$$
is a solution of (8.1.21) with $\alpha_2 = 0$. In order to solve (8.1.20), we assume

$$\phi = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m y^m, \tag{8.1.23}$$
where $\gamma_m$ are functions in $t$ to be determined. Now (8.1.20) becomes

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [m\gamma'_m - \nu(m + 2)(m + 3)(m + 4)\gamma_{m+2}]y^{m-1} - 6\nu\gamma_1 y^{-2} - 18\nu\gamma_2 y^{-1} = \alpha_1, \tag{8.1.24}$$
equivalently,

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = -60\nu\gamma_3, \tag{8.1.25}$$
and

$$m\gamma'_m - \nu(m + 2)(m + 3)(m + 4)\gamma_{m+2} = 0, \quad m > 1. \tag{8.1.26}$$

Thus

$$\gamma_{2m+2} = \frac{2m\gamma'_{2m}}{\nu(2m + 2)(2m + 3)(2m + 4)} = 0, \quad m \geq 1, \tag{8.1.27}$$
and

$$\gamma_{2m+3} = \frac{(2m + 1)\gamma'_{2m+1}}{\nu(2m + 3)(2m + 4)(2m + 5)} = \frac{360\gamma_3^{(m)}}{\nu(2m + 3)(2m + 5)!}, \quad m \geq 1. \tag{8.1.28}$$

For simplicity, we redenote $\alpha = \gamma_3$. Then

$$\phi = 360 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m)} y^{2m+3}}{\nu^m(2m + 3)(2m + 5)!}. \tag{8.1.29}$$
To solve (8.1.16), we also assume
\[ \varepsilon = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n y^n, \]  
(8.1.30)

where \( \beta_n \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Then (8.1.16) becomes
\[ 6\nu\beta_1 y^{-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \beta'_n - (n + 2)(6\nu + (n + 1)\kappa)\beta_{n+2} \right] y^n = 0, \]  
(8.1.31)

that is, \( \beta_1 = 0 \) and
\[ \beta'_n - (n + 2)(6\nu + (n + 1)\kappa)\beta_{n+2} = 0, \quad n \geq 0. \]  
(8.1.32)

Hence
\[ \theta = \beta + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{(n)} y^{2n}}{2^n n! \prod_{r=1}^{n}(6\nu + (2r - 1)\kappa)}, \]  
(8.1.33)

where \( \beta \) is an arbitrary function in \( t \). Moreover, (8.1.11), (8.1.20), (8.1.21) and (8.1.25) lead to
\[ u_t + uu_x + vu_y - \nu \Delta u = \phi_{yt} + x\psi_{yt} + (\phi_y + x\psi_y)\psi_y - \psi\phi_{yy} + x\psi_{yy} - \nu\phi_{yyyy} + x\psi_{yyyy} \]  
\[ = \phi_{yt} + \phi^2_y - \nu\psi_{yy} + (\psi_{yt} + \psi_y\psi_y - \nu\psi_{yyyy})x = \alpha_2 x + \alpha_1 = -60\nu\alpha. \]  
(8.1.34)

Furthermore, (8.1.22) and (8.1.33) give
\[ v_t + uv_x + vv_y - \nu \Delta v - \theta = -\psi_t + \psi\psi_y + \nu\psi_{yy} - \theta = -24\nu^2 y^{-3} - \beta - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{(n)} y^{2n}}{2^n n! \prod_{r=1}^{n}(6\nu + (2r - 1)\kappa)}. \]  
(8.1.35)

By (8.1.15), (8.1.22) and (8.1.29),
\[ \xi = 6\nu xy^{-1} + 360 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m)} y^{2m+3}}{\nu^m (2m + 3)(2m + 5)!}. \]  
(8.1.36)

According (8.1.1) and (8.1.11), we have:

**Theorem 8.1.1.** The following is a solution of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2):
\[ u = 360 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m)} y^{2m+2}}{\nu^m (2m + 5)!} - 6\nu xy^{-2}, \quad v = -6\nu y^{-1}, \]  
(8.1.37)
\[ p = 60\nu \alpha x + 12\nu^2 y^{-2} + \beta y + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{(n)} y^{2n+1}}{2^n n! (2n + 1) \prod_{r=1}^{n}(6\nu + (2r - 1)\kappa)} \]  
(8.1.38)
and \( \theta \) is given in (8.1.33), where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \).

**Remark 8.1.2.** Let \( \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) be arbitrary functions in \( t \). Applying the symmetry transformation \( S_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma} \) in (8.1.8)-(8.1.10) to the above solution, we get a more general solution of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2):

\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= 60\nu (x + \gamma_1) + 12\nu^2 (y + \gamma_2)^2 + \beta(y + \gamma_2) + \gamma_1' x + \gamma_2' y + \gamma \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{(n)}(y + \gamma_2)^{2n+1}}{2^n n! (2n + 1) \prod_{r=1}^{n} (6\nu + (2r - 1)\kappa)}. 
\end{align*}
\] (8.1.42)

Let \( c \) be a fixed real constant and let \( \gamma \) be a fixed function in \( t \). We define

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_1(y) &= \frac{e^{\gamma y} - ce^{-\gamma y}}{2}, & \eta_1(y) &= \frac{e^{\gamma y} + ce^{-\gamma y}}{2}, \\
\zeta_0(y) &= \sin \gamma y, & \eta_0(y) &= \cos \gamma y. 
\end{align*}
\] (8.1.43)

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\eta_r^2(y) + (-1)^r \zeta_r^2(y) &= c^r, \\
\partial_y(\zeta_r(y)) &= \gamma_1(y), & \partial_y(\eta_r(y)) &= -(-1)^r \gamma_1 y \zeta_r(y), \\
\partial_y(\zeta_r(y)) &= \gamma' y \eta_r(y), & \partial_y(\eta_r(y)) &= -(-1)^r \gamma' y \zeta_r(y), 
\end{align*}
\] (8.1.46)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &= \beta_1 y + \beta_2 \zeta_r(y) \quad (8.1.48)
\end{align*}
\]

for some functions \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) in \( t \), where \( r = 0, 1 \). Then (8.1.21) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1' + (\beta_2 \gamma)' \eta_r - (-1)^r \beta_2 \gamma \gamma' y \zeta_r + (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma \eta_r)^2 \\
+ (-1)^r \beta_2 \gamma^2 (\beta_1 y + \beta_2 \zeta_r) \zeta_r + (-1)^r \nu \beta_2 \gamma^3 \eta_r \\
= \beta_1' + c^r \beta_2 \gamma'^2 + \beta_1^2 + [(\beta_2 \gamma)' + (-1)^r \nu \beta_2 \gamma^3 + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 \gamma] \eta_r \\
+ (-1)^r \beta_2 \gamma (\beta_1 \gamma - \gamma') y \zeta_r = \alpha_2, 
\end{align*}
\] (8.1.49)
which is implied by the following equations:

\[ \beta'_1 + c'' \beta^2_2 \gamma^2 + \beta^2_1 = \alpha_2, \quad \beta_1 \gamma - \gamma' = 0, \]  
\[ (\beta_2 \gamma)' + (-1)^r \nu \beta_2 \gamma^3 + 2 \beta_1 \beta_2 \gamma = 0. \]  
\[ (8.1.50) \]
\[ (8.1.51) \]

For convenience, we assume

\[ \gamma = \sqrt{\alpha'}, \]  
\[ (8.1.52) \]

for some increasing function \( \alpha \) in \( t \). Thus we have

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}, \]  
\[ (8.1.53) \]

by the second equation in (8.1.50). Now (8.1.51) becomes

\[ (\beta_2 \gamma)' + \left( (-1)^r \nu \alpha' + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} \right) \beta_2 \gamma = 0. \]  
\[ (8.1.54) \]

Hence

\[ \beta_2 \gamma = \frac{b_1 e^{-(-1)^r \nu \alpha}}{\alpha'} \implies \beta_2 = \frac{b_1 e^{-(-1)^r \nu \alpha}}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}, \quad b_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
\[ (8.1.55) \]

To solve (8.1.20), we assume

\[ \phi = \beta_3 \eta_r (y) \]  
\[ (8.1.56) \]

for some function \( \beta_3 \). Now (8.1.20) becomes

\[ -(\beta_3 \gamma)' \zeta_r + \beta_3 \gamma' \eta_r + \beta_3 \gamma \zeta_r (\beta_1 + \beta_2 \gamma \eta_r) - \beta_3 \gamma^2 (\beta_1 y + \beta_2 \zeta) \eta_r - \nu \beta_3 \gamma^3 \zeta \]
\[ = -[(\beta_3 \gamma)' + \beta_1 \beta_3 \gamma] + \nu \beta_3 \gamma^3 \zeta (y) = \alpha_1 \]  
\[ (8.1.57) \]

by (8.1.46), (8.1.47) and the second equation in (8.1.50), equivalently, \( \alpha_1 = 0 \) and

\[ -(\beta_3 \gamma)' + \beta_1 \beta_3 \gamma + \nu \beta_3 \gamma^3 = 0. \]  
\[ (8.1.58) \]

According to (8.1.52) and (8.1.53),

\[ (\beta_3 \gamma)' + \left( \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} + (-1)^r \alpha' \right) \beta_3 \gamma = 0. \]  
\[ (8.1.59) \]

Thus

\[ \beta_3 \gamma = \frac{b_2 e^{-(-1)^r \nu \alpha}}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}, \quad b_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \]  
\[ (8.1.60) \]

where \( b_2 \) is a real constant.

In order to solve (8.1.16), we assume

\[ \varepsilon = b e^{\gamma \eta_r (y)}, \]  
\[ (8.1.61) \]
where $b$ is a real constant and $\gamma_1$ is a function in $t$. Then (8.1.16) changes to

$$b(\gamma'_1 \eta_r - (-1)^r \gamma_1' y \zeta_r) + (-1)^r b \gamma_1 (\beta_1 y + \beta_2 \zeta_r) \zeta_r - b \kappa \gamma_1 \gamma^2 (-(-1)^r \eta_r + \gamma_1 \zeta_r^2) = 0,$$

which is implied by

$$\gamma'_1 + (-1)^r \kappa \gamma^2 \gamma_1 = 0, \quad (-1)^r \beta_2 - \kappa \gamma_1 = 0. \quad (8.1.63)$$

Then the first equation and (8.1.52) imply

$$\gamma_1 = b_3 e^{-(-1)^r \kappa \alpha} \quad (8.1.64)$$

for some constant $b_3$. By the second equations in (8.1.63) and (8.1.55), we have:

$$( -1)^r \frac{b_1 e^{-(-1)^r \nu \alpha}}{\sqrt{\alpha'}} = b_3 \kappa \sqrt{\alpha'} e^{-(-1)^r \kappa \alpha}. \quad (8.1.65)$$

For convenience, we take

$$b_1 = (-1)^r \kappa b_3. \quad (8.1.66)$$

Then (8.1.65) is implied by

$$\alpha' e^{-(-1)^r \nu \alpha} (\nu - \kappa)^{1/2} = 1. \quad (8.1.67)$$

If $\nu = \kappa$, (8.1.65) is implied by $\alpha = t$. When $\nu \neq \kappa$, (8.1.65) becomes

$$\left( \frac{2 e^{-(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) \alpha/2}}{\nu - \kappa} \right)' = (-1)^r. \quad (8.1.68)$$

Thus

$$\alpha = \frac{2(-1)^r}{\nu - \kappa} \ln[(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0], \quad c_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (8.1.69)$$

Suppose $\nu = \kappa$. Then $\gamma = \sqrt{\alpha'} = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 0$. By (8.1.48), (8.1.55), (8.1.56) and (8.1.60),

$$\phi = b_2 e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \eta_r(y)}, \quad \psi = (-1)^r b_3 \nu e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \zeta_r(y)}. \quad (8.1.70)$$

Moreover, (8.1.15), (8.1.61) and (8.1.64) yield

$$\theta = b \exp(b_3 e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \eta_r(y)}). \quad (8.1.71)$$

Furthermore, (8.1.15) and (8.1.66) give

$$\xi = b_2 e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \eta_r(y)} + (-1)^r b_3 \nu e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \zeta_r(y)}. \quad (8.1.72)$$

According to (8.1.11),

$$u = \xi_y = (-1)^r [b_2 e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \zeta_r(y)} + b_3 \nu e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \eta_r(y)}], \quad (8.1.73)$$

$$v = -\xi_x = -(b_3 \nu e^{-(-1)^r \nu t \zeta_r(y)}). \quad (8.1.74)$$
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Note

\[ u_t + uu_x + vu_y - \nu \Delta u = b_3^2 \nu^2 e^{-(-1)^r 2\nu t} x, \]  
\[ v_t + uv_x + vv_y - \nu \Delta v - \theta = vv_y - b \exp(b_3 e^{-(-1)^r \nu t} \eta_r(y)). \]  

By (8.1.1), we have

\[ p = b \int \exp(b_3 e^{-(-1)^r \nu t} \eta_r(y)) dy - \frac{1}{2} b_3^2 \nu^2 e^{-(-1)^r 2\nu t} (c x^2 + \zeta^2_r(y)). \]  

Theorem 8.1.3. Suppose \( \kappa = \nu \). For \( b, b_2, b_3, c \in \mathbb{R} \), we have the following solutions of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2):

(1) \[ u = \frac{e^{\nu t}}{2} [b_2 (e^y - ce^{-y}) - b_3 \nu x (e^y + ce^{-y})], \]  
\[ v = \frac{1}{2} b_3 \nu e^{\nu t} (e^y - ce^{-y}), \]  
\[ \theta = b \exp(b_3 e^{\nu t} (e^y + ce^{-y})/2) \]  

and

\[ p = b \int \exp(b_3 e^{\nu t} (e^y + ce^{-y})/2) dy - \frac{1}{2} b_3^2 \nu^2 e^{2\nu t} (c x^2 + (e^y - ce^{-y})^2/4); \]

(2) \[ u = e^{-\nu t} [-b_3 \sin^2 y + b_3 \nu x \cos y], \]  
\[ v = -b_3 \nu e^{-\nu t} \sin y, \]  
\[ \theta = b \exp(b_3 e^{-\nu t} \cos y) \]  

and

\[ p = b \int \exp(b_3 e^{-\nu t} \cos y) dy - \frac{1}{2} b_3^2 \nu^2 e^{-2\nu t} (x^2 + \cos^2 y). \]

Applying the symmetry transformations in (8.1.6)-(8.1.10) to the above solutions, we can get more general solutions the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2).

Consider the case \( \nu \neq \kappa \). Then

\[ \gamma = \sqrt{\alpha'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}} \]  

by (8.1.69). Moreover,

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} = \frac{(-1)^r (\kappa - \nu)}{4((-1)^r (\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0)} \]  

by (8.1.53),

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{b_1 e^{-(-1)^r \nu \alpha}}{\sqrt{\alpha'}^3} = (-1)^r b_3 \kappa [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 3/2} \]  

(8.1.85)
according to (8.1.55), (8.1.66) and (8.1.69),
\[
\beta_3 = \frac{b_2 e^{-(1)^{\nu} \alpha}}{\alpha'} = b_2 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+1} \tag{8.1.88}
\]
by (8.1.60), and
\[
\gamma_1 = b_3 e^{-(1)^{\nu} \kappa \alpha} = b_3 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\kappa/(\kappa-\nu)} \tag{8.1.89}
\]
by (8.1.64). Thus (8.1.56) and (8.1.88) yield
\[
\phi = b_2 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+1} \eta_r(y). \tag{8.1.90}
\]

Furthermore,
\[
\psi = \frac{−(1)^{\nu} (\kappa - \nu) y}{4[(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} + (−1)^{\nu} b_3 \kappa [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+3/2} \zeta_r(y) \tag{8.1.91}
\]
by (8.1.48), (8.1.86) and (8.1.87).

According to (8.1.15), (8.1.61) and (8.1.89),
\[
\theta = b \exp \left( b_3 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\kappa/(\kappa-\nu)} \eta_r(y) \right). \tag{8.1.92}
\]

By (8.1.15),
\[
\xi = \frac{−(1)^{\nu} (\kappa - \nu) x y}{4[(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} + (−1)^{\nu} b_3 \kappa [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+3/2} x \zeta_r(y) + b_2 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+1} \eta_r(y). \tag{8.1.93}
\]

Then (8.1.11) and (8.1.93) say that
\[
u = \frac{−(1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) y}{4[(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} - (−1)^{\nu} b_3 \kappa [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+3/2} \zeta_r(y), \tag{8.1.95}
\]

By (8.1.20) with \(\alpha_1 = 0\) and (8.1.21) with \(\alpha_2\) given in (8.1.49), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u_t + uu_x + v u_y - \nu \Delta u}{16} &= \phi_{yt} + x \psi_{yt} + (\phi_y + x \psi_y) \psi_y - \psi (\phi_{yy} + x \psi_{yy} - \nu (\phi_{yyy} + x \psi_{yyy})) \\
&= \phi_{yt} + \phi_y^2 - \psi \phi_{yy} - \nu \phi_{yyy} + (\psi_{yt} + \psi_y \psi_y - \psi \phi_{yy} - \nu \psi_{yyy}) x \\
&= (\beta_1 + c' \beta_2^2 \gamma^2 + \beta^2) x = b_3 c' \kappa^2 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{4\nu/(\kappa-\nu)+2} x \\
&+ \frac{3(\nu - \kappa)^2 x}{16 [(−1)^{\nu} (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2}}. \tag{8.1.96}
\end{align*}
\]
Moreover, (8.1.48), the second equation in (8.1.50) and (8.1.85)-(8.1.87) yield

\[
v_t + uv_x + v v_y - \nu \Delta (v) - \theta = -\psi_t + \psi \psi_y + \nu \psi_{yy} - \theta
\]

\[
e = - (\beta_1' y + \beta_2' \zeta + \beta_2' \eta) + (\beta_1 y + \beta_2 \zeta)(\beta_1 + \beta_2 \eta) - (-1)^{r/2} \beta \zeta - \theta
\]

\[
= (\beta_1^2 - \beta_1') y + (\beta_1 \beta_2 - \beta_2') (-1)^{r/2} \beta \zeta + \beta_2 (\beta_1 - \gamma') \eta + \frac{\beta_2^2}{2} \partial_y (\zeta^2) - \theta
\]

\[
= \frac{3(\nu - \kappa)^2 y}{16((-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0)^2} - b e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \eta(y)
\]

\[
+ b_3 (\kappa - \nu) [(1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu} \zeta(y) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 (1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 1} \partial_y \zeta^2 (y).
\]

According to (8.1.11), we have

\[
p = b \int e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \eta(y) \, dy
\]

\[
- b \int e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \partial_y \zeta^2 (y)
\]

\[
+ (1)^r b_3 (\kappa - \nu) [(1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 1} \eta(y).
\]

**Theorem 8.1.4.** Suppose \( \kappa \neq \nu \). For \( b, b_2, b_3, c, c_0 \in \mathbb{R} \), we have the following solutions of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2): (1)

\[
u = b \int e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \eta(y) \, dy
\]

\[
- b \int e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \partial_y \zeta^2 (y)
\]

\[
+ (1)^r b_3 (\kappa - \nu) [(1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 1} \eta(y).
\]

According to (8.1.11), we have

\[
p = b \int e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \eta(y) \, dy
\]

\[
- b \int e^{b_3 [(-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]} \partial_y \zeta^2 (y)
\]

\[
+ b_3 (\kappa - \nu) [(1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 1} \eta(y).
\]

and

\[
p = b \int \exp (2^{-1} b_3 [(\kappa - \nu) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu}) (e^{y/(\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0} + ce^{y/(\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0}) dy
\]

\[
- \frac{b_3^2}{8} [\kappa - \nu] t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 3} \eta(y) + ce^{y/(\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0}
\]

\[
- \frac{b_3^2}{2} \kappa [(\kappa - \nu) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 1} (e^{y/(\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0} + ce^{y/(\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0})
\]

\[
- \frac{b_3^2}{2} \kappa [(\kappa - \nu) t/2 + c_0]^{2r/\nu + 1} \partial_y \zeta^2 (y),
\]

\[
- \frac{3(\nu - \kappa)^2 (x^2 + y^2)}{32((-1)^r (\nu - \kappa) t/2 + c_0)^2}.
\]

(8.1.99)
8.1. TWO-DIMENSIONAL EQUATIONS

\( u = b_3 \kappa \[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0\]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 1} x \cos \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}} + \frac{(\kappa - \nu)x}{4((\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0)} \)

\( -b_2[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 1/2} \sin \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}}, \)

\( v = \frac{(\nu - \kappa)y}{4((\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0)} - b_3\kappa[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 3/2} \sin \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}}, \)

\( \theta = b \exp \left( b_3[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu)} \cos \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}} \right), \)

\( p = b \int \exp \left( b_3[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu)} \cos \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}} \right) dy \)

\( -\frac{b_3^2}{2} \kappa^2[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{4\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 2} x^2 - \frac{3(\nu - \kappa)^2(x^2 + y^2)}{32[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^2} \)

\( -\frac{b_3^2}{2} \kappa^2[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{4\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 3} \sin^2 \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}} \)

\( + b_3\kappa(\kappa - \nu)[(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0]^{2\nu/(\kappa - \nu) + 1} \cos \frac{y}{\sqrt{(\nu - \kappa)t/2 + c_0}}. \)

Applying the symmetry transformations in (8.1.6)-(8.1.10) to the above solutions, we can get more general solutions the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2).

Let \( \gamma \) be a function in \( t \). Denote the moving frame

\[ X = x \cos \gamma + y \sin \gamma, \quad Y = y \cos \gamma - x \sin \gamma. \]

Then

\[ \partial_t(X) = \gamma' Y, \quad \partial_t(Y) = -\gamma' X. \]

By the chain rule of taking partial derivatives,

\[ \partial_x = \cos \gamma \partial_X - \sin \gamma \partial_Y, \quad \partial_y = \sin \gamma \partial_X + \cos \gamma \partial_Y. \]

Solving the above system, we get

\[ \partial_X = \cos \gamma \partial_x + \sin \gamma \partial_y, \quad \partial_Y = -\sin \gamma \partial_x + \cos \gamma \partial_y. \]

Moreover, (8.1.107) and (8.1.110) imply

\[ \partial_X(Y) = 0, \quad \partial_Y(X) = 0. \]

In particular,

\[ \Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 = \partial_X^2 + \partial_Y^2, \quad x^2 + y^2 = X^2 + Y^2. \]
We assume
\[ \xi = \phi(t, \mathcal{X}) - \frac{\gamma'}{2}(x^2 + y^2), \quad \theta = \psi(t, \mathcal{X}), \]  
(8.1.113)
where \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are functions in \( t, \mathcal{X} \). Note
\[ \xi_y \partial_x - \xi_x \partial_y = (\mathcal{X} - \phi_x) \partial_y - \gamma' \mathcal{Y} \partial_X. \]  
(8.1.114)
Then (8.1.13) becomes
\[ \psi_t - \kappa \psi_{XX} = 0 \]  
(8.1.115)
and (8.1.14) becomes
\[ -2 \gamma'' + \phi_{tXX} - \nu \phi_{XX} + \psi \cos \gamma = 0 \]  
(8.1.116)
by (8.1.111) and (8.1.144). Modulo the transformation in (8.1.8)-(8.1.11), the above equation is equivalent to
\[ -2 \gamma'' \mathcal{X} + \phi_{tX} - \nu \phi_{XX} + \psi \cos \gamma = 0. \]  
(8.1.117)

Note that (8.1.115) is a heat conduction equation. Assume \( \nu = \kappa \). We take its solution
\[ \psi = \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{\alpha_r t} \cos 2b_r t + a_r \mathcal{X} \cos b_r \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + a_r \mathcal{X} \sin b_r + b_r + c_r), \]  
(8.1.118)
where \( a_r, b_r, c_r, d_r \in \mathbb{R} \) with \((a_r, b_r) \neq (0, 0)\) and \( d_r \neq 0 \). Then
\[ \psi = \partial_t \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r e^{\alpha_r t} \cos 2b_r t + a_r \mathcal{X} \cos b_r \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + a_r \mathcal{X} \sin b_r + c_r) \right]. \]  
(8.1.119)
Moreover, (8.1.117) is implied by the following equation:
\[ 2 \nu \gamma' - \gamma'' \mathcal{X}^2 + \phi_t - \nu \phi_{XX} + \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r e^{\alpha_r t} \cos 2b_r t + a_r \mathcal{X} \cos b_r \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + a_r \mathcal{X} \sin b_r + c_r) \cos \gamma = 0 \]  
(8.1.120)
by (8.1.119). Thus we have the following solution of (8.1.117):
\[ \phi = -\left( \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r e^{\alpha_r t} \cos 2b_r t + a_r \mathcal{X} \cos b_r \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + a_r \mathcal{X} \sin b_r + c_r) \right) \int \cos \gamma \, dt \]
\[ + \gamma' \mathcal{X}^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{n} \tilde{a}_s e^{\beta_s t} \cos 2b_s t + \tilde{a}_s \mathcal{X} \cos b_s \sin(\tilde{a}_s^2 \kappa t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \mathcal{X} \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s), \]  
(8.1.121)
where \( \tilde{a}_s, \tilde{b}_s, \tilde{c}_s, \tilde{a}_s \) are real numbers.

