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This paper outlines the characteristics of scientific leadership and the role of Scientific Associations with their specific activities. The recent activities of the Lombard Academy of Public Health are subsequently described, including the creation, in 2019, of the Academy of young leaders in public health. Comparing to other sectors, scientific leadership dynamics take into consideration different aspects. Besides awards (Nobel Prize or several other) and prestigious affiliations, eventual indicators might be academic roles, fundraising abilities, relevant positions among scientific associations, editors of prestigious journals or editorial series and, more recently, high bibliometric indicators. The peculiar topics of public health encompass interactions with institutions, authorities, politicians, involved in different levels in health policies. Recently, in Italy, the Ministry of Health has identified parameters to be accredited as a scientific and technical association. The role of SItI (Italian Society of Hygiene), EUPHA, ASPHER, and WFPHA appears relevant in PH, in national and international contexts, with Italian praiseworthy members constantly achieving leading roles. Considering that few training opportunities aimed to improve research and leadership skills are available, Accademia Lombarda di Sanità Pubblica (ALSP) designed the AYLPH (Academy of Young Leaders in Public Health) program. AYLPH program is a 1-year training to shape leadership skills among young professionals. A set of didactic, theoretical and practical methods was offered and evaluated.
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INTRODUCTION

Leadership is an innate aspect of human behavior and, when lacking, progress in society, at community, national or individual level cannot be achieved. Sectors where providing leadership are several, ranging from politics, trade unions, business, military, sports and science (1). However, regardless of the sector, some required qualities are shared: any leader needs to have a vision, to be innovative, ready to take (controlled) risks, curious, flexible but determined and—lastly yet importantly—brave (2). Particularly focusing on scientific leadership, some aspects are partly different compared to other sectors. Indeed, besides awards and prestigious affiliations, other leadership indicators may be academic roles, fundraising abilities, positions within scientific associations, or scientific journals editorial boards and, more recently, in Italy, the updated bibliometric indicators of the National Agency for the Evaluation of Universities and Research Institutes (ANVUR).

Generally speaking, and considering Italian situation, in the current health care system, undermined by the progressive aging population (3), distrust in institutions, antimicrobial resistance and vaccine hesitancy (4, 5), lack of equity and unhealthy lifestyles (6, 7), there is an urgent need of powerful and effective leaders. In this context, public health is emerging from a behind-the-scenes discipline to a trans-discipline that integrates theoretical concepts with health-care assistance (8). Public health leaders play a crucial role in ensuring population health and well-being through prevention and health promotion (9, 10), healthcare policy and management (11), promoting collaboration and networking (12), and through a more effective decision making process (13). Capacity building is the key to success, as reliable and accountable communicators may build trust (14). Health leaders take actions that shape the future of public health and advocate to introduce new health policies (15). Professional development of public health leaders requires competency-based education to help them develop skills to address complex and evolving demands of health care systems (16). This is in line with the WHO (World Health Organization) approach, establishing “Strategic leadership for health” among the ten key areas of public health practice (17).

Nowadays, leaders are not always individuals: they may also be organizations or movements that exert advocacy on politicians and policy-makers. Among them, civil society representatives including unions, consumers’ and patients’ associations, professional bodies, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) and volunteers’ association, and particularly scientific associations play a crucial role (18). In fact, they are involved in the promotion of health through scientific research, communication to the public and to health professions, and in advocacy toward policy makers and institutions (19). Scientific associations are NGOs created to foster informative and educational exchange among members (networking), offering various types of activities, such as conferences, symposia, congresses, work and study groups, and promoting permanent and innovative communication media. These roles are formally recognized to scientific societies by specific laws: they should identify best practices in health, provide technical support to health policy makers, and advocate all the stakeholders with updated scientific evidence. More recently, the Italian Minister of Health has identified parameters to make scientific societies lawfully responsible of guidelines and best practices. The Italian legislative framework is synthesized in Table 1. In the public health sector, the Italian Society of Hygiene, Preventive Medicine and Public Health (SIH) has a high impact at national level—now flanked by two public health academies, the Accademia Lombarda and Accademia Romana—whilst the EUPHA, ASPHER, and WFPHA are the most relevant public health scientific society at international level, with Italian praiseworthy members constantly achieving leading roles (20, 21).