Suppose \( \nu \neq \kappa \). To make (8.1.117) solvable, we choose the following solution of (8.1.115):
\[ \psi = \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{\alpha_r t + a_r \mathcal{X}}. \]  
(8.1.122)
Now (8.1.117) is implied by the following equation:

\[ 2\nu' - \gamma''X^2 + \phi_t - \nu\phi_{XX} + \sum_{r=1}^m d_r e^{\alpha^2 r t + a_r X} \cos \gamma = 0. \]  

(8.1.123)

We obtain the following solution of (8.1.117):

\[
\phi = \gamma'X^2 + \sum_{s=1}^n \hat{d}_s e^{\alpha^2 s t + b_s X} \sin(\hat{\alpha}_s^2 s t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s X \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) 
- \sum_{r=1}^m d_r e^{\alpha^2 r t + a_r X} \int e^{\alpha^2 (\kappa - \nu) t} \cos \gamma \, dt. 
\]  

(8.1.124)

Note

\[ u = \phi_X \sin \gamma - \gamma' y, \quad v = \gamma' x - \phi_X \cos \gamma. \]  

(8.1.125)

Moreover,

\[ u \partial_x + v \partial_y = -\phi_X \partial_y + \gamma'(x \partial_y - y \partial_x). \]  

(8.1.126)

By (8.1.117) and (8.1.126), we find

\[
u_t + uu_x + vv_y - \nu \Delta u
= \gamma' \phi_X \cos \gamma - \phi_X \sin \gamma - \gamma' Y \phi_{XX} \sin \gamma - \gamma'' y + \gamma' \phi_X \partial_y (y) 
+ \gamma'(x \partial_y - y \partial_x) (\phi_X) \sin \gamma - \gamma'^2 x - \nu \phi_{XX} \sin \gamma 
\]

\[ = (\phi_X - \nu \psi \phi_{XX}) \sin \gamma + 2\gamma' \phi_X \cos \gamma - \gamma'^2 x - \gamma'' y 
\]

\[ = (2\gamma' X - \psi \cos \gamma) \sin \gamma + 2\gamma' \phi_X \cos \gamma - \gamma'^2 x - \gamma'' y, 
\]

\[ = \gamma'' (x \sec 2\gamma - y \cos 2\gamma) + (2\gamma' \phi_X - \psi \sin \gamma) \cos \gamma - \gamma'^2 x, \]  

(8.1.127)

\[
u_t + uu_x + vv_y - \nu \Delta v - \theta
= \gamma' \phi_X \sin \gamma - \phi_X \cos \gamma - \gamma' Y \phi_{XX} \cos \gamma + \gamma'' x - \gamma' \phi_X \partial_y (x) 
- \gamma'(x \partial_y - y \partial_x) (\phi_X) \cos \gamma - \gamma'^2 y + \nu \phi_{XX} \cos \gamma - \psi 
\]

\[ = (\nu \phi_{XX} - \phi_X) \cos \gamma + 2\gamma' \phi_X \sin \gamma - \gamma'^2 y + \gamma'' x - \psi 
\]

\[ = (\psi \cos \gamma - 2\gamma'' X) \cos \gamma + 2\gamma' \phi_X \sin \gamma - \gamma'^2 y + \gamma'' x - \psi 
\]

\[ = -\gamma'' (x \sec 2\gamma + y \sin 2\gamma) + (2\gamma' \phi_X - \psi \sin \gamma) \sin \gamma - \gamma'^2 y. \]  

(8.1.128)

According to (8.1.1),

\[ p = \frac{\gamma'^2 - \gamma'' \sin 2\gamma}{2} x^2 + \frac{\gamma'^2 + \gamma'' \sin 2\gamma}{2} y^2 + \gamma'' x y \cos 2\gamma + \int \psi dX \sin \gamma - 2\gamma' \phi. \]  

(8.1.129)

**Theorem 8.1.5.** Let \( \gamma \) be any function in \( t \) and denote \( X = x \cos \gamma + y \sin \gamma \). Take \( \{a_r, b_r, c_r, d_r, \hat{a}_s, \hat{b}_s, \hat{c}_s, \hat{d}_s \mid r = 1, \ldots, m; s = 1, \ldots, n \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}. \) 

(8.1.130)
If $\nu = \kappa$, we have the following solutions of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2):

\[
u = \{ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\nu \kappa t \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \kappa t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) \\
- \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_r + a_r X \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + b_r + a_r X \sin b_r + c_r) \right] \times \int \cos \gamma \, dt + 2\gamma' \hat{X} \} \sin \gamma - \gamma' y, \tag{8.1.31}
\]

\[
v = \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \kappa t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) \\
- \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_r + a_r X \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + b_r + a_r X \sin b_r + c_r) \right] \times \int \cos \gamma \, dt + 2\gamma' \hat{X} \} \cos \gamma + \gamma' x, \tag{8.1.32}
\]

$\theta = \psi$ in (8.1.118), and

\[
p = (\sin \gamma + 2\gamma' \int \cos \gamma) \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_r + a_r X \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \kappa t \sin 2b_r + a_r X \sin b_r + c_r) \right] \\\n+ \frac{\gamma'^2 - 2\gamma'' \sin 2\gamma' x^2 + \gamma'^2 + 2\gamma'' \sin 2\gamma' y^2 + \gamma'' xy \cos 2\gamma - \gamma'^2 \hat{X}^2}{2} \\\n- 2\gamma \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \kappa t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s). \tag{8.1.33}
\]

When $\nu \neq \kappa$, we have the following solutions of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2):

\[
u = \{ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \kappa t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) \\
+ 2\gamma' \hat{X} - \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{\kappa t \nu t + a_r X} \int e^{a_r^2 (\kappa - \nu) t} \cos \gamma \, dt \} \sin \gamma - \gamma' y, \tag{8.1.34}
\]

\[
v = \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\kappa t \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \kappa t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) \\
+ 2\gamma' \hat{X} - \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{\kappa t \nu t + a_r X} \int e^{a_r^2 (\kappa - \nu) t} \cos \gamma \, dt \} \cos \gamma + \gamma' x, \tag{8.1.35}
\]
\[ \theta = \psi \text{ in (8.1.122), and} \]

\[
p = \frac{\gamma'^2 - \gamma''^2 \sin 2\gamma^2}{2} x^2 + \frac{\gamma'^2 + \gamma'' \sin 2\gamma^2 y^2 + \gamma'' \gamma y \cos 2\gamma - 2\gamma'^2 \gamma^2 x^2}{2} - 2\gamma \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{a}_s^2 \delta t \cos 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{d} \cos \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s} \\
+ m \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r e^{a_r^2 \mu t + \hat{a}_r \hat{d} \cos \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r} (2\gamma'^2 \int e^{a_r^2 (\mu - \nu) t \cos \gamma dt + \sin \gamma}) \quad (8.1.136)
\]

**Remark 8.1.6.** By Fourier expansion, we can use the above solution to obtain the one depending on two piecewise continuous functions of \( \mathcal{X} \). Applying the symmetry transformations in (8.1.6)-(8.1.10) to the above solution, we can get more general solutions of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations (8.1.1)-(8.1.2).

### 8.2 Three-Dimensional Equations and Symmetry

Another slightly simplified version of the system of primitive equations in geophysics is the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq system (e.g., cf. [LTW], [Pj]):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z - \frac{1}{R_0} v &= \sigma (\Delta u - p_x), \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \frac{1}{R_0} u &= \sigma (\Delta v - p_y), \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + uw_x + vw_y + ww_z - \sigma RT &= \sigma (\Delta w - p_z), \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w\frac{\partial T}{\partial z} &= \Delta T + w, \\
u_x + v_y + w_z &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  

(8.2.1)-(8.2.5)

where \((u, v, w)\) is the velocity vector filed, \(T\) is the temperature function, \(p\) is the pressure function, \(\sigma\) is the Prandtle number, \(R\) is the thermal Rayleigh number and \(R_0\) is the Rossby number. Moreover, the vector \(1/R_0(-v, u, 0)\) represents the Coriolis force and the term \(w\) in (8.2.4) is derived using stratification. So the above equations are the extensions of Navier-Stokes equations by adding the Coriolis force and the stratified temperature equation. Due to the Coriolis force, the two-dimensional system (8.1.1) and (8.1.2) is not a special case of the above three-dimensional system. Hsia, Ma and Wang [HMW] studied the bifurcation and periodic solutions of the above system (8.2.1)-(8.2.5).

After the degree analysis, we find that the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq system is not dilation invariant. It is translation invariant. Let \(\alpha\) be a function in \(t\). The transformation

\[
F(t, x, y, z) \mapsto F(t, x + \alpha, y, z) - \delta_{u,v} \alpha' \quad \text{for} \quad F = u, v, w, T, p 
\]

(8.2.6)
leaves (8.2.3)-(8.2.5) invariant and changes (8.2.1) and (8.2.2) to

\[-\alpha'' + u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z - \frac{1}{R_0} v = \sigma (\Delta u - p_x),\]  

(8.2.7)

and

\[v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \frac{1}{R_0} u - \frac{\alpha'}{R_0} = \sigma (\Delta v - p_y),\]  

(8.2.8)

where the independent variable \(x\) is replaced by \(x + \alpha\) and the partial derivatives are with respect to the original variables. Thus the transformation

\[S_1,\alpha(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x + \alpha, y, z) - \delta_{u,F} \alpha' + \delta_{p,F} \sigma^{-1}(\alpha'' x + \alpha'y/R_0)\]  

(8.2.9)

for \(F = u, v, w, T, p\) is a symmetry of the system (8.2.1)-(8.2.5). Similarly, we have the following symmetry of the system (8.2.1)-(8.2.5):

\[S_2,\alpha(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x, y + \alpha, z) - \delta_{v,F} \alpha' + \delta_{p,F} \sigma^{-1}(\alpha'' y - \alpha' x/R_0)\]  

(8.2.10)

for \(F = u, v, w, T, p\).

Note that the transformation

\[F(t, x, y, z) \rightarrow F(t, x, y, z + \alpha) - \delta_{w,F} \alpha' \quad \text{for} \quad F = u, v, w, T, p\]  

(8.2.11)

leaves (8.2.1), (8.2.2) and (8.2.5) invariant, and changes (8.2.3) and (8.2.4) to

\[-\alpha'' + w_t + uw_x + vw_y + wu_z - \sigma RT = \sigma (\Delta w - p_z),\]  

(8.2.12)

and

\[T_t + uT_x + vT_y + wT_z = \Delta T + w - \alpha',\]  

(8.2.13)

where the independent variable \(x\) is replaced by \(x + \alpha\) and the partial derivatives are with respect to the original variables. Hence the transformation

\[S_3,\alpha(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x, y, z + \alpha) - \delta_{w,F} \alpha' + \delta_{p,F} (\sigma^{-1} \alpha'' - \alpha/R)z - \delta_{T,F} \alpha\]  

(8.2.14)

for \(F = u, v, w, T, p\) is a symmetry of the system (8.2.1)-(8.2.5). Obviously, the transformation

\[S_4,\alpha(F(t, x, y, z)) = F(t, x, y, z) + \delta_{p,F} \alpha'\]  

(8.2.15)

for \(F = u, v, w, T, p\) is a symmetry of the system.

For convenience of computation, we denote

\[\Phi_1 = u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z - \frac{1}{R_0} v - \sigma (u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}),\]  

(8.2.16)

\[\Phi_2 = v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \frac{1}{R_0} u - \sigma (v_{xx} + v_{yy} + v_{zz}),\]  

(8.2.17)
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\[ \Phi_3 = w_t + uw_x + vw_y + ww_z - \sigma RT - \sigma (w_{xx} + w_{yy} + w_{zz}). \] (8.2.18)

Then the equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.3) become

\[ \Phi_1 + \sigma p_x = 0, \quad \Phi_2 + \sigma p_y = 0, \quad \Phi_3 + \sigma p_z = 0. \] (8.2.19)

Our strategy is to solve the following compatibility conditions:

\[ \partial_y(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_2), \quad \partial_z(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_3), \quad \partial_z(\Phi_2) = \partial_y(\Phi_3). \] (8.2.20)

8.3 Asymmetric Approach I

Starting from this section, we use asymmetric methods to solve the stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5).

First we assume

\[ u = \phi_z(t, z)x + \zeta(t, z)y + \mu(t, z), \quad v = \tau(t, z)x + \psi_z(t, z)y + \varepsilon(t, z), \] (8.3.1)

\[ w = -\phi(t, z) - \psi(t, z), \quad T = \vartheta(t, z) + z, \] (8.3.2)

where \( \phi, \vartheta, \zeta, \mu, \tau, \) and \( \varepsilon \) are functions of \( t, z \) to be determined. Then

\[ \Phi_1 = \phi_{tz}x + \zeta y + \mu_t + \phi_z(\phi_x + \zeta y + \mu) + (\zeta - 1/R_0)(\tau x + \psi_z y + \varepsilon) - (\phi + \psi)(\phi_{zz}x + \zeta_{zz}y + \mu_z) - \sigma(\phi_{zzz}x + \zeta_{zzz}y + \mu_{zz}) \]
\[ = [\phi_{tz} + \phi_z^2 + \tau(\zeta - 1/R_0) - \phi_{zz}(\phi + \psi) - \sigma \phi_{zzz}]x \]
\[ + \left[\zeta_t + \zeta \phi_z + \psi_z(\zeta - 1/R_0) - \zeta_z(\phi + \psi) - \sigma \zeta_{zz}\right]y \]
\[ + \mu_t + \mu \phi_z + (\zeta - 1/R_0)\varepsilon - \mu_z(\phi + \psi) - \sigma \mu_{zz}, \] (8.3.3)

\[ \Phi_2 = \tau x + \psi_{tz} y + \varepsilon_t + \psi_z(\tau x + \psi_z y + \varepsilon) + (\tau + 1/R_0)(\phi_z x + \zeta y + \mu) - (\phi + \psi)(\tau_{zz} x + \psi_{zzz} y + \varepsilon_{zz}) - \sigma(\tau_{zzz} x + \psi_{zzz} y + \varepsilon_{zz}) \]
\[ = [\psi_{tz} + \psi_z^2 + \zeta(\tau + 1/R_0) - (\phi + \psi)\psi_{zz} - \sigma \psi_{zzz}]y \]
\[ + \left[\tau_t + \tau \psi_z + (\tau + 1/R_0)\phi_z - (\phi + \psi)\tau_z - \sigma \tau_{zz}\right]x \]
\[ + \varepsilon_t + \varepsilon \psi_z + (\tau + 1/R_0)\mu - (\phi + \psi)\varepsilon_z - \sigma \varepsilon_{zz}, \] (8.3.4)

\[ \Phi_3 = -\phi_t - \psi_t - (\phi + \psi)(\phi_z + \psi_z) - \sigma R(\vartheta + z) + \sigma(\phi_{zz} + \psi_{zz}). \] (8.3.5)

Thus (8.2.20) is equivalent to the following system of partial differential equations:

\[ \phi_{tz} + \phi_z^2 + \tau(\zeta - 1/R_0) - \phi_{zz}(\phi + \psi) - \sigma \phi_{zzz} = \alpha_1, \] (8.3.6)

\[ \zeta_t + \zeta \phi_z + \psi_z(\zeta - 1/R_0) - \zeta_z(\phi + \psi) - \sigma \zeta_{zz} = \alpha, \] (8.3.7)

\[ \mu_t + \mu \phi_z + (\zeta - 1/R_0)\varepsilon - \mu_z(\phi + \psi) - \sigma \mu_{zz} = \alpha_2, \] (8.3.8)
Solving (8.3.19) and (8.3.22) for \( \gamma \) which gives

\[
\psi_{tz} + \psi_z^2 + \zeta(\tau + 1/R_0) - (\phi + \psi)\psi_{zz} - \sigma\psi_{z\zeta} = \beta_1, \tag{8.3.9}
\]

\[
\tau_t + \tau\psi_z + (\tau + 1/R_0)\phi_z - (\phi + \psi)\tau_z - \sigma\tau_{zz} = \alpha, \tag{8.3.10}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon\psi_z + (\tau + 1/R_0)\mu - (\phi + \psi)\varepsilon_z - \sigma\varepsilon_{zz} = \beta_2
\]

(8.3.11)

for some \( \alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are functions in \( t \).

Let \( 0 \neq b \) and \( c \) be fixed real constants. We define

\[
\zeta_1(z) = \frac{e^{bz} - c e^{-bz}}{2}, \quad \eta_1(z) = \frac{e^{bz} + c e^{-bz}}{2},
\]

(8.3.12)

\[
\zeta_0(z) = \sin bz, \quad \eta_0(z) = \cos bz.
\]

(8.3.13)

Then

\[
\eta_r^2(z) + (-1)^r \zeta_r^2(z) = c^r.
\]

(8.3.14)

We assume

\[
\phi = b^{-1}\gamma_1\zeta_r(z), \quad \psi = b^{-1}(\gamma_2\zeta_r(z) + \gamma_3\eta_r(z)),
\]

(8.3.15)

\[
\zeta = \gamma_4(\gamma_2\eta_r(z) - (-1)^r\gamma_3\zeta_r(z)), \quad \tau = \gamma_5\gamma_1\eta_r(z), \quad \gamma_4\gamma_5 = 1,
\]

(8.3.16)

where \( \gamma_j \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Moreover, (8.3.6) becomes

\[
(\gamma_1' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_1 - \gamma_1\gamma_5/R_0)\eta_r(z) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\gamma_1 c^r = \alpha_1,
\]

(8.3.17)

which is implied by

\[
\alpha_1 = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)\gamma_1 c^r,
\]

(8.3.18)

\[
\gamma_1' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_1 - \gamma_1\gamma_5/R_0 = 0.
\]

(8.3.19)

On the other hand, (8.3.10) becomes

\[
[(\gamma_1\gamma_5)' + \gamma_1/R_0 + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_1\gamma_5]\eta_r + \gamma_1\gamma_5(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)c^r = \alpha,
\]

(8.3.20)

which gives

\[
\alpha = \gamma_1\gamma_5(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)c^r,
\]

(8.3.21)

\[
(\gamma_1\gamma_5)' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_1\gamma_5 + \gamma_1/R_0 = 0.
\]

(8.3.22)

Solving (8.3.19) and (8.3.22) for \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_1\gamma_5 \), we get

\[
\gamma_1 = b_1 e^{-(1)^r b^2 \sigma t} \sin \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad \gamma_1\gamma_5 = b_1 e^{-(1)^r b^2 \sigma t} \cos \frac{t}{R_0},
\]

(8.3.23)

where \( b_1 \) is a real constant. In particular, we take

\[
\gamma_5 = \cot \frac{t}{R_0}.
\]

(8.3.24)
Observe that (8.3.7) becomes
\[
[(\gamma_2 \gamma_4)' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_2 \gamma_4 - \gamma_2/R_0] \eta_r + \gamma_4 (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2^2 + (-1)^r \gamma_3^2) c^r \\
-(-1)^r [(\gamma_3 \gamma_4)' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_2 \gamma_4 - \gamma_3/R_0] \zeta_r = \alpha \tag{8.3.25}
\]
and (8.3.9) becomes
\[
[\gamma_2' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_4/R_0] \eta_r + (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2^2 + (-1)^r \gamma_3^2) c^r \\
-(-1)^r [\gamma_3' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_3 + \gamma_3 \gamma_4/R_0] \zeta_r = \beta_1, \tag{8.3.26}
\]
equivalently,
\[
\alpha = \gamma_4 (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2^2 + (-1)^r \gamma_3^2) c^r, \tag{8.3.27}
\]
\[
\beta_1 = (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2^2 + (-1)^r \gamma_3^2) c^r, \tag{8.3.28}
\]
\[
(\gamma_2 \gamma_4)' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_2 \gamma_4 - \gamma_2/R_0 = 0, \tag{8.3.29}
\]
\[
\gamma_2' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_4/R_0 = 0, \tag{8.3.30}
\]
\[
(\gamma_3 \gamma_4)' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_3 \gamma_4 - \gamma_3/R_0 = 0, \tag{8.3.31}
\]
\[
\gamma_3' + (-1)^r b^2 \sigma \gamma_3 + \gamma_3 \gamma_4/R_0 = 0. \tag{8.3.32}
\]
Solving (8.3.29)-(8.3.32) under the assumption \(\gamma_4 \gamma_5 = 1\), we obtain
\[
\gamma_2 \gamma_4 = b_2 e^{-(1)^r b^2 \sigma t} \sin \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad \gamma_2 = b_2 e^{-(1)^r b^2 \sigma t} \cos \frac{t}{R_0}, \tag{8.3.33}
\]
\[
\gamma_3 \gamma_4 = b_3 e^{-(1)^r b^2 \sigma t} \sin \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad \gamma_3 = b_3 e^{-(1)^r b^2 \sigma t} \cos \frac{t}{R_0}. \tag{8.3.34}
\]
In particular, we have:
\[
\gamma_4 = \tan \frac{t}{R_0}. \tag{8.3.35}
\]
According to (8.3.21) and (8.3.27),
\[
\gamma_1 \gamma_5 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) c^r = \gamma_4 (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2^2 + (-1)^r \gamma_3^2) c^r. \tag{8.3.36}
\]
Multiplying \(\gamma_4\) to the above equation and dividing by \(c^r\), we have
\[
\gamma_1 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = \gamma_1 \gamma_4 (\gamma_2 \gamma_4) + (\gamma_2 \gamma_4)^2 + (-1)^r (\gamma_3 \gamma_4)^2. \tag{8.3.37}
\]
By (8.3.23) and (8.3.33)-(8.3.35), the above equation is equivalent to
\[
b_1^2 \sin^2 \frac{t}{R_0} + \frac{1}{2} b_2 b_2^2 \sin^2 \frac{2t}{R_0} = b_1 b_2 \tan \frac{t}{R_0} \sin^2 \frac{t}{R_0} + (b_2^2 + (-1)^r b_3^2) \sin^2 \frac{t}{R_0}, \tag{8.3.38}
\]
which can be rewritten as
\[
-b_1 b_2 \cos \frac{2t}{R_0} \tan \frac{t}{R_0} + (b_2^2 - b_1^2 + (-1)^r b_3^2) \sin \frac{2t}{R_0} = 0. \tag{8.3.39}
\]
Thus

\[ b_1b_2 = 0, \quad b_2^2 - b_1^2 + (-1)^r b_3^2 = 0. \] (8.3.40)

So

\[ r = 0, \quad b_2 = 0, \quad b_1 = b_3 \] (8.3.41)

or

\[ r = 1, \quad b_1 = 0, \quad b_2 = b_3. \] (8.3.42)

Assume \( r = 0 \) and \( b_1 \neq 0 \). Then

\[ \phi = b_1^{-1}b_1e^{-b_2^2t} \sin bz \sin \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad \psi = b_1^{-1}b_1e^{-b_2^2t} \cos bz \cos \frac{t}{R_0}, \] (8.3.43)

\[ \zeta = -b_1e^{-b_2^2t} \sin bz \sin \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad \tau = b_1e^{-b_2^2t} \cos bz \cos \frac{t}{R_0}. \] (8.3.44)

Moreover, we take \( \mu = \varepsilon = \vartheta = 0 \). So (8.2.4), (8.3.8) and (8.3.11) naturally hold. Observe

\[ \Phi_1 = \gamma_1^2(x + \gamma_5y) = b_1^2e^{-2b_2^2t}(x \sin \frac{t}{R_0} + y \cos \frac{t}{R_0}) \sin \frac{t}{R_0} \] (8.3.45)

by (8.3.3), (8.3.6)-(8.3.8), (8.3.18) and (8.3.21). Similarly

\[ \Phi_2 = b_1^2e^{-2b_2^2t}(x \sin \frac{t}{R_0} + y \cos \frac{t}{R_0}) \cos \frac{t}{R_0}. \] (8.3.46)

According to (8.3.5),

\[ \Phi_3 = \left[ b_1^{-1}b_1e^{-b_2^2t} - b_1^2e^{-2b_2^2t} \cos \left( bz - \frac{t}{R_0} \right) \right] \sin \left( bz - \frac{t}{R_0} \right) - R\sigma z. \] (8.3.47)

By (8.2.19), we have

\[ p = \frac{Rz^2}{2} + \frac{b_1e^{-b_2^2t}}{b^2\sigma R_0} \cos \left( bz - \frac{t}{R_0} \right) - \frac{b_1^2e^{-2b_2^2t}}{2b^2\sigma} \cos^2 \left( bz - \frac{t}{R_0} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{b_1^2e^{-2b_2^2t}}{2\sigma} \left( y^2 \cos^2 \frac{t}{R_0} + x^2 \sin^2 \frac{t}{R_0} + xy \sin \frac{t}{R_0} \right). \] (8.3.48)

Suppose \( r = 1 \) and \( b_2 \neq 0 \). Then

\[ \phi = \tau = \mu = \varepsilon = \vartheta = 0, \quad \psi = b_1^{-1}b_2e^{bz+b_2^2t} \cos \frac{t}{R_0}, \quad \zeta = b_2e^{bz+b_2^2t} \sin \frac{t}{R_0}. \] (8.3.49)

Moreover,

\[ \Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = 0, \quad \Phi_3 = b_1^{-1}b_2 R_0^{-1} e^{b_2^2t} \sin \frac{t}{R_0} + b_1^{-1}b_2^2 e^{2(b_2+b_2^2)t} \cos^2 \frac{t}{R_0} - R\sigma z. \] (8.3.50)

According to (8.2.19),

\[ p = \frac{Rz^2}{2} - \frac{b_2 e^{bz+b_2^2t}}{b^2\sigma R_0} \sin \frac{t}{R_0} - \frac{b_2^2 e^{2(bz+b_2^2t)}}{2b^2\sigma} \cos^2 \frac{t}{R_0}. \] (8.3.51)
by (8.3.1) and (8.3.2), we get:

**Theorem 8.3.1.** Let \( b, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( b \neq 0 \). We have the following solutions of the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5): (1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u}{R_0} &= b_1 e^{-b t} (x \cos bz - y \sin bz) \sin \frac{t}{R_0}, \\
\frac{v}{R_0} &= b_1 e^{-b t} (x \cos bz - y \sin bz) \cos \frac{t}{R_0}, \\
\frac{w}{R_0} &= -b_1 e^{-b t} \cos \left( bz - \frac{t}{R_0} \right), \\
T &= z
\end{align*}
\] (8.3.52)

and \( p \) is given in (8.3.48); (2)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u}{R_0} &= b_2 e^{b_1 e^{-b t} y \sin \frac{t}{R_0}}, \\
\frac{v}{R_0} &= b_2 e^{b_1 e^{-b t} y \cos \frac{t}{R_0}}, \\
\frac{w}{R_0} &= -b_1 e^{b_1 e^{-b t} \cos \frac{t}{R_0}} T = z
\end{align*}
\] (8.3.54)

and \( p \) is given in (8.3.51).

Next we assume \( \phi = \zeta = \psi = \tau = 0 \). Then

\[
\mu_t - \frac{1}{R_0} \varepsilon_2 - \sigma \mu_{zz} = \alpha_2, \\
\varepsilon_2 + \frac{1}{R_0} \nu - \sigma \varepsilon_{zz} = \beta_2, \\
\psi_t - \vartheta_{zz} = 0.
\] (8.3.56)

Solving them, we get:

**Theorem 8.3.2.** Let \( a_s, b_s, c_s, d_s, \tilde{a}_r, \tilde{b}_r, \tilde{c}_r, \tilde{d}_r, \tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j, \tilde{c}_j, \tilde{d}_j \) be real numbers. We have the following solutions of the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{u}{R_0} &= \cos \frac{t}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^{m} d_s e^{a_2^s t} \cos 2b_s + a_s z \cos b_s \sin(a_2^s \sigma t \sin 2b_s + a_s z \sin b_s + c_s) \\
&\quad + \sin \frac{t}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^{n} d_r e^{a_2^r t} \cos 2b_r + a_r z \cos b_r \sin(\tilde{a}_2^r \sigma t \sin 2\tilde{b}_r + \tilde{a}_r z \sin \tilde{b}_r + \tilde{c}_r),
\end{align*}
\] (8.3.57)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{v}{R_0} &= -\sin \frac{t}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^{m} d_s e^{a_2^s t} \cos 2b_s + a_s z \cos b_s \sin(a_2^s \sigma t \sin 2b_s + a_s z \sin b_s + c_s) \\
&\quad + \cos \frac{t}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^{n} d_r e^{a_2^r t} \cos 2b_r + a_r z \cos b_r \sin(\tilde{a}_2^r \sigma t \sin 2\tilde{b}_r + \tilde{a}_r z \sin \tilde{b}_r + \tilde{c}_r),
\end{align*}
\] (8.3.58)

\[
\begin{align*}
w &= 0, \\
T &= z + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_j \tilde{d}_j e^{a_2^j t} \cos 2\tilde{b}_j + \tilde{a}_j z \cos \tilde{b}_j \sin(\tilde{a}_2^j t \sin 2\tilde{b}_j + \tilde{a}_j z \sin \tilde{b}_j + \tilde{c}_j),
\end{align*}
\] (8.3.59)

\[
p = \frac{Rz^2}{2} + R \sum_{j=1}^{k} \tilde{d}_j e^{a_2^j t} \cos 2\tilde{b}_j + \tilde{a}_j z \cos \tilde{b}_j \sin(\tilde{a}_2^j t \sin 2\tilde{b}_j + \tilde{a}_j z \sin \tilde{b}_j + \tilde{c}_j). \\
\] (8.3.60)

**Remark 8.3.3.** By Fourier expansion, we can use the above solution to obtain the one depending on three arbitrary piecewise continuous functions of \( z \).
8.4 Asymmetric Approach II

In this section, we solve the stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5) under the assumption

\[ u_z = v_z = w_{zz} = T_{zz} = 0. \] (8.4.1)

Let \( \gamma \) be a function in \( t \) and we use the moving frame in (8.1.107). Assume

\[ u = f(t, \mathcal X) \sin \gamma - \gamma' y, \quad v = -f(t, \mathcal X) \cos \gamma + \gamma' x, \] (8.4.2)
\[ w = \phi(t, \mathcal X), \quad T = \psi(t, \mathcal X) + z, \] (8.4.3)

for some functions \( f, \phi \) and \( \psi \) in \( t \) and \( \mathcal X \).

Using (8.1.108)-(8.1.112) and (8.2.16)-(8.2.18), we get

\[ u \partial_x + v \partial_y = -f \partial_Y + \gamma'(x \partial_y - y \partial_x) \] (8.4.4)

and

\[ \Phi_1 = -(\gamma'^2 + \gamma' / R_0) x - \gamma'' y + f_t \sin \gamma + (2 \gamma' + 1 / R_0) f \cos \gamma - \sigma f_{XX} \sin \gamma, \] (8.4.5)
\[ \Phi_2 = -(\gamma'^2 + \gamma' / R_0) y + \gamma''' x - f_t \cos \gamma + (2 \gamma' + 1 / R_0) f \sin \gamma + \sigma f_{XY} \cos \gamma, \] (8.4.6)
\[ \Phi_3 = \phi_t - \sigma \phi_{XX} - \sigma R(\psi + z). \] (8.4.7)

By (8.2.20), we have

\[ -2 \gamma'' + f_{Xt} - \sigma f_{XXX} = 0, \] (8.4.8)
\[ \phi_t - \sigma \phi_{XX} - \sigma R \psi = 0. \] (8.4.9)

Moreover, (8.2.4) becomes

\[ \psi_t - \psi_{XX} = 0. \] (8.4.10)

Solving (8.4.8), we have:

\[ f = 2 \gamma' \mathcal X + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j d_j e^{a_j t \cos 2b_j + a_j \mathcal X \cos b_j} \sin(a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \mathcal X \sin b_j + b_j + c_j), \] (8.4.11)

where \( a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j \) are arbitrary real numbers. Moreover, (8.4.9) and (8.4.10) yield

\[ \phi = \sum_{r=1}^n d_r e^{\tilde a_t r \cos 2\tilde b_r + \tilde a_r \mathcal X \cos \tilde b_r} \sin(\tilde a_t^2 t \sin 2\tilde b_r + \tilde a_r \mathcal X \sin \tilde b_r + \tilde c_r) + \sigma R t \psi, \] (8.4.12)
\[ \psi = \sum_{s=1}^k d_s e^{\tilde a_s t \cos 2\tilde b_s + \tilde a_s \mathcal X \cos \tilde b_s} \sin(\tilde a_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde b_s + \tilde a_s \mathcal X \sin \tilde b_s + \tilde c_s) \] (8.4.13)
if $\sigma = 1$, and

$$\phi = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{\alpha}_r e^{\hat{a}_r^2 \sigma t \cos 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_r} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 \sigma t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma R}{1 - \sigma} \sum_{s=1}^{k} \hat{a}_s e^{\hat{a}_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s),$$

(8.4.14)

$$\psi = \sum_{s=1}^{k} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{a}_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s)$$

(8.4.15)

when $\sigma \neq 1$, where $\hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r, \hat{c}_r, \hat{d}_r, \bar{a}_s, \bar{b}_s, \bar{c}_s, \bar{d}_s$ are arbitrary real numbers.

Now

$$\Phi_1 = (\gamma'' \sin 2\gamma - \gamma'' / R_0)x - \gamma'' y \cos 2\gamma + (2\gamma' + 1/R_0)f \cos \gamma,$$

(8.4.16)

$$\Phi_2 = - (\gamma'' \sin 2\gamma + \gamma'' / R_0)y - \gamma'' x \cos 2\gamma + (2\gamma' + 1/R_0)f \sin \gamma,$$

(8.4.17)

and $\Phi_3 = -\sigma R z$. Thanks to (8.2.19), we have

$$p = -\frac{2\gamma' + 1/R_0}{\sigma} \gamma' \hat{X}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j e^{\alpha_j^2 \sigma t \cos 2\alpha_j \hat{X} \cos \beta_j} \sin(\alpha_j^2 \sigma t \sin 2\beta_j + \alpha_j \hat{X} \sin \beta_j + \beta_j)$$

$$+ R \int_2^x 2\gamma'' \cos 2\gamma$$

(8.4.18)

Theorem 8.4.1. Let $a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j, \hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r, \hat{c}_r, \hat{d}_r, \bar{a}_s, \bar{b}_s, \bar{c}_s, \bar{d}_s$ be real numbers and let $\gamma$ be any function in $t$. Denote $X = x \cos \gamma + y \sin \gamma$. We have the following solutions of the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5):

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{\alpha_j^2 \sigma t \cos 2\alpha_j \hat{X} \cos \beta_j} \sin(\alpha_j^2 \sigma t \sin 2\beta_j + \alpha_j \hat{X} \sin \beta_j + \beta_j)$$

$$+ 2\gamma' \hat{X} \sin \gamma - \gamma' y,$$

(8.4.19)

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{\alpha_j^2 \sigma t \cos 2\alpha_j \hat{X} \cos \beta_j} \sin(\alpha_j^2 \sigma t \sin 2\beta_j + \alpha_j \hat{X} \sin \beta_j + \beta_j)$$

$$+ 2\gamma' \hat{X} \cos \gamma + \gamma' x,$$

(8.4.20)

$p$ is given in (8.4.18);

$$w = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r e^{\hat{a}_r^2 \sigma t \cos 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_r} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 \sigma t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)$$

$$+ \sigma R \sum_{s=1}^{k} \hat{a}_s e^{\hat{a}_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \hat{X} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s),$$

(8.4.21)
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\[ T = z + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \hat{d}_s \tilde{a}_s^2 t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s X \cos \tilde{b}_s \sin (\tilde{a}_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s X \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s) \] (8.4.22)

if \( \sigma = 1 \), and

\[ w = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{d}_r e^{\tilde{a}_r^2 t \cos 2b_r + \tilde{a}_r X \cos \tilde{b}_r \sin (\tilde{a}_r^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_r + \tilde{a}_r X \sin \tilde{b}_r + \tilde{c}_r) + \sigma R \left( 1 - \sigma \right) \] (8.4.23)

\[ T = z + \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s^2 \hat{d}_s e^{\tilde{a}_s^2 t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s X \cos \tilde{b}_s \sin (\tilde{a}_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s X \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s + 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s) \] (8.4.24)

when \( \sigma \neq 1 \).

**Remark 8.4.2.** By Fourier expansion, we can use the above solution to obtain the one depending on three arbitrary piecewise continuous functions of \( X \).

Next we set

\[ \omega = x^2 + y^2. \] (8.4.25)

We assume

\[ u = y\phi(t, \omega), \quad v = -x\phi(t, \omega), \] (8.4.26)
\[ w = \psi(t, \omega), \quad T = \vartheta(t, \omega) + z \] (8.4.27)

where \( \phi, \psi \) and \( \vartheta \) are functions in \( t, \omega \). Note that (8.2.16)-(8.2.18) give

\[ \Phi_1 = y\phi_t + \frac{x}{R_0} \phi - x\phi^2 - 4\sigma y(\omega \phi)_{\omega \omega}, \] (8.4.28)
\[ \Phi_2 = -x\phi_t + \frac{y}{R_0} \phi - y\phi^2 + 4\sigma x(\omega \phi)_{\omega \omega}, \] (8.4.29)
\[ \Phi_3 = \psi_t - \sigma R(\vartheta + z) - 4\sigma (\omega \psi)_{\omega}. \] (8.4.30)

According to (8.2.20),

\[ \left[ (\omega (\phi_t - 4\sigma (\omega \phi)_{\omega \omega})) \right]_{\omega} = 0, \] (8.4.31)
\[ \partial_x [\psi_t - \sigma R \vartheta - 4\sigma (\omega \psi)_{\omega}] = \partial_y [\psi_t - \sigma R \vartheta - 4\sigma (\omega \psi)_{\omega}] = 0, \] (8.4.32)
\[ \phi_t - 4\sigma (\omega \phi)_{\omega \omega} = \frac{\alpha'}{\omega}, \] (8.4.33)
\[ \psi_t - \sigma R \vartheta - 4\sigma (\omega \psi)_{\omega} = \beta', \] (8.4.34)

for some functions \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in \( t \).

Write

\[ \phi = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j \omega^j, \] (8.4.35)
where \( \alpha_j \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Then (8.4.33) becomes
\[
\sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} (\alpha'_j - 4\sigma(j + 2)(j + 1)\alpha_{j+1})\varpi^j = \frac{\alpha'}{\varpi}, \tag{8.4.36}
\]
equivalently,
\[
\alpha'_{-1} = \alpha', \quad 4\sigma(j + 2)(j + 1)\alpha_{j+1} = \alpha'_j \quad \text{for } j \geq 0. \tag{8.4.37}
\]
We take \( \alpha_{-1} = \alpha \) and redenote \( \alpha_0 = \gamma \). The above second equation implies
\[
\alpha_j = \frac{\gamma^{(j)}}{j!(j + 1)!(4\sigma)^j} \quad \text{for } j \geq 0. \tag{8.4.38}
\]
So
\[
\phi = \frac{\alpha}{\varpi} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}\varpi^j}{j!(j + 1)!(4\sigma)^j}. \tag{8.4.39}
\]
Observe that (8.2.4) becomes
\[
\vartheta_t - 4(\varpi \vartheta)_{\varpi} = 0 \tag{8.4.40}
\]
by (8.4.25)-(8.4.27). The arguments in the above show
\[
\vartheta = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(r)}_{1}\varpi^r}{r!(r + 1)!(4\sigma)^r}, \tag{8.4.41}
\]
where \( \gamma_1 \) is an arbitrary function in \( t \). Substituting (8.4.41) into (8.4.34), we get
\[
\psi_t - 4\sigma(\varpi \psi)_{\varpi} = \beta' + 4\sigma R \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{1}^{(r)}\varpi^r}{r!(r + 1)!(4\sigma)^r}. \tag{8.4.42}
\]
Write
\[
\psi = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \beta_r \varpi^r, \tag{8.4.43}
\]
where \( \beta_r \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Then (8.4.42) becomes
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (\beta'_r - 4\sigma(r + 2)(r + 1)\beta_{r+1})\varpi^r = \beta' + 4\sigma R \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{1}^{(r)}\varpi^r}{r!(r + 1)!(4\sigma)^r}, \tag{8.4.44}
\]
equivalently,
\[
8\sigma \beta_1 = \beta'_0 - \beta' - 4\sigma R \gamma_1, \tag{8.4.45}
\]
\[
\beta_{r+1} = \frac{\beta'_r}{4\sigma(r + 2)(r + 1)} - \frac{R\gamma_{1}^{(r)}}{(r + 2)!(r + 1)!(4\sigma)^r} \quad \text{for } r \geq 1. \tag{8.4.46}
\]
Thus
\[
\beta_r = \frac{\beta'_0 - \beta^{(r)}}{r!(r + 1)!(4\sigma)^r} - \frac{R\gamma_{1}^{(r-1)}}{(r + 1)!(r - 1)!(4\sigma)^{r-1}} \quad \text{for } r \geq 1. \tag{8.4.47}
\]
\[ \psi = \beta_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_0^{(r)} - \beta^{(r)}) - 4\sigma R \gamma_1^{(r-1)}(x^2 + y^2)}{r!(r+1)!} x^r. \]  
(8.4.48)

Now (8.4.28), (8.4.29) and (8.4.33) give

\[ \Phi_1 = \frac{\alpha' y}{\omega} + \frac{x}{R_0} \phi - x\phi^2, \]  
(8.4.49)

\[ \Phi_2 = -\frac{\alpha' x}{\omega} + \frac{y}{R_0} \phi - y\phi^2. \]  
(8.4.50)

Moreover,

\[ \Phi_3 = \beta' - \sigma R z \]  
(8.4.51)

by (8.4.30) and (8.4.34). Thanks to (8.2.19), we have

\[ p = \frac{Rz^2}{2} + \frac{\alpha'}{\sigma} \arctan \frac{y}{x} - \frac{\alpha' z}{\sigma} - \frac{\alpha \ln(x^2 + y^2)}{2\sigma R_0} - \frac{1}{\sigma R_0} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x^2 + y^2)^{j+1}}{(j+1)!^2 (4\sigma)^j} \]

\[ - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma(x^2 + y^2)} - \frac{\alpha' \gamma \ln(x^2 + y^2)}{\sigma} + \frac{\alpha}{\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x^2 + y^2)^j}{j!(j+1)! (4\sigma)^j} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \sum_{j_1, j_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(j_1)}(j_1+j_2) (x^2 + y^2)^{j_1+j_2+1}}{(j_1+j_2+1) j_1! (j_1+1)! (j_2+1)! (4\sigma)^{j_1+j_2}}. \]  
(8.4.52)

By (8.4.25)-(8.4.27), (8.4.39), (8.4.41), and (8.4.48), we have:

**Theorem 8.4.3** Let \( \alpha, \beta, \beta_0, \gamma, \gamma_1 \) be any functions in \( t \). We have the following solutions of the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5):

\[ u = \frac{\alpha y}{x^2 + y^2} + y \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x^2 + y^2)^j}{j!(j+1)! (4\sigma)^j}, \]  
(8.4.53)

\[ v = -\frac{\alpha x}{x^2 + y^2} - x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(j)}(x^2 + y^2)^j}{j!(j+1)! (4\sigma)^j}, \]  
(8.4.54)

\[ w = \beta_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_0^{(r)} - \beta^{(r)}) - 4\sigma R \gamma_1^{(r-1)}(x^2 + y^2)^r}{r!(r+1)! (4\sigma)^r}, \]  
(8.4.55)

\[ T = z + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_1^{(r)}(x^2 + y^2)^r}{r!(r+1)! (4\sigma)^r}, \]  
(8.4.56)

and \( p \) is given in (8.4.52).
8.5 Asymmetric Approach III

In this section, we solve (8.2.1)-(8.2.5) under the assumption \( v_x = w_x = T_x = 0 \).

Let \( c \) be a real constant. Set

\[ \varpi = y \cos c + z \sin c. \]  

(8.5.1)

Suppose

\[ u = f(t, \varpi), \quad v = \phi(t, \varpi) \sin c, \]  

(8.5.2)

\[ w = -\phi(t, \varpi) \cos c, \quad T = \psi(t, \varpi) + z, \]  

(8.5.3)

where \( f, \phi \) and \( \psi \) are functions in \( t \) and \( \varpi \). According to (8.2.16)-(8.2.18),

\[ \Phi_1 = f_t - \sigma f_{\varpi \varpi} - \frac{\sin c}{R_0} \phi, \]  

(8.5.4)

\[ \Phi_2 = (\phi_t - \sigma \phi_{\varpi \varpi}) \sin c + \frac{1}{R_0} f, \]  

(8.5.5)

\[ \Phi_3 = (\sigma \phi_{\varpi \varpi} - \phi_t) \cos c - \sigma R(\psi + z). \]  

(8.5.6)

By (8.2.20),

\[ f_{\varpi t} - \sigma f_{\varpi \varpi} - \frac{\sin c}{R_0} \phi_{\varpi} = 0, \]  

(8.5.7)

\[ (\phi_t - \sigma \phi_{\varpi \varpi})_{\varpi} + \frac{\sin c}{R_0} f_{\varpi} + \sigma R \psi_{\varpi} \cos c = 0. \]  

(8.5.8)

For simplicity, we take

\[ f_t - \sigma f_{\varpi \varpi} - \frac{\sin c}{R_0} \phi = 0, \]  

(8.5.9)

\[ \phi_t - \sigma \phi_{\varpi \varpi} + \frac{\sin c}{R_0} f + \sigma R \psi \cos c = 0. \]  

(8.5.10)

Denote

\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{f} \\ \hat{\phi} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} & -\sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \\ \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} & \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} f \\ \phi \end{array} \right). \]  

(8.5.11)

Then (8.5.9) and (8.5.10) become

\[ \hat{f}_t - \sigma \hat{f}_{\varpi \varpi} - \sigma R \psi \cos c \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} = 0, \]  

(8.5.12)

\[ \hat{\phi}_t - \sigma \hat{\phi}_{\varpi \varpi} + \sigma R \psi \cos c \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} = 0. \]  

(8.5.13)

On the other hand, (8.2.4) becomes

\[ \psi_t - \psi_{\varpi \varpi} = 0. \]  

(8.5.14)

Assume \( \sigma = 1 \). We have the following solution:

\[ \psi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t \cos 2b_j + a_j \varpi \cos b_j} \sin (a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \varpi \sin b_j + b_j + c_j), \]  

(8.5.15)
\[
\dot{f} = -RR_0 \cot c \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t \cos 2b_j + a_j \varpi \cos b_j} \sin(a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \varpi \sin b_j + b_j + c_j)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r \hat{d}_r e^{a_r^2 t \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \cos \hat{b}_r} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r),
\]  
(8.5.16)

\[
\dot{\phi} = -RR_0 \cot c \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t \cos 2b_j + a_j \varpi \cos b_j} \sin(a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \varpi \sin b_j + b_j + c_j)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s \tilde{d}_s e^{a_s^2 t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \cos \tilde{b}_s} \sin(\tilde{a}_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s),
\]  
(8.5.17)

where \(a_j, b_j, c_j, \hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r, \hat{c}_r, \tilde{a}_s, \tilde{b}_s, \tilde{c}_s \) are arbitrary real numbers. According to (8.5.11),

\[
f = -RR_0 \cot c \cos \frac{2t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t \cos 2b_j + a_j \varpi \cos b_j} \sin(a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \varpi \sin b_j + b_j + c_j)
\]
\[
+ \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r \hat{d}_r e^{a_r^2 t \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \cos \hat{b}_r} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)
\]
\[
+ \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s \tilde{d}_s e^{a_s^2 t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \cos \tilde{b}_s} \sin(\tilde{a}_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s),
\]  
(8.5.18)

\[
\phi = -\sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r \hat{d}_r e^{a_r^2 t \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \cos \hat{b}_r} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)
\]
\[
+ \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s \tilde{d}_s e^{a_s^2 t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \cos \tilde{b}_s} \sin(\tilde{a}_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s).
\]  
(8.5.19)

Suppose \(\sigma \neq 1\). We take the following solution of (8.5.14):

\[
\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi},
\]  
(8.5.20)

where \(a_j, d_j\) are real constants. Substituting

\[
\dot{f} = \alpha_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi}, \quad \dot{\phi} = \beta_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi}, \quad \psi = a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi}
\]  
(8.5.21)

into (8.5.12) and (8.5.13), we get

\[
\alpha_j' + a_j^2 (1 - \sigma) \alpha_j - \sigma Ra_j d_j \cos c \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} = 0,
\]  
(8.5.22)

\[
\beta_j' + a_j^2 (1 - \sigma) \beta_j + \sigma Ra_j d_j \cos c \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} = 0.
\]  
(8.5.23)
We have the solutions

\[
\alpha_j = \sigma R a_j d_j \cos c \frac{a_j^2 (1 - \sigma) \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} - R_0^{-1} \sin c \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0}}{a_j^4 (1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c}, \quad (8.5.24)
\]

\[
\beta_j = -\sigma R a_j d_j \cos c \frac{a_j^2 (1 - \sigma) \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} + R_0^{-1} \sin c \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0}}{a_j^4 (1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c}. \quad (8.5.25)
\]

Thus we have the following solutions of (8.5.12) and (8.5.13):

\[
\tilde{f} = \sigma R \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi} \cos c \frac{a_j^2 (1 - \sigma) \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} - R_0^{-1} \sin c \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0}}{a_j^4 (1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c} \\
+ \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r \hat{d}_r e^{a_r^2 \sigma t \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \cos b_r \sin (a_r^2 \sigma t \sin 2 \hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)}, \quad (8.5.26)
\]

\[
\tilde{\phi} = \sigma R \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi} \cos c \frac{a_j^2 (\sigma - 1) \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} + R_0^{-1} \sin c \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0}}{a_j^4 (1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c} \\
+ \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s \tilde{d}_s e^{a_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \cos b_s \sin (a_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2 \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s)}, \quad (8.5.27)
\]

where \(\hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r, \hat{c}_r, \tilde{a}_s, \tilde{b}_s, \tilde{c}_s, \tilde{d}_s\) are arbitrary real numbers.

According to (8.5.11),

\[
f = \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r \hat{d}_r e^{a_r^2 \sigma t \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \cos b_r \sin (a_r^2 \sigma t \sin 2 \hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)} \\
+ \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s \tilde{d}_s e^{a_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \cos b_s \sin (a_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2 \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s)} \\
- \sigma R \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi} \sin 2c \frac{2R_0(a_j^2(1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c)}{2R_0(a_j^2(1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c)}, \quad (8.5.28)
\]

\[
\phi = -\sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{a}_r \hat{d}_r e^{a_r^2 \sigma t \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \cos b_r \sin (a_r^2 \sigma t \sin 2 \hat{b}_r + \hat{a}_r \varpi \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)} \\
+ \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^{k} \tilde{a}_s \tilde{d}_s e^{a_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2b_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \cos b_s \sin (a_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2 \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{a}_s \varpi \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s)} \\
+ \sigma R \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j d_j (\sigma - 1)e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi} \cos c \frac{a_j^4(1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c}{a_j^4(1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c}. \quad (8.5.29)
\]

By (8.5.4)-(8.5.6), (8.5.9) and (8.5.10), \(\Phi_1 = 0\),

\[
\Phi_2 = \left( \frac{\cos c}{R_0} f - \sigma R \psi \sin c \right) \cos c, \quad (8.5.30)
\]
\[
\Phi_3 = \left( \frac{\cos c}{R_0} f - \sigma R \psi \sin c \right) \sin c - \sigma R z. \quad (8.5.31)
\]

Thanks to (8.2.19),

\[
p = \frac{R \cos^2 c}{\sin c} \cos \frac{2t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{d_j e^{a_j^2 t \cos 2b_j + a_j \varpi \cos b_j}}{R_0} \sin(a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \varpi \sin b_j + c_j)
- \frac{\cos c}{R_0} \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_r e^{\hat{a}_r^2 t \cos 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{\varpi} \cos \hat{b}_r}}{R_0} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{\varpi} \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)
- \frac{\cos c}{R_0} \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{\tilde{a}_s e^{\tilde{a}_s^2 t \cos 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{\varpi} \cos \tilde{b}_s}}{R_0} \sin(\tilde{a}_s^2 t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{\varpi} \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s)
+ R \sin c \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\tilde{d}_j e^{\tilde{a}_j^2 t \cos 2\tilde{b}_j + a_j \varpi \cos b_j}}{R_0} \sin(a_j^2 t \sin 2b_j + a_j \varpi \sin b_j + c_j) + \frac{R}{2} z^2 \quad (8.5.32)
\]

if \( \sigma = 1 \), and

\[
p = -\frac{\cos c}{\sigma R_0} \cos \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_r e^{\hat{a}_r^2 \sigma t \cos 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{\varpi} \cos \hat{b}_r}}{R_0} \sin(\hat{a}_r^2 \sigma t \sin 2\hat{b}_r + \hat{\varpi} \sin \hat{b}_r + \hat{c}_r)
- \frac{\cos c}{\sigma R_0} \sin \frac{t \sin c}{R_0} \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{\tilde{a}_s e^{\tilde{a}_s^2 \sigma t \cos 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{\varpi} \cos \tilde{b}_s}}{R_0} \sin(\tilde{a}_s^2 \sigma t \sin 2\tilde{b}_s + \tilde{\varpi} \sin \tilde{b}_s + \tilde{c}_s)
+ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{d_j R e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi}}{2R_0^2(a_j^4(1 - \sigma)^2 + R_0^{-2} \sin^2 c)} \cos c + R \sin c \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{d_j e^{a_j^2 t + a_j \varpi}}{R_0} + \frac{R}{2} z^2 \quad (8.5.33)
\]

when \( \sigma \neq 1 \).

In summary, we have:

**Theorem 8.5.1.** Let \( a_j, b_j, c_j, \hat{a}_r, \hat{b}_r, \hat{c}_r, \tilde{a}_s, \tilde{b}_s, \tilde{c}_s, \tilde{d}_s, c \) be arbitrary real numbers. Denote \( \varpi = y \cos x + z \sin c \). We have the following solutions of the three-dimensional stratified rotating Boussinesq equations (8.2.1)-(8.2.5):

\[
u = f, \quad v = \phi \sin c, \quad w = -\phi \cos c, \quad T = \psi + z, \quad (8.5.34)
\]

where (1) \( \sigma = 1 \), \( f \) is given in (8.5.18), \( \phi \) is given in (8.5.19), \( \psi \) is given in (8.5.15) and \( p \) is given in (8.5.32); (2) \( \sigma \neq 1 \), \( f \) is given in (8.5.28), \( \phi \) is given in (8.5.29), \( \psi \) is given in (8.5.20) and \( p \) is given in (8.5.33).

**Remark 8.5.2.** By Fourier expansion, we can use the above solution to obtain the one depending on three arbitrary piecewise continuous functions of \( \varpi \).
Chapter 9

Navier-Stokes Equations

In this chapter, we introduce a method of imposing asymmetric conditions on the velocity vector with respect to independent spacial variables and a method of moving frame for solving the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. Seven families of non-steady rotating asymmetric solutions with various parameters are obtained. In particular, one family of solutions blow up on a moving plane, which may be used to study abrupt high-speed rotating flows. Using Fourier expansion and two families of our solutions, one can obtain discontinuous solutions that may be useful in study of shock waves. Another family of solutions are partially cylindrical invariant, containing two parameter functions in $t$, which may be used to describe incompressible fluid in a nozzle. Most of our solutions are globally analytic with respect to spacial variables. The results are due to our work [X12]. Cao [Cb3] applied our approaches to the magnetohydrodynamic equations of incompressible viscous fluids with finite electrical conductivity, which describe the motion of viscous electrically conducting fluids in a magnetic field.