Nowadays, scientific societies are suffering from aging of members, real or potential conflicts of interest, lack of remuneration for active members and possible internal conflicts; however, scientific societies are still pivotal to shape the future of public health (22). In order to go beyond this momentary crisis, scientific societies should be aware that they are representing the core values of the discipline, work hard to foster cultural and moral credibility, and reduce or avoid conflicts of interest. Moreover, they should advocate in terms of dissemination of corporate vision, promote mutual exchanges between leaders and members in order to solve organizational and professional problems; develop new forms of networking among members, providing an education “close to members” addressing needs, training design, outcome verification; and lastly they should invent role for “emeritus” and incentives for young members (18, 23).

In this perspective, the Accademia Lombarda di Sanità Pubblica (ALSP, Lombard Academy of Public Health), a non-profit association founded in 2017, aims to promote progress in public health through the involvement of experts engaged in many areas of public health (e.g., hygiene, epidemiology, prevention, environment, health management, health care, management, law, and health economics), promoted the AYLPH (Academy of Young Leaders in Public Health). The AYLPH may be assumed as a platform to discuss about health issues, through different perspectives and backgrounds, and the final message can be spread, not just delivered, but rather shared with the general population. The important attribute is the creation of a common sense of membership, with this principle of leadership fully reflected in the health promotion notion of empowerment: enabling people to improve their health (24, 25). Moreover, the requirements of future leaders certainly cannot exclude emotional skills and intercultural communication competencies, in order to lead effectively in multinational organizations. Collaboration and shared responsibilities can facilitate the decision-making and show cohesion, which surely influence the perception of the institutions the leader represents (17). Taking into account these essential requirements, the experience of the AYLPH represented an opportunity to establish discussions, expand a network, sharing activities in the international events,

1European Public Health Association.
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but always bringing back the message to each local social and scientific community, where each of the participant belonged to.

**Aim**

Considering that few training opportunities aimed to improve research and leadership skills in public health are available, ALSP designed the AYLPH program. The program aimed to build the leadership skills and to educate young public health professionals to be the future workforce trained for research, and as well respond to public health needs. The aim of this paper is to describe the conceptual framework behind the AYLPH program, the implementation process, and the preliminary results obtained.

**PEDAGOGICAL FRAMEWORK**

**Conceptual Framework**

The AYLPH program is designed and promoted by the ALSP; however, the steering committee members were the full professors in Hygiene and Preventive Medicine of Lombardy region in Italy. Overall, five academic departments were involved in the project (Università degli Studi di Pavia, Università degli Studi di Milano, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Università Vita-Salute San Raffaele, and Politecnico di Milano). Each department was in charge of organizing one theme-based module supervised by an acknowledged expert. AYLPH program was structured on evidence-based public health leadership competency framework (26), focusing on acquiring abilities in synthesizing and analyzing available evidence, with lenses and values of public health. More in details, the program aimed to develop the following competencies: build capacity to make decisions based on the best evidence, stimulate emotional intelligence, networking, build up own vision, using available knowledge, communicate findings and apply scientific evidence to public health issues, improve the multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary approach in public health. Furthermore, the innovations of the program are mainly located in (i) the shifting from classical frontal lessons to interactive and experience-based meetings, (ii) the interdisciplinary learning, and (iii) the international health interests.

**Selection Process**

The training program was advertised internally and externally by mail and the web-page of ALSP (27). The recruitment was opened to all young members of the Academy, regardless of their region of origin. The call clearly defined the professional and research line proposed. Subjects interested in participating were asked to submit the application through the web-page of Academy, with a cover letter and Curriculum Vitae.

Criteria for eligibility were: to be a young member of the Academy with previous research experiences, good level of English, and previous training in hygiene institutions and departments. Each item was scored from 0 to 5. The Academy members committee (full professors in Hygiene and Preventive Medicine, directors of Post-Graduate School) independently screened the applications. The selection system aimed to identify highly motivated candidates for leadership careers in public health, already undergoing professional and research careers. Furthermore, another objective of the selection process was to identify young professionals with highly diversified education backgrounds, also without a specific health professional education, but sharing the public health vision. To promote equity, the gender and geographic representativeness has been also considered, taking into account the expertise and the motivation of the candidates.

**LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**

**Implementation of the Training Program**

AYLPH program is developed within 1-year time-frame, and it is a training program aimed to promote operational research and leadership skills among young professionals. During the
year, a set of didactic, theoretical and practical methods in the frame of public health leadership was offered. In particular, the first track consisted of a 2-days immersive winter team-building course, during which a self-assessment evaluation was performed, in order to be aware of personal skills, knowledge, motivations, background and foster emotional intelligence. Moreover, during the first track, participants had the opportunity to meet some national and international leaders in public health. The meeting was an informal but very interactive discussion between participants and leaders.

The second track was designed to improve literature search abilities, develop mentorship skills and formulate research questions relevant for public health. The intensive and comprehensive systematic revision course took place at the University Vita-Salute San Raffaele in Milan and it was hosted by the local postgraduate school of hygiene and preventive medicine. The course was opened not only to AYLPH participants, but also to other medical doctors, residents in public health. During this course theoretical, methodological and practical knowledge was delivered; however, academic members encouraged participants to formulate new research questions using systematic reviews as study design. Furthermore, academic members elicited students and participants to set small research groups headed by at least one of the AYLPH members, aimed to implement the research projects. This group-work approach allowed participants to train their leadership, through coaching the other colleagues.

The third track consisted in attending national and international conferences that participants could self-opt from an approved list. Participants were encouraged to take part to important conferences in order to get inspired and bring out their own vision.

The last track was a 2-days course on public speaking aimed to improve both verbal and non-verbal communication skills, to teach the new technology and traditional media use, and to target the communication considering the audience. The course took place at the University of Pisa and was hosted by the local postgraduate school of hygiene and preventive medicine.

**Deliverables**

Evaluation method is based on the achieved program outputs and research projects development, number and quality of abstracts submitted to conferences and accepted as poster or oral presentation, and scientific publications obtained by participants. Lastly, a formal evaluation (multiple-choice test) is part of the final assessment of the skills learned by participants.

**Experiences and Results**

A total of 18 applications were submitted; among these, 10 participants (six women and four men) with the highest scores were selected. The participants came from 10 different Italian universities and had different backgrounds. Among them, seven were medical doctors, resident in public health, an architect for public health, and two biologists, with a mean age of 34.1 years. Three of them hold a PhD and one of them is a university researcher.

**First Track**

The first field-immersion winter track was conducted in a mountain area, hosted in a lodge with few contacts with the external. This first meeting was very helpful to stimulate interactions between participants and faculty members, providing both learning and convivial opportunities. Furthermore, the self-assessment method encouraged the self-awareness of individual and professional limits and strengths, as well as, endorse engagement between participants. After that, a journey statement has been asked to all participants. The statement judged by the committee as the most representative of the activities performed was published on Twitter. Among the international leaders in public health met by the participants, it is worth mentioning the former Italian health minister, the editor-in-chief of one of the top scientific journal in public health, the EUPHA President, the research director of the European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, and the former president of the Italian National Institute of Public Health. This meeting offered the chance to discuss about ethics in research, new challenges of public health, tips and tricks on publication process, and the important role of advocacy in public health. Lastly, personal and professional experiences were shared.

**Second Track**

In the second track, the 10 participants and 14 medical doctors, resident in public health, (voluntary enrolled among the lombardian three schools of Public Health) attended the systematic review seminar. During the outcome-oriented workshop, six research groups were set up, with precise research questions and projects.

**Third Track**

In the third track, participants—divided into three groups—attended the General Assembly of the World Health Organization, the Deans’ and Directors’ Retreat of the ASPHER, and the American Public Health Association meeting (APHA).