9.1 Background and Symmetry

The most fundamental differential equations in the motion of incompressible viscous fluid are the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z + \frac{1}{\rho}p_x = \nu(u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}), \quad (9.1.1)$$

$$v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z + \frac{1}{\rho}p_y = \nu(v_{xx} + v_{yy} + v_{zz}), \quad (9.1.2)$$

$$w_t + uw_x + vw_y + ww_z + \frac{1}{\rho}p_z = \nu(w_{xx} + w_{yy} + w_{zz}), \quad (9.1.3)$$

$$u_x + v_y + w_z = 0, \quad (9.1.4)$$

where $(u, v, w)$ stands for the velocity vector of the fluid, $p$ stands for the pressure of the fluid, $\rho$ is the density constant and $\nu$ is the coefficient constant of the kinematic viscosity.
Assuming nullity of certain components of the tensor of momentum flow density, Landau [Ll] (1944) found an exact solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4), which describes axially symmetrical jet discharging from a thin pipe into unbounded space. Moreover, Kapitanskii [Kl] (1978) found certain cylindrical invariant solutions of the equations and Yakimov [Y] (1984) obtained exact solutions with a singularity of the type of a vortex filament situated on a half line. Furthermore, Gryn [Gv] (1991) obtained certain exact solution describing flows between porous walls in the presence of injection and suction at identical rates. Brutyan and Karapivskii [BK] (1992) got exact solutions describing the evolution of a vortex structure in a generalized shear flow. Leipnik [Lr] (1996) obtained exact solutions by recursive series of diffusive quotients. In addition, Polyanin [Pa] (2001) used the method of generalized separation of variables to find certain exact solutions and Vyskrebtsov [Vv] (2001) studied self-similar solutions for an axisymmetric flow of a viscous incompressible flow. There also are other works on exact solutions on the Navier-Stokes equations (e.g., cf. [Bv, Pv, Sh1, Sh2]).

A $3 \times 3$ real matrix $A$ is called orthogonal if $A^T A = A A^T = I_3$, where the up-index “$T$” denotes the transpose of matrix. To show that the Navier-Stokes are invariant under the orthogonal transformation, we need to rewrite the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of matrices and column vectors (which are also viewed as special matrices). Denote

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9.1.5)$$

$$\nabla = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \nabla^T \nabla = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2. \quad (9.1.6)$$

Note $\vec{u}^T \nabla = u \partial_x + v \partial_y + w \partial_w$. Then (9.1.1)-(9.1.3) become

$$\vec{u}_t + (\vec{u}^T \nabla)(\vec{u}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(p) = \nu \Delta(\vec{u}) \quad (9.1.7)$$

and (9.1.4) changes to

$$\nabla^T \vec{u} = 0. \quad (9.1.8)$$

For a $3 \times 3$ orthogonal matrix $A = (a_{r,s})_{3 \times 3}$, we define

$$T_A(\vec{u}(t, \vec{x}^T)) = A\vec{u}(t, \vec{x}^T)A, \quad T_A(p(t, \vec{x}^T)) = p(t, \vec{x}^T)A. \quad (9.1.9)$$

Note that for any function $f(t, \vec{x})$ in $t, x, y, z$,

$$\nabla(f(t, \vec{x}^T)A) = A \begin{pmatrix} f_x(t, \vec{x}^TA) \\ f_y(t, \vec{x}^TA) \\ f_z(t, \vec{x}^TA) \end{pmatrix} = A \nabla(f)(t, \vec{x}^TA), \quad (9.1.10)$$
equivalently,

$$\partial_{x_r}(f(t, \bar{x}^T A)) = \sum_{s=1}^s a_{r,s} f_{x_s}(t, \bar{x}^T A) \quad \text{for} \ r \in \{1, 3\}. \quad (9.1.11)$$

$$\Delta(f(t, \bar{x}^T A))$$

$$= (\nabla^T \nabla)(f(t, \bar{x}^T A)) = \nabla^T[\nabla(f(t, \bar{x}^T A))] = \nabla^T[(A\nabla(f))(t, \bar{x}^T A)]$$

$$= [\nabla^T A^T (A\nabla(f))](t, \bar{x}^T A) = [\nabla^T \nabla(f)](t, \bar{x}^T A) = \Delta(f)(t, \bar{x}^T A). \quad (9.1.12)$$

Now

$$\partial_t(T_A(\bar{u})) + (T_A(\bar{u}))^T \nabla(T_A(\bar{u})) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(T_A(p))$$

$$= \partial_t(A\bar{u}(t, \bar{x}^T A)) + \bar{u}^T(t, \bar{x}^T A) A^T \nabla(A\bar{u}(t, \bar{x}^T A)) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(p(t, \bar{x}^T A))$$

$$= A\bar{u}_{tt}(t, \bar{x}^T A) + [(\bar{u}^T(t, \bar{x}^T A) A^T A\nabla)(A\bar{u})](t, \bar{x}^T A) + \frac{1}{\rho} A\nabla(p)(t, \bar{x}^T A)$$

$$= A\bar{u}_{tt}(t, \bar{x}^T A) + [\bar{u}^T(t, \bar{x}^T A) A\nabla](A\bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A) + \frac{1}{\rho} A\nabla(p)(t, \bar{x}^T A)$$

$$= A \left[ \bar{u}_{tt}(t, \bar{x}^T A) + (\bar{u}^T(t, \bar{x}^T A) \nabla)(\bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(p)(t, \bar{x}^T A) \right], \quad (9.1.13)$$

$$\nu \Delta((T_A(\bar{u}))) = \nu \Delta(A\bar{u}(t, \bar{x}^T A)) = \nu A \Delta(\bar{u}(t, \bar{x}^T A)) = A[\nu \Delta(\bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A)] \quad (9.1.14)$$

by (9.1.12), and

$$\nabla^T(T_A(\bar{u})) = \nabla^T(A\bar{u}(t, \bar{x}^T A)) = A \nabla^T(\bar{u}(t, \bar{x}^T A))$$

$$= AA^T(\nabla^T \bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A) = (\nabla^T \bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A). \quad (9.1.15)$$

If \([\bar{u}(t, x, y, z), p(t, x, y, z)]\) is a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4), then

$$\bar{u}_{tt}(t, \bar{x}^T A) + (\bar{u}^T(t, \bar{x}^T A) \nabla)(\bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(p)(t, \bar{x}^T A) = \nu \Delta(\bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A) \quad (9.1.16)$$

and

$$\nabla^T(\bar{u})(t, \bar{x}^T A) = 0. \quad (9.1.17)$$

Thus

$$\partial_t(T_A(\bar{u})) + (T_A(\bar{u}))^T \nabla(T_A(\bar{u})) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(T_A(p)) = \nu \Delta((T_A(\bar{u}))) \quad (9.1.18)$$

by (9.1.13) and (9.1.14). Moreover, (9.1.15) implies

$$\nabla^T(T_A(\bar{u})) = 0. \quad (9.1.19)$$
Therefore, \([T_A(\vec{u}), T_A(p)]\) is also a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4), that is, \(T_A\) is a symmetry of the equations.

Let us do the degree analysis. Due to the term \(\Delta(u)\) in (9.1.1), we assume
\[
\deg x = \deg y = \deg z = \ell_1. \quad (9.1.20)
\]
Moreover, to make the nonzero terms in (9.1.4) to have the same degree, we have to take
\[
\deg u = \deg v = \deg w = \ell_2. \quad (9.1.21)
\]
Note that in (9.1.1),
\[
\deg u_t = \deg uu_x = \deg p_x = \deg \Delta(u). \quad (9.1.22)
\]
Thus
\[
\deg t = 2\ell_1 = -\deg p, \quad \ell_2 = -\ell_1. \quad (9.1.23)
\]
Moreover, the Navier-Stokes equations are translation invariant because they do not contain variable coefficients. Hence the transformation
\[
T_{a,b}(\vec{u}(t,x,y,z)) = b\vec{u}(b^2t + a, bx, by, bz), \quad (9.1.24)
\]
\[
T_{a,b}(p(t,x,y,z)) = b^2p(b^2t + a, bx, by, bz) \quad (9.1.25)
\]
keeps the Navier-Stokes equations invariant for \(a, b \in \mathbb{R}\) with \(b \neq 0\), that is, \(T_{a,b}\) maps a solution of (9.1.1)-(9.1.4) to another solution.

Let \(\alpha\) be a function in \(t\). Note that the transformation
\[
\vec{u}(t,x,y,z) \mapsto \vec{u}(t,x + \alpha, y, z), \quad p(t,x,y,z) \mapsto p(t,x + \alpha, y, z) \quad (9.1.26)
\]
changes the equation (9.1.7) to
\[
\vec{u}_t^T + \alpha' \vec{u}_x^T + \vec{u}^T(\nabla(u), \nabla(v), \nabla(w)) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^T(p) = \nu \Delta(\vec{u}^T) \quad (9.1.27)
\]
and keeps (9.1.4) invariant, where the independent variable \(x\) is replaced by \(x + \alpha\) and the partial derivatives are with respect to the original variables. On the other hand, the transformation
\[
\vec{u}^T(t,x,y,z) \mapsto \vec{u}^T(t,x,y,z) - (\alpha', 0, 0), \quad p(t,x,y,z) \mapsto p(t,x,y,z) + \rho \alpha''x \quad (9.1.28)
\]
changes the equation (9.1.7) to
\[
\vec{u}_t^T + \vec{u}^T(\nabla(u), \nabla(v), \nabla(w)) - \alpha' \vec{u}_x^T + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla^T(p) = \nu \Delta(\vec{u}^T) \quad (9.1.29)
\]
by (9.1.1)-(9.1.3) and keeps (9.1.4) invariant. Thus the transformation
\[
T_{1,\alpha}(\vec{u}^T(t,x,y,z)) = \vec{u}^T(t,x + \alpha, y, z) - (\alpha', 0, 0), \quad (9.1.30)
\]
is a symmetry of the Navier-Stokes equations. Symmetrically, we have that the transformation

\[ T_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3;\beta}(\bar{u}(t, x, y, z)) = \bar{u}^T(t, x + \alpha_1, y + \alpha_2, z + \alpha_3) - (\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \alpha'_3), \]  

(9.1.32)

\[ T_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3;\beta}(p(t, x, y, z)) = p(t, x + \alpha_1, y + \alpha_2, z + \alpha_3) + \rho(\alpha'_1 x + \alpha'_2 y + \alpha'_3 z) + \beta \]  

(9.1.33)

is a symmetry of the Navier-Stokes equations for any functions \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) and \( \beta \) in \( t \).

## 9.2 Asymmetric Approaches

In this section, we will solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4) by imposing asymmetric assumptions on \( u, v \) and \( w \).

For convenience of computation, we denote

\[ \Phi_1 = u_t + uu_x + vu_y + wu_z - \nu(u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}), \]  

(9.2.1)

\[ \Phi_2 = v_t + uv_x + vv_y + wv_z - \nu(v_{xx} + v_{yy} + v_{zz}), \]  

(9.2.2)

\[ \Phi_3 = w_t + uw_x + vw_y + ww_z - \nu(w_{xx} + w_{yy} + w_{zz}). \]  

(9.2.3)

Then the Navier-Stokes equations become

\[ \Phi_1 + \frac{1}{\rho}p_x = 0, \quad \Phi_2 + \frac{1}{\rho}p_y = 0, \quad \Phi_3 + \frac{1}{\rho}p_z = 0 \]  

(9.2.4)

and \( u_x + v_y + w_z = 0 \). Our strategy is first to solve the following compatibility conditions:

\[ \partial_y(\Phi_1) = \partial_z(\Phi_2), \quad \partial_z(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_3), \quad \partial_x(\Phi_2) = \partial_y(\Phi_3) \]  

(9.2.5)

and then find \( p \) via (9.2.4).

Let us first look for simplest non-steady solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (indeed, the corresponding Euler equations) that are not rotation free. This will help the reader to better understand our later approaches. Assume

\[ u = \gamma_1 x - \alpha_1 y - \alpha_2 z, \quad v = \alpha_1 x + \gamma_2 y - \alpha_3 z, \quad w = \alpha_2 x + \alpha_3 y + \gamma_3 z, \]  

(9.2.6)

where \( \alpha_j \) and \( \gamma_j \) are functions in \( t \) such that \( \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0 \). Then

\[ \Phi_1 = (\gamma'_1 + \gamma_1^2 - \alpha'_1 - \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3^2)x - (\alpha'_1 - \alpha_1 \gamma_3 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3)y + (\alpha_1 \alpha_3 - \alpha'_2 + \alpha_2 \gamma_2)z, \]  

(9.2.7)

\[ \Phi_2 = (\alpha'_1 - \alpha_1 \gamma_3 - \alpha_2 \alpha_3)x + (\gamma'_2 + \gamma_2^2 - \alpha'_2 - \alpha_3^2)y - (\alpha'_3 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \gamma_1)z, \]  

(9.2.8)

\[ \Phi_3 = (\alpha'_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 \gamma_2)x + (\alpha'_3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \gamma_1)y + (\gamma'_3 + \gamma_3^2 - \alpha'_2 - \alpha_3^2)z. \]  

(9.2.9)
Furthermore,

\[ \partial_y(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_2) \implies \gamma_3 = \frac{\alpha_1'}{\alpha_1}, \quad (9.2.10) \]
\[ \partial_x(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_3) \implies \gamma_2 = \frac{\alpha_2'}{\alpha_2}, \quad (9.2.11) \]
\[ \partial_z(\Phi_2) = \partial_y(\Phi_3) \implies \gamma_1 = \frac{\alpha_3'}{\alpha_3}. \quad (9.2.12) \]

Note
\[ \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0 \sim \frac{\alpha_1'}{\alpha_1} + \frac{\alpha_2'}{\alpha_2} + \frac{\alpha_3'}{\alpha_3} = 0 \sim \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = c \quad (9.2.13) \]
for some real constant. Moreover,

\[ \Phi_1 = (\alpha_3' \alpha_3^{-1} - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)x - \alpha_2 \alpha_3 y + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 z, \quad (9.2.14) \]
\[ \Phi_2 = -\alpha_2 \alpha_3 x + (\alpha_2' \alpha_3^{-1} - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)y - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 z, \quad (9.2.15) \]
\[ \Phi_3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_3 x - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 y + (\alpha_1' \alpha_3^{-1} - \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3^2)z. \quad (9.2.16) \]

By (9.2.4),

\[ p = \frac{\beta}{2} [(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_3' \alpha_3^{-1})x^2 + (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2' \alpha_2^{-1})y^2 + (\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2 - \alpha_1' \alpha_1^{-1})z^2] \]
\[ + \rho (\alpha_2 \alpha_3 xy - \alpha_1 \alpha_3 x z + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 y z), \quad (9.2.17) \]
after replacing \( p \) by some \( T_{0,0,0,\beta}(p) \) if necessary (cf. (9.1.32) and (9.1.33)).

**Proposition 9.2.1.** Let \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) be functions in \( t \) such that \( \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = c \) for some real constant \( c \). Then we have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):

\[ u = \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_3} x - \alpha_1 y - \alpha_2 z, \quad v = \alpha_1 x + \frac{\alpha_2'}{\alpha_2} y - \alpha_3 z, \quad w = \alpha_2 x + \alpha_3 y + \frac{\alpha_1'}{\alpha_1} z \quad (9.2.18) \]

and \( p \) is given in (9.2.17).

Next we assume
\[ v = -\frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y, \quad w = \psi(t, z), \quad (9.2.19) \]
where \( \beta \) is a function in \( t \), \( \psi \) is a function of \( t, z \) and \( v \) is so written just for computational convenience by our earlier experience. According to (9.1.4),

\[ u = f(t, y, z) + \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} - \psi_z \right) x \quad (9.2.20) \]

for some function \( f \) of \( t, y, z \). Then

\[ \Phi_1 = f_t + f \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} - \psi_z \right) - \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y f_y + \psi f_z - \nu (f_{yy} + f_{zz}) \]
\[ + \left[ \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} - \psi_z \right)^2 + \frac{\beta' \beta'' - \beta'''}{2\beta'^2} - \psi_{xt} - \psi \psi_{zz} + \nu \psi_{zzz} \right] x, \quad (9.2.21) \]
Thus (9.2.5) is equivalent to the following equations:

\[
\mathcal{T} \left[ f_t + f \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} - \psi_z \right) - \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y f_y + \psi f_z - \nu (f_{yy} + f_{zz}) \right] = 0, \\
\mathcal{T} \left[ \psi_z^2 - \frac{\beta''}{\beta'} \psi_z - \psi_z t + \psi_z \psi_{zz} + \nu \psi_{zzz} \right] = 0
\]

with \( \mathcal{T} = \partial_y, \partial_z \). The above two equations are equivalent to

\[
f_t + f \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} - \psi_z \right) - \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y f_y + \psi f_z - \nu (f_{yy} + f_{zz}) = \tau_1, \\
\psi_z^2 - \frac{\beta''}{\beta'} \psi_z - \psi_z t + \psi_z \psi_{zz} + \nu \psi_{zzz} = \tau_2
\]

for some functions \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) in \( t \).

We solve (9.2.26) first. The idea is to linearize it. Note that

\[
\psi = e^{\nu \gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma'} z}, e^{-\nu \gamma} \sin \sqrt{\gamma'} z, e^{-\gamma \cos \sqrt{\gamma'} z}
\]

can simplify the expression

\[
-\psi_z t + \nu \psi_{zzz}
\]

for any increasing function \( \gamma \) in \( t \) such that \( \gamma' \neq 0 \). The nonlinear term \( \psi_z^2 - \psi \psi_{zz} \) hints us to use

\[
\xi_0 = e^{\nu \gamma} (\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma} z} - \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma} z}), \quad \xi_1 = e^{-\nu \gamma} \sin (\sqrt{\gamma'} z), \\
\zeta_0 = e^{\nu \gamma} (\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma} z} + \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma} z}), \quad \zeta_1 = e^{-\nu \gamma} \cos (\sqrt{\gamma'} z),
\]

where \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R} \). In fact,

\[
\xi_0^2 - \xi_0^2 = 4 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 e^{2\nu \gamma}, \quad \xi_1^2 + \zeta_1^2 = e^{-2\nu \gamma}.
\]

Assume

\[
\psi = \lambda \xi_r + \mu z,
\]

where \( r = 0, 1 \) and \( \lambda, \mu \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. We calculate

\[
\psi_z = \lambda \sqrt{\gamma'} \zeta_r + \mu, \quad \psi_{zzz} = (-1)^r \lambda \gamma' \zeta_r, \quad \psi_{zzz} = (-1)^r \lambda \gamma' z \zeta_r, \\
\psi_{zz} = (-1)^r \lambda \sqrt{\gamma'} (\nu' \zeta_r + \gamma'' z \xi_r / 2 \sqrt{\gamma'}) + (\lambda' \sqrt{\gamma'} + \lambda \gamma'' / 2 \sqrt{\gamma'}) z \xi_r.
\]

Substituting (9.2.33) and (9.2.34) into (9.2.26), we find

\[
\lambda^2 \gamma' (\zeta_r^2 - (-1)^r \xi_r^2) + 2 \lambda \mu \sqrt{\gamma'} \zeta_r + \mu^2 + (-1)^r \lambda \nu' \zeta_r - \beta'' \lambda \sqrt{\gamma'} \zeta_r / \beta' - \beta'' \mu / \beta' \\
-(-1)^r \lambda \gamma'' z \xi_r / 2 - (\lambda' \sqrt{\gamma'} + \lambda \gamma'' / 2 \sqrt{\gamma'}) \zeta_r = \tau_2,
\]

where \( \tau_2 \) is to be determined.
equivalently
\[ \lambda^2 \gamma' (\zeta^2 - (-1)^r \xi^2) + \mu^2 - \beta'' \mu / \beta' = \tau_2 \]  
(9.2.36)
by the terms that are independent of spacial variables,
\[ -(\lambda^r \mu \gamma' - (\lambda^r \gamma'' / 2) = 0 \]  
(9.2.37)
by the coefficients of \( z \xi_r \) and
\[ 2 \lambda \mu \sqrt{\gamma'} - \beta'' \lambda \sqrt{\gamma'} / \beta' - (\lambda' \sqrt{\gamma'} + \lambda \gamma'' / 2 \sqrt{\gamma'}) = 0 \]  
(9.2.38)
by the coefficients of \( \zeta_r \). According to (9.2.37),
\[ \mu = -\frac{\gamma''}{2 \gamma'} \]  
(9.2.39)
Substituting it into (9.2.38), we get
\[ -\beta'' \lambda \sqrt{\gamma'} / \beta' - \lambda' \sqrt{\gamma'} - 3 \lambda \gamma'' / 2 \sqrt{\gamma'} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'} \beta} \]  
(9.2.40)
So
\[ \psi = \frac{\xi_r}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'} \beta} - \frac{\gamma'' z}{2 \gamma'} \]
and
\[ \tau_2 = \frac{4 e_1 e_2 e^{2 \nu} \delta_{r,0} + e^{-2 \nu} \delta_{r,1}}{(\beta' \gamma')^2} + \frac{\gamma''^2}{4 \gamma^2} + \frac{\beta'' \gamma''}{2 \beta' \gamma'} \]  
(9.2.41)
by (9.2.36). According to (9.2.21), (9.2.25) and (9.2.26),
\[ \Phi_1 = \tau_1 + \left[ \frac{2 \beta' \beta'' - \beta'^3}{4 \beta'} + \frac{4 e_1 e_2 e^{2 \nu} \delta_{r,0} + e^{-2 \nu} \delta_{r,1}}{(\beta' \gamma')^2} + \frac{\gamma''^2}{4 \gamma^2} + \frac{\beta'' \gamma''}{2 \beta' \gamma'} \right] x. \]  
(9.2.42)
Substituting (9.2.41) into (9.2.25), we find
\[ f_t + \left( \frac{\beta''}{2 \beta'} + \frac{\gamma''}{2 \gamma'} \right) f + \xi_r f_z - \sqrt{\gamma'} \zeta_r f - \frac{\beta''}{2 \beta' \gamma'} f_y - \frac{\gamma''}{2 \gamma'} z f_z - \nu (f_{yy} + f_{zz}) = \tau_1. \]  
(9.2.43)
We assume
\[ f = \frac{g(t, \varpi) \zeta_r}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}}, \quad \varpi = \sqrt{\beta'} y, \]  
(9.2.44)
where \( g(t, \varpi) \) is a two-variable function to be determined. We calculate
\[ f_t = \frac{g_t \zeta_r}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}} - \left( \frac{\beta''}{2 \beta'} + \frac{\gamma''}{2 \gamma'} \right) f + (-1)^r \frac{\gamma'' z g \zeta_r}{2 \gamma' \sqrt{\beta'}} + \frac{(-1)^r \nu \gamma' g \zeta_r}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}} + \frac{\beta'' y g \varpi \zeta_r}{2 \beta' \sqrt{\gamma'}}, \]  
(9.2.45)
\[ f_y = \frac{g \varpi \zeta_r}{\gamma'}, \quad f_{yy} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta' \varpi \zeta_r}}{\gamma'} . \]  
(9.2.46)
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\[ f_z = \frac{(-1)^r g \xi_r}{\sqrt{\beta'}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{zz} = \frac{(-1)^r \sqrt{\gamma} g \xi_r}{\sqrt{\beta'}} \quad (9.2.48) \]

Substituting (9.2.46)-(9.2.48) into (9.2.44), we get

\[ \frac{g_t \xi_r}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma}} + \frac{(-1)^r \xi_r^2 - \xi_r^2}{\sqrt{(\beta' \gamma)^3}} - \frac{\nu \sqrt{\beta'} g_{\omega \omega} \xi_r}{\sqrt{\gamma}} = \tau_1. \quad (9.2.49) \]

**Case 1.** \( g = a \in \mathbb{R} \).

In this case

\[ f = \frac{a \xi_r}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma}}, \quad \tau_1 = \frac{(-1)^r \xi_r^2 - \xi_r^2}{\sqrt{(\beta' \gamma)^3}} = -\frac{a(4\epsilon \epsilon_2 e^{2\nu \gamma} \delta r_0 + e^{-2\nu \gamma} \delta r_1)}{\sqrt{(\beta' \gamma)^3}} \quad (9.2.50) \]

by (9.2.49).

**Case 2.** \( r = 0 = \epsilon_2 \) and \( \epsilon_1 = 1 \).

In this case, \( \tau_1 = 0 \) and

\[ g_t - \nu \beta' g_{\omega \omega} = 0 \quad (9.2.51) \]

by (6.2.49). So

\[ g = e^{\nu((a+ci)^2 \beta) + (a+ci) \omega} \quad (9.2.52) \]

is a complex solution of (9.2.51) for any \( a, c \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus we have real solutions

\[ e^{\nu(a^2-c^2) \beta + a \omega} \sin(2ac \beta + c \omega), \quad e^{\nu(a^2-c^2) \beta + a \omega} \cos(2ac \beta + c \omega). \quad (9.2.53) \]

In particular, any linear combination

\[ e^{\nu(a^2-c^2) \beta + a \omega}(C_1 \sin(2ac \beta + c \omega) + C_2 \cos(2ac \beta + c \omega)) \]

\[ = be^{\nu(a^2-c^2) \beta + a \omega} \sin(2ac \beta + c \omega + \theta) \quad (9.2.54) \]

of them is a solution of (9.2.51), where \( C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( b = \sqrt{C_1^2 + C_2^2}, \quad C_1/b = \cos \theta \). By superposition principle, we have more general solution:

\[ g = \sum_{s=1}^{n} b_s e^{\nu(a_s^2-c_s^2) \beta + a_s \omega} \sin(2a_s c_s \nu \beta + c_s \omega + \theta_s) \quad (9.2.55) \]

for \( a_s, b_s, c_s, \theta_s \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( b_s \neq 0, \quad (a_s, c_s) \neq (0, 0) \). Recall \( \omega = \sqrt{\beta' y} \). Thanks to (9.2.45),

\[ f = \frac{\xi_r}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} b_s e^{\nu(a_s^2-c_s^2) \beta + a_s \sqrt{\beta' y}} \sin(2a_s c_s \nu \beta + c_s \sqrt{\beta' y} + \theta_s). \quad (9.2.56) \]
Next we calculate the pressure $p$ via (9.2.4). First we assume $g = a$ and $r = 1$. In this case,

$$\psi = \frac{e^{-\nu r} \sin(\sqrt{\gamma} z)}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'}} - \frac{\gamma'' z}{2 \gamma'}.$$  

(9.2.57)

Denote

$$\hat{\psi} = \frac{e^{-\nu r} \cos(\sqrt{\gamma} z)}{\beta' \gamma'} - \frac{\gamma'' z^2}{4 \gamma'}.$$  

(9.2.58)

Then $\hat{\psi}_z = \psi$. According to (9.2.4), (9.2.22), (9.2.43) and (9.2.50),

$$p = \rho \left( \nu \psi_z - \frac{\psi^2}{2} - \hat{\psi}_t + \frac{e^{-2\nu r} x}{\sqrt{(\beta' \gamma')^3}} - \frac{(3 \beta'' - 2 \beta' \beta'') y^2}{8 \beta'^2} \right)$$

$$- \frac{\rho x^2}{2} \left[ \frac{2 \beta' \beta'' - \beta''^2}{4 \beta'^2} + \frac{\gamma''^2}{4 \gamma'^2} + \frac{\beta'' \gamma''}{2 \beta' \gamma'} \right].$$  

(9.2.59)

Consider the case $g = a$ and $r = 0$. We have

$$\psi = \frac{e^{\nu r} (\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma} z} - \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma} z})}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'}} - \frac{\gamma'' z}{2 \gamma'}.$$  

(9.2.60)

Denote

$$\hat{\psi} = \frac{e^{\nu r} (\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma} z} + \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma} z})}{\beta' \gamma'} - \frac{\gamma'' z^2}{4 \gamma'}.$$  

(9.2.61)

According to (9.2.4), (9.2.22), (9.2.43) and (9.2.56),

$$p = \rho \left( \nu \psi_z - \frac{\psi^2}{2} - \hat{\psi}_t + \frac{4 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 e^{2\nu r} x}{\sqrt{(\beta' \gamma')^3}} - \frac{(3 \beta'' - 2 \beta' \beta'') y^2}{8 \beta'^2} \right)$$

$$- \frac{\rho x^2}{2} \left[ \frac{2 \beta' \beta'' - \beta''^2}{4 \beta'^2} + \frac{4 \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 e^{2\nu r}}{(\beta' \gamma')^2} + \frac{\gamma''^2}{4 \gamma'^2} + \frac{\beta'' \gamma''}{2 \beta' \gamma'} \right].$$  

(9.2.62)

Suppose $r = 0 = \epsilon_2$ and $\epsilon_1 = 1$. Then the pressure is the corresponding special case of (9.2.62):

$$p = \rho \left( \nu \psi_z - \frac{\psi^2}{2} - \hat{\psi}_t - \frac{(3 \beta'' - 2 \beta' \beta'') y^2}{8 \beta'^2} \right)$$

$$- \frac{\rho x^2}{2} \left[ \frac{2 \beta' \beta'' - \beta''^2}{4 \beta'^2} + \frac{\gamma''^2}{4 \gamma'^2} + \frac{\beta'' \gamma''}{2 \beta' \gamma'} \right].$$  

(9.2.63)

with

$$\psi = \frac{e^{\nu r} e^{\sqrt{\gamma} z}}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'}} - \frac{\gamma'' z}{2 \gamma'}, \quad \hat{\psi} = \frac{e^{\nu r} e^{\sqrt{\gamma} z}}{\beta' \gamma'} - \frac{\gamma'' z^2}{4 \gamma'}.$$  

(9.2.64)

**Theorem 9.2.2.** Let $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be any functions in $t$. For any $0 \neq a, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following solutions of the Navier Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):

$$u = \frac{ae^{-\nu r} \cos(\sqrt{\gamma} z)}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}} + \left( \frac{\beta''}{2 \beta'} + \frac{\gamma''}{2 \gamma'} - \frac{e^{-\nu r} \cos(\sqrt{\gamma} z)}{\beta' \gamma'} \right) x,$$  

(9.2.65)
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\[ v = -\frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y, \quad w = \frac{e^{-\nu\gamma} \sin(\sqrt{\gamma}z)}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'^3}} - \frac{\gamma''z}{2\gamma}, \tag{9.2.66} \]

and \( p \) is given in (9.2.59);

\[ u = \frac{ae^{\nu\gamma}(\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma}z} + \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}z})}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}} + \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} + \frac{\gamma''}{2\gamma} - \frac{e^{\nu\gamma}(\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma}z} + \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}z})}{\beta' \gamma} \right) x, \tag{9.2.67} \]

\[ v = -\frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y, \quad w = \frac{e^{\nu\gamma}(\epsilon_1 e^{\sqrt{\gamma}z} - \epsilon_2 e^{-\sqrt{\gamma}z})}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'^3}} - \frac{\gamma''z}{2\gamma}, \tag{9.2.68} \]

and \( p \) is given in (9.2.62).

For \( a_s, b_s, c_s, \theta_s \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( s \in \{1, n\} \) such that \( b_s \neq 0, (a_s, c_s) \neq (0, 0) \), we have the following solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):

\[ u = \frac{e^{\nu\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma}z}}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} b_s e^{\nu(a_s^2 - c_s^2)\beta + a_s \sqrt{\beta'} y} \sin(2\alpha_s c_s \nu \beta + c_s \sqrt{\beta'} y + \theta_s) \]

\[ + \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} + \frac{\gamma''}{2\gamma} - \frac{e^{\nu\gamma} e^{\sqrt{\gamma}z}}{\beta' \gamma} \right) x, \tag{9.2.69} \]

\[ v = -\frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} y, \quad w = \frac{e^{\nu\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma}z}}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'^3}} - \frac{\gamma''z}{2\gamma}, \tag{9.2.70} \]

and \( p \) is given in (9.2.63).

Remark 9.2.3. We can use Fourier expansion to solve the system (9.2.51) for \( g(t, \sqrt{\beta'} y) \) with given \( g(0, \sqrt{\beta'}(0)y) \). In this way, we can obtain discontinuous solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4), which may be useful in studying shock waves.

For \( \theta \in \mathbb{R} \), we denote the rotation

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9.2.71} \]

Applying \( T_4 \) in (9.1.9) to the above first solution, we get

\[ u = \frac{ae^{-\nu\gamma} \cos(\sqrt{\gamma}(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta))}{\sqrt{\beta' \gamma'}} \]

\[ + \left( \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} + \frac{\gamma''}{2\gamma} - \frac{e^{-\nu\gamma} \cos(\sqrt{\gamma}(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta))}{\beta' \gamma} \right) x, \tag{9.2.72} \]

\[ v = \left( \frac{e^{-\nu\gamma} \sin(\sqrt{\gamma}(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta))}{\beta' \sqrt{\gamma'^3}} - \frac{\gamma''(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta)}{2\gamma} \right) \sin \theta 
- \frac{\beta''}{2\beta'} (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta) \cos \theta, \tag{9.2.73} \]
\[ w = \left( \frac{e^{-\nu y} \sin(\sqrt{\gamma}(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta))}{\beta \sqrt{\gamma^3}} - \frac{\gamma''(y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta)}{2\gamma'} \right) \cos \theta \]
\[ + \frac{\beta''}{2\beta}(y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta) \sin \theta \]

\[ (9.2.74) \]

and
\[ p = \rho \left[ \nu \psi_t(t, y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta) - \frac{\psi^2(t, y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta)}{2} - \hat{\psi}_t(t, y \sin \theta + z \cos \theta) \right. \]
\[ + \frac{e^{-2\nu y} x}{\sqrt{(\beta'\gamma')^3}} \left\{ \frac{3\beta'^2 - 2\beta' \beta'''}{(\beta'\gamma')^2} \right\} \]
\[ - \frac{\rho x^2}{2} \left\{ \frac{2\beta''^2 - \beta'''^2}{4\beta'^2} + \frac{e^{-2\nu y}}{(\beta'\gamma')^2} \frac{\gamma''^2}{4\gamma'^2} + \frac{\beta'''^2}{2\beta'\gamma'} \right\}. \]

\[ (9.2.75) \]

Set
\[ \varpi = x^2 + y^2. \]

\[ (9.2.76) \]

Consider
\[ u = y\phi(t, \varpi), \quad v = -x\phi(t, \varpi), \quad w = \psi(t, \varpi), \]

where \( \phi \) and \( \psi \) are functions in \( t, \varpi \). Then (9.2.1)-(9.2.3) give
\[ \Phi_1 = y\phi_t - x\phi^2 - 4\nu (\varpi \phi)_{\varpi \varpi}, \]
\[ (9.2.78) \]
\[ \Phi_2 = -x\phi_t - y\phi^2 + 4\nu (\varpi \phi)_{\varpi \varpi}, \]
\[ (9.2.79) \]
\[ \Phi_3 = \psi_t - 4\nu (\psi_{\varpi} + \varpi \psi_{\varpi \varpi}). \]
\[ (9.2.80) \]

Note that \( \partial_y(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_2) \) becomes
\[ (\varpi \phi)_{\varpi t} - 4\nu ((\varpi \phi)_{\varpi \varpi} + \varpi (\varpi \phi)_{\varpi \varpi \varpi}) = 0. \]
\[ (9.2.81) \]

Set
\[ \hat{\phi} = (\varpi \phi)_{\varpi}. \]
\[ (9.2.82) \]

Then (9.2.81) becomes
\[ \hat{\phi}_t - 4\nu (\hat{\phi}_{\varpi} + \varpi \hat{\phi}_{\varpi \varpi}) = 0. \]
\[ (9.2.83) \]

Suppose that
\[ \hat{\phi} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m(t) \varpi^m, \]
\[ (9.2.84) \]

where \( a_m(t) \) are functions in \( t \) to be determined. Then (9.2.83) becomes
\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a'_m \varpi^m = 4\nu \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^2 a_m \varpi^{m-1}, \]
\[ (9.2.85) \]
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equivalently,
\[ a_m = \frac{a_0^{(m)}}{(4\nu)^m(m!)^2} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}. \] (9.2.86)

Write \( \alpha(t) = a_0(t) \). We have
\[ \hat{\phi} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m)} \varpi^m}{(4\nu)^m(m!)^2}. \] (9.2.87)

By (9.2.82), we get
\[ \phi = \beta \varpi^{-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m+1)} \varpi^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!}, \] (9.2.88)

for a function \( \beta \) in \( t \).

Note
\[ \phi_t = \beta' \varpi^{-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m+1)} \varpi^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!} \] (9.2.89)

\[ 4\nu(\varpi \phi)_{\varpi \varpi} = 4\nu \hat{\phi}_{\varpi} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m)} \varpi^{m-1}}{(4\nu)^{m-1} (m-1)! m!}. \] (9.2.90)

Thus
\[ \phi_t - 4\nu(\varpi \phi)_{\varpi \varpi} = \beta' \varpi^{-1}. \] (9.2.91)

Therefore,
\[ \Phi_1 = \frac{\beta' y}{x^2 + y^2} - x \phi^2 \] (9.2.92)

and
\[ \Phi_2 = - \frac{\beta' x}{x^2 + y^2} - y \phi^2. \] (9.2.93)

On the other hand, Equations \( \partial_z(\Phi_1) = \partial_x(\Phi_3) \) and \( \partial_z(\Phi_2) = \partial_y(\Phi_3) \) are implied by the following differential equation:
\[ \psi_t - 4\nu(\psi_{\varpi} + \varpi \psi_{\varpi \varpi}) = 0 \] (9.2.94)

(cf. (9.2.80)). Similarly, we have the solution:
\[ \psi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^{(n)} \varpi^n}{(4\nu)^n(n!)^2}, \] (9.2.95)

where \( \gamma \) is a smooth function in \( t \). With this \( \psi, \Phi_3 = 0 \). By (9.2.4), (9.2.76), (9.2.77), (9.2.88), (9.2.92), (9.2.93) and (9.2.95), we obtain:

**Theorem 9.2.4.** Let \( \alpha, \gamma \) be any smooth functions in \( t \) and let \( \beta \) be any differentiable function in \( t \). We have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):
\[ u = \frac{\beta y}{x^2 + y^2} + y \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{(m)} (x^2 + y^2)^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!}, \] (9.2.96)
\[ v = -\frac{\beta x}{x^2 + y^2} - x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(m)(x^2 + y^2)^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!}, \]  
(9.2.97)

\[ w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(n)(x^2 + y^2)^n}{(4\nu)^n(n!)^2}, \]  
(9.2.98)

\[ p = \rho \beta' \arctan \frac{y}{x} + \rho \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(m)\alpha(n)(x^2 + y^2)^{m+n+1}}{2(m+n+1)m!(m+1)!n!(n+1)!(4\nu)^{m+n}}. \]  
(9.2.99)

**Remark 9.2.5.** When \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are polynomials in \( t \), the summations in the above theorem are finite. Let \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \) and \( \vartheta \) be functions in \( t \). For \( \vartheta \in \mathbb{R} \), we the matrices in (9.2.71). Recall the transformations in (9.1.9) and (9.1.32)-(9.1.33). Applying \( T_A T_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3; \vartheta} \) to the above solution, we get the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations with six parameter functions in \( t \):

\[ u = (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(m)[(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2]^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!} \]
\[ + \frac{\beta (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)}{(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2} - \gamma_1', \]  
(9.2.100)

\[ v = -\left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(m)[(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2]^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\beta x}{(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2 - \gamma_2'} \cos \theta - \gamma_2' \]
\[ + \sin \theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(n)[(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2]^n}{(4\nu)^n(n!)^2}, \]  
(9.2.101)

\[ w = \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(m)(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2]^m}{(4\nu)^m m!(m+1)!} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\beta x}{(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2 - \gamma_2'} \sin \theta - \gamma_3' \]
\[ + \cos \theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma(n)(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2]^n}{(4\nu)^n(n!)^2}, \]  
(9.2.102)

\[ p = \rho \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(m)\alpha(n)[(x + \gamma_1)^2 + (y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2)^2]^m+n+1}{2(m+n+1)m!(m+1)!n!(n+1)!(4\nu)^{m+n}} \]
\[ + \rho \beta' \arctan \frac{y \cos \theta - z \sin \theta + \gamma_2}{x} + \rho (\gamma_1' x + \gamma_2' y + \gamma_3' z) + \vartheta. \]  
(9.2.103)
9.3 Moving-Frame Approach I

Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be given differentiable functions in \( t \). Denote

\[
\Upsilon = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & \cos \beta & \sin \alpha & \sin \beta \\
-\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & \cos \beta & \cos \alpha & \sin \beta \\
0 & -\sin \beta & \cos \beta & & \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(9.3.1)

and

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \alpha' & \beta' \sin \alpha \\
-\alpha' & 0 & \beta' \cos \alpha \\
-\beta' \sin \alpha & -\beta' \cos \alpha & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(9.3.2)

Then

\[
\Upsilon^{-1} = \Upsilon^T = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\
\sin \alpha & \cos \beta & \cos \alpha & -\sin \beta \\
\sin \alpha & \sin \beta & \cos \alpha & \sin \beta & \cos \beta \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(9.3.3)

and

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(\Upsilon) = Q \Upsilon.
\]

(9.3.4)

Define the moving frames:

\[
\tilde{\Upsilon} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{U} \\
\mathcal{V} \\
\mathcal{W}
\end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon \begin{pmatrix}
u(t, x, y, z) \\
v(t, x, y, z) \\
w(t, x, y, z)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{X} \\
\mathcal{Y} \\
\mathcal{Z}
\end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon \begin{pmatrix}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(9.3.5)

Set

\[
\tilde{\nabla}^T = (\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z).
\]

(9.3.6)

Then

\[
\nabla = \Upsilon^T \tilde{\nabla}.
\]

(9.3.7)

Thus

\[
\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2 = \nabla^T \nabla = (\tilde{\nabla}^T \Upsilon)(\Upsilon^T \tilde{\nabla}) = \tilde{\nabla}^T \tilde{\nabla} = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + \partial_z^2,
\]

(9.3.8)

Recall the notion in (9.1.5). The equation (9.3.7) yields

\[
u_x + v_y + w_z = \nabla^T \tilde{\Upsilon} = (\tilde{\nabla}^T \Upsilon)(\Upsilon^{-1} \tilde{\Upsilon}) = \tilde{\nabla}^T \tilde{\Upsilon} = \Upsilon \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{W},
\]

(9.3.9)

and

\[
\tilde{\Upsilon}^T \nabla = (\Upsilon^T \tilde{\Upsilon})^T = \Upsilon \mathcal{U} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{W} = \tilde{\Upsilon}^T \tilde{\Upsilon}.
\]

(9.3.10)

According to (9.3.3) and (9.3.5),

\[
\tilde{\Upsilon} = \Upsilon \tilde{\Upsilon}(t, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^T) = \Upsilon \tilde{\Upsilon}(t, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^T), \quad p(t, \tilde{x}) = p(t, \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^T).
\]

(9.3.11)

By (9.3.3) and (9.3.4), we get

\[
\partial_t(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{d}{dt}(\Upsilon) \tilde{x} = Q \Upsilon \tilde{x} = Q \tilde{\mathcal{X}},
\]

(9.3.12)
On the other hand,
\[ \partial_t(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t + (\partial_t(X^T)\nabla)(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t + (X^T Q^T \nabla)(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}). \] (9.3.14)

Thus
\[ \Upsilon \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_t = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_t + (X^T Q^T \nabla)(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) - Q \tilde{\mathbf{U}}. \] (9.3.15)

Multiplying \( \Upsilon \) to (9.1.7) from the left side, we get
\[ \Upsilon \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_t + (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^T \nabla)(\Upsilon \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \Upsilon \nabla(p) = \nu \Delta(\Upsilon \tilde{\mathbf{u}}), \] (9.3.16)

which is equivalent to
\[ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_t + (X^T Q^T \nabla)(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) - Q \tilde{\mathbf{U}} + (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^T \nabla)(\Upsilon \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla(p) = \nu \Delta(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) \] (9.3.17)

by (9.3.7)-(9.3.9) and (9.3.15). Moreover, (9.1.8), (9.3.5) and (9.3.7) imply
\[ (\nabla^T \Upsilon)(\Upsilon^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = 0 \sim \nabla^T \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = 0. \] (9.3.18)

Next we want to find the analogue of (9.2.4). According to (9.3.2), (9.3.8) and (9.3.17), we denote
\[
R_1 = U_t + \alpha'(YUX - UXY - V) + \beta'(ZUX - UXZ - W) \sin \alpha \\
+ \beta'(ZUY - YUX) \cos \alpha + UX + \nabla U_t + UX + UX - \nu \Delta(U),
\] (9.3.19)

\[
R_2 = V_t + \alpha'(YVX - XVY + U) + \beta'(ZVX - XVZ) \sin \alpha \\
+ \beta'(ZVY - YVZ) \cos \alpha + UVX + VVY + WWZ - \nu \Delta(V),
\] (9.3.20)

\[
R_3 = W_t + \alpha'(YWX - XWy - W) + \beta'(ZWX - XWZ + U) \sin \alpha \\
+ \beta'(ZWY - YWZ + V) \cos \alpha + UWX + VWY + WWZ - \nu \Delta(W),
\] (9.3.21)

Then the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4) become
\[
R_1 + \frac{1}{\rho} p_x = 0, \quad R_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} p_y = 0, \quad R_3 + \frac{1}{\rho} p_z = 0, \quad U_t + V_t + W_t = 0,
\] (9.3.22)

by (9.3.17) and (9.3.18). Instead of solving the equations in (9.3.21), we will first solve the following compatibility equations:
\[
\partial_y(R_1) = \partial_x(R_2), \quad \partial_z(R_1) = \partial_x(R_3), \quad \partial_z(R_2) = \partial_y(R_3)
\] (9.3.24)
for \( U, V, W \), and then find \( p \) from the equations via (9.3.22).

Let \( f, g, h \) be functions of \( t, X, Y, Z \) that are linear in \( X, Y, Z \) and \( f_X + g_Y + h_Z = 0 \). Assume
\[
U = f + 6\nu X^{-1}, \quad V = g + 6\nu Y X^{-2}, \quad W = h. \tag{9.3.25}
\]

Then (9.3.19)-(9.3.21) become
\[
R_1 = f_t + f f_X + f g g + f_Z h + 6\nu f_X X^{-1} + \alpha'(Y f_X - X f_Y - g) + \beta'(Z f_X - X f_Z - h) \sin \alpha + \beta'(Z f_Y - Y f_Z) \cos \alpha
- 6\nu (f - Y f_Y + 2\alpha Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha) X^{-2} - 48\nu^2 X^{-3}, \tag{9.3.26}
\]
\[
R_2 = g_t + f g_g + g g_g + g_Z h + \alpha'(Y g_x - X g_y + f) + \beta'(Z g_x - X g_z) \sin \alpha
- 6\nu g_x X^{-1} + 6\nu (g + \beta' Z \cos \alpha + Y g_y) X^{-2}
+ \beta'(Z g_y - g_Z Y - h) \cos \alpha - 12\nu Y (f + \alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha) X^{-3}, \tag{9.3.27}
\]
\[
R_3 = h_t + f h_x + g h_y + h h_z + \alpha'(Y h_x - X h_y)
+ \beta'(Z h_x - X h_z + f) \sin \alpha + \beta'(Z h_y - Y h_z + g) \cos \alpha
+ 6\nu (h_x + \beta' \sin \alpha) X^{-1} + 6\nu (h_y + \beta' \cos \alpha) Y X^{-2}. \tag{9.3.28}
\]

By the coefficients of \( X^{-4} \) in \( \partial_Y(R_1) = \partial_X(R_2) \), we take
\[
f = \gamma X - \alpha' Y - \beta' Z \sin \alpha, \tag{9.3.29}
\]
where \( \gamma \) is a function of \( t \). Moreover, the coefficients of \( X^{-3} \) and the coefficients of \( X^{-2} \) in \( \partial_Y(R_1) = \partial_X(R_2) \) imply
\[
g = \alpha' X + \gamma Y - \beta' Z \cos \alpha. \tag{9.3.30}
\]
Furthermore, \( \partial_Y(R_1) = \partial_X(R_2) \) does not contain \( X^{-1} \).
According to the coefficients of \( X^{-2} \) in \( \partial_Z(R_2) = \partial_Y(R_3) \), we find \( h_Y = -\beta' \cos \alpha \).
Moreover, the coefficients of \( X^{-2} \) in \( \partial_Z(R_1) = \partial_X(R_3) \) force \( h_X = -\beta' \sin \alpha \). The condition \( f_X + g_Y + h_Z = 0 \) implies \( h_Z = -2\gamma \). For simplicity, we take
\[
h = -((\beta' X \sin \alpha + \beta' Y \cos \alpha + 2\gamma Z)). \tag{9.3.31}
\]

With the above \( f, g \) and \( h \), we have:
\[
R_1 = (\gamma' + \gamma^2 - \alpha'^2 + 3\beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) X + 12\nu \alpha' Y X^{-2} - 48\nu^2 X^{-3}
+ (3\beta'^2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha - \alpha'' - 2\alpha' \gamma) Y + (4\beta' \gamma - \beta'' \beta') Z \sin \alpha, \tag{9.3.32}
\]
\[
R_2 = (\gamma' + \gamma^2 - \alpha'^2 + 3\beta'^2 \cos^2 \alpha) Y - 12\nu \alpha' X^{-1}
+ (\alpha'' + 2\alpha' \gamma + 3\beta'^2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha) X + (4\beta' \gamma - \beta'' \beta') Z \cos \alpha, \tag{9.3.33}
\]
Therefore, we have the following theorem:

\[ R_3 = (4\gamma^2 - 2\gamma' - \beta'^2)Z + (4\beta' \gamma - \beta'')(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha). \quad (9.3.34) \]

Thanks to (9.3.32)-(9.3.34), (9.3.24) is now equivalent to

\[-\alpha'' - 2\alpha' \gamma = \alpha'' + 2\alpha' \gamma \implies \gamma = -\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}. \quad (9.3.35)\]

Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
U &= -\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} X - \alpha' Y - \beta' Z \sin \alpha + 6\nu X^{-1}, \\
V &= \alpha' X - \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} Y - \beta' Z \cos \alpha + 6\nu Y X^{-2}, \\
W &= \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} Z - \beta' X \sin \alpha - \beta' Y \cos \alpha 
\end{align*} \quad (9.3.36-9.3.38)\]

by (9.3.25), (9.3.29)-(9.3.31) and (9.3.35). Moreover, (9.3.24) and (9.3.32)-(9.3.34) imply

\[
p = \rho\left\{ \frac{(2\alpha' \alpha'' + 4\alpha^4 - 3\alpha''^2)(X^2 + Y^2)}{8\alpha^2} + (\beta'' + 2\alpha'' \beta'/\alpha')Z(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) \\
- \frac{3\beta'^2(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha)^2}{2} + 12\nu(3\nu X^{-2} - \alpha' Y X^{-1}) + \frac{(\alpha''^2 - \alpha''')Z^2}{2\alpha'} \right\}. \quad (9.3.39)\]

Note \( \bar{u} = \Upsilon^{-1}\bar{U} \) by (9.3.5). Thus (9.3.3) yields

\[
u = \left( \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} - 6\nu Y X^{-2} \right) (Y \sin \alpha - X \cos \alpha) - \alpha'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha), \quad (9.3.40)\]

\[
v = \left( 6\nu Y X^{-2} - \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} \right) (X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) \cos \beta + \alpha'(X \cos \alpha - Y \sin \alpha) \cos \beta \\
- \beta' Z \cos \beta + \left( \beta' X \sin \alpha + \beta' Y \cos \alpha - \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} Z \right) \sin \beta, \quad (9.3.41)\]

\[
w = \left( 6\nu Y X^{-2} - \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} \right) (X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) \sin \beta + \alpha'(X \cos \alpha - Y \sin \alpha) \sin \beta \\
- \beta' Z \sin \beta + \left( \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} Z - \beta' X \sin \alpha - \beta' Y \cos \alpha \right) \cos \beta. \quad (9.3.42)\]

According to (9.3.5), \( \bar{X} = \Upsilon \bar{u} \). So (9.3.1) gives

\[
Y \sin \alpha - X \cos \alpha = -x, \quad X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha = y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta, \quad (9.3.43)\]

\[
X^2 + Y^2 = x^2 + (y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta)^2. \quad (9.3.44)\]

Therefore, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.3.1.** Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be functions in \( t \) with \( \alpha' \neq 0 \). We have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):

\[
u = \frac{6\nu x[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \cos \alpha - x \sin \alpha]}{[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \sin \alpha + x \cos \alpha]^2} - \frac{\alpha'' x}{2\alpha'} - \alpha'(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta), \quad (9.3.45)\]
\[ v = \frac{6\nu[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \cos \alpha - x \sin \alpha](y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \cos \beta}{[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \sin \alpha + x \cos \alpha]^2} + \alpha' x \cos \beta \\
+ [\beta' \sin 2\beta + (\alpha''/2\alpha')(\sin^2 \beta - \cos 2\beta)]y - [\beta' \cos 2\beta + (3\alpha''/4\alpha') \sin 2\beta]z, \quad (9.3.46) \]

\[ w = \frac{6\nu[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \cos \alpha - x \sin \alpha](y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \sin \beta}{[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \sin \alpha + x \cos \alpha]^2} + \alpha' x \sin \beta \\
- [\beta' \cos 2\beta + (3\alpha''/4\alpha') \sin 2\beta]y + [(\alpha''/2\alpha') (\cos^2 \beta + \cos 2\beta) - \beta' \sin 2\beta]z \quad (9.3.47) \]

and

\[ p = \rho \left\{ \frac{12\nu[6\nu + \alpha'[(x^2 - (y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta)^2)](2\alpha^2 - 2x(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \cos 2\alpha)]}{2[(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \sin \alpha + x \cos \alpha]^2} \\
+ \frac{(2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha^4 - 3\alpha''\alpha^2)[x^2 + (y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta)^2]}{8\alpha^2} \\
+ \frac{(\beta''/2 + \alpha''/\alpha')(z^2 - y^2) \sin 2\beta + 2yz \cos 2\beta}{2} \\
- \frac{3\beta^2(y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta)^2}{2} + \frac{(\alpha'' \alpha'' - \alpha'''')(z \cos \beta - y \sin \beta)^2}{2\alpha'}, \right\} \quad (9.3.48) \]

The above solution blows up on the following rotating plane:

\[ \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid (y \cos \beta + z \sin \beta) \sin \alpha + x \cos \alpha = 0\}. \quad (9.3.49) \]

Applying the symmetry transformation in (9.1.32) and (9.1.33) to the above solution, we can get a solutions with six parameter functions and blowing up on a more general moving plane. Next let \( f \) be a function in \( t, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \) such that \( \partial_3^2(f) = \partial_2^2(f) = 0 \), and let \( \phi, \psi \) be functions in \( t, \mathcal{X} \). Suppose that \( \gamma \) is a function in \( t \). Assume

\[ \mathcal{U} = f - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \phi + \gamma' \mathcal{Y}, \quad \mathcal{W} = \psi + \gamma' \mathcal{Z}. \quad (9.3.50) \]