**Forth Track**

During the fourth track participants learned (i) how to persuasively communicate to public, colleagues, policy makers and other key stakeholders, using evidence; (ii) how to design effective communication campaign; (iii) main differences between traditional and new media. All participants attended all the “tracks” with the exception of the third one, where participants were split between the different activities. Furthermore, participants already achieved some deliverables. Two participants have submitted two abstracts to the Italian national conference of hospital medical managers, and both received the award as second-best poster and second-best communication. Another abstract written by all the participants has been submitted to the Italian national conference of public health. One participant had a speech, as a young professional, in the ASPHER Deans’ and Directors’ Retreat. The six research projects began in April, and all of them are ongoing. Lastly, the current paper is part of AYLPH program’s results. Results from the final evaluation are still in progress.
DISCUSSION

According to a European survey (28), and a more recent Italian survey (29), public health trainings lack of drawing out leadership qualities. In this regard, creating a program for young professionals highly motivated for leadership careers in public health is a valuable educational goal, especially considering the innovative didactic methods used. In the first AYLPH program, the recruited participants came from five different Italian Regions (30), and it was an added value, highlighting that public health is not a “regional health.” Another strength of the participants’ group is the multidisciplinary that enriches the group with a valuable diversity, which in turn contributes to stimulate discussions on public health aspects in a more comprehensive way (31). Indeed, the program committed to train young leaders and to promote researches addressing relevant public health issues, through a competency-based course to help them develop leadership requisites, including emotional intelligence, evidence-based decision, communication, and networking. According to Goleman (32), emotional intelligence is a fundamental component of the leadership course (32), and it is one of the competencies that leader in public health should develop in order to be aware of the inspiring values (33), and being empathetic with citizens, which are both the target population of public health interventions and the actors of the changes too (34).

Whilst, the review projects have given the participants the opportunity to put into practice the acquired competence of both analyzing/summarizing evidence and leadership, by training and mentoring students and other colleagues. Furthermore, these became as well a very important occasion to improve teamwork attitude. Participating in national and international conferences gives the great chance of meeting practitioner experts in the field and researchers has and peers that contribute in building national/international collaborations, sharing best experiences and grow up with new visions.

Learning rudiments of public speaking is another important aspect for the leaders of the future (35). Public speaking is a powerful and persuasive tool extremely useful in order to motivate and engage citizens to begin and sustain the change (36). Actually, in order to be able to face the challenges of the next century, it is important that citizens increase personal awareness of their important role, as they are the main actors on the stage of their life.

Another strength of this program lies in the stronger emphasis dedicated to personal leadership skills than knowledge. Indeed, the AYLPH program offered the participants not only the theoretical structure, but mainly the opportunity to practice in the field, and to develop the leadership skills in the real world. Through the AYLPH program, participants strengthen their ability in defining relevant research questions, supervising students and colleagues and conducting a research, using available scientific evidence to make decisions, effectively communicating, basing on evidence and considering the audience, recognizing their own limits and strengths, and creating their own vision being as well the change promoter.

Informally, the participants reported a high level of satisfaction mainly due to self-learning coming from teamwork, feedback from peers and from academic members, and from the multidisciplinary and international experiences. The possibility of meeting practitioner experts in the field and researchers has been recognized as another strength. The AYLPH program is an experimental but promising training project to build young leaders and to promote high quality research. In literature, the implementation of other leadership courses is described (34, 37, 38), however, to the best of our knowledge, this is one of the few Italian experiences after the leadership in public health course delivered by the Catholic University of Sacro Cuore in 2017. The national health system extremely needs fresh workforce, highly educated in public health, with high competences in evidence-based practice and with leadership and research skills. Despite the success of the first AYLPH program, still a lot needs to be done. The first lesson learned is the necessity to constantly up-date and rethink the program, considering the rapid evolution of the field. Second, increasing the potential use of new technologies aimed to promote alternative educational methods, which might drop costs through reducing participants’ travels, and making the program more sustainable; and probably it could allow delivering courses to a wide number of participants. Lastly, identifying a future financial system able to guarantee a self-funding program would be a great addition.