Then

\[ R_1 = f_t - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X} - \alpha'(3\gamma' \mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{X} f_{\mathcal{Y}} + \phi) - \beta'(3\gamma' \mathcal{Z} + \mathcal{X} f_{\mathcal{Z}} + \psi) \sin \alpha \\
+ \beta'(\mathcal{X} f_{\mathcal{Y}} - \mathcal{Y} f_{\mathcal{Z}}) \cos \alpha - 2\gamma' (f - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X}) + f_{\mathcal{Y}}(\phi + \gamma' \mathcal{Y}) + f_{\mathcal{Z}}(\psi + \gamma' \mathcal{Z}), \quad (9.3.51) \]

\[ R_2 = \phi_t + \gamma'' \mathcal{Y} + \alpha'(\mathcal{Y} \phi_{\mathcal{X}} - 3\gamma' \mathcal{X} + f) + \beta' \mathcal{X} \phi_{\mathcal{X}} \sin \alpha - \beta' \psi \cos \alpha \\
+ (f - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X}) \phi_{\mathcal{X}} + \gamma' \phi + \gamma^2 \mathcal{Y} - \nu \phi_{\mathcal{XX}}, \quad (9.3.52) \]

\[ R_3 = \psi_t + \gamma'' \mathcal{Z} + \alpha' \mathcal{Y} \psi_{\mathcal{X}} + \beta'(\mathcal{Z} \psi_{\mathcal{X}} - 3\gamma' \mathcal{X} + f) \sin \alpha - \nu \psi_{\mathcal{XX}} \\
+ \beta' \psi \cos \alpha + (f - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X}) \psi_{\mathcal{X}} + \gamma'(\psi + \gamma' \mathcal{Z}). \quad (9.3.53) \]

Now (9.3.24) becomes

\[ \phi_{t\mathcal{X}} + (\alpha' \mathcal{Y} + \beta' \mathcal{Z} \sin \alpha + f) \phi_{\mathcal{XX}} - \beta' \psi \cos \alpha - 2\gamma'(\mathcal{X} \phi_{\mathcal{X}})_t \\
+ \gamma' \phi_{\mathcal{X}} - \nu \phi_{\mathcal{XX}} = f_{\mathcal{Y}} - \beta' f_{\mathcal{Z}} \cos \alpha - \gamma' f_{\mathcal{Y}}, \quad (9.3.54) \]
\[ \psi_{tX} + (\alpha' \mathcal{Y} + \beta' \mathcal{Z} \sin \alpha + f) \psi_{XX} - \nu \psi_{XXX} + \beta' \phi_x \cos \alpha \]
\[ -2(\gamma' \mathcal{X} \psi_X) + \gamma' \psi_X = f_{1Z} + \beta' f_{Y} \cos \alpha - \gamma' f_Z, \]
\[ \alpha' f_Z + (\beta' \sin \alpha + f_Z) \phi_X = (\alpha' + f_Y) \psi_X + \beta' f_Y \sin \alpha. \] (9.3.56)

By (9.3.54) and (9.3.55), we take
\[ f = -\alpha' \mathcal{Y} - \beta' \mathcal{Z} \sin \alpha. \] (9.3.57)

Note that (9.3.56) is implied by (9.3.57). Integrating (9.3.54) and (9.3.55), we obtain
\[ \phi_t - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X} \phi_X + \gamma' \phi - \nu \phi_{XX} - \beta' \phi \cos \alpha = [\beta'^2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \alpha' \gamma' - \alpha''] \mathcal{X} + \beta_1, \] (9.3.58)
\[ \psi_t - 2\gamma' \mathcal{X} \psi_X + \gamma' \psi - \nu \psi_{XX} + \beta' \phi \cos \alpha \]
\[ = -[(\beta' \sin \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha - \gamma' \beta' \sin \alpha] \mathcal{X} + \beta_2, \] (9.3.59)

where \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). To solve the above problem, we write
\[ \beta' = \frac{\phi'}{\cos \alpha}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{4} \ln \mu' \] (9.3.60)
and set
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{array} \right) = \sqrt{\mu'} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \psi \end{array} \right), \] (9.3.61)
\[ \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \end{array} \right) = \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \varphi^2 \tan \alpha + \frac{\alpha''}{4\mu'} - \alpha'' \\ -(\varphi' \tan \alpha)' - \alpha' \varphi' + \frac{\mu'' \varphi'}{4\mu'} \tan \alpha \end{array} \right) dt. \] (9.3.62)

Then (9.3.58) and (9.3.59) are equivalent to:
\[ \hat{\phi}_t - \frac{\mu''}{2\mu'} \mathcal{X} \hat{\phi}_X - \nu \hat{\phi}_{XX} = \gamma_1 \sqrt{\mu'} \mathcal{X} + \varphi_1, \] (9.3.63)
\[ \hat{\psi}_t - \frac{\mu''}{2\mu'} \mathcal{X} \hat{\psi}_X - \nu \hat{\psi}_{XX} = \gamma_2 \sqrt{\mu'} \mathcal{X} + \varphi_2, \] (9.3.64)
where \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Note the first two terms in the above equations motivate us to write
\[ \hat{\phi} = \hat{\phi}(t, \varpi) + \gamma_1 \varpi + \varphi_1, \quad \hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi}(t, \varpi) + \gamma_2 \varpi + \varphi_2, \quad \varpi = \sqrt{\mu'} \mathcal{X}. \] (9.3.65)

Then the above equations become equations:
\[ \hat{\phi}_t - \nu \mu' \hat{\phi}_{\varpi \varpi} = 0, \quad \hat{\psi}_t - \nu \mu' \hat{\psi}_{\varpi \varpi} = 0. \] (9.3.66)
Thus we have the following solution:
\[
\tilde{\phi} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r \hat{d}_r e^{\hat{\alpha}_r \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \nu \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \nu \sin b_r + b_r + c_r),
\]
(9.3.67)
\[
\tilde{\psi} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{\alpha}_s \nu \mu \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \nu \cos b_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \nu \sin b_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s),
\]
(9.3.68)
where \(a_r, \hat{a}_s, b_r, \hat{b}_s, c_r, \hat{c}_s, d_r\) and \(\hat{d}_s\) are real constants. Therefore,
\[
\tilde{\phi} = \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r \hat{d}_r e^{\hat{\alpha}_r \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin b_r + b_r + c_r)
+
\gamma_1 \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \cos \sigma_1,
\]
(9.3.69)
\[
\tilde{\psi} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{\alpha}_s \nu \mu \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s)
+
\gamma_2 \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \cos \sigma_2.
\]
(9.3.70)

According to (9.3.61), we have
\[
\phi = \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r \hat{d}_r e^{\hat{\alpha}_r \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin b_r + b_r + c_r)
+
\sin \varphi \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{\alpha}_s \nu \mu \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s)
+
\sqrt{\mu'}(\gamma_1 \cos \varphi + \gamma_2 \sin \varphi) \nu \cos \sigma_1,
\]
(9.3.71)
\[
\psi = -\frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r \hat{d}_r e^{\hat{\alpha}_r \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin b_r + b_r + c_r)
+
\cos \varphi \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{\alpha}_s \nu \mu \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s)
+
\sqrt{\mu'}(\gamma_2 \cos \varphi - \gamma_1 \sin \varphi) \nu \cos \sigma_2,
\]
(9.3.72)
where \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) are arbitrary functions in \(t\). By (9.3.50), (9.3.57), (9.3.60), (9.3.71) and (9.3.72),
\[
U = -\alpha' \nu - \nu' Z \tan \alpha - \frac{\mu' \nu \cos \varphi}{2 \mu'},
\]
(9.3.73)
\[
V = \frac{\cos \varphi}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r \hat{d}_r e^{\hat{\alpha}_r \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + \hat{a}_r \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin b_r + b_r + c_r)
+
\sin \varphi \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{\alpha}_s \nu \mu \cos 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \nu \sqrt{\mu'} \cos b_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu'} \nu \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s)
+
\sqrt{\mu'}(\gamma_1 \cos \varphi + \gamma_2 \sin \varphi) \nu \cos \sigma_1.
\]
(9.3.74)
By (9.3.3), (9.3.5) and (9.3.7)-(9.3.75), we get:

\[ W = \sin \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\mu}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_r d_r e^{a_r^2 \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu} \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu} \sin b_r + b_r + c_r) \\
+ \cos \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\mu}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \cos 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) \\
+ \sqrt{\mu}(\gamma_2 \cos \phi - \gamma_1 \sin \phi)X + \frac{\mu'' Z}{4\mu} + \sigma_2. \quad (9.3.75) \]

To find the pressure \( p \), we recalculate:

\[ R_1 = (\varphi'^2 Y - 2\varphi' \psi) \tan \alpha - 2\alpha' \phi - \alpha'' Y - (\varphi'' \tan \alpha + \alpha' \varphi'(1 + \sec^2 \alpha))Z \\
- \frac{\mu''(\alpha' Y + \varphi' Z \tan \alpha)}{2\mu'} + (\alpha'^2 + \varphi'^2 \tan^2 \alpha)X + \frac{(3\mu''^2 - 2\mu' \mu'')X}{4\mu^2}, \quad (9.3.76) \]

\[ R_2 = \frac{(4\mu' \mu'' - 3\mu'^2)Y}{16\mu^2} - \alpha'^2 Y + \sigma'_1 + (\varphi' X - \alpha' Z)\varphi' \tan \alpha - \frac{(\alpha' \mu'' + 2\alpha'' \mu')X}{2\mu'}, \quad (9.3.77) \]

\[ R_3 = \frac{(4\mu'' - 3\mu'^2)Z}{16\mu^2} - \frac{\mu'' X + 2\alpha' \mu' Y}{2\mu'} \varphi' \tan \alpha + \sigma'_2 \\
- \varphi'^2 \tan^2 \alpha - (\varphi'' \tan \alpha + \alpha' \varphi'(1 + \sec^2 \alpha))X \quad (9.3.78) \]

by (9.3.51)-(9.3.53), (9.3.57)-(9.3.60), (9.3.71) and (9.72). Thanks to (9.3.22), we have:

\[ p = \rho \{(\alpha'' Y + (\varphi' \tan \alpha + \alpha' \varphi'(1 + \sec^2 \alpha))Z)X + \frac{\mu''(\alpha' Y + \varphi' Z \tan \alpha)X}{2\mu'} \\
- \sigma'_1 Y - \sigma'_2 Z + \frac{X^2}{2} \left( \frac{(2\mu' \mu'' - 3\mu'^2)}{4\mu^2} - \alpha'^2 - \varphi'^2 \tan^2 \varphi \right) + \frac{\alpha'^2 Y^2 + \gamma'^2 Z^2 \tan^2 \alpha}{2} \\
+ \frac{(3\mu''^2 - 4\mu' \mu'')(Y^2 + Z^2)}{32\mu^2} + (\alpha' X - \varphi' X)\varphi' \tan \alpha + 2 \frac{\alpha' \cos \varphi - \varphi' \sin \varphi \tan \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu'^2}} \\
\times \sum_{r=1}^{m} d_r e^{a_r^2 \nu \mu \cos 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu} \cos b_r} \sin(a_r^2 \nu \mu \sin 2b_r + a_r \sqrt{\mu} \sin b_r + b_r + c_r) \\
+ 2(\alpha' \sigma_1 + \varphi' \sigma_2 \tan \alpha)X + 2 \frac{\alpha' \sin \varphi + \varphi' \cos \varphi \tan \alpha}{\sqrt{\mu'^3}} \\
\times \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_s \hat{d}_s e^{\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \cos 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu} \cos \hat{b}_s} \sin(\hat{a}_s^2 \nu \mu \sin 2\hat{b}_s + \hat{a}_s \sqrt{\mu} \sin \hat{b}_s + \hat{b}_s + \hat{c}_s) \\
+ \sqrt{\mu}[\gamma_1 (\alpha' \cos \varphi - \varphi' \sin \varphi \tan \alpha) + \gamma_2 (\alpha' \sin \varphi + \varphi' \cos \varphi \tan \alpha)]X^2. \quad (9.3.79) \]

By (9.3.3), (9.3.5) and (9.3.73)-(9.3.75), we get:

**Theorem 9.3.2.** Let \( \alpha, \varphi, \mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \) be functions in \( t \) with \( \mu' > 0 \). Take real constants \( \{r, \hat{a}_s, b_r, \hat{b}_s, c_r, \hat{c}_s, d_r, \hat{d}_s \mid i = 1, \ldots, m; s = 1, \ldots, n\} \). Denote \( \beta = \int \varphi' \sec \alpha \, dt \) and define
\(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) by (9.3.62). Take the notations \(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}\) given in (9.3.1) and (9.3.5). We have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):

\[
u = -\left(\frac{\mu''}{2\mu'} + \alpha' Y + \varphi' Z \tan \alpha\right) \cos \alpha - \left[\frac{\cos \varphi}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_{r} d_{r} e^{a_{r} \nu \mu \cos 2b_{r} + a_{r} \sqrt{\mu'} X \cos b_{r}} \right.
\]
\[
\times \sin(a_{r}^{2} \nu \mu \sin 2b_{r} + a_{r} \sqrt{\mu'} X \sin b_{r} + c_{r}) + \left. \sin \varphi \frac{\sqrt{\mu'}}{\nu' \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{s} \hat{d}_{s} e^{\hat{a}_{s} \nu \mu \cos 2b_{s} + \hat{a}_{s} \sqrt{\mu'} X \cos b_{s}} \right.
\]
\[
\times \sin(\hat{a}_{s}^{2} \nu \mu \sin 2\hat{b}_{s} + \hat{a}_{s} \sqrt{\mu'} X \sin \hat{b}_{s} + \hat{b}_{s} + \hat{c}_{s})
\]
\[
+ 4\mu'(\gamma_1 \cos \varphi + \gamma_2 \sin \varphi) \mathcal{X} + \frac{\mu'' \nu''}{4\mu'} + \sigma_1] \sin \alpha, \quad (9.3.80)
\]

\[
u = \left(\frac{\mu''}{2\mu'} - \alpha' Y - \varphi' Z \tan \alpha\right) \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \frac{\mu''(\mathcal{Y} \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \mathcal{Z} \sin \beta)}{4\mu'}
\]
\[
+ \frac{\cos \varphi \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \sin \varphi \sin \beta}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_{r} d_{r} e^{a_{r} \nu \mu \cos 2b_{r} + a_{r} \sqrt{\mu'} X \cos b_{r}} \]
\[
\times \sin(a_{r}^{2} \nu \mu \sin 2b_{r} + a_{r} \sqrt{\mu'} X \sin b_{r} + c_{r}) + \frac{\sin \varphi \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \cos \varphi \sin \beta}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{s} \hat{d}_{s} e^{\hat{a}_{s} \nu \mu \cos 2b_{s} + \hat{a}_{s} \sqrt{\mu'} X \cos b_{s}}
\]
\[
\times \sin(\hat{a}_{s}^{2} \nu \mu \sin 2\hat{b}_{s} + \hat{a}_{s} \sqrt{\mu'} X \sin \hat{b}_{s} + \hat{b}_{s} + \hat{c}_{s})
\]
\[
+ \sqrt{\mu'}[\gamma_1(\cos \varphi \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \sin \varphi \sin \beta) + \gamma_2(\sin \varphi \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \cos \varphi \sin \beta)] \mathcal{X}
\]
\[
+ \sigma_1 \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \sigma_2 \sin \beta, \quad (9.3.81)
\]

\[
u = \left(\frac{\mu''}{2\mu'} - \alpha' Y - \varphi' Z \tan \alpha\right) \sin \alpha \sin \beta + \frac{\mu''(\mathcal{Y} \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \mathcal{Z} \cos \beta)}{4\mu'}
\]
\[
+ \frac{\cos \varphi \cos \alpha \sin \beta - \sin \varphi \cos \beta}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{r=1}^{m} a_{r} d_{r} e^{a_{r} \nu \mu \cos 2b_{r} + a_{r} \sqrt{\mu'} X \cos b_{r}} \]
\[
\times \sin(a_{r}^{2} \nu \mu \sin 2b_{r} + a_{r} \sqrt{\mu'} X \sin b_{r} + c_{r}) + \frac{\sin \varphi \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \cos \varphi \cos \beta}{\sqrt{\mu'}} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \hat{a}_{s} \hat{d}_{s} e^{\hat{a}_{s} \nu \mu \cos 2b_{s} + \hat{a}_{s} \sqrt{\mu'} X \cos b_{s}}
\]
\[
\times \sin(\hat{a}_{s}^{2} \nu \mu \sin 2\hat{b}_{s} + \hat{a}_{s} \sqrt{\mu'} X \sin \hat{b}_{s} + \hat{b}_{s} + \hat{c}_{s})
\]
\[
+ \sqrt{\mu'}[\gamma_1(\cos \varphi \cos \alpha \sin \beta - \sin \varphi \cos \beta) + \gamma_2(\sin \varphi \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \cos \varphi \cos \beta)] \mathcal{X}
\]
\[
+ \sigma_1 \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \sigma_2 \cos \beta, \quad (9.3.82)
\]

and \(p\) is given in (9.3.79).

**Remark 9.3.3.** We can use Fourier expansion to solve the system (9.3.66) for \(\tilde{\phi}(t, \sqrt{\mu'} \mathcal{X})\) and \(\tilde{\psi}(t, \sqrt{\mu'} \mathcal{X})\) with given \(\tilde{\phi}(0, \sqrt{\mu'(0)} \mathcal{X})\) and \(\tilde{\psi}(0, \sqrt{\mu'(0)} \mathcal{X})\). In this way, we can obtain discontinuous solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4), which may be useful in studying shock waves.
9.4 Moving-Frame Approach II

Motivated from the first solution in Theorem 9.2.2, we will solve the equations (9.3.17) and (9.3.18) by sin, cos, sinh and cosh functions.

First we rewrite (9.3.19)-(9.3.21):

\[
R_1 = U_t + (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha + U)U_x + (V - \alpha' X + \beta' Z \cos \alpha)U_y
\]
\[+(W - \beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha))U_{y} - \alpha' V - \beta' W \sin \alpha - \nu \Delta(U), \tag{9.4.1}
\]
\[R_2 = V_t + (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha + U)V_x + (V - \alpha' X + \beta' Z \cos \alpha)V_y
\]
\[+(W - \beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha))V_{y} + \alpha' U - \beta' W \cos \alpha - \nu \Delta(V), \tag{9.4.2}
\]
\[R_3 = W_t + (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha + U)W_x + (V - \alpha' X + \beta' Z \cos \alpha)W_y
\]
\[+(W - \beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha))W_{y} + \beta'(U \sin \alpha + V \cos \alpha) - \nu \Delta(W). \tag{9.4.3}
\]

Let \(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma\) be functions in \(t\). Set

\[
\xi_0 = \sinh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z), \quad \zeta_0 = \cosh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z), \quad \phi_0 = \sinh \gamma X, \quad \tag{9.4.4}
\]
\[
\psi_0 = \cosh \gamma X, \quad \xi_1 = \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z), \quad \zeta_1 = \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z), \quad \tag{9.4.5}
\]
\[
\phi_1 = \sin \gamma X, \quad \psi_1 = \cos \gamma X, \quad \Delta_1 = \partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2. \tag{9.4.6}
\]

Suppose that \(f\) and \(h\) are functions in \(t, Y, Z\). Moreover, \(\sigma\) and \(\tau\) are functions in \(t\). According to (9.3.29)-(9.3.31), we assume

\[
U = -\alpha' Y - \beta' Z \sin \alpha - (f + \gamma \xi_r \psi_s) - (f + h Z)X - (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)\zeta_r \phi_s, \tag{9.4.7}
\]
\[
V = \alpha' X - \beta' Z \cos \alpha + f + \gamma \xi_r \psi_s, \quad W = \beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) + h + \tau \gamma \xi_r \psi_s. \tag{9.4.8}
\]

By (9.4.1)-(9.4.3), we have

\[
R_1 = -(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)'\zeta_r \phi_s - (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)[(-1)'(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z)\zeta_r \phi_s + \gamma' X \zeta_r \psi_s]
\]
\[-(f + h Z)X + ((f + h Z)X + (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)\zeta_r \phi_s)(f + h Z + \gamma(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)\zeta_r \psi_s)
\]
\[-\alpha' (f + \alpha' X - \beta' Z \cos \alpha + \gamma \sigma \xi_r \psi_s) - \beta'(\beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) + h + \gamma \tau \xi_r \psi_s) \sin \alpha
\]
\[-(f + \gamma \sigma \xi_r \psi_s)(\alpha' + (f + h Z)X + (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)\xi_r \phi_s) - (h + \gamma \tau \xi_r \psi_s)
\]
\[\times(\beta' \sin \alpha + (f + h Z)X + (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)\xi_r \phi_s) + \nu \{\Delta_1(f + h Z)X
\]
\[+(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)[(-1)'(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)'\zeta_r \phi_s - \alpha'' Y - (\beta' \sin \alpha)' Z
\]
\[= \{(\gamma(f + h Z) - \gamma')X \zeta_r \psi_s - (-1)'(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z + \alpha_1 f + \beta_1 h)\zeta_r \phi_s\}(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)
\]
\[+\{(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)[(-1)' \nu \gamma^2 + (-1)' \nu (\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2) + f y + h z - (\alpha_1 \sigma + \tau \beta_1')\zeta_r \phi_s
\]
\[-\gamma (2(\sigma \alpha' + \tau \beta' \sin \alpha) + [\sigma(f + h z) + \tau(f + h z)X]X) \zeta_r \psi_s - (f + h Z)X
\]
\[(f + h Z)^2 X - f (\alpha' + (f + h Z)X - h (\beta' \sin \alpha + (f + h Z)X)
\]
\[-\alpha' (f + \alpha' X - \beta' Z \cos \alpha) - \beta' (X \sin \alpha + \gamma \cos \alpha) + h \sin \alpha - \alpha'' Y
\]
\[-(\beta' \sin \alpha)' Y + \nu \Delta_1(f + h Z)X + \gamma(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau)^2 \phi_r \psi_s, \tag{9.4.9}
\]
\[ R_2 = \alpha' \mathcal{X} - (\beta' \cos \alpha') \mathcal{Z} + f_t + (\gamma \sigma) \xi_r \psi_s + \gamma \sigma ((\alpha'_Y + \beta'_Z) \zeta_r \psi_s + (-1)^s \gamma' \mathcal{X} \xi_r \phi_s) \]
\[-\alpha'[\alpha'_Y + \beta'_Z \sin \alpha + (f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X} + (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \zeta_r \phi_s] - \beta'[\beta' \mathcal{X} \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha] \]
\[+h + \gamma \tau \xi_r \psi_s \cos \alpha - [(f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X} + (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \zeta_r \phi_s](\alpha' + (-1)^s \gamma^2 \sigma \xi_r \phi_s) \]
\[+(f + \gamma \sigma \xi_r \psi_s)(f_y + \alpha_1 \gamma_\sigma \zeta_r \psi_s) + (h + \gamma \tau \xi_r \psi_s)(f_z - \beta' \cos \alpha + \beta_1 \gamma_\sigma \zeta_r \psi_s) \]
\[-\nu[\Delta_1(f) + \gamma \sigma((-1)^r(\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2) + (-1)^s \gamma^2)] \xi_r \psi_s \]
\[= \alpha' \mathcal{X} + f_t + \gamma \sigma ((\alpha'_Y + \beta'_Z + \alpha_1 f + \beta_1 h) \zeta_r \psi_s + (-1)^s \gamma_\sigma (\gamma' - \gamma(f_y + h_z)) \mathcal{X} \xi_r \phi_s \]
\[+\{\gamma[\sigma f_Y + \tau f_Z - \nu \sigma[(-1)^r(\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2) + (-1)^s \gamma^2] - 2 \tau \beta' \cos \alpha] + (\gamma \sigma')\} \xi_r \psi_s \]
\[= 2a'((\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \zeta_r \phi_s - (\beta' \cos \alpha') \mathcal{Z} - \alpha'[\alpha'_Y + \beta'_Z \sin \alpha + 2(f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X}] \]
\[\quad - \beta'[\beta'(\mathcal{X} \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) + h] \cos \alpha + \alpha f f_y + h(f_z - \beta' \cos \alpha) - \nu \Delta_1(f) \]
\[+\gamma^2 \sigma(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \xi_r \zeta_r. \tag{9.4.10} \]

\[ R_3 = (\beta' \sin \alpha') \mathcal{X} + (\beta' \cos \alpha') \mathcal{Y} + h_t + (\tau \gamma') \xi_r \psi_s + \tau \gamma [(\alpha'_Y + \beta'_Z) \mathcal{Y} \zeta_r \psi_s \]
\[+(-1)^s \gamma' \mathcal{X} \xi_r \phi_s - [(f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X} + (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \zeta_r \phi_s](\beta' \sin \alpha + (1)^s \gamma^2 \xi_r \phi_s) \]
\[+(f + \sigma \gamma \xi_r \psi_s)(\beta' \cos \alpha + h_Y + \alpha_1 \gamma_\sigma \zeta_r \psi_s) + (h + \tau \gamma \xi_r \psi_s)(f_z + \beta_1 \tau \gamma \zeta_r \psi_s) \]
\[= \gamma \tau (\alpha'_1 Y + \beta'_1 Z + \alpha_1 f + \beta_1 h) \zeta_r \psi_s + ((\gamma \gamma') - \nu \gamma \tau[(-1)^r(\alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2) + (-1)^s \gamma^2] \]
\[+\gamma(2 \beta' \cos \alpha + \sigma h_Y + \tau h_Z) \xi_r \psi_s - 2 \beta'((\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \zeta_r \phi_s \sin \alpha + (1)^s \gamma \tau \gamma') \]
\[= \nu (f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X} \zeta_r \phi_s + (\beta' \sin \alpha') \mathcal{X} + (\beta' \cos \alpha') \mathcal{Y} + h_t - \beta'(f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X} \sin \alpha \]
\[+ f(\beta' \cos \alpha + h_Z) + h h_Z - \beta'(\alpha'_Y + \beta'_Z \sin \alpha + (f_y + h_z) \mathcal{X}) \sin \alpha + \beta'(\alpha'_Y \mathcal{X} \]
\[\quad - \beta' \mathcal{Z} \cos \alpha + f) \cos \alpha - \nu \Delta_1(h) + \gamma^2 \tau (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau) \xi_r \zeta_r. \tag{9.4.11} \]

By the coefficients of $\xi_r \psi_s$ in the equation $\partial_\mathcal{Y}(R_1) = \partial_\mathcal{X}(R_2)$, we have
\[ \gamma^2 \sigma = (-1)^{r+s+1} \alpha_1(\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau), \quad [\sigma(f_y Y + h_y Z) + \tau(f_y Z + h_Z Y)]_Y = 0. \tag{9.4.12} \]

Moreover, the coefficients of $\zeta_r \phi_s$ in the equation $\partial_\mathcal{Y}(R_1) = \partial_\mathcal{X}(R_2)$ suggest
\[ (f_y + h_z)_Y = 0, \tag{9.4.13} \]
which implies the second equation in (9.4.12). According the coefficients of $\xi_r \phi_s$ in the equation $\partial_\mathcal{Y}(R_1) = \partial_\mathcal{X}(R_2)$, we get
\[ \sigma \beta_1 h_Y = \tau \alpha_1(f_z - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha). \tag{9.4.14} \]

Furthermore, the coefficients of $\zeta_r \psi_s$ in the equation $\partial_\mathcal{Y}(R_1) = \partial_\mathcal{X}(R_2)$ yield
\[ \alpha_1 \beta' \sin \alpha = \alpha'_1. \tag{9.4.15} \]
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Symmetrically, we have (9.4.15),
\[ \gamma^2 \tau = (-1)^{r+s+1} \beta_1 (\alpha_1 \sigma + \beta_1 \tau), \quad (f_x + h_x)Z = 0 \]  
(9.4.16)

and
\[ \tau \alpha_1 f_Z = \sigma \beta_1 (h_y + 2 \beta' \cos \alpha) \]  
(9.4.17)
(cf. (9.4.7) and (9.4.8)). By the first equation in (9.4.12) and (9.4.16), we have
\[ \sigma \beta_1 = \tau \alpha_1. \]  
(9.4.18)

Then (9.4.14) is implied by (9.4.17) and (9.4.18). Note that the equations of the coefficients \( \xi_{x} \psi_{s}, \zeta_{r} \psi_{s}, \xi_{r} \phi_{s} \) and \( \zeta_{r} \phi_{s} \) in \( \partial_Z(R_2) = \partial_y(R_3) \) are implied by (9.4.15), (9.4.17) and (9.4.18).

According to (9.4.13) and the second equation in (9.4.16),
\[ f_y + h_Z = \gamma_1, \]  
(9.4.19)
a function in \( t \). Under the conditions in (9.4.15), the first equation in (9.4.16), and (9.4.17)-(9.4.19), \( \partial_y(R_1) = \partial_x(R_2) \) becomes
\[ \alpha' h_Z - \beta' h_y \sin \alpha = \alpha'', \]  
(9.4.20)

\( \partial_Z(R_1) = \partial_X(R_3) \) is equivalent to
\[ \beta' h_Z \sin \alpha + \alpha' h_y = \beta' \gamma_1 \sin \alpha - (\beta' \sin \alpha)' - 2 \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha \]  
(9.4.21)

and \( \partial_Z(R_2) = \partial_Y(R_3) \) says
\[ (f f_y + h f_Z)_Z = (f h_y + h h_Z)_Y + 2 \beta' \gamma_1 \cos \alpha. \]  
(9.4.22)

By (9.4.17) and (9.4.19)-(9.4.21), we assume that \( f_y, f_Z, h_y \) and \( h_Z \) are functions in \( t \). Then (9.4.22) can be written as
\[ (f_y + h_Z) f_Z = (f_y + h_Z) h_y + 2 \beta' \gamma_1 \cos \alpha, \]  
(9.4.23)

which is implied by (9.4.17) and (9.4.19). Solving (9.4.20) and (9.4.21), we get
\[ h_y = \frac{\alpha' \beta' \gamma_1 \sin \alpha - (\alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha)' - 2 \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha}{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha}, \]  
(9.4.24)
\[ h_Z = \frac{\alpha' \alpha'' + \beta'^2 \gamma_1 \sin^2 \alpha - (\beta' \sin \alpha)(\beta' \sin \alpha)' - \alpha' \beta'^2 \sin 2 \alpha}{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha}. \]  
(9.4.25)

Moreover,
\[ f_y = \frac{\gamma_1 \alpha'^2 - \alpha' \alpha'' + (\beta' \sin \alpha)(\beta' \sin \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta'^2 \sin 2 \alpha}{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha} \]  
(9.4.26)
by (9.4.19) and (9.4.25), and
\[
f_Z = \frac{\alpha' \beta' \gamma_1 \sin \alpha - (\alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha)' + 2 \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha \cos \alpha}{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha}
\] (9.4.27)

by (9.4.17) and (9.4.24). With the above data, we take
\[
f = f_1 + f_Z, \quad h = h_1 + h_Z. \tag{9.4.28}
\]
Furthermore, (9.4.18) and the first equation in (9.4.16) yield \( r + s + 1 \in 2 \mathbb{Z} \),
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \varphi \alpha', & \gamma &= \pm \varphi \sqrt{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha}, & (9.4.29) \\
\beta_1 &= \varphi' \sin \alpha, & \sigma &= \mu \alpha', & \tau &= \mu \beta' \sin \alpha. & (9.4.30)
\end{align*}
\]
In particular, \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \varphi \) and \( \mu \) are arbitrary functions in \( t \). Thanks to (9.3.22) and (9.4.9)-(9.4.11), the pressure
\[
p = \rho \{ \gamma \mu \varphi^{-1}[(\gamma' - \gamma_1) X \xi_s \phi_s - (\varphi(\alpha') Y + (\varphi(\beta') \sin \alpha)' Z + \varphi(\alpha' f + \beta' h \sin \alpha) \xi_r \phi_s]
+ (-1)^4 \varphi^{-1}[(\gamma(\mu)' - \mu \varphi' \varphi^{-1}] \xi_s \psi_s + 2 \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \xi_r \phi_s + 2(\alpha' f + \beta' h \sin \alpha) X
\]
\[
+ \frac{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha + \alpha_1'^2 - \gamma_1^2}{2} X^2 + [(\beta' \sin \alpha)' - \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha] X Z - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^4 \mu^2 \varphi^{-2}(\phi_s^2 + \xi_s^2)
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{\beta'^2}{2} \sin 2 \alpha + \alpha'' \right) X Y + [(\beta' \cos \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha - f_Z - f_Y f_Z - h_Y h_Z] Y Z
\]
\[
+ \left( \frac{\beta'^2}{2} - h_Z t - f_Z^2 - h_Z^2 \right) Z^2 + \frac{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \cos \alpha - f_Y - f_Z^2 - h_Y^2}{2} Y^2 \}.
\]

By (9.3.3) and (9.3.5), we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.4.1.** Let \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \varphi \) and \( \mu \) be arbitrary functions in \( t \) such that \( \varphi \neq 0 \) and \( \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \sin^2 \alpha \neq 0 \). The notations \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) are defined in (9.3.5) via (9.3.1), and \( \alpha_1, \beta_1 \) and \( \gamma \) are given in (9.4.29) and (9.4.30). Moreover, \( f_Y, f_Z, h_Y, h_Z \) and \( f, h \) are given in (9.4.24)-(9.4.28). We have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):

\[
u = -\alpha'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) - (f + \mu \alpha' \gamma \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cos \gamma X) \sin \alpha
\]
\[
- (\gamma_1 X + \varphi \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \cosh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X) \cos \alpha, \tag{9.4.32}
\]

\[
v = (f \cos \alpha - \beta' \mathbf{Z}) \cos \beta - (\alpha' \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \beta' \cos \alpha \sin \beta) \mathbf{Y}
\]
\[
- (\gamma_1 X + \varphi \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \cosh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X) \sin \alpha \cos \beta - h \sin \beta
\]
\[
+ (\alpha' \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \beta' \sin \alpha \sin \beta) (X + \gamma \mu \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cos \gamma X), \tag{9.4.33}
\]

\[
w = (\beta' \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \alpha' \sin \alpha \sin \beta) \mathbf{Y} + (f \cos \alpha - \beta' \mathbf{Z}) \sin \beta
\]
\[
- (\gamma_1 X + \varphi \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \cosh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X) \sin \beta + h \cos \beta
\]
\[
+ (\alpha' \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \beta' \sin \cos \beta) (X + \gamma \mu \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cos \gamma X). \tag{9.4.34}
\]
\begin{align*}
p &= \rho \{ \gamma \mu \varphi^{-1}[(\gamma' - \gamma_1)X \cosh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X - ((\varphi \alpha')' Y + (\varphi \beta')' \sin \alpha)' Z \\
&+ \varphi(\alpha'f + \beta'h \sin \alpha)) \sinh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cos \gamma X] - \varphi^{-1}[(\gamma \mu)' - \gamma \mu \varphi' \varphi^{-1}] \\
&\times \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cosh \gamma X + 2 \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \sinh(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X \\
&+ 2(\alpha'f + \beta'h \sin \alpha)X + [(\beta' \cos \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha - f_Z t - f_Y f_Z - h_Y h_Z]Y Z \\
&+ \frac{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha + \gamma'_1 - \gamma_1^2}{2} X^2 + [(\beta' \sin \alpha)' - \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha]X Z \\
&+ \left( \frac{\beta'^2}{2} \sin 2\alpha + \alpha'' \right) X Y - \frac{1}{2} \gamma'^2 \cosh^2(\gamma X) + \sinh^2(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \\
&+ \left( \frac{\beta'^2}{2} - h_Z t - f_Z^2 - h_Z^2 \right) Z^2 + \frac{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \cos \alpha - f_Y t - f_Y^2 - h_Y^2}{2} Y^2 \}; \quad (9.4.35)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
u &= -\alpha'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) - (f + \mu \alpha' \gamma \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cosh \gamma X) \sin \alpha \\
&- (\gamma_1 X + \varphi \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X) \cos \alpha, \quad (9.4.36)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
v &= (f \cos \alpha - \beta' Z) \cos \beta - (\alpha' \sin \alpha \cos \beta + \beta' \cos \alpha \sin \beta) Y \\
&- (\gamma_1 X + \varphi \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X) \sin \alpha \cos \beta - h \sin \beta \\
&+ (\alpha' \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \beta' \sin \alpha \sin \beta)(X + \gamma \mu \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cosh \gamma X), \quad (9.4.37)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
w &= (\beta' \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \alpha' \sin \alpha \sin \beta) Y + (f \cos \alpha - \beta' Z) \sin \beta \\
&- (\gamma_1 X + \varphi \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X) \sin \alpha \sin \beta + h \cos \beta \\
&+ (\alpha' \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \beta' \sin \alpha \cos \beta)(X + \gamma \mu \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cosh \gamma X) \quad (9.4.38)
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
p &= \rho \{ \gamma \mu \varphi^{-1}[(\gamma' - \gamma_1)X \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X - ((\varphi \alpha')' Y + (\varphi \beta')' \sin \alpha)' Z \\
&+ \varphi(\alpha'f + \beta'h \sin \alpha)) \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cos \gamma X] - \varphi^{-1}[(\gamma \mu)' - \gamma \mu \varphi' \varphi^{-1}] \\
&\times \cos(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \cosh \gamma X + 2 \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \sin(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \sin \gamma X \\
&+ 2(\alpha'f + \beta'h \sin \alpha)X + [(\beta' \cos \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha - f_Z t - f_Y f_Z - h_Y h_Z]Y Z \\
&+ \frac{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha + \gamma'_1 - \gamma_1^2}{2} X^2 + [(\beta' \sin \alpha)' - \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha]X Z \\
&+ \left( \frac{\beta'^2}{2} \sin 2\alpha + \alpha'' \right) X Y - \frac{1}{2} \gamma'^2 \cosh^2(\gamma X) + \sin^2(\alpha_1 Y + \beta_1 Z) \\
&+ \left( \frac{\beta'^2}{2} - h_Z t - f_Z^2 - h_Z^2 \right) Z^2 + \frac{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \cos \alpha - f_Y t - f_Y^2 - h_Y^2}{2} Y^2 \}; \quad (9.4.39)
\end{align*}

Let \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) be functions in \(t\) and let \(a, b, c\) be real numbers. Denote
\begin{align*}
\phi_0 &= e^{\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z} - ae^{-\gamma_1 Y - \gamma_2 Z}, \quad \phi_1 = \sin(\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z), \quad (9.4.40)
\end{align*}
\[ \psi_0 = e^{\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z} + ae^{-\gamma_1 Y - \gamma_2 Z}, \quad \psi_1 = \cos(\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z), \]  
\[ 9.4.1 \]
\[ \xi_0 = be^{\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z} - ce^{-\gamma_1 Y - \gamma_2 Z}, \quad \xi_1 = c\sin(\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z + b), \]  
\[ 9.4.2 \]
\[ \zeta_0 = be^{\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z} + ce^{-\gamma_1 Y - \gamma_2 Z}, \quad \zeta_1 = c\cos(\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z + b). \]  
\[ 9.4.3 \]

Suppose that \( \sigma, \tau \) are functions in \( t \) and \( f, k, h \) are functions in \( t, \mathcal{X}, Y, Z \) such that \( h \) and \( g \) are linear in \( \mathcal{X}, Y, Z \) and
\[ f_X + k_Y + h_Z = 0. \]  
\[ 9.4.4 \]

Motivated from the above solution, we consider the solution of the form:
\[ \mathcal{U} = -\alpha' Y - \beta' Z \sin \alpha + f - (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)(\tau \zeta, \mathcal{X} + \sigma \psi, \mathcal{X}^2), \]  
\[ 9.4.5 \]
\[ \mathcal{V} = \alpha' \mathcal{X} - \beta' Z \cos \alpha + k + \gamma_1 (\tau \xi, 2 \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}), \]  
\[ 9.4.6 \]
\[ \mathcal{W} = \beta'(\mathcal{X} \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) + h + \gamma_2 (\tau \xi, 2 \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}). \]  
\[ 9.4.7 \]

For convenience of computation, we denote
\[ \gamma = \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2, \quad f^* = f - f_x \mathcal{X} \quad \Delta_1 = \partial_2^2 + \partial_2^2. \]  
\[ 9.4.8 \]

Now (9.4.1) becomes
\[ R_1 = -\alpha'' Y - (\beta' \sin \alpha)' Z + f_t - (-1)^r \gamma (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)(\tau \zeta, \mathcal{X} + \sigma \psi, \mathcal{X}^2) \]
\[ + ((-1)^r \nu \gamma^2 \tau - (\gamma \tau') \zeta, \mathcal{X} + (f - \gamma (\tau \zeta, \mathcal{X} + \sigma \psi, \mathcal{X}^2))(f_X - \gamma (\tau \zeta, 2 \sigma \psi, \mathcal{X}))) \]
\[ + (k + \gamma_1 (\tau \xi, 2 \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}))(f_Y - 2 \alpha' - (-1)^r \gamma_1 (\tau \zeta, \mathcal{X} + \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}^2)) - \nu \Delta_1 (f) \]
\[ + (h + \gamma_2 (\tau \xi, 2 \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}))(f_Z - 2 \beta' \sin \alpha - (-1)^r \gamma_2 (\tau \xi, \mathcal{X} + \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}^2)) + 2 \nu \gamma \sigma \psi, \]
\[ - \alpha' (\alpha' \mathcal{X} - \beta' Z \cos \alpha - \beta' (\mathcal{X} \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) \sin \alpha + ((-1)^r \nu \gamma^2 \sigma - (\gamma \sigma)' \psi, \mathcal{X}^2) \]
\[ = - (\alpha'' + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \mathcal{X} - (\alpha'' + 2 \beta^2 \sin 2 \alpha) \mathcal{X} + (\alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha - (\beta' \sin \alpha)' Z \]
\[ + \gamma^2 (4 b_0 + c \delta_{1, r}) c \mathcal{X} + 3 \sigma \tau (2 \delta_0 (a b + c) + \delta_1 c \cos b) \mathcal{X}^2 + 2 \sigma (4 a \delta_0 + \delta_1) \mathcal{X}^3 \]
\[ - (-1)^r \gamma (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 Z + k \gamma_1 + h \gamma_2) (\tau \xi, \mathcal{X} + \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}^2) + f f_X + k (f_Y - 2 \alpha') \]
\[ + h (f_Z - 2 \beta' \sin \alpha) + ((-1)^r \nu \gamma^2 \sigma - (\gamma \sigma)' - 3 \gamma \sigma f_X) \psi, \mathcal{X}^2 + \nu (2 \gamma \sigma \psi, - \Delta_1 (f)) \]
\[ - \gamma \tau f^* \zeta_r - ((\gamma \tau)' + 2 \gamma \tau f_X - (-1)^r \nu \gamma^2 \tau) \zeta_r + 2 \gamma \sigma f^* \psi, \mathcal{X} + f_t \]
\[ + (\gamma_1 (f_Y - 2 \alpha') + \gamma_2 (f_Z - 2 \beta' \sin \alpha))(\tau \xi, 2 \sigma \phi, \mathcal{X}). \]  
\[ 9.4.9 \]

To solve (9.3.24), we assume
\[ \gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z + k \gamma_1 + h \gamma_2 = 0 \]  
\[ 9.4.50 \]

and
\[ (-1)^r \nu \gamma^2 \sigma - (\gamma \sigma)' - 3 \gamma \sigma f_X = 0, \]  
\[ 9.4.51 \]
Moreover, (9.4.2) and (9.4.3) become

\[ R_2 = \alpha'' X - (\beta' \cos \alpha') Z + ((\gamma_1 \tau)' - (1)' \nu \gamma_1 \tau) \xi_r + 2((\gamma_1 \tau)' - (1)' \nu \gamma_1 \sigma) \phi_r X \\
+ k_t + (\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z) \gamma_1 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \psi_r X) + (f - \gamma (\pi_r X + \sigma \psi_r X^2))(2 \alpha' + k_X + 2 \gamma_1 \sigma \phi_r) \\
+ (k + \gamma_1 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \phi_r X))(k_Y + \gamma_1^2 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \psi_r X)) - \beta'^2 (X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) \cos \alpha \\
- \alpha' (\alpha' Y + \beta' X \sin \alpha) + (h + \gamma_2 (\pi_r X + 2 \sigma \phi_r X)) (k_Z - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \psi_r X)) \\
= (\alpha'' - 2^{-1} \beta'^2 \sin 2 \alpha + f_X (2 \alpha' + k_X)) X + (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \cos^2 \alpha) Y + k_t + k_X Y \\
+ [\tau (\gamma_1 k_Y + \gamma_2 (k_Z - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha)) + (\gamma_1 \tau)' - (1)' \nu \gamma_1 \tau] \xi_r - ((\beta' \cos \alpha)' + \alpha' \sin \alpha) Z \\
+ \gamma \sigma (2 \sigma \gamma_1 \phi_r - 2 \alpha' - k_X) \psi_r X^2 + f^*(2 \alpha' + k_X + 2 \gamma_1 \sigma \phi_r) + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \xi_r Z \\
+ h (k_Z - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha) + \{2 \gamma_1 \sigma \tau \psi_r + 2[(\gamma_1 \tau)' - (1)' \nu \gamma] \\
+ \gamma_2 \sigma (k_Z - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha)] \phi_r - \gamma \tau (2 \alpha' + k_X) \xi_r \} X, \tag{9.4.52} \]

\[ R_3 = (\beta' \sin \alpha') X + (\beta' \cos \alpha') Y + (\gamma_2 \tau)' \xi_r + 2(\gamma_2 \sigma) \phi_r Z - (1)' \nu \gamma_2 \gamma (\tau, X + 2 \sigma, \phi_r) X \\
+ (\gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z) \gamma_2 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma, \psi_r X) + (f - \gamma (\pi_r X + \sigma \psi_r X^2))(2 \beta' \sin \alpha + h_X + 2 \gamma_2 \sigma \phi_r) \\
+ (k + \gamma_1 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \phi_r X))(2 \beta' \cos \alpha + h_Y + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \psi_r X)) - \beta'^2 Z + h_t \\
+ \alpha' \beta' (X \sin \alpha - Y \sin \alpha) + (h + \gamma_2 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \phi_r X))(h_Z + \gamma_2^2 (\pi_r + 2 \sigma \psi_r X)) \\
= [(\beta' \sin \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha + f_X (2 \beta' \sin \alpha + h_X)] X + [(\beta' \cos \alpha)' - \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha] Y \\
+ [(\gamma_2 \tau)' + (\gamma_1 (2 \beta' \cos \alpha + h_Y) + \gamma_2 h_Z - (1)' \nu \gamma_2 \tau) \xi_r + \{2 \gamma_2 \tau \sigma \xi_r \psi_r - 2[(\gamma_2 \tau)' - (1)' \nu \gamma] \phi_r - \gamma \tau (2 \beta' \sin \alpha + h_X) \xi_r \} X \\
+ f^*(2 \beta' \sin \alpha + h_X + 2 \gamma_2 \sigma \phi_r) + k (2 \beta' \cos \alpha + h_Y) + h_t + h h_Z + \gamma_2 \tau^2 \xi_r \xi_r \\
+ \gamma \sigma (2 \gamma_2 \sigma \phi_r - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha - h_X) \psi_r X^2 - \beta'^2 Z \tag{9.4.53} \]

by (9.4.50).

Thanks to the coefficients of \(X^2\) in \(\partial Z (R_2) = \partial Y (R_3)\), we have:

\[ \gamma_2 (2 \alpha' + k_X) = \gamma_1 (2 \beta' \sin \alpha + h_X). \tag{9.4.54} \]

According to (9.4.50),

\[ k_X \gamma_1 + h_X \gamma_2 = 0, \quad \gamma_1' + \gamma_1 k_Y + \gamma_2 h_Y = 0, \quad \gamma_2' + \gamma_1 k_Z + \gamma_2 h_Z = 0. \tag{9.4.55} \]

Solving (9.4.54) and the first equation in (9.4.55), we obtain

\[ k_X = 2 \gamma^{-1} \gamma_2 (\beta' \gamma_1 \sin \alpha - \alpha' \gamma_2), \quad h_X = -2 \gamma^{-1} \gamma_1 (\beta' \gamma_1 \sin \alpha - \alpha' \gamma_2). \tag{9.4.56} \]

Moreover, the coefficients of \(X\) in \(\partial Z (R_2) = \partial Y (R_3)\) give

\[ \gamma_1' \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2' + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 (k_Y - h_Z) + \gamma_2^2 k_Z - \gamma_1^2 h_Y - 2 \gamma \beta' \cos \alpha = 0 \tag{9.4.57} \]
by (9.4.50). According to (9.4.55), the above equation can be rewritten as

\[ k_z - h_y = 2\beta' \cos \alpha. \quad (9.4.58) \]

Furthermore, (9.4.54) and the coefficients of \( X^0 \) in \( \partial_2(X_2) = \partial_y(R) \) show that \( f \) is a function of \( t \) and \( \gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z \) by the method of characteristics in Section 4.1. According to the coefficients of \( X \) in \( \partial_3(\gamma_1 R) = \partial_z(\gamma_2 R) \) and \( \partial_z(\gamma_1 R) = \partial_x(\gamma_3 R) \), we take

\[ f^* = \varphi \theta_r + \sigma \tilde{\omega} \phi_r + \alpha_1, \quad (9.4.59) \]

where \( \varphi \) and \( \alpha_1 \) are functions in \( t \), and

\[ \tilde{\omega} = \gamma_1 Y + \gamma_2 Z, \quad \vartheta_0 = b_1 e^{\tilde{\omega}} - c_1 e^{-\tilde{\omega}}, \quad \vartheta_1 = c_1 \sin(\tilde{\omega} + b_1) \quad (9.4.60) \]

for \( b_1, c_1 \in \mathbb{R} \).

Note

\[ 2\sigma(\gamma_1 f_{\gamma Y} + \gamma_2 f_{\gamma Z}) \phi_r = 2\gamma \sigma f^*_x \phi_r. \quad (9.4.61) \]

Denote

\[ \hat{\vartheta}_0 = b_1 e^{\tilde{\omega}} + c_1 e^{-\tilde{\omega}}, \quad \hat{\vartheta}_1 = c_1 \cos(\tilde{\omega} + b_1). \quad (9.4.62) \]

Then

\[ f^*_x = \varphi \hat{\vartheta}_r + \sigma(\phi_r + \tilde{\omega} \psi_r), \quad f^*_x = (-1)^{\tau}(\varphi \vartheta_r + \sigma \tilde{\omega} \psi_r) + 2\sigma \psi_r. \quad (9.4.63) \]

Moreover,

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_2(2\gamma \sigma f^*_x \phi_r - 2\gamma \sigma f^*_x \psi_r) & \\
& = 2\gamma \gamma_1 \sigma[f_x^* \phi_r + f_x^* \psi_r - (f_x^* \psi_r + (-1)^{\tau}f^*_x \phi_r)] \\
& = 2\gamma \gamma_1 \sigma[(-1)^{\tau}(\varphi \vartheta_r + \sigma \tilde{\omega} \phi_r) + 2\sigma \psi_r) \phi_r - (-1)^{\tau}(\varphi \vartheta_r + \sigma \tilde{\omega} \phi_r + \alpha_1) \phi_r] \\
& = 4\gamma \gamma_1 \sigma^2 \phi_r \psi_r - (-1)^{\tau}2\alpha_1 \gamma \gamma_1 \sigma \phi_r. \quad (9.4.64)
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly,

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_z(2\gamma \sigma f^*_x \phi_r - 2\gamma \sigma f^*_x \psi_r) & = 4\gamma \gamma_2 \sigma^2 \phi_r \psi_r - (-1)^{\tau}2\alpha_1 \gamma \gamma_2 \sigma \phi_r. \quad (9.4.65)
\end{align*}
\]

Now the coefficients of \( X \) in \( \partial_2(R_1) = \partial_X(R_2) \) give

\[
\begin{align*}
-(1)^{\tau}\gamma_1[(((\gamma \tau)' + 2\gamma \tau f_x - (-1)^{\tau} \nu \gamma^2 \tau) \xi_r + 2\alpha_1 \gamma \sigma \phi_r] \\
-4\gamma_1 \sigma(\gamma_1 \alpha' + \gamma_2 \beta' \sin \alpha) \psi_r = -2\gamma \sigma(2\alpha' + k_X) \psi_r
\end{align*}
\]

(9.4.66)

by (9.4.49), (9.4.52) and (9.4.64). According to (9.4.49), (9.4.53) and (9.4.65), the coefficients of \( X \) in \( \partial_3(R_1) = \partial_X(R_3) \) imply

\[
\begin{align*}
-(1)^{\tau}\gamma_2[(((\gamma \tau)' + 2\gamma \tau f_x - (-1)^{\tau} \nu \gamma^2 \tau) \xi_r + 2\alpha_1 \gamma \sigma \phi_r] \\
-4\gamma_2 \sigma(\gamma_1 \alpha' + \gamma_2 \beta' \sin \alpha) \psi_r = -2\gamma \sigma(2\beta' \sin \alpha + h_X) \psi_r.
\end{align*}
\]

(9.4.67)
Observe that (9.4.56) yields
\[ \gamma(2\alpha' + k_X) = 2\alpha'\gamma + 2\gamma_2(\beta'\gamma_1 \sin \alpha - \alpha' \gamma_2) = 2\gamma_1(\gamma_1 \alpha' + \gamma_2 \beta' \sin \alpha), \] (9.4.68)
\[ \gamma(2\beta' \sin \alpha + h_X) = 2\beta' \gamma \sin \alpha - 2\gamma_1(\beta' \gamma_1 \sin \alpha - \alpha' \gamma_2) = 2\gamma_2(\gamma_2 \beta' \sin \alpha + \gamma_1 \alpha'). \] (9.4.69)
Thus (9.4.66) and (9.4.67) are implied by
\[ ((\gamma \tau)' + 2\gamma \tau f_X - (-1)^r \gamma^2 \tau) \xi_r + 2\alpha_1 \gamma \sigma \phi_r = 0 \] (9.4.70)

As (9.4.64) and (9.4.65), Expressions (9.4.40)-(9.4.43) and (9.4.59)-(9.4.62) give
\[ \gamma \tau \partial_y (f_{\omega}^* \xi_r - f^* \zeta_r) = \gamma \gamma_1 \tau (2\sigma \xi_r \psi_r - (-1)^r \alpha_1 \xi_r + \zeta_r \sigma), \] (9.4.71)
\[ \gamma \tau \partial_z (f_{\omega}^* \xi_r - f^* \zeta_r) = \gamma \gamma_2 \tau (2\sigma \xi_r \psi_r - (-1)^r \alpha_1 \xi_r + \zeta_r \sigma), \] (9.4.72)
where
\[ \tilde{c}_0 = \xi_0 \psi_0 - \zeta_0 \phi_0 = 2(ab - c), \quad \tilde{c}_1 = \xi_1 \psi_1 - \zeta \phi_1 = c \sin b. \] (9.4.73)
Moreover,
\[ kf_y + hf_z = (\gamma_1 k + \gamma_2 h) f_{\omega}^* = -(\gamma^* \mathcal{Y} + \gamma^* \mathcal{Z}) f_{\omega}^* = -\partial_t (\tilde{\omega}) f_{\omega}^* \] (9.4.74)
by (6.4.55). On the other hand,
\[ \partial_t (f^*) = f_t^* + \partial_t (\tilde{\omega}) f_{\omega}^*. \] (9.4.75)
Thus the coefficients of \( X_0 \) in \( \partial_y(R_1) = \partial_x(R_2) \) give
\[
[(f_X - (-1)^r \gamma \nu) \varphi + \varphi') \partial_r + ((f_X - (-1)^r \nu \gamma) \sigma + \sigma') \tilde{\omega} \phi_r - \alpha_1 \gamma \tau \zeta_r]_Y
= 2\alpha'' - (2\alpha' + k_X) h_Z + k_X \nu + (h_X + 2\beta' \sin \alpha) h_Y - \tilde{c}_r \gamma_1 \gamma \sigma \tau \\
+ 2[(\gamma_1 \sigma)' - \gamma_1 \sigma (h_Z + (-1)^r \nu \gamma) + \gamma_2 \sigma h_Y] \phi_r
\] (9.4.76)
and the coefficients of \( X_0 \) in \( \partial_X(R_1) = \partial_X(R_3) \) yield
\[
[(f_X - (-1)^r \gamma \nu) \varphi + \varphi') \partial_r + ((f_X - (-1)^r \nu \gamma) \sigma + \sigma') \tilde{\omega} \phi_r - \alpha_1 \gamma \tau \zeta_r]_Z
= 2(\beta' \sin \alpha)' + h_X \nu - (h_X + 2\beta' \sin \alpha) k_Y + (k_X + 2\alpha') k_Z - \tilde{c}_r \gamma_2 \gamma \sigma \tau \\
+ 2[(\gamma_2 \sigma)' - \gamma_2 \sigma (k_Y + (-1)^r \nu \gamma) + \gamma_1 \sigma k_Z] \phi_r
\] (9.4.77)
by (9.4.44), (9.4.49), (9.4.52), (9.4.53), (9.4.58), (9.4.68), (9.4.69) and (9.4.71)-(9.4.74). Thus we have:
\[ 2\alpha'' - (2\alpha' + k_X) h_Z + k_X \nu + (h_X + 2\beta' \sin \alpha) h_Y - \tilde{c}_r \gamma_1 \gamma \sigma \tau = 0 \] (9.4.78)
and

\[ 2(\beta' \sin \alpha)' + h_X - (h_X + 2\beta' \sin \alpha)k_Y + (k_X + 2\alpha')k_Z - \dot{\gamma}_2 \gamma_2' \sigma \tau = 0. \]  

(9.4.79)

For simplicity, we only consider two special cases as follows.