In conclusion, leadership skills are even more needed in the context of public health in order to both drive the change and increase performance. It is particularly true in the current context, in which community’s health is undermined by a sense of distrust in institutions, lack of equity, and unhealthy lifestyles. The new leaders should be able to drive individual, organizational and political change. The traditional public health courses are still not completely able to satisfy the training needs, especially in regards to the practical leadership skills. In this perspective, the AYLPH program aimed to teach new content and mainly new competencies. The preliminary results obtained are encouraging; however, future evaluations are needed in order to corroborate the results.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this manuscript will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethics approval and written informed consent from the participants of the study were not required in accordance with national legislation and institutional requirements.
AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Manuscript preparation was undertaken by VG and FB. AO and CS reviewed the last version of the article. All authors have contributed to the development of the manuscript and read and approved the final version of the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the steering committee members of the Accademia Lombarda di Sanità Pubblica and all the Academic Departments involved.

REFERENCES

1. Srivastava VK, Kunwar R. Leadership in public health. Indian J Public Health. (2018) 62:165–6. doi: 10.4103/ijph.IJPH_306_18
2. Grigsby RK. Advanced leadership training: pursue an MBA or other advanced degree? Psychiatr Clin North Am. (2019) 42:439–46. doi: 10.1016/j.psc.2019.05.005
3. Signorelli C, Ciorba V, Pezzetti F, Odone A, Gazzini A, Zangrandi A. [Re-organization of health services in Italy and the role of directors of hospitals and local health units]. Ig Sanita Pubbil. (2016) 72:371–84.
4. Ciorba V, Odone A, Veronesi L, Pasquarella C, Signorelli C. Antibiotic resistance as a major public health concern: epidemiology and economic impact. Ann Ig. (2015) 27:562–79. doi: 10.7416/ai.2015.2048
5. Gianfredi V, Moretti M, Lopalo PL. Countering vaccine hesitancy through immunization information systems, a narrative review. Hum Vaccin Immunother. (2019) 15:2508–26. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2019.1599675
6. Audisio RA, Icardi G, Isidori AM, Liverani CA, Lombardi A, Marian L, et al. Public health value of universal HPV vaccination. Crit Rev Oncol Hemotol. (2016) 97:157–67. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2015.07.015
7. Ranucci C, Pippi R, Buratta L, Aiello C, Gianfredi V, Piana N, et al. Effects of an intensive lifestyle intervention to treat overweight/obese children and adolescents. Biomed Res Int. (2017) 2017:8573725. doi: 10.1155/2017/8573725
8. Yphantides N, Escobosa S, Macchione N. Leadership in public health: new competencies for the future. Front Public Health. (2015) 3:24. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2015.00024
9. Signorelli C, Capolongo S, Buffoli M, Capasso L, Faggioni A, Moscato U, et al. Italian Society of Hygiene (SIH) recommendations for a healthy, safe and sustainable housing. Epidemiol Prev. (2016) 40:265–270. doi: 10.19191/EP163.4.P265.094
10. Bonanni P, Chiamenti G, Conforti G, Maio T, Odone A, Russo R, et al. The 2016 lifetime immunization schedule, approved by the Italian scientific societies: a new paradigm to promote vaccination at all ages. Hum Vaccin Immunother. (2017) 13:2531–7. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2017.1366394
11. Signorelli C, Iannazza S, Odone A. The imperative of vaccination put into practice. Lancet Infect Dis. (2018) 18:26–7. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30696-5
12. Signorelli C, Odone A, Bonanni P, Russo F. New Italian immunisation plan is built on scientific evidence: Carlo Signorelli and colleagues reply to news article by Michael Day. BMJ. (2015) 351:h6775. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h6775
13. Signorelli C, McKee M. Milan 2015: creating a safer, healthier, and sustainable healthy, safe and sustainable housing. Recent AIDS Res. (2015) 39(4 Suppl. 1):5.
14. Gianfredi V, Grisci C, Nucci D, Parisi V, Moretti M. [Communication in health]. Recenti Prog Med. (2018) 109:374–83. doi: 10.1701/2955.29706