Case 1. \( \vartheta = \zeta, \sigma = 0, \gamma_1 = \alpha' \mu \) and \( \gamma_2 = \beta' \mu \sin \alpha \), where \( \mu \) is a function in \( t \).

In this case,

\[ k_X = h_X = 0 \]  

(9.4.80)

by (9.4.56). Moreover, (9.4.78) and (9.4.79) becomes

\[ \alpha' h_Z - \beta' \sin \alpha h_Y = \alpha'', \quad \alpha' k_Z - \beta' \sin \alpha k_Y = - (\beta' \sin \alpha)' . \]  

(9.4.81)

Furthermore, (9.4.55) becomes

\[ \alpha' k_Y + \beta' \sin \alpha h_Y = -\alpha'' - \alpha' \frac{\mu'}{\mu} . \]  

(9.4.82)

\[ \alpha' k_Z + \beta' \sin \alpha h_Z = - (\beta' \sin \alpha)' - \beta' \frac{\mu'}{\mu} \sin \alpha . \]  

(9.4.83)

Adding (9.4.82) to the first equation in (9.4.81), we get

\[ \alpha'(k_Y + h_Z) = -\alpha' \frac{\mu'}{\mu} \sim \alpha' f_X = \alpha' \frac{\mu'}{\mu} \implies f_X = \frac{\mu'}{\mu} \]  

(9.4.84)

by (9.4.44). Note

\[ h_Z = -f_X - h_Y = -\frac{\mu'}{\mu} - k_Y . \]  

(9.4.85)

Substituting (9.4.85) into the first equation (9.4.81), we have

\[ h_Y = -\frac{\mu(\alpha' k_Y + \alpha'')}{\beta' \mu \sin \alpha} . \]  

(9.4.86)

In addition, the second equation in (9.4.81) yields

\[ k_Z = \frac{\beta' \sin \alpha k_Y - (\beta' \sin \alpha)'}{\alpha'} . \]  

(9.4.87)

Note that (9.4.85)-(9.4.87) satisfy (9.4.82) and (9.4.83).

According to (9.4.58),

\[ \frac{\beta' \sin \alpha k_Y - (\beta' \sin \alpha)'}{\alpha'} + \frac{\mu(\alpha' k_Y + \alpha'')}{\beta' \mu \sin \alpha} + \alpha' \frac{\mu'}{\mu} = 2\beta' \cos \alpha . \]  

(9.4.88)

Thus

\[ \mu(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)k_Y - \mu(\beta' \sin \alpha)' \beta' \sin \alpha + \mu \alpha' \alpha'' + \alpha' \frac{\mu'}{\mu} = \alpha' \beta'^2 \mu \sin 2\alpha . \]  

(9.4.89)
\[ k_Y = \frac{\mu [\alpha' (\beta'^2 \sin 2\alpha - \alpha'') + (\beta' \sin \alpha) \beta' \sin \alpha] - \alpha'^2 \mu'}{\mu (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)}. \]  

(9.4.90)

By (9.4.87),
\[ k_Z = \frac{[\mu (\beta'^2 \sin 2\alpha - \alpha'') - \alpha' \mu'] \beta' \sin \alpha - \mu \alpha' (\beta' \sin \alpha)'}{\mu (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)}. \]  

(9.4.91)

Moreover,
\[ h_Y = k_Z - 2 \beta' \cos \alpha = -\frac{\beta' [\mu \alpha'' + \alpha' \mu'] \sin \alpha + 2 \mu \alpha'^2 \cos \alpha + \mu \alpha'(\beta' \sin \alpha)'}{\mu (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)}. \]  

(9.4.92)

In addition, (9.4.85) gives
\[ h_Z = -\frac{\alpha' (\beta'^2 \sin 2\alpha - \alpha'') + (\beta' \sin \alpha) \beta' \sin \alpha + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha}{\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha}. \]  

(9.4.93)

In particular, \( k = k_Y Y + k_Z Z \) and \( h = h_Y Y + h_Z Z \) are determined by (9.4.90)-(9.4.93).

Now (9.4.70) is equivalent to
\[ (\gamma \tau)' + 2 \gamma \tau f_X - (-1)^r \nu \gamma^2 \tau = 0. \]  

(9.4.94)

According to (9.4.84), the above equation can be written as
\[ (\gamma \tau)' + \frac{2 \mu'^r (\gamma \tau)}{\mu} - (-1)^r \nu \gamma (\gamma \tau) = 0. \]  

(9.4.95)

So
\[ \gamma \tau = \frac{1}{\mu^2} \exp((-1)^r \nu \int \gamma dt) = \frac{1}{\mu^2} \exp((-1)^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]. \]  

(9.4.96)

Hence
\[ \tau = \frac{\exp((-1)^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]}{\mu^4 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)}. \]  

(9.4.97)

Note that (9.4.76) and (9.4.77) are implied by
\[ (f_X - (-1)^r \nu \gamma \nu \phi + \phi' - \alpha_1 \gamma \nu = 0 \]  

(9.4.98)

\[ \Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \frac{[\mu \mu' - (-1)^r \mu^4 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)] \phi + \mu^2 \phi'}{\exp((-1)^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]} . \]  

(9.4.99)

It can be verified that the equation for the coefficients of \( \mathcal{X}^0 \) in \( \partial_Z (R_2) = \partial_Y (R_3) \) is implied by (9.4.55), (9.4.58) and the assumption that \( \sigma = 0, \gamma_1 = \alpha' \mu \) and \( \gamma_2 = \beta' \mu \sin \alpha \).

According to (9.4.45)-(9.4.47), (9.4.59), (9.4.90)-(9.4.93), (9.4.97) and (9.4.99), we have
\[ U = \frac{\mu'}{\mu} X - \alpha' Y - \beta' Z \sin \alpha + [\phi - \mu^{-2} \exp((-1)^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt)] \zeta_r \]  

\[ + \frac{[\mu \mu' - (-1)^r \mu^4 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)] \phi + \mu^2 \phi'}{\exp((-1)^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]}, \]  

(9.4.100)
\[ V = \alpha' X - \beta' Z \cos \alpha + k + \frac{\alpha' \xi_r \exp\left(-1^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt\right)}{\mu^3 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)}, \quad (9.4.101) \]

\[ W = \beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) + h + \frac{\beta \xi_r \sin \alpha \exp\left(-1^r \nu \int \mu^2 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt\right)}{\mu^3 (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)}. \quad (9.4.102) \]

Observe that \( f^* = \varphi \xi_r + \alpha_1 \) and so

\[ f^*_\xi_r - f^*_r = \varphi((-1)^r \xi^2 - \xi^2_\alpha) - \alpha_1 \xi_r = -(4b \delta_{0,r} + c \delta_{1,r})c \varphi - \alpha_1 \xi_r. \quad (9.4.103) \]

Hence

\[ R_1 = (\mu' / \mu - \alpha'^2 - \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)X + (\alpha'' + 2 \alpha' \mu' / \mu - 2^{-1} \beta'^2 \sin 2 \alpha)Y \]

\[ + (\alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha + (\beta' \sin \alpha)^{'} + 2 \beta' \mu' \sin \alpha / \mu)Z \]

\[ + \gamma \tau (4b \delta_{0,r} + c \delta_{1,r})c(\gamma \tau X - \varphi) - 2 \gamma \tau \xi_r / \mu \]

by (9.4.49), (9.4.55), (9.4.74), (9.4.75) and (9.4.103). Moreover, (9.4.52), (9.4.53), (9.4.55) and (9.4.58) yield

\[ R_2 = (\alpha'' - 2^{-1} \beta'^2 \sin 2 \alpha + 2 \alpha' \mu' / \mu)X + (k_{yt} - \alpha'^2 - \beta'^2 \cos^2 \alpha)Y \]

\[ + \gamma_1 (\tau' - (-1)^r \nu \gamma \tau) \xi_r + ((k_{z} - \beta' \cos \alpha)^{'} - \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha)Z \]

\[ + 2 \alpha' f^* + \gamma_1 \tau^2 \xi_r \xi_r - 2 \alpha' \gamma \tau \xi_r X + kk_{Y} + hh_{Y}, \]

\[ R_3 = [(\beta' \sin \alpha)^{'} + \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha + 2 \beta' \mu' \sin \alpha / \mu]X + [(h_{Y} + \beta' \cos \alpha)^{'} - \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha]Y \]

\[ + \gamma_2 (\tau' - (-1)^r \nu \gamma \tau) \xi_r - 2 \beta' \gamma \tau \sin \alpha \xi_r + (h_{Zt} - \beta'^2) Z \]

\[ + 2 \beta' \sin \alpha f^* + kk_{Z} + hh_{Z} + \gamma \gamma_2 \tau^2 \xi_r \xi_r. \]

By (9.3.3), (9.3.5), (9.3.22), (9.4.100)-(9.4.102) and (9.4.104)-(9.4.106), we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.4.2.** Let \( \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \mu \) be arbitrary functions in \( t \) such that \( \mu (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) \neq 0 \), and let \( b, c \) be arbitrary real constants. Define the moving frame \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) by (9.3.1) and (9.3.5), and

\[ \xi_0 = b e^\mu (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha) - c e^{-\mu (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha)}, \quad \xi_1 = c \sin [\mu (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha)] + b], \quad (9.4.107) \]

\[ \zeta_0 = b e^\mu (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha) + c e^{-\mu (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha)}, \quad \zeta_1 = c \cos [\mu (\alpha' Y + \beta' Z \sin \alpha)] + b]. \quad (9.4.108) \]

Moreover, \( k = k_{Y} Y + k_{Z} Z \) and \( h = h_{Y} Y + h_{Z} Z \) are defined by (9.4.90)-(9.4.93). For
\[ r = 0, 1, \text{ we have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations } (9.1.1) - (9.1.4): \]

\[ u = \left( \frac{\mu'}{\mu} X - \alpha' Y + [\varphi - \mu^{-2} \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt)] \zeta_r \right) \cos \alpha \]

\[ - \left[ \alpha' X + k + \frac{\alpha' \xi_r \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]}{\mu^3(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)} \right] \sin \alpha \]

\[ + \frac{[\mu \mu' - (-1)^{r}\mu^4(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)]] \varphi + \mu^2 \varphi'}{\exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]} \cos \alpha, \quad (9.4.109) \]

\[ v = \left( \frac{\mu'}{\mu} X - \alpha' Y + [\varphi - \mu^{-2} \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt)] \zeta_r \right) \sin \alpha \cos \beta \]

\[ + [(\alpha' X + k \cos \alpha - \beta' Z) \sin \beta - [\beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha)] \sin \beta \]

\[ + \frac{(\alpha' \cos \alpha \cos \beta - \beta' \sin \alpha \sin \beta) \xi_r \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]}{\mu^3(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)} \]

\[ + \frac{[\mu \mu' - (-1)^{r}\mu^4(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)]] \varphi + \mu^2 \varphi'}{\exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]} \sin \alpha \cos \beta, \quad (9.4.110) \]

\[ w = \left( \frac{\mu'}{\mu} X - \alpha' Y + [\varphi - \mu^{-2} \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt)] \zeta_r \right) \sin \alpha \sin \beta \]

\[ + [(\alpha' X + k \cos \alpha - \beta' Z) \sin \beta + [\beta'(X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha)] \cos \beta \]

\[ + \frac{(\alpha' \cos \alpha \sin \beta + \beta' \sin \alpha \cos \beta) \xi_r \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]}{\mu^3(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)} \]

\[ + \frac{[\mu \mu' - (-1)^{r}\mu^4(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)]] \varphi + \mu^2 \varphi'}{\exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]} \sin \alpha \sin \beta, \quad (9.4.111) \]

\[ p = \rho \left((\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha - \mu' \mu) X^2 / 2 + (2^{-1} \beta'^2 \sin 2 \alpha - \alpha'' - 2 \alpha' \mu' / \mu) X Y \right) \]

\[ -(\alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha + (\beta' \sin \alpha)' + 2 \beta' \mu \sin \alpha / \mu) X Z - 2 \gamma \tau \xi_r \} \right) \]

\[ + (\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \cos^2 \alpha - k_y t) Y^2 + (\beta'^2 - h_z t) Z^2 - k^2 - h^2 \right] \]

\[ + (4 b \delta_{0, r} + c \delta_{1, r}) \mu^{-2} \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt] \]

\[ \times (\varphi - 2^{-1} \mu^{-2} \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt] X) \]

\[ + (\alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha - (k_z - \beta' \cos \alpha)' \} Y Z - \xi_r \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt] \]

\[ - 2 \mu^{-1} \varphi \xi_r - \frac{2(\alpha Y + \beta' \sin \alpha Z)[\mu \mu' - (-1)^{r}\mu^4(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)] \varphi + \mu^2 \varphi'}{\exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]} \]

\[ - (1)^{r} \frac{\zeta_r [\mu^4(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)] \exp((-1)^{r}\nu \int \mu^2(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha) dt]}{\mu^4(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha)} \]. \quad (9.4.112)
Case 2. $\gamma_2 = \alpha_1 = \tau = 0$ and $\gamma_1 \neq 0$.

Under the assumption, (9.4.70) naturally holds. According to (9.4.55) and (9.4.58),

$$k_y = -\frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1}, \quad k_x = k_z = 0, \quad h_y = -2\beta' \cos \alpha. \quad (9.4.113)$$

Note $\gamma = \gamma_1^2$. Moreover, (9.4.56) says

$$h_x = -2\beta' \sin \alpha. \quad (9.4.114)$$

Furthermore, (9.4.78) becomes

$$2\alpha'' - 2\alpha' h_z = 0 \implies h_z = \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} \quad (9.4.115)$$

and (9.4.79) is satisfied naturally. Equation (9.4.44) yields

$$f_x = \frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} \quad (9.4.116)$$

Now (9.4.76) and (9.4.77) are equivalent to

$$\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} = \frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} = (-1)^r \gamma \nu - f_x = (-1)^r \nu \gamma_1^2 - \frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'}, \quad (9.4.117)$$

$$(\frac{\gamma_1 \sigma}{\gamma_1})' = h_z + (-1)^r \nu \gamma = (-1)^r \nu \gamma_1^2 + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'}. \quad (9.4.118)$$

According to (9.4.117),

$$\varphi = b_2 \alpha' \gamma_1^{-1} e^{(-1)^r \nu \int \gamma_1^2 dt}, \quad \sigma = b_3 \alpha' \gamma_1^{-1} e^{(-1)^r \nu \int \gamma_1^2 dt} \quad (9.4.119)$$

with $b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, (9.4.118) is satisfied by the above $\sigma$.

Next

$$\gamma \sigma = b_2 \alpha' \gamma_1 e^{(-1)^r \nu \int \gamma_1^2 dt} \implies \frac{(\gamma \sigma)'}{(\gamma \sigma)} = (-1)^r \nu \gamma - 3 f_x = (-1)^r \nu \gamma_1^2 - \frac{3\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} + \frac{3\alpha''}{\alpha'}, \quad (9.4.120)$$

On the other hand, (9.4.51) implies

$$\frac{(\gamma \sigma)'}{(\gamma \sigma)} = (-1)^r \nu \gamma - 3 f_x = (-1)^r \nu \gamma_1^2 - \frac{3\gamma_1'}{\gamma_1} + \frac{3\alpha''}{\alpha'}. \quad (9.4.121)$$

So

$$\frac{\alpha''}{\alpha'} = 2 \frac{\gamma_1'}{\gamma} \implies \gamma_1 = c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}, \quad 0 \neq c_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (9.4.122)$$

Thus

$$\varphi = b_2 c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'} e^{(-1)^r c_2^2 \nu \alpha}, \quad \sigma = b_3 c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'} e^{(-1)^r c_2^2 \nu \alpha}, \quad f_x = k_y = -\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}. \quad (9.4.123)$$
Observe
\[ \gamma_1 f_y \phi_r - \gamma f^* \psi_r = \gamma \left[ (\varphi \partial_r + \sigma \psi_r) \phi_r - (\varphi \partial_r + \sigma \psi_r) \psi_r \right] = \gamma [\varphi(\partial_r \phi_r - \psi_r) + \sigma \phi_r \xi_r] = [2(c - ab_1)\delta_{r,0} - c_1 \sin b_1 \delta_{r,1}] \gamma \varphi + \gamma \sigma \phi_r^2 \] (9.4.124)

by (9.4.60) and (9.4.62). According to (9.4.49), (9.4.52), (9.4.53), (9.4.113) and (9.4.114), we have
\begin{align*}
R_1 &= [f_{xt} - \alpha'' - \beta'' \sin^2 \alpha + 2 \gamma \varphi \sigma [2(c - ab_1) \delta_{r,0} - c_1 \sin b_1 \delta_{r,1}]] X \\
&\quad - (\alpha'' + 2^{-1} \beta'' \sin 2\alpha) Y + (\alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha - (\beta' \sin \alpha)') Z + 2 \gamma \sigma^2 (4 \alpha \delta_{0,r} + \delta_{1,r}) X^3 \\
&\quad - 2 \alpha' k - 2 \beta' h \sin \alpha + 2 \sigma (\gamma \sigma \phi_r - 2 \gamma \alpha' \phi_r) \phi_r X,
\end{align*}
(9.4.125)
\begin{align*}
R_2 &= (\alpha'' - 2^{-1} \beta'' \sin 2 \alpha + 2 \alpha' f_{xt}) X - (\alpha'' + \beta'' \cos^2 \alpha) Y + k + k Y \\
&\quad - ((\beta' \cos \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha) Z - 2 \beta' h \cos \alpha \\
&\quad + \gamma \sigma (2 \gamma_1 \phi_r - 2 \alpha') \psi_r X^2 + f^* (2 \alpha' + \gamma_1 \sigma \phi_r),
\end{align*}
(9.4.126)
\begin{align*}
R_3 &= [(\beta' \sin \alpha)' + \alpha' \beta' \cos \alpha] X + [(\beta' \cos \alpha)' - \alpha' \beta' \sin \alpha] Y + h_t + hh Z - \beta^2 Z.
\end{align*}
(9.4.127)

In particular, (9.3.24) holds by (9.4.113), (9.4.114) and (9.4.123).

Expressions (9.4.45)-(9.4.47) become
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{U} &= -\frac{\alpha''}{2 \alpha'} X - \alpha' Y - \beta' Z \sin \alpha + [b_2 c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'} \partial_r + b_3 \alpha' (\mathcal{Y} \phi_r - c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \psi_r X^2)] e^{(-1)^r \epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}} \nu \alpha}. \tag{9.4.128}
\end{align*}
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V} &= \alpha' X - \frac{\alpha''}{2 \alpha'} Y - \beta' Z \cos \alpha + 2 b_3 c_2^{-1} \alpha^2 e^{(-1)^r \epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}} \nu \alpha} \phi_r \mathcal{X}, \tag{9.4.129}
\end{align*}
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{W} &= -\beta' (X \sin \alpha + Y \cos \alpha) + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha} Z. \tag{9.4.130}
\end{align*}

By (9.3.3), (9.3.5), (9.3.22), (9.4.113), (9.4.114), (9.4.123) and (9.4.125)-(9.4.130), we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.4.3.** Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be arbitrary functions in \( t \) and let \( a, b_1, b_2, c_2 \) be real constants. Define the moving frame \( \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mathcal{Z} \) by (9.3.1) and (9.3.5), and
\begin{align*}
\phi_0 &= e^{c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}} \partial_r - a e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}} \partial_r, \quad \phi_1 = \sin(c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \mathcal{Y}), \quad \psi_0 = e^{c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}} + a e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}} \partial_r, \tag{9.4.131}
\phi_1 &= \cos(c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \mathcal{Y}), \quad \theta_0 = b_1 e^{c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}} - c_1 e^{-c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'}} \partial_r, \quad \vartheta_1 = c_1 \sin(c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \mathcal{Y} + b_1). \tag{9.4.132}
\end{align*}
For \( r = 0, 1 \), we have the following solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (9.1.1)-(9.1.4):
\begin{align*}
u &= \frac{-\alpha'' X (2 \alpha') - \alpha' Y + [b_2 c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'} \partial_r + b_3 \alpha' (\mathcal{Y} \phi_r - c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \psi_r X^2)] e^{(-1)^r \epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}} \nu \alpha} \cos \alpha}{-\alpha' X - \alpha' Y (2 \alpha') + 2 b_3 c_2^{-1} \alpha^2 e^{(-1)^r \epsilon^{\frac{2}{3}} \nu \alpha} \phi_r \mathcal{X}} \sin \alpha,
\end{align*}
(9.4.133)
\[ v = [-\alpha'' \mathcal{X}/(2\alpha') - \alpha' \mathcal{Y} + [b_2 c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'} \psi_r + b_3 \alpha' (\mathcal{Y} \psi_r - c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \psi_r \mathcal{X}^2)] e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \cos \beta \]
\[ + [\alpha' \mathcal{X} - \alpha'' \mathcal{Y}/(2\alpha') + 2b_3 c_2^2 \alpha' \nu \cos \beta \cos \beta] \cos \beta \]
\[ + [\beta' (\mathcal{X} \sin \alpha + \mathcal{Y} \cos \alpha) - \alpha'' Z/\alpha'] \sin \beta, \quad (9.4.134) \]

\[ w = [-\alpha'' \mathcal{X}/(2\alpha') - \alpha' \mathcal{Y} + [b_2 c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'} \psi_r + b_3 \alpha' (\mathcal{Y} \psi_r - c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \psi_r \mathcal{X}^2)] e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \sin \beta \]
\[ + [\alpha' \mathcal{X} - \alpha'' \mathcal{Y}/(2\alpha') + 2b_3 c_2^2 \alpha' \nu \sin \beta \sin \beta] \cos \beta \]
\[ - [\beta' (\mathcal{X} \sin \alpha + \mathcal{Y} \cos \alpha) - \alpha'' Z/\alpha'] \cos \beta, \quad (9.4.135) \]

\[ p = \frac{\rho}{2} \left( \frac{\alpha'' \alpha''' - \alpha''^2}{2 \alpha'^2} + \alpha'^2 - 3 \beta'^2 \sin^2 \alpha - 2b_2 b_3 [2(c - ab_2) \delta_{r,0} - c_1 \sin b_1 \delta_{r,1}] \right) \]
\[ \times \alpha'^2 e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \mathcal{X}^2 - 3 \beta'^2 \mathcal{Y} \sin 2\alpha - (b_3 c_2)^2 (4a \delta_{r,0} + \delta_{r,1}) \alpha'^2 e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \mathcal{X}^4 \]
\[ + 2 \left( \frac{\alpha' \beta'' + 2 \alpha'' \beta'}{\alpha'} \right) \sin \alpha \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Z} + 2b_3 c_2^{-1} \alpha'^2 e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \phi_r \mathcal{X}^2 \]
\[ - 4c_2^{-1} \sqrt{\alpha'^3} e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \int (b_2 \psi_r + b_3 c_2 \sqrt{\alpha'} \mathcal{Y} \phi_r)(1 + b_3 e^{(-1)^r \sqrt{2} \nu} \phi_r) d\mathcal{Y} \]
\[ + 2 \left( \frac{\beta'' - 2 \alpha'' \beta'}{\alpha'} \right) \cos \alpha \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Z} + \left( \frac{\alpha'^2 \beta'^2 - \alpha' \alpha'' + \alpha''^2}{\alpha'^2} \right) \mathcal{Z}^2 \]
\[ + \left( \frac{2 \alpha' \alpha'' - 3 \alpha'^2}{4 \alpha'^2} + \alpha'^2 - 3 \beta'^2 \cos^2 \alpha \right) \mathcal{Y}^2 \right). \quad (9.4.136) \]
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