15. Little RG, Greer A, Clay M, McFadden C. Profile of public health leadership. J Public Health Manag Pract. (2016) 22:479–81. doi: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000000399
16. Baker EL. Reflections on public health leadership. J Public Health Manag Pract. (2019) 25:90–1. doi: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000000909
17. Czabanowska K. Public health competencies: prioritization and leadership. Eur J Public Health. (2016) 26:734–5. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckw125
18. Signorelli C, Odone A. Advocacy communication, vaccines and the role of scientific societies. Ann Ig. (2015) 27:737–47. doi: 10.7416/ai.2015.2066
19. Schloss PD, Johnston M, Casadevall A. Support science by publishing in scientific society journals. MBio. (2017) 8:1–5. doi: 10.1128/mbio.01633-17
20. Vanini G, Bucci R. Storia dei Congressi degli igienisti italiani (1921–1988). Roma: UCSC. (1991).
21. Società Italiana di Igiene Medicina Preventiva e Sanità Pubblica (SII). Annuario della Società Italiana di Igiene. Roma: Edizioni Kos Comunicazioni (2015).
22. Cappelletti P. The future of scientific societies and the Italian Society for Laboratory Medicine. Riv Ital Med Lab. (2011) 7:127–39. doi: 10.1007/s13631-011-0020-1
23. Martin N, Andradas C. Interaction and cooperative effort among scientific societies. Twelve years of COSCE. Int Microbiol. (2015) 18:245–51. doi: 10.2436/20.1501.01.256
24. World Health Organization. Governance for Health in the 21st Century: a Study Conducted for the WHO Regional Office for Europe, Geneva (2011).
25. Gianfredi V, Monarca S, Moretti M, Villarini M. [Health education, what is the role for pharmacist? Results from a cross sectional study in Umbria, Italy]. Recenti Prog Med. (2017) 108:433–41. doi: 10.1701/2802.28356
26. Czabanowska K, Smith T, Konings KD, Sumskas L, Otok R, Bjegovic-Mikanovic V, et al. In search for a public health leadership competency framework to support leadership curriculum-a consensus study. Eur J Public Health. (2014) 24:850–6. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckt158
27. Accademia Lombarda di Sanità Pubblica (2019). Selezione di un gruppo di giovani per l'Academy of Young Leaders in Public Health. Available online at: http://www.accademialombardasp.it/sito/index.php/specializzandi (accessed May, 2019).
28. Bjegovic-Mikanovic V, Vukovic D, Otok R, Czabanowska K, Laaser U. Education and training of public health professionals in the European Region: variation and convergence. Int J Public Health. (2013) 58:801–10. doi: 10.1007/s00038-012-0425-2
29. Odone A, Privitera GP, Signorelli C, Board of Directors of the Italian Postgraduate Schools of Hygiene and Public Health. Post-graduate medical education in public health: the case of Italy and a call for action. Public Health Rev. (2017) 38:24. doi: 10.1186/s40985-017-0069-0
30. Accademia Lombarda di Sanità Pubblica. I 10 Componenti Della Academy of Yuong Leaders in Public Health, Edition 2019. (2019). Available online at: http://www.accademialombardasp.it/sito/images/docs/20190317.7-0069-0.pdf (accessed May, 2019).
31. Arledge S. Multidisciplinary training for multidisciplinary response. EMS World. (2013) 42:52–4.
32. Goleman D. What makes a leader? Healthc Forum J. (2014) 14:83. doi: 10.1186/1472-6920-14-83
33. Goleman, D. (1998). The emotionally competent leader. Leadership in Public Health.
35. Hicks JM. Leader communication styles and organizational health. *Health Care Manag.* (2011) 30:86–91. doi: 10.1097/HCM.0b013e3182078bf8

36. Baum N, Boughton L. Public speaking: managing challenging people and situations. *J Med Pract Manage.* (2016) 31:251–3.

37. Berman P, Frenk J. The new harvard doctor of public health: lessons from the design and implementation of an innovative program in advanced professional leadership. *Public Health Rep.* (2018) 133:759–66. doi: 10.1177/0033354918804523

38. Galaviz KI, Narayan KMV, Manders OC, Torres-Mejia G, Goenka S, McFarland DA, et al. The public health leadership and implementation academy for noncommunicable diseases. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2019) 16:E49. doi: 10.5888/pcd16.180517

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

*Copyright © 2019 Gianfredi, Balzarini, Gola, Mangano, Carpagnano, Colucci, Gentile, Piscitelli, Quattrone, Scari, Mantovani, Ausilia, Castaldi, Capolongo, Pelissero, Odone and Signorelli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*